CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

I.S.B.N.

THESES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

National Library of Canada Collections Development Branch

Canadian Theses on Microfiche Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

Service des thèses canadiennes sur microfiche

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30.⁻ Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déja l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

> > Canadä

0-315-15963-4

National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Çanadian Theses Division

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

PERMISSION TO MICROFILM - AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMEI

Division des thèses canadiennes

Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylographier

63872

Full Name of Author --- Nom complet de l'auteur

PENELOPE JOAN MACDONALD

Date of Birth — Date de naissance SEPTEIMBER 4 1956 Country of Birth — Lieu de naissance CANADA

Permanent Address — Résidence fixe 11519. University Ave Edmonton Alberta T66173

Title of Thesis — Titre de la thèse

feire autor

. LI TILIZHTION OF SURGICAL SERVICES IN ALBERTA

University – Université

. UNIVERSITY OF ALGERTA

Degree for which thesis was presented — Grade pour lequel cette these fut presentee

MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICE ADMINISTRATION

Year this degree conferred — Année d'obtention de ce grade	Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse
SIRING 1983	DR KYUNG BAY

Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈ-QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.

Date Signature March 25/83 Perulope J. Maidonald

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

UTILIZATION OF SURGICAL SERVICES IN ALBERTA

by ·

PENELOPE JOAN MACDONALD

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION AND

COMMUNITY MEDICINE

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

SPRING, 1983

THE UNIVERSIJY OF ALBERTA RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR PENELOPE JOAN MACDONALD TITLE OF THESIS UTILIZATION OF SURGICAL SERVICES IN ALBERTA

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRÉSENTED MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED SPRING, 1983

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(SIGNED) Perchope Macdanald

PERMANENT ADDRESS:

115.19 University Ave Edmonton Alberta T66 173

DATED Pecember 16. 1982

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies-and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled UTILIZATION OF SURGICAL SERVICES IN ALBERTA submitted by PENELOPE JOAN MACDONALD in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.

c 16.

Date

ABSTRACT

Determination of the presence or absence and magnitude of surgical utilization rate variation among selected areas in Alberta constituted the problem to be investigated in this study. Through the application of patient origindestination studies, and the calculation of per capita surgical utilization rates (for six surgical categories) using longitudinal, age-sex adjusted, retrospective data, application of a community-based method and the of computation, the following aspects of surgical utilization investigated: 1) the travel patterns of were patients surgical care, and the patterns of resource seekina commitment by hospital groups, 2) the patterns and magnitude of surgical utilization rate variation among areas in Alberta, and 3) the degree to which geographic location could be used to account for the variation among Mistrict surgical utilization rates using multiple regression techniques.

The major findings of this study include:

- 1. Patient travel for surgical care was related to the size of the hospital(s) located in the patient's resident area.
- From 1971 to 1978, the utilization rates for appendectomy, hysterectomy, cholecystectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy declined; the Caesarean section rate doubled, and the prostatectomy rate remained almost unchanged.

iv

The Lethbridge and Grande Prairie areas were often associated with utilization rates markedly higher than the provincial rate. Conversely, the Medicine Hat area generally had surgical rates well below the provincial average.

.3.

4. Once the influence of time had been controlled, the variables which described patient residence accounted for a very minor amount of rate variation (with the exception of hysterectomy).

With regard to these findings, it was evident that: 1) there is surgical utilization rate variation among areas in Alberta, 2) the magnitude of the variation is dependent upon the particular set of areas studied, and 3) geographic location accounts minimally for surgical fate variation. These conclusions indicate the necessity of calculating accurate utilization rates, and challenge the premise that geographic location can be used to explain variation among district surgical utilization rates.

Four recommendations are made regarding the suggested emphasis for future research, and the need for more information prior to the establishment of surgical utilization rate standards for the province.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to the many people whose support helped me to complete this thesis.

My sincere thanks and appreciation are extended to Dr. Kyung Bay, my supervisor, for his patient and effective guidance throughout the course of this study, and for his generous commitment of time.

The time and effort expended by thesis committee members, Professor Janet Storch and Dr. Peter Salmon, as well as their constructive criticism, are gratefully acknowledged.

I wish to acknowledge the professors, lecturers, and classmates whose input contributed greatly to my graduate education. I especially appreciated Dr. C. Hazlett's interest in and enthusiasm for learning.

Â.

Special thanks are extended to my family and friends, and to two very special people, Pearl Morrison and Pauline Peters, who devoted many hours of their time to the editing of this thesis, often with very short notice.

Finally, I wish to express gratitude to Paul, my husband, for his patience and encouragement.

٢.	AE	IŁ	Ε	OF	.C	ON	IT	EN	١T	S
----	----	----	---	----	----	-----------	----	----	----	---

TABLE OF CONTENTS	· ·
	Page
ABSTRACT	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST QF TABLES	X.
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	. 1
1.2 Significance of the Study	. 2
1.3 Research Approach and Objectives	4
1.4 Assumptions and Limitations 1.4.1 Assumptions 1.4.2 Limitations	[,] 5
1.5 Definition of Terms	8
1.6 Format of the Thesis	9
II A SELECTIVE REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	. 10
2.1 Conceptual Model of Surgical Utilization 2.1.1 Macro-System Pérspective 2.1.2 Micro-System Perspective 2.1.3 Need, Demand, and Utilization 2.1.4 Supply/Demand Analysis 2.1.5 Summary	13 15 17 19
2.2 Determinants of Surgical Utilization 2.2.1 Societal Determinants 2.2.2 Individual Determinants 2.2.3 Health Service System Determinants 2.2.4 Summary	. 23 . 28 . 31
2.3 Selected Aspects of Surgical Utilization 2.3.1 Concept of Unnecessary Surgery 2.3.2 Surgical Rates in Canada 2.3.3 Surgical Utilization Indices 2.3.4 Summary	. 39 . 49 . 52

.

**

:

•	•	/			•		\sim
2	2.4.1 2.4.2 (2.4.3 2.4.4 (2.4.5 2.4.5 2.4.6	ed Surgical Op Appendectomy Caesarean Sect Prostatectomy Cholecystectom Hysterectomy Consillectomy Summary	ny	ectomy	· · · · · ·	55 55 57 58 59 63 66 66	
2	2.5.1 2.5.2 ·	t Origin-Dest Patient Origin Delineation Service Popula	n-Destinatior of Service tions	Flow Patte Areas and	rns .	66 67 70	
	· .	Comparative S Utilization . Summary			••••	76 80	
, 2	2.6 Summar	y of Literatu	re Review			81	
III N	METHODOLOG	Υ				84	
3	3.1 Resear	ch Strategy	•.•.•		• • • • • • •	84	
) 3.2.1 (3.2.2	ources PAS (Professi Census Data Selected Gove	onal Activit	ies Studies	Data)	89 89 91 94	
	3.3.1 3.3.2	nalysis Strat Provincial An Patient Origi Analysis of S Variation	alysis n-Destinatio urgical Util	n Travel Pat ization Rate	terns	95 95 96 101	
ć	3.4 Summar	y'	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			118	
IV	PRESENTATI	ON AND DISCUS	SION OF RESU	LTS		120	
	4.1 Albert	a Health Care	- System - Se	lected Aspec	sts .	120	Ч. М
	, 4.2.1	al Utilizatic Acute Care Ut Surgical Util	ilization			128 129 129	
	4.3.1	nt Origin-Dest District Pers Hospital Pers	spective - Re	levance Ind	ices	135 137 145	
	4.4.1	sis of Surgica Preliminary A Multiple Regr	Analysis of A	rea Rates .		149 150 175	· .
	4.5 Summa	·y´				194	ł
	•		viii				

• • •		
Ó	V SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	195
•	5.1 Summary of the Study	195
	5.2 Major Findings	196
	5.3 Conclusions	199
-	5.4 Recommendations	202
	REFERENCES	204
	APPENDIX A METHODOLOGY SUPPLEMENT	218
	APPENDIX B RESULTS SUPPLEMENT	229

.

ix

.

Ø

ù

LIST OF TABLES

÷.

1.	Comparative Utilization Data for Canada and the Provinces 1976, 1981	24
2.	Number of Separations, Days of Hospital Stay, and Average Length of Stay by Four Age Groups for 'Total Acute Care Separations and Surgical Separations in Canada, 1971 and 1978	26
3.	Surgical Separation Rates per 1000 Persons, Alberta, 1971-1978 1	32
4.	Percentage by Column of Total Separations and Days of Hospital Stay (bracketed numbers) by Age-Sex Group (Separations for the years 1971 to 1978 have been combined) 1	33
5.	Average Length of Stay in Days by Four Age Groups and by Sex for Total Acute Care Utilization and Six Surgical Categories (Separations for the years 1971 to 1978 have been combined)	• 36
6.	Percentage of District Residents Having Surgery in the Hospital District of their Residence	38
7.	Residents Receiving Surgical Care at Selected Hospitals (Relevance Indices)	4 1
8.	Separations Committed by Three Hospital Groups to Three Selected Areas (Commitment	46
9	Appendectomy - rate per 1000 æge sex adjusted persons	51
10	Caesarean Section - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons	56
11.	Prostatectomy - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons	61
12.		64 ⁻

6	
13.	Hysterectomy - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons
14.	Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons
15.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Appendectomy
16.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Caesarean Section
17.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Prostatectomy
18.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Cholecystectomy
19.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Hysterectomy
20.	Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy
21.	Summary of Surgical Utilization Rate Deviation for Each Geographic Area From the Edmonton District Rate
•	
-	
	ċ
j.	
<i>,</i>	
•	
•	

xi

LIST OF FIGURES

	urgical Utilization		2
2. Research Strategy			35
3. Maps Depicting Four A		L.*	
		 •	

xii

o • 🗭

INTRODUCTION

The variation of surgical rates among geographic areas has elicited questions concerning potential under and over utilization of service. As well, there is concern regarding the implications such rate variation may have on the quality of care provided and the costs incurred by patients and the health care system. In response to these concerns an examination of selected aspects of surgical utilization rates among areas in Alberta over the time period from 1971 to 1978 was undertaken.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

An interest in the health status of the population, the quality and accessibility of health services, and rising health care costs, has provided the impetus for continued research regarding patterns of health service utilization. In March 1981, the Alberta Hospital Utilization Committee (AHUC, 1981) released a report, which detailed selected aspects of hospital utilization in Alberta. Specifically, the Committee had been given a mandate to "examine the hespitalization rate of Alberta residents with special emphasis on the number of surgical procedures being performed in Alberta hospitals" (AHUC, Appendix A, p. 1). The significant findings of the committee with respect to surgical utilization included: 1) that the rate of admissions for surgery in the Province of Alberta exceeded

the Canadian average, and 2) that "significant variation in the rates of surgical operations between different regions of the province" existed (AHUC, p. 25).

Variation in surgical rates among ostensibly similar regions and within relatively small geographic regions is not a new phenomenon, and has traditionally been accompanied by concern regarding the possibility of excessive surgical utilizatión. alternative An hypothesis suggests that appropriate factors may not always have been taken into account by researchers measuring and defining surgical utilization, and therefore, reported variations may in part artifact be of research design or methodology. an Determination of the presence or absence and magnitude of surgical rate variation within the Province of Alberta constituted the problem to be investigated in this study. The problem has been viewed from a methodological stance: as such. descriptive statistics have been employed ี่ก ลก exploratory analysis of surgical utilization data

1.2 Significance of the Study

Inflationary trends, current recession conditions, limited resource availability, and concern regarding equitable resource distribution have focussed attention on the development of measures that assess the effectiveness and efficiency of a health service system's performance; in this respect the applicability of this study is evident. The

2 '

use of population-based measurements to derive per capita surgical utilization rates will enable meaningful comparisons of surgical utilization , patterns among geographic areas. Comparisons of this nature will highlight significant variation in surgical utilization rates, and will indicate regions which require further investigation. Accurate and comparable per capita surgical utilization rates should support the efforts of government departments responsible for the planning of and resource allocation for surgical services, and should contribute information relevant to establishing surgical utilization rate standards or norms. Additionally, the analysis of eight years of data will facilitate trend analysis, while the patient origin-destination data will assist in the development of policy pertaining to the regionalization of surgical services.

...

From a methodological stance, this study's significance lies in its. attempt, to divide the province, into similar regions, and in demonstration of the computation of the for six surgical categories over comparable rates ลก eight year time period. Analysis of the relationship between users and providers of surgical services through a patient origin-destination. study will discumvent some of the problems associated with previous research methodologies that have involved assumptions about, rather than actual delineation of, utilization patterns Additionally, the utility and versatility of patient origin-destination

3 ·

studies using routinely-collected administrative data will be demonstrated.

1.3 Research Approach and Objectives

This study involved the use of retrospective age and sex-adjusted population and utilization data in an eight-year longitudinal analysis of surgical utilization in Alberta. In order to examine the surgical utilization experience of Albertans from 1971 to 1978, and to accurately investigate whether or not significant surgical rate variation had occurred in the same time frame, the following objectives were established:

- 1. To develop a methodology that would enable meaningful comparison of surgical utilization rates over time and among different geographic areas.
- 2 To examine the patterns and trends of surgical utilization for selected operations among areas in Alberta from 1971 to 1978

Due to the absence of established theories pertaining to surgical utilization and to the use of population data themselves rather than the use of a sampling strategy to obtain data, hypothesis testing (or statistical inference) was not attempted. Thus, the analyses of the surgical utilization data in this study are primarily exploratory and descriptive in nature. 1.4 Assumptions and Limitations

In this section the assumptions and limitations considered pertinent to this study are outlined.

5

1.4.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions were made prior to the initiation of the study.

- 1. The practice patterns of surgeons in Alberta were , assumed to be analogous to those of other professions; that is, a range of professional ability, commitment, and style of practice was assumed to exist.
- 2. The available utilization data included non-residents who received surgical care in Alberta hospitals, but did not include Alberta residents who sought care outside the province: Data pertaining to non-residents were removed prior to the analysis. Thus, the analysis was predicated on the assumption of a closed hospital system, as it was assumed that omission of data on these two groups would not have a significant impact on the analysis
- In order to use the patient origin-destination data, it was necessary to divide the province into mutually exclusive and exhaustive geographic areas. Since surgical utilization was of interest, the existing general hospital districts were assumed to offer appropriate geographic divisions. Subsequent aggregations of these districts into larger regions were

also undertaken in order to perform regional analyses.

6

1.4.2 Limitations

The limitations pertinent to this study arose primarily due to data and methodological limitations.

- 1. The Professional Activities Study (PAS) data used in this study are referenced to the number of separations from a particular institution, not to individual patients. Therefore, the number of surgical separations should be regarded as a close approximation to the number of different persons undergoing surgery, not the exact number.
- 2. The scope of this thesis did not permit an exhaustive examination of all surgical categories; six categories were chosen for this study.
- 3. Only surgery occurring on an inpatient basis was considered; all outpatient surgery was excluded from the analysis. This exclusion may introduce some error since the recording of day surgery (outpatient surgery) is not consistent across hospitals. Since only one of the surgical categories studied (tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy) could potentially be performed on an outpatient basis, and since the prevalence of outpatient surgery has only recently increased, the degree of error by not considering outpatient surgical introduced utilization data during the calculation of utilization Mer Contractor Report rates was thought to be minimal. Street and the second second

Sec.

the state of the second second

- 4. The coding procedures used to categorize surgical utilization data have not remained constant during the period from 1971 to 1978. Despite this limitation care was taken to ensure that similar data were analyzed (see Appendix A.1).
 - 5. The census data and the surgical utilization data were obtained from government sources, and therefore, verification of the accuracy of the data was impossible due to the quantity of data and the intricacies involved in its collection. Since these data have been collected for many years, and since quality control checks are routinely implemented, this limitation was not thought to unduly compromise the study.
 - 6. The population figures used in this study were obtained from 1971 and 1976 census data. Population estimates for the intercensal years (1972-1975, and 1977-1978) were calculated under the assumption of a constant rate of increase (see Appendix A.2). Although both census data and intercensal estimates are subject to a certain degree of error, these were the only data sources available.
 - 7 In order to perform the patient origin destination study it was necessary to define the patient s origin. The use of point locations to indicate patient origin would have involved data analysis strategies beyond the scope of this thesis; therefore, hospital districts were used to describe the patient's origin.

8. The orientation of this thesis is primarily descriptive in nature. An attempt was made to establish association; causal relationships were not specified. Additionally, evaluation of the appropriateness of the level of surgical utilization was not attempted as such an analysis extended beyond the problem investigated in this study.

1.5 Definition of Terms

The following definitions provide clarification of the terms used in this study.

5

- 1. AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY (ALOS): refers to "The average number of days stay of inpatients who were separated from the facility during the reporting year. It is calculated by dividing the total days stay by the number of separations during the reporting year" (Alberta Hospital Utilization Committee, 1981, Glossary).
- 2. GENERAL HOSPITAL: refers to "A hospital which provides primarily for the diagnosis and short term treatment of patients for a wide range of diseases or injuries. The services are not restricted to a specific age group or sev" (Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care, 1979, p.131).
- 3 OPERATION CODE: a numerical code found on the face sheet of the medical record which refers to a specific surgical procedure (see Appendix A.1).

- 4. PATIENT DESTINATION: refers to the acute care hospital to which the surgical inpatient is admitted.
- 5. PATIENT ORIGIN: refers to the hospital district within which the patient's residence is located.
- 6 PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS: refers to the diagnosis which is regarded as the primary reason for the ³patient's admission to hospital.
- .7. SEPARATION: the discharge or death of an inpatient is recorded as a separation.
- 8. SURGICAL SUITE: "The unit designed, staffed and equipped for the performance of surgical procedures and for the continuous observation and care of patients during the immediate post-operative or post anaesthesia period" (Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care, 1979, p. 134).

1.6 Format of the Thesis

The text of this thesis has been divided into five chapters and two appendices. The preceding introduction constitutes Chapter I. Chapter II consists of a selective review of the literature, which provides background information for the development of the methodology contained in Chapter JII, and the discussion of the data analysis found in Chapter IV. A summary, pertinent findings, and conclusions are presented in Chapter V. Appendices A and B contain supplemental information relevant to Chapter III and IV respectively.

A SELECTIVE REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The purpose of this chapter is to provide a literature review that will facilitate comprehension of the research objectives within an appropriate context, and to delineate the theoretical perspectives used in the development of the research methodology. The literature review includes five components: 1) an explanation regarding a conceptual model of surgical utilization, 2) an introduction to some of the determinants of surgical utilization, 3) a review of selected aspects of surgical útilization, 4) an overview of patient origin-destination studies, and 5) a summary.

2.1 Conceptual Model of Surgidal Utilization

The perspective from which one conceptualizes surgical utilization is in part dependent upon one's background and particular orientation to the health care system. Since the primary objective of this study was to examine the variation in Alberta's surgical rates, the establishment of a common perspective from which to view surgical utilization was considered to be escential Although the use of surgical services has been researched from various perspectives. is no accepted theory of there surgical utilization: therefore, researchers interested in surgical utilization have concentrated their investigations on the development of concepts, and the subsequent linkage of these concepts into undels of surgical utilizations

A synthesis of two models has been used to produce the conceptual model depicted in Figure 1. The macro-system view has been adapted from Andersen and Anderson's (1979) health service component model, which profiles the spectrum of health services available to the public, and provides a suitable backdrop from which to view surgical utilization. Greenhill and Haythorne's (1972) utilization model has been used to provide a micro-system perspective. This segment of the model specifies the domain of user (patient or client) and provider (physician and other health care workers) interaction, and translates this interaction into concepts of need, demand, and utilization

The essential differences between the macro and micro perspectives are that the former encompasses large numbers of individuals, institutions, and regulating agencies, and is subject to complex decision making strategies; the latter functions with fewer individuals, is not necessarily dependent upon the presence of a health facility, is subject to fewer inequilatory influences, and is characterized by decisions that usually involve two parties, the physician and the client, It should, however, be recognized that the micro and mario elements that combine to form the conceptual model must necessarily interact in order for surgical utilization to occur the following two sub sertions delineate the perspective adopted in this study, and elucidate the macro and micro components of the conceptual model.

/

11.





Macro-system Perspective adapted from Andersen & Anderson, 1979. Micro-system Perspective adapted from Greenhill & Haythorne, 1977. p. 11.

2.1.1 Macro-System Perspective

The utilization of health services that traditionally occurs throughout Canada has been summarily depicted as the macro system perspective in the upper half of Figure 1. The model has not been designed to reflect the relative size or importance of the service divisions, as the intent was only to introduce the gamut of health services available to the Canadian public, and to demonstrate the niche of surgical utilization within this service spectrum.

Andersen and Anderson (1979) divided the health service spectrum into two dimmetes though mutually dependent, categories: public and personal services. While personal services comprised the lang r of the two categories, the salicut distinguisting feature between the categories is that:

the former services can be carried out with only the passive participation of the population, without its knowledge, or even - if necessary - with enforced compliance; while the latter service must be initiated by individuals. (Andersen & Anderson, p. 372)

Examples of public services include public sanitation and inoculation process, and the programs designed to protect the potulation (Maron, Maron, 1980)

The author has adapted Anderson and Anderson's (1979) typology of use by condensing the original seven dategories which defined personal service utilization into two dategories which are referenced to service location:

hospital services, and all other personal services. Both categories of service are involved with the dispensation of some common services. Typically, hospital services include those services provided by physicians, nurses, allied health personnel, dentists, and ancillary service personnel. The presence or absence of these services in any one "hospital will depend upon the hospital's designated function, economic exigencies, and other factors. The "other" category personal services includes the use of the aforementioned of services in non-hospital service settings, as well as the "services provided by homeopaths, faith healers, folk practitioners, and others not customarily regarded as members of the scientific , medical community (Andersen & Anderson, 1979).

j.

Hospital services have been divided into surgical services and all other hospital services. Surgical services include, not only the personnel who perform surgery and care for the surgical patient, but also those individuals and institutional attributes that facilitate the functioning of the surgical suites and the necessary auxillary services. Encluded within the "other" hospital services category are the myriad of services available in Canadian hospitals. This continuum includes the traditional service medical, obstetrical, diagnostic, psychiatric, and laboratory services, and the more recent expansions into education,

counselling, and social welfare programming.

The previous discussion summarized the elements which comprise the health service system, and demonstrated that surgical utilization is one 'small element in the health service spectrum. In the following section the concept of surgical utilization is explored using the perspective of patient/physician interaction. This approach should facilitate an awareness of the service being investigated, and an understanding of the process by which surgical utilization occurs.

2.1.2 Micro-System Perspective

Greenhill and Haythorne's (1972) conceptual model of health care utilization has been minimally adapted to reflect surgical utilization while retaining the model's inherent clarification of the need, demand, and utilization relationship. Initially, symptoms with and without accepted surgical treatment have been used to establish the universe of surgical symptom complexes in the population (Greenhill & Haythorne, 1972). With each subsequent level (level two to four) the model illustrates need, demand, and utilization respectively. (further discussion of these concepts can be found in section 2.1.3)

Implicit within the model is the concept that the need and demand expressed by either patients or physicians have "true" and "false" components. This designation is a function of the interaction among medical, social, psychological, economic, and political determinants. Logically, if the screening mechanisms for surgical treatment were perfectly accurate, those persons with medical symptoms for which there was no accepted surgical treatment would never undergo surgery. However, reality dictates that some of the persons with "false" surgical need will interact with the surgical service system. Their "false" needs will be expressed and met, and contribute an unknown proportion of data to the total surgical utilization statistics.

16

The opposite half of the model indicates the course that those with "true" surgical needs may follow. Their "true" surgical needs may be both expressed and met. Some proportion of "true" need will go unnoticed, resulting in unperceived need. Additionally, not all "true" needs will be expressed, and some proportion of expressed need will not be met

Proceeding vertically upward through the model sit is evident that there is a gradual decrease in the number of persons with potential for actually receiving sungical care. While the reduction in numbers is conceptually sound, the actual quantification of this decrease was impossible to incorporate into the model, as need is extremely difficult to measure. Elaboration concerning need, demand, and utilization has been provided in the following paragraphs, as these concepts are particularly portinent to this study.

n na hara na h Na hara na hara

2.1.3 Need, Demand, and Utilization

. .

The literature is replete with conflicting definitions regarding the concepts of need, demand, and utilization. Kalimo (1979, p. 64) has operationally defined need as "the difference between observed and ideal levels of health." The ambiguity inherent in this type of definition prompted the economist Euchs (1968) to remark that the concept of need was too imprecise to be of value for analytic purposes. However, researchers have persisted in trying to define and measure need, cognizant that need appears to be a relative rather than absolute concept (Cooper, 1974), and recognizing that typically the health care planners' mandate was to fore ast and plan for the needs of the entire population and not simply those, who used medical services (Falime, 1979).

Iwo contrasting permative concepts; reflecting the consumer's and medical profession's, perspective have characterized definitions of need. Ohmura (1978) concentualized need as a state perceived by the individual that occurred once a person bad decided that medical consultation was invessary. Manifested care seeking behavior was, therefore seen as evidence of perceived need Alternatively, deffers B gname and fartlett (1971) termed these patient perceptions wants and defined need in terms of medical epinion that determined these patient demands ideemed to require attention by a medical export (Cooper-1974, p. 91) At a macro-level, incidence and prevalence rates are often used to describe medically defined need (Kalimo, 1979).

Parallel to these dichotomous need definitions. "demand" has been used to describe a person approaching the medical establishment soliciting care (Boulding, 1966: Cooper, 1974), and alternatively, as the use of medical resources that occurs once the physician has initiated a care plan (Ohmura, 1978). In contrast, Feldstein's (1966) analytic framework merges these two prientations and provides for "initial" demand by the patient, followed by derived demand which arises as a consequence of physician action. Boulding (1966, p. 7) has stated that once a natient "nuts himself into the hands of the respectional demand disappears and no substitute origin. In this the professional s concept fined

In Canada, federal and provincial legislation specifies that physicians must determine whether or not a person s health status warrants modical intervention. Utilization can therefore be viewed as the consequence of the medical professional's affirmation of the nation's corresponding of and the school of interaction between alloble medical reserve and the interaction between alloble medical reserve and the resources requested by the physician Helicol in the literature references to the physician and the school of the interaction between alloble medical reserve and the literature references to the physician which are the literature references to the physician

for the purposes of this study, Figure 1 (the conceptual model) has been used to clarify the relationship between need demand, and utilization. The model accounts

.

for both the patient's and the physician's need and demand perspectives. Further clarification of the relationship between demand and Utilization is provided in the following section which briefly outlines supply/demand analysis.

2 1 4 Supply/Demand Analysis

The impetus for supply/demand analysis of the medical marketplace has arisen out of a need to understand the mechanisms responsible for increasing rates of utilization and the associated costs. Usage variations, and from a desire to forecast effectively (Feldstein, 1966). Research influencing 010 the factors supply and domand and supply/demand interaction, has been hampered tov. two problems first, researchers have frequently failod 10 distinguish between demand and utilization. Isee for stample: Guzick, 1978; Klaiman, 1965), Stoddart and Barer (1000) have succinctly summarized this confusion:

When <u>demand</u> equations consist of a measure of <u>utilization</u> regressed upon a set of independent variables reflecting prices and consumer characteristics, either the assumption of no supplier inducement is being made (usually implicitly) or the empirical formulation would appear to be missurcified (1980, p. 153; emphasis added)

Specification of utilization equations should involve both supply and demand satisfies, and demand should be recognized as consisting of a patient initiated stage and a physician generated stage (Stoddard & Barer, 1980); Clearly the implications for policy development are quite

à

, 19

varied if information is based on the analysis of utilization equations with limited or inappropriate variables.

The second problem has arisen with attempts to investigate the effects of supply and demand in the medical marketplace while employing the standard concept of consumer. sovereignty (Cullis & West, 1979). Conventional economic analysis is predicated on the independence of consumer (demand-side) and supplier (supply-side) behavior. At issue is the nature of consumer and supplier behavior. Due to a "certain degree of ignonance, the consumer is obliged to largely abdicate his decision-making role with regard to choosing appropriate medical services, and to delegate this responsibility to the physician. The perception of the patient's diminished. decision making role has led to hypotheses concerning "physician" or supplier inducement', "demand shift". and "imperfections in the adency relationship between the physician and client (Evans, 1974; Fuchs. 1978: Wilensky & Rossiter, 1980). Additionally, legislation that restricts competition among physicians and health care providers, the medical professions other restriction on the supply of practitioners, as well as their code of ethics which prohibit explicit price competition. have meant that consumers are unable to choose alternate services easily compare services (Gabel & Redisch. OI. ito .1979)....

The consensus[®] expressed in the literature indicates that with the removal of most financial barriers (a consequence of the initiation of provincial medical and hospital insurance plans), and the lack of independence between the consumer (patient) and the supplier (physician), no generally accepted economic theory of supply/demand for the medical marketplace exists. An array of divergent models have emerged, reflecting various theoretical perceptions of consumer and provider behavior. Evans (1974) has proposed a general target model that appears to account for much of the behavior observed in the Canadian medical marketplace. Implicit within the model are the assumptions that: 1) the physician is motivated to achieve a target income and workload, and 2) the constraints imposed by fee schedules can be cincumvented with extra billing options and renegotiation of the fee schedule. The policy implications regarding methods for the deceleration of increasing medical costs, that emanate from Evans' model, are diametrically opposite to those implied by the traditional model The target model predicts that expanded physician supply and the imposition of deterrent charges will increase utilization of service (which introduces the potential for over utilization of services), while the traditional model predicts that the

same conditions will result in decreased utilization.

The demand for national health care policies, which provide appropriate incentives to both consumers and suppliers, emphasizes the need to: 1) engage in research that clearly distinguishes the factors associated with demand and utilization, and 2) construct models that accurately reflect the consumer and provider behaviors witnessed in the medical marketplace.

2.1.5 Summary

, · · ·

Delineation of the interaction between patients, physicians, and the health care service system was portrayed, in a conceptual model of surgical utilization. Explanations regarding the macro and micro-components illustrated the ambiguity associated with the concepts of need, demand, and utilization, and demonstrated the complexity of the relationship between supply and demand for surgical services. This conceptual framework is used in subsequent sections of the literature review as the basis for examining the determinants of surgical utilization, and as background information for the section concerning selected aspects of surgical utilization.

2.2 Peterminants of Surgical Utilization r

Andersen and Newman n (1973) theoretical framework: which closely ratallels the components of the concentual model (of p. 12), has been used to categorize the literature concerning the determinants of surgical utilization. Three types of determinants have been considered: (1), societal, 2) individual, and 3) health

a second seco
service system. For ease of discussion and clarity, the determinants have been discussed separately; however, a ŧ should be recognized that the effect of a particular determinant rarely occurs in isolation, and further. interaction effects determinants among are poorly understood.

It is not the intent of the author to provide an indepth review of these determinants; rather, aspects pertinent to this study have been discussed. Additionally, not all of the references cited pertain specifically to surgical utilization as such literature was scarce.

2.2 1 Societal Determinants

Norms and changing technology are the main cocietal determinants deemed responsible for increased health service use, as changing levels of disease incidence are unlikely to be primary contributory factors to this increase (Andersen & Newman, 1973). Norms that identified health care as a right (Andersen & Anderson, 1979) • facilitated the enactment of legislation that specified that the cost of the majority of physician, and certain other health pervices be hospital. financed through movincial insurance plans 1900 the Hospital Insurance and Diagnostic Services Act, 1957, and the Medical Care Act. 1966), LeClair (1975) commented that although there was an initial accelerated amount of intilization of all health services by Canadians following the passage of these Acts, the increase had since moderated

Analogous effects of increased health services insurance coverage have been observed in the United States (Mechanic, 1979).

1

The medical profession's desire to preserve the integrity of the client/physician relationship has meant that the profession staunchly supports the fee for service norm as the preferred mechanism of physician reimbursement. Evans (1974) and others alleged that the fee for service method of payment provided no incentive for either the patient or the physician to control costs, and as such, had contributed to escalating costs and utilization rates. Unfortunately, norms that specify appropriate levels of surgical utilization are not available to assist planners in determining the amount of under or over utilization of parvices (Bice, Eichbern & Fox, 1972).

Another important norm echaevies the rationing of health services Mechanic (1978) and Cooper (1974) indicated Care that although the norm to ration medical services had always been present due to the reality of scarce resource limitations cost containment CONCERNS n'ompted had e aluation. the implicit rationing process (where of constraints inherent in the system serve as the rationing mechanism) Δ'n. increasing emphasis on explicit rationing processes, which involve direct décisions - regarding allocations of the type and amount of service to be offered, are likely to be combined with implicit rationing mechanisms (Mochanic, 1978) The effect of the rationing process on

surgical utilization is unknown; however, both the volume and type of surgical procedures performed may be influenced. Norms which influence, the decision making process of physicians have also been associated with increased utilization of health services Using a statistical metaphor, Gertman (1974) and Scheff (1963) indicated that the decision norm of the medical profession was to avoid committing Type I errors (mistakenly not treating a sick person) as opposed to Type II groups (mistakenly treating a well person), and therefore, the tendency was toward increased utilization. Type II errors of a surgical nature are responsible for substantial risks being needlessly incurred by patients, as well as increased morbidity and montality rates. Patients who have been in propriately labelled as a consequence dia type IJ error occasionally expressioned psychomatic disability, which also contributed to increased health services utilization (Bergmann & Stamm, 1957) Similarly, while the physician seeks to avoid the medical profession's sanction associated with Type I errors. he is also obverned about the malpractice throat. The practice of defensive medicine (where tests and or procedures are performed largely because the physician fears malpractice litigation) has been implicated as a factor contributing to increased utilization of health services (Stuart & Stockton, 1973) The extent to which defensive medical practices influence utilization is not known: however, the Duke Law Journal staff (1971) indicated that

positive defensive practices (the ordering of numerous tests etc.) and negative defensive practices (the inhibition to use new technological innovations) are differentially affected by the malpractice threat. In Canada, the presence of the malpractice threat is negligible compared to that experienced in the United States (Kreever, 1975), therefore, the alteration of Canadian physicians, practice patterns in response to this threat is likely to be minimal.

The last societal doterminant to be discussed concerns technology. The impact of changing technology on the utilization of boalth ser lins has been well documented (see Bronzino, 1977; Reiser, 1978; Russell, 1976) The introduction of ensesthesia and asepsis altered netterns of rate in hospitals, and simultaneously changed the custodial or rentation" of the hospital to a curative forus which resulted in dramatic increases in both hospital and surgical utilization (Anderson & Nowman, 1973). While Gertman and Mitchell (1980, p. 881) attributed increased surdical utilization rates in part to the development of medical technologies that permit earlier and more efficacious intervention for more individuals." Fuchs (1968) (ind a technologic importion as the prime factor guiding the physician a me of services that simply physicians feel compelled to via the best are that is technically possible; the only legitimate and explicitly cooprized constraint is the state of the art" (Fuchs p. 192) - Putkow and Juidema (1981) noted the increased rate of Caesarian

techniques as me example of the technological imperative.

Bennett (1977) identified an important difference between the factors that induce technological change in the medical arena and there, that operate in the business sector. While consumer demand is the primary impeties for technological innovation in business, the decision making role of the physician and medical insurance plans effectively remove the consumer from a decision making capacity in the medical marketplace. The conservance is that monetary limitations are not imposed on technological innovation through consumer demand and there one of the relies on cost control is removed.

This lack of contrain control over technological innovation combined will the physician's technologial imperative; has resulted in what thomas (1977) described as "half-way" technologios Half way technologies do all difer palliation or contribution symptoms (for example, renal dialysis) I up to have commence and expressive than their counterpart definition ' choologies, which offer one or repair (I) mas, (017) Bennett (1977) noted a similar distintion between add as and "substitute" technologies 4 H A trobugios in side additional moment to already evisting 'chargener whereas substitut technologies efforts etc. septage sutmoded techniques the impact of add on the buologies on the cost and utilization of all convices can be substantial as acute modical

utilization may be increased, and the prolongation of life may lead to increased chronic care costs.

From the preceding review it is apparent that both normative influences and technological change possess significant potential to alter the patterns of utilization of all health services. It is also evident that measurement of these influences is very complex, and as yet, has largely eluded researchers.

2.2.2 Individual Determinants

4

An interest in the characteristics of individuals which may affect patterns of health service utilization has been reflected the large number of studies concerning by individual determinants. In addition, comprehensive / literature reviews (Anderson, 1973; McKinlay, 1972) and an extensive bibliography (Aday & Eichhorn, 1972) have been written. Since individual determinants of surgical utilization vary according to the type of sungerv and since the majority of research dealt with considered, health service utilization rather than surgical utilization, discussion individual determinants of surgical of the utilization has been limited to a review of the approaches used and some pertinent methodological considerations.

In the majority of studies concerning individual determinants, researchers employed a singular focus, and variable selection has been a function of the perspective or research strategy used. Economic, socio-demographic,

social/psychological, geographic, and social systems approaches have been used in addition to disease Nincidence study approaches. Some researcher constructed models of utilization in an attempt to provide logical organization to ---a number of selected determinants. Bice and White (1971, p. 261) cited Feldstein's (1966) demand model of medical care utilization as the most comprehensive model currently available, because it "gives the concept of choice among alternative services a prominent role and explicitly recognizes the joint influences of patients' and providers' characteristics in shaping courses of treatment." Less inclusive models include Andersen's (1968) predisposing. need enabling, model. and Rosenstock's (1966) socio-psychological health behavior model.

Conflicting conclusions with regard to the relationship. between individual determinants and actual utilization patterns characterize these studies, and have limited this discussion individual determinants of. of surgical utilization. Aday and Eichhorn (1972) indicated that the normal cleansing process that occurs in evolving literature bases had not happened because of the volume of confounding evidence. The prevalence of contradictory conclusions has been attributed to varying conceptualizations of relevant issues, and to significant, methodological differences that make comparisons among studies difficult (Mechanic, 1979). McKinlay (1972) agreed with this view, and suggested that comparisons between different medical care systems had also

and a standard of the standard

proven problematic.

. .

Inappropriate résearch designs often compromised the usefulness of study results: four basic problems have been outlined. First, researchers formulating their research plans frequently failed to remain cognizant that different determinants are associated with: 1) different types of service provision (hospital, dentist, etc.). 2) the purpose of the service (primary, secondary, tertiary, or custodial care), and 3) the unit of analysis (total volume of scivicos received, initial contact with the physician. otr 1 (Andersen & Newman, 1973) Second, although researchers often recognized the limited applicability of studies that did not include interaction efforts, very few multi ariate studies have been done (Rico & White 1971: McKinlav 1972) Third, researchers often designed their projects on that persons who displayed characteristics similar to the study group, but who did not demonstrate the same utilization behavior, were excluded from the investigation (McKinlay, Finally, Posenstock (1966) stressed that if one is 1972) interested in changing utilization patterns, it is necessary to realize that studies that investigate how people uno services do not necessarily indicate why people use them

معادي معادي م

Despite the volume of literature, and the duration of time that this topic has been studied, the aforementioned problems have meant that research results are primarily descriptive in nature rather than explanatory. It is important to realize that many of the individual No.

determinants (age, sex, race, etc.) are not mutable, and therefore, continued investigation of the causal association between individual determinants and utilization, while assisting forecasters, will be of little value to those trying to influence utilization patterns.

Utilization studies have concentrated on the characteristics of individuals accessing the health service system rather than incorporation the boolth service system's characteristics as possible determinants. Ultimately, researchers will likely discover that models that account for the complex interactions betwee prospective patients and the thealth care distor will provide a more accurate bolt of the class of store will be bolt of the theory of the care distor will be bolt of the care distor.

2 - 3 Health Service System Determinante

Ju this section, a brief futriduction is some of the health service system determinants is recovided. It is beyond the surprise of this thesis to cutline all of the variables and their interactions: therefore, only two asprises is sec-

Resource Determinants

The total volume of resources (labor and carital) and the pattern of resource distribution have been contributed as important determinants of use. The positive relationship between volume of resources and observed use is well known. As early as 1959 Shain and Roemer stated, "hospital beds

ر

that are built tend to be used (p. 71). Subgical applications of this phenomenon, which reflect the positive relationship between the surgical resources in an area and the surgical utilization, rate, have been documented in several studies (Bunker, 1970: Fuchs, 1978: Harris, 1975: Lewis, 1969; Stockwell & Vayda, 1979). However, Roos, Roos and Henteleff (1977) and Putkow and Zuidema (1981) using sorbisticated methodologies, were unable to document a convolution between surgical resource availability and use

Specialize regarding the resource sensitive nature of number of automation led some diservers to suggest that submons were able to generate demand for their own services and as sich raised questions successing quality of rare H even, as Andérsen and Nexman (1972) stressed, proponents of the idemand generation there necessarily assume that recourse a vilability is the sole contributory i fluence to increasing subgical utilization and that other system valiables are constant over time a rather simplicity assumption. Alternationly, the association between resource availability and use may reflect the recellent of patients in need (users i limits and at simplicity of patients in need (users i limits and at starway (Rickhelme, 1974).

Despite the dominant rule of the physician at the primary allocator of medical resources (both his own, and others through referral practices) little research has been done investigating the rationale behind the physician's decisions regarding service provision (Gertman, 1974). This

32 . 3

type of research would seem to be especially important given Evans' (1975) assertion that 80% of all health care expenditures are attributable to physician behavior.

Physician/population ratios, and bed (or surgical suite)/population ratios do not communicate the important aspect of geographic distribution of resources. Although geographic proximity is frequently cited as an important determinant of use, the nature of this relationship is not clear. Studnicki (1975) found that although obstatrical patients tended to minimize travel distance for hospital admission. distance was not necessarily the most important determinant influencing the patient's choice of Care Mc(inlay's) = (1.970)(anility) research in Scotland demonstrated that certain people consistently underutilized service, despite their close location to a care facility. In many rural areas, a lack of surgical resources may prevent neonle from seeking care in the facility closest to their residence the patient a perception of the necessity of obtaining ungical are, combined with the influence of the physician supering practices, may determine the facility und requidless of its location

One degraphic issue particul to surgery which has arisen due to cost and quality of cars issues, concerns the regionalization of surgical services. Luft, Bunker, and Entheren (1979) investigated montality rates for twelve surgical procedures to determine the relationship between surgical volume and surgical montality. These researchers

proposed regionalization for certain operations since their results indicated that "the quality of care improves with the experience of those providing it" (Luft, Bunker & Enthoven, p. 1364). In a later article, Luft (1980, p. 940) warned, that although the "strong negative curvilinear relationship between the volume of "a particular operation, and post-operative mortality" was confirmed, identification of causal factors was very difficult. Luft was unable to determine whether of not higher volumes led to a better outcome, or better outcomes led to a higher volume Alteration of established travel patterns would be inherent to any plan to regionalize surgical resources, and therefore, further in estigation would be necessary prior to the implementation of a plan to regionalize surgical resources

- 34. .

Organizational Determinants

¢

Organizational determinants are these influences on utilization behavior that arise as a consequence of the manner in which resources are used to provide a service. The organizational determinants considered pertinent to this study include organizational characteristics which influence the patient's ability to access the health care system, and the organizational mechanisms that determine the patient's care experience following entry to the system (Andersen & Newman, 1973). As mentioned previously interaction effects among determinant variables, though infrequently studied, are very important. Accessibility to the system is in part a consequence of the particular structural orientation of the system, which is essentially dependent upon resource type and availability. An indepth exploration of access and structural variables and their interactions was beyond the scope of this review; therefore, only two organizational determinants, access barriers and structural and bureaucratic factors, are discussed.

Access Barriers Berkanovic, Telesty, and Reeder (1981. p. 694) studying utilization of medical care in the U.S. indicated that a regular source of care and the ability to pay for medical service were consistent predictors of the use of physician services." In Canada, the introduction of the hospital and medical insurance plans (see the Mospital Insurance and Piagnostic Services Act, 1957, and the Medical Care Act 1966) were intended to largely eliminate financial barriers which would inhibit access to health once services As such, one of the stipulations incorporated into these Acts. involved restrictions on the direct charges to patients levied by those responsible for the administration of provincial insurance plans Current contains regarding the effects of extra on balance billing (where the patient is charged a fee in addition to the amount paid to the physician by the insurance plant has focussed on the detrimental influence such practices may have on access to health care solvices. While removal of financial barriers is an important access variable. Marmon and Tenner (1077) emphasized that equal accessibility cannot be equated with equal use due to the multidimensional nature of access

36.

Queue lengths and differential acceptance of patients according to disease or disability are often variables thought to influence access to service (Audersen & Newman, 1973). The importance of the above factors in relation to surgical utilization is poorly understood as the majorify of studies have not researched surgical utilization specifically.

المحاوية المالين مالية المحاد المحاولات بالمحادين الارام الارار

الي المراجع المستحة الدين من المراجع الم

Structural and Bureaucratic Factors Observed differences in utilization rates among different countries prompted investigation of the structures used to deliver health services. Researcher: that periotive (utilization to te a function of a multicular structure have focus ed on the alteration of the existing structure as one method of influencing utilization patterns. As such, it has been suggested that the method of payment and the accordated structural mechanisms to ve a substantial influence on the number of operations derformed (LoGerfo 1977; LoGerfo. Effind & Diobre 1970. Periolity 1966), Closs pational comparative research implies that similar observations are made in different constrict However, the presence of numerous interensing variables usique to different cultural système has male luis comparisons between countries difficult and has also made it liffi with to predict the possible implications of changing the leatthe of the delivery structure in a particular country

In Canada and the U.S. the majority of physicians are paid under a fee for service system, where the physician is

- paid according to the amount of work performed, with the level of remuneration in accordance with an established fee schedule. Salary and capitation are the two common alternative payment methods. Under salary arrangements, the physician is paid for a specified time period, regardless of the amount of service given to patients, while capitation based on the number of persons emplied, not payments are the amount of service delivered These different remuneration mechanisms provide actions in entires for the the of services Gabel and Bedisch (1979 p. 47) unted the

> Although it may be easier to support empirically the hypothesis that fee for service leads to higher utilization levels than salary or capitation, it is more difficult to determine if there are too many operations. X rays and bloratory tests under fee for service, or the few under capitation or salary.

With regard to Eureaucratic factors, both McKinlay (1972) and Audérson (1973) is leved studios that attempted to isolate structural and bureaucratic factors that influenced: 1) the professional's behavior toward flients, 2) the interaction between replaces nate and clients and cl the structural characteristics that inhibited use by certain socioecon milling roups. It is not worthy that such research is concerned with organizational attributes that immede flose is need of service, rether that organizational attributes that immede flose is need of service, rether that organizational attributes that immede flose is need of service, rether that organizational attributes that immede flose is need of service, rether that organizational attributes for the floor

2.2.4 Summary

.

The societal, individual. and health service system determinants thought to influence surgical utilization were reviewed in order to demonstrate the large number of possible determinants, and the complexity of their interactions. Two significant problems have compromised attainment of a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between various determinents and the patterns of surgical utilization. First, the focus of many researchers on individual determinants has resulted in a lack of awareness of both alternative variables and interactions of ariables Second, braining reliable data, and determining valid measurements of the effects of either singular variables or interactions of variables has been finght with righteens rising from inadequate reserved methodologies to summary, only fragmentary sense te mot models exist by assist in the cost stars and determinants of surgitual officients, a

1 3 "elected Ascente 11 5 rdioal Utilization

unter intervention and therefore, cost and quality of care

[•]

concerns are paramount. Guided by these concerns, researchers tried to determine the surgical needs of the population and compare them to the surgical resources actually used

In this section. Literature pertaining to: 1) the concert of upperssary surgery, 2) surginal to the model of the surginal indices is previoued.

Did Concept of Unnecessary Surgery

¢

Unnecessary surgery, though locking a provise definition has been frequently advanced as a reason for surgical utilization rate printion. The purpose of this section is to demonstrate the ownlexity of the concept of unnecessary surgery through a discussion concept of definition and measurement.

Definitions of Unnecessary Surger, The inevolutions of medical machine was achowledged by Misteller (1978) p (186) the indicated that avoids control Unnecessary surgery out require context diagnosis and perfect throwledge of the scare of the disease and the affirst of surgery. To an earlier of the disease and the affirst of surgery into the surger, as the itable of the come unnecessary surger, as the itable of on rging to building that inability to tech observations the ungern such tance t abundon conventional approaches. The subjectivity inherent has decisions converning clinical decisions and treatment has meant that the normative concept of unnecessary surgery

remains without a generally accepted definition. Three tentative definitions have been discussed in order to illustrate prevalent perspectives, and to demonstrate the difficulty in stating an inclusive definition

•

The authors of the Study of Surgical Services for the United States (SOSSUS) indicated that there are variations in degree of necessity, whether medical, personal, or social and outlined six types of operations that could be tarmer interessary depending upon their just i hert clina terence :

- a Operations where is pathological tissue is removed;
- b Operations whose indication is a matter of judgement;
- Operations to allegiste ordurable of tolerable symptoms;
- Discretionary operations for asymptomatic non-pathologic, or non-threatening disorders;
- Operations now outdatel of otero, or discredited; and
- 1 Operations for which there is little justification by clinical, x-ray, or laboratory study (SOSCUS, 1977 p. 89, 90)

The latter two categories would likely produce minimal control ereval have on the first four categories are not as likely to produce the original to the orig

Annas (1979) and (rile (1978) called for total abandoment of the term innecessary due to its ambiguous nature Annas (p. 14) inferred that the term was a resudenym which masked the real issues of quality control cost control, and ratient, access to information." Crile's rationale for rejection contered on the inability of the term to reflect the constructs reasons for which operations are performed (and for survival: comfort, safety, high control) frile ruggeried that the terms "appropriate" on " inspringiale be used and delineated three nategories

- it's inte surgery:
 - a Operations in which the surgery was not an appropriate treatment for the disease;
- The instances where an operation, or the type of operation chosen, was not appropriate for the individual; and Operations which are performed to a surgeon not trained to perform the operation expecting (p. 136-128)

the company, the company of little to delineate e plicit critaria that wild be minimally influenced by subject: a oninion "auly "1979" and Stuart and Stockton (10:2) indicated. that approviateness embodied both socioeconomic and metical ta tere fauly defined unnecessary a function of costs and homefits, stating "a NIMARY defined as in we possive if Exported henefits 111 1 in o i of avera ted costa: (n. 97). This Inll c'm' nuclinet definition operate a worker of problems. The valuation of all osta and benefits, a recipity those appointed with consect life goined or lost has proven very "difficult Dunker Barner & Mestaller, 19 11 Additionally Mestaller (1978) stressed that conflicting objectives concerning the minimization of deaths, convalescent days, and normal tissue removal, presented a dilemma, as no one decision that could satisfy all of these demands was available for use in a cost/benefit analysis.

Central to the issue of defining unnecessary surgery is the need for "more information as to the effectiveness of surgical therapies," since ineffectual therapies are obviously unnecessary (Stroman, 1979, p. 11). However, as Bunker (1970, p. 142) indicated, "the indications for surgery are sufficiently imprecise to allow a 100% variation in rates of operation," thereby making it difficult to compare the effectiveness of surgical treatment to other forms of treatment. Szasz and Hollender (1956, p. 592) stressed that the treatment techniques employed by different specialists (e.g., internists, surgeons, psychologists) could logically be compared only if one was reasonably sure that the "interventions are based on the same frame of reference," that is, a common conception of the underlying disease process and expected outcome of treatment.

Evaluation of the efficacy of different surgical procedures has also been difficult due to the lack of consensus with regard to the indications for surgery, and the absence of routine randomized linical trials (LoGerTo. 1977; Mosteller, 1978). Ethical reasons have frequently prevented the initiation of clinical trials subsequent to the implementation of a surgical technique. For example, the morbidity and mortality associated, with not removina an acute appendix prevent random assignment of such a case to a non-operative group during clinical а trial of appendectomies (Ryan, 1979).

From the previous discussion it is apparent that, due to an absence of accepted standards concerning the indications for surgery, a definitive meaning has yet to be affixed to the concept of unnecessary surgery. Consequently, the validity of using this term as an explanation for surgical utilization rate variation has been compromised. Despite the absence of a precise definition, researchers have tried to assess the level of unnecessary surgery. A selection of the methods used to measure this concept are discussed below.

<u>Measurement of Unnecessary Surgery lissue committees.</u> peer review, and retrospective chart review programs are three methods commonly used to assess surgical utilization. The validity of the latter two, which usually rely on information contained in the medical record. is questionable, since there is little evidence to indicate that the medical chart is a valid reflection of the circumstances of a particular case. Similarly, criteria used to evaluate medical records should be validated prior to their use Rutkow, Gittelsohn, Zuidema and (1979)demonstrated a marked divergence of opinion among surgeons who were asked to evaluate seven case studies and judge whether or not surgery was warranted. deRouville (1971) documented substantial intra and inter-reviewer variation among twenty surgeons asked to review a selection of medical charts, and concluded that the surgeons lacked the reviewer-expertise necessary to complete an accurate chart review. In view of these findings, it, appears that measurement of the level of unnecessary surgery using medical chart review processes is inadvisable.

Utilizing a different perspective, some researchers assumed that surgical second opinion programs could be used to indicate the degree of unnecessary surgery. The rationale supporting this perspective originated with a study by McCarthy and Widmer (1974) who indicated that, if patients were asked to obtain a second opinion after having initially been advised to have surgery, and the initial opinion was not confirmed, then patients would not undergo surgery and unnecessary elective surgery would be avoided. Thus, after the institution of a surgical second opinion program for a group of union members in the U.S., the number of unnecessary surgeries theoretically prevented was calculated the number of people not confirmed for to be surgerv (approximately 24% of all patients initially seen). Rutkow and Zuidema (1978) and Emerson and Creedon (1977) as well as many others, took strong exception to the illogical premise that a difference of opinion among experts supported a conclusion of unnecessary surgery. Additionally, Brook and Iohr (1982) indicated that while proponents of surgical second opinion programs extolled the cost-saving benefits that accrued due to the reduced number of surgical cases. little was known about those who did not undergo surgery. Since people not confirmed for surgery were not assessed

44

n

further, it was impossible to tell if the program reduced both necessary and unnecessary surgery.

The limitations of the McCarthy and Widmer (1974) study were apparently missed by the Subcommittee of Oversight and Investigation (1976) in the U.S. who compounded the original errors of the study by generalizing the results pertaining to the level of unnecessary surgery to the entire U.S. population. The members of this committee stated that 11,900 needless deaths had occurred during the performance of 2.4 million unnecessary surgeries. These statements and the associated press releases instilled gloater public awareness converning the issue of unnecessary surgery and fostered the impression that the concept was easily quantifielde (Impress & Creedon, 1977; Rufflow & Zuidema, 1981)

The unnocessary surdery debate was also stimulated by researchers who stated that steadily increasing per cepita surgical rates were indicative of the performance ní unnecessory subdical procedures McCarthy and Finkel (1980) reported a 34% increase in the number of surgeries performed in the U.S. between 1971 and 1977, and insimulted that the increase was due to excessive surgical resources being utilized. Similar allegations concerning the influence of manpower a ailability had been made providuals 150. Mickelson, Colter, & Estars 1976a, 1976b, and ensuis. 1975) Rutkew and Zuidema (1981) challenged the conclusions of the McCarthy and Finkel study, and demonstrated that when the data from 1966/to 1978 were age and sex standardized, a

26% increase in surgical rates was evident, and more importantly, the majority of the increase (24%) had occurred between 1966 and 1974. It is necessary to age and sex standardize data in order to remove the effect of age or sex as an explanatory factor, for the differences observed over time or between regions, and McCarthy and Finkel had not adjusted their data.

Rutkow and Zuidema (1981) showed that in four of the seven major surgical categories studied (general surgery, unology, generology, and otorhinolaryngology), a decrease in surgical utilization had occurred. Thus they concluded that: 1) a sustained increase in surgical utilization rates did not characterize the surgical environce of the U.S. population, 2) a five year plateau in the increase in rates had been observed from 1974 to 1978, and 3) a correlation between the number of operations performed and the availability of surgical manpower had not been demonstrated thus, "the belief that surgical utilization rates will ine itally rise at the total number of surgeons increase wern not supported in the study ("otherw & Zuidema p. 1910)

Utilizion an investigative perspective rather than presupporing the existence of unnecessary surgery. R is, Poos and Menteleff (1977) used Manitoba utilization data it examine the relationship between elective surgical utilization rates and the degree to which specified criteria for selected surgical operations had been met. It was expected that higher surgical rates might be associated

1

with surgeons not meeting the specified criteria. However, these researchers did not find significant correlations, and Roos et al. stated "this research underlines the complexity of physician practice patterns and challenges the simplistic assumption that high elective surgical rates indicate lowered standards of practice" (p 361) An additional finding by this group regarding the degree to which most physicians infrequent 🐲 met the established criteria for renforming the selected operations denorated concern. LoGerfo (1977, D 388) stated there is no question that factors other than simple clinical status go into such a decision. But the Poos et al. study indicates that "other factors may be more important that the clinical state of the rations." This pointering finding obviously requires for the in ontigation

During the last two decades, marked variation in the surgical nature among similar geographic areas has also been used by time resear bers as evidence of unnecessary sungery. However, Mosteller (1978) suggested that the observed "variation may simply reflect different effective practice patterns Substantial Lariation has been observed among countries (Penker 1970: Fearson, Smedby & Berfenstam, 1968; Vavda, 1973) and among smaller areas such as provinces, states or countries (Lewis, 1969; Stockwell & Vayda, 1979; Vavda, Lyons, & Anderson, 1977; Vavda, Morison & Anderson, 1976; Wennberg & Gittelsohn, 1973, 1982) Evaluation and comparison of these studies is difficult, as frequently the

47

į

data have not been age/sex adjusted. Additionally. researchers investigating the phenomenon of surgical utilization rate variation have had difficulty establishing comparable rates of utilization, as the rates have been based upon the location of surgical service provision. rather than on a pre-defined population base. The inherent limitation of such studies is that the number of practicing physicians and the availability of surgical suites will likely influence the surgical service utilization emanating hospital and make comparisons suspect. from a particular Additionally, the denominators used in many rate estimations have not corresponded to the population to which the patients utilizing service belong. The problem of estimating appropriate denominators has been primarily due to patient movement across geographic boundaries and the problem of establishing service areas for study hospitals

Despite the obvious confusion surrounding the measurement of a concept that, has yet to be defined, assessment of the degree to which unnecessary surgery exists remains an important quality of care concern. The preceding discussion indicates that "it is important that one does not make subjective value judgements concerning operative rates without careful study of the methods used to obtain the data and the variables under commination" (Butkow, Gittelsohn & Zuidema, 1979, p. 416).

2.3.2 Surgical Rates in Canada

ņ

In Canada the rate of surgical separations per 100,000 peaked in 1973 and then declined from 1974 to persons 1978 however. the percentage of total separations involving surgical procedures has risen from 50% in 1971 to 54% in 1978 (Statistics Canada, 1982, p. 15). Examination of five age categories (0-14, 15-24, 25-44, 45-64, and 65) demonstrated that the 65+ age group had the highest rate of surgical separations per 100,000 persons for the years 1971 to 1978 inclusive, while the 0-14 age group had the lowest rate (Statistics Canada, 1982). Debrodzicki (1978) listed ten must frequently performed surgical procedures and the stated that in every instance the average length of star (ALOS) had decreased significantly between 1960 and 1974. This same researcher indicated that Canadian . surgical separations had increased 21% during the years 1969 to 1974.

important conceptual issue which An arises when calculating surgical rates concerns the determination of the "perulation at risk for organ loss" (Ruthow & Zuidema, 1981. p. 159) Persons who have had an orden removed are obviously ineligible to have the organ removed again: therefore, they should not be counted as a member of the population at risk narticular operation in subsequent for a VOALS Determination of the proportion of persons who have lost certain organs previously cannot be easily estimated: however, the number of persons undergoing a particular operation when compared to the total population is quite

 ~ 2

1

ļ

small, and is not likely to unduly influence calculated rates. Additionally, when rates are being compared over time or among regions, the effect of not calculating the true population at risk is more or lear cancelled out over time and across regions.

50

With regard to surgical rate variation, international and regional comparisons have firquently been made. For example, using age sex standardized data for twenty eight surgical procedures. Vayda (1973, p. 1224) compared Canada's survical rates to that of England and Wales and found that the former had surgical rates 1.8 times meater for men and 1.6 times greater for women than the latter. The thenty eight procodures have a wroad into five contegrates. elective and discrictionary surgery carries surgery diagnestic procedures, onthopelic signry, and other subgery Analyses domonstrated that I) els till sung'sal rates in Canada were about two times as high as those of England and Wales 2) the rate of performance of diagnostic proceedings is cimilar between countries and 3' "ates of arthopodi - second attack surgers - a second digita ··· Canada

Vayda and Anderson (***5) used standardized sourceal data for 1968 and compared inter provincial sates for selected condications dures these per archers found subclantial artistics among provinces: for discretionary procedures the highest provincial rate was approximately twice the lowest (Vayda &

Í.

Anderson, p. 22). Additionally, the relationship between surgical resources and ourgical notes was explored, and Vayda and inderson $(n - 1^{p_1})$ concluded that the ratio of surgical personnel to population in such or vince is postulated as a major determinant of the differing provincial rates

In the following year Wayda, Merison and Anderson (1976) published a report which detailed inter provincial comparison: of eight elective and secon won-elective procedures for the years 1960 to 1972 This report also documented significant inter provincial aristication in surgical rates. This provincial surgical rate variation has also been studied in Canada (HUC, 1981; Pous, Pous & Henteleff, 1977; Stablooll & Vayda, 1979; vor a, Lyons & Anderson, 1977) Discussion of the rate visit provincial with selected ariginal results and the rate variation has rection 2.4

Convertigons of $\tilde{c}^{(1)}$ is the other interval around the other interval around the other interval around the second and $\tilde{c}^{(1)}$ around the second around the second

1

2 3 3 Surgiral Utilization Indices

The term index has been used to refer to ratios which are deried by measuring two associated factors, and then dividing our number into the other ' obtain a decimal (Kilpatrick 1977)' Indices have been used to convey information about a boshital's performance, and to bighlight an institution's deviation from established norme. However, the use of indices as measures of performance is not without controversy. Researchers questioned the validity of indices intonded to measure hospital performance, and speculated on the use of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use here of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use here of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use here of indices as measures of performance, and speculated on the use here of indices as the base of the state of the special devices of the special devi

In Allerta the three body on the limit of the effects the direction of the effects the there there is a spectrum the thermital D is jon of the topartment of body the the three effects to provide a tool the three to the body is capable. In the topart of the three of efficiency are the topart of the topart of

The number to in designed to believe the environment actions occurring in the hospital; the owner downer downer month is used as the last downer the inde - Bornatzki (1972) criticized the utility of this index from the perspectives. First, fluctuations in the communical

value_of the index were assumed to be related to the utilization of manpower and suprises. This assumption is correct if one refers goverally to increases or decreases in and surriv utilizations it becomes fallacious. manpower however when the index is used to reflect proportional change. Second, the index does not infloct the intensity of bervice offeret, and therefore, resta incurred by different hospitale may not be ormanable. It is not enable to as 1110 that the to buologion supplies concerns f an ope deart creation and the resource mired fo the or ipton of a build concert' to the sector ~ shitted an or or terd of the

I' one of toda number thanky for one that the medical and an rial ropp ins call on potions day. 110 of since i connect. Dimit light of this fides of a no the different price raid for popular y heapit to such the conting any minted will la ger institutions by your colle montalies. (dd: 1991) use of the inder just it's accurs that the state of a truth in the spinor. 1.1 1 1 1 1 the bot that example of the succes it iv 211 to active the second compared to an attack the second to a second the start of the second start start as the second start of the sec more added by a second of any second way to second way Section 1 in Const. the second s 1 Indianal . 1. ...

The title of the Alberta Indices to grow or indices

)

رد ^با د and efficiency levels, will be dependent upon their ability to canture the essence of a diverse and complex health care system. Additional hospital indicators such as ALOS, the percentage of total separations in olling surgery, and surgical separations in 100,000 mersors, are componly used to compare proof legeritals, and among directly used to compare proof legeritals, and among directly used in compare proof legeritals, and among directly used

5 1 4 STUDDANA

۲

In this section three is enclosed topics and discussed Initially the copy of united to surgery was introduced was at a last or issued to initian prelimination indicated the option of the resource approved to indicate the and to restance of approved to indicate the approved to the variat of not simply it costinates and for the dependent of table the option of the table to the table to the second to the approved the table to the table to table the option of the table to the table to the second to the table table to the table table table to the table t

 $^{(1 + 1)^{-1} = (1 + 1)^{-1} + (1 +}$

2 1 Selected Sungioal Operations

Although a diverse set of surgical procedures are performed routinely in Conadian hospitals, only a minority are regularly reported in the literature lonically these procedures are among the e-most frequently performed. Four of the six atomnics chosen for study were among the ten most frequently performed in Canada during 1974 (tensillectors, and , adentident my chole vetectomy, basis ectomy, and appendictors. All si surgical categories are regioned buby is a literature of tents.

M ! Appindentions

Appendit in other in the solution of the appendit. In some instance the archite in other is a local the appendit the instance of the archite is the instance of the archite is a solution of the solution of t

and in the patients thought the base suits app this is in the base built of the first that the character philosophies presided to the contract these when boyed to work remeated means around the by waiting and carefull mentoring the rational around the by waiting and carefull mentoring the rational around the work there be were un illing the rational and the second of the contract that is associated with high mentioning and mentality, and therefore referred to operate impediately, thereby risking

•

removal of some normal appendices. However, using decision theory, Neutra (1978) suggested that improved patient assessment techniques permitted the surgeon to more accurately discriminate between those in need of an appendectomy and those with different needs. Therefore, it was possible to "reduce the rate of histologically normal appendices among operated patients without increasing the rate of perforation" (Neutra, p. 956).

In 1974, appendectomy was the seventh most frequently performed surgical procedure in Canada: however, from 1969 to 1974, the appendectomy rate declined by approximately 18% (Dobrodzicki, 1978). The most recent Statistics Canada (1982) figures for 1978 indicated that the decline has continued, Vayda and Anderson (1975) compared provincial surgical rates for 1968 and found that Alberta residents had the highest appendectomy rate for both males and females. 310 and 285 perm100,000 persons respectively. By 1978 these rates had declined to 196 and 179 per 100,000 persons for males and females respectively, lowering Alberta's ranking among the provinces to third for males and second for females.

With regard to variable surgical rates, Vayda (1973, p. 1228) indicated that appendectomy rates in Canada, England, and Wales were approximately the same, which suggested that "this disease has similar incidence, diagnostic criteria and therapeutic criteria." In a more recent study, the AHUC (1981) reported variation in appendectomy rates among

56

thirteen regions in Alberta which ranged from a minimum of 159 per 100,000 persons, to 324 per 100,000 persons. Thus, declining rates and variable rates of appendectomy among different regions characterize appendectomy surgery in Canada.

2.4.2 Caesarean Section

J.

The term Caesarean section (C-S) refers to a non-discretionary surgical procedure, performed only on. women, that involves the surgical removal of the fetus from the uterus in cases where the normal birthing process is not advisable or possible. In Canada, a 152% increase in the C-S rate was observed between 1968 and 1977 despite the decrease in the total number of hospital births (Wadhera & Nair, 1982). This increase was disproportionate: C-S rates in women "under the age of 20 years have more than tripled, while for women 20 years and older they have doubled" (Wadhera & Nair, 1982, p. 50), Réasons for the increasing G-S rate include: (1) technological innovation that facilitated therapeutic intervention (Bottoms, Rosen & Sokol, 1980), 2) changing indications for C-S (Baskett, 1978), 3) repeat Caesarean sections (Baskett, 1978), and 4) increased concern for newborns due to the "decline in overall birth rates" and "the tendency towards rising maternal age" (Wadhera & Nair, 1982, p. 47).

Vayda (1973) reported that in 1968 the C-S rate in Canada was 1.2 times that of England and Wales. In 1968,

significant variation in C-S rates throughout the Canadian provinces existed (Vayda & Anderson, 1975). At this time Alberta had the sixth highest C-S rate when compared to the other provinces. In a recent study, the AHUC (1981) found substantial vamiation in C-S rates among thirteen areas in Thus, Alberta. it is apparent that, despite the non-discretionary nature of this procedure, rate variation among regions has been observed and significant rate increases have occurred.

2.4.3 Prostatectomy

Prostatectomy refers to the surgical removal of the prostate glass, a structure found only in males. This procedure is usually classified as discretionary. Some Canadian researchers have reported prostatectomy rates as one component of their studies, althoughlup reports were found of specific investigations of prostatectomy rates.

Vayda and Anderson (1975) indicated that in 1968, British Columbia had the ighest prostatectomy rate (252 per 100,000 persons), almost twice the lowest provincial rate. In an earlier study. Vayda (1973) reported that the prostatectomy rate in Canada was approximately twice that found in England or Wales Vayda, Lyons, and Anderson (1977) studied. rates surgical in Ontario and found that prostatectomy rates had increased 20% from 1968 to 1973. In Alberta, the Hospital Utilization Committee (1981) suggested that prostatectomy rates varied throughout the province by
as much as 192%.

In a recent Alberta study, Bako, Smith, and Hanson (1982) documented evidence linking high prostate cancer incidence to high environmental cadmium concentrations. Although prostate cancer is not the only indication for prostatectomy, the Bako et al. study offers some preliminary epidemiological evidence for variable prostatectomy rates. These researchers noted two extremes of prostate caucer incidence: 1) Camrose with a significantly lower rate (10.6 100,000 persons), and 2) Medicine Hat with a per. significantly higher rate (53.2 per 100,000 persons) The Bake et al study offers some preliminary explanation for the results of the AHUC (1981) study in which the province of Alberta was divided into thirteen study reas; the Medicine Hat area was shown to have the highest rate of prostatectomy surgery in 1978 and the sight highest rate in 1776.

2 1 1 Cholecystectomy

Cholesystectory, surgical removal of the gall bladder, is typically classified as a discretionary procedure "as it may be indicated for 'preventive' as well as therapeutic reasons (Horss & Beck, 1978, p. 1907). Stockwell and Vayda (1979) have categorized this procedure as usually discretionary. In Canada, cholecystectomy rates for women are typically about three times greater than the rates for men (Dobrodzicki, 1978; Statistics Canada, 1982).

14 C

From 1968 to 1972, the cholecystectomy rate in Canada increased by approximately 23% (Vavda, Morison & Anderson, 1976). Dobrodzicki (1978) noted that in 1974 cholecystectomy was the second most frequently performed procedure in Canada and that from 1969 to 1974 the cholecystectomy rate had increased 9% and 21% for men and women respectively. This procedure also accounted "for more in-patient days than any other surgical procedure performed in Canadian hospitals" (Horne: & Beck, 1978, p. 1007). With regard to the cholecystectomy rate in Alberta, the AHUC (1981) reported a decrease in the rate from 1976 to 1978.

Substantial variation in cholecystectomy rates has been reported in Canada (AHUC, 1981; Cageorge, Roos & Danzinger, 1981; Statistics Canada, 1982; Stockwell & Vayda, 1979) and in the United States (Gittelsohn & Wennberg, 1977; Lewis, 1969), Cageorge et al. (1981, p. 510) noted that variation in cholecystectomy rates had been attributed to "varying rates of clinical and radiologic investigation and use of different indications for surgical treatment." Anomalous cholecystectomy rates in Saskatchewan prompted investigation of the influence of both medical and extra-medical factors (e.g., the patient's social status, resource availability) on the care process experienced by women who had undergone a cholecystectomy in 1971 (Horize & Beck, 1978). Extra medical factors were found to be weakly correlated with surgical rates.

Vayda (1973) reported that in 1968 the cholecystectomy rate was five times higher in Canada than in England or Wales. AHIJC (1981) The documented substantial cholecystectomy rate variation among thirteen regions in Alberta, Plant, Percy and Bates (1973, p. 249) examined cholecystectomy rates in three similar cities in Canada, France, and England, for the years 1961 and 1971, and found that "the incidence of gallbladder disease is six times higher in North America than in Western Europe." However. generalization of the study results from three cities to two vast land areas cannot be considered appropriate without much more extensive study.

. 61

1

In summary, it is evident that 1) cholecystectomy nates in Canada have increased over the time period from 1969 to 1974, and 2) significant rate ariation among similar regions has been documented

2 4 5 Hysterectomy

Hysterectomy refers to the surgical removal of the uterus; and as such, this operation is restricted to females who are typically fifteen years of age or older. The broad spectrum of current indications for hysterectomy prompted Stockwell and Vayda (1979) to classify it as usually discretionary. Burchell (1977) speculated that a transition from anatomic to functional indications had characterized the criteria used in decisions to perform hysterectomies. However, hysterectomy for symptom relief in the absence of

an established diagnosis, or solely for the purpose of sterilization remain controversial procedures (Dyck, Murphy, & Murphy, 1976).

The Hysterectomy Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Saskatchewan was formed to examine the hysterectomy rate in Saskatchewan from 1970 to 1975. This committee was formed when it was noted that during the period from 1964 to 1971, the number of hysterectomy procedures performed in the province had increased 72.1%, while the number of women 15 years of age or older had increased only 7.6% (Dyck, Murphy, & Murphy, 1977). Vayda, Morison and Anderson (1976) studied Canadian and provincial nates for selected surgical procedures for the years 1968 to 1972. and documented a 41% increase in the Canadian hysterectomy rate. These researchers also found that the hysterectomy rate had risen in every province during this time period: Alberta's increase from 1968 to 1972 was 49%. second only to Newfoundland's increase of 85% (Vavda, Morison, & Anderson). A decrease in the Alberta hysterectomy rate over the time period from 1976 to 1978 was found by the (1981). Dobrodzicki (1978) noted that AHUC abdominal hysterectomy was the sixth most frequently occurring operation in Canada in 1974, and also found that this procedure demonstrated a 3% increase during the years 1969 to 1974. Walker and Jick (1979) reported that the U.S. had also experienced a substantial increase in hysterectomy rates during the period from 1970 to 1975.

International comparisons between Canada and England and Wales revealed a Canadian hysterectomy rate 2.2 times higher than either England or Wales (Vayda, 1973). In a smaller study that also examined rate variation, Stockwell (1979) noted a five fold variation in the and Vavda hysterectomy rates among regions in Ontario. The results of an Alberta study which investigated surgical utilization rates in thirteen different regions in Alberta indicated highest hysterectomy rate was 83% higher than the that the lowest hysterectomy rate (Alberta Hospital Utilization Committee, 1981).

The previously mentioned studies indicate that there has been a sustained increase in the hysterectomy rate in Canada generally, as well as in Alberta Until 1976 Substantial rate variation within areas of Canada and within the province of Albertacalso appear to axist

2 4 6 Ionsillectomy and Adenoidectomy

Ionsillectomy adenoidectomy (1&A) and refer to discretionary procedures that involve surgical removal of the tonsils and adenoids respectively. In Canada, removal of these structures was the most frequently performed operation in 1974 (Dobrodzicki, 1978), with 99% of all I&A's performed on persons 24 years of age or less (Statistics Canada, 1982), Vavda, Morison and Anderson (1976) concluded that between 1968 and 1972 1&^ rates had declined by approvimately 33% in every province Statistics Canada

63

(1982) data indicated that Canada had witnessed a continuous decline in T&A rates from 1973 to 1978. Freeman, Jekel, and Freeman (1982) observed analogous decreases in T&A rates for all age and sex groups in the U.S. population from 1970 to 1977. Since no alternative therapy has been developed to replace the I&A procedure, its decline remains puzzling (Moore *R* Pratt, 1981). Moore and Pratt cited increased education of both physicians and the public regarding the limitations of I&A operations as a potential mitigating factor. Pros and Gilbert (1979, p. 101) argued that the rate of decline of T&A's was not sufficient, as previous research by Roos, Roos, and Henteleff (1977) demonstrated that, of the children referred for 111, 60% had clinical histories that failed to conform to standards recommended by medical authorities

Imprecise indications and an incomplete understanding of the benefits associated with T&A procedures have fostered the controlersy which surrounds these operations. Paradise (1972, p. 648) emphasized that "despite an immense clinical literature, conclusive studges of the indications for, and results of, tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy are lacking." Similarly, Shaikh, Vavda, and Feldman (1976) releared 29 studies done during the years 1922 to 1970, in which researchers had attempted to evaluate the efficacy of T&A operations, and found that definitive evidence was lacking due to incidentally designed studies.

1

With regard to variation in surgical rates among different regions. T&A operations have been frequently studied, as their elective nature is presumed to account for greater variation than would emergency or nondiscretionary procedures. In a comparison of selected surgical rates among Canada, England, and Wales. Vayda (1973) found that access standardized T&A rates in Canada were accrossingted. Using those found in either England or Wales.

٨

In a Canadian study that examined surgical rates throughout the Canadian provinces during 1908. Vavda and Anderson (1975) found that provincial rates for 180 arried by about 1005. Alberta had the second highest 180 rate (1007 per 100,000 persons), a nate approximately two times the lowest rate (Dexfound)and, 460 per 100,000 persons! In a more recent study. Stockwell and (ayda (1979) studied surgical rates in Outario counties and observed an elabertal variation in T&A rates throughout these counties

In Aurimany, T&A rates have declined in both Canada and the U.S. and it appears that a substantial proportion of T&A surprises do not meet commonly accepted criteria for surgery (LoGerfo, Fyner & Frost, 1978; Poos & Gilbert, 1979) and surgical substantian anong geographic sub-

2 4 7 Summary

Of the six surgical categories examined, two had declining rates (tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, and appendectomy), while the other four (choles steetomy, hysterectomy, prestatectomy, and Caesarcan section) generally exhibited rate increases. Variable incidence in the rate of occurrence of all six categories of surgery among regions in both Therta and Canada characterized the utilization experience of the run in e and the country.

2 5 Patient Origin Pestimation Method-logies

Comparisons of sprgical utilitation rates over time and among redime have recurrent due to concern for the health statue of a discomposition, as surgical rate cariation, is suggestive of any perulation, as surgical rate cariation, is under utilization. The concert of rate composition, requires that the population consuming a particular out of enginal resources be known. While this concept appears intuitienty obtains, the subsequent discussion will reveal that per carita utilization rates have been deceptively difficult to calculate since Consuming the provided discussion will reveal that per predetermined areas or populations that they are expected to serve. Methodologies that in the the use of patient origin destination, data (data spectruming the patient's usual residence and the location of patient flow to care facilities, the delineation of service areas and service populations, as well as comparative studies of resource utilization (Raason, 1979; Toll, 1982). As such, patient origin destination recearch strategies appear to provide a method for meeting the objections of the studies of have therefore been to invest

2 5 1 Patient Origin Destination Flow Patience

Information concerning patient origin-destination flow patterns has been used in various ways to assist these evaluating or planning health care service delivery. Some f the cars these flow data have been used are reviewed below

which alid rely calculation in pended upon in the determination of the opulation actually knowed is a hostital father than accurate actually knowed is a hostital father than accurate fractions travelled to the basis as follity, these researchers used birth statistics is a patient origin destination study to quantitationly illustrate the pattern of decendence of some counties upon the midical facilities of other counties (Gooss & Altender for prima). They around the world for the b establisher of medical facilities of other counties (Gooss & Altender for prima). They around the world delineate the actual users of a given facility, and encages to that political boundaries could not logically convey useful information for comparative enalyses. MacStravic (1978) echoed this suggestion, and cited the failure of the Hill Porton legislation in the United States, which

attempted to provide adequate distribution of medical resources to all people, as partly due to the belief that the choice of a care for ility way determined by printical jurisdictions

Using data for a ten year period, Zuckerman (1977) examined the flow patterns of patients from their communities to the place of hospitalization, and delineated the location from which each hospitals' patient can and conversely the hospitals used by patients in each community. By combining this information, Zuckerman summarized the interdependence which characterized the relationship among the study hospitals and the surrounding community of the study hospitals and the surrounding

from a different perspective, many researchers have been concerned with opitial and temperal accessibility to bosnital service and base in opported these proepts into the examination (patient flow nattering deblik McNamara (1959) were among the first researchers to specifically examine the relationship between distance from a bealth care recurce and differential utilization. Their promise was that distance from sclerted health care resources was in ensely related to the use of such resources, and positively related to the incidence of bed illused at home. The relationship between use and distance was confirmed: however, there was minimal evidence indicating a positive relationship between bed illness and increasing distance from a bealth care facility. In a later

٠.

68

.'

•.

article. Marrinson (1964) commented that differential accessibility could be effectively portrayed with a "time circle" rather than a "space circle' as improved transportation routes had made urban hospitals more accessible to outlying areas. Shannon and Dever (1974) suggested that perceived travel time might better represent timeasure of ratient effort than objective clock time.

Patient origin destination studies have also been used to investigate the influence of factors other than distance on patient flow patterns. Sharp and McCarthy (1971) studied patient origin-destination utilization data from three Anoni an states, and concluded that the majority of patients tra elle' to the marest hospital for care Additionally. hat outs appeared to be willing to travel greater distances for specialized care, and travelling distance was found to he positionly related to the trougth of hospital stay. Pashshur, Shannon and Mitzuer (1971) used patient origin destination data to investigate the influence of socioeconomic factors and distance on accessibility of ser ice. They found that distance was important, but that the choice of "h pital or of physician is not necessarily based minurily or accessibility (Bashshur, Shannon & Metzner, p=74) of the patients studied, 43% indicated that they would go to the hospital recommended by their physician invariabless of its proximity

In summary, although distance minimization is obviously an important determinant of patient origin-destination flow

.

also influential.

2.5.2 Delineation of Service Areas and Service Populations

A natural outgrowth following the determination of patient utilization patterns was the delineation of hospital service areas and hospital service populations. The health facilities' importance of defining a service constituency has been predicated on the belief that effective and efficient planning were necessarily dependent upon the accurate assessment of the geographic and demographic characteristics of the population to be served (MacStravic, 1978).

Service area boundaries have frequently been determined by using previously established administrative boundaries for which census information was routinely collected. The computation of utilization rates (for the service areas) assumed the existence of reliable information concerning the number of persons in a geographic area. In early studies, service areas were defined as "the smallest hospital geographic subdivision of a planning region whose hospitals provide the overwhelming bulk of the patient days utilized by the population of the area" (Shonick, 1976, p. 61). Ιt was often erroneously assumed that those persons living within a service area sought care only from facilities located within the service area. Thus, even in instances where a substantial number of residents crossed service area

boundaries for care, the denominator for rate calculations was still incorrectly assumed to be the population of the service area.

One of the earliest studies, in which construction of service areas was based on actual utilization patterns, was done by Lembcke (1952) who, in an attempt to assess the quality of medical care, used age/sex adjusted patient origin-destination data to compare appendectomy rates among twenty-three service areas. Service area boundaries for the study hospitals were made to conform to township lines (the smallest unit for which U.S. census data was available), with the majority of service areas accounting for "75 to 95 percent of all hospitalizations of persons who resided therein" (Lembcke, p. 277). Unlike some researchers, Lembcke did not assume that people always received care in the service area in which they resided, and thus, allocated operations to the residence location of the patient where the operations were performed. Lembcke regardless of recognized that his methodology _ would have limited application in cases where several hospitals served a particular service area.

In a later study completed in 1962, Poland and Lembcke established equal-likelihood service areas by aggregating townships in the state of Kansas such that the boundary lines represented the point at which patients were equally predisposed to travel to particular study hospital(s), as opposed to seeking care at all other hospitals (Griffith,

(1972). Subsequent analysis of these service areas indicated that disease complexity was 'positively related to the distance travelled for service, and also that hospitals with specialized services tended to attract patients from greater distances than those lacking such services (Shonick, 1976).

Later studies the use expanded of patient origin-destination data using a service area concept with somèwhat limited success. Drossness, Reed and Lubin (1965) used population data based on census tract boundaries and graphic techniques to visually illustrate computer the spatial relationship between patients and health care facilities. In a subsequent study, Drossness and Lubin (1966, p. 94) suggested that birth certificate data classified by census tract could be used to yield "a reasonably good estimate of the ratio of total patients being attracted to each of the hospitals from all areas in the community." Meade (1974) employed a modified gravity concept of human interaction to establish service areas in the rural state of Idaho. The gravity concept was based on Newtonian physics which indicated that "the potential attractive, force between two bodies increases with the product of their masses and decreases with the distance between them" (Meade, p. 360). In Meade's study, mass was equated with the concentration of health care resources (beds, physicians, and facilities). Using zip codes to identify patient grigin, service areas were established such that a place supplying "60 percent or more of îts patients

72.

to one hospital was considered to be part of that hospital's service area" (Meade, p. 354). Meade acknowledged that this model would have limited usefulness in urban areas where a particular hospital was unlikely to service 60 percent or . more of any one service area.

strategy similar to that used by Lembcke (1.952) and Δ Meade (1974) was employed by Paine and Wilson (1975) in an Alberta-based study which was designed to assess whether or not there was a surplus or deficiency in the number of acute. care beds. These researchers used patient origin-destination information to provide a basis for dividing the province into areas such that the majority of residents (greater than located within a specific area obtained at 90%) hospitals also located within their resident area. Paine and Wilson used census subdivisions rather than general hospital districts the geographic basis as for their patient origin-destination study (hospital district boundaries and census subdivision boundaries are not coterminous). Since more than one hospital was located within each subdivision, hospital utilization and patient flow of comparisons patterns were compromised (Teixeira, 1975). Teixeira (1975) analyzed the methodology used by Paine and Wilson and recommended that analysis of acute care hospital utilization in Alberta be conducted using the general hospital district boundaries since in most instances only one hospital was located within each district, and since these areas could be used to divide the province into relatively small mutually

73 `

exclusive and exhaustive geographic areas.

The methodological limitations inherent 🏓 to geographically mapping service areas prompted researchers to investigate the possibility of determining service populations without relying on the delineation of a hospital service area. In this respect, Griffith (1972, p. 65) was one of the first researchers to recognize the utility of calculating "the proportion, or density of, use of each hospital by each small population." Constructing a utilization matrix which showed the number of persons coming from all geographic areas to all hospitals for a given region, Griffith calculated two indices: the relevance index and the commitment index. The relevance index (RI) was used denote the proportion of total admissions coming from a to particular area which used a specific hospital, and represented the hospital's relevance or market penetration in the area. By multiplying each area population by its respective relevance index, and summating these values for all small areas, a hospital's service population could be estimated. The commitment (CI)index reflected the proportion of total hospital admissions committed to each small area by a given hospital, and was representative of the degree to which a particular hospital serviced different small areas.

Bay and Nestman (1980) modified the relevance and commitment indices, applied them to Alberta utilization data and demonstrated three important principles. First, and

perhaps most importantly, these researchers demonstrated that hospital service populations could be "defined without direct association with a geographic area" (Bay '& Nestman, p. 680), and that their methodology could be applied not single hospitals, but could be used from only to а perspective to investigate many hospitals. provincial Second, it was shown that utilization measures other than data could be used in per capita comparisons of admission and utilization. resource allocation Lastly, ' these researchers introduced the idea that the consideration of homogen/eity assumptions pertaining to physician practice and referral 1 patterns, and hospital specialization were important when either the relevance or commitment indices to measure aspects of association between were used hospitals and districts. Although Bay and Nestman's analysis 1971 Alberta utilization data demonstrated significant of variation among the hospitals and the hospital districts with regard to resource allocation and utilization, there was some indication that the homogeneity assumptions noted by the researchers could not be assumed, and therefore, comprehensive interpretation of the results was limited.

In the following section, a brief review of some of the ways in which patient origin-destination data have been used to compare resource utilization is presented.

75

ø

2.5.3 Comparative Studies of Resource Utilization

Comparative analysis of resource utilization is dependent upon the calculation of comparable rates. Bay and Nestman (1980, 1982) and Shaughnessy (1982) demonstrated the utility of using per capita utilization measures based on patient origin-destination data in order to evaluate the performance of a mealth care system. Specifically, these researchers advocated the use of population-based measures rather specific utilization statistics than such as admission or occupancy rates fog either hospital or district/area comparisons, since the latter do not account for patient travel across study area boundaries or the influence of supply on utilization. Shaughnessy (1982) summarized two methods that have been used to calculate per capita measures: the community-based (CB) method and the provider-based (PB) method. CB per capita utilization rates are derived by delineating a geographic area, summating the health service utilization of all residents in the area regardless of where the utilization occurred, and then dividing by the total population of the area. Provided that the populations in the geographic areas that are being compared are homogeneous with respect to sociodemographic and health service provider characteristics, and the number of age-sex adjusted population, meaningful utilization rates can be derived.

É

The PB method uses the relevance index as outlined by Bay and Nestman (1980, 1982), and Griffith, Restuccia, and Tedeschi (1981) to specify the population served by a provider or group of providers by "allocating (to the group) portions of the population from each provider community served" (Shaughnessy, 1982, p. 63). The summation the portions of populations yields the denominator for of per capita measurements, while the numerator may be the resource use or cost incurred by the provider. With total this method consideration should be given to the influence of hospital size (overhead costs may be higher in smaller hospital), availability of specialized technical resources, as well as the imfluence of sociodemographic characteristics of the population groups obtaining service.

. /2.

1

The purpose of the analysis generally determines the approach used. For example, Wennberg and Gittelsohn (1973) examined population-based utilization rates, for Vermont residents using a CB method and found significant variation in resource utilization. These researchers estimated the amount of resources contributed by hospitals to a given service area by "allocating facilities to each service area of the state in proportion to the use of these facilities by residents" (Wennberg & Gittelsohn, p. 1103). The summation of all hospital's contributions provided an estimate of the total resource commitment to a particular service area. In a later study, these same researchers expanded their analysis to six New England states, and found that surgical resource utilization variation "was not caused by differences in the supply of resources alone" but was also due to "differences

in the style of medical practice of local physicians" (Wennberg & Gittelsohn, 1982, p. 123).

8.

In a recent Alberta study, the AHUC (1981), divided the province into thirteen regions, and used the CB method to compare the regional surgical utilization rates of twenty different surgical procedures. Two major limitations of this study hinder interpretation of the reported rates. First, the regions do not appear to be homogeneous with regard to the sociodemographic characteristics of the populations, or with regard to the availability of specialized medical. resources. For example, a nor thern region with two small hospitals (each having loss than 50 beds) and a sparsely distributed population of approximately 20,000 has been compared to the metropolitan areas of Edmonton and Calgary. Second, the populations of some of the small areas may not have been sufficiently large to yield reliable and estable statistics.

With regard to PB analyses, the research of Griffith (1978) and Griffith et al. (1981) focussed on the development of PB per capita measures A recent monograph by Griffith (1978) detailed eighteen PB per capita measures which could be used to evaluate aspects of hospital performance pertaining to the quantity, cost, and quality of service delivered, and also delineated a methodology that could be used to cluster hospitals that served a common area. Griffith recognized that the quality measures were quite limited, and also suggested that his methodology

required further refinement to account for case-mix differences among hospitals. The practical application of measures in the state of Michigan illustrated the these PB potential usefulness of such measures for hospital planning purposes (Griffith et al. 1981). It was, however, evident that the case-mix adjustment problem remained to be resolved.

Stockwell.(1977) used both CB and PB measures in an investigation concerning surgical rate variation in Ontario. Although this study suffered from a number of methodological perhaps the most serious limitation concerned the problems, researchers stated assumption that PB and CB (different terminology was used in the study) utilization measures were alternative measures which could be expected to yield similar utilization surgical rates. Although it was difficult to determine exactly what had been done in the study, the researcher did not seem to realize that the PB and CB methods measured surgical utilization from different perspectives, and that there was no predictable relationship hetween the results of the two methods.

Shaughnessy (1982), in addition to explaining the derivation of CB and PB measures, used fictitious, data to provide a brief introduction to the ways PB and CB measures could be used simultaneously to convey information regarding resource allocation and utilization. In a very recent report, two researchers have employed this strategy to partially resolve an important health care problem, Bay and

Nestman (1982) concurrently analysed PB and CB per capita measures to provide an empirical basis for hospital bed reallocation within the province of Alberta. The major contribution of this research lies in its demonstration that both hospital and district perspectives are needed to provide the conceptual foundation necessary for an understanding of utilization and allocation measures, and to provide information relevant to planning and policy decision-making.

2.5.4 Summary

From the previous discussion, it is apparent that over the last forty years there has been a gradual evolution in sophistication toward determining a hospital's service population, and the derivation of per capita utilization rates. Researchers were initially concerned with delineating actual geographic regions which would contain the majority of the hospital's service population. In this respect, many studies used distance variables to predict hospital service areas. More developed once it formally, recognized that jurisdictional boundaries did was not prevent patients from seeking care in adjacent regions, a patient's choice of care facility was further that and related to a number of diverse influences.

Subsequent research by Griffith (1972) demonstrated the utility of derining service populations by determining the proportion of each district served by a particular hospital.

Since Griffith's (1972) study, Bay and Nestman (1980, 1982), Griffith (1978), and Griffith et al. (1981) expanded the use of the relevance and commitment indices and incorporated patient origin destination data to derive CB and PB measures of per capita resource allocation and utilization

2.6 Summary of Literature Review

6

This neview demonstrated that a theory of surgical utilization has yet to be established. This is in part due to a lack of understanding regarding the nature of surginal utilization. Pesearchers attempted eramine to the determinants of surgical utilization, but have largely been unable to agree on the relative importance of different factors, and have often neglected to study the interaction of determinant effects on surgical utilization. Reported variations in surgical utilization rates among similar regions, as well as reports of rapidly escalating surgical rates, were shown to have led to allegations of unnecessary surgical utilization

A review of surgical utilization rates in Canada provided a general perspective prior to the discussion of selected aspects concerning the six surgical categories chosen for study. Surgical utilization rate cariation was associated with all six procedures among areas in Alberta, Canada, and the United States.

In the last section, methodologies which involved the use of patient origin-destination information were reviewed. I t was shown that there had been a gradual progression from the basic realization that arbitrary boundaries did not circumscribe patient's care seeking travel patterns, to the development of sophisticated methodologies which could be used to outline hospital service populations without relying on the initial delineation of a geographic area. It was noted that the CB and PB methods of deriving per capita measures delineated different aspects of hospital and geographic area association, and that recently, researchers had recognized the utility of using CB and PB measures in concert to provide a comprehensive perspective of a health service system's performance.

ſ

The utility of using CB or PB methods singly depends upon damta availability and the nature of the problem to be investigated. The CB method is technically easier to perform; however, since the derived measures are referenced the residents of a particular area, inter-hospital comparisons are not possible, and therefore, investigation resource 🕸 millocation, or health service system into characteristics as determinants of utilization are hampered. With the PB method per capita measures are more difficult to calculate since service populations must be established, and the influence of case max differences among hospitals must be removed if comparative analyses are involved. The PB method does allow one to assess a particular provider's

÷.,

relative performance since utilization is linked directly to a hospital. Ultimately, researchers will likely find that a combination of CB and PB measures are needed to establish a realistic perspective for the interpretation of utilization allocation measures.

In conclusion, this review has indicated a number of pertinent methodological considerations which are important to incorporate into a study of surgical utilization rate variation. Furthermore, it is apparent that a longitudinal analysis of surgical utilization rate variation in the province of Alberta has not previously been attempted. In the following chapter the methodology used in this study is outlined.

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

In this chapter the formulation and implementation of the study methodology is described through discussions pertaining to three factors: 1) the development of a research strategy, 2) the data sources used, and 3) the data analysis strategies employed.

3.1 Research Strategy

The impetus for this study arose when it was noted that, although many researchers had investigated surgical utilization rate variation, the methodologies used to examine the phenomenon were often inadequate, and therefore, it was conceivable that rate variation was in part a reflection of methodological variation or data deficiency. The primary objective of this study was to determine an appropriate methodology for the comparison of surgical utilization rates among regions of the province of Alberta over the eight-year period extending from 1971 to 1978. In order to facilitate the development of a research strategy to meet this objective a number of steps were employed (see Figure 2).

Pertinent literature was reviewed in order to investigate surgical utilization trends and determinants, and to examine research methodologies suitable for calculating comparable utilization rates. Following completion of the literature review it was evident that the



determinants of surgical service utilization were both diverse and complex, and further, that no generally accepted theory of surgical utilization existed. The absence of a theoretical foundation to facilitate hypothesis formation and the nature of the problem being testing, and that selection of an experimental investigated meant research design was premature, and thus; inappropriate. Therefore, a descriptive exploratory approach was selected. A longitudinal retrospective design was chosen since determination of the pattern of surgical utilization rate variation was of interest, and since neither data nor adequate resources were available for the implementation of a case study or a prospective study. Previously, researchers investigating utilization and resource allocation in Alberta have analyzed one or two years of data making trend analysis impossible (see, for example, AHUC, 1981; Bay & Nestman, Paine & Wilson, 1975). Due to the controversy 1980: surrounding surgical utilization rate variation it was considered important to establish the pattern of variation over a number of years, thus a longitudinal approach (rather than a cross-sectional approach) was chosen.

The derivation of a suitable research design, which would facilitate a descriptive analysis of surgical utilization, and the selection of appropriate data and data analysis strategies were conducted while remaining cognizant of the deficiencies noted in previous analyses of surgical utilization rates. A review of pertinent methodologies

indicated that there had been some progressive improvement in the methods used to compare utilization among smallsuch, it was evident that patient originareas. As destination information could be used to compute comparable per capita surgical utilization rates using the methods pioneered by Griffith (1978), and Bay and Nestman (1980, 1982). Determination of the presence or absence and magnitude of surgical utilization rate variation among selected areas in Albertal constituted the problem to be investigated; therefore, an analysis which focussed on the surgical rates associated with certain geographic areas (the CB method) was thought to be more appropriate than analyses the surgical utilization rates associated with the of service populations of a particular provider or group of providers (the PB method). A CB method of calculating surgical utilization rates was chosen rather than either a PB method or the combined application of both CB and PB methods. It was recognized that the singular use of the CB method would necessarily limit any discussion of the probable reasons for rate variation since there would be no direct linkage between the calculated utilization rates and specific institutions. Also, the CB method was unlikely to yield information that could be applied to resource allocation problems. Use of the PB method, would have provided an opportunity to investigate the effect of certain health service system determinants, and to compare utilization rates from a hospital perspective. However,

further refinement of the PB method is required to adjust for the case-mix differences among hospitals that could potentially influence per capita measures and thereby confound an investigation of surgical utilization rate variation. This study was concerned specifically with the identification of surgical utilization rate variation among geographic areas, not the explanations for variation, and therefore, the CB method of calculating surgical utilization rates was considered most suitable.

In summary, the research strategy for this study involved the calculation of per capita surgical utilization rates for selected areas in Alberta using longitudinal, retrospective data and the application of a CB method of computation. The derivation of utilization rates for the time period from 1971 to 1978 permitted identification of certain surgical utilization trends, and an assessment of the patterns and magnitude of surgical utilization rate variation; hence, the objectives of this study were realized.

In the following two sections the data sources and data analysis strategies are discussed. The discussions reflect an emphasis on the development of a valid and reliable methodology.

5

88 -

3.2 Data Sources

this section the three data sources used for the In analyses and aspects pertinent to data manipulation are discussed. Since the majority of data were obtained from federal government provincial and sources, critical evaluation of the quality of the data was not possible due to its volume and the intricate data collection processes. which involved large numbers of people. This type of data have been collected for many years, and quality control checks are routinely applied by those responsible for data collection and storage. It was, therefore, assumed that the likelihood of serious data deficiency was remote, and further, that due to the random occurrence of. inconsequential error, the data were not biased.

3.2.1 PAS (Professional Activities Studies) Data

The primary data source for this study consisted of hospital patient discharge files for the years 1971 to 1978 which were abstracted from computer tapes containing Professional Activities Studies (PAS) data for all hospitals in Alberta. Data pertaining to acute care utilization for all hospitals were obtained to facilitate comparison between surgical utilization and acute care utilization. The data concerning surgical patients included: 1) the primary diagnosis and surgical procedure, 2) age and sex category, 3) length of hospital stay, 4) hospital and hospital

the hospital district code referring to the patient's residence. Although other researchers (for example, AHUC, 1981, and Rutkow and Zuidema, 1981) examined a large number of surgical procedures, only data for six categories of surgery were abstracted, as an examination of all surgical utilization in the province was beyond the scope of this thesis.

Selection of Surgical Categories

The selection of surgical categories used in this study was based on several criteria. Initially, consideration was similarity of surgical data given to the over the eight-year time span of the study. PAS data are referenced to surgical procedure codes and the coding procedures have not remained constant. From 1971 to 1973 the Hospital Adaptation of the International Classification of Diseases (H-ICDA) codes were used, and from 1974 to 1978 the second edition of the H-ICDA codes were used. Therefore, it was necessary to select procedures whose coding had not changed substantially (see Appendix A.1 for a comparison between the two coding systems in relation to the procedures chosen). After this initial consideration, six categories of surgery (appendectomy, Caesarean section, prostatectomy, cholecystectomy, hysterectomy, and . tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy) were selected. These categories included procedures, some discretionary and non-discretionary controversial procedures, frequently occurring procedures, and those procedures often referenced in the literature. A review of literature regarding these categories is contained in section 2.4 of this thesis.

The PAS data were readily available thus obviating the need for a sampling or data collection process; however, there were two major disadvantages to using these data. First, there is a substantial time lag in the release of PAS data which results in researchers analyzing data several years out of date. At the time that data analysis was undertaken in this study, 1978 data were the most recent available. This delay in data availability may be of/concern to planners who would rather use more recent information. However, PAS data are the only comprehensive data available, and the time and costs associated with trying to obtain data through other means is prohibitive. Second, it should be recognized that the PAS data reflect actual utilization, which may or may not reflect need. While planners may desire measures of surgical need, currently a satisfactory measure of need does not exist.

3.2.2 Census Data

The second data set consisted of census data for the years 1971 and 1976 which were used to derive the district populations. The census data were arranged by nineteen age groups, by sex, and by 102 hospital districts.

Population Projections

It was critically important to select a valid and reliable method of projecting the population for the

- 91

intercensa'l study years (1972-1975, 1977, and (1978), as following age-sex standardization, these figures formed the denominators used in the rate calculations. Two general projection models were examined: linear and exponential models. Given that the major determinants of population growth or decline are births, deaths, and migration it was difficult to postulate "a set of demographic conditions" under which the population would increase or decrease by arithmetic progression" (Shyrock & Siegel, 1973, p. 377). linear model was not selected because its use Therefore. а involved the implicit assumption that the population increased, or decreased by a certain number of persons per unit of time.

The selection of an exponential projection model provided a way to reflect the compounding nature of population growth, and to project a realistic pattern of growth. The available population data (1971 and 1976 census data) were compared, the constant rate of growth was calculated, and this calculated rate was then used to estimate the population for the intercensal years (see Appendix A.2). Population forecasting models which may incorporate natality, mortality, and migration information were not used as this information was not available on a district basis. The exponential model used in this study was thought to provide sufficiently accurate projections given the limited data available.

<u>>Age-Sex Adjustment</u>

Previous research by Bay and Nestman (1980) and Rutkow and Zuidema (1981) demonstrated the importance of age and sex standardization of utilization data. With respect to this study, it was recognized that due to the age and sex specific nature of some of the surgical categories it was necessary to remove the effect of both age and sex as potential explanatory factors for surgical utilization rate variation. While it would have no desirable to standardize the population being consider with respect to cultural and socioeconomic factors, neither adequate data nor a method of adjustment were available; therefore, standardization of the district populations was limited to an age-sex adjustment. It is important to recognize that the objective of age-sex adjustment is to provide a means of comparison between areas whose population's age-sex structure may be very different; the standardized surgical rate is not meaningful in thus. and of itself. In order to differentiate the census and census projection figures from the age-sex adjusted number of persons in a district, the latter were termed, the district service population following Bay and Nestman's (1980) definition. The district service populations were obtained using Bay and Nestman's (1980) indirect method which involved a weighted-sum approach (see Appendix A.3). These service population figures formed the denominators used in subsequent rate calculations.

93

. .

3.2.3 Selected Government Reports

The final data source utilized in this study were the annual reports regarding hospital services issued by the provincial government. From these reports it was possible to discern the humber of hospitals and their bed complements, the hospital district boundaries (see Appendix A.4), as well as certain information regarding the number and location of surgical manpower resources in the the province. The selection of hospital districts as the areas for initial study was based on three factors: 1) Teixeira's (1975) analysis had conclusively demonstrated the utility of using hospital districts, 2) census data for the hospital districts were available, and 3) hospital districts constitute the administrative unit for hospital planning. To ensure that reasonable validity of measurement was attained, the district service populations in the areas studied had to be of sufficient size to yield stable statistics, and the region (the province) under study had to be reasonably self contained with regard to the provision and utilization of medical services in order for the study region to be regarded as a closed sytem. With regard to the first point, care was taken to aggregate the hospital districts such that the resulting service population sizes were large enough to yield stable statistics. With regard to the latter point. since the majority of Albertans are covered by provincial and medical insurance, it was reasonable to assume hospital that most residents received medical care within the
province, and therefore, the closed system assumption was reasonable. This assumption was necessary since population-based per capita utilization rates were used in the analyses.

3.3 Data Analysis Strategies

Following the delineation of a research strategy and the selection of appropriate data, three types of analyses were undertaken in order to meet the objectives of this study. Explanations regarding these analyses are presented below.

3.3 1 Provincial Analysis

Using a provincial perspective, comparisons were made between surgical utilization data and acute care utilization data. Comparisons among the number and rate of separations and the days of hospital stay, average length of stay (ALOS), and other selected utilization statistics were used to provide general information. Provincial surgical utilization trends pertaining to the six categories chosen for study were also examined. The denominators used in the rate calculations were obtained from 1971 and 1976 census data and from intercensal population projections (see Appendix A.2). The numerators were calculated by aggregating the utilization data over all hospitals and districts in the province. As such, this analysis represented a macro or

general approach, and was designed to provide a broad perspective of surgical utilization in the province; more detailed information was obtained from the subsequent analyses.

3.3.2 Patient Origin-Destination Travel Patterns In order to provide background information pertinent to the examination of surgical utilization rate variation, and the second objective of this study, patient travel to meet patterns were examined. It was thought that, should subsequent analysis (as outlined in section 3.3.3) reveal significant rate variation among similar areas. explanations regarding this phenomenon might be facilitated. by information concerning which hospitals served a particular area or areas, and the degree to which patients travelled outside their resident district to obtain surgical care.

Patient origin-destination matrices identical to those described by Griffith (1972) were constructed for six surgical categories, for each of the eight years studied, (i.e., 48 matrices) by cross-tabulating data concerning both the number of separations and number of patient days for the general hospital districts (origin), and the hospitals (destination) in the province. From these matrices it was possible to determine the hospitals used by district residents, and conversely, the districts served by each hospital. Using a methodology similar to that used in Raasok's (1979) study, relévance and commitment indices were used as measures of patient flow (see Appendix A.5). The relevance indices reflected the tendency of patients to remain within, or leave their resident district to, receive surgical care. The commitment indices measured the degree to which a hospital served patients located within, or external to the district in which the hospital was located. As such, the relevance indices provided information from a district perspective, while a hospital perspective was conveyed by the commitment indices. The specific analyses undertaken perspectives are discussed below. using each of these Manipulation of the large data files involved in these accomplished using a system of computer analyses was programs designed by Nie, Hull, Jenkins, Steinbrenner, and Bent (1975).

.

97

District Perspective

The three analyses performed using the district perspective were designed to provide information concerning: 1) the relationship between hospital size (measured according to the number of rated beds) and the tendency of patients to remain within their resident district to receive surgical care, 2) the number and destination of patients leaving their resident area to receive surgical care, and 3) a comparison of the ALOS of patients who remained within their resident area for surgical care and those who obtained care at hospitals not located within their resident area.

Initially, a patient flow matrix which incorporated all of the hospitals and general hospital districts was constructed. The information gained from a preliminary examination of the data provided the rationale used to collapse the matrices into smaller, more (manageable groups) of hospitals and hospital districts. For the first analysis, the hospital districts were assigned to one of four categories based on the size of the hospital(s) located in the district. Although this designation was somewhat arbitrary, it was based on the fact that hospital sizes naturally fall into small (8 to 49 beds), medium (50 to 299 and large (300+ beds) categories. The medium-sized beds). hospitals were sub-divided into two groups (50 to 99, and 100 to 299 beds) as the difference in the scope of services provided by a 50-bed and a 200-bed hospital may be substantial. Likewise, the hospitals were grouped according to the number of rated beds. A patient origin-destination study was then conducted, and the percentage of residents staying within each of the four district groups for each of the six surgical categories was calculated (the relevance index of the district group to the hospital(s) located in the same district group). It was, therefore, possible to examine the relationship between hospital size and the extent to which patients remained within, or left their resident district for surgical care, and to assess whether or not there had been a change in this relationship over the time period from 1971 to 1978.

In the second district analysis, assessment of both the number of patients leaving a particular area, and the location to which these patients travelled to receive care was understaken. Due to the cumbersome nature of the large data files it was necessary to aggregate both the hospitals the hospital districts into groups so that meaningful and interpretation of both separation and patient day data was possible. Although numerous ways existed to aggregate the data, only two were performed. The resultant aggregations corresponded to those used in the analyses of surgical utilization rate variation (see Section 3.3.3). As such, the hospitals and hospital districts were aggregated twice to form: 1) a metropolitan, regional, and rural grouping, and six areas designated as Calgary, Edmonton, Grande 2) Prairie, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Red Deer (see maps _C and D in Figure 3, p. 107). Relevance indices which reflected the tendency of area residents to remain within, or leave their resident district to receive surgical care were calculated for the areas in both groups.

In the third district analysis, separation and patient-day information from the previous analysis were used to calculate the average length of stay (ALOS) of residents remaining within, and leaving their resident area to obtain surgical care. Subsequently, it was possible to determine whether or not ALOS varied according to the location of surgery, and to examine the ALOS trends over the eight-year time period of the study.

Hospital Perspective

In order to provide information which would correspond to the previous analyses done from the district perspective, the same hospital district aggregations were used; however, the analyses were done using a hospital perspective. The two analyses involved: 1) the determination of the percentage of surgical separations committed by certain hospitals to 'selected geographic areas, and 2) the calculation of the percentage of separations. And days of stay generated by selected groups of hospitals.

"For the first analysis, the patient origin-destination both matrices the metropolitan/regional/rural for aggregation and the six-area aggregation were used to calculate commitment indices using the method described by Griffith (1972) and Bay and Nestman (1980) (see Appendix A.5). These calculations permitted assessment of the degree to which selected groups of hospitals allocated their resources (separations) to the areas in which they were located, and to all other areas. By combining this information with that obtained from the district perspective analyses, it was possible to ascertain the demand placed on resident non-resident area hospitals area and as а consequence of patient's travel patterns.

In the second analysis, both separation and patient-day data were used to calculate the total number of separations (or days) generated by a particular group of hospitals as a percentage of the total number of separations (or days) generated by all hospitals in the province. The information from these calculations indicated which hospitals were performing the majority of the surgical procedures studied, and also provided an opportunity to compare the percentage of separations to the percentage of days generated by the different hospital groups.

In the following section the procedures used to undertake the analysis of surgical utilization rate variation are described.

3.3.3 Analysis of Surgical Utilization Rate Variation

The community-based method outlined by Bay (1982), Griffith et al. (1981), and Shaughnessy (1982) provided the basic conceptual framework for deriving the rates which were used in the analysis of surgical rate variation; however, framework largely ignored one important practical this aspect. Prior to calculating community-based utilization rates, it is necessary to divide a region (e.g., a province) into small areas such that the areas are as homogeneous as possible with regard to the presence or absence of factors likely to influence surgical utilization. It is only in this manner that accurate comparisons can be made among areas. In many studies little attention has beén paid to the relationship between surgical utilization rates and dissimilar study areas. In this study, four different aggregations of the hospital districts were performed in order to demonstrate that surgical utilization rates were in

part dependent upon the geographic boundaries of the areas chosen for study. In aggregating the hospital districts four ways, deliberate attempts were made to create some aggregations in which areas were as similar as possible with respect to potential determinants of surgical utilization, aggregations and other in which the areas being analyzed were dissimilar. Thus, although exactly identical rates were not expected in the similar areas due to the stochastic nature of the demand for surgical serviće, it was anticipated that areas with similar determinant factors. would have very similar utilization rates, while those areas with dissimilar characteristics would have more variable utilization rates. It was also expected that discretionary procedures would demonstrate more variation than nondiscretionary procedures, since determinant factors were likely to have much less influence in life-threatening circumstances.

Previously, researchers have tended to assume that the most important factors influencing surgical utilization rate variation were the number of hospital beds in an area and the availability of surgical manpower. While these factors may be influential, it must be remembered that hospital bed numbers cannot necessarily be equated with the number of surgical beds, and more importantly, as was evident following the literature review, there are smany societal, individual, and health service system determinants which may affect the rate of surgical utilization. The determinant

l in the second se

factors considered prior to the aggregation of the hospital districts are discussed below.

<u>Determinant Factors</u>

Societal factors, such as the norms identified in the literature review, tended to be held constant across a]] the province. For areas of example, majority the of Albertans have hospital and medical insurance, and therefore, the effect of a financial barrier selectively surgical limiting access to service was considered inconsequential. Some hospitals do charge a registration fee to patients; however, the amount is minimal, and although the fee might pose a financial barrier to some clients, the effects of such barriers were assumed to be averaged out across all areas of the province. The influence of balance or extra-billing was not considered, since this phenomenon not widespread during the course of the study period. was Other norms concerning physician decision-making and the pertaining to the implicit rationing of services were norms also assumed to have exerted a constant influence throughout the province, and throughout the study period.

Consideration of the impact that technology might have on surgical utilization rates was very important given the variation in technical sophistication of the province's hospitals. For example, Rutkow and Zuidema (1981) noted that fetal monitoring techniques provided medical personnel with increased knowledge regarding the health of the fetus and provided the justification for therapeutic intervention in

58 Act 14

Sec. 32.

cases where the health of the fetus was compromised. Similarly, specialist medical personnel, x-ray equipment, and laboratory testing provide sophisticated technological input for clinical diagnosis and decision-making in hospitals with such resources.

In districts with large hospitals, the presence of advanced technological support may result in more surgery being performed relative to districts with smaller hospitals, due to the ability of personnel to accurately disease and implement suitable treatment. diagnose Conversely, in smaller hospitals, one might expect the diagnosis error rate to be higher due to the degree of technological support available in such hospitals. The rate (if it in fact effect of a higher diagnosis error exists) on surgical utilization is very difficult to predict, and has not been studied in Alberta. Some surgical procedures cannot be performed in smaller hospitals due to equipment or personnel limitations, and in cifcumstances where applications have the option of employing non-surgical tréatment techniques one might expect district residents to have lower surgical rates than those associated residents living in districts which have with larger , hospitals. Alternatively, the referral practice's of physicians' may mean that patients living in districts with limited surgical resources are routinely referred to larger hospitals in other districts.

Lour 15 Area

Determinant factors pertaining to the characteristics of individuals were very difficult to standardize across study areas. This difficulty arose due to the problems associated with identifying determinant factors and measuring their effects on surgical utilization. Demographic variables were standardjzed somewhat by performing age-sex adjustments to the data such that the service populations would be measured in comparable units. Standardization of socioeconomic and cultural characteristics was residents' not attempted due to the lack of information available regarding these factors. The patient flow patterns. identified in the previous analyses were used to delineate areas in which people either tended to stay, or leave, to receive surgical care. It was assumed that common patient flow patterns in different areas were indicative of some common determinant factors in these areas.

The health service system variables considered included the organization of the health care delivery system and the method of physician reimbursement. Individual hospital administrative structures and the orientation of health careprograms do differ, and not all physicians are reimbursed under a fee-for-service mechanism. Additionally, patients' times _travel and distances to a care facility vary considerably, and since distance to a care facility has been shown to be related to utilization, it was important to consider this variable. It was impossible to control all of these potential health service system determinants in the

`I

geographic aggregations which involved many areas. However, in some of the aggregations (see Maps A and B in Figure 3) it was reasonable to assume that, although precise identification of all health service system determinants was not possible, these determinants were likely well matched across the study areas.

It was evident that, although many determinants were identified during the literature review, those of importance for an Alberta-based study pertained to technological, individual, and certain health service system factors. Age-sex standardization of the data was done; however, adjustment of the data with respect to other factors was not attempted.

٦.

٠.

It was recognized that the rate of utilization for some. surgical categories might be influenced more strongly by certain determinant factors than others (e.g., discretionary versus non-discretionary procedures). This was suggestive that different aggregations might be appropriate for different surgeries. The researcher did not explore this research strategy for two reasons. First, only six surgical categories were studied, and this number of categories was too small to be useful for deriving hypotheses regarding aggregations and types of surgery. Second, such an approach was thought impractical given the limited theoretical base concerning determinant, effects on surgical utilization. Therefore, all six surgical categories were analyzed with respect to surgical utilization rate variation for each of

¢

1,06



Maps Depicting Four Aggregations



Мар В

Map C

Map D

Map E



(1) Edmonton (2) Calgary	
(1) North (2) South	
(1) Metropolitan (2) Regional 4 (3) Rural	
(1) Calgary (2) Edmonton (3) Grande Prairie (4) Lethbridge (5) Medicine Hat (6) Red Deer	
(1) Area 1 (2) Area 2 (3) Area 3 (4) Area 4 (5) Area 5 (6) Area 6	

the four aggregations.

The four map's in Figure 3 depict the four different ways that the province's hospital districts were aggregated. The areas in the four aggregations could be criticized for their lack of total provincial coverage (e.g., Map A), or for their lack of discrimination among small areas since such large areas were used (e.g., Map B). However, after considerable analysis of potential determinant factors, the aggregations represented in Maps A and B were the only two ways that the researcher could aggregate the hospital, districts such that a homogeneity of determinant factors could be assumed. In the following section, the rationale the construction of the four aggregations is used for presented.

108

5

Map A: Edmonton and Calgary

Initially, Edmonton and Calgary, the two large metropolitan areas in the province, were compared. In order to form very similar areas for analysis it was necessary to aggregate districts 83, 84, 98, and 106 which surround the city of Edmonton, in order to form a land area similar to district 93 in which Calgary is located (see Appendix A.4 for a map and the names of the thospital districts). These two areas were considered to have very similar surgical utilization determinant factors present.

Information obtained from the patient origin destination analyses demonstrated that in every year of the study (1971 to 1978) at least 93% of all residents in these two areas stayed within their resident district for surgery: therefore, patient flow patterns were considered to be very similar. Additionally, 'the public transportation system is well developed in both areas, and residents were assumed to have similar access to care. As mentioned previously, financial barriers were not thought to be of consequence due to the coverage of the majority of provincial residents by hospital and medical insurance.

Health service organization determinants and technological determinants were judged to be similar in both areas, since large hospitals, teaching hospitals, and large numbers of surgical specialists were common to both areas. Additionally, only these two areas of the province have hospitals which have more than 300 beds. Given these similarities, it was expected that the surgical utilization rates for all six surgical categories would be very similar in both the Edmonton and Calgary areas

Map B: North and South Regions

ð

This aggregation of the hospital districts was also designed to create two envisimilar areas. The dividing line between the two regions was determined by analyzing the flow patterns of residents who lived in the districts located between the Edmonton and Calgary areas identified in Man A A boundary line was drawn such that people living north of the line tended to remain in their district or go to a northern district to receive surgical care, while those living south of the boundary line sought surgical care in

southern districts. Both the north and south areas do contain a mix of rural and urban populations, and travel time to surgical services was judged to be similarly minble for residents in the two areas.

This division resulted in two regional referral centers, as well as one major referral center being located in each area. Although the northern region had approximately 20% mire hospitals, and 35% more hospital beds from 1971 to 1978, the northern service population was consistently about 28% larger than the southern service population during the same time period. Thus, the Health service system and technological determinants were assumed to be similar in the two areas. Due to the presence of similar determinant factors in both areas, similar utilization rates for all six terminal categories were anticipated.

Map C: Metropolitan, Regional, and Rural Areas

construction of the metropolitan, regional, and rural areas was based on information obtained from the patient origin-destination flow studies (see section 4.3). The metropolitan area was formed by aggregating the Edmonton and Calgary areas in Map & Residents in these areas generally remained within their resident district for surgical care. The districts in which the dities of Red Deer, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Grande Prairie are located were collapsed? to form the regional area which represented an aggregation of the secondary referral centres previously identified. Pegional area residents also tended to remain in their

resident area for surgical care although the percentage associated with doina high as that so was not as metropolitan area residents. The remainder of the hospital districts not included in either the metropolitan or regional aggregations were aggregated and designated as rural. Residents living in rural districts were most likely to leave their resident district to receive surgical care.

7-

Determinant factors concerning patient flow patterns, the urban and rural characteristics of the populations, surgical resource availability, and patients' care-seeking patterns were assumed to be quite different among the three areas. Therefore, it was expected that surgical utilization rate variation would be found among the areas in this aggregation. Since many of the rural residents who leave resident district are referred to the metropolitan their centers for surgery (more than 50% receive care outside their resident district for some surgical procedures), it. was anticipated that metropolitan and rural rates might be guite similar since the surgeons performing the procedures, although located in metropolitan areas, would actually be serving both metropolitan and rural residents.

Map D: Calgary, Edmonton, Grande Prairie, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Red Deer Areas

The areas in Map D represent a breakdown of the north and south areas in Map B; the combined areas of Edmonton, Red Deer, and Grande Prairie in Map D are similar to the North area in Map B, and the combined areas of Calgary,

and Medicine Hat in Map D are analogous to the : Lethbridge, South area in Map B. Thus, Map D facilitated an analysis of the rates associated with regions within the north and south areas of Map B, and the areas in Map D were also similar to those used in a previous study by Paine and Wilson (1975). Although each of the six areas did have either a secondary or tertiary referral center located within its boundaries, and the vast majority of residents stayed within their resident area for surgical care, many other determinant factors were quite dissimilar among the areas. For example, travel time and distance to a health care facility. concentration of urban and rural populations, and surgical resource availability were different among the six areas. It was anticipated that for discretionary surgical procedures this aggregation would be associated with more surgical utilization rate variation than the aggregations represented A and B, due to the lack of similarity among the in Maps areas.

Surgical Utilization Rate Variation Analysis The methodology used in this series of analyses involved calculating community-based per capita surgical utilization rates for the six surgical categories for each of the areas in the four aggregations, for the eight-year time period from 1971 to 1978. The descriptive analysis of the computed rates was undertaken from two perspectives. First, the area rates were compared, identification of areas

with particularly high or low utilization rates was made,

and potential explanations for observed variations were proposed. The influence of selected determinant factors was discussed; however, it was not possible to evaluate their relative influence. It was recognized that no judgement could be made regarding the necessity of surgery in these areas, or the likelihood of over or under utilization of surgical services, since surgical utilization rate standards are not available to specify appropriate levels of utilization, and since different effective methods of medical practice can be employed for particular disease states.

The second set of analyses incorporated the use of multiple regression techniques which permitted assessment of the relationship between surgical utilization rates (the dependent variable) and the different areas of patient. origin (the independent variables). Thus, the relative importance of different patient origins and surgical utilization rate variation could be investigated. The multiple regression model and its application to this study are briefly described below.

Multiple Regression Model

1

A multiple regression model was chosen for the descriptive analysis because it facilitated examination of a the simultaneous impact that a combination of independent variables (patient residence and time) had on a dependent variable (the rate of surgical utilization). From the literature review, it was apparent that a number of factors likely influenced surgical utilization. In this analysis only the influence of the aforementioned independent variables was investigated as accurate information concerning the number of surgical beds in an area, or surgical resource availability was not available.

Through the use of a step-wise regression model the independent variables which described patient residence were added to the regression equations in the order of their contribution to the coefficient of multiple determination (R-square) after the time variables had been forced to enter initially. As such, the relative contribution of each independent variable (which represented a particular area) the explanation of variation in the dependent variable to was determined after the variation in district rates that had occurred as a function of the passage of time had been removed. It was necessary to do a series of regression analyses because simultaneous assessment of the relative impact of the various patient residences represented in the four aggregations would have introduced the problem of multi-collinearity and prevented accurate interpretation of the regression results. Initially, four regression analyses were done which incorporated variables that decribed the areas in the four aggregations (Maps A. B. C. and D). In the fifth regression analysis, a composite of the influence of the areas in all four aggregations was assessed by creating variables which described the location of the two major? referral centers (Edmonton and Calgary districts), the four

secondary referral centers (Red Deer, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Grande Prairie districts), and the areas surrounding these referral centers. As such, the influence of living within a district whose hospitals served as a referral center, and living adjacent to a district which had a referral center, could be compared with respect to the amount of variation explained in the dependent variable (surgical utilization rates).

In order to compensate for the bias that would have been introduced had different sized districts been compared without regard for dissimilar population size, and to remove the problems of non-additivity associated with ratio data, it was necessary to weight each of the cases (one for each district) by the district's proportion of the provincial population. Without the application of case-weights (Nie et al., 1975), the utilization experience of populous districts (such as Edmonton and Calgary) and that of less populous districts (e.g., Olds, Hanna) would have been analyzed as though both had contributed equally to the utilization data (simple averaging). Such a computation would have rendered the regression results meaningless, since the impact of the two most populated areas in the province (which generate the greatest amount of utilization data) would become almost inconsequential when compared to one hundred smaller areas.

The dependent variable for all of the regression analyses consisted of the surgical utilization rates for each of the six surgical categories studied. The independent

variabl	es	used in	n the fiv	ve regres	sion anal	yses are	listed	••
below.							• . •	
		,	, ,				14. 1	
Map A:		-						
•	2) 3)	Edmonto Time (ye	n residend ear - 1970	ce (dummy S)	variable, variable	, 1 or 0		۱
	4)	Time-sq time va		n-linear	transform	ation of	the	
Map B:						*		.
			rea reșide ear - 1970		nmy variab	le, 1 or	0)' ·	
	3)		uared (noi		transform	nation of	the	
Map C:			N.		· ·			
		(dummy	litan are variable, 1 area re	1 or 0)	nce			
		(dummy Time (y Time-sq	var\$able, ear - 197	1 or 0) 6)	transform	nation of	the	•
Map D:							· ·	
			area res Prairie a		dummy vari dence	able, 1	or 0)	•
		(du m my	variable, dge area	1 or 0)				
		(dummy	variable;	1 or 0)				
	4)	(dummy	e Hat are variable,	1 or 0)	nce			/
•			r area re variable,				Ć	
		Time-sq	ear - 197 uared (no riable)		transform	nation of	the	
Composi	te	Analysis	<i>.</i> •			4		

• •

	(dummy variable, 1 or 0)
2)	Red Deer district residence
	(dummy variable, 1 or 0)
3)	Medicine Hat district residence
	(dummy variable, 1 or 0)
4)	Grande Prairie district residence
	(dummy variable, 1 or 0)
. 5)	Lethbridge district residence
· •	(dummy variable, 1 or 0)
6)	Edmonton area residence - not including metro
	Edmonton districts (dummy variable, 1 or 0)
7 ,)	Calgary area residence - not including
	Calgary district (dummy variable, 1 or 0)
8)	Red Deer area residence - not including
	Red Deer district (dummy variable, 1 or 0)
9)	Grande Prairie area residence - not including
	Grande Prairie district (dummy variable,
	1 or 0)-
,10)	Medicine Hat area residence - not including
	Medicine Hat district (dummy variable, 1 or 0)
11)	Lethbridge area residence - not including
	Lethbridge district (dummy variable, 1 or 0)

12) Time (year -1976)

; 3 13) Time-squared (non-linear transformation of time variable)

independent variables/which described patient The residence were all coded as dummy variables. That is, for the areas considered in each of the five regression analyses a score of either 1 or 0 was assigned to each case (district) based on whether or not the case (district) was a. component of the particular area. It was necessary to omit assigning a dummy variable to one of the areas in each aggregation, since the inclusion of a dummy variable for all the areas in a particular aggregation would have resulted in linear dependency and consequently multi-collinearity. This situation occurs because the dummy variable which was assigned to the last area is completely determined by the previously specified dummies. The influence of the excluded area is reflected in the intercept value, which is termed

the reference category (Nie et al., 1975). In this study the reference categories were: 1) Map A, the province exclusive of the Edmonton and Calgary areas, 2) Map B, the South area, 3) Map C, the Rural area, 4) Map D, the Edmonton area, and 5) Composite, the metro Edmonton districts (Edmonton city and surrounding areas).

The independent variables representing time were coded so that a zero would be recorded for the year 1976. As such, 1976 formed a base year to which the other years could be compared.

3.4 Summary

The methodology used to investigate the problem of surgical utilization-rate variation among similar areas was presented in three sections. First, theoretical concepts evident from the literature review were used to formulate a suitable research strategy. Second, the sources of data necessary for the study were identified and discussed with regard to the data manipulations that were essential in order to assure valid and reliable measurement of surgical utilization rates. In the final section three data analysis strategies concerning: 1) assessment of provincial acute care and surgical utilization. 2) delineation of patient origin-destination travel patterns, and 3) examination of surgical utilization rate variation were discussed. The careful development of a research strategy and selection of appropriate data and data analysis strategies were relevant to meeting the first objective of this study. The results of the analyses, which are presented in the following chapter, provide information pertinent to the second objective of the study.

I

.

۰.

119

÷

CHAPTER IV

PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The presentation and discussion of the study results parallels the sequence of analysis strategies outlined in As such, the three major components of this Chapter III. chapter include: 1) a description of provincial acute care and surgical utilization trends, 2) the delineation of patient origin-destination flow patterns. 3) and an examination of surgical utilization rate variation among areas of the province. To enable the assessment of surgical utilization within the general context of health care utilization, a brief synopsis regarding the Alberta health care system has been presented prior to the presentation of the results.

4.1 Alberta Health Care System - Selected Aspects

In this section discussion pertaining to hospitals, hospital districts, surgical manpower resources, service populations, and relevant utilization statistics provides a system perspective and an orientation to certain factors, which could potentially influence surgical utilization.

In January of 1978 Department of the Hospitals and assumed Medical Care, was formed financial and and administrative responsibility for the province's hospitals; activities which from 1971 to December of 1977 had been undertaken by the Alberta Hospital Services Commission. This transfer of responsibilities did not appreciably change the

operation of Alberta hospitals during 1978, and therefore, did not impact as a confounding variable in this study.

Presently, the province is divided into 102 mutually exclusive and exhaustive hospital districts. Typically, there is only one hospital in each district; however, three din. districts do not have a hospital, and ten districts have more than one hospital. The size and shape of these districts is extremely varied, and therefore, patients' travel times and distances to their district general. hospital (or hospitals) vary considerably. Since travel time to a care facility has been shown to be related to surgical utilization, it was expected that for discretionary surgical procedures, surgical utilization rates might demonstrate an inverse relationship to the time travelled to receive care

The number of hospitals in Alberta and their respective bed complements have changed very little from 1971 to 1978. In 1971 there were 118 general hospitals, and the number O'f hospital beds was estimated to be 11,095. By 1978 there were 120 hospitals and the number of beds had increased. marginally to 11,390. It had been anticipated that population growth and pressure resulting from technological change would have resulted in the construction of more facilities increased number of hospital and an beds Throughout the period of study, approximately 60% of the province's hospitals had fewer than 50 beds, and these same hospitals accounted for about 17% of all hospital beds in the province. The large metropolitan centers of Edmonton and

. 12.1

Calgary consistently had at least 50% of all hospital beds in the province (Alberta/Hospital Services Commission, 1971-1977; Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care, 1980). From 1971 to 1978 the number of beds in the province's hospitals ranged from a minimum of 7 to a maximum of 1270.

The total number of medical practitioners in the province increased about 26% from '1973 to 1981. Surgical specialists increased in number from 430 in 1973 to 472 in. 1978; figures were not available for 1971 and 1972 (Alberta Care ' Insurance Commission, 1973-1978; Health Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care, 1979-1981). During the same time period approximately 75% of all medical practitioners and about 88% of all surgical specialists were located in the vicinity of Edmonton and Calgary (see Appendix B.1).

-

From 1971 to 1978, the province's population grew from an estimated 1,629,005 to 1.934,490, an increase of 19%. The service population of the 102 districts were extremely varied and skewed. It is estimated that the range between the minimum and maximum service population values increased to 504,803 and that approximately 53% of the from 450,055 province's service population were resident within the Edmoniton and Calgary districts during the time period from 1971 to 1978. Examination of the relationship between service population figures and census population figures for each district demonstrated that over the eight year time span of the study, the number of districts having a service population within plus or minus ten percent of the census

population declined from 61 to 48. Therefore, it was apparent that substantial age-sex differences existed among," the district populations, and this finding reinforced the importance of performing age-sex adjustments to population figures used in utilization rate calculations.

· ·

An indepth discussion of Albertan and Canadian hospital utilization trends was not attempted since the intent in section was only to provide background information in this order to convey a general perspective. Rather, two comparative analyses of utilization were prepared. Table 1 illustrates Alberta's position relative to the other Canadian provinces with respect to four selected indicators for the years 1976 and 1981, and in Table 2 a comparison of acute care utilization and surgical utilization with respect to four age gloups, for the years 1971 and 1978 has been presented.

Examination of the statistics in Table 1 indicated that from 1976 to 1981 the number of beds per 1000 persons had declined in seven of the ten provinces. Alber a fanked third highest in 1976 and sixth in 1981 with respect to this indicator, and exceeded the fational index in both years. The number of separations per 1000 persons declined in most provinces; however increases were noted in Quebec and Nova Scotia , A general decline in the number of patient days per 1000 persons was noted in seven provinces, although nationally, this indicator remained almost unchanged from 1976 to 1981. Alberta had the third highest number of

. .

			"	-				124
	· · · ·		Canada	- 10 21 20	1 4 4 4 4 2	:435 1475	۵. ۲۰	
			Nf 1d.	5 S	146 146	·278 ·253	0 0 80 00	ca ta
٢	_			ອີນ ເ	214 206	574 460	ית היים היים	
	.186926.		S Z	o O ما ما	· 50	, 1303 1566	ດ ດ ບ	and
		S	80 7	ບັດ ເດັ່ງ ເດັ່ງເຄ	54 .63	567 740	10.7 10.5	1977-78
	and the Provinces	Provínces	Jue	0 07 1 17	· 10	1128 1366	0 9 8	al report
		and the	t D	- 80 IC -1	1 1 2 2 2 1	164 4 28	0.6 0.	arv annua)
	a for Janada	`anada	Man d .	10 h-	62 49	:631 493	ອຸມ ອຸກ	Dre ¹ minarv
	tfon Jata		Sask	t- 0) 1 11	6C.	371 852	- 0 0 1	2 2 2 0 0
	Utiliza		alta.	ອ ເຊ ເບິ່ງ	3 9 9 9	524	С w m	fta! stat
	umbarative Utilizat		۲ ۳ ۳ در		т. т.	36. 001	n é.	da tospitat
	Ē		-nd icator.	3eds/1000 persons 376 381	eparations/'000 persons 376 381	patient Davs/:000 persons 376 381	iverage length of Stay (days) 976 981	Source: statistics Canada 3-217

N. . . .

separations per 1000 persons in 1976 and 1981, but was ranked sixth and eighth respectively with regard to the number of patient days per 1000 persons.

The ALOS decreased in the three prairie provinces (Alberta, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan) and in Prince Edward Island and New Brunswick, but showed marked increases in the other five provinces. Despite Alberta's relatively high number of separations (third highest), the province's ALOS was second lowest in both 1976 and 1981. The AHUC (1981, p. 18) noted this phenomenon in their examination of 1979 data and commented that this indicated:

that either an efficient, rapid processing of patients once admitted occurred, or that Albertans may be admitted more frequently for therapeutic and diagnostic procedures, or that inappropriate admissions to hospital were being made.

To determine the relative importance of these suppositions further investigation would be required.

The statistics in Table 2 have been arranged to illustrate the utilization trends associated with four age groups (under 15, 15-44, 45-64, and 65+) for both acute care separations and surgical separations with respect to three indicators.

From 1971 to 1978 the numbér of acute care separations remained relatively static in the 15-44 and 45-64 age groups; a 22% decrease was noted in the under 15 age group, while the 65+ age group exhibited to 22% increase.

		Age Ca	Category		5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Indicator'	Under 15	15-44	45-64	65+	Ages	
Total Acute Care Separations Number of Separations Days of Hospital Stay ALOS (days)	714 4831 6.8	1547 11731 7.6	741 10252 13.8	567 14414 25.4	4 1228 11: 6	
Surgical Separations Number of Separations Days of Hospital Stay ALOS (days)	299 1527 5 1	910 6607 7.3	373 4574 12.3	188 3608 19 2	6 3 1 6 9 3 1 6 9 4 7 7 1	
Percentage of Total Separations Involving Surgery	4	59	50	e e	វិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិនិន	••• • • •
Total Acute Care Separations Number of Separations Days of Hospital Stay ALOS (days)	554 3131 5.7	1537 10020 6 5	780 9169 118	21.8 21.8	3563 37438 10 ⁶ 5	
Surgical Separations Number of Separations Days of Hospital Stay ALOS (days)	2 18 5 0 1 0 0 1	1004 6530 6 2	427 4647 10 9	276 4885 17.7	4 - 1 8 8 8 2 10 4 8 10 4 8	- · .
Percentage of Total Separations Involving Surgery	68	65	ភូមិ 2	40	ու գեղջյու ու է	

3

-

Alternatively, the number of surgical separations increased in all but the under 15 age group, and therefore, the percentage of total separations involving surgery also tended to increase. The number of days of hospital stay associated with acute care utilization generally declined while the number of days stay associated with surgical separations generally increased. The ALOS declined for both acute care and surgical separations in all age groups during the time period from 1971 to 1978.

•

The number of separations was consistently highest in 15-44 age group regardless of the nature of the the separation or the year studied because of the large The 65+ group proportion of persons in this age category. had the greatest number of acute care days of hospital stay which likely reflects the extended stay associated with the multiple morbidity and chronic disease common in older people. The 15-44 age group had the greatest number of days hospital stay associated with surgical separations. The of percentage of total separations which involved surgery was also greatest in the 15-44 age group and is probably indicative of the frequent gynecological and obstetrical procedures performed on females in this age group. The rate of acute care separations per 100,000 persons and days of stay per 100,000 persons was consistently highest in the 65+ age group. As expected, the ALOS increased consistently with advancing age for both acute care and surgical separations.

In summary, it is evident that, in comparison to the other Canadian provinces, Alberta tends to have an average. number of beds and a relatively high number of separations per 1000 persons, and a fairly low ALOS and low number of patient days per 1000 persons. From a national perspective it was shown that from 1971 to 1978 the number of separations attributable to acute care utilization had remained quite static, while the number of surgical separations had increased. With respect to the four age groups examined, the greatest number of acute care days of stay was generated by the 65+ age group, while the hospital 15-44 age group used the greatest number of surgical days of hospital stay. The 65+ group also had the highest rate of acute care separations and days of hospital stay. The ALOS for all age groups declined from 1971 to 1978 for both acute care and surgical separations, and was found to increase with advancing age.

- .

. . .

. . .

In the following section acute care and surgical utilization data are analyzed from a provincial perspective.

4.2 Surgical Utilization - Provincial Perspective

.

د

Using a provincial perspective, comparison among total acute care utilization statistics, aggregate utilization associated with all six surgical categories, and the utilization associated with each of the six surgical categories indicated a number of interesting trends which

are reviewed below.

4.2.1 Acute Care Utilization

The number of separations attributable to acute care ົ່ກົວspiital ບໍ່ເປັ່າ ເຂົ້າໃຫ້ ເກີດຕໍ່ເກີດຕໍ່ຄໍ້ສະດີ ໄອ້yົ້7% from 1971 ເປັດ 1978; ເປັນ rate of separation per 1000 persons declined during the same period (227 per 1000 to 205 per 1000). The number of days of hospital stay associated with the increased number of separations remained relatively stable over the eight years, while the rate of days of hospital stay declined (1942 per 1000 to 1630 per 1000). Obviously, the increasing number of persons in the population offset the increased number of separations, and thus, the rate of separations and the rate of days stay per 1000 persons declined. The effect of the province's stable bed supply was reflected by "the marginal change in the number of cases and days of hospital stay over the duration of the study period. The aforementioned Alberta trends parallel those observed nationally with the exception of the number of separations and days of hospital stay The data from this study did not yield results identical to the Statistics Canada data reported in Table 2 due to the inclusion of different utilization data.

والمحاركة المهارية والأرابة والأ

4.2.2 Surgical Utilization

An examination of surgical service utilization generated by all patients undergoing surgery in any one of the six surgical categories (i.e., the utilization reflects

the sum of all six categories) demonstrated that the number and rate of both separations, and days of hospital stay declined during the period from 1971 to 1978. When this surgical service utilization was computed as a percentage of total acute care utilization with respect to the number of well as days of hospital stay, both separations as percentages were found to have steadily declined during the the peak year (1971) surgical During study period. separations (total for all six categories) accounted for 8.6% of all acute care separations; by 1978 this percentage decreased to 7.0%. The utilization trends associated with the six surgical categories studied were not representative of all surgical utilization in Alberta as an increase in the and days of hospital stay, was number of separations, surgical associated with the aggregate sum of a]] utilization from 1971 to 1978. Additionally, in the same separations time period the percentage of acute care involving surgical treatment increased from 56% to 62%.

Examination of the individual surgical categories that during the study period four of the indicated categories (appendectomy, hysterectomy, cholecystectomy, and adenoidectomy) had accounted for a tonsillectomy and decreasing percentage of total acute care hospital days of stay. Alternatively, expression of Gaesarean section days of hospital stay as a percentage of total acute care hospital days of stay revealed a progressively increasing percentage from .57% to 1.18%, while for prostatectomy the percentage
remained almost unchanged (see Appendix B.2). From 1971 to 1978 the number and rate of separations per 1000 persons steadily declined for four of the six surgical categories: appendectomy, hysterectomy, cholecystectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. (see \lable 3. This trend is consistent with the findings of . researchers in Canada and the U.S. with respect to appendectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy; however, increasing rates have been associated with hysterectomy and cholecystectomy in the early 1970's. Rutkow and Zuidema's study (1981) of American surgical rates indicated that both the cholecystectomy and hysterectomy rates increased from 1966 to 1974, but declined from 1974 to 1978. In the time period studied (1971 to 1978) the number and rate of Gaesarean section separations doubled, and the rate of prostatectomy separations remained relatively stable (see Appendix B.3).

Utilization by Age/Sex Group

The percentage distribution of the number of separations and days of hospital stay by age-sex group for the combined eight years of the study is shown in Table 4. The percentages pertaining to separations reflect the following - trends: appendectomy, tensillectomy and and adenoidectomy are predominently performed on persons less than 44 years of age; Caesarean section and hysterectomy

•	•	•	••				•		•			•	•	• • •
•		•		increase decrease	- 30	+ 1.10	مې	- 40.	, I-22.	4 0	•	•		
	• • •	•	· · ·	+ %	6 . -	2 1	* • •	2.4	. 3	4		*		•
		Persons.		1976 1977	2:0 · 2.0	1.7 1.9	* + ×	2.8 1 2.6	2.9 2.9	4.9			•	
•	m`	kates per 1000 a. 1971-1978	ar	1975 • 1	2,1 •	4	С	* \ 	3 2	2 2	elv.	•	-	-
		Alberta	iear	1973 1974	2.4 ,2.2	1.1 1.2	1.2	3 2 - 3 2	1 m	θ.0 ,	tes respectively	•	. ,	1
•	• • • •	surgical se		1972 1	5.6	¢	ຕ •	4 5 ·	۲. ۲	ი ი ა	Q			•
	·	•	•	.126,	• • 2.7			0		•9 •9 • •	1978 Lates			•
	<u>\</u>	•	Surgical čategory		Appendec tomy	Caesarean Section	* Prostatectomy	Chọfecýstectomy	Hysterectomy	illectomy oidectomy	Comparison of			
	4	\$	•			•	*	•	i		•	•		•

L				2 () () () () () () () () () ((6	20). 11) (6)	æ _		• • • •		•	
Group		AU.			ř	29 4 (1 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	<u> </u>	car		 		
Age-Sex						;		a a				
× ٩		T&A.	39 (3,7)		~	0 (0) 0 (0)		appendectomy. ctomy. Hys sents total a				
numbers)		•		•		. .					•	
(bracketed num been combined)		нуs	· · · -		- (0)	(59) (36) (4)	Ξ.	represents append s cholecystectomy od AU represents			·	
(bracketed been combin	zation	, I. -		1 1 1	o	9 6 9 4 6	- , 	p.r. and		-		
S tay have	Caré Utilization'	۲. ۲.	. ()[(4) (4)	(0)	32) 24) ·8)	(4)	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S				
Hospital to 1978	e Care	CHO.	0 e	, 4 4 4		10 25 3	ci	g erro s foll Cho denoid	• .			
able of 1971	d Acute							due to rounding error abbreviated as follo prostatectomy. Cho r llectomy and adenoide	-			
a co a ro s <	ies and	, 2r0.	. 01		ı		1	or ev or ev ctom				
	Categor ies			ניו ייי ויין		-		red duê been abl nts pro: onsille				×
Separations itions for .tt	surgical C	- د- د-	· -		(0)		(o	one hundr es have b represen esents to				
	şurg	0			C	». 8.5.0	с ́	is may not always sum to one hundred of the surgical categories have been i Caesarean Section. Pro. represents jonsion hysterectomy. 18A. represents jonsion				
0 t			(11)	(2)	(9)		5	ys sum 1 cate tion.				
с , ЕЛ О		dav	80.00	ун - С	وست مرجعها مريط المالم	8 8 7 7 8	97	t always urgical c an Sectio ctomy. 18				
			ব হ	रुप च +	4	य य य	¥	may not the sur laesarear lysterect	.•			
bercentage by	a tegor			ມີ ເມີນ 100 - 1 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 -	7- 14	15-44 15-64 65-74	4 0	tages nes of ents of ation	\$			
Φ	Age-Sex Category		Ma le	· , · ,	fema le			Percentages may not alwa The names of the surgica represents Caesarean Sec represents hysterectomy. utilization	•			

frequently in the 15-44 age group; occur most the prostatectomy procedure occurs most often in those aged 65 years or more; and cholecystectomy shows a typical concentration in the 15 to 64 year _old age bracket, with < females accounting for 73% of all cholecystectomy . separations. In comparison, males and females in the 0 - 14and 15-44 age groups accounted for 68% of all acute care separations, while 16% of all acute care separations were attributable to persons over age 65.

Typically, the percentage of total separations and the percentage of total days stay within each surgical category were quite similar; the greatest difference was observed in the 65-74 and 75+ age groups. Examination of the days of hospital stay associated with all acute care separations demonstrated that those less than 45 years of age used 50% of all days, while the 65+ age group (which constitutes approximately 8% of Alberta's population) used 29% of all days. The 29% figure and the previously noted discrepancy between the percentage of total separations and total days stay associated with the 65+ age group are indicative of the increased length of hospital stay sometimes experienced by older persons

Average Length of Stay

Comparison of the ALOS for the six surgical categories and acute care utilization demonstrated that, as observed

nationally, the 65+ age group typically had the longest ALOS (see Table 5). The difference in ALOS between males and females was greatest for cholecystectomy, with males of all ages staying approximately 3.1 days longer than females. Males generally had the longest ALOS when either acute care or relevant surgical separations were examined.

With regard to length of hospital stay, the highest rate of days of hospital stay per 1000 persons was associated with cholecystectomy, while the lowest rates were associated with Caesarean section and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. For all but one of the categories (Caesarean section) the rate of days of hospital stay declined from 1971 to 1978 (see Appendix B.4).

This Brief provincial analysis demonstrated selected aspects of utilization associated with the six surgical categories studied. In the following section, the results of the patient origin destination studies are described

4.3 Patient Origin-Destination Studies

In this section, the results of the patient origin destination analyses are presented from the perspective of the district (the patient's origin) and the bospital (the patient a destination)

ŝ
¢,
-
đ.

`` ----- t,

•

×

verage Length of Stav in Davs by Four Age Groups and by Sex for Total Acute Care Utilization and Six Surgical Categories (Separations for the vears 1971 to 1978 have been combined)

2

.

¢ ۰,

2 .

tilization Category		nan than ∙5	5-44	15-64	55+	111 Ages
cute Care Jtilization	אם - מב המה הימור הימו	··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	0 0 N 1 10 10 1	с. с. с. в. с. с. в	5 9 9 9	9 9 7 9 9 7 9 9 7
rgical Jilization opendectomy	ale ∙emale ∵tal	(r) (g) (r)		, कल्फ्रेज कक्क	י י י י י י י י י	າເທດ ເບັນ ທີ່ 4 ເນີ
, Jesargan Section	ອື່ມສຸ	۲ ۲	0.0	8	•2.0	0.0
rostatectomv	<u>e</u> 6		r Ø	3.5	0.9	17.6
To lecvs tectomy	aale emale otal	(r 8 + -	0, 0 0, 0 8, 0 8, 0	3 0 5 1 5 5 0	6 F - 6	14.3 11.2
sterectomv.	ema le	י ה י	r. 	0	v,	10.3
ns'ilectomy and Tenoidectomy	male 'emale otal	·· () () · · · ·	000	6 9 7 7 7 7 7 7	4 0 0 4	ר. הי הי הי

• • ۰. . , **

136

1

4.3.1 District Perspective - Relevance Indices

As outlined in Chapter III the analyses conducted using the district perspective included a brief preliminary analysis and three specific analyses of the eight years of patient origin destination data. The results of these analyses are described below.

Preliminary Analysis

Cursory examination of the 48 patient grigin destination matrices which included all of the province's hospitals and hospital districts indicated that people who traveled outside their resident district for surgery typically went to an adjacent district (whose hospital size was not necessarily larger than their resident district's hospital size) or to the closest larger center As expected the two major referral centers appeared to be located in Edmonton and Calgary Secondary referral centers appeared to be located in Red Deer, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat and, to a lesser degree, Grande Prairie.

Hospital Size and Patient Travel Patterns

ФÌ,

Examination of the four district groups (outlined in Chapter III) revealed that as the size of the hospital, as measured by the number of rated beds in the district, increased, the percentage of district residents staving within the district for surgery also increased (see Table 6). In districts with a hospital of 300 or more beds, the percentage of residents staying within the district for surgical care was 93% or more for all the years of the

-

ale ê

ercentage of District Residents Having Surgery in the Hospital District of their Residence

. .

,

01str₁ct# Group'		Jac				ungican Jategories		
		į	App.	יט.	. Guc	ho.	Hys	T&A.
• 49 3 e	3eds	971	ţ.	i. i		10	86	02
		975	ЗБ	IJ		ς Γ	22	0,00
		378	50	c T)		57
2. ±0-99 3e	seds	1 2 6 .	d2	1	'n	εŗ	87	0 t
t n=28)			3.1	Ņ	1	0	61	77
		1978 [°]	31	ò		33	12	30
)00-299 3e	3eds	126,	εc	16		۵ ۲	39	06 06
′n=7)		:975	38	4	6 ç	0 ĉ	34	87
		978	Oé	<u>i6</u>	Ċ.	39	.84	86
+00, ····		1971	Lé	6	00,	6 6	66	3 8
n=2)		, 975	36	44	E6	36	35	95 0
		1978		97	а 4	76	36	6
Across all Districts		1971	38	84	30	81	ດ ເ	· 88
: N=99)		.975	33	75	57	L 1	3 £	82
	Ŷ	978	92	74	۵. 8	14	- 44	82

Approximation of the surgical control of the second with 90 or fewer beds. The bracketed number of the number of districts which have a hospital with 19 or fewer beds. The bracketed number refers to the number of districts in the group. The total number of districts sums to 99, because 3 districts do not have a hospital. The nameds of the surgical categories have been abbreviated as follows: Approximate appendectomy, C-S. represents Caesarean Section, Pro. represents prostatectomy, Cho. represents cholecystectomy, Mys. represents hysterectomy, and T&A. represents tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. . م

•

study for all six surgical categories. Hospitals with 300 or more beds typically have more technological support than smaller hospitals, and are also located in large urban centers; this is likely the reason that patients living in districts which have a large hospital(s) do not often travel outside the district to receive surgical care.

Residents who fived in districts which had hospitals tended to travel outside beds with 49 or fewer their resident district for surgery more frequently than residents who lived in districts which had a hospital with a greater number of beds. This finding was expected as many of smaller hospitals have neither adequate facilities nor the suitably trained medical personnel to perform complicated surgeries. The only exception to this trend occurred with appendectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy; at least half of all residents stayed within their resident district, for these surgeries. Speculation regarding the reason for this phenomenon is tentative in the absence of indepth study. Tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy are often performed by general practitioners as well as surgeons, and these procedures are technically less difficult thān some other therefore, hospitals could likely surgeries; smaller accommodate these procedures. With regard to appendectomy, the urgency of the case may prohibit patient travel to a larger center.

Over the duration of the study period, the percentage of people staying within their resident district for surgery

changed minimally in districts having 100 or more beds. the two district groups with fewer than 100 beds However, were generally found to have progressively fewer residents staying within the district for surgery during the time period from 1971 to 1978. The reasons for this decrease are not known: reflect the the trend may increased mobility of district residents, as well as the difficulty of attracting surgeons and other medical personnel to the rural areas where most small hospitals are located.

In summary, it was shown that a patient's tendency to remain in their resident district for surgical care was related to the size of the hospital(s) located in the resident district. As expected, as the size of the hospital(s) increased, the percentage of residents staying within the district for surgery also increased.

Patient Travel Patterns

The previous analyses demonstrated that many patients. left their resident districts to receive surgical care; the objective of this analysis was to quantify the number of persons typically leaving specific areas and to delineate their usual destinations. The inter-district travel patterns of patients resident within particular were areas not examined as the intent was only to examine travel patterns general areas. The relevance indices calculated for the for metropolitan/regional/rural aggregation (see Table 7) and the six-area aggregation (see Appendix B.5), showed that in both 1971 and 1978 the vast majority of patients received

8 62 48 88 72⁴ 3'55 43 82 61 n n ო ო AP CS Pr Ch Hy TA 2 ഗവ Rural Hospitals e e 2 **m** -5 00 0 0 91 68 82 52 - ოო e o . Hospital Destinations and Surgical Category¹ 96 94 95 94 93 93 4 · 7 5 10 00 ⊢ 00 HY TA Ap CS Pr Ch Hy IA 00 ÷ 5 οó r 0 36 98 87 94 33 95,88 95 16 00 5 12 12 00 00 Metropolitan Hospitals Ap CS Pr Ch Hy TA T 8 21 13 29 98 98 ۰. 2 7 86 86 76 31 41 76 35 42 1 100 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 - m **ю** 4 ΰĞ 97 99 97 99 6 20 12 32 -- ---1971 1978 1971 1978 :971 1978 (bar Metropolitan Area Patient Regional Area Rural Area Area of al gino

3

District Perspective: Percentage of Area Residents Receiving Surgical Care at Selected Hospitals (Relevance Indices) 1971 and 1978

Table 7

The regional area includes districts 14, 15, 65, and 69. The remaining districts were combined to form the rural area. The following abbreviations were used for the surgical categories: Ap. Appendectomy: CS. Caesarean Section: Pr. Prostatectomy: Ch. Cholecystectomy: Hy. Hysterectomy: TA. Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy: and T. the total for all six surgical categories. and 106. The metropolitan area includes districts 83, 84, 93, 98.

. --

surgical care at a hospital located within their resident area. This finding was anticipated given past evidence which indicated the importance of distance minimization for patients seeking medical care.

Examination of the relevance indices in Table 7 indicated that the tendency to leave one's resident area for surgical care was greatest for rural residents and least for metropolitan residents. The sufficiency of medical resources in the metropolitan and regional areas probably minimized the need for travel. With the exception of prostatectomy, regional area residents who left their resident area for surgery travelled with similar frequency to both rural and metropolitan area hospitals.

Residents in rural areas tended to remain within their resident area for appendectomy, tonsillectomy, and A adenoidectomy procedures. With respect to the prostatectomy procedure, approximately 76% of all rural residents had this rocedure in metropolitan area hospitals; fewer than 9% were performed in rural area hospitals. Examination of all six surgical categories as an aggregated total showed that approximately 75% of the rural residents who left their resident area obtained surgical care at metropolitan area hospitals. Additionally, over the time period from 1971 to 1978 it was apparent that for all six surgical categories a decreasing percentage of rural residents were obtaining surgical care at rural hospitals (i.e., the relevance indices of the rural area to the hospitals located in the

same area were decreasing). One might have expected that rural residents who left the rural area for surgical care would have utilized the services of hospitals located in regional and metropolitan areas with similar frequency. The observed travel patterns which indicate rural residents' predominant use of metropolitan area hospitals may be a reflection of physician referral patterns, available hospital beds, and the major travel routes in the province which tend to facilitate travel to metropolitan area hospitals.

Examination of the relevance indices for the six areas (see Appendix B.5) indicated that, with the exception of prostatectomy, approximately 90% of the residents in all obtained surgical care at hospitals located within areas their resident area. This finding was expected as a previous study by Paine and Wilson (1975) had shown similar acute-care utilization patterns. Cautious interpretation of relevance indices for the Grande Prairie and Medicine the Hat areas is warranted since the total number of separations attributable to residents in each of these areas is quite small. Even a few people leaving one of these areas may account for a large percentage of the total separations, and be reflected as a fairly high relevance index of the area to hospitals not located in the same area.

In summary, it is apparent that during the time period from 1971 to 1978, with the exception of prostatectomy, the majority of patients had surgery at hospitals located within

3 - ¹

their resident area. The other major trend noted was that from 1971 to 1978 fewer rural residents tended to obtain surgical care in rural hospital facilities.

Average Length of Hospital Stay

analyses The relevance indices in the previous permitted examination of patient travel patterns. In this analysis patient-day data and separation data were used to ALOS in the each of the the among areas compare Similar analysis, aggregations. to the previous 🔪 inter-district comparisons of ALOS were not performed.

The most obvious trend evident was that for patients and travelled out of the metropolitan, who remained in. regional, and rural areas the ALOS declined from 1971 to 1978 (see Appendix B.6). The ALOS for patients remaining in, and leaving their resident area differed according to the type of surgery; with the exception of prostatectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, the ALOS of rural area residents who left their resident area was longer, while the left ALOS of metropolitan and regional area residents who resident area was shorter than for patients who their remained within their respective resident area for surgery. Although specific reasons for this pattern of ALOS were not known, the difference may reflect the referral of the more difficult cases which require care for longer periods of time from the rural areas to metropolitan and regional area the likelihood of metropolitan and regional hospitals, and area residents to remain within their , resident area

especially if the surgery is expected to be difficult.

The ALOS for patients remaining within each of the six areas (six-area aggregation) typically declined from 1971 to 1978 (see Appendix B.7). The ALOS for patients who travelled out of their resident area was not calculated because the number of patients in this category was so small that a few atypical cases would have severely biased the calculations. In both 1971 and 1978 the ALOS for Grande Prairie residents was generally the lowest of the six areas; no particular area was consistently high.

In the following section, the analyses done using a hospital perspective are presented.

4.3.2 Hospital Perspective - Commitment Indices

Analysis of the commitment indices for the hospitals in a 1 1 areas indicated that. with the exception of prostatectomy, hospitals committed over 80% of their surgical separations to patients resident in the area in which the hospitals were located (see Table 8 and Appendix B.8). This finding was expected since the relevance indices for the areas in the two aggregations had shown that patients tended to remain within their resident areas for surgical care.

Commitment indices for hospitals in the metropolitan and regional areas showed that from 1971 to 1978 these hospitals committed an increasing percentage of their total surgical separations to rural area residents, and that with

Area of Patient Origin and Surgical CategoryHospitalsArea of Patient Origin and Surgical CategoryHospitalsYearMetropolitanAp.CS Pr Ch Hy TAAp.CS Pr Ch Hy TAAp.CS Pr Ch Hy TAAp.CS Pr Ch Hy TAAp.CS Pr Ch Hy TAMetropolitan1971197195 90 61 84 83 95 88Hospitals1978197892 84 64 80 82 90 83Ap.CS Pr Ch Hy TA0Hospitals1978197892 84 64 80 82 90 83Ap.CS Pr Ch Hy TA0Hospitals197819781 </th <th>Jry i</th>	Jry i
Metropolitan Area Regional Area Year Ap CS Pr Ch Hy TA Ap CS Pr Ch Hy TA Ap CS Pr Ch Hy TA Ap CS Pr Ch Hy TA 1971 95 90 61 84 83 95 88 0 2 7 0 0 1971 95 90 61 84 83 95 88 0 1 2 1 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 1971 92 84 64 80 82 90 83 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0	•
1971 95 90 61 84 83 95 88 0 2 0 0 1978 92 84 64 80 82 90 83 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 1978 92 84 64 80 82 90 83 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1	
1971 1 0 0' 0 1 0 0' 1 0 75 87 1978 1 0 0' 0 1 0 0 75 87 1978 1 0 0' 0 0 0 75 87	୍ରୁ
Rural Hospitals 1971 3 2 3 4 3 3 3 1 4 0 1 2 1 1978 4 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3	• • • 2 1 1 96 97 95 95 96 96 2 2 1 94 98 92 96 96 96

1

,

146

•

:

1 -

regard to prostatectomy, approximately one-third of their separation commitment went to rural area residents. This finding reflects the degree to which a limited number of hospitals were serving patients from many rural communities in the province.

Examination of the commitment indices in Appendix B.8 indicated that, with the exception of prostatectomy; the hospitals in all six areas committed approximately 90% of their surgical separations to patients located in the same the hospitals. The aforementioned patterns of area as likely reflect physician refermad commitment resource size and the technical expertise patterns, hospital available in different hospitals, the major travel routes in the province, and factors unique to individual patients.

Location of Surgery

To determine the predominant locations where patients had undergone surgery, the percentage of total surgical separations and days of stay generated by hospitals in the areas of the two aggregations were studied. In the metropolitan/regional/rural aggregation it was evident that the majority of both surgical separations and days of hospital stay were attributable to metropolitan area hospitals, with rural area, hospitals, responsible for the second largest percentage of utilization (see Appendix B 9). This finding was anticipated given the distribution of hospital beds and surgical manpower in the province. During the time period from 1971 to 1978 the percentage of total

separations and days stay attributable to hospitals in the three areas increased marginally for metropolitan area hospitals, decreased somewhat, for rural area hospitals, and remained relatively static for regional area hospitals.

148

Hospitals in the Calgary and Edmonton areas of the six-area aggregation were by far the most heavily utilized (see Appendix B.10). Examination of the utilization trends time showed that in all six areas, both the percentage over of separations and days of stay for hospitals in each of the had changed minimally. This finding, and the six areas minimal changes observed among area hospitals in the other aggregation may reflect the relatively stable supply of both surgeons and hospital beds in the province. The majority of separations, and days of hospital stay were generated by hospitals in the cities of Edmonton, Calgary, Red Deer, Lethbridge, and Medicine Hat. During the time period from 1971 to 1978 these cities' hospitals handled over 75% of all Caesarean elsections, hysterectomies, and cholecystectomies, and 90% of all prostatectomies. The percentage of total appendectomy, tousillectomy and adenoidectomy procedures performed in these bospitals was somewhat less the fig of heing about 60%.

For all six surgical categories, and both years examined the percentage of total separations and days of hospital stay generated by the hospitals in a particular area were remarkably similar for all areas in both aggregations This finding attested to the similar ALOS

{

among area hospitals within a particular aggregation.

In summary, the patient origin-destination studies doneusing both a district and hospital perspective indicated that patients typically remained within their resident area for care, and that hospitals committed the vast majority of their resources to patients resident within the area in which the hospital was located. The size of the hospital(s), as measured by the number of beds in the hospital(s) located within a particular hospital district, was shown to be related to the degree to which patients stayed within their resident area for surgical care; the larger the hospital, the greater was the likelihood that patients would remain within their resident district for surgical care

In the following section the results of the automatic surgical utilization rate of intion are presented

4.4 Analysis of Surgical Utilization Rates

÷

Discussion of surgical utilization rate variation among the areas in each of the four aggregations is presented below in two sections: 1° the proliminary analysis of the area surgical utilization rates and below the multiple regression analyses of area of the

цî,

4.4.1 Preliminary Analysis of Area Rates

The results of the investigations concerning each of the six surgical categories are presented below. The discussion of variation among the areas was necessarily limited since determination of the degree of variation among utilization rates which would be considered beyond that due to the stochastic nature of surgical utilization was beyond the expertise of the researcher. Further study, which should involve consultation with members of the medical profession. is required to determine the point at which rate variation should be considered excessive. In the absence of accepted utilization rate standards, the area rates have been discussed with regard to their designs in from the structs "lization rate

Analysis of Appendectomy Rates

Examination of the appendentomy rates among the areas of the four aggregations confirmed the trend established during the analysis of provincial utilization rates: appendentomy rates were found to have decreased significantly over the time period from 1911 to 1970 in all areas studied (see Table 9). Additionally, the preasates in all aggregations and the Alberta sature coorded the Canadian average in every year studied.

with equil to the variation of surgical rates among areas, it was anticipated that reince appendectomy is generally regarded on a new discretionary procedure, determinant factors would minimally influence surgical

ole 3

,

•

,

ı

pendectomy - rate per '000 age-sex adjusted persons

.

ada	976 . 3			Average for
eda erta Calgary Edmonton			- 878	
Derta Derta (algary (a	9	u ,	ی -	co
Calgary Edmonton	0	0	თ -	2.2.
ŋ	80 O	ດ. ແ	r∼ no:	2.0
B. South ,	0	00	& O	2.2
C. Wetropolitan :3 .33	0 10 1 0 2 2 0 0 2 1	8 0 0 	· · · · ·	0.4 Q
D. Calgary 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	50550 10770 10770	ອງອາທາຜູ		000-01 000-01

.

151

.

,

.

ċ

utilization, and therefore, minimal variation would be found. Among the areas in the first two aggregations (Maps A and B) minimal variation was Found, and in some years the rates were virtually identical. This minimal variation likely reflects the matching of determinant factors in the areas of these aggregations. Although the minimal difference of the rates was not thought to be of importance. in SOME Calgary and the Southern area typically had lower rates than Edmonton or the Northern area. The rates for the areas in Map A were somewhat lower than the Alberta rates, while the area rates in Map B were almost identical to the Alberta rates. In the other two aggregations (Maps (and D) much more variation among the area rates was evident and some area rates were consistently higher than the Alberta rate Comparison of the rates associated with all four aggregations enabled the researcher to form tentative conclusions regarding possible reasons for the observed supirtion.

Communition of the metropolitan, regional, and runal areas (Map C) demonstrated that in e environ study of the metropolitan area rates were lower than the Alberta rates: the converse was generally true for regional and runal area rates. From the previous patient primin destination study it was shown that over the study period a decreasing percentage of runal residents remained within their resident district for the appendectomy procedure. Further analysis demonstrated that runal residents who left their resident

2

district typically had surgery in an adjacent district, and did not travel specifically to the metropolitan areas of Edmonton and Calgary, or to the regional referral centers in Red Deer, Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Grande Prairie. If patients resident rural had travelled in area а $_{R^{\prime\prime}}$ predominantly to these six areas, very similar rates among metropolitan, regional, and rural areas would have been expected, since metropolitan and regional surgeons would have been making the decisions regarding removal of the appendix for many rural residents.

The higher rural area rates may be indicative of the circumstances surrounding rural residents' travel to receive care. In metropolitan and regional areas it is comparatively easy to monitor a patient suspected of having appendicitis a prolonged period of time. However, rural residents over who find it necessary to travel to receive care may not be able to make repeated trips to be monitored. Therefore, due to the constraints imposed by lengthy travel time to receive surgical care, rural residents with suspected appendicitis may have surgery more readily than urban residents who can be monitored more easily. Investigation regarding this supposition could be facilitated by a tissue study which examined the rate of removal (of normal appendices from both urban and rural residents undergoing surgery in, an urban hospital. If the supposition is correct, the rate of removal of normal tissue should be higher in patients referred to urbandarea hospitals from rural areas. During the last three

years of the study (1976 to 1978) the variation among the three areas in Map C decreased which may indicate that the determinant factors related to urban/rural residence are progressively becoming less important.

Investigation of the appendectomy rates for the six areas in Map D indicated that the Lethbridge, Grande Prairie, and Red Deer areas had rates consistently higher than the Alberta rate. The Medicine Hat area rates were much lower than the Alberta rate and were the lowest of any area in the four aggregations for the eight years studied." Specific reasons for the high and low extremes were not readily apparent; however, differing physician practice patterns may be affecting utilization rates since the non-discretionary nature of the appendectomy procedure and. the severity of symptoms associated with appendicitis make it unlikely that other determinant factors (except those of epidemiological nature) would substantially influence an utilization rates.

In summary, appendentomy rates have declined in all areas for the entire study period. The rates for this category appear to be consistently high in the Grande Prairie and Lethbridge areas, and consistently low in the Medicine Hat area. Two explanations for the observed variation were proposed which involved the influence of different physician practice patterns and the travel patterns of rural residents. With regard to establishing an appropriate appendectomy rate for the entire province, it

would seem that, since the rates for the matched areas in Map A and B were very similar to the Alberta rates, these rates might be regarded as providing an appropriate standard for metropolitan and regional areas. Further investigation of the circumstances surrounding appendectomy for rural residents would be needed prior to establishing a suitable rate for rural residents.

Analysis of Caesarean Section Rates

Caesarean section (C-S) procedure is typically The regarded non-discretionary, and therefore, minimal as variation among the areas of all aggregations was expected. Preliminary analysis revealed that, from 1971 to 1978, the A Derta C-S rate had generally been lower than the Canadian C-S rate, and that the C-S rate had steadily increased in the areas of all aggregations (see Table 10). This latter finding had been anticipated since a review of reports in literature indicated that C-S rates had risen in Canada the from 1968 to 1977 (Wadhera & Nair, 1982), Less variation among the Pates in the four aggregations was apparent than had been associated with appendectomy; furthermore, it was evident that during the time period from 1971 to 1978 there had been progressively less variation among the areas in allfour aggregations.

Examination of the C-S rates in the areas of Maps A and B indicated much more variation than had been anticipated. The Calgary area in Map A had consistently higher C-S rates than the Alberta C-S rates or those associated with the

•

ðr 1

3

L

ſ

Table 10

Caesarean Section - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons

Areas Compared									
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Average for 1971-1978
Canada '		1.2	1.3	4.1	1.5	1.7	80 '	2.1	т Л
Alberta	0	1.0	-	1.2	4	rr. 	۲. 9	2.1	4
Edmonton	ကဆ	+ 0	(.	С	۰ ۲ ۲	1.7 1.6	- 2	2.3 2.0	 - 4
B. South North	1.2 .8	- თ -		6		1.7 1.6	- 2	2.2	<u></u> 0 4
C. Metropolitan Regional Ruraj	0 m 8	000	0.11.0	 0 8 -	4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2.3	2.5	с В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В В
D. Calgary Edmonton Grande Prairie Lethbridge		- 6 6 4	0,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10	7	4 m 0 80	0 0	0.880 0.40 0.40	8 1 0 5 8 7 0 5 8	 υ ο α ο
Medicine Hat Red Deer	6. 1	8. 1 . 1	1.1		 5 1 1	9 6	2.1	1.8 2.7	1.3

Å

1 The rates pertaining to Canada were calculated using data from Statistics Canada. Surgical Procedures and Treatments, 1971-1978, Catalogue number 82-208. These data were classified according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICDA-8), which can not be perfectly translated into H-ICDA or H-ICDA-2 codes. Therefore, the rates for Canada are not strictly comparable to the other rates. د

۰.

Edmonton area, and in Map B the south area typically had C-S rates higher than either the Alberta rate or the north area. Since the areas in both Map A and Map B had been closely matched with respect to potential determinant factors, epidemiological factors which might have influenced the utilization rates were investigated. It was found that in 1976 the birth rate in the Edmonton area was slightly higher than that associated with the Calgary area, and that the north area had a higher birth rate than the south area (Kosinski, 1980). Unfortunately, the variable birth rate provided confounding evidence, as a higher birth rate was associated with lower C-S rates. Given the non-discretionary nature of the procedure, and the matching of determinant areas, it may be that factors in the two other epidemiological factors, or physician practice patterns weres ∕≯ influencing utilization rates.

Analysis of the metropolitan, regional, and rural areas in Map C showed that the regional area consistently had C-S rates higher than the Alberta rates, while the rural area nates were lower than the provincial rates. The rural C-S rates were most similar to the metropolitan C-S rates. Part of the explanation for this latter finding was evident from the patient origin-destination studies which showed, that over 50% of all rural residents who left their resident area obtained care in hospitals located in the metropolitan area. Regional referral centers were utilized by rural residents

secondarily. This travel pattern may be due to the presence. of sophisticated fetal monitoring equipment as well as the support services offered by the neo-natal intensive care units which are found only in certain Edmonton and Calgary hospitals. The reason for the higher regional rates was unknown; however, analysis of the C-S rates associated with in Map D helped to determine whether or not a the areas particular area, which had been used to form the regional area, might have elevated the regional rates. The variation among the rates associated with the three areas in Map C suggested that determinant factors inherent to the areas formed by the aggregation of the hospital districts into métropolitan, regional, and rural areas had exterted an' influence on the utilization rates.

Analysis of the areas in Map D indicated the the Grande Prairie and Lethbridge areas tended to have C-S rates higher than the Alberta rates, and that the Edmonton and Medicine Hat area rates were typically lower than the provincial rate studied. Birth rate information for all of the years supported the high rates observed in the Grande Prairie area; the high Lethbridge rates, however, were not supported by birth rate statistics. The high C-S rates associated with the Grande Prairie and Lethbridge areas probably account for the regional area in Map C having the highest area rate.

In summary, steadily increasing rates, and rate variation characterized C-S utilization in all aggregations

studied. Variable rates offered birth а potential explanation for some of the observed variation; however, in some instances other epidemiological factors and physician practice patterns were thought to be influencing utilization rates. Hindsight demonstrated the utility of calculating C-S rates as the number of C-S per number of births, rather than as C-S per 1000 persons, since the former calculation would standardize areas of the province with respect to variable rates. The Lethbridge and Grande Prairie areas in Map birth D were found to have C-S rates higher than the Alberta rates, while the Edmonton and Medicine Hat areas in Map D generally had rates lower than the provincial rate. The C-S in the areas of Maps A and B had the least variation rates of the five aggregations. Establishment of a standard C-S rate for all areas in the province would require much more investigation since the C-S rate appears to still be increasing, and since reasons for the rate variation among areas are poorly understood.

Analysis of Prostatectomy Rates

Reports in the literature indicated that the prostatectomy was classified usually procedure as discretionary. Thus, more variation among area rates was would have been expected anticipated than for а non-discretionary Analysis a 1 1 procedure. of areas demonstrated that between 1971 and 1978 prostatectomy rates changed minimally in the majority of areas, and that fluctuating rates were characteristic of all areas. Since a previous Alberta study had found evidence which supported a link between high environmental cadmium concentrations and significantly high prostate cancer incidence (one of the indications for prostatectomy), the areas of all four aggregations were analyzed with respect to mapped areas of high and low cadmium concentrations which were reported in a study by Bako, Smith, and Hanson (1982). It was recognized that prostatectomy is not performed solely for prostate cancer.

B. were found The areas in Maps A and to have prostatectomy rates very similar to the Alberta rates (see Table 11). Slightly more variation was found between the rates for the north and south areas of Map B than between the two metropolitan areas in Map A. Analysis of the cadmium concentrations in the north and south areas indicated that the areas around Lethbridge and Medicine Hat had а particularly high cadmium content when compared to the rest of the province. This finding provided а potential explanation for the south areas' marginally higher rates (for six of the eight years), since both Lethbridge and Medicine Hat are located within the south area.

Analysis of the area rates for Map C indicated that the prostatectomy rates in the metropolitan area were lower than the Alberta average, and that the regional area rates were consistently higher than the provincial rates. The rural area rates were most similar to the metropolitan area rates. This latter finding was anticipated since the patient

•

Table 11

•

Prostatectomy - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons

.

ă.	Areas Compared	·			Year	•				
5		1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Average for 1971-1978
ans	Canada '	1.0.	÷	- -	• •	1.2	1.1	1.2	2	
lbe	Alberta ·	1.2	E.	1.2	. 2	е. ;	-	1.2	С. 	1.2
· A	Calgary Edmonton	- 0	3.2		- N 	2.2				
CO	South Nor th		ц. 4.0	1.2	 		5 . 3 7 . 2	44	, 	0 N 7 5
U	Metropolitan Regional Rural	0.6.6.	562	- 0 0 - 7 - 7	÷-ស្រា 	× + 0 4 4	2 2		 	N 4 0
0	Calgary Edmonton		د. ع	 	0 0 • •		+ + 2 5	- 7	2.2	+ - - 2 - 2
	Grande Prairie Lethbridge Medicine Hat Red Deer	0 h 4 k	אר ס ג 	1 0 M G		- 4 4 4		0000		ອ ເອ ເອ ເອ ອ ອ ອ ອ

1 The rates pertaining to Canada were calculated using data from Statistics Canada, Surgical Procedures and Treatments, 1971-1978, Catalogue number 82-208. These data were classified according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICDA-8) which can not be perfectly translated into H-ICDA or H-ICDA-2 codes. Therefore, the rates for Canada are not strictly comparable to the other rates.

١

4

.

٠.

origin-destination analyses had shown that rural residents almost always left their resident district to have the prostatectomy procedure and, further, that approximately 78% travelled to a hospital in the metropolitan area. Further analysis indicated that more rural residents underwent prostatectomy in Edmonton district hospitals than in hospitals located in the Calgary district.

K

The rate variation in Map D also reflected a possible link between the environmental concentration of cadmium and prostatectomy: the Lethbridge, Medicine Hat, and Red Deer areas had prostatectomy rates considerably higher than the provincial rate, while the rates for the Grande Prairie area tended to be lower than the Alberta rate. One anomalous finding was evident upon examination of the Red Deer area The rates. lack of evidence linking cadmium content to prostatectomy rates in the Red Deer area may in part be due the location from which cadmium samples were collected during the Bako et al. (1982) study Alternatively, other determinant factors may be influencing the prostatectomy rates in the Red Deer area.

summary, prostatectomy rates were shown to have In remained almost unchanged in most areas. Much of the areas was variation among associated with the cadmium concentration, and therefore, this environmental factor was considered as a potential explanation for the observed deviation of certain area rates from the provincial rate. less variation among - area rates may be found if-

prostatectomy rates are based on surgery that has not been done as a result of malignancy (cancer). Establishment of a standard prostatectomy rate for all areas of the province is problematic at this time, as further investigation regarding the influence of cadmium in the environment would be needed in order to set appropriate rates for potentially dissimilar areas.

Analysis of Cholecystectomy Rates

Preliminary analysis indicated an overall decline in the area cholecystectomy rates of all four aggregations, and Alberta rate that was very similar to the Canadian rate an for the majority of years studied. Although this procedure had been labelled as discretionary in the literature, the similarity of Alberta cholecystectomy enates to the area rates, and the comparatively little variation among area rates (see Table 12) suggested that Alberta practitioners regarded cholecystectomy as a non-discretionary procedure Alternatively, some of the variation among area rates previously noted in the literature may have been due to the lack of age and sex standardization of data; thus, the minimal variation among areas noted in this study may in. part be due to the age sex standardization of the data that was done prior to analysis

Due to the deliberate matching of determinant factors, age sex standardization of the data, and the absence of known epidemiological influences which might affect cholecystectomy utilization rates, minimal variation among

12	

able.

,

,

holecystectomy - rate per :000 age-sex adjusted persons

۱.7

Areas Compared				aar,					
	171	972	£ L i	۶L۴.	1975	.976	677	1978	Average for '971-1978
anada	60 77	·	C F .	3.6	е. Е	с ,	2.7	2.4	С [.] С
lberta	0	• 4	ŋ	ŝ	3.1	6	9	2.4	
4. Jalgary Edmonton		(Y 10) (1 - Y	ი <u>ე</u>	ግ ነው 	ۍ بې	ဖွ က ျ	6 r.	5 5 2 5	3.2 3.4
3. South North	14 መ -	* •	ი	4 B)	0 4	t- 60 	21	6 5 6	0 0 0 0
3 Metropolitan Regional Rural	·· ጣ ጪ ·	а а а О п О	ຸ ວຸດຊຸດກ ເ	ດ ບ ດ 	••• D ••	5 0 8	57.0	0 0 0 1 0 0	с с с с с с
0. Calgary Edmonton Srando Dreirio		+ re Q +	o Ç 4		6 0 V 0 0		5.5	3 0 10 12 12	<u>с</u> , с,
Lethbridge Medicine Hat Red Deer	ာတက • • • •		- ۲۰ ۱۹ (۱۹۰۱) ۱۹ (۱۹۰۱)	າ ຍ ດ ຫ ເ າ ຕ ຕ	- - ۵۰۰ -	יי נא ני ס רו ס ת	מ מ מ מ ה ה ה ה ה	10 0 4 B	ດ ອີອີກ ອີອີກ ອີອີກ

I. The rates pertaining to Canada were calculated using data from Statistics Canada. Surgical Procedures and Treatments, 1971-1978. Catalogue number 82-208. These data were classified according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICDA-8) which can not be perfectly translated into H-ICDA or H-ICDA-2 codes. Therefore, the rates for Canada are not strictly comparable to the other rates. ŧ

,

4

,

,

;

the areas of Maps A and B had been anticipated; and the analysis, indeed, confirmed that very little variation existed. A similar lack of variation among the area rates in Map C suggested that determinant factors inherent to metropolitan, regional, and rural areas had little influence on cholecystectomy rates. The rural cholecystectomy rates in Map C were very similar to both regional and metropolitan areas: however, there was less variation evident between rural and metropolitan rates than between rural and regional rates. This finding probably reflects the tendency of rural area residents who leave their resident area to undergo cholecystectomy in hospitals located in metropolitan areas; metropolitan surgeons making decisions regarding are cholecystectomy for many rural residents as well ลร for re**sid**ents metropolitan and the commoniality of decision making is hikely reflected in the similarity of runal and metropolitan cholecystectomy rates.

Examination of the areas in Man D indicated that the Grande Frairin and lethbridge areas tended to have cholecystectomy rates higher than the provincial average. while rates for the Medicine-Hat area fluctuated between high and low extremes. Reasons for the high and low rates must remain speculative win the absence of detailed information. However, since there was minimal rate variation among the areas in Map C, the rate variation observed in Map D may be due to different physician practice patterns, or to as yet unidentified epidemiological factors

In summary, cholecystectomy rates were found to be decreasing in all areas: of the surgeries examined, the area rates for cholecystectomy deviated the least from the Alberta rates. Minimal variation among the areas of the first three Maps (A, B, and C) and the fact that there was less variation among the areas in Map D than had been observed for the procedures studied previously suggested that the determinant factors unique to particular areas did not substantially influence utilization rates, and that . perhaps Alberta physicians regarded this procedure as non-discretionary. Given the lack of evidence that determinant factors (other than age and sex) influenced utilization, epidemiological factors and differing physician practic patterns were proposed as possible reasons to munit for the variation that was observed.

Continued monitoring of cholecystectomy rates should determine whether on not the decrease in rates has continued, and whether or not the decline has moderated. Τf levelling effect is observed, then it would seem reasonable to assume that the Alberta cholecystectomy rate would offer an appropriate standard unless medical technology unonides therapies which could be used as an alternati e to cholecystectomy.

Analysis of Hysterectomy Rates

A review of pertinent literature indicated that hysterectomy was typically classified as usually discretionary, and that there was a lack of consensus among
medical practitioners regarding the need for this procedure. Preliminary analysis revealed that from 1971 to 1978 the hysterectomy rate had declined in all areas studied, and that the rate of decrease in the areas of Maps A and B had moderated during the last three years of the study (see Table 13). Thus, the decrease in Alberta's hysterectomy rate from 1976 to 1978 noted by the AHUC (1981) appears to have started in 1973. Surprisingly, variation among area hysterectomy rates was generally less than had been observed for appendectomy. This result was not expected as variation had previously been reported as being positively related to the discretionary nature of a procedure.

1

Analysis of the hysterectomy rates associated with the areas of Maps A and B indicated that, while minimal rate variation had occurred, the rates were typically higher than the Alberta rate. The variation among the area rates in. Map B' was greater than that found between the two metropolitan areas in Map A; however, these rates were very similar to the provincial rate. Additionally, the north area's hysterectomy rates were generally lower than those in the south area.

Examination of the hysterectomy rates for the areas in Map C madicated that the rural area rates were consistently lower, metropolitan area rates were higher, and regional rates were very similar to the provincial rates. The previous patient origin-destination study demonstrated that over 50% of all rural residents left their resident district

	•
•	
	Ч., 19
0 ·	
	· ·
	•
, ,	
	•
	-
,	
. •	
-	

\$

١,

ð

Table 13

.

•

.,

Hysterectomy - rate per 1000 age-sex adjusted persons '*' '

.

. Areas Compared									
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	Average for 1971-1978
canada -	3 1	н Е	3 1	0.6	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.9
alberta Alberta	9.6	3.7	3 3	3.4	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.2
A. Calgary Edmonton	64 94	3.6 6.4	9 P 0 0	ດ ດີ ເມືອ	9 9 9 9	 	3 3 3 3 0 5	, 3.1 2.8	а 9.4 9.4
B. South North	0.0 0.0	9 8 6 6 6 6	0 9 9	ດ ເມ ອີ	₩, ₩,	3.0 5.8	3.1	3.0 2.6	3 3 9 7
C. Metropolitan Regional Rural	€ 4 € 0	3 3 9	4 E Q 0 9 F	3.7 3.5 2.9	9 7 9 7 7 9 7 9	0.0 7.0 7.0		21 8 0 7 7 7	0 0 0 7 4 0
D. Calgary Edmonton Grande Prairie	ຸດ ເສ ເອັນ ອ	6.6 8.6 9.6	0.4 7.4 7.4	9.4 7.7	33 9 + 4 0 - 4	3.0 2.1	3.1 2.6 2.7	3.0 2.6 2.1	3.3 2.1
Lethbridge Medicine Hat Red Deer	4 6 7 1 6	404	4 0 9 0 7 0	4 - 6 8 9 4	3.8 3.2 3.2 3.2	9 7 7 9 7 7	3.6 3.7	3.3 7 8 9 9	9 7 7 9 9 9 9 9

The rates pertaining to Canada were calculated using data from Statistics Canada. Surgical Procedures and Treatments, 1974-1978. Catalogue number 82-208. These data were classified according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICDA-8) which can not be perfectly translated into H-ICDA or H-ICDA-2 codes. Therefore, the rates for Canada are not strictly comparable to the other rates.

•

: :

and the second

4

168

3

Ĭ

to have a hysterectomy, and that approximately 74% of those who left had their hysterectomy in a hospital located in either the Edmonton or Calgary districts. Thus, it was expected that the rural and metropolitan rates would be most similar; however, regional and rural hysterectomy rates were most similar for five of the eight years studied. It should noted that the variation among the three areas in Map C be was substantially less than that observed among the Map D areas. This finding suggests that since the Map C areas were dissimilar with regard to rural and urban characteristics, health service system determinants, and patient travel patterns, the effect of these determinants was much less than the determinant factors which apparently produced the greater variation among area hysterectomy rates observed in Map D.

Examination of the six areas in Map indicated that D the Lethbridge area had hysterectomy rates well above provincial rates, and that the Medicine Hat and Grande were typically lower than the Alberta Prairie area rates rate. Identification of the nature of the relationship between hysterectomy rates and determinant factors with respect to the areas in Map D was not possible due to a lack adequate information; however, the variation among Map D of areas may be due to factors related to physician practice patterns.

In summary, over the eight-year period studied, the hysterectomy rates declined in all areas. The Lethbridge

in Map D exhibited hysterectomy rates considerably area higher than the Alberta rate, while the Grande Prairie and Medicine Hat areas typically had rates well below the provincial rate. Physician practice patterns were postulated as а factor which might account for the hysterectomy rate variation that was observed. Prior to establishing а hysterectomy rate standard for the province, further study would be needed to show whether or not hysterectomy rates had continued to decline, and to investigate why certain areas consistently exhibit high or low hysterectomy rates.

Analysis of Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy Rates

Examination of the tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy (T&A) rates indicated that from 971 to 1978 the rate had dramatically declined (see Table 14). The researcher recognized that the calculation of T&A rates based solely on inpatient data introduced a potential source of error, since some T&A surgery is done on an outpatient basis. However, the number of T&As done as outpatient procedures was considered insignificant relative to those occurring as inpatient procedures. The T&A rate variation among the areas all aggregations was much less than had been expected of given the discretionary nature of this procedure. The greatest amount of variation was found among the areas in Map D; however, this variation was considerably less than that associated with the appendectomy rates for the areas in

0

Map D.

Areas Compared				Year		•,			
	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	/1976 ^{\$}	1977	1978	Average for 1971-1978
Canada '	7.7	6.9	6.2	5.7	5.0	4 4	4.3	4.0	5.4
Alber ta	8,6	. 9	, 6.0	ະ ເມື	5.7	4 0	4.7	4.4	، ی. ع
Calgary Edmonton	80 80 70 70	e e e e	ດ ເຊິ່ງ	5.7 4.9	4 n 0 0	4 4 8	. 4 . 2 . 2	0 0 6 6	ช . 3 . 3
B. South North	ຜູຜູ ດີດ	6.8 7.0	00	ດ ດີ ເ	ט ט ס 4	4 1 5 8 0	4.7	4 7 7	ន ន ល ល
C. Mietropolitan Regional Rurai	800 005 005	6 7 3 3	ດ ດ ອ - ດ ອ	ຍ ຍ ເ ຊີຍ ຍີ	0 0 0 0 0 0	ស ស ស ល ស ល ស	4 0 N 0 4 4	5000 1000	0 0 0 7 0 0
D. Calgary Edmonton Grande Prairie Lethbridge Medicine Hat		ຄຸດວັສຸດເ 4 ຍ ⊖ ຍ – ເ	, ג ל מער מט ט ע מע ל ל מער	ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ. 4.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ.ດ	4 ら 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	, 44Ν04 ΝΟΟΦ4	ט עע 4 4 0 0 4 0 0	44000 04400	, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,

•

,

 The rates pertaining to Canada were calculated using data from Statistics Canada. Surgical Procedures and Treatments, 1971-1978. Catalogue number 82-208. These data were classified according to the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICDA-8) which can not be perfectly translated into H-ICDA or H-ICDA-2 codes. Therefore, the rates for Canada are not strictly comparable to the other rates. l N

÷

Table 14

•

ź

ł

. .

,

171

-

~

.

ţ

1

...

-

Analysis of the I&A rates for the areas in Maps A and B indicated that minimal variation between the area rates had occurred, and that these rates were very similar to the Alberta T&A rates. It was also evident that neither of the two areas in Map A or Map B had had consistently high or low T&A rates. Examination of the areas in Map C demonstrated that the metropolitan area T&A rates were consistently lower the Alberta rates, while the regional area rates were than typically higher. Remarkably little variation occurred among three Map C areas from 1971 to 1976. After 1976 the the variation increased in 1977, and then declined in 1978. These findings suggest that T&A rates were not greatly influenced by the determinant factors inherent to the Map C aggregation.

Analysis of the T&A rates associated with the areas in Map D indicated that the Grande Prairie, Lethbridge, and Red Deer areas tended to have rates much higher then the rates were markedly lower than the provincial rate. T&A Alberta rates in the Medicine Hat area from 1971 to 1974, and also in 1976, and in the Calgary area in 1975, 1977, and 1978. Specific reasons for the high and low extremes evident the areas in Map D_were not readily discernable; the among most plausible explanatory factors appeared to be related to epidemiological phenomena or physician practice patterns.

In summary, T&A rates were shown to have substantially decreased in Alberta generally, and in the areas of all four aggregations. The amount of rate variation found among the

areas in Maps C and D was less than that associated with the non-discretionary appendectomy procedure. investigation of the Continued T&A rate would warranted prior to establishing seem а standard provincial T&A rate, since the rate is still declining, and since the efficacy of these procedures has not been established.

This preliminary descriptive analysis highlighted the surgical utilization trends for six categories of surgery for each of the areas in the four aggregations. The pertinent findings from these analyses are summarized below. 1. The utilization rates of four surgical categories (appendectomy, hysterectomy, 'cholecystectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy) were shown to have decreased both provincially, and in the areas of all four aggregations over the time period from 1971 to 1978. During the same time period the Caesarean section rate doubled, and the prostatectomy rate remained quite stable.

2. With regard to all six surgical categories the Lethbridge area was consistently associated with utilization rates which were markedly higher than the Alberta rate; conversely, the Medicine Hat area typically had utilization rates which were much less than the provincial average (with the exception of prostatectomy). The degree of variation among area rates considered excessive was not investigated; nevertheless,

these two areas (Lethbridge and Medicine, Hat) warrant further examination to satisfy concern regarding both under and over utilization of surgical services.

- 3. The areas in Maps A and B consistently demonstrated the least variation among area rates for all surgical categories except Caesarean section for the eight years studied. The variation among area rates in the other two aggregations was much greater; Map D areas generally exhibited the most extreme rate variation.
- 4. area The variation among rates associated with a non-discretionary appendectomy, procedure, was generally greater than that associated with discretionary or usually discretionary procedures (tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, cholecystectomy, hysterectomy, and prostatectomy), This finding was unexpected since previously researchers indicated that discretionary procedures demonstrated the areatest amount of variation.
- 5. The metropolitan area rates tended to be less than the provincial average; the converse was generally true for regional area rates. The tendency of rural area residents to travel to metropolitan area hospitals was reflected + (with some exceptions) by the similarity between rural and metropolitan area rates.

In the following section the results of the multiple regression analyses are presented.

4.4.2 Multiple Regression Analyses

From the previous analyses it was evident that variation in surgical utilization rates among geographic areas existed. The results of the multiple regression analyses indicated the degree to which this variation could be explained by geographic factors. In the following sections the results of the multiple regression analyses done for each surgical category are discussed. Six summary tables (one for each surgical category) illustrate the influence of geographic location as an explanatory variable. A brief explanation regarding interpretation of the information in these tables is presented prior to the discussion of the results.

A step-wise multiple regression model was used as a descriptive tool in order to assess the relative contribution of each independent variable (which represented a particular district or area) to the explanation of variation in the dependent variable once the effect of time had been controlled. As such, these analyses were similar to analysis of covariance (ANCV) techniques. However, unlike ANCV, only variation due to time and areas was identified. Variation arising due to rate variation within the areas,. stochastic fluctuation, and measurement error were grouped. together as residuals. Inference (hypothesis testing) was not attempted as accepted theories of surgical utilizations do not exist, and population data (rather than data obtained through sampling of the population) were used. Case weights

(Nie et al., 1975) were used to eliminate the bias inherent to the comparison of different sized areas, and to remove the problem of non-additivity associated with ratio data.

The independent variables listed in the tables reflect those previously described for the composite analysis in section 3.3.3 of Chapter III. The word district is used to refer to specific named districts in which referral centers are located. The word areas reflects all of the districts surrounding a particular referral center, areas that are typically rural in nature. For example, the Calgary area is made up of all the districts in the Calgary area in Map D (see Figure 3) with the exception of the Calgary district.

The relative explanatory power of each independent variable is reflected in the R-square column of the tables. It should be remembered that the R-square value is not a the variation in utilization rates, but rather measure of reflects the proportion of variation in the dependentvariable (utilization rates) explained by the independent As such, the R-square value reflected variables. the variation accounted for after the influence of time and between area variation had been removed. The additive nature of the change in R-square values is interpreted as follows. If, with the addition of a particular independent variable to the regression equation, the R-square value increases by 5%, this change indicates that 5% more variation in the dependent variable is explained with the addition of this variable - not that this variable explains only 5%,

The unstandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the Edmonton district rate due to a particular geographic area. In order derive the expected utilization rate for an area or district, the relevant coefficient is added to the constant. Since the R-square values were typically very low, rate estimates should be regarded as being somewhat uncertain. Since all of the coefficients were calculated in relation to the Edmonton rates it was possible to: 1) rank the geographic areas with respect to high and low rates" of utilization, 2) estimate the expected rates of utilization for particular area or district, 3) estimate the difference in utilization rates between any two areas, and determine the relationship between district utilization 4) rates and the rates associated with the areas adjacent to each district. In the last column of the tables the percentage by which the expected utilization rate for a particular area or district deviated from the expected Edmonton district rate was depicted. As such, extreme rate variation (from the expected Edmonton district rate) could be easily noted.

In the following sections the amount of variation accounted for by a linear combination of independent variables (R-square), and the relative contribution of the independent variables which described patient residence are discussed in relation to each of the six surgical categories. The majority of the discussion focusses on the

regression analysis of the composite map areas, as this finer division of the province provided more detailed information.

Appendectomy

In each of the five regression analyses the amount of variation in the dependent variable accounted for by a linear combination of the independent variables used in the (R-square) did not exceed 31% and was typically regression much lower (Map A, 18%; Map B, 10%: Map C, 18%; Map D, 26%: composite, 31%). With regard to the first four aggregations, after the initial entry of the time variables, the amount of additional variation accounted for by the variables which described patient residence location did not exceed 17% (Map A, 9%; Map B, less than 1%; Map C. 8%; and Map D. 17%). This indicated that the explanatory value of the residence variables in all four regression equations was guitelimited.

The variables used in the composite regression analysis accounted for 31% of the total variation in the dependent variable. (see Table 15). After the initial entry of the time variables, the additional 22% of the variation was accounted for by the variables which described patient residence. The variable which described the Red Deer area was the first residence variable to enter the equation (accounting for 4% of the additional variation explained); it was followed by the variables representing the Lethbridge area and the Medicine Hat district which accounted for an

	15 15
•	able
	€0 ⊦

Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Appendectomy¹

ż

کر ۱		Regression Coefficients ¹	Percentage of the Edmonton District Rate (Constant)
ime.	190	0,080	4.4
Time-squared	095	0.012	0.7
Red Deer Area	161	1,198	65.7
Lethbridge Area	178	1.314	1 22
adicine Hat District	213	-0.893	- 48 -
athbridge District		0 925	50.7
Grande) Prairie District	266	1.321	P CL
Edmonton Area	289	0.378	20.7
ande Prairie Area	. 300	0.914	50.1
Calgary Area	. 309	0.310	17 0
Red Deer District	312	0.351	6.65
Calgary District	.313	-0.061	, , ,
Medicine Hat Area	313	, 0.314	17.2
			•
(Constant)		1.824	•

ſ

- A summary of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the appendectomy rate per 1000 persons in each of the general hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different patient residence locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSION procedures outlined in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). The number in the Regression procedures outlined in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). The number in the Regression procedures count of variation in the dependent variable (appendectomy rates) accounted for after the entry of a particular independent variable (for example, following the entry of the independent variable which described the Red Deer area, approximately 14% (13.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable had ÷ 3
 - been explained.

4

く

- The unstandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the Edmonton district due to a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area appendectomy utilization rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regression coefficient for the Red Deer area (1.198) would be added to the constant (1.824) to yield an expected utilization rate of 3.0 separations per 1000 persons. . m
- The deviation of the expected area or district rates from the expected Edmonton district rate (the rate which is indicated by the constant) is shown in the fourth column ر. 4

* *

additional 4% and 3% of the variation respectively. The other variables representing patient residence entered the regression equation in the order in which they accounted for progressively less variation.

Analysis of the unstandardized regression coefficients indicated that the Grande Prairie district and the areas surrounding the Lethbridge district typically had the highest appendectomy rates, while the Medicine Hat and Calgary district were associated with the lowest rates. With the exception of the Grande Prairie area, residents living in the areas surrounding a referral center had appendectomy somewhat higher, than residents living in districts rates which had a referral center. The reasons why particular (or districts) have utilization rates much higher or areas lower than the Edmonton utilization rates (e.g., Lethbridge district +72%, Medicine Hat district -49%), or why the areas surrounding a referral district have higher utilization than the reference districts are not known. Since the rates utilization trends the milar in all areas, it is unlikely that wariating it due to small area size or to random fluctuations

0

In summary, although the actual rate variation is substantial for some areas, it was evident that when the influence of time was controlled, no particular patient residence variable accounted for a substantial proportion of the variation in the dependent variable. The final regression analysis indicated that only 22% of the stotal

180.

variation in appendectomy rates could be explained by a linear combination of the twelve variables representing patient residence. It appears, therefore, that the variation present among district appendectomy rates cannot be adequately explained in terms of different patient residence.

<u>Caesarean Section</u>

amount of variation additionally accounted for by The the variables representing patient residence in the first four regression equations was negligible (Map A, 2%;~Map B, 2%; Map C, 4%; and Map D, 7%). The twelve patient residence variables in the composite analysis accounted for slightly more of the additional variation (12%), bringing the R-square value to 51%. The first three resident variables to enter the equation (after the time variables), were the Edmonton area, Red Deer district, and the Grande Prairie district, which collectively explained an additional 6% • of the variation in C-S rates (see Table 16). The remainingseven variables representing patient residence accounted for the additional 6% of the explained variation. (The variable representing the area surrounding the Grande Prairie district did not contribute sufficiently to the reduction in variation to be included in the regression equation, which indicated that the rate for this area was the same as the Edmonton district rate, or the constant).

Examination of the unstandardized regression coefficients showed that the lowest Caesarean section (C-S)

۰ ۰				•			•
•	· · .	•		•		182	vinni na si
		1		· · ·)f	· · · ·	· · ·
	م د -	×			1000 persons in each of ferent patient EGRESSION procedures Caesarean section g.the entry of the on in the dependent	ت م 1	
÷	As a onton it)		•		o eac edure fon the nden		-
	sed stan stan		-		ristin e tent procedu section y of the depender	ch at cr	
	Expressed As a of the Edmonton e (Constant) •	- m m	<u>64604066</u>	\$	iersons in eac patient ION procedure ean section entry of the the dependent	© E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	•
	, щ-то Х		1 1 2 2 2 4 4 6 8 4 4 0 1 2 3 4 4 6 8 4 4 6 1 3 4 4 4 6 8 4 4 6 1 3 4 4 4 6 8 4 4 6 1 4 4 4 6 8 4 4 6 1 7 4 6 8 4 7 4 1 7 4 7 4 6 8 7 4 1 7 4 7 4 6 8 7 4 1 7 4 7 4 7 4 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	· · · ·	e per 1000 persons in each to different patient and REGRESSION procedures able (Caesarean section 110wing the entry of the ariation in the dependent	sarison with the Edmonton area Caesarean section dardized regression 1 an expected utilization rate (the rate which is	
		-			ate per 1000 F to different HT and REGRESS iable (Caesar following the variation in	the satt ed ratt the fort	•
	Coeffici Percenta District				per and l lowfr fat		
	Coe Per Dis	2			rate per 1000 persons in er to different patient GHT and REGRESSION proce ariable (Caesarean sect following the entry of e variation in the deper	ce in comparison with the Edm d Calgary area Caesarean sect the unstandardized regression) to yield an expected utiliz diatrict rate (the rate which	•
Section	•		•		fon rate wEIGHT wEIGHT wEIGHT veria the varia	vara vara vara	*~
					section bles_ref the wEI endent v example, %) of th		•
Caesar	۰. د				ed the Caesarean section rate per independent variables refer to di s performéd using the WEIGHT and (Nie et al, 1975). lation in the dependent variable int variable. For example, followi imately 41% (41.4%) of the variat	C-0 60 C	•
1	zed				Caesárean dent varia al, 1975) in thé den able. For 41% (41.4	rtere xpecto zero) (1.52) (1.52) lmonto	•
for	ardi: lon lent:	0.231	0.728 0.831 0.256 0.419 0.171 0.171 0.136 0.039	1 528	afa dent afa dent abl tr abl tr abl tr	d anto ed d fint d fint	
v v	Unstandardized Regression Coefficients'	0000	00000000000	. –		rred rate d rmine the e equal to e constant expected E(
e 16 Analysis	Unst Regr Coef		• •	·	Sed ind Nichat imat	e expe	•
					lysis which used the Caesarean sec variable. The independent variable he analysis was performed using th cfal Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) amount of variation in the depend ular independent variable. For example area, approximately 41% (41.4%)		
Tab	·	1			s whic able nalysi Scien int of indep ea ap	the exist to de to	•
Regr		•	· · ·		ממביסים	0 C T ·	
	re.	10-40	700000000000		the and The art Socfal Socfal Socfal Socfal Socfal Socfal	represent a. In orde time var jould be ad ict rates fourth col	•
Multiple	Square'	372 391 414	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		le regression analysis as the dependent varia time variables. The an ackage for the Social mu represents the amou entry of a particular ribed the Edmonton are	the fourth conditions of the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the fourth conditions of the fourth conditing of the	
• •	¢α.	• •		•	gressic depertable a for to bresent of a p the fo	coerticients 1976 (when th 1976 (when th ea (-0.171) w ersons. area or distr shown in the	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· ۱	r	· · ·		regre the c age f repre th of th	in the second se	
Summar Y	·	-	•		ole r as t as t as t as t as c as c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c c a c a	geographi geographi grea (v) bersons. d area or shown tr	
۲۲ ۲۰		-		1 _	ttip becche	to a particular geographic area, to a particular geographic area, ate for the year 1976 (when the the or the Calgary area (-0.171) woul ations per 1000 persons. ations per 1000 persons. the constant) is shown in the fou	• .
	1675-		- ,	•	ise multip districts und to the itistical p quare colu which desc nained	the year the year algary al ber 1000 ternt) is	
	v D	·			wise multiple distrated and to and to catistic square which will be and to and to and to and to and to and to an and to an	to regression to refricular, the calgary a the calgary a the expected constant) is	
	Var 1ab }es				A summary of a step-wise mul the general hospital distric residence locations and to t outlined in SPSS: Statistica The number in the R-square c rates) accounted for after t independent variable which d variable had been explained.	of the constructions particular to a particular particu	
et provide a second a	Var	• • • • •	area bistrict strict bistrict		of a step- al hospita in SPSS: Si in SPSS: Si in the R- counted for had been ev	for to the the to the to the	
	1	red Area District			al 1 al 1 b court court b had	duction and the four the four the four the four the separations at ion of the duction by the conditional by the conditional for the four t	
	Independent	S S	A H H O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	(t)	A summary of the general residence lo outlined in The number i rates) accou independent variable had	district due to a district due to a coefficient for tate of 1.36 separatio The deviation of indicated by the	
· · ·	nde	Time Time-squa Edmonton Red Deer	Grande Pra Grande Pra Lethbridge Calgary Are Medicine Ha Red Deer Ar	(Constant)	A sum the resid outil the rates thates thata thata		
		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Calgary (Calgary (Calgary (Calgary (Calgary (Calgary (Medicine Redicine	(con	+ C C + S + S + S + S + S + S + S + S +		
	s.	4- i	· · · · ·			- V	

rates were associated with the area adjacent to the Calgary district; the highest and second highest rates were found in the Grande Prairie and Red Deer districts respectively. There was no clear relationship between high and low C-S rates for residents living in districts with referral centers, and residents living in areas adjacent to a referral center. Grande Prairie, Edmonton, Calgary, and Red Deer district residents had higher C-S rates than residentsliving in areas adjacent to each of these districts, while the reverse pattern was evident for Lethbridge and Medicine Hat district residents.

In conclusion, once the influence of time had been removed, a minor amount of variation in the dependent variable (C-S utilization rates) was accounted for by particular patient residence variables, and collectively their explanatory value was very limited.

Prostatectomy

In `all five regression analyses the variables representing time accounted for less than 1% of the additional variation in the dependent variable (district prostatectomy rates), and the calculated R-square values were very low (Map A, 4%; Map B, 3%; Map C, 5%; Map D, 11%; and the composite, 16%). In the composite analysis the patient residence variable representing the Calgary area entered the regression equation ahead of a time variable because the time-squared variable did not meet the statistical criteria necessary for its inclusion at the

1.83

second step. As can be noted from Table 17, its inclusion did not appreciably change the R-square value. The variables representing the Calgary area, Lethbridge district, and the Red Deer area accounted for an additional 4%, 3%, and 3% of the variation in prostatectomy rates respectively (see Table 17). These three areas had the highest prostatectomy rates: the Grande Prairie area and district, and the Calgary district were associated with the lowest rates. With the exception of the Lethbridge and Medicine Hat districts, residents living in the areas adjacent to referral centers had higher prostatectomy rates than residents living in the districts which had referral centers.

While the aforementioned pattern of rate variation reflects previous discussion concerning the possible link between prostatectomy rates and environmental cadmium concentration, if this factor had substantially influenced prostatectomy rates, its importance should have been reflected in much higher R-square values. As such, the minimal variation accounted for by the patient residence variables indicated that geographic location was minimally important in the explanation of prostatectomy utilization wrate variation among districts.

Cholecystectomy.

In each of the five regression analyses the variables, representing time accounted for approximately 47% of the variation in cholecystectomy rates (the dependent variable). The amount of variation explained by the addition of the

; ,			•		•	•						185 [.]	•	
					4				•	ent the	L O	•	•••	·
•	ø			• •						0 ~ Č 0	<pre>h the Edmonton t.prostatectomy egression expected utilization</pre>	•	. •	
	sed As a Edmonton stant) 1	-	•						•	each of residence outlined my rates) independ variable	Edmonton tatectom ion ed utili	si. L		·
•	Expressed As f the Edmont e (Constant)	ъO		9 (N I	2 2		41	ュー		es in es the the	th the Edmonton ct.prostatectomy regression expected utiliz	rate which		~
1	te te	· :0 - E	96	5 7 9	0 0 4 0	ດ - 7 - 1	10	-		O persons in ent patient procedures (prostatecto entry of the he dependent	with the rict prot d regress an expect			
-			· ·						•			(the	•	
· ·	Coeffici Percenta District	' .				,		•		e per 100 tord (ffer EGRESSION variable variable tion in t		råte.		\\
tomy	• • • • • •				•			•	. .	v rate per efer tord and REGRES dent varia following variation	lifference in compar expected Lethbridge zero) the unstandar instant (1.180) to y	strict		
Prostatectomy		- •		•	,	•	• •		· .	ectomy ra les refer IGHT and dependent ple. foll the vari	- <u> </u>	distr.	• .	•
s. S		• * .			•	•				prostatectomy variables re the WEIGHT a in the depend or example, f	difference expected o zero) th onstant (1		. •	•
L L L	Unstandardized Regression Coefficients'	006 366	434	321	 86 0	4 0 0	182 209	020	180	rostatect variables the wEIGH n the dep r example 8%) of thu		Edmonton		
17 Analysis	Unstandardize Regression Coefficients'	· 0 0	4. C	200	0.298	0.0 -0	00	0.0	÷ -		a t e	expected	•	
· ·	Unst. Regr. Coef		۰		· ·		.,			. ບບ ທ ແ ດ	bected stermin are eq			
Table Regression	•	•			.,	•						n the		
Regre	1	•				,	· ·		*	ysis which ble. The i was perfo (Nie et a amount of ependent v approxima	nt the ex rder to d variables uld be ad	es from column.	•	•
° ° °	œ			· ,							0 0 0	1 4	·	
of Multipl	Square	001	20,028 0,028 1,078	125	151	160	. 162	. 163		ep-wise multiple regression analy districts as the dependent varial the time variables. The analysis Package for the Social Sciences R-square column represents the er the entry of a particular inde	repr sa I ne ti 434)	deviation of the expected area or district racated by the constant) is shown in the fourth		
	·. œ	· .			•		, ,		•	regression dependent s: The ana Social Scie represents r particular	ents care en tr ons	distr the		
Summary	·.			•.		· · • •			 	regr es teg soci tepr bridg	coefficients geographic ar 1976 (when t e district (O 1000 persons.	a or vi tr		··· ·
Ŷ						· · ·	:			tiple the table the the olumn	1 COE 9 COE 197(1000	l'area d shown		
а		*								entry the var entry the	ssion ular Vear bridg	ectec t) is		
	e S	,			•	ب					armed. dized regression c at a particular ge ate for the year i or the Lethbridge separations per 10	the expected constant) is		
	Var fableş	•	t t	, ict	uge area In District Drainio Dirtrict		8			a step- tal dis to the to the tcal Pa the R- after diter	zed zed zed zen zen zen zen zen zen zen zen zen zen	the cor	ł	
	1		District Bistrict ea	Dist		ict -	Area	_		of a lospita lospita litistic litistic lifor a which	explained andardized due to a p ion rate fo ent for the 1.61 separa	fon d by th		
	ender	Area Area	ge D∴ Area ∴	Hat		District	raïr1 Hat	Area	t T	summary of neral hosp cations and SS: Statis e number in counted for	unstan unstan trict d izatio ficten	evtat ated		
• •	I ndependen t	Time Calgary Area	Lethbridge Red Deer Ar	Medicine Hat Distri Lethbridge Area	Réd Deer District Grande Drairic Di	Calgary	Grande Praïrie Area Medicine Hat Area	Edmonton	(Constant)	A summary of a ste general hospital c locations and to t SPSS: Statistical The number in the accounted for afte variable which des	The unstandardized regression The unstandardized regression district due to a particular utilization rate for the Lethbridge rate of 1.61 separations per 1	The deviation indicated by		
	3	Time Calge	L'ett Red	Med	Red Dee		Medi	Edmo	(con	, − 0 , − 0 , − 0		4 - T		
		~· .							•		•			

patient residence variables was minimal (Map A, B, and C, less than 1%; Map D, 2%; and the composite, 3%). In the composite analysis the variable representing Lethbridge area residence entered the regression equation first, following the time variables, and accounted for less than 1% of the additional explained variation (see Table 18). The remaining eleven patient residence variables collectively explained an additional 2% of the variation.

The highest cholecystectomy rates were associated with the Lethbridge area, the lowest rates with the area adjacent to the district of Calgary. Typically, residents in the districts of Grande Prairie, Edmonton, and Calgary, had higher cholecystectomy rates than residents living in the area adjacent to each of these districts. Residents in the districts of Red Deer, Lethbridge, and Medicine Hat had lower cholecystectomy rates than the rates associated with the surrounding areas.

In conclusion, once the influence of time was controlled, only a minor amount of variation in the dependent variable was explained by the addition of patient residence variables to the regression equation.

Hysterectomy

In the series of regression analyses concerning hysterectomy rate variation, the variables representing time accounted for only 14% of the explained variation in the dependent variable. The variables representing patient residence accounted for more variation than was observed

Independent Variables R Square' Unstandardized Coefficient Expresse Regression Coefficient Expresse Construct Red Deer Area Red Deer Area Red Deer Area Red Deer Area Red Deer Area (Constant) Red Prairie District Red Deer Area (Constant) (Constant)	les R Square' Unstandardized Regression (Coefficients' 1467 1467 1467 1482 147 1482 147 1485 147 1485 10.380 1497 1497 1497 1497 1497 1497 1497 1497	lles R Square' Unstandardized Coefficient Expressed As a Regression jCoefficients' District Rate (Constant) ' Regression jCoefficients' District Rate (Constant) ' Regression - 0.298 - 0.38 467 - 0.298 - 0.38 477 - 0.336 - 0.197 - 0.38 477 - 0.336 - 0.197 - 0.386 - 11.1 486 - 0.197 - 0.386 - 0.197 - 0.386 - 0.197 - 0.197 - 0.3 497 - 0.197 - 0.197 - 5.2 497 - 0.167 - 0.197 - 5.2 497 - 0.167 - 0.167 - 5.2 497 - 0.167 - 0.167 - 5.2 497 - 0.010 - 64 497 - 0.010 - 64 - 2.1 497 - 0.064 - 2.1 497 - 0.064 - 2.1 497 - 0.064 - 2.1	Iles R Square' Unstandardized Coefficient Explored Regression Percentage Coefficient Explored 1657 Coefficients' District Rate (() 173 0.298 -0.039 173 -0.335 -0.137 174 0.336 -0.137 175 -0.137 0.129 174 0.336 -0.137 174 0.336 -0.137 175 -0.137 -0.137 174 0.380 -0.137 175 -0.135 -0.137 174 0.135 -0.137 175 -0.135 -0.137 174 0.145 -0.137 175 -0.157 -0.137 175 -0.157 -0.137 175 -0.157 -0.137 175 -0.157 -0.157 179 -0.157 -0.157 179 -0.157 -0.157 179 -0.157 -0.157 179 -0.157 -0.157 179 -0.157 -0.1	les R Square' Unstandardized Regression (Coefficients) (Coefficien	lies R Square' Unstandardized Coefficient Exp Regression District Rate (1600 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Iles R Square' Unstandardized Coefficient Explores to the percentage of the service tage of tage o	Tadanata (Actac)	Summary	of Multiple Regr	lable 18 Regression Analysis for Ch	Cholecystectomy	• •
с 467 467 467 486 487 486 486 493 493 493 493 493 493 666 493 666 493 666 493 00.336 0.157 0.157 0.0569 0.0564 0.0569 0.0566 0.0569 0.056	467 -0.298 469 -0.009 477 -0.298 482 -0.197 482 -0.197 486 -0.197 495 -0.197 495 -0.197 495 -0.197 497 -0.197 497 -0.197 497 -0.157 497 -0.157 497 -0.157 497 -0.157 497 -0.164 497 -0.164 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 498 -0.064 1497 -0.064 1497 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1497 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1498 -0.064 1499 -0.064 1491 -0.064 1491 -0.0	467 -0.298 -0.298 -0.398 477 -0.009 -0.009 -0.336 477 -0.036 -0.336 -1111 482 -0.036 -0.197 -1111 486 -0.197 -0.336 -1111 486 -0.197 -0.197 -1111 486 -0.197 -0.197 -1111 495 -0.195 -0.157 -2.1 497 0.115 -0.0167 -3.2 497 -0.0167 -2.1 497 -0.0167 -2.1 497 -0.0569 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -2.1 1497 -0.064 -2.1 1497 -0.064 -2.1 1497 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1497 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 <t< th=""><th>467 -0.298 -0.398 -0.336 477 -0.336 -0.336 -11 473 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 493 -0.346 -0.195 -0.36 495 -0.157 -0.157 -0.31 497 -0.157 -0.157 -0.31 497 -0.064 -0.269 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.264 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 498 -2.3 -0.064 591 -2.3 -0.064 -2.2 591 -2.3 -0.064</th><th>467 -0.298 -0.009 469 -0.009 -0.009 469 -0.009 -0.197 482 -0.035 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.195 483 -0.195 -0.195 484 -0.195 -0.195 485 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.060 -100 497 -0.064 -100 497 -0.064 -100 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 5101 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1</th><th>467 -0.298 -0.009 477 -0.009 -0.009 477 -0.035 -0.137 486 -0.036 -0.137 486 -0.036 -0.137 486 -0.195 -0.195 486 -0.195 -0.195 487 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.167 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.0164 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.266 51014111 -0.218 -0.064 5111111 -118 -118 52111111 -118 <</th><th>467 -0.238 -9.200 469 -0.000 420 -0.137 469 -0.035 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.135 -0.135 -11. 493 -0.135 -0.135 -11. 493 -0.015 -0.135 -11. 497 -0.016 -0.157 -12. 497 -0.016 -0.016 -12. 497 -0.016 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.054 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 -2.1 498 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 499 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 51414101 -2.1 -0.064 -2.1 75141411 -2.1 -0.064 -2.1 <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Unstandardized Regression ¿Coeffictents'</th><th></th><th>t Expressed As of the Edmonto ate (Constant)</th></tr<></th></t<>	467 -0.298 -0.398 -0.336 477 -0.336 -0.336 -11 473 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 482 -0.336 -0.336 -11 493 -0.346 -0.195 -0.36 495 -0.157 -0.157 -0.31 497 -0.157 -0.157 -0.31 497 -0.064 -0.269 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.264 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 498 -0.064 -2.2 497 -0.064 -2.2 498 -2.3 -0.064 591 -2.3 -0.064 -2.2 591 -2.3 -0.064	467 -0.298 -0.009 469 -0.009 -0.009 469 -0.009 -0.197 482 -0.035 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.195 483 -0.195 -0.195 484 -0.195 -0.195 485 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.060 -100 497 -0.064 -100 497 -0.064 -100 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 5101 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1 5211 -0.064 -2.1	467 -0.298 -0.009 477 -0.009 -0.009 477 -0.035 -0.137 486 -0.036 -0.137 486 -0.036 -0.137 486 -0.195 -0.195 486 -0.195 -0.195 487 -0.195 -0.195 497 -0.167 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.0164 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.269 497 -0.064 -0.266 51014111 -0.218 -0.064 5111111 -118 -118 52111111 -118 <	467 -0.238 -9.200 469 -0.000 420 -0.137 469 -0.035 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 486 -0.135 -0.135 -11. 493 -0.135 -0.135 -11. 493 -0.015 -0.135 -11. 497 -0.016 -0.157 -12. 497 -0.016 -0.016 -12. 497 -0.016 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.054 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 -2.1 498 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 499 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 51414101 -2.1 -0.064 -2.1 75141411 -2.1 -0.064 -2.1 <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Unstandardized Regression ¿Coeffictents'</th><th></th><th>t Expressed As of the Edmonto ate (Constant)</th></tr<>				Unstandardized Regression ¿Coeffictents'		t Expressed As of the Edmonto ate (Constant)
467 469 469 469 477 486 486 493 493 493 493 493 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497	467 -0.298 477 -0.009 477 -0.336 486 -0.197 493 -0.195 493 -0.195 493 -0.195 493 -0.195 495 -0.195 496 0.115 497 -0.195 497 -0.195 497 -0.195 497 -0.167 497 -0.167 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 3.033 3.033	467 -0.298 -9.8 477 -0.009 -0.336 477 -0.336 -11.1 482 -0.197 -0.336 486 -0.197 -0.195 497 -0.157 -0.157 495 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.167 -0.269 497 -0.167 -0.269 497 -0.016 -0.269 497 -0.0167 -2.1 497 -0.0167 -2.1 497 -0.0167 -2.1 497 -0.0164 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 1497 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -0.064 -2.1 1498 -2.1 0.064 <t< td=""><td>467 -0.298 -0.009 -0.131 477 -0.036 -0.137 -0.131 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 485 -0.136 -0.137 -0.137 495 -0.157 -0.157 -0.127 497 -0.157 -0.157 -0.127 497 -0.010 -0.157 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.064 -0.215 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.216 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.216 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1498 -0.064</td><td>467-0.298-0.298469-0.009-0.1314770.420-0.195482-0.195-0.195482-0.195-0.195493-0.195-0.1954950.115-0.1954970.115-0.1324970.115-0.1574970.010-124970.010-124970.010-124970.010-124970.010-23497-0.010-26497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.064-2.1498-0.064-2.191-0.064-2.192-2.1-0.05593-2.1-2.1948-0.064-2.195-0.064-2.196-0.064-2.197-0.064-2.198-0.064-2.199-0.064-2.191-1.110-2.195-2.1-2.196-2.1-2.197-2.1-2.198-2.1-2.199-2.1-2.191-2.1-2.192-2.1-2.193-2.1-2.194-2.1-2.195-2.1-2.196<td< td=""><td>467 -0.298 -0.298 -0.203 477 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 476 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 497 -0.195 -0.195 -111 497 -0.010 -0.157 -121 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -3.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 5101 -101 -101 -2.1 52141 -101 -2.1 -2.1</td><td>467 -0.298 -0.009 469 -0.137 -0.336 477 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.336 487 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.366 495 -0.195 -0.197 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.0664 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 497 -0.064 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 499 -0.064 -0.167 491 -0.167 -2.191 51 -10.161 -10.160 51 -10.161 -10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<></td></t<>	467 -0.298 -0.009 -0.131 477 -0.036 -0.137 -0.131 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 482 -0.137 -0.137 -0.137 485 -0.136 -0.137 -0.137 495 -0.157 -0.157 -0.127 497 -0.157 -0.157 -0.127 497 -0.010 -0.157 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.064 -0.215 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.216 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.216 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 1498 -0.064	467-0.298-0.298469-0.009-0.1314770.420-0.195482-0.195-0.195482-0.195-0.195493-0.195-0.1954950.115-0.1954970.115-0.1324970.115-0.1574970.010-124970.010-124970.010-124970.010-124970.010-23497-0.010-26497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.010-264497-0.064-2.1498-0.064-2.191-0.064-2.192-2.1-0.05593-2.1-2.1948-0.064-2.195-0.064-2.196-0.064-2.197-0.064-2.198-0.064-2.199-0.064-2.191-1.110-2.195-2.1-2.196-2.1-2.197-2.1-2.198-2.1-2.199-2.1-2.191-2.1-2.192-2.1-2.193-2.1-2.194-2.1-2.195-2.1-2.196 <td< td=""><td>467 -0.298 -0.298 -0.203 477 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 476 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 497 -0.195 -0.195 -111 497 -0.010 -0.157 -121 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -3.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 5101 -101 -101 -2.1 52141 -101 -2.1 -2.1</td><td>467 -0.298 -0.009 469 -0.137 -0.336 477 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.336 487 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.366 495 -0.195 -0.197 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.0664 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 497 -0.064 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 499 -0.064 -0.167 491 -0.167 -2.191 51 -10.161 -10.160 51 -10.161 -10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	467 -0.298 -0.298 -0.203 477 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 476 0.400 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.111 497 -0.195 -0.195 -111 497 -0.010 -0.157 -121 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -3.2 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 5101 -101 -101 -2.1 52141 -101 -2.1 -2.1	467 -0.298 -0.009 469 -0.137 -0.336 477 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.336 487 -0.336 -0.197 486 -0.197 -0.366 495 -0.195 -0.197 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.167 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.010 -0.167 497 -0.0664 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 497 -0.064 -0.167 498 -0.064 -0.167 499 -0.064 -0.167 491 -0.167 -2.191 51 -10.161 -10.160 51 -10.161 -10.						
469 477 477 477 482 482 486 493 493 495 493 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497	469 -0.009 477 -0.009 482 -0.197 486 -0.197 493 -0.195 493 -0.195 495 -0.195 495 -0.115 497 -0.115 497 -0.115 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.038 497 -0.010 497 -0.036 497 -0.038 -0.010 -0.064 -0.033 -0.064 -0.056 -0.033 -10.064 -0.064 -10.064 -0.033 -10.064 -0.064 -10.064 -0.033	469 -0.009 -0.336 -0.336 477 0.420 -0.336 -11.1 486 -0.336 -0.336 -11.1 486 -0.197 -0.336 -11.1 495 -0.197 -0.380 -12.1 495 -0.195 -0.157 -2.4 497 -0.157 -0.157 -3.3 497 -0.010 -3.8 -3.3 497 -0.064 -2.1 -3.3 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 2.1 203 3.033 3.033 3.033 al districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different partition 2.1	469 -0.009 -0.009 -0.13 477 -0.336 -0.13 -11 482 -0.135 -0.336 -11 486 -0.195 -0.197 -6.1 495 -0.195 -0.197 -6.1 495 -0.195 -0.195 -11 495 -0.115 -0.195 -12.5 497 -0.115 -0.115 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.010 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.010 -2.1 497 -0.010 -0.010 -2.1 497 -0.010 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 503 -1.155 -2.1 -2.1 7 -2.1 -2.1 -2.1 8 -2.1 -2.1 -2.1 8 -2.1 -2.1 -2.1 101 </td <td>459 -0.009 -0.137 477 -0.336 -11 482 -0.197 -0.336 482 -0.195 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.197 495 -0.195 -0.197 497 -0.380 -11 497 -0.380 -115 497 -0.157 -12 497 -0.010 -18 497 -0.010 -18 497 -0.010 -23 497 -0.056 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 498 -0.064 -23 498 -0.064 -23 91 -0.064 -23 92 -0.064 -23 93 -0.064 -23 948 -0.064 -23 949 -0.064 -23 949 -24<!--</td--><td> 469 477 482 482 482 483 484 484 485 493 495 6,195 7,0195 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0100<td>459 -0.009 -0.197 -0.197 477 -0.195 -0.195 -11 486 -0.197 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -12 497 -0.157 -0.157 -12 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.016 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 <td< td=""><td>ime</td><td></td><td>.467</td><td>-0.298</td><td></td><td>ແ ດາ ເ</td></td<></td></td></td>	459 -0.009 -0.137 477 -0.336 -11 482 -0.197 -0.336 482 -0.195 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.197 482 -0.195 -0.197 495 -0.195 -0.197 497 -0.380 -11 497 -0.380 -115 497 -0.157 -12 497 -0.010 -18 497 -0.010 -18 497 -0.010 -23 497 -0.056 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 497 -0.064 -23 498 -0.064 -23 498 -0.064 -23 91 -0.064 -23 92 -0.064 -23 93 -0.064 -23 948 -0.064 -23 949 -0.064 -23 949 -24 </td <td> 469 477 482 482 482 483 484 484 485 493 495 6,195 7,0195 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0100<td>459 -0.009 -0.197 -0.197 477 -0.195 -0.195 -11 486 -0.197 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -12 497 -0.157 -0.157 -12 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.016 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 <td< td=""><td>ime</td><td></td><td>.467</td><td>-0.298</td><td></td><td>ແ ດາ ເ</td></td<></td></td>	 469 477 482 482 482 483 484 484 485 493 495 6,195 7,0195 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0106 7,0100 7,0100<td>459 -0.009 -0.197 -0.197 477 -0.195 -0.195 -11 486 -0.197 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -12 497 -0.157 -0.157 -12 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.016 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 <td< td=""><td>ime</td><td></td><td>.467</td><td>-0.298</td><td></td><td>ແ ດາ ເ</td></td<></td>	459 -0.009 -0.197 -0.197 477 -0.195 -0.195 -11 486 -0.197 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -11 497 -0.195 -0.195 -12 497 -0.157 -0.157 -12 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.015 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.016 -0.015 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.5 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 497 -0.064 -2.7 -2.5 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 -2.141 531 <td< td=""><td>ime</td><td></td><td>.467</td><td>-0.298</td><td></td><td>ແ ດາ ເ</td></td<>	ime		.467	-0.298		ແ ດາ ເ
0.420 482 486 493 495 495 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497	477 0.420 482 -0.137 486 -0.197 493 -0.195 495 0.115 497 -0.195 497 -0.115 497 -0.115 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 13.033 3.033	477 0.420. 13.6 -11.1 482 -0.336 -11.1 -11.1 486 -0.336 -0.197 -6.5 486 -0.386 -0.386 -11.1 486 -0.386 -0.386 -11.1 495 -0.380 0.115 -5.2 497 -0.010 -8.9 497 -0.010 -8.9 497 -0.010 -8.9 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 497 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -2.1 498 -3.033 -2.1 503 -1.569 -2.1 503 3.033 -2.1 514 0.064 -2.1 523 -1.500 persong in each	477 0.420 13.6 482 -0.336 -11. 486 -0.336 -13.6 486 -0.336 -12. 486 -0.385 -0.385 497 0.115 -12. 497 0.115 -12. 497 0.010 -12. 497 0.010 -2.12. 497 0.010 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.12. 497 0.064 -2.12. 497 0.064 -2.12. 497 0.064 -2.12. 497 0.064 -2.12. 498 0.064 -2.12. 497 0.064 -2.12. 498 0.064 -2.13. 51415121 -0.064 -2.14. 524121 -0.064 -2.14. 53 -0.064 -2.14. 544151 -140 -2.14. 544151 -140 -2.14. 544151 -140 -2.14. 544151 -15.14. -2.14.	477 0.420 -0.336 -11 482 -0.197 -0.197 -6.6 486 -0.197 -0.197 -6.1 486 -0.195 -0.197 -6.1 495 -0.195 -0.197 -6.1 495 0.115 -0.157 -6.5 497 -0.010 -6.6 -6.5 497 -0.010 -6.6 -6.5 497 -0.010 -6.6 -7.1 497 -0.010 -6.6 -7.1 497 -0.010 -6.6 -7.1 497 -0.010 -6.6 -7.1 497 -0.064 -7.1 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.3 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.3 -7.2 514 -7.3 -7.3 -7.3 7.498 -0.064 -7.3 -7.1 7.491 -7.1 -7.1 -7.1 7.491 -7.1 -7.3 -7.1 7.491 -7.1 -7.2 -7.1 7.491<	477 0.420 432 -0.336 -11 482 -0.135 -0.136 -11 485 -0.136 -0.136 -11 493 -0.138 0.115 -6.2 493 0.115 -0.138 -12 497 0.010 -13 -6.2 497 0.010 -3.2 -0.12 497 0.054 -3.2 -0.12 497 0.064 -2.1 -5.2 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 501 437 0.064 -2.1 51 -0.010 -3.5 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 -2.1 51 -0.051 -0.064 -2.1 51 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 51 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 51 -0.064 -2.1 -2.1 51 -2.1 -0.064 -2.1 51 -2.1 -2.2.1 -2.1 </td <td>477 0.420 436 -0.135 -11 482 -0.135 -0.135 -11 493 -0.136 -0.136 -11 495 -0.138 -0.136 -12 497 0.015 -0.138 -12 497 0.010 -26 -5.2 497 0.054 -3.2 497 0.064 -5.2 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 98 -0.064 -2.1 99 -0.064 -2.1 91 0.064 -2.1 92 -0.064 -2.1 93 -0.064 -2.1 94 -0.064 -2.1 95 -0.064 -2.1 95 -0.064 -2.1 96 -2.1 -0.064 97 -2.1 -0.064</td> <td>ime-squared</td> <td>*</td> <td>. 469</td> <td>600.0-</td> <td>•</td> <td>- 0.3</td>	477 0.420 436 -0.135 -11 482 -0.135 -0.135 -11 493 -0.136 -0.136 -11 495 -0.138 -0.136 -12 497 0.015 -0.138 -12 497 0.010 -26 -5.2 497 0.054 -3.2 497 0.064 -5.2 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 98 -0.064 -2.1 99 -0.064 -2.1 91 0.064 -2.1 92 -0.064 -2.1 93 -0.064 -2.1 94 -0.064 -2.1 95 -0.064 -2.1 95 -0.064 -2.1 96 -2.1 -0.064 97 -2.1 -0.064	ime-squared	*	. 469	600.0-	•	- 0.3
0.064 486 493 493 495 495 495 495 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497	ct -0.336 486 -0.197 493 -0.195 495 -0.195 497 -0.115 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 498 -0.0064 498 -0.0064 20064 -0.0064 20064 -0.0064 20064 -0.0064 20064 -0.	ct -0.336 -11.1 486 -0.197 -0.197 493 -0.195 -0.195 495 -0.195 -0.155 495 -0.115 -0.380 497 -0.115 -0.316 497 -0.010 -0.155 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -0.269 497 -0.010 -2.1 497 -0.010 -2.1 497 -0.056 -2.1 497 -0.056 -2.1 23.8 -2.1 -2.1 497 -0.056 -2.1 23.3 -0.056 -2.1 23.3 -0.056 -2.1 23.3 -2.1 0.064 23.3 -2.1 0.054 2498 -0.056 -2.1 2033 -2.1 -2.1 21010157 -2.1 -2.1 22111518 -1.000 -2.1 22111515 -2.1 -2.1	ct -0.336 -0.336 -11. 486 -0.197 -6.2 493 -0.350 -0.356 -0.356 497 -0.350 -0.350 -0.350 497 -0.010 -0.57 -0.366 497 -0.010 -3.6 497 -0.010 -3.6 497 -0.010 -3.6 497 -0.010 -3.6 497 -0.064 -5.7 497 -0.064 -5.7 497 -0.064 -2.1 498 -0.064 -2.1 92 -3.033 3.033 93 -0.064 -2.1 949 -0.064 -2.1 95 -0.064 -2.1 96 -0.064 -2.1 97 -3.033 3.033 9.105 -10.000 -2.1 9.105 -3.033 3.033 9.105 -10.000 -2.1 9.105 -10.010 -10.000 9.105 -10.010 -2.1 <tr< td=""><td>482 -0.336 -11. 486 -0.197 -6.197 -6.197 493 0.115 -0.197 -12.1 496 0.115 -0.157 -0.53 497 0.157 -0.157 -0.157 497 0.010 -5.2 497 0.061 -0.157 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 530 -2.1 -0.000 64 -2.1 -0.000 65 -0.054 -2.1 7 -1.1000 -2.1 7 -2.1 -2.1 8 -2.1 -2.1 9 -2.1 -2.1 101010 -2.1 -2.1 101010 -2.1<</td><td>482 -0.336 -11 486 -0.197 -6.1 486 -0.197 -6.1 495 -0.366 -0.157 -6.1 497 -0.157 -0.157 -6.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -6.5 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.5 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 518 -0.064 -3.2 519 -0.064 -2.1 521 -0.064 -2.1 531 -0.064 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531</td><td>482 -0.135 -11 486 -0.197 -6.197 486 -0.195 -6.197 495 -0.195 -0.380 497 -0.157 -0.380 497 -0.157 -0.380 497 -0.157 -6.127 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 92 -0.064 -7.2 93 -0.064 -7.2 93 -0.064 -7.2 94 -0.064 -7.2 94 -10.000 persion 95 -10.064 -7.2 95 -10.064 -7.2 95</td><td>ethbridge Area</td><td></td><td>477</td><td>0.420</td><td>•</td><td>•</td></tr<>	482 -0.336 -11. 486 -0.197 -6.197 -6.197 493 0.115 -0.197 -12.1 496 0.115 -0.157 -0.53 497 0.157 -0.157 -0.157 497 0.010 -5.2 497 0.061 -0.157 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 -2.1 530 -2.1 -0.000 64 -2.1 -0.000 65 -0.054 -2.1 7 -1.1000 -2.1 7 -2.1 -2.1 8 -2.1 -2.1 9 -2.1 -2.1 101010 -2.1 -2.1 101010 -2.1<	482 -0.336 -11 486 -0.197 -6.1 486 -0.197 -6.1 495 -0.366 -0.157 -6.1 497 -0.157 -0.157 -6.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -6.5 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.5 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.6 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 497 -0.064 -3.2 518 -0.064 -3.2 519 -0.064 -2.1 521 -0.064 -2.1 531 -0.064 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531 -2.1 -2.1 531	482 -0.135 -11 486 -0.197 -6.197 486 -0.195 -6.197 495 -0.195 -0.380 497 -0.157 -0.380 497 -0.157 -0.380 497 -0.157 -6.127 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.010 -6.157 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 497 -0.064 -7.2 92 -0.064 -7.2 93 -0.064 -7.2 93 -0.064 -7.2 94 -0.064 -7.2 94 -10.000 persion 95 -10.064 -7.2 95 -10.064 -7.2 95	ethbridge Area		477	0.420	•	•
ct 486 493 495 495 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 60.064 497 0.064 493 3.033 3.033	ct -0.197 -0.195 -0.195 -0.195 497 497 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 0.269 497 -0.064 3.033 3.033 9-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy	ct 486 -0.197 -6.5 495 0.195 -0.195 -6.4 497 -0.115 320 12.5 497 -0.115 3.8 -3.3 497 -0.010 15 -3.3 497 -0.010 -0.157 -3.3 497 -0.010 2.1 3.3 497 0.064 -2.1 497 0.064 -2.1 1333 3.033 3.033 141 title regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each -2.1	ct 486 -0.197 -0.197 -0.197 -0.197 -0.195 -0.195 -0.195 -0.195 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.157 -0.157 -0.157 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.1212 -0.010 -0.269	ct486-0.1976.8ct493-0.195-0.195-0.124970.115-0.157-0.157-0.1574970.010-0.010-0.380-0.12497-0.010-0.010-0.269-0.269497-0.010-0.010-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.269-0.269497-0.064-0.064-0.271-0.271504-0.064-0.064-0.275-0.2166104-0.076-0.064-0.275-0.269704-0.076-0.064-0.275-0.2698-0.076-0.076-0.064-0.2758-0.076-0.076-0.064-0.2758-0.076-0.076 <t< td=""><td>ct -0.197 -0.195 -6.2 ct -0.195 -0.195 -6.2 497 -0.115 -0.157 -6.2 497 -0.157 -0.157 -5.2 497 -0.010 -0.53 -5.2 497 -0.010 0.269 -3.2 497 -0.010 0.269 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1081 -0.010 -0.010 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1097 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1010 -1.010 -1.000 -3.2 1021 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1</td><td>ct -0.197 -0.197 -6.1 ct 495 -0.195 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.157 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.2 497 -0.064 -2.1 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -498 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -518 -0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -618 -618 -0.064 -2.1 -731 -733 -0.064 -2.1 -740 -70.064 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1</td><td>algary Area</td><td></td><td>. 482</td><td>-0.336</td><td></td><td>-11.1</td></t<>	ct -0.197 -0.195 -6.2 ct -0.195 -0.195 -6.2 497 -0.115 -0.157 -6.2 497 -0.157 -0.157 -5.2 497 -0.010 -0.53 -5.2 497 -0.010 0.269 -3.2 497 -0.010 0.269 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1081 -0.010 -0.010 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1097 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 1010 -1.010 -1.000 -3.2 1021 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1 1031 -1.010 -1.010 -2.1	ct -0.197 -0.197 -6.1 ct 495 -0.195 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.157 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.010 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.1 497 -0.016 -0.157 -5.2 497 -0.064 -2.1 -3.2 497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -498 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -497 0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -518 -0.064 -2.1 -3.2 -618 -618 -0.064 -2.1 -731 -733 -0.064 -2.1 -740 -70.064 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1 -741 -741 -7.2 -2.1	algary Area		. 482	-0.336		-11.1
0,195 495 496 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 0.064 497 0.064 3.033 3.033	ct	ct 495 -0.195 -0.195 -6.4 497 -0.115 -3.8 -3.8 497 -0.115 -0.157 -3.8 497 -0.010 -0.157 -3.3 497 -0.010 -0.269 8.9 497 -0.010 -0.269 8.9 497 -0.010 -0.269 8.9 497 -0.010 -0.269 8.9 497 0.064 -2.1 2.1 933 3.033 3.033 3.033 91 districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to difference at a tool persoing in each	ct	ct 495 -0.195 -0.195 -12.5 497 -0.151 -0.155 -0.53 497 -0.010 -0.55 -0.55 497 -0.010 -0.55 -0.55 497 -0.010 -0.56 -0.55 497 -0.010 -0.569 8.5 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.201 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.201 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.201 92 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 93 0.064 -0.064 -0.201 93 0.064 -0.064 -0.000 94 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 93 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 94 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.0	ct -0.195 -0.195 -0.136 25 0.115 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.157 -0.157 497 -0.010 -0.010 -0.157 497 -0.064 -0.010 -0.157 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 497 -0.064 -0.064 -0.06 498 0.064 -0.064 -0.06 498 0.064 -0.064 -0.07 498 0.064 -0.06 -0.06 9303 -0.064 -0.064 -0.00 9303 -0.064 -0.064 -0.000 941 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.066 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 95 -0.066 -0.064 -0.066 -0.066 95	ct -0.195 -0.195 -0.195 . 0.380 0.115 -0.157 -0.157 . 497 0.016 -0.157 -0.157 . 497 0.016 -0.157 -0.157 . 497 0.010 -3.6 . 497 0.056 -0.101 . 497 0.064 -2.1 . 497 0.064 -2.1 . 497 0.064 -2.1 . 497 0.064 -2.1 . 497 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 0.064 -2.1 . 498 -0.010 -2.1 . 408 -2.1 -2.1 . 409 -2.1 -2.1 . 410 -2.1 -2.1 . 410 -2.1 -2.1 . 410 -2.1 -2.1 . 410 -2.1 -2.1 <td>algary Uistrict Hmonton Aros</td> <td></td> <td>486</td> <td>-0 197</td> <td></td> <td>ו פ ו</td>	algary Uistrict Hmonton Aros		486	-0 197		ו פ ו
490 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497	0.115 496 0.115 497 -0.1157 497 -0.100 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 0.064 -0.010 -0.010 -0.010 -0.010 -0.010 -0.010 -0.010 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 -0.064 -0.010 -0.064 -0.011 -0.064 -0.012 -0.033 3.033 -0.033	0.115 0.115 3.8 496 0.115 3.8 497 0.157 5.2 497 0.010 8.9 497 0.064 2.1 197 0.064 2.1 197 0.064 2.1 197 0.064 2.1 198 0.064 2.1 1033 3.033 3.033 10111ple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each 1000 persons in each	P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per 1000 person and to the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the analysis was performed using the weight and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the analysis was performed using the weight and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the anount of variable for in the dependent variable (cholecys	0.115 0.115 0.115 0.115 497 0.010 0.115 0.269 497 0.010 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 497 0.064 0.269 0.269 9.101 1033 0.064 0.269 9.102 1033 0.064 0.064 9.103 1033 0.064 2.1 9.103 1033 0.064 2.1 9.103 1033 3.033 3.033 9.101 101 10160 1000 9.101 101 1033 1.000 9.1031 101 1.075 1.000 9.1031 101 1.107 1.1070 9.1041 101 1.1075 1.1000 9.1041 1.1075 1.1075 1.1000	 0.115 0.115 497 497 0.269 497 0.269 497 0.064 2.1 498 0.064 2.1 497 3.033 4.1000 perses 4.214 4.414 4.41	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 497 0.015 0.015 0.015 497 0.001 0.040 0.040 497 0.001 0.001 0.001 497 0.0064 0.001 0.000 497 0.0064 0.000 0.000 497 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 497 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 498 0.0064 0.000 0.000 5000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5010 0.000 0.000 0.000 5110 0.000 0.000 0.000 5110 0.000 0.000 0.000 5110 0.000 0.000 0.000 5110 0.0000 0.000 0.000 <	umuntun Area rande Drainio Dirtriot		- 4 U.U.	-0.195		- 6.4
497 497 497 497 497 497 497 497 60.010 64 498 0.064 498 3.033	497 -0.115 497 -0.10 497 -0.010 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 497 -0.064 497 0.064 497 -0.064 1033 3.033 9-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy	-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persoing in each 3.033	p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable for in the dependent variable (cholecyster to in the dependent variable (cholecyster)	 P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and istricts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) P-wise the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecystectom) in the variable	 9.0.115 9.1 9.1<!--</td--><td> 9.0.157 9.197 9.0.157 9.197 9.197 9.10.064 9.197 9.197 9.10.064 9.198 9.10.064 9.10.000 9.10.064 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.010 9.10.</td><td>athhridde District</td><td></td><td>1004 1004</td><td></td><td></td><td>12.5</td>	 9.0.157 9.197 9.0.157 9.197 9.197 9.10.064 9.197 9.197 9.10.064 9.198 9.10.064 9.10.000 9.10.064 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.000 9.10.010 9.10.	athhridde District		1004 1004			12.5
497 497 497 497 498 0.064 498 0.064 3.033	497 -0.010 497 -0.010 497 0.269 497 -0.064 497 0.064 3.033 9.033 9.456 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033	P-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per 1000 personal to the time variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable (no the tar independent variable (cholecyster to different per to the entry of a particular independent variable (cholecysing) 	 P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable. For example. Following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable to for the entry of the variation in the dependent variable. 	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perses and districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of ribe entry of a particular independent the expected rate difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area. In order to difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area and the expected rate difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the carticular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate action cortex action for the variation to the unstandardized regress or the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regress or the year 1976 (when the time variable to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected variable. 	 97 <	ed Deer District		707			xο c m ⊔
497 497 497 498 498 0.064 498 0.064 3.033	497 0.269 497 0.269 497 0.064 498 0.064 3.033 9.034 9.035 9.035 9.036 9.037 9.037 9.037 <td>P-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each</td> <td> p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per sond to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the anount of variable (no the tar 1975). </td> <td> p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per solution to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable. For example. Following the entry of a particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent. </td> <td> p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) r the entry of a particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of rescibed the Red Deer area. approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecyst regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the part 1976 (whon the time variables or the variable to orter present the constant (3.033) to yield an expected rate action be particular partied to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected variable. </td> <td> p-vise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perses and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). P-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecystation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected us expected used to the constant (4.000 persons). </td> <td>ed Deer Area</td> <td>•</td> <td>104</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>N (0 (1</td>	P-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per sond to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the anount of variable (no the tar 1975). 	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per solution to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the amount of variable. For example. Following the entry of a particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent. 	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) r the entry of a particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of rescibed the Red Deer area. approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecyst regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the unstandardized regression with the part 1976 (whon the time variables or the variable to orter present the constant (3.033) to yield an expected rate action be particular partied to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected variable. 	 p-vise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perses and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per and to the time variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). P-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecystation in the dependent variable (cholecys ribed the Red Deer area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected us expected used to the constant (4.000 persons). 	ed Deer Area	•	104			N (0 (1
497 -0.064 -0.004 -0.064	497 -0.064 498 0.064 3.033 9.04 9.05 9.033 9.034 9.035 9.035 9.036 9.037 9.037 9.037 9.037 9.037 9.037 <td>497 -0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 2.1 3.033 3.033 3.033 P-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each all districts as the dependent variable. The indemendent variables refer to different parton.</td> <td> p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and the independent variables refer to different per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per sand to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the anount of variable (not be tal. 1975). </td> <td> p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persoal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per sand to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the anount of variable. Following the entry of a particular independent variable. Following the entry of a cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent. </td> <td>P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso al districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p s and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al, 1975). R-square column represents the amount of variable. For example, following the entry of r the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the articular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst or the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regrest art the year atea (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u unstandardized used used used used used used used us</td> <td>497 497 498 498 9.064 498 9.064 498 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.030 9.064 9.000 person 9.000 person 9.030 person 9.040 pers</td> <td>edicine Halt Area</td> <td></td> <td>497</td> <td>010.0-</td> <td></td> <td>ים הים ו</td>	497 -0.064 -2.1 498 0.064 2.1 3.033 3.033 3.033 P-Wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each all districts as the dependent variable. The indemendent variables refer to different parton.	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and the independent variables refer to different per 1000 person and to the time variable. The independent variables refer to different per sand to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the anount of variable (not be tal. 1975). 	 p-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persoal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per sand to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the anount of variable. Following the entry of a particular independent variable. Following the entry of a cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent. 	P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso al districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p s and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al, 1975). R-square column represents the amount of variable. For example, following the entry of r the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the articular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst or the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regrest art the year atea (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u unstandardized used used used used used used used us	497 497 498 498 9.064 498 9.064 498 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.033 9.030 9.064 9.000 person 9.000 person 9.030 person 9.040 pers	edicine Halt Area		497	010.0-		ים הים ו
90 °C	. 498 0.064 3.033 P-wise multiple régression analysis which used the cholecystectomy	7.054 2.1 3.033 3.035 3.0555 3.055 3.055 3.0555 3.055 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.0555 3.05555 3.05555 3.05555 3.055555 3.055555 3.055555 3.0555555 3.05555555 3.055555555 3.0555555555555555555555555555555555555	P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso al districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p s and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). R the entry of a particular independent variable (cholecys to the entry of a particular independent variable (cholecys and to the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975).	498 0.064 2.1 P-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per solution the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) R-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys referent of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of a cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent.	498 0.064 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.033 3.034 controller regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personal districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per sand to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Resquare column represents the amount of variable. For example, Following the entry of cribed the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecys cribed the Red Deer area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the controllar geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the control of the variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regrested rate difference in comparison with the contexpected unstandardized regrested unstandardized	498 and the regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 person and istricts as the dependent variables. The independent variables refer to different per 1000 person and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Resquare column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys refer to different per the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of the entry of the entry of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecys refer to different per the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of the entry of the variation in the dependent regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecysts or the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regress the following the unstandardized regress is per 1000 person.	edicine Hat District		497	-0.064	•	סיר ו
m	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy	3 033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different sation.	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO d in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). ber in the R-square column represents the amount of variable for dependent variable (cholecys ed for after the entry of a particular independent variable for dependent variable (cholecys	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perse eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO d in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Der in the R-square column represents the amount of variable. In the dependent variable (cholecys ed for after the entry of a particular independent variable. For example. Following the entry of e which, described the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent plained.	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ceral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO d in SSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Der in the R-square column represents the amount of variable. For example, Following the entry of e which, described the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent plained. Tandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the to a a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected rate difference in comparison with the tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variable to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG d in SPSs: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Der in the R-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ed for after the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of e which, described the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent plained. Tandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the t due to a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecys tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres ient for the Red Deer area (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u separation sper 1000 persons.	rande Prairte Area		. 498	0.064	•	2 +
e	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple régression analysis which used the cholecystectomy	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different sation.	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID d in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) ber in the R-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys ed for after the entry of a particular independent variable for dependent variable (cholecys	Ty of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persected hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different per in spSs: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). Ber in the R-square column represents the amount of variable. For example, Pollowing the entry of e which, described the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, Pollowing the entry of plained.	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persect eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG d in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Ne et al. 1975) ber in the R-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys e which, described the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent tandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the t due to a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables area cholecyst tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables area cholecyst tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables area cholecyst tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables area cholecyst tion rate for the geographic area area (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u	3.033 ry of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perse eral hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different p ce locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO d in SPSS: Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) ber in the R-square column represents the amount of variable. For example, following the entry of e d for after the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of e which, described the Red Deer area, approximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent plained. tandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the t due to a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst tion rate for the year 1976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres ient for the Red Deer area (-0.010) would be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected tation of the expected area or district rates from the avaicable for the constant (10.013) to yield une due to the expected area or district rates from the avaicable for the constant (10.013) to yield an expected u		*				· · ·
	analysis which used the cholecystectomy	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each ant variable. The indemendent variables refer to different attent	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ent variable. The independent variables refer to different p . The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO . Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the amount of variable (cholecys indemendent variable (cholecys	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ant variable. The independent variables refer to different p The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ant variable. The independent variables refer to different p i. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent independent to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecys time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres time variables are constant (3.033) to yield an expected u	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ent variable. The independent variables refer to different p i. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIG is Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of upproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent peresent the expected rate difference in comparison with the In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres uild be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u	Constant;)	•		3.033	•	
	analysis which used the cholecystectomy	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 persons in each ant variable. The indemendent variables refer to different attent	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ant variable. The independent variables refer to different p . The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO . Social Sciences (Nie et al, 1975) the amount of variable (cholecys independent variable (cholecys	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 perso ant variable. The independent variables refer to different p . The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSIO . Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personant variable. The independent variables refer to different per the analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID is Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). The amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys the amount of variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent to the dependent the independent variable and the entry of the variation in the dependent the dependent the dependent variation in the dependent of the variation in the dependent to the dependent variation in the dependent of the variation in the dependent the dependent the variation in the dependent the dependent the expected rate difference in comparison with the under to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regrest time variables to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u	analysis which used the cholecystectomy rate per 1000 personant variable. The independent variables refer to different print variable. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSID Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975) the WEIGHT and REGRESSID the amount of variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variable (cholecys independent variable. For example, following the entry of pproximately 50% (49.7%) of the variation in the dependent variation in the dependent variable for example, following the entry of the variation in the dependent the expected rate difference in comparison with the In order to determine the expected Red Deer area cholecyst time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regres unid be added to the constant (3.033) to yield an expected u					•	

•

÷.

187

.

with the other five surgical categories (Map A; 11%; Map B, less than 1%; Map C, 17%; Map D, 9%; and the composite, 31%).

188

Following removal of the influence of time, the first three variables to enter the regression equation for the composite analysis were the patient residence variables which described the Edmonton area, Medicine Hat district, and the Calgary area. These three variables accounted for an additional 14%, 5%, and 6% of the explained variation in hysterectomy utilization rates respectively (see Table 19). Residents living in the areas adjacent to the Medicine Hat district, and in the Lethbridge district had the highest hysterectomy rates, while those living in the areas adjacent Edmonton and in the district of Medicine Hat had the to lowest rates. With the exception of the Grande Prairie and Médicine Hat districts; the hysterectomy rate in districts with a referral center was higher than that associated with areas surrounding each of these districts.

Of the surgical categories examined in this study, this the only one in which the patient residence variables was explained а moderate amount of the variation in the variable. As such, for hysterectomy, the location dependent in which а patient resides appears to influence the likelihood of having a hysterectomy. In the absence of known epidemiological factors which might account for variation among rates, it is possible that other determinant factors related to physician practice patterns are responsible for

The number in the R-squăre column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (hysterectomy rates) accounted for after the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of the independent variable which described the Medicine Hat district, approximately 33% (33.0%) of the variation in the dependent A summary of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the hysterectomy rate per 1900 persons in each of the general hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different patient residence ocations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSION procedures outlined in ស Percentage of the Edmontoq The unstandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the Edmonton Coefficient Expressed As District Rate (Constant) o_. 20 6 -28 5 17 5 -19 9 -10 8 0 2.8 ω -42 29 92 28 Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Hysterectomy function stand i zed Coefficients¹ 668 -0.159 005 -0.956 586 -0.094 0.024 971 -1.411 -0.873 0.692 -0.361 0.966 3.352 Regression ç ò ō ò SPSS: Statistical ^package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). rable 19 % Square 330 387 35 36 151 :79 137 126 142 149 112 447 151 2 variable had been explained. ١ Independent /ar ables Grande Prairie District Medicine Hat District Lethbridge District Grande Prairie Area Red Deer District Medicine Hat Area Calgary District Lethbridge Area ł, Edmonton Area Red Deer Area Time-squared Calgary Area (Constant) 11me . ന 3

district due to a particular geographic area. In order to determine the expected Edmonton area hysterectomy utilization rate for the year '976 (when the time variables are equal to zerow) the unstandardized regression coefficient for the Edmonton area (-0.971) would be added to the constant (3.352) to yield an expected utilization rate of 2.38 separations per 1000 persons.

The deviation of the expected area or district rates from the expected Edmonton district rate (the rate which is indicated by the constant) is shown in the fourth column.

the rate variation. Further investigation would be required to establish causality.

-190

Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy

In each of the five regression analyses, the amount of variation in the dependent variable (T&A utilization nates) accounted for by the variables which described patient residence was quite low (Map A, 4%; Map B, less than 1%; Map C, 4%; Map D, 8%; and the composite, 1 In the composite analysis the first three variables to ever the regression equation (after the time variables) were the Lethbridge, Grande Prairie, and Red Deer areas which collectively explained an additional 5% of the variation in T&A rates (see Table 20). Analysis of the unstandardized regression indicated that coefficients the three areas mentioned previously had the highest T&A rates, while the area adjacent to the Calgary and Edmonton districts had the lowest I&A rates. With the exception of the Calgary district, residents living in the areas adjacent to referral centers typically had I&A rates somewhat higher than residents living in a district which had a referral center.

In summary, once the influence of time had been controlled, the amount of variation in the dependent variable accounted for by the variables representing patient residence was very small. As such, it appears that T&A rate variation cannot be satisfactorily explained in terms of different patient residence. Table 20

ummary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy

ea 230 267 267 286 303 321 338 338 338 344	- 30 - 49 - 49 - 49 - 49 - 49 - 303 - 303 - 303 - 303 - 338 - 338 - 338 - 351 - 351 - 352 - 351		square	unstandardızed Regr <u>es</u> sion Soeffictents'	Coefficient Expressed As a Percentage of the Edmonton District Rate (Constant)
تعام 267 267 267 286 303 321 321 321 321 321 321 321 321	-30 -49 -49 -49 -49 -49 -49 -30 -32 -32 -32 -32 -32 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35 -35				
267 267 267 286 303 321 321 321 321 321 321 321 321 321	- 49 267 267 267 286 303 303 321 321 321 321 321 321 351 351	quared	065	082	
ea 267 286 مر 303 ct 321 338 338 344	ea 267 286 303 303 321 321 338 338 338 338 344 348 351 352 352		.49	267) • (
ea 286 50 303 ct 321 338 strict 344	ea 286	idge Area	267		- L C
ct	ct 303 321 321 338 344 348 351 351 352	Prairie Area		3 6 1 4	
ct321 2 338	ct 321 22 338 338 344 344 344 348 348 351 351 351	er Area	303	7 148	
338	strict	idge District	1321	2.027	
strict .344	strict	on Area	338	3863	
		Prairie District	344	740	
trict	,	er District	. 348	780 .	255.0
	352 352 0.	y Area ,	. 351		
352	. 352 0.	y Oistrict	352	0.124	
. 352 0.		ne Hat Area	352	0.580	13.3 13.3

hospital districts as the dependent variable. The independent variables refer to different patient residence locations and to the time variables. The analysis was performed using the WEIGHT and REGRESSION procedures outlined in SPSS: A summary of a step-wise multiple regression analysis which used the I&A rate per 1000 persons in each of the general Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975).

1

ġ,

for after the entry of a particular independent variable. For example, following the entry of the independent variable which described the Calgary area, approximately 35% (35.1%) of the variation in the dependent variable had been The number in the R-square column represents the amount of variation in the dependent variable (T&A rates) accounted explained с,

the year '976 (when the time variables are equal to zero) the unstandardized regression coefficient for the Calgary area (-0.465) would be added to the constant (4.352) to yield an expected utilization rate of 3.89 separations per 1000 The unstandardized regression coefficients represent the expected rate difference in comparison with the Edmonton district due to a particular geographic area. In order to betermine the expected Calgary area T&A utilization rate for the year 1976 (when the time persons. ς.

the expected area or district rates from the expected Edmonton district rate (the rate which is The deviation of the expected area or district rates from 'ndicated by the constant) is shown in the fourth column. 4

Summary of Multiple Regression Analyses

This series of multiple regression analyses indicated that once the effect of time had been controlled, the variables which described the geographic location of patient residence accounted for a very minor amount of variation in the dependent variable (with the exception of hysterectomy). With regard to hysterectomy, since the variables in the composite analysis collectively explained an additional 31% of the variation in district hysterectomy rates, it seems that the likelihood of having a hysterectomy can in part be predicted by knowing a patient's residence location.

Analysis of the unstandardized regression coefficients for the regression equations indicated that the Lethbridge district and the surrounding area had consistently higher rates. of surgical utilization for all six surgical categories, while the Calgary district and the area adjacent to it generally had lower rates than other areas or districts in the province. The relationship between high and low utilization rates and patient residence in a district with a referral center and in the areas adjacent to the referral centers was unique to each surgical category studied. The deviations of the expected surgical utilization rates derived by regression analysis are schematically summarized in Table 21, using the Edmonton district rate (the constant for the regression analysis) as the basis for the comparisons. From the table it may be noted that:

1. the Lethbridge district and area as well as the Grande

Summary of Surgical Utilization Rate Deviation for Each Geographic Area from the Edmonton District Rate Table 21

. .

1

				Surgia	Surgical Category'	÷.	
Åreas'	 Å pp	c-s'.		Jro.	Cho.	Hys.	T&A.
Edmonton District Edmonton Area	 :	- - -	ê j		* /		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Calgary District Calgarv Area	. :	₩4 + 1	•	• • • •	۲۰۱۹		• 1
Lethbridge District Lethbridge Area	+ + + + + + + +	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	* +	+ +	+ + + + + + + + + + +
Medicine Hat District Medicine Hat Area	1 1 1 1 1	+ + + -		+ + + + !	• •	1 + +	* +
Grande Prairie District Grande Rrairie Area	* * * * * *	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			+ *		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Red Deer District Red Deer Area	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	+++ ++ ++		+ + + + + +	•	+ +	+ + + + + +
Associated R-square change after addition of time and time-square variables	 31%	5-2		.16%	50%	45%	35%

• .

. م

App. appendectomy; C-S., Caesarean degrees of variation: 1) each + denotes a utilization rate under the Edmonton * denotes a deviation that*is less than plus or minus 10% of the Edmonton district rate. denotes a rate approximately 10% hysterectomy; and T&A The following abbreviations were used to represent the six surgical categories: each section; Pro., prostatectomy; Cho., cholecystectomy; Hys., 1. The following symbols are used to represent diffequent the Edmonton district hate, 2) 63 10% over district rate, and 3) approximately. с, М

•

Each of the areas listed does not include the referral district(s) it is surrounding

с. .

193

tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy.

2.77

Prairie and Red Deer districts and areas, often had expected utilization rates which deviated substantially from the expected Edmonton rate.

- 2. with the exception of the C-S category, the Calgary and Edmonton district rates were very similar.
 - the areas surrounding each referral district tend to have higher surgical utilization rates than those associated with the referral district.
 - variation among area and district rates was minimal for the cholecystectomy category.

Although the deviations isolated by the regression analyses are significant, interpretation of the deviations should be done with caution since the overall explanatory power (R-square) of the variables describing patient residence was relatively poor. The low R-square values likely reflect, in part, deviation values associated with relatively small areas which contributed minimally to the overall variation in utilization rates.

4.5 Summary

3.

4.

The results from the analyses pertaining to provincial acute care and surgical utilization, patient travel patterns and hospital resource commitment patterns, and surgical utilization rate variation were presented and discussed. A summary of the major findings from these analyses is presented th section 5.2 of the last chapter.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this final chapter a brief synopsis of the study is presented, pertinent findings and conclusions are discussed, and four recommendations are outlined.

5.1 Summary of the Study

The release of the AHUC (1981) report which indicated substantial variation in surgical utilization rates among areas in Alberta provided the impetus for this study. Variation in the surgical utilization rates among ostensibly similar areas has typically resulted in allegations concerning the possibility of over or under utilization of surgical services. An alternative hypothesis suggested that appropriate factors might not always have been taken into account by researchers measuring and defining surgical utilization, and therefore, the possibility that rate variations were in fact an artifact of research design was investigated. Determination of the presence or absence and magnitude of surgical rate variation within the Province of Alberta constituted the problem to be investigated.

Subsequent to a review of pertinent literature, a research strategy was developed which involved the calculation of per capita surgical utilization rates for selected areas in Alberta using Tongitudinal retrospective PAS data and the application of a community based method of computation. Typical patterns of travel by patients seeking

surgical care were identified, as were the patterns of resource commitment by various hospital groups in the province. The derivation of rates for the time period from 1971 to 1978 permitted identification of certain surgical utilization trends, and an assessment of the patterns and magnitude of surgical utilization rate variation. Pertinent findings from the analyses are presented in the following section.

5.2 Major Findings

The major findings evident following the analyses are listed below.

The review of selected literature sources indicated that · 1 a comprehensive theory of surgical utilization had not been formulated, and that the determinants of surgical poorly understood. utilization were Reports of escalating surgical utilization rates and rate variation among arease in Canada, Britain, and the U.S., and among ostensibly similar areas within each of these countries linked to allegations of unnecessary surgical were utilization. A brief review concerning the measurement surĝical rates indicated of that patient origin destination information could be used to calculate community-based per capita utilization rates. 2 Analysis of the patient origin destination information indicated that:

- а. Patients resident in rural areas frequently^a travelled to hospitals located in metropolitan areas, rather than to regional area hospitals. This travel pattern was reflected (with some, exceptions) in the similarity between rura∛ area and metropolitan area surgical utilization rates.
- The tendency of patients to remain within their b., resident district for surgical care was shown to be related to the size of the hospital(s) located in their resident district; as the size of the hospital(s) increased, the percentage of residents staying within the district for surgery also increased.
- With the exception of the prostatectomy procedure, the majority of patients had surgery in hospitals located within their resident area (the study areas formed by aggregating the hospital districts). Similarly, hospitals in a particular area typically committed the vast majority of their resources (separations and patient days) to patients resident in the area in which the hospitals were located.
- From 1971 to 1978 the utilization rates of four of the six surgical categories (appendectomy, hysterectomy, cholecystectomy, and tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy) decreased provincially, and in the areas of all four aggregations. During the same time period the Caesarean section rate doubled, and the prostatectomy rate

remained almost unchanged.

- 4. With regard to all six surgical categories the Lethbridge and Grande Prairie areas in Map D were typically associated with utilization rates which were markedly higher than the Alberta (or Edmonton and Calgary district) utilization rates. Conversely, the Medicine Hat area typically had utilization rates which were well below the provincial average (with the exception of prostatectomy).
- 5. The areas in Maps A and B consistently demonstrated the least variation among area rates for all surgical categories (except Caesarean section) for the eight. years studied. The variation among area rates in the other two aggregations was much greater; Map D areas typically exhibited the most extreme variation from the Alberta utilization rates.
- R The variation amoria area rates associated with appendectomy (a nen discretionary procedure) was generally , greater than that associated with discretionary or discretionary nensila procedures (tonsillectomy and adennidectomy. cholecystectomy, hysterectomy, and prostatectomy).
- Analysis of the series of multiple regression equations indicated that, once the influence of time had been controlled, the variables which described the geographic location of patient residence accounted for a very minor amount of rate variation (with the exception of

"hysterectomy, where collectively the variables describing patient residence accounted for 31% of the variation in the district rates). Of the twelve areas the areas and districts associated with examined, Lethbridge, Grande Prairie, and Red Deer were generally shown to have utilization rates which deviated substantially from the Edmonton district rate. 0f the six surgical categories studied, cholecystectomy was found to have the least rate variation.

5.3 Conclusions

The principle conclusions which were evident following analysis of the data are discussed below.

The absence of a theoretical foundation upon which to premise hypothesis formulation meant that inference (or hypothesis testing) was premature. As such. descriptive approach using longitudinal data was adopted. The necessity for age and sex standardization of data was recognized. and the importance of standardizing areas for comparison with respect to all probable determinants of surgical utilization was acknowledged. The utility of Using both community-based and provider-based methods of rate calculation in concert to provide a comprehensive perspective of a health service system's performance was also evident. 1

- 2. The community-based method of calculating per capita utilization rates which involved delineating а geographic area, summating the surgical utilization of all residents in the area (regardless of where the utilization occurred), and then dividing by the population size of the area, provided a viable method of comparing the surgical utilization rates among different areas in the province. The utility of using patient origin-destination data to compute utilization rates, and to identify typical travel patterns for patients was evident following analysis of the area rates undertaken in Chapter IV. With regard to the second major finding, it is postulated that the patterns of patient travel for surgical care and the patterns of resource commitment by hospitals likely reflected physician referral patterns, hospital size and the technical expertise available in different hospitals, the major travel routes in the province, and factors unique to individual patients.
- 3 It was recognized although areas that having rates **t** higher or than the provincial rates had been lower identified, it was not known if too much or too little surgery was being performed, or if the area rates reflected the influence of unidentified epidemiological factors. Thus, establishment of surgical utilization rate standards for all areas in the province was viewed as premature given the lack of understanding regarding relationship between surgical utilization and the

1.12

1.1

187.000

potential determinant factors. $\frac{1}{2}$ Due to the influence of diverse and complex determinant factors present among different areas in the province, the utilization rates obtained for the six surgical categories were related to the geographic location of the boundary lines used to delineate the areas studied. Thus, the variation of area surgical utilization rates was in part a consequence of the aggregation chosen for When aggregations were constructed such that all studv. areas were as homogeneous as possible with respect to potential determinants o,f surgical utilization. comparison of the surgical rates associated with these revealed minimal variation among area rates. The areas converse was generally true when dissimilar factors were present among the areas studied.

4.

The negligible degree to which patient residence could 5. be used to explain the variation among district surgical utilization mates raised two important points. First, it is obviously necessary to identify other factors (e.g., physician practice patterns, epidemiological factor's) which may have influenced, on have been associated with, the variation of utilization rates. Second, geographic location can in some instances be regarded as a proxy for surgical availability If resource residence location does not satisfactorily explain rate variation among districts, then the previous assumption that the presence of surgical resources necessarily results in

elevated surgical rates may be invalid. As such, the importance of not making premature or superficial judgements concerning the implications of surgical utilization rate variation is apparent.

With regard to the original problem investigated, surgical utilization rate variation among areas in Alberta was found. The magnitude of variation was dependent upon the particular set of areas studied. The previous list of conclusions indicates the necessity of calculating meáningful. utilization rates, and challenges the premise that geographic location can , b**e u**sed to account for the variation among district surgical utilization rates. In the following section recommendations arising from the findings and conclusions of this study are presented.

5.4 Recommendations

Following completion of this study four recommendations were made. It is recommended:

- 1 That factors other than geographic location be investigated with regard to the explanation of surgical utilization rate variation.
- 2. That the personnel in government planning departments refrain from establishing provincial surgical utilization rate standards or norms until further analyses identify the nature of the relationship between
determinant factors and utilization rates.

ر

- 3. That researchers constructing geographic areas for the purpose of utilization rate analyses remain cognizant of the importance of matching known determinant factors in the proposed study areas such that, the reasons for utilization rate variation among areas, may be more easily identified.
- 4. That further research be conducted to assess:
 - a the degree of variation among area surgical utilization rates that should be considered unreasonable or beyond that due to chance;
 - b. the reasons why particular areas in the province have consistently high or low surgical utilization rates;
 - c. the relationship between discretionary and non-discretionary procedures with respect to the degree of rate variation found among geographic areas; and
 - d the relationship between practice patterns of specific physicians and utilization rates (this research to be initiated by the medical profession).

The answers to these questions should assist policy development with respect to setting standards for the rate of surgical utilization, and the regionalization of surgical services.

REFERENCES

- Aaron, H. Economic aspects of the role of government in health care. In J. Van Der Gaag & M. Perlman (Eds.), <u>Health, economics, and health economics</u>. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1980.
- Aday, L. & Eichhorn, R. <u>The utilization of health services:</u> <u>indices and correlates</u>. Washington: National Center for Health Services Research and Development, 1972.
- Alberta Health Care Insurance Commission. <u>Annual report</u>. Edmonton: Health Care Insurance Commission, 1973-1978.
- Alberta Hospital Services Commission. <u>Annual report</u> Edmonton: Hospital Services Commission, 1971-1977.
- Alberta Hospital Utilization Committee. <u>Hospital</u> <u>Utilization, a report to the Minister of Hospitals and</u> <u>Medical Care, 1981</u>, Edwonton: Alberta Hospital Utilization Committee, 1981.

÷

- Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care, <u>Annual Report</u>, <u>Edmonton</u>; Alberta Hospitals and <u>Medical Care</u>, 1980.
- Alberta "Hospitals and Medical Care. <u>Annual report of the</u> <u>Alberta health care insurance plan.</u> Edmonton: Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care. 1979-1981.
- Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care. <u>Hospital care in Alberta</u> <u>statistical supplement Education: Alberta Hospitals and</u> Medical Care, 19-0
- American College of Surgeons & American Surgical Association. <u>The study on surgical services</u> for the United States Chicago, 1975.
- Andersen, R. <u>A behavioral model of families' use of health</u> services Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968

- Andersen, R. & Newman, J. Societal and individual determinants of medical care utilization in the United States. <u>Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly: Health and</u> Society, 1973, 51, 95-124.
- Anderson, J. Health services utilization: framework and review. Journal of Health Services Research, 1973. 8. 184-199
- Annas, G. The extravagant, wasteful, superfluous debate about unnecessary surgery. The Hastings Center Report 1979, <u>9</u>, 13-14
- Bake, G., Smith, E. & Hanson, J. The geographical distribution of high cadmium concentrations in the environment and prostate cancer in Alberta Canadian Journal of Public Health, 1982, 73, 92, 93
- Rachshur, R., Shannon, G. & Metzner, C. Some ecological differentials in the use of medical services downal of Health Services Research, 1971, 6(61 75.
- Baskett, I. Caesarean section: what is an acceptable rate? Canadian Medical Association Journal. 1978. 118. 1019-1020.
- Pav. K. & Nestman, L. A hospital service population model and its application. International Journal of Health Services, 1980, 10(4), 667-695.
- Bav. K. & Nestman, L. The use of bed distribution and service population indices for hospital bed allocation dournal of Health Services Research Accepted to publication 1989
- Bennett, I. Technology as a sharing force. Daedalus. 1917 106, 125-131.

- Bergmann, A. & Stamm, S. The morbidity of cardiac nondisease in school-children. The New England Journal of Medicine, 1967, 276. 1008 1013
- Berkanovic, E., Telesky, C. & Reeder, S. Structural and social psychological factors in the decision to seek medical care for symptoms. <u>Medical Care</u>, 1981, 19 693-709.
- Bernatzki, R. <u>A critical review with elaboration on the</u> <u>Alberta Hospital Indices program</u>. Unpublished non-thesis paper. Division of Health Services Administration, University of Alberta, 1972.
- Bice, T., Eichhorn, R. & Fox, P. Socioeconomic status and use of physician services a reconsideration <u>Medical</u> Care, 1972, 10, 261 271.
- Bice, T. & White, K. Cross national comparative research on the utilization of medical services Medical Care. 1971 9, 253271.
- Plackston'e, E. Misallocation of medical resources: the problem of excessive surgery. <u>Public Policy</u>, 1974, 22, 329-352.
- Medicine, 1980, 302, 559-563.
- Boulding, K. The concept of need for health services <u>Milbank</u> Memorial Fund Quarterly 1906, <u>44</u>(Suppl), 202 221
- Propring. U. <u>Technology for patient care Saint Louis</u> the
- Prink, P. & Lohn, K. Second opinion programs: beyond codt broafit analyses Medical Care, 1980 20, 10
- Bunker, J. Sungical manpower: a comparison of operations and sungeons in the United States and England and Wales. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1970, 282, 135-144.

. .

Bunker, J., Barnes, B. & Mosteller, F. <u>Costs, risks, and</u> <u>benefits of surgery</u>. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977.

207

Burchell, R. Decision regarding hysterectomy. <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology</u>, 1977, <u>127</u>, 113-117.

Cadeorge, S., Roos, L. & Danzinger, R. Gallbladder operations: a population based analysis. <u>Medical Care</u>, 1981. 19, 510-525.

Canada. <u>Hospital Insurance and Diagnostic Services Act</u>, S.C., 1957, C.28, S.1,

Canada The Medical Care Act S.C. 1966-67: C.64, S.1

- Ciocco, A. & Altenderfer, M. Birth statistics as an index of interdependence of counties with regard to medical services Public Health Reports, 1945, 60, 973-985.
- <u>Hospital</u> adaptation of ICDA Ann Arbor, Michigan: Author, 1968.
- Commission of Professional and Hospital Activities. <u>H-ICDA</u> <u>Hospital</u> adaptation of ICDA second edition. Ann Arbor. Michigan: Author. 1973.
- Cooper, M. Economics of need: the experience of the British health service. In M. Perlman (Ed.), <u>The economics of</u> health and medical care. Toronto: Macmillan, 1974

Conc. O. Unnecessary surgery and technical competence: irreconcilables in the graduate training of the surgeon. American Journal of Surgery, 1965, 110, 119-123.

Crile, G. <u>Surgery, your choice and your alternatives</u>. New York: Delacorte Press/Seymour Lawrence, 1978

Cullis, J. & West, P. The economics of health: an introduction. Oxford: Martin Robertson & Company Ltd.,

Dalton, J. Limitations of uniform reporting and prospects for the future. <u>Topics in Health Care Financing</u>, 1980, <u>6</u>(2), 139-143.

deRouville, W. Peer review in biliary tract surgery. <u>New</u> <u>York State Journal of Medicine</u>, 1971, <u>71</u>(1), 1544-1548.

Dobrodzicki, A. Statistical highlights of Canadian hospital surgical suites. <u>Hospital Administration in Canada</u>. 1978, <u>20</u>(4), 44.

Drosness, D. & Lubin, J. Planning can be based on patient travel. <u>Modern Hospital</u>, 1966, <u>106</u>(4), 92-94.

Drosness, D., Reed, I. & Lubin, J. The application of computer graphics to patient origin study techniques. <u>Public Health Reports</u>, 1965, <u>80(1)</u>, 33-40.

Duke Law Journal. The medical malpractice threat: a study of defensive medicine. <u>Duke Law Journal</u>, 1971, 939-993.

Dyck, F., Murphy, F., Murphy, J. et al. Are hysterectomies necessary? <u>Canadian Family Physician</u>, 1976, <u>22</u>, 75-77.

Dyck, F., Murphy, F., Murphy, J. et al. Effect of surveillance on the number of hysterectomies in the province of Saskatchewan. <u>The New England Journal of</u> <u>Medicine</u>, 1977, <u>296</u>, 1326-1328.

Emerson, R. & Creedon, J. Unjustified surgery dilemma. <u>New</u> <u>York State Journal of Medicine</u>, 1977, <u>77</u>, 779-785.

Evans, J. Discussion. In S. Andreopoulos (Ed.), <u>National</u> <u>health insurance: can we learn form Canada</u>? New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1975, 93-96.

Evans, R. Models, markets and medical care. In L. Officer & L. Smith (Eds.), <u>Issues in Canadian economics</u>. Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1974.

ų.

Feldstein; P. Research on the demand for health services. <u>Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly</u>, 1966, 44(Suppl.), 128-162. Freeman, J., Jekel, J. & Freeman, D. Changes in age and sex specific tonsillectomy rates: United States 1970-1977. <u>American Journal of Public Health</u>, 1982, <u>72</u>(5), 488-491.

Fuchs, V. The growing demand for medical care. <u>The New</u> <u>England Journal of Medicine</u>, 1968, <u>279</u>, 190-195.

Fuchs, V. The supply of surgeons and the demand for operations. <u>The Journal of Human Resources</u>, 1978, <u>13</u>, 35-56.

Gabel, J. & Redisch, M. Alternative physician payment methods: incentives, efficiency, and national health insurance. <u>Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly: Health and</u> <u>Society</u>, 1979, <u>57</u>, 38-59.

Gertman, P. Physicians as guiders of health service use. In S. Mushkin (Ed.), <u>Consumer incentives for health care</u>. New York: Prodist, 1974.

Gertman, P.[®] & Mitchell, J. Surgical care: a policy focus of the 1980s. <u>Medical Care</u>, 1980, <u>18</u>, 881-882.

÷.,

Gittelsohn, A. & Wennberg, J. On the incidence of tonsillectomy and other common surgical procedures. In J. Bunker, B. Barnes & F. Mosteller (Eds.), <u>Costs,</u> <u>risks, and benefits, of surgery</u>. New York: Oxford University Press, 1977.

Greenhill, S. & Haythorne, D. <u>Alberta health care study:</u> <u>health care utilization patterns of Albertans 1968 and</u> <u>1970</u>. Department of Community Medicine, University of Alberta, 1972.

Griffith, J. Quantitative techniques for hospital planning and control. Lexington, Mass.: D.C. Heath and Co., 1972.

Griffith. J. <u>Measuring hospital performance</u>. Chicago: Blue Cross Association, 1978.

Griffith, J., Restuccia, J., Tedeschi, P., Wilson, P., & Zuckerman, H. Measuring community hospital services in Michigan. <u>Health Services Research</u>, 1981, <u>16</u>(2), 135-160.

te a se se

المراجع المراجع

}

Guzick, D. Demand for general practitioner and internist services. Journal of Health Services Research, 1978, <u>13</u>, 351-368.

- Harris, D. Effect of population and health care environment on hospital utilization. <u>Journal of Health Services</u> <u>Research</u>, 1975, <u>10</u>, 229-242.
- Horne, J. & Beck, R. Temporal patterns in the use of health services leading to cholecystectomy. <u>Medical Care</u>, 1978, <u>16</u>, 1006-1018.
- Jeffers, J., Bognanno, M. & Bartlett, J. On the demand versus need for medical services and the concept of "shortage". <u>American Journal of Public Health</u>, 1971. <u>61</u>, 46-63.
- Jehlik, P. & McNamara, R. The relation of distance to the differential use of certain health personnel and facilities and to the extent of bed illness. <u>Rural</u> <u>Sociology</u>, 1952, <u>17</u>, 261-265.
- Kalimo, E. Health service needs. In W. Holland, J. Ipsen & J. Kostrzewski (Eds.), <u>Measurement of levels of health</u> Copenhagen: World Health Organization, 1979
- Kilpatrick, S. <u>Statistical principles in health</u> care <u>information</u>. Maryland: University Park Press, 1977
- Klarman, H. The economics of health New York: Columbia University Press, 1965.
- Rosinski, L. (Ed.). <u>Births and deaths</u>. Edmonton: Advanced Education and Manpower Planning Secretariat, 1980
 - Kreever, H. National Thealth insurance and problems of quality. In S. Andreopoulos (Ed.), <u>National health</u> <u>insurance: can we learn from Canada? New Yorks John</u> Wiley and Sons. 1975.
 - Andreopoulos (Ed.), <u>National health insurance: can we</u> learn from Canada? New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1975.

- Lembcke, P. Measuring the quality of medical care through vital statistics based on hospital service areas: 1. Comparative study of appendectomy rates, American Journal of Public Health, 1952, 42, 276 286
- Lewis, C. Variations in the incidence of surgery. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1969. 281. 880-884
- LoGerfo, J. Variation in surgical rates: fact vs fantasy. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1977, 297, 387 389 fantasy.
- LoGerfo, J., Dynes, I. & Frost, F. Tonsillectomies, adenoidectomies, audits: have surgical indications been met? Medical Care, 1978, 16, 950, 955
- LoGerfo, J., Efird, R. & Diehr, P. Rates of surgical care in prepaid group practices and the independent setting Medical Care, 1979, 17, 1-7.
- fuft, H. The relation between surgical volume and montality: an exploration of causal factors and alternative undels. Medical Care, 1900, 18, 940 959.
- Luft, H., Bunker, J. & Enthoven, A. Should operations be regionalized? The empirical relation between surgical volume and mortality. <u>The New England Journal of</u> <u>Medicine</u>, 1979, <u>301</u>, 1364 1369
- MacStravic, R. Determining health needs Michigan Health Administration Press, 1978

Marmor; T. & Tenner, E. National health insurance: Canada's nath, America's choices Challenge; 1977. 20(2), 13-21.

- Marrinson, R. Hospital service arean: time contaces space Hospitals, 1964, 38(January, 10), 52 54
- McCarthy, E. & Finkel, M. Surgical utilization in the U.S.A. Medical Care 1980, 18, 883-891

McCarthy. E. & Widmer, G. Effects of screening by consultants on recommended elective surgical procedures. Ihe New England Journal of Medicine, 1974, 291. 1331 1335 1 - 15

. .

- McKinlay, J. <u>Some aspects of lower working class utilization</u> <u>behavior</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Aberdeen University, Scotland, 1970.
- McKinlay, J. Some approaches and problems in the study of the use of services - an overview. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 1972, 13, 115-152
- Meade, J. A mathematical model for deriving hospital service areas <u>International Journal of Health Services</u>. 1974, 4(2), 353-364

4

- Mechanic, D. Approaches to controlling the costs of medical care: short-range and long range alternatives. <u>The New</u> <u>England Journal of Medicine</u>, 1978, 298, 249-254.
- Mechanic, D. Correlates of physician utilization: why do major multivariate studies of physician utilization find trivial psychosocial and organizational effects? Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 1979, 20, 387 396.
- Meane, F. & Pratt, L. Tonsillectomy in Maine: regulation versus education as modulators of medical care. Annals of Surgery 1981, 194, 232-241
- Mosteller, F. Dilemmas in the concept of unnecessary surgery. <u>Journal of Surgical Research</u>, 1978, 25 185-192
- Neutra, R. Appendicitis: decreasing normal neurolals without increasing perforations. Medical Care 1978, 16 956 961
- Mickerson, R., Colton, 1. & Peterson, O. Doctors who perform operations (rort 1). The New England Journal of Medicine 1976, 295, 921 926, (a)
- Operation: (prot 2). The New England dournal of Medicine 12.0 295 002 290 761
- Hie N., Hull, C., Jenkins, J., Steinbrenner, K. & Bent, D. <u>Statistical package for the social sciences SPSS</u> (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1975

. ¢

Ohmura, J. Analysis of factors affecting the need and demand, for medical care. <u>Social Science and Medicine A Medical</u> <u>Psychology and Sociology</u>, 1978, <u>12</u>, 485-496.

ł

ų,

- Paine, D. & Wilson, L. The determination of acute care bed requirements for provincial acute care hospital regions. In N. Bailey & M. Thompson (Eds.), <u>Systems aspects of</u> <u>health planning</u>. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1975.
- Paradise, J. Why T & A remains moot <u>Pediatrics</u>, 1972, <u>49</u>, 648,651.
- Pauly, M. What is unnecessary surgery? <u>Milbank Memorial Fund</u> <u>Quarterly: Health and Society</u>, 1979, 57, 95 117.

Pearson, R., Smedby, B. & Berfenstam, R. Hospital caseloads in Liverpool, New England, and Uppsala Lancet, 1968, 2, 559-566.

- Perrott, G. Utilization of hospital services American Journal of Public Health, 1966 56, 57-64
- Plant, J., Percy, J. & Bates, J. Incidence of gallbladder disease in Canada, England, and France Lancet, 1973, 2, 249-251
- Paasok, M. <u>Nursing home bed utilization in Alberta: a</u> <u>patient origin-destination study</u>. Unpublished M.H.S.A. Thesis, Division of Health Services Administration. University of Alberta, 1979
- Cambridge University Press, 1978
- Poos, N. & Gilbert. P. Ionsillectomy in Manitoba: who are the patients? the surgeons? the hospitals? <u>Journal</u> of Community Health, 1979, 5 (2), 101 112
- Poos, N., Roos, L. & Henteleff. P. Elective surgical rates do high rates mean lower standards? <u>The New England</u> Journal of Medicine. 1977, 297, 360-365.

Rosenstock, I. Why people use health services. Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly, 1966, 44(Suppl.), 94-124.

Ruchlin, H. & Leveson, I. Measuring hospital productivity. Journal of Health Services Research, 1974, 9, 308-323.

Russell, L. The diffusion of new hospital technologies in the United States. <u>International Journal of Health</u> <u>Services</u>, 1976, <u>6</u>(4), 557-580.

Rutkow, I., Gittelsohn, A. & Zuidema, G. Surgical decision making the reliability of clinical judgement. Annals of Surgery, 1979, 190, 409-417.

Rutkow, I. & Zuidema, G. "Unnecessary surgery": an update. Surgery, 1978. 84 671-678 <u>Surgery</u>, 1978, <u>84</u>, 671-678.

Putkow, I. & Zuidema, G. Surgical rates in the United States: 1966-1978. Surgery, 1981, 89, 151-162.

Ryan, A. Validation of appendectomy. Postgraduate Medicine, 1979, 65, 19-21.

Scheff, I. Decision rules, types of error, and their consequences in medical diagnosis Behavioral Science, 1963, 8, 97-107.

sh#ikh, W., Vayda, F. & Feldman, W. A systematic review of the literature on evaluative studies of tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Pediatrics, 1976, 57, 401-407.

Shain, M. & Roemer, M. Hospital costs relate to supply of beds Modern Hospital, 1959, 92(4), 71-74.

Shannon, G. & Dever, G. <u>Health care delivery spatial</u> perspectives. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1974.

مېرىچى تەكار ئېلىشىنى ئەربىيى بىرىمىرىدىنى ئېلىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىچى تەكار ئېلىرىكى بىرىكى بىرىك بىرىكى بىرىكى

والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع

Sharp, L. & McCarthy, W. Patient origin study. Northwest Medicine. 1971, 70, 838-841.

e An tan **tan** panakana

Shaughnessy, P. Methodological issues in per capita measurement in health care. <u>Journal of Health Services</u> <u>Research</u>, 1982, <u>17</u>(1); 61-81.

Shonick, W. <u>Elements</u> of planning for area-wide personal <u>health services</u>. Saint Louis: The C.V. Mosby Company, 1976,

Shyrock, H. & Siegel, J. <u>The methods and materials of</u> <u>demography</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973.

Statistics Canada. <u>Surgical procedures</u> and treatments (Catalogue number 82-208). 1971-1978.

Statistics Canada. <u>Hospital statistics preliminary annual</u> <u>report</u> (Catalogue number 83-217). 1977-1978 and 1980-1981.

5 Stockwell, H. <u>Variations in surgical rates in Ontario</u> Unpublished M.Sc Thesis, McMaster University, 1977

Stockwell, H. & Vayda, F. Variations in surgery in Ontario. <u>Medical Care, 1979, 17, 390-396</u>.

Stondart, G. & Barer, M. Analyses of demand and utilization through episodes of medical service. In J. Wan Der Gaag & M. Perlman (Eds.), <u>Health, economics, and health</u> <u>economics</u>. Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing Company. 1980

Stroman, D. <u>The guick knife</u>: <u>unnecessary surgery in the</u> U.S.A. Port Washington, New York: Kennikat Press, 1979

Stuart, B. & Stockton, R. Control over the utilization of medical services. <u>Milbank Memorial Fund</u> Quarterly: <u>Health and Society</u>, 1973, 51, 341 393

Studnicki, J. The minimization of travel effort as a delineating influence for urban hospital service areas. <u>International Journal of Health Services</u>, 1975, 5, 679-693.

Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce. Cost and quality of health care: unnecessary surgery. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1976.

Szasz, T. & Hollender, M. A contribution to the philosophy of medicine. American Medical Asoociation Archives of Internal Medicine, 1956, 97, 585-592. Ŷ • .

Teixeira, C. <u>A review of methods</u> of estimating hospital service population. Unpublished non-thesis paper, Division of Health Services Administration, University of Alberta, 1975.

Thomas, L. On the science and technology of medicine. <u>Daedalus</u>, 1977, <u>106</u>, 35-46.

- Ioll, K. <u>Hospital bed utilization by levels of care in</u> <u>Alberta</u>. Unpublished M.H.S.A. Thesis, Department of Health Services Administration and Community Medicine, University of Alberta, 1982.
- Vavda, E. A comparison of surgical rates in Canada, and in England and Wales. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1973. 289. 1224 1229
- Vavda, E. & Anderson, G. Comparison of provincial surgical rates in 1968 The Canadian Journal of Surgery, 1975. 18. 18 26.

Vavda, F., Lyons, D. & Anderson, G. Surgery and anaesthesia in Ontario. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 1977.

t

Vavda, E., Mørison, M. & Anderson, G. Surgical rates in the Canadian provinces, 1968 to 1970. The Canadian, Journal of Surgery, 1976, 19, 235 242

Wadhera, S. & Nair, C. Trends in caesarean section deliveries, Canada, 1968 1977. <u>Canadian Journal of</u> Public Health, 1982, 73(1), 47 51.

.

.

217

۰.

Walker, A. & Jick, H. Temporal and regional variation in hysterectomy rates in the United States, 1970-1975. <u>American Journal of Epidemiology</u>, 1979, <u>110</u>, 41-46.

Wennberg, J. & Gittelsohn, A. Small area variations in health care delivery. <u>Science</u>, 1973, <u>182</u>, 1102-1108.

Wennberg, J. & Gittelsohn, A. Variations in medical care among small areas. <u>Scientific American</u>, 1982, <u>246</u>(4), 120-134.

Wilensky, G. & Rossiter, L. The magnitude and determinants of physician-initiated visits in the United States. In J. Van Der Gaag & M. Perlman (Eds.), <u>Health; economics</u> <u>and health economics</u> Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1980.

Zuckerman, A. Patient origin study profiles service area, evolving patterns Hospitals 1977, 51(duly 16), 83-85.

APPENDIX A: METHODOLOGY SUPPLEMENT

A.1 Surgical Codes Used in the Study A.2 Equations Used in Population Projections A.3 Formula Used for Age-Sex Adjustments A.4 General Hospital Districts

A.5 Calculation of Relevance and Commitment Indices

ŋ

۲. ۲. ۲.

A.1 Surgical Codes Used in the Study

Alberta used the Hospital Adaptation of the International Classification of Diseases (H-ICDA, 1968) to code disease entities and surgical procedures from 1969 to 1973. In 1974 Alberta started using the second edition of the H-ICDA (H-ICDA 2, 1973). The categories of the H ICDA cannot be perfectly translated into the H-ICDA 2 for a11 operations. However, the author has attempted to ensure that the categories chosen for study are compatible between the two coding systems.

The surgical categories and their associated codes are shown on the following pages. It is evident that the compatibility between the two systems is very good. Pertinent comments and discrepancies between the two coding systems are listed below.

- 1. <u>Appendectomy</u>: Neither the HICDA nor the H-ICDA-2 appendectomy categories include apendectomies that were performed incidental to other abdominal surgery, as only the primary operation codes were used to abstract data
- Caesarian Section: The two coding systems are identical except for the inclusion of "obstetrical hysterotomy" in H-ICDA 2 (74.8). However, this procedure has been removed from the data collection process by selecting only those cases with a primary diagnosis of 650.0 to 664.9, which limits selection to maternity cases only.
- ¹<u>Hysterectomy</u>: The two categories are identical except for the labelling of H-TCDA (71-3) and H-TCDA 2 (68.4). This difference in title has not changed the type of information collected, and therefore, the two categories are similar.
- 4 <u>Cholecystectomy</u>: The two categories are identical except for the number used to identify them (H ICDA: 53.5, and H-ICDA-2: 51.1).
- 5. <u>Prostatectomy</u> The individual categories H-ICDA 65.3 and 65.5 cannot be perfectly translated into H-ICDA 2 60:4 and 60.6. However, when the data are grouped together, the discrepancy between the two coding systems is minimal.
- 6 <u>Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy</u>: The individual categories of the two coding systems are not identical; more categories have been included in the H-ICDA-2 coding system. Since the different codes under each category are combined to yield one total, the lack of perfect matching should not influence the study results unduly.

SURGICAL CODES

1 Code Changes: : 1969 - 1973 H-ICDA 1974 1978 H ICDA 2 <u> 1969 - 1973 H-ICDA</u> a) Appendectomy 49.1 h) Caesarian Section 78.0. 78.1, 78.2. 78 8. 78 9 • c) Hysterectomy 71.0. 71 1 71 2. 71 7. 71 1 d) Cholecystectory 53 -Prostatectom 65 1 65.2, 65 3, 65 4 65 5 f) Tonsillectomy and 03 0 1. 53.1. 03 D. 03 J Adempidectomy 1974 - 1978 H-ICDA 2 · · · a) Appendectomy A7 0 b) Cresarian Section 74.0. 74.1, 74.2, 74.8, 74 9 (select only those with primary diagnos ja 650 0 to 664.9) c) Hysterectomy 68 2 68 3 68 1 68 5 69 6 3 (d) Cholecystectom 51 1 a) Prostatantomy 60 0 60 2 60 4 R0 5 00 0 f) Ionsillectomy mut <u>η</u> η η 20.2 2 g c 28 1 20 5 Adominantom

۴

, ه

	ss		- '978 H-ICDA-2' AbpendectomV - 'ith or without drainage C-S, classical - orporeal - orporeal - orporeal - orporeal - orporeal - ransperitoneal. classical - ransperitoneal. classical - ransperitoneal. ower - terine segment - over into iower - terine segment - terine segment
VSTEPECTOMY	dominal nvs subtotal mdectomv. uterine upracervical (supra idinal)	CN RD	Abdominal hvs. subtotal undectomv, uterine upracervical [supra

,

c,

••

· · · · ·

•

.

221

,

j

•	·	·	••. · · · ·					
- 1978 H-ICDA-2 ²	Abdominal hys. total. -panhysterectomy -removal of corpus and certyx uteri	vaginal hysterectomy	Abdominal hys radical -removal of corpus, cervix, part of vagina, and cellular tissue -Wertheim's operation	Vaginal hys. radical -removal of corpus, cervix, part of vagina, and cellular tissue -Schauta operation	Cholecystectomy -resection of gallbladder	Prost transurethral -electroresection -fulguration -loop -punch	Prost. suprapubic -complete -partial -punch	Prost. retropubic -complete -parțial -punch
1974	8 9 9	0 0 7	9 8 9	9 88 99	5 1 1	9 9	9 9	60 4
1969 - 1973 H-ICDA'	71 1 Abdominal hys total -panhysterectomy -removal of corpus and cervix uteri	71.2 Abdominal hys. radical -removal of corpus, cervix, part of the vagina, and cellular tissue -Wertheim's operation	71.3 Vaginal hys total and subtotal subtotal	<pre>71.4 Vaginal hys. radical -removal of corpus, cervix, part of vagina, and cellular tissue -Schauta operation</pre>	53.5 Cholecystectomy -resection of gallbladder	55.1 Prost. suprapubic -complete -punch -punch	55.2 Prost transurethral -electroresection -fulguration -loop -punch	J Prost perineal -complete -partial -punch
	•	· ·	F .	4			ŭ	Υ. Υ
OPERATION	Hysterectomy (con't.)				Cholecystectomy	Prostatectomy Prost J	,	

adical for any approach any approach any approach any approach that by any approach that any approach at a any approach and drainage of an of tonsillar tag and to an and drainage of an and an an of adenoid tag and the and tag and t	approach 60.5 Prost. radica approach 60.6 Prost. other complete -complete drainage of 28.0 Incision and drainage of 28.0 Incision and drainage of 28.0 Incision and peritonsillar -complete -complete drainage of 28.0 Incision and peritonsillar 28.1 Without A tonsillar 28.1 Without A structures -crasinage of parapharyng peritonsillar 28.1 Without A tonsillar 28.1 Without A action of a 28.3 Excision of a surgery 28.3 Excision of a adenoid tag 28.3 Excision of a 28.4 Excision of a Excision of a 28.5 A without T -excision of a adenoid tag 28.5 A without T 28.5 A without T -excision of a adom of Professional and Hospital Activities A and Hospital Activities a
oach nage of tonsillar il tag ery of Professional nigan. 1968. page of Professional Ann Arbor. Michi	oach nage of tonsillar il tag ery of Professional of Professional Ann Arbor, Michi
	65.4 Prost. ra 65.5 Prost. oth 65.5 Prost. oth complete -partial -retrobub 1 r without -complete -partial -that by c 23.3 A without -complete excision -complete excision ories copied from: Com ation of ICDA. Ann Arb ories copied from: Com

. the second s 224 A.2 Equations Used in Population Projections The following steps were employed to obtain the population projections used in this study. Step 1: Census data for 1971 and 1976 were obtained. Step 2: Age-sex adjustment of each district census population was done as outlined in Appendix A.3. Step 3: The assumption of a constant yearly rate of service population increase or decrease unique to each district was made. Step 4: The annual rate of service population increase or decrease was calculated in the following manner: R = rateP = service population In = natural logarithm Exp - exponent R = Fxp(ln(P76/P71))/5Step 5: The service population for each of the 102 general hospital districts was estimated for the years 1972 to 1975, and 1977 and 1978 using the following equations $P72 = P71 \times R$ $P73 = P72 \times R$ $P74 = P73 \times R$ P75 = P74 x R $P77 = P76 \times R$ P78 - P77 ~ R

•

· .

1.

A.3 Formula Used for Age Sex Adjustments1

$$N_{ij} = \sum_{k} W_{k} P_{kj}$$

Where P is the sum of the number of residents in the kth age-sex group in district j

- W_k is a weight applicable to the kth age-sex group and represents a relative per capita resource requirement for serving this specific age-sex group in comparison with the total population of the study area
- N is the age-sex adjusted number of residents in district 1

M. can be calculated in the following memory

 $w_k = \frac{D_k}{P_{k+1}} / \frac{D_{k+1}}{P_{k+1}}$

Where P_k denotes the total amount of utilization generated by the k age sex group

 P_{μ} represents the number of people in the k^{th} age group

- D represents the total resources utilized
- F. represents the total number of persons in the study area

Thus

 $\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} = \sum_{k} w_{k} P_{kj} = \sum_{k} \frac{D_{k} P_{k}}{D_{k} P_{kj}} P_{kj}$

The sum of all age-sex adjusted district populations yields the age-sex adjusted population for the entire study area.

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} w_{ij} P_{ij} = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} W_{ij} P_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij}}{P_{ij}} P_{ij} =$$

], Source:

Bay, K. & Nestman, L. A hospital service population model and its application. <u>International Journal of</u> <u>Health Services</u>, 1980, 10(4), 677-695.

S

226

ĥ

1

.

x · · · · ·			1 - 1 -	الم الحافة الم
· • · •		A 4 General Ho	ospital	Districts
GHD No.	General Hospital Distr		GHD No	General Hospital District Name
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 12234 26 7 8 9 0 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12 23 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 12 23 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 22 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 22 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Mannville Vermilion Drumheller Islay Cardston Bassano Drayton Valley Lloydminster*** Hanna Viking High River Provost Athabasca Grande Prairie Red Deer Innisfail Wainwright Elk Point Vulcan Stettler Peace River Consort Myrnam Claresholm Little Boy Olds Taber Brooks Magrath Eckville Raymond Beaverlodge Didsbury Vegreville Oyen St Paul Ponoka Mayerthorpe Coronation Crowsnest Fass Castor Two Hills Bently Elnora Three Hills Bently Elnora Three Hills	P	55555555566666667777777777888888888889999999999	Rimbey Empress Lacombe - Flagstaff -Hughendens Trochu - Spirit River Boyle Grande Cache Lamont-Mundare - Willingdons Lethbridges Turner Valley Barrhead Medicine Hat Manning Banff Bow Island Smoky Lake Cold Lakes Hinton La La Biche Pincher Creek Canmore Wetaskiwin Picture Butte Leducs Stony Plain Breton Jasper Bonnyvilles High Prairie McLemman Westlock Camrose Metro Calgary and Bugats Border Counties Valleyview Whitecourt Fort McMurray Sturgeon Slave Lake Fort Vermillion High Levels Thorhild County Vilna Metro Edmonton and Rurats
			154	County of Wheatland**

More than one hospital located in the district
 No hospital located in the district

•

,

۲

٩·

General Hospital Districts



. A.5 Calculation of Relevance and Commitment • Indices* Relevance Index of a District to the Hospital(s) Located 1: in the same district: Number of District Résidents Separated from the District Hospital Total Number of District Residents Separated from all Hospitals in the ⋧ Province ••• • 1. 1. Relevance Index of a District to Hospital(s) Not Located 2. in the same district: Number of District Residents Separated from all Non-District Hospitals ✓ 100 Total Number of District Residents Separated from all Hospitals in the Province -4 Commitment Index of a Hospital to the District in which 3. it is Located: Number of District Residents Separated from the District Hospital × 100 Total Number of Patients Separated from the Hospital Λ. Commitment Index of a Hospital to all Districts Excluding the one in which it is Located: Number of Patients Separated from the Hospital who Resided in a District other than the one in which the Hospital is Located x 100 Total Number of Patients Separated from the Hospital In the above examples only separations are used in the calculation of the relevance and commitment indices. This is only one of several measures that can be used; for example, admission data or patient day data could be used.

الله. ولي المام ومام محمد الله بها المالية المالية من المالية من المالية المالية المالية المالية الم APPENDIX B: RESULTS SUPPLEMENT ана Франция и сталия с Distribution of Medical Practitioners 1973-1981 8.1 Days of Stay for Each of the Six Surgical Categories B.2 Expressed as a Percentage of Total Acute Care Utilization **B**.3 Number of Surgical Separations and Days of Hospital Stay, Alberta, 1971, 1974, and 1978 ÷4 в.4-Length of Stay Rates (in days) per 1000 Persons, Alberta, 1971-1978 · · · · B.5 District Perspective: Percentage of Area Residents Receiving Surgical Care at Selected Hospitals (Relevance Indices), 1971 and 1978 **.** . ·· · · Average Length of Stay in Days of Patients Remaining In, and Travelling Out of Their Resident Area for B.6 ¢, Surgical Care, 1971 and 1978 Average Length of Stay in Days for Patients Remaining R 7 Within Each of the Six Areas For Surgical Care, 1971 and 1978 B 8 Hospital, Perspective: Percentage of Surgical Separations Committed by Six Hospital Groups to Six Selected Areas (Commitment Indices), 1971 and 1978 R.9 Percentage of Total Surgical Separations and Days of Hospital Stay for Six Surgical Categories by Three Hospital Locations, 1971 and 1978 R. 10 Percentage of Surgical Separations and Days −∩f Hospital Stay for Six Surgical Categories By Ci-

229

Hospital Locations, 1971 and 1978

. . ·

Appendix B.1

....

Distribution of Medical Practitioners 1973 - 1981*

A CALL STREET

.

and the second second

• • • • •

- '04

DISTRICT		II Edmonton	III LETHBRIDGE	IV MEDICINE HAT	V PEACE RIVER	VI RED DEER	TOTAL
1973	661	1004	171	51	169	149	2205
	(350)	(517)	• (46)	(19)	(10)	(31)	(973
1974	686	1025	171	49	175	150	2256
	(361)	(525)	(49)	(19)	(13)	(30)	(997
1975	711	1072	180	6I	174	154	2352
	(360)	(541)	(55)	(20)	~ (13)	(30)	(1019
1976	733	1065	186	57	180	153	2382
	(380)	(530)	(56)	(17)	(12)	(31)	(1026
] 97 7	743	1073	184	56	188	155	2399
	(385)	(542)	(59)	(18)	(14)	(31)	(1049
1978	776 (405)	1077 (549)	194 (63)	65 (22)	199 (15)	156 (33)	2467 (1087
1,979	807	1109	203	68	195	167	254 9
	(418)	(571)	(68)	(26)	(19)	(39)	(1141
1 980	828 (430)	1162 (572)	212 (71)	70 (25)	197 (22)	175 (40)	264 4 (1160
1981	877	1235	207	71	204	182	2776
	(455)	(600)	(70)	(26)	(25)	(42)	(1218

The bracketed numbers indicate the total number of specialists (includes laboratory, medical, and surgical specialists)



.

Year	11/100001
1973	430
1974	438
1975	447
1976	455
1977	469
1978	472
1979	580
1980	482
1981	496

* Tables and Map adapted from the Annual Reports of the Alberta Health Care Insurance Commission (1973-1978) and Alberta Hospitals and Medical Care -Health Care Insurance Flan (1979-1981)

230

978 38 1.18 22 ÷ 116. с, • · 09 +DDendix B 2 Days of Stay for Each of the Six Surgical Categories fxpressed as a Percentage of Total Acute Care Utilization 9 **--**976 ទួ ŝ 4. 516. 'n õ φ 974 aar ,× ic 8 9 <u></u> . 619 34 ŝ ņ .972 39 34 ້ . ** :971 96 15 , Surgical Category Jesarean Section rostatectomv ppendectom/

01.1 . 57 ç ۍ 4 , с . 1.64 **0** 96 . 85 1 65 ан 14 4 ÷ <u>6</u>6 ריי י 35 ŗ 20 ନ ନ 7 54 . 02 <u>.</u> o ŝ ۰. **6**0 05 ÷ r **3**8 2 64 °. ō6 3.08 £; 0 ņ onsillectomy and denoidectomy

Colecystectomy

∿sterectom∨

•

231e • . r

...

••• `

,

.

>tal for All
\$ix Categories

? . Ĵ

. . 13 -. F

•___

.

.

• • :

١,

 $\cdot \vec{z}$.

ì

~

,

•

Ϊ.

-

• •

•

•

,

.

.....

Number of Surgical Separations and Davs of Hospital Stay. Alberta :971. 1974 and 1978 .opendix 3 3

.

١

s.,

Model Table Table <th< th=""><th>ADDEV TA TA TA TA TA ADDEV aD aT avs aT avs ADD aD aT avs ap at ADD 1350 323 1454 569 1493 ADD 1350 323 1454 569 1493 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3167 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 14076 1617 54158 100 1261 ADD 14076 1340 1365 53624 ADD 1361 1301 1340 1943 ADD 1361 1301 1363 1436 ADD 1361 1301 1363 1343 ADD 1966 1366 1363 1363 ADD 196 1301 1363 1343</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>aar and Ut</th><th>aar and Utilization Measure:</th><th>sure:</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	ADDEV TA TA TA TA TA ADDEV aD aT avs aT avs ADD aD aT avs ap at ADD 1350 323 1454 569 1493 ADD 1350 323 1454 569 1493 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3157 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 3167 141 54158 100 17301 ADD 14076 1617 54158 100 1261 ADD 14076 1340 1365 53624 ADD 1361 1301 1340 1943 ADD 1361 1301 1363 1436 ADD 1361 1301 1363 1343 ADD 1966 1366 1363 1363 ADD 196 1301 1363 1343					aar and Ut	aar and Utilization Measure:	sure:			
•71 ·24 vs ·314 ·34 vs ·314 ·34 vs ·34 vs <t< th=""><th>Tech "and "and</th><th>·</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>increas</th><th>e or</th></t<>	Tech "and	·								increas	e or
407 1350 1354 1699 1493 -16 1100 575 7926 1059 1493 -16 1100 575 7926 1059 1495 -160 -160 1100 14076 1087 1495 38359 -1 -1 1100 14076 5087 1098 1495 38359 -1 -28 1100 1489 14076 5087 1079 1365 53624 -28 1100 1488 55950 5940 1301 540 19439 -9 1100 1988 5746 1556 1301 1563 1021 -9	407 1360 1354 569 1493 -16 1100 575 7926 2069 1858 100 17301 +160 1100 375 7926 2069 1858 100 17301 +160 1100 317 -41 .6458 1955 38359 - +31 1100 1489 14076 -087 10798 1495 38359 - -328 1100 1489 14076 -087 10798 1367 1369 1362 -343 -31 1101 1301 1301 1301 1301 1340 1473 -31 -31 1101 1301 1301 1301 1363 1563 193 -9 9 1101 1968 '5746 1566 2733 1563 193 9 9 9 1101 1968 '5746 1563 2021 19 19 19 1101 1968 '5746 19 1001 1563 2021 19 <t< th=""><th>urgical Catedor∨</th><th></th><th>, i</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>~</th><th>tecrea 1971-1 ep.</th><th>se 978 Days</th></t<>	urgical Catedor∨		, i					~	tecrea 1971-1 ep.	se 978 Days
t10n:75"926:069:1858:100:7301+160108:3157:41J6458:19538359*****108:3157:41J6458:5156:0798:58553624**28109:4076:087:0798:5150:340:1301:49439**100:488'5746:5550:3733i563:0221:39	Item 375 726 1.059 1.1858 1.100 1.7301 + 160 109 .3157 1.41 .6458 1.495 38359 3 - 31 mV .189 14076 -087 .0798 .585 53624 - 28 mV .189 14076 -087 .0798 .585 53624 - 38 mV .189 14076 .1301 .1301 .1943 - 31 - 38 mV .189 .55950 .3940 .1301 .340 .19439 - 38 and '988 '5746 .556 .3733 .563 .2021 .39 310 '988 '5746 .556 .3733 .563 .3021 .39 5 of the number of separations, and dave refers to the total number of dave of hostital states .39 .30 .39 .39	ppendectomv	10 ⁴	098;	628.	: 1564	9696	: 1493		- 16	-31
309 3157 141 6458 1495 38359 34 131 mV :189 14076 -087 :0798 :585 53624 -28 *** :189 14076 -087 :0798 :585 53624 -28 *** :3550 :3940 :1301 :340 :439 :9 and *98 '5746 :555 :3733 1563 :9	309 3157 *41 J6458 :195 38359 * *31 mv :189 34076 -087 :0798 :585 53624 -28 mv :189 34076 :0779 :340 :340 -28 and '98 '5746 :556 :3733 !563 :0221 :39 s of the number of separations, and days refers to the total number of days of hostilial state	aesarean Section	:15	-926	2069	1858	100	:7301		+ 160	+ 108
mv :489 :4076 -087 :0798 :585 :53624 -28 *867 :5950 :3940 :1301 :340 :49439 -9 *1301 *988 *5746 :556 :3733 *563 :0221 :39	mv :489 :4076 :087 :0798 :585 :53624 -28 *867 :5950 :340 :1301 :340 :4439 :9 and '988 '5746 :556 :3733 !563 :0221 :39 so the number of separations, and days refers to the total number of days of hospital state	ostatectomv	60t	3157	· • • •		.495	38359	Л	÷ 6.+	-
*967 55950 5940 1301 340 49439 9	*967 55950 5940 1301 340 4939 9	olecvstectomv	:-i89	14076	087ء	8670:	. 585	<u> 5</u> 3624		- 28	- 36
and ¹ 988 '5746 :556 '3733 ¹ 563 20221 ·39	and '988 '5746 :556 '3733 !563 '3733 '563 '30221 ·39	/sterectòmy	÷867	\$5950	394O	1301	5340	19439		ġ	-25
	refers to the number of separat	nsillectomv and Jenoidectomv	885.	`5746	3656	884C.	1563	20221		6 :	- 43

[~]232

ţ

.∋nαth of §tav Rates ':n davsl ber '000 Persons, Alberta, 1971-₇1978 pendix 3 J

•

ле

d K

				L c c				
				5				
urgical Category	971	5 i c	£19	374	516.	376	977	978
Joendectom<	r) e	m r	ຕ ເ	C. 4.	۳ ۴	80 51	.2.	•
aesarean Section	0 •	€°C.		12 5	r 4 **	6.2	С <u></u> 8.	ი თ.
^^statectom√	7 ()	6 E.	r 4 7 1	8.0.	ь: ОС	ი ი	5 6	8 5
to lecvstectomy	9	8	1 9	۰۵. 5	т С		8 6	- 1-
•vsterectomv	D ()	0.11	0.80	15.0	32.9	6 C		25.6
nsillectomv and denoidectomv	ი	(0) F:	5	9 7	E.E	r. (V	6 C	10.5

۰.

,

.

,

.

•

,

District Perspective. Percentage of Area Residents Receiving Surgical Care it Selected Hospitals Relevance indices), 1971 and 1978 $^{\circ}$ opendix 8.5

٩

1

.

Area of Patient Origin Calqary Area						
	4 Igary 10 CS Pr Ch	amonton. Ap 35 Pr 3h	arande Sratrie Po SS Pr Ch	.athbridge io CS pr Ch	tedicine tat ↓D CS Pr Ch	ted Deer Ap CS Pr Ch
874	37 98 96 97 36 96 93 97			су та		0 · · ·
Edmonton Area 374 378		66 66 66 66 66 66 66				· ·
Grande Prairie Area 974 978		0; 95 94 0; 94	37 93 5 91 34 90 0 90	5 0 0 0		0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0
lethbr'dge Area 374 ⊰78				36 96 90 97 46 96 93 97		
& Vedicine ⊣at Area 371 ∵78					43 92 90 89 74 97-93 88	
Red Deer Area . 37: 378		, э 20 Э 20 Э				32 89 70 90 93 89 69 87

-see following page for three remaining surgical categories

-

.

continued npendix 3 5.

۱

-ospital Destinations and Surgical Dategory-

ą

4

•

		aigary 1v 1	T T	<u>5</u> >	dmonton .v å		Srand Srair 	e - '		ić in č	≥thbridge v TA †	e	tat '<	adicine at iv TA		∍d Dèer -/, TA T	ê H	
Calgary Area 37	371 [.] 378	36 38 36 97	9£	,	00	0 **	-		<u> </u>	• •	0 N -			с. о.				
Edmonton Area 37 37	37 • 378	20	~ ~	ð ð	0 0 0 0 0	5 5 5 5	/ - ,	00	00	(00					·· •	4 4-	
Grande Prairie Area 1971 1978	8		с -	5 1	() m	e à	4 ŭ	96 31 32 35	F 10	rs a	3		• •		1	ر ۍ ن ن	с ç	
Lethbridge Area 77: 778	<u>.</u> დ	5 F	r ei en	20	$\sim \sim$	$\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ \mathbf{c}	•		0.0	99 98 98 98	8 97 8 97		, -				00	
Medicine Hat Area 37: 278	<u>ب</u> ۵۵		(1 (1	r . 1	0.0	00	·· ()	с с с с		S of	יר יר יר		i2 93 i8 96	3 90 6 32		с. С. С.	с С	
Red Deer Area 971 978	- 8	ດ − ກັບເອີ	n n	ייס	77 T	r m	5.1.							$\hat{\mathbf{c}}$	φ. Φ. Φ.	34 94 38 91	90 38	

235

•

-

-14

. Appendix B.6:

.

,

÷ •

4

· ^-

٠ .

Surgical Category	Year	Metropolitan In	tan Area Dut	Regi	Regional Area In Dut	Rural Area In	Area Out
Appendec tomy	1971	6.8	6.6) 7.2	6.6	7.3	6 .9
	1978	57	6.0	£ 8	5.0	5.7	7.3
-							
Caesarean Section	1971	с с •	סי סי		0.0 0	11.0	13.2
	0/2	•	xo 	a a	י ס ג	9. 9	10.5
	ľ						
Prostatectomy	1/6.	20.1	-2.3	21.2	28.9	21.5	19.0
	1978	•5°.1	16.0	15.6	20.4	17 2	14.6
			•		-		
Cholecystectomy	1971	13 0	12.4	τ. 	. 13.7	12.7	14.1
	:978 [.]	<pre>{ 11 .8</pre>	3 .6	10:5	16.3	10.1	12.7
		•		•			
Hysterectomy	1971	11.2	12.8	10.6	9.7	11.5	11.7
	1978	9.2	۵ ۲	8.9	8.2	9.4	9.7
				÷	•		
Tonsillectomy &	1971	2.3	3.1	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.6
Adeno i dec tomy	1978	2.3	2.4	2.2	2 . 3 .	2.5	2.4
Total for all 6	1071		ŕ	C F	ç		
Surdinal Categories	a701) a	- u - u		t F	ים יים יים	
	, ,	0	ר. ר	n	0.	1.6	2.2

23Ġ

.

. .

7.,

			Resident A	Area of Patients'	s z		
Surgical Category	Year	Calgary	Edmonton Gr	Grande Prairie	Le thbr 1dge	Medicine Hat	t Red Deer
Appendectomy °	1971 1978	ט <i>א</i> נו 4	7.0	a e	5 2 2	8.3	6 C
Caesarean Section	1971 1978	10.8 8.4	12.1	10.0 8.3	0 9 3	+ + 8 2	ر جب می ت
Prostatectomy	1978 1978	20-9 14-8	19 1 15 7	0 2:	20 1 16 4	49.3 42.4	21.8
Cholecystectomy	1971 1978	14, 1 11:2	12.6 11.8	0.7 9.4	11.14 10.8	0 9 0 0 9 0	12 9 11 9
Hysterectomy	1971 1978	12.1	0.1 0.2	10.4 8.2	10.6 1.6	12.1 8.7	0 0 5 5
Tonsillectomy & Adenoidectomy	1971 1978	3 Q 5 7	3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	2.8	2 7 [°] 2 4	2.2	233
Total for all 6 Surgical Categories	1971 1978	8 5 1 5	7.8 7.8	5.7 5.9	7.5 7.4	ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ ເ เ เ เ เ เ เ เ	7 4 4 7

237

,

,

.

١

Hospital Perspective: Percentage of Surgical Separations Committed by Six Hospital iroups to Six Selected Areas (Commitment Indices), 1971 and 1978 Appendix 8.8:

*

,

.

,

•

ſ,

_

•

ant Calgary Edmonton Edmonton Grande 971 10 CS Pr Ch 4p CS Pr Ch 4p CS Pr Ch 4p CS 971 18 99 94 99 1<0 0 0 0 0 971 18 99 94 99 1<0 0 0 0 0 0 0 971 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 971 1 0 0 1 0		e."
971 38 99 94 99 1 0 </th <th>Grande Prairie Lethbridge Medicine Hat Ch Ap CS Pr Ch Ap CS Pr Ch</th> <th>Red Deer Ap CS Pr Ch</th>	Grande Prairie Lethbridge Medicine Hat Ch Ap CS Pr Ch Ap CS Pr Ch	Red Deer Ap CS Pr Ch
971 971 0 0 0 98 98 94 97 0 1 978 0 0 1 98 98 94 97 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1	00	- 0 - 0 0 0
e Area 1971 7 2 0 0 0 3 5 33 3 3 3 6 95 1978 2 0 2 1 3 0 5 7 35 97 ea 971 2 3 9 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 378 5 0 11 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Area 1971 2 2 5 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	98 93 98 98 94 97	
ea '971 - '9 5 · 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33 3 36 ⊖ 5 ≮ 35	00 00 00 -0
Area :971 : 3 2 5 3 0 3 3 0 3 1 0 3		00 00 00 00
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00 00 00 ()
Red Deer Area 1971 4 0 5 2 1 7 1 0 0 Hospitals 1978 3 6 5 3 3 4 5 5 0 0		94 96 92 91 94 280 90 92

238

,

ŗ

-see following page for three remaining surgical categories.

..

•

.

27 ~

ţ,

continued appendix 8.8.

<u>بر</u>ي

.

,

Area of Patient Origin and Surgical Category!

0rigin	ear	Calga -1v TA	Calgary 4v TA		Edmonton 4v TA -	non to TA	5	ira 7 a 7	irande Jraırie Jv TA	μ	± ب	Lethb Hv TA	Lethbridge Hv TA T	ge	ΣI	edic v TI	Medicine H Hy TA T	Hat	Red 4 I	Deer IA f	۲ H	
Caigary Area Hospítals	97 t 1 79:	6 6 6	0 0 0 0	98 86 86	20	00	00	00	\sim	00	Ŭ	0-	0+			0.0	00			0) >_	1
Edmonton Area Hospitals	578°	00	00	00	6 8 8 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 6	6 6 8 6 8 6	98 98	•••	0.			00	00			00	00			• •		
Grande Prairie Area Hospitals	826. 126.		00		5 F.	0.0	n n	15 15	100	97 97	00	00	00,		00	00	00		olio	00, 00		
Lethbridge Area Hospitals	971 978	o T	3 (1)	10 m)	00	00		сс,	00	0 O	37 36	7 94 5 94	92 90		4 00		2 2		00	00	•	
Medicine Hat Area Hospitals '	1971 1978	ຕ ເດ	тŎ	m Q	0'0	00	• •	01	00.	00	С N		<u></u> ,		67 63	97 89	95. 68		0.0 0.0	00		
Red Deer Area Hospitals	978 1978	ر م	U 4	ل ە س	10 17	~ -	ຕທ	00	00	00	00	00	00		00	00	00	ന്ന	92 95 90 92	94	4 ~	

239

A

Percentage of Total Surgical Separations and Days of Hospital Stay for Six Surgical Categories by Three Hospital Locations, 1971 and 1978 uppendix 8.9

.

.

.

.

		-	-ocat	ton of H	-ocation of Hospital and	and Indicator	¢	
uurgical Category	L R a	4e tropo 1 Cep	Metropolitan Area Cep Davs	Regior ŝep.	Regional Area Sep. Days	Rural Gep.	Årea Days	
Appendec tomv	826. 126.	4 U 4 U	47 55	<u>ب</u> ق	- 12 - 13 - 1	0r 0	32 14	
aesarean Section	+ 76. • 78	5ء 7 ج	68 8		16 16	5	20	
rostatectomy	1971 1978	30 3 1	9 19 19	7 T	47. 18	m -	4 -	
holecystectomy	1971 1978	9 9 9	68 59	6 ¹	E E	21	21 18	
'ysterectomy	1971 1978	- ci ' i	1 2 2 2	- - -	6 4	ւ Հ Հ	4 F	
Tonsillectomy & Adenoidectomv	179: 178:	52 23	5 51 52	C +-	10	34 34	38 36	
°otal for al) \$ Surgical Categor≀es	1971 1978	ି ର ସେ	55 59	1 1 1 2	6.4	23	22	ч. -

.

•

٠

-

Jistricts 14. (5, 55, and 69. The remaining districts Ward 106. The regional area includes tep refers to the percentage of total separations and days refers to the percentage of total days of nospital stay.

.

.

Percentage of Surgical Separations and Days of Hospital Stay for Six Surgical Categories By Six Hospital Locations, '971 and 1978 Appendix B 10.

.

Surgical Category	ear	lalgary Sep. Day	ary Davs	Edmonton Sep. Jav	ton Javs	Grande Prairíe Sep. Day	de `íe Days	-ethl Sep	Lethbridge Sep. Days	Medicine Hat ' Sep Day	c ine Č Days	Red [Sep.	Deer Days	
		}												
Appendectomv	816. 176	58 70	29 27	00	50 52		الم ري	മന.	00, 00	et •	11 12	60 °F	8	•
Caesarean Section	126. 126	70 33	95.		50 50	(n n) :	8 C	÷ r-		(Y (N	C1 (V	9 6	10 r	
₽roŝtatectomv	971 978	с Е	35 29	0	52	ς c	00	ოთ	60 Ç	с) 4	ų vi vi	លល	e e	
Sholecystectomy	:971 978	32 30	35 29 ·	67 55	18 54	с, с) (5.5	7- 1	9	nn	ო ო	លល	ດທ	
Hysterectomy	-974 -978	30 • 35	32 35	5 L 1	05	r 1 eq.	64 6 9	თფ	ຸ ໝຸ ໜ -	2 0	7 5	و و مر	ຍຍ	1994 19
Tonsillectomy & Adenoidectomv	971 978	30. 29	58 28	4 10 0 0	50	чю	(۱) ار	σκ	നര	(ง จ	n n	e e	ورو	
Total for all 6 Surgical Categories	:978 :	10	93 90	6: 20	8 T S	ر، برم	m 14	ά n	დ დ	(V m	<u>0</u> 0	ບ	ບບ	

1

;

.

٠.

The Calgary area includes districts 3, 5, 9, 11, 19, 24, 25, 26, 28, 33, 35, 40, 45, 46, 48, 51, 53, 56, 66, 71, 80, 33, and 109. The Grande Prairie area includes districts 14, 32, 60, 63, and 96. The Lethbridge area includes districts 5, 27, 29, 31, 65, 79, 82, 95, and 108. The Medicine Hat area includes districts 6, and 72. The Red Deer area includes districts 5, 27, 29, 31, 65, 79, 82, 39, 41, 43, 44, 49, and 54. The remainder of the districts formed the treat includes districts 15, 56, 50, 22, 30, 39, 41, 43, 44, 49, and 54. The remainder of the districts formed the term includes districts to the percentage of total separations and days refers to the percentage of total days of hospital stay.

• ,

.