# **Chest Compression Effectiveness With vs Without Feedback** BinGe Yang, Student Researcher<sup>1</sup>; Matthew J Douma, MN, RN, ENC(C), CNCC(C), CCN(C)<sup>2</sup>; Chris Picard, CD, BSN, RN, ENC(C)<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Alberta Faculty of Nursing; <sup>2</sup>Royal Alexandra Hospital Emergency, University College of Dublin School of Nursing, Midwifery and Health Systems; <sup>3</sup> Misericordia Hospital Emergency.

## Introduction

### Background

- Cardiac arrest is a sudden loss of heart function resulting in death.
- About 40,000 cardiac arrests happen in Canada (one every 13 minutes)
- Effective chest compressions provide provide circulation to the body and brain, and increase patient survival
- The Misericordia Community Hospital (MCH) and Royal Alexandra Hospital (RAH) Emergency Departments (ED) are trying to increase patient survival by improving chest compression effectiveness

### **Objectives**

- Evaluating the effectiveness of ED staff chest compressions with and without feedback using the Laerdal CPR Meter2.
- Compare nurse experience/training to their ability to accurately self-monitor chest compression quality.

## Methods

- 1. Do two minutes of chest compressions <u>without</u> feedback from device.
- 2. Fill out QI tracking form.
- 3. Do two minutes of chest compressions <u>with</u> feedback from device.
- 4. Record Data on Excel.

In the experiment, we have clinical staff perform two separate trials of chest compressions on a CPR mannequin for two minutes.





Do two minutes of chest compressions without feedback from device.





Figure 1: Clinical setup for nurses

# Results

### **Data Collected at Hospital Sites**



Figure 2: The data collected from the Misericordia Based on the results every category improved and overtime there was a lower standard deviation score.



Figure 3: The data collected from the Royal Alexandra. Based on the results every category improved and overtime there was a lower standard deviation score







2. Fill out QI tracking form.



4. Record Data on Excel.



## Data Collected at Royal Alexandra Hospital

Categories

### **RAH - Actual VS Perceived**

Categories

Perceived 86.28 61.49 Rate Depth

### **Statistical Significance and Survey Results**

Participant Survey Results			
Average Years of Training	11.84682469		
Frequency of giving CPR	Some What Frequently		
Self Evaluation of CPR Ability	Intermediate to Advanced		
Opinion on CPR Meter	Very Useful		

Figure 5. Survey Form Results



Figure 6: Summary Data from both Hospital Sites.

- depth.

- quality.

- drama-on-screen.html





### Discussion

nparison	Release	Depth	Rate	Overall Score(%)
ore	88.31	97.43	63.88	83.2
er	91.95	97.88	85.83	91.88
	12.41577	4.380537	25.0506	13.94896897
est	5.06E-05	0.352042	0.000423	1.22275E-06
ore	58.19	86.28	61.49	68.65
er	82.42	92.77	84.83	86.67
	16.05531	9.726834	13.99063	13.25759237
est	6.6E-08	0.022112	4.16E-06	0.00000066

• Feedback improves chest compression release and rate. • Feedback does not result in statistically significant improvements in

• The CPR Meter2 will be used at the MCH and RAH in the future.

# Conclusion

• Clinicians cannot accurately self-monitor chest compression quality. • Feedback data can be used in training and clinical practice. • Feedback improves release and rate and overall chest compression

• All participants described the device was useful. • T-Test analysis demonstrates proves statistically significant improvements in chest compression quality.

## References

1. Regular Heart vs Cardiac Arrest Heart, accessed August 5, 2019 https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-02-aha-news-heart-stopping-

2. Heart Model, accessed August 5, 2019 <u>http://nirmanhitech.com/cardiac-ct.html</u> 3. T-test, accessed August 5, 2019 <u>https://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/t-test.asp</u>





FACULTY OF MEDICINE & DENTISTRY Department of Critical Care Medicine

