

National Library of Canada

Canadian Theses Service Ottawa, Canada

KIA ON4

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Services des thèses canadiennes

### CANADIAN THESES

### THÈSES CANADIENNES

### NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

It pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30.

### AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens, publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30.

### THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE



### THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

A STUDY-ON THE HEALTH PERCEPTIONS AND ILLNESS BEHAVIOR OF ADOLESCENT GIRLS SCREENED FOR SCOLIOSIS

BY

BONNIE JOHNSTON

### A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

> DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNITY MEDICINE

> > EDMONTON, ALBERTA

**SPRING**, 1987

Permission has been granted to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author (copyright owner) has reserved other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her written permission. L'autorisation a été accordée à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur (titulaire du droit d'auteur) se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation écrite.

ISBN 0-315-37845-X

### THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR : TITLE OF THESIS:

Bonnie Johnston

A Study on the Health Perceptions and Illness Behavior of Adolescent Girls Screened for Scoliosis

### DEGREE:

Master of Health Services Administration

YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED: 1987

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(Student's signature)

Box 1186 Morinville, Alberta TOG 1P0 (Student's permanent address)

Date: april 9, 1987

### THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled A STUDY ON THE HEALTH PERCEPTIONS AND ILLNESS BEHAVIOR OF ADOLESCENT GIRLS SCREENED FOR SCOLIOSIS submitted by BONNIE JOHNSTON in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.

IA ...

Supervisor

M Mare

Howell

DATE: January 29, 1987

### ABSTRACT

This research was undertaken to gain some perspective on the implications associated with diagnostic labeling of adolegcent girls with scollosis. More specifically, to determine if adolescent girls who were screened and referred for x-ray and physician assessment, or re-examination in six months by a public health nurse, differed in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior from girls, who had not been screened, or were screened and designated negative.

63

S

. A.

A questionnaire, developed for this study, was distributed to girls who had participated in a scollosis screening program as well as a control group who, for the most part, had not been screened. A total of 178 useable questionnaires were returned, representing an over-all response rate of 85.8 percent. Based on survey responses: (1) respondents' personal perceptions of their general health, current health, health outlook, and health worry/concern were identified; (2) respondents' school attendance, activity limitations, recent experience with illness, and perceptions of happiness and nervousness were identified; (3) the influence of factors considered significant in providing alternative explanations for perceptions of personal health and illness behavior were examined; and (4) differences among the groups were analyzed. The major study findings included the following:

- Favourable health perceptions were negatively associated with increases in absenteeism from school, recent illness, bed days as a result of illness, nervousness, unhappiness, and activity limitations.
- Significant differences in perceptions of personal health, and illness behavior did not occur between the: (1) referral and recheck groups or (2) the referral-recheck groups and the negative-control groups.
- 3. When compared with the control group, a significantly greater degree of nervousness and worry/concern regarding personal health was demonstrated by the: (1) negative group and (2) girls who had been involved in the screening process.
- 4. Girls who "perceived" they had been told there was something wrong with their back demonstrated significantly less positive perceptions of their current health and health outlook than girls who indicated they had been told nothing was wrong with their back.

Recommendations focussed on: (1) additional research to substantiate 'and explore findings obtained in this study and (2) if similar findings are replicated, ensuring follow-up is an integral component of the scollosis screening process.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to the many people whose support helped me to complete this thesis.

TENSO

My sincere thanks and appreciation are extended to be the supervisor, for his patient and valued guidance, and his very generous commitment of time.

The time, effort and constructive criticism given by thesis committee members, Dr. James Howell and Dr. Janice Morse, are gratefully acknowledged and appreciated.

I wish to acknowledge and thank the Edmonton Board of Health and Sturgeon Health Unit for their co-operation and support of this study and professors in the faculty who contributed greatly to my learning experience.

Special thanks are extended to Karen Mills for her encouragement and support and Chaundrani Wijayasinghi who devoted many hours of her time assisting with the analysis of the research data.

Finally, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my husband Grant, and children Mark and Jennifer, for their love, patience and understanding.

٧i

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ż

•

	-	TABLE OF CONTENTS	. •	1
•.				. <b>P</b> e
•	CHAP	'TER	PAGE	•
<b>-</b> .'	•	ABSTRACT	tv.	· · · ·
•		ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi ·	
•	, .	TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII	,
	Ì	LIST OF TABLES	×	
	1	LIST OF FIGURES	xii _	
	I. I	NTRODUCTION	1	
		1.1 Statement of the Problem	1	
		1.1       Statement of the Problem         1.2       Objectives of the Study         1.3       Hypotheses	3	
		1.4 Significance of the Study	4 5	
		1.5 Brief Description of the Study	. 5. 6	
	1	1.6 Assumptions of the Study	6	•
		1.7 Limitations of the Study	7	
		1.8 Definitions	8	
	. 1	1.9 Organization of the Thesis	. 10	•
	11. S	ELECTED REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	11	
	2	2.1 An Over-View and Evaluation of Scollosis		
	🕳 🔒 🥈	Screening	11	
۲.		Natural History	17	<b>A</b> 1
•	•	Treatment	20	` ©.
•		Screening Procedure	21	*
	2	2.2 Test Acceptable To The Population Being Screened		
		Preliminary Study	25	
			26	
	2	.3 "Labeling Effect"	28	·*· **
		Relevant Studies	28	
		Labeling The Early Adolescent	29	
•		Labeling And Perceptions Of Health	31	
· .		Conclusion	32	
	2	.4 Summary Of Literature Review	<b>33</b>	•
	Ш. М	ETHODOLOGY	36 <sup>°</sup>	,
<b>N</b>			- 36	
	3	.1 Research Strategy	36	
	-	Survey Research Approach	39	
		Study PopulationSampling Plan	40	
		Sampling Plan	41	•

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)'

	CH	APTE	R	PAGE
· .	~	3.2		46
			Identification of Content	- 47
			Questionnaire Format	49
			Selection of Questionnaire Items	50
•		÷	Questionnaire Pretest	58
		3.3	Questionnaire Distribution and Data	50
0			Questionnaire Distribution	<b>59</b> .
			Follow-up Procedure	60 61
N.	. 1	3.4	Data Analyses	62
•				~
		. 3 . 5	Summary	64
	IV.	DEC	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	
*		NE3		65
	•	4-1	Survey Response Rate	65
		4.2	Perceptions of Personal Health	67 -
			General Rating of Health	67
			Perceptions of Current Health	67
		. •	Perceptions of Health Outlook	74
			Perceptions of Health Worry/Concern	77
		4.3	Illness Behavior	82
			Presence or Absence of Illness	82
· .			Presence or Absence of Illness Days in Bed as a Result of Illness	83
		-	Absence from School as a Result of	U.J
			Illness	84
•			Psychological Distress	84
	ı		Activity Limitations	88
		4.4	Testing of Study Hypotheses	92
			Pearson Correlation Coefficients:	
			Illness Behavior and Perceptions of Health	105
				100
	•	4.5	Confounding Factors	107
		4.6	Multiple Regression Analysis	112
			Summary of Findings	114
		4.7	General Comments	117
		4.8	Reliability	
		7+ 0		118
		4.9	Summary	120

viii

### TABLE CONTENTS (cont'd)

2

	CHAPTER		
,	V. SUMMARY	AND RECOMMENDATIONS	122
	5.1 Summa	ary of the Study	122
	- 5.2 Malor	Findings	126
	5.3 Conclu	usions	130
	5.4 /Recom	mendations	132
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	BIBLIOGRAPHY		133
	APPENDIX A:	Letter of Explanation to Parent or Guardian (Edmonton Board of Health)	143
	APPENDIX B:	Letter of Explanation to Parent of	
	ALLENDIX D.	Guardian (Sturgeon Health Unit)	145
			143
	APPENDIX C:	Questionnaire	147
	ADDENDLY D		;
	APPENDIX D:	Internal-Consistency Reliability	
	•	Coefficients for Eight HPQ Scales	154
	APPENDIX E:	Letter of Explanation to Edmonton	
		Public School Board	156
	·		
	APPENDIX F:	Letter of Explanation to Edmonton	
		Catholic School District	158
	APPENDIX G:	Letter of Explanation to St. Albert	
		Protestant Separate School District	160
		" · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 gu
	APPENDIX H:	Summary of Adolescent Girls' Comments	1.
		in Relation to the Questionnaire	163

ix

### LIST OF TABLES

• •

• .

. `~r

ł

	TABLE		PAGE
	1	Evaluation of Scolidsis Screening as a Valid Screening Program	13
,	H	Current Concerns About Scoliosis Screening	16
	111	Incidence Of Curve Progression	18
	1V	Factors Investigated Relating To Curve Progression in Idiopathic Scoliosis	19
	<b>v</b>	Reported Reliability And Validity Of The Forward Bend Test	22
	VI	Referral Category	44
A '	VII -	Sample Size Allocation	45
,	VIII	Response Rate By Category	66
	IX	Respondents' Perception Of Their General Health By Category	68
	X	Results By Category For Current Health Scale	70
•	XI	Means Obtained By Each Group For The Current Health Scale	72
	XII	Results By Category For Health Outlook	75
	XIII	Means Obtained By Each Group For The Health Outlook Scale	76
`.	XIV	Results By Category For Health Worry/ Concern Scale	78
	X₩	Means Obtained By Each Group For The Health Worry/Concern Scale	79
	XVI	Rating Of Nervousness By Each Group	86
	XVII	Activity Limitations	89
,	XVIII	Analytic Comparison For Perceptions Of Health And Illness Behavior Between The Referral And Recheck Groups	9д

X

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd)

	TABLE		PAGE
ł	XIX	Analytic Comparison For Perceptions Of Health And Illness Behavior Between Referrals-Rechecks and Negatives- Controls	96
	<b>ХХ</b>	Analytic Comparison For Perceptions Of Health And Illness Behavior Between The Negative And Control Groups	99
	XXI	Analytic Comparison For Perceptions Of Health And Illness Behavior Between Referral-Recheck-Negative Groups And The Control Group	101
. •	XXII	Analytic Comparison For Perceptions Of Health And Illness Behavior Between Girls Who Indicated They Had Been Told They Had Scollosis And Girls Who Had Not	103
•	XXIII	Summary Of Pearson Correlation Coefficients In Relation To Illness Behavior And Perceptions Of Health	106
	XXIV	Chronic Health Problems By Group	109
•	XXV	Summary Of Regression Analysis	115
•	XXVI	Comparison of Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficient In Two Studies	121

xI



### CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Statement of the Problem

Since 1955 almost two million children have been examined for the presence of scoliosis. Yet, controversy continues to exist regarding the utility and effectiveness of school screening programs for the detection of scoliosis. Support for the program has ranged from the position that screening is an essential tool for the prevention of severe spinal deformities to the view that it is a waste of scarce and valuable resources. Torell (1981) reported that early detection and effective brace treatment have reduced the risk of progression to 40/degrees or more by at least 63 percent. In Minnesota, Lonstein (1982) found that the number of children in the state requiring surgery has diminished since 1970, in large part due to an efficient and cost effective state program. Kane (1982, p. 479) stated: "It is not, however, in the surgical or in the nonsurgical therapies that the most impressive and important advances have been made in dealing with scollosis over the past twenty years. That distinction clearly belongs to the realization that implementation of the concept of. early screening for spinal deformity is an effective and economical way to deal with scollosis".

Dickson (1983, p. 615), on the other hand, stated: "It is clear that screening at school for scoliosis, as it is practised, does not primarily detect idiopathic scoliosis. It is an enormous waste of time, energy and money...". Leaver (1982), Mitchell (1983) and Mowat (1983), upon independently reviewing the literature, pointed out that the considerable void in knowledge regarding the natural history of idiopathic scoliosis makes it difficult to establish which children are truly "at risk", and to judge properly the effectiveness of nonsurgical treatment.

Data generated on scoliosis screening, to\_date, has generally failed to clarify issues central to establishing the efficacy of the program. Of particular concern in attempting to evaluate the credibility of the program is the incomplete data on the natural history of scollosis, efficacy of brace treatment and validity of the screening procedure. While sever, quantitative studies are available in the literature attempting to clarify these issues, little research is available which deals specifically with the adolescents' experience during the screening process. This is an area of particular concern in view of the deficit of information which characterizes the program (British Orthopaedic Association, 1983 ; Leaver et al, 1982), high faise-positive rates (Mowat, 1983; Viviani, 1984) and the particularly vulnerable developmental stage at which screening occurs (Nelms, 1981).

This study will endeavor to gain some perspective on the implications associated with the diagnostic labeling of adolescent girls with scollosis.

### 1.2 Objectives of the Study

The prime objective of this study is to investigate the effect of the scoliosis screening process on adolescent girls' perceptions of their own health and their illness behavior. Specifically, Athe study will attempt to determine if adolescent girls who are screened and designated as requiring x-ray and physician assessment (referals), or re-examination in six months by a public health nurse (rechecks), differ in perceptions of their health and illness behavior from girls who have not been screened, or were screened and designated negative.

The major research objectives are as follows:

1. To measure health perceptions and determine illness behavior of adolescent girls who have been screened for scollosis.

2. To evaluate the relationship between perceptions of health and illness behavior.

3. To compare health perceptions and illness behavior among adolescent girls screened and referred for x-ray and physician assessment (referrals); re-examined in six months by a public health nurse (rechecks); designated negative; and not screened for scoliosis to determine if differences exist between the groups. 4. To determine if a "negative labeling effect" occurs in adolescent girls screened as "referrals" or "rechecks".
5. To gain some perspective on the effects associated with the diagnostic labeling of adolescent girls with scollosis.

6. To explore relationships between selected variables and the health perceptions and illness behavior of adolescent girls.

### 1.3 Hypotheses

The major hypotheses underlying this investigation are as follows:

- Favourable health perceptions will be negatively associated with increases in absenteeism, illness, sick days, psychological distress, and activity limitations.
- 2. There will be no differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between the control group and the negative group.
- Differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between the referral-recheck
   groups and the control-negative groups.
- 4. Differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between the referral group and the recheck group.

Ô,



- 5. Differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between girls screened for scollosis (referrals-rechecks-negatives) and the control group.
- 6. There is a relationship between being labeled with scollosis and demonstrating less positive perceptions of personal health and illness behavior.

### 1.4 Significance of the Study

Scoliosis screening is presently being carried out by health agencies within Canada and in various parts of the world. Given that screening is being done and uncertainties exist regarding the efficacy of the program, it is of primary importance to be aware of possible effects generated by the screening process to ensure responsible and knowledgeable delivery of the program.

It is the intent of this study to determine if health perceptions and illness behavior are affected by the screening process. This information could potentially provide additional knowledge in the area of scollosis screening which would be of use to health care administrators and researchers in evaluating and understanding the full impact of scollosis screening programs.

### 1.5 Brief Description of the Study

This study utilized a mail survey method in order to obtain information from adolescent girls. It is a comparative study based on data collected by questionnaire measuring selected perceptions of the girls' own health and their illness behavior. Findings were compared among girls who had not been screened for scoliosis; girls who were screened and designated "negative"; and girls who were screened and designated as "referrals" or "rechecks".

For this study, the research strategy was comprised of: 1) a gomprehensive review of selected literature, 2) adoption of a survey research method, target and study populations, and sampling plan, 3) development of the questionnaire, 4) distribution of the questionnaires with follow-up procedures utilized, 5) data collection, and 6) analysis and interpretation of the data.

### 1.6 Assumptions of the Study

The following assumptions were made regarding this study:

1. The study was carried out under the assumption that respondents would answer the questionnaire in a frank and honest manner.

- 2. It was assumed that the selected sample was a homogenous group representative of the study population.
- 3. Items on the questionnaire which measured health perceptions, activity limitations, psychological distress, and presence or absence of illness had been used in other studies. It was assumed they represented valid and reliable forms of measurement which were generalizable to the sample population in this study.
- 4. Since the sample population was randomly selected, it was assumed that ethnicity, occupation and educational level of parents, factors associated with health status, were evenly distributed among the groups.

### 1.7 Limitations of the Study

5

Specifically, the study has the following limitations:
1. The study sample and findings are limited to girls between the ages of 11 - 13 years.

2. Since scoliosis screening programs vary among regions in their method, expertise, population screened, and referral and follow-up protocol, generalizability outside of the study population cannot be assumed without replication.

The survey research method utilized is subject to the limitations associated with:

- 1. the mail questionnaire survey method;
- 2. uncertainty due to sampling error; and
- 3. measurement error.
- 1.8 Definitions

For the purpose of this study the following definitions shall be used.

Scollosis:	One or	more	lateral	curves	óf	the	spine	(Keim,
	÷							
	1979).		•					

Labeling: Describes the psychologic impact of test results on patients (Fletcher and Fletcher, 1982).

Screening: The presumptive identification of unrecognized disease or defect by the application of tests, examination, or other procedures which can be applied rapidly to distinguish those persons who probably have a disease from those who probably do not (Commission on Chronic Illness, 1957).

Health:

Refers to the equilibrium and harmony of all the possibilities of the human being: biological, psychological and social (Monnier and Deschamps, 1980).

### Perceptions of Health:

Refers to the subjective assessments individuals have of their own health or well-being (Bloom and Monteroseth 1967).

> Manifestation, at a given time, of the overall impact of illness, reflecting the effects of both the clinical and subjective dimensions, as well as their interactive effects on daily life activities (Gilson, 1975).

Activity Limitations:

Refers to the reduction or restriction of an individual's activities because of a health problem or physical condition.

False-Positive:

A positive screening test result when the disease is actually absent (Fletcher and Fletcher, 1982).

Negative:

Screening category designated by a Public Health Agency for girls with no observable form of scollosis.

Recheck: Screening category designated by a Public Health Agency for girls with a questionable or small deviation who are re-examined at six month intervals by public health nurses until they are taken off the recheck list. <u>Referrals</u>: Screening category designated by a Public Health Agency for girls with an obvious deviation who are referred for x-ray and physician assessment.

### 1.9 Organization of the Thesis

This report is comprised of five main sections. The preceeding chapter provided the introductory material. Chapter II presents a review of selected, pertinent literature. In Chapter III the research design and methodology used in the study are described. Data analysis and results of the study are discussed in Chapter IV and the final chapter contains a summary of the study, conclusions and recommedations. The Appendices include pertinent correspondence and a sample of the research instrument used in this study.

### CHAPTER II

### A SELECTIVE REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The purpose of this chapter is to provide an over-view of pertinent literature on scoliosis screening and the concept of labeling. On the basis of this information the need and rationale underlying this research project will be demonstrated. The literature review includes four components: 1) an over-view and evaluation of scoliosis screening, 2) a discussion on the acceptability of scoliosis screening for the early adolescent population, 3) an over-view of the labeling effect, and 4) a summary.

### 2.1 An Over-View and Evaluation of Scoliosis Screening

Screening has been defined as the "presumptive identification of unrecognized disease or defect by the application of tests, examinations, or other procedures which can be applied rapidly". Furthermore, "screening tests sort out apparently well persons who have a disease from those who probably do not. A screening test is not intended to be diagnostic. Persons with positive or suspicious findings must be referred to their physicians for 'diagnosis and treatment" (Fletcher and Fletcher, 1982, p. 67; Whitby, 1974, p. 819).

11 -

Certain criteria or principles which act as guides in evaluating screening programs have been developed by a number of experts. An evaluation of the scoliosis screening program which incorporates screening criteria developed by Wilson (1965) and Whitby (1974) is provided in Table I. Table II, formulated by the British Orthopaedic Association (1983), provides an additional summary of concerns associated with scoliosis screening programs.

In reviewing the available information on scoliosis and scoliosis screening, it is apparent that a deficit of information exists in practically every area. Of particular concern in attempting to evaluate the credibility of the program is the incomplete data on the natural history of scoliosis; the acceptability and effectiveness of different forms of treatment; and the validity of the screening procedure.

### TABLE 1

### Evaluation of Scoliosis Screening as a Valid Screening Program

1.

### Screening Criteria

1. Abnormality being sought should be adequately defined.

- 2. Condition being sought should be an important health problem for the individual and the community.
- 3. Population being screened for the abnormality should be defined in operational terms.

4. Natural history should be adequately understood.

Dickson, 1983; Keim, 1979).
 Scollosis appears to be an important problem for the individual and has the potential of drawing substantially from community resources (Nachemson, 1965; Nelsonne, 1968; Leaver et al,

1982; Drummond, 1976).

Scollosis Screening

Clarification of the type of

scollosis surveyed and <u>Randardized criteria</u> for establishing what constitutes a "case" are required (Leaver

et al, 1982: Kane, 1977, Mowat, 1983; Lonstein, 1976;

Nachemson et al, 1982;

3. Although discrepancies exist as to which age group and sex to screen, it would appear that progress is being made in identifying and targeting the most susceptible segment of the population (Mowat, 1983; Golomb, 1975; Liston, 1981; Tanner, 1962; Verrier et al, 1979; Leaver et al, 1982; Golomb, 1975).

 4. To date, central issues clarifying the length of the latent or asymptomatic phase of the condition; the proportions of the population which progress, undergo remission, or arrest; and when these events occur remain unclear and incomplete in explaining the natural history of scollosis (Mowat,

### TABLE 1 (cont'd)

5.

Ø.

There should be an acceptable form of treatment.

5.

6. Agreed policy on treatment.

Suitable test of examination.

1985; Dickson, 1983; Drummond, 1976; Lonstein, 1984; Warren, 1986; Armstrong, 1982; Schultz, 1979; Redford, 1969; Ponte, 1982; Verrier et al, 1979; Robin, 1977; Weinstein & Ponsetr, 1978).

There are significant areas of doubt about the indications for and benefits of various forms of treatment available (Piggott, 1977; Torell, 1981; Rogala, 1978; Blount and Moe, 1980; Bobechko, 1975; Mellencamp 1976; Carr et al, 1980; Dickson, 1984; Warren et al, 1981; Leaver et al, 1982; Verrier et al, 1979; Edmonson & Morris, 1977; Blout, 1958; Moe & Kettleson, 1970; Edgar, 1970; Siegler, 1979; Allard, 1984; MacEwen, 1975; Halliday, 1984; Brooks, 1979; Hungerford, 1975; Herbert; 1980).

 Criteria standardizing acceptable "endpoints" for treatment of scollosis have not been established (Moe and Winter, 1978; Torell, 1981; Mellencamp, 1977).

7. More work is required to develop a suitable screening procedure to ensure that all significant cases are found and to reduce the large number of unnecessary referrais (false-positives) (Viviani, 1984; Howell et al, 1978; Williams et al, 1980; Mowat, 1983; Cassels, 1977; Howell, 1983; Fletcher and Fletcher, 1982; Viviani, 1982; Willner, 1982; Adair et al, 1977).

### TABLE 1 (cont'd)

8.

8. Test acceptable to population.

85

More information is required on the adolescent's understanding, perceptions and concerns in relation to the screening process (Howell, 1983; Viviani, 1982; Kane, 1977; Quick and Highriter, 1981; Lonstein et al, 1982; Wynne, 1984).

- 9. Facilities for diagnosis and treatment.
- 10. Cost related to other medical care expenditures.

 Screening must be a continuous process and not a "once and for all" programme.

- More facts are required to accurately assess the implications of mass screening on diagnostic and treatment facilities (Leaver et al, 1982; Torell, 1981; Kane, 1977).
- 10. Estimation of costs vary between regions, are dependent on screening criteria, follow-up and treatment protocol; frequently do not reflect total cost; and fail to recognize side effects associated with the screening (Gurr, 1976; Lonstein et al, 1982; Howell, 1984; Mowat, 1983).
- 11. Data required to determine the periodicity of rescreening normal children has not been published (Leaver et al, 1982).

### TABLE II

16

### Current Concerns About Scollosis Screening

1. The forward bend test is too sensitive and not sufficiently specific.

- 2. The best ages at which to screen are undetermined.
- 3. It is not known how worthwhile it would be to screen boys as compared to girls.
- Too many children are referred to hospitals from present screening programs.
- 5. The criteria for referral are not clear.
- 6. The best methods of radiography have not been established.
- 7. Most small curves need no treatment.
- 8: Unnecessary treatment may often result from screening programs.
- 9. Regional variations in prevalence need to be identified and explained.
- 10. The natural history of scoliosis is unknown.
- 11. Criteria to predict outcome are not available.
- e 12. Further study of morbidity arising from scollosis is necessary.
  - 13. There is not complete agreement on the definition of scoliosis.
  - 14. The dividing line from normality is blurred.
  - 15. No single clinic could adequately seek answers to all these questions.
  - 16. The cost of screening is not yet quantified.
  - 17. There are lost "opportunity" costs.

British Orthopaedic Association Br. Med. J., 1983 287 963-4.

### Natural History:

• 2

The natural history of idiopathic scollosis is not currently understood. As illustrated in Table 111, the incidence of curve progression varies considerably in reported studies. In Mowat's survey of the literature (1983), five percent to 33 percent of the curves had increased significantly, and from three percent to 40 percent had decreased. He surmised that with a typical curve of about ten degrees, there are approximately equal chances of it getting worse, getting better, or staying the same over the ensuing few years. Dickson (1983) reported that 90 percent of spinal scollosis either remain static or regress. The ten percent that progress resemble true idiopathic scollosis, with right thoracic curves in girls showing a real potential for progression. A report produced by the Prevalence and Natural History Committee (1982) indicated that no progression occurs in the vast majority of patients seen with curves of 19 degrees or less, and overall still less than 50 percent of patients with curves 20-29 degrees do not progress.

Factors which have been investigated to determine a relation with curve progression in idiopathic scollosis are provided in Table IV. Clearly, better and longer term studies of the natural history of the condition are required to provide more information concerning the influence of these variables.

`E

### TABLE III

# \* Incidence of Curve Progression

Percentage of Curves that Progressed	5.28 progressed by 5° 22.48 decreased by 5°	Untreated, 35% progressed	6.8% progressed 2.1% progressed in curves 10° 10.3% progressed in curves 10°	56% progressive 82% progressive	20% progressive	14.28 progressive
Range of Magnitude of Curves		10-29°		420	20-30°	10°
No. of Patients	<b>134</b>	110		100 70	326	42
Study	Brooks et al (1975)	Clarisse (1974)	Rogala et al (1978)	Fustler (1980)	Bunnell (1983)	6. Dickson et al (1980)
•	•	2.				.9

Ľ Ľ

### TABLE IV

# Factors Investigated Relating to Curve Progression

### in Idiopathic Scoliosis

Agreed

Pattern of the Curve

a

Age

Menses

**Risser Sign** 

<u>Controversial</u> Sex

Family History

Magnitude of the Curve

Not Proven

Flexibility of the Curve Sagittal Deformities Lumbosacral Abnormalities

Alignment of the Trunk

÷

### Treatment:

Significant areas of doubt exist concerning the indications for and benefits of various forms of treatment for scollosis. Piggott (1977, p. 34) in reviewing the choices of management and treatment available for the care of patients with scollosis wrote: "Treatment is arduous for both child and parents, and though much improvement may result, the spine will not become normal<sup>n</sup>.

To better understand the net effect of treatment, it would be necessary to deny some children the recommended treatment to be able to observe what happens when curves are not treated in the standard manner. Given the possible end results, most\_\_\_\_\_\_ orthopaedic surgeons have considered withholding treatment ethically unacceptable. In view of the lack of information on the natural progression of scoliosis; doubt concerning the efficacy of grace treatment (Carr et al, 1980; Mellencamp et al, 1976); and no available investigations involving control patients; it is difficult to determine the <u>net effect</u> of treatment and its influence on the course of scoliosis.

### Screening Procedure:

The test usually used in scollosis screening is the forward bend test which involves clinical inspection of the back when standing upright and on bending forward. The reliability and validity of this test appears suspect. Of the 20 papers reviewed (available in Table V), only three reported on inter- or intra-observer In Viviani's study (1984) the accuracy of reliability. individual nurses, who attended special training workshops, ranged from 53 to 88 percent with overall accuracy assessed to be On the basis of these results, Viviani stressed that only high. public health nurses whose examination skills have been adequately tested should be allowed to participate in school scollosis screening programs. Howell et al (1978) developed videotaped educational packages to train nurses and then proceeded to evaluate the nurse's skills. They found the nurse-screeners failed to detect\_ 26 percent ( and physiotherapist 13 percent) of the asymmetries present in children with curves of at least 10 degrees. Williams et al (1980) considered their findings of 60 percent#agreement among screeners to constitute an unacceptably low level of reliability. Consistent with Howell's (1983) view, it would appear that much of the difficulty with this procedure is related to its "observation and judgement" approach.

 $\Sigma$ 

### TABLE V

# Reported Reliability and Validity

## of the Forward Bend Test

ð

ise positives reported

se positives reported

<b>Validity</b>	Not Stated		-			98 false positives	Not Stated	Not Stated	Not Stated		Not	Not	Not		73.98 Sensitivity		75.38 Accuracy	Not Stated	Calculated against	findings on re-scr	against x-ray mea	• •				
	-	2.	ы. С	4	s.	<b>6</b> .	7.	œ.	9.	10.	Ξ.	12.	13.	14.	15.			16.	17.							
Reliability	Not Stated	Not Stated	Not Stated	Not Stated	Not Stated			Not Stated	Not Stated	Accuracy of individual	nurses was reported to	range from 53% to 88%	Not Stated	Reported that nurse-	screeners failed to	detect 268 and a	physiotherapist 138	of asymmetrics present	in children with	curves of at least 10°	-					
	<b>.</b>	2.	м.	4	ີ ທີ່	<b>e</b> .	.7.	œ-	<u>.</u>	10.	.11.	12.	13.	14.	15.			16.	17.							

22

gs on re-screening and

ated against the

it x-ray measurement

(\$261) Goldberg et al (1980) Smyrnes et al (1979) Lonstein et al (1976) (1977) (1980) Newman et al (1977) O'Brien et al (1977) Viviani et al (1984) Miller et al (1982) 16.° Gore et al (1981) 17. Howell (1978) Seels and May Drennan et al Dickson et al ( Lezberg (1974) Dickson (1983) Willner (1982) Benson (1977) Wallace (1977) Howell (1978) Study 14. 15. ~ <u>S</u> m 4 2 3 1. 5 j. ġ υ
# TABLE V (cont'd)

<u>\_</u>

	ent   18. 2 ble   7	19.		21, 6
Reliability	Reported 60% agreement among screeners - considered unacceptable	Not Stated	Results incorrectly	calculated Not Stated
	18.	19.	20.	21.
Study	Williams et al (1980)	19. Cassels (1977)	20. Adair et al (1977)	21. Black (1984)
	<b>18</b> .	19.	20.	21.

5

Validity

38 false negatives 08 false positives	108 specificity 198 false positives 28 reported false negatives	46% accuracy - 64% accuracy	
8. 238 608 774	9. 101 122 122	0. 469 1. 649	

Criteria for evaluating validity of Screening tests require that the rates of sensitivity and specificity should be at least 90 percent and preferably 95 percent (Fletcher and Fletcher, (1982). Only six of the 20 papers reviewed provided information on some aspect of validity. Results ranged from nine to 60 percent for false positives; 40 to 78 percent for specificity; and 46 to 75 percent for accuracy. Sensitivity was relatively consistent at a reported 74 and 77 percent. Howell (1983) reports sensitivity of nurse-screeners which varied from 25 to 73 percent and Mowat (1983) calculated that of those referred for definite evaluation, three out of four, or four out of five will be found not to have scollosis of any significant degree. Viviani's study (1982) found that 88 percent of the children referred by the nurses for x-ray do not have scollosis while they miss 25 percent of the children with curves of 10 to 20 degrees. Clearly, the significant variability in results for the forward bend test with sensitivity and specificity rates far removed from the 95 percent screening criteria question the efficiency of this procedure. It would appear that more work is required to develop a suitable screening procedure to ensure that all significant cases are found and to reduce the large number of unnecessary' referrals (false positives).

The conclusion drawn from examining this evidence is that more research and developmental work is required to establish the value of scoliosis screening, and in particular, the possibility of adverse effects generated by the screening process.

#### 2.2 Test Acceptable to the Population Being Screened

A 'review of selected literature indicated that studies on scollosis have focused primarily on attempting to provide more information and clarification on the clinical aspects of the condition and validity of the screening procedure. Little research is available which deals specifically with the acceptability and effects of the screening procedure for the population being screened. Howell (1984) refers to the amount of worry engendered in children undergoing screening, especially for those who are first picked out as needing further testing. Viviani (1982) and Kane (1977) express concern about the subsequent effects for a large number of the population with insignificant curves labeled as having scollosis. Berwick (1985) recently commented on the vast majority of children with insignificant curves who, with their parents, must adapt to a new label with the potential for insult to self-image and peace of The amount of quantitative and qualitative analysis mind. investigating and substantiating these concerns however, is minimal with research in the area focusing primarily on psychological responses to treatment (Wickers and Bunch, 1977; Nalkan, 1977). Quick and Highriter's study (1981) was one of the few studies available for review that dealt specifically with screening. The study investigated the importance of privacy in scollosis screening and found that provision of individual

privacy during screening contributed to a more positive experience for students. In one other study, Wynne (1984) noted that the absentee rate during scollosis screening was normal and considered this an obtrusive measure of acceptability.

#### Preliminary Study:

The lack of literature available dealing with the psychological effects of the screening process on the adolescent girl initiated the need for preliminary investigation in the area (Johnston, A questionnaire was distributed to a sample of girls 1985). screened by a Public Health Agency that had expressed specific concern and interest in this area. The intent of the study was to identify: (1) adolescent girls' knowledge of scoliosis and the screening process and (2) adolescent girls' feelings and perceptions of scollosis in relation to body image and the screening procedure. The sample included girls screened by public health nurses who had been screened negative; girls with a questionable or small deviation requiring monitoring by public health nurses (rechecks); and girls with an obvious deviation who were referred for x-ray and examination by a physician (referrals).

Relevant findings indicated that: (1) following screening a high proportion of girls, approximately seven in ten of those screened negative, one in two of the rechecks, and two in five of the referrals demonstrated some misconceptions regarding how an individual with scoliosis would appear physicially and be perceived by others; (2) approximately one in three girls in the referral group indicated the need for more information and clarification on the progression, treatment and outcome of scoliosis and; (3) approximately two in three girls indicated they had experienced some stress associated with the screening process which was generally related to the possibility of being found to have scoliosis.

The stress, high proportion of girls who demonstrated misconceptions regarding scoliosis following screening and the need for information expressed by girls in the referral group indicated that the scoliosis screening process may generate adverse effects. The implications associated with designating or labeling individuals with scoliosis, particularly the vast\_ majority who have insignificant curves, clearly required further investigation.

27

#### 2.3 "Labeling Effect"

It has been speculated in the literature that in screening for disease or risk factors of disease (for the prevention of disability and premature death) "labeling" individuals "sick" or "at risk" may have deleterious effects on the individual screened (Benfari, 1981; Hayes et al, 1981; Fletcher et al, 1982). This may have particular significance in the screening of adolescents for scollosis. The program is characterized by a deficit of information in most areas (British Orthopaedic Association, 1983; Leaver et al, 1982), high false-positive rates (Mowat, 1983; Viviani, 1984); has been shown to generate stress among adolescent girls (Johnston, 1985), and is carried out at a developmental stage when adolescents are particularly insecure (Nelms, 1981) and preoccupied with appearance (Dwyer, 1968).

#### **Relevant Studies:**

The effects of labeling people with insignificant conditions has been documented for heart murmurs and hypertension. Haynes, Sackett and Taylor (1978) found that among individuals previously unaware of their hypertensive status, the label of "hypertensive" was associated with a significant increase of absenteeism from work which could not be explained by the medical condition itself. Alternately, Alderman and Davis (1976) have demonstrated that being identified and treated as hypertensive may actually cut down on absenteeism from work. This finding does not necessarily refute the findings of Harrises et al (1978) as illness episodes in the Alderman study includes only episodes in excess of four days.

Bergman and Stamm (1967) investigated the implications of a diagnosis of cardiovascular disease in children and found that although 18 percent were found to have organic heart disease, 40 percent of the group had experienced either psychological or physical restrictions. The falsely diagnosed group were perceived as unhealthy by themselves and their parents. Caylor, Lynn and Stein (1973) evaluated a group of thirty-four children whose physical activities had been limited because of a suspected cardiovascular condition that later was found not to exist. They concluded that the accumulative emotional factors of the diagnosis of heart disease, especially if accompanied by physical restrictions, are detrimental to intellectual development.

#### Labeling The Early Adolescent;

Screening for scollosis generally occurs during a particularly vulnerable developmental stage designated as early adolescence. This period begins with the first physical changes of puberty. In girls, this may be anywhere between the ages of 11 and 13, while in boys it comes slightly later, somewhere between 12 and 14 (Westwood, 1986; Mercer, 1979; Simmons, 1973; Elkind, 1984).

It is a stage during which young people have great difficulty in communicating their feelings and their needs to older adults, including their parents, doctor or other "significant" adults in their lives (Westwood, 1986). A study done by Simmons (1973) found that early adolescents from 12 to 13 years of age had heightened self-consciousness, less stability of the self-image, lower self-esteem, and perceived that others held less favourable views of them to a greater degree than any other age group from the third through the twelfth grade. The self-image disturbance is considered to appear suddenly between the 11th and 12th years when the physical growth spurt usually begins. Adams (1973) found that with the significant growth spurt which occurs during this period, teenagers focus on the task of becoming comfortable with their own bodies. They become preoccupied with the changes in terms of attractiveness, cultural and social norms, and study their bodies comparing various aspects of them with those of their peers (Norris, 1978). The early adolescent is concerned with anything that affects the appearance of his body or its function (Mercer; 1979), Being different in appearance from one's peers often seems tantamount to being inferior (Dwyer, 1968), Teen-aged girls are even more interested in and concerned

about their physical development than are boys. The reason, speculated by Dwyer (1968), is that outward appearance and the inward self are more closely bound in females than in males.

This state of flux experienced by young girls in the early phase of adolescence makes them particularly vulnerable to variations in appearance which are perceived to deviate from the norm. Consistent with concerns expressed by Viviani (1982), Kane (1977) and Berwick (1985), labeling young adolescents with scoliosis, at this particular stage of development, carries with it the potential for insult to self-image, peace of mind and successful progression to the phases of adolescence.

#### Labeling And Perceptions Of Health:

Labeling's specific effect "on perceptions of "personal health and behavior, investigated in previous studies, is of particular interest in view of psychosocial changes which are reported to occur when health is perceived to deviate from the norm. Wright (1960) identified the tendency for a person to see himself limited in areas unrelated to his illness or condition resulting in a generalized devaluation of body function and perhaps even a devaluation of the "self" as a person. Bergman and Stamm (1967) refer to the need of "delabeling" children thought to have heart disease whose lives can be needlessly and adversely affected. Haynes (1978) suggested that newly labeled patients "adopt the sick role" treating themselves as more "fragile". Bloom and Monterossa (1981) proposed that the decrease in perception of personal well-being observed in their study was not due to participants being sicker or having more frequent contact with the medical care system, but rather to the existence of a "non-disease state" possibly caused by being labeled as hypertensive. They concluded that judgements made by the health care provider and client evoke changes in perceptions and state of personal health regardless of the validity of the judgments.

The implications of these effects are especially worrisome and harmful if they occur among individuals with false-positive tests (Fletcher and Fletcher, 1982). This has particular significance in scollosis screening where Mowat (1983) estimates that three out of four, or four out of five, referred for definite evaluation will be found not to have scollosis of significant degree. Viviani's (1982) findings similarly indicate that 88 percent of the children referred by nurses for x-ray, do not have scollosis of any significant degree.

#### Conclusion:

Literature available on the "labeling effect" generally indicates that labeling individuals "sick" or "at risk" has the potential

to adversely affect changes in an individual's perceptions and state of health. Since adolescents are: (1) screened for scollosis at a particularly vulnerable stage and (2) the majority are found to have insignificant curves, it is of utmost importance to determine if the scollosis screening process adversely affects perceptions of personal health and illness behavior.

#### 2.4 Summary Of Literature Review

Q

At the present time there is a great deal of interest and controversy concerning school screening programmes for the detection of scollosis. Support for this program has ranged from full endorsement by the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons in 1974 (Newman, 1977) to the position taken by the Canadian Task Force in 1980 stating: "There is no scientific justification for the view that screening and/or casefinding for scollosis is distinctly beneficial".

It is apparent, from a review of the literature, that data generated on scollosis screening, to date, has failed to clarify issues central to establishing the efficacy of the program. While the literature contains several quantitative and descriptive studies attempting to provide more information on the clinical aspects of scollosis and validity of the screening

.

33 -

procedure, little research is available which deals specifically with the adolescent's experience during the screening process.

The apparent lack of information dealing with the effects of the scollosis screening process on the adolescent girl initiated the need for preliminary investigation, by the author, in this area. Findings obtained on a survey distributed to girls who had been screened for scollosis by a Public Health Agency indicated that the screening process may generate adverse affects and identified the need for further investigation to understand more fully the implications associated with labeling young girls with scollosis, particularly we vast majority who have insignificant curves.

In reviewing the literature further, a paucity of information was found to exist regarding the effects of labeling, and specifically, regarding the psychological effects of the scollosis screening process on the adolescent girl. Literature which is available suggests that labeling individuals "sick" or "at risk" has the potential to adversely affect changes in an individual's perceptions and state of health.

To this author's knowledge, there are no studies which have examined the concept of labeling in relation to its effects on young adolescents screened for scoliosis. The deficit of information characterizing the scollosis screening program, high

false-positve rates, stress generated by the screening, the high proportion of adolescents screened who demonstrate misconceptions regarding scollosis, the particularly vulnerable age at which screening occurs, and limited research available on the implications associated with labeling support the need to assess the effects of the scollosis screening process on the early adolescent girl.

This study was designed to obtain information which would assist in assessing specific effects that the scoliosis screening process may have on adolescent girls<sup>1</sup> perceptions of personal health and illness behavior. The methodology employed is discussed in the following chapter.

• 35

N.

#### CHAPTER III

#### METHODOLOGY

( )

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the study methodology that was used by discussion of: 1) the research strategy, 2) questionnaire development, 3) questionnaire distribution and data collection, and 4) the data analysis strategies.

#### 3.1. Research Strategy

A review of selected literature indicated that studies on scollosis focused primarily on clinical aspects of the condition and validity of the screening procedure with minimal research available on how the screening process affected the adolescent girl. Studies related to this area tended to focus primarily on psychological responses to treatment (Wickers and Bunch, 1977; Nalkan, 1977). A study by Quick and Highriter (1981) was one of the few studies available for review that dealt with the emotional aspects of screening and identified the importance of providing privacy during screening for adolescents. In reviewing studies related to the concept of labeling, it was noted that research in this area has been primarily restricted to the conditions of hypertension in adults and heart murmurs in children.

- 36 -

These identified research limitations prompted the need for investigation in this area to gain some perspective on psychological effects associated with the diagnostic labeling of adolescent girls with scoliosis. Specifically, to determine if adolescent girls who were screened and designated as requiring x-ray and physician assessment (referrals), or re-examination in six months by a public health nurse (rechecks), differed in perceptions of their health and illness behavior from girls who had not been screened, or were screened and designated negative. To meet this objective several steps were taken to develop an appropriate research strategy (Figure 1).

The initial step of the research strategy was to carry out a review of selected literature to establish the theoretical underpinnings of this research project. The studies that were examined: 1) provided an over-view of the present state of knowledge on scollosis and scollosis screening, 2) identified research limitations, 3) aided in the development of the research methodology, and 4) identified salient aspects of health status that should be examined when assessing perceptions of personal health and illness behavior.

.

37

ž

#### FIGURE 1

#### Research Strategy



#### Survey Research Approach:

The data collection method selected to obtain information from the study population was the mail questionnaire survey. The mail of survey had the advantages of being economical, less time consuming for both investigator and respondent, and allowed information to be collected across a wide geographical area in less time than would be required by a data collection technique such as the personal interview. Other advantages of the mail survey are the absence of interviewer bias, a possibility of frank and honest responses as anonymity of respondents is ensured, and the fact that respondents are allowed more response time (Rachwaiski, 1985; p. 68-70).

Disadvantages of this method include a low response rate, potential blas due to systematic non-response, inability to control the sequence of questions and answers, misinterpretation of questions, inability to clarify ambiguous answers, and the questionnaire may be completed by someone other than the individual for whom it was intended (Rachwalski, 1985; p. 70). Recognizing the disadvantages and limitations associated with this particular method, strategies were employed to increase the response rate and measures were taken to ensure that the format and items contained in the questionnaire would provide clarity and ease of interpretation (to be discussed in subsequent sections). A letter of explanation was also provided for parents to stress the importance of having their daughter complete the questions without parental assistance (Appendix A and B).

#### Study Population

For the purposes of this study the target population was defined as all girls who had been screened for scoliosis by the Edmonton Board of Health. The Edmonton Board of Health, who have screened for scoliosis since 1979, differentiate among three categories in their screening process: girls with no observable form of scoliosis (normal or negative); girls with a questionable or small deviation who are re-examined at six month intervals by public health nurses (rechecks); and girls with an obvious deviation who are referred by public health nurses for x-ray and examination by a physician (referrals).

To maintain consistency and ensure reasonable ease of access to respondents, the Judy population was comprised of girls screened in the sixth grade for scoliosis by the Edmonton Board of Health in the spring of 1985. Girls who resided outside of Edmonton and within the boundaries of a health unit that did not provide scoliosis screening were selected as a control group. This group was considered representative of the stage of early adolescence (Neims, 1981) and , with the exception of those who may have moved from other areas or been checked for scollosis by physicians, projected the early adolescents' perspective on personal health and illness behavior without the influence of having participated in a scollosis screening program. An over-view of the study population is provided in Figure 2.

# ang Plan

The categories obtained from the screening of grade six girls by public health nurses during April, 1985 to June, 1985 totalied 2799 negatives (90 percent), 283 rechecks (9.1 percent) and 29 referrals (.9 percent). In order to obtain a 95 percent chance of picking up a difference of one standard deviation, it was estimated that 26 girls were required in each group (Colton, 1974; p. 142).

To compensate for questionnaires that may not be returned, approximately double the estimated calculation or 60 negatives and 60 rechecks were randomly selected from among categories designated on school screening lists. Girls in the recheck category had been rechecked once since the initial screen by public health nurses and continued to remain in the recheck category following the second screen.

Since the referral group represented such a limited number, all 29 referrals were included in the sample. The dates of

Rescreened six months health nurses and later by public remain on the recheck list. 3 Designated as "Referrals" . Designated "Negative" Designated as "Rechecks" 4. Control Group Study Population ø FIGURE 2 J 43. 8 1985-86 school term who Sturgeon have not been screened for scoliosis by public creened for scollosis April-June 1985) by Grade 7 girls in the Grade 7 girls in the oublic health nurses 1985-86 school term oard of health nurses Health Unit) Edmontor Health

curvature, obtained from x-ray and physician assessments, ranged from 0-31 degrees. Twenty-one percent of the girls had curves greater than or equal to 15 degrees and were considered "at risk" according to agency screening protocol, with,79 percent of curves less than 15 degrees. Approximately seven in ten of the girls were being monitored for scollosis by physicians. (Refer to Table VI).

Sixty girls, comprising the control group, were randomly selected from among school class lists which contained the names of 240 grade seven girls who resided within the boundaries of the Sturgeon Health Unit. These girls, with the exception of those who may have come from other areas or been checked by physicians, had not been screened for scoliosis by this health unit.

Table VII indicates the number of girls in each category, sample size selected, sampling fractions, estimated response rates, and estimated usable returns for each sub-population. Estimated response rates were based on the return of questionnaires by this age group from the preliminary survey conducted in March 1984, which investigated adolescent girls' knowledge and perceptions of scoliosis and scoliosis screening (Johnston, 1985). Questionnaires returned from this particular survey totaled 67 percent for the referral category, 58 percent for the recheck category, and 71 percent for the normal category. The response rate for girls in the control category was estimated to be 65

### TABLE VI

44

## Referral Category

Degree of Curvature	No. of Girls	No. of Girls Currently
		Monitored by Physicians
A AA		
0-9°	16 (55%)	n al 1977 e 1 1977 e 1977 e
10-14°	5 (17%)	5
<u>&gt;</u> 15°	6 (21%)	<b>6</b>
No x-ray (Examined by		
physician - no	3	
abnormalities)	2 (7%)	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
ŢOTAL .	29 (100%)	20 (69%)
n an earl an M <b>a</b> ra an an an Aras an Aras. Martin <mark>a</mark> r an Ar	•	

#### TABLE VII

45

# Sample Size Allocation

:Ye

	Category	Population Size	Sample Size	Sampling Ratios	Estimated Response Rate	Estimated Usable Returns	•
	Negatives	2799	60	1/50	708	42	
	Rechecks	283	60	1/5	60%	36	
*. 	Referrals	29	29	1/1	70%	20	•
	Controls	240	60	1/4	658	39	
•	TOTAL	3551	209	•	66.25%	137	

فتكر

percent, the average return rate generally anticipated in mail surveys (Cockerhill, 1984). From the total sub-population size of 3,351 a total sample size of 2091 was selected. Given the estimated response rates, the total sample size was estimated to yield approximately 137 usable returns.

In the following sections, the procedures taken to develop and distribute the questionnaire are discussed.

#### 3.2 Questionnaire Development

. . .

Following of review selected literature, published questionnaires and relevant studies were identified for possible use in this study (Canada Health Survey, 1980; Bloom and Monterossa, 1981; Bergman, 1967; Tessler and Mechnic, 1978; Stewart et al, 1976; Coopersmith, 1959; Thorpe et al, 1953). Studies for the most part tended to focus on one specific area of interest and did not simultaneously investigate health perceptions and illness behavior. Questions were also designed to derive information from a population older than 12 years of age and were not geared to the understanding or interests of the early adolescent. It was therefore decided to modify and combine components of available, published questionnaires in order to meet the objectives of this research. In some instances it was necessary to develop questions to elicit information not

previously researched. Steps taken to develop the questionnaire are discussed in the following subsections. (Questionnaire available in Appendix C).

#### Identification of Content:

The selection of relevant content areas was based on discussion with content experts and information identified from published studies and articles.

The research instrument was subsequently designed to investigate information in the following areas:

- (a) Health Perceptions: Perceptions focused on : current health, health outlook and health worry/concern (Ware, 1976). These areas were specifically selected to determine if the screening process had compromised personal perceptions of current health, and more importantly, perceptions of health in the future.
- (b) Illness Behavior: This area was limited to identifying: school attendance, activity limitations, psychological distress, presence or absence of sickness, and number of days the respondent had spent in bed because of illness.

(c) Confounding Factors: Factors considered significant for this study in providing alternative explanations for perceptions of personal health and illness behavior included: the presence of a health problem that had lasted or was expected to last six months or longer (Bloom and Monterossa, 1981); the incidence of a recent injury (Urberg and Robbins, 1984); and menstruation. Priset of menstruation was considered a relevant factor because of: (1) its association, at this age, with illness behavior and (2) the identifed relationship between spurts of rapid growth which occur around puberty and the potential, at this time, for changes or progression in spinal curves (Liston, 1981; Tanner, 1962). This factor was considered to have the potential to influence representation in the designated screening categories.

Co

A variet of sociodemographic factors have been associated with health status in different studies. In general, these studies indicate that age, education, sex, marital status, ethnicity, income, and educational level of parents influence the rating of health (Pratt, 1971; Tessler and Mechanic, 1978; Bloom and Monterossa, 1981; Bergman and Stamm, 1967). Sociodemographic factors were not explored in this study for the following reasons:

- (a) respondents were all female and relatively similar with respect to age and grade level;
- (b) factors of ethnicity, occupation and educational level of parents were assumed to be evenly distributed among the sample population through the process of random allocation (Bauman, 1980);
- (c) pretesting indicated that respondents, for the most part,
  were not aware of educational level attained by parents or
  able to identify specific job classifications; and
- (d) it was not considered ethical to obtain information from children about their parents.

#### Questionnaire Format:

In developing the questionnaire format the attractiveness, clarity, length of the questionnaire, and facility with which data from the questionnaire could be coded and prepared for computer analysis were all considered (Woodward and Chambers, 1982; Levy and Lemenshow, 1980).

The questionnaire was divided into sections representing each study variable: perception of general health; checked for

scollosis; chronic health problems; recent injury; recent illness; days in bed due to recent illness; absence from school due to recent iliness; psychological distress; activity limitations; perceptions of current health, health outlook, and health worry/concern; and commencement of menstruation. Items for the most part were presented in a close-ended statement with the respondent required to check the most appropriate response. Questions examining chronic health problems and activity limitations required the respondent to provide information by naming specific health conditions and indicating the length of time an activity had been affected by a particular health problem.

The question exploring commencement of menstruation was placed towards the end of the questionnaire to avoid non-compliance by girls who may be shy or rejuctant to co-operate if this item appeared early in the questionnaire.

The last section which asked for general comments was developed to provide respondents with the opportunity to freely express their opinions on the subject matter covered by the questionnaire.

#### Selection of Questionnaire Items:

1.

Health Perceptions: In order to measure perceptions of health, scales developed by Ware (1976) were used. This instrument, which consists of 32 items structured 85 statements of opinion regarding health, measures eight perceptual dimensions of general health and sick role propensity: prior health, current health, health outlook, resistance/susceptibility to illness, health worry/concern, sickness orientation, rejection of sick role, and attitude toward going to the doctor. For purposes of this study, only the three scales measuring perceptions of current health, health outlook and health worry/concern were used. Each scale consists of statements of opinion accompanied by five response categories: definitely true, mostly true, don't know, mostly false, and definitely false. A score for each of the three health perception scales was computed for each respondent using a simple algebraic sum of scores for items.

Two methods of validation were used by Ware (1976) to judge the validity of the HPQ scales. The first consisted of factor analytic studies of correlations among the scales. Results of the empirical studies of relationships among the eight HPQ scales indicated that each scale score tended to

measure the perceptual construct it was intended to measure to a greater extent than it measured other constructs. The constructs included: past/present health, future health and sick role propensity. The second method of validation consisted of studies of the relationships among the scales and other variables that should exist if the scales (measured what they are supposed to measure. Relationships between the six HPQ scales hypothesized to measure health (current health, prior health, health outlook. resistance/ susceptibility to illness, sickness orientation, and health worry/concern) and the 11 other health variables studied were strong enough to conclude that the scales measured health and weak enough to indicate that the scales contributed unique information about health. Health perceptions tended to be more unfavorable in conjunction with increases in role activity limitations, bed days, sickness, chronic health problems, pain, and worry. Health perceptions also tended to qo hand in hand with psychological well-being and, to a marked degree, with favourable health ratings obtained using a different method. Current health scores were substantially related to both physical health variables (e.g. chronic problems and role activity limitations) and mental health variables (e.g. psychological well-being and worry). Correlations between illness behavior and most HPO health scales were

\* \*+

consistently significant in the hypothesized direction, with correlations often substantial. These results constituted strong support for validity of the HPQ scales as general measures of health.

(E)

Internal-consistency reliability for HPQ scales estimated independently by Ware (1976) in four field tests using Cronbach's alpha coefficient. (Results are summarized in Appendix D). Almost without exception, scores were sufficiently reliable for purposes of group comparisons (i.e. coefficient .50; Helmstadter, 1973). In some instances, the current health scale was sufficiently reliable for purposes of individual comparisons, i.e. internal-consistency reliability coefficients 0.90 (Ware, 1976). Only two of the 32 internal-consistency coefficients were below 0.60. Median coefficients (across field tests) ranged from a low of 0.59 for rejection of sick role and sickness orientation scales to a high of 0.91 for the current health scale.

Generalizability of the health perception instrument developed by Ware to this study was appropriate for the following reasons: (1) field testing has indicated the scales are valid, reliable and stable over time for diverse populations; (2) wording was simple and easily understood; (3) the scales have been validitated to measure perceptions directly related to the focus of this study; and (4) recommendations for uses of the questionnaire specifically included studies designed to explain health and illness behavior.

A measure for general health perceptions, adapted from the Canada Health Survey (1981), was obtained by asking respondents to rate their health in comparison to other girls their age. Choices included: "excellent", "very good", "good", "fair", and "poor".

Illness Behavior: illness behavior focused on school, attendance; activity limitations; psychological distress; presence or absence of sickness; and number of days the respondent had spent in bed because of illness. The time frame for measures of school attendance, sickness and days spent in bed due to illness was limited to the three months prior to receiving the questionnaire.

2.

To measure school attendance, each respondent was asked "Have you been absent from school during the last three months because you were sick?" Response alternatives provided were "yes" and "no". If respondents selected "yes" they were asked to indicate the number of days they were absent from school as a result of illness during this time period. Response alternatives included: "2 days or less", "3-5 days", "6-10 days", "11-15 days", "15-20 days", and "more than 4 weeks". Scores were compared among groups for differences.

The measure of activity limitations was composed of items modified from the Canada Health Survey (1981). Respondents were asked if any of their activities were reduced ar restricted because of a health problem. Respondents alternatives for this quetion were "yes" and "no". If respondents selected "yes" they were asked to indicate, from among six choices, which activities had been affected by health problems; to name the main health problems which had caused a change in the way they were able to do any of these activities; and to indicate the length of time these activities had been reduced or restricted.

Two different single-item measures of psychological distress, adapted from the Langner scale (1962), were used on the questionnaire to gain some perspective of the respondent's psychological state. These included a measure of personal appriness ("Most of the time would you say you're very happy, happy, somewhat happy, or very unhappy?") and a measure of nervousness ("Have you been bothered by

ir

nervousness, ie. by being irritable, fidgety and tense?"). The response alternatives offered for the nervousness item were "never", "sometimes" and "often". These questions had been used and validated previously by Tessler and Mechanic (1978) in their study on ""Psychological Distress and Perceived Health Status".

The measure of sickness, adapted from the Canada Health Survey (1981), was obtained by simply asking respondents if they had been sick during the past three months and if the illness was very serious. Response alternatives to each of these questions required a subjective assessment from the respondent of either "yes" or "no".

The number of days spent in bed because of Illness was measured by asking respondents if they had spent all or part of any days in bed during the past three months because they were sick, and if so, to indicate the number of days. Response alternatives included: "2 days or less", "3-7 days", "8-14 days", "15-21 days", "22-28 days", and "more than 4 weeks".

3. Confounding Variables: Confounding variables included the presence of any long term health problems that had lasted or were expected to last six months or longer; incidence of injury during the past three months; and establishing if girls had started to menstruate.



To measure the presence of any chronic conditions, respondents were asked if they had a long term health problem that required them to see a doctor once in a while.

Long term was defined in the question as a condition that had lasted or was expected to last more than six months. Response alternatives provided were "yes and "no". Respondents indicating "yes" were asked to specifically identify their health problem.

The measure of recent injury, adapted from the Canada Health Survey (1981), was obtained by asking respondents if they had been injured at any time during the past three months and if the injury was serious. The response alternatives to each of these questions was "yes" and "no".

<sup>~</sup> To identify girls who had started to menstruate, respondents were, asked: "Have you had your first menstrual period?" This question was recently used in a survey conducted by the Edmonton Board of Health (1985) and demonstrated no problems for this specific age group in terms of understanding or response.

Two questions which asked respondents if a doctor or nurse had ever checked their back for curvature of the spine

(scollosis) and if they had been told they have a curvature of the spine (scollosis) were also included on the questionnaire to identify: (1) girls in the control group who may have been checked and possibly diagnosed with scollosis and (2) girls initially screened negative by public health nurses who may have been re-examined by a physician and found to have a spinal curve.

#### **Questionnaire** Pretest:

An acceptable level of face validity was obtained by reviewing and responding to feedback provided by: 1) individuals invited to examine the draft questionnaire and 2) pretest respondents.

Individuals cansidered to be knowledgeable in research, scoliosis and adolescent development reviewed the draft questionnaire in terms of content, format, wording, response choices, sequencing of questions, instructions for responding, and how well the questionnaire accomplished study objectives. Weaknesses and concerns regarding the wording of certain questions and instructions were identified, as well as the inappropriateness of asking adolescents to respond to questions concerning their parents. The draft questionnaire was modified in response to the feedback and identified concerns.
A small group of girls, considered representative of the study population, were asked to complete the revised questionnaire and evaluate the length, clarity, and appropriateness of wording and content. This step was particularly important in the development of this questionnaire as it represented the adolescents' understanding, impressions and general acceptance of the questionnaire. In response to their comments, wording was revised and some items deleted with the intent of increasing appeal, clarity of questionnaire items and response rate.

Following discussion with content and research experts on the changes indicated by the pre-test, it was determined that an adequate level of face validity was present and steps were taken to distribute the revised questionnaire (Appendix C) to the sample population.

# 3.3 Questionnaire Distribution and Data Collection

The following sections describe the questionnaire distribution; follow-up and data collection procedures employed in the study methodology.

# **Questionnaire** Distribution

To facilitate locating girls selected to participate in the study, questionnaires were delivered by public health nurses to the appropriate schools during the week of April 21, 1986. Nurses were given the option of either dropping questionnaires off at the school office or delivering them directly to the Information identifying specific schools attended by student. the sample population was made available by the Edmonton Board of Health and Sturgeon Health Unit. A letter of explanation for parents, signed by the Director of Nursing in each area, accompanied the questionnaire and: (1) explained the purpose of the study, (2) ensured confidentiality, and (3) stressed the importance-of having their daughter answer the questions without parental assistance (Appendix A and B). Envelopes containing this information were sealed and addressed to the parent or guardian of the respondents. Questionnaires were to be completed at home and mailed back to the Sturgeon Health Unit or Edmonton Board of Health in a preaddressed, postpaid envelope provided for this purpose by May 2, 1986. Letters; signed by the Medical Officers of Health for the Edmonton Board of Health and Sturgeon Health Unit, were also prepared and forwarded to the appropriate school district to inform officials of the survey and method of implementation (Appendix E, F and G).

Letters to parents and school officials were prepared on the agency's letterhead and signed by the Director of Nursing and Medical Officer of Health in each area to increase the response rate and endorse credibility to the survey project.

Finally, questionnaires were reproduced on colored paper as this factor has been associated with effecting response rate (Woodward, et al., 1982, p. 24).

# Follow-up Procedure:

An accurate identification system for tracking who had responded to the survey and whose questionnaire was outstanding had been established. Each potential respondent was assigned an identification number, with the same number placed at the bottom of the first page of the questionnaire sent to that individual. Identification numbers and the corresponding individuals who had not responded were verified and non-respondents telephoned. Non-respondents who did not respond to the first phone call were phoned a second time after which time no surther attempts were made to contact the individual. Only three respondents on follow-up stated they did not want to complete the questionnaire. When questionned as to their reason, responses included: (1) "I (two respondents in the controls just don't want to fill group) and (2) "I'm which comfortable with the questions."

(respondent in the recheck category). All other girls who were contacted were receptive to being a participant in the study. The follow-up process extended for a period of approximately six weeks at which point it was decided response rates were sufficiently acceptable and no further questionnaires would be included in the data analyses.

Follow-up was effective and produced a substantial increase in the response rate. The return of questionnaires increased approximately 30 percent for the referal group, 20 percent for the negative group, 12 percent for the recheck group, and 27 percent for the control group.

# 3.4 Data Analyses

This section describes the data analyses strategies utilized to attain the study objectives.

All responses to close-ended statements were coded and stored on a computer file from which to generate statistics. Scores botained for perceptions of health and illness behavior wereconsidered as interval measurements (Kerl Frequency: distributions were obtained for the off health perceptions, school attendance, and limitations,

psychological distress, massence or absence of sickness, number

of days spent in bed because of illness, presence of chronic health problems, incidence of recent injury, commencement of menstruation, and if respondents had been screened for scoliosis. Mean scores were examined between groups and compared using analysis of variance. Differences between groups were adjusted using analysis of covariance. The association between health perceptions and illness behavior scores was measured by Pearson's correlation coefficient and interactions among the variables analyzed with a multiple regression model.

Answers to questions which required respondents to name a specific health problem or indicate the length of time a health problem had affected activities were grouped into general categories and assigned specific codes. Responses to general comments concerning the questionnaire were grouped by type of comment.

Reliability of the questionnaire was established by computing Cronbach's alpha reliability for each of the health perception scales and for the total questionnaire.

# 3.5 Summary

This chapter discussed the study methodology that was used to develop a mail questionnaire survey and determine the sample population to which it would be distributed. The research strategy, questionnaire development, distribution of the questionnaire, follow-up procedures, and data analysis were reviewed.

# CHAPTER IV

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 4.1 Survey Response Rate

and the state of the state of the s

1 1 1

Table VIII provides information on the number of questionnaires returned and the response rate for each category.

Of the 209 mail questionnaires distributed, a total of 178 were actually returned representing an overall response rate of 85.8 percent. All questionnaires were considered useable, although, in a few instances portions of questions were not answered.

The overall response rate of 85.8 percent was higher than the anticipated response rate of approximately 66 percent. Information summarized in Table VIII demonstrates that all categories exceeded their anticipated response rate from an additional 12 percent for the negative group to an increase of 30 percent demonstrated by the recheck category. The high response rate suggests: (1) respondents were interested in the study and (2) follow-up procedures, which increased the rate of return by approximately 23 percent, were effective.

- 65 -

# TABLE VIII

# Response Rate by Category

ø

Category	Anticipated Rate of Return	Rate of Return Prior to Follow-Up	Actual Rate of Return
Referrals	70%	58.68	89.78
Rechecks	608	60%	908
Negatives	708	78-38	81.7%
Controls	65%	53.38	81.7%
TOTAL:	66.38	62.5%	85.8%

# 4.2 Perceptions of Personal Health

To facilitate discussion, respondents' perceptions of personal health have been categorized into: (1) general rating of health; (2) perceptions of current health; (3) perceptions of health worry/concern; and (4) perceptions of health outlook.

# General Rating of Health:

Table IX provides a summary of the relative frequency of responses to the rating of general health. Seventy-three percent of all respondents viewed their health as very good or good with 23.6 percent rating their health as excellent and none perceiving it as poor. In examining responses among the groups, results indicated that girls in each of the groups generally rated their health as favourable although 11.5 percent of the referral group perceived their health as fair in comparison to 4.1 percent of the negatives, 2 percent of the controls, and 0 percent of the rechecks. Differences, however, were not significant among groups at the .05 level of significance.

Perceptions of Current Health:

Aur.

Nine items structured as statements of opinion regarding health were used in this study to measure perceptions of current health.

TABLE IX

.,

. .

12

Respondents' Perception Of Their General Health

By Category

•

Categories	Excellent	Very Good	Good	L B L	Poor	Total	
Referrais	7 (26.9%)	9 (34.6%)	7 (26.98)	3 (11.5%)		26 (14.68)	1
Rechecks	10 (18.58)	27 (50%)	17 (31.58)	•	• †	54 (30.38)	
Negatives	12 (24.58)	22 (44.98)	13 (26.5%)	2 (4.18)	ł	49 (27.58)	
Controls	13 (26.5%)	18 (36.7%)	17 (34.7%)	1 (2%)	1	49 (27.58)	٠
TOTALS:	42 (23.6%)	76 (42.78)	54 (30.38)	6 (3.4%)		178 (100%)	1
8	•	•••					

· · · · · · ·

. .

68

].

The statements and relative frequency of responses for each category surveyed are summarized in Fable X. A score for the scale was computed for each respondent using the simple sum of scores for items with higher scores generally indicative of less positive preceptions of current health.

Means computed for the individual groups, as presented in Table XI, averaged: 18.1 for referrals, 16.8 for negatives, 15.6 for rechecks, and 15.2 for controls. The over-all mean ws 16.4 with a standard deviation of 5.7. The minimum score obtained was 9 and the maximum, out of a possible 45 points, was 32., These scores differ considerably from means and standard deviations computed by Ware (1976). The average mean computed in four field tests by Ware (1976) for the current health perception scale was 31.5 with an average standard deviation of 7.9. Since Ware's respondents were adults, the differences in obtained scores seem to suggest that younger age groups present with more positive perceptions of their current health. This would probably reflect the increased incidence of ill health and chronic conditions experienced by an older age group in comparison with younger and <u>A</u> relatively healthy adolescents.

Differences were not significant among the referral, recheck, negative, and control groups at the .05 level of significance.

	ceults by Category for Curren Categories Agre	Referrals 69.38 Rechecks 83.38 Negatives 71.58 Controls 81.78	Referrals     46.28     19.28       Rechecks     72.28     9.38       Negatives     67.38     10.28       Controls     63.28     J6.38	Referrals 3.88 88.58 Rechecks 5.68 92.68 Negatives 4.18 91.98 Controls 4.08 4.08	Referrals 23.1% 57.7% Rechecks 13.0% 72.3% *Negatives 10.2% 69.3% Controls 10.2% 85.7%
--	--	--	--	---	---

그는 것은 것은 것은 것이 같이 많은 것은 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것이다. 이렇는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 수 있는 것이 없는 것을 수 있는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 같이 많은 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다. 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다.	IABLE X (contd)   Results by Category for Current Health Scale   Statement of Opinion   Categories   Gategories   Gategories   Agree Disagree Don't Know   e) I'm as health as Referrals 69.38 11.58 19.28   anybody 1 know. Referrals 69.38 11.58 19.28   Controls 81.68 8.18 10.28	My health is excellent.   Referrals   80.88   7.68   17.58     Rechecks   85.28   11.98   13.08     Negatives   79.68   8.28   12.28     Controls   81.68   6.18   12.28	have been feeling Referrals 19.28 80.88	say, that I am Referrals 3.8% 73.1% 23.1% oor health. Rechecks - 87.1% 13.0% Negatives - 81.9% 16.3% Controls - 91.9% 8.2%	ut as good ' Referrals 84.78 7.78 7.78 ever have. Rechecks 68.58 16.78 14.88 Negatives 73.58 14.28 12.28
---	--	--	---	---	--



This, however, does not rule out the possibility for population differences, as evidenced by an F value of 0.147, and may have been related to the small size of the groups and a relatively large standard deviation of 5.7.

73

An overall mean of 16.8 obtained by girls who had been screened (referrals, rechecks and negatives) indicated less positive perceptions of personal current health in comparison with a mean of 15.2 obtained by girls in the control group.

In examining responses to individual items it is interesting to note that 23.1 percent of the referral group agreed that they were not as healthy now as they used to be in comparison with 13 percent of the rechecks, 10.2 percent of the negatives and 10.2 percent of the controls. Only 46.2 percent of the referral group agreed they felt better now than ever before compared to 72.2 percent of the rechecks, 63.2 percent of the controls and 67.3 percent of the negative group. However, in response to the statement: "My health is excellent", responses were fairly consistent among the groups with 81 percent of the referrals, 85 percent of the rechecks, 80 percent of the negatives, and 82 percent of the controls in agreement with this comment. Consistent with Ware's interpretation (1976, p. 410), it would appear that the single-item score is less reliable than the scale score for purposes of group comparisons.

## Perceptions of Health Outlook:

Four statements of opinion regarding health were used to measure perceptions of health outlook. A summary of the statements and frequency of responses for each category are provided in Table XII.

The over-all mean, as presented in The XIII, was 7.7 with a standard deviation of 2.6. The minimum score obtained was 4 and the maximum, out of a possible 20 points, was 14. Higher scores were generally indicative of lass positive perceptions of health outlook. Mean scores obtained by the individual categories included: 8.2 for the referral group, 7.6 for the rechecks, 7.6 for the controls, and 7.6 for the negative group. Ginis who had been screened (referrals, rechecks and negatives) obtained an average mean of 7.8 in comparison with a mean of 7.6 obtained by the control group.

Consistent with current health scores, results were considerably lower than means obtained by Ware (1976) in his administration of the health outlook scale to adults. The average mean obtained by Ware in four field tests was 13.9 with an average standard deviation of 2.6. The less positive perception of future health demonstrated by Ware's adult group may be related to an association proposed by Elkind (1967) between experience with

TABLE XII 

Q.

Statement of Opinion	Categories	Agree		Don't Know	
I will probably be sick a lot in the future.	Referrals Rechecks Negatives	11.58 - 2.08	57.78 61.18 53.18 69.38	30.88 37.08 46.98 28.68	<b>.</b>
In the near future, I expect to have better health than other people I know.	Referrals Rechecks Neġatives Controls	53.88 48.188 49.08 49.08	3.8% 5.6% 8.1% 16.3%	12.38 12.98 12.98	
l'expect/to have a very healthy life.	• Referrals Rechecks Negatives Controls	80.88 88.98 93.98 85.78	ø) © •         M	15.48 11.18 6.19 14.38	بور د
I think my health will be worse in the future than It is now.	Referrals Rechecks #Negatives Controls	11.58 2.08 6.18	53.98 72.28 67.38 75.58	34.68 34.68 27.88 26.58 16.38	
28 - missing data 4.18 - missing data		0			

£.

•

**نو**, و

6 1 0



ill health and feelings of increased vulnerability to future health problems.

Responses to individual items within this scale indicated that 11.5 percent of the referral group agreed that their health would be worse in the future than at present compared with 0 percent of the rechecks, 2 percent of the negatives, and 6.1 percent of the control group. Over-all, however, groups demonstrated positive expectations for a healthy life (80.8 percent of referrals, 88.9 percent of rechecks, 93.9 percent of negatives, and 85.7 percent of controls). Differences were not significant among the referral, recheck, negative, and control groups for this scale at the .05 level of significance.

# Perceptions of Health Worry/Concern:

Four statements of opinion were used in this scale to measure perceptions of health worry/concern. A summary of the statements and frequency of responses for each category are provided in Table XIV.

Means computed for the individual groups, as presented in Table XV, averaged 13.3 for referrals, 13 for rechecks, 13.4 for negatives, and 11.5 for controls. Higher scores were generally indicative of a greater degree of worry and concern regarding

<b>.</b> S	Statement of Opinion	Category for Categories	for Health Worry/Concern ries Agree Disa	Concern Scale	Don't Know
	l never worry about my health.	Referrals Rechecks Negatives Controls	34.68 37.18 32.68	57.78 53.78 53.18 36.78	7.78 9.38 8.28
<b>a</b>	I worry about my health more than other people worry about their health.	Referrals *Rechecks Negatives Controls	38.48 38.48 18.58 24.58 8.28	42.38 51.88 40.88 61.28	19.28 27.88 34.78 30.68
C	My health is a concern in my life.	Referrals Rechecks #Negatives Controls	69: 38 68: 58 69. 48 59. 18	26.98 20.48 22.58 36.88	
ີອີ	Others seem more concerned about their health than J am about mine.	Referrals Rechecks Negatives Controls	23.18 7.48 6.18 18.48	46.18 44.48 59.28 40.88	30.88 48.18 41.78 40.88

¥

-

.

- missing

: à

	5 6	•		79
	•	Significance Of F	0.023	
		LL.	3.25	
	ncern Scale	Control Mean	11-S	an: 12.8 3.5 20.0 20.0
TABLE XV TABLE XV Bans Obtained by Each Group for	Health Worry/Concern Scale	Negative Mean	<b>13.4</b>	Over-all Mean: Std. Dev.: Minimum Score: Maximum Score:
	0	Recheck Mean	13	
		Referral Mean	13.3	

 $\langle g \rangle$ 

personal health. The over-all mean was 12.8 with a standard deviation of 3.5. The minimum score obtained was five and the maximum, out of a possible 20 points, was 0. These scores are similar to those obtained by Ware (1976). The average mean computed in four field tests by Ware for the health worry/concern scale was 12.1, with an average standard deviation of 2.85.

In comparing the older population used by Ware with young adolescents utilized in the study, it would appear that age, generally associated with experience, influences perceptions of current health and health outjook. The adult group, for the most part, demonstrated more negative perceptions of their current and future health than the young adolescent group. Concern regarding personal health, however, appeared to be an important and relatively constant factor which affected both age groups in a similar, manner. These observations, however, can only be interpreted as speculations since different methods were utilized in each study to administer, analyze and interpret the various scales.

Approximately half of respondents in all categories worried about their health with two-thirds indicating that their health represented a concern in their lives. This would seem to indicate that this particular age group, for the most part, are concerned and worried about their health. In examining responses to individual items, the control group consistently demonstrated less worry and concern regarding personal health than the other groups. In one-way analysis of variance the null hypothesis of no difference among means was rejected at the .05 level of significance. The Scheffe test did not indicate significant differences among group comparisons. However, the value of 7.56 obtained in comparing the negative and control groups compared closely with the critical F value of 7.98. Differences between the referral and control groups would have similarly bordered on significance if the sample size for referrals had been larger. Comparisons made among the other groups produced values considerably lower than the critical F value.

It is interesting to note that the referrals, rechecks and negatives demonstrated more worry and concern regaring their health than displayed by the control group. The over-all mean obtained by girls who had been screened (referrals, rechecks and negatives) was 13.2 in comparison to a mean of 11.5 obtained by the control group. Differences, betweet it was was significant at the .05 level of significance. Further, the is indicated in this area to determine. If: (1) the screening: process has any systematic effect on the obtained results; (2) results occurred by chance; or (3) results were influenced by factors not investigated in this research. The effect of socio-economic factors would be of particular interest since the control group were generally representative of a higher socio-economic level than girls who participated in the screening program.

### 4.3 Illness Behavior

Information regaring respondents' Illness behavior was solicited in relation to identifying: (1) presence or absence of sickness, (2) number of days the respondent had spont in bed because of illness, (3) school attendance, (4) psychological distress, and (5) activity limitations. The distributional characteristics of these items for each group of respondents are presented in this section. The time frame for measures of school attendance, sickness and days spent in bed due to illness was limited to the three months: prior to receiving the questionnaire.

### Presence or Absence of Illness:

Approximately 64 percent of all respondents identified they had experienced some illness in the past three months. In examining relative frequencies among groups, results were fairly consistent with 69 percent of the referrals, 63 percent of the rechecks, 57 percent of the negatives, and 67 percent of the controls having experienced some illness during the specified time interval. Differences among groups were not significant at the .05 level of significance.

Only 2.2 percent of respondents indicated that their illness had been serious. Representation included 3.8 percent of the referrals, 3.7 percent of the rechecks and appercent of the negative group. Differences were not significant among the groups at the .05 level of significance.

# Days in Bed as a Result of Illness:

Forty-seven percent of all respondents indicated they had spent some amount of time in bed during the past three months as a result of illness with 52 percent indicating they had not. Differences were not significant among groups. Affirmative responses to this question within the groups ranged from 53 percent of the referrals and controls to 6 percent of the rechecks and 39 percent of the negative group.

The average number of days spent in bed due to illness was 4.8 days with a standard deviation of 3.4. Only 2.8 percent of all respondents were confined to bed for more than seven days.

# Absence From School as a Result of Illness:

Fifty-four percent of all respondents indicated they had been absent from school during the last three months as a result of illness. Responses indicating absenteeism from school due to illness were fairly consistent among the groups (54 percent of the referrals, 56 percent of the rechecks, 51 percent of the negatives, and 57 percent of the controls) with no significant differences at the .05 level of significance.

The average number of days absent from school as a result of illness was 4.4 days with a standard deviation of 3.3. Only 6.2 percent of all respondents were absent from school for more than five days. It is interesting to note that the referral and control groups comprised the largest proportion of respondents absent from school for more than five days. Differences, however, were not significant among groups at the .05 level of significance.

### **Psychological Distress:**

Two different single-item measures of psychological distress, adapted from the Langner Scale (1962), were used to gain some perspective of the respondent's psychological state. These included: (1) a measure of personal happiness and (2) a measure of nervousness. The frequency distribution of responses for these items will be discussed separately.

# Rating of Happiness:

Eighty-five percent of all respondents indicated they were very happy or happy with only 1.7 percent choosing to select the very unhappy response. Results were fairly consistent among the groups with 88.4 percent of the referrals, 83.3 percent of the rechecks, 85.7 percent of the negatives, and 85.7 percent of the controls rating themselves as very happy or happy.

### Rating of Nervousness:

Sixty-eight percent of all respondents indicated they were never bothered by nervousness, 23 percent indicated they were sometimes bothered by nervousness and approximately eight percent indicated they were often bothered by nervousness. A summary of the frequency of responses for each category is provided in Table XVI.

Means obtained for the individual groups averaged 1.88 for the referrals, 1.83 for the rechecks, 2.12 for the negatives, and 1.67 for the controls. Higher scores were indicative of a greater degree of nervousness. Of interest, the average mean

\* 28 missing data \* 28 Indicated "did not-know" . Often 14.38 **6-3**8 7:7,8 , Rating of Nervousness by Each Group Ĵ Rating of Nervousness Sometimes . 67.38 64-88 76.98 67.38 TABLE XVI • Never 15.48 25.98 30.68 16.38 Negatives Category Referrals Rechecks Controls

obtained by girls who had been screened (referrals, rechecks and negatives) was 1.9 in comparison with a mean of 1.67 obtained by , the control group. Differences among these means was significant at the .05 level of significance.

In one-way analysis of variance the null hypothesis of no difference among means was rejected at the .05 level of significance. The Scheffe test indicated significant differences between the negative and control groups and the negative and recheck groups. It is probable that differences would have also been significant between the negative and referral groups if sample size had been larger for the referral-group. The fact that significant differences occurred in such small sample sizes indicates that differences in the rating of nervousness among the groups may be quite large. The occurrence of a Type I error is a possibility that should also be considered.

In the preliminary study (Johnston, 1985), significant differences did not occur among the normal, recheck and referral groups in response to questions which inquired about stress experienced by the student during scoliosis screening. The significance of the negative group demonstrating a greater degree of general nervousness requires further investigation.

# Activity Limitations

Only 14 percent of all respondents indicated that some of their activities were reduced or restricted because of a health problem. It is of interest to note that 26.9 percent of the referrals indicated reduction а or restriction of their activities in comparison to 7.4 percent of the rechecks, 16.3 percent of the negatives and 12.2 percent of the controls. Differences, however, were not significant among groups at the .05 level of significance.

An over-view of activities, percentage of respondents affected for each activity, contributing health problems, and a breakdown of categories affected is presented in Table XVII. Asthma and bone and joint difficulties were the two most frequent health problems indicated by respondents. The condition of scollosis was mentioned only once in relation to limiting a respondent's ability to do sit-ups. The respondent belonged to the referral group and had been x-rayed for a 10 degree curve in June, 1985. The length of time the activity of doing sit-ups had been limited, by scollosis was not clearly indicated by the respondent.

According to the results obtained, it would appear that scollosis was perceived to cause few limitations in respondents' abilities to perform various activities.

	•	· · · ·			•	· · · · · ·	ан ал ан
		·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•		89
	Ξ.	22	Ξ	939 1	83	(1)	
Categories Affected	Referral	Referral (2) Negative (2)	Control	Referral Recheck Control	Referral Control	Referral	
c.	0			Joint	Pains		
Health Problem	Asthma	Asthma	Epilepsy	Bone £ J	Aches č	Înjuries	• • •
XVII ations hts				•		0	
TABLE XVII   Activity Limitations   & of Respondents   Affected	0.6% (1)	6.8% (12)					
			•	•	<b>'4</b>		
	•	ivities skating, g,	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ë	
	swork		l of the second se		<b>∂</b> •	ď	
Activity	1. Housework	2. Recreation (such as s swimming,	Dicycling,		-		
	· - 1			A A		•	

•		53	- 		E					1	90
	Categories Affected	Referral (2 Negative (2	Referral (3 Recheck (2 Control (1	Cóntrol (1)	Control	Recheck (1)	Referral (1)	Referral (1 Recheck (1 Control (1	Negative (1)	Referral (2) Recheck (1) Negative (2) Control (1)	
	Health Problem	Asthma	Bone & Joint	Infections	Aches & Pains	Injuries	Asthma	Borie & Joint	Allergies	Asthma	
TABLE XVII (contid)	<pre>% of Respondents Affected</pre>	7.38 (13)					2.28 (4)	•	11.8% (21)		5
		etc	n <sub>a µ</sub> . Mari		·	•					,
•	Activity	3. Team Sports (such as basketball, volleyball, baseball,					4. Dancing		5. Jogging or Running		4

TABLE XVII (contid)

6666 Ξ 333 Ξ 🍽 Referral (1) Negative (1) Categories Affected Referral Negative Control Control Control Aches & Pains Back Problem (Scoliosis) Aches & Pains (Back) Bone & Joint Taking Medication Infections Health Problem 8 of Respondents Affected 1.7% (3) (89:0) (0.68) × (0:68) a) Sit ups and Related Activities Jogging or Running (Continued) c) Stretching b) Gym Other Activity **5** . 9

- 91

E.

### 4.4 Testing of Study Hypotheses

The major hypotheses underlying this study included: (1)favourable health perceptions will be negatively associated with increases in absenteeism, illness, sick days, psychological distress, and activity limitations; (2) there will be no differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between the control group and the negative group; (3) differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between the referral-recheck groups and the control-negative groups; (4) differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between the referral group and the recheck group; (5) differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior will be demonstrated between girls screened for scollosis (referralsrechecks-negatives) and the control group; and (6) there is a relationship<sup>®</sup> between being labeled with scoliosis and demonstrating less positive perceptions of personal health and illness behavior.

Under the assumption that the sample was randomly selected and representative of the study population, the "t-test" was considered the most appropriate statistical analysis to test hypotheses which examined differences among the groups (Bauman, 1980). The Mann-Whitney U test, used to analyze non-parametric

, data, validated results obtained in the "t-test" (Downie and 'Heath, 1974).

Analyses indicated that differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior were not statistically significant between: (1) the referral and recheck groups and (2) the referral-recheck groups and the negative-control groups. These hypotheses were consequently rejected at the five percent level. A difference of no more than ten percent between the means on most measures indicated: (1) sample sizes were large enough and (2) important differences, for the most part, were unlikely missed due to inappropriate sample size (Armitage, 1971, pp.184-188). Results of the analyses are presented in Tables XVHI-and XIX.

Analytic comparison of the negative and control groups indicated statistically significant differences on the measures of: (1) nervous rating and (2) worry/concern in relation to personal health. Similar results were obtained for analysis which compared girls screened for scollosis (referrals, rechecks and negatives) with the control group. The null hypothesis of no difference in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between the control and negative groups was rejected at the five percent level. The hypothesis proposing differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between girls TABLE XVIII

. .

1

A

# Analytic Comparison for Perceptions of Health and Illness Behavior Between the Referral and Recheck Groups Ì

`\_\_

;

Variable	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail Probability
Recent Illness	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	1.31	.471 .487	. 092	-0.54	- 588
Days in Bed From Iliness	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	1.46 1.54	. 508	. 100	-0.63	. 533
Absent From School	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	1.41	. 533	- 100 + 072	0.43	. 667
Happiness Rating	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	1.85 1.85	.613 .737	. 120 . 100	-0.03	.973
Nervous Rating	Referrals Rechecks	▲ 26 ▲ 54	1.92 1.83	. 484 . 575	.095 .078	0.69	464
Activity Limitation	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	1.73	.452	. 089	-1.12	. 265
General Rating	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	2.23 2.13	.992 .702	. 195 . 095	0.53	. 601

94

.
TABLE XVIII (Cont'd)

Current Health       Referrals       26       18.1       6.63       1.30       1.72         Rechecks       54       15.6       5.56       .757       1.72         Worry/Concern       Referrals       26       13.3       4.25       .834       0.42         Worry/Concern       Referrals       26       13.3       4.25       .834       0.42         Worry/Concern       Referrals       26       13.0       2.95       .401       0.42         Future       Rechecks       26       8.19       2.94       .577       0.93         Future       Referrals       26       8.19       2.7       .367       0.93	Variable	Categorles	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tall Probability
Concern       Referrals       26       13.3       4.25       .834         Ith       Rechecks       54       13.0       2.95       .401         Referrals       26       8.19       2.94       .577         Rechecks       54       7.57       2.7       .367	Current Health	Referrals Rechecks	5 <b>4</b>	18.1 15.6	6.63 5.56	1.30	1.72	060 -
Referrals 26 8.19 2.94 .577 Rechecks 54 7.57 2.7 .367	Korry/Concern 3e Health	Referrals Rechecks	54 54 5	13.3 13.0	4.25 2.95	. 834 . 401	0.42	.673
	-uture Health	Referrals Rechecks	26 54	8.19 7.57	· 2.94 2.7	.577	0.93	.354

Probabilities Calculated Using the Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Mann-Whitney U Test.

TABLE XIX

1 a of Haalth Analytic Comparison for Percention

			· .	tin an			
Variable	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Devlation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail Probability
Recent Illness	Ref-Rechecks Neg-Controls	080	1.35 1.35	. 48 . 50	. 05	-0.10 %	.92
Days in Bed From Illness	Ref-Rechecks Neg-Controls	-08 86	1.51	.503	.056	-0.10	. 919
Absent From School	Ref-Rechecks Neg-Controls	08 08 86	1.42 1.44	.522	.058	-0.18	
Happiness Rating	Ref-Rechecks Neg-Controls	08 80 3	1.85 1.79	ء - 695 - 736	. 078 . 074	0.59	. 553
Ner vous Rating	Ref-Rechecks Neg-Controls	08 86	1.86 1.89	. 545 . 918	. 061	-0.22	. 829
Activity Limitation	Ref–Rechecks Neg–Controls	08	1.81 1.89	. <b>4</b> 53 . <b>918</b>	.036	-0.74	.460
General Rating. Of Health	Ref-Rochecks Neg-Controls	08 86	2.16 2.11	.803 .82	60 ·	0.41	<b>9</b> 9.

).

**9**4

TABLE XIX (Cont'd)

•

R

q 2-Tall Probability .618 . 696 . 222 ł Value 0.50 1.23 0.39 F Standard Error ÷ .670 .358 .310 •1 Standard Deviation 5.99 3.55 2.43 7.77 \* 7.62 Mean 16.4 13.1 Number Of Cases 086 - 08 80 80 **8**6 86 Ref-Rechecks Ref-Rechecks **Ref-Rechecks** Neg-Controls Neg-Controls Neg-Controls Categories 0  $\diamond$ Worry/Concern Current Health Re Health Våriable Future Health

Probabilities Calculated Using the Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Mann-Whitney U Test.

screened for scollosis and the control group was not rejected at the five percent level. Results are presented in Table XX and Table XXI. In interpreting these results it is important to consider that chance, or the influence of factors not investigated in this research, may have affected the outcome of results. Further research is indicated to obtain additional information which will facilitate interpretation of these results.

Analysis, presented in Table XXII, indicates that, as hypothesized, a relationship may exist between being labeled with scoliosis and demonstrating less positive perceptions of personal health and illness behavior. Girls who "perceived" they had been told there was something wrong with their back demonstrated significantly less positive perceptions of their current health and health outlook/than girls who indicated they had been told nothing was wrong with their back. It is interesting to note that differences were almost significant (.057) on the measure for activity limitations when more restrictions in personal activities were demonstrated by the group of girls who indicated they had been told they had a curvature of the spine in comparison with girls who had not. These results are of particular interest in view of the small percentage of girls who actually have a spinal curve of any significant degree. Within this study, 61 percent of the referral group had minimal curves which were less than or equal to ten degrees. These results suggest that a "negative Labeling effect" may occur. Further

TABLE XX

# Analytic Comparison for Perceptions of Health and Illness Behavior Between the Negative and Control Groups

•

<b>Variable</b>	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Devlation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tall Probability
Recent Illness	Controls Negatives	64 4	1. 29 1. 413	.500	•071 •021	<b>17</b>	. 161
Days in Bed From Illness	Controls Négatives	64 76	1.43 1.61	. 492	.070 .070	-1.76	
Absent From School	Controls Negatives	64 64	1.39 1.49	.505	. 076 . 072	-0.97	• 333
Happiness Rating	Controls Negatives	64 64	1.80 1.77	. 735	. 105 . 106	0.14	. 892
Ner vous Rating	Controls Negatives	6 G 4 4	1.65 2.12	.522 1.15	. 075 . 164	-2.60	•.011
Activity Limitation	Controls Negatives	6 4 7	1.88 1.84	.331 .373	. 047 . 053	0.57	.568
General Rating Of Health	Controls Negatives	6t	2.12 2.10	.832 .823	. 119	0.12	. 903

TABLE XX (Cont'd)

į

¢

Current       Controls       49       15.2       5.30       .757       -1.45         Health       Negatives       49       15.2       5.44       .778       -1.45         Worry/Concern       Controls       49       11.5       3.61       .516       -2.83       *         Worry/Concern       Controls       49       11.5       3.61       .516       -2.83       *         Worry/Concern       Controls       49       11.5       3.23       .461       -2.83       *         Worry/Concern       Controls       49       11.5       3.23       .461       -2.83       *         Health       Negatives       49       7.6       2.5       .35       -0.04         Future       Controls       49       7.6       2.4       .34       -0.04	Variable	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	ر Value	2-Tail Probability
cern Controls 49 11.5 3.61 .516 -2.83 Negatives 49 13.4 3.23 .461 -2.83 Controls 49 7.6 2.5 .35 -0.04 Negatives 49 7.6 2.4 .34 -0.04	Current Health	Controls Negatives	6 t	15.2 16.8	5.30 5.44	.757 .778	-1.45	. 151
Controls 49 7.6 2.5 .35 -0.04 Negatives 49 7.6 2.4 .34 -0.04	Worry/Concern Re Health	Controis Negatives	64 64	11.5	3.61 3.23	.516	-2.83	900 •
	Future Health	Controls Negatives	64 64	7.6 7.6	2.5 2.4	. 35 . 34	-0.04	.96

p <.05

Probabilities Calculated Using the Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Mann-Whitney U Test.

100

,

\$

TABLE XXI

Analytic Comparison for Perceptions of Health and Illness Behavior Between Referral-Rochock-Negative Groups and the Control Groun

	•						
Variable	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail Probability
Recent Illness	Screened Control Group	129 49	.62 .67	. 487 . 474	. 043 . 068	-0.66	.512
Days in Bed From Ilfness	Screened Control Group	129 49	4.43 4.18	3.35 3.26	, 295 466	0.43	. 664
Absent From School	Screened Control Group	129 49	.535	.501	. 044 . 071	tt • 0-	. 664
Happiness Rating	Screened Control Group	129 49	1.822 1.796	.712 .735	. 105	0.21	. 831
Nervous Rating	Screened Control Group	129 49	1.961	.833	.073 .075	2.41	*.017
Activity Limitation	Screened Control Group	129 49	. 147 . 122	.356 .331	.031	0.42	.672
General Rating Of Health	o Screened Control Group	129 49	2.14 2.12	808 .832	.170 119	0.13	о <b>.</b> 901

TABLE XXI (Cont'd)

7ي

Variable	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail Probability
Current Health	Screened Control Group	129 49	16.57 15.22	5.77 5.30	. 757	1.42	. 156
Worry/Concern Re Health	Screened Control Group	129 49	13.24 11.49	3.33 3.61	.293	3.06	*.003
Future Health	Screened Control Group	129 49	7.72 7.61	2.63 2.47	. 232 . 353	. 25	.803
* p <u>≤</u> .05							

Probabilities Calculated Using the Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Mann-Whitney U Test.

102

ų

TABLE XXII

•

u -

Š,

ic Comparison for Percentions of Health and Illness Behavior Indicated They Had Been Told They Had Scoliosis and Cirls Who Had, Not Analytic Con Between Girls Who

	Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail Probability
Recent Illness	Yes No	49 110	.71 .61	94 ·	. 047	1.28	. 204
Days in Bed From Illness	Yes No	49 110	म-म म-म	3.2 3.4	.465	-0.07	. 945
Absent From School	Yes No	49 110	.57 .52	.50	. 071 . 048	0.62	.537
Happiness Rating	Yes No	49 110	1.98 1.8	. 71	660.	1.48	. 141
Ner vous Rating	Y es No	49 110	1.8 1.9	. 51	.073	-0.60	. 551
Activity Limitation	Yes No	49 110	. 11	.42 .31	. 060	1.92	.057
General Rating Of Health	Y es No	49 110	2.2 2.1	. 85 . 80	. 121 . 077	1. 14	×. -254

103

•

TABLE XXII (Cont'd)

C

 $z_{i}$ 

C

د.

Yes         49         18.2         6.3         894         2.74           No         110         15.5         5.2         497         2.74           No         110         15.5         5.2         497         2.74           ncern         Yes         49         13.0         3.2         457         68           No         110         12.6         3.5         .348         .68           Yes         49         8.5         2.7         .392         .520           No         110         7.5         2.5         .348         .68	Yes     49     18.2     6.3     894     2.74       No     110     15.5     5.2     497     2.74       Yes     49     13.0     3.2     457     68       Yes     49     13.0     3.5     .457     68       Yes     49     13.0     3.5     .348     .68       Yes     49     8.5     2.7     .392     .50       Yes     49     8.5     2.5     .234     2.20	t       Yes       49       18.2       6.3       894       2.74         No       110       15.5       5.2       .497       2.74         Concern       Yes       49       13.0       3.2       .457       68         Concern       Yes       49       13.0       3.2       .457       68         Concern       Yes       49       13.0       3.2       .348       .68         Concern       Yes       49       8.5       2.7       .392       2.20         Yes       419       8.5       2.7       .392       2.20         05       G       3.5       2.5       .234       2.20         05       G       110       7.5       2.5       .234       2.20         05       G       Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Man       Man         05       G       Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Man	Yes     49     18.2     6.3     894     2.74       No     110     15.5     5.2     .497     2.74       Yes     49     13.0     3.2     .457     68       Yes     49     13.0     3.2     .457     68       Yo     110     12.6     3.6     .348     .68       Yes     49     8.5     2.7     .392     2.20       Vo     110     7.5     2.5     .234     2.20       Using the Student's T-Test Were Collaborated Using the Man		Categories	Number Of Cases	Mean	Standard Deviation	Standard Error	T Value	2-Tail · Probability
Concern Yes 49 13.0 3.2 457 68 alth No 110 12.6 3.6 .348 .68 Yes 49 8.5 2.7 .392 2.20 *				Current Health	Yes	् म9 110	, 18. 2 15. 5	6.3 5.2	. 894 . 497	2.74	*.007
Yes 49 8.5 2.7 .392 2.20 No 110 7.5 2.5 .234 2.20	≻z	≻z	≻z	Worry/Concern Re Health	Yes No	49 110	13.0 12.6	3. 2 3. 6	. 457 . 348	89 t	. 495
				Future Health	Y es No	49 110	8.5 7.5	2.7 2.5	.392 .234	2.20	*.029
										5	

Ģ

104

<sup>9</sup>\$

5

research in the area of labeling is indicated and should focus on: (1) investigating the influence of variables associated with affecting\_perceptions of health and illness behavior not investigated in this study and (2) replicating the study outside of the population surveyed.

# Pearson Correlation Coefficients: Illness Behavior and Perceptions of Health

Results regarding correlations between the illness behavior variables and health perception variables are summarized in Table XXIII. As hypothesized, favourable health perceptions were negatively associated with increases in recent illness, activity limitations, nervousness, unhappiness, absence from school, and days in bed as a result of illness. The measure of happiness, a positive behavioral variable, was associated with more favourable perceptions of health and a lesser degrees of worry/concern in relation to one's own health. Results, for the most part, were only insignificant when associated with measures for nervousness and worry/concern in relation to personal health.

The results obtained in the Pearson's correlation analyses are consistent with some of the findings reported by Ware (1976, p. 411). His results, as well, indicated that health perceptions tended to be more unfavourable in conjunction with increases in TABLE XXIII

1

~

# Summary of Pearson Correlation Coefficients in Relation to Illness Behavior and Perceptions of Health

5.

· •	- -	Health Perception Variables	tion Variables	-
Illness Behavior	Current	Future	Worry/Concern	cern General
Variables	Health	Health	Re Health	Health
Rarent Illness	1570	1602	2110	
	#p=.018	*p=.017	p=.417	*p=.079
Activity Limitations	- 4107	, , , , ,	1130	
	000 ·=d*	*p=•001	*p=.001	000 -= d
Ner vousness	. 1868	.0431	.1128	.0087
•	*p=.006	p=-284	p=- 067	p=-454
Happiness	.4381	.3353	.0249	.2082
	*p=.001	*p=.000	p=.371	*p=.003
Absence From School	2298	- 1358	- 1136	1659
As a Result of Illness	*p=- 00 1	*p=.085	p=. 066	*p=.013
Days in Bediasa	2582	- 1648	0910	1684
Result of Ullness	*p=.000	*p014	D=.114	*p=.012

57 •

106

\*p\_\_\_ 05

.

.

role activity limitations, bed days, sickness, chronic health problems, pain, and worry. He further reported that current health scores are substantially related to both physical health variables (eg. chronic problems and role activity limitations) and mental health variables (eg. psychological well-being and worry).

It would appear that the results obtained in this analysis are: (1) consistent with findings reported in Ware's study, (2) represent logical outcomes, (3) provide further support for validity of the health perception scales as general measures of health, and (4) support not rejecting the proposed hypothesis at the five percent level.

### 4.5 Confouding Factors

This section will present the relative frequency of responses for the confounding variables considered significant for inclusion in this study.

Chronic Health Problems: Only 16.3 percent of all respondents indicated they had any long term health problems that required them to see a doctor once in awhile. Of this number, only one respondent from the referral group indicated more than one long term health condition. The distribution of chronic problems,

grouped into the appropriate categories following interpretation of information provided by respondents, is presented in Table XXIV. Bone and joint problems (shin splints, knee and ankle problems) and asthma, were the most common long term health problems reported by respondents. Only four respondents, one from the control group and three from the referral group, indicated back problems with two of the girls from the referral group specifically naming scoliosis. Both girls were being seen on a regular basis by family physicians and had curves which measured 11 degrees and eight degrees on x-ray. The third girl from the referral group who indicated back problems had a two degree curve and was also being seen on a regular basis by her family physician. The respondent from the control group indicated she experienced back pains which according to other information obtained from the questionnaire did not appear to be associated with a diagrosis of scollosis.

It is interesting to note that referrals had the highest proportion of chronic problems for their group (30.8 percent) compared with 13 percent for the rechecks and 14.3 percent for the negative and control groups. The small number of referrals in comparison to the other groups is an important factor which needs to be considered in interpreting this result.

# TABLE XXIV

# Chronic Health Problems by Group

Chronic Health Problem	Referrals		er Group	
	Referrals	Rechecks	Negatives	Controls
Allergies (4)		1	2	1
Asthma (5)	2	1	2	
Diabetes (1)	,	•		. 1
Kidney Disease (1)			1	. ß
Back Problems (4)	- 3			بر ۱
Epilepsy (1)				1
Bone & Joint (6)	2	2	1	1
Recurring Infections (4)	1	1		2
Aches & Pains (2)		1	1	
Injuries (1)		1	4	
TOTALS (29)	8 (30.88)	7 *(13%)	7 *(14.38)	7 *(14.3%)

# \* Refers to Percentage of Group

٠,

1

Recent Injury: Nineteen percent of respondents indicated they had been injured during the past three months with only one percent indicating the injury had been serious. Responses indicating a recent injury were fairly consistent among the referrals (23.1 percent), rechecks (24.1 percent) and controls (20.4 percent) with only 10.2 percent of the negative group reporting an injury. Differences were not significant among groups.

Menstruation: In response to the question which asked respondents if they had their first menstrual period: 50.6 percent responded yes, 48.3 percent responded no and .6 percent indicated they did not know.

These results compare favourably with data recently analyzed by the Edmonton Board of Health (1986) for a survey which was specifically designed to determine the average age of menarche for girls in the city of Edmonton. The average age of menarche was estimated to be 12.66 years with the range calculated between 12.5 and 12.8 years (95 percent confidence limits). Analysis was based on survey results obtained from 1,832 girls, ages 10 to 16. years, who were randomly selected to participate in the study. Further analysis which determined the percentage of girls menstruating by age and grade indicated that 52.1 percent of girls in grade seven had started to menstruate and 47.9 percent had not. This compared similarly with results obtained in this study where 50.6 percent of girls in grade seven were determined to be menstruating. Results were fairly consistent among the groups with 57.7 percent of the referrals, 46.3 percent of the rechecks, 59.2 percent of the negatives," and 42.9 percent of the controls indicating they had started to menstruate. These results would seem to indicate that any influences exerted by this factor were evenly distributed among the groups.

157

111

Previously Screened for Scoliosis: All respondents in the referral, recheck and negative categories had been screened for scoliosis by public health nurses with the Edmonton Board of Health.

### at l

Thirteen or 26.5 percent of the control group indicated that a doctor or nurse had checked their back for a curvature of the spine with two respondents (4.1 percent) indicating they were told they had scoliosis. Due to the sizeable number, control respondents who indicated they had been screened were compared with control respondents who had not been screened on the perceptions of general health measure. Differences were not statistically significant at the .05 level of significance (:823). The large significance value obtained on this measure suggests that having been checked previously for scoliosis, in all likelihood, did not systematically affect differences within the control group.

Diagnosed with Scollosis: Forty-six percent of the rechecks indicated they had been told they had scollosis with 20 percent indicating they "didn't know'. Without x-ray confirmation it is not possible to confirm if almost half of the rechecks actually have a curvature of the spine. It would seem doubtful, however, that such a high percentage of girls would actually have spinal curves of any significant degree. Of the 80.8 percent of referrals who reported a curvature of the spine, approximately 72 percent of this group actually have curves which have been confirmed by x-ray or physician assessment. Sixty-one percent of these curves were measured at ten degrees or less. Two respondents or 4.1 percent of the control group and two percent of the<sup>34</sup>negative group indicated they were told they have a curvature of the spine.

### - 4.6 Multiple Regression Analysis

From the literature review, it was apparent that a number of factors likely influence perceptions of personal health and "illness behavior. In this analysis only the influence of chronic health problems, menstruation, previous injury, screened for scollosis, and diagnosed with scollosis were investigated. A step-wise multiple regression model was used as a descriptive tool in order to assess the relative contribution of each of the aforementioned independent variables to the explanation of variation in specified dependent variables (recent illness; bed days as a result of illness; absence from school; perceptions of happiness and nervousness; activity limitations; and perceptions of general health, current health, health outlook, and worry/concern in relation to personal health).

In step-wise regression, a minimum level of significance, as evidenced by the partial F values, is required before a variable is allowed to enter the equation. In addition, a minimum level of significance is required to retain a variable in the equation after it has been entered. The introduction of an additional variable may cause one or more of the variables entered previously to be eliminated from the equation. Thus, the selection procedure requires the identification of any variables that have dropped below the minimum level of significance. lf any of the variables fail to achieve the specified level of significance, they are removed before proceeding to introduce a new variable into the equation. This variable must have the highest squared partial correlation and exceed the required level of significance. The objective of step-wise regression is to select all, but only, those variables which exceed the specified level of statistical significance as evidenced by the partial F

test (Colin and Broyles, 1980, pp. 326-327). Results of the regression analyses are presented in Table XXV.

### Summary of Findings:

Perceptions of General Health: Previous Injury and menstruation were significantly associated with perceptions of general health and, together, accounted for approximately seven percent of the variation in the perceptions of general health variable. Positive perceptions in regard to general health were significantly related with: (1) no recent injuries and (2) not having started to menstruate.

Perceptions of Current Health: Diagnosed with scollosis was the only independent variable to demonstrate significance and explained approximately four percent of the variability exhibited by the perceptions of current health variable. More favourable perceptions of ones' current health was significantly related with the the been diagnosed with scollosis.

Perceptions of Health Outlook: Diagnosed with scollosis and previous injury were significantly associated with affecting perceptions of health outlook and, in combination, accounted for approximately nine percent of the variability for this dependent variable. More favourable perceptions of health outlook were significantly related with: (1) no recent injuries and (2) not having been diagnosed with scollosis. TABLE XXV

ł

Summary of Regression Analysis

¥,

•								
	<b>C</b> .	- 0014	.0068	.0002	+ 0390	.0134	.0016	<b>t</b> £00.
	R Square	.072	.041	.092	.024	.034	.071	.063
	Beta	2088 1714	2023	2348 1919	1549	. 1851	2196 .1477	2292 .1649
	. 🕰	3709 2660	-1.92	-1.02 -1.08	. 166	.207	218 .168	275 206
	Significant Independent Variables	<ol> <li>Previous Injury</li> <li>Menstruation</li> </ol>	1. Diagnosed With Scoliosis	<ol> <li>Diagnosed With Scoliosis</li> <li>Previous Injury</li> </ol>	1. Previous injury	1. Previous injury	<ol> <li>Menstrubtion</li> <li>Previous Injury</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Diagnosed With Scollosis</li> <li>Screened for Scollosis</li> </ol>
5	Dèpendent Variables	A. Perceptions of General Health	<ul> <li>B. Perceptions of Current Health</li> </ul>	C. Perceptions of Health Outlook	Die Recent Illness	E. Bed Days as a Result of Illness	F. Absence From School	G. Happiness Rating

115

¢

٩,

,

e,

Recent Illness: Previous Injury was the only independent variable to demonstrate significance and explained approximately two percent of the variability for this dependent variable. The occurrence of injuries was significantly associated with recent illness.

Bed Days as a Result of Illness: Previous injury ws significantly associated with days in bed due to illness and explained, approximately three percent of the variability exhibited by bed days. Injuries were significantly related with days spent in bed.

Absence From School: Menstruation and previous injury were significantly related to absence from school and, in combination, accounted for approximately seven percent of the variability for this dependent variable. Increased absence from school was significantly related to: (1) injuries and (2) not having started to menstruate.

Perceptions of Happiness: Diagnosed with scoliosis and screened for scoliosis were significantly associated with perceptions of happiness and, together, explained approximately six percent of the variance exhibited by this variable. A greater degree of perceived happiness was significantly related to: (1) having been screened for scoliosis and (2) not having been diagnosed with scoliosis. A minimum level of significance was not demonstrated by any of the selected independent variables for measures of activity limitations, worry/concern in regard to personal health or perceptions of nervousness. When group was entered as a variable, the only measure significant at the five percent level was the rating of nervousness. This finding is consistent with previous results where the negative group was found to demonstrate a greater degree of general nervousness in relation to the other groups.

Findings generally indicate that menstration, previous injury, and diagnosed or being told one has scoliosis are significantly associated with certain perceptions of health and illness behavior.

### 4.7 General Comments

Respondents were given the opportunity to comment on any aspect of the questionnaire. Comments are highlighted in this section with actual edited comments presented in Appendix H.

Sixty-four percent of the respondents did not respond to this item. The remaining 36 percent of respondents offered comments that included: (1) indicating they had liked the questionnaire; (2) inquiring as to why and how they had been chosen to

participate in the study; (3) inquiring about specific health and menstrual problems they were experiencing; (4) indicating they would like to obtain more information on scoliosis; (5) clarifying answers they had provided in response to specific questions; and (6) commenting on the importance of learning about scoliosis.

118

Of particular interest were comments provided by five girls in the recheck and referral groups. Two girls from the referral category and one from the recheck group indicated that their scoliosis did not cause them any concern or restrict their activities. Two other girls from the recheck group, however, commented that their scoliosis: (1) may have been caused by daily skating and (2) seemed to be the cause of frequent tiredness and colds.

Although comments provided by those who responded were, for the most part positive, it would appear that a few girls have misconceptions in relation to scoliosis. This is consistent with results obtained in the preliminary survey (Johnston, 1985).

4.8 Reliability

Generally speaking, reliability refers to consistency and, as such, is a necessary condition in any measurement instrument (Campbell, 1976; Kerlinger, 1973). It is that part of test variance which is free of measurement error variance and is "dependent upon agreement between two maximally similar methods of measuring that same trait" (Craig, 1975, p. 17). For the purpose of this study, Cronbach's alpha (Cronbach, 1951) was used as the method for determining internal consistency (reliability).

Reliability using this method can be estimated from a single administration of the instrument and is frequently sought for instruments used in short-term projects. Item responses are correlated between all possible splits of the entire instrument, with the coefficient representing the average reliability of the measures obtained from all possible subdivisions of the instrument. A high correlation coefficient from this method indicates that the test has homogeneous test items, which suggests that subjects would score about the same on any given sample of the test items.

A Cronbach's alpha reliability of .7 was obtained for the total questionnaire. This result indicates that all items within the questionnaire were answered with a fairly high degree of consistency. In measuring the individual health perception scales, a Cronbach's alpha reliability of .82 was obtained for the current health scale, .65 for the health outlook scale, and .64 for the health worry/concern scale. As demonstrated in Table XXVI, reliability coefficients obtained for the health perception -scales in this study compare similarly with results obtained by Ware (1976).

### 4.9 Summary

The results of the analyses obtained from the questionnaire were presented and discussed in this chapter. Open-ended comments were categorized and general comments highlighted. The findings and conclusions of the analyses and subsequent recommendations are presented in the following chapter.

# TABLE XXVI

# Comparison of Cronbach's Alpha Reliability

# Coefficient in Two Studies

.

**.**• •

		No. of		Consistency ficient
Scale		ltems in Scale	Ware's Study (1976)	Scoliosis Study (1986)
1	ent Health	9	.91	.82
Healtl	h Outlook	4	.75	.65
Healti	Worry/Concern	4	.60	. 64

### CHAPTER V

### SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In Chapter V, a summary of the study is presented, major findings and conclusions based upon data analysis are discussed, and recommendations are set forth.

### 5.1 Summary of the Study

Since 1955 almost two million children have been examined for the presence of scoliosis. Yet, controversy still exists regarding the utility and effectiveness of school screening for scoliosis. It is viewed by some as an essential tool for the prevention of severe spinal deformities; while others have stated that it is a waste of scarce and valuable resources. A review of selected literature indicated that data generated on scoliosis screening, to date, has generally failed to clarify issues central to establishing the efficacy of the program. While the literature contained several quantitative and descriptive studies attempting to provide further information and clarification on the natural history of scoliosis, efficacy of treatment methods and validity of the screening procedure, research examining potential psychological effects of the scoliosis screening process on the adolescent girl was limited. The lack of information and

- 122 -

investigation in this particular area was considered to represent a significant void in view of the deficit of information which characterizes the program, high false-positive rates, the particularly vulnerable age when screening occurs, limited research available on the implications associated with labeling, and the stress and misconceptions in relation to screening and scoliosis which were demonstrated by adolescent girls in a preliminary study. This research was undertaken to gain some perspective on the implications associated with the diagnostic labeling of adolescent girls with scoliosis. More specifically, the study was designed to determine if adolescent girls who were screened and referred for x-ray and physician assessment (referrals), or re-examination in six months by a public health nurse (rechecks), differed in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior from girls who had been screened and designated Results of the study could potentially provide negative. additional knowledge in the area of scollosis screening which could be of use to health care administrators and researchers in evaluating and understanding the full impact of scollosis screening programs on young adolescents.

 $\Delta$ 

Following a revelw of selected literature, published questionnaires and relevant studies were identified for possible use in this study. Studies for the most part tended to focus on one specific area of inquiry and did not simultaneously

investigate health perceptions and illness behavior. Questions were also designed to derive information from a population older than 12 years of age and were not geared to the understanding or interest of the early adolescent. These limitations necessitated the development of a research strategy and instrument which would address the specific objectives of this study.

The data collection method selected to obtain information from the study population was the mail questionnaire survey. For the purposes of this research, the target population was defined as all girls who had been screened for scoliosis by 'the Edmonton Board of Health. To maintain consistency and ensure reasonable ease of access to respondents, the study population was limited to girls who had been screened for scollosis by the Edmonton Board of Health in the spring of 1985. Three categories are differentiated by Edmontors in their screening process: girls with no observable form of scollosis (normal); girls with a questionable or small deviation who are re-examined at six month 🥆 intervals by public health nurses (rechecks); and girls with ane obvious deviation who are referred by public health nurses for x-ray and examination by a physician (referrals). Girls were selected from each of these designated screening categories to determine if differences existed among the groups. From a total of 3,111 girls screened in the spring of 1985, 149 were selected to participate in the study. This number was comprised of 60

11

girls randomly selected from each of the recheck and negative groups and all 29 girls from the referral group. Sixty girls who resided outside of Edmonton and within the boundaries of a health unit which did not provide scollosis screening were randomly selected as a control group. From a total study population size of 3,351 adolescent girls, a total sample size of 209 was selected.

Available, published questionnaires were modified to meet the objectives of the study. "Items and variables included in the questionnaire were selected based on the literature review, comments from content experts and the investigator's judgement. In some instances it was necessary to develop questions which would elicit information not previously researched. An acceptable level of face validity for the questionnaire was established by reviewing feedback from: (1) Individuals considered knowledgeable in research strategies, scoliosis and adolescent development and (2) pretest respondents considered representative of the study population. Questionnaires were subsequently distributed to 209 adolescent girls with follow-up procedures undertaken to improve the response rate.

A total of 178 useable questionnnaires were returned representing an overall response rate of 85.8 percent. Follow-up procedures were effective in increasing the rate of return by approximately 20 percent: Based on survey responses: (1) respondents' personal perceptions of their general health, current health, health outlook, and health worry/concern were identified; (2) respondents' school attendance, activity limitations, perceptions of happiness and nervousness, and recent experience with illness were identified; (3) the influence of factors considered significant in providing alternative explanations for perceptions of personal health and illness behavior were examined; and (4) differences among the groups were analyzed. Comments, in regards to the questionnaire, were reviewed:

Pertinent findings from the study are presented in the following section.

# 5.2 Major Findings

1.

2.

Major findings in this section are based on analysis of survey

Adolescent girls in the study generally demonstrated positive Perceptions in relation to their over-all health with three out of four girls rating it very good or good, one in four rating it excellent and none perceiving it as poor.

In comparing results with a study that used the Health Perception

Scales to survey adults, it was interesting to find that the younger age group or adolescents surveyed in this study demonstrated more positive perceptions of their current health and health outlook than an older or adult group. Both age groups demonstrated a similar amount of concern and worry in relation to personal health.

- 3. Significant differences did not occur among the referral, recheck, negative, and control groups in relation to personal perceptions of general health, current health and health outlook. In relation to the worry/concern measure, the control group demonstrated less worry and concern regarding personal health than was indicated by the other groups.
- 4. Information regarding respondents' illness behavior identified that approximately three in five of all respondents had experienced some illness in the three months prior to receiving the questionnaire. Approximately one in two of these respondents indicated they had spent some amount of time in bed during this time period or been absent from school as a result of illness. Analyses indicated that differences were not significant among the groups for these specific measures of illness behavior.

ð

Þ.

5.

Results for the psychological distress measure indicated that approximately four in five respondents perceived themselves as

> 3 ()

very happy or happy with approximately seven in ten respondents indicating they were never bothered by nervousness. While results were fairly consistent with no significant differences among groups for the happiness measure, a greater degree of nervousness was demonstrated by the negative group. Significant differences occurred on this measure between the: (1) negative and control groups and (2) the negative and recheck groups.

- 6. Approximately seven in fifty respondents identified that some of their activities had been reduced or restricted as a result of a health problem. Analysis indicated that differences were not significant among groups. It was interesting to find that the condition of scalosis was mentioned only once in relation to limiting a respondent's ability to do sit-ups.
- 7. Only four in twenty-five respondents indicated they had any long term health problems that required them to see a doctor once in awhile. Bone and joint problems and asthma were the most common long term health problems reported by this age group. Scoliosis was specifically named by only two respondents from the referral category.
- 8. As hypothesized, favourable health perceptions tended to be negatively associated with increases in absenteeism from



school, recent illness, bed days as a result of illness, nervousness, unhappiness, and activity limitations.

- 9. Hypotheses proposing differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between the: (1) referral and recheck groups and (2) the referral-recheck groups and negative-control groups were rejected at the five percent level.
- 10. The null hypothesis of no difference in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between the control and negative groups was rejected at the five percent level. Significant differences occurred between both groups on the measures of: (1) nervousness and (2) worry/concern in relation to personal health. The negative group demonstrated a greater degree of nervousness and worry/concern regarding personal health than the control group.
- 11. The hypothesis proposing differences in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior between girls screened for scoliosis and the control group was not rejected at the five percent level. Significant differences occurred between the two groups on the measures of: (1) nervous rating and (2) worry/concern in relation to personal health. Girls who were involved in the screening process demonstrated a greater degree of nervousness and worry/concern regarding personal health than the control group.

- 12. It appears that, as hypothesized, a relationship may exist between being labeled with scollosis and demonstrating less positive perceptions of personal health and illness behavior. Girls who "perceived" they had been told there was something wrong with their back demonstrated significantly less postive perceptions of their current health and health outlook than girls who indicated they had been told nothing was wrong with their back.
- 5.3 Conclusions

The conclusions of this study are as follows:

- Favourable health perceptions are <sup>0</sup> negatively associated with increases in recent illness, activity limitations, nervousness, unhappiness, absence from school, and sick days in bed.
- 2. Girls who are followed over a period of time for a "suspected" curve (recheck group) do not differ significantly in perceptions of personal health and illness behavior from girls who are referred to physicians for confirmation of a diagnosis (referral group).
- 3. The assumption that the negative and control groups would demonstrate similar perceptions of personal health and illness behavior is not supported by findings obtained in this study.
The negative group demonstrated a greater degree of nervousness and worry/concern regarding personal health than the control group. This might be due to chance, or the influence of factors not investigated in this study. Further research to facilitate interpretation of these findings is indicated.

- Girls who were involved in the screening process demonstrated a greater degree of nervousness and worry/concern regarding personal health than the control group. This finding suggests that the screening process may have some systematic effect on altering perceptions of health and illness behavior. Replication in another study population is indicated to ensure that the difference between the two groups was not due to socioeconomic factors.
- 5. The results of this study also suggest that a "negative labeling effect" may occur. Girls who "perceived" they had been told there was something wrong with their back demonstrated significantly less positive perceptions of their current health and heatlh outlook than girls who indicated they had been told nothing was wrong with their back. These results are of particular interest in view of the small percentage of girls within this study who actually have a spinal curve of any significant degree. Further research is indicated to investigate the infuence of variables associated with affecting perceptions of health and illness behavior which were not investigated in this study, e.g. parental income, education and ethnic group.

### 5.4 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study the following recommendations are made:

- 1. Further research is indicated to substantiate and explore findings obtained in this study, particularly, in the area of labeling and its effects on perceptions of health and illness behavior. Additional work should focus on: (1) investigating the influence of variables associated with affecting perceptions of health and behavior, which were not included in this study and (2) replicating the study outside of the population surveyed.
- 2. If the findings are replicated, efforts should be made by programs providing scoliosis screening to ensure that girls who are told they have a curvature of the spine receive appropriate information, understand the implications associated with their diagnosis, and are given an opportunity to clarify any misconceptions they may have in relation to scoliosis.

: 132

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

### Articles and Books

Alderman, M. & Davis, T. Hypertension Control at the Work Site. J. Occ. Med. 18(1976): 793-796.

- Allard, Janis & Dibble, Suzanne. Scoliosis Surgery: A Look at Luque Rods. <u>American Journal of Nursing</u> May (1984): 609-611.
- Armitage, P. (1977). <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Armstrong, G., Livermore, N. & Suzuki, Nohumasa. Non-standard Vertebral Rotation in Scoliosis Screening Patients. Its Prevalence and Relation to the Clinical Deformity. <u>Spine</u> 7(1982): 50-54.
- Bauman, Karl. <u>Research Methods for Community Health and Welfare.</u> New York: Oxford University Press, 1980.
- Renfari, Robert & Eaker, Elaine, Risk Factor Screening and Intervention, Controlled Clinical Trials 2(1981): 3-14.
- Benson, Karen & Wade, Betty. Results of School Screening for Scollosis in the San Juan Unified School District, Sacramento, California. The Journal of School Health 8(1977): 483-484.
- Bergman, Abrahame & Stamm, Stanley. The Morbidity of Cardiac Nondisease in Schoolchildren. <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u> 276:18(1967).
- Berwick, Donald. Scoliosis Screening: A Pause in the Chase. AJPH 75:12(1985): 1373-1374.
- Bjure, Jan & Nachemson, Alf. Non-Treated Scoliosis. <u>Clinical</u> <u>Crthopedics and Related Research</u> 93(1973): 44-52.

Ş

- Bloom, Joan & Monterossa, Susan, Hypertension Labeling and Sense of Well-Being, AJPH 71:11(1981): 1228-1232.
- Blount, Moe. The Milwaukee Brace. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1980.
- Blout, M. The Milwaukee Brace in Non-Operative Scoliosis Treatment. Acta Orthop. Scandinavica 33(1963): 398-401.
- Cadman, David & Chambers, Larry. Assessing the Effectiveness of Community Screening Programs. JAMA 251:12(1984): 1580-1585.

Campbell, J.P. <u>Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology</u>. Chicago: Rand McNally College Publishing Company, 1976.

- Cassels, Derek. Bracing For Scoliosis Viewed too Optimistically. Medical Post March (1977): 8.
- Cassels, Derek. Pacemaker Planted in Back Straightens Scoliotic Curve, Medical Post March (1975): 34-35.
- Cassels, Derek. Who Knows Which Child Has Scollosis the Shadow Knows. Medical Post June (1977): 34-35.
- Cassels, Derek. School Screening Will Eliminate Surgery for Idiopathic Scoliosis. Medical Post March (1975): 6-7.
- Cassels, Derek. Cardiopulmonary Function Impaired by Scollosis. Medical Post March (1978).
- Caylor, G., Lynn, D. & Stein, E. Effect of Cardiac Non-disease on Intellectual and Perceptual Motor Development. Br. Heart J. 35(1973): 543-547.
- Cockerhill, R. (1983-1984). Notes from H.S.A. 431, Methods and Statistics in Health Services Administration, University of Alberta.
- Cohen, J. (1983). <u>Applied Multiple Regression/Correlation Analysis</u> for the Behavioral Sciences. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Colton, Theodore. <u>Statistics in Medicine</u>. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1974.
- Coopersmith, S. The Antecedents of Self-Esteem. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Co., 1967.
- Cowell, Henry & Hall, J. Genetic Aspects of Idiopathic Scollosis. <u>Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research</u> July-August (1972): 121-131.
- Cowell, Henry. Genetic Aspects of Orthopedic Diseases. <u>American</u> Journal of Nursing 70:4(1970): 763-766.
- Craig, P.M. <u>Establishing Validity in Field Evaluation of Nurse</u> <u>Practitioners</u>, Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Alberta, 1975.
- Cronback, L.J. Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests. Psychometrika 16(1951): p. 234-297.
- Czeizel, A. & Bellyei, O. Genetics of Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. Journal of Medical Genetics 15(1978): 424-427.

ČŠ.

- De George, Frances & Fisher, Robert. Idiopathic Scollosis: Genetic and Environmental Aspects. Journal of Medical Genetics 4(1967): 251-257.
- De Vries, Peter. Only Tested Nurses Should Screen for Scoliosis. <u>Medical Post</u> October (1982): 11.
- Dickson, Robert. Screening for Scoliosis. <u>British Medical Journal</u> 289(1984): 269-270.
- Dickson, Robert. Scollosis in the Community. British Medical Journal 286(1983): 615-618.
- Dickson, Robert & Stamper, Peter. School Screening for Scoliosis: Cohort Study of Clinical Curves. British Medical Journal (July) 1980: 265-267.
- Downie, N. and Heath, R. (1974). Basic Statistical Methods. New York: Harper and Row Publishers.
- Drennan, J.C. & Campbell, M.D. Denver: A Metropolitan Public School Scoliosis Survey. <u>Pediatrics</u> 60:2 (1977): 193-196.
- Drummond, D.S. & Kerner, M. Untreated Adult Scoliosis: A Disabling Disease. <u>Annals of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons</u> of Canada 9:1 (January 1976): 59.
- Dwyer, Johanna & Mayer, Jean (1968-69). Psycherogical Effects of Variations in Physical Appearance During Adolescence. Adolescence III: 353-380.
- Edgar, M., Chapman, R. & Glasgow, M. Pre-Operative correction in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. J. Bone and Joint Surg. 64B(1982): 530-535.
- Elkind, D. Egocentrism in Adolescents. <u>Child Dev.</u> 38(1967): 1025-1034.
- Elkind D. Teenage Thinking: Implications for Health Care. <u>Pediatric</u> <u>Nursing</u> Nov./Dec. (1984): 383-385.
- Edmonson, Allen & Morris, John. Follow-up Study of Milwaukee Brace Treatment in Patients with Idiopathic Scollosis. <u>Clinical</u> <u>Orthopedics and Related Research</u> 126(1977): 58-61.
- Eletcher, Robert & Fletcher, Suzanne. <u>Clinical Epidemiology: the</u> Essentials. Baltimore/London, 1982.
- Gilson, Betty & Gilson, John. The Sickness Impact Profile. AJPH 65:12(1975): 1304-1310.

Goldberg, G. & Thompson, F. Pilot Study for a Scoliosis Screening Project in South Dublin. Journal of the Irish Medical Association 73:7(1980): 265-268.

Goldstein, Louis. Current and Developing Aspects in Management of Scoliosis. <u>New York State Journal of Medicine</u> December (1972): 2977-2980.

Golomb, M. Screening Adolescent School Children for Scoliosis. Medical Journal of Australia June (1975): 761-762.

Gore, Donald & Passehl, Rosalyn. Scollosis Screening: Results of a Community Project, Pediatrics 67:2(1981): 196-200.

Gurr, Jean. A School Screening Program that Works. Canadian Nurse 73:12(1977): 1-6.

Halladay, Jennifer. Update on Scoliosis. <u>Canadian Nurse</u> September (1984): 44-45.

Harrison, Scott & Weller, David. Early Recognition of Scoliosis. Pennsylvania Medicine 80:3(1977): 51-53.

Haynes, R.B. & Sacket, D. Increased Absenteeism from Work after Detection and Labeling of Hypertensive Patients. <u>New England</u> Journal of Medicine 299(1978): 741-744.

Helmstadter, G.C. Principles of Psychological Measurement. New York: Appleton Century Crofts, 1978.

Hill, Patty & Romm, Linda. Screening for Scoliosis in Adolescents. American Journal of Nursing May/June (1977): 156-159.

Howell, James & Craig, Phyllis. Problems in Scoliosis Screening. Canadian Journal of Public Health 69: July/August (1978): 293-296.

Hungerford, David. Spinal Deformity in Adolescence. <u>Medical Clinics</u> of North America 56:6(1975): 1517-1525.

Hyndman, J.C. & Christie, W. Scoliosis: Facts and Fiction for the Family Physician. <u>Canadian Family Physician</u> September (1978): 92-94.

James, J. Scoliosis, Gordon C. Robin (ed.), New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1973.

Johnston, B. The Cognitive and Affective Impacts of Scoliosis Screening. Unpublished Paper, University of Alberta, 1985.

Kane, William. A New Challenge in Scoliosis Care. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 64:4(1982): 479-480. Kane, William. Scoliosis Prevalence: A Call for a Statement of Terms. Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research 126(1977): 43-46

Kane, William & Moe, John A Scoliosis - Prevalence Survey in Minnesota Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research 216-218.

Keim, Hugo. Scollosis. <u>Clinical Symposia</u> 2(1979): 1-30.

- Kerlinger, Fred. Foundations of Behavioral Research. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1973.
- Langer, T.S. A 22-Item Screening Score of Psychiatric Symptons Indicating Impairment. Journal of Health and Human Behavior 3(1962): 269-276.
- Lapointe, Claude & Duval, Bernard. Determining and Costing Outcome Measures of School Screening Programs. <u>Canadian Journal of</u> <u>Public Health</u> July/August (1984): 281-284.
- Lay, C. and Broyles, R. (1980). <u>Statistics in Health Administration:</u> <u>Volume H. Maryland: Aspen Systems Corporation.</u>
- Leaver, Jane, Alvik, Anne & Warren, Micheal. Prescriptive Screening for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis: A Review of the Evidence. International Journal of Epidemiology 2(1982): 101-111.
- Levy, P. & Lemenshow, S. Sampling for Health Professionals. Belmont, California: Wadsworth, Inc., 1980.
- Lezberg, Sandra, Screening for Scoliosis. <u>Physiotherapy Journal</u> 54:4(1974): 371-372.
- Liston, C. An Evaluation of School Screening for Scoliosis in Western Australia. Australian Journal of Physiotherapy 2(1981): 37-43.
- Lonstein, John Screening for Spinal Deformities in Minnesota Schools. <u>Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research</u> 126(1977): 33-41.
  - Lonstein, John & Carlson, Martin. The Prediction of Curve Progression in Untreated Idiopathic Scoliosis During Growth. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 3(1984): 1062-1071.
  - Lonstein, John & Winter, Robert, School Screening for the Early Detection of Spine Deformities. <u>Minnesota Medicine</u> January (1976): 51-57.
  - Lonstein, John & Bjorklund, Sheila. Voluntary School Screening for Scoliosis in Minnesota. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 64:6(1982): V81-488.

- MacEwen, G. Acute Neurological Complications in the Treament of Scoliosis. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 54 (1975): 404-408.
- Mellencamp, David & Blount, Walter. Milwaukee Brace Treatment of Idiopathic Scollosis. <u>Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research</u> 126 (1977): 47-57.
- Mercer, Romana. <u>Perspectives on Adolescent Health Care.</u> Philadelphia: J.B. Lippincott Company, 1979.

ogna, Susan. Scollosis. <u>Nursing 77</u> May (1977): 50-55.

- Dean & Lever, Carl. Scoliosis Screening: An Approach Used in School. Journal of School Health February (1982): 98-101.
- Moe, Winter. Scoliosis and Other Deformities. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Co., 1978.
- Moe, John & Kettleson, David. Idiopathic Scoliosis: Analysis of Patterns and the Preliminary Results of Milwaukee Brace Treatment in One Hundred Sixty-Nine Patients. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 52(1970): 1509-1533.
- Monnier, J. & Deschamps, J.P. <u>Sante Publique</u>. Sante de la Communaute, Villeurbanne, Simep (1980): 11.
- Morais, Therese, Bernier, Michele & Turcotte, Fernand. Age and Sex-Specific Prevalence of Scoliosis and the Value of School Screening Programs. <u>AJPH</u> 75:12 (December 1985): 1377-1380.
- Nachemson, A. A Long Term Follow-up of Non-Treated Scoliosis. Acta Orthop. Scand 39(1968): 466-476.
- Nathan, Susan. Body Image of Scoliotic Female Adolescents Before and After Surgery. <u>Maternal-Child Nursing Journal</u> 6:3(Fall, 1977): 139-149.
- Nelms. What is a Normal Adolescent? <u>Maternal-Child Nursing</u> 6(1981): 402-406.
- Newman, Daniel & DeWald, Ronald. School Screening for Scoliosis. Illinois Medical Journal 151:1(1977): 31-34.
- Nilsonne, U. & Lundgren, K. Long-Term Prognosis in Idiopathic Scoliosis. <u>Acta Orthop. Scand</u> 39(1968): 456-465.
- O'Brien, John & Van Akkerveeken, Peter. School Screening for Scoliosis: Results of a Pilot Study. <u>The Practitioner</u> 219(1977): 739-742.

Piggott, H. Management of Structural Scoliosis. Br Med J 1 (1977): 34-36.

Ponte, A. Prognostic Evaluation of Vertebral Rotation in Small Idiopathic Curves. Orthop. Trans. 6 (1982): 6-7.

- Pratt, Lois. The Relationship of Socioeconomic Status to Health. AJPH 61:2 (1971): 181-291.
- Quick, M. & Highriter, M. (Séptember, 1981). Is Privacy Important in Scoliosis Screening. The Journal of School Health 458-460.
- Rachwalski, Beverly. <u>A Study on Rural Health Professionals in</u> <u>Alberta.</u> Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Alberta, 1985.

Redford, J. & Butterworth, T. Use of Electromyography as a Prognostic Ald in the Management of Idiopathic Scoliosis. <u>Arch. Phys. Med.</u> and Rehab. 50(1969): 433-438.

- Reid, Una. Screening for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. <u>Canadian</u> Nurse November (1975): 13-15.
- Reid, Una. Home Care After Surgery for Scoliosis. <u>Canadian Nurse</u> November (1976): 43-47.
- Robin, G., Span, Y. & Makin, M. Scoliosis in the Elderly: Idiopathic or Osteoporotic? <u>Scoliosis</u> London: Academic Press, (1977): 215-229.
- Robitalle, Yvonne, Villavicencio, Pereda & Gurr, Jean. Adolescent Idiopathic Scollosis: Epidemiology and Treatment Outcome in a Large Cohort of Children Six Years After Screening. International Journal of Epidemiology 13:3(1984): 319-323.

Rogala, Eugene & Drummond, Denis. Scoliosis: Incidence and Natural History. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 60:2(1978): 173-176.

- Schultz, A. & Ciszewski. Spine Morphology as a Detriment of Progression Tendency in Idiopathic Scollosis. Orthop. Trans. 3(1979): 52.
- Sells, Clifford & May, Eleanor Scoliosis Screening. American Journal of Nursing January (1974): 60-62.

Shifrin, Louis. Recognizing Scoliosis Early. AFP 4:6(1971): 76-82.

Shneerson, J. The Effects of Spinal Fusion and of Preoperative Physical Training or Exercise Ability in Scoliosis. <u>Scoliosis</u>. London: Academic Press (1980): 169-174.

Siegler, D. Does Spinal Fusion Benefit Lung Function in the Long Term? <u>Scollosis</u>. London: Academic Press (1980): 175-179.

h

20 20 Simmons, R.G et al. Disturbances in the Self-Image at Adolescence. Am. Soc. Rev. 38(1973): 553-568.

Smyrnis, P. & Valavonis, J. School Screening for Scoliosis in Athens. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 61:2(1979): 215-217.

Smyth, R.J., Chapman, K.R. & Wright, T.A. Ventilatory Patterns During Hypoxia, Hypercaphia, and Exercise in Adolescents with Mild & Scoliosis. <u>Pediatrics</u> 77:5(May, 1986): 692-697.

Stewart, Anita & Ware, John. The Meaning of Health. Medical Care 15:11(1976): 4 939-952.

- Tanner, J.M. Growth at Adolescence. 2nd ed. Oxford, England: Blackwell Scientific Publications, Ltd., 1962.
- Tessler, Richard & Mechanic, David. Psychological Distress and Perceived Health Status. Journal of Health and Social Behavior 19(1978): 254-262.
- Thorpe, L., Clark, W. & Tiegs, E. <u>California Test of Personality</u>. San Francisco: McGraw Hill, 1953.
- Thuibourne, T. & Gillespie, R. The Rib Hump in Idopathic Scoliosis. Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 58:1(1976): 64-71.
- Torell, Gunnar & Nordwall, Anders. The Changing Pattern of Scoliosis Treatment Due to Effective Screening. <u>Journal of Bone and Joint</u> Surgery 63:3(1981): 337-341.
- Urberg, K. & Robbins, R. Perceived Vulnerability in Adolescents to the Health Consequences of Cigarette Smoking. <u>Preventive Medicine</u> 13(1984): 367-376.
- Viviani, G. Assessment and Treatment of Mild Adolescent Scollosis. Modern Medicine of Canada 36:6(1981): 819-823.
- Viviani, Guillermo & Budgell, Lynn. Assessment of Accuracy of the Scoliosis School Screening Examination. <u>AJPH</u> 74:5(1984): 497-498.
- Walker, A. & Dickson, Robert. School Screening and Pervic Tilt. Scollosis. The Lancet July 21 (1994): 152-154.

Wallace, Asha. A Scoliosis Screening Program. Journal of School Health December (1977): 619-620.

- Ware, John. Scales for Measuring General Health Perceptions. <u>Health</u> <u>Services Research</u> Winter (1976): 396-413.
- Warren, Michelle & Brooks, Gunn. Scoliosis and Fractures in Young Bailet Dancers. NEJM 314:21(1986): 1348-1353.
- Wear, Roland. Identifying the Most Cost-Effective Employee Preventive Health Programs. <u>AMA Forum</u> May (1984): 34-35.
- Weinstein, S.L. & Ponseti, T. Curve Progression in Idiopathic Scoliosis. J. Bone and Joint Surg. 65A: (April, 1983): 447-455.
- Westwood, M. The Health of Canadian Youth: A Developmental Perspective. Health Promotion Winter (1986): 2-5.
- Wickers, Frank & Bunch, Winton. Psychological Factors in Failure to Wear the Milwaukee Brace for Treatment of Idiopathic Scoliosis. Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research 126(1977): 62-66.
- Whitby, L.G. Screening for Disease. The Lancet October (197 819-821.
- Willner, Stig. A Comparative Study of the Efficiency of Different Types of School Screening for Scoliosis. <u>Acta Orthop. Scand:</u> 53(1982): 769-774.
- Woodward, C., Chambers, L. & Smith, K. <u>Guide to Improved Data</u> <u>Collection in Health Care Surveys</u>. Hamilton, Ontario: Canadian Public Health Assoc., 1982.
- Wright, B. Physical Disability: A Psychological Approach. New York: Harper and Row, 1960.

Wypine Elizabeth. Scoliosis: To Screen or Not to Screen. <u>Canadian</u> Journal of Public Health July/August (1984): 277-280.

#### Papers and Reports

Adair, I.V. & van Wijk, M.C. (1977). The Evaluation of Moire Topography as a Method for Scollosis Screening Programmes.

- Fiverth, M.A. (1983). The Reliability of Scoliosis Screening and the Effects of Inclinometry as a Basis for Decision-Making. Paper Presented to the American Academy of Paediatrics, San Francisco.
- Health and Welfare Canada (1981). <u>The Health of Canadians: Report of</u> <u>the Canada Health Survey</u>. <u>Minister of Supply and Services</u>, <u>Canada</u>.
- Howell, James (1984). <u>A Brief History of Scoliosis Screening in</u> Edmonton. A Paper Presented at the Glenrose Hospital, November 9, 1984.
- Howell, James (1983). <u>Scoliosis Screening One Program's Results</u>. A Paper Presented at the University of Saskatchewan, November 14, 1983.
- Howell, James & Craig, Phyllis (1980). Program Implications of Evaluation of Scollosis Screening. A Paper Presented to the 1980 Conference of the Canadian Public Health Association.
- Howell, James & Craig, Phyllis (1980). Report of Phase III (1979) Scoliosis Screening Programme. Edmonton Local Board of Health.
- Mowat, David (1983). Quality of Evaluation of Screening Programs for Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis. A Paper Presented at the Meeting of the Canadian Association of Teachers of Social and Preventive Medicine held during the Annual Conference of the Canadian Public Health Association.
- Nachemson, A., Lonstein, J. & Weinstein, S. (1982). Report of the Prevalence and Natural History Committee.
- Tanner, J.M. Some Main Features of Normal Growth in Children. Proceedings of a Third Symposium on Scollosis Held at Brompton Hospital, London, 1971.
- Verrier, Molly, Shillington, E., Wright, T., Crawford, J. & Williams, J. (1979). Comparing Methods of Screening for Scoliosis: Testing for Reliability and Validity. A Research Report.
- Wilson, J.M. (1965). <u>Some Principles of Early Diagnosis and</u> <u>Detection</u>. Paper Presented at Proceedings of Golloquium held at <u>Magdalen College</u>, Oxford.



1986 04 18

Dear Parent or Guardian:

As you are aware, the Edmonton Board of Health carries out screening for scoliosis (curvature of the spine) as part of the school health program for girls in grade six. Over the years Edmonton has been involved in a number of studies to learn more about scoliosis. We need to ask your co-operation for just a few minutes to help us increase this knowledge.

We are particularly interested at this time in looking at some of the feelings and opinions girls this age have about their health and comparing results among girls who have been screened for scoliosis and those who have not. This information will help us learn more about how this age group view their health and will enable us to gain more information on the effects of scoliosis screening.

Your daughter was randomly selected to participate from among the group of students screened by public health nurses in April, 1985. It would be very much appreciated if she could complete the enclosed questionnaire and return it in the self-enclosed envelope, by May 2nd, 1986. Since we want to know the student's feelings and opinions, please encourage your daughter to complete the questionnaire by herself. All answers will of course be treated to a confidential manner and used only for this research.

By ensuring the questionnaire is promptly returned, you can help us complete the project efficiently. If you do not wish your daughter to participate, please understand that this will in no way effect the quality or provision of services offered by the Edmonton Board of Health.

Thank you very much.

Karen Mills, R.N., M.H.S.A. Director of Nursing

KM/al Encl.

# APPENDIX B: LETTER OF EXPLANATION TO PARENT OR GUARDIAN (STURGEON HEALTH UNIT)



- 145 -

# stargeon health unit

BOX 174 23 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL AVENUE ST. ALBERT ALBERTA T8N 1N3 TELEPHONE: 459-6671

1986 04 02

Dear Parent or Guardian:

We need to ask your co-operation for just a few minutes to help us with a project we are participating in with the Edmonton Board of Health.

Since 1979 the Edmonton Board of Health has been involved in a pilot project to learn more about screening girls for a condition known as scoliosis or curvature of the spine. During this time Edmonton has undertaken a number of studies to increase existing knowledge on scoliosis. At the present time they are particularly interested in looking at some of the feelings and opinions girls in the seventh grade have about their health and comparing, results among girls who have been screened for scoliosis, and those who have not. This information will help us learn more about now this age group view their health and will enable us to gain more information on the effects of scoliosis screening.

Your daughter was randomly selected to participate from among grade seven girls attending St. Albert School District #6. It would be very much appreciated if she could complete the enclosed questionnaire and return it in the self-addressed envelope by <u>May 2nd. 1986</u>. Since we want to know the student's feelings and opinions, please encourage your daughter to complete the questions by herself. All answers will of course be treated in a confidential manner and used only for this research.

By ensuring the questionnaire is promptly returned, you 'can help us complete the project efficiently. If you do not wish your Gaughter to participate, please understand that this will norway affect the quality or provision of services offered by the Sturgeon Health Unit.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Janet L. Thorpe, R.N., B.Sc.N. Director of Nursing

1 trul Cal

JLT:sm

Encl.

### APPENDIX C: QUESTIONNAIRE

- 147 -

### APPENDIX C

#### Dear Student:

To help us learn more about how girls your age feel about their health, it would be very much appreciated if you could complete this questionnaire. We are especially interested in gaining more information about a screening program that checks the backs of girls for a curvature of the spine (scoliosis). Answers will be compared among girls who have been checked for a curvature of the spine and those who have not. Since we want to know your feelings and opinions, it is important that you answer the questions by yourself. Your answers will not be shown to anyone else.

PLEASE PUT YOUR COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED AND MAIL BACK TO THE STURGEON HEALTH UNIT BY MAY 2, 1986.

Thank you for your time and help with this project.

### Questionnaire

First I would like to ask you some questons about your health. Please place a check-mark ( $\checkmark$ ) beside the answer you choose for each question.

1. Compared to other girls your age would you say your health is --

- 1 () Excellent
- 2 ( ) Very good
- 3 ( ) Good
- 4 ( ) Fair
- 5 ( ) Poor
- 2. Has a doctor or nurse ever checked your back for a curvature of the spine (scoliosis)?
  - 1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No 3 ( ) Don't Know
- 3. Have you been told you have a curvature of the spine (scollosis)?
  - 1 ( ) Yes
  - 2 ( ) No
  - 3 ( ) Don't Know

,

4. Do you have any long term health problems that require you to see a doctor once in a while? By long term I mean a health problem that has lasted or will probably last 6 months or longer.

1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 6

5. Please name the health problem(s) \_\_\_\_\_ (Name as many as you want to )

6. Were you injured at any time during the last 3 months?

1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 8

7. Was this injury very serious?

1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No Y.

8. Were you sick at any time during the last 3 months?

1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 10

- 9. Was this illness very serious?
  - 1 ( ) Yes \_ 2 ( ) No
- 10. During the last 3 months did you spend all or part of any days in bed because you were sick?
  - 1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 12
- Please check (√) the number of days you specific bed during the last 3 months because you were sick ---
  - 1 () 2 days or less 2 () 3 to 7 days 3 () 8 to 14 days 4 () 15 to 21 days 5 () 22 to 28 days 6 () More than 4 weeks
- 12. Have you been absent from school during the last 3 months because you were sick?
  - 1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 14
- 13. Please check ( $\checkmark$ ) the number of days you were absent from school during the last 3 months because you were sick --

୍ୱ

- 1 ( ) 2 days or less 2 ( ) 3 to 5 days 3 ( ) 6 to 10 days 4 ( ) 11 to 15 days 5 ( ) 16 to 20 days
- 6 ( ) More than 4 weeks

14. Most of the time would you say you're --

1 () Very happy

- 2 () Happy
- 3 () Somewhat happy
- 4 () Very unhappy

15. Have you been bothered by nervousness, for example, by being irritable, fidgety and tense?

ò

151

\$

- 1 () Never
- 2 () Sometimes
- 3 ( ) Often

The next few questions are about your health and the activities you do.

- 16. Are any of your activities reduced or restricted because of a health problem?
  - 1 ( ) Yes 2 ( ) No --> Go to 18

4

17. Place a check mark (v) beside any of the following activities that are reduced or restricted for you because of a health problem. Choose as many activites as you need to. For each activity you choose, name the health problem that has affected the activity and the length of time the activity has been reduced or restricted. Write your answers beside the activity in the space provided.

				*	d aper	Length o	fTime	
	<del></del>	Activities			Health Rroblem	S 14 1	h <b>as been</b> & Restricted	
I (	)	Housework						
?(	<b>)</b>	Recreational (such as skill swimming, go bicycling, etc	ng, skating, Ifing,					
(	)	Téam sports ( basketball, vo baseball, etc.	olleyba <b>l</b>					
(	)	Dancing		ŀ				•

5( ) Jögging or Running 6( ) Other, please name Now I'd like to know some of the feelings yoù have and opinions you've been given about your health. Please check (v) the answer you feel is most correct for each statement.

ĺγ.

18.		Definitely True	Mostly True	Don't Know	Mostly Faise	Definitely False	
a) •	According to the doctors I've seen, my health is now excellent	()	()	()	()	· · ( )	
ь)	l feel better now than I ever have before	()	()	()	( )	( ) ( ) ( )	مس
c)	l am somewhat ill	()	()	()	()	()	
d)	I'm not as healthy now as I used to b	() e	· ()	()	()	( <sub>x</sub> )	
e)	I'm as healthy as, anybody I know	()	() <sup>1</sup> .	( ) Pi	()	()	c
f)	My health is excellent	()	()	()	( )	, ().	
"g)	I have been feeling bad lately	g ()	()	()	. ()	( )	
<b>h)</b>	Doctors say that I am now in poor health	()	()	()	()	( )	
. I)	l feel about as good now as I ever have	()	()	()	。 <b>( )</b>	( )	- Sa
19.		Definitely T <sub>u</sub> rue	- Mostly T <sub>i</sub> rue	Don't K now	Mostly Faise	Definitely False	
a)	l will probably be sick a lot in the future	( )	()	( )	ана У <b>СО</b> У	()	•
∙ b <b>}</b>	In the near future, I expect to have better health than other people I know	()		()	( ).	()	:

152

3

**A**T

	(Cont'd)	True	Mostly True	Don't Know	Mostly False	Definitely False
c)	I expect to have a very healthy life		()	`()	()	$\mathbf{O}$
.d)	I think my health will be worse in the future than it is now		• ( )	<b>( )</b>	<b>()</b>	•
20.				•		
a)	l never worry about my health	()	()	<b>()</b>	( <b>0</b> )	()
b)	l worry about my health more than other people worry about their health			( )	()	<b>(</b> )
c)	My health is a concern in my life	C	(`)	()	()	()
d)	Others seem more concerned about their health than I am about mine	C	()	( )	Ó	$\mathbf{C}$

Finally a question about yourself. Some curyes of the spine change around the time girls have their first menstrual period. Because we would like to learn as much as we can about scollosis or curvature of the spine, it is important to know if girls taking part in this study have had their first menstrual period. Please place a checkmark ( $\checkmark$ ) beside the answer you choose.

्री

21. Have you had your first menstrual period?

• \$

1

.

- 1 () Yes
- 2 ( ) No

ę i

3 ( ) Don't Know

Any comments you would like to make about this questionnaire would be most helpful.

Comments:

19

i.

V

c. **THANK-YOU!** 

a'r



### APPENDIX D

# Internal-Consistency Reliability Coefficients for Eight HPQ Scales (Four Field Tests)

۰.,

ъ.

8

Ĵ

Scale	No. of Items in		Internal-Consistency Coefficient				
	Scale	Low	`High	Median	· 🕲 : :		
Current Health	9	0.89	0.92	0.91			
Prior Health	3	0.70	0.79	0.73			
Resistance/ Susceptibility	4	0.58	0.80	0.71			
Health Outlook	4	0.64	0.79	0.75			
Health Worry/ Concern	4	0.45	0.62	0.60			
Sickness Orientetion	2	0.46	0-61	0.59			
Rejection of Sick Role	4	0.54	0.60	0.59	je Bili se Bili se Bi		
Attitude Toward Going to Doctor	2	0.62	0.79	0.67	ð		

- 155

2

Ø



### Edmonton Local Board of Health

Community Health Services for the Edmonton Health District

Sinte 5067 (0246) (24 Street Midmonton, Alberta (TSN 433) -Telephone (403) 482, 1965

1986 04 04

Ð

Dr. Tom Blowers Director of Monitoring Education and Program Review Edmonton Public School Board 1 Kingsway EDMONTON, Alberta T5H 028

Dear Dr. Blowers:

H would like to inform you of a research project entitled: "A Survey of the Health Perceptions and Illness Behaviour of Adolescent Girls Screened for Scoliosis", which the Edmonton Board of Health has agreed to support.

As you are aware, the Edmonton Board of Health carries out scoliosis screening for girls in grade VI. Since 1979, when the screening was included as part of the school health program, Edmonton has been involved in investigating a number of areas pertinent to increasing knowledge on scoliosis and scoliosis screening. This particular study, which is a thesis project, focuses on identifying perceptions of personal health and illness behaviour of girls in grade VII. Results will be compared among grade VII girls in Edmonton who have been screened for scoliosis and grade VII girls in the St. Albert area, who for the most part, have not been exposed to a scoliosis screeing program. This information will help us learn more about how this age group view their health and will enable us to gain more information on effects associated with the scoliosis screening process.

Girls will be randomlý selected to participate from among the group of students screened by public health nurses in April, 1985. This will involve approximately 150 girls. To facilitate locating these girls, questionnaires with an accompanying Tetter to the parent or guardian will be delivered to the appropriate school by public health nurses during the week of 1986 04 21. Envelopes containing this information will be sealed and addressed to the parents or guardians of students selected to participate in the study. The only involvement that may be requested of the school, would be the distribution of these envelopes to the designated students. The questionnaires are to be completed at home and mailed back to the Edmonton Board of Health in envelopes provided for this purpose, by 1986-05 02.

The research proposal has been enclosed for your information. If you have any questions regarding this project, please contact me.

Yours sincerely,

J. M. Howell, MB, MFCM, FRCPC Medical Officer of Health

BJ/1mp Enc.

## APPENDIX F: LETTER OF EXPLANATION TO

## EDMONTON CATHOLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT

159

## Edmonton Local Board of Health

Community Health Services for the Edmonton Health District

Stute 500, 10216 - 124 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, 15N 4A3 Telephone (403) 482 (965)

1986 04 04

Mr. William G. Hart, Superintendent of Student Services Edmonton Catholic School District St. Kevin's School 10005 - 84 Street EDMONTON, Alberta

T6A 3P8

Dear Mr. Hart:

I would like to inform you of a research project entitled: "A Survey of the Health Perceptions and Illness Behaviour of Adolescent Girls Screened for Scoliosis", which the Edmonton Board of Health has agreed to support.

As you are aware, the Edmonton Board of Health carries out scoliosis screening for girls in grade VI. Since 1979, when the screening was included as part of the school health program, Edmonton has been involved in investigating a number of areas pertinent to increasing knowledge on scoliosis and scoliosis screening. This particular study, which is a thesis project, focuses on identifying perceptions of personal health and illness behaviour of girls in grade VII. Results will be compared among grade VII girls in Edmonton who have been screened for scoliosis and grade VII girls in the St. Albert area, who for the most part, have not been exposed to a scoliosis screeing program. This information will help us learn more about how this age group view their health and will enable us to gain more information on effects associated with the scoliosis screening process.

Girls will be randomly selected to participate from among the group of students screened by public health hurses in April, 1985. This will, involve approximately 150 girls. To facilitate locating these girls, questionnaires with an accompanying letter to the parent or guardian will be delivered to the appropriate school by public health nurses during the week of 1986 04 21. Envelopes containing this information will be sealed and addressed to the parents or guardians of students selected to participate in the study. The only involvement that may be requested of the school, would be the distribution of these envelopes to the designated students. The questionnaires are to be completed at home and mailed O back to the Edmonton Board of Health in envelopes provided for this purpose, by 1986 05 02.

The research proposal has been enclosed for your information. If you have any questions regarding this project, please contact me.

Yours sincerely,

BJ/lmp Enc.

J. M. Howell, MB, MFCM, FRCPOS Medical Officer of Health



APPENDIX G

161

# sturgeon health unit

BOX 174, 23 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL AVENUE, ST. ALBERT, ALBERTA TBN 1N3 TELEPHONE: 459-6671

1986 04 02 '

Mr. Lawrence Beaudry Superintendent St. Albert Protestant Separate School District #6 60 Sir Winston Churchill Avenue St. Albert, Alberta T8N 0G4

Dear Mr. Beaudry:

I would like to inform you of a research project entitled: "A Survey of the Health Perceptions and Illness Behavior of Adolescent Girls Screened for Scoliosis", which the Sturgeon Health-Unit will be participating in with the Edmonton Board of Health.

Since 1979 the Edmonton Board of Health has been involved in a pilot project to learn more about screening girls for the condition known as scoliosis or curvature of the spine. During this time they have investigated a number of areas pertinent to increasing knowledge on scoliosis and scoliosis screening. This particular study, which is a thesis project, focuses on identifying perceptions of personal health and illness behavior of girls in grade seven. Results will be compared among grade seven girls in Edmonton who have been screened for scoliosis and grade seven girls in the St. Albert area, who for the most part, have not been exposed to a scoliosis screening program. This information will help us learn more about how this age group view their health and will enable us to gain more information on effects associated with the scoliosis screening process.

Sixty girls, representing the control group, will be randomly selected from among grade seven girls attending public schools in the St. Albertarea. To facilitate locating these, it's, questionnaires with an accompanying letter to the parent or guardian will be delivered to the appropriate school by community health nurses the week of April 21, 1986. Envelopes containing this information will be sealed and addressed to the parents or guardians of students selected to participate in the study, The only involvement that may be requested of the school, would be the distribution of these envelopes to the designated students. The questionnaires are to be completed at home and mailed back to the Sturgeon Health Unit in envelopes provided for this purpose by May 2nd, 1986. The research proposal has been enclosed for your information. If you have any questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact me.

- 2 -

Yours sincerely,

Dr. K. W. Hodgins

i.

• . 4

Medical Officer of Health

KWH:sm

1

4

Encl.

2, 4

## APPENDIX H: SUMMARY OF ADOLESCENT GIRLS COMMENTS

 $\mathfrak{O}$ 

## IN RELATION TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE

- 163 -

#### APPENDIX H

164

### Summery of Adolescent Girls' Comments in Relation to the Questionnaire

Any comments you would like to make about this questionnaire would be most helpful.

1. <u>Referral 'Category (26 Respondents)</u>:

(61%) 16 - No comments

(11%) 3 - Commented they liked the questionnaire

- (8%) 2 Commented they had slight curvatures of the spine that did not cause them concern or restrict activities.
- (4%) 1 Commented more people from school should receive the questionnaire and a nurse should explain scollosis as it was regarded as a big concern.
- (4%) 1 Commented she had answered the questionnaire with her dad.
- (4%) 1 Commented the questionnaire should have included feelings in regard to having or not having scoliosis.
- (4%) 1 Commented she had been having cramps lately which was probably related to the start of menstruation.
  - (4%) 1 Inquired as to what would be done with the information on the questionnaire.
- 2. Recheck Category (54 Respondents):

(65%) 35 - No comments

- (9%) 5 Commented they liked the questionnaire.
- (9%) 5 Commented on the importance of learning more about scoliosis.
- (2%) 1 Commented on the similarity of the questions.
- (2%) 1 Commented on how much she appreciated her school nurse who had taught her "a lot" about scoliosis.

 (2%) 1 - Inquired if answering the questionnaire indicated she had scollosis. "My family doctor said I didn't and the nurse at school said she doesn't know".

- (2%) 1 Inquired how health affected scollosis.
- (2%) 1 Indicated her curvature of the spine had not restricted any activities or affected her health.
- (2%) 1 Commented that her father is a chiropractor and they are aware of these types of problems.
- (28) 1 Clarified response to Question 1. Indicated experienced dizziness and pains in side.
- (2%) 1 Commented that her curvature of the spine may be a result of daily skating.
- (2%) 1 Commented that when you have scoliosis like she does there is a tendency to be tired frequently and have many colds.
- 3. Negative Category (49 Respondents):
  - (61%) 30 No Comments
  - ( 6%) 3 Commented they liked the questionnaire.
  - (4%) 2 Inquired as to why they had been chosen to participate in the study.
  - (48) 2 Inquired about specific menstrual problems.
  - (2%) 1 Commented she thought the questionnaire was a good idea.
  - (2%) 1 Commented she thought it was good to check girls to help prevent scollosis.
  - (2%) 1 Requested more information being made available to her on scoliosis.
  - (2%) 1 Commented that the questionnaire had helped her to take a good look at herself and made her more aware of herself and her friends.
  - (2%) 1 Indicated she had made a few mistakes on the questionnaire.
  - (2%) 1 Indicated she did not feel healthy because she was overweight.

- (2%) 1 Indicated the only health problem she had was severe cramps with her periods.
- (2%) 1 Clarified which grade, she was presently in.
- (2%) 1 Indicated that the question regarding menstruation was "none of your business".
- (2%) 1 Inquired as to why the questionnaire was sent out.
- (2%) 1 Commented she was happy that nurses checked girls for scoliosis as one of her friends was found to have scoliosis.
- (2%) 1 Commented she thought it was good that people are concerned with childrens' health and "are trying to stop diseases like scoliosis".
- 4. <u>Control Category (49 Respondents):</u>
  - (67%) 33 No Comments

**~**,\*\*

- (.6%) 3 Commented on the importance of learning more about scoliosis.
- (48) 2 Clarified answers to specific questions.
- (48) 2 Inquired as to how they were chosen to participate in the study.
- (28) 1 Commented she liked the questionniare.
- (2%) 1 Indicated she had been checked for scollosis by her physician a few weeks previous to receiving the questionnaire.
- (2%) 1 Commented that she never really thinks about her health.
- (2%) 1 Indicated that Question #14 should have included "unhappy" and "okay" in the choices.
- (2%) 1 Clarified her age.
- (2%) 1 Commented that the questionnaire was a good idea but couldn't see the significance of being asked about the start of menstruation.
- (2%) 1 Inquired about scoliosis.
- (2%) 1 Indicated she had been checked and informed about scollosis.
- (28) 1 Commented that some of the questions were difficult to answer.