# Escherichia coli (ATCC® 13706<sup>™</sup>) is Susceptible to Retapamulin, an Antimicrobial Semisynthetic Derivative of Pleuromutilin



Morgan Vercholuk, Mariola Janowicz, and Carla Craveiro Salvado Concordia University of Edmonton, Edmonton, Alberta

#### BACKGROUND

Antibiotic resistance is a global threat to human health and is increasing at unprecedented rates. Bacterial infections are becoming more difficult to treat<sup>1</sup>.

Wastewater (WW) is identified as a reservoir for pathogens that carry antibiotic resistant genes. Additionally, WW contains traces of antibiotics which can lead to resistance of multiple classes of antibiotics<sup>2,3</sup>.

*Escherichia coli (E. coli)* (strain ATCC 13706) is commonly found in WW and has the potential to become resistant to antibiotics. Previously, retapamulin exhibited antimicrobic effects against Gram-positive bacteria methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)<sup>4</sup> and Gram-negative *H. influenzae*<sup>5</sup>.

#### **OBJECTIVE & HYPOTHESIS**

Given the inhibitory effects of retapamulin on Gram-positive and negative bacteria, we explored the antimicrobial properties of retapamulin on *E. coli* (strain ATCC 13706). We hypothesized that retapamulin inhibits the growth and survival of *E. coli*.



Figure 1: Kirby Bauer disk diffusion set-up. Retapamulin (R) was the test solution. Ciprofloxacin (C) and levofloxacin (L) were used as positive controls and DMSO (D) as a negative control.

We also conducted a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay (Figure 2) as well as a minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) test to determine the inhibitory and lethal concentrations of retapamulin on *E. coli*.

## $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 13 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 13 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 13 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 13 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 13 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ m_{m} & 1 & 1$

Figure 2: MIC assay set-up. A 1:2 serial dilution was performed using test tubes 1 to 10 with a  $25,000 \ \mu g/ml$  stock solution. Test tubes 11 to 13 were used as the positive and negative controls.



Figure 3: Antibiotic susceptibility of the test organisms when subjected to various concentrations of retapamulin for 24 hours. Results determined by the Kirby Bauer antibiotic assays (Average mm, n=3) (p-value =  $4.73 \times 10^{-58}$ ).

Table 1: MIC of *E. coli* after being subjected to various concentrations of retapamulin for a 24 h incubation period at 37°C. Positive (+) = turbidity indicating growth of *E. coli*, whereas negative (-) = inhibition of *E. coli* (p-value = 0).

Retapamulin (µg/ml)	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	
500	-	-	-	
250	-	-	-	⊒◄
125	+	+	+	
62.5	+	+	+	
31.25	+	+	+	
15.63	+	+	+	
7.81	+	+	+	
3.91	+	+	+	
1.96	+	+	+	
0.98	+	+	+	

**Table 2:** Observed MBC of *E. coli* after being subjected to various concentrations of retapamulin based on the MIC results for a 24 h incubation period at 37°C. Positive (+) = visible colonies of *E. coli*, whereas negative (-) = no visible colonies of *E. coli* (p-value = 0).

Retapamulin (µg/ml)	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	
500	-	-	-	🕇 🗕 мвс
250	-	+	+	
125	+	+	+	
62.5	+	+	+	
31.25	+	+	+	
15.63	+	+	+	
7.81	+	+	+	

#### DISCUSSION

This study shows that *E. coli* (strain ATCC 13706) is inhibited by retapamulin. The inhibition of *E. coli* growth increases with increasing concentrations of retapamulin starting at 500 µg/ml, however, inhibition plateaus at 2500 µg/ml (Figure 3). Although the concentrations are different in other bacterial species as observed in previous studies, they show similar inhibition profiles to *E. coli*<sup>§</sup>.

The observed MIC value for retapamulin against the *E. coli* strain tested in this study is 250 µg/ml (Table 1). Previously, it was observed that *H. influenzae* has a MIC with a range of 0.25-2 µg/ml. In comparison, *E. coli* requires a higher dose of retapamulin to inhibit the growth<sup>6</sup>.

The observed MBC value for retapamulin against the *E. coli* strain tested in this study is 500  $\mu$ g/ml (Table 2). The MBC values have not been published for other Gram-negative bacterial species (i.e., *H. influenzae, B. fragilis,* and *M. catarrhalis*). The MBC value is higher than the MIC value because we need a higher concentration of retapamulin to kill the bacterium versus to inhibit its growth.

### CONCLUSION

In this study, *E. coli* (strain ATCC 13706) displayed susceptibility to retapamulin. Further studies are required to determine cytotoxicity of retapamulin. Proper documentation can be retained to study antibiotic resistant *E.coli* with similar sequences to the strain I tested to determine if they will be resistant or susceptible to retapamulin.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. Carla Craveiro Salvado and Dr. Mariola Janowicz for overseeing my project. As well as Ms. Emily Pollock and Mr. Devin Hughes for technical support and order management. I would also like to acknowledge and thank CUE Research and Faculty Development Committee for funding this project. I also want to acknowledge and thank the Department of Biology and Environmental Sciences at CUE for additional funding, as well as in-kind donations and laboratory space.

#### REFERENCES

[WHO] World Health Organization. 2020. Antibiotic resistance. 2020. Geneva (CH): World Health Organization; [Accessed 2021 April 7] https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antibiotic-resistance

<sup>2</sup>Karkman A, Do TT, Walsh F, Virta MPJ. 2018. Antibilotic-resistance genes in wastewater. Trends in microbiology. 26(3):220-228 <sup>3</sup>Wang M, Chen H, Liu S, Xiao L. 2021. Removal of pathogen and antibiotic resistance genes from waste activated sludge by different pre-treatment.

approaches. Science of the Total Environment. 763:143014. Candel FJ, Morales G, Picazo JJ. 2011. In vitro activity of retapamulin against linezolid and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates. Rev Esp Divinious 20(2):127-20(2):127-20(2):127-20(2):128-

Quinitioter. 24(3):1272-130. <sup>6</sup> Odou MF, Muller C, Calvet L, and Dubreuil L. 2007. In vitro activity against anaerobes of retapamulin, a new topical antibiotic for treatment of skin

infections. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 59:646-651 <sup>6</sup> Rittenhouse S, Biswas S, Broskey J, McCloskey L, Moore T, Vasey S, West J, Zalacain M, Zonis R, Payne D. 2006. Selection of retapamulin, a novel pleuromulin for topical use. Antimicrobia Hgents and Chemotherapy. 50(11):3882-3885.