INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

ProQuest Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA 800-521-0600

UMI®

University of Alberta

Processing Japanese Adnominal Structures: An empirical study of native and non-native speakers' strategies

by



A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Linguistics

Edmonton, Alberta

Spring 2002



National Library of Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions et services bibliographiques

395, rue Weilington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

Your Ne Votre rélérance

Our life Notre référence

The author has granted a nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of this thesis in microform, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission. L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

0-612-68558-6



University of Alberta

Library Release Form

Name of Author: Satomi Currah

Title of Thesis: Processing Japanese Adnominal Structures: An empirical study of native and non-native speakers' strategies

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy

Year this Degree Granted: 2002

Permission is hereby granted to the University of Alberta Library to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves all other publication and other rights in association with the copyright in the thesis, and except as herein before provided, neither the thesis nor any substantial portion thereof may be printed or otherwise reproduced in any material form whatever without the author's prior written permission.

Saton Curreb

Snagwood (SW 1654 19 W4) Box 65 Lamont AB. TOB 2R0 Canada

Date: 041.15, 2002

University of Alberta

Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research for acceptance, a thesis entitled **Processing Japanese Adnominal Structures: An empirical study of native and non-native speakers' strategies** submitted by **Satomi Currah** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy**.

Gary D. Prideaux

n

Gary Libben

Hogan

Lois M. Stanford

Janice Brown

Jamice Brown

Joseph F. Kess

Date: 10 April 2002

This thesis is dedicated to Randy.

Abstract

In Japanese, noun phrases (NP) can be modified by preceding clauses (CL). In this study, four types of noun modifying constructions (NMC) are recognized. In the Regular Relative (RR), the NP is a part of the proposition that the CL expresses. In the Gapless Relative (GR), the NP is a part of the proposition of which an unexpressed verb is also a part. In the Complement (COMP), the NP is the name of what the CL denotes. In the Clause and Noun Host Type (CN), the NP is a name of a participant in the proposition expressed by the CL. Compared to English, the Japanese RR is equivalent to a relative clause and the COMP to a complement with a nominal head. A markedness relation, in terms of the resources required for processing, is proposed to characterize the distinction among the four types. In the absence of prior empirical studies investigating how speakers of Japanese comprehend NMCs, a series of experiments was designed to determine what kinds of cues are used by Japanese native speakers and English speaking learners of Japanese to comprehend NMCs. The experiments involved 23 Japanese native speakers and 11 English Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs) who were given sets of sentences containing the four types of NMC and were asked to rate them in terms of naturalness and ease of understanding. In addition, English JSLs did a translation task under a time constraint, and finally, both groups carried out a task in which reading speed and probe recognition times were measured. Native speakers use different processing resource domains as a cue, making distinction among the four types as follows: GR involves the largest resource domain and COMP the least, while CN and RR make intermediate demands on processing resources. The same cue is used by English JSLs; additional cues used by English JSLs are the elements involved in forming

cohesion between the CL and the NP, such as a gap, semantics of the NP, the NP's status in the proposition, and the number of roles the NP plays. Transfer also plays a role.

Acknowledgement

I am indebted to Dr. G.D. Prideaux for his patient guidance for many years; even after his official retirement, his supervision never stopped. This study was greatly influenced when I asked Dr. G. Libben for his co-supervision; he guided me until the end point of this study.

I have been very fortunate to have committee members who were willing to help me every step of the way. Dr. J. T. Hogan has always made himself available for discussion at each stage of the research. Dr. L. M. Stanford, with her constant moral support, especially prepared me for the final oral defense.

Dr. J. Brown, of the Department of East Asian Studies, University of Alberta, and Dr. J. F. Kess, of the Department of Linguistics, University of Victoria, gave me useful insights at the oral defense that have improved my thesis.

I would like to thank the administrators, D. Kudryk (retired) and D. Elliot, and the secretaries, M. Daily (retired) and J. Tomasovic, who guided me through the administrative aspects of my program.

I am truly grateful to the anonymous participants who kindly and willingly offered their time for this study. My work would not have been possible without them.

While I was a student at the Department of Linguistics, I made many interesting friends; to all of them, much gratitude for their companionship and conversation.

I thank the Department of Linguistics for giving me financial support in the form of teaching assistantships, research assistantships, and lectureships. Funds from the Social Science and Humanities Research Council of Canada (412-950006: The MCRI grant) allowed me to offer a small token of appreciation to the participants in my experiments.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
Overview	2
Chapter 2 Theories	4
Four types of Japanese noun modifying construction	5
Gap theory	5
Frame theory	9
Summary of differences among four types of NMC	13
The four-way distinction by de-relativization	13
NMC and English clausal modifier with a nominal head	16
Markedness	18
Markedness definition by learnability theory	20
Transfer	23
Obvious transfer	24
Transfer in Principles and Parameter theory	25
Markedness vs. transfer in Principles and Parameters theory	27
Interpretation of Gap, Frame, markedness and transfer	27
Processing interpretation of Gap	28
Processing interpretation of Frame	29
Processing interpretation of markedness	29
Processing interpretation of transfer	30
Research hypotheses	30
Organization of the experiments	31
Notes	32
	_
Chapter 3 Experiment 1 Off-line rating tasks	36
Objectives and rationale of the experiment	36
Experimental hypotheses	37
Method	38
Participants	38
Japanese native speakers	38
English Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs)	38
Stimuli	39
Assessment of the stimuli	40
Procedure	41
Part A: Naturalness rating (NR) task	41
Part B: Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating	
(EUR) task	42
Results	42
Statistical analyses	42
Naturalness rating (NR) by Japanese native speakers	43
Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating (EUR) by Japanese	
native speakers	44

Japanese native speakers: Naturalness and ease of understanding	
for English JSLs	45
Naturalness rating (NR) by English JSLs	49
Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating (EUR) by	
English JSLs	50
English JSLs: Naturalness and ease of understanding for	
English JSLs	51
Japanese native speakers vs. English JSLs	55
Ease of understanding rating	55
Naturalness rating	55
Summary of the results	57
Notes	59
Chapter 4 Experiment 2 Translation task under time constraint	61
Objective and rationale of the experiment	
Experimental hypotheses	63
Method	64
Participants	64
Stimuli	64
Procedure	65
Word test	65
Instructions	65
Target sentences and on-line dictionary	66
Trial sequence	68
Results	68
Data analyses	68
Evaluation procedure	68
Statistical analyses	69
Translation accuracy	69
Analyses of forms of correct responses	71
Forms of correct translation of COMP	73
Forms of correct translation of CN	74
Forms of correct translation of RR	75
Forms of correct translation of GR	76
Summary of strategies and comparison with	
translation accuracy	
Predicate-argument structures in the correct responses	79
Predicate-argument structures in the RR translations	80
Predicate-argument structures in the COMP translations	80
Predicate-argument structures in the CN translations	81
Predicate-argument structures in the GR translations	81
Summary of responses with change of original predicate-	
argument structures and comparison with translation	~~
accuracy	83
Summary of the results	84
Notes	85

Chapter 5 Experiment 3 On-line self-paced reading and probe recognition tasks.	86
Objectives and rationale of the experiment	.86
Experimental hypotheses	87
Method	88
Participants	88
Stimuli	89
Target stimuli	89
Distracters	90
Probes	91
Statements	91
Assessment of the stimuli	91
Procedure	91
Results	93
Transforming data	93
Statistical analyses	94
Overall reading times (ORT) by Japanese native speakers	94
Overall reading times (ORT) by English JSLs	95
Transition reading times of the segment immediately following	
the head noun (TRT) by Japanese native speakers	96
Transition reading times of the segment immediately following	
the head noun (TRT) by English JSLs	101
Probe recognition times (PRT) by Japanese native speakers	.104
Probe recognition times (PRT) by English JSLs	108
Summary of the results	110
Notes	112
Chapter 6 General discussion	.117
What is fundamental?	11/
Ternary markedness	.117
Binary markedness	.119
What is different between Japanese native speakers and English JSLS	.120
Japanese native speakers	.120
The off-line NR and EUR	.120
The correlation between two rating scales	.120
The on-line PR I	.120
i ne on-line i Ki	121
Summary of Japanese native speakers processing sensitivities.	.121
English JSLs	122
The off-line fating results	122
The off line ND	144
The completion between two rating scales	120 121
The correlation between two rating scales	.1.44
Summary of the on-the EOK and INK results from English ISI s	125
Eligiisti JOLS The semi on line TA	125
	.14J

Summary of the semi on-line TA results from English JSLs	126
The on-line self-paced reading and probe recognition results	126
The on-line ORT and PRT	126
The on-line TRT	1 26
The number of roles that construal of NMC	
types involves	.127
Ternary markedness	128
Transfer	129
Summary of the on-line ORT, PRT, and TRT results	
from English JSLs	.130
Summary of English JSLs' processing sensitivities	130
Where do the differences come from?	130
Why are Japanese native speakers insensitive and English speakers	
more sensitive to the relation between the constituents?	.131
NMC types in the mind of Japanese native speakers	.132
NMC equivalents in the mind of English speakers	.133
Source of (in)sensitivity	.133
Why do Japanese native speakers have a clearer idea of binary	
markedness?	.134
GR is doubly marked in Japanese	.134
GR is singly marked in English	135
Evaluation of the claims	.136
Gap theory	.136
Frame theory	.137
Markedness	.138
Transfer	.138
What do the different tasks reveal?	.139
Notes	.141
References	.142
Appendix 1 Word check sheet and the scores by 11 English JSLs	.146
Appendix 2 The participants' information	.147
2A. Japanese native speakers	.147
2B. English JSLs.	.148
Appendix 3 Sentences for rating tasks and list	.149
Appendix 4 Rating sheets	.153
4A. Naturalness rating sheet	.153
4B. Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating sheet	.154
Appendix 5 Rating scores by individual participants and ranking for each item.	.1 56
5A. Naturalness rating by 23 Japanese native speakers	1 56
5B. Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating by 23 Japanese native	
speakers	158
5C. Naturalness rating by 11 English JSLs	160
5D. Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating by 11 English JSLs	.161
Appendix 6 Instruction for the translation task	162

Appendix 7 Translation and the scores for 40 NMCs by 11 English JSLs	164
Appendix 8 Correct translation according to strategies	172
8A. Correct translation for COMP according to strategies	172
8B. Correct translation for CN according to strategies	173
8C. Correct translation for RR according to strategies	175
8D. Correct translation for GR according to strategies	176
Appendix 9 Correct translation for each item	178
9A. Responses for translation for RR stimuli and analyses for the response	es
involving change in the original predicate-argument structures	178
9B. Responses for translation for COMP stimuli and analyses for the	
responses involving change in the original predicate-argument	
structures	180
9C. Responses for translation for CN stimuli and analyses for the response	es
involving change in the original predicate-argument structures	183
9D. Responses for translation for GR stimuli and analyses for the response	ies
involving change in the original predicate-argument structures	185
Notes for Appendix 9	191
Appendix 10 The complete set of stimuli for self-timed reading and probe	
recognition tasks	193
10A. Version [a] and [b] sentences	193
10B. Version [c] sentences	197
10C. Version [d] sentences	199
10D. Statements	201
Appendix 11 The nouns in the fourth segments in version [a], [b],	
and [c] sentences	202
11A. Regular Relative (RR)	202
11B. Gapless Relative (GR)	202
11C. Clause and Noun Host Type (CN)	. 203
11D. Complement (COMP)	203
Appendix 12 Instruction for self-paced reading task	204

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Four types of NMC
Table 2-2 Distinction among four NMC types by Gap theory
Table 2-3 Distinction among four NMC types by Frame theory
Table 2-4 Distinction among four NMC types by Gap theory and Frame theory
Table 2-5 Distinction among four NMC types by de-relativization
Table 2-6 Corresponding donstruction types between English clausal modifier with
nominal head and Japanese NMC
Table 2-7 Distinction among English clausal modifiers with nominal head by Gap and
Frame theories
Table 2-8 Resources involved in association of modifying clause and head noun of
NMC
Table 2-9 Distinction among four types of NMC by markedness
Table 2-10 Distinction among four types of NMC by obvious transfer
Table 2-11 Distinction among four types of NMC by transfer in Principles and
Parameters
Table 2-12 Ease of processing prediction by Gap theory for Japanese native speakers
and English JSL
Table 2-13 Ease of retention prediction by Frame theory for Japanese native speakers
and English JSL
Table 2-14 Ease of processing prediction by markedness for Japanese native speakers
and English JSL
Table 2-15 Ease of processing prediction by transfer for English JSL
Table 2-16 Research hypotheses related to processing NMCs
Table 2-17 The order of experiments and chapters in this volume
Table 3-1 Experimental hypotheses tested in Experiment 3
Table 3-2 Mean values, standard deviations, and standard errors by participants for
maturalness rating on a scale of 1-5, 1 being least natural and 5 being the most
natural, for four construction types by 23 Japanese native speakers
Table 3-3 Mean values for ease of understanding for English JSLs rating on a scale
of 1-5, 1 being the most difficult and 5 being the easiest, for four construction
types by 23 Japanese native speakers
Table 3-4 Mean values for naturalness rating on a scale of 1-5, 1 being least natural
and 5 being the most natural, for four construction types by 11 English
Japanese as a second language learners
Table 3-5 Mean values for ease of understanding for English JSL rating on a scale
of 1-5, 1 being the most difficult and 5 being the easiest, for four construction
types by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners
Table 3-6 Summary of the results in Experiment 1: Rating tasks
Table 4-1 Experimental hypotheses tested in Experiment 2
Table 4-2 Sequence of a translation trial 67
Table 4-3 Mean values, standard deviations, and standard errors by participants for
translation accuracy for four construction types with 10 sentences each for
construction type by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners
Table 4-4 Summary of forms and frequency of translation responses for COMP
by 11 English JSLs
-,

Table 4-5 Strategies in correct translation for 10 COMP stimuli by 11 English JSLs	.74
Table 4-6 Summary of forms and frequency of translation responses for CN	
by 11 English JSLs	74
Table 4-7 Strategies in correct translation for 10 CN stimuli by 11 English JSLs	75
Table 4-8 Summary of forms and frequency of translation responses for RR	
by 11 English JSLs	75
Table 4-9 Strategies in correct translation for 10 RR stimuli by 11 English JSLs	.76
Table 4-10 Summary of forms and frequency of responses for GR	.76
Table 4-11 Strategies in correct translation for 10 GR stimuli by 11 English JSLs	.77
Table 4-12 Strategies in correct translation for CN, COMP, GR, and RR	_
with 10 stimuli for each construction type by 11 English JSLs	.77
Table 4-13 Relative case of expression measured by number of strategies and case of	-
comprehension measured by translation accuracy	/8
l able 4-14 Observed Irequencies of responses containing change of original	
predicate-argument structures and responses not containing change for each	8
Construction type by 11 English JSLs	.ல
radie 4-15 Kelauve ease of expression measured by number of change in original	
by translation accuracy	83
Table 4.16 Summary of the results in Experiment 2: Translation task	.a) 84
Table 51 Experimental hypotheses tested in Experiment 3	.07 88
Table 5-7 Experimental hypotheses tested in Experiment 5 interview. Table 5-2 Mean values (milliseconds) standard deviation and standard errors by	
narticinants for overall reading times of four construction types for 23 Jananese	
native speakers	.95
Table 5-3 Mean values (milliseconds) for overall reading times of four construction	
types for 11 English Japanese as a second language learners	96
Table 5-4 Mean values (milliseconds) for transition reading times of four	
construction types by 23 Japanese native speakers	97
Table 5-5 Mean values (milliseconds) for segment 2 reading times of four	
construction types by 23 Japanese native speakers	99
Table 5-6 Mean values (z-score) for transition reading times of four construction	
types for 11 English Japanese as a second language learners with 10 sentences	
for each construction type	102
Table 5-7 Mean values and mean difference (milliseconds) between probe	
recognition times of four construction types and two syntactic locations	
(head noun or noun in the modifying clause) of probe words for 23 Japanese	
native speakers	105
Table 5-8 Mean values and mean difference (milliseconds) between probe	
recognition times of four construction types and two syntactic locations	
(nead noun or noun in the modifying clause) of probe words for 11 English	100
Japanese as a second language learners	100
recognition tasks	111
Table 6.1 Recourses involved in accoustion of modifying clause and head noun of	
Table 6-1 Resources involved in association of modifying clause and head noun of NMC	118

Table 6-2 Roles played by head nouns in relating the head noun and modifying	
clause of four NMC types	.128
Table 6-3 Resources involved in association of modifying clause and head noun and number of roles head noun plays to relate head noun and modifying claus	e
of four NMC types	.128
Table 6-4 Suite of four NMC construction types in terms of relation between head noun and modifying cause and domain of resources for processing NMCs	132

.

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Subset grammar Y generates sentences that are a proper set of sentences
Einen 2.2	CD is marked with many the CNL DD, and COMD
Figure 2-2	GR is marked with respect to CN, RR, and COMP
rigure 2-3	are in subset relation
Figure 2-4	Relative clause and complement are unmarked members
Figure 3-1	Rating scores for ten GR sentences by 23 Japanese native speakers on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English ISI s
Eigung 2 3	Bating appears for ten CN contenance by 22 Japanage notive appearer on
rigure 5-2	the scales of networks and one of understanding for English ISLs. 47
Eigung 2.2	Deting appears for the COMP contraction by 22 Japanese patient spectrum of
rigure 3-3	Rating scores for ten COMP sentences by 25 Japanese native speakers on
-	the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs48
Figure 3-4	Rating scores for ten RR sentences by 23 Japanese native speakers on
	the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs
Figure 3-5	Rating scores for ten CN sentences by 11 English Japanese as a second
	language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding
	for English JSLs
Figure 3-6	Rating scores for ten COMP sentences by 11 English Japanese
-	as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of
	understanding for English JSLs
Figure 3-7	Rating scores for ten RR sentences by 11 English Japanese
•	as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of
	understanding for English JSLs
Figure 3-8	Rating scores for ten GR sentences by 11 English Japanese
9	as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of
	understanding for English JSLs
Figure 3-9	Ease of understanding rating by 11 English Japanese as a second
	language learners and 23 Japanese native speakers with ten sentences for
	each construction type
Figure 3-10	Naturalness rating by 11 English ISLs and 23 Japanese native speakers
g	with ten sentences for each construction type
Figure 4-1	Interconnection among NMC types in terms of the strategies to express
	them in English
Figure 5-1	Segment reading time for 23 Japanese native speakers
Figure 5-2	Segment reading time z-scores for 11 English Japanese as a second
	language learners
Figure 5-3	Probe recognition time for 23 Japanese native speakers with two
	syntactic locations of probe words in four Construction Types105
Figure 5-4	Probe recognition time for 11 English as a second language learners with
-	two syntactic locations of probe words in four Construction Types108
Figure 6-1	Ternary markedness: The three grammars that generate the GR, the RR,
-	the CN, and the COMP are in subset relations
Figure 6-2	Binary markedness: GR is marked with respect to RR, CN, and COMP119
-	

List of Abbreviations

ACC	accusative case marker
CL	modifying clause
CN	Clause and Noun Host Type
COMP	Complement
COP	copula
е	empty category, gap, trace
EUR	ease of understanding for English JSLs rating
GEN	genitive case marker
GR	Gapless Relative
Н	head noun
HN	head noun
JSL	Japanese as a second language learner
NCI	noun in the modifying clause
NEG	Negative marker
NMC	noun modifying construction, adnominal structures
NOM	nominative case marker
NOMNLZR	nominalizer
NR	naturalness rating
ORT	overall reading times
PASS	passive marker
PAST	past tense marker
pro	small pro, null anaphora
PRO	big pro, non-overt subject NP of non-finite clause
PRT	probe recognition times
Q	question marker
RR	Regular Relative
Seg	segment
TA	translation accuracy
ТОР	topic or theme marker
TRT	transition reading times
V	verb

Chapter 1

Introduction

A central feature of Japanese is that its noun phrases can be modified by preceding sentences, unlike English whose modifying sentences follow the head noun phrases. The following exemplify the range of such Japanese structures.

- (1-1) [hon'ya-de katta] <u>shinbun</u> bookstore-at bought newspaper <u>The newspaper</u> (I/ you/ he/ she) bought at the bookstore
- (1-2) [hon-o utta] <u>okane</u> book-ACC sold money <u>The money</u> (I/ you/ he/ she received as a consequence from) having sold the book
- (1-3) [jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u> accident-NOM occurred cause <u>The cause</u> resulting from which the accident occurred
- (1-4) [ageta] <u>jijitsu</u> gave fact <u>The fact</u> that (I/ you/ he/ she) gave (it) (to you/him/her)

These structures can all be represented syntactically as $[S NP]_{NP}$, indicating that the noun phrase is composed of a noun phrase head plus a preceding modifying clause. This configuration has been called the "adnominal" structure (Martin, 1975) and the "noun-modifying construction" (Matsumoto, 1997). The four subtypes exemplified above are superficially similar but have dramatically different functions and code very different types of semantic information. Moreover, of the four subtypes above, only two have direct English counterparts (i.e., (1-1) and (1-4)). Accordingly, English speakers learning Japanese might be expected to have more difficulty learning unfamiliar structures than the more familiar English parallels

This study deals with how Japanese speakers, native and non- native, process such noun phrases and whether processing differences exist among the subtypes.

The present study was designed to:

- 1. Characterize the four subtypes syntactically and semantically;
- 2. Investigate experimentally how Japanese native speakers differentiate and process such structures;
- 3. Investigate experimentally how non-native speakers differentiate and process such structures;
- 4. Evaluate the competing analyses and principles which have been offered for such structures, using evidence from 2 and 3.

Overview

This study involved two kinds of Japanese speakers: native Japanese speakers and English speakers who are learning Japanese as a second language. Four tasks involving reading, memory, translating, and rating were employed in order to cast light on how Japanese speakers respond to the four subtypes outlined above.

Chapter 2 first presents the structural and semantic characteristics of the four adnominal subtypes, which are the object of study. Next, a variety of theoretical perspectives which have been offered in the analysis of the subtypes are discussed. Finally, the research hypotheses to be investigated are presented.

Chapters 3, 4, and 5 report on a series of experiments focusing on the four subtypes of adnominal structures. In Experiment 1, participants were presented with sentences containing the key structures and asked to rate them in terms of naturalness and ease of understanding. In Experiment 2, non-native speakers of Japanese were asked to translate the target structures into English under time constraint. In Experiment 3, on-line processing of these structures was investigated by measuring the speed with which both native speakers and second language learners were asked to read sentences containing the four subtypes as quickly as possible. Their overall reading times were analyzed as well as reading times in critical syntactic locations. Finally, participants' sensitivity to differences among the types was measured by the speed of the recognition of a probe word presented after the sentence.

Chapter 6 brings together the results of the experiments and evaluates the impact of the findings on the characterization of adnominal constructions in Japanese. In

addition, the efficacy of competing theories to account for the processing results is analyzed. Finally, implications for future studies are discussed.

Chapter 2

Theories

In Japanese, there are four types of clausal modifications with a nominal head. Two of these have English counterparts known as the *relative clause* and the *complement clause* with nominal head. The other two have no English counterparts. These four "Japanese Noun-Modifying Constructions (NMC)" (Matsumoto, 1997) are similar in having a sentence-like modifier followed by a head noun. Theoretically, the four NMCs are distinct but whether, or the extent to which, speakers of Japanese discriminate among these categories is unknown. Consequently, the objective of this thesis was to investigate how speakers of Japanese distinguish among these NMCs.

It is well known that speakers are not aware of the processes involved in their language use. This "cognitive impenetrability" (Fodor, 1983, p.78) predicts that speakers of Japanese would not be aware of the linguistic and psycholinguistic distinctions among the four NMCs. Thus, a more appropriate question would be to ask how fundamentally sensitive speakers are to these distinctions and what characterizes this sensitivity.

In this study, the nature of sensitivity to the four types of Japanese nounmodifying construction (NMC) in the two groups of Japanese speakers (Japanese native speakers and English Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs)) was investigated, with the help of the following four theoretical concepts. The first two were Gap theory (Chomsky, 1981; Tsujimura, 1997) and Frame theory (Matsumoto, 1997), which theoretically distinguish the four types of NMC. The third concept was markedness (e.g., Battistella, 1996), which is defined by the relations among the four types. The fourth was the traditional notion of transfer in second language acquisition, which allows predictions about the relative ease of acquisition of certain forms. These four concepts are to be interpreted to make psychological predictions on relative difference in processing among the four types. In this chapter, these relevant concepts are surveyed.

Four Types of Japanese Noun-Modifying Construction

The term "Japanese noun-modifying construction" (NMC) refers to a clausal modification with a nominal head, symbolized here as: $[\dots,V]_{CL}$ N.¹ That is, the modifying clause ends with verbal elements,² and the head noun follows it.³ The four types of NMC, as found in Table 2-1, are the Regular Relative (RR), the Gapless Relative (GR), the Clause and Noun Host Type (CN), and the Complement (COMP).

Table 2-1 Four Types of NMC

NMC	Example			
RR (Regular	[hon'ya-de katta] <u>shinbun</u>			
Relative)	bookstore-at bought newspaper			
	The newspaper (I/ you/ he/ she) bought at the bookstore			
GR (Gapless	[hon-o utta] <u>okane</u>			
Relative)	book-ACC sold money			
	The money (I/ you/ he/ she received as a consequence from) having			
	sold the book			
CN (Clause	[jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u>			
and Noun	accident-NOM occurred cause			
Host Type)	The cause resulting from which the accident occurred			
COMP	[ageta] jijitsu			
(Complement)	gave fact			
	The fact that (I/ you/ he/ she) gave (it) (to you/him/her)			

Two distinct syntactic/ semantic theories have been formulated, and each provides unique characterizations for the four types of NMC. The first is Gap theory (e.g., Chomsky, 1981; Murasugi, 1993), and the second is Frame theory (Matsumoto, 1997). Each describes, in a unique way, the manner in which the modifying clause and the head noun are associated.

Gap Theory

Gap theory posits a syntactic gap in the RR, namely, a syntactic gap, a phonetically null element with a syntactic role.⁴ For example, in RR in Table 2-1 a gap is posited before the clausal verb *katta* ('bought'), and the gap is coreferential with the head noun *shinbun* ('newspaper'). This situation is illustrated by the tree below.



The gap indicated by the empty category e_i is coindexed with the head noun showing the sense that the head noun *hon* ('book') is a part of the embedded sentence. The gap occupies an argument position, in this case, the direct object NP⁶ of the verb *katta* ('bought') within the modifying clause.

Not only with an argument NP as in the example of RR in Table 2-1, can the head noun of RR be coindexed with an adjunct PP (Yamashita, 1995) of the verb.⁷ For example, in the example of RR in Table 2-1, a PP *hon'ya* ('bookstore') marked with the locative marker *de* can be found in the modifying clause to form a RR yielding (2-2), illustrated by the following tree.

(2-2) [shinbun-0 katta] <u>hon'ya</u> newspaper-ACC bought bookstore <u>The bookstore</u> at which (I/ you/ he/ she) bought newspaper

(2-3)



The critical feature of the RR is that the modifying clause and the head noun are associated by this syntactic relation, i.e., the gap, coreferential with the head noun has a syntactic relation (argument NP or adjunct PP) with the clausal verb.

The other subtypes do not exhibit this syntactic relation between the constituents. The first non-gap type is the Gapless Relative (GR) or "*relative clauses without gaps*" (Tsujimura, 1996, p. 266), exemplified in Table 2-1. In the example of the GR in Table 2-1, originally *okane* ('money') is neither an argument NP nor an adjunct PP of the clausal verb *utta* ('sold'). As the corresponding tree (2-4) illustrates, the modifying clause does not contain missing arguments.



The modifying clause is complete in the sense that all the NPs that are subcategorized for by the verb including the subject NP (filled by a null anaphora "pro") are present. In other words, the head noun does not have a syntactic relation with the verb. Rather, the relation between the head and the clause is a pragmatic one (Kitagawa, 1982; Matsumoto, 1990; Tsujimura, 1996). There is no gap in the clause and, thus, the name Gapless Relative has been proposed.⁸

The second non-gap type is the Clause and Noun Host Type (CN) exemplified in Table 2-1. Illustrated by (2-5), the modifying clause in the CN is again complete in the sense that the subject NP that is subcategorized for by the intransitive verb *okita* ('occurred') is present. The head noun *gen'in* ('cause') is not syntactically related to the clausal verb *okita* ('occurred'). *Jiko* ('accident') is an external argument (subject NP) of okita ('occurred'), but gen'in ('cause') is not. Therefore, there is no syntactically bound empty category in this type.



The third non-gap type, the Complement (COMP), illustrates an appositive relation between the head noun and the modifying clause. For example, in the example of the COMP in Table 2-1, *jijitsu* ('fact') is described by the modifying clause *ageta* ('gave'). Illustrated by (2-6), the modifying clause is complete in the sense that all the NPs that the verb 'give' subcategorizes (direct object NP, indirect object NP, and subject NP) are expressed by pro. There is no syntactic relation between *jijitsu* ('fact') and *ageta* ('gave'). Therefore, there is no gap in this type.⁹





In summary, Gap theory distinguishes between NMCs with a gap and with no gap.¹⁰ Only the RR belongs to the gap type, while the remaining three types belong to the non-gap type. The association of the head noun and the modifying clause is possible via

a gap in the RR but not possible in the GR, CN, and COMP. The theory does not say specifically how the three non-gap types differ. Table 2-2 summarizes the distinctions among four NMC types by Gap theory.

 Table 2-2

 Distinction among Four NMC Types by Gap Theory

NMC	Schematic Expression	Distinction	
RR (Regular Relative)	$[\dots e_i \dots V] N_i$	Gap Туре	
GR (Gapless Relative)			
CN (Clause and Noun Host Type)] [V] N	Non-Gap Type	
COMP (Complement)]		
NT			

Note. e indicates empty category.

Frame Theory

Frame theory (Matsumoto, 1997) captures the association between the modifying clause and the head noun by a hosting and hosted relation rather than by presence/ absence of a syntactic gap. The hosting constituent evokes a frame while the hosted constituent plays a role in the frame. If one constituent hosts, the other constituent needs to be hosted. The four types of NMC are classified among three types: Clause Host, Noun Host, and Clause and Noun Host. The RR and the GR belong to the Clause Host Type, the COMP belongs to the Noun Host Type, and the CN belongs to the Clause and Noun Host Type.

The RR is a Clause Host Type. In the example of the RR in Table 2-1, the modifying clause, hon'ya-de katta ('bookstore'-'at ''bought'), evokes the 'having bought at the bookstore' frame, inviting the head noun shinbun ('newspaper') to play a role in this frame. Shinbun ('newspaper') plays the role of the object of buying in this frame. In this way, the modifying clause hosts the head noun, and an association between two constituents is made. In the RR, the head noun neither evokes a frame nor hosts the modifying clause. Therefore, it is not necessary for the modifying clause to play a role in the association of the two constituents.

The GR is a Clause Host Type, as is the RR, and the same principle comes into play between the two constituents. In the example of the GR in Table 2-1, the modifying clause *hon-o utta* ('book'-ACC 'sold') evokes the 'having sold the book' frame, inviting

the head noun *okane* ('money') to play a role in this frame. Because selling something typically involves money exchange, *okane* ('money') plays the role of the exchanged object in this frame. In this way, the modifying clause hosts the head noun, and the association between two constituents is made.

Matsumoto further describes the GR, as a part of Clause Host Type, in terms of semantic relations between the modifying clause and the head noun. For example, in the example of the GR in Table 2-1, the meaning of the modifying clause and the meaning of the head noun are related by condition and consequence. In this case, the head noun *okane* ('money') is not an argument of the clausal verb *utta* ('sold'); rather, it is an argument of an unexpressed predicate 'receive', as the English translation suggests, which is pragmatically associated with the meaning of the clause, i.e., having sold the book. As a consequence of the event of 'selling the book,' another event of 'receiving money' took place. In this sense, the GR appears to be a complex NP involving two separate but related events.

Beside condition and consequence, Matsumoto (1997) describes the possible relations of the two events, including consequence and condition,¹¹ purpose and requisite,¹² requisite and purpose,¹³ part and whole,¹⁴ and event and unusual cause of opposite event.¹⁵

In the GR, as in the RR, the head noun neither evokes a frame and nor hosts the modifying clause. Therefore, it is not necessary for the modifying clause to play a role in the association of the two constituents. In both the RR and GR, the name of the role that the head noun occupies, such as an object of reading or an exchanged object, is not overtly expressed and needs to be found.

The COMP belongs to the Noun Host Type. In the example of the COMP in Table 2-1, the head noun *jijitsu* ('fact') evokes a 'fact' frame inviting the modifying clause *ageta* ('gave') to play a role in the frame. The role of the modifying clause concerns the content of the memory, and the hosting head noun labels the role as *jijitsu* ('fact'), encapsulating the hosted modifying clause. In the COMP, the modifying clause does not evoke a frame. Therefore, it is not necessary for the head noun to play a role in the association of the two constituents.

The head nouns of the COMP are content-taking nominals, the content of which is expressed by the modifying clause. Matsumoto (1997) describes three distinct semantic types for the head nouns of the COMP. They are communication, such as *denwa* ('phone call'), *kookoku* ('advertisement'), *shitsumon* ('question'), and *iken* ('opinion'); thought and feeling such as *yokubou* ('desire') and *gaman* ('endurance'); and other content-taking nouns such as *omoide* ('memory'), *uttae* ('plea'), *rikutsu* ('logic'), and *kettei* ('decision').

The CN belongs to the Clause and Noun Host Type. In the CN, each constituent evokes a frame and hosts the other. In the example of the CN in Table 2-1, the modifying clause *jiko-ga okita* ('accident'-NOM 'occurred') evokes 'accident occurred' frame. This frame provides the reason why the accident occurred, and the head noun *gen'in* ('cause'), that was invited to play a role in the frame, names rather than occupies the role. In this way, the modifying clause hosts the head noun and the association is made. The important characteristic of the manner in which the head noun is hosted by the modifying clause is that the head noun names, rather than expresses the content of, the role. The content of cause itself is not expressed but becomes clear when it is expressed by the rest of the sentence. In (2-7) below, where the rest of the sentence of the example of the CN in Table 2-1 is explicit, the cause of the accident is described as 'lack of sleep.'

(2-7) [jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u>-wa suiminbusoku datta accident-NOM occurred cause-TOP sleep+lack COP+PAST <u>The cause</u> resulting from which the accident occurred was the lack of sleep.

Reciprocally, the head noun gen'in ('cause') evokes a frame that involves a role in relation to what the head noun denotes, i.e., an effect. The content of the effect is expressed by the modifying clause *jiko-ga okita* ('accident'-NOM 'occurred'). In other words, the role (effect) in relation to the meaning of the head noun (cause) encapsulates the modifying clause. In this way, the head noun hosts the modifying clause, and the association is made.

Due to the evocation of a relational frame, head nouns of the CN have relational meaning. Head nouns are classified by Matsumoto (1997) according to their semantics. They are relational nouns such as *riyuu* ('reason'), *kekka* ('result'), *chokuzen* ('moment prior to'), *aida* ('space/ time between'), *yoko* ('next to'), *atari* ('space/ time around');

perception head nouns such as *oto* ('sound'), *benkyoo* ('study'); and quasi-relational head nouns such as *asa* ('morning'). The meanings of the head nouns are the names of the roles in the frame evoked by the modifying clauses.

Table 2-3 summarizes the differences among the four types in terms of hosting and hosted relations between the head noun and the modifying clause.

Distinction among Four NMC Types by Frame Theory							
NMC	Schematic Expression	Distinction					
RR (Regular		Clause Host					
Relative)		Туре					
GR (Gapless Relative)	CL						
	N is hosted by CL.						
COMP (Complement)	CL	Noun Host Type					
	CL is hosted (encapsulated) by N.						
CN (Clause and Noun Host Type)		Clause and Noun Host Type					
	N and CL reciprocally host each other. N, the smaller sphere in the center, is hosted by CL. N evokes a complementary idea (the larger sphere with broken line) that hosts (encapsulates) CL.						

Note. N: head noun, CL: modifying clause

Table 2-3

Summary of Differences among Four Types of NMC

From Gap and Frame theoretic viewpoints, the differences among the four types of NMC are clear. Gap theory makes a two-way distinction between the gap type (RR) and the non-gap type (GR, CN, and COMP) while Frame theory makes three-way distinctions among the Clause Host Type (RR and GR), the Clause and Noun Host Type (CN), and the Noun Host Type (COMP). In order to make distinctions among the RR, GR, CN, and COMP, both theories are required because Gap theory does not state the difference among three non-gap subtypes while Frame theory does not state the difference between the two Clause Host subtypes. This is summarized in Table 2-4.

Distinction among Four NMC Types by Gap Theory and Frame Theory

NMC	Gap Theory	Frame Theory	
RR (Regular Relative)	Gap Туре	Clause Host Type	
GR (Gapless Relative)			
CN (Clause and Noun Host Type)	Non-Gap Type	Clause and Noun Host Type	
COMP (Complement)		Noun Host Type	

<u>The four-way distinction by de-relativization</u>. Based on the claims made by Gap and Frame theories, a four way distinction is possible using the two criteria: a) whether de-relativization, i.e., forming a sentence by incorporating the head noun into the modifying clause, is possible, and b) if not possible, the different reasons why it is not possible.

Because as Gap theory states, the syntactic gap is coreferential with the head noun, the RR type can derive a well-formed sentence with the clausal verb and the head noun as its argument. For example, the example for the RR in Table 2-1 repeated below as (2-8) can be de-relativized to (2-9) by recovering the accusative case marker o for the head noun *shinbun* ('newspaper') without changing the original proposition denoted in Table 2-1. That is, "bought ((I/ you/ he/ she), newspaper, bookstore)."

(2-8) [hon'ya-de katta] <u>shinbun</u> bookstore-at bought newspaper <u>The newspaper</u> (I/ you/ he/ she) bought at the bookstore

Table 2-4

(2-9) hon'ya-de shinbun-o katta bookstore-at newspaper-ACC bought (I/ you/ he/ she) bought newspaper at the bookstore.

On the other hand, the GR is not subject to de-relativization. As Gap theory suggests, there is no syntactic gap coreferential with the head noun in the GR. The head noun, rather than being an argument of unexpressed predicate, is an argument of an unexpressed predicate. For example, in the example of the GR repeated below as (2-10), the original predicate argument structure is something like: "sold ((I/ you/ he/ she), book) AND CONSEQUENTLY received ((I/ you/ he/ she), money)."

(2-10) [hon-o utta] <u>okane</u> book-ACC sold money <u>The money</u> (I/ you/ he/ she received as a consequence from) having sold the book

In this case, two predicates, 'sold' and 'received', are involved. Because of this, it is not possible to de-relativize this NMC to a single sentence with only the clausal verb and with the same predicate argument structure.

De-relativization of the CN is not possible, but for a different reason. The CN is the only type where the head noun "names" its own role in the frame evoked by the modifying clause. For example, the predicate argument structure of the example of the CN in Table 2-1 repeated below as (2-11) should be something like "occurred (accident, CAUSE)." The head noun is the name of the adjunct PP signaled by the upper case letters and not its content as in the case of 'accident' which occupies the external argument (subject) NP slot.

(2-11) [jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u> accident-NOM occurred cause <u>The cause</u> resulting from which the accident occurred

When this type of NMC is converted to a sentence, while maintaining the original proposition, the resulting sentence is not quite well formed as in (2-12).

(2-12) ?jiko-ga aru gen'in-de okita accident-NOM certain cause-with occurred ?The accident occurred with a certain cause.

The head noun 'cause' received the postpositional particle de ('with') and is a part of the sentence. However, gen'in ('cause') is the name of the argument in relation to the verb okita ('occurred'). As the indefinite demonstrative aru ('certain') modifying gen'in ('cause') indicates, the cause is not specified in this sentence. In other words, unlike the head nouns of the RR, the head noun of the CN does not participate in the proposition directly. Because the head noun of the CN is just a name, not the content, the resulting de-relativized sentence is awkward. In the absence of aru ('certain'), (2-12) is completely ungrammatical in Japanese.

De-relativization is, again, impossible for the COMP, for yet a different reason. In the COMP, the head noun does not carry a thematic role in relation with the clausal verb, and is the name of what is expressed by the modifying clause. In this case, the formulation of a sentence with the head noun as a part is impossible because the head noun is not an argument NP nor an adjunct PP of the clausal verb; instead, the head noun is a name of what the whole modifying clause means.

The four-way distinction of NMC subtypes based on possibility of derelativization and the reason of inadequacy is summarized in Table 2-5.

NMC	De-Relativization	Reason
RR	Yes	The head noun is syntactically related to the clausal verb.
GR	No	The head noun is an argument of an unexpressed predicate.
CN	No	The head noun labels rather than occupies the argument slots governed by the clausal verb.
COMP	No	The head noun is a name of what the modifying clause expresses.

Table 2-5						
Distinction	amona	Four	NMC T	'vnee b	Delativ	ization

NMC and English Clausal Modifier with a Nominal Head

The English parallel structure to the Japanese NMC is the clausal modifier with a nominal head in the form $N[...]_{CL}$, where the head noun precedes the clause. There are two subtypes of clausal modifiers with a nominal head: relative clause and complement with nominal head. The relative clause is a direct counterpart of RR, while the complement is a direct counterpart of COMP.

Example 2-13 is the English translation of the example of the RR in Table 2-1, and (2-14) is the English translation of the example of the COMP in Table 2-1.

- (2-13) The newspaper that I bought at the bookstore
- (2-14) The fact that I gave it to you

There are no equivalent syntactic counterparts in English for GR and CN. This is obvious from the English translation for the GR and the CN in Table 2-1 repeated below as (2-10) and (2-11), respectively. The English translations for the GR and the CN require elaborate paraphrases which are not found in Japanese. For example, for (2-10) the English translation contains 'receive as a consequence from' which is not explicitly mentioned in the original Japanese. As for (2-11) too, the English translation requires an expression such as 'resulting from' that is not included in the original Japanese.

(2-10) [hon-o utta] <u>okane</u> book-ACC sold money <u>The money</u> (1/ you/ he/ she received as a consequence from) having sold the book

(2-11) [jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u> accident-NOM occurred cause <u>The cause</u> resulting from which the accident occurred

This situation, in which English lacks the two subtypes, is summarized in Table 2-6.
Table 2-6

Corresponding Construction Types between English Clausal Modifier with Nominal Head and Japanese NMC

English	Japanese
Relative Clause	RR
-	GR
•	CN
Complement	COMP

The distinction between these two subtypes in English can be made singly either by Gap theory or by Frame theory. Gap theory assumes that a relative clause contains a syntactic gap while a complement does not (e.g., Comrie & Horie, 1995).

For example, in (2-13), an example of a relative clause, the head noun is coreferential with the gap posited in the modifying clause in the node of a direct object of the verb 'bought' illustrated by the tree (2-15).



On the other hand, a gap cannot be posited in the modifying clause of (2-14), an example of a complement with a nominal head, as the tree (2-16) illustrates.



All the nodes that are subcategorized for by the verb are filled. Therefore, it is not possible to posit a syntactic gap coreferential with the head noun in complements with nominal heads.

Frame theory explains that relative clauses are Clause Host Type where a head noun plays a role in the frame evoked by the modifying clause. On the other hand, complements with nominal heads are Noun Host Type in which the head noun does not participate in the frame that the modifying clause evokes because the modifying clause does not evoke a frame. Instead, the head noun evokes a content frame and encapsulates what is expressed by the modifying clause.

The distinction between relative clause and complement in English is made by either Gap theory or Frame theory, which is summarized in Table 2-7.

Table	2-7
-------	-----

Distinction among English Clausal Modifiers with Nominal Head by Gap and Frame Theories

English Clausal Modifier with Nominal Head	Gap Theory	Frame Theory
Relative Clause	Gap Туре	Clause Host Type
Complement with Nominal Head	Non-Gap Type	Noun Host Type

Markedness

Two linguistic theories, Gap and Frame, draw distinctions among the four NMC types. In addition, the concept of *markedness* was employed in this study. Markedness

makes a two-way distinction: marked and unmarked. Markedness refers to properties, within a language and across languages, of a relationship among related structures, where one is unmarked and the other marked.

Determining which of the four construction types is marked with respect to other is problematic unless the criteria for markedness are well determined (Beck, in press). Givón (1984) and Battistella (1990) point out that the criterion is contextually restricted, independently of the defining properties of markedness. In this study, the context is Japanese noun-modifying construction, a natural class in which all the members look like $[...]_{CL}N$. It is in this context that the criterion of markedness is required.

For example, Givón (1995, 1984) summarizes three major criteria as "structural complexity," "frequency distribution," and "cognitive complexity." The "structural complexity" criterion states the marked structure is more complex or larger than the unmarked. The "frequency distribution" criterion states that the marked structure is psychologically "figure" in relation to the unmarked counterpart which is "ground,"" the figure being cognitively more salient and thus less frequent, than the ground. The "cognitive complexity" criterion states that the marked category is cognitively more complex than the corresponding unmarked category in terms of processing time, attention and mental effort.

Among Givón's criteria, the structural complexity criterion is not applicable because the four construction types all seem equally complex or simple in having apparently the same form. As for the distribution criterion, it is not known which construction type is least frequently used in a specific genre. The cognitive complexity criterion is applicable because it relates to the difference in processing effort among the four NMCs. However, which type is more cognitively complex or more costly to process is not a criterion here; rather it is one of the questions that can be answered in the present experimental study. Therefore, Givón's criteria were not employed in this study.

White (1989) and Ellis (1994), among others, refer to the use of the concept of markedness in typological studies. The features common to most languages are unmarked, while those that are rare or specific to a particular language are marked. If typological analyses were available for clausal modification structures for many languages, it might be possible to designate which of the four construction types is

marked with respect to others. If one member is missing in most of the languages while the other is common in the context of clausal modifiers with nominal heads, it would be possible to define the former as marked with respect to the rest. For example, if the RR is common to most languages, and the GR to very few, the RR would be unmarked with respect to the GR. However, currently those data are unavailable. Therefore, the criterion motivated by typological studies was not employed.

Markedness definition by learnability theory. Manzini and Wexler (1987), motivated by learnability theory, proposed the subset approach to determine the markedness relation. In the present study, their method was adopted to classify NMCs. Manzini and Wexler (1987) calculated possible grammars in a subset/ superset relation as in Figure 2-1 below. Figure 2-1 depicts that Grammar Y can generate sentences that are a proper subset of those generated by Grammar X.



Figure 2-1. Subset grammar Y generates sentences that are a proper set of sentences that superset grammar X generates.

The sentences that belong to the white area (i.e., generated by subset grammar Y) are unmarked, while sentences belonging to the grey area (i.e., the sentences that superset grammar X generates but not subset grammar Y) are marked cases.

The markedness claim motivated by learnability theory in Manzini and Wexler (1987) is as follows. On the basis of positive evidence, the unmarked grammar that generates subset sentences is chosen by L1 acquirers, because, upon encountering linguistic data, the Subset Principle tells the learners to choose the most conservative grammar (subset setting, unmarked). On the basis of later positive evidence, then L1

acquirers would choose the setting that generates superset sentences (superset setting, marked).

In terms of NMC, the RR, the GR, the CN, and the COMP can be sorted into the two areas by constructing two hypothetical grammars: one that generates all types and the other that generates all but the GR. The first grammar includes the second. This inclusion relation is illustrated in Figure 2-2.



Figure 2-2. GR is marked with respect to CN, RR, and COMP.

The GR involves extra predication for interpretation.¹⁶ For example, in the example of the GR in Table 2-1, the head noun *okane* ('money') is an argument of the unexpressed predicate such as 'received.' It becomes clearer when the propositional structure is elaborated by the two linked events as follows: "sold ((I/ you/ he/ she), book) AND CONSEQUENTLY received ((I/ you/ he/ she), money)." The underlined elements 'sold,' 'book,' and 'money' are explicit but 'received' is not. Also the relation between the two events "AND CONSEQUENTLY" is not explicit. In order to recover the hidden verb 'received,' pragmatic knowledge is involved while associating "sold ((I/ you/ he/ she), book)" and "money": the world knowledge or common sense that selling goods consequently leads to reception of cash rewards.

The other types, the RR, the CN, and the COMP do not involve an unexpressed predicate in order to link the modifying clause and the head noun. In other words, pragmatic knowledge is involved for the GR, but not for the RR, the CN, and COMP. The resources for combining the head noun and the modifying clause for all the subtypes of NMC are: baseline logical knowledge of relation including equation, knowledge of thematic relation, and pragmatic knowledge, as listed in Table 2-8.

Table 2-8

NMC	Baseline Logical Knowledge of Relation Including Equation	Knowledge of Thematic Relation	Pragmatic Knowledge
RR	√	√	
GR	\checkmark	√	\checkmark
CN	√	\checkmark	
COMP	\checkmark		

Resources Involved in Association of Modifying Clause and Head Noun of NMC

Knowledge of thematic relations is involved in the interpretation of all but the COMP. The head nouns of the RR, the GR, and the CN participate in a proposition; that is, they have a relation with the predicate in the proposition. On the other hand, the head nouns of the COMP do not; they are in appositive relation with or names of (thus encapsulating) the whole modifying clause.

In an example of the RR, the head noun carries a role of "object of buying" in relation to the clausal verb 'bought,' participating in the proposition with the verb. In the example of the GR, the head noun carries the role of "object of receiving," participating in the proposition with the hidden verb. In the example of the CN, the head noun names a role of "CAUSE" in relation to the modifying clause, indirectly participating in the proposition with the clausal verb 'occurred.' The head noun of the COMP does not participate in a proposition. Therefore, knowledge of thematic relation is not involved in construal of the COMP.

Baseline logical knowledge of relations is assumed to be involved in association of the modifying clause and the head noun of all types as a background resource; that is, whatever the type of construal, logical knowledge is at work. It is a foreground resource for the construal of the CN and the COMP. The head nouns of the CN evoke a relational frame that has the role for the event/ state complementary to the meaning of the head noun. Therefore, the knowledge of logical relations (cause-effect, reason-result, before-after, front-back, etc.) is involved. The head nouns of the COMP are names of what the modifying clause expresses. In other words, the meaning of the head noun and the meaning of the modifying clause are in the relation of equation. Therefore, the knowledge of logical equation is involved here.

According to Table 2-8, which summarizes the resources involved in the association of the two constituents, the interpretation of the GR involves the most

resources, then the RR and the CN, and the least resource for the COMP. The four NMC types are therefore generated by three grammars in subset condition as illustrated in Figure 2-3.



Figure 2-3. Three grammars that generate the RR, the GR, the CN, and the COMP are in subset relation. The superset grammar that generates GR generates all the types.

Based on the subset condition here, the GR is marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP. Also the GR, the RR, and the CN are marked with respect to the COMP. All together, the GR is marked with respect to the RR and the CN, which are marked with respect to the COMP. In any case, it is clear that the GR has definitely the marked status. It seems profitable, therefore, to view the markedness relations among NMCs as binary in order to highlight the dramatic manner in which the GR differs from the other three.

Therefore, in this study, the GR is considered marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP, as summarized in Table 2-9.

Table 2-9		
Distinction	n among Four Types of	NMC by Markedness
Marked	Unmarked	
GR	RR. CN. COMP	

Transfer

The fourth concept that was suspected to have an effect on distinguishing among the four NMC subtypes was *transfer*. When learners of Japanese encounter the four NMC subtypes, their way of processing is likely to show an influence of their experience in processing L1. Superficially similar NMCs may be distinguished due to this carryover habit from L1. This influence or carry-over effect in general is called *transfer* (cf. White, 1989; Gass, 1996, among others). In essence, a carry-over effect leads to earlier acquisition of L2 where features are comparable or similar between the two languages. Features that are not in common are acquired later. In terms of NMC, the assumption is that some subtypes are under a positive L1 influence while the rest are not. Which of the RR, the GR, the CN, and the COMP are acquired with positive influence from L1, and therefore, are easier to acquire than the others? The answer to this question depends on how the transfer effect takes place.

There are two positions as to exactly how transfer effect occurs. The first one involves a contrastive analytic understanding of the transfer effect. By contrasting L1 and L2, certain properties of L2 that are obviously similar and familiar to L1 should be under positive transfer while others, obviously different from and unfamiliar to L1, should be under negative transfer. The second position takes generative grammar as a starting point, where L2 acquisition is viewed as resetting from the L1 value to the correct L2 value of the same parameter. Transfer, if any, is understood as an initial L1 value for the parameter with which a specific feature of L2 is associated.

Each view on how transfer works predicts different ways to distinguish four subtypes of NMC by English JSLs.

Obvious transfer. From a contrastive analytic point of view, the linguistic units that are considered transferable are "obvious" (White, 1992, p. 221) and "visible" (White, 1992, p. 220) similarities and differences between L1 and L2.¹⁷ They are obvious because regular classroom teachers can identify them without any detailed training in particular linguistic theories. An example of an obvious facilitating feature for teachers of Japanese with students of different L1 background, is the orthographic similarity between Japanese and Chinese characters. This gives Chinese students an enormous advantage over English L1 students (see also Ellis, 1994). An example of an obvious inhibiting feature is the definite article 'the' in English that applies to Japanese L1 learners of English as L2. Japanese lacks this class of definite article. Classroom teachers of English, when marking English composition assignments written by Japanese students can easily attribute errors to the fact that this functional category is missing and unfamiliar in Japanese, and therefore, not positively transferable.

In the context of NMC, there are typological studies, such as Comrie and Horie (1995),¹⁸ which have looked at the relatively obvious superficial appearance of modifications with nominal head in English and Japanese. Comrie and Horie assume the RR and the COMP are equivalent with the English relative clause, and the complement clause with a nominal head, respectively. The relative clause in English appears to contain a gap while the complement in English apparently does not, and Japanese RR and COMP respectively seem to match the criterion. On the other hand, the CN and the GR do not contain a gap, and, therefore, they are something else. Since there are no other types of clausal modifiers with nominal heads in English other than the relative clause and the complement, the GR and the CN are missing in English.

The obvious transfer position, therefore, makes the following distinction among subtypes of NMC. By contrasting English and Japanese at the structural level, the four types are divided into two categories: familiar ones (the RR and the COMP) and unfamiliar ones (the GR and the CN) to English.

Table 2-10Distinction among Four Types of NMC by Obvious TransferFamiliarUnfamiliarRR, COMPGR, CN

Transfer in Principles and Parameters theory. In research that relates to Universal Grammar (UG), by which any L1 acquisition is assumed to be constrained (e.g., White, 1989, 1996, 2000), L2 acquisition is considered a process of resetting the value of certain "parameters" to the appropriate value of the same parameter in L2. Parameters are part of UG and constrain variation among languages with different values. The effect of transfer, in this view, is that the learners initially set their L1 value of the same parameter for their target language.

In the context of NMC, the L1 English learners are assumed to apply their L1 setting for the parameter associated with NMC. What then is the English value for this parameter in charge of the properties of NMC?

In order to determine the L1 value L2 values for the parameter by which the properties of NMC are coded, the relationships among the members of NMC and among

their equivalents in English were considered. The method employed here is that the value of Japanese is first determined by the markedness relation among the four NMC members, then the value of L1 is to be determined by the relation of the equivalent structures of NMC in English.

First of all, as Figure 2-2 repeated below illustrates, the RR, the GR, the CN, and the COMP are generated by grammars in a subset condition. Because of this relation, the GR was determined to be marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP. This means that Japanese (L2) has both marked and unmarked members.



Figure 2-2. GR is marked with respect to CN, RR, and COMP.

On the other hand, there is the assumption that the RR and the English relative clause, and the COMP and the English complement are equivalents, and that the English equivalents for the GR and the CN are missing (cf. Table 2-6). This means that English has only unmarked members and no marked members, as Figure 2-4 illustrates.



Figure 2-4. Relative clause and complement are unmarked members. There are no marked members in English.

The claim by transfer in Principles and Parameters theory is that, initially, the learners choose the setting of L1. In this case, the L1 is the unmarked setting, which is the subset grammar. The subset grammar generates the CN, the RR, and the COMP in L2. That is, the English L1 learners of L2 Japanese initially acquire the CN, the RR, and the COMP. Because the CN is generated by subset grammar, the learners are supposed to acquire initially the CN as well, which obvious transfer does not predict to happen. Based on positive evidence, later they acquire the GR.¹⁹ This distinction by transfer in Principles and Parameters theory, coupled with the markedness definition by the subset condition, is summarized in Table 2-11.

Table 2-11

Distinction among Four Types of NMC by Transfer in Principles and Parameters		
Subset setting	Not Contained in Subset	
(Unmarked)	setting	
	(Marked)	
RR, CN, COMP	GR	

Markedness vs. transfer in Principles and Parameters theory. The distinction in Table 2-11 is exactly what markedness makes (cf. Table 2-9 for distinction among four types of NMC by markedness). Because English equivalents for NMC belong only to the subset grammar, it is impossible to separate the markedness claim (which distinguishes unmarked members from marked members) and the transfer claim of Principles and Parameters theory (which distinguishes L1 setting (i.e., unmarked/ subset) from L2 setting (i.e., marked/ superset)).

In this study, because the markedness definition by subset-superset relation is assumed, the claim of transfer on the grounds of Principles and Parameters theory is incorporated in the markedness claim. That is, there is one claim by markedness and one claim by obvious transfer.

Interpretation of Gap, Frame, Markedness, and Transfer

The purpose of this study was to find out if speakers of Japanese discriminate among the four types of NMC, and if so, whether they do so in ways predicted by the theories outlined above. Four concepts were suspected to be responsible for the discrimination. Gap, embraced in Gap theory, distinguished gap type (RR) from non-gap type (GR, CN, and COMP). Hosting and hosted relations in Frame theory separated Clause Host Type (RR and GR), Noun Host Type (COMP), and Clause and Noun Host Type (CN). Markedness, as determined by the Subset Principle among subtypes of NMC, assigned marked status to the GR while unmarked status to the RR, the CN, and the COMP. The fourth concept, transfer, applicable to L2 acquisition, distinguishes the RR and the COMP (the familiar structures to English) from the GR and the CN (the missing structures in English). These concepts now need to be interpreted in processing terms.

Processing interpretation of Gap. The psycholinguistic interpretation of Gap theory rests on the claim that a syntactic gap generates multiple activation of its referent. (Bever & McElree, 1988; Nakayama, 1995; Mazuka, 1991; Yamashita, Stowe, & Nakayama, 1993). In the NMC, the head noun comes after the modifying clause; i.e., a gap and its referent are in a cataphoric relation (see the schematic expression in Table 2-2 for the positions of the gap and its antecedent head noun). Thus, it is impossible for the gap to be accessed before the referent NP has been encountered, unlike an English gap which follows, rather precedes, its antecedent NP. Mazuka (1991) also points out that it is impossible to posit a gap at the sentence location at which it exists during the on-line processing of Japanese sentences. It is always after the referent NP is received that one realizes, if at all, that there was a corresponding gap in the previous part of the sentence. Therefore, this psycholinguistic interpretation of gap may be problematic for Japanese NMCs (cf. Miyamoto & Kess, 1995).

In this present study, the presence of a gap, i.e., the presence of the coreferential relation of the head noun and its preceding gap due to their syntactic relation with the clausal predicate, was expected to facilitate parsing of the head noun. When the head noun is received, the hearer should realize that the NP is syntactically bound with previous linguistic material via its gap. Therefore, Gap theory suggests that the RR should be easier to process than the GR, the CN, and the COMP, summarized in Table 2-12. Both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs (Japanese as a second language learners) should be subjected to this prediction.

Table 2-12	2	
Ease of Pr	rocessing Prediction by	Gap Theory for Japanese Native Speakers and English
<u>JSLs</u>		
Easy	Hard]
RR	GR, CN, COMP	

Processing interpretation of Frame. There have been no previous psycholinguistic studies on hosting and hosted relation as conceived in Frame theory. In the present study, a new interpretation was developed. The relation of the hosting element and hosted element was seen as ground and figure (cf. Talmy, 1988). This psychological interpretation suggests that the hosted element, i.e., the figure, may be captured as salient information, while the hosting element, i.e., ground, may be captured as less salient information. Based on this assumption, the hosted element (figure, more salient) was expected to be retained in memory better than the hosting element (ground, less salient).

The RR and the GR are Clause Host Types. That is, the head noun is hosted by the modifying clause; therefore, the head noun should be retained in memory better than the modifying clause. The COMP is a Noun Host Type. That is, the head noun hosts the modifying clause; therefore, the modifying clause should be retained in memory better than the head noun. Lastly, the CN is Clause and Noun Host Type. Both constituents host the other. Therefore, the retention level of both constituents should be equal. Table 2-13 summarizes the interpretation of Frame Theory. The ease of retention prediction should apply to both Japanese native speakers and English Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs).

 Table 2-13

 Ease of Retention Prediction by Frame Theory for Japanese Native Speakers and English

 JSLs

NMC	Modifying Clause (CL)	Head Noun (N)	Retention
RR, GR	Hosting Information	Hosted Information	N>CL
CN	_		N = CL
	Hosted Information	Hosting Information	
COMP		-	N < CL

<u>Processing interpretation of markedness</u>. Independently from markedness definition criteria, markedness predicts that a marked member is acquired later than an

unmarked member (cf. White, 1989). Markedness, as adopted in the research on Universal Grammar (UG), is used to explain the order of acquisition in both L1 and nonprimary language acquisitions. In any case, the explanation is that unmarked form is acquired first and the marked one is acquired later. As for processing predictions outside the researches on UG, Givón (1995) proposes, as one of the criteria of markedness, "cognitive complexity", which says that marked members tend to be "cognitively more complex" and require a processing cost such as "mental effort, attention demands or processing time."

In this study, the markedness contrast among subtypes of NMC is interpreted as corresponding to the ease of processing: the marked type (GR) being harder to process than unmarked types (RR, CN, and COMP). This interpretation should apply to both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs.

Table 2-14 Ease of Processing Prediction by Markedness for Japanese Native Speakers and English JSLs

Easy	Hard
RR, CN, COMP	GR

<u>Processing interpretation of transfer.</u> Under the contrastive analytic understanding of transfer (obvious transfer), the RR and the COMP in the target language are familiar to L1 while the GR and the CN are not. Therefore, the RR and the COMP should be easier to process than the GR and the CN for English JSLs. This is summarized in Table 2-15.

Table 2-15Ease of Processing Prediction by Transfer for English JSLsEasyHardRR, COMPGR, CN

Research Hypotheses

This study tested experimentally the following research hypotheses listed in Table 2-16.

 Table 2-16

 Research Hypotheses Related to Processing NMCs

Concept	Speaker Group	Hypotheses
Gap	Japanese L1 and English JSLs	Gap facilitates processing. The RR is a gap type, and the rest are non-gap types. The RR should be easiest to process.
Frame	Japanese L1 and English JSLs	The hosted information should be better remembered than the hosting information. For the RR and the GR (Clause Host Type), the head nouns should be easier to retain in memory than the modifying clauses. For the COMP (Noun Host Type), the modifying clauses should be easier to remember than the head nouns. For the CN (Clause and Noun Host Type) the two constituents should be equally easy to retain in memory.
Markedness	Japanese L1 and English JSLs	The marked member should be harder to process. Therefore, the GR (marked) should be harder to process than the RR, the CN, and the COMP (unmarked).
Transfer	English JSLs	For the second language learners, the familiar structures are easier to acquire than the unfamiliar structures. Therefore, the RR and the COMP should be easier to process than the GR and the CN for English JSLs.

Organization of the Experiments

The following three chapters describe a series of experiments that evaluated the research hypotheses. The three experiments were carried out in sequence. However, as summarized in Table 2-17, they are described in the opposite order in the following chapters for the puposes of presentation clarity.

In the first experiment, both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs read sentences containing NMCs on the computer screen. After this task, only English JSLs checked, in terms of awareness of the readings and the meanings of the vocabularies, the word check sheet (see Appendix 1 for the word check sheet and the scores by English JSLs) that lists the glosses used in the experiments. The word check sheet remained available for reference until the end of the last experiment. In the second experiment, only English JSLs translated orally the NMCs on the computer screen. Prior to the last experiment, both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs were interviewed for the background information (see Appendix 2 for summary of the interview). Both speaker groups participated in the last experiment, which involved the rating tasks. This last experiment is described first in Chapter 3.

Time Flow	Experiment Number and Task	Participants	Chapter in This Volume
	3. On-Line Self- Paced Reading and Probe Recognition	Japanese Native Speakers English JSLs	5
1	Word Check	English JSLs	
1	2. Semi On-Line Translation	English JSLs	4
	Interview	Japanese Native Speakers English JSLs	
	1. Off-Line Rating	Japanese Native Speakers English JSLs	3

 Table 2-17

 The Order of Experiments and Chapters in This Volume

Notes

¹ This notation is the Frame theory equivalent of [S NP]_{NP} used in Gap theory as noted in Chapter 1.

² Japanese is rigidly verb final (cf. Kuno, 1973). The sentential modifiers end with verbal elements.

³ Japanese is ridigly head final. Modifiers are located prenominally whether or not the modifiers are sentential.

⁴ Teramura (1984) uses the term "uchi no kankei" (internal relation) to refer to the relation of modifying clause and the head noun of Regular Relative. This refers to the relation in which the head noun is successfully associated with the verb with a case marking particle.

⁵ "Pro" represents Null Anaphora (cf. Kameyama, 1985; Tsujimura, 1996). As English translation indicates, "pro" is like a pronoun and is normally interpreted by the context. It does not have phonetic content and expresses the intuition that the constituents, as long as they are subcategorized by the verb, are there. Japanese allows pro in subject NP node and any other subcategorized constituents' nodes. For example, the trees, (2-1), (2-3), (2-4), and (2-6), contain pro in subject NP node. The tree (2-6) contains pro in subject NP, direct object NP, and indirect objet NP nodes.

⁶ Following Tsujimura (1996), the constituents with nouns taking ga (nominative marker), o (accusative marker), ni (dative marker), no (genitive marker), and wa (topic marker) are considered to be NP. Nouns taking other post positional particles such as de ('at' location marker) are considered to be PP. The first group of postpositional particles consists of grammatical markers that do not have semantic content. The second group of particles has semantic content: e.g., made 'until', e 'to', to 'with', kara 'from' and de 'at.'

⁷ The distinction between argument NP and adjunct PP was made by Yamashita (1995). The same distinction was also made by Matsumoto (1997), who used non-syntactic terms such as core role and non-core role instantiated by the head noun in the modifying clause. The argument NP relative/ core role instantiated by the head noun in the modifying clause, v.s. adjunct PP relative/ non-core instantiated by the head noun in the two subcategories of RR.

* Teramura (1984) calls this type "tanraku" (short cut).

⁹ Teramura (1984) call this relation "soto no kankei" (external relation) as opposed to "uchi no kankei" (internal relation) referring to the RR.

¹⁰ McCawley (1972) also classifies relative clauses similarly. That is, there is a relative clause and a complement in Japanese. A clear case of a relative clause is the Regular Relative. Unclear cases of a relative clause include Gapless Relative and Clause and Noun Host Type.

¹¹ An example of the GR type with consequence and condition relation is: mainichi no shokuji ga oishikunaru undoo everyday POSS meal NOM delicious+become exercise the exercise by doing which everyday meals become tasty

¹² An example of the GR type with purpose and requisite relation is: chesu no geemu ni kanarazu katsu renshuu chess POSS game at definitely win practice the practice by doing which (you) win the game of chess without fail

¹³ An example of the GR type with requisite and purpose relation is: mae kara junbi ga dekiteita wakare before from preparation NOM ready+COP+PAST separation the separation for which the preparation had been done since before

¹⁴ An example of the GR type with part and whole relation is: saisho no go-hun de suji ga wakaru eiga first POSS five-minutes with plot NOM become+understandable movie the movie in the first five minutes of which the plot becomes understandable

¹⁵ An example of the GR type with event and usual cause of opposite event is: shiroi shatsu ga kuroku naru sentaku white shirt NOM black become laundry the laundry by doing which a white shirt becomes black

¹⁶ It is not that only GR interpretation involves extra sentential knowledge. The interpretation of all types of NMC is ready to accept extra sentential knowledge; however, characteristically GR involves "extra predicate," which indicates that the head noun belongs to a separate event with separate predicate-argument structure. Matsumoto (1997) points out that the main properties of NMC are determined at the levels of semantics and pragmatics (i.e., extra sentential knowledge). For example, arguments can be missing without causing ungrammaticality in Japanese (i.e., Japanese is a pro-drop language), and this phenomenon is not limited to NMC. As a consequence of this property of Japanese, for some noun-modifying constructions, more than one interpretation could be possible. In the following example which is RR type, beside the argument coreferential with the head noun, another argument is missing in the modifying clause, resulting in two possible translations.

[aishita] otoko-ga kaettekita loved man-NOM returned The man who (somebody) loved returned. The man who loved (somebody) returned.

The grammatical relation of the head noun with the clausal predicate (the subject of the verb or the object of the verb) is determined by discourse and pragmatic context.

In the following COMP example, again, multiple number of pros exist in the modifying clause. The interpretation of the referents of the pros depends on the context. [ageta] jijitsu-wa nai gave fact-TOP NEG There is no fact that (somebody) gave (something) (to somebody).

Matsumoto (1997) provides parallel examples in Japanese and English and points out that English accepts only the interpretation of the head as a subcategorizing NP, while Japanese interpretation allows both subcategorizing NP interpretation and adverbial NP interpretation of the head noun. In the following example, the head noun *basho* (place) can be interpreted as either object or place in Japanese, but only object interpretation is accepted in English.

[Sakuma san-ga katta] basho-wa doko desu ka. Mr. Sakuma-NOM bought place-TOP where COP Q Where is the place (which) Mr. Sakuma bought? Where is the place (in which) Mr. Sakuma bought (something)?

Where is the place Mr. Sakuma bought?

If shared world knowledge is used, the interpretation of the following RR type sentence is easy. In this case, the semantic of the head noun *kodomo* (child) triggers world knowledge about child, and selecting one interpretation over the other is easy.

[otsukai-o tanonda] kodomo-ga modoranai. Errands-ACC asked child-NOM return+NEG The child (1) asked to do errands is not back. The child who asked (somebody) to do errands is not back.

Errands are usually given to children by adults, rather than the other way around. Therefore, the common sense allows single interpretation, i.e., the first interpretation.

CN interpretation equally involves extra sentential knowledge in the situation where arguments are missing in the modifying clause as in the following examples. The elements in the parentheses need to be contextually recovered.

[shinu] zenjitsu-ni koko-ni kita. Die previous day -at here-to came (He) came here on the day previous to the time when (he) died.

[machi-ni iku] tochuu, ame-ni hurareta. Town-to go on.the.way rain-by rain-PASS-PAST On my way to town, (1) got rained on.

Matsumoto lists various example of GR type, where construing is hard from the gloss if one does not know Japanese and Japanese culture. The followings are cited from Matsumoto (1997, p. 48). The translation in English for these examples illustrates that without extra sentential elements in parentheses, it is impossible to construe GR type. These extra sentential elements in the parentheses are mostly unexpressed predicates (in the first two examples). Some translation in English can be done without including the unexpressed predicates. However, a logical predicate that takes the head noun as an argument is easily found. The translation in italic is added which contains the extra predicate.

[[atama ga yoku-naru] hon] head NOM good-become book 'the book (by reading which) () head gets better'

[[genki ga deru] kuruma energy NOM rise.up car 'the car (by driving/ owning which) () energy rises'

[[yoru toire ni ike-naku-naru] hanashi] night bathroom GOAL go.can-not-become story 'the story (because of which) () cannot go to the bathroom at night' 'the story (after reaching which) () cannot go to the bathroom at night'

[[toire ni ike-nai] komaasyaru] bathroom GOAL go.can-not commercial 'commercials (because of which) () cannot go to the bathroom' 'commercials (after watching which) () cannot go to the bathroom'

[[gakkoo ga yasumini-natta] yuki] school NOM closed-because snow 'the snow (because of which) the school was closed' 'the snow (after which fell) the school was closed'

[[paatii ni korare-nakatta] syukudai]
party GOAL come.can-did.not homework
'the homework (because of which) () could not come to the party'
'the homework (which () had to do, consequently) () could not come to the party

¹⁷ Ellis (1994, p. 306) quotes Lado's (1957, p. 2) Contrastive Analysis Hypothesis:

...the student who comes into contact with a foreign language will find some features of it quite easy and others extremely difficult. Those elements that are similar to his native language will be simpler for him, and those elements that are different will be difficult.

¹⁸ The point that Comrie and Horie (1995) make is that it is not appropriate to hold such syntactic dichotomy as English relative clause and complement for Japanese equivalents.

¹⁹ According to learnability theory, on the other hand, when the learning needs to proceed from the superset grammar to the subset grammar, serious difficulty should be expected. The learners whose L1 contains the superset grammar should overgenerate the sentences that only the superset grammar generates but not the subset grammar in the absence of negative evidence (this point is made by White, 1993, among others). In the context of NMC, Japanese native speakers acquiring English as L2 are expected to overgenerate the GR and are expected to have a hard time acquiring not to generate the GR in English.

Chapter 3

Experiment 1

Off-Line Rating Tasks

To find out how the four NMC types are processed and distinguished by speakers of Japanese, both native speakers of Japanese and native speakers of English learning Japanese rated 20 grammatical Japanese sentences containing the four types of NMC. Two judgement criteria were given. First they rated the sentences in terms of *naturalness*, and then in terms of *ease of understanding for English speakers who were learning Japanese*. The results were expected to show intuition-based differences among the four types. The experiment focuses on the markedness and the transfer hypotheses. The other hypotheses, i.e., the gap hypothesis and the frame hypothesis are not relevant.

The three experiments were carried out in sequence, and this chapter discusses the last experiment (see Table 2-17 in Chapter 2 for the actual order of the experiments).

Objectives and Rationale of the Experiment

In order to test the research hypotheses: markedness and transfer, this experiment obtained two sets of data. The first set consisted of naturalness rating (NR) scores. The second was ease of understanding for English JSLs rating (EUR) scores. In the first judgement task 'naturalness rating' (NR), the participants were asked to focus on how natural the Japanese stimuli sounded. In the second judgement task, 'ease of understanding for English JSLs rating' (EUR), participants were asked to focus on their knowledge of Japanese and English.

The rationale of NR and EUR is that an intuitive sense of naturalness along with a sense of relative ease of understanding for English JSLs would allow the participants to draw distinctions among the four construction types. These off-line tasks were expected to allow the participants' conscious reflection on their L1 and other non-primary languages.

Two rating tasks were used, rather than one, to obtain a broader perspective on aspects of processing induced by different sets of instructions. The differences in the

rating scores among the four construction types were expected to provide evidence for relative processing differences among the four types.

Experimental Hypotheses

Hypotheses 1-6 were tested in this experiment. These are listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1

Experimental Hypotheses Tested in Experiment 1

Measure	Japanese Native Speakers	English JSLs
NR	H1 Markedness Hypothesis for NR	H2 Markedness Hypothesis for NR
	by Japanese Native Speakers	by English JSLs
	Naturalness rating of GR sentences	Naturalness rating of GR sentences
	by Japanese native speakers should	by English JSLs should be lower
	be lower than those of RR, CN, and	than those of RR, CN, and COMP
	COMP sentences. NR(RR),	sentences. NR(RR), NR(CN),
	NR(CN), NR(COMP) > NR(GR)	NR(COMP) > NR(GR)
		<u>H3</u> Transfer Hypothesis for NR by
		English JSLs
		Naturalness ratings of RR and
		COMP sentences by English JSLs
		should be higher than those of CN
		and GR sentences. NR(RR),
		NR(COMP) > NR(CN), NR(GR)
EUR	H4 Markedness Hypothesis for	H5 Markedness Hypothesis for
	EUR by Japanese Native Speakers	EUR by English JSLs
	Ease of understanding rating of GR	Ease of understanding rating of GR
	sentences by Japanese native	sentences by English JSLs should
	speakers should be lower than those	be lower than those of RR, CN, and
	of KR, CN, and COMP sentences.	COMP sentences. EUR(RR),
	EUK(KK), EUK(CN),	EUK(CN), EUK(COMP) > EUK
	EUR(COMP) > EUR(GR)	(GK)
		H6 Transfer Hypothesis for FUR
		by English JSLs
		Ease of understanding ratings of
		RR and COMP sentences by
1		English JSLs should be higher than
		those of CN and GR sentences.
		EUR(RR), EUR(COMP) >
	1	EUR(CN), EUR(GR)

Note. NR: Naturalness Rating, EUR: Ease of Understanding for English JSLs

Method

Participants

In this experiment, 23 Japanese native speakers who are learning English, and 11 English native speakers who are learning Japanese participated (see Appendix 2 for the participants' information). They did all parts of the experiment in one sitting.

Japanese native speakers. Twenty-three native speakers of Japanese, eight male and 15 female, living in the Edmonton area, participated. They had lived a part of their lives in Japan. Both parents of the 22 participants were native speakers of Japanese. One participant's father was an English native speaker who had died when the participant was an infant. He thus claimed his first language was Japanese, his mother's native tongue. One participant was born in an English-speaking country but had parents who were native speakers of Japanese. She had lived in Japan from the age of seven until in her thirties, and claimed she was a native speaker of Japanese. All the subjects had studied English formally for the first time in junior high school and had lived in English-speaking countries for at least 8 months. They functioned on a daily basis in English. Except for one participant, everyone had in addition to English, a third, fourth, or fifth language such as German, French, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Mandarin, or Latin. None spoke foreign languages, other than English, fluently. They were not trained teachers of Japanese. Fifteen were university students; two were studying linguistics. The rest were professionals. They volunteered to do the tasks in Experiment 1 and Experiment 3 in one sitting and were not offered payment.

English Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs). Eleven English speaking, Japanese as a second language learners, five male and six female, of intermediate or advanced level, participated. They all claimed they were native speakers of English, and they had lived in either Canada or the United States for a good part of their lives. Their linguistic backgrounds were not simple. One participant's mother was a native speaker of Japanese, who said the participant had been very weak in acquiring Japanese compared to her other children who had been good at it. This participant conversed only in English with his family members and claimed he had learned Japanese at the university. Another participant's parents were Tamil speakers. However, the participant claimed her mother tongue was English because she understood only some

words in her parents' conversations at home. Another participant's father spoke Cantonese, but he had no contact with his father, and therefore claimed his first language was English, which his mother spoke natively, although he had lived in Hong Kong during his childhood. Only one of them had Japanese as a single second language. Others had other second languages prior to learning Japanese such as Spanish, French, German, and Cantonese in highschool. One spoke Spanish fluently, but others did not have fluency in a second language, other than Japanese. The English participants had all taken intermediate or advanced Japanese at college level or equivalent. Nine were students, and two were professionals. Ten had experience living in Japan for at least 11 months. The one who had not learned any other foreign languages was teaching introductory Japanese at the university. Two were training to be high school teachers of Japanese when they participated in the experiments. One had taken linguistics courses and had a background in linguistic analyses. All were volunteers but were given a \$10.00 gift certificate from the University bookstore. They did all the tasks in Experiments 1-3 in one sitting.

Stimuli

Forty sentences that contain NMC were used and were represented schematically in (3-1).

(3-1) [Seg1] [Seg2] [Seg3] [Head Noun;]sent[Seg5] [Seg6] [Seg7].

Each consisted of seven segments ending with a period. Following Japanese punctuation convention, there were no spaces within the sentence. In (3-1), "Head Noun" indicates the location of the head noun of the NMC. The underline visibly marked the NMC, on which the participants focused for judgement. Square brackets were not shown.

Forty sentences consisted of ten sentences of each construction type (see Appendix 3 for sentences for the rating tasks). They were divided between two lists (see Appendix 3 for sentences belonging to List A and List B). Each list contained 20 sentences with 5 of each construction type. Each list had three distinct sentence orders. They were counterbalanced and presented in a randomized sequence. If a participant rated List A in Part A (naturalness rating), then he/she rated List B in Part B (ease of understanding for English JSLs rating). Likewise, if a participant rated List B in Part A, then he/she rated List A in Part B.

Rather than using the full set of 40 sentences, half were used for each rating. This was because the list of 40 items was too long to be shown on a single sheet, and a single page was considered the optimum length for the rating task. It was also expected that the naturalness judgement in Part A might affect the ease of understanding judgement in Part B. That is, if one gives an item a value in terms of naturalness, the reused item might tend to receive the same value in the scale of ease of understanding. Therefore, the participants rated the different lists on two different scales.

The twenty sentences were presented on a sheet of paper (see Appendix 4 for the rating sheets). Beside each sentence were the Roman numbers '1' through '5.' '5' was to be circled if the item was the most natural/ the easiest to understand for English JSLs, '1' if the least natural/ the most difficult for English JSLs to understand, and '3' if more or less natural/ more or less easy for English JSLs to understand. A five point scale was adopted because the middle point was definable. In order to draw the participants' attention to NMC only, the portion of the modifying clause and the head noun of each item was underlined. Entire sentences were supplied to provide a natural context for the NMC.

The stimuli in this experiment were familiar to the participants because the forty sentences had been in Experiments 2 and 3.¹ Familiarity with the material and even with the NMC were not considered problematic. Because the naturalness judgements and ease of understanding for JSL judgements were intended to reveal the participants' conscious reflections on the NMC, they would be facilitated rather than inhibited by their familiarity with and knowledge of the materials and even awareness of the construction types.

No distracters were employed because distracters that could violate the pattern were not considered necessary for the participants to make fair judgements. All the items in the list had the structural pattern illustrated in (3-1).

<u>Assessment of the stimuli.</u> All sentences were assessed by an instructor of the Department of East Asian Studies to ensure they were comprehensible by Japanese learners at an intermediate (300 level university courses) level. The instructor confirmed

that the structures used in the experiment were initially introduced in the second semester of the introductory level of Japanese.

Procedure

Part A: Naturalness rating (NR) task. After a background interview (see Appendix 2 for the participants' information) which followed the first experiment for Japanese native speakers and the second experiment for English JSLs (see Table 2-17 in Chapter 2 for the order of the experiments), the Part A naturalness rating task was given. Each participant was given a sheet of paper with the instructions and the sentences to rate (see Appendix 4A for the naturalness rating sheet). Instructions were written primarily in English with Japanese words for 'most natural,' 'least natural,' and 'more or less natural' added beside the English words. The written instructions asked the participants to read through the sentences first, then to focus on the underlined portion of each sentence and assign 1 and 5, and, only after this was done, to rate the rest on the same scale.

This anchoring was necessary to prevent the participants from being indecisive and assigning all items at the midpoint, thus preventing any spread in judgements. Allowing the participants to choose any point, rather than requesting them to assign an equal number of points in the scale to the items was preferred because otherwise it could be too time-consuming and frustrating for the participants.

The researcher was present and observed how the participants did the task. When the participant was not following the instructions, the researcher reminded her/him to do so.²

When the participants asked what 'naturalness' meant, the researcher replied consistently: it means 'easy to say,' 'hear it often,' 'see it often,' and 'easy to the ear.'³ This response was in English for native English speakers and in Japanese for native Japanese speakers. English JSLs were told to consult freely the vocabulary list that they had checked prior to the translation task (see Appendix 1 for word check sheet and the scores by English JSLs) or to ask the experimenter for unfamiliar words and anything other than rating scores. This task took less than 10 minutes. The rating sheet was put away, and Part B followed immediately.

Part B: Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating (EUR) task. When the participants had finished Part A naturalness rating task, they were given a sheet of paper having instructions on one side (see Appendix 4B for the ease of understanding for English JSLs rating sheet). These were in English except for the phrases 'the easiest for English speakers learning Japanese to understand,' 'the most difficult for English speakers learning Japanese to understand,' and 'more or less easy for English speakers learning Japanese to understand,' and 'more or less easy for English speakers learning Japanese to understand,' which were written in Japanese as well as English. The instructions stated that participants should not let the difficulty of words, kanji characters, or length of each sentence determine ease of understanding, but focus on only the underlined portion of sentences. The reverse side had a list of 20 sentences, which the participants had not seen in Part A. The participants were allowed to flip between both sides of the sheet. They were asked to anchor their response, and then to assign any numbers on the scale to any number of stimuli for the same reasons described in Part A.

The researcher was present while the participants did the task.⁴ When the participant was not following the procedure, the researcher reminded her/him to observe the instructions.

The English JSLs were told to consult freely the word check sheet that they had seen prior to the translation task or to ask the experimenter any questions except concerning the scores. Enough time was given to ensure that the participants, especially English JSLs, would rate the sentences knowing what they meant. The task took less than 10 minutes. This experiment was the final one in the series.

Results

Statistical Analyses

Construction Type (four levels: RR, GR, CN and COMP) was the independent variable. The dependent variable was the rating score (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). In the data analysis, one-way ANOVA for both analyses by participants (summing over difference among stimuli within a construction type) and analyses by item (summing over differences among participants) were employed. In the former analyses, Construction Type was treated as a repeated measure factor, while in the latter it was treated as a between-items factor. Consequently, because in ANOVA with participants as variables,

the same participant went through the treatments, causing the variance within the level of Construction Type to be more restricted, it was expected that analyses by items would yield more conservative results than the repeated measures ANOVA (analyses by participants).

A two-way ANOVA with Speaker Group (two levels: Japanese native speakers and English JSLs) as a between factor, was also done to find out if there were differences between Japanese native speakers and English JSLs. A one-way ANOVA with Judgement Scale (two levels: naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs) as a factor was conducted for each speaker group to determine if the different scales made an overall difference in the scores across the four construction types. A Spearman Rank Correlation was used with the two judgement scales as the ranking variables to determine if the underlying decision criteria were identical between the two judgement scales as an additional analysis for discussion.

Naturalness Rating (NR) by Japanese Native Speakers

The Japanese native speakers were asked to rate the sentences with four types of NMC according to the scale of naturalness (see Appendix 5A for the NR scores for each item by individual Japanese native speakers). It was expected that they would use their intuition of markedness and separate the four construction types accordingly, with the GR being less natural than other construction types (<u>H1</u>). Mean values of naturalness ratings by the Japanese native speakers for each construction type were calculated and assembled in Table 3-2.

Table 3-2Mean Values, Standard Deviations, and Standard Errors by Participants for NaturalnessRating on a Scale of 1-5, 1 Being Least Natural and 5 Being the Most Natural, for FourConstruction Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Mean	S.D.	S.E.	
CN	3.75	.60	.12	
COMP	4.03	.60	.13	
GR	2.44	.68	.14	
RR	4.00	.60	.13	

For this table and subsequent tables, mean tables were created based on the analyses by participants.

The rating of the GR was the lowest while the ratings of other construction types were higher and about the same. According to ANOVA with participants as variables, there was a main effect by Construction Type, $\underline{F}(3, 66) = 41.13$, $\underline{p} < .001$. Planned comparisons were done between every two means in Table 3-2, and their F-ratios were computed. The statistically significant differences in naturalness rating (NR) were present between the GR and every other construction type, $\underline{F}_{GR, CN}(1, 22) = 62.97$, $\underline{p} =$.0001, $\underline{F}_{GR, COMP}(1, 22) = 92.49$, $\underline{p} = .0001$, and $\underline{F}_{GR, RR}(1, 22) = 86.53$, $\underline{p} = .0001$. Other levels were not significantly different with each other, $\underline{F}_{CN, COMP}(1, 22) = 2.83$, $\underline{p} = .10$, $\underline{F}_{CN, RR}(1, 22) = 1.86$, $\underline{p} = .18$, and $\underline{F}_{COMP, RR}(1, 22) = .10$, $\underline{p} = .75$.

ANOVA with sentences as variables also suggested that there was a main effect by Construction Type, $\underline{F}(3, 36) = 11.24$, $\underline{p} < .0001$. The Tukey/ Kramer post hoc test also revealed that at p < .05, statistically significant differences were present between the GR and every other level, but not in other combination of levels. Therefore, both the analyses by participants and items suggest that the Japanese native speakers rated the GR lower than any other type.

These results support <u>H1</u> the Markedness Hypothesis for NR by Japanese native speakers, which predicted that naturalness rating of the GR sentences by Japanese native speakers should be lower than those of the RR, the CN, and the COMP sentences.

Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating (EUR) by Japanese Native Speakers

After the naturalness rating task, another scale was given to Japanese native speakers, i.e., the scale of ease of understanding for English JSLs (see Appendix 5B for the EUR scores for each item by individual Japanese native speakers). These Japanese speakers were learning English as a second language and had a good command of English. They were thus asked to consult actively their knowledge of L2 to make a decision.

Table 3-3

Mean Values for Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating on a Scale of 1-5, 1 Being the Most Difficult and 5 Being the Easiest, for Four Construction Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Mean	S.D.	S.E.				
3.52	.50	.11				
3.43	.62	.13				
2.26	. 58	.12				
3.43	53_	.11				
	Mean 3.52 3.43 2.26 3.43	Mean S.D. 3.52 .50 3.43 .62 2.26 .58 3.43 .53				

As in the naturalness ratings, the mean value of ease of understanding for the GR was lower than any other level. ANOVA with the participants as variables suggested that Construction Type had the main effect, $\underline{F}(3, 66) = 28.92$, p < .0001. Planned comparisons between every two levels were done, and there were statistically significant differences between the GR and every other level, $\underline{F}_{GR, CN}(1, 22) = 63.81$, $\underline{p} = .0001$, $\underline{F}_{GR, COMP}(1, 22) = 54.50$, $\underline{p} = .0001$, and $\underline{F}_{GR, RR}(1, 22) = 54.50$, $\underline{p} = .0001$. Other levels were not significantly different, $\underline{F}_{CN, COMP}(1, 22) = .37$, $\underline{p} = .55$, $\underline{F}_{CN, RR}(1, 22) = .37$, $\underline{p} = .55$, and $\underline{F}_{COMP, RR}(1, 22) = .0001$, $\underline{p} = 1.00$.

ANOVA with sentences as variables also indicated that Construction Type had a statistically significant effect, E(3, 36) = 6.24, p = .002. The statistically significant differences were found, according to Tukey/ Kramer post hoc test, at p < .05, between GR and every other level, and not between any other two levels.

Japanese native speakers rated the GR lower than any other construction type in terms of ease of understanding for English speakers learning Japanese as a second language. These results support <u>H4</u> the Markedness Hypothesis for EUR by Japanese Native Speakers which predicted that ease of understanding for English JSLs rating of the GR sentences by Japanese native speakers should be lower than those of the RR, the CN, and the COMP sentences.

Japanese Native Speakers: Naturalness and Ease of Understanding for English JSLs

According to one-way ANOVA with sentences as variables and Judgement Scale as a factor, Judgement Scale had a significant effect, F(1, 39) = 19.12, p < .0001. Japanese native speakers overall rated higher according to the naturalness scale (M =3.56, <u>SD</u> = .96, <u>SE</u> = .15) than according to the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale (M = 3.16, <u>SD</u> = .89, <u>SE</u> = .14). However, for each construction type, Japanese native speakers only tended to rate higher on the basis of naturalness than on the basis of ease of understanding for English JSLs. The differences were not significant: \underline{F}_{RR} (1, 18) = 3.34, $\underline{p} = .08$, \underline{F}_{GR} (1, 18) = .14, $\underline{p} = .71$, \underline{F}_{CN} (1, 18) = .62, $\underline{p} = .44$, and \underline{F}_{COMP} (1, 18) = 4.18, $\underline{p} = .06$.

Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated between the rankings of the sentences between the two judgement scales within construction type (see Appendices 5A and 5B for ranking for each item by Japanese native speakers). If a significant correlation indicated the ranking of ten sentences did not differ across two judgement scales, it follows that the participants used a consistent decision criterion across naturalness and ease of understanding scales. If a significant correlation did not indicate that the ranking of ten sentences two judgement scales, it follows that the participants were using different underlying decision criteria across the two judgement scales for the particular construction types.

There was a statistically significant correlation in ranking between the two overall judgement scales: $\underline{r}_{4} = .77$, p < .001. However, a statistically significant correlation was found only for the GR, $\underline{r}_{4 \text{ GR}} = .93$, $\underline{p} = .005$ (see Figure 3-1 for consistency in ranking between the judgement scales for the GR). The correlations were not found for other construction types, $\underline{r}_{4 \text{ CN}} = .59$, $\underline{p} = .08$, $\underline{r}_{4 \text{ COMP}} = .49$, $\underline{p} = .14$ and, $\underline{r}_{4 \text{ RR}} = .64$, $\underline{p} = .06$ (Figures 3-2, 3-3, and 3-4 for ranking changes between the judgement scales for the CN, the COMP, and the RR). Only for the GR, did Japanese native speakers use a consistent decision criterion for both judgement tasks. For the other construction types, their decision criteria changed between the first and the second judgement scales.

The use of an identical criterion for the GR suggests Japanese native speakers did not know how to rate the GR in terms of ease of understanding for English speakers. It was obvious to the participants who spoke Japanese natively that the GR sentences were odd. They thought all the GR stimuli would be difficult for English JSLs to understand, so they rated them according to the naturalness scale, only they lowered the scores slightly but not significantly.



Figure 3-1. Rating scores for ten GR sentences by 23 Japanese native speakers on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the semantic relation between the meaning of the modifying clause and the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Rankings of the sentences are significantly correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-2. Rating scores for ten CN sentences by 23 Japanese native speakers on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the semantics of the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. The rankings of the sentences are not correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-3. Rating scores for ten COMP sentences by 23 Japanese native speakers on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the semantics of the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Ranking of the sentences are not correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-4. Rating scores for ten RR sentences on the by 23 Japanese native speakers on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the thematic role that the head noun plays in relation with the clausal verb is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. The rankings of the sentences are not correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.

There were significant changes in ranking between the judgement scales for the RR, the CN, and the COMP, with a tendency toward lower scores on the scale of ease of understanding than on the scale of naturalness. Whatever the cause, the nature of naturalness differed from the nature of ease of understanding in case of the RR, the CN, and the COMP.

Most importantly, the fact that the criteria on two scales matched only for the GR and not for other types indicates the GR was treated differently from other types. That there was a overall significant ranking correlation between the judgement scales and that the GR was singly responsible for the overall correlation are strong evidence that Japanese native speakers clearly grouped the GR out of other subtypes. This, in addition to the lowest rating score for the GR, suggests that Japanese speakers knew the GR was different from other construction types.

Naturalness Rating (NR) by English JSLs

English-speaking, Japanese as a second language learners were asked to rate the sentences with different types of NMC on the scale of naturalness (see Appendix 5C for the NR scores for each item by individual English JSLs). If the participants were sensitive to processing resource differences or the necessity of finding an unexpressed predicate, they should find the GR to be the least natural among others (H2). If they relied on the structural familiarity of their L1, the RR and the COMP, equivalent of English relative clause and complement with nominal head, should be rated higher than the GR and the CN, which are missing in L1 (H3).

The mean values of the rating were assembled in Table 3-4.

Ta	ble	3-	4
l a	Dic	J-	2

Mean	Values for Na	<u>turalne</u>	ss Rating	<u>on a So</u>	cale of 1-	5 <u>, 1 Be</u>	ing Leas	<u>st Natural</u>	and	<u>5</u>
Being	the Most Nati	ural, for	Four Co	onstruct	ion Types	by 11	English	Japanese	as a	Second
Langu	age Learners							-		
Const	nuction Type	Mean	S.D .	S.E.						

Mean	J.D.	J.C.
3.61	.64	.19
3.22	.64	.19
2.98	.58	.18
3.40	.68	.21
	3.61 3.22 2.98 3.40	Mean S.D. 3.61 .64 3.22 .64 2.98 .58 3.40 .68

The GR was rated lowest. The CN was rated highest, and the RR and the COMP were rated in this order between the CN and the GR. Repeated measures ANOVA with participants as variables indicated that a statistically significant effect was made by Construction Type, E(3, 30) = 3.24, p = .04. Planned comparisons were done between every two levels. There was a statistically significant difference only between the CN and the GR, $E_{CN, GR}(1, 10) = 8.96$, p = .006. The differences between other levels were not significant, $E_{CN, COMP}(1, 10) = 3.45$, p = .07, $E_{CN, RR}(1, 10) = .96$, p = .33, $E_{COMP, GR}(1, 10) = 1.29$, p = .27, $E_{COMP, RR} = .76$, p = .39, and $E_{GR, RR}(1, 10) = 4.04$, p = .05.

ANOVA with sentences as variable indicated that there was no effect by Construction Type, $\underline{F}(3, 36) = 2.34$, $\underline{p} = .09$. The Tukey/ Kramer post hoc test suggested that there were no statistically significant differences between any levels.

English JSLs found the CN the most natural and the GR the least natural. The fact that the GR was rated lowest supports <u>H2</u>, and the fact that the CN was rated highest rejects <u>H3</u>.

H2, the Markedness Hypothesis for NR by English JSLs, was supported which predicted that the naturalness rating of the GR sentences by English JSLs should be lower than those of the RR, the CN, and the COMP sentences. The data showed that the GR was scored the lowest.

H3, the Transfer Hypothesis for NR by English JSLs, was not supported. This hypothesis predicted that the naturalness ratings of the RR and the COMP sentences by English JSLs should be higher than those of the CN and the GR sentences. Analyses by participants suggested that NR(CN) was significantly higher than NR(GR), with NR (RR) and NR(COMP) between them. This pattern does not support the hypothesis.

Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating (EUR) by English JSLs

English JSLs were asked to make active use of their knowledge of L1 to make judgements on the ease of understanding for English JSLs (see Appendix 5D for the EUR scores for each item by individual English JSLs). The mean values for different construction types are in Table 3-5.

Table 3-5

Mean Values for Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating on a Scale of 1-5.1
Being the Most Difficult and 5 Being the Easiest, for Four Construction Types by 11
English Japanese as a Second Language Learners

Construction Type	Mean	S.D.	S.E.
CN	3.15	.52	.16
COMP	2.96	.69	.21
GR	2.47	.53	.16
RR	3.36	.54	.16

The GR was again rated the lowest. The RR was considered easiest to understand, followed by the CN and the COMP in that order.

Repeated measures ANOVA with participants as variable suggested that there was a statistically significant effect by Construction Type, F(3, 30) = 5.16, g = .005. Planned comparisons were done between two levels, and F-ratios were computed. The significant differences were present between the GR and all other levels, $F_{GR,RR}(1, 10) = 14.21$, g = .0007, $F_{GR,CN}(1, 10) = 8.10$, g = .008, and $F_{GR,COMP}(1, 10) = 4.31$, g = .05. Other differences were not significant, $F_{CN,COMP}(1, 10) = .59$, g = .45, $F_{CN,RR}(1, 10) = .85$, g = .36, and $F_{COMP,RR}(1, 10) = 2.86$, g = .10.

ANOVA with sentences as variable indicated there was a main effect by Construction Type, E(3, 36) = 4.04, p = .01. The Tukey/ Kramer post hoc test suggested that at p < .05, there was a statistically significant difference between the GR and the RR but not between other levels.

Both analyses by participants and items suggest that the RR was easier than the GR to understand for English JSLs. This finding supports both <u>H5</u>, the Markedness Hypothesis for EUR by English JSLs which predicted the pattern of EUR(RR), EUR(CN), EUR(COMP) > EUR(GR) and <u>H6</u>, the Transfer Hypothesis for EUR by English JSLs which predicted the pattern of EUR(RR), EUR(COMP) > EUR(CN), EUR(GR). However, the fact that the analyses by participants suggested that EUR(GR) was significantly lower than any other construction types gives more support to <u>H5</u>, the Markedness Hypothesis.⁵

English JSLs: Naturalness and Ease of Understanding for English JSLs

The results from the ease of understanding for English JSLs rating yielded more statistical significance than the results from the naturalness rating. In the analyses of

naturalness rating scores, only ANOVA with participants as variable yielded one statistically significant difference between the CN and the GR. On the other hand, in the analyses of ease of understanding rating scores, both analyses by participants (suggesting that the GR was the lowest of all construction types) and analyses by items (suggesting that the RR was significantly different from the lowest GR) yielded significant effects. English JSLs had a clearer idea about the ease of understanding for English JSLs than about naturalness of NMC. It is understandable that the second language learners do not have clear sense of naturalness in their L2. Active use of L1 knowledge is a stronger judgement principle for English JSLs.

A one-way ANOVA with Judgement Scale as a factor revealed that, overall, English JSLs rated higher on the scale of naturalness ($\underline{M} = 3.30$, $\underline{SD} = .58$, $\underline{SE} = .09$) than on the scale of ease of understanding for English JSLs ($\underline{M} = 2.99$, $\underline{SD} = .65$, $\underline{SE} = .10$), \underline{F} (1, 39) = 13.40, $\underline{p} = .0007$. However, Judgement Scale did not have statistically significant effect for the ratings of each construction type: \underline{F}_{RR} (1, 18) = .05, $\underline{p} = .08$, \underline{F}_{GR} (1, 18) = 4.20, $\underline{p} = .06$, \underline{F}_{CN} (1, 18) = 2.69, $\underline{p} = .12$, and \underline{F}_{COMP} (1, 18) = .99, $\underline{p} = .33$.

A Spearman Rank Correlation was used to determine if a significant change existed in ranking of sentences between the two judgement scales (see Appendices 5C and 5B for ranking for each item by English JSLs). There was a statistically significant correlation in overall ranking between the two judgement scales: $\underline{r}_{4} = .62$, p <.0001. Statistically significant correlations were found for the CN and the COMP between the judgement scales, $\underline{r}_{4} = .82$, $\underline{p} = .01$ and $\underline{r}_{4} = .71$, $\underline{p} = .03$ (see Figures 3-5 and 3-6 for consistent ranking across two judgement scales for CN and COMP). The correlation was not significant for the GR and the RR, $\underline{r}_{4} = .19$, $\underline{p} = .58$ and, $\underline{r}_{4} = .33$, $\underline{p} = .33$ (see Figures 3-7 and 3-8 for ranking change between two judgement scales for RR and GR).

Only for the CN and the COMP, did the English JSLs use the same underlying decision criterion on both the scale of naturalness and on the scale of ease of understanding for English JSLs; for the RR and the GR, the decision criteria were not consistent.


Figure 3-5. Rating scores for ten CN sentences by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the semantics of the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Ranking of the sentences are significantly correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-6. Rating scores for ten COMP sentences by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the semantics of the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Ranking of the sentences are significantly correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-7. Rating scores for ten RR sentences on the by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs. For each sentence, the thematic role that the head noun plays in relation with the clausal verb is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Ranking of the sentences are not correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.



Figure 3-8. Rating scores for ten GR sentences by 11 English Japanese as a second language learnes on the scales of naturalness and ease of understanding for Englis JSLs. For each sentence, the semantic relation between the meaning of the modifying clause and the head noun is shown. The meaning of the head noun is within single quotes. Ranking of the sentences are not correlated between the naturalness scale and the ease of understanding for English JSLs scale.

Japanese Native Speakers vs. English JSLs

The speaker group difference between the overall scores was not expected because the scores were anchored at the time of assigning scores; the participants were asked to first assign '1' and '5' to one sentence each before they started to assign the scores '1' through '5' to all the sentences in the list. It was expected that the interactions between Speaker Group and Construction Type would be found for the scores of each judgement scale.

Ease of understanding rating. The Speaker Group did not make a significant effect, F(1, 32) = 2.26, p = .14 (Figure 3-9). No significant interaction between Construction Type and Speaker Group was found, F(3, 96) = 2.42, p = .07.



Figure 3-9. Ease of understanding for English JSLs rating by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners and 23 Japanese native speakers with ten sentences for each construction type (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). "1" was the most difficult to understand for English JSLs, and "5" was the easist to understand for English JSLs.

T-tests showed no significant differences between speaker groups for any construction types: $\underline{df} = 9$, $\underline{t}_{RR} = 2.03$, $\underline{p} = .07$, $\underline{t}_{GR} = -.99$, $\underline{p} = .35$, $\underline{t}_{CN} = 2.12$, $\underline{p} = .06$, and $\underline{t}_{COMP} = 2.03$, $\underline{p} = .07$.

<u>Naturalness rating</u>. The Speaker Group did not have a significant effect, $\underline{F}(1, 32) = 2.31$, $\underline{p} = .14$. However, there was a significant interaction between Construction Type and Speaker Group, $\underline{F}(3, 96) = 7.30$, $\underline{p} = .0002$ (Figure 3-10).

For each construction type, a *t*-test was conducted between the two speaker groups. For the COMP, the GR, and the RR, the difference in rating scores was

significant between Japanese native speakers and English JSLs: $\underline{df} = 9$, $\underline{t}_{COMP} = 3.89$, $\underline{p} = .004$, $\underline{t}_{GR} = -2.51$, $\underline{p} = .03$, and $\underline{t}_{RR} = 2.43$, $\underline{p} = .04$, but not significant for the CN, $\underline{t}_{CN} = .91$, $\underline{p} = .39$. Japanese native speakers rated significantly higher for the RR and the COMP, and lower for the GR than English JSLs; however, they rated equally for the CN.



Figure 3-10. Naturalness rating by 11 English Japanese as a second language learners and 23 Japanese native speakers with ten sentences for each construction type (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). "1" was the least natural, and "5" was the most natural.

As for the speaker group differences, EUR patterns by English JSLs and Japanese native speakers were very similar (see Figure 3-9) while the patterns of naturalness rating were different (see Figure 3-10). Presuming that English JSLs did not have a clear sense of naturalness in their L2, it is to be expected that naturalness ratings between two speaker groups did not match. According to naturalness scale, the following pattern was found: equal ratings for the CN, lower ratings for the GR by Japanese native speakers than English JSLs, and higher ratings for the RR and the COMP by Japanese native speakers than English JSLs. Under the circumstances, where the ratings were anchored and consequently no overall speaker group differences in either scale were found, these speaker group differences can be explained as follows.

Above all, these speaker group differences do not illustrate any processing characteristics of the speaker groups but can be ascribed to two factors. First, English JSLs did not have a good sense of naturalness, so they tended to assign intermediate scores, making the distribution of the scores narrow. Secondly, Japanese native speakers had a clearer sense of naturalness (both analyses by participants and items showed that the GR was lower than the rest, and nothing else was suggested), so they assigned the distinctively low scores to the GR and the higher scores to the rest, creating a broader spread among their scores compared to English JSLs.

The narrower spread of the scores by English JSLs than by Japanese native speakers had the following consequences. First, the narrower spread made the most highly scored CN by English JSLs to be as high as the third highest CN, which clusters with the highest two, by Japanese native speakers. Secondly, it made the lowest GR by English JSLs significantly higher than the lowest GR by Japanese native speakers. Thirdly, it made significant differences between the third highest COMP by English JSLs and the highest COMP by Japanese native speakers and between the second highest RR by English JSLs and the second highest RR by Japanese native speakers.

Summary of the Results

For both judgement scales, Japanese native speakers rated the GR significantly lower than any other construction type. They used the same decision criterion for rating the GR and different decision criteria for the RR, the CN, and the COMP on two judgement scales. GR's lowest rating scores and the correlation between the two judgement scales support the special marked status of GR.

English JSLs' rating patterns were different from Japanese native speakers. English JSLs found the CN more natural than the GR (only the participants analyses but not the items analyses), and found the RR easier than the GR (both analyses by participants and items) and the GR more difficult than any other types (only the participants analyses but not the items analyses). The fact that the RR and the COMP were in the middle of the naturalness scale rather than at the top of it and the fact that the CN was not more difficult to understand than the RR and the COMP rejects the Transfer Hypothesis. The results support the Markedness Hypothesis better because the rating scores of GR were consistently lowest. They used consistent decision criteria for rating the CN and the COMP stimuli and inconsistent decision criteria for rating the RR and the GR stimuli. Compared to Japanese native speakers' results, the results from English JSLs call for interpretation.

Overall, both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs rated lower on the scale of ease of understanding for English JSLs than on the scale of naturalness.

On the scale of ease of understanding for English JSLs, there were no significant differences between the scores by Japanese native speakers and by English JSLs for each construction type. However, on the scale of naturalness, Japanese native speakers and English JSLs rated the CN equally, but Japanese native speakers rated the RR and the COMP higher and the GR lower than English JSLs. These between judgement scales and between speaker group differences were not believed to be attributable to Construction Type.

The results are summarized in Table 3-6.

Table 3-6

Summary of	the Result	s in Exp	eriment 1:	Rating Tas	<u>ks</u>
------------	------------	----------	------------	-------------------	-----------

Measure	Japanese Native Speakers	English JSLs
NR	NR(COMP)> NR(GR)	NR(CN) > NR(GR) [participants
	NR(RR) > NR(GR)	analyses only]
	NR(CN) > NR(GR) [both	
	participants analyses and items	
	analyses]	
EUR	EUR(RR) > EUR(GR)	EUR(RR) > EUR(GR) [both
	EUR(CN) > EUR(GR)	participants analyses and items
	EUR(COMP) > EUR(GR) [both	analyses]
	participants analyses and items	EUR(CN) > EUR(GR)
	analyses]	EUR(COMP) > EUR(GR)
		[participants analyses only]
Rank	$NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP)_t c/w$	NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP), c/w EUR(RR,
Correlation	EUR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) _r	GR, CN, COMP) _r
	$NR(RR)_{t}$ nc/w EUR(RR) _t	NR(RR) _r nc/w EUR(RR) _r
	NR(GR) _r c/w EUR(GR) _r	NR(GR) _r nc/w EUR(GR) _r
	NR(CN) _r nc/w EUR(CN) _r	NR(CN) _r c/wEUR(CN) _r
	NR(COMP), nc/w EUR(COMP),	NR(COMP), c/w EUR(COMP),
Between	NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) > EUR(RR,	NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) > EUR(RR, GR,
NR and	GR, CN, COMP)	CN, COMP)
EUR	NR(RR) = EUR(RR)	NR(RR) = EUR(RR)
	NR(GR) = EUR(GR)	NR(GR) = EUR(GR)
	NR(CN) = EUR(CN)	NR(CN) = EUR(CN)
	NR(COMP) = EUR(COMP)	NR(COMP) = EUR(COMP)
Between	NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) Japanese Native Speak	$t_{ter} = NR(RR, GR, CN, COMP)_{English JSL}$
Speaker	NR(RR) _{Japanese Native Speaker} > NR(RR)	English JSL
Groups	NR(GR) Japanese Native Speaker < NR(GR)	English JSL
	NR(CN) _{Japanese Native Speaker} = NR(CN)	English JSL
	NR(COMP) _{Japanese Native Speaker} > NR(C	COMP) _{English JSL}

EUR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) Japanese Native Speaker = EUR(RR, GR, CN, COMP) English	h JSL
EUR(RR) _{Japanese Native Speaker} = EUR(RR) _{English JSL}	
EUR(GR) _{Japanese Native Speaker} = EUR(GR) _{Eadlish JSL}	
EUR(CN) Japanese Native Speaker = EUR(CN) Easting JSL	
EUR(COMP) _{Japanese Native Speaker} = EUR(COMP) _{English JSL}	

Note, c/w: correlates with, nc/w: does not correlate with, NR: naturalness rating, EUR: ease of understanding for English JSLs rating.

The applicability of the concepts embodied in the markedness and transfer hypotheses for off-line processing of NMCs was tested in this experiment. NR, EUR, and ranking correlation results from Japanese native speakers clearly supported the Markedness Hypothesis. Japanese native speakers distinguished GR from CN, COMP, and RR; CN, COMP, and RR were internally indistinguishable for them.

NR and EUR results from English JSLs gave more support to the Markedness Hypothesis than the Transfer Hypothesis. It is apparent that the interpretation of English JSLs' rating and correlation patterns involve more than the concepts of markedness and transfer. English JSLs were able to distinguish among NMC subtypes more than Japanese native speakers, suggesting that factors other than the sense of markedness were involved in English JSLs' processing.

The processing sensitivities that cannot be explained by the concepts of markedness or transfer will be identified in the last chapter with the results from other experiments.

This experiment employed an off-line task allowing the participants to reflect on their knowledge of Japanese and English. In the following chapter, the semi on-line experiment is described. This translation task under time constraint was expected to capture aspects of processing that the off-line task could not.

Notes

¹ Experiments 1-3 were conducted in sequence in the order of Experiment 3, Experiment 2, and Experiment 1. This chapter describes Experiment 1, i.e., the last experiment.

² For example, the participants tended to assign numbers from the top of the list without finding the items for 1 and 5. When that was happening, the experimenter asked the participants to find one item to assign 1 and the other item to assign 5.

³ Three participants out of 23 Japanese native speakers and one participant out of 11 English JSLs asked what 'natural' meant.

⁴ One Japanese native speaker asked if 'difficult to learn' meant 'long explanation for English JSLs.' The researcher agreed.

⁵ In both judgement scales, the GR was scored the lowest by English JSLs. This clearly supports the markedness hypotheses. The other explanation for this result, based on the same grounds as markedness, is that English JSLs found the GR sentences difficult to comprehend even prior to judging the GR sentences in terms of the two scales. That is, English JSLs rated the GR sentences lowest because they were hard to comprehend rather than they were less natural or difficult to understand for English JSLs themselves. This is supported by the results from the translation task, where the translation accuracy of the GR was the lowest. The GR sentences were hard to comprehend and took longer than the time allowed, and English JSLs could not provide appropriate translation for the GR compared to the stimuli of other construction types. The lowest translation accuracy supported the marked status of the GR with respect to the others.

Chapter 4

Experiment 2

Semi On-Line Translation Task under Time Constraint

In this experiment, English JSLs (Japanese as second language learners) read and translated four types of NMC into English under a time constraint. It was expected that accuracy of translation and forms of correct translation would indicate English JSLs' sensitivity to the four types of NMC.

Accuracy of translation was expected to correlate with ease of comprehending the four types of NMC. Markedness and transfer were expected to be relevant to the levels of comprehension ease, while the other hypotheses, i.e., gap and frame hypotheses, are not relevant.

Japanese native speakers were not included because their performance in translating NMCs to English would reflect their proficiency in English rather than their comprehension of Japanese NMCs.

Objectives and Rationale of the Experiment

English JSLs were asked to read a Japanese sentence on the computer screen and translate orally the material in the NMC within 40 seconds. Readings and English translation were recorded. Two data sets were obtained: the scores for translation (translation accuracy: the ratio of the number of correct translations over the sum of correct and incorrect translations) and correct responses.

The first data set was used to test the hypotheses which both make predictions about the success rate of comprehension of the different construction types. The Markedness Hypothesis predicts that the GR, i.e., the marked type, should be harder to process than the unmarked members; therefore, the RR, CN, and COMP should be easier to comprehend than the GR. The Transfer Hypothesis predicts that the familiar RR and COMP should be easier to process than the unfamiliar GR and CN; therefore, the RR and the COMP should be easier to comprehend than the GR and the CN, and this should be reflected in translation accuracy.

Two stages were potentially involved in this task from the perspective of the participants. English JSLs comprehended the material and then expressed the idea in English. Both hypotheses make predictions about the first stage, i.e., comprehending NMCs. The Markedness Hypothesis claims that marked structures should be harder to comprehend, so comprehension of GR should be harder because of its markedness status. The Transfer Hypothesis claims that knowledge of L1 is used to comprehend L2, so comprehension of the RR and the COMP should be easier than the GR and CN because their equivalents exist in L1. The first data set was used for testing the hypotheses.

The second data set was used to determine the ease of the second stage, i.e., the different degrees of ease of translation among the four construction types. Neither the Transfer nor the Markedness hypothesis make predictions on how well the English JSLs should express the understood idea in English. The Transfer Hypothesis does not predict how effectively the L1 can be used to express an idea conveyed by L2. How the English language could express the marked structures with respect to the unmarked structures of Japanese is not relevant to the Markedness Hypothesis.

It was considered likely that once the English JSLs comprehended the material (consisting of relatively simple or uncomplicated propositions), expressing it in English should be less costly because it is done in their L1. Because the second component (expression) is trivial when compared to comprehension, the level of success in translating NMCs should reflect ease of comprehension rather than ease of expression.

However, it was also anticipated that translation accuracy might reflect ease of translating rather than ease of comprehending the NMCs. Therefore, ease of translation was independently measured in two ways: in terms of the forms and in terms of the propositional structures of the correct translation. The second data set was used for this purpose.

Fundamentally, the intention of the experiment was to test comprehension. In addition, ease of expressing the stimuli in English was also measured.

First, the kinds of English forms in the correct translations were analyzed. The assumption was that the more methods available to express certain types of NMC in English, the easier the particular NMC type should be to translate. Secondly, the predicate-argument structures expressed in the translation were compared with those of

the original stimuli. Fewer translations showing a change in the original predicateargument structure of certain NMC types were assumed to correspond to greater ease in translating the NMC.

Accuracy of translation and ease of translation,¹ independently measured in these tasks, were then compared. The rankings among the four construction types in terms of the accuracy of translation and the ease of expressing (forms and propositional changes observed in the correct translation) were not expected to correlate with each other. Translation accuracy was not expected to be a reflection of the ease of translation but, rather, is a reflection of the ease of comprehension. The forms were also expected to show how NMC subtypes are interrelated rather than distinguished in terms of the method used to express them in English.

A time constraint was imposed to prevent all the translations from being always correct. The time constraint was also necessary to ensure that the output forms were the most readily available forms in the mind of the users rather than the results of thorough editing, which was not of interest in this study.

Experimental Hypotheses

Hypotheses 7 and 8 are formulated on translation accuracy (TA) in Table 4-1. Hypothesis 7 was predicted by the Markedness Hypothesis, and Hypothesis 8 was predicted by the Transfer Hypothesis.

Table 4-1

Experimen	ntal Hypotheses Tested in Experiment 2
Measure	English JSLs
TA	H7 Markedness Hypothesis for TA by English JSLs
	For English JSLs, TA of GR sentences should be lower
	than those of RR, CN and COMP sentences.
	TA(RR), $TA(CN)$, $TA(COMP) > TA(GR)$
	H8 Transfer Hypothesis for TA by English JSLs
	For English JSLs, TA of RR and COMP sentences should
	be higher than those of GR and CN.
	TA(RR), $TA(COMP) > TA(GR)$, $TA(CN)$

Note. TA: translation accuracy

These experimental hypotheses each make predictions about the success of comprehension, i.e., how well each type of NMC should be comprehended. As for the forms of translation output, there were no experimental hypotheses. The forms were examined and generalizations were attempted.

Method

Participants

Eleven English-speaking Japanese as a second language learners (English JSLs) who participated in the first experiment (see Experiment 3, Chapter 5) continued as participants in Experiment 2 (see "Participants" Chapter 2 and Appendix 2).

<u>Stimuli</u>

Forty sentences used in the rating tasks (Experiment 1 in Chapter 3) were used.² These were also a part of the stimuli used in the self-paced reading and probe recognition tasks (Experiment 3 in Chapter 5). There were 10 sentences with the following pattern for each construction type as in (4-1).

(4-1) _____Head Noun*.....

In (4-1), the modifying clause is underlined. "Head Noun" indicates the location of the head noun. In the presentation on the computer screen, there were no underlines. Following the convention of Japanese punctuation, spaces were not used inside the sentence. Authentic Japanese orthography, as in Experiments 1 and 3, was used. The same material used in the self-paced reading and probe recognition tasks, which preceded this experiment, was used because it was assumed that translation accuracy would not be affected by familiarity with the material.

An asterisk, marking the end of the NMC in each sentence, was clearly shown on the screen. The participants were asked to translate up to the asterisk, i.e., the noun modifying clause and the head noun. The entire sentence was presented so that participants could see all parts to provide a context for the NMC.³ The segment reading task, in which the computer screen provides only one segment at a time, was not adopted because participants would not remember what they had read previously while producing

the English translation. Distracters with other syntactic forms were not used because accuracy of translating NMCs should not be affected by translating the sentences with a repeating grammatical pattern, i.e., sentences that always start with an NMC.

Forty sentences, ten for each Construction Type, were randomized and counterbalanced in terms of Construction Type. It was believed that since there were only 40 trials, the performances would not be affected by primacy and recency effects. Therefore, the order of presentation of the sentences was identical for all participants.

Procedure

The computer was used to enforce a time limit for each item. The oral translation method, rather than a pencil and paper method, was employed to save time. The pilot study with the pencil and paper method and without a time constraint suggested that when the participants freely spent time to translate, they eventually tended to come up with an appropriate translation for every item, causing a ceiling effect. The present test was designed to reveal the relative difficulties of certain construction types.

Word test. After the self-paced reading and probe recognition experiment (described in Chapter 5), the English JSLs were given a list of Japanese words with kana and English gloss counterparts (see Appendix 1 for the word check sheet and the scores by individual English JSLs). All the vocabulary in the translation task was provided, and words were listed in the order of presentation in the experiment. Participants were asked to check off the words they could read and translate. This was to help them become familiar with the vocabulary list which could be referred to until the end of the last experiment.

Instructions. Participants received oral instructions about the next task with the aid of the computer screen (see Appendix 6 for the instruction for the translation task). The emphasis on oral over computer guided instruction provided participants a break from looking at the computer screen, since the previous task involved a one-hour reading task using the computer.

Each participant was asked first to read the stimulus aloud in Japanese to assure s(he) looked at the entire sentence. They were asked to provide within 40 seconds an oral translation of each part only up to the asterisk. An instructor of Japanese at East Asian

Studies, University of Alberta, estimated that one minute would be the appropriate amount of time for the students to translate each sentence as a part of a written examination. The more severe time limit of 40 seconds was chosen, because the participants were to translate only the first portion of each sentence—the portion critical for the purpose of this experiment.

Participants were given a couple of practice examples, and these responses were monitored with the researcher present. They were then asked if they would mind the researcher sitting through the session. If the participant preferred doing the experiment alone, the tape recorder was started and the researcher left.⁴ Otherwise, the researcher stayed in the room while the responses were taped.

<u>Target sentences and on-line dictionary.</u> One target sentence at a time was presented in 14 point font at the center of the computer screen. At the top left corner of the screen, the Japanese vocabulary used in each stimulus, the readings in hiragana and the corresponding English gloss, were presented at the same time in 12 point font.⁵ The rationale behind providing this on-line dictionary was to examine how the participants construed the noun-modifying constructions rather than how well they knew the Japanese words.

<u>Trial sequence</u>. In each trial, participants did the following:

1) read the stimuli aloud,

2) gave an oral translation of the material up to the asterisk, consulting the dictionary on the screen if necessary, and

3) hit the space bar to go on to the next trial.

If 1) and 2) were not done within 40 seconds, the screen automatically changed to the next trial. The participants received a warning at 30 seconds and 35 seconds (both visually under the target sentence in 24 font size and aurally), which was recorded along with translation. This sequence is summarized below in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2

Sequence of a Translation Trial

	Sequence of a		
Į	Time (Sec.)	Activities on the Screen	Comment
	0	[At the center of the screen] 1	A number starting with '1' is presented at the center of the screen as an eye fixation point for 1 second.
	1	[At the left top corner] 2 to 5 words (Japanese words with English meaning) as an on-line dictionary	A Japanese sentence and corresponding gloss remained on the screen for 40 seconds.
		[At the centre where the number was presented] A Japanese sentence to be translated	If the participant hit the bar key any time during the period where the gloss and the sentence were on the screen, the screen changed to the next trial starting with the number as an eye fixation point.
	30	"Ten More Seconds Leff"	The warning sign, "Ten More Seconds Left," appeared with a correct beep under the sentence and disappeared in 4 seconds.
	35	"Five More Seconds Left"	The warning sign, "Five More Seconds Left," appeared with a correct beep under the sentence and disappeared in 3.5 seconds.
	38.5	<u>"Time Is Up"</u>	The warning sign, " <u>Time Is Up</u> ," appeared with a done beep under the sentence and disappeared in 1.5 seconds simultaneously with the on-line dictionary and the
	40		sentence.

'Psyscope' (Cohen, MacWhinney, Flatt, & Provost, 1993) was used to run the trials. This experiment took approximately 30 minutes.

Results

Data Analyses

The score '1' was assigned if the translation was correct, and '0' if it was incorrect (see Appendix 7 for the scores for each translation). To assure scores reflected ability to comprehend NMCs of each construction type rather than ability to express the Japanese material in English, four criteria (see below) were used. If all the four criteria were satisfied, the score '1' was given. If even one criterion was not satisfied, the score of '0' was given. When there was no response, '0' was assigned. However, the criteria allowed translations in which some words were imprecise but conveyed similar meanings. The criteria also forgave ungrammatical responses caused by obvious performance errors.

Evaluation procedure. The following four scoring criteria were used. (A) The meaning of the head noun was recognized correctly. The meaning of the head noun did not have to take the form of a noun.

(B) The meaning of the head noun was the head in the translation. When the translation took the form of a noun phrase, the meaning of the head noun was reflected in the head noun in the translation. When the translation took the form of a prepositional phrase, the meaning of the head noun was reflected in the preposition.

(C) The head and the modifying clause were recognized as having a syntactic or semantic relationship.

(D) The predicate in the modifying clause and the head noun were connected and made correct sense.

The first two criteria dealt with the translation of the head noun. (A) was used to allow prepositions as well as nouns to be the head for the translation (e.g., both 'prior to' and 'the moment prior to' satisfied this criterion). (B) rejected predicative structures containing the meaning of the head noun. When the meaning of the head noun in Japanese sentences was recognized, it had to be reflected in the meaning of the head in the translation (e.g., 'donating money for the gym' was not acceptable while 'the gym that I donated the money for' was, when 'the gym' was the head noun in the stimuli).

The third and fourth criteria dealt with associating the modifying clause and the head noun. (C) stated that the constituents had to be connected (e.g., 'practicing without

fail for the chess game' satisfied this criterion because the head 'practicing' and the rest of the material are associated by the prepositions, while 'the separation since long ago the preparation' did not because a connection between the head 'the separation' and the following material is not expressed). Once the association was recognized, (D) was used to screen out the phrases that made sense but did not contain the meaning that the predicate in the stimuli conveyed (e.g., 'practicing without fail for the chess game' sounds good but failed to satisfy this criterion because the predicate in the original stimulus is not recognized, while 'practice for definitely winning a game of chess' was accepted because it contains the predicate 'win').

These criteria were important for arriving at an objective and appropriate assessment of correct and incorrect responses. Initially, the responses were analyzed by these separate four criteria, but none provided significant insights. Therefore, these criteria were collapsed and subsequent analyses were based on the score of either '1' (correct) of '0' (incorrect).

Statistical Analyses

Construction Type (four levels) was the independent variable. The data were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA for both analyses by participants (summing over differences among stimuli) and by items (summing over differences among participants).

In the analyses by participants, Construction Type was treated as a repeated measure factor, while in the items analyses it was treated as a between-items factor. In other words, because in the ANOVA, with participants as variables, all the participants received the same treatments, causing the variance within the level of Construction Type to be more restricted, it was expected that the analyses by participants would always yield more significant results than the items analyses.

Translation Accuracy

After assigning the score of '1' or '0' following the evaluation procedure, the scores were tabulated and the mean score for each construction type was calculated, which yielded Table 4-3.

Table 4-3

Mean Values, Standard Deviations, and Standard Errors by Participants fo	r Translation
Accuracy for Four Construction Types with 10 Sentences Each for Constru	uction Type by
11 English Japanese as a Second Language Learners	

Construction Type	Mean	S.D .	S.E.
CN	.64	.23	.07
COMP	.72	.36	.11
GR	.47	.35	.11
RR	.60	.36	.11

Note. If a translation was correct, each sentence received '1.' If a translation was incorrect, it received '0.'

It was clear that the score for the GR was the lowest, and the score for the COMP was highest, with the CN and RR in between. The English JSLs provided the most accurate translation for the COMP, the least accurate for the GR. The CN and the RR were intermediate.

Analyses by participants showed an effect by Construction Type, E(3, 30) = 7.35, g = .0008. Planned comparisons were done for every two levels of Construction Type. There were significant differences between the GR and the other types: $E_{GR, CN}(1, 10)=$ 9.44, g = .005, $E_{GR, COMP}(1, 10) = 21.23$, g = .0001, and $E_{GR, RR}(1, 10) = 5.71$, g = .02. The difference was also significant between the COMP and the RR, $E_{COMP, RR}(1, 10) =$ 4.92, g = .03. The differences were not significant between the CN and the RR, and between the CN and the COMP: $E_{CN, RR}(1, 10) = .47$, g = .5, and $E_{CN, COMP}(1, 10) = 2.36$, g = .14.

However, the one-way ANOVA with sentences as variables showed only a tendency for the effect of Construction Type, E(3, 36) = 2.76, g = .06. The Tukey/Kramer post hoc test showed, at the p < .05 level, there was a significant difference between translation accuracy of the COMP and GR sentences, but not between other construction types.

That is, ranking of the four construction types according to translation accuracy was: TA(COMP) > TA(CN) > TA(RR) > TR(GR). Participants analyses suggested: TA(COMP), TA(CN), TA(RR) > TA(GR), and TA(COMP) > TA(RR). TA(COMP) and TA(CN), and TA(CN) and TA(RR) were indistinguishable. Items analyses suggested only: TA(COMP) > TA(GR). TA(COMP), TA(CN), and TA(RR) were internally indistinguishable, and TA(CN), TA(RR), and TA(GR) were internally indistinguishable.

The translation accuracy scores of the CN, COMP and RR were combined, and compared to those of GR in a single-df ANOVA. Both participants analyses (df = 1, \underline{F} = 16.89, \underline{p} = .0003) and items analyses (df = 1, \underline{F} = 6.33, \underline{p} = .02) showed significant differences between TA(COMP, CN, RR) and TA(GR). This supports the Markedness Hypothesis.

Recall that the goal of this experiment was to test the predictions of the Transfer and the Markedness Hypotheses. The Transfer Hypothesis predicted that translation accuracy of RR and COMP should be higher than those of CN and GR. The results supported the relation between COMP and GR. However, the results did not support any other part of this hypothesis. The Markedness Hypothesis, on the other hand, predicted that translation accuracy of GR should be lower than COMP, CN, and RR, but did not predict the differences among those three types. The results supported a relation between COMP and GR. However, participants analyses also suggested a difference between COMP and RR, which was not predicted by the Markedness Hypothesis.

The significantly lower TA(GR) compared to TA(COMP) was suggested by analyses by participants and by items. This was predicted both by the Markedness and Transfer Hypotheses. Therefore, both hypotheses were partially supported.

However, the Markedness Hypothesis was better supported because the participants analyses (but not the items analyses) suggest that the TA(GR) was lower than any other type, matching the exact prediction of the Markedness Hypothesis. Neither participants nor items analyses separated COMP and RR from CN and GR, the prediction by the Transfer Hypothesis.

Analyses of Forms of Correct Responses

Based on the assumption that the ease of translating the ideas conveyed by different NMCs was directly proportional to the number of forms used in the responses, the forms in the correct responses were analyzed. These forms were created within a very severe time constraint and were assumed to be an unedited product. The output forms were examined, and then generalizations about the strategies used to associate the heads and the modifiers were formulated. The focus was on how the relation between the head and the following complement was signaled. Six strategies were recognized that differ in the scale of signaling the relation: from a strategy where no element between the head and the following modifier exists to signal the relation, to one in which the head itself signals the relation with the modifier. The strategies were:

Strategy 1, 'Relation Unspecified,' is where there was no overt element signaling the relation between the head noun and the modifier. A typical example is the English complement with nominal head (as a translation of COMP stimuli), in which 'that,' as complementizer, intervenes between the two constituents without signaling the relation.

Strategy 2, 'Apposition,' is where the elements between the head noun and the modifier signaled a relation of equation, such as 'saying that,' 'which says,' and 'with regard to,' which was exclusively associated with translation of COMP stimuli. The difference between the 'that' complementizer in Strategy 1 and the apposition establishing phrases in Strategy 2 is that the phrases in Strategy 2 encode that the head noun and the modifier are in appositive relation while 'that' as a complementizer in Strategy 1 does not.

Strategy 3, 'Relative Clause' is where the relation is not specified overtly by any elements intervening between the two constituents, but the relation is grammatically coded by the subject/ object of a verb or the object of a preposition. Examples are 'music that has been used to comfort hurt people's heart' (a translation of a RR stimulus), 'the breakup that I have been preparing for a long time' (a translation of a GR stimulus) and 'the church from which the bride and the groom come out⁶' (a translation of a RR stimulus).

Strategy 4, 'Wh Adverb,' is where 'where/ when/ why' intervene between the head noun and the modifier to signal the relation between the head noun and the modifier. Some examples are: 'movie where you figure out the plot in the first five minutes' (a translation of a GR stimulus), 'the sound when the buses pass the main street' (a translation of a CN stimulus) and 'the reason why I didn't go to the piano lesson' (a translation of a CN stimulus).

Strategy 5, 'Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase,' is where varieties of relations are expressed by prepositions or prepositional phrases. Some examples are: 'of' as in 'an

advertisement of selling a used piano,' 'the result of eating too much at the restaurant yesterday,' 'the sound of the bus passing through main street' (translations of a CN stimulus) and 'prize of becoming a champion' (a translation of a GR stimulus).

Strategy 6, 'Prepositional Head,' is where the head itself is signaling the relation in the form of prepositions or in the form of nouns in combination with prepositions. Examples are 'before the plane to Asia took off,' 'on the back side of the big building built,' and 'due to the fact I overate at yesterday's restaurant' (translations of a CN stimulus).

The correct responses (which were assigned '1') for each construction type were examined and classified according to the six strategies. It was expected that the more strategies available, the easier it would be to translate the material of a given construction type.

Forms of correct translation of COMP. Table 4-4 summarizes the forms used in the translation of ten COMP stimuli. It should be noted that the translated English form did not necessarily take the form of complement with nominal head, which was assumed to be an equivalent construction type of COMP. Out of 79 correct responses, only six cases used a complement with nominal head. Out of those six responses, four occurred with the head noun *iken* ('opinion') and two occurred with the head noun *ronri* ('logic'). Apparently not all COMP type head nouns take the complement introduced by 'that' complementizer in English. For example, while 'opinion' can take a complement introduced by 'that' as shown in Table 4-4, 'phone call' cannot be a head noun taking a complement that tells the content of the phone call, mediated by 'that' complementizer.

Table 4-4				
Summary of Fo	rms and Frequency of	Translation Res	ponses for COM	P by 11 English
ISIs			-	

Head Noun	# of correct	Forms
'phone call' denwa	9	HN saying that [2], HN which tells[1], HN to the effect that [1], HN about Ving[1], HN of Ving[1], HN Ving[1], HN alerting NP[1], HN regarding Ving[1]
'advertisement' kookoku	9	HN that says[2], HN RR [2], HN for Ving[2], HN about Ving [1], HN regarding NP[1], HN with regard to NP[1]
'qu c stion' shitsumon	8	HN about Cl [3], HN which asks if Cl [1], HN asking if Cl[1], HN of whether Cl [1], HN of asking whether Cl [1], HN as to Cl[1]
'opinion' <i>iken</i>	7	HN that COMP [4], HN which says CI[1], HN expressing that CI[1], HN of CI[1]

. . .

'wish' yokuboo	8	HN to V[6], HN of Ving[1], HN of Cl[1]
'patience' gaman	6	HN to V[3], HN Ving[2], HN for Ving[1]
'memory' omoide	9	HN of Ving[8], HN that I have about Ving[1]
'pica' <i>uttae</i>	6	HN to V[3], HN worrying to V[1], HN requesting V[1], HN calling for N [1]
'logic' rikutsu	7	HN which/that says[3], HN (that) COMP [2], HN of Ving[1], HN of Cl [1]
'decision' kettei	10	HN to V[9], HN of Ving[1]

<u>Note.</u> HN: head noun, V: verb, COMP: complement clause, Ving: gerund, PP: prepositional phrase. The number in the pair of square brackets indicates the frequency of the form. If all the participants provided a correct translation, the number of correct responses for each item should be 11.

The correct responses for COMP were sorted according to the strategies (see Appendix 8A for the correct translations for COMP according to the strategies). It was found that the English translations of the Japanese COMP NMC involved all the strategies except for Strategies 4 'Wh Adverb' and 6 'Prepositional Head,' summarized in Table 4-5.

Table 4-5

Strategies in Correct Translation for 10 COMP Stimuli by 11 English JSLs

	Strategy 1 Relation Unspecified	Strategy 2 Apposition	Strategy 3 Relative Clause	Strategy 4 Wh Adverb	Strategy 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase	Strategy 6 Prepositional Head
COMP	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No

Forms of correct translation of CN. It was assumed that there is no English structure equivalent to CN. Nonetheless, several forms are available to create cohesion between the head noun and the modifying clause, which are summarized in Table 4-6.

 Table 4-6

 Summary of Forms and Frequency of Translation Responses for CN by 11 English JSLs

Head Noun	# of	Forms
	correct	
'result'	9	the result of Ving[6], as a result of the fact that Cl [1], due to the fact that Cl
. KERKA		[1], the result of NP [1]
'reason' riyuu	11	the reason why Cl[6], the reason for Ving[3], the reason of Ving[1], the reason Cl [1]
'moment prior to' chokuzen	10	before Cl[2], right before Cl[2], just a/the moment before Cl[2], moment prior to Sbj Ving[2], just before NP[1], the moment prior to NP[1]
'space between' aida	3	between N RC [1], the space between Sbj Ving[1], in between N RC[1]

'space next to' yoko	7	beside N RC[1], beside Sbj Ving[1], by the side of N RC[1], to the side of N RC [1], the side Sbj Ving[1], the side of N RC[1], the side where Cl[1]
'space around' atari	8	around the place where Cl[2], somewhere around Cl [2], the area where Cl[2], somewhere around with Cl [1], somewhere Cl[1]
'space behind' uragawa	5	behind where Cl[1], behind N RC[1], on the backside of N RC[1], backside of N RC[1], backside of Ved N[1]
'sound' oto	8	the sound/the noise of Sbj Ving[5], the noise Sbj Ving[1], the sound from N RC[1], the sound when Cl[1]
'study' benkyoo	6	The study/ hard work to V[2], studying to V[1], study for Ving[1], the study of Ving [1], a study RC[1]
'morning' asa	2	the morning of having stayed[2]

<u>Note.</u> RC: relative clause, V: verb, Ving: gerund, NP: noun phrase, Ved: verb in passive form, PP: prepositional phrase, Sbj: subject of the following verb. The number in the pair of square brackets indicates the frequency of the form. If all the participants provided a correct translation, the number of correct responses for each item should be 11.

The responses were classified according to the strategies (see Appendix 8B for correct translation for CN according to the strategies). Translating the CN was possible using all the strategies except for 'Apposition,' as summarized in Table 4-7. The CN does not have a structural equivalent in English, but many strategies are available in English to express the material denoted by the CN.

Table 4-7

	Strategy 1 Relation Unspecified	Strategy 2 Apposition	Strategy 3 Relative Clause	Strategy 4 Wh Adverb	Strategy 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase	Strategy 6 Prepositional Head
CN	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Forms of correct translation of RR. More structurally direct mapping was observed in the translation of the RR; that is, RR was translated into English in the form of a relative clause as indicated by Table 4-8. Out of 66 correct responses, 51 took the form of a relative clause.

Table 4-8

Summary of Forms and Frequency of Translation Responses for RR by 11 English JSLs

Head Noun	# of	Forms
	correct	
'music'	7	HN RC[7]
ongaku		
'doll'	9	HN RC[7], HN inside(reduced relative)[2]
ningyoo	<u> </u>	
'gym'	3	HN RC[3]

doojoo		
'face' kao	10	HN RC[5], HN where Cl[1], HN Ved(reduced relative)[3], HN with Sbj Ved [1]
'research' <i>kenkyuu</i>	6	HN RC[6]
'train' <i>densha</i>	7	HN RC[7]
ʻcity' <i>toshi</i>	7	HN where CI[7]
'church' <i>kyookai</i>	6	HN where CI[4], HN from RC [2]
'hospital' <i>byooin</i>	4	HN toward/ to which Cl[3], HN where Cl[1]
'squar c ' hiroba	7	HN RC[6], HN where Cl[1]

<u>Note.</u> HN: head noun, RC: relative clause, V: verb, Ved: verb in passive form, PP: prepositional phrase. The number in the pair of square brackets indicates the frequency of the form. If all the participants provided a correct translation, the number of correct responses for each item should be 11.

The strategies used to express the RR stimuli were 3 'Relative Clause,' 4 'Wh Adverb,'

and '5 'Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase,' as summarized in Table 4-9.

Table 4-9

Strategies in Correct Translation for 10 RR Stimuli by 11 English JSLs

	Strategy 1 Relation Unspecified	Strategy 2 Apposition	Strategy 3 Relative Clause	Strategy 4 Wh Adverb	Strategy 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase	Strategy 6 Prepositional Head
RR	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Forms of correct translation of the GR. Lastly, the GR, for which a structural counterpart does not exist in English, was dominantly expressed by a relative clause in English as Table 4-10 shows.

Head Noun # of Forms correct 'study' 3 HN RC[3] gakumon 4 HN RC[3], HN of Ving[1] 'exercise' undoo 'prize money' 6 HN for Ving[5], HN of Ving[1] shookin HN from Ving[5], HN of Ving[1] 'fatigue' 6 tsukare HN RC[3], HN for Ving[1], HN of Ving[1], HN to V[1], HN in order to V[1] 7 'practice' renshuu

 Table 4-10

 Summary of Forms and Frequency of Responses for GR

'walk' <i>sanpo</i>	2	HN RC[2]	
'breakfast' chooshoku	5	HN RC[5]	
'separation' wakare	5	HN RC[5]	
'movie' eiga	8	HN where Cl[6], HN RC[2]	
'laundry' sentaku	6	HN RC[3], HN where Cl[1], HN of N RC[1], HN N[1]	

Note. HN: head noun, RC: relative clause, V: verb, Ving: gerund. The number in the pair of square brackets indicates the frequency of the form. If all the participants provided a correct translation, the number of correct responses for each item should be 11.

The relative clause was used in 26 out of 52 responses. Non relative clause forms were most common in responses where 'prize money' and 'fatigue' were the head nouns.

The responses were analyzed according to the strategies (see Appendix 8D for correct translation for GR according to strategies). Expressing the GR stimuli involved Strategies 1, 3, 4, and 5, which are summarized in Table 4-11.

Table 4-11

Strategies in Correct Translation for 10 GR Stimuli by 11 English JSLs

	Strategy 1 Relation Unspecified	Strategy 2 Apposition	Strategy 3 Relative Clause	Strategy 4 Wh Adverb	Strategy 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase	Strategy 6 Prepositional Head
GR	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Summary of strategies and comparison with translation accuracy. Table 4-12

summarizes the strategies used for translating each type of NMC.

Table 4-12

Strategies in Correct Translation for CN. COMP. GR, and RR with 10 Stimuli for Each Construction Type by 11 English JSLs

	Strategy 1 Relation Unspecified	Strategy 2 Apposition	Strategy 3 Relative Clause	Strategy 4 Wh Adverb	Strategy 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase	Strategy 6 Prepositional Head
CN	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
COMP	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
GR	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
RR	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

The greatest number of strategies are available for the CN (1, 3, 4, 5, and 6), slightly less are available for the COMP (1, 2, 3, and 5) and for the GR (1, 3, 4, and 5), and the least for the RR (3, 4, 5). That is, in English the CN should be the easiest type to express, and the RR should be the most difficult, while the COMP and the GR are intermediate.

The relative ease of expression measured by the number of available English forms do not correlate with the ease of comprehension measured by translation accuracy, as Table 4-13 indicates. Thus, as far as could be determined through this analysis, translation accuracy indicates comprehension level.

Table 4-13

Relative Ease of Expression Measured by Number of Strategies and Ease of Comprehension Measured by Translation Accuracy

Measure	Relative Ease
Ease of Expression Measured by Number of Strategies	CN> COMP,GR > RR
Ease of Comprehension Measured by Translation Accuracy	COMP > CN > RR > GR

Based on Table 4-13, the relations among construction types in terms of the available English structures to express them can be illustrated in Figure 4-1 below.



Figure 4-1. Interconnection among NMC types in terms of the strategies to express them in English. 1: Relation Unspecified, 2: Apposition, 3: Relative Clause, 4: Wh Adverb, 5: Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase, 6: Prepositional Head.

It is clear that in terms of the six strategies, none of the construction types is independent. They all share some strategies. The RR is a subset of the GR. They share Strategies 3 Relative Clause (the relation of the head and the modifier is specified by the predicate-

argument relation), 4 Wh Adverb (the relation is expressed by wh-words), and 5 Preposition/ Prepositional Phrase (the relation is specified by prepositions or prepositional phrases). The RR and the GR are subsets of the CN strategies. Besides 3, 4, 5 and 1 Relation Unspecified, Strategy 6, Prepositional Head, is available for the CN. Available strategies for the CN and the RR and the GR are partially shared by COMP. The COMP has special status because Strategy 2, Apposition, is solely owned by the COMP. The CN has special status due to the fact that Strategy 6 is unique to the CN.

In sum, the strategies available in English to express the Japanese NMC suggest that the four NMC types are interrelated categories. Expressing the GR, which is considered to lack an equivalent construction type in English among clausal modifiers with nominal heads, utilizes similar strategies as those used to express the RR. The CN, which also lacks an equivalent construction type in English, takes advantage of more strategies than any other type.

When the number of available strategies is interpreted as indicating the relative ease of translating the different construction types, the CN is easiest to translate, the COMP and the GR are intermediate, and the RR is the hardest. The result of the translation accuracy (TA) suggested that TA(COMP) was highest, while TA(GR) was lowest. Translation accuracy, expected to be a function of ease of comprehension, does not correlate with the number of available structures in English, which is assumed to relate to ease of expressing. That is, translation accuracy is not a measure for ease of translation.

Predicate-Argument Structures in the Correct Responses

Another measure which should indicate ease of expression was whether the original predicate-argument structures in the stimuli are changed in the translations. It should be easier to express the material if a literal translation is possible under time constraint. The assumption is that the more changes made in the original predicate-argument structure in the resulting translations, the harder it should be to express them.

A closer look at each response indicated that the COMP, CN, and RR translations involve less reorganization of the argument structures expressed in the stimuli than the GR. In other words, from the translators' point of view, more "literal" translations were

provided for the COMP, CN, and RR than for the GR. This is understandable because the GR, which lacks an equivalent construction type in English, requires pragmatic knowledge for construal, by which the unexpressed predicate is recovered. Consequently, the translation was expected to contain the recovered predicates which created different predicate structures from the original Japanese stimuli.

On the other hand, no such prediction should be made for the COMP, CN, and RR. However, not only the translations of the GR, but in fact some translations of all construction types contained a reorganization of the original predicate-argument structures, the most of which, as expected, did occur with the GR.

<u>Predicate-argument structures in the RR translations.</u> The RR, the regular relative, is the only type where the original predicate-argument structure involves both the clausal verb and the head noun. The head noun is an argument within the clausal predicate. Consequently, de-relativization is possible. For example, (4-2) is de-relativizable to (4-3) indicating the head noun is an argument of the clausal predicate.

- (4-2) [hon'ya-de katta] <u>shinbun</u> bookstore-at bought newspaper <u>The newspaper</u> (I/ you/ he/ she) bought at the bookstore
- (4-3) hon'ya-de shinbun-o katta bookstore-at newspaper-ACC bought
 (I/ you/ he/ she) bought newspaper at the bookstore.

In (4-2), the original predicate structure is 'bought ((I/ you/ he/ she), newspaper)' where the argument 'I/ you/ he/ she' is not explicit. The head noun 'newspaper' is an argument of the verb 'bought.'

In the 66 correct responses, there were only two cases where the original predicate structures in the stimuli were changed (see Appendix 9A.).

Predicate-argument structures in the COMP translations. For COMP, the head noun and the modifying clause are in an appositive relation. The head noun does not play a role in the modifying clause as it does in RR. Therefore, the original predicate structure in question is the one that the modifying clause alone expressed without the head noun. In (4-4) below, the original predicate structure is 'gave ((1/ you/ he/ she), (it),

(you/him/her))' where none of the arguments is explicit. The head noun *jijitsu* ('fact') is not included, unlike the situation in the RR.

(4-4) [ageta] jijitsu gave fact <u>The fact</u> that (I/ you/ he/ she) gave (it) (to you/him/her)

For COMP, there were three cases out of 79 where the original predicateargument structure was changed (see Appendix 9B). In all three cases, the relation of the head noun with the modifying clause was established in the form of a relative clause, by which the original head noun was somehow incorporated as an argument of the clausal predicate.

For COMP, three out of 79 correct translations involved a change in the original predicate-argument structure. Otherwise, the participants did not have to change the basic propositional meanings to express them in well-formed English.

<u>Predicate-argument structures in the CN translations.</u> In CN, the Clause and Noun Host Type, the proposition with the clausal verb as a predicate does not involve the head noun as the argument NP. In (4-5) below, the original predicate structure is 'occurred (accident, CAUSE),' where the head noun *gen'in* ('cause') is a name of the argument slot, as indicated by upper case, not the argument itself, such as 'accident.'

(4-5) [jiko-ga okita] <u>gen'in</u> accident-NOM occurred cause <u>The cause</u> resulting from which the accident occurred

In CN, there was only one case out of 69 correct responses that went through the reorganization (see Appendix 9C).

The number of the changes in the original argument structures with CN was quite low, indicating that the participants did not have difficulty in expressing the material by changing the propositional content for the purpose of composing well-formed English.

<u>Predicate-argument structures in the GR translations.</u> The last construction type is GR, where the head noun does not play an argument role in the modifying clause but does play an argument role within the unexpressed predicate. The original predicate structure in question, as in the case of COMP and CN, refers to the proposition expressed

by the modifying clause alone, without the head noun. In (4-6) below, the original predicate structure is 'sold ((I/ you/ he/ she), book))' where 'I/ you/ he/ she' is not explicit.

(4-6) [hon-o utta] <u>okane</u> book-ACC sold money <u>The money</u> (I/ you/ he/ she received as a consequence from) having sold the book

The head noun *okane* ('money') is not a part of this predicate-argument structure. That is, there is no predicate-argument relation between the head noun and the clausal verb. Instead, 'money' is an argument of an unexpressed verb such as 'receive', and together they form another proposition such as 'receive ((I/ you/ he/ she), money).' Therefore, (4-6) can be viewed as an NMC with two separate propositions with different predicates. This property is unique to GR, while COMP and CN denote one proposition in which the head noun does not play an argument role.

Because of the involvement of two events with different verbs in the GR, it was expected that the translation of GR should be prone to the restructuring of the original propositional content. In fact, out of 52 correct responses, 25 showed changes in the original predicate structures.

However, despite the view that an unexpressed predicate, in which the head noun is an argument, is necessary for comprehension, not all the English translations contained a change in the original argument structure. Twenty-seven out of 52 translations were possible without explicitly expressing the understood verb.

Table 4-14 summarizes the number of responses containing changes of the original predicate-argument structures according to the construction types. The percentage of the number of reorganization cases for each construction type indicates that the GR is largest (48.08%), followed by the COMP (3.80%), then the RR (3.03%), and the smallest is the CN (1.45%). Chi-square tests suggested that there was a relationship between Construction Type and absence and presence of reorganization of the original predicate-argument structures, X^2 (3, N = 266) = 83.48, p < .0001.

Table 4-14

<u>Observ</u>	ed Frequencie	s of Response	s Containing Claining Claining Change	hange of Origi for Each Cons	nal Predicate-Argun	<u>nent</u>
English	JSLs				Hackon 1 jpc of 11	
NMC	Change of Orig	inal Predicate-	No Change of O	riginal	Total Correct	
Туре	Argument Stru	cture	Predicate-Argument Structure		Response	
CN	1	(1.45)	68	(98.55)	69 (100)	
COMP	3	(3.80)	76	(96.20)	79 (100)	
GR	25	(48.08)	27	(51.92)	52 (100)	
RR	2	(3.03)	64	(96.97)	66 (100)	
Total	31	(11.64)	235	(88.35)	266 (100)	

Note. The figures in the parentheses are percentage when sum of each row is 100%.

Summary of responses with change of original predicate-argument structure and comparison with translation accuracy. There were considerably more changes to original structures in the translations of the GR than in other types. This means that translations of the GR were less literal than in other types, and consequently, the GR was harder to express than the others. With the measure of translation accuracy, the GR was also more difficult to comprehend than any other type (according to the participants analyses). Therefore, in this case, the most difficult type to comprehend corresponded to the most difficult type to express (Table 4-15). Consequently, it is possible to argue that TA (GR) was lowest because expressing it in English, rather than comprehending it, was difficult.

Table 4-15

Relative Ease of Expression Measured by Number of Change in Original Predicate-Argument Structure and Ease of Comprehension Measured by Translation Accuracy

Measure	Relative Ease
Ease of Expression Measured by Number of Change in	CN, RR, COMP > GR
Original Predicate-Argument Structure	
Ease of Comprehension Measured by Translation Accuracy	COMP > CN > RR > GR

However, the hierarchies of relative ease among other types did not quite match. According to translation accuracy, COMP was easiest to process with some difference from RR (participants analyses). According to the number of the changes in the original predicate-argument structure in the translation, COMP, CN, and RR were equally easy to express in English. Therefore, translation accuracy, which should reflect the rate of comprehension, is not a function of ease of expression, measured by the number of the changes in the original predicate-argument structures in the translation.

Summary of the Results

Translation accuracy (TA) was highest for the COMP stimuli and lowest for the GR stimuli. The analyses by participants, but not the analyses by items, suggested that TA(GR) was lower than any other types, and that the TA(CN) and TA(RR) were somewhere in the middle with a significant difference between the COMP and the RR but not between the COMP and the CN.

The results of the translation accuracy analysis did not correlate with ease of translation, which was measured on the basis of the number of possible English forms by which the Japanese materials were translated and by the number of occurrences of new predicate structures different from the original predicate structures in Japanese NMC.

Summary of the Results in Experiment 2: Translation Task				
Measure	English JSLs			
ТА	TA(COMP) > TA(GR)			
	TA(COMP, CN, RR) > TA(GR) [both analyses by			
	participants and items]			
	TA(CN) > TA(GR)			
	TA(RR) > TA(GR)			
	TA(COMP) > TA(RR)			
	[participants analyses only]			
Number of English Forms	CN > COMP, GR > RR			
Number of Reorganization in	GR > COMP, RR, CN			
Predicate-Argument Structure				

The results of the experiment are summarized in Table 4-16.

Note. TA: translation accuracy

Table 4-16

During semi on-line comprehension, English JSLs found the COMP the easiest and the GR the hardest to comprehend. The Markedness Hypothesis, which is motivated by the concept of processing resource domains, better explains the results than the Transfer Hypothesis, which is motivated by the notion that familiar structures are easier to process than unfamiliar ones. The results of translation accuracy by English JSLs indicated more than the effect of markedness. The data require further interpretation, which will be presented in the last chapter.

So far, the data from both off-line and semi on-line tasks by English JSLs suggest that markedness is at work. English JSLs process NMCs under the influence of different resource domains required for processing NMC subtypes. This is also consonant with the results from the off-line tasks by Japanese native speakers.

In the following chapter, on-line tasks are described in which both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs were engaged in on-line reading and probe recognition. It was expected that the results of on-line tasks would shed light on the different aspects of Japanese speakers' ability to distinguish among the four apparently similar construction types which the semi on-line translation was not able to show.

Notes

Means of the number of characters in NMC (with standard deviations in parentheses) for CN, COMP, GR, and RR were 14.30 (2.31), 16.40 (1.84), 15.90 (1.85), and 14.30 (2.00), respectively. The means differed significantly, E(3, 36) = 2.93, p = .05. NMC of COMP was significantly longer than CN, p = .03. NMC of COMP was also significantly longer than RR, p = .03. Means of the number of nonhiragana characters in NMC (with standard deviations in parentheses) for CN, COMP, GR, and RR were 6.40 (2.32), 6.60 (2.41), 6.90 (3.18), and 6.10 (2.18), respectively. The difference among the construction types was not significant, E(3, 36) = .17, p = .91. Means of the number of characters for each sentence for CN, COMP, GR, and RR were 28.20 (2.74), 29.50 (1.78), 30.30 (2.41), and 28.60 (2.59), respectively. The means did not differ significantly, E(3, 36) = 1.52, p = .22. Means of the number of non-hiragana characters for each sentence for CN, COMP, GR, and RR were 9.10 (2.77), 9.00 (2.26), 9.80 (3.82), and 9.00 (2.21), respectively. The means did not differ among different construction types significantly, E(3, 36) = .19, p = .91.

In sum, the number of characters included in the modifying clause and the head noun for COMP was longer than those of CN and RR. The length of NMC did not have an effect in translation accuracy or in ease of translation. The most accurately translated type was COMP, which was not predicted by the most number of characters of COMP. The least number of forms was associated with RR, and the most number of reorganization of the original predicate-argument structures was associated with GR. These difficulties in expressing did not correlate with the number of characters in the stimuli.

² This set of stimuli as well as the rest used in Experiment 1 was assessed by an instructor of Japanese at East Asian Studies to certify that the students in intermediate level can understand them.

³ Without the rest of the sentence, the interpretation of one CN clause in the stimuli was ambiguous. NMC with **結果**kekka (result) as a head can yield COMP interpretation (the result that is...) as well as CN interpretation (as a result of/ from...).

⁴ Three out of 11 participants asked the experimenter to leave.

⁵ There were two to five glosses at a time. The on-line dictionary provided all the vocabulary to make sure the participants could find the meanings of the words they did not know.

⁶ Relative clauses introduced by prepositions were treated as relative clauses, although prepositions intervene between the head noun and the modifier.

¹ Length of NMCs (modifying clause and its head noun), length of the sentences, and number of nonhiragana characters (kanji and katakana, which could cause more difficulty in reading) that belong to NMCs and the whole sentences were also suspected to correlate with accuracy of translation and ease of translation.

Chapter 5

Experiment 3

On-Line Self-Paced Reading and Probe Recognition Tasks

The relevance of Gap, Frame, markedness, and transfer in processing the four types of noun modifying constructions (NMCs) was examined in this experiment.

Two types of Japanese speakers participated: native speakers of Japanese who were acquiring English, and English speaking learners of Japanese as a second language (English JSLs). Participants with L2 experience were used because it was expected that conscious training in language learning would make them sensitive to the subtypes of NMC. The two types of speakers were studied with the expectation that they would differentiate the subtypes in different ways.

An on-line method was employed so that conscious reflection by the participants was suppressed (cf. the off-line and the semi on-line experiments described in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4). This approach encouraged an intuitive, rather than a considered and reflective, response in native speakers of Japanese while processing in that their knowledge of the other acquired language(s) should be suppressed. For native speakers of English, English processing strategies should be utilized while processing Japanese more than if they were processing off-line.

This experiment was conducted as the first in a series of three experiments (see Table 2-17 in Chapter 2 for the sequence of experiments).

Objectives and Rationale of the Experiment

Three sets of data were collected to test the research hypotheses. The first data set consisted of overall reading times (ORT) for 40 sentences of seven segments each. Ten sentences each of the four NMC types were used. The second data set included the reading times for segments immediately following the head noun (transition reading time: TRT). The segment immediately following the head noun, rather than the segment containing the head noun, was considered the syntactically critical region because, in a self-paced reading task, it is during the presentation of this segment that readers realize the NMC has finished.¹ The third data set consisted of the probe recognition times (PRT)

for two kinds of words: the head noun and the noun in the modifying clause. After the last segment, the participants were presented with a probe word. If the word was included in the sentence just read, the participants were to hit the 'yes' key; if not, they were to hit the 'no' key. PRT was the length of time between the presentation of the probe word and the response of 'yes' or 'no.' Faster recognition indicated better retention of the word.

The rationale for ORT is that it should indicate inherent reading difficulty of the four NMCs with the marked type harder to read, and the transferable type easier.

TRT should also indicate the level of difficulty in processing the segment in the syntactically critical area, i.e., the segment within the marked type should be harder to process, and the segment of the transferable type should be easier to read. In addition, TRT should show the ease of parsing the segment due to its corresponding gap.

Lastly, PRT should show the hosting and hosted relation which forms a frame under the assumption that the hosted information (figure) is more salient than the hosting information (ground), thereby the hosted information easier to recognize. If Clause Host Type (RR and GR), the head noun (HN), being hosted, should be faster to recognize than the noun in the modifying clause (NCl). If Noun Host Type (COMP), the noun in the modifying clause, being hosted, should be faster to recognize than the head noun. If Clause and Noun Host Type (CN), since both constituents are reciprocally hosting and hosted, the two nouns should be equally easy to retain in memory. In addition, PRT should show the effect of a gap under the assumption that the word bound by a gap is more activated than a word that is not. Therefore, the recognition time of the head noun of the gap type should be shorter.

Experimental Hypotheses

The experimental hypotheses tested in this experiment are listed in Table 5-1 along with the corresponding measurements and the speaker groups.

 Table 5-1

 Experimental Hypotheses Tested in Experiment 3

Hypotheses		Measurement			Speaker Group	
Concept	Prediction	ORT	TRT	PRT	Japanese Native Speaker	English JSL
Gap	CN, COMP, GR > RR		\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~
Frame	(NCl, RR) > (HN, RR) (NCl, GR) > (HN, GR) (NCl, CN) = (HN, CN) (HN, COMP) > (NCl, COMP)			~	~	~
Markedness	GR > CN, COMP, RR	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark
Transfer	CN, GR > COMP, RR	~	~			~

Note. ORT: overall reading time, TRT: transition reading time, PRT: probe recognition time, HN: head noun, NCI: noun in the modifying clause

As could be seen in Table 5-1, Gap Theory predicts that for both speaker groups, for TRT and PRT tasks, CN, COMP, and GR should be more difficult than RR. Frame Theory predicts that for both speaker groups, for PRT task, recognizing the noun in the modifying clause should be more difficult than the head noun for RR and GR, recognizing the nouns in those two syntactic locations should be equally easy for CN, and recognizing the head noun should be more difficult than the noun in the modifying clause for COMP. The prediction by the concept of of markedness is that, for both speaker groups, in terms of ORT and TRT tasks, GR should be harder than CN, COMP, and RR. Lastly the concept of transfer predicts that CN and GR should be more difficult than COMP and RR.

Method

Participants

Japanese native speakers who are learning English and English native speakers learning Japanese were participants and were the same as those described in Experiment 1 (Chapter 3) and Experiment 2 (Chapter 4) (see Appendix 2 for the participants' information and the section on participants in Chapter 3).
<u>Stimuli</u>

The stimuli included 80 target and 80 distracter sentences and sentence fragments. The targets included 10 pairs of sentences for each of the four construction types, i.e., RR, GR, CN and COMP. Distracters were included to prevent participants from recognizing or creating response patterns which would bias the data (see Appendix 10 for the complete set of stimuli). A subset of the stimuli was used in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2. Since this experiment was conducted prior to Experiments 1 and 2, the participants were not familiar with the stimuli at the time of the experiment.

<u>Target stimuli.</u> For each construction type, ten pairs of target stimuli, seven segments² in length, were prepared with half set up as in [a] and the other half as in [b] in (5-1).

(5-1) [a] [Seg1] [Seg2] [Seg3] [Head Noun,]_{Seg4}[Seg5] [Seg6] [Seg7] [b] [Seg1] [Seg2] [Seg3] [Noun,]_{Sect} [Seg5] [Head Noun_k]_{Seg6}[Seg7]

In (5-1), "Head Noun" indicates the location of the head noun. Both members of each pair contained a noun modifying clause (underlined), but its location differed. In version [a],³ the first three segments formed the modifying clause, and the fourth contained the head noun. In version [b], the third, fourth, and fifth segments formed the modifying clause, and the sixth contained the head noun. A noun in the fourth segment in both versions [a] and [b] was used as a probe, which appeared after the last segment of the sentence disappeared from the screen.

In a pair of targets, members were controlled semantically and functionally in order to maximize the distinction within the pair as the location of the modifying clause only. First, the Head Noun, in [a] and the Noun_j in [b] belonged to the same semantic field and were high frequency words.⁴ Their frequency was controlled to ensure equal familiarity within a pair, since they were used as probes, and reaction time to the probes could be biased by familiarity. Secondly, since the head noun in [a] was controlled in terms of frequency, high frequency words were chosen also for the head noun in [b] (Head Noun_k) to keep both NMCs equally easy to process. Third, the Head Noun_i, Noun_j, and the Head Noun_k were inanimate. This control was necessary because the head

nouns of the RR and GR are not restricted to inanimate nouns, as are those of the CN and COMP.

Mixtures of hiragana, katakana, kanji, Roman letters, and Roman numbers were used to make the sentences look natural. One segment was normally two to six characters long except for one pair of CN stimuli. In this exceptional pair, the fourth segment of the [a] and [b] versions was only one character long, i.e., $\mathfrak{M}asa$ (morning) and $\mathfrak{E}yoru$ (evening). These two nouns function as adverbials and do not take an adverbial particle. Since the use of particles with these types of nouns causes ungrammaticality, this exception needed to be accepted. Choosing longer nouns was not possible because of semantic and functional restrictions.

The number of characters in the probes was controlled within each pair; e.g., if the number of characters of an [a] version probe was two, then the [b] version was also two.⁵ The character set (i.e., hiragana, katakana, kanji, etc.) was also uniform within a pair.

Distracters. In addition to the 80 targets, 80 distracters were prepared. The 40 distracters were set up as in [c] and 40 as in [d] in (5-2).

Forty of the first type (version [c] sentences) were similar to the targets in having seven segments. They included a high frequency noun in the fourth segment. The noun in the fourth segment also belonged to the same semantic field as the corresponding nouns in the fourth segments of both types of target stimuli (see Appendix 11 for nouns in the fourth segments in each of [a], [b], and [c]). High frequency words and words in the same semantic field were chosen from the National Research Institute (1962). The restrictions on length and kinds of characters used for creating the segments and the probes were the same as those used in creating [a] and [b]. However, the [c] versions were dissimilar to the [a] and [b] versions in that some structural variation was allowed in the [c] versions, but crucially they did not contain NMCs.⁶

Forty [d] versions were as dissimilar as possible to the other versions. They varied in length, and there were ten each of four, five, six, and seven segment-long

stimuli. Half (20) were incomplete sentences. This was to discourage the participants from predicting the end of the stimulus and preparing themselves for the probe. As well as [c] versions, 40 [d] versions contained a variety of elementary structures, such as found in introductory Japanese textbooks, to encourage English JSLs who might otherwise find the task too challenging.

<u>Probes.</u> The probes presented after the last segments of the 80 target stimuli ([a] and [b] versions) were designed to receive a 'yes' response. Probes presented after the last segments of 80 distracters ([c] and [d] versions) were 'no' probes in that probe words had not been included in the stimuli. The probes for the [c] and [d] versions were selected from introductory Japanese textbooks to ensure that L2 learners could provide a valid 'no' response. The span of 'no' probes was five characters or less and consisted of hiragana, katakana, and kanji.

To avoid a familiarity effect, 'yes' probes were used only in the fourth segments of the corresponding stimuli. In other words, the participants responded with 'yes' because they had seen the word only in the stimulus just read.

<u>Statements.</u> For 40 stimuli and probes out of 160,⁷ after the presentation of the probe, a short English statement was presented on the screen, and to this the participants responded 'yes' or 'no.' This was to ensure that participants would read the sentences rather than memorize the presented words, or shapes of the words, for the probe recognition task. If the statement was true for the stimulus just read, the expected response was 'yes'; if false, 'no.' For half the statements a 'yes' response was expected, and a 'no' response was expected in the remainder. Only a quarter of the stimuli had corresponding English statements because answering a true or false question each time was too time consuming.

<u>Assessment of the stimuli.</u> All stimuli and probes were assessed by an instructor of the Department of East Asian Studies to ensure they were comprehensible by Japanese learners at an intermediate (300 level university courses) level.

Procedure

Each individual was asked to sit at the laptop computer in the laboratory at the university or in the participant's residence or workplace. Instructions⁸ (see Appendix 12

for the instructions for self-timed reading and probe recognition tasks) appeared on the computer screen primarily in English and with the researcher present. On the screen, Japanese translations were added occasionally for clarification for Japanese native speakers. A short practice session followed. At the end of the practice, the participants saw on the computer screen and heard from the researcher that they could take a break mid-way through the computer session. This information was to make the participants use the official break rather than taking breaks at other times during the self-paced reading task.

Questions from the participants were answered in the participant's native tongue. Once a participant stated he/she understood the instructions, he/she was left alone in the room to start the trials. The end of the session was signaled by a message on the screen. The participants told the experimenter when they were done.

For each trial:

1) A segment was read and then the space bar was hit using a thumb to move on to the next segment,

2) After the last segment, a probe word was read and responded to, with 'yes'

('p') or 'no' ('q') with the right and left index fingers, respectively.⁹

3) For 40 trials out of 160, the participants read the English statement, and replied 'yes' ('p') or 'no' ('q').

4) For the rest of the 120 trials, where the participants did not do 3), they saw the instruction: "Hit 'g' to go on." Then the participants used their left index finger to hit 'g' key, which made them look down at the keyboard to find the key because the left index finger was normally used to hit 'q' key. This occasional action of looking down was to provide a break from looking at the screen and, consequently, to help participants to focus more comfortably.

The text was presented at 24 point font. Probes, delimited by two asterisks, were presented in the center of the 6×7.5 inch computer screen. The stimuli were randomized.

'Psyscope' (Cohen et al., 1993) was used to run the trials. The task took an average of 30 minutes for native Japanese speakers and 60 minutes for native English speakers.

Results

Transforming Data

All response times by the participants that were more than two standard deviations beyond the mean were given the values at exactly two standard deviations beyond the mean. For example, if a participant's mean score was 550 and the standard deviation was 200, any scores above 950 were converted to exactly 950 for this participant. Five percent of all responses were in this category.

Overall reading time (ORT) was an average of the reading times¹⁰ of segments 2-7 of version [a] sentences. The reading time of the first segment was excluded because the reading time of the first segment was much longer than the rest of the segments. Because it was the first segment, it is likely that the participants did not parse it.

One of the problems that became apparent in the earlier analyses was that there was a very high variation in terms of reponse times, particularly among English JSLs.¹¹ This is perhaps to be expected because although the participants were screened, they did bring quite a variety of ability to the test. In order to control this variety so that the variable under investigation can be easily observed, participants' raw scores were converted to z-scores so that each participant served as his/her control. An individual's overall reading time of version [a] sentences (means of segment reading time across segments 2-7) was used as the individual mean. Then the standard deviation among segment reading times of segments 2-7 was computed, yielding z-scores. Z-scores of the segment immediately following the head noun were used as TRT (transition reading time). For Japanese native speakers, untransformed TRT from version [a] sentences were used.

The responses of 'no,' when 'yes' was the correct response, were eliminated from the analyses for probe recognition times (PRT).¹² Only correct 'yes' responses were considered, and incorrect 'no' responses were not included because 'no' responses are known to be inherently longer than 'yes' responses; therefore, including 'no' responses would contaminate the results. Responses taking more than 1500 milliseconds were considered unreliable and not included in the analyses.¹³ This restriction was made because it was likely that the longer the pause before hitting 'yes' or 'no,' the more likely the participants were not focusing on the task.

PRT of two syntactic locations within each construction type were compared rather than across construction types because it was possible that nouns of certain construction types were inherently more difficult to recognize. By comparing PRT of two different syntactic locations of the same construction type, this inherent difference in probe nouns associated with certain NMC types was minimized.

Statistical Analyses

Construction Type (four levels) was the independent variable. The data were analyzed by a one-way ANOVA for both analyses by participants (summing over difference among stimuli) and analyses by items (summing over differences among participants). In the participants analyses, Construction Type was treated as a repeated measure factor, while in the items analyses it was treated as a between-items factor. In other words, because in the ANOVA with participants as variables, all the participants received the same treatments which naturally caused the variance within the level of Construction Type to be more restricted, it was expected that participants analyses would always yield more significant results than items analyses.

A two-way ANOVA, i.e., comparing data from two speaker groups, was not used because the predictions that the hypotheses made did not concern the differences in performances between the two speaker groups and because it was assumed that Japanese native speakers would read and recognize the stimuli faster than the English JSLs.

Overall Reading Times (ORT) by Japanese Native Speakers

It was expected that ORT would show the relevance of markedness in processing NMCs by Japanese native speakers. Shorter ORT indicates ease of processing NMCs. The Markedness Hypothesis was tested, which predicted that ORT(GR) should be shorter than ORT of any other type because GR is the marked subtype. Other hypotheses, i.e., the Gap and Frame Hypotheses were not tested because the assumption was that ORT was not sensitive to the possible existence of gap or frame.

The mean reading times for sentences representing each of the types were approximately the same (Table 5-2). According to the ANOVA with participants as variables, the effect of construction type was not significant, F(3, 66) = .84, p = .48.

Table 5-2

GR

RR

Overall Reading T	imes of F	Four Con	structio	on Types for 23	Japa
Construction Type	Mean	S.D.	S.E.		-
CN	534	162	34		
COMP	535	172	36		

182

170

549

537

Mean Values (milliseconds), Standard Deviation, and Standard Errors by Participants for Overall Reading Times of Four Construction Types for 23 Japanese Native Speakers

For this table and subsequent tables, mean tables were created based on the analyses by participants.

38

36

Items analyses (analyses of variance summing over the participants' differences) indicated that Construction Type did not have an effect, F(3, 36) = .44, p = .73. It was suspected that ORT(GR) could be significantly larger than ORTs of other types combined. Therefore, ORT of CN, COMP and RR were combined, and compared to the largest ORT of GR in a single-df ANOVA. Neither participants analyses (F = 2.41, p = .13) nor items analyses (F = 1.27, p = .27) suggested significant difference between ORT(GR) and ORT (CN, COMP, RR).

These results did not support the Markedness Hypothesis that the overall reading times of the GR sentences by Japanese native speakers would be greater than those of the RR, CN and COMP sentences.

Overall Reading Times (ORT) by English JSLs

It was expected that markedness and transfer might play a role in the reading times of seven segments by English JSLs. Other hypotheses, i.e., the Gap and Frame Hypotheses, were not tested because the assumption was that ORT should not be influenced by gap or frame.

English JSLs also spent about the same time reading the four types of sentences (Table 5-3). The effect of Construction Type was not statistically significant, $\underline{F}(3, 30) = 2.34$, $\underline{p} = .09$, according to participants analyses. Items analyses showed no significant effect by Construction Type, $\underline{F}(3, 36) = .39$, $\underline{p} = .76$. Since ORT(GR) seemed larger than ORTs of other types, ORT of CN, COMP and RR were combined, and compared to the largest ORT of GR in a single-df ANOVA. Participants analyses suggested that

ORT(GR) was significantly larger than ORT(CN, COMP, RR), $\underline{F} = 6.74$, $\underline{p} = .01$; however, items analyses suggested no difference, $\underline{F} = 1.14$, $\underline{p} = .29$.

Table 5-3Mean Values (milliseconds) for Overall Reading Times of Four Construction Types for11 English Japanese as a Second Language Learners

Construction Type	Mean	S.D.	S.E.
CN	1743	542	163
COMP	1723	454	137
GR	1814	539	162
<u></u>	1727	515	155

These results did not support the Transfer Hypothesis, which predicted that ORTs of the CN and GR sentences would be greater than those of the RR and COMP sentences for English JSLs, was also not supported. On the other hand, the results supported the Markedness Hypothesis, which predicted that the overall reading times of the GR sentences by English JSLs should be greater than those of the RR, CN and COMP sentences.

In both the Japanese native speakers and the English JSLs, ORT did not show clear differences among the four construction types. The paradigm of ORT may not have worked because the reading material of seven segment in length allowed residual effects, such as conscious reflection by the participants, to contaminate the data.

Transition Reading Times of the Segment Immediately Following the Head Noun (TRT) by Japanese Native Speakers

The reading times of the segment immediately following the head noun (TRT) were to reflect the level of difficulty associated with processing different types of NMC. The Markedness and Gap Hypotheses were tested. If markedness plays a role, the marked subtype (GR) should be harder to process than other types (RR, CN, and COMP), which should correlate with a longer TRT for the GR, and a shorter TRT for other subtypes. If, on the other hand, there is a gap, the head noun of the RR that has its gap in the modifying clause should be easier to process than the head nouns of the GR, CN, and COMP. Consequently, TRT(RR) should be shorter than TRT of other subtypes. TRT was not considered to indicate the existence of frame in processing NMCs; therefore, the Frame Hypothesis was not tested. The average reading time of that segment from version [a] sentences was tabulated (Table 5-4). The Japanese native speakers spent the longest time reading the segment of GR than any other types. The TRT of the CN and the RR were the next, and TRT of the COMP was shortest. The standard error of the means for the GR was noticeably larger, indicating that greater variance in response existed for this stimulus type.

Table 5-4

Mean Values (milliseconds) for Transition Reading Times of Four Construction Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Mean	S.D.	S.E.
CN	543	179	37
COMP	509	168	35
GR	596	243	51
RR	541	174	36

According to participants analyses, Construction Type had the main effect, <u>F</u> (3, 66) = 5.52, <u>p</u> = .002. A planned comparison was done regarding the means in Table 5-4, two at a time, and their F-ratios were computed. The statistically significant difference in TRT lies between the GR and each of the construction types: $\underline{F}_{CN, GR} (1, 22) = 6.01$, <u>p</u> = .02, $\underline{F}_{COMP, GR} (1, 22) = 16.08$, <u>p</u> = .0002, and $\underline{F}_{GR, RR} (1, 22) = 6.31$, <u>p</u> = .01. The differences were not statistically significant between other levels, $\underline{F}_{CN, COMP} (1, 22) = 2.43$, <u>p</u> = .12, $\underline{F}_{CN, RR} (1, 22) = .005$, <u>p</u> = .94, and $\underline{F}_{COMP, RR} (1, 22) = 2.22$, <u>p</u> = .14.

A one-way analysis of variance with sentences as variables was also done to test the effect of Construction Type on TRT. A similar effect was observed. Construction Type had a statistically significant effect, F(3, 36) = 3.12, p = .04.

The Tukey/ Kramer post hoc test revealed that at p < .05, a statistically significant difference in TRT occurred only between the COMP and the GR. The differences between TRT(CN) and TRT(GR), and TRT(RR) and TRT(GR) were not significant in contrast to the participants analyses. That is, TRT(GR) was significantly longer than the TRT(COMP), but TRT(CN) and TRT(RR) was somewhere in between but without significant difference.

In sum, both analyses by participants and items showed: TRT(GR) > TRT (COMP). In addition, participants analyses but not items analyses showed TRT(GR) > TRT(CN) and TRT(GR) > TRT(RR). These results support the Markedness Hypothesis

assertion that the reading times of the segment immediately following the head noun of the GR sentences by Japanese native speakers should be greater than those of RR, CN and COMP sentences.

These results do not support the Gap Hypothesis that for Japanese native speakers, the reading times of the segment immediately following the head noun of RR sentence should be shorter than those of GR, CN and COMP sentences.

If everything was equal among stimulus sentences except for the independent variable, the overall reading time should differ just as much as the differences in the reading times of the fifth segment. However, overall reading times were identical across the sentences of different construction types. This means that there were other differences in individual segment reading times besides the fifth segment reading time. Therefore, it is important to look at the reading times of each segment. Segment reading times for the second through seventh segments are illustrated in Figure 5-1 below.



In addition to the effect on Segment 5, according to the repeated measures

Figure 5-1. Segment reading time for 23 Japanese native speakers (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). Reading times for each segment were taken between the presentation of the segment and the signal (space bar) to go on to the following segment.

ANOVA when the participants were viewed as variables, Construction Type had an effect on Segment 2 reading time, E(3, 66) = 4.14, p = .01. There were no other statistically significant effects by Construction Type (except on Segment 5 reading time),

 $E_{\text{Segment 3}}(3, 66) = 1.12, p = .35, E_{\text{Segment 4}}(3, 66) = 1.10, p = .36, E_{\text{Segment 6}}(3, 66) = 1.18, p = .32, E_{\text{Segment 7}}(3, 66) = .36, p = .78$. However, according to analyses of variance with sentences as variables, the statistical differences were only in Segment 5 reading times, E(3, 36) = 3.12, p = .04, although there was a slight difference in Segment 2 reading times, E(3, 36) = 2.72, p = .06.

The fact that analyses by both participants and items showed an effect by Construction Type on Segment 5 reading time is strong evidence that manipulation of the fifth segment reading times was indeed due to Construction Type.

Table 5-5 lists the mean reading times of the second segment and shows that TRT(GR) is longest followed by TRT(RR). TRT(CN) is shortest and TRT(COMP) is slightly longer. A post hoc comparison was done among the means in Table 5-5, two at a time, and their F-ratio computed. The statistically significant difference in Segment 2 reading time lies between CN and GR, $E_{CN, GR}(1, 22) = 11.39$, p = .001, CN and RR, $E_{CN, RR}(1, 22) = 9.08$, p = .004, COMP and GR, $E_{COMP, GR}(1, 22) = 6.92$, p = .01, and COMP and RR, $E_{COMP, RR}(1, 22) = 5.15$, p = .03. The differences were not statistically significant between other levels, $E_{CN, COMP}(1, 22) = .55$, p = .46 and $E_{GR, RR}(1, 22) = .13$, p = .72. Apparently the longest two TRTs, GR and RR, are significantly longer than the shortest, CN and COMP.

 Mean Values (milliseconds) for Segment 2 Reading Times of Four Construction Types

 by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Mean	S .D.	S.E.
CN	492	149	31
COMP	503	155	32
GR	542	180	37
RR	537	178	37

Some explanation is necessary regarding the longer second segment reading time in the GR and RR than in the CN and COMP. Above all, the effect of Construction Type on Segment 2 reading time happened because of the stimuli rather than manipulation of construction types with the stimuli. In the stimuli, both its relation with the first segments (the preceding segment) and its relation with the third segment (the following segment), where the clausal predicate was received, were considered. Close examination of the stimuli revealed two possible causes, which may in part contribute to the apparent effect by Construction Type in the second segment reading times.

First of all, it is possible that a longer reading time was incurred when the segment was the end of a meaningful unit. The rationale for this is that participants knew they had received the element ending the meaningful unit, and slowed down. In this case, in the GR and RR (with longer reading times) but not in the CN and COMP (with shorter reading times), the second segment may have clearly marked the end of the meaningful unit.

The second segment can mark the end of a meaningful chunk when the first segment modifies the element in the second. For example, in one of the RR stimuli, the first segment was *kireina* ('pretty'), and the second *garasu-no hako-ni* ('glass'-POSS 'box-'LOC); here 'pretty' and 'glass' modify 'box.' In one of GR stimuli, the first segment was *hajimeno* ('first') and the second *gohunkan-de* ('five minutes'-'with'); here 'first' modifies 'five minutes.' Two of ten RR stimuli had this relation. Three other RR stimuli had a coordinate relation between the first and the second segment such as *kisha-tol patokaa-ga* ('reporter'-'and'/ 'patrol ca'r- NOM),¹⁴ in which the second segment contained the last coordinated item. Altogether among ten RR stimuli, five were constructed so that the second segment marked the end of the meaningful unit. Six GR stimuli had that relation. In total, out of 20 (10 each), there were eleven stimuli containing this relation, which could have slowed down the reading time of the second segment in RR and GR stimuli.

Out of 20 (10 each), three in the CN and five in the COMP also had this relation between the first and the second segments. The modifying and modified relation between the first and second segment could have been partly responsible for the longer reading times of the second segments for the GR and the RR than the CN and the COMP.

Secondly, it is possible that a shorter reading time was incurred when the segment was in the midst of syntactic parsing. In the CN and COMP (with the shorter reading time), but not in the GR and RR (with the longer reading time), when participants received the second segment, they might have known they needed to receive more to reach the end of the syntactic unit, so they proceeded quickly to the following segment. Where adverbials in the first segment modify the verbs of the third segment, and the argument of the verbs is in the second, the participants received the adverbial and

processed the second segment in anticipation of receiving the verb modified by the adverbial. However, the anticipated verb was not in the second segment, the participants, in the middle of the parsing, hurried on to receive the third segment, causing shorter Segment 2 reading times, causing shorter Segment 2 reading times.

For example, in one CN stimulus, the first segment was *waiwaito* ('noisily'), and the second was *shoogakusei-ga* ('elementary student'- NOM), where 'noisily' modifies the verb in the third segment. Three stimuli in the CN set, three in the COMP set, one in the RR, and two in the GR set contained this relation. This relation was more often found in the CN and COMP combined than in the GR and the RR. Thus, adverbials in the first segment followed by the argument NP in the second segment have helped participants to give faster reading times for the second segments of the CN and the COMP than for the GR and RR.

These explanations for differences in reading times in the second segment are perhaps inconclusive. However, there is no reason to attribute differences in Segment 2 reading times to Construction Type.

Transition Reading Times of the Segment Immediately Following the Head Noun (TRT) by English JSLs

The concepts of markedness, gap, and transfer were expected to play a role in the reading times of the segment immediately following the head noun of NMCs. If markedness is a factor, TRT of the marked member, i.e., the GR, should be longer than the TRT of any other type because comprehending the GR involves the largest domain of resources. If there is a gap, which facilitates processing, the TRT of the RR (the gap type) should be shorter than the TRT of the non-gap types. If transfer is a factor, the TRT of the members familiar from L1, i.e., RR and COMP, should be shorter than the TRT of unfamiliar members, i.e., CN and GR.

Transition reading times (TRT) by English JSLs were expressed as z-scores. By definition, the average score for all segment reading times by a participant is zero. Since there were no individual differences, analyses by participants were not appropriate. Only ANOVA with sentences as variables were considered reliable.

Mean z-score values for each construction type (Table 5-6) indicate that English JSLs read the COMP segments fastest (-.56), spent the longest time on the CN segments (.17), and intermediate on GR (-.12) and RR (-.23). ANOVA with sentences as variables showed Construction Type had the main effect, F(3, 36) = 3.67, p = .02.

Table 5-6

Mean Values (Z-Score) for Transition Reading Times of Four Construction Types for 11 English Japanese as a Second Language Learners with 10 Sentences for Each Construction Type

Construction Type	⊻ Mean	S.D.	S.E.
CN	.17	.48	.15
COMP	56	.44	.14
GR	12	.45	.14
RR	23	.59	.19

The Tukey/Kramer post hoc test showed a difference in TRT between the CN and the COMP (p < .05) but not between combinations of other construction types.

The English JSLs read the CN segments significantly more slowly than the COMP segments, indicating that English JSLs found the CN type harder to read than the COMP type. The TRT of GR and RR were between the highest CN and the lowest COMP, without significant differences.

A close look at the reading times for Segment 5 indicates that the order of the zscores from the four Construction Types was, from highest to lowest, CN > GR > RR >COMP, with a statistically significant difference only between CN and COMP. Because the lowest two are familiar types in English, the participants' L1, and the highest two are unfamiliar types in L1, the effect of transfer was suspected.

The means of the highest two, CN and GR, were combined and those of the lower two, RR and COMP, were combined, and single-df ANOVA was done. There was a difference between these two combined means (E = 7.15, g = .01). The TRT of RR and COMP combined was significantly lower than the TRT of CN and GR combined. This supports the Transfer Hypothesis, which predicted TRT(CN), TRT(GR) > TRT(RR), TRT(COMP).

The results do not support the Markedness Hypothesis which predicted that for English JSLs, the reading times of the segment immediately following the head noun of GR sentences should be longer than those of RR, CN and COMP sentences. The results do not support the Gap Hypothesis that the TRT of the GR should be longer than the TRT of any other type.

If everything was equal among the four construction types, other than construction type, the ORT should differ as much as the TRT differs among the construction types. However, ORT did not show differences among construction types. It was suspected that the reading times of other segments were a function of Construction Type. Therefore, the overall reading pattern was examined.

Figure 5-2 shows the overall reading pattern by English JSLs. To each segment reading time, ANOVA with sentences as variables was applied.¹⁵ An effect by Construction Type existed only in Segment 5 reading time, E_{Segment2} (3, 36) = .04, p = .99, E_{Segment3} (3, 36) = 2.49, p = .08, E_{Segment4} (3,36) = 2.31, p = .09, E_{Segment6} (3, 36) = .97, p = .42, E_{Segment7} (3, 36) = 1.00, p = .40. Finding an effect in the reading times of the fifth segment supports the content in that differences in reading times were indeed caused by the manipulating Construction Type.



Figure 5-2. Segment reading time z-scores for 11 English Japanese as a second language learners (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). Reading times for each segment were taken between the presentation of the segment and the signal (space bar) to go on to the following segment. An individual participant's average reading time for all sentences was used to transform each score to a z-score. By definition, the sum of z-scores is zero.

Probe Recognition Times (PRT) by Japanese Native Speakers

PRT was expected to indicate the retention level for the probes. When the retention level is high, PRT should be small. When the retention level is low, PRT should be large. The PRTs of the two nouns within a construction type, the head noun and the noun in the modifying clause, were compared. Both nouns appeared in the fourth segment. If construction type does not have an effect, the retention level of the two nouns should be the same.

The Frame Hypothesis predicted that if frame plays a role in processing the NMC, the noun hosted by the frame should be retained better than the noun which is a part of the hosting material. Therefore, the PRT of the RR and the GR head nouns (hosted/ figure) should be smaller than the PRT for the noun in the modifying clause (hosting/ ground), the PRT of the COMP head nouns (hosting/ ground) should be longer than the PRT for the noun in the modifying clause (hosted/ figure), and PRT for the head noun of the CN (hosting/ ground, hosted/ figure) and the noun in the modifying clause (hosted/ figure, hosting/ ground) should be the same because the two constituents of CN mutually host each other.

On the other hand, the Gap Hypothesis predicted that only the head noun of the RR should be recognized faster than the noun in the modifying clause because the RR head noun bears syntactic relation with the modifying clause via its corresponding gap. With other construction types, this facilitation of recognition of the head noun should not happen because the head nouns of the other types are not comprehended with the facilitation by syntax.

Markedness was not tested here because PRT was not expected to indicate overall levels of processing ease for the different NMC types.

The overall pattern in PRT by the Japanese native speakers is illustrated in Figure 5-3 and the mean recognition times are listed in Table 5-7.



Figure 5-3. Probe recognition time for 23 Japanese native speakers with two syntactic locations of probe words in four Construction Types (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). Scores above 1500 milliseconds were eliminated.

Figure 5-3 shows little difference between the recognition of the nouns of different syntactic locations. Overall, the COMP, the GR, and the RR show similar pattern: the PRT of the head noun probes is shorter than the PRT for the probe originating in the modifying clause. Only the CN shows an opposite pattern in recognition time; i.e., the PRT of the head noun probe was longer than the PRT of the probe originating from the modifying clause.

Table 5-7

Mean Values and Four Construction Modifying Clause	Mean Differ n Types and 1 e) of Probe W	ence (milliseconds) Two Syntactic Loca Yords for 23 Japanes	between P tions (Head se Native S	robe Ro Noun peaker	ecogniti or Nou s	on Times of <u>n in the</u>
Construction Type	PRT of Head Noun	PRT of Noun in Modifying Clause	Difference	S.D.	S.E.	
CN	1028	1013	15	100	21	
COMP	943	962	-18	82	17	
GR	935	1010	-75	71	15	
RR	914	937_	-23	94	20	

Participants analyses for the GR showed a significant difference in the PRT between the two probe syntactic locations, $E_{GR}(1, 22) = 21.88$, g = .0001. The differences between recognition times of two syntactic locations were not significant for

other types, $\underline{F}_{CN}(1, 22) = .54$, $\underline{p} = 47$, $\underline{F}_{COMP}(1, 22) = 1.16$, $\underline{p} = .29$, and $\underline{F}_{RR}(1, 22) = 1.32$, $\underline{p} = .26$.

However, items analyses indicated no differences between the PRT of two syntactic locations for any of the construction types: $\underline{F}_{CN}(1, 18) = 1.09$, $\underline{p} = .31$, $\underline{F}_{COMP}(1, 18) = 1.66$, $\underline{p} = .21$, $\underline{E}_{GR}(1, 18) = 3.90$, $\underline{p} = .06$, and $\underline{E}_{RR}(1, 18) = 1.65$, $\underline{p} = .22$.

In sum, Japanese native speakers recognized the GR head noun probes significantly faster than the GR probes in the modifying clause: PRT(NCl, GR) >PRT(HN, GR). This significance became a tendency (p = .06) when participants' variance was summed. Japanese native speakers recognized both kinds of probes equally quickly for all types.

This special status of the GR needs to be accounted for cautiously with respect to the experimental method used here. It is possible that the paradigm may have biased the data toward less memory retention of the noun in the modifying clause than of the head noun, independent of Construction Type. In this experiment, the probe recognition times of the head noun and the noun in the modifying clause were compared within a construction type. Those nouns were present in the fourth segment as (5-1) illustrates.

The modifying clause is underlined. "Head Noun" in [a] marks the position of the head noun which was used as a probe. "Noun" in [b] marks the position of the noun in the modifying clause which was used as a probe. It was initially assumed that the appearance of the probe nouns in the same segment in both [a] and [b] versions would ensure that only Construction Type would play a role in memory retention of those nouns. However, the two nouns in question carried different properties as a function the stimulus design. This might have affected the degree of memory retention.

In (5-1), version [a] sentences take the form of closure. The modifying clause was located at the beginning of each stimulus and the head noun was used as probe. On the other hand, version [b] sentences had the modifying clause embedded in the middle where the noun in the clause was used as probe. Closed structures are easier to process (see Prideaux, 1982), and the data do show that version [b] sentences took longer to

read.¹⁶ Thus, overall reading time seemed to be a function of closure/ nonclosure. Therefore, this processing burden associated with center embedding sentences ([b] sentences which provided probes originated from the noun in the modifying clause) might have caused the noun in the subordinate clause (in [b] sentences with center embedding structure) to be harder to retain than the head noun (in [a] sentences with closure) across Construction Types.

However, recognition times of the noun in the modifying clause and the head noun across construction types overall did not differ, $\underline{F}(1, 6) = .64$, $\underline{p} = .45$. This implies that the recognition times of the noun in the fourth segment in [a] and [b] sentences were the same. Therefore, although the location of the embedded clause played a role in overall reading time, probe recognition time was a function of Construction Type rather than a function of the location of the embedded clause.

For GR, the PRT of the probe which was used as a head noun was shorter than the PRT of the probe which was used as a noun inside the modifying clause. This increased difficulty in recognizing the noun used in the subordinate clause was not found with other types. Apparently, reading the GR type was more difficult than reading the other types. That is why the noun in the subordinate clause was harder to recognize than the noun in the main clause.

These results also do not support the Frame Hypothesis, which predicted the pattern of: PRT(NCl, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(NCl, GR) > PRT(HN, GR), PRT(HN, CN) = PRT(NCl, CN), and PRT(HN, COMP) > PRT(NCl, COMP).

These results do not support the Gap Hypothesis, which predicted the following pattern of PRT: PRT(NCI, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(NCI, GR) = PRT(HN, GR), PRT(NCI, CN) = PRT (HN, CN), and PRT(NCI, COMP) = PRT (HN, COMP).

However, the fact that the noun in the subordinate clause of GR was harder to recognize than the head noun, while no such contrast was found in other types, suggests that the GR sentences were harder to process than the sentences of other types. This finding matches the prediction by the Markedness Hypothesis: GR is harder to process.

Probe Recognition Times (PRT) by English JSLs

An overall representation of probe recognition times by English JSLs is illustrated in Figure 5-4 and the mean values are listed in Table 5-8. A smaller PRT indicates higher retention of the probe in memory.



Figure 5-4. Probe recognition time for 11 English as a second language learners with two syntactic locations of probe words in four Construction Types (Error bars: ± 1 standard deviation). Scores above 1500 milliseconds were eliminated.

Table 5-8						
Mean Values and	Mean Differ	rence (millisecond	s) between l	Probe I	Recogn	ition Times of
Four Construction	n Types and '	Two Syntactic Lo	cations (Hea	d Nou	n or No	oun in the
Modifying Clause	e) of Probe V	Vords for 11 Engli	sh Japanese	as a So	econd l	anguage
Learners						
Construction Type	PRT of	PRT of Noun in	Difference	S.D.	S.E.	
	Head Noun	Modifying Clause				
CN	1214	1254	-40	67	24	
COMP	1275	1182	93	104	37	
GR	1183	1188	-5	126	44	
RR	1161	1264	-103	90	32	

Frame theory predicted that the hosted probe should be retained in memory better than the hosting probe. Consequently, the hosted probe should be recognized faster than the hosting probe. That is, the head noun probe should be recognized faster than the probes of the noun in the modifying clause of the RR and the GR. This pattern should be opposite for the COMP, and the head noun probe and the probe of the noun in the

modifying clause should be recognized equally fast for the CN.

Gap theory predicted that the head noun, if bound by its corresponding gap in the modifying clause, should be activated more than the noun in the modifying clause, which does not have a gap in the sentence. The noun with its gap should be more activated, and consequently, its retention should be higher than the noun without a gap. Therefore, the PRT of the head noun probe of the RR, the gap type, should be smaller than the PRT of the noun in the modifying clause of its own. Because there is no gap in the other construction types, the PRT of the head noun probe and PRT of the noun in the modifying clause, both of which appeared at the same location in the sentences, should not be different for the CN, the COMP, and the GR.

According to Figure 5-4, English JSLs apparently recognized the probes from two different sources equally fast for the CN and GR. However, for the COMP, the PRT for the head noun probe was larger than the probe of the noun in the modifying clause, while the RR showed the opposite pattern.

Analyses by participants indicated the syntactic location of the probes did not make a difference for CN, $E_{CN}(1, 9) = .15$, p = .70, and GR, $E_{GR}(1, 8) = .009$, p = .93. The syntactic location of the probes made a difference for COMP, $E_{COMP}(1, 7) = 6.47$, p = .04and RR, $E_{RR}(1, 8) = 14.42$, p = .005. Items analyses indicated that the syntactic location of probes did not have an effect on PRT of any construction types; $E_{CN}(1, 18) = .02$, p = .90, $E_{COMP}(1, 18) = .33$, p = .57, $E_{GR}(1, 17) = .001$, p = .97, and $E_{RR}(1, 18) = .45$, p = .51.

In sum, the English JSLs recognized the probes of two syntactic locations equally quickly for the CN and GR. The English JSLs recognized the RR head noun probes faster than the probes from the modifying clause and recognized the COMP probes from the modifying clause faster than the head noun probes (PRT(NC1, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(HN, COMP) > PRT(NC1, COMP) (participants analyses but not items analyses).

Overall, these results do not support the Frame Hypothesis, which predicted the pattern of: PRT(NCl, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(NCl, GR) > PRT(HN, GR), PRT(HN, CN) = PRT(NCl, CN), and PRT(HN, COMP) > PRT(NCl, COMP). Partially, the results match this prediction, but only for the PRT patterns of the RR and the COMP.

Overall, these results also do not support the Gap Hypothesis, which predicted the following pattern of PRT: PRT(NCl, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(HN, GR) = PRT(NCl,

GR), PRT(HN, CN) = PRT (NCl, CN), and PRT(HN, COMP) = PRT (NCl, COMP). Partially, the results match this prediction, but only for the PRT pattern of the RR.

Why did the participants analyses show an effect of syntactic location for the RR and the COMP, but not for the CN and the GR? A possible explanation is that transfer caused the PRT effect in only RR and COMP. It could be that the unfamiliar structures such as the CN and the GR were more difficult to read, thus allowing errors to contaminate the measurement from the task. Due to this larger residual, the data did not show any patterns between the PRT of two syntactic locations for the CN and the GR types. On the other hand, English JSLs read the RR and the COMP stimuli with less difficulty, and the PRT data yielded significant patterns controlled by Construction Type.

As the explanation for the TRT results suggests, it is likely English JSLs were under a positive effect of transfer while reading the RR and the COMP material; therefore, it is also likely that they were under the effect while recognizing the probe words presented after reading the sentences.

The way in which English JSLs discriminated between the RR and the COMP could be due to their habit of discriminating equivalent structures in L1. If English JSLs read English sentence with the relative clause and the complement with a nominal head and did a similar probe recognition task, yielding the same pattern as found here, it could be taken as support that the discrimination pattern came from L1 processing. However, such data are not readily obtainable.

Summary of the Results

The results concerning ORT indicated no statistical significance for Japanese native speakers. The method of reading seven segments created considerable noise that might have obscured the patterns that the measurements were expected to reveal. The ORT results for English JSLs showed the pattern of ORT(GR) > ORT(CN, COMP, RR). The TRT by Japanese native speakers showed that they read the segment immediately following the head noun of the GR more slowly than COMP (both analyses by participants and items). TRT of RR and CN were between TRT(GR) and TRT(COMP) with significant difference from TRT(GR) (participants analyses). TRT by English JSLs showed that English JSLs spent longer reading the segment immediately following the

head noun of CN than COMP (analyses by items). The results of PRT by Japanese native speakers showed that the head noun was easier to recognize than the noun from the modifying clause of GR (participants analyses only). PRT by English JSLs indicated that the head noun of RR is easier to recognize than the noun from the modifying clause of RR, while the head noun of COMP is harder to recognize than the noun from the modifying clause of COMP (participants analyses only). PRT for CN and GR, the unfamiliar subtypes, did not yield statistical significance while PRT for RR and COMP, the familiar subtypes, did.

The results of the experiment are summarized in Table 5-9.

Table 5-9

Summary of the Results from Experiment 3: Self-Paced Reading and Probe Recognition Tasks

Measure	Japanese Native Speakers	English JSLs
ORT	No significance	ORT(GR) > ORT(CN, COMP, RR) [participants analyses only]
TRT	TRT(GR) > TRT (COMP) [both participants and items analyses] TRT(GR) > TRT(RR) TRT(GR) > TRT (CN) [participants analyses only]	TRT(CN) > TRT(COMP) TRT(CN, GR) > TRT(RR, COMP) [items analyses] (participants analyses were not done because the data were transformed to z-scores)
PRT	PRT(NCl, GR) > PRT(HN, GR) [participants analyses only]	PRT(NCl, RR) > PRT(HN, RR) PRT(HN, COMP) > PRT(NCl, COMP) [participants analyses only]

Note. ORT: overall reading time, TRT: transition reading time, PRT: probe recognition time, HN: head noun, NCI: noun in the modifying clause

The applicability of the concepts embodied in Frame and Gap theories and in markedness and transfer for on-line processing of NMC was tested in this experiment. Japanese native speakers' TRT and PRT results supported the Markedness Hypothesis.¹⁷ No other hypotheses were supported by the results from Japanese native speakers. As for English JSLs, ORT results supported the Markedness Hypothesis, TRT results supported the Transfer Hypothesis, and PRT results supported the partial prediction by the Gap Hypothesis (regarding RR), the partial prediction by the Frame Hypothesis (regarding RR and COMP), and the Transfer Hypothesis.¹⁸

Overall, the pictures that emerge from this chapter are consistent with those obtained from the results from the off-line rating and semi on-line translation tasks in the

previous chapters. Markedness seems to be a constant factor. Especially for Japanese native speakers, the markedness was the only observed effect. For English JSLs, the transfer effect was observed in the result from the on-line tasks, among others.

In the next chapter, this convergence is discussed explicitly, and generalizations are offered regarding how Japanese native speakers and English JSLs differentiate and process NMC subtypes. The adequacy of linguistic analyses and principles are also evaluated.

*Note added post defense:

Although Table 5-4 was used to test the Markedness and the Gap Hypotheses, it was found subsequent to the defense of this thesis that this table contained errors and does not correspond to Figure 5-1. Ultimately these errors do not affect the way the hypotheses were evaluated or the main conclusion of this thesis.

Notes

¹ Similar observations are made in Yamashita, Stowe, and Nakayama (1993).

² In this experiment, a segment boundary was determined by the unit of meaning which forms a constituent. When the argument of the verb was involved, the segment consisted of a noun phrase followed by the grammatical particle, which forms a natural meaningful unit.

³ Forty version [a] sentences were used in Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 described in Chaptera 3 and 4 in this volume.

⁴ Nouns belonging to the same semantic field and being high in frequency were documented in National Research Institute (1962) and National Language Research Institute (1964). Words in the same semantic fields are basically synonyms; if not synonymous, they were close to each other by word association. High frequency words are the 700 most frequently used words in 90 recent magazines.

⁵ Five exceptions had to be allowed due to restrictions such as frequency, availability of words in the same semantic field, and L2 learners' estimated knowledge of words and kanji characters. They are: 都市toshi (city), which consists of two kanji, and ふるさとhurusato (hometown), which consists of four hiragana, of RR: 別れwakare (separation), which consists of one kanji and one hiragana, and 見送りmiokuri (seeing off), which consists of two kanji and one hiragana, of GR: 洗たくsentaku (laundry), which consists of one kanji and two hiragana, and そうじsooji (cleaning), which consists of three hiragana, of GR: 沈たくsentaku (laundry), which consists of one kanji and two hiragana, and そうじsooji (cleaning), which consists of three hiragana, of GR: かまんgaman (endurance), which consists of three hiragana, and 努力doryoku (effort), which consists of two kanji, of CN: and 思い出omoide (memory), which consists of two kanji and one hiragana, and 体貌taiken (experience), which consists of two kanji, of COMP. These exceptions had to be allowed due to restrictions such as frequency, availability of words in the same semantic field, and L2 learners' estimated knowledge of words and kanji characters. However, compensation was kept minimal.

⁶ One exception is: クエスチョン/マークは/英語の/疑問を/あらわす文/にだけ/使われる (As for the question mark, it is used only for an English <u>sentence which expresses a question</u>), where a slash indicates a segment boundary. As underlined English translation shows, RR type noun modifying construction was

employed. This compensation was made due to restricting the noun **Em**gimon (question) in the fourth segment to be high frequency word and synonymous with the nouns in the fourth segments of corresponding [a] and [b] versions. The compromise was minimum enough to ensure the status of neutral control; first, the modifying clause in this exception is less than two segments, which is different from the three segment long target clauses, and, secondly, the head noun falls in the fifth segment, unlike [a] versions where the head nouns falls in the fourth segment, and [b] versions where the head nouns falls in the sixth segment.

⁷ Out of 40 statements, 19 were associated with the target (version [a] and [b]) sentences. 11 were associated with version [c] sentences while 10 were associated with version [d] sentences. Out of 19 statements associated with the target sentences, 6 were presented after RR probes, 4 after GR probes, 6 after CN probes, and 3 after COMP probes. The reason why the number of statements were not evenly distributed among construction types or across versions is that making clear true or false statement for some sentences was easier than other sentences (which prevented even distribution of statements across types and versions), and the effort was made to make the true statements and false statements as clear as possible for both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs.

⁸ Prior to the instruction, participants were asked to answer the questions on the computer screen by hitting the key on the laptop: 1. Participant number, which the experimenter provided, 2. Participant's name, which the participants arbitrarily picked, 3. Age, and 4. Gender. The participants were expected to adjust the brightness of the screen and to get used to the key of the laptop by this brief typing session.

⁹ When the participants hit by mistake an irrelevant key, the trial was over, and they went on to the next trial.

¹⁰ The reading times, i.e., the time between the presentation of the material (by segment) and the input of space bar in order to receive the next segment, were recorded. For example, the reading time of Segment 3 means the time between the presentation of the material of Segment 3 and the participants' hitting the space bar in order to receive the material of Segment 4.

¹¹ A word test was given after the reading task and before the translation task. One hundred and six Japanese words used in the sentences of [a] version were given with the readings and English equivalents (see Appendix 1). The participants were asked to provide check marks if they knew both the readings and the translation. Average was 62.8%, the highest being 97.2% and the lowest being 20.6%. The individual scores were 20.6%, 35.5%, 32.7%, 36.4%, 60.7%, 63.6%, 79.4%, 85.0%, 88.8%, 90.7%, and 97.2%.

¹² The number of errors in probe recognition task when the expected response was 'yes' is shown in the following table. The first row is participant numbers. The second and the third rows are the number of incorrect 'no' response to 40 [a] and 40 [b] probes, for which the expected response was 'yes.'

Japanese	J1	J2	J3	J4	J5	J6	J7	J8	J9	110	JII
Participant #											
a	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
b	1	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0

Japanese Participant #	J12	J13	J14	J15	J16	J17	J18	J19	J20	J21	J22	J23
a	1	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
Ь	2	0	6	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	1

English Participant #	El	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11
a	2	8	3	2	10	1	16	21	10	0	2
Ь	1	7	1	3	6	2	2	8	5	0	0

¹³ The numbers of response out of 80 less than 1500 milliseconds in recognition time by Japanese native speakers are shown in the table below.

Japanese Participant #	JI	J2	J3	J4	J5	J 6	J7	J8	J9	J10	J11
# of response < 1500 msec.	67	70	61	76	69	52	75	64	77	68	58

Japanese Participant #	J12	J13	J14	J15	J16	J17	J18	J19	J20	J21	J22	J23
# of response < 1500 msec.	77	66	39	76	60	78	77	76	71	74	64	69

The number of responses less than 1500 milliseconds for each English JSLs is shown in the table below. The values in the third row are the number of probes that the participants have actually responded. When the participants mistakenly hit the invalid keys during the presentation of the segments, the current trial was cancelled. If all the trials were properly done, the participants received 80 "a" and "b" probes. Due to the mechanical problem with the computer, the number of probe Responses collected from E7 is considerably lower than the others.

English Participant #	El	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11
# of response < 1500 msec.	15	12	41	28	14	52	0	3	59	32	67
# of response received	80	77	80	80	80	79	52	80	80	80	80

¹⁴ */* indicates the location of the segment border.

¹⁵ ANOVA with participants as variables was not used here because by definition of the standardized score, no variance exists among participants.

¹⁶ Overall reading time for [b] version sentences is greater than [a] version sentences for Japanese native speakers. For CN, ORT of [b] sentences was significantly greater than ORT of [a], E(22,1) = 6.15, g = .02. For COMP, ORT of [b] was greater than ORT of [a], but the difference was not statistically significant, E(22, 1) = 1.71, g = .21. For GR, ORT of [b] was significantly greater than ORT of [a], E(22,1) = 5.94, g = .02. For RR, ORT of [b] was significantly greater than ORT of [a], E(22,1) = 5.94, g = .02.

¹⁷ There is another set of data from the on-line experiment that might support Japanese native speakers' sensitivity to markedness. The participants gave responses to the statements that appeared after the probes for a quarter of the stimuli. The responses were either 'p' key meaning 'yes' or 'q' key meaning 'no.' If the statement was true of the sentence they just read, the correct response was 'p,' while if the statement was not true of the sentence just read, the correct response was 'q.' The following tables show frequencies of the different types of responses for 'p' statements and 'q' statements, respectively. The number of statements was not evenly distributed across construction types. In addition, some trials were discarded in the middle of the segment reading due to the illegal key input. Therefore, not all the statements were answered.

Observed Frequencies of 'P'(Yes) and 'Q'(No) Input for 'P' Statements ('P' was the Correct Response) Associated with Four NMC Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Correct 'P'	Incorrect 'Q'	Total
CN	55(79.71)	14(20.29)	69(100)
COMP	40(86.96)	6(13.05)	26(100)
GR	37(80.44)	9(19.57)	46(100)
RR	57(83.82)	11(16.18)	68(100)
Total	189(82.53)	40(17.47)	229(100)

Note. The figures in the parentheses are percentage when sum of each row is 100%. X^2 (3, N = 229) = 1.23, p = .75.

The table above summarizes the distribution of responses to 'p' statement (i.e., the expected correct response was 'p'). There is not correlation between the type of response and Construction Type, X^2 (3, N = (229) = 1.23, p = .75.

Observed Frequencies of 'P'(Yes) and 'O'(No) Input for 'O' Statements ('O' was the Correct Response) Associated with Four NMC Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Incorrect 'P'	Correct 'Q'	Total
CN	7(10.29)	61(89.71)	68(100)
COMP	0(0)	22(100)	22(100)
GR	8(17.37)	38(82.61)	46(100)
RR	3(4.41)	65(95.50)	68(100)
Total	18(8.82)	186(91.18)	204(100)

Note. The figures in the parentheses are percentage when sum of each row is 100%. X² (3, N = 204) = 8015, p =.04.

Because the distribution of incorrect 'p' responses and correct 'q' responses by Japanese native speakers is dependent on Construction Type, X^2 (3, N = 204) = 8015, p = .04, Post Hoc cell contribution test was done (see the table below). It was found out that the GR contributes to the discrepancy of distribution the most.

Post Hoc Cell Contributions for Construction Type and Type of Response (Incorrect 'P'/ Correct 'O') of
Observed Frequencies of 'P'(Yes) and 'O'(No) Input for 'O' Statements ('O' was the Correct Response
Associated with Four NMC Types by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Construction Type	Incorrect 'P'	Correct 'Q'	
CN	.52	52	
COMP	-1.55	1.55	
GR	2.33	-2.33	
RR	-1.57	1.57	

Japanese native speakers made proportionally more mistakes for 'q' statements associated with GR. They were supposed to respond with 'q'(no) key, but mistakenly they responded with 'p'(yes) key. That fact that Japanese JSLs had a harder time providing no responses only to GR may be attributed to its marked status.

¹⁸ In addition to segment reading times and probe recognition times, true ('p' key) or false ('q' key) input for the statements were recorded. One quarter of the stimuli were followed by the statement, which was presented after the response to the probe.

Responses to 'p' statements and 'q' statements were separately analyzed. It was found that the number of mistakes in responses, which could suggest the level of understanding of the stimuli by English JSLs, does not suggest any difficulties associated with a particular construction type.

Observed Frequencies of 'P'(Yes) and 'Q'(No) Input for 'P' Statements ('P' was the Correct Response) Associated with Four NMC Types by 11 English Japanese as a Second Language Learners

Construction Type	Correct 'P'	Incorrect 'Q'	Total
CN	25(78.13)	7(21.88)	32(100)
COMP	15(71.43)	6(28.57)	21(100)
GR	13(65.00)	7(35.00)	20(100)
RR	26(78.79)	7(21.21)	33(100)
Total	79(74.53)	27(25.47)	106(100)

<u>Note.</u> The figures in the parentheses are percentage when sum of each row is 100%. X^2 (3, N = 106) = 1.60, p = .66.

Observed Frequencies of 'P'(Yes) and 'Q'(No) Input for 'Q' Statements ('Q' was the Correct Response) Associated with Four NMC Types by 11 English Japanese as a Second Language Learners

Construction Type	Incorrect 'P'	Correct 'Q'	Total
CN	7(21.88)	25(78.13)	64(100)
COMP	4(40.00)	6(60.00)	10(100)
GR	1(5.00)	19(95.00)	20(100)
RR	4(12.50)	28(97.50)	32(100)
Total	16(17.02)	78(82.98)	94(100)

<u>Note.</u> The figures in the parentheses are percentage when sum of each row is 100%. X^2 (3, N = 94) = 6.78, g = .08.

For English JSLs, the distribution of correct and incorrect responses for 'p' statements and 'q' statements was not a function of Construction Type. That is, English JSLs did not make more mistakes due to Construction Type. The result from this verification task does not provide evidence for the sensitivity in processing NMC by English JLSs. On the other hand, the semi on-line translation task clearly showed different levels of comprehension more. TRT, which is the fifth segment reading time before English JSLs received the entire sentence, captures different aspects associated with processing NMCs.

Chapter 6

General Discussion

The goals of this thesis, as stated in Chapter 1, were fourfold. They were:

1. To characterize the four subtypes of NMC syntactically and semantically;

2. To investigate experimentally how Japanese native speakers differentiate and process such structures;

3. To investigate experimentally how non-native speakers differentiate and process such structures;

4. To evaluate the competing analyses and principles which have been offered for such structures, using evidence from 2 and 3.

The first goal was met by Chapter 2, where different principles for analysis of NMCs were presented. The Gap, Frame, Markedness, and Transfer Hypotheses were formulated. The second and the third goals were met by Chapters 3, 4, and 5, where the experiments that tested the hypotheses were described. In this final chapter, all the results are brought together, and the fourth goal, i.e. evaluation of the hypotheses, in the context of the discussions based on the experimental results, is met.

What Is Fundamental?

The results from the experiments across tasks and across speaker groups consistently show the emergence of a common processing sensitivity. Both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs process NMCs under the influence of the domain of processing resources that form subset relations. In fact, for the native speakers, as far as the experimental results are concerned, their NMC processing sensitivity can be characterized only by the resource domains, which was predicted based on the Markedness Hypothesis.

Ternary Markedness

The resource domains involved in processing NMCs are reviewed in Table 6-1. Table 6-1 (used as Table 2-8 in Chapter 2) shows the three resource domains relevant to

generating different NMC types. These resource domains are in subset relations, forming ternary markedness, as illustrated by Figure 6-1.

Table 6-1

Kesource	es involved in Association of wiod	niving Clause and He	ad Noun of NN
NMC	Baseline Logical Knowledge of Relation Including Equation	Knowledge of Thematic Relation	Pragmatic Knowledge
RR	√	√	
GR	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
CN	\checkmark	\checkmark	
COMP	\checkmark		





Figure 6-1. Ternary markedness: The three grammars that generate the GR, the RR, the CN, and the COMP are in subset relations.

Interpretation of a COMP requires only logical knowledge, particularly logical knowledge of an equation, which is baseline knowledge. The head noun is in equation with the content of the modifying clause. The relation between the modifying clause and the head noun is not thematic because the head noun is not an argument of the clausal predicate. The head noun names the role played by the modifying clause. Baseline logical knowledge is used for comprehending all subtypes of NMCs as Table 6-1 shows.

In addition to baseline logical knowledge, interpretation of a RR requires knowledge of the thematic relation because the head noun carries a thematic role with relation to the clausal predicate. Knowledge of thematic relations allows the assignment of a thematic role to the head noun by the clausal predicate.

Another type in this domain is CN. Interpretation of a CN requires knowledge of the thematic relation and logical knowledge of the relation. The head noun names a role in relation with the clausal predicate, and the role is in the thematic domain of the clausal verb; therefore, thematic knowledge is involved in recognizing the name in this domain.

On the other hand, the modifying clause plays the role of an entity logically related to the meaning of the head noun; therefore, baseline logical knowledge is involved here.

Lastly, a GR requires pragmatic knowledge as well as thematic knowledge and logical knowledge for comprehension. GR is a NMC type that denotes two events, somehow related. One of the events involves the head noun as an argument with an unexpressed predicate. The other event is denoted by the modifying clause. In order to combine the modifying clause and the head noun of GR, the unexpressed predicate must be searched for. Pragmatic resources are involved to find this hidden predicate and to connect two events. Thematic knowledge is required too because the head noun is an argument of the unexpressed predicate.

Binary Markedness

Both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs were sensitive to ternary markedness. Ternary markedness is a finer version of binary markedness, in which GR, the member that only the most superset grammar can generate, is assigned the marked status while others are unmarked. Both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs were also sensitive to binary markedness, as in Figure 6-2.



Figure 6-2. Binary markedness: GR is marked with respect to RR, CN, and COMP.

These two kinds of markedness sufficiently account for processing sensitivity of Japanese native speakers when they process NMCs. The concept of markedness also explains a part of the English JSLs's processing sensitivities; however, the English JSLs were more analytical about the different relationship between the two constituents of NMC subtypes.

What Is Different between Japanese Native Speakers and English JSLs?

The difference between the two speaker groups is that Japanese native speakers are only sensitive to the markedness relation among the subtypes, while English JSLs are sensitive not only to the markedness relation but also to how the two constituents of NMC are combined.

Japanese Native Speakers

The off-line NR and EUR. During the off-line rating tasks, Japanese native speakers rated the GR lowest in both naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs scales (analyses by both participants and items). That is, Japanese native speakers separate the GR from other NMC subtypes due to the GR's processing feature which involves an unexpressed predicate. This is evidence for sensitivity to binary markedness.

The correlation between two rating scales. In addition, the off-line rating data indicated that the underlying decision criteria for naturalness and ease of understanding for English JSLs matched when they rated the GR sentences, while naturalness and ease of understanding were treated differently when the participants rated the RR, the CN, and the COMP. Having knowledge of English grammar in their second language, Japanese native speakers had separate judgement criteria for ease of understanding for English JSLs from their sense of naturalness, except when they rated the GR stimuli.

The GR was singled out here, and this supports Japanese native speakers' sensitivity to binary markedness. That is, Japanese native speakers were sensitive to the fact that the GR is marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP due to the special status of the GR, i.e., involving superset processing resources. In other words, during off-line processing, for Japanese native speakers, the three unmarked members RR, CN, and COMP were indistinguishable in that task.

The on-line PRT. Binary markedness was at work also during the on-line probe recognition task. The noun in the modifying clause of the GR was harder to recognize than the head noun of the GR (analyses by participants only), while there were no recognition time differences between the nouns of two syntactic locations of other types. Apparently processing the GR was harder than processing unmarked types, which caused difficulty in recognizing the noun in the subordinate clause of the GR. Japanese native

speakers, therefore, were able to discriminate the four NMC subtypes by binary markedness. That is, the GR is different from the CN, RR, and COMP.

The on-line TRT. The TRT results, which constituted the last data set collected from Japanese native speakers, indicated ternary markedness in addition to binary markedness. The pattern of the TRT corresponds to the three domains of resources required to parse the construction; i.e., the greater superset domain required, the longer the TRT used.

The TRT of the GR was greatest (according to the participants analyses), and this supports binary markedness. The TRT(GR) was greatest because the grammar requires the largest resources; it requires pragmatic knowledge in addition to knowledge of thematic relations and logical relations.

The TRT of the COMP was the least, and significantly lower than the GR (analyses by both participants and items), because in order to find cohesion between the modifying clause and the head noun of the COMP, logical knowledge of the equation, the smallest domain of resources, is required. Lastly, the TRT of the RR and the TRT of the CN were between the TRT of the GR and the TRT of the COMP. Members of the RR and CN are generated by the intermediate grammar. That is, logical knowledge (equation and relation) and thematic knowledge are required to understand the members of RR and CN.

The results from the TRT suggest that Japanese native speakers are sensitive to ternary markedness as well as binary markedness. Japanese native speakers were able to isolate GR and COMP, but CN and RR were inseparable.

Summary of Japanese native speakers' processing sensitivities. The Japanese native speakers' discrimination patterns suggest that they process NMCs under the effect of markedness. This was strikingly binary during off-line comprehension and both binary and ternary during on-line comprehension. Markedness was the only observed effect. This supports the statement by Kellerman (1983) that native speakers have a sense of markedness in their L1.

There was no supporting evidence from Japanese native speakers' results for the Gap and Frame theories, which claim that different principles of relation apply to the two constituents of NMCs.

English JSLs

The off-line ratings results. Off-line rating patterns, by both ease of understanding and naturalness, consistently showed the lowest scores for the GR. This suggests English JSLs were sensitive to binary markedness. Binary markedness separated the GR from the CN, RR, and COMP. The ranking among the CN, RR, and COMP, however, suggests another sensitivity that English JSLs had, but that Japanese native speakers did not.

<u>The off-line EUR.</u> In terms of ease of understanding rating (RR > CN > COMP > GR), the RR was easier than the GR to understand (the analyses by both participants and items). There were no differences between EUR (CN) and EUR (COMP), which fell between EUR (RR) and EUR (GR). In the competition among the RR, the CN, and the COMP, in which the RR placed ahead enough of the CN and the COMP to be significantly higher than the lowest GR, the major decision role was played by the sensitivity to the syntactic relation between the head noun and the modifying clause.

First, the RR was rated highest due to its special status, i.e., that the head noun is a part of the sentence with the clausal predicate. The RR is the only type that allows derelativization (see Table 2-5 in Chapter 2). That is, the head noun of the RR is syntactically related (either an argument NP or an adjunct PP) to the clausal verb. English JSLs found this crucial for understanding this structure.

From a Gap theoretic point of view, in other words, English JSLs could sense there was a gap in the modifying clause via which the head noun is related to the modifying clause. The fact that the RR was rated highest in ease of understanding for English JSLs rating suggests the validity of the Gap Hypothesis for English JSLs.

The head nouns of the CN and the COMP, on the other hand, do not have such properties. In the first place, unlike the RR, the head nouns and the modifying clauses of the CN and the COMP do not have syntactic relations, which totally disallows the possibility of de-relativization. Secondly, in terms of the manner in which the head noun participates in the proposition that the modifying clause denotes, unlike the RR, the CN head nouns name rather than occupy the argument slots in the proposition with the

clausal predicate. Unlike the RR, the COMP head nouns are names of the propositions that the modifying clauses denote, and, therefore, do not participate in the proposition.

<u>The off-line NR.</u> In terms of the naturalness rating, again GR was rated lowest supporting binary markedness. The CN was rated highest, and was significantly more natural than the GR (the analyses by participants but not the analyses by items).

The significant role in the competition among the RR, the CN, and the COMP driven by the intuition of naturalness was played by the semantics of the head noun. When the scale of naturalness was presented to English JSLs, they appeared to reject the involvement of syntax and to rely upon the use of the word meaning for the construal of NMC.

The RR was out of the competition because the modifying clause and the head noun have a syntactic relation. This syntactic relation was a strong guiding principle for understanding, but English JSLs found this involvement of syntax unnatural.

The CN and the COMP were perceived more natural than the RR because syntax is not involved in construal of the CN and the COMP. Between the CN and the COMP, where the CN won, English JSLs examined the meaning of the head nouns in this off-line task. English JSLs analyzed the meanings of the head nouns in discriminating NMCs. What made the CN more natural than the COMP was that, crucially, the head nouns of the CN are relational nouns.

In the stimuli, for example, the head nouns include the following ten words: kekka ('result'), which entails a cause, riyuu ('reason'), which entails a consequence, chokuzen ('the time immediately before'), which entails an event that follows, aida ('the space between'), which entails two existing entities on both sides, yoko ('the space beside'), which entails the entity adjacent to which something exists, atari ('the space around'), which entails an entity surrounded by space, uragawa ('the space behind'), which entails the entity having a back side, oto ('sound'), which entails the source of the sound, benkyoo ('study'), which entails the purpose for the endeavor, and asa ('morning'), which entails the night before.

The meaning of these head nouns all logically entail a relational concept, and the modifying clause denotes the content of the entailed relational concept (see Table 2-3 for the schematic expression of the CN). With entailment, the meaning of the head nouns

relates with the modifying clause. Syntax was not necessary. In this way, the CN provided the sense of being the most natural.

On the other hand, the head nouns of the COMP are not relational. Rather, they are content taking, and thus, by equation, the head noun and the meaning of the modifying clause are related. For example, in the stimuli, the head nouns include the following ten words: *denwa* ('phone call'), *kookoku* ('advertisement'), *shitsumon* ('question'), *iken* ('opinion'), *yokubou* ('wish'), *gaman* ('endurance'), *omoide* ('memory'), *uttae* ('plea'), *rikutsu* ('logic'), and *kettei* ('decision'). These head nouns are not semantically relational, unlike the head nouns of the CN.

Thus, the CN was perceived to be the most natural because, rather than the rules of grammar or the notion of equation that the speaker should utilize, construal of the CN is driven by entailment that meaning of the word conveys. English JSLs were sensitive to the semantics of the head nouns, specifically that the head nouns entailed relational concepts.

<u>The correlation between two rating scales.</u> The ranking correlation showed that the CN and COMP sentences were rated consistently across the scales, while the RR and GR sentences were not. That is, English JSLs grouped the four construction types into two: the CN and the COMP, and the RR and the GR. Something was different between the two groups, and English JSLs were sensitive to it.

This grouping reveals that English JSLs were sensitive to the types of NMC; particularly, in this case, the manner in which the head noun participates in the proposition of the NMC. The head nouns of the RR and the GR participate directly in the propositions as arguments. They both fill in the argument slots; the RR head noun is an argument of the clausal predicate and the GR head noun is an argument of an unexpressed predicate. On the other hand, the head nouns of the CN and the COMP are not. They are both names. The CN head noun is a name of an argument; it does not occupy the argument slot but simply names the slot. The COMP head noun is a name of the meaning of the whole modifying clause. English JSLs were sensitive to how the head nouns are incorporated in the proposition of NMC, and this was shown in the grouping of the four NMC types.
Summary of the off-line EUR and NR results from English JSLs. The off-line experiment results suggested four types of sensitivities: 1) binary markedness, 2) syntactic relation (gap) between the constituents, 3) semantic status of the head noun in relation to the modifying clause, and 4) membership status of the head noun in the proposition of NMC.

<u>The semi on-line TA</u>. The semi on-line translation accuracy results (COMP > CN > RR > GR) suggest English JSLs' sensitivity to ternary markedness and to the status of the head noun in the proposition of NMC, which was also observed in the off-line correlation results.

Both analyses by participants and items suggest that TA(COMP) was significantly higher than TA(GR). TA(COMP, CN, RR) was significantly higher than TA(GR) which suggests the function of binary markedness. TA(CN) and TA(RR) were ranked in this order without differences (but TA(COMP) and TA(RR) were different, according to the analyses by participants), revealing the effect of ternary markedness. Translation accuracy was highest for COMP because comprehending COMP involves the least processing domain, lowest for GR because comprehending GR involves the most processing domain, and CN and RR were intermediate because comprehending CN and RR involves intermediate processing domain.

The results also indicated that the TA(COMP) and TA(CN) were not different (in both the participants analyses and the items analyses). This cannot be explained by ternary markedness, where COMP and CN are different in terms of markedness status. What separated CN from RR and grouped it with COMP? Again, as they were during off-line rating tasks, English JSLs were sensitive to the head noun's membership status in the proposition of NMC.

The direct participation of the head noun as an argument in the proposition seems more costly than labeling the slot for the argument in the proposition. The head noun of the CN is a name of a participant in the proposition, while the head noun of the RR is a part of the content of the argument in the proposition. This separated the CN from the RR and drew the CN close to the COMP because the head noun of the COMP is also a name.

<u>Summary of the semi on-line TA results from English JSLs.</u> In sum, the semi online results suggested the effect of ternary markedness and membership of the head noun in the proposition of the NMCs.

<u>The on-line self-paced reading and probe recognition results.</u> The results from on-line experiments provides support for the Transfer Hypothesis and other sensitivity in addition to binary and ternary markedness.

The on-line ORT and PRT. The ORT results (ORT(GR) > ORT(CN, COMP, RR) (analyses by participants only)) again supported the role of binary markedness. The PRT results (PRT(NCl, RR) > PRT(HN, RR), PRT(HN, COMP) > PRT(NCl, COMP)) revealed a transfer effect. PRT patterns for the familiar types, RR and COMP, were opposite, supporting partial predictions by the Gap and Frame Hypotheses. It is premature to state that English JSLs are sensitive to gap and frame since only a part of each hypothesis was supported. However, the results illustrate English JSLs' sense of clear distinction between RR and COMP.

The on-line TRT. To explain the TRT results from English JSLs (CN > GR > RR> COMP), the results from the semi on-line translation task (COMP > CN > RR > GR) were used for comparison. The results of translation accuracy indicated that English JSLs' comprehension level was significantly lower for the GR and highest for the COMP (both analyses by participants and items). The comprehension level for the CN was also as high as COMP.

First of all, the shortest TRT(COMP) and highest TA(COMP) suggest that during the on-line reading task, it is likely that English JSLs understood the material of COMP relatively easily; thus, the TRT(COMP) was short, and the TA(COMP) was high. Secondly, the fact that the TA(CN) was as high as the highest TA(COMP) and longest TRT(CN) suggests that during the on-line reading task, English JSLs spent the longest time reading the fifth segment of the CN sentences. They did this until they reached the point of understanding of the material up to the segment and then hit the space bar to go on.

On the other hand, the lowest TA(GR) and the second longest TRT(GR), which was shorter than TRT(CN), suggest that during the on-line reading task, English JSLs did not understand the GR material. They did not quite understand the GR sentences, but

rather than trying to understand them as they did with the CN sentences, they gave up and hit the bar to go on. The fact the TRT(GR) and the TRT(RR) were the same suggests that English JSLs treated the fifth segment of the GR and of the RR in the same way. Particularly, the GR material was not understood while the RR material was; however, they were read in the same manner.

With the implication of the TA results regarding the level of comprehension at the fifth segment, the TRT results by English JSLs can be explained by the combination of these factors: 1) the number of roles that construal of NMC types involves, 2) ternary markedness, and 3) transfer (RR and COMP are familiar, while CN and GR are unfamiliar in L1).

The number of roles that construal of NMC types involves. In terms of the number of roles that the head nouns play in order to relate themselves with the modifying clause, the four types of NMC can be classified into two, in terms of the number of roles carried by the head noun. COMP belongs to the first class, where one role is involved. Two constituents are related in the way that the head noun names the role that the modifying clause plays. RR and GR also belong to the first class, where one role is involved. The head noun carries a thematic role in relation to the clausal verb (RR) or in relation to the unexpressed verb (GR), respectively. In COMP, the job that the head noun performs is naming, while in RR and GR, it is carrying a thematic role. The type of job is different, but one role is played by the head noun, either naming or carrying.

CN belongs to the second class, where two roles are involved. The head noun names a role in relation to the clausal verb, and the head noun also names a role in logical relation to what the modifying clause means. This is summarized in Table 6-2.

 Table 6-2

 Roles Played by Head Nouns in Relating the Head Noun and Modifying Clause of Four

 NMC Types

NMC	Number of Roles	Comments
COMP	1	Head noun names the role that the modifying clause plays
RR	1	Head noun carries a thematic role in relation with the clausal verb.
GR	1	Head noun carries a thematic role in relation with the unexpressed verb.
CN	2	Head noun names the role in relation with the clausal verb. Head noun also a names the role complementary to the meaning of the modifying clause.

Ternary markedness. Table 6-3 combines Table 6-1, which describes ternary markedness, and Table 6-2, for convenience of explanation for the results of the TRT.

Table 6-3

Resources Involved in Association of Modifying Clause and Head Noun and Number of Roles Head Noun Plays to Relate Head Noun and Modifying Clause of Four NMC Types

NMC	Domain of Resources	Number of Roles
COMP	-Baseline logical knowledge	
RR	-Baseline logical knowledge	
	-Knowledge of thematic relation	1
GR	-Baseline logical knowledge	
	-Knowledge of thematic relation	
	-Pragmatic knowledge	
CN	-Baseline logical knowledge	2
	-Knowledge of thematic relation	

The COMP stimuli were easy to read because comprehending the COMP type of NMC requires the smallest domain of resources, i.e., logical knowledge of equation. In terms of number of roles for construal, on the other hand, COMP involves one role, and so do RR and GR. However, comprehending RR and GR requires a larger domain of resources than comprehending COMP. The association of the two constituents of RR involves baseline logical knowledge and knowledge of thematic relations, while GR involves pragmatic knowledge in addition to what is involved in RR comprehension. That explains how COMP elicited the shortest TRT.

English JSLs spent the longest time reading CN. This can be explained by its special status, which involves two roles for construal. Only the CN head nouns do two

jobs. One is to name the role in the event described by the modifying clause, and the other is to name the role complementary to the event described by the modifying clause. In terms of domains of resources, CN and RR should be treated the same way. However, RR involves one role, while CN involves two. This is how CN achieved the longest TRT.

The TRT(RR) and the TRT(GR) did not differ. In terms of number of roles, GR and RR are equal. In terms of ternary markedness, the GR involves a larger domain of resources than the RR. However, it is likely English JSLs did not access pragmatic resources and did not understand the GR material, as suggested by the lowest TA(GR). Under the time constraint, English JSLs were reading the fifth segment with the resources of thematic knowledge and baseline logical knowledge, which are called for in both RR and GR. This makes the competition even between the RR and the GR on the basis of both number of roles and domain of resources. These participants did not quite understand the GR material; however, understanding of the involvement of one role carried by the head noun drove their reading, in a way similar to the RR material, which made them hit the space bar to go on.

Transfer. Lastly, English JSLs may not have accessed pragmatic resources for GR, and this is likely due to transfer. Japanese native speakers were sensitive to ternary markedness as were English JSLs. Japanese native speakers, therefore, spent the longest time reading the GR material and accessed pragmatic resources in order to construe the head noun. However, English JSLs did not spend the longest time reading GR material to access pragmatic resources (TRT(CN) was the longest, and TRT(GR) and TRT(RR) were the second longest), which ternary markedness does not explain. English JSLs' sensitivity to the number of roles in the construal of NMCs, which explains the longest TRT(CN), does not explain why they did not access pragmatic resources, either. Transfer may have been a direct factor for English JSLs' not accessing pragmatic resources.

In other words, English JSLs recognized the RR and the COMP because these are similar to the English relative clause and complement structures. In order to parse the head noun of the RR and the COMP, these participants did not need to access the domain of pragmatic knowledge. When they came across the unfamiliar types, the CN and the GR, they were not able to access the resource of pragmatics for the purpose of parsing the

head noun. The CN head noun was parsable without pragmatic resources, but parsing the GR was impossible.

<u>Summary of the on-line ORT. PRT. and TRT results from English JSLs.</u> The online results revealed the relevance of the following four factors: 1) binary and ternary markedness, 2) the number of roles that construal of NMC types involves, 3) transfer, and 4) distinction between RR and COMP.

Summary of English JSLs' processing sensitivities. The English JSLs' discrimination patterns suggest that they process NMCs under the effect of markedness (binary and ternary), syntactic relation (gap) between the constituents, semantic status of the head noun in relation to the modifying clause, membership status of the head noun in the proposition of NMC, number of roles that the head noun plays for construal, transfer, and distinction between RR and COMP. As well as Japanese native speakers, English JSLs were sensitive to markedness relation among the NMC types. Obviously, English JSLs were more analytical about the relation between the head noun and the modifying clause.

Where Do the Differences Come from?

There are three major differences between Japanese native speakers and English JSLs. The first is, native speakers of Japanese are subject to markedness to the extent that they appear to be insensitive to the relationship between the head noun and modifying clause. The second is that English JSLs are more sensitive to the relation between the two constituents. These two points were clearly made by the results discussed above.

Thirdly, between ternary and binary markedness, Japanese native speakers showed clear sensitivity to binary markedness more than English JSLs did. In the offline rating data from Japanese native speakers, by both analyses by participants and items, the GR was significantly different from the CN, RR, and COMP, among which there were no distinctions. Also in the on-line reading and probe recognition tasks, although the effect was weaker than in the results from the off-line tasks, Japanese native speakers treated the GR differently from the others. On the other hand, English JSLs' sensitivity to binary markedness was either eclipsed by sensitivity to syntax and

semantics (as in the results from the NR and EUR ratings and the TA) or weak (as in the results from the ORT, where the GR was significantly longer than the combined averages of the CN, COMP, and RR, by participants analyses only).

The first two points suggest that L2 learners are more analytical about the grammar of L2 than the native speakers. Below an explanation for such differences is attempted, based on grammatical properties of the NMC types and their English equivalents.

Why are Japanese native speakers insensitive and English speakers more sensitive to the relation between the constituents?

The possible source for the first and the second differences may be the differing suite of NMC construction types for Japanese native speakers and NMC equivalents for English JSLs. The difference between the suites are describable from two perspectives: the internal relation between the modifying clause and the head noun and the resource domain differences among NMC types as reflected in Table 6-4.

Table 6-4

Suite of Four NMC Construction Types in Terms of Relation between Head Noun and Modifying Clause and Domain of Resources for Processing NMCs



<u>NMC types in the mind of Japanese native speakers.</u> The suite of NMCs in Japanese constitute a spectrum. From a Frame theoretic point of view, the RR and the GR (Clause Host Type) are located on one end, the COMP (Noun Host Type) at the other end, and the CN (Clause and Noun Host Type) is intermediate. These three categories have an intersecting relation with the Clause and Noun Host Type in an area of overlap.

From a Gap theoretic point of view, the RR is a gap type, while other three are non-gap types without means to distinguish among them. According to de-relativization criteria, the RR can be de-relativized, while the other three cannot. These three are distinguishable only if another criterion is applied, i.e., the reason why they are not derelativizable (see the section on the four-way distinction by de-relativization in Chapter 2). In any case, the four types of NMC are not mutually exclusive under the aegis of a single principle.

In addition, the four subtypes are nested according to the second factor, i.e., the resources involved in forming cohesion between the modifying clause and the head noun. The requirement for baseline logical knowledge is shared by all the types. The requirement for knowledge of thematic relations is shared by RR, CN, and GR. The RR, only type that Gap theory and de-relativization isolates, is inseparable from the CN because they are both generated by a grammar having a common resource domain.

<u>NMC equivalents in the mind of English speakers.</u> On the other hand, English has only two categories, i.e., relative clause and complement, in the spectrum of equivalent structures. This distinction is a yes/no type of distinction in that presence/ absence of gap (Gap theory) or hosting/ hosted head noun (Frame theory) matters. In other words, these two types are mutually exclusive. In terms of the resource domain, the relative clause and complement structures are in a super-sub set relation. However, there are only two categories here. One (relative clause) belongs to the superset domain, and the other (complement) belongs to the subset domain. The distinction is exclusive.

Source of (in)sensitivity. That native speakers of Japanese are subject to markedness to the extent that they appear to be insensitive to the relationship between the head noun and modifying clause, is due to that Japanese native speakers are intimately familiar with the four categories, all of which are superficially identical and inseparable in terms of the internal relations between the modifying clause and the head noun. Therefore, they did not rely on the internal relations between the constituents to distinguish them. With this weakened sensitivity to relations, they relied on external factors, namely the domain of processing resources, which fundamentally affected processing the NMCs.

Finally, what makes English JSLs more sensitive, in addition to markedness to the relation between the two constituents can be explained as follows. Compared to Japanese NMCs, the relative clause and complement are mutually exclusive categories grammatically (i.e., in terms of internal relationship between the head noun and the modifying clause). In the absence of the other categories, the two categories are distinguishable in terms of resource domains. English JSLs, having these discreet

equivalent categories, were naturally sensitive to the internal organization of NMCs while being affected by the processing resource domain differences.

Why do Japanese native speakers have a clearer idea of binary markedness?

The last major difference between the speaker groups is that Japanese native speakers, more than English JSLs, are above all sensitive to binary markedness. Why do Japanese native speakers have a more clear-cut intolerance toward the GR than English JSLs? A possible explanation is based on the concept of markedness again. That is, it is possible that the GR is marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP, not only because it requires the largest domain of resources for construal, but also in terms of another markedness contrast. That is, the increased burden for the GR by Japanese native speakers was caused by the doubly marked status of the GR while for English JSLs the GR is only singly marked.

<u>GR is doubly marked in Japanese.</u> First of all, the GR is marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP because only the superset grammar that involves pragmatic resources can generate the GR. The incorporation of the head noun into the modifying clause of the GR is impossible with subset grammar, which uses both thematic and logical knowledge. On the other hand, with the subset grammar, the construal of the RR, the CN, and the COMP, is possible.

In addition to this resource domain contrast, in Japanese grammar, the GR is marked with respect to the RR, the CN, and the COMP by another contrasting property. This contrast is made evident by the difference in the direction of the operation to combine the two constituents. This contrast is made as follows.

In Japanese, sentences with missing arguments, *pros*, are grammatical as long as the predicates are present (see the description of Gap theory in Chapter 2). *Pro* could occur across the subtypes of NMC.¹ With respect to this, the situation in the GR is complementary, specifically, in the sense that the head noun is an argument whose predicate is missing. While processing the RR, the CN, the COMP, and also the GR may involve searching for the referent of *pro* based on the overtly expressed predicate, construal of the GR must involve searching for the predicate to which the argument (the head noun) belongs. In processing terms, perhaps there is a connection between searching for missing arguments and searching for missing predicates, because these two operations are in principle complementary. The difference resides in the direction of the operation.

In searching for the referent of *pro*, the frame (cf. Matsumoto, 1997) is overtly expressed by the predicate; based on the provided frame, the referent of *pro*, i.e., one of the participants in the frame, is searched for. In other words, this operation starts from the whole (the frame) and ends with the part (the participant). On the other hand, in the searching for the predicate while the argument is present, one of the participants of the frame is provided; based on the participant, the frame is searched for. This operation starts from the part (the participant) and ends with the whole (the frame). The distinctive feature in this pair of operations is the direction of operation. The domain of processing resources is not the distinctive feature in this case.

When there are naturally contrasting units, one unit could be the "normal," making the other "non-normal." If finding the referent of *pro* with the presence of the predicate treated as "normal," then finding a missing predicate when given the argument (as in the GR) should be "non-normal." What gives one operation (where the argument is present but the predicate is missing/ from part to whole) the marked status, while giving the complementary operation (where the arguments are missing but the predicate is present/ from whole to part) the unmarked status? Obviously, the whole provides more cues than the part for the purpose of searching for missing elements. The more available cues there are facilitated the ease of finding the referent. Searching for the referent of *pro* is easier because the frame provides stronger cues; therefore, it is normal (unmarked), while searching for the predicate is harder because the participant provides fewer cues than does the frame; therefore searching for the predicate is not-normal (marked).

<u>GR is singly marked in English.</u> Japanese L1 speakers internalized this markedness relation (*pro* is normal/missing predicate is not), while English JSLs had not. In L1 English grammar, only one of the two contrasting operations exists, and thus the contrast does not exist.² Consequently, the markedness relation does not exist.

English lacks *pro* (Miyamoto & Kess, 1995) but allows PRO, a non-overt subject NP of non-finite clause, to occur as in (6-1) and (6-2) below.

(6-1) The Japanese people were happy [PRO to meet the new baby princess].

(6-2) [PRO to hear any good news] was much appreciated.

In (6-1), the referent of PRO is 'the Japanese people' determined by the grammar. In other words, the PRO is obligatorily controlled by the subject NP in the main clause 'the Japanese people.' On the other hand, in (6-2), the referent of PRO is either determined by the context or pragmatics, or left unassigned; in other words, PRO is optionally controlled (Haegeman, 1994). In both cases, the predicate of which PRO is an argument is overtly expressed. In (6-1), PRO is an external argument of 'meet,' and in (6-2), PRO is an external argument of 'hear.'

However, the contrasting operation, i.e., finding the predicate while the argument is present, as found in processing the GR, is missing in English. Therefore, the markedness contrast does not hold in L1 English. This lack of contrast in L1 caused English JSLs to be less sensitive to the markedness relation between the operations that exist in Japanese. Or, in the interlanguage of English JSLs, the GR is marked only once, due to the subset processing resource relation among NMC subtypes, but not due to the contrast between the operations for missing elements. In other words, English JSLs did know the special necessity of pragmatic resources for incorporating the head noun into the modifying clause in the GR; the GR is recognized as a marked case here. However, they had not quite acquired the reverse operation involved in construal of the GR because, in their L1, the contrast between the normal and its reverse operations does not exist; the GR failed to be recognized as marked here.

Evaluation of the Claims

Four research hypotheses were offered in this thesis. They were the Gap, Frame, Markedness, and Transfer Hypotheses. According to the findings, they are individually evaluated as follows.

Gap Theory

The Gap Hypothesis stated that the presence of a gap should facilitate processing. The coreferential relation between the gap and the head noun indicates a syntactic relation between the head noun and the modifying clause. The RR is a gap type, while the rest are non-gap types. Because of the syntactic relation, which should facilitate processing, the NMC of the RR was predicted to be easier to process.

Supporting evidence was found in the results from the off-line rating task by English JSLs. English JSLs found the RR the easiest type to understand. There was no supporting evidence for the gap analysis by Japanese native speakers.

There are ample psycholinguistic data (see Miyamoto & Kess, 1995 for a review of such experiments) showing that English native speakers seem to detect a gap during on-line comprehension of English sentences in the form of double activation of its referent. So far, psycholinguistic experiments on Japanese gap structures have shown negative or ambiguous results (e.g., Nakayama, 1995; Yamashita, Stowe, & Nakayama, 1993; Mazuka, 1991). The present study provides further support for the claim that Japanese native speakers do not process a gap, either on-line or off-line.

The present study does provide evidence that English speakers learning Japanese utilize syntactic knowledge, in reading Japanese sentences. Syntax does facilitate processing for English speakers' Japanese sentence processing. They rated RR sentences as the easiest sentences to understand for English speaking learners of Japanese.

However, this work does not provide evidence for on-line gap processing by English speakers reading Japanese. English speakers did exhibit a distinction between the RR and COMP according to the on-line probe recognition results. However, it is not clear whether they were differentiated by the hosting and hosted relation or by both the presence and absence of a gap and of the hosting and of the hosted relation.

Frame Theory

The Frame Hypothesis stated that the hosted information should be remembered better than the hosting information. The assumption was that the hosted information and the hosting information establish a relation between figure and ground. Figure should be more salient than ground. Therefore, for the RR and the GR (Clause Host Type), the head nouns (i.e., the hosted elements) should be easier to retain in the memory than nouns in the modifying clauses (i.e., the hosting elements). For the COMP (Noun Host Type), the nouns in the modifying clauses should be easier to remember than the head nouns.

For the CN (Clause and Noun Host Type), the two constituents should be equally easy to retain in memory because they reciprocally both are host and are hosted.

The PRT results from Japanese native speakers provide no support for the Frame Hypothesis. The PRT results from English JSLs do not entirely support the Frame Hypothesis. Support was found only for the RR and the COMP, the structurally familiar types. Therefore, this study does not provide clear support for the Frame Hypothesis.

Markedness

The Markedness Hypothesis stated that the marked member should be harder to process. Therefore, the GR should be harder to process than the RR, the CN, and the COMP (because of binary markedness), or among the unmarked (RR, CN, and COMP), RR and CN are more marked than COMP (via ternary markedness).

There was evidence to support this claim by both Japanese native speakers and English JSLs. Ternary markedness was supported only by the results of on-line tasks while binary markedness was supported by the results of both on and off-line tasks. The study provides psychological validity of a markedness relation among four NMC subtypes defined by the domains of processing resources that form subset relations.

Transfer

The Transfer Hypothesis stated that for second language learners, familiar structures are easier to acquire than unfamiliar ones. Therefore, the RR and the COMP should be easier to process than the GR and the CN for English speakers learning Japanese. Supporting evidence was found in the results from the on-line tasks but not from the semi on- and off-line tasks. English JSLs recognized the RR and the COMP as familiar to their L1 under a time constraint. When time was given to allow reflection on the part of the participants, however, transfer was not observed.

The Transfer Hypothesis in this study was formulated under the assumption that the RR and the COMP are familiar because the RR is equivalent to the English relative clause and the COMP is equivalent to the English complement structure. However, when what is transferable is considered to be a markedness parameter setting (see the section of transfer in principles and parameters theory in Chapter 2), rather than structural

familiarity, the English parameter setting is a subset setting (which generates English relative clauses and complements, i.e., RR, COMP and CN), and acquiring Japanese as L2 means changing the parameter setting from a subset setting to superset setting (in order to generate GR in addition to RR, COMP, and CN). In this case, if transfer is at work, the subtypes that the subset grammar can generate are equally easy to process. That is, RR, COMP and also CN are equally easy to process. GR, which only the superset grammar can generate, should be harder to process. The prediction under this view of transfer is identical with the prediction by binary markedness.

The (binary) Markedness Hypothesis was more consistently supported by the results from non on-line tasks. That is, when English JSLs were given enough time to process the sentences at their leisure, they showed an awareness of a binary markedness relation, which separates the subtypes that the subset (L1) grammar can generate from the subtype that the superset grammar (Japanese), but not the subset grammar, can generate.

Considering that the Transfer Hypothesis (see the section of obvious transfer in Chapter 2) was supported more consistently by the results from the on-line experiment, this study shows the tendency that obvious transfer was at work at the level of on-line processing while transfer in principle and parameter theory was at work at the level of non on-line processing.

What Do the Different Tasks Reveal?

In the experiments with the second language learners, it was found that the variances among items as well as among participants were extremely high. The on-line tasks especially involved experimental noise such as individual differences and conscious reflection on the participants' side. The probe recognition task was a hard task for English JSLs. When only responses less than 1500 milliseconds were considered, only 4% of one participant's PRT responses were considered in contrast to 84% of another participant's, indicating vast variance among participants. The TRT had to be transformed to z-scores to eliminate differences among participants.

In order to maximize the effect of Construction Type and to minimize the individual differences among stimuli and among participants, more control over the process of creating the stimuli and selecting the participants might be necessary.

As for the control over creating stimuli, ideally, exact wordings with minimal differences, as in Bever & Sanz (1997), or identical semantic roles for NP's at the same position across the stimuli with minimal differences as in Yamashita, Stowe, and Nakayama (1993), are desired. However, such control was not possible in the present study. The formation of the stimuli, allowing four different construction types as an independent variable while restricting wordings and semantic roles of every NP of the same position of the sentence, was not possible.

In addition, the English speaking participants each had different lengths of exposure to a Japanese speaking environment. Some English JSLs were faster and better readers than others. In addition to their willingness to participate, the only other requirement was for them to have taken intermediate university or equivalent courses in Japanese.

For future studies, an experimental design that minimizes or cancels out the errors from variability among responses is desirable. For example, grouping the participants by a proficiency test and selecting easy enough material for each group might reduce the variance among the responses. In order to do so, many more English JSLs need to be asked to participate (enough to be screened), and the right set of vocabularies for each level of proficiency need to be chosen.

With Japanese native speakers, the finding is that Japanese are so intimately familiar with the relevant structures that their performance in these tasks does not reveal the differences among the NMCs. This is so strikingly clear that it is totally unclear how Japanese native speakers process different types of NMCs. Syntax, for example, does not seem to play a role since no evidence for a gap strategy was found. Different framing relations between the constituents did not matter to them. Different parameters capable of describing Japanese speakers' process of comprehension need to be developed for empirical testing in the future.

Notes

¹ See footnote 16 in Chapter 2 for the examples of the use of *pro* in NMC. In order to find the referent of *pro*, pragmatic resources are required. That is, it is not only processing the GR that requires pragmatic

resources when interpretation of *pro* is involved. However, in order to construe the head noun of the GR, pragmatic resources are required while construing the head nouns of other types does not involve them.

² The parallel situation is found in the order of the main clause (MC) and the subordinate clause (SC) in English and Japanese. In English, both orders are allowed (MC+SC and SC+MC) showing complementary pattern. On the other hand, in Japanese only one order is possible (SC+MC). Prideaux (1989) and Prideaux and Hogan (1993) argue that in English SC+MC is marked while MC+SC is marked and that the marked order is associated with certain discourse functions. Because only one order is possible in Japanese grammar, the contrast found in English is not found in Japanese.

References

Battistella, E. L. (1996). The logic of markedness. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Battistella, E. L. (1990). Markedness: The evaluative superstructure of language. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Beck, David. (in press). Typological variation of the adjectival class: Markedness and iconicity at the semantics <-> syntax interface. In Wanner, L. (Ed.), Advances in meaning-text theory. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Bever, T. G., & McElree, B. (1988). Empty categories access their antecedents during comprehension. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 19, 1-34.
- Bever, T. G., & Sanz, M. (1997). Remarks and replies: Empty categories access their antecedents during comprehension: Unaccusatives in Spanish, Linguistic Inquiry, 28(1), 69-91.
- Chomsky, N. (1986). Knowledge of language: Its nature, origin, and use. New York: Praeger.
- Chomsky, N. (1981). Lectures on government and binding. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Cohen, J.D., MacWhinney, B., Flatt, M., & Provost, J. (1993). PsyScope: A new graphic interactive environment for designing psychology experiments. Behavioral Research Methods, Instruments & Computers, 25(2), 257-271.
- Comrie, B., & Horie, K. (1995). Complement clauses versus relative clauses: Some
 Khmer evidence. In W. Abraham, T. Givon, & S. A. Thompson (Eds.), Discourse
 grammar and typology (pp. 65-75). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Eckman, F. (1996). Functional-typological approach to second language acquisition theory. In W. C. Ritchie & T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), Handbook of second language acquisition, (pp. 195-211). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Ellis, R. (1994). The study of second language acquisition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Flynn, S. (1987). A parameter setting model of L2 acquisition. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Fodor, J. A. (1983). The modularity of mind: An essay on faculty psychology. Cambridge. MA: The MIT Press.

- Frazier, L, Clifton, C. Jr., & Randall, J. (1983). Filling Gaps: Decision principles and structure in sentence comprehension. *Cognition*, 13, 187-222.
- Gass, S. (1996). Second languae acquisition and linguistic theory: The role of language transfer. In W. C. Ritchie and T. K. Bhatia (Eds.), Handbook of second language acquisition (pp. 317-345). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Givon, T. (1984). Syntax: A functional-typological introduction, II. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Givón, T. (1995). Functionalism and grammar. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Haegeman, L. (1994). Introduction to government & binding theory (2nd ed.). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Inoue, K. (1976). Henkei bunpoo to nihongo [Transformational grammar and Japanese]. Tokyo: Taishuukan.
- Kageyama, M. (1985). Zero anaphora: The case of Japanese (Doctoral dissertation, Stanford University, 1985). Unifersity Microfilms International, 8602489.
- Keenan, E. L., & Comrie, B. (1977). Noun phrase accessibility and universal grammar. Linguistic Inquiry, 8(1), 63-99.
- Kellerman, E. (1983). Now you see it, now you don't. In S. Gass & L. Selinker (Eds.), Language transfer in language learning (pp. 112-134). Rowley, MA: Newbury House.
- Kitagawa, C. (1982). Topic constructions in Japanese. Lingua, 57, 175-214.
- Kuno, S. (1973). The structure of the Japanese language. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kuno, S. (1976). Subject, theme, and the speaker's empathy: A reexamination of relativization phenomena. In C. Li (Ed.), Subject and topic (pp. 419-444). New York: Academic Press.
- Lado, R. (1957). Linguistics across cultures. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- McCawley, J. D. (1972). Japanese relative clauses. In P. M. Peranteau, J. N. Levi, & G.
 C. Phares (Eds.), The Chicago which hunt: Papers from the relative clause festival (pp. 205-214). Chicago, IL: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Manzini, R., & Wexler, K. (1987). Parameters, binding theory, and learnability. Linguistic Inquiry, 18 (3), 413-444.

- Martin, S. (1975). *Reference grammar of Japanese*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Matsumoto, Y. (1990). The role of pragmatics in Japanese relative clause constructions. Lingua, 82, 111-129.
- Matsumoto, Y. (1997). Noun-modifying constructions in Japanese: A frame-semantic approach. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Mazuka, R. (1991). Processing of empty categories in Japanese. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 20(3), 215-232.
- Mazuka, R., & Lust, B. (1989). On parameter setting and parsing: Predictions from eross-linguistic differences in adult and child processing. In L. Frazier, and J. de Villiers (Eds.), Language processing and language acquisition (pp. 163-205).
 Dorcrecht: Kluwer.
- Miyamoto, T, & Kess, J. F. (1995). Processing empty catgories: English and Japanese. International Journal of Psycholinguistics, 11(1), 39-55.
- Murasugi, K. (1993). The generalized transformation analysis of relative clauses. Journal of Japanese Linguistics, 15, 113-123.
- Nakayama, M. (1995). Scrambling and probe recognition. In R. Mazuka & N. Nagai (Eds.), Japanese sentence processing (pp. 257-273). Hilldale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- National Language Research Institute. (1964). Bunruigoihyoo [Word list by semantic principles]. Tokyo: Syuei syuppan.
- National Research Institute. (1962). Gendai zasshi 90shu no yoogo yooji 1 [Vocabularies in 90 modern magazines 1]. Tokyo: Syuei syuppan.
- Prideaux, G. D. (1982). The processing of Japanese relative clauses. Canadian Journal of Linguistics, 27(1), 23-31.
- Prideaux, G. D. (1989). Text data as evidence for language processing principles: The grammar of ordered events, *Language Sciences*, 11 (1), 27-42.
- Prideaux, G. D., & Hogan, J. T. (1993). Markedness as a discoure management devide: The role of alternative adverbial clause orders, *Word*, 44 (3),397-411.

Talmy, L. (1988). The relation of grammar to cognition. In B. Rudzka-Ostyn (Ed.), Topics in cognitive linguistics (pp. 165-205). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

- Teramura, H. (1992). Teramura hideo ronbunshuu I: Nihongo bunpooron (Papers by Hideo Teramura I: Theory of Japanese grammar). Tokyo: Kuroshio Shuppan.
- Teramura, H. (1984). Nihongo no sintakusu to imi I [Japanese syntax and semantics I]. Tokyo: Kuroshio Shuppan.

Tsujimura, N. (1996). An introduction to Japanese linguistics. Oxford: Blackwell.

- White, L. (2000). Second language acquisition: From initial to final state. In J. Archibold (Ed.), Second language acquisition and linguistic theory (pp. 130-155). Oxford: Blackwell.
- White, L. (1993). Universal grammar: Is it just a new name for old problems? In S. Gass & L. Selinker, (Eds.), Language transfer in language learning (pp. 217-232).
 Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- White, L. (1989). Universal grammar and second language accquisition. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- White, L. (1987). Markedness and second language acquisition: the question of transfer. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 9, 261-286.
- Yamashita, H. (1995). Verb argument information used in a pro-drop language: An experimental study in Japanese. Journal of Psycholinguistic Research, 24(5), 333-347.
- Yamashita, H., Stowe, L., & Nakayama, M. (1993). Processiong of Japanese relative clause constructions. In P. Clancy (Ed.), Japanese/ Korean linguistics, 2 (pp. 248-263).

ne check if you do know jogh the meanings and randa	gs of the words/ expressions.		Experimental Nemo	Participantal	
-				E1	60.3
	¥	14		1 [2	36.
(CT) つく:to le hurt	[24] (24] :mili		<u> 花上の (はなよめ) :ortde</u>	E3	79.
ACEDE:to confort	1995 (\$4365) ;the rich		REC (MREC) :Pridegroup	E4	85.
E (()()) :s long time esp	- 「日主人 (びんぼうにん) :the peer		ACU- ((150A) :ell over the place	LES	32
大切 (たいせつ) にする: te tressure	(愛いこう) する:ta_succeed		つ (たつ) :to build	<u>E6</u>	66.
(3425) :emercise	(とし):ctty		王信 (うらがわ) :Neck Side	E7	35.
LOC3:recently	男会 (しょうきん) :prue	+	「ける (つづける) :to continue	E8	20
AL (PALA) :Interest	(本つう) :nare, ordinary		第天(けんをゆう):research	E9	90.
日心が高 (あつ) まる:to attract interest	@#T#:to denete		関わる(祭わる):to_end	E10	63.
1836:magestre	(どうじょう): mpo, mm		前 (京九) 参与:since a while ago	E11	97.
(よこ):s1de	all (#11) :gretitude		筆圖 (じゅんび) :arrangement	Average	62.
三王 (あそぶ) :to play	ED (5258A) :a moment prior_	1.	Mit (DIMI) :separation	3	
書の場(為そびは):play ground	約束 (やくそく) :prantse	I	<u>思った(各もった)</u> ほど:as (1) thought		
聞中丁 (ふ中丁) :to increase	二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二二		新闻Ay:andurance]	
第え (うったえ) :petition, appeal	107:yesterday		作業(まっか):author		
(((((())))) : congress	📲 (約() 4) :to sleep		世の中(よのなか):society		
とどく:to reach	DAR:fetige		● (中く) にたつ:te te useful	1	
気 (音) #つく:to metice	荷田 (リょうめ) :beth eyes		- (IISUA) :manber]	
本田 (ふこう) :unhappiness	ズキズキする:to three with pain		ST (PRST) :mithout fell	7	
学用:education, learning	化量(けしょう):commetics	T	個個 (れんしゅう) :practica	ר	
OC (UESS) :to mrk, to labour	教師(よくぼう):urge, desire		病産 (なんど) も:many times	7	
ALE (OLE) :te disepter	28 (11L8) :ta rut	-	ER_(LVITA)_Impinion		
Dispisemently	東大喜:to bork		■ ((\$こ) :ben		
SE (8725) :to essentie	Diffit ats run through	I]	
at U:sementere	E10 (0-512) :severe		■< (Lites) :to hurry	7	
E#T:ts sourch	EUEDT:to demoltat	T	ana (a≤na) :to te lete		
1836:cm	大田V (あおどおV) :metn street	T	電量 (リくつ):legic	7	
見つかる (みつかる) :ta te fand	doi vo < :nvisence		(BE) :efter	7	
中古(ちゅうこ):second here	### (###) :to get up	T	(みとめる) :to approve	7	
広日 (こうこく) :advertisement	周囲 (ようい) :areseretien		油田岳 (と田高) :to stay overnight	7	
R (2) STES:te guerant	E (E) TPR: remutiding	1	Will (ALER) :a stream	7	
(けっか):result	RE (ITOTI) : Melalon		Ens (asins) :to flow	1	
ROE (ITOLESTA) :FINIL	tt # P : rabed		EB (BL+) :reserter	1	
12 (12) :to sta	BUILD (ALCAL) : MARY	Î	/1-A-:antrol car	1	
S (2 4) Edia mant an	III (UD) :remen	1	ARS (()RS) :to an tomed	-1	
223:slare		+	ITAL (EA) the interest	1	
TE (TAD) MARA:s share esti erme	Latt Math: cannot us helment	1	ALTA:to getflag	1	
The (stable) when	and the second s	+		-1	

Appendix 1. Word Check Shoet and the Scores by 11 English JSLs y not know the readings' message of. There was as the computer remon.

Appendix 2. The Participants' Information

2A,	Japanese	Native	Speakers

Partici	Gender	Parents' First	Other	Duration of	Profession
pant		Language(s)	Second	Living in	
No.			Languages	English	
				Speaking	
				Country	
J1	F	Japanese	Russian	ly.lmo.	student
J2	Μ	Japanese	Korean	1y.10mo.	doctor
3	M	Japanese	German	1y.3mo.	researcher
J4	M	Japanese	German	4y.6mo.	student
J5	F	Japanese	French,	6y.6mo.	student
			Mandarin		
J6	F	Japanese	French,	2y.	student
			German		
J7	M	English (father)	Spanish,	2y.	student
		Japanese (mother)	Latin,		
			French		
J8	F	Japanese	French	2y.1mo.	student
J9	F	Japanese	Russian,	1y.6mo.	student
}			Korean,		
			Mandarin		
J10	M	Japanese	Korean,	2y.6mo.	student
			German,		
			French		
J11	F	Japanese	French	5m o.	engineer
J12	M	Japanese	German,	20y.	professor
			French,		
-			Korean		
J13	F	Japanese	Russian,	5 y.	student
	<u> </u>		French		
J14	F	Japanese	French	<u> </u>	translator
J15	F	Japanese	Korean	8mo.	student
J16	F	Japanese	Russian,	Зу.	Ikebana
			German		master
J17	F	Japanese	German,	35y.	scientist
L			French	1	
J18	F	Japanese	French	12y.	student
J19	F	Japanese		2y.	student
J20	M	Japanese	German,	ly.6mo.	student
			Korean		
_J21	F	Japanese	French	<u> </u>	student
J22	F	Japanese	French,	8 y.	student
	↓	<u> </u>	Mandarine		
J23	M	Japanese	German	6mo.	student

Note. y.: year(s), mo.: month(s)

<u>2B.</u>	English JSLs	
------------	--------------	--

Partici	Gender	Parents' First	Other	History of	Duration of	Profession
pant		Language(s)	Second	Study in	Living in	
No.			Languages	Japanese	Japan	
El	F	English	Spanish,	4y.	11mo.	student
			French	(university)		
E2	F	English	French	3y.2mo.	0	student
	.L			(university)		
E3	F	English	French,	5y.	2y.	student
	ļ		German,	(university)	l	
			Spanish			
E4	M	English	French	7 y.	11mo.	student
				(university)		
ES	M	English	French	3y.3mo.	ly.	administra
	_			(university)		tor
E6	F	English	•	3y.	3y.4mo.	student
				(highschool)		
				Зу.		
			L	(university)		· •
E7	M	English	Cantonese	ly.	3y.	engineer
				(university)		
				3y. (private		
	+		<u>+-</u>	lesson)		
E8	F	Tamil	French	3y.	11 m o.	student
	+		<u> </u>	(university)		
E9	м	English (father)	French	2y.	ly.6mo.	student
		Japanese (mother)	+	(university)		
E10	M	English	French	3y.	ly.lmo.	student
	-+			(university)	L	
EII	M	Cantonese (lather)	Cantonese	3y.	ly.	student
	1	English (mother)		(highschool)		
				sy.omo.	ł	
	1	1			1	1

Note. y.: ycar(s), mo.: month(s)

Trial#	Versio	n Segment 1	Segment 2	Segment 3	Segment 4	Segment 5	Segment 6	Segment 7	List
RRI	а	備ついた	人の心を	なぐさめる	音楽は	昔から	大切に	されている	A
		[injured	person's mind-ACC	C confort]	music -TOP	from old time	treasure	do+PASS+PROG	
		The music [that co	mforts people's scared	i mind] has been tre	asured for ages.				
RR2	a	きれいな	ガラスの箱に	入っている	人形は	カナダから	船便で	来たらしい	В
		(pretty	glass box-in	enter-be]	doll-TOP	Canada-from	sca mail-by	came+seem	
		The doll [that is in	the pretty glass box]	seems to have com	e from Canada by sca.				
RR3	a	いくらか	お金を	きふした	道場から	お礼の	カードが	とどいた	A
		(somewhat	money-ACC	donated]	practice hall-from	gratitute-'s	card-NOM	arrived	
L		A thank you card a	arrived from the practi	ce hall (to which (l) donated some money).				
RR4	a	化粧を	あつく	ぬった	顧は	違くから	見るほうが	きれいだ	В
1		[cosmetics-ACC	thickly	pasted]	face-TOP	distance-from	watch-more-NOM	pretty-COP	1
ĺ		The face where co	smetics is thickly past	ed] looks prettier fr	om the distance.				
RR5	a	五年前から	一人で	続けてきた	研究が	やっと	装わろうと	している	A
		five years ago	alone	continued)	research-NOM	finally	trying to end	doing	:
		The research [that	(I) have continued by	myself for five yea	rs] is finally trying to en	d.			1
RR6	a	学生のころ	安いので	よく使った	電車が	古くなって	どんどん	消えていく	В
		[student-'s+time	cheap+because	often+used)	train-NOM	old+became	steadly	disapp c ars	
		The trains [that (I)	used often because of	the low price beca	me old and are disapped	aring steadly.			
RR7	a	全持ちも	黄乏人も	成功する	都市なんて	どこを	さがしても	ないと思う	A
		(rich-also	poor-also	succeed)	city-TOP	anywhere	search-even if	non existent-think	
		(I) think the city [w	vhere both rich and po	or succeed) is now	here.				
RR8	a	花よめと	花むこが	出てきた	教会は	あたり一面	白い花が	かざってある	B
l.		(bride-with	bridegroom-NOM	exited	church-TOP	everywhere	white flower-NOM	decorated-be	
		The church [(from	which) the bride and	he groom exited] is	s decorated with white fl	lowers everywhere.			
RR9	а	記者と	パトカーが	向かった	病院は	すでに	けが人で	あふれていた	A
		(reporter-and	patrol car-NOM	went forward]	hospital-TOP	aircady	injured-with	overflew-be	
		The hospital that the	ne reporter and the pat	rol car went toj was	s overflown with the inju	ured already.			
RRIO	a	小さいころ	毎日のように	通った	広場が	とりこわされ	パーキングに	なった	B
		{young+time	everyday+like	passed]	square -NOM	demolished+and	parking lot-to	became	
		The square [that (1)) passed almost every	day when (l) was y	oung) was demolished a	und became a parking	z lot.		1

Appendix 3. Sentences for Rating Tasks and List

GRI	а	自分でも	気がつかず	不幸になる	学問は	すくにでも	やめて	備くべきだ	A
		(self-by-even	without noticing	unhappy+become]	learning -TOP	soon+even	quit+and	work+must	
		(You) shoud even	right away quit that lo	arning (by doing which	ch you become unhap	py without noticing l	by yourself] and wor	k .	
GR2	a	毎日の	食事が	おいしくなる	運動に	このごろ	関心が	集まっている	B
		(everyday-'s	meal-NOM	tasty+become}	exercise -to	recently	interest-NOM	collect+be	
		Recently the inter-	ests collects to the exe	rcise (by doing which	everyday meal becon	nes tasty].			
GR3	а	バイオリンの	コンテストで	一番になった	覚金は	そのころは	5ドルが	普通だった	A
		[violin-'s	contest-at	champion+became	prize -TOP	those days	\$5.00 -NOM	ordinary-was	
		The prize (that (yo	ou got when) you won	the championship in v	violin contest] is in th	ose days mostly five	dollars.		
GR4	а	昨日から	ぜんぜん	眠れなかった	つかれで	両目が	ズキズキ	している	В
		[yesterday-from	entirely	slept+POSS-not]	<i>fatigue</i> -with	both cycs-NOM	ache	do+be	
		With the fatigue [t	hat (1) suffer from not	at all having been abl	e to sleep since the ni	ight before], (my) bo	th eyes are aching.		
GR5	a	チェスの	ゲームに	必ず勝つ	練習とは	何度も	ゲームを	することだ	A
		(chess-'s	game-al	definitely+win]	practice -TOP	many times	game-ACC	do+NMRZ+COP	
		The practice [(by o	toing which) you can	definitely win the gan	ics of chess] is to play	the games many tin	nes.		
GR6	9	夜から	朝まで	睡眠がとれる	散歩は	一時間では	とうてい	姫かすぎる	B
1		Inight-from	morning-till	sleep-NOM take]	<u>walk</u> -TOP	one hour-with-TO	P too far	too short	
		The walk ((by doin	ng which you) can tak	e a sleep from night ti	ll morning) is far too	short if (it is) only or	e hour long.		
GR7	а	起きてから	用意を	しなくていい	朝食なら	必要なので	いろいろと	知っている	A
		(get up- and	preparation-ACC	do-not-may]	breakfast -TOP	since necessary	this and that	know	
		(1) know out of ne	cessity several breakfa	ast [that I do not have]	to do preparation for a	as I get up].			
GR8	8	ずっと前から	準備が	できていた	別れが	思ったほど	うまく	いかなかった	B
		llong ago-from	preparation-NOM	rcady+was]	separation -NOM	as (1) expected	well	could not do	
		The separation ((fo	or) which the preparati	ion had been ready for	a long time] did not	go as well as (I) expe	cted.		
GR9	а	始めの	五分開で	すじがわかる	映画は	まったく	見る気に	ならない	A
		(first	five minutes-with	plot-NOM clear}	movie -TOP	at all	watch+feelings	become+NOT	
		(l) don't feel like w	vatching the movie at a	all (that within the firs	t five minutes, (1) und	lerstand the plot (of)].		
GR 10	а	まっ白の	ワイシャツが	黒くなる	洗たくを	するなら	だれかに	たのむといい	B
		[pure white	dress shirt-NOM	black+bccome]	laundry -ACC	do+if	somebody-to	ask+if+good	
		lf (you) do the lau	ndry (by which white a	dress shirt becomes bl	ack], (it would be) be	tter if (you) ask som	ebody else.		

CNI	a	きのう	レストランで	食べすぎた	結果	授業に	行けなく	なった	A
		[yesterday	restaurant-at	overate}	result	school-to	go+cannot	came	
		As a result from has	ving overcaten at the	restaurant yesterday,	(I'm) not chic to go to	school.			
CN2	а	ピアノの	レッスンに	行かない	理由を	わざわざ	説明しても	しかたがない	В
		(piano-'s	lesson-to	go not]	reason -ACC	especially	explain-even	cannot be helped	
		There is no point of	explaining the reaso	n why (you) do not g	o to the piano lesson				
CN3	a	アジアに	飛行機が	出発する	直前に	弟との	約束を	果たした	A
		(Asia-for	airplanc-NOM	depart]	j <u>ust before</u> -at	brother-with-'s	promise-ACC	fulfilled	
		(I) was able to keep	the promise with my	younger brother just	before the time the ai	rplane departed for A	sia.		
CN4	a	二台の	白い車が	走っている	あいだを	黒い犬が	ほえながら	かけぬけた	В
		[two-'s	white car-NOM	run-PROG}	<u>space between</u> -AC	C black dog-NOM	barking	ran through	1
		A black dog ran bar	king through the spa	ce between the two w	hite car running.				
CN5	a	おじいさんが	雑誌を	美んでいる	観でも	大学生は	ビデオで	進んでいた	B
		Igrandfather-NOM	magazine-ACC	read-PROG)	side -at-even	university students-	1 video-with	play+PROG+PAST	1
	_	Even beside the place	ce where a grandfath	er was reading a mag	azine, university stude	ents were playing with	the video.		
CN6	а	わいわいと	小学生が	集まっている	あたりを	さがせば	ぼうしは	見つかる	A
		[loudly	elementary children	n- gather-PROG]	surroundings -ACC	c search-COND	hat-TOP	find-can	
		If (we) search for th	c hat around the area	where the elementar	y students are gatherin	ng loudly, it will be fa	und		
CN7	a	大きな	ビルが	建った	実例に	うつくしい	さくらが	咲いている	В
		(big	building	be+built+PAST]	<u>backside</u> -at	bcautiful	cherry blossoms-NO	bloom-PROG	1
		The beautiful cherry	blossoms are bloom	ing behind the place	where the big building	g was built.			
CN8	a	バスが	大通りを	通る	青は	近所には	たいへん	めいわくだ	A
		bus-NOM	main street-ACC	pass]	<u>sound</u> -TOP	neighbor-for-TOP	very	nuisance-COP	i i
_		The sound of the bu	s passing the main st	reet is such a nuisanc	e for the neighbor.				
CN9	a	世の中で	役にたつ	一員になる	勉強を	若いうちに	しっかりと	することだ	В
		(world-'s	helpful	member-become]	<u>study</u> -ACC	young-within	stcadiy	do+NMZR+COP	
		Do the study while	young to become a h	elpful member of the	world.				
CN10	а	ひさしぶりに	東都に	泊まった	\$	小川が	流れるのが	間こえてきた	A
		after the interval	Kyoto-at	stayed]	morning	streams-NOM	flow+NMZR-NOM	hear-came	
		In the morning after	I stayed in Kyoto af	ter a long interval, the	e sound of streams can	ne to be heard.			ł

COMPI	a	テニスの	決勝戦で	静ったという	電話が	先ほど	母の所に	かかってきた	A
		[vollcyball-'s	final-at	won-QT}	<u>phone call</u> -NOM	while ago	mother's place-to	call-came	
		The phone call that	() won the volleyball	final was sent to my	mother's place while	ago.			
COMP2	а	中古の	ピアノモ	売るという	広告が	たくさん	家まで	とどいている	A
		second handed-'s	piano-ACC	sell-QT}	<u>advertisement</u> -etc	plenty	house-to	reached	
		Plenty of things inc	luding advertisement	that (someone) sells a	second hand piano c	ame to the house.			
COMP3	а	クリスマスの	プレゼントは	あるかという	質問ばかり	するから	子供は	いやだ	В
		(Christmas-NOM	present-TOP	exist-whether-QT]	<i>question</i> -only	do-because	children-TOP	tiresome .	
		Children are tiresor	ne because (they) ask	only questions wheth	er there are Christma	s gifts.			
COMP4	а	女だったら	家にいる	べきだという	意見を	会話の	触めに	聞いた	B
		(women-be-if	house-at stay	should-COP-QT]	opinion - ACC	conversation-'s	beginning-at	heard	
		(I) heard the opinio	n that if you are wom	en, you should stay h	ome at the beginning	of the conversation.			
COMP5	а	どうしても	都慶の中を	見たいという	教望を	おさえて	主人を	待った	A
		lat any cost	room's inside-ACC	watch-want-QT]	desire-NOM	resisting	lord-ACC	wait-PAST	
		(i) waited for the lo	rd while resisting this	desire that (1) want t	see inside the room	at any cost.			
COMP6	a	いやでも	同じ作品を	読み続ける	がまんが	できれば	作家に	なれるだろう	В
		llike it or not	same book-ACC	read-continue]	endurance -NOM	can do-if	writerr-to	become-maybe	
		lf (you) can stand th	he endurance of reading	ng the same book like	or not, you can proba	ably become a writer			
COMP7	a	言の上で	はだかで	進んだ	思い出を	いつか	書きたいと	思っている	A
		Isnow's surface-at	naked	played]	memory-ACC	someday	write-want-QT	think	
		(I am) thinking of s	omeday writing out th	e memory of playing	on the snow naked.				
COMP8	а	子供たちの	遊び場を	増やせという	新えが	やっと	議会まで	とどいた	A
		[children-'s	playground-ACC	increase-QT}	<u>plea</u> -NOM	finally	council-to	reached	
		The petition that the	children's playgroun	d should be increased	finally reached the co	puncil.			
COMP9	a	急げば	急くほど	遅れるという	理思が	3年も	後になって	部められた	B
		[hurry-if	hurry-even	dclay-QT]	logic -NOM	three years-even	later-become	probed	1
		The logic that the h	arder you hurry, the m	ore behind you get w	as probed three years	later.			
COMPIO	a	国書館の	建てかえを	するという	決定しか	今のところ	知らされて	いない	8
		[library-'s	rebuilding-ACC	do-QT]	decision -only	so far	known	not	
I		Only the decision the	at the rebuilding of th	e hospital will be do	ie has been known so	far.			

Appendix 4. Rating Sheet

4A. Naturalness Rating Sheet

Name (your experimental name):____

	Le	ost			Most
1. <u>きのうレストランで全べすぎた結果</u> 授業に行けなくなった。	1	2	3	4	5
2. <u>テニスの決勝戦で巻ったという言語</u> が先ほど母のところにかかってきた。	1	2	3	4	5
3. <u>会持ちも首乏人も成功する都市</u> なんてどこをさがしてもないと思う。	1	2	3	4	5
4.バイオリンのコンテストで一番になった営会はそのころは5ドルが普通だっ	た.	1 2	3	4	5
5. <u>中古のピアノを売るという広告</u> がたくさん家家でとどいている。	1	Z	3	4	5
6. <u>アジアに飛行機が出発する直前</u> に弟との約束を果たした。	1	2	3	4	5
7. <u>五年前から一人で値けてきた研究</u> がやっと終わろうとしている。	1	2	3	4	5
8. <u>チェスのゲームに必ず豊つ練習</u> とは何度もゲームをすることだ。	1	2	3	4	5
9. <u>パスが大通りをとおる音</u> は近所にはたいへんめいわくだ。	1	2	3	4	5
19. <u>どうしても部屋の中を見たいという敬望</u> をおさえて主人を待った。	1	Z	3	4	5
11. <u>いくらかお金をきふした道場</u> からお礼のカードがとどいた。	1	2	3	4	5
12.記念てから用意をしなくていい朝金なら必要なのでいろいろと知っている。	1	2	3	4	5
13. <u>子供たちの遊び場を増やせという新え</u> がやっと議会までとどいた。	1	Z	3	4	5
14. <u>記者とパトカーが向かった意味</u> はすでにけが人であふれていた。	1	2	3	4	5
15. <u>わいわいと小学生が集まっているあたり</u> をさがせばぼうしは見つかる。	1	2	3	4	5
16. <u>自分でも気がつかず不幸になる学問</u> はすぐにでもやめて働くべきだ。	1	2	3	4	5
17. <u>ひさしぶりに京都に泊まった朝</u> ,小川が流れるのが聞こえてきた。	1	2	3	4	5
18. <u>傷ついた人の心をなぐさめる音楽</u> は昔から大切にされている。	1	2	3	4	5
19. <u>雪の上ではだかで谦んだ思い出</u> をいつか書きたいと思っている。	1	2	3	4	5
29. <u>他めの五分間ですじがわかる映画</u> はまったく見る気にならない。	1	2	3	4	5

4B. Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating Sheet (page 1 of 2)

Please look through the following 20 sentences on the back of this page and think about how difficult the sentences would be to understand for a speaker of English who is learning Japanese. Please don't make your judgement on the basis of vocabulary. Take into consideration the entire sentence rather than the individual words.

For example, compare the two sentences (a) and (b).

(a)その先生は結婚してからも生徒たちに好かれているようです。

(The teacher, even after getting married, seems to be liked by the pupils.)

(b)小湖首相、現自民党党首は一般に党内議員に信頼されている。

(Prime minister Obuchi, the present head of Liberal Democratic Party, is trusted by the congressmen within the party in general.)

Compared to (a), (b) contains obviously more difficult words and more difficult kanji characters. However, overall, the two sentences are similar in overall structure, and neither is more difficult than the other. Now compare (a) with (c).

(c)結婚を理由に仕事をやめさせられた女性が先生になった。

(The woman, who was caused to resign her job due to marriage, became a teacher.)

Although sentence (c) contains words no more difficult than the words in sentence (a), and (c) is even slightly shorter than (a), (c) seems more difficult than (a) to understand, and seems more difficult for an English speaker to understand this Japanese.

On the back of this sheet, there are 20 Japanese sentences. First look through the sentences. Then pay. attention only to the underlined part of the sentences and ignore the rest. Find the easiest sentence for the speakers of English who are learning Japanese to understand (英語を話す人にとって最もわかりやすい 文) and rate it as 5 (highly understandable for English speakers 英語を話す人にとってたいへんわか りやすい), then find the most difficult sentence to understand for the speakers of English (英語を話す人に とって非常にわかりにくい文) and rate it as 1 (very difficult to understand for speakers of English 英語 を話す人にとって非常にわかりにくい). Rate the rest of the sentences on the same scale from 1 -5, with 3 being more or less easy for the English speakers to understand (英語を話す人にとってまあまあ わかりやすい).

Now please tell Satomi whether you understand these instructions.

Turn to the back of this page and start rating. Remember to rate the most difficult and the easiest sentences first, 1 and 5 respectively. Pay attention only to the underlined parts.

	Diffic	ult			Easy	
1. <u>されいなガラスの籍に入っている人形</u> はカナダから船便で来たらしい。	, 1	2	3	4	5	
2. <u>化粧をあつくぬった値</u> は違くから見るほうがきれいだ。	1	2	3	4	5	
3. <u>学生のころ安いのでよく使った営車</u> が古くなってどんどん消えていく。	, 1	2	3	4	5	
▲. <u>花よめと花むこが出てきた教会</u> はあたり一番白い花がかざってある。	ı	2	3	4	5	
5. <u>小さいころ毎日のようにとおった広場</u> がとりこわされパーキングにな	った。	12	3	4	5	
6. <u>毎日の食事がおいしくなる運動</u> にこのごろ関心が集まっている。	1	Z	3	4	5	
7. <u>きのうからぜんぜん思れなかったつかれ</u> で南目がズキズキしている。	1	2	3	4	5	
8. <u>夜から朝まで朦朧がとれる散歩</u> は一時間ではとうてい短かすぎる。	1	Z	3	4	5	
9. <u>ずっと前から準備ができていた別れ</u> が思ったほどうまくできなかった	. 1	2	3	4	5	
18. <u>まっ白のワイシャツが黒くなる洗たく</u> なら私の母がいつもやってきた	1 . 1	2	3	4	5	
11. <u>ピアノのレッスンに行かない理由</u> をわざわざ説明してもしかたがない	۱. 1	2	3	4	5	
12. <u>二台の白い車が走っているあいだ</u> を黒い犬がほえながらかけぬけた。	1	2	3	4	5	
13. <u>おじいさんがざっしを筆んでいる機</u> でも大学生はビデオで遵んでいた	t. 1	2	3	4	5	
14. <u>大きなビルが塗った高観</u> にうつくしいさくらが咲いている。	1	Z	3	4	5	
15.世 <u>の中で役にたつ一員になる勉強</u> を若いうちにしっかりとすること	E. 1	2	3	4	5	
16. <u>クリスマスのプレゼントはあるかという冒険</u> ばかりするから子供はい	いやだ。	1 2	3	4	5	
17. <u>女だったら家にいるべきだという意見</u> を会話の始めに聞いた。	1	Z	3	4	5	
18. <u>いやでも同じ作品を読み続けるがまん</u> ができれば作家になれるだろ	5. 1	2	3	4	5	
19. <u>負げば負くほど遅れるという理思</u> が3年も後になって認められた。	1	2	3	4	5	
20. 日告前の確てかえをするという決定しか今のところ知らされていない	N. 1	2	3	4	S	

4B. Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating Sheet (page 2 of 2)

Name (your experimental name):

,

iter	ms	N	aturair	vess R	ating I	oy Jap	anese	Nativ	e Spea	akers (J1-J1	1)
Туре	S#	JI	JZ	J3	J4	J5	J6	J7	J8	J9	J10	J11
RR	1		4	4		4	5	3		4		3
RR	2	5			4				4		4	
RR	3		2	1		2	4	4		4		4
RR	4	5	(5				5		4	ļ
RR	5	l	5	5		5	4	5		5		3
RR	6	4	J.		2				2		3	
RR	7		3	4		2	5	4		2		2
RR	8	5	r.		5				4		5	
RR	9	l	2	1		5	3	3		2		2
RR	10	5			3				5		5	
GR	1		1	2		2	1	3		1	_	2
GR	2	2	,		3				1		1	ļ
GR	3		4	5		5	1	1		2		2
GR	4	2	,		1				2		2	
GR	5	1	2	2		1	2	3		1		2
GR	6	1			2				1		1	
GR	7	1	1	1		1	2	1		1		1
GR	8	2	,		2				2		1	
GR	9	i	5	5		4	5	5		5		4
GR	10	1 _1			3				1		3	
ĊN	1		2	3		5	5	4		2		4
CN	2	5	1		5				4		5	
CN	3		1	2		1	4	3		4		2
CN	4	5	1		5				4		4	
CN	5	2			3				4		4	1
CN	6	l I	2	3		3	4	4		1		3
CN	7	5)		4				4		4	
CN	8		4	5		5	5	3		5		5
CN	9	5)		3				4		1	
CN	10	I	2	5		5	5	2		4		4
COMP	· 1		4	4		4	5	5	<u> </u>	4		3
COMP	2		2	5		3	5	4		3		3
COMP	· 3	5	i -						4		5	
COMP	• 4	5	i.		3				5		5	
COMP	· 5		2	4		5	4	4		5		3
COMP	' 6	5	i		3				3		2	
COMP	7	1	4	4		5	2	4		2		4
COMP	· 8		5	5		5	4	5		4		3
СОМР	• 9	5	1		3				2		3	
COMP	> 10 ¹	5			3				4		2	

Appendix 5. Rating Scores by Individual Participants and Ranking for Each Item 5A. Naturalness Rating by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

lter	ns			Natu	alness	Ratir	g by .	lapan	ese Na	tive S	peaker	s (J12	2-J23))	
Туре	S#	J12	J13	J14	J15	J16	J17	J18	J19	J20	J21	J22	J23	Mean	Rank
RR	1		5		5					5	5		5	4.3	6
RR	2	4		5		5	5	5	i 5			4		4.5	3
RR	3		3		4					4	1		5	3.2	9
RR	4	5		5		5	5	5	i 5			4		4.8	1
RR	5		5		5					5	5		5	4.8	1
RR	6	4		1		4	4	5	i 5			2		3.3	8
RR	7		4		4					4	5		2	3.4	7
RR	8	4		1		5	5	Ş	; 4			5		4.4	5
RR	9		3		2					1	3		5	2.7	10
RR	10	3		5		5	5		<u>5</u>			4		4.5	3
GR	1		1		1					1	1		4	1.7	8
GR	2	2		5		5	2	. 2	2 5			2		2.7	6
GR	3		1		5					5	4		1	3	2
GR	4	1		4		1	2		5			2		2.3	5
GR	5		2	_	3	-				5	5		5	2.8	3
GR	6	1		2		3	2	. 1	1			1		1.5	9
GR	/		1	_	1		-			1	1		5	1.4	10
GR	8	2	-	3	_	4	3	1	4	_	_	3		2.5	4
GR	9		5	-	4				_	5	3		5	4.6	1
GK	10	2		3		1	1		1			1		1.9	7
	1	-	2	-	5	_	_			3	5		5	3.8	6
	2	2		2		5	5) 4	_		4	-	4.7	
	2	2	4	-	2	-	-	-		5	2		5	2.9	10
		2		3		2	· 3		5 5			3		4	5
	2	3	2	2		4			5 4			4	-	3.5	
	7	2	3	5	3	F				2	4	-	5	3.1	9
	6	3	2	3	, E	3	3		• 4			2	•	4.1	3
	9	4	3	c	5	2				3	4	2	I	9.2	2
CN	10	–	4	J	5	3) Z	2	E	2	E	3.3	
COMP	1				3					5			<u> </u>	4.1	
COMP	2		4		5					5	4		د ح		7
COMP	3	4	•	3		4	5	c	; 1	J	-	5	5	43	
COMP	4	5		5		5	5		, J , J			5			
COMP	5	-	5	•	5					5	3	5	5	4 2	5
COMP	6	2	•	4	-	3	2		3 2		Ŭ	4		3	10
COMP	7		4	-	5		-	. •		2	2	•	5	3.6	
COMP	8		5		5					5	3		5	4.5	2
COMP	9	5	-	1	-	3	5		5 4		-	4		3.6	8
COMP	10	5		5	i	5	5		5 5			5	_	4.5	2

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

						_			-						
lten	ns	Ea	Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating by Japanese												
					Nat	tive Sp	eaker	s (J1-	<u>J11)</u>						
Туре	S#	J1	J2	J3	J4	J5	J6	J7	J8	J9	J10	J11			
RR	1	3			3				3		3				
RR	2		3	5		4	4	5		5		1			
RR	3	4			1				3		3				
RR	4		3	5		4	5	4		3		5			
RR	5	3			3				4		4	_			
RR	6		2	4		1	3	3		3		1			
RR	7	4			5				2		3				
RR	8		4	5		3	4	5		3		4			
RR	9	4			3				4	-	3				
RR	10		2	3		3	3	5		3	•	3			
GR	1	2			1				1		1				
GR	2		2	1		4	2	3		1		5			
GR	3	4			2		_	-	1	-	2	-			
GR	4		1	2		2	2	1		2	-	2			
GR	5	2			5				2	-	2	-			
GR	6		1	1	•	3	1	2	-	1	-	2			
GR	7	1			1	•		-	1	•	1	-			
GR	8		2	3		4	3	3	•	1		1			
GR	9	3			4		•	Ŭ	5	•	4	•			
GR	10		2	2	•	3	1	3	•	2	•	1			
CN	1	3			2				3		4				
CN	2		4	5	-	4	4	4	Ŭ	4	•	5			
CN	3	4	•	•	4	,	•	•	3	•	3	•			
CN	4		2	5	·	5	4	2	•	5	J	3			
CN	5		2	5		2	3	4		5		3			
CN	6	3	-	-	3		•	•	3	Ū	ર				
CN	7		2	4	•	5	4	4	J	5	5	2			
CN	8	5	-		4	. •	•	•	4	5	5	-			
CN	9		2	4	•	2	2	3	•	1	5	ર			
CN	10	3	_	•	2	- -	-	5	2	•	4	5			
COMP	1	4			5				3		4				
COMP	2	3			3				5		5				
COMP	3	-	4	3	Ū	4	4	2	J	3		5			
COMP	4		5	3		4	3	4		4		4			
COMP	5	2		J	1	7	5	-	2	-	А				
COMP	6		2	2	I	2	1	2	J	2		2			
COMP	7	2	~		2	د	•	5	A	٢	2	٢			
COMP	8	1			2				т А		Д				
COMP	ğ	1 '	3	2	5	^	2	2	-	2		1			
COMP	10	ł	4	5		4		נ ר		۲ ۲		י ק			

5B. Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating by 23 Japanese Native Speakers

lter	ns	Ease of Understanding for English JSLs Rating by Japanese													
L						1	Vative	Speal	kers (J	112-J2	3)			_	
Туре	<u>S#</u>	715	<u>J13</u>	<u>J14</u>	<u>J15</u>	J16	J17	J18	J19	<u>J20</u>	J21	_J22	J23	Mean	Rank
RR	1	5	_	5		4	2	2	3	_	_	4		3.4	5
RR	2		5	-	4		-	_	_	5	5		5	4.3	1
RR	3	ון	_	1		1	5	3	5			2		2.6	9
RR	4		3	_	4	_				5	3		1	3.8	4
RR	5	4	-	5	_	5	5	2	4			4		3.9	3
RR	6		2		2					2	3		3	2.4	10
RR	7	2	-	1		4	4	3	5	_	_	2		3.2	8
IRR	8		3	_	4	_			_	5	5		5	4.2	2
RR	9	1		3	_	4	4	5	3			2		3.3	6
RR	10		4		3					4	3		3	3.3	6
GR	1	2	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	_		1	_	1.2	10
GR	2		2	-	3	_	_			3	2	-	2	2.5	4
GR	3	1	_	5		5	3	1	4			2		2.7	3
GR	4		2	_	2	-		_	_	2	1		3	1.8	7
IGR	5	3		5		4	4	3	Z	_		4		3.3	2
GR	6		1	-	2	_		_	_	2	1		4	1.8	7
GR	7	3		3		3	1	2	2			1		1.7	9
GR	8		1		1				_	1	2		1	1.9	5
GR	9	4	_	4	_	4	5	3	2			5		3.9	1
GR	10		2		2					2	2	_	1	1.9	5
CN	1	2	_	4	-	2	4	3	4	•		5		3.3	7
CN	2		5	_	5	_	_		_	4	5	_	5	4.5	2
CN	3	4	-	5		5	4	4	3			2		3.7	6
CN	4		2		4					4	5		2	3.6	5
CN	5		3		4					5	5	_	4	3.8	4
CN	6	2	_	4	•	3	2	1	4			3		2.8	8
CN	7	_	5		3	_				4	5		4	3.9	3
CN	8	5		5	i _	5	3	5	5			5		4.6	1
CN	9		2	_	2		-			3	2		2	2.3	10
CN	10	5		2		1	2	2	4			2		2.6	9
COMP		4		5		4	5	3	4			5		4.2	1
COMP	2	3	_	2	!	5	5	2	2 5			4		3.8	5
ICOMP	3	ł	5		5					4	4		5	4.1	2
COMP	4		4		2	_	_			5	5		5	4	4
COMP	5	3		3	8	5	5	1	1		-	4		2.9	8
COMP	6		1	-	1	_	_	_	_	1	3		1	1.8	10
COMP	7			3	5	5	5	2	. 5	1		4		3.5	6
COMP	8	3		5		4	3	2	2 3	1		4	•	3.3	7
COMP	9	1	4	•	3					5	1		1	2.7	9
ICOMP	' 10	1	- 4		3					- 4	2		5	4.1	1 2

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

5C. Naturalness Rating by 11 English JSLs

lte	ms				Natu	raines	is Rat	ing by	/ 11	Englist	n JSLs	;		
Туре	S#	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11	Mear	Rank
RR	1	4		4		4		4		5		5	4.3	1
RR	2		3	3	5		1			3	5		3.4	6
RR	3	3		2		2		3		2		2	2.3	10
RR	4				5		4			3	3		3.8	3
RR	5	5		3		3		4		5		5	4.2	2
RR	6		4	\$	5		2			4	3		3.6	4
RR	7	3		2		4		2		5		1	2.8	9
RR	8		3	3	3		2			3	5		3.2	7
RR	9	4		2		4		4		5		2	3.5	5
RR	10		2	2	5		3			3	2		3	8
GR	1	2		2		3		3		3		3	2.7	8
GR	2		3	3	4		4		i	2	3		3.2	4
GR	3	4		3		4		1		5		2	3.2	4
GR	4		4	4	1		4		i	2	2		2.6	9
GR	5	4		1		4		4		4		3	3.3	3
GR	6		2	2	4		5		:	2	2		3	6
GR	7	1		2		3		2		3		1	2	10
GR	8			3	5		2		i	2	2		2.8	7
GR	9	3		5		3		4		4		3	3.7	1
GR	10			3	4	-	4			4	2		3.4	2
CN	1	4		3		4		5		5	1	1	3.7	4
CN	2			5	5		2		!	5	5		4.4	1
CN	3	4				4		3		4		4	3.8	3
CN	4		3	3	4		2			4	5		3.6	6
CN	5		4	4	4		3		i	2	3		3.2	8
CN	6	4		3		4		2		5		4	3.7	4
CN	7		2	2	4		3			3	4		3.2	8
CN	8	5		4		3		5		4		4	4.2	2
CN	9		4	4	3		2			4	1		2.8	10
CN	10	5		3		3		4		5		1	3.5	7
COMP	1	3		3		1		4		1		1	2.2	10
COMP	2	4		5		4		3		4		5	4.2	1
COMP	3		ļ	5	5		2			2	4		3.6	3
COMP	4			3	5		2		•	4	4		3.6	3
COMP	5	4		3		2		3		4	•	5	3.5	5
COMP	6			3	4	•	3			2	1		2.6	8
COMP	7	4		3		5		3		5		2	3.7	2
COMP	8	2		4		4		2		3		5	3.3	6
COMP	9		•	1	4		2			3	3		2.6	8
COMP	10			3	5		2			1	3		2.8	1 7

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.
lter	ms	E	ase of	Unde	rstan	ding t	for En	glish .	JSLs	Rating	by 1	1 Eng	lish JS	Ls
Туре	S#	Ê1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7	E8	E9	E10	E11	Mean	Rank
RR	1		2	,	4		4			5	4		3.8	1
RR	2	4	ļ	3		3	}	3		5	;	5	3.8	1
RR	3		2		2		2			2	3		2.2	10
RR	4	3)	2		5	;	4		1		5	3.3	7
RR	5		3		4		4			3	5		3.8	1
RR	6	3	}	3		4	ŀ	4		4	ļ	3	3.5	6
RR	7		2		4		3		!	5	4		3.6	5
RR	8	2	•	5		3	3	3		5	i	5	3.8	1
RR	9		3		4		3		1	2	2		2.8	8
RR	10	2) 	2		4	}	2		3	l	4	2.8	8
GR	1		2		1		2			1	1		1.4	10
GR	2	4	}.	3		1		3		1		2	2.3	5
GR	3		4		2		3			4	4		3.4	1
GR	4	5	Í	3		3	3	2	•	2	2	3	3	7
GR	5		2		4		3			2	2		2.6	2
GR	6	1		1		2	2	3		1		2	1.7	9
GR	7		3		4		3		i	2	1		2.6	2
GR	8	3	}	2		1		2		2	2	4	2.3	5
GR	9		3	2	4		4		i	2	2		3	7
GR	10	3	<u>}</u>	2		4		3		1		2	2.5	4
CN	1		3		- 4		2			3	5		3.4	3
CN	2	5	j –	3		5	5	5	i	2	2	5	4.2	2
CN	3	[5	E.	2	•	3		i	2	4		3.2	5
CN	4	4	ł	3		4	ŀ	3	1	2	2	4	3.3	4
CN	5	4	ŀ	3		1	1	5	i	4	ł	1	3	6
CN	6		1		4		3		i	2	2		2.4	8
CN	7	4	ł	2		1	ł	3	•	3	3	1	2.3	9
CN	8	1	3	i I	4		5		1	5	5		4.4	1
CN	9	2	•	2	,	2	2	2		3	3	3	2.3	9
CN	10		4		4		1			2	4		3	6
COMP	" 1 "	1	3	i i	4	L	2			2	2		2.6	9
COMP	2		3	_	3		2		1	5	2		3	5
COMP	· 3	3	\$	2		5	5	3	5	3	3	5	3.5	1
COMP	4	4	ł.	2		2	2	3	}	4	ŀ	5	3.3	1
COMP	5		3	_	5		2		i	2	3		3	5
COMP	6	3	\$	2		2	2	2	2	4	ŀ	4	2.8	8
COMP	' 7]	3	i	2		2			5	4		3.2	3
COMP	8		3	1	3		2	•		3	5		3.2	3
COMP	9	1	Í	1		3	3	2	•	1	1	4	2	10
COMP	10	3	5	4		2	2	1		4	L .	4	3	5

Activities on the screen	What the experimenter says and does	What the
		participant does
	In this session, you are asked to translate	
	Japanese sentences. You will see the brief	
	appearance of a number followed by	Looks at the
	Japanese sentence. Start the screen.	computer screen and listens to the
Appearance of "1"	You will see briefly the number, followed	instructions
	by the Japanese sentence, which you are to	
	translate into English. Prompt the	
Gloss at the left top corner,	presentation of the gloss and the sentence.	
the sentence to translate in	Point at the sentence. First, read the entire	
the centre	sentence in Japanese aloud. Secondly	
	think aloud and provide an oral translation	
	of only the portion up to the star *. The	
	important task here is to provide the	
The screen remains.	translation of the portion of the sentence	
	from the beginning to the star only. In case	
	you do not know the words, the words and	
The screen remains (i.e.,	the meanings are provided at the left corner	
gloss and the sentence	of the screen. Point at the gloss at the left	
stays) until the second	top corner.	
example appears.	You have 40 seconds to translate. This	
	may be a severe time constraint. What I	
	need to know is how difficult the sentences	
	are, not how well you can translate.	
Below the sentence, "Ten	30 seconds later, you will see under the	
More Seconds Left"	Japanese sentence "Ten More Seconds	
appears with a correct	Left." Present 'Ten More Seconds Left."	
beep. It remains.	-	
-		
"Ten More Seconds Left"	When only five more seconds are left, you	
disappears, and 'Five More	will see 'Five More Seconds Left" again	
Seconds Left" appears	under the sentence to translate. Present	
instead with a correct beep.	"Five More Seconds Left."	
It remains.		
"Five More Seconds Left"	When time is up you will see "Time Is	
disappears, and "Time Is	Up" under the sentence. Present "Time Is	
Up" appears with a done	Up."	
beep. It remains.		
F		
	Then the screen will change to the next	

Appendix 6. Instructions for Experiment 2: Translation Task

Appearance of "2"	example. Prompt the second example.	
		Read the second
"2" in one second		example in
disappears, and the gloss at	Now can you translate this sentence and	Japanese. Think
the left top corner and	say the English translation aloud? It is	aloud and
a sentence to translate in	possible it may not take 40 seconds to	translate the
the centre appear.	finish translating the sentence. Or you	portion from the
	might think the sentence is too weird or	beginning to the *
The screen remains.	difficult to translate. In those cases you	of the sentence
	may wish to go faster to the next sentence.	orally.
	Hit the space bar to go to the next sentence	
	before the time limit of 40 seconds.	
The screen changes to the		
next example with rest	Please hit the space bar and translate the	Hit the space bar.
beep.	third example. Ask the participant to hit	Read the sentence
Presentation of "3"	the space bar.	and translate up
		to *.
Gloss at the left top corner,		
a sentence to translate in		
the center		If the translation
		is done in less
In 30 seconds, "Ten More	If the participant is able to translate in less	than 40 seconds,
Seconds Left" appears with	than 40 seconds, suggest he/she hits the	the participant
a correct beep below the	space bar. If it takes longer than 40	hits the space bar
sentence, which remains	seconds, tell him/her that it is really OK	which finishes
for 4 seconds.	because what is supposed to be observed is	with a rest beep
In 35 Seconds, "Five More	how difficult the sentence is.	the screen with
Seconds Left" appears with		the third example.
correct beep below the		If the translation
sentence, which remains		takes longer, the
for 3.5 seconds.		participant will
Right after "Five More		see the warning
Seconds Left" disappears,		signs of how
"Time is Up" with a done		many more
beep appears at the same		seconds left on
position, which disappears		the screen.
simultaneously with the	Do you have any questions? You will	
gloss and the Japanese	translate 40 Japanese sentences. If you can	
sentence in 1.5 seconds.	go laster, hit the space bar to go to the next	
	sentence faster. Otherwise, the screen will	
Are you ready message	change automatically. All you need to do	
	is to look at the screen and translate the	Ask questions if
	Sentence.	necessary.
	surt ine lape recorder. Ask the	
	participant to nit the space bar to start the	
	iransiation task.	1

	Contract accounting they, to there accounting they, to the a contract by the participanty	_		_		_	
	Appeared as 9th sentence to be translated	Cu	te	rie		- 1	
JSL#	Response	A	8	_ (D	Score
E1	the result of eating too much at the restaurant yesterday*	7	1	1	1	1	. 1
E2	yesterdey~the cost I/	0		σT	0	0	0
E3	the result of overeating at the restaurant yesterday"	1	Ti	īΤ	T	ĩ	1
E4	as a result of the fact that I ate too much at the restaurant yesterday*	1	Г	īΤ	1	1	1
E5	the result of yesterday's restaurant overeating result of-yesterday's restaurant/	1	t	iŤ	ī	1	1
EG	the results of eeting too much yesterday in a restaurant*	Ť,	ħ	it	i	Ť	1
E7	the restaurant that i she too much at vesterrise_1/	H	ta	ät	a t	ᆟ	
FR	the result of esting outresting, vesteries in a restaurot!/	H	Ŧ	#	귀	州	
50	data to the fact I wante at waterback and materials	H	+	÷	井	⊣	
610	the critical contract of the second state of the contract of the contract of the critical second sec	Ľ	÷	4	4	-4	
EIU	The results of verying the much at the restourant yesterular	H		4	4	긕	<u> </u>
	The results of eaching the match at the net data and yestericity		L.	프	4		
CN2	Appeared as 26th sentence to be translated	Cr	ite	rie	1		
JSL#	Response	A	6	. (C	οļ	Score
[E1	the reason why I didn't go to the plano lessons*	1	T	īT	1	1	1
E2	the reason why I didn't- go to the plano lessons!/	1	ħ	it	1	1	1
E3	reason for not going to the pieno lesson*	Ť,	ħ	it	i	1	1
EA	the reason why I didn't go to my plane lesson or actually the reason why I didn't go to my plane lesson	H	t.	÷t	÷t	÷	÷
ES.	the resear- for not doing to give lessonal/	H	t	÷	÷		
56	The means why i don't as to the mean of the	H		÷	-+		
E9		Ľ	+	4	-4	-4	
	preno resourt i quari i contre remotiv- une remoti i quari i come to the piero lessone/	1	+	4	1	1	1
L8	i une reason why i akin't go the reason why i don't go to my plano~ lesson!/	1	Ļ	4	1	1	1
E9	the reason why (didn't go to the pieno lesson*	1	Ľ	١	1	1	1
E10	the reason for not going to the plano lesson*	1	Ŀ	1	1	1	1
EII	the reason of not going to the pieno lesson*	1		ī	1	1	1
CN3	Append a 10h asters to be translated		-	-		_	
		I۳.			_	_	
131.0	Kasponae	A	8	<u>_</u>	<u> </u>	0	Score
	more prior to the pare taking on for Asia.	1	+	4	4	_1	
EZ	- just the moment before the pane/ left for Asia	1		ц	1	1	1
E	Just before the departure of-the plane in Asia!"	1		1	1	1	1
E4	right before the airplane departed for Asia*	1	L	۱Į	1	1	1
ES	Ithe airplane left a moment ago Asian / plane left a moment ago			oT	0	0	0
E6	before the plane to Asia took off*	1	T	īT	1	ī	1
E7	before I left/ for Asia		-	- 1	•		
		[]	II.	11	- 11	- 11	
E8	-the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/	H		뀨	÷	뉘	
E8 E9	-the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ Iright before the plane going to Asia took off*	F	╞	뀨	1		1
E8 E9_ F10	-the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia*			计	1	- - - -	1
E8 E9 E10	-the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* ideht before list a summant before the same to Asia took off take off*				1 1 1	- - - - -	
E8 E9 E10 E11	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off*	1			1 1 1	- - - - -	1
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated				1 1 1	- - - - -	1
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL#	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response		ite				1 1 1 Score
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E1	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!*						1 1 1 Score
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E1 E2	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running! a black dog/						1 1 1 5core
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E1 E2 E3	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars the running*					- - - - - 0 - - -	1 1 1 1 5 5 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E3 E4	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were generalized					- - - - 0 - - - -	1 1 1 1 5 com 0 0 0 0 1
E8 E9 E10 E11 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running! a black dog/ Between the two white cars were passing* //					- - - - 0 - - - - -	1 1 1 1 5 core 0 0 0 1 1 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* (right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* Two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* Between the two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the grain the two white cars regime to exercise two white cars*					- - - - 0 - - - - 0 .	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E5 E6 E7	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running! a black dog/ Between the two white cars were passing* // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars*					- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars two white cars were running down the street/					- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E6 E7 E8	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running* during the time two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two white cars the time two white cars are running/					- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 -	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
E8 E9 E10 E11 CA44 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E7 E8 E7 E8	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* (right before the plane's departure for Asia* (right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running? during the time two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running.						5 5 5 5 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN44 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running! // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two white is ran were running down the street/ -two white cars! the two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars were running*					- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - -	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
E8 E9 E10 CH4 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running? during the time two white cars that are running? f/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* two white cars! the time two white cars are running. f/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* two white cars! the time two white cars are running. In between the two, in between the two the space between the two white cars are running. In between the two, in between the two there are two ere running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars running by while there are two cars running*					- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - 0 - - - -	Score 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 CH4 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CM5	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running* during the time two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were passing* I/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two white cars were running down the street/ two white cars the time two white cars are running/ In between the two, in bitween the two cars thet were running, they were actively moving-* while there are two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* Aureared as 3rd sectors to be transleted						Score 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0
E8 E9 E10 CA44 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E7 E8 E10 CA43 E10 E10 CA44 E10 CA44 E10 CA44 E10 CA44 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 E10 E10 CA44 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* (right before the plane's departure for Asia* (right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* while there are two white cars running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be translated					- - - - - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - - - - -	Score 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 CM4 JSL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E6 E7 E8 E8 E8 E8 E9 E10 E11 CM5 JSL#	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be transleted Response enhile two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* during the time two white cars that are running! furting the time two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two white cars were running down the street/ -two white cars the time two unite cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* enhile there are two white cars running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response Response				<u> </u>	- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - - - 0	5 core
E8 E9 E10 CM4 SL# E1 E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CM5 E11 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars. while two white cars are running! a black dog/ Between the two white cars that are running!* during the time two white cars were passing* // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two white cars were running down the street/ -two white cars! the two white cars were running/ is between the two, in between the two cars thet were running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response Ilite old man-so the old man the megazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/				<u> </u>	- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0
E8 E9 E10 CH4 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E11 CH5 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running? f/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* - the two white cars were running down the street/ - two white cars (the time two white cars are running)/ In between the two white cars were running down the street/ - two white cars (the time two white cars are running)/ In between the two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* while there are two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response If the old man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfather reads newspapers- magazines!/				<u> </u>	- - - - - 0 - 0 - 0 - - - 0 0 0	5 core 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 CN4 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E6 E7 E8 E9 E1 CN5 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E5 E6 E7 E5 E6 E7 E6 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E11 E10 E11 E10 E11 E10 E11 E10 E11 E10 E11 E11	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars while two white cars are running* a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running* full before the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two white cars were running down the street/ two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two white cars were running down the street/ two white cars! the time two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be translated Response like old men-so the old men the megazine the old men twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfisther reads newspapers- megazines!/ the side grandfisther reading the newspaper, oh megazine*				<u> </u>	- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - - 0 0 0 -	5 core 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CA44 JSL# E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CA45 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 E2 E3 E4	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentance to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars that are running? during the time two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two cars two white cars were numing down the street/ -two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars unning by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response Re				<u></u>	- - - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - - 0 0 0 - -	5 core 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CH4 E1 E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E6 E7 E8 E6 E11 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E2 E3 E4 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1	the moment prior to the plane departing forf Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ Between the two white cars that are running? // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two cars two white icars were running down the street/ -two white cars file time two white cars are running/ in between the two white cars unning? while the two white cars unning by while there are two cars running. Mathematical and the running is the two cars running. Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response Respo				<u></u>	- - - - - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 0 - - 0	5 core
E8 E9 E10 E11 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E6 E7 E8 E9 E11 CHS E3 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E5 E6 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1 E1	the moment prior to the plane departing for Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were passing* 1/ between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars two white fars were running down the street/ the two white cars were running down the street/ the two white cars were running* while there are two white cars mer running. they were actively moving-* while the two white cars mer running* and the two white cars were running down the street/ the two white cars were running down the street/ the two white cars were running* while there are two white cars running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response lite oid man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfisther reads newspapers- magazines!/ the side grandfisther reading the newspaper, oh magazine* beside old goy reading a magazine* Beside the elderly man- who was reading a magazine!*				<u>- - - - - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 </u>	- - - - - - - - 0 - 0 - 0 - - 0	Score 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CAL5 ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E3 E6 E7	Ute moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* The moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be translated Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars- while two white cars are running! a black dog/ Between the two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars two white cars are running down the street/ -two white cars were running down the street/ -two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* while there are two white cars ner running by while there are two cars running* while there are two white cars running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be translated Response Ite old man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfather reading the newspapers magazine* beside old guy reading a magazine in end the matas no sense/ Beside old guy reading a magazine the side the matas no sense/ Beside the ederly mar-, who we reading a magazine!* grandfather see read a magazine the two weat the ord- beside! Beside the grandfather//				<u></u>	- - - - - - - - 0 - 0 - 0 - - 0	Score 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CN4 JSL# E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CN15 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E7 E8	the moment prior to the plane's departing for! Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running* a black dog/ between the two white cars that are running* during the time two white cars were passing* // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two cars two white cars were passing* // Between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* -the two cars two white cars were running down the street/ -two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two, in between the two cars thet were running, they were actively moving-* while there are two white cars are running down the street/ -two white cars were running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response lite old man-so the old man the megazine the old man twas reading on his side, white on the side/ grandfather reading the newspapers- megazines!/ the side grandfather reading the newspaper, oh megazine* Beside old guy reading a megazine the old man twas neading on his side, white on the side/ grandfather-is reading mazaginesion the side this matas no sense/ Beside old guy reading the newspaper, oh megazine* Beside old guy reading a megazine that grandfather read-beside! Beside the grandfather/ -by a side of oid men who are reading magazine!/				<u></u>	- - - - - - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 - - 0 - 0	Score 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E6 E7 E8 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 E1 E1 E2 E3 E6 E10 E11 E1 E2 E3 E6 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10 E10	Ute moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ /right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* /right before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars - while two white cars are running* during the time two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars the time two white cars are running/ during the time two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two white cars were running down the street/ two white cars! the time two white cars are running/ in between the two white cars uner running* while the two white cars were running down the street/ two white cars the time two white cars are running/ in between the two white cars uner running* while the two white cars uner running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response lite old man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfather reads newspapers- magazines!/ the side grandfather reading the newspaper, oh magazine* beside old guy reading a magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfather-is reading mazaginesion the side this mates no sense/ beside of duy reading a magazine the side this mates no sense/ beside of of old man who are reading an magazines! -by a side of of man who are reading magazines!/				<u></u>	- - - - - - -	Score 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 CM4 ISL# ISL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 CM5 ISL# E1 E3 E4 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10	the moment prior to the plane departing for! Asia/ /fight before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* /fight before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars while two white cars are running* a black dog/ between the two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* the two cars two white cars the two cars that were running, they were actively moving-* while the two white cars in the two cars that were running. In between the two white cars unit making by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response like old man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grandfather reading magazines!/ the side grandfather reading measaines!/ grandfather reading measaines on the side this makes no sense/ beside the elderly man-who was reading a magazines! grandfather-a reading measaines in magazines!/ to the side of the grand, old guy who is reading the magazines? -by a side of old men who are reading the magazines!/ to be side of the grand, old guy who is reading the magazines?				<u>- - - - - 0 - - 0 0 0 </u>	- - - - 0 - - - 0 -0 - - - 0 0 0 - - 0 - 0	Score 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
E8 E9 E10 E11 SL# E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E10 E3 E4 E5 E6 E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 E10 E11	the moment prior to the plane departing for Asia/ right before the plane going to Asia took off* the moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia* fight before just a moment before the plane to Asia took off take off* Appeared as 20th sentence to be transleted Response while two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* two white cars were running-, right, while two white cars running!* between the two white cars are running* during the time two white cars were passing* // between the two white cars were passing* // between the space between the two the racing the two white cars racing or racing two white cars* - the two white cars were numing down the street/ - two white cars the time two white cars are running/ while the two white cars were running down the street/ - two white cars were running while the two white cars were running down the street/ - two white cars were running down the street/ - two white cars were running? In between the two white cars are running/ while the two white cars were running? In between the two white cars running? In between the two white cars running? In between the two white cars running? If the two white cars were running? If the two white cars were running? If the two white cars were running? If the two white cars running by while there are two cars running* Appeared as 3rd sentence to be transleted Response If to old man-so the old man the magazine the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grantifisther reading magazines// the side of old man the magazines the old man twas reading on his side, while on the side/ grantifisther-is reading magazines the old man twas reading on his side the grantifisther/ -by a side of old men who are reading a magazine!* grantifisther-is reading magazine that grantifisther read- beside! Beside the grantifisther/ -by a side of old men who are reading a magazines! The side of old men who are reading magazines!/ The side of old men who are reading magazines!/ The sid				<u></u>	- - - - 0 - - - 0 - 0 - - - 0 0 0 - - 0 - 0	Score 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Appendix 7. Translation and the Scores for 40 NMCs by 11 English JSL

a ring, 15 more sec.warming ring, /time/s up ring, *done ring by the perticipant)

. . .

CHE	Appeared as 7th sentance to be transisted	Crit	ber	ie.		Т	
JSL#	Response	A	B	<u>c</u>	<u>, D</u>		Score
E1	noisy so somewhere around elementary the noisy the noisy elementary school kide are gathered~*	1	1	Ļ	4	1	1
EZ	with noisy elementary students-//	9	0	19	객_	악	
E3 F4	indexy dist elementary sublinits somewhere a course with the elementary sublinits are gathered-	÷	H	t	╋	÷	
65	I found-e hat was found somewhere! around where elementary students noisily gathered/	1	Hi	ti	Ŧ	it	<u> </u>
E6	the area where-the the area where elementary students werefigathered noisily*	1	h	h	it	it	ī
E7	-noisy noisilylelementary students gathered/	0	0		ז	0	0
E8	elementary school students- were assembled noisily somewhere!/	0	0		ונ	0	0
E9	around the place where the elementary school students are having fun*	1	1	\Box	4	1	1
E10	The area where noisy elementary school children are gethered"	4	Ľ	H	4	4	
	edimentate the students gatherer library strawmar - entrancey students gathered houry	_			<u> </u>	11	
	Appeared as 29th sentence to be translated	CI	ter	ie			•
LSL#	Response	A.	r,	T ^C	1	1	Score
67	Devening the day ballowing, and yearn gasse	10	H	ł.		컮	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
E3	beck side-! the beckside of the large building/	ĭ	ħ	Ŧ	i	ð	ŏ
E4	behind where the big building was built"	1	Ti	t	it	ī	Ť
£5	beckside- built beckside the big bill/	1	٦	1	0	0	0
EG	the the back of the big building"	1		L	1	0	0
E7	the big building ~ built ithe big building that was built on the backside backside of the big building/	1	Ľ	4	1	1	1
120	une pectange- or the building the building that was built	1	Ľ	+	1	井	<u> </u>
E10	permits of the bin building. The bin build building?	H	H	+	4	#	
EII	on the backside of the huse building, -on the backside of the huse building/	H	H	+	÷	낢	
						-	<u> </u>
	Appeared as Z2nd sentence to be transisted	CI		•	. ,	۱,	F
E1	Response	ĥ	ĥ	тĭ	π	÷f	<u>3.018</u>
E2	theithe sound of the bus on main street/	H	ti	t	it	히	<u> </u>
E3	the noise of the bus passing through main street or passing on main street*	1	ħ	t	1	ī	
E4	the sound of bases passing on the main audry*	1	Ī	1	1	1	1
E5	a passing sound a sound which passes- the bus sound on the street!/	1	1		1	0	0
E6	the sound of the bus passing the the main street*	1	1	1	1	1	<u> </u>
<u>E7</u>	-the bus going down the street/	ļ	4	4	아	이	0
50	- Une source when the puper pass the main strend.	L;	H	#	井	井	
E10	the roles that the bus sets ind own the main street	H	t	÷	Ħ	ᆏ	-
E11	the noise of the bus passing through the main street*	ī	T	it	it	Ħ	1
	Annaged as 33rd sentence to be translated	C.	1	-		÷	
JSL#	Response		8		: 1	ь I	Score
E1	society so member of the society so if you want to become a member useful member of the society	ī	T	T	o	0	0
	you have to study I guess*						
E2	-//	6	49	1	0	익	
8	Iscurying to become a useful member of society"	Η.		4	4	4	
ES .	I we away or resument to become a second member of society"	片	ł.	+	井	닀	
E6	a study which -will allow one to become a memberi and is useful/ in sociery	Ħ	t	it	it	Ť	
E7	-to be useful to be a useful member of to become I a useful member of the society/	ĺ	t	oT	ō	o	Ċ
E8	-study to become a useful member of society!/	Ī		1	1	1	
E9	If I do work that would help me become a member of the society*	\Box	T	0	ĩ	1	0
E10	study for becoming a useful member of the society*	Ľ	1	1	1	1	
E11	Tune study or becoming a useful member of the study"	<u> </u>	1	u.	1	1	1
CN10	Appeared as 39th sentence to be transisted	G	ite	rie	_	7	
151.8	Response	4		-	<u> </u>	미	Score
E1	Jeruw a long units of not being in Kyotol I stayled over right them in the morning: I guess"	H	#	쒸	븲	긤	⊢ .,
E	the morning that I staved in Kyoto after in a very long time*	╉┤	ť	#	쒸	尚	\vdash
E4	the morning I stayed in Kyoto after some years*	ti	t	it	it	0	
E5	-the morning of having stayed in Kyotolefter a long time/	D		1	1	1	
E6	the morning that I stayed over in Kyoto-after a long interval*		Ţ	1	1	0	
E7	a long time ago- in Kyoto Istayed over night/		2	0	0	0	
EA	Jefter long- interval the morning! I stayed overright in Kyoto	μ	4	힉	0	0	F_
E9	jume must unne that i stand in Talma for the first time is a while and Talma Mustal	ŧ.	4	빆	위	0	<u> </u>
E11	the morning cast staying in takyo for the mat time in a while, not takyo, Kyoto"	H	+	÷	井	0	<u> </u>
1- · · ·	the morning of beving staved in Kvoto overnight after a jong time*	1'	1	'}	'	']	1 '
		4	1	_			

COMP1	Appeared as 10th sentence to be transisted	Cri	ter	10	-	Т	
151.8	Response	A	8	<u>_</u>	0		Score
E1	the final, a phone call-regarding the tennis final, regarding I guess winning the tennis finals*	_1	Ľ	Ŧ	4	1	1
		0	10	49	4	악	0
EA	prove call a syng our someone won a rine tente metch	1	Ľ	÷	4	4	!
FS			H	÷	-	4	
EG	the telephone call saving that someone saving someone was the tennis metch-*		H	÷	÷	÷	
E7		۲,	t	17	ŧ۲-	ᆟ	
E8	phone call which tells about winning the tennis! final/	Ĭ	ti	Ŧ	÷	Ť	
E9	the phone call alerting the victory that they had in tennis-*	1	ti	t	十	it	1
E10	the phone call about winning the tennis final*	1	T	T	十	i	1
E11	the phone call of wisning the tennis chempionship"	1	Ī	T	i T	1	1
COMP2	Accessed as 8th sentence to be translated	Cr	***		-	T	
JSL#	Resconse			- -	: 0	1	Score
E1	second hand plano, advertisement about regarding second hand plano for sale~*	1	Ē	ТŤ	πĒ	1	1
E2	about the advertisement about selling the plano-has reachedl/	1	Ī	T	亡	1	1
E3	the adversements for selling second hand planes*	1	Ī	Т	ī٦	1	1
E4	advertisement with regard to the sale of used plano*	1		L.	ŧΕ	1	1
ES	advertisement advertising second hand planos reached~ reaching many homes!/	1	Ľ	Γ	T	0	0
EG	the advertisements for which the advertisement for selling a used plano~*	1	L	T	Ч	1	1
E7	second nand pieno-advertisement for second hand pienol/	1	Ľ	L.	1	0	0
E8	an envertueement~ which sells second hand pieno!/	1	1	4	ЧĹ	1	1
29	accustoments unit say that they are setting old planes, second hand planes actually*	1	μ	4	4	1	1
E10	acvertisement that sell second hand planos"	1	\downarrow	4	4	1	1
	the several semient that says there are second hand planes for sele"	1	1.1	Ш	<u>Ц</u>	<u>1</u>	!
COM23	Appeared as 13th sentence to be translated	S	ter			Т	
JSL#	Response		8	C	<u>; </u>		Score
£1	questions about are there are going to be Christmas presents or not*	1	I	١Ţ.	ī	1	1
E2	questions about Christmas presents-1/	1	Ľ	iΓ'	1	0	0
<u>E3</u>	questions about of questions about Christmas-presents*	1	Ĩ	Γ.	υL	0	C
E4	a question for questions as to whether there are Christmas presents*	1	1	4	<u>I</u>	1	1
E5	questions about what if there are Christmas presents-1/	1	Ľ	ĽĽ	<u>1</u>	1	1
26	question about whether there are Christings presents"	1	Ľ	4	4	1	1
E/	Crimitries present -questions soout siChristings present/			4	4	이	
20	The spectrum with and - if the Children spectrum presents!	닏	Ľ	4	#	4	
610	Instantion of underlaw sections and the section of	Ľ	ŧ.	#	₽	4	
EII	the constrine of selfing whether there are Christians research?	H	H	╋	╋	+	
			-	<u> </u>	≞	4	
	Appeared as 35th sentence to be translated	Cr		110			-
		P.	6	. Г	<u></u>	2	Score
67	Ten operation expressing that working what be an one notice.			#	井	4	
63	The coloring that if you are proven you should be in the hundr?	1-9	49	Ŧ	牛	-	
E4	the calmion that if you are woman you need to stay in the house?	H	╞	+	+	붜	
ES	woman in a formal /beginning	님	₽	+	╬	븲	
ES	the celsion which says that if you are a woman you should be in the brane*	H	Ŧ	ተ	Ŧ	붜	
E7	-women in homes! /	7	17	at-	ă†	d	
Eð	-The opinion of women being in the house/	h		Ť	it i	ŏ	
E9	the opinion that woman has to stay in the house"	ti	t	t	t	뷥	
E10	opinion that If you are women you should stay in the home*	Ti	t	it.	it	1	
Ell	the opinion of woman the opinion of if being a woman one should stay home*	Γ	T	ıŢ	Ť	11	
COMPS	Apparent as 19th sentence to be transisted	Ic-		╤	-	-	
19.8	Responde		R	2	• ٢	۰I	eron
EI	no matter what-I have a desire, the desire to see inside the morn/	fi	T	ᠷ	π	T	1
E2	-1/	t	1	at	đ	6	
E3	the the wish of no matter how much you want to see-the room*	ti	+	it	Ť	Ť	
E4	my desire to see the inside of the room no metter whet*	T	T	iŤ	it	1	1
ES	no matter how much you- see a massy roomi no matter how much you sea/ the middle of the room/		T	aT.	ন	0	- (
E6	my wish to see to see inside the roomilat any cost/at any circumstances*	T I	T	iŤ	īŤ	1	
E7	in the middle of the room-1/	10)	o	ō	0	
EB	the urge rise there- is the urge! to see inside the room/		T	ī	T	1	
E9	the urge to see inside the room incredible urge*		Γ	ī	īT	1	
E10	the desire to absolutely see the inside of the room no matter what-*		I	ī	ī	1	
EII	The desire or the desire of one way of another seeing	[]	T	١Ţ	١ſ	1	1
L	the inside of the room wanting to see the inside of the room*	1	L				

COMPS	PS Appeared as 32nd sentence to be transisted						
JSL#	Response	A	8	C	: 0		Score
E1	even hum, so the patience- to or endurance to read to keep on reading the same! thing the same yeeh thing/ yacky	1		I	1	1	1
E2	~//	0	[0	0	0
E3	the petience continuing patience continuing- petience reading the same! thing even though it's not very good/	1		I	١Ī	1	1
E4	the patience or endurance to keep reading the same work of itterature even if it is really annoying*	1	1	I	١Ţ	1	1
ES		0	G		0	0	0
E6	the patience to keep reading the same-the same piece even though it's unpleasant*	1		T	١Ţ	1	1
E7		0	[) (ō	0	0
E8	v	0	1	2	0	0	0
E9	even though I delike it if I continue reading*	0		2	0	0	0
E10	endurance for or the patience for~ reading bad writing! must be the same as reading good*	1	Ľ	L	1	1	1
<u>E11</u>	to endure reading to endure- to endure the continuous reading of the same hvork even though/	1	Ľ	I	1	1	1
COMP7	Appeared as 25th sentence to be transition	Сч	te	rie i		T	_
151.#	Response	A	8	C	: 0	5 I	Score
EI	The memory that I have about playing naked in the snow, on top of the snow*	1	T	ī	1	1	1
E2	-playing on the on the I rememberiplaying on the snow/	1	T	oT	1	ī	Ó
E3	memories of playing naked on the snow*	1	Г	ī	Π	ī	1
E 4	my memory of playing naked on the snow*	1	Г	ĩ	1	ī	1
ES	Imemories of playing in the snow/	1	Г	1	ī	1	1
E6	the memory of playing on top of the snow naked*	Ī	Г	١Ţ	IJ	1	1
E7	snow about the enowi playing memories/	1	Γ	ī	ō	0	0
E8	-the memory of playing naked in the snow/	1	Γ	۱I	ī	1	1
E9	the memories of playing on top of the enow naked*	1	T	١Ţ	1	1	1
E10	the memories of playing in the snow naked*	[]		۱Ī	1	1	1
E11	the memories of playing naked on the snow*	Ī	I	١Ī	1	1	1
COMPA	Accessed as 4th sentence to be translated	Cr	íte	ri e			
151.0	Response				c 1	<u>,</u>	Score
EI	-kids so the alevaround with kidslor something increasing , the petition to increase/the playaround	h	Ť	π	ั่าไ	1	1
	that hide are clausion	1.		1	.1		•
E2	It is children's descruted, they are setting incenting children's descruted/	10	t i	at	at	6	0
E3	the antitice calls for increasing children's playardet	ħ	ť	it	Ť	Ť	1
E4	Detition to increase the number of deveround for children*	ti	t	it	i	i	l i
ES	-children's devoroundbattlions for children't devoround/	ti	t	it	it	ò	
FG	the settion-to increase the number of children's obnorpund*	Ħ	t	it	Ħ	Ť	Ĭ
E7	Ichildren's alexanound/	t	5	άt	ö	à	
EB			1	ő	ă	Ō	0
E9	a Betition working to increase the number of the descrounds for kits-*	ti	t	it	i	ĩ	T
EIO	the patition requestin the increase in the playgrounds for children-*	ti	it	iÌ	1	1	i
ETT	the complaint of children's playaround increasing*		iŤ	11	1	0	C
Conservation of the local division of the lo		Te				Ť	
		ľ	TUI			•	6
LOL .	response	f.	π.	÷	<u>с</u> ,	4	Jacore
(E)		ť	÷	井	井	긪	┝──┤
E2		₽9	4	위	붜	0	┝──
23	Increase regists or owned as the time receiving and the restance of the restan	₽	+	4	井	-	┝──┤
E4	Low region or ract that the more you nearly the arter you get~"	⊢	4	井	井	1	
ED		+	+	붜	븬	-	 '
10	Instanting which says that the more you many the more you are inter-	분	÷	井	븲	1	
E/		÷	4	쓌	븼	0	
100	1~the more you numy! the more/	+9	4	위	붜	0	↓
E3	Lune roger, you crey many as march as you need to intry."	┢	#	4	4	÷	┟╍╌┤
E11	Inviger una verse una more you many una more una guidar you decome."		÷	÷	÷	÷	
E	una negat or una negat or una more you ruen~ you was become lette the logit or una more	Ţ	1	1	'		! '
	There is the under Appl state.	1.	1	_		_	L
COMP10	Appeared as 24th sentence to be translated	Q	riti	nte	•		
151.#	Response	A		8	C	0	Score
E1	the decision to rebuild the library-*		1[1	1	1	
E2	the decision to rebuild the library-i know is now!/		۱Į	1	1	1	
63	the decision to rebuild the library*	Γ	۱	1	1	1	
E4	the decision to rebuild the library*	Γ	١Ī	1	1	1	
E5	[rebuilding of the library- decision the decision to rebuild the library!/	Γ	ī	1	1	1	
E6	the decision to rebuild the library*		۱ſ	1	1	1	
E7	-the library robuilding of the library/		ο	0	0	0	
E8	the decision to- rebuild the library/	Γ	ĩ	1	1	1	
E9	the decision to rebuild the library*	Γ	۱Ī	1	1	1	
E10	the decision to rebuild the library*	I	1	1	1	1	
		-				1	T
E11	the decision of the decision of rebuilding the library*	1	Ц	-11		_	<u> </u>

691	Annarrad as 5th sectors to be translated	~		-	_	-	
and an		un		۰.	_		
151.0	Kaspone	<u>A</u>		<u>-</u> C	-	-	score
EI	-by yourself: even yourself you notice your unneppress/	_0	Lo	10	4	막	0
EZ	~/	0	0				0
E3	-even yourself not realizing education becoming unhappy/	1	0	1	L	0	0
E4	-Ithe study that makes me unhappy even/ half my nose in	1	٦	Ľī	Г	ı٦	1
(E5		0	l o	C	5	οĪ	Ô
E6	-learning which!/*	1	l n	1	1	n i	0
F7	what own faction!/	-		F		ă,	Ť
Ee			۲ů	÷	4	#	
60		0	1 0	4	2	4	0
F2	ansund of thing education that -does not make you happy	1	1		4	1	1
E10	education that causes you~ not to notice suffering/causes one* not to notice suffering	1	1	1		1	1
EII	the education, -1 the education/	1	0) (0	0
692	Appended as 2nd exchange to be transitiond			-		1	_
		-	um A	•		1	•
	water and the second	<u>A</u>	-	<u>-</u> C	0	÷	score
EI	mass, won everyony mass, i guess with exercise everyony mass become desclous~1/	_1	Γa	1	4	ц	0
EZ	everyday-peoplelevery day meel/	0	0		2	0į	0
£3	everyday maal exercise of making everyday meel tasty*	1	١I	L	П	ŧГ	1
E4	exercises that make your everyday meet tasta good*	1	1	T	i I	١Ī	1
ES	-texercising everydev/	1	T n	1		nt.	0
F6	exercise which makes everythy made more that's	-	ť	÷	ť	÷	
67		<u>ب</u>	H		+	+	
E/			t,	4	4	4	0
Eð	everyary means unit become delicious exercise~//	1	10	10	2	0	0
E9	tasty exercise-everyday snack! becomes exercise becomes more tasty/	1	0		II.	0	0
E10	the exercise or practice of making everyday practice- of making delicious meal*	1	0		I	ol	0
E11	exercise exercise that makes mad more deficious every day*	1	Ti	T	it i	i	1
			<u> </u>			-	_
~ 13	Appeared as 14th sentence to be translated	Cu	ter			1	
JSL#	Response	Α.	8	С	_0		Score
E1	the person, who I guess the champion- the prize for the champion for the violin contest!*	1	Γī	Г	II.	oī	0
E2	the first prize- for the violin contest at that times is/ I think five dollars	1	11		it i	ō	
63	at the violin content the prize means for basin been number one."	÷	H	t,	÷	Ť	
EA	the net of the set of		H:	+	+	÷	
E.4	the price of or price for some rest price at the work contrast.	-	1	-	4	4	1
ES	the price for coming first in the pano contest-1/	1	11	Ľ	4	<u>1</u>	<u> </u>
E6	first prize in a violin contest-*	1	I١	Ľ	1	<u>0[</u>	0
E7	a violin at the violin contest- if you are first ! The first prizes/	1	T o		2	oĪ	0
EB	the first prize of the viole contest!/	1	T 1	1	1	ōŤ	0
E9	the prize money for getting the first in the violin concert*	i	t		÷	Ť	
510	the origin for tables, the first stars in the dalls contact!	÷	H	+	+	÷	
	the price restance as the rate place in the termination of the sales and the sales	-	H	+	4	4	
E''	the price money or the price of seconding the changeon of whithin the price money or becoming	1	יו	1	4	יי	1
L	the champion of the violan contest-1"			L	L	1	
GR4	Accessed as 12th sentence to be translated	CH		i.		T	_
151.0	Barrense			~			Fee
51	Phys. Reviews Revenues and elevations at all last algebra	۴.	۳.	Ť	Ť	÷	alure
62		닏	Ľ	+	4	4	
<u>t</u>	neuro Annonia i mant c'ant anà anana auto- dodu uni akas sua podueungi.	0	10	4	υţ.	이	0
<u>8</u>	not being able to tired not being able to sleep at all since yesterday*	1	10		ו	0	0
E4	the fatigue I have been feeling since yesterday I couldn't sleep at all*	1		Г	U	oľ	0
ES	no sloop- yesterday so eyes are very wearyshowing fatiguel/	1	10		Ы	οĪ	0
EG	thredness from not being able to sleep at all since yesterday*	Í	T	Ť	i	î	1
E7	from vesterdey didn't shape at all- so i use trad /	H	tŻ	+-	÷	it	
58	- Vincinees from i not sisseling at all vesteries from vesteries /	⊢	ť	+	+	#	
60	The sense of the s	L.	+	+	!+	4	
163	percenter I want take to steep the from being exhibiting from not being able to steep the right before"		μ	1	4	1	1
E10	the exhaustion from not elegang at all not being able to sleep at all yesterday*	1	1		1	1	1
E11	the fatigue of not getting enough sleep at all yestarday*	1		Г	ıΓ	1	1
Cat	Appendent of 74th sectors to be translated	6		4.0	-	-	
		1					-
	Response	A		<u>_</u>		4	Score
E1	the practice in order to definitely win the chees game*	1	L	L	1	1	1
E2	to win- the chees game! /	0) (o	oľ	0
E3	practicing to definitely win in the chess for the chess game*	1	T	T	١Ī	11	1
E4	practice that would let you win every chees game*	T;	ti	t i	it.	i†	
ES	practicing without fails for the chess game/	H	t	+	÷	ᆏ	
E.C.	An and the which will allow a allow analyse a characteristic tests	F;	╉	+-	+	4	
CO	To have need on the second manufacture for the second s	1	₽	4	4	ц	1
<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	In even -m we ne cues generalization.	1	10	1	01	0	
EB	-ichess/	0			0	0[0
E9	the practice you need to do to win in chase*	1	T	T	1T	1	1
E10	practice for definitely winning a game of chess*	1	T		il.	1	1
E11	the practice of being the practice the gractice of winning chass without fallow."	H	ti	t	\mathbf{t}	Ť	
	The second s		. 1	. E.	• •		

GR6	Appeared as 11th sentance to be transisted	Crit	teri		_	Γ	Τ
JSL#	Response	<u>A</u>	6	<u>-</u>	<u>D</u>	<u> Scr</u>	X
E1	from night until morning-sleep from night until morning, to be able to sleepisomething about/	<u>_</u>	Ļ٩	t,	ł	+	0
EZ	from-morning right from morning/	_0	1º	10	ا د	<u>+</u>	-9
E3	non-stagic or morning sequence of the second s	H	H	۲	H	┢	붜
65	taking a walk after waine us/after int nicht	i	ta	tö	ti	+-	-
EG	the welk which allows-steeping from right to morning!*	1	tī	Ťī	tī		Ť
E7		0	0		J.		0
E8	-last night to morning sleep!/	0	0) o	0	L	0
E9	-from the night to day ithey sleep welkers/	0	6	10	10	L	0
E10		0	10	10	19	4	0
EII	the walk, ~I/		Lo	<u>i o</u>	10	1	_0
GRZ	Appeared as 23rd sentence to be translated	Crt	ter	10	-	Γ	
1974	Response	A .	♣	<u></u>	₽	150	ore
EI	One preakrast wart nom the time i wake up-without preparing preakrast, it is ok not to prepare	l '	۱°	1'	1		0
52	provide the control of the action in the account head fact/	-	to	$\frac{1}{1}$	+	+	-
E3	from weiting up not hering the OK not to dolor having to preserve/	ō	tõ	it c	at c	<u>,†</u>	
E4	breakfast that I don't really have to prepare right after I get up*	Ĩ	Ti	1	Ti	1-	Ĩ
E5	-preparing it's good to bel good to prepare get up and prepare/breakfast	1	T	Σī	T	广	0
E6	the breakfast which I don't have to propare-after getting up*		Ŀ	Ē	\mathbf{D}	Ē	1
E7	from the time I wake tup / some preparation	0	10	10	40	1	0
EB	-after weking do not preparel a good breakfast/	Ľ	4	4	49	4	0
E9	jareakrast thet you don't have to prepare right after you wake up*	냔	무	ť	44	+-	ᆜ
E10		H	ť	÷	+	+-	ᅷ
	- Une preskrast that doesn't make to be prepare a terr waking up	÷	느	<u> </u>	<u>–</u>	╧╾	_
GRE	Appeared as 31st sentence to be translated	Cu	iter	/ie	_		
51	Nesponse	P,	7	<u>ज</u> र	ተ	1 ≈	<u>ene</u>
E7	Determine separations so since only one ago the preparations	t a	tč	31 c	17	<u>-</u>	ŏ
E3	from the predention- done from while and the separating preseration done from v: "le and"	hī	ta	5	ίtð	<u></u>	Ť
E4	the separation for which I have been planning since a long time ago*	T	T	i Ti	i Ti		ī
ES	-separation theithe separation or separated long time ago/	1	10	2	2	NT.	Ó
E6	the the parting which I have been preparing-for sometime!*		T.	1	Щ.	工	1
E7	-from before! since from before/	19	49	<u>1</u>	4.	ᆠ	0
EB		₽ª	49	4	4-	<u></u>	<u></u>
E9	The separation that have a property from way before	H	÷	÷	+	╬–	÷
F11	departing which was preserved all stores from before since while apo*	ti	t	÷	it i	it-	÷
		둪			-	+	=
	Response	1ă	R	6	: n	c	-
EI	Ithe-in the movie where you can understand the plot within the first five minutes*	Ē	лŤ	ī	ī	Ť	1
E2	-five minutes at the fleginning of the movie/	Г	t	5	i Tr	٥T	0
E3	a movie we understand the plot within the first five minutes*		\mathbf{L}	ıΓ.	Ē	١Ľ	1
E4	movies where you can get the plots within the first five minutes*		T.	<u>ا</u> ل	<u>i</u>	١Ľ	1
E5	the first five minutes of the plot in the movie-was understood very ils understood/	닏	Ψ	<u>9</u> -1	4	4_	
E6	In movie where the pict can be understood in the mist rive minutes"	부	+	Ж	╬	ᆂ	
12/	Instruction management (could understand the dat in the minutee)	╇	÷	ት	#-	ᄮ	<u></u>
69	"the move where close one states the pot in the minutes"	ti	÷	it	t	╬╴	
E10	movies where you figure out the plot in the first five minutes*	h	Ŧ	it	it	it-	<u> </u>
E11	the film where by the plot is understood in the first five minutes"	D	T	ī	1	i	1
6210	Assessed as 37th sentence to be translated	To	tte		—	Ŧ	
151.8	Response		. 8	i c	: 0	s	cone
E1	pure white shirt that got blacken in the laundry*		Ť	न	T	ī	0
E2	before -laundryithe laundry/	D	цТ.	0	0	٥	0
E3	doing the leandry of a white pure white shirt that became block-*	Ē	4	Т	Щ	1	1
E4	leandry that makes a perfectly white shirt go black*	부	4	<u>1</u>	4	<u>ц</u>	1
IES_	joure write start becomes-block lithe loundry is for shirt/	분	4	뿌	# -'	뭐-	
E0	www.wig~westingus.compartery winte start that / new tarts OBCK	╋	+	井	╬	╬	
C.	ja unigesury meut zimte gecanni unitel i a wany	╋	ť	ት	╬	╬╴	
59	the driv shirts that are summand in he united?	+7	1	٥t	ŧ٦	đ٢	
E10	laundry process that turns pure white shirts black*	Ħ	it	i	ĩ۲	ĩt-	
E11	the laundry where my white shirt- had become black*	Г	ī	ī	T	1	
		-	-	-	-		_

881	Appeared as 1st sentence to be transitied	Crie		-		Т	
151.#	Bannanse			7	•	-	
EI	music that has been used to comfort, burt people's hearts-music that has been used to comfort	1	r,	Ť	កើ	f	1
1	huff cookin's hearts/	•	' ۱	1	1	1	'
62		~		±-7	\pm	ᡮ	
63	Table that conforts the beats of panels who are built	- Ť	H	÷	1	÷	- ·
E4	manife day connected an index of people who have a waveful name!	-	H	÷	<u>+</u> -	÷	
65	make use can address the stress of spinor property recently prope	-	H	÷	+-	÷	
ice	The start of the second of the start of second seco		Ηų	÷	Ŧ	4	
27	make which booth due har har to populate	1	Ľ	\pm	4	4	
2/		-0	10	49	4-	먹-	
20		0	10	44	4	막	0
E9	song unit convorts a person with a scar in the nearty	1	닏	+	4	4	
E10	The means of or the music that soo the the nearts of milited propies	1	\Box	+	Щ.	4	1
E11	the music that comforts hearts of people that are hurt ^e	1	\Box	L	1	1	1
882	Appeared as 36th sentence to be translated	Crt	ter	10		T	
JSL#	Response		B	С	0	l Is	icore
E1	beautiful oh, in a beautiful glass box the um the doll came~ from Canada, yeah, the doll, the Canadan! so	1	١I	T	iΤ	τĪ	1
1	the doll that's in the beautiful class/ box			ł			
E2	-out inside the pretty diese boothe doll that was out inside the diese/box	ī	tī	t-	it-	it	1
E3	the doll in the doll inside of the pretty class boy"	-i	ti	+	it-	÷	- <u>i</u>
FA	the doll that's is the pretty class boy"	i	ti		÷	÷t	 ;
ES	dell in a how the doll which is put in a boxt a class a rice class/	H	H	+	÷	÷	;-
Es	The deal which was inside the proting data have	H	H	+	#	#	
67	Ture was winner was measure une pretty grave was	⊢¦	분	+	₽	井	
50		닏	ł	4	4	4	0
EB	-maintee the precty gaps son the goal	닏	Ц	4	악	이	0
E9	The peer that we were contained the class pox"		\mathbf{L}	4	Щ.	Ц.	. 1
E10	the doll that pretty doll that are in a glass box*	1	[1	1	1	1	1
EII	the doll that is inserted into or placed in the beautiful glass box*	1	1	Ľ	1	1	1
883	Appended as 15th centeries to be translated	Cr			_	T	_
151.8	Permana Basanana		R	_	· n	. I.	tenne
161	The sum the training sizes, where, there were more no mether how much monouldonated to dolo.	F,	T,	ਜੱ	πř	+	
15.	the syste the training place, while work many its marker now mach money doing and to dojo,	I '	Ľ	1	1	η.	U
	Total Gym, the training pace/	<u> </u>	ł.	╈	╋	+	
<u>E2</u>	when conserving money-for the gyme/		19	4	4	4	0
E3	ane-ane gym anet constas nowever much money!		1	4	4	이	0
E4	the dojo that I donatiid a bunch or money or some money to"	1		4	Ц.	ц	1
<u>E5</u>	the dojo the dojo which donated money or not how much/	1	11	1	Ц	이	0
E6	the training place to which I donated a sum of money~*	1	1	1	1	1	1
E7	-no metter how much Imoney /			ו	0	0	0
Eð	-how much money is idonated to the training place/	L١	T	٥Ľ	1	I	Q
E9	the dojo that you rent money to, donated money to*	1		īΤ	īΓ	1	1
E10	-1/	T d	d d	5	히	0	0
E11	~V	0	T a	٥T	٥Ť	öİ	0
			_	-	-	-	
	Appeared as 15th sentence to be transleted	C		ne			_
101.8	Kesponse	P .	-	<u> </u>	<u>; c</u>	1	Score
				4	4	4	
EZ	apprying race coernetics to the race-//	11	1	ឮ	4	4	0
E3	a racewhere make-up heavily applied/cosmatics heavily applied/*	1	1	<u>1</u>	1	<u>1</u>	1
E4	ITECOS TRICINY ISSE ON WITH COSMOCIOS"	μ	Ľ	Ц.	1	1	1
ES	the face which is made up with make-up~!*	11	1	1	1	1	1
E6	In face which a face which has make-up applied thickly~*	Ū	Ľ	١ſ	1	١Ī	1
E7	If you use comsmitter on your- face face that has cosmittee on it!/	11	I	1	1	1	1
E8	a face applied with makeup-1/	Γ	I	ī	11	1	1
E9	face plastered with make-up*	[1	T	ī	11	1	1
E10	face with cosmetics applied		I.	iŤ	π	1	1
E11	the face that has very thick make-up*	Ti	t-	it	π	1	1
		i.		+	<u> </u>		
191.2	Appeared as such sentence to be translated	q	100	116	_		-
Laux	Kesporee	4	-			24	Score
ET	The research for the past five from since the five years we started five years ago~ alone one person	1	4	막	4	1	c
	continuing the research*	L	1		⊥		_
E2	~it seems that before five years!/	10)	<u>0[</u>	0	0	0
E3	the research that's being continuing by one person or person's own from five years ago*		I	ī	1	1	1
E4	the research I kept doing alone since five years ago another word for five years*	Ľ	T	T	1	1	1
ES	the research the completed research-lone person continued the research/five years	Γ	T	٥Ľ	11	1	0
E6	the research which I have continued for five years by myself*	1		π	1	1	1
E7	five years apo- by myself / continue	T a	at i	đ٢	at	a	- 6
EB	the research has continued- for five years ago by one general/	T?	it.	ő٢	Ť	Ť	
69	the research that I have done myself for five years"	t	t	t	\dagger	÷	
FIO	that the research that the cerson has been doing slong continuing slong for the last flue verse?	+ ,	t	Ħ	÷	÷	
E11	The research which I have continued the research that is continued by one all from first years and	\mathbf{t}	÷	÷	÷	÷	
1611	The second survey of the second of the second of the contraction of the second se	1	<u> </u>	4	ᆚ		_

88.6	Assessed as 6th sentence to be translated		heri			Т	
151.8	Resource	Ā	8	c	ρ	1	core
E1	because it was cheen during student days because it was cheen during my student days the trains that i used*	7	ň	ň	т	ť	<u> </u>
107	reviewer is was singly during statemining a several in the singly during ing subtrict any singly due states UBC (department)	÷	H	H	+	+	
EE	subants subants with the Camp Camp	-	۲ů	부	₽.ª	4	0
<u>t3</u>	une uram i ortan usee wien i was a student because it was cheap"	1	\vdash	μ	\mathbf{L}	4	1
E4	the train thet I used a lot because it was cheep when I was a student*	1	1	\mathbf{u}	1	1	1
E5	-students using cheep trains often is Theppening less and less/	1	0	[]		I	0
EG	the trains which I used which I used in my student days because they were inexpensive~*	1	[I	[1	I	īΓ	1
E7		Ó	0	T	17	st-	Ó
5	the topic that I used offer- because when I use students if use cheen!/	Ť	H	t		it-	
CO	une une dest i devel une destante el presidente della superiorità della desta della desta della	÷	H	H	+	+	
EA.	une uran uner you deed because it was crimep during your suborno years.	-	Ļ	Ľ		+	1
E10	the train thet students used often because it was very cheep of student days~ the train that often used	١	11	Ľ	1	1	1
	during imy students time because it was cheap*		L	L	1.	L	
Ell	the train the train that I used often because it was cheep when I was a student/	۱	Ū	L1		I	1
	Annear d on 12th contracts to be investigat	~	-		-	T	
I SHALL	viblesiad te 15m seurence m se manificial	UT I	an c	•	_	L	
L	Response			<u>_</u>	0	1_{2}	core
El	successful-city where there are people are rich andleven poor/	1	D	11		D	0
E2	-the rich and the poor! both the rich and the poor succeed/	Ō	0			2	0
E 3	cities where both rich and the poor succeed-*	1	[]	Г		ıГ	1
FA	a city where the rich and the poor succeed?	1	Τi	1		it.	;
100	Length any land second in the city/	÷	ť	t	it i	÷	
C2		H	Ļ	+	+	+	
20	la city where eicher die nch or die poor could seccese or can success?	1	11	Ŧ	4	4	1
E7	(rich people and- poor people) To succeed to succeed in the city/	1	10	1	1	<u>1</u>	0
E8	e city where both rich and poor succeed/	1		I	II.	ıΤ	1
E9	you won't find a city where everyone succeeds*	1	[i	T		iΓ	1
E10	Citizes where both the rich and the poor succeed"	1	Ť	T	it i	it	1
611	citize where both more and rich success?	÷	ti	t		÷	;
	Teares were seen been and use another	_	<u>'</u>	1	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	
	Appeared as 28th sentence to be translated	Cr	ter	10		T	
JSL#	Response	A	8	С	D	1	Score
FI	Ithe bride and bridegroom coming out from the church, so the bride and bridegroom coming our from the church*	ī	T٢	ਸ਼	Т	ז ר	0
52	who holds and choose left the church / white framers	H	tž	÷,	H-	÷	
62	The other sharp yourn and the sed search search search set a	H-	ł	4	+	:+	
E3	Inte cruerch where the once and groom come out of		μ	1	4	4	
E4	the church into which, the church from which- the bride and the groom emerged"	1	Γ	1	1	<u>u</u>	1
E5	the bride and the groom left the church/ all over the place	1	10	N.	I	١Ī	0
E6	the church the church from which the bride and the groom come out or emerge~*	ī	T	T	1	ĩГ	1
E7	bride and arcom/					31	
5.8	-the bidle and the amoral denert and come to the church/	h	ť	÷		, t	
<u></u>	The base base are growth dependence to be the context of the conte	H;	ť	4	+	. +	
152	The current where the other and the ondergrown came der."	H	t i	+	4-	4	
E10	The church where the bride and the bridegroom came out"	니	μ	4	4-	1	1
E11	the church where the bride and the bridegroom came out"	1	11	1	1	1	1
	Amount on ARb and and a be builded	C-		-		T	
		ľ			. ~	J.	.
	Nesponse	P.	8	<u>с</u>	0	÷,	SCOL
EI	I the reporter and the patrol car- going toward the hospital?	\square	1	1	1	1	
E2	-with the patrol cartwith the reporter/is going toward the hospital		1	2	١Ţ	1	0
E3	the hospital- facing toward the reporterland the petrol car/	1	T	T	1	٥ľ	0
FA	The hospital the hospital toward which the reporter and the police cars were headed*	T i	T	Ť	1	11	-
55	Manalitat/	t i	17	1	d -	, †	
60	The period of the the the second and the set of any uses based at	H	ť	Ŧ	*	井	
20	The methods on which the the laborance and the hearth care meta teacher.	⊢	+	4	4	4	
E7	The reporter I with the petrol car went toward the nosarcal/	\mathbf{L}	4	빅	4	Ц	
Eð	[hetrol car goes toward hospital/	LÌ		0	1	1[
E9	the hospital to which the reporters and the police cars were going to*		Г	T	١Ľ	١ſ	1
E10	the hospital that the reportans and the patrol cars arrive at*	1	T	١Ē	11	ōT	(
611	The boastial the boastial where the recorders and the cating cars are beading towards are coins towards."	H	t	t	it	it	-
<u> </u>	Tara medaran ara makumi kuma ara mbarana mir ara kangi gan matukuk manana ara Anuk manana.	Ľ	-	1	÷Ļ	1	
10	Appeared as 21st sentence to be transisted		ite	rin		Ĩ	
JSL#	Response		8	0	: 0	s k	Scon
EI	The severe that I used to ease through every day when I use small*	T i	T	ī	ก	1	
62	- Interneting /	tż	1	nt.	i t	<u>it</u>	
63	I wroten puter /	ł	Ŧ	4	#	井	
103	le sevene une ment i men site person unorgin every day	┣-'	+	4	+	井	
E4	The square that I went through or passed everyday when I was a kid"	11	ų ľ	4	4	ц	
E5	~passwey building the square everyday/	L		D	<u> </u>	0	
E6	the square I took everyday as a child*		Ţ	١T	1	o	
E7	-svervdev looks its evervdev/	1	л,	٥Ť	٥Ľ	o	(
CR	the source i second everyday when i was vound/	t	t.	it	Ť	Ť	
50	I and adverte a benefitie a state in the sta	┢	÷	÷	÷	÷	
1.00		. 1		41	1		
	when I was ready small the field that I always passed everyoby"	+	-				
E10	The the square that I passed almost every day near during my childhood times*	Ē	t	1	1	1	
E10 E11	when I was really small the fast that I always passed everyday" the the square that I passed almost every day near during my childhood times" the square where I passe by everyday when I was young the squre I passed by almost everyday when I was little"	ļ			1	1	

Strategy 1	The opinion that if you are woman you should be in the house
Relation	The opinion that if you are woman you need to stay in the nouse
Unspecified	The opinion that women has to stay in the house
-	Opinion that if you are women you should stay in the home
	The logic that the more you hurry the later you get
	The logic you only hurry as much as you need to hurry
	······································
	The desire to see inside the room
	My desire to see the inside of the room no matter what
	My wish to see inside the room at any cost
	The urge to see inside the room ("used twice")
	The desire to absolutely see the inside of the room no matter what
	Endurance to keep on reading the same thing
	Endurance to keep reading the same work of literature
	The patience to keep reading the same piece
	The petition to increase the playground that kids are playing
	Petition to increase the number of playground for children
	The petition to increase the number of children's playground
Į	The decision to rebuild the library ("used nine times")
	The decision of rebuilding the library
	Phone call winning tennis match
	The patience reading the same thing
	To endure reading of the same work
Strategy 2	Dhone call sating that comeone work a final tennic match
Apposition	The telephone call saving that someone won the tennis match
Abbanon	The phone call alerting the victory that they had in tennis
	Questions asking if the Christmas presents are there
	An opinion expressing that woman must be in the house
	A netition worrying to increase the number of the playerounds for kids
	The netition requesting the increase in the playarounds for children
	The petition calling for increasing children's playeround
	The period carrier for the reasing children's playground
	A phone call reparding winning the tennis finals
	Advertisement regarding second hand niano for sale
	A vorusement regarding second name proto for sure
	Phone call which tells about winning the tennis final
	Advertisement that say that they are selling old pianos
	The advertisement that says there are second hand mianos for sale
	The question which asks if there are Christmas presents
	The opinion which says that if you are a woman you should be in the house
	I one that says to be late or humy
{	Beasoning which save that the more you hursy the more you are late
	Logic that says the more you hursy the more later you become
	Logic that says the more you nully the more later you become
	A question as to whether there are Christmas presents
ł	A phone call to the effect that someone won the tennis final
	Advertisement with regard to the sale of used piano
Strategy 3	An advertisement which sells second hand plano
Relative	Advertisement that sell second hand nianos

8A. Correct Translation for COMP According to Strategies

Clause	The memory that I have about playing naked in the snow			
Strategy 4	-			
Wh Adverb				
Strategy 5	The phone call of winning the tennis championship			
Preposition/	Question of whether or not there were Christmas presents			
Prepositional	The question of asking whether there are Christmas presents			
Phrase	The opinion of if being a woman one should stay home			
	The desire of one way of another seeing the inside the room			
	The wish of no matter ho much you want to see the room			
	Memories of playing naked on the snow			
	My memory of playing naked on the snow			
	Memories of playing in the snow			
	The memory of playing on top of the snow naked			
	The memory of playing naked in the snow			
	The memories of playing on top of the snow naked			
	The memories of playing in the snow naked			
	The memories of playing naked on the snow			
	The logic of being late no matter how much you hurry			
	The logic of the more you rush you will become late			
	The patience for reading bad writing			
	The advertisement for selling second hand pianos			
	The advertisement for selling a used piano			
	The phone call about winning the tennis final			
	The advertisement about selling the piano			
	Questions about there are going to be Christmas presents or not			
	Questions about what if there are Christmas presents			
	Questions about whether there are Christmas presents			
Strategy 6	-			
Prepositional				
Head				

8B. Correct Translation for CN According to Strategies

Strategy 1 Relation	The reason I didn't come to the piano lessons The side grandfather reading the newspaper		
Unspecified	The noise that the bus passing down the main street		
	(The) study or hard work to become a useful member of society		
	Study to become a useful member of society		
	Studying to become a useful member of society		
Strategy 2	•		
Apposition			
Strategy 3	A study which will allow one to become a member and is useful in society		
Relative			
Clause			
Strategy 4	The reason why I didn't go to the piano lessons ("used twice")		
Wh Adverb	The reason why I didn't go to my piano lesson ("used twice")		
	The reason why I don't go to the piano lesson		
	The reason why I didn't go to the piano lesson		
	The side where the grandfather was reading the magazine		
	The area where elementary students were gathered noisily		
	The area where noisy elementary school children are gathered		

,

	Somewhere the elementary students gathered noisily		
	The sound when the buses pass the main street		
Strategy 5	The result of eating too much at the restaurant vesterday		
Preposition/	The result of overeating at the restaurant vesterday		
Prepositional	The results of eating too much yesterday in a restaurant		
Phrase	The result of overeating yesterday in a restaurant		
	The results of eating too much at the restaurant yesterday ("used twice")		
	The result of yesterday's restaurant overeating		
	The reason of not going to the piano lesson		
	The side of old men who are reading magazines		
	The sound of the bus going through the main street		
	The sound of buses passing on the main audry		
	The sound of the bus passing the main street		
	The noise of the bus passing through main street		
	The noise of the bus passing through the main street		
	The morning of having stayed in Kyoto after a long time		
	The morning of having stayed in Tokyo overnight after a long time		
	The reason for not going to piano lessons		
	The reason for not going to the piano lesson		
	The reason for not going to the piano lesson		
	Study for becoming a useful member of the society		
	The sound from the buses that are on the main street		
Strategy 6	Just the moment before the plane left for Asia		
Prepositional Head	Just a moment before the plane to Asia took off		
	The moment prior to the plane departing for Asia		
	The moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia		
	The space between the two white cars racing		
	Somewhere around the noisy elementary school kids are gathering		
	Somewhere around where elementary students noisily gathered		
	Somethere around with the elementary students are gathered		
	The backside of the building that was built		
	Backside of the big built building		
	As a result of the fact that I ate too much at the restaurant yesterday		
	Due to the fact I overate at yesterday's restaurant		
	By a side of old men who are reading magazines		
	To the side of the old guy who is reading the magazine		
	The study of becoming a useful member of the society		
	Before the plane to Asia took off		
	Before I left for Asia		
	Between the two white cars that are running		
	in between the two cars that were running		
	Beside the elderly man who was reading a magazine		
	Beside old guy reading a magazine		
	Around the place where a bunch of elementary students are gathering		
	Around the place where the elementary school students are having lun Debind where the big building may build		
1	Definition where the org outloing was outli Definition the big building that was just built		
1	Definite the big building that was just built On the backeide of the big building built		
	On the paraside of the ofk onlight only		

 Right before the airplane departed for Asia
Right before the plane going to Asia took off
More prior to the plane's departure for Asia
Just before the departure of the plane in Asia

8C. Correct	Translation	for RR A	According to	o Strategies

Strategy 1	•
Relation	
Unspecified	
Strategy 2	•
Apposition	
Strategy 3	Music that has been used to comfort hurt people's hearts
Relative	Music that comforts the hearts of people who are hurt
Clause	Music that can sooth the soled of injured people
1	Music which sooth the hurt heart of people
	Song that comforts a person with a scar in their hearts
	The music that sooth the hearts of injured people
	He music that comforts hearts of people that are hurt
	The doll that's in the beautiful glass box
{	The doll that was put inside the glass box
	The doll that's in the pretty glass box
	The doll which is put in a glass box
	The doll which was inside the pretty glass box
	Pretty doll that are in a glass box
	The doll that is inserted into or place in the beautiful glass box
	The beautiful doll inside the glass box*
}	The doll inside of the pretty glass box*
	The doio that I donated some money to
	The doio that you donated money to
	The training place to which I donated a sum of money**
	The face that is not wearing any make
	The face which is made up with make-up
	A face which has make-up applied thickly
	Face that has cosmetics on it
	The face that has very thick make-up
	A face applied with make-up*
	Face plastered with make-up*
	Faces thickly laid on with cosmetics*
	The research that's being continuing by one person
	The research I kept doing alone since five years ago
	The research which I have continued for five years by myself
	The research that I have done myself for five years
	The research that the person has been doing along for last five years
	The research that is continued by oneself from five years ago
	The train I often used when I was a student because it was cheap
	The train that I used a lot because it was cheap when I was a student
	The trains which I used in my student days because they were inexpensive
	The train that I used often because when I was a student it was cheap
	The train that you used because it was cheap during your students years
	The train that students used often because it was very cheap
	The train that I used often because it was cheap when I was a student
	The church from which the bride and the groom emerged**
	The church from which the bride the groom come out**
	The hospital toward which the reporter and the police cars were headed**

	The hospital to which the reporters and the patrol cars were headed**			
	The hospital to which the reporters and the police cars were going to**			
İ	The square I used to pass through every day when I was small			
	The square that when I was small passed ghrough every day			
	The square that I went through or passed everyday when I was a kid			
	The square I passed everyday when I was young			
	The field that I always passed everyday			
	The square that I passed almost every day during my childhood times			
Strategy 4	A face where make-up heavily applied			
Wh Adverb	Cities where both rich and the poor succeed			
	A city where the rich and the poor succeed			
	A city where either the rich or the poor could succeed			
	A city where both rich and poor succeed			
	A city where everyone succeeds			
	Citics where both the rich and the poor succeed			
	Cities where both poor and rich succeed			
	The church where the bride and the bridegroom came out of			
	The church where the bride and the bridegroom came out ("used three times")			
	The hospital where the reporters and the patrol cars are heading towards			
	The square where I pass by every day when I was young			
Strategy 5	Face with cosmetics applied			
Preposition/				
Prepositional				
Phrase				
Strategy 6				
Prepositional				
Head				
Note #These two	a menonical ware understand as induced relatives. ##"The menosition "to" introduces			

<u>Note.</u> *These two responses were understood as reduced relatives. **'The preposition 'to' introduces relative clause in this reponse. Because 'to' is a part of the verb phrase in the modifying clause, this reponse was understood as a relative clause.

Strategy 1	Practicing to definitely win in the chess game
Relation	
Unspecified	
Strategy 2	•
Apposition	
Strategy 3	Education that does not make you happy
Relative	Education that causes you not to notice suffering
Clause	The study that makes me unhappy even half my nose in
	Exercises that make your everyday meal taste good
	Exercise which makes everyday meals more tasty
	Exercise that makes meal more delicious every day
	Practice that would let you win every chess game
	A practice which will allow winning a chess game without fail
	The practice you need to do to win in chess
	A leasurely walk that will let you sleep from night to morning
	The walk which allow sleeping from night to morning
	Breakfast that I don't really have to prepare right after I get up
	The breakfast which I don't have to prepare after getting up
	Breakfast that you don't have to prepare right after you wake up
	The breakfast that doesn't have to be prepared after waking up
	Breakfast that does not need preparation once you wakeup
	The separation for which I have been planning since a long time ago*

8D. Correct Translation for GR According to Strategies

	The parting which I have been preparing for sometime
1	The separation that have I prepared from way before
	The breakup that I have been preparing for a long time
	Departing which was prepared all along from before since while ago
ļ	A movie we understand the plot within the first five minutes
	The movie that I don't understand the plot for the first five minutes
	Laundry that makes a perfectly white shirt go black
	Washing that makes pure white T-shirt become black
	Laundry process that turns pure white shirts black
	Washing a completely white shirt that has turn black**
Strategy 4	The movie where you can understand the plot within the first five minutes
Wh Adverb	Movies where you can get the plots within the first five minutes
	A movie where the plot can be understood in the first five minutes
	The movie where I could understand the plot in five minutes
	Movie where you figure out the plot in the first five minutes
	The film where by the plot is understood in the first five minutes
	The laundry where my white shirt had become black
Strategy 5	Exercise of making everyday meal tasty
Preposition/	The prize of becoming the champion
Prepositional	The fatigue of not getting enough sleep at all vesterday
Phrase	The practice of winning chess without failure
	A laundry of a pure white shirt that became black
	The prize money for having been number one
	The prize for being first place at the violin contest
	The prize for coming first in the piano contest
	The prize money for getting the first in the violin contest
	The prize for taking the first place in the violin contest
	Practice for definitely winning a game of chess
	The fatigue from not sleeping at all last night
	Tiredness from not being able to sleep at all since yesterday
1	Tiredness from not sleeping at all from yesterday
	Being exhausted from not being able to sleep the night before
	The exhaustion from not sleeping at all yesterday
	The practice in order to definitely win the chess game
Strategy 6	•
Prepositional	
Head	

<u>Note</u>. *'The preposition 'to' introduces relative clause in this reponse. Because 'for' is a part of the verb phrase in the modifying clause, this reponse was understood as a relative clause. **The head 'washing' and the modifier 'a completely white shirt that has turn black' are not related by relative clause in this case. However, 'a completely shite shirt' and 'washing' are related via grammatical relation, which is a characteristics of the relation between the head noun and the modifying clause in English relative clause; therefore, this reponse was classified as a case of relative clause.

Appendix 9. Correct Translation for Each Item

9A. Respor	nses for Translation of RR Stimuli and Analyses for the Responses Involving
Change in t	the Original Predicate-Argument Structures (in bald face)

Head Noun	Case marker that the	Stimuli and Responses
	head noun carries in	- amon mis resperses
	relation with the	
	clausal predicate*	
	(thematic role)	
	Argument Relative/	
	Adjunct PP	
	Relative**	
'music'	GA (agent)	Stimulus: [comforts hurt person's mind] music
ongaku	Argument Relative	Responses:
-		Music that has been used to comfort hurt people's hearts
		Music that comforts the hearts of people who are hurt
		Music that can sooth the soles of injured people
		Music which sooth the hurt heart of people
		Song that comforts a person with a scar in their hearts
		The music that sooth the hearts of injured people
		He music that comforts hearts of people that are hurt
'doll'	GA (theme of	Stimulus: [exists in pretty glass box] doll
ningyoo	existence)	Responses:
	Argument Relative	The doll that's in the beautiful glass box
		The doll that was put inside the glass box
		The doll that's in the pretty glass box
		The doll which is put in a glass box
		The doll which was inside the pretty glass box
		Pretty doll that are in a glass box
		The doll that is inserted into or place in the beautiful glass box
l		The beautiful doll inside the glass box
		The doll inside of the pretty glass box
'exercise	NI (recipient)	Stimulus: [(I) donated some money] exercise hall
hail'	Argument Relative	Responses:
doojoo		The dojo that I donated some money to
1		The training place to which I donated a sum of money
		The dojo that you donated money to
'face'	NI (benefactive)	Stimulus: [cosmetics is thickly applied] [ace
kao	Argument Relative	Responses:
1		The face that is not wearing any make
		The face which is made up with make-up
}		A face which has make-up applied thickly
		Face that has cosmetics on it
		The face that has very thick make-up
i		A face where make-up heavily applied
ł		A face applied with make-up
İ		Face plastered with make-up
ļ		Faces thickly laid on with cosmetics
	1	Face with cosmetics applied

'meanh'	O (object of nativity)	Stimulue: [since five years and (]) have continued alone) meant
kenkyuu	Argument Relative	Responses:
· · · · · ·		The research that's being continuing by one person
		The research I kept doing alone since five years ago
		The research which I have continued for five years by myself
		The research that I have done myself for five years
		The research that the person has been doing along for last five
		years
		The research that is continued by oneself from five years ago
'train'	O (patient)	Stimulus: [during student days (I) often used because it was cheap]
densha	Argument Relative	train
		Kesponses:
		The train I often used when I was a student because it was cheap
		i ne train that i used a lot because it was cheap when i was a student
		The trains which Lused in my student days because they were
		inexpensive
		The train that I used often because when I was a student it was
		cheap
5		The train that you used because it was cheap during your students
		years
		The train that students used often because it was very cheap
		The train that I used often because it was cheap when I was a
		student
'city'	DE (location of	Stimulus: [both the rich and the poor succeed] city
toshi	action)	Responses:
	Adjunct PP Relative	Cities where both rich and the poor succeed
		A city where the rich and the poor succeed
		A city where either the rich or the poor could succeed
		A city where both rich and poor succeed
		A city where everyone succeeds
		Cities where both noor and rich succeed
'church'		Stimulus: [the bride and the groom came out] church
kvookai	Adjunct PP Relative	Beenvaces.
		The church where the bride and the bridegroom came out of
		The church where the bride and the bridegroom came out ("used
		three times")
		The church from which the bride and the groom emerged
		The church from which the bride the groom come out
'hospital'	E (direction)	Stimulus: [the reporter and the patrol car headed toward] hospital
byooin	Adjunct PP Relative	Responses:
	-	The hospital toward which the reporter and the police cars were
		headed
}		The hospital to which the reporters and the patrol cars were headed
		The hospital to which the reporters and the police cars were going
]		to
1		The hospital where the reporters and the patrol cars are heading
	l	towards

.

'square' hiroba	O (path) Adjunct PP Relative	Stimulus: [(1) passed every day when young] square Responses:
		The square I used to pass through every day when I was small
		The square that when I was small passed through every day
		The square that I went through or passed everyday when I was a
		kid
		The square I passed everyday when I was young
ł		The field that I always passed everyday
		The square that I passed almost every day during my childhood
1		times
		The square where I pass by every day when I was young

Note. The pair of square brackets indicates the location of the modifying clause. The underline marks the head noun. The pair of parentheses indicates the element that was not overtly expressed in the stimuli. The obvious non linguistic element such as 'uh' and 'um' and repetition and rephrasing in the responses are eliminated here. The complete written transcript of the stimuli including incorrect reponses is in Appendix 7. *The classification of the head noun is based on Matsumoto (1997). **The distinction of Argument Relative/Adjunct PP Relative is based on Yamashita (1995).

Analyses for the RR responses involving change in the original predicateargument structures. The first case is where 'music' was the head noun of the RR (Music that has been used to comfort hurt people's hearts). In the stimuli 'the music that comforts the hurt person's mind', the original structure was 'comfort (music, hurt person's mind).' This predicate- argument structure was changed with a new verb 'use' as 'music that has been used to comfort hurt people's hearts' where a new verb 'use' was employed and which took 'music' as direct object.

The second case is where 'train' was the head noun of the RR (The train that students used often because it was very cheap). The original structure 'use ((I), train),' in which 'I' was not explicit, was changed to 'use (students, train),' in which the originally missing subject was recovered by the most plausible expression 'students' yielding 'the train that students used often because it was very cheap.' In this case, the structure is not quite changed; only the lexical item was changed.

Head Noun	Semantics of Head Noun*	Stimuli and Responses
'phone call' denwa	Communication	Stimulus: [saying** (someone) won the tennis finals] phone call Responses: Phone call saying that someone won a final tennis match The telephone call saying that someone won the tennis match Phone call which tells about winning the tennis final A phone call to the effect that someone won the tennis final The phone call about winning the tennis final Phone call winning tennis match The phone call of winning the tennis championship The phone call alerting the victory that they had in tennis A phone call gearding winning the tennis finals

<u>9B.</u>	Responses	for Translation	of COMP Sti	muli and Ar	alvses for the	Responses
Inv	olving Chan	ge in the Origin	al Predicate-	Argument S	tructures (in b	ald face)

	T
'advertisem Communication	Stimulus: [saying (someone) is selling the second hand piano]
ent	adverusement
KOOKOKU	Responses:
	Advertisement that say that they are selling old planos
	The advertisement that says there are second hand planos for sale
	An advertisement which sells second hand plano
	Advertisement that sell second hand planos
	The advertisement for selling second hand planos
	The advertisement for selling a used plano
	The advertisement about selling the piano
	Advertisement regarding second hand piano for sale
	Advertisement with regard to the sale of used piano
'question' Communication	Stimulus: [saying there are Christmas presents] question
shitumon	Responses:
	Questions about there are going to be Christmas presents or not
	Questions about what if there are Christmas presents
	Questions about whether there are Christmas presents
	The question which asks if there are Christmas presents
	Questions asking if the Christmas presents are there
	Question of whether or not there were Christmas presents
	The question of asking whether there are Christmas presents
	A question as to whether there are Christmas presents
'opinion' Communication	Stimulus: [saying if (you are)women, (you)should stay home]
iken	opinion
	Responses:
	The opinion that if you are woman you should be in the house
	The opinion that if you are woman you need to stay in the nouse
	The opinion that women has to stay in the house
	Opinion that if you are women you should stay in the home
	The opinion which says that if you are a woman you should be in
	the house
	An opinion expressing that woman must be in the house
	The opinion of if being a woman one should stay home
'wish' Thought and feeling	Stimulus: [saying (I) want to see inside the room no matter what]
vokubou	desire
	Responses:
	The desire to see inside the room
	My desire to see the inside of the room no matter what
	My wish to see inside the room at any cost
	The urge to see inside the room ("used twice")
	The desire to absolutely see the inside of the room no matter what
	The desire of one way of another seeing the inside the room
	The wish of no matter ho much you want to see the room
'endurance' Thought and feeling	Stimulus: ((you) keep reading the same work even reluctantly)
gaman	endurance
G	Responses:
	Endurance to keep on reading the same thing
	Endurance to keep reading the same work of literature
	The patience to keep reading the same piece
	The patience reading the same thing
	To endure reading of the same work
	The patience for reading bad writing

'memory omoide	Other content taking noun	Stimulus: [(1) played naked on the snow]memory Responses:
		Memories of playing naked on the snow
		My memory of playing naked on the snow
		Memories of playing in the snow
		The memory of playing on top of the snow naked
		The memory of playing naked in the snow
		The memories of playing on top of the snow naked
		The memories of playing in the snow naked
		The memories of playing naked on the snow
		The memory that I have about playing naked in the snow
'plea'	Other content taking	Stimulus: [saying (we) should increase the number of children's
uttae	noun	playgrounds]plea
		Responses:
		The petition to increase the playground that kids are playing
		Petition to increase the number of playground for children
1		The petition to increase the number of children's playground
		A petition worrying to increase the number of the playgrounds for
ļ		kids
l		The petition requesting the increase in the playgrounds for children
		The petition calling for increasing children's playground
'logic'	Other content taking	Stimulus: [saying the more you hurry the more you are late] logic
rikutsu	noun	Responses:
		Logic that says to be late or hurry
1		Reasoning which says that the more you hurry the more you are
		late
		Logic that says the more you hurry the more later you become
		The logic that the more you hurry the later you get
		The logic you only hurry as much as you need to hurry
1		The logic of being late no matter how much you hurry
	<u> </u>	The logic of the more you rush you will become late
'decision'	Other content taking	Stimulius: [saying (we) are doing the rebuilding of the library]
kettei	noun	decision
		Responses:
		The decision to rebuild the library ("used nine times")
	_1	The decision of rebuilding the library

<u>Note.</u> The pair of square brackets indicates the location of the modifying clause. The head noun is underlined. The pair of parentheses indicates the element that was not overtly expressed in the stimuli. Obvious non linguistic elements such as 'uh' and 'um' and repetition and rephrasing in the responses were eliminated. The complete written transcript of the stimuli including incorrect reponses is in Appendix 7. *The classification of the head noun is based on Matsumoto (1997). **'saying' refers to to-yuu (quotative marker-say) in Japanese.

Analyses for the COMP responses involving change in the original predicateargument structures. The first two (An advertisement which sells second hand piano, Advertisement that sell second hand pianos) were associated with the material with 'advertisement' as the head noun. The original structure was 'sell ((someone), second hand piano),' where 'someone' was not explicit and 'advertisement' was not included in the proposition with 'sell' as a predicate. In the two output, this predicate structure was changed to 'sell (advertisement, second hand piano).' The original head noun 'an advertisement' was used also as a head noun of the relative clause, and the head noun was the subject of the verb 'sell' which took 'second hand piano' as a direct object, yielding 'an advertisement which sells second hand piano, advertisement that sell second hand pianos.'

The third case (The memory that I have about playing naked in the snow) was associated with the stimuli with 'memory' as the head noun. The original predicateargument structure was 'play ((I), snow),' where 'I' was missing and the head noun 'memory' was not included. In the output, this structure was changed to 'have (I, memory)' as in 'the memory that I have about playing naked in the snow.' The head noun 'memory' was used as a direct object of the new verb 'have.'

Head Noun	Semantics of Head Noun*	Stimuli and Responses
'result' kekka	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [(1) overate yesterday at the restaurant] <u>result</u> Responses:
		The result of eating too much at the restaurant yesterday
		The result of overeating at the restaurant yesterday
		The results of eating too much yesterday in a restaurant
	ļ	The result of overeating yesterday in a restaurant
		The results of eating too much at the restaurant yesterday ("used twice")
		As a result of the fact that I ate too much at the restaurant yesterday
		Due to the fact I overate at yesterday's restaurant
L		The result of yesterday's restaurant overeating
'reason' rivuu	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [(1) did not go to the piano lessons] reason Responses:
		The reason why I didn't go to the piano lessons ("used twice")
Į		The reason why I didn't go to my piano lesson ("used twice")
		The reason why I don't go to the piano lesson
		The reason why I didn't go to the piano lesson
		The reason for not going to piano lessons
		The reason for not going to the piano lesson
		The reason for not going to the piano lesson
		The reason of not going to the piano lesson
		The reason I didn't come to the piano lessons
'the moment	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [the airplane bound to Asia left] the moment before Responses:
before'		Before the plane to Asia took off
chokuzen		Before I left for Asia
		Right before the airplane departed for Asia
		Right before the plane going to Asia took off
		Just the moment before the plane left for Asia
		Just a moment before the plane to Asia took off
		The moment prior to the plane departing for Asia
		More prior to the plane's departure for Asia
		Just before the departure of the plane in Asia
		The moment prior to the plane's departure for Asia
the space between'	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [two white cars were running] the space between Responses:
aida		Between the two white cars that are running
		The space between the two white cars racing
		In between the two cars that were running

<u>9C.</u>	Responses	for Transl	ation of CN	Stimuli and	Analyses	for the R	lesponses	Involving
Cha	ange in the	Original Pr	edicate-Arg	ument Struc	tures (in b	ald face))	

'the space next to' yoko	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [the old man was reading the magazine] the space next to Responses: Beside the elderly man who was reading a magazine Beside old guy reading a magazine By a side of old men who are reading magazines To the side of the old guy who is reading the magazine The side grandfather reading the newspaper The side of old men who are reading magazines The side of old men who are reading magazines The side where the grandfather was reading the magazine
'the space around' atari	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [the elementary students were noisily gathering] the space around Responses: Around the place where a bunch of elementary students are gathering Around the place where the elementary school students are having fun Somewhere around the noisy elementary school kids are gathering Somewhere around where elementary students noisily gathered The area where clementary students were gathered noisily The area where noisy elementary school children are gathered Somewhere around with the elementary students are gathered Somewhere the elementary students are gathered
'the space behind' urabawa	Relational head noun	Stimulus: [the big building was built] the space behind Responses: Behind where the big building was built Behind the big building that was just built On the backside of the big building built The backside of the building that was built Backside of the big built building
'sound' oto	Perception head noun	Stimulus: [the big bus were passing the main road] <u>sound</u> Responses: The sound of the bus going through the main street The sound of buses passing on the main audry The sound of the bus passing the main street The noise of the bus passing through main street The noise of the bus passing through the main street The noise that the bus passing down the main street The sound from the buses that are on the main street The sound when the buses pass the main street
ʻstudyʻ benkyoo	Perception head noun	Stimulus: [(you) become a useful member of the society] <u>study</u> Responses: (The) study or hard work to become a useful member of society Study to become a useful member of society Studying to become a useful member of society Study for becoming a useful member of the society The study of becoming a useful member of the society A study which will allow one to become a member and is useful in society
'morning' asa	Quasi-relational head noun	Stimulus: [after a long while (1) stayed in Kyoto] morning Responses: The morning of having stayed in Kyoto after a long time The morning of having stayed in Tokyo overnight after a long time

Note. The pair of square brackets indicates the location of the modifying clause. The head noun is underlined. The pair of parentheses indicates the element that was not overtly expressed in the stimuli.

Obvious non linguistic elemenst such as 'uh' and 'um' and repetition and rephrasing in the responses were eliminated. The complete written transcript of the stimuli including incorrect reponses is in Appendix 7. *The classification of the head noun is based on Matsumoto (1997).

Analyses for the CN responses involving change in the original predicateargument structures. There was only one case that went through the reorganization (A study which will allow one to become a member and is useful in society). The original argument structure was 'become ((you), useful member of the society),' where 'you' was not explicit, and the head noun 'study' was not included. This structure was changed to 'allow (study, (become (one, useful member of the society)).' In the actual output (A study which will allow one to become a member and is useful in society), 'study,' the original head noun of CN, was used as a head noun of the relative clause. The head noun was the subject of the new verb 'allow' which took 'one to become a useful member of the society' as the complement.

<u>9D. Responses for Translation of GR Stimuli and Analyses for the Responses Involving</u> Change in the Original Predicate-Argument Structures (in bald face)

Head Noun	Relation between	Stimuli and Responses
	[Modifier]and Head*	
'education'	[consequence]	Stimulus: [without noticing yourself (you) become unhappy]
gakumon	condition	education
-		Responses:
		Education that does not make you happy
		Education that causes you not to notice suffering
		The study that makes me unhappy even half my nose in
'exercise'	[consequence]	Stimulus: [every day meals become tasty] exercise
undoo	condition	Responses:
		Exercises that make your everyday meal taste good
		Exercise which makes everyday meals more tasty
		Exercise that makes meal more delicious every day
		Exercise of making everyday meal tasty
'prize	[condition]	Stimulus: [(1) came the first at the violin contest] prize money
money'	consequence	Responses:
shookin	-	The prize money for having been number one
	ł	The prize for being first place at the violin contest
	1	The prize for coming first in the piano contest
	1	The prize money for getting the first in the violin contest
		The prize for taking the first place in the violin contest
		The prize of becoming the champion
'fatigue'	[condition]	Stimulus: [(I) couldn't sleep at all since yesterday] fatigue
tsukare	consequence	Responses:
		The fatigue from not sleeping at all last night
		Tiredness from not being able to sleep at all since yesterday
		Tiredness from not sleeping at all from yesterday
		Being exhausted from not being able to sleep the night before
		The exhaustion from not sleeping at all yesterday
	1	The fatigue of not getting enough sleep at all yesterday

	r	
'practice' <i>renshuu</i>	[purpose] <u>requisite</u>	Stimulus: [(I) win chess games withoug fail] <u>practice</u> Responses:
		Practice that would let you win every chess game
		A practice which will allow winning a chess game without fail The practice you need to do to min in chess
		The practice you need to do to win in chess Practice for definitely winning a same of chess
		The practice of winning cless without failure
		Practicing to definitely win in the chess game
		The practice in order to definitely win the chess game
'walk'	[purpose] requisite	Stimulus: [(I) can sleep from night to morning] walk
sanpo		Responses:
		A leasurely walk that will let you sleep from night to morning
there be and	f	I ne waik which allow sleeping from hight to morning
chooshaku	(requisite) <u>purpose</u>	Sumulus: ((1) don't have to do preparation after waking
Chooshoka		Responses:
		Responses. Breakfast that I don't really have to prepare right after I get
		The breakfast which I don't have to prepare after getting up
		Breakfast that you don't have to prepare right after you wake
		up
		The breakfast that doesn't have to be prepared after waking
		UP Breakfest that does not need preparation once you wake up
'separation'	Irequisite purpose	Stimulus: Ipreparation has been done from long time
wakare	frederone) transform	agolseparation
		Responses:
		The separation for which I have been planning since a long
		time ago
		The parting which I have been preparing for sometime
		The breakup that I have been prepared from way before
		Departing which was prepared all along from before since
		while ago
'movie'	[part] whole	Stimulus: [the plot becomes understandable/ (you) understand the
eiga		plot in the first five minutes] movie
		Responses:
		The movie where you can understand the plot within the first five
[Movies where you can get the plots within the first five minutes
		A movie where the plot can be understood in the first five minutes
		The movie where I could understand the plot in five minutes
		Movie where you figure out the plot in the first five minutes
		The film where by the plot is understood in the first five minutes
		A movie we understand the plot within the first five minutes
flour-d?	[avant] usual same f	The movie that I don't understand the plot for the first five minutes
sentativ	[event] <u>usual cause of</u>	Sumuus: la purc white shirt becomes blackj laundry Responses:
JEMUAN	AND OTHE CALIFY	Laundry that makes a norfactly white chirt on black
ļ		Washing that makes pure white T-shirt become black
		Laundry process that turns pure white shirts black
}		The laundry where my white shirt had become black
		A laundry of a pure white shirt that became black
L	1	Washing a completely white shirt that has turn black

186

•

<u>Note</u>. The pair of square brackets indicates the location of the modifying clause. The head noun is underlined. The pair of parentheses indicates the element that was not overtly expressed in the stimuli. Obvious non linguistic elemenst such as 'uh' and 'um' and repetition and rephrasing in the responses were eliminated. The complete written transcript of the stimuli including incorrect reponses is in Appendix 8. *The classification of the relation between the modifying clause and the head noun is based on Matsumoto (1997).

Analyses for the GR responses involving change in the original predicateargument structures. The first two stimuli with the head nouns 'education' and 'exercise' are examples where the modifying clause and the head noun are related on the basis of consequence and condition. The head noun denotes a condition, and its consequence is denoted by the modifying clause.

In both stimuli, the verb used was *naru* (become) in intransitive use.ⁱⁱ In the responses in bold face for both stimuli, the transitive verbs 'make' or 'cause,' which take the head noun as subjects or maker/ causer, were used. Particularly, in the responses to the first stimulus with 'education' (Education that does not make you happy, Education that causes you not to notice suffering, The study that makes me unhappy even half my nose in), the thematic relation between the head noun and the clausal predicate was established by changing the original predicate structure. Originally it was 'become ((you), unhappy),' and the new structure is 'make (education, become ((you) unhappy)),' where a new verb 'make' governs the noun 'education' so that the head noun 'education' has a thematic relation with the verb in the relative clause. In the responses to the second stimulus with 'exercise' (Exercises that make your everyday meal taste good, Exercise which makes everyday meals more tasty, Exercise that makes meal more delicious every day), a similar change was made. 'Become (everyday meal, tasty)' originally canged to 'make (exercise' as an argument.

The second stimulus, with 'exercise' as the head noun, had one non-relative clause response (**Exercise of making everyday meal tasty**) where the modifying clause was expressed by the gerundive phrase 'making everyday meal tasty,' with a new verb 'make.' The relation between the head noun 'exercise' and the complement was expressed by the preposition 'of.' In this case, the consequence of the exercise seems to be interpreted as the attribute belonging to the exercise with the sense of belonging expressed by the preposition 'of.' In any case, all the responses for 'study' and 'exercise' stimuli included changes in the original predicate- argument structures.

The next two stimuli, with 'prize money' and 'fatigue' as the heads, are examples of the GR type where the modifying clause denotes a condition leading to the consequence denoted by the head noun.ⁱⁱⁱ The modifying clause and the head noun form the relation of [condition] <u>consequence</u>, opposite of the first two examples, which together form the relation of [consequence] <u>condition</u>. The original proposition was maintained in all responses for 'prize money' and 'fatigue' stimuli; that is, introducing new verbs in order to have the head noun as a part of the arguments did not take place. This raises the question of how the head noun that is the argument of the unexpressed predicate was expressed. Therefore, individual responses were analyzed.

The relation of the two constituents, the head noun and the complement (gerundive phrase) was made via prepositions such as 'from,' 'for,' and 'of' in all the responses. The content of the condition (i.e., the meaning of the modifying clause) was expressed by the gerundive phrases and the prepositions linked the head noun and the gerandive phrases in all cases.

In the case of the NMC with 'prize money' as the head noun, the original predicate structure expressed in the stimulus was 'win ((you), first place),' in which the head noun 'prize money' is not an argument with the predicate 'win.' In the responses (The prize money for having been number one, The prize for being first place at the violin contest, The prize for coming first in the piano contest, The prize money for getting the first in the violin contest, The prize for taking the first place in the violin contest, The prize of becoming the champion), 'the prize money' was used as the head noun, and the complement with the preposition denotes the proposition of the original predicate structure.

In case of the NMC with 'fatigue' as the head noun, the original predicate structure in the stimuli was 'NOT (sleep ((you))),' where the head noun 'fatigue' is not an argument. In the actual responses, with the prepositions, the head noun 'fatigue' was associated with the gerundive complement that denotes the proposition expressed by the same predicate structure'NOT (sleep ((you))).'

The motivation for the use of 'from' which was used dominantly with 'fatigue' stimuli is transparent; the gerundive phrase denotes the condition, and the sense of source associated with 'from' was utilized to indicate that the complement denotes condition. The motivation for the use of 'for' frequently with 'prize money' is that the prize money was received 'for' the reason of winning the contest, i.e., a sense of 'for' of reason. The use of 'of' which was found in both cases of 'prize money' and 'fatigue' responses can be accounted for by the sense of belonging associated with 'of.' The participants received the modifying clauses as an attributes of the head nouns 'prize money' and 'fatigue,' and the sense of attribute was expressed by 'of.'

The next two responses came from the stimuli with the head nouns 'practice' and 'walk,' and the stimuli form [purpose] requisite relation between the modifying clause and the head noun in this order.^{iv} With 'practice' responses, both relative and non-relative clauses were used. In 'walk' responses, there were only responses with relative clauses. In the responses with relative clauses, the original predicate- argument structures were changed, while in the reponses with non-relative clauses, the original proposition was maintained.

The strategy of forming a relative clause is the same as in the previously reviewed responses with the head nouns 'education' and exercise' where the constituents form a relation of [consequence] condition.' That is, a new verb was introduced and reorganization was done in order to include the head noun as a part of the arguments.

In the case with 'practice' the original predicate structure was 'win ((you), chess game)' where the head noun 'practice' was not an argument. This predicate structure was changed in the three responses with relative clauses (**Practice that would let you** win every chess game, A practice which will allow winning a chess game without fail, The practice you need to do to win in chess). In two cases (**Practice that would** let you win every chess game, A practice which will allow winning a chess game without fail), it was changed to 'let/ allow (practice, win (you, chess game))' where 'practice' fills in the position of an argument in relation with the predicate 'let/allow'. In another case (The practice you need to do to win in chess), it was changed to 'need to do (you, practice)' so that 'practice' is an argument, and the verb 'win' of the clausal

predicate was used in infinitive form 'to win in chess' to indicate that the action of winning was the purpose.

In the responses with 'walk' too, a change of predicate structure was observed. The original structure was 'sleep ((you), from night to morning)' where 'walk' is not a member of the arguments. In the responses, all of which contained relative clauses (A **leasurely walk that will let you sleep from night to morning, The walk which allow sleeping from night to morning**), the new structure was 'allow/ let (walk, sleep ((you), from night to morning))' where a new verb 'allow' or 'let' is the predicate and 'walk' fills an position of the argument of the predicate.

As for responses without changes in the original predicate structures, there were four responses for 'practice' stimuli (Practice for definitely winning a game of chess, The practice of winning chess without failure, Practicing to definitely win in the chess game, The practice in order to definitely win the chess game) and none for 'walk' stimuli. For the first two responses (Practice for definitely winning a game of chess, The practice of winning chess without failure), again the gerundive phrases were used as complement to the head nouns, and the prepositions 'for' and 'of' were used to indicate the relation. 'For' is a logical choice because the modifying clause denotes purpose of the head noun 'walk,' and 'for' has a sense of purpose. 'Of' was again used here, and its sense of belonging was at work so that 'practice' has an attribute of 'winning chess.' The last two responses (Practicing to definitely win in the chess game, The practice in order to definitely win the chess game) used the infinitival form of 'win' to express the meaning that winning the game was the purpose in relation with the requisite that the head noun 'practice' expresses.

The translation of the stimuli with 'breakfast' and 'separation' as the head nouns exclusively utilized the relative clause, and all involved reorganization of the original predicate structures. In these two stimuli, the modifying clause and the head noun form [requisite] <u>purpose.</u>^{vi} This relation is opposite from the one between the constituents in the stimuli with 'practice' and 'walk' as the head nouns.

Literally, in the stimuli with the head noun 'breakfast,' the modifying clause says [(I) do not have to do preparation], where the head noun 'breakfast' is not an argument in relation with the verb 'do.' Grammatically the object of the verb 'do' is 'preparation,' not 'breakfast.' This grammatical relation was changed during the process of converting Japanese to English. In the responses, 'do preparation' was changed to the verb 'prepare,' which takes the head noun 'breakfast' as its grammatical object. In this way, the relation between the modifying clause and the head noun of the relative clause was established for the four responses (Breakfast that I don't really have to prepare right after I get up. The breakfast which I don't have to prepare after getting up, Breakfast that you don't have to prepare right after you wake up, The breakfast that doesn't have to be prepared after waking up). The fifth response (Breakfast that does not need preparation once you wake up) preserved the noun status of 'preparation' but used a new verb 'need' so that the head noun 'breakfast' is the subject of the verb, and 'preparation' is the object of the verb. By this grammatical relation embodied in the form of relative clause, the relation of the head noun and the modifying clause in the relative clause was established.

A similar process was observed in the reponses for the stimulus with 'separation.' Literally, the modifying clause of the stimulus says 'the preparation has been done from long time ago,' where the object of the verb 'do' is 'the preparation,' not the head noun 'separation.' The new verb 'prepare/ plan' was introduced in translation, and the head noun 'separation' was related as the object of the new verb. This accounts for all the responses (The separation for which I have been planning since a long time ago, The parting which I have been preparing for sometime, The separation that have I prepared from way before, The breakup that I have been preparing for a long time, Departing which was prepared all along from before since while ago).

The stimulus with 'movie' as a head noun is an example of the GR where the modifying clause and the head noun form the relation of [part] whole.^{vii} In all the responses, the original predicate structure is maintained.

It is noticeable that the relative adverb 'where' was used for the first six responses with 'movie' (The movie where you can understand the plot within the first five minutes, Movies where you can get the plots within the first five minutes, A movie where the plot can be understood in the first five minutes, The movie where I could understand the plot in five minutes, Movie where you figure out the plot in the first five minutes, The film where by the plot is understood in the first five minutes). In the responses, 'where' implies that the head noun 'movie' was captured as a location in which the plot is understood in the first five minutes. In other words, the relation that the movie is whole and the modifying clause is the movie's part was expressed by 'where,' a word that, among other things, marks the location of events.

The last two responses (A movie we understand the plot within the first five minutes, The movie that I don't understand the plot for the first five minute) are not, strictly speaking, grammatical English because the relation between the head noun and the clause is not marked. These are examples where pragmatic knowledge plays a role for interpretaion, in colloqual English, are believed to be acceptable.

The last stimulus with 'laundry' as the head noun is an example where the modifying clause and the head noun form the relation of [event] <u>usual cause of opposite</u> <u>event</u>.^{viii} Literally, the modifying clause of the stimulus says 'a pure white shirt becomes black,' an event which is not usually caused by the referent of the the head noun 'laundry.' Half the responses involved reorganization of the original predicate sturctures.

The reorganization of the predicate structure was managed with the form of relative clause. The relative clauses were used where a new verb 'make /turn' was introduced, so that the head noun 'laundry/ washing/ laundry process' has a thematic relation with the verb in the relative clause (Laundry that makes a perfectly white shirt go black, Washing that makes pure white T-shirt become black, Laundry process that turns pure white shirts black).

Strategies that did not involve a change of predicate structure include the use of an adverbial clause introduced by 'where,' the use of the preposition 'of,' and the use of the relative clause. An adverbial clause with 'where' was used so that the head noun 'laundry' is a whole process during which the action of white shirt becoming black took place (**The laundry where my white shirt had become black**). With the head noun 'a laundry' the preposition 'of' was used taking as its object 'a pure white shirt' which was a subject in the original modifying clause. This noun phrase became a subject of the relative clause, which describes the event of pure white shirt becomes black (**A laundry** of a pure white shirt that became black). The last method was to change the head noun 'laundry' to a gerund 'washing' and to relate the noun 'a completely white shirt' as the

direct object of the verb. The event of a white shirt becoming black was again described in the relative clause which takes 'a completely white shirt' as the head noun (Washing a completely white shirt that has turned black).

Notes for Appendix 9

ⁱ For this stimulus, two propositional structures were stipulated, 'become (plot, understandable)' and 'understand ((you), plot),' which correspond in this order the two translations below.

[hajimenogo-hun-desuji-gawakaru] eigafirst5-minutes-withplot-NOMunderstandmovieThe movie (whose) plot becomes understandable in the first five minutesThe movie that (you) understand the plot of in the first five minutes

For the purpose of evaluating the change of the original predicate- argument structure, both propositional structures were accepted as the original propositional structure for the following reason. With an intellectual cognitive verb such as *wakaru* (understand) in Japanese, the object of understanding takes nominative marker ga leading the learners to believe the noun, the object of understanding, is a grammatical subject, which tends to lead the learners to believe *wakaru* (understand) is an adjective understandable. This should yield the translation of 'the plot becomes understandable.' On the other hand, when *suji* (plot) with nominative marker ga was interpreted as an object of the verb *wakaru* (understand), the English translation is 'you understand the plot.' Both propositional structures were accepted as the original ones.

⁴ Naru (become) is not the only verb used for this type. Other examples for [consequence] condition type of GR, according to Matsumoto (1997), who quotes written book titles and written advertisements, include the following:

[hykuman en tamaru] <u>tyokin-bako</u> million yen accumulate savings-box a savings box (by using which) a million yen accumulates

[yaseru] <u>onsen</u> become.slim hot.spring the hot spring (by soaking in which) (you) become slim

[moteru] <u>sake</u> be.popular.with.the.opposite.sex liquor the (way of drinking) liquor (by which) (you) will be popular with the opposite sex

¹¹⁶ Other examples of [condition] <u>consequence</u> type of GR, according to Matsumoto (1997) includes the following:

[honyaku-shita] kane translated money the money (which resulted after) (you) translated (something)

[beekingu-paudaato abura o mazekonda] <u>koromo</u> baking-powder and oil ACC mixed.in batter the batter (which is produced by) mixing baking powder and oil

[amai mono	0	tabe-sugita]	<u>mushiba</u>
sweets	ACC	ate.excessively	cavity

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

the cavity (which resulted from) eating too much sweets

^{iv} Other examples of GR with [purpose] requisite relation, according to Matsumoto (1997) include the followings:

[shotai o motsu] <u>heya</u> household ACC have room a room (which I need in order to be able to) have a household

[amerika ni iku] biza America Loc go visa The visa (which is necessary for (you) to go to America

[kore o nakus-anai] fairu kabaa this ACC lose-not file cover a file cover (which (you) need so as) not to lose this

^{*} The relation of [purpose] <u>requisite</u> resembles the relation of [consequence] <u>condition</u> in that both events described by requisite and condition take place before the events described by purpose and consequence. Matsumoto (1997) points out that the difference is that the consequence is a state which naturally came from the condition while the purpose is a state that somebody intentionally is trying to achieve.

^{vi} Other examples of GR with [requisite] <u>purpose</u> relation, according to Matsumoto (1997) include the followings:

[biza o totta] amerika-iki vias ACC obtained America-going a trip to America (for which) () obtained a visa

[yoshuu 0 shita] jyugyoo preparation ACC did class the class (for which) () prepared

" Other examples of GR with [part] whole relation, according to Matsumoto (1997) include the followings:

[se ga takai] <u>hito</u> stature NOM high person a person (whose) stature is high

[otooto ga byooki no] Itoo-san younter.brother NOM sick GEN Ms. Ito Ms. Ito, (whose) younger brother is sick

viii Other examples according to Matsumoto (1997) of GR with [event] usual cause for opposite event include the following.

[hutor-anai] <u>okashi</u> gain.weight-not sweet sweets (even though (you) eat which) (you) don't gain weight

[yoru nemureru] koohii night sleep.can coffee the coffee (even though (you) drink which) (you) can sleep at night

192

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Appendix 10. Stimuli Set

1 ald	Varaica	Segment 1	Segment 2	Segment 3	Segment 4	Segment 5	Segment 6	Segment 7	Probe
1		傷ついた	人们心老	Q<204	曹廉は	805	大切に	されている	18
		(injured	person's mind-ACC	confart)	HING -TOP	from old time		do+PASS+PROG	munic
		The music (that com	iforts proplets scared a	aund) has been treas	ured for ages.				
	b	をっきから	間こえるが	有名な	***	流している	ラジオは	FMだろう	11
		while ago-from	hay-but	(famous	chor -ACC	casting	DED-TOP	FM+be+probably	choir
		Hearing (it) since a	while ago, (1) suspect	that the radio (that !	us been broadcasting	the famous charal) is	FML	-	
2		BRINE	ガラスの増に	入っている	人形は	カナダから	設備で	息たらしい	人種
		(pretty	gius box-in	enter-be]	doll-TOP	Canada-from	see mail-by	cashe-scena	dall
		The doll (that is in t	be pretty glass box) or	anne to have come fi	rom Canada by sa.		-		
	Ь	この地域の	ROBLIS	460	花火モ	見に行く	列だけで	一軒になる	花火
		the commutaty's	tichet check-TOP	Chore addres	Intervent ACC	500+10L	ine-only-with	ruli+become	threward
		The toket check of	the metion in this com	munty becames ful	and with the lines (of people) who go to a	ee the fireworks tonin	1 .	
3	2	いくらか	542	BALE	御殿から	84.0	カードが	とどいた	
-	-	(somewhat	money-ACC	densited	conction ball-from	militale."	Card-NOM	arrived	omethor half
		A think you cand an	rived from the practice	mil (to which (1) a	ioneted some money!				
	ь	-	目いとき	いっても	1205	連続できる	会社が		**
	-	body condition-NO	harl-when	fame time	home-from	main contact-cani	company-NOM	many to work	home
		When benith conditi	on is had, the compare	(that (you) can be	when constant from brane				
		#	804	ມີ		2(26	84255	まれいだ	
-	-		the delay		face.TOP	And the second second			- fare
		The face share over	anter o thickly restrict	di kasha sanitan fara		Contraction of the second		preug-cor	1464
				at wate barren un		10 H T / H I	- B -44	18 m d m	
	•		alasta des 700	formation of the sec			and high f	Carlos de la companya	
			paray day-104		UYU-11088	110401		umpru	eye
					(ų. 1946-44	• •			-
6		立事制から	-74	銀行てきた	明天 ()	₩ 26	-0072	67110	ar the second se
		[Inve yours allo		contracted	research-NOM	(unally	trying to end	donna	research
		The research (that (have combined by a 	ayaati for five years	is finally trying to er				
	•	どの分野でも	そうた事		実際局けて	9284	帯えば	あまりない	
		any fields-at-even	en-COP-ht	(ample	experiment+carly-w	n find-canj	Mining TCP	plenty+not	experiment
		(This is) true in any	y field, but there are n	st many enswers (th	at (one) can find by a	ample expensent on	y]		
16		学生のころ	書いので	よく使った	電車が	言くなって	ざんざん	消えていく	4 .
		(slights-'s-line	china)+Connine	cificti+cite.	Initi-NOM	ald+Uscattin	sically	Jangganiri	leinini.
		The tests (that (I) a	and allats because of t	ter low price) beca	ne old and are daugge	ating steedy.			
	b	きのう	お白て	主書と	が建て	取った	TRE .	受け取った	
		ymterday	store-at	(friend-with	radway-at	tonit)	pacture-ACC	received	radway
		Younday at the sto	re. (1) picked up the p	ectures (that (1) tool	, with my friends at th	e rankway].			
27		21956	32 .46	RHT-5	都市なんて	どこを	さがしても	ないと思う	8 70
		(nch-also	poor-eleo	sacceed]	aty-TOP	anywhere	search-even if	non existent-think	aty
		(i) think the city (w	here both rich and poo	r succeed) is powh	RT.				
	b	モールの	+6E	思って	ふるさとが	思い出せる	/ *-#	てきた	ふるさと
		mail-ta	cession-of	(ang-while	hometown-ACC	(manadar)	be-NOM	came out	hometowa
		At the center of the	mail, a ber (where (or	m) remembers (his)	hometown while drin	iongi appeared.			
Rđ		花上めと	混むこが	BTER		acu-I	白い花が	かざっておる	
		Rende-with	Industrian-NOM	station B	clarst-TOP	everywhere	white Guest-NOM	decorated-lar	church
		The church lifeon	which) the bade and t	the encount encounted in a	descented with white i	Covers everywhere			
	ь		+0806	1:0	110 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24828		海まった	200
	-	energianter.TOP	that evening-fromch	formerhid.s	huderhool-NCM	well-see-cent	7000-4	denard .	habechool
		The grandmother of	mend in the more life	m which (she) can	see the grandchaid's h	intechnol well1 moce	that ministe	,	
20		Pat	パトカーダ	Bant.		***	19.61 4.19	ALATUS	
-	-	ferrates.ent	natural care. MOM	mant formall	humani.TOP	almantu	instand with	conflow-ba	housed
		The boundary that the	nan ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang		and the set				
	•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				8 25	******	4
			and C		204° 9		verte 17 henden TOB		
		Ung streets		COLUMN-NUM	per-ude		ninge-101*	1941 UNIT-6122	han.
	-			प्रम प्रसन्द प्रदेश । जिन्द	गान्यासम्बद्धाः यसः दर्भष्ठ य तर्भभी भग				***
K10		かせいこう	考古のように	思った		272028	ハーキングに	んった	
		(young-time	everyday+late	passed		competition and	periong lot-to	ORCHINE	
		The square (that (1)	presed simol every	any when (1) was yo	ving) was demolished	and because a particul	, iot.		
	D	いくつかの	お気いの平で		本書で	長こなった	まつり声	なつかしい	本書

RI		自分でも	気がつかず	不申になる	学問は	*<=	90T	働くべきだ	# #
		(antf-by-even	without noticing	unitappy+become)	landing -TOP	\$000+EV60	quat-und	work-must	loaming
		(You) shoud even rij	ght away quit that los	ming (by doing which	h you become unhup	ov without noticing b	yourself] and work.		
	ь	2010	80 Ttt	Enco	224	204	32/5	来れる	8 7
		CITV-1	buolations-at-TOP	(everyone-to	aconomics NOM	understand	book-exertm-NOM	sail-wait	accitotines
		In the urban bookato	the books flav me	dine which economic	ca harritan understar	while for evenues a	te at alling all		
82		18 R.A	*84	811.628		-0-3		自まっている	-
	-	Commutan. In	mail MOM	turbe became			internet MOM	collect the	
		Coveryczy-s Desembs the internet		nien (he deise mbieh	and the second beauty	recently		consect+ow	
	•							-	
	9	486496	*7C			CERESC	17 JF JP	1 72	
		toning-becoming	limity	[4 WHE 48-3		coming that gail	uniter-NOM	recuvered.	landadi
-		Finally today, the stij	fury (because of which	th (we) could not play	the baseball game a	week ago] became re	covered.		
3		パイオリンの	377252	一番になった	A SIZ	そのころは	5 F <i>N\$</i>	言語だった	12
		(violin-'s	content-at	cpannisou+pacame)		those days	\$5.00 -NOM	ordinary-was	pn <i>ze</i>
		The prize (that (you	Bor mpan) han man a	he chempionatisp in v	ialia coment) is in th	our days mostly five o	ioliars.		
	b	年とった	4711	両線の	MEt	使いはたした	潮が	にくらしい	MĒ
		cid age	100-4461	(permin-1s	ADDE - ACC	spect all]	MOM-	hateful	June t
		At this old age now.	(I) have sale because	e of which (1) spent a	I the asset of my pare	mii.			
4	a	申日から	せんせん	目れなかった	つかれて	同日が	ズキズキ	している	つかれ
		(yesterday-from	entirely	sign+POSS-not)	filigent -with	both eyes-NOM	actor	do-be	fait gas
		With the fature fits	nt (1) suffer from pot :	at all havene been able	e to sleep since the m	inte before 1. (my) bos	h eves are actang.		
	ь	11476	4 5 CL U	11.10	11244	ULLE	8225	受ける	1124
	-	unnellinely aven	after all	fothers-re	AND ACC		antennet.cop.		
		Boon unpublicante of	terral (1) polt meaning	the remember of the last	ch (I conservation -	Contraction in the second second	athers nee		1-11-1 1-1
٢.		4-26	7- 4					***	
~	-	a de const	· · · · · · · ·	ary and a second second					
		The second of the second	ine shink one of the	uminuny+viil				W-MMRZ+COP	the states
		- 1 ARE (JERCOCHE ((17)/ 40 	and Alington in Annual Carlor of		more committi i suo piut				
	0			X#C		1月17日		75 7 8	
		In an ordenary way	00 100 000 000 -11	(Interesty-at	ACC.	LECEIAE]	IICHERP+INCOM	TEDEIVE-CIII	education
_		TT (you) make you e	nort in an ordinary w	NEY, (YOU) CHER FROM VO	the liceture (by which	P (Aor) will include r	neverally education.		
6	4	戦から	日本で	目的がとれる	「「「「「」」		とうてい	見かすぎる	R#
		(mght-from	moming-all	sleep-NOM take)	TOP	one hour-with-TO	P too fer	trade and	weik
		The walk ((by doing	g which you) can tala	r a sloop fram night u	ll morning) is far 100	short of (it is) only or	e hour long.		
	b	地面を大	得っていれば	山の中の	前行を	楽しむ	道具は電	特別いらない	網行
		map-only	have at band-if	(in mountain-'s	INF -ACC	actic) (top-TOP	not necessary	ato
		if (you) have only a	nap at hand, (there) w	no taol in pertucular	(with which (you) on	yoy the mountain trip	J .		
7		Reces	Alt	LACTION	# \$\$\$	め暮なので	いろいろと	聞っている	a t
		(get up- and	properation-ACC	do-not-many]	anating TOP	MORE DECEMBERY	the and that	know	breakfast
		(I) innow out of nece	conty several brankfa	at [that I do not have	to do preparation for	an I ant up!			
	ь	1 	ガッてたころ	107	#88	1485A	書でfit	ずもうだった	##
	-	dementary school.a		lin a humr	heads have ACC	ent. (insubi	day TOP	HING COP PART	tunch hor
		When (1) year is arb	work the nine (for whi	ich (ma) meidd finad	antine hand in a her				
		1					5.47	いわたわった	
-	-			a e a sina mada an al	AND DECK			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AP74 5
		Land allowing a	Indianancar-or we	really wateri		as (1) expansion	well	COMPLETER (B)	
	•		n andere our brahman			; हुए हा प्यार का (1) संदर्भ हिंद र के			
	0	391499	07 <i>C</i> 7	東海軍で		ガンだ		WINTEN .	え EV
		for hitspatio	entities)+ bid	(impir-62		iiiii	minding TOP	Linit+Contrag	île mi
_		Although (three has	s been) hardship, (our) Iniotability (for whic	da (I) wetat eveta ao da	e airport to see ony fr	senal) off) is lawing.		
R 9	8		五分間で	すじがわかる	戦害は	思ったく	見る気に	262 11	
		(first	live mendes with	plot-NOM clear]	AND TOP	at all	watch+feelings	become+NOT	Bovie
		(i) don't feel like we	atching the movie at a	ull (that within the fir	et five minutes. (1) un	idensiand the plot (of)	3.		
	•	スポンサーに	44 86	単単むけの	101	おもしろい	テレビ馬モ	応酬したい	
		sponsor-to	become of	(family-for	POTTER -ACC		TY see a ACC	support - wate	program
		lf (1) become an suc	ocusor. (I) want to sup	part the TV station w	hose programs for fa	mily are unteresting.			
011		言っ自の	ワイシャツダ	2428	3254	1845	だれかに	200200	またく
	-	fours whete	diam start-NCM	black+become!	ACC.	dont	saladorik-in	att of ormal	Latander
		If (was) do the lase	dry flar which when	dana ahiri haccanan h	lack) (it would be b	atter of Corport and when	eterste eine		
		a grow an an indi	and fait and and a state of the						
	h	## #	····	450		血に分五カい			
	þ	デパートの	見り通では		そうじが	意にならない	電気はかり	見っている	モラじ

CNI		803	レストランで	ANTER	MA	教業に	行けなく	なった	
		(yesterclay	reduction of	overste)	camik .	school-to	go+cannot		retuit
		As a result from have	ng overesten at the Pe	ntacenat yesterday. (1	'm,) not eble to go to s	chod.			
	b	副務で	■ <012	BEUCS	8 ##	8728	ため	あまらめた	##
		pharmacy-at	work+NMZR+TOP	(too much	condition -NOM	too bad)			condition
		(I) gave up (the idea)	al) working in the ph	emacy (for the) reas	an (that) the condition	List top bad.			
CN2	3	ピアノの	レッスンに	行かない	増出せ	わざわざ	説明しても	しかたがない	電告
		(piano-'s	lessan-to	go not)	CALL REAL PACE	especially	explain-even	cannot be helped	NUMBER OF
		There is no point of e	aphicing the research	why (you) do not go	to the piano lesson				
	b	ギャンプルモ	するのは	特別な	目的もなく	生まていく	方法として	一番よい	8 0
		gmbis-ACC	du+NMZR-TOP	Expected	purpose without	livej	method-as	tuni	(Mathematica)
		Combling is best as a	a testing to live with	out special purpose					
CN3		アジアに	現行電が	出発する	意料に	単との	約束を	量たした	2N
		(Ame-for	similane-NOM	depert)	just hefere -st	prosper-with-'s	promise-ACC	fulfilled	suit before
		(I) was able to keep t	be promise with my y	you nger brother just t	sefore the time the any	plans departed for As	1		
	b	なぜや	うさぎは	\$P\$\$\$\$	遺中で	体まなかった	わけに	興味がない	油中
		for some reacts	her-NOM	(ILITIDIES-NOM	half way -it	real-not-PAST]		Interest-NOM-not	half way
		For some reasons, the	e haar 18 not mierenie	d in the reason why t	te turtaue did nat rest	half way.			
014		二台の	良い豊か	足っている	あいだそ	高い大が	ほえながら	アリカリた	あいた
		[##0-'8	where our-NOM	na-PROG	And Party Pa	black dog-NOM	betang	nin through	space between
		A black dog nus burk	ing through the space	a between the two wh	ne cernanag.			• ···•	.
	Þ	台景で	finac<	문자차	うしろから	1440	足管のほうそ	用いた	263
		lotchen-at	uncomesculy	(somebody-NOM	Decil -frails	approach)	TOTAL P. P. CONSIG	faced	Deck
		in the latches, (i) us	constantly faced tow	and the foot sound of	somebody coming fro	an behind.		-	-
CNS		おじいさんが		EATIN	6.28	大学生は	ビナオて	EACINE	•
		[grandather-NOM	Sagaine-ACC	read-PROCI	THE -R-EASE	uneversity students-1	video-with	play+PROO+PAST	nde
		Bren brende the place	e where a grandfather	r was reading a mage	zne, uzverety studer	as were playing with	the video.		-
	Þ	のわいそうな	27 4 1	ねこが	70 5	2020	足もとから	LI72	7
		petatul	BOUD TOP	[call-NOM	CHARGE - LTCH	pump m	COM-LICER	excepted	OUTEOR
	_	The plane mouse en	caped through the for	a al the cat that jump	nes in trees cuizade.		and the state		
CN6	a	ອເນອເນຂ	小学生が	画家っている	8272	문자전대로		えつかる	
		licually	elementary children	gaune-PROOJ	ALL:	SURA COND			antoininge
		II (We) search for the	s rank around the area "		STREET STORES				-
	0	中がに	1095					RACCO	
		THOOP-OIL	997-1993 			tito And			
		wasta (you) est, the t	THE THE THE SHALL IN	iover around colles t	o applet. Alakir	A-111	****	#L\71\#	
CN7	•	724 h.		周ワだ basingly, DAST	antina. Antinada			None.PROO	esta herita de
		tug The benefit street	biometre en biome	up-ClatterAal}	and the big back and the second				
			NUCLEUR AND DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER.						T H
	0			Character SMC-84	And and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s		ver before at		in the second se
		An Con the beam of		Line and a second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section sect	- yr er ei ren han sha hank marrad s	internet	and the second se	1.2. grad 1. dag 2. d	11 march
0=		Version and control of	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			消滅には	EUAA	めいわくだ	•
	•	Charles MCA4			said -TOP	neethor.fre.TCD	verv	manne.COP	-
		The second of the ba-		inter the standy is called and	for the neighbor		,		
	•		- page 10 100 (14 - たれると	きしすがて		7211	つらさが	わからない	81
			مربع المربع المربع المربع. المربع المربع ا		voice -even		hadden NOM	insulting formitie	villent
I			and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	and the of the set of	and that even water the	m just cannoe cast in com	amable to understand	1	
CN [®]			きにたつ			21135C	Lapuz	することだ	
	-	landel in	heidfal	manhar, haccanel	DOA- MOC	value-within	stacty	do-NMZR-COP	study
		Do the study while s		isful member of the	rata	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
l			·····································	++	164	暴たした	あとに	とりたい	14
	-	Theo manine.'s	holidar.TOP	(encush	responsibility -ACC	(inshed)	time following-st	take-want	reponsibility
i		As for the bolidance	(D) search to take it after	ar i and mauch rest	onabilities.				·
0110		TATILE UP				4)IT #	きれるのが	間こえてきた	
	-	father the states	Evolo-it	staved		STREET, NOM	OF NMZR.NOM	her-cape	
		in the months of the	t annuart in Kvoto aft	ar a lone mervel the	sound of streams on	ne to be beard.			
i	b		Lations	7/1-+#		2620	せいだと	言っている	
1	-	hannents Ob-	diservat+NMZR-TO	activities and NOM	numbe		COP-OT		und A
1		(They are) encode th	at not doing home	with the class to (the fact)	that the spartinent has	niding is output if ind		· • - • •	
L			The same summer is not the same state of the sam		ware and the second line				

COMPL		テニスの	決断戦で	暴ったという	電話が	先ほど	者の所に	おおってきた	
		(volleybell-'s	ficul-st	wan-QT)	phone.opl -NOM	while ago	mother's place-to	call-case	phone call
		The phone call that () won the volleyball	ficual was sent to any i	nother's place while a				
	b			RAC	SH226	BRECRIN	気油大が	聞いている	11
		and month-own	min-NOM mm	ficente-to	lation -even	National And	feeline-NOM	anten 18000	T Call
		It mined even for on	emonth and the feels	ine that (1) do not use		lower has been conti-			
~~~		***						in life some som	~*
	-			292112		K CN		2251(516	
		(income surveyor's	PUED-ACC			peersy		reaction	SCIVERS SHEREDE
		Planey or wangs inco	stang advertagement t	nge (scimeche) sitts a	second hand plano o	ame to the house.			
	0	728234	罵らない手	ホケモンの		中的るという	うわまが	混れた	
		children-TCP	kupe-unt-last	(Polateos-'s	broadcausing-TOP	quat-QT]	Discur-NOM	Gen	Incontrastant
		Although children d	o not ignow thus, the ru	anour that (they) will	l quat the broadcasting	g of Polymon was he	ard.		
COMP3	8	クリスマスの	プレゼントは	あるかという	営業ばかり	するから	子供は	いやだ	堂開
		(Chatstans-NOM	present-TOP	ettat-whether-QT]	gentilize -only	do-because	chaldren-TOP	tarence in a	quation
		Children are treases	e because (they) eek o	mity questions whether	er there are Chrusteau	s mitu.			-
	b	このごろ			道田が	244	うれしまで	くせになった	22
	-	monthy	e-mail-TOP	Canally	American NDM	matern. PC651	iov-with	habet an harmone	
		Recently e-mail her	une helpt due to the i	ov of herne shie to m	tion the mirrors can	iv.			
		****					10 10 1°		
	-	and a second	And a set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set o					HER I A	
				water COP-Q1		conversion-1	onitication of a second		ohuon
			THE I YOU BE WORK	n, you should say no	ane at the regenerate	de the conversition.			
	0	この目の	XTER		est.	いたく		ないようだ	
		This country-'s	literature-at-TOP	(at leasure	after shaught -ACC	beer)	trades-NOM		after thought
		It seems like in the b	iterature of this count	ry, there is no freedo	in of having after thos	ught at leasure.			
COMPS		どうしても	保護的中を	見たいという	REÈ	おさえて	主人を	特った	
		(at any cost	room's unade-ACC	watch-watt-QT)	deare-NOM	risting	land-ACC	wat-PAST	denere
		(I) wasted for the lor	d while reasting the	denire that (i) want to	see unade the room.	at any cost.			
	b .	年まとると	# 4 IL	小さい自由に	She .	872113	<b>ミ</b> しは	本書らしい	豊れ
		become and-therm	onkey-TOP	(mult mend-to	feer-ACC	hold-OT1	MORY-TOP		(est
		The story that monit	the state and have t	(une for small) aromais					
COLORS		11076			11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ton			
COMUG	-			stard central		C E CLIA		44196.33	4-8-70
		Lighter is or not		reas-consister;		CIER CIEP-IT	WTI WTI -40	oncome-station	
		IT (you) can send to		g and since once mus	or not, you can prod	IOIY DECOME 2 WITHER.			
	0		あってかっ		977C	TO	RUE	したようだ	男刀
		Balls up chill-with	failed-mnot	(absolutely	GOT ACC	state (	gezean-ad		affart
		Since (he) failed in 1	he make up crum, (h	a) series to have mad	it a decision of malian	ig an effort withoug f	<b>ui</b> .		
COMP7	4	目の上で	はだかで	通んだ	思い出そ	いつか	書きたいと	思っている	豊い出
		(spow's surface-st	nakad	played)	Billiony-ACC	saneday	WINE-Wate-QT	theole	avalory
		(I am) thanking of so	meday writing out th	e comany of playing	on the snow naked.				
	b	人の前で	国宇と会は	TAT	体験から	目している	気分で	中るといい	
		un public	speak-when	(everything	etpenence-(romana	stunet	(eetine-m	do-then-soud	COMPANY
		It is a good idea to a	peak in the feelines t	at (vou) are tailone a	but everything from	the citizeness where	vou souk a public		
COMPE		78250	Brine a		Ma #	<b>4</b> 32		+#11#	<b>K</b>
	-	fchildren-'s	Dimmer ACC	Includes / 111	ning NOM	finally		near best	
		The rate of the state	independent of the second	d abraid be seened	i densi i serikasi sha a		Print Balling	Cardy 1 - Card 1	1-444
	•						-		
	•			モヘアリリ	3444			4264	
		Caty Dilli-16	WILLIAM-46-I UP	(escalar)y-15020-3		manuth deficiately]	DIM-NUM	CONTYNE	Teninal .
		At the wandow of th	er caty huid, the rule th	at the request from th	er olderly shout be list	ennel is observed.			
COMP9		通げば	思くほど	遅れるという	電差が	346	書になって	目的られた	48
		(harry-if	burry-even	delay-QT]	Age -NOM	three years-even	Later-become	probad	lage
		The logic that the h	edar you harry, the a	iare behind you get w	nas probad three years	ister.			
	b	おとといの	単位について	過度に	11.11 <i>#</i>	あったという	戦略が	あった	164
		the day before years	andreas-regarding	(driving-with	immoderation -NO	A counted-OT	aplanatan-NOM	enzsted	unamoderation.
		Regarding the day h	store yesterday's acc	dent. there was an en	planation that there w	ran an unandarate de	vine involved		
COMPL	1 a		STA1.	*82113	25しか	キのところ	BASST	11811	9 <b>2</b>
	-	fibrary-'n	minaldine.ACC	de-OT1	deciment -only	an far	in a contra		demana .
i		Cody the descents of	and the selection of the	we here the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s	ne has have been a				
1				00 کان 1914 چید میں ہوتا ہے۔ منابعہ کو کا 191		rangi. Mander Jacob Al		< 1	
l	9	~~~~				arens		1872	
l I			Came-Int-Int	LICERCETOW-Dy	CONCERNICIE -ALCC	banhom-GLI	DESCRIPTION OF		culticiuments
		Since notody came	. The plan to draw the	conclusion by tomat	ICW IS NOT 20 OPDATE				
riel#	Version	Segment 1	Segment 2	Segment 3	Segment 4	Segment 5	Segment 6	Segment 7	Probe
-------	---------	---------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------------
n	C	とにおく	fire .	調道で	96	発表しないと		anau	-
		anybow	whatever	instrument-with	a piece of munic-AC	perform-not-uf	teacher-to-TOP	become+can+not	3.1.500
		Anyhow if (one) can	not present a piece o	f music with some k	and of musical instrum	nt. (one) cannot beco	me a teacher.		
2	c	お菓子やて	River	するなら	職務と	803#	80-60 E	好物だ	あさって
		sweet shop-at	shooping-ACC	do-if	beloon-and	candy-NOM	old tume-from-'s	favorite-COP	the day after tomorrow
		When I shop at a sw	est shop, a balloon a	nd a candy have been	n (my) favorite from ol	d times.			• • • • • •
J	c	<b>78</b>	目れたのて	小さい	観念しか	ないと	管理人が	言った	<b>FR</b>
		NOM	interware the cause	and l	cianarcom+anty	not existent-OT	cantakar-NOM	md	radian lizzan
		Since the reservation	was late, the care to	stor and that only m	ull classroom is availab	ble.			
	c	ENEN	***	DCE	ALU.	あたまの	はうが	いたくなる	ばんごはん
	-	most of the time	cold.NOM	catch-when	none-then	had-more		numful-becomes	dioner
		Most of the time wh	m i and and the h	and because more a	motul than the nom.			,	
	c	BRAIT	ティットちの	580		川のまわりは	EUNA	うるさい	ピフテキ
	•	namer holder.TO	children.x	usid hinds.'s	observation.unth	march vontre.TO	ware much		hand stack
		Dating space holi	Anna the vicenity an	word the mast harves	es none with children	themane used have			
	~		とくあえのア	5535			***	ずいだんめろ	
	•			estandarda anti-			All C AP		
		version-et		and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second		Equilit COP-TOP	share-wow		
	•		(1) areas and and and areas	Alternet	Cater-		6. H	5-7115	
	C					URIN	0271	1200	
		Young a	hologener som	recetally	ABLCORMAN MAN IN	Augura i A	(4)11)	Rear R	ponteer
		Recently the young	population is gradua	ily returning to the a					
	C	EDIMICIZ	840	ほとんどの	神社が	大明朝に	聞えて	生を用った	ドイツ
		that era-TOP	Yeber, a	secal.	status-NOM	Reg engringe-al	t endure-end	SEVIVE!	Germany
		In these era, should of t	ine simmes un Japan e	induced big earthque	tes and serviced.	- ·			
i i	c		聞りたら	すくに	#St	見つけて	気心したいと	思います	総本
		temportation-ACC	decend-then	unmethely	hold-ACC	find-and	releaved-wars-QT	thank	pecture book
		(i) shares i (would bi	te to he) releaved by	finding the botel im	meriately after galling	off from the trainiport	nhan		
0	c	すもうの種	問題している	ファンダ	丁字構築	出てきて	978	さわいでいる	
		NBO-Y-ANT	mand	fan-NOM	rand-all	came out-and	NOW-IVER	make nonth	pinal
		After the same tour	nument, excited fun (	came out to the read	and noting till even no	<b>.</b>			
1	c	立課な	先生も	必要だが	教務が	タないと	単生は	東ないだろう	フォーク
		etcellent	tachar-also	nacuumy+but	subject-NOM	little-when	students-TOP	come+not+asybe	fank
		The excellent lecture	wa ale necessary, bu	t when the number o	f subjects is low, prote	bly students will not (	cupe.		
2	c	木の牛を	8CENTS	体によく	未津は	年をとっても	878	激しめる	<b>6</b>
		water's inside-ACC	walk-only-even	good for body	summing TOP	even when aged	everybody	energy +can	boat
		Bren by welling in	the water, switchman	a is good for health.	everybody can enjoy it	even when aged.			
3	c	小麦克		102		<b>B</b> <&&	ことが	よくある	フランス
		mai	town-or-villate-or	live-if	DE-NOM	highten	NMZR NOM	often exust	Presce
		When you live in a	small town or villate	t it is often the case i	that was tax becomes in	ahat.			
4	c	よくきわれる	ことだが	いつまでも	36 <b>8</b> 8	670G	住には	島くない	
	-	often and	thm+COP-but	lanevat	ACC	hold+NMRZ-TOP	hanith-for	acod+NOT	with
		(it is) often sad, bu	titis ) not mod for	(your health to loan	the faeline of resents	ant forever			
5	c	-80		ANT		ReT	いあのが	たいへんだ	21
	-	day time dation		mand- and	maint original, at		be NMP7 NOM	Alliant COP	annd heath
		If / much month of the			and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second secon	and a state of the second	destantings to per		Borne release
	~								~+1
10	•					- 32.00. K hidana at			
		diam M. Dai oth	ларанна Спорта на полото на	ingenet-totaler	Disputation of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second s			CO-HERING-HELE	your negetites
	-		r algans gounn in That s at	Japan, Nets Calabria			-		**
.,	c	7878F#	EDING		HOC			なっしまた	**
		TING-TIME-INCOM	COME DINK.	COME DISCORD-18	HAL-ACC	CARDIN NIMING NUM		000006+0546	
	_	AI THE SECTION OF	an is coming near. 1	t his been becoming		ment for one person.			-
	c	T-AC	なったし	372-10	副門が	てうてう	RT 0	いいこうた	•
		November-to	became-also	CONCERT-13	guidance-NOM	3000	come-u	food time - COb	spring
		November came, so	o it is a good time of (	the unvitation to the o	CROBERT DARY SOLDS ATTIVE	<b>.</b>			
9	c	eriva	差ばかり	何っていて	当時への	ぜいたくな	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec	言われている	イギリス
		pretty	clother only	heve-and	pawor at	International	utilizant-NOM	spenne.	U.K.
		(Shc) has only prot	ly clothes, and her lu	numous interest in ar	ts is appearing.				
30	c	受けたことが	ない人に	とっては	ある他の	NCENIS.	むずかしいと	言われる	Æ
		table-NMRZ-NON	C not person-to	for-TOP	Institute	ctan-TOP	difficult+QT	bae	rock
		5.5 St. 6.0		and the state in the second	anna an Aidtinuta				

Cl	c	やはり	先日の	軍律の	問題は	スピード連直	らしいと	うわさされた	甲酰胺
		efter all	the other day-'s	accident-GEN	CERE-TOP	over-speeding	SHEE-QT	rumour-PASS	office
		After all the cease of	the other day's accid	lett was said to be ov	er-speeding by running	<b>E</b> .			
C22	C	その事件に	間しては	<b>#</b> 0		ないと	Etz	言うだろう	夏い物
		that incident-with	regarding	whetenever	relation-even	not existent-QT	dactor-TOP	sey-pertapa	shopping
		As for that incident.	perhaps the doctor w	ill saythe) has nother,	g to do with it at all.				
C23	c	キっと	古本豊で	変えたので	豊日から	テープモ	使って	予想した	カメラ
		finally	second hand bookste	r purcased-so	the following day-fr	I URDER-ACC	use-and	previewed	
		Boing able to finally	purchase (it), from t	he following day (1) u	and the tape and prev	inved.			
C24	c	ステージで	子供たちは	さっそうと	824	BAT.	あいさつぞ	すませた	83
		slagt-al.	children-TOP	والصالع	front-up	proceded-and	Making-ACC	fundant	walls water
		At the stage, the chil	dran galantily proce	ided to the front and f	inished growing.	•			
ದಾ	c	2840	2213	冷たいが	#£U	ずっと	体には	よろしい	<b>43</b>
		tack field in	ie TOP	cold-though	unada-than		bady-far	good	tits week
		The air in the track f	ield. sishauga ut us ca	Id. is better for bealth	then the sir made.		•	•	
വര	c	大切な	#58I3	1126	the	意けば	6 <b>2</b> 12	いらない	くだもの
		important	belonging TOP	always.	banicle-at	place-COND	worry-TOP		fras
		As for the important	belonenes, (if you)	always place it band	. WORTY IS UDDISCERED	v.			
C27	c	14th	740	Halliz		むすんで	ゴミ 目に	***	
			chewite mm-'s	window-TOP	hath and ACC	tie-and	trink can to	diacard	cram school
		As for the finalbad o		the body and a of sta	and checard it in the to	nih can.			
<b>C3</b>	c	まントの	144	かみたがら	2.	192×	のどが	催くなる	
	-	Bird .	chemine em. ACC	chan adala	hands.ACC	inhula.than	theory, MOM	nambil-become	- -
		When you obain yo	an internal and in character	ine mot framed che					-
~	e	M.≥.0.4	*****			目っ デザム	1.6/83.46	1111434	***
-	•	and MOM							
		Cliff normals mu this	had minis some star	young age to e		ouying-oy-even	CO-CIPCIER-EVCUNT	forth matter	
~	~						1117 <b>A</b> = <b>A</b>	***	<b>8 a</b>
00	•							WUCER	
		The name (first looks			everally		mountain-to return	real-diment. Of	description of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second s
~	•							8001	-
<b>1</b>									
		Intervention	MERIC-RA	people-with	CONSIDERATION AC		SHEED THE-MOM		4 million
	-	SUPER (1) SERVICE CON	wepcinicative which my	people in Manua in	Cyelle, (I) and the sc states	and the second			-
32	c	77213	HCNC	2012010		XWADC	単同たけは	DIRO	-
		IV-TOP			INFORMATION TOP	steportant-COP-for	nawapapar only-TO	a no fasi walen	yard
			TV, but same safers	heboe is important, (*	we) look through only	r newspeper without f			
633	¢		2307E			AST	いるので	見てくたさい	
		tattibook-'s	back-'s-toward-at	des question-'s	and NOM	entered	bi-for	watch-give	balany
		Please take a look a	t (the approxit), since t	the answer for this qu	unitary is entered town	rd the back of this tes	thook.		• - ·
C34	c	クエステョン	マークは		E#ł	868 <b>T</b> X	にだけ	使われる	#21
		question	REE-TOP	English-'s	diminiour-VCC	stellicate-sentence	far-anly	um-PASS	post
		Question marks are	uned only for English	a sentences that andica	en diminicur		_		
<b>C</b> 35	c	ふだん	ストレスギ	使いと	<b>2</b> 46	電単に	出名大名	ことができる	レコード屋
l		every day	are-NOM	low-when	Ner-m	easily	hold	shie	record shap
		If everyday stress is	wel is low, even unge	r can be held stally.		-			
36	C	やおやの	おばさんは	おとなしいが	意味が	<b>思いので</b>	有名に	なったらしい	11
1		vegelähte sigra-'s	aunt-TOP	ujidel-bait	tiiliini-NOM	Uil-COP-ici	finition in the	Conclusion - Galeragia	diving room
		The lady at the veg	table store senge to i	have become famous	because she is quart t	na evil.			
C37	c	小書い	うちおら	~~~	THE	ええると	なかなか	置らない	文句
		young	from	werd	hubit-ACC	learn-uf	canly	corrected NOT	complaint
		When (you) learn b	ad habitst while young	g, it will not be easily	corrected.		-		-
<b>C36</b>	c	早期な	212222	人名印	<b>E</b> INE	思いないと	<b>11</b> 0#	信じている	<b>建</b> 末
		pencetul	21st century-TOP	people-'s	anab.	no different-QT	everybody-NOM	believe	weekend
		Brerybody believes	that only the manori	A 21st outstaty as more	de's wigh.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ന	c	あの人は	ESENTS	86630	228	つくので	みんなに	好かれる	タクシー
1		that person-TOP	no matter where	funniv	Im-ACC	tall-becase	0000000-010	favored	tatu cab
l		That parson, barnes	e he talls frame live r	to maker where he is	in lited by everyone				
CID	c		2(2-1	TRA		はっまりと	ぎきたいので	こまった	てんよみ
1	-	distinguity NOM	discussion in the second		weighter the TOP	dents	na la race todo se	tradian l	information
•		The electronity is all	ut down on /max	in tradic for us and					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		And in call of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the loc		and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se			

Trail	Vertica	Semant 1	Semant 2	Segment 1	Seamont &	Semant C	Summers 6	Lamon 7	Dealer
n	4	1444	K-+++*/#		<b>•</b>			34)	- maile
	-								
		(I) are vizes and dow		every carly	-				
-				-	-				•
04	•	3 61400	V 7 18 AL		CER				
			Series Cars.	CULICEDE-NUM					uland .
-			and a proving was o						
03	a	そこはんは	(120	757	X4				
		(UBCD-FOP	aways	DC <b>IDO-</b> 80	ent				OCHER
L									
104	đ	HCC C	東い温却	202	MP-6				3-0%
		the second data between a	CTHEP BOD-NOM	CLSE-d	hatpful				Eleope
		(It would be) mapping		op aneroy.					
DS	•	きたない	うつかほ	280	ラかない				4 <b>86</b>
		CRATY	helway-I'OP	anytody	walk-NUT				Channie language
		As for a daty mewer	Y, DODODY WHEEL						
D6	d	TLEG	呈いので	ゆわりに	そっ茶店へ				ダンス
		SURV SHOP-TOP	far-COP-so	initiad	ten stop-to				danas
		Hereiter mars more :	s far, utiliead It a te	a altóp. (Lincomplete a	echanice (				
07	d .	調査の	発生で	某人を	特とうと				272 S
		Shinpaku-POUS	diang room- Al	friend-ACC	wait for-to				scholamtep
		in order to west for a	friend at the desing r	com us Shijuku. (inc	ningiste settemos				
DE	4	ハワイ大学の	日本目の	後常は	とても				72
		U of Hawai's	Japanane	lectures-TOP	very				tujett
		The Japanese locking	s at U of Hewasi is v	ny (incomplete serà	nace)				
D9	đ	そうそう	わたしたちは	しつれい	しないと				K7
		soon or later	MF.COP	ERCLARE CLEMETVOR	dio spoi-ul				dicar
		If (we) do not encum	ourselves soon (ins	complete tentence)					
D10	4	コーヒーも	ケーキも	まずい	らしく				30
		coffee-also	calas-also	unianty	1000				annai .
		(It) mems both coffe	e and cake are unlast	lancompiete series	160)				
D11	4	さっぽうの	大月も	キごろは	たぶん	暑いだろう			± <b>m</b> B
		Sepport's	Ame-also	by now	perhaps	hot-maybe			Seturday
		By now Jase even in	sepporo may be per	hape hot.		-			-
D12	đ	あした	くれつ	師と一緒に		SUIT			<b>4</b> 3
		LOBOROW	hanne-to	and a state	train-by	return.			batt
		(i) will return to my	home tomorrow with	my mater by train.					-
D13	d	日光見物	したいが	ひまが	ないので	ざんねんだ			<u>カタログ</u>
		Sighteen in Nikko	do-wate-but	launce type	not etudent-because	regretalul			calaionue
		(It is) regretiful that (	1) do not have leasur	e tame even though (f	) went to visit Nikko.	•			•
D14	4	切得は	とても	高かったが	言いまって	Tat			ハンカチ
		actust-TOP	VIEV	expensive-although	ruthienty	bounte			handkarchaf
		As for the ticket, alt	ictiges it was very exp	etterve, (1) ruthenity	bought st.				
D15	4	アルパムに	2150	322	E< 24	しまった			-
-		photo album-in	femily-'s	DDA-dioid	nientv	stored			determine
		(i) seared plenty of fa	maily pactures in the p	ahoto album.					/
DIG	4	24252	RUNT	AHR TO		8-7			
	-	friends-NOM	first time	Shapeners station-a	shutteners.to				
		For the first take, my	friend got on stanks	month at Sharanawa st	tion. and. (incomole	te sectorce i			
D17	4	28.M	380	-	MI.T.	#====			
	-	Station crew-NCM		here as	manhad.and		r		
		The stations crospan	had the passeneers b	nch. and (it) was ama	tine	waaning-correns	•		ukyuk
DIR	đ	BCTA	3175	ELCAN.	- 4.7	11200			
	-	antiv-alao				han an			aria/W
		it is crowded any o	late so incometer						
019			5			5/62			
	-	address.by		ner V U		111179			
		Because (i) will on a	the mediano carico	- ay once any	way to and the year h	geronander	dame i		uary .
D10	4	オリプリス		1			annad j		A
2.00	-		hand TOP			用いている			PAIL
		As for the vacuus her	- and - 1 CF	e lunch					1203

10C. Version [d] Sentences

<u> </u>									
D21	d	20分前に	大きな	紙のふくろそ	パス界の	ペンチに	忘れた		
1		twenty minutes early	big	peperbag-ACC	bia stop-'s	bench-et	larget		wad
		(I) forgot the paper b	ng on the bunch at th	e bus stop twenty min	ulles ago.				
D22	đ	このプールは		涂くことが	てきるので	メンバーが	SUBLU		-
1		this pool-TOP	all your around	winne-NOM	possible-because	members-NOM	denty.		iningen
1		Because you can swi	m all year to this surj	methong provi, there as	oun planty of membe	<b>n</b> .			
D23	4	にぎやかな	10254	1x2-0	#11+1	thif			<b>34</b>
	-	bury	tomoth uninde-ACC		white a str	dout .			377
ł		(You would) feel has	cier of you walk and	and accord the laune to			rears rabbas		сup
D24	A.	201.2	425	****	****	10 March 10			
	•								052
		If respectivel indexes for	inhad within tasks. I	in an in the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of th			tree sine-cecoine		<b>Station</b>
me		#< !! + @ #	2. 4. 7 Mar			<b></b>			
00	•			017412	0ZV	#CC	ないてしょう		ねだん
		(It) may and he form if	americally	BAA-UK-E	vey	TUP	not-maybe		pince
-									
10.00	a	_40	THE P	エリートの	周期目に	音響する	ために		
		twa yeers ala	<b>MI-NOM</b>		kandergerten to	pess	in order to		luggege
			na montanti de	two year old get. [10	complete sintence)				
1727	đ	7876	早しこみを	しないと	無要が	てきないと	聞いて		おくさん
		today-witten	application-ACC	do-mot-ut	LINEVIN-NOM	CHEROI-QT	hand-and		wife
		(1) based that if you d	io not upply within to	xday, you canant have	naturview, so., [inco	oçêrie seniexace]			
D28	4	つごうが	<b>思いので</b>	明日の	会議に	出られない	かもしれ		38
		CONVENIENCE	bad-bacause	tomorrow	merking	perturbative-mot	maybe		hat some
		Because it is just case	retaienzen, 11 marykur (h	nt (1) cannot atomat th	a montaing. (Secondaries	eier mitaletzwij	-•		
D29	4	いそがしくて	パーティーに	来られないと	62#	ありました	<b>2</b> 16		<b>34</b>
		bury-make	perty-to	come-not-OT	memory-NOM	context	biennie		Complete Complete
		Benne there was a m	annige saying since i	buty (scattering) care	ot come to the party	finctionaliste museuros			
E130	d	爱行通信		Bene	ことだって	****			
	-	aminos-NOM	scheduled then	and a series	NOMO				
		Occasionally arolan	in could arrive parties	then scheduled but	linconclate series:				
<b>m</b> 1	4	H-LTA	*****	AND AL	***	·''			
	-		darah ménin				#5CV	LENEN	<b>67</b>
		(1) sense to mint have	while depite the	contractor-runn	Genetica			do-wildt	States -
1723		That							
1052	q				TOAP	- <b>PIPO</b>	はっそり	見える	タパコ
		If the sentime is seen	accord	KOCCOR-RO	CLIED DIFICIO-NOM		clearly		ager
				all cannon hanchas with	are concerning the score	Va.			
100	đ	THUT	洋電画の	受しさそ	間心たのは	ヨーロッパで	日本では	なかった	タオル
		Chall offic	ultyce-'s	beenty-ACC	recognized-TOP	Barope-COP	Japan-COP-TOP	not-PAST	towel
_		in that on, it was had	iobs vor 1966 and 14	cogramed the beauty	af Ulayos.				
034	đ	さかなは	着しければ	厳しいほど	いいと	買いに	来る人は	思っている	
		fieb-TOP	freiber-d	freeher-more	TO-boog	buying-to	come person-TOP	theritang	watch
		The people who can	e to buy fish thank fis	ds as bottom of it is from	ber:		•		
D35	d	ボタンモ	おすと	このドアが	置いて	ジュースが	ガタンと	出てくる	
		button-ACC	punda-rif	this door-NOM	open-and	UICE-NOM	crash.		dank
		If (you) push the built	on, this door opens a	ad the cash of place of					
D36	đ	正月には	226	HADOT	11262	よごれている	1110		-
	-	NEW YOURS CAY-TOP	everywhere	bank-COP-barmen	unally	dante de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionalitatione de la regionalitation de la regionalitation de la regionali	Tologram		
		Because everywhere	an barving break dans	IN LINE YOUTS CAPY. IN	in the dirty sky of Tol	way finantalate was	. un y V B Internal		
D37	4	MELO	***					** - *	
	-	form mon-'s			magned to because	ر در ۲۰ بادمه	A - F ba	107(	
		Because (you) are an	ad at remember //		the chair there and	increased as a sector	uant.a	<b>2</b> 4	
TYRE	A	LALANE					1		
	4					87 C	計ざな平を	スちべる	あいさつ
i		The location is not or	unum-CLE and best it is one-i	specicies	quant	www.coy	rarounte book-ACC	Minect-cast	Bangroß
				· 우리 약국에, 독표 정도 · 소·사	you can select your fi	evolute DOOK (LECOL	sporte sontance)		
1039	đ	7.91		<b>车州</b>	え河道では	すいぶん	<b>1</b> 40	差が	<b>美礼</b>
		Kyumbu	Sintoitu	Hometra	Holdzado-at	VEY	temperature-'s	#P	eticality .
L			re alliang Kyulbu, S	READING HIGHMAN AND	Holdando is very (is	complete semence)			
D40	đ	見てから	ゆりるか	どうか	決めたいと	思いますから	もう少し	持っては	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
l		100-aChar	MEE-d	whether	decide-wate-QT	tianic-because	more little	wet	past office
L		Wait. Because (1) th	unik (i) wanti tu dacada	r whathar I reput it or p	at after (1) see it. fire	internet and the second second			-

10D.	Statements	(Presented for	40 Stimuli after	the Probe	Recognition)
_					

Trial#	Version	Statement	Expected Answer(key)
RRI	a	Some music has been treasured.	yes(p)
RR5	a	It has been three years since the research started.	no(q)
RR9	a	There were a lot of injured in the hospital.	yes(p)
GR3	a	The average prize for the violin contest was 10 dollars.	no(q)
GR7	a	Breakfast is eaten after waking up.	yes(p)
CN1	a	Over-eating can cause problems.	yes(p)
CN5	a	An old man happened to be near the college students.	yes(p)
CN9	a	It is no use studying while young.	no(q)
COMP3	a	Children can annoy adults when Christmas is coming.	yes(p)
RR2	Ь	There are no fireworks in this area tonight.	<b>no(q)</b>
RR6	b	The photos were picked up yesterday.	yes(p)
RR10	Ь	Nobody yearns for the hometown festivals.	<b>no(q)</b>
GR4	Ь	There is a penalty for ignoring another's pain.	yes(p)
GR8	Ь	The friendship did not last.	<b>no(q)</b>
CN2	b	The best way to have a meaningless life is to read.	no(q)
CN6	Ь	Snakes moved on the ceiling.	<b>no(q)</b>
[CN10	Ь	It is noisy in the apartment building at night.	yes(p)
COMP4	b	The literature of this country is full of freedom.	no(q)
COMP8	Ь	The city hall has certain rules for senior citizens.	yes(p)
C	C	There are only small classrooms left.	yes(p)
<b>C7</b>	С	The young generation likes to be in the city nowadays.	no(q)
C11	C	Students like many courses to be offered.	yes(p)
C15	С	Night school is easy after working all day.	<b>no(q)</b>
GR9	C	Having pretty clothes may reflect one's interest in arts.	ycs(p)
C23	С	The tapes were used on the day of the purchase.	no(q)
C27	C	Tie both ends of the wrappers before trashing.	yes(p)
<b>C31</b>	C	The price of the stamps was not considered.	no(q)
<b>C35</b>	C	Anger can be suppressed.	yes(p)
<b>[C37</b>	C	Habits can be corrected with ease.	no(q)
<b>C</b> 39	C	That person tells a terrible lie.	no(q)
D1	d	Pizza was caten every day.	yes(p)
D5	d	People like a dirty floor.	no(q)
D11	d	Sapporo in June can be hot.	yes(p)
D14	d	The tickets were cheap.	no(q)
D17	d	Somebody pushes passengers into the train.	yes(p)
D21	d	The bag was left at the airport.	no(q)
D25	d	Giving thoughtful gifts is a pleasure.	yes(p)
D31	d	To hurry and leave is preferred.	no(q)
D33	d	The Japanese did not appreciate ukiyo-e in those days.	yes(p)
D35	_d	If you pull the lever, a can of juice will drop.	no(q)

#### Appendix 11. The Nouns Used in the Fourth Segment

#### 11A. Regular Relative (RR)

_											
	Constr	uction Type				REGULAR R	ELATIVE (RR)				
	Argumen	it/ Adjunct PP			ARGUMENT NP RELATIVE						
	Trie	i Number		RR1	RR2	RR3	RR4	RR5	RR6		
Cas	e marker o	of Head Noun in	1	GA	GA	N	N	0	0		
relation with the predicate						1	1				
in Clause in [a]				egent	nt theme of existence		benefactive	object of activity	petient		
T		Head Noun	3	**	<b>A</b> #	346		研究			
1	Probe			music	doll	exercise half	face	research	train		
R	Location	NP in Clause	b	<b>A</b> 4	花火	1 <b>2</b>		実験	鉄道		
1	1		choir	fire work	home	eye	experiment	railway			
Distractor c			c	<b></b>	<b>3.0</b>	**			112		
0				piece of music	belloon	claseroom	noee	observation	super express		
L	Semantic	Semantic Field		music	toy	practice room	face	research	railway		

	Constr	uction Type			REGULAR	RELATIVE (RR)	
	Argumer	it/ Adjunct PP			ADJUNC	T PP RELATIVE	
	Tria	I Number		RR7	RR8	RR9	RR10
Cas rela in C	e marker ( tion with ( lause in [)	of Head Noun i the predicate	'n	DE location of action	KARA	E	0 peth
Т	Probe	Head Noun	•	御市 city	R:	<b>MIR</b> hospital	広場 equare
R	Location	NP In Clause	D	ふるさと hometown	ALC highschool	소문 perk	水田 rice field
0	D	stractor	C	agricultural area	ilitite ahrine	<b>HA</b> hotel	illi roed
	Semantic	: Field		city, community	shine, etc.	hospital, etc.	use of land

#### 11B. Gapless Relative (GR)

	Constr	uction Type				GAPLESS R	ELATIVE (GR)		
	Cause] ar	d Head Relatio	n	[consequence]con	dition	[condition]conseq		(purpose <u>ir equisite</u>	
	Trial I	iumber		GR1	GR2	GR3	GR3 GR4		GR6
T	Head Noun a			<b>\$</b>	20	Rt	つかれ		
	Probe			learning	exercise	prize	fatigue	practice	walk
R	Location	NP in Cleuse	b	27	<b>W</b> M	MÆ	いたみ	<b>RT</b>	兼行
4				economics	besebel	asset	ache	education	trip
	Distractor c		8. <b>H</b>	木津	R±	36 <b>4</b>	<b>崔学</b>	豊山	
0				subject	swimming	tax	resentment	night school	mountain hiking
	Semantic	Field		scholastic act	sports	money	feelings	practice	leisure

	Constr	uction Type			GAPLESS	RELATIVE (GR)		
	(Clause) ar	d Head Relati	on T	[requisite]purp		[pert]shole	(event)usual cause	of opposite event
	Tria	Number		GR7	GR8	GR9	GR10	
T	Probe	Head Noun	•	UR breekfast	Sin. separation	Niji movie	発たく laundry	
R	Location	NP in Clause	Þ	弁当 iunchbox	見当り seeing off	<b>Bill</b> program	そうじ cleaning	
0	Distractor c		28 mesi	業件 guidance	<b>Hit</b> fine arts	A-34 knitting		
	Sementic	Field		meel	visit	entertainment	housekeeping	]

#### LIC. Clause and Noun Host Type (CN)

Con	struction	Туре			CLAUSE AND NOUN HOST TYPE (CN)							
S	nantics of	Head Noun		Relational Head Noun								
Tria	Number			CN1 CN2 CN3		CN4	CNS					
Т	Probe Head Noun			NAR result	E de reason	just before	あいだ between	en ext to				
9 1	Location	NP in Clause	Ь	Shift condition	E#) purpose	an the way	うしろ behind	91- outside				
0	Distractor		C	ALE Cause	<b>INS</b> relation	28 following day	まえ front	ф inside				
	Sementic	Field		Cause	reason	before/after	front/back	in/out				

Con	struction	Туре			CLAU	SE AND NOUN HOS	T TYPE (CN)		]
Cla	use and He	ad Relation		Relation	al Head Noun	Percept	ion Head Noun	Quasi-relational	Head Noun
Tria	Trial Number			CN6	CN7	CN8	CN9	CN10	
Т		Head Noun		あたり		-	勉強		1
1	Probe		an lan		back side	sound	study	morning	ł
R	Location	NP in Clause	b	まわり	正面	<b></b>	黄任		1
h -				surrounding	front side	voice	responsibility	night	
i.	Distractor c		c	<b>t</b> t	責任じ		君労		]
0			side	both ends	breath	effort	evening	1	
	Sementic	ic Field		around	face/side	bruce	petience	morning/night	]

#### 11D. Complement (COMP)

Con	struction	Туре		COMPLEMENT (COMP)						
Semantics of Head Noun					Commu	mication		Thought and Feeling		
Trial Number				COMP1	COMP2	COMP3	COMP4	COMP5	COMP6	
T		Head Noun	8		<u><u><u></u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	黄門	22		がまん	
	Probe			telephone	advertisement	question	opinion	desire	endurance	
R	Location	NP in Clause	b	<b>7</b> 8		22	62	恐れ	勢力	
ļi –				letter	broadcasting	response	after thought	fear	effort	
	Distractor c		大連		##		1月19	24		
lo			correspondence	information	answer	question	anger	nature		
Semantic Field		communication	information	question	opinion	desire	feeting			

Construction Type Semantics of Heed Noun				COMPLEMENT (COMP)					
				Other Content-Taking Nouns					
_	Tria	i Number		COMP7	COMP8	COMP9	COMP10		
T R I	Probe	Head Noun	•	思い出 memory	新え petition	記録 logic 第記 immoderation	次定 decision 自語 conclusion		
	Location	NP in Clause	Þ	file experience	<b>Sið</b> favor				
0	Distractor c			<b>BH</b> habbit	Mit) with	うそ lie	<b>Filli</b> judgement		
	Sementic Field			experience	with	logic	decision		

# Appendix 12. The Instructions for Self-Paced Reading and Probe Recognition Task

# Screen 1

Instructions for the experiment

Thank you for volunteering to participate in this experiment. You will remain totally anonymous. Your data will be analyzed and pooled with others for publication. You are of course free to withdraw from the experiment at any time.

Hit the space bar to continue.

### Screen 2

This is a linguistic experiment to find out how native Japanese speakers and speakers of Japanese as a second language comprehend Japanese sentences.

The experiment consists of two parts. In the first part of the experiment, you will be asked to hit the keys according to the instructions on the computer screen. In the second part of the experiment, you will be given paper and pencil and asked to answer simple questions about Japanese sentences.

Hit the space bar to continue.

### Screen 4

For statistical purposes, your responses require a label. At the beginning of the experiment you picked (or the experimenter picked for you) a unique name, which will be used consistently through the experiments. Your real name will never be used.

### Screen 5

You will see a part of a Japanese sentence on the screen. For example, you may see a segment such as:

### 明日

To continue reading the next part of the sentence, hit the space bar. The sentences may or may not be grammatical Japanese sentences and may vary in length. For example, after hitting the space bar, you see a sentence in parts such as:

# 明日/来年の/カレンダーを/買いに/書店へ/行かなければ/ならない

In the next screen, you may see a word surrounded by *** *** such as:

### **書店**

If you remember seeing this word in the immediately preceding sentence, hit the "p"(=yes) key marked by a green sticker with your right index finger. If you do not remember seeing the word in the sentence, hit the "q" (=no) key marked by a red sticker with your left index finger. (In this case, this word was in the immediately preceding sentence, so if you remembered it, you would

hit "p".) It is important to respond to the word in the ** as quickly as possible. So please place your right index finger on the "p" key and left index finger on the "q" key now.

人さし指を'p'と'q'のキーに置いてください。Let's practice. Hit the space bar with your thumb.親指で、スペースバーを押してください。

Hit the space bar to continue.

Participants do one trial of self-timed reading and probe recognition task as follows.

明日 (participants hit the space bar) 来年の (participants hit the space bar) カレンダーを(participants hit the space bar) 買いに (participants hit the space bar) 書店に (participants hit the space bar) 行かなければ (participants hit the space bar) ならない (participants hit the space bar) * *書店 * * (participants hit either 'p' or 'q')

## Screen 6

Did you try hitting the keys as quickly as possible?

Occasionally after you hit the 'yes' ("p") or the 'no' ("q") key, you will see a statement like:

The calendar for the next year needs to be purchased tomorrow.

If the statement is true according to the sentence you just read, hit 'yes' ("p"). If the statement is not true, hit 'no' ("q").

Hit the space bar to continue.

#### Screen 7

When you see :

Hit 'g' to go on.

Please press the 'g' key. 左手の人さし指で'g'を押してください。

Let's practice a bit before the main experiment.

Hit the space bar for more

practice. 親指で、スペースバーを押してください。もう少し練習をします。

#### Participants do three practice trials as follows.

アメリカには (participants hit the space bar) 首相は (participants hit the space bar) **UTSU** (participants hit the space bar) かもしれない (participants hit the space bar) **ロシア** (participants hit either 'p' or 'a') "Hit 'g' to go on." (participants hit 'g') ほしいので (participants hit the space bar) お金を (participants hit the space bar) ためている (participants hit the space bar) **ステレオ** (participants hit either 'p' or 'a') "This person is saving money." (participants hit either 'p' or 'q') 困った時は (participants hit the space bar) **音葉に** (participants hit the space bar) かけこむ (participants hit the space bar) LU (participants hit the space bar) **** 答察 * *** (participants hit either 'p' or 'q') "Hit 'g' to go on." (participants hit 'g')

### Screen 8

Are you ready for the main experiment? If you have questions, ask Satomi now.

If you would like to go back and practice more, hit 'b' key.

If you can start the experiment, place your index fingers at the right positions and hit the space bar now.

'p'がyes/true, 'q'がno/falseです。 Remember "p" for yes/true and "q" for no/false.

HIT THE SPACE BAR TO DO THE MAIN EXPERIMENT NOW.

IF YOU NEED MORE PRACTICE HIT 'b' TO GO BACK. 実験を始めてもいいですか。よければ、人さし指を'p'と'q'のキーに置いて、親指でスペ ースパーを押してください。

If ready, participants hit the space bar to do 160 trials. If not ready, participants hit 'b' to do the last three practice trials and came back to Screen 8.

(After all the trials)Screen 9

This is the end of the experiment with the computer.

# In five seconds, the screen automatically changed to Screen10

# Screen 10

Now I will give you the next part of the experiment.

Then participants told the experimenter, who was outside the room, that the computer session was over.