## INFORMATION TO USERS

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

**The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.** Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction..

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

> ProQuest Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Ml 48106-1346 USA 800-521-0600

# **UMI**

**University of Alberta**

## **Identification and Characterization of I7Pex5p,**

## **a Component of the Peroxisomal Translocation M achinery**

**of** *Yarrowia lipolytica*

**by**



A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Cell Biology

Edmonton, Alberta

Spring 2000



**National Library of Canada**

**Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services**

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

**Bibliotheque nationale du Canada**

**Acquisitions et services bibliographiques**

395, rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

*Your fiie Votre r4terenc&*

*Our fife Notre reference*

**The author has granted a nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell** copies of this thesis in microform, **paper or electronic formats.**

The author retains ownership of the **copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission.**

**L'auteur a accorde une licence non exclusive pennettant a la Bibliotheque nationale du Canada de reproduire, preter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette these sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format electronique.**

L'auteur conserve la propriété du **droit d'auteur qui protege cette these. Ni la these ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent etre imprimes ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.**

0-612-60023-8



## **University of Alberta**

## **Library Release Form**



**Year this Degree Granted: 2000**

Permission is hereby granted to the University of Alberta Library to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves all other publication and other rights in association with the copyright in the thesis, and except as herein before provided, neither the thesis nor any substantial portion thereof may be printed or otherwise reproduced in any material form whatever without the author's prior written permission.

Rachel K. Szilard

**914** Colbome Street London, Ontario **N6A 4A4**

Date

## **University of Alberta**

**Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research**

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research for acceptance, a thesis entitled **Identification and Characterization of f7Pex5p, a Component of the Peroxisomal Translocation Machinery of** *Yarrowia lipolytica* submitted by Rachel Katharine Szilard in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**Dr. Richard A.jRachubinski Dr.** Richard W. Woznyak Dr. David<sup>8</sup>. Pilgrim

**Dr. Larry Fliegel**

Dr. Wolf-Hubert Kunau

**Date** *J*

## **ABSTRACT**

Peroxisomes are ubiquitous eukaryotic organelles. *PEX* genes encode peroxins (proteins required for peroxisome assembly). A genetic screen identified mutants of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* that fail to assemble functional peroxisomes. One strain, *pex5-1*, has abnormally small, clustered peroxisomes that are often surrounded by membranous material. The *PEX5* gene encodes Y/Pex5p. Pex5 proteins have been shown to function as receptors for type 1 peroxisomal targeting signals (PTSls) in other species, interacting with PTSls through their characteristic tetratricopeptide (TPR) domain. Biochemical characterization of the mutants *pex5-I* and *pex5-KO* (a *PEX5* gene disruption strain) showed that *YI*Pex5p is a component of the peroxisomal translocation machinery. Mutations in *PEX5* prevent the translocation into the matrix of most proteins successfully targeted to peroxisomes. These proteins, including a 62-kD polypeptide (p62) recognized by antibodies to a PTS1 (SKL). seem to be trapped in the peroxisomal membrane at an intermediate stage of translocation in *pex5* mutants. The evidence presented suggests that there are at least two distinct translocation machineries for peroxisomal protein import. YlPex5p is intraperoxisomal. In wild-type peroxisomes,  $Y/P$ ex5p is associated primarily with the inner surface of the peroxisomal membrane, but approximately one-third of YIPex5p is localized to the peroxisomal matrix. Most F/Pex5p in the matrix is complexed with two anti-SKL-reactive polypeptides: p62, and a 64-kD protein (p64). However, in *pex5-I* cells, peroxisomal Pex5 protein is localized exclusively to the matrix and forms no complex with the membraneassociated p62. The nature of the association of YIPex5p with PTS1 signals was investigated with *in vitro* binding assays. A recombinant Y/Pex5p fusion protein interacted specifically,

directly and autonomously with a protein terminating in a PTS1. *In vitro* translated wild-type F7Pex5p specifically recognized functional PTS Is. This activity is abrogated by a glycine-toaspartic acid substitution at a conserved residue in the TPR domain (G455D) of the protein encoded by the *pex5-1* allele. Deletion analysis demonstrated that an intact TPR domain of P7Pex5p is necessary, but not sufficient, for both interaction with a PTS1 and functional complementation of the *pex5-KO* strain. A model for *YI*Pex5p action is presented.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My 8<sup>1/2</sup> years in the Rachubinski lab, both as an undergraduate researcher and as a graduate student, have been extremely rewarding on many levels. This is mostly because Rick Rachubinski, our fearless leader, provides us with an environment to grow scientifically while having fun and interacting with a group of interesting coworkers. His guidance and constant enthusiasm for science nudge us in the right direction without interfering with our independence. Rick, I will always remember your pep talks, your "paternal" sentiments, and I will call you 'Boss' if you remember what to call me. And thanks also for dragging me kicking and screaming out to this unnecessarily flat land — despite my initial reluctance, I had a great time here and gained some valuable experiences that would have been unavailable to me in Ontario.

I have been lucky to have had some of the greatest people anyone could hope to meet as colleagues. The peroxisome assembly team has included John Aitchison, John Glover. Bill Nuttley (my big brothers in biogenesis), Tony Brade, Gary Eitzen, Jennifer Smith. Trevor Brown, Gareth Lambkin, Vladimir Titorenko, Melchior Evers and Roger Bascom. The proliferators have been represented by Baowei Zhang, Sandra Marcus. Chris Winrow. Pam Lagali and Altaf Kassam. Cleofe Hurtado is currently the sole member of the dimorphic shift squad. A big thank-you also goes out to those people who have kept our lab running smoothly: Jill Regoeczi and Shirley Jones looked after us at McMaster, while at the U of A we have had the expert technical assistance of Eileen Recklow, Kris Decker-Eitzen. Rachel Oates, Gareth Lambkin, Hanna Kroliczak and Rick Poirier. Members of other labs have also been great friends and helpful resources, but are too numerous to mention (you know who

you are!). I have enjoyed working/celebrating/commiserating with everyone — you will be missed.

Some of my most vivid memories from this time include MistleToes and other unconventional Christmas ornaments, nearly killing myself and everyone else as I accidentally confirmed the explosive nature of diethyl ether, wrestling with vicious rabbits, Friday morning hockey, refusing to eat bran flakes from a cup with a fork, the Great Schism Paper, suffering through boondoggles, kakistocracies, rejectamenta and people who were candidates for defenestration, scoring a highlight-reel-quality (ha ha ha) goal in the hockey championships, and many soccer games with the GreenGenes, No Mean Feet and Cell Biology United.

I would also like to recognize the advice and guidance of my supervisory committee members Rick Wozniak and Dave Pilgrim. The financial assistance of the Medical Research Council of Canada and the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research is gratefully acknowledged, with special thanks extended to the family of Dr. Lionel E. McLeod.

Finally, I would like to thank my family in London primarily for their unwavering encouragement, and also for their generous financial support.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**











 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 





 $\sim 10$ 

 $\sim 10^7$ 

## **LIST OF TABLES**

 $\mathcal{A}$ 



## **LIST OF FIGURES**





## **ABBREVIATIONS**

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ 





## **CHAPTER 1**

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 

**Introduction**

## <span id="page-22-0"></span>**1.1 Principles of organelle biogenesis**

Eukaryotic cells possess a system of membrane-bound organelles (the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and secretory pathway organelles, mitochondria, the nucleus, peroxisomes, vacuoles/lysosomes and, in plants, chloroplasts) in which specific processes are housed. To achieve this compartmentalization of function, eukaryotic organisms must possess systems so that the particular proteins, nucleic acids and lipids that each organelle requires are correctly assembled into a functional unit. A nuclear-encoded protein destined for organellar import is generally directed to the appropriate compartment by a specific *cis*-acting targeting signal that is recognized by a receptor which is located at, or shuttles proteins to, the organelle. Once it has reached the organelle, the protein is either translocated across, or integrated into, the membrane (Schatz and Dobberstein, 1996). Membrane lipids must be distributed from their sites of synthesis to the different cellular membranes as required (Vance and Shiao, 1996). There must also be mechanisms in place to ensure that daughter cells inherit, or can regenerate, all cellular compartments (Warren and Wickner, 1996). Additionally, cells must be able to regulate the number and composition of organelles to meet varying cellular needs (Nunnari and Walter, 1996). This chapter will focus on some of the molecular processes involved in peroxisome biogenesis.

#### <span id="page-22-1"></span>**1.2 Peroxisomes and their functions**

Peroxisomes, along with glyoxysomes of plants and glycosomes of trypanosomes, are members of the microbody family of organelles, a class of organelles that is found in most eukaryotic organisms. Peroxisomes are  $0.1 - 1.0 \mu m$  in diameter, are bounded by a single unit

 $\overline{2}$ 

membrane, have an electron-dense, granular matrix and, in some species, have a paracrystalline core (Lazarow and Fujiki, 1985). In electron micrographs, peroxisomes appear roughly circular, suggesting that the organelles are essentially spherical. However, evidence for a peroxisomal reticulum comes from electron microscopical analysis of serial sections of cells from rat and mouse liver and mouse sebaceous glands (Gorgas, 1984; 1985; Yamamoto and Fahimi, 1987). Peroxisomes have been believed for many years to arise by growth and fission of pre-existing organelles (Lazarow and Fujiki, 1985), implying that at least one peroxisome must be segregated to daughter cells during cell division. However, there is also recent evidence for *de novo* peroxisome formation and for involvement of the ER as a source of membranes for peroxisome assembly (reviewed in Erdmann *et al.,* 1997; Kunau and Erdmann, 1988; Titorenko and Rachubinski, 1998a).

Peroxisomes have many diverse functions, depending on the organism, cell type, developmental stage and cellular environment (reviewed in Borst, 1989; van den Bosch *et al*., 1992; Subramani, 1993). Peroxisomes were originally defined by de Duve as cytoplasmic organelles containing at least one hydrogen peroxide-producing oxidase and catalase to decompose the hydrogen peroxide (de Duve and Baudhuin, 1966), although this strict definition does not apply to all microbodies (Subramani, 1993). The oxidation of many compounds occurs in these organelles, but the most notable function of peroxisomes is the  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids, resulting in the production of acetyl-CoA (Figure 1-1). Depending on the organism, other functions of peroxisomes can include reactions involved in the synthesis of plasmalogens, cholesterol and bile acids, purine and amino acid catabolism, the utilization of alcohols, photorespiration, and penicillin biosynthesis (Tolbert, 1981; van den

 $\overline{3}$ 



**Figure 1-1. Peroxisomal (3-oxidation.**

Bosch *et al.,* 1992; Subramani, 1993). Glyoxysomes and glycosomes are often considered to be specialized peroxisomes, harbouring  $\beta$ -oxidation systems as well as enzymes of the glyoxylate cycle or glycolytic pathway, as their names imply (van den Bosch *et al.,* 1992).

Cells can vary the number and enzyme content of peroxisomes in response to metabolic or developmental changes. For example, yeasts growing on glucose-containing media contain one or a few small peroxisomes per cell. Transferring the cells to media with a carbon source that requires peroxisomes for its metabolism, such as oleic acid (*Candida albicans*, *Candida boidinii, Candida tropcalis*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Pichia pastoris*) or methanol *(Hansemi la polymorpha*, C. *boidinii*, *P. pastoris*) results in a rapid increase in the size and number of peroxisomes, and is accompanied by an increase in the synthesis of peroxisomal proteins (Veenhuis and Harder, 1987; van der Klei and Veenhuis, 1997). A similar change is seen in the livers of rodents upon the administration of a group of naturally occurring or synthetic compounds collectively known as peroxisome proliferators, which includes certain hypolipidemic drugs, plasticizers, fatty acid analogues, herbicides, industrial solvents and anti-inflammatory drugs (Reddy and Chu, 1996). In plants, developmental cues alter peroxisome function. The "glyoxysomes" of germinating oil-bearing seedlings (containing an active  $\beta$ -oxidation system and glyoxylate cycle) are converted to "peroxisomes" (specialized for photosynthetic glycolate catabolism) following the greening of leaves (Tolbert, 1981; Olsen and Harada, 1995).

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**1.3 Peroxisomes and human disease**

The importance of peroxisomes for humans is evidenced by a number of serious

disease states arising from peroxisome dysfunction (reviewed in Lazarow and Moser, 1995; Moser and Moser, 1996; Wanders, 1999). The first class of diseases arises from a defect in peroxisome biogenesis; peroxisomes are undetectable or morphologically abnormal, and there are deficiencies in multiple peroxisomal functions. Various peroxisomal enzymes are mislocalized to the cytosol or are absent (Wanders *et al*., 1985; Schram *et al.,* 1986). but membrane proteins are often correctly assembled into peroxisomal "ghosts", which equilibrate at an unusually light density during isopycnic centrifugation (Santos *et al.,* 1988a; Santos *et al.,* 1988b; Gartner *et al.,* 1991). This class of diseases includes classical Zellweger syndrome (ZS), neonatal adrenoleukodystrophy (NALD), infantile Refsum disease (IRD) and hyperpipecolic acidemia. ZS, NALD and IRD patients share a similar range of clinical manifestations, including an accumulation of very long chain fatty acids ( $\geq C_{24}$ , which cannot be broken down by the mitochondrial  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway that is also present in animal cells), plasmalogen deficiency, severe mental retardation, hypotonia, neuronal migration defects, hepatic dysfunction and retinopathy. These three diseases are considered to be part of a continuum, with ZS being the most severe (death usually occurs within the first year) and IRD the least severe (death usually by age 10). Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata type 1 (RCDP-1) is a variant of this class of diseases, in which the import of only a few matrix proteins is affected, and there are fewer biochemical abnormalities. The disease is still quite severe, however, with death usually occurring within the first few years. Symptoms of RCDP-1 include defective plasmalogen synthesis, shortened limbs, epiphyseal calcific stippling, mental retardation and cataracts. There are at least thirteen complementation groups for the peroxisome biogenesis disorders, with twelve identified for the ZS spectrum and one for

RCDP-1 (Wanders, 1999); the genetic bases for ten of these are known (see Table 1-L). Disorders of peroxisome biogenesis have an autosomal recessive inheritance and occur at a combined frequency of 1 in 25,000 to 1 in 50,000 live births (Lazarow and Moser, 1995).

The second class of peroxisomal disorders results from a deficiency in the activity of a single protein or enzyme, but where the peroxisomes are otherwise intact. Examples of this class include X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy (deficiency in a protein of the ATP binding cassette superfamily of membrane transporters), primary hyperoxaluria type 1 (alanine.glyoxylate aminotransferase deficiency), classical Refsum disease (phytanoyl-CoA hydroxylase deficiency), RCDP-2 and -3 (dihydroxyacetonephosphate acyltransferase and alkyl-dihydroxyacetonephosphate synthetase deficiency, respectively) as well as deficiencies in individual  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes. Each disease has a different subset of clinical manifestations that are also common to the peroxisome biogenesis disorders (Lazarow and Moser, 1995; Moser and Moser, 1996; Wanders, 1999).

## **1.4 Import of proteins into peroxisomes**

Peroxisomes do not contain DNA (Kamiryo *et al*., 1982). Peroxisomal proteins are encoded by the nuclear genome, are translated almost exclusively on free polysomes in the cytosol, and are imported post-translationally into the organelle, usually without detectable modification (reviewed in Lazarow and Fujiki, 1985). Therefore, the information that directs proteins to peroxisomes is generally contained within the sequence of the mature protein.

## 1.4.1 Targeting signals for matrix proteins

To date, three types of peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS) have been identified (reviewed in Subramani, 1998; Hettema *et al.,* 1999). PTS1, the most commonly used signal, is a conserved tripeptide motif found at the extreme C-terminus of many peroxisomal proteins. The canonical PTS 1 sequence is SKL, which was first identified in firefly luciferase, and was found to be necessary and sufficient to direct proteins to peroxisomes in monkey kidney CV-1 cells (Gould *et al*., 1989). The fact that luciferase was also targeted to peroxisomes in plant and yeast cells (Gould *et al.,* 1990a), as well as the observation that antiserum directed against the SKL motif reacted specifically with proteins from peroxisomes, glyoxysomes and glycosomes of various species (Gould *et al.*, 1990b; Keller *et al.*, 1991), first suggested the universality of this targeting mechanism. Several conservative substitutions in the tripeptide are tolerated with respect to luciferase targeting in CV-1 cells (Gould *et al.,* 1989). From this, a general consensus for the PTS I emerged, which is (S/A/C)-(K/R/H)-(L), or a small uncharged residue in the first position, a basic residue in the middle, and a large hydrophobic residue in the terminal position. Further research has demonstrated that the range of acceptable C-terminal tripeptides is much expanded, but that allowable deviation from the consensus is both species- and context-specific (Aitchison *et al.,* 1991; Blattner *et al.*, 1992; Motley *et al.*, 1995; Elgersma *et al.*, 1996b; Purdue and Lazarow, 1996; Mullen *et al.,* 1997; Lametschwandtner *et al.,* 1998), with the amino acid residues immediately preceding the C-terminal tripeptide being the most critical, especially if it is an atypical signal (Purdue and Lazarow, 1996; Mullen *et al.,* 1997; Lametschwandtner *et al.,* 1998). The Pex5 family of peroxins are PTS1 receptors (discussed in Section 1.6). A comparison of the binding properties of S', *cerevisiae* and human PexSp reveal that there is

species specificity in their preferences for both C-terminal tripeptides, as well as for adjacent sequences (Lametschwandtner *et al.,* 1998)

PTS2 is a much less commonly used targeting signal, but it is also evolutionarily conserved. PTS2 sequences are found most notably in peroxisomal thiolases from yeast to humans and in a few other proteins (see de Hoop and AB, 1992; Subramani. 1998 for reviews). This sequence is located near the N-terminus and has the consensus structure  $(R/K)-(L/V/I)-(X)$ <sub>5</sub>-(H/Q)-(L/A). Unlike PTS1s, which are part of the mature proteins on which they are found, PTS2s are in some cases part of cleavable prepieces (Hijikata *et al.,* 1987; 1990; Bodnar and Rachubinski, 1990;Gietl, 1990; Osumi *etal.,* 1991; Swinkels *etal.,* 1991; Gietl *et al.,* 1994) that are normally removed during or after import into the peroxisomal matrix, although signal cleavage is not mechanistically coupled to import (Gietl *et al.,* 1994; Motley *et al.,* 1994). Also unlike PTS1 signals, which cannot function from internal locations (even one amino acid residue appended to the C-terminus of luciferase renders it cytosolic (Gould *et al.,* 1989)), PTS2s apparently do not have a critical position relative to the N-terminus; the prepieces of rat thiolases A and B differ in that thiolase A has a 10-amino acid residue extension N-terminal to the PTS2 consensus sequence (Hijikata *et al.,* 1987; 1990; Bodnar *et al.,* 1990). PTS2 signals are recognized by the Pex7 family of peroxins (discussed in Section 1.6).

Some peroxisomal matrix proteins do not have PTS I - or PTS2-like sequences, which suggests that there may be more targeting signals to be found. Some poorly defined internal regions of proteins have also been implicated in peroxisomal targeting. Two large regions of C. *tropicalis* acyl-CoA oxidase were found to be important for peroxisomal targeting using

an *in vitro* import assay (Small *et al.,* 1988). Two proteins with apparent PTS Is also have internal targeting information. Deletion of the C-terminal tripeptides SKF and AKL from *S. cerevisiae* catalase A and carnitine acetyltransferase, respectively, does not alter their peroxisomal localization (Kragler *et al.,* 1993; Elgersma *et al.,* 1995). However, it is interesting to note that targeting of carnitine acetyltransferase via the internal PTS is dependent on a functional PTSi receptor (Elgersma *et al.,* 1995). This has led to the speculation that accessory sequences on cargo proteins may exist to stabilize the receptorcargo interaction, especially for cargo proteins containing a PTS that binds with low affinity to the receptor, and that these putative accessory sequences may be sufficient in some circumstances to effect an interaction with the receptor (Elgersma *et al.,* 1996b; Hettema *et al.,* 1999).

## 1.4.2 Translocation of proteins across the peroxisomal membrane

The conditions required for import of proteins into peroxisomes have been examined using mammalian cells that have been microinjected (Walton *et al.,* 1992; Soto *et al.,* 1993; Walton *et al.,* 1994), or permeabilized with streptolysin-0 (Rapp *et al.,* 1993; Wendland and Subramani, 1993), as well as by import of *in vitro* translated proteins into purified peroxisomes (Imanaka *et al.,* 1987; Small and Lazarow, 1987) or glyoxysomes (Brickner *et al.,* 1997; Brickner and Olsen, 1998; Pool *et al.,* 1998). The combined findings of these studies suggest that the import of proteins into the matrix of peroxisomes (as judged by immunofluorescence following differential permeabilization of cellular membranes, or by protection from protease digestion) is a saturable process that appears to be independent of

a membrane potential and dependent on time, temperature, a PTS, ATP hydrolysis, Hsp70 type chaperones, and also involves peroxisome-associated factors sensitive to *N*ethylmaleimide (NEM). Imanaka et al. (1987) reported that import of a radiolabelled protein into isolated rat liver peroxisomes was not enhanced by the addition of rat liver cytosol, but the source of their import substrate was a rabbit reticulocyte lysate, which was shown by Wendland and Subramani (1993) to be sufficient to supply cytosolic components essential for peroxisomal protein import in a permeabilized cell system. However, a purified, bacterially produced protein apparently does not require cytosolic factors for its import into isolated glyoxysomes (Pool *et al*., 1998). Targeting (binding of the protein to the peroxisomal membrane) and import (translocation of the protein across the membrane) are separable processes, since the former can occur at low temperatures (0-4°C) and in the absence of ATP, while the latter requires temperatures of 26-37°C and ATP hydrolysis.

One remarkable feature of peroxisomal matrix protein import is that the translocation machinery is able to accommodate a wide variety of protein conformations. Oligomeric proteins (Glover *et al*., 1994; McNew and Goodman, 1994; Elgersma *et al.,* 1996b; Lee *et al.,* 1997), stably folded proteins (Walton *et al.,* 1995; Hausler *et al.,* 1996), branched polypeptides (Walton *et al.,* 1992) and even 9-nm gold particles decorated with PTS-lcontaining peptides (Walton *et al.,* 1995) have all been successfully transported across the membranes of peroxisomes or other microbodies. This suggests that translocating proteins need not be in a completely unfolded conformation. Transport of oligomers is particularly interesting, because it opens up the possibility that some peroxisomal proteins may lack specific targeting information of their own and gain entry to the peroxisomal matrix by

"piggybacking" across the membrane with a protein that has a PTS. While this has not yet been formally demonstrated for an unaltered endogenous peroxisomal protein, it is possible that some regions of proteins that have been identified as "internal" PTSs may actually be protein-protein interaction domains required for this mode of import (Elgersma and Tabak, 1996). Import of oligomeric proteins has been demonstrated *in vivo* for both the PTS1- (McNewand Goodman, 1994;Elgersma *etal.,* 1996b; Lepier*etal.,* 1996; Lee ef a/., 1997) and PTS2- (Glover *et al.,* 1994) dependent pathways. However, there is evidence to suggest that although import of oligomers is possible, it is not always essential (Lepier *et al.*, 1996) and may not be the most efficient method of translocation (Crookes and Olsen, 1998). Additionally, some proteins appear to be imported as monomers and subsequently multimerize in the peroxisomal matrix (Waterham *et al.,* 1997; Evers *et al.,* 1994; 1996).

The nature of the structure through which peroxisomal proteins must pass to reach the matrix is not known. It is also not known if all translocating proteins use the same translocon, or if there are multiple translocation machineries specific for different subsets of proteins. Hydrophilic channels composed of integral membrane proteins have been implicated in protein translocation across the membranes of other eukaryotic organelles (as well as the bacterial plasma membrane) (Schatz and Dobberstein, 1996), but none has yet been identified in peroxisomes. Although the translocation of oligomeric proteins might suggest a large pore like that found in the nuclear envelope (reviewed in Kessel *etal.,* 198S), the fact that *in vivo* the peroxisomal membrane is not freely permeable to small molecules (van Roermund *et al.,* 1995) suggests that the putative channel is either gated or forms only transiently. Additionally, large pores in peroxisomal membranes have never been reported from

morphological studies. Import of oligomeric proteins into peroxisomes has spawned the speculative theory that oligomerized proteins might be tolerated by the translocation apparatus because import occurs not via a proteinaceous channel through the membrane, but rather by the invagination and internalization of a region of the peroxisomal membrane. The membrane vesicle would then be triggered to open or dissolve in order to release its contents to the matrix (McNew and Goodman, 1994).

#### 1.4.3 Targeting signals for integral membrane proteins

With one possible exception (Bodnar and Rachubinski, 1991), integral peroxisomal membrane proteins (PMPs) are also translated on free cytosolic polysomes (Fujiki *et al..* 1984; Suzuki *et al..* 1987; Bodnar and Rachubinski, 1991). PMP targeting is somewhat separable from, and seems to precede, matrix protein targeting, since most cells with defects in peroxisome biogenesis contain peroxisomal "ghosts"or other detectable structures that contain membrane proteins but lack some or most matrix proteins (for examples see Santos *et al..* 1988a; Santos *et al.,* 1988b; Kalish *et al.,* 1996; Gould *et al.,* 1996; Erdmann and Blobel, 1996). The third PTS identified is a less well characterized sequence found on some PMPs (reviewed in Subramani, 1998; Hettema *el al.,* 1999). The mPTS, as it is known, is not similar in structure to either the PTS1 or the PTS2 signal. The mPTS was first defined for C. *boidinii* PMP47, a protein that is predicted to have six membrane-spanning domains (McCammon *et al.,* 1994). A 20 amino acid residue hydrophilic loop located between two transmembrane domains, and predicted to face the peroxisomal matrix, was both necessary and sufficient for peroxisomal targeting (Dyer *et al.,* 1996). Sequences within the first 45

amino acid residues of *H. polymorpha* (Baerends *et al.,* 1996), *P. pastoris* (Wiemer *et al.,* 1996), *S. cerevisiae* (Krause, 1995; cited in Soukupova *et al.,* 1999) and human (Kammerer *et al.,* 1998; Soukupova *et al.,* 1999) Pex3 proteins are sufficient to target reporter proteins efficiently to peroxisomes. The C-tenrainal tail of *S. cerevisiae* Pexl5p also contains peroxisomal targeting information (Elgersma *etal.,* 1997). The regions of these proteins that can confer peroxisomal localization share a common motif with the consensus sequence (F/L)-(L/I/V)-X-(R/K)-X-(K/R)-X-(K/R>-X-(L/I)-(V/I/F/M)-Xg.9-(FAr) (Elgersma *et al.,* 1997; Soukupova *et al.*, 1999). The targeting signals on PpPex3p<sup>1</sup>, ScPex3p, HsPex3p and  $ScPex15p$  are, like the mPTS from  $CbPMP-47$ , also on regions of their respective proteins that are predicted to face the peroxisomal matrix. A receptor for the mPTS has not yet been identified. The first 25 amino acid residues of Pex22p from *P. pastoris* can target a reporter protein to peroxisomes (Koller *et al.*, 1999). This region is predicted to face the matrix and includes a stretch of positively charges residues, but it does not share extensive sequence similarity with the consensus mPTS and. may therefore represent a distinct peroxisomal membrane targeting signal.

## *1.4.4 ER involvement in peroxisomal naembraneprotein trafficking*

Evidence for ER involvement in PIMP transport comes from the study of *Y. lipolytica* proteins Pex2p (an integral PMP (Eitzem *et al.,* 1995)) and Pexl6p (a peripheral PMP

**i**

By convention (Distel *et al.*, 1996), the two letters preceding the name of a protein or gene designate the genus (upper case) and species (lower case) of the organism in which it was identified.

associated with the inner face of the peroxisomal membrane (Eitzen *et al*., 1997)). These proteins normally traffic through the ER on their way to peroxisomes and are present in peroxisomes with ER-specific modifications (Titorenko and Rachubinski, 1998b). However, I7Pex2p and P7Pexl6p are delayed or blocked in their exit from the ER in some mutants of the secretory pathway or peroxisome biogenesis, respectively. No peroxisomal matrix proteins tested were detected in ER-enriched fractions, suggesting that this trafficking route may be specific for PMPs in *Y. lipolytica.*

Interestingly, the minimal regions of  $HpPex3p$  and  $ScPex15p$  that contain the mPTS seem to also contain information for targeting to the ER. An overproduced reporter protein with the first 16 amino acid residues of  $HpPex3p$  fused to its N-terminus is inefficiently targeted to peroxisomes, primarily accumulating in ER membranes surrounding the nucleus (Baerends *et al.*, 1996). Overproduction of ScPex15p or of a reporter protein fused to the C-terminal 82 amino acid residues of  $ScPex15p$  resulted in the localization of the proteins to profoundly proliferated ER membranes that also surround the nucleus (Elgersma *et al*., 1997). However, there is recent evidence that, at least in *S. cerevisiae*, this effect may be nonspecific, and that the ER may be a sink for overproduced hydrophobic proteins (Stroobants *e ta l*., 1999; Hettema *et al.,* 1999).

#### 1.4.5 Integration of proteins into the peroxisomal membrane

Insertion of PMPs has been studied using *in vitro* import into isolated peroxisomes (Diestelkotter and Just, 1993; Imanaka *et al.,* 1996; Pause *el al.,* 1997) or semi-intact cells (Diestelkötter and Just, 1993). The results of these studies suggest that, like matrix protein
import, PMP insertion (as judged by resistance to carbonate extraction or digestion by a specific protease) is also time- and temperature-dependent, and involves cytosolic factors (one of which is probably the TCP1 ring complex chaperonin (Pause *et al.,* 1997)) as well as proteins at the peroxisome surface. However, in contrast to matrix protein import, PMP insertion is not dependent on ATP hydrolysis and is not inhibited by treatment with NEM. Binding to the membrane surface and insertion have been found to be separable events for rat PMP22, since binding occurs at 0°C, while insertion occurs at 26°C (Diestelkötter and Just, 1993). However, binding of rat PMP70 to isolated peroxisomes at 0°C was not detected (both binding and insertion were observed to occur at  $26^{\circ}$ C) (Imanaka *et al.*, 1996), suggesting that slightly different mechanisms may be at work for the integration of these two proteins.

It is not currently known if PMPs use the same putative translocon(s) as matrix proteins. Also, it is difficult to see how the theory of protein import by membrane invagination (McNew and Goodman, 1994) could be applied to PMPs.

### **1.5 Model systems for the study of peroxisome biogenesis at the molecular level**

As discussed in the preceding sections, initial investigations of peroxisome biogenesis focussed on the requirements for targeting of peroxisomal proteins and their import into the matrix or integration into the membrane. While these investigations began to establish the basic conditions that promote peroxisomal protein import/integration, they did little to elucidate the molecular mechanisms involved in this process and could not address the more preliminary events in peroxisome formation.

By far the most informative approach in the search for peroxins (proteins directly involved in peroxisome biogenesis and encoded by *PEX* genes (Distel *et al.*, 1996)) has been the creation and characterization of mutants of peroxisome biogenesis *{pex* mutants) in genetically tractable systems. Several species of yeast as well as Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells have been employed for this purpose. This strategy is possible, because under certain conditions peroxisomes are dispensable for cell survival, thus allowing the maintenance of mutant cell lines and obviating the need for temperature-sensitive mutations that would be necessary if the organelle were essential under all conditions. The first *pex* mutants in yeast were identified in *S. cerevisiae* (Erdmann *et al.,* 1989). This screen exploited the fact that peroxisomes are the exclusive site of  $\beta$ -oxidation in yeast (Kunau *et al.*, 1988), so colonies unable to grow on media with oleic acid as the sole carbon source are likely to have a defect in peroxisome function. Peroxisome assembly mutants were distinguished from  $\beta$ -oxidation or metabolite transport mutants by screening the mutants for abnormal peroxisomal morphology in electron micrographs, as well as by the mislocalization of peroxisomal marker enzymes after subcellular fractionation. The defective genes were isolated by transforming the mutants with a genomic DNA library and assaying for functi onal complementation of the oleate growth defect (Erdmann *et al.*, 1991; Höhfeld *et al.*, 1991; Wiebel and Kunau, 1992). Similar negative selection strategies have been used to identify pex mutants in the yeasts *H. polymorpha* (Cregg *et al.,* 1990; Nuttley *et al.,* 1995), *P. pastoris* (Gould *et al.,* 1992; Liu *et al.,* 1992) and *Y. lipolytica* (Nuttley *et al.,* 1993), using a growth assay on methanol or oleic acid medium, as appropriate, as the primary screen. Other screens of mutated cells employing different selection strategies (reviewed in Elgersma and Tabak,

1996; Subramani 1998) have been used to identify *pex* mutants in yeasts and CHO cells. Peroxins have also been found by reverse genetics *{e.g.* Erdmann and Blobel, 1995), as well as by two-hybrid interactions *{e.g.* Brocard *et al*., 1994). These two techniques allow the identification of *PEX* genes that may be essential, and which would therefore not be isolated using a growth screen. Other *PEX* genes have been identified based on their sequence similarities to genes cloned from other species using nucleic acid hybridization (Shimozawa *et a l*., 1992), degenerate PCR *{e.g.* Heyman *et al*., 1994) or database searching *(e.g.* Dodt *et al*., 1995). The subsequent identification of human *PEX* gene orthologues, several of which have been found to be mutated in patients with peroxisome biogenesis disorders (reviewed in Wanders, 1999), demonstrate the validity of using yeast and CHO *pex* mutants as models for these diseases. Table 1-1 lists *PEX* genes identified to date and key features of the peroxins they encode.

At least 23 different proteins have been identified as having a role in peroxisome assembly. These include proteins hypothesized to function in PTS recognition (Pex5p, Pex7p), docking and binding of PTS receptor-cargo protein complexes at the membrane (PexlOp, Pexl2p, Pexl3p, Pexl4p, Pexl7p), organelle proliferation (Pexllp, Pexl6p), insertion of membrane proteins (Pex3p, Pex19p), molecular chaperoning (Pex20p), recruitment of membrane lipids (Pex2p) and membrane fusion events (Pex1p and Pex6p). However, there is only direct evidence for function for a few of these. For many *PEX* genes, encoding widely divergent proteins, the effect of their mutation is abnormal peroxisome morphology and mislocalization of a subset of peroxisomal proteins to the cytosol. This phenotype is not particularly informative for assigning functions to the gene products, since mislocalization of matrix proteins does not necessarily imply that the affected peroxin

participates in protein translocation; for example, the import defect could be a downstream

effect of the failure to correctly proliferate the organelle or assemble membrane components.

The best functionally characterized peroxins are Pex5p and Pex7p, which are discussed in

Section 1.6.

# **Table 1-1. Proteins involved in peroxisome biogenesis**

Abbreviations: AAA, ATPases associated with diverse cellular activities; ARF, ADPribosylation factor; CG, complementation group of human peroxisome biogenesis disorders; PMP, peroxisomal membrane protein; SH3, Src homology 3; TPR, tetratricopeptide repeat.







**\* Peroxin nomenclature according to Distel** *et al.,* **1996.**

<sup>b</sup> Nomenclature of Kennedy Krieger Insitute (USA) research group (Wanders, 1999).

**c Nomenclature of Gifu University School of Medicine (Japan) research group (Wanders, 1999).**

#### **1.6 PTS1 and PTS2 receptors**

Pex5p and Pex7p have been shown to be receptors for PTS 1 and PTS2, respectively. The Pex5 family is characterized by a tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain in the C-termiinal half of the protein that is involved in PTS I binding (Brocard *el al.,* 1994; Dodt *et al.,* 19\*95; Terlecky *et al.*, 1995; Wiemer *et al.*, 1995; Kragler *et al.*, 1998). TPR domains consist of tandem arrays of a degenerate 34-amino acid residue motif and have been implicated in protein-protein interactions for proteins with many diverse cellular roles (Goebl and Yanagida, 1991). The hallmark of the Pex7 peroxin is the WD-40 motif, which has also been implicated in protein-protein interactions, including those with TPR-containing prote=ins (Goebl and Yanagida, 1991; van der Voorn and Ploegh, 1992).

As Table 1-1 indicates, the subcellular localization of Pex5p and Pex7p is somewThat controversial, and has led to several hypotheses concerning their mechanisms of function

(reviewed in Erdmann *et al.,* 1997; Waterham and Cregg, 1997). Tight association with the cytoplasmic face of the peroxisomal membrane implies a static receptor that binds polypeptides at the membrane surface prior to import. A combined cytosolic and peroxisomeassociated localization suggests that Pex5p and Pex7p may be more dynamic, binding their recognition sequences in the cytosol, docking at the peroxisomal membrane and releasing their cargo protein for subsequent import by other factors. Partial localization inside peroxisomes led to the extended shuttle hypothesis, whereby the receptor accompanies cargo proteins through the translocon, disengages from the cargo in the matrix and returns to the cytosol. Finally, localization of a receptor exclusively in the peroxisomal matrix of peroxisomes has led to the suggestion that PTS receptors can operate from inside peroxisomes to actively pull proteins into the organelle. A model incorporating all these disparate findings has been proposed, which hypothesises that PTS receptors cycle between the cytosol and peroxisomes, and that changing cellular conditions may influence the relative distributions of the receptors (Rachubinski and Subramani, 1995). Additionally, although the proteins encoded by the *PEX5* and *PEX7* genes have high amino acid residue sequence similarities within their respective families, it is not inconceivable that their functions may differ as individual organisms may have evolved slightly different mechanisms for maximizing efficient matrix protein import.

Although Pex5p- and Pex7p-dependent protein targeting are independent processes in lower eukaryotes, both PTS receptors interact (directly or indirectly) with a common network of peroxins at the peroxisomal membrane that includes Pexl3p (Elgersma *et al.,* 1996a; Erdmann and Blobel, 1996; Gould *et al.,* 1996; Girzalsky *et al.,* 1999), Pexl4p

**22**

(Aibertini *et al.,* 1997; Brocard *etal.,* 1997; Komori *et al.,* 1997; Girzalsky *etal.,* 1999) and Pex17p (Huhse *et al.*, 1998). These three peroxins are hypothesized to be part of a PTS receptor docking complex.

The loss of function of either PTS receptor leads to disease states in humans, causing NALD and ZS in the case of Pex5p mutation (Dodt *et al.,* 1995) and RCDP-1 in the case of Pex7p deficiency (Braverman *et al.,* 1997; Motley *et al.,* 1997; Purdue *et al.,* 1997). Interestingly, import of proteins by the PTS1 and PTS2 pathways is not entirely independent in mammalian cells. The human (Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *etal.,* 1995; Braverman *et al.,* 1998), mouse (Braverman *et al.,* 1998) and Chinese hamster (Otera *et al.,* 1998) *PEX5* genes each encode two forms of the protein that differ by the presence or absence of a 37-amino acid residue insertion N-terminal to the TPR domain, apparentlygenerated by alternative splicing of the *PEX5* transcript. The short form of Pex5p can functionally complement the PTS1 import defect in*pex5* mutant cell lines, but only the long form of the protein can also rescue the PTS2 import defect that is an additional feature of some *pex5* cell lines (Braverman *et al.,* 1998; Otera *et al.,* 1998). Mammalian orthologues of Pex13p (Gould *et al.,* 1996; Fransen *et al.,* 1998; Liu *et al.,* 1999; Shimozawa *et al.,* 1999) and Pexl4p (Fransen *et al.,* 1998; Will *et al.,* 1999; Shimizu *et al.,* 1999; Schliebs *et al.,* 1999) have also been identified. Recently, human Pex10p and Pex12p have been shown to interact, and to be involved in an event (or events) downstream of the initial docking step (Chang *et al.,* 1999). The molecular processes that occur at the peroxisomal membrane are not yet known.

### **1.7 Scope and approach of this thesis**

At the time this project was initiated, the genetic analysis of peroxisome biogenesis was in its infancy. A total of only five genes from *S. cerevisiae* (Erdmann *et al.,* 1991; Hohfeld *et al.,* 1991; Wiebel and Kunau, 1992), rat (Tsukamoto *et al.,* 1991) and human (Shimozawa *et al.,* 1992), representing four peroxin families, had been reported. The Rachubinski laboratory had developed an effective screening methodology for mutants of peroxisome biogenesis in the yeast *Y. lipolytica* (Nuttley *et al.,* 1993). This genetically amenable species offers an advantage over the traditionally studied yeast *S. cerevisiae*, in that *Y. lipolytica* has a greater peroxisome proliferative response when grown in oleic acid medium, thus easily allowing the isolation of large amounts of peroxisomal material. The original screen had identified only two *pex* mutants in this yeast; many more would be needed to more completely understand the molecular mechanisms of peroxisome assembly. The work presented herein describes the creation of a series of mutants, the biochemical characterization of one of these, the identification of the complementing gene and an analysis of the function of its product.

# **CHAPTER 2**

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

**Materials and Methods**

# **2.1 Materials**

All reagents were of the highest quality available and, where required, were used according to the manufacturers' specifications, unless otherwise indicated.

# *2.1.1 Chemicals and reagents*







# *2.1.2 Enzymes*

*2 .*1.2.1 DNA modifying enzymes



2.1.2.2 Other enzymes



# *2.1.3 Multicomponent systems*

QIAprep MiniPrep Kit Qiagen Plasmid Midi Kit QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit Random Primers Labelling Kit Ready-to-Go PCR Beads

Qiagen Qiagen Qiagen Roche Amersham-Pharmacia



# *2.1.4 Radiochemicals and detection systems*



### *2.1.5 Molecular size standards*

1 kb DNA ladder (75-12,216 bp) 25 bp DNA ladder (25-500 bp) prestained markers for SDS-PAGE (6.5, 16.5, 25, 32.5, 47.5, 62, 83, 175 kD)

*2.1.6 Plasmid vectors*

2.1.6.1 *E. coli* vectors

pBluescriptSKII(-) pGEM5Zf(+)  $pGEM7Zf(+)$ pGEX-4T 1 pMAL-c2 pRcCMV

Gibco/BRL NEB

Gibco/BRL

Stratagene Promega Promega Amersham-Pharmacia NEB Invitrogen

### 2.1.6.2 *E. coli/yeast shuttle vectors*





### *2.1. 7 Antibodies*

#### 2.1.7.1 Commercially obtained antibodies



# 2.1.7.2 Other antibodies

The production of anti-YIPex5p antibodies is described in Section 2.12. Rabbit anti-SKL serum was prepared by Dr. John Glover (McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario) against the peptide NH<sub>2</sub>-CRYHLKPLQSKL-COOH conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin, as described (Gould *et al.*, 1990b). Guinea pig antiserum to *Y. lipolytica* thiolase was prepared by Dr. Gary Eitzen and Ms. Eileen Reklow against a fusion protein consisting of *E. coli* maltose binding protein (MBP) and *Y. lipolytica* thiolase (Eitzen *et al..* 1996). Rabbit antibodies to *S. cerevisiae* peroxisomal acyl-CoA oxidase that also recognize *Y. lipolytica* acyl-CoA oxidase I were a gift from Dr. Joel Goodman (University of Texas. Dallas, Texas). Rabbit antibodies to P. *pastoris* Pex5p (McCollum *et al*., 1993) were a gift from Dr. Suresh Subramani. Rabbit antibodies to *Y. lipolytica* Kar2p (Titorenko *etal.,* 1997)

were a gift from Dr. David Ogrydziak (University of California, Davis, California).

# *2.1.8 Oligodeoxyribonucleotides*

Table 2-1 details the sequences and applications of oligodeoxyribonucleotides (oligonucleotides) used in this study. Oligonucleotides were synthesised at the DNA Sequencing Facility, Department of Biochemistry, University of Alberta or using a Beckman Oligo 1000M synthesizer at the Department of Cell Biology, University of Alberta.

Name	Sequence <sup>2</sup> b	Application
PEX5#1	AGAACTGGAACGCCGAG	PEX5 sequencing primer
<b>PEX5#2</b>	CTGCCGTTGGCAAGGGT	$\mathbf{H}$
PEX5#3	TGCCGACTACCAGTTCG	$\bullet\bullet$
<b>PEX5#4</b>	AGCCATGTTGGCACTCA	$\mathbf{r}$
PEX5#5	TCCGTCAGGGGACAGTT	$\pmb{\mathfrak{m}}$
<b>PEX5#6</b>	CAGGTTAGTGGACTGGT	$\bullet\bullet$
<b>PEX5#7</b>	<b>ACTAATCTGTGACTCTT</b>	$\bullet\bullet$
<b>PEX5#9</b>	<b>CCAGCGCGGTAGTTGCA</b>	$\bullet$
PEX5#10	<b>GCCTCGGCTCAAATTCC</b>	$\mathbf{r}$
PEX5#11	CCGCTGAGGACCGATCC	$\mathbf{H}$
PEX5#12	ACCAACGAAGGATACGAG	$\pmb{\mathcal{H}}$
PEX5#13	CTCTTCTGTGGAATAGAC	$^{\bullet}$
PEX5#14	AAGGTTGGAAACGGAATG	$\bullet$
PEX5#15	<b>TAAACTGTGACTCTTGCC</b>	$\bullet\bullet$
PEX5#16	GGATCGGTCCTCAGCGG	$\bullet\bullet$
PEX5#17	TGGAACGATGCATCAAGC	Ħ
PEX5#18	CAAGGTTGGAAACGGAATGG	Ħ

**Table 2-1 Synthetic oligodeoxyribonucleotides**





**a All sequences are written S' to 3'.**

**b Relevant restriction endonuclease sites or compatible overhangs are underlined (see appropriate sections of this chapter for details).**

# *2.1.9 Commonly used buffered solutions*

Table 2-2 details the compositions of some commonly used buffered solutions.

Unless otherwise indicated, all solutions of solids that are expressed in percentages refer to

weight per volume.

# **2.2 Microorganisms and culture conditions**

### *2.2.1 Bacterial and bacteriophage strains and culture conditions*

The *Escherichia coli* strain DH5α (F φ80dlacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR

Solution	Composition	Reference
50 x Denhardt's	1% Ficoll, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 1% BSA	Maniatis et al., 1982
$5 \times KGB$	0.5 M potassium glutamate, 125 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.6, 50 mM magnesium acetate, 250 µg BSA/mL, 2.5 mM 2- mercaptoethanol	Hanish and McClelland. 1988
P8BB	20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.3, 110 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM sodium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT	McCollum et al., 1993
<b>PBS</b>	137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 1.5 mMKH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , pH 7.3	Pringle et al., 1991
$20 \times SSC$	3 M NaCl, 0.3 M trisodium citrate, pH 7.0	Maniatis et al., 1982
$10 \times TBE$	0.89 M Tris-borate, 0.89 M boric acid, 0.02 M EDTA	Maniatis et al., 1982
TBST	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% (w/v) Tween 20	Huvnh <i>et al</i> ., 1985
TE	10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0-8.0 (as appropriate), 1 mM EDTA	Maniatis et al., 1982

**Table 2-2 Buffered solutions**

*recA1 endA1 hsdR17(r<sub>K</sub>, m<sub>K</sub><sup>+</sup>) <i>phoA supE44*  $\lambda$ *<sup>-</sup> thi-1 gyrA96 relA1)* (Gibco/BRL) was routinely used for the amplification of plasmids (Sections 2.3.1 and 2.4.1). *E. coli* TG1 (K12) *A(lac-pro) supE thi hsdD5/F'traD36 proA<sup>-</sup>B<sup>-</sup> lacIq lacZΔM15) (Amersham-Pharmacia)* cells were infected with bacteriophage M13 K07 (Promega) to generate single-stranded DX A (Sections 2.7.1 and 2.7.2). *E. coli* BLR-DE3 (F<sup> $\cdot$ </sup> *ompT hsd*S<sub>B</sub>( $r_B\bar{r}$ , m<sub>B</sub> $\cdot$ ) *gal dcm Ion* (*srlrecA)306::Tn10* (DE3)) (Novagen) was used for the production of maltose binding protein (MBP) and glutathione-S-transferase (GST) chimeric proteins (Sections 2.12.1, 2.15.2 and 2.15.3). Bacteria were grown in a rotary shaker and, unless otherwise indicated, growth was at 37°C. Table 2-3 lists the bacterial culture media employed in this study.

Medium	Composition	Reference
$2 \times \text{YT}^2$	1.6 % tryptone, 1% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl	Pharmacia GST Gene Fusion System Protocol, Second Edition, Revision
$I.B^{a b}$	1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl, pH 7.5	Maniatis et al., 1982
<b>SOB</b>	2% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl	Maniatis et al., 1982
SOC <sup>c</sup>	SOB containing 10 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> , 10 mM MgSO <sub>4</sub> , 0.36% glucose	Maniatis et al. 1982
TYP <sup>a</sup>	1.6% tryptone, 1.6% yeast extract, 0.5% NaCl, 0.25% K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	Promega Protocols and Applications Guide, 1989/1990

**Table 2-3 Bacterial culture media**

**a Ampicillin was added to 100 pg/mL for plasmid selection, as necessary.**

<sup>c</sup> MgCl<sub>2</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub> and glucose were added from stock solutions after autoclaving.

### *2.2.2 Yeast strains and culture conditions*

*Yarrowia lipolytica* parental strains *E122* and *22301-3* were gifts from Dr. Claude

Gaillardin. Genotypes of *Y. lipolytica* parental strains and their derivatives are given in Table

2-4. Construction of derivative strains is discussed in Section 2.11. *Pichia pastoris* strain

*PPY3* (*arg-4*) and strain *PPY27* (*arg-4, pex5-1*), also known as *pas8-1*, (Gould *et al.,* 1992;

McCollum *et al.,* 1993) were gifts from Dr. Suresh Subramani.

Ail yeast strains were grown in a rotary shaker at 30°C unless otherwise indicated.

Table 2-5 lists the yeast culture media employed in this study.

**b For solid media, agar was added to 1.5%.**

Strain	Genotype	Description
E122	MATA, ura3-302, leu2-270, lys8-11	Parental strain
$22301 - 3$	MATB, ura 3-302, leu2-270, his1	Parental strain
$pex5-I$	MATA, ura3-302, leu2-270, lys8-11, pex5-1	Section 2.11.1
pex5-KO	MATA, ura3-302, leu2-270, lys8-11, pex5::LEU2	Section 2.11.3
pex5-KOB	MATB, ura3-302, leu2-270, his1, pex5::LEU2	Section 2.11.3
<i>DWT.AWTB</i>	$MATAALATB$ , ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11/+, his1/+	Section 2.11.4
<b>DWTAKOB</b>	MATA/MATB, ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11/+, his1/-,	Section 2.11.4
	$pec5$ :LEU2/+	
<b>D5AWTB</b>	$MATAMATB$ , ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11/+, his1/-,	Section 2.11.4
	$pec5-I/+$	
<b>D5AKOB</b>	$M4TA/M4TB$ , ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11/+, his1/-,	Section 2.11.4
	$pex5-I/pec5::LEU2$	
DKO.4WTB	$MATA/MATB$ , ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11/+, his1 -,	Section 2.11.4
	$pec5::LEU2/+$	
<i>DKO.AKOB</i>	$\Lambda$ L4T.4 $\Lambda$ L4TB, ura3-302/ura3-302, leu2-270/leu2-270, lys8-11 +, his1 +,	Section 2.11.4
	pex5::LEU2/pex5::LEU2	
E:GDUS	MATA, ura3-302, leu2-270, lys8-11, PEX5::pGDU3(pex5-G455D,URA3)	Section 2.11.6
$pex5-G455D$	MATA, ura3-302, leu2-270, lys8-11, pex5-G455D	Section 2.11.6

**Table 2-4** *Y. lipolytica strains used in this study*

# **2.3 Introduction of DNA into microorganisms**

# 2.3.1 Chemical transformation of E. coli

Plasmids were generally amplified in *E. coli* DH5a cells purchased in a transformation-competent state (subcloning efficiency) from Gibco/BRL and transformed

**Medium Composition\*-" Reference 2 x CMD 1.34% YNB, CSM (minus leucine and uracil, as required) at twice the manufacturer's recommended concentration, 2% glucose This study 2 xCMO 1.34% YNB, CSM (minus leucine and uracil, as required) at twice the manufacturer's recommended concentration, 0.05% (w/v) Tween 40, 0.1% (w/v) oleic acid This study PSM 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% ammonium sulphate, 0.2% KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2% glucose Gaillardin** *et al.,* **1973 YEPA 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% sodium acetate Brade, 1992 YEPD** 2% peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% glucose *Rose et al.***, 1988 YM 0.5% peptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 0.3% malt extract Gaillardin** *et al.,* **1973 YNAC 0.67% YNB. 2% sodium acetate Brade, 1992 YNA+sorbitoF 0.67% YNB. 2% sodium acetate, 1 M sorbitol Brade, 1992 YND<sup>c</sup> 0.67% YNB, 2% glucose 1988 Rose** *et al.***, 1988 YNOc 0.67% YNB. 0.05% (w/v) Tween 40, 0.1% (w/v) oleic acid Nuttley** *et al.,* **1993 YPBO 0.3%** yeast extract, 0.5% peptone, 0.5% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>1</sub>, 0.5% **KFLPOj, 1% Brij 35, 1% (w/v) oleic acid Kamiryo** *et al.,* **1982 SOLT 0.67% YNB. 0.02% (w/v) Tween 20, 0.2% (w/v) oleic acid Gould** *et al..* **1992**

**Table 2-5 Yeast culture media**

**1 For solid media, agar was added to 2%.**

**b Glucose and oleic acid were added from stock solutions after autoclaving.**

**' Leucine, lysine, histidine and uracil were added to 30 pg/mL. 30 pg/mL. 30 pg/mL and 50 pg/mL, respectively, as necessary'.**

according to the manufacturer's protocol. A 25  $\mu$ L aliquot of cells was thawed on ice and mixed with 1-2  $\mu$ L of a ligation reaction (Section 2.5.8) or 0.5  $\mu$ L (approximately 0.5  $\mu$ g) of plasmid DNA. Cells were incubated on ice for 30 min, placed in a  $37^{\circ}$ C water bath for 20 sec and immediately returned to ice for 2 min. 1 mL of LB was added to the cells, which were then shaken at 250 rpm for 30-45 min at 37°C. Cells were spread onto LB-ampicillin plates and incubated at 37°C overnight to allow for colony formation. If necessary, 75 pL

of 2% X-gal in dimethylformamide was spread on the surface of the agar before the cells were plated to allow for blue/white selection of colonies harbouring recombinant plasmids.

### *2.3.2 Electroporation*

All electroporations used BRL microelectroporation chambers (width -0.15 cm) in a BRL Cell-Porator connected to a BRL Voltage Booster.

#### 2.3.2.1 Electroporation of *E. coli*

For high-efficiency transformations, plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* by electroporation. Cells were prepared for electroporation essentially by the method suggested by Gibco/BRL. Briefly, 0.5 mL of an overnight culture of *E. coli* in SOB was used to inoculate 500 mL of SOB in a 2 L flask. Cells were grown at 37°C with vigorous aeration until the culture had reached an  $OD_{600}$  (optical density at a wavelength of 600 nm) of 0.5. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,600 x g for 10 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The cell pellet was washed twice with ice-cold sterile  $10\%$  (v/v) glycerol. The final cell pellet was resuspended in 10% glycerol to a final volume of 2 mL. Cells were either used immediately or frozen as 110  $\mu$ L aliquots in a dry ice-ethanol bath and stored at -80°C. 1-2  $\mu$ L of a plasmidcontaining solution was gently mixed with 20  $\mu$ L of cells. Cells were placed between the bosses of a chilled microelectroporation chamber and electroporated with a pulse of 395 V amplified to  $\sim$ 2.4 kV, using a capacitance of 2  $\mu$ F and a resistance of 4 k $\Omega$ . Cells were immediately placed in 1 mL of SOC and grown at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 1 h in a rotary shaker before plating on LB-ampicillin.

### 2.3.2.2 Electroporation of *Y. lipolytica*

A 10 mL overnight culture of *Y. lipolytica* cells grown in YEPA was added to 50 mL of fresh YEPA in a 250 mL flask and shaken at 250 rpm at 30°C until an OD<sub>600</sub> of approximately 1.0 was reached. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,000 x *g* and resuspended in 50 mL of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM lithium acetate. The suspension was gently shaken for 30 min at room temperature or at 30°C. DTT was added to a final concentration of 20 mM, and the incubation was continued for a further  $15$ min. Cells were collected by centrifugation as before and washed once each in roomtemperature water, ice-cold water and ice-cold 1 M sorbitol. Cells were resuspended in the sorbitol solution that remained in the tube after pouring off the final supernatant.  $0.5 \mu L$ (approximately 0.5  $\mu$ g) of circular plasmid DNA or 100-300 ng of linearized DNA was gently mixed with 20 µL of cells and placed between the bosses of a chilled microelectroporation chamber. Cells were electroporated with a pulse of 250 V boosted to  $\sim$ 1.6 kV (capacitance 2  $\mu$ F; resistance 16 kΩ), followed by immediate mixing into 100  $\mu$ L of 1 M sorbitol and plating onto selective media. When maximum transformation efficiency was required *(e.g.* library screening, integration of linear DNA molecules), the cells were plated on YNA+sorbitol. For routine plasmid transformations, the cells were usually plated on YNA. Colonies were usually visible after 36-48 h of growth at 30°C.

#### 2.3.2.3 Electroporation of *P. pastoris*

The transformation procedure was essentially the same as that for *Y. lipolytica* except that 1) the cells were cultured in YEPD; 2) a resistance of 4 k $\Omega$  was used; 3) the

electroporated cells were plated onto selective YND.

### **2.4 DNA isolation**

#### *2.4.1 DNA isolation from bacteria*

2.4.1.1 Small-scale plasmid isolations

#### 2.*4.1*. *1.1 Alkaline lysis preparation*

The alkaline lysis technique of plasmid isolation was used essentially as described by Maniatis et al. (1982). A single bacterial colony was used to inoculate 2 mL of LBampicillin. Cells from 1.5 mL of a saturated culture were pelleted by microcentrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 2 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100  $\mu$ L of 50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA. Cellular DNA was denatured by gentle mixing with 200 pL of 0.2 M NaOH, 1% SDS and incubated on ice for 2-3 min. Renaturation of plasmid DNA and precipitation of cellular proteins, high molecular mass RNA and chromosomal DNA were achieved by gentle mixing with 150  $\mu$ L of potassium acetate solution (3M K<sup>-</sup>, 5M) acetate), followed by a 5 min incubation on ice. After removal of the precipitate by microcentrifugation for 5 min at 4°C, the supernatant was extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol  $(26:25:1)$ , followed by an extraction with an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). Aqueous and organic phases were separated by microcentrifugation at 16,000 *x g* for 2 min. DNA was precipitated from the aqueous phase by mixing with two volumes of absolute ethanol. DNA was pelleted by microcentrifugation for 7 min, and the resultant DNA pellet was rinsed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The dried pellet was dissolved in 40  $\mu$ L of

TE (pH 8.0) containing 20 µg RNase A/mL. Plasmids were analysed by restriction endonuclease digestion (Section 2.5.1) and, where necessary, by DNA sequencing (Section 2 **.**6**.** 1**) .**

# 2.*4.1.1.2 OlAprep Mini Prep kit*

Cells from 1.5 mL of a saturated LB-ampicillin culture were harvested by centrifugation for 2 min at 16,000 x g, and the supernatant was removed. Cells were lysed. and plasmid DNA was purified with a QIAprep MiniPrep kit (Qiagen), which uses a modified alkaline lysis procedure to disrupt the cells, followed by purification of the DNA on a silicagel membrane that selectively adsorbs DNA in the presence of high-salt. The manufacturer's instructions were followed, and the optional wash step with buffer PB was included.

### 2.4.1.2 Large-scale plasmid isolation

Qiagen DNA purification columns were used according to the manufacturer's specifications when large amounts of purified DNA was required. Cells from 100 mL of a saturated LB-ampicillin culture were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 x *g* and resuspended in 4 mL of P1 (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 100  $\mu$ g RNase A/mL). After a 5 min incubation on ice, the cellular DNA was denatured by gently mixing in 4 mL of P2 (200 mM NaOH, 1% SDS) and incubating for 5 min at room temperature. To precipitate proteins and chromosomal DNA, 4 mL of P3 (3.0 M potassium acetate, pH 5.5) was added and gently mixed, followed by a 15 min incubation on ice. Precipitated products were separated from the plasmid DNA by centrifugation at 29,000 x *g* for 30 min at 4°C.

The supernatant was further clarified by centrifugation for 15 min at 29,000 x g at 4 °C and applied to a Qiagen Tip-100 ion-exchange column that had been equilibrated with 10 mL of QBT (750 mMNaCl, 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 15% ethanol, 0.15% (w/v) Triton X-100). The column was washed with 10 mL of QC (1.0 M NaCl, 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 15% ethanol), and the DNA was eluted with 5mL of QF (1.25M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 15% ethanol). DNA was precipitated by the addition of 3.5 mL of isopropanol and subjected to centrifugation at 24,500 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The DNA pellet was washed with 5 mL of 70% ethanol (v/v) and subjected to centrifugation at 24,500 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The DNA pellet was dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in 100  $\mu$ L TE (pH 8.0).

### 2.4.2 DNA isolation from yeast

### 2.4.2.1 Plasmid isolation from yeast

Yeast plasmid DNA was isolated essentially by the method of Ausubel *et al.* (1999). Cells from a 10 mL saturated culture of selective YNA (generally 24-40 h of growth) were harvested by centrifugation at 2,000 x  $g$  and washed twice in sterile water. Cells were resuspended in 200  $\mu$ L of yeast nucleic acid disruption buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2% (w/v) Triton X-100, 1% SDS) and transferred to a microfuge tube. Glass beads  $(425-600 \mu m)$  were added until they reached the meniscus of the cell suspension. 200  $\mu$ L of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1) was added, and the mixture was vortexed vigorously for 5 min at 4°C to disrupt the cells. After the addition of 200  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0), the organic and aqueous phases were separated by centrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 5 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was extracted twice against an equal

volume of phenoI/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1) and once against chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). Nucleic acids were precipitated from the final aqueous phase by the addition of 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol, followed by incubation at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 30 min and centrifugation at 16,000 x g at 4°C for 45 min. The nucleic acid pellet was rinsed in 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol, dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in 10  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0). Plasmids were rescued into *E. coli* DH5a by electroporation of 1 µL of the nucleic acid solution (Section 2.3.2.1).

### 2.4.2.2 Genomic DNA isolation from yeast

The procedure for isolating high molecular mass genomic DNA is identical to that for plasmid DNA isolation (Section 2.4.2.1) except that 1) the cells were grown overnight in YEPD and 2) the nucleic acids were dissolved by placing a 50  $\mu$ L drop of TE (pH 8.0) containing 20 pg RNase A/mL RNase A on the dried pellet and incubating at 37°C for at least 3 h.

If the genomic DNA were to be used as a template for the polymerase chain reaction (Section 2.5.9), it was further purified by the following method: ammonium acetate was added to the DNA to a final concentration of 100 mM in a total volume of 200  $\mu$ L. The DNA was extracted twice against an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1) and once against an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). DNA was precipitated from the aqueous phase by the addition of 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol followed by centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 10 min. The DNA pellet was rinsed with 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The DNA pellet was

resuspended in 50  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0) and dissolved by incibation at 37°C for at least 3 h.

### **2.5 Standard DNA manipulations**

Unless otherwise noted, all procedures in this section are essentially as reported by Ausubel *et al.* (1999).

### *2.5.1 Restriction endonuclease digestion*

Typically, 1-2  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA or 10  $\mu$ g of genomic DNA was subjected to restriction endonuclease digestion. Digestion conditions varied but were carried out with consideration for the enzyme manufacturers' suggestions using either a supplied buffer or a dilution of the KGB buffer system (Hanish and McClelland, 1988).

### 2.5.2 Dephosphorylation of 5' ends

Phosphate groups were often removed from the 5'-termini of plasmid vectors to prevent self-ligation. To a 20 µL restriction endonuclease digest, 1 unit of calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP) was added, and the reaction was incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 30-45 min. When necessary, reactions were terminated by heating at 75 °C for 15 min.

### 2.5.3 Phosphorylation of 5' ends

Occasionally, it was necessary to phosphorylate the 5-termini of DNA molecules to render them suitable for ligation. For phosphorylation of PCR products (Section 2.5.9), 23  $\mu$ L of a PCR reaction was brought to a final volume of 30  $\mu$ L by the addition of 3  $\mu$ L of 10 x PNK buffer (700 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 100 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ , 50 mM DTT), 3µL of 10 mM ATP and  $1 \mu L$  (10 U) of T4 polynucleotide kinase. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 37°C for 1 h.

### 2.5.4 Creating blunt-ended fragments

### 2.5.4.1 Polishing 5' overhangs

The appropriate restriction endonuclease digestion was performed in a volume of 20 pL. To this was added 5 U of the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I and deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M *(i.e.* 25  $\mu$ M each dNTP) in a total volume of 25  $\mu$ L. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 30<sup>o</sup>C for 15 min. The reaction was usually terminated by immediately subjecting the reaction to agarose gel electrophoresis (Section 2.5.7) or, less commonly, by heating to 75 °C for 15 min or extraction with phenol/chloroform (Section 2.5.5).

### 2.5.4.2 Polishing 3' overhangs

The appropriate restriction endonuclease digestion was carried out in a volume of 20 pL. To this was added 9 U of T4 DNA polymerase and dNTPs to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M *(i.e.* 25  $\mu$ M each dNTP) in a total volume of 25  $\mu$ L. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 11°C for 20 min. The reaction was terminated by heating at 75°C for 15 min.

#### *2.5.5 Phenol/chloroform extraction*

Extraction in phenol/chloroform was sometimes used to terminate enzymatic reactions

and/or to separate DNA from contaminating protein. DNA was brought to a volutme of 200  $\mu$ L by the addition of water. An equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoam $\nabla$ l alcohol  $(26:25:1)$  was added, and the sample was vortexed vigorously for 15 sec. Alfter phase separation by centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 2 min at room temperature, the aqueous phase was removed to a fresh microfuge tube. The extraction was repeated using an equial volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1) and the aqueous phase was again removed to a fresh tube. DNA was concentrated by precipitation with ethanol (Section 2.5.6)

### 2.5.6 Ethanol precipitation of DNA

To a dilute solution of DNA in a low-salt buffer (less than 100 mM), 25  $\mu$  g of linear polyacrylamide prepared by the method of Gaillard and Strauss (1990) was added, and the mixture was brought to a final concentration of 0.3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.2. To this, 2.5 volumes of -20°C absolute ethanol was added, and the tube was briefly vortexed. Precipitation was allowed to occur at -80 $^{\circ}$ C for at least 15 min or -20 $^{\circ}$ C for at least 30 min. Precipitated products were collected by centrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 45 min  $4^{\circ}$ C. The pellet was rinsed with 70% (v/v) ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The dried pellet was dissolved in water or a solution appropriate for subsequent reactions.

### 2.5.7 Agarose gel electrophoresis of DNA fragments

After the appropriate enzymatic manipulations,  $0.2$  volume of 6 x DNA sample dye (40 *%* sucrose, 0.25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol) (Maniatis *et al.,* 1.982) was added to the reaction mixture. DNA fragments were separated by electrophoresis i.n agarose gels (typically  $0.8-1.5\%$ ) in 1 x TBE containing 0.5 µg ethidium bromide/mL. For analytical gels, Ultra Pure grade agarose (Gibco/BRL) was used. For preparative gels, SeaKem Genetic Technology Grade (GTG) agarose (FMC BioProducts) was used. For resolution of fragments less than 400 bp in length, 3% "voodoo agarose" gels were used, consisting of 0.5% SeaKem GTG agarose and 2.5% NuSieve GTG agarose. The gels were viewed on an ultra-violet transilluminator (Photodyne, Model 3-3006).

#### 2.5.7.1 Purification of DNA fragments

Restriction endonuclease-digested DNA was subjected to electrophoresis in gels made from GTGagarose. Slices ofthegel containing the appropriate DNAfragments were excised with a razor blade. Recovery of DNA from gel slices after purification was assessed by the electrophoresis of  $1 \mu L$  of the final product in an analytical agarose gel and visually comparing its staining by ethidium bromide to that of a known amount of DNA molecular size standards.

### 2.5.7.*1.1 Electroelution*

A gel slice was placed in the well of a unidirectional electroeluter (Model UEA International Biotechnologies) filled with  $0.5 \times \text{TBE}$ . 80  $\mu$ L of a high molar salt solution (7.5) M ammonium acetate, 0.25% bromophenol blue) was carefully deposited into the bottom of the sample tunnel connecting the two reservoirs of the apparatus. A current of 100 mV was applied for 30 min. Eluted DNA was collected along with the high molar salt by taking 350  $\mu$ L of liquid from the bottom of the tunnel. 25  $\mu$ g of linear polyacrylamide (Gaillard and

Strauss, 1990) and 1 mL of absolute ethanol chilled to -20°C were added, and the DNA was precipitated by incubation at -80°C for 15 min. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 45 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed in 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol, dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in  $10 \mu L$  of water.

### *2.5.7.1.2 OIAquick columns*

QIAquick columns (Qiagen) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions for DNA isolation using a microcentrifuge. This technology employs a chaotropic salt solution to dissolve the agarose gel slice, after which the DNA is purified on an ion exchange resin. DNA was eluted from the column by the addition of 30  $\mu$ L of 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5.

### 2.5.8 Ligation of DNA fragments

DNA fragments were combined at an insert: vector molar ratio of 5:1 with a maximum total DNA concentration of 20 ng/ $\mu$ L. Ligation reactions were generally performed in a total volume of 10  $\mu$ L, using 1 U of T4 DNA ligase in the manufacturer's supplied buffer and a final ATP concentration of 1 mM. Ligations involving blunt-ended fragments were always incubated overnight at 16°C. Ligations involving fragments with cohesive overhangs were either incubated overnight at 16 °C or at room temperature for more than 2 h. Ligation products were amplified by transformation of£ . *coli* (Section 2.3.1).

### *2.5.9 Polymerase chain reaction*

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to amplify specific DNA sequences to facilitate cloning or to introduce modifications in the amplified sequence. PCR was performed with either a conventional preparation of *Taq* polymerase (Roche) using established procedures (Innis and Gelfand, 1990; Saiki, 1990) or with Ready-to-Go PCR beads (Amersham-Pharmacia). Template DNA was either  $0.5 \mu$ g of the appropriate plasmid or 1 pg of *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA prepared as described in Section 2.4.2.2. PCR reactions were carried out in either a Techne PHC-2 thermocycler connected to a Neslab Endocal refrigerated circulating water bath or in a Robocycler 40 (Stratagene) with or without a Hot Top.

### 2.5.9.1 *Taq* Polymerase

Reactions were carried out in a total volume of  $100 \mu L$  including template DNA, the supplied reaction buffer, 5 U of *Taq* polymerase (Roche), 20, 50 or 100 pmol of each specific primer and 50  $\mu$ M each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP. The reaction mix was overlaid with 75  $\mu$ L of mineral oil to prevent evaporation. After completion of thermocycling, the aqueous phase was separated from the mineral oil by spotting repeatedly onto Parafilm.

#### 2.5.9.2 Ready-to-Go PCR beads

Reactions were performed in a total volume of 25  $\mu$ L and consisted of template DNA, 25 pmol of each specific primer and one Ready-to-Go™ PCR bead (containing reaction buffer components, dNTPs and *Taq* polymerase). If the PCR machine to be used was not equipped with a Hot Top, the reaction mix was overlaid with  $30 \mu L$  of mineral oil to prevent

evaporation. After completion of thermocycling, the aqueous phase was separated from the mineral oil by spotting onto Parafilm.

### 2.5.9.3 PCR product purification

If the PCR product was to be used as a substrate for a further PCR step or for restriction endonuclease digestion, the reaction mixture was brought to  $100 \mu L$  with water, and DNA was purified on a QIAquick column (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The kit employs a chaotropic agent to inactivate the *Taq* polymerase, and an ion exchange column that binds DNA fragments but excludes primers smaller than 40 nucleotides in length. The column was eluted with  $30 \mu L$  of elution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl. pH 8.5).

### 2.6 Analyses of DNA

### *2.6.1 DNA sequencing*

# 2.6.1.1 Template preparation

Denatured plasmids were used as templates for sequencing reactions (Zhang *et al*., 1988). Approximately 3  $\mu$ g of double-stranded plasmid DNA was brought to 0.5 mM EDTA and 500 mM NaOH in a volume of 18  $\mu$ L. After allowing the plasmid to denature for 5 min at room temperature, the solution was neutralised by addition of ammonium acetate to 500 mM in a final volume of 20  $\mu$ L. The DNA was immediately precipitated by addition of 3 volumes of ethanol chilled to -20<sup>°</sup>C and incubation in a dry ice/ethanol bath or at -80<sup>°</sup>C for at least 20 min. Precipitated DNA was collected by centrifugation at 16,000 x *g* for 45 min

at 4°C. The DNA pellet was rinsed with 70% (v/v) ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. Templates were either used immediately or stored at -20°C.

#### 2.6.1.2 Sequencing reactions

Sequenase DNA Sequencing Kits (Versions 1.0 and 2.0; USB) were used. These kits generate sequences by the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method (Sanger *et al..* 1977), use  $\alpha$ -[<sup>32</sup>P]dATP as the label and employ bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase that has been chemically or genetically modified (Sequenase Versions 1.0 and 2.0, respectively) (Tabor and Richardson, 1987; 1989) to remove the  $3'-5'$  exonuclease activity of the wild-type enzyme. The manufacturer's instructions were followed, except that twice as much specific primer was used *{i.e.* 2 pmol). Labelling and termination reactions were incubated for 5 min each. Reaction products were run in adjacent lanes of a denaturing acrylamide gel (5% Long Ranger or *5%* ExplorER, in 0.6 x TBE or I x TBE, respectively). The gel was dried and exposed to X-ray film at room temperature for detection.

### 2.6.2 Analysis of genomic DNA

### 2.6.2.1 Southern blotting

Following transformation with linear DNA fragments (Section 2.3.2.2) or after selection against unstable DNA configurations (Section 2.11.6), genomic DNA was analysed to identify strains that had recombined in a site-specific manner. Genomic DNA was obtained from yeast cells as described in Section 2.4.2.2. Approximately 10  $\mu$ g of DNA was digested overnight with a restriction endonuclease(s) (Section 2.5.1). Digestion products were
separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (Section 2.5.7). DNA was transferred to nitrocellulose essentially by the method of Ausubel *et al.* (1999), as modified by Eitzen (1997). The gel was placed on an ultra-violet light transilluminator (Photodyne, Model 3- 3006) for 10 min to nick the DNA. Complementary DNA strands were denatured by gently shaking the gel in 0.5 M NaOH, 1.5 M NaCl for 30 min at room temperature. The gel was neutralised by gently shaking in 1.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1.5 M NaCl for 30 min at room temperature. Nitrocellulose was hydrated in water for 5 min, and then equilibrated in 5  $\times$ SSC. DNA was transferred from the gel to nitrocellulose in 5 x SSC by capillary action overnight. DNA was crosslinked to the nitrocellulose by exposure to ultra-violet light (twice at  $120,000 \mu$ J/cm<sup>2</sup>,  $\lambda = 254$  nm; UV Stratalinker 1800, Stratagene). Immobilized DNA was probed for complementary sequences by hybridization of labelled DNA fragments (see below).

### 2.6.2.2 Labelling and hybridization of DNA probes

### *2.6.2.2.1 Radiolabelled probes*

DNA probes were labelled with <sup>32</sup>P using the Random Primer DNA Labeling Kit (Roche). The DNA fragment to be used as a probe was excised from an agarose gel and purified as described in Section 2.5.7.1. 25-50 ng of DNA was brought to 9  $\mu$ L with water, denatured by boiling for 5 min and rapidly cooled in ice-water for 5 min. 2  $\mu$ L of reaction mixture (containing random hexanucleotides),  $1 \mu L$  each of 0.5 mM dGTP, dCTP and dTTP, 5 µL (50 µCi) of  $\alpha$ -[<sup>32</sup>P]dATP (3,000 Ci/mmol) and 1 µL (5 U) of the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I were added. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The labelled

probe was separated from unincorporated radionucleotides by Sephadex G-50 spin column chromatography (Maniatis *et al.,* 1982). Radionucleotide incorporation was assessed by liquid scintillation counting in an LKB RackBeta 1209 scintillation counter. Radiolabelled probes were either used immediately or stored at -20°C.

After the transfer of genomic DNA to nitrocellulose, the unoccupied DNA binding sites on the nitrocellulose were blocked by incubating the blot with hybridization solution  $(1.25 \times SSC, 0.16 \times Denhardt's solution, 4 \mu$ g sheared salmon testes DNA/mL, 0.01% SDS, 0.02 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) to approximately 0.25 mL hybridization solution per  $cm<sup>2</sup>$ of nitrocellulose for 3 h at 65°C. Enough radiolabelled probe to give a final concentration of 500,000 cpm/mL during hybridization was denatured by boiling for 5 min, quick-cooled in ice-water for 5 min and diluted into 1 mL of hybridization solution pre-warmed to  $42^{\circ}$ C. The probe solution was added to the buffer used to block the blot and incubation was continued overnight at  $42^{\circ}$ C. The blot was then washed four times with  $1 \times SSC$  containing 0.1% SDS for 15 min at 55°C. The blot was dried, covered with Saran wrap and exposed to Kodak XAR-5 X-ray film with an intensifying screen at -80°C.

## *2.6.2.2.2 Chemiluminescent probes*

DNA probes were labelled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) using the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) direct nucleic acid labelling system (Amersham-Pharmacia). The DNA probe fragment was excised from an agarose gel and purified as described in Section 2.5.7.1. HRP-labelled probes were freshly prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, using 2.5 ng of DNA per  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  of nitrocellulose to be probed.

The hybridization procedure suggested by the manufacturer was used. Unoccupied DNA binding sites on the blot were blocked by incubation with Gold blocking buffer (Gold buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl, 5% blocking agent) at approximately 0.25 mL per cm<sup>2</sup> of nitrocellulose at 42°C for at least 1 h. Freshly prepared HRP-labelled probe was diluted into 1 mL of prewarmed Gold blocking buffer and mixed with the buffer that had been used to block the blot. Incubation was continued at 42°C overnight. The blot was washed twice in primary wash buffer (0.5 x SSC, 0.4% SDS, 6 M urea) at approximately 2 mL per cm<sup>2</sup> of nitrocellulose) at 42 °C for 20 min per wash. The blot was then washed twice in  $2 \times$  SSC for 5 min at room temperature. The blot was covered with ECL detection solution (a 1:1 mixture of the two ECL detection reagents) for 1 min, placed in a transparent plastic folder and exposed to Kodak XK-1 film.

## **2.7** *In vitro* **mutagenesis**

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out on single-stranded DNA templates using the Sculptor *In Vitro* Mutagenesis Kit (Amersham-Pharmacia) essentially as described by the manufacturer.

## 2.7.1 Transformation of E. coli TG1

0.25 mL of an overnight culture of E. coli TG1 cells grown in LB-ampicillin was used to inoculate 5 mL of fresh medium, which was shaken at  $37^{\circ}$ C until an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.3 was reached. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3,000 x *g* for 5 min and resuspended in 5 mL of ice-cold 50 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>. After a 20 min incubation on ice, the cells were pelleted by

centrifugation at  $3,000 \times g$  for 2 min and resuspended in 1 mL of ice-cold CaCl<sub>2</sub>. 1-10 ng of the appropriate plasmid was brought to 20  $\mu$ L with TE (pH 8.0). 0.3 mL of the cell suspension was mixed with the diluted plasmid and incubated on ice for 40 min. Cells were incubated at 42°C for 2 min and then returned to ice for a further 5 min. 1 mL of LB was added, and the cells were shaken at 37°C for 45-60 min. Cells were spread onto an LBampicillin plate and incubated overnight at 37°C to allow for colony formation. Resulting colonies were stored for no more than a few hours at 4°C before growing in liquid medium for single-stranded DNA isolation.

## *2. 7.2 Single-stranded DNA preparation*

Single stranded DNA was isolated essentially as described in the Promega Protocols and Applications Guide (1989/90). A single TGI transformant colony was inoculated into 2 mL of TYP-ampicillin and shaken overnight at 37°C. 1 mL of the overnight culture was subcultured into 50 mL of fresh TYP-ampicillin and shaken for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were then infected with the helper phage M13KO7 at a multiplicity of infection of  $10-20$ , and shaking was continued at 37°C for 7 h. The culture was subjected to centrifugation at 12,000 *x g* for 15 min to pellet bacteria and cell debris. The supernatant containing the phage particles was retained and subjected to centrifugation as before. The second supernatant was brought to 10  $\mu$ g RNase A/mL and incubated at 37°C for 15 min. Phage particles were precipitated by the addition of 0.25 volume of phage precipitation buffer (3.75 M ammonium acetate, pH 7.5, 20% PEG-8000) and incubation on ice for 30 min. The precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The phage pellet was resuspended

in 600  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0). An equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1) was added and the phage particles were disrupted by vortexing at high speed for 1 min. Aqueous and organic phases were separated by centrifugation at 12,000 x  $g$  for 5 min. The aqueous phase containing the single-stranded DNA was extracted against an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1) by vortexing and centrifugation as before. Extraction with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol was repeated several times until there was no material visible at the interface between the aqueous and organic phases. The aqueous phase was then extracted with an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol. DNA was precipitated from the final aqueous phase by the addition of 0.5 volume of 7.5 M ammonium acetate and 2 volumes of absolute ethanol chilled to -20 °C. The mixture was incubated at -20 °C for 30 min, and the DNA was recovered by centrifugation at 16,000 x *g* for 30 min. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol and subjected to centrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 15 min. The pellet was dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in 20 µL of water.

### 2.7.3 Phosphorylation of mutagenic oligonucleotides

A reaction mixture containing  $1.6 \mu M$  oligonucleotide, 1 mM ATP, 70 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM DTT and 2 U of T4 polynucleotide kinase in a final volume of 30 pL was incubated at 37°C for 15 min. The kinase was inactivated by a 10 min incubation at  $75^{\circ}$ C.

## *2.7.4 Mutagenesis reactions*

*In vitro*, site-directed mutagenesis was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications: I) to anneal the mutant oligonucleotide to the single-stranded DNA template, the tube was placed in a 70°C heat block for 3 min, after which the block was removed from the heater and allowed to cool slowly to room temperature; 2) to extend the mutant oligonucleotide, 4 units of the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I were used. The extension/ligation reaction was incubated at 16<sup>°</sup>C overnight; 3) the final extension/ligation reaction to repolymerize the gapped DNA was incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C for 90 min, followed by a 30 min incubation at  $16^{\circ}$ C. 10  $\mu$ L of this reaction was removed for a gel sample; 4)  $l \mu L$  of the repolymerization reaction was added to 9  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0), and 1  $\mu$ L of the resulting mixture was introduced by electroporation into *E. coli* DH5a cells (Section 2.3.2.1). DNA from the remainder of the repolymerization reaction was concentrated by a method suggested in the protocol accompanying a previous version of the mutagenesis kit (Oligonucleotide-directed Mutagenesis System Version 2). The remaining 85  $\mu$ L of the repolymerization reaction was mixed with 85  $\mu$ L of 4 M ammonium acetate (pH 5.4) and 340  $\mu$ L of absolute ethanol to precipitate the DNA. After a 10 min incubation at room temperature, the DNA was subjected to centrifugation at 16,000  $x$  g for 15 min. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol and repelleted by centrifugation. The pellet was dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in  $10 \mu L$ of TE (pH 8.0). 1  $\mu$ L and 2  $\mu$ L samples of the final solution were introduced by electroporation into *E. coli* DH5a cells.

### **2.8 Analyses of proteins**

# *2.8.1 Protein determination*

The protein concentration of a sample was determined essentially by the method of Bradford (1976). A portion of the protein sample was brought to a final volume of 100 µL with water. 1 mL of Bio-Rad Protein Dye reagent was added, mixed by vortexing and incubated at room temperature for 10 min to allow for colour development. The absorbance at 595 nm of the resulting solution was measured using a Beckman DU640 spectrophotometer. Protein concentration was determined by comparing the absorbance of the sample to that of known amounts of BSA  $(1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 \mu g)$  treated identically.

## 2.8.2 Electrophoretic separation of proteins

Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) essentially by the method of Laemmli (1970). Protein samples were mixed with concentrated sample buffer to a final concentration of 62.5 mM Tris-HCl. pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% sucrose, 10 mM DTT, 0.001% bromophenol blue and denatured by boiling for 5 min. Samples were subjected to electrophoresis on discontinuous slab gels, usually with a uniform acrylamide concentration in the resolving gel. Stacking gels consisted of 3% acrylamide (30:0.8 acrylamide: $N, N'$ -methylene-bis-acrylamide), 60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1% SDS, 0.1% (v/v) TEMED, 0.1% ammonium persulphate. Resolving gels typically consisted of between 7.5% and 15% acrylamide (30:0.8 acrylamide:N,N'-methylene-bis acrylamide), 370 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8,0.1% SDS, 0.1% (v/v) TEMED, 0.042% ammonium persulphate. Occasionally, to resolve proteins over a wide size range, the resolving gel was made with a gradient of acrylamide (8-15%). Gels were run in either a Hoefer Model

SE4300 or a Bio-Rad Mini-Protean II vertical gel system at 50-200 V in SDS-PAGE running buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.4 M glycine, 0.1% SDS).

# 2.8.3 Detection of proteins

## 2.8.3.1 Staining of SDS-polyacrylamide gels with Coomassie Blue

Proteins were visualised by staining gels with 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue (R-250) in 10% (v/v) acetic acid, 35% (v/v) methanol for at least 1 h with gentle agitation. Gels were destained by multiple washes in 10% (v/v) acetic acid, 35% (v/v) methanol. Stained gels were either processed further (see below) or dried at 80°C on a Bio-Rad gel dryer (Model 583).

# 2.8.3.2 Fluorography

SDS-polyacrylamide gels containing <sup>35</sup>S-labelled proteins were processed for fluorography (Bonner and Laskey, 1974). Gels were stained and destained as described in Section 2.8.3.1. and then dehydrated by two 15 min washes in dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). Gels were incubated for 3 h in DMSO-PPO (22.2% 2,5-diphenyloxazole in DMSO). Gels were rehydrated with two 10 min washes in water, dried at 60°C and exposed to preflashed Kodak XAR-5 X-ray film at -80 °C.

### 2.8.3.3 Immunoblotting

Nitrocellulose was hydrated in water for 5 min and then equilibrated in Western transfer buffer (20 mM Tris base, 150 mM glycine, 20% (v/v) methanol) (Towbin, 1979;

Burn ette, 1981). Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose in Western transfer buffer, using either a wet or semi-dry apparatus. For wet blotting, the transfer was carried out at 100 mA for approximately 16 h at room temperature, or at 400 mA forapproximately 4 h using a cooling coil, in a blotting tank (Bio-Rad Trans-Blot or Hoefer TE Series Transphor electrophoresis unit). For semi-dry blotting, the transfer was carried out at  $0.8$  mA per cm<sup>2</sup> of gel in an ET-20 electrophoretic transfer system (Tyler Research Instruments, Edmonton, Alberta). Transferred proteins were visualised by immersing the nitrocellulose blot in Ponceau stain (0.1% Ponceau S in 1% trichloroacetic acid) for 2-3 min and destaining with water. Unoccupied protein binding sites on the nitrocellulose were blocked by incubating the blot in TBST-milk (1% skim milk powder in TBST; see Table 2-2) for 30 min with gentle agitation. Primary antiserum was diluted in TBST-milk at the concentrations indicated in Table 2-6. The blots were incubated with the primary antiserum for 90 min at room temperature. Excess primary antibody was removed by fo-ur 10 min washes in TBST. The appropriate secondary antibody conjugated to HRP was diluted in TBST-milk at a concentration of 1:30,000 and incubated with the blots for 30 min. Excess secondary antibody was removed by four 10 min washes in TBST. The blot was covered with ECL detection solution (a 1:1 mixture of the two ECL detection reagents) for 1 min, placed in a transparent plastic folder and exposed to Kodak XK-1 X-ray film. For quantitation of signals, densitometry was performed with a LKB Ultroscan XL laser densEtometer under conditions in which the signal was proportional to the antigen concentration.

Target	Source	Concentration
ScAOX	rabbit	1:500
ScG6PDH	rabbit	1:20,000
Y/Kar2p	rabbit	1:20,000
$Pp$ Pex5p	rabbit	1:3,000
$Y$ $P$ e $\times$ 5 $p$	rabbit Q	1:1,000
$Y$ $P$ e $\times$ 5 $p$	guinea pig N	1:50,000
<b>SKL</b>	rabbit 16	1:1,000
thiolase	guinea pig N	1:50,000

**Table 2-6 Primary antibodies used for immunoblotting3**

**1 Antibodies are described in Section 2.1.7.**

### **2.8.4 Precipitation of proteins**

Dilute solutions of proteins were concentrated by precipitation with trichloracetic acid (TCA). TCA was added to the protein solution to a final concentration of 10%. The mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min and then subjected to centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 30 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The pellet was washed twice with 1 mL of 80% (v/v) acetone chilled to -20 $^{\circ}$ C. For each wash, the tube was vortexed and then incubated on ice for 10 min before microcentrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 10 min at 4°C. The final pellet was dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator, resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting or staining with Coomassie Blue.

### **2.9** *In vitro* **translation**

# 2.9.1 Preparation of RNase-free template DNA

To ensure that DNA templates for *in vitro* transcription were free of contaminating RNase,  $20 \mu$ g of plasmid DNA purified on Qiagen columns (Section 2.4.1.2) was extracted twice with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol and twice with chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (Section 2.5.5), and then precipitated with ethanol (Section 2.5.6). The DNA pellet was rinsed with 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol prepared with diethyl pyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. The pellet was dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator and dissolved in 20  $\mu$ L of DEPCtreated water (Ausubel *et al.,* 1999).

### *2.9.2 Coupled transcription/translation*

*In vitro* transcription and translation were carried out using the TNT coupled reticulocyte lysate system with T7 RNA polymerase (Promega) according to the supplier's instructions. 0.5  $\mu$ g of plasmid template was used in a 25  $\mu$ L reaction. For synthesis of radiolabelled proteins, 10  $\mu$ Ci of [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (1175 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/mL) was used.

# 2.9.3 Quantitation of radiolabelled translation products

Incorporation of  $\int^3 S$ ]methionine into polypeptides was assessed essentially by the method of Mans and Novelli (1961). 2.5  $\mu$ L of each transcription/translation reaction was mixed with 47.5  $\mu$ L of NP-40 mix (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl, 200 mM methionine, 0.02% sodium azide, 1% (w/v) Nonidet P-40 (NP-40)). Duplicate 20 µL samples were spotted on 1 cm<sup>2</sup> squares of filter paper and allowed to air dry. The spotted filters, plus a blank filter control, were placed in 100 mL of ice-cold 10% TCA containing 200 mM methionine and incubated on ice for 10 min with occasional stirring. The filters

were then transferred to 100 mL of boiling 5% TCA containing 200 mM methionine and boiling was continued for 20 min. The filters were then washed four times in 5% TCA, twice in absolute ethanol, and once in diethyl ether. Each wash used 50 mL of solution and was carried out at room temperature for 30-60 sec with stirring. The filters were then air-dried, placed in 5 mL of aqueous counting scintillant, and the precipitated radioactivity was quantitated in an LKB RackBeta 1209 scintillation counter.

## **2.10 Cell Biological Techniques**

### *2.10.1 Immunofluorescence microscopy*

Indirect immunofluorescence analysis of yeast cells was performed by the method of Pringle *et al.* (1991). Log phase cells were fixed by adding formaldehyde directly to the culture medium to a final concentration of 3.7% and incubating for 45 min at room temperature. For experiments involving anti-Y/Pex5p antibodies, the cells were collected by centrifugation and incubated for 2 h in phosphate-buffered formaldehyde (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, 0.5 mM MgCL, 3.7% (w/v) formaldehyde). Fixed cells were harvested by centrifugation at 2,000 x *g* and washed with solution B (100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 1.2 M sorbitol). Cells were resuspended to a concentration of 50-100  $\mu$ g/mL in solution B containing 20  $\mu$ g/mL Zymolyase 100T and 28 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and incubated at 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 45 min with gentle rotation. During this time, a 50  $\mu$ L-drop of a 1 mg polylysine/mL solution was applied to a glass microscope slide and allowed to remain for approximately 30 sec. Excess liquid was then removed and the slide was dried in air. 100  $\mu$ . L of the cell suspension was placed on the polylysine spot, allowed to remain for

approximately 30 sec, and then washed with solution B until individual cells were visibly separated when viewed with a light microscope. After complete drying in air, the slides were submerged in methanol (chailled to -20 $^{\circ}$ C) for 6 min, immediately transferred to acetone (chilled to -20 °C) for 30 sec and then allowed to dry in air. Cells were covered with a 75-uL drop of PBS (Table 2-2) containing 1% skim milk powder (PBS-milk) and the appropriate dilution of primary antiserum (generally 10 times more concentrated than that used for immunoblotting; Section 2.:8.3.3). Slides were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a moist environment. Cells w•ere washed 10 times with 100 µL of PBS-milk, and then covered with 75 µL of PBS-milk containing the appropriate secondary antibody conjugated to fluorescein or rhodamine at  $\approx$  dilution suggested by the manufacturer (generally 1:200). Cells were incubated in darkness. for 1 h at room temperature in a moist environment and then washed as described above. The last wash was replaced with  $10 \mu L$  mounting medium (PBS containing 4% n-propyl galate, 75% (w/v) glycerol). Coverslips were placed over the cells, and the edges were sealed with nail polish. Cells were viewed on an Olympus BX50 microscope equipped for fluorescence. Images were either photographed with an Olympus PM20 automated camera a\_nd Kodak TMAX400 film or recorded using a SPOT Camera (Model SP400, SPOT Diagnostic Instruments) digital imaging system and analysed with software provided by the manufacturer.

## 2.10.2 Electron microscopy

All experiments of thais type were performed by Dr. Marten Veenhuis, Laboratory for Electron Microscopy, University of Groningen, The Netherlands, using established methods

# 2.10.2.1 Ultrastructural analysis

Cells were fixed in  $1.5\%$  KMnO<sub>4</sub> for 20 min at room temperature. After dehydration in a series of graded ethanol, samples were embedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were cut with a diamond knife and examined in a Phillips EM 300.

### 2.10.2.2 Immunocytochemistry

Cells were fixed in 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde/formaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 7.2) for 90 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. After dehydration in a series of graded ethanol, the cells were embedded in Lowicryl K4M. Ultrathin sections were probed for thiolase and anti-SKL reactive proteins by passage through drops of the following solutions at room temperature for the times indicated: 1) 2% gelatin in PBS for 10 min; 2) 0.02 M glycine in PBS for 10 min; 3) rabbit anti-SKL or guinea pig anti-thiolase antibodies diluted in PBS for 30 min; 4) four washes in PBS for 1 min each; 5) protein A-gold in PBS containing 1% BSA for 30 min; 6) 4 washes in PBS for 5 min each (Slot and Geuze, 1984).

#### 2.10.3 Whole cell lysates of yeasts

Yeast lysates were prepared by disruption with glass beads (adapted from Needleman and Tzagoloff, 1975). Log phase yeast grown in the appropriate medium were harvested by centrifugation at 2000 x *g* and washed three times with water. Cells were resuspended in an equal volume of ice-cold breakage buffer (either 25 mM Tris-HCl,  $pH$  7.5, 0.1 mM EDTA,

100 mM KC1, 1 mM DTT, 10% (w/v) glycerol (Eitzen *et al*., 1997) or 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl, 10% (w/v) glycerol) containing protease inhibitors at the following final concentrations: leupeptin, pepstatin and aprotinin each at  $1 \mu \alpha / mL$ , 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, 5 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF. Glass beads were added to 200  $\mu$ L of the cell suspension until they reached the bottom of the meniscus. Cells were disrupted by vortexing 3-5 times for 1 min each (with 1 min on ice in between) at 4°C. A further 100- 200 pL of breakage buffer was added and mixed by briefly vortexing. The mixture was subjected to centrifugation at 16,000 x  $g$  for 2 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C to separate the lysate from the glass beads and large cell debris. The crude lysate was recovered to a new tube and subjected to centrifugation at 16,000 x g for 20 min at  $4^{\circ}$ C. The supernatant was recovered, assayed for protein content (Section 2.8.1) and usually analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting (Sections 2.8.2 and 2.8.3.3, respectively).

### *2.10.4 Peroxisome isolation and analysis*

All experiments of this type were performed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.

## 2.10.4.1 Subcellular fractionation

*Y. lipolytica* cells were fractionated into supernatant (20KgS; enriched for cytosol) and pellet (20KgP; enriched for peroxisomes and mitochondria) fractions essentially as described previously (Aitchison *et al*., 1991). Cells were grown to mid-log phase in YEPD, harvested by centrifugation at 9800 x *g* and transferred to YPBO to induce peroxisome formation. Cells were harvested in mid-log phase (approximately 9 h of induction) as above

and washed three times with water at room temperature. Cells were converted to spheroplasts by resuspending the cells in 0.5 M KC1, 5 mM MOPS, pH 7.2, 10 mM sodium sulphite, 0.25 mg Zymolyase 100T/mL  $(4 \text{ mL per g of cells})$  and incubating at 30<sup>o</sup>C with gentle agitation (100 rpm) for 30 min. Spheroplasts were pelleted by centrifugation at 2,300  $x$ g for 8 min at 4°C. From this point on, all solutions were ice-cold, and all centrifugations were performed at 4°C. Spheroplasts were resuspended in disruption buffer (5 mM MES, pH 5.5, 1 M sorbitol, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM KCl, 0.1% (v/v) ethanol plus protease inhibitors as described in Section 2.10.3; 3 mL per g of cells), transferred to a homogenization tube, and broken with 20 strokes of a Teflon pestle driven at 1,000 rpm by a stirrer motor (Cole-Parmer, Model 4376-00). The homogenate was subjected to centrifugation at 1,000 x  $g$  for 10 min to pellet unbroken cells, debris and nuclei. The post-nuclear supernatant was subjected to centrifugation for 30 min at 20,000 x g to generate a pellet (20KgP) enriched for heavy organelles (mostly peroxisomes, and mitochondria) and a supernatant (20KgS) enriched for cytosol. The 20KgP was gently resuspended in approximately 3 mL of disruption buffer.

# 2.10.4.2 Isolation of organelles by isopycnic centrifugation

Organelles from the 20KgP were separated by isopycnic centrifugation by loading an amount of 20KgP equivalent to 9 mg of protein on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient (4.7 mL 25%, 7 mL 35%, 14 mL 42%, 7 mL 53% (w/w) sucrose in 5 mM MES, pH 5.5, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM KCl, 0.1% (v/v) ethanol) (Nuttley *et al*., 1990). The gradient was subjected to centrifugation for 70 min at 100,000 x  $g$  (acceleration 2, deceleration 9) in a Beckman VTi50 rotor, and using a Beckman XL-70 ultracentrifuge. Eighteen 2 mL fractions were collected on ice from the bottom of the tube.

#### 2.10.4.3 Flotation gradient analysis

Peroxisomes were analysed with a two-step sucrose flotation gradient (modified from Heyman *et al.*, 1994). Peak peroxisomal fractions from isopycnic centrifugation (400 µL) were transferred to the bottom of ultracentrifuge tubes and overlaid successively with 2.3 mL of 60% (w/v) sucrose and then 2.3 mL of 35% (w/v) sucrose. Samples were subjected to centrifugation in a Beckman SW50.1 rotor at 200,000 x *g* for 20 h at 4°C. 18 fractions of approximately 250  $\mu$ L each were collected from the bottom of the tube.

## 2.10.4.4 Subfractionation and extraction of peroxisomes

The peak fraction of peroxisomes was lysed by the addition of 10 volumes of ice-cold Ti8 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, 1  $\mu$ g/mL each of leupeptin, pepstatin and aprotinin; Goodman *et al.*, 1990) and incubation on ice for 15 min with occasional agitation. Membranes and associated molecules were pelleted by centrifugation at 200,000 x *g* in a Beckman TLA100.2 rotor for 1 h at 4°C. Proteins from a portion of the resulting supernatant  $(S_{\text{ris}})$ , containing peroxisomal matrix proteins) were precipitated with TCA (Section 2.8.4). The untreated portion of the  $S<sub>Ti8</sub>$  was assayed for protein (Section 2.8.1) and marker enzymes. The peroxisomal membrane pellet  $(P_{Ti8})$  was brought to a final protein concentration of 0.5 mg/mL in ice-cold Ti8 buffer and was treated with either 0.1 M sodium carbonate (Fujiki *et al*., 1982) or 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1%

(v/v) Triton X-100, 1 M NaCl or 1 M urea (final concentrations). Samples were incubated on ice for 45 min with occasional agitation and then subjected to centrifugation at 200,000 x  $g$  for 1 h at 4°C in a Beckman TLA100.2 rotor. The resulting pellets were resuspended in Ti8 buffer. Equal amounts of all supernatant and pellet fractions were analysed by SDS-PAGE followed by staining with Coomassie Blue or immunoblotting.

### 2.10.4.5 Protease protection

A 20KgP, prepared as described in Section 2.10.4.1, but ommitting protease inhibitors, was resuspended in 5 mM MES, pH5.5, 1 M sorbitol. Aliquots (240  $\mu$ g of protein) were incubated with 0, 10, 20 or 50  $\mu$ g of trypsin in the absence or presence of 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 40 min on ice. Reactions were terminated by precipitation of proteins with TCA (Section 2.8.4). Equivalent fractions of each reaction were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

## 2.10.4.6 Immunoprecipitation

Anti-SKL and anti-Y/Pex5p (Section 2.12) antibodies raised in rabbits were covalently coupled to protein A-Sepharose by the method of Voos *et al.* (1994) and used for immunoprecipitation according to a protocol adapted from the same reference. 250  $\mu$ L of the  $S<sub>Tis</sub>$  (Section 2.10.4.4) was diluted 1:1 with 90 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 1  $\mu$ g/mL each of leupeptin, pepstatin and aprotinin. Any proteins in the diluted  $S<sub>Tis</sub>$  that might bind protein A-Sepharose nonspecifically were removed by incubation with 50  $\mu$ L of protein A-Sepharose beads (equilibrated with 10 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.5) for 20 min at 4°C. The cleared solution was then incubated with the protein A-Sepharose-linked anti-SKL or anti-Y/Pex5p antibodies for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were washed four times with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100, and bound proteins were eluted with 100 mM glycine (pH 2.8). Proteins from the flow-through, wash and elution steps were precipitated with TCA (Section 2.8.4) and analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

## 2.10.4.7 Enzyme assays

Subcellular fractions were routinely assayed for the activities of catalase, 3hydroxyacyl-Co A dehydrogenase, isocitrate lyase and malate synthase (peroxisomal markers) and cytochrome *c* oxidase (mitochondrial marker). All absorbance measurements were made with a Beckman DU640 spectrophotometer.

# *2.10.4.7.1 Catalase*

Catalase activity was determined essentially by the spectrophotometric method of Lück (1963). Cellular fractions were brought to a final concentration of 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 0.015% (w/v)  $H_2O_2$  in a total volume of 1 mL. Catalase activity was monitored by recording the decrease in the absorbance of  $H_2O_2$  at 240 nm.

# *2.10.4.7.2 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase*

The 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity of the multifunctional  $\beta$ -oxidation enzyme was determined by an adaptation of the method of Osumi and Hashimoto (1979).

Cellular fractions were brought to 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 10.2, 100 mM KCl, 0.01% (w/v) Triton X-100, 1 mM NaN<sub>3</sub>, 0.1 mM NAD<sup>+</sup>, 0.01 mM  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyryl-C oA in a total volume of 1 mL. 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity was monitored by recording the increase in absorbance at 340 nm resulting from NADH production.

### *2.10.4.7.3 Isocitrate lyase*

Isocitrate lyase activity was determined by the method of Barth and W eber (1987). Cellular fractions were brought to 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 4.2 mM phenylhydrazine hydrochloride, 2 mM cysteine hydrochloride, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 mM sodium isocitrate in a total volume of 1 mL. Isocitrate lyase activity was monitored by recording the increase in absorbance at 324 nm resulting from glyoxylate production.

# *2.10.4.7.4 Malate synthase*

Malate synthase activity was determined by the method of Dixon and Kornberg (1962). Cellular fractions were brought to a final concentration of 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> 0.1 mM acetyl-CoA, 1 mM sodium glyoxylate in a total volume of 1 mL. Malate synthase activity was monitored by the decrease in absorbance of acetyl-CoA at 232 nm.

### *2.10.4.7.5 Cytochrome c oxidase*

Cytochrome *c* oxidase activity was determined by the method of Douma *et al* (1985). Cytochrome *c* was reduced by the addition of a spatula tip of sodium dithiomite to 80 mg cytochrome c/mL in 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, and incubation at room temperature for 15 min. Reduction was judged to be complete when the increase in absorbance at 550 nm reached a plateau. The reducing agent was removed by Sephadex G50 chromatography. Cellular fractions were brought to a final volume of  $1 \text{ mL}$  in 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, containing 40  $\mu$ M reduced cytochrome  $c$ . Cytochrome  $c$ oxidase activity was monitored by recording the decrease in absorbance by reduced cytochrome c at 550 nm.

#### **2.11 Genetic analysis of** *Y. lipolytica*

### *2.11.1 Chemical mutagenesis*

*Y. lipolytica E122* cells were mutagenised by the method of Gleeson and Sudbery (1988). Cells were grown overnight in 20 mL of YEPD, harvested by centrifugation for 5 min at 2,000 x *g* and washed twice with 20 mL each of 0.1 M citrate buffer, pH 5.5. Cells were then resuspended in a small volume of citrate buffer, and an aliquot of the suspension was removed (untreated cells). The remainder of the cells were brought to 20 mL with citrate buffer, following which the mutagen I -methyl-3-nitro- 1-nitrosoguanidine (NTG) was added to 25  $\mu$ g/mL. The tube was shaken gently and incubated at room temperature for 33 min without further mixing. Various dilutions of the NTG-treated cells were spread on YEPD plates, as were equivalent dilutions of untreated cells, and the plates were incubated at 30° C. The remainder of the cells were washed five times with 5 mL each of sterile water and then resuspended in 5 mL of YEPD. Glycerol was added to aliquots of the cell suspension to a final concentration of  $28\%$  (v/v). These were mixed, frozen by liquid

nitrogen and stored at  $-70^{\circ}$ C. NTG treatment resulted in the killing of approximately 70% of the cells, as assessed by comparing the number of colonies arising from treated versus untreated cells after 2 d of growth. A 0.5 mL aliquot of frozen cells was thawed and used to innoculate 5 mL of YEPD. After growth at 30°C for 3.5 h at 180 rpm, an aliquot was diluted 1:10 into fresh YEPD, and 150  $\mu$ L of the diluted cell suspension was spread on each of 40 YEPD plates. YEPD plates were incubated for 36 h at 30°C. Approximately 800 colonies appeared on each plate. Colonies were replica plated onto YNO and YNA plates containing leucine, uracil and lysine, and incubated at 30°C. Colonies were screened for growth on YNO and YNA after 2-3 d of growth. Colonies showing the desired phenotype (no or poor growth on oleate, robust growth on acetate) were designated ole' and picked from the master YEPD plates for further analyses.

### 2.11.2 Screening of the Y. lipolytica genomic DNA library

Strains with the ole' phenotype were used to screen a *Y. lipolytica* genomic library' (Brade, 1992; Nuttley *et al.,* 1993) constructed in pINA445 (Section 2.1.6) by functional complementation. *pex5-I* cells were transformed by electroporation (Section 2.3.2.2), spread onto YNA plates containing uracil and lysine and incubated at 30°C for 2 d. Resulting colonies were replica plated onto YNO plates containing uracil and lysine, and incubation was continued for 2-3 d. Colonies that grew on YNO were rescued to fresh YNA plates. Plasmid DNA was isolated from complemented strains (Section 2.4.2.1) and introduced by electroporation into *E. coli* (Section 2.3.2.1). Plasmids purified from bacteria were transformed into *pex5-I* cells to verify that complementation was linked to the introduction

73

of plasmid. Complementing plasmids were further analysed by restriction endonuclease mapping, followed by subcloning of fragments into pINA445 and assaying for the ability to restore growth of *pex5-I* on YNO to establish a minimal complementing genomic DNA fragment. The minimal complementing fragment was sequenced (Section 2.6.1).

# 2.11.3 Integrative disruption of the PEX5 gene

Plasmid p425PEX5 is based on pRS425 (Christianson *et al*., 1992) and has aa approximately 4.2-kbp insert in the *Hind* III site including the entire genomic copy of the *PEX5* gene, with the orientation of the *PEX5* open reading frame (ORF) the same as that of the *LacZ* gene. p425PEX5 was digested with *Sphl.* and ligated to an approximately 2.3 kbp fragment containing the *Y. lipolytica LEU2* gene. In this way, 1654 bp of the coding region and 74 bp of the 3' untranslated region were replaced with the *LEU2* gene. This construct was digested with *HindUl,* liberating the *LEU2* gene flanked by approximately 1.3 kbp and approximately 1.4 kbp of the 5' and 3' regions of the *PEX5* gene, respectively. This fragment was used to transform *Y. lipolytica* strains *E122* and 22301-3 to leucine prototrophy. Leu<sup>+</sup> strains that were unable to grow on oleic acid were further characterised by Southern blotting (Section 2.6.2).

# *2.11.4 Mating and complementation analysis*

*Y. lipolytica* strains were mated according to the method of Gaillardin *et al.* ( 1973). Haploid strains freshly grown on YEPD plates were streaked onto individual PSM plates and incubated at  $30^{\circ}$ C overnight. A strain of one mating type was streaked as a patch on a YM

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

plate; a strain of the opposite mating type was streaked at right angles to and on top of the first streak. YM plates were incubated for 4 d at room temperature. Cells from the YM plates were streaked to single colonies twice on YND plates supplemented with the auxotrophic requirements of the desired diploid strain. Diploid strains were recovered to YEPD plates and assayed for their inability to grow on oleate-containing medium.

### *2.11.5 Sequencing the pex5-l allele*

Genomic DNA was isolated from wild-type and *pex5-l* strains (Section 2.4.2.2). 1  $\mu$ g of genomic DNA was used as the template for PCR (Section 2.5.9) with the primers 32RI5' and 32RI3' (Table 2-1). Two independent PCR reactions were carried out for each template. PCR products were made blunt with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I, purified by gel electrophoresis and cloned into the *Smal* site of pGEM7Zf(+). Plasmid inserts were sequenced with primers specific for the *PEX5* gene.

# 2.11.6 Creation of the pex5-G455D strain

A glycine to aspartic acid substitution at codon 455 and a diagnostic *Xbal* site were introduced into the *PEX5* gene. Plasmid p425PEX5 (Section 2.11.3) was the template for site-directed mutagenesis (Section 2.7) using oligonucleotide G455D (Table 2-1). Mutagenesis products were analyzed by *Xbal* digestion and DNA sequencing. Plasmid  $p425G455D$  contained the desired mutation. Plasmid  $p32\Delta X$  is a construct based in pBluescriptSKII(-) (Stratagene) with the following features: 1) the *Xhol* site of the vector was destroyed by digestion with *Xhol,* followed by blunting of the ends with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and religation; 2) the *EcoBl* site contains an £coRI fragment containing the wild-type *PEX5* gene amplified by PCR with primers 32RI5' and 32RI3'. A 352-bp *Nde*I-*Xhol* fragment of plasmid p425G455D containing the engineered mutation was used to replace the equivalent wild-type sequence of plasmid  $p32\Delta X$  to generate plasmid  $pGDAX$ . The *Y. lipolytica URA3* gene was liberated from pINA443 (Section 2.1.6) with *Sail,* made blunt with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I and ligated into the blunt-ended *Clal* site of pGDAX to yield the integrative plasmid pGDU3.

*Y. lipolytica E122* cells were transformed by electroporation (Section 2.3.2.2) with  $\beta$ g/II-digested pGDU3 and plated onto YNA containing leucine and lysine. Ura<sup>+</sup> colonies were screened by restriction endonuclease digestion and Southern blot analysis (Section  $2.6.2$ ) of their genomic DNA to test for site-specific integration of the plasmid and maintenance of the desired mutation. Strain *E:GDU3*, which contains one wild-type and one mutant copy of the *PEX5* gene flanking the *URA3* gene, was grown in YEPD for approximately 26 h to relax uracil selection. Cells were washed in sterile water and plated onto YNA supplemented with leucine, lysine and uracil and containing 0.075% 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) to select against cells with a functional *URA3* gene. 5-FOA-resistant colonies were screened as described above to find a strain, *pex5-G455D*, that had lost the *URA3* marker by homologous recombination between the *PEX5* genes and that had retained the mutant allele.

## 2.12 Generation of anti-YTPex5p serum

## *2.12.1 Chimeric protein production*

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

A recombinant fusion protein was generated and purified using the Protein Fusion and Purification System (NEB). A 1734-bp *Sphl* fragment of the *PEX5* gene, which contains both coding region as well as 3' untranslated region and codes for amino acid residues 47-598 of Y/Pex5p, was made blunt with T4 DNA polymerase (Section 2.5.4.2) and ligated into the *Xmnl* site of pMAL-c2 (NEB) in-frame and downstream of the ORE encoding *E. coli* maltose binding protein (MBP). The resulting plasmid, pMBP5, encodes the chimeric protein MBP-PEX5.

Bacterial cells harbouring pMBP5 were grown overnight in 10 mL of TYP-ampicillin. The overnight culture was used to inoculate 500 mL of fresh medium, and incubation at 37° C was continued with vigorous aeration. When the culture had reached an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 0.5, IPTG was added to 1 mM and incubation was continued for 2.5 h. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 x *g* for 20 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in ice-cold column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF; 10 mL per g of cells). The cell suspension was subjected to two cycles of freezing at -20°C and thawing in ice-water. Cells were sonicated for 30 sec intervals for a total of 4 min using a Branson Sonifier 250 (duty 30%, output control 3). Cells were placed on ice for 30 sec between each sonication. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 9,000 x *g* for 30 min at 4°C, and the supernatant (crude extract) was retained. A column of amylose resin (approximately 5 mL packed resin) was pre-equilibrated with column buffer at 4°C. The crude extract was diluted 1 in 4 with ice-cold column buffer and was applied to the column at a flow rate of approximately 1 mL/min. The flow-through was collected and reapplied to the column. After washing the column with 15 volumes of

column buffer, bound proteins were eluted with column buffer containing 10 mM maltose (flow rate of approximately 0.2 mL/min). 20 fractions of 1 mL each were collected. The protein profile of a sample of each fraction was determined by SDS-PAGE, followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Sections 2.8.2 and 2.8.3.1, respectively). Peak fractions containing the protein of interest were pooled, boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and analysed by SDS-Page and staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

## 2.12.2 Recovery of proteins from SDS-polyacrylamide gels

Proteins were recovered after SDS-PAGE essentially by the method of Harlow and Lane (1988). The gel was stained in 0.05% Coomassie Brilliant Blue (R-250) in water for 10-15 min and then destained in water. The region of the gel containing the MBP-PEX5 band was excised with a razor blade, weighed and chopped into small pieces (approximately 3 cm x 0.5 cm). Gel fragments were placed in dialysis tubing along with protein elution buffer (200 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.4, 1% SDS, 10 mM DTT) at 10 mL buffer per g of wet gel. The dialysis tubing was placed across the width of a large DNA gel box (Hoefer Max Submarine agarose gel unit, Model HE99). Protein running buffer (50 mM Tris-acetate, pH 7.4, 0.1% SDS) was added until the gel slices were completely covered. Electroelution was carried out at 100 V for 3 h at  $4^{\circ}$ C, after which time the buffer in the dialysis bag was collected and stored at 4°C . Elution and running buffers were replaced, and electroelution was continued at 50 V overnight. The second batch of elution buffer was combined with the first and dialysed against 4 L of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (four changes over 24 h). At the least the first dialysis was performed at room temperature to prevent the precipitation

of SDS; subsequent dialyses were performed at 4°C. After dialysis, the protein solution was frozen and lyophilized. The dried protein was dissolved in a minimum volume of water, and a portion of this was analysed by SDS-PAGE, followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue to assess recovery.

## 2.12.3 Immunization of animals

Approximately 250  $\mu$ g of purified MBP-PEX5 was brought to 0.02% SDS in a total volume of 200  $\mu$ L. An equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant was added and the solution was made homogeneous by a brief sonication. The mixture was administered by injection at 3-4 subcutaneous sites on a young rabbit (approximately 2.5 kg) or guinea pig (approximately 0.25 kg). Booster injections were administered at 6-week intervals after the initial injection. Booster injections were prepared as described for the initial injection, except that 1) only approximately 125  $\mu$ g of MBP-PEX5 was used and 2)Freund's incomplete adjuvant was employed. Ten days after each booster injection, a sample of blood was collected from each animal. Ten days after the final booster injection the animals were sacrificed, and all blood was collected. In all cases the serum was decanted from the clot, and any remaining red blood cells were removed by centrifugation at 2,000 x *g* for 5 min. The presence of specific antibodies was assessed by immunoblotting (Section 2.8.3.3).

### 2.12.4 Purification of antibodies

Rabbit anti-YIP ex5p antibodies were affinity purified essentially by the method of Crane *et al.* (1994). MBP-PEX5 was transferred from an SDS-PAGE gel to Immobilon-P

membrane (Millipore) using a semi-dry electrophoretic transfer system (Section 2.8.3.3). Strips containing MBP-PEX5 were blocked, washed and incubated with anti-Y/Pex5p antisera as described for immunoblot analysis except that the serum was used at a dilution of 1:50 or 1:100 in TBST. After 7 washes with TBST (5 min each), the membranes were incubated with ice-cold 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.8  $(0.25 \text{ mL per cm}^2)$  of membrane) for 3 min at 4°C. The glycine solution was removed and immediately mixed with an equal volume of icecold 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5. The membranes were then further incubated for 3 min with icecold 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.8 (0.25 mL per  $cm<sup>2</sup>$  of membrane), which was pooled with the previous glycine elution. Membranes were immediately washed 7 times (5 min each) with TBST at 4°C. The entire procedure was repeated 4 times. The glycine elutions were pooled and dialyzed against TBS (TBST without the addition of Tween-20). Affinity purified anti-Y/Pex5p antibodies were subsequently concentrated 10- to 20-fold by by centrifugation through a Biomax-30 filter (Millipore) at 7,200 x *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Purified antibodies were divided into aliquots and stored at -20°C.

### **2.13 Heterologous expression of** *PEX5* **genes**

The *PEX5* gene of *Y. lipolytica* was expressed in *P. pastoris* and *vice versa.* Gene expression was confirmed by immunoblot analysis of lysates from oleate-induced cells using antibodies raised against the protein of interest.

# 2.13.1 Expression of PpPEX5 in Y. lipolytica

Plasmid pTC3, created by Ms. Jennifer Smith and described in Brown (2000), is a

pINA443-based vector designed to facilitate heterologous gene expression in *Y. lipolytica* under peroxisome-proliferating conditions. The plasmid contains a cassette consisting of approximately 950 bp and 730 bp of the 5' and 3' untranslated regions, respectively, of the *Y. lipolytica* thiolase gene (Berninger *et al.*, 1993) separated by a unique *EcoRI* site. Plasmid pTC3P8 was constructed by Ms. Jennifer Smith in the following manner: the plasmid pSP72 pas8 was a gift from Dr. Suresh Subramani (University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California), which contains as its insert the *P. pastoris PEX5* (formerly *PAS8)* gene modified to have an upstream *BgUl* site and a mammalian consensus translational initiation site (Kozak, 1986). pSP72-pas8 was digested with *BglU.* and £coRJ to liberate the *PpPEXS* gene. The ends of this fragment were made blunt with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I. The reaction was terminated by extraction with phenol/chloroform, and the DNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation (Sections 2.5.5 and 2.5.6). DNA fragments were then ligated to £coRI adaptors (Gibco/BRL), following which the ligase was inactivated by incubating at 70 °C for 10 min. The adaptors were phosphorylated using T4 polynucleotide kinase (Section 2.5.4.2), and the fragment of interest was ligated to EcoRI-digested pTC3 in the appropriate orientation. The resulting plasmid, pTC3P8, was used to transform the *Y. lipolyticapex5-KO* strain.

## 2.13.2 Expression of YIPEX5 in P. pastoris

The *E. coli P. pastoris* shuttle vector pSG464 (Gould *et al.,* 1992) has no elements specifically designed to facilitate gene expression under peroxisome proliferating conditions, so *YIPEX5* gene expression in *P. pastoris* was achieved by using its own endogenous control elements. An approximately 3.5 kbp piece of *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA, including the entire *PEX5* ORF plus approximately 1 kbp and 0.7 kbp of its 5' and 3' untranslated regions respectively, were isolated as a HindIII-EcoRI fragment and ligated into HindIII-EcoRIdigested pSG464. The resulting plasmid, p464EH, was used to transform the *P. pastoris* strain *PPY27* by electroporation (Section 2.3.2.3).

## **2.14 Plasmids encoding F7Pex5p variants**

## 2.14.1 Plasmids for in vitro transcription/translation

For *in vitro* synthesis of Pex5p and variants, the pKK plasmid series was prepared in pBluescriptSKII(-). Single-stranded DNA from p425PEX5 (Section 2.11.6) was the template for site-directed mutagenesis (Section 2.7) using oligonucleotide KK (Table 2-1) to create a consensus site for mammalian translational initiation (Kozak, 1986), as well as a *Kpnl* site upstream of the initiation codon of *PEX5.* The resulting plasmid (p425KKII) was digested with *KpnI* and *ClaI*, and the insert was ligated into *KpnI-ClaI-digested* pBluescriptSKII(-) to make plasmid pBSKK. A 1735-bp *Sphl* fragment from plasmid p425PEX5 or plasmid pGDΔX (consisting of nucleotides 139-1873 of the wild-type *PEX5* or *pex5-G455D* genes, respectively) was exchanged against the equivalent region from pBSKK to generate plasmids pKKWT and pKKGD.

Genes encoding truncated variants of Y/Pex5p were created by PCR (Section 2.5.9) using the genomic clone of *PEX5* as the template. To create N-terminal truncation mutants, oligonucleotide KKBT, KKCT or KKET was used as the upstream primer (creating a *Kpnl* site upstream of consensus mammalian translation initiation sites as described for

oligonucleotide KK), while KKREV was used as the downstream primer. PCR products were treated with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I, gel purified and ligated into the *EcoRV* site of pGEM5Zf(+) (Promega) to make pG5BT, pG5CT and pG5ET respectively. A 268-bp *KpnI-EcoRV* fragment of pG5BT, a 57-bp *KpnI-SalI* fragment of pG5CT and a 281-bp *Kpnl-Xhol* fragment of pG5ET were exchanged against the equivalent regions of pKKWT to generate plasmids pKKBT, pKKCT and pKKET, respectively. To make C-terminal truncation mutants, oligonucleotide 32RI5' was used as the upstream primer and oligonucleotides BIAT, BIDT or BIFT was used as the downstream primer (creating termination codons followed by a *SnaBI* site). PCR products were digested with *ApaI* and  $S<sub>n</sub>$ aBI, and the unique fragment from each reaction was ligated into  $A<sub>p</sub>$ aI-S $n$ aBI-digested pRcCMV (Invitrogen) to give pRcA, pRcD and pRcF, respectively. A 189-bp *Eco*RV-SnaBI fragment of pRcA was ligated into pKKWT digested completely with *Stul* and partially with EcoRV to give pKKAT. A 66-bp *Ndel-SnaBl* fragment of pRcD was ligated into *Ndel-Stul*digested pKKWT to give pKKDT. A 128-bp *Xhol-SnaBI* fragment of pRcF was ligated into  $XhoI-StuI$ -digested pKKWT to give pKKFT. Plasmid pKKBF was created by exchanging the *Kpnl-Xhol* fragment of pKKFT for the equivalent region of pKKBT. Plasmid pKKCF was created by exchanging the *Kpnl-Ndel* fragment of pKKFT with the equivalent region of pKKCT. pKKAT, pKKDT, pKKFT, pKKBF and pKKCF all lack 156 bp of the 3' untranslated region, from the base after the termination codon to the middle of the *Stul* site.

## 2.14.2 Plasmids for in vivo expression

The endogenous *PEX5* promoter was used to drive the *in vivo* expression of genes

encoding truncated Pex5p variants. The *HindIII* fragment of p425KKII (Section 2.14.1) was ligated into the *HindIII* site of pBluescriptSKII(-) such that the direction of transcription of the *PEX5* gene was opposite to that of the *LacZ* gene. This created plasmid pBSHK which has approximately 1 kbp of *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA 5' to the initiation codon of *PEX5* located between *Kpnl* sites. The *Kpnl* fragment of pBSHK was ligated into the *Kpnl* sites (in the correct orientation with respect to the ORFs) of the appropriate pKK plasmid to give plasmids pKPAT, pKPDT, pKPFT, pKPBT, pKPCT, pKPET and pKPBF respectively. Promoter-ORF combinations were liberated from the pKP plasmids with *HindIII* and ligated into the *HindUl* site of pINA443 to give plasmids p443AT, p443DT, p443FT, p443BT. p443CT, p443ET and p443BF, respectively. Expression of the wild-type gene was from plasmid p443KK, consisting of the *H indlll* fragment of plasmid p425KKII in the *Hindlll* site of pINA443. Plasmids were introduced into the*pex5-KO* strain by electroporation (Section **2.3.2 .2) .**

#### 2.15 *In vitro* PTS1 **binding** assays

# *2.15.1 Plasmids encoding glutathione S-transferase-PTSl variant proteins*

Variants *o f Schistosomajaponicum* glutathione S-transferase (GST) modified at their C-termini were encoded by a series of plasmids based on  $pGEXQ$ , a derivative of  $pGEX4T1$ (Amersham-Pharmacia). pGEXQ was constructed as follows: complementary oligonucleotides EQ1 and EQ2 (Table 2-1) were designed so that when annealed, 5' overhangs would be created that were compatible with overhangs resulting from digestion of DNA with *BamHI* and *EcoRI*, respectively. Oligonucleotides were phosphorylated with

T4 polynucleotide kinase (Section 2.5.3). They were then brought to a final concentration of 25 pmol/ $\mu$ L each in annealing buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl<sub>1</sub>), heated at 90°C for 4 min and allowed to cool slowly to 16°C (Zhang, 1993). The annealing reaction was diluted 25-fold with water, and 0.5  $\mu$ L of this solution was used in a ligation reaction with *BanHL-EcoRl* - digested pGEX4Tl. The result was plasmid pGEXQ, in which the EcoRI site was destroyed, creating a codon for glutamine (CAA) in place of glutamic acid (GAA). Plasmids pGEXQ-SKL, pGEXQ-LKS, pGEXQ-AKI and pGEXQ-SKLS (encoding GST-SKL, GST-LKS, GST-AKI and GST-SKLS, respectively) were made by preparing annealed oligonucleotide pairs (SKL1 and SKL2, LKS1 and LKS2, AKI1 and AKI2, and SKLS1 and SKLS2, respectively) (Table 2-1). Annealed oligonucleotides generate *Sal*I- and *NotI*-compatible 5' overhangs, and were ligated into  $Sa/I$ -*NotI*-digested pGEXQ. The sequences of the C-termini of the GST variants are ...LVPRGSPQFPGR(SKL/LKS/AKI/SKLS), respectively. The pGEXQ variant plasmids were transformed into protease-deficient *E. coli* BLR(DE3) cells.

#### 2.15.2 Purification of GST-PTS1 variant proteins

*E. coli* BLR(DE3) cells carrying a pGEXQ variant plasmid was grown overnight in 5 mL of 2 x YT-ampicillin (Table 2-3) at 37°C with vigorous aeration. The culture was then used to innoculate 500 mL of fresh medium and growth was continued at 37<sup>°</sup>C until the culture had reached an  $OD_{600}$  of approximately 1.0. IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM, and the cells were grown at 30°C for 2 h to allow synthesis of the GST proteins. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7,700 x  $g$  for 10 min at 4°C and then subjected to

two cycles of freezing at -20 $\degree$ C and thawing in ice-water. Cells were disrupted by incubation with 20 mL of B-PER bacterial protein extraction reagent (Pierce) for 10 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. Insoluble protein and debris were removed by centrifugation at 27,000 x *g* for 15 min. GST proteins were isolated by passing the supernatant over glutathione-Sepharose beads (Amersham-Pharmacia) pre-equilibrated in PBS. Bound material was eluted with 10 mM reduced glutathione in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Eluted proteins were dialyzed against 2,000 volumes of P8BB binding buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 110 mM potassium acetate, 5 mM sodium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT) (McCollum *et ai,* 1993) containing 20% (v/v) glycerol at 4°C for 4 h and stored at -80°C until needed.

## 2.15.3 Purification of MBP-PEX5 and MBP

pMBP5 (Section 2.12.1) and pMAL-c2 were used to produce the MBP-PEX5 chimera and unfused MBP, respectively, in E. coli BLR(DE3) cells. MBP-PEX5 and MBP were purified as described in Section 2.12.1. Proteins eluted from the amylose resin were dialyzed and stored as described for GST-PTS1 variant proteins (Section 2.15.2).

## 2.15.4 Preparation of an E. coli lysate

A lysate of *E. coli* BLR(DE3) cells was prepared according to Ausubel *et al.* (1999) for use as a complex competitor in binding studies. Cells from an overnight culture of LB were harvested by centrifugation at  $6,000 \times g$ , washed in ice-cold P8BB buffer and repelleted by centrifugation. Cells were resuspended in P8BB buffer (10 mL per L of culture) and then

sonicated with a Branson Sonifier 250 five times for 1 min each (duty 30%, output control 3), with a 2 min pause on ice between each sonication. The sonicate was subjected to centrifugation at 47,800 x  $g$  at 4<sup>°</sup>C for 30 min. The supernatant was retained, to which Triton X-100 and glycerol were added to final concentrations of 1% ( $v/v$ ) and 10% ( $v/v$ ), respectively. Aliquots of the lysate were stored at -80°C. Before use, the thawed lysate was subjected to centrifugation at 47,800 x  $g$  at 4<sup>o</sup>C for 30 min. The supernatant was assayed for protein concentration (Section 2.8.1) and adjusted to 10 mg/mL with P8BB.

### 2.15.5 PTS1 binding reactions

### 2.15.5.1 Binding reactions involving purified MBP-PEX5 and MBP

Glutathione-Sepharose beads, pre-equilibrated in P8BB containing 20% (v/v) glycerol, were mixed with an equal volume of 7.5  $\mu$ g GST variant protein/ $\mu$ L in P8BB containing 20% (v/v) glycerol at room temperature for 30 min. After extensive washing with P8BB containing 10% (v/v) glycerol, 20  $\mu$ L of the loaded beads was mixed with 200  $\mu$ L of blocking buffer (P8BB containing 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.2% (w/v) NP-40, 10 μg *E. coli* BLR(DE3) cell extract (Section 2.15.4)/ $\mu$ L to block non-specific protein binding sites. 5  $\mu$ g of MBP-PEX5 or an equimolar amount of MBP was mixed with 200  $\mu$ L of blocking buffer, incubated on ice for 30 min, and subjected to centrifugation at 16,000 x *g* for 10 min. The supernatant was added to the bead mixture and incubated at room temperature for 90 min with gentle mixing. Beads were collected by centrifugation at 500 x *g* for 5 min and the supernatant was removed. Beads were washed with 400  $\mu$ L each of: 1) P8BB containing 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.2 % (w/v) NP-40, 2  $\mu$ g BSA/ $\mu$ L; 2) P8BB containing 10% (v/v)
glycerol, 0.1% (w/v) NP-40, 2  $\mu$ g BSA/ $\mu$ L; 3) P8BB containing 10% (v/v) glycerol. Wash buffers were ice-cold, and centrifugations were performed at 4°C. After the final wash, all liquid was removed. The drained beads were resuspended in 20  $\mu$ L of SDS-PAGE sample buffer (Section 2.8.2) and boiled for 5 min to elute bound proteins. Eluted proteins were analysed by SDS-P AGE followed by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (Sections 2.8.2 and 2.8.3.1). All blocking and wash buffers contained protease inhibitors at the following concentrations:  $l\mu g/mL$  of each of leupeptin, aprotinin, and pepstatin A, 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, 5 mM NaF, and I mM PMSF. For certain experiments (see text), *E. coli* cell extract and BSA were omitted from the blocking buffer and wash buffers, respectively.

#### 2.15.5.2 Binding reactions involving *in vitro* translated F7Pex5p variants

K/Pex5p variants were produced by *in vitro* translation (Section 2.9.2). An amount of the reticulocyte lysate representing 50,000 precipitated cpm (Section 2.9.3) of wild type Pex5p , or an amount of lysate representing the precipitated cpm of an equimolar amount of a Pex5p variant (unless otherwise specified), was brought to a final volume of  $2 \mu L$  (unless otherwise specified) with unprogrammed reticulocyte lysate diluted 1:1 with water. The diluted mixture was processed for binding as described in Section 2.15.5.1 for MBP proteins, except that the blocking buffer contained 10 µg BSA/µL instead of *E. coli* lysate. Proteins released from the beads by boiling in SDS-P AGE sample buffer were analysed by SDS-P AGE and fluorography (Section 2.8.3.2). Dried gels were exposed to preflashed Kodak XAR-5  $X$ -ray film at -80 $^{\circ}$ C.

## 2.16 Computer-aided analyses of nucleic acid and protein sequences

DNA and protein sequences were sanalysed and compared to other sequences with either the PC-GENE software package (ImtelliGenetics), or using the BLAST algorithms (Altschul *et al.*, 1990; Altschul *et al.*, 1997) via the network service ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) of the National Centre for Biotechnology Lnformation (Bethesda, MD.)

## **CHAPTER 3**

**Identification and Characterization of the**

*Yarrowia lipolytica* **Peroxin Pex5p**

A version of this chapter has previously been published as "Pay32p of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is an intraperoxisomal component of the matrix protein translocation machinery" (Rachel K. Szilard, Vladimir I. Titorenko, Marten Veenhuis and Richard A. Rachubinski). Reproduced from *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 1995, 131: 1453-1469 by copyright permission of the Rockefeller University Press.

90

#### **3.1 Introductory remarks**

A genetic screen was undertaken to identify mutants o f the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* that fail to assemble functional peroxisomes *{pex* mutants). One strain, *pex5-I,* has abnormally small peroxisomes that are often found in clusters surrounded by membranous material. The functionally complementing gene *PEX5* encodes a protein, YPex5p, that is a member of the Pex5 family of peroxins. Pex5 proteins have been shown to function as PTS1 receptors in other species. Biochemical characterization of the mutants *pex5-l* and *pex5-K0* (a *PEX5* gene disruption strain) showed that ?7Pex5p is a component of the peroxisomal translocation machinery. Mutations in the *PEX5* gene prevent the translocation into the matrix of most, but not all, proteins successfully targeted to peroxisomes. These proteins, including a 62-kD polypeptide recognized by antibodies to a PTS 1 (SKL), seem to be trapped in the peroxisomal membrane at an intermediate stage of iranslocation in *pex5* mutants.  $Y/P$ ex5p is intraperoxisomal. In wild-type peroxisomes,  $Y/P$ ex5p is associated primarily with the inner surface of the peroxisomal membrane, but approximately one-third of Y/Pex5p is localized to the peroxisomal matrix. The majority of the YIPex5p in the matrix is complexed with two anti-SKL-reactive polypeptides; the 62-kD protein described above, and a 64-kD protein. In contrast, in peroxisomes of the *pex5-l* mutant, the Pex5 protein is localized exclusively to the matrix and forms no complex with the 62-kD anti-SKL reactive protein stuck in the membrane. The results of the studies presented suggest that there are at least two distinct translocation machineries involved in the import of proteins into peroxisomes.

#### **3.2 Identification of the** *pex5-l* **mutant**

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Yeast cells require functional peroxisomes in order to utilize fatty acids as a carbon source, because peroxisomes are the only site of  $\beta$ -oxidation in these organisms (Kunau *et al.*, 1988). This requirement was used to screen for mutants of peroxisome dysfunction in the yeast *Y. lipolytica*, with the goal of isolating strains affected in peroxisome biogenesis. Wildtype *Y. lipolytica* cells were chemically mutagenized and then assayed for their inability to grow on media containing oleic acid as the sole carbon source. From  $-6.4 \times 10^4$  colonies screened, 29 were identified as displaying the ole' phenotype, *i.e.* demonstrating no growth or impaired growth on oleic acid medium, but robust growth on acetate medium (Table 3-1). Some of the mutants displayed temperature sensitivity or cold sensitivity in the ole' phenotype. Among these ole' strains, six have been extensively characterized by various members of the Rachubinski laboratory: mutants #32 *{pex5-I\* formerly known as *pay32-l*, and the subject of this thesis; Szilard *et al.,* 1995; Szilard and Rachubinski (2000), #41 *(pexl*- /; Titorenko *eta l*., 1997; Titorenko and Rachubinski, 1998b), #42 *{pex2-l\* formerly *pay 5-1*; Eitzen *et al.*, 1996; Titorenko *et al.*, 1996), #50 (pex8-1; formerly pex17-1; Smith *et al.*, 1997), #56 *{pex20-l\* Titorenko *et al*., 1998) and #63 *{pexl6-l\* Eitzen *et al*., 1997). Mutations in the *PEX6* gene seem to be over-represented in this collection of mutants, which is probably due at least in part to the relatively large size (3078 nt) of the *PEX6* ORF (Nuttley *et al.*, 1994).

The growth of wild-type and *pex5-l* mutant cells on acetate- and oleic acid-containing media are shown in Figure 3-1. The wild-type strain grows well on media containing either acetate or oleic acid as the carbon source (Figure 3-1,  $E I 22 + pINA 445$ , compare upper and lower panels). The *pex5-1* mutant, which grows well on acetate plates, cannot grow on oleic

### **Table 3-1 Characterization of K** *lipolytica* **strains**

Strains were grown on YNO medium for 4 d at the temperature indicated. Some strains were tested for functional complementation by transformation with plasmids harbouring previously characterized *Y. lipolytica PEX* genes, or with a *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA library (Nuttley *et al*., 1994). The complementing gene, if known, is indicated. *Symbols:* +++, robust growth; ++, impaired growth; +, poor growth; no growth; *nt,* not tested.





**"The mutant strain was transformed with the original genomic DNA library plasmid pO I (Nuttley** *etal..* **1994). therefore it is possible that a gene adjacent to the** *PEX6* **locus may be responsible for the complementation, or that** *PEX6* **overexpression supresses the mutation.**

**b Dr. Melchior Evers, Dr. Richard Rachubinski, personal communication.**

**c Independent clone isolated by transforming the mutant with the genomic DNA library (Ms. Jennifer Smith, personal communication).**

**4 Ms. Jennifer Smith, Dr. Vladimir Titorenko, personal communication.**

acid plates (Figure 3-1,  $pex5-I + pINA445$ , compare upper and lower panels). The ability o f the *pex5-l* mutant to utilize acetate demonstrates that the ole' phenotype is not due to a failure of the mitochondria to assimilate acetyl-CoA (the end result of  $\beta$ -oxidation). These data suggest that the *pex5-1* strain fails to grow on oleate medium because of an impairment in peroxisome function.

A mutant could display the ole' phenotype because of either the deficiency of a single enzyme activity in the β-oxidation pathway (a *fox* mutant, for <u>f</u>atty acid <u>oxi</u>dation), or because of a more general defect in peroxisome assembly (a *pex* mutant). Which of these two possibilities was true for the *pex5-1* mutant was initially investigated by indirect



Figure 3-1. The inability of the *pex5-1* mutant to grow on oleate medium is restored by transformation with plasmid p32G1. The wild-type and *pex5-1* mutant strains, each transformed with the plasmid vector pINA445 *(E122* + pINA445 and *pex5-1* + pINA445, respectively), and the *pex5-l* strain transformed with complementing plasmid p32Gl were streaked onto YNA *(upper panel)* or YNO *(lower panel)* and incubated at 30°C for 3 d (YNA) or 5 d (YNO).

immunofluorescence using anti-SKL antiserum. In wild-type cells a punctate patterra of fluorescence was seen, which is characteristic of peroxisomal staining (Figure 3-2, *E122*). In contrast, staining of *pex5-l* cells revealed a diffuse cytoplasmic fluorescence (Figure 3-2, *pex5-l*), suggesting that anti-SKL-reactive proteins are not correctly imported into peroxisomes, and that this ole' strain is indeed a *pex* mutant.

#### **3.3 The** *pex5-l* **mutant has abnormal peroxisome morphology**

Analysis of the wild-type strain and the *pex5-l* mutant by electron microscopy and immunocytochemistry was performed by Dr. Marten Veenhuis (University of Groningen, The Netherlands). Ultrastructural analysis of wild-type cells grown on oleic acid medium (YPBO) shows large, round peroxisomes, each circumscribed by a single unit membrane, and well separated from one another (Figure 3-3 A). In contrast, peroxisomes in *pex5-1* mutant cells are generally smaller than those in wild-type cells, and are often found in clusters surroun-ded by large membranous structures of unknown origin and composition (Figure 3-3 B). However, small individual peroxisomes are also seen in the mutant strain (Figure 3-3 D). The proliferation of peroxisome-associated membranous structures has also been noted for *p* ex 5 mutants of *H. polymorpha* (van der Klei *et al*., 1995) and *S. cerevisiae* (van der Leij *et al.,* 1992).

Immunocytochemistry performed on wild-type cells using anti-thiolase (Figure 3-4 E) or anti-SKL (Figure 3-4 F) antibodies demonstrated intense labelling of peroxisomes. Similar analysis of pex5-*I* cells showed that anti-thiolase (Figure 3-4 A) and anti-SKL (Figure  $3-4$  B) antibodies labelled peroxisomal structures in this strain. The membranous complexes



**Figure 3-2. Immunofluorescence microscopic analysis of wild-type and** *pexS-1* **mutant strains.** The wild-type *(E l22)* and *pex5-I* mutant *(pex5-I*) were precultured in YEPD and then transferred to YPBO for 10 h. Cells were processed for immunofluorescence microscopy with rabbit anti-SKL and rhodamine-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibodies as described in Section 2.10.1. Images were captured with a digital camera. *Bar*, 5 µm.



**Figure 3-3. Ultrastructure of the wild-type and** *pexS* **mutant strains.** The wild-type *{A), pex5-I (B and D)*, and *pex5-KO (C and E)* strains were grown to saturation on YEPD, transferred to YPBO and grown for an additional 8 h. Cells were fixed in  $KMnO<sub>4</sub>$  and processed for electron microscopy. *P*, peroxisome; *M*., mitochondrion; *N,* nucleus; *V,* vacuole. *Bar*, 1 µm.



**Figure 3-4. Immunocytochemical analysis of wild-type and** *pexS* **mutant strains. The** wild-type *(E and F), pex5-l (A and B)* and *pex5-KO (C and D)* strains were grown as described in the legend to Figure 3-3. The cells were fixed with glutaraldehyde/formaldehyde and processed for immunoelectron microscopy with anti-thiolase *(A, C and E)* or anti-SKL *(B, D and F)* antibodies. *Symbols* are as for Figure 3-3. *Arrows* point to membranous complexes.

surrounding many peroxisomes in*pex5-I* cells were also labelled with anti-thiolase and anti-SKL sera (arrows in Figure 3-4 A and B), thus suggesting their peroxisomal nature. The results of the immunolabelling experiments suggest that thiolase and one or more anti-SKLreactive proteins are targeted to peroxisomal structures in the *pex5-1* mutant.

#### **3.4 Cloning and sequence analysis of the** *PEX5* **gene**

The *PEX5* gene was isolated from a *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA library in the autonomously replicating *E. coli* shuttle vector pINA445 (Brade, 1992; Nuttley *et al.,* 1993) by functional complementation of the ole<sup>-</sup> phenotype of  $pex5-I$  cells. Of the  $\sim$ 5 x 10<sup>3</sup> transformants screened, one had regained the ability to grow on oleic acid (Figure 3-1 lower panel, compare  $pex5-I - p32GI$  with  $pex5-I + pINA445$ ). This transformant carried the plasmid p32Gl, which contains an —5.4 kbp insert of *Y. lipolytica* genomic DNA. An -4.1 kbp region and an overlapping  $-3.5$  kbp region were sufficient to functionally complement the*pex5-l* growth defect on oleic acid (Figure 3-5). Sequencing within the 3.5 kbp region revealed an ORF of 1794 bp encoding a conceptual protein of 598 amino acid residues (Figure 3-6) with a predicted molecular mass of 66,733 D. This protein was originally designated Pay32p (Szilard *et al.,* 1995), but was later renamed F7Pex5p when peroxin nomenclature was unified (Distel *et al.*, 1996). The sequence of YIPex5p shows extensive similarity to other members of the Pex5 peroxin family (McCollum *et al.,* 1993; van der Leij *etal.,* 1993; Nuttley *et al.,* 1995; van der Klei *et al.,* 1995; Fransen *etal.,* 1995; Dodt *et al..* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Baes *et al.,* 1997; Otera *et al.,* 1998; Wimmer *et al.,* 199S; ECragler *et al.,* 1998; Brickner *et al.,* 1998; de Walque *et al.,* 1999), especially in the



Figure 3-5. **Identification of the** *Y. lipolytica PEX5* **gene.** The longest line represents the 4.1 kbp-fragment from the original insert of p32Gl. A restriction endonuclease map is shown at the bottom of the illustration. The arrow indicates the direction and position of the ORF o f the *PEX5* gene. The (+) and (-) symbols denote the ability and inability, respectively, of a fragment to confer growth on oleic acid to *pex5-J.* The line with the boxed ends represents the region amplified by PCR with the oligonucleotides 32RI5' and 32RI3' (Table 2-1). The grey areas on the map indicate regions that were not sequenced. A,  $Apa\mathbf{I}; B$ , BamHI; E, **£coRI;** *Hy HindUl; S, Sphl; X, Xhol.*

- 4 4 5 CCAGCGCGGTAGTTGCAACCTTATCCCCCAACTGCTCTGGCTTCGTTAGTAAGAAACTTTTGGAACAGGC - 3 7 5 CAACGTGAAAAATTGAGTGTATTCGAAGTCGTCAGATTGTGGGGTAATAGGGGCGTGAAAGACAAAACTTTAAGG - 3 0 0 ATCTCAATATGATGAGATTGGCGCAGAGTACCACTCTGTGCAGTCGCCACTGAATCCACGTTGCGCACGTGGCCT -225 TATGCCTCGGCTCAAATTCCCCAGATACCCCATTCAACAAGGGTAAGGGCACTCAGTCGTGGGGAACCTTAATG<br>-150 TTACTGCAGTGACTGCCAGCAGAGAACTATAGACTTGCATATTACACATGATTTCCTTTGGACTGAAATAACTAA - 1 5 0 TTACTGCAGTGACTGCCAGCAGAGAACTATAGACTTGCATATTACACATGATTTCCTTTGGACTGAAATAACTAA - 7 5 ACTGTGACTCTTGCCAACCACTTTTCTTGCACACAGCACACACAGAGACCACCAAAGCAAGCAGGAAACATCATT + 1 ATGTCGTTTATGAGAGGAGGAAGCGAATGCTCTACGGGCAGAAACCCCCTGAGCCAGTTCACCAAACACACCGCT **M S F M R G G S E C S T G R N P I . S Q F T K H T A 25** + 7 6 GAGGACCGATCCCTCCAGCATGATCGGGTGGCGGGTCCCTCTGGGGGCCGAGTTGGAGGCATGCGATCCAACACT **E D R S L Q H D R V A G P S G G R V G G M R S N T 50** + 1 5 1 GGCGAGATGTCACAGCAGGACCGAGAGATGATGGCGCGATTCGGTGCTGCCGGACCCGAGCAGTCGTCTTTCAAC **G E M S Q Q D R E M M A R F G A A G P E Q S S F N 75** + 2 2 6 TACGAGCAGATGCGACATGAGCTCCACAACATGGGTGCCCAAGGAGGCCAGATTCCCCAGGTTCCCAGCCAGCAG **Y E Q M R H E L H H M G A Q G G Q I P Q V P S Q Q 100** + 3 0 1 GGCGCTGCTAACGGAGGACAGTGGGCCCGAGACTTTGGAGGACAACAGACCGCTCCCGGCGCTGCTCCCCAGGAC **G A A N G G Q H A R D F G G Q Q T A P G A A P Q D 125** + 3 7 6 GCCAAGAACTGGAACGCCGAGTTCCAGCGAGGAGGATCTCCTGCAGAGGCCATGCAACAGCAGGGTCCCGGCCCC **A K H W H A E F Q R G O S P A E A M Q Q Q G P G P 150** + 4 5 1 ATGCAAGGCGGCATGGGTATGGGGGGAATGCCCATGTACGGCATGGCTCGTCCCATGTACTCTGGAATGAGTGCC **M Q G G M G M G G M P M Y G M A R P M Y S G K S A 175** + 5 2 6 AACATGGCTCCTCAGTTCCAGCCCCAGCAGGCTAACGCACGAGTTGTCGAGCTGGACGAGCAGAACTGGGAGGAG **M M A P Q F Q P Q Q A N A R V V E L D E Q N W E E 2 0 0** + 6 0 1 CAGTTCAAGCAGATGGACTCTGCCGTTGGCAAGGGTAAGGAGGTCGAGGAGCAGACTGCCGAGACTGCTACTGCC **Q F K Q M D S A V G K G K E V E E Q T A E T A T A 225** + 6 7 6 ACCGAGACTGTCACCGAGACTGAAACCACTACTGAGGACAAGCCCATGGATATCAAGAACATGGACTTTGAAAAC **T E T V T E T E T T T E D K P M D I K N M D F E N 250** + 7 5 1 ATCTGGAAGAACCTCCAGGTCAACGTTCTCGACAACATGGACGAGTGGCTGGAGGAGACCAACTCGCCCGCGTGG **I W K N L Q V N V L D N M D E W L E E T N S P A W 275** + 8 2 6 GAGCGAGACTTCCATGAGTATACCCACAACCGGCCTGAGTTTGCCGACTACCAGTTCGAGGAGAACAACCAGTTC **E R D F H E Y T H N R P E F A D Y Q F E E N N Q F 300** + 9 0 1 ATGGAGCACCCTGATCCCTTCAAGATTGGAGTCGAGCTCATGGAGACTGGCGGTCGACTTTCGGAGGCTGCTCTG **M E H P D P F K I G V E I . M E T Q G R I . S E A A I . 3 2 5** + 9 7 6 GCCTTCGAGGCAGCTGTTCAGAAGAACACTGAGCACGCCGAGGCTTGGGGACGACTTGGAGCCTGCCAGGCCCAG **A F E A A V Q K N T E H A E A W G R L G A C Q A Q 350** + 1 0 5 1 AATGAAAAGGAGGACCCTGCTATCCGAGCTCTGGAACGATGCATCAAGCTGGAGCCTGGTAACCTTTCTGCTCTG **N B K E D P A I R A L E R C I K L E P O N L S A L 375** + 1 1 2 6 ATGAACTTGTCTGTTTCTTACACCAACGAAGGATACGAGAATGCCGCATATGCTACTCTGGAGCGATGGCTTGCC **M H L S V 3 Y T N E G Y E N A A Y A T L E R W L A 400** + 1 2 0 1 ACCAAGTACCCCGAGGTTGTGGACCAGGCCCGAAACCAGGAGCCTCGACTCGGCAACGAGGATAAGTTCCAGCTG **T K Y P E V V D Q A R H Q E P R L G K E D K F Q L 4 2 5** + 1 2 7 6 CACTCTCGGGTCACTGAGCTGTTTATCCGAGCTGCCCAACTGTCCCCTGACGGAGCTAACATTGACGCTGATGTC **H S R V T E L F I R A A Q L S P D G A M I D A D V 4 5 0** + 1 3 5 1 CAAGTTGGTCTCGGTGTTCTGTTCTACGGAAACGAGGAATACGATAAGGCCATTGACTGTTTCAACGCCGCCATT **Q V G L G V L F Y G N E E Y D K A I D C F N A A I 475** + 1 4 2 6 GCTGTTCGACCCGATGATGCTCTTCTGTGGAATAGACTCGGAGCCACCCTTGCCAACTCCCACCGATCTGAGGAG **A V R P D D A L L W M R L G A T L A N S H R S E E 5 0 0** + 1 5 0 1 GCCATTGATGCTTACTACAAAGCTCTCGAGCTGCGTCCCTCTTTTGTGCGTGCTCGATACAACCTTGGTGTGTCG **A I D A Y Y K A L E I . R P S F V R A R Y N L G V S 5 2 5** + 1 5 7 6 TGCATTAACATTGGCTGCTACAAGGAGGCTGCCCAGTATCTTCTGGGGGCTCTGTCCATGCACAAGGTTGAGGGA **C I N I G C Y K E A A Q Y L I / G A I . S M H K V E G 5 5 0** + 1 6 5 1 GTCCAGGATGATGTTTTGGCCAACCAGTCCACTAACCTGTACGATACCCTGAAGCGAGTTTTCCTGGGTATGGAC **V Q D D V L A N Q S T H L Y D T L K R V F L G M D 575** + 1 7 2 6 CGACGAGATCTGGTGGCCAAGGTTGGAAACGGAATGGACGTCAACCAGTTCCGAAATGAGTTTGAATTTTAGTAT **R R D L V A K V G N G M D V N Q F R N E F E F \*\*\* 598** + 1 8 0 1 ATAGTAATTGATTATTTAAGGATGAGCGAGATGATACATATACAGTATTTACTCGTAACTTTCTCACTGCATGCA + 1 8 7 6 AGAACCATGCCTTAAAATTGCAGTACTGTACAGTACAATTGTACTGTATGTACTTGAGCTGATACAGGCCTGCTT + 1 9 5 1 CAACACTGTAATACGTTTCATAT ATAAATACCTAATTACCCCTTATGTGT ATATAAAT AATGGCTTGGGATGGTA + 2 0 2 6 GCAGCCATGGATTATTGGCCAATAGTCCCGGTATGGTTTGGAGGCCCAAGGTTATTAGTGAGTCCCAGATCGGTT +2101 AATGAGCTCTTTTATCAGTTAGTTGGTATGTTTT

Figure 3-6. Sequence analysis of the *Y. lipolytica PEX5* gene. The nucleotide sequence of the *PEX5* gene and the deduced amino acid residue sequence of *YI*Pex5p are shown. The TPR motifs are underlined. The numbers at left indicate nucleotide positions. The numbers at right indicate amino acid residue positions. The nucleotide sequence reported here has the GenBank accession number U28155.

characteristic TPR domain in the C-terminal half of the protein. The TPR domain of Pex5 proteins consists of seven repeats of the 34-amino acid residue motif and has been implicated in PTS1 binding (McCollum et al., 1993; Brocard et al., 1994; Terlecky et al., 1995; Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Kragler *et al.,* 1998). An alignment of Pex5 protein sequences is provided in Appendix 1.

The N-termini of Pex5 family members contain multiple copies of a pentapeptide motif with the consensus sequence WXXXF/Y, with different numbers of motifs and spacings in individual proteins (Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Kragler *et al.,* 1998; Wimmer *et al.,* 1998; Schliebs *et al.,* 1999; de Walque *et al.,* 1999). These motifs have been suggested to be involved in the interaction of human Pex5p and Pexl4p (Schliebs *el al.,* 1999), but this theory has not yet been addressed experimentally. In Y/Pex5p, there are four copies of the pentapeptide motif, starting at amino acid residues 108, 129, 198 and 275 (Schliebs *et al.,* 1999).

The sequence of YIPex5p also shows similarity to the *Y. lipolytica* peroxin Pex20p (Titorenko *et al.,* 1998), which is required for the oligomerization of thiolase and for its targeting to peroxisomes. *YPex20p* has no TPR domain, and the region of similarity is restricted to the N-terminal region of Y*I*Pex5p (Titorenko *et al.*, 1998).

## **3.5 F7Pex5p synthesis is induced by growth of** *Y. lipolytica* **on oleic acid**

Wild-type cells were precultured in glucose medium (YEPD) and then transferred to oleic acid medium (YPBO) to induce peroxisome formation. Extracts from cells harvested at various times during the induction period were analysed for the levels of YIPex5p, thiolase, Kar2p (a marker for the endoplasmic reticulum) and gIucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (a

103



**Figure 3-7. F7Pex5p synthesis is induced by growth of K** *lipolytica* **in oleic acidcontaining medium.** The wild-type strain  $E122$  was precultured in YEPD to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.3 and then transferred to YPBO at an initial  $OD<sub>600</sub>$  of 0.016. Samples were removed at various times after transfer to YPBO *(numbers at top)* and lysates were prepared by disruption with glass beads. Lysates  $(1 \mu g)$  of cells were analysed by immunoblotting with antibodies toF/Pex5p, thiolase, Kar2p and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (*G6PDH*).

cytosolic protein) (Figure 3-7). YIPex5p is present in YEPD-grown cells. Following transfer to YPBO, the level of YPex5p rises, as does that of thiolase, a protein encoded by a gene whose expression is known to be oleate-inducible (Eitzen *et al.*, 1995). The level of *YI*Pex5p peaks by 4 h and remains elevated for the duration of the induction, although declining slightly at the last time point. The changes in the level of  $Y/P$ ex5p was not due to a non-specific increase in cellular protein synthesis following transfer to YPBO, since the levels of Kar2p and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase do not show similar increases over the course of the induction.

Based on its electrophoretic mobility, the specific protein recognized by the anti- $Y/P$ ex5p antibodies runs with an apparent molecular mass of  $\sim$ 71 kD (Figure 3-10 B, lane *E l* 22), which is slightly larger than the predicted molecular mass of this protein (66,733 D); this is a feature common to several Pex5 family members (van der Klei *et cil*., 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Baes *et al.,* 1997; Wimmer *et al.,* 1998; Schliebs *et al.,* 1999).

### **3.6 Integrative disruption of the** *PEX5* **gene and complementation analysis**

The *Y. lipolytica LEU2* gene was used to disrupt the *PEX5* gene (Figure 3-8 A). The disruption construct was used to transform the wild-type strains *E l22* and *22301-3* to leucine prototrophy, creating strains *pex5-KO* and *pex5-KOB* in the A and B mating types, respectively. The genomic DNA of Leu<sup>+</sup> strains was analysed by Southern blotting to ensure site-specific integration of the *LEU2* gene (Figure 3-8 B). Strains with a disrupted *PEX5* gene could not grow on oleic acid (Figure 3-9, compare*pex5-KO* and*pex5-KOB* to *E122* and

105



Figure 3-8. Targeted integrative disruption of the *PEXS* gene. *(A)* Gene disruption strategy. The site of integration of the *Y. lipolytica LEU2* gene in the *PEXS* gene is shown. The ORF of the *LEU2* gene is in the same direction as that of the *PEXS* gene. *Symbols* are as in Figure 3-5. (*B*) Southern blot analysis of wild-type (£722 and *22301-3)* and *pexS* disruption strains *(pexS-KO* and *pexS-KOB).* Genomic DNA was isolated, digested with *EcoRI* and processed for Southern blotting as described in Section 2.6.2.1. The probe for the *LEU2* sequence was the *Sphl* fragment used in the disruption construct. The probe for the *PEX5* sequence was the 4.1-kbp fragment from plasmid p32G1. The *asterisk* indicates the band that is diagnostic for site-specific integration of the *LEU2* gene into the *PEXS* gene. *Numbers* represent the sizes of molecular markers (in kbp).

*22301-3,* respectively) and had the same abnormal peroxisomal morphology (Figure 3-3 C and E; Figure 3-4 C and D) and protein import defects (see below) as did the original *pex5-I* mutant.

*E l22, p ex5 -l* and *pex5-KO* were each mated with *22301-3* and *pex5-KOB* to yield diploid strains (see Table 3-1) used for complementation analysis (Figure 3-9). The diploid strain *D5WTB*, resulting from the mating of the *pex5-1* mutant and the wild-type strain *22301-3*, could grow on oleic acid, demonstrating the recessive nature of the *pex5-1* mutation. A diploid strain resulting from the mating of*pex5-l* and*pex5-KOB* (*D5KOB)* was unable to grow on oleic acid, demonstrating that the cloned gene was indeed *PEXS,* and not an extragenic suppressor of the original mutation.

*Y. lipolytica* and *P. pastoris PEXS* genes were tested for their ability to complement when expressed heterologously. The *YIPEX5* gene was expressed in the *P. pastoris pex5-1* mutant (strain *PPY27*; Gould *et al.*, 1992), in which no *PpPex5p* is detectable (McCollum *et al.,* 1993); similarly, the *PpPEXS* gene was expressed in the *Y. lipolyticapexS-KO* strain. In neither case did complementation of the oleic acid growth defect of the mutant occur (Figure 3-10 A), although the heterologous protein was detectable in whole cell lysates (Figure 3-10 B). Similar results have been reported for other interspecies complementation experiments involving *PEXS* genes (Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *etal.,* 1995; Kragler *etal.,* l998;W immer *et al.*, 1998).





*OKOAKOB*

*pexS-KO*



**Figure 3-10. Heterologous expression of** *PEX5* **genes in** *Y. lipolytica* **and** *P. pastoris. (A)* Functional complementation analysis. The *Y. lipolytica* wild-type strain *E l22* transformed with the plasmid vector  $pTC3$  ( $E122 + pTC3$ ) and the  $pex5-KO$  strain transformed with  $pTC3$ or TC3P8 ( $pex5-KO + pTC3$  and  $pex5-KO + pTC3P8$ ) were precultured in 2xCMD. The *P. pastoris* wild-type strain *PPY3* transformed with the plasmid vector pSG464 *(PPY3* pSG464) and the *P. pastoris pex5-I* strain *PPY27* transformed with pSG464 or p464EH *(PPY27 -* pSG464 and *PPY27 +* p464EH, respectively) were precultured in YND. The cultures were diluted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.4 or 0.2, and 3  $\mu$ L of the cell suspensions were plated on 2xCMO *(Y. lipolytica* strains) or SOLT *(P. pastoris* strains). Growth was for 5 days at 30° C. *(B)* Synthesis of heterologous Pex5 proteins. The untransformed *Y. lipolytica* wildtype *(E l22)* and *pex5-KO (pex5-KO*) strains and the *pex5-KO* strain transformed with plasmid pTC3 or pTC3P8 (as described above) were precultured in 2xCMD and then transferred to 2xCMO for 6 h. The untransformed *P. pastoris* wild-type *(PPY3)* and*pex5-l (PPY27)* strains and the *P. pastoris pex5-l* strain transformed with the plasmid pSG464 or p464EH (as described above) were precultured in YND and transferred to SOLT for 6 h. Lysates of cells  $(25 \mu g)$  made by disruption with glass beads were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-Y*I*Pex5p or anti-PpPex5p antiserum. *Numbers* on the left indicate the migrations of molecular size standards (in kD).

## 3.7 M utations in the *PEX5* gene affect the **subcellular** localization of peroxisomal proteins

To determine the effects of the *pex5-l* and *pex5-KO* mutations on the import of peroxisomal proteins, wild-type and mutant strains were precultured in glucose-containing medium (YEPD) and then transferred to oleic acid-containing medium (YPBO) for 9 h to induce peroxisome formation. The levels of the peroxisomal matrix proteins analysed were comparable in wild-type, *pex5-I* and *pex5-KO* cells (Figure 3-11, *upper panels* [catalase (CAT), isocitrate lyase (ICL), malate synthase (MLS), and the multifunctional  $\beta$ -oxidation en**2**yme (3-hydroxyacyl-Co A dehydrogenase activity; HAD)]; Figure 3-14 A, thiolase; Figure 3-15 A, acyl-CoA oxidase; Figure 3-15B, anti-SKL reactive proteins). However, the subcellular distribution of these proteins in the *pex5-J* and *pex5-KO* strains was different than that in the wild-type strain. While the peroxisomal proteins in the wild-type strain were primarily found in the 20,000 *x g* pellet (20KgP, enriched for peroxisomes and mitochondria), these proteins were partially or completely mislocalized to the 20,000 x *g* supernatant (20KgS, enriched for cytosol) in *pex5* mutant cells (Figure 3-11, *middle and lower panels'.* Figure 3-14 A; Figure 3-15 A and B). Recent experimentation has revealed that a portion of the thiolase localized to the 20KgS was present in the pellet fraction following a centrifugation at 200,000 x  $g$  for 1 h. This suggests that the thiolase pelletable at high speed might be present in low density vesicular structures. In contrast, all acyl-CoA oxidase and isocitrate lyase were present exclusively in the supernatant of the 200,000  $\times g$  centrifugation, suggesting that both of these proteins are free in the cytosol (Dr. Vladimir Titorenko, personal communication).



**Figure 3-11. Peroxisomal proteins are induced normally by oleic acid but** are **mislocalized to the cytosol-enriched fraction in** *pexS* **mutant cells.** Wild-type (*solid bar), pex5-I (stippled bar),* and *pex5-KO (open bar)* strains were grown in YEPD for 10 h, transferred to YPBO medium and grown for an additional 9 h. Cells were subjected to subcellular fractionation as described in Section 2.10.4.1. The total enzymatic activities of catalase *(CAT)*, isocitrate lyase *(ICL)*, malate synthase *(MLS)* and the multifunctional  $\beta$ oxidation enzyme (3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity; *HAD)* in 50 mg of protein of post-nuclear supernatant *(PT/S)* are expressed either in U *(CAT),* mU *(ICL),* or mU x 10 *(HAD)* (top panel). The percentages of total enzymatic activity recovered in the 20KgP *(middle panel)* and 20KgS *(bottom panel)* are shown. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*

The 20KgP fractions were further analysed by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. In the wild-type strain, the peak activities of the peroxisomal marker enzymes isocitrate lyase, malate synthase and catalase were recovered in fraction 4, which had a density of 1.21 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (Figure 3-12 A,*panels\vt).* Immunoblotting revealed that the fraction with peak peroxisomal enzyme activity also had the highest concentrations of thiolase (Figure 3-12 B*, panel wt)* and of two anti-SKL-reactive proteins (Figure 3-12 C, *panel wt):* the upper protein that runs with an apparent molecular mass of  $\sim 64$  kD (p64) is apparently isocitrate lyase (Eitzen, 1997); the lower protein with an apparent molecular mass of  $\sim 62$  kD (p62) has not been identified. Analysis of gradient fractions from preparations of *pex5-l* and *pex5-KO* cells revealed that fraction 2 (density 1.24  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$ ) contained the peak activities of isocitrate lyase, malate synthase and catalase, as well as the highest concentrations of thiolase and anti-SKL reactive proteins (Figure 3-12 A B and C, respectively, *panels 32-1* and *32-KO).* The reason for the aberrant migration of peroxisomes from *pex5* mutant strains in sucrose gradients is unknown. The recovery of peroxisome-associated protein as a fraction of total protein from the 20KgPs was 26.2%, 12.4% and 3.8% for wild-type, *pex5-l* and*pex5-K0*, respectively (Figure 3-12 A). The lower recovery of peroxisome-associated proteins from *pex5* mutant cells probably reflects the mislocalization of proteins normally found in the peroxisomal matrix to the cytosolic fraction. The mitochondrial marker enzyme cytochrome *c* oxidase peaked in fraction 10 and was essentially absent from fractions 1-6 of the wild-type gradient, and also from fractions 1-4 of the *pex5-l* and *pex5-KO* gradients (Figure 3-12 A). Therefore peroxisomes isolated from all strains were free of contamination from mitochondria.

Immunoblotting with antiserum raised to YIPex5p revealed that the wild type and



Figure 3-12. Purification of peroxisomes and localization of proteins. Wild-type *(wt), pex5-I (5-1)* and *pex5-KO (5-KO)* strains were grown as described in the legend to Figure 3-11. Fractions enriched for peroxisomes were isolated as described in Section 2.10.4.2. For each strain 9 mg of protein was loaded onto the gradient. *(Upper panels)* Sucrose density  $(g/cm<sup>3</sup>)$  and percent recovery of loaded protein and of enzymatic activities in gradient fractions. *COX*, cytochrome *c* oxidase. Other abbreviations as in Figure 3-11. Gradient fractions were analysed by immunoblotting *(lowerpanels)* with anti-thiolase *(anti-THI),* anti-SKL and rabbit anti-7/Pex5p *(anti-Pex5p)* antibodies. For immunoblotting with anti-thiolase and anti-SKL antibodies, 2% of the volume of each fraction analysed. For immunoblotting with anti-YIPex5p antibodies, 10% of the volume of each fraction was analysed. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*

mutant Pex5 proteins co-migrated with the peak of peroxisomal markers (fraction 4 for wildtype, fraction 2 for *pex5-l*; Figure 3-12 D), suggesting that Y/Pex5p is also a peroxisomal protein.

The peroxisomal peak fractions were further analysed by sucrose flotation (Heyman *et al.,* 1994). All proteins tested floated out of the most dense sucrose solution and concentrated at the interface between the 60% and 3 5% sucrose solutions at densities of 1.22  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$  and 1.25  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$  for the wild-type and mutant strains, respectively (Figure 3-13). This suggests that the peroxisomal proteins in the wild-type and *pex5* mutant strains colocalized because they were associated with a membrane-bound compartment, and not because of the formation of aggregates.

# 3.8 A subset of peroxisome-associated proteins is translocated into the peroxisomal matrix in *pex5* mutant cells

The targeting and translocation of various peroxisomal matrix proteins were investigated. Immunoblot analysis of subcellular fractions revealed that thiolase is present in the organelle-enriched 20KgP fraction of the wild-type, *pex5-I* and *pex5-KO* strains (Figure 3-14 a). However, the targeting of thiolase to peroxisomes is less efficient in the mutant strains than in the wild-type strain, since a greater portion of the thiolase in the *pex5-I* and *pex5-KO* mutant cells was found in the 20KgS fraction, compared to that found in the 20KgS fraction from wild-type cells. The amount of thiolase per mg of peroxisomal protein is roughly the same for the three strains (Figure 3-14 b, *lanes PER).* When peroxisomes from the wild-type and *pex5* mutant strains were lysed with Ti8 buffer and subjected to



**Figure 3-13. Sucrose flotation analysis.** 400  $\mu$ L of peak peroxisomal fractions from isopycnic centrifugation (fraction 4 for wild-type, fraction 2 from*pex5 -l* and*pex5-KO)* were overlaid successively with 60% and 35% (w/v) sucrose and subjected to centrifugation as described in Section 2.10.4.3. Fractions were analysed for density *{upper panels, circles),* protein concentration *{upper panels, bars)* and were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting *{lower panels)* with anti-thiolase *{anti-THI),* anti-SKL *{anti-SKL),* anti-J7Pex5p *{anti-Pex5p)* and anti-acyl-CoA oxidase *{anti-AOx)* antibodies. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*

**Figure 3-14. Thiolase, catalase and malate synthase are imported into the peroxisomal matrix of** *pexS* **mutant cells, but thiolase processing does not occur,** (a) Thiolase distribution in subcellular fractions. Equal fractions (0.2% of the total volume) of the post nuclear supernatant *{PNS),* 20KgP and 20KgS from the wild-type (*lanes I), pex5-l {lanes 2)* and*pex5-KO {lanes 3)* were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-thiolase antibodies. (*b*) Thiolase distribution in peroxisomal subfractions. Whole peroxisomes  $(20 \mu g)$  of protein) were subjected to subfractionation as described in Section 2.10.4.4. *PER*, whole peroxisomes (20 µg);  $S_{\text{fr},3}$ , 200,000 x *g* supernatant recovered after peroxisome lysis with Ti8 buffer;  $S_{CO3}$ and  $P_{CO}$ , 200,000 x  $g$  supernatant and pellet, respectively, after the treatment of the 200,000 *x g* pellet from Ti8 lysis ( $P_{T3}$ ) with 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (pH 11). Lanes are numbered as for panel a. (c) Protease protection analysis. The  $20KgP$  fraction (240  $\mu$ g) of the *pex5-KO* strain was incubated with 0, 10, 20 or 50 µg of trypsin in the absence (-) or presence (+) of  $0.5\%$  (v/v) Triton X-100 for 40 min on ice. Reactions were terminated by the addition of TCA to 10%. Equal fractions of the samples were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-thiolase antibodies.  $(d-g)$  Specific activities of catalase *(d and e)* and malate synthase *(f and g)* in the wild-type *{solid bar), pex5-l {stippled bar*) and*pex5-KO {open bar)* strains. Activities were measured in whole peroxisomes  $(d \text{ and } f)$  and in the  $S_{\text{TB}}$  enriched for peroxisomal matrix proteins (*e and*  $g$ ). The values reported are the means  $\pm$  the standard deviation for three independent experiments. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

centrifugation at 200,000 x *g* to pellet peroxisomal membranes, thiolase was found exclusively in the supernatant fraction enriched for peroxisomal matrix proteins (Figure 3-14 b, *lanes*  $S_{\tau_{i8}}$ ). The localization of thiolase in the matrix of peroxisomes from *pex5-KO* cells was further suggested by protease protection analysis. Thiolase was resistant to trypsin digestion in the absence of detergent, but was sensitive to protease digestion when detergent was present (Figure 3-14 c). Peroxisome-associated thiolase was protected from trypsin digestion only under conditions where the peroxisomal membrane was intact, implying that in *pex5-KO* cells, thiolase is present in the matrix of peroxisomes. Taken together, these data suggest that while the targeting of thiolase is somewhat inefficient in cells with mutations in the *PEX5* gene, thiolase that reaches the peroxisomal surface is imported correctly into the matrix in these strains. It is also noteworthy that in *pex5* mutant cells, the peroxisomeassociated thiolase is in its larger, precursor form. This implies that the processing of thiolase is not essential for its import into peroxisomes, as has been previously noted for PTS2 containing proteins with cleavable presequences (Gietl *et al.*, 1994; Motley *et al.*, 1994). This further suggests that the putative processing protease is either inactive or not correctly localized in *pex5* mutant strains.

The activities of catalase and malate synthase were measured in subcellular and subperoxisomal fractions. As in the case of thiolase, the targeting of these proteins to peroxisomes is inefficient in the *pex5* mutant strains (Figure 3-11, *middle and lower panels. CAT and MLS*). The specific activities of both enzymes in the total peroxisomal fraction were approximately the same in wild-type and *pex5* mutant cells (Figure 3-14 d and f), but the specific activities in the peroxisomal matrix fractions were 2- to 3-fold higher in th*epex5-J* and *pex5-K0* strains than in the wild-type strain (Figure 3-14 e and g). This suggests that in cells harbouring *pex5* mutations, the catalase and malate synthase that are targeted to peroxisomes are able to be translocated into the matrix. However, the enrichment o f these two enzymes in the matrix fraction suggests that not all proteins that reach the peroxisomal membrane are able to be imported into the matrix. This import defect leads to a selective enrichment of some proteins, such as catalase and malate synthase, in the peroxisomal matrix.

## **3.9 Many proteins targeted to peroxisomes are not correctly imported into peroxisomes in cells with** *PEXS* **mutations**

An unidentified anti-SKL reactive protein with an apparent molecular mass of 62 kD, p62, was partially localized to the 20KgP in *pexS* mutant cells, but was found exclusively in the 20KgP of wild-type cells (Figure 3-15 b. *upward pointing arrowheads).* This protein was found in peroxisomes of the *pex5* mutant and wild-type strains at approximately the same levels when equal amounts of peroxisomal proteins were compared (Figure 3-15 c. *lanes PER).* However, while p62 was found exclusively in the matrix-enriched fraction of peroxisomes from wild-type cells lysed with Ti8 buffer (Figure 3-15 c,  $S_{\tau_{ik}}$ , *lane wt*), in *pex5* mutant cells p62 localized exclusively to the membrane-enriched fractions (Figure 3-15 c, compare *Sco, and Pc03, lanes 32-1 and 32-KO).* Some p62 in the *pexS* mutant strains seemed to be peripherally associated with the membrane, since it could be extracted with carbonate (Figure 3-15 C,  $S_{CO3}$ , lanes 32-1 and 32-KO), but the majority of the peroxisome-associated p62 in these strains was resistant to carbonate extraction (Figure 3-15 c,  $P_{CO3}$ , lanes 32-1 and *32-KO),* and therefore behaved as an integral membrane protein (Fujiki *et al.,* 1982). Some

**Figure 3-15. Mutations in the** *PEXS* **gene prevent the targeting of acyl-CoA oxidase and a 64-kD anti-SKL-reactive polypeptide to peroxisomes, and prevent the complete translocation, but not the targeting, of a 62-kD anti-SKL-reactive polypeptide into peroxisomes.** *(a and b*) Distribution of acyl-CoA oxidase and anti-SKL-reactive polypeptides in subcellular fractions. Equal portions o f the post-nuclear supernatant *(PNS),* 20 kgP and 20KgS fractions and 10 pg of peroxisomes *(PER)* from the wild-type *(wt),pex5- 1(5-1)* and *pex5-KO (5-KO)* strains were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-acyl-CoA oxidase *(anti-AOx) (a)* or anti-SKL *(b)* antibodies, (c) Distribution of anti-SKL-reactive polypeptides in peroxisomal subfractions.  $PER$  lanes contained 20  $\mu$ g of purified peroxisomes. Peroxisomal subfractions were isolated from 20 µg of purified peroxisomes as described in Figure 3-14 b. Immunoblot analysis was performed with anti-SKL antibodies. (d) The  $P_{T18}$  from the *pex5-KO* strain was resuspended in Ti8 buffer, and equal aliquots were treated with one of 1 M NaCl, 1 M urea, 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> or  $1\%$  (w/v) Triton X-100 *(TX-100)*. The control was treated with Ti8 buffer alone. After incubation on ice for 45 min, samples were separated by centrifugation at 200,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C into supernatant (S) and pellet *(P)* fractions. Samples were immunoblotted with anti-SKL antibodies, *(e and J)* Protease protection analysis of anti-SKL reactive proteins in peroxisomes of wild-type (e) and *pex5*-*KO* (*f)* strains. Protease protection was carried out as described in the legend to Figure 3-14 d. *Arrow, 64-kD* anti-SKL-reactive polypeptide; *upward pointing arrowhead,* 62-kD anti-SKL-reactive polypeptide; *downward pointing arrowhead*, 45-kD trypsin-resistant fragment. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*



of the peroxisomal p62 in the *pex5-KO* strain had a strong association with the peroxisomal membrane, since it was at least partially resisitant to extraction by agents that specifically solubilize peripheral membrane proteins (1 M NaCl, 1 M urea), but was almost completely released to the soluble fraction when the membrane was disrupted with Triton X-100 (Figure  $3-15$  d).

Protease protection analysis of p62 from wild-type cells revealed that this protein was resistant to trypsin digestion in the absence of detergent, but was sensitive to the protease upon the addition of Triton X-100 (Figure 3-15 e, *upward pointing arrowheads).* This is a typical finding for a matrix protein, since it is shielded from the protease by an intact peroxisomal membrane. In contrast, the peroxisome-associated p62 from*pex5-KO* cells was sensitive to protease digestion even in the absence of detergent (Figure 3-15 f, *upward pointing arrowheads).* An anti-SKL-reactive polypeptide that ran with an apparent molecular mass of -45 kD was trypsin-resistant in the absence of detergent, but was degraded when Triton X-100 was added (Figure 3-15 f, *downward pointing arrowheads).* These results suggest that in *pex5* mutant cells, p62 is (inefficiently) targeted to peroxisomes, where it becomes tightly associated with the peroxisomal membrane in such a way that the 45-kD anti-SKL reactive portion is protected and the remaining 17-kD portion is at least partially exposed to the cytoplasm. One scenario consistent with these data is that in *pex5* mutant cells, the majority of peroxisome-associated p62 is trapped in the membrane in an intermediate stage of translocation, with its C-terminus in the peroxisomal matrix.

Peroxisomes and peroxisomal subfractions were also analysed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Biue staining. The protein profiles of whole peroxisomes from wild-type and *pex5*

mutant cells were very similar (Figure 3-16 a, *lams PER),* indicating that, with a few notable exceptions (Figure 3-16 a, *arrows*), most proteins are correctly targeted to peroxisomes in *pexS* mutant cells. In subffactions of peroxisomes from wild-type cells, most proteins were found in the matrix-enriched fraction (Figure 3-16 a,  $S_{\tau i8}$ , lane wt). In contrast, most peroxisomal proteins from *pex5* mutant cells were tightly associated with the peroxisomal membrane (Figure 3-16 a,  $P_{CO}$ *, lanes 32-1 and 32-KO*). Many of the proteins in the  $P_{TIR}$ were not extractable with 1 M NaCl and 1 M urea that specifically release peripheral membrane proteins, and were only solubilized when the membrane was disrupted with 1% Triton X-100 (Figure 3-16 b). These data suggest that most proteins are targeted to peroxisomes in a 17Pex5p-independent manner, but they are translocated into peroxisomes in a *YI*Pex5p-dependent manner. In *pex5-I* and *pex5-KO* cells, the majority of proteins targeted to peroxisomes accumulate at the membrane because they are not able to penetrate fully into the matrix.

#### **3.10** *PEXS* **mutations prevent the targeting of a subset of proteins to peroxisomes**

The 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase activity of the multifunctional  $\beta$ -oxidation enzyme (MFE2; J.J. Smith, T.W. Brown, G.A. Eitzen and R.A. Rachubinski, manuscript submitted) was found exclusively in the  $20KgP$  fraction of wild-type cells, whereas MFE2 activity was completely mislocalized to the 20KgS in *pexS-1* and *pex5-K0* cells (Figure 3-11, *middle and bottom panels, HAD).* This suggests that the targeting of MFE2 to peroxisomes is abolished in *pexS* mutant strains. Immunoblotting of subcellular fractions revealed that the same was true for acyl-CoA oxidase (Figure 3-15 a) and p64, an anti-SKL reactive protein
**Figure 3-16. Mutations in the** *PEXS* **gene prevent the translocation of most peroxisomal matrix proteins across the peroxisomal membrane,** *(a)* Protein profiles of purified peroxisomes *(PER,* 60 µg of protein) and peroxisomal subfractions  $(S_{T,\phi}, S_{CO3}, P_{CO3})$ isolated from 60 pg of purified peroxisomes of wild-type, *pex5-l* and *pex5-KO* strains, *(b)* The  $P_{Ti8}$  of the *pex5-KO* strain was resuspended in Ti8 buffer and treated with 1% sodium deoxycholate *(DOC),* 1 M NaCl, 1 M urea or 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 as described in the legend to Figure 3-15 d. The control was treated with Ti8 buffer alone. All samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue. Abbreviations are as in Figure 3-15. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*





Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

125

with an apparent molecular mass of ~64 kD (Figure 3-15 b, *arrows*) normally present in the peroxisomal matrix (Figure 3-15 c and e, *arrows).* p64 is apparently isocitrate lyase (Eitzen, 1997). Although immunoblotting did not detect p64 in the 20KgP or peroxisomes of*pex5* mutant cells (Figure 3-15 b and c, *arrows*), there is a small amount of isocitrate lyase activity detectable in these fractions (Figure 3-11, *lower panels, ICL',* Figure3-12 *tipper panels, ICL),* but the peroxisome-associated isocitrate lyase activity in*pex5* mutant cells did not exceed *4%* of the specific activity in the peroxisomes of wild-type cells.

Taken together, these data suggest that the transport of different peroxisomal proteins is affected to varying degrees in *pex5* mutant cells: i) thiolase, catalase and malate synthase can be both targeted to, and translocated across, the peroxisomal membrane (Figure 3-14); ii) p62 and the majority of peroxisome-associated proteins, can be targeted to the peroxisomal membrane, but their import into the matrix is blocked (Figure 3-15 b-f and Figure 3-16. respectively); iii) there is a severe impairment in the targeting to peroxisomes of MFE2, acvl-CoA oxidase and p64/isocitrate lyase (Figure 3-11, *middle and bottom panels.* Figure 3-15 a, and Figure 3-15 b and c, respectively).

### **3.11 F7Pex5p is an intraperoxisomal protein**

Y/Pex5p and Y/pex5-1p (the protein encoded by the *pex5-I* allele) reached the same steady-state level in wild-type and *pex5-1* cells (Figure 3-17 a). *Yl*pex5-1p has the same apparent electrophoretic mobility as F/Pex5p, suggesting that the mutation in *the pex5-J* allele does not result in a truncated Pex5 polypeptide.

In subcellular fractionation experiments, YIPex5p was detectable only in the 20KgP

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

**Figure 3-17. Y7Pex5p is an intraperoxisomal protein associated with both the inner membrane surface and matrix of wild-type peroxisomes,** *(a)* Immunoblot analysis of whole cell extracts (40  $\mu$ g of protein) probed with anti-Y*P* ex5p antibodies. Cells were grown in YPBO for 9h. (b) Distribution of Y*I*Pex5p in subcellular fractions. Equal portions (0.2%) of total volume) of the post-nuclear supernatant *(PNS),* 20KgP and 20KgS fractions were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-F/Pex5p antibodies. (*c*) Distribution of F/Pex5p in peroxisomal subfractions of wild-type and *pex5-I* strains. Purified peroxisomes *(PER,* 60 pg of protein) and peroxisomal subfractions  $(S_{Ti8}, S_{COJ}$  and  $P_{COJ}$ ) prepared from an equal amount of starting material were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-F/Pex5p antibodies, *(d)* The  $P_{Ti8}$  of the wild-type strain was resuspended in Ti8 buffer and extracted with various agents and divided into supernatant *(S)* and pellet *(P)* as described in the legend to Figure 3-15 d. The control was treated with Ti8 buffer alone. Equal portions of supernatant and pellet were analysed by immunoblotting with anti-Y*I*Pex5p antibodies. (*e and f*) Protease protection analysis. The 20KgP fractions of the wild-type *(e)* and *pex5-l (f)* strains were subjected to trypsin digestion as in Figure 3-14 d and analysed by immunoblotting with anti-F/Pex5p antibodies, *(g and h*) Double-labelling, indirect immunofluorescence analysis of wild-type cells using guinea pig anti-thiolase *(g*) and rabbit anti-F/Pex5p (*h*) primary antibodies. Primary antibodies were detected with rhodamine-conjugated donkey anti-guinea pig IgG *(g)* and fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG(h) secondary antibodies. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*



0 10 20 50 0 10 20 50 Trypsin (µg) 0 10 20 50 0 10 20 50





Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

o f wild-type cells (Figure 3-17 b, *lanes wt),* whereas I7pex5-lp (Figure 3-17 b, *lanes 32-1)* was found in both the 20KgP and the 20KgS of pex5-1 cells. However, the amount of Pex5 protein per microgram of purified peroxisomes from the wild-type and *pex5-I* strains was approximately the same (Figure 3-17 c, *lanes PER).* When peroxisomes were lysed with Ti8 buffer,  $\sim$ 35% of the YIPex5p from wild-type cells was recovered in the matrix-enriched fraction (Figure 3-17 c,  $S<sub>Ti8</sub>$ , lane wt), while  $\sim$ 70% was recovered in the membrane-enriched fractions (Figure 3-17 c,  $S_{CO3}$  and  $P_{CO3}$ , lanes wt). The membrane-associated Y/Pex5p could be completely extracted by carbonate treatment (Figure 3-17 c,  $S_{CO3}$  to  $P_{CO3}$ , lanes wt), thus suggesting that this pool of YIPex5p was peripherally associated with the peroxisomal membrane. The association of YIPex5p with the membrane was quite tight, since it was partially resistant to extraction with salt or urea, and was only completely solubilized when the membrane was disrupted with detergent (Figure 3-17 d) or stripped with carbonate (Figure 3-17 c, *lanes wt*). In contrast, all peroxisomal Ylpex5-1p was found in the organellar matrix fraction (Figure 3-17 c,  $S_{\tau_{i},p}$  *lane 32-1*); this protein was not detected in the fractions containing membrane-associated proteins (Figure 3-17 c,  $S_{CO3}$  and  $P_{CO3}$ , lanes 32-1).

Protease protection experiments were performed with purified peroxisomes from wildtype and *pex5-I* cells. *YIPex5p* and *YIpex5-1p* were not digested by trypsin in the absence of detergent (Figure 3-17 e and f, *lanes -)* but were sensitive to the protease when 0.5% Triton X-100 was present (Figure 3-17 e and f, *lanes* +). These results suggest that *YI*Pex5p and  $Y/pex5-1p$  are located inside peroxisomes, since these proteins were only digested under conditions in which the integrity of the peroxisomal membrane is compromised. In the presence of detergent,  $Yl$ pex5-1p was completely degraded by the addition of 10  $\mu$ g of

trypsin; under the same conditions, F7Pex5p was partially resistant to degradation, as evidenced by the presence of a proteolytic fragment that ran with an apparent molecular mass of  $\sim$ 52 kD (Figure 3-17, compare *panel f, lane +10* to *panel e, lane +10*). The partial protection of F7Pex5p from wild-type cells could have arisen from the association of a fraction o f the protein with the peroxisomal membrane (see Figure 3-17 c, *lanes wt),* thus reducing the accessibility of the protease to the peroxin. In contrast, Ylpex5-1p showed no association with the peroxisomal membrane (Figure 3-17 c, *lanes 32-1*), which could account for its greater sensitivity to the protease.

The localization of Y/Pex5p in peroxisomes was confirmed in the wild-type strain by double labelling, indirect immunofluorescence microscopy using anti-thiolase (Figure 3-17 g) and anti- $Y/P$ ex5p (Figure 3-17 h) antibodies. The punctate patterns, which are characteristic of peroxisomal staining and are superimposable for all cells, demonstrate that thiolase and Y/Pex5p are localized to the same compartment.

#### **3.12 F7Pex5p associates with anti-SKL-reactive proteins** *in vivo*

Immunoprecipitation experiments were performed using peroxisomal matrix proteins of wild-type and  $pex5-I$  cells. After incubating the  $S<sub>Ti8</sub>$  fraction with immobilized anti-SKL or anti-YIPex5p antibodies, the proteins from the flow-throughs, washes and eluates were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with various antisera. Much of both p62 and p64 could be co-immunoprecipitated with antibodies to YIPex5p from the peroxisomal matrix fraction of wild-type cells; similarly, most of the Y/Pex5p could be co-immunoprecipitated with anti-SKL antibodies (Figure 3-18 a). This suggests that  $\ell$ *Pex5p* associates with anti-



**Figure 3-18. F7Pex5p and anti-SKL-reactive proteins form a complex in** the **peroxisomal matrix of wild-type cells.** Matrix proteins (S<sub>TI8</sub> from peroxisomes of the wildtype *(a)* or *pex5-l* mutant (*b*) were subjected to native immunoprecipitation (Section 2.10.4.6.1) with anti-SKL *{panels at left)* or anti-F7Pex5p (anti-Pex5p; *panels at right)* antibodies linked to protein A-Sepharose.  $PM$ , peroxisomal matrix proteins (20  $\mu$ g, equivalent to amount applied to column); *F,* proteins recovered in flow-through; *WI-W4,* proteins recovered in washes; *E,* proteins eluted with 100 mM glycine (pH 2.8). Proteins were subsequently analysed by immunoblotting with anti-Y/Pex5p, anti-SKL, anti-thiolase *{anti-THI)* and anti-acyl-CoA oxidase *{anti-AOx)* antibodies. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*



**Figure 3-19. Anti-I7Pex5p and anti-SKL antibodies specifically immunoprecipitate** only their target proteins under denaturing conditions. Matrix proteins (S<sub>Ti8</sub> from peroxisomes of the wild-type strain were subject to denaturing immunoprecipitation (Section 2.10.4.6.2) with *anti-SKL(panels at left*) or anti-J7Pex5p (*anti-PexSp)* antibodies linked to protein A-Sepharose. Proteins were subsequently analysed by immunoblotting with anti-F/Pex5p and anti-SKL antibodies. *Symbols* are as for Figure 3-18. *Contributed by Dr. Vladimir Titorenko.*

SKL-reactive proteins in the matrix of wild-type peroxisomes. The interaction of  $Y/P$ ex5p and the anti-SKL reactive proteins was specific, since 1) neither anti-Pex5p nor anti-SKL antibodies could co-immunoprecipitatethiolase or acyl-Co A oxidase (Figure 3-18 a); 2) under native immunoprecipitation conditions, anti-SKL antibodies could not co-immunoprecipitate *Flex5-lp from the peroxisomal matrix fraction of <i>pex5-I* cells (Figure 3-18 b) which contains no anti-SKL reactive proteins (Figure 3-15 c); 3) anti-SKL and anti-Y/Pex5p antibodies could only precipitate their target proteins from wild-type peroxisomal matrix proteins denatured with SDS (Figure 3-19). This experiment does not discriminate between a direct or indirect interaction between YIPex5p and the anti-SKL-reactive proteins.

In the *pex5-I* mutant, p62 appears to be stuck in the peroxisomal membrane with its anti-SKL-reactive portion facing the matrix (Figure 3-15 f). Ylpex5-1p does not associate with the membrane (Figure 3-17 c), and therefore does not complex with anti-SKL-reactive proteins. However, these experiments do not demonstrate whether a failure of Ylpex5-1p to interact with anti-SKL-reactive proteins or a failure to associate with the inner face of the peroxisomal membrane is the primary defect in these cells.

## **3.13 Discussion**

This chapter documents the identification ofth*epex5-I* mutant, its morphological and biochemical characterization, the cloning of the *PEX5* gene and an analysis of its predicted product, F/Pex5p, as well as preliminary elucidation of its biochemical function.

# 3.13.1 YIPex5p is an intraorganellar member of a conserved family of peroxisomal *protein import factors*

The sequence of YIPex5p is highly similar to those of members of the Pex5 family of peroxins, especially in the characteristic C-terminal TPR domain (Appendix 1). The first Pex5p family member to be identified was from the yeast *P. pastoris* (McCollum *eta l.,* 1993). This peroxin was subsequently found in other yeasts *(S. cerevisiae* (van der Leij *et al.,* 1993), *H. polymorpha* (Nuttley *et al.,* 1995; van der Klei *et al.,* 1995), mammals (human (Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995), mouse (Baes *et al.,* 1997), Chinese hamster (Otera *et al.,* 1998), guinea pig (SwissProt 070525)), plants (watermelon (Wimmer *et al.,* 1998), tobacco (Kragler *et al.,* 1998), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Brickner *et al.,* 1998)) and a kinetoplastid ( *Trypanosoma bnicei* (de Walque *et al,* 1999)). Genome sequencing projects have also identified putative orthologues in an insect (*Drosophila melanogaster* (SwissProt 046085)), a nematode (*Caenorhabditis elegans* (SwissProt Q 18426)) and possibly in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (EMBL AL034342). For several of these proteins, the TPR domain has been demonstrated to be essential for their function as PTS1-binding proteins (McCollum *et al.,* 1993; Brocard *et al.,* 1994; Terlecky *et al.,* 1995; Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Kragler *et al.,* 1998).

Y/Pex5p is detected exclusively in the matrix of peroxisomes, where it is primarily associated with the inner face of the peroxisomal membrane (Figure 3-17 b, c and g). The data presented in this study are consistent with Y/Pex5p being an intraperoxisomal component of the matrix protein translocation machinery. Other Pex5 family members have been reported to have different localizations, which has led to various hypotheses concerning their

mechanism of action. Pex5 proteins found to be associated with the outer side of the peroxisomal membrane (McCollum *et al.,* 1993; Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Terlecky *etal.,* 1995) have been suggested to be static PTS1 receptors. Family members that were found to be primarily cytosolic (van der Leij *et al.,* 1993; Dodt *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Elgersma *et al.,* 1996a; Wimmer *et al.,* 1998; de Walque *et al.,* 1999) or present to a significant extent in both the cytosol and peroxisomes (van der Klei *et al.,* 1995) have been proposed to be shuttling receptors.

The same controversy exists in the Pex7 family of PTS2 receptors. Zhang and Lazarow (1995; 1996) reported that *S. cerevisiae* Pex7p is exclusively localized to the matrix of peroxisomes. However, the group of Kunau has reported 5cPex7p to be primarily cytosolic (Marzioch *et al.,* 1994; Rehling *et al.,* 1996). In both instances, epitope tags at the C- and N-termini, respectively, may have influenced 6cPex7p localization and/or function. *P. pastoris* Pex7p (unmodified) was found to be primarily cytosolic (Elgersma *et al.,* 1998).

As mentioned in Chapter 1, a possible explanation for the variation in Pex5p (and Pex7p) localizations found in different species, or even in the same species, is that all these proteins are in fact receptors that shuttle between the cytosol, peroxisomal membrane and peroxisomal matrix. Under different cellular conditions (for example, the stage of peroxisome proliferation), the relative amounts of the receptors in different compartments may change (Rachubinski and Subramani, 1995).

Alternatively, PTS 1-binding TPR proteins might be organized as an integrated system for the import of PTS 1-targeted proteins. In this scenario, individual members of the Pex5 family would be localized to different subcellular compartments (or exist as separate soluble

135

and membrane-bound isoforms), with import substrates being passed from one TPR protein to the next. This hypothesis would predict that multiple PTS 1-binding TPR proteins should be present in a given species. Recently, two distinct but highly similar *PEX5* cDNAs have been identified in tobacco (Kragler *et al.,* 1998). It will be interesting to learn if the two predicted proteins are redundant, or if they have unique binding specificities, localizations, and/or regulation. The *S. cerevisiae* genome also encodes a second potential protein with a sequence highly similar to known Pex5 family members (SwissProt accession number Q04364), but there are no reports of its possible role in peroxisome biogenesis.

It also may be the case that over the course of evolution different species have adopted unique strategies for optimizing peroxisomal protein import, and that what may be true for one (group of) species may not be true for another. There is certainly evidence for species differences in Pex5p function; while PTS1 and PTS2 import pathways are independent in yeast species, the long form of mammalian Pex5 proteins is also essential for import of PTS2-containing proteins (Braverman *et al.,* 1998; Otera *et al.,* 1998).

The *P. pastoris* and *Y. lipolytica* Pex5 peroxins did not demonstrate heterologous functional complementation (Figure 3-10). A negative result of this type could indicate that 1) the two proteins serve different cellular functions; 2) that structural differences prevent them from interacting with other components of the translocation machinery in a heterologous context; or 3) that they have different PTS binding specificities. Interspecies complementation experiments reported to date have entailed expressing foreign *PEX5* genes in a *pex5* mutant of yeast *(P. pastoris, S. cerevisiae,* or *H. polymorphd),* and assaying for restored growth on a carbon source requiring peroxisomes for its metabolism (Dodt *et al..*

1995; Wiemer *et al.*, 1995; Kragler *et al.*, 1998; Wimmer *et al.*, 1998). To date, complete functional complementation using intact, heterologous Pex5 proteins has not been documented. In some cases, this may be explained by the recent report that Pex5 proteins from different organisms preferentially recognize subsets of possible PTS Is, and also have different requirements for the context in which the PTS1 is presented (Lametschwandtner *et al*., 1998). Therefore, if an essential matrix protein carried a PTS1 (in a context) that was not efficiently recognized by the foreign Pex5p, that matrix protein would not be imported into peroxisomes, thus preventing growth on peroxisome-requiring medium. Taking this into account, possibly a more appropriate experiment to assess the functional equivalence of Pex5 proteins would be to assay for the restoration of import of a protein terminating in SKL. which seems to be universally effective as a PTS 1. Greater success has sometimes (Dodt *et a l*., 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Kragler *et al.,* 1998), but not always (Wimmer *et al.,* 1998), been achieved with hybrid proteins in which the foreign TPR domain is linked to the host organism's endogenous Pex5p N-terminus, suggesting the importance of the N-terminal region for Pex5p function in some cases.

## 3.13.2 The importance of YIPex5p for peroxisomal matrix protein import

Most proteins normally found in the peroxisomal matrix are mislocalized to a membrane-enriched fraction in *pex5* mutant strains (Figure 3-16 a). Some of these proteins can be solubilized with agents specific for peripheral membrane proteins, while others are completely extracted only with detergent and therefore behave as would be expected for integral membrane proteins (Figure 3-16 b). p62, the 62-kD anti-SKL-reactive protein, is

found in both peripheral and integral pools of peroxisomal membrane-associated proteins in these strains (Figure 3-15 c). The integral membrane pool of  $p62$  seems to be positioned in the peroxisomal membrane with a 45-kD C-terminal segment facing the matrix, and a 17-kD segment exposed to the cytosol (Figure 3-15 f). These data suggest that p62, and the other carbonate-resistant peroxisomal membrane proteins specific to *pex5* mutant strains, are trapped in the membrane in an intermediate stage of translocation. Therefore most peroxisomal matrix proteins seem to enter peroxisomes via a F/Pex5p-dependent translocation machinery.

F7Pex5p may function to actively pull proteins into the peroxisomal matrix, because 1) F/Pex5p is normally found both in association with the inner peroxisomal membrane and in the peroxisomal matrix (Figure 3-17 c); 2) a portion of the matrix pool of  $\gamma$ Pex5p is present in a complex with anti-SKL-reactive proteins (Figure 3 -18 a); and 3) mutations in the *PEX5* gene do not prevent the targeting of proteins to, and the insertion of proteins into, the peroxisomal membrane, but do prevent the complete transit of proteins into the matrix (Figures 3-14, 3-15, 3-16), thus apparently trapping the proteins in a putative translocon. In *pex5* mutant cells, the mislocalized matrix proteins that are peripherally associated with the peroxisomal membrane could represent proteins that have been successfully targeted to the peroxisome, but which are unable to access F7Pex5p-dependent translocons because these translocons are saturated with incompletely translocated proteins that require 7/Pex5p to pull them into the matrix. Proteins that are trapped in the membrane but are not recognized by anti-SKL antibodies could be targeted by other variants of the canonical PTS 1 motif, or could be proteins that arrived at the membrane by piggybacking with a PTS 1-containing protein (McNew and Goodman, 1994; Elgersma *et al.,* 1996b).

Mutations in the *PEX5* gene result in different effects on the targeting and translocation of individual peroxisomal matrix proteins. The localizations of peroxisomal matrix proteins in *pex5* mutant strains suggest that some proteins can be imported into peroxisomes in a F/Pex5p-independent manner, while others are prevented from doing so at the level of targeting to the peroxisome or translocation across the peroxisomal membrane; 1) thiolase, catalase and malate synthase are targeted (somewhat imefficiently) to peroxisomes; the peroxisome-associated pools of these proteins are trans located normally into the peroxisomal matrix (Figure 3-14). Further experimentation will be required to determine if these three proteins use the same YIPex5p-independent translocatiion machinery or if there are multiple alternate import routes. Preliminary evidence indicates that there may be a separate import route for catalase in *Y. lipolytica* (V.I. Titorenko and R. A.. Rachubinski, unpublished observations); 2) p62 and the bulk of peroxisomal matrix proteins are also targeted to peroxisomes at a somewhat reduced level, but those proteins that arrive at the peroxisomal membrane are not translocated normally across the bilayer; they remain trapped at the membrane, apparently either partially translocated and stuck in the membrane, or peripherally associated with its cytosolic face (Figures 3-15 b-f, 3-16, respectively); 3) the targeting of acyl-CoA oxidase, p64 and MFE2 to peroxisomes is abolished (Figures 3-15 a, 3-15 b, and 3-11, respectively).

These different effects may be explained if the Y/Pex5-p-dependent translocation apparatus is served by a cytosolic receptor system (free or mem brane-bound) with varying affinities for individual peroxisomal matrix proteins, analogous to the heterooligomeric import

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

receptor that has been described for mitochondria (Haucke *et al.,* 1996). In this model, p62 and most peroxisomal proteins would have a high affinity for this receptor system (hereafter referred to as high-affinity proteins), allowing these proteins to bind to the peroxisomal membrane more quickly than proteins with low affinity for the receptor system (hereafter referred to as low-affinity proteins). The latter would include acyl-CoA oxidase, p64 and MFE2. Inhibition of translocation through the  $Y/P$ ex5p-dependent translocation pathway due to loss or mutation of  $YP$ ex5p would lead to the accumulation of high-affinity proteins on the cytoplasmic surface of the peroxisomal membrane. Saturation of membrane binding sites could interfere with further binding of high-affinity proteins (thus leading to their partial mislocalization to the cytosol) and completely abolish the targeting (binding) of low-affinity proteins (causing their complete cytosolic mislocalization).

While thiolase, catalase and malate synthase may be translocated into peroxisomes primarily through a YIPex5p-independent pathway (or pathways), these proteins might also normally use YIPex5p-dependent translocons to a minor extent. However, their affinity for the receptor system serving the Y/Pex5p-dependent translocons might be relatively low, and like other low-affinity proteins, their targeting (binding) by this route would be abolished in *pex5* mutants. This could account for the partial mislocalization of thiolase. catalase and malate synthase to the cytosol in these strains.

The receptor systems serving the YIPex5p-independent (and -dependent) translocation machinery are currently unknown. *Y. lipolytica* thiolase, like other peroxisomal thiolases, contains a PTS2 (de Hoop and AB, 1992), and therefore might be recognized by a putative *Y. lipolytica* orthologue of Pex7p, the PTS2 import receptor. The recently characterized *Y*.

*lipolytica* Pex20p is essential for the oligomerization of thiolase in the cytosol and its import into peroxisomes (Titorenko *et al.,* 1998). I7Pex20p is structurally unrelated to Pex7 proteins, and is able to bind the mature form of thiolase *in vitro,* suggesting that the interaction is independent of the presence of a PTS2. However, it is not known if Pex20p is required only to oligomerize thiolase and maintain it in an import-competent conformation, or if it also functions as a cytosolic import receptor, actively directing thiolase to the peroxisome.

In contrast, a database search (September, 1999) revealed that malate synthases from most eukaryotic species contain variants of the C-terminal PTS1 motif, while catalases possess either PTS 1 variants or are targeted by poorly characterized internal targeting signals (Kragler *et al.,* 1993). The genes for *Y. lipolytica* catalase and malate synthase have not yet been identified, but since targeting signals are evolutionarily conserved, these proteins are unlikely to use the same import receptor as thiolase.

#### *3.13.3 Summary*

The results presented identify YIPex5p as an intraperoxisomal component of the translocation machinery for the majority of peroxisomal matrix proteins, and provide the first direct evidence for the existence of multiple pathways of protein translocation across the peroxisomal membrane.

### CHAPTER 4

Functional Analysis of the Domains of

the *Yarrowia lipolytica* Peroxin Pex5p

A version of this chapter has been published as "T etratricopeptide repeat domain of *Yarrowia lipolytica* Pex5p is essential for recognition of the type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal but does not confer full biological activity on Pex5p" (Rachel K. Szilard, and Richard A. Rachubinski). Reproduced from *The Biochemical Journal*, 2000, 346: 177-184 by copyright permission of the Biochemical Society.

#### 4.1 Introductory remarks

As discussed in Chapter 3, the *Y. lipolytica pex5-l* mutant fails to correctly import a subset of peroxisomal matrix proteins, including those with a type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal (PTS1). Pex5 family members have been shown to interact with a PTS1 through their characteristic TPR domain. *In vitro* binding assays were used to investigate the nature of the association of Y/Pex5p with the PTS1 signal. A purified recombinant Y/Pex5p fusion protein interacted specifically, directly and autonomously with a protein terminating in a PTS 1. *In vitro* translated wild-type *YI*Pex5p specifically recognized functional PTS1s. This activity is abrogated by the substitution of an aspartic acid residue for a conserved glycine residue in the TPR domain (G455D) of the protein encoded by the *pex5-I* allele. Deletion analysis demonstrated that an intact TPR domain of YIPex5p is necessary, but not sufficient, for both interaction with a PTS1 and functional complementation of a strain lacking  $\ell$ Pex5p.

#### 4.2 YPex5p can specifically recognize a protein terminating in a PTS1

The ability of F7Pex5p to recognize a PTS 1 tripeptide was tested using an *in vitro* PTS1 binding assay modelled after that of McCollum *et al.* (1993). GST variants ending in a PTS1 (GST-SKL) or the reverse tripeptide LKS (GST-LKS), which does not resemble a PTS1 but maintains its charge density, were immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads. MBP-PEX5, a chimera consisting of Y/Pex5p lacking its first 46 amino acid residues fused to the C-terminus of maltose binding protein (MBP), was retained on GST-SKL beads but not on GST-LKS beads (Figure 4-1 A). MBP alone was not retained on either GST-SKL or GST-LKS beads (Figure 4-1 A). Therefore, the MBP-PEX5 fusion protein has specific PTS I



Figure 4-1. A *YIPex5p-MBP* chimera recognizes the PTS1 sequence. GST-SKL *(lanes SKL*) and GST-LKS *(lanes LKS)* purified from bacteria were immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose and incubated with bacterially produced, purified MBP-PEX5 protein chimera or an equimolar amount of the control, unfused MBP.  $(A)$  MBP proteins and immobilized GST proteins were preblocked with buffer containing *E. coll* lysate prior to incubation. The first two wash buffers contained BSA *(arrow).* Bound proteins were eluted by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie Blue staining. An amount equivalent to 5% of the MBP-PEX5 or MBP preincubation reaction was loaded to show the starting protein profile *(lanes 5% load). (B)* MBP proteins and immobilized GST proteins were incubated together without preblocking. No additional proteins were added to the incubation or wash buffers. Bound proteins were eluted and analysed as described above. *Input*, total amount of MBP-PEX5 or unfused MBP used in the binding reaction.

binding activity, which is located in its Y/Pex5p domain. The specificity of this interaction is further shown by the fact that the MBP-PEX5 was enriched from a complex mixture of proteins, an *E. coli* lysate, by GST-SKL beads. Binding reactions were repeated with MBP-PEX5 and MBP in the absence of any additional protein during the incubation or wash steps (Figure 4-1 B). MBP-PEX5 was still retained specifically on the GST-SKL beads, suggesting that the interaction between YIPex5p and PTS1 is direct and does not require other factors.

## 4.3 The *pex5-l* phenotype arises from the substitution of a conserved amino acid residue in the TPR domain of Y/Pex5p

The *pex5-I* strain synthesizes an apparently full-length Pex5 protein that is imported into peroxisomes but fails to associate with anti-SKL-reactive proteins (Chapter 3). The nature of the mutation in *pex5-I* cells was determined by PCR amplification and sequencing of the *PEX5* alleles from both *pex5-J* and wild-type strains. A single change from the wildtype *PEX5* gene was found in the *pex5-l* allele. A transition,  $G - A$ , at nucleotide 1364 results in a glycine to aspartic acid substitution at amino acid residue 455 of Y/pex5-1p (Figure 4-2 A). Glycine 455 is located in the fifth TPR motif of F/Pex5p, and its functional importance is highlighted by the fact that glycine is conserved at this position in all known Pex5p family members (Figure 4-2 B).

To further demonstrate that the*pex5-I* phenotype was due to the identified mutation, an allele of*PEX5* encoding K/pex5-G455Dp with an aspartic acid residue at position 455 was created by site-directed mutagenesis. The mutant allele was used to replace the wild-type gene in the *E122* strain, generating the strain*pex5-G455D* (Section 2.11.6) ( Figure 4-3).

Figure 4-2. Sequence analysis of the*pex5-l* allele. *{A)* Genomic DNA from both the wildtype strain *E l22* and the*pex5-l* mutant strain was isolated and amplified by PCR with primers specific for the *PEX5* gene. The amplification products were ligated into plasmid vectors and sequenced. Two independent PCR amplifications were carried out for each template. The results of one amplification are shown for the area of interest, along with the deduced protein sequence from amino acid residues 450-460. The affected base and amino acid residue are indicated in *bold type.* (*B)* Amino acid alignment of the fifth TPR motif of known or putative Pex5p family members. *Numbers* correspond to amino acid residues. The conserved glycine residue corresponding to position 455 of YIPex5p is *boxed. Abbreviations* and accession numbers are as follows: *Yl. Yarrowia lipolytica* [SwissProt Q99144]; *Pp. Pichia pastoris.* [SwissProt P33292]; *Sc. Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* [SwissProt P35056]; *Hp. Hansenida polymorpha.* [SwissProt Q01495]; *Hs. Homo sapiens.* [SwissProt P50542] (short form); *Mm. M us muscidus.* [SwissProt 009012] (long form); *Cp. Cavia porcellus* [SwissProt 070525]; Ch, Chinese hamster *(Cricettdus longicaudatus)* [DDBJ AB002564] (short form); *Nt. Nicotiana tabacum.* [GenBank AF053104]; *At. Arabidopsis thaliana.* [GenBank AF074843]; *Cl. Citrullus lanatus.* [GenBank AF068690]; *Dm. Drosophila melanogaster* [SwissProt 046085]; *Ce. Caenorhabditis elegans* [SwissProt Q 18426]; *Sp, Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [EMBL AL034342]; *Tb, Trypanosoma brucei* [GenBank AF142475].





**Figure 4-3. Pop in/pop out gene replacement to create the** *pex5-G455D* **strain. Strains** *E:GDU3* and *pex5-G455D* were made as described in Section 2.11.6. *(A)* Restriction endonuclease maps of genomic DNA from wild-type  $(E122)$  and derivative strains. Regions shown in black are endogenous *Y. lipolytica* DNA. Regions shown in grey are derived from plasmid pGDU3. The dotted region indicates the pBluescriptSKII(-) vector backbone. The open box represents the *URA3* gene. The solid box represents the *PEX5* ORF. Solid lines represent sequences flanking the *PEX5* ORF. The 5' extent of the plasmid-derived region in *pex5-G455D* is drawn arbitrarily. *X* , *Xba\. (B)* Southern blot analysis. Genomic DNA from wild-type *(E122; lanes 2), E:GDU3 (lanes 3)* and *pex5-G455D (lanes 1)* strains was isolated, digested with *Xbal* and processed for Southern blotting as described in Section 2.6.2.1. The probe for the  $PEX5$  sequence was the  $EcoRI$  fragment from plasmid p32 $\Delta X$  (Section 2.11.6). The probe for the *URA3* sequence was the *Sail* fragment used to create plasmid pGDU3. The *asterisk* indicates the fragment that is diagnostic for the integration of plasmid pGDU3. The *arrowhead* indicates the fragment that is diagnostic for the*pex5-G455D* allele. The *numbers at left* indicate the migration of molecular size markers (in kbp).

The *pex5-G455D* strain manifests the same growth defect on oleate medium as the original mutant *pex5-l* and the *PEX5* gene disruption strain, *pex5-KO* (Figure 4-4). These results confirm that the G455D substitution is sufficient to inactivate Y/Pex5p, thus preventing proper peroxisome assembly and function, including the utilization of fatty acids. F/pex5-G455Dp was synthesized at normal levels in the *pex5-G455D* strain (Figure 4-5).

#### **4.4 The G455D mutation abrogates the PTS1 binding activity of J7Pex5p**

Full-length wild-type YIPex5p and YIpex5-G455Dp were tested for their ability to recognize variants of the PTS1 signal. The proteins were translated *in vitro* and labelled with  $[35S]$ methionine to facilitate the detection of any weak interactions. Wild-type YPex5p was specifically retained on GST-SKL and GST-AKI beads (Figure 4-6, *WT).* The C-terminal tripeptide AKI has been shown to function as a PTS1 in C. *albiccms* and *S. cerevisiae* (Aitchison *et al.,* 1991), and *Y. lipolytica* contains peroxisomal proteins that are immunoreactive with anti-AKI antiserum (Aitchison *et al.*, 1992). Y/Pex5p was not retained on either GST-LKS beads, where the C-terminal tripeptide does not conform to the PTS I consensus, or on GST-SKLS beads, where the canonical PTS 1 is displaced from the extreme C-terminus by the addition of an extra amino acid residue, a construction that has been shown to prevent the targeting to peroxisomes of a protein normally resident in peroxisomes (Gould et al., 1989). Therefore, wild-type Y/Pex5p recognizes only functional PTS1 tripeptides.

In contrast to the wild-type protein, F/pex5-G455Dp was not specifically retained on beads containing any of the PTS1 variants tested (Figure 4-6, *G455D),* suggesting that the glycine to aspartic acid substitution prevents the mutant protein from binding to PTS Is.



**Figure 4-4. Growth of wild-type and** *pexS* **mutant strains on oleate and acetate.** Strains *E l 22* (*yvild-type*), *pex5-l, pex5-KO* and *pex5-G455D* were pregrown in YEPD. Cultures were adjusted to the same optical density, and serial 2-fold dilutions were plated on YNO (*oleate*) or YNA *(acetate).* Growth was for 4 d (YNO) or 2 d (YNA) at 30°C.



Figure 4-5. Synthesis of Pex5 proteins in wild-type and *pex5* mutant strains. Yeast were pregrown in YEPD and then transferred to YPBO for 6 h. Cell lysates were prepared by disruption with glass beads. 30 µg of each lysate was separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblotting with guinea pig anti-PYPex5p antibodies. The *numbers at left* indicate the migration of molecular size standards (in kD).



Figure 4-6. Analysis of Y*I*Pex5p and YIpex5-G455Dp PTS1 binding activities. Wild-type F/Pex5p *(WT)* and J7pex5-G455Dp (*G455D*) were labelled with [35S]methionine by *in vitro* transcription/translation. Equimolar amounts of the proteins were preincubated with buffer containing 10 mg BSA/mL prior to incubation with immobilized GST proteins terminating with the sequence SKL, LKS, AKI or SKLS. After extensive washing, bound proteins were eluted by boiling in SDS-PAGE sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE. Shown is the fluorograph of the total amount of labelled protein eluted from the GST beads. The signal from an amount equivalent to 25% of the total labelled protein used in the reaction is shown for reference *(25% input).*

These results are in agreement with the previous finding that anti-SKL-reactive proteins associated with F7Pex5p from wild-type cells but not with the mutant form of the protein from *pex5-l* cells (Figure 3-18).

## **4.5 The TPR domain of T7Pex5p is necessary for its interaction with PTS1 but is not sufficient for functional complementation of a** *pexS* **deletion mutant**

To delineate the region(s) of YIPex5p involved in PTS1 recognition, truncated variants of YIPex5p were labelled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine by *in vitro* translation and assayed for their PTS1 binding activity. Deletions of regions N-terminal to the TPR domain did not significantly alter the specific PTS I binding ability of truncated variants relative to that of wild-type F/Pex5p (Figure 4-7, compare *B* and C to *WT).* These results support the observation that in the context of a fusion chimera, the first 46 amino acid residues of ?7Pex5p are not required for interaction with a PTS I (Figure 4-1). In contrast, deletion of the N-terminus and the first half of the TPR domain of Y/Pex5p resulted in a variant with no PTS1 binding activity (Figure 4–7,  $E$ ). C-terminally truncated variants that terminate either just before the TPR domain (Figure 4-7, *A)* or two amino acid residues into the fourth TPR motif (Figure 4-7, *D)* failed to show PTS 1 binding activity. Removal of the region C-terminal to the TPR domain significantly reduced, but did not completely eliminate, PTS 1 binding (Figure 4-7, *F).* This low level of binding was reproducible. Taken together, these results suggest that the N-terminal 300 amino acid residues of Y/Pex5p are completely dispensable for PTS1 binding, the C-terminal tail (amino acid residues 550-598) of YIPex5p is not required for PTS 1 recognition but does increase the efficiency of the binding reaction, and the



**Figure 4-7. Domain analysis of PTS1 binding activity of YIPex5p.** An illustration of J7Pex5p is shown at *top.* The seven TPR motifs are indicated by *boxes.* The *numbers* above and below the illustration refer to the first amino acid residue of the protein or of each TPR motif (1...516), or to the last amino acid residue of the TPR domain or the protein (549, 598). A schematic representation of Y/Pex5p and truncation variants used in PTS1 binding assays is shown in the diagram at *left.* The *arrows* indicate the regions present in the wild-type *(PVT)* or truncated proteins (*A-CF*). The *numbers in brackets* indicate the amino acid residues present in each protein. There is a non-genomically encoded methionine preceding amino acid residue 418 in mutant E. The results of PTS1 binding assays are presented at *right. YI*Pex5p and variants were labelled with [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine by *in vitro* transcription/translation. An equimolar amount of each protein was assayed for binding as described in the legend to Figure 4, except in the case of mutant *CF*, where the molar amount of labelled protein (and the total volume of lysate added) was increased four-fold. Overexposures of the *F* and *BF* experiments are shown to permit visualization of weak signals.

TPR domain of Y/Pex5p is essential for binding a PTS1. Therefore, the minimum PTS1 binding domain of YIPex5p was predicted to lie within amino acid residues 301-549. Surprisingly, a protein with these endpoints (Figure 4-7, *CF)* shows no PTS1 binding activity, even when present at four times the molar concentration of wild-type or mutant F proteins. However, a protein consisting of amino acid residues 155-549 of Y*I*Pex5p (Figure 4-7, *BF*) has a low level of PTS 1 binding activity similar to that of mutant F, suggesting that the region between residues 155-300 may also have a role in PTS1 recognition and/or in stabilization of the interaction between the targeting signal and its receptor.

The biological activity of Y/Pex5p variants was assayed by testing their ability to functionally complement the growth defect of the *pex5-KO* disruption strain on oleate medium (Figure 4-8). The *PEX5* promoter was used to drive the expression of the variant  $Y/P$ ex5p gene constructs. While all transformants displayed strong growth on medium containing acetate as the carbon source (Figure 4-8, *bottom panel),* which does not require functional peroxisomes for its metabolism, only the transformant carrying a plasmid encoding the wild-type F/Pex5p showed robust growth on oleate medium (Figure 4-8, *middle panel),* which does require functional peroxisomes for its metabolism. The strain synthesizing mutant F, which lacks only the C-terminal end of YIPex5p, grew slowly on oleate medium. No growth on oleate medium was observed for strains synthesizing any of the other YIPex5p variants or for the strain carrying the empty vector alone. Cell lysates of the various transformants were analysed by immunoblotting to determine if they were producing the different P7Pex5p variants (Figure 4-8, *top panel).* Appreciable amounts of F/Pex5p variants were synthesized in all cases, except for variant E, where no signal was detected even after



**Figure 4-8. Growth characteristics of strains expressing wild-type and truncated forms of I7Pex5p.** Lanes are labelled to correspond with the constructs detailed in Figure 5. *Upper panel, pex5-KO* cells carrying plasmid pINA443 *{443)* or pINA443 with an insert encoding wild-type *(WT)* or a particular truncated form of *YI*Pex5p (*A-BF*) were precultured for 16 h in glucose-containing 2xCMD medium and then transferred to oleic acid-containing 2xCMO for 6 h. Cell lysates were prepared by disruption with glass beads.  $30 \mu g$  of each lysate was separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblotting with guinea pig anti- $YIP$ ex5p antibodies. The *upper panel* is a composite of two images; *lanes B* to *BF* were exposed for six times longer than were *lanes 443* to *F.* The *numbers* at *left* indicate molecular size standards (in kD). *Lower panels, pex5-KO* strains carrying the plasmids described above were precultured in 2xCMD, adjusted to the same cell density and plated on 2xCMO *{oleate)* or YNA *{acetate).* Growth was at 30°C for 4 d (2xCMO) or 2 d (YNA).

overexposure of the blot (data not shown). From the results of the growth assay (Figure 4-8) and the *in vitro* PTS1 binding data (Figure 4-7), it can be concluded that the ability to recognize a PTS1 is necessary but not sufficient to confer full biological activity on a YIPex5p variant.

#### **4.6 Discussion**

*Y. lipolytica* and other yeast species have proven to be invaluable tools for the identification of components required for peroxisome assembly (Subramani, 1998; Hettema *et al*., 1999). The *PEX5* gene of *Y. lipolytica* was identified in a genetic screen for mutants of peroxisome biogenesis, and is very similar in sequence to genes from other organisms that encode proteins that recognize PTS Is (McCollum *et al*., 1993; Brocard *et al*., 1994; Dodt *etal.,* 1995; Fransen *etal.,* 1995; Terlecky *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Kragler *et al.,* 1998; de Walque *et al*., 1999).

## 4.6.1 Substitution of a conserved amino acid residue abrogates the PTS1 binding activity *o f the PexS protein in the pex5-l strain*

While the original mutant strain *pex5-l* synthesizes a Pex5 protein of normal size, unlike the wild-type  $YIP$ ex5p, it is not found in association with anti-SKL-reactive proteins *in vivo* (Chapter 3). Analysis of the *pex5-I* allele revealed that the mutant strain produces 17pex5-lp, which contains an aspartic acid substituted for a conserved glycine residue at position 455 (Figure 4-2). The G455D substitution did not support PTSl binding by the mutant protein in an *in vitro* assay (Figure 4-6), which together with the lack of detectable PTSl interaction *in vivo,* suggests a cause for the mutant *pex5-l* phenotype. The data presented here are consistent with a scenario in which the *pex5-l* mutant fails to assemble peroxisomes correctly because of the inability of 77pex5-lp to recognize the PTSl motif rather than because of its inability to interact with other components of the peroxisomal protein import machinery. The latter possibility could exist, given that the majority of wildtype  $Y/P$ ex5p is associated with the peroxisomal membrane while peroxisomal  $Y/P$ ex5-lp is found exclusively in the peroxisomal matrix (Figure 3-17). However, any loss of interaction between the mutant Pex5 protein and other components of the peroxisomal translocation machinery would appear to be a secondary effect of the inability of Ylpex5-1p to recognize a PTSl, or an additional consequence of a potentially atypical conformation of the mutant protein, since a purified ?7Pex5p fusion protein is capable of binding to an SKL tripeptide without the participation of any other factor (Figure 4-1 B).

TPR domains are predicted to form pairs of interlocking antiparallel  $\alpha$ -helices with the "knobs" of one helix (formed by bulky residues such as tyrosine or phenylalanine) fitting into the "holes" (formed by small amino acid residues such as glycine or alanine) of the adjacent helix (Goebl and Yanagida, 1991; Lamb *et al.,* 1995; Das *et al.,* 1998). The fact that the mutated amino acid residue in *Ylpex5*-1p is a conserved glycine implies that the structure of the TPR domain may be compromised in the mutant protein. Glycine 455 is the eighth residue of the fifth TPR motif of 17Pex5p. Not only is this glycine conserved in Pex5p family members (Figure 4-2 B), but also, in general, a small amino acid residue is found in the eighth position of repeats of TPR-containing proteins with diverse functions (Goebl and Yanagida, 1991; Lamb *eta l.,* 1995; Das *etal.,* 1998). Recently, two inactivating mutations in Chinese

hamster Pex5p were found to involve substitutions of glutamic acid for glycine at amino acid residue 298 (position 7) in the first TPR motif and at amino acid residue 485 (position 8) in the sixth TPR motif (Otera *et al.,* 1998).

### 4.6.2 The TPR domain of YlPex5p is essential for PTS1 binding

Deletion analysis of Y/Pex5p was performed to define the regions needed for PTS1 recognition. The N-terminal 300 amino acid residues of Y/Pex5p are not required for its association with a PTS1, because YPex5p variants with deletions in this region showed approximately wild-type levels of PTSl binding activity (Figure 4-7, *WT, B . C).* The TPR domain is essential for the interaction of YIPex5p with a PTS1, since every truncation tested where part or all of the TPR domain is missing failed to show PTS1 binding activity (Figure 4-7, *A*, *D*, *E).* The C-terminal end (residues 550-598) of P7Pex5p is not absolutely required for PTSl recognition, but its removal significantly reduces the PTSl binding activity of F7Pex5p (Figure 4-7, *F, BF).* Removal of the C-terminal end of T7Pex5p also makes the region from amino acid residues 155-300 necessary for PTSl binding (and *vice versa),* since the TPR domain alone does not show PTS 1 binding activity (Figure 4-7, *CF).* An intact TPR domain has been shown to be required for full PTS1 binding activity of *S. cerevisiae* Pex5p (Brocard *etal.,* 1994). There appear to be residues critical for PTS 1 binding outside the TPR motifs of 5cPex5p, since deletion of the C-terminal 48 amino acid residues abolishes PTSl binding, and a ScPex5p variant that initiates 6 amino acid residues before the TPR domain has only very weak PTS1 binding activity. In contrast, the first three TPR motifs of *P. pastoris* Pex5p are sufficient, and necessary, for binding a PTSl *in vitro* (Terlecky *et al.,* 1995).
Truncation mutant D of Y/Pex5p (Figure 4-7) was designed to mimic the C-terminal deletion in the minimal PTS 1 binding domain of the *PpPex5p (i.e., termination after the second amino* acid residue of the fourth TPR motif), but no interaction was detected between mutant D and GST-SKL. Therefore, it appears that in terms of the structural requirements for PTSl binding,  $YIPex5p$  is more similar to  $ScPex5p$  than it is to  $PpPex5p$ .

# 4.6.3 The PTS1 binding domain of YIPex5p is not sufficient for complete biological *function*

The role of YIPex5p in peroxisome biogenesis is greater than simply its ability to bind a PTS1 tripeptide, since the extent of PTS1 binding by a Y/Pex5p variant (Figure 4-7) did not necessarily predict its ability to restore peroxisome function in a *pex5* gene disruption strain (Figure 4-8). Variants B and C (which lack the N-terminal 154 and 300 amino acid residues of Pex5p, respectively) showed approximately wild-type levels of PTS 1 binding activity, but were unable to complement the *pex5-KO* strain for growth on oleic acid media. Mutant F, which lacks the C-terminal 48 amino acid residues of YPex5p, showed weak PTS1 binding and was able to partially complement th*epex5-KO* strain. Mutant BF (residues 155-549) also bound GST-SKL weakly but did not complement the *pex5-KO* strain, reinforcing the functional importance of the N-terminal region of Y/Pex5p.

Several reasons can be put forward to explain why a mutant  $YIP$ ex5p that retains PTSl binding ability might not be fully biologically functional: 1) The deleted region of F/Pex5p could include information required to direct it to peroxisomes. F7Pex5p does not have a recognizable PTS, and the domain responsible for its peroxisomal targeting has yet to

be characterized; 2) The mutant YIPex5p might fail to interact with other components of the peroxisomal translocation machinery. Peroxin binding partners for F/Pex5p await identification; 3) The mutant YIPex5p might not assume the correct quaternary protein structure. Purified human Pex5p produced in *E. coli* has recently been shown to exist as a homotetramer, and the domain responsible for oligomerization was located within the Nterminal 213 amino acid residues (Schliebs *et al.*, 1999); 4) Truncated forms of YIPex5p may lack a domain or domains needed for the release of PTS 1-bearing proteins in a timely fashion, resulting in prolonged lives for complexes of F/Pex5p and cargo proteins and preventing Y/Pex5p from recycling to pick up new cargo.

#### *4.6.4 Summary*

The results presented demonstrate that YIPex5p can bind specifically, directly and autonomously with functional PTS1 motifs. An intact TPR domain of Y/Pex5p is necessary, but not sufficient, for both interaction with a PTS 1 and functional complementation of a strain lacking Y/Pex5p.

**CHAPTER 5**

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 

**Perspectives**

#### **5.1 Synopsis**

The *pex5-1* strain is one of a series of *pex* mutants of *Y. lipolytica* that was generated with the aim of achieving a greater understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in peroxisome biogenesis. Cloning of the *PEX5* gene and analysis of its encoded peroxin strongly suggest that 7/Pex5p is an intraperoxisomal PTS 1-binding protein that is essential for the translocation of most peroxisomal matrix proteins.

### **5.2 Future directions for research**

To date, no protein has been demonstrated to make up part of the putative protein conducting channel of the peroxisomal membrane. The fact that peroxisomal p62 is tightly associated with the peroxisomal membrane in *pex5* mutant strains offers a possible method of identifying channel components, as well as other elements o f the translocation apparatus. The membrane fraction of peroxisomes isolated from a *pex5* mutant strain could be exposed to cross-linking agents and then solubilized with detergent. Subsequent immunoprecipitation with anti-SKL antibodies would be expected to co-precipitate proteins in close proximity to p62. Treating the membranes with different stripping agents *(e.g.* NaCl, urea, carbonate) prior to cross-linking and comparison of the co-immunoprecipitated polypeptides might identify distinct p62-containing complexes, possibly reflecting different stages of import such as docking, insertion and translocation.

The main feature of Y*I*Pex5p that distinguishes it from other Pex5 family members is that the *Y. lipolytica* protein is detected exclusively in the peroxisomal matrix. A number of questions arise from this observation. What is the signal that directs Y/Pex5p to the

peroxisomal matrix? This protein contains neither a PTSl nor a PTS2. Do some species possess a mechanism that actively promotes retrograde transport off empty receptors from the peroxisomal matrix back to the cytosol, a mechanism that is allosent from *Y. lipolytica* peroxisomes? Or conversely, if some putative *Y. lipolytica* import receptor(s) is able to be translocated in both directions across the peroxisomal membrane., does F/PexSp contain a peroxisome retention signal that keeps it in the peroxisomal matrix"? This scenario seems less likely, since there is no evidence for a significant efflux of prote ins from the peroxisomal matrix. Determination of the subcellular localization of the YIP ex5p truncation mutants synthesized *in vivo* should be useful in addressing some of these issues.

The strongest evidence that the human  $Pex5p$  is a shuttling receptor is that the location of the receptor can be altered by changing cellular conditions. For example, inhibition of translocation by depletion of ATP or by incubating cells at 16° C resulted in a shift in  $Hs$ Pex5p distribution from predominantly cytosolic, to mosstly peroxisomal. Shifting cells from 16°C to 37°C caused HsPex5p to reassume a primarily cytosolic localization; a further return to translocation-inhibiting conditions once again caused an accumulation of  $HsPex5p$  on peroxisomes (Dodt and Gould, 1996). If cellular conditions were to be identified that specifically altered T7Pex5p distribution, these conditions miight be used to elucidate distinct steps in the YIPex5p-dependent import pathway.

It will also be important to identify other peroxins with whi.ch YPex5p interacts, and to determine other functions (if any) of the domains of this protein. One role of the TPR domain of Y/Pex5p is PTS1 recognition, but this region may have other yet undefined functions. The TPR domain of  $HsPex5p$  interacts with the zinc-binoding domain of  $HsPex12p$ . (Chang *et al.,* 1999). The region of Pex5p that associates with Pex13p has not yet been rigorously defined, but the first 43 amino acid residues of Pex5p from *S. cerevisiae* are not necessary for interaction with ScPex13p in a two-hybrid assay (Elgersma *et al.*, 1996a). The N-terminus of  $Hs$ Pex5p has been implicated in both homotetramer formation and interaction with Pexl4p (Schliebs *et al.,* 1999). However, the Pex5p-interaction domains of the PMPs Pex12p, Pex13p and Pex14p are on regions of these proteins that are believed to face the cytosol, thus making it unlikely that putative *Y. lipolytica* orthologues of Pexl2p, -13p and -14p could interact with intraperoxisomal Y/Pex5p. The function of the N-terminus of F7Pex5p is as yet unknown. The oligomerization state of T/Pex5p has not yet been studied. However, if YPex5p also forms a homotetramer and if this configuration requires the presence of the N-terminus, then it could be concluded that oligomerization of Y/Pex5p is not required for PTS1 binding, since deletion of regions N-terminal to the TPR domain do not affect recognition of an SKL tripeptide. It will also be interesting to determine if the soluble pool of Y/Pex5p interacts with any proteins besides the anti-SKL-reactive proteins p62 and p64, and if the "free" }7Pex5p *(i.e.* not complexed with p62/p64) is actually in association with some other import substrate(s) that is not recognized by anti-SKL antibodies, or possibly with regulatory factors that modulate the PTS 1 binding or membrane-association function of Y/Pex5p.

#### 5.3 Pex5 proteins appear to have distinct functions in different organisms

A comparison of the proposed mechanisms of peroxisomal matrix protein import in different systems, highlighting the roles of Pex5 proteins, is shown in Figure 5-1. Panel A



Figure 5-1. Peroxisomal matrix protein import in different systems. (A) Conventional model for the import of matrix proteins into peroxisomes, primarily based on studies of mammalian peroxins. *(B)* Model for the import of matrix proteins into *Y. lipolytica* peroxisomes. See text for details. For simplicity, all proteins are drawn as monomers, regardless of their true quaternary structure. Square coils, PTS1-containing proteins. *Triangular coils*, PTS2-containing proteins. *Numbers* indicate characterized peroxins. *X,* putative Pex5p regulatory factor. *Y*, putative cytosolic PTSl receptor. Z, putative cytosolic receptor(s) for proteins imported primarily through the F/Pex5p-independent pathway. *T,* translocon. *Td, YI*Pex5p-dependent translocon. *Ti, YI*Pex5p-independent translocon. *SH3*, SH3 domain. *Zn*, zinc binding domain. *Hatched areas*, known or potential TPR domains.

illustrates what could be considered the "conventional" view of Pex5p-mediated import. This model is primarily based on experiments investigating Pex5p and associated factors in humans and other mammals (Dodt *et al*., 1995; Fransen *et al.,* 1995; Wiemer *et al.,* 1995; Gould *et al.,* 1996; Dodt and Gould, 1996; Otera *et al.,* 1998; Braverman *etal.,* 1998; Fransen *et al.,* 1998; Schliebse/a/., 1999; Will *et al.,* 1999; Shimizu *et al.,* 1999; Chang *etal.,* 1999). When considering other systems (not including *Y. lipolytica),* some differences may exist, but overall there seems to be more agreement than disagreement. Pex5p and newly synthesized proteins destined for the peroxisomal matrix are initially free in the cytosol, where the Cterminal TPR domain of Pex5p binds to the PTSl of the future peroxisomal matrix protein. The loaded receptor then moves to the membrane and associates with the Pexl3p-Pexl4p docking complex. Pex7p, loaded with a PTS2-containing protein, uses the same docking complex, and (in mammals) may require the long form of Pex5p to facilitate docking. Next, the Pexl0p-Pexl2p complex accepts the loaded PTS 1 receptor and transfers it to the putative translocation channel (PexTp). The PTSl-containing protein then moves across the peroxisomal membrane, either with or without Pex5p. If the PTSl-containing protein is translocated by itself, empty Pex5p is released directly to the cytosol from the outer surface of the peroxisomal membrane. If Pex5p has been co-imported with the PTSl-containing protein, the participation of another protein (PexXp) may be required to facilitate the release of the PTSl-containing protein. The unloaded Pex5p is then exported back across the peroxisomal membrane to the cytoplasm for another round of import. In this illustration, Pex5p is shown entering and exiting the peroxisome via the same translocon, however it is also possible that a distinct translocon capable of retrograde protein trafficking may exist.

The sequence of events for Pex7p-dependent import of PTS2-containing proteins is not shown in Figure 5-1 A, but may be the same as that shown for Pex5p-dependent import of PTSl-containing proteins.

The left side of Figure 5-1 B illustrates a model for the import of PTSl-containing proteins into *Y. lipolytica* peroxisomes. Events in the cytosol and at the outer face of the peroxisomal membrane are illustrated as being essentially the same as those in the conventional model, although none of the responsible proteins has been identified at this time. PexYp represents a putative receptor for PTSl-containing proteins in the cytosol. PexYp may or may not be a TPR-containing protein. PexYp is shown here as a receptor that cycles between the cytosol and the peroxisomal membrane. However, it could equally be permanently membrane-bound, diffusing laterally through the membrane to interact with the putative docking complex and translocon. The PTSl-containing protein disengages from PexYp and associates tightly with the putative Y/Pex5p-dependent translocation channel (PexTdp), with its C-terminus shielded from the cytosol. Next, intraperoxisomal F/PexSp binds to the C-terminal PTS1 of the partially translocated protein, pulling it completely through the translocation channel and eventually into the matrix. The PTSl-containing protein is then released from YIPex5p, possibly with the assistance of a YIPex5p-regulatory factor ( $PexXp$ ). The unloaded  $Y/Pex5p$  then recycles back to the membrane, ready to pull another PTSl-containing protein through the translocation channel. Therefore, this model predicts that YIPex5p is a cycling receptor, but that its cycle is restricted to the peroxisomal matrix and the inner face of the peroxisomal membrane. According to this model, in the absence of Y/Pex5p function, the import of most proteins is blocked at the level of tight

association with the translocation channel *(i.e.* carbonate-inextractable proteins specifically found in the peroxisomal membrane fractions from *pex5* mutant strains). The translocation blockage also causes an accumulation of peroxisomal proteins at the outer surface of the peroxisomal membrane *(i.e.* carbonate-extractable proteins specifically found in the peroxisomal membrane fractions from *pex5* mutant strains), and reduces the efficiency of targeting of matrix proteins *(i.e.* proteins mislocalized to the cytosol in *pex5* mutant strains).

The right side of panel B illustrates the import of PTS2-containing proteins (such as thiolase) into *Y. lipolytica* peroxisomes, but it could also represent an analogous import pathway (or pathways) for proteins with other uncharacterized PTSs (such as malate synthase and catalase) that are successfully translocated into peroxisomes in *pex5* mutant strains. These proteins are imported into peroxisomes primarily via distinct receptor(s) (represented by PexZp), docking complex(es) and  $Y/P$ ex5p-independent translocon(s) (PexTip). There is currently no evidence to suggest that thiolase and other proteins that do not depend on F/Pex5p for import are co-imported into peroxisomes along with their receptors. The dashed arrow indicates that these proteins may use the YPex5p-dependent import pathway to a minor extent.

While the search for *PEX* genes in *Y. lipolytica* has by no means been exhausted, especially considering that to date only one selection strategy has been used, it is nonetheless curious that there has not yet been a *Y. lipolytica* peroxin identified that is an orthologue of any of the peroxins that have been shown to interact with (either directly or indirectly), and/or specifically affect the localization of, Pex5p from other organisms (Pex4p (van der Klei *et al.,* 1998), Pex7p (Rehling *et al.,* 1996; Girzalsky *etal.,* 1999), PexlOp (Dodt and Gould, 1996;

Chang *et al*., 1999), Pexl2p (Dodt and Gould, 1996; Chang *et al.,* 1999), Pexl3p (Gould *et al.,* 1996; Elgersma*etal.,* 1996a; Erdmann and Blobel, 1996; Girzalsky *etal.,* 1999), Pexl4p (Albertini *et al.,* 1997; Brocard *et al.,* 1997; Fransen *et al.,* 1998; Will *et al.,* 1999; Girzalsky *etal.,* 1999; Shimizu *et al.,* 1999), Pexl7p (Huhse *et al.,* 1998)). This may further support the contention that the cellular function of YIPex5p is fundamentally different than that of other Pex5 family members, and may suggest that not all mechanisms of peroxisome biogenesis are evolutionarily conserved, even one so essential as matrix protein import. If the validity of this supposition is borne out by experimental evidence, it would be advisable to consider reassigning Y/Pex5p to an independent peroxin group, or at least designating it as a distinct isoform (e.g. Pex5<sub>Bp</sub>). Only the continued identification of novel *Y. lipolytica* peroxins and the elucidation of their coordinated mechanisms of action will reveal if this hypothesis is correct.

**CHAPTER 6**

**Bibliography**

Abe I and Fujiki Y. 1998. cDNA cloning and characterization of a constitutively expressed isoform of the human peroxin Pexl 1p. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 252: 529-533.

Abe I, Okumoto K, Tamura S and Fujiki Y. 1998. Clofibrate-inducible, 28-kDa peroxisomal integral membrane protein is encoded by *PEX11. FEBS Lett.* 431: 468-472.

Aitchison JD, Murray WW and Rachubinski RA. 1991. The carboxy-terminal tripeptide Ala-Lys-Ile is essential for targeting *Candida tropicalis* trifunctional enzyme to yeast peroxisomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 266:23197-23203.

Aitchison JD, Szilard RK, Nuttley WM and Rachubinski RA. 1992. Antibodies directed against a yeast carboxyl-terminal peroxisomal targeting signal specifically recognize peroxisomal proteins from various yeasts. *Yeast* 8: 721-734.

Albertini M, Rehling P, Erdmann R, Girzalsky W, Kiel JAKW, Veenhuis M and Kunau W-H. 1997. Pex14p, a peroxisomal membrane protein binding both receptors of the two PTSdependent import pathways. *Cell* 89: 83-92.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW and Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* 215:403-410.

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W and Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nuc. Acids Res.* 25:3389-3402.

Ausubel FJ, Brent R, Kingston RE, Moore DD, Siedman JG, Smith JA and Struhl K, editors. 1999. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Baerends RJS, Rasmussen SW, Hilbrands RE, van der Heide M, Faber KN. Reuvekamp PTW, Kiel JAKW, Cregg JM, van der Klei IJ and Veenhuis M. 1996. The *Hansenula polymorpha PER9* gene encodes a peroxisomal membrane protein essential for peroxisome assembly and integrity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 8887-8894.

Baes M, Gressens P, Baumgart E, Carmeliet P, Casteels M, Fransen M, Evrard P, Fahimi D, Declerq PE, Collen D, van Veldhoven PP and Mannaerts GP. 1997. A mouse model for Zellweger syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 17:49-57.

Barth G and Weber H. 1987. Genetic analysis of the gene *IC Ll* of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica. Yeast* 3: 255-262.

Berninger G, Schmidtchen R, Casel G, Knörr A, Rautenstrauss K, Kunau W-H and Schweizer E. 1993. Structure and metabolic control o f the *Yarrowia lipolytica* peroxisomal 3-oxoacyl-CoA-thiolase gene. *Ear. J. Biochem.* 216: 607-613.

Berteaux-Lecellier V, Picard M, Thompson-Coffe C, Zickler D, Panvier-Adoutte A and Simonet J-M. 1995. A nonmammalian homolog of the *PAF1* gene (Zellweger syndrome) discovered as a gene involved in caryogamy in the fungus *Podospora anserina. Cell* 81: 1043-1051.

Bjorkman J, Stetten G, Moore CS, Gould SJ and Crane DI. 1998. Genomic structure of *PEX13*, a candidate peroxisome biogenesis disorder gene. *Genomics* 54: 521-528.

Blattner J, Swinkels B, Dörsam H, Prospero T, Subramani S and Clayton C. 1992. Glycosome assembly in trypanosomes: variations in the acceptable degeneracy of a COOHterminal microbody targeting signal. *J. Cell Biol.* 119: 1129-1136.

Bodnar AG and Rachubinski RA. 1990. Cloning and sequence determination of cDNA encoding a secong rat liver peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. *Gene* 91: 193-199.

Bodnar AG and Rachubinski RA. 1991. Characterization of the integral membrane polypeptides of rat liver peroxisomes isolated from untreated and clofibrate-treated rats. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 69: 499-508.

Bonner WM and Laskey RA. 1974. A film detection method for tritium-labelled proteins and nucleic acids in polyacrylamide gels. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 46: 83-88.

Borst P. 1989. Peroxisome biogenesis revisited. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1008:1-13.

Brade AM. 1992. Peroxisome assembly in *Yarrowia lipolytica.* M.Sc. Thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton.

Bradford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein using the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72: 248-254.

Braverman N, Steel G, Obie C, Moser A, Moser H, Gould SJ and Valle D. 1997. Human *PEX7* encodes the peroxisomal PTS2 receptor and is responsible for rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata. *Nat. Genet.* 15:369-376.

Braverman N, Dodt G, Gould SJ and Valle D. 1998. An isoform of Pex5p, the human PTS1 receptor, is required for the import of PRS2 proteins into peroxisomes. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 7: 1195-1205.

Brickner DG and Olsen LJ. 1998. Nucleotide triphosphates are required for the transport o f glycolate oxidase into peroxisomes. *Plant Physiol.* 116: 309-3 17.

Brickner DG, Harada JJ and Olsen LJ. 1997. Protein transport into higher plant peroxisomes. *Plant Physiol.* 113: 1213-1221.

Brickner DG, Brickner JH and Olsen LJ. 1998. Sequence analysis of a cDNA encodong Pex5p, a peroxisomal targeting signal type I receptor from *Arabidopsis* (accession no. AF074843) (PGR 98-154). *Plant Physiol.* 118:330.

Brocard C, Kragler F, Simon MM, Schuster T and Harting A. 1994. The tetratricopeptide repeat domain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is essential for binding the peroxisomal targeting signal -SKL. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 204: 1016-1022.

Brocard C, Lametschwandtner G, Koudelka R and Hartig A. 1997. Pexl4p is a member of the protein linkage map of Pex5p. *EMBO J.* 16: 5491-5500.

Brown TW. 2000. A study of peroxisome biogenesis in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica.* M.Sc. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Brown TW, Titorenko VI and Rachubinski RA. 2000. Mutants of the *Yarrowia lipolytica PEX23* gene encoding an integral peroxisomal membrane protein mislocalize matrix proteins and accumulate vesicles containing peroxisomal matrix and membrane proteins. *Mol. Biol. Cell* (in press).

Burnette WN. 1981. "Western blotting": electrophoretic transfer of proteins from sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels to unmodified nitrocellulose and radiographic detection with antibody and radioiodinated protein A. *Anal. Biochem.* 112: 195-203.

Chang C-C, Lee W-H, Moser H, Valle D and Gould SJ. 1997. Isolation of the human *PEX12* gene, mutated in group 3 of the peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Mat. Genet.* 15: 385-388.

Chang C-C, Warren DS, Sacksteder KA and Gould SJ. 1999. PEX12 interacts with PEX5 and PEX10 and acts downstream of receptor docking in peroxisomal matrix protein import *J. Cell Biol.* 147: 761 -773.

Christianson TW, Sikorski RS, Dante M, Shero JH and Hieter P. 1992. Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. *Gene* 110: 119-122.

Crane DI, Kalish JE and Gould SJ. 1994. The *Pichia pastoris PAS4* gene encodes a ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme required for peroxisome assembly. *J.Biol. Chem.* 269:21835- 21844.

Cregg JM, van der Klei IJ, Suiter GJ, Veenhuis M and Harder W. 1990. Peroxisomedeficient mutants of *Hansemila polymorpha. Yeast 6:* 87-97.

Crookes WJ and Olsen LJ. 1998. The effects of chaperones and the influence of protein assembly on peroxisomal protein import. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273: 17236-17242.

Das AK, Cohen PTW and Barford D. 1998. The structure of the tetratricopeptide repeats o f protein phosphatase 5: implcations for TPR-mediated protein-protein interactions. *EMBO J.* 17:1192-1199.

de Duve C and Baudhuin P. 1966. Peroxisomes (microbodies and related particles). *Physiol. Rev.* 46: 323-357.

de Hoop MJ and AB G. 1992. Import of proteins into peroxisomes and other microbodies. *Biochem. J.* 286: 657-669.

de Walque S, Kiel JAKW, Veenhuis M, Opperdoes FR and Michels PAM. 1999. Cloning and analysis of the PTS-1 receptor in *Trypanosoma bracei. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* 104: 107-119.

Diestelkötter P and Just WW. 1993. In vitro insertion of the 22-kD peroxisomal membrane protein into isolated rat liver peroxisomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 123: 1717-1725.

Distel B, Erdmann R, Gould SJ, Blobel G, Crane DI, Cregg JM, Dodt G, Fujiki Y, Goodman JM, Just WW, Kiel JAKW, Kunau W-H, Lazarow PB, Mannaerts GP, Moser HW, Osumi T, Rachubinski RA, Roscher A, Subramani S, Tabak HF, Tsukamoto T, Valle D, van der Klei IJ, van Veldhoven PP and Veenhuis M. 1996. A unified nomenclature for peroxisome biogenesis factors. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 1-3.

Dixon GH and Komberg HL. 1962. Malate synthase from baker's yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* 5: 633-677.

Dodt G and Gould SJ. 1996. Multiple PEX genes are required for proper subcellular distribution and stability of Pex5p, the PTSl receptor: evidence that PTSl protein import is mediated by a cycling receptor. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 1763-1774.

Dodt G, Braverman N, Wong C, Moser A, Moser HW, Watkins P, Valle D and Gould SJ. 1995. Mutations in the PTSl receptor gene, *PXRI*, define complementation group 2 of the peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Nat. Genet.* 9:115-125.

Douma AC, Veenhuis M, de Koning W, Evers M and Harder W. 1985. Dihydroxyacetone synthase is localized in the peroxisomal matrix of methanol-grown *Hansemila polymorpha. Arch. Microbiol.* 143: 237-243.

Dyer JM, McNew JA and Goodman JM. 1996. The sorting sequence of the peroxisomal integral membrane protein PMP47 is contained within a short hydrophilic loop. *J. Cell Biol.* 133: 269-280.

Eitzen GA. 1997. An analysis of peroxisome assembly mutants of the yeast *Yarrowia* 

*lipolytica.* Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Eitzen GA, Aitchison JA, Szilard RK, Veenhuis M, Nuttley WM and Rachubinski RA. 1995. The *Yarrowia lipolytica* gene *PA Y2* encodes a 42-kDa peroxisomal integral membrane protein essential for matrix protein import and peroxisome enlargement but not for peroxisome membrane proliferation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 1429-1436.

Eitzen GA, Titorenko VT, Smith JJ, Veenhuis M, Szilard RK and Rachubinski RA. 1996. The *Yarrowia lipolytica* gene *PAYS* encodes a peroxisomal integral membrane protein homologous to the mammalian peroxisome assembly factor PAF-1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 20300-20306.

Eitzen GA, Szilard RK and Rachubinski RA. 1997. Enlarged peroxisomes are present in oleic-acid grown *Yarrowia lipolytica* overexpressing the *PEX16* gene encoding an intraperoxisomal peripheral membrane protein. *J. Cell Biol.* 137: 1265-1278.

Elgersma Y and Tabak HF. 1996. Proteins involved in peroxisome biogenesis and functioning. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1286: 269-283.

Elgersma Y, van Roermund CWT, Wanders RJA and Tabak HF. 1995. Peroxisomal and mitochondrial carnitine acetyltransferases of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are encoded by a single gene. *EMBO J.* 14:3472-3479.

Elgersma Y, Kwast L, Klein A, Voom-Brouwer T, van den Berg M, Metzig B, America T, Tabak HF and Distel B. 1996a. The SH3 domain of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* peroxisomal membrane protein Pexl3p functions as a docking site for Pex5p, a mobile receptor for the import of PTS 1-containing proteins. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 97-109.

Elgersma Y, Vos A, van den Berg M, van Roermund CWT, van der Sluijs P, Distel B and Tabak HF. 1996b. Analysis of the carboxyl terminal peroxisomal targeting signal 1 in a homologous context in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 26375-26382.

Elgersma Y, Kwast L, van den Berg M, Snyder WB, Distel B, Subramani S and Tabak HF. 1997. Overexpression of Pexl5p, a phosphorylated peroxisomal integral membrane protein required for peroxisome assembly in *S. cerevisiae*, causes proliferation of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *EMBO J.* 16:7326-7341.

Elgersma Y, Elgersma-Hooisma M, Wenzel T, McCaffrey JM, Farquhar MG and Subramani S. 1998. A mobile PTS2 receptor for peroxisomal protein import in *Pichia pastoris. J. Cell Biol.* 140: 807-820.

Erdmann R and Blobel G. 1995. Giant peroxisomes in oleic acid-induced *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* lacking the peroxisomal membrane protein Pmp27p. *J. Cell Biol.* 128: 509-523.

Erdmann R and Blobel G. 1996. Identification of Pex 13 p, a peroxisomal membrane receptor for the PTSl recognition factor. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 111-121.

Erdmann R, Veenhuis M, Mertens D and Kunau W-H. 1989. Isolation of peroxisomedeficient mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86: 5419-5423.

Erdmann R, Wiebel FF, Flessau A, Rytka J, Beyer A, Frolich K-U and Kunau W-H. 1991. *PASI*, a yeast gene required for peroxisome biogenesis, encodes a member of a novel family of putative ATPases. *Cell* 64: 499-510.

Erdmann R, Veenhuis M and Kunau W-H. 1997. Peroxisomes: organelles at the crossroads. *Trends Cell Biol.* 7: 400-407.

Evers ME, Titorenko VI, van der Klei IJ, Harder A and Veenhuis M. 1994. Assembly of alcohol oxidase in peroxisomes of the yeast *Hansemda polymorpha* requires the cofactor flavin adenine dinucleotide. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 5: 829-837.

Evers ME, Titorenko VI, Harder W, van der Klei IJ and Veenhuis M. 1996. Flavin adenine dinucleotide binding is a crucial step in alcohol oxidase assembly in the yeast *Hansemda polymorpha. Yeast* 12: 917-923.

Faber KN, Heyman JA and Subramani S. 1998. Two AAA family peroxins, PpPexlp and PpPex6p, interact with each other in an ATP-dependent manner and are associated with different subcellular membranous structures distinct from peroxisomes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 936-943.

Faust PL and Hatten ME. 1997. Targeted deletion of the *PEX2* peroxisome assembly gene in mice provides a model for Zellweger sundrome, a human neuronal migration disorder. *J. Cell Biol.* 139: 1293-1305.

Fransen M, Brees C, Baumgart E, Vanhooren JC, Baes M, Mannaerts GP and van Veldhoven PP. 1995. Identification and characterization of the putative human peroxisomal C-terminal targeting signal receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 7731-7736.

Fransen M, Terlecky SR and Subramani S. 1998. Identification of a human PTS 1 receptor docking protein directly required for peroxisomal protein import. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 8087-8092.

Fujiki Y, Hubbard AL, Fowler S and Lazarow PB. 1982. Isolation of intracellular membranes by means of sodium carbonate treatment: application to endoplasmic reticulum. *J. Cell Biol.* 93:97-102.

Fujiki Y, Rachubinski RA and Lazarow PB. 1984. Synthesis of a major integral membrane

polypeptide of rat liver on free polysomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81: 7127-7131.

Gaillard C and Strauss F. 1990. Ethanol precipitation of DNA with linear polyacrylamide as carrier. *Nuc. Acids Res.* 18: 378 .

Gaillardin CM, Charoy V and Heslot H. 1973. A study of copulation, sporulation and meiotic segregation in *Candida lipolytica. Arch. Mikrobiol.* 92:69-83.

Gärtner J, Chen WW, Kelley RI, Mihalik SJ and Moser HW. 1991. The 22-kD peroxisomal integral membrane protein in Zellweger syndrome – presence, abundance and association with a peroxisomal thiolase precursor protein. *Pediatr. Res.* 29:141-146.

Geisbrecht BV, Collins CS, Reuber BE and Gould SJ. 1998. Disruption of a PEX1-PEX6 interaction is the most common cause of the neurologic disorders Zellweger syndrome, neonatal adrenoleukodystrophy, and infantile Refsum disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 8630-8635.

Gietl C. 1990. Glyoxysomal malate dehydrogenase from watermelon is synthesized with an amino-terminal transit peptide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 87: 5773-5777.

Gietl C, Faber KN, van der Klei IJ and Veenhuis M. 1994. Mutational analysis of the Nterminal topogenic signal of watermelon glyoxysomal malate dehydrogenase using the heterologous host *Hansemda polymorpha. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91: 3151-3 155.

Girzalsky W, Rehling P, Stein K, Kipper J, Blank L, Kunau W-H and Erdmann R. 1999. Involvement of Pexl3p in Pexl4p localization and peroxisomal targeting signal 2-dependent protein import into peroxisomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 144: 1151-1162.

Gleeson MA and Sudbery PE. 1988. Genetic analysis in the methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha. Yeast* 4:293-303.

Glover JR, Andrews DW and Rachubinski RA. 1994. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* perox:isomal thiolase is imported as a dimer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91: 10541-10545

Goebl M and Yanagida M. 1991. The TPR snap helix: a novel protein repeat motif from mitosis to transcription. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 16:173-177.

Goodman JM, Trapp SB, Hwang H and Veenhuis M. 1990. Peroxisomes induced in *Candida boidinii* by methanol, oleic acid and D-alanine vary in metabloic function but share common integral mambrane proteins. *J. Cell Sci.* 97:193-204.

Gorgas K. 1984. Peroxisomes in sebaceous glands V. Complex peroxisomes in the mouse preputial gland: serial sectioning and three-dimensional reconstruction studies. *Anat.*

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

*EmbtyoL* 169:261-270.

Gorgas K. 1985. Serial section analysis of mouse hepatic peroxisomes. *Anat. Embryol* 172: 21-32.

Götte K, Girzalsky W, Linkert M, Baumgart E, Kammerer S, Kunau W-H and Erdmann R. 1998. Pexl9p, a famesylated protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 616-628.

Gould SJ, Keller G-A, Hosken N, Wilkinson J and Subramani S. 1989. A conserved tripeptide sorts proteins to peroxisomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 108: 1657-1664.

Gould SJ, Keller G-A, Schneider M, Howell SH, Garrard LJ, Goodman JM, Distel B, Tabak H and Subramani S. 1990a. Peroxisomal protein import is conserved between yeast, plants, insects and mammals. *EMBO J.* 9:85-90.

Gould SJ, Krisans S, Keller G-A and Subramani S. 1990b. Antibodies directed against the peroxisomal targeting signal of firefly luciferase recognize multiple mammalian peroxisomal proteins. *J. Cell Biol.* 110:27-34.

Gould SJ, McCollum D, Spong AP, Heyman JA and Subramani S. 1992. Development of the yeast *Pichia pastoris* as a model organism for a genetic and molecular analysis of peroxisome assembly. *Yeast* 8: 613-628.

Gould SJ, Kalish JE, Morrell JC, Bjorkman J, Urquhart AJ and Crane DI. 1996. Pexl3p is an SH3 protein of the peroxisome membrane and a docking factor for the predominantly cytoplasmic PTSl receptor. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 85-95.

Hanish J and McLelland M. 1988. Activity of DNA modification and restriction enzymes in KGB, a potassium glutamate buffer. *Gene Anal. Techn.* 5:105-107.

Harlow E and Lane D. 1988. Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, pp. 63, 70.

Hauke V, Horst M, Schatz G and Lithgow T. 1996. The Mas20p and Mas70p subunits of the protein import receptor of yeast mitochondria interact via the tetratricopeptide repeat motif in Mas20p: evidence for a single hetero-oligomeric receptor. *EM BOJ.* 15: 1231-1237.

Häusler T, Stierhof Y-D, Wirtz E and Clayton C. 1996. Import of a DHFR hybrid protein into glyoxysomes in vivo is not inhibited by the folate-analogue aminopterin. *J. Cell Biol.* 132: 311-324.

Hettema EH, Distel B and Tabak HF. 1999. Import of proteins into peroxisomes. *Biochim.* 

*Biophys. Acta* 1451: 17-34.

Heyman JA, Monosov E and Subramani S. 1994. Role of the *PAS I* gene of *Pichia pastoris* in peroxisome biogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* 127:1259-1273.

Hijikata M, Ishii N, Kagamiyama H, Osumi T and Hashimoto T. 1987. Structural analysis o f cDNA for rat peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262: 8151-8158.

Hijikata M, Wen J-K, Osumi T and Hashimoto T. 1990. Rat peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase gene. Occurrence of two closely related but differentially regulated genes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 265:4600-4606.

Hohfeld J, Veenhuis M and Kunau W-H. 1991. *PAS3,* a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene encoding a peroxisomal integral membrane protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* 114:1167-1178.

Honsho M, Tamura S, Shimozawa N, Suzuki Y, Kondo N and Fujiki Y. 1998. Mutation in *PEX16* is causal in the peroxisome-deficient Zellweger syndrome complementation group D. *Am. J. Plum. Genet.* 63: 1622-1630.

Huhse B, Rehling P, Albertini M, Blank L, Meller K and Kunau W-H. 1998. Pexl7p of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is a novel peroxin and component of the peroxisomal protein translocation machinery. *J. Cell Biol.* 140: 49-60.

Huynh TV, Young RA and Davis RW. 1985. *In* DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach, Vol. 1. Glover DM, editor. IRL Press, Oxford.

Imanaka T, Small GM and Lazarow PB. 1987. Translocation of acyl-CoA oxidase into peroxisomes requires ATP hydrolysis but not a membrane potential. *J. Cell Biol.* 105: 2915- 2922.

Imanaka T, Shiina Y, Takano T, Hashimoto T and Osumi T. 1996. Insertion of the 70-kDa peroxisomal membrane protein into peroxisomal membranes in vivo and in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:3706-3713.

Innis MA and Gelfand DH. 1990. Optimization of PCRs. *In* PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White TJ, editors. Academic Press Inc., San Diego. 3-12.

James GL, Goldstein JL, Pathak RK, Anderson RGW and Brown MS. 1994. PxF, a prenylated protein of peroxisomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:14182-14190.

Kalish JE, Theda C, Morrell JC, Berg JM and Gould SJ. 1995. Formation of the peroxisome

lumen is abolished by loss of *Pichia pastoris* Pas7p, a zinc-binding integral membrane protein of the peroxisome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:6406-6419.

Kalish JE, Keller G-A, Morrell JC, Mihalik SJ, Smith B, Cregg JM and Gould SJ. 1996. Characterization of a novel component of the peroxisomal import apparatus using fluorescent peroxisomal proteins. *EMBO J.* 15:3275-3285.

Kamiryo T, Abe M, Okazaki K, Kato S and Shimamoto N. 1982. Absence of DNA in peroxisomes of *Candida tropicalis. J. Bacteriol.* 152: 269-274.

Kammerer S, Holzinger A, Welsch U and Roscher AA. 1998. Cloning and characterization of the gene encoding the human peroxisome assembly protein Pex3p. *FEBS Lett.* 429: 53- 60.

Keller G-A, Krisans S, Gould SJ, Sommer JM, Wang CC, Schliebs W, Kunau W, Brody S and Subramani S. 1991. Evolutionary conservation of a microbody targeting signal that targets proteins to peroxisomes, glyoxysomes and glycosomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 114: 893-904.

Kessel RG. 1988. The contribution of the nuclear envelope to eukaryotic cell complexity: architecture and functional roles. *CRC Crit. Rev. Anat. Cell Biol.* 327-423.

Koller A, Snyder WB, Faber KN, Wenzel TJ, Rangell L, Keller GA and Subramani S. 1999. Pex22p of *Pichia pastoris*, essential for peroxisomal matrix protein import, anchors the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, Pex4p, on the peroxisomal membrane. *J. Cell Biol.* 146: 99- 112.

Komori M, Rasmussen SW, Kiel JAKW, Baerends RJS, Cregg JM. van der Klei IJ and Veenhuis M. 1997. The *Hansenula polymorpha PEX14* gene encodes a novel peroxisomal membrane protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis. *EMBO J.* 16: 44-53.

Komori M, Kiel JAKW and Veenhuis M. 1999. The peroxisomal membrane protein Pexl4p *o f Hansenula polymorpha* is phosphorylated in vivo. *FEBS Lett.* 457: 397-399.

Kozak M. 1986. Point mutations define a sequence flanking the AUG initiator codon that modulates translation by eukaryotic ribosomes. *Cell* 44:283-292.

Kragler F, Langeder A, Raupachova J, Binder M and Hartig A. 1993. Two independent peroxisomal targeting signals in catalase A of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol.* 120: 665-673.

Kragler F, Lametschwandtner G, Christmann J, Harting A and Harada JJ. 1998. Identification and analysis of the plant peroxisomal targeting signal 1 receptor NtPEX5. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 13336-13341.

Krause T. 1995. Investigation on membrane proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with the focus on Pas3p. Ph.D. Thessis, Ruhr-Universitat Bochum, Germany.

Kunau W-H and Erdmann R. 1998. Peroxisome biogenesis: Back to the endoplasmic reticulum? *Curr. Biol.* 8: 2\*99-302.

Kunau W-H, Buhne S, de la Garza M, Kionka C, Mateblowski M, Schultz-Borchard U and Thieringer R. 1988. Comparative enzymology of  $\beta$ -oxidation. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 16: 418-420.

Laemmli UK. 1970. Cleawage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 2-27:680-685.

Lamb JR, Tugendreich S and Heiter P. 1995. Tetratrico peptide repeat interactions: to TPR or not to TPR? *Trends Biochem . Sci.* 20: 257-259.

Lametschwandtner G, Brocsard C, Fransen M, van Veldhoven P, Berger J and Hartig A. 1998. The difference in recognition of terminal tripeptides as peroxisome targeting signal 1 between yeast and human is clue to different affinities of their receptor Pex5p to the cognate signal and to residues adjace=nt to it. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273: 33635-33643.

Lazarow PB and Fujiki Y. 1'985. Biogenesis of peroxisomes. *Anmi. Rev. Cell Biol.* 1: 489- 530.

Lazarow PB and Moser HW\_ 1995. Disorders of peroxisome biogenesis. *In* The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS and Valle D. editors. McGraw-Hill, New York. 2287-2324.

Lee MS, Mullen RT and Trellease RN. 1997. Oilseed isocitrate lyases lacking their essential type I peroxisomal targeting signal are piggybacked to glyoxysomes. *Plant Cell* 9: 185-197.

Lepier JM, Oatey PB and Danpure CJ. 1996. Inhibition of alanine: glyoxylate aminotransferase 1 dimeriz-ation is a prerequisite for its peroxisome-to-mitochindrion mistargeting in primary hyperoxaluria type 1. *J. Cell Biol.* 135: 939-951.

Lin Y, Sun L, Nguyen LV, Rachubinski RA and Goodman HM. 1999. The Pex16p homolog SSE1 and storage organelle formation in *Arabidopsis* seeds. *Science* 284: 328-330.

Liu H, Tan X, Veenhuis M, McCollum D and Cregg JM. 1992. An efficient screen for peroxisome-deficient mutants of *Pichia pastoris. J. Bacteriol.* 174: 4943-4951.

Liu H, Tan X, Russell KA, Veenhuis M and Cregg JM. 1995. *PER3,* a gene required for peroxisome biogenesis in *Picdiiapastoris*, encodes a peroxisomal membrane protein involved in protein import. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 10940-10951.

Liu Y, Björkman J, Urquhart A, Wanders RJA, Crane DI and Gould SJ. 1999. *PEX13* is mutated in complementation group 13 of the peroxisome-biogenesis disorders. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 65: 621-634.

Lorenz P, Maier AG, Baumgart E, Erdmann R and Clayton C. 1998. Elongation and clustering of glycosomes in *Trypanosoma brucei* overexpressing the glycosomal Pex11p. *EM BOJ.* 17:3542-3555.

Luck H. 1963. Catalase. *In* Methods of enzymatic analysis. Bergmeyer H-U, editor. Academic Press, New York. 885-888.

Lüers G, Hashimoto T, Fahimi HD and Völkl A. 1993. Biogenesis of peroxisomes: isolation and characterization of two distinct peroxisomal populations from normal and regenerating rat liver. *J. Cell Biol.* 121: 1271-1280.

Maniatis T, Fritsch EF and Sambrook J. 1982. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Mans RJ and Novelli GD. 1961. Measurement of the incorporation of radioactive amino acids into protein by a filter-paper disk method. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 94: 48-53.

Marshall PA, Krimkevich YI, Lark RH, Dyer JM, Veenhuis M and Goodman JM. 1995. Pmp27 promotes peroxisomal proliferation. *J. Cell Biol.* 129: 345-355.

Marzioch M, Erdmann R, Veenhuis M and Kunau W-H. 1994. *PAS7* encodes a novel yeast member of the WD-40 protein family essential for import of 3-oxoacyl-CoA thiolase, a PTS2containing protein, into peroxisomes. *EMBO J.* 13: 4908-4918.

Matsuzono Y, KinoshitaN, Tamura S, ShimozawaN, Hamasaki M Ghaedi K, Wanders RJA Suzuki Y, Kondo N and Fujiki Y. 1999. Human *PEX19:* cDNA cloning by functional complementation, mutation analysis in a patient with Zellweger syndrome, and potential role in peroxisomal membrane assembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 96: 2116-2121.

McCammon MT, McNew JA, Willy PJ and Goodman JM. 1994. An internal region of the peroxisomal membrane protein PMP47 is essential for sorting to peroxisomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 124: 915-925.

McCollum D, Monosov E and Subramani S. 1993. The pas8 mutant of Pichia pastoris exhibits the peroxisomal import deficiencies of Zellweger syndrome cells -- the PAS8 protein binds to the COOH-terminal tripeptide peroxisomal targeting signal, and is a member of the TPR protein family. *J. Cell Biol.* 121:761-774.

McNew JA and Goodman JM. 1994. An oligomeric protein is imported into peroxisomes in vivo. *J. Cell Biol.* 127: 1245-1257.

Moser HW and Moser AB. 1996. Peroxisomal disorders: overview. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 804:427-441.

Motley A, Hettema E , Bistel B and Tabak H. 1994. Differential protein import deficiencies in human peroxisome assembly disorders. *J. Cell Biol.* 125: 755-767.

Motley AM, Lumb MJ, Oatey PB, Jennings PR, de Zoysa PA, Wanders RJA, Tabak HF and Danpure CB. 1995. Mammalian alanine/glyoxylate aminotransferase 1 is imported into peroxisomes via the PTS1 translocation pathway. Increases degeneracy and context specificity of the mammalian PTS1 motif and implications for peroxisome-to-mitochondrion mistargeting of AGT in primary hyperoxaluria type 1. *J. Cell Biol.* 131: 95-109.

Motley AM, Hettema EH, Hogenhout EM, Brites P, ten Asbroek ALMA, Wijburg FA, Baas F, Heijmans HS, Tabak HF, Wanders RJAand Distel B. 1997. Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata is a peroxisomal protein targeting disease caused by a non-functional PTS2 receptor. *Nat. Genet.* 15: 377-380.

Mullen RT, Lee MS, Flynn CR and Trelease RN. 1997. Diverse amino acid residues function within the type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal. Implications for the role of accessory residues upstream of the type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal. *Plant Physiol.,* 115: 881-889.

Needleman RB and Tzagoloff A. 1975. Breakage of yeast: a simple method for processing multiple samples. *Anal. Biochem.* 64: 545-549.

Nunnari J and Walter P. 1996. Regulation of organelle biogenesis. *Cell* 84: 389-394.

Nuttley WM, Bodnar AG, Mangroo D and Rachubinski RA. 1990. Isolation and characterization of membranes from oleic acid-induced peroxisomes of *Candida tropicalis. J. Cell Sci.* 95:463-470.

Nuttley WM, Brade AM, Gaillardin C, Eitzen GA Glover JR Aitchison JD and Rachubinski RA. 1993. Rapid identification and characterization of peroxisome assembly mutants in *Yarrowia lipolytica. Yeast* 9: 507-517.

Nuttley WM, Brade AM, Eitzen GA, Veenhuis M, Aitchison JD, Szilard RK, Glover JR and Rachubinski RA. 1994. *PAY4*, a gene required for peroxisome assembly in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*, encodes a novel member of a family of putative ATPases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:556-566.

Nuttley WM, Szilard RK, Smith JJ, Veenhuis M and Rachubinski RA. 1995. The *PAH2*

gene is required for peroxisome assembly in the methylotrophic yeast *Hansenulapolymorpha* and encodes a member of the tetratricopeptide repeat family of proteins. *Gene* 160: 33-39.

Okumoto K and Fujiki Y. 1997. *PEXI2* encodes an integral membrane protein of peroxisomes. *Nat. Genet.* 17: 265-266.

Okumoto K, Shimozawa N, Kawai A, Tamura S, Tsukamoto T, Osumi T, Moser H, Wanders RJA, Suzuki Y, Kondo N and Fujiki Y. 1998. *PEX12*, the pathogenic gene of group III Zellweger syndrome: cDNA cloning by functional complementation on a CHO cell mutant, patient analysis and characterization of Pexl2p. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 4324-4336.

Olsen LJ and Harada JJ. 1995. Peroxisomes and their assembly in higher plants. *Anmi. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 46: 123-146.

Osumi T and Hashimoto T. 1979. Subcellular distribution of the enzymes of the fatty acyl-CoA P-oxidation system and their induction by di(2-ethylhexyl)phalate in rat liver. *J. Biochem.* 85:131-139.

Osumi T, Tsukamoto T, Hata S, Yokota S, Miura S, Fujiki Y, Hijikata M, Miyazawa S and Hashimoto T. 1991. Amino-terminal presequence of the precursor of peroxisomal 3 ketoacyl-CoA thiolase is a cleavable signal peptide for peroxisomal targeting. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commnn.* 181: 947-954.

Otera H, Okumoto K, Tateishi K, Ikoma Y, Matsuda E, Nishimura M, Tsukamoto T, Osumi T, Ohashi K, Higuchi O and Fujiki Y. 1998. Peroxisome targeting signal type 1 (PTS1) receptor is involved in import of both PTS1 and PTS2: studies with  $PEX5$ -defective CHO cell mutants. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 388-399.

Passreiter M, Anton M, Lay D, Frank R, Harter C, Wieland FT, Gorgas K and Just WW. 1998. Peroxisome biogenesis: involvement of ARF and coatomer. *J. Cell. Biol.* 141:373- 383.

Pause B, Diestelkötter P, Heid H and Just WW. 1997. Cytosolic factors mediate protein insertion into the peroxisomal membrane. *FEBS Lett.* 414: 95-98.

Pool MR, López-Huertas E and Baker A. 1998. Characterization of intermediates in the process of plant peroxisomal import. *EMBOJ.* 17:6854-6862.

Portsteffen H, Beyer A, Becker E, Epplen C, Pawlak A, Kunau W-H and Dodt G. 1997. Human *PEX1* is mutated in complementation group 1 of the peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Nat. Genet.* 17:449-452.

Pringle JR, Adams AEM, Grubin DG, Haarer BK. 1991. Immunofluorescence methods for

yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* 194: 565-602.

Promega Protocols and Applications Guide. 1989/90. 76-80.

Pudue PE and Lazarow PB. 1996. Targeting of human catalase is dependent upon a novel COOH-terminal peroxisomal targeting sequence. *J. Cell Biol.* 134: 849-862.

Purdue PE, Zhang JW, Skoneczny M and Lazarow PB. 1997. Rhizomelic chondrodysplasia punctata is caused by a deficiency of human PEX7, a homologue of the yeast PTS2 receptor. *Nat. Genet.* 15: 381-384.

Purdue PE, Yang X and Lazarow PB. 1998. Pexl8p and Pex21p, a novel pair of related peroxins essential for peroxisomal targeting by the PTS2 pathway. *J. Cell Biol.* 1859-1869.

Rachubinski RA and Subramani S. 1995. How proteins penetrate peroxisomes. *Cell* 83: 525-528.

Rapp S, Soto U and Just WW. 1993. Import of firefly luciferase into peroxisomes of permeabilized Chinese hamster ovary cells: a model system to study peroxisomal protein import in vitro. *Exp. Cell Res.* 205:59-65.

Reddy JK and Chu R. 1996. Peroxisome proliferator-induced pleiotropic responses: pursuit of a phenomenon. Ann. New York Acad. Sci. 804: 176-201.

Rehling P, Marzioch M, Niesen F, Wittke E, Veenhuis M and Kunau W-H. 1996. The import receptor for the peroxisomal targeting signal 2 (PTS2) in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is encoded by the *PAS7* gene. *EMBO J.* 15: 2901-2913.

Reuber BE, Germain-Lee E, Collins CS, Morrell JC, Ameritunga R, Moser HW, Valle D and Gould SJ. 1997. Mutations in *PEXJ* are the most common cause of peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Nat. Genet.* 17: 445-452.

Rose MD, Winston F and Heiter P. 1988. Laboratory Course Manual for Methods in Yeast Genetics, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Saiki RK. 1990. Amplification of genomic DNA. *In* PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications. Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ and White TJ, editors. Academic Press Inc., San Diego. 13-21.

Sakai Y, Marshall PA, Saiganji A, Takabe K, Saiki H, Kato N and Goodman JM. 1995. The *Candida boidinii* peroxisomal membrane protein Pmp30 has a role in peroxisome proliferation and is functionally homologous to Pmp27 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol.* 177:6773-6781.

Sanger F, Niklen S and Coulson AR. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 74: 5463-5467.

Santos MJ, Imanaka T, Shio H, Small GM and Lazarow PB. 1988a. Peroxisomal membrane ghosts in Zellweger syndrome — aberrant organelle assembly. *Science* 239: 1536-1538.

Santos MJ, Imanaka T, Shio H and Lazarow PB. 1988b. Peroxisomal integral membrane proteins in control and Zellweger fibroblasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263: 10502-10509.

Schatz G and Dobberstein B. 1996. Common principles of protein translocation across membranes. *Science 211:* 1519-1526.

Schliebs W, Saidowsky J, Agianian B, Dodt G, Herberg FW and Kunau W-H. 1999. Recombinant human peroxisomal targeting receptor PEX5. Structural basis for interaction of PEX5 with PEX14. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274: 5666-5673.

Schrader M, Reuber BE, Morrell JC, Jimenez-Sanchez G, Obie C, Stroh TA, Valle D, Schroer TA and Gould SJ. 1998. Expression of *PEXIIB* mediates peroxisome proliferation in the absence of extracellular stimuli. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273: 29607-29614.

Schram AW, Strijland A, Hashimoto T, Wanders RJA, Schutgens RBH, van den Bosch H and Tager JM. 1986. Biosynthesis and maturation of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes in fibroblasts in relation to the Zellweger syndrome and infantile Refsum disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83: 6156-6158.

Schumann U, Gietl C and Schmid M. 1999. Sequence analysis of a cDNA encoding Pex7p, a peroxisomal targeting signal 2 receptor from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Accession No. AF130973) (PGR 99-060). *Plant Physiol.* 120:339.

Shimizu N, Itoh R, Flirono Y, Otera H, Ghaedi K, Tateishi K, Tamura S. Okumoto K, Harano T, Mukai S and Fujiki Y. 1999. The peroxin Pexl4p. cDNA cloning by functional complementation on a Chinese hamster ovary cell mutant, characterization, and functional analysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274: 12593-12604.

Shimozawa N, Tsukamoto T, Suzuki Y, Orii T, Shirayoshi Y, Mori T and Fujiki Y. 1992. A human gene responsible for Zellweger syndrome that affects peroxisome assembly. *Science* 255: 1132-1134.

Shimozawa N, Suzuki Y, Zhang Z, Imamura A, Toyama R, Mukai S, Fujiki Y, Tsukamoto T, Osumi T, Orii T, Wanders RJ and Kondo N. 1999. Nonsense and temperature-sensitive mutations in *PEX13* are the cause of complementation group H of peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 8: 1077-1083.

Slot JW and Gueze HJ. 1984. Gold markers for single and double immunolabelling of ultrathin cryosections. *In* Immunolabelling for Electron Microscopy. Polak JM and Vamdell JM, editors. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam. 129-142.

Small GM and Lazarow PB. 1987. Import of the carboxy-terminal portion of acyl-CoA oxidase into peroxisomes of *Candida tropicalis. J. Cell Biol.* 105: 247-250.

Small GM, Szabo LJ and Lazarow PB. 1988. Acyl-CoA oxidase contains two targeting sequences each of which can mediate protein import onto peroxisomes. *EMBO J. 7*: 1167-1173.

Smith JJ, Szilard RK, Marelli M and Rachubinski RA. 1997. The peroxin Pexl7p of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is assocoated peripherally with the peroxisomal membrane and is required for the import of a subset of matrix proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17: 2511-2520.

Snyder WB, Faber KN, Wenzel TJ, Koller A, Lüers GH, Rangell L, Keller GA and Subramani S. 1999. Pexl9p interacts with Pex3p and PexlOp and is essential for peroxisome biogenesis *in Pichia pastoris. Mol. Biol. Cell* 10: 1745-1761.

Soukupova M, Sprenger C, Gorgas K, Kunau W-H and Dodt G. 1999. Identification and characterization of the human peroxin PEX3. *Ear. J. Cell Biol.* 78: 357-374.

Soto U, Pepperkok R, Ansorge W and Just WW. 1993. Import of firefly luciferase into mammalian peroxisomes in vivo requires nucleoside triphosphates. *Exp. Cell Res. 205*: 66- 75.

South ST and Gould SJ. 1999. Peroxisome synthesis in the absence of preexisting peroxisomes. *J. Cell. Biol.* 144: 255-266.

Spong AP and Subramani S. 1993. Cloning and characterization of *PAS5*: a gene required for peroxisome biogenesis in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia patoris. J. Cell Biol.* 123: 535- 548.

Stroobants AK, Hettema EH, van den Berg M and Tabak HF. 1999. Enlargement of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is not necessarily linked to the unfolded protein response via Ire Ip. *FEBS Lett.* 453:210-214.

Subramani S. 1993. Protein import into peroxisomes and biogenesis of the organelle. *Anna. Rev. Cell Biol.* 9: 445-478.

Subramani S. 1998. Components involved in peroxisome import, biogenesis, proliferation, turnover and movement. *Physiol. Rev.* 78:171-188.

Swinkels BW, Gould SJ, Bodnar AG, Rachubinski RA and Subramani S. 1991. A novel, cleavable peroxisomal targeting signal at the amino terminus of the rat 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. *EMBO J.* 10:3255-3262:.

Suzuki Y, Orii T, Takiguchi M, Mori M, Hijikata M and Hashimoto T. 1987. Biosynthesis of membrane polypeptides of rat liver peroxisomes. *J. Biochem.* 101: 491-496.

Szilard RK, Titorenko VT, Veenhuis M and Rachubinski RA. 1995. Pay32p of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is an intraperoxisomal component of the matrix protein translocation machinery. *J. Cell Biol.* 131: 145^3-1469.

Szilard RK and Rachubinski RA. 12000. Tetratricopeptide domain of *Yarrowia lipolytica* Pex5p is essential for recognition  $\alpha$ f the type 1 peroxisomal targeting signal but does not confer full biological activity on Pe:x5p. *Biochem. J.* (in press).

Tabor S and Richardson CC. 1987 . DNA sequence analysis with a modified bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase. *Proc. Natl. M ead Sci. USA* 84: 4767-4771.

Tabor S and Richardson CC. 198'9. Selective inactivation of the exonuclease activity of bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 6447-6458.

Tamura S, Okumoto K, Toyama R, ShimozawaN, Tsukamoto T, Suzuki Y, Osumi T, Kondo N and Fujiki Y. 1998a. Human *PEXI* cloned by functional complmentation on a CHO cell mutant is responsible for peroxiso»me-deficient Zellweger syndrome of complementation group I. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USZA* 95: 4350-4355.

Tamura S, Shimozawa N, Suzuki Y, Tsukamoto T, Osumi T and Fujiki Y. 1998b. A cytoplasmic AAA family peroxin, Pexlp, interacts with Pex6p. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 245: 883-886.

Tan X, Waterham HR, Veenhuis M - and Cregg JM. 1995. The*Hansenulapolymorpha PER8* gene encodes a novel peroxisomal integral membrane protein involved in proliferation. *J. Cell Biol.* 128:307-319.

Terlecky SR Nuttley WM, McCofllum D, Sock E and Subramani S. 1995. The *Pichia pastoris* peroxisomal protein PAS8 $\Box$  is the receptor for the C-terminal tripeptide peroxisomal targeting signal. *EMBO J.* 14:3627-3634.

Titorenko VT and Rachubinski RA. 1998a. The endoplasmic reticulum plays an essential role in peroxisome biogenesis. *Trends Siochem . Sci.* 23: 231-233.

Titorenko VI and Rachubinski RA. 1998b. Mutants of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* defective in protein exit from the endoplasmic reticulum are also defective in peroxisome biogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18: 2789-2803.

Titorenko VI, Eitzen GA and Rachubinksi RA. 1996. Mutations in the *PAYS* gene of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* cause the accumulation of multiple subpopulations of peroxisomes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 20307-20314.

Titorenko VI, Ogrydziak DM and Rachubinski RA. 1997. Four distinct secretory pathways serve protein secretion, cell suface growth, and peroxisome biogenesis in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17: 5210-5226.

Titorenko VT, Smith JJ, Szilard RK and Rachubinksi RA. 1998. Pex20p of the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* is required for the oligomerization of thiolase in the cytosol and for its targeting to the peroxisome. *J. Cell Biol.* 142:403-420.

Tolbert NE. 1981. Metabolic pathways in peroxisomes and glyoxysomes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 50: 133-157.

Towbin H, Staehelin T and Gordon J. 1979. Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: procedure and some applications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76:* 4350-4354.

Tsukamoto T, Miura S and Fujiki Y. 1991. Restoration by a 35K membrane protein of peroxisome assembly in a peroxisome-deficient mammalian cell mutant. *Nature* 350: 77-81

Tsukamoto T, Miura S, Nakai T, Yokota S, Shimozawa N, Suzuki Y, Orii T, Fujiki Y, Sakai F, Bogaki A, Yasumo H and Osumi T. 1995. Peroxisome assembly factor-2, a putative ATPase cloned by functional complementation on a peroxisome-deficient cell mutant. *Nat. Genet.* 11:395-401.

Vance JE and Shiao Y-J. 1996. Intracellular trafficking of phospholipids: import of phosphatidylserine into mitochondria. *Anticancer Res.* 16: 1333-1340.

van den Bosch H, Schutgens RBH, Wanders RJA and Tager JM. 1992. Biochemistry of peroxisomes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 61: 157-197.

van der Klei IJ and Veenhuis M. 1997. Yeast peroxisomes: function and biogenesis of a versatile cell organelle. *Trends Microbiol*. 5:502-509.

van der Klei IJ, Hilbrands RE, Swaving GJ, Waterham HR, Vrieling EG, Titorenko VI, Cregg JM, Harder W and Veenhuis M. 1995. The *Hansenula polymorpha PER3* gene is essential for the import of PTS1 proteins into the peroxisomal matrix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 17229-17236.

van der Klei IJ, Hilbrands RE, Kiel JAKW, Rasmussen SW, Cregg JM and Veenhuis M. 1998. The ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme Pex4p of *Hansenula polymorpha* is required for efficient functioning of the PTS1 import machinery.

van der Leij I, van den Berg M, Boot R, Franse M, Distel B and Tabak HF. 1992. Isolation o f peroxisome assembly mutants from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with different morphologies using a novel positive selection procedure. *J. Cell Biol.* 119: 153-162.

van der Leij I, Franse MM, Elgersma Y, Distel B and Tabak HF. 1993. PAS 10 is a tetratricopeptide-repeat protein that is essential for the import of most matrix proteins into peroxisomes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 11782-11786.

van der Voom L and Ploegh HL. 1992. The WD-40 repeat. *FEBS Lett.* 307:131-134.

van Roermund CWT, Elgersma Y, Singh N, Wanders RJA and Tabak HF. 1995. The membrane of peroxisomes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is impermeable to NAD(H) and acetyl-CoA under *in vivo* conditions. *EMBO J.* 14: 3480-3486.

Veenhuis M and Harder W. 1987. Metabolic significance and biogenesis of microbodies in yeasts. *In* Peroxisomes in Biology and Medicine. Fahimi HD and Sies H, editors. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 436-458.

Voom-Brouwer T, van der Leij I, Hemrika W, Distel B and Tabak HF. 1993. Sequence of the *PAS8* gene, the product of which is essential for biogenesis of peroxisomes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1216: 325-328.

Voos W, Gambill BD, Laloraya S, Ang D, Craig EA and Pfanner N. 1994. Mitochondrial GrpE is present in a complex with hsp70 and preproteins in transit across membranes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14: 6627-6634.

Walton PA, Gould SJ, Feramisco JR and Subramani S. 1992. Transport of microinjected proteins into peroxisomes of mammalian cells: inability of Zellweger cell lines to import proteins with the SKL tripeptide peroxisomal targeting signal. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 12: 53 1-541.

Walton PA, Wendland M, Subramani S, Rachubinski RAand Welch WJ. 1994. Involvement of 70-kd heat-shock proteins in peroxisomal import. *J. Cell Biol.* 125: 1037-1046.

Walton PA, Hill PE and Subramani S. 1995. Import of stably folded proteins into peroxisomes. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 6: 675-683.

Wanders RJA. 1999. Peroxisomal disorders: clinical, biochemical and molecular aspects. *Neurochem. Res.* 24: 565-580.

Wanders RJA, Schutgens RBH and Tager JM. 1985. Peroxisomal matrix enzymes in Zellweger syndrome: activity and subcellular localization in liver. *J. Inher. Metab. Dis.* Suppl. 2, 151-152.

Warren DS, Morrell JC, Moser HW, Valle D and Gould SJ. 1998. Identification of *PEX10*. the gene defective in complementation group 7 of the peroxisome biogenesis disorders. *Am. J. Hum. Gemt.* 63: 347-359.

Warren G and Wickner W. 1996. Organelle inheritance. *Cell* 84: 395-400.

Waterham HR and Cregg JM. 1997. Peroxisome biogenesis. *BioEssays* 19:57-66.

Waterham HR, Titorenko VI, van der Klei IJ, Harder W and Veenhuis M. 1992. Isolation and characterization of peroxisomal protein import (Pirn') mutants of*Hansenula polymorpha. Yeast* 8: 961-972.

Waterham HR, Titorenko VI, Haima P, Cregg JM, Harder W and Veenhuis M. 1994. The *Hansenula polymorpha PERI* gene is essential for peroxisome biogenesis and encodes a peroxisomal matrix protein with both carboxy- and amino-terminal targeting signals. *J. Cell Biol.* 127: 737-749.

Waterham HR, de Vries Y, Russell KA, Xie W, Veenhuis M and Cregg JM. 1996. The *Pichia pastoris PER6* gene product is a peroxisomal integral membrane protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis and has sequence similarity to the Zellweger syndrome protein P AF-1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16: 2527-2536.

Waterham HR, Russel KA, de Vries Y and Cregg JM. 1997. Peroxisomal targeting, import, and assembly of alcohol oxidase in *Pichia patoris. J. Cell Biol.* 139: 1419-1431.

Wendland M and Subramani S. 1993. Cytosol-dependent peroxisomal protein import in a permeabilized cell system. *J. Cell. Biol.* 120: 675-685.

Wiebel FF and Kunau W-H. 1992. The Pas2 protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis is related to ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes. *Nature* 359: 73-76.

Wiemer EAC, Nuttley WM, Bertolaet BL, Li X, Francke U, Wheelock MJ, Anne UK. Johnson KR and Subramani S. 1995. Human peroxisomal tageting signal-1 receptor restores peroxisomal protein import in cells from patients with fatal peroxisomal disorders. *J. Cell Biol.* 130: 51-65.

Wiemer EAC, Lüers GH, Faber KN, Wenzel T, Veenhuis M and Subramani S. 1996. Isolation and characterization of Pas2p, a peroxisomal membrane protein essential for peroxisome biogenesis in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris. J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 18973-18980.

Will GK, Soukupova M, Hong X, Erdmann KS, Kiel JAKW, Dodt G, Kunau W-H and Erdmann R. 1999. Identification and characterization of the human orthologue of yeast Pexl4p. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19: 2265-2277.

Wimmer C, Schmid M, Veenhuis M and Gietl C. 1998. The plant PTS1 receptor: similarities and differences to its human and yeast counterparts. *Plant J.* 16: 453-464.

Yahraus T, Braverman N, Dodt G, Kalish JE, Morrell JC, Moser HW, Valle D and Gould SJ. 1996. The peroxisome biogenesis disorder group 4 gene, *PXAAAI*, encodes a cytoplasmic ATPase required for stability of the PTS1 receptor. *EMBO J.* 15: 2914-2923.

Yamamoto K and Fahimi HD. 1987. Three-dimensional reconstruction of a peroxisomal reticulum in regenerating rat liver: evidence of interconnections between heterogeneous segments. *J. Cell Biol.* 105:713-722.

Zhang B. 1993. Transcriptional regulation of rat peroxisomal enoyl-CoA hydratase/3 hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. Ph.D. Thesis, McMaster University, Hamilton.

Zhang H, Schol R, Browse J and Somerville C. 1988. Double stranded DNA sequencing as a choice for DNA sequencing. *Nnc. Acids Res.* 16: 220.

Zhang JW and Lazarow PB. 1995. *PEB1 (PAST)* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* encodes a hydrophilic, intra-peroxisomal protein that is a member of the WD repeat family and is essential for the import of thiolase into peroxisomes. *J. Cell Biol.* 129: 65-80.

Zhang JW and Lazarow PB. 1996. Peblp (Pas7p) is an intraperoxisomal receptor for the NH2-terminal, type 2, peroxisomal targeting sequence of thiolase: Peblp itself is targeted to peroxisomes by an NH<sub>2</sub>-terminal peptide. *J. Cell Biol.* 132: 325-334.

#### **APPENDIX 1**

## **Alignment of I7Pex5p with some representative members of the Pex5 family**

Y/Pex5p and known or predicted Pex5 proteins (one from each of the major types of organisms, if there are multiple examples) were aligned using the CLUSTAL multiple sequence alignment program in the PC-GENE software package (IntelliGenetics). Abbreviations: YL, *Yarrowia lipolytica*; PP, *Pichiapastoris;* HS, *Homo sapiens* (short form); DM, *Drosophila melanogaster,* TB, *Trypanosoma bmcei\* NT, *Nicotiana tabacnm;* CE, *Caenorhabditis elegans.* For accession numbers, refer to the legend to Figure 4-2. A star indicates that a position in the alignment is perfectly conserved. A dot indicates that a position is well conserved.



 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$




**Consensus length: 7 94 Identity : 45 ( 5.7%) Similarity: 88 ( 11.1%)**

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\Delta \sim 10^{11}$