



**Self-employed nurses as change agents in healthcare:  
Strategies, consequences, and possibilities**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Health Organization and Management</i>
Manuscript ID:	JHOM-03-2013-0049.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Health care, Organizational change, Nursing

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

View Only

### Abstract

*Purpose:* This article reports on ethnographic research that investigated how self-employed nurses perceive the contemporary healthcare field, what attributes they possess that facilitate their roles as change agents, what strategies they use to influence change, and what consequences they face for their actions, thus contributing to what is known about organizational change in institutionalized settings such as healthcare.

*Design:* Focused ethnography was used to explore self-employed nurses' work experiences and elucidate the cultural elements of their social contexts, including customs, ideologies, beliefs, and knowledge and the ways that these impact upon the possibilities for change in the system.

*Findings:* These self-employed nurses reflected on the shortcomings in the healthcare system and took entrepreneurial risks that would allow them to practice nursing according to their professional values. They used a number of strategies to influence change such as capitalizing on opportunities, preparing themselves for innovative work, managing and expanding the scope of nursing practice, and building new ideas on foundational nursing knowledge and experience. They had high job satisfaction and a strong sense of contribution but they faced significant resistance because of their non-traditional approach to nursing practice.

*Value:* Despite dramatic restructuring in the Canadian healthcare system, the system remains physician-centered and hospital-based. Nursing's professional potential has been largely untapped in any change efforts. Self-employed nurses have positioned themselves to deliver care based on nursing values and to promote alternative conceptions of health and healthcare. This study offers a rare exploration of this unique form of nursing practice and its potential to influence health system reform.

1  
2  
3 *Keywords:* Healthcare system, organizational change, institutional theory, institutional  
4  
5 entrepreneur, self-employment, private practice, nursing, strategies.  
6  
7

8 *Paper Type:* Research paper  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only

1  
2  
3 In Canada and other western countries, healthcare has changed dramatically over the last  
4  
5 two decades. While there appears to have been radical organizational change in the field, shifting  
6  
7 from medical dominance toward managerialism (Scott, 2000), healthcare organizations have  
8  
9 reorganized for greater efficiency without actually changing how health and healthcare delivery  
10  
11 are conceptualized. Nurses working within the healthcare system have experienced considerable  
12  
13 work disruption and stress in the name of cost-effectiveness, yet they continue to work in a  
14  
15 system that privileges biomedical technology and physician-driven services and that often fails to  
16  
17 recognize and value nursing's contribution (Campbell, 2000; Chambliss, 1996). Some nurses  
18  
19 have turned to self-employment (independent or private practice) as an alternative to traditional  
20  
21 organizational employment in order to enhance their professional potential. This article reports  
22  
23 on qualitative research that investigated how self-employed nurses perceive the contemporary  
24  
25 healthcare field, what attributes they possess that facilitate their roles as change agents, what  
26  
27 strategies they use to influence change, and what consequences they face for their actions, thus  
28  
29 contributing to what is known about change in institutionalized settings such as the healthcare  
30  
31 system. These nurses have responded uniquely to both physician dominance and managerialism  
32  
33 in healthcare and have sought to promote alternative ideas about health and healthcare. They  
34  
35 demonstrate how specific actors become aware of the need for change and how they work to  
36  
37 achieve it. In analyzing nursing self-employment, neo-institutional theory provides a useful  
38  
39 framework for examining long-established and taken-for-granted aspects of social systems and  
40  
41 the ways in which change can occur. While institutional theory has typically focused on the  
42  
43 structural characteristics of organizational fields and their tendency for isomorphism, there is a  
44  
45 growing line of scholarship on agency and change in institutionalized settings. This article  
46  
47 contributes to this emerging body of research about change agents and change strategies.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Institutional Theory, Change and the Healthcare System

### Institutionalism in Healthcare

Institutions are rules, procedures, norms, and moral templates that structure meaning and guide human action (Hall and Taylor, 1996). They are continually reinforced because stakeholders often cannot even imagine alternatives to the status quo (DiMaggio and Powell, 1991; Meyer and Rowan, 1977). Action is structured according to commonly accepted rules that “constrain the inclination and capacity of actors” and “privilege some groups whose interests are secured by prevailing rewards and sanctions” (DiMaggio and Powell, 1991, p. 11).

Organizations within a field or system, such as healthcare, tend to become similar to each other; they generally incorporate common practices and procedures in order to “increase their legitimacy and their survival prospects” (Meyer and Rowan, 1977, p. 340). Meyer and Rowan (1977, p. 344) note that “many formalized organizational programs are also institutionalized in society” and that “ideologies define the functions appropriate to a business.” Healthcare stakeholders expect, as Meyer and Rowan describe, that hospitals will exist and that they will offer certain programs. For Canadians, this means that publically-funded care for episodic medical situations is seen, no less, as part of the national identity (Armstrong and Armstrong, 2003).

### Institutionalism and Change

In recent years, the beliefs and values of the welfare state, which have been closely connected to the service ideals of health care professionals, have been scrutinized and replaced by a new organizational order based on market values (Carvalho, 2012). For Canadians, this ideological shift resulted in economic rationalism and chaotic organizational restructuring in healthcare beginning in the 1990s (Laschinger *et al.*, 2001; Wynne, 2003). As healthcare

1  
2  
3 restructuring began, costs were said to be out of control, necessitating sweeping changes in order  
4  
5 to preserve the public system. Further, it was suggested that a focus on the social determinants of  
6  
7 health would improve the health of Canadians and ensure the viability of the hospital system  
8  
9 (Armstrong, 1997; Armstrong and Armstrong, 2003; Church and Barker, 1998). Evidently,  
10  
11 however, the main interest has been in reducing spending and balancing budgets (Reay and  
12  
13 Hinings, 2005). Thus, healthcare restructuring focused on hospital expenses, resulting in a  
14  
15 reduction in hospital services, standardization of care, and increased management surveillance  
16  
17 and control. What presently exists is “less of the same or worse” (Armstrong and Armstrong,  
18  
19 2003, p. 87). For nurses, restructuring led to significant job change and heightened work stress  
20  
21 (Aiken *et al.*, 2001; Daiski, 2004; Laschinger *et al.*, 2001; Rankin and Campbell, 2006; Wynne,  
22  
23 2003) but there has been very little uptake of expanded roles for nurses and almost no  
24  
25 enhancement in primary and community care (Armstrong, 1997; Armstrong and Armstrong,  
26  
27 2003). Rather than contributing to innovation in healthcare, nurses have had to comply with the  
28  
29 efficiency agenda, which has undermined their capacity to provide the patient care they judge  
30  
31 appropriate (Rankin and Campbell, 2006). As well, the traditional medical model of care has  
32  
33 given physicians the controlling role and much of the professional and operational power in the  
34  
35 healthcare system (Hinings *et al.*, 2003). Although medical dominance has been challenged by  
36  
37 new business ideologies in healthcare, it has not been eliminated (Reay & Hinings, 2005).  
38  
39 Nursing work continues to occur within a system that displays an inflated regard for biomedical  
40  
41 technology and high visibility services (Campbell, 2000; Chambliss, 1996). The work of nurses,  
42  
43 despite its complexity, is increasingly undervalued, especially when contrasted with the scientific  
44  
45 and expert-task curing of physicians, a situation that is worsened by the efficiency-driven  
46  
47 policies of contemporary healthcare (Bourgeault and Wrede, 2008).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Although the dominant institutional logics remain, healthcare has been destabilized.  
4  
5 While, institutionalized structures are reinforced during stable periods, opportunities arise at  
6  
7 “critical junctures” for certain groups to promote institutional change (Kenny, 2007, p. 92). A  
8  
9 shift in the sociopolitical and economic context resulted in changes to the Canadian healthcare  
10  
11 system, which represented such a critical juncture. Health system restructuring produced  
12  
13 “successive jolts due to rapid departures from the way we have come to expect the healthcare  
14  
15 industry to conduct its business” and created “environmental instability that challenge[d] the  
16  
17 very ingenuity, strengths and expertise that nurses have developed” (Douglass, 1994, p. 4). It  
18  
19 also, however, made it possible for nurses to “create and seize the opportunities that an unfrozen  
20  
21 system affords” (Douglass, 1994, p. 4).  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Organizational actors who can identify organizational failings and propose and justify  
28  
29 solutions have been referred to as “institutional entrepreneurs” (DiMaggio, 1988; Greenwood *et*  
30  
31 *al.*, 2002). Institutional entrepreneurs are able to mobilize resources to create or transform  
32  
33 institutions (Battilana, 2006), using their unique personal characteristics and their capacity to  
34  
35 reflect on institutionalized practices and envision alternatives (Beckert, 1999; Hardy and  
36  
37 Maguire, 2008). Actors within a field have different points of view, different experiences of  
38  
39 struggling to transform the field, and different levels of motivation to promote change (Battilana,  
40  
41 2006). Less dominant actors or marginalized groups at the periphery of a field can be the source  
42  
43 of innovation and change because they have different stakes in relation to the status quo (Hardy  
44  
45 and Maguire, 2008; Kenny, 2007; Scott, 2008b). They can be more aware of alternatives and  
46  
47 more motivated to change than resource-rich central players whose interests are served by  
48  
49 dominant institutional logics (Greenwood and Suddaby, 2006; Scott, 2008b). Nurses, for  
50  
51 example, although highly involved in the central work of hospital care are ironically peripheral  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to it because of the low value placed on their contributions. Some nurses then, perhaps those  
4 most aware of their marginalization, will be less interested in perpetuating institutionalized ideas  
5 and practices than other actors and may possess unique ideas that can influence change in the  
6 healthcare field.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

### 12 Strategies for Change

13  
14  
15 Strategies are actions directed toward the transformation of institutions and fields and the  
16 rules and standards that control them (Lawrence, 1999 in Levy and Scully, 2007). There is a  
17 beginning body of research that explores the strategies used to promote change. Reay and  
18 colleagues (2006) identified a set of microprocesses that employed nurses and their managers  
19 used to legitimate a new nursing role (nurse practitioner) in their facilities. By cultivating  
20 opportunities for change, fitting the new role into prevailing systems, proving the value of the  
21 new role, and celebrating a series of “small wins,” these actors were able to legitimate the  
22 controversial new role. Similarly, Delbridge and Edwards (2008) outlined how strategies for  
23 change such as capitalizing on opportunities and attempting to generate consumer demand for  
24 innovative practices.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 While the term “institutional entrepreneur” has typically referred to organizational actors  
40 who promote change, it might be that entrepreneurship as small business is another strategy for  
41 building, creating, or changing institutions. Those in small enterprises can remain very connected  
42 to an institutionalized field without being organizationally embedded, thus motivating and  
43 positioning them for change. For example, Greener’s (2009) study of independent childcare  
44 providers in England is an example of how entrepreneurial individuals overcame market-based  
45 logics to transform their field into a more professional, relational, and collectively-oriented  
46 endeavor.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Nicholls, Walton and Price described a private physiotherapy clinic that was established for the purpose of “negotiating new territory at the margins of orthodox practice, inventing new diagnostic and treatment techniques, constructing new clinic environments, and resisting many of the constraints previously thought to be insurmountable by the profession” (2009, p. 341). Similarly, Villanueva-Russell (2008) illustrated how chiropractors used “maverick” entrepreneurial means to respond to their marginalization vis-a-vis orthodox medicine. They did so by emphasizing chiropractic’s holistic focus, defending its jurisdictional position, seeking customer validation, privileging experiential knowledge and business acumen, promoting equality between professional and patient, and establishing chiropractic as altruistic, trustworthy, and valuable. Entrepreneurship has also been identified as a way for nurses to use distinct skills and “contribute to making a difference in health outcomes for individuals” (Wilson *et al.*, 2003, p. 242).

There is much to discover about the “microprocesses of these acts of subversion” (Delbridge and Edwards, 2008, p. 301) across different sectors, such as within the highly institutionalized healthcare system. This research contributes to understanding about the people that undertake institutional change efforts, the strategies they use, and the consequences of their actions.

### Study Methods

In this study, focused ethnography was used to explore self-employed nurses’ work experiences. Focused ethnography is a specific form of ethnographic research that deals with a distinct question in a specific context and is useful for conducting social research in fragmented and specialized fields (Knoblauch, 2005; Morse and Richards, 2002; Roper and Shapira, 2000). Participants may not know each other but can still share behaviors, experiences, and a cultural

1  
2  
3 perspective (Morse and Richards, 2002). Methodologically, this study responds to calls for an  
4  
5 increasingly interpretive, critical, and socially-situated approach to research on work and  
6  
7 institutional change (Hinings and Tolbert, 2008; Smith, 2001; Wall, 2010).  
8  
9

10 The setting for this study was a western Canadian province. At the time of the study,  
11  
12 there were 241 independent practice nurses registered with the provincial regulatory association  
13  
14 (Personal Communication, J.M., regulatory association, November 2, 2007). While this number  
15  
16 is growing, it represents a small proportion of the over 29,000 registered nurses (RNs) working  
17  
18 in the province, 79.1% of whom work in front-line positions in healthcare organizations with  
19  
20 65.8% of them in hospitals (Canadian Institute for Health Information, 2010). Self-employed  
21  
22 nurses were sought for their point of view as possible change agents uniquely positioned within  
23  
24 an institutionalized field.  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Recruitment was undertaken through the provincial association of registered nurses in  
30  
31 private practice (ARNPP). A letter outlining the study and asking for volunteer participants was  
32  
33 sent through ARNPP to all association members accessible by e-mail. Nurses were sought who  
34  
35 had been self-employed for at least 18 months. Additional participants were recruited using  
36  
37 snowball sampling. Study data were collected mainly through interviews with 20 self-employed  
38  
39 nurses (19 female, one male). All were mid- to late-career professionals, working in a diverse  
40  
41 range of practice types. Each nurse was asked about their reasons for becoming self-employed,  
42  
43 the barriers and facilitators they encountered, the nature of their daily work, the knowledge and  
44  
45 skills required for their non-traditional practices, reactions and responses from others about their  
46  
47 practices, issues pertaining to professional regulation and policy, sources of professional support  
48  
49 and networking, their level of autonomy and job satisfaction, and the potential they saw in  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 nursing self-employment to effect change in the system. The interviews, which were 60 to 90  
4  
5 minutes long, were audiotaped and transcribed.  
6  
7

8 I took every opportunity to spend time in the field with the nurses, such as viewing their  
9  
10 work spaces and attending meetings and social gatherings of their professional association.  
11  
12 Opportunities for observation were limited, however, because the participants were  
13  
14 geographically dispersed, most worked alone, and some of them had no specific location of  
15  
16 work. Further, my ethics approval did not allow my presence in nurse-patient interactions where  
17  
18 private health information would be divulged. Roper and Shapiro (2000) point out that there is  
19  
20 no general rule about the “right” amount of time to spend in the field. In fact, limited time in the  
21  
22 field can be substituted for by a higher intensity and volume of data, such as the type of data that  
23  
24 arises from in-depth, semi-structured, tape-recorded interviews (Heyl, 2001; Knoblauch, 2005;  
25  
26 Morse & Richards, 2002). Relevant documents such as legislation, regulatory documents,  
27  
28 nursing practice standards, professional position papers, newsletters, and nurses’ business  
29  
30 websites were also reviewed to contextualize the interview data.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 The analysis followed an iterative process of coding, categorizing, and abstracting.  
37  
38 Coding involves the identification of persistent words, phrases, and/or concepts within the data  
39  
40 (Mayan, 2009). I used NVivo 7 qualitative data analysis software to assist with data analysis.  
41  
42 Each transcript was read line-by-line and key words and phrases were coded. These codes were  
43  
44 then sorted and collated to form categories, in which similar excerpts of data are grouped and  
45  
46 labeled (Mayan, 2009). Categories were refined as new data was extracted from each interview.  
47  
48 In ethnography, abstraction involves the creation of linkages among categories, the identification  
49  
50 of themes and patterns, and the development of explanations about the context of the study  
51  
52 (Morse & Richards, 2002). This research revealed rich themes about patterns of behaviour and  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 activities, ideas, beliefs, knowledge, relationships, and the sociopolitical organization of nursing  
4 self-employment, which reveal the very building blocks of culture (Roper & Shapira, 2000).  
5  
6

7  
8 This study was approved by the university research ethics board. In this article, all names  
9 are pseudonyms.  
10

### 11 12 Findings

13  
14  
15 Despite the diversity of the nurses' practice types and the uniqueness of their experiences  
16 and issues, these nurses' impressions were highly cohesive. They raised many of the same issues  
17 and described very similar experiences, which provided assurance of the strength and unity of  
18 their perspectives. Most of them spoke passionately about their roles and the unique perspective  
19 they brought to health care delivery. Hardy and Maguire (2008) note that the accounts of some  
20 institutional entrepreneurs are normative and interest based and can take on an evangelical  
21 quality. The goal of ethnography is to "attempt to describe the culture of a given group as the  
22 individuals in the group see it" (Mayan, 2009, p. 38). As Spradley (1979) said, "I want to know  
23 what you know, in the way that you know it, to walk in your shoes, to feel things as you feel  
24 them, to explain things as you explain them" (p. 34). Thus, the findings reported herein convey  
25 these nurses' perspectives, the passion of their purpose, and the values that are important to  
26 them.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 43 Institutionalism in the System

44  
45  
46 Stemming from massive structural change and the ironic lack of real reform, the nurses in  
47 this study perceived overwhelming and disheartening issues in the healthcare system. Overall,  
48 they had concerns about institutionalized ideas about economics, efficiency, and reactive illness  
49 care, which contributed to a lack of real reform in the system. Sylvia expressed the concern  
50 succinctly, saying, "I have a real sense that something is going very wrong in healthcare." She,  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 like others, had seen how the system had shifted away from a concern for health toward budget  
4 consciousness, and eliminated some important patient care services, although, as Doris said, “of  
5 course, I knew there was still the need for it.” Innovative services that had the potential to  
6  
7 produce change were also cut. Lindsay’s role in community health education was eliminated  
8 because “health promotion and education is easily dropped off the slate” in favor of hospital  
9 services. Steve found that managers lacked respect for his role in health promotion as an  
10 occupational health nurse. He joked that “you could tell where occupational health fit because  
11 we were right next to the morgue in the basement.” Mary-Jane saw services for diabetics  
12 deteriorate, convinced that the system was dealing poorly with the “fat and lazy diabetic  
13 epidemic.”  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Nurses also observed, in their previous employment, a growing lack of care and  
28 compassion within the hospital care system. Carla explained that “the care is falling off the table.  
29 That’s the spirit of nursing. It’s our care. We don’t see our care.” Lean operations and a  
30 continuing focus on medical intervention meant that “only a person’s physical needs are attended  
31 to, not the whole person,” even though patients and families also need “sit-in, hold-your-hand  
32 support” (Nancy). Sylvia said, “I don’t know what’s wrong right now and why it’s deteriorating  
33 and why nursing is so undervalued.” These nurses felt thwarted in their efforts to provide care as  
34 they envisioned it because of the low value placed on the interpersonal aspects of their work.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Many of the nurses described their employment situations as dysfunctional, demeaning,  
47 abusive, excessively demanding, and/or unchallenging. Denise had felt for a long time that  
48 “something seemed to be missing” in her employed nursing roles and that it “was probably the  
49 creativity – thinking of something and being able to do it.” Carla explained that “everything I  
50 believe I am – innovative, professional, problem-solver, empowered – I could not use in the  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 hospital.” Mary-Jane saw that “for nurses in big organizations, it limits your abilities to think  
4  
5 outside the box and think for yourself and to do something unique and to make a difference.” She  
6  
7 believed that “there can be better ways to do things.”  
8  
9

### 10 Characteristics of Self-Employed Nurses

11  
12 These nurses perceived that they were “different” in ways that distinguished them for  
13  
14 innovative practice. They emphasized their need for change and challenge, their entrepreneurial  
15  
16 spirit, and their ability to tolerate risk. Carla was often asked, “You’re not a regular nurse, are  
17  
18 you?” Sylvia saw entrepreneurial nurses as being uniquely situated to be change agents,  
19  
20 observing that “in private practice, we’re risk takers. And so it’s crossed my mind that maybe  
21  
22 that’s where the leadership could come from.” Carla also perceived a special level of “character  
23  
24 development and individuation” and a sense of “awakening” among self-employed nurses,  
25  
26 distinct from nurses in general, noting that “you can’t have a weak heart and pursue private  
27  
28 practice nursing.” Denise explained that she “wasn’t your 50<sup>th</sup> percentile nurse.” Instead, she was  
29  
30 perceived as a “problem nurse,” who was always asking, “Why don’t we do it this way? Why  
31  
32 don’t we change this? Let’s do something different!” when other nurses just wanted to “leave it  
33  
34 the way it is.” Allison also felt “like I don’t have your typical nurse personality” because she was  
35  
36 not afraid of taking a risk.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 Several of the nurses enjoyed change and challenge. Kelly said that she has “always  
44  
45 embraced change or never been afraid of it and I am very optimistic and positive.” Grace said, “I  
46  
47 always like to do different things. I always like challenges.” Denise pointed out that “there are  
48  
49 certain personalities that can have the same body of knowledge but just can’t work in the same  
50  
51 structures.” Others also referred to their “entrepreneurial spirit” as a strong motivator for change  
52  
53 in their work. Generally, these nurses believed that they were less connected and less committed  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to dominant institutional ideologies in healthcare when they compared themselves to nurses in  
4 traditional roles. Consequently, they viewed themselves as risk takers, open to change and  
5 positioned to promote innovation in healthcare delivery.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 Strategies for Change

11  
12 These nurses used several strategies to promote healthcare innovation. Notably, they  
13 deliberately used entrepreneurship (small business) to effect institutional change. In doing so,  
14 they were able to expand the professional jurisdiction of nursing, while calling upon their  
15 existing professional knowledge and standards to adapt nursing for a preferred future.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 Business Entrepreneurship as Institutional Entrepreneurship

23  
24 These nurses used entrepreneurial practice to move to a new practice “space” that freed  
25 them from what they saw as misdirected and narrowly focused healthcare organizations and  
26 allowed them to engage with healthcare practice based on nursing priorities.  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Capitalizing on the enterprise culture. In becoming entrepreneurs, these nurses took advantage of  
32 the destabilized system and the heightened market focus in Canadian society. Many of them saw  
33 self-employment as the only way to structure nursing practice according to their own values,  
34 which were so at odds with the system. While the study nurses believed that the healthcare  
35 system had become overly business focused, some felt that there was an effective *and* ethical  
36 way to capitalize on business perspectives in healthcare delivery. Denise used a polished,  
37 success-oriented style in order to inspire confidence and influence change in her workplace  
38 wellness consulting practice. Kelly, a home care agency owner, used her business-like manner to  
39 establish her credibility and demonstrate her commitment to quality. Wendy felt comfortable in  
40 the private sector because she valued prudence and efficiency, although ethical resource  
41 allocation was very important to her. Lindsay opened her holistic healing practice to meet  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 consumer demand for healthcare alternatives. In response to the economic climate in healthcare,  
4 these nurses seized an opportunity to secure the independence necessary to advance their nursing  
5 values.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Using self-employment to re-establish services. Some of the nurses used entrepreneurship to fill  
11 service gaps left by restructuring. Doris started her foot care practice because there was still a  
12 need for the service even though it had been “one of the first things that went” during earlier  
13 cutbacks. Evelyn was disturbed by a devaluing of the specialized role of wound care nurses; she  
14 started her wound care practice because she “had an area of expertise that other people needed  
15 and that I wanted to make available to them.” Frustrated by the direction that diabetes services  
16 were taking, Mary-Jane pursued a diabetic outreach business. Georgette observed that “there is  
17 no fat in the system to do anything other than just your everyday operations,” which presented an  
18 opportunity for her to establish a venture in planning and project management. In their small  
19 businesses, these nurses were situated to address service gaps and re-establish lost or  
20 disappearing nursing priorities.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 Using entrepreneurship to broaden the meaning of healthcare. Self-employment positioned  
37 several of these nurses to exercise a vision of healthcare that went beyond hospital-centered care.  
38 Lindsay pursued self-employment in alternative therapies because she “knew I wouldn’t be able  
39 to really bring it out in an employee status in a hospital. There’s not an opening for that yet” in  
40 the current health system culture. Denise developed a corporate wellness consulting business so  
41 she could “find a piece of the puzzle that nursing fits into.” Carla used self-employment to go  
42 “over on this other side,” away from “the chemicals and the procedures” to focus on caring and  
43 non-traditional approaches to health. Nancy went “into private nursing practice [in alternative  
44 therapies] because of a belief in prevention and holism,” which she could not practice while an  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 employee. Entrepreneurship positioned these nurses to apply their expertise to a broader  
4  
5 understanding of health.  
6  
7

### 8 Expanding Professional Jurisdiction

9

10 Simply by becoming self-employed, these nurses challenged the traditional,  
11  
12 institutionalized role of the nurse and used their businesses to broaden their professional  
13  
14 potential. Yet, once positioned independently in their small businesses, they also worked to  
15  
16 defend and re-negotiate their jurisdiction or scope of practice. Nancy (alternative therapies)  
17  
18 described the tendency toward traditionalism in nursing roles, highlighting the significant shift  
19  
20 that these self-employed nurses were making regarding their professional scope of practice. She  
21  
22 said, “There seems to be a feeling always that you have to keep up your traditional  
23  
24 [hospital/clinical] nursing skills.” She believed that “nurses need to rethink this” and, indeed,  
25  
26 many of these nurses did.  
27  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 Preparing themselves for a preferred future. These nurses began their ventures by preparing  
33  
34 themselves formally and informally for advanced and non-traditional work beyond the scope of  
35  
36 their original nursing training. Gabby “looked at my nursing magazines” to help her solidify her  
37  
38 ideas and knowledge. Lindsay embarked on “ten years of self-directed study. I just began to pick  
39  
40 up books that were related to mind-body connection.” Later, both of them pursued formal  
41  
42 training in their areas. Sophie, a project manager, took formal courses “so I could learn how to  
43  
44 do better [program] evaluation. It’s a whole new thing to learn.” Others pursued formal training  
45  
46 in areas such as sexual health, counseling, wound care, occupational health nursing, personality  
47  
48 testing, laser hair removal, and project management. Additional training provided these nurses  
49  
50 with skills that are not included in typical nursing education programs and prepared them to  
51  
52 expand into non-traditional practice areas.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Re-claiming health services for nursing. As a way of challenging efficiency focused priorities,  
4 several nurses sought to re-claim work that was previously considered to belong to RNs but had  
5 since been taken on by non-nurses. Nurses in administrative practices saw a difference between  
6 what a nurse could offer to healthcare planning and management as compared to a business-  
7 trained non-nurse. Sophie, a project manager, explained that, “I’m sure there are people who are  
8 not nurses who could do that kind of work as well but I’ve always worked in the healthcare  
9 system so it’s helpful to have that.” Diana, in a similar practice, said “the fact that I’m a nurse is  
10 extra value added.” Sophie explained this added value by saying, “I don’t think I could do [this]  
11 and not be a nurse. You’ve got to have some understanding of how things work. Otherwise you  
12 can’t identify the questions and can’t determine users or methodology.” Georgette, who also did  
13 planning and project management, argued that, while non-nurses might be good supports for a  
14 project, a nurse can contribute much more richly to a project because “you know where all the  
15 typical resources are... The face that meets with the medical team needs to have a medical  
16 background.” Sylvia was shocked that the public and organized nursing had barely reacted to the  
17 declining representation of nurses in system administration and governance. She exclaimed that  
18 “if they hired you and me to build a bridge, people would be up in arms. What do they know?  
19 It’s going to fall down – of course it will and it has.”

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 Nurses in direct patient care practices also undertook reclamation strategies. Gabby  
45 explained that one of her clients had recently hired a Licensed Practical Nurse (LPN), a nurse  
46 with less training than Gabby but doing similar work. To Gabby, the “healthcare system is such a  
47 mess” because of its reliance on lesser trained workers. She believed that an LPN “doesn’t know  
48 the right thing to do because she hasn’t been trained that way.” Gabby also noted that “they’re  
49 doing foot care in all the hairdressing establishments” but explained that she sees people a week  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 after a pedicure, which costs more than her services, only to have to correct a poor quality job.  
4  
5 She wanted to re-claim foot care services for registered nurses, re-establishing an appropriate  
6  
7 level of care quality. Doris, another foot care nurse, said that what “concerns me about people  
8  
9 who do pedicures is how they’re cleaning their instruments, their infection control procedures.”  
10  
11 As well, she commented on how she was able to assess a client’s other medical needs and  
12  
13 provide health teaching while doing foot care, leaving a client feeling like they’ve “had a  
14  
15 pedicure although it’s from a nursing point of view.” By re-claiming services that were once  
16  
17 done by RNs, these nurses defended the scope of nursing practice within a system that sought to  
18  
19 erode it.  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Expanding the territory of nursing. These nurses also influenced change by expanding into  
25  
26 jurisdictional territory usually associated with other health professionals or even lay providers.  
27  
28 Many of them acknowledged that their roles could be performed by non-nurses but they believed  
29  
30 strongly in the value that nursing knowledge brought to their work. For the foot care nurses,  
31  
32 expanding nursing’s jurisdiction meant not only re-claiming foot care from lower skilled workers  
33  
34 but also moving outward into the territory of podiatry. Both of them saw how a nursing  
35  
36 viewpoint could improve on the medically-oriented worldview because of the time, attention,  
37  
38 accessibility, and quality that nurses offered as opposed to the “podiatrist who had his office in  
39  
40 the doctors’ office building and he racks them in there one every seven minutes” (Gabby) or  
41  
42 “see[s] five or six in the hour I’m talking to [one]” (Doris). Similarly, Allison’s area of practice,  
43  
44 laser hair removal, was typically associated with estheticians or dermatologists but she was  
45  
46 certain that “absolutely this is nursing.” She was confident that her business was doing so well  
47  
48 because it was based on nursing. She noted that “there’s a huge difference between going to an  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 esthetician for a service and going to a nurse,” particularly because of nurses’ interpersonal  
4  
5 skills.  
6  
7

8 Carla, a leader among private practice nurses, explained that new provincial health  
9  
10 professions legislation provided a framework for thinking about the scope of nursing practice:  
11 “So are we supporting health, are we educating, are we coaching, are we counseling, are we  
12  
13 restoring, are we health teaching?” Steve, an occupational health nurse, explained that he had  
14  
15 moved beyond “those straightforward skill things” that characterize traditional nursing practice  
16  
17 because he was “expanding the role of nursing into different areas.” Although some “may not see  
18  
19 that as something only a registered nurse could do,” he offered healthy lifestyle teaching and  
20  
21 gave lectures to work groups about occupational hazards. Mary-Jane “saw the element of  
22  
23 nursing” in her nurse practitioner practice, even though she was doing work traditionally  
24  
25 associated with physicians. Denise used her mental health nursing background to begin working  
26  
27 with families in crisis. She overlapped with social work practice in doing so but aimed to  
28  
29 promote family well-being beyond the usual motivation of “let’s keep the social workers quiet.”  
30  
31 Paula believed that she offered an “incredible perspective” on “what nursing can do and what  
32  
33 nursing is about” as she investigated quality of care complaints, even though her practice blurred  
34  
35 the boundaries between her two professional designations in nursing and psychology. Many of  
36  
37 the nurses emphasized the potential in an expanded scope of practice for nursing.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

#### 45 Building on the Familiar

46  
47 Although each of the nurses had moved away from traditional practice, the ability to  
48  
49 create a successful nursing business and seek jurisdictional expansion was highly dependent on  
50  
51 using transferrable nursing knowledge. As Diana concluded, “some of it comes back to knowing  
52  
53 how to do things because I’ve known them from being a nurse.” They also remained connected  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to formal professional standards in order to enhance the legitimacy and accountability of their  
4  
5 practices.  
6

7  
8 Applying transferrable nursing knowledge to new work. Even in new areas of independent  
9  
10 practice, these nurses relied on foundational nursing knowledge. Diana pointed out that “I think  
11  
12 you always have kind of that nursing lens on things.” She described the transferability of the  
13  
14 nursing process, a ubiquitous, systematized approach to nursing practice, which channels the use  
15  
16 of nursing knowledge by “assessing the problem, diagnosing what the problem is, deciding on  
17  
18 some actions that you want to take and evaluating it.” She has found that it “works in project  
19  
20 management.” Nancy also explained how she uses the nursing process to perform a holistic  
21  
22 assessment and create a care plan using alternative therapies such as Reiki or therapeutic touch.  
23  
24 Likewise, Carla has taken the nursing process into her administrative consulting work along with  
25  
26 the skills she honed in hospital practice. She sees her practice as “what I do now through my  
27  
28 education from before. All those foundational nursing pieces are what provide the continuity in  
29  
30 the face of the diversity of what I do.”  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36  
37 These nurses also found that communication and relational skills learned through their  
38  
39 nursing education and experience supported their innovative work. Denise imported  
40  
41 interpersonal skills from her mental health background and “turned that into how to be  
42  
43 motivational.” Allison found that nurses’ caring orientation, communication skills, and comfort  
44  
45 with people’s bodies enabled her to define laser hair removal as a health service. As Lindsay  
46  
47 observed, “nurses already have nurse-client relationships...so they’re well on their way with  
48  
49 this.” In her view, the groundwork necessary for successful innovation is already laid in nurses’  
50  
51 work backgrounds. She saw a good fit for nurses and alternative therapies: “They already know  
52  
53 that energy works, that touch works.” In Sheila’s work as an outplacement counselor, she often  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 spoke to people who were devastated about being laid off. Thinking about these interactions, she  
4  
5 asked, “What skills do I draw on? Well, it’s the skills that I learned in nursing on how to be  
6  
7 compassionate, how to talk to them, how to draw them out and understand where they’re coming  
8  
9 from.” Many of the nurses had additional specialized training for their entrepreneurial practices  
10  
11 but they took their prior nursing knowledge with them, using it as a springboard into their non-  
12  
13 traditional work.  
14  
15

16  
17 Attending to professional standards. These nurses saw it as important to base their innovation on  
18  
19 solid professional standards, including those related to quality, ethics, and knowledge use.  
20  
21 Unique practice types and isolated work necessitated adherence to established standards in order  
22  
23 to ensure safe, high quality services. Carla pointed out that, while hospital-based nurses access  
24  
25 written policies and standards very infrequently, self-employed nurses “write our own policies  
26  
27 and procedures that have to align with the [formal] standards of practice. We just can’t fake them  
28  
29 and we don’t.” Noting that “only private practice nurses do this,” she “went through every  
30  
31 single [nursing] practice standard and asked myself this question: How does my service meet or  
32  
33 not meet or coincide or interact with this particular standard?” Lindsay also believed that she had  
34  
35 higher standards than an organizationally employed nurse because “I am the one responsible for  
36  
37 them.” Evelyn noted that, as one nurse working alone, she might not have a formal policy  
38  
39 manual but if “you’ve practiced in accordance with industry standards and you keep abreast of  
40  
41 what’s going on in the system in terms of infection control standards, what you should be doing  
42  
43 in this kind of a situation vs. this kind of a situation” then she has the necessary guidance. Sylvia  
44  
45 was also aware of the need to understand standards of care because “when I’m investigating  
46  
47 complaints against nurses, I have to know what the standard is. You have to know what a  
48  
49 normal, prudent nurse would do.”  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Many nurses also mentioned the importance of adhering to ethical principles. Gabby  
4 explained that “I’m doing everything the best way that I can according to our code of ethics,  
5 according to the nursing practice standards, according to infection prevention and control  
6 standards.” As a facilities manager, Wendy was attentive to organizational efficiency and  
7 effectiveness but also wanted things to make sense from a quality of care perspective. She  
8 explained that “ethical things have gone into the practice and that goes back to being trained as a  
9 nurse and having some values and ethics.”  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 Part of ensuring competence in their practices included knowing how to find evidence to  
21 inform safe practice. Carla knew her own “competencies as a nurse” and “what I need to bring  
22 in.” She explained that she was “not a know-it-all. I’m going to find sources for them [clients].”  
23  
24 Georgette said, ‘I don’t always know what they’re [project teams] talking about but I know  
25 where to go to find out...where to go and look up drugs, where to go and look up standards, what  
26 medical standards are, what journals are well-recognized in each profession.” In short,  
27 professional standards of quality, ethics, and competence gave these nurses a foundation upon  
28 which to build a safe and credible practice.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 In sum, these nurses used a variety of strategies in their efforts to create change in the  
40 healthcare system. They took advantage of market changes to establish their nursing practices as  
41 business, positioning themselves to redirect and open up the priorities of the system. Once they  
42 were independently positioned to influence the system, they developed their knowledge bases so  
43 that they would be able to re-claim and expand their profession jurisdiction. Yet, even though  
44 they moved into unconventional nursing practice, they built their businesses on a foundation of  
45 nursing knowledge and experience and remained connected to formal professional accountability  
46 structures to ensure legitimacy.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### Consequences

Although there were some positive outcomes to these nurses' change efforts, their actions did result in negative consequences, mainly resistance from others, including the public, other health professionals, and the nursing regulatory association.

### Public Understandings

Public responses to nurses in private practice highlighted institutionalized ideas about healthcare and nursing roles. Denise observed that "it's a very funny concept that society has of nursing. It's perhaps not as empowering as I would like." In her experience, the public could not see the connection between nursing and her current work in workplace wellness. Carla was also often asked questions that reflect "the usual perception of nursing," such as, "What hospital do you work at?"

Public perception about these nurses' innovative approaches to practice was, in part, a result of the problematic link between nursing and business, especially for nurses who worked directly with individual clients. Carla thought some people saw private nursing practice as "evil" because of a perception of greediness. Gabby often had to justify her earnings to her clients. For Mary-Jane, a nurse practitioner, the need to charge her clients directly led to questions about her ethics. Yet, a lack of insurance coverage for these nurses' services (which is available for most other health professionals) reflected society's limited conception of the roles and potential contributions of nurses. While they capitalized on the opportunities presented by the contemporary economic climate, they wanted to see nursing brought into the established system of reimbursement, which would make their unique services accessible to the public without financial barriers.



### Healthcare Professionals' Responses

Other professionals also responded negatively to entrepreneurial nursing. Paula, who had a counseling practice, was told by one psychologist that “I think you’re just taking over.” A podiatrist described Gabby’s foot care practice as a “pretty nice racket for her.” Nancy, in her alternative therapies practice, saw “a fear of spiritual healing being out in the open” and observed that “some physicians are on board but there is still a lot of resistance and they feel threatened.” Steve explained that “in acute care, it’s very structured, very formal. This is the job of a nurse... When you move away from that and there are other professions involved those boundaries are less clear,” although, as Denise said, “we’re not here to take your jobs away. We’re so complementary to other disciplines.”

### Regulatory Issues

Regulatory (licensing) issues were perhaps the most significant issues facing these private practice nurses. RNs in Canada are regulated by legislatively empowered provincial colleges. Self-employed nurses in this province must apply for approval of their practices using a lengthy, narrative style form, in addition to the relatively streamlined annual renewal form submitted by all RNs. This approval recognizes their practices as *nursing* practices, allowing them to count their working hours toward the annual renewal of their professional licenses. Almost all of these nurses had difficult experiences with the regulatory association. Denise expressed a common sentiment when she said she has “cried more in my career as a private nurse about [regulatory issues] and being afraid of being kicked out [of nursing].” For most participants, concerns with professional regulation related to delays in obtaining approval, excessive surveillance, and inconsistent decisions about what constitutes nursing practice.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Several nurses described the application process as “grueling” (Paula) and likened it to “writing a thesis because you need an evidence base to support your practice” (Nancy). Several of the nurses waited for many months or more than a year for a decision on their applications. They attributed these delays, in part, to a lack of knowledge on the part of regulatory staff of how to evaluate independent nursing practice and a lack of respect for these nurses’ need for formal approval. This prompted a feeling of paranoia and threatened their ongoing qualification to practice. Mary-Jane lost her nurse practitioner license while she waited, while Paula was unable to count hundreds of hours that she worked while her application was being processed.

Many of these nurses felt subject to excessive regulatory surveillance. Kelly (home care agency owner) asked, “Why do I have to justify myself when no other nurse does? I know a lot of nurses and their practice isn’t necessarily scrutinized like this is.” Often regarded as a privilege of professional self-governance, peer review was seen by several of these nurses as a rigid surveillance tool that did not fit well with their modes of practice. As Evelyn expressed, “there [is] far more rigor in looking at my independent practice than there [is] in my [concurrent] paid employment.” For example, the regulatory association expected her to have a formal policy and procedure manual and a risk management program, which Evelyn felt was “too much infrastructure for a one-nurse operation.” Even though the nurses respected the regulatory association’s responsibility for public safety, they felt unduly policed by their own profession.

They also felt disheartened by the apparently narrow, institutionalized definition of nursing under which the regulatory association functioned. Many nurses had difficulty having their practices recognized. For example, Steve, an occupational health nurse, had been unable to secure approval for his practice. He was told “that occupational health nursing was not in the

1  
2  
3 scope of practice of a nurse,” although occupational health nursing is a recognized specialty of  
4  
5 the (non-regulatory) Canadian Nurses’ Association. He said:  
6  
7

8 I can’t call myself a nurse because my association doesn’t understand what I do. We’re  
9  
10 trying to expand our role and educate people about registered nurses and here’s a prime  
11  
12 opportunity to show what a nurse can do and yet we don’t get support from our own  
13  
14 organization.  
15  
16

17 Although they envisioned an alternative approach to healthcare, these nurses encountered  
18  
19 many instances of resistance to their innovative efforts because of regulatory conservatism and  
20  
21 institutionalized ideas about healthcare delivery.  
22  
23

#### 24 Possibilities for Change

25  
26 In spite of the difficulties these nurses had, they spoke passionately about the possibilities  
27  
28 flowing from their innovative nursing businesses. Generally, a high demand for their services  
29  
30 resulted in personally satisfying opportunities for each of them to bring a caring, holistic, and  
31  
32 complementary perspective to healthcare delivery.  
33  
34  
35

36 Denise was a successful nurse entrepreneur, starting out in family crisis management and  
37  
38 moving into corporate wellness. She found that “nursing has made this business for me...  
39  
40 because nursing is so respected. It’s so well known. It’s not threatening. It’s always about  
41  
42 helping, not taking.” Allison, in her laser hair removal clinic, was surprised by “how much I  
43  
44 could sell the nursing within the business” because people are looking for care and nurturing that  
45  
46 they do not find elsewhere. Almost all of these nurses had busy practices. Gabby is “100 percent  
47  
48 busy right now” and Denise has a waiting list for clients, taking about 30% of her referrals.  
49  
50  
51 Sylvia said, “There’s more work than you could ever possibly do.” Whether working in direct  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 patient care or administrative roles, there was strong uptake of their services among segments of  
4  
5 the population.  
6

7  
8 Job satisfaction for these nurses resulted from the opportunity that self-employment  
9  
10 afforded them to create unique and meaningful nursing roles. Paula explained that she “was in a  
11  
12 unique position to make a difference,” a perspective echoed by Sheila who believed that “the  
13  
14 work that I’m doing makes a difference to people in the world.” Similarly, Evelyn derived her  
15  
16 satisfaction “from seeing the results with the clients. I see how appreciative the clients are that  
17  
18 I’m able to improve their quality of life and improve their health status.”  
19

20  
21  
22 In contrast to the institutionalized illness intervention model, Lindsay recognized her  
23  
24 clients’ own needs for self-healing and described nursing as being “very much about getting  
25  
26 people off on their own and being well.” Many saw private nursing practice as a key vehicle for  
27  
28 health system renewal. Carla foresaw that the self-employed nurse would be “the nurse that can  
29  
30 fill a niche where the heavily controlled, institutional [hospital] care can’t meet the need for the  
31  
32 client.” Lindsay stated that “nurses are the key people in the whole realm of bringing allopathic  
33  
34 and complementary medicine together.” She believed that nurses could “start to think about how  
35  
36 we’re failing from the traditional point of view [and] how we could add to it.” Nancy, also in  
37  
38 alternative therapies, saw an “important shift from the traditional perspective” coming, one that  
39  
40 utilized holistic healing and viewed patients as partners in their own care. As Paula explained,  
41  
42 nurses “have a capacity to see the whole picture and to understand what others are doing in this  
43  
44 whole picture.” Self-employed nurses in both clinical and administrative roles valued nursing’s  
45  
46 caring and holistic focus and wanted to infuse healthcare delivery with this perspective.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52  
53 Others saw private practice nurses as the leaders and innovators of nursing, the “people  
54  
55 who take the initiative to pave the way that changes the future” (Mary-Jane) or the ones who  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 “innovate health” (Carla). Yet, many agreed that independent practice in nursing was “in a little  
4 boat on a very, very tumultuous sea. Some days the water’s calm and we’re very blessed and  
5 then other days the storm’s there again. And our destination is unknown” (Carla). Mary-Jane  
6 realized that “change is hard. To be the first is hard.” Despite a strong belief in the possibilities  
7 inherent in independent nursing practice, this group’s challenge to the status quo resulted in there  
8 being many barriers to overcome before their vision could be realized.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 Discussion and Conclusions

18  
19 Self-employment was, for the nurses in this study, an active and innovative response to  
20 institutionalism in healthcare. Through this empirical example, it is possible to see how  
21 entrepreneurship, as self-employment in a business of one’s own making, can itself be a strategy  
22 for change within an orthodox field (Greener, 2009; Nicholls *et al.*, 2009; Villanueva-Russell,  
23 2008). Self-employment enabled these nurses to distance themselves, materially and  
24 ideologically, from the established practices, routines, expectations, and norms that typify  
25 institutionalism in the field (Hall and Taylor, 1996). This enabled “boundary bridging,” which  
26 exposed them to alternative practices and ideas (Hardy and Maguire, 2008). These nurses  
27 demonstrated that, by responding strategically to changes in the context of nursing practice,  
28 nurses can play an important role in the diffusion of innovative ideas about healthcare (Tamlyn  
29 and Reilly, 2003). They also exemplified how the “emergence of new professional identities is  
30 an important part of changing healthcare delivery” (Hinings *et al.*, 2003, p. S25). They became  
31 strong defenders of independent nursing practice because of its potential to extend the range of  
32 health services available and lead to new conceptualizations about health. As Fenwick (2002)  
33 also found, some enterprises are less about individual success than they are about opening up a  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 space to resist oppressive structures and discourses and define new goals that contribute to  
4  
5  
6 change.

7  
8 As others have described (Delbridge and Edwards, 2008; DiMaggio, 1988; Reay *et al.*,  
9  
10 2006), the nurses in this study used a diverse set of strategies or microprocesses in establishing  
11  
12 their roles as change agents. Collectively, they advocated for independent nursing practice by  
13  
14 adhering to established professional standards and codes of ethics, while also adapting them and  
15  
16 creating new ones for their unique applications (Hwang and Powell, 2005). Each of them took  
17  
18 advantage of the opportunities set before them by taking up self-employment. They responded to  
19  
20 instability and deteriorating conditions in the illness care system and capitalized on the climate of  
21  
22 entrepreneurialism in order to challenge the status quo. While all of them made radical  
23  
24 departures from traditional nursing practice arrangements by becoming self-employed, some of  
25  
26 them remained connected more closely to prevailing structures than others, in order to secure  
27  
28 their situations. For example, several nurses worked in project management, aligning with large  
29  
30 healthcare organizations and conforming to the organizational priorities and patterns, while  
31  
32 subtly infusing organizational planning with a nursing perspective. Some nurses performed  
33  
34 conventional work, such as foot and wound care, providing the direct patient care that is  
35  
36 typically associated with nursing. Although some of the nurses worked at the margins of nursing  
37  
38 practice, such as laser hair removal, holistic healing, and corporate wellness consulting, they  
39  
40 found success because they responded to consumers' health needs, found a niche in the system  
41  
42 that complemented other aspects of it, and demonstrated the value of their sometimes  
43  
44 controversial roles (Delbridge and Edwards, 2008; DiMaggio, 1988; Reay *et al.*, 2006). All of  
45  
46 the nurses were able to articulate the value of their roles and the success of their businesses was  
47  
48 evidence of the worth and importance of their work.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

These nurses appeared to possess unique characteristics that empowered them to be change agents. They felt at odds with the organizational healthcare environment and the traditional perspectives of many of their employed nursing colleagues. Most “nurses have acquiesced to this punishing system” (Sullivan, 2002, p. 183) and have been “sublimely unaware of most of [the] flaws” in the system (Carter, 2007, p. 270), tending instead to appropriate for themselves the discourses and logics of managerialism (Carvalho, 2012). In contrast, these self-employed nurses opted for bolder strategic responses to institutionalism, challenging institutional norms and expectations and those that sought to enforce them (Oliver, 1991). Although individuals, including entrepreneurs, are shaped by contextual forces, there are special types of individuals that make change and entrepreneurship possible (Thornton, 1999). Breaking with custom and convention requires not only new types and applications of knowledge but also a psychological ability to step outside customary ways of thinking and withstand the consequences of ground-breaking action (Biggart and Beamish, 2003 in Delbridge and Edwards, 2008). These nurses demonstrated a strong sense of disconnection from the status quo, a high tolerance for risk, and tenacity and fortitude in the face of significant opposition to their efforts.

Where entrepreneurship challenges convention, entrepreneurs can be labelled as “transgressive” (Fenwick, 2002). Indeed, these nurses’ actions were misaligned with institutionalized ideas about healthcare, which prompted opposition from members of the public and many within the healthcare field. These nurses took on roles that were outside the general public’s frame of reference and challenged the established moral scripts within healthcare (Hall and Taylor, 1996). Because they were caught between new modes of professional practice and a lack of insurance coverage for nursing services, their motives were seen as suspicious.

Professional work is usually associated with a collective orientation, an attitude of service, and a

1  
2  
3 system of ethics. Conversely, entrepreneurship can be viewed as self-interested, profit-motivated,  
4  
5 and opportunistic (Villanueva-Russell, 2008). While it may appear that professionalism and  
6  
7 entrepreneurship are ethically incompatible, these nurses provided a fascinating example of  
8  
9 balance between nurses as caring professionals and nurses as business owners; they were able to  
10  
11 create viable *and* ethical businesses, although they shared the public's concern about the lack of  
12  
13 insurance coverage. It may be that professionalism and entrepreneurship offer two approaches to  
14  
15 a shared end, equally focused on success, achievement, and innovation (Dingwall, 2008;  
16  
17 Villanueva-Russell, 2008). Goodrick and Reay (2011) demonstrate how multiple, apparently  
18  
19 competitive logics, such as professionalism and market logics, can co-exist and simultaneously  
20  
21 influence professional work. In these nurses' local situations, they have effectively demonstrated  
22  
23 this to their existing clients and may be able to demonstrate this more widely as private practice  
24  
25 nursing develops.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31  
32 It may be that "any innovation that challenges the conventional wisdom of a profession's  
33  
34 practice will attract criticism" and the greatest critics may come from within the profession itself,  
35  
36 where scepticism toward pioneers is high (Nicholls *et al.*, 2009, p. 351, 352). This was certainly  
37  
38 the experience of these self-employed nurses, who were heavily challenged by their own  
39  
40 professional regulatory association. Regulatory elements, in their emphasis on rule-setting,  
41  
42 monitoring, and sanctioning, play an important role in sustaining institutionalized norms and  
43  
44 behaviors (Scott, 2008a). Professional associations are generally agents of reproduction rather  
45  
46 than change, perpetuating convention through their regulatory routines (Greenwood *et al.*, 2002).  
47  
48 Nursing regulatory associations are keenly aware that professional self-regulation is a privilege  
49  
50 rather than a right (Brunke, 2003) and tend to emphasize protection of the public more than self-  
51  
52 interest in the advancement of the profession (Risk, 1992). Consequently, they are conservative  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 in dealing with change and often act to placate and accommodate institutional elements (Oliver,  
4 1991). This is in contrast to the overt and purposeful strategies used by self-employed nurses,  
5 through which rules, norms, and values are challenged and re-shaped (Oliver, 1991).  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Conservative regulatory practices and nursing self-employment are threats to each other. Going  
11 forward, these nurses will have to find creative and constructive ways of appealing to their  
12 regulatory body.  
13  
14

15  
16  
17 The process of institutional change is, in reality, messy, non-linear, and iterative  
18 (Delbridge and Edwards, 2008), making it difficult to determine what progress has been made  
19 toward a desired goal. This is theoretically interesting because research tends to focus on  
20 successful institutional entrepreneurship whereas it is equally vital to consider the resistance that  
21 change strategies attract and the struggles, losses, and failures that arise (Hardy and Maguire,  
22 2008). Actor-centered accounts of institutional entrepreneurship emphasize the skills that  
23 particular change agents employ for strategic problem solving (Hardy and Maguire, 2008). While  
24 this study does illustrate the unique attributes and actions of self-employed nurses, it also  
25 presents a concurrent process-centric narrative of the difficulties, negotiations, power relations,  
26 and limits to change inherent in these nurses efforts (Hardy and Maguire, 2008).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Self-employed nurses have begun to make an impression within the healthcare system  
42 and have made an important statement about the potential of professional nursing practice to  
43 prompt meaningful healthcare reform (institutional change). It is not necessary to have achieved  
44 the widespread transformation of a highly institutionalized field in order to “qualify” as an  
45 institutional entrepreneur (Battilana, 2006). It is enough to have taken up the challenge. These  
46 nurses have already been able to demonstrate their value in their local circumstances, which is  
47 where change within institutionalized settings often arises, eventually moving upward from  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 lower levels in the hierarchy (Delbridge and Edwards, 2008). Nursing entrepreneurship is  
4  
5 growing exponentially on a global scale (International Council of Nurses, 2004). Whether self-  
6  
7 employed nurses will see success and change beyond what they have begun will depend on their  
8  
9 ability to act collectively, devise new strategies and processes to challenge tradition, secure small  
10  
11 and significant wins, and articulate the ways in which self-employed nursing can fit into a  
12  
13 comprehensive plan to improve healthcare. This is an intriguing group of entrepreneurs, uniquely  
14  
15 positioned to alter institutionalized understandings in healthcare; it will be fascinating to watch  
16  
17 their story unfold.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### References

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 Aiken, L.H., Clarke, S.P., Sloane, D.M. and Sochalski, J.A. (2001), "An international perspective  
7  
8 on hospital nurses' work environments: The case for reform", *Policy, Politics, & Nursing*  
9  
10 *Practice*, Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 255-263.
- 11  
12 Armstrong, P. (1997), "Privatizing care", in Armstrong, P., Armstrong, H., Choiniere, J.,  
13  
14 Mykhalovskiy, E. and White, J.P. (Eds.), *Medical Alert: New Work Organizations in*  
15  
16 *Healthcare*, Garamond Press, Toronto, ON, pp. 11-30.
- 17  
18  
19  
20 Armstrong, P. and Armstrong, H. (2003), *Wasting Away: The Undermining of Canadian*  
21  
22 *Healthcare*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 23  
24  
25 Battilana, J. (2006), "Agency and institutions: The enabling role of individuals' social position",  
26  
27 *Organization*, Vol.13 No. 5, pp. 653-676.
- 28  
29  
30 Beckert, J. (1999), "Agency, entrepreneurs, and institutional change: The role of strategic choice  
31  
32 and institutionalized practices in organizations", *Organization Studies*, Vol. 20 No. 5, pp.  
33  
34 777-799.
- 35  
36  
37 Bourgeault, I. and Wrede, S. (2008), "Caring beyond borders: Comparing the relationship  
38  
39 between nursing work and migration patterns in Canada and Finland", in Benoit, C. and  
40  
41 Hallgrimsdottir, H. (Eds.), *Valuing Care Work: Comparative Perspectives*, University of  
42  
43 Toronto Press, Toronto, pp. 65-86.
- 44  
45  
46 Brunke, L. (2003), "Canadian provincial and territorial professional associations and colleges",  
47  
48 in McIntyre, M. and Thomlinson, E. (Eds.), *Realities of Canadian Nursing*, Lippincott,  
49  
50 Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 143-160.
- 51  
52  
53 Campbell, M. (2000), "Knowledge, gendered subjectivity, and the restructuring of healthcare: The  
54  
55 case of the disappearing nurse", in Neysmith, S.M. (Ed.), *Restructuring Caring Labour:*  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

*Discourse, State Practice, and Everyday Life*, Oxford University Press, Don Mills, ON, pp. 186-208.

Canadian Institute for Health Information. (2010), *Regulated Nurses: Canadian Trends, 2005-2009*, Canadian Institute for Health Information, Ottawa, ON.

Canadian Nurses' Association. (2006), *Toward 2020: Visions for Nursing*, Canadian Nurses' Association, Ottawa, ON.

Carter, B. (2007), "Reformatting nursing: The invidious effects of the growth of managerialism", *Health*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 268-272.

Carvalho, T. (2012), "Managerialism and professional strategies: A case from nurses in Portugal", *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 524-541.

Chambliss, D.F. (1996), *Beyond Caring: Hospitals, Nurses, and the Social Organization of Ethics*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Church, J. and Barker, P. (1998), "Regionalization of health services in Canada: A critical perspective", *International Journal of Health Services*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 467-486.

Cowling, W.R. (2007), "Editorial: A quarter century advancing holistic nursing knowledge", *Journal of Holistic Nursing*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 3-4.

Daiski, I. (2004), "Restructuring: A view from the bedside", *Canadian Journal of Nursing Leadership*, October, online exclusive.

Delbridge, R. and Edwards, T. (2008), "Challenging conventions: Roles and processes during non-isomorphic institutional change", *Human Relations* Vol. 61 No. 3, pp. 299-325.

Diers, D. (2004), *Speaking of Nursing: Narratives of Practice, Research, Policy and the Profession*, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Sudbury, MA.

- 1  
2  
3 DiMaggio, P.J. (1988), "Interest and agency in institutional theory", in Zucker, L.G. (Ed.),  
4  
5 *Institutional Patterns and Organizations: Culture and Environment*, Ballinger,  
6  
7 Cambridge, MA, pp. 3-21.  
8  
9
- 10 DiMaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W. (1983), "The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism  
11  
12 and collective rationality in organizational fields", *American Sociological Review*, Vol.  
13  
14 48 No. 2, pp. 147-160.  
15  
16
- 17 DiMaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W. (1991), "Introduction", in Powell, W.W. and DiMaggio, P.  
18  
19 (Eds.), *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. University of Chicago Press,  
20  
21 Chicago, pp. 1-38.  
22  
23
- 24 Dingwall, R. (2008), *Essays on Professions*. Ashgate Publishing, Hampshire, UK.  
25  
26
- 27 Douglass, L. (1994), "Hanging loose while firmly anchored on shifting sand", *AARN Newsletter*  
28  
29 Vol. 50 No. 6, pp. 4-6.  
30  
31
- 32 Fenwick, T.J. (2002), "Transgressive desires: New enterprising selves in the new capitalism",  
33  
34 *Work, Employment & Society*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp. 703-723.  
35  
36
- 37 Goodrick, E. and Reay, T. (2010), "Florence Nightingale endures: Legitimizing a new  
38  
39 professional role identity", *Journal of Management Studies*, Vol. 47 No. 1, pp. 55-84.  
40  
41
- 42 Goodrick, E. and Reay, T. (2011), "Constellations of institutional logics: Changes in the  
43  
44 professional work of pharmacists", *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 372-416.  
45  
46
- 47 Greener, I. (2009), "Entrepreneurship and institution-building in the case of childminding",  
48  
49 *Work, Employment and Society*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 305-322.  
50  
51
- 52 Greenwood, R. and Hinings, C.R. (1996), "Understanding radical organizational change:  
53  
54 Bringing together the old and the new institutionalism", *Academy of Management*  
55  
56 *Review*, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 1022-1054.  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Greenwood, R. and Suddaby, R. (2006), "Institutional entrepreneurship in mature fields: The big  
4 five accounting firms", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 49 No. 1, pp. 27-48.  
5  
6  
7  
8 Greenwood, R., Suddaby, R. and Hinings, C.R. (2002), "Theorizing change: The role of  
9 professional associations in the transformation of institutionalized fields", *Academy of  
10 Management Journal*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp. 58-80.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Hall, P.A. and Taylor, R.C.R. (1996), "Political science and the three new institutionalisms",  
16  
17 *Political Studies*, Vol. 44, pp. 936-957.  
18  
19  
20 Hardy, C. and Maguire, S. (2008), "Institutional entrepreneurship", in Greenwood, R., Oliver, C.,  
21 Sahlin, K. and Suddaby, R. (Eds.), *Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism*, Sage,  
22 Los Angeles, pp. 198-217.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Heyl, B.S. (2001). "Ethnographic interviewing", in Atkinson, P., Coffey, A., Delamont, S.,  
28 Lofland, J. and Lofland, L. (Eds.) *Handbook of ethnography*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, pp.  
29 369-383.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 Hinings, C.R., Casebeer, A., Reay, T., Golden-Biddle, K., Pablo, A. and Greenwood, R. (2003),  
35  
36 "Regionalizing healthcare in Alberta: Legislated change, uncertainty and loose coupling",  
37  
38 *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 14(Suppl.), pp. S15-S30.  
39  
40  
41 Hinings, C.R. and Tolbert, P.S. (2008), "Organizational institutionalism and sociology: A  
42 reflection", in Greenwood, R., Oliver, C., Sahlin, K. and Suddaby, R. (Eds.), *Handbook  
43 of Organizational Institutionalism*, Sage, Los Angeles, pp. 473-490.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 Hwang, H. and Powell, W.W. (2005), "Institutions and entrepreneurship", in Alvarez, S.,  
49 Agarwal, R. and Sorenson, O. (Eds.), *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*, Springer,  
50 New York, pp. 201-232.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 International Council of Nurses, 2004. *Guidelines on the Nurse Entre/intrapreneur Providing*  
4  
5 *Nursing Service*. ICN, Geneva.

6  
7  
8 Kenny, M. (2007), "Gender, institutions and power: A critical review", *Politics*, Vol. 27 No. 2,  
9  
10 pp. 91-100.

11  
12  
13 Knoblauch, H. (2005), "Focused ethnography", *Forum: Qualitative Social*  
14  
15 *Research/Sozialforschung*, Vol. 6 No. 3, Article 44.

16  
17  
18 Laschinger, H.K.S., Sabiston, J.A., Finegan, J. and Shamian, J. (2001), "Voices from the  
19  
20 trenches: Nurses' experiences of hospital restructuring in Ontario", *Canadian Journal of*  
21  
22 *Nursing Leadership*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 6-13.

23  
24  
25 Levy, D. and Scully, M. (2007), "The institutional entrepreneur as modern prince: The strategic  
26  
27 face of power in contested fields", *Organization Studies*, Vol. 28 No. 7, pp. 971-991.

28  
29  
30 Liaschenko, J. and Peter, E. (2004), "Nursing ethics and conceptualizations of nursing:  
31  
32 Profession, practice and work", *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, Vol. 46, No. 5, pp. 488-  
33  
34 495.

35  
36  
37 Mayan, M. (2009), *Essentials of Qualitative Inquiry*, Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, CA.

38  
39  
40 McIntyre, M. and McDonald, C. (2003), "Issues arising from the nature of nurses' work", in  
41  
42 McIntyre, M. and Thomlinson, E. (Eds.), *Realities of Canadian Nursing*, Lippincott,  
43  
44 Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 288-303.

45  
46  
47 Meyer, J.W. and Rowan, B. (1977), "Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth  
48  
49 and ceremony", *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 83 No. 2, pp. 340-363.

50  
51  
52 Morse, J.M. and Richards, L. (2002), *Readme First for a User's Guide to Qualitative Methods*,  
53  
54 Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.

55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Newman, M.A., Sime, A.M. and Corcoran-Perry, S.A. (2004), "The focus of the discipline of  
4 nursing", in Reed, P.G., Shearer, N.C. and Nicoll, L.H. (Eds.), *Perspectives on Nursing*  
5  
6 *Theory*, Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 315-320.  
7  
8  
9  
10 Nicholls, D.A., Walton, J.A. and Price, K. (2009), "Making breathing your business:  
11  
12 Enterprising practices at the margins of orthodoxy", *Health*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 337-360.  
13  
14  
15 Oliver, C. (1991), "Strategic responses to institutional processes", *Academy of Management*  
16  
17 *Review*, Vol. 16 No. 1, pp. 145-179.  
18  
19  
20 Oliver, C. (1992), "The antecedents of deinstitutionalization", *Organization Studies*, Vol. 13 No.  
21  
22 4, pp. 563-588.  
23  
24  
25 Rankin, J. and Campbell, M.L. (2006), *Managing to Nurse: Inside Canada's Healthcare Reform*,  
26  
27 University of Toronto Press, Toronto, ON.  
28  
29 Reay, T., Golden-Biddle, K. and Germann, K. (2006), "Legitimizing a new role: Small wins and  
30  
31 microprocesses of change", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol. 49 No. 5, pp. 977-  
32  
33 998.  
34  
35  
36 Reay, T. and Hinings, C.R. (2005), "The recomposition of an organizational field: Healthcare in  
37  
38 Alberta", *Organization Studies*, Vol. 26 No. 3, pp. 351-384.  
39  
40  
41 Risk, M. (1992), "Regulatory issues", in Baumgart, A.J. and Larsen, J. (Eds.), *Canadian Nursing*  
42  
43 *Faces the Future*, Mosby, St. Louis, MO, pp. 365-379.  
44  
45  
46 Roper, J.M. and Shapira, J. (2000), *Ethnography in Nursing Research*, Sage, Thousand Oaks,  
47  
48 CA.  
49  
50 Scott, W.R. (2000), *Institutional Change and Healthcare Organizations: From Professional*  
51  
52 *Dominance to Managed Care*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Scott, W.R. (2008a), "Approaching adulthood: The maturing of institutional theory", *Theoretical*  
4  
5 *Sociology*, Vol. 37, pp. 427-442.  
6  
7
- 8 Scott, W.R. (2008b), *Institutions and Organizations*, Sage, Los Angeles.  
9
- 10 Smith, V. (2001), "Ethnographies of work and the work of ethnographers", in Atkinson, P.,  
11  
12 Coffey, A., Delamont, S., Lofland, J. and Lofland, L. (Eds.), *Handbook of Ethnography*,  
13  
14 Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA, pp. 220-233.  
15  
16
- 17 Spradley, J. (1979), *The Ethnographic Interview*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Belmont, CA.  
18  
19
- 20 Sullivan, E.J. (2002), "Nursing and feminism: An uneasy alliance", *Journal of Professional*  
21  
22 *Nursing*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 183-184.  
23  
24
- 25 Tamlyn, D. and Reilly, S. (2003), "Innovation and contemporary nursing leadership", in  
26  
27 McIntyre, M. and Thomlinson, E. (Eds.), *Realities of Canadian Nursing*, Lippincott,  
28  
29 Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, pp. 494-515.  
30  
31
- 32 Thornton, P.H. (1999), "The sociology of entrepreneurship", *Annual Review of Sociology*, Vol.  
33  
34 25, pp. 19-46.  
35  
36
- 37 Villanueva-Russell, Y. (2008), "An ideal-typical development of chiropractic, 1895-1961:  
38  
39 Pursuing professional ends through entrepreneurial means", *Social Theory & Health*,  
40  
41 Vol. 6, pp. 250-272.  
42  
43
- 44 Wall, S. (2010), "Critical perspectives in the study of nursing work", *Journal of Health*  
45  
46 *Organization and Management*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 145-166.  
47  
48
- 49 Wilson, A., Averis, A. and Walsh, K. (2003), "The influences on and experiences of becoming  
50  
51 nurse entrepreneurs: A Delphi study", *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, Vol. 9,  
52  
53 pp. 236-245.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Wynne, R. (2003), "Clinical nurses' response to an environment of healthcare reform and  
4  
5 organizational restructuring", *Journal of Nursing Management*, Vol. 11, pp. 98-106.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Review Only