

## INTRODUCTION

The time and resources related to administering, scoring and recording patient-reported measures can limit their use in clinical settings.



Computerized adaptive testing (CAT) addresses the challenges of patientreported outcome measurement.

**OBJECTIVE** 

Demonstrate clinically feasible procedures to develop a computerizedadaptive patient-reported outcome measure.

## **COMPUTERIZED ADAPTIVE TESTING**

- In computerized adaptive testing (CAT), patients receive a unique set of items from a large item bank targeted towards their own health status.
- CAT successively selects questions, based on what is known about the patient from their previous responses.
- CAT is designed to stop when it reaches a pre-determined threshold (e.g. maximum number of items, or a minimum standard error of measurement).
- The individualized test produces a reliable measurement with far fewer items than traditional questionnaires.



13th Annual Spotlight on Research Breakfast, Edmonton, AB. Nov 22, 2017

## Developing computerized adaptive tests to improve the efficiency of patientreported outcome assessment: Clinically feasible procedures

Erin McCabe<sup>1</sup>, Douglas Gross<sup>2</sup>, Okan Bulut<sup>3</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, University of Alberta, Edmonton, <sup>3</sup>Department of Educational Psychology, University of Alberta, Edmonton.

> **Table 2.** Performance of CAT when the
>  precision stopping rule is manipulated.

Precision stopping point	Correlation to full length test	Number of items given mean [range]
0.2	0.99	21.7 [17-34]
0.25	0.96	11.3 [8-34]
0.3	0.94	7.0 [5-34]
0.35	0.92	4.6 [4-34]



**Figure 3**. Examples of CAT scoring responses from four individuals. The estimated score is in blue, while the grey area represents the standard error of measurement (SE) for the estimated score. This illustration shows how the score estimate changes, and the estimate becomes more precise (SE decreases) as items are administered.



Figure 4. Relationship Between Scores from the legacy measures to CAT scores.

This figure illustrates the impact of manipulating test length on precision. For a CAT with a maximum test length of 8 items, the correlation between the full questionnaires and the CAT scores is lower. If a more precise measurement is needed, then a longer test of 20 items will produces a more reliable measure.

CATs are an efficient option for patient-reported outcome measurement. We have demonstrated clinically feasible procedures for developing and