Mechanisms and consequences of flight polyphenisms in an outbreaking bark beetle species

Authors: Kelsey L. Jones[1], Rahmatollah Rajabzadeh[2], Guncha Ishangulyyeva[2], Nadir Erbilgin[2], and Maya L. Evenden[1]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta
2. Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta

Corresponding Author: Kelsey Jones, kljones1@ualberta.ca

Summary Statement: This article explores the relationship between energy-use during flight and host colonisation in the mountain pine beetle. The resulting information suggests potential selection mechanisms maintaining flight polyphenisms.

Key Words: mountain pine beetle, dispersal, pheromone, host colonisation, polyphenism, Scolytinae

Abstract

Flight polyphenisms naturally occur as discrete or continuous traits in insects. Discrete flight polyphenisms include winged and wingless morphs, whereas continuous flight polyphenisms can take the form of short- or long-distance fliers. The mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) exhibits polyphenic variation in flight distance but the consequences of this flight variation on life history strategies of beetles is unknown. . This study assessed the effect of flight on two particular aspects of beetle biology: (1) an energetic trade-off between flight distance and host colonisation capacity; and (2) the relationship between flight distance and pheromone production. A 23-h flight treatment was applied to a subset of beetles using computer. After flight treatment, both flown and unflown (control) beetles were given the opportunity to colonise bolts of host trees, and beetles that entered hosts were aerated to collect pheromone. A trade-off occurred between initiation of host colonisation and percent body weight lost during flight, which indicates energy-use during flight affects host acceptance in female mountain pine beetles. Furthermore, production of the aggregation pheromone *trans*-verbenol by female beetles was influenced by both percent weight lost during flight and flight distance. Male production of *exo*-brevicomin was affected by beetle condition following flight but not by the energy used during flight. These novel results give new insight into the polyphenic flight behaviour of mountain pine beetles. Flight variation is adaptive by acting to maintain population levels through safe and risky host colonisation strategies. These findings suggest mechanisms that facilitate the extremities of the continuous flight polyphenism spectrum. These opposing mechanisms appear to maintain the high variation in flight exhibited by this species.

Introduction

Polyphenisms are traits that exhibit two or more distinct phenotypes from a single genotype in response to environmental conditions. The link between phenotypes and environmental factors promotes individual success under changing environmental conditions (Simpson et al., 2011). Although these distinct phenotypes may be advantageous for certain functions under different conditions, they may develop at a cost to other life history traits (Kopp & Tollrain, 2003; Karlsson et al., 2008).

Flight is costly, and trade-offs between resource allocation to flight and other life history traits (Karlsson & Johansson, 2008), such as host (Latty & Reid, 2009; 2010) and reproduction (Roff & Fairbairn, 1991; Lin et al., 2018) are common. The most notable flight polyphenism in insects is the occurrence of winged and flightless morphs within the same species. Although many polyphenisms are discrete, continuous flight polyphenisms can also exist as short- *vs*. long-distance fliers (Karlsson & Johansson, 2008; Simpson et al., 2011). Most studies focus on understanding the effects of discrete flight polyphenisms on subsequent life history strategies of adult insects (Cisper et al., 2000), but the effects of continuous flight polyphenisms remain less studied.

Continuous flight polyphenisms occur in aggressive tree-killing bark beetle species in the genera *Dendroctonus* and *Ips* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae) (Jones et al. 2019), which influences obligatory dispersal for host colonisation and reproduction (Raffa et al., 2005). Successful attack of a host tree requires the production of aggregation pheromones to attract conspecifics for mass attack (Safranyik et al., 2010). The pioneering beetle (females in *Dendroctonus* and males in *Ips*) releases aggregation pheromone that triggers the mass attack by both sexes (Raffa et al., 2015). Beetles of the same sex as the pioneer initiate new attacks along the tree bole, while beetles of the opposite sex enter existing galleries to mate (Gitau et al., 2013).Bark beetles synthesize pheromone components *de novo* or through the activity of microbial symbionts (Cale et al. 2019), but also require monoterpene precursors from the host tree for pheromone synthesis (Blomquist et al., 2010).

Differences in pheromone production by beetles, however, have some fitness consequences (Raffa, 2001). If production is low, beetle aggregation on the host tree will fail due to adult beetle mortality as a result of exposure to toxic host secondary compounds (Raffa & Berryman, 1982).

The host colonisation process is costly and depends on the physiological condition of the adult bark beetles arriving at the host after dispersal (Reid et al., 2017). Several hypotheses have been put forth to explain the relationships between dispersal behavior, host choice, and host colonisation in bark beetles (Latty & Reid, 2010). The “desperation” hypothesis states that beetles with low energy reserves enter a tree independent of host quality decisions because low energy reserves prohibit further flight (Byers, 1999). The “safe site” hypothesis posits that

beetles enter high quality hosts to promote mate attraction and successful attack (Latty & Reid, 2010). The "condition matching" hypothesis suggests that host colonisation by the beetle should interact with the quality of the host tree; as a result, beetles in good energetic condition can enter well-defended trees (Chubaty et al., 2014).

The mountain pine beetle, (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*), is native to Western North America, and has expanded its range eastward and northward (Cullingham et al., 2011) following the most recent population outbreak that started in the early 2000s, and killed millions of pine trees (Safranyik et al. 2010). Dispersal by flight dictates the spread of this species and it is arguably the least understood aspect of mountain pine beetle ecology (Chen & Walton, 2011).

After emerging from the natal host, mountain pine beetles exhibit two patterns of dispersal within the stand – spot growth and spot proliferation (Robertson et al., 2007). Spot growth involves short distance movements from the natal host to a reproductive host located only a few metres away. Spot proliferation results from beetle flight past suitable hosts followed by host selection much further away from the natal host. Understanding the mechanism underlying these flight polyphenisms in the mountain pine beetle and the cascading effects of flight polyphenisms on subsequent host selection and colonisation are essential for understanding population dynamics of the beetle (Robertson et al., 2007). Although some variation in flight distance is explained by lipid content (Evenden et al., 2014), energy reserves alone do not account for the large degree of flight variation exhibited by the mountain pine beetle (Shegelski et al., 2019). One explanation of the varied flight behaviour in mountain pine beetle populations is that beetles may require a flight period before becoming responsive to semiochemicals (Gray et al., 1972), similar to other bark beetle species (Thompson & Bennett, 1971). Beetles with high lipid levels need to spend energy before settling on a host, which could explain flight variation over geographic and temporal scales (Robertson et al., 2007).

While beetle body condition (high lipid to body volume ratio) affects host colonisation behaviour in mountain pine beetle (Elkin & Reid, 2005), it is unknown if the same lipid resources consumed during flight (Evenden et al., 2014) are also allocated to host colonisation. Although metabolic costs associated with pheromone production may be insignificant (Pureswaran et al., 2006), mountain pine beetle aggregation pheromones are produced and/or stored in the fat body (Song et al., 2014; Chiu et al., 2018). It is unknown whether lipid-use

during flight influences the production of the male-produced aggregation pheromone *exo*-brevicomin, or the storage and use of *exo*-brevicomin and the female-produced aggregation pheromone, *trans*-verbenol. Mountain pine beetle reproduction is also linked to body condition; beetles in poor condition produce smaller eggs (Elkin & Reid, 2005), and there is a trade-off between energy-use during flight and offspring production (Wijerathna et al., 2019).

In this study, we test the influence of flight polyphenisms on (1) female beetle host acceptance; and (2) male and female production of aggregation pheromones. The outcome of this study will reveal the relationship between energy-use during the obligatory dispersal phase of mountain pine beetle and the subsequent host colonisation process.

Materials & Methods

Collection of beetles

Beetle-infested lodgepole pine, *Pinus contorta* var. *latifolia* Douglas, was collected as 50-cm long cylindrical cross sections of a tree bole, hereafter referred to as "bolts". Bolts were collected from three trees at each of three sites in Hinton, Alberta (53° 20.530 117° 35.208, 53° 22.825 117° 32.561 and 53° 16.527 117° 39.916) in June 2018, and from two trees at each of two sites in Slave Lake, Alberta (54° 51.751 115° 09.751 and 54° 53.842 115° 08.708) in November 2017. The localities were chosen to ensure that beetles collected were in the epidemic population range of Alberta. Only mass attacked trees (>40 attacks per m^2) that were larger than 27 cm diameter at breast height were felled. Two, 50-cm bolts from each tree, removed from 1-2 m above the ground were transported to the University of Alberta. Cut ends of the bolts were sealed with paraffin wax (parowax®) to minimize desiccation, and bolts were stored at 5°C until July 2018 when bioassays were conducted.

When beetles were needed for bioassays, bolts were removed from cold storage and placed in 121 L emergence bins fitted with a glass jar. Mountain pine beetles are positively phototactic and when they emerge from bolts they follow the light towards the glass jar where they are collected. Bins were housed at 21°C under a 16:8 h light:dark cycle. Emerging beetles caught in the glass jars were collected daily, separated by sex, labelled, and placed in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes with a small strip of paper to hold onto (Evenden et al., 2014). Beetles were stored at 4°C before use in the bioassay at 3-5 days post emergence from the bolt.

Flight mills

Flight on flight mills was used as an experimental treatment to assess the impact of flight on subsequent host colonization and pheromone production (Fig. 1). Beetles (3-5 days post emergence) were weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg (Mettler Toledo XPE205 Microbalance, Columbus, OH, USA). Beetles were assigned randomly to one of two treatments: 23 h flight period (flown), or 23 h without the opportunity to fly (control). Beetles in the flown treatment were tethered using a 2 cm long, 30 gauge aluminum wire (0.02 mm diam.) with a small loop at the end. The loop was attached to the pronotum of each beetle using Press-Tite Contact Cement (LePage, Mississauga, ON, CAN) so that elytra movement was not restricted. Twenty-two tethered beetles were positioned on flight mills on each of 13 days, and given the opportunity to fly during the 23 h treatment period. Control beetles were housed with a piece of paper in perforated 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes in the flight mill room during the treatment period. The flight mill room was kept at 23°C with a 16:8 h light to dark cycle. The distal end of each tether was attached to the flight mill arm at a ~100° angle using a small piece of wire insulation. Light (550 lux) was provided by high flicker frequency fluorescent bulbs (Evenden, Whitehouse & Sykes, 2014).

A small magnetic transmitter positioned on the flight mill arm detected the arm rotation propelled by beetle flight. The transmitter directed the signal to the attached computer. LabView software (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX, USA) measured each revolution of the flight mill arm (94.4 cm in circumference). Output included the duration and number of revolutions for each flight burst initiated by the beetle. Total flight distance and duration, as well as flight velocity and number of flight bursts were calculated from this output.

After the 23 h treatment period, the tether was removed from each flown beetle, and both flown and control beetles were weighed to the nearest 0.01 mg. Beetles that died or became detached from tethers during flight treatment were not included in the subsequent bioassays or statistical analyses.

Inoculation material

In July 2018, three uninfested lodgepole pine trees were felled at each of three sites (53° 20.530 117° 35.208, 53° 22.825 117° 32.561 and 53° 16.527 117° 39.916) in Hinton, Alberta. Trees

were chosen based on size and overall appearance; only those that were healthy looking (ie. green needles and no large wounds) and larger than 27 cm diameter at breast height were felled. From each tree, three 50-cm bolts were harvested between 1-2.5 m above the ground. Bolts were transported to the University of Alberta, where the cut ends of each bolt were sealed with paraffin wax and stored at 5°C until Aug 2018 when needed for bioassays.

Host colonisation experiment

The first experiment tested the hypothesis that flight treatment influences subsequent host colonisation behaviour by female mountain pine beetle (Fig. 1). Host colonisation was measured as capacity to enter lodgepole pine bolts and the time taken for successful host entry. Uninfested bolts were removed from cold storage 24 h prior to beetle inoculation. Ten clear plastic cups (30 mL) were positioned 10 cm from the bottom of the bolt and secured with flagging tape. A charcoal filter (Paasche Charcoal Filter, WY, USA) skirt was placed between the bolt and the cup to fill any gaps.

Immediately following flight treatment and measurement of post-treatment weight, each female beetle was introduced to one of ten individual cups positioned on a lodgepole pine bolt. Flown and control beetles were placed in alternating order on each bolt. Beetle activity was monitored for 72 h following the initial placement in the cup or until host entry or death. Boring dust within the cup indicated host entry. Data for beetles that escaped from the cups (34 flown beetles and 33 control beetles escaped) were removed.

Pheromone production experiment

A second experiment tested the hypothesis that flight treatment affects pheromone production by mountain pine beetles following successful host entry (Fig. 1). A subset of female beetles, from both treatment groups flown (n=12) and control (n=9), that entered host material within 24 h of inoculation were used in aeration bioassays to measure semiochemicals released by the beetles.

A single flown (n=11) and control (n=7) male was introduced into galleries of individual females 24 h after females were introduced to cups. Males were flown the day after females and introduced to the bolts in a different manner. The bark was peeled back slightly around the female entrance hole and boring dust was blown away to reveal the exact point of entrance.

187 Males were gently pushed into the female entrance hole. Once the male was firmly positioned
188 within the entrance hole, the set-up described below was assembled for aeration.

189 Aerations were conducted using the methods described in Erbilgin et al. (2014). Once
190 female beetles entered the bolt, the clear plastic cup was removed, and replaced with a glass
191 funnel (DWK Life Sciences Kimble K2895045, 45 mm diameter, 50 mm stem). The glass funnel
192 was positioned over a charcoal filter skirt pressed tightly against the bolt and secured with
193 flagging tape. The stem of the glass funnel was connected to a small, 10 cm portion of PTFE
194 tubing (Cole-Parmer, 3/16" x 1/4", RK-06605-32). A second piece of PTFE tubing was attached
195 to PVC tubing (Fisherbrand, 3/16" inner diameter, 1/16" wall) that was subsequently connected
196 to a laboratory bench vacuum. To collect the semiochemicals released by beetles, Porapak Q
197 tubes (6 x 110-mm, 2 sections: 75/150 mg sorbent, 20/40 mesh) were inserted between the two
198 portions of PTFE tubing. Over a 4 h duration, the vacuum pulled air (100 $mL \cdot min^{-1}$) over the site
199 of beetle entry to trap semiochemicals produced by the beetle pair into the attached Porapak Q
200 tube. After the 4 h aeration, Porapak Q tubes were removed from the PTFE tubing and were
201 capped, wrapped in tinfoil, and stored at -80°C until extraction. Repeated aerations measured
202 pheromone production at 12, 24, 36, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h after introduction of females to cups.
203 Males were introduced 24 h after females, so the 12 h time point contained emissions from
204 females only; the subsequent collections were conducted on beetle pairs.

205 ***Chemical extraction & analyses***

206 Each Porapak Q tube from each aeration sample was scored with a glass cutter to remove the
207 adsorbent beads from the tube into a 2 mL Axygen microtube that was placed onto dry ice. The
208 stock solution of the extraction solvent contained 500 mL DCM (dichloromethane, HPLC Grade,
209 Fisher Scientific, USA) with 5 µl of heptyl acetate (purity >98%, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) to act as
210 an internal standard. One mL of the stock solution was dispensed (0.5-5 mL dispenser,
211 Dispensette Organic, Eppendorf, GER) into each 2 mL microtube containing adsorbent material
212 from each sample. Microtubes containing adsorbent material and stock solution were vortexed
213 for 30 sec at maximum speed (3000) (VWR Pulsing Vortex Mixer) and were then placed into a
214 sonicator (Symphony) for 10 min. Microtubes were centrifuged for 15 min at 0°C at 16100 rcf
215 (Eppendorf AG 2231, GER) to create two phases. Dichloromethane with the extract was
216 collected from the lower phase.

To filter the extract, the solvent solution was pipetted into a modified pipette (Fisher Scientific, borosilicate glass, 13-67-20A) containing a small amount of glass wool to act as a filter. Filtered extract was collected in 2 mL Autosampler vials (Fisher Scientific, 9 mm/Amber-ID, 03-391-9) that were capped (Autosampler caps, 9 mm screw thread/PTFE/Silicone, 03-391-14) and stored at -40°C until chemical analyses.

Chemical analyses were performed using a Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS, Agilent 7890A/5975C, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) with a HP-CHIRAL-20β column (I.D. 0.25 mm, length 30 m, Agilent Tech.). Helium was the carrier gas with a flow rate of 1 $mL \cdot min^{-1}$. Two µl samples from each extract were injected in a Pulsed Splitless mode. The oven temperature started at 45°C for 2 min, increased to 70°C by $20°C \cdot min^{-1}$, increased to 90°C by $10°C \cdot min^{-1}$, increased to 120°C by $2°C \cdot min^{-1}$, increased to 150°C by $3°C \cdot min^{-1}$, and then increased up to 230°C by $30°C \cdot min^{-1}$ and held for 1 min. The data was acquired using both SIM and SCAN modes. SCAN mode was conducted to identify the compounds of interest, whereas SIM mode was used to quantify the collected data. The quantified compounds included (1) *trans*-verbenol; and (2) *exo*-brevicomin. Compounds were quantified by comparison with commercially available standards with a chemical purity > 99% (Contech Enterprises Inc., BC, CAN).

Statistical Analyses

All data analyses were performed in R version 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2018). The explanatory variable, percent weight lost during the flight treatment, was calculated by dividing the difference between pre- and post-treatment weight by pre-treatment weight, and multiplying this value by 100. Data were tested for normality and heteroscedasticity using visual techniques and Shapiro-Wilks test. Due to the confounding nature of the variables (percent weight lost, pre-treatment weight and distance flown) the effects of these independent factors were analyzed in separate models to avoid spurious associations.

The effect of flight treatment on female beetle host acceptance was analysed using a contingency table. Dichotomous entry data in the host colonisation experiment was analysed using a binomial distribution in a generalized linear mixed effects model with natal bolt and reproductive bolt defined as random factors in each model. The response variable, host entry, was assessed in three separate models, (1) host entry explained by percent weight lost by both

flown and control female beetles, during the flight period; (2) host entry explained by distance flown by female beetles during the flight period; and (3) host entry explained by pre-treatment weight of both flown and control female beetles. For model 1, percent weight lost was square-root transformed to meet the assumption of normality; for model 2, distance flown was transformed to the fourth root to meet the assumption of normality. Cox proportional models are regression models used to determine the relationship between survival time and predictor variables. In the case of this study, the "survival" term was defined by entry success and time until entry. Thus, instead of the "survival" term representing the length of time until death, it represented the length of time until host entry. Four cox proportional models were used to analyze entry success and time until host entry in relation to (1) square-root transformed percent weight lost for all beetles; (2) percent weight lost for flown beetles; (3) fourth-root transformed distance flown; and (4) pre-treatment weight for all beetles. For the beetles that entered, the relationship between time until entry and percent weight lost was analysed using a mixed effects linear model separately for flown and control beetles. Since multiple models were used to analyse these groups separately, a Bonferroni correction of $\alpha = 0.025$ was applied to determine significance. Both natal and reproductive hosts were included as random factors in both analyses.

Pheromone production data was analysed using linear mixed effects models with natal bolt and reproductive bolt defined as random factors in each model. The response variable, total *trans*-verbenol production across all aeration timepoints, was assessed in three separate models (1) *trans*-verbenol production as explained by percent weight lost during treatment, by both flown and control female beetles; (2) *trans*-verbenol production as explained by distance flown by female beetles during the flight period; and (3) *trans*-verbenol production as explained by pre-treatment weight of both flown and control female beetles. For models 1 and 3, total *trans*-verbenol production was cube-root transformed to meet the assumption of normality. The response variable, total *exo*-brevicomin production across all aeration periods, was assessed in three separate models (1) *exo*-brevicomin production as explained by percent weight lost during treatment, by both flown and control male beetles; (2) *exo*-brevicomin production as explained by distance flown by male beetles during the flight period, and (3) *exo*-brevicomin production as explained by pre-treatment weight of both flown and control male beetles.

Results

Host colonisation experiment

Beetles placed on flight mills flew an average of 4.02 ± 0.54 km over the 23 h period (Fig. 2). The minimum flight distance was 0.002 km and the maximum flight distance was 22.26 km. Of the 267 flown and control female beetles used in the host colonisation study, 40% entered the host material within 72 h. Initiation of host colonisation was influenced by flight treatment. Beetles that flew on flight mills were 13% less likely to initiate host colonisation compared to unflown control beetles (χ^2=5.2722, p=0.0216).

Generalized linear models indicated a negative relationship between host entry and the percent weight lost during the flight treatment (χ^2=31.774, p=1.732 x 10^{-8}). Female beetles that lost less weight during flight treatment were more likely to enter a host (Fig. 3). No relationships between host entry and distance flown (χ^2=0.0763, p=0.7824) or pre-treatment weight (χ^2=0.5286, p=0.4672) were found.

Cox proportional models showed that percent weight lost affected host entry and entry time for all beetles (Z=6.264, p=3.74 x 10^{-10}) and flown beetles alone (Z=2.184, p=0.029, Fig. 3). There was no relationship, however, between distance flown (χ^2=0.408, p=0.683) or pre-treatment weight (χ^2=0.704, p=0.4820) and host entry. Of the beetles that entered the bolts, the time until entry was negatively influenced by the percent weight lost during the treatment in flown (χ^2=7.0248, p=0.0080, Fig. 4) but not unflown control (χ^2=0.0093, p=0.923) beetles.

Pheromone production experiment

The production of *trans*-verbenol by female beetles was influenced by the percent weight lost during flight treatment (χ^2= 3.8706, p=0.04914) and the distance flown (χ^2=5.1584, p=0.0231), but not by pre-flight weight (χ^2=1.1417, p=0.2853). Females that lost more weight and flew further distances produced more *trans*-verbenol (Fig. 5).

The production of *exo*-brevicomin by male beetles was influenced by pre-flight treatment weight (χ^2=5.6937, p= 0.0170) and distance flown (χ^2=9.5932, p=0.0020), but not by percent weight lost during flight (χ^2=0.9912, p=0.3195). Males that weighed more prior to flight treatment produced more *exo*-brevicomin; males that flew further produced less *exo*-brevicomin (Fig. 6).

Discussion

The important life history traits of adult mountain pine beetle include dispersal from the natal host, host colonisation, aggregation triggered by pheromone production, and reproduction after overcoming host defenses. The current study uncovers mechanisms by which energy-use during flight influences host entry and pheromone production by beetles. The amount of lipids retained by females following flight dictates the outcome of host colonisation success (Chubaty et al., 2014). In the current study, female beetles that lost less than 10% of their body weight during flight were more likely to enter hosts compared to those that lost more than 10%. In mountain pine beetle, weight loss is linked to lipid metabolism during flight (Evenden et al., 2014). Our findings are in agreement with the results of earlier studies on male pine engraver beetles (*Ips pini*) in which beetles that enter host material have 21% more lipid compared to those that do not enter (Wallin & Raffa, 2000). Certain silvicultural treatments, like stand thinning techniques, can increase flight distance before host colonisation in managed stands. Mountain pine beetle was detected in high numbers in thinned stands (Schmitz et al., 1988) as well as in clear cut stands (Reid, 2008). In these stands, beetles are forced to fly further distances before host colonisation, which could impact the number of successful attacks on trees.

The speed of host colonisation is also dependent on energy reserves remaining in female beetles after dispersal. We found that the fastest beetles to enter the host had lost the most weight during the flight treatment. This result indicates a trade-off between energy-use during flight and host acceptance in female mountain pine beetle, which likely intensifies the flight-reproduction trade-off previously suggested for this species (Wijerathna et al., 2019). These results lend further support to the "desperation hypothesis" (Latty & Reid, 2010). In contrast to our findings, time to host entry by pine engraver beetles declined with beetle starvation (Wallin & Raffa, 2002), suggesting that energy-use trade-offs may not be consistent across bark beetle species.

Distant dispersal away from the natal tree may increase the need for effective signalling to attract conspecifics to mount a mass attack. We show that female flight distance and energy-use is linked to a subsequent increase in *trans*-verbenol production by females following host entry. Release of high concentrations of *trans*-verbenol should increase the success of pioneer beetles that initiate attack on distant hosts to increase the aggregation of conspecifics (Erbilgin et al., 2014). Similarly, attraction of a sister species *Dendroctonus frontalis* increases positively

with *trans*-verbenol dose (Shepherd & Sullivan, 2019). Beetles that disperse only a short distance would benefit less from the production of strong pheromone signals.

Female mountain pine beetle release *trans*-verbenol upon initiation of gallery construction and feeding (Pureswaran et al., 2000). *trans*-Verbenol production requires the oxidation of the precursor, α-pinene (Hughes, 1975), obtained from the natal host (Chiu et al., 2018). Additionally, *trans*-verbenol production varies with the concentration of α-pinene present in the reproductive host (Taft et al., 2015), which suggests that the α-pinene necessary for pheromone synthesis could be obtained from both sources. Female mountain pine beetles accumulate α-pinene in the form of monoterpenyl esters which are fatty acid esters stored in the fat body (Chiu et al., 2018). As we have shown that flight increases *trans*-verbenol production in female mountain pine beetles, the biochemical mechanism dictating this increase may be the result of lipid-use during flight through impact on the stored monoterpenyl esters. High variability in pheromone production, including *trans*-verbenol, occurs in other bark beetles (Pureswaran et al., 2008). Variation in pheromone production can also be linked to body size (Pureswaran & Borden, 2003) and genetics (Domingue & Teale, 2008), but causes of variation differ with pheromone component identity.

Flight distance negatively affected *exo*-brevicomin production by males. This difference in pheromone production in response to flight between sexes could be due to the timing of pheromone production. Males can begin to produce *exo*-brevicomin immediately upon emergence from the natal host (Song et al., 2014). The complete biosynthetic pathway behind the production of *exo*-brevicomin remains unknown; however, it is synthesized *de novo* from fatty acyl-CoA precursors and stored in the fat body (Song et al., 2014). Energy-use during flight could influence *exo*-brevicomin storage in the fat body, with more pheromone released during periods of flight than rest. This may explain why males produce low levels of *exo*-brevicomin when they enter the female nuptial galleries to reproduce (Song et al., 2014). These low levels of *exo*-brevicomin are likely adaptive in mediation of aggregation behaviour as low concentrations of *exo*-brevicomin are more attractive to conspecifics than higher concentrations (Rudinsky et al., 1974). Flight may promote the release of low, attractive quantities of *exo*-brevicomin. Males potentially have a finite amount of pheromone to release based on the condition of the beetle at the time of pupation. Our finding that heavier males produce more *exo*-brevicomin than lighter

males supports this idea. The quality of the natal host likely has a large influence on the amount of *exo*-brevicomin males can produce in a lifetime, as good quality hosts produce larger, more robust offspring (Graf et al., 2012). This supports previous findings indicating a marginal link between mountain pine beetle body weight and length to *exo*-brevicomin production (Pureswaran & Borden, 2003). Heavier males fly further than lighter males (Evenden et al., 2014), this difference in flight behaviour could promote optimal levels of *exo*-brevicomin release at the reproductive host.

Interestingly, weight loss during flight influences pheromone production in females but not males. This is potentially due to differential energy-use during flight between the sexes. Females rely heavily upon lipids during long distance flight, while males use both lipids and proteins to power flight (Wijerathna & Evenden, 2019). This is likely driven by variation in the energy needed for host colonisation, as females require proteins for reproduction (Pitt et al., 2014). The reliance on lipids by female beetles for flight likely has a direct impact on weight loss during flight (Evenden et al., 2014), whereas weight loss by male beetles is a combination of the depletion of multiple energy sources (Wijerathna & Evenden 2019). If lipid-use is responsible for differing pheromone titres, the link between weight loss and pheromone production in males would be lost. In the fat body, female beetles store monoterpenyl esters used in the production of *trans*-verbenol (Chiu et al., 2018), while male beetles store *exo*-brevicomin in its final form in the fat body (Song et al., 2014). Lipid-use during flight may allow for the release of pheromone from storage in males and reduce the subsequent pheromone titre available to males calling at the new host. In females, since the entire biosynthetic pathway of *trans*-verbenol remains unknown, all that can be concluded is that flight could aid or promote biosynthesis of this compound.

Here we provide evidence for possible mechanisms that drive flight polyphenisms in bark beetles. The trade-off between energy-use during flight and host colonisation could select for short distance dispersal so that beetles have enough energy to successfully colonise their reproductive host. Alternatively, long distance dispersal might be adaptive for outbreeding and access to high quality and abundant hosts (Raffa et al.1993). Energy-use during flight positively impacts subsequent pheromone production in the pioneering female beetles. Increased *trans*-verbenol production will aid beetles in mediating mass attacks at distant hosts, this in combination with other benefits at these distant locations will select for long-distance dispersers.

These results provide evidence for mechanisms that promote contrasting selection on flight in bark beetles. Selection at both ends of the polyphenism spectrum maintains high dispersal variability within populations. This intraspecific variation in dispersal strategies promotes an evolutionarily stable strategy for bark beetle populations (Kautz et al., 2016). Understanding variation in spatial movement of bark beetles across landscapes will help to predict future population spread of these aggressive tree pests.

Acknowledgements

We thank Caroline Whitehouse, Andrea Sharpe and Jenn McCormick from Alberta Agriculture and Forestry for their assistance obtaining both mountain pine beetle-infested material and clean host material for experimental use. We would like to thank Victor Shegelski for his time and effort felling trees for this project and Ronald Batallas for his advice on statistical analyses. This research was supported by a grant to from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant no. NET GP 434810-12) to the TRIA Network, with contributions from Alberta Agriculture and Forestry, fRI Research, Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship, Natural Resources Canada - Canadian Forest Service, Northwest Territories Environment and Natural Resources, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment, West Fraser and Weyerhaeuser (Maya Evenden and Nadir Erbilgin, co-PIs). Additionally, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada–Discovery to NE. Research stipends to support Kelsey Jones were provided by teaching assistantships at the University of Alberta, and various scholarships (NSERC CGS-M Alexander Graham Bell, Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship, Walter H. John's Graduate Fellowship, Julia O. Hrapko Scholarship).

References

Blomquist, G.J., Figueroa-Teran, R., Aw, M., Song, M., Gorzalski, A., Abbott, N.L., Chang, E., and Tittiger, C. (2010). Pheromone production in bark beetles. *Insect Biochem. Molec*. **40**(10), 699-712.

Byers, J.A. (1999). Effects of attraction radius and flight paths on catch of scolytid beetles dispersing outward through rings of pheromone traps. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **25**(5), 985-1005.

Chen H., and Walton A. (2011). Mountain pine beetle dispersal: spatiotemporal patterns and role in the spread and expansion of the present outbreak. *Ecosphere*. **2**(6), Article 66. https://doi.org/10.1890/ES10-00172.1

Chiu, C.C., Keeling, C.I., and Bohlmann, J. (2018). Monoterpenyl esters in juvenile mountain pine beetles and sex-specific release of the aggregation pheromone *trans*-verbenol. *P. Natal. Acad. Sci. USA.* **115**(14), 3652-3657.

Chubaty, A.M., Hart, M., and Rotiberg, D. (2014). 'To tree or not to tree': the role of energy limitation on host tree acceptance in a bark beetle. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **16**, 337-349.

Cisper, G., Zera, A.J., and Borst, D.W. (2000). Juvenile hormone titer and morph-specific reproduction in the wing-polymorphic cricket, *Gryllus firmus. J. Insect Physiol.* **46**, 585-596.

Cullingham, C.I., Cooke, J.E.K., Dang, S., Davis, C.S., Cooke, B.J., and Coltman, D.W. (2011). Mountain pine beetle host-range expansion threatens the boreal forest. *Mol. Ecol.* **20**, 2157-2171.

Domingue, M. J., and Teale, S.A. (2008). The genetic architecture of pheromone production between populations distant from the hybrid zone of the pine engraver, *Ips pini*. *Chemoecology*. **17**, 255-262.

Elkin, M., and Reid, M.L. (2005). Low energy reserves and energy allocation decisions affect reproduction by mountain pine beetles, *Dendroctonus ponderosae. Funct. Ecol.* **19**(1), 102-109.

Erbilgin, N., Ma, C., Whitehouse, C., Shan, B., Najar, A., and Evenden, M. (2014). Chemical similarity between historical and novel host plants promotes range and host expansion of the mountain pine beetle in naïve host ecosystem. *New Phytol.* **201**, 940-950.

Evenden, M.L., Whitehouse, C.M., and Sykes, J. (2014). Factors influencing flight capacity of the mountain pine beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Environ. Entomol.* **43**(1), 187-196.

Gitau, C.W., Bashford, R., Carnegie, A.J., and Gurr, G.M. (2013). A review of semiochemicals associated with bark beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) pests

of coniferous trees: a focus on beetle interactions with other pests and their associates. *Forest Ecol. Manag*. **297**, 1-14.

Graf, M., Reid, M.L., Aukema, B.H., and Lindgren, B.S. (2012). Association of tree diameter with body size and lipid content of mountain pine beetles. *Can. Entomol.* **144**, 467-477.

Gray, B., Billings, R.F., Gara, R.I., and Johnsey, R.L. (1972). On the emergence and initial flight behaviour of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae*, in Eastern Washington. *Z. Angew. Entomol.* **71**, 250-259.

Hughes, P.R. (1975). Pheromones of *Dendroctonus*: Origin of α-pinene oxidation products present in emergent adults. *J. Insect Physiol*. **21**(3), 687-691.

Karlsson, B., and Johansson, A. (2008). Seasonal polyphenism and developmental trade-offs between flight ability and egg laying in a pierid butterfly. *Proc. R. Entomol. Soc. A*. **275**, 2131-2136.

Karlsson, B., Stjernolm, F., and Wiklund, C. (2008). Test of a developmental trade-off in a polyphenic butterfly: direct development favours reproductive output. *Funct. Ecol.* **22**, 121-126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01334.x

Kautz, M., Imron, M.A., Dworschak, K., and Schopf, R. (2016). Dispersal variability and associated population-level consequences in tree-killing bark beetles. *Movement Ecol.* **4**, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-016-0074-9

Kopp, M., and Tollrain, R. (2003). Trophic size polyphenism in *Lembadion bullnium:* costs and benefits of an inducible offense. *Ecology.* **84**(3), 641-651.

Latty, T.M., and Reid, M.L. (2009). First in line or first in time? Effects of settlement order and arrival date on reproduction in a group-living beetle *Dendroctonus ponderosae. J. Anim. Ecol*. **78**, 549-555.

Latty, T.M., and Reid, M.L. (2010). Who goes first? Condition and danger dependent pioneering in a group-killing bark beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **64**, 639-646.

Pitt, C., Robert, J.A., Bonnett, T.R., Keeling, C.I., Bohlmann, J., and Huber, D.P.W. (2014). Proteomics indicators of the rapidly shifting physiology from whole mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* (Coleoptera: Curculioidae), adults during early host colonization. *PLOS One*. **9**(10), e110673.

Pureswaran, D.S., and Borden, J.H. (2003). Is bigger better? Size and pheromone production in the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *J. Insect Behav.* **16**(6), 765-782.

Pureswaran, D.S., Gries, R., Boren, J.H., and Pierce Jr., H.D. (2000). Dynamics of pheromone production and communication in the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins, and the pine engraver, *Ips pini* (Say) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Chemoecology*. **10**, 153-168.

Pureswaran, D.S., Sullivan, B.T., and Ayres, M.P. (2006). Fitness consequences of pheromone production and host selection in a tree-killing bark beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Oecologia*. **148**, 720-728.

Pureswaran, D.S., Sullivan, B.T., and Ayres, M.P. (2008). High individual variation in pheromone production by tree-killing bark beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Naturwissenschaffen*. **95**, 33-44.

R Core Team. (2018). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https://www.R-project.org

Raffa, K. (2001). Mixed messages across multiple trophic levels: the ecology of bark beetle chemical communication systems. *Chemoecology*. **11**, 49-65.

Raffa, K.F., and Berryman, A.A. (1982). Physiological differences between lodgepole pines resistant and susceptible to the mountain pine beetle and associated microorganisms. *Environ. Entomol*. **11**(2), 486-492.

Raffa, K. F., Phillips, T. W., and Salom, S. M. (1993). Strategies and mechanisms of host colonization by bark beetles. *In* Schowalter, T.D. and Filip, G.M. (Eds.) *Beetle Pathogen Interactions in Conifer Forests* (pp. 103-128). Academic Press, London.

Raffa, K.F., Aukema, B.H., Erbilgin, N., Klepzig, K.D., and Wallin, K.F. (2005). Interactions among conifer terpenoids and bark beetles across multiple levels of scale: an attempt to understand links between population patterns and physiological processes. *Recent Adv. Phytochem.* **39**, 79-118.

Raffa, K.F., Aukema, B.H., Bentz, B.J., Carroll, A.L., Hicke, J.A., Turner, M.G., and Romme, W.H. (2008). Cross-scale drivers of natural disturbances prone to anthropogenic amplification: the dynamics of bark beetle eruptions. *BioScience*. **58**(6), 501-517.

Raffa, K.F., Grégoire, J.C., and Lindgren, B.S. (2015). Natural history and ecology of bark beetles. *In* Vega, F.E., and Hofstetter, R.W. (Eds.) *Bark beetles: Biology and ecology of native and invasive species* (pp. 1-40). Academic Press, Elsevier.

Reid, M.L., Sekhon, J.K., and LaFramboise, L.M. (2017). Toxicity of monoterpene structure, diversity and concentration to mountain pine beetles, *Dendroctonus ponderosae*: beetle traits matter more. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **43**, 351-361.

Robertson, C., Nelson, T.A., and Boots, B. (2007). Mountain pine beetle dispersal: The spatial-temporal interaction of infestations. *Forest Sci.* **53**(3), 395-405.

Roff, D.A., and Fairbairn, D.J. (1991). Wing dimorphisms and the evolution of migratory polymorphisms among the Insecta. *Am. Zool*. **31**, 243-251.

Rudinsky, J.A., Morgan, M.E., Libbey, L.M., and Putnam, T.B. (1974). Antiaggregative-rivalry pheromone of the mountain pine beetle, and a new arrestant of the southern pine beetle. *Environ. Entomol.* **3**(1), 90-98.

Safranyik, L., and Carroll, A.L. (2006). The biology and epidemiology of the mountain pine beetle in lodgepole pine forests. *In* L. Safranyik and B. Wilson (Eds.) *The mountain pine beetle a synthesis of biology, management, and impacts on lodgepole pine* (pp. 3–66). Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria.

Safranyik, L., Carroll, A.L., Régnière, J., Langor, D.W., Riel, W.G., Shore, T.L., Peter, B., Cooke, B.J., Nealis, V.G., and Taylor, S.W. (2010). Potential for range expansion of the mountain pine beetle into the boreal forest of North America. *Can. Entomol.* **142**, 415-442.

Shegelski, V.A., Evenden, M.L., and Sperling, F.A.H. (2019). Morphological variation associated with dispersal capacity in tree-killing bark beetle *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins. *Agric. For. Entomol.* **21**(1), 79-87.

Shepherd, W.P., and Sullivan, B.T. (2019). Southern pine beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) pheromone component *trans*-verbenol: enantiomeric specificity and potential as a lure adjuvant. *Environ. Entomol.* **48**(1), 193-201. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy169

Simpson, S.J., Sword, G.A., and Lo, N. (2011). Polyphenism in insects. *Curr. Biol.* **21**(18), R738-R749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.06.006

Song, M., Gorzalski, A., Nguyen, T.T., Liu, X., Jeffery, C., Blomquist, G.J., and Tittiger, C. (2014). *exo*-Brevicomin biosynthesis in the fat body of the mountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae. J. Chem. Ecol.* **40**, 181-189.

Taft, S., Najar, A., and Erbilgin, N. (2015). Pheromone production by an invasive bark beetle varies with monoterpene composition of its naïve host. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **41**, 540-549.

Thompson, S.S., and Bennett, R.B. (1971). Oxidation of fat during flight of male Douglas-fir beetles, *Dendroctonus pseudotsugae. J. Insect Physiol.* **17**, 1555-1563.

Wallin, K.F., and Raffa, K.F. (2000). Influences of host chemicals and internal physiology on the multiple steps of postlanding host acceptance behaviour of *Ips pini* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Physiol. Chem. Ecol.* **29**, 442-453.

Wallin, K.F., and Raffa, K.F. (2002). Prior encounters modulate subsequent choices in host acceptance behaviour by the bark beetle *Ips pini. Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **103**, 205-218.

Wijerathna, A.N., and Evenden, M.L. (2019). Energy use by the mountain pine beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) for dispersal by flight. *Physiol. Entomol. In Press.*

Wijerathna, A.N., Whitehouse, C.M., Proctor, H., and Evenden, M.L. (2019). Testing for trade-offs between flight and reproduction in the mountain pine beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on two pine hosts. *Can. Entomol.* **151**, 298-310.

Figures

Fig 1. Experimental set-up for host colonisation and aeration experiments. For host colonisation, beetles flown on flight mills for 23h were subsequently placed into small cups tied to the side of a healthy bolt. Females that entered within the first 24h were paired with a flown male and subject to the collection of pheromones in the aeration part of the bioassay.

Fig 2. Histogram of flight distribution exhibited by females flown on flight mills for 23 h. The average flight distance was 4.02 ± 0.54 km, with a minimum flight distance of 0.002 km and a maximum flight distance of 22.26 km (N=83).

Fig 3. Histograms of percent weight lost during flight for female mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) that entered hosts (A) and failed to enter hosts (B). Female beetles that failed to enter hosts lost more weight on average than those that entered (χ^2=31.774, p=1.732 x 10^{-8}) (N=83).

Fig 4. Box plots of percent weight lost during the assay for flown female mountain pine (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) beetle that entered lodgepole pine hosts at different times post inoculation. The midline indicates the median and the bottom and top of the box represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. Vertical lines extending from the box (whiskers) represent the maximum and minimum values. Beetles that entered host material (green bars, n=49) lost less weight during the flight treatment compared to those that subsequently failed to enter hosts (yellow bar, n=34) (Z=2.184, p=0.029). Weight lost after flight influenced the length of time it took beetles to initiate colonisation after flight (χ^2=7.0248, p=0.0080) (green bars).

Fig 5. The relationship between percent weight lost during (A), flight distance (B) and subsequent *trans*-verbenol (µg/ml) production for both flown (n=12) and control (n=9) female mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) in lodgepole pine bolts. Beetles that lost more body weight during the assay produced higher amounts of *trans*-verbenol (χ^2= 3.8706, p=0.0491). Flight promoted *trans*-verbenol production in female beetles (χ^2=5.1584, p=0.0231).

Fig 6. The relationship between pre-bioassay weight (A), flight distance (B) and subsequent *exo*-brevicomin production (µg/ml) for flown (n=11) and control (n=7) male mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*) in lodgepole pine bolts. Heavier beetles produced more *exo*-

584 brevicomin (χ^2=5.6937, p=0.0170). Flight distance is negatively associated with *exo*-brevicomin
585 production in male beetles (χ^2=9.5932, p=0.002).

586