CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

I.S.B.N.

THESES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

1

National Library of Canada Collections Development Provedo

Canadian Theses on Microfiche Service

Othalva, Chilling

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada Direction dù développement des collections

Service des thèses canadiennes

4

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the printinal pages were typed with a poor typework of the university sent us a product determined of the principal sent us a product determined of the principal sent us a product determined of the principal sent us as t

Previously copyrighted material Gourrent articles

Repreduction in full or in part of this film is governeed by the Canadian Copyright Act, FLS.C. 1970, r. C. 30. Flease read the exthorization forms this to the this threads

THIS DISSERTATION

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait pervenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de rovus, examens publiés, etc.) ne cont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SPC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des l'imples d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE



0-315-19370-0

National Library of Canada Canadjan Theses Division Ottawa, Canada 🗉

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Division des thèses canadiennes

K1A 0N4

67278

<u>_</u>___

Country of Birth - Lieu de naissance

KOREA

PERMISSION TO MICROFILM - AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMER

• Please print or type -- Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylographier

Full Name of Author - Nom.complet de l'auteur

(HOI, DONG-KOO

Date of Birth -- Date de naissance

Feb. 13, 1946

Permanent Address - Résidence fixe

#4 3720 - 105 St Edmonton, Alberta

<u>CANADA</u>

Title of Thesis - Titre de la thèse

Ficelinic and the first and the

University Université

University of Albertas

 \mathcal{F} \mathcal{D} ter mer eger t

1950

Permissi in is hereby granted to the N/ TIONAL LIERARY OF CATIADA to make the this these and to lond or gett rapid out the film

The author reserves other publication rights and neither 11 thes's on prior is a adeapted to only he pristed on the And the second the states

The to more the

The second secon

L'autorisation est par la présente, accordée à la /3(BLIC 1/1È) QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de mistrofilmes sette thêze et de prêtor ou de vendre des exemplaires du film

L'outour se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thoma ni de lerra autraits de colle ri ne dhi ert être i aprimé. autrements and the energy to a should be the

1.4

61%

NE 91 (4/77)



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

1

**;

ALGEBRAIC COMPUTATIONS OF SCALED PADE FRACTIONS

ŝ,

ł

by Dong-Koo Choi

A thesis

submitted to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Computing Science

Finanton, Albrita

"T'' 'p 100+

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA



Name of author: Dong-Koo Choi Title of thesis: Algebraic Computations of Scaled Pade Fractions Degree for which this thesis was presented: Doctor of Philosophy Year this degree was granted: 1984

> Permission is hereby granted to The University of Alberta Library to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

1

φ.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(Signed)

Permanent Address:

#4 3720-105 Street Edmonton, Alberta Canada

TYPE TOTAL TO SA LAN 1097

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled "Algebraic Computations of Scaled Pade Fractions", submitted by Dong-Koo Choi in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

4.5

Date .

Prof. S. Cabay Supervisor

Prof. C. Addison

Prof. W. Armstrong

2.2.2 Prof. J. Tartar

Prof . V. Gourishankar

Prof. K. Geddes External Examiner

ABSTRACT

Two companion algorithms are developed for constructing Pade fractions along an offdiagonal path of the Pade table for a function -A(z)/B(z), where A(z) and B(z) are formal power series over a field.

One of the algorithms computes the first *n* Pade fractions along the off-diagonal in time $O(n^2)$. When A(z) and B(z) are finite power series (i.e., polynomials), it is shown that the algorithm is equivalent to Euclid's extended algorithm for computing greatest common divisors.

The other algorithm, a generalization of the first, proceeds along the off-diagonal in quadratic steps, and is of complexity $O(n \log^2 n)$. When A(z) and B(z) are polynomials, the second algorithm becomes a fast Euclid's extended algorithm for computing greatest common divisors. The algorithm is of the same complexity as other fast greatest common divisor methods, but its iterative nature provides a practical advantage during implementation.

The algorithms may also be used for computing Pade fractions along an anti-diagonal path of the Pade table, as well. The fast algorithm is of the same complexity as other fast algorithms for anti-diagonal computations. However, it has the advantage of being able to determine easily any specific Pade fraction along the anti-diagonal.

Finally, it is shown that two successive Pade fractions can be used to obtain the inverse of \langle Hankel and Toeplitz matrices in time $O(n \log^2 n)$.

1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

14

I am deeply indebted to my thesis supervisor, Dr. S. Cabay, for introducing me to the problems, guiding me at each stage of my research, and offering valuable suggestions for and criticisms of the dissertation.

I would like to thank my committee members, Dr. C. Addison, Dr. K. Geddes, Dr. V, Gourishankar, Dr. J. Tartar, and Dr. W. Amstrong for the valuable comments on many improvements in the dissertation. I also wish to thank Mrs. S. Lim for her sincere help in typing and producing the dissertation.

Both the financial and technical supports provided by the Department of Computing Science are gratefully acknowledged.

Most of all, I thank my family, who gave me encouragement when I needed it most, and endured five years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

• .

v

٥

~

	Page
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 PADE THEORY	. 4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Pade Forms	4
2.2.1 Definitions	4 .
2.2.2 Existence and Non-uniqueness	6
2.2.3 General Structure of Pade Forms	10
2.3 Pade Fractions	15
2.3.1 Scaled Pade Fractions	15
2.3.2 Non-scaled Pade Fractions	16
CHAPTER 3 COMPUTATION OF OFF-DIAGONAL SCALED PADE FRACTIONS	, •
	18
3.1 Introduction	18
3.2 Preliminary Results	19
3.3 Fast Off-diagonal Algorithm for a Single Power Series	29
3.3.1 The Algorithm	29
3.3.2 Proof of algorithm validity	33
3.3.3 Cost Analysis	· 36 `
3.4 Fast Off diagonal Algorithm for a Quotient Power Series	41
3.5 Classical Off diagonal Algorithm for a Quotient Power Series	45

CHAPTER 4 GREATEST COMMON DIVIS	OR COMPUT	TATIONS O	F POLYNOM	/II -	•••
ALS			•		50
4.1 Introduction			•••••••	••••	50
4.2 Euclid's Extended Algorithm					51
4.3 Fast GCD Computations		-	•		55
4.4 Antidiagonal Computations	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	56
CHAPTER 5 THE INVERSE OF, HANKEL A	AND TOEPLI	TZ MATRI	CES		60
5.1 Introduction			······	•••••	60
5.2 The Inverse Formula	••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	60
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGES	STIONS FOR	FUTURE R	ESEARCH .	•••••	71
					•.

وربو المحمة

- 17

74

BIBI	JOGI	RAPH	Υ
- uuu	4001		4

į

ł١

vij

ί,

Ŷ

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The Pade table of a fomal power series

$$A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i z^i$$
 (1.1)

is a doubly management of rational functions

$$\frac{U_{max}(z)}{V_{max}(z)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} u_i z^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} v_i z^i}$$
(1.2)

determined in such a manner that the Mactaurin expansion of $U_{mn}(z)/V_{mn}(z)$ agrees with A(z) as far as possible. The power series A(z) is said to be normal if, for each pair (m,n), this agreement is exact through the power z^{m+n} . The foundation for the development of Pade theory was laid by Cauchy(1821) in this famous "Cour d'Analyse". Later, Frobenius(1881) developed the basic algorithmic aspects of the theory, and Pade(1892) treated in detail certain abnormal cases.

Since Pade's time, Pade tables have become a classical tool of analysis. Their analytical properties have been studied in great depth and are surveyed, for example, by Gragg [GRA74] and by Baker [BAK75]. Traditionally, it is assumed that the coefficients in (1.1) and (1.2) lie in the field of complex numbers, and that the power series and the rational functions are to be evaluated af certain points in the complex plane.

Although the results obtained in this thesis are likely to have an impact in an analytical (or numerical) setting, the effects of this impact are not examined. The issues addressed are strictly algebraic ones: that is, no consideration is given to the goodness of the approximation of (1.2) to (1.1). Instead, the objective is to provide an effective tool to algebraically manipulate rational (un

tions as truncated power series (for which the cost of operations is relatively cheap), and to transform back to rational form on request. It is assumed that the coefficients lie in an arbitrary field.

Æ,

Ø

The coefficients of the rational function (1.2) satisfy an under-determined system of linear equations, known as the Hankel system. Various properties of the family of solutions to the Hankel sytem are described in Chapter 2. All the results given are those of Pade, and are included for the sake of completeness and for reference ease. The Hankel system can be solved directly for the coefficients of $U_{mn}(z)$ and $V_{mn}(z)$. With coefficients over a field, Rissannen [RIS73], for example, provides an algorithm which requires $O(n^2)$ arithmetic operations over the field. On the other hand, with coefficients over an arbitrary integral domain, Geddes [GED79] gives a fraction-free algorithm which requires $O(n^3)$ arithmetic operations over the integral domain.

Various relationships are known to exist between neighboring elements in the Pade table. These relationships have been used to derive numerous $O(n^2)$ methods for computing a sequence of elements in the Pade table. A survey and comparison of these methods are given in Breziński [*BRZ76*], Claessens, [*CLA75*] and Wynn [*WYN60*]. All these methods have a major flaw; they may fail in the abnormal case. In Chapter 3, a new relationship between elements lying along an offdiagonal path in the Pade table is derived. This leads to yet another $O(n^2)$ method, however, the new method succeeds in the abnormal case. Furthermore, if the coefficient field has an appropriate *n*-th root of unity (which permits fast multiplication and division of polynomials), the asymptotic complexity of the algorithm becomes $O(n \log^2 n)$.

The new algorithm can be applied to the quotient of two power series. Then, in particular, it can be applied to the quotient of two finite power series (i.e. the quotient of two polynomials). In Chapter 4, it is shown that if all elements along a specific off-diagonal of the Pade table are computed, then the new elgorithm is equivalent to Euclid's extended algorithm for computing greates' common divisors. Furthermore, if fast polynomial operations can be performed, the new

1

,

algorithm can compute the greatest common divisor of two polynomials in $O(n \log^2 n)$ arithmetic operations. The algorithm has three advantages over the other fast methods (Moenck [MOE73], Aho et al[AHO74], and Brent et al [BRE 80]) for computing greatest common divisors. It is basically an iterative algorithm rather than a recursive one, and consequently, significant cost savings can result during implementation. Secondly, it produces intermediate polynomial remainder sequences as a by-product, which is a valuable feature for some applications. Finally, various I details about the nature of its behavior are easier to comprehend.

The algorithm can be applied to the quotient of the reciprocals of two truncated power series (polynomials). It is shown in Section 4.4 that this yields successive elements along an anti-diagonal path of the Pade table.

In Chapter 5, it is shown that two successive elements along the diagonal path of the Pade table can be used to find the inverse of a Hankel matrix. Therefore, if fast polynomial operations are possible, the inverse of a Hankel matrix of order n can be determined in $O(n \log^2 n)$ arithmetic operations. The new inversion method handles abnormalities with greater ease, and less cost, than does Brent et al's algorithm [*BRE*80]. Furthermore, by proceeding along an anti-diagonal path, the algorithm can be used to compute the inverse of a Torphitz matrix of order n in time $O(n \log^2 n)$.

CHAPTER 2

PADE THEORY

2.1. Introduction

Ŕ

This chapter examines the theoretical background of Pade theory. None of the results are new; however, some of the proofs may be original. All of the proofs are obtained directly from the properties of Hankel systems.

The highlight of this chapter is Corollary 2.6, due to Pade, which completely describes the family of solutions to the Hankel system. We define one specific member of this family to be a scaled Pade fraction. Scaled Pade fractions exist uniquely, and are fundamental to the development of subsequent algorithms.

2.2. Pade Forms

2.2.1. Definitions

The class P of formal power series over a field F consists of expressions of the form

$$A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i z^i$$

with coefficients $a_i \in \mathbf{F}$ We denote the units of \mathbf{P} by

$$\mathbf{U} = \{ A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i z^i \mid a_0 \neq 0, A(z) \in \mathbf{P} \}.$$

Associated with each such unit is a set of rational functions defined as follows:

Definition: Let $A(r) \in U$, and let m and n be non-negative integers. The rational form

$$\frac{U_{mn}(z)}{V_{mn}(z)} = \frac{u_0 + u_1 z + \cdots + u_m z^m}{v_0 + v_1 z + \cdots + v_n z^n}$$
(2.1)

5

is called a Pade form of type (m, n) for $\Lambda(\tau)$ if

. ·

. .

(a)
$$V_{max}(z) \neq 0$$
, and (2.2)

(b)
$$A(z) V_{mn}(z) = O(z^{m+n+1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (2.3)

The (algebraic) O-symbol indicates that the right side is a power series beginning with the prover series beginning beginning with the prover series beginning beginnin

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i-1} (v_{i})^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u_{i-1} & 0 & 1, \dots & 1, \\ 1 & i & 1, \dots & 1, \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)



. 1

en en el argon "

and a second second

¹ By convention, y = 0 if y = 0

⁷ Through in the state state of a state state of the state s



The matrix

Ì

 $H_{--} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m-n+1} & a_{m} \\ & & \\ a_{m} & & a_{m+n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ (2.7)

associated with the provide A(z) is called a Hankel matrix. With this definition, the system (1.6) here are

I will the determinant of H_ by det(H_), and let (H+(11)) - 1

2.2.7 Fristerice and Non uniqueness

Theorem 2.1 (Fredenine). There always exists a non-trivial solution of the system: (7.5) and (7.6). Upin alently Finds (or no of type (a, a) (ar. 4(a) + U always exist

From The misterior of T^{*} (v_{n} , v_{n}) (atisfying (2.6) follows immediately from the fact that the $n \ge 1$ or $2 \min (r^{-1} th = n) \sin t$ in (2^{-1}) are vectors of length r and must therefore be linewly denote to T^{*} (r = 1) (r = 1) r = 1 (r = 2) r = 1 (r = 2) r = 1 (r = 2) r = 1) r = 1 It is clear that if U_{mn}^{i} and V_{mn}^{i} is a solution of (2.5) and (2.6), then so is $\alpha \cdot U_{mn}^{i}$ and $\alpha \cdot V_{mn}^{i}$, where α is a non-zero constant. The non-uniqueness of the solution, however, is more profound than this, and the next few results identify the true nature of the family of solutions.

Lemma 2.2: Let $U_{mn}(z)/V_{mn}^{A}(z)$ be a Pade form of type (m, n) for $\Lambda(z) \in U$, and let D(z) be a non-zero polynomial of degree $\partial(D) \leq \lambda$, where $\lambda = \min\{m - \partial(U_{mn}), n - \partial(V_{mn})\}$ Then $U_{mn}(z)/V_{mn}(z)$, where

$$U_{mn}(z) = U_{mn}(z)D(z) \quad \text{and} \quad U_{mn}(z) = U_{mn}(z)D(z)$$

is also a Pade form of type (m, n) for $\Lambda(r)$

- 2

Fronf: With the $U_{\mu\nu}(z)$ and $V_{\mu\nu}(z)$ defined above constitution (2.5) because

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u_{m} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m} & n & a_{v} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{v} & \vdots \\ a$$

. الج^{رر} و

the start to the zero or of Lopth 2 the start sale of the start

7

 $= \begin{bmatrix} a_{m-n} & \cdots & a_{m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n} & \cdots & a_{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_{0} & \cdots & d_{\lambda} \\ & & & d_{\lambda} \\ & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & d_{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{\lambda} \\ v_{n-\lambda} \\ \vdots \\ v_{0} \end{bmatrix}$

That is,



8

Therefore U_{-} and V_{-} satisfy equation (2.5). The fact that V'_{ma} also satisfies (2.6) follows from



.

In the last equality of (2.10), use was made both of equation (2.9) and of equation (2.6) with $V_{mn}^{t} = (0_{\lambda}, v_{n-\lambda}, \cdots, v_{0})$

The converse of Lemma 2.2 is given by

Lemma 2.3. Let $U'_{mn}(z)/V'_{mn}(z)$ be a Pade form of type (m, n) for $A(z) \in U$, and let D(z) $D(0) \neq 0$, he a common divisor of U'_{mn} and V'_{mn} . Then $U_{mn}(z)/V_{mn}(z)$, where

$$U_{mn}(z) = U'_{mn}(z)/D(z)$$
 and $V_{mn}(z) = V_{mn}(z) - D(z)$

· .

is also a Pade form of type (m, n) for i(-)

0

=

. •

Frond: The arguments for the priced are similar to these of the proof of Lemma 2.2 m

The condition don *P*(0) < 0 is the maximum 1.1 is near any states of the distribution signal of the distribution of the distrebution of the distribution of the distr

The many set $\mathcal{F} = \{1, \dots, 1\}$ is the set of $\mathcal{F}_2^3 \neq \mathcal{F}_2^3 + \mathcal{F}_2^$

z' yield a Paste form the contract of

$$V(z) V'_{2}(z) = z_{2}(z) = O(z')$$

A converse the intervention of the first of the section of the rational function of the rational

A second s

(2.10)

$A(z)\cdot V(z) - U(z) = O(z^6).^{\circ}$

2.2.3. General Structure of Pade Forms

Let H_{ma} be given. If det $(H_{ma}) \neq 0$, then system (2.8) exhibits a one-parameter family of solutions. Indeed, if det $(H_{ma}) \neq 0$ and $v_0 = 1$ in (2.8), then the solution of (2.8) for $V_{ma}(z)$ and of (2.5) for $U_{ma}(z)$ is unique. Pade forms for which V(0) = 1, i.e., $v_0 = 4$ are said to be normalized. In addition, we have

Lemma 2.4. If $det(H_{mn}) \neq 0$, then the solutions U_{mn} and V_{mn} of (2.5) and (2.6) are relatively prime.

Proof: Suppose the contrary, and let D(z) be a non-trivial common divisor of $U_{mn}(z)$ and $V_{mn}(z)$.

If D(0) = 0, then $V_{max}(0) = 0$, i.e., $v_0 = 0$. Consequently, (2.8) has only the trivial solution and this contradicts the definition of a Pade form.

If $D(0) \neq 0$, let $\overline{D}(z) = D(z)/D(0)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, $U'(z) = U(z)/\overline{D}(z)$ and $V(\tau) = V(z)/\overline{D}(z)$ are solutions to systems (2.5) and (2.6). But V'(0) = V(0), and equation (2.8) together with the condition that $\det(H_{mn}) \neq 0$ then imply that V'(z) = V(z). Thus, D(z) must be a polynomial of degree zero, which contradicts the initial assumption that D(z) is non-trivial.

The converse of Lemma 2.4, however, is not true, as can be seen in the following example,

Example 2.2: Consider again the power series A(z) in example 2.1. It can be verified that a Pade form of type (4, 3) for A(z) is $U_{4,3}(z)/V_{4,3}(z) = (1 - z + z^3)/(1 - 2z + z^2)$, where $U_{4,3}(z)$ and $V_{4,2}(z)$ are relatively prime. However, the Hankel matrix

$$H_{4,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

prescripted with the Ende form of type (1. ?) is singular, in that $\det(H_{i,j}) = 0$.

Given the Hankel matrix H_{ma} , let $H_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}$ be its largest non-singular principal submatrix. That is, λ is the smallest non-negative integer for which det $(H_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}) \neq 0$. From Lemma 2.4, a Pade form

$$\frac{U_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{\bullet}(z)}{V_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{\bullet}(z)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-\lambda} u_i^{\bullet} z^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-\lambda} v_i^{\bullet} z^i}$$
(2.11)

of type $(m-\lambda, n-\lambda)$ for A(z) exists, where $U^*_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}(z)$ and $V^*_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}(z)$ are relatively prime and $v^*_0 \neq 0$. The Pade form is unique up to a multiplicative constant and satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{\mathbf{m}-\lambda}^{\bullet} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ u_{0}^{\bullet} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{\mathbf{m}-\lambda} & \cdots & a_{\mathbf{m}-\lambda} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{-n+\lambda} & \cdots & a_{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-\lambda}^{\bullet} \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^{\bullet} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.12)

and

4

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{m-n+1} & \cdots & a_{m-k+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m-1} & & a_{m-n-2\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-\lambda}^* \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^* \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.13)

In addition.

$$A(z) = V_{m-\lambda,m-\lambda}^{\bullet}(z) = U_{m-\lambda,m-\lambda}^{\bullet}(z) = -m^{m-\lambda-m-\lambda-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-\lambda-1} r_i z^i, \qquad (2.14)$$

where $r_0 \neq 0$, if $k < \infty$

D

Theorem 2.5: Let λ be such that $H_{n-\lambda,n-\lambda}$ is the largest non-singular principal submatrix in H_{nn} , and let k be determined by $(2.14)_{k}$. Then $k > \lambda$. In addition, let $l = \min\{-2\lambda, k\}$. Then a basis for the solution space of



12

(2.15)

is given by the $l-\lambda+1$ vectors

$$\{(v_{n-\lambda}^*,\cdots,v_0^*,0_{\lambda})^t,\cdots,(0_{l-\lambda},v_{n-\lambda}^*,\cdots,v_0^*,0_{2\lambda-l})^t\}.$$

Proof: If $\lambda = 0$, then the theorem follows trivially from Lemma 2.4. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the multiplication of (2.15) by



yields



in the case that $k - \lambda$, or





in the case that $k \ge \lambda$. But the largest non-singular principal submatrix in (2.16) has order n, which violates the assumption that $\lambda \ne 0$. Thus, when $\lambda \ne 0$, only (2.17) is possible, which proves that $k \ge \lambda$.

From (2.17), it follows that the coefficient matrix of (2.17) has rank $n + \lambda - l$, and therefore the solution space of (2.15) is of dimension $l - \lambda + 1$. But, using (2.13) and (2.14), it is easy to see that all the vectors

 $\{(v_{n-\lambda}^{\bullet}, \cdots, v_{0}^{\bullet}, 0_{\lambda})^{t}, \cdots, (0_{l-\lambda}, v_{n-\lambda}^{\bullet}, \cdots, v_{0}^{\bullet}, 0_{2\lambda-l})^{t}\}$

satisfy (2.17);

Corollary 2.6 (Pade): The general solution of



and

£

 $\begin{bmatrix} a_{m-1} & a_{m-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m} & a_{m+n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n} \\ \vdots \\ v_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

is given by

$$U_{mn}(z) = z^{2\lambda-l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-\lambda} \alpha_i z^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-\lambda} u_i^* z^i \right)$$
(2.18)

14

0

$$V_{mn}(z) = z^{2\lambda - l} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-\lambda} \alpha_i z^i \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-\lambda} v_i^* z^i \right) , \qquad (2.19)$$

, where l and λ are defined in Theorem 2.5 and α_i , $0 \le i \le l - \lambda$, is arbitrary.

Proof: Linear combinations of the basis vectors for the solution space can be written as

$$V_{mn} = \alpha_{l-\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-\lambda}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^{*} \\ 0_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + \alpha_{0} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{l-\lambda} \\ v_{n-\lambda}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^{*} \\ 0_{2\lambda-l} \end{bmatrix}$$

0

1

which in polynomial form becomes (2.19). Furthermore, using equation (2.12) and the results of Theorem 2.5, it follows that

α₀ Ο_{2λ} -

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0_{i} \\ u_{m-\lambda}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ u_{0}^{*} \\ 0_{\lambda-i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m-n} & \cdots & a_{m} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{-n} & \cdots & a_{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{i} \\ v_{n-\lambda}^{*} \\ \vdots \\ v_{0}^{*} \\ 0_{\lambda-i} \end{bmatrix}$$

for $0 \le i \le l - \lambda$. Thus, the polynomial form for $U_{ma}(z)$ corresponding to $V_{ma}(z)$ is given by (2.18).

2.3. Pade Fractions

×

2.3.1. Scaled Pade Fractions

Let H_{mn} for $A(z) \in U$ be given, and let $H_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}$ be its largest non-singular principal submatrix. If the rational function

$$\frac{U_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{*}(z)}{V_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{*}(z)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m-\lambda} u_{i}^{*} z^{i}}{\sum_{i=0}^{n-\lambda} v_{i}^{*} z^{i}}$$
(2.20)

is the Pade form of type $(m - \lambda, n - \lambda)$ for A(z), then

Definition: The scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) for A(z) is defined to be $\gamma_{mn}(z) = S_{mn}(z) / T_{mn}(z)$, where

$$S_{mn}(z) = z^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{m-k} u_{i}^{\frac{k}{2}}$$
(2.21)

$$T_{ma}(z) = z^{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^{n-\lambda} v_i^* z^i . \qquad (2.22)$$

Theorem 2.7: The scaled Pade fraction γ_{mn} of type (m,n) for A(z) is a Pade form of type (m,n) for A(z). Furthermore, γ_{mn} is unique up to a multiplicative constant.

Proof: The scaled Fide fraction is obtakined simply by setting $\alpha_{l-\lambda} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{l-\lambda} = -\alpha_{l-\lambda} = 0$ in equations (2.18) and (2.19) of Corollary 2.6.

As an immediate consequence, we have

Corollary 2.8. det $(11...) \neq 0$ if and only if $T_{ma}(0) \neq 0$

An alternative definition of scaled Pade fractions is given by

15

Theorem 2.9. $S_{mn}(z)/T_{mn}(z)$, where $T_{mn}(z) \neq 0$ is the scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) for A(z) if

16

a) min {
$$m - \partial(S_{mn})$$
 , $n - \partial(T_{mn})$ }= 0,

(b)
$$GCD(S_{mn}, T_{mn}) = z^{\lambda_{mn}}$$
, for some $\lambda_{mn} \ge 0$, and

(c)
$$A(z) \cdot T_{mn}(z) - S_{mn}(z) = O(z^{m+n+1})$$
.

Proof: The result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7.

Thus, when normalized, the scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for the exists uniquely, and in addition satisfies the systems (2.5) and (2.6). This is tremended to the tage for our purposes over other definitions of Pade fractions described below, since it simplifies the development of algorithms in subsequent chapters.

2.3.2. Non-scaled Pade Fractions

Let $U_{mn}(z) / V_{mn}(z)$ be a Pade form of type (m,n) for $\Lambda(z)$, and let

$$D(z) = GCD(U_{max}, V_{max}).$$

Gragg [GRA 72] defines the Pade fraction of type (m, n) for A(z) to be $P_{mn}(z) / Q_{mn}(z)$, where

$$\mathcal{P}_{mn}(z) = U_{mn}(z) \cdot \mathcal{D}(z)$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{mn}(z) = V_{mn}(z) \cdot \mathcal{D}(z) ,$$

and in addition $Q_{na}(0) = 1$.

That is

$$P_{m,n}(z) = z^{-\lambda} S_{m,n}(z) = II_{m-\lambda,n-1}^{*}(z)$$

$$Q_{mn}(z) = z^{-\lambda} T_{mn}(z) = V_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{\bullet}(z) ,$$

where $U_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{*}(z)$ and $V_{m-\lambda,n-\lambda}^{*}(z)$ are defined in (2.20). Thus, the Pade fraction of type (m,n)always exists and is unique. However, $P_{mn}(z) / Q_{mn}(z)$ is a member of the family of Pade forms of type (m,n) for A(z) given by (2.18) and (2.19) only if $l = 2\lambda$, or equivalently, if $k \ge 2\lambda$ in equation (2.14). Thus, in the case that $k \ge 2\lambda$, the Pade fraction is obtained from the Pade form by setting $\alpha_0 = 1, \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{l-\lambda} = 0$ in equation (2.18) and (2.19). In the remaining case that $k < 2\lambda$, the Pade fraction $P_{mn}(z) / Q_{mn}(z)$ does not satisfy the systems (7.5) and (2.6)

Baker's [BAK75] perspective is different in a very subtle way. He requires that $P_{mn}(z) / Q_{mn}(z)$ satisfies (2.5) and (2.6) and in addition $Q_{mn}(0) = 1$ and $GCD(P_{mn}, Q_{mn}) = 1$. But once again, from Theorem 2.5, this is possible only if k > 2), in which case $P_{mn}(z) / Q_{mn}(z)$, is obtained by setting $\alpha_0 = 1, \alpha_1 = -\alpha_{1-k} = 0$ in the equations (2.18) and (2.19). Thus, from Baker's point of view, a Partir fraction may not exist but whenever it does, it is unique and satisfies systems (2.5) and (2.6).

17

ç,

CHAPTER 3

 \mathcal{E}_{i}^{j}

COMPUTATION OF OFF-DIAGONAL SCALED PADE FRACTIONS

39

3.1. Introduction

In this chapter, derived is a new relationship between three scaled Pade fractions lying along an off-diagonal path of the Pade table for a power series A(z). This relationship is described in Section 3.2 and is used in Section 3.3 to develop an algorithm to iteratively compute a sequence of scaled Pade fractions along the off-diagonal path. However, the algorithm is not totally iterative, since the relationship recursively involves a scaled Pade fraction of a different power series $\overline{A}(z)$, computed from A(z). By doubling the step size along the off-diagonal path at each iteration, it is shown that the complexity of the algorithm for computing the n-th scaled Pade fraction along the path is $(n \log^2 n)$, assuming fast polynomial methods are used.

The algorithm of Section 3.3 can be used to compute scaled Pade fractions for the quotient A(z)/R(z) of two power series A(z) and R(z). This can be accomplished by formally computing the inverse of R(z), multiplying it by A(z), and then applying the algorithm to the result. The dominating cost of this procedure is the cost of computing the inverse of B(z). This cost, here ever, can be eliminated by an obvious modification of the algorithm, which is given in Section 3.4.

If the step-size along the off-diagonal path is chortened, all scaled Pade fractions along the path can be computed. The recursive call alluded to earlier then becomes trivial, and the reculting algorithm, given in Section 3.5, becomes truly iterative. In the case that the path is along the diagonal the algorithm is identical to the one given by Callay and Kau [CABP3]. This algorithm can

a ston advant on of the trade prominity out at any file complexity (2(12))

3.2. Preliminary Results

.

- The model and fractions can be arranged in a doubly infinite array as follows:

martine and the providence of all a aled Pade fractions of succession (m,n) for all the of the

÷.

.

8.

	Y 1 Ya 1	Y 1 J Y 1 J	Υ Υ	У 1 У 1
	Υ 1. Υσ 1. Υσ 1.	y	× ,	, .
•••	н.,			

is the destanded nonler Fr le table for Ate. The mulifly

we can a straining to the contrate product pression of the second second second second second second second second

and the second second

the first test and the first state of the first sta

Yn -

Tet mand on he now negative surgers is to that a set of the match set the second

الهاية الشافات الماسية المستشب الم

Note that the (extent 's ended to the above correction with the total to the total of total of the total of the total of the total of total of

with the construction of the scaled Pade fraction $\gamma_{max}(z)$, given that $\Gamma_N(A)$ already exists. Without loss of generality, assume that $m \ge n$ (otherwise, the same arguments can be applied to $1/A(z) \in U$). Let

0

$$M = N + (m - n) \tag{3.3}$$

Then, (m, n) and (M, N) both lie along the (m-n)th off-diagonal path of the scaled Pade table I(1) (see Figure 3.1.)



Figure '1



. 20

23

(3.4)

where $\mu_{MN} \ge 0$, and $R_1(0) \ne 0$ if $\mu_{MN} < \infty$. Let

$$z^{\lambda_{MN}} = GCD(S_{MN}, T_{MN})$$
(3.5)

To construct $\gamma_{mn}(z)$, two separate cases, $\mu_{MN} \ge (n-N)$ and $\mu_{MN} \le (n-N)$, prise. These two cases are considered seperately in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4.

• .. •

Theorem 3.1: If $\mu_{MN} = (n N)$ in (3.1), then the control $\Gamma_{12}(\tau)$ (contion $\gamma_{mn}(\tau) = S_{mn}(\tau)/T_{mn}(\tau)$ is given by

$$S_{mn}(z) = z^{n-1} S_{MP}(z)$$
 (3.6)

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{mn}(\tau) = \tau^{n-N-\tau} (\tau)$$
(7.7)

Front Clearly from relation (3.3), it follows that

0

$$\frac{\partial(S_{n}) = n \cdot N + \theta(S_{n})}{\leq n + M - N}$$

Similarly $\partial(T_{mn}) \leq n$. Furthermore, it is clear that $\min\{m \in \partial(S_{mn}), n \in \partial(T_{mn})\} = 0$ from the equation only $\{M \in \partial(S_{mn}), M \in \partial(T_{mn})\} = 0$: Using the fact that $(n \in N) \leq 1 \leq n \leq 1$ if $f^{-1}(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

$$Z^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} - z^{n-k} \left(A(z) \mathcal{T}_{V_{i}}(z) - \mathcal{R}_{V_{i}}(z) \right) \right)$$
$$= z^{n-k} \left(z^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} R_{i}(z) - \frac{1}{2} R_{i}(z) \right)$$
$$= z^{n-k} - \frac{1}{2} R_{i}(z)$$

 $T_{i} = T_{i} \left(G^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S_{\mu \nu}, T_{\mu \nu} \right) \right) = e^{-T_{i}} \left(C^{\mu} \mathcal{D} \left(S$

more than the second of the

1 ¹

ē.

$$M^* = M - \lambda_{MN} - 1 , \qquad (3.8)$$

$$N^* = N - \lambda_{MN} - 1 \tag{3.9}$$

(see Figure 3.1.) Clearly, the scaled fraction $\gamma_{M^*N^*}(z)$ for A(z) satisfies

$$A(z) \quad T_{M^*N^*}(z) \quad S_{M^*N^*}(z) = z^{M^*+N^*+1}R_0(z) , \qquad (3.10)$$

where $R_0(0) \neq 0$. In addition, by Theorem 2.7 and by the definition of M^* and N^* , it follows that

$$S_{MN}(z) / T_{NN}(z) \neq S_{M^*N^*}(z) / T_{M^*N^*}(z) .$$
(3.11)

From the two unit power series, $R_1(r)$ and $R_0(z)$ given by (3.4) and (3.10), respectively,

$$\bar{A}(z) = R_0(z) / R_1(z)$$
 (3.12)

Associated with $\overline{A}(z) \in U$, let

$$\widetilde{m} = n - N + \lambda_{MN} , \qquad (3.13)$$

$$\bar{n} = n - N - \mu_{MN} - 1 . \tag{3.14}$$

Now assume that the \overline{n} -truncated scaled Pade table; $\Gamma_{\overline{n}}(\overline{A})$, for $\overline{A}(z)$ has been constructed, as well. That is assume that the $(\overline{m}, \overline{n})$ scaled Fade fraction, $\overline{\gamma_{\overline{m}\overline{n}}}(z) = \overline{S_{\overline{m}\overline{n}}}(z) / \overline{T_{\overline{m}\overline{n}}}(z)$, such that

$$\vec{A}(r) = \vec{T}_{\pi,\pi}(r) = \vec{S}_{\pi,\pi}(r) = \mathcal{O}((r^{\pi+\pi+\pi+1}))$$
(3.15)

to a clable

The scaled Face fractions $\gamma_{WN}(z)$, $\gamma_{W'N''}(z)$ and $\overline{\gamma_{wn}}(z)$ provide sufficient information to obtain directly a Face form of type (m,n) for A(z). This Fade form is constructed in Lemma 3.2 below. It is shown, later in Theorem 2.1, that this form is also the scaled Fade fraction of type (m,n)(z, z)(z, z).

22

Contraction of the second s

Lemma 3.2: Let $\mu_{MN} < (n-N)$ in equation (3.4), and let

$$S_{mn}(z) = z^{-\lambda_{MN}} \hat{S}_{MN}(z) \, \bar{S}_{\bar{m}\,\bar{n}}(z) - z^{\bar{n}} \, S_{M^{*}N^{*}}(z) \, \bar{T}_{\bar{m}\,\bar{n}}(z)$$
(3.16)

$$T_{mn}(z) = z^{-\lambda_{MN}} T_{MN}(z) \, \bar{S}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}(z) - z^{\bar{n}} \, T_{M^{\bullet}N^{\bullet}}(z) \, \bar{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}(z), \qquad (3.17)$$

where $\alpha = \lambda_{MN} + \mu_{MN} + 2$. Then $S_{mn}(z) / T_{mn}(z)$ is a Pade form of type (m,n) for A(z).

Proof:

A

$$(S_{mn}) = \max\{\partial(z^{\lambda_{MN}}S_{MN}\tilde{S}_{\overline{mn}}), \partial(z^{n}S_{M^{*}N^{*}}\tilde{T}_{\overline{mn}})\}$$

$$\leq \max\{-\lambda_{MN} + M + \overline{m}, \alpha + M^{*} + \overline{n}\}$$

$$= \max\{-\lambda_{MN} + M + (n - N + \lambda_{MN}), (\lambda_{MN} + \mu_{MN} + 2) + (M - \lambda_{MN} - 1) + (n - N - \mu_{MN} - 1)\}$$

$$= \max\{m, m\}$$

$$\equiv m$$

Similarly, $\partial(T_{mn}) \leq n$ Moreover, the fact that $S_{MN}(z) / T_{MN}(z)$, $S_{MN}(z) / T_{NN}(z)$ and $\overline{S}_{\pi\pi}(z) / \overline{T}_{\pi\pi}(z)$ are the scaled Fade fractions yields immediately that

$$\min\{m = \partial(S_{m_1}) \cdot \pi = \partial(T_{m_2})\} = 0$$

Truthe more from (1.1), (1.10), (3.12) and (3.15), it follows that

$$\{\tau_{i}, \tau_{i}, \tau_{i}$$

· · · · · · ·

'}

$$= z^{M+N+\mu_{MN}-\lambda_{MN}+1} \{R_{1}(z) \ \overline{S}_{\overline{mn}}(z) - R_{0}(z) \ \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}(z)\}$$

$$= -R_{1}(z) \ z^{M+N+\mu_{MN}-\lambda_{MN}+1} \{ \ \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \ R_{0}(z) \ / \ R_{1}(z) - \ \overline{S}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \}$$

$$= -R_{1}(z) \ z^{M+N+\mu_{MN}-\lambda_{MN}+1} O(z^{\overline{n}+\overline{n}+1})$$

$$= O(z^{M+N+\mu_{MN}-\lambda_{MN}+1+\overline{m}+\overline{n}+1})$$

In order that S_{\min} / T_{\min} in (3.16) and (3.17) be a scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n), it remains to show that $GCD(S_{\min}, T_{\min}) = z^{\lambda_{\min}}$ for some λ_{\min} . With this intent, consider again the $(\overline{m}, \overline{n}) - th$ entry of $\Gamma_{\overline{n}}(\overline{A})$, and let

$$\overline{m}^{*} = \overline{m} - \overline{\lambda}_{\overline{m}\overline{n}} - 1 \tag{3.18}$$

$$\overline{n} = \overline{m} - \lambda_{\overline{m}\overline{n}} - 1, \qquad (3.19)$$

where

$$z^{\overline{\lambda_{mn}}} = GCD(\overline{S}_{\overline{m}\overline{n}}, \overline{T}_{\overline{m}\overline{n}}).$$
(3.20)

The scaled Pade fraction $\overline{\gamma}_{\overline{m}^*,\overline{z}^*}(z)$ for $\overline{A}(z)$ satisfies

$$\overline{1}\overline{T}_{\pi^{*}\pi^{'}} \quad \overline{S}_{\pi^{*}\pi^{*}} = O_{\mathcal{E}}(z^{\overline{m}^{*}+\pi^{*}+1})$$
$$= O_{\mathcal{E}}(z^{\overline{m}^{*}+\pi^{*}+1}).$$
(3.21)

and, in addition,

$$\frac{\bar{S}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}(z)}{\bar{T}_{\bar{m}\bar{n}}(z)} \neq \frac{\bar{S}_{\bar{m}^{\bar{n}}\bar{n}^{\bar{n}}}(z)}{\bar{T}_{\bar{n}^{\bar{n}}\bar{n}^{\bar{n}}}(z)}$$
(3.22)

 ${}^{2}R(z) = Q_{E}(z^{k})$ means that R(z) is a power series whose first non-zero coefficient is the coefficient of z^{k} , exactly.

Lemma 3.3: Let

$$S_{a^*,a^*}(z) = z^{-MN} S_{M,h}(z) \overline{S_{a^*,a^*}(z)} - z^* S_{M^*,M^*}(z) \overline{T_{a^*,a^*}(z)} \qquad (3.23)$$

$$T_{a^*,a^*}(z) = z^{-MN} T_{M,h}(z) \overline{S_{a^*,a^*}(z)} - z^* T_{M^*,M^*}(z) \overline{T_{a^*,a^*}(z)} \qquad (3.24)$$
where $\alpha = \lambda_{M,h} + \mu_{M,h} + 2$. Then: $\gamma_{a^*,a^*}(z) = S_{a^*,a^*}(z) \neq T_{a^*,a^*}(z)$ is a Pade form, of type
 (m^*, n^*) for $A(z)$, where
 $m^* = m = \overline{\lambda_{M,a^*}} - 1$. (3.25)
 $n^* = n - \overline{\lambda_{m,a^*}} - 1$. (3.26)
Proof: The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 3.2. •
Theorem 3.4: Let $\mu_{M,h} < (n - N)$ in equation (3.4), and let
 $\gamma_{a^*}(z) = S_{a^*}(z) / T_{a^*}(z)$
be defined by (3.16) and (3.17). Then $\gamma_{a^*}(z)$ is a scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for
 $A(z)$.
Proof: Let
 $G_{a_n}(z) = GCD(S_{a_n}, T_{a_n})$.
We first show that $d(G_{a_n}) \leq \overline{\lambda_{a^*,a^*}}$, where $\overline{\lambda_{a^*,a^*}}$ is given by (3.20). Surpose that

 $\partial(G_{mn}) > \overline{\lambda}_{\overline{m},\overline{n}}$, and proceed by contradiction. Let

$$U_{m} \cdot \cdot (z) = z^{\delta(G_{mn}) - \overline{\lambda}_{mn}} S_{mn}(z) / G_{mn}(z),$$
$$V_{m} \cdot \cdot (z) = z^{\delta(G_{mn}) - \overline{\lambda}_{mn}} T_{mn}^{*}(z) / G_{mn}(z),$$

where m° and n° are given by (3.25) and (3.26). Then $\partial(U_{m^{\circ}}) \leq m^{\circ}$, $\partial(V_{m^{\circ}}) \leq n^{\circ}$, and

 $A(z) \left(V_{a,a} \cdot (z) - U_{a,a} \cdot (z) \right)$

$$= z^{\delta(G_{mn}) - \bar{\lambda}_{mn} - 1} \{A(z)T_{mn}(z) - S_{mn}(z)\} / G_{mn}(z)$$
$$= O(z^{(-\bar{\lambda}_{mn} - 1) + (m + n + 1)})$$
$$= O(z^{m^* + n^* + \bar{\lambda}_{mn} - 1})$$

26

Thus, $U_{m} \cdot (z) / V_{m} \cdot (z)$ is a Pade form of type (m°, n°) for A(z).

But $S_m \cdot (z) / T_m \cdot (z)$, given by Lemma 3.3, is also a Pade form of type (m°, n°) . Then, from the general structure of Pade forms given in equations (2.18) and (2.19) of Corollary 2.6, it follows that

$$S_{m^{\bullet}n}^{\Psi} \bullet (z) \wedge T_{m^{\bullet}n} \bullet (z) = U_{m^{\bullet}n} \bullet (z) / V_{m^{\bullet}n} \bullet (z)$$
$$= S_{mn}(z) / T_{mn}(z),$$

or, equivalently, that

$$S_{m * a} \cdot (z) T_{m a}(z) - S_{m a}(z) T_{m * a} \cdot (z) = 0.$$
(3.27)

Replacing $S_{mn}(z) / T_{mn}(z)$ and $S_{mn} \cdot / T_{mn} \cdot$ in equation (3.27) by the expanded forms (3.16), (3.17), (3.23) and (3.24), it follows that

$$\int_{a}^{a} z^{-\lambda_{MN}} \{ S_{MN}(z) T_{M^{\bullet}N^{\bullet}}(z) - S_{M^{\bullet}N^{\bullet}}(z) T_{MN}(z) \} \{ \overline{S}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}(z) - \overline{S}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}(z) \} = 0$$

Thus, either $S_{MN}(z) / T_{MN}(z) = S_{M^*N^*}(z) / T_{M^*N^*}(z)$, or $\overline{S}_{\overline{m},\overline{n}}(z) / \overline{T}_{\overline{m},\overline{n}}(z) = \overline{S}_{\overline{m},\overline{n}}(z) / \overline{T}_{\overline{m},\overline{n}}(z)$, which contradicts (3.11) and (3.22).

Thus,
$$\partial(G_{m,n}) \leq \overline{\lambda}_{\overline{m,n}}$$
. But,

$$z^{\lambda_{\overline{mn}}} = GCD(\overline{S}_{\overline{mn}}, \overline{T}_{\overline{mn}}),$$

which implies that $z^{\lambda_{m,n}}$ divides both $S_{m,n}(z)$ and $T_{m,n}(z)$ in equations (3.16) and (3.17). That is, $z^{\lambda_{m,n}}$ divides $G_{m,n}(z)$, and consequently

$$G_{un}(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}-z}$$
Thus, $S_{un}(z) / T_{un}(z)$ given in Lemma 3.2 is not only a Pade form of type (m,n) for $A(z)$, but also the scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) for $A(z)$, where
$$GCD(S_{un}, T_{un}) = z^{2-z}$$
and $\lambda_{un} = \overline{\lambda_{un}}$.
Theorem 3.5: Let $\mu_{MM} < (n - N)$ in -(3.4) and let $\gamma_{un}(z) = S_{un}(z) / T_{un}(z)$ be the scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) for $A(z)$. If
$$m^{2} = m - \lambda_{un} - 1$$
(3.28)
and
$$n^{2} = n - \lambda_{un} - 1$$
(3.29)
where
$$z^{2-z} = GCD(S_{un}, T_{un}),$$
(3.30)
then the scaled Pade fraction of type (m',n') for $A(z)$ is $\gamma_{un} \cdot (z) = S_{un} \cdot (z) / T_{un} \cdot (z)$, where
$$S_{un} \cdot (z)$$
and $T_{un} \cdot (z)$ are given by equation (3.23) and (3.24).
Proof: The theorem follows using arguments identical to those of proof of Theorem 3.4, and
using the results of Lemma 3.3.
$$=$$
A simple example for the off-diagonal computation is presented.
Example: Let $A(z)^{2} = 1 + z^{2} + z^{2}$

Assume that $\Gamma_3(A)$ is already available, from which it can be determined that the scaled Pade fraction $\gamma_{4,3}(z)$ of type (M,N) = (4,3) is given by
$$(z) = \frac{S_{4,3}(z)}{T_{4,3}(z)} = \frac{1-z^2+z^3-z^3+z^4}{1-z+z^2-z^3}$$

From (3.4), the residual for $S_{4,3}(z) / T_{4,3}(z)$ is given by

$$A(z) T_{4,3}(z) - S_{4,3}(z) = R_1(z) z^{4+3+1}, \qquad (3.32)$$

28

(3.31

where $R_1(z) = -1 + z - z^5 + 2z^7 + \cdots$.

Consequently, equation (3.32) yields that $\mu_{4,3} = 0$. Since $\mu_{4,3} < n - N$, Theorem 3.4 is applicable. Observe that

$$z^{A_{MN}} = z^{0} = GCD(S_{4,3}, T_{4,3}),$$

and consequently the predecessor of $\gamma_{4,3}(z)$ along the 1st off-diagonal path is $\gamma_{M^*N^*}(z) = \gamma_{3,2}(z)$. Therefore, $\gamma_{3,2}(z)$ is contained in $\Gamma_3(A)$, and is found to be

$$y_{3,2}(z) = \frac{S_{3,2}(z)}{T_{3,2}(z)} = \frac{z^2}{z^2}$$

. The residual for $S_{3,2}(z) / T_{3,2}(z)$ is given from

z)
$$T_{3,2}(z) - S_{3,2}(z) = R_0(z) z^{3+2+1}$$
,

where $R_0(z) = 1 + z + z^5 + z^6 + \cdots$

The two residuals $R_1(z)$ and $R_0(z)$ give the residual power series $\overline{A}(z) \in U$, where

$$\overline{A}(z) = R_0(z) / R_1(z)$$

 $= -1 - 2z - 2z^{2} - 2z^{3} - (3.34)$

Using equation (3.13) and (3.14).

$$\overline{m} = n - N + \lambda_{MN} = 3$$

$$\overline{n} = n - N - \mu_{MN} - 1 = 2,$$

and in order to apply Theorem 3.4, it is therefore required to obtain the scaled Pade fraction $\overline{\gamma}_{3,2}(z)$ of type (3,2) and its predecessor for $\overline{A}(z)$. Assuming that $\Gamma_3(\overline{A})$ is available, from it can be

$$\bar{x}_{3,2}(z) = \frac{\bar{S}_{3,2}(z)}{\bar{T}_{3,2}(z)} = \frac{-z-z^2}{-z-z^2}$$

(3:35)

Similarly, the predecessor of $\overline{\gamma}_{3,2}(z)$ along the first off-diagonal path of $\Gamma_3(\overline{A})$ is given by $\overline{\gamma}_{1,0}(z) = \overline{S}_{1,0}(z) / \overline{T}_{1,0}(z) = (-1 - 2z)/1$.

Now by applying the formulae (3.16) and (3.17), the (7,6) entry of $\Gamma(A)$ is computed by

$$S_{7,6}(z) = (1 - z + z^2 - z^3 + z^4) \cdot (-z - z^2) - z^{\alpha} (z^2) \cdot (z - z^2)$$
$$T_{7,6}(z) = (1 - z + z^2 - z^3) \cdot (-z - z^2) - z^{\alpha} (z^2) \cdot (z - z^2)$$

where $\alpha = \lambda_{4,3} + \mu_{4,3} + 2 = 2$. Thus,

$$\gamma_{7,6}(z) = S_{7,6}(z) / T_{7,6}(z) = z(-1 - z^4) / z(-1 + z^5).$$
(3.36)

Note that $\overline{\gamma}_{1,0}(z)$ is not used for computing $\gamma_{7,6}(z)$. It is used instead in formulae (3.23) and (3.24) for computing the predecessor of $\gamma_{7,6}(z)$. Since

$$z^{\lambda_{max}} = z^{\overline{\lambda_{max}}} = z^1 = GCD(\overline{S}_{3,2}, \overline{T}_{3,2}),$$

it is known that the predecessor is $\gamma_{5,4}(z)$, which is determined by (3.23) and (3.24) to be

$$T_{5,4}(z) = \frac{S_{5,4}(z)}{T_{5,4}(z)} = \frac{(-1-z+z^2-z^3-2z^5)}{(-1-z+z^2-z^3+z^4)}$$

3.3. Fast Off-diagonal Algorithm for a Single Power Series

3.3.1. The Algorithm

The algorithm given in this section constructs the scaled Pade fraction $\gamma_{m,n}$ of type (m,n) for A(z) in a quadratic fashion. The iteration assumes the existence of

$$Y_{MN}(z) = S_{MN}(z) / T_{MN}(z) ,$$
 (3.37)

where M = N + (m - n), and where for notational convenience we set

Í

and

$$S_{1} = S_{MN}(z) \qquad (3.38)$$

$$T_{1} = T_{MN}(z) \qquad (3.39)$$
Also assumed to exist is the predecessor

$$\gamma_{M} \cdot_{N} \cdot (z) = S_{M} \cdot_{N} \cdot (z) / T_{M' N'}(z) \qquad (3.40)$$
of $\gamma_{MN}(z)$ on the the $(m-n)$ -th off-diagonal path of $\Gamma(A)$, where

$$M^{*} = M - \lambda_{MN} - 1,$$

$$N^{*} = N - \lambda_{MN} - 1$$
and

$$z^{\lambda_{MN}} = GCD(S_{MN}, T_{MN}) . \qquad (5)$$
Again for notational convenience, we set

$$S_{0} = S_{M} \cdot_{N} \cdot (z) \qquad (3.41)$$

a

30

-7

To advance the solution from N to N+s (that is, to construct γ_M is the (z)),where S R2 step size, the algorithm first computes μ_{MN} such that

$$A(z)T_{1} - S_{1} \mod z^{(M+N+2r+\lambda_{MN}+1)} = z^{(M+N+\mu_{MN}+1)}R_{1}(z) ,$$

$$z^{\lambda_{MN}} = GCD(S_1, T_{nk})$$

and $R_1(0) \neq 0$ if $\mu_{MN} < 2s + \lambda_{MN}$

If $\mu_{MN} \ge s$, then $\gamma_{M-s} = \rho_{N-s}(z)$ is constructed trivially by means of Theorem 3.1. Otherwise,

where

2

and

and

'and'

Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 are applied.

INPUT: A, m, n, where

(1) m and n are non-negative integers with $m \ge n$, and

(2) A is a unit power series. (Note that only A mod r^{n+n+1} is required)

31

OUTPUT: $\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix}$, where

(1) S_1 / T_1 is the scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for A, and

(2) S_0 / T_0 is the scaled Pade fraction of type $(m - \lambda_{mn} - 1, n - \lambda_{mn} - 1)$ for A, given that

 $= GCD(S_1, T_1)$

Step 1: # Initialization #

J

i = -1 M = (m - n) N = 0 $\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_n \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} A(z) \mod \Re & \ldots & \Re \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Step 20 . A Coloulation of step size #

i = i + 1 $s = \min\{2^{i} | N_{i} = i\}$

Stop 3 # Tromposing Strates of

If s = 0 then exit

¢

Step 4: # Calculation of scaling factor for S_1 / T_1 #

Determine λ_{MN} such that $z^{\lambda_{MN}} = GCD(S_1,T_1)$

Step 5: # Computation of residual for $\gamma_{MN} = S_1 / T_1 #$

Compute μ_{MN} and R_1 such that

$$(A T_1 - S_1) \mod z^{M + N + 2r + \lambda_{MN} + 1} - z^{M + N + W_{MN} + 1} R_1,$$

ł

32

where $R_1(0) \neq 0$ if $P_{MN} \leq 2s + N_{MN}$

Step 6: # Identification of Cases #

\$

IT ILMN 7 5

then # Cher of Theorem 3.1 #

$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & \hat{S}_0 \end{bmatrix}$	[0]
7', 7'n	$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & \hat{S}_0 \\ 7, & 7_n \end{bmatrix}$	0 1

gn to stop 1?

dry # Case of M. Same Man March P.

production for a

them in the standard waveful grant for predicts the standard to the formation of the

$$\frac{\overline{\mu}}{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_{MN}}{\lambda_{MN}} \right)$$

the state of the surger state by the state of the state o

• • • • • • •

$$(A(z) \cdot T_0 - S_0) \mod \frac{M \cdot M \cdot \overline{m} \cdot \overline{n}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{$$

ø

where $R_0(0) \neq 0$.

Stop 9: # Computation of residual power series "

•

$$\overline{\mathfrak{l}}(z) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}(z) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}(z) = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}(z) \pmod{(-1)}$$

₽ -

compatible # Compatible register and the

(y Λ,1) ((y − 0.6 (y − 0.1))) (1)) (1))

s hjært 🐇 🖓 🖓

33

1

19 ¹

Also, define

for N = 0, 1,

¢,

$$\Omega_{N} = \{\Gamma_{N}(A) \text{ for all } A \in U\}$$

The proof proceeds by induction on Ω_{ji} for $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Clearly the algorithm is correct for Ω_{n} .

It is shown that if the algorithm is correct for Ω_N , then it is also correct for Ω_{N+1} , where

$$, \qquad \begin{cases} 1 & , & \text{if } N = 0 \\ N & , & \text{if } N + 2^{i} \\ , & i > 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.45)

34

(3.44)

Let $A(\tau) \in U$ be an arbitrary unit power series. It is shown that the algorithm correctly computes $\sqrt{2}(\tau)$, where m = n and 1 = n + N + I, give that it is correct for Ω_N .

If $1 \le n \le N$ then $\sqrt{n^2(1)} \in V(A) \in \Omega_0$, and by the inductive hypothesis the algorithm structure is converted.

If $1 \le n \le N + L$, let $M \ge 1 \ge (m - n)$. By the inductive hypothesis, the algorithm (using $\log i$ its its its its inductive hypothesis) is a specific structure of $M(x) = S(A)(x) \in T(A)(x)$ is Ω_N , and its predecessor $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$

and the production of the second structure of the structure of the second by a second second second structure in the second structure of the second second structure is second structure in the second se

.

If $\mu_{MN} \ge s$, then by Theorem 3.1, step 5 correctly yields $\gamma_{mn}^{(A)}(z)$. Furthermore, from equations (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\tau^{\lambda} = GCD(S^{(\lambda)}_{-1}(\tau) - \tau^{(\lambda)}_{-1}(\tau))$$

Consequently the re-decessor of $\gamma_{c}^{(n)}(\cdot)$ is given by

$$y^{(4)}(x) = y^{(1)}(x)$$
 (*) (*)

rine

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

$$m = \lambda_{\pm}$$
 ($M = \lambda_{\pm}$) $M = M$

., 1

The state of the state of the

3.3.3. Cost Analysis

Let C(m,n) be the cost of computing the scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) and its predecessor for an arbitrary power series $A(z) \in U$ using Algorithm 1. For the sake of simplicity, assume $0 \le n \le m \le 2n$. The case that m > 2n is considered later. In this section, asymptotic estimates of C(m,n) are derived by counting the number of operations (additions, subtractions, multiplications and divisions in F) performed by the glorithm. A detailed cost analysis and an implementation of the algorithm are described by Verheijen [VER 83], who compares Algorithm 1 with other algorithms for calculating Pade fractions.

When obtaining the asymptotic cost estimates, it is assumed that the algorithm makes use of fast methods for polynomial and power series arithmetic. Using fast Fourier transforms, two polynomials with coefficients in the field F and of degree M and N, respectively, can be multiplicated in $O((M + N) \log(M + N))$ operations in F. Using Newton's method and fast multiplication of polynomials, the first N terms of the quotient of two power series in U can be obtained in $O(N \log N)$ operations in F. These and other fast methods for polynomial and power series arithmetic are described, for example, in Aho, Hopcraft and Ullman [AHO74] and in Lipson [LH 91]

Let $k \in [\log n]$. Then it is easy to verify that the algorithm terminator after k iterations and the four termination of each term 2 of iteration i

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & . i = 0 \\ 2^{i-1} & . 0 \le i > 1 \\ n-2^{i} & . i = k \end{cases}$$
 (3.10)

and the providence of the providence of the

$$N = \begin{cases} 0 & , i = 0 \\ 2^{i} & , \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(3.49)

 36

$$= N + (m-n)$$
 (3.50)

Assume that during iteration *i*, the scaled Pade fraction S_1 / T_1 of type (M, N) and its predecessor S_0 / T_0 are available.

M

The first nontrivial step requires the computation of the residual $R_1(z)$ in step 5. Let

$$A_{1}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{M} a_{j} z^{j}$$
 (3.51)

and

$$A_{2}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{N+2z-1} a_{M+j+1} z^{j} . \qquad (3.52)$$

 y_{I} With λ_{MN} given by step 4, it is known that

$$A(z) T_{1} = S_{1} \mod z^{M+N+2z+\lambda_{MN}+1}$$

= $A_{1}(z) T_{1} + z^{M+1} A_{2}(z) T_{1} - S_{1} \mod z^{M+N+2z+\lambda_{MN}+1}$
= $O(z^{M+N+1}).$ (3.53)

Since A_1T_1 and S_1 are both of degree at most M + N, then μ_{MN} and $R_1(z)$ can be obtained directly from $z^{M+1}A_2T_1$. The product A_2T_1 is a polynomial of at most degree $2N + 2s - 1 \le 4N$. Thus, using fast polynomial multiplication, step 5 can be executed in $C(N \log N)$ operations

If it is determined as a result of step 5 that $\mu_{MN} \ge r$, then step 6 is performed trivially and the iteration is complete. Otherwise, the algorithm continues in step 8 with the calculation of the residual R_0 of the predecessor scaled Pade fraction S_0 / T_0 . Making the same observations as in step 4, it follows that R_0 can be obtained from the product of $A_0 T_0$, where

$$A_{3} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-\frac{m}{m}+\frac{m}{n}-\frac{k_{MN}}{k_{MN}+n^{-l}}} a_{N} a_{$$

and To is a polynomial of depresent most N Now I. Since the depres of the product is

bounded by $2N + \overline{m} + \overline{n} - 2\lambda_{MN} - 1 < 4N$, step 8 can be executed in $O(N \log N)$ operations.

The computation of the residual power series $\overline{A}(z) \mod z^{\overline{m} + \overline{n} + 1}$ in step 9 requires the computation of the first $\overline{m} + \overline{n} = 2s + \lambda_{MN} - \mu_{MN} - 1 \leq 3N$ terms of the quotient of R_0 / R_1 . Again, this may be performed in $O(N \log N)$ operations.

In step 8, the recursive call of Algorithm 1 in order to compute the scaled Pade fraction of type $(\overline{m}, \overline{n})$ for A(z), requires $C(\overline{m}, \overline{n})$ operations by assumption. For later purposes, it is important to observe that $0 \le \overline{n} \le N$ and that $\overline{n} \le \overline{m} \le 2N$.

The final non-trivial step requires eight polynomial multiplications to obtain the scaled Padefraction of type (M+s, N+s) and its predecessor for A(z). Since $M \leq 2N$, each of the polynomial products are of degree at most M + s < 3N. Consequently, step 8 an be executed in

 $O(N \log N)$ operations.

It is an easy matter to show that

$$C(m_1, n_1) \leq C(m_2, n_2),$$

whenever $m_1 \leq m_2$ and $n_1 \leq n_2$. The total cost of the *i*-th iteration is then bounded by

 $C(\bar{m}, \bar{n}) + c(2N) \log (2N)$ $\leq C(2N, N) + c(2N) \log (2N)'$ $\leq C(2^{i}, 2^{i-1}) + ci2^{i}$

operations, for an appropriate constant c. Consequently, we have

Theorem 3.6. Given that $0 \le n \le m \le 2n$, Algorithm 1 can compute the scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for $A(z) \in U$ in time $O(n \log^2 n)$.

Front: Consider the recurrence relation

38.

(3.55)

(3.56)

$$C(2^{k+1}, 2^k) = \sum_{i=1}^k [C(2^i, 2^{i-1}) + ci2^i], \quad k \ge 1,$$

where c is a positive constant. Then

> . 25

$$C(2^{k+1}, 2^k) = \sum_{\substack{l=1\\ l=1}}^k [C(2^l, 2^{l-1}) + ci2^l] + C(2^k, 2^{k-1}) + ck2^k$$
$$= 2C(2^k, 2^{k-1}) + ck2^k.$$

With $n = 2^k$, results on recurrence relations (see Bentley, Hanken and Saxe [BEN80]) then yield

$$C(2n, n) = n[C(2, 1) + c\sum_{i=1}^{k} i]$$

= n[C(2, 1) + ck(k + 1) / 2]
= O(n log² n).

The theorem now follows, since from equation (3.56) for m and n satisfying $0 \le n \le m \le 2n$

$$C(m, n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} [C(2^{i}, 2^{i-1}) + ci2^{i}].$$

Lemma 3.7: Let m > n, and let $A(z) \in U$. Determine an integer $\delta \ge 1$ such that

$$A(z) = A_1(z) + z^{m-n+8}A_2(z), \qquad (3.57)$$

where

 (\cdot)

$$A_1(z) = A(z) \mod z^{m-n+1}$$
 (3.58)

and $A_2(0) \neq 0$ if $\delta < \infty$. If $\delta < n$, let $S_{n,n-\delta}(z) / T_{n,n-\delta}(z)$ be the scaled Pade fraction of type 1.1 $(n, n-\delta)$ for $1/A_2(z)$. Then the scaled Pade fraction $S_{mn}(z)/T_{mn}(z)$ of type (m,n) for A(z) is

given by

$$S_{mn}(z) = \begin{cases} A_1(z)S_{n,n-\delta}(z) + z^{m-n+\delta}T_{n,n-\delta}(z), & \text{if } \delta \le n \\ A_1(z) z^n, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$T_{mn}(z) = \begin{cases} S_{n,n-\delta}(z), & \text{if } \delta \le n \\ z^n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(3.59)
(3.60)

والمحافظ والمحافظ ويراوي المريسي بويدي كالمحا وردوا والمالي والمحاف الرائي

-, -

40

Proof: If $\delta \leq n$, then

. .

والمراجعين ألاحي

$$\partial(S_{mn}) = \partial(A_1S_{n,n-\delta} + z^{m-n+\delta}T_{n,n-\delta})$$

$$\leq \max\{(m-n) + n, ((m-n+\delta) + (n-\delta)\}$$

Also, $\partial(T_{mn}) \leq n$. Furthermore, either $\partial(S_{mn}) = m$ and $\partial(T_{mn}) = n$ or both. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) \cdot T_{mn}(z) &= \{A_1(z) + z^{m-n+b}A_2(z)\} S_{n,n-b}(z) - \{A_1(z)S_{n,n-b}(z) + z^{m-n+b}T_{n,n-b}(z)\} \\ &= z^{m-n+b} \{A_2(z)S_{n,n-b}(z) - T_{n,n-b}(z)\} \\ &= z^{m-n+b} \{A_2(z)O(z^{2n-b+1})\} \\ &= O(z^{m+n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

 $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{v})$

÷

NS2-

 $GCD(S_{mn}, T_{mn})$

m

$$= GCD(A_{1}S_{n,n-\delta} + z^{m-n+\delta}T_{n,n-\delta}, S_{n,n-\delta})$$

= $GCD(z^{m-n+\delta}T_{n,n-\delta}, S_{n,n-\delta})$
= $GCD(T_{n,n-\delta}, S_{n,n-\delta}).$

If $\delta > n$, then clearly $\partial(S_{mn}) \le m$ and $\partial(T_{mn}) = n$. Moreover,

$$A(z) \cdot T_{mn}(z) - S_{mn}(z)$$

= $z^{n} \{A_{1}(z) + z^{m-n+k}A_{2}(z)\} - z^{n}A_{1}(z)$
= $z^{m+k}A_{2}(z)$
= $O(z^{m+n+1}).$

Theorem 3.8: For arbitrary $m \ge n$, Algorithm 1 can compute the scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for $A(z) \in U$ in time $O(m \log m) + O(n \log^2 n)$.

Proof: If $\delta > n$ in equation (3.57), the result is trivial.

Finally, $GCD(S_{mn}, T_{mn}) = z^n$

If $\delta \leq n$, computation of the first $2n - \delta + 1$ terms of $1/A_2(z)$ requires $O(n \log n)$ operations. Using (3.55),

$$C(n,n-\delta) \leq C(n,n),$$

and consequently by Theorem 3.6, it follows that the cost of computing the scaled Pade fraction $S_{n,n-b}(z) / T_{n,n-b}(z)$ for $1 / A_2(z)$ is bounded by $O(n \log^2 n)$ operations. Finally, the cost of computing $S_{mn}(z)$ in equation (3.59) is $O(m \log m)$ operations.

3.4. Fast Off-diagonal Algorithm for a Quotient Power Series

Let A(z), B(z) be unit power series and let

$$C(z) = -A(z) / B(z)$$
 (3.59)

be the quotient power series. Given the non-negative integers $m \ge n$, then Algorithm 1 OFF-DIAG can be used to compute the scaled Pade fractions $S_{mn}(z) \land T_{mn}(z)$ of type (m, n)-for C(z). As a result,

$$A(z)T_{m}(z) + B(z)S_{m}(z) = O(z^{m+m+1})$$
(3.60)

Before applying the algorithm, the quotient

 $C(z) \mod z^{m+n+1} = -A(z) / B(z) \mod z^{m+n+1}$ (3.61)

must be calculated. However, this division need be computed modulo zminit, only, by modifying

Algorithm 1 as follows:

ALGORITHM 2 : OFFDIAG

INPUT: A(z), B(z), m, n, where

(1) m and n are non-negative integers with $m \ge n$, and

(2) A(z), B(z) are unit power series. (Note that only $A(z) \mod z^{m+n+1}$ and $B(z) \mod z^{m+n+1}$ are required).

Ś

OUTPUT: $\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix}$, where

(1) S_1 / T_1 is the scaled Pade fraction of type (m, n) for $-A(z) \neq B(z)$, and

(2) S_0 / T_0 is the predecessor scaled Pade fraction of type $(m - \lambda_{mn} - 1, n - \lambda_{mn} - 1)$ for A(z) / B(z), given that

$$z^{\lambda} = GCD(S_1,T_1) .$$

Step 1: # Initialization #

$$i - 1 M - (m - n) N - 0 \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A(z) / B(z) \pmod{z^{M-1}} & z^{M-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: #Calculation of step-size #

i - i + 1 $s - \min \{2^{i} - N, n - N\}$ Step 3: # Termination criterion #

If s = 0 then exit

Step 4: # Calculation of scaling factor for S_1 / T_1 #

Determine λ_{MN} such that

 $z^{\lambda_{MN}} = GCD(S_1, T_1)$

Step 5: # Computation of residual for $\gamma_{MN}(z) = S_1 / T_1$ #

Compute μ_{MN} and R_1 such that

 $(AT_1 + BS_1) \mod z^{M+N+2i+\lambda_{AN}+1} = z^{M+N+\mu_{AN}+1} R_1$

where $R_1(0) \neq 0$ if $\mu_{MN} < 2s + \lambda_{MN}$.

Step 6: # Identification of Cases #

А

if µ_{MN} ≥ s

then # Case of Theorem 3.1. #

 $\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z^* & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ go to step 11 else # Case of Theorem 3.1 and 3.5 #

gn to step 7

Step 7: # Calculation of degrees for residual scaled Pade fractions #

 $\overline{m} \leftarrow s + \lambda_{MN}$ $\overline{m} \leftarrow s = 1^{\mu_{MN}} = 1$

Step 8: # Computation of residual for $\gamma_{M^{\circ}N^{\circ}}(z) = S_0 / T_0 #$

Compute R_0 such that

$$(A \cdot T_0 + B \cdot S_0) \mod z^{M+N+\overline{m}+\overline{n}-2\lambda_{MN}} = R_0 z^{M+N-2\lambda_{MN}-1}$$

where $R_0(0) \neq 0$.

Step 9;

Computation of residual scaled Pade fractions

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{S}_1 & \overline{S}_0 \\ \overline{T}_1 & \overline{T}_0 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \text{OFFDIAG} (R_0, R_1, \overline{m}, \overline{n})$$

Step 10: # Advancement of scaled Pade fraction computation #

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_0 \\ T_1 & T_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z^{-\lambda_{MV}} & 0 \\ 0 & z^{\lambda_{MV}+\nu_{MV}+2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{S}_1 & \overline{S}_0 \\ \overline{T}_1 & \overline{T}_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Stor

Step 11: # Calculation of degrees of S_1 / T_1 #

$$M - M + s$$

$$N - N + s$$

goto step 2.

Algorithm 2 is a generalization of Algorithm 1, since it can be used to produce scaled Pade fractions for a single power series A(z) simply be setting B(z) = -1. It differs from Algorithm 1 in that the division

$$\bar{A}(z) = -R_0(z) / R_1(z), mod \quad z^{\bar{m} + \bar{n} + 1}$$
(3.63)

in step 9 of Algorithm 1 is avoided. Instead, the division is delayed (i.e., immediately subsequent to, rather than prior to, the recursive call of OFFDIAG) until the initialization

$$S_1 = -A(z) / B(z) \mod z^{m-n+1}$$
 (3.64)

. 45

in step 1 of Algorithm 2.

There are also various sign changes introduced in steps 1, 5, 8 and 10. These account for the fact that the algorithm deals with the power series -A(z) / B(z) rather than $A(\tau) / B(\tau)$ This notational change simplifies the development of subsequent results.

For implementation purposes, Algorithm 2 can result in considerable savings in cost. Practically, fast division is significantly slower than fast multiplication, by an asymptotic constant of approximately 7 (see Verheijen [VER83]). For example, if A(z)/B(z) is normal, then $\overline{m} = \overline{n} + 1 = 2$ and the division in step 1 of Algorithm 2 becomes trivial. However, the asymptotic cost of Algorithm 2 remains the same as the asymptotic cost of Algorithm 1 given in section 3.3.

The proof of the correctness of Algorithm 2 is nearly identical to the proof of the correctness of Algorithm 1, and therefore it is not given

3.5. Classical Off-diagonal Algorithm for a Quotient Power Series

Fet S. 7, In the real of the Infraction of type (M.N.) for a set

This is No. O The .

where $\mathcal{R}_1(0) \neq 0$ if $\mu_{MN} \leq \infty$. Thus, $(z' \in \mathbb{R}_1) \in (z' \in \mathbb{R}_2)$ the scaled independence of $(M \cap k_{+}, \mathbb{N} + k)$ for $\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{R}(z)$ the scale $0 \leq 1 \leq p_{MN}$. We have the contraction \mathbb{N} is the scale of $(z' \in \mathbb{R}_2)$ the scale $(z' \in \mathbb{R}_2)$ is $(z' \in \mathbb{R}_2)$.

2

By so selecting the step-size s, Algorithm 2 may be used to compute all Pade fractions along the (M - N) - th off-diagonal path. With this choice of s, step 7 in Algorithm 2 gives

$$\overline{m} = \mu_{MN} + 1$$
$$\overline{n} = 0$$

Consequently, the recursing call of OFFDIAG in step 10 reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{S}_1 & \overline{S}_0 \\ \overline{\tau}_1 & \overline{\tau}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}_{\eta} \overline{R}_1 & \overline{m}_{\nu} \overline{\tau}_1^{\nu} \overline{\tau}_1^{\nu+\nu+2} & z^{\mu} \overline{m}_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and ever 11 Ham storing

ı

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{1} & S_{0} \\ T_{1} & T_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{1} \cdot \overline{S}_{1} + z^{\mu M N} + \overline{z}^{\nu} & S_{0} - z^{\mu M N} + S_{1} \\ T_{1} \cdot \overline{S}_{1} + z^{\nu + \kappa v^{+} \overline{z}} & T_{0} - z^{\mu M N} + \overline{z}_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $CCD(\vec{z}_1 - \vec{T}_1) = 1$, it follows from the proof of them and 4 that

$$CCD\left(S_1 \mid \overline{S_1} \mid z^{\mu_{APV}} \mid S_0 \mid T_1 \mid \overline{S_1} \mid z^{\nu_{APV}} \mid T_0\right) \geq 1$$

Thus, the above computations can be repeated for the control function of type $(M + \tau, N + \tau)$.

The full details are provided in Algorithm 3, below. The indiffy the measuration of subsequent results, and its matrice, the outer trian true to a, b = 1, a + 1, a + 1, b = 1, b + 1, b + 1, b = 1, b + 1,

47

(1)
$$m = 0$$
 and $m = 0$ introduction integral with $m \ge n$ and $m = 0$.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} S & S_{i} \\ S & S_{i} \end{array}\right]$$

(1)

() M () .)

· · · · ·

۰.

where
$$R_i(0) \neq 0$$
 if $\mu_{MN} < 2(n - N)$.

Step 4. # Calculation of step size #

۱

.

A

.

ć

$$\tau = \min \{\mu_{MN} + 1, \dots, N\}$$

from " Identification of Coare #

then # Case of Theorem 3.1 #

TS ,	S_i]		[<i>S</i> ,	S,	4	[-	0]
S , . , 7;, ,	Τ,	•-	$\begin{bmatrix} S_i \\ T_i \end{bmatrix}$	7',	•	0	1

go to step 8

die # Case of Theorem, 2 4 and 3.5 #

ph th step fi

Computation of residual for γ_{ij} , $\beta^{(*)} = 1 - (T_{ij}^{*}, \#)$

more 7 , such that

Barry May - 3

the second of an analytic control tagle fraction of another of

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{i} & S_{i} \\ \vdots & T_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{i} & S_{i-1} \\ T_{i} & T_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z & v^{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & z^{2} & y^{2} & y^{2} & y^{2} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

pleasing of the second of the second

.

48

ł

.

4

(·

. . .

.

go to step 2

In the case that B(z) = -1 and m = n, Algorithm 3 is precisely the algorithm given by Cabay and Kao [CAB83] for computing diagonal Pade fractions for a single power series. Their $O(n^2)$ algorithm (since steps 3 and 6 require only $\mu_{MN} + 2$ terms of a product polynomials, and since one of the multipliers in step 7 is a polynomial of degree $\mu_{MN} + 1$, for small μ_{MN} , no advantage can be gained from using fast methods for polynomial arithmetic) is shown to be faster than other $O(n^2)$ algorithms for computing diagonal Fade fractions, such as these of French [78E65] and Rissanen [RIS73]

49

The more interesting observation about Algorithm 3 is made in the next chapter, however. It is shown that, when the given quotient power series is a rational function. Algorithm 3 along one specific off diagonal path corresponds exactly to Euclid's extended algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of the numerator and denominator of the given rational function. In this sense, Algorithm 3 is a generalization of the track holgorithm to be duite reason that we dense to call the function of the terms

CHAPTER 4

GREATEST COMMON DIVISOR COMPUTATIONS OF POLYNOMIALS

4.1. Introduction

In this chapter, Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3 are examined when they are applied to -A(z)/B(z), where A(z) and B(z) are polynomials of degrees m and n respectively. In addition, it is assumed that $A(0) \neq 0$ and $B(0) \neq 0$.

To permit the analysis, we need the following

Definition: The reciprocal of a polynomial

$$P(z) = p_0 + p_1 z + \cdots + p_n z^n$$
 (4.1)

of at most degree n is defined to be

$$P^{\pi}(z) = p_0 z^{\pi} + p_1 z^{\pi-1} + \cdots + p_n = z^n P(z^{-1}).$$
(4.2)

The name originates from the fact that the zeros of P''(z) are the reciprocals of those of P(z) if $z \to 0$

1.1 mily

$$[\Gamma^{\#}(z)]^{\#} = P(z) \quad ; \qquad (4.3)$$

that it is a crushing of forming the reciprocal polynomial is involuptary. Also

$$[I'(\tau) = O(\tau)]^{*} = I^{*}(\tau) + Q^{*}(\tau), \qquad (4.4)$$

nnd

$$[\tau^* | r^*(\tau)]^* \neq r^*(\tau) \tag{4.5}$$

(4.6)

۴,

Let A(z) be a unit power series. The first n+1 terms of A(z) are denoted by

$$A_n(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$$
$$= A(z) \mod z^{n+1}$$

The reciprocal $A_n^R(z)$ of $A_n(z)$ is then given by

$$A_{n}^{R}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{n-i} z^{i}. \qquad (4.7)$$

3°. -44

4.2. Euclid's Extended Algorithm

Theorem 4.1. Algorithm 3 applied to -A(z)/B(z), where A(z) and B(z) are polynomials of degree *m* and *n* respectively, is equivalent to Euclid's extended algorithm for computing the greatest common divisior of $A^{R}(z)$ and $B^{R}(z)$

Proof: For completeness in presentation, assume that modulo operations are not performed in steps 3 and 6 of Algorithm 3. Thus,

$$A \cdot T_i + B \cdot S_i = z^{M \cdot N \cdot \mu_{MV} \cdot 1} R_i$$
(4.8)

$$A T_{i-1} + B S_{i-1} - z^{M+N-1} R_i$$
 (19)

where $R_i(z)$ and $R_{i-1}(z)$ are polynomials of degrees $N = p_{i-1}$. Usually, N respectively P_i by taking reciprocals of (4.8) and (4.9), it follows that

$$\mathbf{x}^{*} = \boldsymbol{\eta}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{*}$$

and

2 3

$$A'' T_{i,j}^{\mu} + R'' S_{l-1}^{\mu} R_{i,j}^{\mu}$$
 (4.11)

 $Q_{i+1}(z) = R_{i-1}(z) / R_i(z) \mod z^{\mu_{MN}+2}$ (4.12)

be a polynomial of degree $\mu_{MN} + 1$. Then

$$R_{i-1}(z) - Q_{i+1}(z) \cdot R_i(z) = z^{\mu_{MN}+2} \vec{R}(z), \qquad (4.13)$$

for some polynomial $\overline{R}(z)$ of degree $m-N-\mu_{MN}$ -2. Taking reciprocals of (4.13), it follows that

$$R_{i-1}^{R}(z) - Q_{i+1}^{R}(z) \cdot R_{i}^{R}(z) = \overline{R}^{R}(z).$$
(4.14)

That is, $Q_{i+1}^{R}(z)$ and $\overline{R}^{R}(z)$ are the quotient and remainder, respectively, on division of $R_{i-1}^{R}(z)$ by $R_{i}^{R}(z)$.

With $Q_{i+1}(z)$ defined by (4.12), step 7 of Algorithm 3 yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{i+1} & S_i \\ T_{i+1} & T_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} z^{\mu_{MN}+2} \cdot S_{i-1} - Q_{i+1} \cdot S_i & z^{\mu_{MN}} \cdot S_i \\ z^{\mu_{MN}+2} \cdot T_{i-1} - Q_{i+1} \cdot T_i & z^{\mu_{MN}} \cdot T_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.15)$$

which on taking reciprocals becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{i+1}^{R} & S_{i}^{R} \\ T_{i+1}^{R} & T_{i}^{R} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{i-1}^{R} - Q_{i+1}^{R} & S_{i}^{R} \\ T_{i-1}^{R} - Q_{i+1}^{R} & T_{i}^{R} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.16)

Looking ahead one iteration, we obtain

$$R_{l+1}^{R} = A^{R} \cdot T_{l+1}^{R} + B^{R} \cdot S_{l+1}^{R}$$

$$= A^{R} \cdot [T_{l-1}^{R} - Q_{l+1}^{R} \cdot T_{l}^{R}] + B^{R} \cdot [S_{l-1}^{R} - Q_{l+1}^{R} \cdot S_{l}^{R}]$$

$$= R_{l-1}^{R} - Q_{l+1}^{R} \cdot R_{l}^{R}.$$
(4.17)

Thus, $\overline{R}^{R}(z) = R_{i-1}^{R}(z)$ in equation (4.14).

Summarizing, let Q_{i+1}^{R} be the quotient on division of R_{i-1}^{R} by R_{i}^{R} . Then

$$R_{l+1}^{R} = R_{l-1}^{R} - Q_{l+1}^{R} \cdot R_{l}^{R}$$

$$S_{l+1}^{R} = S_{l-1}^{R} - Q_{l+1}^{R} \cdot S_{l}^{R}$$

(4.18)

١.

$$T_{i+1}^{\mathbf{R}} = T_{i-1}^{\mathbf{R}} - Q_{i+1}^{\mathbf{R}} \cdot T_i^{\mathbf{R}},$$

which are the fundamental relations describing Euclid's extended algorithm.

To complete the proof, it remains to show that the initial conditions for Euclid's extended algorithm are satisfied. Initialization in step 1 of Algorithm 3 yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_0 & S_{-1} \\ T_0 & T_{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -Q_0 & z^{m-n-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(4.19)

53

where, as in (4.12), Q_0^R is the quotient on division of A^R by B^R . Steps 3 and 5 with i = 0 then become

$$A \cdot 1 + B \cdot (-Q_0) = z^{m-n+\mu_{m-n}0+1} R_0$$
(4.20)

and

$$A \cdot 0 + B \cdot z^{m-n-1} = z^{m-n-1} R_{-1}$$
 (4.21)

Taking reciproicals of (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21), it follows that

$$R_{-1}^{R} = B^{R} \qquad S_{-1}^{R} = 1 \qquad T_{-1}^{R} = 0$$

$$R_{0}^{R} = A^{R} - Q_{0}^{R} \cdot B^{R} \qquad S_{0}^{R} = -Q_{0}^{R} \qquad T_{0}^{R} = 1$$
(4.22)

Corollary 4.2. At the *i*-th iteration of Algorithm 3, let S_i / T_i be the scaled Pade fraction of type (M, N) for -A / B, such that

$$A \cdot T_i + B \cdot S_i = z^{M+N+\mu_{MN}+1} R_i .$$

Then,

Y,

$$R_{i-1} \cdot S_{i} - z^{\mu_{MN}+2} R_{i} \cdot S_{i-1} = (-1)^{i} \cdot A$$

$$R_{i-1} \cdot T_{i} - z^{\mu_{MN}+2} R_{i} \cdot T_{i-1} = (-1)^{i+1} \cdot B$$

$$(4.23)$$

$$S_{i-1} \cdot T_{i} - S_{i} \cdot T_{i-1} = (-1)^{i+1} z^{M+N+1}.$$

Furthermore, the algorithm terminates for some i = k, where $k \le n$. On termination, S_{k+1} / T_{k+1} is

the scaled Pade fraction of type (m,n) for -A / B such that

$$A \cdot T_{k+1} + B \cdot S_{k+1} = 0. \tag{4.24}$$

54

In addition,

$$A \cdot T_k + B \cdot S_k = z^{m+n-2\lambda_{mn}-1} R_k, \qquad (4.25)$$

where

$$z^{\lambda_{min}} = GCD(S_{k+1}, T_{k+1})$$

and

$$R_{k} = GCD(A, B).$$

Proof: From Euclid's extended algorithm (4.18) with initial conditions (4.22), it follows that (see, for example, McEliece [McE78])

$$R_{i-1}^{R} \cdot S_{i}^{R} - R_{i}^{R} \cdot S_{i-1}^{R} = (-1)^{i} \cdot A^{R}$$

$$R_{i-1}^{R} \cdot T_{i}^{R} - R_{i}^{R} \cdot T_{i-1}^{R} = (-1)^{i+1} \cdot B^{R}$$

$$S_{i-1}^{R} \cdot T_{i}^{R} - S_{i}^{R} \cdot T_{i-1}^{R} = (-1)^{i+1}.$$
(4.26)

By using the correspondence established in theorem 4.1 and taking reciprocals, equations (4.26) - result in equations (4.23).

Furthermore, Euclid's extended algorithm terminates for some k < n when

$$A^{R} \cdot T^{R}_{k+1} + B^{R} \cdot S^{R}_{k+1} = R^{R}_{k+1} = 0$$
(4.27)

and

$$A^{\mathbf{R}} \cdot T^{\mathbf{R}}_{k} + B^{\mathbf{R}} \cdot S^{\mathbf{R}}_{k} = R^{\mathbf{R}}_{k} = GCD \ (A^{\mathbf{R}}, B^{\mathbf{R}}), \tag{4.28}$$

where $\lambda = \partial(R_k^R), \ \partial(S_{k+1}^R) \le m - \lambda, \ \partial(T_{k+1}^R) \le n - \lambda, \ \partial(S_k^R) \le m - \lambda$ and $\partial(T_k^R) \le n - \lambda$.

Taking reciprocals of (4.28) with respect to $z^{m+n-\lambda-1}$ results in

where $R_k(0) \neq 0$. Thus, S_k / T_k is the scaled Pade fraction of type $(m - \lambda - 1, n - \lambda - 1)$ for -A / B. Taking reciprocals of (4.27) with respect to z^{m+n} gives

where
$$\partial(S_{k+1}) \leq m$$
 and $\partial(T_{k+1}) \leq n$. Thus, S_{k+1} / T_{k+1} is the scaled Pade fraction of type A_{k+1} , much that

$$GCD(S_{k+1}, T_{k+1}) = z^{\lambda}$$
 (4.30)

Thus $\lambda = \lambda$

ŀ As a consequence of Corollary 4.2. Algorithm 3 computes co-multipliers S_k and T_k , only,

such that

$$A^{R} \cdot T^{R}_{k} + B^{R} \cdot S^{R}_{k} = R^{R}_{k} = GCD(A^{R}, B^{R}) .$$

$$(4.31)$$

The remainder R_{k}^{μ} is available only if the multiplications in steps 3 and 6 are performed without the modulo operation.

4.3. Fast GCD Computations

Algorithm 2 applied to -A(z) / B(z), where $A(\tilde{z})$ and B(z) are polynomials Theorem 4.3. of degree m and n, respectively, returns the scaled Pade fraction S_1 / T_1 of type (m,n) such that

$$A^{R} \cdot T_{1}^{R} + B^{R} \quad S_{1}^{R} = 0 \tag{4.32}$$

and its predecessor S_0 / T_0 of type $(m - \lambda_{mn} - 1, n - \lambda_{mn} - 1)$ such that

$$A'' \cdot T_0'' + B'' \quad S_0'' = R_0'' \quad . \tag{4.33}$$

where

(4.29)



$$R_0 = GCD(A, B).$$

Proof: Since scaled Pade fractions are unique, the result is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.2.

The greatest common divisor R_0 is not explicitly computed by Algorithm 2. However, $\partial(R_0) = \lambda_{mn} \leq n$. Using fast multiplication, it can therefore be determined in $O(n \log n)$. As a consequence of this and Theorem 3.8, we have

Theorem 4.4. Algorithm 2 can compute the greatest common divisor, the cofactors and the comultipliers of two polynomials of degrees m and n, where $m \ge n_3$ in time $O(m \log m) + O(n \log^2 n)$.

Thus, Algorithm 2 for GCD computations is basically of the same asymptotic complexity as the fast algorithm of Moenck [MOE73], Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [AHO74] and Brent, Gustavson and Yun [BRE80], which are of complexity $O((m+n) \log^2 (m+n))$. However, Algorithm 2 has the advantage of being partly iterative (approximately half as many recursive calls are used in comparison with the other fast methods). This can result in significant cost savings in an implementation environment (Verheijen [VER83]).

4.4. Antidiagonal Computations

Let

and

$$A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i z^i$$

(4.34)

<. i

be a unit power series, and let

$$A_{d}^{\mathbf{F}}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_{d-i} z^{i} , \quad d \ge 0, \qquad (4.35)$$

`57

be the reciprocal of the first d+1 terms of A(z). In this section, we examine the intermediate results obtained by Algorithm 2² while computing the scaled Pade fraction of type (n,n), $2n \le d$, for the two polynomials $1 + z A_d^R(z)$ and $B^R(z) = -1$.

At the *i*-th iteration of Algorithm 2, the scaled Pade fraction $S_{NN}(z) / T_{NN}(z)$ of type (N,N)for $-\{1+z A_d^R(z)\} / B^R(z)$ and its predecessor $S_{N^*N^*}(z) / T_{N^*N^*}(z)$ are determined such that

$$\{1+z A_d^R(z)\} \cdot T_{NN}(z) - S_{NN}(z) = z^{2N+\mu_{NN}+1} R_1(z)$$
(4.36)

$$\{1 + z A_d^R(z)\} \cdot T_{N^*N^*}(z) - S_{N^*N^*}(z) = z^{2N^* + 1} R_0(z) , \qquad (4.37)$$

where

$$N^{\bullet} = N - \lambda_{NN} - 1$$

and

$$z^{NN} = GCD(S_{NN}, T_{NN})$$

By taking reciprocals of (4.36) and (4.37), it follows that

$$A_{d}(\tau) + T_{NN}^{R}(\tau) - R_{1}^{R}(\tau) = z^{d+1} \{S_{NN}^{R}(\tau) - T_{NN}^{R}(\tau)\}$$
(1.38)

$$\Psi_{\mu}(-) = T(F_{\mu\mu\nu}(-)) = \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{\mu}(-) \stackrel{(a)}{=} e^{i (-1)} \left\{ \nabla \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(-) + \nabla \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}(-) \right\}$$
(1.20)

where

$$\partial(R^{R}) = d - N = \mu_{\text{s}}, \qquad (1.10)$$

and

$$P(\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{R}}_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}) = \boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{N}^{*} \tag{111}$$

3 It is assumed that no truncation of polynomial operations takes place.

Theorem 4.5: Let M = d - N and $M^{\bullet} = d - N'$. Then the scaled Pade fractions of type (M, N)and $(M^{\bullet}, N^{\bullet})$ for A(z) are $R_{1}^{R}(z) / T_{NN}^{R}(z)$ and $R_{0}^{R}(z) / T_{N^{\bullet}N^{\bullet}}^{R}(z)$, respectively.

Proof: From (4.38), (4.39), (4.40) and (4.41), clearly the degree and order conditions for scaled Pade fractions are satisfied. To complete the proof, let

$$G(z) = GCD(T_{NN}^{R}, R_{1}^{R})$$

Then, from (4.38), G(z) must divide not only T_{NN}^{R} but $z^{d+1} S_{NN}^{R}(z)$, as well. Since $GCD(S_{NN}^{R}, T_{NN}^{R}) = 1$, it follows that

$$G(z) = z^{\lambda}$$

for some $\lambda \ge 0$. Thus, $R_1^{\mathbb{R}}(z) / T_{NN}^{\mathbb{R}}(z)$ is a scaled Pade fraction of type (M,N). Similarly, $R_0^{\mathbb{R}}(z) / T_{N^*N^*}^{\mathbb{R}}(z)$ is a scaled Pade fraction of type (M^*, N^*) .

Observe that

$$f + N = d \tag{4}$$

58

.42)

and

$$M^{\star} + N^{\star} = d \tag{4.43}$$

The scaled Pade fractions $\mathcal{R}_1^{\mathbb{P}}(z) / \mathcal{T}_{VV}^{\mathbb{P}}(z)$ and $\mathcal{P}_2^{\mathbb{P}}(z) / \mathcal{T}_{N^*V'}^{\mathbb{P}}(z)$ both lie along the *d*-th anti-diagonal path of the scaled Pade table I (A), where

Definition (see, for example, Brent [*BRE80*]). The *d*-th anti-diagonal path of the scaled Pade table $\Gamma(A)$ for a unit power series A(r) is defined to the set of all scaled Pade fractions of type (i,j), where

$$i+j=d. (4.44)$$

But, by Theorem 4.5, the scaled Pade fraction $R_1^{R}(z) / T_{NN}^{R}(z)$ and its predecessor $R_n^{R}(z) = \frac{7R_{NN}}{N_{NN}}(z)$, along the *d*-th anti-diagonal path, are obtained by applying Euclid's extended

algorithm to the reciprocals of $1 + z A_d^R(z)$ and $B^R(z) = -1$, that is, to the polynomials $A_d(z) + z^{d+1}$ and $-z^{d+1}$. However,

$$GCD(A_d(z) + z^{d+1}, -z^{d+1}) = GCD(A_d(z), -z^{d+1}).$$
(4.45)

This is precisely the result given by McElice [McE78]; namely, Euclid's extended algorithm applied to $A_d(z)$ and $-z^{d+1}$ yields all the Pade fractions along the d-th anti-diagonal path of the Pade table for A(z). Equivalently, by Theorem 4.5, the same Pade fractions can be obtained by applying Algorithm 3 to the two polynomials $1 + z A_2^{\mu}(z)$ and $B^{\mu}(z) = -1$, and then taking reciprocels of the results.

In addition, by Theorem 4.5. Algorithm 2 can be used to compute any specific scaled Pade fraction $R_1^R(z) / T_{NN}^R(z)$ of type (M, N), where M + N = d, along the d-th anti-diagonal path for A(z). This requires $O(N \log^2 N)$ arithmetic operations to compute, by Algorithm 2, the scaled Pade fraction $S_{NN}(z) / T_{NN}(z)$ of type (N,N) for $1 + z A_d^R(z)$, plus an additional $O((d-N) \log (d-N))$ operations to determine $R_1(z)$ which satisfies (4.36). This asymptotic cost is the same as the cost of the fast algorithm of Brent [BRF80] for computing ' operated divisor of $A_d(z)$ and $\frac{1}{2}$. Howev 1, their PERTIC eigenithm can not be computed to offic the same as the cost of the fast algorithm of Brent [BRF80] for computing ' operated

13

CHAPTER 5

THE INVERSE OF HANKEL AND TOEPLITZ MATRICES

5.1. Introduction

In this chapter would hade fractions are used to construct the inverse of a nonsingular

most the " ... is a first of the optimizer in which



gentated of the tracked matrices

The formula derived for the inverse is similar to these given by Trench [7RE65]. Zohar [701 74], and Knileth et al [74, 78] but it has the advantage of bring successful in the degenerate them a principal miner of $M_{\rm eff}$ [14, a multiple of the theory and for the inverse of $M_{\rm eff}$ [14, a multiple of the theory of $M_{\rm eff}$ [14, a multiple of the theory of the inverse of $M_{\rm eff}$ [14, a multiple of the theory of $M_{\rm eff}$ [14, a multiple of the inverse of $M_{\rm e$

The states

· /

 $S(\cdot)/T(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}$

the the realect to the chieve the rest of the

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k$$

ς.

61

ļ

Annu: thot

1

t.

the spectra is the spectra in the strength of the strength of the production

Protein and the second s

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & a_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n} & a_{2n} & z_{2n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{1} \\ v_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Tomme 51 Tet

$$y = (a_n, \dots, a_{n-1}) (a_{n-1}, \dots, a_n)^t$$

Then 2 2 0

$$v_{n-1} = v_{n-1} v_{n-1}$$
 (1) Then from (5.6), $(v_{n-1}, \dots, v_0, 0)$ satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & a_{n} & a_{n+1} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ a_{n-1} & a_{2n-2} & a_{2n-1} \\ & & & & \\ a_{2n-1} & (i) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-1} \\ & &$$

that is, $(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ is also a sufficient of (5.4). This implies $\det(H_{i,i}) = 0$, which is a con-

· illition

the man for the first the first of the man of the man

$$V_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2}$$

where some the (ft)

and the second superior of the second

(5.7)

and the second second

62

5.6)





and (5.8) now follows since B_{nn} is symmetric.

To obtain the remaining elements of $R_{\mu\nu}$, two cases, $t_{\mu} \neq 0$ and $t_{\mu} = 0$, of the solution of (5.1) are identified

Case 1: Assume that $t_n \neq 0$ Then (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n} \\ a_{n-1} \\ a_{2n-1} \\ a_{2$$

If an det $(H_{n+1,n}) \neq 0$, for otherwise (5.9) also has a colution with (0, 11, 0,

of the unique sector in a sching of the entry in of (C 1).

•• • ••

T +
$$b_{ij} = \bar{b}_{i-1,j} - (t_{n+1-i} / t_0) \, \vec{b}_{n,j} \,, \quad i,j = 1, \cdots, n, \qquad (5.11)$$

ŀ

a a state and a state of the st

64

where $\overline{b}_{0,j} = 0$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$.

Prnof: From (5.4)

However,

÷

$$H_{nn} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \overline{b}_{11} & \overline{b}_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{b}_{n-1,1} & \overline{b}_{n-1,n} \end{bmatrix} = I_n - \begin{bmatrix} a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [\overline{b}_{n1}, \cdots, \overline{b}_{nn}].$$
(5.13)

the equantly, by substituting (5.13) into (5.12), it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\$$

a territy fullence - -----

Case 2. Assume $t_a = 0$. Consider the subsystem

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n} & \cdots & a_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ t_{1} \end{bmatrix} = -t_{0} \begin{bmatrix} a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5.15)

0

of (5.4). Then the matrix on the left-hand-side of (5.15) is nonsingular. For, suppose otherwise. Then there exists a nontrivial vector $[\alpha_{n-1}, \dots, \alpha_1]'$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{2} & \cdots & a_{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n} & \cdots & a_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5.16)

However, by taking the transpose of (5.15), it follows from (5.16) that

Conscipient's .

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \alpha_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_n & & \alpha_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies that $d \in (11^{-1}) \neq 0$. This contradiction implies that

65

(7 1 ")



Now, since $t_n = 0$, it follows from (5.4) that det $(H_{n+1,n}) = 0$. Let

$$H_{n+1,n}^{\bullet} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{2} & \dots & a_{n} & a_{n+1} \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ a_{n} & a_{2n-2} & a_{2n-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{2n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, expansion with respect to the last column yields

$$\det(H_{n+1,n}^{\bullet}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} a_{2} & \dots & a_{n} \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{2n-2} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0.$$
 (5.19)

66

(5.18)

Lemma 5.4. Assume $t_n = 0$, and let $B_{n+1,n}^* = (b_{ij}^*)_{i,j=1}^n$ denote the inverse of $H_{n+1,n}^*$. Then

$$b_{ij} = b_{i-1,j}^{\bullet} + (b_{i,n} - t_{n+1-j} / t_0) b_{n,j}^{\bullet} , i, j = 1, \cdots, n, \qquad (5.20)$$

where $b_{0,j}^{\bullet} = 0, j = 1, \cdots, n$.

Proof: The result follows using arguments similar to those of the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Theorem 5.5 Let $(t_n, t_{n-1}, \dots, t_0)'$ and $(v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \dots, v_0)'$ be given by (5.1) and (5.5), respectively. If $t_0 \neq 0$, then the inverse of the H_{nn} is given by the Christoffel-Darboux formula $H_{nn}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\gamma t_0} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t_{n-1} & \cdots & t_0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{n-1} & \cdots & v_0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdot & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdot & \ddots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_n & \cdots & t_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdot & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdot & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ (5.21)

67

(5.22)

Proof: Consider the case $t_s \neq 0$. Then equation (5.11) of Lemma 5.3 yields

$$\overline{b}_{nn} = -(t_0 / t_n) b_1$$

and

$$\vec{b}_{i+1,n} = \vec{b}_{i,n} - (t_{n-i} / t_0) \vec{b}_{nn}$$
, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Consequently,

$$b_{i+1,n} = \overline{b}_{i,n} + (t_{n-i} / t_n) b_{1,n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

Since $\vec{b}_{i,n} = \vec{b}_{i,n}$, equation (5.22) inserted into (5.11) gives

$$b_{ij} = \overline{b_{i+1,j}} - (t_{n+1-i} / t_0) \left[b_{j+1,n} - (t_{n-j} / t_n) b_{1n} \right]$$

Thus,

 $b_{j+1,i+1} = \overline{b_{j,i+1}} = (t_{n-j} / t_0) [b_{i,n} = (t_{n+1-i} / t_n) b_{1n}].$

Therefore,

$$b_{ij} = b_{j+1,l-1} + t_0^{-1} [t_{n+j} b_{l,n} - t_{n+1-j} b_{j+1,n}].$$

From (5.8) of Lemma 5.2, it now follows that

$$b_{ij} = b_{j+1,j+1} + (\gamma t_0)^{-1} [t_{n-j} v_{n-1} - t_{n+1-j} v_{n-1-j}],$$

$$i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$
 (5.23)

68

where all variables with subscripts outside the range 1, 2, \cdots , n are assumed to be zero. But equation (5.23) corresponds exactly to (5.21).

The case $t_n = 0$ makes use of Lemma 5.4, and the proof proceeds in a similar manner.

Now consider the nonsingular Hankel system

$$H_{n,n} \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n & \dots & a_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \qquad (5.24)$$

for an arbitrary vector **b**. From (5.21), the computation of $\mathbf{x} = H_{nn}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ can be doned by performing four polynomial multiplications. Using fast polynomial multiplication methods, this requires $O(n \log n)$ arithmetic operations. By theorem 3.6, the polynomials T(z) and V(z) can be computed in $O(n \log^2 n)$ operations, and therefore

Theorem 5.6. The system (5.24) can be solved in $O(n \log^2 n)$ arithmetic operations.

ť

Example: Let

$$H_{3,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

and let

$$A(z) = a_0 + 2 z + z^4 + 4 z^5 + 0 z^6 + \cdots$$

be the associated unit power series. Then the scaled Pade fraction of type (3,3) for A(z) is given by S(z) / T(z), where

$$S(z) = 2 a_0 + 4 (1 - 2a_0) - 16 (1 - 2a_0) z^2 + (64 - a_0) z^3$$

and

$$T(z) = 2 - 8z + 32z^2 - z^3.$$

١

٢

69

Thus, $H_{3,3}$ is nonsingular, since $T(0) = t_0 \neq 0$. The predecessor of S(z) / T(z) is the scaled Pade fraction U(z) / V(z) of type (2,2), where

$$U(z) = a_0 z + 2 z^2$$

and

-R^d

$$V(z)=z.$$

Therefore, the solution of system (5.4),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_3 \\ t_2 \\ t_1 \\ t_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is $(t_3, t_2, t_1, t_0)^t = (-1, 32, -8, 2)^t$; and the solution of system (5.6),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ v_1 \\ v_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

is $(v_2, v_1, v_0)' = (0, 1, 0)$.

The inverse $H_{3,3}$ is obtained by the Christoffel- Darboux formula (5.21) to be

$$H_{3,1}^{-1} = (1/2) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 32 & -8 & 2 \\ -8 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 32 & -8 \\ 0 & -1 & 32 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since Toeplitz matrices are equivalent to Hankel matrices (simply by a change of notation), \leq the results of theorem 5.6 apply to Toeplitz matrices, as well.

Consequently, by Theorem 5.6, the inverse of a Toeplitz matrix of order n can be obtained in $O(n \log^2 n)$ arithmetic operations. This is done with one call of Algorithm 1 for the computation of a specific scaled Pade fraction. The fast algorithm of Brent [BRE80] is also of complexity $O(n \log^2 n)$, but the computation of the Toeplitz inverse may require as many as four calls of their $O(n \log^2 n)$ PRSDC algorithm for computing a specific Pade fraction. In addition, each call is burdened with complications, alluded to earlier in Section 4.4.

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Central to the classical theory of Pade approximants of power series are the concepts of the Pade form, which always exists but may not be unique, and the Pade fraction, which is the but in a certain sense may not exist. The fundamental definition introduced in this thesis is the scaled Pade fraction which exists uniquely. It is shown that scaled Pade fractions satisfy a three-term relationship between elements along an off-diagonal path of the scaled Pade table. This relationship circumvents the problems of the degenerate case - i.e., the problems which plague other relationship such as those upon which the ϵ -algorithm and the qd-algorithm are based.

The three term relationship is used to develop an $O(n^2)$ algorithm (Algorithm 3) which computes along an off-diagonal path, a finite sequence of successive scaled Pade fractions for the quotient of two power series. In the case that the power series is normal, Algorithm 3 is identical to the one described by Brezinski [*BRZ76*]. In the case that computations progress along the diagonal, Algorithm 3 becomes that of Cabay and Kao [C1B83] Furthermore, it is shown that if the two power series are finite (i.e., polynomials), then Algorithm 3 with computations along one specific off-diagonal path is exactly muivalent to Fuelid's extended algorithm for computing the greatest common divisor of two polynomials. Hence or, other off-diagonal paths can be used to compute greatest common divisors, and it remains a subject for future research to determine the optimal one

By doubling the step at each iteration, the three term relationship gives rise to an $O(n \log^2 n)$ algorithm (Algorithm 1 or Algorithm 2). The cost complexity assumes the existence of fast methods for polynomial arithmetic. The decision to double the step size (rather than to triple it, for example) is not accidental. We believe that this choice is optimal (within the constraints pleted by fact polynomial arithmetic methods), but a formal proof remains to by obtained

When applied to polynomials, Algorithm 2 at each iteration routinely produces intermediate polynomial remainder sequences. For this reason, and because Algorithm 2 is simply a fast version of Algorithm 3, Algorithm 2 is truly a fast Euclid's extended algorithm. The PGCD, HGCD and EMGCD algorithms of Moenck [MOE73], of Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [AHO74], and of Brent, Gustavson and Yun [BRE80], respectively, also compute greatest common divisors with the same cost complexity, but these methods are simply GCD methods. They do not produce intermediate polynomial remainder sequences. In addition, the artificial splitting of polynomials used by these methods to achieve their speed makes them difficult to understand. Finally, these methods are totally repursive, which makes them more costly to implement than Algorithm 2/whitch is semi-iterative.

For computing scaled Pade fractions for a power series, Brent et al [BRE80] have shown that the EMGCD algorithm can be modified, with substantial extra detail, to compute entries along an anti-diagonal path of the Pade table. We have shown that Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3 can also be used to compute such entries, routinely. From a practical point of view, however, it seems to us that computations along an anti-diagonal path are not as natural as they are along an offdiagonal path. If the choice of the cuti-diagonal path is incorrect, computations must be restarted. For off-diagonal computations, n need not be known apriori, and from an application point of view, this may be one of the most important contributions of this thesis.

It is not clear at this time whether or not the fast method, Algorithm 2, is useful in a practical environment. Initial experiments performed by Verheijen [VER83] indicate that the fast method. Algorithm 7, outperforms the classical one, Algorithm 3, only when n is greater than approximately 1600. However, as for the fast methods for polynomial multiplication, a hybrid of Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3 cherneld significantly lower this cross over point. This returns a subinit for future research.

72

7

An effective implementation of an off-diagonal algorithm should prove to be a substantial tool in the design of a symbolic and algebraic system. A single routine set of

194 - A

73

- (1) to obtain rational approximants of power series,
- (2) to convert rational functions from their power series representation
- (3) to compute greatest common divisors of polynomials and
- (4) to solve Hankel and Toeplitz systems of equations

The scope of this thesis includes those power series with coefficients over a field only. This restriction is relevant whenever division is required by the off diagonal algorithms. By choosing to perform pseudo-division, rather than division, the algorithms can be modified so that they are applicable to power series over a Euclidean domain rather than a field. As for Euclid's extend of algorithm, the modified algorithms shall experience exponential growth of coefficients. It is a subject for future research to determine if methods similar to those of Collins [COL67] can be used to be keep the prowth linear. Other plausible methods for extending the results to Euclidean domain in the bid need to be in estigated, include the Chinese Remainder of theory algorithms.

The ent asign of results to Fuelidean domains includen as a special one the multi-adare prover series. It is of interest to determine how this critical would compare the mathe is for colving block Hunkel-systems, and for containing of the target finance for the

series. This remains a subject for future research

and the second of the second second

BIBLIOGRAPHY

مغس

· •

•

.

₽: . .

L \11074]	Abo. A.V., J.E. Hopcroft and J.D. Ullman, The Design and Analysis of Computer
	Algorithms, Addison-Wesley Mass. 1974.
1 1 1	Akaith, 11 Mack Toplitz matrix inversion 574M / Appl Mars 24 (11) 11 14
	734-241
[RAK75]	Paker, C. Ir Essentials of Pode Approximants, Academic Press 11 Y 1215
נימרד נכון	Bentley J. D. Hanken and J. Saxe, A General Method for Colling 184
	quer Becurrencer . ICM SIGACT NETLS 2(1080), pp. 33-14
[REPAR]	Berlekennp V. R., Algebraic Coding Theory McCiraw Hill Co. 11.V., 1900
[BOC22]	Bocher M. Lumbertion to High Algebra Macmillan (10, 11, V, 1999)
to set	Done 1 R and S. Basis 2 D. Matrix Emistensor 12 + 1 for and Derve is 8 migue
	$\frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{12} \right) - \frac$
• · · • •	Brent D. C. Custovion Sc. D.V. & Vin, Cast. tion of Templity Sciences of
	Equation of the second of the second second of Algebra (1) (1) the second
	250 205
1	Browinski C., "Computation of Enderson of the second
	(my 1 pl 1 (1 m
101 11	Brown, D.S., Co. Fuelid's Alg. (11 or and the Comp. 1.1. 1.1. content.
	Continue Di cons. 7 1034 15010 nº 1, pp 1°R 501

The Destriction of the second se

- [Pt' 79] Bultheel, A "Recursive Algorithms for the Pade Tables: Two Approaches in Lecture Notes in Mathematics 765, Springer Verlag Berlin, 1979, pp. 210-230.
- [CAB70] Cabay. S. CS566: Symbolic and Algebraic Manipulation Class Note, 1970
- [* AB77] Cabay, S. and T. P. Lam, "Congruential Technique for the Uxact Solution of Integral Systems of Usions Fountion" ACM Least on Math. Systems (1977), no. 1 pp. 386-397.
- [CAR83] Cabox, S. and I. J. C. Kao. The Diagonal Pade table of the tribucedur to composivition of Haukel Matrices. JR83-10, Spring 1983
- its elements J of Comp and Appl Val 1(1075) 10 101 11 152.
- [Cert 66] Collins, G.E., Polynon al Pern de l'archive de l'archivente Ame Math. More 17(196 no 7, pr. 2011)
- [Center] Colline I Subresult tout Attach and the second se
- from Contra Probability and the second of the Array Array Strength (Strength (Strengt (Strength (Strength (Strength (Strengt (
- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{$
- - (1) and D.W.Y.Yum, Tast Contended Finds of File States and the states

75

¥

- [GUS79] Gustavson, F.G. and D.Y.Y. Yun, "Fast algorithms for rational Hermite approximation and solution of Toeplitz systems", *IEEE Trans. CS, CAS-26* (1979), No.9, pp. 750-755.
- [HOU69] Householder, A.S. and G.W. Stewart, "Bigradients, Hankel Determinants and the Pade Table", in B. Dejon and P. Henrici (eds.). Constructive Aspects of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Academic Press, New York, pp. 131-150.
- [KAI78] Kailaith, T., A. Vieira and M. Morf, "Inverses of Toeplitz Operators, Innovations and Orthogonal Polynomials", SIAM Review 20, pp. 106-119.
- [KNU69] Knuth, D.E., Seminumeric Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [LIP76] Lipson, J.D., "Newton's Method: A Greatest Algebraic Algorithm", Proc. 1976 ACM Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Comp. pp. 260-270.
- [LIP81] Lipson, J.D., Elements of Algebra and Algebraic Computing, Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- [McE78] McEliece, R.J. and J.B. Shearer, "A Property of Euclid's Algorithm and an Application to Pade Approximation", SIAM / Appl. Math. 34(1978), No.4, pp. 611-617.
- [MOS¹¹] Moses, I and D.Y.V. Yum, "The FMGCD Algorithm", *Proceeding of ACM annual conf.* (1973), pp. 159-166.
- [MOF73] Moenck R Studies in Vort Algebraic Algorithms", 7R-57 University of Toronto, 1973
- [13334] Rissanen, I., "Solution of Linear Equations with Hankel and Toeplitz Matrices", Numer. Math., 22(1974), pp. 361-366.
- 12(1964) Molton S15-522.
- Trench, W.F., "An Algorithm for the Inversion of Finite Hankel Matrices", SIAM J.
 Matrice 13, pp. 1102-1107.

- [VER83] Verheijen, A. Evaluation of Diagonal Pade Fractions, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Alberta, 1983, In preparation.
- [WYN60], Wynn, P., "The rational approximation of function which are formally defined by a power series expansion", Math. Comp. 14(1960), pp. 147-186.
- [YUN79] Yun, D.Y.Y., "Uniform Bounds for a Class of Algebraic Mappings", SIAM J. Comp. 8(1979), No.3, pp. 348-356.
- [YUN74] Yun, D.Y.Y., "The Hensel Lemmma in Algebraic Manipulation", Mac TR-138, 1974.
- [ZOH69] Zohar, S., "Toeplitz Matrix Inversion: The Algorithm of W.F. Trench", J. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 16(1969), No.4, pp. 792-801.
- [ZOH74] Zohar, S., "The solution of a Toeplitz set of linear equations", J. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 21(1974), pp. 272-276.

77