44019

PERMISSION TO MICROFILM — AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMER

- Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylographier

Full Name of Author — Nom complet de l'auteur

Michael Willoughby Small

Date of Birth — Date de naissance

Dec. 12, 1932.

Country of Birth — Lieu de naissance

Australia

Permanent Address — Résidence fixe

9 Lansdown Rd. Gooseberry Hill, 6076
Western Australia

Title of Thesis — Titre de la thèse

A Case Study in Educational Policy Making:
The Establishment of Athabasca University

University — Université

Alberta.

Degree for which thesis was presented — Grade pour lequel cette thèse fut présentée

Ph. D.

Year this degree conferred — Année d'obtention de ce grade

1980.

Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse

Dr. J.J. Bergen.

Date

Nov. 13 1979

Signature

Mike Small

NOTICE

AVIS

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

THIS DISSERTATION
HAS BEEN MICROFILMED
EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ
MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE
NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE

Ottawa, Canada
K1A 0N4

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

A CASE STUDY IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY MAKING:

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY

by

MICHAEL WILLOUGHBY SMALL

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

SPRING, 1980

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and
recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research,
for acceptance, a thesis entitled "A Case Study of
Educational Policy Making: The Establishment of
Athabasca University" submitted by Michael Willoughby
Small in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

....
                        Supervisor

....

....

....

....

....
                        External Examiner

Date 1979

ABSTRACT

This is a political sociological case study concerned with the genesis of Alberta's fourth provincial university. Two distinct areas are examined in this study: (1) the identification of specific factors associated with legislation authorizing the establishment of the university; and (2) the development of some generalizations which could lead to a more general theory of policy making based on data obtained in the study.

The first problem in this qualitative/phenomenological study was the identification of specific factors associated with the legislation authorizing the establishment of the university. This was carried out by interviewing key people associated with this activity and conducted within the context of a policy analysis approach. It was essentially a matter of identifying: (1) the elite groups; (2) the influential persons; and (3) the informal networks who were associated with the decision-making processes that resulted in the establishment of the university. During this investigation a total of 46 interviews were conducted between January 1978 and June 1979. The information derived from these interviews was confirmed by a study of relevant documents which related to this legislation.

The second major problem was concerned with the

development of some generalizations related to the policy-making process. These generalizations were based on information obtained in this study. This research was carried out within two theoretical perspectives: (1) a grounded-theory approach; and (2) an approach in which empirical findings were developed on the basis of the analysis of a single case. Guided by the theoretical perspective of these two approaches and utilizing a comparative and internal method of analysis of the four identified stages in the university's development: (1) euphoria; (2) moratorium; (3) acceptance; and (4) endorsement; two distinct types of findings related to the policy-making process emerged.

Finding 1: Seventeen propositions were developed in the area of policy analysis.

Finding 2: A framework was developed in which it is demonstrated that there are three discernible types of policy making: (1) bona fide policies; (2) expedient policies; and (3) policies of appeasement. This framework was based on a synthesis of the data and developed within a context in which the research of the policy analysts was utilized.

It is argued that in the interests of both the democratic process and social justice, major educational decisions in which substantial amounts of public money are

v

involved could be scrutinized and analyzed according to the model proposed in this thesis.

A cautionary note is offered by the inclusion of three caveats which relate to the methodology. These caveats are as follows: (1) the nature of the qualitative data and the manner in which they were obtained; (2) the limitations of the findings including the need for a more rigorous examination of the theoretical outcomes; and (3) an advisory comment to the effect that, while the appropriateness of the grounded-theory approach for this particular study may be questioned, its usefulness ought to be tested further in similar investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Athabasca University, currently (1979) Visiting Professor, University of Alberta, whose special interest and encouragement were always greatly appreciated.

Finally, I acknowledge Sydney B. Sharpe of Athabasca University who sparked the whole idea.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

THE PROBLEM

The Statement of the Problem

The major purpose of this study was to describe the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University. In order to do this the following subproblems were addressed.

Subproblems

1. To identify and describe:

   (a) The specific factors associated with the genesis of Order in Council 1206/70, June 25, 1970 authorizing the initial establishment of Athabasca University.

   (b) The specific factors associated with the genesis of Order in Council 1986/72, December 20, 1972 authorizing Athabasca University to offer an alternative form of university education.

   (c) The specific factors associated with the genesis of the announcement November 6, 1975 in which Athabasca was approved as, a permanent baccalaureate University.

(d) The specific factors associated with the genesis of Orders in Council 434/78 and 435/78, April 12, 1978 in which Athabasca University was approved as a permanent self-governing post-secondary institution.

2. To develop some generalizations and conclusions, based on an analysis of the identified events, which would facilitate an understanding of the policy-making process.

Significance of the Study

The study dealing with the genesis of Athabasca University's legislation has been chosen for the following reasons:

1. The dissertation is a study of the policy-making process within an educational context. Both the professional/rational arguments and the political arguments resulting in a political decision to establish a fourth university in the province of Alberta are examined. The study therefore contributes to the theory of policy analysis.

2. Athabasca is now Alberta's fourth provincial university, and thus represents a considerable investment in the province's educational capital. With the current interest in post-secondary education, the factors which gave rise to the development of Athabasca University could

have practical significance for the planning of alternative post-secondary institutions.

Delimitations

(1) The study was concerned only with the specific legislation relating to the genesis of Athabasca University on June 25, 1970, December 20, 1972, November 6, 1975, April 12, 1978. (2) Only major participants were identified and interviewed. (3) The study was delimited to one case study only, pertaining to the genesis of Athabasca University. (4) The study was delimited to the period under review, 1966-1978, although for the purposes of clarity and continuity it was necessary to refer to certain events prior to 1966.

Limitations

The study was limited by: (1) the degree to which generalizations were made from the data produced; (2) the ability of respondents to recall events which happened ten years earlier; (3) the amount of information which was readily obtainable from cabinet discussions, for access to some of these data was related to sensitive political processes and events, and information of this kind was difficult to obtain; (4) the interviewing of those persons who had a direct part in the genesis of Athabasca University

for some difficulties were presented by the limitations of time, geography and economics; and (5) the findings were limited by the particular methodology utilized in the study, for the identification of specific factors related to social phenomena was a difficult task.

Organization of the Dissertation

Two distinct thrusts are emphasized in this dissertation. The first is a study of the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University, per se, and the second is an attempt to derive some generalized statements about the policy-making process from this study of the evolution of Athabasca University. To achieve these goals the dissertation has been organized in the following way.

Chapter 1: In this chapter the following aspects are discussed: (1) the statement of the problem to be researched; (2) the significance of the study; (3) the delimitations, and the limitations; and (4) a resume of the organization of the dissertation.

Chapter 2: In this chapter the six streams of literature utilized in this study are reviewed: grounded theory, qualitative methodology, policy analysis, theory building, developing empirical findings through the analysis of a single case and the case study.

Chapter 3:   In this chapter the research perspective and methodology developed from the literature review are described and explained. This chapter therefore includes only those aspects of the literature review which are pertinent to this study.

Chapter 4:   This chapter includes a chronological review of the main events leading up to the establishment of Athabasca University. In the chapter the following sections are covered: (1) the events which took place under the Social Credit government; and (2) the events which took place under the present (1979) Progressive Conservative government.

Chapter 5:   This chapter includes sections in which generalized statements about policy making are developed. It includes concepts, propositions and a policy classification scheme derived from the data presented in the preceding chapters.

Chapter 6:   This chapter includes: (1) an appreciation of the theoretical context, i.e., grounded theory and a naturalistic ethnographic approach in which the study was formulated; (2) a summary of the major outcomes; and (3) some suggestions and implications for future research in this area.

CHAPTER 2

A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

The literature which is related to this study has
been organized into six separate yet interrelated sections.

Section I: This section includes a selection of
              literature in which reference is made to
              studies involving the use of the grounded-
              theory approach.

Section II: This section includes a selection of litera-
              ture in which reference is made to other
              qualitative approaches such as: empiricism;
              ethnography; field study; inductive investi-
              gation; investigative sociology; journalistic
              enquiry; naturalistic enquiry; and phenome-
              nology.

Section III: This section includes a selection of litera-
              ture in which reference is made to studies
              in the field of policy analysis.

Section IV: This section includes a selection of litera-
              ture in which reference is made to recent
              studies in theory building.

Section V: This section includes a selection of litera-
               ture in which the area of the development of
               empirical findings through the analysis of a
               single case is analyzed.

Section VI: This section includes a brief survey of
               research findings related to the case study
               approach.

Section I: Grounded Theory

Grounded Theory

Grounded theory is a term used by Glaser and Strauss
(1967:2) to describe a process in which theory is system-
atically obtained from social research. Grounded theory
may be contrasted with logico-deductive theory. It is
considered by its proponents to be more successful than
theories which are logically deduced from a priori
assumptions. Grounded theory is inductive which means
that the theory is induced after the data collection has
been commenced (cf. Homans, 1950:18).

In addition to the work of Glaser and Strauss (1967),
there has been a swell of interest in the grounded-theory
approach. This is illustrated in particular by the more
recent work of Glaser (1978), the reviews of Mullen and
Reynolds (1978) and Mullen (1978). The grounded theory

mode of enquiry is also evident in the work of:

Bossert (1977), Broadfoot (1978), Byrne (1978),

Dunn (1977), Eldridge and Crombie (1974),

Furlong (1977), Greenfield (1977), Hargreaves

(1977), Jenkins (1978), Laslett (1978),

Louis (1977), Magoon (1977), Orenstein (1978),

Owens (1978), Rickards (1978), Shaw (1978),

Thomas (1977), Tunnell (1977), West (1978) and

Wilson (1977).

The grounded-theory and the inductive approaches are both predicated on the assumption that theory must be grounded in data. Glaser and Strauss (1967:34) have argued therefore that concepts should be allowed to emerge from the data. They argued further that in the beginning of an investigation the researcher's understanding of an area would be incomplete.

At this stage further data collection, guided by emerging concepts, would be suggested in which inter-relationships could be elaborated and verified among the emerging concepts. Applied to this study, it was possible in this phase to identify: (1) the need to use further interviews with previously unidentified personnel; and (2) the further analysis of documents.

As a result, the core of an emerging theory is generated, and as Glaser and Strauss (1967:34) have argued,

it was "in order to see which of diverse formal theories are, perhaps applicable for further substantive formulations."

Initially Glaser and Strauss (1967:32) had considered two basic kinds of grounded theory: (1) _substantive_ which is an explanation about a specific area developed for an empirical area of enquiry and (2) _formal_ which is an explanation of a concept in its full generality. Glaser (1978:52) then added to this initial breakdown by proposing a third category which he termed _general substantive theory_. This is an explanation which comes midway between substantive and formal theory. It is more general than substantive theory, but not completely general as formal theory. It is suggested that the generalizations produced in the study will fall into this category.

Glaser (1968:5) had described the grounded-theory approach as a progressive building up of facts through a substantive level to a formal level. The researcher had to collect many facts utilizing an ethnographic/direct data collection process to arrive at this stage of theory building (see Figure 1).

A difficult methodological problem in this type of qualitative research according to Glaser (1966:57) was that chronologically there was no clear cut line between: (1) the data collection process; (2) the analysis process;

Formal Theory

General Substantive Theory

Substantive Theory

Key Criteria
1. Empirical import
2. Theoretical significance

Categories
Hypotheses
Problems

Data Collection and Data Analysis

Grounded Theory Approach

Constant Comparative Method of Analysis

Discovery or Verification

Modification or Confirmation

A Posteriori Approach

Direct Data Collection

Empirical Induction

Ethnographic Approach

Field Method

Inductive Enquiry

Intensive Local Observation

Phenomenological Approach

The Twin Goals
1. describing the real world of policy making
2. building a more general theory of policy making

Facts and Phenomena

Naturalistic-Ecological Hypothesis

Qualitative-Phenomenological Hypothesis

Qualitative Data Discovery

Investigative Sociology

Data discovery
- scientific rigour
- practical skills
- trained participant
- clinical skills
- investigative journalism

Figure 1. The generation of grounded theory within a political sociological context

and (3), the conceptual integration stage. Glaser argued that there tended to be a continual blurring and intertwining of all three operations from the beginning until nearly the end of the investigation.

Examples of Recent Work Involving
Grounded Theory

A selection has been made of the work of some of the researchers previously mentioned. This selection will be used to illustrate the direction of the current work in grounded theory.

1. Byrne (1978) wished to develop a grounded formal theory of sociotemporality. He stated that Glaser and Strauss (1967) provided the best methodological and theoretical statement for such an endeavour. Byrne emphasized that his goal was discovery of new theory rather than verification of existing theories.

2. Dunn's (1977) study dealing with planned organizational change was an inductive style of enquiry emphasizing the generation of situationally specific knowledge. He argued that: (1) grounded theory was generated directly from experience acquired in the course of social research; and (2) the development and application of grounded theories would be more likely to improve the quality of research findings.

3. Eldridge and Crombie (1974) examined the differences between formal and substantive theories. They proposed that the researcher could attempt a comparative analysis among groups in a substantive area. The focus here was therefore on generating specific substantive theory. An attempt could also be made to analyze on a comparative basis different substantive cases all of which were defined as being within a given formal area. The focus here was therefore on developing a specified formal area. They argued that the methodological position which Glaser and Strauss (1967) adopted was essentially inductive. Both Glaser and Strauss and Eldridge and Crombie indicated the progressive build-up of facts which led first to the development of substantive theory and then eventually to the development of formal theory.

4. Greenfield's (1977) research problem was divided into two parts to develop: (1) a more complete understanding of GAS-ing phenomena (Getting the Attention of Superiors); and (2) a theoretical framework in which these discoveries would be integrated with the already existing theories of individual and organizational socialization. The major task in this study was the generation of a data-based theory about administrative candidacy in public schools.

5. Jenkins (1978:81) argued that contingency theory had a particularistic rather than a universalistic bias indicating the relative uniqueness of each organization. This idea could be extended by adopting the grounded-theory approach, in which theory would be generated by appropriate research. Concepts would be developed from data obtained in this way.

6. Magoon (1977) reviewed various approaches utilized in educational research. These included the traditional deductive educational research hypothesis, and hypotheses which were developed from an ethnographic approach. According to Magoon, Glaser and Strauss (1967) perceived theory to be a never ending process in which facts were brought constantly into consideration by qualitative processes.

7. West (1978) utilized a discovery process of analytic induction to develop grounded theory in a Canadian study entitled The Short Term Careers of Serious Thieves.

8. Wilson (1977) described ethnographic techniques that were used in educational research. The rationale that he proposed for this methodology was based on two hypotheses concerning human behaviour: (1) a naturalistic/ecological hypothesis; and (2) a qualitative/phenomeno-logical hypothesis. He argued that it was essential to study events in their natural settings, and as Glaser

and Strauss (1967) had argued earlier, Wilson stressed the superiority of theory which was grounded in the reality being studied.

Section II: Other Qualitative Methodological Approaches

Introduction

The naturalistic/qualitative paradigm is, in Guba's (1978) view, an alternative to the scientific/quantitative paradigm that has been dominant for so long in educational research. However, advocates of qualitative research have argued convincingly that there is as yet no perfect research design and that it is possible to produce a great amount of useful data by means of the qualitative paradigm in spite of limitations such as availability of resources, time and the interests of the researcher.

Owens (1978) defined qualitative methodology to include research strategies such as: (I) participant observation; (2) in-depth interviewing; and (3) total participation in the activity being investigated. These would allow the researcher "to get close to the data" thereby developing the analytical, conceptual and categorical components of explanation from the data itself.

A Naturalistic Approach

It seems increasingly difficult to discuss any of the terms considered so far in isolation. The naturalistic position is similar to the ethnographic and phenomeno- logical approach. The naturalistic/qualitative approach may be (according to Owens, 1978) a source of theory- building concepts. This position is similar to the views expressed by Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser (1978) that theory should be grounded in data.

A naturalistic enquiry may thus be described in the following terms: (1) it is generally developed for a non- technical audience and to a large extent uses ordinary language; (2) it is an enquiry aimed at understanding selected social realities and human perceptions that are unaffected by the unobtrusiveness of formal measurement or preconceived questions; (3) it is essentially a process aimed at uncovering important events told by real people about real events in real and natural ways. The emphasis in this kind of investigation must be on people and the interactions that occur with people.

In brief, the naturalistic researcher's paradigm is concerned with: (1) the description and the understanding of a situation; (2) the total immersion of the researcher in an investigation with as open a mind as possible; and (3) the impressions which are allowed to form as a result

of this enquiry.

The traditionalist who follows the conventional
pattern in educational research normally adopts the
following approach: (1) a logical positivist philosophical
perspective; (2) an enquiry paradigm that is essentially
experimental; (3) a purpose that is explained in terms of
verification; (4) a setting that is typically in a
laboratory; and (5) conditions that are carefully con-
trolled and monitored.

In contrast to this, the following characteristics
are demonstrated by a naturalistic approach: (1) a
phenomenological philosophic base; (2) an enquiry paradigm
that simulates ethnography or investigative journalism;
(3) a purpose explained in terms of discovery rather than
verification; and (4) a setting and conditions which are
both natural and uncontrolled.

Field Study Approach

Rist (1979) has pointed out that there are many
ways now available to the qualitative researcher in
the study of social phenomena. He argued that there
was more than one paradigm now available for research,
and that the method adopted should suit the particular
problem. Cronbach (1977) believed that the special task of
the social scientist was to pin down contemporary facts by

intensive local observation. The use of the field approach now seems more assured despite some earlier unfavourable negative reactions. Lutz and Ramsey (1974) felt that the field method was used too infrequently and often badly. They argued that educational researchers preferred to be associated with the more scientific and therefore presumably the more prestigious approach, rather than making some tentative assumptions about particular phenomena being studied.

In advocating the field study approach, Rist (1979) outlined some of the advantages he saw in the process he termed triangularization. By this term he meant that the data would be checked and validated constantly by means of the following three activities: (1) structured and unstructured interviews; (2) relevant document searches; and (3) participant observation. Usually these activities would be carried out concurrently. The integration of these three methods would, according to Rist, ensure both the credibility and validity of the study. In a field study approach the main purpose of the exercise was to try to understand human behaviour in its natural setting. At the same time the researcher would utilize the multiple means of verification just described to ensure the credibility of the information obtained in his investigation.

Rist seems to follow the same procedure as
Glaser and Strauss (1967). Rist has stated that
theory begins with an extrapolation from grounded events.
The qualitative researcher who intends to develop a theory
needs, according to Rist, the ability to extract
from his field notes some key incident, which can be
linked to other incidents, phenomena and theoretical con-
structs. He then needs to conceptualize this so that
others may see the generic in the particular and the
universal in the concrete. Rist (1977:45) has argued that
it is most important for a qualitative researcher to be
able to demonstrate the relation between the part and the
whole.

Investigative Sociology

Recent indications of interest among educators in
the use of non-statistical research methodologies is on
the increase. It has been demonstrated that there is a
need for other research strategies in which the discovery
of data is stressed. Whilst experimental-statistical
studies will probably still continue to dominate most of
the educational research scene, there seems to be an
increase in the numbers of researchers with a qualitative
and sociological background who will continue to search
for alternative research strategies.

Investigative sociology, a term suggested by Ball

(1977), is one such strategy. The methodology adopted here is similar to the one developed by Schatzman and Strauss (1973).

According to Ball (1977:8) the researcher should proceed with a synthetic approach in which the following skills are combined: (1) the rigour of the scientist; (2) the practical abilities of the skilled craftsman; (3) the activities of the highly trained participant; (4) the probing of the clinician; (5) the skepticism of the investigative journalist; and (6) the opportunism of the detective. Ball argued that this approach was necessary for the construction and testing of social theory.

The Ethnographic Approach

Ball (1977), Guba (1978, 1979a, 1979b), Nagel (1977), Overholt and Stallings (1976) and Scribner (1977) all argue in favour of an ethnographic, inductive field-oriented approach in which educational problems or issues are analyzed away from the controlled artificiality of a laboratory situation.

Overholt and Stallings (1976) described the approach in which ethnographic hypotheses were generated after some observations had been made in that field. The task in their opinion was mainly a matter of recording observed

regularities and organizing these into empirical generaliza-
tions. In other words the researcher adopted the inductive
process.

Tunnell (1977) also supported the use of field
research as a means of investigating real life situations.
He argued that the built-in external validity factor was
one reason why field research was now attracting a great
deal of interest.

Journalistic and Other Forms of Analyses

In a review of methodological procedures used in
policy-analysis studies, Nagel (1977:43) presented aspects
of both quantitative and non-quantitative methods currently
in use. The non-quantitative research methods included:
(1) journalistic descriptions of incidents of policy
formation; (2) philosophical analyses of normative value
statements; (3) historical descriptions of previous attempts
to deal with various policy problems; and (4) anthro-
pological or ethnographic studies dealing with the handling
of policy problems.

Survey, Reputational and Issue Analyses

Scribner (1977:33) outlined some of the methods he
thought appropriate for studying power and politics in
education. These included: (1) survey analyses,
(2) reputational analyses, and (3) issue analyses.

A Phenomenological Approach

Viewpoints similar to phenomenology in philosophy are reflected in existentialism, pragmatism and radical empiricism. The difficulty of describing a phenomenological approach has been analyzed by James (1955:509) when he extemporized on the real world being more different and more intricate than science allowed. The basic problem for the phenomenologist was concerned with an understanding of human behaviour from the actor's own frame of reference. The phenomenologist approached his research tasks with few preconceptions, unlike a researcher who adopted a quantitative approach with highly structured instrumentation.

In brief, maximum sensitivity to the natural conditions of real world settings is provided by a phenomenological approach. The researcher simply tries to set down what is there without any preconceptions and without influencing to any great extent what is there. The task of the phenomenologist is often made difficult and time consuming because utilizing a phenomenological perspective involves the analysis of a great deal of unordered data in a search for an order or system within the environment that is being researched.

Section III: Policy Analysis

The following section has been divided into four
subsections.

Subsection 1:   Definitions of Policy

Subsection 2:   Some Recent Approaches to a Study of
Policy Analysis

Subsection 3:   Who Does Make Policy?   Elite Groups,
Pluralist Groups or Networks?

Subsection 4:   A Review of the Findings of Some
Major Researchers Whose Work is
Highly Relevant to this Study.

Subsection 1:   Definitions of Policy

Numerous studies on the policy-making process have
been undertaken.   Easton (1965b:50) has argued that
policy making is whatever governments choose to do or not
to do.

Jantsch (1969:46) defined policies as normative
expressions of future states of dynamic systems.   Stringham
(1974:17) viewed policy making as a major guideline for
future discretionary action.   It was generalized, philo-
sophically based and implied an intention for taking
action.   Policy making could thus be viewed as a political
activity that defined both objectives and goals.

Other useful definitions of the policy-making process

included those proposed by Friedrich (1963:70) who defined
the process as a course of action of a person, group or
government within a given environment and Ranney (1968:6)
whose definition included the following major components:
(1) a particular object; (2) a desired course of events;
(3) a selected line of action; (4) a declaration of
intent; and (5) an implementation of that intent.

Cistone (1977:89) saw policy making as the deter-
mination of a particular course of action that was
advantageous or expedient. It was a difficult process
when undertaken conscientiously and when pursued in the
public interest. Part of the difficulty in under-
standing public policy making was, in Cistone's view,
that it was such an imperfect art and an imprecise
science.

Subsection 2: Some Recent Approaches to a Study of Policy Analysis

Freeman (1978:9) stated that some policy analysts
were essentially essayists, others were field investi-
gators, survey researchers and model builders. Each method
had its own supporters, and this fact added to the already
extensive heterogeneity of the field. Policy-analysis
studies did not yet have a unique methodology and this
would become apparent in the review of the literature which
follows. In general terms policy-analysis studies could

be defined as the study of: (1) the nature; (2) the causes; and (3) the effects of alternative public policies.

The position taken by the Alberta School Trustees Association (1976) was that in policy-analysis studies the main emphasis would be on the identification of the policy makers. This position, in the opinion of the ASTA, meant an examination of the "top" people in an organization.

The naive view, according to Gershuny (1978), was a situation where the ideal, rational, public policy maker obtained the best possible technical advice on all the complete range of feasible policies, and all the likely consequences, and then chose among them according to his organization's preferences.

In the utilization of this process following Gershuny (1978) the suggestion was that there were experts who provided independent, objective information and there were the politicians who evaluated this information. In Gershuny's view this approach was quite impracticable.

Policy analysis, according to Ukeles (1977:223), could be defined as the systematic investigation of alternative policy options and the assembly and integration of the evidence for and against each option. This approach would be essentially a problem-solving one, plus

some attempt at predicting the consequences of alternative courses of action. Ukeles stated that the fundamental purpose of policy analysis was to facilitate the reaching of sound policy decisions.

According to Reynolds' (1975) conceptual and methodological analytic approach, the aim of policy science was the acquisition of empirical knowledge. Reynolds (1975:7) proposed that a policy was a move made from one position in a practice which could be reasonably expected to have at least one of the following results: (1) a modification of that practice; (2) a lack of modification of that practice; or (3) the creation of another practice. The key criteria of rationality for any form of inquiry in this type of study were those which governed concept formation. Reynolds (1975:11) argued that empirical import and theoretical significance were these two key criteria.

In a significant review of the policy-making process in Alberta, Mansbridge (1978) described how social policy was developed, managed and modified. Mansbridge (1978: 313) described the mechanics of the policy-making process and showed how the proposed policy was taken through the various committees at cabinet level. Mansbridge's article is particularly useful because he has conceptualized the way in which new policies originate.

New policies according to Mansbridge (1978:322) were usually the result of a combination of the following events: (1) recognition of a basic need; (2) research by an appropriate agency; (3) a position paper containing recommendations; (4) public debate of the conclusions; (5) a set of proposals for study by the appropriate cabinet committee; (6) a directive setting out the goals of government; and (7) a resultant implementation plan together with assigned resources to achieve the policy objectives.

Another highly significant study of the policy-process was carried out by Almond and Powell (1978: who argued that policy making was the pivotal stage of the political process. It was the point at which effective political demands were converted into authoritative decisions. Almond and Powell (1978:246) proposed that policy-making processes operated differently in response to different kinds of issues.

The position taken in British constitutional theory was that cabinet was the most important collective policy maker. However with the increased work load, and the technical character of modern public business, most of the policy making was undertaken by the responsible ministers, their highest civil servants and a specialized cabinet committee.

Myroon (1978) proposed what he termed a pro-retrospective policy-making mode. He viewed policy making as the major mechanism for meeting educational aspirations of the provincial publics and inducing improvements in the quality of education. Movement toward a preferred state would be permitted by effective policy making.

Garms et al. (1978:12) stated that there were three predominant values for much of the educational policy making that took place in the United States. These were equality, efficiency and liberty, or in their own words values that were good, just and right. Garms et al. (1978) considered this approach to be fundamental in understanding the policy-making process in public education. In other words, educational policies that ensured: (1) educational outcomes; (2) equal access to education; (3) equal treatment of students; and (4) provided for the most goods and services for the least possible means, were the policies that should be formulated.

In the section dealing with the generation of educational legislation, Garms et al. (1978:161) stated that the ideas forwarded to the legislature should be politically popular or at least should not be too politically controversial.

Other recent researchers in the area of policy analysis and policy formation include: (1) Lonsdale (1978) who viewed policy formation as a complex interplay of analysis and politics. (2) Price (1978) who conceptualized the process in an analysis of policy making in congressional committees in terms of the cozy relationships that existed among particularistic groups, committees and agencies. Price (1978:569) used descriptors such as "unholy trinities," "triangular trading patterns," "whirlpools of influence," "sub-governments" and similar terms.

(3) Quade (cited in Nagel, 1977:21-25) analyzed the differences that existed in policy making in three different spheres, military, industrial and public policy. Quade argued that public policy problems tended to be more messy and ill defined than the other two areas of military and industrial policy making. (4) Meltsner (cited in Nagel, 1977:226) argued that policy analysis depended on certain key concepts, comprehensiveness, rational choice, sequential review, coordination, projection and above all a systematic approach. (5) Kerr (1976) saw educational policy analysis in terms of a current state and a desired state. There was a problem situation which had to be rectified accordingly. (6) Iannacone (cited in Kirst, 1970:284) examined educational policy making at the state level. He conceptualized the process in the form of dyads or face to

face groups which were composed of persons who held common
membership within the social system involved in legislation
in two or more groups. These groups were thus linked to
the legislature by means of a network of interaction.
(7) Bauer and Gergen (1968:3) argued that policy making
consisted of the setting courses of action that were
designed to implement the values, usually of a fairly
large group of persons, on a given issue. Bauer and Gergen
(1968:181) stated that tracing the process of public policy
formation in a social system was an arduous task. The
complexities of this task often hindered an analysis in
depth of a particular policy. Gergen (1968:186) argued
that it was sometimes difficult to determine the exact
source of an idea that eventuated in public policy, how-
ever Gergen (1968:186) did state that it was "safe to say"
that some persons occupied more optimal positions for
policy initiation than others.

Subsection 3: Who Does Make Policy?
Elite Groups, Pluralistic Groups
or Networks?

According to Peterson (1976) public policy was
formulated through a bargaining and negotiation process
among a plurality of individuals, groups, agencies and
interests. Hunter (1953) stated that "powerful people
behind the scenes pull strings" to obtain the desired end.
This elitist position which Hunter (1953) described was

similar to an oligarchical decision-making process.

Dahl (1961) argued in favour of a pluralist position stating that community power was scattered among various competing interest groups in a situation of dispersed inequalities. Kimbrough (1964) analyzed educational decision-making processes at the local level and concluded that top policy decisions were greatly influenced by informal elites, informal interest groups and informal interactions.

Kadushin (1968:685) investigated elite groups and analyzed the little research data that were available on the concept of the social circle. Kadushin (1968:686) commented also on the multiplicity of definitions that occurred in the literature relating to power and influence.

Keller (1963:4) defined elites as a minority of individuals designated to serve a collectivity in a socially valued way. According to Keller, socially significant elites were responsible for the realization of major social goals and for the continuity of the social order. Mills (1956:283) conceptualized the power elite as being socially connected and class conscious. He further described the power elite by stating that they were composed of men who were in positions to make decisions of major consequence. Whether or not they made such decisions was not so important as the fact that

they occupied pivotal or key positions.

In a network analysis study, Burt (1978:123) examined the linkages that are found among persons, groups or corporations as opposed to analyzing their individual attributes. In Burt's view, classic social concepts such as status, role, prestige and power could be identified and analyzed by utilizing a network analysis approach.

Alba and Moore (1978:167) analyzed some of the issues in identifying cliques and social circles among some American political elites. They referred to: (1) the frequent emphasis on informal structure; and (2) the tension that is observable between informal networks and a formal organization. Alba and Moore (1978:169) argued that the traditional concept of a clique, with its emphasis on direct interaction among all or most of a clique's numbers appeared to be too restrictive for a thorough understanding of this social phenomenon.

Instead Alba and Moore (1978:169) have proposed that the concept of a social circle would be more appropriate as a base for an empirical analysis of integration. Alba and Moore (1978:183) have stated that it should be ascertained who belonged to this circle, for accurate identification of key personnel, or those persons who were able to exert influence on the decision-making process,

was crucial to a study of this kind.

Braungart (1978:123) too was aware of the elite,
influential circle. He differentiated between: (1) top
influentials, i.e., leaders who were involved in the
decision-making process in community issues; and (2) key
influentials, i.e., leaders who were reported to be
involved in the community decision-making process.

Jenkins (1978) saw the policy-making process as a
political game in which piecemeal solutions predominated.
Policy making according to Jenkins (1978:6) was best
understood by identifying the major players, individuals
or groups, and then explaining their influence over each
other and their interactions. Jenkins analyzed the
power and the power relationships that existed. To
explain policy decisions he argued there was a need to
explain behaviour and the constraints that impacted upon
it. Jenkins (1978:63) proposed that there were two main
requirements in policy-analysis studies. These were:
(1) the identification of the actors and organizations;
and (2) an analysis of their interactions.

Finally, from this survey of some of the more recent
and significant findings in policy-analysis research
studies, it is abundantly clear that as yet: (1) there is
no single comprehensive theory in this field of policy
analysis; and (2) there are many opinions regarding key

components in the policy-making process.

Subsection 4: A Review of the Findings of Some Major Researchers whose Work is Highly Relevant to this Study

Dror. Dror (1968:12) described the policy-making process as complex and dynamic. There were different components in the process and each made a different contribution to it. Dror outlined twelve main characteristics in the area of policy making. Policy making was: (1) a very complex process with a great deal of interaction and feedback loops occurring at all levels; (2) a dynamic process with continuous activity taking place within the structure; (3) a process with many components; (4) a process with different contributions; (5) a type of decision making; (6) a process in which major guidelines were laid down; (7) a process designed for action; (8) a process directed at the future; (9) a process carried out mainly by governmental organs; (10) a process which formally aimed at achieving; (11) a process which was undertaken in the public interest; and (12) a process which should be carried out by the best possible means.

Dror (1968:34) also proposed some criteria for measuring the effectiveness of policy: clarity, internal consistency, compatability with other policies, scope in terms of values and time span with which it was concerned, comprehensiveness in terms of the variety of activities

with which it dealt and comprehensiveness in terms of opera-
tionalability in the sense of it being concrete enough to
be a meaningful guide for action.

Dror (1968:198) stated that public policy was the
product of a complex, dynamic interrelationship between
the many subpolicies, decisions and subdecisions. Each of
these was reached by a complete decision-making process,
that was in turn composed of subdecisions down to single
decisions made by an individual acting nominally on his own.

Dror's (1968:154) optimal model of public policy
making was characterized as follows: (1) the model was
qualitative, not quantitative; (2) the model had both
rational and extrarational components; (3) the basic
rationale of the model was economic; (4) the model was
concerned with meta-policy making and included a built-in
feedback system. Dror (1968:160) argued that the model
could be used in the analysis, evaluation and improvement
of the policy-making system when viewed as a dynamic
system rather than a collection of separate policy-making
units.

The optimal model could be divided into three
phases: (1) a meta policy-making stage in which values
and problems were processed, resources were developed, a
policy-making system could be designed and if necessary
redesigned, and a policy-making strategy could be determined.

(2) A policy-making stage in which resources were sub-
allocated, operational goals were established with some
order of priority, alternative sets of major alternative
policies and reliable predictions were proposed, and the
benefits and costs of the "best" alternatives were
evaluated. (3) A post policy-making stage in which the
executing of the policy was motivated, the policy itself
was executed and the policy making was then evaluated
after the policy had been executed.

Communication and feedback channels occurred at all
phases during these operations. In this policy-making
process Dror (1968:172) proposed that the process of sub-
optimization should be allowed to take place, i.e., policy
making should be divided into its component parts, thus
gaining the benefits of a specialized approach.

Later Dror (1970) summarized his thinking on this
new analytical approach which was now termed policy
analysis. In this approach a researcher could: (1) look
at problems and alternatives in a broad way or in other
words adopt a systems perspective; (2) search for an
optimal or at least a clearly preferable way of operating;
(3) engage in the explicit and rational identification of
preferable alternatives through a comparison of expected
results in terms of operational goals; (4) analyze the
underlying values, assumptions and strategies of his

project; (5) consider all the major political variables;

(6) treat the broader and more complex issues only,

(7) emphasize an alternative policy innovation; and

(8) introduce a more sophisticated approach to the study

of social phenomena.

Lasswell. The policy sciences were concerned,

according to Lasswell (1971:1), essentially with a know-

ledge of the decision-making processes in relation to

public and civic order. Lasswell (1971:4) argued that

there were three principal attributes which should be

taken into consideration in the policy sciences. These

were: (1) contextuality; (2) problem orientation; and

(3) diversity.

(1) Contextuality was described by Lasswell (1971:

14) as inescapable for a policy scientist. The use of

this term indicated that decisions should be seen as part

of a larger social process. (2) Problem orientation which

Lasswell (1971) interpreted as those intellectual

activities involved in clarifying goals and trends.

(3) Diversity or a multi-method approach which Lasswell

(1971) regarded as a move away from a fragmentary approach.

Lasswell's (1971:15) main concern was associated

with the policy scientist's ability to think in a con-

textual way. This concern was demonstrated by Lasswell

(1971) raising questions about what factors a policy

scientist should look for and how a policy scientist should proceed in this regard. Lasswell (1971:24-27) therefore: (1) proposed that the social-process model should be open to whatever degree of specification was deemed pertinent in a given context; and (2) conceptualized the decision-process model as being a map which would provide a guide by which a researcher could obtain a realistic image of the major phases of any collective act.

In utilizing the social-process model Lasswell (1971:24) argued that elaboration of the model would assist in the identification of the following components: the participants, the perspectives, the situations, the base values, the strategies, the outcomes and the effects. In utilizing the decision-process model, Lasswell (1971:27) argued that seven power outcomes were distinguishable. These were: (1) intelligence; (2) promotion; (3) prescription; (4) invocation; (5) application; (6) termination; and (7) appraisal.

Lasswell (1971:86) outlined the criteria for analyzing each of these power outcomes as follows. Criteria for the intelligence function included: dependability; comprehensiveness; selectivity; creativity; and openness. For the promotional function he included: rationality; integrativeness; and comprehensiveness. For the prescribing

function, he included: stability of expectation; ration-
ality; and comprehensiveness. For the invoking function
he included: timeliness; dependability; rationality; and
non-provocativeness. For application he included:
rationality and uniformity. For termination: timeliness;
dependability; and comprehensiveness; balance; and
ameliorativeness. Finally, criteria for the appraisal
function included: dependability/rationality; compre-
hensiveness/selectivity; and continuity.

For all functions the criteria (according to Lasswell
(1971:94)) included the following: a money economy;
technical efficiency; honesty; and a reputation for
honesty, loyalty and skill of official personnel. By
loyalty Lasswell meant commitment to the overriding
goals of public policy. He included here other criteria
such as: flexibility/realism in adjustment to change;
and deliberateness/responsibility.

Milstein and Jennings. In a study of educational
policy making in New York, Milstein and Jennings (1973)
postulated that the policy-making process should be viewed as
a movement from unsatisfactory conditions to greater satis-
faction with conditions.

Milstein and Jennings (1973:8) referred to this
process as a present/preferred cycle, which included the
following stages: (1) a period of dissatisfaction due in

part to a lack of a desired policy or the existence of oppressive policy. (2) Reformulation of attitudes when some new direction, crystallization of attitudes took place and when leaders emerged. (3) Idea formulation where the negative criticism of the aggrieved group was translated into alternatives to the constraining situation. (4) Debate where the scope of involvement was widened to enhance the potential of successfully offering alternatives. (5) Legislation where the formal mechanisms of government were utilized to move the alternatives into law. (6) Implementation when the new law was put into effect. This process then became the responsibility of the executive agencies.

The main purpose of the Milstein and Jennings' study was to analyze the process of educational policy making in New York state. They tried to show how various groups interacted in the development of educational policy. This aspect was the major focus of their analysis.

Dye. Dye (1978:3) regarded public policy as something which governments did, the reasons why they did it and a study of the difference it made. Political science according to Dye was an academic discipline within which the policy researcher described, analyzed and explained public policy. Dye (1978:5) argued that the focus of political science was shifting to public policy

analys... e., to the description and explanation of the
...ses ...consequences of government activity.

...ye (1978:16) believed that social problems were so
co... that social scientists were unable to make accurate
pre...tions about the impact of proposed policies. Later
Dye ...978:20) suggested that most policies were a combina-
tion ...f the following factors: (1) rational planning;
(2) i...rementalism; (3) interest group activity; (4) elite
preferences; (5) systemic forces; (6) competition; and
(7) institutional influences.

...ic policies in Dye's view tended to be:
(1) regulative; (2) organizational; (3) distributive;
(4) extractive; or (4) all of these things at once. Public
policy could also be studied for a number of reasons:
scientific, professional, or political.

However one of Dye's (1978:295) major concerns was
the way in which public policy was generated within a
political system. Important questions which Dye
raised included the way in which institutions and pro-
cesses functioned to handle demands generated in the
environment. Other questions such as the way parties,
interest groups, voters, politicians and legislators
behaved in the policy-making process were also considered.

Dye argued that it was important that people should

understand what went on in the little black box he called the "political system."

Gray (cited in Dye, 1978:206) reinforced the idea that the first adopters of most innovations tended to be the wealthier states. Gray also wrote that in addition to wealth, urbanization, education and competition, participation and professionalism were associated with policy innovations.

One final comment of Dye's (1978:309) seems appropriate here. Policy innovation, he stated, appeared to be a product of: (1) professionalism in the legislatures and bureaucracies combined with (2) an educated and politically active population.

Jennings. Jennings (1977) took the position that an outsider could see "more of the game." A detached social scientist would be aware of subtleties and nuances in the environment that he was studying. Contrary to this opinion, was the view that too much detachment could lead to insensitivity and therefore to ignorance. With this as a background, Jennings examined some of the policy-making procedures in selected local education authorities, London, England.

Jennings' special interest was the interrelation-ship that existed between those who had the political

control and the educational decision makers. The
process model of policy making which Jennings (1977:38)
proposed consisted of six overlapping stages:
(1) initiation; (2) reformulation of opinion; (3) emergence
of alternatives; (4) discussion and debate; (5) legitimiza-
tion; and (6) implementation.

Jennings (1977:8) was particularly interested in
the people who made certain decisions. This knowledge he
believed would lead to a better understanding of the policy-
making process. Policy, according to Jennings (1977:30),
was a guide for taking future actions and for making
appropriate choices on decisions towards the accomplish-
ment of some desired end. Policies were normative, in that
they were statements of what ought to be, thus implying
value bases.

Jennings (1977:34) argued that the policies of an
authority clarified the direction of community change and
development by indicating what was to be done. Jennings
(1977:38) conceptualized the process of policy making as a
series of steps or stages in which the balancing of pro-
fessional proposals was weighed against party political
interests. This process of policy making was understood
to be taking place in an increasingly closed system
characterized by political party control.

Jennings held the view that since political party

control was predictable, such control was generally liked. The main value of Jennings' study was that he produced useful information from what had hitherto been a closed world.

In brief, Jennings: (1) showed how the professional administrator related to the party politican; (2) described complex consultative practices that linked them; and (3) analyzed the ways in which power came to be shared between the professionals and the politicians.

Baldridge et al. Baldridge (1978:20) developed a political model of policy making which he stated would supplement the more widely used bureaucratic mode. He proposed that any specific decision making would resemble a political struggle, and therefore the following activities would become apparent: (1) the interest groups that were formed; (2) the influence tactics that were used against decision makers; (3) the coalitions that were constructed; and (4) the legislative bodies that were pressured.

Baldridge (1978:28) also proposed that: (1) if a system was essentially political, then a researcher could expect coalitions to be formed and pressure would thus be exerted on the decision makers; (2) if the system seemed to be essentially collegial, then reason and persuasion could be applied to the members of the system; (3) if the

system seemed to be bureaucratic then legalistic formalities
could be used to achieve the desired ends. This analysis
implied that a relationship could exist between: (1) the
political model; (2) the collegial model; and (3) the
bureaucratic model.

However, Baldridge pointed out that the search
for an all-encompassing model was simplistic and
no one model could delineate the intricacies of the
decision-making processes in complex organizations.

In a bureaucratic model, according to Baldridge
(1978:44), the leader would be perceived as a hero figure,
in the collegial model the leader tended to be a figure
primus inter pares and the leader in the political model
tended to be a figure who sometimes assumed the role of
mediator, negotiator, or person who manoeuvred successfully
between power blocs.

Baldridge (1978:34) believed that major policies
committed an organization to definite goals by which
strategies and the long range destiny of the organization
would be determined. In the study of policy in a legis-
lative area, the political scientist would often select
legislative acts in congress as the focal point for his
analysis, in much the same way as being undertaken in the
present study.

Baldridge (1978:35) identified and analyzed elements which he believed to be fundamental to a more complete understanding of the policy-making process. These were the existence of: (1) A prevailing inactivity in which few people were really involved in the actual policy-making process. Baldridge stated that in general the decisions of society were made by small groups of elites. (2) A fluid participation. By this term he meant that people were constantly moving in and out of the decision-making process. Most major decisions were made by small groups of political elites mainly because they were able to invest the necessary time in the process. (3) A fragmentation which would take place into different interest groups with different goals and values. (4) Some conflict which Baldridge called natural and normal would occur.

The model had five major points for analysis. (1) Social structure which included groups with different life styles and political interests. (2) Interest articulation which related to political intervention from external groups. (3) The legislative stage in which conflict was transformed into a politically feasible policy, where in Baldridge's opinion powerful people haggled over the eventual policy, negotiations were undertaken, compromises agreed up and rewards were divided. (4) The formulation of policy stage where all articulated interests

were processed through the conflict and compromise stages.
The resulting policy was in Baldridge's view the
official climax to the conflict and represented an author-
itative binding decision to commit the organization to
one set of possible alternative actions. (5) The execu-
tion of the policy where the resulting policy would be
turned over to the bureaucrats for routine execution.

Summarizing Baldridge's views on policy making,
it seemed as if he conceptualized the process as follows:
(1) a complex social structure in which multiple structures
were generated; (2) a variety of sources and forms of power
and pressure that impinged on the decision makers; (3) a
legislative stage in which these pressures were translated
into policy; and (4) a policy execution phase in which
feedback was generated and potential new conflicts were
created.

Baldridge (1978:41) proposed that researchers
should give more attention to the legislative and decision-
making phases in which pressures and power were translated
into policy.

One other area which Baldridge considered
to be significant was the decision-making elite.
Michels (1915) and subsequently cited in Baldridge
(1978:75), together with Baldridge argued that
most organizations had a decision-making elite. This

elite consisted of senior people in the organization who
dominated the decision-making process. The elite group
was invariably a small, strong, powerful group who carried
out major decision-making activities while the great mass
of the organization remained inactive.

The elite group was perceived to be a well-defined
group whose characteristics and membership were clearly
specified. This group could be seen to be a part of the
democratic process, but in practice an elite was able to
impose its will and determine policy in certain important
areas. The elite group in this study seemed to be people
who made the decisions that mattered in the initial stages
of Athabasca University's development.

Section IV: Theory Building

The Beginnings of a General
Theory of Policy Making

The focus of attention in this section is in the
description of theory building, model and theory building
per se, and the interpretation of these activities. The
section includes a review of some of the main ideas of
(1) Mayo, (2) Homans, (3) Becker, (4) Friedrich,
(5) Zetterburg, and (6) Hage on theory building.

<u>Homans' rules of theory building</u>. Homans' (1950)
approach to theory building is highly relevant to the
present study. His intention was to develop general
statements about human behaviour that could be used to
form more general sociological theories. Elton Mayo
(cited in Homans, 1950:16) argued that it was preferable
to have a complex body of fact and a simple theory, i.e.,
a working hypothesis rather than a simple body of fact
and a complex theory.

Homans (1950:16) therefore proposed some guide
lines for theory building. A theory in his view was one
way in which the results of observation could be expressed.
Rules of theory building according to Homans can be
summarized as follows: (1) look first at the obvious, the
familiar and the common in a science that has not yet
established its foundations these are the things that pay
best results; (2) state the obvious in its full generality
as science is an economy of thought only if its hypotheses
sum up in a simple form a large number of facts; (3) talk
about one thing at a time, see that the concepts refer not
to several classes of fact at the same time, but to one
and only one, and always use the same word when referring
to the same thing; (4) cut down the number of things being
talked about; (5) describe systematically the relationships
between the facts designed by the work; and (6) always
analyze in the abstract.

Becker's approach to theory. Becker (1958) argued
that the final stage of analysis in a field study con-
sisted of incorporating individual findings into a
generalized model of the social system or organization
under study or some part of that organization. His
argument included reference to a theoretical model which
would help to explain particular social facts and their
involvement in a complex of interconnected variables.
Becker argued that in the final stage an observer would
design a descriptive model which would best explain the
data he had assembled.

Friedrich's definition of theory. Carl Friedrich
(1963) defined theory, as distinguished from philosophy
and opinion, as the more or less systematized body of
demonstrable or at least coherently arguable generaliza-
tions based upon a rigorous analysis of ascertainable
facts.

Zetterburg's interpretation of theory. Zetterburg
(1965) perceived theory as an interrelated set of proposi-
tions. He argued it was possible to explain a relationship
between two or more concepts, which he defined as primary
language units, by these propositions.

The saving quality of a theory was in Zetterburg's
opinion the fact that it was possible to coordinate
many methodologically imperfect findings into a whole in

the form of a small number of information packed

sentences. Propositions were the central element of

theory according to Zetterburg (1965:22). He argued that

theory was a series of systematized, organized law-like

propositions that could be supported by evidence. Zetterburg

(1965:28) further proposed that the main task of the socio-

logical theorist was the discovery of these general

propositions. From this discovery deductions could be

made that certain variates were related to each other in

these propositions, e.g., the more knowledge a man has,

the higher his prestige. Zetterburg (1965:65) believed

also that propositions with two variates were acceptable

as intermediary steps in theory construction even if they

did not tell the whole story. Once propositions were formu-

lated, amendments and improvements could be made to them.

Hage's approach to theory construction. Hage (1972:

148) adopted a synthetic approach in theory building.

This was an attempt to reconcile and blend different

theories in which similar phenomena were treated. Hage

(1972:16) had stated that a sociological phenomenon was

incredibly complex and therefore any intellectual strategy

that helped to recognize this fact was useful. He

argued that there had been an increase in the number of

attempts to organize sociological facts into some coherent

theoretical statements or theory. He also argued (1972:

34) that however much it was possible to describe social

phenomena with a theoretical concept, it was not possible
to use this strategy to make explanations or predictions.

Therefore to explain or predict, Hage reasoned
that a theoretical statement or a connection between
two or more concepts was needed. Some of the names for
these theoretical statements were, in Hage's view,
confusing and bewildering. Hage compiled the
following list as an example: (1) hypothesis; (2) proposi-
tion; (3) axiom; (4) postulate; (5) assumption; (6) premise;
(7) corollary; and (8) theorem, each of which had some
subtle difference in meaning.

Zetterburg (cited in Hage, 1972:35) described an
hypothesis as an unconfirmed theoretical statement whereas
a proposition was one well substantiated by evidence.
According to Hage (1972:35) it seemed preferable to use a
neutral term such as theoretical statement and thus avoid
the complexities of using the eight terms listed above.
Hage (1972:54) later proposed that the main purpose of
empirical research was not to prove statements as true,
but to discover statements that were worth researching.

There was general agreement, in Hage's (1972:172)
view, that a theory was a set of propositions or theoretical
statements. These could be explained in terms of: (1) a
path diagram; (2) an axiomatic theory; or (3) even a simple
hypothesis, but the majority of theorists would agree that

it had to be more than just a concept or even a set of concepts. The concepts had to be connected.

Developing theoretical statements meant that the researcher had now moved from the more simple descriptive stage to the analytic stage, and Hage (1972:173) stated that when two concepts were connected in some way, predictions and explanations could be made, even though these might be at a very elementary level. A theory therefore could be considered as reasonably complete if it contained concepts, definitions, statements and linkages.

In Hage's (1972:182) opinion, sociological theories should be considered as models of social reality. Hage (1972:186) argued that theories were never completely true or false, but that they were partly true part of the time. A theory was only an approximation and it remained something which was never completely right or wrong. There was a constant need to strive to improve these theories. Hage argued strongly that in theory construction it was necessary to construct syntheses, i.e., different theories and ideas should be combined with each other. Eventually as this process of theory construction continued Hage (1972:187) believed that it would be possible to move closer to the limit of perfect knowledge, or in his words, truth itself. At least he said, it would be possible to move towards an accurate picture of the sociological

component of social reality.

Summary

A selection of some of the arguments and main ideas of some leading theoreticians in the social sciences on the topic of theory building was reviewed in the previous section.

Mayo (cited in Homans, 1950:16) took the position that it was better to have a complex body of fact and a simple theory than a simple body of fact and a complex theory. Homans (1950) stated that a researcher should look first at the obvious and the familiar. Becker (1958) suggested that a descriptive model should be designed in which the assembled data could best be explained. Zetterburg (1965) argued that systematized propositions which could be supported by evidence were the central element of theory. Hage (1972) favoured a synthetic approach, in which different theories and ideas were combined. The utilization of theoretical statements or propositions meant that the researcher could move from the descriptive to the analytic stage and in addition could give the researcher the potential of explanation of prediction. Hage's argument was that the purpose of empirical research was not to prove statements as true or false but to discover significant areas of interest that were worth researching.

Section V: The Case Study

The Case Study: Some Research Findings

The case study now is often the preferred method
in social enquiry studies. Stake (1978:5) argued that
case studies were preferred because they were epistemo-
logically in harmony with the reader's experience and thus
they were a natural basis for generalization for that
person.

Shaw (1978:2) believed that case studies were useful
in research because attention could be focused on the way
particular groups of people dealt with specific problems.
Shalala (1973:16) stated that a case study provided the
means of an analytic understanding of: (1) the decision-
making process; (2) the organizational and political
framework; and (3) the substantive policy problems which
were to be found "in a slice of government life."

The type of study most frequently carried out in
the field of political science was the case study according
to Eckstein (cited in Greenstein and Polsby, 1975:79).
Eckstein classified case studies as follows: (1) clinical
erpretative findings were presented; and
in which the researcher tried to arrive at
theoretical constructs. Eckstein (cited
nd Polsby, 1975:107) also referred to grounded

theory (cf. Glaser and Strauss, 1967), which he said was iden-
tified more with comparative enquiry than a case study approach.
Grounded theory was, in his opinion, initially derived from
observations and not developed from logic and imagination.

Blau (1955:302) also outlined some advantages of
the case study method, e.g., social processes could be
examined more directly in a case study. The case study
approach according to Blau was: (1) more likely to yield
reliable and systematic data than other methods; and most
important (2) it was possible in utilizing a case study
approach for the researcher to shuttle back and forth
between the analysis and data gathering stages.

Hofferbert (1974:89) described a case study as an
in-depth examination of a particular instance of something.
It presented a detailed rendition of a particular dynamic
instance. The case study told a story. Hofferbert (1974:
138) pointed to the strengths of the case study method in
terms of the richness of detail and lucidity with which it
could illuminate the dynamics of the policy-making
process. He also argued that case studies had the potential
of generating important hypotheses which could be tested
later. All of these ideas can be related directly to the
present study.

The case study could however be challenged on the
grounds that: (1) it could be insufficiently representative

of the total process; and (2) problems could be found in
the selection and the filtering of data. Nevertheless,
the case study method has now become accepted as one of
the standard approaches in studying policy-making processes.

Section VI: The Development of Empirical Findings Through the Analysis of a Single Case

The focus of attention in this section is on the
literature in which the development of generalized state-
ments based on only an intensive internal analysis of one
particular instance is considered.

The term generalizing analysis had been developed
by Lipset, Trow and Coleman (1970:169) to describe this
process. They had pointed out that the social scientist
in this kind of analysis attempted to utilize one particu-
lar case to develop more general statements. Lipset,
Trow and Coleman stated that empirical generalizations
or theory could be developed in this way through the
analysis of a single case. This in fact was the second
specific task in this study into the origins of Athabasca
University. Lipset, Trow and Coleman (1970:172) argued
further that the aim of their study was to make statements
about political systems as wholes, not statements about
the determinants of individual vote decisions.

The second specific problem in this study was

one of developing more generalized statements about the
policy-making process based on an analysis of the estab-
lishment of Alberta's fourth provincial university. The
approach developed in this study was a combination of the
two methods initiated by Glaser and Strauss (1967) and
Lipset, Trow and Coleman (1970). These were: (1) an
internal analysis; and (2) a comparative analysis. Lipset,
Trow and Coleman suggested that this type of analysis
could lead to a deeper understanding of the phenomenon
being studied and so to more fundamental generalizations.

However in the conclusion of their statement,
Lipset, Trow and Coleman indicated that they had not
attempted to give any answers to these problems, but only
to state them in the hope that this would stimulate a
search for the answers.

Furlong and Edwards (1977) argued that because a
researcher was greatly influenced by the theory he was
looking for, it was not surprising that he found it and
nothing else. The dissatisfaction expressed by them
towards the traditional theory-oriented research is
balanced by the alternative which they proposed. Furlong
and Edwards (1977:123) stated that in their opinion
ethnographic research was more acceptable. They believed
that in an ideal situation ethnographic researchers
completely rejected the systematic observers' insistence
on knowing precisely what to look for. Furlong and

Edwards' approach therefore was to adopt a "catch what you
can approach" making little or no attempt to control or
ignore irrelevant variables. Any categorization that was
to be undertaken would be done retrospectively, that is,
in forms derived from the data.

The position which Furlong and Edwards adopted
was therefore one of maintaining a balance between:
(1) an objective description where the researcher was
perhaps naively unaware of his own selectivity; and
(2) following a theory and selecting observations which
would support his own view. The difficulty with observa-
tional work of this kind is one of maintaining a balance
between: (1) a guiding perspective with no more than a
focus and some general questions; and (2) a set of precon-
ceived ideas in which relevancies in concepts and hypotheses
were dictated prior to the research (Glaser and Strauss,
1967:33).

Kennedy (1978) stated that there were no generally
accepted ways for drawing inferences about the generality
of findings from case studies. The rules as to what con-
stituted a reasonably sound inference were, according to
Kennedy, ambiguous relative to the rules as to what
constituted a sound statistical inference. Inferences
drawn from generalizations were always tentative and
never conclusive.

One way of solving this seemingly insolvable logical problem was to develop some alternative concepts which would give some degree of precision to the inferences. Kennedy (1978:4) proposed one concept, "strength of generalizability," in which it was suggested that generalization was a _judgement_ of degree. The evaluator might wish to generalize but not to any particular population. In the present study, concerned with developing some generalizations and conclusions based on an analysis of certain identified events, the researcher would want to have his findings apply as much as possible to other similar situations.

Kennedy (1978:12) argued that the advantage of a single-case methodology was that it forced the evaluator to look at the functional relationships between the key components in a study. She compared educational research, with legal and clinical research, arguing that in both legal and clinical fields generalizations were frequently necessary from single case studies.

Kennedy (1978:20) referred to Small and Krause (1972) who had earlier identified three criteria for inclusion in a clinical report. These were: (1) _longitudinal information;_ (2) _a multidisciplinary approach,_ referring to representation of a variety of specialities and perspectives; and (3) _precision in description_ rather than imprecise or vague terminology.

Kennedy (1978:22) stated that: (1) this kind of approach seemed appropriate in the field of education; and (2) it was no trivial matter to embark on a new course for which the rules of inference had not been established, a point with which this writer would agree.

CHAPTER 3

RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE AND METHODOLOGY

Introduction

The research perspective for this study was derived from a synthesis of the literature reviewed in the previous chapter. The perspective was focused on the following seven areas around which the study was framed.

1. A grounded-theory approach applied to this study of the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University.

2. Policy analysis studies applied to this study of the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University.

3. Problems associated with making generalized statements about the policy-making process through the analysis of a single case.

4. The methodological approach.

5. Methodological problems in the naturalistic/ qualitative/phenomenological approach.

6. A rationale for the methodological approach.

7. Definitions of terms.

A Grounded-Theory Approach Applied to this Study
of the Genesis and Evolution of
Athabasca University

The research perspective adopted for this study was based on the grounded-theory approach of Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser (1978) (see Figure 1, p. 10). This approach was primarily concerned with the generation of some generalizations and conclusions derived from the qualitative data obtained in the study. The perspective was also consistent with an inductive scientific method which involved inference from the particular to the general.

The Grounded Theory Method

The method used for this study was inductive. It was based on data obtained from: (1) interviews; (2) document searches; (3) some observation and concluded with the empirical generalization of some theoretical propositions. The major strategy utilized in this study was a comparative analysis approach (Figure 2) in which concepts and proposi- tions were generated and the key ideas were interrelated. It can be seen that there were four distinct stages in the evolution of Athabasca University. These four stages were analyzed for any similarities and differences which may have existed in the administrative styles between the various ministers and their deputy ministers in each of the four successive stages.

Glaser (1967:169) argued that the search for useful

Figure 2. An analysis of the policy-making process under the rubric of a grounded theory approach, Glaser and Strauss (1967), Glaser (1978)

comparison of groups was essential to the generation of theory. The eventual goal of this exercise was the production of substantive theory in a specific content area.

The generation of these theoretical propositions was guided initially by a synthesis of the views of some of the researchers in the area of policy analysis. However as the study continued it was apparent that relying on policy analysis alone as the major theoretical framework was inadequate. By combining the views of the policy analysis researchers with those of Glaser and Strauss (1967), Becker (1958), Zetterburg (1965) and Hage (1972) the study was conceptualized as an exercise in political sociology.

The Application of Policy Analysis Studies to the Genesis and Evolution of Athabasca University

From the extensive review of the literature in the area of policy analysis it became apparent that there were a number of studies in particular which had a direct bearing on the way the present study into the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University was carried out.

A further selection was made including three other researchers whose findings seemed highly relevant to this study. The interview technique was successfully applied by Campbell and Mazzoni (1976) in their study of the

actual policy-making process for public schools at the
school level. A similar approach was adopted by Jennings
(1977) in his study of the interrelationships between the
politicians and the local education authorities. The
methodology adopted in these studies was the modus
operandi of the present study.

Alba and Moore (1978) and Braungart (1978), in their
studies of the policy-making process, focussed on the
informal networks which exist in policy-making circles.
This emphasis required the painstaking identification of
key influentials through a reputational study approach.
Again this was achieved by interview techniques.

Finally, the interpretation of the present study was
expected to draw upon the insights of numerous policy
analysts. An inductive approach was adopted by Mansbridge
(1978) to describe the way in which new policies emerged
in social welfare policy in Alberta. As was also expected
in the context of the present study, several distinct
phases in the policy-making process were identified by
Mansbridge (1978).

In similar vein, it has been proposed by Baldridge
(1978) that the development of an all encompassing model
was too simplistic an approach in the study of policy
making. Baldridge (1978) proposed a synthetic approach
arguing that the intricacies of the decision-making process

in complex organizations would not be delineated in any
one model.

Problems Associated with Making Generalized Statements about the Policy-Making Process Through the Analysis of a Single Case

There are problems associated with making generalized
statements about the policy-making process based only on an
intensive internal analysis of one particular instance.
Lipset, Trow and Coleman (1970) used the term "generalizing
analysis" to describe this process. They pointed out that
they had not really solved this question but were still in
the process of defining problems associated with it.

Glaser and Strauss (1967:80) and Glaser (1978:145)
had stated earlier that formal theory could be generated
by two rewriting techniques: (1) the researcher simply
omitted substantive words, phrases or adjectives; (2) the
researcher wrote a substantive theory up a notch. The
researcher thus changed the focus of attention from a sub-
stantive to a more formal level.

The Methodological Approach Used in this Study

Methodology Used in This Study

The methodology used in this study was a case
analysis approach. No hypotheses were developed in the

initial stages of the study, but when sufficient data were gathered, an attempt was made to develop some generalizations on the policy-making process following the grounded-theory approach of Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser (1978).

The pros and cons of the case study approach have been described by many researchers, but in particular Blau (1955), Eckstein (1975), Hofferbert (1974), Shalala (1973) and Shaw (1978) have all argued convincingly about the strengths of this approach.

The Inductive Approach

The research methodology followed in this study was essentially a positivistic inductive approach. This meant that the researcher proceeded from instances of the particular to the general, from studying and ascertaining facts related to the problem area to understanding them and then to ideas. This method was highly regarded by some researchers who felt that the only valid scientific way to investigate a problem was by exact observation of the relevant facts and phenomena.

Another term to describe this basic method, in which the main emphasis is on an understanding of facts and phenomena, is empirical induction. When sufficient facts had been gathered the researcher moved from the stage

of empirical observation to the construction of theoretical statements. The researcher was involved in the process of theory construction.

In support of the case study approach adopted in this study, Furlong and Edwards (1977) held the view that it was possible to pinpoint critical processes and identify common phenomena through the detailed study of one particular context.

Kennedy (1978) proposed that some alternative concepts ought to be developed, e.g., strength of generalizability. This approach seemed to indicate that the whole question of generalizing was essentially a matter of judgement. Kennedy (1978) advocated the single case methodologically, stating that it was acceptable in legal and clinical circles.

Kennedy's (1978) argument was based in part on the earlier work of Small and Krause (1972) who had argued that generalizations were possible provided the study: (1) was sufficiently longitudinal in nature; (2) adopted a multidisciplinary approach; and (3) was presented using a precise terminology.

In terms of the Small and Krause (1972) criteria, therefore, the present study of the development of Athabasca University presented a suitable case in which to study

the policy-making process. The decision to adopt a case study approach carried with it the acceptance of the inductive scientific method.

In view of the uniqueness of the Athabasca University situation and the intricacies of the policy-making process a detailed descriptive analysis of the incidents which led to the establishment of the university was deemed more appropriate than the application of an a priori conceptual framework. Consequently, a field-oriented ethnographic approach was adopted as the only suitable methodology for the present study.

Empirical induction, though highly regarded by some, was viewed with disfavour by others such as Popper (1975), who believed that the inductive method was quite unsatisfactory from a scientific or a research point of view. Popper (1975:34) had rejected the inductive method completely because he felt that it did not provide a suitable distinguishing mark of the empirical, non-metaphysical character of a theoretical system; or in other words that it did not provide a suitable criterion of demarcation.

In contrast to these comments Feyerabend (1966) argued that scientific discovery was essentially an anarchistic, even serendipitous practice. This approach is borne out by the present study into the development of

Athabasca University for the outcomes in the study were not finalized until all the data had been gathered and impressions realized. Schatzman and Strauss (1973:7) similarly argued that it may have seemed unbecoming to say that a researcher created his own method as he worked, but that is in fact what happened.

In this study of the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University, the method utilized was essentially one of interviewing selected key personnel, requesting these people to nominate other persons whom they considered to be influential in the university's development and then confirming their comments by referring to information obtained from a search of the appropriate documents. This process was repeated until a saturation point had been reached.

Many researchers in education (Ball, 1977; Cronbach, 1977; Guba, 1979a, 1979b; Lutz and Ramsey, 1974; Nagel, 1977; Overholt and Stallings, 1976; Rist, 1979; Schatzman and Strauss, 1973; Scribner, 1977; and Tunnel, 1977) are now convinced that there is some need for a different type of research strategy other than the quantitative mathematical-statistical research approach which has for so long dominated the educational scene. They have all indicated the usefulness of the qualitative field-oriented approach in educational studies particularly in raising

issues for further study using a quantitative approach. This argument has been borne out by the development of some of the topics for further research which have been listed in the section on the implications of this study.

Finally Rist (1977:44) has stated that the researcher should not begin with models, hypotheses or theorems but rather with the understandings of frequently minute episodes. This is precisely the methodology that was adopted in this study. Numerous incidents were recorded, analyzed and from these minute episodes a larger model of the policy-making process was developed.

Sources of Data and Data Collection

The data used in the study were obtained from two main sources: (1) semi-structured interviews which were taped and later transcribed; (2) documentary materials which included, (a) official correspondence, (b) minutes of meetings, (c) reports, (d) position papers and briefs, (e) memoranda, and (f) official publications.

Forty-five semi-structured interviews were conducted, and these allowed the subject area to be researched in some depth and detail. After sufficient rapport had been established with the interviewees, a great deal of cross-checking and probing ensued. In spite of these obvious advantages, the interview method did prove to be:

(1) costly; (2) very time consuming; and (3) sometimes very inconvenient.

Identification of Interviewees

The technique followed in identifying those to be interviewed has been described by McCall and Simmons (1969). They referred to three types of sampling in their field studies: (1) the quota sample; (2) the hypothesized variable; and (3) the snowball sample. In the snowball sample used in this study, the researcher utilized selected information which directed him to certain persons, in much the same way as the procedure used in a reputational power study.

Utilizing this approach selected other persons were then identified and interviewed. These persons then identified others who were subsequently interviewed and so on. The process was repeated until a saturation point had been reached in the data gathering process.

Simultaneously a document search and a direct observational study of the area was instigated. This triangularization process involving three techniques ensured, according to Rist (1979), the credibility and validity of the study. The data thus obtained from: interviewing the selected legislators, administrators and educators was analyzed in conjunction with the

information obtained from the document search and the direct observations.

Guba (1978:61) has proposed that some new terminology would be more appropriate in qualitative research than the classis criteria of authenticity, validity, reliability and objectivity which are currently under review. Instead of measuring qualitative data in terms of validity, reliability and objectivity, Guba (1978:61) has introduced the ideas of adequacy, replicability, impartiality and fairness, which would seem to be far more appropriate in naturalistic enquiries.

Methodological Problems in the Naturalistic/ Qualitative/Phenomenological Approach

Guba (1978:58) has also outlined some of the methodological problems associated with an enquiry of this type. Guba cited three major methodological issues (see Figure 3): (1) where should the boundary lines be drawn around the research project; (2) upon which aspect of the topic should the researcher focus; and (3) how should the study be authenticated? Guba's analysis of these problems was a precise and accurate description of the way this present study unfolded. First, Guba pointed to known areas that were within an ill-defined boundary, then he showed how these known areas were extended, and how the bridging of information

Figure 3. Representation of divergence strategies: extending, bridging and surfacing, Guba (1978:58)

occurred between these areas. Finally Guba referred to
pockets of significant information which surfaced, and
which had to be fitted into the total picture. This
appraisal was an exact description of the scenario of
this study.

A Rationale for the Methodological
Approach Used in this Study

The rationale for this type of study has been most
eloquently set out by Furlong and Edwards (1977:123) who
suggested that it was possible to clarify relationships,
pinpoint critical processes and identify common phenomena
through the detailed study of one particular context.
The study was an investigation of the elite groups,
influential persons and informal networks who were con-
sidered to be decisive in formulating policy which resulted
in the establishment of a fourth provincial university.

The study should therefore be of interest to:
(1) those who are directly involved with this institution;
and (2) those who are interested in the political processes
that take place at the cabinet level of the provincial
legislature particularly in regards to educational matters.

In brief, it was possible to obtain from the
resulting data: (1) a picture of how one institution
developed; and (2) some theoretical statements regarding

the policy-making processes.

Definition of Terms

ACCESS: An acronym originally meaning the Alberta Communication Centre for Educational Services and Systems. The acronymic sense is no longer in use, and the term is now used primarily to identify the Alberta Educational Communications Corporation.

Accessiversity: A proposed institution which would have been similar in many respects to an open university had it been built. The concepts of an Accessiversity and an Alberta Academy were realized when Athabasca University was eventually established (Worth Report, 1972:86-98).

Alberta Academy: A proposed institution which would have been similar in many respects to Athabasca University. The institution would have been operated by the Department of Advanced Education if it had been established (Worth Report, 1972:98).

A Posteriori: A type of reasoning in which proposit[...] derived from observations or experience of f[...] An inductive or empirical process based on observation or experiences. (Opposed to a priori.)

A Priori: A type of reasoning in which definitions based
on assumed principles are developed. A deductive
process based on theory.   (Opposed to a posteriori.)

Athabasca University: Alberta's fourth provincial
university and the only open university in Canada.
Athabasca was established by the Government of the
Province of Alberta to provide learning services
for adult citizens of Alberta. This mandate has
now been extended to include all the provinces and
territories. The university operates through a
diversified delivery system including distance
education and opportunities for home based study.

Authoritative decision-making activities: The political
activities and decisions which take place within
a legislative assembly or parliament. Political
ideas are finally realized and given legitimacy
by these activities.

Conceptualization stage: The initial period in the process
of policy evolution. This was the period when a
mental impression was formulated of the way in
which the policy process would finally eventuate.

Conversion process: The process of transforming the idea
into a fact. The conversion process occurred in
this case study between the external educational

environment and the internal governmental influences,
and the internal government influences and the
Legislative Assembly.

Decisions—administrative/educational/political: Judge-
ments or conclusions which were reached or given.
In this study most decisions were: (1) administra-
tive; (2) educational; (3) political; or (4) a
combination of all three.

Demographic imperatives: Compelling or necessary features
which were related to the distribution and density
of the population. The implications of this con-
cept became crucial when the site for Athabasca
University was being considered.

Dynamics of policy making: The way in which economic,
moral, physical, political and social forces
operated in the field of policy making. It also
included the way in which these forces shifted or
changed in relation to one another.

Elite group: In this case study a select group of five
leading provincial educators who were involved in
making the initial policy decisions. The group
was mainly responsible for the key decisions which
led to the eventual establishment of Athabasca
University. They were, of course, involved in

numerous other crucial decisions. The group were
described in a non-pejorative way as the "Back-room
Boys."

Ethnographic investigation: Ethnography, a term which
was introduced by Bronislaw Malinowski (1922). The
term was used to indicate that branch of anthro-
pology in which cultures were described in a
descriptive manner. More recently the enquiry
paradigm for a naturalistic investigation has been
labelled ethnographic, meaning in this case an
in-depth description of a particular subculture.

Evolution of policy: The emerging of a particular course
of action by government. In this case the emerging
policy is social policy and more specifically is
exemplified by the establishment of Alberta's fourth
university.

Examination, review and approval system: The process
whereby a political idea is screened or subjected
to a rigorous scrutiny by a series of committees
at different levels. These levels include the
various committees in caucus and the cabinet which
are brought into play before an idea is accepted
and becomes part of government policy.

External educational environment: The term used in this
study to describe the grouping of five educational
interest groups who were most active in preliminary
discussions which led up to the establishment of
a fourth provincial university. These interest
groups were categorized as follows: (1) the
Universities Commission; (2) the University of
Alberta; (3) the Commission on Educational Planning;
(4) supporters of an open university concept; and
(5) supporters of an accessiversity concept.

Formal theory: An explanation of a concept in its full
generality developed for a formal or conceptual
area of sociological enquiry such as: socializa-
tion, authority and power, organizations (Glaser and
Strauss, 1967:32; Glaser, 1978:52).

Formalization stage: The period when the project assumed
a definite shape and existence. The period
culminated in the first of the Orders in Council
1206/70, June 25, 1970.

General substantive theory: An explanation which comes
midway between substantive and formal theory. It
is more general than substantive theory, but not
completely general as formal theory. It is an
explanation of a general substantive area such as
public policy making. General substantive theory

may be generated by making comparisons with different cases from within the same general area (Glaser, 1978:52).

Grounded theory: A term used to describe theory which has been systematically obtained from social research. Grounded theory may be contrasted with logico-deductive theory. It is considered by its proponents to be more successful than theories which are logically deduced from a priori assumptions. Grounded theory is inductive which means that the theory is induced after the data collection has been commenced (cf. Homans, 1950:18, Glaser and Strauss, 1967:2 and Eckstein, 1975:107).

Human resources development: An idea promoted and developed by the Social Credit government during the late 1960's. The main concern with the human resources development idea was to do with the human values and human needs. Human resources were regarded as more important than physical resources. The idea of the human resources development idea was formally sanctioned by the establishment of the Human Resources Research Council on March 30, 1967.

Implementation stage: The period commencing June 25, 1970 and concluding April 12, 1978. During this period the formative plans were carried out, executed and

Athabasca University was established.

The inductive method: A process of reasoning by inferring
from particular facts to general principles. In
this study the process of inferring from the
numerous facts relating to the establishment of
Athabasca University led to more general statements
about the policy-making process.

Informal interaction: Meetings of mutual interest con-
ducted on an irregular and unofficial basis; because
of the nature of these meetings it was impossible
to document them.

Informal networks: Interconnected communication struc-
tures organized on an irregular and unofficial
basis. These networks provided a highly effective
form of communication for the people who were
connected with them.

Ingroups, inside groups: A social group possessing a
more intense sense of solidarity or community of
interests than some other types of social groups.

Internal governmental influences: The term used in this
study to describe the power structure which con-
sisted of three discrete groupings. These groups
were: (1) the ad hoc committee or the elite group;
(2) the minister and the deputy minister; and

(3) the executive assistants or special consultants.

Naturalistic investigation: "A type of investigation which is the converse of experimental enquiry. It is a form of research in which discovery and verification through observation are the main objectives. The modus operandi of the naturalistic investigator may be: (1) ethnographic; or (2) phenomenological; or (3) investigative sociology. Naturalistic investigation is essentially concerned with real stories told by real people in a real and natural way.

Order in Council: An example of subordinate legislation issued for a particular situation and made by a body other than the Sovereign in Parliament. Other examples include: regulations; rules; bylaws; and municipal ordinances.

Phenomenological investigation: An investigation which is concerned with the study of phenomena without any attempt at metaphysical or scientific explanation. This type of investigation involves: (1) observation; (2) description; and (3) analysis of the structures, properties and interrelations of phenomena as they are perceived by the subject.

Pilot project: A small scale approach or trial period in
experimenting or testing a particular plan.

Policy: A definite course of action selected by govern-
ment from among alternatives and adopted as
advantageous or expedient.

Progressive Conservatives: The Tories, one of the "older"
Canadian parties. They are very similar to the
Liberals in most respects. Both parties accept
(1) the federal system, (2) the parliamentary
system, (3) the party system, (4) the monarchy,
(5) the basic freedoms, (6) broad welfare programs,
and (7) are non-separatist. The differences between
the two main parties these days seems to be illus-
trated by the Liberal approach for a strong
central federal government which can keep provincial
demands in check. The Conservatives on the other
hand, seem to be in favour of allowing the pro-
vincial governments the right to assert themselves
more strongly.

Qualitative methodology: A term referring to research
strategies which include such activities as
participant observation, in-depth interviewing
and total participation in the activity being
investigated. These strategies allow the researcher
to get close to the data.

Social Credit: One of the "minor" parties, but most
successful in retaining power in the Alberta Legis-
lature 1935-1971. The party, essentially conserva-
tive in nature, believed in an authoritarian
approach with an emphasis on free enterprise and
individual liberty. Recently it has been suggested
that the party's best interests will be served if
it continues to be a small-c conservative, issue-
oriented party, still with a clear social philosophy.

Substantive theory: An explanation about a specific area
developed for a substantive or empirical area of
sociological enquiry such as: race relations;
professional education; delinquency; or research
organizations (Glaser and Strauss, 1967:32;
Glaser, 1978:52).

Top policy decisions: Decisions made by the top educators,
legislators, and administrators. In this case, the
term refers to the Minister, the Deputy Minister of
Education, the Commissioner for Educational
Planning and the chairmen of the Universities
Commission.

The Whizz Kids: A term used in a non-pejorative sense to
describe a group of five young executive assistants.
They included: (1) the Special Consultant to the
President of the Executive Council; (2) three

executive assistants to Cabinet ministers; and

(3) a Social Credit Party functionary. The group
was regarded as advisors to cabinet ministers by
some, but by others they were referred to as the
hidden government.

Summary

The research perspective for this study was based
on an ethnographic inductive approach. The initial per-
spective was developed utilizing the grounded-theory
approach of Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser. (1978)
who had argued that theory had to be grounded in data.
Comparisons with this method were also made to the work of
Lipset, Trow and Coleman (1970) who had developed a
similar approach which they termed generalizing analysis.

While the methodological approach of the study was
guided initially by the work of the researchers previously
mentioned, the focus of attention in the substantive
issues was guided initially by reference to some of the
research in the area of policy analysis. A selection of
policy analysts was made and the modus operandi and particu-
lar interests of these researchers whose work seemed
appropriate to this study were utilized; e.g., some
researchers specialized in identifying and analyzing
cliques and informal networks, others emphasized the

importance of the elite group in society.

The research perspective was developed and expanded in such a way that the two problems in the study were analyzed concurrently. These problems were: (1) to examine the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University; and at the same time, (2) to develop some generalizations about the policy-making process based on the data discovered in the first problem area.

To achieve these two goals the investigation was conceptualized as a case study within a specified time frame. Forty-five interviews were conducted. This research technique did have certain advantages, in that a detailed study of the related events which led up to the establishment of Athabasca University could be made, but at the same time the interview approach had certain disadvantages; it was: (1) very time consuming; (2) expensive; and (3) at times inconvenient.

However as a result of these interviews and the supporting document search it was possible to describe the origins of Alberta's fourth provincial university and to suggest proposals for further discussion and analysis.

This in summary was the research perspective and methodology adopted for this political sociological study; a study which was developed to illustrate: (1) the real

world of policy making; and at the same time (2) to develop

a more general theory of policy making.

Chapter 4

CHRONOLOGICAL REVIEW OF MAIN EVENTS

Introduction

Athabasca University was formally established on June 25, 1970 but the origins of this university may be traced to events well before this date. Some of the relevant background facts are as follows: (1) between the years 1896-1913 more than one million immigrants had settled in Western Canada; (2) by the late 1920's and early 1930's new political movements were appearing in North America.

One of these political movements was the Social Credit League in Alberta, a party which traced its origins to Major C. H. Douglas and England of the 1920's. It was a party, which, according to Irving (1974:ix), illustrated in eminent degree the social context in which democracy functioned under stress. It was a party in which it was argued that the state existed solely to promote the individual's welfare, freedom and security. Furthermore, it was a party which Bettison et al. (1975:1) described as Alberta's answer, in non-socialist terms, to the social conditions of the 1930's.

It is proposed in this dissertation to describe
and analyze the genesis and evolution of Athabasca
University. As the formal establishment of the university
on June 25, 1970 took place in the closing stages of the
Social Credit League period of administration, some of the
main features of the Social Credit government at this
time will be outlined.

The Social Credit League under William Aberhart
had been in office since 1935. On May 31, 1943 Mr. E. C.
Manning, since 1970 Senator Manning, succeeded Aberhart
as Premier of Alberta. Manning was then the premier
for twenty-five years but on December 12, 1968 he chose
to retire. According to informed sources it is suspected
that Manning foresaw the demise of Social Credit in
Alberta due in part to the rapidly changing economic and
social conditions, and that he felt it would be an
opportune time for him to retire from provincial politics.
Manning's successor was Harry E. Strom who held
office as Premier of Alberta from December 12, 1968 until
September 10, 1971, following the defeat of the Social
Credit government in the election of August 31, 1971.
The Social Credit League had thus been in control in
Alberta for thirty-six years (1935-1971).

An Overview of the Four Stages of Development
of Athabasca University

Within this case study of the genesis and evolution
of Athabasca University, it has become clearly apparent
that there were in fact four distinct stages of growth
and development in the university's history (see Figure 4).
Each of these four stages is treated where possible
as a separate and discrete case study within the one
major case. The four situations are analyzed to see
if there are any differences and similarities among them.
Where possible the differing roles and administrative
styles of the ministers, the deputy ministers, the members
of the Universities Commission and the members of the
Department of Advanced Education and Manpower are analyzed
and compared.

It is felt that it should be pointed out at
this stage of the review that the story of the genesis
and evolution of Athabasca University is essentially the
story of a few key personalities and their commitment
to this project. The review which now follows, mainly
impressionistic in nature, is their story.

Stage I: June 25, 1970-August 31, 1971

The period from June 25, 1970 to August 31, 1971
and the years immediately preceeding were marked by some

Figure 4. Four stages in the development of Athabasca University: euphoria, moratorium, acceptance, endorsement.

intense planning and activity (see Figure 4). It was the culmination of a great deal of interaction among a complex variety of interested groups and was carried out in an atmosphere of lively intellectual activity and excitement (see Figure 5). Social and economic conditions at this time were highly conducive to educational change and it had been perceived by many in the administration of higher education that with the huge anticipated increase in student enrolment many new places would be needed.

.This period can be viewed as one key stage in a period of planned social change and development proposed by the Social Credit government. The situation changed dramatically when the Social Credit government was over-whelmingly defeated and replaced somewhat unexpectedly by the Progressive Conservatives.*

Stage II: September 10, 1971-December 20, 1972

The period from September 10, 1971 to December 20, 1972 was the direct antithesis to the one previously mentioned. The intellectual excitement and planning on the grand scale were noticeably absent. Instead the new PC government had consented, with some degree of hesitance, to allow the fledgling university to continue but on a much smaller and reduced scale. The university was permitted to function merely as an experimental or pilot project. This

---

*Hereinafter abbreviated as PC.

Figure 5.   Policy making in the initial period: an informal network of elitist special interest groups. Conglomerates of power, persuasion and influence.

Labels around the diagram:

- Human Resources Research Council
- University of Alberta
- Ad hoc committee
- Universities Commission
- Commission on Educational Planning
- Minister and Cabinet
- Executive Assistants or Special Consultants
- The Deputy Minister and Department of Education
- The "Professionals," the other Deputy Ministers

period of Athabasca University's development seemed

therefore to be characterized by two dominant ideas:

(1) a spirit of subdued acceptance by government; and

(2) the realization that the Athabasca University author-

ities would have to demonstrate some tangible results if

they were to survive in an increasingly hostile educational

environment. This period was also notable for the fact

that the government announced the appointment September 1,

1972 of a Deputy Minister, Dr. W. W. Worth, to the recently

created Department of Advanced Education. Prior to taking

up this appointment, he had produced A Choice of Futures,

released on June 16, 1972, a document which could be said

to be visionary and calling for dynamic events in educa-

tion.

Stage III: December 20, 1972-November 6, 1975

The period from December 20, 1972 to November 6,

1975, almost three years, was one of consolidation.

Athabasca University had been granted by government a

three year term in which to prove itself. In this period,

a few students were permitted to enroll and the first

course on World Ecology was introduced in the summer of

1973. By 1974 three more courses had been introduced,

but the overall position of Athabasca University was

still very ambiguous.

It was in this period that the newly created

Department of Advanced Education, subsequently the Department of Advanced Education and Manpower was under the direction of a new Deputy Minister, Dr. W. H. Worth, whose appointment ran from September 1, 1972 to June 30, 1976. The eventual successful establishment of Athabasca University can be attributed in part to the close collaboration that existed in these early stages between Athabasca University's foundation president and the deputy minister.

Referring to The Alberta Gazette, March 15, 1972, it can be noted that although there had been three other appointees to the position of deputy minister, Dr. Worth, though the fourth person to hold this appointment, was in fact the first fully operational deputy minister.

Stage IV: November 6, 1974-April 12, 1978

The period between November 6, 1975 and April 12, 1978 was marked by new actors in the key roles: (1) a new Minister of Advanced Education and Manpower, The Honourable Dr. A. E. Hohol, had been announced on April 13, 1975; (2) a new deputy minister, Dr. H. Kolesar, had been appointed on October 1, 1976; and in addition, (3) Athabasca University's second president, Dr. W. A. S. Smith, was appointed in November 1976. This period seemed to indicate that the PC Government had finally accepted

the fact that Athabasca University was at last a viable institution, and could indeed make a significant contribution to the post-secondary educational requirements of Alberta's adult population who by choice or circumstances could not undertake degree level studies at other universities in Alberta.

This final approval by Executive Council on April 12, 1978 occurred twelve years after the initial decision on December 20, 1966 to establish a fourth provincial university. The four periods under review were thus marked by: (1) a significant change in government which came at a most crucial period in the university's development; (2) changes in the key personnel in the Universities Commission, the Department of Advanced Education and Manpower and Athabasca University; and (3) times when the university's future seemed doubtful.

A more detailed study of these four periods and the period immediately preceeding now follows.

Major Events Prior to Stage I

Policy analysts who have tried to analyze politico-educational scenarios agree that it is difficult to pinpoint precisely when and where an innovative idea originates. Nevertheless there were in this case certain key events which did occur, certain individuals who were

prominent, and it seemed as if social and economic con-
ditions at that time were the most appropriate for the
promoting of some new ideas or projects. It is indicated
in the following review that ideas were generated and in
a cumulative and developmental way this seemed to result
in the promotion of other and more complex educational
ideas. It seems appropriate and useful, therefore, to begin
this investigation into the origins of Athabasca University
with a chronological review of the following major events.

Event 1: The Minister of Education's
          Advisory Committee on
          Education, 1957

        The Minister of Education's Advisory Committee on
Education found that the educational problems which they
were facing were so complex that an extensive and disci-
plined study was required. The kind of study the committee
envisioned could only be undertaken by a Royal Commission.

Event 2: The Royal Commission on
          Education in Alberta

        The Royal Commission on Education in Alberta was
established by Order in Council 2009/57, December 31,
1957. The Chairman of the commission was The Honourable
Senator Donald Cameron, and the Vice-Chairman was Dr.
Gordon L. Mowat, until recently (1979), Professor Educa-
tional Administration, University of Alberta. The
commission held sixty hearings during the following two

years. The subsequent report released in 1959 contained

some significant recommendations and observations that were

highly relevant to this study of the genesis and evolution

of Athabasca University. For example, in the report

reference was made (1959:245) to: (1) interest in adult

or continuing education; and (2) a recommendation was made

(1959:426) that a commission to be known as The Alberta

Educational Planning Commission should be established.

The Royal Commissioners (1959:426) exercising some poetic

license here described education as "the magic key that

could unlock the Pandora's box of universal plenty."

The Royal Commissioners (1959:428-431) recommended

therefore that:

> (1) a new, overall planning and coordinating
> agency should be created . . . (2) the Chairman
> of this new body should be a man of outstanding
> qualities in the field of education, science or
> business, he should be independent of political
> considerations and possess an enquiring and
> judicious mind, the salary should be between . . .
> and (3) the Alberta Educational Planning
> Commission should be established by Act of the
> Legislature at the earliest opportunity.

Event 3: The Survey Committee on Higher
          Education in Alberta

The first meeting of the Survey Committee on Higher

Education in Alberta was held June 28, 1961. It was an

ad hoc group consisting of: (1) three cabinet ministers;

(2) three senior university officials; and (3) an executive

secretary.

The inaugural members of this committee were:

(1) the Provincial Treasurer, the Honourable E. W. Hinman (Chairman); (2) the Minister of Education, The Honourable A. A. Aalborg; (3) the Minister of Public Works, The Honourable F. C. Colbourne; (4) the President of the University of Alberta, Dr. W. H. Johns; (5) the Chairman of the Board of Governors, University of Alberta, Dr. C. M. Macleod, Q.C.; and (6) the Principal, University of Alberta, Calgary, Dr. M. G. Taylor. The executive secretary was Mr. B. H. McDonald who is currently (1979) Associate Vice-President (Academic Administration), University of Alberta.

The Survey Committee was formed to enquire into and report on all those problems and possibilities which impinged on the future growth and development of the programs of higher education in Alberta with particular emphasis on the development of the best possible policies consistent with the greatest economy of operation.

Event 4: Increase in Provincial
          Aid to Education

In accordance with the developing Human Resources idea, provision was made in 1962 to increase provincial aid to education. According to Bettison et al. (1975:168) this took several forms: (1) the Northern Alberta Institute of Technology was established in 1961, SAIT had already been in operation since World War I; (2) community colleges were being extended across the

province: (3) $68.7 million was appropriated to the
Department of Fducation which amounted to 27.9% of the
total estimated expenditure of the government but by 1970
the figure was in excess of $405 million and amounted
to 35% of the total budget.

Event 5:   Special Study on Higher
           Education: Dr. Andrew
           Stewart

During 1965-66 Dr. Andrew Stewart, a former President
of the University of Alberta, 1951-1958, undertook a
special study under the auspices of the Alberta Survey
Committee on Higher Fducation on junior colleges and
higher education.

In a press release of the Third Interim Report of
the Survey Committee on Higher Education, July 6, 1965,
it was stated that a study should be made of the future
expansion of junior colleges and other facilities for
higher education. It was suggested in the report that
satellite campuses might be necessary to accommodate
additional undergraduate students. The report was
evidence of a growing interest and concern in the problem
of rapidly increasing student enrolments.

Event 6:   The Alberta Universities
           Commission

Under the provisions of the Universities Act, 1966
the Universities Commission was created, effective as from

April 1, 1966. In this act provision was also made for the creation and establishment of further universities by the Lieutenant Governor in Council. The commission consisted of: (1) a full time chairman; (2) three full time professional staff; and (3) eight other persons

The inaugural members of this commission were: (1) Dr. W. H. Swift, Chairman; (2) the Deputy Minister of Education, Dr. T. C. Byrne; (3) the Deputy Provincial Treasurer, Mr F. G. Stewart; (4) Dr. Gordon L. Burton; (5) Dr. C. Malcolm Macleod; (6) Dr. Earle P. Scarlett; (7) Mr. Haughton G. Thomson; (8) Mr. LeRoy A. Thorssen; (9) Mr. Merrill E. Wolfe; (10) Dr. A. M. Kristjanson, Academic Planning Officer; (11) Mr. B. H. McDonald, Financial and Statistical Analyses Officer; and (12) Mr. J. R. B. Jones, Capital Development Officer.

Event 7: <u>Premier Manning's Study</u>

In 1962 the premier had initiated a series of new policies which were aimed at assisting the individual citizen of the province. The committee, which Manning had set up, articulated the notion of a minimum acceptable standard of living in the province. The reasons for this new emphasis or direction in Social Credit political philosophy are not the main concern of this study but the new approach was to take several forms in the 1960's. It can best be exemplified in the Human Rights legislation that was to follow in 1966.

Event 8: The New Social Credit Political
Philosophy and the Development
of the Human Resources Idea

     In the Budget Speech (1966:3) Premier Manning had

announced details of government proposals for a new program

for a new generation of progressive people. To achieve

this end the government proposed to adopt certain measures.

The 1966 Budget was aimed principally at implementing the

program in the following six areas: (1) expansion of educa-

tional facilities across the province, including the

creation of a Department of Youth with Robert C. Clark

being appointed the first and only Minister of this Depart-

ment July 4, 1966; (2) an increase in subsidies under the

Alberta Health Care Plan enabling all income groups to

participate; (3) an increase in financial assistance to

municipalities and the granting of tax discounts to reduce

the burden of property tax; (4) a massive increase in high-

way, bridge and public buildings construction; (5) an

increase in funding of cultural activities, parks and

recreation, and the preservation of historical sites; and

(6) an increase in the developmental program for Northern

Alberta through the provision of more roads, airstrips and

community service to people in the remote areas.

     The more significant part of this mid-sixties

program was: (1) the Human Rights legislation of 1966;

(2) the establishment of the Human Resources Development

Authority (Statutes of Alberta, 1967:35); and (3) the

establishment of the Human Resources Research Council[*]

(Statutes of Alberta, 1967:36).

The Alberta Human Resources Research Council,

assented to March 30, 1967 had been formed with the objec-

tives of undertaking educational, social, economic and other

research relating to and affecting the development and

conservation of human resources in Alberta.

Most significant of all these related events, was

the publication in March 1967 of A White Paper on Human

Resource Development. This white paper was essentially

the blueprint for all future Social Credit political and

social planning. Although the document bore the Premier's

name it was widely recognised that the real authors of

this white paper were in fact Preston Manning and

Dr. Erick Schmidt.

The White Paper (1967b:17) contained some radical

and innovatory ideas and these had been developed in some

detail: e.g., (1) human resources would be treated as being

intrinsically more important than physical resources;

(2) consideration would be given to human beings individu-

ally rather than to human beings collectively; and

(3) a free enterprise economy in which all individuals had

---

*Hereafter abbreviated as HRRC.

the maximum opportunity to participate would be regarded as more desirable than a state regimented economy.

The White Paper (1967b:25) also contained proposals that a comprehensive approach relative to resources development would be characterized by: (1) a new priority for human resources development; and (2) a new emphasis on the individual in human resource development.

One section dealing with the services for Human Resources Development (1967b:83) contained the following significant announcements: (1) the Alberta Human Resources Research Council was in the process of being established; (2) the fact that the Alberta Universities Commission had been already established on April 1, 1966; and (3) new junior colleges and universities had been approved.

This announcement was of some special significance for in the early 1966 meetings of the Universities Commission, it had been resolved that the matter of a fourth university should be held in abeyance and the University of Alberta should be permitted some further expansion.

Event 9:   The Commission on Educational
           Planning: Dr. W. H. Worth,
           Commissioner

One of the major recommendations in the Report of the Royal Commission on Education in Alberta, 1959

(Recommendation 280) was that an Educational Planning
Commission should be established at the earliest opportun-
ity. Several factors now seemed to precipitate the
establishment of the new commission: (1) June 1968, the
Report of the Committee on Aims and Objectives of Education
in the Schools of Ontario, the Hall-Dennis Report, had been
released; (2) December 12, 1968 Premier Manning retired
from the provincial political scene and was succeeded by
Harry E. Strom; and (3) the former Minister of Youth,
Robert C. Clark, was appointed Minister of Education
December 12, 1968, the appointment coinciding with the new
Premier Harry E. Strom taking up office.

The Hall-Dennis Report had been released in June
1968 and its appearance had a major impact throughout
Canadian educational circles. Robert C. Clark, the
Minister of Education, was at the same time Chairman of
the Human Resources Research Council and partly through
the close working relationship of (1) the Minister,
(2) the Deputy Minister, Dr. T. C. Byrne, (3) the Vice-
President, Planning and Development, University of
Alberta, Dr. W. H. Worth, and (4) the Director of the HRRC,
Dr. L. Downey, it was agreed that the best interests of
the province would be served if an overall study of educa-
tion in Alberta could be undertaken.

It was therefore resolved that an independent

commission on educational planning would be set up.
Accordingly the Commission on Educational Planning was
established by Order in Council 1126/69, June 24, 1969,
and Dr. W. H. Worth was appointed Commissioner to head
the enquiry.

Event 10: Post-Secondary Education Until
           1972: An Alberta Policy
           Statement

In January 1970, the Minister Robert C. Clark released
a policy statement on post-secondary education. In general
terms, the Minister expressed the belief that all Albertans
who were capable of benefitting from undergraduate educa-
tion in one or other of Alberta's three universities should
be provided with the opportunity of doing so. The Minister
stated that: (1) the government had established through
the Universities Act of 1966 a university system for the
province; and (2) the government had established a Univer-
sities Commission to coordinate the efforts of this pro-
vincial university system.

The three existing universities, the University of
Alberta, the University of Calgary, and the University of
Lethbridge were coping with the demands of increasing
enrolments. Nevertheless it was pointed out that by 1973
student enrolments at the University of Alberta would
probably reach 25,000.

Therefore the Minister reaffirmed that the

construction of a fourth university would have to be

commenced immediately and that the university would be

completed within three years. The Minister also stated

that: (1) the government would appoint a Board of Governors,

for this fourth university early in 1970; and (2) the

government would make an immediate commitment to plan a

campus for 5,000 students. It was also implicit that this

White Paper on Post Secondary Education contained policies

which the government would pursue until the Report of the

Commission on Education Planning was received.

Event 11: The Ecumenical, Inter-Church
or Christian Oriented
University Movement

This ecumenical, inter-church or Christian oriented

university movement was little known but one which was

considered to be quite significant by several persons

prominent in university administration of that time.

By November 1966, an inter-denominational group

had been formed of persons who wished to promote the idea

of a state supported university having a Christian orienta-

tion. The government had encouraged this group to some

extent and the discussions about the optimum way of absorbing

the extra students who needed university places now included

reference to this proposed ecumenical college. By December

20, 1966 an Inter-Church Committee had been formed to

examine this proposal. The committee consisted of the

following persons: Reverend T. L. Leadbeater (Anglican),
Reverend Roy Bell (Baptist), Mr. T. Anderson (United), Mr.
Sid Vincent (Alberta College), Reverend Father Kinderwater
(R.C.) and the Reverend J. M. Zimmerman (Lutheran).

Although the government seemed to favour the idea of
a Christian university, the counter argument was put for-
ward that universities were expected to operate on the
basis of intellectual freedom and not on a quasi-religious
basis. The ecumenical college was not established.

Summary of Events

It is reiterated that the purpose of this disserta-
tion was to examine the genesis and evolution of Athabasca
University. The university was first formally established
on June 25, 1970 but prior to this date there had been
several significant commissions, studies and official
statements which hinted at the establishment of another
university. These events assisted in helping to provide
the appropriate intellectual climate and receptive atmos-
phere necessary for the promotion of such a major project.
The summary of events which now follows should be regarded
as a chronological framework within which the key decisions
were made. The period could also be viewed as a time of
intense educational activity when the origins of many other
educational ideas may have been generated.

(1) The establishment of the Advisory Committee on
Education in 1957 by the Minister The Honourable A. O.
Aalborg; (2) the Report of the Royal Commission on Educa-
tion in Alberta, 1959; (3) the establishment of the Survey
Committee on Higher Education in Alberta, 1961; (4) the
increase in provincial aid to education during the years
1961-1970; (5) the special studies on higher education in
Alberta undertaken by Dr. Andrew Stewart, 1965-66;
(6) the establishment of the Alberta Universities Commis-
sion, April 1, 1966; (7) the impact of Premier Manning's
study committee, 1962; (8) the active promotion of the new
Social Credit political philosophy and the human resources
idea in 1967; (9) the establishment of the Commission on
Educational Planning, June 1969; (10) the release of the
report Post Secondary Education until 1972, An Alberta
Policy Statement by the Minister The Honourable R. C.
Clark; and (11) the Ecumenical or Inter-denominational
College movement.

All of these activities were closely interrelated
in some way and helped to provide the environment necessary
for the decision making activities that were to follow.

Difficulties in Establishing When the
Decision was Made to Establish
Athabasca University

It has been proposed that policy analysts would
agree it is difficult and sometimes impossible to
specify when, where and how a particular policy decision
is made. In the process of identifying the major
events, reference was made to information obtained from
the forty-five interviews and the official correspondence
and memoranda of the Minister, the Universities Commission,
and the University of Alberta, which related directly
to the establishment of Alberta's fourth university.
This correspondence included related topics such as:
(1) the rapid growth of student enrolment; (2) financial
allocations; and (3) the eventual siting of the new
university.

It is well understood that many factors must
have come into consideration in the making of these
decisions, e.g., some of the underlying factors, due
to their informal nature did not lend themselves to
empirical research. Consequently factors such as the
numerous, informal social contacts of the key figures
have been excluded from the study. Nevertheless the
information obtained from an examination of correspondence
and memoranda does provide a reasonably reliable guide
(see Table 2, Appendix C) as to the sequence of major

events which resulted in the university's establishment on June 25, 1970.

Appraisal of the Situation Immediately
Prior to Stage I

Athabasca University was thus formally established on June 25, 1970 but it is with the months immediately prior to this time that most interest lies at this point in the study. The general feeling was that student enrolments which had been increasing at the rate of approximately 12% per annum were going to increase still further. The Chairman of the Universities Commission, Dr. Andrew Stewart, had conveyed the information to Minister Robert Clark, on April 27, 1970 that by 1979 a fourth provincial university could be expected to cater for 10,000 students with a possibility of even reaching a 20,000 student enrolment.

Exchanges Between Universities
Commission and the University
of Alberta

The official correspondence between the University of Alberta and the Universities Commission is most revealing at this stage. It now seemed as if the University and the Commission were negotiating with each other quite independently of other interested bodies. Naturally the Commission was still subject to ministerial control and

direction, but the exchanges between the University of
Alberta and the Universities Commission would seem to
indicate a high degree of independence or autonomy in
both quarters.

On July 21, 1966, three and a half months after the
Commission came into operation on April 1, 1966, the
Commission Chairman Dr. W. H. Swift wrote to Dr. W. H.
Johns, President, University of Alberta, on the subject
of the expansion of higher education facilities in the
Edmonton area. Dr. Swift had requested in this exchange
that Dr. Johns and his colleagues in the University of
Alberta should give some thought to possible solutions that
may have occurred to them. Among the possibilities that
Dr. Swift mentioned for consideration were: the establish-
ment of satellite campuses, junior colleges, liberal arts
colleges and the like.

By September 14, 1966 Dr. Swift had decided that
the Commission "must very shortly get into a serious study
of the problem." He proposed also that the University of
Alberta should be prepared to make a presentation on this
issue and be prepared to offer some solutions.

The effect of ministerial control or influence on
the work of the Commission was made clear by the advice
that the Minister, The Honourable Raymond Reierson gave
to the Commission. The Minister had stated on September 18,

1967, that due to a shortage of funds the building of a fourth provincial university would have to be postponed for two or three years. The Minister had referred to this decision as part of a series of new or modified decisions made by the government. The government, so it seemed, had decided that the University of Alberta should therefore commence planning for 21,000 students and not 18,000 as originally had been intended.

Differences of opinion seemed to have emerged by November 14, 1968 among those conducting the business of catering for the anticipated increase in student enrolments. Dr. M. Wyman, Acting President, University of Alberta argued that a fourth university should not now be established in Edmonton and that the University of Alberta should be allowed to expand its facilities. On December 30, 1968 Dr. Wyman had expressed the view that 30,000 students could be expected in 1973-74, or even perhaps 40,000. By March 24, 1969 the situation appeared to have become quite tense. Dr. Andrew Stewart had informed the university that the issues were too serious to be playing games of "upmanship." Dr. D. G. Tyndall, Vice-President, Finance, University of Alberta had written to the Commission on March 26, 1969 denying the allegation that professors at the University of Alberta were turning into amateur cost accountants.

These brisk exchanges between the central figures
are included to show that there was not always the
unanimity on important issues that one would have assumed
to be the case. When the decision was finally made to
establish a fourth university such exchanges did not seem
to occur to the same extent. The wording of the appropri-
ate act seemed to preclude any additional argument or
discussion, viz:

> The Lieutenant Governor in Council may from time
> to time, establish such additional Provincial
> Universities as he thinks necessary or desirable
> in the public interest, with such names as he
> considers fitting. (Revised Statutes of Alberta,
> 1970, c. 378, S. 4(2) and (4)(5)(6)(7))

The argument was further resolved in 1970, when the govern-
ment agreed to provide the Universities Commission with a
grant of $89.5 million for the operating support of the
four provincial universities and the Banff School of Fine
Arts for the year 1971-72.

About the same time, i.e., May 1970, Premier Harry
E. Strom, together with the Minister, decided that studies
into current educational issues and problems should be
carried out with the appropriate provincial department or
agency. There were by this time five such bodies:
(1) the Department of Education; (2) the Universities
Commission; (3) the Colleges Commission; (4) the Commis-
sion on Educational Planning and (5) the Human Resources
Research Council (see Figure 5, p. 94).

This proliferation of crown agencies or commissions was intensely disliked by the succeeding PC government, who felt that such agencies were undemocratic in that they tended to remove responsibility from the appropriate minister. As soon as the PC government came to power it was decided that all the commissions mentioned would be closed down in one way or another.

Notwithstanding the above, the Premier and the Minister decided that these investigations into current educational issues and problems would be undertaken. It now becomes increasingly more difficult and complicated to trace this sequence of events accurately partly due to the fact that: (1) the same people involved in these negotiations tended to appear again but in different organizations undertaking a somewhat different role; and (2) the various interest groups and networks which had been formed were now beginning to take on a more definite form and structure.

In addition, there was also the complicating factor that while there were obvious and overt groups and organizations, there were now identifiable groups and networks who could best be described as covert.

The Formal Roles and Groups

Some of the key actors were involved in several ways: (1) Dr. T. C. Byrne was (a) Deputy Minister of Education, (b) a member of the Universities Commission, (c) Chairman of the Policy Committee HRRC and (d) soon to become the foundation President of Athabasca University; (2) Dr. W. H. Worth was (a) Commissioner, Commission on Educational Planning, (b) the former Vice-President, Planning and Development, University of Alberta, (c) soon to become Deputy Minister of Advanced Education, and then (d) Deputy Minister of Advanced Education and Manpower; and (3) Dr. L. Downey was (a) Director of the HRRC and (b) Coordinator of Research for the Commission on Educational Planning.

The HRRC is worthy of a separate study in itself for the remarkable contribution which it made to educational progress and innovation in Alberta in its five years of existence. Several former members of the organization are currently (1979) professors of educational administration, University of Alberta. These include: (1) Dr. E. J. Ingram, the former Associate Director and Coordinator of Educational Studies; (2) Dr. E. Miklos, the former Executive Secretary, Policy Committee and Head of the Education Planning Mission; (3) Dr. R. G. McIntosh, the former associate to the Director; and (4) Dr. T. C. Byrne, the former

chairman of the Policy Committee who is a visiting professor (1979) at the University of Alberta.

The HRRC was regarded by some people as a "closed club," the expression being used here in the non-pejorative sense. Nevertheless the publications of the HRRC did command respect and interest from many quarters. These include one by Riffel, Ingram and Dyck (1970), The Challenge of the Seventies, Planning Education for the Decades Ahead: A Mission Proposal, and one by Miklos, Bourgette and Cowley (1972), Perspectives on Educational Planning.

The Informal and Ad Hoc Groups

The sections which now follow are possibly the most crucial, meaningful and important in this study. They are also the most difficult to describe accurately. For in describing informal and elite networks of key influentials, the information utilized is based on impressions gathered from external observations.

Therefore, it is stressed again that in these sections the analysis produced for this part of the study is based on data gathering and analysis which was: (1) in part confidential; (2) largely impressionistic; and (3) to a great extent based purely on the judgement and discretion of this writer.

However in naturalistic observational studies the
approach of the investigative journalist can be justified
provided the comments are fair and reasonable. Guba (1978:
61) has stated recently that the notions of adequacy,
replicability, impartiality and fairness are far more
appropriate in a qualitative study, than criteria such
as authenticity, validity, reliability and objectivity
which are normally utilized in a quantitative study.

There now follows a description of three discrete
groupings which may be classified as informal or ad hoc
groups and which made a most significant contribution to
the eventual establishment of Athabasca University.

Group 1: The Ad Hoc Group, Referred to
Also in a Non-Pejorative Sense as the
Back Room Boys

Most informed observers agree that this ad hoc
group (see Figure 6) was, without doubt, most influential
in the decision-making processes which resulted in the
establishment of Athabasca University. The group con-
sisted of the following persons:

(1) Dr. T. C. Byrne, Deputy Minister of Education;

(2) Dr. W. H. Worth, Commissioner, Commission on

Educational Planning; (3) Dr. W. A. S. Smith,

President, University of Lethbridge; (4) Dr. L. W.

Downey, Director, HRRC; and (5) Dr. A. M. Kristjanson,

Academic Planning Officer, Universities Commission.

Minister of Education:

The Honourable   R.C. Clark

Executive Assistant:
J.J. Barr

Deputy Minister of Education:

Dr. T.C. Byrne

Ad Hoc Committee

Dr. T.C. Byrne
Dr. W.H. Worth
Dr. W.A.S. Smith
Dr. A.M. Kristjanson
Dr. L. Downey

Executive Assistants Special Consultants

Dr. Erick Schmidt
Preston Manning
Donald Hamilton
John Barr
Owen Anderson

Alberta Human Resources Research Council

Dr. L.W. Downey
Dr. E.J. Ingram

University of Alberta

Dr. W. Johns
Dr. M. Wyman

Alberta Universities Commission

Dr. W.H. Swift
Dr. Andrew Stewart

Commission on Education Planning

Dr. W.H. Worth

Figure 6. Elite groups, influential persons, informal networks associated with the decisions resulting in Order in Council 1206, June 25, 1970

Group 2: The Executive Assistants or
Special Consultants Referred to Also
in a Non-Pejorative Sense as the
Whizz Kids

This was another distinct, informal grouping of

younger men who were described as being "hell bent on

doing a lot of things" (see Figure 6). To describe these

executive assistants as a group may be doing them an

injustice, because they certainly did not perceive them-

selves as an identifiable group. /Nor did they attribute

to themselves the same power and influence as did so many

other people looking on from the outside at the activities

of the provincial Social Credit government. They were

assumed to exert a lot of power and influence in the

provincial government, and they probably did. The circle

consisted of the following persons:

> (1) Dr. Erick Schmidt, Special Consultant to the
>
> President of the Executive Council, perhaps the key
>
> figure in this group; (2) John J. Barr, executive
>
> assistant to the Minister of Education; (3) Owen
>
> Anderson; economic/political adviser to the
>
> Executive Council; (4) Donald Hamilton, executive
>
> assistant to the Premier; and (5) Preston Manning
>
> who held no official government appointment, but
>
> was very active as a party functionary. He was also
>
> Premier Manning's son.

Group 3:   A Network or Collectivity of
Three Discernible Groups with Premier
H. E. Strom as the Epicentre of this
Group

This grouping is somewhat more difficult to describe accurately, but it did seem at this stage as if Premier Strom was caught up by the pressures of three competing groups.   (1) The deputy ministers, i.e., the professional civil servants; (2) members of his own cabinet; and (3) the group of special consultants and executive assistants described in group 2, who it seemed shared a close relationship with some of the cabinet and had complete access to all cabinet business and the premier.

The Government Decides to Establish a Fourth Provincial University

From an examination of the documentation it would seem that the decision to establish a fourth university was made on two separate occasions.

(1) December 20, 1966 when the government decided that another university was needed in the Edmonton area. The arguments for the establishment of another university at this time centred around the options of: (1) a proximate campus; (b) a satellite campus; (c) an independent university; and (d) an interdenominational university. The political activity at this time was mainly in response to a pressing demand for more university places.   This

decision was shelved September 19, 1967 due to a shortage of funds.

(2) December 16, 1969 when the government made a firm commitment to proceed with the fourth university. The circumstances surrounding this decision were more complicated, due to the combination of groups and factors which have been described in the previous sections.

However it would seem appropriate to draw attention again to the main concerns at this time. It is reiterated that this was not a simple issue to pinpoint. Therefore the main factors are resummarized as follows.

of the Main Features
o Stage One

(1) A pressing demand for more university places l existed. (2) The emergence of the Universities mmission, the HRRC, the Commission on Educational anning, the increased interest of the Department of ducation and the University of Alberta, seemed to promote the appropriate type of educational environment necessary for the successful establishment of a new university. (3) The activities of identified informal and ad hoc groups which were pressurizing the premier and cabinet for a more rigorous program of social reform. These included the group of five, i.e., the ad hoc group or "backroom boys" who had now emerged as a most

influential and powerful group. It also included, to some extent, the group of special consultants and executive assistants to the cabinet.

The ad hoc group consisting of Dr. T. C. Byrne, Dr. W. H. Worth, Dr. W. A. S. Smith, Dr. L. W. Downey and Dr. A. M. Kristjanson was said to be the group who was most intimately connected and responsible for the ultimate establishment of Athabasca University.

This was the key period, i.e., the period immediately prior to stage one, when the political tradeoffs were taking place. It is impossible to transcribe all the unrecorded and informal negotiations and details relating to the political tradeoffs that did take place in regard to proposals for an ecumenical university and an Alberta Academy. These observations are based on information which was given to this researcher from a number of reliable and confidential sources who prefer to remain anonymous.

The acumen or political nous of this ad hoc group was demonstrated clearly by the way in which the group responded to the need to provide alternatives for the increasing numbers of students. It is usually considered politically advantageous to respond to a perceived need, and this is precisely what that ad hoc group did.

The main features of the period immediately prior to stage one were the close and harmonious working relationships believed to exist between the Minister, Robert C. Clark, and the Deputy Minister, Dr. T. C. Byrne, and between the Deputy Minister and the Commissioner for Educational Planning, Dr. W. H. Worth.

According to unanimous agreement in the comment of some former and current cabinet ministers, members of the opposition, former executive assistants, deputy ministers and former members of the Universities Commission, one person in particular, the Deputy Minister of Education, Dr. T. C. Byrne, had by now emerged as the main driving force in this move to establish a fourth provincial university.

Stage I in the Development of Athabasca University: June 25, 1970-August 31, 1971

This period from June 25, 1970 to August 31, 1971 was the vital period of fourteen months which followed the establishment of Alberta's fourth university by Order in Council 1206/70, June 25, 1970 (see Figure 7). This was the period when the Minister of Education, The Honourable Robert C. Clark, put into effect the new social development programs of the Social Credit government. The Deputy Minister of Education during the first ten months of this period was Dr. T. C. Byrne who remained Deputy Minister

Figure 7. Policy making within an education context under the Social Credit Government until August 31, 1971

until May 1971 when he resigned from that position to
become the foundation president of Athabasca University.

This was a most exciting period in social planning
development in Alberta. According to informed observers,
this first period of Athabasca University's development
was characterized by a sense of euphoria and an intense
intellectual excitement. This feeling had originated even
before the university was formally established, but now
this same sense of urgency and excitement had been trans-
ferred to the period commencing June 25, 1970. It was a
period of ideas and planning, of a thoughtful and humane
government responding to a need in a sensitive way.
It was a period characterized by a social climate that
was favourable to post-secondary educational expansion
and development. It was a period, to use a Social Credit
term, of hard heads and soft hearts, when the Social
Credit government of the day was demonstrating tremendous
enthusiasm for rectifying all the major social problems
from education to health and crime prevention.

The Minister of Education during this period was
described as youthful, able, conscientious, dedicated and,
perhaps most revealing, as being always accessible and
approachable. Even the Premier, The Honourable Harry E.
Strom, was so accessible to the public that he would often
return telephone calls personally, much to the surprise
of the persons called.

This 1971 Cabinet of the Social Credit Government was described by informed observers as being perhaps overly idealistic, but nevertheless favourably inclined towards promoting education in the province. To illustrate this point, in addition to promoting the establishment of Alberta's fourth university, the government also approved the appointment of three hundred new staff members to the University of Alberta in one year.

While the Minister was described in terms of youth and idealism, the Deputy Minister of the time was described in the following terms: a highly respected and trusted senior public servant. These terms were used by cabinet ministers of both the major parties. The Deputy Minister was perceived to be a person whose advice and experience were utilized by the Minister. Some observers have described this period as ideal from a political/ administrative point of view. At the political level the Minister was perhaps somewhat youthful and idealistic, and the Deputy Minister, at the administrative level, was regarded as a person of considerable experience. This combination was regarded by numerous observers as highly satisfactory as the views of the political master could be tempered when necessary by the experience of an able, respected and above all, trusted public servant.

A further example is given to illustrate the drama, the excitement and the high hopes that were held for this

new and entirely different concept of a university.   In

an attempt to capture the prevailing spirit of contemporary

university development seven members of the interim

governing council, despite some public outcry at the

expenditure of taxpayer's money, visited: (1) University

of Wisconsin at Green Bay; (2) Trent University; (3) the

University of California at Santa Cruz; (4) Simon Fraser

University; (5) University of Sussex; (6) the Open

University; (7) the University of Kent; (8) the University

of Essex; and (9) the University of East Anglia.

All the high hopes and grand schemes were suddenly

dashed when the election results of August 31, 1971 became

known.

Stage II in the Development of Athabasca University: Moratorium, September 10, 1971-December 20, 1972

This period from September 10, 1971-December 20, 1972,

if not the most crucial period, was certainly the time when

Athabasca University's affairs reached their lowest ebb.   In

brief, the expected huge increase in Alberta student enrol-

ments did not materialize and projections in student

numbers were found to be quite inaccurate.

The new PC government wisely declared a moratorium

until such time as the situation would become clearer.

The spirit of the times can best be summarized by two

quotes. (1) The new minister of the newly created Department of Advanced Education (see Figure 8), The Honourable J. L. Foster was quoted as saying: "This is not quite a budget freeze, but you might call it a very heavy chill," and (2) Dr. T. C. Byrne, by then Athabasca University's first president of four months, stated more recently that: "Although there was a budgetary freeze in the fall, the frost did not appear on the window pane until the spring."

The following scenario describes this period: (1) a new PC government which by all accounts was swept into office unexpectedly; (2) a new government which kept to itself in the early months of office while policy was rapidly being formulated; (3) a Minister of Advanced Education, the Honourable J. L. Foster, who did not seem to be offering any positive guidance to the fledgling university; (4) a government which finally decided that there would be no plans for educational expansion; and (5) a government which instead had decided that there would be strict budgetary controls, cutbacks in the post-secondary educational system and a freeze on all educational construction.

During this early period of stage two it was becoming clearer to all concerned that the PC government was going to be faced with some decisions regarding

Minister of Education

The Honourable L.D. Hyndman

Deputy Minister of Education

(1) Dr. R.E. Rees
(2) Dr. E.K. Hawkesworth

Minister of Advanced Education

The Honourable J.L. Foster*

Deputy Minister of Advanced Education

Mr. A. Bredo,
   Interim Acting Deputy Minister
Dr. R.E. Rees
Mr. J.P. Mitchell,
   Acting Deputy Minister
Dr. W.H. Worth*

Figure 8. Re-structured departments of education after Progressive Conservatives assumed office, September 10, 1971

(Key personnel involved with decisions resulting in Order in Council 1986, December 20, 1972 shown thus *)

the fate of the fourth provincial university. The
following questions arose: (1) Should the government
terminate the whole project immediately on the grounds
that a fourth university was no longer needed?
(2) Should the government establish it completely
following the original plans and concept? (3) Should
the government find some other scheme which would amount
to a compromise between complete rejection and complete
acceptance of the original plan?

The HRRC was still a very active organization at
this time. Plans were being discussed to assist Athabasca
University in the setting up of a regional laboratory by
December 30, 1971. The HRRC was also to undertake a
project in social accounting to assess the quality of life
in Alberta. The term "social audit" was developed at this
time to describe this attempt to take stock of the health
and robustness of a society by describing social conditions,
identifying discrepancies between social conditions and
goals and taking account of the delivery of social
services. This project was in the process of being dis-
cussed by February 9, 1972 and it seemed as if the HRRC
was to be permitted to carry on under its new political
masters.

However, by early January 1972, the new Premier
The Honourable Peter Lougheed had decided that the

commissions established by the Social Credit government would be closed down. On January 6, 1972 Miss W. Helen Hunley, Chairman of the HRRC and Minister without Portfolio, formally stated that cabinet had decided to phase out the HRRC. However this information had been conveyed personally by the Premier himself in a telephone call to the members of the governing body a short time previously. The Premier had made it clear that the HRRC was not going to continue and it ceased operations officially August 31, 1972.

In the meantime it seemed highly likely that Athabasca University, another Social Credit venture, would suffer the same fate.

By January 18, 1972 a brief resume of the collective activity of the ten members' of the interim governing authority had been forwarded to the Minister of Advanced Education, Jim Foster. By March 31, 1972 the imminent dissolution of the HRRC was being discussed, although the HRRC did linger on until August 31, 1972.

Athabasca University's fate seemed extremely bleak during this period. Persons, who can remember this time well, have said that morale was at an all time low and only the optimism, leadership and tour de force of the President, Dr. T. C. Byrne, held the university together.

However, according to all informed sources, just when Athabasca seemed to be destined for the same fate as the HRRC, a combination of circumstances dramatically changed the whole situation.

Whereas Dr. Byrne as the former deputy minister enjoyed the complete trust of his Minister Robert C. Clark, the present situation, i.e., early 1972, was quite different. The new Minister of Advanced Education had no such deputy minister to whom he could turn— the reasons to be explained shortly (see Figure 8, p. 131).

As luck or fate would have it, a most unfortunate crisis situation had occurred at the Red Deer College which was to have repercussions that would influence Athabasca University's future. The Red Deer College affair was doubly significant for the new and youthful Minister of Advanced Education. It was his first major crisis in the area of advanced education and Red Deer was in the Minister's own electoral jurisdiction. Thus he was required to respond promptly on both accounts.

The Minister therefore chose Dr. T. C. Byrne, the former deputy minister and new president of the near foundering university to head an enquiry for the Alberta Executive Council into the state of affairs at the Red Deer College. Dr. Byrne was duly appointed by Orders in Council

427/72, 428/72, March 21, 1979 pursuant to Section 2 of the
Public Enquiries Act as the Commissioner for the purpose of
enquiring into and concerning the Red Deer College at Red Deer.

Suffice to say at this stage, the matter was resolved
by the Commissioner to the entire satisfaction of the
Minister. One result of this successfully completed
Commission of Enquiry was that the Minister was now more
inclined to respond to the advice of the university president/
commissioner/and former deputy minister. Accordingly on
May 30, 1972 the Minister made two announcements: (1) that
all physical planning for Athabasca University would be
suspended indefinitely—this announcement was expected; and
(2) more important to Athabasca's future, the government
had approved, in general terms, the proposal of the interim
governing authority to continue academic planning by under-
taking a pilot project which would test in a practical
setting various dimensions of the Athabasca University
model. By December 1972 the proposal had been resolved
still further, and it was announced that the Department of
Advanced Education and the governing authority had agreed
on a course of action whereby academic planning would be
directed towards the design and delivery of multimedia
university level courses to off-campus students.

Athabasca University was no longer foundering, but
was now a viable institution. It had a full time staff of

ten, six of whom were academic employees.

The relationship between the Minister and the Deputy Minister was much more complex at this time than in the previous stage. In the first phase during the difficult times of the Red Deer College enquiry, there had not been one deputy minister but three.

Dr. R. E. Rees, the former Deputy Minister of Education, was appointed to the post of Deputy Minister of Advanced Education. He held this position until June 9, 1972, but due to Dr. Rees taking retirement leave it was necessary to appoint acting deputy ministers in the interim period. According to the Alberta Gazette, March 15, 1972, Mr. A. Bredo assumed the duties of an interim acting deputy minister and was followed shortly by Mr. J. P. Mitchell who was also appointed as an acting deputy minister (see Figure 8, p. 131).

This state of flux was resolved quickly when on June 16, 1972 the report A Choice of Futures (the Worth Report) was finally completed, thereby releasing Dr. W. H. Worth who became Deputy Minister of Advanced Education September 1, 1972.

The period from September 1972 to December 20, 1972 was a particularly productive and important one for Athabasca University. A significant publication, Athabasca

Un[...], An Experiment in Practical Planning, had been

rel[...] October 1972 and on October 15, 1972 it was

agr[...] at Athabasca University would experiment with the

Albe[...] Academy model. This concept had been given con-

sic[...]able coverage in the Worth Report. It can be assumed

th[...]efore that the political trade-offs had in fact taken

[...]ce, and that Cabinet reached its decision about the

[...]ure role of Athabasca University after the appropriate

a[...]ice had been received from the Deputy Minister of the

De[...]artment of Advanced Education. In brief, Cabinet had

dec[...]ded to grant Athabasca University a new lease of life

by Order in Council 1986/72, December 20, 1972.

[Sta]ge III in the Development of Athabasca University: Acceptance, December 20, 1972-November 6, 1975

This period from December 20, 1972 to November 6,

1975 was a more lengthy one of thirty-five months during

which time the pilot scheme was thoroughly tested and

then accepted. The minister for the first twenty-seven

months of this period was still Jim Foster, and he was

succeeded on April 13, 1975 by The Honourable Dr. A. E.

Hohol. The Deputy Minister of Advanced Education for the

complete period was Dr. W. H. Worth, although during this

time a major cabinet re-shuffle produced a newly styled

Department of Advanced Education and Manpower (see Figure 9)

with corresponding changes in the designations of the key

personnel.

138

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────┐
│                                     │
│                                     │
│      Minister of Advanced Education │
│             and Manpower            │
│                                     │
│     The Honourable Dr. A.E. Hohol   │
│                                     │
│                                     │
└─────────────────────────────────────┘


┌─────────────────────────────────────┐
│                                     │
│                                     │
│   Deputy Minister of Advanced Education │
│             and Manpower            │
│                                     │
│          Dr. W.H. Worth             │
│                                     │
│                                     │
└─────────────────────────────────────┘
```

Figure 9. Key personnel involved with the decisions, November 6, 1975

The reasons for the success of this stage of
Athabasca University's development follow.

Most observers of this period attribute much of
the successful implementation of the pilot project scheme
to the astuteness and dedication of the university
president, Dr. T. C. Byrne. Most observers were quick
to remind this researcher that Dr. Byrne had been a highly
successful deputy minister who understood government
strategy and, in particular, the way in which Cabinet
made its decisions.

In short, the President was quick to perceive
which way the political winds were blowing. Without
his skilful leadership these same commentators agreed
that Athabasca would have suffered the same fate as
the HRRC, which it was alleged, had not built up the
same type or degree of political support necessary for
survival in a more hostile environment. The HRRC made
some serious miscalculations from which it never
recovered.

The concept of distance learning was becoming
more acceptable in academic circles, and was no longer
regarded merely as a fad. The Minister, Jim Foster,
approved of what he saw particularly as he had no sensitive
or difficult political decisions to make. The pilot scheme
had virtually let him off a potentially dangerous political

hook. The pilot scheme could be justified on educational grounds alone, for it was in fact research and development in higher education within the province of Alberta. Many of the ideas now published in the Worth Report, released June 16, 1972 and which the PC government had accepted were being implemented in the pilot scheme. This period was most satisfactory from Athabasca University's point of view and from the government's position as well.

The latent demand for higher education was becoming more apparent and this was reflected in March 1974 by nineteen persons being appointed to the full time staff. In September 1974 the first three courses were offered: (1) Ancient Roots of the Modern World; (2) Introduction to the Study of Human Communities; and (3) World Ecology: The Scientific Context. The total number of course registrations was 534.

By March 31, 1975 the number of full time staff had reached thirty-six, and the pilot study undertaken in 1973 was concluded in early 1975. It remained for the provincial government to decide whether or not Athabasca University would move from the status of a pilot project to that of an emerging but permanent university. There now followed an interregnum or a pause in the university's expansion to await this crucial government decision.

The discussion paper, <u>Athabasca University: An</u>
<u>Experiment in Practical Planning</u>, produced in June 1975
by the Department of Advanced Education and Manpower
seemed to preempt the position that would be taken by
the government. The Department was aware of the latent
demand for higher education in the province, and was of
the opinion that Athabasca University should be estab-
lished as an open university. The Department held the
view that the university should provide undergraduate
courses for special groups in the province: (1) those who
were disadvantaged culturally, educationally, socially,
economically; and (2) those who by choice or circumstance
could not avail themselves of studies at other Alberta
universities.

Keeping in mind the fact that the pilot project
had been completed to the Minister's satisfaction early
in 1975 and that the discussion paper of the Department
of Advanced Education and Manpower had reported favourably
on the proposed role and mandate of Athabasca University
in June 1975, the final and key decision of the govern-
ment took only three and one half months in coming.

The Deputy Minister, Dr. W. H. Worth, had written
to Dr. T. C. Byrne on August 5, 1975 stating inter alia,
that the report of L. W. Downey Research Associates Ltd.
released March 24, 1975 (<u>The Athabasca University Pilot</u>

Project) had been taken into account when considering
Athabasca University's permanent status.

Again, this is of considerable significance. It
should be remembered that the HRRC had been closed down
by the PC government August 31, 1972, but this organiza-
tion had reappeared in much the same way as Phoenix
arising from the ashes as the research firm of L. W.
Downey Research Associates Ltd. It was in fact the
HRRC operating under a new guise, with the same personnel
undertaking the same kind of social science research
but financed this time by substantial government contracts.

The favourable decision of the Cabinet was
conveyed by the Deputy Minister, Dr. W. H. Worth, in
a letter dated October 31, 1975 to Dr. T. C. Byrne.
First, it was the Deputy Minister who wrote that he was
·pleased to advise that Cabinet had approved in principle
the establishment of Athabasca University as a permanent
baccalaureate university. The Deputy Minister wrote also
that the Department viewed this as a most significant
event and that they looked forward to participating
in it. Then, three days later on November 3, 1975 the
Minister Dr. A. E. Hohol wrote in a similar manner to
the Reverend E. Checkland, Acting Chairman, Athabasca
University Governing Authority informing him that he
and the other members of the governing authority would

be happy to know that the Executive Council had approved
in principle the establishment of Athabasca University
as a permanent baccalaureate level university. The
Minister wrote also that he looked forward to the con-
tinuing cooperation of the authority in what he termed
a unique and promising endeavour.

This period clearly seemed to belong more to
the forces of the Deputy Minister, the Department of
Advanced Education and the governing authority than to
the Minister and Cabinet. The initiative for the move
to support Athabasca University's existence at this time
lay clearly with the Deputy Minister. In a letter dated
August 5, 1975 the Deputy Minister had written that he
intended to review comments or suggestions originating
from the Athabasca University Governing Authority
following which the Minister would submit a proposal to
Executive Council for consideration and decision. The
implication was that subject to the Deputy Minister's
approval or consent, the Minister would take the proposal
to Executive Council. The scenario alters considerably
in the next stage when it is the Minister personally
who is seen to take the initiative by promoting legis-
lation which would finally safeguard Athabasca University's
interests still further.

Stage IV in the Development of Athabasca University: Endorsement, November 6, 1975-April 12, 1978

This period from November 6, 1975 to April 12, 1978 lasted thirty months during which time the minister was still Dr. A. E. Hohol. The deputy minister for the first eight months of this period was Dr. W. H. Worth, but he resigned from this position June 30, 1976 and returned to the University of Alberta as Dean of the Faculty of Education. Dr. Worth was succeeded by Dr. H. Kolesar on October 1, 1976.

On the same day, October 1, 1976, Dr. W. A. S. Smith, the Dean of Arts at Simon Fraser University, the former president of the University of Lethbridge and a member of the small ad hoc committee described earlier, assumed the position of Athabasca University's second president.

By this time Athabasca University was employing ninety-eight professional staff, forty-four support staff, had provided calendar information to 8,000 people and was providing instructional service to 1,270 students. The student body by this time seemed to fall into three distinct groups: teachers, housewives and clerical workers.

The total expenditure of Athabasca University during the period 1976-77 was $1,986,000. If that figure is compared to the University of Alberta's total

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────────┐
│                                         │
│                                         │
│       Minister of Advanced Education    │
│              and Manpower               │
│                                         │
│       The Honourable Dr. A.E. Hohol     │
│                                         │
│                                         │
└─────────────────────────────────────────┘
```

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────────┐
│                                         │
│                                         │
│    Deputy Minister of Advanced Education │
│              and Manpower               │
│                                         │
│            Dr. H. Kolesar               │
│                                         │
│                                         │
└─────────────────────────────────────────┘
```

Figure 10. Key personnel involved with the decisions, April 12, 1978

expenditure for the same period 1976/77, $107,396,000 it may seem slight, but the fact remained that Athabasca University had been approved as a permanent baccalaureate university and was indeed a viable institution.

This period 1976/77 was the time when Athabasca became a university in form and fact as well as in name. It was the time when the university began to fulfill its potential. It was also the crucial period when the legalities of Athabasca's position began to be clarified.

Athabasca University had been established by a series of Orders in Council (see Table 1, Appendix C). Some people close to the governing authority were of the opinion that Orders in Council were most unsuitable as a means of legitimizing the activities of the new university.

On April 18, 1977 Dr. R. A. Bosetti, Assistant Deputy Minister of Advanced Education wrote to Dr. Smith, the new president, stating that a meeting had taken place with all four universities. The main topic of the meeting had been Athabasca University's position relative to the other three universities and the Universities Amendment Act 1977. This act was ready for review, and after re-drafting it was hoped that Athabasca University's governing body would function effectively within the Universities Act.

Dr. Bosetti had expressed the opinion that while he did acknowledge Dr. Smith's desire for separate legislation, he had to reassert that it was government policy to govern all universities under The Universities Act.

Legal opinion is of some interest here. Field Owen in a letter to Athabasca University defined a university as follows:

> A university under The Universities Act is an independent corporation and is neither an agent nor an emanation of the Crown. Hence its employees are not civil servants . . . . If the Government gives Athabasca a new Act or excludes many of the provisions of the existing Universities Act from Athabasca, it may well be that Athabasca University may properly be characterized as an agent of the Crown and not as an independent corporation. (Field Owen to Athabasca University, 25 April, 1977)

On May 10, 1977 legal opinion from Field Owen, Barristers and Solicitors, re The Universities Act and Bill 45 is again of interest. In brief, the view was expressed in this opinion that counsel was unhappy with the approach of the proposed legislation. The view was expressed that what was created by an Order in Council could be varied or terminated by an Order in Council. Athabasca's position as the fourth university in Alberta should therefore be regarded as extremely suspect. It was held that when the very powers and functions of the university and the composition of its Board could be changed from week to

week by successive Orders in Council, the claim of indepen-
dence should be regarded more as a hope than a reality.
That is, what Athabasca University needed was a separate
piece of legislation which would allow it to perform its
unique tasks within a proper legislative framework.

The government's position on this matter had been
presented by Dr. Bosetti on April 18, 1977. Subsequent
legal opinion from Field Owen on May 10, 1977 in Dr.
Bosetti's view was "not very positive."

The situation appeared to have been resolved by
May 25, 1977. Dr. Smith had written to Dr. Hohol
indicating that he appreciated the leadership that
Dr. Hohol had demonstrated in providing through the
passage of Bill 45, The Universities Amendment Act, 1977,
a legislative base from which the university could con-
tinue its development.

While Dr. Smith nevertheless hankered for the
separate legislation which he believed would be more
effective, he was still appreciative and enthusiastic
about the present legislative arrangements. In brief,
on April 12, 1978 following government policy as previously
outlined by Dr. Bosetti, the Athabasca University Regula-
tions were duly constituted, pursuant to Section 4.1 of
The Universities Act.

It had taken two governments almost twelve years of constant negotiation from December 20, 1966 to April 12, 1978 to make and implement the decision that there should be a fourth provincial university.

As a postscript, it should be recorded that in appreciation of Dr. Hohol's sensitive handling of Athabasca University's peculiar legislative problems, the university bestowed upon him an Honorary Professorship at the June 15, 1979 convocation.

Review of the Four Stages of Development

The genesis and development of Athabasca University has been considered in four distinct stages (see Figure 4, p. 92): euphoria, moratorium, acceptance and endorsement. Each of these four stages was associated with different key personnel. One of the aims of this chapter was to identify these key personnel and the different styles and characteristics that could be observed about them. The four situations are reviewed again and the differing roles of the ministers and deputy ministers are examined to ascertain what similarities and differences existed.

Stage I: Euphoria

This was the period from June 25, 1970 to August 31, 1971. The Social Credit Minister of Education was The Honourable Robert C. Clark and the Deputy Minister

of Education was Dr. T. C. Byrne.

During this period it was argued that the enthusi-
asm, optimism and perhaps idealism of the energetic
minister were harmoniously balanced by the experience,
astuteness and, above all, common sense approach of a
most highly regarded, respected and trusted deputy
minister.

Stage II: Moratorium

This period extended from September 10, 1971
to December 20, 1972. The PC Minister of Advanced Educa-
tion was The Honourable J. L. Foster and the Deputy
Minister of Advanced Education was Dr. W. H. Worth.
Three other persons, Dr. R. E. Rees, Mr. A. Bredo and
Mr. J. P. Mitchell, had been named to the position of
deputy minister prior to Dr. Worth's appointment, but as
these appointments were of a temporary or interim nature
only, Dr. Worth's appointment was taken into consideration.

This period was characterized by a more conserva-
tive, cautious and restrained approach on the part of the
minister, whereas a dynamic, energetic, pragmatic and
far sighted approach was demonstrated by the deputy
minister. This combination of two different administra-
tive styles was to be ultimately of distinct advantage
to Athabasca after the freeze or moratorium was over.

Stage III: Acceptance

This period lasted from December 20, 1972 to November 6, 1975. The same minister and deputy minister were in office as in the previous period, with the exception that a new Minister of Advanced Education and Manpower, The Honourable Dr. A. E. Hohol was appointed on April 13, 1975.

During this crucial period, the deputy minister displayed the same forceful characteristics and the same pragmatic approach that he displayed in the preceding stage. The minister was less restrained in his approach with the result that the government came to accept this new and totally different concept of a university.

Stage IV: Endorsement

This period lasted from November 6, 1975 to April 12, 1978. The minister for the complete period was still Dr. A. E. Hohol, and the deputy minister for the first eight months was Dr. W. H. Worth. He was succeeded as deputy minister by Dr. H. Kolesar. In the three previous stages the initiative for decision making seemed to lie clearly with the deputy ministers due in part to the detailed nature of the planning that was undertaken in the preliminary stages of Athabasca ty's development. In this final stage when the gislature passed regulations allowing formal

establishment of Athabasca University, the initiative for

decision making was clearly with the minister. The

thoughtful and sensitive responses to this issue by

the minister were greatly facilitated by an efficient

and productive Department of Advanced Education and Man-

power.

Summary

This chapter has been a review of the four stages

in the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University.

It was proposed in the chapter that there were clearly

four stages in this development: (1) a period of euphoria;

(2) the moratorium; (3) the acceptance stage; (4) the

endorsement stage.

It was argued also that prior to the commencement

of stage I, i.e., the initial period of euphoria, there

were a number of closely-related events within the

external educational environment which greatly contributed

to setting the scene for the promotion of an idea as the

establishment of a new university within the province.

While it is understood that solutions to new

educational problems must have been formulating in many

people's minds for possibly several years, a starting

point was made with the 1957 Advisory Committee on

Education established by the Minister of Education. From

this commencement date the key educational reports, the major events within the external educational environment and the decisive Orders in Council were recorded and analyzed.

It was considered further that the period prior to stage I was most significant in this study. Hence the detailed analysis of the groups of influentials and the informal networks of elitist special interest groups who were referred to in the study as conglomerates of power, persuasion and influence (see Figure 5, p. 94).

These groups were identified and an assessment was made of their input to the total decision-making process. The introductory stage or the seminal period was analyzed in some detail because this period clearly set the scene for the succeeding stages. This type of detailed analysis was not repeated before describing the events in stages II, III and IV mainly because the events described in the introductory period influenced to a great extent the three succeeding stages.

The analysis of the introductory or seminal period was followed by further detailed analyses of the four identified stages, referred to in this study as: (1) the initial period or the period of euphoria; (2) the moratorium or the time of the budgetary freeze; (3) the acceptance stage when the experiment with the pilot scheme was

undertaken; and (4) the time of endorsement when the university as it is known today was finally accepted by the government.

It was in these four sections that an analysis was made of (1) the working relationship and (2) the differences in administrative styles that existed between the minister of education and his deputy minister. In this analysis of the relationship between minister and deputy minister, it was contended that in the first three stages of development the initiative for decision making lay clearly with the two deputy ministers, but in the fourth stage the minister himself assumed a more dominant leadership role in guiding the required regulations through the legislative process.

Chapter 5

CONTEXT AND INTERPRETATION

Introduction

Two separate, distinct yet related problems are
analyzed in this dissertation. In Chapter 4 the real
world of policy making pertaining to the establishment
of Athabasca University is described in some detail.
The influentials, the elite groups and the informal
networks have been identified and their respective
contributions to the establishment of Athabasca University
have been analyzed. In this second component of the
study some generalizations and conclusions, based on
an analysis of the identified events, are developed to
provide greater understanding of the policy-making
process.

This chapter includes some theoretical generaliza-
tions and conclusions presented in the form of:
(1) propositions which have been derived from the study;
and (2) a classification scheme in which three basic types
of policy making are outlined (see Figure 11), and which
may be regarded as a theoretical screen.

156

Theoretical Screen

Precipitating Factors

Elite Groups

Influential Persons

Informal Networks

Receptive Environment

Ranney (1968)

1. A particular object
2. A desired course of events
3. A selected line of action
4. A declaration of intent
5. Implementation

Dror (1968)

1. Qualitative
2. Rational and extra-rational
3. Economic rationale
4. Metapolicy making
5. Built-in feedback

Lasswell (1971)

1. Participants
2. Perspectives
3. Situations
4. Base values
5. Strategies
6. Outcomes
7. Effects

Milstein and Jennings (1973)

1. Dissatisfaction
2. Reformulation of Attitudes
3. Idea Formulation
4. Debate
5. Legislation
6. Implementation

Jennings (1977)

1. Initiation
2. Reformulation of Opinion
3. Emergence of alternatives
4. Discussion and debate
5. Legitimization
6. Implementation

Dye (1978)

1. Rational planning
2. Incrementalism
3. Interest group activity
4. Elite preferences
5. Systemic forces
6. Competition
7. Institutional influences.

Baldridge (1978)

1. Social structure
2. Interest articulation
3. Legislative stage
4. Conflict and compromise stage
5. Execution of policy stage

Price (1978)

1. "Unholy trinities"
2. "Triangular trading patterns"
3. "Whirlpools of influence"
4. "Sub-governments"

Zeigler and Tucker (1978)

1. Proposal development
2. Executive recommendation
3. Legislative action
4. Supplementary decision
5. Implementation
6. Review

Mansbridge (1978)

1. Basic need
2. Research by agency
3. Position paper
4. Public debate
5. Proposals for cabinet
6. Directive from government
7. Implementation plan

Policy Type A:

Policy Type B:

Policy Type C:

Figure 11. Policy making: three types

Theoretical Bases in the Study of Elite Groups, Socio-Political Relationships and Informal Political Structures

Wright Mills (1956) developed the thesis that certain key institutions in society were always controlled and dominated by a few men. This seems to have been the situation regarding the development of higher education in Alberta in the period under review.

Another view of the concept of elite groups has been proposed by former Canadian Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau (1968:404) who defined an elite group as all those who could think and orient their efforts towards the common good. Trudeau argued that this group might have consisted of manual labourers, street sweepers or university professors but what made them an elite was their aptitude to think and care for the general good. Conclusions reached by Zeigler and Tucker (1978) were similar to the conclusions reached in this study into the origins of Athabasca University, i.e., most of the important decisions were made well before the issue reached the legislature. According to them, official bodies such as state legislatures and school boards rarely initiated policy. Zeigler and Tucker (1978:137) argued that by the time the legislature, council or school board come into play the issue and the policy options were well defined.

This study should therefore be viewed as one combining pure power political relationships and the more inclusive socio-political patterns of action. In Stein's (1968:42) view, pure power political relationships involved power, authority and rule used in the coercive sense. On the other hand, socio-political patterns of action involved authoritative decision making in a society. This included both formal and informal structures such as the executive, legislature, judiciary, political parties and political groups.

Stein (1968:44) argued that political scientists had come to include the informal political structures in their analyses of political systems. Concepts had been developed which gave some precision to institutions and patterns of action such as: (1) political parties; (2) pressure groups; (3) political movements; (4) cliques and factions; (5) competing elites; and (6) political cultures and sub-cultures.

The arguments proposed by Stein and by Meekison (1968) that events in recent years had caused a number of people to study the federal system, to examine its theoretical bases, its development and its problems could well be applied with some modifications to this present study into the origins of Athabasca University.

The period under investigation concludes in 1978

which is ten years after these arguments were proposed,

and the political scene is provincial rather than federal,

but the same comments apply equally to this study.

Interest now seems to have swung more heavily to the

provincial political setting, particularly in the present

(1979) political climate. This study therefore was an

investigation into: (1) the political parties; (2) the

pressure groups; (3) the elites and factions who were

involved in the early discussions that led ultimately

to the establishment of the fourth provincial university.

Theoretical Generalizations Based on an Analysis of Policy-Making Procedures which Resulted in the Establishment of Athabasca University

The major purpose of this study was to describe

the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University. The

first subproblem was concerned with the identification

and description of specific factors associated with the

Orders in Council which authorized the different stages

of the university's establishment. The second subproblem

was concerned with the development of some theoretical

generalizations and conclusions based on an analysis of

the identified events which would facilitate an under-

standing of the policy-making process.

This section is based on: (1) a synthesis of the

views of the policy analysis researchers (see Figure 11,

p. 156); and (2) information which was obtained in the course of the investigation. The section will consist of two distinct parts.

Part I: Following the proposals of Zetterburg (1965) and Hage (1972), a series of propositions was developed which could become the bases for further research and study in the area of policy making.

Part II: Guided by the overall perspective that: (1) theory should be grounded in data (Glaser and Strauss, 1967); (2) Becker's (1958) utilization of a descriptive model; and (3) Hage's (1972) synthetic approach, a composite descriptive model was developed (see Figure 11, p. 156).

On the basis of this composite model, it is proposed to argue that educational policy decisions could be analyzed and categorized according to three policy types: Type A, Type B, Type C. These three policy types will be explicated in Part II.

Part I: Propositions: Introductory Comment

The propositions outlined in this statement were derived from the observations and analyses made in the course of the investigation. The first observation

concerned the length of tenure in office of a political party. Where one political party had held office for a lengthy period, it could be expected that the party would take significant measures to ensure that it remained in office. These steps could be expected to include: (1) a new and different approach to public policy making; (2) the publication of a comprehensive document in which the major phases of the plan would be outlined; and (3) a plan which would be designed and intended to capture the imagination of the voting public. There would be difficulties in the identification and the pinpointing of the precise origins of such a policy.

Interest groups and individuals would be associated in various ways with this new policy, but it is suggested that there would be some form of: (1) an elite group; (2) an ad hoc committee; (3) a steering committee; or (4) simply a group of influentials who had sufficient commitment and political power to ensure that the policies they were advocating would be accepted.

It could be argued that the effectiveness of this elite group or ad hoc committee would depend to a large extent on: (1) the degree of informal interaction; and (2) the degree of compatibility that existed among its members. Hence the more informal contact among the group members the greater the mutual liking. Therefore it could

be assumed that there would be a more successful generation of new ideas. This ad hoc group would constitute an inside group, the members of which would presumably demonstrate varying degrees of upward social or career mobility and degrees of cosmopolitism. The group members would engage in political bargaining and in trade-offs among each other so that their individual positions could be maintained.

Finally it is proposed that a key factor in the success or failure of the new policy would be the regard or esteem in which the participants were held by those in positions of power and authority. Assuming the key personnel are held in such high regard, then the chances of successfully proposing and implementing a policy would be very much greater.

Introductory propositions. The following propositions have been derived by the writer from the data presented in Chapter 4 and represent the generalizing process from the concrete examples as they relate to the establishment of Athabasca University to a more abstract level of policy analysis in general. The Introductory Propositions represent, in particular, generalizations relating to the activities that took place in the Introductory Stage and Seminal Period (see Figure 4, p. 92).

1. The longer a political party remains in power and the more favourable the social climate at the time,

the more likely it is that there would be some tangible response from government in the form of a radically different policy.

Example: The Social Credit Government felt obliged to restyle and update its declining image. The former paternalistic approach which was suited to the years of depression and the period of World War II was no longer acceptable in the 1960's. Hence this new policy approach with an emphasis on the human resources idea.

2. The more complex the resultant policy, the greater the difficulty of identifying the origins of the policy.

Example: Regarding the establishment of Athabasca University, the members of the following groups, the ad hoc committee, the executive assistants and special consultants, the members of the Social Credit Government, the various commissions, agencies and the University of Alberta, all had a legitimate claim to be in some way partly responsible for the creation of the fourth provincial university. It was, therefore, somewhat difficult to pinpoint the exact source of the idea.

3. The more innovative and unconventional the policy program, the greater the degree of political risk for all those concerned in this policy-making process.

Example: One member of the ad hoc committee, engaged by and working for the Social Credit Government, was at the same time advising the PC party on educational policy and planning matters. This action was interpreted by some observers to indicate that some members of the committee were aware of the risk involved in being associated with innovative and unconventional programs and they were therefore determined to safeguard their own interests by cooperating with both the major parties.

4. The more complex the proposed policy, the greater a need for an expert and ad hoc committee to formulate planning strategy in the initial stages.

Example: In this case there was unanimous agreement that the five members of this expert and ad hoc committee represented the greatest expertise in Alberta at that particular time in the area of educational planning and development. The government of the time was of the opinion that they had obtained the best advisers in Alberta who could then assist them with taking the appropriate methods to deal with the increased student enrolment in Alberta post-secondary institutions and other associated problems.

Propositions emphasizing the informal approach. The following propositions represent, in particular, generalizations relating to the perceptions of the professional

activities of the ad hoc committee and executive assistants or special consultants (see Figure 6, p. 120).

5. The greater the degree of conceptual planning required in the formative stages of new policy development, the greater the requirement for an informal approach at this stage.

Example: Both the ad hoc committee and the executive assistants (see Figure 6, p. 120) would agree to the claim that they were more productive when working together in an informal way, i.e., they did not meet at prescribed times, they did not follow a set agenda nor did they conform to the rules of procedure. They were constantly producing innovative ideas and testing these among each other. This approach seemed to be highly productive and satisfactory from both groups' points of view.

6. The greater the degree of informal interaction among the key participants, the greater the chance of formulating and implementing a successful policy.

7. The greater the degree of informal interaction among the key participants with those in positions of power and influence, the greater the chance of promoting a successful new policy.

8. The higher the frequency of interaction among the key participants in promoting new policy, the greater

the likelihood of a greater mutual liking among these key

participants.

9. The greater the level of compatibility among

the key participants, the greater the chance of promoting

a successful new policy.

10. The closer the relationship among the partici-

pants involved in this particular policy-making process,

the more likely it is that a policy would be formulated.

Propositions 6-10 are concerned with the degrees of

informal interaction, the frequency of interaction, the

levels of compatibility, the closeness of the relationships

and the degree of commitment that were evident in these

two groups. Due to the similarity of these propositions,

the following more general statement is presented to

exemplify some of the issues that became apparent.

6-10 Example: It was well known that the members

of this ad hoc committee or more colloquially the "Back-

room Boys" knew the same people, met together informally

on regular occasions, knew some members of the government

intimately and in general terms were considered to be

part of the same social circle (cf. Alba, R. D. and Gwen

Moore, 1978).

Similar ideas could be applied to the group known

collectively as the executive assistants or more

colloquially as the "Whizz Kids." Though they were not considered a group to the same extent as the ad hoc committee, propositions 6-10 can be applied equally to this group. They, too, knew the same people, met with each other informally on regular occasions, knew most members of the government intimately, and in general terms were considered to constitute their own particular and separate social circle.

11. The greater the need for the generation of new ideas, the more likely it is for an inside group to under-take this task.

Example: Members of the Executive Assistants and Special Consultants who were interviewed were almost unanimous in their comment that the new policies could not have been initiated and implemented without utilizing a dedicated inside group of party workers, such as them-selves, who produced most of the ideas and statements relating to the new policy approaches. It was strongly hinted that there was, in fact, even a more exclusive circle within this group, i.e., only some of the assistants were really privy to all the key decision making that took place.

12. The more successful the formulation of the policy, the greater the degree of commitment by those directly engaged in the policy formulation.

Example: It was self-evident to members of both groups, mainly the executive assistants and to a lesser extent the ad hoc committee, that the productivity of these groups was directly related to the extent of government reliance on their expertise and the cabinet's approval and appreciation of their accomplishments. Members of both groups who were interviewed referred to the long and irregular hours which they worked to complete projects.

Propositions emphasizing the key participants. The following propositions still represent, in particular, generalizations relating to perceptions of the key participants. This time, however, the propositions reflect the personal characteristics of the members of the key ad hoc committee.

13. The more cosmopolite the key participants involved in the policy-making process, the more likely it is that they would succeed in formulating the proposed policy.

Example: The members of this ad hoc committee, and to a lesser extent the executive assistants, were known provincially, nationally and internationally. Therefore it could be reasonably assumed that any new policy venture with which they were associated would be successful.

14. The more upwardly mobile the key participants, and the greater degree of controversy in formulating new policy, the more likely it is that key participants would be prepared to modify their own demands and engage in political bargaining and trade offs.

Example: This proposition can be exemplified by the decisions which related to the Alberta Academy and to some extent the ecumenical university. Neither of these proposed institutions came to fruition, for those who were concerned with their promotion were quick to realize that they were likely to be outvoted in committee, but perhaps more important the proposers of these ideas were mollified to the extent that the ideas which they were proposing were eventually incorporated in the new institution.

15. The more the key participants in the policy-making process are held in high regard by informed observers, the greater the chance such proposals have of final acceptance by government.

Example: It was generally agreed that the Deputy Ministers, the Chairmen of the various commissions and the HRRC were held in reasonably high regard with respect to the courses of action that they were proposing. Some deans, professors, clergy and members of society who chose to articulate their thoughts approved the efforts

that were being made. As there was so little apparent overt opposition, the government was thus more inclined to heed the advice being offered by their key policy makers.

The following propositions, emphasizing the aspect of social conscience, have been included for further study and examination, though the evidence upon which they are based is tentative. Some observers would classify the premises on which these propositions are based as examples of conjecture. Nevertheless, the basic ideas expressed in the following two propositions were developed during the study and are considered worthy of further investigation.

Propositions emphasizing the aspect of social conscience. These propositions represent in particular generalizations relating to the modus operandi, first of the Social Credit party and then of the Progressive Conservative party, as perceived in this investigation.

16. The more a political party chose to emphasize the aspect of social conscience in its party platform, the more likely it would be that the party would utilize a conceptual framework in its problem solving rather than parties which did not specify a social conscience approach in their platform.

Example: In this situation the Social Credit party was perceived to be a conservative party, but a party with a strong social conscience and a highly developed social welfare program. To achieve these broad aims, it was considered necessary by party functionaries that there should be some type of master plan or conceptual framework within which to plan a detailed program. (cf. Manning, E. C., A White Paper on Human Resources Development, 1976b.)

17. The more a political party chose not to specify a social conscience approach in its problem solving, the more likely it would be to adopt an ad hoc approach to sensitive political issues and problems.

Example: The present PC Government which has strong electoral support, and adequate material resources, does not need to develop broad frameworks within which to plan future strategies. The party merely needs to react to the various sensitive areas and issues as these arise and thus satisfy the electorate.

Part II: Three Type Classification
Scheme of Policy Making

Following the proposals of (1) Homans (1950:16) who stated that in theory building the researcher should first look at the obvious and then state it in its full generality, (2) Becker (1958) who proposed that the observer should design a descriptive model which best

explained the data he had assembled, (3) Hage (1972) who favoured the construction of syntheses combining different theories and ideas with each other, (4) Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser (1978) who argued that theory should be grounded in data and should proceed from a substantive level to a general substantive level and finally to a formal stage, the following three-type composite model of policy making has been developed (see Figure 11, p. 156).

The model consists of three distinct components: (1) An Input Grouping which consists of elite groups, influential persons and informal networks whose total effectiveness depends upon the relationship between a receptive environment and the precipitating factors which have been outlined in Chapter 4. (2) A Theoretical Screen through which the ideas from this initial grouping are then processed. The screen is based on the conceptualizations of ten researchers in the area of policy analysis. Each of these researchers seems to perceive that policy emerges in a particular way. (3) Three Basic Policy Types: while a useful conceptual framework may be developed by utilizing the above theoretical base, a more pragmatic approach incorporating a three-type classification scheme is now presented for consideration.

On the basis of all the information which was obtained in the study: (1) mainly from the forty-five

interviews and the associated analysis of supporting documents; and (2) partly from a synthesis of research of selected policy analysts, it now seems as if the policy-making processes can be categorized into three basic types.

Policy Making Type A: These are in every sense bona fide policies characterized by a degree of candour, honesty and scrupulousness. They are essentially unambiguous and sincere.

Policy Making Type B: These are the policies of expedience or opportunism. They are characterized by a pragmatic and a contrived approach to policy issues.

Policy Making Type C: These are the policies of appeasement which are characterized by a degree of moderation, mollification and conciliation.

A more detailed analysis of these three policy types follows.

Type A: Bona Fide Policy Decisions are the result of justifiable pressures in society. They are decisions which would be difficult to challenge on any ground. The initial decision to establish Athabasca University would be an example of a Type A policy decision. This decision was based on projections in student enrolment, since proved to be incorrect, that another university was necessary.

Other examples of bona fide policy decisions follow. (1) The response a government makes when faced with an overall increase in student numbers. Usually this means an increase in financial allocations which then result in the provision of extra places and an increase in staff. (2) The decision to provide foreign language instruction to particular ethnic and minority groups who make such a request. This decision could be justified on the grounds of social justice and equity. (3) The decision to increase provincial housing grants to selected Indian reserves where an increase in infant mortality rates has been experienced due in part to poor housing conditions. (4) The decision to provide old age security and unemployment insurance programs. .

Type B: Policy Decisions which are Politically Expedient or Opportunist. These are decisions which could be challenged. They are usually prompted by a desire to allay strong local demands in particularly sensitive areas. For example, some self-interest groups may demand economic advantages from government, particularly if the government is seen to be generous in providing economic benefits to other groups. While there did not appear to be any obvious examples of opportunist or expedient policy making in the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University, some of those interviewed who would have been in a knowledgeable position stated that the establishment

of the University of Lethbridge can be placed in this category. Contrary to all expert advice, the Social Credit premier chose to establish Alberta's third provincial university at Lethbridge on purely political grounds. Some arguments proposed in the current debate (1979) on the energy resource situation in Canada could also be categorized as spurious, equivocal or politically expedient.

Another example of an opportunist or politically expedient policy came to light in dramatic fashion after the May 1979 Federal election. Ronald Atkey now the PC MP for the St. Paul's Riding, Toronto was opposed to sitting Liberal John Roberts. During the course of the election campaign it was considered politically advantageous to announce that Canada would recognise Jerusalem instead of Tel Aviv as the capital of Israel. This announcement designed to influence particular voters, may have had the desired effect. The final figures were: Atkey (PC) 19,507, Roberts (L) 18,065, Lockyer (NDP) 5,923. Atkey won by 1,442 votes and was subsequently appointed Minister of Employment and Immigration. The announcement concerning Canada's recognition of Jerusalem has since been described in the following terms: a combination of diplomatic naivety, ineptness, tactlessness and political expediency and opportunism. Subsequent statements June 7, 1979 and July 4, 1979 by the present Prime Minister confirmed that a serious error of judgement had been made in

announcing that Canada would recognise Jerusalem.

The fact remains that at the time prior to the May 1979 election it was considered politically expedient to make this announcement concerning Canada's recognition of Jerusalem. Thus a sufficient number of voters in the St. Paul's riding were believed to be influenced and Atkey replaced Roberts.

Type C: Policies of Appeasement, Moderation or Conciliation. Other policy decisions may be categorized as policies of appeasement, moderation, mollification or conciliation. There are clearly some situations when a government could have moved in any of three different directions. A government could complete a project, terminate a project, or follow a middle of the road course and set up a pilot project.

According to Mansbridge (1978:320) this classical dilemma of governments is exposed when a new program has been launched in the form of a pilot project. Mansbridge (1978:320) stated that it was rare to see an evaluation conclude with the recommendation that a project should be discontinued once it had been instigated.

An example of this kind of policy in the current study is illustrated by Order in Council 1986/72, December 20, 1972. The order:

empowers and authorizes Athabasca University
Interim Governing Authority to undertake a pilot
project for the production, testing and applica-
tion of learning systems to provide study programs
in the arts and sciences leading to an under-
graduate degree, and for the application of
technology and new procedures to improve
educational opportunities for adults generally.

One other example of this type of policy outside
the scope of the present study came to light June 23,
1979 with the announcement that Robert Stanfield would
head a fact-finding mission into the Tel Aviv/Jerusalem
affair. In making this announcement it could be assumed
that the Minister of Employment and Immigration, Ronald
Atkey, was still concerned with trying to appease his
constituents. It might be argued that this action also
demonstrated the minister's inability to separate politics
from administration.

A Final Comment on Some of the Methodological Problems in this Study

The researcher feels obliged, at this point in the
study, to indicate that three caveats, at least, should be
observed.

First Caveat: The Nature of the Data

The very nature of the qualitative data utilized in
this study presents problems for scholars more familiar
with the quasi-scientific methodologies adopted in

contemporary social science research. The initial data

for this study were obtained from interviewing people who

were knowledgeable about the genesis and evolution of

Athabasca University. This aspect presented some real

difficulties and problems, for while the great majority

of those approached for information were willing to talk

and reminisce about the events of ten years ago, these

same people were somewhat reluctant to have particular

items of information attributed to them. They spoke freely

on the assumption and guarantee that their anonymity would

be respected. At the same time, in several cases, the

interviewees readily agreed that the incidents and events

which they were recalling had happened possibly some ten

years earlier and therefore many of them were experiencing

difficulty in remembering the finer points of detail.

These two points should therefore be emphasized:

(1) the limitations placed upon the researcher by the

interviewees insisting on some degree of anonymity; and

(2) the interviewees themselves sometimes having difficulty

in recalling events which had occurred ten years earlier.

Therefore as a guarantee for the authenticity of the

information contained in the narrative of this thesis,

the raw data, i.e., the tape recordings of those interviewed,

the files and the majority of documents are held in the

Department of Educational Administration for a period of

five years. A researcher who seeks additional information

or verification of the information contained in the thesis
will be granted access on the condition that he also will
respect the confidentiality and anonymity of the persons
concerned.

Second Caveat: The Limitations
of the Findings

The generalizations produced in the study do have
severe limitations. In fact, this researcher found him-
self referring to examples unrelated to this study in order
to provide more substantive support for postulating policy
types B and C. Hence, some critics would classify several
of the generalizations only as examples of conjecture
based on limited qualitative data. Whilst agreeing in
part only to this criticism, this researcher does grant
that the propositions should be subjected to more rigorous
examination either by a replication of the study or
preferably by undertaking a similar study into the
genesis and evolution of another institution.

Third Caveat: The Use of the
Grounded-Theory Approach in
Relation to this Study

A criticism could be related to the use of the
grounded-theory approach. This methodology was originally
intended to be utilized by a researcher on the basis of
the direct observation of his subject(s). In this study,
no such direct observation was possible; the researcher

was relying purely on a second hand approach to information/data gathering. The researcher had to rely on information which was obtained from a number of cooperative participants. It is conceded that the direct participant observer technique may be more productive in generating a grounded theory than the indirect method adopted for this study. It is conceded also, that there may be weaknesses in the utilization and application of the grounded-theory technique as described in the study. The researcher would argue that while advocating generally in favour of the strategy of generating grounded theory, he admits some reservations about the use of the grounded-theory method in this study. For example, the fact that no direct observation of the events and incidents was possible, the researcher instead had to work through a third party and elicit information from them. Second, it is quite possible that similar findings might have been produced without utilizing the grounded-theory method at all. Another research procedure culminating in generalizations from the analysis of a single case study might have produced identical results.

On the other hand, a replication of this study using the same inductive approach might result in a set of postulations which could be at variance with those expressed in this study. One reason why this could be the case is that the "theoretical screen" was internalized by

this researcher and not made explicit in the text.

Summary

The emphasis in this chapter was on the development of some generalizations and conclusions. These were presented in the form of propositions, and a policy classification scheme. They were based on an analysis of the identified events in the investigation. It was the intention in developing these two ideas that it would then facilitate a more comprehensive appreciation of the policy-making process.

The idea was expressed in this chapter that the most important decisions seemed to have been made by elite groups, influential persons and informal networks even before the issue reached the legislature. Similar conclusions to this were reached by Zeigler and Tucker (1978) in studies they carried out in the United States. The concept of elitism was analyzed according to Mills (1956) and Trudeau (1968). The study could be viewed (following Stein, 1968) as one which combined pure power political relationships and the more inclusive socio-political patterns of action. According to Stein (1968) this type of approach included an analysis of the informal political structure within a political system.

Some theoretical generalizations were developed and

presented in the following form: (a) seventeen proposi-
tions which could become the bases of further research and
study in the area of policy making; and (b) a model which
was based on a synthesis of all the information obtained
in the study.

In brief, the model could be described as an
attempt to describe the social reality of the situation.
It was proposed in this model that three basic types pre-
dominated in the policy-making process: Type A exemplified
by bona fide policies; Type B exemplified by opportunist
or expedient policies; and Type C exemplified by policies
of appeasement.

It is the opinion of this writer that the establish-
ment of Athabasca University represents an example of a
Type A policy decision. It was indicated by the evidence
obtained in the investigation that those people respon-
sible for the university's establishment were motivated
by justifiable pressures in society.

In conclusion, a final comment concerning some of
the methodological problems was presented. These were
summarized in the form of three caveats for future readers.
The caveats took the form of a cautionary note about:
(1) the nature of the qualitative data; (2) the limita-
tions of the findings; and (3) a proviso about the use of
the grounded-theory approach in relation to this study.

Chapter 6

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION, IMPLICATIONS AND
SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

There were two distinct problem areas in this study.

1. To identify and describe the specific factors
that were associated with the genesis and evolution of
Athabasca University to April 1978 when it was finally
approved as a permanent self-governing post-secondary
institution.

2. To develop some generalizations and conclusions,
based on an analysis of the identified events which would
then facilitate an understanding of the policy-making
process.

Theoretical Context and Methodology

The study was concerned partly with the generation
of substantive grounded theory related to the policy-
making process. By focusing on a substantive area (see
Figure 2, p. 63) theoretical statements and propositions
were generated. This process was carried out by a
comparative analysis of selected aspects of the four stages
of development.

As illustrated by Figure 3, p. 74 the particular

sociological perspective within which the study was undertaken was ill defined at first. While some of the information relating to the study was well known, there were other pockets of information, usually in isolation, which surfaced as the investigation proceeded, and these had to be fitted into the total picture. As the study proceeded (see Figure 1, p. 10) in the way Glaser (1968) had outlined, substantive theory was developed in the form of propositions and a three-type classification scheme of policy making.

This study should therefore be viewed as a progressive building up of facts through a substantive level to a more general substantive theoretical level. To arrive at this level of theory generation many facts had to be obtained through an ethnographic/direct data collection process. (cf. Glaser, 1967:35, 1968:5; 1978:147)

These operations of: (1) data gathering; (2) data analysis; and (3) conceptual integration were carried on simultaneously. Both Glaser (1966) and Rist (1979) have described in detail the way in which these three processes are carried out. They would agree that in qualitative work of this type there tends to be a continual blurring and intermingling of all three operations from beginning till end. There was no clear cut division between the data

collection process and the data analysis stage.

The strategy of comparative analysis applied to the four stages of development (see Figure 2, p. 63) was considered to be essential to this study. By an analysis of these four stages, theoretical propositions, the theoretical screen (see Figure 11, p. 156) and the three types of policy were developed.

Detailed problem statements are not considered prerequisite to field research in general and as occurred in this study, and predicted by Schatzman and Strauss (1973), the problem statements tended to come into focus more clearly as the study progressed.

The grounded-theory approach was the methodology adopted in the study. Following the approach of Glaser and Strauss (1967) and Glaser (1978) data were obtained from: (1) the forty-five semi-structured interviews; (2) observations; and (3) a detailed analysis of supporting documents. This combination of data gathering processes resulted in the empirical generation of the propositions and the classification scheme. The purpose of the grounded-theory approach was not to give a complete coverage of the area in a descriptive logico-deductive sense, but rather it was more concerned with the explanation of social phenomena in terms appropriate to the particular substantive area.

The grounded-theory approach can be justified on the grounds that linkages between: (1) theory; (2) research; and (3) practice can be adequately demonstrated. It is proposed here that social science research and theory can be related more usefully to a problem when related to the data by the conceptual link of a grounded-theory approach. It is the opinion of this writer that there is a need to promote inductive research such as carried out in this study as a legitimate means of enquiry. Some bias can still be observed which might seem to favour the deductive experimental designs as a preferred form of research. Rist (1979) and Guba (1979a, 1979b) have argued convincingly that there is an increasing interest in and a demand for qualitative/ethnographic studies.

Summary of the Major Outcomes

A brief summary of the major outcomes and findings of this study into the policy-making processes now follows. It could be assumed that in any future study into a similar specific educational policy-making issue the following phenomena could be observed.

Summary of Findings Related to the First Subproblem: The identification and description of specific factors associated with the genesis and evolution of Athabasca University to April 1978.

1. <u>An Introductory Period of Key Events</u>: It is reasonable to expect that in any major policy issue there would be a preliminary series of events or incidents leading up to the major issue. It would be necessary to identify and analyze these events which could be perceived as providing a framework within which the intellectual and emotional climate required for the successful implementation of the policy could develop.

2. <u>Elite Groups, Influential Persons and Informal Networks</u>: It is also reasonable to expect that in any major policy issue some people would be perceived to be the influentials in shaping this policy. They could be seen to be operating overtly as an elite group or alternatively they might operate in a covert manner as an informal network. In either event these groups would be seen to be the key opinion makers. It is considered an essential part of the study that these groups should be identified.

3. <u>Discernible Stages in the Progression of the Policy</u>: In this study four distinct stages were clearly discernible and these have been categorized as follows: (a) a period of euphoria; (b) a moratorium; (c) a period of acceptance; and (d) a period of endorsement. It is plausible to assume that in future studies of this type stages similar to those already mentioned would emerge. The terminology might vary but it could be presumed there

would still be periods of great enthusiasm for a proposed
policy which would be followed by periods of reflection.
This pattern could be expected to continue until the
policy was finally accepted.

4. Perceived Differences in Leadership and
Administrative Styles: One of the major interests in this
study was a comparative analysis of differences in leader-
ship styles among selected key personnel. It could be
assumed that in any similar studies an obvious grouping
for such a comparative analysis would manifest itself.
In this study the focus of attention was mainly on differ-
ences that were perceived to exist in the way the
various ministers and deputy ministers carried out their
roles in the four stages of the university's development.
The strategy of this internal comparative analytic approach
in this type of study was considered essential in generating
information for a fuller understanding and appreciation of
the policy-making phenomena.

Summary of Findings Related to the Second Subproblem:
The development of some generalizations which would
facilitate an understanding of the policy-making process.

5. The Generation of Theoretical Statements: One
of the major outcomes of the study was the generation of
theoretical statements. Propositions were developed
relating to significant aspects of the investigation:

(1) propositions leading up to the introduction of an
innovation; (2) propositions relating to the professional
activities of ad hoc committees; (3) propositions
relating to the personal characteristics of key
participants; and (4) propositions relating to the modus
operandi of political parties. It was suggested that
these propositions could be considered for future
investigations into the policy-making process.

6. A Three-Type Typology Proposed for the Interpretation of the Data: In this study it was argued that
resulting from an interaction of: (a) certain precipitating factors; (b) a receptive environment; and (c) the
activities of identified elite groups, influential
persons and informal networks, it was possible to isolate
and describe three distinct types of policy making. This
process was initiated and developed within the theoretical
framework which the researcher developed. It was suggested
that by (1) superimposing this proposed typology on any
future public policy or educational policy decision, and
(2) allowing the public access to this information, to
which they are entitled if the democratic process is to be
at all credible, the quality of such policy making could be
expected to improve.

Suggestions for Further Research.

1. A similar study could be undertaken into the
genesis and evolution of the University of Lethbridge.
According to informed sources the establishment of this
third provincial university was based to a great extent
on political and not educational grounds. This thesis
could be verified in the study.

The theory proposed in the present study of investi-
gating policy making could thus be verified, by utilizing
the same approach of: (a) conducting semi-structured
interviews; (b) undertaking some associated observations;
and (c) analyzing supporting documents to see if the
proposed typology of policy making could be replicated in
respect to the establishment of the University of
Lethbridge.

2. A similar study could be undertaken into the
genesis, evolution and eventual demise of the HRRC. The
rationale for the establishment of the numerous crown
agencies or commissions could be analyzed and included in
this study.

3. Another study could take the form of a compre-
hensive investigation into the origins and genesis of:
(a) the HRRC; (b) the Universities Commission; (c) the
Colleges Commission; and (d) the Commission on Educational

Planning. Reasons for the abolition of these commissions could be investigated. The study could also include an appreciation of the present role and function of the Department of Advanced Education and Manpower.

4. A study could be undertaken into the various changes in policy direction that have occurred within Alberta in education during the last twenty-five years. These changes in direction and the different emphases that have been observed in Alberta could be identified and some projections for likely future developments could be made.

5. A study could be undertaken into the politico-social consequences of open university programs on rural areas in Alberta. Other questions such as: (a) a considera-tion of the implications for the province in funding open university programs; (b) a study of the ideological under-pinnings of the political parties whose activities resulted in the establishment of Athabasca; and (c) a comparative analysis into the effectiveness of open univer-sity programs in Canada, Australia and England could also be considered.

Conclusion

It has been established in this study that from the time the first decision was made to establish a fourth provincial university in Alberta, a period of twelve years, three and one half months elapsed. This period extended from December 20, 1966 to the time when the government finally approved Athabasca University as a permanent self-governing, post-secondary institution April 12, 1978.

While it is appreciated that another party was elected to govern in that period, and that there were certain extenuating circumstances, mainly in regard to a declining student enrolment, it could well be argued that a period in excess of twelve years does seem too long to make and implement a decision whether or not to establish another post-secondary institution.

It is now apparent that making acceptable public policy, or indeed making prudent public policy particularly in the field of higher education, is becoming more complicated and more difficult. It is proposed in this study that if all major educational decisions were subjected to a closer public scrutiny, even being classified according to the three policy types proposed in this study, the quality of policy making in the area of public education would undoubtedly improve.

If it were possible to examine, analyze and debate
the rationale for major decisions with the express purpose
of identifying those decisions which were clearly:
(1) bona fide; (2) expedient or opportunist; and (3) those
which were policy decisions of appeasement, then it is
strongly argued that the democratic process would function
more effectively. It is believed that only by having a
well informed electorate and exposing the spurious
decision making in the public domain can we hope for an
improvement in the quality of public policy making.

One further example may be used to illustrate this
point more strongly. The Ministerial Statement on Capital
Allocations by the Honourable James D. Horsman, June 15,
1979: Phase II of the University of Lethbridge, expected
to be completed in September 1980, $9.32 million . . .
The present PC government now seems to be inexplicably
locked in to funding this university, but if it could be
assumed that the rationale for the original establishment
of this university by the Social Credit government was a
matter of public knowledge, then some people might choose
to spend this $9.32 million differently.

The final point that can be made in relation to this
study is concerned with the time that this total decision-
making process took. If the public interest is to be
served to advantage, then it should be pointed out that

these types of policy decisions should not take twelve
years to bring about.

While this study was essentially an investigation
into the ways in which the fourth provincial university
evolved, the methodology utilized in the study and some
of the resultant findings should be viewed with caution.
The purpose of the study was two-fold. It was essentially
a narrative in which the university's development is des-
cribed in some detail, and then this is followed by an
attempt to draw inferences from this material about the
policy-making processes in general. However, there are
inherent difficulties in obtaining and processing qualita-
tive data of the type used in this study. These difficul-
ties include the following: (1) the constraints imposed
upon the researcher by the interviewees, (2) the limita-
tions of the findings and (3) the efficacy of the grounded-
theory approach in obtaining and analyzing data for a study
of this nature in the manner used by this researcher.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

195

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Alba, Richard D. and Gwen Moore
   1978   "Elite social circles." Sociological Methods and
          Research, Special Issue on Applied Network
          Analysis, 7(2), November.

Alberta
   1970   Revised Statutes, vol. 6. (The Universities Act,
          c. 378).

Alberta. Alberta Universities Commission
   1968   Annual Report, 1966-67. Edmonton: Queen's
          Printer.

   1971   Annual Report, 1969-70. Edmonton: Queen's
          Printer.

   1972   Annual Report, 1970-71. Edmonton: Queen's
          Printer.

   1974   Annual Report, 1972-73. Edmonton: Queen's
          Printer.

Alberta. Commission on Educational Planning
   1972   A Choice of Futures. Report of the Commission on
          Educational Planning (the Worth Report). Edmonton:
          Queen's Printer.

Alberta. Survey Committee on Higher Education
   1965   Third Interim Report. Edmonton: Queen's Printer.

Alberta School Trustees' Association
   1976   Policy on Policy Making. PAKit No. 7. A Policy
          Advisory Kit prepared by the Policy Advisory
          Service.

Almond, Gabriel A. and G. Bingham Powell, Jr.
   1966   Comparative Politics: A Developmental Approach.
          Boston: Little, Brown and Company.

   1978   Comparative Politics: A Developmental Approach
          (2nd ed.). Boston: Little, Brown and Company.

Angus, James Thomas
   1968   "The Process of Organized Negotiation: A Study
          of the Issue of Evaluation of Teacher Qualifica-
          tions for Salary Purposes." Unpublished doctoral
          dissertation. Edmonton: University of Alberta.

Athabasca University. Governing Authority
   1971   Athabasca University: Academic Concept.
           Edmonton: Athabasca University.

   1972   Athabasca University: An Experiment in Practical
           Planning. Edmonton: Athabasca University,
           October.

   1977   Athabasca University: A Framework for Develop-
           ment. Edmonton: Athabasca University.

Bailyn, Lottee
   1977   "Research as a cognitive process: Implications
           for data analysis." Quality and Quantity,
           11(2), June.

Baker, C. Richard
   1977   "Management strategy in a larger accounting firm."
           The Accounting Review, 52(3), July.

Baldridge, J. Victor, et al.
   1978   Policy Making and Effective Leadership: A
           National Study of Academic Management. San
           Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Ball, Richard A.
   1970   "Social change and power structure: An
           Appalachian case." In John D. Photiadis and
           Harry K. Schwarzweller (eds.), Change in Rural
           Appalachia. Philadelphia: University of
           Pennsylvania Press. Pp. 147-166.

   1977   "Equitable evaluation through investigative
           sociology." Sociological Focus, 10(1), January.

Barker, R. G. and H. F. Wright
   1955   Midwest and its children. New York: Harper and
           Row.

Barr, John J.
   1974   The Dynasty: The Rise and Fall of Social Credit
           in Alberta. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart.

Barr, John J. and Owen Anderson (eds.)
   1971   The Unfinished Revolt: Some Views on Western
           Independence. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart.

Bauer, Raymond A. and Kenneth J. Gergen (eds.)
   1968   The Study of Policy Formation. New York: The
           Free Press.

Becker, Howard S.
    1958   "Problems of inference and proof in participant
            observation." American Sociological Review, 28.

Benveniste, Guy
    1972   The Politics of Expertise. Berkeley: Glendessary
            Press.

Bettison, David G.
    1975   The Politics of Canadian Urban Development.
            Human Resources Research Council, University
            of Alberta.

Bettison, David G., John K. Kenward and Larrie Taylor
    1975   Urban Affairs in Alberta. Human Resources
            Research Council, University of Alberta.

Blau, Peter
    1955   The Dynamics of Bureaucracy. Chicago:
            University of Chicago Press.

Bossert, Steven T.
    1977   "Tasks, group management and teacher control
            behavior: A study of classroom organization and
            teacher style." School Review, 85(4), August.

Boyd, William Lowe
    1976   "The public, the professionals and educational
            policy making: Who governs?" Teachers College
            Record, 77, May.

    1978   "The changing politics of curriculum policy
            making for American schools." Review of
            Educational Research, 48(4), Fall.

Braungart, Richard G.
    1978   "Multinational corporations: New dimensions in
            community power." Sociological Symposium
            Potpourri, 24, Fall.

Breneman, David W. and Chester E. Finn, Jr. (eds.)
    1978   Public Policy and Private Higher Education.
            Studies in Higher Education Policy. Washington,
            D.C.: The Brookings Institute.

Brewer, Gary D. and Ronald D. Brunner (eds.)
    1975   A Policy Approach to the Study of Political
            Development and Change. New York: The Free
            Press.

Broadfoot, Tricia
    1978   Review of Pierre Bourdieu and Jean-Claude
            Passeron, Education, Society and Culture, 1977.
            Comparative Education, 14(1), March.

Bruno, James Edward
    1976   Educational Policy Analysis. New York: Crane,
            Russak.

Budd, Richard W., Robert K. Thorp and Louis Donohew
    1967   Content Analysis of Communication. New York:
            Macmillan.

Burt, Ronald S.
    1978   "Applied network analysis: An overview."
            Sociological Methods and Research, Special
            Issue on Applied Network Analysis, 7(2),
            November.

Byrne, Noel
    1978   "Sociotemporal considerations of everyday life
            suggested by an empirical study of the bar
            milieu." Urban Life, 6(4), January.

Campbell, Roald F. and Tim L. Mazzoni, Jr.
    1976   State Policy Making for the Public Schools.
            Berkeley: McCutchan.

Carrier, John and Ian Kendall
    1977   "The development of welfare states: The produc-
            tion of plausible accounts." Journal of Social
            Policy, 6(3).

Castetter, William B. and Richard S. Heisler
    1977   Developing and Defending a Dissertation Proposal.
            University of Pennsylvania.

Charters, W. W. Jr.
    1953   "Social class analysis and the control of public
            education." Harvard Educational Review, 23(4),
            Autumn.

Cistone, Peter J.
    1977   "Educational policy making." The Educational
            Forum, Kappa Delta Pi, XLII(1), November.

Clark, Robert C.
    1970   "An Alberta policy statement: Post secondary
            education until 1972." Education Department
            Alberta, Archives No. 58, January.

200

Cohen, David K. and Michael S. Garet
   1975   "Reforming educational policy with applied
        research." _Harvard Educational Review_, 45(1),
        February.

Connelly, M. P. and Linda Christansen-Ruffinan
   1977   "Women's problems: Private trends or public
        issues?" _Canadian Journal of Sociology_, 2(2).

Cronbach, Lee Joseph and Richard E. Snow
   1977   _Aptitudes and Instructional Methods or Handbook_
        for Research on Interactions. New York: Halsted
        Press.

Curtis, Bernard and Wolfe Mays (eds.)
   1978   _Phenomenology and Education: Self-consciousness_
        and its Development. London: Methuen.

Dahl, Robert A.
   1961   _Who Governs?_ New Haven, Conn.: Yale University
        Press.

Dawson, Judith A.
   1979   "Validity in Qualitative Inquiry." Paper read
        at the American Educational Research Association
        convention, April, San Francisco, California.

Deblois, Claude
   1979   "Challenge to administrative theory." _The_
        Canadian Administrator, XVIII(8), May.

Denton, David E.
   1979   "Concepts and Strategies of Phenomenological
        Research." Paper read at the American Educational
        Research Association convention, April,
        San Francisco, California.

Dexter, L. A.
   1970   _Elite and Specialized Interviewing._ Evanston:
        Northwestern University Press.

Downey, L. W.
   1972   _Alberta 1971: Toward a Social Audit._ A Report
        to the People of Alberta about the Quality of
        Life in Alberta. Edmonton, Alberta: Human
        Resources Research Council.

Downey, L. W. Research Associates Ltd.
   1975   _The Athabasca University Pilot Project._ Edmonton,
        Alberta: L. W. Downey Research Associates Ltd.

Dror, Yehezkel
    1967   "Policy analyst: A new professional role in
           government." Public Administration Review,
           27(3), September.

    1968   Public Policymaking Reexamined. San Francisco:
           Chandler.

    1970   "Prolegomena to policy sciences." Policy
           Sciences, 1(1), Spring.

Dunn, William N. and Frederic W. Swierczek
    1977   "Planned organizational change: Toward grounded
           theory." The 1976 Douglas McGregor Memorial
           Award Winning Paper. The Journal of Applied
           Behavioural Science, 13(2).

Dye, Thomas R.
    1975   Understanding Public Policy (2nd ed.). Englewood
           Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

    1976   Policy Analysis: What Governments Do, Why
           They Do It, and What Difference It Makes.
           University: University of Alabama Press.

    1978   Understanding Public Policy (3rd ed.). Englewood
           Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

Easton, David
    1965a A Framework for Political Analysis. Englewood
           Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

    1965b A Systems Analysis of Political Life. New York:
           John Wiley and Sons.

Eckstein, Harry
    1975   "Case study and theory in political science."
           In F. I. Greenstein and N. W. Polsby (eds.),
           Strategies of Inquiry. Handbook of Political
           Science, Volume 7. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley.

Elboim-Dror, R.
    1970   "Some characteristics of the education policy
           formation system." Policy Sciences, I, 231-253.

Eldridge, J. E. T. and A. D. Crombie
    1974   A Sociology of Organizations. London: George
           Allen and Unwin.

Elliott, Richard J.
    1979   "Phenomenology: Knowing and Teaching." Paper
           read at the American Educational Research
           Association convention, April, San Francisco,
           California.

Feyerabend, Paul and Grover Maxwell (eds.)
    1966   Mind, Matter and Method: Essays in Philosophy
        and Science in Honour of Herbert Feigl.
        Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press.

Forcese, Dennis P. and Stephen Richer
    1973   Social Research Methods. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.:
        Prentice-Hall.

Freeman, Howard E. (ed.)
    1978   Policy Sciences Review Annual. Volume 2.
        Beverly Hills: Sage.

Friedrich, Carl J.
    1963   Man and His Government. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Furlong, V. A. and A. D. Edwards
    1977   "Language in classroom interaction: Theory and
        data." Educational Research, 19(2), February.

Gage, N. L. (ed.)
    1963   Handbook of Research on Education. Chicago:
        Rand McNally.

Garms, Walter I., James W. Guthrie and Laurence C. Pierce
    1978   School Finance: The Economics and Politics of
        Public Education. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.:
        Prentice-Hall.

Gehrke, Nathalie J.
    1979   "A Grounded Theory Study of Teacher Role Person-
        alization." Paper read at the American
        Educational Research Association convention,
        April, San Francisco, California.

Gershuny, J. I.
    1978   "Policymaking rationality: A reformation."
        Policy Sciences, 9(3), June.

Glaser, Barney G.
    1963   "The local-cosmopolitan scientist." American
        Journal of Sociology, 69(3), November.

    1978   Theoretical Sensitivity: Advances in the
        Methodology of Grounded Theory. Mill Valley,
        California: The Sociology Press.

Glaser, Barney G. (ed.)
    1968   Organizational Careers: A Sourcebook for Theory.
        Chicago: Aldine.

Glaser, Barney G. and Anselm L. Strauss
    1966   "The purpose and credibility of qualitative
            research." Nursing Research, 15(1), Winter.

    1967   The Discovery of Grounded Theory Strategies for
            Qualitative Research. Chicago: Aldine.

Gorden, Raymond L.
    1975   Interviewing: Strategies, Techniques and Tactics.
            Homewood, Illinois: The Dorsey Press.

Gray, Virginia
    1973   "Innovation in the States." American Political
            Science Review, 67, December, 1174-1185.

Greenfield, William D.
    1977   "Administrative candidacy: A process of new-role
            learning—Part I." The Journal of Educational
            Administration, XV(1), May.

Greenstein, Fred I. and Nelson W. Polsby (eds.)
    1975   Strategies of Inquiry. Handbook of Political
            Science, Volume 7. Reading, Mass.: Addison-
            Wesley.

Grumm, J. G.
    1975   "The analysis of policy impact." In F. I.
            Greenstein and N. W. Polsby (eds.), Policies
            and Policymaking. Handbook of Political Science,
            Volume 6. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

Grusky, Oscar and George A. Miller (eds.)
    1970   The Sociology of Organizations: Basic Studies.
            New York: The Free Press.

Guba, Egon G.
    1978   Toward a Methodology of Naturalistic Inquiry in
            Educational Evaluation. CSE Monograph Series in
            Evaluation, 8. Los Angeles: Center for the
            Study of Evaluation, University of California.

    1979a   "Methodological Rigor of Qualitative Inquiry."
            Paper read at the American Educational Research
            Association convention, April, San Francisco,
            California.

    1979b   "Understanding Education through Qualitative Ways
            of Knowing: Five Methodological Constructs."
            Paper read at the American Educational Research
            Association convention, April, San Francisco,
            California.

Hage, Jerald
   1972   Techniques and Problems of Theory Construction in
           Sociology. New York: Wiley.

Hall, A. D. and R. E. Fagen
   1956   "Definition of a system." In L. von Bertalanffy
           and A. Rapoport (eds.), General Systems (Year-
           book for the Advancement of General Systems
           Theory), Vol. 1: 18-28. Brown and Brunfield.

Hargreaves, D. H.
   1977   "The process and typification in classroom inter-
           action: Models and methods." British Journal of
           Educational Psychology, 47.

Hofferbert, R. I.
   1974   The Study of Public Policy. Indianapolis: Bobbs-
           Merrill.

Holmes, Owen G.
   1972   Come Hell or High Water. Lethbridge, Alberta:
           The Lethbridge Herald.

Holsti, Ole R.
   1969   Content Analysis for the Social Sciences and the
           Humanities. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

Homans, George C.
   1950   The Human Group. New York: Harcourt, Brace.

Housego, Ian Edward
   1964   "How a Decision was Made: A Study of the Teacher
           Training Issue in Saskatchewan." Unpublished
           doctoral dissertation. Edmonton: University of
           Alberta.

Hughes, John F. (ed.)
   1975   Education and the State. Washington, D.C.:
           American Council on Education.

Hunter, Floyd
   1953   Community Power Structure: A Study of Decision
           Makers. Chapel Hill: University of North
           Carolina Press.

Iannacone, Laurence
   1970   "State politics of education." In Michael W.
           Kirst (ed.), The Politics of Education at the
           Local, State and Federal Levels. Berkeley,
           Calif.: McCutchan.

Immegart, Glenn L. and Francis J. Pilecki
    1973   An Introduction to Systems for the Educational
            Administrator. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

Irving, John A.
    1974   The Social Credit Movement in Alberta. Toronto:
            University of Toronto Press.

Isaac, Stephen and William B. Michael (eds.)
    1977   Handbook in Research and Evaluation for Education
            and the Behavioral Sciences. San Diego: Knapp.

James, William
    1955   Varieties of Religious Experience. New York:
            Modern Library.

Jantsch, E. (ed.)
    1969   Perspectives of Planning. Paris: OECD.

Jenkins, William Ieuan
    1978   Policy Analysis: A Political and Organizational
            Perspective. London: Robertson.

Jennings, Robert E.
    1977   Education and Politics: Policy-Making in Local
            Education Authorities. London: B. T. Batsford
            Ltd.

Johnson, Richard Dale
    1977   "Mount Royal College, A Case Study." Unpublished
            Master's thesis. Edmonton: University of
            Alberta.

Jules, Rosette, Bernnetta
    1978   "Toward a theory of ethnography: The use of
            contrasting interpretive paradigms in field
            research." Sociological Symposium Potpourri,
            24, Fall.

Kadushin, Charles
    1968   "Power, influence and social circles: A new
            methodology for studying opinion makers."
            American Sociological Review, 33(5), October.

Keller, Suzanne
    1963   Beyond the Ruling Class: Strategic Elites in
            Modern Society. New York: Random House.

Kennedy, Mary M.
    1978   "Generalization of Findings from Single Case
            Studies." Paper read at the American Educational
            Research Association convention, March, Toronto,
            Ontario.

Keoyote, Sen
    1973   "Educational Planning in Alberta: A Case Study."
            Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Edmonton:
            University of Alberta.

Kerlinger, Fred N.
    1965   Foundations of Behavioral Research. New York:
            Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

    1973   Foundations of Behavioral Research (2nd ed.).
            New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

    1977   "The influence of research on educational
            practice." Educational Researcher, 6(8),
            September.

Kerr, Donna H.
    1976   Educational Policy, Analysis Structure and
            Justification. New York: David McKay.

Kimbrough, Ralph B.
    1964   Political Power and Educational Decision Making.
            Chicago: Rand McNally.

Kirst, Michael W. (ed.)
    1970   The Politics of Education at the Local, State
            and Federal Levels. Berkeley, Calif.: McCutchan.

Koestler, Arthur
    1964   The Act of Creation. New York: Macmillan.

Kogan, Maurice
    1975   Educational Policy-Making: A Study of Interest
            Groups and Parliament. Hamden, Conn.: Linnet
            Books.

Kuhn, Thomas S.
    1973   The Structure of Scientific Revolutions.
            Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Laslett, Barbara
    1978   "Symposia." Contemporary Sociology, a Journal
            of Reviews, 7(2), March.

Lasswell, Harold D.
    1971   A Pre-View of Policy Sciences. New York:
            American Elsevier.

Leedy, Paul D.
    1974   Practical Research: Planning and Design. New
            York: Macmillan.

Lindblom, Charles E.
    1968   The Policy-Making Process. Englewood Cliffs,
            N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

Lipset, S. M.
    1971   Agrarian Socialism (rev. ed.). Berkeley:
            University of California Press.

Lipset, S. M., Martin A. Trow and James S. Coleman
    1970   "Generalizing from a case study: Problems of
            analysis." In Oscar Grusky and George A.
            Miller (eds.), The Sociology of Organizations:
            Basic Studies. New York: The Free Press.

Lonsdale, Alan J.
    1978   "Judgement research in policy analysis."
            Futures, 10(3), June.

Louis, Meryl Reis
    1977   "How individuals conceptualize conflict:
            Identification of steps in the process and the
            role of personal/developmental factors." Human
            Relations, 30(5), May.

Lutz, Frank W. and Margaret A. Ramsey
    1974   "The use of anthropological field methods in
            education." Educational Researcher, 3(10).

Magoon, A. Jon
    1977   "Constructivist approaches in educational
            research." Review of Educational Research,
            47(4), Fall.

Malinowski, Bronislaw
    1961   Argonauts of the Western Pacific. New York:
            Dutton Paperbacks. (Originally published,
            1922.)

Mansbridge, Stanley H.
    1978   "Of social policy in Alberta: Its management,
            its modification, its evaluation and its making."
            Canadian Public Administration, 21(3), Fall.

Manning, Ernest C.
    1967a   Political Realignment: A Challenge to Thoughtful
            Canadians. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart.

    1967b   A White Paper on Human Resources Development.
            Edmonton: Queen's Printer.

Markle, Alexander G.
    1965   "Genesis of the Lethbridge Public Junior College."
            Unpublished Master's thesis. Edmonton:
            University of Alberta.

Martin, Stewart William
    1968   "A Political Systems Analysis of an Urban School
            Board." Unpublished doctoral dissertation.
            Edmonton: University of Alberta.

Massialas, Byron B.
    1969   Education and the Political System. Reading,
            Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

McCall, G. J. and L. L. Simmons
    1969   Issues in Participant Observation. Reading,
            Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

McIntosh, R. G. and R. C. Bryce (eds.)
    1977   "Conversations with Tim Byrne: Reflections on
            education in Alberta." Challenge in Educational
            Administration in the CSA Bulletin, XV(3 and 4).

McMahon, Walter W.
    1974   Investment in Higher Education. Lexington:
            D. C. Heath.

Meekison, J. Peter (ed.)
    1968   Canadian Federalism: Myth or Reality. Toronto:
            Methuen.

Meltsner, Arnold J.
    1977   "Policy analysts in the bureaucracy." In Stuart
            S. Nagel (ed.), Policy Studies Review Annual,
            1977, Volume 1. Beverley Hills: Sage.

Merleau-Ponty, Maurice
    1973   In Praise of Philosophy. Northwestern
            University Studies in Phenomenology and Existen-
            tial Philosophy. Evanston, Ill.: Northwestern
            University Press.

Michels, Robert
    1959   Political Parties: A Sociological Study of the
            Oligarchical Tendencies of Modern Democracy.
            Translated by Eden and Cedar Paul, 1915. New
            York: Dover Publications.

Mills, C. Wright
    1956   The Power Elite. New York: Oxford University
            Press.

Milstein, Mike M. and Robert E. Jennings
    1973   Educational Policy-Making and the State Legis-
           lature: The New York Experience. New York:
           Praeger Publishers.

Moodie, Graeme C. and Rowland Eustace
    1974   Power and Authority in British Universities.
           Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.

Mosher, Edith K. and Jennings L. Wagoner, Jr.
    1978   The Changing Politics of Education: Prospects
           for the 1980's. A Phi Delta Kappa Publication.
           Berkeley: McCutchan.

Mulhauser, Frederick
    1975   "Ethnology and policy making: The case of
           education." Human Organizations, 34(3).

    1979   'Ethnography and Educational Policy Making."
           Paper presented at the American Educational
           Research Association convention, April, San
           Francisco, California. A revised version of a
           paper presented to the AERA convention, April,
           1974.

Mullen, Patricia Dolan
    1978   "Cutting back after a heart attack: An overview."
           Health Education Monographs, 6(3).

Mullen, Patricia Dolan and Richard Reynolds
    1978   "The potential of grounded theory for health
           education research: Linking theory and practice."
           Health Education Monographs, 6(3).

Myroon, John L.
    1978   Provincial Education Policymaking: Shaping
           Tomorrow's Future or Reliving Yesterday Tomorrow?
           First Congress on Education Conference, Toronto,
           Ontario, June 21. Edmonton: Alberta Labour.

Nagel, Stuart S. (ed.)
    1977   Policy Studies Review Annual. Volume 1.
           Beverley Hills: Sage Publications.

Newell, Clarence A.
    1978   Human Behavior in Educational Administration.
           Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall.

Newman, Peter C.
    1975   The Canadian Establishment. Volume 1. Toronto:
           McClelland and Stewart.

Nova Scotia. Royal Commission on Education
   1974   Public Services and Provincial Municipal
           Relations. Volume III, Education. Halifax,
           N.S.: Queen's Printer.

Nussbaumer, Margaret
   1977   "The Worth Report and Developments in Alberta's
           Post-Secondary Policies and Structures, 1968-
           1976." Unpublished doctoral dissertation.
           Edmonton: University of Alberta.

Ontario. Commission on Post-Secondary Education in Ontario
   1972   The Learning Society. Report of the Commission
           on Post-Secondary Education in Ontario. (The
           Wright Report, the Davis Report) Toronto:
           Ministry of Government Services.

Ontario. Committee on Aims and Objectives of Education
           in the Schools of Ontario.
   1968   Living and Learning. The Report of the Provin-
           cial Committee on Aims and Objectives of
           Education in the Schools of Ontario. (The Hall-
           Dennis Report)

Orenstein, David M. and Paul C. Luken
   1978   "Anarchistic methodology: Methological anti-
           authoritarianism as a resolution to paradigmatic
           disputes in the social sciences." Sociological
           Focus, 11(1), January.

Overholt, George E. and William M. Stallings
   1976   "Ethnographic and experimental hypotheses in
           educational research." Educational Researcher,
           5(8).

Owens, Robert G.
   1978   "Inquiry in Educational Administration: A Time
           for New Directions." Paper read at the UCEA
           Seminar on Methodological Issues in Administrative
           Inquiry, November 6, Bloomington, Indiana.

Pareto, Vilfredo
   1965   The Rise and Fall of Elites. Totowa, N.J.: The
           Bedminster Press.

Pauly, Edward W.
   1978   "Does it really matter?—Educational policy
           research carries on." Public Administration
           Review, 6, November/December.

Petersen, Paul E.
   1976   School Politics Chicago Style. Chicago: The
           University of Chicago Press.

Popper, Karl R.
    1975   The Logic of Scientific Discovery. London:
            Hutchinson.

Price, David E.
    1978   "Policy making in Congressional Committees: The
            impact of 'environmental' factors." The American
            Political Science Review, 72(2), June.

Quade, E. S.
    1977   "Analysis for public decisions." In Stuart S.
            Nagel (ed.), Policy Studies Review Annual, 1977,
            Volume 1. Beverley Hills: Sage. Pp. 21-25.

Québec.   Commission Royale d'Enquete sur l'Enseignment
            dans la Province de Québec.
    1964   Rapport. Deuxième Partie du Rapport, Les
            Structures Pedagogiques du Systeme Scolaire.
            (The Parent Report) Québec.

Ranney, Austin (ed.)
    1968   Political Science and Public Policy. Chicago:
            Markham.

Ratsoy, Eugene W.
    1976   "Policy making in educational organizations."
            The Canadian Administrator, XVI(3), December.

Reynolds, James F.
    1975   "Policy science: A conceptual and methodological
            analysis." Policy Sciences, 6(1), March.

Rickards, T. and B. L. Freedman
    1978   "Procedures for managers in idea-deficient
            situations: An examination of brainstorming
            approaches." Journal of Management Studies,
            15(1), February.

Riffel, J. A. , E. J. Ingram and H. J. Dyck
    1970   The Planning of the Seventies, Planning Education
            for the Decades Ahead: A Mission Proposal.
            Prepared for the Alberta Human Resources Research
            Council.

Riley, Gary L. and J. Victor Baldridge (eds.)
    1977   Governing Academic Organizations: New Problems,
            New Perspectives. Berkeley, California:
            McCutchan Press.

Rist, Ray C.
   1975   "Ethnographic techniques and the study of an
           urban school." <u>Urban Education</u>, X(1), April.

   1977   "On the relations among educational research
           paradigms: From disdain to detente."
           <u>Anthropology and Education Quarterly</u>, 7(2),
           May.

   1979   "Ethnographic Research in the Study of Education:
           An Overview of Practices and Policies." Paper
           read at the American Educational Research
           Association convention, April, San Francisco,
           California.

Salisbury, R. H.
   1968   "The analysis of public policy: A search for
           theories and roles." In A. Ranney (ed.),
           <u>Political Science and Public Policy</u>. Chicago:
           Markham.

Schatzman, Leonard and Anselm L. Strauss
   1973   <u>Field Research: Strategies for a Natural
           Sociology</u>. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-
           Hall.

Scribner, Jay D. (ed.)
   1977   <u>The Politics of Education</u>. The Seventy-sixth
           Yearbook of the National Society for the Study
           of Education. Part II. Chicago: University of
           Chicago Press.

Scriven, M.
   1972   "Objectivity and subjectivity in educational
           research." In Lawrence G. Thomas (ed.),
           <u>Philosophical Redirection of Educational Research</u>
           (Seventy-first Yearbook of the National Society
           for the Study of Education. Part I.) Chicago:
           University of Chicago Press.

Séguin, Jean J.
   1977   "Public Policy Planning in Education: A Case
           Study of Policy Formation for the Early Childhood
           Services Program in Alberta." Unpublished
           doctoral dissertation. Edmonton: University of
           Alberta.

Sereno, Renzo
   1962   <u>The Rulers</u>. New York: Praeger.

Shalala, Donna E., Mary E. Williams and Andrew Fishel (eds.)
    1973   Readings in American Politics and Education.
          New York: MSS Information Corporation.

Shaver, James P.
    1979   "The productivity of educational research and the
          applied-basic research distinction." Educational
          Researcher, 8(1), January.

Shaw, K. E.
    1978   "Understanding the curriculum: The approach
          through case studies." Journal of Curriculum
          Studies, 10(1), January-March.

Sheehan, Bernard S.
    1977   A Financial Plan for Alberta Colleges and
          Universities: Recommendations and Research
          Results. Calgary, Alberta.

Shulman, Lee S. (ed.)
    1978   Review of Research in Education. 5, 1977.
          Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Assoc.

Small, W. P. and U. Krause
    1972   An Introduction to Clinical Research. London:
          Churchill Livingstone.

Smith, Louis M. and William Geoffrey
    1968   The Complexities of an Urban Classroom: An
          Analysis toward a General Theory of Teaching.
          New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Stake, Robert E.
    1978   "The case study method in social inquiry."
          Educational Research, 7(2), February.

Stein, Michael
    1968   "Federal political systems and federal societies."
          In J. Peter Meekison (ed.), Canadian Federalism:
          Myth or Reality? Toronto: Methuen.

Stewart, Alfred Neil
    1968   "The Fort Vermilion Case: A Study of the
          Decision Making Process." Unpublished doctoral
          dissertation. Edmonton: University of Alberta.

Stewart, Andrew
    1966   Special Study on Junior Colleges. Alberta
          Survey Committee on Higher Education.

Strike, Kenneth A.
    1979   "An epistemology of practical research."
          Educational Researcher, 8(1), January.

Stringham, B. L.
1974   "The School Act 1970: A Case Study of Public
        Policy Making in Education." Unpublished
        doctoral dissertation. Edmonton: University
        of Alberta.

Thomas, L. Eugene
1977   "Mid-career changes: Self-selected or externally
        mandated?" The Vocational Guidance Quarterly,
        25(4), June.

Trudeau, Pierre Elliott
1968   "De la vérité et de la liberté en politique:
        Les Canadiens français et le défi féderale."
        [Of truth and freedom in politics: French
        Canadians and the federal challenge.] Speech
        delivered to the members of the Richelieu Club,
        April 2, Montreal. In J. Peter Meekison (ed.),
        Canadian Federalism: Myth or Reality. Toronto:
        Methuen.

Tuckman, Bruce W.
1972   Conducting Educational Research. New York:
        Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.

Tunnell, Gilbert B.
1977   "Three dimensions of naturalness: An expanded
        definition of field research." Psychological
        Bulletin, 84(3), May.

Turk, Austin T.
1977   "The problem of legal order in the United
        States and South Africa: Substantial and analy-
        tical considerations." Sociological Focus,
        10(1), January.

Ukeles, Jacob B.
1977   "Policy analysis: Myth or reality?" Public
        Administration Review, 3, May/June.

West, W. Gorden
1978   "The short term careers of serious thieves."
        Canadian Journal of Criminology, 20(2), April.

Wilson, Stephen
1977   "The use of ethnographic techniques in educa-
        tional research." Review of Educational Research,
        47(1), Winter.

APPENDICES

216

APPENDIX A

RECORD OF PERSONS INTERVIEWED

RECORD OF PERSONS INTERVIEWED

A total of 46 interviews were conducted with the following
35 persons between January 27, 1978 and June 25, 1979:

Barr, John J.   Mr.   Director of Public Affairs,
Syncrude Canada Ltd.

Formerly Executive Assistant
to the Minister of Education,
member of key group described
in the study.

Barrows, David C.   Mr.   Graduate Student, Social Research
Group, School of Public Health,
University of California,
Berkeley.

Beckel, W. E.   Dr.   President, University of
Lethbridge, President-Designate
Carleton University.

Formerly Vice-Chairman, HRRC.

Byrne, T. C.   Dr.   Honorary Professor, Faculty of
Education, University of
Alberta.

Formerly Foundation President
of Athabasca University, Deputy
Minister of Education and member
of key ad hoc committee described
in the study.

Checkland, E. M.   Rev.   Minister of Religion, First
Baptist Church, Edmonton, Alberta.

Vice-Chairman, Athabasca
University Governing Council.

Clark, Robert C.   Mr.   Leader of the Opposition,
Legislative Assembly of Alberta.

Formerly Minister of Education
and Minister of Youth.

Clement, Carlton W.   The Honourable Mr. Justice

                               Formerly the Chairman of the
                               first Interim Governing Authority,
                               Athabasca University.

Daniel, J. S.   Dr.   Vice-President, Learning Services,
                               Athabasca University.

Davis, Solomon   Mr.   Graduate Student, Social Research
                               Group, School of Public Health,
                              University of California,
                               Berkeley.

Ferguson, L. W.   Dr.   Director, Applied Studies,
                               Athabasca University.

Ford, H. W.   Mr.   Director, Alberta Bureau of
                               Statistics.

                               Formerly Secretary and Financial
                               Analyst, The Alberta Universities
                               Commission.

Foster, J. L.   The Honourable

                               Attorney General, Member of the
                               Executive Council.

                               Formerly the first Minister of
                               Advanced Education, Minister of
                               Advanced Education and Manpower,
                               Legislative Assembly of Alberta.

Gehrke, Nathalie   Dr.   Bureau of Educational Research
                               and Service, Arizona State
                               University.

Glaser, B. G.   Dr.   Social Research Group, School of
                               Public Health, University of
                               California, San Francisco.

Hohol, A. E.   :   The Honourable Dr.

                            Minister of Advanced Education
                            and Manpower, Member of the
                            Executive Council, Legislative
                            Assembly of Alberta.

Hole, Lois   Mrs.   Member, Athabasca University
                            Governing Council, Chairman,
                            Sturgeon School Board.

Horowitz, M.   Dr.   President-Designate, University
                            of Alberta.

Ingram, E. J.   Dr.   Professor, Department of Educa-
                            tional Administration, University
                            of Alberta.

                            Formerly Associate Director,
                            HRRC.

Joh   The Honourable

                            Minister of Federal and Inter-
                            governmental Affairs, Member of
                            the Executive Council.

                            Formerly Minister of Municipal
                            Affairs.

Jones, J. R. B.   Mr.   Formerly Capital Planning
                            Officer, The Alberta Universities
                            Commission, Director of Capital
                            Development, University of
                            Alberta and Brigadier-General,
                            Royal Canadian Engineers.

Kolesar, H.   Dr.   Deputy Minister, Department of
                            Advanced Education and Manpower,
                            Edmonton, Alberta.

Manning, Preston   Mr.   M and M Systems Research Ltd.,
                           Edmonton, Alberta.

                           Formerly Social Credit Party
                           functionary, held no official
                           government appointment, member
                           of key group described in the
                           study, son of the premier,
                           Mr. E. C. Manning.

McDonald, B. H.   Mr.   Associate Vice-President
                           (Academic Administration),
                           University of Alberta, Edmonton.

                           Formerly Secretary and Financial
                           Analyst, The Alberta Universities
                           Commission, and Secretary, The
                           Survey Committee on Higher
                           Education in Alberta.

McIntosh, R. G.   Dr.   Professor, Department of Educa-
                           tional Administration, University
                           of Alberta.

                           Formerly Assistant to the
                           Director, HRRC.

Oddie, L. R.   Dr.   Coordinator, Institutional
                           Research and Evaluation, Athabasca
                           University.

Richmond, J. M.   Mr.   Director of Planning, Athabasca
                           University.

Schmidt, Erick   Dr.   General Systems Research Ltd.
                           or Westrede Institute Research
                           Bureau, Edmonton, Alberta.

                           Formerly Special Consultant to
                           the President of the Executive
                           Council, member of the key group
                           described in the study.

Shorter, Larry T.   Mr.   President, ACCESS, Alberta
                              Communication Centre for
                              Educational Services and
                              Systems.

                              Formerly Executive Secretary
                              to the Cabinet Committee on
                              Education.

Smith, W. A. S.   Dr.   President, Athabasca University.

                              Formerly Dean of Arts, Simon
                              Fraser University, President,
                              University of Lethbridge, and
                              member of key ad hoc committee
                              described in the study.

Snowden, B. L.   Mr.   Vice-President, University
                              Services, Athabasca University.

Stewart, Andrew   Dr.   Formerly the second Chairman,
                              Alberta Universities Commission,
                              Chairman of the Board of
                              Broadcast Governors, Ottawa,
                              President, University of Alberta.

Swift, W. H.   Dr.   Formerly the first Chairman of
                              the Alberta Universities Commis-
                              sion, Deputy Minister of
                              Education, Edmonton, Alberta.

Workman, W. L.   Dr.   Executive Assistant to Deputy
                              Minister, Department of Advanced
                              Education and Manpower,
                              Edmonton, Alberta.

Worth, W. H.   Dr.   Dean, Faculty of Education,
                              University of Alberta.

                              Formerly Deputy Minister, Depart-
                              ment of Advanced Education and
                              Manpower, Deputy Minister of
                              Advanced Education, Commissioner
                              for Educational Planning,
                              Vice-President, Planning, Univer-
                              sity of Alberta and member of key
                              ad hoc committee described in the
                              study.

Wyman, M.   Dr.   Chairman of the Alberta Human
Rights Commission.

Formerly President, University
of Alberta.

Readers are advised that the tape recordings of these
interviews and other documentary evidence will be kept in
the Department of Educational Administration for a period
of five years. (See comment, p. 178.)

APPENDIX B

INTERVIEW GUIDE

University of Alberta

Department of Educational Administration

An Interview Guide

Designed to Generate

Information for

the Dissertation

A CASE STUDY IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY MAKING:

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ATHABASCA UNVIERSITY

by

Michael W. Small

A CASE STUDY IN EDUCATIONAL POLICY MAKING:
THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY

1. (a) Who was mainly responsible for the idea of the
       establishment of Athabasca University? Why?

   (b) Who were the groups of people who initiated the
       move to establish the university? Why?

   (c) What were some of the underlying reasons for this
       move? Why?

2. (a) What was the motivation behind this move to estab-
       lish Athabasca? Why?

   (b) In deciding to establish Athabasca University,
       comment on whether you saw this move as an
       educational decision or a political decision.

   (c) Which was the dominant motive at this time, the
       educational or the political? Why?

3. (a) What was the original mandate of the university?
       Why?

   (b) Who did the founders of the university envisage
       as their clientele? Why?

4. (a) Why did the succeeding PC Government change its
       policy in regard to Athabasca? What were the
       reasons for this change?

5. (a) Who introduced the concept of the open university
       to the founders? Why?

   (b) Who was responsible for the change in overall plan,
       i.e. the change to the open university concept? Why?

6. (a) What do you see as the future role of Athabasca
       University? Why?

   (b) Comment on the statement by the present council
       chairman, that Athabasca may emerge as the norm in
       university education as economic constraints become
       more severe and as opportunities depend more and
       more on university education.

                    (The Edmonton Journal, April 25, 1978)

APPENDIX C

TABLE 1
TABLE 2

Table 1

Chronological Review of Main Events:
Four Stages in the Genesis and
Evolution of Athabasca University.
Orders in Council, Key Reports,
Events and Appointments

1957	Advisory Committee on Education, Minister of Education.
December 31, 1957	The Royal Commission on Education in Alberta established by Order in Council, 2009/57.
1959	Report of the Royal Commission on Education in Alberta.
June 28, 1961	First meeting of The Survey Committee on Higher Education in Alberta.
1966	Stewart, Andrew 1966 Special Study on Junior Colleges. Alberta Survey Committee on Higher Education.
April 1, 1966	The Alberta Universities Commission.
June, 1966	Dr. T. C. Byrne, Deputy Minister of Education.
July 4, 1966	Robert C. Clark, Minister of Youth.
December 20, 1966	*First decision to establish another independent university.

*Key events shown thus.

Table 1 (continued)

March, 1967	Manning, Ernest C. Premier of Alberta 1967 A White Paper on Human Resources Development. Edmonton, Alberta.
March 30, 1967	Alberta Human Resources Research Council.
June, 1968	Living and Learning. The Report of the Committee on Aims and Objectives of Education in the Schools of Ontario (the Hall-Dennis Report).
December 12, 1968	Robert C. Clark, Minister of Education.
June 24, 1969	The Commission on Educational Planning established by Order in Council 1126/69 under the Public Inquiries Act. Dr. W. H. Worth Commissioner.
October, 1969	The Commission on Educational Planning commenced work.
December 16, 1969	*Second decision to establish the fourth university.
January, 1970	Clark, Robert C. Minister of Education 1970 Post Secondary Education Until 1972, An Alberta Policy Statement. Education Department, Alberta. Archives No. 58.

*Key events shown thus.

Table 1 (continued)

Stage I   ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY: THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT

June 25, 1970   *Order in Council 1206/70. (1) That a university shall be established . . . the name of which shall be the ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY.

May, 1971   Dr. T. C. Byrne appointed foundation President of Athabasca University.

July 8, 1971   *Order in Council 1208/71. (1) Appointed a second interim governing body. Four new members appointed, four members retained from the original governing authority.

August 31, 1971   Social Credit Government defeated after 36 years (1935-1971).

Stage II   ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY: THE FREEZE OR
                    PERIOD OF LIMBO

September 10, 1971   Progressive Conservatives now in office. The Honourable J. L. Foster, Minister of Advanced Education.

April, 1971   Athabasca University. Governing Authority.
                    Athabasca University: Academic Concept.

March 21, 1972   Orders in Council 427/72, 428/72. Pursuant to Section 2 of the Public Inquiries Act Dr. T. C. Byrne appointed as a commissioner for the purpose of inquiring into and concerning the Red Deer College at Red Deer.

*Key events shown thus.

Table 1 (continued)

June 16, 1972	Release of A Choice of Futures, Report of the Commission on Educational Planning. First electronic news conference in Alberta.
August 31, 1972	Human Resources Research Council to close down.
September 1, 1972	Dr. W. H. Worth, Deputy Minister of Advanced Education.
October, 1972	Athabasca University. Governing Authority. Athabasca University: An Experiment in Practical Planning.
December 20, 1972	*Order in Council 1986/72. (1) Established a university to be known as ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY. (2) Established an interim governing authority to exist until June 30, 1974.

Stage III ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY: THE EXPERIMENTAL OR PILOT PROJECT

December 20, 1972	
January 1973	Ingram, E. J. and West, L. W. Report of a Staffing Study Re the Athabasca University Pilot Project. L. W. Downey Research Associates Ltd., Edmonton, Alberta.
May 15, 1973	Order in Council 742/73. The Alberta Universities Commission to close down.

* Key events shown thus.

Table 1 (continued)

July 2, 1974	*Order in Council 1133/74. (1) Extended the term of the interim governing authority to June 30, 1976.
March 24, 1975	Downey, L. W. Research Associates Ltd. 1975 The Athabasca University Pilot Project. Edmonton, Alberta.
April 13, 1975	The Honourable Dr. A. E. Hohol, Minister of Advanced Education and Manpower.
June 1975	Alberta Advanced Education and Manpower Athabasca University: A Proposed Role and Mandate.
September 17, 1975	*Order in Council 1183/75. (1) Appointed different persons to the interim governing authority.
November 6, 1975	*Executive Council approved in principle the establishment of Athabasca University as a permanent baccalaureate level university.

Stage IV   ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY: THE PRESENT CONCEPT
- provincially funded undergraduate degree granting institution.
- modelled in part after the British Open University.
- specializing in distance delivery education involving a variety of media.

November 6, 1975	The establishment of Athabasca University as a permanent baccalaureate university.

* Key events shown thus.

Table 1 (continued)

June 22, 1976	*Order in Council 692/76. (1) Extended the term of the interim governing authority to December 31, 1977.
October 1, 1976	Dr. W. A. S. Smith, Dean of Arts, Simon Fraser University succeeded the founding President, Dr. T. C. Byrne.
October 1, 1976	Dr. H. Kolesar, Deputy Minister of Advanced Education and Manpower.
April 18, 1977	Dr. R. A. Bosetti, Assistant Deputy Minister, stated that The Universities Amendment Act 1977 was ready for review and Athabasca University's governing body would function within the Act.
July 4, 1977	Athabasca University. Governing Authority. 1977 Athabasca University: A Framework for Development. Athabasca University. Governing Authority. 1977 Appendix, Athabasca University: A Framework for Development.
April 12, 1978	*Order in Council 434/78. (1) Promulgated the Athabasca University Regulations. Order in Council 435/78. (1) Appointed persons to the Athabasca Governing Council for a three year term of office, effective April 12, 1978. Athabasca University finally approved as a permanent self-governing post-secondary institution.

*Key events shown thus.

Table 2

CHRONOLOGICAL SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

July 1, 1965

"A study should be made of the question of future expansion of junior colleges and other facilities for higher education."
(Press Release: <u>Third Interim Report of the Survey Committee on Higher Education.</u>)

April 1, 1966

"The matter of a fourth university should be held in abeyance and the University of Alberta should be permitted some further expansion."
(Alberta. Alberta Universities Commission. <u>Annual Report</u>, 1966-67, 5,(d).)

June 27, 1966

Pressure was being felt at this time from such interested parties as the ATA and Faculty of Education for a conference on post-secondary education problems.
(Item of business: A further campus in or near Edmonton. <u>Alberta Universities Commission.</u>)

August 4, 1966

The question was resolved as follows. Would provision be made for: (1) an independent university; (2) a liberal arts college; (3) junior colleges; or (4) satellite campuses of the University of Alberta?
(Alberta. Alberta Universities Commission. <u>Annual Report</u>, 1966-67, 5,(d),(i),(ii),(iii),(iv).)

Table 2 (continued)

October 4, 1966	The University of Alberta favoured additional facilities at a "proximate" site. This would be best from a cost point of view . . . (Dr. M. Wyman, University of Alberta/ Alberta Universities Commission.)
November 8, 1966	The Ecumenical or Inter-Denominational Group moved to establish a state supported university with a Christian orientation. (Premier and Executive Council/Alberta Universities Commission.)
December 20, 1966	The solutions to the problems of increased student enrolment now seemed to be: (1) a "proximate" campus on the University of Alberta farm land, (2) a "satellite" campus with some degree of semi-independence, (3) an independent university. The last proposal seemed to be the one accepted by Cabinet.
December 20, 1966	*The government decided that another independent university would be established.*
March, 1967	Manning, Ernest C. Premier of Alberta 1967 A White Paper on Human Resources Development. Edmonton, Alberta. 83: "New Junior Colleges and Universities have been approved."
June 28, 1967	Initial discussions took place regarding the selection of a site for the new university.

---

*Key events shown thus.

Table 2 (continued)

September 19, 1967	The Government decided that the fourth university would not be built for two or three years.
July 24, 1968	". . . and I think we should all agree that the most important and urgent is the matter of a fourth campus in the Edmonton area." (Walter H. Johns, President, University of Alberta to Dr. Andrew Stewart, Chairman, The Alberta Universities Commission.)
August 20, 1968	"Would the new university be autonomous or a satellite?" (Alberta Universities Commission/ Edmonton Regional Planning Commission Site Study.)
September 24, 1968	"No action taken as yet by the government on the matter of the fourth university." (Alberta Universities Commission.)
December 17, 1968	The alternatives seemed to be: (1) a new university; (2) a satellite university; (3) a satellite university which sould split the University of Alberta vertically; (4) a satellite university which would split the University of Alberta horizontally; (5) a new type of institution.
February 18, 1969	"No decisions had been made regarding the fourth university." (Alberta Universities Commission.)

Table 2 (continued)

April 15, 1969	"The commission must find a solution which does not involve the establishment of a fourth university." (Alberta Universities Commission.)
June 10, 1969	The decision on new institutions was the responsibility of the government. (Dr. Andrew Stewart, Chairman, Alberta Universities Commission/Honourable R. C. Clark, Minister of Education.)
June 11, 1969	Current proposals to deal with the huge anticipated increased student enrolment now included: (1) the University of Alberta should be allowed to expand by means of a satellite campus; (2) an affiliated (two year) college under a separate governing body should be established; (3) a new university should be considered on a separate site in the metropolitan area. No preference among these alternatives had been expressed. (Dr. Andrew Stewart, Chairman, Alberta Universities Commission/Honourable R. C. Clark, Minister of Education.)
December 16, 1969	*The Minister stated: "the decision had been made to proceed with the fourth university."
January, 1970	The Minister stated that construction of a fourth university must be commenced immediately and completed within three years.

*Key events shown thus.

Table 2 (continued)

April 21, 1970	1. Dr. T. C. Byrne appointed Chairman of an ad hoc committee to function as an advisory committee until the interim governing body was appointed.
	2. The name ATHABASCA (lit.) "Where the reeds grow," suggested by Dr. Byrne to the Minister.
	3. The Government had decided that the fourth university would be in the St. Albert area.
June 25, 1970	Athabasca University established by Order in Council 1206/70.
July 15, 1970	The Honourable Mr. Justice Carlton W. Clement appointed chairman of the Interim Governing Authority.
May, 1971	Dr. T. C. Byrne appointed foundation President of Athabasca University.