

Part 1: Challenge - The aim and importance of the endeavour (40%)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory-Good	Good-Very Good	Very Good-Excellent
Originality, significance and expected contribution to knowledge					
Appropriateness of the literature review					
Appropriateness of the theoretical approach or framework					
Appropriateness of the methods/approach					
Quality of training and mentoring to be provided to students, emerging scholars and other highly qualified personnel, and opportunities for them to contribute					
Potential influence and impact within and/or beyond the social sciences and humanities research community					

Part 2: Feasibility - The plan to achieve excellence (20%)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory-Good	Good-Very Good	Very Good-Excellent
Probability of effective and timely attainment of the research objectives					
Appropriateness of the requested budget, and justification of proposed costs					
Indications of financial and in-kind contributions from other sources, where appropriate					
Quality of knowledge mobilization plans, including for effective knowledge dissemination, knowledge exchange and engagement within and/or beyond the research community					
Strategies and timelines for the design and conduct of the activity/activities proposed					

Part 3: Capability - The expertise to succeed (40%)

Sub-criteria (No specific weight assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory-Good	Good-Very Good	Very Good-Excellent
Quality, quantity and significance of past experience and published and/or creative outputs of the applicant and any team members relative to their roles in the project and their respective stages of career					
Evidence of contributions such as commissioned reports, professional practice, public discourse, public policies, products and services, experience in collaboration, etc.					
Evidence of contributions to the development of talent					
Potential to make future contributions					

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada  
Committee Evaluation  
Insight Grants October 201X Competition

Comments:

Part 4: Recommendation and scores

Recommendation: The committee recommended that this meritorious project be funded at the level requested. Please consult the attached Notice of Award for the amount of your grant.

Scores:	Challenge	5 / 6
	Feasibility	5 / 6
	Capability	5 / 6
	Weighted Score	15 / 18

Rank

X / total number of applicants