

1                   **Contributions of genomics to forest pathology**

2

3

**Richard C. Hamelin**

4

5   Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, 1055 du PEPS,  
6   Quebec, QC, Canada, and Department of Forest Sciences, The University of British Columbia,  
7   2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada.

8

9   Corresponding author: [richard.hamelin@ubc.ca](mailto:richard.hamelin@ubc.ca)

10

11

1 **Abstract:** Worldwide, forest pathogens are a major cause of forest disturbances and can impact  
2 economic revenues and endanger host species and their ecosystems. Genomics represents one of  
3 the most promising avenues to better understand and prevent forest disease epidemics. Pathogen  
4 genome characterization and comparison at the individual and population levels make it possible  
5 to identify common and unique genome regions. Genes in these regions can be translated into  
6 detection and monitoring assays. Tools can be developed and applied to improve our ability to  
7 recognize, detect and monitor pathogens, to prevent introductions of unwanted pathogens and to  
8 monitor the spread and migration of established ones. Population genome re-sequencing can  
9 reveal population processes including gene flow, selection and adaptation. These tools can be  
10 applied to detecting pathogens that are targeted by quarantine, certification of import and export  
11 material, and pathogen surveillance and risk assessment.

12  
13 **Keywords:** genomics, poplar rust, pathogen monitoring, detection

14  
15 **Résumé :** Les pathogènes forestiers représentent une source de perturbations importantes des  
16 forêts and causent des dégâts économiques et écosystémiques majeurs. La génomique représente  
17 une des voies les plus prometteuses pour mieux comprendre et prévenir les épidémies. La  
18 caractérisation et la comparaison des génomes de pathogènes permettent l'identification de  
19 régions communes et unique. Les gènes dans ces régions peuvent être transformés en outils de  
20 détection et de suivi des pathogènes. Ces outils peuvent améliorer notre capacité à reconnaître,  
21 détecter et à faire le suivi des pathogènes. Ceci peut aider à prévenir l'introduction des  
22 pathogènes indésirables, et à faire le suivi de la dissémination et de la migration des pathogènes

1 établis. Le séquençage des génomes dans les populations peut révéler les processus de flux  
2 géniques, de sélection et d'adaptation des populations et identifier les gènes sous sélection. Ces  
3 outils peuvent être appliqués à la détection des pathogènes ciblés par les quarantaines, pour la  
4 certification du matériel d'importation et d'exportation, et pour la surveillance des pathogènes et  
5 l'analyse de risque.

6

7

## 1 **Introduction**

2

3 Forest pathogens are a major cause of forest disturbances. In the last survey of Canadian forests,  
4 approximately 43 million m<sup>3</sup> of wood was reported to be lost due to pathogen activity (Hall and  
5 Moody, 1994). Losses to forest diseases have far-reaching economic impacts and in the US  
6 alone, are valued at \$2 billion annually (Pimentel *et al.*, 2000). In addition to costing  
7 governments and the forest industry in lost revenues, forest pathogens have the potential to cause  
8 permanent damage to ecosystems. Chestnut blight, sudden oak death, and white pine blister rust  
9 are among the best-known examples of introduced pathogens that have caused large-scale tree  
10 mortality with ecosystem-scale impacts (Loo, 2009).

11 In spite of the limited number of forest pathologists active in research, there have been  
12 significant advances in forest pathology research in the last few decades. Some of these advances  
13 have resulted in a better understanding of the causes of diseases and have yielded significant  
14 applications and improvements: the development of biocontrol agents against root diseases, the  
15 deployment of tree genetic material resistant to diseases, and the use of silvicultural approaches  
16 to reduce inoculum (MacDonald, 2003). One of the most exciting and promising avenues to  
17 better understand and prevent forest disease epidemics is the use of genomics, i.e the use of  
18 DNA-based technologies and increasingly the study and analysis of entire genome sequences to  
19 study pathogens and their hosts. Genomics offer a great toolbox to characterize pathogen  
20 genomes at the individual and population levels and to compare genomes among pathogens  
21 infecting different hosts or possessing different infection strategies (e.g. biotrophs and  
22 necrotrophs). These studies could lead to identification of common and unique genome regions,  
23 and to translation of these regions into detection and monitoring assays. These tools can be

1 applied to improve our ability to recognize, detect and monitor pathogens, to prevent  
2 introductions of unwanted pathogens and to monitor the spread and migration of established  
3 ones.

4 In this paper, I will review some of the latest developments in selected forest  
5 pathosystems and describe some potential applications of genomics. I will discuss innovative  
6 genomics technologies used to characterize organisms at different levels (species or populations)  
7 relevant for pathogen characterization. Finally, I will describe how defining hierarchical gene  
8 sets that are derived from genome comparisons could be translated into hierarchical markers that  
9 can enhance pathogen detection and monitoring. Most of the review will focus on advances in  
10 research on poplar rusts. The genomes of both *Populus trichocarpa* and *Melampsora larici-*  
11 *populina* have been sequenced, representing a unique opportunity to dissect the genomic  
12 interactions between a long-lived woody host and a pathogen with multiple annual reproductive  
13 cycles (Tuskan *et al.*, 2006; Feau *et al.*, 2007b; Duplessis *et al.*, 2011).

14

#### 15 *Genome analysis of poplar rusts*

16

17 Rusts are obligate biotrophic fungi that possess a complex biotrophic life cycle and represent one  
18 of the most important groups of tree pathogens. They have the ability to spread over long  
19 distances, and to rapidly adapt to newly deployed resistance. This has generated considerable  
20 interest in developing a better understanding of their biology, infection processes, epidemiology  
21 and evolution.

22 Poplar leaf rusts have emerged as significant poplar diseases worldwide. They cause  
23 premature defoliation and are responsible for growth loss of up to 65% (Widin and Schipper,

1 1981); furthermore, repeated defoliation predisposes poplars to other diseases. Poplar leaf rusts  
2 represent a threat to poplar plantations and the use of this tree for production of wood, paper  
3 products and bioenergy. Some of the most economically important poplar leaf rusts alternate  
4 between Salicaceae, where they produce telia and uredinia, and conifers, where they produce  
5 pycnia and aecia. Deployment of clonally propagated resistant hybrid poplars has been a major  
6 activity to increase poplar yield. However, these large-scale deployments have imposed selective  
7 pressure on the pathogen, resulting in the rapid evolution of new races that can overcome  
8 resistance (Pinon and Frey, 1997). A better knowledge of rust evolution, adaptation and spread  
9 will help in understanding pathogen specialization and predicting the appearance of new rust  
10 races.

11

12 *Is there a biotrophic toolbox?*

13

14 Rusts share a biotrophic lifestyle with other fungal pathogens. Adaptation and specialization of  
15 biotrophic pathogens to their host plant probably require specific sets of genes. However, it  
16 appears that the obligate biotrophic life strategy has evolved independently in different lineages  
17 (Dodds, 2010). Genome comparisons reveal the expansion of individual protein families in  
18 biotrophic fungi, which suggests they play a specific role in host-pathogen interactions. The most  
19 obvious expansion observed in hemibiotrophic and biotrophic fungi and in oomycetes are  
20 secreted proteins that have a potential function as ‘effectors’ of pathogenicity (Spanu and  
21 Kämper, 2010). Some biotrophs possess genome regions that are dynamic, enriched in repeated  
22 elements, exhibit high levels of polymorphism and are under positive selection (Raffaele *et al.*,

1 2010). This may be a response to antagonistic co-evolution between plants and pathogens at  
2 local or large scales (Stukenbrock and McDonald, 2009).

3         One interesting feature of some biotrophic fungi is the decrease in the number of genes in  
4 certain functional categories. Presumably, by utilizing their host resources, obligate biotrophs are  
5 able to reduce their own need to perform certain functions as some of the genes become  
6 redundant with those of the host. In obligate biotrophs such as the ascomycete barley powdery  
7 mildew, *Blumeria graminis* and the Oomycete *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*, genes that were  
8 lost code for many of the hydrolytic enzymes used by necrotrophs or hemi-biotrophs to digest  
9 host cell walls, and to induce host cell death (Baxter *et al.*, 2010; Spanu *et al.*, 2010). As an  
10 added advantage to the biotrophic life strategy, the release of cell-wall derived elicitors that  
11 trigger a plant response is avoided. In the extreme case of *Ustilago maydis*, the genome was  
12 reduced to a size of 20 Mb and contained only 6,902 predicted protein-encoding genes; the  
13 genome lacked much of the battery of cell-wall-degrading enzymes found in the genomes of  
14 non-biotrophic fungi (Kämper *et al.*, 2006). In comparison with genomes of hemi-biotrophic  
15 *Phytophthora* species, the biotrophic *H. arabidopsidis* genome also exhibited reductions in genes  
16 encoding RXLR effectors and other secreted pathogenicity proteins (Baxter *et al.*, 2010). These  
17 proteins have been demonstrated to be involved in the host-pathogen recognition system  
18 (Kamoun, 2006). Such results highlight an important common characteristic of the biotrophic  
19 pathogen: the ability of these pathogens to enter the host surreptitiously.

20

## 1 *The poplar rust genome*

2

3 The complete genome sequence of the poplar leaf rust, *Melampsora larici-populina* (MLP),  
4 revealed over 16,841 gene models, roughly an equivalent number to what was found in the cereal  
5 rust (18,241) and in other basidiomycetes such as *Laccaria bicolor* (Martin *et al.*, 2008;  
6 Duplessis *et al.*, 2011). When compared with other necrotrophs and saprotrophs, some gene  
7 reductions were observed, such as the reduction of glycoside hydrolases in MLP. This is possibly  
8 because rusts do not need to massively attack the plant host cell walls since they penetrate the  
9 cells through invagination of the haustoria. However, in spite of a similar number of predicted  
10 genes, the genome size of MLP, at approximately 101 Mb, is much larger than that of other  
11 basidiomycetes (Duplessis *et al.*, 2011). The reason for this large genome size does not appear to  
12 be a duplication of the genome; rather, the proliferation of transposable elements, which make up  
13 nearly half of the total genome, appears to be responsible. This proliferation was also  
14 accompanied by an expansion of some gene families. By building families of gene homolog,  
15 large sets of lineage-specific gene families were found to have expanded in both poplar and  
16 cereal rust genomes (Duplessis *et al.*, 2011). These expanding families could represent an  
17 important source of lineage-specific innovation for novel functions that could help explain the  
18 breadth of hosts that rust fungi can attack.

19 Transposon proliferation is probably one of the driving forces for the fast evolution of  
20 pathogenicity factors, providing an adaptive advantage to pathogens in relation to the changing  
21 hostile environment of the plant host (Spanu and Kämper, 2010). Transposon proliferation was  
22 observed in *B. graminis* and *H. arabidopsidis*. Is it possible that the presence of such a large  
23 proportion of transposable elements in these biotrophs is responsible for their ability to rapidly

1 evolve to overcome host resistance? Possibly, but it is difficult to generalize at this stage. The  
2 genome structure is certainly different in MLP and other sequenced hemi- or biotrophic  
3 pathogens. In genome comparison of sister *Phytophthora* species infecting different hosts, gene-  
4 poor regions were found to be rich in transposons and also rich in effectors (Raffaele *et al.*,  
5 2010). There seems to be no such pattern in the rusts studied so far. So, it is difficult to draw  
6 conclusions without conducting additional work, in particular by comparing additional genomes  
7 of rusts with different host range and specificity.

8

### 9 *The poplar rust secretome*

10

11 An important feature of rusts and other biotrophs is the production of haustoria. These  
12 specialized structures play a role in establishing a relationship with the host and facilitating  
13 nutrient acquisition and influencing host metabolism and defence response (Hahn and Mendgen,  
14 2001; Voegelé and Mendgen, 2003). One of the major insights that has recently emerged is that  
15 haustoria deliver suites of secreted proteins, including effectors, that facilitate cell infection  
16 (Dodds, 2010). Proteins that are secreted by fungi, collectively referred to as the secretome,  
17 represent an important way by which fungi can interact with and exploit their environment (Ellis  
18 *et al.*, 2007).

19 An arsenal of ~2,000 secreted proteins was found in the genome of MLP (Joly, 2010). A  
20 large portion of the secretome appears to be lineage-specific, confirming their probable role in  
21 establishing host-specific interactions. Only one third of the secreted proteins found in the poplar  
22 rust have homologs in the genome of the wheat stem rust and more than 10% encoded highly  
23 divergent proteins that displayed characteristics similar to those of effectors. Most fungal

1 effectors characterized to date in fungal plant pathogens are secreted cysteine-rich proteins. The  
2 putative effectors found in MLP were often arranged in clusters, comprised conserved cysteine  
3 residues and were expressed *in planta* (Joly, 2010). Among those that were homologous to  
4 proteins in the *Pucciniales*, some had similarities with proteins previously characterized as  
5 effectors.

6         However, in spite of these exciting developments, a role in virulence has been shown for  
7 only a few effectors in model pathosystems and much work remains to be conducted to establish  
8 their function. Expression was demonstrated during leaf infection and accumulation in distinct  
9 rust infection structures was demonstrated for candidate effectors. Transcripts encoding small-  
10 secreted proteins were induced in the mesophyll. These are putative effectors produced by  
11 haustoria that could be involved in suppression of host defense (Hacquard *et al.*, 2010).  
12 Additional functional studies will help clarify the roles of these putative effectors. Unfortunately,  
13 transformation systems are not common in rusts, preventing gene knockout experiments.

14

15 *One gene for one genome? DNA barcoding in rusts*

16

17 One innovative application of genomics is DNA barcoding. This approach aims at sequencing  
18 and characterizing short genomic regions in a large number of individuals instead of sequencing  
19 the entire genome of a single organism (Hajibabaei *et al.*, 2007). This allows for assignment of  
20 individuals into taxonomic groups, to test for the presence of cryptic species, and to look for  
21 novel host-pathogen associations. DNA barcoding assumes that a single gene or a short gene  
22 region can present a relatively accurate picture of a fuller genome scan, at least in terms of taxa  
23 delimitations. Although this has been challenged, some DNA barcoding regions can achieve a

1 surprisingly high level of correct species assignment. This has some important practical  
2 applications since sequencing and analyzing additional genes invariably result in additional cost  
3 and a reduction in the number of samples that can be processed.

4 DNA barcoding was first described and used extensively in animals (Hebert *et al.*, 2004;  
5 Hebert and Gregory, 2005). However, the performance of the standard DNA barcoding region,  
6 CO1, in fungi has been variable and depends on the groups studied (Seifert *et al.*, 2007; Seifert,  
7 2009). Mitochondrial genomes were compared to identify genome regions that possessed the  
8 ability to perform as DNA barcodes in basidiomycetes. Genes encoding ATPase subunit 6, the  
9 cytochrome oxidase subunit 3 and the NADH dehydrogenase subunit 6 showed the most  
10 promising characteristics for DNA barcoding among the mitochondrial genes studied (Vialle *et*  
11 *al.*, 2009). However, using mitochondrial genes in fungi has been fraught with problems because  
12 of the presence of introns. In addition, the presence of fungal mitochondrial genes in databases is  
13 sparse.

14 In order to define a DNA barcode for fungi that will become a standard, a multi-  
15 laboratory study compared 6 different gene regions across a large taxonomic range. The internal  
16 transcribed spacer (ITS) region had the highest probability of successful identification across the  
17 broadest range of fungi and resulted in the most clearly defined barcode gap when comparing  
18 intra- and inter-specific variation (Schoch *et al.*, 2012). A similar comparison within the rusts  
19 reached the same conclusion (Vialle *et al.*, 2009). DNA barcoding has been particularly useful  
20 for rusts because of their heteroecious nature (the requirement for two hosts to complete their life  
21 cycle) and the paucity of morphological characteristics in this group of pathogens (Vialle *et al.*,  
22 2009). A DNA barcoding approach was used to disentangle the poplar rusts on poplars.  
23 Morphometric traits and DNA barcodes obtained from the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed

1 spacer region (ITS), the large ribosomal RNA subunit (28S), and mitochondrial CO1 sequences  
2 were generated and overlaid on the initial species definitions (Feau *et al.*, 2009). The DNA  
3 barcodes defined on ITS and 28S sequences provided a highly accurate means of identifying and  
4 resolving *Melampsora* taxa. Surprisingly, approximately 25% of the specimens examined had  
5 been previously misidentified. In particular, DNA barcodes were extremely useful to separate  
6 and identify the morphologically similar members of the *Melampsora populnea* complex, which  
7 includes the pine twisting rust, a pathogen targeted by quarantine procedures in several countries  
8 where it is absent.

9         The same approach was applied to *Chrysomyxa* rusts, which are widely present in the  
10 boreal forest. Different DNA barcodes were found within species, indicating cryptic species,  
11 ancient hybridization and providing evidence for allopatric speciation within morphologically  
12 defined species (Feau *et al.*, 2011). In addition, specialization on both telial and aecial host  
13 species appeared to govern diversification, but to various degrees. Two species of cone rusts,  
14 *Chrysomyxa pyrolae* and *C. monesis*, exhibited stronger geographic than species divergence.  
15 Since they are not obligatory heteroecious, there might be a geographic mosaic of co-evolution,  
16 with hot and cold spots of interaction where alternate hosts are present or absent (Feau *et al.*,  
17 2011). Unexpectedly, the *Chrysomyxa* genus was not resolved as a monophyletic group. The  
18 spruce cone rusts *C. pyrolae* and *C. monesis* coalesced with the pine needle rusts belonging to  
19 the genus *Coleosporium*. Another important result is that the microcyclic species *Chrysomyxa*  
20 *weirii* was embedded within the genus *Melampsora*. This could suggest that there is a telial  
21 stage, ancient or extant, in the salicacea or other preferred hosts of the *Melampsora* group. These  
22 DNA barcoding studies are probably just the beginning and highlight the power of this approach

1 for species recognition, identifying the footprints of past hybridization and suggesting potential  
2 alternate hosts of heteroecious rusts.

3

#### 4 *From population genetics to population genomics*

5

6 Although the ability to use a single gene region to identify taxa is useful for many applications,  
7 the genes used in DNA barcoding only scratch the surface of the diversity present in genomes.  
8 The future of population studies is to have genome scans at the population level. The increasing  
9 capacity afforded by next-generation sequencing and genotyping technologies are shifting the  
10 paradigm from population genetics to population genomics. This could be particularly important  
11 for identifying genomic regions influencing fitness in non-model organisms where resources are  
12 limited (Beaumont, 2005; Storz, 2005; Vasemägi *et al.*, 2005). This will open up new  
13 possibilities such as the comparison of genomes of pathogen populations with different host  
14 specificities or in different environments.

15

#### 16 *Genomic resources to generate markers*

17

18 The availability of genomic resources (whole genomes, expressed sequenced tags libraries) has  
19 shifted marker development from the wet lab to the *in silico* discovery of markers, including  
20 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and microsatellites. *In silico* markers can be rapidly  
21 translated into genotyping assays for high-throughput processing, or be directly sequenced to  
22 characterize genome regions at the population level. These will improve our ability to understand  
23 epidemiological and biological features. By genotyping large numbers of markers in sets of

1 individuals that are taken from different geographic populations or hosts, it is possible to identify  
2 genomic regions or “outlier loci” that deviate from the rest of the genome under the effect of  
3 selection (Nielsen, 2005). By comparing entire genomes or coding regions in populations, it is  
4 also possible to identify purifying, balancing and positive selection by comparing the ratios of  
5 non-synonymous to synonymous mutations. Rapidly evolving regions should display either  
6 outlier patterns compared with the rest of the genome or mutation patterns that have the signature  
7 of selection. This could be the key to developing monitoring systems to predict pathogenicity or  
8 host shifts.

9 EST libraries built from natural rust infections comprise redundant sequences from  
10 different individuals that can be used to scan for putative SNPs directly from the libraries.  
11 Discovery, characterization and validation of 118 SNPs were reported in the North American  
12 poplar rust *Melampsora medusae* f.sp. *deltoidae* and assays were developed for population  
13 analysis and study of selection (Feau *et al.*, 2007a). Genomes can also be mined to discover  
14 simple sequence repeats (SSRs). These markers are particularly useful for demographic and  
15 epidemiological studies and analysis of population structure. The MLP genome was screened to  
16 develop microsatellites that were used to assess various aspects of poplar rust epidemics (Xhaard  
17 *et al.*, 2009). The widespread deployment in Europe of resistant poplar cultivars was found to  
18 influence the genetic structure of the pathogen. However, in areas where wild poplars are  
19 sympatric with the aecial host, a distinct population structure was found, with higher diversity  
20 and lower proportion of virulence phenotypes (Xhaard *et al.*, 2011). The comparison of natural  
21 and domesticated pathosystems could inform us on the best strategies to deploy tree clones and  
22 varieties to avoid excessive selection pressure on pathogens.

23

## 1 *Identifying genes under selection*

2

3 SNP panels can be directly genotyped from rust uredinia collected from the field without mono-  
4 uredinial culturing (Feau *et al.*, 2007a). This is an important advantage when looking for host  
5 adaptation in rusts since it circumvents the potential problem associated with host selection  
6 during single uredinial transfer and inoculum increase. SNP genotyping of southern (allopatric  
7 with the alternate host) and northern (sympatric with the alternate host) populations of *M.*  
8 *medusae* f.sp. *deltoidae* revealed a moderate level of overall genetic differentiation (Bourassa *et*  
9 *al.*, 2007; Feau *et al.*, 2007a). However, out of the relatively small number of SNPs tested, some  
10 significantly deviated from the overall  $F_{st}$ , an indication that they may be under positive  
11 selection. Most of these genes exhibited homology to genes characterized in other rusts for *in*  
12 *planta* or haustoria interactions (Feau *et al.*, 2007a; Feau *et al.*, 2007b). The genotyping of  
13 multiplex SNP panels in pathogen samples that are referenced for their host and geographic  
14 origin can be used to evaluate host selection pressure and adaptation within and/or around the  
15 genes under selection and be integrated into disease surveillance systems.

16 Another approach to scan for selection is to compare substitution patterns. Positive  
17 selection at the molecular level implies that non-synonymous nucleotide substitutions are fixed  
18 with higher probability than neutral ones. A higher non-synonymous ( $d_N$ ) than synonymous ( $d_S$ )  
19 nucleotide substitution rate between protein-coding DNA sequences indicates positive selection.  
20 The advantage of this approach is that it does not involve any assumptions concerning the  
21 demographic history of the population and can therefore be performed without large-scale  
22 population scans. For example, EST libraries from different species can be compared to  
23 construct a dataset of interspecific homologs and scanned for the presence of amino acid sites

1 suspected of being under positive selection. This approach was used to construct and compare  
2 EST libraries in four rust species with different host specificities. Seven proteins were found to  
3 comprise positively selected sites (Joly *et al.*, 2010). Out of those, five were predicted to contain  
4 signal peptides. Sites that were predicted to be under positive selection were more abundant in  
5 secreted than in non-secreted proteins, a confirmation that there is selective pressure on this set  
6 of proteins.

7

### 8 *Genomics-enhanced pathogen detection and monitoring*

9

10 One of the potential applications of genomics is the design of better tools for pathogen detection  
11 and monitoring. By building on analyses and comparisons of genomes, genes that are  
12 epidemiologically significant can be discovered and translated into detection and monitoring  
13 assays (Fig. 1). Data acquisition is becoming increasingly streamlined and genome sequencing,  
14 re-sequencing, and assembly can be performed on high-throughput platforms at relatively low  
15 cost. This makes it possible to work with non-model organisms and to potentially translate  
16 genome data into assays for practical applications in quarantine pathogen detection, product  
17 certification, pathogen surveillance and monitoring and risk assessment. However, there remains  
18 a challenge in the data handling and analyses. With the explosion of genomic data, there will be  
19 a need for highly qualified bioinformaticians with knowledge of biology to ask questions that are  
20 relevant from a plant pathology perspective.

21

1 *Core gene sets*

2

3 One tempting approach is the identification of core gene sets that would define particular groups  
4 of pathogens sharing characteristics. The idea that pathogens that share characteristics (host,  
5 infection process, biological features) should also have in common sets of genes, genomic  
6 regions, or genomic structural features, is intuitive. By comparing the genomes of pathogens, it  
7 could be possible to identify sets of genes that are common to a pathogen group and design  
8 detection and monitoring assays targeting regions within those genes (Begley and Hill, 2010).  
9 What is not clear is the phylogenetic level can be targeted. Universal pathogenicity genes that are  
10 common to all phytopathogenic fungi and play an exclusive role in pathogenicity are unlikely to  
11 exist (Idnurm and Howlett, 2001; Soanes *et al.*, 2007). The ability to infect plants must have  
12 evolved independently in different lineages. Pathogenic eukaryotes belong to several distinct  
13 phylogenetic lineages and have developed the ability to colonize a wide range of distinct hosts,  
14 from animals to plants. Pathogenic lifestyles have thus evolved repeatedly in eukaryotes,  
15 indicating that unique molecular processes are involved in host infection. However, comparative  
16 genomics within particular lineages have identified genes or gene combinations that could be  
17 determinants of pathogenicity (Ehrlich *et al.*, 2008).

18         Some evidence has emerged showing that divergent pathogens might share common  
19 mechanisms of pathogenicity (Bhattacharjee *et al.*, 2006). Animal and plant eukaryotic  
20 pathogens, such as the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* and the potato late blight  
21 agent *Phytophthora infestans*, are widely divergent eukaryotic microbes, yet they both produce  
22 secretory virulence and pathogenic proteins that share similar host-targeting motifs, and display  
23 conserved mechanisms for accessing host cells (Bhattacharjee *et al.*, 2006; Kale *et al.*, 2010).

1 Among prokaryotes, where genomic resources are abundant, a hallmark of Gram-negative  
2 bacteria that interact with plant and/or animal hosts (either as pathogens or mutualists) is the  
3 common Type III secretion system (Cornelis, 2006). However, Type III secretion systems have  
4 not been reported in eukaryotes.

5         With increased genomic data, lineage-specific pathogenic innovations and pathogenic  
6 mechanisms conserved among species could be identified. This would make it possible to  
7 identify both specific and general targets for monitoring the appearance and spread of key  
8 pathogenic factors (Soanes *et al.*, 2007). In some cases, large portions of pathogen genomes can  
9 be under different evolutionary pressure. In *Phytophthora*, gene-dense regions were enriched in  
10 genes that were induced in sporangia, the asexual spores that are produced by all *Phytophthora*  
11 species. By contrast, genes that are located in gene-sparse regions were induced during pre-  
12 infection and infection stages (Raffaele *et al.*, 2010). This study illustrated that different genome  
13 regions can evolve at different rates under different pressures, with highly conserved genome  
14 regions comprising genes for functions that are shared among pathogens, but faster evolving  
15 highly diversified genes involved in host-pathogen interaction (Raffaele *et al.*, 2010). Clearly,  
16 there will not necessarily be a common approach to identifying core gene sets and it will be  
17 necessary to tailor methods for specific groups.

18

### 19 *Niche gene sets*

20

21 Genome comparisons of pathogens with different specializations, niches, or hosts, open up  
22 exciting possibilities to identify biologically and epidemiologically relevant factors that allow  
23 pathogens to occupy specific niches. Poplar and cereal rust genomes have been sequenced,

1 making it possible to compare these two genomes and possibly highlight the commonality  
2 between rusts sharing common biological features but infecting unrelated hosts (Duplessis *et al.*,  
3 2011). This can be useful for finding explanations for common features in rust biology, such as  
4 the ability to infect cells without major cellular disturbances, the production of haustoria and  
5 spore-bearing structures.

6 Natural selection driven by a co-evolutionary arms race in host-pathogen interactions is  
7 also likely to leave a signature at the molecular level (Stahl and Bishop, 2000). However, the  
8 divergence between distant rusts, such as the poplar and cereal rusts, makes it difficult to  
9 pinpoint the genetic determinants that can explain host specificity. By comparing rust genomes  
10 from hosts that are phylogenetically closely related, informative analyses can be conducted to  
11 identify host specificity determinants.

12 Data-mining of EST libraries constructed on related taxonomic groups helped to rapidly  
13 identify large numbers of common genes that can be used for phylogenetic analyses and in  
14 searches for adaptive evolution (Clark *et al.*, 2003; Hughes *et al.*, 2006). Intra- and inter-specific  
15 comparisons by homology searches between *Melampsora* EST libraries derived from poplar  
16 rusts infecting different hosts were used to identify genes that are common to these rusts.  
17 Reciprocal searches revealed a common set of proteins among four *Melampsora* libraries (Joly *et*  
18 *al.*, 2010). The poplar rusts had higher homologies among themselves than with Pucciniales,  
19 Basidiomycetes, or the general non-redundant GenBank databases. Less than 30% of the ESTs  
20 derived from a haustoria library found homologs in other databases. This can be best explained  
21 by the haustoria producing a battery of secreted proteins that are very specific to their interaction  
22 with their respective hosts. Pathogenic rust and smut fungi share genes encoding secreted  
23 proteins (Joly *et al.*, 2010), but these genes are absent from a non-pathogenic rust relative

1 (*Sporobolomyces roseus*). These shared genes could be candidate pathogenicity genes that may  
2 play a role in host-pathogen interactions.

3

#### 4 **Conclusions and future prospects**

5

6 The rapidly expanding genome sequencing capacity afforded by next-generation sequencing  
7 technologies and the increasing need to better detect and monitor forest pathogens make it  
8 possible to exploit genomic resources to improve forest pathogen detection and monitoring. The  
9 paradigm is shifting towards increasing genomic resources in non-model organisms, which is  
10 particularly important in forest pathology where resources are always limited. With a genome  
11 scan at the population level, assays could be developed that combine both hierarchical and  
12 process-specific targeted genes. These assays could be developed and combined to increase  
13 redundancy and reliability of diagnostics.

14 Improved detection and monitoring can be applied to quarantine services, such as the  
15 prevention and introduction of novel exotic pathogens and health certification of export and  
16 import material (Fig. 1). Furthermore, assays can be developed for pathogen population  
17 surveillance to provide indications of potential for infection, to predict and forecast the evolution  
18 of new races or host shifts. The application and incorporation of genomic data into  
19 monitoring/surveillance services can improve risk assessment and recommendations that will  
20 assist in the prevention, introduction and spread of exotic and native forest pathogens.

21

1 **Acknowledgements**

2

3 The author would like to acknowledge Genome B.C. for providing funds for a Strategic  
4 Opportunity Funds (#131) to R.C. Hamelin and G. Bakkeren and for providing funds to organize  
5 the symposium. Funding was also provided by the Genomic Research and Development  
6 Initiative (GRDI) of Natural Resources Canada.

7

1 **References**

- 2
- 3 BAXTER, L., TRIPATHY, S., ISHAQUE, N., BOOT, N., CABRAL, A., KEMEN, E., *et al.* (2010).  
4 Signatures of adaptation to obligate biotrophy in the *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*  
5 genome. *Science*, 330: 1549-1551.
- 6 BEAUMONT, M.A. (2005). Adaptation and speciation: what can *Fst* tell us? *Trends Ecol. Evol.*,  
7 20: 435-440.
- 8 BEGLEY, M. and HILL, C. (2010). Food safety: what can we learn from genomics? *Annu. Rev.*  
9 *Food Sci. Technol.*, 1: 341-361.
- 10 BHATTACHARJEE, S., HILLER, N.L., LIOLIOS, K., WIN, J., KANNEGANTI, T.D., YOUNG, C., *et al.*  
11 (2006). The malarial host-targeting signal is conserved in the Irish potato famine  
12 pathogen. *PLoS Pathogens*, 2: 453-465.
- 13 BOURASSA, M., BERNIER, L. and HAMELIN, R.C. (2007). Genetic diversity in poplar leaf rust  
14 (*Melampsora medusae* f. sp *deltoidae*) in the zones of host sympatry and allopatry.  
15 *Phytopathology*, 97: 603-610.
- 16 CLARK, A.G., GLANOWSKI, S., NIELSEN, R., THOMAS, P.D., KEJARIWAL, A., TODD, M.A., *et al.*  
17 (2003). Inferring nonneutral evolution from human-chimp-mouse orthologous gene trios.  
18 *Science*, 302: 1960-1963.
- 19 CORNELIS, G.R. (2006). The type III secretion injectisome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 4: 811-825.
- 20 DODDS, P.N. (2010). Genome evolution in plant pathogens. *Science*, 330: 1486-1487.
- 21 DUPLESSIS, S., CUOMO, C.A., LIN, Y.C., AERTS, A., TISSERANT, E., VENEVAULT-FOURREY, C., *et*  
22 *al.* (2011). Obligate biotrophy features unraveled by the genomic analysis of rust fungi.  
23 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108: 9166-9171.

- 1 EHRlich, G.D., HILLER, N.L. and HU, F.Z. (2008). What makes pathogens pathogenic. *Genome*  
2 *Biol.*, 9: 225.
- 3 ELLIS, J.G., DODDS, P.N. and LAWRENCE, G.J. (2007). The role of secreted proteins in diseases of  
4 plants caused by rust, powdery mildew and smut fungi. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 10: 326-  
5 331.
- 6 FEAU, N., BERGERON, M.-J., JOLY, D.L., ROUSSEL, F. and HAMELIN, R.C. (2007a). Detection and  
7 validation of EST-derived SNPs for poplar leaf rust *Melampsora medusae* f. sp.  
8 *deltoidae*. *Mol. Ecol. Notes*, 7: 1222-1228.
- 9 FEAU, N., JOLY, D.L. and HAMELIN, R.C. (2007b). Poplar leaf rusts: model pathogens for a  
10 model tree. *Can. J. Bot.*, 85: 1127-1135.
- 11 FEAU, N., VIALLE, A., ALLAIRE, M., MAIER, W. and HAMELIN, R.C. (2011). DNA barcoding in  
12 the rust genus *Chrysomyxa* and its implications for the phylogeny of the genus.  
13 *Mycologia*, 103: 3852-3859.
- 14 FEAU, N., VIALLE, A., ALLAIRE, M., TANGUAY, P., JOLY, D.L., FREY, P., *et al.* (2009). Fungal  
15 pathogen (mis-) identifications: A case study with DNA barcodes on *Melampsora* rusts of  
16 aspen and white poplar. *Mycol. Res.*, 113: 713-724.
- 17 HACQUARD, S., DELARUELLE, C., LEGUÉ, V., TISSERANT, E., KOHLER, A., FREY, P., *et al.* (2010).  
18 Laser capture microdissection of uredinia formed by *Melampsora larici-populina*  
19 revealed a transcriptional switch between biotrophy and sporulation. *Mol. Plant-Microbe*  
20 *Interact.*, 23: 1275-1286.
- 21 HAHN, M. and MENDGEN, K. (2001). Signal and nutrient exchange at biotrophic plant-fungus  
22 interfaces. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 4: 322-327.

- 1 HAJIBABAEI, M., SINGER, G.A.C., HEBERT, P.D.N. and HICKEY, D.A. (2007). DNA barcoding:  
2 how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. *Trends*  
3 *Genet.*, 23: 167-172.
- 4 HALL, J. and MOODY, B. 1994. Forest depletions caused by insects and diseases in Canada 1982–  
5 1987. Forest Insect and Disease Survey. N. R. C. Canadian Forest Service. Ottawa,  
6 Information Report ST-X-8.
- 7 HEBERT, P.D.N. and GREGORY, T.R. (2005). The promise of DNA barcoding for taxonomy. *Syst.*  
8 *Biol.*, 54: 852-859.
- 9 HEBERT, P.D.N., PENTON, E.H., BURNS, J.M., JANZEN, D.H. and HALLWACHS, W. (2004). Ten  
10 species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly  
11 *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 101: 14812-14817.
- 12 HUGHES, J., LONGHORN, S.J., PAPADOPOULOU, A., THEODORIDES, K., DE RIVA, A., MEJIA-  
13 CHANG, M., *et al.* (2006). Dense taxonomic EST sampling and its applications for  
14 molecular systematics of the Coleoptera (beetles). *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 23: 268-278.
- 15 IDNURM, A. and HOWLETT, B.J. (2001). Pathogenicity genes of phytopathogenic fungi. *Mol.*  
16 *Plant Pathol.*, 2: 241-255.
- 17 JOLY, D. 2010. Découverte et caractérisation des petites protéines sécrétées chez les rouilles  
18 foliaires du peuplier (*Melampsora* spp.) Faculté de Foresterie et Géomatique. Québec,  
19 Laval. Ph. D.
- 20 JOLY, D.L., FEAU, N., TANGUAY, P. and HAMELIN, R.C. (2010). Comparative analysis of secreted  
21 protein evolution using expressed sequence tags from four poplar leaf rusts (*Melampsora*  
22 spp.). *BMC Genomics*, 11: 422.

- 1 KALE, S.D., GU, B., CAPELLUTO, D.G.S., DOU, D., FELDMAN, E., RUMORE, A., *et al.* (2010).  
2 External lipid PI3P mediates entry of eukaryotic pathogen effectors into plant and animal  
3 host cells. *Cell*, 142: 981-983.
- 4 KAMOUN, S. (2006). A catalogue of the effector secretome of plant pathogenic oomycetes. *Annu.*  
5 *Rev. Phytopathol.*, 44: 41–60.
- 6 KÄMPER, J., KAHMANN, R., BOLKER, M., MA, L.-J., BREFORT, T., SAVILLE, B.J., *et al.* (2006).  
7 Insights from the genome of the biotrophic fungal plant pathogen *Ustilago maydis*.  
8 *Nature*, 444: 97-101.
- 9 LOO, J.A. (2009). Ecological impacts of non-indigenous invasive fungi as forest pathogens. *Biol.*  
10 *Invasions*, 11: 81-96.
- 11 MACDONALD, W.L. (2003). Dominating North American forest pathology issues of the 20th  
12 century. *Phytopathology*, 93: 1039-1040.
- 13 MARTIN, F., AERTS, A., AHREN, D., BRUN, A., DANCHIN, E.G.J., DUCHAUSSOY, F., *et al.* (2008).  
14 The genome of *Laccaria bicolor* provides insights into mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nature*,  
15 452: 88-92.
- 16 NIELSEN, R. (2005). Molecular signatures of natural selection. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 39: 197-218.
- 17 PIMENTEL, D., LACH, L., ZUNIGA, R. and MORRISON, D. (2000). Environmental and economic  
18 costs of nonindigenous species in the United States. *BioScience*, 50: 53-65.
- 19 PINON, J. and FREY, P. (1997). Structure of *Melampsora larici-populina* populations on wild and  
20 cultivated poplar. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*, 103: 159-173.
- 21 RAFFAELE, S., FARRER, R.A., CANO, L.M., STUDHOLME, D.J., MACLEAN, D., THINES, M., *et al.*  
22 (2010). Genome evolution following host jumps in the Irish potato famine pathogen  
23 lineage. *Science*, 330: 1540-1543.

- 1   SCHOCH, C.L., SEIFERT, K.A. and CONSORTIUM, F.B. (2012). The nuclear ribosomal internal  
2       transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for fungi. *Proc. Natl.*  
3       *Acad. Sci. USA*, In Press.
- 4   SEIFERT, K.A. (2009). Progress towards DNA barcoding of fungi. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 9: 83-89.
- 5   SEIFERT, K.A., SAMSON, R.A., deWAARD, J.R., HOUBRAKEN, J., LÉVESQUE, C.A., MONCALVO, J.-  
6       M., *et al.* (2007). Prospects for fungus identification using *COI* DNA barcodes, with  
7       *Penicillium* as a test case. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 104: 3901-3906.
- 8   SOANES, D.M., RICHARDS, T.A. and TALBOT, N.J. (2007). Insights from sequencing fungal and  
9       oomycete genomes: what can we learn about plant disease and the evolution of  
10      pathogenicity? *Plant Cell*, 19: 3318-3326.
- 11  SPANU, P. and KÄMPER, J. (2010). Genomics of biotrophy in fungi and oomycetes - emerging  
12      patterns. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 13: 409-414.
- 13  SPANU, P.D., ABBOTT, J.C., AMSELEM, J., BURGIS, T.A., SOANES, D.M., STUBER, K., *et al.*  
14      (2010). Genome expansion and gene loss in powdery mildew fungi reveal tradeoffs in  
15      extreme parasitism. *Science*, 330: 1543-1546.
- 16  STAHL, E.A. and BISHOP, J.G. (2000). Plant-pathogen arms races at the molecular level. *Curr.*  
17      *Opin. Plant Biol.*, 3: 299-304.
- 18  STORZ, J.F. (2005). Using genome scans of DNA polymorphism to infer adaptive population  
19      divergence. *Mol. Ecol.*, 14: 671-688.
- 20  STUKENBROCK, E.H. and McDONALD, B.A. (2009). Population genetics of fungal and Oomycete  
21      effectors involved in gene-for-gene interactions. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.*, 22: 371-  
22      380.

- 1 TUSKAN, G.A., DIFAZIO, S., JANSSON, S., BOHLMANN, J., GRIGORIEV, I., HELLSTEN, U., *et al.*  
2 (2006). The genome of black cottonwood, *Populus trichocarpa* (Torr. & Gray). *Science*,  
3 313: 1596-1604.
- 4 VASEMÄGI, A., NILSSON, J. and PRIMMER, C.R. (2005). Expressed sequence tag-linked  
5 microsatellites as a source of gene-associated polymorphisms for detecting signatures of  
6 divergent selection in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 22: 1067-1076.
- 7 VIALLE, A., FEAU, N., ALLAIRE, M., DIDUKH, M., MARTIN, F., MONCALVO, J.M., *et al.* (2009).  
8 Evaluation of mitochondrial genes as DNA barcode for Basidiomycota. *Mol. Ecol.*  
9 *Resour.*, 9: 99-113.
- 10 VOEGELE, R.T. and MENDGEN, K. (2003). Rust haustoria: nutrient uptake and beyond. *New*  
11 *Phytol.*, 159: 93-100.
- 12 WIDIN, K.D. and SCHIPPER, Jr., A.L. (1981). Effect of *Melampsora medusae* leaf rust infection  
13 on yield of hybrid poplars in the north-central United States. *Eur. J. For. Pathol.*, 11:  
14 438-448.
- 15 XHAARD, C., ANDRIEUX, A., HALKETT, F. and FREY, P. (2009). Characterization of 41  
16 microsatellite loci developed from the genome sequence of the poplar rust fungus,  
17 *Melampsora larici-populina*. *Conserv. Genet. Resour.*, 1: 21-25.
- 18 XHAARD, C., FABRE, B., ANDRIEUX, A., GLADIEUX, P., BARRES, B., FREY, P., *et al.* (2011). The  
19 genetic structure of the plant pathogenic fungus *Melampsora larici-populina* on its wild  
20 host is extensively impacted by host domestication. *Mol. Ecol.*, 20: 2739-2755.
- 21  
22  
23

1 **Fig. 1.** Scheme for development of genomic resources to generate applications in forest pathogen  
 2 detection and monitoring.

3  
 4  
 5

