

**Microbiology of fermented soy foods in Asia: can we learn lessons for production of plant
cheese analogues?**

Jin Xie¹⁾ and Michael Gänzle^{1,2*}

¹⁾University of Alberta, Dept. of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, Edmonton, Canada

²⁾ Hubei University of Technology, College of Bioengineering and Food Science, Wuhan, Hubei,

People's Republic of China

* corresponding author footnote:

University of Alberta, Dept. of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, 4-10 Ag/For Centre,
Edmonton, AB, Canada, T6G 2P5

phone: + 1 780 492 0774;

mail: mgaenzle@ualberta.ca

Abstract

The food industry is facing the challenge of creating innovative, nutritious, and flavored plant-based products, due to consumer's increasing demand for the health and environmental sustainability. Fermentation as a unique and effective tool plays an important role in the innovation of food products. Traditional fermented soy foods are popular in many Asian and African countries as nutritious, digestible and flavorful daily staples or condiments. They are produced by specific microorganisms with the unique fermentation process in which microorganisms convert the ingredients of whole soybean or soybean curd to flavorful and functional molecules. This review provides an overview on traditional fermented food produced from soy, including *douchi*, *natto*, *tempeh*, and *sufu* as well as stinky tofu, including the background of these products, the manufacturing process, and the microbial diversity involved in fermentation procedures as well as flavor volatiles that were identified in the final products. The contribution of microbes to the quality of these five fermented soy foods is discussed, with the comparison to the role of cheese ripening microorganisms in cheese flavor formation. This communication aims to summarize the microbiology of fermented soy foods in Asia, evoking innovative ideas for the development of new plant-based fermented foods especially plant-based cheese analogues.

Keywords. *douchi*, *natto*, *tempeh*, *sufu*, plant-based cheese, *Lactobacillus*, *Bacillus*, *Aspergillus*.

Introduction

Fermentation is a traditional, low cost and effective unit operation in food production. It transforms agricultural raw materials into functional and flavored foods through desirable microbial growth and enzymatic activity (Marco et al., 2021). Fermentation is also considered as an effective tool to improve food safety through the reduction of anti-nutritive or even toxic compounds, and through fermentative production of antimicrobial products including organic acids, alcohols, and bacteriocins by that control spoilage organisms and pathogens (Gänzle, 2020; Ross et al., 2002). The characteristics and quality of final fermented products depends on the dominant microorganisms or core microbiota. Microorganisms commonly used for food fermentation include lactic acid bacteria, acetic acid bacteria, yeasts and filamentous fungi (Marco et al., 2021). Globally, fermented protein foods are prepared from soy or legumes, or from animal protein. In the European tradition of food fermentations, fermented protein foods are exclusively produced with animal proteins, i.e. meat, milk or fish (Gänzle, 2022). The current trend towards replacing animal proteins with plant proteins to increase the sustainability of food production, and to meet the demand of vegan or vegetarian consumers also necessitates to develop plant-based analogues of fermented animal protein foods (Grossmann and McClements, 2021). To date, the development of these fermented plant-protein foods was informed by the traditional use of cultures in meat and cheese fermentations, or the selection of starter cultures defaults to *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* and *Lacticaseibacillus casei* (Mefleh et al., 2021). However, traditional fermentation of plant protein foods, particularly fermented foods prepared from soy beans, may provide an additional knowledge base for design of fermentation processes, and for selection of starter cultures.

Legumes are among of the most important agricultural crops. Multiple traditional fermented foods employ legumes as main substrate and, among legumes, soy beans are most commonly used as

substrate for traditional fermented protein foods (Gänzle, 2022). Soy beans were domesticated several thousand years ago in East Asia and has been introduced to other parts of the world less than 500 years ago. It has a high protein content, about ~ 40% and a well-balanced amino acid composition (Etten et al., 1959; Medic et al., 2014). In addition, soy proteins exhibit good functional properties, including gelling formation, emulsification, water-holding, and foaming (Wolf, 1970). Thus, soybeans are an important source of plant proteins. In addition to the high content of proteins, soybeans also contain other nutrients including diverse carbohydrates and lipids as well as isoflavones (Medic et al., 2014). In East Asian countries, a variety of fermented soy foods has been traditionally prepared and consumed as staple foods or as condiments; examples include *douchi*, *natto*, *tempeh*, and *sufu*. Of these, *douchi*, *natto*, and *tempeh* are whole soybean-fermented products while *sufu*, a soft creamy “cheese-like” product, is fermented soybean curd (Lite, 2005).

Fermented soy foods are produced with diverse fermentation microbes. *Natto* is fermented with *Bacillus subtilis* biovar. *Natto* (Hosoi and Kiuchi, 2008), but *Rhizopus* spp. is a common starter culture for *tempeh*-making (Hartanti et al., 2015). Traditional fermented soy foods such as *douchi*, *sufu*, and *tempeh* are made using spontaneous fermentation, or with pure starter cultures to initiate the fermentation, followed by the maturation (Han et al., 2001b; Lite, 2005; Nout and Rombouts, 1990). Their microbial communities significantly differ depending on the processing method even though they are the same type of fermented soy products, subsequently influencing the flavor and quality of final products. There are two *douchi* products, *Aspergillus*-type Liuyang *douchi* and *Mucor*-type Yongchuan *douchi*, that exhibit remarkable differences in the microbiota composition and flavor (Yang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). Although the fermentation process, microbial compositions and their function on the flavor formation have been described, there are few studies

on summarizing their microbial diversity and microbial contributions to the flavor and quality of final fermented soy products.

The review summarizes the production process and microbiology of five fermented soy foods in Asia, including *douchi*, *natto*, *tempeh*, and *sufu* as well as stinky tofu, evaluates the potential contribution of microbes to the flavor and quality of final products, and discusses the question whether these traditional fermented products provide guidance for the development of plant-based analogues of plant-based cheeses and fermented meats.

Fermentation of soy beans.

Douchi

Douchi, a traditional fermented soybean product has been consumed in China as a protein source or seasoning, and has been used in Chinese traditional medicine (Chen et al., 2007; Lite, 2005; Lite et al., 2002). The production of *douchi* involves a two-stage fermentation process: koji-making or pre-fermentation and maturation or post-fermentation (Figure 1). According to the microbes in the koji-making phase, *douchi* can be grouped into three types: *Aspergillus*-type, i.e. Baoxiangyuan and Liuyang *douchi*, *Mucor*-type, i.e. Yongchuan *douchi*, and *Bacillus*-type, i.e. Qingyang *douchi* and Longnan *douchi* (Chen et al., 2007; Lite, 2005; Zhang et al., 2018). *Mucor douchi* is fermented at a lower temperature but for a longer fermentation time when compared to *Aspergillus* type *douchi* (Figure 1) (Lite, 2005). The salt content of *Aspergillus*-type Baoxiangyuan and Liuyang *douchi* ranges from 4 to 7% (w/w) (T. Chen et al., 2011b; Yang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2022), which are low-salt *douchi* products, but other *Aspergillus*-type *douchi* is produced with up to 16% (w/w) NaCl (Lite, 2005; Zhang et al., 2007). *Mucor*-type *douchi* is commonly produced as high-salt *douchi* with a salt content of more than 12% (He et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2012).

99 *Aspergillus*-type *douchi* is most widely produced. *Aspergillus*-type *douchi* is made in Southern
 100 China, e.g. Hunan, Jiangxi, Sichuan, and Guangdong provinces (Q. C. Chen et al., 2011; Chen et
 101 al., 2012; Fan et al., 2009). *Aspergillus* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. were identified as dominant
 102 microorganisms during pre-fermentation; *Aspergillus* strains originate from inoculation with
 103 starter cultures while *Bacillus* spp. originate from the ‘house flora’ or the raw material (T. Chen et
 104 al., 2011a, 2011b; Zhang et al., 2007). In the pre-fermentation step, *Aspergillus* and *Bacillus* spp.
 105 produce hydrolytic enzymes including proteases, cellulases, amylases, and lipases and thus
 106 generate substrates for other microorganisms in the subsequent *douchi* maturation (Hu et al., 2022;
 107 Pel et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). To prevent the formation of bitterness and astringency of the
 108 final products, the extend of hydrolysis is controlled by washing and addition of brine (Lite, 2005).
 109 *Douchi* is subsequently matured in a brine mixture of salts and seasonings without addition of
 110 starter cultures; the microbial communities of *douchi* and the flavor of the endproducts varies
 111 depending on the change of environmental conditions. The bacterial and fungal communities listed
 112 in Table 1 were identified as the dominant microbiota during maturation of *Aspergillus*-type
 113 *douchi* or in mature Baoxiangyuan and Liuyang *douchi*, which contain 4 – 7% NaCl (Chen et al.,
 114 2012, 2014, 2022; T. Chen et al., 2011b; Li et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019, 2016).
 115 *Mucor*-type *douchi* is mainly produced in South-Western China (Wang et al., 2021; Yu et al.,
 116 2022; Zhang et al., 2021) In pre-fermentation, *Mucor* species are inoculated as starter cultures
 117 (Lite, 2005) and also secrete hydrolytic enzymes. *Mucor qu* is prepared at lower temperature with
 118 slower fermentation compared to *Aspergillus qu* (Figure 1). For *Mucor*-type *douchi*, *Mucor qu* is
 119 mixed directly with salts and seasonings without washing, followed by the secondary fermentation.
 120 During post-fermentation phase of Yongchuan *douchi*, the bacterial genera *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter*,

and *Pseudomonas*, and the fungal genera *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* were identified as dominant members of fermentation microbiota (Zhang et al., 2021).

Bacteria-type *douchi* is commonly produced in North China including Beijing and the Shandong and Gansu provinces. It is usually generated through the spontaneous fermentation with “house flora”. Thus, the specificities of traditional bacteria-type *douchi* production vary depending on the environmental conditions. Zhang et al. (Zhang et al., 2018) characterized the microbial diversity of two bacteria-type *douchi* products and found that the microbial communities differed. The bacterial genera *Bacillus* and *Ignatzschineria* and the fungal genera *Pichia* and *Candida* were present in both *douchi* products.

The accumulation of tastants and volatile odorants imparts fermented *douchi* with a unique odor and taste. The content of free amino acids increased gradually during fermentation (Zhang et al., 2007) and most of the volatiles are generated in the post-fermentation stage (Yang et al., 2019). Because the optimum water activity for the Maillard reaction, 0.5 - 0.7 (Pereyra Gonzales et al., 2010), matches the water activity of *douchi* during drying, Maillard products that are formed during drying may additionally contribute to the flavor formation of *douchi* (L. Liu et al., 2022). Different types of *douchi* exhibit a different composition of volatiles due to the distinct composition of fermentation microbiota during post-fermentation. In *Aspergillus*-type Liuyang *douchi*, the volatiles phenylethyl alcohol, phenethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, 2-methyl-butanal, ethyl 2-methylbutyrate, 2,6-dimethylpyrazine, 1-octen-3-ol, 2-pentyl furan, benzeneacetaldehyde and phenethyl butyrate were identified (Q. C. Chen et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2021). Naturally matured *Mucor*-type *douchi* contained a higher diversity of volatile compounds including esters, phenols, and pyrazines compared to *Mucor*-type *douchi* inoculated by defined starters (He et al., 2016), likely reflecting that more diverse fermentation microbiota generally result in a more

diverse and intense flavor profile. The use of co-cultures which consist of the salt tolerant *Tetragenococcus halophilus*, *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* and *Candida versatilis* accelerated the formation of volatiles (He et al., 2016). Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2021) identified 10 compounds present with high odor activity values in *Mucor*-type Yongchuan *douchi*, including diacetyl, dimethyl trisulfide, acetic acid, acetylpyrazine, 3-methylvaleric acid, 4-methylvaleric acid, 2-methoxyphenol, maltol, γ -nonanolactone, eugenol and phenylacetic acid. *Aspergillus*-type *douchi* had more phenols and pyrazines while *Mucor*-type *douchi* contained more esters and acids (Wang et al., 2010b). *Mucor*-type Yongchuan *douchi* matured for 10 month contained a higher content of the umami-tasting glutamic acid and aspartic acid and a lower content of bitter-tasting amino acids such as isoleucine, leucine and arginine when compared to *Aspergillus*-type Yongchuan *douchi* that was matured for one month (Zhang et al., 2021). Esters that impart floral and fruity odors, including ethyl isovalerate, isoamyl acetate, and ethyl phenylacetate, were also present in higher quantities in the *Mucor*-type Yongchuan *douchi* when compared to *Aspergillus*-type Yongchuan *douchi* (Zhang et al., 2021).

Natto

Natto, a Japanese fermented soybean food, is categorized into three types based on the production methods and microorganisms used, including *yukiwari-natto*, *hama-natto*, and *itohiki-natto*. *Itohiki-natto* (hereafter shortened to “natto”) is fermented with *Bacillus subtilis* biovar. Natto and is the most widely consumed product in Japan (Hosoi and Kiuchi, 2008; Reddy et al., 1983; Ruiz Sella et al., 2021). The procedure for *natto*-making is shown in Figure 2. Natto strains are able to impart *natto* with the characteristic flavor and the sticky texture after fermentation. They have a very similar genome structure to other *B. subtilis* strains that are not suitable for *natto*-making but additionally require biotin for growth (Kubo et al., 2011). The sticky material on the *natto* surface

consists mainly of poly- γ -glutamate (γ -PGA) and polysaccharides (Saito et al., 1974). The texture of *natto* is dependent on γ -PGA; the amount of γ -PGA produced, in turn, relates to the available carbon sources and the concentration of L-glutamate (Shih and Van, 2001). More γ -PGA was produced by *Bacillus subtilis* biovar. Natto using a medium with citric acid, ammonium sulfate and a lower concentration of L-glutamate (Kunioka, 1995). The genetic determinants of *Bacillus* species that relate to their use in food fermentations including *natto* fermentation were recently reviewed (Li et al., 2023).

The flavor characteristics of *natto* depends on the fermentation conditions, the raw material, and *natto* strains that are used (Wei et al., 2001). A storage temperature of more than 15 °C promoted the production of ammonia and branched-fatty acids during maturation, leading to an undesirable ammonia smell (Kanno and Takamatsu, 1987). Thus, storage temperatures of less than 10 °C are used to obtain good quality *natto* (Kada et al., 2008). Soybeans with a higher sugar content but a lower protein and oil content generated *natto* products with superior sensory properties (Yoshikawa et al., 2014). Natto strains secrete protease, especially the serine protease nattokinase, to hydrolyse proteins into peptides and amino acids (Ichishima et al., 1986; Ju et al., 2019; Lan et al., 2020). Natto strains also express levansucrase to synthesize the polysaccharide levan from sucrose or raffinose (Bersaneti et al., 2018; Shih et al., 2010, 2005). Pyrazines produced by *B. subtilis* biovar. Natto strains are key contributors to the characteristic odour of natto (Kłosowski et al., 2021; Kosuge et al., 1971; Liu et al., 2018a; Sugawara et al., 1985). Key aroma compounds in *natto* include 2,3-butanedione, 5-methyl-2-hexanone, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 2-nonanone, furfuraldehyde, acetic acid, 2-ethyl butyric acid, ethyl acetate, 2,5-dimethylpyrazine, 2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine, 3,5-dimethyl-2-ethylpyrazine, 2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine, 2,3,5-methyl-6-

ethylpyrazine, and benzaldehyde; of these, the pyrazines exhibited the highest odor activity values (Liu et al., 2018b; Tanaka et al., 1998).

Tempeh

Tempeh (or *tempe*) is a mold-fermented soybean food which is commonly consumed in Indonesia as snack or as staple food (Nout and Rombouts, 1990). Other legumes, cereal grains and processing by-products have been also used for the tempeh production. Tempeh-making involves a two-stage fermentation: the natural fermentation by lactic acid bacteria during soybean soaking and fungal fermentation by *Rhizopus* spp. starter cultures (Figure 3). In the first-stage, spontaneous fermentation, microorganisms shown in Table 2 were identified, in which *Lactocaseibacillus casei*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* are dominant microbiota responsible for the acidification during the soaking of soybeans, where the pH value drops from 6.5 to 4.5 (Mulyowidarso et al., 1989). To increase the reliability of the acidification by lactic acid bacteria during soaking, the addition of lactic or acetic acids to the soaking water (Nout et al., 1985), back-slopping of the soaking water, or use of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* as starter culture were used (Nout et al., 1987b). *Leuconostoc fallax*, *Pediococcus pentosaceus*, and *Weissella cibaria* were identified as dominant members of bacterial communities after 10 back-slopping cycles at 30 °C (Yan et al., 2013). Acidification to pH values ranging from pH 3.5–5.0 (Yoneya, 2004) inhibits the growth of potential pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms including *Staphylococcus aureus* (Nout et al., 1988) and *Bacillus cereus* (Nout et al., 1987a) but does not impact growth of *Rhizopus* if the pH remains above 3.5.

After soaking, the hulls of the soybeans are removed, followed by a cooking process that softens the matrix and eliminates most undesirable microorganisms. In the second-stage of fermentation, *Rhizopus* spp. such as *R. arrhizus*, *R. delemar*, *R. microsporus* and *R. stolonifer* are dominant

(Dwidjoseputro and Wolf, 1970; Hartanti et al., 2015; Sjamsuridzal et al., 2021). In addition to *Rhizopus* spp, bacteria and yeasts have frequently been isolated from tempeh (Table 2) (Ashenafi and Busse, 1991a; Mulyowidarso et al., 1990; Samson et al., 1987). The use of co-inoculant lactobacilli in tempeh fermentation prevents the growth of undesirable microorganisms and generate a high quality product (Nout et al., 1987a). *Citrobacter freundii*, *K. pneumoniae* or *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* have also been investigated as a co-inoculants to increase the yield of vitamin B12 in tempeh fermentation (Keuth and Bisping, 1994; Signorini et al., 2018; Wiesel et al., 1997).

Fresh tempeh appears as a firm cake covered with white mycelium and a meaty, mushroom-like and nutty flavor (Hachmeister and Fung, 1993). *Rhizopus* spp. strains as dominant fermenters produce a variety of glycosyl hydrolases, proteases and lipases to break down polysaccharides, proteins and lipids (Nout and Rombouts, 1990). Amino acids and peptides impart umami taste (Amin et al., 2020). 2-Methylpropanal, 1-octene-3-ol and 3-(methylthio)propanal were determined as the major aroma compounds in tempeh fermented by *R. oligosporus* NRRL 2710 (Jeleń et al., 2013). The use of the co-inoculant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* additionally contributed to the formation of alcohol, ester, styrene, phenol, and maltol compared to the regular fermented tempeh (Kustyawati et al., 2017).

Fermentation of precipitated soy proteins

Sufu (furu)

Sufu (Furu or Dou-furu), a fermented soybean curd product is a soft, flavoured and creamy cheese-like product that is consumed as staple food in China. The production of *sufu* involves two-step fermentation processes: pre-fermentation or *pehtze*-making and post-fermentation or *sufu* ripening

(Figure 4). According to the microbes that are present in *pehtze*-making, *sufu* can be grouped into mold-fermented and bacteria-fermented *sufu* (Han et al., 2001b).

Dominant microorganisms during *sufu* ripening are shown in Table 3. The molds *Actinomucor* spp., *Mucor* spp., and *Rhizopus* spp. are commonly used as pure starter cultures for the *pehtze*-making of mold-fermented *sufu* (Cheng et al., 2011; Han et al., 2001b, 2004a; Huang et al., 2018; X. Li et al., 2021), which produce proteases (Chou and Hwan, 1994; Han et al., 1988). Strains of *Micrococcus* spp. and/or *Bacillus* spp are also used as starter cultures for the production of bacteria-fermented *sufu* (Bao et al., 2020; Han et al., 2004a), of these two, *Bacillus* spp. produce extracellular proteases (Li et al., 2023).

Flavor formation occurs predominantly in the ripening stage of *sufu* fermentation and is highly dependent on the dressing mixtures (Figure 4) and the activity of microorganisms (He et al., 2022; He and Chung, 2020; Huang et al., 2018; Song et al., 2021; Yao et al., 2021). The final salt content of *sufu* ranges from 10 to 14%, imparting a salty taste to *sufu* (Han et al., 2001b). In ripened *sufu*, free amino acids accumulate and umami-tasting glutamic acid was the most abundant (Han et al., 2004b; Kim et al., 2011; Li et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2021). Ethyl 2-methylpropanoate, diacetyl, ethyl butanoate, ethyl 2-methylbutanoate, 3-(methylthio)propanal, ethyl 3-phenylpropionate, 2-heptone, ethyl pentanoate, ethyl hexanoate, ethyl heptanoate, ethyl octanoate, benzaldehyde, and phenylethanol contribute to the aroma of red *sufu* (Chung, 2000; Chung et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2019). (E,E)-2,4-Decadienal, ethyl hexanoate, eugenol, methional, ethyl 2-methylbutyrate, (E,E)-2,4-nonadienal, and 1-octen-3-ol were identified as aroma compounds with high odour activity value in white *sufu* (He et al., 2020). Production of volatile compounds including hexadecenoic acid ethyl ester, methoxy acetic acid pentyl ester, benzene propanoic acid ethyl ester, ethyl 9-hexadecenoate, ethyl oleate, ethanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol, 5-

methoxy-1-pentanol, and eugenol during ripening provided the typical flavor for bacteria-fermented Kedong *sufu* (Fan et al., 2019).

Stinky tofu

Stinky tofu (*choudoufu*), a popular Chinese snack, essentially consists of tofu seasoned with a fermented brine. The flowchart for making of stinky tofu is shown in Figure 5. The Mandarin word for stinky tofu (臭豆腐, *choudoufu*) is best translated as “smelly tofu” because the word “*chou*” has no negative connotation and is also used for strong but acceptable flavors. In contrast, the English word “stinky” is used to describe a negative perception of odor. The scientific literature, however, consistently refers to *choudoufu* as “stinky tofu” so this communication follows convention. The brine with the strong odour is produced by fermentation. Two formulas with different raw materials are commonly used, one consisting mainly of mixed vegetables such as spiny amaranth, bamboo shoot and wax gourd with or without meat; the second additionally contains mashed tofu (Chao et al., 2008). Only few studies describe differences in the microbial communities in the two types of stinky brines. Also, microbes that are present during different fermentation phases are only partially investigated (Gu et al., 2018a). Lactic acid bacteria and the genus *Bacillus* are considered as dominant microbiota in the fermentation of stinky brines. Organisms of the genera *Enterococcus*, *Lactococcus*, *Streptococcus*, several genera of the *Lactobacillaceae* as well as *Bacillus* spp. such as *B. megaterium*, *B. polymyxa*, *B. pumilus*, *B. subtilis*, and *B. sphaericus* were identified in fermented stinky brines (Chao et al., 2008; 2010; Gu et al., 2018b; Lei et al., 2013; G. Liu et al., 2022). The lactic acid bacteria in stinky tofu brines overlap with core microorganisms in spontaneous vegetable fermentation (Ashaolu and Reale, 2020). The strains of the genus *Paraeggerthella* and *Eggerthella* involving the S-equal production were also isolated from brines of stinky tofu (Abiru et al., 2013).

Stinky tofu is categorized as alkaline fermented food where ammonia is produced from amino acids during fermentation. Ammonia formed during the fermentation of stinky brines results from the deamination of amino acids in neutral or alkaline conditions. Ammonia and CO₂ in the stinky brine permeates into the tofu during brining but evaporates during deep-frying or steaming process, imparting a fluffy and porous texture on the surface of stinky tofu (Teng et al., 2004). Volatile compounds imparting the characteristic flavor of stinky brines include camphene, caryophyllene, D-limonene, 3-(1,5-dimethyl-4 hexene)-6 methylene-cyclohexene, 5-(1,5-dimethyl-4-hexene)-2-methyl-1,3-cyclohexane), butanoic acid, pentanoic acid, heptanoic acid, octanoic acid, nonanoic acid, N-decanoic acid, dodecanoic acid, tridecanoic acid, hexadecenoic acid, and tetradecanoic acid, 2,6-dimethyl-2-octanol, butane, pentanoic acid-2,2,4-trimethyl-3-carboxyisopropyl-isobutyl ester, 2-undecanone, dimethylamine, and sulfur hydrogen sulfide (Wang et al., 2020).

Similarities and difference of fermented soy products and cheeses.

Focussing on the differences first, the substrate supply in soy or plant-based cheese analogues produced from soy or pulses along with other ingredients such as nuts or seeds and fats or oils differs substantially from the substrate supply in milk or cheeses. Milk contains lactose as sole source of fermentable carbohydrates and lactose metabolism is a major criterion for starter cultures (van de Guchte et al., 2006; Wels et al., 2019). Soybean and soybean curd contain more diverse carbohydrates, including fructose, glucose, sucrose, maltose, raffinose, stachyose and verbascose (Medic et al., 2014) and the ability of cultures to ferment raffinose-family oligosaccharides likely impacts acidification (Teixeira et al., 2012). In addition, plant-based cheese analogues contain starch and non-starch polysaccharides (Medic et al., 2014), which are completely absent in milk or cheese. Extracellular glycosyl hydrolases that degrade starch and non-starch polysaccharides thus impact the ripening. These enzymes are commonly produced by bacilli and mycelial fungi

(Li et al., 2023) but not by lactic acid bacteria or yeasts (Gänzle and Follador, 2012). Phytate hydrolysis as well as the degradation of other anti-nutritive components including raffinose-family oligosaccharides and lectins is relevant in fermentation of pulses and cereals but not in milk (Gänzle, 2020; Tsuji et al., 2015). Pulses, as any other plant material, contain phenolic compounds and the ability of lactic acid bacteria to hydrolyse glycosides of secondary plant metabolites or to convert phenolic acids to flavor volatiles or other bioactives will impact product quality (Gaur and Gänzle, 2023; C. Li et al., 2021). Last but not least, milk fat is composed of diverse fatty acids including C4 to C7 short chain fatty acids that are odor-active upon release from the triglycerides (McSweeney et al., 2020) but short chain fatty acids are generally absent in plant oils. Conversely, unpasteurized plant substrates have lipoxygenase activity which oxidizes unsaturated fatty acids and generates the “beany” flavor of protein preparations from pulses. This flavor defect requires heterofermentative lactobacilli to reduce the odor-active aldehydes to alcohols with a much higher odor threshold (Sugahara et al., 2022).

The primary acidification cultures in cheese making and in fermentation of plant cheeses also differ. Cheese-making always involves primary acidification with a traditional back-slopped starter culture, or defined strain starter cultures derived from traditional cultures that contain *Streptococcus thermophilus* with *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* or *Lactobacillus helveticus*, or *Lactococcus lactis* and *Lactococcus cremoris* in association with *Leuconostoc* spp. (Parente et al., 2017). *L. delbrueckii* is highly specialized on lactose as substrate (van de Guchte et al., 2006) and unlikely to perform well in any plant fermentation. *Lc. lactis* and *Leuconostoc* spp. also occur in association with plants and in spontaneous plant fermentations and may be suitable for production of plant-based cheese analogues (Sooresh et al., 2023; Strafella et al., 2021). The traditional fermentation of soy (Tables 1 – 3) and other plant fermentations (Gänzle, 2022) relies on dozens

of other species of lactic acid bacteria that may be more suitable for fermentation of plant-based cheese analogues than either lactococci with *Leuconostoc* spp. or the current default *Lp. plantarum* or *Lc. casei* (Mefleh et al., 2021). While the commercial availability of starter cultures dedicated to plant-based dairy and meat alternatives is increasing, these do not yet take advantage of the biodiversity of lactobacilli with potential use in plant-based cheese analogues. For example, the use of heterofermentative lactobacilli likely is a necessity to control the “beany” flavor caused by lipid oxidation products (Sugahara et al., 2022).

The succession of fermentation microbiota also differs in cheese ripening and traditional soy fermentations. Cheese making relies on acidification by lactic acid bacteria, followed by ripening with diverse ripening cultures. Depending on the type of cheese, microorganisms during ripening include non-starter lactic acid bacteria, propionibacteria, *P. roqueforti* or the surface cultures *Penicillium camemberti* in association with yeasts including *Debaryomyces hansenii* and *Geotrichum candidum*. Bacterial red smear surface cultures include *Brevibacterium* spp. and *Corynebacterium* spp. in addition to a large diversity of other microbes (Fox et al., 2017; Irlinger et al., 2017; Wolfe et al., 2014). In traditional Asian pulse fermentations, this sequence is reversed; hydrolytic cultures including mycelial fungi and / or bacilli grow first, followed by growth of yeasts and lactic acid bacteria to develop the texture, taste and odor of the product (Figures 1 and 4). Because most lactobacilli do not express extracellular protease activities (Zheng et al., 2015), a pre-fermentation with protease-producing microbes may be suitable for products that are composed of pasteurized ingredients.

The fermentation of cheeses and of traditional pulse fermentations is similar in one major aspect: Microbial metabolism converts sugars, proteins and lipids to taste-active compounds and odorants. The composition of ripening microbiota in cheeses and fermented soy food overlaps to some

extend and includes e.g. *Lacticaseibacillus* spp. and *Lactiplantibacillus* spp.. Thus, the formation of tastants and odorants in cheeses and plant-based cheese-analogues may involve comparable microbes, metabolic pathways, and flavor-active compounds.

Carbohydrate metabolism is an important way to produce flavor compounds. In cheese making, the flavor compounds such as acetate, diacetyl and acetoin are generated through the metabolism of lactose and citrate by the starter culture (Lo et al., 2018; McSweeney et al., 2017). The conversion of citrate to diacetyl has also been described for plant-based fermentations with addition of lemon or apple juice as a source of citrate (Comasio et al., 2021).

Proteolysis and catabolism of amino acids are the major process for the flavor formation of cheese (Yvon and Rijnen, 2001). The molds and some of lactic acid bacteria in cheese aging possess comprehensive proteolytic systems, which can accelerate cheese ripening and convert the peptides that are produced by protease and peptidase originating from milk, coagulant and starter cultures to small peptides and amino acids (Griffiths and Tellez, 2013; McSweeney, 2017). Some of peptides and amino acids directly contribute to the flavor of cheese; the bitter peptides produced in aging process provided ripened Cheddar cheese with a perception of bitterness (Karametsi et al., 2014), and glutamic acid generated in ripening phase imparts umami taste (Ganesan and Weimer, 2017; Toelstede and Hofmann, 2008). Proteolysis is also a critical source for the production of flavor compounds in fermented soy foods; glutamic acid was as the most abundant of free amino acids in ripened *sufu* and several hydrophobic amino acids such as Phe, Leu, and Val contributed to bitterness of *sufu* (Xie et al., 2018).

In cheese ripening, yeasts and some lactic acid bacteria transform amino acids including valine, leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, tryptophan, tyrosine and methionine into odor volatiles such as aldehydes, alcohols and carboxylic acids by amino acid catabolism pathway/Ehrlich pathway

(Dzialo et al., 2017; Yvon and Rijnen, 2001). The sulphur compounds including methional, methanethiol, dimethyldisulphide and dimethyltrisulphide are also major aroma compounds of cheese, resulting from methionine degradation by cheese microorganisms such as *Brevibacterium lines* and *Geotrichum candidum* through amino acid elimination pathway (Berger et al., 1999; Dias and Weimer, 1998). However, the sulphur compounds are usually absent from fermented soy foods due to the limitation of methionine in the soy protein.

Free fatty acids are either aroma compounds such as butyric acid and hexanoic acid, or important precursors of aroma compounds such as esters, ketones, lactones, and secondary alcohols (Thierry et al., 2017). Cheese ripening microorganisms including *Propionibacterium freudenreichii*, *Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Geotrichum candidum*, and *Penicillium* spp. have high lipase activities, releasing free fatty acids from fat (Abeijón Mukdsi et al., 2014; Corzo and Revah, 1999; Gaborit et al., 2001; McSweeney and Sousa, 2000). During sufu ripening, a variety of ethyl esters were synthesized from the ethanol in dressing mixtures and fatty acids (Liang et al., 2019; Yao et al., 2021).

The salt content directly influences the overall acceptance of food. In order to inhibit the growth of undesirable microbiota, the high concentrations of salt solution are frequently utilized in the fermentation of *douchi* and *sufu*. The salt contents of final *douchi* and *sufu* products range from 5 to 18%, imparting a very salty taste to these two products. However, the salt content of cheese varies among different-type products, ranging from 0.5 to 6% (Guinee, 2004), which is significantly lower than that in *douchi* or *sufu*. There were more high salt tolerant microorganisms survived in post-fermentation of *douchi* or *sufu*, compared to those in cheese ripening.

Conclusions

Fermented soy foods exhibit the unique characteristics as a result of specific fermentation process and microbial activity. The heating step inactivates the vegetative microorganisms and enzymes in raw soybeans prior to the major fermentation. Thus, with the exception of stinky tofu, fermented soy foods use fungi and *Bacillus* spp. as starter cultures to produce a variety of hydrolytic enzymes to decompose proteins, polysaccharides and lipids at the initial stage of fermentation. There is no addition of salts in the fermentation of *natto* and *tempeh*. Their flavor and quality are highly related to the microbial activity of starter cultures. However, *douchi* and *sufu* undergo a long-time maturation and the addition of salts is essential to control the undesirable microorganism growth during the maturation. The lactic acid bacteria and yeasts dominate at the end of post-fermentation and finally impart the characteristic flavor and texture to the final products by a series of microbial enzymatic reactions. The understanding of major fermentation microbes and the major pathways for flavor formation in the production of traditionally fermented soy may provide helpful templates for the design of plant-based fermented products.

Acknowledgements

Michael Gänzle acknowledges the Canada Research Program for funding; Jin Xie acknowledges scholarship support from the China Scholarship Council.

References

- Abeijón Mukdsi, M.C., Falentin, H., Maillard, M.B., Chuat, V., Beatriz Medina, R., Parayre, S., Thierry, A., 2014. The secreted esterase of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* has a major role in cheese lipolysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 751–756.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03640-13>
- Abiru, Y., Ueno, T., Uchiyama, S., 2013. Isolation and characterization of novel S-equol-

416 producing bacteria from brines of stinky tofu, a traditional fermented soy food in Taiwan. Int.
 417 J. Food Sci. Nutr. 64, 936–943. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2013.816936>

418 Amin, M.N.G., Kusnadi, J., Hsu, J.L., Doerksen, R.J., Huang, T.C., 2020. Identification of a novel
 419 umami peptide in tempeh (Indonesian fermented soybean) and its binding mechanism to the
 420 umami receptor T1R. Food Chem. 333, 127411.
 421 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127411>

422 Ashaolu, T.J., Reale, A., 2020. A holistic review on Euro-Asian lactic acid bacteria fermented
 423 cereals and vegetables. Microorganisms 8, 1176.
 424 <https://doi.org/10.3390/MICROORGANISMS8081176>

425 Ashenafi, M., Busse, M., 1991a. Development of microorganisms during cold storage of pea and
 426 chickpea tempeh and effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* storage microflora. J. Sci. Food
 427 Agric. 56, 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740560108>

428 Ashenafi, M., Busse, M., 1991b. Growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in fermenting tempeh made
 429 from various beans and its inhibition by *Lactobacillus plantarum*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 70, 329–
 430 333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1992.tb01182.x>

431 Bao, W., Huang, X., Liu, J., Han, B., Chen, J., 2020. Influence of *Lactobacillus brevis* on
 432 metabolite changes in bacteria-fermented sufu. J. Food Sci. 85, 165–172.
 433 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14968>

434 Berger, C., Khan, J.A., Molimard, P., Martin, N., Spinnler, H.E., 1999. Production of sulfur flavors
 435 by ten strains of *Geotrichum candidum*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65, 5510–5514.
 436 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.65.12.5510-5514.1999>

437 Bersaneti, G.T., Pan, N.C., Baldo, C., Celligoi, M.A.P.C., 2018. Co-production of
 438 fructooligosaccharides and levan by levansucrase from *Bacillus subtilis* natto with potential
 439 application in the food industry. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol. 184, 838–851.
 440 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-017-2587-0>

441 Chao, S.H., Sasamoto, M., Kudo, Y., Fujimoto, J., Tsai, Y.C., Watanabe, K., 2010. *Lactobacillus*
 442 *odoratitofui* sp. nov., isolated from stinky tofu brine. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 60, 2903–
 443 2907. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.019307-0>

444 Chao, S.H., Tomii, Y., Sasamoto, M., Fujimoto, J., Tsai, Y.C., Watanabe, K., 2008a. *Lactobacillus*
 445 *capillatus* sp. nov., a motile bacterium isolated from stinky tofu brine. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
 446 Microbiol. 58, 2555–2559. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65834-0>

447 Chao, S.H., Tomii, Y., Watanabe, K., Tsai, Y.C., 2008b. Diversity of lactic acid bacteria in
 448 fermented brines used to make stinky tofu. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 123, 134–141.
 449 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.12.010>

450 Chen, J., Cheng, Y.Q., Yamaki, K., Li, L.T., 2007. Anti- α -glucosidase activity of Chinese
 451 traditionally fermented soybean (douchi). Food Chem. 103, 1091–1096.
 452 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.10.003>

453 Chen, Q.C., Xu, Y.X., Wu, P., Xu, X.Y., Pan, S.Y., 2011. Aroma impact compounds in Liuyang
 454 douchi, a Chinese traditional fermented soya bean product. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 46,
 455 1823–1829. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02687.x>

456 Chen, T., Jiang, S., Xiong, S., Wang, M., Zhu, D., Wei, H., 2012. Application of denaturing
 457 gradient gel electrophoresis to microbial diversity analysis in Chinese douchi. J. Sci. Food
 458 Agric. 92, 2171–2176. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.5604>

459 Chen, T., Wang, M., Jiang, S., Xiong, S., Zhu, D., Wei, H., 2011a. Investigation of the microbial
 460 changes during koji-making process of Douchi by culture-dependent techniques and PCR-
 461 DGGE. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 46, 1878–1883. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02696.x)
 462 2621.2011.02696.x

463 Chen, T., Wang, M., Li, S., Wu, Q., Wei, H., 2014. Molecular identification of microbial
 464 community in surface and undersurface douchi during postfermentation. *J. Food Sci.* 79,
 465 M653–M658. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.12417>

466 Chen, T., Xiong, S., Jiang, S., Wang, M., Wu, Q., Wei, H., 2011b. Molecular identification of
 467 microbial community in Chinese douchi during post-fermentation process. *Food Sci.*
 468 *Biotechnol.* 20, 1633–1638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-011-0225-0>

469 Chen, Y., Li, P., He, W., Liao, L., Xia, B., Jiang, L., Liu, Y., 2022. Analysis of microbial
 470 community and the characterization of *Aspergillus flavus* in Liuyang Douchi during
 471 fermentation. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 154, 112567.
 472 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112567>

473 Chen, Y., Li, P., Liao, L., Qin, Y., Jiang, L., Liu, Y., 2021. Characteristic fingerprints and volatile
 474 flavor compound variations in Liuyang Douchi during fermentation via HS-GC-IMS and HS-
 475 SPME-GC-MS. *Food Chem.* 361, 130055. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130055>

476 Cheng, Y.Q., Zhu, Y.P., Hu, Q., Li, L.T., Saito, M., Zhang, S.X., Yin, L.J., 2011. Transformation
 477 of isoflavones during sufu (a traditional Chinese fermented soybean curd) production by
 478 fermentation with *Mucor flavus* at low temperature. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 14, 629–639.
 479 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910903312486>

480 Chou, C.C., Hwan, C.H., 1994. Effect of ethanol on the hydrolysis of protein and lipid during the

481 ageing of a chinese fermented soya bean curd—Sufu. J. Sci. Food Agric. 66, 393–398.
 482 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740660318>

483 Chung, H.Y., 2000. Volatile flavor components in red fermented soybean (*Glycine max*) curds. J.
 484 Agric. Food Chem. 48, 1803–1809. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf991272s>

485 Chung, H.Y., Fung, P.K., Kim, J.S., 2005. Aroma impact components in commercial plain sufu.
 486 J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 1684–1691. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048617d>

487 Comasio, A., Kerrebroeck, S.V., Vuyst, L.D., 2021. Lemon juice and apple juice used as source
 488 of citrate and malate, respectively, enhance the formation of buttery aroma compounds and/or
 489 organic acids during Type 2 and Type 3 sourdough productions performed with
 490 *Companilactobacillus crustorum* LMG 2. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 339, 109020.
 491 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2020.109020>

492 Corzo, G., Revah, S., 1999. Production and characteristics of the lipase from *Yarrowia lipolytica*
 493 681. Bioresour. Technol. 70, 173–180. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(99\)00024-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(99)00024-3)

494 Dias, B., Weimer, B., 1998. Conversion of methionine to thiols by lactococci, lactobacilli, and
 495 brevibacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64, 3320–3326.
 496 <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.64.9.3320-3326.1998>

497 Dwidjoseputro, D., Wolf, F.T., 1970. Microbiological studies of Indonesian fermented foodstuffs.
 498 Mycopathol. Mycol. Appl. 41, 211–222.

499 Dzialo, M.C., Park, R., Steensels, J., Lievens, B., Verstrepen, K.J., 2017. Physiology, ecology and
 500 industrial applications of aroma formation in yeast. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 41, S95–S128.
 501 <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux031>

502 Endo, A., Irisawa, T., Dicks, L., Tanasupawat, S., 2014. Fermented foods: Fermentations of East
 503 and Southeast Asia, in: Encyclopedia of Food Microbiology: Second Edition. Elsevier Ltd,
 504 pp. 846–851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384730-0.00119-1>

505 Etten, C.H.V., Hubbard, J.E., Mallan, J.M., Smith, A.K., Blessin, C.W., 1959. Amino acid
 506 composition of soybean protein fractions. J. Agric. Food Chem. 7, 129–131.
 507 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60096a009>

508 Fan, J., Hu, X., Tan, S., Zhang, Y., Tatsumi, E., Li, T., 2009. Isolation and characterisation of a
 509 novel angiotensin I-converting enzyme-inhibitory peptide derived from douchi, a traditional
 510 Chinese fermented soybean food. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89, 603–608.
 511 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3482>

512 Fan, X., Liu, G., Qiao, Y., Zhang, Y., Leng, C., Chen, H., Sun, J., Feng, Z., 2019. Characterization
 513 of volatile compounds by SPME-GC-MS during the ripening of Kedong sufu, a typical
 514 Chinese traditional bacteria-fermented soybean product. J. Food Sci. 84, 2441–2448.
 515 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14760>

516 Feng, X.M., Eriksson, A.R.B., Schnürer, J., 2005. Growth of lactic acid bacteria and *Rhizopus*
 517 *oligosporus* during barley tempeh fermentation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 104, 249–256.
 518 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2005.03.005>

519 Feng, Z., Gao, W., Ren, D., Chen, X., Li, J., 2013. Evaluation of bacterial flora during the ripening
 520 of Kedong sufu, a typical Chinese traditional bacteria-fermented soybean product. J. Sci.
 521 Food Agric. 93, 1471–1478. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.5918>

522 Fox, P.F., Guinee, T.P., Cogan, T.M., McSweeney, P.L.H., 2017. Microbiology of cheese ripening,
 523 in: Fundamentals of Cheese Science. Springer, Boston, MA, pp. 333–390.

524 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7681-9_11

525 Gaborit, P., Menard, A., Morgan, F., 2001. Impact of ripening strains on the typical flavour of goat
 526 cheeses. *Int. Dairy J.* 11, 315–325. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946\(01\)00061-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00061-9)

527 Ganesan, B., Weimer, B.C., 2017. Amino acid catabolism and its relationship to cheese flavor
 528 outcomes, in: *Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology*. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 483–516.
 529 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00019-3>

530 Gänzle, M., 2022. The periodic table of fermented foods: Limitations and opportunities. *Appl.*
 531 *Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 106, 2815–2826. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00253-022-11909-Y>

532 Gänzle, M., Follador, R., 2012. Metabolism of oligosaccharides and starch in lactobacilli: A
 533 review. *Front. Microbiol.* 3, 340. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2012.00340>

534 Gänzle, M.G., 2020. Food fermentations for improved digestibility of plant foods – an essential ex
 535 situ digestion step in agricultural societies? *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 32, 124–132.
 536 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2020.04.002>

537 Gaur, G., Gänzle, M.G., 2023. Conversion of (poly)phenolic compounds in food fermentations by
 538 lactic acid bacteria: Novel insights into metabolic pathways and functional metabolites. *Curr.*
 539 *Res. Food Sci.* 6, 100448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRFS.2023.100448>

540 Griffiths, M.W., Tellez, A.M., 2013. *Lactobacillus helveticus*: the proteolytic system. *Front.*
 541 *Microbiol.* 4, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00030>

542 Grossmann, L., McClements, D.J., 2021. The science of plant-based foods: Approaches to create
 543 nutritious and sustainable plant-based cheese analogs. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 118, 207–
 544 229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TIFS.2021.10.004>

545 Gu, J., Liu, T., Hou, J., Pan, L., Sadiq, F.A., Yuan, L., Yang, H., He, G., 2018a. Analysis of
 546 bacterial diversity and biogenic amines content during the fermentation processing of stinky
 547 tofu. *Food Res. Int.* 111, 689–698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.05.065>

548 Gu, J., Liu, T., Sadiq, F.A., Yang, H., Yuan, L., Zhang, G., He, G., 2018b. Biogenic amines content
 549 and assessment of bacterial and fungal diversity in stinky tofu – A traditional fermented soy
 550 curd. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 88, 26–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.08.085>

551 Guinee, T.P., 2004. Salting and the role of salt in cheese. *Int. J. Dairy Technol.* 57, 99–109.
 552 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2004.00145.x>

553 Hachmeister, K.A., Fung, D.Y.C., 1993. Tempeh: A mold-modified indigenous fermented food
 554 made from soybeans and/or cereal grains. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 137–188.
 555 <https://doi.org/10.3109/10408419309113527>

556 Han, B.Z., Beumer, R.R., Rombouts, F.M., Robert Nout, M.J., 2001a. Microbiological safety and
 557 quality of commercial sufu - A Chinese fermented soybean food. *Food Control* 12, 541–547.
 558 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135\(01\)00064-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135(01)00064-0)

559 Han, B.Z., Cao, C.F., Rombouts, F.M., Nout, M.J.R., 2004a. Microbial changes during the
 560 production of Sufu - A Chinese fermented soybean food. *Food Control* 15, 265–270.
 561 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135\(03\)00066-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135(03)00066-5)

562 Han, B.Z., Ma, Y., Rombouts, F.M., Nout, M.J.R., 1988. Effects of temperature and relative
 563 humidity on the growth of and enzyme production by *Actinomucor taiwanensis* during sufu
 564 pehtze preparation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 54, 688–692. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00347-3)
 565 [8146\(02\)00347-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00347-3)

566 Han, B.Z., Rombouts, F.M., Nout, M.J.R., 2004b. Amino acid profiles of sufu, a Chinese
 567 fermented soybean food. J. Food Compos. Anal. 17, 689–698.
 568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2003.09.012>

569 Han, B.Z., Rombouts, F.M., Nout, M.J.R., 2001b. A Chinese fermented soybean food. Int. J. Food
 570 Microbiol. 65, 1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(00\)00523-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00523-7)

571 Hartanti, A.T., Rahayu, G., Hidayat, I., 2015. *Rhizopus* species from fresh tempeh collected from
 572 several regions in Indonesia. HAYATI J. Biosci. 22, 136–142.
 573 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjb.2015.10.004>

574 He, G., Huang, J., Liang, R., Wu, C., Zhou, R., 2016. Comparing the differences of characteristic
 575 flavour between natural maturation and starter culture for Mucor-type Douchi. Int. J. Food
 576 Sci. Technol. 51, 1252–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13077>

577 He, R.Q., Wan, P., Liu, J., Chen, D.W., 2020. Characterisation of aroma-active compounds in
 578 Guilin Huaqiao white sufu and their influence on umami aftertaste and palatability of umami
 579 solution. Food Chem. 321, 126739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126739>

580 He, W., Chen, Z., Chung, H.Y., 2022. Dynamic correlations between major enzymatic activities,
 581 physicochemical properties and targeted volatile compounds in naturally fermented plain sufu
 582 during production. Food Chem. 378, 131988.
 583 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131988>

584 He, W., Chung, H.Y., 2020. Exploring core functional microbiota related with flavor compounds
 585 involved in the fermentation of a natural fermented plain sufu (Chinese fermented soybean
 586 curd). Food Microbiol. 90, 103408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103408>

587 Hosoi, T., Kiuchi, K., 2008. Natto: A soybean food made by fermenting cooked soybeans with
 588 *Bacillus subtilis* (Natto)., in: Handbook of Fermented Functional Foods. Taylor and Francis,
 589 pp. 267–290. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203009727-14>

590 Hu, H., Hao, J., Cheng, Y., Yin, L., Ma, Y., Yu, Z., Li, L., 2012. Effect of fermented rice culture
 591 on the microbiological, biochemical and sensory characteristics of low-salt douchi, a
 592 traditional Chinese fermented soybean condiment. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 47, 689–695.
 593 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02894.x>

594 Hu, W., Liu, Z., Fu, B., Zhang, X., Qi, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, C., Li, D., Xu, N., 2022. Metabolites of
 595 the soy sauce koji making with *Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus oryzae*. Int. J. Food Sci.
 596 Technol. 57, 301–309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.15406>

597 Huang, X., Yu, S., Han, B., Chen, J., 2018. Bacterial community succession and metabolite
 598 changes during sufu fermentation. LWT 97, 537–545.
 599 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.07.041>

600 Ichishima, E., Takada, Y., Taira, K., Takeuchi, M., 1986. Specificities of extracellular and
 601 ribosomal serine proteinases from *Bacillus natto*, a food microorganism. Biochim. Biophys.
 602 Acta (BBA)/Protein Struct. Mol. 869, 178–184. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838(86)90292-X)
 603 [4838\(86\)90292-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-4838(86)90292-X)

604 Irlinger, F., Helinck, S., Jany, J.L., 2017. Secondary and adjunct cultures, in: Cheese: Chemistry,
 605 Physics and Microbiology. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 273–300. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00011-9)
 606 [417012-4.00011-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00011-9)

607 Jeleń, H., Majcher, M., Ginja, A., Kuligowski, M., 2013. Determination of compounds responsible
 608 for tempeh aroma. Food Chem. 141, 459–465.

609 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.03.047>

610 Ju, S., Cao, Z., Wong, C., Liu, Y., Foda, M.F., Zhang, Z., Li, J., 2019. Isolation and optimal
611 fermentation condition of the *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *Natto* strain wtc016 for nattokinase
612 production. *Fermentation* 5, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation5040092>

613 Kada, S., Yabusaki, M., Kaga, T., Ashida, H., Yoshida, K.I., 2008. Identification of two major
614 ammonia-releasing reactions involved in secondary natto fermentation. *Biosci. Biotechnol.*
615 *Biochem.* 72, 1869–1876. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.80129>

616 Kanno, A., Takamatsu, H., 1987. Changes in the volatile components of “natto” during
617 manufacturing and storage. *Nippon SHOKUHIN KOGYO GAKKAISHI* 34, 330–335.
618 https://doi.org/10.3136/nskkk1962.34.5_330

619 Karametsi, K., Kokkinidou, S., Ronningen, I., Peterson, D.G., 2014. Identification of bitter
620 peptides in aged cheddar cheese. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 62, 8034–8041.
621 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf5020654>

622 Keuth, S., Bisping, B., 1994. Vitamin B12 production by *Citrobacter freundii* or *Klebsiella*
623 *pneumoniae* during tempeh fermentation and proof of enterotoxin absence by PCR. *Appl.*
624 *Environ. Microbiol.* 60, 1495–1499. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.5.1495-1499.1994>

625 Kim, B., Byun, B.Y., Mah, J.H., 2012. Biogenic amine formation and bacterial contribution in
626 *Natto* products. *Food Chem.* 135, 2005–2011.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.091>

628 Kim, J.S., Lu, Y., Chung, H.Y., 2011. Chemical and textural properties in commercial fermented
629 soybean curds of sufu. *J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 16, 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.3746/jfn.2011.16.1.055>

630 Kłosowski, G., Mikulski, D., Pielech-Przybylska, K., 2021. Pyrazines biosynthesis by *Bacillus*
 631 strains isolated from natto fermented soybean. *Biomolecules* 11, 1–12.
 632 <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11111736>

633 Kosuge, T., Zenda, H., Tsuji, K., Yamamoto, T., Narita, H., 1971. Studies on flavor components
 634 of foodstuffs distribution of tetramethylpyrazine in fermented foodstuffs. *Agric. Biol. Chem.*
 635 35, 693–696. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1971.10859981>

636 Kubo, Y., Rooney, A.P., Tsukakoshi, Y., Nakagawa, R., Hasegawa, H., Kimura, K., 2011.
 637 Phylogenetic analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* strains applicable to natto (fermented soybean)
 638 production. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 6463–6469. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00448-](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00448-11)
 639 11

640 Kunioka, M., 1995. Biosynthesis of poly (γ -glutamic acid) from l-glutamine, citric acid and
 641 ammonium sulfate in *Bacillus subtilis* IFO3335. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 44, 501–506.
 642 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00169951>

643 Kustyawati, M.E., Nawansih, O., Nurdjanah, S., 2017. Profile of aroma compounds and
 644 acceptability of modified tempeh. *Int. Food Res. J.* 24, 734–740.

645 Lan, G., Li, C., He, L., Zeng, X., Zhu, Q., 2020. Effects of different strains and fermentation
 646 method on nattokinase activity, biogenic amines, and sensory characteristics of natto. *J. Food*
 647 *Sci. Technol.* 57, 4414–4423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-020-04478-3>

648 Lei, X., Sun, G., Xie, J., Wei, D., 2013. *Lactobacillus curieae* sp. nov., isolated from stinky tofu
 649 brine. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 2501–2505. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.041830-0>

650 Li, C., Xu, T., Liu, X.W., Wang, X., Xia, T., 2021. The expression of β -glucosidase during natto

651 fermentation increased the active isoflavone content. Food Biosci. 43, 101286.
 652 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FBIO.2021.101286>

653 Li, K., Tang, J., Zhang, Z., Wu, Z., Zhong, A., Li, Z., Wang, Y., 2022. Correlation between flavor
 654 compounds and microorganisms of Chaling natural fermented red sufu. LWT 154, 112873.
 655 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112873>

656 Li, L., Ruan, L., Ji, A., Wen, Z., Chen, S., Wang, L., Wei, X., 2018. Biogenic amines analysis and
 657 microbial contribution in traditional fermented food of Douchi. Sci. Rep. 8, 1–10.
 658 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30456-z>

659 Li, X., He, Y., Yang, W., Mu, D., Zhang, M., Dai, Y., Zheng, Z., Jiang, S., Wu, X., 2021.
 660 Comparative analysis of the microbial community and nutritional quality of sufu. Food Sci.
 661 Nutr. 9, 4117–4126. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.2372>

662 Li, Y.Y., Yu, R.C., Chou, C.C., 2010. Some biochemical and physical changes during the
 663 preparation of the enzyme-ripening sufu, a fermented product of soybean curd. J. Agric. Food
 664 Chem. 58, 4888–4893. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf904600a>

665 Li, Z., Zheng, M., Zheng, J., Gänzle, M.G., 2023. *Bacillus* species in food fermentations: an
 666 underappreciated group of organisms for safe use in food fermentations. Curr. Opin. Food
 667 Sci. 50, 101007. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COFS.2023.101007>

668 Liang, J., Li, D., Shi, R., Wang, J., Guo, S., Ma, Y., Xiong, K., 2019. Effects of microbial
 669 community succession on volatile profiles and biogenic amine during sufu fermentation.
 670 LWT 114, 108379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108379>

671 Lite, L., 2005. Asian fermented soybean products, in: Handbook of Food Science, Technology,

and Engineering. CRC Press, pp. 355–374. <https://doi.org/10.1201/b15995-26>

Lite, L., Lijun, Y., Jianhua, Z., Xiaofeng, Z., Lin, Z., 2002. Functionalities of traditional foods in China. 9th J/RCAS Int. Symp. 140–144.

Liu, C.J., Gong, F.M., Li, X.R., Li, H.Y., Zhang, Z.H., Feng, Y., Nagano, H., 2012. Natural populations of lactic acid bacteria in douchi from Yunnan Province, China. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B 13, 298–306. <https://doi.org/10.1631/jzus.b1100221>

Liu, G., Liu, Y., Ro, K.S., Du, L., Tang, Y.J., Zhao, L., Xie, J., Wei, D., 2022. Genomic characteristics of a novel strain *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* X7021 isolated from the brine of stinky tofu for the application in food fermentation. LWT 156, 113054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.113054>

Liu, L., Chen, X., Hao, L., Zhang, G., Jin, Z., Li, C., Yang, Y., Rao, J., Chen, B., 2022. Traditional fermented soybean products: processing, flavor formation, nutritional and biological activities. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 62, 1971–1989. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1848792>

Liu, Y., Song, H.L., Luo, H., 2018a. Correlation between the key aroma compounds and gDNA copies of *Bacillus* during fermentation and maturation of natto. Food Res. Int. 112, 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.06.033>

Liu, Y., Su, H., Song, H.L., 2018b. Comparison of four extraction methods, SPME, DHS, SAFE, versus SDE, for the analysis of flavor compounds in natto. Food Anal. Methods 11, 343–354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-017-1005-0>

Lo, R., Ho, V.T.T., Bansal, N., Turner, M.S., 2018. The genetic basis underlying variation in

693 production of the flavour compound diacetyl by *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* strains in milk. Int.
694 J. Food Microbiol. 265, 30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJFOODMICRO.2017.10.029>

695 Marco, M.L., Sanders, M.E., Gänzle, M., Arrieta, M.C., Cotter, P.D., De Vuyst, L., Hill, C.,
696 Holzapfel, W., Lebeer, S., Merenstein, D., Reid, G., Wolfe, B.E., Hutkins, R., 2021. The
697 International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus
698 statement on fermented foods. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 18, 196–208.
699 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-020-00390-5>

700 McSweeney, P.L.H., 2017. Biochemistry of cheese ripening: Introduction and overview, in:
701 Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 379–387.
702 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00014-4>

703 McSweeney, P.L.H., Fox, P.F., Ciocia, F., 2017. Metabolism of residual lactose and of lactate and
704 citrate, in: Cheese: Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 411–421.
705 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00016-8>

706 McSweeney, P.L.H., Fox, P.F., O'mahony, J.A., 2020. Advanced Dairy Chemistry: Volume 2:
707 Lipids, Fourth Edition Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48686-0/COVER)
708 48686-0/COVER

709 McSweeney, P.L.H., Sousa, M.J., 2000. Biochemical pathways for the production of flavour
710 compounds in cheeses during ripening: A review. Lait 80, 293–324.
711 <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2000127>

712 Medic, J., Atkinson, C., Hurburgh, C.R., 2014. Current knowledge in soybean composition. J. Am.
713 Oil Chem. Soc. 91, 363–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-013-2407-9>

714 Mefleh, M., Pasqualone, A., Caponio, F., Faccia, M., 2021. Legumes as basic ingredients in the
 715 production of dairy-free cheese alternatives: a review. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 1–11.
 716 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.11502>

717 Mulyowidarso, R.K., Fleet, G.H., Buckle, K.A., 1990. Association of bacteria with the fungal
 718 fermentation of soybean tempe. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 68, 43–47. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb02546.x)
 719 [2672.1990.tb02546.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb02546.x)

720 Mulyowidarso, R.K., Fleet, G.H., Buckle, K.A., 1989. The microbial ecology of soybean soaking
 721 for tempe production. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 8, 35–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(89)90078-0)
 722 [1605\(89\)90078-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(89)90078-0)

723 Nout, M.J.R., Beernink, G., Bonants-van Laarhoven, T.M.G., 1987a. Growth of *Bacillus cereus*
 724 in soyabean tempeh. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 4, 293–301. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(87)90004-3)
 725 [1605\(87\)90004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(87)90004-3)

726 Nout, M.J.R., Bonants-Van Laarhoven, T.M.G., de Dreu, R., Gerats, I.A.G.M., 1985. The
 727 influence of some process variables and storage conditions on the quality and shelf-life of
 728 soybean tempeh. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 51, 532–534.
 729 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00404518>

730 Nout, M.J.R., de Dreu, M.A., Zuurbier, A.M., Bonants-van Laarhoven, T.M.G., 1987b. Ecology
 731 of controlled soyabean acidification for tempe manufacture. *Food Microbiol.* 4, 165–172.
 732 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-0020\(87\)90032-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-0020(87)90032-3)

733 Nout, M.J.R., Notermans, S., Rombouts, F.M., 1988. Effect of environmental conditions during
 734 soya-bean fermentation on the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* and production and thermal
 735 stability of enterotoxins A and B. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 7, 299–309.

736 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605\(88\)90056-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(88)90056-6)

737 Nout, M.J.R., Rombouts, F.M., 1990. Recent developments in tempe research. J. Appl. Bacteriol.
 738 69, 609–633. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1990.tb01555.x>

739 Parente, E., Cogan, T.M., Powell, I.B., 2017. Starter cultures: General aspects, in: Cheese:
 740 Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 201–226.
 741 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00008-9>

742 Pel, H.J., De Winde, J.H., Archer, D.B., Dyer, P.S., Hofmann, G., Schaap, P.J., Turner, G., De
 743 Vries, R.P., Albang, R., Albermann, K., Andersen, M.R., Bendtsen, J.D., Benen, J.A.E., Van
 744 Den Berg, M., Breestraat, S., Caddick, M.X., Contreras, R., Cornell, M., Coutinho, P.M.,
 745 Danchin, E.G.J., Debets, A.J.M., Dekker, P., Van Dijck, P.W.M., Van Dijk, A., Dijkhuizen,
 746 L., Driessen, A.J.M., D’Enfert, C., Geysens, S., Goosen, C., Groot, G.S.P., De Groot, P.W.J.,
 747 Guillemette, T., Henrissat, B., Herweijer, M., Van Den Hombergh, J.P.T.W., Van Den
 748 Hondel, C.A.M.J.J., Van Der Heijden, R.T.J.M., Van Der Kaaij, R.M., Klis, F.M., Kools,
 749 H.J., Kubicek, C.P., Van Kuyk, P.A., Lauber, J., Lu, X., Van Der Maarel, M.J.E.C.,
 750 Meulenbergh, R., Menke, H., Mortimer, M.A., Nielsen, J., Oliver, S.G., Olsthoorn, M., Pal,
 751 K., Van Peij, N.N.M.E., Ram, A.F.J., Rinas, U., Roubos, J.A., Sagt, C.M.J., Schmoll, M.,
 752 Sun, J., Ussery, D., Varga, J., Vervecken, W., Van De Vondervoort, P.J.J., Wedler, H.,
 753 Wösten, H.A.B., Zeng, A.P., Van Ooyen, A.J.J., Visser, J., Stam, H., 2007. Genome
 754 sequencing and analysis of the versatile cell factory *Aspergillus niger* CBS 513.88. Nat.
 755 Biotechnol. 25, 221–231. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1282>

756 Pereyra Gonzales, A.S., Naranjo, G.B., Leiva, G.E., Malec, L.S., 2010. Maillard reaction kinetics
 757 in milk powder: Effect of water activity at mild temperatures. Int. Dairy J. 20, 40–45.

758 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2009.07.007>

759 Reddy, N.R., Pierson, M.D., Sathe, S.K., Salunkhe, D.K., Beuchat, L.R., 1983. Legume-based
 760 fermented foods: Their preparation and nutritional quality. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 17, 335–
 761 370. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398209527353>

762 Ross, P., Morgan, S., Hill, C., 2002. Preservation and fermentation: Past, present and future. Int.
 763 J. Food Microbiol. 79, 3–16. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(02\)00174-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00174-5)

764 Ruiz Sella, S.R.B., Bueno, T., de Oliveira, A.A.B., Karp, S.G., Soccol, C.R., 2021. *Bacillus subtilis*
 765 natto as a potential probiotic in animal nutrition. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 41, 355–369.
 766 <https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2020.1858019>

767 Saito, T., Iso, N., Mizuno, H., Kaneda, H., Suyama, Y., Kawamura, S., Ōsawa, S., 1974.
 768 Conformational change of a natto mucin in solution. Agric. Biol. Chem. 38, 1941–1946.
 769 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1974.10861435>

770 Samson, R.A., Van Kooij, J.A., De Boer, E., 1987. Microbiological quality of commercial tempeh
 771 in the Netherlands. J. Food Prot. 50, 92–94. <https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-50.2.92>

772 Shih, I.L., Chen, L.D., Wu, J.Y., 2010. Levan production using *Bacillus subtilis* natto cells
 773 immobilized on alginate. Carbohydr. Polym. 82, 111–117.
 774 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2010.04.030>

775 Shih, I.L., Van, Y.T., 2001. The production of poly-(γ -glutamic acid) from microorganisms and
 776 its various applications. Bioresour. Technol. 79, 207–225. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00074-8)
 777 [8524\(01\)00074-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00074-8)

778 Shih, I.L., Yu, Y.T., Shieh, C.J., Hsieh, C.Y., 2005. Selective production and characterization of

779 levan by *Bacillus subtilis* (Natto) Takahashi. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 8211–8215.
 780 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf058084o>

781 Signorini, C., Carpen, A., Coletto, L., Borgonovo, G., Galanti, E., Capraro, J., Magni, C., Abate,
 782 A., Johnson, S.K., Duranti, M., Scarafoni, A., 2018. Enhanced vitamin B12 production in an
 783 innovative lupin tempeh is due to synergic effects of *Rhizopus* and *Propionibacterium* in
 784 cofermentation. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 69, 451–457.
 785 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09637486.2017.1386627>

786 Sjamsuridzal, W., Khasanah, M., Febriani, R., Vebliza, Y., Oetari, A., Santoso, I., Gandjar, I.,
 787 2021. The effect of the use of commercial tempeh starter on the diversity of *Rhizopus* tempeh
 788 in Indonesia. Sci. Rep. 11, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03308-6>

789 Song, Z., Hu, Y., Chen, X., Li, G., Zhong, Q., He, X., Xu, W., 2021. Correlation between bacterial
 790 community succession and propionic acid during gray sufu fermentation. Food Chem. 353,
 791 129447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129447>

792 Sooresh, M.M., Willing, B.P., Bourrie, B.C.T., 2023. Opportunities and challenges of
 793 understanding community assembly in spontaneous food fermentation. Foods 12, 1–16.
 794 <https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS12030673>

795 Sparringa, R.A., Owens, J.D., 1999. Causes of alkalization in tempe solid substrate fermentation.
 796 Enzyme Microb. Technol. 25, 677–681. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(99\)00097-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(99)00097-6)

797 Strafella, S., Simpson, D.J., Khanghahi, M.Y., Angelis, M. De, Gänzle, M., Minervini, F.,
 798 Crecchio, C., 2021. Comparative genomics and in vitro plant growth promotion and
 799 biocontrol traits of lactic acid bacteria from the wheat rhizosphere. Microorg. 9, 78.
 800 <https://doi.org/10.3390/MICROORGANISMS9010078>

801 Sugahara, H., Kato, S., Nagayama, K., Sashihara, K., Nagatomi, Y., 2022. Heterofermentative
 802 lactic acid bacteria such as *Limosilactobacillus* as a strong inhibitor of aldehyde compounds
 803 in plant-based milk alternatives. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 6, 1–10.
 804 <https://doi.org/10.3389/FSUFS.2022.965986>

805 Sugawara, E., Ito, T., Odagiri, S., Kubota, K., Kobayashi, A., 1985. Comparison of compositions
 806 of odor components of natto and cooked soybeans. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 49, 311–317.
 807 <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb1961.49.311>

808 Tan, G., Hu, M., Li, X., Pan, Z., Li, M., Li, L., Yang, M., 2020. High-throughput sequencing and
 809 metabolomics reveal differences in bacterial diversity and metabolites between red and white
 810 sufu. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00758>

811 Tanaka, T., Muramatsu, K., Kim, H., Watanabe, T., Takeyasu, M., Kanai, Y., Kiuchi, K., 1998.
 812 Comparison of volatile compounds from Chungkuk-Jang and Itohiki-Natto. *Biosci*
 813 *Biotechnol Biochem* 62, 1440–1444. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.62.1440>

814 Teixeira, J.S., McNeill, V., Gänzle, M.G., 2012. Levansucrase and sucrose phosphorylase
 815 contribute to raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose metabolism by lactobacilli. *Food*
 816 *Microbiol.* 31, 278–284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.03.003>

817 Teng, D.F., Lin, C.S., Sieh, P.C., 2004. Fermented Tofu: Sufu and Stinky Tofu, in: *Handbook of*
 818 *Food and Beverage Fermentation Technology*. CRC Press, New York, pp. 656–670.
 819 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203913550>

820 Thierry, A., Collins, Y.F., Abeijón Mukdsi, M.C., McSweeney, P.L.H., Wilkinson, M.G.,
 821 Spinnler, H.E., 2017. Lipolysis and metabolism of fatty acids in cheese, in: *Cheese:*
 822 *Chemistry, Physics and Microbiology*. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 423–444.

823 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417012-4.00017-X>

824 Toelstede, S., Hofmann, T., 2008. Quantitative studies and taste re-engineering experiments
825 toward the decoding of the nonvolatile sensometabolome of Gouda cheese. J. Agric. Food
826 Chem. 56, 5299–5307. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800552n>

827 Tsai, Y.H., Chang, S.C., Kung, H.F., 2007. Histamine contents and histamine-forming bacteria in
828 natto products in Taiwan. Food Control 18, 1026–1030.
829 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.06.007>

830 Tsuji, S., Tanaka, K., Takenaka, S., Yoshida, K. ichi, 2015. Enhanced secretion of natto phytase
831 by *Bacillus subtilis*. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 79, 1906–1914.
832 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451.2015.1046366>

833 van de Guchte, M., Penaud, S., Grimaldi, C., Barbe, V., Bryson, K., Nicolas, P., Robert, C., Oztas,
834 S., Mangenot, S., Couloux, A., Loux, V., Dervyn, R., Bossy, R., Bolotin, A., Batto, J.M.,
835 Walunas, T., Gibrat, J.F., Bessières, P., Weissenbach, J., Ehrlich, S.D., Maguin, E., 2006. The
836 complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* reveals extensive and ongoing
837 reductive evolution. PNAS 103, 9274–9279. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603024103>

838 Wan, H., Liu, T., Su, C., Ji, X., Wang, L., Zhao, Y., Wang, Z., 2020. Evaluation of bacterial and
839 fungal communities during the fermentation of Baixi sufu, a traditional spicy fermented bean
840 curd. J. Sci. Food Agric. 100, 1448–1457. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10151>

841 Wang, L.J., Cheng, Y.Q., Yin, L.J., Bhandari, B., Saito, M., Li, L.T., 2010a. Changes during
842 processing and sodium chloride supplementation on the physical and chemical properties of
843 douchi. Int. J. Food Prop. 13, 131–141. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910802256149>

844 Wang, L.J., Mu, H.L., Liu, H.J., Bhandari, B., Saito, M., Li, L.T., 2010b. Volatile components in
 845 three commercial douchies, a Chinese traditional salt-fermented soybean food. *Int. J. Food*
 846 *Prop.* 13, 1117–1133. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10942910902968726>

847 Wang, P., Ma, X., Wang, W., Xu, D., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Sun, Y., 2019. Characterization of
 848 flavor fingerprinting of red sufu during fermentation and the comparison of volatiles of
 849 typical products. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness* 8, 375–384.
 850 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2019.11.004>

851 Wang, S., Chang, Y., Liu, B., Chen, H., Sun, B., Zhang, N., 2021. Characterization of the key
 852 aroma-active compounds in Yongchuan douchi (Fermented soybean) by application of the
 853 sensomics approach. *Molecules* 26, 3048. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26103048>

854 Wang, Y., Gao, Y., Liang, W., Liu, Y., Gao, H., 2020. Identification and analysis of the flavor
 855 characteristics of unfermented stinky tofu brine during fermentation using SPME-GC–MS,
 856 e-nose, and sensory evaluation. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* 14, 597–612.
 857 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-019-00351-w>

858 Wei, Q., Wolf-Hall, C.E., Chang, S.K.C., 2001. Natto characteristics as affected by steaming time,
 859 *Bacillus* strain, and fermentation time. *J. Food Sci.* 66, 167–173.
 860 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2001.tb15601.x>

861 Wels, M., Siezen, R., Van Hijum, S., Kelly, W.J., Bachmann, H., 2019. Comparative genome
 862 analysis of *Lactococcus lactis* indicates niche adaptation and resolves genotype/phenotype
 863 disparity. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00004>

864 Wiesel, I., Rehm, H.J., Bisping, B., 1997. Improvement of tempe fermentations by application of
 865 mixed cultures consisting of *Rhizopus* sp. and bacterial strains. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*

866 47, 218–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002530050916>

867 Wolf, W.J., 1970. Soybean proteins: Their functional, chemical, and physical properties. *J. Agric.*
868 *Food Chem.* 18, 969–976. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60172a025>

869 Wolfe, B.E., Button, J.E., Santarelli, M., Dutton, R.J., 2014. Cheese rind communities provide
870 tractable systems for in situ and in vitro studies of microbial diversity. *Cell* 158, 422–433.
871 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.041>

872 Xie, C., Zeng, H., Li, J., Qin, L., 2018. Comprehensive explorations of nutritional, functional and
873 potential tasty components of various types of sufu, a Chinese fermented soybean appetizer.
874 *Food Sci. Technol.* 39, 105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.37917>

875 Xu, D., Wang, P., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Sun, Y., Gao, L., Wang, W., 2020. High-throughput
876 sequencing approach to characterize dynamic changes of the fungal and bacterial
877 communities during the production of sufu, a traditional Chinese fermented soybean food.
878 *Food Microbiol.* 86, 103340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.103340>

879 Yan, Y., Wolkers-Rooijackers, J., Nout, M.J.R., Han, B., 2013. Microbial diversity and dynamics
880 of microbial communities during back-slop soaking of soybeans as determined by PCR-
881 DGGE and molecular cloning. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 29, 1969–1974.
882 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1349-6>

883 Yang, H., Yang, L., Zhang, J., Li, H., Tu, Z., Wang, X., 2019. Exploring functional core bacteria
884 in fermentation of a traditional Chinese food, *Aspergillus*-type douchi. *PLoS One* 14,
885 0226965. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226965>

886 Yang, L., Yang, H.L., Tu, Z.C., Wang, X.L., 2016. High-throughput sequencing of microbial

community diversity and dynamics during douchi fermentation. PLoS One 11, 168166.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168166>

Yao, D., Xu, L., Wu, M., Wang, X., Zhu, L., Wang, C., 2021. Effects of microbial community
 succession on flavor compounds and physicochemical properties during CS sufu
 fermentation. LWT 152, 112313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112313>

Yoneya, T., 2004. Fermented soy products: tempeh, nattos, miso, and soy sauce. Handb. Veg.
 Preserv. Process. 252–272.

Yoshikawa, Y., Chen, P., Zhang, B., Scaboo, A., Orazaly, M., 2014. Evaluation of seed chemical
 quality traits and sensory properties of natto soybean. Food Chem. 153, 186–192.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.027>

Yu, S., Liu, L., Bu, T., Zheng, J., Wang, W., Wu, J., Liu, D., 2022. Purification and
 characterization of hypoglycemic peptides from traditional Chinese soy-fermented douchi .
 Food Funct. 13, 3343–3352. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1fo03941e>

Yvon, M., Rijnen, L., 2001. Cheese flavour formation by amino acid catabolism. Biotechnol. Adv.
 11, 185–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2005.11.005>

Zhang, J.H., Tatsumi, E., Fan, J.F., Li, L.T., 2007. Chemical components of *Aspergillus*-type
 Douchi, a Chinese traditional fermented soybean product, change during the fermentation
 process. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 42, 263–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2005.01150.x>

Zhang, P., Li, H., Zhao, W., Xiong, K., Wen, H., Yang, H., Wang, X., 2022. Dynamic analysis of
 physicochemical characteristics and microbial communities of *Aspergillus*-type douchi

908 during fermentation. Food Res. Int. 153, 110932.
 909 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110932>

910 Zhang, W., Luo, Q., Zhu, Y., Ma, J., Cao, L., Yang, M., Wen, P., Zhang, Z., He, X., 2018.
 911 Microbial diversity in two traditional bacterial douchi from Gansu province in northwest
 912 China using Illumina sequencing. PLoS One 13, 194876.
 913 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194876>

914 Zhang, Y., Zeng, T., Wang, H., Song, J., Suo, H., 2021. Correlation between the quality and
 915 microbial community of natural-type and artificial-type Yongchuan Douchi. LWT 140.
 916 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.110788>

917 Zheng, J., Ruan, L., Sun, M., Gänzle, M., 2015. A genomic view of lactobacilli and pediococci
 918 demonstrates that phylogeny matches ecology and physiology. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 81,
 919 7233–7243. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02116-15>

920

921 **Figure legends**

922 **Figure 1.** Flowchart for the fermentation of *Mucor*-type and *Aspergillus*-type *Douchi*. Red
 923 coloring indicates a heating step that inactivates vegetative microorganisms; green coloring
 924 indicates the inoculation with starter cultures; blue coloring indicates addition of ingredients that
 925 alter intrinsic factors which influence microbial growth. The seasoning or dressing mixture
 926 contains 5 – 18% salt (Endo et al., 2014; He et al., 2016; Lite, 2005; Zhang et al., 2007) and
 927 additionally includes mixture of dry ground ginger, shallots or garlic (Zhang et al., 2007), a small
 928 amount of sugar, and selected spices such as capsicum paste (Lite, 2005), 5% fermented rice and
 929 5% liquor (He et al., 2016), Chinese prickly ash, fresh hot pepper paste, and dry hot pepper powder

(Liu et al., 2012). The moisture content of the final product ranges from 35 to 50% (Lite, 2005); the final pH ranges from pH 6.5 to 6.9 (Wang et al., 2010a). The photo of douchi at the lower left represents a product purchased at a local supermarket in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Figure 2. Flowchart for fermentation of *natto*. Red coloring indicates a heating step that inactivates vegetative microorganisms; green coloring indicates the inoculation with starter cultures; blue coloring indicates addition of ingredients that alter intrinsic factors which influence microbial growth. The moisture content of the product is 59.5% (a_w 0.93 to 0.97)(Kim et al., 2012), the pH ranges from 4.9 to 7.5 (Kim et al., 2012; Tsai et al., 2007). The surface of *natto* is covered with slime or mucus that consists of 58 % of γ -polyglutamic acid and of 40% of polysaccharides (Saito et al., 1974). The photo of *natto* at the lower left represents a product purchased at a local supermarket in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

Figure 3. Flowchart for the production of *tempeh*. Red coloring indicates a heating step that inactivates vegetative microorganisms; green coloring indicates the inoculation with starter cultures; blue coloring indicates addition of ingredients that alter intrinsic factors which influence microbial growth. The moisture content of the final product is about 58%, the pH ranges from 6.6 to 7.1 (Sparringa and Owens, 1999). The photo of *tempeh* at the lower left was available under a Creative Commons License on Aug 12, 2023 on <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tempeh>.

Figure 4. Flowchart for the production of mould-fermented and bacteria *sufu*. Red coloring indicates a heating step that inactivates vegetative microorganisms; green coloring indicates the inoculation with starter cultures; blue coloring indicates addition of ingredients that alter intrinsic factors which influence microbial growth. The final products have a moisture content of 58-73% (Han et al., 2001b, 2001a), a salt content of 6-15% (w/w)(Han et al., 2001a, 2004a), an ethanol content of 0-7% (v/v)(Han et al., 2004a) and a pH of 5.25-7.45 (Han et al., 2001a). The dressing

mixture contain one or more of the following: Red sufu, salt (10-14%), angkak (2%), alcoholic beverage (final alcohol content, 5-12% v/v), sugar (5-10%), soybean paste (3-5%), Chiang (wheat-based miso, 3%)^{2,7}; the dressing mixture for white sufu is similar but contains no angkak (red rice fermented with *Monascus purpureus*); Grey sufu, soy whey, salt (14%). The photo of *sufu* at the lower left represents a product purchased at a local supermarket in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada..

Figure 5. Flowchart for fermentation of stinky tofu (*choudoufu* or smelly tofu). Red coloring indicates a heating step that inactivates vegetative microorganisms; green coloring indicates the inoculation with starter cultures; blue coloring indicates addition of ingredients that alter intrinsic factors which influence microbial growth. pH of stinky tofu brines: 5.20~7.72(Chao et al., 2008b), salt of stinky tofu brines: 1% (Chao et al., 2008b; Teng et al., 2004). The photo of stinky tofu at the lower left was available under a Creative Commons License on Aug 12, 2023 on https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stinky_tofu.

Table 1. Fermentation microorganisms identified in *Aspergillus*-type- and in *Mucor*-type douchi.

Douchi		Fermentation Microorganism
<i>Aspergillus</i> - type douchi	Pre-	<i>Aspergillus oryzae</i> , <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> <i>egypticus</i>
	Post-	<i>Anaerosalibacter</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Enterococcus</i> , <i>Lactococcus</i> , <i>Weissella</i> , <i>Pediococcus</i> , <i>Pichia</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> , <i>Lichtheimia</i> , <i>Petromyces</i> , <i>Rhizopus</i> , <i>Penicillium</i> , <i>Candida</i> , <i>Saccharomyces</i> , and <i>Debaryomyces</i>
<i>Mucor</i> -type douchi	Pre-	<i>Mucor</i> spp., <i>Actinomucor elegans</i>
	Post-	<i>Tetragenococcus halophilus</i> , <i>Zygosaccharomyces rouxii</i> , <i>Candida</i> <i>versatilis</i> , <i>Staphylococcus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> , and <i>Penicillium</i>

With information from: (Chen et al., 2007, 2012, 2014; T. Chen et al., 2011a, 2011b; He et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Lite, 2005; Yang et al., 2019, 2016; Zhang et al., 2007b, 2021).

Table 2. Fermentation microorganisms identified in *tempe* or *tempeh*

Tempeh	Fermentation Microorganism
Pre-	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Klebsiella ozaenae</i> , <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> , <i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> , <i>Citrobacter diversus</i> , <i>Bacillus brevis</i> , <i>Pichia burtonii</i> , <i>Candida diddensiae</i> , <i>Rhodotorula rubra</i> , <i>Lacticaseibacillus casei</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> , <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> , and <i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i> , and co-inoculant <i>Lactiplantibacillus plantarum</i>
<i>Tempeh</i>	<i>Rhizopus</i> spp. (<i>R. arrhizus</i> , <i>R. delemar</i> , <i>R. microsporus</i> and <i>R. stolonifer</i>), <i>Bacillus pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus brevis</i> , <i>Streptococcus faecium</i> , <i>Lacticaseibacillus casei</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> , <i>Micrococcus varians</i> , <i>Trichosporon beigelii</i> , <i>Clavispora (Candida) lusitaniae</i> , <i>Candida maltose</i> , <i>Candida intermedia</i> , <i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i> , <i>Mucor indicus</i> and <i>Mucor circinelloides</i> , and/or co-inoculants: lactobacilli, <i>Citrobacter freundii</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , and <i>Propionibacterium freudenreichii</i>
Post-	

With information from (Mogessie Ashenafi and Busse, 1991; Feng et al., 2005; Hartanti et al., 2015; Keuth et al., 1994; Mulyowidarso et al., 1990, 1989; Nout et al., 1987a; Samson et al., 1987; Signorini et al., 2018; Sjamsuridzal et al., 2021; Wiesel et al., 1997)

Table 3. Fermentation microorganisms identified in fermentation of *sufu*

Type of product	Fermentation Microorganism
Mould-fermented <i>sufu</i>	Pre- <i>Actinomucor</i> spp., <i>Mucor</i> spp. and <i>Rhizopus</i> spp. (<i>Actinomucor elegans</i> , <i>Actinomucor taiwanensis</i> , <i>Actinomucor repens</i> , <i>Mucor racemosus</i> , <i>Mucor Wutongqiao</i> , <i>Mucor circinelloides</i> , <i>Mucor hiemalis</i> , <i>Mucor flavus</i> , <i>Rhizopus microsporus</i> var. <i>microspores</i> , and <i>Rhizopus oryzae</i>)
	Post- Pure culture fermentation: <i>Enterobacter</i> , <i>Acinetobacter</i> , <i>Lactococcus</i> , <i>Tetragonococcus</i> , <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Enterococcus</i> , <i>Streptococcus</i> , <i>Macroccoccus</i> , <i>Monascus</i> and <i>Aspergillus</i> ; Spontaneous fermentation: <i>Bacillus</i> , <i>Enterococcus</i> , <i>Lactococcus</i> , <i>Leuconostoc</i> , <i>Lactobacilli</i> , <i>Weissella</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> , <i>Tetragenococcus</i> , <i>Trabulsiella</i> , <i>Sphingobacterium</i> , and <i>Stenotrophomonas</i> , <i>Sterigmatomyces</i> , <i>Debaryomyces</i> , <i>Tausonia</i> , <i>Pichia</i> , <i>Candida</i> , <i>Geotrichum</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> , and <i>Actinomucor</i>
	Pre- <i>Bacillus</i> spp. or <i>Micrococcus</i> spp.
Bacteria-fermented <i>sufu</i>	Post- Spontaneous fermentation: <i>Enterococcus avium</i> , <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> , <i>Staphylococcus carnosus</i> , <i>Leuconostoc mesenteroides</i> , <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> , <i>Streptococcus lutetiensis</i> , <i>Kocuria rosea</i> , <i>Kocuria kristinae</i> , <i>Bacillus pumilus</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> group*, and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>

*The organism was identified by sequencing of 16 rRNA genes, which does not differentiate between *B. cereus*, *B. thuringiensis*, *B. anthracis* and closely related species. With information from (Feng et al., 2013; Han et al., 2001b; He and Chung, 2020; Huang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2022; X. Li et al., 2021; Liang et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020).

Soybeans

Soaking and steaming

Inoculating by 'house flora'
or pure *Mucor* strain spores

Pre-fermentation
10 – 15 d; 10 – 15 °C

Douchi qu (koji)

5% - 18% Salt and seasoning

Maturation
1 – 5 y; 20 °C

Drying

Mucor-type Douchi

Inoculating by 'house flora' or
pure *Aspergillus* strain spores

Pre-fermentation
2 – 7 d, 25 – 30 °C

Douchi qu (koji)

Washing

5% - 18% Salt and seasoning

Maturation
4 – 6 m; 30 – 35 °C

Drying

Aspergillus-type Douchi









