

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

THESES CANADIENNES SUR ELCROFICHE

	er C
NAME OF AUTHOR NOM DE L'AUTEUR JOH	AN LONN.
TITLE OF THESIS/TITRE DE LA THÈSE SPORT	- RACIAL RELATIONS 1.VA
sun-	TH AFRICA -
UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITÉ CLIVIV	
DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED / GRADE POUR LEQUEL CETTE THESE FUT PRÉSENTÉE	Ph. D.
YEAR THIS DEGREE CONFERRED/ANNÉE D'OBTENTION DE CE	GRADE
NAME OF SUPERVISOR/NOM DU DIRECTEUR DE THÈSE	DR GARRY SMITH.
Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRA	ARY OF L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHE.
CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell	copies QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et
of the film	de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.
The author reserves other publication rights, and neith	
thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or	other- thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés
wise reproduced without the author's written permission	ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.
DATED DATE 11-02-77 SIGNED/SIGNE	Johan Loner.
ERMANENT ADDRESS RÉSIDENCE FIXE	neral Melines
	alling hahe
	alberta TOG DKO

National Library of Canada

Cataloguing Branch Canadian Theses Division

Ottawa: Canada

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilmirig. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Pfease read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

> THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED. **EXACTLY AS RECEIVED**

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction du catalogage Division des thèses canadiennes

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour la la contraction de la contracti duction

Sil manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a confèré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales oht été dactylographiées à l'aidé d'un ruban usé ou si l'université. 3 nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas 🚓 microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

SPORT AND RACIAL RELATIONS
IN SOUTH AFRICA

C JOHAN LOU

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE PACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH.

IN PARTIAL PULPILHENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

EDMONTON, ALBERTA
SPRING, 1977

PACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Paculty of Fraduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled Sport AND SOCIAL INTEGRATION IN SOUTH APRICA submitted by JOHAN LOUN in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Octor of Philosophy in Physical Education.

Jany Smill 2 Jane Joseph General Deston M. Jinth.

External Examiner

Date . Montalu 1, 1976

This study was undertaken with two main objectives in mind. Primarily the study was directed toward providing some objective information to assist the reader in comprehending the complex social structure of South Africa, as well as the role of sport within this society. In the second place it was hoped that this research study might be useful to the. South African government in establishing a policy in its quest for a peaceful society.

In order to meet these ambitious challenges, two areas were explored. It was considered important for the realization of these two over-all objectives that a comprehensive investigation be conducted into the socio-historical and ideological background of South Africa, with particular reference to the status of sport in its development. This was attempted by means of an extensive review of available literature. The presentation of various theoretical concepts were utilized as tools to analyze some of the problems and social phenomena of the South African society.

The other area of importance in the study was a social survey which employed a questionnaire response technique, in addition to personal interviews with segments of the sample in South Africa. Two independent variables were used in the sample, namely those of race and group affiliation. Each of these groups of these, politicians and sports

administrators comprised of both whites and Blacks (the latter term refers to Cape Coloureds, Asiatics and Bantu). Por the purpose of this study the selection of respondents was limited to athletes who had competed internationally, sports administrators of provincial and international standing and prominent politicians. The purpose of this investigation was to measure their attitudes concerning the possibility of sport acting as a catalyst to improve domestic racial relations in sport and industry, and in the South African society at large.

The research findings indicated that the respondents perceived sport to be one of the most important social institutions in South Africa and that both the racial groups reacted in a similar fashion to the importance of sport'. It was concluded that the value consensus demonstrated across racial lines could, if exploited, be used to improve racial relations in South africa. Purther findings suggest that both racial groups in the sample were in favor of racial integration in sport, but the Blacks demonstrated greater support than their white counterparts. Identical results were obtained from the sample on the issue of racial integration in industry. It was concluded that the respondents were ready for racial integration in both sport and industry. In addition, it was speculated that if such my development should come about, the structural-functionalist approach could hold far reaching implications for the South African society.

Although the black respondents isdicated their support for total social integration, it was to a lesser degree than their support for racial integration is sport and industry. The white respondents desonstrated a similar decline in support for total social integration, however their responses were still more in favor than in opposition to this possibility. It was concluded that the sample accepted racial integration is sport and industry, but due to the biological mixing the Whites were less agreeable to social integration. The idea of mixed marriage was slightly more acceptable to black respondents.

I wish to express my appreciation to the members of my supervisery committee, Dr. R.G. Glassford, Dr. B. Smith, Dr. G. Redmond, and in particular, Dr. H.A. Scott for their assistance in the completion of this study. In addition I would like to direct a special word of gratitude to Dr. G.J. Smith, the chairman of the committee, for his valued guidance. By appreciation is also extended to Dr. D. Semotiuk for acting as the external examiner to this description.

I am indebted to Br. Pred van Wyk and his friendly staff at the South African Institute of Race Relations in Johannesburg for their cooperation. The assistance extended to me by Professor H.W. van der Herwe of the Center of Intergroup Studies in Cape Town, was also greatly appreciated.

My thanks to Doug and Bill, as well as to Ella and Ray.

Ek wil hierdie studie opdra aan my wader, Deon Louw, in Groot Drakenstein, Suid-Afrika en sy kleinseun, Deon Louw, in Calling Lake, Kanada.

Thanks Kerry.

,

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
		•
CHAPTE	10	
-I	BACKGROUND TO STUDY	PAGE
-1	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	
	DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY	7
	JUSTIFICATION OF THE STEDY	3
	ORGANISATION OF STUDY	S K
<u>.</u> .		
71	A REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	. 8
	THEORETICAL CONCEPTS	78
*.	Race	8
	/ Racisa	17
}	/ Prejudice:	21
	Discrimination	- 42
. •	/ Binorities	48
	Pluralism	66
•	Social Change	. 75
,	RACE RELATIONS IN SOUTH APPLICA Initial Contact and Stratification	91
,	Towards a Policy of Segregation	92 100
	The Policy of Apartheid or Separate	100
	Development	105
,	The Political System and Apartheid	
	in Practice	112
	Apartheid, Homelands (Bantusland)	
i.	and the Economy	124
4	Agartheid and Sport	146
III	HETHODS AND PROCEDURES	176
	THE SABPLE	1-76
. •	DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE	178
	RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS * DEPINITION OF TERMS ,	182 185
r i ga sili	HYPOTHESES	191
	STATISTICAL TREATHENT	195
	DELINITATIONS	197
	LIHITATIONS	198
• •		• • •
IV.	ANALYSIS OF THE DATA	201
	Key concept 1: The importance of sport to the	
	individual in South Africa	201
	Results	201
	Discussion	214
	Key concept 2: The importance of sport to the	()
	government of South Africa	220
	Results	220
	Discussion 2. Company in the Property in country	224
	Rey concept 3: Government involvement in sport and integrational sports boycotts	230
	Results	230
	Discussion	236
	wanter and and the same and the	

1.

CHAPTER		PAGE
	Key concept 4: The perceived status of the	1
	racial groups and the role of sport in hace	•
· · · · · ·	relations	245
	Results	245
	Discussion	252
	Rey coacept 5: Integration in sport islastry	- 432
	and society at large	258
	Results	258
	Discussion	268
•		200
▼ -	SUBBART	281
	CONCLUSIONS AND PROPERTY.	282
	RECONNERDATIONS	
		292
BIBLIOGE	ADRY	-
		294
. ADSTUDIA		315
APPENDIX		317
# L L D B D Y Y	3: QUESTIONVAIRE	710

.

CEAPTER 1

BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

Various cultures have different institutions, attitudes and beliefs which often result in conflicting identities.

One way of reducing these conflicts is through communication.

(Rogers and Shoenaker, 1971) (Kriesberg, 1973) . Decame of the crosscultural nature of sport, it appears as the ideal institution to promote communication and thus aid in changing racial attitudes.

Whenever representatives of different races neet and discover in one another—beneath the differences of races—positioents, tautes, interests and human qualities that they can understand and respect, racial barriers are understand and eventually broken down (Park, 1990, p. 254).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

This study examines the role of sport within the South African society as it pertains to the mitigation of domestic racial relations. The institution of sport has been mentioned by many scholars as being of major consequence to South Africans (Scholtz, 1974) (Brutus, 1971) (Lapchick, 1973). Brutus (1971) contends that it is not easy for those unfamiliar with the South African situation, to grasp the extent to which sport dominates the thinking of most South Africans. Sport in South Africa, claims Lapchick (1973), is approaching the status common to a matienal religion. Little, if any, empirical data has ever been established in support of these projections. It is, therefore, important to

this study to edicatifically accortain the spetus of sport in South Africa and to investigate the inflapance sport has, as well as mould have, upon the modial structure of South Africa.

the major problem of this study is to be to the South Africans are prepared to accept, and peacefpilly coexist, is a racially istegrated society and whether sport could make a contribution to the process of change. A symber of subsidery problems have to be investigated in order to resolve the major problem. Some of these are: whether, and to what extent, the institution of sport has an influence upon the government; whether sport has an influence upon race relations; a determination of the reactions of South Africans to other recial groups and an examination of the possibilities of social integration in sport and industry. Is addition, the possible consequences of integrated sport and industry upon the total soutal structure is another sebsidery problem that will be investigated. Basically then, this study seeks to provide issight in the role of sport in the evolutionary evolvement of the South African society.

DESCRIPTION OF THE STODY A.

The survey research method was used to gather data in this study. The sample was drawn such that there was representation of both races (see Chapter 3 under definition of race); and an attempt was made to include respondents who may have differing perspectives of the South African sport

estimation. In most cashe these suspending the person pas apparator to complete a malled questionnals.

the respondents towards the position of sport in South africa, its interestion with prormash and its influence upon the international status of the country. In edition, questions were used to analyze attitudes of the despondents towards integration in sport and the carry-over value of sport into other social institutions.

JUSTIPHOLÉTON OF THE STUDY

The struggle for equal access of all peoples to their particular societies had brought about the enacigation process of subordinates and alsorities over the last two decades:

eighteenth century, the economic and political entranchisement of misorities has been regarded not herely as inherent in the "rights of men", but he the necessary instrument in the struggle for entranching processor of choice in occapation, mights of land ownership... these and other full privileges of citizenghip the the foundations upon which freedom rests (Cox, 1971, p. 386).

Vann Vopdward (1969) points out the ways in which segregation and discrimination in the American "been South" parallelled the South African situation up to the Second World War. The ideas of liberty subsequently reached the "been South" while South Africa chose to continue on its

route of black disenfranchisement through the policy of separate development. It is this choice, according to Paton, that placed the white man in South Africa in this "tragic dilemma" of

sees it, he cannot go up and he cannot go down; if he stays where he is, he will die. All those who stand watching have pity for him. But the analogy, alas, is obviously incomplete, for the world's spectators of our drama are seldom pitiful; they are often reproachful. From their point of wantage they can see which way we ought to go, but they see us, taking some other way which will lead us to destruction. And . . . the world looks at us in astonishment, wondering what madness has possessed us (Vann Woodward, 1969, pp. "121,122).

South Africa now walks her chosen path in isolation and under constant international and domestic pressures. Due to the unaesthetizing of racial consciences through time and various means of rationalization, the white man finds himself "on the face of a cliff". The conscience of the power group in South Africa needs reactivation and possibly then ". . . can [we] see which way we ought to go". The moral justification of this study lies within the reactivating and introspective value it might hold for South Africans.

Further justification of this study could readily be found in its practical importance. International and domestic pressure groups demanding social change in South Africa met with limited, if any, success until they introduced sport as a means to obtain their ultimate goal of racial equality. An editorial in the Johannesburg Sunday Times accurately depicts the situation:

South Africa's critics have simply discovered that sport is the most useful weapon they have yet found with which to beat us and while it is the sportsmen who are the sacrificial victims—they are being ostracized and deprived of the right to participate in world sport—the main target of attack is the radial policy of South Africa or, to put it more precisely, the radial policy of the Wationalist Party (Lapchick, 1973, p. 310).

The importance of sport in the South African society is clearly illustrated by its effectiveness as a boycott mechanism. The government is aware of the implications attached to acceding to the demands of the various pressure groups—integration in sport "would be sufficient to sabotage the entire structure of the South African society" (Brutus, 1971, p. 151). The practical justification of this study is secured in the possibilities and opportunities created for the South African government through the problems of sport boycotts. Will the government utilize this apportunity to change the destiny of South Africa through the medium of sport or is the process of social change too alarming to accept the challenge?

A third measure of justification for this study is related to its theoretical merit. The present social structure of South Africa is so highly stratified and demarcated that it lends itself particularly well to analyses of social problems such as racism, prejudice and discrimination, majority-minority conflict, pluralism, marginality, social change and the effects of protestantism on race relations. Various scholars have developed theories in these areas, but there has been a dearth of empirical

research carried out within the borders of the Republic of South Africa. The result is a noticable vacuum in scientific literature on South Africa's social problems based on empirical data.

Up to the present comparatively little attention has been given to the theoretical possibilities of sport as a change agent within the plural society. It would seem, however, that because sport has the potential to bring about changes within the structure of South Africa, it has obvious theoretical merit.

H.G. Wells states that "the real social dirt is ignorance", and ignorance can only be surmounted by real knowledge and consequent understanding (Hynam, 1969, p. 11). Biased literature in various countries around the globe condemning apartheid practices and biased literature in South Africa condoning apartheid as a way of life, hardly eliminates the danger of ignorance. This study hopefully will provide a balance between those polar views of South Africa.

V ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY

Chapter II is a survey of the literature which analyzes theories of race, racism, prejudice, discrimination, minorities, pluralism and social change. In addition, this chapter reviews the literature on race relations in South Africa under the headings of: initial contact and

stratification; towards a policy of segregation; the actual policy of separate development; the policy in practice; apartheid and its relations to the homelands and the economy, as well as the association between sport and apartheid.

Chapter III presents the sample that was utilized, the procedure used to collect the data and the research instruments employed to obtain the necessary information. Furthermore, the operationalized definitions, the hypotheses, the statistical treatment, the delimitations and limitations of the study were all in this chapter.

Chapter IV offers the findings of the study and these findings are discussed in terms of their applicability to sociological theory. The test of the hypotheses are also presented in this chapter. Chapter V presents a summary of the study, the conclusions and implications that could be drawn from this work, as well as recommendations for future research.

CHAPTER II

A REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

THEORETICAL CONCEPTS IN RACE RELATIONS

In many fields of study the definition of basic concepts poses a fundamental problem and Kindoch (1974) claims that the field of race relations is "particularly problematic" in this regard (p. 49). Cox (1948) has the support of many social scientists when he points to the absence of a universally accepted definition of race as being the principal inadequacy concerning conceptual stability in the field of race relations. Kluckhohn (1949) supports the opinion that there is no field of science in which as many misunderstandings occur so frequently among scholars. Therefore a closer examination of various aspects of race relations is required in order to help clarify this conceptual problem.

1. Bace:

The preoccupation of biologists, geneticists, anthropologists, psychologists and sociologists with the phenomenon of race reflects its importance in modern society:

anguage or nationality in moulding the destinies of men; race implies heredity, and heredity implies the moral, social and intellectual characteristics and traits which are springs of politics and government (Osborn, in Grant, 1916, p. vii).

years race has "become the core for the categorization of ideas about human differences", and he notes several possibilities which account for the emphasis on, and misinterpretation of race (p. 106). For example, Allpert suggests that Darwinism—implying the purity of races—does not provide for "mongrel dogs and cows and mongrel men", and consequently contributes to man's uncertain interpretations: of race. Pamily inheritance, according to Allport, has also contributed greatly to our confusion in this area:

If physical, physiological, mental and temperamental traits run in families, why not in races—which are also groups characterized by common descent? (p. 107)

This kind of reasoning unfortunately overlooks the possible role of "learning" as opposed to the exclusive implications of inheritance. Furthermore, it also overlooks the fact that unlike in a biological family, the genetic composition of a race is far less unified and the results of inheritance then are far less significant. Often racial pride is directed towards the concept of common blood of people of the same race—this concept finds no support in science, since all blood types are found in all races.

Van den Berghe (1967) mentions four "principle connotations" attached to the term race and which cause confusion. Firstly, the physical anthropologists have called races the various sub-species of homo sapiens which are "characterized by certain phenotypical and genotypical

traits, but no real categorical congruency has been established by these social scientists p. 9). This lack of cohesion has caused various physical anthropologists to abandon racial taxonomies. Secondly, layers use the word race to describe a human group sharing certain cultural characteristics—language and religion are often used to classify humans in a racial group. Allport (1958) and that this situation is a result of the inability of the average person to distinguish between racial and ethnic groups. According to Kluckhohn (1949) a racial group refers to hereditary ties while an ethnic group refers to social and cultural ties.

The term race is also used as a synonym for the term species, which adds to the confusion (e.g. human race). A fourth connotation attached to race by many social scientists refers to race as a "group that is socially defined but on the basis of physical criteria" (van den Berghe, 1967, p. 9):

[Race is] a human group that defines itself and/or is defined by other groups as different from other groups by virtue of innate and immutable physical characteristics. These physical characteristics are in turn believed to be intrinsically related to moral, intellectual, and other non-physical attributes of abilities (p. 9).

when roll and defined as such on the basis of perceived difference p. 51). Lind (1969) supports this concept of the social series of roll by stressing that:

Races come into being and races cease to exist, because the shifting conditions of life have made such groups clearly conscious of their being significantly different from others and have enforced a comparable conviction upon those who do not belong (p. 51).

Racial definitions, therefore, change according to the historical, economical, political and demographic development of a society (Lind, 1969). Various other social scientists identify with the approach of race as an all encompassing social concept. Banton (1967), for example, feels that perceived physical differences are used as a basis of assigning people to particular roles within the social order and that societies have well-defined systems of racial roles which help to make up the racial caste structure. Ber (1969) also places race in a social category and he proves his point by utilizing various examples ranging from plantation slavery to different aspects of examic pluralism. Kinloch (1974) sums up the approach of various contemporary social scientists towards the social dimension of race:

a social label or definition which has evolved out of society's particular historical development (p. 52).

Consequently, the same racial group might be differently defined in another society. In Rex's (1968) view, the more colonial a social structure, the more rigid the societal definitions of race. With industrialization these definitions have changed and with further social changes redefinitions will likely take place.

The concept of race is presently in its third stage of development, which is sometimes referred to as the sociological approach. The original approach refers to the physiological (biological/physical anthropological/genetic) interpretation of race and was followed by the psychological approach (Kinloch, 1974). To van den Berghe (1967) the study of race relations is as much within the scope of social psychology as it is within that of sociology. Allport's (1958) work of The Nature of Prejudice as well as certain parts of Simpson and Yinger's (1972) Racial and Cultural Minorities, emphasize this point. Although the sociological approach, as well as the socio-psychological, are well received at present, the physiological approach has been generally accepted in scholarly circles for a long period of time (Simpson's and Yinger's, 1972).

One of the viewpoints related to the physical or biological concept of race, is the genetical theory of race. Ashley Montagu (1942) explains that this theory is based on certain assumptions, such as the relative genetic homogeneity of the original ancestral human species population, the dispersion through space of families away from the original ancestral group by migration, the geographical isolation of these groups over a considerable period of time and the reaction of certain factors within these isolated communities which resulted in evolutionary change. These factors include inherent variability of the genetic materials as well as gene mutation. The former

situation refers to the fact that in a genetically homogeneous group "spontaneous random variations in gene frequencies will the course of time occur" (p. 39). This means that these originally homogeneous groups will develop differences from other ecotypes, which started with the same genetic equipment, due to the reoccurrence of certain genes. Mutation on the other hand, refers to the process whereby a particular gene undergoes a permanent change-the "appearance of a new form of an old character" (Hontagu, 1942, p. 39). Montagu uses the example of changes in skin color: assuming that white was the primitive skin color of man, the mutant genes for brown skin might have appeared in a certain population, while another population may have nutated a yellowish skin and finally through subsequent mutation black skin might have appeared. Hontaguagencludes that the "so-called races" only represent a variety of combinations of genetic materials common to all mankind.

Various geneticists have researched the actual gene combinations of different populations in order to establish a reliable method of measuring a particular race. Boyd (1958) describes various gene frequencies that characterize certain human populations. However, the relationship between these differences and a particular race is at present still hazy and Boyd is of the opinion that further research is necessary to establish the validity of this method as a successful way of measuring, a given race. Simpson and Tinger (1972) are rather pessimistic as to the possible success of

this method, since "man's great proclivities for travel and interbreeding have mixed human genes to such an extent that it is by no means easy to tell racial background" (p. 37).

As is the case with geneticists, physical anthropologists have also attempted to measure the phenomonon of race—their method of testing, however, is based upon several physiological characteristics. The most commonly used characteristics are skin color, nasal index. hair texture, head form, hair and eye color, facial index and stature (Simpson and Tinger, 1972). Although skin color has been the most popular means of identifying racial features, it is, according to Simpson and Yinger, one of the most unreliable traits if taken singly. This is because of variations within and overlapping of the populations. Extreme types, however, can be identified without the use of the refined technique of spectrophotometry. Hooten (1946) recognizes five pigments contributing to the color of skin, with malanin, carotene and an effect called scattering being the main ones. Of all the different tests, the test of hair form proves to be one of the most reliable criteria for race and falls into three main categories. The ulotrichy hair. form, referring to oval, tightly curled strands, is indicative of Wegroids; the leiotrichy form, which is straight and round in cross section, is found with Hongoloids; while the cymotrichy hair form is wavy and intermediate in cross section and denotes affiliation to Caucasian race (Simpson and Yinger, 1972).

Various anthropologists have attempted to divide the human population into recial entegories, but no real consensus has been established. Coon (1962) devised a theory on the origin of races whereby he could trace back five living races of mankind from home arectus. According to Coon's theory the Hongoloids developed from Sinanthropus, the Caucasoids from early Bearderthals, the Australoids from Pithecanthropus, Solo and Wadiak, and the Capoids, which refers to the Bushmen and Bottentots, developed from the Ternefine-Tangier line of North Africa. Coon finds the origin of the African Negroes and Bygnies (Congoids) a mystery, but ventures to predict that the Congoids crossed the sapiens line 200,000 years later than the Caucasoids. Lehrnan (1966), a biologist, feels that if two genetic systems -- referring to that of the Caucasoids and the Congoids -- have evolutionized independently over so long a period of time, interfertility would be impossible. Garn (1963) questions Coon's decision as to the time when the subspecies of erectus became sapiens, since the taxonomic criteria and gaps in fossil record makes such a decision hard to defend.

Even Bontagu (1942) who has been a strong advocate against the establishment of fixed racial differences and racial categories, has attempted to classify populations. In 1942 he preferred to refer to races as divisions of mankind and recognized the following divisions: Hongolian, Caucasian, Wegro, Australo-Belamesian and Polynesian. By

and ethnic grouping of man. The major groups reter to legroid, Caucasond and Hongoloid groups which are each subdivided into various ethnic groups (Hontagu, 1940). In an article called "The Concept of Ence" published in 1962, Hamingu employed the term genogroups to refer to a "breeding population which differs from other breeding populations of the species in the frequency of one or more genes" (p. 925).

A number of other social scientists objecting to the use of the term race, substitute with the term "population"

Garn's (1961) mine major races based on geographical location, include such races as Amerindian, Micronesian and Helanesia-Papuan, plus thirty-two local races or breeding populations. Coon, Garn and Birdsell published a thirty race classification in 1950 and Dobrhansky (1962), classified the human population into thirty-four races, based upon gene Frequencies and other observable physical traits. Hayr (1968) acknowledges the existence of different races but feels that drawing a line between thes is impossible. The uncertainty of racial classification is summed up well by Washburn (1963) when he states that "since races are open systems which are integrating, the number of races will. depend on the purpose of the classification (p. 524) . . Although races, according to Glass (1968), are vanishing, it is a process which will need thousands of years-win the meantime, color and racial diversity will continue to have

great and, possibly, increasing social and political significance" (Sispeon and Yinger, 1972, p. 86).

2. Region

Van den Berghe (1967) gives an idea of the diversified connotations connected to recien:

instance of prejudice; for the philosopher racism is a particular body of ideas; The political scientist may regard racism as a special kind of political ideology . . ; a historian may look at race and racism as by products of, and rationalizations for, western slavery and colonial expansion; A cultural anthropologist may regard race and racism as traits in the cultural inventory of people (p. 132).

Kimloch (1974) states that the psychological approach towards the explanation of racial attitudes is of major importance—vas den Berghe (1967) chooses to refer to this approach as socio-psychological. Both racism and prejudice fit into this category, since both these phenomena are related to personality, Racism, writes van den Berghe (1967), "is ultimatley reducible to a set of attitudes which are, of course, socially derived but which nevertheless become part of the personality system" (p. 16). Simpson and Yinger (1972) describe prejudice as "an emotional; rigid attitude (a predisposition to respond to a certain stimulus in a certain way) towards a growp of people" (p. 24).

The relationship between racism, prejudice and discrimination has never been adequately explained—social scientists tend to utilize these terms in interchangable fashion without any definite consistency. <u>Rebster's</u>

Dictionary (1961) encourages this approach with its definition of the term racism:

ಖ

Assumption of inherent racial superiority or the purity and superiority of certain races, and consequent discrimination against other races; also any doctrine or program of racial domination and discrimination based on such as assumption. Also less specif., race hatred and discrimination (p. 1870).

Van den Berghe (1967) is of the opinion that racism could only be accurately defined in terms of its actual situational context. To some people racism is a symptom of deeply rooted psychological problems, while to the majority of people living in a racist society, racism is simply a means of conforming to the social norms of their society.

Van den Berghe (1967) hypothesizes that:

The more overt, blatant, and socially sanctioned racism is, the less of the variance in both racial prejudice and discrimination can be accounted for in psychodynamic terms (p. 21).

The opposite is true too: in a society where social pressures and rewards for racism are absent, racism would be more restricted to people for whom prejudice fulfills a psychological need.

As these social norms are modified according to changed social conditions, so will the definition of racism undergo a change. Van den Berghe (1967) illustrates this statement with the liberation of colonial Africa—during and after decolonialization the Europeans rapidly adjusted their attitudes and behavior towards black Africans. Those who could not adjust left the country, some modified their

behavior but not their attitudes, while most of the Whites showed remarkable adaptation to drastically changed political and social conditions (p. 39).

Banton (1967) endeavors to distinguish between the three phenomena under scrutiny. He writes that prejudice is the attitude, discrimination reflects the manner in which social relations are based upon such attitudes, while racismis:

... the doctrine that a man's behavior is determined by stable inherited characters deriving from separate racial stocks having distinctive attributes and usually considered to stand to one another in relations of superiority and inferiority (p. 8).

To Kinloch (1974) racial prejudice in general indicates the attitudinal acceptance of racist criteria, "while racial discrimination reflects the societal translation of these norms into the form of a racial caste system" (p. 55). His understanding of the term racism specifies it as:

of a negative social definition of a group identified as a race on perceived physical grounds along with the legitimacy of the discriminatory treatment accompanying that definition (p. 54)

On the subject of the origin of racism, Cox (1959) hypothesizes that

developed among Europeans with the rise of capitalism and nationalism, and that because of the world-wide ramifications of capitalism, all racial antagonisms can be traced to the policies and attitudes of the leading capitalist people, the white people of Europe and Worth America (p. 322).

Cox identifies the initial signs towards modern day racism

during the Crusades. He refers to this situation as the "rise of the politico-religious system of Christianity", which was at first manifested in a "Jew-heathen-infidel antagonistic complex" (pp. 325-26). However, racism and racial prejudice unfolded when the religious definition of equality was not accepted any, more by the colonizing powers. Cox refers to 7493-94 as the year which marked the beginning of modern racism—this was the time when total disregard for "human rights and physical power of the non-Christian peoples of the world, the colored people, was officially assumed by Spain and Portugal (ps. 332). Cox rationalizes his Marxist account of the origin and development of racism as simply the most consistent explanation of the facts.

In her book <u>Race</u>: <u>Science and Politics</u>, Benedict (1950) indicates that racial antagonism is a recent European development, regardless of the fact that fanatical racism occurred in Isreal prior to the days of modern racism. Park (1968) declares that race relations, and all that they imply, are products of migration and conquest. According to Park, racism developed independently in different civilizations long before the development of modern racism van den Berghe's (1967) understanding of the origins of racism supports Park in that he believes "racism . . . has been independently discovered and rediscovered by various peoples at various times in history" (p. 12). Van den Berghe mentions four conditions under which racism has frequently developed and flourished: military conquest in which the

victor establishes political and economical domination, gradual frontier expansion whereby the native population is exterminated and subordinated, involuntary migration through slavery and voluntary migration when alien groups seek political protection or economic opportunities.

The origin of Western racism, conversely, should be understood in the light of three major sociological factors: slavery in the New World and colonial expansions in Africa, the influence of social Darwinism, and the "egalitarian and libertarian ideas of the Enlightment and Prench Revolutions" (Van den Berghe, 1967, p. 18). Although racism was used in the slavery and colonization situations to rationalize their existance, van den Berghe contends that both these phenomena existed without an appreciable amount of racism and that racism is consequently not purely a result of colonization and slavery. Hofstadter (1959) declares that the Darwinian thought of stages of evolution, survival of the fittest, hereditary determinism, et cetera, was congruent with racism.

3. Prejudice:

Social scientists have been much less reluctant to speculate on the concept of prejudice than on the concept of racism. The research studies related to prejudice provide a better understanding of the intricate relationship between prejudice, discrimination and racism. They also account for the different socio-psychological attitudes towards race.

Allport (1958) investigated the origin, and development of the word prejudice to enable him to understand its dimensions and so to define it accurately. The term under discussion originated from the Latin word, praejudicium, which meant a judgement based on previous decisions and experiences. When the term was initially taken over in English it referred to a premature or hasty judgement (Allport, 1958), Only later did the term acquire its *present emotional flavor of favorableness or unfavorableness that accompanies such a prior and unsupported judgement* (p. 7). Prejudgments are distinguished from prejudice in that one can discuss and rectify a prejudgment without emotional resistance. Vickery and Opler (1948) point out that prejudice not only involves prejudgment, but also misjudgement. They claim that prejudice is categorical thinking that systematically misinterprets the facts. All misjudgements, however, are not prejudice. Prejudice is misjudgement of members of a group and refers to a socially oriented action. Ackerman and Jahoda (1950) state that stereotypy is another phenomenon that is closely connected to prejudgment and prejudice. Prejudgment occurs when facts are not available, while stereotypy is a process with little regard for facts. Prejudice is a "sub-category of prejudgment and it uses stereotypy but it is not identical with either (p. 4).

Simpson and Yinger (1972) note that prejudice, as it is normally used, includes a wide varity of phenomena. Williams

(1947) uses the expression "umbrella concept" to describe this same idea. He states that prejudice could be mild or violent, and that it could contrast between groups with whom one has no personal contact and those with whom one has continual contact. Allport (1958) mentions that prejudice could be either positive or negative—in the case of ethnic or racial prejudice however, the connotations are mostly negative. For example, when a group of students was asked to describe their attitudes towards ethnic groups, they responded with eight times as many antagonistic as favorable ones (Allport, 1958).

One of the "phenomena" prejudice embraces is race, and racial or ethnic prejudice to Allport (1958) indicates:

... an antipathy based upon a faulty and inflexible generalization. It may be felt or expressed. It may be directed toward a group as a whole, or to an individual because he is a member of that group (p. 10).

Adinarayan (1964) is more specific in his definition of color prefadice. He points out that this form of prejudice may be defined as an attitude or group of attitudes towards certain people due to physical characteristics, with skin color a major determinant. Color prejudice could be intensified "by economic, political and social causes as well as primitive feelings of fear, disgust and jealousy" (p. 1). The marxist viewpoint of Oliver Cox (1959) pertaining to race prejudice is evident in his definition:

Race prejudice . . . is a social attitude propagated among the public by an exploiting class for the purpose of stigmatizing some group as inferior so that the exploitation of either the group itself or

its resources or both may be justified. Persecution and exploitation are the behavior aspects of intolerance and race prejudice respectively (p. 393).

Prejudice, to introduce an over-all theoretical orientation to the problem of prejudice on the basis of psychological and social causation. The various theories of prejudice are divided into six categories of emphasis: historical emphasis, psychodynamic emphasis, situational emphasis, phenomenological emphasis, and emphasis on earned reputation. For this study the first three categories are the most worthy of investigation.

Various historians are of the opinion that, due to the longevity of ethnic conflicts, a historical analysis of these conflicts is necessary. To Handlin (1948), for example, a purely psychological view is too confining:

Such studies are enlightening only within narrow limits. For personality is itself conditioned by social forces; in the last analysis, the search for understanding must reach into the broad social context within which personality is shaped (p. 80).

Allport (1958) affirms the usefulness of history as a means of providing that "broad social context", but he also acknowledges the fact that history fails to explain why within the same social context, one personality develops prejudice and another does not.

Some of the historical studies attempting to explain prejudice stress the importance of economic determinants.

Probably the best known of these studies is the above

mentioned Marxist exploitation theory of prejudice. Allport (1958) sees this theory as being attractive due to a large number of concomitant situations in Africa, Worth America and the Orient, but notes that although a certain amount of truth is evident in the exploitation theory, wit is weak in many particulars" (p. 205). It fails to account for the fact that there is not equal prejudice against all exploited people-various immigrant groups have been exploited in America, but none suffered prejudice to the same extent as the Negroes and Jews. According to Allport, the example of the persecution of the Hormons and Quakers in the United States, which was done in the absence of economic incentives, illuminates a further weakness in marx's theory. White factory workers and tennant farmers in America suffered exploitation similar to that of the Hegro, "but no ritual of discrimination has developed against them (p. -205). The historic contributions to the understanding of prejudice, therefore, are not all confined to the economic interpretation, and for these reasons Allport feels that the Marxist theory of prejudice is too simple. He does admit. however, that it denotes one of the factors involved in prejudice, namely the rationalization of the self-interest of the wealthy,

within the socio-cultural category numerous theoretical approaches have been developed to explicate racial and ethnic prejudices. Allport (1958) indicates that prejudices are induced, increased and will flourish in the following

situations: where there is heterogeneity in the population:
where vertical mobility is possible; where rapid social
change is in progress and accompanied by anomie; where
ignorance and communication obstacles are present; where the
population of the minority group is dense; where direct
competition and realistic conflict exist; where minorities
are exploited; where the release of aggression through
scapegoating is tolerated by society; where legend and
tradition have sustained hostility and where meither
assimilation nor cultural pluralism are favored.

Within a homogeneous society there is little alarm for prejudice, since the differentiation bases for prejudice such as color, religion, language, styles of clothing and standards of living are shared by all the people. Only two types of antagonisms are available to people within a homogeneous society: a distrust of foreigners and the singling out of individuals for ostracism. In the heterogeneous community sufficient visibility exist around which prejudice may be built--color, cast of features, speech or accent, names, religious practices, place of residence and more, are common means for differentiation of in-group and out-group stereotyping (Allport, 1958). A scheme for classifying the grades of visibility among races was suggested by Keith (1928). This was done according to the proportion of members of a specific race that are readily identifiable. His scheme consists of four categories: pandiacritic, which indicates that every

individual is recognizable; mesodiacritic, which indicates eighty percent or more recognizability; mesodiacritic, indicating between thirty and eighty percent are recognizable and microdiacritic, which indicates that less than thirty percent of a specific race, is recognizable. A major means of identification tends to be appearance, with color having an overpowering impact upon perceptions.

(Allport, 1958).

The group-norm theory of prefudice has strong implications for the heterogeneous society. Sherif and Sherif (1953) indicate that the original factors leading to the formation of prejudiced attitudes are functionally related to becoming a group member, and consequently adopting the group norms and values as a foundation in regulating experience and behavior (p. 218). This theory furthermore suggests that all groups:

... develop a way of living with characteristic codes and beliefs, standards and enemies to suit their own adaptive needs. The theory holds also that both gross and subtle pressures keep every individual member in line (Allport, 1958, p. 38)

The child is regarded as a member of the parents' group and the child is normally expected to acquire their loyalties and prejudices. If the parents, due to their group membership, are objects of prejudice, the child too will automatically be victimized (Allport, 1958). According to McManus (1961), because the child is born into a family, a religion, a race, et cetera, the formation of in-groups is a normal development. The in-group refers to man's preference

for the familiar, and the consequent unfamiliarity with another group increases the potential for prejudice.

Some situational theorists of prejudice consider "primarily as a phenomenon of upward and downward mobility" (Allport, 1958, p. 209). The research of Greenblum and Pearlin (1966) indicates that downward mobility is associated with greater prejudice. They note in addition, however, that upward mobility might also be associated with prejudice since prejudice could be used to secure hardearned prestige or to enchance further upward mobility. Silberstein and Seeman (1959) clarify the association of prejudice with downward mobility. They found that it was not downward mobility per se causing prejudice, but rather downward mobility of those who were highly sensitive to status considerations. Such people proved significantly more anti-Semitic and anti-negro than the upwardly mobile respondents (non-status seekers). Bettelheim and Janowitz (1950) discovered through their research, that a person's present status in society is not all-important in regulating his or her prejudice. The upward or downward shifting of his or her status, however, is the determing factor in the regulation of prejudice.

Allport (1958) is of the opinion that the combination of heterogeneity and the urge for upward mobility contribute to the cause of agitation and conflict in society, "and are likely to bring ethnic prejudice in their wake" (p. 218). This process, according to Allport, is accelerated during

times of crisis and anomie:

As the Roman empire crumbled the Christians were more frequently fed to the lions. During the period of war strain in America, race riot markedly increased (especially in the year 1943). Whenever the cotton business in the South has slumped, the number of lynchings has appreciably increased (pp. 248-219).

Certain types of crises, however, have the effect of lessening intergoup hostilities within a nation. This is usually the case when the whole nation is threatened by a common enemy such as natural disasters, wars, and so forth.

The belief that prejudice can be eradicated by increasing knowledge is based on the assumption that the more one knows about a person, the less likely it is that a feeling of hostility will exist (Allport, 1958) . Hynam (1969) declares that prejudice is a world-wide illness and that ignorance, due to a lack of knowledge and understanding of the group the prejudice is directed towards, contributes to its perpetuation. Hurphy, Hurphy and Newcomb (1937) found through the use of surveying studies, that those who know most about about other races and peoples tend to demonstrate positive attitudes towards them. Contrary, to this argument, Grace and Neuhaus (1952) found that the law of inverse relation between knowledge and hostility does not hold true for extreme degrees of hostility. That is, despite considerable knowledge of our worst enemy, hostility may still exist.

The rapid influx of a minority group into a residential area often causes the resident population to feel threatened

and this subsequently results in prejudice. Import (1958) alleges that in itself, growing density is not a sufficient principle to explain increased prejudice. Certain ethnic groups have higher degrees of visibility or possess more points of difference and they consequently appear more threatening. The growing density of such a minority group seems to aggravate the already existing prejudice, rather than being the cause of the prejudice, Williams (1947) explains this phenomenon as follows:

Migration of a visibly different group into a given area increases the likelihood of conflict; the probability of capilict is greater (a) the larger the ratio of the incoming minority to the resident population, and (b) the more rapid the influx (p. 57).

Prior to the second World War, according to Richmond (1950), color prejudice in England "was slight", but during the war a large number of black people made Liverpool their home and a strong feeling against them developed.

various scholars maintain that the operation of this socio-cultural law could be significantly reduced if the minofity groups would disperse themselves as individuals and not gather collectivity. Talcott Parsons (1945) declares that if the Jews could be evenly distributed throughout the social structure, the prejudice against them would probably be greatly reduced. Allport (1958) mentions that due to economic and social reasons the dispersion of mimorities in this manner is not easily achieved. This tendency of the minorities to cluster together in a subsociety and in given occupations, greatly increases the communication gap between

within the sphere of realistic conflict, prejudice is often used as a smoke-screen. Economic, international and ideological conflicts that present a genuine confrontation of interests and values are often much more difficult to solve, due to the unnecessary affiliation of prejudice to the core conflict. In the economic field it is seldow true that one ethnic group directly threatens another but, due to the inclusion of prejudice into a realistic conflict situation, this interpretation is often accepted. In the international and religious spheres, conflicts are magnified due to the addition of "irrelevant stereotypes" (Allport, 1958).

Prejudice, according to Allport (1958), is used by the majority group to exploit the minority groups. The Harxian theory of prejudice would improve considerably in credibility if the means of exploitation was not restricted to the economic sphere. Dollard (1937) illustrates this point by referring to a variety of forms through which the Megroes are exploited. Exploitation is achieved through offering them low wages for menial jobs, while the employer gains economically. Furthermore, the white man gains sexually at the expense of the Megro woman while denying corresponding access of white women to Megro males. Hany Whites, in addition, consider Megroes as mentally and socially inferior and this provides them with a comforting status gain. By either intimidating the Megro voter or

preventing him or her from voting, the white politician might gain politically. Acwilliams (1948) shows that antiSemitism in America was a social exploitation ploy used by the railroad tycoons to divert attention from their own dubious interpretations of the democratic ideals of America. They propagated prejudice against the Jews on the basis of their involvement with and responsibility for the economic ills, their political fraud and their moral lapses. In a diversified society then, various forms of exploitation against a minority group could be used, with prejudice being a necessary component of this process (Allport, 1958).

specific group naturally identify with their group through a variety of aspects, further stimulation towards greater identification is coatended for by the group, and usually at the expense of out-groups. In such a milieu prejudice becomes part of the identification process of the group members. Prejudice also poses as an underlying factor in the situation where the dominant group unfavorably views both assimilation and cultural pluralism. The dominant group regards the minority group as inferior and consequently does not want to assimilate. The alternative of full separation and the consequent relinquishing of resources and power to the minority group for independence, is also unacceptable.

The third category under which prejudice will be discussed is referred to by Allport (1948) as the psychodynamic emphasis and it ascribes to "theories that

stress causation in human nature (p. 209). Simpson and Yinger (1972) allege that a general body of systematic socio-psychological theory about human behavior is necessary to explain prejudice:

To say simply that there is an "instinct" or natural tendency towards prejudice, or that there is an inevitable "dislike of the unlike", or that so-called prejudice against minority groups is a natural reaction to their factual inferiority—explanations that abound in the early literature—is to fail to bring the study of prejudice into the framework of contemporary theory of human behavior (p. 63).

Included in the general body of systematic sociopsychological theory of prejudice are certain hypotheses.
The frustration-aggression theory, as projected by Dollard
(1937), refers to the fact that denial of certain goals or
gratifications, leads to frustration. In certain situations
the frustration is displaced from the actual cause to an
unrelated scapegoat, which in turn becomes the object of
aggression. This "expression of aggression has presumably a
cathartic effect of relieving frustration" (van den Berghe,
1967, p. 19). Racial and ethnic prejudice results when the
scapegoating is directed to members of a cultural group and
the aggression against them is nationalized in terms of
their alleged undesirable traits.

Simpson and Yinger (1972) state that in many instances the acquired hostility cannot be directed at the actual source of frustration. This can be due to the possible absence of a human agent, or the agent being unknown or too powerful to retaliate against. Also, the frustration might

tendencies of the individual. In cases where the agent is a member of the in-group, hostility might be stored up or directed towards oneself or towards some substitute target that "is more accessible or less able to strike back" (p. 67). Burke (1969) found in his research that a task leader, demanding great sacrifices beyond the level of legitimacy, could very well fulfil the role of frustration agent. "Pree-floating", undirected hostility may result when the actual agent cannot be attacked and often this displacement of hostility is directed at minority groups (Simpson and Yimger, 1972). Allport (1958) supports this approach and declares that "deprivation and frustration lead to hostile impulses, which if not controlled are likely to discharge against ethnic minorities" (p. 209).

The injustice and irrational behavior of the prejudiced person causes emotional and intellectual strains which he cannot ignore. In order to ease his conscience he creates or accepts convincing reasons for his actions against the minority group. Various dubious kinds of evidence is used to demonstrate that the members of the minority group actually deserve the treatment he administers upon them. A common method of justification and a means of eliminating a guilt complex is to project some of the evil traits characterizing his own behavior onto the scapegoat (Simpson and Yinger, 1972). The prejudiced person, in a final attempt to escape doubt regarding his behavior toward a minority, would

stereotype behavior of individual members of a minority group. This in turn helps him to rationalize his prejudice towards the entire group (Zawadski, 1948).

Simpson and Yinger (1972) point out two reasons why this theory is ineffective and inconclusive. Firstly, since the actual source of frustration still persists, the prejudiced person is not truly successful in reducing hishostilities through the process of scapegoating. Purthermore, since the scapegoat is protecting the frustrating agent from the actual hostility, the latter will be free to perpetuate the frustration and could quite conceivably even intensify it. The second reason the frustration-hostility-displacement or projection cycle of hostility reduction proves ineffective is the fact that it leaves the prejudiced person with a certain sense of quilt, whether conscious or unconscious, and a fear of retaliation. These feelings of doubt and guilt consequently lead to further displacement and projection. This vicious circle indicates why in the face of reason, established prejudice resists reduction.

Allport (1948) identifies three versions of the frustration-agression/displacement-projection/scapegoating theory. The first refers to the biblical origin of the concept of scapegoating: on the Day of Atonement the Hebrews would perform a ritual whereby the sins of the people would symbolically be transferred onto a goat, which was subsequently ejected into the wilderness. This version, as

described in the book of Leviticus, refers to the situation—whereby personal misconduct generates guilt which is displaced upon a scapegoat. The frustration—aggression (hostility)—displacement version of this theory has been discussed above. The third version suggests that frustration generates aggression, which is displaced upon relatively defenseless scapegoats and this displaced hostility is rationalized by blaming, stereotyping and projecting.

Allport (1948) recognizes three distinguishable types of projection: direct projection, mote-beam projection and complementary projection. Direct projection refers to:

to another person (or group) emotions, motives, and behavior that actually belong to the person who projects them, and not the person who is blamed for them (p. 365).

A close relationship exists between direct projection and stereotyping. Someone might possess unwanted traits such as laziness, lust or untidiness which he projects on a whole ethnic group—the Megro as completely lazy; the Jew as concupiscent; the Mexican as filthy. This extreme for the stereotyping is so far removed from the prejudiced person that he need not suspect himself of being guilty. Sheerer (1949) notes that hatred of other people may be a mirrored reflection of self-hatred and that helping such a person gain in self-esteem may be more effective therapy than to raise his respect for others.

By the mote-beam mechanism, Allport (1958) denotes the

process of "exaggerating qualities in other people which both they and we possess, though we may not realize we possess them" (p. 366). This form of projection is considered by Ichheiser (1947) as a more human failing than direct projection. The third form of projection is

- . . less of a mirrored perception and more of a rationalized perception whereby a person attempts to find causes for his own state of mind by reference to the imagined intentions and behaviors of others (p. 367).

According to Kardiner and Ovesey (1951) all forms of projection are futile. Projection is essentially a neurotic device which neither fundamentally relieves the sufferers sense of guilt, nor develops a lasting self-respect. Scapegoating is merely a disguise for constant and unrecognized self-hatred—the greater the self-hatred the more intense becomes the hatred of the scapegoat. This process develops into a vicious circle. The more hatred projected onto the scapegoat, the less sure the sufferer becomes of his logic and innocence, and consequently, the more guilt he has to project.

Some research in the field of the social psychology of prejudice has sought to establish whether prejudice is a specific response to specific stimuli or whether it should be interpreted as one manifestation of the total personality. In recent years a great deal of research has been done to test the hypotheses "that prejudice is part of a complicated personality syndrome." (Simpson and Yinger, 1972, p. 77). This thesis suggests that prejudice is one

manifestation of a basically insecure person who views life as unpredictable and threatening, and who looks upon all human relationships in competitive power terms (p. 77).

Adorno, et al., (1950), authors of The Authoritarian

Personality, note that there are various socio-psychological
factors functionally connected with this kind of
personality:

The most crucial result of the present study, as it seems to the authors, is the demonstration of and outlook a subject is likely to have in a great variety of areas, ranging from the most intimate features of family and sex adjustment through relationships to other people in general, to religion and to social and political philosophy (p. 971).

Among the characteristic traits peculiar to the authoritarian personality are respect for force, submission towards superiors, aggression towards subordinates, lack of insight, intolerance of deviance, destructiveness and cynicism, a tendency towards superstition and an exaggerated interest in sex. These traits are believed to develop in early childhood, with family environment often proving the deciding factor. Persons displaying these character traits score highly on the "P" scale (for fascism) on the authors personality scale. These same persons also score highly on scales designed to measure the degree of hostility against ethnic out-groups (Adorno, Prenkel-Brunswick, Levinson and Sanford, 1950).

Prenkel-Brunswick and Sanford (1945) tested for anti-Semitism; among a number of Unixersity of California students and found that those scoring high saw the pattern of human relations as basically a struggle between dominance and submission. These students scoring high in anti-Semitism also demonstrated a great deal of social anxiety—they drew a very definite line between nice people and bad people and were inclined to think in in terms of fate. Although they had many underlying aggressive feelings they were reluctant to express strong drives such as aggression and sex. They projected these drives, however, on to out-groups and saw minferior and lower people to be aggressive and sexually uninhibited. Simpson and Yinger (1972) state that numerous studies during the last decade have sought to:

the thesis that prejudice is to an important degree the expression of an insecure personality. They converge on such concepts as self-rejection, repression, a strong concern for power in human relationships . . . (p. 79).

Mackinnon and Centers (1956), using a sample of 460 people, found that agreement with authoritarian items went up as age increased. Studies also indicate that intelligence is a factor in authoritarianism. According to Jacobson and Rettig (1959), authoritarian tendencies decrease as intelligence increases. Simpson and Yinger (1972) declare that there is substantial evidence indicating a correlation between an increase in education and a decrease in muthoritarianism. Greenberg, et al (1959) indicate that those people with authoritarian dispositions are less likely to attend college or to remain in college. The relationship between education and authoritarianism is explained by

Angell (1962) as follows:

One could say that the well educated are active participants in a world broadened by modern communication, and that they are relatively secure in the world. It neither frightens or frustrates them. In contrast, those with little schooling are parochially oriented but not secure in their parochialism. They are vaguely aware of larger forces that they do not understand but which may bode them ill (p. 660).

The origins of the traits relating to authoritarianism, are often sought in the early family background of a person. Hart (1957) found, through tests and interviews with 126 middle-class mothers, a consistent relationship between authoritarian tendencies and harsh training methods.

One of the most important aspects of the tradition of prejudice is the stereotyped pictures it contains. Once these pictures are fixed in the culture they tend to guide the interaction of the groups involved (Pishman, 1956). Allport (1958) defines a stereotype as "an exaggerated belief associated with a category. Its function is to justify (rationalize) our conduct in relation to that category" (p. 187). He mentions that a stereotype and category are not identical. A stereotype, more correctly, is an established idea that accompanies the category. For example, the wegro category simply refers to a racial stock, while the Megro stereotype refers to this category as being musical, lazy, superstitious, et cetera. Some stereotypes are totally unsupported by facts while others develop as a result of "sharpening and overgeneralization of facts" (Allport, 1958). Herton (1957) declares that it is not what

the out-group does or fails to do that causes prejudice, but rather it is caused by deeply rooted stereotypes within our social structure.

Bettelheim and Janowitz (1950) indicate that
stereotyping could be used both negatively and positively.
The former method of stereotyping, however, is used more
frequently. Stereotyping acts both as a justification device
"for categorical acceptance or rejection of a group, and as
a screening or selective device to maintain simplicity in
perception and thinking" (Allport, 1958). Whether held by
majority or minority group members, stereotypes act as easy
methods of explanation. Stereotypes include a great variety
of errors, however, errors in stereotypes held by the
minority are less important since the minority group does
not have the "power to make reality out of its beliefs"
(Simpson and Yinger, 1972, p. 153).

Simpson and Yinger (1972) point out that although there is a certain amount of truth in many stereotypes, the application of these to describe the behavior of all the members of a group is a gross error. Pirstly, stereotypes produce a highly exaggerated portrayal of the importance of only a few favorable or unfavorable charteristics.

Purthermore, stereotypes combine untruths with grains of truth to make certain asserted tendencies and traits appear reasonable. In the negative sense, they tend to omit or fail to sufficiently stress, certain favorable tendencies.

Stereotypes also fail to show how groups share the same

tendencies or perhaps demonstrate the same characteristics. They do not identify the cause of the minority group tendencies—often pressure by the majority group, through their stereotyping, creates the very characteristics they condemn. Stereotypes leave little room for either change or individual variation. Despite these weaknesses and because the members of a group do not readily guestion the definitions passed down by their culture, stereotypes occupy a very dominant role in the pattern of prejudice. In addition, stereotypes are useful and effective weapons in keeping minority groups in low status positions.

4. Discrimination:

Although they are closely related, prejudice must not be equated with discrimination. According to Simpson and Yinger (1972) discrimination ordinarily refers to the overt expression of prejudice. There is considerable consensus in the way that various scholars have defined the concept of discrimination. For example, Williams (1947) produced the following definition:

Discrimination may be said to exist to the degree that individuals of a given group who are otherwise formally qualified are not treated in conformity with these normally universal institutionalized codes (p. 39).

An official memorandum of the United Mations (1949) defines the issue as follows:

Discrimination includes any conduct based on a distinction made on grounds of natural or social categories, which have no relation either to individual capacities or merits, or to the concrete behavior of the individual person (p. 9).

The United Mations places great emphasis on the denial of equal treatment to individuals or groups of people.

Antonovsky (1960) states that discrimination may be defined as "the effective injurious treatment of persons on grounds rationally irrelevant to the situation" (p. 81) To Hynam (1969) racial discrimination indicates "the differental treatment of individuals considered to belong to a particular social group" (p. 5). Kinloch (1974) describes discrimination as:

definitions are translated into action and political policy through the subordination of minorities and deprivation of their political, social, and economic rights (p. 54).

Allport (1958) states that any negative attitude tends to express itself in action and the more intense the attitude, the more likely it is "to result in vigorously hostile action" (p. 14). Allport distinguishes five degrees of progressively negative action, one of which is discrimination. Anilocution is the least vigorous form of expressing a negative attitude. It refers to friends expressing corresponding antagonisms freely without proceeding beyond a mild degree of antipathy. The ensuing degree of negative action is avoidance, which occurs when the prejudiced person avoids all members of the disliked group. Although the bearer of prejudice does not directly inflict harm upon the group he dislikes, avoidance could at times be of considerable inconvenience to the bearer. The following course of negative action of the prejudiced person

is discrimination. According to the Commission on Human Rights of the United Nations, (1949) discrimination takes place when detrimental distinctions of an active sort are made. The bearer of prejudice undertakes to exclude all members of the specific group from various opportunities and privileges. Allport mentions further that under conditions of emotional accentuation, prejudice may lead to physical attack. The fourth degree then, refers to acts of violence or semi-violence. The final and most extreme degree in the expression of prejudice is extermination, with the death of out-group members as the ultimate objective.

Simpson and Tinger (1972) realize that no single expression of the relationship between prejudice and discrimination is adequate. They therefore, suggest the following dimensions of associations:

1. There can be prejudice without discrimination 2. There can be discrimination without prejudice.

3. Discrimination can be among the causes of

. 4. Prejudice can be among the causes of discri 5. Probably most frequently they are mutually reinforcing (p. 29).

Van den Berghe (1967) notes that in a society where social pressures and rewards for racism are absent, racial prejudice is more likely to be restricted to those people for whom it fulfills a psychological need and that they may refrain from discriminating to avoid social disapproval Hynam (1969) affirms that there are people that are highly prejudiced, "but so civilized and cultured in the popular sense of the word, that they would bend over backwards *

rather than discriminate racially" (p. 5). Others emin, who may not have any prejudices against a particular group, will discriminate if they are to benefit by so doing. Herton (1989) refers to the prejudiced person in a tolerant society, where prejudice and discrimination are not sanctioned, as the "prejudiced nondiscriminator", He refers to the "unprejudiced discriminator", on the other hand, as the person who discriminates without any personal prejudices against those whom he discriminates. The fifth dimension of association is nowhere as evident as in a racist society where racial bigotry and discrimination are constantly rewarded, while tolerance, "color blindness" and liberalism are punished (van den Berghe, 1967), van den Berghe, notes that in such a society the dominant group exhibits both prejudice and discrimination.

According to Rose (1948) "prejudice is a set of attitudes which causes, supports, or justifies discrimination" (p. 1). Allport (1958) also has pointed out that prejudice could develop into discrimination. If antilocution reaches a high degree of intensity, the chances of it relating to open and active discrimination and possibly to violence, are considerable. Simpson and Yinger (1972) indicate however, that the opposite is also true. There is evidence that prejudice is in part the result of discrimination. This situation occurs when a person rationalizes his guilt feelings that arise when one has treated another unfairly.

Hynam (1969) makes an interesting point by distinguishing between prejudice and discrimination on moral grounds. To Hynam, prejudice is a disease, a disability. Morality cannot be involved unless there is a choice and there can be no choice in the case of a person "crippled" by prejudice. Discrimination, however, does involve a choice and therefore is a question of morals.

Allport (1958) states that anti-discriminatory legislation may be an effective tool in the battle against discrimination and it may also have an indirect bearing on the reduction of personal prejudices. Although the law is only aimed at controlling outward expressions of intolerance, its outward action might have carry-over value in eventually affecting the inner habits of thought and feeling. Hynam (1969) predicts that in an atmosphere of nondiscrimination, "prejudice tends to wither and die" (p. 6). Grimshaw (1961) contributes to the validity of this statement by investigating the increase and decrease of social tension and violence as they relate to the regulation of prejudice and discrimination. He supports Hynam's notion that in the presence of "strong external controls" discrimination would be absent (p. 303). Prejudice, however, could still cause social tension in the absence of discrimination. Purther strong external controls would be required to prevent social tension from developing into violence.

O

d

Santa Cruz (1974) suggests that discrimination may be harmful to the discriminator. In economic terms, discrimination could prevent full productivity of manpower and the consequent inability to fully capitalize on market opportunities. Discrimination breeds unhealthy social conditions which, "directly or indirectly, must inevitably effect all section of the community, including those who discriminate" (p. 45). Purthermore, the peoples of the world practicing racial discrimination, impose a heavy burden upon themselves by having to determine how, and to what extent, the people against whom they discriminate should, be suppressed. The efforts of nations to gain goodwill and understanding through politics, international economic, assistance, and participation in international activities, could be partially nullified by the domestic discrimination practised by those nations. In addition, prejudice and discrimination create action and, according to Santa Cruz, in this process both parties tend to lose.

Segregation is one of the most frequent forms of discrimination. This form of discrimination refers to "the voluntary or involuntary separation of residence areas, services, or other facilities on the basis of race, religion, or ethnic characteristics of the people using them" (Theodorson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 374). It regation "sets up spatial boundaries of some sort to accentuate the disadvantage of members of an out-group", and has frequently been used by the dominant group to prevent minority group

members from attaining vertical mobility (Allport, 1958, p. 52).

5. Minorities:

wirth (1961), a noted and distinguished scholar on the topic of minorities, defines a minority as:

... a group of people who, because of their physical or cultural characteristics, are singled out from the others in the society in which they live for differential treatment, and who therefore regard themselves as objects of collective discrimination (p. 309).

It is Wirth's opinion that the existence of a minority in a society implies the presence of a corresponding majority or dominant group enjoying higher social status and more privileges. A minority group is treated and regarded as "a people apart and is excluded from full participation in social life (p. 309). Wirth makes it clear that the concept! of minorities is not a statistical one and minorities, therefore, are not to be judged on the basis of their numbers. Those people we regard as a minority may, from a numerical point of view, be in the majority. Wagley and Harris (1958) suggest that minorities demonstrate five definite characteristics. Firstly, they form subordinate 🞉 segments of "complex state societies". Secondly, minorities have physical or cultural traits which distinguish them from the dominant group and which are held in low esteem by the dominant group. Minorities, in addition, are self-coascious: of these traits and are bound together by the sharing of these special traits and the concurrent disadvantages.

Membership in a minority is inherent, even in the case of an absence of readily apparent physical or cultural traits.

Purthermore, minority peoples, whether by choice or necessity, tend to marry within the group.

Wirth's (1961) analysis of the "objective" and "subjective" positions of minorities, displays a notable correlation with Wagley and Harris's (1958) suggested minority group characteristics. Objectively, minorities must be distinguishable from the dominant group by physical or cultural marks. In the absence of such identifying characteristics a minority tends to blend with the rest of the population. Wirth contends that a minority is debarred from certain opportunities within the society and this circumscribes the freedom and self-development of the individual. Their subordinate position is manifested in their unequal access to educational opportunities "and their restricted scope of occupational opportunities and professional advancement* (p. 309). Minorities are frequently the subjects of special treatment as it refers to property rights, protection by the law, right of suffrage and the right to hold office. In addition Wirth remarks that minorities are held in low esteem and are often the objects of contempt, hatred, ridicule and viblance. Where a caste system has existed in a society for many years and has been positively sanctioned by religion and other institutions, an attitude of resignation to minority treatment, rather than a spirit of rebellion, is likely to dominate. In a secular

society however, where class rather than caste produces the stratification system and where the minority status is of more recent origin, this attitude of resignation to their subordinate position is likely to be substituted with a tendency for resistence. Wirth (1961), is of the opinion that people cannot be discriminated against for a long period of time without developing a sense of isolation, persecution and inferiority. Consequently, it is not only the objective position of minorities that matters, but also corresponding patterns of behavior they develop and the pictures they carry around in their heads of themselves and others" (p. 310). Although minorities are frequently in a conflicting relationship with the dominant group, it is their position of non-participation in the life of the larger society that marks them as minority peoples and perpetuates their situation.

Simpson and Yinger (1972) point out that although there are unique elements involved in the history of every minority, a few general principles are evident. Because a basic characteristic of a minority is distinguishable physical or cultural traits, "it follows that anything which makes a population more heterogeneous may create a minority situation" (p. 15). Diversified peoples have been brought in contact through migration, wars and through the effects of modern technology and this clearly accelerated the process of heterogeneity. Whether or not a minority situation would develop in a stable, isolated, homogeneous society is

uncertain. Anthropological evidence, however, indicates that homogeneous societies demonstrate little group prejudice, with conflict and hostility directed at individuals rather than at supposed categories of people.

A second central factor in the origin of minorities is the development of the nation-state system. This system created the minority-majority situation and developed as a result of the spreading of one group's dominance over formerly separate groups and the "common desire to create a homogeneous nation" through cultural repression (Simpson and Yinger, 1972,p. 16). Wagley and Harris (1958) state that the primitive social organization did not contain provisions for incorporation of alien people with different customs and values into a single social unit. Only with the development of state, "did human societies become equipped with a form of social organization which could bind masses of culturally and physically heterogeneous "strangers" into a single entity..." (p. 242).

Certain scholars have attempted to construct typologies for minority orientation. For example wirth (1961) used a number of variables relating to minority problems, as a basis for his categorization of the different minority types. Among them are:

⁽¹⁾ the number and size of distinct minorities in the society in question; (2) the degree to which minority status involves friction with the dominant group or exclusion from participation in the common life of the society; (3) the nature of the social dominant group; and, (4) the goals towards which the minority and dominant groups are striving in quest

of a new and more satisfactory equilibrium (p. 311).

In terms of these variables Wirth (1961) distinguishes between pluralistic, assimilationist, secessionist and militant minorities. A pluralistic minority is one which seeks toleration of its differences by the dominant group. Implicit in the minority's quest for tolerance is the desire for the different cultures to exist peacefully side by side in the same society. Cultural pluralism has been held out as mone of the necessary preconditions of a rich and dynamic civilization under conditions of freedom (p. 312). An assimilationist minority refers to a minority desiring to be absorbed into the larger society and to be treated simply as individuals, rather than as members of a minority group. Assimilation, flowever, is a two-way process and it also depends on the willingness of the dominant group to absorb the minority. The goal of assimilation of a minority could \ prevail even in the face of majority disapproval. A secessionist minority is in favor of separatism and seeks both cultural and political independence. This attitude to their predicament as a minority is often the cause of frustrated attempts towards creating a pluralistic existence. or towards undergoing assimilation. The goal of the militant minority goes beyond toleration, assimilation and cultural, as well as political autonomy. Their goal is set at a total reversal of status, with dominance as their ultimate objective.

Rose (1970) claims that there are four types of

responses of minorities to their status and he bases his typology upon two vital questions:

(1) Does the minority group member accept or reject the image of subordinate status imposed upon him by the majority? (2) Is he willing to play a segregated role as expected of him by the member of the majority group? (p. 62).

The withdrawal response indicates the rejection of the "segregated role". The individual accepts the image held by the majority of his/her group, and due to self-hatred and personal benefit, withdraws from his/her own group to join the dominant group. The reaction pattern by minority group members of avoidance, ascribes to the rejection of the idea of inferiority and submission. They consequently direct their attention towards avoiding the dominant group.

Integration responses refer to the rejection of both

submission and segregation. Those minority members supporting this approach take their place alongside the dominant group. According to Rose (1970) the proportion of individual responses to each of the above mentioned characteristic ways, depends on:

to discrimination, the strength of their cultural heritage and the salience of their identity, and the extent to which members of the dominant group persist in maintaining social barriers between others and themselves (p. 69).

with the growth of nationalism and subsequent imperialism, dominant groups developed the following six major types of policies towards the various internal and external minorities: assimilation, pluralism, legal protection of minorities, population transfer, continued subjugation and extermination (Simpson and Yinger, 1972). According to Simpson and Yinger (1972), both forced and peaceful forms of assimilation have been evident in dominant group assimilation patterns. Extreme ethnocentrism is manifested in forced assimilation, with the minority being coerced into a homogeneous unit. Janowsky (1945) refers to this phenomenon as "national cultural uniformity", whereby the minority is refused the right to practice their own religion, language and customs and has to accept and adopt the culture of the dominant group (p. 31). Peaceful assimilation, on the contrary, allows the minorities to "absorb the dominant patterns in their own way and at their own speed, and it envisages reciprocal assimilation, a blending of the diverse group, not a one-way adjustment"

(Simpson and Yinger, 1972, p. 17).

Some minorities are not in favor of assimilation with the majority and seek to retain their separate identity. If a dominant group ascribes to the policy of pluralism, the minority is allowed to maintain its culture within the national unit. Prequently this policy is the initial step towards ultimate assimilation. In some cases, however, pluralism is sustained and a culturally differentiated society without a majority-minority situation is accepted by the nation as a whole. Associated with pluralism is the policy of minority protection by legal, constitutional and diplomatic means. Officially this is pluralism, but legal protection implies that some groups are not supporting and accepting the policy of pluralism.

A fourth policy a dominant group could pursue is that of population transfer by which the minority if endorsing a secessionist point of view, would be relocated. This process is directed towards the reduction of tension through physical separation and, since both the majority and minority approve, is peaceful in nature. The phenomenon of population transfer benefitting the minority, however, is quite rare and often this policy is manifested in the form of direct or indirect coercion. The former type of transfer refers to the minority being "required and forced to leave", while indirect coercion indicates a means "to make life so unbearable for members of the minority that they "choose" to migrate" (Simpson and Yinger, 1972, p. 22). Claude (1955)

declares that although population transfer might have proven successful in a few marginal cases, the over-all effectiveness of this process is insignificant. This policy is based on the monocultural ideal and in our age of mobility and communication it is becoming progressively meaningless. In addition, population transfer does not solve, or in most cases even reduce, the minority group problems and even when carried out in the most humane manner warious basic rights of individuals.

desire to retain the presence of a minority while keeping them subservient and exploited. Conflict between groups could become so severe that the policy of extermination is employed—a policy aimed at the physical destruction of the minority. Simpson and Tinger (1972) state that the above mentioned policies are not mutually exclusive and that some may be practiced simultaneously.

Kinloch (1974) declares that "perceived physical differences are the most viable criteria of minority-group membership and are the most difficult to erase", and that race represents the most "macroscopic criterion" for membership to a particular minority and consequent differential treatment (p. 50). The work of Park (1950) is directly related to this area. Park has developed a classical formulation of the sequence that race relations follow when different races interact. In his view the majority-minority situation inevitably results in eventual

assimilation:

The race relations cycle which takes the form, to state it abstractly, of contact, competition, accommodation, and eventual assimilation, is apparently progressive and irreversible. Customs regulations, immigration restriction, and racial barriers may slacken the tempo. . . but cannot change its direction; cannot, at any rate, reverse it (Park, 1950, p. 150).

Social scientists, such as Bogardus (1930), Brown (1934) and Glick (1955), attempted to construct similar cycles as they relate to majority-minority reactions of different races. Lieberson (1961) makes a distinction between indigenous and migrant minorities and his work points out the lack of versatility in the above mentioned scholars vorks. Because their works are woid of indigenous minority and majority confrontation, it is understandable that their majority-mimority interaction interpretation inevitably points towards assimilation. "For the most part, subordinate migrants appear to be more rapidly assimilated than are subordinate indigenous populations -- the latter are often resisting or being denied assimilation (Lieberson, 1961, p. 901). Lieberson explicates this occurance in terms of centripetality which refers to in-group morale. He writes that indigenous subordinates are often the numerical majority who have an established social order and no alternate country to where they may return. These, and other, factors contribute to the group's servival despite its objectively disadvantaged status vis-a-vis the dominant group.

Lieberson (1961) furthermore contends that the indigenous group is often culturally and physically more visibly different from the dominant group than the later migrant subordinates, because the latter are often selectively screened in terms of their similarity and presumed assimilability into the dominant group. Indigenous minorities, therefore, due to greater internal cohesiveness on the one hand and external barriers on the other, tend to maintain their separate identities. Higrant minorities, conversely, find the social and cultural barriers towards assimilation much less demanding.

relations between majority and minority groups. He refers to the first system as paternalistic and states that it occurs in a situation where the dominant group forms a small numerical minority, but rationalizes its rule in terms of "benevolent depotism and regards members of the subordinate group as childish, immature, irresponsible . . in short, as inferior but lovable as long as they stay in "their place" (p. 27). This kind of relationship is characteristic of "fairly complex but preindustrial societies" (p. 28). Van den Berghe perceives paternalistic regimes as:

exploitation of, the many by the few. The relative stability of these regimes is partly a product of coercion but, at least as importantly, of close, intimate, albeit highly unequal symbiosis (p. 29).

The order form of race relations, referred to by wan den Berghe, as the competitive type, depicts the polar

opposite of the paternalistic type (man den Berghe) 1967). It finds substantiation in the urbanized, industrialized society with its complex division of labor. Due to the demands of the complex industrial economy, race is no longer used as the paramount criterion for job selection because productivity is of greater importance than maintaining the color bar. Class becomes more salient relative to caste and the gap in education, income, occupation and living style tends to narrow. The master-servant model of paternalism breaks down and is replaced by acute competition between the dominant and subordinate groups. Social distance diminishes and physical segregation is used as a "second line of defense for the preservation of the dominant group's position (p. 30). The dominant group changes their image of the minority (lower caste) to "aggressive, insolent, uppity, clannish, dishonest, underhanded competitors for scarce resources and challengers of the status quow (p. 30). Ratred replaces benevolence and scapagoating is used as a social outlet for bigotry in this situation.

The belief of innate intellectual interiority of certain groups has a rich history of being used as a justification for their subsequent subordination. Aristotle, the Greek philosopher of the fifth century B.C., wrote that the people from northern Europe were brave but lacking in intelligence and consequently not fitted for political organization or the exercise of power (Santa Cruz, 1974, p. 42). Some three hundred years after Aristotle a Roman by the

name of Vitrivius, described the people of southern Europe as possessing a keen intelligence due to the rarity of atmosphere and heat, while their northern counterparts demonstrated a dull intelligence attributable to the density and coldness of the par. Intelligence as a criterion of superiority and inferiority, has been advocated through history in the writings of such noted scholars as deficiency in the writings of such noted scholars as deficiency. Chamberlain, Grant, Baur, Pischer, Lenz and Gunther (Santa Cruzge 1974).

In modern times mental tests have been employed to solve the problem of mental superiority and inferiority of different racial and ethnic groups. Numerous mental measurement taken on United States! racial and cultural groups in the period from 1915 to 1935, indicated a definite difference between certain groups (Klineberg, 1944). number of questions arise in confection with interpretation of these intelligence tests taken on racial and cultural groups. Simpson and Yinger (1972) mention that one of the most important problems is sampling -- finding groups that truly represent the total group. Research has shown that the same test used for different test groups within the same race, resulted in considerable variation in median I.O. Another factor that makes the effectiveness of intelligence testing questionable is the sectioeconomic background of those involved. The task of matching individuals of two or more racial or caltural groups socioeconomically, is a rather difficult one

Lehrman (1966) points out that studies have been done recently which indicate that poverty influences intellectual development. Hental development of childern in the slums, irrespective of their race, is affected by the amount of toxemia of pregnancy in the mothers prior to the birth of the child. Many of these mothers are not exposed to prenatal care and consequently toxemic conditions are not detected. Studies have also shown that poor nutrition, through the pregnant mother and of the youngster, inhibit mental exclopment. Pettigrew (1964) refers to premature births as a sector of mental development, while brain injury in the newborn could be another organic factor in intelligence.

Buth of these conditions are found more readily among lower income groups and variables such as these are not often controlled in intelligence tests.

Simpson and Tinger (1972) feel that additional mactors, which are not regulated, influence I.Q. performances. The language factor has clearly demonstrated itself as a determinant in these tests. Studies show that various minority groups in the United States obtained higher average I.Q. scores on performance tests than on tests requiring language facility. Schooling has proven to be an important factor in testing for intelligence between different radial groups. These tests have been designed originally to measure innate ability, but studies have shown that the level of education has a strong bearing on the outcome of the tests. Other factors that should be considered in I.Q. testing are

motivation, rapport, speed and racial mixture. Allport (1958) feels that many difficulties prevent the social scientist from making accurate interpretations from mental tests:

Nental tests cannot solve the problem of hereditary racial traits until equality in social and ecosonic opportunities exist; until differences in larguage are overcome; until segregation is abolizable intil an equal effectional level exists; until separate is good; until motivation to do well in a sequence; and until other conditions are rendered constant. Hence at present time tests are of little value (p. 109).

Interpretations by three psychologists concerning research on intellectual differences between races, raised considerable controversy. McGuzk (1956) suggests that intelligence tests prove that "Wegroes are below Whites in capacity for education " (p. 92). His reaction to the socioeconomic environment theory was that the improvement of the Negro's social and economic status will not reduce the difference. Long (1957) questions EcGurk's attempts at controlling the socio-cultural variables and points out that equal opportunities for both property to become assimilated to the culture, similar incentives to master dearning, and equal apportunities for goal-setting in the home and community should be controlled before one could conclude that native mental differences exist. Dreger and Hiller (1960) state that through McGurk's dubious means of equating certain social and economic variables, he distinguished certain social classes from another -- these classes are then used to compare individuals in different castes. This

procedure appears unacceptable to Dreger and Hiller. Shuey (1958) combined various studies of racial testing and arrived at her own interpretation of the results, which wall point to the presence of some native differences between Negroes and Whites as determined by intelligence tests (p. 318).

Jensen's (1969) article featured in The Harvard Bducational Review, which questioned the prevailing doctrine of racial genetic equality is intelligence, set off quite an emptional furor in the world of social science. In December of 1973 densen was given the opportunity to publication respond, in Psychology Today, to the views of he seitics In this article Jensen indicates that all he proposed initial study was "that the average difference in I scores between black and white people may be attributable much to heredity as environment* (p. 80). The opening statement of Jensen's 1969 publication acludes the argument that groups which had been socially or generally isolated from one another over a period of time will differ in "gene pools" and consequently in hereditary "phenotypic characteristics Jensen (1969) then prodeeded to "link variation in intelligence levels to the 'genetic component' (Kinloch, 1974, p. 38). Priedenber (1969) feels that Jensen attempted to produce a conscientiously scientific study by controlling various environmental effects. A great many effects, however, were impossible to eliminate since some of his data had been gathered by other investigators for

different purposes.

Tumin (1963) claims that the following conditions—which were established by an educator (Henry C. Dyer), a psychologist (Silvan Tonkins), a sociologist (Ralph L. Washburn)—have to be met before any claims can be made concerning innate intellectual differences between Negroes and Whites:

- (1) The distinctive genetic, or 'racial' homogeneity of the Negro group being tested, as well as that of the white group being tested, must be demonstrated, not assumed.
- (2) The social attributal backgrounds of the Negroes and whites being tested or otherwise being measured must be fully equal.
- (3) Adequate tests of native intelligence and other mental and psychological capacities, with proven reliability and validity, will have to be used (p. 9).

Chomsky (1972) is of the opinion that the relationship between race and intelligence is of very little scientific importance and its only social importance is evident in a racist society. To him the possible correlation between intelligence and skin color is of no greater scientific interest than a correlation between any two arbitrary traits, such as height and color of eyes. The empirical results of such a study appear to have little, if any, bearing on any issue of scientific significance:

might do well to explain the intelligence significance of the topic he is studying, and thus enlighten us as to the moral dilemma he perceives

Another problem facing the members of a minority group

development. Theodorson and Theodorson (1970) describe
marginal man as ma person in a dilemma, or a state of mental
conflict, by reason of his participation in two different
distinct cultural groups (p. 243). Park (1937) states that
these cultures are not merely different, but also
antagonistic. Simpson and Yinger (1972) write that most
members of minority groups are marginal due to their partial
association with both their minority culture and the
dominant culture. The degree of marginality is dependent
upon

participation, [with] the presence or absence of a minority culture to which the marginal man feels attached, and [with] the degree to which an individual is self-conscious of his status between two groups--part of both, yet belonging to neither (p. 185).

Stonequist (1937) indicates that there are some personality tendencies that seem to be associated with the status of marginal man. The strain of the ambivalent roles heightens self-consciousness and attention to oneself, which may become evident in the form of self-hatred and an interiority complex, or which might manifest itself in the form of egocentrism, withdrawal, and/or aggressiveness. Park (1937) differs in his interpretation of these personality tendencies of marginal man:

The fate which condenns him to live, at the same time, in two worlds is the same which compels him to assume, in relation to the world in which he lives, the role of cosmopolitan and stranger. Inevitably he becomes, relatively to his cultural milieu, the individual with the wider horizon, the keener

intelligence, the more detached and rational viewpoint (pp. xvii-xviii).

park (1937) views marginal man as an effect of economic, political and cultural imperialism—an incident of the processes of civilization and acculturation.

Harginality is not limited to the experience of cultural and racial minorities. Dickie-Clarke (1966) views the essence of the marginal situation as being explicitly linked with status inconsistency. In this form marginality not only finds expression in the minority situation but also in the views of dominant-group members whose incomes, occupational achievements or education levels are high but their ethnic status is low (Lenski, 1954). Marginality of the dominant-group members through status inconsistancy could be caused by a wide range of possibilities: high educational and occupational achievements, but relatively low income, or high income but low education, or high ethnic status and low income, and so forth.

6. Pluralisa:

Literature concerning pluralism suggests two schools of thought: the one views conflict as inherent in the composition of pluralism, while the other tradition considers pluralism to be a necessary component of democracy. Van den Berghe (1969) proposes that the different approaches to pluralism can be attributed to the various degrees of pluralism the social scientists have been exposed to in their research. An appreciation of the origin of these

two traditions will lead to the comprehension of the concept of pluralism and its different forms.

Comprising "two or more elements or social orders which live side by side, yet without mingling, in one political unit" (p. 446). Both Furnivall (1956) and Boeke (1953) agree that plural societies represent a stage in the development of colonial structures in their progress to "high capitalism". Furnivall (1939, 1942, 1945, 1946, 1948, 1949, 1956) based his theory on personal research done in South-East Asia and the Dutch East Indies. His theory of plural societies was developed by comparing pre-colonial and colonial periods of the same societies. Prior to colonial domination the above mentioned societies were integrated by common will:

Under native rule everywhere, even in settled cultural communities, social and political relations were customary, not legal; authority was personal, based on WILL and not LAW, and both custom and authority were closely bound up with religion (Furnivall, 1948, p. 3).

Colonialism brought the west into contact with the east in an unequal relationship of economic exploitation and political domination (Furnivall, 1948). Furnivall's (1948) theory of the plural society, consequently, has been structured around conflict as it relates to discord and divisions between groups as a result of economic and racial differences:

Everywhere, in all forms of society, the working of the economic forces makes for tension between groups with competing or conflicting interests . . . In a homogeneous society the tension is alleviated by common citizenship, but in a plural society there is a cleavage along racial lines (Furnivall, 1949, p. 40).

Contempory social scientists have accepted some characteristics of Purnivall's plural society, but they have also make adjustments to some unacceptable characteristics. Smith (1965) and Cox (1971) agree with Purnivall on two basic qualities of the plural society, namely, the domination of a cultural minority, and the social cleavage and cultural diversity between the two groups. Both Kuper (1969) and van den Berghe (1965) do not feel that the domination of a cultural minority is an essential characteristic of the plural society. Several of the recent pluralist scholars (Leo and Hilda Kuper, 1969), (van den Berghe, 1965, 1967, 1969), (Smith, 1965, 1969) have reservations about the utility of Furnivall's theory as it applies to post-colonial situations. Ruper (1969) concurs when he states that the term plural society is not simply a synonym for colonial society. The four main deficiencies of Purnivall's model appear to be:

(1) Its total restriction to and identification with the modern colonial situation; (2) its correlated restriction to tropical latitudes; (3) its restriction to the era of European industrial expansion and laissez-faire capitalism; and (4) its restrictions to and identification with multi-racial communities (Smith, 1969, p. 429).

The second tradition of pluralism is associated with de .

Tocqueville (1838) and through him, with North American

political and social science (van den Berghe, 1969). This

tradition sees pluralsim as a condition for democracy

(Shils, 1956) (McCord, 1965) (Lipset, 1963) (Kornhauser, 1960) (Wirth, 1961) (Simpson and Yinger, 1972). The latter tradition suggests an ideal type of pluralistic society in which the different groups are living win a belanced adjustment, which provides conditions favorable to stable democratic government" (Kuper, 1969, p. 7). Wirth (1961) claims that one of the four aims of minority groups for survival, is to become pluralistic. This would mean that such a group would strive to live peacefully side by side with other groups within the same society, while retaining their cultural identity and enjoying political and economic Greedom, as well as equal civil rights. Aron (1950) underwrites the democratic tradition of pluralism and choses to use the terms democratic societies and pluralistic societies synonymously. Similarly, Kornhauser (1960) finds pluralism a basis for liberal democracy, and Shils (1956) also links pluralism with democracy in an analogous way;

Every society is constructed to a set of spheres and systems... The system of individual democracy or liberalism is characterized by an approximate balance among spheres. Liberalism is a system of pluralism (p. 154).

Broom (1960) recognizes this tradition of pluralism as being associated with North America. He uses Canada as the perfect example of this tradition and makes special reference to the Prench English situation in Canada. To Broom, the democratic tradition of pluralism is perfected in the accomodation of both groups in the distribution of power. This approach, however, conveniently disregards the

existence of Canada's native peoples and the subsequent inequalities between the racial groups (Hoople and Newbery, no date) (Cunningham and Mickenberg, 1972) (Robertson, 1970) (Wuttunee, 1971). Van den Berghe (1969) feels that the relationship between democracy and pluralism "appears unvarranted" (p. 79). He substantiates his statement by referring to the racial situation in the United States, the country most frequently used by supporters of this tradition as an illustration of their theory:

If there is one way in which the U.S.A. is a socially pluralistic society, it is in respect to 'race', and this is also the way in which it has been most glaringly undemocratic (van den Berghe, 1969, p. 73).

Rabushka and Shepsle (1970-1971) invest the patterns of democracy within plural societies and became convinced that a free and open electoral competition is not appropriate in the plural society. They conclude that in a plural society voting hardens along ethnic lines and that the subsequent feeling of ethnicity leads to conflict, with intimidation and stolence as a frequent result.

pluralism over the years. The sociological limitature is replete with terms which are similar in meaning to the word pluralism. Sjoberg (1952) writes about "feudal societies" characterized by cultural "bifurcation"; Wash (1957) refers to the plural society as a "multiple society"; Van Lier (1950) employs the term "segmental society"; Radcliffe-Brown (1952) uses the term "composite societies"; Little (1955)

ascribes the term "social dualism" to pluralism, while

Hoetink (1967) and Speckman (1963) prefer to call the plural
society a "segmented society". This inconsistemy can be
attributed to two major factors: the different schools of
thought connected to pluralism and the different research
situations each scholar was connected to.

The attitude demonstrated by Tuden and Plotnicov (1971) is indicative of the dissatisfaction expressed by many scholars concerning the confusion surrounding the aspect of pluralism.

Socio-cultural pluralism is no special condition that requires special descriptive methods and analytical tools. The term has been used to cover so broad a range of conditions that it has served more to obscure, rather than to elucidate our enquiries, and it is better to discard it . . . (p. 28).

and dismisses it as "a clicke of commonsense sociology" (p. 117). Although this point of view is not generally shared by social scientists, they do, however, recognize the lack of "a systematic body of concepts and analytical propositions which could muster as a theory of pluralism" (Smith, 1969, p. 146). On the positive side, however, it is noted that a wealth of perspectives and hypotheses concerning pluralism has been produced lately, and according to Smith (1969) that is due to the "intellecutal richness" of problems related to this phenomenon (p. 419). Smith is of the opinion that the increase in interest in the field of pluralism will aid in the development of a theoretical framework of the plural society.

Social science is a mode of institutionalized cooperation. Its theoretical structurem are the work of many hands and form slowly. No sociological perspectives of major importance can be elaborated in an appropriate analytical scheme without undergoing continuous development in the process (Smith, 1969, p. 146).

As early as the 1950's it was evident to Shils (1951) that a theoretical framework of societies characterized by plurality in institutionalized systems, would become one of the most challenging tasks confronting social scientists.

Pundamental, however, to the development of such a theoretical framework were generally accepted definitions and common modes of pluralism. From the time Booke (1953) defined pluralism as "the clashing of an imported social system with an indigenous social system of another style" to the present, various definitional contributions have been made (p. 389). Two of the most accepted definitions in scholarly circles are by Smith (1965) and van den Berghe (1965), with the latter's attempt demonstrating greater flexibility and consequently enjoying wider acceptance.

Smith (1965) describes pluralism as:

diversity in the basic system of compulsory institutions. The basic institutional system embraces kinship, education, religion, property and economy, recreation, and certain solidarities

The dominant social section of these culturally split societies is simply the section that controls the apparatus of power and force, and this is the basis of the status hierchies that characterize pluralism (pp. 82,86).

Van den Berghe (1965) declares that pluralism is present when a society displays, to a greater or lesser

degree, two basic features:

1. segmentation into corporate groups that frequently, though not necessarily, have different cultures or subcultures; and 2. a social structure compartmentalized into analogous, parallel, noncomplementary, but distinguishable sets of institutions (p. 1).

In addition, certain characteristics are associated with highly pluralistic societies: political polarization at the corporate group level, an uneven distribution of power, heavy dependence upon force (coercion) by one of the groups, the presence of conflict, economic interdependence based on the inequality of wealth distribution, wand rigid stratification based on status ascription and role asymmetry (wan den Berghe, 1967, p. 141).

pluralists have also attempted to obtain uniformity in the application of the different modes of pluralism, such as political, cultural, social and structural pluralism.

Political pluralism is acknowledged to entain pluralism in the structure of authority by separating the powers among the legislature, the executive, the administrative sector and the judiciary (Kuper, 1969). The administrative sector that this form of pluralism can daily exist in the presence of a strong structure of stable and independent groups.

Cultural pluralism consists of institutional diversity without corresponding collective segregation, while social pluralism involves the grouping together of collectivities with "crosscutting loyalties and . . . corresponding values" (Kuper, 1969, p. 10). Smith (1969) adds that the grouping

together of collectivities is into "distinct communities and systems of social action" (p. 444). Van den Berghe (1967) makes a questionable but useful distinction between social and cultural pluralism: the latter referring to ethnic divisions and the former indicating a social division along racial lines. The term structural pluralism, according to van den Berghe, is not used by all social scientists, because it is merely viewed as analogous with the more extreme form of social pluralism. Racial Regregation and caste divisions, however, are associated with structural pluralism.

The work of contemporary pluralists bear witness to the fact that a basic theoretical framework is in the making, despite incongruencies in terminology. Rumer (4969) recognizes two widely different approaches to pluralism, and refers to them as the "conflict model" and the "equilibrium Aodel" (pp. 8, 10) . He asserts that the major teacteris of the latter tradition refer to plumalism as an important condition for the metablishment of democracy, while the conflict model is associated with depotion, frequently by culturally dominant minority. Van den Berghe (1969) basically accepts Ruper's categories of piuralistic societies in terms of desocratic societies (e.g. Switzerland, Belgium, etc.), and despotic societies such as colonial and slave regimes in Asia, Africa and the Americas. Smith (1969) identifies with the work of both Ruper and van den Berghe and uses the terms differential incorporation to

indicate "one institutionally distinct section dominates the others, normally for its own advantage, and by various means . . . include naked force (p. 434). Alternatively, a consociation type of social structure is also possible, as allustrated by countries like Lebanon, Switzerland, etc., where the diverse collectivities share the power.

Social Change:

Through history various models of society have been continued as analytical tools to investigate different social phenomena. Kinloch (4972) refers to these models as seets of assumptions concerning the nature of the social order (p. 25). The phenomenon of social change which mogers and Shoemaker (1971) define as "the product by which alteration occurs in the structure and function of a social system, has been analysed by means of several models (p. 7). The "almost continuous, often pervasive, and socialises highly accelerated process of change of recent sociaties, has necessitated a variety of analytical models (Inkeles, 1964, p. 27). This situation as making the crucial question of which model is the most appropriate. Inhibits (1964) indicates that:

All [the models] are correct in part. Each holds a piece of the truth. No one is more nearly the absolute truth, because there is no absolute truth... flost models however, provide (more) general perspectives. Such models can only be useful or useless, stimulating or uninteresting, fruitful or starile, but not true or false (p. 44).

The following models are most commonly used to

nodel, the structural-functionalist approach or the equilibrium model, and the conflict model. The evolutionary model of society is represented by a number of specific theories. Although the terms model and "theory" are used interchangeably, Inkeles (1964) distinguishes between them on the following grounds: a theory is more limited and precise than a model and ordinarily can be proven wrong. A model, however, as previously mentioned, can only be "judged incomplete, misleading, to unproductive" (p. 28).

The evolutionary attention at iety has generated a host of theories by Promine s. The unilinear theories of evolution include contributions by Conte (1961). Harmand als (1948) and Durkheim (1933). This form of theorizing assumes that society passes throughns fixed and limited unber or stages in a given sequence. (Inkeles, 1964). Cont [1964] deligeated three stages of social progression - thos of conquest, defense and ultimately industry. In addition Conte describes parallel states through which the human mi to pass in its progression -- the primitive theological the transient metaphysical, and the final positive state" (p. 1332) . Harr and Engels (1948) maintained that each stage of civilization prepares the ground for the ne stage, with feudalism the initial stage, capitalism the nex and socialism the ultimate stage of social progression.

Durkheim (1933) distinguishes two main types of societies based upon their degree of specialization or in

his terminology, the division of labor. Durkheim believes that an evolutionary trend is responsible for the development from a low degree of specialization to a high degree of specialization. The first kind of society depended upen "mechanical solidarity" which refers to a small connunity with a limited ree of specialization, with strong family and religious bonds as ties. To describe the second type of society, Durkheim uses the term "organic solidarity", which refers to social ties established through common interests and contracts rather than through personal, and intimate relations. Durkheim's (1933) and Toennies (1961) theories correspond quite well, with the mechanical society being likened to the characteristics of "geneinschaft" (community like cociety) and the organic suciety with "gesellschaft? (corporate-like society). Redfield (1947), an agthropologist, theorized along similar lines through contrasting "folk" and "urbah" societies.

representing the evolutionary model and pose as an important variant on the unilinear conceptions of evolution (Inkeles, 1964). Such theories indicate a number of stages or cycles "which any long-enduring culture may go through more than once, even, repeatedly" (Inkeles, 1964, p. 32). Sorokin (1957) identifies three stages through which a society has to pass, and each stage is dominated by a system of truth. During the "identifies! phase" truth is revealed through the grace of God and is based upon faith; During the "sensate"

phases truth is dominated by our senses; and during the "Idealistic phase" there is a synthesis of faith and sensation, with truth being dominated by reason.

The universal theory of evolution denotes that, although every society need not necessarily go through identically fixed stages, the culture of mankind has in fact followed a definite line (Inkeles, 1964). This principle is quite evident in the work of Spencer (1910) when he describes the progression of mankind from small to large groups and from homogeneous to heterogeous groupings. White (1949) holds that technology, with particular reference to energy and its use, determines the line along which society is developing. Similarly, Ogburn (1950) stresses the role of invention in the process, of social change.

Inkeles (1564) indicates that recent sociologists, and its many anthropologists, have largely abandoned evolutionary model of society as a means of analyzing change. The structural functionalist approach, on the contrary, is used each more extensively. This model basically "assumes that changes in any part of the social system will have important consequences for other parts and for the system as a whole" (p. 33). The basic emphasis of the structural functionalist point of view is directed towards society and the interrelations of its institutions, rather than on individuals or groups such as the family. The evolutionary and functional views are not in opposition, but their interests and explases are different. I major

difference is that the former perspective tries to understand how the evolution of society as a whole shapes the form of the institution, while the structural-functionalists emphasize the contribution of the institution to the flow of society.

Van den Bedghe (1963) mentions a number of events which are generally accepted as being indicative of a accepted functionalist approach. Pirstly, societies are viewed holistically as systems of interrelated pages, . . hence, causation is multiple and reciprocal" (p. 696) . Purthermore, adjustive responses to outside changes tend to minimize the complete amount of change within the system. This cures the social system to be dundamentally in a state of dynamic equilibrium. In addition, change tends to occur in a more ad justive and anadval fashion - those that do appear to be drastic, affect mostly the speial superstructure while leaving the core elements of the social structure unchanged. Van den Berghe mentions that the functionalist point of vi perceives change as originating from besically three sources: "adjustment of the system to exogenous (or extrasystemic) change; growth through structural and functional differentiation; and inventions or innovations by members or groups within society" (p. 696).

The biggest challenge to this point of view comes from those theorists who put "conflict" in opposition with "equilibrium". The latter, they believe, is the most important concept of structural functionalism (Inkeles,

1964). Van den Berghe (1963) agrees with their emphasis and indicates that the majority of elements, as they pertain to functionalism, could be reduced to "consensus and dynamic equilibrium" (p. 696). A great many of the conflict theorists, consequently, refer to the functionalist approach as the equilibrium andel (Henderson, 1964). Van den Berghe (1963) acknowledges the fact that functionalism is fundamentally based upon the equilibrium model, but Inkeles (1964) maintains the view that the equilibrium model is "a special version of the functionalist approach" (p. 32).

Those theorists ascribing to the conflict model of society affire that rather than consensus the basic condition of social life is dissension; arising through the or power and advantage between the different groups" (Inkeles; 1964; p. 39). Inhales (1964) declares that the deminant social process is an endless struggle between those without advantages, who wish to acquire some, and the privileged who wish to get more or to prevent others from taking what is available. This condition of confilct, which is generated by constraint, often leads to change (Dahrendorf, 1958). Similarly, Sorokin (1957) declares that forganizations experience many diverse forms of strains which in turn give rise to conflict and change. To Sorokin the process of conflict and change is eminent and universal within social organization. Karl Harr was the first major spokesman for this point of view and his theory of social organization formed the basis for the development of the

divided into three major components: economic-political dominance, social class conflict and dialectic social change (Olsen, 1981).

Hark believed that human history tends to follow the dialectic process (Bottomore Ruben, 1956). Novack (1971) describes dialectics as the logic of movement and feels that it deals with an "ever changing complex and contradictory reality" (p. 71). Hark dialectics indicate three stages of progression:

... any given social situation (a thesis) contains the seeds of, and eventually gives birth to, a fundamentally different and opposing situation (an antithesis), which then conflicts with the original situation until a third set of social conditions (a synthesis) emerges that incorporates and unified all previously existing conditions into a totally level (and the master and unified all organization (olson, 1968, p. 144).

terms, is a result of conflict between the different social, classes in their struggle for the domination of the means of production. Furthermore, Harx assumed that the less powerful classes will inevitably be exploited by the class owning the means of production (Olson, 1968) (Inkeles, 1964). Harx based his materialism approach upon his observation of fewfilism. It was his belief that the proletarians would claim control of the means of production by forcibly taking it from the bourgeoisie. To Harx this development was inevitable, since "the proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have the world to win" (Barx and Engels,

1948, p. 44). Socialism or the classless society, according to Marx, can only be cultivated through revolution.

Capitalism is "necessary to destroy feudalism, but should sooner or later give way to socialism" (Olson, 1968, p. 284). The materialistic approach to Marx's philosophy of dialectic materialism, has been rejected by most social scientists for several resons—one of which is its untenability in the twentieth century (Olson, 1968).

assumption that Marxian dialectics and the functionalist approach are diametrically opposed. Each stresses one of two essential aspects of reality—that of conflict and equilibrium. As a synthesis these two views complement one another as a means of analyzing social change in the complex social structure. Van den Berghe arrives at this "synthesis" through recognizing points of overlap and convergence between these two approaches. Firstly, both approaches are holistic, since they view societies as systems of interrelated parts. A second major point of convergence concerns the dual role at both conflict and consensus.

conseques as a major focus of stability and integration, and the dialectic views conflict as a source of disintegration and revolutionary change, each of these factors can have the opposite effect (p. 702).

Coser (1956) shows that groups in conflict tend to strengthen their integrative ties internally. The opposite situation holds true too—win-group unity is reinforced by

of opinion tran den Berghe 1963, p. 703).

third overlap pertain to the acceptance of the evolutionary concept of social change. Both Hegel and Harx saw the diffectic process as an w... ascensional spiral towards progress, while the functionalist perceives an evolutionary grouth . . . in structural complexity and functional specificity" (van den Berghe, 1963, p. 703). In the final analysis, both theories are based on the equilibrium model. The functionalist point of view and its connection with equilibrium is obvious and in the case of dialectics, the thesis-antithesis-synthesis sequence embraces the notion of equilibrium. Van den Berghe's point of view towards more effective analysis of social change is supported by Dahandorf (1 . a contempory conflict theorist, who st hat, "As far as I can seed a need for the explanation of sockological problems both the equilibrium model and the conflict model of societies . (p. 127)

Conflict is recognized by social scientists as a predominant factor in social lives

The notion that wherever there is social life there is conflict may be unpleasant and disturbing.

Nevertheless, it is indispensable to our understanding of social problems . . Not the presence but the absence of conflict is surprising and abnormal (Dahrendorf, 1958, p. 115).

Kriesberg (1973) describes social conflict was a relationship between two or more parties who (or whose

passen) beliave they have incompatible goals" (p. 17). Ison (1958) indicates that conflict is a generic process that contains a number of subtypes including competition, aggression, hostility ast cleavage. Mack and Snyder (1957) produced several theoretical dimensions along which most instances of social conflict can be classified. The first dimension refers to instrumental versus expressive conflict, where the former is marked by opposing practices or goals and the latter results from desires to release termon, from hostile feelings or from ignorance and terror. Instrumental conflict is a means to an end, while expressive conflict is an end in itself. A second dimension is inherent versus induced conflict. Inherent conflict develops out of irreconcilable differences in a induced conflict is used as a mo conflict is purposefully created in the to obtain other objectives. The ideological versus operational conflict dimension of classification refers to "right" and "wrong" in basic values, and to the "effectiveness" "ineffectiveness" of operational mocedures. A fourth level is direct versus indirect conflict. Direct conflict involves immediate confrontation among compains parties, while indirect conflict involves mediation through one or more thind parties. A final disension is based upon institutionalized versus nominatitutionalized conflict. In this situation the former pole is characterized by rules and restraints designed to keep the conflict under control and of some benefit to all involved, while the latter pole is

unpredictable and uncontrolled by any larger organizational unit (Hack and Snyder, 1957).

Social scientists have given a large amount of attention to conflict and consensus as means of inducing social change. Rogers and Shoemaker (1971) believe that ". . consunication is essential for social change" (p. 6). The process of social change consists of three sequential steps—that of invention diffusion and consequence.

Invention refers to the process by which new ideas are created and developed, diffusion is the process by which these ideas are consunicated to the members of the social system, and consequences are the changes within the social system as a result of the acceptance or rejection of the ideas. Change occurs, according to boyers and Shoemaker, when the implementation or rejection of a new idea has an effect—"social change is therefore in effect of communication" (p. 7).

8. Sport:

Sport is viewed by many as an effective means of improving racial relations within a plural society (Brutus, 1971) (Thompson, 1964) (Huddleston, 1957) advards (1969) suggests that people generally accept the fact that sport has a socially equalizing effect upon racially and socially diverse peoples within a society. Park (1950) reinforces this point when he states:

Whenever representatives of different races meet and

discover in one another - beneath the differences of races - sentiments, tastes, interests, and human qualities generally that they can understand and respect, racial barriers are undersined and eventually broken down (p. 254).

Thompson (1964) is of the opinion that "Modern sport undersines any system of social stratification based on colour" (p. 32). These claims make sport sound like a humanistic institution but how valid are they in the real world of group and racial relations?

James (1963) alleges that the sport of cricket has been baseful means in the West Indies of overcoming class and racial consciousness. In this society with its heritage of slavery, ". . . if (cricket) provided a common meeting. ground of all classes without coercion or exhortation from above" (p. 48). Brazil is another plurel society with history of slavery and in which a sport appears to be playing a unifying and an integrating sole. Van den Berghe (1967), Wagier (1952, 1964) and Little (1965) agree that Brazil is subject to prejudice and segregation elong recial lines. A great majority of Brazil's population, however, identify strongly with the national sport of soccer and country saictory of the world Cup Champingship . served to develop a strong nationalistic identity among Brazilians* (Smith, 1974, p. 39). Beisser (1967), recognizes this identification with a sports teas, irregardless of racial or ethnic differences, as a seems to satisfy a human need of being part of a group and to feel closer to society.

In New Zeeland, according to Keesing (1923), tennis was

utilized as a means to reduce and eliminate acrimony between feuding sacri tribes. The sacri Tennis Association succeeded in breaking down age old tribal feeds by organizing nation-wide tennis tournaments. The ancient conflicts between sacri tribes, according to sgata (1940), became an asiable rivalry on the tennis courts and resulted in ... friendly intercourse which decades of diplomacy had failed to produce (p. 164). This form of rivalry between sacri tribes were extended to other forms of sport, such as basketball, field hockey and rugby (Ngata, 1940).

The Disted States of America, the suggested role of sport as a social integrator and ameliorator of racial conflicts has been set with both support and opposition. Corens and Stumps (1953) suggest that common interest, loyalties and enthusiasses are the great integrating factors in a culture and American sports ... have provided this common denominator in as great a degree as any other single factors (p. 229). Both Tobin (1967) and Young (1970) propose that sport has integrating effects upon other groups, while social scientists such as Ricke (1971) and Shuttleworth (1972) imply that sport integrates communicies.

after the assassination of Hartin Luther King in Beaphis in 1968, racial rancor reached unprecedented dimensions in that city. The success of the Beaphis State University baskethell team, however, caught the imagination of residents of all racial groups and their common

identification with the team produced greatly improved racial relations (Rickpatrick, 1973). Smith (1974) suggests that Detriot's World Series championship baseball team had an identical influence upon the residents of that city. The severity of the racial conflict in Detriot decreased as the series wore on-- "It appeared that the animosity that existed between whites and blacks was reduced to some extent, at least temporarily . . ." (p. 38). These case studies partly bear out Luschen's (1970) thesis that spectator sports have integrative functions both for the participants on a team as well as for their supporters.

ideals. It is the very symbol of free and open competition, discipline, hard work, good character, patriotism, and the Protestant Ethic. In essence, sport in America constitutes a quasireligious institution that reinforces traditional values and perspectives in American life . . . sports . . . have been universally considered a realm apart, a component of American life isolated from and insune to, the stresses, strains and incongruities that lace our highly complex social system (p. 43).

Boyle (1972) states that the institution of sport in the United States has ". . . often served minority groups as

the first rung on the social ladder. As such, it has helped further assimilation into American life. (p. 259). As a low income and highly visible mindrity however, the Afro-Americans have not been well accepted into the mainstream of Emerican life (Edwards, 1973a). Even in sport, where the general belief is that no social stratification based on colour exist, racial integration has been a struggle. Those black sports pioneers such as Jack soon in boxing and Jackie Robinson in baseball, faced daily obstacles of racism in their respective quests to be accepted and treated as equals (Johnson, 1969) (Hann, 1963) (Goodhart and Chataway, 1968).

Several decades after the color bar in American sport had first been crossed, serious charges of racial inequality in sport still exist. Olsem (1968) investigates racial discrimination in sport on college as well as on the professional level and concludes that the black athlete is still disenfranchized. Edwards (1973) takes this issue a few steps further: to him the superstar status attached to some black athletes is not indicative of a healthy state of American racial relations. The contrary is more accurate ". . . sport offers little or no opportunity to the masses of black people in terms of social advancement and economic opportunity" (p. 44). Edwards (1973) points out in addition that the domination of blacks in certain sports indicates a continuation of black oppression in America. This form of domination does not suggest ". . progress towards equality

(p. 44). This domination suggests the acceptance of the black man's physical ability, but not the acceptance of him as an equal. Within this context Edwards (1973) deplores these quasi-scientific analyses of the black man's supposed physical superiority and articles such as Kane's (1971) assessment of black physical superiority are believed to be damaging to the intellectual image of the Afro-Americans and their quest for emancipation. These articles tend to reinforce those stereotypes used by many as justification for their racist attitudes and practices.

Edwards (1969) recognizes the possibilities sport offers for social changes. A revolt by Blacks is needed in America for true racial equality and sport is an excellent medium, since it is relatively free of bloodshed. Hoch (1970) implies that the plight of the Black Americans received world-wide exposure during the 1968 Olympic Games, following a proposed Afro-American boycott and the eventual actions of some of the black American medal winners. Substantial solidarity with the black cause was manifested by many white Olympians (Hoch, 1970). Even in its most unconventional form as a change agent, sport seemed to have some positive influence upon racial relations. Premtice Gautt expresses his confidence in sport by asking if racial prejudice and discrimination cannot be solved in sport, where could they be solved? (Olson, 1968).

Thompson (1964) realizes that, in the final analysis,

sport could either contribute to better human relation or it could be used as a means of polarizing racial groups.

racial ethics and the requirements of good sportname to lacal and national prejudices, heighten the avareness and accentuate the divisions between white and coloured peoples, (Conversely).

sport can provide a context in which distinctions of race, class, nationality and religion are irrelevant... The values which are dominant will determine on each occasion whether the role of sport is to write or divide (pp. 72, 73).

RACE RELATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA

Jooste (1972) states that the racial factor in Southern Africa manifests itself stronger than in any other part of the world. This is mainly due to a long past of wracial mixing, cultural conflict, political grouping and regrouping, of various human sub-species (p. 10). Van den Berghe (1967) is even more explicit on the race relations situation in South Africa:

If racism is an endemic disease in the United States, in South Africa it has become a way of life. Of all contemporary multi-racial societies, South Africa is the most complexly and rigidly stratified on the basis of race, the one in which race has greatest salience vis a vis other structural principles, and the one which is most ridden with conflict and internal contradictions (p. 96).

Duggan (1973) suggests that the problems of race are pervasive throughout the South African social structure, "and this pervasiveness means that the question of racial association or conflict is the dominant theme" (p. 9). The complex racial structure has an odd mixture of "fear and hate, of trust and distrust, of admiration and envy, of de

facto integration and de jure seggegation (Duggan, 1973, p. 9). Randell (1970) declares that the diversity of the plural South African society, is expressed in terms of race, nationalism, culture and tribalism. Van den Beaghe (1965a) contends that the deepest cleavage in the structure of the South African society is "the racial one which divides the population into four main antagonistic and hierarchial' color-castes" (p. 79).

Du Toit (1966) proposes that the contact of the various racial groups in South Africa resulted in a caste system. It was preceded, however, by the formation of classes which merely acted as a necessary transitional phase. Du Toit's (1966) hypothesis claims that the contact of the various racial and cultural groups produced "the emergence of a class structure which, with increased rigidity, developed into the present caste system which characterizes the social stratification of this country" (p. 197). (For a profile on races and ethnic groups, see Definition of Terms under Mation and Race.)

1. Initial Contact and Stratification:

The Portugese, in the process of establishing a passage around the Cape, became the first Whites to go ashore in South Africa. The Dutch, however, set up the first permanent settlement in 1652, some 164 years after the Portugese explorers lended at the Cape of Good Hope (Duggan, 1973). The permanent settlement started as a supply station for the

Berghe (1967) suggests that the local population consisted of sparsely settled Bottentot pastoralists and Bushnen hunters and gatherers. Initially religion, rather than race, was the basis for status differentiation between the Dutch and the indigenous population and as such baptism granted "legal and, to a considerable extent, social equality with the Dutch settlers" (p. 96). In 1658 slaves from Badagascar, Bast Africa and the Dutch Bast Indies were brought to the Cape in response to the settlers' request for a reliable work force. (van den Berghe, 1967).

During the early years of Dutch settlement, marriages between Whites and slaves, as well as between Whites and Hottentots, were socially approved and sanctioned: Towards the end of the seventeenth century, however, Whites were prohibited from marrying "full-colour" slaves while legal unions between Whites and half-breeds were allowed (MacCrone, 1957). Du Toit (1966) Enggests that this situation "produced a class structure where the racial background was not the primary factor" (p. 200). The very fact of slaves and slave-owners within the society created an accepted class structure—the Whites were soon identified with owning slaves and consequently upper-class, while all non-Whites formed the inferior class.

Contact between the classes at this stage was possible and particularly so between the upper class males and inferior females. Harriage, however, was restricted to "the

common artisan and small homesteader, and such unions were permissable and accepted (du Toit, 1966, p. 200). To van den Berghe (1967) this form of society illustrated most of the characteristics of a paternalistic type of race relations, not unlike the slave systems of the New World. "Masters and house slaves lived together in the big house, played together as children, and prayed and fornicated together as adults" (p. 97). Sparrman (1785), on a visit to the Cape of Good Hope, was introduced by a farmer to

. .

precedence in love, which ought to be observed among the fair sex in Africa: . . First the Hadagascar women, who were the blackest and handsonest, next to these the Halabars, then the Bugunese or Halays, after these the Mottentots, and last and worst of all, the white Dutch women (p. 75).

status was symbolized and maintained through mechanisms of social control. Hiscogenation between Dutch males and slaves as well as Hottentot women was common and accepted—from extensive miscogenation between Dutchmen, slaves and "free men of color, such as Hottentots and Halay political exiles from East India," the Cape Coloured peoples evolved (wan den Berghe, 1967, p. 98).

part of the Whites showed very definite changes during the eighteenth century. The class distinction was changed to a situation where the white man and his civilization was contrasted with the non-white and his way of life. Du Toit (1966) suggests that while the class system was still in

operation in the Cape, partly due to the presence of the Coloured population, the conservative group that trekked inland (Foortrekkers) and their descendants cultivated the caste system.

The year 1795 saw the British take over the Cape settlement, while the "up-country burghers" refused to 4 submit (Kinloch, 1972, p. 87) . In 1803 the Cape was duly handed back to the Dutch, only to be re-annexed by the British three years later. By this time, after a century and a half of Dutch rule, the Cape colony had a population of roughly 26,000 settlers, 30,000 slaves and 20,000 "free" Coloureds in White employment (Bunting, 1971). The white contingent in the Cape consisted of some English and a majority of Boers. The latter, during the Great Trek, were also referred to as Joortrekkers and later, as independent citizens of the two Republics, became known as Afrikaners. The stock developed out of the Dutch and French Hugenots, who fled to the Cape of Good Hope in 1688 (Duggan, 1973). British rule, under Lord Somerset, put its policy of planned cultural change into action, by emancipating the Hottentots in 1828 and the slaves five years later. By 1835 the first wagons started moving northward as the Great Trek began in earnest (du Toit, 1966).

One of the reasons for the Great Trek, according to Bunting (1971), was the colonists resentment of authority, particularly since the authority was the British. Both Bunting (1971) and du Toit (1966) stress that the primary

reason for this emigration was a reaction to the cultural change imposed upon them by the British. De Riewiet (1942) indicates that the Trek was "pricked" by a deep sense of grievance of the settlers against the British government's administration. They felt that the British government's affort to apply the same law to all classes of the population, cruelly upset the proper relationship between white and black, between master and servant. Anna Steenkamp, sister of the Voortrekker leader Piet Retief, aired her feelings in a letter to relations in the Cape colony as follows:

reference to the freedom of the slaves: and yet it is not their freedom that drove us to such lengths, as their being placed on an equal footing with Christians, contrary to the laws of God and natural distinction of race and religion, so that it was intolerable for any decent Christian to how down beneath such a yoke; therefore we rather withdrew in order to preserve our doctrines in purity (hird, 1888, p. 459).

By the time the Voortrekhers came in contact with the Bantu peoples, the idea of a caste system had been well established (du Toit, 1966). De Riewiet (alleges that the emigrating colonists believed their superiority was a result of their race and faith. Van dem Berghe (1965) reasons that the Calvinist faith of the settlers probably aided the process of increasing race consciousness. Weber's. (1930) argument concerning predestination is used by van dem Berghe (1965) to analyse the South African situation. According to Weber, man's belief in predestination, which is consistent with the Calvinistic ideology, leads to anxiety about one's

salvation. To resolve this anxiety, one seeks for outward signs of God's grace. Calvin recognized satesial prosperity as such a sign, hence the connection between calginism and capitalism. Van den Berghe (1965) indicates that the "most obvious" and "almost inevitable" choice in Spouth Africa seemed skin color (p. 15). The dark timed people were considered "heathens" and accounts to the traditional Christian views, darkness was assistated with sin and evil. This approach has been Biblically justified: non-whites are the descendants of Han, who was cursed by his father Boah, "and are destined by God to be servants of servants, hewers of wood and drawers of water" (van den Berghe, 1965, p. 15).

Barly racial conflict in South Africa was demonstrated by various major confrontations: the "Hottentot Wars" of 1659 and 1673, the "Kaffir Wars" of 1779, 1789, 1799, 1812, 1818, 1835, 1846, and 1850, and the "Basuto Wars" of 1851, 1858, 1865 and 1880 (van dem Berghe, 1965). Kaffir Wars prior to the Great Trek, affected mostly farmers (Boere or Boers) who migrated inland in search of more land (Kinloch, 1972). Various historians support the views expressed in the State of South Africa Tearbook (1978) that the Bantu peoples "invaded South Africa from the Worth at approximately the same time as the first Europeans settled at the Cape" (p. 52). Wilson and Thompson (1969) however, contest this historical thesis. Their evidence shows that both Portugese explorers, Bartholomew Díaz in 1488 and Wasco da Gama in 1997, came upon settlements of Blacks with their cattle on

the southeastern shares of the Cape. Perthernore, there is rich archeological evidence of earlier civilizations in precolonial southern Africa.

Van den Berghe (1967) contends that all history of South Africa, subsequent to the Great Trek, must be analyzed" in terms of triangular conflict between the Boers (later known as "Afrikaners") and the British, and between both these white groups and the African majority" (p. 100). His statement finds ample support in the white-black and British-Boer confrontations of the mineteenth century and during the term of the dentury. The British annexed Watel during the middle of the 1800's, while the Boers secured the Republies of the Transvaal and the Orange Free State--in both cases by force and at the expense of the black majority. The constitutions of the mer Republics permitted "no equality between coloured people and the white inhabitants, either in church or state" (Bunting, 1971). The years following Boer and British separation, say an increased polarization between the two groups (Kinlock, 1972), Despite this antagonism, the British immigrants rapidly absorbed the racial prejudices characteristic of the Boere and proced no more racially tolerable (Simons and Simons, 1970). According to Simons and Simons (1970) Cape liberalism, which stood for racial tolerance and equality, was accepted by few. Hoerale (1939) viewed this situation as the unconditional acceptance of a color-caste system in South Africa by a large majority of the white population:

Looking back, we can discern in eighteenth century South Africa the optilizes of a wiste-society on a racial basis in process of formation 1 . . Since union, the caste-society tide has imposistably been moving back over the Cape and overwhelmed him but fay remeats of the proud old Cape Liberalism (p.

Two important developments in terms relations in South Africa, took place is the solony of around the middle of the mineteenth century. In the late 1840's Theophilus Shepstone, the British administrator, established his famous system of Mative Reserves. According to this system, widely dispersed land tracts were set aside for the exclusive occupation of Blacks. This situation, according to van den Berghe (1965), served a dual perpose: making farm labor more accessible to white farmers and " . preventing the threat of large concentrations of Blacks. Subsequent legislation by the South African government, such as the Native Land Act of A913 and the Native Trust and/Land act of 1936, expanded and refined the system introduced by Shepstone. The second important event took place in 7860 when the English government brought indentured laborers from India to furnish cheap labor for the expanding sugar-cans industry. The immigration of these Indians added to the , already diversified racial population of South Africa (van den Berghe, 1965).

In 1867 diamonds were discovered in the northern Cape (Kimberley) and in 1886 gold was discovered in the Republic of Transvaal at the Witwatersrand. British imperialism and Boer expansionism were further stimulated by these added

m me for confrontation became unevoidable gran den Borghe, 1967) . Dur the three of the charing high-boar ant Afrikager some and children died while interned condintration comps. A residue of bitterness among the defeated Efricapers made descritic peace impossible and and result political power was sebsequently returned to the Afrikaners, while the English Timescial magnetes retained control over the South African economy (ven des sprone, 1965). With the approval of the constitution of the Union of South Africa in 1909, the British Parliament placed the administrative responsibilities of the whole bestitung the heads of the white population. The paer 1910 say "the political destiny of the Bahts peoples and their territories. and of other non-white peoples . . . entracted to the white metion in South Africa" (Josete, 1972,

2. Towards a Policy of Segregation:

preservation are extremely closely connected, and the latter instinct is one of the foundation stones of South African policy of apartheid (Shoodie and Venter, 1959). Van den Berghe (1965) acknowledges the Great Trek as the stirting point of Afrikaner nationalism. The Great Trek has been likened to the Biblical flight of the Israelis from Egyptian tyranny, with God protesting and guiding Ris Chosen People back to eventual independence. The Afrikaners fled from British oppression, faced countless dangers of the wild

frontiers, conquered the black enemy, settled the Promised Land of Transvaal and the Orange Pree State, and later gained full control of South Africa. Van Jaarsveld (1961) differs with the previous view and considers British-Afrikaner confrontation during the British annexation of the Transvaal in 1877, as the crucial catalyst for Afrikaner nationalism. In both cases however, Afrikaner nationalism has been linked with self-preservation.

Rhoodie and Venter (1959) suggest that the Afrikaner developed, as a result of his instinct of self-preservation, a specific emotional attitude towards the numerically superior Bantu. This attitude has become a tradition with South African Whites and the apartheid idea evolved mainly from this psychological attitude—— win other words, race preservation has become almost instinctive— (p. 36). In a parliamentary speech, minister Paul Sauer described the Afrikaner's instinct of self-preservation as follows:

The Afrikaner's instinct of self-preservation is an incontrovertible fact. The fear that triggers off this instinctive reaction pattern in any individual group of people, is not the pathological fear of the mentally deranged, but that realistic fear which taifils an essential function in the life of the individual or group (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959, p. 36).

Van Jaarsveld (1957) writes that despite the stimulating effects of several Boer-Briton and Boer-Black confrontations upon Afrikaner nationalism, national immaturity and British imperialism prevented nationalism from forming a consolidated effect on Afrikaners in all four

Several Afrikaner leaders favored cooperation with the British and this further delayed the development of a uniform national approach to the race question (Pauw, 1946). From 1910, when South Africa became a Union, up to the Nationalist Party era which commenced after the 1948 election, several "political groupings and regroupings, coalitions and fragmentations" took place (Duggan, 1973, p. 7). During this period of unstable political rule and diversed identities, General Hertzog reactivated the question on native policy and suggested legislative measures to secure segregation. The Afrikaner aspirations found renewed expression through, and identification with, his policy of racial segregation (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959).

As Binister of Native Affairs in the first Union cabinet, Hertzog proposed a comprehensive segregation system of political segregation and parallel development of White and Black (Calpin, 1941). Hertzog believed that the native should develop in accordance with his own inherent capabilities and parallel to the White group, but subject to "the domination and rule of the European population in a spirit of Christian guardianship (Swuts, 1952, p. 125). In 1912 however, Hertzog, due to internal conflict, was ousted from his ministerial position before his ideas could be moulded in the form of a bill (Walker, 1937). Duggan (1973) credits Hertzog with formingthe "nucleus of Afrikaner nationalist thought and the embryo of the present ruling

Wationalist Party and philosophy" (p. 7).

The Mationalist Party was formed in 1914 under Hertzog. and Afrikaner nationalism gradually grew stonger through its identification with racial segregation. The Nationalist Party became the mouthpiece of Afrikaner nationalism, organized Afrikaners on a Union-wide basis and "formulate[d] and articulate[d] their most important policies (Rhoodie and Wenter, 1959, p. 127). In 1924 Hertzog's Wationalist Party formed a coalition government with the Labour Party and after 1933 he joined forces with General Smuts' South African Party which latterly evolved into the United Party. During this time (1924-1939) Bertzog and his Wationalists introduced bills, several of which were eventually passed, that were aimed at counteracting the "danger of being swamped by the Blacks (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959, p. 135). The Afrikaner's traditional race consciousness found reflection in the words of General Hertzog: "Some or other form of segregation is essential, even if it is an artificial colour bar (Abercrombie, 1938, p. 210-211, tr.). Hertzog believed that segregation as an answer to the native question could only succeed if the Bantu, under the guidance of the white man, could be trained and developed to assume charge of their own affairs in their own territory (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959). Hoernle (1939), however, indicates that the policy of segregation

- . . suggests to all non-Europeans in South Africa a policy of repression in the interest of White domination, i.e. the maintenance of the present caste-society, not the abolition, or dissolution of

/

it, which is the aim of 'separation' (p. 158).

Rhoodie and Venter (1959) point out that a major weakness of Hertzog's segregation policy was that it did not make adequate provision for the national and political aspirations of the developing Bantu groups. Hertzog was quoted by Die Burger (December 4, 1925, tr.) as stating that "these native areas (reserves) will never become the independent or semi-independent native states which certain natives sometimes refer to". It became obvious during the Hertzog-Smuts regime that the segregation policy was not the final solution to the native question. After Hertzog dropped out of politics, Smuts' government of the war years became acutely aware of the short-comings of segregation and Smuts himself admitted in 1942 that segregation had failed. The general feeling was that the policy of segregation was too ambiguous and wague, as well as "too fragmented, narrow and. not comprehensive enough to be successful in the long run (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959, p. 147).

During the years preceding the Second World War, according to Rhoodie and Venter (1959), nationalist
Afrikaner intellectuals started looking towards a policy of
Wrasse apartheid (racial separation) as an alternative to
the policy of segregation. As Afrikaner nationalism grew in
the 1940's, "the apartheid idea came to the fore as the
nationalist Afrikaner's uncompromising answer to the
challenge of the native question" (p. 149). Under the
leadership of Dr. D.F. Halan, Hertzog's successor as party

leader, the Wationalist Party swept the 1948 election and paved the way for the implementation of apartheid (Duggan, 1973).

3. The Policy of Apartheid or Separate Development:

The Mationalist Party described its policy in the 1948 election campaign as follows:

... the policy of apartheid which grew out of experience of the established white population of the this country, and based upon the Christian principles of justice (reg) and equity (billikheid). It aims at the maintenance and protection of the white population of our country as a pure white race, the maintenance and protection of the indigenous racial groups as separate national communities (volksgeneenskappe), with possibilities to develop in their own areas as self-maintaining national units, and the cultivation of national pride, self-respect and reciprocal regard by the different races in this country (Die Transvaler, March 29, 1948, tr.).

Du Toit (1966) refers to Dr. Biselen, who became the Secretary of Wative Affairs, as "largely influencial in the development of the present policy" (p. 202). Biselen defined the policy of apartheid as follows:

By separation I mean this separating of the heterogeneous groups, from the population of this country, into separate socio-economic units, inhabiting different parts of the country, each enjoying in its own full citizenship rights, the greatest of which is the opportunity of developing such capabilities as its individual members may possess to their optimum capacity (du Toit, 1966, p. 202).

Nel (1960), former Minister of Bantu Administration and Development, indicates that segregation and apartheid are not synonymous. Segregation is the title given to "the practice of racial discrimination, which occurred before

1948. . . in South Africa" (p. 170, tr.). Apartheid, on the other hand, is the well formulated policy which refers to "separate development of white and black in their capacity as parallel growing socio-cultural and bio-genetic units ." (p. 170, tr.). It is the policy of color relations' which has been conducted in practice since 1948. Minister Nel recognized the different segregation practices as merely stages in the origin of apartheid as an embracing policy synthesis" (p. 171, tr.). Rhoodie and Venter (1959) share this point of view and refer to segregation as "a stage in the evolution of apartheid (p. 147). Hoernle (1939) makes a clear distinction between segregation and separation (apartheid) & Segregation "retains" the minorities in the same socio-political structure of the dominant white group, but subjects them to the denial of important rights. Separation, on the other hand, visualizes the different groups as genuinely separate self-containing and selfgoverning societies.

The process of racial separation in South Africa, according to Rhoodie and Venter (1959), can be divided into four phases. The process began some three centuries ago with "natural apartheid . . . White and Black existed as two autonomous, free-flowing units living side by side, each in its own territory" (p. vii). The first phase saw these two groups locked in conflict and wars and eventually resulting in the second stage of guardianship. This phase is recognized as an important link in the evolution of the

apartheid idea, when "the civalized, pore highly developed white wan took the uncivilized, undeveloped black man under his protection, and began to educate and to uplift him (p. viii) . This interim stage of guardianship lasts only until the black man has developed enough to manage his own affairs win a democratic manner, worthy of a civilized human being and without danger to himself (p. viii). Only when this standard of development has been reached can the third phase of the process begin-the emancipation of the Bantu. It is of the greatest importance to both white, and non-white that this phase is not "unnecessarily" or "artificially" hastened. The last phase which is also the last stage in the program of apartheid, refers to the final emancipation of the Bantu. This emancipation will cause the apartheid Utopia, whereby "White and non-White, each in his own homeland, will once again exist side by side as free-flowing units" (p. viii).

Different people have attached different meanings to the policy of apartheid. Bunding (1971) claims that due to this lack of consensus in interpretation, no suitable word has been found in the English language as a substitute for the Afrikaans term of apartheid. By way of illustration, Bunting presents the interpretations by the four mationalist Prime masters of the central idea of apartheid. To Dr. Halin, the first post-1948 Prime Minister, apartheid meant the presentation of "the safety of the white race and of Christian California (absuncted in Bunting, 1971, p. 24).

Hr. Strijdom, Halan's successor, interpreted apartheid as follows:

y na nana atta ki kama a sansana nina kaka lama

by separating the races in every field in so far as it is practically possible, one can prevent clashes and friction between whites and non-whites. At the same time, in fairness to the non-whites, they must be given the opportunity of developing in their own areas and in accordance with their own nature and abilities under guardianship of the Whites (Bunting, 1971, p. 26).

The next Prime Hinister to lead South Africa was Dr. Verwoerd and his policy of apartheid, was presented publically in a speech in London, England, Harch 1961:

We want each of our population groups to control and govern itself as is the case with other nations. Then all can cooperate as in a Commonwealth — in an economic association with the Republic and with each other. . . South Africa will proceed in all honesty and fairness to secure peace, prosperity and justice for all by means of political independence coupled with political inter-dependence (Bunting, 1971, p. 26).

The present Prime Hinister, Hr. Vorster, describes the policy of apartheid as not only

solution in the interest of everyone to eliminate frictions, and to do justice to every population group as well as every individual. . . It is not a denial of the human diginity of anyone, nor is it so intended. On the contrary, it gives the opportunity to every individual, within his own shpere, . . . to develop and advance without restriction or frustration as circumstances justify, and in accordance with the demands of development achieved (Bunting, 1971, p. 26).

Interpretations of the policy of apartheid by people and groups outside the South African government, introduce different rationals. The Cottesloe Consultation (1960), a

discussion of race relations and social problems by South African member churches of the World Council of Churches, brought forth three interpretations of apartheid. The first interpretation indicates voluntary and spontaneous separation by two or more races in the country; the second interpretation coincides with South African government policy, and the third regards apartheid as a situation in which "the ruling group use their position and power to safeguard and extend their scope to the detriment of other groups (p. 23). The objectional elements in apartheid were discussed and the most serious objection raised against this policy was "that it implies a concealed form of discrimination based on colour and race" (p. 23).

The United Nations has indicated by its decisions and resolutions that it rejects the interpretation placed upon apartheid by the South African government, and condemns it as a "crime against humanity" (p. 1). Santa-Cruz, the Special Rapporteur of the Sub-Commission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities of the Commission of Human Rights, describes apartheid as follows:

Apartheid repudiates the concept of coexistence of the various racial groups. Its goal is not only to maintain, as before, non-whites in an inferior status, but to eliminate them totally from any kind of participation in the political, social, economic and cultural life of the country, and ultimately achieve complete territorial separation (U.N. Office of Public Information, 1968, p. 1).

Priedman (1972), in a research study for the United Nations, interprets apartheid as a

. . historically accumulative and purposeful

system of racial containment. Its operational components, each with its own experiential profile and time-frame, fall into four distinctive yet converging categories: a) racial prejudice and discrimination; b) racial segregation and separation; c) economic exploitation of natural and human resources; and d) legal, administrative, and police terror (p. 17).

Slabbert (1973), in a summary of the Spro-cas (Study Project on Christianity in Apartheid Society) Political Commission's report on South Africa's Political Alternative, indicated that the Commission recognizes political, economic and social domination as the major problem in separate development. The long-term goal of separate development provides little clarity about the distribution of power in the separate political systems, the theoretical possibilities of partition of land, the self-determination and economic independence of homelands, and whether discrimination would be eliminated in common areas. This obscure definition caused the Commission to reject separate development as a long-term goal to solve racial problems in South Africa. This does not mean, however, "that the structures at present being established in terms of separate development cannot be used for alternative political aims* (p. 10).

Laurence (1973), co-author of the summary of the Sprocas Commission proceedings which took place in Johannesburg, suggests that a "mon-violent means of eliminating racial discrimination and providing for more equitable distribution of the country's wealth" and power is needed (p. 16). The

should be used as guidelines. Equality does not imply the equal treatment of all peoples, but rather that people should not be treated unequally on the grounds of race, religion or nationality. Freedom implies "positive freedom to shape and mould the environment . . " (p. 17), and justice refers to the Rule of Law. In the political sphere the latter means:

The citizen's right to freedom of person must be guaranteed by the government generally. Government may only interfere with this right in terms of prescribed and specific standards. Any dispute between citizen and government must be settled by an impartial tribunal functioning according to accepted fair trial procedures. (p. 18).

Terminology which refers to the South African policy, according to the present Minister of Bantu Administration, Mr. M.C. Botha, has changed while keeping pace with the needs and development of the country.

Dreyer (1971), describes the terms that led up to the use of the term apartheid. During the previous century the term no equalization (geen gelykstelling) portrayed the Afrikaner's repudiation of integration with non-Whites. No mixing (geen vermenging) replaced the latter due to the negative connotations attached to inequality. During the post-Union and pre-Second World War years, segregation became the leading term in South African political circles. Since the early 1940's however, apartheid has become the term most commonly used in reference to the South African policy. Botha mentions that through the years this policy

has been referred to by several names: "segregation, apartheid, as well as characteristic (elescortige), parallel, separate, independent or multi-national development, distinct freedoms et cetera* (p. 3, tr.). Of all these terms, apartheid, separate development and multi-national development are the most frequently used at present (Dreyer, 1971).

Despite the common belief that apartheid and separate development are synonymous, Schlemmer (1970) makes a clear distinction. The overt theme and rationale of separate development underlines the cultural and ethnic pluralism of the basis structure of the South African society. Apartheid, on the other hand, reflects the total system, wincluding not only the element of cultural pluralism but also the system of legalised material, social and political privilege enjoyed by the Whitesw (p. 20). Schlemmer is of the opinion that apartheid represents a policy which, for the present, is completely successful in obtaining its major objectives. Separate development however, wis completely utopian in that it can never be implemented in the form of an equitable geographic, political and economic partition of the country

4. The Political System and Apartheid in Practice:

Breytenbach (1971), a prominent South African poet, author and artist was victimized by the Prohibition of Mixed Barraige Act of 1949 by marrying a Vietnamese Lady. He

identifies the political system of his former country of residence both fascist and totalitarian. Wan den Berghe (1967) points out that South Africa is rucist, but not a fascist state. Some suppressive legislation and police methods give the country a superficial similarity with fascist states. South Africa lacks certain crucial elements of fascism: the abscence of a charismatic leader, a high degree of militarism, the effort of creating a monolithic mation within a single party and intensive propagands in a collectivist ideology. South African apartheid, according to Van den Berghe, is a much closer parallel to the pre-Second World War "Jim Crowism" of the Southern United States, than to either Wazi Germany or Pascist Italy.

Adam (1971) asserts that South Africa is "not wholly despotic or fully totalitarian" (p. 233). The strong element of individualism in the Afrikaner history and tradition—which in an extreme form manifests itself in the distrust of all organized government, combined with a tendency towards pragmatism in the Mationalist rule—prevents complete totalitarianism. Although the South African government has not reduced political membership to a single party, "it has - . . drawn heavily on the armour of totalitarianism to suppress political change", (p. 233).

The political system of South Africa contains the fundamentals of democracy as it pertains to the White population group. In terms of the country's population as a whole the "South African policy is not a democracy but may

structure, based on race (Randall, 1970) . Lastence (1973) agrees and adds that this racial oligarchy, which once contained representatives for all races, now represents the Whites only.

"unitary, elitist, parliamentary, republican state, adapted to secure the supresacy of the white population" (p. 12). The governments of South Africa include the Republic Government, the provincial governments of Matal, Caps, Transvadl and the Orange Pres State, territorial governments of the homelands and diverse local and municipal authorities. All these governments are subject to the Act to Constitute the Republic of South Africa, number 32 of 1661. The Republic Government consists of a State President, Prime Minister and Cabinet, Parliament of two houses, erdbutive departments, caurts and various statutory agencies. The State President is elected in an electoral college, while the Prime Minister obtains his position as a result of heing the leader of the majority party in Parliament.

Whites. Senators are elected indirectly, while the members of the House of Assembly (lower house) are elected by white voters. Parliament, which once contained representatives (white) for all races, now represents Whites only. Wational elections are conducted under a party system from which Africans (Bantu), Coloureds and Asains are excluded. The

active white political parties of South Africa are the leading Nationalist Party, the United Party as official opposition, the Progressive Party and the Herstigte

Nasionale Party (Priedman, 1972). Horrell, Horner and Hudson (1975) in A Survey of Race Relations in South Africa 1974, mention three additional parties: the Democratic Party, the Social Democratic Party and the Alliance for Radical Change.

The United Party stands for retaining separate social and residential facilities for the various racial groups, as well as the right of the permanently urbanized Africans to own land in their own residential area. The Progressive Party believe in the "freedom of speech, worship and assembly; freedom of arbitrary arrest; equal access to courts of law; equal protection under the law; and the independence of the judiciary" (Horrell, et.al., 1975, p. 5). A qualification system is proposed as a means to decide voting privileges. The Democratic Party advocates a "twinstream" policy. The one stream would be the Whites, Coloureds and Indians as an integrated unit, while the other stream would be the Africans in homelands. These homelands should be redrawn to become more viable and completely independent states. The Social Democratic Party stands for a socialistic, economic policy of fair distribution of wealth, free education, entrenchment of personal rights, a national health and welfare scheme and the abolition of censorship. The Alliance for Radical Change maintains that all people in South Africa should have a vote to decide their future

(Horrell, et al., 1975).

Since the present government sanctioned apartheid as its political guideline in 1948, "a vast body of legislative enactments have come into existence and are designed to butress the new policy of apartheid" (Rubin, 1971, p. 5). Humbreds of apartheid laws, according to Rubin (1971), have been passed by Parliament, while thousands of regulations, proclamations and government notices have been issued under those laws. In addition, numerous municiple city and town bylaws congruent with the policy of apartheid have been passed. The volume of the race legislation is matched only by its complexity. Richard A. Falk, Professor of International Law at Princeton University, who acted as an official observer for the International Commission of Jurists at the 1968 terrorism trial in Pretoria, expressed his opinion on some of these laws:

I did not appreciate beforehand that these 'Bantu Laws' (the pass laws, the trespass laws and other regulations applied only to the African community) are of such character that only a relatively small percentage of the African population is in a position to comply with them at any particular time. These laws are of such complexity that someone with legal training could not easily understand the requirements of compliance (Rubin, 1971, p. 5).

The Spro-cas Political Commission alleges that the racial legislation of the Government results in the curtailment of personal freedoms, civil liberties and freedom of association. (Laurence, 1973). Both Friedman (1972) and Rubin (1971) are of the opinion that the actual apartheid laws speak for themselves, and they present some

of these laws to illustrate their potential for undermining basic human rights. The following are some of those presented by Friedman (1972):

- a) South Africa Act of 1909 and the Republic of South Africa Constitution Act of 1961 restricts membership in the Parliament to whites;
- b) Land Act No. 27 of 1912 denies Africans right of ownership in land;
- h) Native Labour (Settlement of Disputes) Act No.48 of 1953 prohibits trade unions among African workers and denies to them the right to strike possessed by white workers;
- a) Group Areas Act No.41 of 1950 requires that the population be assigned to separate areas and territories:
- b) Population Registration Act of 1950 compels persons to obtain a racial classification and be registered accordingly:
- d) Prohibition of Mixed Marriages Act of 1949 converts into a criminal act marriage between persons of different races, enforcing a caste system;
- e) Immorality Act of 1957 converts into a criminal act sexual intercourse between persons of different races;
- g) Bantu Laws Amendment Act of 1964 remove all rights of Africans in areas outside the homelands:
- c) Bantu (Urban Areas) Consolidation Act of 1945 empowers labour officers to issue and terminate working permits to Africans:
- b). Suppression of Communism Act No.44 of 1950 unleashes the police to suppress dissent by linking opposition to apartheid with the furtherance of Communist objectives:
- c) Bantu Administration Act WO.38 of 1927 as amended empowers the State President to remove and banish Africans in the public interest:
- e) Criminal Law Amendment Act No.8 of 1953 penalizes political protest, including exercise of speech to change the public policy and law:
- f) Criminal Procedure Act No.56 of 1955, as amended in 1965 permits detention for 180 days without trial;
- g) Riotous Assembly Act No.17 of 1956 permits extensive restrictions on freedom of assembly;
- h) Unlawful Organizations Act No.34 of 1960 empowers the State President to declare organizations unlawful and dissolve them by proclamation;
- i) Publications and Entertainment Act Wo.26 of 1963 specifies as a criminal offence the freedom of the press where a newspaper strongly criticizes apartheid as unjust;
- j) General Law Amendment Act No.76 of 1962 broadens

- the range of illegal acts of "sabotage";
- k) General Law Amendment Act No.37 of 1963 authorizes the detention of persons without trial for repeated periods of time;
- 1) Terrorism Act Wo.83 of 1967 establishes the crime of "terrorism" so loosely defined as to leave the Government virtually a free hand to prosecute anyone it so wishes, narrows the right of habeas corpus, and substantially elimanates the defence of double jeopardy (pp. 17-22).

The Spro-cas Political Commission took particular exception to the Terrorism Act, the Suppression of Communism Act, the pass laws and the Unlawful Organizations Act. These laws give the government virtually unlimited jurisdictional control over the individual and groups. Under the Terrorism Act a person could be detained indefinitely and be "denied the right to see his lawyer, doctor or friends. His case is not reviewed by the courts" (Laurence, 1973, p. 19). The law describes a terrorist as one who "encourage(s) feelings of hostility between the white and other inhabitants" of South Africa and who have done so with "intent to endanger the maintenance of law and order" (Rubin, 1971, p. 58, no. 282). A terrorist in South Africa is gailty of a criminal offence punishable by death.

The Suppression of Communism Act was initially introduced as a weapon against communism. It has, however, been used against non-communist opponents of racial discrimination in South Africa and "it provides for restrictions and bannings not subject to review by the courts. The Minister's option is final" (Laurence, 1973). Communism, under South African law, is among other things

encouragement of feelings of hostility between the European and non-European races of the Republic, the consequences of which are calculated to further the achievement (of) bringing about social change in the Republic by the threat of unlawful acts or omissions (Rubin, 1971, p. 41, no. 190).

The State of South Africa Yearbook (1974) indicates that" the pass system for the Bantu was introduced 150 years ago by the British colonial government in the Cape. This measure was implemented in order to "control the influx of Bantu into the White areas (p. 63). Under the Bantu (Abolition of Passes and Consolidation of Documents) Act of 1952, the Government reduced the number of documents a Bantu had to carry on his person to a single reference book, The reference book system wis in the interest of the Bantum, since the abolition of this system could lead to the overloading of the urban labor market by the influx of the urban Bantu (p. 63). The reference book contains, among other material, information on its carrier's right to be in a specific area. Without the necessary permit from the Bantu Commissioner to be in an area of which he is not a resident, the guilty party could be fined a maximum of \$840 or inprisoned for a period not exceeding three years (Rubin, 1971).

The Unlawful Organizations Act according to the Sprocas Political Committee "constitutes an invasion of freedom of association per se" (Laurence, 1973, p. 20). Several political organizations have been banned from South Africa in the past: the Communist Party, the African Mational

Congress, the Pan-Africanist Congress and the Defence and Aid. The Committee recognizes the fact that under certain conditions the banning of an organization is justified, but at the same time "when political organizations do attempt to subvert the state this is often prima facie evidence of a serious need for reform in the political system" (Laurence, 1973, p. 20).

Apart from the Publications and Entertainment Act, there are approximately twenty-five laws which infringe upon the freedom of the press in South Africa (Ginwala, 1974).

Ginwala (1974) concludes that "a free press cannot exist outside a free society, and South Africa is not free" (p. 31). The editor of the <u>Sunday Times</u>, in an article on press freedom in the <u>Sunday Times</u> of March 21, 1972, allowed that the secret behind "First-class freedom" of the press is the acceptance of the political situation and to

operate within that framework . . The non-white newspapers cannot deal honestly with those political issues because if they did, they would soon be regarded as 'agitators' and 'incitors'.

Ginvala (1974) declares that the South African press (both black and white) is almost exclusively white-owned and controlled. To prevent direct censorship from the government the press agreed to a Code of Conduct, the final clause of which reads:

While the press retains its traditional rights of criticism, comment should take cognizance of the complex racial problems in South Africa, the general good and safety of the country and its people (Ginvala, 1974, p. 36).

Worrall (1972) indicates, however, that although censorship in South Africa presents itself as % source of ibritation and anger to a very considerable section of the English as well as Afrikaans-speeking intelligentsia, [it] does not affect news reporting (p. 568). In this regard Worrall refers to The Star of July 22, 1971, which contained eight news items dealing with international attitudes towards South Africa. Horeover, overseas newspapers, particularly British newspapers, as well as leading news magazines are freely available in South Africa.

The prime minister of South Africa, Mr. B.J. Vorster, stated on November 20, 1970 in Bloemfontein that he would "scrap discriminatory measures when they no longer served any purpose" (Conment and Opinion, November 29, 1974) . Dr. Hilgard Muller, minister of Poreign Affairs, said that "South Africa can move away from racism and unnecessary discrimination and it is the government's task to take the lead" (Race Relations News, December, 1974). A number of the major city councils, however, have taken some kind of lead in efforts towards eliminating certain forms of discrimination. Towards the end of 1973 the Johannesburg City Council set up ad hoc committees to investigate "petty apartheid in demarcated areas (Horrell, 1975). Van den Berghes (1970) refers to petty apartheid as microsegregation and describes it as segregation in "public and private facilitities (such as waiting rooms, railway carriages. post-office counters, washrooms) located in areas inhabited

by members of several 'racial' groups" (p. 210).

Mulder (1972), the present Minister of Information and of the Interior, identifies the concept of petty apartheid as purporting "saparate schools, residential areas, buses, taxis, train coaches, hospitals, etc." (p. 61). Dr. Mulder points out that these practices are not confined to the South African situation and that the Government is honest enough to acknowledge that these practices are necessary to prevent friction, Petty apartheid then as viewed by Mulder:

. . . is based on pragmatism and realism, and the fruit of this realism is reaped in peaceful co-existence, with an excellent record of stable government, and dynamic growth and development in the interest of all peoples (1972, p. 61).

During these above mentioned investigations it became apparent that petty apartheid caused the South African non-Whites great distress. The chairman of the city of Johannesburg's management committee remarked that

We had not realized previously the deepness of the hurt petty apartheid has caused and how Black people over the years have had to school themselves to accept without protest offences to their dignity inflicted in the name of what is called the South African pay of life (Sunday Times, November 25, 1973).

The Council Resolved to take action, within their powers granted upder the Reservation of Separate Amenities Act of 1953, against petty apartheid. Resolutions included the removal of "White" or "non-White" signs from benches in parks; the opening of art galleries and museums to all races and the availability of municipal libraries to Blacks.

Separate queues at places where municipal accounts were paid

or licences issued were abolished; wider employment opportunities for Coloureds and Indians became available and the creation of opportunities for Blacks in municipal services, et cetera, were created. Similar concessions were made in Pietermaritzburg, Cape Town, Pretoria, Durban and Port Elizabeth (Morrell, et al., 1975).

Worrall (1972) describes the South African Poundation as a private, supposedly non-political organization which has been established by South African businessmen to . stimulate the flow of foregin capital into the South African monomy. Dr. Jan Marais, president of the South African Poundation, poses some questions to the world in defence of South African policies (Comment and Opinion, Barch 21, 1975). Why, Dr. Marais asks, does the world object to the principle of separate development when other countries suffer from separateness too? Apparently the divisions between the two Germanies and in Ireland are accepted, but not the South African divisions. There is great concern for the liberties of the people of South Africa in the face of a lack of liberty suffered by various other peoples. According to a survey conducted by Freedom House, a New York-based, non-profit organization, 42 percent of the world's population (people from 66 countries) could be described as not free. Dr. Harais admits that legislation, such as "the Riotous Assemblies Act, the Affected Organizations Act and the Suppression of Communism Act, may be repressive, but in countries such as Russia *people could be arrested without a

semblance of the process of law" (Comment and Opinion, March 21, 1975). As far as South Africa's racial constitution is concerned Dr. Marais feels that it should be accepted by the world, since constitutions of other countries based purely on race and ethnicity are accepted. To prove his point, Marais uses the example of the former British Fiji Islands where the British-designed constitution prevented the Indian population of Fiji from ever gaining more than forty percent of the parliamentary seats.

Rhoodie and Venter (1959) claim that the policy of apartheid or separate development is a "formula which touches all spheres of life" (p. 207). Prime Binister Vorster hints at scrapping certain discriminatory practices, but in the same address mentions that despite changes "the policy of separate development would remain" (Connent and Opinion, November 29, 1974). E.P. van Ryk Louw, the renowned South African author, infers that the stage of development has been reached where the question is clear: "Are we going to survive for the sake of survival or are we going to survive with justice?" (Bace Belations News, October, 1974).

5. Apartheid, Homelands (Bantustans) and the Economy:

Wo other state in world history, according to van den Berghe (1970), has devoted so large a proportion of mits energies and resources in imposing racial segregation as South Africa has done since 1948 (p. 210). Hulder (1972), however, suggests that separate or parallel development is founded on the basic concept of diversity: "While it is not based on the concept of one people being "superior" or "inferior" to another, . . . it definitely recognizes people's differences . . . " (p. 62). Van den Berghe (1970) indicates that physical distance is obtained through three major forms of segregation, with macrosegregation representing the most explicit form of demarcation.

Macrosegregation refers to "the segregation of racial groups in discrete terpitorial units, such as the 'Mative Reserves' of South Africa, now being restyled as 'Bantustans' (p. 210).

The minister of Bantu Administration and Development, M.C. Botha, admitted to the South African Economic Society that "Development of the Bantu homelands is the greatest single problem in South Africa" (Siedle, 1970, p. 47). Jacobs (1972) describes the homeland policy of the Government as a "gamble", since the "future of the South African Republic and all its citizens are at stake (p. 157). There is little evidence, according to Siedle (1970), of progress towards the goal of halting" the flow of Africans to white areas, so that the policy was dubbed by many as one of 'Separate non-development'" (p. 47). The Spro-cas Political Commission recognizes this predicament which is manifested in the form of a victous circle where the means do not justify the end. African labor is "vital to the country's economic growth . . . without economic growth there can be no development of the homelands and no real

solution to the racial problem (Laurence, 1973, p. 24).

The small reserves, according to Jacobs (1972), which were allocated for the use of the conquered African tribes, were initially adequate to support its inhabitants and the "Africans saw no need to leave their reserves and to work in the White economy" (p. 152). Through territorial conquests the Whites obtained land, capital and entrepreneurship, but labor was scarce. In the African areas labor was abundant but due to population growth, the reserves could not yield to the increasing demand. From both sides, therefore, pressure arose for labor to migrate to the white areas. "The great cultural gap between themselves and the Africans, added to the fears engendered by a century of conflict, made the Whites anxious to keep the African tribes as far as possible away" (p. 152). The need for labor on the farms, mines and industry, however, made it desirable to have the Africans close at hand. Legislation was introduced to restrict the right of entry to white areas and to limit African labor opportunities in these areas. The Spro-cas Political Commission claims that historically the reserves have served two major functions: "first, as a pretext for denying Africans political rights in "white" South Africa; second, as reservoirs of labour for the remainder of South Africa" (Laurence, 1973, p. 22).

Dr. J.E. Holloway who headed the Wative Economic Commission in 1932, advised the government that "It is essential that no time should be lost in developing the

Reserves and in reducing the present pressure on land by making available sorecland for Native occupation. (Jacobs, 1972, p. 153). The Bantu Trust and Land Act No. 18 of 1936 sade provision for 7.25 million morgen (one morgen equals approximately two acres) in addition to 10,729,435 morgen already made available to the Africans under the Land Act No. 27 of 1913. (State of South Africa Tearbook, 1974). The point is made in the Tearbook that although only about thirteen percent of South Africa's territory has been reserved for Bantu homelands, seventy percent of the Republic "consists of mountainous land or semi-desert areas in which the Bantu could hardly make a living" (p. 53). The Yearbook further states that forty-five percent of the Republic's most fertile soil is located in the Bantu homelands.

Houghton (1962) indicates that the prime reason for the low productivity and poverty in the homelands is the incompatibility between the tribal system of land tenure and the requirements of progressive farming. The traditional agricultural practices, which were satisfactory while the supply of land was abundant, proved inadequate in the present situation where land is more scarce. Laurence (1973) indicates that all the land promised to the African people has not yet been allocated, but even when this has been done the homelands will consist of merely 13.7 percent of South Africa. The International Defence and Aid Pund (1972) indicates that under the 1936 Mative Trust and Land Act "the

whites allocated 86.3 per cent of the land to themselves and 13.7 per cent to the Africans (p. 18).

When the Mationalist Party came into power, the Tomlisson Commission was appointed to investigate and make recommendations on how the Mative policy should be implemented. "The composition and terms of the reference of the Commission made its findings in favour of the government's 'apartheid' or separate development policy a foregone conclusion" (Jacobs, 1972, p. 154). In 1955 the report became available and the Commission recommended that the only way out of the South African race dilemma is to consolidate the African Reserves and their sustained development on a large scale at the greatest possible speed (Jacobs, 1972).

The State of South Africa Tearbook (1974) refers to the implementation of the government policy as "Mation Building". A prerequisite for an independent nation" is the existence of an administrative body which can gradually assume ever-wider responsibilities" (p. 54). In order to create this "body politic" the Bantu Authorities Act of 1951 and the Promotion of Bantu Self-Government Act of 1959 were passed by Parliament. The basic idea behind these Acts was to prompt the interest of the Bantu in developing their respective local areas by creating local "Authorities-in-Council", consisting of a local headman and several councillors, and then gradually building up administrative organs with greater power over wider areas. According to the

Yearbook, "It was left to the Bantu themselves to decide whether they wished to come under the Bantu Authorities Act" (p. 54). The response was "encouraging" and in 1962 six Territorial Authorities were already in existence.

Yengwa (1971) notes that South African government literature on Bantu homelands creates the impression that this policy is not only acceptable to the African people, "but also a product of joint consultation between the parties and is the result of their mutual decisions" (p. 90). This impression, according to Yengwa, is false. During the years following its introduction, the opposition to the Bantu Authorities Act intensified. In a number of cases violent clashes erupted between supporters of the Act, those opposing it, and the police, with the result that many lives were lost. The judge presiding over a trial of twenty-nine tribesmen charged with murder after clashes in the district of Tokazi, observed that the Bantu Authorities Act caused deep resentment and that the Government should take note.

The opposition to this form of "self-government" was directed at the abolition of traditional ways of African authority. Yengwa (1971) explains the circumstances under which the Bantu Authorities Act was implemented:

Despite overwhelming opposition of the people and their political organizations the Government has gone on with ruthlessness and force, introducing Banku Authorities throughout South Africa. There is hardly an area where Bantu Authorities has not been established. In all instances it is the Chiefs, the paid agents of the Government, who are the cornerstone of Bantu Authorities . . . Those leaders who profess to support it either do so

because they genuinely believe that by supporting it they will plant the seeds of its own destruction or because they have opted for short term personal and tribal gains. The Government pays handsomely those tribes and "leaders" who support apartheid and Bantustans (p. 93).

The Promotion of Bantu Self-Government Act was established in 1959 and through this Act the government "gave unequivocal assurance of its intention to create selfgoverning Bantu national units (State of South Africa Yearbook, 1974, p. 54). The government indicated that although the Bantu Authorities Act was a success, too much emphasis was placed upon white supervision and the degree of self-rule of the Bantu areas was not clearly defined. Jacobs (1972) notes that the Transkei, a homeland with partial self-government, requested independence from the South African government in 1968. The prerequisites suggested by the government, made the long road to independence seem even further. The following prerequisites were proposed: administrative experience in management and government departments; control of finance and budgeting; a democratic way of life and a sense of complete responsibility; administrative management by citizens; provision of jobs and economic development by its own government, and "a firm desire for peaceful existence" (p. 156). On September 10, 1974 Prime Minister Vorster, in an effort to accelerate the process of independence, announced that the Transkei would become independent within five years-the first-homeland due to receive the status of independence (Connent and Opinion, September 20; 1974).

The Tomlinson Commission recommended to the South African government in 1955 that consolidation of the approximately 260 "unconnected localities" must be considered a pre-condition for the successful implementation of separate development (Laurence, 1973). According to figures produced by the International Defence and Aid Pund (1972), these "black spots" have been reduced to eighty-two. The government homeland scheme makes provision for ten homelands, nine of which have already been established (Horrell, 1973). The Transkei is the homeland of the Thosa group and consists of two separate pieces of land in the eastern Cape; the Ciskei (Thosa) consists of nineteen areas in the eastern Cape; KwaZulu (Zulu) consists of twenty-nine areas in Matal; Lebowa (Morth Sotho, Morth Mbedele and Pedi) consists of three areas of land in Worthern Transvaal; Venda (Venda) consists of three areas in Northern Transvaal; Gazankulu (Shangaan) consists of four territories in Northern Transvaal; Bophutha Tswana (Tswana) includes nineteen separate pieces of land in central and western Transvaal, north-west Cape and Thaba 'Nchu area of the Free State: Basotho Qwaqwa (South Sotho) is one consolidated area in Witzieshoek area of the Free State; Swaziland (Swazi) consists of two areas in Eastern Transvaal, while the South Mdebele group does not have a homeland yet (Horrell, 1973) (International Defence and Aid Pund, 1972).

In order to further implement the homeland scheme, the Government of South Africa introduced the Bantu Homelands

Citizenship Act, No. 26 of 1970. This Act provides ever African in the Republic with citizenship of one of the homelands, which will provide him with franchise rights in his particular homeland (Horrell, 1973). Internationally, however, the African will continue to have the status of a citizen of the Republic. Horrell (1973) further indicates that over eight million of the total fifteen million-plus Bantu population of South Africa, are located in white areas. The International Defence and Aid Pund (1972) states that the citizenship of the Homelands has been imposed upon all Africians, "no matter where they may be living or where they were born (p. 20). An African is deemed to be a citizen of a particular national unit if he speaks the language of the area, or if he is related to any person in that area, or if he is associated with any part of the population there by virtue of his cultural or racial. background. Under these circumstances them, the homelands have de facto inhabitants, the permanent resident population, as well as de jure inhabitants which refers to the de facto population plus all those classified as citizens of the homeland but who are living elsewhere in ·South Airica.

Coertze (1972) suggests that the future of South Africa depends upon the choice between integration and separate development:

Any process of cultural change is given a set direction through the course of history. One can direct this movement but one cannot stop or turn it back. In South Africa the Bantu nations are subject

to the process of westernization . . . There is only a process of westernization together with the White or one parallel with the White possible (p. 15, tr.).

The process of integration, according to Coertze, will necessarily be decelerated due to the century-old aloofness of the Whites from the indigenous peoples of South Africa, due to the white attitude of superiority based upon their advanced culture and due to visual physical differences between the Whites and Bantu. The factors of population numbers and different levels of cultural development would, furthermore, contribute to the deceleration of the accommodation-acculturation-integration process. On the other hand, there are certain factors favoring the process of concrescence, such as a mutual loyalty shared by both Whites and Bantu to their country of birth and the integration of large numbers of Banto workers in the white economy. To Coertze (1972) the answer lies in separate development, providing great numbers of Bantu are "guided back in time to the homelands and the Whites are prepared to work harder (p. 20, tr.). Statistics indicate that approximately twenty-five percent of the total Banty workforce is utilized in the economy at any given time and half of this number is relatively dispensable (Coertze, 1969) (Naude, 1970) (Market Research, 1968) .

The guiding of Africans back to their homelands includes the "clearance of "black spots" as well as the "removal of urban Africans" (International Defence and Aid Pund, 1969, pp. 14-15). The Deputy Minister of Bantu

Administration informed the Assembly on August 13, 1974 that since 1948 approximately 185,568 Africans from black spots had been removed (Horrell, et. al., 1975). The International Defence and Aid Fund (1969) claims that the process of removing urban Africans is in progress. The influx of Africans, however, has not been prevented, since the nation's economy rests upon its cheap and plentiful African labor. Research done by Hattingh and Hugo (1971) on the urbanization pattern of Africans, based upon the 1960 and 1970 census statistics indicates a marked difference between the 1960 and 1970 pattern: during the late fifties there was a strong influx of Bantu into white urban areas, while the present pattern indicates a steady effluxion to the Bantu, areas" (p., 130). Hrs. Helen Suzman, a long-time Hember of Parliament for the Progressive Party, declared in the House of Assembly that

. . . the mass removal of people in South Africa is a violence; the contemplated removal of 3.8 million superfluous appendages - to quote the hon. the Deputy Minister of Justice - is a violence; the thousands upon thousands of Africans in resettlement areas, leading hopeless and helpless lives of poverty and unemployment, is a violence; the very way in which those removals have taken place is a violence . . . (International Defence and Aid Pund, 1969, p. 1).

The Tomlinson Commission indicated that the success of the homeland scheme depended heavily upon the economic development of these areas and its subsequent ability to satisfy the employment need (Laurence, 1973). Estimates by the Commission, according to Laurence, revealed that the homelands could provide 50,000 jobs annually in secondary

and tertiary industries. As a result these homelands would be able to accommodate seventy percent of the African population by the year 2000 A.D. The Commission, however, underestimated the development of two variables: "the African population by the turn of the century is likely to be much bigger than the commission anticipated, and economic development has fallen way behind the commission's schedule" (Laurence, 1973, p. 23).

The projected African population by the turn of the century, as estimated by the Tomlinson Commission, would reach 21.3 million, but Professor J.L. Sadie indicates that 37.25 million is probably a more realistic number (Laurence, 1973). Leistner's (1972) high estimate of 27.949 million adds to the uncertainty of accurately projecting the African population by 2000 A.D. Estimates do indicate a substantial increase over the original attempt of the Commission.

A major attempt was made by the government to create employment by developing border areas. This concept, according to Leistner (1972), was introduced by the Tomlinson Commission and it "advocated industrial development in certain regions adjoining Bantp homelands" (p. 267). Reynders (1970) refers to this concept as industrial decentralization and indicates that two kinds of locations are suitable for industrial development: white border areas and the heartlands of the homelands. The International Defence and Aid Pund (1969) suggests that Verwoerd had two purposes in mind with this project:

(i) to decentralize (African) labour intensive industries and thereby check the flow of tribal Africans to the established urban and industrial areas, and (ii) to maintain the availability of African labour to industry (p. 13).

Horrell (1973) uses the figures made available by the Decentralization Board: since June 1960, when the program was initiated, until the end of 1971, employment was created for 99,771 people, 78,451 of whom were Africans. Dr. J. Adendorff, managing director of the Bantu Investment Corporation, has pointed out that the government policy calls for 20,000 jobs to be created annually for Africans in border and homeland areas. The creation of each job opportunity was costing R8,000 (one rand equals 1.4 dollar) and R180 million is necessary per year for the industrial development of the homeland and border areas (Horrell, et.al., 1975). Laurence's (1973) statistics, which correlate with those of Horrell, et.al. (1975), indicate that the sum total of all the jobs created since 1960 through the industrial decentralization scheme equals "two installments of the annual quota of jobs insisted upon by the Tomlinson Commission as far back as 1955 (p. 23).

In order to stimulate the interest of entrepreneurs, the government offered numerous concessions to those willing to invest in the border industry development plan. Horrell (1973) mentions the availability of low-interest loans, cash grants, major tax concessions, railage rebates, harbor rebates, government supply of water, electricity, housing for employees, et cetera., for those industrialists willing

to invest in this project. The most positive results to date have been obtained in border areas around large white industrial cities such as Durban, Pretoria and Rietermaritzburg (Siedle, 1970). Leistner (1972) claims that three factors, in particular, may have adverse effects upon the prospects for industrial development inside the homelands (heartlands). In the first place, the investors have shown considerable resitance to invest. The bomeland scheme calls for future independence and this causes uncertainty about the entrepreneur's investment. A second factor refers to the understandable reluctance of engineers, chemists, artisans, et cetera, to settle in relatively undeveloped areas. Thirdly, the establishment of industry far away from suppliers of spare parts, repair facilities and other specialized services, poses considerable difficulties.

After intensive research on the topic of industrial decentralization, Dr. R.T. Bell expressed himself on the border areas decentralization policy as follows:

The fundamental problem then is that the rate of industralization in the border areas and its impact on the economic development of the homelands is inadequate in relation to the rate of growth of the homeland population . . . (Horrell, et.al., 1975, p. 276).

Leistner (1972) is aware of the significance of providing employment to the fast growing Black population and, wat the same time, forestall[ing] social and political conflicts that would hamper economic development in the long run* (p. 272). In order for the homelands scheme to succeed, the

Government is advised to pursue the decentralization idea "more vigorously and more systematically" (Siedle, 1970).

Reynders (M970) is of the opinion that the 1970's ".

will prove decisive for the question of obtaining employment opportunity objectives in and around the homelands within the framework of separate development" (p. 80, tr.). An increase in the tempo of decentralization is possible and indeed imperative for the success of separate development.

Laurence (1973) on the other hand reflects that the urban African fulfils a very necessary role in the economy of South Africa and is there to stay. Despite large investments by the government in the homelands project, the weconomic integration of Black and White in South Africa is proceeding with little diminution (Siedle, 1970). To Laurence (1973) and the Spro-cas Political Commission separate development refers primarily to separation in political and social spheres, while "economic integration or interdependence is regarded as an unalterable state of affairs" (p. 25). This raises the ultimate question: "can political separation give meaningful rights of national self-determination to people who are inextricably linked to, and utterly dependent upon, a wider multi-racial society? (p. 25). Houghton (1972) sums up the concept of homelands development as follows:

Separate development of African homelands has many attractive features, but the greater the emphasis on development and the less on separate the more likely it is to succeed. Horeover homeland development, while it may be a useful method of training in political and economic responsibility, cannot be

regarded as a final solution to the problems of living together in the multi-racial society which the Republic is at present, and which it is likely to remain (p. 296).

The application of separate development to the Coloured and Asian peoples is complicated by an absence or separate. territorial areas for their separate political institutions. Parallel development is used by its advocates to describe the position of the Coloured and Asian groups within the South African political structure. This policy refers to a half-way point between integration, whereby these groups will have political rights, and separation with separate homelands (Laurence, 1973).

The International Defence and Aid Fund (1972)
investigated the political rights of these two racial groups
within the policy of separate development. Prior to 1956
Coloured males in the Cape Province—where the majority of
Coloureds reside—had limited voting rights on a common roll
with Whites. The South Africa Act Amendment Act, No. 9 of
1956, cancelled their voting rights and redirected it
towards electing four Whites to represent them in the House
of Assembly. The Act also made provision for an Advisory
Council of Coloured Affairs, which was reconstituted in 1964
as the Coloured Person's Representative Council with forty—
six members. In 1968, however, the Coloureds lost all form
of franchise rights. As a substitute, the Representative
Council was again reconstituted and this time the membership
changed to forty elected and twenty nominated by the

Government. Although the anti-apartheid Labour Party won the first election in 1969, Government manipulation gave the pro-apartheid Pederal Party control of the Council. Horrell et.al., (1975) indicate that in the 1979 elections all sixty members will be elected.

The State of South Africa Yearbook (1974) signifies that the Coloured Persons Representative Council Act of 1964, section 21, provides the Council with "the same power to make laws as is vested in Parliament in respect of finance; local government; education; community welfare and pensions; ..." (p. 69).

The Act further provides that no proposed law shall, however, be introduced in the Council except with the approval of the Minister of Coloured Relations and Rehoboth Affairs granted under consultation with the Minister of Pinance and Administration (p. 6().

Presently the government appointed Theron Commission is investigating the needs, opportunities and place of the Colouredpeople in the South African society. The recommendations of the Commission are expected to be presented during the second half of 1975 (Race Relations News, September, 1974). Kruger expresses the sentiments of a growing number of Whites that the relatively small Coloured group, which shares the Afrikaner language as well as other cultural aspects, should be incorporated min a new wider concept of South African nationalism (Race Relations News, November, 1974).

Dr. Chris Jooste, director of the government sponsored

South African Bureau of Racial Affairs, believes that integration of the Coloureds is not desirable for several reasons. Despite early mixed marraiges, the coloured and white populations "originated along side each other and they experienced their processes of concrescence or national development (volkswording) separately (Jooste, 1975, p. 20, tr.). Jooste (1975) furthermore infers that the cultural gap between the groups, their different cultural characters, their contrasting racial characteristics, et cetera, will have a "detrimental effect on their concrescence into a ethnic unit" (p. 25, tr.). Friction and tension between the groups will prevent successful integration. Ar. Sonny Leon, leader of the Labour Party, declared after a fruitless talk with Prime Minister Vorster on the fate of the Coloureds that "We got nothing. We have no alternative now but to go to those people whose arms are open to us, the Black people of South Africa" (Race Relations News, October, 1974).

The international Defence and Aid Fund (1972) indicates that the Asians also have no political rights in South Africa. The Asiatic Land Tenure and Indian Representation act of 1946 placed the Indians on a separate voters roll to select three Whites to the House of Assembly. When the National Party was elected to power in 1948, this limited franchise was abolished. As a substitute the Government set up the South African Indian Council in 1964 with its membership consisting of twenty-one Government nominees. In 1968 the Council was given statutory recognition and the

number of nominees was increased to twenty-five. The State of South Africa Tearbook (1974) claims that whereas governments since 1910 regarded the Indian population as a foreign group, "the present Government acknowledges that the Indians are South Africa's permanent responsibility and that their needs must be catered for . . " (p. 72). In this regard a separate Department of Indian Affairs was created in September of 1961.

Houghton (1972) proposes an explanation of the South African apartheid attitude in terms of economic progress. The wast majority of the white group, according to Houghton, sincerely believes that the adoption of a "more democratic political constitution involving mniversal franchise" would mean the transfer of political control to the black majority and the consequent jeopardizing of "the prosperity of the whole country" (pp. 228-229). "For the majority of thinking white people it is not simply a case of race prejudice or a belief in the inherent superiority of the white skin" (p. 229). They believe that a dynamic, modern, industrial society requires a certain kind of leadership, enterprise and initiative which can only be provided by the white man.

Pirst (1973) indicates that the South African economy has made massive strides over the past 5 years. The gross domestic product rose from \$1,920 million in 1946 to \$14,400 million in 1970. Poreign economic involvement in South Africa takes the form of trade, loans and investments (World Council of Churches, 1973). In 1970 the total foreign

· investment in South Africa amounted to \$8,145 million, and for the year alone the foreign investment was as high as. \$785 million (First, 1973). The United Kingdom remains South Atricals Targest foreign investor with \$4,559 million in 1970 (58 percent), while the United States, with \$1,044 million in 1969 (including 300 firms and 6,000 agencies operating in South Africa), is the second largest foreign investor - Prance, Switzerland, Pederal Republic of Germany and other European Economic Community countries have investments equalling to 24.2 percent of the total foreign investments. (First, 1973). The International Defence and Aid Pund (1972) mentions that the after-tax return on British direct investment was 10.5 percent and 16.8 percent on American. Direct investments by multi-national companies in South Africa have increased from \$2,100 million in 1956 to \$6,370 million in 1973 (Rapport, May 26, 1974).

Reverend Don Horton, a South African Methodist minister in exile, claims that "the continued investment and involvement of foreign corporations in South Africa strengthens the apartheid system and undergirds the oppression of the black majority in that country" (Horrell, et. al., 1975). Pield (1973) summarizes the influence of foreign investments upon the South African policy as follows:

Far from exerting leverage for changed policies, foreign funds are building South Africa's economy so that it will be better able to resist any challenges to apartheid from the international community.... The intent of the South African Government is clear: apart from the indespensable role played by foreign

capital in crucial growth areas, the more closely the economy is linked with western economic interests, the simpler it will be for the Government to command political support and sympathy in the countries of their origin (p. 31).

White South Africans recognize the political protection economy is furnishing. Professor W.F.J. Steenkamp of the University of South Africa expresses appreciation of the situation as follows: "We have learned that our large international economic relationships are our best shield in a world which has chosen us as scapegoats" (World Council of Churches, 1973, p. 32).

The World Council of Churches (1973) investigates two contradictory economic theories frequently used by opposing sides to justify their respective stands in terms of creating social change in South Africa. The theory espoused by those investing in the Republic suggests that economic growth entails the breakdown of traditional economic and social structures. The Financial Hail, an influencial business weekly, postulates that "economic development will bring change that will loosen chains, just as it did in the Middle Ages in Europe" (World Council of Churches, 1973, p. 32). The growth argument has proven inaccurate for the South Airican Black: "between 1966 and 1971, the gap separating white and African pay in the mines widened from a ratio of 17.5:1 to 20.3:1" (p. 33). Hinty (1973) notes that numerous study projects have been "set up with the primary purpose of determining how economic links can be used to promote peaceful change" (p. 49). Inevitably the studies "reject or

distort the case of withdrawl and disengagement on the simple grounds that it is impractical (p. 49).

The other approach for social change, according to the World Council of Churches (1973), is withdrawal of the foreign investors from South Africa. This wis the only correct policy since foreign investments provide continuous support for apartheid (p. 35). If the South African political system faces a crisis, those countries with large investment will come to its aid—they might not agree with apartheid, but they have to protect their investments. If this does happen, the holocaust in southern Africa will create a global war (p. 35). The criticism of economic sanctions is directed at the unemployment situation that will result for the Africans (Horrell, et. al., 1975). Nobel Prize winner, Chief Albert Lutuli, accepted the challenges of such sanctions:

The economic boycott of South Africa will entail undoubted hardships for Africans. We do not doubt it. But it is a method which shortens the day of bloodshed; the suffering to us will be a price we are willing to pay. In any case, we suffer already . . (World Council of Churches, 1973, p. 35).

produced 31,094,466 troy ounces of gold out of the world production of 46,168,319 ounces. Since early 1968 South Africa has been maneuvering to sell their gold directly to the foreign banks, instead of selling it at a fixed price of \$35 per ounce "that is maintained internationally to keep the hegemony of the American dollar" (p. 50). Any

concessions to South Africa would have to come from the United States and despite the Republic's strong gold bargaining position, the price remained the same. The Economist of March, 1969 suggested that it was an ideal position for the United States to "blackmail South Africa over its apartheid policies" (Pomeroy, 1973, p. 50).

6. Apartheid and Sport:

Worrall (1972) claims that while international criticism of South African policies was spearheaded at the United Nations, disapproval of apartheid had not been limited to this organization. External criticism was expressed in a variety of proposals which ranged from simple expression of disapproval of apartheid to proposals for the armed invasion of South Africa" (p. 564). Proposals to change the South African apartheid policies led to the application of pressure tactics expressed athrough boycotts. The fields of economics and sport came under the heaviest fire. The economic boom, which South Africa experienced during the middle of the 1960's, together with its armament self-sufficiency, put an end to talk of military invasions and economic sanctions (p. 575). Scholtz (1974) indicates that economic boycotts had little or no effect upon South Africa:

Within a free capitalistic system large scope is available for economic manipulations, with the result that these trade boycotts had little effect; on the contrary, it increased the defensibility (weerbaarheid) of the South African economy in the long run (p. 18, tr.).

The pressure groups, according to Scholtz (1974), subsequently concentrated their efforts upon sport and it consequently became the plaything (speelbal) which got kicked around (p. 21, tr.). In an article in Die Beeld of January 18, 1970, Scholtz speculated on the association of sport and politics within the South African situation. Although a country might not use sport for ulterior political aims, the politics-sport tie is very real. Sport, as a product of a culture, is secondary to the laws and policies of a country and in the South African case, it is subordinate to the fundamental principles of the policy of apartheid. Since sport is practiced within the political structure of South Africa, it automatically comes under attack by those opposing apartheid. Both external and domestic pressure for political change are reflected with increasing effect in South African sport and sport relations.

worrall (1972) states that "Sport involves masses, and is therefore politically a highly sensitive area . . " (p. 580). A number of scholars of the South African racial situation recognize the importance of sport within the social structure of the Republic, as well as its vulnerability as a political tool (Lapchick, 1973, 1974) (Brutus, 1971) (Douw, 1974) (Thompson, 1964). Brutus (1971) notes that for some one unfamiliar with "the South African scene, it is not easy to grasp the extent to which sport dominates the thinking of most South Africans" (p. 151). The

most graphic demonstration of this is the quantity and frequency of sports issues appearing in the headlines of most daily newspapers—"disasters and international affairs elsewhere are mere trifles compared to a rugby victory or even anticipation of victory" (p. 151). As a country largely cut off from international cultural contact and subject to world-wide condemnation for its pelicies, "sport has become the great link, as well as the great means by which the national psyche can find compensation" (p. 151).

Lapchick (1973), through interviews with South African exiles living in England and through surveying the South African press, came under the impression that "sports approach the status of a national religion in South Africa" (p. 25). The frequency with which cabinet ministers and the prime minister discussed the sports policy in parliament, gives a further indication as to the importance of sport in the socio-political structure of South Africa. In fact, the London Times (September 19, 1969) Suggested that Vorster's international sports policy, which was considered to be too liberal by certain domestic sectors, was one of the major reasons for the prime minister's calling of a national election in 1970. Sport was allowed to become so important that South African became quite vulnerable to domestic and international pressure (Lapchick, 1973).

The <u>London Times</u> of Pebruary 17, 1968, remarked that sport has become the prime weapon of the outside world in its attempt to change apartheid. The <u>Sunday Times</u> (Hay 31,

1970) summarized the South African predicament as follows:

South Africa's critics have simply discovered that sport is the most useful weapon they have yet found with which to beat us and while it is the sportsmen who are the sacrificial victims - they are being ostracized and deprived of the right to participate in world sport - the main target of attack is the racial policy of South Africa, or, to put it more precisely, the racial policy of the Mationalist Party.

public attention to the vulnerability of sport and mexpressed the belief that resistance of the colour bar in sport was the most hopeful way of breaking down apartheid in other spheres (Thompson, 1964, p. 33).

hany South Africans supporting the political system at home doubted the wisdom of any form of compromise to ease the pressure. Louw (1974) admits that the present political situation demands practical considerations, but at the same time the pursued ideology of apartheid must not be forfeited to satisfy demands. If separate development is the ultimate objective, "then we dare not use integration as an interim practical consideration" (p. 135, tr.). This could conceivably lead to a loss of ideological direction and the surrender of South Africa's goal of separate development.

Die Transvaler, a leading Mationalist newspaper, expresses concern with the consequences of making such concessions:

It must be ascribed to one particular factor that the white race has hitherto maintained itself in the southern part of Africa. That is that there has been no miscegenation. The absence of miscegenation was because there was no social mixing between whites and non-whites. Social mixing leads inexorably to miscegenation. . . It is today the social aim of the Communist. . . In South Africa the races do not mix

on the sports fields. If they mix first on the sports field, then the road to other forms of social mixing is wide open. . With an eye to upholding the white race and its civilization not one single compromise can be entered into - not even when it comes to a visiting rugby team (Lapchick, 1973, p. 129).

Two opposing theories have been postulated as methods to bring about changes in the sports policy of South Africa. The "bridge-building" theory, according to Lapchick (1973), refers to a maintenance of international contact with South African sport which will in turn bring about changes in the sports policy. The rationale behind this theory is that South African athletes would be exposed to multi-racial societies abroad and, by being duly impressed, act as innovators of change at home. De Broglio rejects this theory as being ineffective and hopeless, since Prime Minister Vorster states firmly that "... we are not prepared to compromise, we are not prepared to negotiate and we are not prepared to make any concessions" (de Broglio, p. 34).

The second school of thought suggests that continuous sports contact with South Africa is a sign of condoning and supporting apartheid. The isolationist theory calls for the isolation of South Michael sport from international competition. Since sport is so important to the White population, their demands for international competition will force the Government to change its sports policy (Lapchick, 1973). De Broglio believes the suggestion that the non-Whites in South Africa would suffer as a result of such isolation practices, is invalid. The non-Whites, argues de

Broglio, "have been systematically boycotted [through apartheid] for all that time so cannot be any worse off" (p. 35).

Scholtz (1974) notes that the European liberal thought rubbed off on the Cape and the subsequent Cape Liberalism which evolved, made quite an impact on South African race relations during the nineteenth and first half of the twentieth centuries. Prior to 1900, during which time Cape Liberalism reached maturity, sport had been practiced separately by the whites and non-whites. "The non-white was seen as a political and social subordinate. It is logical to expect that social distance and separation would also occur in sport" (Scholtz, 7974, p. 7, tr.). Scholtz claims that it was the English-speaking population who took the initiative of settling and organizing sport in South Africa. They consequently also dictated the racial situation in sport and chose to organize clubs, provinces and national bodies on purely racial grounds. Some mixed sport did occur, but on the basis of benefit matches. Thompson (1964) agrees and mentions cases of mixed participation in tennis, cricket, soccer as well as track and field. No government regulations forced the practice of separation in sport prior to the 1948 government. It occurred, according to Scholtz (1974), as a result of "the regulation through customs and habits" (p. 7)1.

A certain amount of inter-racial competition also occured in international sport. Scholtz in an article for

Rapport (November 25, 1973), an Afrikaans weekly newspaper, notes that a military rugby team from New Zealand, which included five Maoris and one Indian (Bast), toured South Africa in 1919. According to witnesses, the team received great hospitality and no racial incidents occured. The first time the Government of South Africa intervened was in connection with the intended 1928 All Blacks (New Zealand national rugby team) tour. During this time Hertzog was in power and racial relations in South Africa became a serious political issue. Although there was no legal racial separation in sport at the time, the South African Government put pressure on New Zealand to leave the brilliant dark-skinned George Mepia at home. Those that could pass as Whites, however, were welcome. The 1949 All Black-team, after some governmental compromise, included some Maoris who passed as whites. It became obvious that this form of settlement would not be satisfactory in the long run and domestic pressure for government control of sport along racial lines increased in South Africa.

De Broglio claims that from 1946 onwards the non-white sports organizations in South Africa sporadically challenged racial separation in sport. (Scholtz (1974) notes that the affiliation of non-white sports organizations with their white counterparts, which enjoy international recognition, is true to the League of Nations idea of white guardianship over the non-whites of South Africa.) By 1955, with the help of the liberals in South Africa, "the virginal vulnerability

of sport to attack apartheid* became quite apparent

(Scholtz, 1974, p. 15, tr.). The London Times of June 1,

1955, published a letter by Patrick Duncan and Pather Trevof

Huddleston, two staunch South African liberals, in which

they insisted that any future participation of their country

at the Commonwealth Games should be subject to multi
racialism in the selection of the team. April of 1956 saw

the International Table Tennis Pederation expelling the

white frican organization, while giving international

record to the team of the team of the policy of the

This decision, which was taken by the world body in Tokyo, sent a shock wave through South African sport circles—the consequences of sport—politics produced considerable domestic reaction (Scholtz, no date). It was felt that "positive steps should be taken in an attempt to oppose those who desire full and exclusive international representation for non-European organizations" (Huddleston, 1957, p. 16). Dr. H.C. de Wet, a Hember of Parliament, commented that an illegal attempt had been made to bring about changes in the domestic policy of South Africa and although sport was a very important aspect of society, the traditional system of division was more important. The protection of sport in South Africa, suggested de Wet, was badly needed (Huddleston, 1957).

De Broglio (no date) indicates that this victory was a great encouragement for "non-whites in other sports to press

for justice and fair play (p. 2). The lack of unity between non-White sports organizations proved to be a major handicap in the quest for non-racialism in sport. De Broglio gives two reasons for this lack of unity. Pirstly, the different sports organizations, as products of the apartheid system, limited their memberships to either Indians or Coloureds or Africans. Secondly, the long distances between the main centres produced problems for the non-white bodies to get together.

Scholtz (Rapport, November 25, 1973) stresses the point that, domestic resistance to apartheid in sport was drastically increasing during the 1950's as international isolaton became a strong possibility. South African sports administrators were consequently under extreme pressure and the interpretation and implementation of sport within the racial policy of apartheid caused considerable uncertainty. On June 26, 1956, the Minister of Interior, Dr. T.E. Donges, announced that

towards and anxious to help "legitimate Non-European sporting activities", these must accord with the policy of separate development. Whites and Non-Whites should organize their sporting activities separately, there should not be inter-racial competitions within the Republic's borders, mixing of races in teams should be avoided and sportsmen of other lands should respect the country's customs, as she respected theirs. Within that framework, Non-White sportsmen from outside would not be debarred from entering South Africa to compete with Non-Whites (Draper, 1963, p. 6).

By 1962 the International Olympic Committee, under pressure from various sources, presented South Africa with

*

policy of racial discrimination before October 1963, she would be excluded from the 1964 Olympic Games. The Minister of Interior at the time Senator Jan de Klerk, indicated that he would rather see South Africa being boycotted in sports than giving an inch in the policy of the Mationalist Party. Any concession will mean the beginning of the end of the White civilization in South Africa (Scholtz in Rapport, December, 1973). In a press statement on Pebruary 4, 1963, Minister de Klerk reiterated government policy and threatened that if the policy was not respected, legislation might be introduced to enforce the following nine-point policy:

(1) In South Africa, Whites and Won-Whites must play sport separately. Whites and Won-Whites must not compete against one another, whether in individual eyents or as teams or part of teams.

(2) South African sportagen could compete outside the country's borders with sportsmen of different races

who are not South African.

(3) In sport outside the country, the Government would observe the customs of other countries. But teams and sportsmen visiting South Africa should observe this country's customs. In South Africa, White should compete against White and Won-White against Won-White.

(4) Participation in international sports tournaments or competitions of mixed teams as representatives of South Africa could not be a new representative of

South Africa could not be approved. . . . (5) Invitations to South African teams from neighbouring states to take part in competitions there in conflict with the custom in South Africa and which were obviously not international matches would not be regarded favourably.

(6) It was Government policy to help white and Mon-white sport associations as far as possible, but most certainly not where their purpose was to force the country to depart from the Government's policy.

(7) In administration and control Non-White associations could exist and develop alongside the corresponding thite associations. One or two members of the White executive committee of the chief organization could

attend meetings of the Won-White organization's executive connittee when properted. They could act as a link between the committees and inform the White connittee about the opinions of the Won-White connittee when matters of concern to the Won-White connittee were being dealt with.

- (8) If this method should appear impractical in a particular instance, one or more members of the Mon-White body could be co-opted or elected to serve on the White executive committee in an advisory capacity when matters affecting the Mon-White organization were discussed.
- (9) The White executive connittees could serve on a high level as co-ordinating bodies between the association and as representatives in the corresponding world organizations (Rand Daily Hail, Pebruary 4, 1963).

On May 9, 1953 the Liberal Party of South Africa was founded, projecting the ideals of recognition of personal dignity irrespective of race, color or creed, the maintainance of basic human rights, political rights, et cetera (Robertson, 1971). It was a matter of course that this organization should apply itself to the quest of multiracialism in sport (Scholtz, 1974). When the South African Sports Association (SASA) was formed in 1958 to coordinate the various pressure groups against apartheid in sport, several Liberal Party members pledged their support (Scholtz, 1974). Hr. Alan Paton, the ce-chairman of the Liberal Party and patron of SASA, stated at the inaugural conference in Durban in January 1959 that this body was formed

... to co-ordinate non-white sport, to advance the cause of sport and the standards of sport among non-white sportsmen, to see that they and their organizations secure proper recognition here and abroad, and to do this on a non-racial basis (de Broglio, no date, p. 3).

De Brogljo (no date) notes that this new co-ordinating body represented some 70,000 sportsmen in a variety of sports and its secretary, Dennis Brutus, travelled the country "preaching unity and non-racidlism and co-ordinating the fight for international recognition in the different sports" (p. 3). Attempts by the South African Sports Association to obtain international recognition proved futile and a change of mood became evident in the ranks of those supporting non-racial sport.

Whereas the aim of the South African Sports
Association had been international participation for
black sportsmen within the framework of segregation
in national sport, now a new mood was emerging. It
had become apparent that black South Africans could
not rely on the good offices of the white
arganisations for their international participation
(de Broglio, no date, p. 4).

In October 1962 this "new mood" found expression in the formation of another organization. The South African Non-Racial Olympic Committee (SAN-ROC), according to Scholtz (1974), was founded to contest the membership of the white-controlled South African Olympic Committee with the International Olympic Committee. Again several Liberals became members of the newforganization and again Alan Patom became the patron, while Dennis Brutus acted as secretary.

De Broglio (no date) states that SAN-ROC

inside South Africa and decided to wage all-out war against the racialist organizations, who were masquerading as South African national organizations in the sports forum of the world. SAWROC was to become a vital element incinternational sport scoring resounding victories against racialist sport, uniting with the emerging African nations in a common struggle for the elimination of racialism from all international sport (pp. 4-5).

SAN-ROC, as a South African based pressure group, had initial success but eventually was forced into exile. Both the organization of non-racial sport and the Liberal Party involuntarily became involved with subversive activities. Dennis Brutus maintains that the turn of events virtually ended SAN-ROC'S chance of obtaining international recognition as South Africa's official Olympic representative bedy (Lapchick, 1973). The Liberal Party, according to Scholtz (1974) a followed the route of other resistance movements in South Africa and was officially samed in 1967.

in December of 1961 a number of white liberals, without the knowledge of their party leaders, formed the African Resistance Hovement (ARH) whose objectives were to Winconvenience and confuse, disrupt and destroy" (Scholtz, 1974, p. 19). John Harris, who served as chairmen of SAH-ROC at the time and was a wellknown member of the Liberal Party, had "sentiments with the ARM" (Scholtz, 1974, p. 20, tr.). He expressed his sentiments by planting a bomb in the Johannesburg station on July 24, 1964, which claimed one life and wounded several bystanders. Harris was convicted of conspiracy and hanged an April 1, 51965. Fifteen year old Peter Hain, son of Harris close friends, delivered the eulogy under pressure from his parents--- the same young Hain was to appeare the leading figure from 1969 onwards to organize militant and hate inspired boycotts against South Africa's sport from London" (Scholtz, 1974, p. 20, tr.).

Brutus (1971) mentions that other members of SAN-ROC "were intimidated or hounded by the police so that some fled the country and others were forced to withdraw from activities" (p. 156). SAN-ROC had considerable impact within the field of sports politics and Brutus (1971) credits this organization with gaining the exclusion of South Africa from the 1964 Olympi cames. With the help of certain humanitarian groups in London, SAN-ROC once again became active in 1966. Lapchick (1973) mentions that the organization's name was changed in 1967 to SAN-R Open Committee and has operated ever since as an overseas pressure group.

Norrall (1972) suggests that in the 1960's, international sports bodies were applying tremendous pressure on South Africa to change its policies of racial discrimination in sport. In June 1962 international pressure against apartheld in sport took a critical turn for South Africa. The International Olympic Committee presented South Africa with the ultimatum that unless racial discrimination in sport was eliminated by October 1964; South Africa would be expelled from the Olympic Games. (Scholtz in Rapport, December 2, 1973). Horrell (1968) alleges that fifty days prior to the Tokyo Games, South Africa's sembership in the Olympic Games was terminated. In April 1966, during a secting of the IOC in Rome, South Africa's suspension was confirmed. Pollowing a three member fact-finding commission's report on the sport situation in South Africa,

the Republic was voted into the Mexico Games during a IOC meeting in Grenoble in Pebruary, 1968. However, two months later the IOC announced, after reversing its previous vote, that the South African invitation to the Olympics was withdrawn.

Lapchick (1973), in a research study which gives a detailed account of overseas pressure upon South Africa's sport, mentions a number of pressure groups contributing to South Africa's isolation in international sports competition. Some of those mentioned are: Campaign Against Race Distribution in Sport (CARDS in England during late 1950's); Citizens All-Blacks Tour Association (CARTS in New Zealand during later 1950's, 1960's); Citizens Alsociation for Racial Equality (CARE in New Zealand 1970's); Campaign Against Racialism in Sport (CARTS in Australia during late 1960's, 1970's); Stop The Seventy Tour (STST IN England, 1965, 1970).

Brutus (1971) affirms that by 1970 South Africa had been expelled or suspended from the following international sports bodies: track and field, angling, boxing, basketball, badminton, canoeing, fencing, gymnastics, judo, netball, pentathlon, soccer, tennis, table tennis, weight-lifting and wrestling. To add insult to injury, the IOC withdrew its recognition of the South African National Olympic Committee (SANOC) during a meeting in Amsterdam in May 1970. Of the sixty-six ballots cast, thirty-five were in favor of withdrawing recognition of South Africa's membership to the

IOC, twenty-eight were against the motion and three abstained (International Olympic Committee Minutes, May, 1970).

Lapchick (1974) taggests that since two-thirds of the IOC membership in May 1970 came from white nations, certain crucial factors must have provided the needed persusaion for certain members to change their position towards South

sports apartheid, with its home in Britian, made South Africal araditional allies less likely to support her due to threats to peace at home; South Africal refusal to allow Arthur Ashe, the black American tennis star, to compete in the South African Open at least temporarily cost South African Open at least temporarily cost South African the support of the United States; and . . . the African nations, now with the backing of other non-white nations as well as most of the Socialist Bloc, realized their own power to destroy the system of international sport as it is known and employed it to the fullest extent (pp. 16-17).

Scholtz (Rapport, December 2, 1973, tr.) declares that while the "narrow-minded sports statements" by the South African government in 1956, 1962, 1963 and 1965 were in accordance with the status quo, the 1967 statement deviated considerably from the established norm. One year prior to his assassination in September 1966, Dr. Verwoerd delivered wis Loskop Bam speech in which he once again clearly depicted the government's position towards mixed sport. The prime minister, according to Worrall (1972), ended all speculation about the possible inclusion of Maoris in the New Zealand rugby side for their proposed tour of South Africa in 1967, In no uncertain terms Dr. Verwoerd indicated

members of the All Blacks team. This caused the cancellation of the tour and Minister P.H.K. le Rour saw the rationale behind the decision in the following manner: "If you alter a principle, you might as well cast it overboard" (Scholtz in Rapport, December, 1973, tr.).

Hr. John Vorster, as the former Minister of Justice in the Verwoord cabinet, took over the reigns as Premier and it "was hoped that under his direction, a shift in accent would occur in sports politics (Scholtz in Rapport, December 2, 1973). On April 11, 1967 Prime Minister Vorster outlined his interpretation of separate development within the reals of sport in the House of Assembly (Hansard, 1967, cols. 3959-3976). The Premier based his interpretation on the distinction "between personal relations on the one hand and inter-state relations on the other (Hansard, 1967, col. 3964). Personal relation concurred with the government policy on sport whereby whites and non-whites gould continue to play their sport separately. The Prime Binister made it clear in his address that no mixed sport between white and won-white South Africans would take place. Inter-state relations, however, introduced the possibility of mixed participation in South Africa between white South Africans and non-whites from other countries, if the latter were representing their country.

indicated that "South Africa would have to comply with the

requirements that only one team from each country may participate, . . . under their country's flag (Horrell, 1968, p. 19). In regard to rugby and cricket Hr. Vorster stated that South Africa could not interfere with the composition of visiting teams. Worrall (1972) mentions that as a direct result New Zealand included two Maoris in their 1970 touring rugby side to South Africa. The following year a Prench rugby team included, a "Coloured" member.

During his parliamentarian address on his sports policy, Prime Minister Vorster mentioned that his interpretation was not

. . . the thin end of the wedge or a surrender of principles, or that it is a step in the direction of diverging from the basic principle, . . . because in respect to this principle we are not prepared to compromise, we are not prepared to negotiate and we are not prepared to make any concessions (Hansard, 1967, cols. 3960-3961).

Mr. Vorster concluded his address on a warning note:

matter [sport] to impair relations between countries, that sport must not be dragged into politics in order to achieve some purpose or other, and that it must not create difficulties for me at home (Hansard, 1967, col. 3968).

Mrs. Helen Suzman, a Progressive Party representative in Parliament, viewed the ecstatic press response following the reviewed sports policy with reservation. In her opinion everybody was just too willing and eager "to grasp at blades of straw" (Scholtz in <u>Rapport</u>, December 2, 1973; tr.). Mrs. Suzman expressed the conviction that the present sports policy was not the answer to continued international sport.

Mrs. Suzman's response to Mr. Vorster's demand that politicians should not interfere with South African sport, was that it was unrealistic and unreasonable since the government had already made sport a delicate political issue (Scholtz in Rapport, December 2, 1973).

Opposition members questioned the Prime Minister's interpretation of Nationalist Party policy. The opposition wanted clarity on how Mr. Vorster could justify his new policy in terms of the Loskop Dam statement by Dr. Verwoerd. Mr. J.D. du P. Basson, United Party Member of Parliament, accused the Premier and the Nationalist Party of using a "double standard" (Hansard, 1967, col. 3968).

Botha (1968), head of the Physical Education Department at the University of Pretoria, thought that the new sports policy "remained unswerving (koersvas) and consistent in principle" (p. 326, tr.) It must be clearly understood that the statement by the Premier does not implicate "a change of policy", but rather "a policy adaptation" (beleidsaanpassing) (p. 326, tr.). Botha indicates that the policy preserved the status quo in sport "except for international interstate meets . . " (p. 327, tr.). Despite the great importance of sport in the South African society, it must not bring about the undermining of the policy of separate development and the "unsettling of traditional relations between races" (p. 327, tr.).

South Africa's readmittance to the Olympic Games,

according to Botha (1968), was a direct result of the Prime Minister's sports policy statement. Furthermore,

The sports policy is realistically adapted to our present sports dilemma No Government prescription is to be read into this policy, but rather a bigger objectivity, which ought to be accepted more reasonably abroad as a result. If it does not get accepted there, South Africa can withdraw from international sport with the knowledge that international sport is committed to politics and not true sport (pp. \$27-328, tr.).

kane-Berman (1972) expresses the belief that "There can be little doubt that the threat of isolation is what led to the change in policy" (p. 8). Worrall (1972) agrees and indicates that the escalating overseas pressure in sport over the past fifteen years is reflected in the policy changes. In addition, "There is also the fact that attitudes in regard to inter-racial sport reflect the changes which are taking place in race relations in general" (p. 585).

Scholtz (Rapport, December 2, 1973) reports that

Vorster's sports policy left the Nationalist Party divided.

On the one side were those who supported it and felt that
this approach was necessary to adapt to a changing world.

Prectly opposed to this approach was the ultra-conservative
pressure group who believed that the new sports formulation
was a radical renouncement of Dr. Verwoerd's policy, and as
the principle of apartheid. Opposition within his own party
resulted in the Premier expelling Dr. Albert Hertzog from
his ministerial position on August 6, 1968. Scholtz claims
that Hertzog and his supporters misjudged the mood of the
Afrikaners, since the sports statement was extremely well

received by the public. On September 27, 1968 the Prime
Minister declared that "people with "Maori-blood"... will
be acceptable" as members of the proposed 1970 All Black
rugby team to South Africa (Scholtz in Rapport, December 2,
1973), tr.).

Mr. Vorster announced that a general election would be held in early 1970--"In 1970 South Africa held its first 'Maori'-election" (Scholtz in Rapport, December 2, 1973, tr.). The election proved the general support of the sports policy by the white public, while Dr. Hertzog and his newly formed Hersigte Nasionale Party (HWP) lost considerable face. The 1970 All Blacks toured South Africa with three Maoris and "a half-Samoan". "But the long and painful wrestling for equal rights of all races in South African sport, lies ahead. It will require large insight and tolerance. It is the challenge to us younger ones" (Scholzz in Rapport, December 2, 1973, tr.).

On April 22, 1971 the Prime Minister made public further pronuncements of the sports policy to complement the development and implementation of separate development (Smit, 1971). Smit (1971) indicates that these

. . . formulations do not mean that the Government is taking the sports administration over . . . It means only that general guide-lines, in concurrence with country policy, has been established within which sports administrators would be allowed to organize local and international affairs (p. 2552, tr.).

Smit (1971) feels that no sober thinking person could ute the government's methods, since it is the

responsibility of the Government to prevent friction and to preserve order also within the reals of sport.

The following includes a number of the quide-lines Mr. Vorster presented to Parliament:

South africa is a multi-national country with the various nations on their way to their own peculiar development (elescortige ontwikkeling). It is therefore desirable and good that the future development of sport keep pace with it (Hansard, 1971, cols. 5051-2, tr.). On club, provincial, and national level no mixed sport will take place in South Africa between the various population groups . . . (Hansard, 1971, col. 5056, tr.). Traditional sports relations which have been built up with countries with respect to rugby and cricket, have been build through white (blanke) sports bodies. This will continue and new relations which might present internal problems will not necessarily be developed (Hansard, 1971, col. 5052, tr.).

The non-White nations of South Africa vill receive full opportunity and support to the ras team sports are concerned, develop the own relations with other countries through their own sports bodies (Hansard, 1971, col. 5053, tr.). As far as Olympic sports and others of individual nature are concerned (like track and field (atletick), teamis and golf) graded (gegradeerde) representatives of the various population groups will be able to progress to the highest form of intermational competition. Such opportunities will also be created within South Africa at stated international tournaments (Hansard, 1971, col. 5054, tr.). 荡. Sport is important for the human, individually, nationally and internationally, but there are other interests that have to be considered more important than sports interests (Bansard, 1971) cols. 5050-1,

Kane-Berman (1972) claims that Vorster's sports policy does not deviate from the apartheid concept and was devised merely as a smoke-screen to get South Africa back into international sports competitions. This charge is based upon the government's claim that South Africa is a multi-

national, rather than multi-racial country.

The distinction is not werely terminological, but goes to the core of South African politics. "Multinationalism" involves the continuation of apartheid, for it sees South Africa as several "nations". The aim of this policy, as applied to sport, is to enable sport within the framework of apartheid, with each "nation" participating separately (p. 1).

South Africa, according to Kane-Berman (1972), is a multiracial country in which several races combine to form a single nation. On this basis South Africa should then be represented internationally as a single nation.

Draper (1963) remarks that there is a big distinction between law and policy—"Laws have to be observed and are enforced by courts. Compliance with policy is a matter of choice on the part of individual citizens and organizations (p. 5). This statement is substantiated by account case in the province of Matal in October 1963 whereby participants of a mixed soccer game, who were charged with the contravention of the Group Areas Act, were acquitted. The decision, which was subsequently upheld in the Supreme Court of Matal, was based upon the fact that "playing a game... would not involve "occupation"..." of an area as it is understood under the Group Areas Act (Draper, 1963, p. 4). The Court indicated that if the players who were "off limits" had used the club-house amenities, the decision might have been different.

In terms of the legality of mixed sport, Kane-Berman (1972) notes that "there is nothing illegal about racially mixed sports functions, provided the venue is private" (p.

3). Horrell and Horner (1974) claim that occassion a multiracial sports matches have been held over the years and under Proclamation R26 of 1965, as it pertains to the Group Areas Act and entertainment, they

spectators were specially invited and paid no admission fees, and that the event was held on private premises with the consent of the owner or lawful occupier, or on a public field that was reserved for the occasion. Permits would be needed if racially-disqualified persons were to be invited to use the club-house of a sporting club, or if liquor was to be served to Africans (p. 112).

This proclamation, according to Horrell and Horner (1974), was never serious to challenged until 1973. Early in 1973 the multi-racial Aurora Cricket Club was formed in Pietermaritzburg and elected an Indian captain and a white vice-captain. They applied for second league status in the local white league and undertook to comply in all respects with the existing law. Hembers of the team would not make use of club-houses and would bring their own refreshments, et cetera. Their application was provisionally accepted and the team proceded to take part in pre-season exhibition matches. The <u>Sunday Times</u> of Hay 27, 1973, in addition, reported that friendly soccer matches were taking place between white teams and teams consisting of Indian and Coloured members in Newcastle. The non-white athletes, however, did not-make use of the club-house facilities.

Horrell and Horner (1974) mention that the minister of Sport and Recreation, Dr. Piet Roornbof, ruled that mixed games had to be stopped. In this regard the Proclamation

R228 of October 5, 1973 was tabled and the State President declared that the provisions of the Group Areas Act

who is at any time present in or upon any land or premises in the controlled area or in a group area. for the purpose of attending any place of public entertainment or partaking of any refrachments as a customer at a place where refreshments are merved in a licenced restaurant . . . or as a member of or quest in any club . . . as if his presence constitued occupation of such land or premises (Horrell and Horner, 1974, p. 113).

Racially mixed competitions on international level took place in South Africa during the month of May, 1973. The president of the South African Mational and Olympic Games Association, Mr. M. Opperman, called the Games "an act of faith" and indicated that "We are not trying to prove or disprove anything, the intention is to give South African sportsmen a chance to compete at the highest level" (Cape Argus, March 22, 1973). Swamepoel and Dosthuizen (1973) state that 23 competitors and officials representing twenty-nine countries from abroad, participated in the thirty-one sports events of the Games. In addition, 993 South Africans of all races "competed with and against one another" (p. 11).

The Star (March 23, 1973) saude of that "The 'nultinational' South Africam Games which start in Fretoria
tonight are of profound political importance to South Africa
... Ultra right-winged groups, led by the Hersigte
Masionale Party (HMP), were bitterly opposed to the Games. A
motorcade, organized by the Verwoerd Action group, drove

through the streets of Pretoria to protest the staging of the multi-racial sports spectacle. On a hillside outside Pretoria the protest of the ultra right-winged element was white-washed in huge letters: Sport-verraad (Sports betrayal) (Rand Daily Hail, Barch 20, 1973).

The Natal Daily News of March 15, 1973 reported that SAN-ROC attempted to stop the large west German contingent from competing in the "Apartheid Games". SAN-ROC sent cables to both Chancellor Willy Brandt and est Germany's Olympic committee president, Mr. Willy Daume, in which they denounced West Germany's support of the Games. West German support was interpreted as a "direct insult to Africa after Munich and a show of political support to compensate South Africa for exclusion from the Memich Cames" (Matal Daily News., March 15, 1973). In addition, the American Committee on Africa in Washington be called for a large of the South African Games by those athletes who recented invitations (Rand Daily Mails March 15, 1973).

Dr. Koornhort Minister of Sport and Recreation,
believed the Games to have been ... one of the most
significant this country has evergaken to improve relations
across the colour line (Sunday Times, April 1, 1973). The

Eastern Province Herald, April 3, 1973, claimed that the
South African Games taught the country a very important
lesson: "There is now the knowledge, ... that the heavens
will not fall because South Africa, ... is prepared to
countenance mixed sport." The Pretoria News of Barch 23,

1973 saw it as unrealistic to blur ourselves that the Games are more than a step towards catching up with the rest of the world. However, by South African standards it was a very big step indeed.

Mr. Stan Wright, a black American and brack and field the literan of the United States Amateur Athletic Union, could his abhorrence of apartheid. He criticized the separation of whites and non-whites at the Games and declared that "This should not happen at sports meetings - it defeats the whole spirit of sport" (Sunday Express. April 8, 1973). The Sullay Express of April 11, 1973 reflected on the possible intention of the Games and posed the question of whether it was a

international majorting fold or a shouplece designed to hoodwink overseas people into believing that South Africa has changed sufficiently to warrant being accepted back into the International Olympic Committee.

A member of the Coloured Representative Council, Mr. Albia Pop, commented that the colti-national games were merely used as a ploy to year the South Africa back into world sport. Completely integrated sport, According to Mr. Pop, is necessary at the grass root level--sport in South Africa should be multi-racial mather than multi-national (Rand Daily Mail, April 23, 1973).

Horrell and Horner (1973) report that the non-racial sports bodies in South Africa refused to take part in the South African Games. They rejected the invitation to

marticipate in "open international" sports events on the grounds that they are "merely window-dressing exercises to deceive the outside world" (p. 367) . Kane-Berman (1972) aplains that for more than a decade African, Indian and Coloured sportsoen have been organized in two kinds of unions which ware strongly opposed to one another. They differ from one another in two respects. Firstly, the nonracial organizations are open to all four racial groups in South Africa . while the other organizations, in accordance ith Government policy, allow only Coloured members in the Coloured organization African in the African organization and Indians in the hization. Secondly, the nonracial unions unlike the other black unions refusit ffiliation with their white counterparts since that would amount to acceptance of subservient status

South affice between open international and ordinary international competitions. Although it is not really known how many countries are needed for a competition to qualify as an open international, the Davis Cup, the Olympic Games, are world cygling thempionship, at cetera, are considered to fall into this category. Rugby and cracket tours, however, are considered ordinary internationals. The significance of the distinction is that members of all the different official racial groups may participate in open internationals, while ordinary internationals will remain uni-racial.

Lapchick (1973) mentions that a number of Springbok cricketers (White cricket players representing South Africa) started to call for mixed sport per se in South Africa in 1970. Peter Pollock expressed himself on multi-racial sport as follows:

of sports opinion - which like mine, is not politically inspired - that echoes my sentiments... I'm sticking my meck out all the way. I feel that the Government over something to people who play sport in this country (Lapchick, 1973, p. 308).

In 1973 Ali Bacher, the Springbok cricket captain and supporter for Aulti-racial sport, announced his retirement from cricket due to the intrusion of politics into the game. (Horrell and Horner, 1974) Rugby officials who watched the multi-racial (Bulti-national) soccer tournament and boxing at the South African Games, told the Sunday Supress reporter that

What happened with soccer can now apply to rughy. I whites and Blacks can hawner each other in good chean spirit in the ring there is no reason why rughy players cannot scrum down with and against Blacks - and go on tour together as one South African team (Sunday Express, April 8, 1973).

In an address on October 14, 1974 in the House of Assembly, Dr. Koornhof stated that it was government policy to remove "discrimination on the grounds of race and colour in sport" (Comment and Obinion, October 18, 1974). In addition, Dr. Koornhof announced that a "Champion of Champions" tournament for soccer clubs of all four races groups will be scheduled, that non-white boxers will now be

"negotiations were presently under way for a new dispensation in cricket" (Comment and Opinion, October 18, 1974). Opposition MP's, according to Race Relations News, November 1974, professed complete bewilderment at the sports policy and also indicated that they were unimpressed. Dr. P. van Zyl Slabbert of the Progressive Party indicated that the policy was as clear as mud and that "it looks like a centipede out of step with itself". (p. 2).

Progressive Party HP, Rene de Williers responded in the following way:

parts of the apartheid structure that are blatantly discriminatory begins the better our chance of success. I fear the average White South African hasn't the foggiest idea of what real political change involves and, until it does, we won't get very far on our journey to reality. Discrimination is at the heart of our society. . . it won't go, or stank any chance of deing, until the public has been told far wore explicity just what is involved and, if necessary, dragooned into action. Wothing less than revolution in white thinking is what is called for (Race Relations November, 1974).

CHAPTER III

HETHODS AND PROCEDURES

This chapter describes the sample and sampling method used, the data collection procedure and the research instrument. In addition, Chapter III presents the operational definitions as well as the hypotheses for testing the statistical treatment to be used and the delimitations and limitations of the stude.

THE SAMPLE

The design of the study, together with the diterature review, began in the summer of 1973, while the data collection was completed in South Africa during the months of May and Stude 1974.

The two main inquirement variables in this study are tace and group affiliation—that is, an affiliation with sport as either an athlete, a politician or a sports administrator. Two racial groups were analyzed in this study. The white group comprised of those recognized as such by the South African law, while the black group included the remaining three racial (or national) groups. The latter groups are the Bantu, Cape Coloureds and Asiatics. The three affiliation groups were selected on the basis of their possible effect upon the future of South African sport and society at large. In the case of the athlete, his or her thoice to compute on a multi-racial basis

<u>ا</u> 😭

and their attitudes towards inter-racial sport per se; comb have a significant influence upon the course of action the sports administrators might pursue. By the same token, their collective positions could influence politicians into preserving or eviewing the current position of the South African government's sports policies.

In order for the athletes to qualify for the sample, they were to have taken part in at least one mixed (or inter-racial) sports competition, Due to the nature of South African sports policies, only international athletes were accepted. Pour sports in which mixed competitions have occurred were selected and athletes in these sports soccer, cricket, boxing and track and field) were chosen. Only applicably from these four sports comprised the athletes section of the sample. Sports administrators of previncial, national and international standing, together with preminent politicians, completed the sample. The latter affiliation group included high ranking politicians in the three major white parties, as well as leading black politicians.

Although some contact was established from Canada with a number of politic fans and sports administrators, most of the interviews with the individuals were arranged while the

Due to governmental concessions, all the four official racial groups were allowed to compete against one another at the Republic of South Africa Games in Earch, 1973. This was justified by giving each racial group national status. Athletes from a number of foreign countries participated, giving the Games an international flavor (Swanepoel and Oosthuizen, 1973) (van den Berghe, et. al., 1973).

governing bodies supplied the names and addresses of athletes of international standing, most of whom competed in the R. M.A. Games the previous summer. Both the Institute of Race Relations in Johannesburg and the Centre of Intergroup Studies in Cape Town provided a list of the names and addresses of politicians.

Politicians and sports administrators were interviewed personally and they were also asked to complete a written questionnaire. The athletes, on the other hand, were asked to respond to mailed questionnaires. Because of time and financial restrictions, personal contact with all three segments was impractical. Great care was taken to personally contact out number of whites and Blacks in all the categories, the sample. This objective was thwarted to a certain extent by difficulties experienced in locating the black politicians and sports administrators; (see Limitations). This resulted in slightly more white responses in the politician and sports administrator categories.

DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURE

A questionnaire (Appendix 3) was designed specifically for this study and was either presented in interview form, or mailed to the remaining respondents. In-depth interviews were conducted with politicians and sports administrators.

The majority of the selected politicians and sports

recorded. Some of the respondents, initially showed considerable reluctance, but with few exceptions proved cooperative in the long run. Interviews were usually arranged by telephone. In cases where bureaucratic procedures threatened to stifle the opportunity for an interview, direct approaches were employed, for example, contacting trespondent at home or arriving without previous appointment at his or her office. The investigator's status as a South african physical education student studying overseas, combined with their identification with the pressure put on the author by time limitations, often persuaded the reductant respondent to consent to an interview.

An oversampling procedure was used in this study to account for refusals of for people who could not be reached. In the case of the initial sample, fifteen names and addresses in each category were selected. This meant that fifteen black politicians, fifteen white politicians, fifteen black sports administrators and fifteen white administrators were selected. It was felt that ten respondents in each of the categories would be sufficient.

Under formal circumstances the South African parliament

Those politicians and sports administrators who turned the author down could usually not fit an interview of one to two hour duration into their busy schedules. On occassion the author could not adjust his own schedule to suit that of the intended respondent. It no time was the author turned down due to the study topic.

would have been in session during the planned data collection in seriod. A surface national election in April 1974, and subsequent by actions as late as June, delayed the 1974 parliamentary session until August of that year. In planning the data collection section of the study, it was assumed that all white politicians would be gathered in Cape Town during May and June, 1974. This consequent decentralization of the white politicians during this time required an increase in the estimated travel time. Often decisions had to be made based upon considerations of finances and time. These decisions at times had a bearing on who could or who could not be interviewed.

Approximately one-and-a-half to two hours were spent on each interview. All the interviews were recorded on tape after permission from the research was granted.

Approximately with miles were covered during the research period in South Africa. Circumstances and time allowed for twenty-two interviews with politicians, sixteem of whom were white and twenty-one interviews with sports administrators, thirteen of whom were white.

The interviews were conducted in and around a number of South African cities. In the South Africa north the research was centered around Johannesburg, Pretoria and the black residential area of Soweto as well as in Seshego, the capital of the Lebovan homeland. Cape Town was used in the south as headquarters, when numerous black residential areas around the Cape peninsula, were visited for interviews.

Although theme wisits were often unproductive in terms of direct results, the necessary information about employment locations was obtained. Various black political leaders and sports administrators were located in the Cape Town and Stellenbosch areas. In the east of South Africa the capital of the Transkaien homeland, Untata, was used as departure point. Further to the north-east the city of Durban served the same purpose.

Questionnaires were mailed to the athletes in the sample. Enclosed with the questionnaire was an explanatory note on the purpose of the study, a small form requiring some information, a number with thich were personal, an answer sheet, as well as a self-addressed envelope with the necessary posters to a South attan address (Appendices 1,2 and 4).

Prom sampling lists provided by sports governing bodies a group of 120 athletes were selected, thirty from each sport with half the number whites and the other half lacks. In preparing the sample list the sports governing bodies advised as that they could the language preferred by each of the athletes—they were number ways certain, however, as to which athletes were literate or illiterate. It was expected that a large sample would compensate for those variables (overmampling)

The majority of the Cape Coloured population resides around the bay, the "Cape Plats" and further inland in the vicinity of Stellenbosch.

The questionnaire-response procedure via the mail proved most unsatisfactory. Despite procedures that included a follow-up letter from Canada, only twenty-six athletes replied. This number was evenly divided between the two racial groups. Possible reasons for such a meagre response are discussed under the Limitations section which appearance in Chapter IX.

RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS

Because there were a number of subsamples in this study, the research instrument shows little congruency with questionnaire formats used in contemporary research on the South African society (warder Herme, et.al., 1974, Stone, 1973). Hany of these studies involve only one racial group as its sample, a majority of which are mite. In this study members of all four official racial groups, which incorporated individuals with widely ranging levels of education, were included.

In view of the distinct possibility that a discrepancy might exist in the educational levels of the sample and in view of obvious disadvantages associated with mailed questionnaires, a short and uncomplicated questionnaire was employed. The composition of the questionnaire was aimed at obtaining responses to controversial domestic social issues without unduly discouraging a response.

The questionnaire was prepared in both the official

languages of South Africa, namely, Afrikaans and English.

Although a mamber of other languages are spoken in South

Africa, it was expected that the two official languages—

which are taught in all the schools—would suffice. As for

the specific questions, the majority were not knowingly

related to any previously used research instrument.

Questions twenty—seven to thirty, however, were related to

statements by Cleaver (1968) as they pertain to black—white

stereotyping in the United States of America.

Basically the questionnaire was used as an instrument to determine the attitudes of the sample towards key concepts in the South African sports policies. The first of the five key concepts refers to the importance of sport to the respondents as compared to three other established institutions. The respondents were asked to rank politics, peligion, the economy and sport on a one to four scale of importance. In order to measure the importance of sport to the South African government as perceived by the respondents, under key concept two, four questions were used (0's 17, 23, 25, 31). These questions were all directed towards the issue at hand: are changes in sport perhaps threatening the status quo?

The third key concept pertained to the amount of support the sample showed for government involvement in

^{*} The questions were designed to fit into five key concepts or areas of major interest to the study.

sport, as well as their reaction to international sports boycotts against South Africa. The first part of this key concept was based upon a quote by the present Prime Minister of South Africa in which he indicated that sport and politics should be kept separately. Pour questions were used to determine whether the government actually follows this approach in practice or whether the government is actively involved in the political side of sport (0 s 1, 18, 19, 22). The attitudes of the respondents towards the organized isolation of South Africa from international sports companitions were obtained by questioning them on the instice of this method (0. 21).

The first part of key concept four is concerned with respondents perception of the other race in terms of inherent intelligence and physical provess. Questions twenty-seven to thirty were used to determine the attitudes of the respondents towards these traits which are often employed for group scarcetyping. The second part of this key concept deals with the examination of the attitudes of the respondents towards the possibility of inter-racial sport contributing to the improvement of racial relations in South Africa (0°s 1,3;5,6,14).

The last key concept has three inter-related parts.

These parts expose attitudes toward racial integration in sport and industry as possible means of causing major changes in the policy of apartheid, as well as attitudes toward the possibility of total social integration.

Attitudes concerning racial integration on sport were measured by the responses to questions dealing with political restriction in sport, the present development of government sports policy and the possible future development of sport (0's 1,4,14,22,26). Respondent attitudes about the role of industry in the apartheid structure were measured by their reactions to questions twelve and twenty-four. The questions deal with the individual's acceptance or nonacceptance of integration in industry, as well as his or her perception of present, conditions. Attitudes towards the possibility of racial integration at large were obtained through the responses to questions nine, ten, eleven, twelve and thirteen. The respondents were asked to react to the possibility of racial integration in some of the major social institutions in South Africa. Included were the institutions of education, religion, industry and matrimony

In addition to responding to the written questionnaire, some members of the sample were interviewed personally by the author. The questionnaire was comprised of many openended questions, closely related to the written questionnaire. The rationale for interviewing the politicians and sports administrators was based on the fact that they were in the upper echelon of decision-making in sports-politics.

DEPINITION OF TERMS

For the purpose of clarity, certain terms are defined

in this section to comply with the understanding of this study. In the process operational, lexical and theoretical definitions were used.

Acclimatization: This term is used in this study to describe the process of becoming accustomed to a new social environment.

Analgamation: "The biological process of two or more racial or subracial stocks interprecating or intermarrying; so that originally distinct groups fuse into new racial stocks; (Theodorson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 10).

Apartheid: It is the Afrikaans word meaning 'separateness' and is synonymous to 'separate development'. In practice this term indicates a separation of the different racial groups within the South African society, an uneven distribution of political power and economical wealth between Whites and Blacks and social discrimination, along racial lines. Within this political structure the white numerical minority holds the position of supremacy and domination over the black populace (Randall, 1970).

Within the structure of apartheid a relatively recent concept of 'petty apartheid' has been added. This concept refers to the separation of races—either through separate facilities or physical separation within the same facility—at libraries, museums, opera and movie houses, seating facilities at sports events, public toilets, parks, etc. (Horrell, and Horner, 1974).

Asians: (see under Race) .

Assimilation: "The complete merging of groups of individuals

with separate cultures and identifications into one group with a common culture and identify (Theoderson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 17). Assimilation does not require a biological fusion, but analgamation often occurs during this process.

Attitude: In this study it indicates "Seatled behaviour or manner of acting, as representative of feeling or opinion" (Onions, 1968, p. 120).

Bantu: (see under Race).

Bantustans: These homelands of the Bantu, within the borders of South Africa, are part and parcel of the government's policy of racial or 'national' self-determination. Here, according to the government policy, the Bantu will eventually govern autonomously, develop their own industry and economy and prosper within the South African Commonwealth--ideally a situation of interdependence and reciprocal benefit (La Guma, 1971). These nine Bantustans, which consist of approximately thirteen percent of the total land surface of South Africa, are scattered over a large area.

Black: (see under Race).

Caste: It refers to man endogamous and hierarchized group in which one is born and out of which one cannot movem (van den Berghe, 1967, p. 10).

Change Agent: "Change agent refers to the helper, the person or group who is attempting to effect change" (Bennis, 1962, p. 5). In this study sport is looked upon as the "helper" to "effect change". Some scholars prefer the term change agency

Coloured: (see under Race) .

Cross-celtural social institution: This combination of terms affers to as institution with which all or most of the different cultures within a plural society identifies.

Cultural gap: A separation created by a lack of understanding, communication and accomodation between the different cultures within the same society.

Discrimination: "Discrimination comes about only when we desy to individuals or groups of people equality of treatment which they may wish" (Allport, 1958, p. 50).

Theodorson (1970) states that this unequal treatment of individuals or groups is based upon some attribute, usually categorically, such as "racial, ethnic, religious, or social-class membership", (p. 115).

Ethnic group;

A group with a common cultural tradition and a sense of identity which consists as a subgroup of larger society. The members of an ethnic group differs with regard to certain cultural characteristics from other members of the society—religion, language and customs (Theodorson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 135).

Ethnocentrism: The "bolief in the unique value and rightness" of one's own group" has been labelled ethnocentrism (Simpson and Tinger, 1972, p. 106). It is the fundamental attitude underlying the use of prejddice as a group weapon.

Integration: (see under Social integration).

Minority

We may define a minority group as a group of people whom, because of their physical or cultural

characteristics, are singled out form others in the society in which they live for differential and unequal treatment, and who therefore regard themselves as objects of discrimination. The existence of a minority in a society implies the existence of a corresponding dominant group enjoying higher social status and greater priviledges inhorities are not to be judged in terms of numbers. The people whom we require as a simpority may actually, from a numerical standpoint, be a majority actually, are an unmistakable minority in the sense that they are socially, politically and economically subordinate (wirth, 1965, pp. 309-310).

<u>Hations</u> "A distinct race of people, characterized by common descent, language and history, organized as a separate political state and occupying a definite, territory" (The Shorter Oxford English Dictionary, 1968, p. 1311).

The South African government recognizes four racial groups within its jurisdiction which have subdivided into thirteen nations. The white racial group, despite a variety of ethnic origins, is confidered a nation. The same situation holds true for the Asians and the Coloureds. However, within the ranks of the Bantu peoples—which constitutes 15,057,952 of the total 21,446,841 population—ten different nations are recognized by the government: Zulu (4,026,058), Khosa (3,930,087), Tswana (1,719,367), Forth Sotho (1,600,000). South Sotho (1,420,000), Shangaam (731,000), Venda (375,919), South Edebele (230,000), North Edebele (180,000) and Other Bantu (314,000).

Pre-indicy: It refers to "In emetional right whitade (a

It is, therefore, unjustified to state that 3,750,000 Whites face a solid block of about 15,000,000 Bantu. Actually, the Whites are the second strongest group among the numerous groups forming the population of the Republic of South Africa (State of South Africa Yearbook, 1974, p. 152).

predisposition to respond to a certain stimules in a certain way) towards a group of people" (Simpson and Tinger, 1972,

Pover:

The ability of an individual or group to carry out its wishes or policies, and to control, manipulate, or influence the behaviour of others, whether they wish to cooperate or not. The agent who possesses power has resources to force his will on others (Theodorson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 307).

Pluralism:

That condition in which there is formal diversity in the basis systems of compulsory institutions. The dominant social section that controls the appearatus of power and force, and this is the basis of the status hierarchies that characterizes, pluralism (Smith, 1965, pp. 82,86).

Race:

An anthropological classification dividing mankind into several divisions and subdivisions. The criteria for labelling the various races are based essentially on physical characteristics of size, the shape of the head, eyes, ears, lips and nose, and the color skin and eyes (Theodorson and Theodorson, 1970, p. 135).

In South Africa this definition is given a social base. Pour races are recognized by the present government: Whites, Coloureds, Asians and the Bantu. The latter three races suffer the same social and political disenfranchisement and they are classifying themselves into the category of Blacks. The government is still referring to these three races collectively as non-Whites. In this study two terms will be used as references to the races in South Africa: Whites and Blacks.

Social change: "Social change is the process by which chalteration occurs in the structure and function of a social

system" (Regers, 1971, p. 7) . .

Social institution: A social institution develops as an interrelated system to satisfy an important need in society. Commonly accepted social institutions are religion, economy, politics, education, recreation/sport and the family.

Social integration: This term refers to symbiotic interdependence and equal access to society of all peoples in the same society. It differs from analyzmation in that biological mixing is not necessary. Furthermore, it differs from assimilation in that cultural identity does not necessarily have to be erased.

Sport: In this study the term sport refers to organized games played on a competitive basis.

Stereotyping: It refers to the categorization of individuals or groups by means of biased, exaggerated, oversimplified generalizations in the tradition of prejudice. Stereotyping, however, could be applied unfavorably as well as favorably (Simpson and Yinger, 1972).

HYPOTHESES

The word hypothesis refers to "a provisional supposition which . . . serves as a starting point for further investigation by which it may be proved or disproved" (Onions, 1968, p. 946). In this study the hypotheses will be treated accordingly. The review of theory and research summarized above, allowed for the development of a number of research hypotheses. The hypotheses will be

empirically tested as segments of the key concepts. All the hypotheses are directly related to the independent variables of race and group affiliation (athletes, politicians and sports administrators)

Hypotheses Concerning the Relative Importance of Sport

A number of scholars have indicated through their writings that sport is major importance to South Africans (Thompson, 1964) (Brutus, 1971) (Lapchick, 1973, 1974) (Scholtz, 1974). This may be true but little, if any, empirical research data is available to support these claims. Perhaps by comparing the popularity of the institution of sport to other important institutions in the South African society, the relative importance, or indeed unimportance, of sport could be measured.

1. The institution of sport is of greater consequence to members of sample than other important social institutions.

b. To the government:

Through the great variety of foreign and domestic newspaper reports, as documented in the writings of Lapchick (1973), as well as through the writings of scholars such as Scholtz (1974), Brutus (1971) de Broglio (no date) and Worrall (1972), one becomes award of the sensitivity of the South African government as it pertains to political pressures through the medium of sport. Recent concessions

made by the government in South African sport supports this point. Are South Africans aware of the importance of sport to their government and is there a significant diversity in awareness along racial lines?

2. Black respondents are more aware of the importance of sport to the government than white respondents.

Hypothesis Concerning Government Involvement in Sport

The unequal relationship between the privileges white South Africans enjoy and the relative absence of privileges for the black sector of the population have been extensively documented (van den Berge, 1965, 1967, 1969, 1970) (Kuper, 1969) (La Guma, 1971) (Kinloch, 1974). The government, through the means of legislation, is actively involved in preserving the status quo and consequently white dominance with its accompanying privileges. The involvement of government in all social spheres through the policy of separate development, is accepted by the white populace at large as normal the only means for peaceful co-existence (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959).

3. White respondents support government involvement in sport more/than their black counterparts.

Hypothesis Concerning International Sports Boycotts

Sports boycotts have and are being utilized as a means to pressure the South African government into extending civil liberties to the black people of the country, (Brutus,

1971) (Lapchick, 1973, 1974).

international sports boycotts than the black respondents.

Hypotheses Concerning acial Stereotyping

dominant group at mations at a . . . justification device for categorical acceptance or rejection of a group, and as . . a device to maintain simplicity in perception and in thinking (Allport, 1958, p. 188). Cleaver (1968) accuses the American sociéty of stereotypes based on the color of a person's skin-do these stereotypes hold true for the South African society?

5. Both racial groups in the sample assume that white South Africans have greater inherent intelligence than black South Africans.

South Africans have greater inherent physical provess than white South Africans.

Hypothesis Concerning Sport and Racial Relations

Scholars such as Thompson (1964), Edwards (1974), Boch (1972), Tetman and Bitzen (1974) have argued both for the integrative functions of sport as well as for the discriminatory opportunities sport presents along racial lines. Which approach does the South African politicians,

sports administrators and athletes prescribe to?

7. Athletic and sports administrative respondents are more convinced of the positive influences of interracial sport upon selations than the politicisms in the sample.

Hypotheses Concerning Racial Integration

Disenfranchised peoples the world over aspire to obtain their liberty and equal access to the societies they live in (Simpson and Finger, 1972) (Handall, 1970) (van den Berge 1967). The practice of separate development does not provide the black South African with civil rights (Schlenner, 1970) (La Guma, 1971) (Pomeroy, 1973). Could racial integration in the institution of sport come about and what effects would it have on other social institutions—will South Africans accept such a situation?

- 8. Black respondents accept racially integrated sport
- 9. Blacks in the sample accept racial integration in industry more readily than do the Whites in the sample.
- 10. Black respondents favor total social integration.

 nore than do the white respondents.

STATISTICAL TREATMENT

In this study both nominal and ordinal level data were collected by means of a questionnaire. Standard statistical

procedures were consequently application as means to analyse the data. Parametric statistics, which were originally demigned to test interval level data but subsequently proved to as valid for testing nominal and ordinal level data, were used in this study (Anderson, 1970) (Medical 1970). A number of advantages associated with parametric statistics, principly in assessing interacting effects, justify their use in situations where nominal level data is used. As a statistical measurement it has proven more powerful than non-parametric statistics.

Pisher's P-test was a statistic used in this grady and was used in a two-way analysis of wariance. Certain key concepts were analyzed by means of this statistical test. This was accomplished by totalling the scores of a number of answers within a particular category to dreate an index.

Pearson product moment correlation coefficients were used to examine the relationships among questionnaire variables which yielded interval level data. Several social science methodologists argue that the Pearson correlation coefficients may be used even if data satisfy only the assumptions of ordinal level measurement (Nie, et.al., 1975).

In this study the hypotheses have been stated in a specific direction rather than in the typical form.

PARTEC METERS

- racidl groups (national two foll efficielly recognized to two, in sucremendance with professional talk classification of Willes and non-Whites, In this study the West Blacks is substituted for non-Whites. Whites refer to all white South Africans, while Blacks include the Bante, Asiatons and Cape Colourads.
- 2. Three different affiliation groups were chosen for the suspin schietes, politicisms and sports administrations
- 3. Sports in which both races have reached international standards and in which they have shown comparable levels of performance were selected. All the athletes is the selected sample fed competed internationally.
- The sports administrators who have represented their province or their country in this capabity, were selected as respondents. Politicians of highest importance mainisters, Weabers of serliament, party leaders, Shweland leaders, and so forth) were selected. In both politics and the administrative portion of sport, only those individuals closest to, if not park of, the decision-taking apparatus were considered.
- 5. Names and addresses of respondents in the politician category were obtained from the South African

Institute of Race Relations and the Centre of Intergroup Studies, while the various sports governing bodies in turn supplied the names of sports administrators and athletes. Respondents in the latter category were randomly selected, while availability, time and finances proved to be delimiting factors in the selection of the sports administrators and politicians.

- 6. The availability of time and finances furthermore delimited the interviewing process as it pertained to the politicians and sports administrators. The athletes in turn were questioned by means of a mailed questionnaire.
- 7. The sample numbers were delimited to eighty athletes, twenty politicians and twenty sports administrators, with half the number of each group being Whites and the other half Blacks.
- 8. Communication in the questionnaire was restricted to the two official languages of South Africa.

LIMITATIONS

1. The unavailability of black politicians and sports administrators proved to be a handicap in obtaining approximate numerical equality along racial lines. While white politicians were located in the major metropolitan centres and relatively easy to reach at their homes or offices, black politicians were not as readily available. A number of the black leaders

communication a problem. Other black politicians and sports administrators are black township dwellers where night-time visits by Whites are curtailed by law. Some of the black politicians and a number of the black sports administrators worked in rigidly controlled job situations and thus were not allowed time to be interviewed during the week.

- 2. Another sampling problem contributed to the low response rate in the athlete category. Mailing a questionnaire, accompanied by an explanatory note, as compared to the author completing the questionnaire during separate interviews, proved quite ineffective. A number of circumstances—such as a lack of time for interviewing each athlete and night-time restrictions in black townships—left this procedure as the only alternative.
- 3. Another possible limiting factor in the response rate of the athlete category has to do with the nature of the study. The involvement of government in sport necessitated the inclusion of certain socio-political questions in the questionnaire. Since the South African government has not accepted negative criticism of its policies graciously and since the return address on the mailed envelope was in South Africa, some would-be respondents could have been discouraged form becoming involved in the study.

- 4. An additional limiting factor could be that many of the originally selected sample might have been indifferent to and/or satisfied with the status quo; thus refusing to participate.
- 5. This study could have been hindered by a lack of educational skills of a sector of the athlete sample. We methods were available to the author to measure educational levels prior to the actual data collection. Although oversampling was used as a means to compensate for this problem, illiteracy and/or language problems might have been major deterents for obtaining a satisfactory response to the mailed questionnaires.

CHAPTER IV NALYSIS OF THE DATA

chapter. Bach of these headings, referred to as key concepts, indicate an area under investigation in the study. Comments about each key concept will be made under the headings of Results and Discussion. The results section presents the statistical data as well as the corresponding graphs. The discussion section presents qualitative data from the personal interview session to illustrate typical viewpoints of opinion leaders in politics and sport. In addition, an attempt has been made to use the qualitative data to explicate the discussion of research findings, relative to previous writings and the various theoretical concepts.

Key concept 1: The importance of sport to the individual in

South Africa

Results:

This key concept was aimed at measuring the comparative status of sport relative to other important social institutions in South Africa. In order to obtain this information, the sample was asked to rank the four social institutions of sport, economy, politics and religion in terms of which was important to them on a one to four scale. Of the total sample of sixty-nine less than fifty

respondends completed this question.

Contigency tables were used to analyze the subsequent data. Two sets of tabulations were used for this purpose. The one set of tabulations involved collapsing the first and second options as well as the third and forth selections together (tables 2-6). The other set of tabulations simply presents the frequency distribution of the actual responses (tables 7-10). In both cases the analyses were based upon the independent variables of race and group affiliation.

There are two reasons for collapsing the choices in the first set of tabulations. The first reason was to reduce them into two categories: institutional importance versus institutional unimportance. The second reason is related to expected behaviour and social norms. In South Africa, due to the predominance of Calvinism in the religious life of the country, religion is accepted by many as the most important influence in the individual's life. Although the individual might answer this way on paper, it might not necessarily reflect his or her true sentiments. Instead it could be interpreted as a loyalty to prevailing social norms.

It was predicted that sport would prove to be of

The required information for this key concept was on an additional sheet of paper which was attached to the main questionnarie. Some optional questions, such as name, age and religion were also included on this sheet (appendix 3). The possibility exists that some of the respondents assumed that their responses to this key concept were also optional, with the result that some did not answer the question.

greater importance to the sample than any of the other three prominent social institutions. In addition it was expected that racial origin would not play a significant role in the importance South Africans attach to sport.

Table 2° indicates that sport was considered of greatest personal importance by the highest percentage of repondents. The economy and religion were second and third respectively, while politics was considered substantially less important. By the same token, results of third and fourth choices reveal that only a small percentage of the sample saw sport as being least important (18.6%), while religion, economy and politics followed in order of preference.

In the three categories of sport, economy and religion, a higher percentage of respondents believed them to be of personal importance (first and second options), as compared to lacking in importance (third and fourth selections). With sport the 65.2 to 34.8 percent ratio is significant at the .001 level for group affiliation (table 3b). Trends were evident in both economics and religion, at .08 and .09 levels respectively, that the importance the respondents attach to them might be reflected in the larger population of athletes, politicians and sports administrators (economics 56.5 to 43.5 percent and religion 58.1 to 41.9

^{*} Table 2 presents a condensed form of tables 3 to 6, minus, the independent variables of race and group affiliation.

percent). In the category of politics, however, 72.7 percent of the respondents assumed it to be of little personal importance, while 27.3 percent considered politics important (table 6). This ratio is significant at the .001 level for group afflication.

one hundred percent of the politicians saw sport as being unimportant to them personally (.001 level), while 87.0 percent of the athletes and 78.6 percent of the sports administrators believed politics to be of little personal importance (.001 level) (tables 3b and 5b).

Although the results are not statistically significant, the expected congruency between black and white responses in the sample is noticable. Of the total number choosing sport, as the most important institution, 65 percent were Whites, while 65.4 percent were Blacks. In consolidated form the data suggests further congruence in the opinion of Whites and Blacks on the three other institutions. In the economy category 60.0 percent of the total number of Blacks responding to this category, chose economy to be of major importance while 58.8 percent of the Whites responded in a similar fashion. Corresponding relationships between black and white responses ware recorded in the politics and eligion catergories (tables 3-6).

Looking at this question from a different perspective, athletes and the sports administors were supportive of money as an extitution, 65.4 and 57.1 percent

Table 1

Breakdown of sample according to race and group affiliation

ב		Whites	Blacks	1
tío	Athletes	13	13	26
iat	Politicians	16	6	22
ffil	Sports Ad- ministrators	13	8	21
Α.		42	27	69.

Table 2

Rank order of four major social institutions based on personal preferance

Count Row Pct.	Sport	Fooner:	1		
·	 	Economy	Politics	Religion	1
First	13	7 14.9	6	, 21	47
Choice	27.7		12.8	44.7	100
Second	17	19	6	8.7	46
Choice	37.0	41.3	13.0		100
Total	30	26	12	25	93
	32.3	27.9	12.9	26.9	100
Col. Pct.	65.2	56.5	27.3	58.1	
Third	6	15	13	9 / 20.9	43
Choice	14.0	34.9	30.2		100
Forth	10	5	19	9	43
Choice	23.3	11.6	44.2	20, 9	100
Total	16 18.6	20	32 37.2	18 20.9	86 100
Col. Pct.	34.8	43.5	72.7	41.9	•

Table 3 a

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of sport, controlling for race

Count		•	• •
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
1st & 2nd choices	17	13	30 65.2
	65.4	65.0	1:
3rd & 4th choices	9	.7	16 34.8
	34.6	35.0	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	26	20	46

Raw chi sq.: ,0.081 D.F.:1. Sign.: 0.776

· Table 3b

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of sport, controlling for group affiliation

Count			$T = \mathcal{L}$	Row
Col. Pct.	Athls.	Poltes.	Spt. Adms.	total
lst & 2nd choices	20	0	10 .	30 65.2
	76.9		71.4	00.2
3rd & 4th choices	6	. 6	4	16 34.8
	23.1	100 _. i	28.6	00
	26-	6	14	. 46

Raw chi sq.: 13.059 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.0015

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of religion, controlling for race

Count		المستمور	
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
lst & 2nd choices	15/	10	25 58.1
	57.7	58.8	7.
3rd & 4th choices	11	.7	18 41.9
	42.3	41.2	71.5
	26	17	43

Raw chi sq.: 0.059 D.F.: 1 Sign.: 0.808

Table 4b

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of religion, controlling for group affiliation

Count	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , , ,	Row
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	total
lst & 2nd choices	12 52.1	- 6 100.0	7 50.0	25 58.1
3rd & 4th choices	11 47.8	0	7 50.0	18 41.9
•	23	6	14	43

Raw chi sq.: 5.037 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.081

Table 5a

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of economy, controlling for race

Count			•
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
lst & 2nd- choices	14	12	26 56.5
·	53.8	60.0	30.3
3rd & 4th choices	12	8	20 43 _* 5
	46.2	40.0	
	26	20	46

Raw chi sq.: 0.014 D.F.: 1 Sign.: 0.907-

Table 5b

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of economy, controlling for group affiliation

Count	1)	Ŕow
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	total
lst % 2nd choices	17	1	1 8	26 56.5
	_e 65.4	16.7	57.1	
3rd & 4th choices	\$	5	∠ 6	20 43.5
	34.6	,83.3	42.9	73.3
,	26	6	14	46

Raw chi sq.: 4.711 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.095

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of politics, controlling for bace

.Count			٠ م
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Www total
lst & 2nd choices	1.7	5	12 27 3
	, 25.9	29.4	~
3rd & 4th choices	20	12	32 72.7
	74.1	70,6	~
i	27	17	#4

Raw chi sq.: 0.009 D.F.: 1 Sign.:0.925

.Table 6b

Consolidated responses concerning the comparative importance of politics, controlling for group affiliation

Count Col. Pct. A	thlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	Row total
lst & 2nd choices	3	85.7	3	12 27.3
3rd & 4th choices	20	1	11	32 72.7
	87.0	1,4.3	78.6	

Raw chi sq.: 14.642 D.F.: 7 Sign.: 0.0007

Responses to the fine most important institution, controlling for race

Count		•	
Col. Pct.	White	Blacks	Row total
Sport	A A	5	13
,	28%	25.0	27.7
Economy	3	4	7
,	11/2	20.0	14.9
Politics	*	4	15.8
		20.0	~~~
Religion	1Å	7	21
8	51/2	35.0	
, , , ,	27	20	47
Raw chi so	~ ~ ^ / A	4,	3 Sign.: 0.414
, j., j., j., j., j., j., j., j., j., j.	My ~ 1	e ip	

Responses to the firm host important institution, controlling for group affiliation

•		•		D ===
Count Col. Pct.	Athy	Apltcs.	Spts. Adms.	Row total
Sport	1 0	0	3	13 27.7
	3 4/2	h ~	23.1	
Economy	* 3	0	4	,7 14.9
1	11/2		28.6	1.4.5
Politics ,	- ×	1	3	6
	1/2	14.3	21.4	12.8
Religion	1,4	6	4.	21
	43/3	85.7	28.6	44.7
	2 %	7	. 14	47
Raw chi sq	. W\ -	p.F.: 6	Sign.: 0.08	36

Responses to the second most important institution controlling for race

Count Col. Pct.	l merce.	1 1	. 0
	Whites	Blacks	Row total
Sport	9	8	17
	34.6	40.0	37.0
Economy	11	. 8	19 .
	42.3	40.0	41.3
Politics	5	1 (0)	, 6
	19.2	5.0	13.0
Religion	1	,3	4
	3.8	1,5.4	8.7
, , ,	26	2.0	46

Raw chi sq.: 3.476 D.F.: 3 Sign.: 0.32

Responses to the second most important institution, controlling for group affiliation

Count	*	•	*	
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltes.	Spts. Adms.	Row total
Sport	10	0	7	17
	38.5		50. đ	37.0
Economy	14	1	ц	19
• .	53.8	16.7	28.6	41.3
Politics	1	5	0	6
	3.8	83.3	<i>-₩</i>	13.0
Religion	1	0	3 .	4
**************************************	3.8		21.4	8.7
	. 26	6	14	46

Raw chi sq.: 35.374 D.F.: 6 Sign.: 0.000

Table 9a

Responses to the third most important institution, controlling for race

Count		*	•
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total®
Sport	3	3	/6]4.0
·	11.5	17.6	
Lectiony	10	5	15 34.9
	38.5	29.4	
Politics	8	, 5	13 30.2
'	30 .8	29.4	
Religion	5	14	9 20.9
	19.2	23.5	
	26	17	43 9

Raw chi sq.: 0.613 D.F.: 3 Sign.: 0.893
Table 9b

Responses to the third most important institution, controlling for group affiliation

Count	ı		7.	Row
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltes.	Spts. Adms.,	total
Sport	2	0	<u>. </u>	<u>.</u> 6
ย	8.7		28.6	14.0
Leonomy	. 7	5 ,	3	15 34.9
	30.4	83.3	21.4	34.3
Politics	8	1	ц,	- 19 -
	34.8	16.7	28.6	30.2
Keligion	£	. 0	3	9 .
	26.1		21.4	20.9
	23.;	; 6	14 ,	4 3:

Raw chi sq.: 10.417 D.F.: 6 Sign.: 0.108

Responses to the forth most important institution, controlling for race

Count		ø	
Col. Pet.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
Sport	14	6	10 23.3
•	23.1	23.5	
Economy	2	3	5 11.6
4 46.	7.7	17.6	
Politics	12	7	19 44.2
	40.2	41.2	
Religion	6	3	9
	23.1	17.6	70 .9
,	26	7	14 3

Raw chi sq.: 1.079 D.F.: 3 Sign.: 0.782

Table 10b

Responses to the forth most important institution, controlling for group affiliation

Count Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltes.	Spts. Adms.	Row total
Sport	Ц	. 6	0	10 23.3
	17.4	100.0		
Economy	2	0	3	5 11.6
·.	8.7	· · ·	21.4	11.0
Politics	12	. 0	7.	19
	52.2		50.0	44.2
Religion	5	, O	įt	9. 20.9
0	21.7		28.6	20.5
	23	6	14	43

Raw chi sq.: 25.560 D.F.: 6 Sign.: 0.0003

respectively, while only 16.7 percent of the politicians were concerned with this position (table 4b). While approximately 50.0 percent of the athletes and the sports administrators indicated that religion was of foremost importance, 100 percent of the politicians stated that religion was of utmost importance. (table 6b).

Although sport was portrayed as being the most important institution in the consolidated tables-it proved to be in second position in the ranking of both first and. second most important institutions. Religion received 44.7 percent of the responses for the most important institution, followed by sport with 27.7 percent and economy and politics at 14.9 and 12.8 percent respectively /(table 7) . As second most important instution, sport was close behind the economy--41.3 to 37.0 percent (table 8). Interesting to note here is that in this category, religion was considered even less important that politics by 8.7 to 13.0 percent. Statistics indicate that it is very likely that this ratio also occurs in the population that the affiliaion group section of the sample respresents (.001 level). The results in consolidated form support the stated hypothesis that sport is of greater consequence to the sample. Discussion:

A number of social scientists have convincingly pointed out the importance of sport in the South African society and its consequent importance as a political tool (Lapchick, 1973 and 1974) (Brutus, 1971) (Louv, 1974) (Scholtz, 1974).

Interviewees in general supported the views of the above named scholars. Dr. Hansie Pollock, a prominent personality in liberal politics for many years, declared that South Africans ". . . are sports minded and—sports take precedence over everything". Hr. David Currie, vice—president of the Coloured Labor Party, stressed the point that sport could effectively be utilized as a means to change attitudes ". . . because sport is of the greatest importance to South Africans".

The director of the Center of Intergroup Studies in Cape Town, Professor H.W. van der Herwe, indicated, that due to its great popularity, sport could play an important role in imporving inter-racial communication. In the same vein Dr. Cedric Pathudi, first minister of the Lebova homeland, pointed out that tensions could be significantly reduced through inter-racial sport. It is his contention that because both racial groups have a natural affection for sport they will realize, through competing against and with one another, that they have something in common. Dr. Danie Craven, chairman of the South African Rugby Board and dean of the Physical Education Department at the University of Stellenbosch, claimed that the sports boycotts really brought home the realization that "... sport is of the greatest importance to South Africans" (tr).

A number of pro-government interviewees showed concern for the mass popularity of sport and felt it could possibly cause an imbalance in the social equilibrium of the country. Dr. Hannes Botha chairman of the Physical Education
Department of the University of Pretoria, suggested that
sport as a social institution is without a doubt very
powerful in South Africa, "but one should guard against a
loss in balance" (tr.). In this regard Dr. A.P Treurnicht,
Member of Parliament and president of the right-winged
Broederbond organization, suggested that sport must never
become so strong as to overrule other values.

Brutus (1971) suggests that through the medium of sport the white population feels a fink with the outside world and furthermore the "national psyche" finds compensation through sport (p 151). Sehume, sports edition of World newspaper, which has a large circulation, points out that sport is of major importance to the black people of South Africa because of the possibilities it represents. He reasons that "White South Africians as such, essentially and above all else are great sportsmen and will not turn down an able and excellent black sportsman".

Based upon this presumption, he is convinced that fully integrated sport is inevitable and that sport will lead the way for integration in other areas of society, with eventual equal access to society for all. Sport to the South African Blacks is a means to open locked doors (Sehume). This rationale is fully endorsed by Mr. Lennox Blonzi, president

of the South Africa Cricket Union.

Why is sport so important to South Africans? Louw (1972) suggests this is because the splendid year round climate is conducive to outdoor activities, while the abundance of open spaces may also have a contributing influence. He continues to speculate that the open spaces give a sense of freedom which might be related to the devotion of South Africans to sport.

Could it be that man can only reach maximum freedom (independence) in the present society through involvement with some form of play and that sport fulfills this need in the apartheid situation? (Louy, 1972, p.3)

Whatever the rationale may be behind the values attached to sport by the different sections of the population, two important issues emerged from the results pertaining to this key concept. Pirstly, there is a close correspondence between the individual impressions of those who wrote about and discussed the importance of sport to south Africans, and the results of this study. What were previously subjective impressions now have some support from the results of this study. Secondly, the congruity of white and black responses towards the importance of sport, suggests a possible common value across racial barriers.

Lapchick*s (1973) suggestion that sport in South Africa is "approaching the status of a national religion", might

^{*} The South Africa Cricket Union is a black sports body which is affiliated with the main white cricket body.

find some substantiation in this study. Dr. Beyers Waude, former moderator of the powerful Dutch Reformed Church, reiterates that the power of religion and the church within the South African society; in his opinion, "the strongest single factor in bringing about change in South Africa could be the impact of the Dutch Reformed Church . . . " (Naude). The church, however, is not willing to take the lead, whereas sport, with its great popularity, is actively involved.

During the course of the interviews all the politicians stressed the importance of sport within the social structure of South Africa. Their actual questionnaire respones, however, did not indicate the same belief in the importance of sport. This situation seems quite logical when perceived in the context of personal importance versus the importance attached to sport by the government or society at large.

Bax Weber's (1930) analysis of Calvinism could possibly clarify the strong support the respondents demonstrated for religion. Weber suggest that man is anxious and preoccupied with the phenomenon of predestination and this apprehensiveness is manifested in deep religious beliefs. This may be the case for members of this sample. In essence then, Calvinism brings home the 'heaven versus hell' ideology which in turn causes individual anxiety and preoccupation with religion. The strong support rendered by the respondents for religion as first choice and virtual non-support as second choice, illustrates this

preoccupation. A majority of those that responded to the 'religion' category of the questionnaire, indicated to be of either Dutch Reformed or Methodist religious persuasion—both of these religions endorse Calvinistic teachings. It is interesting to note is that large percentages in all three affiliation groups showed high regard for the religion category.

Based upon Karl Harx's theory of politico-economic exploitation of minorities through the use of power, a stronger response could have been expected from the Blacks in the sample toward the economic category as first choice. Economy, power and status in most of the western world indicate a strong relationship. Simpson and Yinger (1972) recognize this relationship: "Among the most important indications of the status and power of a group is its place in the economic structure* (p. 313). Parsons (1958) suggests that in the United States of America vertical stratification is greatly enhanced by the amassing of monetary assets. In the South African situation, however, economic wealth cannot obtain power and/or elevated status for the Black in the society at large. The policy of separate development prevents any black person from sharing economic opportunities on an equal basis. This predicament probably prevented the Blacks in the sample from showing stronger support for the economic category. As mentioned above, the medium of sport, on the other hand, is innovating change and creating opportunities for the black person (Maude) (Sehume)

(Alonzi)

The research data suggests that relatively little interest is shown for politics among athletes and sports administrators in both racial groups. Currie claims politics is of such limited importance to South Africans when compared with sport, that a choice between the two would most definitely lead to the collapse of the South African government. Brutus (1971) graphically illustrates the unimportance of politics to white South Africans by alleging that political reflection is limited to election days, while sport forms part of the daily routime of millions. The relative disinterest of Blacks towards politics in the framework of separate development is quite comprehensible.

Kinloch (1974) points out that this policy leaves little, if any, room for Blacks to participate on an equal basis in any institution of the society.

Key concept 2: The importance of sport to the government of South Africa.

Results:

There is little doubt that international pressure groups recognize the importance of sport to the South African government (Lapchick, 1973, 1974). How well this fact is recognized domestically, however, has never been empirically established. The purpose of this section, therefore, is to determine whether, and to what extent, the sample recognizes the importance of sport to the government. Sixty-nine respondents reacted to questions in this area.

Pour questions were utilized to measure the attitudes of the respondents on this matter. The responses to these questions were combined into two contingency tables, one controlling for race and the other controlling for group affiliation (tables 11a and 11b).

The first of the four question states: Is sport of importance to the government? (0. 17). A second question investigated the possibility of the government experiencing pressure from its supporters to ease the apartheid legislation in sport (Q. 23). The third question examined this issue from a level of greater personal significance. The game of rugby is the national game of South Africa and as such is followed rather feverishly by a large percentage of the population. Brutus (1971) claims that "disasters and national affairs elsewhere are mere trifles compared to a rugby victory . . . " (p. 151) . Based upon this knowledge, the respondents were questioned as to whether South Africans would be dissatisfied with the government if the country was to be expelled from international competition in rugby (Q. 31). The final question investigated the possibility of changes in sport threatening the structure of apartheid.

It was expected that the sample would recognize the importance of sport to the government. Recent literature abounds with case studies testifying to the considerable sensitivity manifested by the South African government to the international politico-sports boycotts (Scholtz, 1974) (Lapchick, 1973 and 1974) (Brutus, 1971) (de Broglio, no

Table lla

Respondents' perceptions of the importance sport has for the government, controlling for race

Count		7	•
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
y g s	10	14	24
/	23.8	. 51.9	34.8
undecided	31	12	43
•	73.8	• 44.4	62.3
no	1	1	2
	2 1,4	34.7	2.9
	42	27	69

Raw chi sq.: 6.089 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.048

Table 11b

Respondents' perceptions of the importance sport has flor the government, controlling for group affiliation

Count	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. •	•	Row
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	
yes	7	12 •	5 *	24
	26.9	- 54.5	23.8	34.8
undécided	18	10	15	4.3
	69.2	45.5	71.4	62.3
no	1	0	1	2
	3.8	,	4.8	2.9
	26	22	21	69

Raw chi sq.: -6-112 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.191

date). Twenty-two, of the sixty-nine respondent sample were politicians and in that capacity it was expected that, because of their special status, they would be able to contribute significantly to the investigation of this issue. Purthermore, it was presumed that the Blacks in the sample would be more aware of the importance of sport to the government than their white counterparts.

Thirty-four point eight percent of the total sample were convinced that sport was of importance to the South African government. Only 2.9 percent deemed sport as inconsequential to the government's interest. The majority of the respondents (63.3 percent) were undecided on this topic. Of the total number suggesting that sport is of importances to the government, 58.3 percent were Blacks (table 11a). Pifty-one point nine percent of the totalnumber of Blacks in the sample considered sport as being important to the government, while only 23.8 percent of the Whites did likewise. These figures support the hypothesis that Blacks in the sample are more aware of the importance of sport to the government than their white counterparts. The vast majority of the Whites in the sample (73-8 percent) were undecided. Forty-four point four percent of the black respondents were uncommitted on this question. Of the total number of respondents claiming that they were undecided, 72.1 percent were Whites. The differences between the black and white respondents on this question was significent at the .05 level of confidence.

In the group affiliation category there is a close relationship between the responses of the athletes and sports administrators on this particular issue. Of the total number of athletes, 26.9 percent thought that sport was of importance to the government, while 23.8 percent of the total number of sports administrators supported that view. Sixty-nine point two percent of the athletes were undecided on this topic, as were 71.4 percent of the sports administrators (table 11b). Conversely, over fifty percent of the politicians, indicated that the institution of sport was considered of major importance by the government. Although none of the politicians disagreed with this fact, 45.5 percent were undecided.

Discussion:

The following excerpts from the interview sessions are typical of the broad range of viewpoints on this matter. Dr. Albert Hertzog, leader of the right-winged Herstigte Masionale Party, believed that the government's preoccupation with sport and the concessions given sport is an indication that ". . . sport is the weakest link in their interpretation of separate development" (tr.). Dr. Chris Jooste, director of the pro-government South African Bureau of Racial Affairs, claimed that sport is important to the government from the point of view that through international sport ". . . each athlete is an ambassador to his or her country" (tr.). Sport, according to him, is not considered a potential threat to apartheid and from that point of view

sport is not considered as being overly important to the

A prominent Mationalist Party member, Dr. A.P.

Trearnicht, saw the situation in more basic terms. Re claims that sport is important to the South African government because sport and politics cannot be separated and "Sport is being used as a lever to force South Africa into integration - " (tr.). Dr. Beyers Maude, former moderator of the Dutch Reformed Church and presently director of the apartheid opposed Christian Institute of Southern Africa, sums up the previous two opinions:

Sport is very important to the government, otherwise it would not have made the concessions. Although present developments point that way, government does not seem to be too concerned about the possibility of sport breaking down the structure of apartheid.

Sehume expresses the black man's opinion about the influence of sport upon the government; "Sport is definitely a threat to apartheid and the government is aware of it".

In the light of these comments and in the light of previous studies, the present statistical results are somewhat surprising. It was expected that a much larger percentage of the sample would proclaim the importance of sport to the government. It is possible however, that this initial impression could be quite misleading. The nature of the standard analytical procedure makes for high 'undecided' percentages, causing the difference between positive and negative responses to be of major consequence to the

analyst. In this particular key concept the difference is quite substantial, with approximately thirty-two percent more respondents believing that sport is of importance to the government than those believing the contrary.

It is also of significance to note that the Blacks in the sample put much more emphasis on the important overtones sport conveys to the government that did the white respondents. Furthermore, of the total number of respondents who were undecided, three-quarters were white. Perhaps the Blacks in this sample are substantially more aware of the political implications of sport because of the opportunities it suggests to the black South African? Both Sehume and Howh in interviews indicated that they believe Blacks in South Africa have attached great socio-political importance to sport.

generally not as concerned with negative aspects of separate

⁷ A number of questions relating to the same topic, constitute the key concept in each case (four questions in this particular case). In order to obtain one set of statistics for the key concept, the reactions of each respondent to all the questions in the key concept are averaged and rounded off. Accoring to this method of 'analysis (contingency tables), and given that four questions were used in this key concept, an averaged 'yes' response could only result or four positive responses or three positive and an 'undecided' responses. The same situation holds true for an averaged 'no'. Any other combination would consequently result in an 'undecided' response. (Even though the key concept values are averaged and look somewhat like continuous variables, analysis of variance is not an pappropiate statistical tool here because these variables are not normally distributed).

development, therefore, to them sport does not hold similar implications. After all, the whites have political and civil rights. Furthermore, sport does not represent a direct threat to the white populace, with the result that this is use on the importance of sport to the government might not have been given much thought prior to this questionnaire. This is, in the final analysis, a political issue and South Africans claim not to be politically oriented (Brutus, 1971). Government involvement with sport and the influences of sport upon the government would probably not cause any undue concern to most whites, since the government is involved in all spheres of society in maintaining the status quo.

The high number of "undecided" responses by white athletes and white sports administrators could possibly be explained by the above speculations. Most of the white sports administrators that were interviewed preferred not to be drawn into any political discussions, as they were concerned with expressing a neutral position (le Roux) (Zagnoev) (Craven) (Smit). One distinctly got the impression that politics should be left to politicians—says Dr. Danie Craven, chairman of the South African Rugby Board: "My politics is rugby" (1974, tr). The majority of their black counterparts, on the contrary, showed no hesitation in expressing the opinion that sport is of great importance to the South African government (Howa) (Loriston) (Moretlo) (Mlonzi). Their positive reactions to the issue at hand are

hardly surprising since some of them had direct dealings with cabinet ministers in discussions on the future of sport in South Africa. Mr. Hassan Howa, as a prime example, was summoned by the Minister of Sport, Dr. Piet Koornhof, to discuss the possibilities of non-racial sport (cricket in particular) in the future (Howa) (see key concept five for particulars).

Among the Blacks that were interviewed, two main theories dealing with the influence of sport on the government emerged. The one theory maintained that due to pressures put on the government by the electorate for international sports participation and due to the conditions set by international sports governing bodies for readmittance, integration in sport will become a reality in the near future. It follows that integration in one. institution would then lend to integration in other institutions, with full social integration as the end result (Sehume) (Howa): Currie's theory, on the other hand, advocates that the present concessions in domestic sport by the Ministry of Sport merely served to initially appeare the electorate while preserving the status quo. He suggests however, that the South African Whites are too fond of sport to settle for anything less than full international sports recognition in the long run.

The substantially higher percentage of politicians (as compared to other affiliation groups) recognizing sport as being important to the government, was not unexpected.

During the personal interviews, all the politicians allowed for the importance of sport in South African politics. The discrepancy between the politicians and the other two affiliation groups on this subject erased any suspicion that the politicians might be naive about the effect of sport on government. The pro-government politicians, unlike the rest, felt assured that with the control government is exercising upon sport, and due to the way of life of South Africans, the policies of separate development will not unduly be disturbed by sport (Treurnicht) (Raubenheimer) (Jooste).

Based on the results of the questionnaires, the interviews and the available literature, it seems fair to claim three major reasons why sport is of such importance to the South African government: domestic popularity of sport, as well as external and internal pressures. Sport is of major importance to the electorate and therefore it is of great importance to the government, since the satisfaction of the electorate is always of great concern to any government. This 'situation makes sport a rather vulnerable aspect in the composition of the South African social structure and external pressure groups are exploiting sport to mahipulte the government. Through the medium of sport the South African government has been exposed internationally and has subsequently been isolated -- a great hardship for any nation. Purthermore, the ability of the external pressure groups to initiate changes are buoying the hopes of the domestic Blacks who are anticipating possible future

changes. Internal black sports governing bodies are subsequently also pressing their claims for integrated (non-racial) sport with apparent positive results.

Key concept 3: Government involvement in sport and international sports boycotts.

Results:

The purpose of this section is to assess the amount of support the respondents exhibit for the government involvement in sport. In addition this key concept is concerned with the reactions of the respondents to the international sports boycotts against South Africa. In order to satisfy the former purpose, the responses were combined for analysis into two contigency tables, one controlling for race and the other for group affiliation (tables 12a and 12b).

The first question that was used to measure support for government involvement in sport, examines the practice of separating the different races in sport (Q. 1). Question number two utilizes a quote by the Prime Minister of South Africa, Mr. Worster, to determine the reactions of the respondents to the merger of sports and politics. (Q. 18). The quote used was Vorster's now famous (infamous?) one which stated: ". . . sport must not be dragged into politics . . . " (<u>Hansard</u>, 1967, col. 3968). The next question asks whether or not the respondents think the government of South Africa, is in actual fact, abiding by this philosophy (Q. 19). The final question used as a

involvement in sport, inquires whether or not the respondents approve of the government initiated multi-racial games (0. 22).

h number of significant correlations were recorded between the questions used for this key concept. Questions one and nineteen showed significant correcation at the .001 level. Additional correlations were recorded at the .05 level of significance between questions eighteen and nineteen, as well as between questions nineteen and twenty-two.

One question was used to investigate the reactions of the respondents to international sports boycotts against their country: "... was South Africa's expulsion from international competition justified?" (Q. 21). The responses to this question are presented in two contingency tables, each controlling for one of the two independent variables (tables 13a and 13b).

It was predicted that the white respondents in the sample would be more likely to support government involvement in sport than would the black respondents. This prediction was based on the fact that the South African government has been able to reserve civil rights, and their accompanying benefits, for the Whites only (van den Berghe, 1967) (Kinloch, 1974) (Kuper, 1969). A further prediction was made to the effect that white South Africans in the

Table 12a

Amount of support for government involvement in sport, controlling for race

Count	1		
Col. Pct:	.Whites	Blacks	Row Total
yes	13	1	14
	31.0	3.7	-20.3
undecided	25	21	46
	59.5	77.8	66.7
no	9.5	5	9
×		18.5	13.0
	42	27.	6.9

Raw chi sq.: 7.855 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.019

Table 12b

Amount of support for government involvement in sport, controlling for group affiliation

Count			•	Row
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	total
yes	3.8	6 27.3	7	14 20,3
undecided	21 80.0	13 59.1	12 57.1	46 66.7
no	4 15.4	3 13.6	2 9.5	9
	26	22	21	69

Raw chi sq.: 7.320 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.119

Table 13a

Support for international sports boycotts against South Africa, controlling for race

Count	1 : 4: :		t
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes AC	11	12	23
	26.2	44.4	, 33.3
undecided.	15	, 5/	20 .
	35.7	18.5	29.0
nó	16	10	26
	38.1	37.0	37.7
	4.2	27	69

Raw chi sq.: 3.43 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.18

.Table 13b

Support for international sports boycotts against South Africa; controlling for group affiliation

Count	•			Row
Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs.	Spts. Adms.	total
yes	9	10		23
	34.6	45.5	19.0	33.3
undecided	4	9	())	20
,	15.4	40.9	33.3	29.0
no	13	. 3	10	2,6
	50.0	13.6	47.6	37.7
	26	22	21	69
			•	

Raw chi sq.: 10.15 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.038

boycotts than would their black counterparts. This prediction was based upon the assumption that the boycotts were and are conducted for the purpose of changing the South African political system, presumably for the benefit of the black minority (Lapchick, 1973, 1974).

Twenty point three percent of the total sample said they were in favor of government involvement in sport. This percentage represents 31.0 percent of the total white sample and 3.7 percent of the total black sample (table 12a). These statistics suggest a substantially stronger support of government control in sport by the Whites as compared to the Blacks. Thirteen percent of all the respondents disagreed with the mixing of government and sport. This percentage constitutes 18.5 percent of all the Blacks in the sample, plus 9.5 percent of the total amount of Thites. These statistics testify to the contrary attitudes of these two racial groups in the sample: Black opposition to government involvement in sport is 14.8 percent stronger than their suppost of it, while white support, conversely, is 21.5 percent stronger than their opposition to it. Sixty-six point seven percent of the total number of respondents were undecided on this issue, with 59.5 percent of the whites and 77.8 percent of the Blacks contributing to that percentage. These findings confirm the hypothesis that white respondents were more in favor of government involvement in sport than were the black respondents. There is a significant

likelihood that this relationship between white and black responses will also occur in the population from which the sample was drawn (.02 level).

A trend is apparent in the results of the group affiliation category. The politicians and sports administrators responded in a relatively similar manner, while the athlete attitudes differed markedly from these two groups (table 12b). Whereas the politicians and sports administrators show support of a government merger with, sport by percentages of 2.7.3 and 33.3 percent respectively, only 3.8 percent of the athletes did likewise. Some congruency is demonstrated by the three affiliation groups in terms of their opposition to this issue. Pifty-nine point one and fifty-seven point one percent of the politicians and sports administrators respectively were undecided, while 80.0 percent of the athletes responded similarly.

On the topic of international sports boycotts, the sample again demonstrates black-white response discrepancies. These discrepancies, however, were considerably less than on the previous issue (government in sport). Twenty-six point two percent of the total number of white respondents supported international sports boycotts, while 38.1 percent opposed it. The Black respondents, on the other hand, showed stronger support than opposition to the boycotts (44.4 against 37.0 percent). This then supports the stated hypothesis that Whites are more opposed to international sports boycotts than Blacks. Of particular

note is the fact that 35.7 percent of the Whites remained undecided, while only 18.5 percent of the Blacks did likewise. A slight trend towards significance was recorded for this category.

The analysis of the group affiliation responses produced a puzzling result. The politicians, unlike the athletes and sports administrators, were overwhelmingly in favor of the concept of international sports boycotts against South Africa (45.5 percent in favor and 13.6 percent against). Thirty-four point six and 19.0 percent of the athletes and sports administrators respectively supported the boycotts, while 50.0 and 47.6 percent respectively opposed it. This ratio is significant at the .05 level.

Discussion:

High percentages of undecided responses are characteristic of most of the results. This can be accounted for by the standard method of data analysis used when responses to a number of questions are combined (dimcussed under footnote seven). To a major extent the substantially higher percentage of Whites supporting government involvement in sport, as compared to opposition by their black counterparts, was expected. A number of interviewees expounded on the rationalizations that caused, or might have generated, the support of government interaction with sport.

One of the most frequent justifications used by interviewees supporting the government's actions, was the

possibility of friction resulting between the various racial factions if sport warm-to be practiced on an integrated basis. Hr. Willie Maree, secretary of the Dutch Reformed Church's publications, affirmed the belief that "... when Whites and Blacks play against one another and start fighting, major frictions could result off the field (tr.). It is interesting to note that Maree's theory does not entertain the possibility of members of both races playing on the same team. "If representatives of all the different peoples are thrown together on the same field, we are certainly looking for friction", hence apartheid is the only solution (Botha). Hr. Zimmerman, head of the Department of Domestic Information, stated his belief that in all spheres of society the Blacks and Whites "... must be kept separate to prevent friction" (tr.).

This theory why the South African government should be involved in sport, was offered by the same people who recognized and applauded the excellent spirit of sportmanship in which the multi-racial games were conducted in 1973. Mr. Jan Barnard, director of the South African Sports Poundation, sums up the attitudes of both athletes and spectators at the games: "The spirit with which white South Africans accepted defeat by Blacks was greatly appreciated. The crowds reacted in a very mature way . . . " (tr.).

In South Africa the term "friction" is often used synonymously with "tension" and "conflict".

Government control in sport, alleged Mr. Punt lanson, the Deputy Minister of Bantu Administration, is necessary for the same reason that the government control is necessary in other areas of the South African society——. . . to combat communism (tr.). Both these justifications suggest that government control is a method of preventing conflict in South Africa. Kriesberg (1973) points out two accepted approaches to conflict. The first approach, one the South African government seems to subscribe to, sees conflict as disruptive and threatening, always endeavoring to m. . . discover ways of mitigating its disruptive nature (p. 2). The second approach is one of identifying and sympathizing with injustices and looking for means through conflict to reduce oppression—a policy not unlike that used by overseas sports pressure groups.

Another rather interesting justification for government control of sport and society at large was aggested by Badenhorst. Dr Badenhorst, a former Dutch Reformed missionary in Africa and an original member of the Tomlinson Commission, believes that the "hand of God" placed the Whites in South Africa and that same hand placed them in superior positions (tr.). According to Badenhorst

The only absolute power is by God and God delegates power—He delegated it to our ancestors and we have to be responsible. We have accepted the basic ideal to be responsible for the non-Whites in South Africa (tr.).

This reaction was the only one of its kind amongst all the interviews. Van den Berghe (1967), maintains that this first

of paternalism is not uncommon in fairly complex but preindustrial societies. "Genteel benevolence and noblesse oblige rather than virulent haured characterize the paternalistic brand of bigotry (van den Berghé, 1967, pp, 27-28).

Currie alleges that the South African government will maintain its control in sport because of the influence the black sports hero could have upon the country as a whole. His reasoning—as opposed to justification—finds support in the writing of Pishwick (1954) who claims that the hero is used by society as a social model to maintain the social structure. Furthermore, Pishwick (1954) maintains that hero worship is inherent in human nature. During the course of the interviews none of the government officals and supporters indicated that they were remotely aware of this reasoning.

A number of other observations resulted from the interviews regarding government involvement in sport. While many of the interviewees expressed the belief that foreign pressures through sport enhances, if not initiated, changes. A number of respondents maintained that the government should be allowed to control the pace of change. If changes are continually forced upon the government, its control might be threatened and the government might simply refuse to oblige any more. In this regard Janson stressed the fact that . . . action brings reaction and if outside groups pressure too much, the government might refuse the further

response (tr.). Van der Merwe indicated his belief that positive pressure, whereby the government maintains control of the 'pace of change', would probably get positive results from the South African government.

As soon as the government gets pressured to do something without having the chance to decide for itself on the steps of progress, they simply clamp down; it is a natural human phenomenon and it mostly applies to people in power.

Schume was of the opinion that the change process will not significantly be increased by isolation "... unless dialogue is included. As it is, the government is probably getting more stubborn".

Barnard contributed to this issue by stating that the South African social system was built up over a few centuries, and consequently changes will require time. The countries pressuring for changes, however, are impatient to see results in South Africa because tommorow they might be the scapegoat of a frustrated world. According to Craven, political double standards are universally acknowledged and seemingly accepted, but in spite of it the South African government's involvement in sport has become the focal point of international pressure group attacks.

hesults of the data analysis indicate that the Blacks in the sample opposed government involvement in sport. This attitude by the black section of the sample, could be interpreted as non-identification with the political system of South Africa at large. This system, as it pertains to sport, is "political dishonesty" (Pollock). She claims that

the South African government preaches non-involvement of politics in sport, but in fact makes the policy of separate development very much part of domestic sport. Schume is of the opinion that "sport should be left to the sportsmen themselves"...", since government loyalties and obligations force it to proceed very slowly with change. It is his belief that if sportsmen would go ahead and integrate sport in an orderly fashion so that the population at large accepts it, the government would follow suit. In this regard it is interesting to note that the athletes in the sample demonstrated very little support for government involvement in sport.

masses, it becomes politically a very sensitive area.

Barnard believes sport to be the most powerful political

mechanism since world war Two. The interviewes demonstrated

considerable awareness of the international political

implications surrounding sport and the intentions of the

power groups. Craven sums up this common appreciation of the

situation as follows: "Rhodesia has integrated teams, but

they are still out of the Olympics" (tr.). Currie is more

direct in his evaluation of the situation: "It [sport] is a

political issue and integration per se is the aim".

Assuming that the rest of the respondents (athletes) was also aware of the ulterior motives of international sports governing bodies, one could attempt to account for the responses of the two racial groups. Most of the Blacks

Africa, probably due to the sympathies they suggest for the black cause in South Africa (Currie). Surprisingly, some of the black respondents did not support the boycott concept. Many Blacks, according to Sehume, believe that the sports boycotts are hurting them as badly, if not worse, than the Whites. Although white South African athletes are banned from international sports competitions, the same situation holds true for the black South African athletes. Sehume believes that international exposure of the black athletes would have been more beneficial for the Blacks of South Africa in the long run.

It was expected that the majority of the whites in the sample would oppose the international sports boycotts against South Africa. The relatively small difference between those opposing and those supporting the boycotts, was unexpected. This situation could be interpreted that the white section of the sample is quite sympathetic to the situation of the black person in South Africa. Bearing in mind, that only one question was used to determine the attitudes of the respondents to the issue, the high percentage of undecided white responses were also unexpected.

An undecided response for a single question suggests either an easy way of escaping commitment, or genuine indecision in this situation could have evolved from one of two grounds of consideration. The first

could stem from total impartiality—this seems quite unlikely in the South African milieu. The other reason for indecision could possibly mean that the respondent is torn between two values: support for the black cause on the one hand and the right of an athlete to reach his or her potential, during that short period of time available to an athlete, presumably through international competition.

generally in opposition to international sports boycotts against South Africa. Given the facts that both groups are closely involved with sport and that politics are generally much less important that sport to the sample (table 2), these results seem quite reasonable. The responses of the politicaians on this topic were not anticipated. Little comment on this result is possible other than the fact that while 83.8 percent of the black politicians in the sample supported the boycott concept (none apposed it), 50.0 percent of the white politicians were indecisive on this point.

Hixed feelings were expressed by the interviewees on the topic of international sports boycotts directed at their country. Hr Loock, head of the Bantu Sports Department, sympathized with the white athlete's international aspirations. "Sport, however, is subordiate to your country s traditions and one's patriotism should overcome all disappointment" (tr.). Some of the Whites that were interviwed claimed that the pressure groups do not understand the South African social structure. Badenhorst maintains that change is in effect taking place in South Africa and the change process should not be tampered with by those who lack the fundamental comprehension of this process. Mr. Japie Smith, president of the South African Amateur Athletic Association, believed that a lack of comprehension of the South African situation is a major cause for boycotts. His opinion is backed by many years of international sports administration.

Other interviewees claimed that international sports boycotts have, together with domestic pressure for international exposure, hurried the change process considerably. Haude claimed that "Without the overseas pressure, internacial sport would not have occurred in South Africa". According to Mr. Pred van Wyk, director of the South African Institute of Race Relations, without "... sports boycotts and pressures through sports bodies, change would have been slower (in South Africa)".

Mr. Hassan Howa, president of the non-racial South African Cricket Board of Control, exhibited little doubt about the effectiveness of the pressure group technique. In the light of present developments in South Africa, Howa's parting words stand out: "If they (the government) think I am militant, just see who is coming after me". Currie's philosophy on this issue seems to underwrite the viewpoint of the pressure groups: "The white man can only be changed if he is forced to".

van der Merwe, conversely, points out that the environment should be changed first and then the attitudes will subsequently also change. Allport (1958) states that anti-discriminatory legislation could be useful in combatting discrimination and it could, in addition, act as a means to ease personal prejudices. Although the recent multi-racial sports competitions in South Africa are undoubtedly not of the same consequence as anti-discriminatory legislation, it could possibly be setting the stage for a more racially tolerant environment.

Sport, according to Phatudi is . . . a wonderful technique to change attitudes. Pressures from international groups helped make competition between the different races in South Africa possible. Dr. Oscar Wollheim, former representative on the Cape Province Coloured Council, claimed that sport is an excellent means of getting exposed to . . . the initial shock of contact and a wonderful way of acclimatization. It is his opinion that the government did not initiate the multi-racial competitions with those intentions in mind, but acclimatization as a compensatory byproduct as international pressure is not to be under estimated.

Key concept 4: Racial sterotyping and the role of sport in race relations.

Results:

This section had dual objectives. The first objective

is to investigate how each of the racial groups in the sample perceive themselves and the other racial group in terms of inherent physical ability and intelligence. This investigation was prompted by claims of racial stereotyping in the United States of America on these grounds (Cleaver, 1968). The other objective is to examine the attitudes of respondents toward the possibility of sport aiding in improving relations between the different races of South Africa. In both these sections of key concept four, the responses to a number of questions, were combined and averaged. The subsequent results are presented in the form of contingency tables. In the case of the respondents attitudes towards inherent racial differences, one contigency table controlling for race, was used for analysis (table 14). For the other issue of this key concept, two contigency tables were employed, one controlling for race and the other for group affiliation (tables 15a and 15b).

Pour questions were used to investigate the phenomenon of racial stereotyping. The two races and the two independent variables were used in four combinations for the purpose (0°s 27, 28, 29, 30). A number of significant correlations were recorded between the guestions used to examine the possibility of racial sterotyping by the sample. Recorded correlations between questions twenty-seven and twenty-eight as well as between twenty-eight and twenty-nine were significant at the .05 levels. The correlation between question twenty-nine and thirty were significant at the .001

level.

Pive questions were used to investigate the attitudes of the respondents to the possibiltiy of sport improvising race realations in South Africa. The first question that was used to measure this dimension, asks whether apartheid is necessary in South African sport (Q. 1). The sample was also asked whether or not apartheid is necessary to maintain harmony between the different races in South Africa (Q. 3). The next question was used to measure the attitudes of the respondents toward improving domestic racial relations (Q. 5). The final two questions, which were used to examine the reactions of the respondents to the above mentioned topic, are closely related. Respectively they inquire whether integrated sport would improve race relations in South Africa and whether Blacks and Whites should play their sports together (Q. 6, 14). Various correlations were recorded between these five questions, all of which were significant at the .001 level of significance. The correlations were between questions one and three, one and fourteen, three and six, as well as between questions six and fourteen.

Onder this key concept three predictions were made. Two of these pertain to the perceived racial status of the two groups, while the other prediction was based upon the role of sport in the realm of race relations in South Africa. In the latter case, the prediction was that athletes and sports administrators would be more positive about sport improving

race relations than the politicians in the sample. The prediction stems from the premise that due to the close association of the athletes and sports administrators with inter-racial sport, they would probably be more aware of the positive influences that sport could have upon race relations.

expected that the races would hold corresponding stereotypes of themselves and/or the other racial group to those in the United States of America. Cleaver (1968) maintains that Blacks in the United States are looked upon as 'supermasculine menials'-- suggesting brawn, little intelligence and suitable only for menial labor-while the Whites consider themselves as 'omnipotent administrators'. With the latter term Cleaver (1968) intends to create the impression of intellectual superiority. Based on these claims of stereotyping it was predicted that the separate races would believe in both white intellectual superiority as well as the physical superiority of the Blacks.

of the total number of respondents reacting to the topic of inherent physical and intellectual differences based upon race, none indicated that that they subscribe to these differences (table 14). Pifty-nine point six percent were undecided, while 40.4 percent were definite in their rejection of the projected racial differences between South African whites and Blacks. Statistically the races in the sample reacted as follows to the issue: 55.6 percent of the

Table 14

The attitudes of respondents to inherent racial differences between the South African Whites and Blacks, controlling for race

Count .	-1		
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes	0	0	0
undecided	15	13	28
	55.6	65.0	59.6
no	12	7 .	19
1 6	44.4	35.0	40.4
	27	- 20	47

Raw chi sq.: 0.124 D.F. 1 Sign.: 0.725

The attitudes of respondents towards the possibility of sport improving racial relations in South Africa, controving for race

Count			•
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes	123	24	47
· .	54.8	8'8.9	68.1
undecided	17	3 ,	20
	40.5	(11:1	29.0
9	• 2	, 0	2
	.4.8		2.9
	42	27	69

Raw chi sq.: 8.985 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.011

Table 15b .

The attitudes of respondents towards the possibility of sport improving racial relations in South Africa, controlling for group affiliation

Count Col. Pct.	l Athits.	l Poltos	Spts. Adms.	Row
yes	20	s 14	13	47
1	76.9	63.6	61.9	68.1
undecided	6	6	8 · . •	20 29.0
	23.1	27.3	38.1	23.0
'nο	0	2	0	2
		9.1		2.9
	26	22	21	69

Raw chi sq.: 5.689 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.224

Whites and 65.0 percent of the Blacks were undecided, while 44.4 percent of the white respondents and 35.0 percent of the black respondents opposed the concept of inherent racial differences. These figures suggest a lack of support for the two hypotheses as they relate to black-white attitudes towards, physical process and intelligence of the two races.

on the topic of sport aiding in improving race relations in South Africa, 68.1 percent of the total sample indicated that sport could prove an asset in this regard (table 15a). Pifty-four point eight percent of the white sample and 88.9 percent of the black sample contributed toward the total sample reasons on this issue. Only 2.9 percent of the respondents could not accept the possibility of sport making a valuable contribution to domestic race relations. All the respondents reacting in the latter fashion, were whites. The difference between the races on this issue were significent at the .05 level of confidence.

In the group affiliation category it is of interest to note, contrary to the stated hypothesis, that the major percentage of all three affiliation groups favored the possibility of sport improving race relations in South Africa (table 15b). Seventy-six point nine percent of the athletes, 63.6 percent of the politicians and 61.9 percent of the sports administrators accepted this possibility. The faith of the politicians in the potential of sport as a means to obtain racial cohesion, was rather unexpected. Both the athlete and the sports administrator groups showed no

·***

support for the contrary position. Only a very small percentage of the politicians (9.1 percent) did not think that sport could improve race relations in South Africa.

Discussion:

Santa Cruz (1974) points out that intelligence as a criterion of superiority and inferiority has been advocated through history in the writings of such noted scholars as Pischer, Baur, Grant, Chamberlain, Gunther and de Gobineau. Justification of racial superiority and inferiority has often been created on the basis of perceived intellectual and physical attributes. Allport (1958) sums up the approach of the present day social scientist on the topic of using mental tests to measure racial intellectual differences:

"Hental tests cannot solve the problem of hereditary racial traits until equality in social and economic opportunities exist: . . " (p.109) These tests, according to allport (1958), could only be used if the environments of all the subjects coincide.

claims for racial superiority and inferiority using physical ability as a criterion, have long been regarded by social scientists as unrealistic. Montagu (1942) indicates that the concept of race is merely a categorization technique used by anthropologists. The so-called races only represent a variety of combinations of genetic materials common to all mankind. Edwards (1973) maintains that scientists ... have never been successful in deriving tonsistent patterns or valid relationships between racial

heritage and social, intellectual and physical capabilities"
(p. 47). Instead of placing race in an intellectual or a
physical category, it has been placed in a social context by
most modern scholars (Rex. 1969) (Kinloch, 1974) (Simpson
and Yinger, 1972) (van den Berghe, 1967).

(J.

In the absence of scientific proof of these alleged racial differences, these claims become stereotypes. Ackerman and Jahoda (1950) distinguish between prejudice and sterotypy on the basis that prejudice is misjudgement of members of a group and refers to socially oriented action, while sterotyping is a process of making judgements with little regard for facts. Prejudice, in addition, uses stereotyping but it is not identical" (p. 4). Simpson and Yinger (1972) simply indicate that stereotypes are part of prejudice -- "One of the most important aspects of a tradition of prejudice is the sterotyped pictures it. contains" (p. 153). Pishman (1956) maintains that once these pictures are fixed in a culture they tend to guide the groups in their relations with one another. A stereotype, according to Allport (1958), is an exaggerated belief about a category and its function is to " . . . justify (rationalize) our conduct in relation to that category (p. 187) .

Based upon its function, one would expect a considerable amount of sterotyping by the Whites in the South African situation. The present sample, however, did not endorse any stereotyping that related to racial

previous survey studies have shown that those who knew most about other races and peoples tend to demonstrate positive attitudes toward them (Murphy, et.al., 1937). Allport (1958) claims that in the absence of comunication, ignorance tends to make a person "... easy prey to rumor, suspicion, and stereotype" (p. 220). Does it follow that absence of racial stereotyping in the sample suggests communication and understanding by the respondents of the other racial group? This non-existence of the mentioned stereotype could possibly benefit the respondents in their quest for better racial relations. Kinloch (1974) declares however, that "England and the conceived physical differences are the most additional to erase. (p. 50).

demonstrated no support of specific stereotypes. Pirstly, the respondents might subscribe to different black white stereotypes as the ones mentioned in the study. Secondly, it is quite conceivable that the absence of stereotyping tendencies demonstrated by the sample could be due to the presence of racial discrimination in the south African society. Allport (1958) describes five ensuing degrees of heractive prejucice action: antilocution, which refers to like minded people expressing antigonisms freely, avoidance (of a group one is prejuiced against); discrimination, physical attack and extermination. Stereotyping appears to lit into the antilocution category of prejudice. Based upon

this categorization of prejudice it seems logical to assume that with a more severe degree of prejudice available in the form of legal racial discrimination, stereotyping is not needed.

Although nearly all the respondents (only 1.4 percent was unsure) indicated a desire for better relations between the races of South-Africa, one third of that number did not accept sport as a means to improve relations. A two-thirds maintity, however, posts towards the importance the respondents attached to sport as a means to improve racial relations in South Africa. Of those respondents who did not accept sport as a means to better racial relations in South Africa, some might have had other better means in mind. Other respondents, as was born out by a number of the interviewers, saw integrated sport as a potential area of friction (see section of friction in Discussion of Key concept 3) . Wan wyk interpreted the 'friction syndrome', which is used by the government to justify separation in sport and in society per se, as one of fear. The reality of the situation, according to him, is . . . that there will always be more Blacks that Whites and Whites must learn to accept the Blacks as equals.

Duggan (1973) alleges that " . . . conflict is the dominant theme" in the South African society as it relates race. Conflict, however, is not unique to South Africa. Kriesberg (1975) claims that social conflicts are all around us and they are inherent in human relations (p. 1). What is

probably unique to South Africa is the fact that Afrikaner nationalism found maturity through racial conflict. Bhoodie and Venter (1959) maintain that the instinct of preservation, as a specific emotional attitude against the numerical majority of Blacks, found renewed expression through the policy of segregation and separate development. The policy of separate development is recognized by the government. South Africa as a technique to among other things, prevent friction between the various racial factions (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959).

A number of those interviewees who thought sport could improve racial relations in South Africa justified their views in terms of the communication capabilities of sport.

Janson claimed that "Sport is a great communication means, but unfortunately it has been used in a negative way by pressure groups" (tr.). Zimmerman supported the communicative possibilities of sport: "Sport undoubtedly could assist in improving better understanding between the different races" (tr.), Van Wyk referred to sport as a great catalyst to change attitudes and van der herve claimed that sport is having great positive impact on racial relations in South Africa.

The Progessive Party secretary, Mr. Dey, indicated his support for communication through sport to bring about changes, as compared to the isolation through sport technique. Athletes of all races, from all over the world, should be brought to South Africa to compete in order to

project to the population at large that black-white association could be friendship oriented instead of friction oriented. Schume's views, to a certain extent, support those expressed by Dey:

Sports winds of change are blowing all over the continent—where Blacks and Whites play against one another, positive feelings are arising as well as understanding and tolerance of each other.

Rogers and Shoemaker (1971) suggest that "Communication is essential for social change" (p. 6). Currie and van der, Merwe felt that a change in the environment of South Africa will ultimately change attitudes. Van den Berghe (1967) uses the liberalized African situation as an illustration for these claims. After decolonialization, the Europeans rapidity adjusted their attitudes and behaviours thereof black Africans—changed political and social conditions rapidity remarkable and drastic changes.

In the groups affiliation category of the sample, the responses of the politicians were contrary to expectations. Their support of sport as a potential means to improve race relations were not anticipated to be similar to those of the athletes and the sports administrators. In this regard it is worth noting that three-quarters of the athletes indicated that racial barriers were not necessary in sport. Their attitude must be respected, since they are athletes of international stature who have been involved on the grass reptes level of inter-racial sports competion. They could probably best evaluate the potential of sport in inter-

racial communication. In addition, two-thirds of the politicians and sports administrators in the sample showed confidence in sport as a means to improve race relations. The respondents in these two groups are directly and indirectly involved in deciding the direction of South African sport for the future.

Key concept 5: Integration in sport, industry and the larger society

Results:

This section of chapter four is aimed at investigating the attitudes of the sixty-nine respondent sample toward racial integration in South Africa. A number of questions were used to determine the attitudes of the respondents towards racial integration in each of the three areas, namely, sport, industry and society at large. The combined reactions of the respondents to specific questions relating to each area, are presented in the form of contigency tables. Each area of investigation is represented by two contingency tables, one controlling for race and the other controlling for group affiliation. In addition, a two-way analysis of variance was used to compare the attitudes of the two races towards integration in sport, industry and society at large.

rive questions were used to determine the attitudes of the respondents toward racial integration in sport. The filial question inquired whether the separation of the races in sport was a necessity, while the second one asked if Africa (0°s 1, 4) the third question investigated the reactions of the respondents toward governmental concessions for inter-racial sport (0. 22). Question number four asked whether Blacks and Whites should play their sports together, while the final question examined the attitudes of the respondents towards the possibility of fully integrated sport in the near future (0°s 14. 26). Questions one and four, one and fourteen, four and fourteen, as well as fourteen and twenty-six, manifested correlations at the .001 level of significance.

In order to test the attitudes of those in the sample toward racial integration in industry; the respondents were asked two questions: "Are you in favor of integration in industry?" and "Could the different races be kept separate in industry?" (Q's 12, 24). A correlation at the .001 level of gignificance was recorded between these two questions."

In the investigation of the sample a attitudes toward total social integration six questions were asked. Pirst, the respondents were questioned as to whether apartheid is necessary to maintain harmony between the different races (0.3). The ensuing five questions were directed at examining the attitudes of the respondents toward racial integration in various important spheres of the South African society, namely, religious institutions, public places, industry and marriage (0.89, 10, 11, 12, 13). A large number of correlations were recorded between the six

questions. Nine correlations proved significant at the .001 level: between questions three and ten, three and eleven, and nine and ten, nine and twelve, ten and eleven, ten and twelve, elven and twelve, as well as between questions eleven and thirteen. Questions three and twelve, ten and thirteen, together with twelve and thirteen demonstrated significance at levels of .007, .004 and .009 respectively.

Three predictions were made in this section. In the first instance it was predicted that the black respondents in the sample would accept integrated sport more readily than their white counterparts. Purthellipre, the prediction was made that both the races in the sample would be more inclined to approve of integration in sport and industry than in society per se. The introduction of inter-racial sports spapetitions as well as the racial mixing in industry, versus the seemingly rigid enforcement of racial separation in all other spheres of society, accounts for this prediction. The final prediction was that the black respondents in the sample would favor total social integration more than the Whites in the sample. This prediction was prompted by suggestions by Allport (1958), van den Berghe (1963, 67), Kuper (1969), Randall (1970) and others that minorities aspire to secure equal access to the society they live in.

On the integration in sport issue, a substantial majority of the sample favored racially integrated sport:
73.9 percent were in favor, while only 2.9 percent were

Table 16a

The attitudes of respondents toward racial integration in sport, controlling for race

Count			•
Col. Pet.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes	28	23	51 73.9
	66.7	85.2	
undecided	12	Ц.	16 23.2
• .	28.6	/14.8	
no	2	0	2 2 . 9
	4.8		/'
i	42	27.	69

Raw chi sq.: 3.390 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.18

Table 16b

The attitudes of respondents towards racial integration in sport, controlling for group affiliation

Count Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Poltc	Spts. Adms.	Row total
yes .	·22	13 59.i	16 76.2°	51 73.9
Lundecided	ц	7	5	16 23.2
no .	0	31.8	23.8	2 2 . 9
6	26	9.1	21	69

Raw chi sq.: 6.737 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.15

Table 17a

The attitudes of the respondents toward racial integration industry, controlling for race

Count		•	
.Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes:	25 59.5	22 81.5	47 68.1
undecided	12 28.6	7.1	14 20.3
no	5 11.9	3 11.1	8 11.6
	42	27	69

Raw chi sq:: 3.868 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.14

Table 17b

The attitudes of the respondents towards racial integration in industry, controlling for group affiliation

Count Col. Pct.	Athlts.	Postcs.	Spts. Adms.	Row
yes	21	11	15	47
	80.8	50.0	714	68.1
undecided	2	9	• 3	14
•	7.7	40.9	14.3	20.3
no	3	, 5	3	. 8
0	11.5	9.1	14.3	11.6
	26	22	21	69

Raw chi sq.: 8.991 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.07

The attitudes of the respondents toward racial integration in society, controlling for race

Count	1 -	· •	:
Col. Pct.	Whites	Blacks	Row total
yes,	14	21	35
	33.3	77.8	50.7
undecided	. 23	5	28
	5,4.8	18.5	40.6
no	5	1	6
	11.9	3.7	8.7
	. 42	27	69 ⁷

Raw chi sq.: 12.991 D.F.: 2 Sign.: 0.002

Table 18b

The attitudes of the respondents towards racial integration in society, controlling for group affiliation

Count		•		Row
Pct.	Athlts.	Poltcs:	Spts. Adms.	total
yes	13	63.6	8 38.1	35 50.7
undecided	11, . 42,3	22.7	12 57.1	28 40.6
no	2 7.7	/3 , 13.6 .	1 4.8	6 8.7 O
ا ا	26	22	21	69

Raw chi sq.: 5.574 D.F.: 4 Sign.: 0.23



The results of a two-way analysis of variance on the comparative responses of the two races toward racial integration in sport, industry and society at large

Attitude

	, _{4,3,4}	Industry	Society	Sport	Total
0	Whites	°1.536	1.833	1.500	1.623
κ A	Blacks	1.296	1.509	1.296	1.367
	Total	1.442	1.707	1.420	

:Whites
(undecided) 2

(yes) 1

Industry Society Sport

c. Source of	Sum of		, Mean		
Variation	squares		Squares	F	Probability
Race	3.224	1	3.224	7.308	0.009
Error (a)	29.559	6 7	0,441		
Attitude	3.069	2	1.535	8 365	0.0004
Att. race	0.126	- 2	0.063	0.344	0.7095
Error (b)	24.584	134	0.183		

opposed (table 16a). The Blacks in the sample demonstrated approximately a twenty percent greater acceptance of racially integrated sport than the white respondents (85.2 to 66.7 percent). These figures support the hypothesis that black respondents accept racial integration in sport more readily than the white respondents. While only 4.8 percent of the Whites opposed racially integrated sport, none of the Blacks in the sample responded similarly. Twice as many white as black respondents were undecided (28.6 to 14.8 percent). These ratios suggest a trend towards significance.

In the group affiliation category, both the athletes and sports administrators were significantly more in favor of racially integrated sport than were the politicians at 84.6, 76.2 and 59.1 percent respectively (table 16b). None of the athletes or sports administrators opposed racial integration in sport, while 9.1 percent of the politicians did. Relatively few athletes were undecided (15.4 percent), while the sports administrators and politicans demonstrated increasing indecision at 23.8 and 34.8 percent respectively. These results also suggest a slight trend towards significance.

The attitudes of the respondents towards racial integration in industry proved to be quite similar to their attitudes toward racial integration in sport. Sixty-expoint one percent of the total sample favored racial integration in industry, while 11.6 percent opposed it and 20.3 percent were undecided (table 17a). The Blacks were 22



percent more positive in their acceptance of ragial integration in industry than the whites (81.5 to 59.5 percent), which indicates support of the stated hypothesis. The whites in turn manifested 21.5 percent more indecision than the black respondents (28.6 to 7.1 percent), while the two races had roughly similar percentages for those opposing racial integration in industry. A slight trend towards significance is apparent.

The athletes and sports administrators showed respectively 30.8 and 31.4 percent more acceptance of racial integration in industry than the politicians, who were 50.0 percent in favor of this possibility. Forty point nine percent of the politicians were undecided as compared to 7.7 percent of the athletes and 14.3 percent of the sports administrators. Approximately equal percentages of athletes, politicians and sports administrators opposed racial integration in industry, with percentages of 11.5, 9.1 and 14.3 percent respectively. A trend toward significance is evident between these relationships.

A small majority of the respondents agreed with the idea of social integration, but markedly less so than with racial integration in sport and industry (table 18a). Pifty point seven percent of the sample supported integration in society at large, 40.6 percent were undecided and 8.7 percent opposed it. Along racial lines there was a 44.5 percent difference in support for total racial integration between the Whites and the Blacks. Thirty-three point three

percent of the Whites accepted the possibility of social integration, while 77.8 percent of the black respondents did likewise. This suggests support for the hypothesis which states that Blacks in the sample will accept socially intregrated sport more readily than their white counterparts. The majority of the Whites were undecided (54.8 perenct), with only 11.9 percent directly opposing total racial integration. Righteen point five percent of the Blacks were undecided on this issue, while 3.7 percent opposed total social integration. The relationship between black and white responses to social integration is highly significance (.002 level).

It is interesting to note that the politicians in the sample were more in favor of social integration than the athletes and sports administrators at 63.6, 50.0 and 38.1 percent respectively (table 18b). It high percentage of both the athletes and sports administrators were undecided on this issue (42.3 and 57.1 percent). Although the politicians showed the strongest support for total social integration, they also had the highest percentage of those who were opposed, with 13.6 percent, as opposed with 7.7 percent of the althetes and 4.8 percent of the sports administrators.

By comparing the attitudes of the respondents toward racial integration in sport, industry and society at large by race, a general overall trend toward integration was detected (table 19a). The main scores indicate an acceptance by the Whites of racial integration in sport and industry at

1.5 and 1.54 respectively. It 1.83, however, their attitudes toward integration in society suggest that many are undecided. The Blacks, on the other hand, show considerably after support for racial integration in the three mentioned areas. They felt equally positive about the possibility of racial integration in sport and industry at 1.3, while their acceptance of total racial integration shows a little more shesitance at 1.5. It is interesting to note that despite the differences in reactions by the two races, the responses were parallel (table 19b). The differences between the racial groups on this question are significant at the .001 level of confidence.

Discussion: ...

Since the concessions of 19.7, the South African dovernment has adopted an amplicable belly pragnatic approximate their sports policy, as perceived within the context of separate development (see Aparthic d and Sport). The policy has changed considerably from the initial whites and Non-Whites must play sport separately? (Rand Daily, Mail, Peruary 4, 1963), to the present situation of non-racial cricket on club level (Comment and Opinion, January, 1976).

when multi-national (multi-racial) competitions were introduced in South Africa in 1973, the left-winged faction referred to it as merely "smoke screen" tactics used by the dovernment to satisfy an electorate hungry for international sport (Currie) (Kane-Berman, 1972). The right-winged South

Abricans, conversely, considered it an act of freeson towards the country and a breakdown in the ideology of separate development (Star. March 23, 1973). Within the context of Airikaner nationalism, which developed as a direct consequence of the ideology of apartheid, the ultra conservative whites could possibly have found reasons to justify their claim of treason (Rhoodie and Venter, 1959).

At the time the data for this study was collected, the respondents had already experienced the multi-national games and probably had already come to grips with the realization that "multis nationals" are not really fully integrated competitions. In the hight of these facts, as well as in the light of further daveles eats in the South African sports policy, the responses of the white apple toward sport became meaning the The South African government is known to be very responsive to the mood of the electorate (van wyk) (Currie) . The Whites in the sample demonstrated a general tendency towards micropting racial intergration in sport and less than two years after they responded to this study, more changes in the secrets policy have taken place. This situation suggests that there is a similarily between the attitudes of the white respondents and the attitudes of the electorate at large.

Some interesting thoughts on the racial integration of sport were expressed by a number of respondents during the interview sessions. To the right-winged Treurnicht, integration in sport w. . . will be a shame, but not the end

of the world" (tr.). Although social integration would probably mean the end of the world to the right winged electorate, integrated sport, though not desirable, has been deemed acceptable. Bagnard claims that "sport is not susceptible to the word integration—it is a word one would use on a social level, not in sport" (tr.). Botha alleged that changes are taking place in South Africa, but in an evolutionary sense. Pragmatism, claims botha, is practiced in the area of sport without abandoning the idealogy of separate developent.

Tunterfievees express their concern over the htegrated sport could have on the social structure of South Africa. Hentrog valemently rejected integrated sport as being a communistic play to get total integration and eventual black rule in South Africa, number of other interviewes, although less outspoken oh ownwhitic play idea, regared racial integration in sport as possible threat to the separatemess of the merman) (Badenhorst). Other interviewes essen agreed, but viet with possible end result of social integration as a hopeful sign, rather than as a threat (Waude) (van Wyk) (Currie) .. The structural functional lat approach, which besically assumes that we ... charges in any part of the social system will have important consequences for other parts of the system as a whole, finds major application in the shove mentioned views (Takeles, 1964, p.

Naude described the possibility of an integrated society, during an interview, as 'descrable'. Van Wyk maintained that such a situation is the "... inevitable reality" and will be to the benefit of South Africa suggests to whole. An integrated society in South Africa suggests to Currie ah eliminary of frustration "... which has nothing to do with economics—it is on a psychological level". This view would probably echo the sentiments of a large number of South African Blacks.

During an interview with Mr. Hassan Howa, president of the non-ratial South African Cricket Board of Control it was disclosed that the government had been trying to anticipate the wood pigthe voting public on racielly integrated aport. Dr. Roomhof, Hinister of Sport, in consulation with Howa, proposed a three year plan that would patually lead toward open aport. On October 14, 1972 Koornhof announced that "Where discrimination on the ground of race and colour atill applied in sport, it was the stated basis and object of Government policy, to remove it " (Connent and Opinion, October 18, 1974, p. 22). Presently the South African policy is providing for 'normal cricket' to be . played in the Republic. This new introduction refers to participation of and competition between all cricketers regardless of race, creed or colour in cricket at club level" Comment and Opinion, Jahuary 23, 1976, p. 13).

Despite these changes, which could conceivably hold

major consequences for the country's social system, South Africa lost its membership with the International Amateur Athletics Federation in July of 1976. Dr. Hannes Botha, who defended the Republic of South Africa at the I.A.A.P. congress, commented thus on the turn of events: whe have done everything the I.A.A.P. has asked us to defend still it expells us. I deny there is government control (in sport) in South Africa (Comment and Opinion, July 30, 1976, p. 8).

The present governmental trend toward racially integrated sport prompted Dr. Treurnicht, now in the capacity as Deputy Minister of Bantu Administration, to review his original stance on racial integration in sport. He now claims publically that integrated sport, if build into "... pur normal sport pattern, the structure of separate development will be broken open and should consequents be obstructed (Diamond Fields Nivie 1, 2, 1976). This suggested trend toward integrates sport by the government was probably recruitment to appears public opinion, with the result that Dr. Treurnicht's claims were rather inopportune and his removal from office was demanded by the opposition party (Diamond Fields Advisor, July 21, 1976).

Howa that the public was ready for racially integrated, sport. It is interesting to note that those opinions were already expressed in 1974. Pollock claimed that sport has a tresendous liberalizing effect on apartheid. In her

opinion "the tolerance of the spectators (at the sultiracial games) was very impressive," and that the people of
South Africa are ready for racially integrated sport. Sehume
predicted that due to the readiness of the South Africans
for racially integrated sport, South Africa will have
total integration in sport in three to five years.

The Rand Daily Hail, one of the Soul African newspapers with the largest circulation, commented on the present situation in sport as present situation situa

Hived club crickets—and other sport—would open upan area of contact between the races that it morely
needed in our society. And sports fields are ideal
places to build friendships and respect. The
cricketers have provided the Government with an
opportunity to prove it is sincere in its call for
better race relations and an end to discrimination
in South Africa (January 20, 1976).

Public support probably made sport less of a domestic political risk to the government who, like most other governments, is constant toward satisfying its electorate. The chance for sport to improve racial communication seemed long overdue. Rogers and Shoemaker (1971) maintain that communication is necessary for any form of social change, and in South Africa sport could conceivably fulfil this role. Could it be that apport will create the opportunity for the different races to proceed through the necessary stages of contact, competition and accommodation toward eventual assimilation (Park, 1950)?

Undoubtedly the most powerful ally of sport in this regard is the geomogy. The Spro-cas Political Commission

report on political alternatives for South Africa,

separate development means, primarily, separation in the political and social spheres. Boonomic integration or inderdependence is regarded as an unalterable state of affairs (Laurence, 1973) p. 25).

this process of economic integration can hardly be reversed at this stage, since a strong economy with foreign investments assure support and sympathy from abroad for the South African government, and its policies (Pield, 1973) (World Council of Churches, 1973).

It is certain that this profess has and will have, snowballing effect upon the policy of separate development. Under section 77 of the Industrial Conciliation Act (the so-"called Job Reservation clause), Whites are assured of filling all the skilled labor positions in industry (Jacobs, 1971) . In the 11 of 1973, the South African industry already had a shortage of 60,000 white workers to fill the skilled positions (Comment and Opinion, September 27, 1974) . Only by training Blacks to fill those positions that were legally reserved for Whites, can the country maintain its standard of living and keep its foreign support. Although some relaxation of job reservation has taken place over the past for years, influential industrialists, such as ar. J.P. Coetzee, the managing director of the South African Iron and Steel Corporation, is calling for major policy changes in this area (Consent and Opinion, January 30, 1976). Santa Cruz's (1974) point that discrimination, if the final

analysis, is harmful to the discriminator, seems well taken in the contest of the South African economic situation.

Considering these facts, the general support
demonstrated by the sample for industrial integration seems
obvious. Given the obstructions separate development poses
for the advancement of the black person in South Africa, the
greater black than write support for industrial integration
is quite understandable. The high percentage of undecided
politician responses could probably be accounted for by the
negative appreach of a number of them towards the word
integration, while realizing at the same time the facts
about the practice of racial mixing in industry.

Interviewed responses suggest that the majority were aware of the influences and implications of industrial industrial industrial industrial industrial industrial industrial industrial of separate development. A number of interviewees expressed the conviction that racial separation in industry has by necessity always been a non-entity and could not possibly have been maintained.

In this regard Sehume commented that ". . . economy is undoubtedly a hazard to the structure of apartheid". Both Naude and Sehume indicated that a shortage of skilled labor is forcing industry to train increasingly more. Blacks for those positions previously held by Whites. This situation is being accompanied by better wages and consequently higher status for the Black industrial worker. This closing of the

poles between the races is suggesting that racial equality in industry is not too far away. Ar. Norman Daniels, president of the Textiles Workers Union, pointed out that in industry the Blacks and Whites are equally important to one another and accommodation of human rights could come about in industry by necessity.

Some of the interviewees still maintained that a phother races work together, this situation holds little threat for the structure of separate development. Zimmerman mentioned this occurrence without any reference to his earlier statements concerning the friction syndrome and the need to keep races apart. Van Wyk indicated that the separation of the races for the sake of preventing friction is essentially an ascape mechanism used by the government to justify their discriminator policies. Treurmicht disclosed that this close relationship between the races through industry does not necessarily pose a problem to the maintainance of apartheid——. just more careful planning is needed (tr.).

The attitides of both the racial groups toward social integration were, as predicted, somewhat less positive than their attitudes toward integration in sport and industry. Although the over-all black response still demonstrated ample support for social integration, the over-all white response suggested a strong overtone of indecision. It is interesting to note that a very small percentage of the white sample actually opposed social integration—the

of majority were undecided on this issue. This high percentage of medication is personal age a good indication that most whites are not yet prepared or total intergration. Supporting this line of though is finding that more than one half the white respondents directly opposed inter-marriage. The latter was used as one of the six issues to determine the respondent's attitudes towards social integration.

A considerable number of white interviewees indicated that social integration would by necessity incline miscegenation, which they found totally unacceptable. Both perceives different levels of integration: attending a church service with the other race, for fristance, is an acceptable level of integration, but inter-marriage or. biological mixing rafer to total integration which cannot be -Van Hyk alms that blood mixing connotes a deep tolerat fear for enfrikaner - a fear of losing their racial purity and identity to that of the numerically more powerful. Blacks. Rhoodie and Venter (1959) allege that this fear originates from the frontier days of initial black-white confrontations. At that time the fear of being overpowered by the black masses war real and subsequently remained. with the Afrikaners. The present form of miscegenation paranoia, van Wyk claims, is ungubstaniated since Whites have retained their racial 'purity' for the past 300 years and have indeed strengthened their cultural identity.

Hlonzi, van Wyk and Wollheim were all of the opinion that legislated segregation was unnecessary, if not

separated. Pollock maintained that prior to the legal separation of the races in 1948, a natural separation was evident because "like mests like". In her opinion "the clock has been turned back since 1948". On the topic of intermarriage, Currie suggested that ".... groups of people tend to stick together in order to preserve their culture". Wagley and Barris (1958) a noted social scientist pair, state that one of the five characteristics of a minority is to marry within their own group.

pot' versus 'racial purious concepts. Toynbee (1961) '
postulates that all profites concepts are acial disunity, ideologies and national can be solved through the 'physical process of intermitted the 'melting pot' concept is definitely not suited for the South African situation. Since both the black and white sultures are very strong and since both groups are interested in manataining their spective cultures, the 'melting par' concept for South Africa seems remote.

By comparing the actitudes, of the two races in the sample toward macial integration in sport, industry and society at large, one becomes aware of a definite trend

Du Toit (1969) makes the point that although the arban Black is adapting to western ways their culture has remained intact in many ways.

toward integration in the former two institutions and to a lesser extent in society. In all thee instances the Blacks supported integration more so than the Whites. It is interesting that although the two racial groups showed different levels of support for integration their responses were perfectly parallel.

probably not unlike the general mood of the South African population. This projection, as far as the Whites are concerned, is based upon the previously discussed assumption that the South African government is sensitive to the attitudes of the electorate. It this regard Dr. Hilgard Huller, Minister of Foreign Affairs, stated in 1974 that South Africa would be avoying away from racism and unnecessary discrimination and it would be up to the government to take the lead in doing so (Race Relations 1), December, 1974). Evidence of non-discrimination is becoming more and more evident in sport and industry, as well as in the area of 'petty apartheid'.

integration, understandably it is the Blacks who demonstrated the stronger support in all three areas. Their support suggests a strong need for equal access to the society they live in. This situation seems to find a certain amount of substantiation within the context of the recent racial riots and general unrest. Van Wyk and Howa claimed that both sport and the economy are being used by the

government to acclimatize its electorate to major social changes. It could be that the slow acclimatization of the electrite to changes have exhausted the patience of the South African Blacks. Perhaps the government's concern for the mood of the electorate will be its undoing.

CHAPTER N

SUBBARY

Literature on the South African social structure abounds with references attesting to the importance of sport to its population. These writings however, are not empirically supported. A number of important questions were derived from the universally accepted popularity of sport in the South African society: Is the suggested importance of sport to South Africans a reality? If so, could sport possibly act as a means to improve racial relations domestically? Would racial integration be acceptable in South Africa sport and yould social integration at large be acceptable?

areas--referred to as key concepts in the study were used to investigate the attitudes of the respondents toward related issues in South Africa sports politics. The independent variables of race and group affiliation were employed to assist in distinguishing between the attitudes of the respondents. Several hypotheses were tested as segments of the key concepts and were directly related to the afore mentioned independent variables. Each key concept consisted of a number of related questions, with all the responses averaged to yield a single set of responses. The analysis of each key concept, then was hand, on the data from the completed questionnaires, the data from personal

interview sessions as well as certain theoretical concepts.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

A number of inferences were made in the Discussion section which pertained to each key concept as they relate to the respondent's attitudes toward the various issues under analysis. It seems appropriate, at this stage, to interpret some of these findings in their broadest perspective by projecting them to the South African society at large. This section is based on the data analysis, however many of the interpretations are of a speculative nature.

The data analysis of the sample suggests that sport is of major importance to the respondents. As compared to some of the most powerful social institutions—politics, religion and economy—sport proved to be among the most important. Purthermore, a strong concurrence of attitudes toward the importance of sport across racial barriers was apparent. It is interesting that there was not a similar consensus concerning respondents thoughts on the other social institutions. The majority of both races in the sample indicated their belief that sport could improve race relations in South Africa. By projecting these findings to the South African population at large, one tends to become positive about the possibilities sport presents for the changing of attitudes and the improvement of race relations. Says Percy Owen (1976), sports editor on the Daily Dispatch:

"Sport, as it is being used as a political wedge, could be the finest platform for a breakdown in all [negative] racial attitudes" (p. 4).

The value consensus between the two races on sport has an interesting implication. According to van den Berghe (1963), value commensus is an important element in moving toward social integration. People is touch with Airican political situation, claim the social integration (sulti-racial society) in South Africa is inevitable) if indeed not desirable (Owen, 1976) (van Wyk) (Namde) (Randall) (Day) (Sehume) (Currie) (Wollheim) (Pollock) number of countries with previously stratified societies based upon race, such as the United States, Brazil, Bew Zealand, have experienced changes toward a more open society. Certainly their emancipatory histories are well known to the South African government. In the institution of sport the government has an excellent means of influencing attitudes in favor of an open society. Up to the present, their has been little meaningful evidence of the government employing the value consensus approach.

Two implications come to mind. If the government has recognized the possibility of a future socially integrated society, then they have concealed it very well. By withholding their expert opinion from the electorate and by not helping them to make the transition as painlessly as possible, they are deceiving those who put them in office—a characteristic of ineffective leadership. On the other hand

the government may not, despite all the signs, the recognized the inevitable. If this latter speculation is accurate, that is, the stubborn disregard for reality, the present South African government is not serving italisople in their best interests.

The respondents demonstrated a strong support for social integration in both sport and industry. Although full social integration was accepted by the Blacks in the sample, the white respondents showed somewhat less enthusiasm for' this possibility. It is important, however, that the white respondents did favor the idea of total social integration more than the idea of non-integration. By extending these findings to the South African population at large, a number of speculative reflections arise. Pirstly, it seems reasonable to assume that, given the seeming acceptance of social integration in sport and the economy by the population, the government has not used these available means effectively to improve inter-racial attitudes. Why then has the South African government not used these, resources at its disposal? Could, it be that the government did not recognize the catalyst possibilities of sport and industry? Perhaps it is simply a case that the government is not aware of the mood of the population at large? If this is so, it could be that the government is not in close enough contact with its electorate.

A more plausible speculation is that the government, with its strong right-winged faction, was simply using a

electorate. In that minure the government could expose the electorate in an indirect degree to inter-racial mixing. Furthermore, it could also appears those on the extreme right by justifying such an action as necessary for readmitance into intermetional sport competitions. In addition, the Blacks would be given another reason to remain patient by creating the illusion of progress. In this sense Currie's reservations about government intentions seem well taken: "The government is using concessions simply to accommodate the electorate. They are preserving the status. quo".

A final'speculation on this topic is that the possibilities represented by the structural functionalist approach, were after all not lost on the government. Perhaps social integration in sport and industry would be accepted by the majority of the cabinet, but how could widespread social integration to other institutions be controlled?

The "... ever changing complex and contradictory reality" of dialectics exposes the government characteristic of non-conformity to changing trends (Bovack, 1971, p.77). The message of dialectics could make a positive contribution to any decision-making body involved with social policies. Harx maintained that there are three stages of dialectical progression. Any social situation (a thesis) contains seeds for change to fundamentally different and opposing situation. These seeds eventually produce such a situation

which Harx referred to as an antithedia. The latter situation is them in conflict with the original one until a third and final set of Social conditions energe. We latter is referred to as a systhesis and it presumably improporates and unifies all previous conditions into a superior social organization (Olson, 1958).

Harr's theory finds some relevance in the South African racial situation. Seeds of change are and have been present in the South African political system (social system) . . Recent riots and general unrest are proving the point. T 'fundamentally different and opposing situation' refers to the possibility of creating a society where equal access by all would be possible. These two conflicting positions will, according to Barrian theory, produce a new, vital and superior social order. The Social African government sould take heart in the fact that the synthesis is a result of nature taking its course. It addition, their fears of an unpredictable future aget not stuffe the natural processes of change. In South Africa military force could probably impede the process of change, but only temporarily. It requires courage and intelligent adjustment by a democratic government to interpret the signs of the times and to adjust accordingly for the good of the majority.

The realty of the South gfrican predicament is, basically one of numbers. No other country in the world with a majority-minority situation demarcated along racial lines, finds itself with a similar black-white ratio. The

South Africa are outsusbered one to five by the blacks. In the social integration process is countries such as the United States, New Youland and Brazil, where the minorities were also the numerical minority, a sharing or complete transfer of power was consequently not as major & consideration. Learence (1973) Claims that

There are few (historical) precedents where an entrenched and privileged minority has voluntarily shared power with the majority (and) it would be alsost a miracle for this to result from a process of competitive party-politics restricted to the privileged minority only . . (p. 45).

The trend toward support for total social integration by the white respondents could have major implications if expanded to the population at large. This attitudinal trend could be interpreted as an indication that the white pepulation in South Africa tends to support a transition to an open society. The confidence they rendered in the idea of social integration in sport and industry was substantially less pronounced in the area of social integration per se. This situation, however, could conceivably be changed with fire leadership and effective acclimatization. Instant of using available means to improve racial relations, a great deal of effort seems to be directed at developing a magter plan to preserve the power for the whites. In Harrian terms, the government seems to be predicting (speculating) and anipulating the synthesis.

It is interesting to take note that master plans deciding human destiny by profitting from the minority at

the expense of the majority (numerically), have seldon, if ever, met with any long-term success-witness the fate of feudalism. Hore specifically, the separate development plan, master-minded by the late Dr. Verwoerd, is presently experiencing a lack of flexibility and foresight to cope with the needed changes.

decade to accommodate the aspirations of the minority and the black mood of hopeful patience is presently changing to open rebellion. Recent violence bears out van den Berghe's (1963) thesis that in-group unity is reinforced by intergroup conflict, which in turn leads to increasing polarization of opinions. This suggests only greater black opposition to the government and increasing violence, which could cause the destruction of any masterplan by the government.

If the government is waiting for significant positive attitude changes of the races toward one another to proceed in making the South African society more acceptable to the Blacks, one would hope they will reconsider this approach. Allport (1958), states that anti-discriminatory legislation could be a most effective tool in combatting discrimination. Hore specifically, by changing the environment the attitudes necessarily will also change (van der Berwe, 1974).

It is interesting to attempt to visualize the position of the Blacks as a minority group toward the government as

the supreme token of white dominance, and vice versa. A certain amount of confusion seems to prevail in South Africa between the government's aims for the minorities and minority aspirations for themselves. This situation does not promote harmonious racial relations. Wirth's (1961) categorization of minorities, aids in assessing the dominant-subordinate objectives. Pour minority types, based upon their relationship to the dominant group, are stated: pluralistic, secessionist, assimilationist and militant. The South African Blacks now appear to be concerned with the fourth alternative.

Ö

For many years a large number of the black population in South Africa has been in a pluralistic minority situation, while living relatively peacefully side by side with the dominant group. Although this disenfranchised state of the South African minorities satisfied government aims, the Blacks did not continue to subscribe to this uneven symbiosis. Another government aim for its minorities, that of secessionism, met with the aspirations of some Blacks. Generally, however, this racial separation with the accompanying cultural and political independence, is viewed with a great deal of scepticism. The process of population transfer has frequently been employed in the process-often the families were moved against thefr will to new and less suitable environments. It has become increasingly clear that the black people of South Africa as a group will not become a secessionest minority.

government to allow assimilation, is not clear. But, whatever their societations are a reaction to the government to allow assimilation, in minority and the society and the society are a reaction to the pluralistic minority concept. This assimilation wish finds major application amongst the hlack urbailing. Assimilation, to however, is a two-way process and the government does not show any pillingness to accommodate their aspirations. A small number of Blacks have become increasingly militant. Whether these militant minority members are aiming for a total majority-minority reversal or whether their actions are a reaction to the non-willingness of the government to allow assimilation, is not clear. But, whatever their motivation, the total majority-minority image in South Africa suggests two facts: uncertainty in government policy towards the minorities and a Fack of solidarity in minority aspirations.

Little imagination is necessary to realize that solidarity of the Blacks behind a militant aim could produce far-reaching implications for the world. The evolution of a revolutionary black consciousness should not be shrugged off. Van Wyk (1974) and Pollock (1974) believe that the government should create an acceptable environment for the Blacks to live in if reprisals are to be minimized. By extending the results of this study to the larger Sputh African population; it seems as if white opinion, despite

¹⁰ Assimilation, according to Theodorson et. al. (1971), does not imply biological fusing.

certain misgivings, would be agreeable to creating such an environment.

Amid the above mentioned uncertainties within the of both factions it seems logical for leaders of th different racial groups to begin indepth open sinded. sincere and fer-sighted dialogue of the Republic and its people: See South African recial dilemma is a domestic problem and should be domestically solved It seems too late for mertly treating symptoms -- the core of the problem needs to be resolved. Both groups stand to loose through resorting to violence in their quest to resolve the present majority-minority situation. It must be realized that twentieth century living does not set certain rules and codes for the Western World and others for South Africa. White the codes South Africa has chosen to be part of the vestern world and as a part is necessarily subordinate to the whole. The means are still available for bringing about relatively peaceful change -- it is a matter of being realistic enough to recognize the possibilities and brave enough to apply them.

The pressures of a world set on liberalizing all peoples have shown defining effects in South Africa through the medium of sport. These pressures, domestic and foreign, undoubtedly have caused major changes in South African sport. The recently introduced policy of mon-racial cricket in South Africa has considerable merit. If homestly, practiced on a non-racial basis, it would most certainly have a strong influence upon other sports and hopefully, on

the South African society as a whole.

recognized the possibilities of sport to improve racial relations, whether ideological convictions pretented this approach, whether interactional and donestic pressures were too overwhelsing to resist or whether a combination of circumstances causes this positive approach to be implemented at this stage is now immaterial. The middrition of south Africa, are frustrated to the point of aggression and the world is now focusing on the South African dilemnathe government must act now while it still has some control over the pace of change. As for the synthesis, it must be positive since the Whites and Blacks in South Africa need each other.

PECOMPREDATIONS

The is recommended that a fallow-up study be undertaken whereby the effects of the proposed non-racialism in cricket in South Africa are studied. A number of interesting questions on this topic come to mind: will true non-racialism be practiced in cricket? If so, what will the effect of non-racial cricket be on other sports? Will the South African government seriously promote sport as a means to improve domestic racial relations? What will the effect of non-racial sport be on other social institutions? What effect will non-racial sport have upon black and white

process of South Strice?

2. A suggistion for future remarks spald be to compare the South African black situation with that of the Canadian native situation. Several matter organizations have expressed the wigh for separation from the dishibital government, others wish to live peacefully within the plural Canadian society while preserving their cultural heritage to a certain degree, while others have indicated their preference for total assimilation. Are there may parallely with the South African situation and what part, if any, in sport or will sport play in realizing the aspirations of thee different Canadian Natives?

Tamba Per

- therereshie, S.E. Market as Farage. Research Creation 1.00.,
- Ackerses anther and Deriv Joseff. Sett Temper and 1950.
- adea; W. Month Africa: Sociological Personalty on London !
- Adia arayan, S.A. A Case Est Colour. Webay: Asia reblishing
- Adorno, T.W., Else Punkel-Drumswik, D.J. Levinson and R.W. Sanford. The Authoritarian Personality. Our York: Barper and Row, 1950.
- Allport, Souton W. The Paters of Projection. New York: Openledey and Company, Inc., 1958.
- Angell, Tobert. "Profession for Baral Forms in Three Problem Areas," Administration Journal M. Encicles. Bar, 1962.
- Anderson, W.W. "Scales and Statistics: Paragetric and Bon Parametric", in R.F. Beerson and L.L. Bragkamp !" (eds.), Realizes in Statistics for the Remaylocal Sciences. Englewood Cliffs, R.J.: Prestice Fall, Idc., 1970.
- Entonovsky, Laron The Social Seeming of Macrimination,
- Aron, &. "Social Structure and Buling Class," Smith
- Bidenhorst, C.H. Recorded interview. (Butch Reformed missionary and original member of the Youlisson Commission).
- Banton, H. Bace Relations. New Fork: Basic Books, 1967.
- Banton, Bicheal. "Sociology and Race Relations," Race:

 Journal of the Institute of Race Relations, vol.

 2, London: Oxford University, Press, 1964.
- Barnard, J. Recorded interview. (Director of the South
 African Sports Poundation).
- Béisser, 1. The Badaess in Sports. Lopleton, Century-Crofts,

- Benedict, Ruth. Race Society and Politics. New York: Viking Press, 1950.
- Bennis, Warren G. et. al. The Planning of Change: Readings in the Applied Behavioral Sciences. New York: Holt, Reinhart and Winston, 1962.
- Berry, Brewton. Race and Ethnic Relations. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1958.
- Bettelheim, B. and M. Janowitz. <u>Dynamics of Prejudice: A Psychological and Sociological Study of Veterals</u>.

 New York: Harper, 1950.
- Bird, J. The Annals of Matal, 1795 to 1845, vol. 1. Pietermaritzburg: P. Davis and Sons, 1888.
- Boeke, J.H. <u>Economics and Economic Policy of Dual Societies</u>.

 New York: Institute of Pacific Relations, 1953.
- Bogardus, E.S. "Race-Belation's Cycle," American Journal of Sociology, XXXV, January 1930.
- Botha, M.C. <u>Die Volkerebeleid van dig Nasionale Party</u>.

 Johannesburg: Brill Broers, no date.
- Botha, J.L. "In Ordersoek van 'n Staatsdepartement van Sport en Bekreasie in Suid-Afrika," an unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, April 1968.
- Botha, J.L. Recorded Interview. (Head of the Physical Education Department of the University of Pretoria).
- Bottomore, T.B. and Maximilien Rubel. <u>Karl Harx: Selected</u>
 <u>Writings in Sociology and Social Philosophy</u>. London: C.A. Watts and Co., Ltd., 1956.
- Boyd, W.C. Genetics and the Races of Man. Boston: Boston University Press, 1958.
- Boyle, Robert H. "Megroes in Basketball," in <u>Sport: Readings</u>
 <u>from a Sociological Perspective</u>, Eric Dunning (ed.).
 Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1972.
- Boyle, Robert H. Sport: Mirror of American Life. Boston: Little Brown and Company, 1963.
- Breytenbach, B. "Vulture Culture: The Alienation of White South Africa," in <u>Apartheid</u>, la Guma (ed.). Bev York: International Publishers, 1971.

- Broom, Leonard. "Urbanization and the Plural Society,"

 Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 82,
 Jan. 20, 1960.
- Brown, W.O. "Culture Contact and Race Conflict," in E.B.
 Reuter (ed.), <u>Bace & Culture Contacts</u>. W.Y.: HcGraw-Hill, 1934.
- Brutus, Dennis. "The Sportsman's Choice," in <u>Apartheid</u>, la Guma (ed.). New York: International Publishers, 1971.
- Bunting, Brian. "The Qrigins of Apartheid," in <u>Apartheid</u>, la Guma (ed.). New York: International Publishers, 1971.
- Burke, Peter. "Scapegoating: An Alternative to Role Differentiation," Socionetry, June, 1969.
- Calpin, G.H. There are no South Africans. London: Thomas Belson and Sons, 1941.
- Cash, H.J. An Outline of Race and Ethnic Relations. Toronto: Forum House, 1970.
- Chomsky, Noam. "I.Q. Tests: Building Blocks for the New Class System," Ramparts, July, 1972.
- Claude, Inis L. <u>Wational Hiporities</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1955.
- Cleaver, Eldridge. Soul or Ice. New York: AcGrav-Hill, 1968.
- Coertze, R.D. Bantoe buite die Tuislande as politieke faktor. Pretoria: South African Bureau of Racial Affairs, 1972.
- Commission on Human Rights of the United Nations. The Hain
 Types and Causes of Discrimination. U.A.
 Publication, XIV, 1949.
- Comte, Auguste. "On the Three Stages of Social Evolution,"

 Theories of Society, New York: The Pree Press, 1961.
- Coon, C.S., S.M. Garn and Birdsell. Races. Springfieldd Illinois: Thomas, 1950.
- Cottesloe Consultation. The report of the consultation among South African Hember Churches at the World Council of Churches, Johannesburg: Transvaal Printing and Photo Lithographers, 1960.
- Cox, Oliver C. Caste, Class and Race. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1959.

- Cox, Oliver C. "The Question of Pluralism," Race. London:
 Oxford University Press, vol 12, 1971.
- Cozens, P.W. and Stumpf, P.S. Sports in American Life Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Craven, Danie. Recorded Interview. (Chairman of the South
- Croser, Levis. The <u>Punctions of Social Conflict</u>. Glencoe:
- Cross, Halcolm. "Introduction to Race and Pluralism," Bace, vol. 12, No. 4, April 1971.
- Conningham, Peter and Beil Hickenberg. <u>Mative Rights in Canada</u>. Toronto: General Publishing, 1972.
- Currie, David. Recorded Interview. (Vice-president of the Labour Party).
- Dahrendorf, R. "Out of Utopia: Toward a Reorientation of Sociological Analysis," American Journal of Sociology, vol. LXIV, September, 1958.
- Daniels, Morman. Recorded Interview. (President of the Textile Workers Union).
- de Broglio, Chris. <u>South Africa: Racism in Sport.</u> London: International Defence and Aid Fund, no date.
- de Coubertin, Pierre. "Why I Revived the Olympic Games,"

 Portnightly Review, vol. 90, July 1908.
- Deford, Frank. "Lull Beneath the Jacaranda Tree," Sports Illustrated, December 7, 1973.
- *de Kiewiet, C.W. A <u>History of South Africa. Social and</u>
 <u>Economic</u>. Oxford: Claredon Press, 1942.
 - de Toqueville, Alexis. <u>Desocracy in America</u>. 3rd ed., translated by Henry Reeve, London, 1838.
- Dickie-Clarke, H.P. The <u>Harqinal Situation</u>. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1966.
- Dobzhowsky, T. <u>Hankind Evolving</u>. New Haven: Yale Univiversity Press, 1962.
- Dollard, J. <u>Caste and Class in a Southern Town</u>. New Haven: Yale Wniversity Press, 1937.

- Draper, Hary. Sport and Race in South Africa. Johannesburg: South African Institute of Race Relations, 1963.
- Afsonderlike Ontwikkeling, Journal of Racial
 Affairs, vol. 22, no. 4, 1971.
- Dreger, R.R. and K.S. Hiller. "Comparative Psychological Studies of Wegroes and Whites in the United States," Psychological Bulletin, September 1960.
- Nuggan, W.R. A Socioeconomic Profile of South Africa. New York: Preager Publishers, 1973.
- Durkhies, Emile. The Division of Labor in Society. Glencoe: The Press, 1933.
- du Toit, Brian H. "Color, Class and Caste in South Africa,"

 Journal of Asian and African Studies, vol. 1, no. 3,
 1966.
- Du Toit, Brian H. "Co-operative Institutions and Cultural Change in South Africa," <u>Journal of Asian and African Studies</u>. October 1969, vol. 4.
- Edwards, Harry. "The Black Athletes: 20th Century Gladiators for White America," Psychology Today. Harch 1973.
- Edwards, Harry. The Revolt of the Black Athlete. New York: Pree Press, 1969.
 - Press, 1973a.
- Fairchild, H.P. Dictionary of Sociology. 1944,
- Prist, R. Proreign Investments in Apartheid South Africa, Objective: Justice. vol. 5, no. 2, 1973.
- Pishman, Joshua A. "An Examination of the Process and Punction of Social Stereotyping," Journal of Social Psychology, 'Pebruary 1955.
- Prenkel-Brunswick, Else and R.W. Sanford. "Some Personality Pactors in Anti-Semitism," <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, October 1955.
- Priedenberg, B.Z. "What are our Scools Trying to do?, " The Hew York Times Book Review, September 1969.
- Priedman, Julian. Basic Facts on the Republic of South

 Africa and the Policy of Aparteid. New York: United

 Wations, 1972.

- Purnivall, J.S. <u>Netherlands India: 1 Study of Plural</u>
 <u>Roonomy</u>. Cambridge: The University Press, 1989.
 - Study of Burne and Wetherlands India London: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1948.
 - Col onial Essays, London: George Allen and Unwin, 1945.
- . "The Political Economy of the Tropical Far East," Journal of the Royal Central Asiatic Society, vol. 29, 1942.
- Ovaterly, vol. XVII, 1946.
 - vol. 2, no. 3, 1949.
- Gaito, J. "Scale Classification and Statistics," in Readings in Statistics for Behavioral Sciences, E.P. Heerman and L.A. Braskamp (eds.). Englewood Cliffs, New York: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1970.
- Garn, Stanley M. "Review of Coon: The Origin of Races,"

 American Sociological Review, August 1963.
- Garn, S. Buman Races. Springfield, Illinois: Thomas, 1961.
- Geertz, Clifford (ed.). Old Societies and New Status: The Ovest for Modernity in Asia and Africa. New York:

 1. Pree Press of Glencoe, 1963.
- Ginvala, R. "The Press in South Africa," Objective: Justice, vol. 6, no. 2, 1974.
- Glass, Bentley. The Genetic Basis of Human Races, in Science and the Concept of Race, H. Head, et. al. (ed.). New York: Columbia University Press, 1968.
- Goodhart, Philip and Christopher Chetavay. War without Weapons. London: W.N. Allen, 1968.
- Grace, H.A. and J.O. Neuhaus. "Information in Social Distance as Predictors of Hostility Toward Nations,"

 | Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, vol. 47, 1952.
- Grant, Madison. The Passing of the Great Race. New York: Schribners, 1916.

- Greenberg, Herbert, C. Harvin and B. Biwens.
 "Authoritarianism as a variable in Hotivation to
 attend College," Journal of Social Psychology,
 Pebruary 1959.
- Greenblum, Joseph and L.I. Pearlin. "Vertical Hobility and Prejudice," in <u>Class. Status and Powe.R. Bendix and S.M. Lipset</u> (eds.). Hew York: Pree Press, (1966)
- Glich, E.C. "Social Roles and Types in Race Relations," in Race Relations in World Perspective, A.W. Lind (ed.). Honolulu: Univ. of Hawaii Press, 1955.
- Grisshaw, Allen. "Relationships among Prejudice, Social Discrimination, Social Tension, Social Violence,"

 Journal of Intergroup Relations, Autumn, 1961.
- Handlin, O. "Prejudice and Capitalist Exploitation,"
 Cossentary, 1948.
- Hansard, House of Assembly Debates, Republic of South Africa, 1967, 1971.
- Hart, I. "Haternal Child-rearing Practices and Authoritarian Sociology," Journal of Absorbal Social Psychology, September 1957.
- Bantoeverstedeliking in Suid-Afrika, 1960-1970, Journal of Racial Affairs, vol. 4, 1971.
- Henderson, Donald. "Minority Response and the Conflict Model in Binority Responses, H. Kurchawa (ed.).

 New York: Bandon House, 1970.
- Hertzog, Albert. Recorded Interview. (Ex-Cabinet Hinister and leader of Herstigte Masionale Party).
- Hoch, Paul. "The Battle over Racism," in <u>Sport and American Society</u>, George H. Sage. (ed.). Reading: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1970.
- Hoernle, R.P.A. South African Bative Policy and Liberal Spirit. Cape Town: University of Cape Town, 1939.
- Hoetink, H. The Two Variants in Caribbean Race Relations: A Contribution to the Sociology of Sequented Societies
 London: Oxford University Press, 1967.
- Hofstadter, Richard. Social Darwinism in American Thought.
 New York: Braziller, 1959.
- Holmes, Judith. Olympiad 1936: Blaze of Glory for Hitler's Reich. Ballantine, 1971.

- Hoople, Joanne and J.W.E. Newbory. And What about Canada's Hative Peoples? Ottawai Canada Council for International Copperation.
- Hooten, E.A. Up from the Ape. N.Y.: HacHillan, 1946.
- Rorrel, Muriel Introduction to South Lirica. Johannesburg: South African Institute of Race Relations, 1968.
 - Johannesburg: South African Institute of Race Relations, 1968.
 - Johannesburg South African Institute of Race Relations, 1973.
 - south Africa. Johannesburg: South African Institute of Race Relations, 1974.
- Belations in South Africa, 1974. Johannesburg: South African Institute of Race Relations, 1975.
- Houghton, D.H. * Apartheid Idealism versus Economic Reality, * in South African Dialogue, J.W. Rhoodie (ed.). Johannesburg: EcGrav-Hill, 1972.
- Howa, Bassan. Recorded Interview. (President of South African Cricket Control Board).
- Huddleston, Trevor. Sport, the Arts and the Colour Bar in South Africa. London: The Africa Bureau, 1957.
- Hynam, C.1.S. "Minority Problems," paper presented at Centre for Hultiracial Studies, Cave Hill, Barbados, 1969.
- ichheiser, 6. Projection and the Note-beam Mechanism, Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology, vol. 42,
- Inkelds, Alex. What is Sociology? In Introduction to the Discipline and Profession. New Jersey: Prentice-
- In the pal Defence and Aid Fund. South Africa: Apartheid London: International Defence and Aid Fund,
- International Olympic Committee Hinutes, Amsterdam, Hay
- Jacobs, 6 Tourds of the Reland Policy, in South

- Jacobs, G.P. "The United-Party Plan for a new Racism in South African Politics," in <u>Directions of Change in</u> South African Politics, P. Randall (ed.). Johannesburg: Spro-cas no. 3, 1971.
- Jacobson, Frank and Solomon Rettig. Authoritarianism and Intelligence, Journal of Social Psychology, September 1959.
- James, C.L.R. "Cricket in West Indian Culture," New Society, vol. 1, June 6, 1963.
- Janson, Punt. Recorded Interview. (Deputy Himister of Bantu Administration).
- Jonovsky, Oscar. <u>Mationalities</u> and <u>Mational Minorities</u>. New. York: MacMillan, 1945.
- Jensen, A.R. "How Buch Can We Boost I.Q. and Scholastic Achievement?" <u>Harvard Educational Review</u>, Series no. 2, 1969.
 - December 1973.
- Johnson, Jack. <u>Jack Johnson is a Dandy</u>. New York: Chelsea House, 1969.
- Jooste, C.J. Recorded Interview. (Director of South African Bureau of Racial Affairs).
- . "The Basic Race Structure," in Rhoodie (ed.),

 South African Dialogue, Johannesburg: McGraw-Will,
 1972.
- . "Blank/Kleurling Verhoudinge: Integrasie of afsonderlile wikkeling," <u>Journal of Racial Affairs</u>, vol. 26, no. 1, 1975.
- Kane, Hartin. "An Assessment of 'Black is Best'," Sports
 Illustrated, January, 1971.
- Kane-Berman, J.S. "Sport: Bulti-Wationalism Versus Non-Racialism," South African Institute of Race Relations, 1972;
- Kardiner, A. and L. Ovesey. The Hark of Oppression. New York: W.W. Norton, 1951.
 - Keesing, Pelix H. "Haori Progress on the East Coast," Te Wananga, vol. 1, December 1929.
- Keith, A. "The Evolution of the Human Races," Journal of the

- Royal Anthropological Institute, vol. 58, 1928.
- Kinloch, Graham C. The Dynamics of Race Relations. New York:

 McGraw-Hill, 1974.
 - Introduction London: MacMillan Press Ltd., 1972.
- Kirkpatrick, C. *Br. K., Big Car and Little Tubby, * Sports Illustrated, Pebruary 26, 1973.
- Klineberg, Otto (ed.). <u>Characteristics of the American</u>
 <u>Hegro</u>. **New York: Harper and Row, 1944**.
- Kluckholm, C.H. Hirror of Han. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1949.
- Kornhauser, W. The Politics of a Mass Society. London:
 Routledge and Regan Paul, 1060.
- Koza, Constance. Recorded Interview (Leader in South African Institute of Churches).
- Kriesberg, L. The Sociology of Social Conflicts. New Jersey:
 Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1973.
- Kuper, Hilda. "Strangers in Plural Societies: Asians in South Africa and Oganda," in <u>Pluralism in Africa</u>, L. Kuper and H.G. Smith (eds.). Los Angeles: University of California, 1969.
 - Problems, " in <u>Pluralism in Africa</u>, L. Ruper and H.G. Smith (eds.). Los Angeles: University of California, 1969.
- La Guna, Alex. <u>Apartheid</u>. New York: International Publishers, 1971.
- Lapchick, Richard E. "The Politics of Race and International Sport: The Case of South Africa," unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Westleyan College, Virginia, 1973.
 - "South Africa's use of Sport in Foreign Policy and the International Response," unpublished paper, March 1974.
- Laurence, P. "Summary of Spro-cas Political Report," in <u>Towards an Open Plural Society</u>, Lawrence and Slabbert (eds.). Braamfontein: Raven Press, 1973.
- Lehrman, R.L. Race, Evolution and Bankind. New York: Basic Books, 1966.
- Leistner, G.H.E. Won-Whites in the South African Economy, in South African Dialogue, N.J. Rhoodie.

- Lenski & Gerhard. "Status Crystallization." American Sociological Review, August, 1954.
- Le Boux, Gert. Recorded Interview. (Secretary of the South
- Lieberson, Stanley. "A Societal Theory of Race and Ethnic Relations," American Sociological Review, December, 1961.
- Lind, W. Hawaii: The Last of the Hagic Isles. London: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Lipset, S.H. The First New Matton. New York: Basic Books, 1963.
- Little, Kenneth. "Structural Change in the Sierra Leone Protectorate," Africa, vol. 25, no. 3, June, 1955.
- Little, Kenneth. Race and Society. Paris: UNESCO. 1965.
- Long, H.H. "The Relative Learning Capacities of Negroes and"
 Whites, " Journal of Negro Education, Spring, 1957.
- Loock. Recorded Interview. (Head of the Bantu Sports Department).
- Lorriston, C. Recorded Interview. (President of the South
- Louw, J.P. "Sportontwikkeling van die Nie-Blanke Volke,"

 South African Bureau of Pacial Affairs, October,

 1974.
- Louv, Johan. "Aparticular and Sport," unpublished paper,
 Physical Education Department, University of
 Alberta, Edmonton, Fall, 1972.
- Luschen, G. "The Interdependence of Sport and Culture," in <u>The Cross-Cultural Analysis of Sport and Games</u>, G. Luschen (ed.). Champaign, Ill.: Stripes Co., 1970.
- HacCrone, I.D. Race Attitudes in South Africa. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press, 1957.
- Hack, Raymond W. and Richard C. Snyder. The Analysis of Social Conflict—Towards an Overview and Synthesis, Journal of Conflict Resolution, vol. 1, June, 1957.
- Eackinnon, William and Richard Centres. "Authoritarianism and Urban Stratification," American Journal of Sociology, Bay, 1956.

- Hann, Arthur. The Jackie Robinson Story. New York: Grosset and Dunlap, 1963.
- Market Research. An African Day. A second study of the life in the Townships. Johannesburg, 1968.
- Harx, Karl and Friedrich Engels. The Communist Healifesto.
 New York: International Publishers Co., Inc., 1948.
- Hayr, E. "Discussion," in <u>Science</u> and the <u>Concept</u> or <u>Race</u>,
 H.Head, et. sl. (eds.), New York: Columbia
 University Press, 1968.
- McCord, William. The Springtime of Preedom. New York: Oxford University Press, 1965.
- McCurk, P.C.J. in U.S. News and World Report, September 21, 1956.
- Brown, 1948.
- Herton, Robert K. Social Theory and Social Structure. New York: Pres Press, 1957.
- Hezo, Perenc. The Hodern Olympic Games. Budapest: Pannonia Press, 1956.
- Minty, A.S. "International Action Against Aparheid in South Africa," Objective: Justice, vol. 5, no. 3, 1973.
- Mlonzi, Lennox. Recorded Interview. (President of the South African Cricket Union).
- Hontagu, Ashley. Han's Host Dangerous Byth: The Pallacy of Race. New York: Columbia University Press, 1942.
- October 1962.
- Springfield: Thomas, 1960.
- Moretlo, Tsheliso. Recorded Interview. (Vice-president of the South African Boxing Union).
- Morris, H.S. "The Plural Society," Han, vol. 57, no. 8, August, 1957.
- Mulder, C.P. "The Rationale of Separate Development," in South African Dialogue, Johannesburg: BcGraw-Hill, 1972.
- Murphy, G., Lois B. Hurphy and T.H. Newcomb. Experimental Social Psychology. New York: Harper, 1937.

- Nash, Hanning. "The Bultiple Society in Economic Development: Hexico and Guatemala," <u>American</u> <u>Anthropologist</u>, vol. 57, no. 5, October, 1957.
- Naude, Beyers. Recorded Interview. (Director of the Christian Institute of Southern Africa).
- Naude, S.P. "Die Blankebevolking van Krugersdorp," Journal of Racial Affairs, vol. 21, no. 3, 1970.
- Ngata, A.T. "Tribel Organization," in <u>The Baori People</u>
 <u>Today</u>, I.L.G. Sutherland (ed.). New Zealand
 Institute of International and New Zealand Council
 for Educational Research, 1940.
- Hie, Worman H. et. al. Statistical Package for the Social Scientist. New York: HcGraw-Hill, 1975.
- Novack, George. An Introduction to the Logic of Marrise. New York: Pathfinder Press Inc., 1971.
- Ogburn, William F. Social Change with Respect to Culture and Original Entere. New York: Viking, 1950.
- Olsen, Jack. The Black Athlete: A Shaueful Story. New York: Time-Life Books, 1968.
- Olsen, Harvin B. The Process of Social Organization. New York: Holt, Reinhart and Winston, 1968.
- Onions, C.T. (ed.). The Shorter Orford English Dictionary.
 Oxford: The Claredon Press, 1968.
- Ossenberg, Richard J. (ed.). <u>Canadian Society: Pluralism</u>.

 <u>Change and Conflict</u>. Scarborough: Prentice hall of Canada Ltd., 1971.
- Oven, Percy. "The Puture of Hulti-Racial Sport," Race Relations Revs, Bay, 1976.
- Park, Robert E. Race and Culture. Glencoe: Pree Press, 1950.
- . "The Wature of Race Relations," in <u>Race</u>
 Relations and the <u>Race Problem</u>. Edgar T. Thompson (ed.). Hew York: Greenwood Press, 1968.
- Stonequist. New/York: Schribners, 1937.
- Parsons, T. "Racial and Religious Differences as Factors in Group Tention," in <u>Approaches to Mational Unity</u>,
 Bryson Finkelstein and HacIvor (eds.). New Tork:
 Harper and Rove, 1945.
- Pathudi, Cedric. Recorded Interview. (First Himister of the

- Pauv, S. Die Bernopsbeleid van die Afrikaner in die Stad-Stellenbosch: Pro Ecclesia, 1946.
 - Pursons, Stow. Affrican Minds: A Bistory of Ideas. New York:
 Holt, Reinhard and Winston, 1958.
 - Pettiger T.P. A Profile of the Tegro Legican. New York:
 - Pollock, Hansie. Recorded Interview. (Prominent member of the Progressive Party in South Africa).
 - Pomercy, W.J. Apartheid Aris. New York: International Publishers, 1973.
 - Rabushka, Mivin and Kenneth A. Shepsle. "Political Entregreneurship: Patterns of Democratic Instability in Plural Societies," Race, vol. 12, 1970-71.
- Radcliffe-Brown, A.R. Structure and Function in Primitive Society. London: Cohen and west, 1952.
- Randall, Peter. "The Present Political Position," in <u>Ametory</u>
 of <u>lpartheid</u>, P. Randall (ed.). Johannesburg: Sprocas Publication, 1970.
- cas, 1970.
- Raubenheimer, __. Recorded Interview. (Deputy Himister of Bantu Affairs in the South African government).
- Redfield, Robert. "The Folk Society," American Journal of Sociology, Vol LII, no. 4, 1947.
- Reynders, H.J.J. "Die Werksverskaffings-potensiaal in die Tuislande met besondere verwysing na Hywerheidesentralisasie," <u>South Effican Bureau of Pacial</u> <u>Affairs Yearbook</u>. Johannesburg: SABRA, 1970.
- Rex, J. "Race as a Social Category;" <u>Journal of Biosocial</u>
 <u>Science</u>, 1969.
- Rhoodie, H.J. and H.J. Wenter. <u>Apartheid</u>. Cape Town: HAUH, 1959.
- Richmond, A.E. "Economic Insecurity and Stereotypes as Pactors in Color Prejudice," <u>Sociological Review</u>, (British), vol. 42, 1950.
- Ricke, T. "A Town where Boys are Kings and the Court Business is Basketball," <u>Detroit Free Press</u> <u>Hagazine</u>, Harch 14, 1971.

- Robertson, Beather. Reservations are for Indians. Toronto:
 - Claredon Press, 1971.
- Rogers, Everett H. and P. Ployd Shoenaker. Communication of Innovations. New York: The Prop Press, 1971.
- Rose, Arnold. The Roots of Prejudice. New York: UNESCO, ...
 - Relations in the United States, in Himority Responses: Comparative Views of Reaction to Subordination, Rinako Kurokawa. New York: Random: House, 1970.
- Santa Cruz, Herman. "Racial Discrimination: Its Origin,"
 Heaning and Causes, Objective: Justice, vol. 6, no.
- Schlenner, I. "Pactors Underlying Apartheid," in Mintony of Apartheid, Peter handall (ed.). Johannesburg: Sprocas, 1970.
- Scholtz, G.J.L. "Liberale Politick en Spertbeikette," unpublished paper, University of Potchefstroom, Potchefstroom, April, 1974.
 - Potchefstroom, Potchefstroom, no date.
- University of Potchefstroom, Potchefstroom, South
- Scott, Jack. The Athletic Revolution. New York: Free Press,
- Sehume, Leslie. Recorded Interview. (Sports editor of The World newspaper, Johannesburg, South Africa).
- Sheerer, Elizabeth T. "An Analysis on the relationship between acceptance of and respect for self and acceptance and respect for others in ten councelling cases," Journal of Consulting Psychology, vol. 13, 1949.
- Sherif, H. and Carolyn W. Sherif. Groups in Harmony and Tension. New York: Harper and Now, 1953.
- Shils, Edward A. The Tornest of Secrecy. London: Heineman, 1956.

- . and Talcott Parsons. <u>Towards a General Theory of Action</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University press, 1951.
- Shuey, A.M. The Testing of Megro Intelligence. Lynchburg: J.P. Bell, 1958.
- Shuttleworth, J. "Rural Sports and Mechanical Solidarity," unpublished M. A. thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1972.
- Siedle, R. *Economics of Separate Development, in Anatomy of Apartheid, Peter Randall (ed.). Johannesburg: Spro-cas Publication, 1972.
- Silberstein, P.B. and Melvin Seeman. "Social Mobility and .
 Prejudice," <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, November, 1959.
- Sirons, H.J. and R.E. Sirons. Class and Colour in South Mirica, 1050 to 1950. Santa Pe: Gannon: 1970.
- Simpson, George Eaton and J. Milton Yinger. Racial and Cultural Minorities. New York: Harper and Row, 1972.
- Sjoberg, Gideon. "Polk and 'Peudal' Societies," American Journal of Societogy, vol. 58, November, 1952.
- Slabbert, van Zyl P. "Schenatic Summary of the Spro-cas
 Political Report," in <u>Towards an Open Plural</u>
 Society, Laurence and Slabbert (eds.). Braamfontein:
 Raven Press, 1973.
- Sloane, W.H. "The Olympic Idea," The Century Hagazine, vol. 84, July 1912.
- Smit, E. Recorded Interview. (President of Bantu Track and Pield Organization).
- Smit, H.H. "Sportbeleid van die Nasionale Party,"

 <u>Inligtingsdiens van die Nasionale Party van Suid-Afrik</u>, June 7, 1971.
- Smith, G.J. "An Analysis of Sport as a Vehicle of Social Integration," unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1974.
- Smith, J. Recorded Interview. (President of the South African Amateur Athletic Union).
- Smith, M.G. <u>The Plural Society in the British West Indies</u>. Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1965.
 - . "Institutional and Political Conditions of Pluralism," in <u>Pluralism in Africa</u>, Kuper and Smith (eds.). Los Angeles: University of California Press,

- Pluralism in "Africa. Ruper and Smith (eds.). Los Angeles: University of California, 1969(a).
- Smuts, J.C. Jan Christian Smuts. Cape Town: Cassell and Company, 1952.
- Sorokin, P.A. Social and Cultural Dynamics. Boston: Sargent,
- Sparrman, Andrew. A Woyage to the Cape of Good Hope. Dublin: White, Cash and Byrne, 1785.
- Speckman, J.D. "The Indian Group in the Segmented Society of Surinam," <u>Caribbean Studies</u>, vol. 3, no. 1, April, 1963.
- Spencer, Herbert. <u>Principles of Sociology</u>. New York: D. Appleton, 1910.
- State of South Africa Yearbook. Johannesburg: Da Gama Publishers, 1974.
- Stone, J. Colonist and Witlander: Oxford: Claredon Press, 1973.
- Stonequist, E.V. The <u>Harginal Han: A Study in Personality</u>
 and <u>Culture Conflict</u>. New York: Schribners, 1937.
- Swanepoel, J. and W. Oosthuizen. R.S.A. 1973 Games. Johannesburg: Die Vaderland, 1973.
- Thema, __. Recorded Interview. (Minister in black Dutch Reformed Church).
- Theodorson, George A. and Achilles G. Theodorson. <u>Hodern</u>
 <u>Dictionary of Sociology</u>. New York: Thomas J. Crowell
 Co., 1969.
- The Shorter Oxford English Dictionary. Oxford: Claredon Press, 1968.
- Thompson, R. Race and Sport. London: Oxford University Press, 1964.
 - Tobin, R.L. "Sports as an Integrator," <u>Saturday Review</u>, January 21, 1967.
 - Toennies, Perdinand. "Gemeinschaft and Gesellschaft,"

 Theories of Society, New York: The Pree Press, 1961.
 - Toynbee, Arnold. "The Ultimate Choice," Race, vol. 1-2, 1961.

- Treurnicht, A.P. Recorded Interview. (Member of Parliament for Nationalist Party and President of Broederbond organization).
- Tuden, Arthur and Leonard Platnicov (eds.). Social
 Stratification in Africa. New York: The Free Press,
 1970.
- Tumin, M.H. (ed.). <u>Race and Intelligence: A Scientific</u>

 <u>Evaluation</u>. Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith,

 1963.
- United Nations Office of Public Information. The Anatomy of Apartheid. New York: United Nations, 1968.
- Van den Berghe, Pierre L. <u>Race and Racism</u>. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1967.
 - Exploration, in <u>Pluralism in Africa</u>, Ruper and Smith (eds.). Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1969.
- . (ed.). <u>Africa: Social Problems of Change and Conflict</u>. San Prancisco: Chandler Publishing Company, 1965.
- Race and Ethnicity. New York: Basic Books, 1970.
- Theoretical Synthesis, American Sociological Review, vol. 28, no. 5, 1963.
- Social Problems of Change and Conflict, van den Berghe (ed.). San Prancisco: Chandler Publishing Company, 1965(a).
- Van den Berghe, W. Oosthuizen and P. Oosthuizen. RSA Open Games 1973. Pretoria: Klem-Lloyd Lithographers (Pty) Ltd., 1973.
- Van der Berwe, H.W. Becorded Interview. (Director of the Center of Intergroup Studies in Cape Town, South Africa).
- Van der Herwe, H.W., et. al. White South African Elites. Cape Town: Juta and Company Ltd., 1974.
- Van Jaarsveld, P.A. The <u>Awakening of Afrikaner Mationalism</u>, 1868-1888. Cape Town: Rerman and Rosseau, 1961.
 - Die Ontwaking van die Afrikaanse Masionale
 Bewussyn. Johannesburg: Voortrekkerpers Beperk,
 1957.

- Van Lier, R.A.J. The <u>Development</u> and <u>Mature of Society in</u>
 <u>the West Indies</u>. Amsterdam: Royal Institute of the
 Indies, 1950.
- Vann Woodward, Comer. The Strange Career of Jim Crow. New York: Oxford University Press, 1966.
- Van Wyk, Pred. Recorded Interview. (Director of the South African Institute of Race Relations).
- Vickery, William and Morris Opler. **A Redefinition of Prejudice for Purposes of Social Science Research, **
 Humane, Relations, 1948.
- Viljoen, H. "Statement by the Hinister of Labor on Terms
 "Black" and "Bantu"," Institute of Personal
 Hanagement, Johannesburg, Hay 27, 1974.
- Wagley, Charles (ed.). <u>Race and Class in Rural Brazil</u>.
 Paris: UNESCO, 1952.
- Wagley, Charles and Marvin Harris. <u>Minorities in the New York</u>: Columbia University Press, 1958.
- Walker, Eric A. W.P. Schreiner. London: Oxford University Press, 1937.
- Washburn, S.L. "The Study of Race," American Anthropology, 1963.
- Weber, Max. The Protestant Ethnic and the Spirit of Capitalism. London: Allen and Uruin, 1930.
- Webster's Third Wew International Dictionary. Springfield: G. and C. Merriman and Co., 1961.
- White, Leslie. The Science of Culture. New York: Farrar and Strauss, 1949.
- Williams, R.M. The Reduction of Intergroup Tentions: A

 Survey of Research on Problems of Ethnic, Racial and
 Religious Group Relations. New York: Social Science
 Research Council, 1947.
- wilson, H. and Leonard Thompson (eds.). The Oxford History of South Africa, vol. 1. South Africa to 1870. London: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Wirth, Louis. "The Problem of Minority Groups," Theories of Society, New York: Pree Press, 1961.
- Wollheim, Ossar. Recorded Interview. (Pormer member Cape. Coloured Provincial Council).
- World Council of Churches. "The Case for Withdrawal of

- Investments from South Africa, " Objective: Justice, vol. 5, no. 2, 1973.
- Worrall, Dennis. "South Africa's Reactions to External Criticism," in South African Dialogue, Rhoodie (ed.). Johannesburg: HcGraw-Hill, 1972.
- Wuttunee, William I. <u>Buffled Feathers: Indians in Canadian</u>
 <u>Society</u>. Calgary: Bell Books, 1971.
- Yengwa, M.B. "The Bantustans," in <u>Apartheid</u>, la Guma (ed.).
 New York: International Publishers, 1971.
- Young, A.S. "Sports and the Wegro," in Sport and American Society, Reading: Addison-Wesley, 1970.
- Zagnoev, Dudley. Recorded Interview. (Secretary of the South African Pootball Union).
- Zavardski, Bohdan. "Limitations of the Scapegoat Theory of Prejudice," <u>Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology</u>, 1948.
- Zimmerman, __. Recorded Interview. (Head of government department of Domestic Information).

Meyspapers

Dailies

Cape Argus (Cape Town)

Diamond Fields Advisor (Kimberley)

Die Burger (Cape Town)

Die Transvaler (Johannesburg)

Baster Province Herald (Port Elizabeth)

London Times (London)

Batal Daily News (Durban)

Pretoria News (Pretoria)

Rand Daily Mail (Johannesburg)

Rapport (Johannesburg)

Sunday Express (Johannesburg)

Sunday Times (Johannesburg)

The Star (Cape Town)

Weeklies

Connent & Opinion (Pretoria)

Die Beeld (Johannesburg)

Pinancial Hail (Johannesburg)

Bonthlies

Race Relations News (Johannesburg)

APPENDIX 1

INTRODUCTORY MOTE TO QUESTIONNAIRE

Dear Sir/Madam:

I as a Physical Education student at the University of Alberta in Alberta, Canada, using the South African sport situation as a research topic.

You have been selected to take part in this research study in the capacity of a respondent to the prepared questionnaire. Your cooperation is essential to the success of the study. You will find included a questionnaire, a sheet for some personal information, an answer sheet and an envelope addressed to a South African destination. Since my departure to Canada is in approximately three weeks, I would appreciate a response at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your time and support.

Sincerely,

Johan Louw

APPENDIX 2

PERSONAL INPORNATION PLUS SINGLE RESPONSE

		1 _m 2° +	\		
		•			

Name (op	tional)			ė	
	tional)	2.			*
Race		•	d _i	e ve	
Place of	Birth				
Religion	(optional)		ī	N. S. C.	
Education	nal Level		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Are you:	a. an athletb. a politicc. a sports	Tan	ark ans	wer with.	X)
PGLSOHUI	following in importance (thank it with a pices).	DWI STOTA 1			585
R	eligion	••			
P	olitics.				• 1
S	port	-,			
E	conomy				•
			•		
					· •

APPENDIX 3

QUESTIONNAIRE

- 1. Is apartheid in sport necessary?
- 2. Should South African sportsmen compete against sportsmen of other countries irrespective of their race?
- 3. Is apartheid necessary for harmony between the different races in South Africa?
- 4. Sould interracial sports competitions within South Africa be allowed? (On domestic level, that is).
- 5. Are you in favor of better relations between the different racial groups in South Africa?
- 6. Will integrated sport improve racial relations?
- 7. Would you be willing to compete against or on an integrated team outside South Africa?
- 8. Would you be willing to compete against or on an integrated team within South Africa?
- 9. Are you in favor of racial integration in education?
- 10. Are you in favor of racial integration in religious institutions?
- 11. Are you in favor of racial integration in public places?
- 12. Are you in favor of racial integration in industry?
- 13. Are you in favor of racial integration in marraige?
- 14. Should Whites and Blacks play their sports together?
- 15. Is sport more popular with Blacks than with Whites?
- 16. Is sport more popular with Whites than with Blacks?
 - 17. Is sport of importance to the government?
 - 18. Do you agree with this statement: "Sport must not be dragged into politics"?
 - 19. Does the government follow this approach?
- 20. Is South Africa's expulsion from international sports

competition of comments to you?

- 22. Are you in favor of the government's recent approach towards 'selti-mational, (racial)' sports competition?
- 23. Does the government experience pressure from its supporters to ease its legislation in sport (political legislation)?
- 24. Could the different races be kept separate in industry?
- 25. Is sport threatening the structure of apartheid?
- 26. Is domestically integrated sport possible in the near future?
- 27. Kre Black South Africans inherently more intelligent than White South Africans?
- 28. Have Black South Africans greater physical ability than ... White South Africans?
- 29. Are White South Africans inherently more intelligent than Black South Africans?
- 30. Do White South Africans have inherently greater physical ability than Black South Africans?
- 31. If South Africa is to be expelled from international rugby competion, would this cause great disatisfaction of the voters at the government?

Note: Blacks - officially referred/to as non-whites.