This document has been digitized by the Oil Sands Research and Information Network, University of Alberta with permission of Alberta Environment.

THE 1981 SNOWPACK SURVEY IN THE AOSERP STUDY AREA

by

W. A. Murray

Promet Environmental Group Ltd.

for RESEARCH MANAGEMENT DIVISION ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT

December 1981

· · ·

TABLE OF CONTENT	S
------------------	---

		Page
LIST OF T	ABLES	. viii
LIST OF F	I GURE S	. ×
ABSTRACT.		. xv
ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS	. xvi
1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
2. 2.1 2.2	METHODS Sample Collection and Handling Chemical Analysis	. 4 . 4 . 5
3. 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	RESULTS. Meteorological History of the Snowpack Snow Depth Statistics. Snow Density. Concentrations of Constituents of the Snowmelt Snowpack Loading of Major Ions. Snowpack Loading of Non-Alkaline Metals, Insoluble and Soluble.	 . 11 . 11 . 18 . 18 . 24 . 24 . 24 . 24
4. 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	DISCUSSION Deposition Patterns Mass Budget Snow Collector Trays Ion Balance Principal Component and Cluster Analysis of the Data Comparison of Barringer and Chemex Analysis	. 40 . 40 . 41 . 41 . 60 . 68 . 74
5.	CONCLUSIONS	. 79
6.	REFERENCES CITED	. 81
7. 7.1 7.2 7.3	APPENDIX. The Spatial Distribution of the Measured Snow- pack Loadings on 10 January 1981 The Spatial Distribution of the Measured Snow- pack Loadings on 20 February 1981 The Spatial Distribution of the Difference in Contaminant Loadings Between the January 1981 and 1978 Snowpack Surveys	. 83 . 83 . 102 . 116
8.	LIST OF AOSERP RESEARCH REPORTS	. 121

LIST OF TABLES

		age
1.	Analytical Techniques Used in the Quantitative Determination of Major Ion and Trace Metal Concen- trations by Chemex Laboratories Ltd	9
2.	Analytical Techniques Used in the Quantitative Determination of Major Ion Concentrations and Heavy Metal Amounts by Barringer Magenta Ltd	10
3.	Daily Weather Summaries at Fort McMurray Airport Prior to and During the Snow Survey	12
4.	Snow Depth and Density Statistics for 10 to 13 January 1981	19
5.	Snow Depth Statistics for 20 to 23 February 1981	21
6.	Measured Snowmelt Major Ion Concentrations for Each Site on 10 to 13 January 1981	26
7.	Measured Snowmelt Major Ion Concentrations for Each Site on 20 to 23 February 1981	27
8.	Measured Soluble Metal Concentrations for Each Site on 10 to 13 January 1981	28
9.	Measured Soluble Metal Concentrations for Each Site on 20 to 23 February 1981	29
10.	Measured Concentrations of Major lons and Soluble Trace Metals Found in the Snowmelt from the Collector Trays	30
11.	Mass of Trace Metals in Snowmelt from the Collector Trays	31
12.	Snowpack Loadings of Major lons for Each Site on 10 to 13 January 1981 Calculated from Measured Con- centrations, Snowmelt Volume, and Area Sampled	32
13.	Snowpack Loadings of Major lons for Each Site on 20 to 23 February 1981 Calculated from Measured Concen- trations, Snowmelt Volume, and Area Sampled	33
14.	Loadings of Major lons for the Snow Collector Trays which Were Set Out from 16 January to 23 February 1981	34

Page

LIST OF TABLES (CONCLUDED)

			Pane
1	5.	Variability of Major Ion Loadings of the Snowpack	35
1	6.	Snowpack Loadings of Metals for Each Site on 10 to 13 January 1981 Calculated from Measured Concentrations, Snowmelt Volume, and Area Sampled	36
1	7.	Snowpack Loadings of Metals for Each Site on 20 to 23 February 1981 Calculated from Measured Concentra- tions, Snowmelt Volume, and Area Sampled	37
1	8.	Loadings of Metals for the Snow Collector Trays which Were Set Out from 16 January to 23 February 1981	38
1	9.	Variability of Insoluble and Soluble Trace Metal Loadings	39
2	0.	Average Mass of Substances Deposited Per Day Within 25 km of Site N1 from 6 November 1980 to 10 January 1981	55
2	1.	The Mass Budget of Various Substances Released to the Atmosphere by the Suncor and Syncrude Plants and Deposited Within 25 km from 6 November 1980 to 12 January 1981	59
2	2.	Results of an Ion Balance Done With Snowmelt Major Ion Concentration for Each Site of the January 1981 Snow Survey	61
2	3.	Results of an Ion Balance Done With Snowmelt Major Ion Concentration for Each Site of the February 1981 Snow Survey	62 [.]
2	4.	Results of an Ion Balance Done With Major Ion Concen- trations for Each of the Snow Sampler Sites	63
2	5.	Comparison of Analyses by Chemex and Barringer of Blank Samples	75
2	6.	Comparison of Barringer and Chemex Snow Samples Analyses for the 10 to 13 January 1981 Survey	77
2	7.	Comparison of Barringer and Chemex Snow Sample Analyses for the 20 to 23 February 1981 Survey	78

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
1.	Map of the AOSERP Study Area	3
2.	Map of the Study Area	7
3.	Map of the Study Area Near the Extraction Plants	8
4.	Accumulated Fresh Snowfall and Air Temperatures for the Study Area from 1 December 1980 to 23 February 1981	16
5.	Wind Roses Showing the Frequency Distribution of Wind Direction at the Mildred Lake Station During the Life- time of the Snowpack	17
6.	Snow Depths on 10 January 1981	23
7.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of the Sulphate Ion in the Study Area, 10 January 1981	43
8.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of the Nitrate Ion in the Study Area, 10 January 1981	44
9.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Aluminum in the Study Area, 10 January 1981	45
10.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Manganese in the Study Area, 10 January 1981	46
11.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Vanadium in the Study Area, 10 January 1981	47
12.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Sulphate Ion in the Study Area on 20 February 1981	48
13.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Nitrate Ion in the Study Area on 20 February 1981	49
14.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Aluminum in the Study Area on 20 February 1981	50
15.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Manganese in the Study Area on 20 February 1981	51
16.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Vanadium in the Study Area on 20 February 1981	52
17.	The Spatial Distribution of the Difference in Sulphate Ion Loadings in the AOSERP Study Area Between January 1978 and January 1981	53

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

18.	The Spatial Distribution of the Difference in Insoluble Aluminum Loadings in the AOSERP Study Area Between January 1978 and January 1981	Page . 54
19.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack pH in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 56
20.	The Spatial Distribution of the Difference in Snow- pack pH in the Study Area Between January 1978 and January 1981	• 57
21.	The Spatial Distribution of the Difference in Calcium Ion Loadings in the Study Area Between January 1978 and January 1981	. 58 .
22.	The Contribution of Hydrogen and Calcium lons to the Total Positive lon-Equivalents in Snowmelt Collected from the Oil Sands Area on 10 January 1981 as a Func- tion of pH	• 64
23.	The Contribution of Hydrogen and Calcium lons to the Total Positive Ion-Equivalents in Snowmelt Collected from the Oil Sands Area on 23 February 1981 as a Function of pH	. 65
24.	The Spatial Distribution of the Contribution of Hydrogen Ions to the Total Positive Ion-Equivalents in Snowmelt Collected from the Oil Sands Area on 10 January 1981	66
25.	The Spatial Distribution of the Contribution of Hy- drogen lons to the Total Positive lon-Equivalents in Snowmelt Collected from the Oil Sands Area on 23 February 1981	67
26.	A Three-Dimensional Representation of a Principal Component Analysis of the January 1981 Snowpack Loading Data	70
27.	A Three-Dimensional Representation of a Principal Component Analysis of the February 1981 Snowpack Loading data	71
28.	The Geographical Location of Groups of Sites Identi- fied by Cluster Analysis as Having Similar Snow Chemistry on 10 January 1981	· 72
29.	The Geographical Location of Groups of Sites Identified by Cluster Analysis as Having Similar Snow Chemistry on 23 February 1981	73

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

		Page
30.	A Comparison of pH Measured by Chemex Immediately After Melting the Snow With That Measured by Barringer One to Two Weeks After Melting	. 76
31.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack pH in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 84
32.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Sulphate Ion in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 85
33.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Nitrate Ion in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 86
34.	The Spatial Distribution of Cl Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 87
35.	The Spatial Distribution of NH ⁺ -N Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 88
36.	The Spatial Distribution of K ⁺ Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 89
37.	The Spatial Distribution of Na ⁺ Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 90
38.	The Spatial Distribution of Mg ⁺⁺ Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 91
39 .	The Spatial Distribution of Ca ⁺⁺ Snowpack Loading on 10 January 1981	. 92
40.	The Spatial Distribution of Total Insoluble Metal Loading on 10 January 1981	• 93
41.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Aluminum in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 94
42.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Vanadium in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	• 95
43.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Manganese in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 96
44.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Insoluble Titanium in the Study Area on 10 January 1981	. 97

LIST OF FIGURES (CONTINUED)

45.	Page The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Soluble Aluminum in the Study Area on 10 January 1981
46.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Soluble Vanadium in the Study Area on 10 January 1981
47.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Soluble Iron in the Study Area on 10 January 1981100
48.	The Spatial Distribution of Snowpack Loading of Soluble Nickel in the Study Area on 10 January 1981101
49.	Spatial Distribution of Snowpack pH on 20 February 1981
50.	Spatial Distribution of Cl [~] Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981103
51.	The Spatial Distribution of NH_4^+ -N Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981
52.	The Spatial Distribution of K ⁺ Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981105
53.	The Spatial Distribution of Na ⁺ Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981106
54.	The Spatial Distribution of Mg ⁺⁺ Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981107 ⁻
55.	The Spatial Distribution of Ca ⁺⁺ Snowpack Loading on 20 February 1981108
56.	The Spatial Distribution of Total Insoluble Metal Loading on 20 February 1981
57.	The Spatial Distribution of Insoluble Titanium Loading on 20 February 1981
58.	The Spatial Distribution of Soluble Aluminum Loading on 20 February 1981
59.	The Spatial Distribution of Soluble Vanadium Loading on 20 February 1981
60.	The Spatial Distribution of Soluble Iron Loading on 20 February 1981

LIST OF FIGURES (CONCLUDED)

		Page
61.	The Spatial Distribution of Soluble Nickel Loading on 20 February 1981	115
62.	Difference in NO ₃ -N Loadings Between the January 1978 and 1981 Snowpack Surveys	117
63.	Difference in Al Loadings Between the January 1978 and 1981 Snowpack Surveys	118
64.	Difference in Vanadium Loadings Between the January 1981 and 1978 Snowpack Surveys	119
65.	Difference in Manganese Loadings Between January 1978 and 1981 Snowpack Surveys	120

ABSTRACT

Snow samples were collected in the Athabasca Oil Sands region of northeastern Alberta in mid-January and late February 1981. The snow depth was measured and snow cores were taken at 60 sites around the oil sands plants. Snow sample collectors were set out at six of the sites in mid-January and removed in late February. Quantitative chemical analyses of the samples were carried out by a commercial laboratory. Duplicate samples from nine of the sites were analyzed as an independent cross-check. Concentrations of the major ions (SO_{4}^{-} , NO_{3}^{-} , CI^{-} , NH_{4}^{+} , K^{+} , H^{+} , Na^{+} , Mg^{++} , Ca^{++}) as well as the insoluble (A1, Mn, Ti, V) and soluble (Al, Fe, Ni, V) constituents were determined. Snowpack loadings were computed from the measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and the area sampled. The amounts of sulphate and nitrate deposited in the snow within 25 km of the oil sands plants have increased by 88 and 27% respectively, since the previous study in 1978. The amounts of insoluble particulates have decreased markedly.

.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the Research Management Division of Alberta Environment. The author thanks L. Barrie and J. Kovalick for their assistance with sample techniques; D. LaBerge, R. Venzi, C. Vairo, and A. Lipski for their help in the interpretation of the chemical analyses; and M. Morrow for doing the multivariate analysis of the data.

xvi

1. INTRODUCTION

The fate of pollutants emitted into the atmosphere is a complex problem which has been under intensive scrutiny over the past few years. This effort has been spurred by the possible implications for lake or soil acidification, and the possible effects on vegetation.

In order to determine the disposition of pollutant emissions, one must understand the mechanisms of transport and dispersion, the chemical transformations of the pollutants, and the processes that remove material from plumes. Snowpack sampling and analysis is a convenient method of investigating the removal processes of wet and dry deposition. In the absence of substantial thawing, the snow cover retains a good portion of the annual precipitation and its chemical constituents.

There have been two studies of the deposition of pollutants to the snowpack in the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program (AOSERP) study area. In 1976, a snow chemistry survey at 55 sites in the area elucidated the spatial deposition patterns of sulphur and hydrogen (Barrie and Whelpdale 1978). (See Figure 1 which shows the AOSERP study area in the northeast corner of Alberta.) A second survey which extended the chemical analysis to include major ions and trace metals, was carried out at 60 sites in January 1978 (Barrie and Kovalick 1980). Both studies consisted of extensive sampling of the accumulated snowpack, followed by a chemical analysis of the snowmelt to determine its ionic and particulate constituents. The results delineated the deposition patterns and spatial variability of the snowpack loading around the Suncor plant.

Industrial emissions of contaminants into the atmosphere increased by up to a factor of two within the region when the Syncrude plant began operation in late 1978. The main purpose of the current study was to discover whether wintertime pollutant deposition rates, and resultant snowpack loadings, had increased proportionately.

In the current study, snow samples were collected following the methodology used in the previous winter deposition studies in the AOSERP area. Two surveys were performed about 6 wk apart, so that accumulated deposition of emissions could be compared to model predictions.



Figure 1. Map of the AOSERP study area.

2. <u>METHODS</u>

Two field studies were undertaken, spaced about 6 wk apart. The studies were carried out from 10 to 13 January, and from 20 to 23 February 1981.

2.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION AND HANDLING

Snow was collected at 60 sites, shown in Figures 2 and 3, within 100 km of the Syncrude and Suncor plants. All sites were serviced by helicopter, except for those along Highway 63.

At each site, two samples were collected in plastic bags: one for trace metal analysis and one for major ion analysis. Each sample consisted of three snow cores obtained with a device similar to that used in the previous studies (Barrie and Whelpdale 1978; Barrie and Kovalick 1980). The snow corer was a half-cylindrical, acrylic tube, 1 m long and 80 cm² in cross-section. The flat side of the device was removable to facilitate removal of the core.

The procedure for obtaining a snow core was as follows:

- 1. Measure the snow depth.
- Clean the corer by shoving it in and out of the snowpack several times.
- 3. Insert corer vertically to the bottom of the snowpack.
- 4. Clear snow from the flat side of the sampler.
- 5. Insert an acrylic shovel, having the same crosssection as the corer, under the lower end of the sampler.
- 6. Tilt the sampler until horizontal.
- 7. Remove the flat side of the sampler.
- 8. Measure core length and crust positions.
- Cut off and discard any snow containing grass or ground debris.
- 10. Measure the length of snow cut off, if any.
- 11. Slide the remaining core into a plastic bag.

At nine of the 60 sites, four samples of three cores were bagged. The first and second bags were sent to Chemex Laboratories (Alberta) Ltd. in Calgary; the third and fourth were sent to Barringer Magenta Ltd. in Toronto for chemical analysis. This procedure allowed an evaluation of the variability in results expected due to intra-site (within site) variability and analytical errors.

Snow collectors were deployed at six sites on 16 January. The collectors were 12 cm deep, 36 cm wide, and 49 cm long. They were light-coloured to avoid heat absorption. The snow in the collectors was bagged on 23 February and shipped in the frozen state to Chemex Laboratories for analysis.

The snow core samples were stored in freezers at the AOSERP Mildred Lake Research Facility until the end of each collection period, and then shipped in the frozen state to Chemex Laboratories. The 18 duplicate samples were melted, bottled in 1.5 L polyethylene bottles, and shipped to Barringer Magenta. Two blank samples of distilled water were also sent to Barringer.

2.2 CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

The analysis of the snow was undertaken through commercial laboratories. Snow samples from all 60 sites plus a blank sample were analyzed by Chemex, while duplicate samples from nine sites plus a blank were analyzed by Barringer. The six samples from the snow collectors were analyzed by Chemex. The following analyses were carried out:

- 1. Major ions: SO_{4}^{-} , CI^{-} , NO_{3}^{-} , NH_{4}^{+} , K^{+} , Na^{+} , Mg^{++} , Ca^{++} , as well as pH and alkalinity
- 2. Particulates and heavy metals: Al, Fe, Ni, V, Mn, and Ti.

Blank samples were analyzed to determine detection limits and as a quality control measure.

The chemical techniques used by Chemex and Barringer for the quantitative analyses are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Chemex used the same analytical methods as Barrie and Kovalick (1980). Barringer used ion chromatography for the major ions, and an inductively coupled plasma technique for the metals.

Upon arrival in Calgary, the frozen samples were stored in freezers at a temperature of about -20°C. The samples were melted on 15-16 January and 26-27 February for the first and second surveys, respectively. Melted samples from nine sites plus two blanks were packed in an insulated container and shipped by unheated truck to Barringer. Chemex finished their analyses by 23 February and 27 March for the two groups of samples. Barringer completed their analyses by 31 January and 16 March.



Figure 2. Map of the study area. Dots mark the locations at which snow was sampled.



Figure 3. Map of the study area near the extraction plants. Solid circles indicate snow sampling locations which could not be clearly identified in Figure 2.

	Method	Detection Limit ^a
Sulphate Chloride Nitrate Ammonia Potassium Sodium Magnesium Calcium Aluminum (Soluble) Iron (Soluble) Nickel (Soluble) Vanadium (Soluble) Vanadium (Insoluble) Vanadium (Insoluble) Manganese (Insoluble) Titanium (Insoluble)	<pre>ion chromatography mercury thiocyanate (colorimetric) cadmium reduction (colorimetric) alk. Phenol (colorimetric) flame photometric flame photometric atomic absorption atomic absorption solvent extraction (atomic absorption) solvent extraction (atomic absorption) solvent extraction (atomic absorption) solvent extraction (atomic absorption) neutron activation^b neutron activation^b neutron activation^b</pre>	0.01 0.06 0.003 0.001 0.06 0.02 0.01 0.05 0.001 0.002 0.001 0.001 1.0 μg 0.1 μg 0.1 μg 0.1 μg 0.1 μg
pH Alkalinity	electrode titration to pH 4 then back to 5.6 under N ₂	

Table 1. Analytical techniques used in the quantitative determination of major ion and trace metal concentrations by Chemex Laboratories Ltd.

amg/L unless otherwise stated

^bfiltered samples of the insoluble metals were analyzed by Nuclear Activation Services Ltd., Hamilton, Ontario.

.

	Method	Detection Limit (mg/L)
Sulphate	ion chromatography	0.01
Chloride	ion chromatography	0.01
Nitrate	ion chromatography	0.01
Potassium	ion chromatography	0.02
Sodium	ion chromatography	0.01
Ammonia	ion chromatography	0.01
Calcium	ion chromatography	0.01
Magnesium	ion chromatography	0.01
Aluminum (Soluble)	inductively coupled plasma	0.005
lron (Soluble)	inductively coupled plasma	0.002
Nickel (Soluble)	inductively coupled plasma	0.005
Vanadium (Soluble)	inductively coupled plasma	0.001
Aluminum (Insoluble)	inductively coupled plasma ^a	0.005
Vanadium (Insoluble)	inductively coupled plasmaa	0.001
Manganese (Insoluble)	inductively coupled plasmaa	0.010
Titanium (Insoluble) pH	inductively coupled plasma ^a electrode	0.005
Alkalinity	titration to pH 4 then back to 5.6 under N_2	

Table 2. Analytical techniques used in the quantitative determination of major ion concentrations and heavy metal amounts by Barringer Magenta Ltd.

^a samples from the second study period were analyzed for insoluble heavy metals using the neutron activation method.

3. RESULTS

The following sections present the data and results for the January and February 1981 snowpack surveys.

3.1 METEOROLOGICAL HISTORY OF THE SNOWPACK

A summary of the weather at Fort McMurray Airport prior to and during the snow surveys is presented in Table 3. The early winter was cold with a mean temperature of -22.9°C in December 1981, compared to the long-term normal (Environment Canada 1973) of -18.4°C. January and February 1981 were very mild with mean temperatures of -9.4 and -10.9°C, compared to the normals of -21.5 and -19.2°C, respectively. The trends of air temperature and snowpack depth over the winter of 1980-81 are illustrated in Figure 4.

Positive temperatures were observed on several days prior to the first sampling period. However, the snow depth measurements indicate that this did not result in significant melting. Between the two surveys, there were 11 d with positive temperatures. The snow depth data indicate that there was significant melting on 14 and 15 February when the maximum temperatures were 7.5 and 9.9°C, respectively. It was not possible to restrict the core samples to the layer above the crust formed on 15 February because there was very little snowfall from then until the beginning of the second sampling period on 20 February. The meltwater trickling through the snowpack would have tended to leach out the contaminants.

The frequency distributions of surface wind direction at Mildred Lake from 7 November 1980 to 13 January 1981 (prior to the first sampling period) and from 14 January to 23 February are shown in Figure 5. The prevalent directions during both periods were southeasterly and northerly. In contrast, during the winter of 1977-78, when the previous snowpack survey was conducted, there was a maximum of southerly rather than southeasterly winds.

	Air Ten	nperature		i	recipitatio	n	
Date	Maximum (°C)	Minimum (°C)	Snowfall Amount (cm)	Water Equivalent (mm)	Rainfall (mm)	Total Amount (mm)	Snow Depth (cm)
1980			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 Dec.	-23.5	-29.0	tr	tr	0	tr	3
2	-23.0	-31.8	0	0	0	0	3
3	-21.7	-30.0	2.4	2.4	0	2.4	3
4	-24.8	-31.2	tr	tr	0	tr	5
5	-24.2	-27.6	4.2	5.0	0	5.0	7
6	-25.2	-33.1	tr	tr	0	tr	10
7	-20.9	-34.0	tr	tr	0	tr	10
8	-19.0	-32.2	0.4	0.4	0	0.4	10
9	-27.4	-34.2	0	0	0	0	10
10	-21.9	-36.6	3.2	0.6	0	0.6	8
11	-18.1	-23.8	9.2	8.0	0	8.0	12
12	-18.7	-26.8	0 ·	0	0	0	18
13	-15.7	-24.9	1.0	1.0	0	1.0	17
14	-7.8	-17.5	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.9	16
15	-1.4	-9.6	0	0	0.3	0.3	17
16	5.4	-15.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	2.0	16
17	-15.0	-24.8	tr	tr	0	tr	15
18	-23.7	-30.5	tr	tr	0	tr	14
19	-28.5	-36.1	tr	tr	0	tr	14
20 、	-30.6	-39.7	tr	tr	0	tr	14
21	-30.0	-38.1	tr	tr	0	tr	14
22	-26.6	-32.2	tr	tr	0	tr	14
23	-24.2	-34.6	tr	tr	0	tr	13
24	-23.0	-34.3	tr	tr	0	tr	13

Table 3. Daily weather summaries at Fort McMurray airport prior to and during the snow survey.

tr-trace

continued...

	Air Temperature		Precipitation					
Date	Maximum (° _C)	Minimum (°C)	Snowfall Amount (cm)	Water Equivalent (mm)	Rainfall (mm)	Total Amount (mm)	Snow Depth (cm)	
1980		- <u>1</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u></u>	
25 Dec.	-19.4	-30.0	1.8	0.4	0	0.4	13	
26	-13.3	-19.6	3.8	2.4	0	2.4	15	
27	-18.2	-24.4	9.1	8.0	0	8.0	22	
28	-18.2	-28.2	3.6	2.8	0	2.8	24	
29	-3.4	-19.4	1.0	1.0	tr	1.0	28	
30	0.7	-9.4	tr	tr	0	tr	27	
31	-1.4	-17.8	tr	tr	0	tr	26	
1981	•							
1 Jan.	-17.5	-24.2	tr	tr	0	tr	26	
2	-13.9	-19.9	tr	tr	0	tr	26	
3	-12.0	-16.3	0	0	0	0	25	
4	0.1	-13.0	0	0	0	0	25	
5	-7.5	-17.2	0.9	0.7	1	0.7	24	
6	-10.1	-23.2	2.7	2.7	0	2.7	25	
7	-10.0	-14.3	3.7	3.4	0	3.4	30	
8	-14.0	-24.4	2.2	0.7	0	0.7	32	
9	-15.8	-25.8	tr	tr	0	tr	31	
10	-8.0	-17.4	0.4	0.4	0	0.4	31	
11	2.2	-15.4	0	0	0	0	30	
12 、	3.6	-10.7	0	0	0	0	29	
13	0.0	-6.1	0	0	0	0	29	
14	-5.0	-10.5	tr	tr	0	tr	27	
15	-5.4	-11.2	tr	tr	0	tr	26	
16	-3.8	-15.3	0	0	0	0	26	

Table 3. Continued.

.

	Air Temperature		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Precipitation					
Date	Maximum (^O C)	Minimum (°C)	Snowfall Amount (cm)	Water Equivalent (mm)	Rainfall (mm)	Total Amount (mm)	Snow Depth (cm)		
1981	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u></u>		
17 Jan.	-0.8	-17.9	0	0	0	0	25		
18	2.4	-15.5	i O	0	0	0	25		
1 9	-0.1	-14.8	0	0	0	0	25		
20	8.5	-6.3	0	0	0	0	25		
21	1.0	-7.2	0	0	0	0	24		
22	-1.7	-10.3	0	0	0	0	23		
23	5.2	-5.2	0	0	0	0	23		
24	3.3	-5.2	tr	tr	0	tr	23		
25	-1.8	-9.1	3.1	1.6	0	1.6	23		
26	-8.5	-13.7	0.7	tr	0	tr	25		
27	-8.4	-10.5	tr	tr	0	tr	26		
28	-6.7	-13.1	tr	tr	0	tr	26		
29	-10.2	-16.0	0.6	tr	0	tr	26		
30	-7.8	-10.5	0.6	0.4	0	0.4	26		
31	-8.5	-12.8	1.4	0.2	0	0.2	27		
1 Feb.	-11.1	=19.8	tr	tr	0	tr	28		
2	-6.2	-20.6	tr	tr	0	tr	27		
3	-0.7	-16.0	Ó	0	0	0	27		
4	0.5	-7.6	tř	tr	0	tr	26		
`5	-7.6	-12.7	tr	tr	0	tr	25		
6	-8.2	-25.3	1.0	0.3	0	0.3	26		
7	-19.5	-31.7	1.4	1.3	0	1.3	25		
8	-18.8	-25.2	1.0	0.3	0	0.3	24		
9	-23.7	-30.7	0.4	0.3	0	0.3	24		

.

Table 3. Continued.

continued...

	Tab	е	3.	Conc	luded.
--	-----	---	----	------	--------

•

	Air Temperature			1	Precipitatio	n	
Date	Maximum (°C)	Minimum (°C)	Snowfall Amount (cm)	Water Equivalent (mm)	Rainfall (mm)	Total Amount (mm)	Snow Depth (cm)
1981							
10 Feb.	-20.7	-32.8	0	0	0	0	24
11	-21.1	-37.5	tr	tr	0	tr	24
12	-17.4	-37.3	0	0	0	0	24
13	-11.1	-26.0	0.8	0.8	0	0.8	24
14	7.5	-14.0	0	0	0	0	24
15	9.9	2.4	0	0	0	0	21
16	2.9	-13.3	0	0	0	0	16
17	-2.6	-14.0	0	0	0	0	16
18	7.6	-8.7	0	0	0	0	16
19	3.2	-12.3	1.6	1.3	0.3	1.6	16
20	-0.1	-9.6	0.7	0.2	0	0.2	18
21	3.7	-16.4	tr	tr	0	tr	18
22	5.2	-12.6	tr	tr	0.3	0.3	17
23	-0.3	-6.5	0	0	0	0	16

.



Figure 4. Accumulated fresh snowfall and air temperatures for the study area from 1 December 1980 to 23 February 1981.



Figure 5. Wind roses showing the frequency distribution of wind direction at the Mildred Lake station during the lifetime of the snowpack. Wind roses, adapted from the original figures in Barrie and Kovalick (1980) for the winters of 1973-74, 1974-75, and 1977-78 are shown for comparison.

3.2 SNOW DEPTH STATISTICS

The means and standard deviations of snow depth at each of the sites are presented in Tables 4 and 5 for the first and second sampling periods, respectively. The average snow depth in the January survey was 32 cm. The standard deviation of 3 cm represents a variability of 10% about the mean for the network of sites. The intra-site variability of snow depth was typically about 2% of the mean, although, there were larger variations at some sites (e.g., 8% at R3).

The spatial variation of snow depth, illustrated in Figure 6, shows relatively low amounts at the northern sites, Birch Mountain and Firebag, and relatively high amounts at the extreme southern sites. This is related to the general north-south gradient of precipitation in the area from November through January, inclusive. There are relative maxima of snow depth to the north and south of the oil sands plants. These features may be related to 'snow out', the precipitation of ice particles from the smoke plumes from the plants.

In the second survey, the values were similar to those in the first, the average depth being 31 cm. There was more variability (8%) from site to site, however, with a standard deviation of 5 cm. The intra-site variability was again about 2% of the mean.

3.3 SNOW DENSITY

Snow densities are also reported in Tables 4 and 5. The values were estimated by dividing the meltwater volume by the volume of the snow cores. The average density over the network on 10 to 13 January was 0.17 g/cm³. The values varied from 0.13 to 0.22 g/cm³ with a standard deviation of 0.015 g/cm³. The variability between samples at a given site was relatively low. Typically, the standard deviation about the mean at a site was 0.006 g/cm³. Snow densities were higher in the second survey with an average value over the network of 0.23 g/cm³. The values ranged from 0.19 to 0.30 g/cm³ with a standard deviation of 0.025 g/cm³.

				······································	
Site	Mean Depth (cm)	Standard Deviation (cm)	%	Number of Cores	Density (g/cm3)
NNE 1 NNE 2 NNE 3 NNE 4 NE 2 NE 3 NE 4 NE 5 E 1 E 2 SE 3 SE 4 SE 2 SE 3 SE 2 SE 3 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2 SE 2	(cm) 31.1 32.2 34.0 31.2 31.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 28.7 30.0 29.7 30.0 29.7 30.0 29.7 30.8 34.3 29.2 33.0 29.5 30.0 39.0 32.4 36.9 36.4 34.8 26.0 29.5 38.0 31.2 30.0 39.0 39.0 32.4 36.9 36.4 34.8 29.5 38.0 31.2 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 30.0 30.0 39.0 30.0	(cm) 0.3 0.3 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.3 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.8 1.1 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.8 1.1 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.9 0.8 0.4 0.5 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.8\\ 0.0\\ 1.3\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 5.1\\ 1.0\\ 0.0\\ 5.1\\ 1.0\\ 0.0\\ 1.8\\ 0.0\\ 1.7\\ 6.0\\ 2.8\\ 1.3\\ 2.4\\ 3.8\\ 0.0\\ 2.0\\ 0.0\\ 6.0\\ 4.9\\ 4.6\\ 2.5\\ 1.2\\ 0.0\\ 1.9\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\end{array}$	Cores	(g/cm3) 0.16 0.16 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.18 0.17 0.17 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.17 0.18 0.19 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.18 0.19 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.19 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.16 0.17 0.1
SW4 SW5 W1 W2 W3 NW3 NW4 NW5	29.0 26.0 31.1 30.0 28.0 31.0 31.0 29.3	0.0 0.0 0.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8	6 6 12 6 12 6 12 6 6	0.18 0.19 0.18 0.16 0.17 0.16 0.16 0.17

Table 4. Snow depth and density statistics for 10 to 13 January 1981.

continued...

Tabl	e.	+.	Conci	luded.
------	----	----	-------	--------

Site	Mean Depth (cm)	Standard Deviation (cm)	%	Number of Cores	Density (g/cm ³)
NNW1	33.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.17
N 1	29.0	0.6	2.2	6	0.19
N2	37.6	1.2	3.2	6	0.15
N3	37.7	0.5	1.4	6	0.17
N4	31.2	0.4	1.3	6	0.16
N5	35.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.16
G1	29.8	0.4	1.4	· 6	0.19
G5	28.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.17
R1	34.2	1.1	3.3	6	0.16
R2	29.7	0.5	1.7	6	0.18
R3	34.7	2.7	7.9	6	0.17
R4	28.0	0.0	0.0	12	0.18
LS	33.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.18
ELS	31.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.17
BM	26.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.22
FBG	28.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.16
ASB	30.7	0.8	2.7	6	0.16
GLK	35.0	1.1	3.1	6	0.16
SMT	33.0	0.0	ō.0	6	0.19
GC	33.0	0.0	0.0	6	0.17

.

Site	Mean Depth (cm)	Standard Deviation (cm)	%	Number of Cores	Density (g/cm3)
NNE2 NNE2 NNE2 NNE2 NE1 NE2 NE1 E2 EE2 EE2 EE2 EE2 SSE2 SSE2 SSE2 SSE	33.1 32.5 29.5 33.3 26.1 32.1 33.2 28.3 18.1 25.4 31.8 24.4 33.8 24.4 33.8 24.4 33.8 24.4 33.2 26.3 30.7 27.6 23.3 29.2 32.8 29.0 26.1 36.8 34.7 29.5 33.9 24.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 33.9 29.5 28.1 29.5 28.1 27.5 28.1 29.5 28.1 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.1 29.5 29.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.1 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.1 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.3 29.5 27.5 28.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 27.5 23.3 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.6\\ 1.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.6\\ 0.3\\ 0.4\\ 0.2\\ 0.3\\ 0.9\\ 0.5\\ 0.6\\ 0.3\\ 0.9\\ 0.5\\ 0.6\\ 0.3\\ 0.9\\ 0.5\\ 0.6\\ 0.3\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 1.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 1.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 1.1\\ 0.3\\ 0.9\\ 2.2\\ 0.5\\ 0.2\\ 0.0\\ 0.2\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 2.0\\ 3.6\\ 0.8\\ 1.9\\ 0.9\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 2.0\\ 1.8\\ 2.0\\ 1.8\\ 1.2\\ 9.8\\ 1.4\\ 2.8\\ 1.4\\ 1.1\\ 3.9\\ 1.4\\ 1.1\\ 3.9\\ 1.1\\ 3.1\\ 1.8\\ 0.7\\\end{array}$	12 6 6 6 12 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0.21 0.25 0.23 0.20 0.26 0.24 0.23 0.22 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.23 0.25 0.27 0.29
W1 W2 W3 NW3 NW4 NW5	29.7 27.3 31.2 30.5 32.1 27.3	0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.4	0.8 1.0 0.8 0.7 0.6 1.5	12 6 12 6 6	0.26 0.25 0.22 0.23 0.22 0.22

Table 5. Snow depth statistics for 20 to 23 February 1981.

continued...

-

Site	Mean Depth (cm)	Standard Deviation (cm)	%	Number of Cores	Density (g/cm ³)
NNW1	35.6	0.2	0.6	6	0.22
N1	33.1	1.2	3.5	6	0.20
N2	31.3	2.1	6.6	6	0.25
N3	37.1	0.4	1.1	6	0.19
N4	41.9	1.7	4.2	6	0.20
N5	37.7	0.3	0.7	6	0.21
G1	37.5	4.4	11.8	6	0.25
G5	30.3	0.6	2.0	6	0.24
R1	28.8	0.6	2.1	6	0.24
R2	28.8	0.3	0.9	6	0.23
R3	36.2	0.4	1.1	6	0.23
R4	28.0	0.4	1.4	12	0.28
LS	24.9	2.1	8.5	6	0.26
ELS	45.7	0.5	1.1	6	0.21
BCH	40.2	4.6	11.5	6	0.30
FBG	31.3	0.4	1.3	6	0.20
ASB	20.3	. 0.3	1.3	6	0.26
GLK	31.3	1.0	3.2	6	0.27
SMT	36.7	0.8	2.2	6	0.24
GC	35.3	0.4	1.1	6	0.24

Table 5. Concluded.



Figure 6. Snow depths (cm), 10 January 1981.

3.4 CONCENTRATIONS OF CONSTITUENTS OF THE SNOWMELT

The measured concentrations of major ions for the January and February 1981 collection periods are presented in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. Dissolved aluminum, iron, nickel, and vanadium concentrations are shown in Tables 8 and 9. Measured concentrations of the soluble constituents, and masses of insoluble trace metals found in the snowmelt from the snow collectors are shown in Tables 10 and 11, respectively.

3.5 SNOWPACK LOADING OF MAJOR IONS

Snowpack loading, the quantity of a substance in the snow per square metre of surface, was calculated for the major ions (see Tables 12 and 13) from the concentrations, snowmelt volume, and the area sampled. The hydrogen ion loadings were calculated from the pH measured in the laboratory. Major ion loadings for the six snow collectors are shown in Table 14. The variability of major ion loading at a given site due to sampling and analytical errors (Table 15) was less than 20% for SO_{4}^{-} , NO_{3}^{-} , and K^{+} and less than 82% for the other ions. The change in pH with time (see discussion in Section 4.4) accounts for the large variability reported for the H⁺ ion. (The two samples which are compared were analyzed by different laboratories at different times.) Mappings of the snowpack loading for each major ion are given in the Appendix.

3.6 SNOWPACK LOADING OF NON-ALKALINE METALS, INSOLUBLE AND SOLUBLE

The snowpack loadings of non-alkaline metals are presented in Tables 16 and 17 for the January and February 1981 collection periods, respectively. For the insoluble constituents, loadings were calculated from the weight of particulate matter filtered from the snowmelt and the area sampled. Loadings for the soluble metals were determined from the meltwater concentrations, snowmelt volume, and the area sampled. Metal loadings

for the snow collectors are given in Table 18. The variability of trace metal loadings at a given site due to sampling and analytical errors (Table 19) was 29 to 73% for the insolubles, and 40 to 92% for the solubles. Maps showing the spatial distribution of metal loading in the study area are in Sections 7.1 and 7.2.
Site	Hajor Ion Concentrations (mg/L)													
	Major Ion Volume (mL)	pH	so ₄ ⊶s	c1-	N03-N	NH ₄ ≠N	к+	Na [‡]	Hg [‡] *	Ca ⁺⁺	Aikalinity (µeq/L)			
NNE 1C NNE 18 NNE 2 NNE 2 NE 18 NE 16 NE 18 NE 2 NE 3 NE 4 NE 5C NE 5B E 1 E 2 E 3 E 4 KG	1242 1245 1230 1320 1216 1320 1250 1350 1350 1350 1320 1290 1285 1450 1246 1240 1300	7.0 7.2 7.2 6.8 6.5 6.4 9.0 4.6 6.5 4.5 5.5 4.5 5.5 4.5 5.5 2.6	0.6 0.8 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.0 0.7 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2	0.5 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.12 0.13 0.10 0.09 0.10 0.10 0.12 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.10 0.11 0.11 0.09 0.09	0.04 0.05 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.11 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.L. 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	0.38 0.42 0.12 D.L. D.L. 0.16 0.07 0.L. D.L. 0.15 D.L. 0.15 D.L. 0.15	0.5 0.3 0.4 0.3 D.L. 0.3 0.3 D.L. 2.5 0.6 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.6 0.4 D.L. 0.7 0.5 1.0 0.5 0.2 0.4 D.L. 1.9 0.2 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.1 0.5	2.5 1.7 0.4 1.8 2.2 2.3 1.2 0.2 0.5 0.1 0.2 0.2 1.0 0.3 0.2 0.7	166 240 15 156 142 192 88 22 58 0 178 24 76 26 0 64			
SE 1 SE 2 SE 3 SE 4 SE 5C SE 5B SSE 1C SSE 18 SSE 2 SSE 3 S1 S2 S3 S4 S5	1142 1100 1380 1200 1300 1295 1245 1186 1240 1430 1190 1104 1310	545.3918736841 545.45.56841	0.3 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 1.1 0.5 0.3 0.4 0.4	0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.3 1.5 0.9 2.4 1.2 1.6	0.11 0.10 0.10 0.11 0.14 0.12 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.19 0.18 0.17 0.17 0.17	0.05 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.03 D.L. 0.03 D.L. 0.03 D.L. 0.02 0.17 0.05 0.03 0.03 0.03	0.49 0.L. 0.L. 0.L. 0.16 0.L. 0.14 0.10 0.31 0.69 0.13 0.22 0.17	0.1 0.L. 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.7 1.5 0.6 1.2	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3	0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 2.2 0.3 2.2 0.3 2.2 0.3 2.2	28 4 12 18 6 0 8 32 34 30 66 22 8 16 58			
SSW1 SSW2 SW2 SW2 SW3C SW3C SW3C W1C W1B W2 W3 NW3C NW3B NW4 NW3 NW3B NW4 NW3 NW3 NW4 NW5 NN4 NS G1 G5 R1 R2 R3 R4C	1 120 1240 1650 1230 1220 1185 1384 1465 1320 1340 1210 1350 1238 1350 1238 1350 1260 1365 1280 1365 1280 1365 1280 1222 1182 1218	5.4 6.0 7.6 1.2 9.5 5.5 6.0 9.7 8.8 0.0 9.7 3.5 4.5 5.5 6.0 9.7 3.5 4.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5	0.4 0.3 0.4 0.7 0.4 1.0 0.2 1.0 1.1 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 2.7 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 0.7 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.4 0.4 1.1	0.1 0.1 2.1 0.5 0.2 0.1 0.4 0.1 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4	0.15 0.15 0.12 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.10 0.10	0.02 0.05 0.04 0.09 0.07 0.05 0.04 0.15 0.23 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02	0.12 0.L. 0.L. 0.L. 0.L. 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.22 0.L. 0.23 0.L. 0.25 0.25 0.25 1.48	0.2 0.1 1.4 0.9 0.9 0.2 1.1 0.6 0.2 0.5 1.0 0.3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.2 0.5 1.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.5 0.1 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.3 1.2 0.6 1.0 0.2 1.5 0.2 0.7 0.8 0.4 0.3 0.7 1.1 0.7 0.4 2.6 0.4 2.6 0.4 2.6 0.4 1.1 0.7 1.1 0.4 2.6 0.4 1.2 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	22 10 74 202 22 36 18 8 84 80 14 78 62 360 26 40 32 46 30 28 22 46 30 28 22 46 30 28 22 46 30 28 22 46 30 28 22 46 30 28 22 360 26 21 20 26 21 20 20 26 20 26 20 26 20 26 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			
R48 LS ELS BM FBG ASB GLK SMT GC	1255 1380 1280 1370 1140 1100 1560 1450 1320	6.7 6.3 5.9 4.8 5.5 4.5 4.5 4.5	1.5 1.4 0.3 0.6 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.2 0.3 0.8 0.1 0.1 D.L. 0.5 0.2	0.20 0.20 0.09 0.06 0.09 0.07 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.09	0.22 0.13 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02	1.62 2.47 0.16 D.L. 0.18 0.L. 0.10 D.L. 0.15	0.3 0.6 0.3 D.L. D.L. 0.2 0.2	0.4 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	2.3 1.5 0.6 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.7	112 60 34 6 16 0 0 58			

Table 6. Measured snowmelt major ion concentrations for each site on 10 to 13 January 1981 (see Figure 2 for site locations).

D.L. - Detection Limit; C - Chemex; B - Barringer

Site	Major ion Concentrations (mg/L)												
	Hajor ton Volume (mL)	рH	so ₄ -s	C1_	N03-N	нн ₄ -н	K*	Na	Hg ^{™™}	Ca ⁺⁺	Alkalinity (µeq/L)		
NNE 1C	1675	6.4	0.6	0.1	0.12	0.08	0.43	0.1	0.4	1.8	100		
NNE 18	1810	6.8	0.7	0.1	0.11	0.03	0.31	0.3	0.4	1.4	110		
NNEZ	1670	5.9	0,4	0.1	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.1	0.2	1.5	92		
NNE4	1510	4.4	0.3	0.1	0.12	0.04	<0.06	0.0	0.0	0.4	ō		
NE 1C	1610	9.6	0.7	0.1	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.7	1.9	8.5	554		
NE 1 B	1600	6.1	0.5	0.0	0.09	0.07	9.12	0.1	0.1	0.6	Ð.L.		
NEZ	1730	5.3	0.3	0.1	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.3	0.1	0.5	18		
NES	1880	5.2	0.2	0.}	0.09	0.03	<0.05	0.2	0.1	0.2	20		
NESC	1000	7 4	0.3	0.1	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.2	1.9	3.1	280		
NE5B	980	6.9	0.3	0.0	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.4	2.7	3.2	180		
E 1	1410	8.5	0.3	0.2	0.09	0.10	0.07	2.6	1.5	1.7	284		
E2	1720	8.5	0.2	0.1	0.08	0.04	0.08	1.1	0.8	2.1	200		
E3	1620	5.9	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.04	<0.06	0.0	0.8	0.7	20		
E4	2030	6.0	0.1	0.0	0.08	0.03	<0.05	0.1	0.2	0.4	<u>، در</u>		
551	1230	7 0	0.5	0.0	0.11	0.15	0.07	0.2	0.7.	2.0	135		
SE2	1560	4.8	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.02	0.10	0.0	0.0	0.2	6		
SE 3	1400	5.8	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.07	<0.06	0.3	0.2	0.8	115		
SE 4	1340	5.9	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.11	0.21	0.2	1.1	0.9	130		
SE5C	1540	7.4	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.03	<0.06	0.5	0.7	1.2	138		
SE5B	1620	6.7	0.2	0.0	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.2	0.4	1.2	/0		
55110	1770	4.9	0.3	0.1	0,12	0.05	0.07	0.1	0.1	0.4	10 D 1		
SSE2	1560	5.0 4 9	0.2	0.0	0.11	0.03	0.12	0.6	0.1	0.3	11		
SSE 3	1535	5.5	0.2	0.6	0.15	0.05	0.09	0.3	0.2	0.4	20		
\$1	1910	5.6	1.1	1.9	0.17	0.14	0.64	1.2	0.3	2.0	54		
S2	1970	5.0	0.5	0.3	0.16	0.07	0.11	0.2	0.1	0.8	12		
\$3	1650	5.6	0.3	0.2	0.14	0.05	0.06	0.2	0.1	1.0	44		
54	1910	5.1	0.4	1.4	0.15	0.04	0.06	0.8	0.1	1.0	89		
SSW1	1800	6.5	0.5	0.1	0.18	0.05	0.12	0.5	0.3	0.7	59		
SSW2	1670	5.5	0.3	0.1	0.14	0.04	0.07	0.2	0.2	0.7	36		
SW1	1880	6.6	0.4	1.3	0.12	0.07	0.06	0.7	0.5	1.6	97		
SW2	1820	5.2	0.3	0.3	0.12	0.07	0.17	0.2	0.1	0.6	18		
SW3C	1560	4.7	0.3	0.1	0.11	0.11	<0.06	0.2	0.1	0.3	٥ ٥٢		
SW38	1630	5.5	0.3	0.0	0.09	0.09	U.L. 0 10	0.0	0.1	0.3	ل لا		
584 585	1560	4.0	0.3	0.3 0.1	0.12	0.05	<0.06	0.1	0.0	0.2	ò		
w1C	1860	4.7	0.4	0.1	0.11	0.16	0.08	0.1	0.1	0.4	4		
W18	1670	5.8	0.5	0.1	0.09	0.17	D.L.	0.1	0.1	0.5	D.L.		
₩2	1640	4.7	0.2	0.1	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.0	0.0	0.3	5		
¥3	1660	6.6	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.03	0.15	1.0	0.5	0.3	87		
NW3C	1670	6.1	0.3	0.1	0.11	0.06	0.22	0.7	0.5	1 6	70		
NW4	1650	6.3	0.6	0.1	0.10	0.02	0.07	0.9	1.6	2.1	230		
NWS	1610	5.8	0.2	0.2	0.10	0.03	<0.06	0.4	0.5	0.7	74		
NNW1	1850	5.4	0.2	0.1	0.10	0.04	<0.06	0.3	0.2	0.2	24		
N1	1340	6.4	0.5	1.3	0.13	0.14	<0.06	0.8	0.4	1.3	50		
NZ NZ	1570	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.15	0.27	0.1/	0.3	0.4	1.5	50		
NL	1975	2.7	0.4	0.1	0.15	0.10	<0.12	0.1	0.2	0.5	4		
N5	1870	4.4	0.3	0.1	0 11	0.03	<0.06	0.1	0.1	0.2	Ó		
<u>G1</u>	1810	6.4	16.3	0.5	0.63	0.49	17.5	0.7	2.0	13.8	220		
G5	1700	6.9	1.2	0.2	0.14	0.12	0.08	0.2	1,0	2.8	140		
81	1675	4.9	0.3	0.1	0.15	0.05	0.26	0.1	0.1	0.4	13		
K2 97	15/5	5.U 6 a	0.5	0.1	U.14	0,00	0.27	0.1	0.0	0.0	14		
RÁC	1580	6 1	1.0	0.1	0.16	0.11	2 7	0.2	0.8	1.5	118		
848	1640	6.8	1.0	0.1	0.14	0.07	2.62	0.2	0.9	1.8	130		
LS	1630	6.7	1.4	0.1	0.20	0.14	3.5	0.1	0.2	1.5	82		
ELS	22 70	4.8	0.1	0.1	0.10	0.03	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	to		
BM	3010	4.7	0.1	0.1	0.07	0.01	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	6		
FBG	1550	4.7	0.2	0.1	0.10	0.02	<0.06	0.0	0.0	0.2	5		
ASO ASO	1290	0.4 E 1	0,1	0.1	0.07	0.0/	~0.06	0.1	0.3	0.9	20		
SMT	1980	4.8	0.2	0.2	0.11	0.06	0.10	0.1	0.0	0.2	8		
GC	1835	4.8	0.2	0.1	0.09	0.03	< 0.06	0.0	0.0	0.3	6		

Table 7. Measured snowmelt major ion concentrations for each site on 20 to 23 February 1981 (see Figure 2 for site locations).

D.L. - Detection Limit; C-Chemex; B-Barringer

Site	Snowmeit Mätat	\$01	uble Metal Concentrat	ions (ug/L)	
	Metar Volume (mL)	Aluminum (Al)	Vanadium (V)	fron (Fe)	Nickel (NI)
NNETC	1570	25	9	17	3
NNE 1 B	1820	D.L.	25	D.L.	Ð.L.
NNE 2	1650	11	5	11	4
NNE 3	1590	2	6 6	/ t1	5 L
10,005,00 NE1/2	1580	14	15	11	6
NEIB	1650	0.L.	22	D.L.	D.L.
NE2	1625	14	12	12	2
NE 3	1850	9	9	10	3
NE4	1650	7	6	13	3
NE5C	950	2	11	11 0 1	د ۱ م
NEOD S1	900	0.L.	12	7	3.
F2	1625	3	4	Ś	4
E3	1580	1	5	18	3
E4	1820	4	3	9	2
MKG	1670	<1	3	4	2
SEI	1430	9	28	15	3
522	1540	1	2	/ Ĺ	<1
SE4	1370	< ; 7	13	18	1
SESC	1590	<1	ŝ	12	2
SE58	1820	D.L.	57	10	D.Ł.
SSEIC	1760	5	25	12	2
SSEIB	1780	D.L.	15	D.L.	D.L.
SSE2	1560	6	6	2	1
5363	15/0	3	72	13	10
\$2	1890	14	50	21	5
\$3	1540	7	17	7	Ū,
54	1880	Ś	12	9	3
\$5	1890	8	12	8	4
SSW1	1750	13	19	14	3
SS₩2	1650	15	15	11	3.
5W1	1/40	0	15	10	วิ
5W3C	1575	2	10	15	ž
SW38	1630	D.Ľ.	12	0.Ĺ.	D.L.
sw4	885	3	8	12	4
sw5	1690	6	4	11	<1
WIC	850	8	8	11	4
W18	1660	D.L.	7	D.L.	D.L.
W2	1640	8	3	9	
W3 NU2C	1500	/	L C	9	2
NW3R	1690	כ חו	ů Á	D.L.	D.Ĺ.
NW4	1720	12	3	6	<1
NW5	1610	3	5	4	<1
NNW1	1850	7	6	13	<1
NI	1390	12	25	16	2
NZ N 2	1/10	<1 4	28	21	2
N4	1870	4 1	21	16	2
N5	1890	1	14	6	<1
61	2360	<1	16	24	4
65	1730	12	14	19	2
RI	1590	6	27	15	3
KZ 92	1505	12	43	18	2
6.) R4C	2086	10	84	8	6
R4B	1670	D.L.	.90	D.L.	Đ.L.
L\$	1470	12	16	12	12
ELS	2200	2	1	3 (_ <1
BM	2710	3	<1	3	<1
FBG	1500	5	<1	.7	1
A28 CL M	2010	2	51	10	<1 21
SHT	2130	4 < 1	<1	<u>د</u>	<1
GC	1850	4	13	5	2
-	· · · · ·	•	-	-	

Table 8. Measured soluble metal concentrations for each site on 10 to 13 January 1981 (see Figure 2 for site locations).

D.L.-Detection Limit; B-Barringer; C-Chemex

Site	Snowmeit Motol		Soluble Metal Co	ncentrations (ug/	£)
	Volume (mL)	Aluminum (Al)	Vanadium (V)	l ron (Fe)	Nickel (NI)
NNEIC	1198	23	64	19	7
NNE 1 B	1245	20	42	30	D.L.
NNE 2	1194	21	36	33	1
NNE 3	1330	1	14	6	0.L.
NNE 4	1170	10	12	2	D.L.
	1250	18	47	1	3
NE 10 NE 7	1245	20	40	20	U.L.
NER	1340	ر ۱ با	13	7	
NE4	1400	10	ŝ	6	D.L.
NESC	1170	1	4	5	D.L.
NE58	1290	20	10	ī	D.L.
E 1	1290	20	16	5	2
E 2	1405	9	6	9	D.L.
3	1360	15	6	16	D.L.
-4	1310	14	6	14	D.L.
156	1315	14	6	11	D.L.
201	1094	17	46	/	2
36 <u>4</u> 553	1360	0	15	9	υ, ι.
267	1360	4	14	4 I	
SESC	1246	17	סו ד	7	U.L. D.1
SESB	1480	n í	6	30	0,L, D I
SSEIC	1188	3	37	7	4
SSE1B	1245	10	27	30	Ď.L.
SSE2	1250	D.L.	14	17	3
SSE3	1174	11	10	\$4	2
S 1	1480	17	240	10	18
\$2	1240	81	96	24	20
53	965	26	34	13	D.L.
54	1244	9	17	46	D.L.
\$5	1180	6	14	5	D.L.
55W1	1155	17	38	8	D.L.
55W2 SW1	1725	8 1 k	20	4/	U.L.
3 W I 5 U 2	1725	14	12	5	0.6.
5W2 5W20	1233		15	14	0.2.
SWIB	1185	n t		30	01.
SW4	1250	D.L.	15	11	D.L.
SW5	1170	0	7	16	2
WIC	1290	25	16	12	ĥ
418	1340	30	17	30	20
4 2	1150	6	3	10	4
43	1170	4	3	18	D.L.
NW3C	1250	6	10	11	3
W38	1135	D.L.	1	30	10
444	1210	D.L.	4	8	D.L.
4NW 3	1320	11	8	11	0,1.
12	1290	60	44	. 61	. 5
12	1340	2U a	44	150	5
4	1180	7	10	10	2
15	1380	18	4.) 20	ל≀ 1 1	2
51	1280	52	133	22	15
5	1170	18	11	8	D.1.
11	1300	D,L.	38	7	2
2	1375	18	60	13	7
3	1200	18	51	5	14
R4C .	1208	18	82	5	16
R48	1255	10	137	30	10
5	1277	21	157	3	19
LS	1300	D.L.	1	9	D.L.
in .	1350	6	D.L.	9	2
- 54 	1050	9	1	4	. 2
10	1100	3	0.1.	6	2
	1430	4	U.L.	4	D.L.
ić.	1360	14	1	3	P.L.
	1 000	10	ز	5	υ.μ.

. . .

Table 9. Measured soluble metal concentrations for each site on 20 to 23 February 1981 (see Figure 2 for site locations.)

D.L.-Detection Limit; B-Barringer; C-Chemex

			Sité			
	N1	N2	N5	S2	S 4	SW1
Sample volume (mL)	4380	2910	1820	3540	1960	2690
рН	6.70	6.05	4.91	4.90	6.66	7.52
Alkalinity (µeq/L)	102	128	16	10	73	383
s0 ∓ -s	0.74	1.33	0.54	1.26	0.95	1.42
C1-	1.37	0.38	0.16	1.39	2.87	2.97
N03-N	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.32	0.21
NH ⁺ ₄ -N	0.13	0.32	0.08	0.14	0.07	0.18
к+	0.21	0.50	0.13	0.84	0.32	0.64
Na ⁺	0.85	0.36	0.11	0.87	1.90	2.10
Mg ⁺⁺	0.54	0.51	0.07	0.16	0.37	1.63
Ca ⁺⁺	2.04	3.20	0.70	1.17	1.96	5.71
Aluminum	0.001	0.005	0.006	0.009	D.L. ^b	0.008
Iron	0.011	0.013	0.025	0.025	0.009	0.026
Nickel	D.L. ^b	0.003	0.001	0.006	0.002	D.L. ^b

Table 10. Measured concentrations^a of major ions and soluble trace metals found in the snowmelt from the collector trays. Sample volume and pH are also listed.

amg/L unless otherwise stated

^bD.L. - Detection Limit

Site	Ti	Mn	V	A1
N1	300	23	53	2628
N2	300	74	168	5168
N5	<300	7	69	827
S2	300	14	264	1696
s4	<300	16	121	1223
SW1	900	116	169	8189

Table 11. Mass of trace metals in snowmelt from the collector trays (µg).

Size nejor for coeutrigs (mg/m)				
	K ⁺ Na ⁺ Mg ⁺⁺			
H' SO ₄ -S CI NO ₃ -N NH ₄ -N	К'	Nat	Mg	Ca
NNE1C 0.005 29 23 6.2 2.1	20	27	33	129
NNE18 0.003 42 6 6.9 2.4	22	16	18	<u>90</u>
NNE2 0.323 13 5 5.0 1.0	6	5	2	23
NNE3 0.003 15 13 5.2 1.4	D.L.	21	41	96
NNE4 0.008 15 4 5.2 1.1	0.L.	13	29	111
NEIC 0.000 20 8 5.7 2.2	9	D.L.	54	126
NE38 0.016 25 5 6.5 5.7	4	40	27	62
NE2 0.165 11 7 4.4 1.6	0.1.	16	10	12
NE3 0.045 13 0 5.0 1./	9.L. D.	10	44	«9 0
	17	120	102	43 1/2
NESB 0.062 40 4 5.8 D	n :	21	102	13
E1 0.021 10 22 5.7 2.5	8	25	21	51
E2 0,152 8 2 5.1 1.0	D.L.	10	10	17
E3 1.634 8 2 4.7 0.9	D.L.	0.L.	3	ė
E4 0.034 11 49 4.2 1.4	D.L.	31	25	36
MKG 1.235 7 9 3.9 1.2	0.L.	6	2	9
SE1 0.095 15 4 5.2 2.2	23	4	4	25
SEZ 0.726 7 5 4.5 0.6	0.L.	D.L.	2	8
SE3 0.457 8 7 5.9 1.6	0.L.	D.L.	8	13
SE4 0.251 B 11 5.3 1.2	D.L.	7	7	16
SE5C 1.361 8 2 5.6 1.4	D.L.	4	4	9
SE58 0.295 10 4 8.3 0.L.	.9	4	4	17
SSEIC 0.6/9 11 3 6.4 1.7	0.2.	4	3	14
SSEID 0.040 IG 0.C. 0.0 0.L.	/ e	11	10	24
SSE3 0 103 8 18 65 1 1	Å	10	15	13
SL 0.030 66 91 11.5 10.2	41	41	25	132
S2 0.125 27 47 8.7 2.5	9	20	- 4	46
S3 0.729 13 109 7.7 1.4	6	69	ų	19
\$4 0.217 19 63 9.1 1.4	12	31	8	34
\$5 0.038 21 76 8.3 1.2	8	55	18	51
SSW1 0.093 18 4 7.0 1.0	6	10	8	25
SSW2 0.819 16 4 7.8 1.1	D.L.	5	7	15
SW1 0.069 25 146 8.5 3.4	D.L.	96	31	88
SW2 0.010 34 23 6.6 1.9	4	83	64	62
SW3C 0.128 19 11 7.3 4.4	D.L.	.9	6	31
SW38 0.044 49 1 6.6 3.5	D.L.	42	11	50
SW4 0.311 13 2 5.9 2.6	D.L.	13	8	11
5w5 0.011 13 1/ 4.0 2.0	5	12	*9	10
w1C 0.017 52 7 6.6 8.1	8	60	28	64
	ð	56	16	83
	4	15	22	10
wg 0,000 12 / 5-1 1.5 NU2/ 0.022 12 13 5-2 2.1	1	27	21	34
NW36 0.055 15 15 5.2 2.5 NW38 0.045 to 0.1 4.8 7.7	DÍ.	17	26	39
NW4 0.000 139 28 5.5 1.2	17	194	183	103
NWS 0.079 8 5 5.5 1.0	D.L.	10	8	19
NNW1 0,088 14 14 5.9 1.7	0.L.	30	12	18
N1 0.056 19 22 6.8 5.2	5	7	12	41
N2 0.049 36 50 6.6 9.1	19	29	14	51
N3 0.076 21 17 7.3 4.1	9	13	7	41
N4 0.105 17 12 5.8 1.8	3	20	6	29
N5 0.285 33 19 6.0 1.7	0.L.	23	14	23
GI 0.017 60 66 10.4 9.5	17	27	28	138
G5 0.003 21 11 6.0 4.0	4	12	4/	165
RI 0,156 18 29 6.0 1.9	2	20	2	39
NZ 1.013 10 1/ 0./ 2.4	,,	14	د ا	20
ng ν, zot 15 0 0,1 3,2 μμη ο ορία 50 30 7.4 λ.Δ	76	44 15	20	4 J 0 L
848 0.012 80 9 10 L 11 L	85	17	21	119
	162	18	11	86
ELS 0.067 15 43 4.8 1.2	9	34	10	21
BM 0.905 32 6 3.6 0.9	D.L.	17	11	15
FBG 0,150 8 3 4.0 0.8	9	0.L.	10	9
ASB 1,449 5 2 3.3 1.5	0.L.	D.L.	2	ŝ
GLK 2.055 8 24 4.5 1.5	5	13	1	8
SMT 2.405 11 11 6.2 1.0	D.L.	9	2	8
GC 0.044 12 15 5.1 1.3	8	17	18	39

Table 12. Snowpack loadings of major ions for each site on 10 to 13 January 1981 calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and area sampled (see Figure 2 for site locations.)

D.L. - Detection Limit

Site	Major Ion Loadings (mg/m2)												
	н+	\$0 4 -S	¢1 [–]	N03 -N	NH4-N	к +	Na ⁺	Hg ⁺⁺	Ca ⁺⁺				
NNE 1C	0.028	41	7	8.6	4.3	30	9	31	125				
NNE 18	0.013	50	4	8.5	4.1	23	21	33	99				
NNEZ	0.088	26	6	7.6	2.3	6	7	13	62				
NNES	0.055	11	2	7.6	2.0	D.L.	D.L.	15	102				
NNE 4 NE 16	2.746	19	5	/-4	1.9	υ.ε.	0.L.	2	23				
NE 10	0.00002	40	0	6.0	4.5	8	*2	129	260				
NF2	0.353	21	7	2.0	6 1	5		6	27				
NFR	0 494	19	5	7.0 6 9	1.8	, 10	12	11	17				
NE 4	0.307	18	1	5.0	1.7	D.L.	13	13	23				
NESC	0.002	14	í	4.4	1.6		13	77	128				
NE 58	0.005	13	2	3.7	2.2	Ś	17	110	128				
EI	.0002	18	11	5.5	4.6	Ĩ4	155	86	97				
E 2	.0002	15	7	5.5	2.4	6	82	59	149				
E 3	.085	16	3	6.2	2.3	D.L.	3	ü	45				
E 4	.095	8	2	6.4	1.9	0.L.	8	16	36				
MKG	1.046	15	40	14.4	5.2	4	11	3	32				
SE 1	.0072	31	4	6.9	4.3	42	7	43	130				
SE 2	1.079	12	7	5.8	6.5	7	2	3	16				
SE 3	.104	10	6	5.0	3.0	D.L.	18	13	45				
58.4	.070	12	4	5.2	4.8	8	12	50	54				
SESC	0.0026	14	4	5.6	1.6	D.L.	31	46	78				
5658 66516	0.035	11	1	4.5	2.1	3	14	28	84				
55216	1.000	23	>	0./ 6 9	3.9	5	4	4	3Z				
505.10	0.211	43	2	0.0 4 4	U.L.	9	2	4	23				
3362	0.000	10	5	0.0	2 6	4 9	,2	12	10				
51	0 182	86	157	13.8	8 7	51	90	21	155				
\$2	0 921	43	21	12 9	4 4	9	12	8	65				
53	0.194	26	16	11 5	2.9	ś	12	11	80				
54	0.604	33	111	11.6	2.3	ś	65	10	62				
\$5	0.198	35	183	12.5	2.0	15	118	23	143				
SŚW1	0.024	36	ģ	10.1	3.0	9	38	25	55				
SSW2	0.220	20	7	9.6	1.9	5	11	15	49				
SW 1	0.197	30	99	9.6	5.8	5	58	44	121				
SW2	0.478	24	25	9.0	4.1	13	12	6	44				
SW3C	1.297	20	7	7.0	5.6	0.L.	12	5	16				
SW 38	0.034	20	1	6.1	5.3	D.L.	53	7	10				
SW4	1.996	22	23	8.1	5.2	7	13	3	23				
5W5	3.258	15	8	6.2	2.7	Ð I	5	3	34				
al C	1.735	32	q	8.1	9.6	6	6	ś	30				
#18	0.124	32	3	6.3	13.5	D.L.	5	6	25				
42	1.272	15	6	6.8	2.9	3	ŝ	1	19				
H3	0.166	13	8	6.2	1.8	10	68	40	21				
W3C	0.055	23	7	7.5	3.5	15	49	22	97				
1W 3B	0.017	23	3	6.3	2.7	14	44	37	92				
1W4 36/6	0.034	43	8	6.7	1.3	5	61	108	140				
רשוט	0.106	11	10	5.9	1.4	U.L.	2/	38	48				
1	0.307	20	10	7.9	2.2	υ.ε.	20	13	19				
2	0.222	65	11	9.4	13 7	13	10	21	70				
3	0.128	24	2	7.6	4.4	'7	6	11	55				
4	1.890	31	13	11.1	 L L	ດ່າ	7	6	41				
5	2.830	26	ŝ	8.3	2.6	0.1.	ś	8	16				
1	0.030	1232	40	47.5	28.7	1319	49	147	1039				
5	0.009	84	11	9.9	6.6	6	ที่	69	200				
1	0.986	23	8	10.2	2.5	18	4	-ī,	31				
2	0.720	30	9	9.4	4.1	18	4	4	37				
3	1.014	46	7	9.8	5.5	49	4	8	44				
4C	0.037	65	9	10.3	5.6	144	14	51	95				
48	0.011	74	10	9.2	4.8	179	15	61	116				
5	0.014	95	9	13.5	7.4	238	7	11	105				
.62	1.465	12	6	9.1	1.9	ę	2	3	13				
im Inc	2.682	14	10	8.5	1.5	14	5	3	6				
0 6 9	1.251	10	5	6.6	1.2	D.L.	3	3	12				
-20	0.020	/	5	3.8	3.0	D,L.	6	15	47				
							-						
ilk HT	1 240	19	10	7.9	14.0	0	2	4					

Table 13. Snowpack loadings of major ions for each site on 20 to 23 February 1981 calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and area sampled (see Figure 2 for site locations).

D.L. - Detection Limit

NO3-N NH4-N K+ Na⁺ Mg++ H^+ Site SO2-S C1-Ca++ 0.036 34 4.0 3.2 5 21 51 N1 18 13 6 8 8 N2 0.108 6 2.7 5.3 53 22 0.933 6 2 1.6 0.9 1 1 1 7 N5 28 2.8 18 23 S2 1.857 25 4.0 17 3 S4 0.018 3.6 4 21 4 22 11 32 0.7 87 SW1 0.003 22 45 3.2 2.7 10 32 25

Table 14. Loadings (mg/m²) of major ions for the snow collector trays which were set out from 16 January to 23 February 1981. Loadings were calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and tray area (see Figure 2 for site locations).

Table	15.	Variability of major ion loadings of the snowpack (deter-
		mined from the average percent standard deviation about
		the mean of two samples taken in each of the 1981 sur-
		veys at the following sites: NNE1, NE1, NE5, SE5, SSE1,
		SW3, W1, NW3, and R4).

Constituent	Variability of Loading (%)
н ⁺	82
sou	17
C1-	61
N0 <u>3</u>	11
NH <mark>4</mark>	32
Na ⁺	45
Mg ⁺⁺	37
Ca ⁺⁺	33
К+	19

3·5

			ins	oluble			Sotuble				
Site	Total	 A1		<u> </u>	 	A1	v	fe	Ni		
NNEIC	710	24	3.0	0.2	2	1.15	3.19	0.95	0.35		
NNE 1 B	M	3	2.9	D.L.	D.L.	1.04	2.18	1.56	0.00		
NNE3	470 260	10	3.0	0.2	D.L.	0.06	0.76	0.33	0.05 D.L.		
NNE4	180	.8	1.0	0.1	D.L.	0.49	0.59	0.44	0.1.		
NEIC	790	31	3.7	0.3	0.L.	0.94	2.45	0.36	0.16		
NE18	M	3	2.7	0	D.L.	1.04	2.08	1.04	0.00		
NEZ NE3	360	13 R	1.5	0.1	U.L. D.I	0.70	0.80	0.3/	0.05		
NEA	220	8	0.8	0.1	0.L.	0.58	0.29	0.35	D.L.		
NE5C	160	6	0.7	0.1	D.L.	0.05	0.20	0.24	D.L.		
NESB	м	1	0.4		D.L.	1,08	0.05	1.61	0.00		
E1	390	15	1.4	0.1	D.L.	1.08	0.86	0.27	0.11		
52	260	10	0.8	0.1	U.L.	0.55	0.25	0.55	U.L. n ;		
E 4	130	6	0.4	0.1	D.L.	0.76	0 31	0.76	D.L.		
MKG	80	Ĩ,	0.5	0.1	0.1.	0.77	0.33	0.60	D.L.		
SE 1	1590	62	3.7	0.4	4	0.93	2.52	0.38	0.11		
SE2	170	6	0.7	0.1	D.L.	0.28	0.69	0.42	D.L.		
525	100	С 0	0.9	0.1	U.L. 2	0.23	0./9	0.62	0.05		
SESC	190	9	0.6	0.1	2 D.L.	0.88	0.36	0.36	D.L.		
SE58	M	ź	0.7	•••	D.L.	0.00	0.37	1.61	0.00		
\$ SE 1 C	500	22	3.0	0.2	D.Ł.	0.15	1,83	0.35	0,20		
SSE18	M	3	2.1	0.L.	D.L.	0.52	1,40	1.56	0.00		
5562	190	6	0.9	0.1	D.L. 2	U.L. 0.Sh	0.73	0.89	0.15		
S1	3350	190	21.3	1.2	17	1.05	14.80	0.62	1.11		
52	390	11	1.7	0.1	D.L.	4.19	4.96	1.24	1.03		
\$3	960	20	2.9	0.2	D.L.	1.05	1.37	0.52	0.L.		
\$4	520	19	1.4	0.2	0.L.	0.47	0.88	2.38	0.L.		
55 55U1	520	10	1.5	0.3	D.L.	0.30	1 83	0.25	D.L. D.1		
55W2	360	15	1.4	0.1	0.1.	0.41	1.02	1.37	0.L.		
SW1	1620	81	1.5	0.6	13	1.01	0.86	0.36	D.L.		
S₩2	1025	49	2.0	0.4	17	D.L.	1,08	0.32	D.L.		
SW3C	630	27	1.5	0.2	D.L.	0.61	0.76	0:71	D.L.		
SW3B Swii	M 600	4	3.5	0.L. 0 1	U.L.	U.L.	0.35	1.40	D.L. 0.1.		
SNE	260	10	0.7	0.1	ð.L.	D.L.	0.34	0.78	0.10		
W1C	1250	38	1.6	0 L	2	- 1.26	0.94	0.45			
WIB	M	9	1.6	0.4	ĩ	0.56	0.80	1.68	0.52		
W2	310	6	0.4	0.1	D.L.	0.29	0.14	0.48	0.19		
W3 NU20	130	3	0.2	0.1	0.L.	0.20	0,15	0.88	D.L.		
NW38	270 M	້	0.4	0.1	2	0.31	0.52	0.57	0.16		
NW4	195	4	0.2	0.4	0.6.	1.64	0.10	1.42	0.4/		
NW5	130	4	0.2	0.1	D.L.	D.L.	0.20	0.40	0.L.		
NNW3	210	6	0.3	0.1	0.L.	0.61	0.44	0.61	0.L.		
NI N2	9580	24	1.1	0.2	2	3.23	2.37	3.28	0.33		
N3	840	40	23	2.0	13	1.10	2,43	8.28	0.33		
N4	450	18	1.8	0.2	2	0,50	1.01	0.56	0.05		
N5	270	11	1.3	0.1	0.L.	1.04	1.15	D.1	0 12		
G 3	4530	216	16.0	2.1	13	2.77	7.09	1.76	0.80		
ն) Տ ւ	3270	162	2.0	1.3	0.L.	0.39	0.54	0.39	D.L.		
82	830	35 42	2.0	0.3	0.L.	0.L.	2.06	0.38	0.11		
R3	1840	85	6.7	0.5	D.L.	1.03	j.44 2 cc	0.74	0.40		
R4C	1208	56	6.8	Q.4	D.L.	0.91	4.13	0.25	0.81		
R48	M	5	8.8	D.L.	1	0.52	7.16	1.56	0.52		
11.5 F i 5	2100	101	11.9	8.0	13	1.12	8.35	0.16	1.01		
8M	110	2	0.1	0,1	9.C.	D.L.	0.05	0.49	D.L.		
FBG	100	2	0.1	0.1	0.L.	0,34	0.04	0.51	0.11		
AS8	100	2	0.1	0.1	D.L.	0.14	D.L.	0.28	0.09		
GLK	100	3	0.1	0.1	D.L.	0.24	D.L.	0.24	D.L.		
301 6.0	200	2	0.1	0.1	0.L.	0.12	0.06	0.18	0.L.		
40	200	/	0.8	0.1	D.L.	0.91	0.17	0.28	D.L.		

Table 16. Snowpack loadings (mg/m²) of metals for each site on 10 to 13 January 1981 calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and area sampled (see Figure 2 for site locations).

M - Missing

D.L. - Detection Limit

Table 17. Snowpack loadings (mg/m²) of metals for each site on 20 to 23 February 1981 calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volume, and area sampled (see Figure 2 for site locations).

	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Site	Total		<u></u>	oluble			Solub	le	
		AI	V	Mn	Ti	Al	v	Fe	NI
NNE 1C	817	34	4.1	0.3	0.L.	1,64	0.59	1.11	0.20
NNEID	41/	9.2	1.0	0.1	2	D.L.	1.90	0.L.	0.1.
NNE Z	242	16	215	0.1	4 h	0.76	0.34	0.70	0.20
NNF 4	292	13	2.0	0.1	n .	0.13	0.40	0.40	0.20
NEIC	1013	32	5.0	0.3	4	0.92	0.99	0.72	0.40
NE 18	833	21	2.5	0.1	D.L.	D.L.	1.51	D.L.	D.L.
NE2	504	16	2.2	0.1	2	0.95	0.81	0.81	0.14
NE3	383	14	2.2	0.1	2	0.69	0.69	0.77	0.23
NE4	254	8	1.3	0.2	D.L.	0.48	0.41	0.89	0.21
NESE	246	10.	1.5	0.1	U.L.	0.08	0.44	0.44	0.12
£1	296	9	1.0	0.3	D.L. D I	0.L.	0.45	0.28	0.16
£2	233	7	0.9	0.1	2	0.20	0.27	0.34	0.27
E3	200	8	0.7	0.1	2	0.07	0.33	1,19	0.20
E4	179	7	0.7	0.1	D.L.	0.30	0.23	0.68	0.15
MKG	113	4	0.5	0.0	D.L.	D.L.	0.21	0.28	0.14
SE 1	2017	94	5.3	0.7	12	0.54	1.67	0.89	0.18
SEZ	325	11	1.3	0.8	2	0.06	0.58	0.45	0.13
556	240	10	0.0	2.1	<u>,</u>	U.L. 0.17	0.18	1.06	0.04
SESC	163	6	0.7	0 1	7	0.17	0.75	0.80	0.00
SE 58	83	2	0.1	0.5	0.L.	D.E.	4.32	0.76	D.L.
SSE 1C	579	18	2.7	0.1	4	0.37	1.83	0.88	0.15
SSE 1B	542	20	2.5	0.1	4	D.L.	1.11	0.L.	D.L.
SSE2	238	7	0.9	0.8	2	0.39	0.39	0.33	D.L.
SSE 3	192	5	0.5	0.2	D.L.	0.20	0.26	0.46	0.07
51	2392	101	15.5	0.7	12	0.83	4.95	0.89	0.69
\$ 2	\$70	45	5./	0.4	D.L.	1.10	3.94	1.05	0.39
54	608	19	1.7	0.2	4	0.42	0.94	0.45	0.24
\$5	1779	28	2.1	0.6	4	0.63	0.95	0.63	0.32
S SW1	496	19	2.1	0.2	2	0.95	1.39	1.02	0.21
SSW2	458	18	2.1	0.1	2	1.03	1.03	0.76	0.21
SW1	2479	99	2.7	0.9	D.L.	0.58	0.94	1.16	0.15
SW2	1604	70	2.5	0.5	D.L.	0.42	1.35	1.27	0.25
5836	438	10	1.1	0.1	Ditti	0.59	0.660	0.98	0.20
5w30 KW4	688	22	1.0	0,1	2	0.1	0.02	0.44	0.15
SW5	229	-8	0.6	0.1	D.L.	0.42	0.28	0.77	D.L.
W1C	1663	51	2.7	0.5	12	0.28	0.28	0.39	0.14
WIB	458	12	0.5	0.1	D.L.	D.L.	0.48	0.L.	D.L.
₩2	296	46	0.3	0.1	D.L.	0.55	0.21	0.62	0.68
H3 NU2C	138	3	0.2	0.0	D.L.	0.48	0.68	0.62	D.L.
NWIR	250	11	0.7	0.3	0.1.	0.36	0.43	0./8	0.14
NW4	188	2	0.2	0.1	∴∠ ∩_f	0.86	0.42	0.2.	D.L.
NW5	163	4	0.2	0.4	0.L.	0.20	0.34	0.27	D.L.
NNW1	221	7	0.4	0.1	D.L.	0.54	0.46	1.00	0.L.
NI	1954	92	4.3	1.0	D.L.	0.70	1.51	0.93	0.12
N2	13870	397	9.2	5.2	50	0.L.	2.00	1.50	0.14
м <u>5</u> м <u>4</u>	675	25	2.7	0.5	U.L.	0.30	1.29	0.53	0.15
NS	363	12	14	0.1	U.C. 4	0.78	1 10	0 47	0.10
GI	8983	399	19.6	5.2	50	D.L.	15.73	2.36	0.39
G5	4475	221	3.0	1.9	21	0.87	1.01	1.37	0.14
RI	467	17	2.6	0.1	2	0.40	1.79	0.99	0,20
R2	854	31	5.1	0,2	D.L.	0.78	2.81	1.17	0.33
83	3883	114	8.7	0.7	12	0.80	4.93	1.46	0.57
840 959	1550	59	0.3	0.5	17	2,25	/.29	0.69	0.52
15	2462	78	2.1	0.4	0 0	0.L. 0.76	0.20	0.E. 0.76	0.15
ELS	179	3	0.1	0.0	D.L.	0.18	0.09	0.28	0.14
BM	50	2	0.1	0.0	D.L.	0.34	0.L.	0.34	D.L.
FBG	83	2	0.2	0.0	D.L.	0.31	D.L.	0.43	0.06
ASB	75	2	0.1	0.0	D.L.	0.11	D.L.	0.05	D.L.
GLK	150	3	0.1	0.0	D.L.	0.17	0.L.	0.17	D.L.
501 201	257	4	0.2	0.0	D.L.	Ð.L.	D.L.	0.36	D.L.
46	345	ŧŲ	1.4	0.1	0.1.	0.31	3.00	0.39	0.15

D.L. - Detection Limit

Table 18. Loadings (mg/m²) of metals for the snow collector trays which were set out from 16 January to 23 February 1981. Loadings were calculated from measured concentrations, snowmelt volumes, and tray area (see Figure 2 for site locations).

Site		inso	oluble			Soluble					
	Total	A1	V	Mn	Ti	AI	۷	Fe	Ni		
N1	346	15	0.3	0.1	2	0.02	0.05	0.3	D.L.		
N2	1073	29	1.0	0.4	2	0.08	0.13	0.2	0.05		
N5	181	5	0.4	0.0	D.L.	0.06	0.22	0.3	0.01		
S2	474	10	1.5	0.1	2	0.18	0.74	0.5	0.12		
S4	259	7	0.7	0.1	D.L.	D.L.	0.18	0.1	0.02		
SW1	1249	46	1.0	0.7	5	0.13	0.22	0.4	D.L.		

D.L.-Detection Limit

Table 19. Variability of insoluble and soluble trace metal loadings (determined from the average percent standard deviation about the mean of two samples taken in each of the 1981 surveys at the following sites: NNE1, NE1, NE5, SE5, SSE1, SW3, W1, NW3, and R4).

Constituent	Variability of Loading (%)
Insoluble	
Total	29
Al	73
V	39
Mn	40
Ti	46
Soluble	
Al	66
V	40
Fe	64
Ni	92

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 DEPOSITION PATTERNS

The snowpack loadings (January 1981 data) of the major ions and metals which could originate at the oil sands plants are mapped in Figures 7 through 11, inclusive. Generally, the patterns reflect the wind roses shown in Figure 5. Maxima of loading occur to the northwest and south of each source. The spatial distributions of the metals show a much more rapid decrease with distance from the source than do the sulphate or nitrate ions.

The deposition patterns determined from the February 1981 data are shown in Figures 12 through 16. These patterns are similar to those observed in January with elongated lobes along the Athabasca River valley. For both nitrates and sulphates, the lobe to the northwest of Syncrude in January was absent in February. The insoluble aluminum and manganese patterns have a lobe to the southeast of the sources which was not present in January. Generally, the deposition values are higher than in January for the nitrates and insoluble metals. The calculated sulphate deposition values are lower over a large part of the study area.

The changes in the sulphate ion and insoluble aluminum loadings from January 1978 to January 1981 are mapped in Figures 17 and 18. The sulphate loadings show increases to the northwest and south of the Syncrude plant, the prevailing direction of emission transport. The metals tend to show a decrease in the same directions from the Suncor plant.

The amounts of major ions and trace metals which originate at the oil sands plants, and are deposited within 25 km of the sources, were calculated from the deposition patterns and compared to the 1978 results (Barrie and Kovalick 1978) in Table 20. With the additional Syncrude source, sulphates and nitrates were higher by 88 and 27%, respectively. The amounts of insoluble metals were much lower than in 1978.

A mapping of snowmelt pH for the study area in January 1981 is shown in Figure 19. Areas of relatively high pH occur to the west-northwest and north-northeast of the sources. The pH falls off rapidly with distance in other directions. The changes in pH of the snowmelt from the results of the January 1978 survey are shown in Figure 20. The increases of pH to the north-northeast and west-northwest are correlated with the increases of calcium ion loadings as shown in Figure 21. The linear correlation coefficient between the differences of pH and the differences of calcium ion loadings was 0.75.

4.2 MASS BUDGET

The measured deposition patterns and the estimated emission rates from the plants were used to calculate the total amounts that were deposited in the snowpack within 25 km, as shown in Table 21. Emission rates were based on the normal values given in their EIA (1978) for Syncrude, and on the values given by Barrie and Kovalick (1980) in their Table 12, for Suncor. However, the particulate emission rate was reduced to 16 t/d to account for the installation of electrostatic precipitators (60% efficiency) at the Suncor power plant.

Only 0.23% of the total sulphur was deposited to the snowpack within 25 km of the sources. This result is similar to the value of 0.30 reported by Barrie and Kovalick (1980) for material deposited to the snow in the winter of 1977-78. Most of the particulate matter is deposited near the sources. Of the estimated amount emitted by the Suncor and Syncrude stacks over the 67 d history of the snowpack, 96% settled to the snowpack within 25 km. It is possible that low level fugitive emissions of particulates contribute to the deposition within 25 km so that the figure of 96% may be too high.

4.3 SNOW COLLECTOR TRAYS

The contaminant loadings determined from the snow collector trays showed little agreement with the snow core results. With the exception of the ammonium ion, the variation between the loadings computed from the tray data, and those computed from the

two sets of core data were larger than the expected variability, due to the sampling and analytical errors shown in Tables 15 and 19. The average variability of the ammonium loadings calculated by the two different methods was 17% compared to the estimated variability of 32% given in Table 19. The linear correlation coefficient was 0.86 for the two estimated of ammonium loadings. The correlation was poor for most of the other constituents of the snowmelt. In fact, the soluble metals and the ions SO_4^{-} , Na⁺, K⁺, Ca⁺⁺, and Mg⁺⁺ showed apparent decreases in loadings at some sites according to the snow core results.



Figure 7. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of the sulphate ion in the study area, 10 January 1981. Triangles indicate the locations of Syncrude (S) and Suncor (G) extraction plants.



Figure 8. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of the nitrate ion in the study area, 10 January 1981.



ļ

Figure 9. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of insoluble aluminum in the study area, 10 January 1981.



Figure 10. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble manganese in the study area, 10 January 1981.



Figure 11. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble vanadium in the study area, 10 January 1981.



Figure 12. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of sulphate ion in the study area on 20 February 1981.



Figure 13. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of nitrate ion in the study area on 20 February 1981.



Figure 14. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble aluminum in the study area on 20 February 1981. Triangles indicate the locations of Suncor and Syncrude plants.



Figure 15. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble manganese in the study area on 20 February 1981.

.



Figure 16. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble vanadium in the study area on 20 February 1981.



Figure 17. The spatial distribution of the difference in sulphate ion loadings (mg/m²) in the AOSERP study area between January 1978 and January 1981. Shading indicates areas of decreased loading.



Figure 18. The spatial distribution of the difference in insoluble aluminum loadings (mg/m²) in the AOSERP study area between January 1978 and January 1981. Shading indicates areas of decreased loadings.

	s0 [∰] 4-s	N03-N	AI	Mn	V
1978a	0.33	0.15	2.97	0.024	0.41
1980	0.62	0.19	0.78	0.007	0.58

Table 20. Average mass of substances deposited per day (t/d) within 25 km of site N1 (midway between Syncrude and Suncor) from 6 November 1980 to 10 January 1981.

^aBased on deposition amounts reported by Barrie and Kovalick (1980).



Figure 19. The spatial distribution of snowpack pH in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 20. The spatial distribution of the difference in snowpack pH in the study area between January 1978 and January 1981. Shading indicates areas of decrease.



Figure 21. The spatial distribution of the difference in calcium ion loadings in the study area between January 1978 and January 1981. Shading indicates areas of decrease.

Table 21. The mass budget of various substances released to the atmosphere by the Suncor and Syncrude plants and deposited within 25 km from 6 November 1980 to 12 January 1981.

Pollutant	Amount Released (t)	Amount Deposited Within 25 km (t)	Fraction Deposited Within 25 km (t)		
s0 ₂ /s0 <u>∓</u> -s	18,500	42	0.2%		
NO _x /NO3-N	2,200	13	0.6%		
Total Particulates	1,166	1,125	96.0%		

4.4 ION BALANCE

An ion balance of major ions in snowmelt (Tables 22, 23, and 24) was performed to check the accuracy of the analysis and to identify the dominant ions at each site. Generally, the sums of the positive and negative ions differed by 10% or less. However, there were no larger discrepancies when the pH was less than 5. This may have resulted from the gradual dissolving of particulates in the snow: although the pH was measured immediately after melting, the chemical analyses took several weeks to complete. The release of acid-neutralizing hydroxyl ions when the particulate calcium oxides dissolved would have lowered the hydrogen ion concentration. Hence, the major ion imbalance at low pH arose from the measurements not being carried out simultaneously.

When the pH was below 4.8, hydrogen was the dominant positive ion (Figures 22 and 23). At higher values of pH, calcium, or in some cases, magnesium ions predominated. There are a few exceptions in that the sodium ion prevailed at sites NNW1, S3, W2, and W3 in the January study and the ammonium ion prevailed at site GLK in the February study.

The spatial distribution of the hydrogen ion's contribution to the total positive ion-equivalents in snowmelt are shown in Figures 24 and 25. Its contribution was small near the source in the areas where the Ca^{++} or Mg⁺⁺ cations were dominant, but it was large in the outlying areas.

Near the oil sands plants, the alkalinity of the snow was high and the dominant cations were Ca⁺⁺ or Mg⁺⁺. At the outlying sites, H^+ , $S0_{4}^{-}$, and $N0_{3}^{-}$ were the dominant ions. The area with alkaline snow was larger than in the 1978 study, and the deposition pattern was more complex with lobes to the northwest and east in addition to those along the valley.

					Snowmelt Ion Balance									
Site	I	Total +ve lons (ueq/L)	Total ∽ve lons (µeq/L)	Ratio of Total +ve:-ve	Fraction of Total +ve ion Equivalent Due To					Fraction of Total -ve Ion				
					H+	ΝНζ	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg++	Na+	к*	50 <u>7</u>	NO3	c1-	нсоз
NNEIC		211.9	221.1	0.96	0.00	0.01	0.59	0.24	0.11	0.05	0.15	0.04	0.06	0.75
NNE 1B		140.6	311.2	0.45	0.00	0.02	0.60	0.20	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.03	0.01	C.80
NNE2		39.9	41.7	0.96	0.16	0.04	0.55	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.39	0.17	0.06	0.38
NNE4		161 7	100.0	0.90	0.00	0.01	0.52	0.30	0,10	<0.01	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.03
NEIC		202.3	226.3	0.89	0.00	0.02	0.56	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.03	0.02	0.85
NE 1B		146.5	129.5	1.13	0.00	0.05	0.41	0.29	0.23	0.01	0.23	0.07	0.02	0.68
NE2		46.3	44.9	1.03	0.07	0.05	0.24	0.34	0.29	<0.03	0.29	0.14	0.08	0.49
NE3		75.4	82.6	0.91	0.01	0.03	0.34	0.43	0.18	<0.02	0.17	0.08	0.05	0.70
NESC		305 6	16.1	1.24 0.97	0.76	0.03	0.15	0.05	<0.02	<0.03	0.49	0.39	0.12	0.00
NES8		57.2	79.3	0.72	0.00	0.02	0.15	0.30	0.43	0.02	0.57	0.10	0.01	0.30
£1		108.3	106.8	1.01	0.00	0.03	0.44	0.30	0.19	0.04	. 0.11	0.07	0.11	0.71
Ε2		39.9	41.5	0.96	0.06	0.03	0.35	0.35	0.19	0.02	0.20	0.15	0.03	0.63
E3		45.7	17.3	2.64	0.69	0.03	0.16	0.09	<0.02	<0.03	0.57	0.37	0.06	0.00
24		99.0	107.6	0.92	0.00	0.02	0.33	0.38	0.25	<0.02	0.12	0.05	0.24	0.59
SE1		54.9	57.5	0.95	0.57	0.04	0.19 n 48	0.07	0.11	1 23	0.35	0.24	0.21	0.17
SE2		37.8	23.7	1.59	0.47	0.02	0.22	0.09	0.17	<0.04	0.41	0.30	0.13	0.17
SE3		34.5	30.9	1.13	0.26	0.06	0.32	0.33	<0.02	0.04	0.26	0.24	0.11	0.39
SE4		40.6	41.6	0.97	0.12	0.04	0.38	0.28	0.15	<0.04	0.25	0.18	0.14	0.43
SE5C		44.5	23.0	1.93	0.56	0.04	0.18	0.13	0.07	<0.03	0.37	0.32	0.05	0.26
5550		30.4	23.9	1.27	0.15	<0.03	0.44	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.43	0.42	0.07	< 0.17
SSE18		63.2	61.2	1.03	0.01	0.00	0.52	0.15	0.14	0.04	0.42	0.15	0.00	0.20
SSE2		49.9	56.8	0.88	0.03	0.04	0.48	0.36	0.04	0.05	0.17	0.14	0.09	0.60
SSE3		51.5	58.3	0.88	0.04	0.03	0.24	0.46	0.17	0.05	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.51
51		206.9	191.8	1.08	0.00	0.06	0.54	0.17	0.15	0.09	0,36	0.07	0.22	0.34
52		89.9	94.5	0.95	0.03	0.04	0.52	0.17	0.19	0.05	0.35	0.13	0.28	0.23
55 54		79.4	82 2	0.97	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.06	0.31	0.03	0.7	0.12	0.04	0.08
\$5		137.8	141.7	0.97	0.01	0.01	0.38	0.20	0.36	0.03	0.19	0.09	0.32	0.41
SSW1		57.0	59.5	0.96	0.04	0.03	0.46	0.25	0.17	0.05	0.41	0.18	0.04	0.37
SSW2		47.7	41.7	1.14	0.33	0.03	0.30	0.22	0.09	<0.03	0.45	0.26	0.05	0.24
SW1		166.2	165.4	1.00	0.00	0.02	0.38	0.22	0.36	<0.01	0.14	0.05	0.36	0.45
SW2		237.8	265.3	0.90	0.00	0.01	0.25	0.43	0.30	0.01	0.16	0.03	0.05	0.76
รพรเร รพรต		55.0	108 1	1.05	0.04	0.11	0.54	0.10	0.13	0.03	0,30	0.17	0.10	0.36
SW4		47.6	45.1	1.05	0.13	0.04	0.24	0.29	0.24	<0.03	0.38	0.19	0.03	0.40
SW5		51.8	39.7	1.30	0.27	0.06	0.31	0.13	0.20	0.03	0.37	0,18	0.25	0.20
W1C		161.0	155.3	1.04	0.00	0.07	0.36	0.26	0.29	0.02	0.38	0.06	0.02	0.54
W18		161.2	161.7	1.00	0.00	0.10	0.46	0.15	0.27	0.02	0.43	0.06	0.02	0.49
W2 W3		40.4	37.0 103.8	1.09	0.16	0.05	0.24	0.20	0.31	0.04	0.29	0.19	0.14	0.30
NW3C		96.9	92.3	1.05	0.01	0.03	0.33	0.34	0.27	0.02	0.17	0.08	0.08	0.67
NW38		117.3	54.1	2.17	0.01	0.10	0.35	0.39	0.14	0.01	0.26	0.14	0.01	0.59
NW4		567.4	551.3	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.52	0.29	0.01	0.31	0.01	0.03	0.65
NW5		44.7	46.7	0.96	0.04	0.03	0.43	0.30	0.19	<0.03	0.21	0.17	0.07	0.56
NI1		69.5	- 72.6	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.27	0.29	0.37 n 08	0.02	0.22	0.12	0.10	0.57
N2		125.5	130.1	0.96	0.01	0.11	0.42	0.18	0.20	0.08	0.35	0.07	0.22	0.35
N3		63.0	67.9	0.93	0.02	0.08	0.54	0.16	0.14	0.06	0.32	0.13	0 12	0.44
N4		60.2	62.2	0.97	0.03	0.04	0.46	0.16	0.27	0.02	0.32	0.13	0.10	0.45
N5		66.1	65.5	1.01	0.08	0.03	0.33	0.30	0.26	<0.02	0.56	0.11	0.15	0.18
65		214.3	204.1	1 03	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.34	0.07	0.17	0.42
RI		74.0	72.8	1.02	0.04	0.04	0.53	0.11	0.24	0.04	0.31	0.13	0.22	0.33
R2		63.4	46.9	1.35	0.35	0.05	0.31	0.06	0.18	0.04	0.47	0.20	0.20	0.13
R3		47.0	46.9	1.00	0.12	0.09	0.47	0.12	0.06	0.14	0.49	0.18	0.07	0.26
R4C		178.0	186.6	0.95	0.00	0.03	0.51	0.18	0.07	0.21	0.32	0.06	0.06	0.57
K48		218.9	225.7	0.9/	0.00	0.07 0.06	0.52	0.15	0.07	0.19	0.42	0,05 0,08	0.02	0.49
E1 5		80 9	81 5	0,99	0.02	0.02	0.38	0.09	0.00	0.05	0.22	0.00	0.05	0.35
BM		59.3	48.5	1.22	0.27	0.02	0.22	0.26	0.22	<0.03	0.73	0.09	0.06	0.12
FBG		35.0	34.9	1.00	0.09	0.03	0.26	0.47	<0.03	0.13	0.31	0.17	0.06	0.46
AS8		42.2	13.1	3.22	0.75	0.03	0.12	0.08	<0.02	<0.04	0.53	0.39	0.08	0.00
GLK		57.5	33.2	1.73	0.55	0.04	0.15	0.04	0.17	0.05	0.34	0,21	0.45	0.00
anı GC		۲.50 81 ۵	23.0 85 h	0.96	0.70	0.04	0.43	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.46	0.08	0.23	0.00
<u></u>		01.7	• <u> </u>	3.30	3.01			v.))	0.10				0.03	
1.11		1 A.												

Table 22. Results of an ion balance done with snowmelt major ion concentration for each site of the January 1981 snow survey.

B-Barringer; C-Chemex
							Snowme	It ion	Balance					
\$i te	Total +ve lons	Total -ve lons	Ratio of Total	Fraction	of Total	+ve làn	Equivale	ent Due	to	Fracti Equiva	on of Tot lent Due	of Total -ve ion t Due to		
	(peq/L)	(µeq/L)	+ve:-ve	H ≁	№д	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg⁺≁	Na+	к+	S0_	ND3	C1-	нсоз	
NNE1C NNE1B	148.4	148.7	1.00	0.00	0.04	0.60	0.24	0.04	0.07	0.25	0.06	0.02	0.67	
NNE 2	70.2	69.0	1.02	0.02	0.04	0.63	0.21	0.06	0.03	0.33	0.11	0.03	0.52	
NNE 3	102.2	110.3	0.93	0.01	0.03	0.72	0.18	0.06	<0.01	0.09	0.07	0.01	0.82	
NNE4	68.2	29.0	2.35	0.63	0.04	0.26	0.04	<0.01	<0.02	0.66	0.29	0.05	0.00	
NEIC	618.2	610.3	1.01	0.00	0.01	0.68	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.91	
NEIB	52.6	70.4	0.75	0.02	0.10	0.60	0.13	0.10	0.06	0.44	0.09	0.01	0.45	
NEZ	4/./	46.0	1.02	0,10	0.05	0.55	0.16	0.12	0.03	0.39	0.16	0.06	0.38	
NE4	48.5	43.6	1 11	0.16	0.05	0.20	0.30	0.17	<0.04	0.40	0.17	0.09	0.30	
NE5C	323.7	310.3	1.04	0.00	0.01	0.47	0.47	0.17		0.37	0.14	0.03	0.90	
NE58	409.0	240.4	1.70	0.00	0.01	0.39	0.54	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.03	0.00	0.88	
E1	326.3	314.6	1.04	0.00	0.02	0.25	0.37	0.35	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.90	
E2	227.1	221.4	1.03	0.00	0.01	0.46	0.30	0.22	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.90	
E 3 E 4	53.9	52.8	1.02	0.02	0.06	0.60	0.26	0.03	<0.03	0.28	0.13	0.03	0.57	
MKG	76.2	43.0 61 4	1 20	0.02	0.05	0.45	0.34	0.09	<0.03	0.13	0.12	0.01	0.79	
SEI	185.0	174.6	1.06	0.00	0.12	0.41	0.05	0.13	0.02	0.20	0.32	0.34	0.00	
SE2	37.4	26.9	1.39	0.44	0.04	0.32	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.43	0.24	0.10	0.22	
SE3	76.2	75.6	1.01	0.02	0.06	0.50	0.22	0.17	<0.02	0,14	0.08	0.04	0.74	
SE4	160.2	152.4	1.05	0.01	0.05	0.28	0.59	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.85	
SE5C	143.3	159.5	0.90	0.00	0.02	0.42	0.40	0.15	<0.01	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.87	
3630 55610	104.5	103.8	1.01	0.00	0.02	0.58	0.29	0.10	0.01	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.85	
SSE18	34.1	29.5	1 16	0.30	0.08	0.44	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.49	0.21	. 0.05	0.25	
SSE2	33.5	29.0	1.16	0.37	0.05	0.37	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.53	0.22	0.01	0.38	
SSE 3	56.9	60.6	0.94	0.05	0.07	0.37	0.26	0.21	0.04	0.20	0.17	0.30	0.33	
\$1	201.5	188.5	1.07	0.01	0.05	0.48	0.11	0.27	0.09	0.36	0.07	0.29	0.29	
S2	73.1	63.2	1.16	0.15	0.07	0.54	0.11	0.09	0.04	0.52	0.18	0.12	0,19	
35 cl.	73.2	79.4	0,92	0.04	0.04	0.66	0.15	0.09	0.02	0.25	0.13	0.07	0.55	
54	90.2 184 E	9/.2	0.99	0.08	0.03	0.41	0.10	0.37	0.02	0.26	0,11	0.40	0.23	
SSV1	92.2	101 6	0.95	0.00	0.01	0.40	0.13	0.35	0.02	0,14	0.06	0.34	0.46	
SSWZ	66.9	66.6	1.00	0.05	0.04	0.51	0.27	0.10	0.03	0.25	0.09	0.03	0.54	
S¥1	161.4	164.8	0.98	0.00	0.03	0 47	0.29	0.20	0.01	0.14	0.05	0.22	0.50	
SW2	59. T	55.6	1.06	0.11	0.08	0.48	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.36	0.15	0.17	0.32	
SW3C	54.6	35.4	1.54	0.36	0.14	0,22	0.10	0.14	<0.03	0.54	0.21	0.08	0.17	
SW3B	62.2	65.8	0.95	0.01	0.11	0.20	0.13	0.54	<0.02	0.28	0.10	0.01	0.61	
SW4 CUE	54.8	40.6	1.60	0.44	0.10	0.24	0.05	0.13	0.04	0.48	0.20	0.22	0.10	
283 VIC	63 3	24.5	1.57	0.70	0.05	0.15	0.02	0.05	<0.02	0.57	0.28	0.15	0.00	
W18	49.8	39.1	1.28	0.04	0.28	0.30	0.00	0.08	<0.03	0.05	0.19	0.00	<0.10	
W2	40.8	27.9	1.46	0.46	0.10	0.34	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.48	0.25	0.09	0.18	
W3	112.3	108.5	1.04	0.00	0.02	0.14	0.42	0.38	0.03	0.11	0.06	0.03	0.80	
NW3C	138.1	135.5	1.01	0.01	0.03	0.50	0.19	0.23	0.04	0.15	0.06	0.02	0.77	
NW 38 1006	149.9	116.4	1.29	0.00	0.0Z	0.47	0.29	0.19	0.03	0.18	0.06	0.01	0.76	
시에서 NUS	100.6	2/0.9	0.90	0.00	0.07	0.3/	0.4/	0.14	0.01	0.14	0.03	0.01	0.82	
NNW1	44.5	47.0	0.95	0.02	0.06	0.35	0.43	0.25	<0.01	0.10	0.00	0.05	0.51	
N1	137.8	128.7	1.07	0.00	0.07	0.45	0.22	0.25	0.01	0.25	0.07	0.28	0.39	
N2	146.7	140.3	1.05	0.00	0.13	0.50	0.25	0.09	0.03	0.44	0.08	0.03	0.45	
N3	87.5	89.6	0.98	0.03	0.08	0.62	0.19	0.05	0.04	0.30	0.11	0.04	0.56	
N4	62.8	41.9	1.50	0.36	0.08	0.39	0.09	0.06	<0.02	0.57	0.23	0.11	0.10	
N5 01	1347 3	30.1	2.01	0.59	0.04	0.17	0.13	0.04	<0.02	0.69	0.25	0.06	0.20	
65	236 5	228 9	1.04	0.00	0.04	0.50	0.12	0.02	0.33	0.70	0.03	0.01	0.17	
R 1	52.4	48.3	1.08	0.27	0.06	0.41	0.08	0.05	0.13	0.45	0.22	0.07	0.27	
R2	59.1	57.3	1.03	0.19	0.10	0.47	0.08	0.04	0.12	0.51	0.18	0.07	0.24	
R3	73.5	59.9	1.23	0.18	0.09	0.38	0.11	0.03	0.22	0.61	0.15	0.04	0.20	
840	209.6	194.5	1.07	0.00	0.04	0.34	0.30	0.04	0.27	0.32	0.06	0.02	0.61	
K4B	242.8	237.3	1.02	0.00	0.02	0.36	0.30	0.04	0.28	0.29	0.04	0.02	0.66	
ELS I	29.2	10/.4	1.05	0.00	0.05	0.39	0.07	0.02	0.46	0.4/	0.08	0.0Z	0.44	
BCH	31.1	20.1	1.55	0.69	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.20	0.24	0.00	0.34	
FBG	35.9	24.4	1.47	0.52	0.05	0.25	0.09	0.05	<0.04	0.41	0.30	0.08	0.20	
AS8	76.4	71.5	1.07	0.01	0.07	0.56	0.29	0.06	<0.02	0.11	0.07	0.03	0.78	
GLK	38.2	44.1	0.87	0.20	0.47	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.28	0.18	0.09	0.45	
SMT	38.3	33.8	1.13	0.39	0.11	0.28	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.39	0.23	0.14	0.24	
56	30.0	2/.1	1.33	0.40	0.05	0.41	0,04	0.05	<0.04	0.48	0.23	0.06	0.22	

Table 23. Results of an ion balance done with snowmelt major ion concentration for each site of the February 1981 snow survey.

B-Barringer; C-Chemex

Site	Total +velons (µeq/1)	Total -ve lons (µeq/l)	Ratio of Total +ve:-ve	Snowmeit ion Balance Fraction of Total +ve ion Equivalent Due to					Fraction of Total -ve lon Equivalent Due to				
				н+	NH4	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na ⁺	к*	s0 <u>∓</u>	NO3	C1	нсоз
NI	196.3	198.5	0.99	0.00	0.05	0.51	0.23	0.19	0.03	0.23	0.06	0.19	0.51
N2	251.1	233.8	1.07	0.00	0.09	0.62	0.17	0.06	0.05	0.36	0.05	0.05	0.55
N5	66.4	65.2	1.02	0.19	0.09	0.52	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.52	0.17	0.07	0.25
S2	147.5	141.9	1.04	0.09	0.07	0.39	0.09	0.22	0.15	0.55	0.10	0.28	0.07
\$4	272.3	236.0	0.94	0.00	0.02	0.43	0.14	0.37	0.04	0.25	0.10	0.34	0.31
SW1	534.5	570.1	0.94	0.00	0.02	0.52	0.25	0.17	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.15	0.67

Table 24. Results of an ion balance done with major ion concentrations for each of the snow sampler sites. The collection period was 16 January to 23 February 1981.



Figure 22. The contribution of hydrogen and calcium ions to the total positive ion-equivalents in snowmelt collected from the oil sands area on 10 January 1981 as a function of pH.







Figure 24. The spatial distribution of the contribution of hydrogen ions to the total positive ion-equivalents in snowmelt collected from the oil sands area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 25. The spatial distribution of the contribution of hydrogen ions to the total positive ion-equivalents in snowmelt collected from the oil sands area on 23 February 1981.

PRINCIPAL COMPONENT AND CLUSTER ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Two multivariate statistical methods, principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis, were performed on the snowpack data. Snowpack loadings of H⁺, NH⁺₄, Na⁺, Mg⁺⁺, Ca⁺⁺, SO⁼₄, NO⁻₃, insoluble Al, insoluble Mn, and insoluble V were used in the analyses. A PCA followed by an orthogonal (varimax) rotation was performed using the Factor routine in version 8.0 of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (Nie et al. 1975). A hierarchical algorithm using a single linkage algamation rule was used to cluster the data (Green 1978:429).

In a PCA, linear combinations (components) or the original variables which exhibit maximal variance are obtained subject to being uncorrelated with previously obtained components. The subsequent orthogonal rotation is performed to transform the initial principal components solution to one which is more easy to understand physically.

Of the total variance, 89% in the January study and 92% in the February study were explained by the first five principal components. In the first study of 1981, the chemical constituents associated with each component were as follows:

Insoluble Al, Mn, V
Na⁺, Mg⁺⁺, SO⁻₄
NH⁺₄, NO⁻₃, SO⁻₄
Cl⁻, Na⁺
H⁺

Somewhat different groupings were found for the second 1981 study, as follows:

- 1. S0¼, N0₃, Ca⁺⁺
- 2. Insoluble Mn, Al
- 3. C1⁻, Na⁺

4.5

- 4. Mg⁺⁺, H⁺
- 5. H⁺, Ca⁺⁺

In both surveys, high values of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ion loadings occurred at sites Sl, S2, S3, S4, SWI which were along Highway 63 between Fort McMurray and Mildred Lake. This highway is sanded and salted regularly in winter. According to Ken Zelinski of Alberta Transportation at Fort McMurray a mixture of common salt and sand is used. North of Mildred Lake, where the road is cleared but not salted, the Na⁺ and Cl⁻ loadings are lower.

At sites NE5 and NW4, both more than 10 km from the road, there were elevated values of Na⁺⁺ and Mg⁺⁺. These samples may have been contaminated by surface water from the ponds on which the snow was collected.

The principal components which correspond to chemical constituents originating from the oil sands plants (1, 3, 5 in January and 1, 2, 5 in February) were used as the axes of a three-dimensional diagram (Figures 26 and 27). The grouping of sites with similar chemistry is apparent. With a few exceptions, these groupings or clusters occupy contiguous geographical areas as shown in Figures 28 and 29.



Figure 26. A three-dimensional representation of a principal component analysis of the January 1981 snowpack loading data.



Figure 27. A three-dimensional representation of a principal component analysis of the February 1981 snowpack loading data.



Figure 28. The geographical location of groups of sites identified by cluster analysis as having similar snow chemistry on 10 January 1981.



Figure 29. The geographical location of groups of sites identified by cluster analysis as having similar snow chemistry on 23 February 1981.

4.6 COMPARISON OF BARRINGER AND CHEMEX ANALYSIS

In each survey, samples from 9 sites plus a blank were analyzed by both Chemex and Barringer to permit an interlaboratory comparison of the results. Each laboratory analyzed similar but not identical samples from separate snowcores, so that sampling errors are present in addition to analytical and handling errors.

A comparison of the results for the blank samples is shown in Table 25. Barringer reports a pH which is 0.6 units higher than the Chemex result. The increase of pH does not appear to have resulted from the dissolution of calcium oxides because there was little difference in the calcium ion concentrations. The ratio of positive to negative ion-equivalents for the Barringer analysis is 1.2 which is within the expected error. For Chemex, the ratio is 5.2 indicating a surplus of positive ions.

A comparison of pH values obtained by the two labs is given in Figure 30. For pH values below about 6.5, there was an apparent increase of pH due to shipping of the melted snow from Chemex to Barringer.

There was good agreement between the analyses of the two laboratories for the sulphate, nitrates, ammonium, and potassium ions as shown in Table 26 and 27. The hydrogen, chloride, sodium, magnesium and calcium ions showed good agreement at a number of sites but large differences at others. This probably reflects the fact that different cores were analyzed by the two labs. Intrasite variability could lead to a difference in composition of the cores.

The correlation between the soluble vanadium concentrations was good, although different techniques were used. The agreement was not as good for the other soluble metals because the concentrations were near the detection limit for the plasma method.

There was good agreement for the mass of insoluble aluminum and vanadium. Insoluble titanium and manganese did not show good agreement. The amounts of these elements in the samples were relatively low.

	Barringer	Cheme>
рН	5.50	4.90
s0 <u>∓</u> -s	<0.02	<0.003
C1 ⁻	0.02	<0.06
N03-N	<0.01	0.005
NH ⁺ ₄ -N	0.04	<0.004
К+	0.06	<0.06
Na ⁺	<0.02	<0.01
Mg ⁺⁺	<0.01	0.015
Ca ⁺⁺	0.05	0.04
Alkalinity	8	2
Soluble Al	20	< 1
Soluble Fe	<10	<2
Soluble Ni	10	<1
Soluble V	11	<1
Insoluble Al	<10	6
Insoluble Mn	<10	0.5
Insoluble Ti	<6	<50
Insoluble V	<6	0.2

Table 25. Comparison of analyses by Chemex and Barringer of blank samples. Units are: mg/L for major ions, $\mu eq/L$ for alkalinity, $\mu g/L$ for soluble metals, and μg for insoluble metals.



Figure 30. A comparison of pH measured by Chemex immediately after melting the snow with that measured by Barringer one to two weeks after melting.

Table 26.	Comparison of Barringer and Chemex snow sample analyses
	for the 10 to 13 January 1981 survey. Units of the
	standard error of estimate ^a are: µg/L for major ions,
	μ eq/L for alkalinity, μ g/L for soluble metals and μ g
	for insoluble metals.

Constituent	Linear Correlation Coefficient	Standard Error of Estimate		
H ⁺	0.90	1		
s0 ⊒̃- s	0.83	300		
C1-	0.57	50		
N03-N	0.91	20		
NHŽ-N	0.73	60		
К+	0.97	120		
Na ⁺	0.34	350		
Mg++	0.25	190		
Ca ⁺⁺	0.77	490		
Alkalinity	0.60	61		
Soluble Al	0.61	7		
Soluble Fe	0.38	14		
Soluble Ni	0.61	4		
Soluble V	0.90	20		
Insoluble Al	0.73	49		
Insoluble Mn	0.64	3		
Insoluble Ti	0.48	5		
Insoluble V	0.75	8		

^aStandard error of estimate, S_e is defined by: $S_e^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - (a+bx_i))^2$ where a and b are the intercept and slope, respectively, of the least-squares line between y;, the Barringer measurement and x; the Chemex observation.

Table 27.	Comparison of Barringer and Chemex snow sample analyses
	for the 20 to 23 February 1981 survey. Units of the
	standard error of estimate are: μ g/L for major ions,
	μ eq/L for alkalinity, μ g/L for soluble metals and μ g
	for insoluble metals.

Constituent	Linear Correlation Coefficient	Standard Error of Estimate		
H ⁺	0.61	1		
S0 <u>4</u> −S	0.96	100		
C1 ⁻	0.67	. 50		
N03-N	0.96	10		
NH ⁺ ₄ -N	0.88	30		
К+	0.99	80		
Na ⁺	0.27	300		
Mg ⁺⁺	0.61	700		
Ca ⁺⁺	0.11	980		
Alkalinity	0.25	76		
Soluble Al	D.L.			
Soluble Fe	D.L.			
Soluble Ni	D.L.			
Soluble V	0.78	19		
Insolubel Al	0.62	243		
Insoluble Mn	0.19	3		
Insoluble Ti	0.49	46		
Insoluble V	0.90	19		

D.L.-Detection Limit

Se ann

5. CONCLUSIONS

An extensive sampling of the snow cover, and a subsequent measurement of the concentrations of major ions and trace metals in the snowmelt, was carried out within the AOSERP study area during the winter of 1980-81. Methods similar to those used in two previous studies (Barrie and Whelpdale 1978; Barrie and Kovalick 1980) were followed.

The observed deposition patterns of the particulate and gaseous matter emitted from the oil sands plants showed a strong lobe to the south and a weaker one to the northwest of the plants. These patterns would be expected due to the wind directions that occurred over the winter.

The January 1981 results showed that there has been an apparent increase in sulphate and nitrate loadings in the AOSERP study area since the Syncrude plant became operational in 1978. Sulphate deposition within 25 km of the plants doubled between 1978 and 1981. Nitrate deposition within the same area increased marginally.

The loadings of insoluble particulates have decreased substantially from January 1978. Electrostatic precipitators were installed at the Suncor power plant in November 1979 to reduce the emissions of particulate matter.

The differences between the results in 1978 and 1981 may arise from differences in the meteorological regimes. The early winter of 1980-81 had a similar temperature history to 1977-78, but there was no pronounced southeasterly maximum.

As in the previous studies, the percentage of sulphur emissions that were deposited within 25 km of the oil sands plants was very low-i.e., only 0.23%. In contrast, most of the particulates settled near the sources. Of the estimated amount emitted over the 67 d lifetime of the snow cover prior to the January sampling period, 96% was deposited within 25 km of the sources.

The discrepancies between loadings calculated from the snow tray data and the differences between loadings calculated from the January and February 1981 snow core data probably arose from leaching of the contaminants from the snow cover during the interval between the two surveys. One of the aims of this project was to provide the deposition increment for a 1-m sampling period in mid-winter, to be compared with the results of dispersion model calculations. In view of the problems with leaching, the February 1981 data should not be used for this purpose.

Continued monitoring of the snow cover is desirable in future winters, both to chart the changing impact of emissions as the oil sands deposits are exploited, and to provide a data base for modelling studies. The snowpack sampling method has the advantage of being relatively inexpensive; it does, however, bear the risk of failure if the winter is considerably milder than normal.

If snow sampling is continued, it would be desirable to redesign the network of sites. The emission configuration has changed significantly in the past few years resulting in a considerable expansion of the area with alkaline snow. Some of the sites within 25 km of the sources should be eliminated and relocated further away to depict better the spatial distribution of contaminant loadings. The sites along Highway 63, in particular, do not give representative results because of the contamination from road salt. It is important, however, that a core of the original sites are retained so that trends can be determined.

6. REFERENCES CITED

- Barrie, L.A. and D.M. Whelpdale. 1978. Background air and precipitation chemistry. Pages 124 to 159 in Fanaki, F., ed. Meteorology and air quality winter field study in the AOSERP study area, March 1976. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 27. 499 pp.
- Barrie, L.A. and J. Kovalick. 1980. A wintertime investigation of the deposition of pollutants around an isolated power plant in northern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta OII Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 90. 115 pp.
- Environment Canada. 1973. Canadian normals, temperature 1941-1970. 186 pp.
- Galvin, P.J. and J.A. Cline. 1978. Measurement of anions in the snow cover of the Adironack Mountains. Atmospheric Environment 12:1163-1167.
- Green, P.E. 1978. Analyzing multivariate data. Dryden Press, Hinsdale, Illinois. 519 pp.
- Nie, N.H., C.H. Hull, J.G. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner and D.H. Brent. 1975. SPSS: Statistical package for the social sciences. McGraw-Hill, New York. 675 pp.
- Syncrude Canada Ltd. 1978. Environmental impact assessment; addendum to the 1973 report. Volume B - Blophysical Aspects.

- 7. APPENDIX
- 7.1 THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE MEASURED SNOWPACK LOADINGS ON 10 JANUARY 1981



Figure 31. The spatial distribution of snowpack pH in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 32. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of sulphate ion in the study area on 10 January 1981.







Figure 34. The spatial distribution of Cl⁻ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 35°. The spatial distribution of NH_4^+ -N snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 36. The spatial distribution of K⁺ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 37. The spatial distribution of Na⁺ snowpack loading (mg/m^2) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 38. The spatial distribution of Mg^{++} snowpack loading (mg/m^2) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 39. The spatial distribution of Ca⁺⁺ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 10 January 1981.



Figure 40. The spatial distribution of total insoluble metal loading (g/m^2) on 10 January 1981.

N



Figure 41. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m^2) of insoluble aluminum in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 42. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble vanadium in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 43. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble manganese in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 44. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of insoluble titanium in the study area on 10 January 1981.



Figure 45. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m2) of soluble aluminum in the study area on 10 January 1981.


Figure 46. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of soluble vanadium in the study area on 10 January 1981.







Figure 48. The spatial distribution of snowpack loading (mg/m²) of soluble nickel in the study area on 10 January 1981.

7.2 THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE MEASURED SNOWPACK LOADINGS ON 20 FEBRUARY 1981



Figure 49. Spatial distribution of snowpack pH on 20 February 1981.



Figure 50. Spatial distribution of Cl⁻ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 51. The spatial distribution of NH_4^+ -N snowpack loading (mg/m2) on 20 February 1981.



· 1

Figure 52. The spatial distribution of K^+ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 53. The spatial distribution of Na⁺ snowpack loading (mg/m^2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 54. The spatial distribution of Mg^{++} snowpack loading (mg/m^2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 55. The spatial distribution of Ca⁺⁺ snowpack loading (mg/m²) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 56. The spatial distribution of total insoluble metal loading (g/m^2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 57. The spatial distribution of insoluble titanium loading (mg/m^2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 58. The spatial distribution of soluble aluminum loading (mg/m2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 59. The spatial distribution of soluble vanadium loading (mg/m^2) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 60. The spatial distribution of soluble iron loading (mg/m²) on 20 February 1981.



Figure 61. The spatial distribution of soluble nickel loading (mg/m^2) on 20 February 1981.

7.3 THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE DIFFERENCE IN CONTAMINANT LOADINGS BETWEEN THE JANUARY 1981 AND 1978 SNOWPACK SUR-VEYS

l



Figure 62. Difference in NO3-N loadings (mg/m²) between the January 1978 and 1981 snowpack surveys. Shading indicates areas of decreased loading.



Figure 63. Difference in Al loadings (mg/m²) between the January 1978 and 1981 snowpack surveys. Shading indicates areas of decreased loading.



Figure 64. Difference in Vanadium loadings (mg/m²) between the January 1981 and 1978 snowpack surveys. Shading indicates areas of decreased loading.



Figure 65. Difference in Manganese loadings (mg/m²) between January 1978 and 1981 snowpack surveys. Shading indicates areas of decreased loading.

- 8. LIST OF AOSERP RESEARCH REPORTS
- Report Project Reference
 - 1 PM Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. 1976. First annual report, 1975. Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. AOSERP Report 1. 58 pp.
 - 2 AF 4.1.1 Kristensen, J., B.S. Ott, and A.D. Sekerak. 1976. Walleye and goldeye fisheries investigations in the Peace-Athabasca Delta--1975. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Ltd., Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 2. 103 pp.
 - 3 HE 1.1.1 McVey, W.W. 1976. Structure of a traditional baseline data system. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Population Research Laboratory. AOSERP Report 3. 26 + 266 pp.
 - 4 VE 2.2 Stringer, P.W. 1976. A preliminary vegetation survey of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intraverda Plant Systems Ltd. AOSERP Report 4. 108 pp.
 - 5 HY 3.1 Strosher, M.T. and E. Peake. 1976. The evaluation of wastewaters from an oil sand extraction plant. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Calgary, Environmental Sciences Centre (Kananaskis). AOSERP Report 5. 103 pp.
 - 6 PM Patterson, R. and A.M. Lansdown. 1976. Housing for the north--the stackwall system; construction report--Mildred Lake tank and pump house. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Manitoba, Faculty of Engineering, Northern Housing Committee. AOSERP Report 6. 36 pp.
 - 7 AF 3.1.1 Jantzie, T.D. 1977. A synopsis of the physical and biological limnology and fishery programs within the Alberta oil sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Renewable Resources Consulting Services Ltd. AOSERP Report 7. 73 pp.

AF 1.2.1 Machniak, K. 1977. The impact of saline waters upon freshwater biota (a literature review and bibliography). Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Aquatic Environments Ltd. AOSERP Report 8. 258 pp.

9 ME 3.3 Croft, B.R., A. Lamb, and R.N. Dawson. 1977. A preliminary investigation into the magnitude of fog occurrence and associated problems in the oil sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Stanley Associates Engineering Ltd. AOSERP Report 9. 87 pp.

- 10 HE 2.1 Millar, J.F.V. 1977. Development of a research design related to archaeological studies in the Athabasca Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Saskatchewan. AOSERP Report 10. 69 pp.
- 11 AF 2.2.1 Flannagan, J.F. 1977. Life cycles of some common aquatic insects of the Athabasca River, Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 11. 20 pp.
- 12 ME 1.7 Mercer, J.M. and R.B. Charlton. 1977. Very high resolution meteorological satellite study of oil sands weather: "a feasibility study". Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Department of Geography. AOSERP Report 12. 44 pp.
- 13 ME 2.3.1 Davison, D.S., C.J. Fortems, and K.L. Grandia. 1977. Plume dispersion measurements from an oil sands extraction plant, March 1976. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 13. 195 pp.

14 none

8

15 ME 3.4 Denison, P.J. 1977. A climatology of low-level air trajectories in the Alberta oil sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Acres Consulting Services. AOSERP Report 15. 118 pp.

16	ME 1.6	Barge, B.L., R.G. Humphries, and S.L. Olson. 1977. The feasibility of a weather radar near Fort McMurray, Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Research Council, Atmospheric Sciences Division. AOSERP Report 16. 72 pp.
17	AF 2.1.1	Lutz, A. and M. Hendzel. 1977. A survey of baseline levels of contaminants in aquatic biota of the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 17. 51 pp.
18	HY 1.1	Loeppky, K.D. and M.O. Spitzer. 1977. Interim compilation of stream gauging data to December 1976 for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Water Survey Branch. AOSERP Report 18. 257 pp.
19	ME 4.1	Walmsley, J.L. and D.L. Bagg. 1977. Calculations of annual averaged sulphur dioxide concentrations at ground level in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 19. 40 pp.
20	HY 3.1.1	Strosher, M.T. and E. Peake. 1978. Character- ization of organic constituents in waters and wastewaters of the Athabasca Oil Sands mining area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environ- mental Research Program by the University of Calgary, Environmental Sciences Centre (Kananaskis). AOSERP Report 20. 71 pp.
21	РМ	Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. 1977. Second Annual Report, 1976-77. Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. AOSERP Report 21. 62 pp.
22	₽M	Smith, S.B., ed. 1979. Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program Interim Report covering the period April 1975 to November 1978. Prep. by A.S. Mann, R.A. Hursey, R.T. Seidner, and B. Kasinska-Banas. AOSERP Report 22.

- 24 ME 1.5.2 Fanaki, F., R. Mickle, M. Lusis, J. Kovalick, ME 3.5.1 J. Markes, F. Froude, J. Arnold, A. Gallant, S. Melnichuk, D. Brymer, A. Gaudenzi, A. Moser, and D. Bagg. 1979. Air system winter field study in the AOSERP study area, February 1977. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 24. 182 pp.
- 25 ME 3.5.1 Bottenheim, J.W. and O.P. Strausz. 1977. Review of pollutant transformation processes relevant to the Alberta oil sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Hydrocarbon Research Centre. AOSERP Report 25. 166 pp.

- 26 AF 4.5.1 Bond, W.A. and K. Machniak. 1977. Interim report on an intensive study of the fish fauna of the Muskeg River watershed of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Department of Fisheries. AOSERP Report 26. 137 pp.
- 27 ME 1.5.1 Fanaki, F., compiler. 1978. Meteorology and air quality winter field study in the AOSERP study area, March 1976. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 27. 249 pp.
- 28 VE 2.1 Turchenek, L.W. and J.D. Lindsay. 1978. Interim report on a soils inventory in the Athabasca Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Research Council, Soils Division. AOSERP Report 28. 100 pp.
- 29 ME 2.2 Shelfentook, W. 1978. An inventory system for atmospheric emissions in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by SNC Tottrup Services Ltd. AOSERP Report 29. 58 pp.

- 30 ME 2.1 Strosher, M.M. 1978. Ambient air quality in the AOSERP study area, 1977. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Environment, Pollution Control Division. AOSERP Report 30. 74 pp.
- 31 VE 2.3 Thompson, M.D., M.C. Wride, and M.E. Kirby. 1978. Ecological habitat mapping of the AOSERP study area: Phase I. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 31. 176 pp.
- 32 PM Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. 1979. Third Annual Report, 1977-78. Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. AOSERP Report 32. 114 pp.
- 33 TF 1.2 Nowlin, R.A. 1978. Relationships between habitats, forages, and carrying capacity of moose range in northern Alberta. Part 1: moose preferences for habitat strata and forages. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Recreation, Parks and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Division. AOSERP Report 33. 63 pp.
- 34 HY 2.4 Allan, R. and T. Jackson. 1978. Heavy metals in bottom sediments of the mainstem Athabasca River system in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 34. 72 pp.
- 35 AF 4.9.1 Griffiths, W.H. and B.D. Walton. 1978. The effects of sedimentation on the aquatic biota. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Renewable Resources Consulting Services Ltd. AOSERP Report 35. 86 pp.
- 36 AF 4.8.1 Jones, M.L., G.J. Mann, and P.J. McCart. 1978. Fall fisheries investigations in the Athabasca and Clearwater rivers upstream of Fort McMurray: Volume 1. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Aquatic Environments Ltd. AOSERP Report 36. 71 pp.
- 37 HE 2.2.2 Van Dyke, E.W. and C. Loberg. 1978. Community studies: Fort McMurray, Anzac, Fort MacKay. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Applied Research Associates Ltd. AOSERP Report 37. 195 pp.

- 38 VE 7.1.1 Green, J.E. 1979. Techniques for the control of small mammal damage to plants: a review. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Ltd., Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 38. 111 pp.
- 39 ME 1.0 Longley, R.W. and B. Janz. 1979. The climatology of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 39. 102 pp.
- 40 WS 3.3 Beltaos, S. 1979. Mixing characteristics of the Athabasca River below Fort McMurray-winter conditions. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Research Council, Transportation and Surface Water Engineering Division. AOSERP Report 40. 110 pp.
- 41 AF 3.5.1 Sprague, J.B., D.A. Holdway, and D. Stendahl.1978. Acute and chronic toxicity of vanadium to fish. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Guelph. AOSERP Report 41. 92 pp.
- 42 TF 1.1.4 Todd, A. 1978. Analysis of fur production records for registered traplines in the AOSERP study area, 1970-75. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Recreation, Parks, and Wildlife. AOSERP Report 42. 17 pp.
- 43 TF 6.1 Phillips, W., D. DePape, and L. Ewanyk. 1979. A socioeconomic evaluation of the recreational use of fish and wildlife resources in Alberta, with particular reference to the AOSERP study area. Volume 1: summary and conclusions. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Department of Rural Economy. AOSERP Report 43. 116 pp.
- 44 VE 3.1 Malhotra, S.S. and P.A. Addison. 1979. Interim report on symptomology and threshold levels of air pollutant injury to vegetation, 1975 to 1978. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest Research Centre. AOSERP Report 44. 13 pp.

- 45 Malhotra, S.S. 1979. Interim report on physio-VE 3.3 logy and mechanisms of air-borne pollutant injury to vegetation, 1975 to 1978. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest Research Centre, AOSERP Report 45. 38 pp. 46 VE 3.4 Addison, P.A. and J. Baker. 1979. Interim report on ecological benchmarking and biomonitoring for detection of air-borne pollutant effects on vegetation and soils, 1975 to 1978. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Forestry Service, Northern Forest Research Centre. AOSERP Report 46. 40 pp. 47
 - TF 1.1.1 Cook, R.D. and J.O. Jacobson. 1979. A visibility bias model for aerial surveys of moose on the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Interdisciplinary Systems Ltd. AOSERP Report 47. 28 pp.
 - HG 1.1 Schwartz, F.W. 1979. Interim report on a hydrogeological investigation of the Muskeg River basin, Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Department of Geology. AOSERP Report 48. 104 pp.

- WS 1.3.3 Hartland-Rowe, R.C.B., R.W. Davies, M. McElhone, and R. Crowther. 1979. The ecology of macrobenthic invertebrate communities in Hartley Creek, northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Calgary, Department of Biology. AOSERP Report 49. 144 pp.
- 50 ME 3.6 Denison, P.J., T.A. McMahon, and J.R. Kramer. 1979. Literature review on pollution deposition processes. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program and Syncrude Canada Ltd. by Acres Consulting Services Limited and Earth Science Consultants Inc. AOSERP Report 50. 264 pp.
- 51 HY 1.3 Warner, L.A. and M.O. Spitzer. 1979. Interim compilation of 1976 suspended sediment data for the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Environment Canada, Water Survey of Canada. AOSERP Report 51. 59 pp.

ME 2.3.2 Davison, D.S. and K.L. Grandia. 1979. Plume dispersion measurements from an oil sands extraction plant, June 1977. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 52. 209 pp.

HY 3.1.2 Strosher, M.T. and E. Peake. 1979. Baseline states of organic constituents in the Athabasca River System upstream of Fort McMurray. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Calgary, Environmental Sciences Centre (Kananaskis). AOSERP Report 53. 71 pp.

- 54 WS 2.3 Nix, P.G., J.W. Costerton, R. Ventullo, and R.T. Coutts. 1979. A preliminary study of chemical and microbial characteristics of the Athabasca River in the Athabasca Oil Sands area of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Chemical and Geological Laboratories Ltd., Microbios Ltd., and Xenotox Services Ltd. AOSERP Report 54. 135 pp.
 - HY 2.6 Costerton, J. and G.G. Geesey. 1979. Microbial populations in the Athabasca River. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Calgary, Department of Biology. AOSERP Report 55. 65 pp.
 - AF 3.2.1 Giles, M.A., J.F. Klaverkamp, and S.G. Lawrence. 1979. The acute toxicity of saline groundwater and of vanadium to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 56. 216 pp.
- 57 LS 2.3.1 Thompson, M.D. 1979. Ecological habitat mapping of the AOSERP study area (supplement): Phase I. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 57. 45 pp.
- 58 AF 2.0.2 1979. Interim Lock, M.A. and R.R. Wallace. report on ecological studies on the lower trophic levels of Muskeg rivers within the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada. AOSERP Report 58. 105 pp.

128

55

52

- 56

59 TF 3.1 Gilbert, F.F., S.A. Brown, and M.E. Stoll. 1979. Semi-aquatic mammals: annotated bibliography. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Guelph, Department of Zoology. AOSERP Report 59. 167 pp. 60 WS 1.1.1 Neill, C.R. and B.J. Evans. 1979. Synthesis of surface water hydrology. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Northwest Hydraulic Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 60. 84 pp. 61 AF 4.5.2 Machniak, K. and W.A. Bond. 1979. An intensive study of the fish fauna of the Steepbank River watershed of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 61. 196 pp. 62 TF 5.1 Roberts, W., V. Lewin, and L. Brusnyk. 1979. Amphibians and reptiles in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Museum of Zoology. AOSERP Report 62. 51 pp. 63 ME 3.8.3 Davison, D.S. and E.D. Leavitt. 1979. Analysis of AOSERP plume sigma data. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 63. 251 pp. 64 LS 21.6.1 Thomson, D.C., D.M. Ealey, and K.H. McCourt. 1979. A review and assessment of the baseline data relevant to the impacts of oil sands developments on large mammals in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by McCourt Management Ltd. AOSERP Report 64. 155 pp. 65 LS 21.6.2 Penner, D.F., K.H. McCourt, and K.E. Smythe. 1979. A review and assessment of the baseline data relevant to the impacts of oil sands development on black bear in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by McCourt Management Ltd. AOSERP Report 65. 53 pp.

- 66 Reid, J.D., J.L. Walmsley, 1.B. Findleton, AS 4.3.2 and A.D. Christie. 1979. An assessment of the models LIRAQ and ADPIC for application to the Alberta Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 66. 95 pp. 67 WS 1.3.2 Lock, M.A. and R.R. Wallace. 1979. Aquatic biological investigations of the Muskeg River watershed. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 67. 29 pp.
- AS 1.5.3 Fanaki, F., R. Hoff, L. Barrie, R. Mickle, M. Lusis, K. Anlauf, A. Gallant, J. Kovalick, F. Froude, J. Markes, J. Arnold, S. Melnichuk, A. Moser, D. Bagg. 1979. Air system summer field study in the AOSERP study area, June 1977. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Serivce. AOSERP Report 68, 248 pp.
- 69 HS 40.1 Deines, A., C. Littlejohn, and T. Hunt. 1979. Native employment patterns in Alberta's Athabasca Oil Sands region. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Institute for Research in the Behavioral and Social Sciences. AOSERP Report 69. 216 pp.
- 70 LS 28.1.2 Ealey, D.M., S. Hannon, and G.J. Hilchie. 1979. An interim report on the insectivorous animals in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by McCourt Management Ltd. AOSERP Report 70. 294 pp.
- 71 HY 2.2 Hesslein, R.H. 1979. Lake acidification potential in the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 71. 36 pp.
- 72 LS 7.1.2 Green, J.E. 1979. The ecology of five major species of small mammals in the AOSERP study area: a review. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environment Research Program by LGL Limited, Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 72. 104 pp.

- 73 LS 23.2 Searing, G.F. 1979. Distribution, abundance, and habitat associations of beavers, muskrats, mink, and river otters in the AOSERP study area, northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Limited, Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 73. 119 pp.
- 74 AS 4.5 Angle, R.P. 1979. Air quality modelling and user needs. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Environment, Air Quality Control Branch. AOSERP Report 74. 34 pp.
- 75 WS 1.3.4 Hickman, M., S.E.D. Charlton, and C.G. Jenkerson. 1979. Interim report on a comparative study of benthic algal primary productivity in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Department of Botany. AOSERP Report 75. 107 pp.
- 76 AF 4.5.1 Bond, W.A. and K. Machniak. 1979. An intensive study of the fish fauna of the Muskeg River watershed of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 76. 180 pp.
- 77 HS 20.1 Peter C. Nichols and Associates Ltd. 1979. Overview of local economic development in the Athabasca Oil Sands region since 1961. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Peter C. Nichols & Associates Ltd. AOSERP Report 77. 221 pp.
- 78 LS 22.1.1 Francis, J. and K. Lumbis. 1979. Habitat relationships and management of terrestrial birds in northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Wildlife Service. AOSERP Report 78. 365 pp.
- 79 AF 3.6.1 Anderson, P.D., P. Spear, S. D'Apollonia, S. Perry, J. Deluca, and J. Dick. 1979. The multiple toxicity of vanadium, nickel, and phenol to fish. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Concordia University, Department of Biology. AOSERP Report 79. 109 pp.

- HS 10.2 & Parker, J.M. and K.W. Tingley. 1980. History HS 10.1 of the Athabasca Oil Sands region, 1890 to 1960's. Volume I: socio-economic developments. Volume II: oral history. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Alberta, Boreal Institute for Northern Studies. AOSERP Report 80. 155 and 70 pp.
- 81 LS 22.1.2 Hennan, E. and B. Munson. 1979. Species distribution and habitat relationships of waterfowl in northeastern Alberta. Prep. for Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Wildlife Service. AOSERP Report 81. 115 pp.
- 82 LS 22.2 Beaver, R. and M. Ballantyne. 1979. Breeding distribution and behaviour of the White Pelican in the Athabasca Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Wildlife Service. AOSERP Report 82. 93 pp.
- 83 LS 22.2 Ealey, D. 1979. The distribution, foraging behaviour, and allied activities of the White Pelican in the Athabasca Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Canadian Wildlife Service. AOSERP Report 83. 70 PP.
- 84 WS 1.6.1 Tripp, D.B. and P.J. McCart. 1979. Investigations of the spring spawning fish populations in the Athabasca and Clearwater rivers upstream from Fort McMurray: Volume I. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Aquatic Environments Limited. AOSERP Report 84. 128 pp.
- 85 HY 2.5 Akena, A.M. 1979. An intensive surface water quality study of the Muskeg River watershed. Volume 1: water chemistry. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Environment. AOSERP Report 85. 187 pp.
- 86 AS 3.7 Murray, W.A. and T.B. Low. 1979. An observational study of fog in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Promet Environmental Group Ltd. AOSERP Report 86. 54 pp.

- 87 WS 2.2 Schwartz, F.W. 1980. Hydrogeological investigation of Muskeg River basin, Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by University of Alberta, Department of Geology. AOSERP Report 87. 97 pp. 88 AF 2.0.1 Barton, D.R. and R.R. Wallace. 1980. Ecological studies of the aquatic invertebrates of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Fisheries and Environment Canada, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 88. 216 pp. 89 AF 4.3.2 Bond, W.A. 1980. Fishery resources of the Athabasca River downstream of Fort McMurray, Alberta: Volume I. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Freshwater Institute. AOSERP Report 89. 81 pp. Barrie, L.A. and J. Kovalick. 1980. A winter-90 AS 3.2 time investigation of the deposition of pollutants around an isolated power plant in northern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Atmospheric Environment Service. AOSERP Report 90. 115 pp. 91 LS 5.2 Kong, K., J.D. Lindsay, and W.B. McGill. 1980. Characterization of stored peat in the Alberta Oil Sands area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Research Council of Alberta, Soils Division, and the University of Alberta, Department of Soil Science. AOSERP Report 91. 116 pp. 92 WS 1.6.2 Tripp, D.B. and P.T.P. Tsui. 1980. Fisheries and habitat investigations of tributary streams in the southern portion of the AOSERP study area. Volume I: summary and conclusions. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Aquatic Environments Limited. AOSERP Report 92. 224 pp. Machniak, K., W.A. Bond, M.R. Orr, D. Rudy, and 93 WS 1.3.1 D. Miller. 1980. Fisheries and aquatic habitat investigations in the MacKay River watershed of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program and Syncrude Canada Ltd. by Department of Fisheries and Oceans. AOSERP Report 93. 273 pp.
- 133

94 WS 1.4.1 Ash, G.R. and L.R. Noton. 1980. A fisheries and water quality survey of ten lakes in the Richardson Tower area, northeastern Alberta. Volume 1: methodology, summary, and discussion. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by R.L.&L. Environmental Services Ltd. AOSERP Report 94. 187 pp. 95 AS 4.2.6 Venkatram, A. 1980. Evaluation of the effects of convection on plume behaviour in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program. AOSERP Report 95. 75 pp. 96 HS 20.3 Peter C. Nichols & Associates Ltd. 1980. Service delivery in the Athabasca Oil Sands region since 1961. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Peter C. Nichols & Associates Ltd. AOSERP Report 96. 92 pp. LS 3.4.1 Baker, J. 1980. Differences in the composition 97 of soils under open and canopy conditions at two sites close-in to the Great Canadian Oil Sands operation, Fort McMurray, Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Northern Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service. AOSERP Report 97. 24 pp. 98 LS 3.4.2 Addison, P.A. 1980. Baseline condition of jack pine biomonitoring plots in the Athabasca Oil Sands area; 1976 - 1977. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Northern Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service. AOSERP Report 98. 43 pp. 99 LS 10.1 Eulert, G.K. and H. Hernandez. 1980. Synecology and autecology of boreal forest vegetation in the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Interdisciplinary Systems Ltd. AOSERP Report 99. 184 pp. 100 LS 10.2 Thompson, M.S. and J. Crosby-Diewold. 1980. Baseline inventory of aquatic macrophyte species distributions in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. and Beak Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 100. 73 pp.
101	LS 21.1.3	Fuller, T.K. and L.B. Keith. 1980. Woodland caribou population dynamics in northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the University of Wisconsin, Department of Wildlife Ecology. AOSERP Report 101. 63 pp.
102	LS 21.1.4	Fuller, T.K. and L.B. Keith. 1980. Wolf population dynamics and prey relationships in northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research program by the University of Wisconsin, Department of Wildlife Ecology. AOSERP Report 102. 58 pp.
103	HS 50.4	MTB Consultants Ltd. 1980. Analysis of the leisure delivery system 1972-1979, with proj- ections for future servicing requirements. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by MTB Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 103. 204 pp.
10 ⁴	AS 4.2.4	Davison, D.S. and R.B. Lantz. 1980. Review of requirements for air quality simulation models. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Intera Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 104. 86 pp.
105	LS 11.3	Hilchie, G.J. and J.K. Ryan. 1980. Approaches to the design of a biomonitoring program using arthropods as bioindicators for the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by McCourt Management Ltd. AOSERP Report 105. 47 pp.
106	AS 2.1	Strosher, M.M. and R.R. Peters. 1980. Meteoro- logical factors affecting ambient SO ₂ concentrations near an oil sands extraction plant. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Environment, Pollution Control Division and AOSERP. AOSERP Report 106. 87 pp.
107	LS 7.1.2	Green, J.E. 1980. Small mammal populations of northeastern Alberta. Volume 1: populations in natural habitats. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Limited, Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 107. 294 pp.
108	LS 7.1.3	Green, J.E. 1980. Small mammal populations of northeastern Alberta. Volume II: populations in reclamation areas. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Limited, Environmental Research Asspcoates/ AOSERP Report 108. 136 pp.

Malhotra, S.S., P.A. Addison, and A.A. Khan. 1980. Symptomology and threshold levels of air pollutant injury to vegetation, 1979-80. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Northern Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service. AOSERP Report 109.

Malhotra, S.S. and A.A. Khan. 1980. Physiology

and mechanisms of airborne pollutant injury to vegetation, 1979-80. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Reserach Program by Northern Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service. AOSERP Report 110. 49 pp. LS 3.4 111 Addison, P.A. 1980. Ecological bench-marking and biomonitoring for detection of airborne pollutant effects on vegetation and soils. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Northern Forest Research Centre, Canadian Forestry Service. AOSERP Report 111. 48 pp. 112 HS 30.5 Gartrell, J.W., H. Krahn, and F.D. Sunahara. 1980. A study of human adjustment in Fort McMurray. Volume 1: field study and results. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Thames Group Research Inc. through the University of Alberta, Population Research Laboratory. AOSERP Report 112. 404 pp. 113 WS 2.6.1 Tsui, P.T.P., B.R. McMahon, P.J. McCart, and J.V. McCart. 1980. A laboratory study of longterm effects of mine depressurization groundwater on fish and invertebrates. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Aquatic Environments Ltd. AOSERP Report 113. 211 pp. 114 WS 3.4 Sekerak, A.D. and G.L. Walder. 1980. Aquatic biophysical inventory of major tributaries in the AOSERP study area. Volume I: summary report. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by LGL Limited, Environmental Research Associates. AOSERP Report 114. 100 pp. 115 LS 28.1.1 Ryan, J.K. and G.J. Hilchie. 1980. Report on an ecological survey of terrestrial insect communities in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by McCourt Management Ltd. AOSERP Report 115. 202 pp.

109

110

LS 3.1

LS 3.3

17 pp.

WS 1.3.5 Crowther, R.A. and B.J. Lade. 1980. An assessment of benthic secondary production in the Muskeg River of northeastern Alberta. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by IEC International Environmental Consultants Ltd. AOSERP Report 116. 106 pp.
MS 3.5.4 Bottenheim, J.N. and O.P. Strausz. 1981.

17 AS 3.5.4 Bottenheim, J.N. and O.P. Strausz. 1981. Development of a chemically reactive plume model for application in the AOSERP study area. Prep. for the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta. AOSERP Report 117. 159 pp. This material is provided under educational reproduction permissions included in Alberta Environment's Copyright and Disclosure Statement, see terms at <u>http://www.environment.alberta.ca/copyright.html</u>. This Statement requires the following identification:

"The source of the materials is Alberta Environment <u>http://www.environment.gov.ab.ca/</u>. The use of these materials by the end user is done without any affiliation with or endorsement by the Government of Alberta. Reliance upon the end user's use of these materials is at the risk of the end user.