1 2	Morning (Fasting) Vs. Afternoon Resistance Exercise in Individuals with Type 1 Diabetes: A Randomized Cross-Over Study
3	
4	Saeed Reza Toghi Eshghi, PhD <sup>1</sup> , Jane E Yardley, PhD <sup>1,2,3</sup>
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> University of Alberta, Faculty of Kinesiology, Sport, and Recreation, Edmonton, Canada
7	<sup>2</sup> Alberta Diabetes Institute, Edmonton, Canada
8	<sup>3</sup> University of Alberta, Augustana Faculty, Camrose, Canada
9	
10	
11	
12	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Jane E Yardley, PhD Assistant Professor, Social Sciences University of Alberta, Augustana Faculty 4901 – 46 <sup>th</sup> avenue Camrose, AB T4V 2R3 (780) 679-1688 (phone) (780) 679-1590 (fax) Email: jane.yardley@ualberta.ca RUNNING TITLE: Fasting resistance exercise in type 1 diabetes KEY WORDS: weight lifting, circadian rhythm, blood glucose, continuous glucose monitoring Word Count: abstract (250 words), main text 3742 words
24	
25	Figures & Tables: 2 figures, 2 tables
26	
27	DISCLOSURES
28	JEY has received speaker's fees from Dexcom Canada, and in kind research support from
29	Medtronic Canada, Dexcom Canada, LifeScan Canada, Abbott Nutrition Canada, and Ascensia
30	Diabetes Canada. SRT has no conflict of interest to declare.
31	

32 ABSTRACT33

*Objective* – To determine the effect of morning exercise in fasting condition, versus afternoon
 exercise on blood glucose responses to resistance exercise (RE).

36 <i>Research Design and Methods</i> – Using a randomized crossover design, 12 participants with type
--

1 diabetes [9 females, aged  $31 \pm 8.9$  years, diabetes duration  $19.1 \pm 8.3$  years, HbA1c =  $7.4 \pm$ 

38 0.8% (57.4 ± 8.5 mmol/mol)] performed ~40 minutes of RE (three sets of eight repetitions, seven

exercises, at the individual's pre-determined eight repetition maximum) either at 7 am (fasting)

40 or 5 pm. Sessions were performed at least 48 hours apart. Venous blood samples were collected

41 immediately pre-, immediately post-, and 60-minutes post-exercise. Interstitial glucose was

42 monitored overnight post-exercise by continuous glucose monitoring (CGM).

*Results* – Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Blood glucose rose during fasting morning exercise 43  $(9.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ to } 10.4 \pm 3.0 \text{ mmol/L})$  while it declined with afternoon exercise  $(8.2 \pm 2.5 \text{ to } 7.4 \pm 2.6 \text{ mmol/L})$ 44 mmol/L; p=0.031 for time by treatment interaction). Sixty minutes post-exercise, blood glucose 45 concentration was significantly higher after fasting morning exercise compared to afternoon 46 exercise (10.9  $\pm$  3.2 vs. 7.9  $\pm$  2.9; p=0.019). CGM data indicated more glucose variability (2.7  $\pm$ 47 48 1.1 vs.  $2.0 \pm 0.7$  mmol/L; p=0.019) and more frequent hyperglycemia (12 events vs. 5 events; 49 p=0.025) after morning RE compared to afternoon RE. There were two hypoglycemic events 50 after morning RE compared to four after afternoon RE (NS).

51 *Conclusions* – Morning (fasting) RE is associated with distinctly different blood glucose

52 responses and post-exercise profiles from afternoon RE.

# 53 PRECIS

- 54 Morning resistance exercise performed while fasting increases blood glucose while resistance
- exercise performed later in the day decreases blood glucose in individuals with type 1 diabetes.

56 IN

#### INTRODUCTION

57 58 Resistance exercise provides the human body with a multitude of benefits including maintaining and/or building muscle mass, strength and metabolism (1), increasing resting energy 59 60 expenditure (2), improving bone mineral density (3), decreasing the risk of type 2 diabetes by increasing insulin sensitivity (4) and improving cardiovascular health (5,6). In individuals with 61 62 type 1 diabetes, resistance exercise is also associated with smaller changes in blood glucose during activity than aerobic exercise (7), seems to provide more stable blood glucose levels in 63 the hour post-exercise (7), and may offer a protective effect on blood glucose levels when 64 performed immediately prior to aerobic exercise (8). 65 66 While they are few and have small sample sizes, some studies examining the acute effects of resistance exercise on blood glucose have had divergent outcomes. Studies of 67 afternoon resistance exercise by Yardley et al. [consisting of three sets of eight repetitions, at the 68

69 participants' eight repetition maximum (8RM) of seven different exercises] have been associated with average declines in blood glucose of approximately 1.5 mmol/L (7,8) during exercise and 70 71 an increased risk of nocturnal hypoglycemia (7). Conversely, studies performed by Turner et al., 72 (9) where participants performed a very similar protocol while in a fasting state in the morning, have resulted in either a mean increase in blood glucose levels of 1.5 mmol/L when two sets of 73 10 repetitions were performed at 60% of the participants' 1RM (a similar intensity to the Yardley 74 et al. studies) (7,8), or no significant change in blood glucose in either direction when three sets 75 76 of eight repetitions (also at 60% of the 1 RM) were performed (10). As CGM data were not 77 reported for the morning exercise studies, the risk of post-exercise nocturnal hypoglycemia remains unquantified. Whether the divergent responses are due to differences in participant 78 characteristics, or differences in metabolism in the fasted versus fed state is uncertain. 79

The present study sought to determine whether or not individuals with type 1 diabetes would have different blood glucose responses to a standardized resistance exercise protocol if exercise was performed in the morning while fasting, or in the afternoon. By using a randomized, cross-over design, participant characteristics are removed as a potential confounder. We hypothesized that fasting exercise would be associated with smaller declines (if any) in blood glucose and a lower risk of post-exercise nocturnal hypoglycemia, than when the same resistance exercise protocol is performed in the late afternoon.

87

## 88 METHODS

The study was approved with the University of Alberta research ethics board and all 89 participants provided informed consent prior to their participation. Twelve non-smoking, non-90 obese, complication-free, recreationally active individuals with type 1 diabetes were recruited for 91 92 the study. The study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of 93 Helsinki. Participants were required to be between the ages of 18 and 50, to have been diagnosed with type 1 diabetes for at least one year, to have HbA1c levels below 9.9% (84.7 mmol/mol) 94 and to be habitually active, performing both aerobic and resistance exercise. Potential 95 96 participants were excluded if they had any condition that would render exercise or physical activity contraindicated (e.g. severe hypoglycemia unawareness, autonomic neuropathy, severe 97 98 proliferative, retinopathy, joint or limb injuries preventing weight-bearing activity/requiring limb 99 immobilization, etc.), if they were performing shift work, or if they were using any medication (other than insulin) that would impact blood glucose levels. There were no exclusions based on 100 101 insulin regimen or mode of insulin administration.

# 103 <u>Experimental Design</u>

Research took place in the Physical Activity and Diabetes Laboratory at the Alberta 104 105 Diabetes Institute. Participants attended a baseline session where written consent was obtained. During the same session the participants' aerobic fitness was estimated using a modified 106 Austrand-Rhyming Submaximal Cycle Ergometer test (11) on an electronically braked cycle 107 108 ergometer (Monark Ergomedic 894E; Monark, Varberg, Sweden). A test of muscular strength (8RM) was performed in order to determine the maximum weight that participants could lift 109 110 eight times with good form for the exercises included in the protocol (chest press, leg press, seated row, leg curl, shoulder press and lat pulldown) all of which were performed on weight-111 lifting machines to ensure consistent form and participant safety. At the end of the baseline 112 sessions the order of exercise sessions was determined by flipping a fair coin before sessions 113 were scheduled. 114

The study involved a randomized, open-label, cross-over design with two rounds of 115 116 testing. One testing session took place at 7 am (as per Turner et al.) (10) with participants in the fasting state, and the other took place at 5 pm (as per Yardley et al.) (7), with at least 48 hours 117 between sessions. At least 24 hours prior to the first session participants came to the lab for the 118 insertion of an Enlite<sup>TM</sup> sensor with an iPro2<sup>®</sup> (Medtronic, Northridge, CA) blinded continuous 119 glucose monitoring (CGM) system. Participants were provided with a log and asked to record 120 121 their food intake and insulin dosage over the six days of CGM wear. While wearing the CGM, 122 participants were asked to match their day to day food intake and insulin dosage (including adjustments for exercise) as closely as possible, while avoiding alcohol and strenuous exercise. 123 124 The study also provided participants with a pedometer (Yamax DigiWalker 200, Yamax 125 Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) to determine their background physical activity (daily step count),

and a OneTouch<sup>®</sup> Ultra<sup>®</sup>2 glucose meter and test strips (LifeScan Milpitas, CA, USA) to record
four daily capillary glucose tests for the purpose of calibrating the CGM. For female participants,
both tests occurred in the same phase of the menstrual cycle.

129 Experimental Sessions

Participants arrived at the laboratory at 6 am in a fasting state for the morning exercise 130 131 session (as per Turner et al.) (10) and at 4 pm for the afternoon session. Upon arriving at the lab for the afternoon session participants consumed a standardized snack (Glucerna Snack Bar, 132 133 Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL), as per Yardley et al. (7). Exercise started at 7 am and 5 pm respectively for the morning and afternoon exercise conditions. As in previous studies, 134 resistance exercise was performed with a 2-second count for both the eccentric and concentric 135 phases of the lift. Participants performed three sets of eight repetitions (8RM) of all exercises 136 with 90 seconds rest in between. The exercises ensured that all major muscles groups were 137 targeted, and included leg press, bench press, leg curl, lat pulldown, abdominal crunches, 138 139 shoulder press and seated row. Exercise lasted approximately 43 minutes, and was followed by a 60-minute period of seated recovery in the lab. In line with exercise guidelines of both Diabetes 140 Canada (12) and the American Diabetes Association (13), participants using insulin pumps were 141 142 asked to decrease their basal rate by 50% starting one hour before exercise and maintained until the end of exercise. Individuals using multiple daily injections were asked to reduce their long-143 144 acting insulin dose by 10% the day prior to exercise. The importance of repeating the same 145 adjustments for both sessions was emphasized.

Prior to exercise, intravenous catheters were inserted in the antecubital vein for the
purpose of blood sampling. Blood samples were drawn into 10-mL EDTA vacutainer tubes
immediately before exercise, at the end of exercise and 60 minutes post-exercise. Tubes were

immediately centrifuged at 1500 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C to extract plasma. Samples were then 149 stored in a -80°C freezer until batch analysis could be completed. Plasma glucose values were 150 determined using the hexokinase timed end point method on a Siemens ADVIA 1800 system 151 with Siemens ADVIA chemistry glucose hexokinase\_3 (GLUH-c) concentrated reagent. 152 Participants were asked to continue wearing their CGM for a full 24 hours after the lab 153 154 testing sessions. CGM units were collected from participants and uploaded to the Medtronic Carelink web-based platform. Data were exported as Excel spreadsheets. EasyGV<sup>©</sup> Version 155 156 9.0.R2 (www.easygv.co.uk) was used to assess interstitial glucose means, and glucose variability 157 expressed as mean absolute glucose change (MAG). Percentage of time spent in hypoglycemia  $(\leq 3.9 \text{ mmol/L})$  and hyperglycemia  $(\geq 10 \text{ mmol/L})$  were calculated (7) as well as the frequency of 158 hypoglycemic and hyperglycemic excursions. 159

## 160 <u>Statistical Analysis</u>

Plasma glucose data were analysed using a repeated measures ANOVA in order to 161 162 examine the whether there were significant changes in blood glucose, and if these differed depending on the timing of the exercise. CGM data that were normally distributed (mean, 163 standard deviation, MAG) were compared using paired t-tests and are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. 164 165 Non-normally distributed data (percent of time in hypo/hyperglycemia, frequency of hypoglycemic events were assessed using Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests, and are expressed as 166 167 median  $\pm$  IQR. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 software (IBM, Amonk, 168 New York, USA). We chose to examine the 6-hour window immediately post-exercise, as this is when the impact of exercise on blood glucose in individuals with type 1 diabetes is most clearly 169 170 seen (7,14). We also chose to assess the overnight period (between 11 pm and 6 am) as nocturnal 171 hypoglycemia is one of the greatest post-exercise risks for individuals with type 1 diabetes.

172

## 173 RESULTS

174 Participant characteristics can be found in Table 1. Participants were habitually physically active and were not taking any medications (other than insulin) that would alter 175 glucose metabolism. Eight of the 12 participants were using insulin pumps, while four were 176 177 using multiple daily insulin injections. Of the participants using multiple daily injections, three 178 were using glargine as basal insulin (administering their dose at bedtime), and one was using 179 levemir (late morning administration). These basal insulins were combined with either insulin 180 lispro (n=2) or insulin aspart (n=2). Participant food logs indicate that all participants had consumed their evening meal at least 8 hours prior to the morning exercise session. Similarly, on 181 afternoon exercise days, lunch was consumed at least three (n=4) or four (n=8) hours before the 182 exercise session. 183

Eleven of the 12 participants had identical insulin adjustments prior to both morning and afternoon exercise, and one participant decreased the basal rate on their insulin pump by 50% for the afternoon exercise, but made no adjustment for morning exercise. Background physical activity, measured as pedometer step counts, was not significantly different between conditions on either the testing day, or the day after testing. None of the participants experienced dangerous declines in blood glucose, and it was not necessary to provide glucose supplements to participants at any point during the testing.

There was no difference in blood glucose levels at the start of exercise between the morning  $(9.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ mmol/l})$  and afternoon  $(8.2 \pm 2.5 \text{ mmol/l}; p = 0.289)$  conditions. Changes in blood glucose were not significant during either the morning or the afternoon exercise sessions (effect of time p = 0.405). Blood glucose levels were higher throughout exercise and recovery

after morning exercise (effect of treatment p = 0.041). A significant time by treatment interaction 195 (p = 0.031) indicated that blood glucose levels were following different trajectories throughout 196 197 the testing session, with morning exercise producing a consistent increase (from  $9.5 \pm 3.0$  to 10.4 $\pm$  3.0 mmol/L) in blood glucose (Figure 1), while afternoon exercise caused an initial decline in 198 blood glucose (from  $8.2 \pm 2.5$  to  $7.4 \pm 2.6$  mmol/L) during exercise, with a return almost to 199 200 baseline during the 60-minute recovery. At the end of 60 minutes of recovery, blood glucose levels were significantly higher after morning exercise ( $10.9 \pm 3.2$  vs.  $7.9 \pm 2.9$ ; p=0.019). 201 202 Continuous Glucose Monitoring

203 Complete CGM data sets were available from 10 participants. Mean CGM glucose in the 6 hours post-exercise (Figure 2a) was not significantly different between the morning and 204 afternoon exercise sessions (am:  $11.5 \pm 3.3$ , pm:  $9.0 \pm 3.9$  mmol/L; p = 0.473). Mean absolute 205 206 glucose change (MAG) for 6 hours post-exercise was greater (p = 0.019) after morning exercise 207  $(2.7 \pm 1.1 \text{ mmol/L})$  compared to afternoon exercise  $(2.0 \pm 0.7)$  indicating more glycemic 208 variability after the fasting exercise session. Where the nocturnal period (midnight until 6 am) was concerned (Figure 2b), there were no significant differences between morning and afternoon 209 exercise with respect to mean CGM glucose (p = 0.635) or glucose variability as expressed by 210 211 MAG (p = 0.280).

There were no differences between morning and afternoon resistance exercise with respect to the frequency of hypoglycemia in the 6 hours post-exercise or in the nocturnal period after exercise (Table 2). Hyperglycemia was more common following morning exercise (p =0.011). The percentage of time spent in hypoglycemia, according to CGM, was similar (p =0.109) in both groups in the 6 hours immediately post-exercise, as well as during the nocturnal (p =0.345) post-exercise period (Table 2). Time spent in hyperglycemia, on the other hand, was higher in the first six hours after morning exercise [62.0% (36.0-82.6%)] compared to the first six hours post-afternoon exercise [11.6% (0-36.0%); p = 0.037]. The difference between the amount of time spent in range following morning exercise compared to afternoon exercise approached statistical significance (p = 0.064) with afternoon exercise showing more favourable blood glucose profiles (less hyperglycemia) in the six hours following exercise. There were no differences between morning and afternoon exercise with respect to the percent of time spent in range during the overnight period.

225

### 226 DISCUSSION

As hypothesized, fasting resistance exercise in the morning and afternoon resistance exercise have distinctly different effects on blood glucose levels with the former favouring an increase in blood glucose, and the latter a decrease. Due to a large amount of variability in the responses, the overall changes in blood glucose for both of these sessions were not statistically significant themselves. The time-by-treatment interaction, however, indicates that blood glucose trajectories over time were in fact significantly different between the morning (an increasing trend) and afternoon (a decreasing trend) exercise sessions.

These outcomes are consistent with what has been observed in previous exercise studies in type 1 diabetes. While they are not numerous, studies where resistance exercise was performed in the afternoon resulted in a decline in blood glucose levels (7,8), while studies where exercise was performed while fasting in the morning (after evening administration of glargine) either showed no change (10) or a mean increase (9) in blood glucose in response to resistance exercise. Aerobic exercise studies examining the impact of time of day have found similar results with repeated measures designs. A study by Ruegemer et al., (15) found distinctly

different patterns of blood glucose response to 30 minutes of stationary cycling at 60% of the 241 participant's aerobic capacity performed while fasting in the morning, or fed in the late 242 243 afternoon. Morning exercise produced an increase in blood glucose from  $6.7 \pm 0.4$  mmol/L to 9.1  $\pm$  0.4 mmol/L (p<0.01), while a small non-significant decline was found in the afternoon. More 244 importantly, the inclusion of a non-exercise control day showed that blood glucose levels in 245 246 these participants were otherwise stable at this time of day. Meals, snacks and insulin injections (including basal ultralente in the evening) were also kept consistent across sessions by the 247 248 research team. Recently, a study observing both moderate aerobic exercise (65% VO<sub>2peak</sub> for 30 249 minutes) and high intensity interval exercise (6 X 1 minute at 100% VO<sub>2peak</sub>, with 1 minute of recovery in between, for a total of 17 minutes of exercise), performed while fasting did not find 250 any declines in blood glucose for either type of exercise (16). These results are in contrast to 251 several studies of aerobic (7,14,17-22) and high intensity interval (17-20,22) exercise in fed 252 participants where declines in blood glucose were observed, in spite of starting blood glucose 253 254 levels being similar to those seen in the fasted exercise studies.

A strong potential cause of the divergent blood glucose trends in the present study would 255 be a difference in circulating insulin. While similar adjustments for exercise were made by all 256 257 but one participant, if exercise is taking place 8 to 10 hours after the last meal, it is likely that only basal insulin will be present. Conversely, when afternoon exercise is performed, it is 258 259 generally taking place within 4 to 5 hours of a meal, at which point there may still be some of the 260 previous meal's bolus remaining in circulation. Unfortunately we were unable to measure insulin 261 levels as part of this study, as this would have provided more concrete evidence as to insulin's 262 involvement. It should be noted, however, that when performing afternoon exercise the 263 participants were provided with a standardized pre-exercise snack (Glucerna snack bar – 19g of

carbohydrate) one hour before exercise similar to previous studies by Yardley et al. (7,8), and 264 consistent with current guidelines for exercise in individuals with type 1 diabetes (13,23). Eight 265 266 out of twelve participants did not bolus for this snack, while the final four opted for a reduced (50%) bolus in order to prevent hyperglycemia. This snack should have, to some extent, 267 attenuated the impact of potentially higher insulin levels during the afternoon exercise session. 268 269 Individuals with type 1 diabetes often experience a period of high blood glucose in the 270 early morning, which is generally referred to as the "dawn phenomenon" (24). This early 271 morning rise in blood glucose has often been attributed to an increase in growth hormone (25-272 27). While starting blood glucose levels in the present study were not significantly higher during the fasting session compared to the afternoon session, the presence of a higher level of growth 273 hormone could play a role in the divergent blood glucose outcomes observed in this study. 274 Previous studies of exercise in type 1 diabetes have suggested that higher growth hormone levels 275 may have a glucose sparing (8,28) effect by stimulating lipolysis (29). As we did not have the 276 277 means to measure growth hormone for the present study, this possibility remains speculative. In addition to higher levels of growth hormone, there is also evidence to suggest that 278 insulin sensitivity is lower in the morning compared to the afternoon in individuals with type 1 279 280 diabetes (30,31) and that there is less suppression of endogenous glucose production (30). A recent meta-analysis of metabolic responses to fed and fasted exercise also found that fasting 281 282 exercise is associated with a higher level of circulating free fatty acids post-exercise than fed 283 exercise (32). As elevated free fatty acids could lead to an even greater degree of insulin resistance (33), this may explain not only the change in glucose during exercise, but also the 284 285 resulting post-exercise hyperglycemia.

286

Besides the fasting versus non-fasting state being a potential driver for the divergent 287 blood glucose outcomes, it is also possible that, in previous resistance exercise studies, small 288 samples sizes (varying from n=8 (9,10) to n=12 (7,8)), and differences in the sample composition 289 had an effect on blood glucose outcomes. Participants in the studies of afternoon exercise by 290 Yardley et al. had lower mean HbA1c  $[7.1\pm1.1\% (54\pm10 \text{ mmol/mol}) (7.8)$  versus  $8.7\pm1.1\%$ 291 292  $(72\pm10 \text{ mmol/mol})$  (9,10)] and also started exercise with blood glucose levels on average ~2.0 293 mmol/L lower than those who took part in the studies of fasting resistance exercise. The 294 participants in all studies, however, consisted mostly of males (no more than 2 females in any 295 one studies), who were physically active, with mean ages for the samples falling between  $32 \pm$ 15.3 (8) and  $38 \pm 6yrs$  (10). While the sample in the present study was similar to previous studies 296 in terms of sample size (n=12), age ( $31.3 \pm 8.9$  years), and HbA1c [ $7.4 \pm 0.8$  ( $57.4 \pm 8.5$ 297 mmol/mol)] it had a greater proportion of female participants (9 out of 12). In spite of this major 298 299 difference, trajectories of blood glucose change were similar to previous studies with respect to 300 fasting versus fed exercise, and the randomized repeated measures design removes the potential confounding effect of physiological factors such as age, sex, and fitness level. 301

Strengths of this study include its randomized repeated measures design, the use of 302 303 blinded CGM to assess post-exercise blood glucose levels, and the strict timing/structure of the exercise sessions. The interpretation of the data are limited, however, due to the lack of hormone 304 305 measurements necessary to determine the cause of the divergent blood glucose outcomes during 306 morning and afternoon resistance exercise. Thus it cannot be determined if the respective blood glucose trajectories are due to a) natural increases in blood glucose in the morning due to the 307 308 "dawn phenomenon", b) differences in circulating insulin due to administration in the hours 309 before exercise, or c) potential differences in insulin sensitivity throughout the day. It should be

310	noted, however, that both scenarios tested in this study are ones that individuals with type 1
311	diabetes who exercise are likely to encounter, thus making the study design ecologically valid.
312	An additional limitation is that the sample is small (n=12), consisting of relatively young
313	healthy, habitually active individuals with type 1 diabetes, and may therefore not be applicable to
314	those who are sedentary or of advancing age. It is also possible that those using multiple daily
315	injections could have slightly different responses than those using insulin pumps, however this
316	could not be determined from such a small sample. Further studies are required to elucidate
317	potential differences in these insulin delivery methods, as well as the potential impact of the
318	timing of basal insulin injections in those using multiple daily injections.
319	The results of this study help expand the existing evidence related to type 1 diabetes and
320	exercise, and offer information on two different options related to exercise timing. The
321	magnitude of counter-regulatory (especially catecholamine) responses to resistance exercise may
322	vary greatly by age and level of fitness (young fit individuals are likely to have the most
323	pronounced response) (34). As such some individuals will struggle with hyperglycemia during
324	and after resistance exercise. These individuals may want to consider performing resistance
325	exercise later in the day where the activity seems to result in less hyperglycemia. Conversely, for
326	those who struggle with hypoglycemia during exercise, resistance exercise performed in the
327	morning may be a better option. It should be noted, however, that the present study only
328	examined one intensity of resistance exercise (3 sets of 8 repetitions at the participants' 8 RM),
329	and results may vary with different durations and intensities of resistance exercise. Further
330	studies to examine the impact of time of fasting on blood glucose responses to a variety of
331	resistance exercise programs in individuals with type 1 diabetes are warranted. Finally, it should
332	be noted that several of the current exercise guidelines recommend a decrease in basal insulin for

333	physical activity and exercise. The results of the present study and of those discussed above,
334	would indicate that this recommendation may not be appropriate for activities performed in a
335	fasting state. However, patients should always be advised to monitor their glucose closely upon
336	starting or changing an exercise routine, to ensure that they understand their individual
337	responses.
338	
339	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
340	Hand-held glucometers and test strips for the study were provided by LifeScan Canada. Glucerna
341	bars were provided by Abbott Nutrition Canada. We would like to thank Shaelyn Houlder for her
342	assistance in processing CGM data for the purpose of the study analyses.
343	
344	FUNDING
345	This project was supported by the Provost's Special Funding at the University of Alberta.
346	
347	CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT
348	JEY contributed to the conception and design of the project, as well as data collection and
349	manuscript drafting and editing. SRT contributed to data collection and analysis in addition to
350	drafting and editing of the manuscript.
351	
352	JEY is the guarantor of this work. Data related to the study were presented at the Diabetes
353	Canada Professional Conference and Annual Meetings in Edmonton, Alberta, November 1-4,
354	2017 (Can J Diabetes 41 (Suppl 1): S64).
355	
356	REFERENCES

357 1. Sillanpaa E, Laaksonen DE, Hakkinen A, Karavirta L, Jensen B, Kraemer WJ, Nyman K, Hakkinen K. 358 Body composition, fitness, and metabolic health during strength and endurance training and 359 their combination in middle-aged and older women. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2009;106(2):285-296. 360 2. Lemmer JT, Ivey FM, Ryan AS, Martel GF, Hurlbut DE, Metter JE, Fozard JL, Fleg JL, Hurley BF. 361 Effect of strength training on resting metabolic rate and physical activity: age and gender 362 comparisons. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2001;33(4):532-541. 363 3. Bolam KA, van Uffelen JG, Taaffe DR. The effect of physical exercise on bone density in middle-364 aged and older men: a systematic review. Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(11):2749-2762. 365 4. Flack KD, Davy KP, Hulver MW, Winett RA, Frisard MI, Davy BM. Aging, resistance training, and 366 diabetes prevention. J Aging Res. 2010;2011:127315. 367 5. Donges CE, Duffield R, Drinkwater EJ. Effects of resistance or aerobic exercise training on 368 interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and body composition. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2010;42(2):304-369 313. 370 6. Cornelissen VA, Fagard RH, Coeckelberghs E, Vanhees L. Impact of resistance training on blood 371 pressure and other cardiovascular risk factors: a meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. 372 Hypertension. 2011;58(5):950-958. Yardley JE, Kenny GP, Perkins BA, Riddell MC, Balaa N, Malcolm J, Boulay P, Khandwala F, Sigal 373 7. 374 RJ. Resistance versus aerobic exercise: acute effects on glycemia in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 375 Care. 2013;36(3):537-542. 376 8. Yardley JE, Kenny GP, Perkins BA, Riddell MC, Malcolm J, Boulay P, Khandwala F, Sigal RJ. Effects 377 of performing resistance exercise before versus after aerobic exercise on glycemia in type 1 378 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2012;35(4):669-675. Turner D, Gray BJ, Luzio S, Dunseath G, Bain SC, Hanley S, Richards A, Rhydderch DC, Ayles M, 379 9. 380 Kilduff LP, Campbell MD, West DJ, Bracken RM. Similar magnitude of post-exercise 381 hyperglycemia despite manipulating resistance exercise intensity in type 1 diabetes individuals. 382 Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2016;26(4):404-412. 383 10. Turner D, Luzio S, Gray BJ, Dunseath G, Rees ED, Kilduff LP, Campbell MD, West DJ, Bain SC, 384 Bracken RM. Impact of single and multiple sets of resistance exercise in type 1 diabetes. Scand J 385 *Med Sci Sports*. 2015;25(1):e99-109. 386 11. Macsween A. The reliability and validity of the Astrand nomogram and linear extrapolation for 387 deriving VO2max from submaximal exercise data. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 2001;41(3):312-388 317. 389 12. Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert C, Sigal RJ, Armstrong MJ, Bacon SL, Boule 390 NG, Dasgupta K, Kenny GP, Riddell MC. Physical Activity and Diabetes. Can J Diabetes. 2018;42 391 Suppl 1:S54-S63. 392 13. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, Riddell MC, Dunstan DW, Dempsey PC, Horton ES, Castorino K, 393 Tate DF. Physical Activity/Exercise and Diabetes: A Position Statement of the American Diabetes 394 Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39(11):2065-2079. 395 14. Yardley JE, Iscoe KE, Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Perkins BA, Riddell MC. Insulin pump therapy is 396 associated with less post-exercise hyperglycemia than multiple daily injections: an observational 397 study of physically active type 1 diabetes patients. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2013;15(1):84-88. 398 15. Ruegemer JJ, Squires RW, Marsh HM, Haymond MW, Cryer PE, Rizza RA, Miles JM. Differences 399 between prebreakfast and late afternoon glycemic responses to exercise in IDDM patients. 400 Diabetes Care. 1990;13(2):104-110. 401 16. Scott SN, Cocks M, Andrews RC, Narendran P, Purewal TS, Cuthbertson DJ, Wagenmakers AJM, 402 Shepherd SO. Fasted High-Intensity Interval and Moderate-Intensity Exercise Do Not Lead to 403 Detrimental 24-Hour Blood Glucose Profiles. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018.

404 17. Zaharieva D, Yavelberg L, Jamnik V, Cinar A, Turksoy K, Riddell MC. The Effects of Basal Insulin 405 Suspension at the Start of Exercise on Blood Glucose Levels During Continuous Versus Circuit-406 Based Exercise in Individuals with Type 1 Diabetes on Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Infusion. 407 Diabetes Technol Ther. 2017;19(6):370-378. 408 Moser O, Tschakert G, Mueller A, Groeschl W, Pieber TR, Obermayer-Pietsch B, Koehler G, 18. 409 Hofmann P. Effects of High-Intensity Interval Exercise versus Moderate Continuous Exercise on 410 Glucose Homeostasis and Hormone Response in Patients with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus Using 411 Novel Ultra-Long-Acting Insulin. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(8):e0136489. 412 19. Maran A, Pavan P, Bonsembiante B, Brugin E, Ermolao A, Avogaro A, Zaccaria M. Continuous 413 glucose monitoring reveals delayed nocturnal hypoglycemia after intermittent high-intensity 414 exercise in nontrained patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2010;12(10):763-415 768. 20. 416 Iscoe KE, Riddell MC. Continuous moderate-intensity exercise with or without intermittent high-417 intensity work: effects on acute and late glycaemia in athletes with Type 1 diabetes mellitus. 418 Diabet Med. 2011;28(7):824-832. 419 21. Campbell MD, West DJ, Bain SC, Kingsley MI, Foley P, Kilduff L, Turner D, Gray B, Stephens JW, 420 Bracken RM. Simulated games activity vs continuous running exercise: a novel comparison of 421 the glycemic and metabolic responses in T1DM patients. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2015;25(2):216-422 222. 423 22. Guelfi KJ, Jones TW, Fournier PA. The decline in blood glucose levels is less with intermittent high-intensity compared with moderate exercise in individuals with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 424 425 Care. 2005;28(6):1289-1294. 426 23. Riddell MC, Gallen IW, Smart CE, Taplin CE, Adolfsson P, Lumb AN, Kowalski A, Rabasa-Lhoret R, 427 McCrimmon RJ, Hume C, Annan F, Fournier PA, Graham C, Bode B, Galassetti P, Jones TW, 428 Millan IS, Heise T, Peters AL, Petz A, Laffel LM. Exercise management in type 1 diabetes: a 429 consensus statement. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5(5):377-390. 430 24. Schmidt MI, Hadji-Georgopoulos A, Rendell M, Margolis S, Kowarski A. The dawn phenomenon, 431 an early morning glucose rise: implications for diabetic intraday blood glucose variation. 432 *Diabetes Care*. 1981;4(6):579-585. 433 25. Campbell PJ, Bolli GB, Cryer PE, Gerich JE. Sequence of events during development of the dawn phenomenon in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Metabolism. 1985;34(12):1100-1104. 434 435 26. Edge JA, Matthews DR, Dunger DB. The dawn phenomenon is related to overnight growth 436 hormone release in adolescent diabetics. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 1990;33(6):729-737. 437 27. Davidson MB, Harris MD, Ziel FH, Rosenberg CS. Suppression of sleep-induced growth hormone 438 secretion by anticholinergic agent abolishes dawn phenomenon. *Diabetes*. 1988;37(2):166-171. 439 28. Yardley JE, Sigal RJ, Riddell MC, Perkins BA, Kenny GP. Performing resistance exercise before 440 versus after aerobic exercise influences growth hormone secretion in type 1 diabetes. Appl 441 Physiol Nutr Metab. 2014;39(2):262-265. 442 29. Goto K, Higashiyama M, Ishii N, Takamatsu K. Prior endurance exercise attenuates growth 443 hormone response to subsequent resistance exercise. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2005;94(3):333-338. 444 30. Hinshaw L, Dalla Man C, Nandy DK, Saad A, Bharucha AE, Levine JA, Rizza RA, Basu R, Carter RE, 445 Cobelli C, Kudva YC, Basu A. Diurnal pattern of insulin action in type 1 diabetes: implications for 446 a closed-loop system. Diabetes. 2013;62(7):2223-2229. 447 Schiavon M, Dalla Man C, Kudva YC, Basu A, Cobelli C. Quantitative estimation of insulin 31. 448 sensitivity in type 1 diabetic subjects wearing a sensor-augmented insulin pump. Diabetes Care. 449 2014;37(5):1216-1223.

- 450 32. Aird TP, Davies RW, Carson BP. Effects of fasted vs fed-state exercise on performance and post451 exercise metabolism: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*.
  452 2018;28(5):1476-1493.
- 45333.Bajaj M, Berria R, Pratipanawatr T, Kashyap S, Pratipanawatr W, Belfort R, Cusi K, Mandarino L,454DeFronzo RA. Free fatty acid-induced peripheral insulin resistance augments splanchnic glucose455untake in backburk burgers. Am / Physical Studies in backburk burgers.
- 455 uptake in healthy humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;283(2):E346-352.
- 456 34. Kohrt WM, Spina RJ, Ehsani AA, Cryer PE, Holloszy JO. Effects of age, adiposity, and fitness level 457 on plasma catecholamine responses to standing and exercise. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*.
- 458 1993;75(4):1828-1835.

459

461	TABLE AND FIGURE LEGENDS
462	
463	Table 1 - Participant characteristics
464	
465	Table 2. Continuous glucose monitoring data the 6-hours post-exercise, as well as overnight
466	(midnight to 6 am) after exercise
467	
468	
469	Figure 1 - Change in blood glucose during exercise and recovery. Gray boxes represent morning
470	exercise, black boxes represent evening exercise. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM
471	
472	
473	Figure 2 - CGM glucose. Panel A represents CGM glucose in the 6 hours following exercise.

474 Panel B represents overnight blood glucose values. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM.

Characteristic	N or mean $\pm$ SD	
Male/Female	3/9	
Age (yrs)	$31.3\pm8.9$	
Height (m)	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	
Weight (kg)	$78.1 \pm 15.0$	
BMI (kg·m <sup>-2</sup> )	$26.6\pm3.8$	
Predicted VO2max (mL O2· kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$39.1\pm8.2$	
HbA1c (%)	$7.4 \pm 0.8$	
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	$57.4 \pm 8.5$	
Diabetes Duration (years)	$19\pm 8$	
MDI/CSII	4/8	
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	$117 \pm 12$	
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	$81 \pm 9$	
Resting Heart Rate (bpm)	$74 \pm 13$	

Table 1 - Participant characteristics

MDI = multiple daily insulin injections; CSII = continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion

(insulin pump)

	Morning	Afternoon	p-values
6-hr mean glucose (mmol/L)	$11.5 \pm 3.3$	9.1 ± 3.9	0.126
6-hr MAG (mmol/L)	$2.7 \pm 1.1$	$2.0 \pm 0.7$	0.076
Nocturnal mean glucose (mmol/L)	$7.4 \pm 1.7$	$8.6 \pm 4.1$	0.428
Nocturnal MAG	$1.2\pm0.8$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	0.105
% high (6 hr)	61.64 [36.30-82.53]	11.64 [0.00-36.03]	0.037
% low (6 hr)	0.00 [0.00-0.00]	0.00 [0.00-4.17]	0.181
% in range (6 hr)	33.56 [27.37-62.84]	82.88 [55.01-100.00]	0.064
# of events $\leq 3.9 \text{ mmol/l}$ (6hr)	1	4	0.081
# of events $\geq 10 \text{ mmol/l (6hr)}$	12	5	0.025
% high (nocturnal)	0.00 [0.00-4.41]	15.29 [0.00-47.94]	0.205
% low (nocturnal)	0.00 [0.00-0.00]	0.00 [0.00-6.48]	0.418
% in range (nocturnal)	100.00 [94.41-100.00]	65.17 [45.59-97.93]	0.107
# of events $\leq$ 3.9 mmol/l (nocturnal)	2	4	0.343
# of events $\geq 10 \text{ mmol/l (nocturnal)}$	4	7	0.434

Table 2. Continuous glucose monitoring data the 6-hours post-exercise, as well as overnight (midnight to 6 am) after exercise

Data are mean  $\pm$ SD for mean glucose and MAG, otherwise data are presented as median  $\pm$  [IQR]. SD=standard deviation, MAG = mean absolute glucose change, % high = % of time spent  $\geq$ 10.0 mmol/L; % low = % of time spent  $\leq$ 3.9 mmol/L; % in range = % of time spent between 3.9 and 10.0 mmol/L



