		· ·	and the start
*	National Library of Canada	Bibliothèque natior du Canada	nale 9-315-03558-7
	Canadian Theses Division	n Division des thèses	canadiennes
	Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 5	1453	
	PERMISSION TO M	ICROFILM AUT	ORISATION DE MICROFILMER
• Please	e print or type — Écrire en let	tres moulées ou dactylogr	aphier and a second
Full Nam	e of Author - Nom complet	de l'auteur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date of E	Birth - Date de naissance	The second secon	Country of Birth - Lieu de naissance
12	04 195	1	Canada
Permane	nt Address Résidence fixe	······································	
. 38 Title of T	13 - 113 Aue hesis - Titre de la thèse	Edmonton.	Alberta
IN F	letamorphosis av Emerson's "Ess	rd Organic A ays	Vetaphor in Three of
	Université	····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UN	versity of Al	berta, Edmo	intom
Degree fo	r which thesis was presented	berta, Edmo - Grade pour lequel cett	e thèse fut présentée
Degree fo	Versity of Al or which thesis was presented asters degree conferred — Année d'	- Grade pour lequel cett	e thèse fut présentée
Degree fo	asters degree conferred — Année d'	- Grade pour lequel cett	Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse
Permission CANADA to the film. The autho thesis nor	n is hereby granted to the N to microfilm this thesis and to r reserves other publication extensive extracts from it m	Grade pour lequel cett obtention de ce grade IATIONAL LIBRARY OF o lend or sell copies of rights, and neither the ay be printed or other-	Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse Dr. Morton L. Ross L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÉ- QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film. L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci. ne tioivent être imprimés ou
Degree fo Year this of Year this of Q Q Permission CANADA to the film. The autho thesis nor	n is hereby granted to the N to microfilm this thesis and to r reserves other publication	Grade pour lequel cett obtention de ce grade IATIONAL LIBRARY OF o lend or sell copies of rights, and neither the ay be printed or other-	Name of Supervisor – Nom du directeur de thèse Dr. Morton L. Ross L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÉ- QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film. L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse
Degree fo Year this of Year this of Q Q Q Permission CANADA to the film. The autho thesis nor	n is hereby granted to the N to microfilm this thesis and to r reserves other publication extensive extracts from it m	Grade pour lequel cett obtention de ce grade IATIONAL LIBRARY OF o lend or sell copies of rights, and neither the ay be printed or other-	Name of Supervisor – Nom du directeur de thèse Dr. Morton L. Ross L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÉ- QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film. L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci, ne doivent être imprimés ou

ŝ,

÷

2

c

NL-01 (4/77)

٦L د

•

National Library of Canada Collections Development Branch

Ganadian Theses on Microfiche Service Bibliothèque nationale du Canada. Direction du développement des collections

Service des thèses canadiennes sur microfiche

NOTICE

AVIS

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

. If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30, Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré la grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait pervenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

METAMORPHOSIS AND ORGANIC METAPHOR

IN THREE OF EMERSON'S ESSAYS

DAVID J. CLYBURN

.

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF MASTER OF ARTS

DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

SPRING 1981

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR David J. Clyburn TITLE OF THESIS Metamorphosis and Organic Metaphor in Three of Emerson's Essays DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED Master of Arts YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED 1980

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other puclication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

David. J. aufburn

3813 113 Avenue Edmonton, Alberta

November 20, 1980

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled <u>"Metamorphosis and Organic Metaphor ins Three of Emerson's Essays" submitted by David J.</u> Clyburn in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts.

Supervisor

November 18, 1980

ŕ

ABSTRACT,

The primary aim of this thesis is to demonstrate that a shift occurs in Emerson's conception of poetic language. In Chapter One I argue that the correspondence theory in <u>Nature</u> (1836) implies the use of analogy and allegory as its most appropriate form of expression. Chapter Two shows that Emerson abandons the correspondence theory in favor of a new theory of poetic metaphor in "The Poet" (1844).

The shift in Emerson's poetic theory results from his reliance on the contradictory root metaphor of organicism. The correspondence theory in <u>Nature</u> relates to the ideal categories of the organic metaphor, whereas the new theory in "The Poet" is based on the progressive categories of the organic metaphor.

Chapter Three shows that Emerson's new theory of "the flowing or metamorphosis" is used as a bridge to reconcile the contradictory categories of the organic metaphor. In "The Poet" the ideal categories of organicism reassert themselves in an apparent attempt to develop an "ideal" aesthetic theory. But the idea of metamorphosis is used to make the two opposing theories less incompatible. Similarly, in the essay "Fate," (1870) fate is viewed from the perspective of both the progressive and ideal categories. Again, metamorphosis, the process by which the actual becomes the ideal, is used to reconcile the contradictory points of view.

EPIGRAPH

20

.

:

7

• •

•

••

.

The rushing metamorphosis Dissolving all that fixture is, Melts things that be to things that seem, And solid nature to a dream.

' Woodnotes II

、•

•

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Morton Ross, for his suggestions, his encouragement, and his patience. This thesis is also indebted to Dr. E. J. Rose, who first interested me in Emerson. Jim Gilhooly provided valuable help in proofreading. Laraine Hess, who typed this thesis, is to be commended for her ability to distinguish the thing meant from the thing said. Also, thanks to Phil and Gail Marston of Daysland, Alberta.

СНАРТ	ER PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION 1
II.	CHAPTER ONE
ι	FIXED ANALOGIES 3
III.	CHAPTER TWO
	THE FLOWING OR METAMORPHOSIS
IV.	CHAPTER THREE
•	ORGANIC METAPHOR IN "THE POET" AND "FATE"50
۷.	NOTES
VI.	NOTES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

۰

.

.

INTRODUCTION

This thesis explores the role of metaphor in Emerson's thought. It shows that Emerson's conception of metaphor changes from an allegorical view in <u>Nature</u> (1836) to a theory of poetic metaphor in "The Poet" (1844) and "Poetry and Imagination" (1875). Emerson's changing conception of metaphor is related to what Stephen Pepper calls philosophical root metaphors or world hypotheses. The ideal and actual categories of the organic root metaphor are the poles of Emerson's thought; metaphor or metaphors is the bridge by which Emerson attempts to reconcile the contradictory categories of organic metaphor.

Recognition of a shift in Emerson's thinking about metaphor is not new. Sherman Paul, Vivian Hopkins, and R. A. Yoder, among others, have noted Emerson's abandonment of Swedenborg's static conception of symbolism for a more fluid approach. But to my knowledge the contradictory theories of metaphor in <u>Nature</u> have not received critical attention. Nor has there been any detailed study of the more general shift that occurs. R. A. Yoder points out, but only in passing, the contradiction between Emerson's early, static conception of symbolism and the fluid approach derived from the idea of metamorphosis. Vivian Hopkins, Sherman Paul, and Jonathan Bishop focus on Emerson's sources and his mature poetic theories, but they do not treat his theory of metaphor developmentally.

R. P. Adams' "Emerson and Organic Metaphor" is the only study of root metaphor theory as it applies to Emerson I would like to believe that this thesis is an extension of his work. Daniel Shea's pertinent article "Emerson and the American Metamorphosis" appeared as this thesis was in progress. His analysis helped to confirm my own, and suggested ideas that I have developed in detail.

The first chapter begins with a clarification of terminology. This is necessary, first, because Emerson uses the terms "metaphor," "simile," "analogy," and "allegory" somewhat indiscriminately. Precise definitions are needed for these terms in order to demonstrate the change in Emerson's conception of metaphor. This survey of terminology also provides an opportunity to compare Emerson's theories of metaphor to current theories and to describe the various types of metaphors Emerson uses. The second chapter examines Emerson's new rhetorical theory of metaphor in detail and shows its relationship to the categories of organic root metaphor. The relationship between organic metaphor and Emerson's actual use of poetic metaphor in "The Poet" and "Fate" is the subject of the third chapter.

CHAPTER ONE'S FIXED ANALOGIES

Whereas Jonathan Bishop finds metaphor so central to Emerson's writing that he warns against reading Emerson exclusively as "the hero of metaphor,"¹ F. O. Matthiessen argues that although Emerson "talked about the unexampled resources of metaphor and symbol . . . his staple device was the analogy."² Other than through the laborious and probably futile method of counting analogies and metaphors, it would be difficult to prove who is correct. But their disagreement does point to the shift in Emerson's thinking. While in "The Poet" (1844) and "Poetry and Imagination" (1875) a theory of poetic metaphor is developed, the doctrine of correspondence in <u>Nature</u> (1836) implies the use of allegory and analogy.

Analogy is a scheme of logical proportion in which four terms are shown to be related. According to Aristotle, "the second (B) is related to the first (A), as the fourth (D) to the third (C). . . ³ For example, morning is related to day as birth is related to life.

While analogy compares four terms, allegory consists essentially of two terms. The first term of an allegory is stated; the second is an implied concept corresponding to the first. Northrop Frye says that allegory occurs . .

relationship of his images to examples and precepts, and so tries to indicate how a commentary on him should proceeds. A writer is being allegorical whenever it is clear that he is saying "by this I also (allos) mean that." If this seems to be done continuously, we may say, cautiously, that what he is writing "is" an allegory.4

Both analogy and allegory point to a specific relationship between the terms involved. If I say that six is to twelve as five is to ten, I am not likely to be misunderstood. Similarly, according to Frye's definition, an allegory should clearly express the relationship between the two terms involved. In addition, the meaning of the relationship should be clear.

William Empson states in <u>The Structure of Complex Words</u> that "part of the function of an allegory is to make you feel that two levels of being correspond to one another in detail, and indeed that there is some underlying reality, something in the nature of things, which makes this happen."⁵ His comment helps to bring out the distinction between allegory and symbolism. Whereas allegory compares "two levels of being" in "detail," the symbol as defined by Philip Wheelwright consists of one:"relatively stable and repeatable element of perceptual experience, standing for some larger meaning or set of meanings."⁶ Because of the detailed correspondential structure inherent in allegory, the two terms acquire precise meanings. The meaning of a literary symbol, by contrast, is apt to be far less exact.

As allegory is to symbolism, so symbolism is to metaphor. Wheelwright distinguishes symbol from metaphor "by its greater stability and permanence,"⁷ The meaning of the white whale to Captain Ahab is revealed at considerably greater length than the meaning of the relationship between sea and troubles in Shakespeare's metaphor, "sea of troubles."

Marcus Hester in <u>The Meaning of Poetic Metaphor</u> makes a distinction between what he calls implicit and explicit metaphons. Similes and analogies with their "grammatical red flags" of "like," "as," or "so" and explicit metaphors. An implicit metaphor, however, functions through what Empson calls the "trick of false identity"; it states that A is B.⁸ According to Hester, "implicit metaphor is recognized by its literal falseness"; implicit metaphors "startle our literal language sense."⁹ We recognize Emerson's statement "the air is music" to be metaphorical because of the false identity asserted between air and music.

Metaphor proper may be classed into five types: implicit or poetic metaphor, dead metaphor, mythical metaphor, archetypal metaphor, and philosophical root metaphor.

Hester differentiates between poetic and dead metaphor by the differences in the mental processes involved in their identification. He argues that dead metaphors are

"recognized," whereas poetic metaphors are understood . through an "experience-act" of seeing as: "Seeing as is a technique; it requires imagination."10 "Bottleneck" is a dead metaphor because a bottle <u>neck</u> is simply recognized as such and may be pointed to. But in the poetic metaphor "the air is music," the metaphor is understood through the experience-act of seeing as: "Seeing as is an intuitive experience-act by which one selects from the quasi-sensory mass of imagery one has on reading metaphor the relevant aspects of such imagery."¹¹ The "relevant aspects" are the similarities between the two terms or images of the metaphor, in this case "air" and "music." The images of the metaphor form what I. A. Richards has called the "vehicle" of the metaphor.^{1,2} William Empson simplifies Richards' somewhat confusing account of tenor and vehicle by defining the tenor as the "thing meant" and the vehicle as the "thing said. "13

Metaphorical <u>seeing</u> as is described as an intuitive process because

seeing as is an irreducible, primitive accomplishment which either occurs or does not occur. . . Seeing as is not reducible to a specific analysis. Nor is seeing as reducible to a specific set of procedural rules. There is no set of rules which will inevitably overcome aspect-blindness.¹⁴

Hester also differentiates between the act of <u>seeing as</u> and the result, i.e., our ability to explain the metaphor. The meaning of a poetic metaphor is first intuitively grasped,

then rationally explicated. Original use of metaphor is of special value because it creates new similarities. An original use of metaphor "defines similarity, not vice versa."¹⁵

Although Hester does not relate his analysis of <u>seeing</u> <u>as</u> to his discussion of mythical metaphor, mythical metaphor would seem to demand the type of recognition associated with dead metaphor. This is so because

> ... the conscious tension of metaphor is not present in myth. Mythical statements are direct assertions in intent. As Morris argues, they are informative in purpose. Reinhold Niebuhr argues similarly that the essential difference between myth and poetry is that myth is poetry believed.¹⁶

Hester gives the following example of mythical metaphor from <u>The Golden Bough</u>: "We have carried away Death,/ And brought Life back./ He has taken up his quarters in the village,/ therefore sing joyous songs."¹⁷ He points out that there is a "tension" between this statement and our literal knowledge. However, "when this <u>myth</u> was taken as <u>myth</u>, instead of aesthetically"¹⁸ this tension would not have existed. For those who share the beliefs of the myth the statement is recognized to be true. Death has somehow left the village and Life has returned. "Life" appears to be used not as a figure of speech but as the name of an existing entity. Thus mythical metaphor is only metaphorical when it is not believed.

Between the classes of poetic and mythical metaphor is

a fourth type, which Frye and Wheelwright describe as "archetypal metaphor" or "archetypal symbolism." Wheelwright defines archetypal symbols as

N.

. . . those which carry the same or very similar meanings for a large portion, if not all, of mankind. It is a discoverable fact that certain symbols, such as sky father and earth mother, light, blood, up-down, the axis of a wheel, and others, recur again and again in cultures so remote from one another in space and time that there is no likelihood of any historical influence and causal connection among them.¹⁹

Archetypal metaphor may be distinguished from poetic metaphor in that it points to a relationship that is universally felt to hold. Shakespeare's metaphor "sea of troubles" is a poetic metaphor because it lacks this widespread meaning. We must be cautious in our categorizations, however, because as Frye points out, there is no "archetypal code book which has been memorized by all human societies."²⁰

How does Emerson's theory of language in <u>Nature</u> relate to these types of metaphors? The theory of language that develops out of the correspondence theory implies such a rigid conception of archetypal metaphor that the theory of language suggests analogy and allegory as its most appropriate expression.

The doctrine of correspondence has many sources, but perhaps the best summary of its basic tenets is given by Jonathan Edwards in <u>Images or Shadows of Divine Things</u>:

٩.

59. If there be such an admirable <u>analogy</u> observed by the creatour in His works through the whole system of the natural world, so that one thing seems to be made in imitation of another, and especially the less perfect to be made in imitation of the more perfect, so that the less perfect is as it were a figure or image of the more perfect, so beasts are made in imitation of men, plants are [a] kind of types of animals, minerals are in many things in imitation of plants. Why is it not rational to suppose that the corporeal and visible world should be designedly made and constituted in <u>analogy</u> to the more spiritual, noble, and real world? It is certainly agreeable to what is apparently the method of God's working.²¹

Edwards states here that the physical world is an imitation of a spiritual or ideal world. Emerson extends this idea by suggesting that the physical world is in analogical correspondence with the human mind: "Every natural fact is a symbol of some spiritual fact. Every appearance in nature corresponds to some state of mind, and that state of mind can only be described by presenting that natural appearance as its picture" (\underline{W} ,I,26).²² The correspondence between language and nature is thus seen to be rigid and particularized:

1. Words are signs of natural facts.

2. Particular natural facts are symbols of

particular spiritual facts.

3. Nature is the symbol of spirit. $(\underline{W}, I, 25)$

Although Emerson uses the terms analogy, symbol, and metaphor interchangeably, it is apparent from the examples he provides that what he means here is best defined as allegory:

An enraged man is a lion, a cunning man is a fox, a firm man is a rock, a learned man is a torch. A lamb is innocence; a snake is subtle spite; flowers express to us the delicate affections. $(\underline{W}, I, 26)$

The illustrations appear as metaphorical identity statements, but Emerson's dogmatic tone ("can only be described"), together with his particularized conception of correspondence, brings to mind Empson's definition of allegory as "two levels of being" that "correspond to one another in detail."²³ It should be observed that a theory of language based on allegory may still use analogy, symbol, and metaphor as a means of expression. Light and darkness may allegorically represent knowledge and ignorance, but this idea may be expressed through analogy, symbol, or metaphor. This is especially true of Emerson because he never systematized his allegorical framework as Spenser did, for example. As a literary artist Emerson used symbol, metaphor, and analogy; he did not write allegories.

Emerson's theory of language in <u>Nature</u> justifies Matthiessen's charge that he sought to deduce analogies or allegorical relationships that exist <u>a priori</u>.²⁴ But in the next chapter I will argue that this early theory is superseded by a more sophisticated theory in "The Poet". Indeed, other comments which Emerson makes in <u>Nature</u> already imply a new theory.

Emerson's idea of correspondence may be seen as a theory of archetypal metaphor in so far as his examples of

correspondence conform to what are recognized as universal archetypes. The mental process by which archetypal metaphor is recognized falls somewhere between the type of recognition associated with poetic and mythical metaphor. The metaphor is not believed, but it is somehow more than a false-identity statement.

Owen Barfield, a modern critic whose ideas are in - substantial accord with Emerson's, argues that the similitudes revealed by archetypal metaphor are more than mere false-identities:

> Men do not invent those mysterious relations between separate eternal objects, and between objects and feelings or ideas, which it is the function of poetry to reveal. These relations exist independently not indeed of thought, but of any individual thinker : . . the language of primitive men reports them as direct perceptual experience. The speaker has observed a unity, and is not therefore himself conscious of a relation. But we, in the development of consciousness, have lost the power to see this as one. Our sophistication, like Odin's, has cost us an eye, and now it is the language of poets, in so far as they create true metaphors, which must restore this unity conceptually, after it has been lost from perception.²⁵

In archetypal metaphor the relationship between tenor and vehicle is felt to be grounded in some real but mysterious, relationship between nature and consciousness. In contrast to poetic metaphor, the identity statement is not absolutely false; it is believed to have some basis in reality. Barfield speaks of primitive man's perception of a "relation" which is for him a "unity." But for us this unity has been lost to perception; it can be only conceptually regained. Thus what for primitive man was a mythical metaphor, with no tension between tenor and vehicle, may be for us an archetypal metaphor, if it is based on a universal symbol. In archetypal metaphor the relationship between tenor and vehicle is felt to be less "real" than in mythical metaphor, but more "real" than in poetic metaphor. This account of archetypal metaphor has much in common with Emerson's conception of analogical correspondence:

> It is easily seen that there is nothing lucky or capricious in these analogies, but that they are constant, and pervade nature. These are not the dreams of a few poets, here and there, but man is an analogist, and studies relations in all objects. He is placed in the center of beings, and a ray of relation passes from every other being to him. And neither can man be understood without these objects, nor these objects without man. All the facts in natural history taken by themselves have no value, but are barren, like a single sex. . The motion of the earth, round its axis and round, the sun, makes the day and year. There are certain amounts of brute light and heat. But is there no intent of an analogy between man's life and the seasons? (W, I, 27-28)

Both Barfield and Emerson speak of a <u>relation</u> between man and nature, as between meets life and the seasons, for example. But Emerson doer not confuse winter with Death, as primitive man confuses physical death with an entity named Death, as in the example from <u>The Golden Bough</u>.

Before outlining the differences between Emerson's ideas of correspondence and archetypal metaphor, I will

°12

discuss the relationship between Emerson's idea of correspondence and his theory of language development. Both Barfield and Emerson are in accord with Hester's statement that the perception of primitive man does not clearly "distinguish between self and objects, words and their referents."²⁶ Because of this relationship between language and reality, the speech of primitive man is said by Emerson to be intensely metaphorical:

> Because of this radical correspondence between visible things and human thoughts, savages, who have only what is necessary, converse in figures. As we go back in history, language becomes more picturesque, until its infancy, when it is all poetry; or all spiritual facts are represented by natural symbols. (\underline{W} , I, 29)

Emerson's and Barfield's theories postulate a mysterious correspondential basis to account for the importance of mythical metaphor in the development of language. Contemporary scholarship has confirmed this view of the importance of metaphor in language development, and agrees that for primitive man, "the language of myth is apprehended as an immediate reality."²⁷

Susanne Langer, following Philip Wegener, considers metaphor to be the most "vital" principle of language development. In <u>Feeling and Form</u> sheenotes the essential agreement between Barfield's theory of metaphor in <u>Poetic</u> <u>Diction</u> and the work of Ernst Cassirer:

The fact is that this purely literary study reveals the same relationships between language and conception, conception and imagination, imagination and myth, myth and poetry, that Cassirer discovered as a result of his reflection on the logic of science.²⁸

Her discussion of metaphor in the earlier <u>Philosophy in a</u> <u>New Key</u> is, however, somewhat more conventional. Metaphor is seen to function in a more logical and less magical way in the development of language, but its role is central. Metaphor is described as a process of "abstractive seeing" which is "the source of generality in language.²⁹ According to Langer:

> Where a precise word is lacking to designate the novelty which the speaker would point out, he resorts to the powers of <u>logical analogy</u>, and uses a word denoting something else that is a presentational symbol for the thing he means..., ³⁰

Like Emerson and Barfield, Langer believes that "all general words are probably derived from specific appellations by metaphorical use; so that our literal language is a very repository of 'faded metaphors.'"³¹ As Emerson expresses it in "The Poet," "language is fossil poetry" (\underline{W} , III, 22).

Emerson's theory of analogical correspondence differs from Frye's and Wheelwright's conception of archetypal metaphor in two important respects. First, Emerson postulates an expressedly spiritual reason for the existence of archetypes: "Parts of speech are metaphors because the whole of nature is a metaphor of the human mind" (\underline{W} , I, 32). Or again, "the world proceeds from the same spirit as the body of man. It is a remoter and inferior incarnation of God, a projection of God in the unconscious" (\underline{W} ,I,64-65). Second, although Emerson speaks of metaphon, the rigid scheme of correspondence implied by his theory points not to metaphor but to allegory. I will show, however, that in "The Poet" Emerson's theory of metaphor is in accord with Wheelwright's observation that even an archetypal symbol is not the vehicle of one constant meaning, but is capable of carrying many "tensive and paradoxical" meanings.³²

Although Emerson's doctrine of correspondence is related to what modern critics describe as archetypal metaphor, his writing does contain a large number of mythical metaphors. Because of his religious beliefs many of the metaphors in his work are based on identity statements that he may believe but which the reader may not. Consider the following example from "The Poet":

> She [Nature] makes a man; and having brought him to ripe age, she will no longer run the risk of losing this wonder at a blow, but she detaches from him a new self, that the kind may be safe from accidents to which the individual is exposed. (W,III,23)

In this passage Nature is personified as an existing being, as Death was personified in the passage from <u>The Golden</u> <u>Bough</u>. While to the skeptical reader this may be so much figurative language couching a naive belief, to Emerson it may represent the literal truth.

Owen Barfield provides a means for interpreting this sort of passage by suggesting that for the writer, it is probably both literal and metaphorical at different times. He argues that there are two distinct principles of human consciousness, one for the creation of poetry, and another for its appreciation and evaluation:

> The poet, purely as creator, cannot even today be regarded as a self-conscious individual, for such consciousness is impossible without rational analytic thought. In so far as his own poetic activity comes within his knowledge and control, in so far as he can appreciate, and so correct, his own poetry, or choose what he will write, he is not maker, but comparer, or judge; and he cannot be both simultaneously.³³

While I am not as certain as Barfield that this is true of all poets, I do think that it holds good for a writer such When in the mood of creation, Emerson may as Emerson. certainly believe in the meaning of his mythical metaphors. But as I argue in Chapter Two, this does not decrease his awareness of their potentially shocking effect on his audience. It is interesting that Barfield appeals to Emerson's account of the mutually exclusive "active" and "intellectual" powers to support his point: "The intellectual and the active powers seem to succeed each other, and the exclusive activity of the one generates the exclusive activity of the other. There is something unfriendly in each to the other" $(\underline{W}, I, 22)$. Given Emerson's admission of his "unfriendly" critical eye, it is not unlikely that he recognized that his mythical metaphors

would be outrageous false-identities to many readers, and was ready to exploit their effects.

While mythical metaphors provide the basis of specific beliefs, philosophical root metaphor is said to provide a much more general foundation of belief. Stephen Pepper argues in <u>World Hypotheses</u> that all philosophical and religious systems can be traced back to root metaphors. According to Pepper a root metaphor that deals with knowledge in an unrestricted way is a "world hypothesis."³⁴ The four most common root metaphors or world hypotheses are "formism," "mechanism," "contextualism," and "organicism." Each demonstrates considerable adequacy with respect to accounting for the facts of experience, and, according to Pepper, "it is illegitimate to disparage the factual interpretation of one world hypothesis in terms of another--if both hypotheses are equally adequate."³⁵

As R. P. Adams notes in "Emerson and Organic Metaphor," the root metaphors of organicism, and to a lesser extent those of "formism" and the "Heraclitean doctrine of the Flowing," play a central role in Emerson's thought.³⁶ Stephen Pepper describes organicism as

> . . . the world hypothesis that stresses the internal relatedness or coherence of things. It is impressed with the manner in which observations at first apparently unconnected turn out to be closely related, and with the fact that as knowledge progresses it becomes more systematized. . . . Finally, it conceives of all of these as contained in a total integration of existence or reality.³⁷

Adams explains why Emerson is an organicist and at the same time characterizes the root metaphors of formism and mechanism:

- **C** -

When I suggest that Emerson was an organicist, I mean that he did not habitually think of the universe as a comp of ideal reality or form, in the Platonic manner, or as a vast self regulating machine, in the manner of eighteenth century rationalists, but that he thought of it as if it were like a living plant or animal.³⁸

Pepper argues that there is a contradiction inherent in the seven categories of the organic root metaphor. Categories one to four are the progressive categories, while categories four through seven are termed the ideal categories. Category four is pivotal, belonging to both sets. Here is his list of the categories:

> (1) fragments of experience which appear with (2) <u>nexuses</u> or connections or implications, which spontaneously lead as a result of the aggravation of (3) <u>contradictions</u>, gaps, oppositions, or counteractions to resolution in (4) <u>an organic</u> <u>whole</u>, which is found to have been (5) <u>implicit</u> in the fragments, and to (6) <u>transcend</u> the previous contradictions by means of a coherent totality, which (7) <u>economizes</u>, saves, preserves all the original fragments of experience without any loss.³⁸

Briefly, the progressive categories are those of actual experience, in which knowledge develops, but in which contradiction and suffering occur. From the perspective of the ideal categories, however, contradiction and pain are illusory. Emerson's thought is based on these contradictory categories because, like so many Romantics, he attempted to

reconcile the actual and ideal poles of human experience. Chapter Two of this thesis will show the relationship *between Emerson's conception and use of metaphor and the contradictory categories of organicism. Emerson's theory of correspondence in <u>Nature</u> relates to the ideal pole of organicism and to Platonic formism. The new rhetorical theory of metaphor hinted at in <u>Nature</u> and developed in "The Poet" and "Poetry and Imagination" is based largely on the progressive categories. Chapter Three will explore the belated return to formism that results-from Emerson's attempt to develop an ideal theory of faesthetics in "The Poet." Finally, an analysis of the essay "Fate" (1860) will show the central role of the categories of organicism in Emerson's later work. Metaphor becomes, through the idea of metamorphosis, a means by which Emerson seeks to overcome the contradictions between the categories.

CHAPTER TWO: THE FLOWING OR METAMORPHOSIS

Although Emerson's theory of language in <u>Nature</u> is based on a rigid theory of correspondence, his conception of the rhetorical function of metaphor anticipates his later theory in "The Poet" and "Poetry and Imagination." I will argue that there is a contradiction between his conception of metaphor in the correspondence theory, and his conception of the rhetorical function of metaphor in <u>Nature</u>. This discrepancy results from his dual attitude toward nature and from a shift in emphasis from the ideal to the progressive categories of the contradictory root metaphor of organicism. The early correspondence theory relates to the static and ideal categories of organicism, while his later theory is grounded in its progressive categories.

The sources of the new theory of "the flowing or metamorphosis" will be discussed. I will show that Emerson abandons rigid Platonic formism for a more protean Neoplatonic variety. The imaginative process by which the poet "sees" metamorphosis, its translation into language, its effect on the reader, and its relationship to Emerson's theory of prose style will be the subsequent subjects of this chapter.

In <u>Nature</u> Emerson's conception of the rhetorical function of metaphor is related to the question of the

poet's role in society. This early essay states that part of the poet's vocation is to educate humanity in idealism. To understand this rhetorical function of metaphor, it is necessary to sketch briefly the general scheme of idealist philosophy and the cognitive theory derived from Coleridge that is associated with it.

In Chapter VII of <u>Nature</u>, "Spirit," Emerson mentions three questions that "are put by nature to mind: What is matter? Whence is it? and Whereto?" He answers:

The first of these questions only, the ideal theory answers. Idealism saith: matter is phenomenon, not a substance. Idealism acquaints us with the total disparity between the evidence of our own being and the evidence of the world's being. The one is perfect; the other, incapable of any assurance; the mind is part of the nature of things; the world is divine dream. . . $(\underline{W}, I, 62)$

The question of how nature is discovered to be "divine dream" s addressed in the previous section, "Idealism." Emerson contends that "to the senses and the unrenewed understanding, belongs a sort of instinctive belief in the absolute existence of nature." But, he continues, "the presence of Reason mars this faith" (W,I,49).

For Emerson, as for Coleridge, who in turn borrowed the term from Kant, Reason is an intuitive faculty allied to Imagination. Emerson invokes Reason and Imagination to challenge the tradition of empirical philosophy of Descartes, Locke, and Hume. Charles Feidelson, Jr. describes the empirical theory against which Emerson rebelled:

Empirical theory held that the given materials of knowledge are atomistic sensations, passively received and variously combined by the intellect, so that the fulness of subjective life becomes unreal, and, in Hume's words, the "creative power of the mind amounts to no more than the faculty of compounding, transposing, augmenting, or diminishing the materials afforded us by the senses and experience, "40

Coleridge and Emerson did not deny the mind's "arrangement of atomistic sensations." They did, however, ascribe this process to a lower faculty of the mind, that of the Understanding. Coleridge describes the distinction between Reason and Understanding in the fifth essay of <u>The Friend</u>:

> Reason . . [is] an organ bearing the same relation to spiritual objects, the Universal, the Eternal, and the Necessary, as the eye bears to material and contingent phaenomena. But then it must be added, that it is an organ identical with its appropriate objects. Thus God, the Soul, eternal Truth, &c. are the objects of Reason; but they are themselves <u>reason</u>. . . . in this sense [Reason] may be safely defined the organ of the Super-sensuous; even as the Understanding wherever it does not possess or use the Reason, as another and inward eye, may be defined the conception of the Sensuous, or the faculty by which we generalize and arrange the phaenomena of

As the eye sees material phenomena, so Reason is said to be an intuitive faculty which apprehends inner truth. Thus, for Emerson, Reason is a faculty which liberates man from the "despotism of the senses" and enables him to perceive the world as ideal, as the "apocalypse of the mind" $(\underline{W}, I, 48)$. Emerson argues "that the first effort of thought tends to relax this despotism of the senses which binds us to nature as if we were a part of it, and shows us nature aloof, and, as it were, afloat" ($\underline{W}, I, 49$). Reason and Imagination are related in that "the Imagination may be defined to be the use which Reason makes of the material world" ($\underline{W}, I, 52$). This imaginative perception is the means through which the poet perceives the symbolic relationships' between the mind and nature:

> He converts the solid globe, the land, the sea, the sun, the animals into symbols of thought: he makes the outward creation subordinate and merely a convenient alphabet to express thoughts and emotions. This act or vision of the mind is called Imagination.⁴²

The imagination is defined as a symbol making power. In the process through which a natural object becomes a symbol, matter is subordinated to mind, the external world is internalized, and the truth of Idealist philosophy is thought to be indicated. Emerson says that in the same way that a change in "our local position apprizes us of dualism," so too the poet in using objects as symbols makes them "not different from what we know them but only lifted from the ground and afloat before the eye" (\underline{W} , I, 50). Because it is the poet's role to further the idealist faith, the poet's rhetorical purpose is that of unsettling our belief in the absolute existence of the material world: He unfixes the land and the sea, makes them revolve around the axis of his primary thought, and disposes them anew. Possessed himself by a heroic passion, he uses matter as symbols of it. The sensual man conforms thoughts to things; the poet conforms things to his thoughts. (\underline{W} , I, 51-52)

Emerson's abandonment of the correspondence theory is already implicit here. If the poet "conforms things to his thoughts," things can no longer have simply the static, emblematic value they were said to have in Chapter IV, "Language." Emerson's new theory of flowing or metamorphosis begins to make itself evident as the passage continues:

The one esteems nature as rooted and fast; the other as fluid, and impresses his being thereon. To him, the refractory world is ductile and flexible; he invests dust and stones with humanity, and makes them the words of Reason. $(\underline{W}, 1, 52)$

While the theory of correspondence implies a stable relationship between physical forms and their symbolic meanings, this new view of nature as "fluid" suggests a radically new approach to the relationship between a natural form and its symbolic meaning. Just how different this new view is can be seen in Emerson's description of Shakespeare's use of language: "His imperial muse tosses the creation like a bauble from hand to hand, and uses it to embody any caprice of thought that is uppermost in his mind" $(\underline{W}, I, 52)$. A wide gulf separates the idea of a natural form embodying "any caprice of thought" from the solemn declaration made in "Language" that "there is nothing lucky or capricious in these analogies, but that they are constant, and pervade nature" (\underline{W} , I, 27).

Emerson himself was to some extent aware of a disharmony in his first book. In a letter written in August of 1836 he mentions a "crack" in <u>Nature</u> "not easily soldered or welded.^{4,3} A previous letter from June of that year speaks of two works, a book entitled <u>Nature</u> and an essay entitled "Spirit."⁴⁴ This inconsistency results at least in part from Emerson's reliance on the contradictory root metaphor of organicism. In <u>Nature</u> Emerson's theories of metaphor rely on both the static, ideal and the progressive, actual categories of organicism. The correspondence theory relates to the ideal categories and to Platonic formism, whereas the later rhetorical theory is associated with the progressive categories, biological evolution, and the Plotinian doctrine of Reversion.

Before discussing these categories and how point of view is related to them in <u>Nature</u>, I wish to point out that I am attempting to schematize certain aspects of Emerson's thought. Such an enterprise is, to say the least, perilous. In addition, these ideas are conceptual. They apply to Emerson's thought, not to his biography. I will argue that when Emerson views nature from the ideal, it is its progressive categories that come into focus. I do not mean to imply that Emerson contemplates nature from such a lofty perch. In actuality, it was Emerson's growing inability to maintain his transcendental faith that accounts for his shift to the progressive categories, to the world of "Experience."

In a larger sense, however, it is possible to view Emerson's career as an unsuccessful attempt to resolve the contradictions between the ideal and progressive categories of organicism. Stephen Pepper explains the opposition between these categories:

> The progressive categories involve time and change and fibitude; yet time and change and finitude cannot be true, since only the absolute is true, and in the absolute is no time, nor change, nor finitude.⁴⁵

The progressive categories are those in which fragments of experience gradually synthesize themselves into the organic whole of the ideal categories. Their opposition resides in the fact that if the organic whole is absolute, then it alone is real. But man⁷'s experience of life is fragmentary and limited. If we hold to the absolute, then "desire, frustration, all pain, and perhaps all pleasure" must be abandoned as illusory.⁴⁶ Emerson states the problem in "The Transcendentalist":

> The worst feature of this double consciousness is, that the two lives, of the understanding and of the soul, which we lead, really show very little relation to each other; never meet and measure each other: one prevails now all buzz and din; and the other prevails then, all infinitude and paradise; and, with the progress of life, the two discover no great disposition to reconcile

ľ

themselves. $(\underline{W}, I, 353-54)$

The gulf between appearance and reality remained an insoluble problem for Emerson, but it provides the subject matter of what may be most interesting in his work. As Daniel Shea observes, "Emerson's throwing his weight now on one horse; now another; his two boys, matter and spirit, jostling each other on the curbstone ... " provide the "dramas of contradiction" and "language of dialectic" which "have illuminated Emerson's most challenging work."⁴⁷ Similarly, 6tephen Whicher discovers this dichotomy in terms of his life as a whole:

> . There is a sense in which his view of life can properly be called tragic, in so far as his recognition of the limits of mortal condition meant a defeat of his first romance of self-union and greatness.⁴⁸

The contradictions between the ideal and the actual are already inherent in the theories of language in <u>Nature</u>. The relationship between the contradictory theories of metaphor and the categories of organicism is complicated by the question of point of view. Kenneth Burke explains how point of view related to Emerson's dual attitude toward nature and to the conception of transcendence that the essay implies. Burke defines transcendence as the "building of a <u>terministic bridge</u> whereby one realm is <u>transcended</u> by being viewed in terms of a realm 'beyond' it."⁴⁹ He describes Emerson's conflicting attitudes toward nature in terms of this definition:
Now that we have crossed the bridge, into the realm of "Reason" and "Spirit," Nature appropriately suffers what Emerson himself calls a "degrading." For whereas Nature rated high when thought of as leading towards the Supernatural, in comparison with the Supernatural it comes into question, even as regards its material existence.⁵⁰

Emerson's conception of the epistemological function metaphor in the correspondence theory relates to this "high rating of nature. From the point of view of the actual, on the upward path of the progressive categories, nature reveals fixed analogies between mind and spirit:

> There seems to be a necessity in spirit to manifest itself in material forms; and day and night, river and storm, beast and bird, acid and alkali, preexist in necessary Ideas in the mind of God, and are what they are by virtue of preceding affections in the world of spirit. (W,I,34)

These analogies are grounded in the correspondence between the forms of nature and their "Divine Ideas." This correspondence of form suggests the static root metaphor of Platonic formism. The artist's goal is to intuit the Divine-Idea which is reflected in the work of art. Conversely, nature rates high because the perception of natural forms leads to an intuition of the Ideas immanent in them. This is one of the "ends" or "uses" of nature. In addition, the perception of correspondential relationships provides moral instruction because "particular natural facts are symbols of particular spiritual facts," (\underline{W} , I, 20). From the point of view of the actual, progressive categories, Emerson looks to the ideal. The static forms of the ideal are fused with the progressive categories, in the theory of correspondence.

But both nature and metaphor are seen differently when viewed from the other side of Burke's "terministic bridge". in the realm of spirit. It is only from the limited perspective of the actual that nature seems "rooted and fast," that the correspondence theory holds. When we view nature from the perspective of the ideal, nature is seen as It is mere appearance; yet it is in a state of fluid. 1.1 evolutionary development toward Reality. From this perspective the poet's role is no longer to point out rigid correspondential relationships, but rhetorically to "unfix" nature.⁵¹ The contrast between the earnest view of correspondence as moral'allegory and the capricious poet noted earlier could not be more striking. It represents a shift in Emerson's thinking from a theory of rigid archetypal metaphor to a new theory of poetic metaphor.⁵²

Another index of this shift is the change that occurs in the epigraph on the title page of <u>Nature</u>. The original 1836 edition begins with a quotation from Plotinus that characterizes nature as a fixed and remote projection of spirit: "Nature is but an image or imitation of wisdom, the last thing of the soul; nature being a thing which doth only do, but not know." The passage rates nature as "low," but implies a static correspondence between the mind and nature as "an image or imitation of wisdom." The epigraph that

accompanies the 1849 edition indicates Emerson's rejection of static correspondence in favor of a new metamorphic, evolutionary view:

> A subtle chain of countless rings The next unto the farthest brings; The eye reads omens where it goes, And speaks all languages the rose; And, striving to be man, the worm Mounts through all the spires of form. $(\underline{W}, I, 1)^{53}$

The Plotinus epigraph notwithstanding, the reader may notice a certain paradox in terms of the categories of organicism. It is from the viewpoint of the progressive categories that nature rates "high" and is seen as corresponding with the ideal. But when the ideal is attained, nature is seen as fluid and the idea of correspondence collapses. Now it is the progressive categories that come into focus; nature is seen as evolutionary development, as "the flowing or metamorphosis."

This new conception of metamorphosis, appearing in the later sections of <u>Nature</u>, utterly contradicts the rigid scheme implied earlier:

> 'Nature is not fixed but fluid. Spirit alters, moulds, makes it. The immobility or bruteness of nature is the absence of spirit; to pure spirit it is fluid, it is volatile, it is obedient.' $(\underline{W}, I, 76)$

Nature is no longer seen as a static projection of spirit; indeed, Emerson apparently believes that spirit can progressively alter matter. This notion is taken to its

logical, if unlikely, conclusion in the final pages of <u>Nature</u>:

A correspondent revolution in things will attend the influx of spirit. So fast will disagreeable appearances, swine, spiders, snakes, pests, madhouses, prisons, enemies, vanish; they are temporary and shall be no more seen. (W, I, 76)

In this early form metamorphosis is seen as an apocalyptic force that will literally transmute the progressive, actual into the ideal. Emerson's exuberance with his new metaphor may seem naive, but it will be developed into a somewhat more sensible theory in "The Poet" and "Poetry and Imagination." It remains now to trace first the sources, then the meaning of this new poetic theory.

Neoplatonic philosophy, Goethe's theory of plants, and evolutionary scientific hypotheses all provided background for Emerson's new metaphor.

The poet's "fluid" world is related to Plotinus' metaphor of Reality as "flowing." According to Plotinus creation flows or emanates from the One, which is symbolized as a sun or fountain. Below the One is the second hypostasis, <u>nous</u>, which is variously translated as Intelligence, The Intellectual Principle, and The Divine Mind. Comprised of Being, which is Intellect or Energy, it contains the archetypes or Ideal forms of the physical world. Flowing from <u>nous</u> is the World Soul or All-Soul, which in turn emanates the physical world.⁵⁴

Metamorphosis corresponds not to the flowing or "Procession" of Reality from the One, but rather to epistrophe or "Reversion," the return of Intelligence to the One. R. T. Wallis explains:

> It is aspiration after this goal that motivates each level of Reality to revert in contemplationtowards its source and thereby to attain the maximum unity possible for it.⁵⁵

Metamorphosis in this Neoplatonic sense is the dynamic process whereby the progressive categories evolve into the organic whole of the ideal.

Plotinus' theory of ascension accorded with Goethe's theory of evolutionary biological development outlined in <u>Versuch die Metamorphose der Pflanzen zu erklaren.⁵⁶ Ernst</u> Cassirer stresses the importance of Goethe's insight that biological form is not static, but has temporal as well.as physical dimension:

> Form belongs not only to space but to time as well, and it must assert itself in the temporal. This could not consist in merely static being, for any such condition of a life form would be tantamount to its extinction.⁵⁷

As well as representing the process of becoming, rather than static being, Goethe's ur-plant was a symbol of the One and the Many. Daniel Shea contrasts Emerson's conception of the ur-plant with Lamarck's "monad of organic life" and notes the significance of metamorphosis as metaphor:

While deriving from botanical study, the ur-plant strikes Emerson as a poetic hypothesis constantly being verified by impressions of unity arising out of multiplicity. Then, too, the monad is static. Goethe's ur-plant is defined in its changes of form, . . . Emerson had no mental itch to work out a philosophical consistency between the One and the Many, but as poet and rhetorician he badly needed a way of talking about two truths of perception at once. Metamorphosis, the observable process in nature by which something changes while maintaining its identity, was that way of talking.⁵⁸

New scientific theories of evolution provided evidence for the metamorphosis of nature. Discussing form in nature, Stephen Whicher argues that in <u>Nature</u> "there was no implication that there was a metamorphosis among these forms," but that

> evolution almost literally dissolved this conception of nature. Both form and matter lost their final character and began to flow. At the heart of nature, where before he had seen a matter opposed to life, he now saw vitality and change.⁵⁹

The threads of Neoplatonic philosophy, biology, and evolutionary science are woven into a common thread that presents evidence of a literal metamorphosis in nature. The contradictions inherent in the organic metaphor reappear again, however. Carl Strauch argues that Neoplatonic myth represents Emerson's faith, and evolution his skepticism. Strauch quotes from Emerson's <u>Journals</u>:

> "The question is," said Emerson a second time early in 1844, "whether the tilobites, or whether the gods, are our grandfathers; and whether the actual existing men are an amelioration or a degradation arises from the contingence whether we

33

look from the material or from the poetic side" $(\underline{J}, VI, 497)$. 50

Neoplatonic myth postulates an ideal realm existing in eternity and a state of prior knowlege from which man has lapsed. Evolution represents the progressive categories, the process of becoming, the return to the One. The contradictions inherent in the theory of metamorphosis appear only in its historical and physical aspects, however. We now turn to its most important guise as a theory of perception and symbolism.

In its primary physical sense metamorphosis is the transformation of biological or physical form, nature as process and change, nature as becoming. In "The Poet" Emerson links this primary sense of the term with Plotinian Reversion in terms of a new theory of symbolism. The fusion of these concepts appears in Emerson's description of the poet's privileged perception of metamorphosis:

وأذكرتها

As the eyes of Lyncaeus were said to see through the earth, so the poet turns the world to glass, and shows us all things in their right series and procession. For through that better perception he stands one step nearer to things, and sees the flowing or metamorphosis; perceives that thought is multiform; that within the form of every creature is a force impelling it to ascend into a higher form; and following with his eyes the life, uses the forms which express that life, and so his speech flows with the flowing of nature. $(\underline{W}, III, 20-21)$

The poet's "better perception" reveals the link between

biological development and spiritual ascension. The analogy that begins the passage is an extract from Plotinus' "On intelligible beauty" which Thomas Taylor quotes in his introduction to <u>The Select Works of Plotinus</u>, a book which Emerson knew well.⁶¹ The Plotinian doctrine of Reversion is wedded to evolutionary ascension. The hint of poetic theory in the line "and following with his eyes the life, uses the forms which express that life, and so his speech flows with the flowing of nature" is developed as the passage continues:

> All the facts of the animal economy, sex, nutriment, gestation, birth, growth, are symbols of the passage of the world into the soul of man, to suffer there a change and reappear a new and higher fact. He uses forms according to the life, and not according to the form. (\underline{W} , III, 21)

Metamorphosis is the process by which a natural form is transformed by the poet's imagination into "a new and higher fact," a symbol or metaphor. Emerson's departure from the formistic correspondence theory is signalled by the last sentence. The poet's use of a natural form as metaphor is not determined by the form itself, but by "life," here meaning the poet⁷s imagination.

Formistic theory is by no means abandoned though. In Plotinus Emerson discovered "a doctrine of forms" suited to the concept of metamorphosis, the explanation of which is one of the primary aims of "The Poet" essay.

The poet's "better perception" of metamorphosis is

related to Plotinus' conception of intuition. R. T. Wallis explains the relationship between intuition and Neoplatonic formism:

4

. . . Intelligence (nous) is the level of intuition, where the laborious processes of discorsive thought are bypassed and the mind attains a direct and instantaneous vision of truth . . . the objects contemplated by Intelligence are the Platonic Forms themselves, Plato's Realm of True Being.⁶²

But the Plotinian theory of beauty does not imply a static correspondence between ideal and actual form. Wallis states that Plotinus broke completely with traditional Platonism:

> For now it is not Form, but Life, in which Plotinus sees the essence of beauty . . . More radically still, he argues that even the beauty of the Forms would fail to stir us were they not quickened to life by the radiance cast upon them by the Good . . . In other words, it is with this radiance, 'the color blooming' on the Intelligible world (V.8.10.29-30), rather than with Form as such that true Beauty should be identified. Hence Plotinus goes so far as to declare that Primary Beauty is formless. . . . ⁶³

Emerson states that the poet's perception of metamorphosis enables him not only to see this primary beauty, but to participate in the ascension of spirit:

> Imagination, is a very high sort of seeing, which does not come by study, but by the intellect being where and what it sees; by sharing the path or circuit of things through forms, and so making them translucid to others. . . The condition of true naming, on the poet's part is his resigning himself to the divine <u>aura</u> which breathes through forms, and accompanying that. (\underline{W} , III, 26)

As Vivian Hopkins implies, the word "seeing" is not used

literally. She states that this vision "exists not in his eye, but in his <u>mind</u>," because "perception, with Emerson, denotes not merely the reception of an object on the brain, but a realization by the mind of that object's significance."⁶⁴ Its significance is no longer single, however, for "all symbols are fluxional; all language is vehicular and transitive" (\underline{W} , III, 34).

Emerson's focus has shifted from the "form" of an object, whether ideal or actual, to the imaginative process "which breathes through forms." What this implies is that a natural "form" does not impose any single meaning. The "form" of the sea imposes a particular image or form on a painter if he is concerned with a realistic likeness. But the poet may use the word "sea" in almost any way he chooses to illuminate aspects of human life. "Sea" has numerous metaphorical attributes, only some of which apply to Shakespeare's metaphor "sea of troubles."

Emerson's break with rigid correspondential formism is now complete. His implied criticism of Swedenborg in "The Poet" helps to clarify his new theory:

> For all symbols are fluxional; all language is vehicular and transitive, and is good, as ferries and horses are, for conveyance, not as farms and houses are, for homestead. Mysticism consists in the mistake of an accidental and individual symbol for an universal one. (W,III,34)

This criticism is explicit in the essay on Swedenborg in Representative Men:

The slippery Proteus is not so easily caught. In nature, each individual symbol plays innumerable parts. . . The central identity enables any one symbol to express successively all the qualities and shades of real being. In the transmission of the heavenly waters, every hose fits every hydrant. (\underline{W} , IV, 121)

Emerson's tone in asking, "must I call the heaven and earth . . . an anthill or an old coat, in order to give you the shock of pleasure which the imagination loves?" (\underline{J} , VI, 18-19), suggests both a new rhetorical theory of metaphor and a liberated writing style.

David Porter argues in <u>Emerson</u> and <u>Literary</u> <u>Change</u> that Emerson's poetry was

> . . . narrow and predictable because of Emerson's persistent notion that the poetic idea stands prior to its language. This narrow idea of the craft enervated so much of Emerson's poetry because it took no account of the disorderly processes of mind in the act of discovery.⁶⁵

The correspondence theory led to the impoverishment of Emerson's poetry because "it was an art based not on aesthetic needs, but on doctrine."⁶⁶ But in discussing "the eyes of Lyncaeus" passage previously quoted he discovers an argument for a new type of prose:

> Unrestricted form both releases and gives voice to the flowing figures of nature . . Emerson's insistence on scope, motion, and unrestrained performance of imagination joins the fullness of life with the form of its perception and expression.⁶⁷

The focus of the latter part of this chapter will be the

central role of metaphor or metamorphosis in the theoretical development of the new prose style which Porter describes. The bridge between Emerson's theory of poetic perception and his new literary method is discovered in a discussion of the mental process involved in reading a metaphor.

If, according to Emerson's reading of Plotinus it is The Intuitive-Intellectual Principle in man that perceives the flowing or metamorphosis, it follows that this same faculty intuits the resemblances that form the basis of metaphorical equations. Metamorphosis is a two-way process. Just as natural fact is transmuted into metaphor, so metaphor transforms the world in the reader's mind:

> The metamorphosis of Nature shows itself in nothing more than this, that there is no word in our language that cannot become typical to us of nature by giving it emphasis. The world is a Dancer; it is a Rosary, it is a Torrent; . . . it is what you will; and the metaphor will hold, and it will give the imagination keen pleasure. Swifter than light the world converts itself into that thing you name. . . . (\underline{J}, \dots)

Here "the metamorphosis of Nature" <u>is</u> the imaginative act of grasping a metaphorical equation. The world is imaginatively transformed into the metaphorical vehicle.

Metaphor is no longer a vehicle of correspondential truth, but an intuition and expression of the unity behind the flux of experience: Or shall we say that the imagination exists by sharing the ethereal currents? The poet contemplates the central identity, sees it undulate and roll this way and that, with divine flowings, through remotest things; and, following it, can detect essential resemblances in natures never before compared. He can class them so audaciously because he is sensible of the sweep of the celestial stream, from which nothing is exempt. (W,VIII,21)

Emerson's claim is that the poet's perception of "the central identity" enables him to comprehend metaphorical resemblances in a privileged manner. The theory suggests that the poet is distinguished by his use <u>set</u> and perhaps startling metaphorical equations. If the resemblances between natural forms, and between natural forms and ideas, offer proof of unity, then the metaphorical identity statements which reveal these resemblances must similarly point to unity. But the poet's metaphorical equations are termed "audacious." Although they point to an underlying unity, they are false-identity statements. Again, Emerson's new theory is of poetic metaphor, not archetypal metaphor.

In <u>Nature</u> the primary rhetorical function of metaphor is to "unfix" the material world. In "The Poet" and "Poetry and Imagination" its main function is to produce "a shock of agreeable surprise" by calling attention to the resemblances between diverse forms: The nature of things is flowing, a metamorphosis. The free spirit sympathizes not only with the actual form, but with the power or possible forms; but for obvious municipal or parietal uses God has given us a bias or rest on to-day's forms. Hence the shudder of joy with which in each clear moment we recognize the metamorphosis, because it is always a conquest, a surprise from the heart of ... things. $(\underline{W}, VIII, 71)$

The result of a fresh metaphorical equation is, according to Jonathan Bishop, "a true meaning in images." Bishop quotes from "Poetry and Imagination" to illustrate his meaning:

> A happy symbol is a sort of evidence that your thought is just. . . If you agree with me, or if Locke or Montesquieu agree, I may yet be wrong; but if the elm-tree thinks the same thing, if running water, if burning coal, if crystals, if alkalies, in their several fashions say what I say, it must be true. (\underline{W} , VII, 13)

This sounds suspiciously like the correspondence theory again. As Bishop puts it: "If this means simply that Emerson can find natural images to support his ready-made doctrine, the sentence is relatively weak. . . ." But inhis continuing commentary that leads to a quotation of the "shudder of joy" passage, he discovers a deeper meaning in metamorphosis:

> The "truth" about metaphor is unsayable except in the shape of another metaphor, another use of the world that includes elm trees and alkalies. A "happy symbol" is the only evidence that your thought <u>about symbols</u> is just. One understands the meaning of metaphor by seeing one, and then another and another.⁶⁸

Metamorphosis is a perpetual discovery of new meanings through metaphor. The "shudder of joy" passage continues: One would say of the force in the works of Nature, all depends on the battery. If it give one shock, we shall get to the fish form, and stop; if two shocks, to the bird. . . Power of generalizing differences men. The number of successive saltations the nimble thought can make, measures the difference between the highest and lowest of mankind. The habit of saliency, of not pausing but going on, is a sort of importation or domestication of the Divine effort in man. After the largest circle has been drawn, a larger circle can be drawn around it. (\underline{W} , VIII, 71-72)

The process of metaphoric discovery is described here metaphorically as "the habit of saliency." Michael Cowan shows (in a very long sentence) how in this passage:

> Emerson not only describes but demonstrates the "shock" of metamorphosis in his punning on "saliency," which causes an imaginative spark to jump, by means of the hinted root "sal" or salt, from the buried oceanic image ("flowing") in the first sentence and from the Latin root <u>saliens</u> and its meaning of "leaping" or "jumping" through the saline agent that carries a battery's current and finally to its most intellectual meaning of "striking" or "conspicuous"--which the pun itself certainly is.⁶⁹

The creation of the work of art is only half of the metamorphic process. If the metamorphosis of nature is mirrored in the language of the poem, then its power is latent there. Its rhetorical significance is its recreation in the reader's mind:

> If the imagination intoxicates the poet, it is not inactive in other men. The metamorphosis excites in the beholder an emotion of joy. The use of symbols has a certain power of emancipation and exhilaration for all men. . . This is the effect on us of tropes, fables, oracles and all poetic forms. Poets are thus liberating gods. Men have really got a new sense, and found within

their world another world, or nest of worlds; for the metamorphosis once seen, we divine that it does not stop. $(\underline{W}, III, 30)$

The exhilaration of intuiting the meaning of a new metaphorical relation releases us from habitual patterns of thought:

Every thought is also a prison; every heaven is also a prison. Therefore we love the poet, the inventor, who in any form, . . . has yielded us a new thought. He unlocks our chains and admits us to a new scene. $(\underline{W}, III, 33)$

But even if the reader does not realize his confinement, Emerson's rhetorical theory suggests that he may be forcibly released. Although Emerson's emphasis is usually on the "happy symbol," Emerson's poe eccasionally adopts an aggressive stance toward his estimating the estimation of his thought is his proportion always to his possession of his thought is his defiance of his readers" (\underline{W} , VIII, 33).

The poet's role in "unfixing" the material world in <u>Nature</u> is paralleled in the theory of metamorphosis by Emerson's conviction that "thin or solid everything is in flight" (\underline{W} , VIII,5). Nature as described in the first pages < of "Poetry and Imagination" is radically volatile and metamorphic:

> First innuendoes, then broad hints, then smart taps are given, suggesting that nothing stands still in Nature but death; that the creation is on wheels, in transit, always passing into something else, streaming into something higher; that matter is not what it appears;--that chemistry can blow it all into gas. (W,VIII,4)

 \mathbf{r}

Emerson condescendingly describes the effect of this realization on "our little sir": "at this alarm everything is compromised; gunpowder is laid under every man's breakfast-table" (\underline{W} , VIII, 6). Poetry is similarly described as the chamber that generates "the explosive force . . . which sets in action the intellectual world" (\underline{W} , VIII, 64). This metaphor characterizes Emerson's rhetorical theory in its most experimental form. Metaphor provides a "shock" of surprise. But if the poet's "better perception" enables him to create "audacious" metaphors, why merely shock the reader when he can be blown out of his kitchen? As the infamous and unsuccessful "transparent eyeball" metaphor suggests, this tendency to catachresis was dangerous. The writer, perhaps more than the reader, was subject to the force of the explosion.

We have seen that the idea of metamorphosis is central to Emerson's conception of poetic creation and comprehension. But how does it relate to Emerson's "literary method," if indeed he has one, to Emerson as a writer of essays?

R. P. Adams, following F. O. Matthiessen, criticizes Emerson's essays for lacking organic unity. Adams notes the importance of the dichotomy between the progressive and the ideal categories of organicism in Emerson's thinking, and argues that the paradox disappears "in the image of the living tree," which exhibits both progressive development

and unity.⁷⁰ Emerson's best writing, Adams states, is developed in terms of this metaphor, rather than that of "the Heraclitean metaphor of the Flowing":

> Matthiessen's objection is valid, if it can be grounded on the doctrine that the dynamism of romantic thought, properly understood, is not a flowing but a growing; not a featureless flux but the development of an organic structure with a strong though not rigid inner logic and an unbounded but not incoherent shape.⁷¹

For better or worse, however, this was not what Emerson was after. The idea of metamorphosis was an attempt to fuse the metaphor of the flowing with radical organic growth. Emerson's rhetorical theory calls for a writing style that is characterized by a flow of metaphorical language with the aim of inspiring the reader:

> An imaginative book renders us much more service at first, by stimulating us through its tropes, than afterward when we arrive at the precise sense of the author. I think nothing is of any value in books excepting the transcendental and extraordinary. If a man is inflamed and carried away by his thought, to that degree that he forgets the authors and the public and heeds only this one dream which holds him like an insanity, let me read his paper, and you may have all the arguments and histories and criticism. (\underline{W} , III, 32)

We may note in passing that Emerson assumes "a precise sense" of meaning in the work. But in conjunction with his emphasis on the imaginative effect of tropes, there is a corresponding neglect of formal rhetorical structure and "organic unity":

I think of a particular fact of singular beauty and interest. In thinking of it I am led to many more thoughts which show themselves, first partially, and afterwards more fully. But in the multitude of them I see no order. When I would present them to others they have no beginning. There is no method. $(\underline{U}, II, 446)$

He does not deny method altogether; he goes on to'state that the thoughts will "take their own order" as "God's architecture." The metaphor is inappropriate, however, implying a structural pattern. Emerson's contemporary, Margaret Fuller, more correctly described his "natural manner" as a "stream of thought."⁷² As Bishop argues, Emerson's writing embodies a continual recasting and transformation of his ideas:

Because "the quality of the imagination is to flow and not to freeze," Emerson's theory focuses on the process of meaning in the work, rather than on the single image which with time "pales and dwindles before the revelation of the new hour" (\underline{W} ,II,305-306). Foregoing static symbolism and logical order, Emerson extols the poem that

> ... shall thrill the world by the mere juxtaposition and interaction of lines and sentences that singly would have been of little worth and short date. Rightly is this art named Composition, and the composition has manifold the

effect of the component parts. . . the collated thoughts beget more, and the artificially combined individuals have in addition to their own a quite new collective power. The main is made up of many islands, the state of many men, the poem of many thoughts, each of which, in its turn, filled the whole sky of the poet, was day and Being to him. (J, III, 478-79)

Emerson is not justifying the writing of bad poetry. Rather, his concern is that "the thought being spoken in a sentence becomes by mere detachment falsely emphatic" $(\underline{d}, VI, 65)$. This passage reveals Emerson's utter faith in the root metaphor of organicism. Although each part is, singularly, unsatisfactory, the transcendent unity of the whole is assumed.

But if the unity is not "organic" in Adams' sense, does it exist at all? The unity may be found, ideally, in the metaphorical process itself. Martin Foss, a modern writer on metaphor, develops a theory which is strikingly similar to Emerson's.

Like Emerson, Foss believes that symbols are good for "conveyance," not "homestead." For Foss the value of the symbol lies in its expediency: "Complex and unfamiliar experiences are brought under old well-known concepts and images."⁷⁴ Metaphor, however, is described by Foss as a process of discovery in language:

47

metaphorical task be fully achieved, that is, to oppose the tendency of the word toward smooth and expedient fixation in familiar fences, and to draw it into the disturbing current of a problematic drive.⁷⁵

Both Foss and Emerson believe that metaphor is uniquely capable of avoiding the rigid categorization of symbolic and abstract language. The use of metaphor provides a constantly changing center of meaning which challenges the reader to participate intuitively in the unfolding process of thought.

For Emerson, the individual metaphor is an expression of unity because it is based on resemblance and functions through the expression of an identity. The variety of individual metaphors, like the "interaction of lines," combines to create the unity of the work, a process of metamorphosis, its "new collective power." Foss explains the type of unity achieved:

> Metaphor is a process of tension and energy, manifested in the process of language, not in the single word. . . The metaphorical sphere transcends the many and realizes a simple and invisible unity, although not the unity of a total and complete object or symbol or word. It is the unity of tension and process.

The organicist's faith is that "the known symbols . . . give birth to an entirely new knowledge beyond their fixed and addible multitude."⁷⁶

Foss's comments help to explain how the essays exhibit the degree of unity they do. As Morse Peckham observes,

"the connection between almost any of [Emerson's] aphoristic sentences and the one that follows it is by no means easy to grasp." He argues that the job of the writer is "to give the reader at least the illusion, if nothing else, that sentence <u>b</u> really and truly follows from sentence <u>a</u>." Emerson, Peckham maintains, forgoes this task: "He does not build us bridges; he makes us leap."⁷⁷ The lack of formal structure in the essays resulting from Emerson's stress on the metaphorical process hinders the systematic presentation of ideas. But if the reader is forced to leap intuitively from trope to trope and from line to line he becomes involved in the process of metamorphosis that Emerson's writing seeks to explain and exemplify.

It remains now to examine Emerson's actual use of metaphor in two essays. I will not attempt to determine if Emerson's writting reflects the metaphorical process described above. A firmer basis for analysis is provided by the root metaphor theory. The first half of the third chapter will examine a cluster of metaphors associated with Emerson's central aim of developing an "ideal" aesthetic theory in "The Poet." The latter half of the chapter will demonstrate the importance of the two categories of organic root metaphor in the meaning and structure of the later essay "Fate

)

CHAPTER THREE: ORGANIC METAPHOR IN "THE POET" AND FATE"

An analysis of Emerson's thought through root metaphon theory helps to clarify his meaning and intention in the essays "The Poet" and "Fate." In the previous chapter I argued that in "The Poet" Emerson develops a theory of metaphor by means of the progressive categories of But the essay also contains two passages in organicism. which the root metaphor of formism returns and is associated with the ideal categories in an apparent attempt to develop an ideal theory of poetry. If the passages are read literally, they seem to imply a return to a static doctrine of Platonic correspondence. As in <u>Nature</u>, there is a contradiction between the two poetic theories expressed through the two sets of categories. A close metaphorical reading of the passages, however, reveals that the idea of metamorphosis is used to reconcile the two theories. This reading also brings into focus associated clusters of metaphors that illustrate Emerson's use of poetic language to express poetic theory: In the essay "Fate," similarly, the categories of the organic metaphor provide two radically contrasting views of the essay's subject matter. Again, the idea of metamorphosis functions as a bridge to help reconcile the two opposing points of view.

The passages from "The Poet" pose certain difficulties. First, they seem to contradict the poetic theory developed elsewhere in the essay. Second, the ideal theory is not

explained in sufficient detail to allow for certitude in interpretation. Third, if the theory is taken literally, the reader may find it difficult to accept. The theory has received scant critical attention, perhaps for these reasons. I believe these passages are, however, of primary importance to one of Emerson's main intentions in the essay, to develop an ideal "doctrine of forms." In addition, they are associated with patterns of metaphors occurring throughout the essay that illustrate Emerson's use of metaphorical language to express poetic theory.

In the first paragraph of "The Poet" Emerson criticizes state of aesthetic theory in America. The problem with contemporary criticism is its superficiality:

> It is a proof of the shallowness of the doctrine of beauty as it lies in the minds of our amateurs, that men seem to have lost the perception of the instant dependence of form upon soul. There is no doctrine of forms in our philosophy. (W,III,1)

Emerson's language and tone imply that he must develop a doctrine of forms if he is to avoid the superficiality he is criticizing. Additionally, the list of topics Emerson sets out at the end of the first paragraph are to be considered within the larger context of the need for an ideal aesthetic theory:

> And this hidden truth, that the fountains whence all this river of Time and its creatures floweth are intrinsically ideal and beautiful, draws us to the consideration of the nature and functions of the Poet, or the man of Beauty; to the means and materials he uses, and to the general aspect of the art in the present time. (\underline{W} , III, 4)

The doctrine of forms is given its first expression in the sixth paragraph. If the passage is read literally, and there is as yet no hint that it should not be, it states that actual poems are modeled after "ideal" poems that preexist in a state of being analogous to those of Plato's forms:

> For poetry was all written before time was, and whenever we are so finely organized that we can penetrate into that region where the air is music, we hear those primal warblings and attempt to write them down, but we lose ever and anon a word or a verse and substitute something of our own, and thus miswrite the poem. The men of more delicate ear write down these cadences more faithfully, and these transcripts, though imperfect, become the songs of the nations. $(\underline{W}, III, 8)$

Emerson, writing here from the perspective of the ideal categories, is at his least convincing. The notion that a poem perexists as a "primal warbling" may embarrass even his most sympathetic readers. But rather than dismissing the subject Emerson takes it up again in even greater detail in paragraph nineteen:

> The poet also resigns himself to his mood, and that thought which agitated him is expressed, but alter idem; in a manner totally new. The expression is organic, or the new type which things themselves take when liberated. As, in the sun, objects paint their images on the retina of the eye, so they, sharing the aspiration of the whole universe, tend to paint a far more delicate copy of their essence in his mind. Like the metamorphosis of things into higher organic forms is their change into melodies. Over everything stands its daemon or soul, and, as the form of the thing is reflected by the eye, so the soul of the thing is reflected by a melody. The sea, the mountain-ridge, Niagara, and every flower-bed, pre-exist, or super-exist, in pre-cantations.

which sail like odors in the air, and when any man goes by with an ear sufficiently fine, he overhears them and endeavors to write down the notes without diluting or depraving them. And herein is the legitimation of criticism, in the mind's faith that the poems are a corrupt version of some text in nature with which they ought to be made to tally. (\underline{W} , III, 24-25)

In this expanded version it is not simply poems that pre-exist but the "precantations" of physical phenomena. The poet "hears" the melodies that objects give off, writes down the notes, and his poem thus corresponds with nature. If particular objects have particular souls that give off particular melodies, then the words for these objects should have a direct correspondence with the objects' souls and melodies. The implication is that if a poet could hear the notes exactly, an ideal poem could be written that would be in perfect correspondence with nature. Emerson does not state that particular forms have particular symbolic meanings, but he does imply specific correspondences between words and things.

Because there is no further clarification of this theory, it is difficult to know just what Emerson means by it.⁷⁸ But by positing a correspondential relationship between words and things, Emerson does seem to contradict the theory of poetic metaphor expressed elsewhere in the essay.

A metaphorical reading of the passage, however, suggests that Emerson uses the idea of metamorphosis to make

his ideal theory more consistent with the poetic theory developed through the progressive categories. There are three stages of metamorphosis. The first occurs in sense perception itself when "the soul of the thing is reflected by a melody." The second metamorphosis takes place when the "images" of sense perception are metamorphosed into the work of art. Einally, a third metamorphosis occurs in the mind of the audience when the work of art is appreciated. Because form is metamorphosed by mind, static formism and rigid correspondence are not implied. A metaphorical reading of these passages also helps to clarify Emerson's use of other metaphors related to visual perception in the essay.

The precantations and melodies of paragraph nineteen refer to what is more clearly a poetic metaphor in paragraph six, to that region where "the air is music." The importance of music as metaphor for Emerson has not gone unnoticed. Yoder argues that Emerson's conception of Orpheus was influenced by the work of Ralph Cudworth, a seventeenth century Neoplatonist. According to Yoder Orpheus meant to Emerson, "the very power of transformation and metamorphosis that we observe, perhaps in its purest form, in the changes of language and music."⁷⁹ William Scheick devotes a section of <u>The Slender Human Word</u> to what he calls the "governing hieroglyph" of Pan in "The Poet." Referring to the passages in question, Scheick suggests that harmony, he creates music. He penetrates the 'noise' of life to 'that region where the air is music,' where one hears 'primal warblings'."⁸⁰ Although neither Yoder nor Scheick develop the connection, I believe that a possible source for Emerson's imagery in both passages is Ralph Cudworth's <u>True Intellectual System of the Universe</u>. The " metaphor in paragraph six suggests Cudworth's discussion of the musically ordered universe of the ancient mythologists:

> The ancient mythologists represented the nature of the universe by Pan playing on a pipe or harp, and being in love with the nymph echo; as if nature did, by a kind of silent melody, make all the parts of the universe everywhere dance in measure and proportion.⁸¹

The motifs of melody, the "reflection" or echo of sense perceptions, and love are associated with the first stage of metamorphosis.

Auditory metaphor, associated with the metamorphosis of sense perception, is developed in par*graph nineteen.^{**} In Emerson's first analogy ("As in the sun . . .") the poet's perception of "things themselves" is compared to visual perception but said to be more delicate. In the second analogy the transformation of reality into melody is compared to organic metamorphosis. The origin of these melodies is then described: "Over everything stands its daemon or soul, and as the form of the thing is reflected by the eye, so the soul of the thing is reflected by a melody."

Emerson's use of the word "reflected" is crucial here.

If "reflection" is merely passive, as the foregoing quotation from Cudworth suggests, (Pan is in love with the passive reflection of his own music) then Emerson's idea implies static correspondence. There would be a fixed relationship between the object, its soul, and its melody. For Cudworth "reflection," however, was not simply passive. According to Lydia Gysi, a modern commentator on Cudworth, the mind's "reflection" of an object is active:

The object which the mirror [sense perception] passively reflected, and the eye [imagination] consciously perceived, reason comprehends again, not passively nor sympathetically, but in pure activity. . . . 82

In relation to imagination and reason, reflection is active in that it is "a knowledge actively reproduced by the soul."⁸³ Sherman Paul provides confirmation; he argues that for Emerson perception was reciprocal: "The eye, in its own functions, focused the problem of his double consciousness of nature-as-sensation and nature-as-projection." Paul quotes a passage from Samson Reed's <u>Growth of the Mind</u> which he feels Emerson found true: "The eye . . . appears to be the point at which the united rays of the sun within and the sun without, converge to an expression of unity."⁸⁴

Because perception is reciprocal, Emerson's doctrine of forms does not imply static correspondence. The reflection of an object by the mind is subject to the first stage of metamorphosis. When "the soul of the thing is reflected by a melody," that melody is being transformed by the creative

power of the mind. It is "like the metamorphosis of things into higher forms." The poet "shares the path or circuit of things through forms," by "resigning himself to the divine <u>aura</u> which breathes through forms, and accompanying that" (\underline{W} , III, 26). This process is not external to the poet; forms and melodies are in part a creation of his own consciousness. The focus is not on static formism, but on the mind's active role in sense perception.

Emerson develops the idea of the reciprocity of perception through a number of fascinating metaphors that seem to derive from the Democritean theory of perception. This theory was available to Emerson through both Cudworth and the Scottish realist philosopher, Dugald Stewart.⁸⁵ The analysis of this theory leads to a discussion of the second stage of metamorphosis.

There are at least two versions of the Democritean theory, and both seem to be related to Emerson's use of metaphor. One version is explained by Owen Barfield in his book <u>History in English Words</u>. Barfield explains how the meaning of the word "image," the root of "imagination," is related to Democritus' theory of perception: "He held that the surfaces of all objects are continuously throwing off 'images'-a kind of films or husks which float about in space and at last penetrate to the pores of the body."**6 A Slightly different account is given by G. E. L. Lloyd in <u>The</u> <u>Encyclopedia of Philosophy</u>. Lloyd's version is more in

57

إرتميه

accord with the reciprocal nature of perception as explained by Samson Reed and Sherman Paul. Lloyd states that "images from both the object and the eye itself meet and imprint the air in front of the eye."⁸⁷

Emerson's anecdotion appearance of the young poet is related to Barfield' attion of the Democritean theory:

> How gladly we listened . . . We sat in the aurora of a sunrise which was to put out all the stars. . . What! that wonderful spirit has not expired These stony monuments are still sparkling and animated! I had fancied that the oracles were all silent, and nature had spent her fires; and behold all night, from every pore, these fine auroras have been streaming. (\underline{W} , III, 10-11)

The poet is metaphorically equated with the sun-son and is surrounded by an emanation. But nature, too, is emitting auroras. The poet, in realizing his divinity, is surrounded by an aureole or hald, a symbol of lordship, in keeping with Emerson's conception of the poet as "emperor." But the auroras that stream from pores (not into them as in Barfield's account) radiate from nature as well. They seem to have inspired the poet; and the poet's emanation, in turn, seems to have cast reciprocally a new radiance on nature. These auroras are metaphorically equivalent to the melodies "which sail like odors in the air," to images, and to the divine aura "which breathes through forms."

This cluster of metaphors also helps to explain an important reference to images that Emerson quotes from the

Neoplatonist, Jamblichus, which illustrates the second stage of metamorphosis. Emerson states that nature "has insured the poet's fidelity to his office . . . by the beauty of things, which becomes a new and higher beauty when expressed \clubsuit . . 'Things more excellent than every image,' says Jamblichus, 'are expressed through images'" (\underline{W} , III, 13). There is an initial metamorphosis of "the soul of the thing" into a melody or "image." These images or perceptions of nature are then used as a type to create something greater still. They are subjected to a second metamorphosis by the poet's imagination. The auroras that streamed into the poet return forth "in a manner totally new," as the auroras or images of the the created work of art. As we saw in Chapter Two, these images inspire a third metamorphosis in the reader's mind when the created work is read.

One of Emerson's mythic metaphors in "The Poet" is associated with this pattern of metaphors. Emerson ascribes this passage to "a certain poet," Orpheus, using his "freer speech:"

When the soul of the poet has come to ripeness of thought, she detaches and sends away from it poems or songs, --a fearless, sleepless, deathless progeny, which is not exposed to the accidents of the weary kingdom of time; a fearless, vivacious offspring, clad with wings (such is the virtue of the soul out of which they came) which carry them fast and far, and infix them irrevocably into the hearts of men. These wings are the beauty of the poet's soul. $(\underline{W}, III, 2\underline{S})$

This passage suggests the second speech in Plato's <u>Phaedrus</u> in which Socrates describes the fourth type of divine

madness, the lover's. According to Plato's erotic fable:

Wherefore she gazes upon the boy's beauty, she admits a flood of particles streaming therefrom-that is why we speak of a 'flood of passion'--

This "flood of particles" is metaphorically equivalent to the Democritean images. They are used by both Plato and Emerson to symbolize the lover's or the poet's perception of beauty. Plato, like Emerson, speaks of the particles' "reflection" between the lovers: both conceive of perception as Reciprocal. Beauty is the agent of this first metamorphosis; it causes the lover's and the poet's wings to For Emerson, as previously noted, there is, however, arow. a second metamorphosis. The images or melodies or "rays and appulses" are transformed again when the poem is created, for it too is "clad with wings." As F. O: Matthiessen, reminds us, speaking them a different context, the ultimate source of this transforming power is said to be the divine mind: "We perceive how art is organic for [Emerson] in a double sense: not only is the appropriate growth out of the poet's intuition, but that intuition is in turn an

outwelling of the universal mind.""

Admittedly, the preceding passages are obnfusing. Emerson fuses formistic metaphor with the ideal aspects of organic metaphor and suggests the existence of an ideal realm of poetry. Why then attempt to read the passages metaphorically and deny that he is expressing a new theory of correspondence? First, the "metaphors" through which the theory is expressed are derived from both auditory and visual perception. It is not clear whether we are to believe literally in the melodies or in the images or in both. Second, Emerson insists on the metamorphosis of form, suggesting that he is not concerned with a static theory of correspondence. The language of Platonic formism, as R. P. Adams notes, "was likely to prove inappropriate ..., for what he wanted to say."*1

The obscurity of these passages results in part from the persistence of formistic metaphor. Nevertheless, the network of metaphorical associations has its own fascination. Emerson, like Socrates in the second speech of <u>Phaedrus</u>, is speaking as a poet and not as a philosopher. A theory of poetry developed through poetic language may be, by turns, both fransparent and opaque.

In the essay "Fate" Emerson is fully conscious of his use of the polarity of the organic metaphor. Indeed, Emerson's contradictory attitude toward fate (and the very structure of the essay) is the direct result of his thinking in terms of the two sets of categories. Because "Fate" is representative of Emerson's later, more skeptical thought, its emphasis is on the recalcitrant aspects of the progressive categories of organicism. But because Emerson's rhetorical aim is to "build altars to the Beautiful Necessity" (\underline{W} ,VI,49), fate must ultimately be viewed under the aspect of the ideal categories, whereby its limiting influences are transcended. Again, the idea of metamorphosis is, to use Sheads phrase, a "problem solving device" which helps to reconcile the contradictory categories.⁹²

Like his earlier attitude toward nature, Emerson's attitude toward fate is dual. As in "Experience," consciousness is a sliding scale and our perception of fate is relative to our point of view. The two poles of perception are those of the contradictory categories of organicism. Awareness of this duality is suggested as an answer to the problems fate poses:

> One key, one solution to the mysteries of human condition, one solution to the old knots of fate, freedom, and foreknowledge, exists; the propounding, namely, of the double consciousness. (W,VI,47)

"The "double consciousness" is a solution because what is incompletensible and tragic from the perspective of the actual, "whatever lames or paralyzes you," may be seen from the perspective of the ideal; it "draws in with it the divinity, in some form to repay" (\underline{W} , VI, 47-48).

Although Whicher correctly described as tragic the result of Emerson's inability to maintain the ideal pole of perception, Emerson necessarily makes light of the problem at the end of "Fate." The metaphorical language that describes these two aspects of experience provides insight into the rhetorical structure of the essay:

> A man must ride alternately on the horses of his private and his public nature, as the equestrians in the circus throw themselves nimbly from horse to horse, or plant one foot on the back of one and the other foot on the back of the other. (W, VI, 47)

The essay is a precarious balancing act because Emerson intends to describe both the actual and ideal realms of experience. The bleak world of the actual is presented so acutely in the first pages that the reader may wonder if he can successfully shift his weight to the other horse. But the essay is a performance, and although there is the excitement of "ultimate values being tested," the high rhetoric of the end is really never in doubt.⁹³

The essay has both a horizontal and a vertical structure. The horizontal structure shows the relationship of fate to "the leading topics which belong to our scheme of human life" (\underline{W} , VI, 4). These topics include matter, mind, morals, thought, character, science, and evolution. The essay has a vertical structure because fate is viewed from both the actual and ideal poles of experience: "Fate has its ford; limitation its limits, -- is different seen from above
and from below, from within and from without" (\underline{W} ,VI,22). In this later work the terms of the dualism have shifted. Whereas in "The Poet" spirit and matter were juxtaposed, in "Fate":

> We have two things, --the circumstance, and the life. Once we thought positive power was all. Now we learn that negative power, or circumstance is half. Nature is the tyrannous circumstance, the thick skull, the sheathed snake, the ponderous rock-like jaw. . . $(\underline{W}, VI, 15)$

Although the analogy is not mathematically exact, the structure of the essay may be compared roughly to an ascending line graph. Circumstance is at the bottom of the vertical axis, power is at the top, and the other topics are spread across the horizontal axis. The essay begins at its lowest point, matter viewed from the perspective of circumstance, and ends at its highest point, the ideal, organic whole viewed from the perspective of perspective perspective of persp

The essay begins from the perspective of the progressive categories. When nature is viewed as circumstance, it is seen as savage predatory process:

The habit of snake and spider, the snap of the tiger and other leapers and bloody jumpers, the crackle of the bones of his prey in the coil of the anaconda, --these are in the system, and our habits are like theirs. (W,VI,7)

18 A.

Emerson's distance here from the mystical view of nature in the earlier essays cannot be overexaggerated. Unlimited ascension through all the "spires of form" is limited by the "iron hoop" of circumstance. Circumstance is the limiting

power of environment, and environment sharply restricts metamorphic possibility on both the biological and the human level. In biological terms, as Shea points out, "Goethe's archetypal leaf has been replaced by ovarian vesicles."⁹⁴ Environment, Emerson tells us, determines the vesicle's metamorphic potential, "A vesicle in new cincumstances, a vesicle lodged in darkness, Oken thought, became an animal; in light, a plant" (\underline{W} , VI, 14). For Goethe metamorphosis had both progressive and regressive aspects. Shea explains Goethe's biological foundation for these polar forces:

> In the botanical terms used by Goethe, progressive ' metamorphosis takes place in successive stages from seed to fruit; regressive metamorphosis occurs when the form of the leaf contracts into the sexual organs of stamen and pistil and their protective petals and sepals. Apparently where by its retreat within the calyx, the plant is in fact undergoing a new creation. . . . What whethe calls the <u>steigerung</u>, the heightening or ascension, can take place as only the result of interplay between these two movements, an aspiring and a contractive principle.⁸⁵

In human terms this contractive principle or "negative power" includes not only environment, but limitations of all kinds: "Famine, typhus, frost, war, suicide and effete races must be reckoned calculable parts of the system of the world. . . These are . . hints of the terms by which our life is walled up" (\underline{W} , VI, 19). According to Shea the limiting powers of fate correspond, to regressive metamorphosis and "call forth redoubled power" on the part of the individual to counteract them.⁹⁶ But regressive

metamorphosis works in a more sinister manner as well. Circumstance, like Circe, reduces man to the sensual and instinctual, metaphorically transforming him into an animal. A number of metaphors, particularly near the beginning of the essay, illustrate this regressive pull: "So he has but one future, and that is already predetermined in his lobes and described in that little fatty face, pig-eye, and squat form" (\underline{W} , VI, 11). A man's race, too, is the vehicle of this negative power which transforms him "into a selfish, huckstering, servile, dodging animal" (\underline{W} , VI, 35). Not only circumstance but detachment ill'ustrates regressive metamorphosis: "'Detach colony from the race, and it deteriorates to the crab' (W,VI,16). To state the matter another way, for Emerson, at the beginning of "Fate," man is an animal; it is only as he transforms himself into the human that he transcends the limitations of circumstance.

Fate may be transcended because in opposition to it is Power. Existence is described as the interplay between these two principles:

For though Fate is immense, so is Power, which is the other fact in the dual world, immense. If Fate follows and limits Power, Power attends and antagonizes Fate. (\underline{W} , VI, 22)

As the essay rises from its dark beginning to fate as melioration, these dual forces balance each other through the Trimutual progressive development. Emerson recounts a Hindu myth of metamorphosis from animal to human form:

A. 10

66

Ŷ

In the Hindoo fables, Vishnu follows Maya through all her ascending changes, from insect and crawfish up to elephant; whatever form she took, he took the male form of that kind, until she became at last woman and goddess, and he a man and a god. $(\underline{W}, VI, 20)$

But at this early point in the essay, although "the limitations refine as the soul purifies," "the ring of necessity is always perched at the top" (\underline{W} , VI, 20).

Although formistic metaphor has disappeared from Emerson's thinking, at least in this essay, the old distinction between static and fluid still holds. Fate as natural history is personified as the creator of the "book of nature," rigid geological strata: "She turns the gigantic pages,--leaf after leaf,--never re-turningione. One leaf she lays down, a floor of granite; then a thousand ages, and a measure of coal" (\underline{W} , VI, 15). A similar geological metaphor describes hierarchical social orders, but the buried image of volcanic eruption makes explicit the metamorphic development of social change:

The opinion of the million was the terror of the world, and it was attempted either to dissipate it, by amusing nations, or to pile it over with strata of society, --a layer of soldiers, over that a layer of lords, and a king on the top; with clamps and hoops of castles, garrisons, and police. But sometimes the religious principle would get in and burst the hoops and rive every mountain laid on top of it. (\underline{W} , VI, 34)

Fate is characteristically described through images of solidity: geological stata, iron bands or hoops, a wall, a rock-like jaw. But as Emerson begins to view fate in terms

of the ideal, this solid world dissolves.

From the perspective of the ideal, the world is necessarily in a perfect state of evolution. Emerson must now turn the hard and ugly facts of the actual, which he took pains to represent, into the necessary and beautiful. Despite his assertion at the beginning of the essay that it is of no use to metamorphose nature, "to dress up that terrific benefactor in a clean shirt and white neckcloth of a student of divinity" (\underline{W} , VI, 8), that is just what he must now do. The latter half of the essay (which begins, I think, with the quotation already cited on the interplay of Power and Fate) takes up three possible metamorphic agents: thought, the moral sentiment, and evolution. The language of metamorphosis is the "problem solving device," the acid by which recalcitrant fact is dissolved by these agents.

First, thought is metamorphic because "every solid in the universe is ready to become fluid on the approach of the mind" and "to a subtle force it will stream into new forms" $(\underline{W}, VI, 43)$. Thought, as science and technology, effects" a literal metamorphosis because it refashions the world to suit man's needs. Even the destructive forces in nature are harnessed and controlled: "The mischievous torrent is taught to dredge for man; the wild beasts he makes useful for food, ... These are now the steeds on which he rides" $(\underline{W}, VI, 33)$.

Also, it is thought which enables man to glimpse,

Ð

beyond the contradictions of the actual, the ideal, organic whole. From the perspective of the ideal, man learns that the actual is the necessary and best: "The day of days,

. . . is that in which the inward eye opens to the unity in things, to the omnipresence of law: --sees that what is must be and ought to be, or is the best" (\underline{W} , VI, 25). This vision is progressively metamorphic because "all things are touched and changed by it," and "those who share it not are flocks and herds" (\underline{W} , VI, 26).

Second, the moral sentiment acts as an agent of change when it is allied with willpower causing "the whole energy of body and mind [to flow] in one direction" (\underline{W} , VI, 28). To the man of strong will, even regressive limitations induce progressive metamorphosis: "His science is to make weapoor and wings of these passions and retarding forces" (\underline{W} , VI, 30). Emerson assures us, in language that pushes metamorphosis to its limit, that "when a god wishes to ride, any chip or pebble will bud and shoot out winged feet and serve as a horse" (\underline{W} , VI, 48).

Finally, evolution represents the literal metamorphosis of the actual into the ideal. "Fate involves the melioration" and "no statement of the Universe can have any soundness which does not admit its ascending effort" $(\underline{W}, VI, 35)$. The mystical idea of Plotinian ascension has disappeared. What remains is a feeling that over eons of time there is gradual improvement:

The first and worse races are dead. The second and imperfect races are dying out, or remain for the maturing of higher. In the latest race, in man, every generosity, every new perception, the love and praise he extorts from his fellows, are certificates of advance out of fate into freedom. $(\underline{W}, VI, 36)$

Having brought us, rhetorically at least, to the ideal, Emerson's optical trick is to view the actual as if it were the ideal. If we look closely at nature we see the shark's teeth. Emerson's solution, as in <u>Nature</u>, is to look through the other end of the telescope:

> The whole circle of animal life--tooth against tooth, devouring war, war for food, a yelp of pain and a grunt of triumph, until at last the whole menagerie, the whole chemical mass is mellowed and refined for higher use--pleases us at sufficient perspective. $(\underline{W}, VI, 36)$

From sufficient distance nature appears to be the organic whole, which it is in fact still in the process of becoming.

With the disappearance of the correspondence theory as a guarantor of the divine in nature, there is a new emphasis in the last pages of the essay on "correlation," "mutual fitness," and "adaptation." Emerson rediscovers a perfect harmony between the organism and its environment: "Eyes are found in light; ears in auricular air; feet on land; fins in water; wings in air; and each creature where it was meant to be, with a mutual fitness" (\underline{W} , VI, 37). Although there are a few hints of what Shea has called "the stink of self" in the last pages, "the slug sweats out its slimy house on the pear leaf $(\underline{W}, VI, 4)$, " nature as grim circumstance has been metamorphosed into nature as benevolent process.⁹⁷

The hymns to the "Beautiful Necessity" which close the essay may be rhetorically weak:

Let us build altars to the Beautiful Necessity, which secures that all is made of one piece; that plaintiff and defendant, friend and enemy, animal and planet, food and eater are of one kind. $(\underline{W}, VI, 49)$

But if we prefer the tougher language of the beginning of the essay, we should remember that Emerson's victory at the end is more than merely rhetorical. Emerson has kept his promise to give all the facts their due. "Nature and thought; two boys pushing each other on the curb-stone" $(\underline{W}, VI, 43)$ have really jostled one another. And out of their stuggle Emerson creates a drama of sustaining interest, with metamorphosis as both a literal and metaphorical bridge between the actual and ideal.

CONCLUSION

The study of Emerson's writing by means of root metaphor theory does not supersede other means of analysis. Critics have long recognized the "polar" nature of Emerson's thought and have viewed it through many lenses. Spires of form, the twice bisected line, the angle of vision, and the Orphic poet, to mention only a few critical touchstones, have all provided foci for valuable studies of Emerson's speculations on the relationship between the One and the Many.

Nevertheless, Emerson's writing does seem well suited to interpretation through root metaphor theory. Emerson was always conscious of the contradictions between the ideal and the actual, and of his inability to solve them. Root metaphor theory suggests that because Emerson was an organicist, contradictions were endemic to his thought. By looking at his work in terms of organic metaphor, the contradictions and developments in his theories of metaphor become especially clear.

<u>Nature</u> is the first product of what Whicher has called Emerson's "transcendental egotism"; Emerson's passion for the ideal results in a theory of language implying a fixed doctrine of correspondence between the mind and nature. Allegory, not metaphor, is its most appropriate form of expression. But Emerson himself realized that there was a

- 72

"crack" in his first book, that his thoughts on nature and spirit may not have been in harmony. When nature is viewed from the perspective of spirit, nature dissolves and begins to flow. Together with the ideal, correspondential, and formistic view of language, there is the beginning of a new theory based on the progressive aspects of the organic metaphor.

With the development of the idea of metamorphosis, metaphor becomes, for Emerson, both a theory of perception and poetics. In "The Poet" and "Poetry and Imagination" the gulf between the actual and the ideal is bridged by the mind's intuition of the meaning of a metaphorical equation. But because of his emphasis on metaphorical process, the possibility of an internally cohesive organic form for the essay is sacrificed. The rhetorical aim of the writer is to create a flow of metaphorical language that will inspire the reader.

Emerson could not ignore either pole of experience, however. In "The Poet" the ideal categories of organicism and Platonic formism reassert themselves in an "ideal" aesthetic theory. The return of formism is perhaps unfortunate, but the idea of metamorphosis helps to make the two theories less incompatible.

For Emerson the polarity of organic metaphor meant two contrasting points of view from which any subject could be viewed. "Fate" illustrates one subject as seen from this

double perspective; it is both a regressive or "negative power" and a "Beautiful Necessity." Through the idea of metamorphosis Emerson attempts to resolve this peradox. Metamorphosis is the process by which the actual becomes the • . ideal. i . .

· · · ·

[†] Jonathan Bishop, <u>Emerson on the Soul</u>, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1964), p. 119.

² F. O. Matthiessen, <u>American Renaissance</u>: <u>Art and</u> <u>Expression in the Age of Emerson and Whitman</u>, (New York: Oxford University Press, 1941), p. 65.

Not. XI (Oxford: the Clarendon Press, 1924), p. 1457b.

4 Northrop Frye, <u>Anatomy of Criticism</u>: <u>Four Essays</u> (New York: Atheneum, 1969), p. 90.

⁵ William Empson, <u>The Structure of Complex Words</u>, (London, Chatto & Windus, 1964), p. 346.

⁶ Philip Wheelwright, <u>Metaphor & Reality</u>, (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1962), p. 92.

7 Wheelwright, p: 98, 🗽 🤗

* Empson, p .52.

⁹ Marcus Hester, <u>The Meaning of Poetic Metaphor: An Analysis in the Light of Wittgenstein's Claim that Meaning is Use</u>, (The Hague: Mouton & Co., 1967), p. 26.

¹⁰ Hester, p. 178.

¹¹ Hester, P. 180.

防

¹² I. A. Richards, <u>The Philosophy of Rhetoric</u>, (New York: Oxford University Press, 1936), pp. 96-97.

13 Empson, p. 331.

14 Hester, p. 181.

¹⁵ Hester, p. 183.

¹⁶ Hester; p. 27.

¹⁷ Hester, p. 26.

¹⁸ Hester, p. 27.

is in the interview of the second sec

20 Frye, p. 118.

²¹ Jonathan Edwards, <u>Images or Shadows of Divine</u> <u>Things</u>, ed. Perry Miller, (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1948), p. 65. Sherman Paul notes that Emerson had not read Edward's treatise. He cites Emerson's primary source for Swedenborgian correspondence as Samson Reed's <u>Growth of the Mind</u>.

²² Ralph Waldo Emerson, <u>The Complete Works of Ralph</u> <u>Waldo Emerson</u>, ed., Edward Waldo Emerson, Centenary Edition, 12 vols. (Boston: Houghton, Mifflin and Company, 1903), I, p. 25. All subsequent references are to this edition.

²³ Empson, p 346.

£ :-

£ .

3

•

¥.

. .

\$

²⁴ Matthiessen, p.265.

²⁵ Owen Barfield, <u>Poetic Diction</u>: <u>A Study in Meaning</u>, (London, Faber & Gwyer, 1928), pp. 86-87.

²⁶ Hester, p. 104.

²⁷ Hester, p. 104.

²⁸ Susanne Langer, <u>Feeling and Form</u>; <u>A Theory of Art</u> (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1953), p. 237.

²⁹ Susanne Langer, <u>Philosophy in a New Key</u>, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1942), p. 136.

³⁰ Langer, Philosophy, p. 139.

³¹ Langer', Philosophy, p. 140.

32 Wheelwright, p. 113.

³³ Barfield, p. 107.

³⁴ Stephen C. Pepper, <u>World Hypotheses: A Study in</u> <u>Evidence</u>, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1966), p. 1.

³⁵ Pepper, p. 98.

³⁶ Richard P. Adams, "Emerson and Organic Metaphor," <u>PMLA</u>, 69 (1954), pp. 117-130.

³⁷ Pepper, <u>The Basis of Criticism in the Arts</u>, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1945), p. 74.

³⁸ Adams, p. 118.

³⁹ Pepper, World Hypotheses, p. 283.

⁴⁰ Charles Feidelson, Jr., <u>Symbolism and American</u> <u>Literature</u>, (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1953), p. 110.

⁴¹ Samuel Taylor Coleridge, <u>The Friend</u>, Vol. IV:1 of <u>The Collected Works of Samuel Taylor Coleridge</u>, ed. Barbara E. Rooke (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969), <u>к Е.</u> pp. 155-56

42 Raiph Waldo Emerson, <u>The Early Lectures of Ralph</u> Waldo Emerson, ed. Francen E. Whicher and Robert F. Spiller (Cambridge 2010 Vard University Press, 1959), I, p. 224

43 Ralph Waldo Emerson, <u>The Letters of Ralph Waldo</u> <u>Emerson</u>, ed. Malmh L. Rusk (New York: Columbia University Press, 1939), 134, 20. 32.

44 Emerson, Letters, p. 26.

45 Pepper, World Hypotheses, p. 314.

⁴⁶ Pepper, <u>World Hypotheses</u>, p. 314.

⁴⁷ Daniel B. Shea, "Emerson and the Americant". Metamorphosis," in <u>Emerson: Prophecy</u>, <u>Metamorphosis</u>, <u>and</u> <u>Influence</u>, ed. David Levin[®] (New York: Continuity) Press, 1975), p. 44.

Stephen E. Whicher, Freedom and Fate: An Inner, Life of Ralph Waldo Emerson, (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1953), p. 109.

⁴⁴⁹ Kenneth Burke, "I, Éye, Ay, --Emerico's Early Essay 'Nature': Thoughts on the Machinery of Irefficiendence," in <u>Transcendentalism and Ireflectacy</u>, ed. Mysel Simon and Thornton H. Parsons (Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1966), p. 150. 120

⁵⁰ Burke, p. 160.

1.1

- 55

between something like Platonic idealism and something like romantic organicism, doing justice to neither." With respect to language, Adams states that "the Swedenborgian . correspondence, with its one to one ratio of idea and object, is not the same thing as the organic theory of . functional and universal relationship." See Adams, pp. 121-22.

52 In "Nature: Meek Ass or White Whale," Sage, 91 (Spring 1966), pp. 71-84, C. Q. Drummond develops and argument similar to Burke's. Drummond argues that at the beginning of <u>Nature</u> nature rates "high" but by the end of the essay it is "degraded." "It's essay makes interesting comparisons between Emerson's dual conception of nature and Melville's Moby-Dick. The whale represents "the full

53 For a complete description of the revisions made in <u>Nature see Emerson's Nature: Origin, Growth, and Meaning</u>, ed. Merton M. Sealts, Jr. and Alfred R. Ferguson (Toronto: Dodd, Mead, & Company, 1969); pp. 68-71.

⁵⁴ My discussion of Plotinuagis based on that of R. T. Wallis in <u>Neo-Platonism</u>:

55 R. T. Wallis, <u>Neo²Platonism</u>, (London: Duckworth,).... 1972), pp. 87-88. 4 x ۰.

werred to this 56 According to Daniel Shea Emerge prose treatise. It is not to be confuted with Goethe's poem "The Metamorphosis of Plants."

du

⁵⁷ Ernst Cassirer, <u>The Problem of Knowledge</u>: <u>Philosophy</u>, <u>Science</u>; and <u>History since Hegel</u>, trans. W. H. Hoglam and C. W. Hendel (New Haven: University Press, 1950), p. 139.

⁵⁸ Shea, pp. 39-40.

⁶⁰ Carl F. Strauch, "Emerson's Sacred Science," <u>PMLA</u> 73 (Jah. 1958), p. 239. The quote from the Journals is from <u>The Journals of Ralph Waldo Emerson</u>, edited Ward Waldo Emerson and Waldo Emerson Forbes; Riverside Edition, 12 vols. (Cambridge The Riverside Press, 1909). All subsequent references are to this edition.

an account of Emerson's use of Taylor's translations of Plotinus.

⁶² Wallis, p. 53.

⁶³ Wallis, p. 87.

64 Vivian C. Hopkins, <u>Spires of Form: A Study of</u> <u>Emerson's Aesthetic Theory</u>, (Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1951), p. 36.

⁶⁵ David Porter, <u>Emerson and Literary Change</u>, (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978), p. 28.

⁶⁸ Porter, p. 13.

⁶⁷ Porter, pp. 179-80.

^{6 8} Bishop, pp. 125-26.

⁶⁹ Michael E. Cowan, "The Loving Computer Metamorphosis in Emerson's Poetry," in <u>Compacteristics of</u> <u>Emerson, Transcondental Poet</u>: <u>A Symposium</u> ed. Carl F. Strauch (Hartford: Transcendental Books, 1975), p. 13.

⁷⁰ Adams, p. 124.

⁷ 1 Adams, p. 126.

* 72 This comment is quoted by Sherman Paul in <u>Emerson's</u> Angle of <u>Vision</u>, p. 116.

⁷³ Bishop, p. 107;

74 Martin Foss, <u>Symbol and Metaphor in Human</u> Experience, (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1949), p. 57.

⁷⁵ Foss, p. 59.

5 76 Foss, p: 61

Emerson, New Appraisale: <u>A Symposium</u> ed. Leonard Neufeldt (Hartford: Leanscendental Books, 1973), p. 66.

78 Vivian, Hopkins' suggests this doctrine is derived from Plotinus' doctrine of reminiscence. Reminisicance, she argues, is "a power of the soul, which always possesses certain innate conceptions." According to Vivian Hopkins "the theory of reminiscence appears in 'The Poet,'" in "the statement that a sensitive poet can hear from such natural" objects as mountains, ocean, and flowers, 'overtones' from a kind of preexistence, audible only to a keen ear." See Spires of Form, pp-29-30.

R. A. Yoder, <u>Emerson</u> and the <u>Orphic Poet in America</u>, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1978), **b**. 3;

⁸⁰ William Scheick, <u>The Slender Human Word</u>: <u>Emerson's</u> <u>Arwistry in Prose</u>, (Knoxville: The University of Tennessee Press, 1978), p. 109.

*1 Ralph Cuchworth, The True Intellectual System of the Universe, (New York: Gould & Newman, 1837), I, p. 225.

^{8.2} Lydia Gysi, <u>Platonism and Cartesianism in The</u> <u>Philosophy of Ralph Cudworth</u>, Berne: Verlag Hebert Lang, 1962), p. 30.

⁸³ Gysi, p. 25.

296. •

*4 Paul, p. 73.

⁸⁵ Stephen Whicher quotes a passage from Stewart outlining this theory in <u>Freedom</u> and <u>Fate</u>, pp. 176-177.

Methuen & Co., 1926), p. 101.

G. E. L. Owen, "Leucippus and Democritus," <u>The</u> <u>Encyclopedia of Philosophy</u>, 4, p. 449.

Plato, the <u>Collected Dialogues of Plato Including</u>. <u>the Letters</u>, ed., Edith Hamilton and Huntington Cairns Bollingen Series, 71 (Princeton University Press, 1961), pp. 197-98.

🗇 📲 Plato, p. 501.

Matthiessen, p. 135.

Ladams, p. 124.

² Shea, p. 41.

⁹³ Shea, p. 47.

4 Shea, p. 47.

⁹⁵ Shea, p. 45.

⁶ Shea, p. 47.

⁹⁷ Shea, p. 43.

通机场

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1.12

31

,

• •

J.

دي: ÷.,

. -

.2

Adams, Richard P., "Emerson and Organic Metaphor." <u>PMLA</u> , 69 (×1954), 117-30.
Aristotle. <u>The Works of Aristotle</u> . Ed. W. D. Ross. Vol. XI Oxford: The Clarendon Press, 1924.
Barfield, Owen. <u>History in English Words</u> . London: Metheun & Co., 1926.
Faber & Gwyer, 1928.
Bishop, Jonathan. <u>Emerson on the Soul</u> . Cambridge: Harvard- University Press, 1964.
Blair, Walter and Clarence Faust. "Emerson's Literary Method." <u>Modern Philology</u> . 42 (1944), 79-95.
Burke, Kenneth. "I, Eye, "FEmerson's Early Essay Nature': Thoughts on the Machinery of Transcendence." In <u>Transcendentalism</u> and <u>Its Legacov</u> Ed. Myron Simon and Thornton H. Parsons. Ann Arbor. The University of Michigan Press, 1966, pp. 3-24.
Cassirer, Ernst. <u>The Problem of Knowledge</u> : <u>Philosophy</u> , <u>Science</u> , <u>and History since Hegel</u> . Trans. W. H. Woglom and C. W. Hendel. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950.
Coleridge, Samuel Taylor. <u>The Friend</u> , Vol. IV:1 of <u>The</u> <u>Collected Works of Samuel Taylor Coleridge</u> . Ed. Barbara E. Rooke. Bollingen Series, 75. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969.
Cowan, Michael F. "The Loving Proteus: Metamorphosis in Emerson's Poetry." in <u>Characteristics of Emerson</u> , <u>Transcendental Poet: A Symposium</u> . Ed. Carl F. Strauch. Hartford: Transcendental Books, 1975, pp. 11-22.
Cuoworth, Ralph. <u>The True Intellectual System of the</u> <u>Universe</u> . New York: Gould & Newman, 1837. Vol. I.
Drummond, C. O. "Nature: Meek Ass or White Whale." <u>Sage</u> , 9 (1966), 71-84.

Edwards, Jonathan. , <u>Images or Shadows of Divine Things</u>. Ed. Perry Miller. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1948.

Ì

۲.

8-13

L

-

Emerson, Ralph Waldo. The Complete Works of Ralph Waldo Emerson. Ed. Edward Waldo Emerson. Centenary Edition. 12 vols. Boston: Houghton, Mifflin and Company, 1903. Ed. Stephen E. Whicher and Robert E. Spiller. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1959. Vol. I. The Journals of Ralph Waldo Emerson. Ed. Edward Waldo Emersons and Waldo Emerson Forbes. Riverside Edition. 12 vols. Cambridge: The Riverside Press, 1909. σĊ Ľ۵ ---. The Letters of Ralph Waldo Emerson. Ed. Ralph L. Rusk. New York: Columbia University Press, 1939. Vol. II. Ţ. Hiam. The Structure of Complex Words. London: ÷۲۵ o & Windus, 1964. . . . on, Charles, Ur. <u>Symbolism and American Literature</u>. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1953 Foss, Martin. Symbol and Metaphor in Human Experience. Lincoln: Univers#ty of Nebraska Press, 1949. Frye, Northrop. Anatomy of Criticism: Four Essays. New, • York: Atheneum, 1969. Server and a server Gysi, Lydia Platonism and Cartesianism in the Philosophy of Ralph Cudworth. Berne: Verlag, Herber't Lang, 1962. Harrison, John S. <u>The Teachers of Emerson</u>. New York: Haskell House, 1966. Hester, Marcus. <u>The Meaning of Poetic Metaphor</u>: <u>An Analysis</u> <u>in the Light of Wittgenstein's Claim that Meaning is</u> <u>Use</u>. The Hague: Mouton & Co., 1967. Hopkins, Vivian C. Spires of Form: A Study of Emerson's Aesthetic Theory. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1951. Langer, Susanne K. Feeling and Form: A Theory of Art. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1953. <u>Symbolism of Reason, Rite, and Art. 'Cambridge: Harvard</u> University Press, 1942. •• · · · ·

UE.

Matthlessen, F. O. <u>American Renaissance</u>: <u>Art. and Expression</u> <u>in the Age of Emerson and Whitman</u>: New York: Oxford University Press, 1941.

83

- Owen, G. E. L. "Leucippus and Democritus." The Encyclopedia of Philosophy. 1967.
- Paul, Sherman. <u>Emerson's Angle of Vision</u>: <u>Man and Nature in</u> <u>American Experience</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1965.
- New Appraisals: <u>A Symposium</u>. Ed. Leonard Neufeldt. Hartford: Transcendental Books, 1973, pp. 64-74.
- Pepper, Stephen C. <u>The Basis of Criticism in the Arts</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1945.
 - Berkeley: University of A Study in Evidence. Berkeley: University of Alifornia Press, 1966.
- Plato. <u>The Collected Dialo of Plato Including the</u> <u>Letters</u>. Ed. Edith Hamilton and Huntington Cairns. Bollingen Series, 71. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1961.
 - Plotinus. <u>Selected Works</u>. Trans. Thomas Taylor. London: Bell, 1929.
- Porter, David. <u>Emerson and Literary Change</u>. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978.
- Richards, I. A. The Philosophy of Rheteric. New York: Oxford University Press, 1936.
- Scheick, William, <u>The Slender Human Word</u>: <u>Emerson's</u> <u>Artistry in Prose</u>, Knoxville: The University of Tennessee 77555, 1978.
 - Sealts, Merton M., Jr. and Alfred R. Ferguson. <u>Emerson's</u> <u>Nature-Origin</u>, <u>Growth</u>, <u>Meaning</u>. Toronto: Dodd, Mead & Company, 1969.
 - Shea, Vantel'B. "Emerson and the American Metamorphosis." In <u>Emerson</u>: <u>Prophecy</u>, <u>Metamorphosis</u>, and <u>Influence</u>. Ed. David Levin. New York: Columbia University Press, 1975, pp. 29-56.
- Strauch, Carl F. "Emerson's Sacred Science," PMLA, 73 (1958), 237-50.

Sutcliffe, Emerson Grant. <u>Emerson's Theories of Literary</u> <u>Expressions</u>. Diss. Urbana III. 1923. rpt. Johnson Reprint Corporation, 1970.

84

Wallis, R. T. <u>Neo-Platonism</u>. London: Duckworth, 1972.

Wheelwright, Philip. <u>Metaphor & Reality</u>. Bloominton: Indiana University Press; 1962.

Whicher, Stephen E. <u>Freedom and Fate: An Inner Life of</u> <u>Ralph Waldo Emerson</u>. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1953.

Yoder, R. A. <u>Emerson and the Orphic Poet in America</u>. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1978.

ς٠