Cyanobacterial RNA Helicase CrhR Localizes to the Thylakoid Membrane Region and Cosediments with Degradosome and Polysome Complexes in *Synechocystis* sp. Strain PCC 6803
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ABSTRACT

The cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803 encodes a single DEAD box RNA helicase, CrhR, whose expression is tightly autoregulated in response to cold stress. Subcellular localization and proteomic analysis results indicate that CrhR localizes to both the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and cosediments with polysome and RNA degradosome components. Evidence is presented that either functional RNA helicase activity or a C-terminal localization signal was required for polysome but not thylakoid membrane localization. Polysome fractionation and runoff translation analysis results indicate that CrhR associates with actively translating polysomes. The data implicate a role for CrhR in translation or RNA degradation in the thylakoid region related to thylakoid biogenesis or stability, a role that is enhanced at low temperature. Furthermore, CrhR cosedimentation with polysome and RNA degradosome complexes links alteration of RNA secondary structure with a potential translation–RNA degradation complex in *Synechocystis*.

IMPORTANCE

The interaction between mRNA translation and degradation is a major determinant controlling gene expression. Regulation of RNA function by alteration of secondary structure by RNA helicases performs crucial roles, not only in both of these processes but also in all aspects of RNA metabolism. Here, we provide evidence that the cyanobacterial RNA helicase CrhR localizes to both the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and cosediments with actively translating polysomes and RNA degradosome components. These findings link RNA helicase alteration of RNA secondary structure with translation and RNA degradation in prokaryotic systems and contribute to the data supporting the idea of the existence of a macromolecular machine catalyzing these reactions in prokaryotic systems, an association hitherto recognized only in archaea and eukarya.
specific degradosome (20). In addition, three of the five DEAD box RNA helicases in *B. cereus* have divergent functions in response to a variety of stresses, including temperature (19). Thus, the reduction in the mRNA helicase repertoire present in bacteria can be compensated by some helicases performing multiple functions.

Divergent RNA helicase functions are also associated with specific subcellular localization. For example, four of the five *E. coli* DEAD box mRNA helicases localize with respect to their physiological function. RhfB is RNA degradosome associated at the cytoplasmic membrane, and SrmB and DbpA are solubility and ribosome associated, while the multifunctional helicase DeaD is associated with all three functions (18). Although RNA helicases do not contain canonical membrane-spanning domains, they are membrane associated in some bacteria (12, 21, 22) but not all (13). For example, RNA helicases associated with RNA degradosomes localize to the cytoplasmic membrane via RNase E in *E. coli* (4, 12) and RNase Y in *B. subtilis* (5) whereas CaH and CshA colocalize with CspB and ribosomes in areas surrounding the *B. subtilis* nucleoid, the localization being dependent on active transcription (23). Localization of these RNA helicase-containing complexes to specific cellular sites therefore confines the associated processes to restricted cellular regions. Thus, understanding RNA helicase localization provides insight into how the spatial separation of synthesis and degradation contributes to an integrated mechanism regulating cellular pathways in bacteria.

The Gram-negative, photosynthetic cyanobacteria also encode limited numbers of DEAD box RNA helicases, for example, one in *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803 (24, 25) and two in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120 (26–28). In *Anabaena*, *chrB* is expressed in response to a range of environmental conditions whereas *chrC* is exclusively expressed in response to temperature downshift (26, 27). In contrast, expression of the *Synechocystis* DEAD box RNA helicase encoded by *chrR* is regulated by abiotic stresses that alter the redox status of the electron transport chain in the thylakoid membrane (TM) (29), including temperature stress (24, 30) and salt stress (31). *chrR* expression is regulated at a number of *chrR*-independent and *chrR*-dependent checkpoints in response to temperature (24). The autoregulatory, *chrR*-dependent checkpoint includes temperature regulation of *chrR* transcript and protein half-life (24). *chrR* protein half-life is controlled by conditional, temperature-upshift-induced proteolysis that generates the reduction in *chrR* abundance observed at the optimal growth temperature, 30°C (24, 32). A truncated mutant of crhR, *chrR*TR, causes severe morphological and physiological aberrations in *Synechocystis*, including decreased photosynthetic electron transport, carbon fixation, and oxygen evolution, as well as significant disorganization of internal cell structures, including the thylakoid membrane (33). The results suggest that *chrR* is associated with maintaining the photosynthetic capacity of the cells, possibly through a function associated with thylakoid assembly or function; however, the exact physiological function has not been determined.

Although subcellular localization is a crucial aspect required to decipher the physiological role performed by prokaryotic RNA helicases, RNA helicase localization in cyanobacteria has been reported only for *CrtC* in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120, where it localizes to the cytoplasmic membrane, primarily at the cell poles (21). In an attempt to identify the physiological pathway(s) associated with *chrR* activity, we investigated the subcellular localization of this stress-induced RNA helicase and identified protein complexes with which it interacts. Here, we provide evidence that *chrR* localizes to both the thylakoid membrane and cytoplasmic region, from which it cosediments with both polysome and RNA degradosome components. The results suggest that *Synechocystis* possesses a polysome-RNA degradosome complex to which *chrR* localizes, linking alteration of RNA secondary structure with a translation–RNA turnover macromolecular complex in prokaryotic systems.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Culture conditions and strains.

Wild-type *Synechocystis* sp. strain PCC 6803 (referred to here as *Synechocystis*) and a truncated *chrR* mutant strain, *chrR*TR, were cultivated photoautotrophically on BG-11 medium as described previously (24). The *chrR*TR mutant was created by insertion of a spectinomycin-streptomycin resistance cassette at the PmlI site halfway between motifs III (SAT) and IV (FVRTK), thereby removing the second RecA domain and C-terminal extension from *chrR* (33). *chrR*TR, the 27-kDa truncated version of the *chrR* polypeptide expressed in this strain (24, 32), is biochemically inactive (D. Chamot and G. W. Owttrim, unpublished data). The *chrR*TR mutant strain was grown on BG-11 medium containing sodium thiosulfate (0.3%) that was buffered with tricine (10 mM; pH 8.0) and supplemented with 50 μg/ml each of spectinomycin and streptomycin (34). For liquid cultures, cell mass was increased gradually, starting at 50 ml and progressing to 300 ml and finally to 4 liters at 30°C with continuous shaking (150 rpm) coupled with bubbling with humidified air at an illumination of 50 μmol photons m−2 s−1 (34). To induce *chrR* expression, mid-log-phase cells were cold stressed for 3 h at 20°C. Representative data from a minimum of three biological replicates for each experiment are shown.

#### Extraction of soluble and insoluble fractions of *Synechocystis* cells.

Cultures of wild-type *Synechocystis* and *chrR*TR cells were divided, with half of the culture volume maintained at 30°C and half of the culture volume maintained at 20°C for 3 h. Aliquots were collected at the indicated growth temperature. Cells were mechanically lysed by vortex mixing in the presence of glass beads in cyanobacterial protein extraction buffer (PEB; 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 5 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], Röche Complete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail) as described previously (25, 34). An initial centrifugation at 1,000 × g for 1 min removed unlysed cells and glass beads and was followed by clarification of the lysate at 15,000 × g for 15 min. Following clarification, the pellet (membrane fraction) was washed with PEB and suspended in PEB at the same volume as the soluble, cytoplasmic fractions. Supernatants were retained and pellets suspended in an equivalent volume of PEB. Protein concentrations of the cytoplasmic fractions were determined with the Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard. Cytoplasmic proteins (10 μg) or the equivalent volume of the insoluble fraction was resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, electrophoretically transferred to Protran 0.45-μm pore-size nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham), and subjected to Western blotting.

#### Polysome isolation.

Polysomes were isolated from cyanobacterial cytoplasmic extracts as described by Tyyystjärvi et al. (35) with modifications. Two-liter mid-log-phase cell cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 7,500 × g, and the pellet was washed with 0.1 × volume wash buffer (0.4 M sucrose; 50 mM Tris [pH 8.5], 10 mM MgCl2, 30 mM EDTA [pH 8.0], 500 μg/ml chloramphenicol) and harvested by centrifugation. For the polysome runoff experiment, cells were grown and the lysates processed as
previously described except that chloramphenicol was omitted. The resulting pellet was washed with 0.1× volume polysome isolation buffer (0.4 M sucrose, 50 mM Tris [pH 8.5], 10 mM MgCl₂, 500 μg/ml chloramphenicol) and harvested, and the pellets were suspended in 0.01× volume polysome isolation buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cells were lysed by passage through a continuous French pressure cell press (American Instrument Company) system at 20,000 lb/in² three times. The cell lysate was cleared of intact cells by centrifugation at 1,000 × g and clarified twice at 18,000 × g, separating the cell debris from the cytoplasmic fraction. Aliquots of both pellet and supernatant fractions were retained for Western analysis. The supernatant was equilibrated with 0.5× volume polysome isolation buffer without sucrose and treated with polyoxyethylene (10) tridecyl ether (Sigma–Aldrich) (2% [vol/vol]) (35). Samples were incubated on ice for 10 min and unsolubilized components eliminated by two centrifugations at 18,000 × g for 20 min each time. Clarified supernatant was layered on a 1 M sucrose cushion (1.0 M sucrose, 0.17 M potassium phosphate buffer) and centrifuged at 4°C for 16 h at 243,500 × g in a SW40Ti rotor using a L8-80M ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter Inc., Pasadena, CA). Pigmented layers were individually harvested, and the polysome-containing pellet was suspended in polysome isolation buffer without sucrose. Samples of the supernatant layers (equivalent to 100 μg protein) and polysome pellet (A₂₆₀ = 100 U) were analyzed by Western blotting. Blots were purposely overloaded to detect any CrhR in the fractions. Constituent proteins in the polysome pellet were identified by mass spectrometry.

Polyosome fractionation. The cytoplasmic fraction from a wild-type Synechocystis cell extract grown at 20°C for 3 h was overlaid on a continuous sucrose gradient (20% to 60% sucrose) prepared using a gradient formation (DCode system; Bio-Rad) and centrifuged at 243,500 × g for 16 h at 4°C as described above. The absorbance of each fraction was measured at 254 nm using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific). Equal aliquots of each fraction were analyzed by Western blotting.

Membrane isolation. The three membranes present in Synechocystis cells were separated by discontinuous flotation sucrose gradient fractionation as initially described by Murata and Omata (36) and modified according to the method of El-Fahmawi and Owttrim (21). Cultures grown at 30°C or cold shocked at 20°C for 3 h were harvested at 7,500 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was washed with 0.1× volume of potassium phosphate buffer (20 mM potassium phosphate; pH 7.8) and suspended in 0.1× volume potassium phosphate buffer supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cells were lysed by vortex mixing in the presence of glass beads (25, 34), and insoluble, membrane-containing material was pelleted by centrifugation at 111,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C in a SW40Ti rotor as described above. The crude membrane pellet was gently washed, centrifuged, and suspended in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer and adjusted to a final sucrose concentration of 50%. A discontinuous sucrose gradient using 20 mM potassium phosphate and the indicated increasing sucrose concentrations (wt/vol) and volume ratios was assembled for the wild-type membrane isolation as follows: 10% (0.2×); 30% (0.09×); 39% (0.23×); and 50% (0.49×) (cell lysate adjusted with sucrose). For the crhR₁₅₈ mutant membrane suspension, a finer discontinuous sucrose gradient was assembled, using 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer and the indicated increasing sucrose concentrations (wt/vol) and volume ratios as follows: 10% (0.03×); 30% (0.17×); 35% (0.17×); 38% (0.17×); 42% (0.19×). (cell lysate adjusted with sucrose); 50% (0.14×); and 60% (0.14×) (37). The crude membrane fraction was dissolved in 42% sucrose, and membrane separation was performed by floatation ultracentrifugation at 131,500 × g for 16 h at 4°C in a SW40Ti rotor as described above. A fraction from each membrane-containing layer was carefully harvested and diluted three times with 20 mM potassium phosphate. Individual membrane-containing fractions were pelleted at 188,000 × g for 45 min at 4°C as described above, and the pellets were suspended in 20 mM potassium phosphate buffer. The procedure also yielded a pellet that is referred to here as the cell wall pellet.

Western analysis. Western analysis was performed on the fractionated samples as described previously (24, 34) with slight modifications. Proteins were separated on SDS–10% polyacrylamide gels and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membrane using a semidry transfer apparatus (Tyler Research). Membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk–Tris-buffered saline (TBS; pH 7.4) for 3 h prior to the addition of polyclonal antiserum. Anti-CrhR and anti-E. coli ribosomal protein S1 (S1) antibodies were used at a 1:5,000 dilution (24). For Synechocystis membrane-specific protein detection, anti-PshR (raised against Arabidopsis thaliana) and anti-Vpp1 (immunoreactive to Synechocystis) were used at a 1:2,000 dilution as thylakoid and cytoplasmic membrane-specific markers, respectively. The Synechocystis thylakoid-specific protein PshA (D1 antibody (1:5,000 dilution) was used to verify CrhR localization. All immunoreactive polypeptides were detected with anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma) (1:20,000 dilution) using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL; Amersham).

Mass spectrometry. The crude polysomal pellet from the first ultracentrifugation was treated with 2% polyoxyethylene (10) tridecyl ether (35), layered over a 9-ml 1 M sucrose cushion, and centrifuged as described above. The resulting pellet was suspended in polysome isolation buffer without sucrose. A₃₆₀ (100 U) fractions of this polysomal pellet were resolved on an SDS–4 to 15% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) and stained with colloidal Coomassie (0.08% Coomassie brilliant blue G250, 1.6% orthophosphoric acid, 8% ammonium sulfamate, 20% methanol). Mass spectrometry analysis was performed at the Alberta Proteomics and Mass Spectrometry Facility (APM), University of Alberta. In-gel trypsin digestion was performed on the samples. Each excised lane was cut into 10 equal gel sections, destained twice in 100 mM ammonium bichromate/acetoneitrile (ACN) (50:50), reduced in 10 mM beta-mercaptoethanol [BME]–100 mM bichromate), and alkylated (55 mM iodoacetamide–100 mM bichromate). After dehydration, trypsin digestion (6 ng/μl) was allowed to proceed overnight at room temperature. Tryptic peptides were extracted from the gel using 97% water–2% acetonitrile–1% formic acid followed by a second extraction using 50% of the initial extraction buffer and 50% acetonitrile. Fractions containing trypic peptides were resolved and ionized using nanoflow high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Easy-nLC II; Thermo Scientific) coupled to an LTQ XL-Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Nanoflow chromatography and electrospray ionization were accomplished by using a PicoFrit fused silica capillary column (ProteoPepII; C₁₈ with a 100-μm inner diameter (New Objective) (300 Å, 5 μm pore size). Peptide mixtures were injected onto the column at a flow rate of 3,000 nL/min and resolved at 500 nL/min using 70-min linear gradients of 4% to 45% (vol/vol) aqueous ACN with 0.2% (vol/vol) formic acid. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent acquisition mode, recording high-accuracy and high-resolution Orbitrap survey spectra using external mass calibration, with a resolution of 60,000 and m/z range of 400 to 2,000. The 10 most intensely multiply charged ions were sequentially fragmented by using collision-induced dissociation, and spectra of their fragments were recorded in the linear ion trap; after two fragmentations, all precursors selected for dissociation were dynamically excluded for 60 s. Data were processed using Proteome Discoverer 1.4 (Thermo Scientific), and the Uniprot cyanobacteria database was searched using SEQUEST (Thermo Scientific). Search parameters included a precursor mass tolerance of 10 ppm and a fragment mass tolerance of 0.8 Da. Peptides were searched with carbamidomethyl cysteine as a static modification and oxidized methionine and deamidated glutamine and asparagine as dynamic modifications.

Immunogold electron microscopy (IEM). Ultrathin sections were prepared as described by El-Fahmawi and Owttrim (21) with modifications. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde–0.8% glutaraldehyde–0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) for 60 min with shaking and washed three times with 0.1 M phosphate buffer for 15 min each time followed by ascending ethanol dehydration (1× [30%]; 1× [50%]; 1× [70%]; 2× [90%]) for 15 min each time. Dehydrated cell pellets were infiltrated with...
an LR White resin–ethanol solution (2:1) for 3 h and finally with 100% LR White resin overnight. Cell pellets were washed with fresh LR White resin, transferred to gelatin capsules, and polymerized at 50°C for 24 h. Ultrathin sections (80 nm) were prepared on a Reichert-Jung Ultra Cut E microtome, mounted on nickel-coated grids, and blocked with phosphate buffer-gelatin solution (PBS; 10 mM sodium phosphate [pH 7.2], 600 mM NaCl, 0.5% fish gelatin). Primary antibodies (1:100 dilution) were included as indicated for 1 h, washed extensively with PBS, and labeled with goat anti-rabbit IgG coupled with 5-nm-diameter gold particles (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:20 dilution) for 1 h. Grids were incubated at 20°C for 3 h (B). Cells were mechanically lysed and clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 × g to generate crude soluble cytoplasmic (lanes C) and membrane (lanes M) fractions. Soluble protein (10 μg) or an equivalent volume of the membrane fraction was subjected to Western analysis. CrhR (55 kDa), S1 (40 kDa), and CrhR$_{4215}$ (27 kDa) were detected using anti-CrhR and anti-S1 antibodies and ECL.

**RESULTS**

**CrhR cytoplasmic and membrane localization.** Differential extraction revealed that CrhR was detected in both the soluble and insoluble fractions of cell lysates, suggesting association with the cytoplasm and membrane fractions, respectively (Fig. 1). Previously, CrhR had been detected only in soluble lysate, where it was present at background levels in cells grown at 30°C and increased in abundance by -10-fold at 20°C (24). The same change in absolute protein abundance was observed here, with CrhR abundance increasing at 20°C in both the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions. Unexpectedly, and consistently, CrhR migrated slower in the membrane fraction (Fig. 1B), a result of excess lipid present in these samples, as only a single peptide was detected when the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions were mixed (data not shown). Although the majority of the wild-type CrhR was detected in the cytoplasmic fraction, the results of extraction into this fraction were variable in our hands, likely due to the intensity of the mechanical extraction (data not shown). In contrast, CrhR$_{4215}$, a truncated form of the CrhR protein, did not change with respect to either the abundance or the segregation of the protein (Fig. 1), consistent with the previously observed lack of expression regulation of the truncated protein (24). This suggests that CrhR$_{4215}$ is overexpressed in the mutant at 30°C and that the truncation alters CrhR$_{4215}$ localization. Localization of the control, primarily soluble ribosome-associated protein S1, was detected in supernatant fractions only under the conditions tested (Fig. 1). These observations led us to further analyze CrhR association with both the cytoplasmic and membrane fractions.

The degree to which CrhR associates with the membrane fraction was investigated by determining CrhR release in response to classic membrane-disrupting agents. As shown in Fig. S1A in the supplemental material, CrhR was relatively weakly associated with this fraction, as high-salt and/or high-pH treatments are sufficient to remove a portion of CrhR from the membrane pellet. Among the gentle, nonionic detergents tested, only polyoxyethylene (10) tridecyl ether was able to remove a portion of the CrhR from the membrane fraction. The treatment using STS buffer, a mixture of ionic and nonionic detergents, was the only treatment that completely removed CrhR from the membrane fraction. RNase A treatment also did not remove CrhR from the membrane fraction (see Fig. S1B). The extraction results indicate that a portion of the cellular CrhR in *Synechocystis* consists of a peripheral membrane-associated protein.

**CrhR polysome cosedimentation.** CrhR association with both cytoplasmic and membrane fractions prompted us to investigate the localization of CrhR within the *Synechocystis* cytoplasm. Sucrose ultracentrifugation separated the soluble *Synechocystis* extract into colored layers, as well into as a pellet that contained proteins capable of sedimentation through a 1 M sucrose cushion (Fig. 2A). Western analysis detected the slower-migrating, 58-kDa form of CrhR in the dark green (third) layer obtained from the sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation (Fig. 2B). This layer contained residual amounts of chlorophyll and thus most likely contained thylakoid membranes, consistent with the membrane-associated CrhR observed (Fig. 1). CrhR and S1 were enriched in the pellet fraction, indicating cosedimentation of CrhR with polysome-containing material and not soluble translation components. CrhR cosedimented with this material from cells grown at both 30 and 20°C, suggesting that temperature does not influence CrhR association with this fraction (Fig. 2B and C). RNase A treatment extracted CrhR from the polysome pellet (data not shown).

We also localized CrhR in wild-type cells that were not treated with chloramphenicol. The polysome runoff analysis indicated that CrhR distribution was dramatically altered, with CrhR being predominately detected in colored supernatant fractions 3 and 4 and less abundant in the polysome pellet obtained from cells grown at 20°C (Fig. 2D). S1 distribution was dramatically altered, shifting from the polysome pellet to the colored supernatant fractions (Fig. 2D). Similar results were obtained from cells grown at 30°C (data not shown). These results suggest that soluble S1 and CrhR are associated with actively translating polysomes; the absence of chloramphenicol allowed polysomes to finish translation during isolation, releasing CrhR and S1 into the supernatant fraction. These results also indicate that CrhR localization to the pellet fractions was not a result of aggregation.

In order to separate CrhR association with ribosome biogenesis from active translation, a linear sucrose gradient was used to fractionate soluble wild-type *Synechocystis* extracts to isolate ribosome subunits from 70S ribosomes and polysomes. Absorbance (A$_{254}$) and Western analysis of the sucrose gradient fractions from cells grown at 20°C further confirmed the cosedimentation of CrhR only with the polysome fraction and not significantly with the 30S or 50S subunits or free 70S ribosomes (see Fig. S2 in the
supplemental material). The slower-migrating (58-kDa) CrhR band was detected at a low level in lanes 15 to 18, at an approximate sucrose concentration of 44% to 49%. The molecular mass and sucrose banding data suggest that the detected CrhR corresponded to the thylakoid membrane-localized CrhR, as total Syn
echocystis extract was utilized in the analysis. As a control, S1 was detected in all ribosome-containing fractions (see Fig. S2).

**crhR truncation results in improper polysome association.** A similar polysome analysis was performed using the crhRTR mutant to determine the effect of CrhR truncation on localization. In striking contrast to the polysome-specific localization of CrhR in wild-type cells, the CrhRTR polypeptide was detected in all sucrose layers and in the pellet, irrespective of growth temperature (Fig. 3). In comparison, S1 localization was not altered in the crhRTR mutant (Fig. 3). Similarly to the observations in wild-type cells (Fig. 2B), S1 was detected only in the pellet fraction and also in chlorophyll-containing layer 3 of the sucrose gradient of soluble lysate obtained from cells grown at 20°C (Fig. 3B). This suggests that full-length CrhR RNA helicase is not required for S1 localization to polysomes but is required for CrhR association with polysomes.

**CrhR cosediments with polysome- and degradosome-associated proteins.** Proteins cosedimenting with polysomes from soluble wild-type and crhRTR cells grown at 30 and 20°C were identified by mass spectrometry following a second purification through a 1 M sucrose cushion. The complete data set was organized into functional categories and is presented in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The major categories include subunits of the small and large ribosomal complexes, translation initiation...
and elongation factors, ribosome binding factors, and transcription factors. Furthermore, RNA modification enzymes involved in rRNA processing and RNA binding and the RNase E and PNPase degradosome subunits were also detected. Photosynthesis-associated proteins, including phycobilisome, ATP synthase subunits, and photosystem I (PSI) and II components, were primarily thylakoid membrane localized. Other proteins associated with energy metabolism, primarily glycolytic enzymes, proteins involved in regulation of transcription, including LexA and RNA polymerase components, protein degradation subunits, bacterioferritin, and a number of unknown and hypothetical proteins, were also detected.

A Venn diagram depicting the polypeptides detected in the 1 M cushion pellet obtained from soluble extracts of wild-type and crhR mutants at 20 and 30°C is presented in Fig. S3 in the supplemental material. A total of 38% of the detected polypeptides that pelleted through the 1 M sucrose cushion under all conditions were shared among all conditions (see Fig. S3A). Differential detection of the remainder could have resulted from either temperature effects or the absence of functional CrhR RNA helicase activity. The extensive numbers of polypeptides detected in the 1 M sucrose cushion that are associated with translation and RNA metabolism, including degradation, are depicted in Fig. S3B and C, respectively. The majority of these polysome- and degradosome-associated polypeptides were detected under all four conditions tested. The polysome proteomic data indicating that CrhR cosediments with peptides associated with translation and RNA turnover are supported by the results of protein association network analysis. STRING analysis predicted that helicases related to the DnaD subfamily of DEAD box RNA helicases colocalize with translation, RNA degradation, and RNA binding proteins (see Fig. S4).

**Thylakoid membrane localization.** The presence of the ~58-kDa polypeptide cross-reacting with the CrhR antibody from the membrane-containing pellet fractions necessitated analysis of the three distinct membranes found in *Synechocystis*. We utilized the classic flotation ultracentrifugation method using a discontinuous sucrose gradient described by Murata and Omata (36) to separate the *Synechocystis* membrane systems from total cell lysates. The fractions corresponded to the outer membrane (OM; 10% sucrose), the cytoplasmic membrane (CM; 30% sucrose), the thylakoid membrane (TM; 39% sucrose), and cell wall and other material that pelleted through the 50% sucrose cushion (cell wall pellet). CrhR was detected only in the thylakoid membrane-containing 39% sucrose layer and the pellet (Fig. 4). To verify the purity of the thylakoid membrane fraction, the thylakoid-associated integral FtsH protease and the photosystem-associated PsbA (D1) protein were used as thylakoid membrane-specific markers. As expected, the anti-FtsH and anti-PsbA antibodies detected polypeptides in the thylakoid membrane-containing 39% sucrose layer and not in the cytoplasmic membrane (Fig. 4). Similarly, Vipp1 and S1 were detected in the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane fractions in which they are known to function (Fig. 4). Vipp1 and S1 were also detected in the cell wall pellet, indicating that this fraction contained thylakoid membrane and associated polysomes, as this experiment was performed in the absence of chloramphenicol. Detection of the tested polypeptides in the pellet fraction indicates that this fraction was contaminated with thylakoid membrane and/or membrane-associated polysomes that would pellet through the 50% sucrose cushion. Overall, the par-

---

**FIG 4** CrhR localization in wild-type *Synechocystis* membranes. Total membrane pelleted from cells grown at 30°C and cold shocked at 20°C for 3 h was adjusted to 50% sucrose, and individual membranes were isolated by flotation ultracentrifugation. (A) Sucrose gradient showing the relative positions of the membrane fractions. OM, outer membrane; CM, cytoplasmic membrane; TM, thylakoid membrane; P, cell wall pellet. (B) The indicated peptides were detected in the resolved membrane fractions using anti-CrhR (*Synechocystis*), anti-FtsH (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), anti-S1 (*E. coli*), anti-Vipp1 (*Synechocystis*), and anti-PsbA (*Synechocystis*) antibodies, as described in the Fig. 1 legend.
ultracentrifugation in a discontinuous sucrose gradient. CrhRTR and PsbA adjusted to 42% sucrose, and individual membranes were purified by flotation
of these peptides within the thylakoid membrane fraction in
Synechocystis. Since discontinuous sucrose gradient purification of
Synechocystis membranes revealed a strong association with the
thylakoid membrane-enriched fraction, in vivo analysis of CrhR lo-
kalization using immunogold electron microscopy was performed
on both wild-type and crhRTR mutant cells. CrhR was detected in
both the cytoplasm and thylakoid membrane regions of both
warm-grown and cold-shocked cells, with approximately 50% more
CrhR localized to the thylakoid membrane region (Table 1). CrhR partitioning was not temperature dependent, as similar ratios (1.64 and 1.54) were observed at 30 and 20°C, suggesting that the increases in CrhR levels at 20°C segregate equally to the two subcellular regions. A similar partitioning ratio was observed for the CrhRTR protein at 20°C; however, the truncated protein did not partition to the thylakoid membrane properly at 30°C. The results suggest that full-length CrhR is required for partitioning between the cytoplasm and thylakoid membrane regions. As expected, PsbA was predominately thylakoid membrane localized, with crhR mutation not affecting the distribution (Table 1). Together, these results corroborate the findings that CrhR localizes to both the cytoplasm and thylakoid membrane regions in vivo and that full-length CrhR is required for the localization.

**DISCUSSION**

Prokaryotes generally compartmentalize biosynthetic pathways by sequestering processes to confined regions within the cell. A prime example is the differential localization of DEAD box RNA helicases within RNP complexes that are associated with ribosome biogenesis, translation initiation, or RNA degradation (18). The primary objective of this investigation was to identify the pathway in which CrhR functions, utilizing a variety of cellular localization techniques. The results establish that CrhR, the single DEAD box RNA helicase encoded in the model cyanobacterium *Synechocystis*, localizes to the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and cosediments with translating polysome complexes. CrhR localization was temperature independent and differentially required CrhR RNA helicase activity, as thylakoid association and polysome association were unaffected and defective, respectively.

Although many prokaryotic DEAD box RNA helicases have roles in ribosome biogenesis (16–18, 38), our polysome fractionation results suggest that this function is unlikely in *Synechocystis*, as CrhR was detected only in the polysome-containing fraction. In addition, our runoff polysome analysis indicated that CrhR is as-

![FIG 5 CrhR_{TR} localization in crhR_{TR} mutant *Synechocystis* membranes. Total membrane pellet from crhR_{TR} cells grown at 30°C (A) and 20°C (B) was adjusted to 42% sucrose, and individual membranes were purified by flotation ultracentrifugation in a discontinuous sucrose gradient. CrhR_{TR} and PsbA distributions were detected in equal volumes of each of the gradient fractions using anti-CrhR and anti-PsbA antibodies as described in the Fig. 1 legend. OM, outer membrane; CM, cytoplasmic membrane; TM, thylakoid membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein and cell type</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>30°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of gold particles</td>
<td>TM/C ratio ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrhR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crhR_{TR}</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsbA (D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.36 ± 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crhR_{TR}</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>21.46 ± 9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Wild type** CrhR was detected only in the polysome-containing fraction. The results establish that CrhR, the single DEAD box RNA helicase encoded in the model cyanobacterium *Synechocystis*, localizes to the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and cosediments with translating polysome complexes. CrhR localization was temperature independent and differentially required CrhR RNA helicase activity, as thylakoid association and polysome association were unaffected and defective, respectively. Although many prokaryotic DEAD box RNA helicases have roles in ribosome biogenesis (16–18, 38), our polysome fractionation results suggest that this function is unlikely in *Synechocystis*, as CrhR was detected only in the polysome-containing fraction. In addition, our runoff polysome analysis indicated that CrhR is as-

**TABLE 1 CrhR and PsbA ratio between thylakoid membrane region and cytoplasm of immunogold-labeled wild-type and crhRTR *Synechocystis* cells**

- **Wild type** CrhR was detected only in the polysome-containing fraction. The results establish that CrhR, the single DEAD box RNA helicase encoded in the model cyanobacterium *Synechocystis*, localizes to the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and cosediments with translating polysome complexes. CrhR localization was temperature independent and differentially required CrhR RNA helicase activity, as thylakoid association and polysome association were unaffected and defective, respectively. Although many prokaryotic DEAD box RNA helicases have roles in ribosome biogenesis (16–18, 38), our polysome fractionation results suggest that this function is unlikely in *Synechocystis*, as CrhR was detected only in the polysome-containing fraction. In addition, our runoff polysome analysis indicated that CrhR is as-

![FIG 5 CrhR_{TR} localization in crhR_{TR} mutant *Synechocystis* membranes. Total membrane pellet from crhR_{TR} cells grown at 30°C (A) and 20°C (B) was adjusted to 42% sucrose, and individual membranes were purified by flotation ultracentrifugation in a discontinuous sucrose gradient. CrhR_{TR} and PsbA distributions were detected in equal volumes of each of the gradient fractions using anti-CrhR and anti-PsbA antibodies as described in the Fig. 1 legend. OM, outer membrane; CM, cytoplasmic membrane; TM, thylakoid membrane.

**TABLE 1 CrhR and PsbA ratio between thylakoid membrane region and cytoplasm of immunogold-labeled wild-type and crhRTR *Synechocystis* cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein and cell type</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>30°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of gold particles</td>
<td>TM/C ratio ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CrhR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crhR_{TR}</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsbA (D1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>16.36 ± 11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crhR_{TR}</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>21.46 ± 9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Warm-grown (30°C) and cold-shocked (20°C for 3 h) cells were viewed using immunoelectron microscopy. Gold particles were enumerated manually from the digital images obtained from ultrathin sections. Normalization was performed by accounting for the area of the thylakoid membrane region (TM), the cytoplasm (C), and the surrounding resin background.**
associated with translating polysomes. The data therefore suggest that CrhR is associated with translation, consistent with the proteomic analysis of the polysome pellet. However, the mass spectrometric analysis of the polysome pellet also detected PNPase and RNase E degradosome components that correspond to the recently identified minimal degradosome in cyanobacteria (39). Interaction with an RNA helicase such as CrhR was not tested when it was shown previously that the Synechocystis RNase E and PNPase interact as a complex (39). Thus, while our data indicate that CrhR cosediments with polysome and degradosome components, we cannot determine if it directly interacts with the degradosome complex. The association of CrhR with translating polysomes does not preclude association with the RNA degradosome, however. The mass spectrometry-generated peptide spectrum match (PSM) values indicate that the presence of CrhR is stoichiometric with respect to ribosomal proteins and is more closely related to the levels detected for translation factors and degradosome complexes. Thus, since CrhR is encoded by the only DEAD box RNA helicase in the Synechocystis genome, given the multitasking of other bacterial RNA helicases (18–20), CrhR may be performing divergent roles and thus may associate with a range of cellular complexes under different growth conditions.

CrhR association with the translation and/or RNA degradation machinery is not unexpected, as RNA helicase association with the bacterial degradosome (4–6, 12–14) and with ribosome complexes (15–17) has been well documented. How CrhR is recruited to and interacts with the thylakoid membrane and translating polysome and/or degradosome components remains unknown. The mechanisms of localization to these compartments appear to differ, as CrhR was removed from the polysome pellet but not the membrane fraction by RNase treatment. This suggests that protein-protein and protein-RNA associations dictate CrhR localization to the thylakoid membrane and polysome, respectively. RNA- and protein-protein-dependent association of RNA helicases has been observed in other systems (14, 40, 41). The ease with which CrhR was removed from the membrane fraction also indicates that protein-protein interactions and not direct membrane interactions are involved. While RNA helicases, including CrhR, do not contain traditional membrane-spanning domains (12, 21, 22), the N-terminal and/or C-terminal amino acid extensions outside the helicase core are typically involved in specific RNA substrate recognition or protein-protein interactions (7, 8, 14). Indeed, we observed aberrant localization of CrhRTR which lacks the second RecA domain and C-terminal extension to the polysome pellet. Altered localization of CrhRTR to the membrane region was also observed. These localization defects could have partially resulted from the CrhRTR overexpression observed at 30°C in the truncation mutant. Overall, the results indicate that intact CrhR is required for proper cellular localization. This conclusion is similar to those from studies revealing similar responses to C-terminal deletion of a cold-induced RNA helicase, Lmo1722, which is regulated in disassociation from the 50S ribosome in Listeria monocytogenes (38) and removal of CsaA from the Staphylococcus aureus degradosome (14). While thylakoid membrane-associated polysomes have been reported in cyanobacteria (42), the subcellular localization of the cyanobacterial degradosome has not been determined (39). It is predicted that the Synechocystis degradosome is soluble since the RNaseE does not contain the C-terminal scaffolding domain required for membrane attachment (39), as observed in some bacteria (4, 5, 12–14). Although other studies investigating Synechocystis subcellular localization failed to detect CrhR, the lack of CrhR detection in these studies most likely resulted from growth conditions that did not induce abundant CrhR expression, as the cultures were grown at 30 and 34°C, temperatures at which CrhR is expressed at a basal level (24). Results of those studies included the lack of detection of a DEAD box RNA helicase in the Synechocystis minimal degradosome (39) and membrane (37, 43–45) or cytoplasmic (46) extracts.

CrhR localization to the thylakoid membrane coincides with the significant morphological and physiological effects observed in response to crhR mutation (24). As was particularly evident when Synechocystis was stressed at 20°C, crhRTR cells exhibited a reduction in photosynthesis due to defects in photosynthetic carbon fixation which are associated with decreased pigmentation and alterations in electron transport chain function and thylakoid membrane structure (33). Defects in transitory adaptation of the photosynthetic apparatus to low temperature have also been observed in a crhR mutant which showed decreased PSI activity, a loss of PSI, and an oxidized plastoquinone (PQ) pool (47). These effects are directly related to the conditions required for regulation of crhR expression, namely, the redox potential of the electron transport chain (29). Although CrhR function is not known, the small RNA (sRNA) PsrR1 regulates expression of many photosynthesis-related genes (48). CrhR mutation-mediated disruption of PsrR1 function would be expected to generate similar phenotypic alterations.

The data presented here indicate that CrhR localizes to both the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions. CrhR in the cytoplasm is associated with translation, as it cosediments with actively translating polysomes. CrhR association with and disruption of the thylakoid membrane in a crhR mutant suggests that CrhR performs a role in thylakoid biogenesis and/or stability. In this capacity, CrhR RNA helicase activity in the thylakoid membrane could aid translation initiation and thus translocation of proteins into the thylakoid membrane, similarly to the role proposed for the RNA helicase, CrhC, in cytoplasmic membrane protein translocation in Anabaena sp. strain PCC 7120 (21). Adjustment of thylakoid membrane polysome profiles in response to temperature and light conditions indicates that abiotic stresses influence thylakoid function by altering translation (35, 45, 49). In this context, it would be of interest to determine if CrhR was involved in synthesis of thylakoid membrane proteins such as the D1 photosystem II protein. D1 translation initiates on soluble ribosomes and halts in the dark (49). The stalled ribosomal complex is targeted to the thylakoid membrane in the light, D1 synthesis is completed, and D1 is cotranslationally inserted into the membrane (35).

Cosedimentation of degradosome components with the polysome indicates the possibility that a functional association between the two complexes exists in Synechocystis. This association is known to occur in archaea and eukarya (50–53), and polysome fractionation on sucrose gradients has recently provided evidence for polysome–RNA degradosome association in E. coli (54) and Helicobacter pylori (55). Indeed, other than the results from photosynthesis-associated peptides, our proteomic data set closely resembles that obtained in the E. coli (54) and H. pylori (55) studies. Similarly to the results presented here, the respective RNA helicase peptides were associated with the identified polysome–RNA degradosome complexes (54, 55). In E. coli, the degradosome-associated RNA helicase RhlB contributes to ribosome binding and
thus to formation of the polysome-RNA degradosome complex (54). Although Zhang et al. (39) did not detect CrHR in the minimal degradosome isolated from *Synechocystis* at 30°C, CrHR association was seen at lower temperatures. Temperature-dependent alteration of the degradosome-associated RNA helicase has been reported in a variety of bacteria, for example, *E. coli* (20) and *Psychrobacter arcticus* 273-4 (56). Similarly, Redko et al. (55) showed that a minimal degradosome consisting of RNase J and RhpA, the only DEAD box RNA helicase in the genome, associated with translating ribosomes and not 30S or 50S subunits in *H. pylori*. Although it is different from other Gram-negative organisms in this respect, *Synechocystis* encodes an RNase J homologue that appeared in our polysome data set. The potential for CrHR to be associated with a minimal degradosome and with RNase J at low temperature deserves further investigation. These observations are similar to those we report here for CrHR, suggesting that CrHR may also contribute to the formation of a polysome-RNA degradosome complex in *Synechocystis*.

Potential roles for RNA helicase alteration of RNA secondary structure in a polysome-RNA degradosome complex include unwinding of an inhibitory RNA secondary structure that blocks translation from a A-site cleavage in translating ribosomes by RNase toxins in toxin-antitoxin pairs (57), and binding of the sRNA RhyB to the 5’ untranscribed region (UTR) of the sodB transcript activates RNaseE cleavage within the open reading frame (ORF) during translation (58). CrHR RNA helicase activity could be involved in similar regulatory mechanisms, as Tsai et al. (54) also detected Hfq in their polysome-degradosome preparations and speculated that Hfq contributed to RhyB binding and thus to cleavage of sodB. Cyanobacteria do not encode an Hfq homologue that functions in sRNA metabolism (59), raising the possibility that CrHR replaces Hfq in a *Synechocystis* degradosome-polysome complex. In eukaryotes, RNA helicases are associated with RNA degradation of actively translating transcripts. For example, a variety of ribonucleases are targeted to the ribosome by UPF1 during nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) (52), and Dhh1 slows translation elongation and promotes decapping of polysome-associated transcripts, creating substrates for Dhhl-mediated RNA decay (53). Whether CrHR is associated with similar regulatory pathways remains to be elucidated.

Here we provide evidence that CrHR, the single DEAD box RNA helicase encoded in the *Synechocystis* genome, localizes to both the cytoplasmic and thylakoid membrane regions and co-sediments with polysome and RNA degradosome components. The results suggest that the RNA degradation machinery is coupled with translation in *Synechocystis*, contributing to the emerging picture showing that these processes are intimately linked in bacterial systems.
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