Carbon Dynamics across Contrasting Temperate Agroecosystems:

Soil Organic Matter Pools, Mycorrhizae, Water Use-efficiency, Methane and Carbon Dioxide

Fluxes

by

Keunbae Kim

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Soil Science

Department of Renewable Resources University of Alberta

© Keunbae Kim, 2021

### ABSTRACT

Keunbae, Kim. MSc., University of Alberta, October 2021. Carbon Dynamics across Contrasting Temperate Agroecosystems: Soil Organic Matter Pools, Mycorrhizae, Water Use-efficiency, Methane and Carbon Dioxide Fluxes. Supervisor: Hernandez Ramirez, Guillermo.

Perennial grain crops have been proposed as a sustainable alternative to conventional annual grain crops, since they potentially hold multiple desirable features including increased soil C sequestration and biodiversity, mitigation of greenhouse gases (GHGs), and reduction of water loss and others. These attributes are accomplished by their no-tillage management, longer growing season, and pervasive root systems. Nonetheless, the ramifications of implementing novel perennial grain cropping systems to the atmosphere, lithosphere, and biosphere remains elusive. In this research, comprehensive comparisons were made to enhance current understanding of how annual versus perennial grain cropping differ in soil organic matter (SOM) pools, GHGs emissions, and mycorrhizae (i.e., AMF). Five contrasting cropping systems fallow, annual-grain, biennial-grain, perennial-grain, and perennial-forage --- were compared with and without N fertilizer addition. A randomized complete block design consisting of four replicates was initiated at sites located in Edmonton and Breton, Alberta, Canada. In addition to the replicate plots, two adjacent 4-ha fields, including annual vs. perennial grain crops, were set up for eddy covariance measurements at the Breton site. By doing so, it was expected that they address a limitation of the chamber measurements with respect to instantaneous and heterogeneous capture, as well as evapotranspiration (ET) comparison. As a result of SOC fractionation, perennial grain crops increased soil C concentration in both transitory and stable pools compared with annual grain compartments, although overall soil C sequestration occurred

ii

as a function of N fertilizer, cropping systems, and underlying soil texture. Moreover, substantial CH4 and CO2 uptake were observed in perennial grain cropping system compared with annual grain crops likely due to a shift to favorable GHGs sink conditions such as aeration-moisture balance, photosynthetic activity, and microbial decomposition. However, overall cumulative ET and associated ecosystem-water use efficiency were similar with between the two cropping systems. Lastly, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) community compositions between annual and perennial grain cropping systems exhibited no significant difference, likely due to the genetic similarity of two crops. In addition, the two contrasting study sites had different patterns of AMF alpha and beta diversity, which may be a result of differing inherent soil properties and management legacy effect across field sites. In sum, AMF communities appear to be impervious to annual vs perennial grain crops, rather their most pronounced difference are field site specific. In sum, my research has been conducted for an overall assessment of whether perennial grain crops play a multifunctional role in agroecosystems toward better sustainability.

#### PREFACE

This thesis is an original work accomplished by Keunbae Kim under the supervision of Dr. Guillermo Hernandez Ramirez. Overall, my roles on the thesis were conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, visualization, writing-original draft and reviewing. However, each thesis component has been completed with contribution, commitment, and dedication of those involved in the Perennial Cereal Research Program – in particular, Ms. Erin Daly who co-led the overall program.

Chapters 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the thesis are aimed for publication.

Chapter 1 "Perennial Grain Cropping Enhances the Soil Methane Sink in Temperate Agroecosystem" has been already published in Geoderma journal.

Dr. Monika Gorzelak provided feedback on the writing in Chapter 2 "Soil Carbon Response to Perennial Grain Cropping and Nitrogen Fertilizer". This chapter has been submitted to Soil & Tillage Research journal.

Dr. Monika Gorzelak and Patrick Neuberger contributed to the experimental design, data analyses, and writing of Chapter 3 "Do arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi community composition differ with between annual and perennial grain crops?". This chapter has been submitted to Applied Soil Ecology journal.

Drs Thomas K. Flesch and Trevor W. Coates contributed to data collection, data processing, and provided feedback on writing in Chapter 4 "Carbon and Water Dynamics of a Perennial Grain Crop in Temperate Agroecosystems". This chapter is under the 2<sup>nd</sup> round of revisions of Agriculture and Forest Meteorology journal.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to Dr. Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez for his supports, inspiration, and patience. It was an invaluable experience to learn a myriad of lessons in responsibility, communication, and optimistic attitude as well as fruitful accomplishment of research. Without his supports, I would not have been able to complete my degree.

To dear members of my advisory committee and collaborators, Dr. Monika Gorzelak for the cutting-edge discipline of AMF molecular ecology and visiting stay at Lethbridge Research and Development Centre. Dr. Thomas K Flesh and Dr. Trevor Coates guided experimental design, data processing, and writing processing for micrometeorological research. It was indeed fascinating and enjoyable to learn these two research subjects. Multidisciplinary indoctrination also enables me to span a wide scope of research interests and curiosities.

To the multiple sources of funding that makes it possible to pursue my degree: Alberta Agriculture and Forest, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Program, and University of Alberta for financial supports to implement robust experiments in diverse research fields.

To members of our lab for collaboration and consultation: Erin Daly, Sisi Lin, Carmen Cecilia Roman Perez, Ekene Iheshiulo, Alan Lee, Kris Guenette, Shakila Ekanayaka, and Chloe Ducholke. To numerous field assistants including Alessia Marchesan, Megan Pudde, Keifer Klimchuk, Delainie Sutherland, Dylan Fath, Jeffrey Robbins, Jefferson Sawyer, Beatriz Nunez Acosta, Elisa Yukie Yokoyama, Oliva Grace Masters, Alanna Victoria Zubler, Melissa Wheatley, Jichen Li, Sanat Kanekar, and Evans Batung. To field technicians across campus

v

Germar Lohstraeter, Leigh-Ann Powers, Dick Puurveen for their patience, guidance and advise. To my fellow graduate students for being supportive and sharing experiences during graduate program including Jinbiao Li, Zhenfeng An, Mengmeng Liu, Xuehui Sun and many others.

To all my dedicated former professors at Chonnam National University. Dr. Young-Mi Choi for encouragement, sincere advice, and insights to study abroad for dream. Without her support, I would not have been able to experience professional developing and learning opportunities. Dr. Kwang-Sik Yoon and Dr. Han-Young Kim for supportive feedback to keep me on the right track.

To my beloved family. My father, mother, and brother, for unwavering support through this process. I am so thankful that he was my father, and I was able to live so close to him. I will remember his warmth, cherish his memory in my heart, and put the best effort into supporting mother and brother. Finally, the special thanks to my other half, Hye-in Yang, for her belief and support as well as motivation for persistent study.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| ABSTRACTii   |
|--|
| PREFACE iv   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS  |
| LIST OF TABLESxi   |
| LIST OF FIGURESxiv   |
| INTRODUCTION1  |
| CHAPTER 1. Perennial Grain Cropping Enhances the Soil Methane Sink in Temperate Agroecosystems 7 |
| 1.1. Abstract  |
| 1.2. Introduction  |
| 1.3 Materials and Methods  |
| 1.3.1. Study site  |
| 1.3.2. Experimental design   |
| 1.3.3. Soil properties and weather data14  |
| 1.3.4. CH <sub>4</sub> flux measurements   |
| 1.3.5. Root sampling and analysis16  |
| 1.3.6. Data analysis   |
| 1.4. Results   |
| 1.4.1. CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes as a function of cropping systems                                  |
| 1.4.2. Flux sensitivities to changes in temperature and soil moisture                            |
| 1.4.3. Developing models by combining temperature and moisture to predict CH4 fluxes21           |
| 1.4.4. Root mass across cropping systems   |
| 1.5. Discussion  |
| 1.5.1. Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil CH4 sink23                                |
| 1.5.2. The mediating role of soil moisture on surface CH <sub>4</sub> uptake                     |
| 1.5.3. Land use history considerations and CH4 uptake  |
| 1.5.4. Escalating climate change and feedbacks   |
| 1.6. Conclusion  |
| 1.7. References  |
| CHAPTER 2. Soil Carbon Response to Perennial Grain Cropping and Nitrogen Fertilizer              |

| 2.1. Abstract  | 49       |
|--|----------|
| 2.2. Introduction  | 51       |
| 2.3. Materials and Methods   | 54       |
| 2.3.1. Study site  | 54       |
| 2.3.3. Soil sample collection and preparation  | 55       |
| 2.3.4. Particulate organic matter (POM) fractionation  | 56       |
| 2.3.5. Aboveground and root biomass measurements   | 56       |
| 2.3.6. Soil and biomass C and N analyses   | 57       |
| 2.3.7. Statistical analyses  | 57       |
| 2.3. Results   | 58       |
| 2.3.1. Soil total organic carbon and total nitrogen  | 58       |
| 2.3.2. Cropping Systems influence on POM and MAOM  | 59       |
| 2.3.3. Changes in stable SOM pool over time: spring-grain vs. perennial-grain  | 61       |
| 2.3.4. Correlation analysis  | 61       |
| 2.4. Discussion  | 62       |
| 2.4.1. Perennial-grain cropping as a means of SOM sequestration  | 62       |
| 2.4.2. Response of SOM accretion under perennial grain cropping to N fertilizer addition                                     | 64       |
| 2.4.3. Contrasting study sites and their SOM dynamics over time  | 65       |
| 2.5. Conclusion  | 68       |
| 2.6. References  | 69       |
| CHAPTER 3. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Community Linkages to Soil Nutrient Availability acro<br>Contrasting Agroecosystems. | ss<br>86 |
| 3.1 Abstract   | 87       |
| 3.2. Introduction  | 89       |
| 3.3. Materials and Methods   | 92       |
| 3.3.1. Study sites   | 92       |
| 3.3.2. Experimental Design   | 93       |
| 3.3.3. Soil and Roots Sampling   | 93       |
| 3.3.4. Staining and Microscope for AM fungal colonization  | 94       |
| 3.3.5. Molecular analysis  | 94       |
| 3.3.6. Bioinformatics and statistical analysis   | 95       |
| 3.4. Results   | 97       |
| 3.4.1. Mycorrhizal colonization assessment   | 97       |

| 3.4.2. 18S sequences   | 97    |
|--|-------|
| 3.4.3. AMF alpha diversity   | 98    |
| 3.4.4. AMF community composition   | 98    |
| 3.5. Discussion  | .100  |
| 3.5.1. AMF community composition changes across contrasting cropping systems                   | . 101 |
| 3.5.2. AMF community composition response to N fertilizer                                      | 105   |
| 3.5.3. Soil properties and AMF community   | 107   |
| 3.5.4. Dominance of Paraglomus in the Canadian Prairie Soils                                   | 108   |
| 3.5.5. AMF Community Composition in Bulk Soil and Roots  | 108   |
| 3.6. Conclusion  | .110  |
| 3.7. References  | .111  |
| CHAPTER 4. Carbon and Water Dynamics of a Perennial Grain Crop in Temperate Agroecosystems .   | .124  |
| 4.1. Abstract  | .125  |
| 4.2. Introduction  | .127  |
| 4.3. Materials and Methods   | .129  |
| 4.3.1 Site description   | .129  |
| 4.3.2. Eddy covariance and weather station measurements  | 130   |
| 4.3.4. Flux terminology  | .132  |
| 4.3.5. Soil chamber measurements   | .134  |
| 4.3.6. Additional plots for replicated measurements of crop productivities and soil attributes | .135  |
| 4.4. Results   | 136   |
| 4.4.1. Weather conditions  | 136   |
| 4.4.2. Crop growth and phenology   | .138  |
| 4.4.3. CO <sub>2</sub> fluxes  | .139  |
| 4.4.4. Net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB) and carbon use efficiency (CUE)                     | .142  |
| 4.4.5. Response of GPP and $R_e$ to major climatic variables                                   | .142  |
| 4.4.6. Water fluxes  | .143  |
| 4.4.7. Turbulent energy fluxes   | .144  |
| 4.5. Discussion  | .144  |
| 4.5.1 Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil CO <sub>2</sub> sink                     | .144  |
| 4.5.2. Fluxes vs. climatic and vegetation factors  | .147  |
| 4.5.3. Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil water fluxes                            | .149  |
| 4.5.4. Turbulent energy fluxes   | .150  |

| 4.6. Conclusion |  |
|-----------------|--|
| 4.7. References |  |
| CONCLUSION      |  |
| REFERENCE       |  |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. 1. Soil classification and properties (0–15 cm depth increment) for Edmonton and Breton sites   |
|--|
| Table 1. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and Breton   |
| Table 1. 3. Cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> uptake across cropping systems at Edmonton and Breton sites averaged over fertilizer treatments in 2018 and 2019 as well as cumulative of the two growing seasons (2018 plus 2019) (n= $4 \pm SE$ ) |
| Table 1. 4. Temperature sensitivities (Q10) of daily CH4 uptake flux by cropping systemsat the Edmonton and Breton sites as based on Arrhenius (Eq.[3]).41   |
| Table 1. 5. Soil temperature and combined temperature Q10-moisture model parametersfor CH4 uptake flux (averages by month) as a function of temperature and waterfilled pore space (WFPS as % at 40 cm soil depth).42                      |
| Table 1. 6. Root mass, C and N densities across cropping systems by soil depthincrements (i.e., 0–15, 15–30, 30–60 and 0–60 cm) for the two field experimentalsites in June 2019*  |
| Table 2. 1. Soil classification and properties (0–15 cm depth increment) on Edmonton and Breton sites  |
| Table 2. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and      Breton  |
| Table 2. 3. Overall contrasting cropping systems effects on particulate organic matter (POM), mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM), and soil organic matter (SOM) at 0–15 cm soil depth increment on Breton site                       |
| Table 2. 4. Overall contrasting cropping systems effects on particulate organic matter (POM), mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM), and soil organic matter (SOM) at 0–15 cm soil depth increment on Edmonton site                     |
| Table 3. 1. Overview of the main soil characteristics (0–15 cm a depth) in Breton and<br>Edmonton (Kim et al., 2021).117   |
| Table 3. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and<br>Breton (Kim et al., 2021).118   |
| Table 3. 3. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity. Average and standard errors [n=4 (fallow) and 8 (spring-grain, fall-grain, perennial-grain and perennial-forage)   |

| ± SE] of soil samples in Breton and Edmonton across treatments in metrics<br>including Chao1 richness, Peilou's evenness, Shannon's diversity, and inverse<br>Simpson's diversity. Italic number means P-value   |
|--|
| Table 3. 4. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity. Average and standard errors<br>(n=16 at Breton, n=24 at Edmonton) of soil and root samples on Breton and<br>Edmonton sites across treatments in metrics including Chao1 richness, Peilou's<br>evenness, Shannon's diversity, and inverse Simpson's diversity. Italic number<br>means P-value.120 |
| Table 4. 1. Soil classification and properties from 0–15 cm depth for the Breton site 156  |
| Table 4. 2. Seeding and harvest dates, crop biomass, net ecosystem CO2 exchange (NEE),net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB), carbon use efficiency (CUE),evapotranspiration (ET), and water use efficiency (WUE) for annual andperennial grain crops.157   |
| Table S1. 1. Means and standard errors of soil bulk density ( $n = 5 \pm SE$ ) at 5–10 cm and 25–30 cm depths. Samples collected in June 2018 with the same method implemented by Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2014   |
| Table S1. 2. Cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> uptake by cropping systems ( $n=4 \pm SE$ ) at Edmonton and Breton. To evaluate the experimental factors (i.e., crop and fertilizer), the fallow plots were not included enabling a balanced model   |
| Table S1. 3. Means and ranges for soil temperature and water-filled pore space by soil depths × cropping systems in Edmonton.       198  |
| Table S1. 4. Means and ranges for soil temperature and water-filled pore space (WFPS)by soil depths × cropping systems in Breton.199   |
| Table S1. 5. Temperature, water filled pore space and methane flux for the cropping<br>systems over two years. These are averages by month. Positive fluxes are uptake.<br>Temperature and water-filled pore space (WFPS) are measurements at the 40 cm<br>soil depth  |
| Table S2. 1. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2018  |
| Table S2. 2. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in June 2019.    209   |
| Table S2. 3. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2019  |
| Table S2. 4. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2018  |
| Table S2. 5. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in June 2019   |

| Table S2. 6. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2019  |
|--|
| Table S2. 7. ANOVA P-value in repeat measures analysis with crops (spring-grain and perennial-grain) x fertilizer x date effects on Particulate organic matter (POM-C), mineral-associate organic matter (MAOM-C), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentration at the Breton and Edmonton sites |
| Table S2. 8. ANOVA P-value with crops (spring-grain, fall-grain perennial-grain,<br>perennial-forage) x fertilizer effects on above-biomass C:N (AB-C:N) ratio at the<br>Breton and Edmonton sites.215   |
| Table S2. 9. Spearman correlation coefficient for association to soil properties in Breton.  |
| Table S2. 10. Spearman correlation coefficient for association to soil properties in      Edmonton.      217   |
| Table S3. 1. Mean and standard errors (n=4) for arbuscular colonization (AC), vesicle colonization (VC), and hyphal colonization (HC). Italic number means <i>P</i> -value.  |
| Table S3. 2. Alpha diversity in soil samples across the crop × fertilizer effects with two-way ANOVA.  |
| Table S3. 3. Alpha diversity in roots samples across the crop × fertilizer effects with two-way ANOVA      223   |
| Table S3. 4. PERMANOVA across combination cropping systems (crop × N fertilizer)         and rhizosphere compartments (soil vs roots).         224   |
| Table S3. 5. Pairwise community composition comparison between crops in soil samplesbased on PERMANOVA. P value adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR) 225   |
| Table S3. 6. Differential abundance in genus rank impacted by treatments (crop × fertilizer × compartment) in Breton.       226  |
| Table S3. 7. Differential abundance at genus level analyzed by treatments (crop × fertilizer × compartment) at Edmonton sites  |
| Table S4. 1. Crop canopy lodging indices averaged from randomly collected         measurements at pre-harvest (n=10)   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1. 2. Annual cumulative CH4 uptake at the soil surface as a function of soil moisture (water-filled pore space) across cropping systems in the Edmonton site in 2018 (closed symbols) and 2019 (open symbols). Circles are perennial-grain, stars are perennial-forage, squares are fall-grain, diamonds are spring-grain and triangles are fallow. For clarity, unidirectional error bars (as standard errors of the means) are shown for cumulative methane flux. Linear regression fittings are shown separately for 2018 and 2019. Soil moisture was derived by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements (Supplementary Fig. S2).
- Fig. 1. 3. Daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake flux at the soil surface as a function of (A) soil temperature, (B) soil moisture and (C) combined soil temperature and moisture at 40 cm soil depth across cropping systems at the Edmonton site. For comparison purposes in (A) and (B), data points from perennial-grain and spring-grain are highlighted with specific symbols as indicated in the legend. Shown flux data points are averages of the measurements within each month throughout the study (these averages by month are available in Supplementary Table S5). Soil temperatures were derived by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements. (A) Arrhenius equation fitting (Eq. [3]) and  $O_{10}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake are provided. (B) Linear fitting of residual CH<sub>4</sub> uptake (after predicting CH<sub>4</sub> using Arrhenius equation in panel A) regressed against soil moisture. This CH<sub>4</sub> residual model informs the integration of temperature-Q<sub>10</sub> and moisture into the combined model equation (as Eq. [4]) in panel C. (C) The combined Q<sub>10</sub> and moisture model equation is provided and represented by the background color bands where the band boundaries are labelled as daily CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (g CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Bubble radii are proportional to the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes; empty bubbles are uptake and crossed bubbles are emission. Note that
- Fig. 1. 4. Daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake flux at the soil surface as a function of soil temperature at 40 cm soil depth across cropping systems at the Breton site. For comparison purposes, data points from perennial-grain and spring-grain are highlighted with specific symbols as indicated in the legend. Shown flux data points are averages of the measurements within each month throughout the study (these averages by month are available in Supplementary Table S5). Soil temperatures were derived

by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements. Arrhenius equation fitting (as Eq. [3]) and Q<sub>10</sub> for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake are provided.

- Fig. 2. 7. Aboveground plant biomass carbon (AB-C) as a function of particulate organic matter C (POM-C) concentration at the 0-15 cm soil depth at Edmonton site. Soil sample collection was in 1 Oct 2019. Points represent raw data, blue line shows

| the linear relationship as predicted. Grey area represents the 95% confidence interval predicted by linear correlation mode   |
|---|
| Fig. 2. 8. Spearman correlation analyses of the (A)Breton and (B) Edmonton soils at 0-15<br>cm depth increment. The size and color or circle denote P values and correlation<br>coefficient signs. The circles were removed when P-value of the correlation is less<br>than 0.05  |
| Fig. 3. 1. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity index including significance of Chao1 ( $P < 0.001$ ), Evenness ( $P < 0.01$ ), Shannon ( $P = 0.48$ ) and Inverse Simpson ( $P < 0.01$ ) between sites   |
| Fig. 3. 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plots of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi communities based on Bray-Curtis distances. Circles are 95 % confidence ellipses of the comparison, community composition significantly differs between: (A) site; Breton and Edmonton (PERMANOVA, $F = 60.075$ , $P < 0.001$ ), (B) cropping systems in Breton; fallow, spring-grain, fall-grain, perennial-forage (PERMANOVA, $F = 2.644$ , $P = 0.004$ ), (C) compartments in Edmonton; soil and roots (PERMANOVA, $F = 8.962$ , $P = 0.001$ ) |
| <ul> <li>Fig. 3. 3. Proportional composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) root and soil communities. Panel indicates proportional sequence abundance at site: (A)</li> <li>Breton, (B) Edmonton, and legend shows phylogenetic level to identified AMF genus.</li> </ul>   |
| Fig. 4. 1. Daily average of water filled pore space (WFPS) at the 7.5 cm depth and<br>precipitation for the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual grain cropping vs.<br>perennial grain cropping) in 2018 and 2019   |
| Fig. 4. 2. Daily average of soil temperature at 7.5 cm depth, air temperature, and solar<br>radiation in the contrasting cropping systems (annual grain crops vs. perennial<br>grain crops) in 2018 and 2019  |
| Fig. 4. 3. Daily average of vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and relative humidity (rH) in annual and perennial grain cropping systems in 2018 and 2019   |
| Fig. 4. 4. Crop height average during two growing seasons in the two 4-ha plots measured every two weeks. Crop height was determined from soil surface to the top of the apical bud. Means correspond to ten random measurements per field  |
| Fig. 4. 5. Diel net ecosystems exchange (NEE) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain crops for two growing seasons  |
| Fig. 4. 6. Diel evapotranspiration (ET) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain crops for two growing seasons  |

Fig. 4. 7. Cumulative carbon (C) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual vs. perennial) during consecutive two growing seasons. .. 164

| Fig. 4. 8. Daily net ecosystem exchange (NEE), gross primary production (GPP), |
|--|
| ecosystem respiration (Re), evapotranspiration (ET) over contrasting cropping  |
| systems (i.e., annuals vs. perennials) during two consecutive growing seasons  |
| (2018 May 28 – 2018 Oct 31, 2019 May 15 – 2019 Oct 31) 165                     |

- Fig. 4. 11. Daily CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual vs. perennial crops) from chamber and eddy covariance systems. Chamber-based flux measurements (top panel) correspond to seven chambers located within each 4-ha field. Error bars indicate standard error (n=7). These data only show the measurement period during spring thaw (i.e., from 2019 March 31 to 2019 May 1).
- Fig. S1. 1. Cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> flux during the entire study showing cropping × nitrogen treatment combinations in Breton and Edmonton (integrating measurement from 26 April 2018 to 18 Oct 2018 and from 26 March 2019 to 30 Oct 2019). Means correspond to four field plots. Error bars are standard errors of the means...... 201

- Fig. S1. 4. Arrhenius non-linear relationships of surface methane fluxes across cropping systems with air and soil temperatures at various depths (7.5, 22.5 and 40 cm) encompassing two consecutive growing season data in Edmonton and Breton. Predicted CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (bold line) are shown. These are measured daily fluxes. 204

| Fig. S1. 5. Relationships between surface CH <sub>4</sub> uptake rate and soil water filled pore space<br>across cropping systems at three soil depths (7.5, 22.5 and 40 cm depth)<br>encompassing the two-year growing season data in Edmonton and Breton.<br>Predicted CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes (bold line) and 95% confidence intervals are shown. These<br>are averages by months during the entire study   |
|---|
| <ul> <li>Fig. S2. 1. Spearman correlation analyses of the Breton soils at 0-15 cm depth increment. Distribution of variables shows bar graph, correlation coefficient is noted on right panels of diagonal, and paired correlation represents on left panels of diagonal. *, **, and *** indicate significance of less than 0.05,0.01, and 0.001, respectively.</li> <li>218</li> </ul>   |
| Fig. S2. 2. Spearman correlation analyses of the Edmonton soils at 0-15 cm depth increment. Distribution of variables shows bar graph, correlation coefficient is noted on right panels of diagonal, and paired correlation represents on left panels of diagonal. *, **, and *** indicate significance of less than 0.05,0.01, and 0.001, respectively. 219  |
| Fig. S3. 1. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) alpha diversity including Chao1<br>richness, Peilou's Evenness, Shannon diversity and inverse Simpson diversity<br>across cropping systems at (A) Breton and (B) Edmonton sites  |
| Fig. S3. 2. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inverse Simpson diversity in soil samples at Edmonton site ( $P < 0.05$ )  |
| Fig. S3. 3. Soil properties (A) particulate organic matter carbon (POM-C), (B) ammonium concentration ( $NH_4^+$ ; $NH4-N$ ), and (C) nitrate concentration ( $NO_3^-$ ; $NO3-N$ ) across grain-cropping systems at both sites. Bar indicates standard error. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) <i>P</i> value of POM-C, NH4-N and NO3-N were less than 0.10, 0.01, and 0.001at the Breton site, and were 0.70, and less than 0.001, 0.01. In other word, all variables have significant difference corresponding to cropping systems expect to POM-C at the Edmonton site |
| Fig. S3. 4. CCA of AMF taxonomic community composition among soil (A) and root (B) sample in two sites of contrasting historical land use agricultural field, RDA of AMF taxonomic community composition among Breton [soil (C), root (D)] and Edmonton [soil (E), root (F)] in current contrasting cropping systems (fallow, spring-grain, fall-grain perennial grain, and perennial-forage). Arrows in CCA and RDA graph represent significant fitted vectors   |
| Fig. S3. 5.Proportional compositions of AMF communities in phylum level at the Breton<br>and Edmonton sites   |

| Fig. S3. 6. Proportional composition of AMF root and soil communities. Panel indicates proportional sequence abundance at site. Legend shows phylogenetic level to  |
|---|
| identified AMF genus  |
| Fig. S3. 7. Heatmap of differential AMF genus across different cropping systems (F, Fallow; SG, spring-grain; FG, fall-grain; PG, perennial-grain; PF, perennial-forage). Left panel (A) represents Breton AMF soil samples, while right panel (B) shows Edmonton AMF soil sample. The log2 fold change between treatments is indicated by a color gradient. *, ** and *** are false discovery rate (FDR) at alpha critical levels <0.05, < 0.01 and <0.001, respectively |
| <ul> <li>Fig. S3. 8. The heatmap of the correlation between AMF genus rank and physiochemical characteristics of (A) two site and (B) compartments in Breton and (C) compartments in Edmonton. Spearman's correlation analysis was used for creating the heatmap. Positive correlation is shown in red, whilst negative correlation is shown in blue. The asterisks mean significant correlations (*, p&lt;0.05; **, p&lt;0.01)</li></ul>                                 |
| Fig. S4. 1. University of Alberta Breton Research Plot configuration, red dots indicate the field locations of the eddy covariance systems within each 4-ha field   |
| Fig. S4. 2. Diel net ecosystems exchange (NEE) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain cropping systems for two growing seasons before gap-filling   |
| Fig. S4. 3. Monthly net ecosystems exchange (NEE) diel cycles in annual plot. Left<br>panels (A) indicates original dataset while right panels (B) are final dataset after<br>filtering and gap filling based on u-star scenario. Red lines are for 2018, while<br>green lines are for 2019   |
| Fig. S4. 4. Monthly net ecosystems exchange (NEE) diel cycles in perennial plot. Left panels (A) indicate original dataset while right panels (B) are final dataset after filtering and gap filling based on u- star scenario. Red lines are for 2018, while green lines are for 2019   |

1

## INTRODUCTION

| 2  | Climate change effects including escalating ambient temperatures, atmospheric carbon                           |
|----|--|
| 3  | dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) levels, and irregular rainfall bring challenging circumstances threaten human life, |
| 4  | societal prosperity, and biodiversity (IPCC, 2014). Moreover, accelerating climate change has                  |
| 5  | detrimental impacts on food security and the environment especially in agroecosystems, as it                   |
| 6  | affects soil and water resources that play a crucial role in growth of crops, forage, and livestock            |
| 7  | (Bowling et al., 2020). In addition, the human population expansion concurrently urges to                      |
| 8  | reshape conventional agriculture systems to increase crop productivity (Bowling et al., 2020;                  |
| 9  | Glover and Reganold, 2020). Currently, annual monoculture crops occupy more than three                         |
| 10 | quarters of cropland, they require intense labor every year, bare soil for part of the year, and high          |
| 11 | fertilizer applications (de Oliveira et al., 2020). Such management subsequently generates                     |
| 12 | significant greenhouse gas (GHGs) emissions, degrades soil organic matter (SOM), and even                      |
| 13 | contaminates the environment (Glover and Reganold, 2010). Furthermore, annual grain cropping                   |
| 14 | systems are less resilient to increased environmental stress potentially caused by contemporary                |
| 15 | circumstance. Consequently, it is essential to introduce sustainable agroecosystems for better                 |
| 16 | adaptation to climate change, environmental challenges, and a growing human population                         |
| 17 | (Glover and Reganold, 2010).   |

Perennial grain crops have been proposed as sustainable alternative to conventional annual grain crops, since they have abundant belowground biomass, no-tillage management practice, and a longer growing season (de Oliveira et al., 2020). Perennial grain cropping systems can not only confer economically stable productivity, but they also achieve environmental sustainability (Snapp et al., 2019). For instance, the extensive root systems can readily capture soil nutrients and water (Duchene et al., 2020), while at the same time no-tillage management for

24 multiple years possibly enables to sequester a substantial amount of additional carbon in the soil profiles beneath perennial grain crops (Haddaway et al., 2017). In addition, perennial grain 25 cropping systems can reduce expenses associated with field operations such as seedling, 26 fertilizer, and pesticide inputs (Glover and Reganold, 2010). However, several challenges and 27 shortfalls can be identified when using perennial grain crops to replace their annual counterparts. 28 Some perennial crops can act as disease refugia, and annual grain crops can have advantages in 29 terms of management flexibility over perennial crops (Cox et al., 2005). The relative short-life of 30 annual crops enables farmer to quickly alter crop sequences responding to market opportunities 31 32 and prices as well as to crop disease outbreaks. Besides, harvest index of annual grain crops in terms of dry matter allocation to harvested grain is in general much greater than of perennial 33 grain crops. In spite of these difficulties, strategically integrating perennial grain cropping 34 systems in arable land still holds unique opportunities for multifunctional agriculture as well as a 35 variety of ecosystem options (Ryan et al., 2018). 36

Nitrogen (N) fertilizer application is a common practice to increase crop yield in 37 agriculture (Guenette et al., 2019). As opposed to their annual counterparts, N fertilizer can bring 38 about beneficial interactive effects in perennial grain cropping systems, likely due to their greater 39 belowground biomass production (Liu et al., 2017, Kim et al., 2021). In other words, extensive 40 root systems in perennials can effectively assimilate a substantial amount of N nutrients from 41 added fertilizer and from the native soil N, which feasibly induce and benefit from an oscillation 42 43 in N availability and associated crop productivity (Sprunger et al., 2018; Junger et al., 2019). Therefore, such difference in N accessibility and belowground biomass could differently 44 influence C and N dynamics via stoichiometric microbial decompositions of SOM and plant 45 residues (Sprunger et al., 2019; Miller et al., 2019; Roman-Perez and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). 46

47 Yet, the repercussions of how N fertilizer affect soil organic matter (SOM), greenhouse gases
48 (GHGs), and microbial community compositions across contrasting cropping systems remain
49 understudied.

50 Concerns about climate change have raised interest in ways to improve soil organic carbon (SOC) storage as it is known that SOC storage capacity is susceptible to cropping 51 52 systems, inherent soil quality, and climate (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Carter and 53 Gregorich, 2010). Many studies document that perennial grain crops can accrue greater SOC and 54 promote longer C retention time in the soil profile than annual grain crop in part because of 55 massive belowground biomass, no-tillage, and longer growing season (Crew and Rumsey, 2017; Sprunger et al., 2018; Ryan et al., 2018). Therefore, increased soil C sequestration by perennial 56 grain cropping systems may help mitigate climate change as a long-term plan. Nonetheless, it has 57 not been fully understood how soil C accruals change over time across contrasting cropping 58 systems. To better understand SOM dynamics, there is a need to evaluate the fast-cycling pool of 59 SOM comprising heterogeneous continuum pools (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009). Through 60 physical size fractionation, particulate organic matter (POM), an initial indicator responsive to 61 62 cropping systems, is generally utilized for interpretation of labile and stable pools (Sprunger et al 63 2018; Cates et al., 2019). Through examination of C and N concentration and distribution in the POM fraction, we pursue deciphering the C dynamics and provide insights on how to maximize 64 soil C sequestration in agroecosystems. 65

66 Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) are important GHGs, and anthropogenic 67 activities in agroecosystems contributes to remarkably increase atmospheric GHGs. Net 68 outcomes of GHGs exchanges likewise seem to largely depend on cropping system choices and 69 field management practices as theses collectively altered soil C accumulation, turnover, soil

70 erosion, and crop productivity (Zenone et al., 2013; Bowling et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). Moreover, ongoing climate change (e.g., change of precipitation distribution and temperature) 71 may also feedback impact GHGs dynamics at agriculture landscapes since major factors 72 influencing variability of GHGs are known to be soil moisture and soil temperature (Van den 73 Pol-van Dasselaar et al., 1998). Consequently, we embarked in developing a constructive 74 framework for unravelling and enhancing soil C sequestration by quantifying GHGs flux 75 exchange across contrasting cropping systems as well as understanding the environmental 76 controlling environmental factors of GHGs in broad variety of agroecosystems (Gelfand et al., 77 78 2016).

In addition to C dynamics, water cycling is also a key component in that ecosystems link 79 crop productivity through physiological and metabolic process (Eichelmann et al., 2016: Zhao et 80 al., 2021). With climate change, increased frequency of drought and dry spells is expected to 81 exacerbate water availability in agroecosystems (Hatfield and Dold, 2019). However, innovative 82 perennial grain cropping systems can ameliorate deficiency of water through pervasive root 83 systems, which presumably draw water from deep soil layers and use stored water from past 84 85 rainfall (de Oliveira et al., 2020). It is further postulated that perennial grain cropping systems 86 are capable of stabilizing grain crop even regions and season with erratic, intermittent rainfall (de Oliveira et al., 2020). Therefore, it becomes important to assess the impact of crop productivity 87 and water budget (i.e., water use efficiency; WUE) across contrasting cropping systems (Jiang et 88 89 al., 2020).

Soil microorganisms, and in particular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), are critical
 components for sustainable agroecosystems, because they possess a variety of functional roles
 associated with crop growth and soil health (Bakhshandeh et al., 2017). Moreover, more than

93 80% of terrestrial plant species form mutualisms with AMF, which enable AMF to exchange soil nutrients and water for plant-derived photosynthate (Smith and Read et al., 2010; Gorzelak et al. 94 2015; Mahmoudi et al., 2019). As the network of AMF has greater absorptive surface than plant 95 root hair, which further influence and the course of plant succession (Yang et al., 2011). Thus, 96 AMF richness, diversity, and community composition play a pivotal role in soil quality and crop 97 98 performance at the individual and ecosystem level (Alami et al., 2020). Yet, AMF assemblages are strongly influenced by anthropogenic activities including tillage, fertilizer addition, and host 99 crop identity (Alguacil et al., 2019; Ezeokoli et al., 2020; Azeem et al., 2020). Novel perennial 100 101 grain cropping systems, such as crop growth habit and no-tillage management, may alter belowground AMF diversity and community compositions. Therefore, it is warranted to examine 102 how differently contrasting cropping systems shift AMF diversity and community compositions 103 across contrasting cropping systems. 104

The general goal of this thesis is to elucidate how perennial grain crops can influence the 105 multifunctional outcomes of agroecosystems compared with conventional annual counterparts. 106 Properties of agroecosystems that are investigated include: soil organic matter sequestration, 107 108 GHGs emissions, WUE, and AMF diversity and community composition. In addition to testing 109 the effects of contrasting cropping systems on these properties, the impact of N fertilizer on the abovementioned factors has been considered. This thesis hypothesizes that perennial grain crops 110 can be a sustainable alternative to conventional annual grain crop in terms of agroecosystem 111 112 multifunctionality, encompassing GHGs emissions, soil C sequestration, WUE, and AMF community compositions. 113

Previous studies suggest that perennial grain crops can have a myriad of potential
benefits that in many different ways underpin the sustainability of agroecosystems. However, it

116 underscores that comprehensive assessments to date are insufficient to validate

117 multifunctionality of perennial grain cropping systems compared with conventional annual grain

118 crops. Also, uncertainty of C dynamics as a response to N fertilizer additions across contrasting

119 cropping systems remains. Thus, the objectives of this study are (i) to quantify soil C and N

- 120 pools, (ii) to assess seasonal and inter-annual variations of GHGs emissions as well as water
- 121 fluxes, (iii) to investigate how the mycorrhizae community composition shift across contrasting
- 122 cropping systems.

## CHAPTER 1. Perennial Grain Cropping Enhances the Soil Methane Sink in Temperate

## Agroecosystems

Kim, K., Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Perennial grain cropping enhances the soil methane sink in temperate agroecosystems. Geoderma 388, 114931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.114931

Keunbae Kim<sup>1</sup>

Erin J. Daly<sup>1\*</sup>

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta,

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: edaly@ualberta.ca

#### 1 **1.1. Abstract**

2 The impact of contrasting land use types on the soil methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) sink remains elusive. We 3 examined for the first time how annual versus perennial grain cropping influences CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. 4 Experimental sites were located in Edmonton and Breton, Canada. Treatments included perennial-forage, perennial-grain, fall-grain, spring-grain and fallow. We measured surface CH<sub>4</sub> 5 6 fluxes, as well as root density, temperature and moisture at multiple soil depths over two years. 7 Overall, Breton was a 58% larger CH<sub>4</sub> sink than Edmonton. Moreover, clear differences across cropping systems were evident in Edmonton. Both perennial-grain and perennial-forage were 8 9 stronger CH<sub>4</sub> sinks than both spring-grain and fallow (P < 0.01). Notably, perennial-grain was a 67% stronger CH<sub>4</sub> sink than spring-grain (444 vs. 265 g CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> over two growing seasons). 10 These divergences were indiscernible in Breton, where all cropping systems sustained uniformly 11 higher CH<sub>4</sub> sinks than in Edmonton. The sites responded differently to the same cropping 12 systems likely due to their contrasting land use histories. A long-term cropping of perennial 13 forages prior to experiment establishment in Breton was conducive to maintaining a higher CH<sub>4</sub> 14 sink, masking treatment effects. Conversely, a background of recurrent annual cropping (as in 15 Edmonton) resulted in an enhanced  $CH_4$  sink when transitioning into perennial-grain. The  $Q_{10}$ 16 17 responses of the daily CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes to temperature further pointed to the greater CH<sub>4</sub> sink by perennial-grain. Irrespective of site, perennial-grain had a Q<sub>10</sub> at least 24% larger than spring-18 grain. Additionally, perennial-grain had deeper-denser roots and lower water-filled pore space 19 20 (WFPS) by 19% than spring-grain, likely enhancing gas exchange through the perennial-grain soil profile. The highest sensitivity of CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes to temperature and WFPS emerged from 21 22 measurements taken at 40 cm depth, suggesting peak CH<sub>4</sub> consumption at this subsurface layer.

| 23 | Overall, results indicate that as a consequence of increased root growth, perennial-grain can              |
|----|--|
| 24 | favorably shift the aeration-moisture balance in the soil towards increased CH4 uptake.                    |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 | Keywords:  |
| 28 | Methane sink, perennial grain, land use systems, Q10, moisture   |
| 29 | Highlights:  |
| 30 | • A CH <sub>4</sub> sink ranking: perennial-grain and perennial-forage > spring-grain and fallow.          |
| 31 | • Shifting from long-term annual to perennial grain cropping prompts methane uptake.                       |
| 32 | • Land-use legacy of perennial forage occludes divergence due to cropping systems.                         |
| 33 | • Surface CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes best predicted by Q <sub>10</sub> -moisture measured at 40 cm soil depth. |
| 34 | • Moisture emerged as key in mediating CH <sub>4</sub> uptake across cropping systems.                     |

## 35 **1.2. Introduction**

36 Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) is an important greenhouse gas 28 times more potent than carbon dioxide 37  $(CO_2)$  on a mass basis (IPCC, 2014). Soils can act as a sink or a source of CH<sub>4</sub> mainly as a 38 function of soil moisture, with unsaturated upland soils typically removing CH<sub>4</sub> from the atmosphere (Smith et al., 2011; Brachmann et al., 2020; Chai et al., 2020b). Nevertheless, the 39 40 global CH<sub>4</sub> sink by upland soils remains uncertain, with estimations widely ranging between 9 to 51 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> year<sup>-1</sup> (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007; Wanyama et al., 2019; Brachmann et al., 2020). 41 When soils are unsaturated, biological CH<sub>4</sub> consumption by methanotrophs can continuously 42 43 occur throughout the soil profile (Smith et al., 2011; Brachmann et al., 2020). This is linked to adequate soil aeration being a prerequisite for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to CO<sub>2</sub> (Chen et al., 2011; Díaz et 44 al., 2018). However, the net outcome of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake seems to largely depend on the land use 45 system (Dalal et al., 2008; McDaniel et al., 2019). Lang et al. (2019) and Wanyama et al. (2019) 46 further pointed to land use history and management changes over time, emphasizing the 47 importance of land use changes on soil water, aeration, soil mineral N status and their interaction 48 as crucial predeterminants of surface CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. 49

50 Croplands cover 11% of the total terrestrial surface, with annual crop species being dominant (FAO, 2011). A novel alternative to annual croplands is perennial grain cropping 51 (Ryan et al., 2018; Duchene et al., 2019). Shifting to emerging perennial cropping systems holds 52 the potential to deliver grain production while proactively managing environmental challenges, 53 such as mitigating greenhouse gases (Ryan et al., 2018; Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). 54 55 Examples of perennial grain cultivars under development are wheat, rice, sorghum and rye (Ryan et al., 2018). Relative to annuals, perennial croplands have inherently longer growing seasons, 56 deeper and denser rooting, and reduced soil disturbance over their multiyear growth (Hebb et al., 57

58 2017; Kiani et al., 2017; Sprunger et al., 2019). However, given the contrasting nature of annuals vs. perennials, it is unclear how a shift to perennial grain cropping could influence soil CH4 59 fluxes. The few available reports suggest that perennial croplands dedicated to biomass 60 production are a potential CH<sub>4</sub> sink (Don et al., 2012; Gauder et al., 2012). In addition to an 61 overall paucity of data examining the putative effects of perennial grain cropping on CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, 62 63 there is also a lack of understanding of possible underlying mechanisms. An earlier report by Culman et al. (2013) suggests that perennial grain crops have the potential to decrease soil 64 moisture at depth. Hence, it can be postulated that perennial grain cropping could enlarge the 65 66 CH<sub>4</sub> sink by influencing soil aeration. Indeed, this driving role of plants on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes is just starting to be documented across several biomes (Meier et al., 2016; Welch et al., 2019; Chai et 67 al., 2020b). Nonetheless, to date, there is no evidence available for how perennial grain cropping 68 might strengthen the CH<sub>4</sub> sink in soils. Moreover, a common practice in croplands is the 69 application of nitrogen fertilizers (Jungers et al. 2017; Guenette et al. 2019). Previous studies 70 have shown that N additions and increased availability of inorganic N can hinder CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, in 71 particular in forests (Butterbach-bahl and Papen, 2002, Fender et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2020). In 72 the case of perennial grain cropping systems, it remains to be tested whether N fertilization 73 affects soil CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes. Our study addresses these various knowledge gaps with respect to 74 perennial grain cropping and the effects of N fertilization. 75

The mediating effects of soil moisture and temperature on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes across contrasting cropping systems and land use changes are still unknown (Luo et al., 2013; Kern et al., 2012; McDaniel et al., 2019; Ning et al., 2020). Elucidating and accounting for these complex underlying mechanisms in generalized models can enable predictions of soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptake (Borken et al., 2003; Dijkstra et al., 2013; Dondini et al., 2016). Both moisture and temperature

81 simultaneously influence gas exchange, permeability and diffusion in soil profiles, thus regulating CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation to CO<sub>2</sub> (Philippot et al., 2008; Díaz et al., 2018; Welch et al., 2019). 82 Methanotroph activity is also stimulated by soil temperature (Dalal et al., 2008; Li et al., 2019) 83 and to some extent by moisture (Dijkstra et al., 2013; Chai et al., 2020b). As perennial grain 84 cropping could differentially influence both soil moisture and temperature when compared to 85 annual cropping, deciphering such mediating effects on the CH<sub>4</sub> sink can aid in developing and 86 parameterizing empirical models for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake across a broad range of management systems. 87 This study was conducted: (i) to examine how perennial grain cropping influences CH4 88 89 fluxes relative to contrasting cropping systems including annual grain cropping and perennial forage, (ii) to identify relationships between surface CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes, root mass, moisture and 90 temperature at multiple soil depths and across contrasting cropping systems, and (iii) to establish 91 combined models of temperature and moisture to predict CH4 uptake via empirical equations. To 92 address these objectives, we assessed the aforementioned cropping systems in two field sites that 93 had different long-term land use histories: perennial forage versus annual cropping. We 94 hypothesize that perennial grain cropping will enhance the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink, with crucial 95 ramifications for CH<sub>4</sub> budgets and negative feedbacks to climate change due to potential impacts 96 97 from land use change in temperate agroecosystems.

98

## 99 1.3 Materials and Methods

100 *1.3.1. Study site* 

101 The experimental sites were located in Edmonton (53°29'42"N, 113°32'1"W) and 102 approximately 100 km to the southwest in Breton (53°05'16.4", 114°26'32"W). Both sites are located in Alberta, Canada. The Edmonton site is characterized by gently undulating topography,
whereas the Breton site is a rolling landscape with moderate relief. The Breton site has
approximately 25 years of land use history as perennial forage for hay [alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*L.) and bromegrass (*Bromus spp.*)], whereas the Edmonton site has been continuously annually
cropped to barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) silage for at least three decades.

108 1.3.2. Experimental design

A randomized complete block design with four replications was established at each study 109 site. The full description of the experimental treatments is available in Table 1.2. Five 110 contrasting cropping systems were applied: perennial-forage, perennial-grain, fall-grain, spring-111 grain and fallow, creating a broad range of divergent biophysical conditions. Spring-grain 112 113 represents a typical annual grain cropping system, with active growth periods in the Canadian prairies from mid-May to mid Sept. In addition to cropping system treatments, N fertilize effects 114 were examined simultaneously. Therefore, each of the assessed cropping systems included 115 116 experimental plots both with and without N fertilization, except for the fallow treatment. In total there were nine treatments and 36 experimental plots at each study site. The N fertilizer source 117 118 was a granular blend of urea and polymer-coated urea (environmentally smart nitrogen, ESN<sup>TM</sup>) 119 in a ratio of 2:1. The N fertilizer application rate was 56 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was broadcast on all 120 16 N-receiving plots at each study site on the seeding date of spring-grain (Table 1.2). The plot 121 dimensions were  $4 \times 8$  m. The field activities in the study represent typical agricultural 122 management practices for the area (Table 1.2). Provided that the land use histories of the Breton and Edmonton sites were contrasting as noted above, it is presumed that within the context of 123 this study the perennial-forage and spring-grain treatments resembled the continuations of the 124 previous land uses in Breton (perennial) and Edmonton (annual), respectively. 125

## 126 *1.3.3. Soil properties and weather data*

| 127 | Soil total carbon (C) and total nitrogen (N) analysis was completed via dry combustion in            |
|-----|--|
| 128 | an Elemental Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Delft, Netherlands). Soil pH and EC was             |
| 129 | measured using a 1:2 soil:water slurry with a Fisher AR20 pH/EC Meter (Fisher Scientific,            |
| 130 | Pittsburg, USA). Bulk density was determined using the core method (Hernandez-Ramirez et al.,        |
| 131 | 2011; Soil Survey Laboratory Staff, 1996) and soil texture was determined via the hydrometer         |
| 132 | method (Gee and Or, 2002). Soil classification and initial soil properties at the surface layer were |
| 133 | contrasting between the two sites (Table 1.1). Prior to these analyses, soil samples have been       |
| 134 | collected in three composites per each plot, which were thereafter bulked for homogeneity.           |
| 135 | A set of 5TM soil sensors (Meter, Washington, USA) connected to an automated EM50                    |
| 136 | data logger (Meter, Washington, USA) were deployed in each block replicate. The soil sensors         |
| 137 | were installed at 7.5 cm, 22.5 cm and 40 cm soil depths to continuously measure and record           |
| 138 | hourly soil temperature (°C) and volumetric soil moisture (% v/v). As a common metric of             |
| 139 | moisture and aeration in soils that incorporates bulk density data and soil volumetric water         |
| 140 | content (Lin and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020), we calculated water-filled pore space (WFPS) as           |
| 141 | follows:   |

WFPS = 
$$\frac{VWC}{f}$$
 [1]

where WFPS% is water-filled pore space (%), VWC is volumetric water content (cm<sup>3</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O cm<sup>-3</sup> soil) and f is the total porosity (cm<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>). Soil porosities were calculated from bulk density data (Supplementary Table S1.1) collected at multiple soil depths in June 2018, assuming a particle density of 2.65 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The air temperature, ambient pressure and precipitation were recorded by a permanent
on-site weather station at each site within 1 km of the plots (ACIS, 2020). Air temperature and
pressure measurements were taken 2 m above ground surface. Breton is typically wetter than
Edmonton by 110 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> and slightly colder (ACIS, 2020) (Table 1.1).

150 1.3.4. CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurements

Fluxes were measured using a non-flow-through, non-steady-state chamber method 151 (static, closed) (Lin et al., 2017; Chai et al., 2020a). One PVC chamber base (15 cm wide × 65 152 cm length  $\times$  17 cm height) was installed using a chisel and mallet into soil to a depth of 5 cm, 153 perpendicular to the crop rows in each experimental plot. Once installed, the chamber bases 154 155 remained in the field for successive flux measurements and only removed for seeding and harvest activities. At least one flux measurement event was conducted every week during the 156 growing season from May to Sept. in 2018 and from April to Sept. in 2019, for totals of 52 and 157 54 flux measurement dates in Edmonton and Breton, respectively. Measurement frequency was 158 increased to twice-weekly during spring-thaw, following major rainfalls and fertilizer 159 application. The chamber headspace was sealed using an opaque detachable lid outfitted with a 160 rubber septa. Three 20 mL air samples were taken at 20, 40 and 60 min after chamber closure 161 through a septum port using a needle and injected into 12 mL pre-evacuated vials. To represent 162 163 time zero, three ambient gas samples were collected at the beginning, middle and end of each flux measurement campaign at 10 cm above the ground surface. Samples were obtained between 164 10 am and 2 pm on sampling days for consistency. When crop growth necessitated, biomass in 165 166 the chamber headspace was partially clipped to maintain a leak-proof seal with the chamber lid.

167 The gas samples were analyzed within two weeks of field sampling for CH<sub>4</sub> 168 concentration by a gas chromatograph (Thermo Fisher Scientific Trace 1310 Gas 169 Chromatography) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) at 250 °C. The CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes 170 were calculated by plotting a linear or exponential regression between measured CH<sub>4</sub>

171 concentration verses time using a modified ideal gas law as follows:

$$F = \frac{SPV}{ART} \times 12.01 \ g \ C \ mol^{-1}$$
[2]

where flux (F) is gaseous rate of analyte ( $\mu$ g CH<sub>4</sub>-C m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>); S is the slope of the linear regression or derivative at time zero of the quadratic regression; P is ambient pressure (P<sub>a</sub>); V is headspace volume of each chamber (L); A is the surface area with the chamber (m<sup>2</sup>); R is gas constant (P<sub>a</sub> L K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) and T is ambient air temperature (K). The multiplication by 12.01 g C mol<sup>-1</sup> is a conversion to C mass of CH<sub>4</sub> flux. The sign convention followed in this study was positive fluxes correspond to CH<sub>4</sub> sink or uptake. Growing season cumulative fluxes were calculated by linear interpolation between consecutive flux measurement dates.

## 179 *1.3.5. Root sampling and analysis*

Roots were sampled in June 2019 from the soil profile by obtaining undisturbed soil
cores from 0 to 60 cm depth (5.7 cm diameter, n= 4 per plot). Root separation from soil was done
by wet sieving (>180 µm size) followed by oven drying at 60 °C for 48 hrs to quantify total dry
root mass (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2014). Root C and N was analyzed via dry combustion in a
Flash 2000 Organic Elemental Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Delft, Netherlands). *1.3.6. Data analysis*

The temperature sensitivity of oxidation was examined by using non-linear regression models (nls) (Eq. [3]). An offset data transformation of +10 CH<sub>4</sub>-C (g C ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) was applied to all daily flux data to enable a subsequent log-transformation during derivation of Arrhenius equation parameters as follows:

$$F = -10 + e^{(lnA - \frac{Ea}{R} \times \frac{1}{273 + T})}$$
[3]

where F stands for flux (CH<sub>4</sub>-C g ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), A is a constant for each process velocity according to collision theory,  $E_a$  denotes the activation energy of the process (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> k<sup>-1</sup>) and T is temperature (K).

Using average monthly soil moisture and temperature measured at 40 cm depth,
Arrhenius-Q<sub>10</sub> was combined with linear residual-WFPS as follows:

$$F = -10 + e^{\left(b + a \times \frac{1}{273.15 + T}\right)} + \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times WFPS + \varepsilon$$
<sup>[4]</sup>

where parameters a  $(a = -E/R_t)$  and b [b = Ln(A)] control the flux as derived by Arrhenius 195 equation (Eq. [3]) using automated algorithms (Supplementary material: R Code for Q<sub>10</sub> 196 197 calculation) (Pinheiro and Bates, 2000),  $\beta_0$  is the intercept and  $\beta_1$  is the regression coefficient (slope) of the linear regression of unexplained flux residuals against WFPS (in percentage) and  $\varepsilon$ 198 is the error term. For instance, the Edmonton flux data for May to Sept. 2018 and Apr. to Sept. 199 200 2019 was used to fit an Arrhenius- $Q_{10}$  against soil temperature (Fig. 1.3A), then the residual fluxes were regressed against WFPS (Fig. 1.3B) and finally the two components were merged 201 (Fig. 1.3C). 202

203 Treatment effects were analyzed using a linear mixed effect (LME) model. Normality 204 and homoscedasticity of the model residuals were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk and plot
205 functions, respectively (NLME package of 3.2.1; R Core Team, 2015). Fixed factors for oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) were (i) "cropping system" (perennial-forage, perennial-206 grain, fall-grain, spring-grain, fallow), (ii) "treatment combinations" [fallow, spring-grain (with 207 and without N), fall-grain (with and without N), perennial-grain (with and without N), perennial-208 forage (with and without N)]. Two-way ANVOA was also used to determine "cropping systems" 209 (perennial-forage, perennial-grain, fall-grain, spring-grain) and "nitrogen fertilizer" (with and 210 without N) effects. Block was considered as a random factor in the LME model. Fluxes were 211 aggregated and evaluated at various timescales: daily, average by month, growing-season 212 213 cumulative and two growing-season cumulative (2018 and 2019). Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test was conducted for pairwise comparisons of treatment means. 214

215

## 216 **1.4. Results**

## 217 *1.4.1. CH*<sup>4</sup> *fluxes as a function of cropping systems*

Cropping systems affected CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes over time (Fig. 1.1, Table 1.3, Supplementary Fig. 218 S1.1). Daily soil fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> peaked on certain dates at each of the two study sites (Fig. 1.1). 219 For instance, perennial-grain showed substantially increased CH<sub>4</sub> uptake at the Breton site in late 220 221 May 2018. Notably, during the same period, soil moisture beneath the perennial-grain was much lower than all other cropping systems (Supplementary Fig. S1.2). Irrespective of cropping 222 system, most daily fluxes indicated uptake of CH4 taking place over the summer months (e.g., 223 224 June to Aug), while only 14 % CH<sub>4</sub> release events were registered within the colder and wetter periods (i.e., April and the first half of May) (Supplementary Fig. S1.2, Supplementary Fig. 225 S1.3). 226

| 227 | Cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes over both growing seasons (2018 and 2019) revealed contrasting                                 |
|-----|--|
| 228 | effects of cropping systems (Table 1.3). At the Edmonton site, both the perennial-grain and                                      |
| 229 | perennial-forage had significantly greater $\rm CH_4$ uptake than the spring-grain and fallow (P <                               |
| 230 | 0.01). Specifically, perennial-grain had a 67% stronger CH4 two-growing season cumulative  |
| 231 | uptake than spring-grain (443.6 $\pm$ 70.3 vs. 265.1 $\pm$ 71.7 g CH <sub>4</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> ). Notably, the divergence |
| 232 | in CH <sub>4</sub> uptake across cropping systems was increasingly discernable in the second year of the                         |
| 233 | study (2019) (P < 0.01). Moreover, the two-growing season cumulative uptake of the fallow  |
| 234 | plots was 19% lower than spring-grain, whereas fall-grain showed intermediate CH4 uptake   |
| 235 | between the spring-grain and both perennial systems. Contrary to these clear differences   |
| 236 | observed at the Edmonton site, the Breton site showed no major variations in the cumulative CH4                                  |
| 237 | uptake as a function of applied treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 1.3). Additionally, we detected no                               |
| 238 | consistent effects of N fertilizer addition on the CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes for either study site ( $P > 0.05$ ;                   |
| 239 | Supplementary Fig. S1.1, Supplementary Table S1.2). Overall, the two-growing season  |
| 240 | cumulative CH <sub>4</sub> sinks ranged from 216 to 444 g C ha <sup>-1</sup> in Edmonton, while Breton experienced a             |
| 241 | greater sink between 506 and 601 g C ha <sup>-1</sup> (Table 1.3).   |
| 242 | 1.4.2. Flux sensitivities to changes in temperature and soil moisture  |

Cropping systems influenced soil temperature and moisture at both sites (*Ps* < 0.01).</li>
While perennial-grain and perennial-forage showed the lowest two-growing season average
temperature and moisture, the fallow treatment experienced the overall warmest, wettest
conditions. Spring-grain was also significantly wetter than perennial-grain (*Ps* < 0.05;</li>
Supplementary Table S1.3, Supplementary Table S1.4, Supplementary Fig. S1.2, Supplementary
Fig. S1.3).

| 249 | On flux measurement dates, air temperature ranged widely from 1.8 to 32.6 °C in                           |
|-----|---|
| 250 | Edmonton and from 1.0 to 25.8 °C in Breton (Supplementary Table S1.3; Supplementary Table                 |
| 251 | S1.4). Comparing the three soil profile depths (7.5, 22.5 and 40 cm), soil temperature and                |
| 252 | moisture at the 40 cm depth showed the steadiest and most stable conditions (Table 1.4).                  |
| 253 | The sensitivity of CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes measured at the soil surface to temperature was quantified      |
| 254 | using a Q <sub>10</sub> Arrhenius relationship (Eq. [3]). When comparing daily air temperature and soil   |
| 255 | temperatures at 7.5 cm, 22.5 cm and 40 cm depths, the highest $Q_{10}$ sensitivity of surface $CH_4$      |
| 256 | fluxes occurred with soil temperature at 40 cm depth, irrespective of site. Additionally,                 |
| 257 | goodness-of-fits and statistical significance were largely improved when using soil temperature           |
| 258 | at 40 cm depth as a flux predictor compared to the other soil depths (Table 1.4, Supplementary            |
| 259 | Fig. S1.4). For instance, when shifting from using air to 40 cm soil temperature, the perennial-          |
| 260 | grain in Breton showed increases in $Q_{10}$ from 1.73 to an overall peak of 2.92 while $R^2$ also raised |
| 261 | from 14 to 38%. Moreover, this Q10 for perennial-grain was significantly greater than other               |
| 262 | cropping systems (P < 0.001). Irrespective of site, perennial-grain exhibited a $Q_{10}$ at least 24%     |
| 263 | larger than spring-grain.   |
|     |   |

Yearly cumulative fluxes responded to changes in soil moisture at depth (Fig. 1.2, 264 265 Supplementary Fig. S1.5). This moisture effect was particularly pronounced at the Edmonton site. Notably, Edmonton soils overall were relatively drier than those in Breton, with average 266 WFPS for all treatments in 2018 and 2019 being 24% and 24%, respectively, versus in Breton 267 268 where average WFPS for 2018 and 2019 was 27% and 31%. When aggregating fluxes individually by growing season, the highest magnitude of cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake was 269 consistently found in the perennial-grain for both years (Fig. 1.2, means  $\pm$  SE are available in 270 Table 1.3). More importantly, the variation in cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake across the range of 271

272 assessed cropping systems was significantly related to average soil moisture (expressed as WFPS at the 40 cm depth) (R<sup>2</sup>s of 90 and 64%; Fig. 1.2) for each growing season at the Edmonton site. 273 While the two inverse regression equations had similar regression coefficients (slopes of -3.43) 274 and -3.56 g CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> per % unit of WFPS), the difference between the two intercepts indicates 275 the more substantial cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake in the second study year as noted above – a net 81 g 276 CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> higher in 2019 than 2018. In general, cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake sharply increased with 277 decreasing WFPS. Likewise, at a finer timescale, daily CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes also increased with decreasing 278 WFPS at 40 cm soil depth in particular for perennial-grain and perennial-forage at the Edmonton 279 site (P<0.1 and P<0.05; Supplementary Fig. S1.5). 280

# 281 *1.4.3. Developing models by combining temperature and moisture to predict CH*<sub>4</sub> *fluxes*

To capture the observed effects of temperature and moisture simultaneously in empirical equations, the nonlinear Arrhenius  $CH_4$  flux- $Q_{10}$  (Eq. [3]) was further supplemented with the prediction contribution of unexplained flux residuals linearly regressed against WFPS. With the aim of aggregating data heterogeneity while still representing both the overall flux seasonality and the influence across cropping systems, we used monthly averages of point measurements for model development (Supplementary Table S1.5). Hence, the developed models provide predictability at time resolution by month.

Enhancements in flux predictability from amalgamating  $Q_{10}$  sensitivity with moisture effects differed across study sites. Flux models emerging from the Edmonton dataset appeared to benefit from applying temperature and WFPS as simultaneous predictors (Table 1.5, Fig. 1.3). For instance, the contribution of including the WFPS-flux-residuals component into the model generated an improvement in goodness of fit ( $R^2$ ) from 16 to 27% in Edmonton in 2019 (Table 1.5). It is noticeable that all  $\beta_1$  regression coefficients were negative, indicating increased surface

| 295 | CH <sub>4</sub> uptake with less wetter soils as noted above. The contribution to predictability by the        |
|-----|--|
| 296 | WFPS-flux-residuals component was much lesser at the Breton site. As noted above, Breton                       |
| 297 | showed more homogeneous, wetter conditions in terms of precipitation and WFPS, whereas the                     |
| 298 | differences witnessed in Edmonton were wider and more consistent across cropping systems                       |
| 299 | (Table 1.1; Supplementary Table S1.5). Overall, a parameterized model equation to predict CH <sub>4</sub>      |
| 300 | fluxes was postulated for the Edmonton site including both temperature and moisture                            |
| 301 | simultaneously (R <sup>2</sup> of 27%; Fig. 1.3C), while for the Breton site, temperature alone was the        |
| 302 | predictor with a large goodness of fit (R <sup>2</sup> of 59%; Fig. 1.4). In general, increasing temperatures, |
| 303 | which frequently coincided with decreasing moisture, drove larger CH <sub>4</sub> uptakes in all               |
| 304 | experimental plots and across all cropping systems (Fig. 1.3C).  |
| 305 | 1.4.4. Root mass across cropping systems   |
| 306 | Root mass strongly differed across cropping systems as they are linked to distinct plant                       |
| 307 | growth habits (Table 1.6). The overall largest root mass, C and N concentration are found with                 |
| 308 | perennial-forage and the lowest with spring-grain. Notably, perennial-grain had double the root                |
| 309 | mass density than spring-grain at the 15-30 cm subsurface soil layer, which was consistent at                  |
| 310 | both Breton and Edmonton ( $Ps < 0.01$ ). Furthermore, perennial-grain had a root C concentration              |
| 311 | four times larger than spring-grain in the 30-60 cm depth increment in Edmonton ( $P < 0.01$ ). At             |
| 312 | both the Edmonton and Breton sites, perennial-forage showed significantly greater root N                       |
| 313 | density than the spring-grain from 0-60 cm (Ps $< 0.05$ and 0.01, respectively). Notably,                      |
| 314 | perennial-grain root N density showed no significant differences from the perennial-forage at                  |
| 315 | either site.   |

# 316 1.5. Discussion

## 317 1.5.1. Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink

Several parallel mechanisms may explain the increased soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink in perennial grain 318 cropping systems: (i) longer growing seasons, (ii) dense, deep rooting, and (iii) the absence of 319 320 soil disturbance. While the active growth period observed in annual crops lasts from mid-May to mid-September, perennial grains experience growing seasons from April (following the onset of 321 the spring thaw) throughout October (until the start of winter freezing conditions) over at least 2 322 full years and potentially more with continued breeding efforts (Table 1.2) (Cattani et al., 2019; 323 Hayes et al., 2012). By using the full extent of the growing season to develop their canopy (Hebb 324 et al., 2017; Ryan et al., 2018; Zhang et al.2018), perennials generate a more stable microclimate 325 326 compared to their annual counterparts. Furthermore, although the fallow fields experienced the same boundary-layer weather conditions, perennial-grain and perennial-forage profoundly 327 328 altered the microclimate in terms of surface soil temperature and moisture (Supplementary Table 329 S1.3, Supplementary Table S1.4, Supplementary Fig. S1.2, Supplementary Fig. S1.3). A more stable microclimate beneath continual, dense perennial canopies can shelter and facilitate 330 methanotroph activity (McDaniel et al., 2019). The exception to this assertion is the periods 331 following harvest when grain and aboveground biomass are removed, which leads to desiccation 332 of the exposed soil surface while reduced evapotranspiration simultaneously increases overall 333 334 soil moisture (Table 1.2).

The extensive root system of perennial grains is able to uptake water from deeper layers within the soil profile, hence enhancing soil aeration when compared to annual grains. Indeed, we found much greater root growth by perennial grains than by annual grains in the subsurface soil layers at the two study sites (Table 1.6). In addition to water uptake, greater root mass improves soil pore volume (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2014), pore connectivity (Schwen et al.,

2011), aggregation and structure (Hebb et al., 2017; Kiani et al., 2017; Díaz et al., 2018),
collectively leading to enhanced gas exchange within soil profiles beneath perennial fields.
Along with an increased C and N contribution from root mass by perennial grain cropping (Table

1.6), the associated increases in root exudation further fosters overall microbial activity and

organic matter cycling (Philippot et al., 2009; Sprunger et al., 2019; Daly and Hernandez-

345 Ramirez, 2020).

Surface CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes integrate consumption and production processes throughout the entire 346 soil profile. In unsaturated soil profiles, the intensity of bacterial CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation reaches a peak at 347 subsurface layers where soil conditions (temperature and moisture) are more consistent than in 348 349 the topsoil (Meier et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019). In contrast, the soil surface experiences abrupt fluctuations in temperature and moisture. Thus, the transient nature of rewetting by precipitation 350 and desiccation by evaporation creates an unstable environment for methanotrophs in the topsoil 351 352 (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2017; Chai et al., 2020a). Furthermore, microbes at deeper soil layers experience gradually decreasing availability of dissolved organic C sources, 353 which serve as electron donors and are instead required to oxidize atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> (Li et al., 354 2019; McDaniel et al., 2019). Our results suggest that the 40 cm soil depth has the largest 355 activity of CH<sub>4</sub> consumption as detected in the study (Table 1.4, Supplementary Fig. S1.4). 356 357 Consistently at both study sites and across cropping systems, temperature and moisture measured at the 40 cm depth emerged as the best predictors for surface CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (Table 1.4, 358 359 Supplementary Fig. S1.4, Supplementary Fig. S1.5). Furthermore, our Q<sub>10</sub> sensitivity results 360 suggest that a "hot layer" (analogous to a spatial "hot spot") of CH<sub>4</sub>-monooxygenase enzyme 361 activity manifested in the vicinity of 40 cm depth (Table 1.4). From these results, it remains uncertain whether the hottest active layer for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation is located between 22.5 and 40 cm, 362

363 or even deeper than 40 cm. Overall, our findings agree with Li et al. (2019) who found that the 364 deepest soil layer in their incubation study (20-30 cm depth) had the highest  $Q_{10}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> 365 oxidation regardless of land vegetation cover. In our study, an intense  $Q_{10}$ -CH<sub>4</sub> consumption and 366 increased root growth at the subsurface soil layers beneath perennial-grain plots further 367 elucidates the mechanisms by which perennial grain cropping systems can enlarge the CH<sub>4</sub> sink.

368 Perennial grain cropping systems reduce soil disturbance operations by their nature, 369 whereas annual croplands can receive a varying intensity of tillage - one or even multiple times during a growing season. An absence of tillage increases CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by likely improving soil 370 371 aggregation, C and N accruals and microbial diversity over time (Pawlowski et al., 2017). Cessation of mechanical cultivation further enhances soil structure and porosity (Powlson et al., 372 2011; Kiani et al., 2017; Díaz et al., 2018). Concomitantly, it is known that methanotroph 373 activity is sensitive to soil disturbance (Meier et al., 2016; Chai et al., 2020b) and therefore 374 perennial grains and perennial forages that inherently experience less disturbance result in 375 beneficial gains in CH<sub>4</sub> sinks. 376

377 To our knowledge, this is the first study to quantify soil  $CH_4$  uptake specifically addressing the influence of perennial grain cropping systems. Additionally, this study further 378 unravels the underlying driving factors of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, such as soil moisture and temperature, 379 while examining the contrasting attributes and effects of perennial vs. annual grain cropping. 380 Most existing literature has focused on CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes from bioenergy perennials (Don et al., 2012; 381 Drewer et al., 2012; Gauder et al., 2012; Walter et al., 2015). However, bioenergy crops differ 382 383 from perennial grain cropping in terms of N cycling. While bioenergy croplands experience higher N removals due to the harvest of the entire aboveground biomass for feedstock purposes, 384 perennial grain cropping typically retains plant residues and associated N (Walter et al., 2015; 385

386 Kiani et al., 2017). Moreover, our study indicates the lack of an interaction effect of N fertilization and perennial cropping on the CH<sub>4</sub> sink. This is in contrast to numerous studies 387 documenting the inhibitory effect of N fertilization on CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, largely due to ammonia 388 competitively inhibiting CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs (Jassal et al., 2011; Stiehl-Brain et al., 389 2011; Sun et al., 2016). However, our findings are in agreement with previous findings in similar 390 N-rich environments, wherein no effect of N fertilization on CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation was ascertained 391 (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2011). These contradictory findings indicate that 392 no generalizations can yet be made with respect to the effect of N fertilizer on CH<sub>4</sub> flux, due to 393 394 the interplay of several factors including microbial community composition and soil chemical properties and should be the subject of future studies (Bodelier et al., 2004). 395

The magnitudes of the fluxes captured in our study are in line with earlier literature, 396 which reported flux magnitudes ranging from 8 to 360 g CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha yr<sup>-1</sup> (Hernandez-Ramirez et 397 398 al., 2009; Smith et al., 2011; Don et al., 2012). Overall, results suggest that under certain conditions, perennial grain cropping systems can enhance the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink relative to 399 conventional annual cropping systems. As perennial cropping enables grain harvests (Powlson et 400 al., 2011), they can signify a move towards a sustainable land use (Ryan et al., 2018; Duchene et 401 al., 2019). Yet, additional studies can further contribute to the enhancement of agronomic 402 403 management and productivity of perennial grain cropping.

404 1.5.2. The mediating role of soil moisture on surface  $CH_4$  uptake

In addition to revealing the overarching effects of cropping systems on the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink, results further document the mediating effects of soil moisture (expressed as WFPS). Across assessed cropping systems, WFPS, which represents the balance between water content and aeration, was a main driver in surface CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (Fig. 1.2; Supplementary Fig. S1.5). WFPS

409 encompasses soil aeration by including treatment specific bulk density values in its calculation410 (Supplementary Table S1.1).

411 The mediating effects of moisture at the Edmonton site were evident by witnessing the 412 similar CH<sub>4</sub> sinks that occurred in perennial-grain and perennial-forage, as they both had relatively low WFPS at depth, although they are plant species with dissimilar phenologies. 413 414 Likewise, the cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> sink of the fall-grain was intermediate between perennial-grain and spring-grain (Table 1.3); this can be understood as a reflection of the WFPS in fall-grain soils 415 being also in-between in the gradient of these cropping systems ranking from fully perennial to 416 417 annual growth habit. In fact, the spring-grain soil was much wetter than perennial-grain (with a typical magnitude difference of 19% WFPS), resulting in perennial grain cropping having an 418 enhanced CH<sub>4</sub> sink compared to the annual grain crops. In the same way, increased moisture in 419 420 annual cropping and fallow soils counteracted the potential stimulation of the CH<sub>4</sub> uptake due to increasing heat availability in the warmer summer months (P < 0.01; Supplementary Fig. S1.4, 421 Supplementary Fig. S1.5). We hypothesize that the effect of moisture was more pronounced at 422 the Edmonton site than the Breton site primarily due to differences in annual precipitation 423 affecting WFPS at each site. This effect was particularly pronounced by precipitation patterns in 424 2019, wherein Breton experienced 36% more precipitation than Edmonton during the growing 425 season (ACIS, 2020). Thus, the Edmonton site experienced more significant shifts in soil 426 moisture relative to the Breton site, which experienced more constant soil moisture conditions 427 428 regardless of cropping system. This is supported by previous research that has highlighted the comparatively increased importance of soil temperature, as opposed to moisture, on CH<sub>4</sub> 429 430 oxidation in wetter soils (Christensen et al., 1993; Wu et al., 2010).

431 That soil moisture mediates CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes have been inferred in recent studies across contrasting biomes (Wanyama et al., 2019; Brachmann et al., 2020; Chai et al., 2020b). Based on 432 regression trees, Brachmann et al. (2020) identified specific thresholds of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake vs. soil 433 moisture changes and postulated that CH<sub>4</sub> uptake was strongest when soil moisture was below 434 0.21 water fraction by volume. Likewise, using quadratic equations, Chai et al. (2020b) found 10 435 -30% volumetric water content to be optimum moisture for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, with reduced CH<sub>4</sub> 436 uptake at higher soil moisture. Wanyama et al. (2019) used multiple regression to establish a 437 highly significant (p < 0.001) relationship between WFPS and CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, whereby CH<sub>4</sub> uptake 438 from all land use types studied decreased with increases in mean annual WFPS. Their analyses 439 collectively revealed that the ideal conditions for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake reside in the intermediate moisture 440 range, but the optima seem to be specific to different soils and ecosystems. Thus, our study 441 encompassing unique agroecosystems contributes by further informing how CH4 fluxes respond 442 to moisture. Moisture increases beyond an optimum range diminish and even obstruct gas 443 exchange and diffusion (Fender et al., 2011; Welch et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). In fact, 444 moisture increases that approach soil saturation can gradually shift the net CH<sub>4</sub> flux at soil 445 surface towards becoming a CH<sub>4</sub> source (Brachmann et al., 2020). On the other hand, excessive 446 447 drying can cause methanotrophs to encounter physiological water stress and even desiccation (Van den Pol-Van Dasselaar et al., 1998). Both moisture extremes inhibit or even impede the 448 CH<sub>4</sub> sink (Rong et al. 2015; Miller et al., 2019; Chai et al., 2020b). 449

450 *1.5.3. Land use history considerations and CH*<sup>4</sup> uptake

The two study sites had contrasting land use histories, enabling certain interpretations
while comparing annual vs. perennial cropping. Not only did Breton have a 58% overall larger
CH<sub>4</sub> sink than Edmonton, but also cropping systems did not differ in their CH<sub>4</sub> sinks in Breton as

454 they strongly did in Edmonton (Table 1.3). These differential responses across sites to the same cropping systems can be attributable in part to their previous land uses. Breton had a long-term 455 history of perennial forage cropping prior to the beginning of the experiment. As the perennial-456 forage treatment in our study was consistently one of the highest CH<sub>4</sub> sinks, we hypothesize that 457 having a recent background of long-term perennial forage cropping is conducive to maintaining a 458 high CH<sub>4</sub> sink, even two years following a conversion to annual cropping (e.g., annual-grain). 459 We further interpret that the legacy effects of previous perennial forage cropping in Breton were 460 sufficiently persistent to mask the potential effects of contrasting cropping systems on the CH<sub>4</sub> 461 462 uptake (e.g., fallow = perennial-forage in Breton). On the other hand, the long-term land use history prior to initiating the experiment in Edmonton was annual cropping for several decades. 463 Beginning with a likely low CH<sub>4</sub> uptake baseline, such a background of recurrent annual 464 cropping (as in Edmonton) offered ample opportunities to enhance the soil CH<sub>4</sub> sink by 465 transitioning to perennial-grain. Shifting annual croplands to perennial grain cropping manifested 466 a consistently enlarged CH<sub>4</sub> sink over two consecutive growing seasons in our study. Further 467 research in these novel cropping systems and associated land use conversions can focus on 468 organic matter cycling, soil health responses, C and water-use efficiencies as well as nitrous 469 oxide and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes (Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020) 470

It is noticeable that the magnitude of cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake in fallow treatment at the Breton were almost equivalent to that of perennial-grain in Edmonton, particularly during the second study year (Table 1.3, Supplementary Fig. S1.1). With the aim of deepening the current understanding of these responses, future assessment can focus on the genomics of methanotrophs by quantification of the functional gene involved in anaerobic CH<sub>4</sub> oxidization, *pmoA*, with

changes in land use (Han and Gu, 2013). Potential responses of microbial community structureand mycorrhiza associations with perennial grain crop species remain also unknown.

# 478 *1.5.4. Escalating climate change and feedbacks*

As soils beneath perennial grain cropping consistently showed the highest temperature 479 sensitivity – in terms of flux-Q<sub>10</sub> response (Table 1.4, Supplementary Fig. S1.4), warming 480 (gradually increasing heat availability) can lead to a beneficial feedback of an even greater CH<sub>4</sub> 481 482 uptake (Luo et al., 2013, Shimizu et al., 2013, Zhang et al., 2018). Likewise, based on our models (Table 1.5, Fig. 1.3), slightly drier, longer growing seasons in comparable temperate 483 regions can also be conducive to increases in the CH<sub>4</sub> sink. These predictions apply as long as 484 the changing conditions still support plant growth (i.e., heat units and water supply) and hence, 485 perennial grain cropping could beneficially buffer, mitigate and adapt to such ongoing effects. In 486 addition to acclimation to warming and drying, high-enzymatic-affinity methanotrophs could be 487 selected for in these soil profiles over time (McDaniel et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). 488

Our empirical modelling for CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes effectively followed a similar approach as 489 previously devised by Borken et al. (2003) for CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, by combining Arrhenius-temperature-490  $Q_{10}$  with moisture to account for flux residuals (Fig. 1.3). However, it needs be recognized that 491 this approach does not account for hystereses of fluxes as a function of both thermal and 492 moisture effects over daily and seasonal time courses (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011, 493 Kariyapperuma et al., 2018). Additionally, other biophysical factors such as soil texture, 494 structure, oxygen profile and acidity (Wang et al., 2019, Reddy et al., 2019, Guo et al., 2020) 495 need to be accounted for in process-based modelling. Overall, the field-derived Q<sub>10</sub> data from our 496 497 study encompasses a broad range of cropping systems and land use histories and thus contributes valuable input parameters for terrestrial modelling efforts elsewhere. 498

#### 499 **1.6. Conclusion**

500 Our results substantiate that an enhancement in the CH<sub>4</sub> sink can be realized by converting long-term annual croplands into perennial grain cropping. However, the reversal does 501 not apply; changing from a land use of perennial forage to annual cropping does not manifest a 502 reduction in the CH<sub>4</sub> sink, at least within two years following transition. Furthermore, perennial 503 504 grain cropping following long-term perennial forages sustains the same considerable CH4 sink as in perennial forage systems. The mediating mechanisms by which perennial grain cropping 505 enhances the CH<sub>4</sub> uptake are influenced by increased root growth that favorably shifts the 506 507 aeration-moisture balance at the soil subsurface layers facilitating a CH<sub>4</sub> sink. Overall, the choice of cropping system and land use conversion has profound impacts on soil CH<sub>4</sub> uptake in 508 temperate, upland landscapes. 509

## 1.7. References

- ACIS. 2020. Alberta Soil Information Viewer [WWW Document], n.d. URL https://www.alberta.ca/alberta-soil-information-viewer.aspx (accessed 3.23.20).
- Bodelier, P. L., Laanbroek, H. J., 2004. Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation in soils and sediments. FEMS microbiology ecology, 47, 265-277.
- Borken, W., Davidson, E.A., Savage, K., Gaudinski, J., Trumbore, S.E., 2003. Drying and Wetting Effects on Carbon Dioxide Release from Organic Horizons. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67, 1888–1896.
- Brachmann, C.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hik, D.S., 2020. CH4 uptake along a successional gradient in temperate alpine soils. Biogeochemistry 147, 109–123.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., 2002. Four years continuous record of CH4-exchange between the atmosphere and untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany. Plant and Soil 240, 77–90.
- Cattani, D. J., 2019. Potential of perennial cereal rye for perennial grain production in Manitoba. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 99(6), 958-960
- Chai L.L., Hernandez-Ramirez G., Dyck, M., D. Pauly, L. Kryzanowski, A. Middleton, L-A. Powers, G. Lohstraeter, D. Werk. 2020a. Can Fertigation reduce Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Wheat and Canola Fields? Science of the Total Environment 745: 141014
- Chai, L.L., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hik, D.S., Barrio, I.C., Frost, C.M., Chinchilla Soto, C., Esquivel-Hernández, G., 2020b. A methane sink in the Central American high elevation páramo: Topographic, soil moisture and vegetation effects. Geoderma 362, 114092.
- Chen, W., Wolf, B., Zheng, X., Yao, Z., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Brüggemann, N., Liu, C., Han, S., Han, X., 2011. Annual methane uptake by temperate semiarid steppes as regulated by stocking rates, aboveground plant biomass and topsoil air permeability. Global Change Biology 17, 2803–2816.
- Christensen, T. R., 1993. Methane emission from Arctic tundra. Biogeochemistry, 21, 117-139.
- Culman, S.W., Snapp, S.S., Ollenburger, M., Basso, B., DeHaan, L.R., 2013. Soil and Water Quality Rapidly Responds to the Perennial Grain Kernza Wheatgrass. Agronomy Journal 105, 735– 744.
- Current and Historical Alberta Weather Station Data Viewer [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://agriculture.alberta.ca/acis/weather-data-viewer.jsp</u> (accessed 3.23.20).
- Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Livesley, S.J., Richards, G., 2008. Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscapes: a review. Plant Soil 309, 43–76.

- Daly E.J, Hernandez-Ramirez G. 2020. Sources and priming of soil N2O and CO2 production: nitrogen and simulated exudate additions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry SBB16275R2
- Díaz, M.A., Bown, H.E., Fuentes, J.P., Martínez, A.M., 2018. Soils act as sinks or sources of CH4 depending on air-filled porosity in sclerophyllous ecosystems in semiarid central Chile. Applied Soil Ecology 130, 13–20.
- Dijkstra, F.A., Morgan, J.A., Follett, R.F., Lecain, D.R., 2013. Climate change reduces the net sink of CH4 and N2O in a semiarid grassland. Glob Chang Biol 19, 1816–1826.
- Don, A., Osborne, B., Hastings, A., Skiba, U., Carter, M.S., Drewer, J., Flessa, H., Freibauer, A., Hyvönen, N., Jones, M.B., Lanigan, G.J., Mander, Ü., Monti, A., Djomo, S.N., Valentine, J., Walter, K., Zegada-Lizarazu, W., Zenone, T., 2012. Land-use change to bioenergy production in Europe: implications for the greenhouse gas balance and soil carbon. GCB Bioenergy 4, 372–391.
- Dondini, M., Richards, M.I.A., Pogson, M., McCalmont, J., Drewer, J., Marshall, R., Morrison, R., Yamulki, S., Harris, Z.M., Alberti, G., Siebicke, L., Taylor, G., Perks, M., Finch, J., McNamara, N.P., Smith, J.U., Smith, P., 2016. Simulation of greenhouse gases following land-use change to bioenergy crops using the ECOSSE model: a comparison between site measurements and model predictions. GCB Bioenergy 8, 925–940.
- Drewer, J., Finch, J.W., Lloyd, C.R., Baggs, E.M., Skiba, U., 2012. How do soil emissions of N2O, CH4 and CO2 from perennial bioenergy crops differ from arable annual crops? GCB Bioenergy 4, 408–419.
- Fender, A.-C., Pfeiffer, B., Gansert, D., Leuschner, C., Daniel, R., Jungkunst, H.F., 2012. The inhibiting effect of nitrate fertilisation on methane uptake of a temperate forest soil is influenced by labile carbon. Biol Fertil Soils 48, 621–631.
- FAO. 2004. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [WWW Document], n.d. . Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. URL <u>http://www.fao.org/home/en/</u> (accessed 6.22.20).
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S., n.d. Nonlinear Regression, Nonlinear Least Squares, and Nonlinear Mixed Models in R 31.
- Gauder, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Graeff-Hönninger, S., Claupein, W., Wiegel, R., 2012. Soil-derived trace gas fluxes from different energy crops results from a field experiment in Southwest Germany. GCB Bioenergy 4, 289–301.
- Gee, G. W., Or, D., 2002. 2.4 Particle-size analysis. Methods of soil analysis: Part 4 physical methods, 5, 255-293.
- Government of Canada, S.C., 2018. Land Use [WWW Document]. URL https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tb11/en/tv.action?pid=3210040601 (accessed 6.22.20).

- Gray, V., 2016. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis Summary for Policymakers: Energy & Environment.
- Guenette, K.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Gamache, P., Andreiuk, R., Fausak, L., 2019. Soil structure dynamics in annual croplands under controlled traffic management. cjss 99, 146–160.
- Guo, G., Chen, Y., Tian, F., Gao, Z., Zhu, C., Liu, C., 2020. Effects of livestock manure properties and temperature on the methanogen community composition and methane production during storage. Environmental Technology 41, 131–140.
- Han, P., Gu, J. D., 2013. A newly designed degenerate PCR primer based on pmoA gene for detection of nitrite-dependent anaerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria from different ecological niches. Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 97, 10155-10162.
- Hayes, R. C., Newell, M. T., DeHaan, L. R., Murphy, K. M., Crane, S., Norton, M. R., Cox, T. S., 2012. Perennial cereal crops: An initial evaluation of wheat derivatives. Field Crops Research, 133, 68-89.
- Hebb, C.C., Schoderbek, D., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hewins, D.B., Carlyle, C.N., Bork, E.W., 2017. Soil physical quality varies among contrasting land uses in Northern Prairie regions.
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., Smith, D.R., Van Scoyoc, G.E., 2009. Greenhouse gas fluxes in an eastern Corn Belt soil: weather, nitrogen source, and rotation. J. Environ. Qual. 38, 841– 854.
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hatfield, J.L., Parkin, T.B., Sauer, T.J., Prueger, J.H., 2011. Carbon dioxide fluxes in corn–soybean rotation in the midwestern U.S.: Inter- and intra-annual variations, and biophysical controls. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151, 1831–1842.
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Lawrence-Smith, E.J., Sinton, S.M., Tabley, F., Schwen, A., Beare, M.H., Brown, H.E., 2014. Root Responses to Alterations in Macroporosity and Penetrability in a Silt Loam Soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 78, 1392–1403.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, n.d. URL <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/</u> (accessed 8.3.20).
- Jassal, R. S., Black, T. A., Roy, R., Ethier, G., 2011. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on soil CH4 and N2O fluxes, and soil and bole respiration. Geoderma, 162, 182-186.
- Jungers, J.M., DeHaan, L.R., Betts, K.J., Sheaffer, C.C., Wyse, D.L., 2017. Intermediate Wheatgrass Grain and Forage Yield Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization. Agronomy Journal 109, 462–472.
- Kariyapperuma, K.A., Johannesson, G., Maldaner, L., VanderZaag, A., Gordon, R., Wagner-Riddle, C., 2018. Year-round methane emissions from liquid dairy manure in a cold climate reveal hysteretic pattern. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions from livestock production 258, 56–65.

- Kern, J., Hellebrand, H.J., Gömmel, M., Ammon, C., Berg, W., 2012. Effects of climatic factors and soil management on the methane flux in soils from annual and perennial energy crops. Biol Fertil Soils 48, 1–8.
- Kiani, M., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Quideau, S., Smith, E., Janzen, H., Larney, F.J., Puurveen, D., 2017. Quantifying sensitive soil quality indicators across contrasting long-term land management systems: Crop rotations and nutrient regimes. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 248, 123–135.
- Lang, R., Goldberg, S., Blagodatsky, S., Piepho, H.-P., Harrison, R.D., Xu, J., Cadisch, G., 2019. Converting forests into rubber plantations weakened the soil CH4 sink in tropical uplands. Land Degradation & Development 30, 2311–2322.
- Li, J., Nie, M., Pendall, E., 2019. An incubation study of temperature sensitivity of greenhouse gas fluxes in three land-cover types near Sydney, Australia. Science of The Total Environment 688, 324–332.
- Lin, S., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Nitrous oxide emissions from manured soils as a function of various nitrification inhibitor rates and soil moisture contents. Science of The Total Environment 738, 139669.
- Lin, S., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Kryzanowski, L., Wallace, T., Grant, R., Degenhardt, R., Berger, N., Lohstraeter, G., Powers, L.-A., 2017. Timing of Manure Injection and Nitrification Inhibitors Impacts on Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Nitrogen Transformations in a Barley Crop. Soil Science Society of America Journal 81, 1595–1605.
- Luo, G.J., Brüggemann, N., Wolf, B., Gasche, R., Grote, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2012. Decadal variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany. Biogeosciences 9, 1741–1763.
- McDaniel, M.D., Saha, D., Dumont, M.G., Hernández, M., Adams, M.A., 2019. The Effect of Land-Use Change on Soil CH4 and N2O Fluxes: A Global Meta-Analysis. Ecosystems 22, 1424– 1443.
- Meier, I.C., Leuschner, C., Marini, E., Fender, A.-C., 2016. Species-specific effects of temperate trees on greenhouse gas exchange of forest soil are diminished by drought. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 95, 122–134.
- Miller, G.A., Rees, R.M., Griffiths, B.S., Cloy, J.M., n.d. Isolating the effect of soil properties on agricultural soil greenhouse gas emissions under controlled conditions. Soil Use and Management n/a.
- Ning, J., He, X.Z., Hou, F., Lou, S., Chen, X., Chang, S., Zhang, C., Zhu, W., 2020. Optimizing alfalfa productivity and persistence versus greenhouse gases fluxes in a continental arid region. PeerJ 8, e8738.

- Pawlowski, M.N., Crow, S.E., Meki, M.N., Kiniry, J.R., Taylor, A.D., Ogoshi, R., Youkhana, A., Nakahata, M., 2017. Field-Based Estimates of Global Warming Potential in Bioenergy Systems of Hawaii: Crop Choice and Deficit Irrigation. PLOS ONE 12, e0168510.
- Philippot, L., Hallin, S., Börjesson, G., Baggs, E.M., 2009. Biochemical cycling in the rhizosphere having an impact on global change. Plant Soil 321, 61–81.
- Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M. (Eds.), 2000. Nonlinear Mixed-effects Models: Basic Concepts and Motivating Examples, in: Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS, Statistics and Computing. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 273–304.
- Powlson, D.S., Whitmore, A.P., Goulding, K.W.T., 2011. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change: a critical re-examination to identify the true and the false. European Journal of Soil Science 62, 42–55.
- Reddy, K.R., Rai, R.K., Green, S.J., Chetri, J.K., 2019. Effect of temperature on methane oxidation and community composition in landfill cover soil. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 46, 1283–1295.
- Rong, Y., Ma, L., Johnson, D.A., 2015. Methane uptake by four land-use types in the agro-pastoral region of northern China. Atmospheric Environment 116, 12–21.
- Ryan, M.R., Crews, T.E., Culman, S.W., DeHaan, L.R., Hayes, R.C., Jungers, J.M., Bakker, M.G., 2018. Managing for Multifunctionality in Perennial Grain Crops. BioScience 68, 294–304.
- Schwen, A., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Lawrence-Smith, E.J., Sinton, S.M., Carrick, S., Clothier, B.E., Buchan, G.D., Loiskandl, W., 2011. Hydraulic Properties and the Water-Conducting Porosity as Affected by Subsurface Compaction using Tension Infiltrometers. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 822–831.
- Shimizu, M., Hatano, R., Arita, T., Kouda, Y., Mori, A., Matsuura, S., Niimi, M., Jin, T., Desyatkin, A.R., Kawamura, O., Hojito, M., Miyata, A., 2013. The effect of fertilizer and manure application on CH4 and N2O emissions from managed grasslands in Japan. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 59, 69–86.
- Smith, D.R., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Armstrong, S.D., Bucholtz, D.L., Stott, D.E., 2011. Fertilizer and Tillage Management Impacts on Non-Carbon-Dioxide Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 1070–1082.
- Soil Survey Laboratory Staff . 1996. Soil survey laboratory methods manual. Soil Surv. Lab. Invest. Rep. 42. Natl. Soil Surv. Ctr., Lincoln, NE..
- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Peralta, A.L., DuPont, S.T., Lennon, J.T., Snapp, S.S., 2019. Perennial grain crop roots and nitrogen management shape soil food webs and soil carbon dynamics. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 137, 107573.
- Stielhl-Braun, P. A., Hartmann, A. A., Kandeler, E., Buchmann, N. I. N. A., Niklaus, P. A., 2011. Interactive effects of drought and N fertilization on the spatial distribution of methane assimilation in grassland soils. Global Change Biology, 17(8), 2629-2639.

- Sun, B. F., Hong, Z. H. A. O., Lü, Y. Z., Fei, L. U., Wang, X. K., 2016. The effects of nitrogen fertilizer application on methane and nitrous oxide emission/uptake in Chinese croplands. Journal of integrative agriculture, 15(2), 440-450.
- United State Geological Survey. 2020, USGS.gov | Science for a changing world [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://www.usgs.gov/</u> (accessed 6.2.20).
- van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 1998. Effects of soil moisture content and temperature on methane uptake by grasslands on sandy soils. Plant and Soil 204, 213–222.
- Walter, K., Don, A., Flessa, H., 2015. Net N2O and CH4 soil fluxes of annual and perennial bioenergy crops in two central German regions. Biomass and Bioenergy 81, 556–567.
- Wang, P.-X., Yang, Y.-D., Wang, X.-Q., Zhao, J., Peixoto, L., Zeng, Z.-H., Zang, H.-D., 2020. Manure amendment increased the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs but suppressed the type I methanotrophs in rice paddies. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27, 8016–8027.
- Wanyama, I., Pelster, D.E., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Verchot, L.V., Martius, C., Rufino, M.C., 2019. Soil carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from forests and other land use types in an African tropical montane region. Biogeochemistry 143, 171–190.
- Welch, B., Gauci, V., Sayer, E.J., 2019. Tree stem bases are sources of CH4 and N2O in a tropical forest on upland soil during the dry to wet season transition. Global Change Biology 25, 361– 372.
- Wu, Hongbao, Wang, X., Ganjurjav, H., Hu, G., Qin, X., Gao, Q., 2020. Effects of increased precipitation combined with nitrogen addition and increased temperature on methane fluxes in alpine meadows of the Tibetan Plateau. Science of The Total Environment 705, 135818.
- Wu, Haohao, Xingkai, X., Cheng, W., Lin, H., 2020. Dissolved organic matter and inorganic N jointly regulate greenhouse gases fluxes from forest soils with different moistures during a freeze-thaw period. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 66, 163–176.
- Wu, X., Yao, Z., Brüggemann, N., Shen, Z. Y., Wolf, B., Dannenmann, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2010. Effects of soil moisture and temperature on CO2 and CH4 soil–atmosphere exchange of various land use/cover types in a semi-arid grassland in Inner Mongolia, China. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 773-787.
- Zhang, L., Wang, S., Liu, S., Liu, X., Zou, J., Siemann, E., 2018. Perennial forb invasions alter greenhouse gas balance between ecosystem and atmosphere in an annual grassland in China. Science of The Total Environment 642, 781–788.

# Tables

Table 1. 1. Soil classification and properties (0–15 cm depth increment) for Edmonton and Breton sites.

|                          | Units                     | Edmonton        | Breton              |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classification           |                           | Black Chernozem | Orthic Gray Luvisol |
| TOC †                    | g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 53.7±2.9        | $27.5\pm2.9$        |
| TN                       | g N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 4.67±0.29       | 2.21±0.18           |
| Texture                  |                           | Clay            | Silty clay loam     |
| Clay †                   | %                         | 47.0            | 31.1                |
| Silt                     | %                         | 36.0            | 49.1                |
| Sand                     | %                         | 17.0            | 19.8                |
| pH‡                      |                           | 7.2             | 5.7                 |
| $\mathrm{EC}$ ‡          | dS m <sup>-1</sup>        | 1.5             | 0.83                |
| Cumulative Precipitation | mm yr <sup>-1</sup>       | 441.5§ [314.3]¶ | 551.4§ [388.8]¶     |
| Air Temperature          | °C                        | 3.15§ [12.36]¶  | 3.07§ [11.46]¶      |

 $\dagger$  Soil total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured by dry combustion (Brachmann et al., 2020) for each block replicate; these are means of four replicates ( $\pm$ SE). Texture was measured by hydrometer (Hebb et al., 2017).

‡ 1:1 soil to solution. EC stands for electric conductivity.

§ These are long-term normals (Alberta Climate Information Service).

¶ Values inside the brackets correspond to the measurement period: April to September, 10-years average (2009–2019; Alberta Climate Information Service).

| Abbreviated ID   | Description  | Seedin                      | g Dates                     | Harvest Dates   |   |  |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
|                  |  | Edmonton                    | Breton                      | Edmonton  | Breton  |  |
| Fallow           | Continuous fallow using Roundup® and D ester herbicide since June 2017   |                             |                             |   |   |  |
| Spring-grain     | Spring rye cropping system for grain production. <i>Secale cereale</i> L. cv. Gazelle. Tillage was used for crop establishment every year in the mid spring. Annual rye or summer rye are alternative designations in the literature.  | 22 May 2018;<br>23 May 2019 | 23 May 2018;<br>21 May 2019 | 19 Sep 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 19 Sep 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Fall-grain       | Fall rye cropping system for grain production. <i>Secale cereale</i> L. cv. Hazlett. Tillage was used for crop establishment every year in the late summer. Winter rye or biennial rye or are alternative designations in the literature.  | 29 Aug 2017;<br>3 Sep 2018  | 30 Aug 2017;<br>03 Sep 2018 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Perennial-grain  | Perennial rye cropping system for grain production. <i>Secale cereale</i> L. × <i>S. montanum</i> Guss cv. ACE-1. Tillage was used for crop establishment only in the late summer 2017.  | 29 Aug 2017                 | 30 Aug 2017                 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>16 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Perennial-forage | Perennial forage cropping system for hay production.<br>Alfalfa <i>Medicago sativa</i> L. and bromegrass <i>Bromus spp</i> .<br>Aboveground biomass is cut and carry two times a year<br>for hay with livestock feeding purposes. Tillage was used<br>for stand establishment only in the mid summer 2017. | 16 June 2017                | 8 June 2017                 | 26 June 2018;<br>31 Aug 2018;<br>16 July 2019;<br>17 Sep 2019 | 26 June 2018;<br>30 Aug 2018;<br>15 July 2019;<br>16 Sep 2019 |  |

Table 1. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and Breton.

|                   | 2018          | 2019                                  | 2018 & 2019   |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
|                   |               |                                       | Cumulative    |
| Cropping system   |               | g CH <sub>4</sub> -C ha <sup>-1</sup> |               |
|                   |               | Edmonton                              |               |
| Fallow            | 76.3±49.0     | 139.4±28.2 a†                         | 215.7±74.2 a† |
| Spring grain      | 93.1±60.0     | 172.0±19.8 a                          | 265.1±71.7 a  |
| Fall grain        | 146.6±45.2    | 230.3±37.3 ab                         | 376.9±80.1 ab |
| Perennial grain   | 176.3±38.8    | 267.3±37.2 b                          | 443.6±70.3 b  |
| Perennial forage  | 166.7±34.8    | 271.1±30.7 b                          | 437.8±58.1 b  |
| Overall mean ± SE | 137.9±20.8 a¶ | 224.5±16.0 a                          | 362.5±28.0 a  |
| Crop ANOVA P      | 0.13          | < 0.01                                | < 0.01        |
|                   |               | Breton                                |               |
| Fallow            | 221.4±50.4    | 284.8±61.8                            | 506.2±112.1   |
| Spring grain      | 251.7±36.9    | 326.3±26.0                            | 578.0±55.3    |
| Fall grain        | 258.7±27.5    | 342.3±13.4                            | 601.0±29.9    |
| Perennial grain   | 266.4±35.7    | 328.9±23.4                            | 595.3±51.6    |
| Perennial forage  | 279.9±26.6    | 276.9±27.0                            | 556.8±46.6    |
| Overall mean ± SE | 259.4±14.6 b  | 314.9±12.2 b                          | 574.3±23.1b   |
| Crop ANOVA P      | 0.74          | 0.09                                  | 0.54          |
| Site ANOVA P      | <0.001        | <0.001                                | <0.001        |

Table 1. 3. Cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake across cropping systems at Edmonton and Breton sites averaged over fertilizer treatments in 2018 and 2019 as well as cumulative of the two growing seasons (2018 plus 2019) ( $n=4 \pm SE$ ).

<sup>†</sup> Pairwise crop comparisons based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA.

Pairwise site comparisons based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA

Lowercase letters denote significant differences between treatments based upon post hoc analysis after one way ANOVA. The same letters indicate no significant difference within column, different letters indicate significant differences within column at p < 0.05.

|                  |      |        | Q10     |       |       |        | $\mathbb{R}^2$ |       |
|------------------|------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|----------------|-------|
| Crop             | Air  | 7.5 cm | 22.5 cm | 40 cm | Air   | 7.5 cm | 22.5 cm        | 40 cm |
|                  |      |        |         | Edm   | onton |        |                |       |
| Fallow           | 1.07 | 1.27   | 1.44    | 1.49  | 0.00  | 0.01   | 0.04           | 0.05  |
| Spring grain     | 1.16 | 1.38   | 1.48    | 1.52  | 0.00  | 0.02   | 0.03           | 0.04  |
| Fall grain       | 1.07 | 1.14   | 1.44    | 1.50  | 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.02           | 0.04  |
| Perennial grain  | 1.22 | 1.52   | 1.82    | 1.89  | 0.00  | 0.02   | 0.07           | 0.09  |
| Perennial forage | 1.31 | 1.69   | 1.97    | 2.07  | 0.01  | 0.04   | 0.10           | 0.12  |
|                  |      |        |         | Bre   | eton  |        |                |       |
| Fallow           | 1.31 | 1.43   | 1.72    | 1.83  | 0.07  | 0.08   | 0.22           | 0.28  |
| Spring grain     | 1.64 | 1.95   | 2.17    | 2.29  | 0.26  | 0.12   | 0.14           | 0.19  |
| Fall grain       | 1.64 | 1.81   | 2.19    | 2.34  | 0.11  | 0.15   | 0.26           | 0.31  |
| Perennial grain  | 1.73 | 2.08   | 2.78    | 2.92  | 0.14  | 0.21   | 0.36           | 0.38  |
| Perennial forage | 1.95 | 2.53   | 2.61    | 2.67  | 0.19  | 0.30   | 0.35           | 0.35  |

Table 1. 4. Temperature sensitivities  $(Q_{10})$  of daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake flux by cropping systems at the Edmonton and Breton sites as based on Arrhenius (Eq.[3]).

|               |         | Eq.[3]  | 1    |             |             |         | Eq.[4]    |            |             |
|---------------|---------|---------|------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Year          | Ea      | А       | Q10  | $_{adj}R^2$ | a†          | b‡      | $\beta_0$ | $\beta_1$  | $_{adj}R^2$ |
|               |         |         |      | Edm         | onton       |         |           |            |             |
| 2018          | 4108.8  | 59.97   | 1.62 | 0.03        | -494.17     | 4.09**  | 1.61***   | -0.0407*** | 0.44        |
| 2019          | 3356.9  | 45.34   | 1.53 | 0.16        | -403.74*    | 3.81*** | 0.58      | -0.0147*   | 0.27        |
| 2018 and 2019 | 2896.4  | 36.72   | 1.44 | 0.06        | -348.35*    | 3.60*** | 0.96***   | -0.0244*** | 0.27        |
|               |         |         |      | Bre         | eton        |         |           |            |             |
| 2018          | 12732.8 | 2406.53 | 3.17 | 0.54        | -1531.40*** | 7.78*** | 1.36      | -0.0282    | 0.60        |
| 2019          | 8949.1  | 509.23  | 2.52 | 0.77        | -1076.32*** | 6.23*** | 0.13      | -0.0025    | 0.77        |
| 2018 and 2019 | 9242.7  | 566.70  | 2.56 | 0.59        | -1111.46*** | 6.33*** | 0.20      | -0.0040    | 0.59        |

Table 1. 5. Soil temperature and combined temperature Q<sub>10</sub>-moisture model parameters for CH4 uptake flux (averages by month) as a function of temperature and water filled pore space (WFPS as % at 40 cm soil depth).

\*, \*\* and \*\*\* are significance at alpha critical levels < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001, respectively. † a = -E/R<sub>t</sub> where R<sub>t</sub> is 8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> k<sup>-1</sup>.

 $\ddagger b = Ln(A)$ . This transformation enables the statistical testing of the linearity of b (H<sub>0</sub>: b=0).

|                  | Root-mass     |              |                           |               | Root-C                            |              |                         | Root-N                            |                 |                |               |                 |
|------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
|                  |               | (mg root ma  | ss cm <sup>-3</sup> soil) |               | (mg root C cm <sup>-3</sup> soil) |              |                         | (µg root N cm <sup>-3</sup> soil) |                 |                |               |                 |
| Cropping system  | 0-15 cm       | 15-30 cm     | 30-60 cm                  | 0-60 cm       | 0-15 cm                           | 15-30 cm     | 30-60 cm                | 0-60 cm                           | 0-15 cm         | 15-30 cm       | 30-60 cm      | 0-60 cm         |
|                  |               |              |                           |               |                                   | I            | Edmonton                |                                   |                 |                |               |                 |
| Spring grain     | 4.42±1.64     | 0.40±0.06 a† | 0.25±0.05 a               | 5.08±1.62 a   | 1.52±0.59 a†                      | 0.12±0.01 a  | 0.06±0.01a              | 1.71±0.59 a                       | 52.40±10.77     | 6.26±1.14 a†   | 3.55±0.63 a   | 62.23±10.73 a   |
| Fall grain       | 5.42±0.98     | 0.85±0.16 b  | 0.83±0.22 bc              | 7.1±1.11 ab   | 2.09±0.35 ab                      | 0.27±0.06 a  | 0.23±0.04 b             | 2.59±0.37 ab                      | 84.28±15.06     | 12.10±3.30 a   | 11.55±2.38 bc | 107.94±15.47 ab |
| Perennial grain  | 7.48±3.13     | 0.79±0.07 b  | 0.68±0.13 ab              | 8.96±3.05 ab  | 3.01±1.34 ab                      | 0.24±0.01 a  | 0.23±0.04 b             | 3.48±1.31 ab                      | 105.18±39.48    | 8.58±0.54 a    | 9.57±2.21 ab  | 123.34±38.81 ab |
| Perennial forage | 36.88±22.92   | 5.83±2.31 c  | 2.40±0.76 c               | 45.12±25.86 b | 16.1±10.8 b                       | 2.16±1.10 b  | 0.88±0.30 c             | 19.13±12.14 b                     | 461.00±295.79   | 113.98±78.34 b | 33.32±10.21 c | 608.31±382.45 b |
| P-value          | >0.05         | < 0.01       | < 0.01                    | < 0.05        | <0.01                             | < 0.01       | < 0.01                  | < 0.05                            | >0.05           | < 0.01         | < 0.01        | < 0.05          |
|                  |               |              |                           |               | L                                 |              | Breton                  |                                   |                 |                |               |                 |
| Spring grain     | 6.85±2.06 a   | 1.05±0.20 a  | 0.70±0.13 a               | 8.61±2.08 a   | 1.97±0.41 a                       | 0.30±0.05 a  | 0.13±0.01 a             | 2.40±0.44 a                       | 69.59±18.18 a   | 7.40±1.17 a    | 4.02±0.73 a   | 81.02±18.28 a   |
| Fall grain       | 15.11±3.83 ab | 1.70±0.16 b  | 1.04±0.10 a               | 17.86±3.83 ab | 5.00±1.45 ab                      | 0.54±0.10 ab | 0.23±0.04 a             | 5.77±1.45 ab                      | 155.34±48.71 ab | 14.60±3.29 b   | 6.85±1.33 a   | 176.80±48.48 a  |
| Perennial grain  | 14.35±2.55 ab | 2.12±0.37 b  | 0.79±0.12 a               | 17.27±2.92 ab | 5.32±1.10 ab                      | 0.65±0.15 b  | 0.23±0.03 a             | 6.20±1.24 ab                      | 171.46±18.27 ab | 17.35±4.24 b   | 6.29±0.62 a   | 195.11±21.92 ab |
| Perennial forage | 39.55±17.06 b | 7.07±1.16 c  | 2.25±0.26 b               | 48.87±17.59 b | 14.5±6.28 b                       | 2.31±0.49 c  | $0.67{\pm}0.06~{\rm b}$ | 17.51±6.53 b                      | 501.37±176.75 b | 62.11±10.98 c  | 16.48±2.03 b  | 579.96±179.64 b |
| P-value          | < 0.05        | < 0.01       | < 0.01                    | < 0.01        | < 0.05                            | < 0.01       | < 0.01                  | < 0.01                            | < 0.01          | < 0.01         | < 0.01        | < 0.01          |

Table 1. 6. Root mass, C and N densities across cropping systems by soil depth increments (i.e., 0–15, 15–30, 30–60 and 0–60 cm) for the two field experimental sites in June 2019\*.

\* The given data represents mean  $\pm$  standard error (n=4),

\* Pairwise comparisons based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA.

# Figures



Fig. 1. 1. Daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake fluxes across cropping systems at the Edmonton and Breton sites over two consecutive growing seasons. Positive fluxes indicate CH<sub>4</sub> uptake, error bars indicate standard error.



Fig. 1. 2. Annual cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake at the soil surface as a function of soil moisture (waterfilled pore space) across cropping systems in the Edmonton site in 2018 (closed symbols) and 2019 (open symbols). Circles are perennial-grain, stars are perennial-forage, squares are fallgrain, diamonds are spring-grain and triangles are fallow. For clarity, unidirectional error bars (as standard errors of the means) are shown for cumulative methane flux. Linear regression fittings are shown separately for 2018 and 2019. Soil moisture was derived by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements (Supplementary Fig. S2).



Fig. 1. 3. Daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake flux at the soil surface as a function of (A) soil temperature, (B) soil moisture and (C) combined soil temperature and moisture at 40 cm soil depth across cropping systems at the Edmonton site. For comparison purposes in (A) and (B), data points from perennial-grain and spring-grain are highlighted with specific symbols as indicated in the legend. Shown flux data points are averages of the measurements within each month throughout the study (these averages by month are available in Supplementary Table S5). Soil temperatures were derived by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements. (A) Arrhenius equation fitting (Eq. [3]) and  $Q_{10}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake are provided. (B) Linear fitting of residual CH<sub>4</sub> uptake (after predicting CH<sub>4</sub> using Arrhenius equation in panel A) regressed against soil moisture. This CH<sub>4</sub> residual model informs the integration of temperature-Q<sub>10</sub> and moisture into the combined model equation (as Eq. [4]) in panel C. (C) The combined  $Q_{10}$  and moisture model equation is provided and represented by the background color bands where the band boundaries are labelled as daily CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (g CH<sub>4</sub>-C ha<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>). Bubble radii are proportional to the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes; empty bubbles are uptake and crossed bubbles are emission. Note that temperature for Arrhenius equation is in Kelvin.  $\varepsilon$  stands for model error.



Fig. 1. 4. Daily CH<sub>4</sub> uptake flux at the soil surface as a function of soil temperature at 40 cm soil depth across cropping systems at the Breton site. For comparison purposes, data points from perennial-grain and spring-grain are highlighted with specific symbols as indicated in the legend. Shown flux data points are averages of the measurements within each month throughout the study (these averages by month are available in Supplementary Table S5). Soil temperatures were derived by averaging hourly measurements at the 40 cm soil depth at the time of flux measurements. Arrhenius equation fitting (as Eq. [3]) and  $Q_{10}$  for CH<sub>4</sub> uptake are provided.

# CHAPTER 2. Soil Carbon Response to Perennial Grain Cropping and Nitrogen Fertilizer

Keunbae Kim<sup>1\*</sup>

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>

Monika Gorzelak<sup>2</sup>

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta,

Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E3, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: keunbae@ualberta.ca, phone: 1(780)660-0670

### 1 2.1. Abstract

2 Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils can play a pivotal role in the mitigation of 3 accelerating climate change. Our research evaluated a continuum of agricultural cropping 4 systems, including innovative perennial grain cropping, to assess which systems promote increases in and stabilization of soil organic matter (SOM). In comparison with conventional 5 6 annual cropping systems, perennial grain cropping may increase C accrual resulting from the no-7 tillage management, longer growing seasons, and extensive root growth associated with these novel systems. Furthermore, the effects of N fertilizer addition on SOM dynamics under 8 9 contrasting cropping systems was examined. We conducted physical SOM fractionation into particulate (POM) and mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM) in samples taken over two 10 years from two experimental sites in Central Alberta, Canada. Five contrasting cropping systems 11 [perennial-forage, perennial-grain, fall-grain, spring-grain, and fallow] both with and without N 12 fertilizer were tested. Our findings demonstrate that perennial-grain cropping was consistently 13 superior in sequestering SOM-C compared to annual-grain crops at the surface soil layer (0-15 14 cm depth, Ps < 0.05). Over the duration of this experiment, perennial-grain cropping considerably 15 boosted C accumulation into the recalcitrant SOM pools as represented by increasing MAOM, 16 particularly at the Edmonton site, which is characterized by a clay-rich, Black Chernozemic soil 17 (P < 0.05). However, recurrent N fertilizer additions diminished C sequestration by perennial 18 grain cropping in both POM and MAOM fractions (Ps < 0.05). Correlation analysis indicated that 19 20 accrual and allocation of C within the soil profile was more closely related to aboveground plant biomass productivity as opposed to root growth, particularly generating more intermediate-labile 21 POM. Our results shed light on how to achieve greater soil C sequestration as a function of 22 cropping system options, N fertilizer addition, and underlying soil texture. 23

| 2 | ^ |
|---|---|
| / | 4 |
| _ | • |

| ~ | - |
|---|---|
| 2 | 5 |

| 26 | Keywords:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 27 | Particulate organic matter, mineral-associated organic matter, perennial grain cropping, soil C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | sequestration, N fertilizer, soil organic matter.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Highlights:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | • Perennial grain crops increased C accrual into labile and stable soil organic matter pools.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | • N fertilizer addition significantly attenuates C sequestration in perennial grain crops.      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | • Carbon in particulate organic matter in shallow layer was related to above ground biomass     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | С.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### 34 2.2. Introduction

35 Soil carbon sequestration is an invaluable strategy to mitigate escalating atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> 36 levels and associated global climate change (IPCC, 2014; Chendev et al., 2015; Hebb et al., 37 2017). Within agricultural systems, this is achievable by implementing farming practices that ultimately facilitate the stabilization of soil organic carbon (SOC), thus reducing anthropogenic 38 39 greenhouse gases emissions into the atmosphere (Curtin et al., 2014; Kiani et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018). Sustainable agricultural management options that replenish SOC stocks include reduction 40 of tillage, continuous cropping, and inclusion of perennial species in crop rotations 41 42 (Bhattacharyya et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2020; Thilakarathna and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021). Additional benefits of these improved management practices include, but are not limited to, 43 improved soil structure, soil fertility, and soil water-holding capacity (Kiani et al., 2020; 44 Poffenbarger et al., 2020; Guenette and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2018; Smith et al., 2020). 45 Emerging perennial grain crops such as rye, wheat and rice, is a promising approach that 46 have the potential to promote multifunctionality and ecosystem services across agricultural 47 landscapes (DeHaan and Ismail, 2017; Ryan et al., 2018). Compared to conventional annual 48 cropping systems, perennial grain crops are characterized by distinct growth habits and field 49 management practices (Ryan et al., 2018, Sprunger et al., 2019), which may be conducive to 50 51 increased SOM accrual (Hebb et al., 2017; Kiani et al., 2017; Poffenbarger et al., 2020). More specifically, perennial grain cropping systems entail no-tillage management, longer growing 52 seasons, and extensive roots that collectively may favor C accumulation in soil profile (Crews 53 54 and Rumsey, 2017, Kiani et al., 2017; Kan et al., 2020, Mary et al., 2020). Previous studies that focused on an intermediate perennial plant as dual-use crop or unequal cultivar comparison (i.e., 55 cereal vs. forage), have shown inconsistent effects of cropping system options on C accrual, 56

| 57 | likely because of interacting effects of numerous factors such as local climate, soil type,         |
|----|---|
| 58 | topography, agricultural management, legacy effects, and soil C saturation (Sprunger et al.,        |
| 59 | 2018a; Sprunger et al., 2018b; Cates et al., 2019). However, our research has been conducted by     |
| 60 | involving novel perennial rye grain crops, the identical rye grain cultivar to annual grain crop    |
| 61 | counterparts. Additionally, there is a lack of information on how soil organic matter (SOM)         |
| 62 | accretion changes over time (i.e., inter-annual variation and seasonal dynamics) across             |
| 63 | contrasting cropping systems, which this study aims to quantify by assessing SOM dynamics           |
| 64 | during different crop growth stages over multiple growing seasons (Lin et al, 2020).                |
| 65 | Nitrogen (N) fertilizer application in croplands is a common practice of critical economic          |
| 66 | importance to boost primary productivity (Guenette et al., 2019). However, adding N to soils can    |
| 67 | strongly influence SOM dynamics (Thilakarathna and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021). Two parallel           |
| 68 | hypotheses can be postulated: (i) increased net primary productivity caused by N fertilizer         |
| 69 | application may directly contribute biomass-derived C into SOC stocks, or (ii) narrower C:N         |
| 70 | ratios in the soil as a result of N fertilizer addition may accelerate SOM mineralization rate (Liu |
| 71 | et al., 2017; Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). The latter hypothesis is also referred as          |
| 72 | priming of SOM, which is triggered by stoichiometric microbial decomposition, whereby N             |
| 73 | additions satisfy the nutritional prerequisites of soil microbes which are then able to decompose   |
| 74 | and mineralize recently-added plant residues or preexisting SOM (Roman-Perez and Hernandez-         |
| 75 | Ramirez, 2021). Overall, N input may have varying effects on SOM dynamics (Chen et al., 2014;       |
| 76 | King and Blesh, 2018). Moreover, in the case of perennial-grain crops, N fertilizer additions       |
| 77 | could interact with their abundant belowground biomass production (Liu et al., 2017; Kim et al.,    |
| 78 | 2021). Hence, perennial cropping systems might actually rely less on exogenous nutrient             |
| 79 | additions compared with annual crops likely due to their pervasive root systems (King and Blesh,    |

2018). Such interactive effects between N fertilizer application and contrasting cropping systems
suggest divergent responses of SOM dynamics to N fertilizer in different agroecosystems.
Therefore, identifying best management of N fertilizer is pivotal to enhancing SOC storage, and

83 hence, there is a need to investigate how N fertilization impacts SOM accrual in annual vs.

84 perennial grain cropping systems (Silveira et al., 2013).

SOM comprises a highly heterogeneous continuum of decomposing organic compounds, 85 which represents their ongoing decay as mediated by microbial activity ranging from recently-86 added plant residues to stable humus forms (Curtin et al., 2014; Kantola et al., 2017; Kiani et al., 87 2017). To begin understanding SOM dynamics, there is a need to assess the more rapidly cycled 88 89 pools of SOM across a range of contrasting cropping systems (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009). This can enable early detection of the direction of change and functionality of relevant young 90 91 SOM fractions as altered by management practices (Samson et al., 2020b). One such fraction, particulate organic matter (POM; 53 µm< particle size < 2mm), is termed an intermediate 92 decomposable pool (i.e., a transitory pool in the continuum decay of SOM) (Li et al., 2018). 93 POM consists of recent crop residues, added manure and microbial residues, and can be 94 considered a sensitive indicator of recent alterations in cropping practices and their effects on 95 96 SOM dynamics (Cates et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2020; Kooch and Noghre, 97 2020). Hence, examining C and N concentrations and distributions in the POM fraction is an effective method to understand C sequestration mechanisms across contrasting cropping systems, 98 as opposed to simply measuring total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN) 99 100 (Franzluebbers and Stuedemann, 2008). In contrast, mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM) 101 represents a relatively recalcitrant, stable SOM pool against the more labile, decomposable SOM. Stable MAOM pools enable to persist SOM protection for much longer than POM pools 102
(Lavallee et al., 2020). Such fractionation of SOM can further reveal insights about the sources
and stability of SOC. Moreover, the C:N ratios of the separated SOM pools can in part indicate
the extent of microbial decomposition (Poffenbarger et al., 2020).

Carbon input into soil primarily depends on plant biomass production both above and 106 belowground (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Mary et al., 2020). Our study addresses the 107 allocation of SOM into the labile POM fraction versus stable MAOM pools across contrasting 108 cropping systems, which differ in their above- and below-ground biomass production and tillage 109 practices. Our study objectives were to: (i) quantify soil C and N pools at different depths across 110 contrasting cropping systems including a perennial grain crop, (ii) characterize the impacts of N 111 112 fertilizer on soil C transitory (POM) and stable (MAOM) pools, and (iii) investigate temporal variations in SOM over two consecutive growing seasons in two contrasting soils. 113

114

## 115 **2.3. Materials and Methods**

116 *2.3.1. Study site* 

The experimental sites were located in Edmonton (53°29'42" N, 113°32'1" W) and 117 Breton (53°05'16.4" N, 114°26'32" W), Alberta, Canada. Soil classification and initial soil 118 properties at the surface layer were contrasting between the two sites (Table 2.1). Notably, soils 119 at the Edmonton site were richer in organic matter with roughly double the carbon and nitrogen 120 121 concentrations and also greater clay content by 16% compared with soils at the Breton site. Breton is typically wetter than Edmonton by 110 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> and slightly colder (Table 2.1) (ACIS, 122 2020). The Edmonton site is characterized by gently undulating topography, whereas the Breton 123 site is a rolling landscape with moderate relief. 124

## 125 *2.3.2. Experimental Design*

126 A randomized complete block design with four replications was established at each study site. Five contrasting cropping systems were applied: perennial-forage, perennial-grain, fall-127 grain, spring-grain and continuous fallow (Table 2.2), creating a broad range of divergent 128 biophysical conditions. Spring-grain represents a typical annual grain cropping system, with 129 active growth periods in the Canadian prairies from mid-May to mid Sept. Except for fallow, 130 each of the assessed cropping systems included experimental plots both with and without N 131 fertilization. In total there were nine treatments and 36 experimental plots at each study site. The 132 N fertilizer source was a granular blend of urea and polymer-coated urea (environmentally smart 133 nitrogen, ESN<sup>TM</sup>) with a ratio of 2:1. The N fertilizer application rate was 56 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, which 134 was broadcast on all 16 N-receiving plots at each study site on the seeding date of spring-grain 135 (Table 2.2). The plot dimensions were  $4 \text{ m} \times 8 \text{ m}$ . Field activities represented typical agricultural 136 management practices (Table 2.2). 137

138

# 8 2.3.3. Soil sample collection and preparation

139 Field sampling campaigns were conducted to examine inter-annual and season dynamics of SOM pools at both Edmonton and Breton sites. Soil samplings were conducted on 27 Sep 140 2018 shortly following harvest for both sites, 25 June 2019 and 26 June 2019 approximately 141 142 coinciding with crop anthesis, and 1 Oct 2019 and 30 Sep 2019, shortly after harvest for Edmonton and Breton, respectively. A total of 5 soil cores were collected from each plot using a 143 handheld auger from two depth increments (i.e., 0 - 7.5 cm and 7.5 - 15 cm). The two depths of 144 145 field moist soil samples were homogenized, resulting in one composite sample per plot for each depth, then passed through a sieve of 8 mm mesh in the lab. Samples were then stored at 4°C 146 prior to further processing. 147

The POM size in our study was defined by a physical size fractionation between 0.053 149 mm and 2 mm in size diameter (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Li et al., 2018). Physical 150 fractionation was implemented in the lab using field moist soil samples to preserve and capture 151 the original integrity of SOM. To account for soil moisture, water contents were first measured 152 using 10 g soil sub-samples, which were oven dried at 60 °C for 24 hours. Field moist soil 153 154 equivalents were then corrected to an oven-dry of mass 25 g. These moisture-corrected soils were transferred into 125 mL plastic bottles with ten glass beads each of 5 mm in diameter, and 155 distilled water was added until the bottle had a total water content of 90 mL. Prepared bottles 156 157 were then placed on a horizontal reciprocal shaker at 180 rpm for 16 hours. The resultant soil suspension was passed through 2 mm and 0.053 mm sieves in size diameter. The pebbles and 158 159 large plant residues retained on the 2 mm sieve were transferred into a beaker after removal of the glass beads. Likewise, the material retained on the 0.053 mm sieve was transferred into 160 another beaker; i.e., this is the 0.052 to 2 mm POM size fraction. POM was oven-dried at 60 °C 161 for at least 72 hours prior to being weighed. Finally, the material was ground using a ball-mill 162 and stored for C and N analysis (Pansu and Gautheyrou, 2006). 163

164 2.3.5. Aboveground and root biomass measurements

Aboveground biomass was taken by hand harvesting with a 50 cm × 50 cm quadrat at two locations within each replicated plot prior to harvest over consecutive two growing seasons. Three subsamples were collected to represent each plot using the quadrat. Root sampling was completed near the time of crop anthesis in mid-July 2018 and 2019. Undisturbed soil cores (5.7 cm diameter, n=4 for each plot) were taken from 0 to 60 cm depth. Root separation of 0-15, 15-30 and 30-60 cm depth increments was conducted by wet sieving (>180 µm size) (Hernandez-

171 Ramirez et al., 2014). Both aboveground and root biomass were oven dried at 60 °C for 48 hours
172 to measure dry biomass weight. Subsamples were then ground using a ball-mill and encapsulated
173 in tin capsules.

174 2.3.6. Soil and biomass C and N analyses

Soil (i.e., whole soils and POM fraction) and biomass (i.e., aboveground and root 175 biomass) C and N concentration were measured by dry combustion (Brachmann et al., 2020) 176 177 using an Elemental Analyzer (EA; Carlo Erba NA 2100, Carlo Erba Strumentazione, Milan, Italy). The N concentration in aboveground biomass was determined using a POSS DS2500 178 (Foss Analytics, Denmark) near infrared spectroscope (NIR) with NIR calibration curve obtained 179 by EA analysis. Stable MAOM pool was calculated by simply subtracting the POM pool from 180 181 measured total SOM. Fractions of POM-C and -N as well as MAOM-C and -N were presented on the basis of concentrations as well as proportions of the total SOM-N and -C, respectively. 182

183 *2.3.7. Statistical analyses* 

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were conducted using linear mixed effects models 184 (LME) in R (NLME package of 3.2.1; R Core Team, 2015). Covariance structure was corrected 185 by including a blocking factor as a random effect. Two-way ANOVA was used to test the effects 186 of cropping system type, N fertilizer addition, and their interaction [Cropping systems (spring-187 grain, fall-grain, perennial-grain, perennial-forage) x Fertilizer (with N, without N)]. One-way 188 ANOVA was used for cropping system effect comparison (fallow, spring-grain, fall-grain, 189 perennial-grain, perennial-forage). The normality and homogeneity of the model residuals were 190 evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk's test and plot function. Box-Cox transformations were 191 192 implemented to correct non-normality or heteroscedasticity when needed. Pairwise comparisons

were run after significant ANOVA with Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) using theagricolae package in R (Mendiburu and Felipe de, 2020).

Repeated measures analysis was used to assess consecutive soil samplings with a LME 195 model. Heterogeneous variances and correlation of model residuals were accounted for using a 196 set of predefined structure options as follows: compound symmetry, first order auto-regressive 197 correlation structure (AR1), second order auto-regressive correlation structure (AR2), power 198 variance structure, and exponential variance structure. After accounting for lack of independence 199 and heteroscedasticity, the models were re-assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk's test and plot function. 200 The best model was chosen for ANOVA based on its Akaike information criterion (AIC), 201 202 parsimony and simplicity (Pinheiro and Bastes, 2000). 203 Spearman correlation was used to explore and interpret the association across all

204 variables.

205 **2.3. Results** 

# 206 2.3.1. Soil total organic carbon and total nitrogen

Soil TOC and TN were significantly affected by cropping system type at the Breton site 207 208 from 0 - 15 cm over two growing seasons. Based on postharvest soil sample collections, the overall ranking followed: perennial-forage> perennial-grain> spring-grain> fall-grain> fallow 209 (Ps < 0.05, Table 2.3). Moreover, these differences across cropping systems were more evident in 210 211 the topsoil 0-7.5 cm depth (hereafter referred to as 'topsoil') increment than in the subsurface soil layer at 7.5-15 cm depth (hereafter referred to as 'subsurface') (Ps < 0.05, Supplementary 212 Table S2.1, Supplementary Table S2.3). Interestingly, TOC, TN and the C:N ratio in the topsoil 213 showed a significant interactive effect between crop and N fertilizer post-harvest in the second 214

growing season (Ps < 0.05, Supplementary Table S2.3). Soils receiving no N fertilizer showed increases in SOM pools, specifically in the perennial-grain and perennial-forage (P < 0.01, Fig. 2.4).

Contrary to Breton, there was no significant difference in soil TOC, TN, and C:N ratio between cropping systems in the Edmonton site when averaged over the 0-15 cm depth (*Ps* >0.05, Table 2.4). However, the perennial-grain tended to have numerically higher soil TOC, TN, and C:N ratio than spring-grain in Edmonton (Supplementary Table S2.4, Supplementary Table S2.5, Supplementary Table S2.6). Interestingly, the highest TOC and TN in soils under the perennial-grain cropping system were found in the subsurface soil after harvest in the second growing season (P < 0.05, Fig. 2.5, Supplementary Table S2.6).

Least squared means of soil TOC for Breton and Edmonton from 0-15 cm ranged from 25.3 to 32.7 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, and from 57.6 to 62.6 g C kg-1 soil, respectively. Overall soil TOC and TN concentrations in Edmonton were about twofold higher than those in Breton. Perennialgrain cropping showed steadily increasing soil TOC and TN from 0-15 cm over the duration of this experiment compared to spring-grain, although statistical significance was not observed (Table 2.3, Table 2.4).

231 2.3.2. Cropping Systems influence on POM and MAOM

Significant effects of cropping systems on POM-C and POM-N concentrations from 0-15 cm were found in Breton after the first harvest in Sept. 2018 (i.e., perennial forage > perennial grain > fall grain > spring grain > fallow) (Ps <0.05, Table 2.3). Furthermore, POM-C and POM-N gradually increased over time during the study in all treatments (Table 2.3). Specifically, these differences across cropping systems were evident in the topsoil instead of the subsurface soil
layer (P<0.01, Fig. 2.1, Supplementary Table S2.1).</li>

In the case of Edmonton, the interactive effect of crop and fertilizer was significant on both POM-C concentration and POM-C fraction, however only for the topsoil. Notably, perennial-grain cropping receiving no N fertilizer had 47% higher POM-C concentration and 40% higher POM-C fraction relative to perennial-grain amended with N fertilizer (Ps < 0.05, Fig. 2.2).

Even though significant differences in POM-C, -N concentration, and fraction in the topsoil vanished in the middle of the growing season (soil sample collection at anthesis on late June 2019), significance differences were evident for the stable MAOM pool. For example, MAOM-N concentrations in the subsurface soil in Breton were significantly decreased by N fertilizer addition (P < 0.05, Supplementary Table 2.2). Likewise, in Edmonton, MAOM-C and MAOM-N fraction under perennial-grain cropping were significantly greater than the other cropping systems (Ps < 0.05, Supplementary Table 2.5, Fig. 2.3).

250 After two growing seasons, overall significance of POM-C concentration and fraction 251 across cropping system types disappeared at both sites when considering the entire soil depth increment from 0 to 15 cm (Ps>0.05, Table 2.3, Table 2.4). However, once these data were 252 253 analyzed separated by the two soil layers (0-7.5 and 7.5-15 cm), POM-C concentration at the 254 topsoil showed to be significantly affected by cropping system, in similar fashion as shortly after the harvest of the first growing season (i.e., spring-grain < perennial-grain) (Ps < 0.05, 255 256 Supplementary Table S2.3). Furthermore, differential responses of POM and MAOM to the N 257 fertilizer addition were also observed. There was a marginally significant effect of N fertilizer addition on POM-C within the perennial-forage (P = 0.051, Fig. 2.4). Significance of N 258

fertilization on MAOM-C and MAOM-N concentrations was also found in perennial-grain and perennial-forage cropping systems at the Breton site (Ps < 0.05, Fig. 2.4, Supplementary Table S2.3). Although there was no significance across cropping systems and N fertilizer additions in Edmonton, it can be noted that POM-C and MAOM-C concentrations under perennial-grain cropping were numerically greater than that in spring-grain by 21 and 9 %, respectively (P>0.05, Supplementary Table S2.6).

265 Overall C:N ratio in POM was higher than that in MAOM (Table 2.3, Table 2.4).

266 2.3.3. Changes in stable SOM pool over time: spring-grain vs. perennial-grain

A significant interactive effect of crop × fertilizer × time was determined for topsoil SOM, each of these three separate factors (i.e., crop, fertilizer, and time) had no significant impacts (P > 0.05, Supplementary Table S2.7). Notably, MAOM-C in perennial-grain crops in subsurface soil layer were significantly greater than spring-grain, while at the same time, overall TOC in perennial-grain was also increased (*Ps*<0.05, Fig. 2.5, Supplementary Table S2.7). Additionally, TOC and MAOM-C in perennial-grain fields exhibited similar increasing pattern over time (*Ps*<0.05, Fig. 2.5, Supplementary Table S2.7).

274 2.3.4. Correlation analysis

As expected, N parameters showed a positive linear relationship with C variables (Ps <

276 0.05, Fig. 2.8). Interestingly, root-C:N ratio in Breton was positively correlated with the POM-

277 C:N ratio, whereas the aboveground biomass C:N ratio at the Edmonton site was negatively

correlated with the POM-C:N ratio ( $\rho$ = 0.480,  $\rho$ = -0.587, respectively, *Ps* < 0.01; Fig. 2.8,

279 Supplementary Table S2.9, Supplementary Table S2.10). Furthermore, at the Edmonton site, the

280 C concentration in aboveground biomass was more highly correlated with the POM-C

concentration, as opposed to the organic C concentration in the whole soil (P < 0.01, P < 0.05, respectively; Fig.2.9; Supplementary Fig. S2.2.).

283 **2.4. Discussion** 

## 284 2.4.1. Perennial-grain cropping as a means of SOM sequestration

Sequestration of SOC is the result of stabilization mechanisms in soils in conjunction 285 with a positive imbalance between C inputs (e.g., plant photosynthesis) and C removals (e.g., 286 287 biomass harvest, microbial respiration, root respiration, leaching, and erosion) over time (Kantola et al., 2017; King and Blesh, 2018; Lin et al., 2020). Our study suggests that perennial-288 grain cropping is a beneficial alternative to conventional annual-grain crops, based on their 289 effective contributions to increased SOC sequestration in both the labile and stable pools (Fig. 290 291 2.1, Fig. 2.3, and Fig. 2.5). The initial C accrual effects caused by perennial-grain cropping were detected in the transitory SOM pool (i.e., POM-C) at the Breton site in the first year. Although 292 we could not find significant differences between cropping systems in the POM-C concentration 293 294 at the Edmonton site in the first year, the significant increases in MAOM-C concentration and fraction became apparent in the second year of crop growing phase (i.e., in late June 2019) (Fig. 295 296 2.3). Furthermore, the recalcitrant MAOM-C pool has shown significant increases in concentration on Edmonton site over the study period (Fig. 2.5). Thus, perennial-grain cropping 297 has the direct potential to increase the amount of C in labile pools (POM), or indirectly enhance 298 C allocation into the recalcitrant pool (MAOM). Considering that residence time of MAOM-C 299 ranges from 10 up to greater than 100 years, it is clear that increased MAOM-C concentrations 300 by perennial-grain cropping is a substantially beneficial for C sequestration purposes (Valdez et 301 302 al., 2017). Moreover, the fact that SOM pools increased over time – in particular the MAOM pool in the subsurface soil layer in Edmonton (Fig. 2.5) – showed that the Black Chernozemic 303

soil with initially high SOM was not saturated in SOM. Collectively, these results highlight the
 strategic contribution of perennial-grain cropping to further SOC sequestration.

Enhanced SOC sequestration with perennial-grain cropping may result from: i) increased 306 C input from higher above and belowground biomass production by perennials, ii) enhanced 307 stability in the microclimate at the soil surface and diminished physical disturbance, and iii) 308 reduction of erosion caused by year-round ground cover, or any combination of these various 309 factors (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Crews and Rumsey., 2017; King and Blesh, 2018). 310 Both Cates et al., (2016) and Sprunger et al., (2018b) demonstrated that soils under perennial 311 vegetation develop higher C storage than soils under annual crops as a result of greater activities 312 313 of perennial roots and soil microbial community. Similarly, the root data collected in this study suggests significantly greater C contributions belowground by perennial-grain cropping relative 314 to annual grain (Fig. 2.6). Moreover, this increased root biomass in perennial grain crops could 315 also be linked to higher root exudation, which provides nutrients and energy to soil microbes that 316 mediate the effective accretion of the transitory SOM pool (i.e., POM) by altering mineralization 317 and likely optimizing also humification (Cates et al., 2019; Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). 318 Moreover, no-tillage management under perennial-grain crops can provide soil biota with 319 favorable microclimatic conditions and enhance soil aggregate formation, improving soil 320 structure (Cates et al., 2016; Kiani et al., 2017). Ameliorated soil structure facilitates microbial 321 access to nutrients by maintaining aerobic soil conditions and at the same time physically 322 323 protecting SOM pools (Sprunger et al., 2018b; Cates et al., 2019; Kiani et al., 2020). 324 Furthermore, an increasing vertical stratification, a decrease in the diluting effect of mixing, and 325 the reduced incorporation of surface residue-C inputs into the soil under no-tillage management might also slow down the mineralization rate (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Gauder et al., 326

2016; Mary et al., 2020). Lastly, continuous ground cover and C input by year-round vegetation

328 growth may generate an indirect protection of MAOM from mineralization. Newly-added

329 nutrient inputs can cause priming effects even on stable SOM pools (Daly and Hernandez-

330 Ramirez, 2020; Thilakarathna and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021; Roman-Perez and Hernandez-

Ramirez, 2021); However, year-round ground cover provided by perennial plants can reduce this

priming effect on MAOM by maintaining the balance of r- and K- strategists within the

microbial population dynamics (Gauder et al., 2016; Soares et al., 2020).

## 334 2.4.2. Response of SOM accretion under perennial grain cropping to N fertilizer addition

Notably, our study revealed that adding N fertilizer specifically in perennial-grain 335 cropping systems has significant impacts on POM, MAOM, and TOC, for both the Edmonton 336 337 and Breton study sites. Although responses occurred in different growing seasons and SOM pools, N fertilizer additions precluded increases in SOC concentration. Nitrogen fertilization had 338 339 a marginal effect on the composition of aboveground plant biomass (Supplementary Table S2.8). 340 It is likely that belowground biomass also experience the same. Narrower C:N ratios in plant biomass caused by N fertilization can facilitate decomposability in the initial transformation 341 342 stages from recently-added plant residues into stable SOM. These inferences support the 343 applicability of the stoichiometric decomposition hypothesis upon N addition as recently reported by Roman-Perez and Hernandez-Ramirez (2021). This hypothesis applies where 344 exogenous N additions fulfill the nutrient prerequisites for faster microbial activity, which 345 346 subsequently unleashes primed mineralization of SOM (Liu et al., 2017; Thilakarathna and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021; Roman-Perez and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021). Additionally, our 347 results may also adhere to the optimal foraging theory, implying that perennial grain cropping 348 invests in root expansion where the soil became rich in nutrients, and thus increased root mass 349

350 under perennial-grain crops was capable of capturing relatively greater amounts of the added N fertilizer compared with annual crops (Sprunger et al., 2018b). Subsequently, the increased 351 primary productivity influenced by N fertilizer additions could alter the magnitude of crop-352 derived residuals coupled with a rate of SOM decomposition. Moreover, previous research has 353 found that N management alters microbial community structure and composition by shifting 354 nutrient distribution (Somenahalley et al., 2020). As N fertilizer addition decreases the soil C:N 355 ratio and increases crop-derived residues, microbial-mediated SOM decomposition rate may 356 subsequently accelerate as noted above (Valdez et al., 2017; Borges et al. 2019). Collectively, 357 358 perennial grain cropping might have dynamized the N cycling as triggered by N fertilizer addition when compared with annual grain crops. 359

## *2.4.3. Contrasting study sites and their SOM dynamics over time*

Increases in SOM appear to depend on a range of site-specific factors such as soil texture, 361 cropping history, and climate (Curtin et al., 2014; Gauder et al 2016; Eclesia et al., 2016; Lin et 362 363 al., 2020; Thilakarathna and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2021). These factors influence C sequestration and stabilization through their influences on soil biota, physical preservation of SOM, and 364 365 biochemical composition of SOM (Carter and Gregorich, 2010; Chen et al., 2014; Kiani et al., 366 2017; Lin et al., 2020). This research supports the idea that stabilization of SOM is mainly driven by the underlying texture and mineralogy of the soil matrix, and hence, soil texture may explain 367 368 in part the differential responses of POM-C and MAOM-C to same set of assessed cropping 369 systems across the two contrasting study sites. Relative to the Breton site, the higher percent clay at the Edmonton site provides greater surface area in the Black Chernozem soil, (Table 2.1) 370 which may have caused the greater response observed in the stable mineral-associated SOM pool 371 (i.e., MAOM) to the different cropping systems over the study period specifically in Edmonton 372

373 (Eclesia et al., 2016; Potffenbarger et al, 2020). Although the soil at the Edmonton site had an initial high baseline of SOM, a high percentage of clay likely enabled the perennial-grain 374 cropping system to further contribute and augment SOC concentrations in this soil. Samson et al. 375 (2020a) recently highlighted that the contributions of the MAOM-C pool to SOM formation in 376 association with soil texture variations are independent of the SOM saturation status. Mirroring 377 378 the MAOM outcome, the POM-C:N ratio was much narrower in Edmonton than in Breton, which can also support more decomposability of transitory SOM pools (i.e., POM) to be 379 transformed into stable SOM pools such as MAOM. This notion is line with Li et al. (2018) who 380 381 concluded that the POM losses were triggered by acceleration of biological decomposition and subsequent transformation into the recalcitrant SOM pool. 382

The POM pool is a sensitive indicator of SOM decomposability (Hernandez-Ramirez et 383 al., 2009; Li et al., 2018; Sprunger et al., 2018b). Lability of the quantified POM pool was 384 further supported by its relatively wider C:N ratio compared with MAOM. This can suggest that 385 POM was more favorable to relatively faster decomposition rate than MAOM in terms of C 386 mineralization; however, upon microbial access and remobilization, MAOM could become a 387 source of mineralized N based on narrower C:N ratios. Furthermore, this comparatively wider 388 389 C:N ratio within the transitory pool can potentially generate a priming effect by microbes mining 390 preexisting SOM-N, with the consequent release of asymmetrically-larger mineralized C (Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). Decoupled cyclings of C and N has been previously documented 391 during both gains and losses of intermediate POM pools (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Li et 392 393 al., 2018).

The higher proportion of C within POM relative to MAOM may be derived from plant-C contributions. Furthermore, the response of the POM pool to contrasting cropping systems

manifested earlier and faster over the time of the study than changes in whole SOC and TN. This
further suggests that recently added, plant-derived C and N contributed to an increase in the
transitory SOM pool (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2020). This assertion is
supported by a significant correlation between aboveground biomass-C and POM-C, which was
stronger than the correlation between aboveground plant biomass-C and SOM-C (Table 2.6;
Supplementary Table S2.10).

The fact that there were no significant differences in SOM pools across cropping systems 402 in the middle of the growing season (i.e., soil sample collection in late June 2018, in particular at 403 the Edmonton site) could be the result of sampling concurrent with the peak of root expansion. 404 405 This temporal effect may have transiently masked underlying differences in the POM pool, which then became evident in the fall (i.e., postharvest soil sample collection). Abundance of 406 fine roots during plant anthesis can be captured within the POM size range; however, these fine 407 roots would turnover and decompose quickly even before the end of the growing season 408 (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2009; Soares et al., 2020). Conversely, harvest at the end of the 409 growing season results in plant C inputs to the soil surface mostly in the form of residues from 410 aboveground biomass, which stimulate and renew SOM decomposition and mineralization 411 412 (Valdez et al., 2017; Pugliese et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2020). Therefore, the effects of cropping 413 system on SOM dynamics became more evident in postharvest soil sampling than during anthesis. 414

415 Our finding of high MAOM-C accrual in the subsurface soil layer under perennial-grain 416 cropping at the Edmonton site can provide further insights into the sources of SOM. As noted 417 above, higher root mass under perennial-grain crops in the subsurface layer can translate into 418 SOM accrual. This is in line with both King and Blesh (2018) and Sprunger et al. (2018b) who

| 419 | concurrently stated that perennial crops accumulate C much deeper in the soil profile and create   |
|-----|--|
| 420 | soil aggregation capable of storing SOC when compared to their annual counterparts.                |
| 421 | Furthermore, a slower SOM decomposition at this subsurface layer could foster root-C               |
| 422 | conversion and retention in stable SOM pools (Valdez et al., 2017; Borges et al., 2019). This is   |
| 423 | in part due to both lower oxygen availability and higher clay content with increasing soil depth   |
| 424 | (Borges et al., 2019). In fact, Spearman correlations between aboveground plant-C and -N           |
| 425 | concentration vs. MAOM-C were not found. Overall, this can support that deeper SOM accretion       |
| 426 | - particularly into MAOM under perennial vegetation - is mostly derived from root                  |
| 427 | contributions (Curtin et al., 2014; Pugliese et al., 2019; Liebmann et al., 2020), while shallower |
| 428 | SOM allocation in the soil profile – particularly into POM – can primarily evolve from             |
| 429 | aboveground plant-C and -N sources (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Valdez et al., 2017).          |

#### 430 **2.5.** Conclusion

Our comprehensive assessment of SOM accrual and dynamics supports that perennial-431 grain cropping is more effective at contributing to and stabilizing SOM than annual cropping 432 systems. Furthermore, perennial-grain cropping systems can substantially increase C 433 sequestration in the stable MAOM pool in the absence of N fertilizer additions. Of the two 434 studied soils, the clay-rich Black Chernozemic soil boosted the benefits of perennial-grain 435 cropping on sequestering C in soil profile. Our results shed light on how to achieve greater soil C 436 sequestration as a function of cropping system options, N fertilizer addition, and underlying soil 437 texture. Future research can further document the temporal changes in C pools and allocations as 438 well as to examine even deeper soil layers. This information can help to further understand the 439 SOM turnover and how roots of perennial vegetation contribute to SOM accretion. 440

# 2.6. References

- Bhattacharyya, R., Pandey, S.C., Bisht, J.K., Bhatt, J.C., Gupta, H.S., Tuti, M.D., Mahanta, D., Mina, B.L., Singh, R.D., Chandra, S., Srivastva, A.K., Kundu, S., 2013. Tillage and Irrigation Effects on Soil Aggregation and Carbon Pools in the Indian Sub-Himalayas. Agronomy Journal 105, 101–112. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0223</u>
- Borges, B.M.M.N., Bordonal, R. de O., Silveira, M.L., Coutinho, E.L.M., 2019. Short-term impacts of high levels of nitrogen fertilization on soil carbon dynamics in a tropical pasture. CATENA 174, 413–416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.11.033</u>
- Carter, M.R., Gregorich, E.G., 2010. Carbon and nitrogen storage by deep-rooted tall fescue (Lolium arundinaceum) in the surface and subsurface soil of a fine sandy loam in eastern Canada. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 136, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.12.005
- Cates, A.M., Ruark, M.D., Grandy, A.S., Jackson, R.D., 2019. Small soil C cycle responses to three years of cover crops in maize cropping systems. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 286, 106649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106649</u>
- Cates, A.M., Ruark, M.D., Hedtcke, J.L., Posner, J.L., 2016. Long-term tillage, rotation and perennialization effects on particulate and aggregate soil organic matter. Soil and Tillage Research 155, 371–380. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.09.008</u>
- Chen, X., Wang, X., Liebman, M., Cavigelli, M., Wander, M., 2014. Influence of Residue and Nitrogen Fertilizer Additions on Carbon Mineralization in Soils with Different Texture and Cropping Histories. PLoS ONE 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103720</u>
- Crews, T.E., Rumsey, B.E., 2017. What Agriculture Can Learn from Native Ecosystems in Building Soil Organic Matter: A Review. Sustainability 9, 578. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040578</u>
- Curtin, D., Beare, M.H., Scott, C.L., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Meenken, E.D., 2014. Mineralization of Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Following Physical Disturbance: A Laboratory Assessment. Soil Science Society of America Journal 78, 925–935. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.12.0510</u>
- Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Sources and priming of soil N2O and CO2 production: Nitrogen and simulated exudate additions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 149, 107942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107942
- DeHaan, L.R., Ismail, B.P., 2017. Perennial cereals provide ecosystem benefits. CEREAL FOODS WORLD 62, 278–281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/CFW-62-6-0278</u>
- Eclesia, R.P., Jobbagy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., Rizzotto, M., Piñeiro, G., 2016. Stabilization of new carbon inputs rather than old carbon decomposition determines soil organic carbon shifts following woody or herbaceous vegetation transitions. Plant Soil 409, 99–116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2951-9</u>

- Franzluebbers, A.J., Stuedemann, J.A., 2008. Early Response of Soil Organic Fractions to Tillage and Integrated Crop–Livestock Production. Soil Science Society of America Journal 72, 613–625. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2007.0121</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., D.R. Smith, and G.E. Van Scoyoc. (2009) Carbon and nitrogen dynamics in an Eastern Corn Belt soil: N source and rotation. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 73:128-137
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., S.M. Brouder, D.R. Smith, and G.E. Van Scoyoc. (2011) Nitrogen partitioning and utilization in corn cropping systems: rotation, N source, and N timing. Europ. J. Agronomy 34:190–195.
- Gauder, M., Billen, N., Zikeli, S., Laub, M., Graeff-Hönninger, S., Claupein, W., 2016. Soil carbon stocks in different bioenergy cropping systems including subsoil. Soil and Tillage Research 155, 308–317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.09.005</u>
- Guenette, K.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G. (2018) Tracking the influence of controlled traffic regimes on field scale soil variability and geospatial modeling techniques. Geoderma 328, 66–78.
- Guenette, K.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Gamache, P., Andreiuk, R., Fausak, L. (2019). Soil structure dynamics in annual croplands under controlled traffic management. Canadian J. Soil Sci. 99(2), 146–160.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, n.d. URL <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/</u> (accessed 8.3.20).
- Kan, Z.-R., Virk, A.L., He, C., Liu, Q.-Y., Qi, J.-Y., Dang, Y.P., Zhao, X., Zhang, H.-L., 2020. Characteristics of carbon mineralization and accumulation under long-term conservation tillage. CATENA 193, 104636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104636</u>
- Kantola, I.B., Masters, M.D., DeLucia, E.H., 2017. Soil particulate organic matter increases under perennial bioenergy crop agriculture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 113, 184–191. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.023</u>
- Kiani, M., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Quideau, S., Smith, E., Janzen, H., Larney, F.J., Puurveen, D., 2017. Quantifying sensitive soil quality indicators across contrasting long-term land management systems: Crop rotations and nutrient regimes. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 248, 123– 135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.07.018
- Kiani, M., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Quideau, S.A.M., 2020. Spatial variation of soil quality indicators as a function of land use and topography. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 1–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2019-0163</u>
- King, A.E., Blesh, J., 2018. Crop rotations for increased soil carbon: perenniality as a guiding principle. Ecological Applications 28, 249–261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1648</u>
- Kooch, Y., Noghre, N., 2020. Nutrient cycling and soil-related processes under different land covers of semi-arid rangeland ecosystems in northern Iran. CATENA 193, 104621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104621

- Lavallee, J.M., Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2020. Conceptualizing soil organic matter into particulate and mineral-associated forms to address global change in the 21st century. Global Change Biology 26, 261–273. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14859</u>
- Li, J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Kiani, M., Quideau, S., Smith, E., Janzen, H., Larney, F., Puurveen, D., 2018. Soil organic matter dynamics in long-term temperate agroecosystems: rotation and nutrient addition effects. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 98, 232–245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2017-0127</u>
- Liebmann, P., Wordell-Dietrich, P., Kalbitz, K., Mikutta, R., Kalks, F., Don, A., Woche, S.K., Dsilva, L.R., Guggenberger, G., 2020. Relevance of aboveground litter for soil organic matter formation a soil profile perspective. Biogeosciences 17, 3099–3113. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3099-2020</u>
- Lin, D., McCulley, R.L., Nelson, J.A., Jacobsen, K.L., Zhang, D., 2020. Time in pasture rotation alters soil microbial community composition and function and increases carbon sequestration potential in a temperate agroecosystem. Science of The Total Environment 698, 134233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134233
- Liu, X.J.A., K.J. van Groenigen, P. Dijkstra, and B.A. Hungate. 2017. Increased plant uptake of native soil nitrogen following fertilizer addition – Not a priming effect? Appl. Soil Ecol. 114:105–110. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.03.011.
- Mary, B., Clivot, H., Blaszczyk, N., Labreuche, J., Ferchaud, F., 2020. Soil carbon storage and mineralization rates are affected by carbon inputs rather than physical disturbance: Evidence from a 47-year tillage experiment. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 299, 106972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106972
- Mendiburu, Felipe. de, 2020. agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research.
- Pansu, M., Gautheyrou, J., 2006. Handbook of Soil Analysis: Mineralogical, Organic and Inorganic Methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-31211-6</u>
- Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M. (Eds.), 2000. Nonlinear Mixed-effects Models: Basic Concepts and Motivating Examples, in: Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS, Statistics and Computing. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 273–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-22747-4\_6</u>
- Poffenbarger, H.J., Olk, D.C., Cambardella, C., Kersey, J., Liebman, M., Mallarino, A., Six, J., Castellano, M.J., 2020. Whole-profile soil organic matter content, composition, and stability under cropping systems that differ in belowground inputs. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 291, 106810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106810</u>
- Pugliese, J.Y., Culman, S.W., Sprunger, C.D., 2019. Harvesting forage of the perennial grain crop kernza (Thinopyrum intermedium) increases root biomass and soil nitrogen cycling. Plant Soil 437, 241–254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-03974-6</u>
- Ryan, M.R., Crews, T.E., Culman, S.W., DeHaan, L.R., Hayes, R.C., Jungers, J.M., Bakker, M.G., 2018. Managing for Multifunctionality in Perennial Grain Crops. BioScience 68, 294–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy014</u>

- Roman-Perez C.C., Hernandez-Ramirez, G. 2021. Sources and priming of N2O production across a range of moisture contents in a soil with high organic matter. Journal of Environmental Quality 50:94-109 doi: 10.1002/jeq2.20172
- Samson, M.-É., Chantigny, M.H., Vanasse, A., Menasseri-Aubry, S., Angers, D.A., 2020a. Coarse mineral-associated organic matter is a pivotal fraction for SOM formation and is sensitive to the quality of organic inputs. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 149, 107935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107935
- Samson, M.-E., Chantigny, M.H., Vanasse, A., Menasseri-Aubry, S., Royer, I., Angers, D.A., 2020b. Management practices differently affect particulate and mineral-associated organic matter and their precursors in arable soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 148, 107867. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107867</u>
- Sarma, B., Farooq, M., Gogoi, N., Borkotoki, B., Kataki, R., Garg, A., 2018. Soil organic carbon dynamics in wheat - Green gram crop rotation amended with vermicompost and biochar in combination with inorganic fertilizers: A comparative study. Journal of Cleaner Production 201, 471–480. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.004</u>
- Silveira, M.L., Liu, K., Sollenberger, L.E., Follett, R.F., Vendramini, J.M.B., 2013. Short-term effects of grazing intensity and nitrogen fertilization on soil organic carbon pools under perennial grass pastures in the southeastern USA. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 58, 42–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.003</u>
- Smith, J.D., Strauss, J.A., Hardie, A.G., 2020. Effects of long-term grazed crop and pasture systems under no-till on organic matter fractions and selected quality parameters of soil in the Overberg, South Africa. South African Journal of Plant and Soil 37, 1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2019.1640298</u>
- Soares, M.B., Freddi, O. da S., Matos, E. da S., Tavanti, R.F.R., Wruck, F.J., de Lima, J.P., Marchioro, V., Franchini, J.C., 2020. Integrated production systems: An alternative to soil chemical quality restoration in the Cerrado-Amazon ecotone. CATENA 185, 104279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.104279</u>
- Somenahally, A., McLawrence, J., DuPont, J.I., Brady, J., Sarkar, R., Rouquette, M., 2020. Rootmycorrhizae interactions contributed to organic carbon density in the sandy soil profiles of adapted grazing lands. Applied Soil Ecology 154, 103656. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103656</u>
- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Peralta, A.L., DuPont, S.T., Lennon, J.T., Snapp, S.S., 2019. Perennial grain crop roots and nitrogen management shape soil food webs and soil carbon dynamics. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 137, 107573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107573</u>
- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Robertson, G.P., Snapp, S.S., 2018a. How Does Nitrogen and Perenniality Influence Belowground Biomass and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Small Grain Cereals? Crop Science 58, 2110–2120. <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2018.02.0123</u>

- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Robertson, G.P., Snapp, S.S., 2018b. Perennial grain on a Midwest Alfisol shows no sign of early soil carbon gain. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 33, 360–372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170517000138</u>
- Thilakarathna, S.K., Hernandez-Ramirez, G. 2021. How does Management Legacy, Nitrogen Addition and Nitrification Inhibition Impact Soil Organic Matter Priming and Nitrous Oxide Production? Journal of Environmental Quality 50:78-93. doi: 10.1002/jeq2.20168
- Valdez, Z.P., Hockaday, W.C., Masiello, C.A., Gallagher, M.E., Philip Robertson, G., 2017. Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Responses to Nitrogen Fertilizer and Harvesting Rates in Switchgrass Cropping Systems. Bioenerg. Res. 10, 456–464. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-016-9810-7</u>

# Tables

Table 2. 1. Soil classification and properties (0–15 cm depth increment) on Edmonton and Breton sites.

|                          | Units                     | Edmonton        | Breton              |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classification           |                           | Black Chernozem | Orthic Gray Luvisol |
| TC †                     | g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 53.7±2.9        | 27.5±2.9            |
| TN                       | g N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 4.67±0.29       | 2.21±0.18           |
| Texture                  |                           | Clay            | Silty clay loam     |
| Clay †                   | %                         | 47.0            | 31.1                |
| Silt                     | %                         | 36.0            | 49.1                |
| Sand                     | %                         | 17.0            | 19.8                |
| pH‡                      |                           | 7.2             | 5.7                 |
| $\mathrm{EC}$ ‡          | dS m <sup>-1</sup>        | 1.5             | 0.83                |
| Cumulative Precipitation | mm yr <sup>-1</sup>       | 441.5§ [314.3]¶ | 551.4§ [388.8]¶     |
| Air Temperature          | °C                        | 3.15§ [12.36]¶  | 3.07§ [11.46]¶      |

<sup>†</sup> Soil organic carbon (SOC) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured by dry combustion (Brachmann et al., 2020) for each block replicate; these are means of four replicates (±SE). Texture was measured by hydrometer (Hebb et al., 2017).

‡ 1:1 soil to solution. EC stands for electric conductivity.

§ These are long-term normal (Alberta Climate Information Service).

¶ Values inside the parenthesis correspond to the measurement period: April to September (Alberta Climate Information Service).

| Abbreviated ID   | Description   | Seeding Dates               |                             | Harvest Dates   |   |  |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
|                  |   | Edmonton                    | Breton                      | Edmonton  | Breton  |  |
| Fallow           | Continuous fallow using Roundup® and D ester herbicide since June 2017  |                             |                             |   |   |  |
| Spring-grain     | Spring rye cropping system for grain production. Secale<br>cereale L. cv. Gazelle. Tillage was used for crop<br>establishment every year in the mid spring. Annual rye or<br>summer rye are alternative designations in the literature.   | 22 May 2018;<br>23 May 2019 | 23 May 2018;<br>21 May 2019 | 19 Sep 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 19 Sep 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Fall-grain       | Fall rye cropping system for grain production. Secale<br>cereale L. cv. Hazlett. Tillage was used for crop<br>establishment every year in the late summer. Winter rye<br>or biennial rye or are alternative designations in the<br>literature.  | 29 Aug 2017;<br>3 Sep 2018  | 30 Aug 2017;<br>03 Sep 2018 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Perennial-grain  | Perennial rye cropping system for grain production.<br>Secale cereale L. × S. montanum Guss cv. ACE-1.<br>Tillage was used for crop establishment only in the late<br>summer 2017.  | 29 Aug 2017                 | 30 Aug 2017                 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>16 Sep 2019                                   |  |
| Perennial-forage | Perennial forage cropping system for hay production.<br>Alfalfa Medicago sativa L. and bromegrass Bromus spp.<br>Aboveground biomass is cut and carry two times a year<br>for hay with livestock feeding purposes. Tillage was used<br>for stand establishment only in the mid summer 2017. | 16 June 2017                | 8 June 2017                 | 26 June 2018;<br>31 Aug 2018;<br>16 July 2019;<br>17 Sep 2019 | 26 June 2018;<br>30 Aug 2018;<br>15 July 2019;<br>16 Sep 2019 |  |

Table 2. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and Breton.

| Treatment        | POM-C                       | POM-N           | РОМ     | РОМ-С    | POM-N    | MAOM-C          | MAOM-N          | MAOM  | MAOMC    | MAOMN    | TOC             | TN              | Whole Soil |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
|                  | Concentration               | Concentration   | C:N     | fraction | fraction | Concentration   | Concentration   | C:N   | fraction | fraction | (g C kg-1 soil) | (g N kg-1 soil) | C:N        |
|                  | (g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) | (g N kg-1 soil) |         |          |          | (g C kg-1 soil) | (g N kg-1 soil) |       |          |          |                 |                 |            |
| September 2018   |                             |                 |         |          |          |                 |                 |       |          |          |                 |                 |            |
| Fallow           | 5.96a                       | 0.42            | 13.94a  | 0.23     | 0.19     | 19.37ab         | 1.72a           | 11.23 | 0.77     | 0.81     | 25.32a          | 2.14a           | 11.77      |
| Spring-grain     | 6.95ab                      | 0.47            | 14.66ab | 0.25     | 0.20     | 21.28ab         | 1.92ab          | 11.06 | 0.75     | 0.80     | 28.23ab         | 2.39abc         | 11.77      |
| Fall-grain       | 7.12ab                      | 0.47            | 14.83b  | 0.27     | 0.21     | 19.32a          | 1.78a           | 10.76 | 0.73     | 0.79     | 26.44a          | 2.26ab          | 11.65      |
| Perennial-grain  | 7.51b                       | 0.51            | 14.50ab | 0.25     | 0.20     | 21.71ab         | 1.97ab          | 10.99 | 0.75     | 0.80     | 29.23ab         | 2.48bc          | 11.74      |
| Perennial-forage | 7.74b                       | 0.51            | 14.97b  | 0.25     | 0.20     | 23.09b          | 2.08b           | 11.09 | 0.75     | 0.80     | 30.83b          | 2.59c           | 11.85      |
| Overall mean     | 7.18                        | 0.48            | 14.7    | 0.25     | 0.20     | 21.1            | 1.91            | 11.0  | 0.75     | 0.80     | 28.3            | 2.40            | 11.8       |
| ANOVA-P          | <0.01**                     | < 0.05*         | < 0.05* | 0.17     | 0.52     | <0.01**         | <0.01**         | 0.25  | 0.17     | 0.52     | <0.01**         | <0.001***       | 0.73       |
|                  |                             |                 |         |          | Septen   | ıber 2019       |                 |       |          |          |                 |                 |            |
| Fallow           | 7.83                        | 0.50            | 15.74   | 0.27     | 0.18     | 20.85           | 2.17            | 9.60  | 0.73     | 0.82     | 28.68a          | 2.67a           | 10.75      |
| Spring-grain     | 7.83                        | 0.49            | 15.87   | 0.26     | 0.18     | 21.80           | 2.27            | 9.51  | 0.74     | 0.82     | 29.64ab         | 2.76ab          | 10.71      |
| Fall-grain       | 7.58                        | 0.47            | 16.04   | 0.26     | 0.18     | 21.14           | 2.20            | 9.57  | 0.74     | 0.82     | 28.72a          | 2.67a           | 10.74      |
| Perennial-grain  | 8.44                        | 0.54            | 15.74   | 0.28     | 0.19     | 21.94           | 2.27            | 9.55  | 0.72     | 0.81     | 30.38ab         | 2.81ab          | 10.78      |
| Perennial-forage | 8.70                        | 0.55            | 15.99   | 0.26     | 0.18     | 23.96           | 2.43            | 9.71  | 0.74     | 0.82     | 32.66b          | 2.98b           | 10.89      |
| Overall mean     | 8.11                        | 0.51            | 15.9    | 0.26     | 0.18     | 22.1            | 2.28            | 9.65  | 0.73     | 0.81     | 30.2            | 2.79            | 10.8       |
| ANOVA-P          | 0.11                        | 0.15            | 0.26    | 0.71     | 0.54     | 0.09            | 0.06            | 0.77  | 0.71     | 0.54     | <0.05*          | < 0.05*         | 0.67       |

Table 2. 3. Overall contrasting cropping systems effects on particulate organic matter (POM), mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM), and soil organic matter (SOM) at 0–15 cm soil depth increment on Breton site.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate statistical significance at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C kg-1 soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N kg-1 soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C kg-1 soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N kg-1 soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C kg-1 soil) | TN<br>(g N kg-1 soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                  | September 2018                            |   |            |                   |                   |  |  |             |                   |                   |                        |                       |                   |
| Fallow           | 15.51                                     | 1.30                                      | 11.98      | 0.27              | 0.25              | 42.10                                      | 3.85                                       | 10.99       | 0.73              | 0.75              | 57.61                  | 5.08                  | 11.24             |
| Spring-grain     | 16.61                                     | 1.42                                      | 11.76      | 0.29              | 0.27              | 40.78                                      | 3.80                                       | 10.76       | 0.71              | 0.73              | 57.39                  | 5.16                  | 11.02             |
| Fall-grain       | 17.93                                     | 1.54                                      | 11.69      | 0.30              | 0.28              | 42.78                                      | 3.97                                       | 10.83       | 0.70              | 0.72              | 60.71                  | 5.41                  | 11.05             |
| Perennial-grain  | 16.80                                     | 1.44                                      | 11.72      | 0.28              | 0.27              | 42.07                                      | 3.84                                       | 10.96       | 0.72              | 0.73              | 58.88                  | 5.20                  | 11.15             |
| Perennial-forage | 18.06                                     | 1.54                                      | 11.79      | 0.30              | 0.29              | 41.21                                      | 3.82                                       | 10.81       | 0.70              | 0.71              | 59.27                  | 5.28                  | 11.07             |
| Overall mean¶    | 16.9                                      | 1.44                                      | 11.8       | 0.29              | 0.27              | 41.5                                       | 3.82                                       | 10.9        | 0.71              | 0.72              | 58.4                   | 5.27                  | 11.1              |
| ANOVA-P          | 0.33                                      | 0.31                                      | 0.38       | 0.68              | 0.74              | 0.91                                       | 0.94                                       | 0.35        | 0.68              | 0.75              | 0.56                   | 0.54                  | 0.20              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   | Septen            | nber 2019∔                                 |  |             |                   |                   |                        |                       |                   |
| Fallow           | 17.56                                     | 1.48                                      | 11.85b     | 0.29              | 0.26              | 42.91                                      | 4.26                                       | 10.07       | 0.71              | 0.74              | 60.48                  | 5.74                  | 10.53             |
| Spring-grain     | 17.08                                     | 1.49                                      | 11.51a     | 0.29              | 0.26              | 41.08                                      | 4.07                                       | 10.10       | 0.71              | 0.74              | 58.16                  | 5.56                  | 10.48             |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   |  |  |             |                   |                   |                        |                       |                   |
| Perennial-grain  | 18.14                                     | 1.58                                      | 11.50a     | 0.29              | 0.26              | 44.51                                      | 4.40                                       | 10.10       | 0.71              | 0.74              | 62.62                  | 5.98                  | 10.48             |
| Perennial-forage | 17.98                                     | 1.55                                      | 11.63ab    | 0.29              | 0.27              | 43.09                                      | 4.22                                       | 10.23       | 0.71              | 0.73              | 61.07                  | 5.77                  | 10.61             |
| Overall mean     | 17.65                                     | 1.52                                      | 11.6       | 0.29              | 0.27              | 42.8                                       | 4.23                                       | 10.14       | 0.71              | 0.73              | 60.5                   | 5.75                  | 10.5              |
| ANOVA-P          | 0.72                                      | 0.68                                      | < 0.01     | 0.98              | 0.93              | 0.15                                       | 0.19                                       | 0.24        | 0.98              | 0.93              | 0.10                   | 0.13                  | 0.18              |

Table 2. 4. Overall contrasting cropping systems effects on particulate organic matter (POM), mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM), and soil organic matter (SOM) at 0–15 cm soil depth increment on Edmonton site.

¶ Fall-grain data were excluded to compare yearly change within the site since data for September 2019 was excluded in overall mean.

‡ Fall-grain data was not excluded because this treatment sampling was conducted after till management.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate statistical significance at *P* <0.05, *P* <0.01, *P* <0.001, respectively.

## **List of Figure Captions**



Fig. 2. 1. Particulate organic matter carbon (POM-C) concentration across cropping systems at the Breton site after the first growing season harvest at the 0-7.5 cm depth increment. Dots represent each POM-C concentration measurements, color and italic letters are shown for pairwise comparisons based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA (P<0.01). An increasing gradient of POM accrual was observed ranking from fallow up to perennial–grain and –forage cropping.



Fig. 2. 2. Particulate organic matter carbon (POM-C) concentration (left panel) and fraction (right) in perennial-grain crop according to N fertilizer effect on Edmonton site following the first-year harvest at 0-7.5 cm depth. ANOVA P-values are provided. Error bars show the standard errors of the mean.



Fig. 2. 3. Proportional density graph of mineral-associated organic matter (MAOM-C) fraction significance (P < 0.01) across contrasting cropping systems at 7.5–15 cm depth increment near the time of crop anthesis in late June 2019 at the Edmonton site.



Fig. 2. 4. (A) Particulate organic matter (POM-C), (B) mineralassociated organic matter (MAOM-C), and (C) total organic carbon (TOC) for cropping systems with nitrogen fertilizer effect at topsoil (0-7.5 cm) on Breton site after 2 experimental years (30 Sept. 2019). Adding N precluded C accretion specifically in both perennial–grain and –forage cropping. Error bars designate the standard error of the mean. Lowercase letters indicate significance between treatment based upon post hoc analysis after one-ANOVA.



Fig. 2. 5. Particulate organic matter (POM), mineral associated organic matter (MAOM) and total organic matter carbon (TOC) concentrations for annual-grain and perennial-grain cropping at subsurface depth increment (7.5–15 cm) over time in the Edmonton site. At this subsurface soil layer, perennial-grain caused C accretion over time during the study, with more consistent effects within the MAOM pool. Error bars show standard errors of mean.



Fig. 2. 6. Root carbon (root-C) density for annual-, fall-, and perennial-grain crops at 0–15 cm soil depth increment on 11 July 2019 for Breton site, and on 12 July 2019 for Edmonton site. Error bar indicates standard error of mean.



Fig. 2. 7. Aboveground plant biomass carbon (AB-C) as a function of particulate organic matter C (POM-C) concentration at the 0-15 cm soil depth at Edmonton site. Soil sample collection was in 1 Oct 2019. Points represent raw data, blue line shows the linear relationship as predicted. Grey area represents the 95% confidence interval predicted by linear correlation mode.



Fig. 2. 8. Spearman correlation analyses of the (A)Breton and (B) Edmonton soils at 0-15 cm depth increment. The size and color or circle denote P values and correlation coefficient signs. The circles were removed when P-value of the correlation is less than 0.05.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; AB, aboveground biomass, TOC; total organic carbon concentration; TN; total nitrogen concentration; CF, carbon fraction; NF, nitrogen fraction.

CHAPTER 3. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Community Linkages to Soil Nutrient Availability across Contrasting Agroecosystems.

Keunbae Kim<sup>1</sup>,

Patrick Neuberger<sup>2</sup>,

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>,

Monika Gorzelak<sup>2</sup>,

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta,

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: ghernand@ualberta.ca

#### 1 **3.1** Abstract

2 Perennial grain crops have been proposed to establish sustainable agroecosystems, as their 3 growth habit and no-till management likely invoke multifunctionality such as improvement of 4 soil structure as well as increases in nutrient retention and carbon (C) sequestration. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are obligate symbionts, which are ecologically important for over 80% 5 6 terrestrial plants that form root associations with these fungi. Our study examined AMF diversity 7 and community composition in annual and perennial grain crops; with and without nitrogen (N) fertilizer addition at two field sites within the Canadian prairies (Breton and Edmonton). 8 9 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi diversity and community composition were significantly different between the two study sites. This was attributed to the contrasting cropping management 10 11 histories of the sites; previous long-term land use in Edmonton was annual cropping, whereas 12 Breton was perennial forage crop. AMF community composition was not different between annual versus perennial grain cropping in both the bulk soil and rhizosphere (roots). Overall, the 13 addition of N fertilizer did not change AMF diversity and community compositions, but 14 increased the abundance of Archaeospora. The most predominant genus in these temperate 15 agroecosystems was *Paraglomus*, while *Archaeospora* and *Claroideoglomus* were observed in 16 17 the rhizosphere but unfrequently and specifically at the Edmonton site. Interestingly, Archaeospora and Paraglomus were significantly correlated with changes in particulate organic 18 matter C, while *Claroideoglomus* had a positive correlation with ammonium concentration. 19 20 Results revealed associations between key soil properties and certain AMF genera, which showed to be site specific. 21

22 Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, Perennial grain crop, Nitrogen fertilizer, *Paraglomus*,
23 Legacy effect

# 24 Highlight:

| 25 | • | Paraglomus was the most ubiquitous AMF genus, and its abundance correlated with          |
|----|---|--|
| 26 |   | particulate organic C.   |
| 27 | • | Abundance of Archaeospora in roots increased as a response to N fertilizer addition.     |
| 28 | • | Claroideoglomus presence was associated with increasing soil ammonium concentration.     |
| 29 | • | Contrasting cropping systems (annual vs. perennial) have significant impacts on key soil |
| 30 |   | attributes.  |
| 31 | • | AMF communities in soil and roots were similar between annual and perennial grain        |
| 32 |   | crops.   |

## 1 **3.2. Introduction**

2 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), of the fungal division *Glomeromycota*, are an ancient 3 lineage of obligate biotrophs formed in the roots of more than 80% of terrestrial plants (Smith 4 and Read et al., 2010; Gorzelak et al., 2017, Gu et al., 2020, Jiang et al., 2020, Zhang et al., 2020). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are capable of extending root bioactive zones by accessing 5 6 surrounding soils using hyphae. This enables the AMF to access soil nutrients and water, which 7 can in turn be provided to crops as they form large mycelia networks (Bakhshandeh et al., 2017, 8 Pellegrino et al., 2020). These characteristics help host plants access nutrients such as 9 phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N) and micronutrients (Jiang et al., 2020). Previous studies have shown that AMF in agricultural soils increase crop yield, improve tolerance to drought and 10 pathogens, and enhance soil structure (Higo et al., 2015, Alguacil et al., 2019). However, AMF 11 assemblages are strongly affected by anthropogenic activities including tillage, fertilizer, and 12 host crop identity (Alguacil et al., 2019, Ezeokoli et al., 2020, Azeem et al., 2020). 13 Understanding the impacts of land management decisions on the diversity of AMF communities 14 is vital to obtaining positive outcomes in agroecosystems (Lopes and Fernandes, 2020, Xiao et 15 al., 2020). 16

Sustainable agricultural systems attempt to balance soil quality with economic benefits (Wieme et al., 2020, Piazza et al., 2019). Perennial grain cropping systems have the potential to contribute to sustainable agriculture goals because of their unique growth habit and management (Ryan et al., 2018). Perennial grains promote carbon (C) sequestration and mitigate C loss by minimizing soil erosion and improving soil structure, nutrient retention, and water infiltration, while theoretically maintaining profitability (McGowan et al., 2019). Moreover, several studies have found that perennial crops have higher AMF richness and diversity than annual crops, since
24 persistently growing root systems have greater opportunities to establish mycorrhizal fungal colonies (Benitez et al., 2016, Alguacil et al., 2019, Lopes and Feranades, 2020). Given a 25 premise that microbial diversity has positive impacts on soil quality and crop performance, 26 introducing perennial grain cropping systems feasibly approaches the first step for establishment 27 of sustainable agroecosystems (Emery et al., 2017). This is because the premise is in line with 28 enabling higher AMF diversity and community compositions in perennial grain cropping 29 systems (Alami et al., 2020). For instance, perennial grain cropping systems do not require 30 plowing for multiple years. Such management not only improves soil quality by promoting the 31 32 formation of soil aggregates, but it also decreases disruption of AM fungal hyphal networks (Benitez et al., 2016, Piazza et al., 2019, Gu et al., 2020). However, under certain circumstances, 33 deep tillage could enhance crop growth by potentially improving soil porosity and water 34 availability within root-restricting soils layers (Schneider et al., 2017). This can enable the 35 expansion of root systems, which in turn can facilitate the proliferation of AMF communities via 36 nutrient exchange (Gu et al., 2020). A deeper understanding of how cropping systems impact soil 37 quality and microbiomes can inform better management practices and the move towards more 38 sustainable land systems. 39

Widespread N fertilizer used to improve crop yields by alleviating N deficiency stress has
been a foundation in conventional agricultural management since the green revolution in the
1960s (Langholtz et al., 2021). However, over time, excessive N fertilizer application can
hamper the growth of crops due to adverse impacts on soil quality (Hoang et al 2019, Zhang et
al., 2020, Xiao et al., 2020). Furthermore, current research emphasizes the need to understand the
AMF response to N fertilizer addition, as AMF are intrinsically linked to N cycling
(Bakhshandeh et al., 2017, Somenahally et al., 2018). While AMF can transfer N to host plants

47 under optimal conditions, they may also immobilize N into deeper soil layers or even compete 48 with host plants in N deficient soils (Ingraffia et al., 2020). Nevertheless, not much is known 49 about whether or how the structure and diversity of AMF communities shift between perennial 50 and annual cropping systems when applying N fertilizer. Investigation of N fertilizer effects on 51 AMF diversity and community composition across a range of agroecosystems will provide 52 insight into how different AMF can influence on available N in contrasting cropping systems.

53 Total soil organic matter (SOM) typically does not respond rapidly to changes in management because of complex biogeochemical processes that can confer stability to SOM 54 55 (Cotrufo et al., 2019). Therefore, particulate organic matter (POM), a labile SOM fraction, has been introduced as a detectable parameter to evaluate the effect of soil management practices on 56 SOM dynamics (Ren et al., 2021). AMF provide host plants with nutrients in return for 57 photosynthetic C as part of a mutualistic interaction (Wattenburger et al., 2020). Therefore, AMF 58 growth can participate in and influences the cycling of C and N in the soil, potentially increasing 59 SOM under perennial cropping. Moreover, an external stimulus such as N fertilizer addition may 60 alter AMF community composition (Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). In fact, investigating 61 62 how AMF communities develop in response to labile C and N may provide insights into 63 improving the resilience of cropping systems. Since POM is responsive to differences between cropping systems, and POM carbon (POM-C) is often considered an early indicator of soil C 64 change, a relationship between the labile C pool, plant mediated supplies of photosynthetic 65 energy and AMF community compositions may hypothetically exist (Cotrufo et al., 2019). In 66 addition, soil inorganic N pools such as ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  and nitrate  $(NO_3^-)$  can behave 67 differently across contrasting cropping systems. Consequently, such alteration in N availability 68 may moderate the mycorrhiza fungal community, since membership in communities of 69

| 70 | microorganisms is an outcome of physiological acclimation to environmental variables such as       |
|----|--|
| 71 | nutrient availability (Higo et al., 2015, Hahn et al., 2018, Lopes and Fernand, 2020).             |
| 72 | Furthermore, variations in soil attributes across cropping systems may determine the dominant      |
| 73 | AMF genera (Montes-Borrego et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2020).                                      |
| 74 | AMF remain difficult to characterize because of their obligate biotrophy and our inability         |
| 75 | to grow them in pure culture. However, their role in acquiring and delivering nutrients to plants  |
| 76 | is a compelling feature that ought to be studied and better understood in an effort to build       |
| 77 | sustainable agricultural systems. Here we compare the AMF communities associated with annual       |
| 78 | and perennial grain cropping systems at two different field sites. Our study also investigated the |
| 79 | impact of N fertilizer addition as well as the influence of selected soil properties on AMF        |
| 80 | community composition. Our objectives were to investigate: (1) how the AMF community               |
| 81 | composition shifts across contrasting cropping systems, (2) whether N fertilizer addition has an   |
| 82 | impact on AMF community in annual vs. perennial cropping systems, and (3) if (and how) select      |
| 83 | soil attributes are correlated with taxa at the AMF genus level. Understanding soil-plant-         |
| 84 | mycorrhizae fungal interactions across contrasting agroecosystems will shed light on how to        |
| 85 | establish and manage sustainable agricultural systems for future generations.                      |
|    |  |

# 86 **3.3. Materials and Methods**

# 87 *3.3.1. Study sites*

The experimental sites were located in Breton (53°05'16.4", 114°26'32"W) and Edmonton (53°29'42"N, 113°32'1"W) within the Canadian Prairies. Breton and Edmonton have long-term mean annual precipitations of 526.8 and 441.5 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> (596.0 mm and 399.6 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2019) and mean annual temperature of 3.51 and 3.15 °C (2.47 and 3.39 °C in 2019), respectively

92 (Alberta Climate Information Service). It is noted that the wetter 2019 growing season was attributed to large rainfall events in June and July 2019, which collectively represented 52 % of 93 the annual precipitation. Soil classification and initial properties at the surface layer showed 94 contrasting results between the two sites (Table 3.1). With respect to topography, Breton is 95 described as a rolling and medium relief landform, whereas Edmonton is a uniformly flat 96 (Alberta Soil Information Service). Prior to establishing the experiment, the long-term land use 97 history at Breton was perennial forage for hay harvest (alfalfa Medicago sativa L. and 98 bromegrass Bromus spp.), and annual cropping of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) grown for silage 99 100 in Edmonton.

# 101 *3.3.2. Experimental Design*

Research plots were established as randomized complete block designs with four 102 replications, the dimensions of each plot measuring 4 m in width and 8 m in length at both sites 103 (Breton and Edmonton). Five discrete agroecosystems [fallow, rye Secale cereale L. cv. Gazelle 104 (spring grain), S. cereale L. cv. Hazlett (fall grain), S. cereale L. × S. montanum Guss cv. ACE-1 105 (perennial grain) and *Medicago sativa L*, alfalfa and *Bromus spp*, brome grass (perennial-106 forage)] were implemented (Table 3.2). With the exception of fallow, N fertilizer was assessed in 107 the four vegetated cropping systems by including separate plots with and without N fertilization. 108 The N fertilizer source was a 2:1 ratio of a granular blend of urea and polymer-coated urea 109 (environmentally smart nitrogen, ESN<sup>TM</sup>), the application rate was 56 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> applied at the 110 time of spring grain seeding. The placement of fertilizer was surface broadcast on all 16 N-111 112 receiving plots. At each site, the total number of experimental plots were 36. The field activities followed conventional agricultural management practices (Kim et al., 2021; Table 3.2). 113

114 *3.3.3. Soil and Roots Sampling* 

115 Samples of both roots (rhizosphere) and soil were conducted at anthesis of the spring grain crop. A total of three subsamples per compartment (i.e., soil and roots) from each plot were 116 collected for high-throughput DNA sequencing using a pre-sterilized shovel at the soil surface 117 layer (0-15 cm depth). Subsamples of soil and roots were then bulked. Additional root samples 118 were collected separately for microscopic analysis in the same way as collected for DNA 119 sequencing. The samples for high-throughput DNA sequencing were stored in a freezer at -20 °C 120 until processing while the microscopy samples were kept in a fridge at 4 °C in 50% ethanol after 121 washing with distilled water. 122

# 123 *3.3.4. Staining and Microscope for AM fungal colonization*

124 Root subsamples of approximately 1 g were submerged in room temperature 10% KOH solution overnight for bleaching, stained using a mixture of glycerol (50%), MilliQ water, acetic 125 acid (0.25%), and trypan blue (0.001%, w/v), and destained in vinegar. Colonization was 126 127 evaluated using a magnified intersection method (reference), which quantifies the proportion of the root length encompassing arbuscular colonization (AC), vesicular colonization (VC), and 128 hyphal colonization (HC) (McGonigle et al., 1990). The percentage of colonization was acquired 129 by dividing the number of identified mycorrhizal structures by the total number of observations 130 and multiplying 100. 131

#### 132 *3.3.5. Molecular analysis*

Total DNA was extracted from 0.25 g of samples using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit
according to the manufacturers' guideline (MoBio Laboratories Inc., Carlsbad, California). DNA
purity and concentration were measured by a Biodrop spectrophotometer (Biochrom, Cambridge,
UK) and a Qubitv4 fluorimeter using a Qubit<sup>TM</sup> dsDNA BR Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific,

137 Massachusetts, USA), respectively. The amplificability of the AMF small subunit (SSU) region were confirmed with PCR by using primer pair NS31 (5'-TTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCC-138 3') to AML2 (5'-GAACCCAAACACTTTGGTTTCC-3') (Morgan and Egerton-Warburton, 139 140 2017). The PCR cycling conditions were: 94 °C (3 min); 35 cycles of 94 °C (45 s), 63 °C (60 s), and 72 °C (90 s); followed by final extension step 72 °C (10 min) (Morgan and Egerton-141 Warburton, 2017). The fragment size and quality of amplification of the PCR product was 142 verified by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel. Sequencing was implemented using an Illumina 143 MiSeq platform at a read length of  $2 \times 300$  bp (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA). 144 145 3.3.6. Bioinformatics and statistical analysis 146 The raw FASTQ data was processed with the Qiime2 pipeline (version 2019.10 https://giime2.org/) (Bolyen et al., 2019). The first 21 bp and 22 bp in the forward and reverse 147 reads were trimmed for removing primers, respectively. The forward and reverse reads were 148 149 truncated at 295 and 283 bp, corresponding to average quality score (Phred O score) of higher than 20, respectively. DADA2 algorithm was used to implement error correction, quality 150 filtering, chimera removal and sequence variance of Illumina amplicon sequences (Callanhan et 151 152 al., 2016). 25.6% and 21.1% of total reads remained after filtering for the Breton and Edmonton samples, respectively. Thereafter, amplicon sequence variant (ASVs) was clustered with  $\ge 97\%$ 153 similarity in an open-reference picking process using classify-consensus-vsearch in Oiime2. 154 Clustered ASVs (hereafter, referred as operational taxonomic units; OTUs) for taxonomic 155 identification was retrieved from directly querying the MaarjAM database (Őpik et al., 2010). 156 157 Unassigned OTUs were further aligned against a Silva 138 99% OTUs sequences reference database. Over the succeeding classification against two database, non-Glomeromycotina fungi 158 for the 18S sequences were removed from subsequent analyses to constrain analysis to the target 159

groups. OTU count tables for samples were depleted of singletons and OTUs that occured in less
than three samples. The processed data were exported from Qiime2 to analyze and visualize
within the R packages 'phyloseq' and 'vegan'.

163 Alpha diversity of AMF communities was evaluated by Chao1 richness, Pielou's evenness, Shannon's diversity, and inverse Simpson's diversity indices with a linear mixed model as the 164 165 parametric test. The linear mixed model was used for analysis of variance (ANOVA) of AMF fungal colonization as well as ANOVA of alpha diversity. By doing so, a random effect ('block') 166 was removed while statistically analyzing crop and fertilizer effect on colonization and alpha 167 168 diversity using the R package. Normality and homoscedasticity of the model residuals were assessed using Shapiro-Wilk and function, respectively (NLME package of 3.2.1; R Core Team, 169 2015). Box-Cox transformation were applied to correct non-normality or heteroscedasticity when 170 needed. Pairwise comparisons were conducted after significant ANOVA with Tukey's Honest 171 Significant Difference using agricolae package in R (Mendiburu and Felipe de, 2020). 172 Before statistical analysis of beta diversity (i.e., the ratio between regional and local species 173 diversity), OTU absolute count data were transformed for even sampling depth based on 174 'phyloseq' tutorial (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). The beta significance of AMF communities 175 was assessed by permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and illustrated 176 by non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices 177 (Anderson et al., 2018). The differential abundance analysis was performed by edgeR: a 178 Bioconductor package (Robinson et al., 2010). Transformation-based canonical correspondence 179 analysis (tb-CCA) and redundancy analysis (tb-RDA) were used to explain dissimilarity with 180 environmental variables. Spearman correlation test was also performed to find relationships 181 between dominant taxa and environmental variables. 182

#### 183 **3.4. Results**

#### 184 *3.4.1. Mycorrhizal colonization assessment*

Microscopic assessment showed that arbuscular mycorrhizas colonized the roots of the three different grain crops (i.e., spring, fall, and perennial rye). Breton showed a relatively higher hyphae, vesicle and arbuscular colonization rate compared with Edmonton site. Although cropping system and N fertilizer addition did not significantly influence the root colonization percentage at either site, spring grain had about 20% (P > 0.05) higher hyphal colonization than fall grain at Breton site. In addition, perennial grain exhibited 26% (P > 0.05) higher hyphae

191 colonization compared with fall grain in Edmonton (Supplementary Table 3.1).

#### 192 *3.4.2. 18S sequences*

A total of 1,371,001 and 693,204 reads (89.3 % and 52.2 %) were assigned to 193 Glomeromycota against MaarjAM and Silva databases in Breton and Edmonton, respectively 194 (Supplementary Fig. 3.5). 2.5% and 10.5% of total reads were not assigned to any phylum 195 against these databases, while at the same time the targeting primers inadvertently amplified 196 Nematozoa at the phylum level by up to 27.6 % of Edmonton samples. 431 unique AM fungal 197 198 OTUs were clustered and found across samples in Breton and Edmonton sites. Altogether, 4 Orders (Archaeosporales, Diversisiporales, Glomerales, Paraglomerales), 8 families 199 200 (Acaulosporaceae, Ambisporaceae, Archaeosporaceae, Claroideoglomeracease, 201 Diversisporaceae, Gigasporaceae, Glomeracease, Paraglomeraceae), 8 genera (Acaulospora, 202 Ambispora, Archaeospora, Clasroideoglomus, Diversispora,, Glomus, Paraglomus, 203 Scutellospora) were observed as well as 12 virtual taxa. The most abundant genera in Breton 204 were Paraglomus (97.0 %), Archaeospora (1.14 %), Clasroideoglomus (0.6 %), Glomus (0.6 %), and *Diversispora* (0.4 %), while in Edmonton, the most abundant genera were *Paraglomus* 

206 (71.9%), Archaeospora (18.3%), Clasroideoglomus (9.0%) followed by Ambispora (0.1%).

#### 207 *3.4.3. AMF alpha diversity*

Breton had significantly higher Chaol richness than Edmonton, whereas Pielou's evenness, 208 Shannon's and Inverse Simpson's indices was lower (P < 0.05; Fig. 3.1). In Breton, fallow had at 209 least 34 % higher Evenness, Shannon's and Inverse Simpson diversity than the crop treatments 210 (Ps < 0.05; Table. 3.3). In contrast to the alpha diversity patterns at Breton site, perennial-forage 211 in Edmonton has overall higher alpha diversity compared with other treatments (Table 3.3). 212 Irrespective of site, there was no difference between spring-grain and perennial grain in alpha 213 214 diversities (Table 3.3). Despite the lack of an overall N fertilizer effect on AMF alpha diversities, fall grain crop and perennial forage without N fertilizer addition showed slightly higher Inverse 215 Simpson indices than those with N addition (P > 0.05; Supplementary Table 3.2; Supplementary 216 217 Fig. 2). The comparison between soil and root samples had a contrasting pattern in respond to sites, and evenness indices showed significant difference at both sites (Table 3.4). Overall, the 218 Peilou's evenness showed similar patterns as the alpha diversity indices, suggesting the alpha 219 220 diversity was more strongly moderated by species evenness rather than by richness.

### 221 3.4.4. AMF community composition

Overall, AMF community composition differed significantly between the Breton and Edmonton sites (Fig. 2A). However, significant differences between cropping system type occurred only at the Breton site (Fig 2B; Supplementary Table 4). Nitrogen fertilizer application had no impact on AMF composition at either site. At the Breton site, fallow plots significantly differed in AMF composition from the perennial grain, and perennial forage, but not from spring 227 grain and fall grain (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Table 5). Pairwise comparison also indicated no difference between spring and perennial grain crops (Supplementary Table 5). In comparison, we 228 found a significant difference in root AMF community composition between spring and fall 229 grain cropping treatments at Edmonton site (P < 0.05, Supplementary Table 5). It is noted that 230 the AMF community composition in perennial grain roots was not assessed at Breton site. This is 231 because the perennial grain plots were not sampled due to significant weed pressure from the 232 seed bank and lack of effective weed control. It is intrinsically difficult to successfully control 233 weeds in perennial grain crops as opposed to annual grain crops, where seasonal tillage 234 235 management is possible. In addition, this excessive weed competition was also accompanied by abundant rainfall during the second year of the study, as mentioned earlier in the site description. 236 Nevertheless, our research focused and evaluated AMF diversity and community composition in 237 perennial-grain crops specifically in the soil compartment, based on the assumption that the 238 potential effects of weed infestation on AMF-root systems would take time to translate into 239 impacts on the soil compartment. 240

The differences in AMF community composition between the two study sites (in both bulk 241 soil and roots) were significantly associated with changes in POM-C (Supplementary Fig. 4A 242 and B). In addition to POM-C, ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>; NH<sub>4</sub>-N), and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; NO<sub>3</sub>-N) were also 243 marginally or significantly correlated with AMF community composition when encompassing all 244 the cropping treatments for both bulk soil and root compartments in the experimental two sites 245  $(P < 0.1, P_S < 0.05;$  Supplementary Fig. 4 C, D, and E). Moreover, the AMF community 246 composition significantly differed between bulk soil vs. roots at both sites (Fig. 2 C; 247 Supplementary Table 4). Using differential abundance analysis to compare between cropping 248 systems, Archaeospora, Glomus, Paraglomus, and Scutellospora were significant different at the 249

| 250 | Breton site ( <i>Ps</i> < 0.05, Supplementary Fig 7 A). Also, <i>Glomus</i> and <i>Scutellospora</i> were                  |
|-----|--|
| 251 | significantly different at the Edmonton site ( $Ps < 0.05$ , Supplementary Fig. 7 B). Yet,                                 |
| 252 | considering that our main research focus was on contrasting annual vs. perennial grain                                     |
| 253 | croppings, we could only find a difference in Archaeospora at the Breton site within the soil                              |
| 254 | compartment ( $P < 0.05$ , Supplementary Fig. 7). There were no significant differences in AMF                             |
| 255 | across cropping systems within roots at the genus level at both sites ( $Ps$ > 0.05, Supplementary                         |
| 256 | Fig. 6; Supplementary Table 6; Supplementary Table 7). Noticeably, Archaeospora abundance in                               |
| 257 | the rhizosphere increased with N fertilizer application at Breton site (Supplementary Table 6).                            |
| 258 | Using differential abundance analysis to compare between bulk soil vs. roots at the genera                                 |
| 259 | level, Archaeospora and Claroideoglomus were significantly greater in the soil at Edmonton site                            |
| 260 | ( $Ps < 0.05$ , Fig. 3; Supplementary Table 7). In contrast, AMF genera abundance in Breton did                            |
| 261 | not differentiate between these two compartments ( $P > 0.05$ , Fig. 3; Supplementary Table 6).                            |
| 262 | Archaeospora at Breton site had a negative correlation with POM-C, whereas Paraglomus had a                                |
| 263 | positive correlation with POM-C ( <i>Ps</i> < 0.05, $\rho$ = -0.474, $\rho$ = 0.387, respectively; Supplementary           |
| 264 | Fig. 7A). <i>Clariodeoglomus</i> at Edmonton site had a positive correlation with NH <sub>4</sub> -N ( $P < 0.05$ , $\rho$ |
| 265 | = 0.431; Supplementary Fig. 7A). When differential abundance analysis was separately                                       |
| 266 | conducted within soil and roots compartments, Archaeospora was negatively correlated with                                  |
| 267 | POM-C only in root samples ( $P < 0.05$ ; $\rho = -0.732$ ; Supplementary Fig.7).  |

# 268 **3.5. Discussion**

This study showed how AMF can shift as a function of cropping systems and soil properties such as POM-C and available N. The Breton and Edmonton sites harbored significantly different AMF communities, and AMF in roots and soil bulk compartments responded differently to experimental treatments applied at both sites. Although N fertilizer additions did not alter AMF
diversity and community composition, we found *Archaeospora* increased with N fertilizer
application. Moreover, there was certain correlations between N availability in soils and specific
AMF genera; for instance, the presence of *Claroideoglomus* with increasing NH<sub>4</sub>-N
concentration in the topsoil.

#### 277 3.5.1. AMF community composition changes across contrasting cropping systems

The AMF present in roots and bulk soil responded to experimental treatments differently 278 across the two study sites, revealing no unified effect of cropping system across sites. In this 279 study, we compared conventional annual grain crops to novel perennial grain crops, since the 280 281 two cropping systems have contrasting field management and crop phenology. However, our findings indicate that these management and phenology differences across cropping systems are 282 283 not the main drivers of differences in AMF diversity and community composition. It was 284 expected that no-tillage management in perennial grain cropping would support AMF propagules in a manner similar to perennial plant species (Muller et al., 2017). Conversely, the AMF 285 diversity and community in our study were unresponsive to the contrasting cropping systems 286 (Table 3, Supplementary Table 5). When comparing multiple cropping systems (i.e., ranging 287 from fallow to perennial forage), it can be postulated that host type was a determinant of alpha 288 289 and beta diversity in the soil AMF community profiles (Gorzelak et al., 2017, Jiang et al., 2020). This is because the experimental treatments used for this study (i.e., spring grain, fall grain, and 290 perennial grain) were cultivars of the same S. cereale species, despite having different growth 291 292 habits and management. Although different cultivars of the same plant species can harbor different AMF communities in soil, it appears that S. cereale does not (Kavadia et al., 2020; 293 Parvin et al., 2021). When investigating AMF community composition in roots, there was also 294

no difference between annual and perennial grain crops. However, we observed differences
between grain crops (i.e., spring vs. fall grain crops) at the Edmonton site. This finding suggests
that there are indeed differences in AMF across the differing grain crop cultivars. Such
differences in AMF community profiles between crop types may manifest in the bulk soil only
after multiple seasons, as the differences captured in our study were observed two years after the
experimental establishment.

The differential response of AMF to cropping systems across the two study sites could have 301 been influenced by legacy effects of previous land management history. Historical effects on soil 302 303 AMF community composition occurred in Breton, whilst this legacy effect was not discernible in Edmonton (Table 3; Supplementary Table 5). The long-term land use prior to establishing the 304 experimental site at Breton was perennial forage. As the soil at the Breton site had an overall 305 lower transitory C pool (i.e., POM fraction) compared with the Edmonton, we interpret that long-306 term perennial forage cropping systems had developed a great allocation of C to the stable 307 organic matter pool, capable of sequestering C long-term (POM-C proportion were  $27.6 \pm 0.04$ 308 and  $30.7 \pm 0.07$  % for Breton and Edmonton, respectively). This was supported by inferences 309 from CCA and RDA, which showed how POM-C concentration can significantly account for the 310 311 variance and difference in the community composition across study sites when focusing on both bulk soil and roots (Supplementary Fig. 4A and B). The Breton soil was conducive to 312 maintaining overall lower POM-C fraction, even after two growing seasons of perennial 313 314 cropping at the experimental site. Based on the premise that POM is readily utilized as a substrate by microbes compared with other more recalcitrant or protected SOM compounds 315 (Cotrufo et al., 2019), the relatively lower POM fraction at Breton may have play an important 316 role in regulating AMF community composition (Martinez-Gracia et al., 2018). In our research, 317

318 we observed that Archaeospora and Paraglomus have significant correlations with POM-C only at Breton site. Moreover, our RDA analysis showed that POM-C was a marginally significant 319 driver of introducing a divergence of AMF community composition at Breton within the root 320 321 compartment. However, our RDA does not show any correlation with divergences of AMF community composition in the soil compartment. It is postulated that a sequential change of 322 POM-C concentration was not captured appropriately to fully account for divergences of AMF 323 community composition. The POM-C measurement was implemented only at the time of crop 324 anthesis, which may attenuate or even mask cropping systems effects on POM-C compared with 325 326 POM changes that occur at the end of the growing season or even in the long term (Supplementary Fig. 3A, Supplementary Fig. 4C). Nonetheless, our finding further implies that 327 the AMF community composition reacts more sensitively to alternations derived from previous 328 long-term land use changes under an initially lower labile SOM pool, which could have been 329 inherited from the earlier management history. On the other hand, the Edmonton site had a 330 history of long-term annual cropping for several decades before the beginning of this study. The 331 Edmonton site had a comparatively higher labile SOM pool and overall C concentration 332 compared to the Breton site, which may have concealed the potential impacts of contrasting 333 334 cropping systems on AMF community composition. Further investigation is required to conclude to what degree AMF community composition is sensitive to alternations in POM-C abundance 335 and when POM-C measurement timing can become representative of alterations in AMF 336 337 community composition.

338 Shannon and inverse Simpson indices in the fallow treatment were comparatively higher or 339 equivalent to in any of the grain cropping options (i.e., spring grain, fall grain, and perennial 340 grain) at both study sites. Although the fallow treatment was managed to prevent any plant

341 growth, native grasses sporadically occurred in spite of periodic herbicide applications, which may have increased AMF diversity. As an alternative explanation, earlier studies have shown 342 that anthropogenic stressors such as continuous cropping systems profoundly decrease microbial 343 diversity (Entry et al., 2002, Higo et al., 2019, Alami et al., 2020). Additionally, different plant 344 species form AMF to a varying degree. While wheat crops form mycorrhizal associations, they 345 do not appear to gain any benefits from doing so (Graham and Abbott, 2000). Similar to wheat, 346 rye may also form symbioses with AMF without any net benefit. This may result in a decrease of 347 alpha diversity as a result of cereal cropping, as they can successfully grow without the 348 349 dependence of mycorrhizae (Phillips et al., 2019, Alguacil et al., 2019). Furthermore, the perennial forage treatment showed an AMF diversity pattern opposite to the grain cropping 350 treatments, depending on the site. These differences between treatments may be due to 351 interactions of environment × plant species or ecological behavior of AMF specific to either each 352 experimental site or their land use history (Casazza et al., 2017; Hontoria et al., 2019). Our 353 measured environmental factors explained about 11.7 % variation in AMF community 354 composition, thus untested factors are clearly at play (Montes-Borrego et al., 2014). Collectively, 355 previous management history, intrinsic soil properties, and ecological behavioral differences may 356 357 have impacted AMF alpha and beta diversity as well as initial AMF inoculum available in the soil to colonize new plant roots (Ramos-Zapata et al., 2012; Njeru et al., 2015; Phillips et al., 358 2019; Gu et al., 2020). 359

The differential length of the growing seasons across crop types (annual vs. perennial grain) may be a more significant driver of beta diversity than agricultural practices. Interestingly, contrasting cropping systems influenced the AMF community structure. Moreover, AMF community composition shifted when comparing fallow to perennial forage (Fig. 2B). This can

suggest a gradual shift in AMF community composition over time, which further implies that
AMF community composition can be associated with plant succession during cropping
development. To examine the hypothesis that vegetation type impacts AMF community, there is
a need to further investigate how AMF community composition changes following vegetation
establishment over the long term.

In addition, contrasting cropping systems produce significant differences at the AMF genus level (Supplementary Fig. 7). In other words, AMF taxa differences at the genus level were relatively inconsistent across contrasting cropping systems, which further implies that the AMF taxa present across cropping systems have specific functional roles (Higo et al., 2019).

# 373 *3.5.2. AMF community composition response to N fertilizer*

As AMF are typically involved in plant nutrient acquisition, studies have shown that their 374 375 abundance and diversity respond either specifically to N fertilizer addition, or to other agricultural management practices (Benitez et al., 2016; Bakhshandeh et al., 2017, Xiao et al., 376 2020). Although N fertilizer may have a marginal impact on symbioses associated with AMF 377 378 directly, we hypothesized that an overall increase in plant growth due to the application of N fertilizer would decrease plant dependence on AMF symbioses and their associated benefits, 379 such as the acquisition of available N. In our study, N fertilizer addition solely decreased inverse 380 Simpson diversity at Edmonton sites, but did not significant change between identical cropping 381 systems (Supplementary Fig. 2). In general, our results revealed no alteration in overall AMF 382 diversity and community composition as a function of N fertilizer application across any of the 383 widely contrasting cropping systems (Supplementary Table 2; Supplementary Table 3; 384 Supplementary Table 4). It is possible that N fertilizer addition (56 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) was not enough to 385 reshape AMF assemblage (Emery et al., 2017). Additionally, the lack of response in AMF 386

387 diversity and community composition may depend on background nutrient availability in soils (Xiao et al., 2020). Phosphorous availability may have played a pivotal role in AMF community 388 structure amongst other nutrients. This is based on the presumption that AMF can access and 389 source up to 90 % of P and 20 % of N for host plants through the hyphal network (Egerton-390 Warburton et al., 2007; Williams et al., 2017; Bakhshandeh et al 2017). To be specific, 391 phosphorous is immobile in soils relative to available nitrogen by forming insoluble P complexes 392 with cations such as iron, aluminum, and calcium. This slows diffusion of P which becomes 393 subsequently depleted near root surfaces; however, AMF hypha networking can extend and 394 increase absorption and transportation of P into root surfaces, whereas N fertilizer may have less 395 impacts on AMF community compositions because of greater mobility of N resources within the 396 soil pores (Knerr et al., 2018). Consequently, this advantage in P acquisition could presumably 397 impact and augment the interaction of AMF community composition as a function of N 398 fertilization across the contrasting cropping systems. 399 Notably, the perennial grain fields that received N fertilizer showed overall higher Shannon 400

and inverse Simpson diversity than the perennial grain plots without any N fertilizer addition
although this comparison was not a statistical significance (Supplementary Fig. 1). Notably, root
biomass of the perennial grain crop was significantly higher than that of the annual crop (Kim et
al., 2021). Consequently, perennial plants with increased root density produce more root
exudates than annual crops (Daly and Hernandez-Ramirez, 2020). This higher root exudation
consists of diverse organic C and N compounds, which in the presence of N fertilizer, may
synergistically bolster AMF diversity (Xiao et al., 2020).

Differential abundance analysis at genus level showed N fertilizer addition had a positive correlation with *Archaeospora* at the Breton site, which suggests that this genus was able to benefit and adapt to higher N fertilizer conditions.

## 411 *3.5.3. Soil properties and AMF community*

The labile C pool (i.e., POM-C) and inorganic N concentrations are used as an energy 412 source for soil microorganisms and for plant nutrient acquisition, respectively. Collectively, 413 414 these properties can act as meaningful indicators of soil fertility (Jemo et al., 2018; He et al., 2018). The contrasting cropping systems in our study had significant impacts on the 415 aforementioned soil attributes (Supplementary Fig. 3). Likewise, these key soil properties have 416 417 also significant impacts on AMF community composition, since they can moderate AMF 418 community structure (Zhang et al., 2020; Alami et al., 2020). In turn, alteration of the AMF 419 community can also impact ecological processes such as nutrient absorption and translocation by 420 plants (Xiao et al., 2020). From a broader perspective, AMF community composition can also influence overall C and N dynamics in ecosystems (Wang et al., 2018). In our study, resource 421 422 availability diverged across grain cropping systems; however, the measured soil properties accounted for only 2 % of the shifts in AMF community composition. Thus, even though labile 423 pools of soil C and N changed with treatments in our study, they were not the main drivers 424 425 altering the overall AMF assemblages.

Additionally, a better understanding of how cropping systems impact AMF can emerge from
correlation analyses between AMF community taxa and selected soil properties (Alami et al.,

428 2020). Certain AMF genera were significantly affected by specific soil properties as

429 abovementioned. For instance, in Breton, POM-C was negatively correlated with Archaeospora,

430 but positively correlated with *Paraglomus*, while NH<sub>4</sub>-N was positively correlated with

*Claroideoglomus* specifically at the Edmonton site. Interestingly, these individual genera
responded differently to these two key soil properties at the two contrasting study sites. NO<sub>3</sub>-N
did not have any significant correlations with any particular AMF taxa; this can be attributed to
AMF preference for ammonium. This finding is in line with He et al. (2018) who reported that
AMF have higher uptake and assimilation rate of NH<sub>4</sub>-N than that of NO<sub>3</sub>-N while facilitating
enhanced plant N acquisition.

#### 437 *3.5.4. Dominance of Paraglomus in the Canadian Prairie Soils*

Paraglomus was the most ubiquitous genus across treatments at both sites (Breton, 90.5%; 438 Edmonton, 74.2%). Both sites may be favorable habitat for *Paraglomus* species, likely in 439 440 association with predominant soils and climate (Ezeokoli et al. 2020). Several studies have demonstrated that *Paraglomus* is well adapted to agricultural soils. Long term agricultural 441 442 practices can lead to gradual soil acidification, which actually becomes favorable for Paraglomus species (Bainard et al., 2014; Rezacova et al., 2019; Avio et al., 2020). Bainard et 443 al., (2014) has shown that *Paraglomus* was highly dominant in AMF colonization of common 444 crop roots within the Canadian Prairies, under a similar climatic condition as our study sites. 445 Although *Paraglomous* in our study was widespread in both bulk soil and root compartments, 446 the incidence of *Paraglomus* species was even more dominant in the bulk soil than with the 447 448 roots.

# 449 *3.5.5. AMF Community Composition in Bulk Soil and Roots*

Shifts in AMF community in bulk soil and roots have been observed across diverse crop
species and varying environmental conditions (Higo et al., 2015). In line with previous studies,
which reported the discrepancy of AMF diversity and community composition at different

453 microsites (bulk soil vs. rhizosphere), our findings also showed significant changes in AMF community structure between soils and roots irrespective of cropping systems (Azeem et al., 454 2020, Zhao et al., 2020). Possibly, bulk soil compartment included AMF hyphae and inactive 455 AMF structures, while root samples represented AMF that were actively colonizing and more 456 likely to be engaged in nutrient exchange with the crops. Some AMF genera produce more 457 hyphae than others or colonize shorter distances from roots into bulk soil compartment with 458 relatively more abundance in the roots compartment (Hempel et al., 2007). Therefore, AMF 459 genera may be underrepresented in the bulk soil over roots. In addition, uneven nutrient 460 461 availability across microsites within the soil might be a decisive factor driving the spatial distribution of AMF. Overall, growth of microbes such as AMF are sensitive to resource 462 availability such as root exudates, plant litter input, and photosynthates (Xiao et al., 2020; Azeem 463 et al., 2020). 464

AMF selectively colonized particular host crop roots. *Paraglomus* genus was frequently 465 found even more in the roots at both study sites. The dominance of Paraglomus is likely due to 466 their widespread distribution and adaptation in our climatic regions. Conversely, Archaeospora 467 and *Claroideoglomus* genera had lower presence in the roots compared to the bulk soil at the 468 Edmonton site. This observation indicates host selection preference for particular genera. 469 Additionally, AMF genera in the two compartments (i.e., bulk soil vs. roots) responded 470 differently to selected soil properties (Supplementary Fig 8B and C), indicating that particular 471 472 genera are better adapted to certain environmental conditions (Zhang et al., 2020). This study provides the first evidence of particular AMF genera association with specific environmental 473 factors in contrasting cropping systems. 474

475

## 476 **3.6.** Conclusion

Our study explored AMF community composition across contrasting cropping systems (e.g., 477 annual vs. perennial grain crops). AMF community composition under perennial grain cropping 478 479 did not differ from annual grain, likely due to the genetic similarity of the two crops. Furthermore, the two contrasting study sites had different patterns of AMF alpha and beta 480 diversities. This may be a result of differing inherent soil properties and management legacy 481 482 effects across field sites. Environmental variables such as labile C and N in the soils were correlated with AMF community composition and specific AMF genera. Archaeospora were 483 relatively sensitive to environmental alternations, whilst the most prevalent AMF genus was 484 485 Paraglomus across all assessed agroecosystems. Thus, AMF communities appear to be impervious to annual vs. perennial cropping approaches, rather their most pronounced 486 differences are field site specific. 487

#### 3.7. References

- Alami, M.M., Xue, J., Ma, Y., Zhu, D., Abbas, A., Gong, Z., Wang, X., 2020. Structure, Function, Diversity, and Composition of Fungal Communities in Rhizospheric Soil of Coptis chinensis Franch under a Successive Cropping System. Plants (Basel) 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9020244</u>
- Alguacil, M.M., Díaz, G., Torres, P., Rodríguez-Caballero, G., Roldán, A., 2019. Host identity and functional traits determine the community composition of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in facultative epiphytic plant species. Fungal Ecology 39, 307–315. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2019.02.002</u>
- Anderson, M.J., 2017. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA), in: Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online. American Cancer Society, pp. 1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841</u>
- Avio, L., Njeru, E.M., Oehl, F., Turrini, A., Bocci, G., Bàrberi, P., Giovannetti, M., Sbrana, C., 2020. Smallscale soil heterogeneity affects the distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species in a hot-spot field in a Mediterranean site. Applied Soil Ecology 154, 103631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103631</u>
- Azeem, M., Sun, D., Crowley, D., Hayat, R., Hussain, Q., Ali, A., Tahir, M.I., Jeyasundar, P.G.S.A., Rinklebe, J., Zhang, Z., 2020. Crop types have stronger effects on soil microbial communities and functionalities than biochar or fertilizer during two cycles of legume-cereal rotations of dry land. Science of The Total Environment 715, 136958. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136958</u>
- Bainard, L.D., Bainard, J.D., Hamel, C., Gan, Y., 2014. Spatial and temporal structuring of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities is differentially influenced by abiotic factors and host crop in a semi-arid prairie agroecosystem. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 88, 333–344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12300</u>
- Bakhshandeh, S., Corneo, P.E., Mariotte, P., Kertesz, M.A., Dijkstra, F.A., 2017. Effect of crop rotation on mycorrhizal colonization and wheat yield under different fertilizer treatments. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 247, 130–136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.06.027</u>
- Benitez, M.-S., Taheri, W.I., Lehman, R.M., 2016. Selection of fungi by candidate cover crops. Applied Soil Ecology 103, 72–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.03.016</u>
- Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A., Alexander, H., Alm, E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J.E., Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C.J., Brown, C.T., Callahan, B.J., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A.M., Chase, J., Cope, E.K., Da Silva, R., Diener, C., Dorrestein, P.C., Douglas, G.M., Durall, D.M., Duvallet, C., Edwardson, C.F., Ernst, M., Estaki, M., Fouquier, J., Gauglitz, J.M., Gibbons, S.M., Gibson, D.L., Gonzalez, A., Gorlick, K., Guo, J., Hillmann, B., Holmes, S., Holste, H., Huttenhower, C., Huttley, G.A., Janssen, S., Jarmusch, A.K., Jiang, L., Kaehler, B.D., Kang, K.B., Keefe, C.R., Keim, P., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koester, I., Kosciolek, T., Kreps, J., Langille, M.G.I., Lee, J., Ley, R., Liu, Y.-X., Loftfield, E., Lozupone, C., Maher, M., Marotz, C., Martin, B.D., McDonald, D., McIver, L.J., Melnik, A.V., Metcalf, J.L., Morgan, S.C., Morton, J.T., Naimey, A.T., Navas-Molina, J.A., Nothias, L.F., Orchanian, S.B., Pearson, T., Peoples, S.L., Petras, D., Preuss, M.L., Pruesse, E., Rasmussen, L.B., Rivers, A., Robeson, M.S., Rosenthal, P., Segata, N., Shaffer, M., Shiffer, A., Sinha, R., Song, S.J., Spear, J.R., Swafford, A.D., Thompson, L.R., Torres, P.J., Trinh, P.,

Tripathi, A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Ul-Hasan, S., van der Hooft, J.J.J., Vargas, F., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Vogtmann, E., von Hippel, M., Walters, W., Wan, Y., Wang, M., Warren, J., Weber, K.C., Williamson, C.H.D., Willis, A.D., Xu, Z.Z., Zaneveld, J.R., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Q., Knight, R., Caporaso, J.G., 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37, 852–857. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9</u>

- Brachmann, C.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hik, D.S., 2020. CH4 uptake along a successional gradient in temperate alpine soils. Biogeochemistry 147, 109–123. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-019-00630-0</u>
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: Highresolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature Methods 13, 581–583. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869</u>
- Casazza, G., Lumini, E., Ercole, E., Dovana, F., Guerrina, M., Arnulfo, A., Minuto, L., Fusconi, A., Mucciarelli, M., 2017. The abundance and diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are linked to the soil chemistry of screes and to slope in the Alpic paleo-endemic Berardia subacaulis. PLoS One 12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171866</u>
- Cotrufo, M.F., Ranalli, M.G., Haddix, M.L., Six, J., Lugato, E., 2019. Soil carbon storage informed by particulate and mineral-associated organic matter. Nature Geoscience 12, 989–994. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0484-6
- Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Sources and priming of soil N2O and CO2 production: Nitrogen and simulated exudate additions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 149, 107942. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107942
- Egerton-Warburton, L.M., Johnson, N.C., Allen, E.B., 2007. Mycorrhizal Community Dynamics Following Nitrogen Fertilization: A Cross-Site Test in Five Grasslands. Ecological Monographs 77, 527–544. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1772.1
- Emery, S.M., Reid, M.L., Bell-Dereske, L., Gross, K.L., 2017. Soil mycorrhizal and nematode diversity vary in response to bioenergy crop identity and fertilization. GCB Bioenergy 9, 1644–1656. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12460</u>
- Entry, J.A., Rygiewicz, P.T., Watrud, L.S., Donnelly, P.K., 2002. Influence of adverse soil conditions on the formation and function of Arbuscular mycorrhizas. Advances in Environmental Research 7, 123–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(01)00109-5
- Ezeokoli, O.T., Mashigo, S.K., Maboeta, M.S., Bezuidenhout, C.C., Khasa, D.P., Adeleke, R.A., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community differentiation along a post-coal mining reclamation chronosequence in South Africa: A potential indicator of ecosystem recovery. Applied Soil Ecology 147, 103429. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103429</u>
- Gorzelak, M.A., Asay, A.K., Pickles, B.J., Simard, S.W., 2015. Inter-plant communication through mycorrhizal networks mediates complex adaptive behaviour in plant communities. AoB PLANTS 7. https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv050

- Gorzelak, M.A., Holland, T.C., Xing, X., Hart, M.M., 2012. Molecular approaches for AM fungal community ecology: A primer. Journal of Microbiological Methods 90, 108–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.04.010
- Gorzelak, M.A., Pickles, B.J., Hart, M.M., 2017. Exploring the symbiont diversity of ancient western redcedars: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi of long-lived hosts. Molecular Ecology 26, 1586–1597. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14023
- Graham, J.H., Abbott, L.K., 2000. Wheat responses to aggressive and non-aggressive arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant and Soil 220, 207–218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004709209009</u>
- Gu, S., Wu, S., Guan, Y., Zhai, C., Zhang, Z., Bello, A., Guo, X., Yang, W., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community was affected by tillage practices rather than residue management in black soil of northeast China. Soil and Tillage Research 198, 104552. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104552</u>
- Hahn, P.G., Bullington, L., Larkin, B., LaFlamme, K., Maron, J.L., Lekberg, Y., 2018. Effects of Short- and Long-Term Variation in Resource Conditions on Soil Fungal Communities and Plant Responses to Soil Biota. Front. Plant Sci. 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01605</u>
- He, X., Chen, Y., Liu, S., Gunina, A., Wang, X., Chen, W., Shao, Y., Shi, L., Yao, Q., Li, J., Zou, X., Schimel, J.P., Zhang, W., Fu, S., 2018. Cooperation of earthworm and arbuscular mycorrhizae enhanced plant N uptake by balancing absorption and supply of ammonia. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 116, 351–359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.038
- Hebb, C.C., Schoderbek, D., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hewins, D.B., Carlyle, C.N., Bork, E.W., 2017. Soil physical quality varies among contrasting land uses in Northern Prairie regions. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.008</u>
- Hempel, S., Renker, C., Buscot, F., 2007. Differences in the species composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in spore, root and soil communities in a grassland ecosystem. Environmental Microbiology 9, 1930–1938. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01309.x</u>
- Higo, M., Isobe, K., Kondo, T., Yamaguchi, M., Takeyama, S., Drijber, R.A., Torigoe, Y., 2015. Temporal variation of the molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in three different winter cover crop rotational systems. Biol Fertil Soils 51, 21–32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0945-4</u>
- Higo, M., Tatewaki, Y., Gunji, K., Kaseda, A., Isobe, K., 2019. Cover cropping can be a stronger determinant than host crop identity for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities colonizing maize and soybean. PeerJ 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6403</u>
- Hoang, C.K., Pham, N.H., Le, C.H., Tran, H.T.N., Dang, H.T.C., Chu, H.H., Brouwer, B., Le, H.M., 2019. Impact of Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Mycorrhizal Inoculating Potential and Fungal Community Structure in Rhizosphere of Medicinal Plant Curcuma longa L. Geomicrobiology Journal 36, 385–395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2018.1556751</u>

- Hontoria, C., García-González, I., Quemada, M., Roldán, A., Alguacil, M.M., 2019. The cover crop determines the AMF community composition in soil and in roots of maize after a ten-year continuous crop rotation. Science of The Total Environment 660, 913–922. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.095
- Ingraffia, R., Amato, G., Sosa-Hernández, M.A., Frenda, A.S., Rillig, M.C., Giambalvo, D., 2020. Nitrogen Type and Availability Drive Mycorrhizal Effects on Wheat Performance, Nitrogen Uptake and Recovery, and Production Sustainability. Frontiers in Plant Science 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00760</u>
- Jemo, M., Dhiba, D., Hashem, A., Abd\_Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A.A., Tran, L.-S.P., 2018. Mycorrhizal fungal community structure in tropical humid soils under fallow and cropping conditions. Scientific Reports 8, 17061. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34736-6</u>
- Jiang, S., Hu, X., Kang, Y., Xie, C., An, X., Dong, C., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in the rhizospheric soil of litchi and mango orchards as affected by geographic distance, soil properties and manure input. Applied Soil Ecology 152, 103593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103593
- Khan, M.S., Zaidi, A., Wani, P.A., 2007. Role of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms in sustainable agriculture A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 27, 29–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2006011</u>
- Kim, K., Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Perennial grain cropping enhances the soil methane sink in temperate agroecosystems. Geoderma 388, 114931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.114931</u>
- Lopes, L.D., Fernandes, M.F., 2020. Changes in microbial community structure and physiological profile in a kaolinitic tropical soil under different conservation agricultural practices. Applied Soil Ecology 152, 103545. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103545</u>
- Mahmoudi, N., Cruz, C., Mahdhi, M., Mars, M., Caeiro, M.F., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil, roots and rhizosphere of Medicago truncatula: diversity and heterogeneity under semi-arid conditions. PeerJ 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6401</u>
- McGONIGLE, T.P., Miller, M.H., Evans, D.G., Fairchild, G.L., Swan, J.A., 1990. A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular—arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 115, 495–501. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00476.x</u>
- McGowan, A.R., Nicoloso, R.S., Diop, H.E., Roozeboom, K.L., Rice, C.W., 2019. Soil Organic Carbon, Aggregation, and Microbial Community Structure in Annual and Perennial Biofuel Crops. Agronomy Journal 111, 128–142. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.04.0284</u>
- Montes-Borrego, M., Metsis, M., Landa, B.B., 2014. Arbuscular Mycorhizal Fungi Associated with the Olive Crop across the Andalusian Landscape: Factors Driving Community Differentiation. PLoS One 9, e96397. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096397</u>
- Morgan, B.S.T., Egerton-Warburton, L.M., 2017. Barcoded NS31/AML2 primers for sequencing of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in environmental samples1. Appl Plant Sci 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1700017</u>

- Mueller, A., Ngwene, B., Peiter, E., George, E., 2017. Quantity and distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal storage organs within dead roots. Mycorrhiza 27, 201–210. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-016-0741-0</u>
- Njeru, E.M., Avio, L., Bocci, G., Sbrana, C., Turrini, A., Bàrberi, P., Giovannetti, M., Oehl, F., 2015. Contrasting effects of cover crops on 'hot spot' arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in organic tomato. Biol Fertil Soils 51, 151–166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0958-z</u>
- Öpik, M., Vanatoa, A., Vanatoa, E., Moora, M., Davison, J., Kalwij, J.M., Reier, Ü., Zobel, M., 2010. The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). New Phytologist 188, 223–241. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x</u>
- Paymaneh, Z., Sarcheshmehpour, M., Bukovská, P., Jansa, J., 2019. Could indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal communities be used to improve tolerance of pistachio to salinity and/or drought? Symbiosis 79, 269– 283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-019-00645-z</u>
- Pellegrino, E., Gamper, H.A., Ciccolini, V., Ercoli, L., 2020. Forage Rotations Conserve Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Soil Fertility. Frontiers in Microbiology 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02969</u>
- Phillips, M.L., Weber, S.E., Andrews, L.V., Aronson, E.L., Allen, M.F., Allen, E.B., 2019. Fungal community assembly in soils and roots under plant invasion and nitrogen deposition. Fungal Ecology, Ecology of Mycorrhizas in the Anthropocene 40, 107–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2019.01.002</u>
- Piazza, G., Ercoli, L., Nuti, M., Pellegrino, E., 2019. Interaction Between Conservation Tillage and Nitrogen Fertilization Shapes Prokaryotic and Fungal Diversity at Different Soil Depths: Evidence From a 23-Year Field Experiment in the Mediterranean Area. Frontiers in Microbiology 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02047</u>
- Ramos-Zapata, J.A., Marrufo-Zapata, D., Guadarrama, P., Carrillo-Sánchez, L., Hernández-Cuevas, L., Caamal-Maldonado, A., 2012. Impact of weed control on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a tropical agroecosystem: a long-term experiment. Mycorrhiza 22, 653–661. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-012-0443-1</u>
- Ren, A.-T., Mickan, B.S., Li, J.-Y., Zhou, R., Zhang, X.-C., Ma, M.-S., Wesly, K., Xiong, Y.-C., 2021. Soil labile organic carbon sequestration is tightly correlated with the abundance and diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in semiarid maize fields. Land Degradation & Development 32, 1224–1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3773</u>
- Řezáčová, V., Slavíková, R., Konvalinková, T., Zemková, L., Řezáč, M., Gryndler, M., Šmilauer, P., Gryndlerová, H., Hršelová, H., Bukovská, P., Jansa, J., 2019. Geography and habitat predominate over climate influences on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities of mid-European meadows. Mycorrhiza 29, 567–579. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-019-00921-2</u>

- Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., Smyth, G.K., 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Ryan, M.R., Crews, T.E., Culman, S.W., DeHaan, L.R., Hayes, R.C., Jungers, J.M., Bakker, M.G., 2018. Managing for Multifunctionality in Perennial Grain Crops. BioScience 68, 294–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy014</u>
- Schneider, F., Don, A., Hennings, I., Schmittmann, O., Seidel, S.J., 2017. The effect of deep tillage on crop yield – What do we really know? Soil and Tillage Research 174, 193–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.07.005</u>
- Somenahally, A., DuPont, J.I., Brady, J., McLawrence, J., Northup, B., Gowda, P., 2018. Microbial communities in soil profile are more responsive to legacy effects of wheat-cover crop rotations than tillage systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 123, 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.04.025
- Wang, J., Rhodes, G., Huang, Q., Shen, Q., 2018. Plant growth stages and fertilization regimes drive soil fungal community compositions in a wheat-rice rotation system. Biol Fertil Soils 54, 731–742. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1295-4</u>
- Williams, A., Manoharan, L., Rosenstock, N.P., Olsson, P.A., Hedlund, K., 2017. Long-term agricultural fertilization alters arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community composition and barley (Hordeum vulgare) mycorrhizal carbon and phosphorus exchange. New Phytologist 213, 874–885. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14196</u>
- Xiao, D., Liu, X., Yang, R., Tan, Y., Zhang, W., Deng, C., He, X., Wang, K., 2020. Nitrogen input through chemical and biological pathway affects arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in forage grass cultivation in southwest China. Applied Soil Ecology 150, 103454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103454
- Zhang, Y., Ma, Q., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., Liu, D., Ning, Y., Jia, Z., Zhang, P., 2020. Variations in soil fungal communities after continuous fertiliser treatment under the ridge and furrow rainfall harvesting system in a semiarid region of China. Soil Res. 58, 161–173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/SR18336</u>
- Zhao, F., Feng, X., Guo, Y., Ren, C., Wang, J., Doughty, R., 2020. Elevation gradients affect the differences of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi diversity between root and rhizosphere soil. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 284, 107894. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107894</u>

# Tables

|                                 | Units                     | Breton              | Edmonton        |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Classification                  |                           | Orthic Gray Luvisol | Black Chernozem |
| TOC†                            | g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 27.5±2.9            | 53.7±2.9        |
| $\mathrm{TN}\dagger$            | g N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 2.21±0.18           | 4.67±0.29       |
| Texture                         |                           | Silty clay loam     | Clay            |
| Clay                            | %                         | 31.1                | 47.0            |
| Silt                            | %                         | 49.1                | 36.0            |
| Sand                            | %                         | 19.8                | 17.0            |
| pH‡                             |                           | 5.7                 | 7.2             |
| $\mathrm{EC}$ ‡                 | dS m <sup>-1</sup>        | 0.83                | 1.5             |
| <b>Cumulative Precipitation</b> | mm yr <sup>-1</sup>       | 551.4§ [388.8]¶     | 441.5§ [314.3]¶ |
| Air Temperature                 | °C                        | 3.07§ [11.46]¶      | 3.15§ [12.36]¶  |

Table 3. 1. Overview of the main soil characteristics (0–15 cm a depth) in Breton and Edmonton (Kim et al., 2021).

 $^{+}$ Soil total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (n=4 $\pm$ SE) were measured by dry combustion (Brachmann et al., 2020), texture measured by hydrometer (Hebb et al., 2017).

§Annual cumulative precipitation (Alberta Climate Information Service).

Growing season cumulative precipitation (April-September; Alberta Climate Information Service).

| Abbreviated ID   | Description  | Seeding Dates               |                             | Harvest Dates   |   |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|                  |  | Edmonton                    | Breton                      | Edmonton  | Breton  |
| Fallow           | Continuous fallow using Roundup® and D ester herbicide since June 2017   |                             |                             |   |   |
| Spring-grain     | Spring rye cropping system for grain production. <i>Secale cereale</i> L. cv. Gazelle. Tillage was used for crop establishment every year in the mid spring. Annual rye or summer rye are alternative designations in the literature.  | 22 May 2018;<br>23 May 2019 | 23 May 2018;<br>21 May 2019 | 19 Sep 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 19 Sep 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |
| Fall-grain       | Fall rye cropping system for grain production. <i>Secale cereale</i> L. cv. Hazlett. Tillage was used for crop establishment every year in the late summer. Winter rye or biennial rye or are alternative designations in the literature.  | 29 Aug 2017;<br>3 Sep 2018  | 30 Aug 2017;<br>03 Sep 2018 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>24 Sep 2019                                   |
| Perennial-grain  | Perennial rye cropping system for grain production.<br>Secale cereale L. $\times$ S. montanum Guss cv. ACE-1.<br>Tillage was used for crop establishment only in the late<br>summer 2017.  | 29 Aug 2017                 | 30 Aug 2017                 | 24 Aug 2018;<br>25 Sep 2019                                   | 23 Aug 2018;<br>16 Sep 2019                                   |
| Perennial-forage | Perennial forage cropping system for hay production.<br>Alfalfa <i>Medicago sativa</i> L. and bromegrass <i>Bromus spp</i> .<br>Aboveground biomass is cut and carry two times a year<br>for hay with livestock feeding purposes. Tillage was used<br>for stand establishment only in the mid summer 2017. | 16 June 2017                | 8 June 2017                 | 26 June 2018;<br>31 Aug 2018;<br>16 July 2019;<br>17 Sep 2019 | 26 June 2018;<br>30 Aug 2018;<br>15 July 2019;<br>16 Sep 2019 |

# Table 3. 2. Description of cropping systems and field activities at both Edmonton and Breton (Kim et al., 2021).

Table 3. 3. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity. Average and standard errors [n=4 (fallow) and 8 (spring-grain, fall-grain, perennial-grain and perennial-forage)  $\pm$  SE] of soil samples in Breton and Edmonton across treatments in metrics including Chao1 richness, Peilou's evenness, Shannon's diversity, and inverse Simpson's diversity. Italic number means P-value.

| Treatment             | Chao1             | Evenness          | Shannon         | InvSimpson   |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                       | Breton            |                   |                 |              |
| Fallow                | 76.55±17.39       | 0.44±0.11b¶       | 1.91±0.54b      | 3.65±2.03b   |
| Spring-grain          | 79.82±32.04       | 0.31±0.08ab       | 1.36±0.45ab     | 2.25±0.78ab  |
| Fall-grain            | 80.11±14.77       | 0.32±0.07ab       | 1.42±0.38ab     | 2.12±0.59ab  |
| Perennial-grain       | 74.58±13.53       | 0.29±0.07a        | 1.21±0.30a      | 1.82±0.42ab  |
| Perennial-forage      | 66.39±24.56       | 0.24±0.06a        | 1.00±0.37a      | 1.57±0.30a   |
| Overall mean $\pm$ SE | 75.37±21.46       | 0.31±0.09         | 1.32±0.45       | 2.13±0.98    |
| P-value               | 0.49              | < 0.01            | <0.01           | < 0.01       |
|                       | Edmonton          |                   |                 |              |
| Fallow                | 30.30±10.10       | $0.48{\pm}0.12$   | $1.57 \pm 0.39$ | 3.33±01.17ab |
| Spring-grain          | 31.39±20.81       | $0.47{\pm}0.26$   | $1.32 \pm 0.62$ | 2.76±1.03ab  |
| Fall-grain            | 35.08±15.61       | $0.54{\pm}0.16$   | $1.71 \pm 0.21$ | 3.55±0.82ab  |
| Perennial-grain       | $40.95 \pm 20.79$ | $0.46{\pm}0.15$   | $1.40{\pm}0.45$ | 2.72±1.11a   |
| Perennial-forage      | 40.40±9.30        | $0.51 {\pm} 0.07$ | $1.87 \pm 0.29$ | 4.44±1.55b   |
| Overall mean ± SE     | 36.22±16.33       | 0.49±0.16         | 1.58±0.45       | 3.36±1.28    |
| P-value               | 0.65              | 0.87              | 0.06            | <0.05        |

Pairwise comparisons were performed with Tukey HSD test after ANOVA. Lowercase letters signify significant difference between treatments based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA

Table 3. 4. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity. Average and standard errors (n=16 at Breton, n=24 at Edmonton) of soil and root samples on Breton and Edmonton sites across treatments in metrics including Chao1 richness, Peilou's evenness, Shannon's diversity, and inverse Simpson's diversity. Italic number means P-value.

| Treatment | atment Chao1 Evenness |                     | Shannon         | InvSimpson      |  |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
|           | Breton                |                     |                 |                 |  |
| Soil      | 79.96±24.10           | 0.32±0.08a¶         | $1.39{\pm}0.40$ | 2.18±0.67       |  |
| Roots†    | $70.46 \pm 17.46$     | $0.38 {\pm} 0.08 b$ | $1.61 \pm 0.39$ | 3.00±1.51       |  |
|           | 0.17                  | < 0.05              | 0.13            | 0.06            |  |
|           | Edmonton              |                     |                 |                 |  |
| Soil      | $35.81{\pm}18.80$     | 0.49±0.19b          | $1.48 \pm 0.47$ | 3.01±1.03       |  |
| Roots     | 39.61±14.12           | 0.36±0.17a          | $1.32 \pm 0.70$ | $3.05 \pm 2.06$ |  |
|           | 0.42                  | < 0.05              | 0.36            | 0.42            |  |

Pairwise comparisons were performed with Tukey HSD test after ANOVA.

Lowercase letters signify significant difference between treatments based on Tukey HSD test after ANOVA †Roots dataset for Breton site only excludes perennial grain crop.



Fig. 3. 1. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi alpha diversity index including significance of Chao1 (P < 0.001), Evenness (P < 0.01), Shannon (P = 0.48) and Inverse Simpson (P < 0.01) between sites.



(B) Crop → Fallow → Spring-grain → Fall-grain → Perennial-grain → Perennial-forage



0.0 NMDS1 Fig. 3. 2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plots of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi communities based on Bray-Curtis distances. Circles are 95 % confidence ellipses of the comparison, community composition significantly differs between: (A) site; Breton and Edmonton (PERMANOVA, F = 60.075, P < 0.001), (B) cropping systems in Breton; fallow, spring-grain, fall-grain, perennial-forage (PERMANOVA, F = 2.644, P = 0.004), (C) compartments in Edmonton; soil and roots (PERMANOVA, F = 8.962, P = 0.001).



Fig. 3. 3. Proportional composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) root and soil communities. Panel indicates proportional sequence abundance at site: (A) Breton, (B) Edmonton, and legend shows phylogenetic level to identified AMF genus.

# CHAPTER 4. Carbon and Water Dynamics of a Perennial Grain Crop in Temperate Agroecosystems

Keunbae Kim<sup>1</sup>

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>

Thomas K. Flesch<sup>2</sup>

Trevor W. Coates<sup>3</sup>

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Atmospheric Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta,

Canada

<sup>3</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: ghernand@ualberta.ca

#### 1 4.1. Abstract

2 Interest in perennial grain crops has increased over the past decades as a sustainable alternative 3 to conventional annual grain crops due to their carbon sequestration and water use efficiency 4 (WUE) potentials. This study has quantified carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) and water ( $H_2O$ ) fluxes over contrasting cropping systems, including a perennial grain crop (i.e., Secale cereale L.  $\times$  S. 5 6 montanum Guss cv. ACE-1 perennial rye) vs. an annual grain crop (i.e., S. cereale L. cv. Gazelle 7 spring rye). The experiment was conducted over two continuous growing seasons using colocated eddy covariance systems in two adjacent 4-ha fields situated in Breton, Central Alberta, 8 9 Canada. Substantially greater sequestration of atmospheric C was observed in the perennial grain systems compared to the annual grain cropping systems through both net ecosystems exchange 10 (NEE) and net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB) (yearly NEE of -44.7 and 13.9 g C  $m^{-2} vr^{-1} vs$ . 11 -445.4 and -696.9 g C m<sup>-2</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>; yearly NECB of 357.3 and 386.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> vs. 27.6 and -448.9 g 12 C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). In addition to longer growing periods, which enhanced the cumulative C captured via 13 photosynthesis, the significantly greater net CO<sub>2</sub> sink in perennial grain crops was attributed to 14 relatively lower ecosystem respiration (Re), which was influenced by soil temperature sensitivity 15  $(Q_{10})$ , reduced soil disturbance, soil moisture, and weather factors. Overall, ecosystem carbon 16 use efficiency (CUE<sub>e</sub>) of perennial grain crops was greater than annual grain crops (yearly CUE<sub>e</sub>) 17 of 0.04 and -0.01 g C g C<sup>-1</sup> vs. 0.35 and 0.46 g C g C<sup>-1</sup>). Despite perennial grain crops registering 18 higher evapotranspiration (ET) at the beginning of growing season, overall cumulative ET for the 19 20 entire growing season and the associated ecosystems-water use efficiency (WUE<sub>e</sub>) were alike across the two cropping systems. As such, perennial grain crops can sequester significantly more 21 22 carbon into croplands, without strongly altering terrestrial water balance.
| 2 | 4 |
|---|---|
| _ |   |

# 25 Keywords:

26 Perennial grain crop, eddy covariance, net ecosystem exchange, carbon use efficiency, water use

27 efficiency

## 28 Highlights:

- Perennial grain crops enhance carbon sequestration compared to annual grain crops at the
  ecosystem level.
- Temperature and precipitation play an important role in regulating net ecosystem
  exchange.
- Perennial grain cropping systems do not strongly alter terrestrial water balance.

#### 34 4.2. Introduction

35 The effects of global climate change are increasingly evident in rising ambient temperatures 36 and sea levels (IPPC, 2007). Continually increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) levels are 37 of major concern, as  $CO_2$  is the foremost contributor to the acceleration of climate change processes in terrestrial ecosystems (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Zanotelli et al., 2013; 38 39 Amiro et al., 2017). From the perspective of agroecosystems, croplands can be a carbon sink or source of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, based on the ecosystem C balance between soil organic C formation 40 and decomposition mechanisms (Abraha et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019, Moore et al., 2020). 41 42 However, a general trend of soil C loss has been observed in the majority of conventional rowcropping systems for decades (Amiro et al., 2017). Concurrently, sustainable development goals 43 for 2030 require the mitigation of detrimental climate change repercussions by implementation 44 of improved land management practices, while maintaining beneficial ecosystems services 45 (United Nations, 2015). 46

Notably, cropping systems in the Canadian Prairies have continuously evolved to achieve 47 sustainable agriculture, including the introduction of new crop species, cultivar development, and 48 appropriate land management practices (Amiro et al., 2017). Perennial grain crops have been 49 particularly spotlighted as a sustainable alternative to conventional annual grain crops, as they 50 51 hold potentials for multiple desirable characteristics, including (i) mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions, (ii) high soil organic carbon accruals, (iii) a wide range of habitat adaptation, (iv) 52 reduction in nutrient leakage, (v) increase in biodiversity, and (vi) low management input 53 54 requirements, likely owing to their longer growing seasons, pervasive root systems, no-tillage management, and continuous ground cover (Eichelmann et al., 2016; Maleski et al., 2019; de 55 Oliveira et al., 2020, Abraha et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). Subsequently, the merits of 56

- 57 introducing perennial grain cropping systems may satisfy the demand for economically,
- 58 ecologically, and socially sustainable cropping systems.

59 In addition to their favorable potential to diversify agroecosystems, novel perennial grain cropping systems likely alter terrestrial C dynamics by changing rates of soil C turnover as well 60 as crop productivity (Zenone et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2019). A comprehensive understanding 61 of C dynamics plays a critical role in discerning management options that can regulate 62 atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and subsequent climate change. Nevertheless, the net ecosystem 63 C exchange as a function of establishing of perennial grain cropping systems remains elusive 64 (Wagle et al., 2019; de Oliveira et al., 2020). Thus, our multi-year study addressed and evaluated 65 net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB), seasonal variations in the components of net ecosystem 66 67 exchange (NEE), and their environmental controls in two contrasting cropping systems: annual vs. perennial grain cropping. In addition, carbon use efficiency (CUE) was also investigated to 68 determine how efficiently perennial grain crops could sequester carbon from the atmosphere 69 70 (Zanotelli et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2018).

Additionally, the eco-hydrological cycle is one of the major drivers involved in plant 71 productivity through physiological and metabolic processes (Eichelmann et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 72 2021). Previous studies have shown that the frequency and extent of widespread drought events 73 will increasingly affect North America as a result of escalating climate change. This underscores 74 the need for a critical understanding of the evaporative water (H<sub>2</sub>O) fluxes and overall water 75 balance at terrestrial surfaces as a function of land use conversions (Eichelmann et al., 2016; 76 Hatfield and Dold, 2019). Two parallel hypotheses focusing on water cycling in novel perennial 77 78 grain cropping systems can be postulated. Perennial grain crops may lead to reduced runoff and

79 higher soil water content due to the absence of tillage and relatively higher plant litter remaining on the soil surface (Abraha et al., 2015). Conversely, the longer growing season of perennial 80 grains has the potential to increase water usage, which subsequently reduces moisture in the soil 81 profile. To diminish water stress and satisfy water demands, the pervasive roots system of 82 perennial grain crops could enable the extraction of groundwater from deep soil layers (Virgilio 83 et al., 2019). The findings from this study will provide a basis for future research to examine how 84 distinct perennial grain crops could have differential impacts on hydrological and edaphic 85 processes compared to conventional annual grain crop counterparts (Anapalli et al., 2019; de 86 87 Oliveira et al., 2020).

To our knowledge, this is the first empirical assessment on how perennial grain crops 88 89 affect net ecosystem C and water exchanges compared to an adjacent, conventional annual 90 counterpart. This research addressed the following questions by utilizing continuous eddy 91 covariance (EC) measurements in co-located, paired contrasting cropping systems: i) what are 92 the seasonal and inter-annual variations of NEE and evapotranspiration (ET) dynamics in these two contrasting cropping systems?, ii) how do weather factors influence the NEE components?, 93 94 and iii) what are the outcomes of net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB), CUE, and WUE in 95 these two contrasting cropping systems?

## 96 4.3. Materials and Methods

### 97 *4.3.1 Site description*

98 The experimental site (53°08'52" N, 114°42'23" W) was located at the University of
99 Alberta Breton Research Station, Alberta, Canada. The study region has a humid continental
100 climate based on the Köppen classification system, with an annual cumulative precipitation of

526.8 mm and an annual mean air temperature of 3.51 °C (2010-2019; 10 yrs; Table 4.1). The
soils at the site are classified as Orthic Gray Luvisols with silty clay loam texture. The landscape
features are lightly sloping with moderate relief. Initial soil physiochemical properties of the
surface layer (0-15 cm) are presented in Table 4.1.

Perennial-grain crops (Secale cereale L. × S. montanum Guss cv. ACE-1 rye) and annual-105 grain crops (Secale cereale L. cv. Gazelle rye) were planted at two adjacent 4-ha fields 106 (Supplementary Fig. S4.1). Conventional management practices and fertilization rates for the 107 region were followed for the duration of the experiment. Typical growing seasons for annual 108 crops in the Canadian prairies are from May to October. Seeding for the annual grain crop was 109 110 conducted every year (i.e., 2018 and 2019) in late May (Table 4.2). In contrast, perennial grain crops were sown in early September in 2017 (the growing season prior to the first seeding of the 111 annual crop). Note that once seeded in September 2017, the perennial grain field did not require 112 additional seeding or tillage operations (Table 4.2). Nitrogen (N) fertilizer additions for both 113 crops were applied concurrent with the seeding of the annual grain crop each year at a rate of 56 114 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The N fertilizer source was a granular blend of urea and polymer-coated urea 115 (environmentally smart nitrogen, ESN<sup>TM</sup>) in a 2:1 ratio. 116

117 *4.3.2. Eddy covariance and weather station measurements* 

Open-path eddy covariance (EC) systems were established near the center of each 4-ha filed in May 2018 and have been continuously recording for the 2 years of this study to calculate CO<sub>2</sub> flux [i.e., the sum of gross primary production (GPP) and respiration ecosystem (R<sub>e</sub>)] and total evaporative water flux (i.e., the sum of plant transpiration and evaporation from soil and canopy surfaces). EC instrumentation was comprised of a LI-7500 open-path infrared gas analyzer (IRGA, LI-COR bioscience, Lincoln, NE, USA) for measuring CO<sub>2</sub> and water

124 concentration and a 3-D CSAT3 sonic anemometer (Campbell Scientific Inc.; CSI, Logan, UT, USA) for recording lateral, longitudinal, and vertical wind speed and sonic temperature. These 125 units were oriented to align with the dominant wind direction (i.e., facing to the west). Wind 126 components and densities for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O were collected at a frequency of 10Hz to compute 127 high-quality eddy flux. The height of the EC measurement was adapted according to the growing 128 129 crop height (h) (approximately >1.8 m + h). Ancillary measurements of soil temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C) 130 and soil volumetric water contents (VWC; % v/v) were conducted at three depths (7.5, 22.5, and 131 40 cm) below the soil surface using a set of 5TM soil sensors (*Meter, Washington, USA*) 132 connected to automated EM50 data loggers (Meter, Washington, USA). Soil VWC data was 133 converted into water filled pore space (WFPS) using soil bulk density data to account for soil 134 porosity.

A permanent weather station was deployed within 500 m from the research site over a grassed area. This instrumentation provided continuous measurements of air temperature, solar radiation, precipitation, relative humid, and vapor pressure deficit (VPD)

138 (https://acis.alberta.ca/weather-data-viewer.jsp; Supplementary Fig. S4.1).

139 *4.3.3.* Data processing

Data processing of eddy-covariance measurements was implemented in three sequential steps; correction, filtering, and gap-filling to obtain reliable 30-min CO<sub>2</sub> and water fluxes. Primary data processing was computed by using Eddypro 7.0.6 software (LI-COR Bioscience, Lincoln, NE, USA). This processing approach was performed for standard flux corrections including 'W-boost' bug corrections, tilt corrections, frequency response corrections, spike removal, and Webb-Pearman-Leuning (WPL) corrections (Webb et al., 1980). Rigorous data filtering processes were implemented by specific standards, which consist of quality control

| 147 | parameters, field origin rates of footprint calculation, low friction velocity ( $u^*$ threshold < 0.1   |
|-----|--|
| 148 | m/s), and implausible CO <sub>2</sub> and water fluxes. Less than 70 % of flux measurements derived from |
| 149 | within the filed were rejected by a backward Lagrangian footprint model (Flesch, 1996).                  |
| 150 | Occasionally, data was missed due to power failure or maintenance. Initial 30-min flux measured          |
| 151 | data points numbered 18371 for the annual grain crop and 19064 for the perennial grain crop              |
| 152 | derived from the EC systems; of which, about 52.9 % and 52.9 % of the collected data in the              |
| 153 | annual and perennial grain crops were filtered and passed the quality check criteria, respectively.      |
| 154 | Gap-filling and partitioning procedures were conducted using REddyproc package in R from the             |
| 155 | Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Germany (Reichstein et al., 2005; Wutzler et al.,              |
| 156 | 2018). This function enabled the estimation of half-hourly NEE, GPP, and Re. The marginal                |
| 157 | distribution sampling (MDS) gap-filling method was implemented, as well as a combination of              |
| 158 | Look-up tables and mean diurnal variation (Wutzler et al., 2018; Fig. 4.5, Supplementary Fig.            |
| 159 | S4.2, Supplementary Fig. S4.3, Supplementary Fig. S4.4).   |
| 160 | Negative values of NEE are indicative of a CO <sub>2</sub> sink, whereas positive values of NEE          |
|     |  |

indicate CO<sub>2</sub> release from the fields in this study. Partitioning of NEE into GPP and R<sub>e</sub> was
completed by using an Arrhenius-based model for nighttime fluxes and using a common
rectangular hyperbolic light-response curve for daytime fluxes (Keenan et al., 2019). Gross
primary production was simply calculated by subtracting R<sub>e</sub> from NEE.

165 *4.3.4. Flux terminology* 

Based on the eddy covariance data, the ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> autotrophic fixation per unit of time and area is defined as gross primary productivity (GPP; negative sign), while the ecosystem respiration per unit of time and area is called ecosystem respiration (R<sub>e</sub>; positive sign). As noted

above, net ecosystems exchange (NEE) represents the amalgamation between GPP and Re
fluxes.

$$NEE = GPP + R_{\rho} \tag{1}$$

171 Net ecosystem production (NEP) refers to the net flux of CO<sub>2</sub> from ecosystem where
172 NEP equals to negative NEE.

$$NEP = -NEE \tag{2}$$

Carbon use efficiency (CUE) represents how efficiently cropping systems store C from
the atmosphere (Chen et al., 2018). Ecosystem carbon use efficiency (CUE<sub>e</sub>) is commonly
defined as NEP over GPP (Chen et al., 2018; Mendes et al., 2020). Harvest carbon use efficiency
(CUE<sub>h</sub>) represents an agronomic perspective of CUE where only harvested biomass (C removals
as harvest) is considered, it is defined as dry matter or C amount in biomass over GPP.

$$CUE_e = \frac{NEP}{GPP} \tag{3}$$

$$CUE_{h} = \frac{DM_{harvested}}{GPP} \text{ or } \frac{C_{harvested}}{GPP}$$
(4)

The cumulative NEE is added to C content in harvested biomass to obtain net ecosystems carbon balance (NECB); the values are then integrated over the total measurement period (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2011; Virgilio et al., 2019).

$$NECB = NEE_{cumulated} + C_{harvested}$$
(5)

Water use efficiency (WUE) describes how efficiently the crop can assimilate carbon
from the atmosphere over a given period relative to the water usage in the same period via
evapotranspiration to the atmosphere, encompassing the ground and canopy levels (Eichelmann

et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2020). Ecosystem water use efficiency (WUE<sub>e</sub>) was defined as the GPP
over ET, and the harvest water use efficiency (WUE<sub>h</sub>) was defined as dry matter or carbon in
harvested biomass over ET or. In agronomy, WUE<sub>h</sub> is commonly used interchangeably with crop
water productivity (CWP), which is a measurement of crop yield per unit of water consumed
(Peddiniti et al., 2020).

$$WUE_e = \frac{GPP}{ET} \tag{6}$$

$$WUE_{h} = \frac{DM_{harvested}}{ET} \text{ or } \frac{C_{harvested}}{ET}$$
(7)

189 To determine difference in energy partitioning of turbulent fluxes, Bowen ration ( $\beta$ ) was 190 calculated as sensible heat (H) over latent heat (LE) fluxes (Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2010).

In order to conduct comparisons of cumulative seasonal fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> and water across
the assessed crops and growing seasons, we considered the following duration of growing

seasons: i) 2018 May 28 – 2018 October 31, and ii) 2019 May 15 – 2019 October 31.

## 194 *4.3.5. Soil chamber measurements*

To examine and compare fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> based on EC systems with soil surface chamber measurements, seven chambers were arranged as a transect within each 4-ha field during the 2019 spring-thaw season. The non-flow-through, non-steady-state chamber method was used. Dimensions of the chamber were 15 cm width by 65 cm length and 17 cm height (Kim et al., 2021). The chamber base was inserted to a depth of 5 cm in the soil. During measurements, the chamber headspace was sealed using an opaque detachable lid outfitted with a rubber septum. Three 20 mL gas samples were taken at 20, 40 and 60 min after chamber closure through the septum port using a syringe and injected into 12 mL pre-evacuated vials. Sample collection was
conducted between 10 am and 2 pm for consistency.

The gas samples were analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Trace 1310 Gas Chromatograph, *Thermo Fisher Scientific, Delft, Netherland*) equipped with thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were calculated by plotting a linear or exponential regression between measured CO<sub>2</sub> concentration versus time using a modified idea gas law as follows:

$$F = \frac{SPV}{ART} \times 12.01 \ g \ C \ mol^{-1} \tag{8}$$

Where flux (F) is gaseous rate of analyte ( $\mu$ g CO<sub>2</sub>-C m<sup>-2</sup> hr<sup>-1</sup>); S is the slope of the linear regression or derivative at time zero of the quadratic regression; P is ambient pressure (Pa); V is headspace volume of each chambers (L); A is the surface area with the chamber (m<sup>2</sup>); R is gas constant (Pa L K<sup>-1</sup>mol<sup>-1</sup>), and T is ambient air temperature (K). The multiplication by 12.01 g C mol<sup>-1</sup> is a conversion to C mass of CO<sub>2</sub> flux.

# 4.3.6. Additional plots for replicated measurements of crop productivities and soil attributes

Crop growth and soil properties were examined in experimental plots  $(4 \text{ m} \times 8 \text{ m})$ established in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replicates. These replicated plots were located adjacent to the 4-ha fields where eddy covariance systems were set up (Supplementary Fig. S4.1). A detailed description of agronomic activities was previously provided in Kim et al. (2021). Aboveground dry biomass data was destructively sampled one week prior to the dates of grain harvest by using 0.5 m  $\times$  0.5 m quadrats. Grain and biomass samples were oven dried at 65°C for 7 days to reach constant weight. Thereafter, dried biomass

| 222 | fractions were further pulverized by using a ball mill. Ground sub-samples were weighted into |
|-----|---|
| 223 | tin capsules and analyzed for C content via dry combustion.                                   |

*4.3.6.1. Statistical analyses* 

Treatment effects for crop biomass were analyzed using a linear mixed effects (LME) 225 model to account for block effects as confounding, random factors. Normality and 226 227 homoscedasticity of the model residuals were evaluated by Shapiro-Wilk and plot functions, respectively (NLME package of 3.2.1; R core Team, 2015). If these assumptions were violated, 228 the Box-Cox transformation was applied to the dataset. Tukey's Honest Significant Difference 229 (HSD) test was used for post hoc comparison of means. 230 231 232 4.4. Results 4.4.1. Weather conditions 233 Cumulative annual precipitation during research years was drier in 2018 (486.5 mm) and 234 wetter in 2019 (596.0 mm) than the 10-year (2010-2019) mean (526.8 mm) (Table 4.1). 235 Correspondently, cumulative precipitation over each growing season (i.e., planting to harvest; 236 May-October) was 341.0 mm and 501.0 mm in 2018 and 2019, respectively, whereas cumulative 237 10-year means of the same period were approximately 401.3 mm. In comparison, total and 238 growing season precipitation in 2019 were 22% and 46% wetter than in 2018, respectively 239 (Table 4.2). This can be attributed to substantial rainfall events in June and July 2019, which 240 were collectively 52 % of the annual precipitation (Fig. 4.1). 241

Regarding air temperature, the 2019 growing season had a mean temperature 2.7 °C lower than the 2018 growing season (Table 4.2). As a result, the 2019 growing season was colder and wetter than the 2018 growing season.

The overall wetter soil status in 2019 may have contributed to the large failure in 245 perennial crop establishment, which resulted in comparatively higher weed emergence and 246 growth within the study location. The plant community composition at harvest in 2019 was 247 perennial-grain rye (54.2  $\pm$  9.8 %), miscellaneous grass species (20.5  $\pm$  4.8 %), clover (12.5  $\pm$ 248 2.1 %), timothy (11.0 $\pm$ 5.7 %), and rye grass (1.6 $\pm$ 1.5 %) (Table 4.2). The weed growth was 249 challenging to control, and this reduced grain yields through direct competition. Consequently, 250 251 the perennial grain crop was harvested primarily as forage biomass at an earlier grain development stage in 2019. Excess soil moisture in 2019 also resulted in inconsistent vegetation 252 cover in both the annual and perennial crop fields. 253

Soil moisture was sensitive to the magnitude and timing of precipitation (Fig. 4.1).
Precipitation caused increases in WFPS at 7.5 cm depth, despite an overall gradual decline in
WFPS was observed over the growing season likely due to continual ET by the crops (Fig. 4.1).
During the non-growing seasons, plants did not actively uptake water and the soil profile was
recharged to its maximum soil water content (Fig. 4.1). Noticeably, perennial grain crops at 7.5
cm depth had higher WFPS than annual grain crops during the second growing season.

Variability in air and soil temperature, solar radiation, vapor pressure deficit (VPD), relative humid (rH) are presented in Fig. 4.2 and Fig. 4.3. In general, seasonal patterns of soil temperature and VPD matched for the two cropping system types. Relative humidity was less than 50 % on average and VPD was consistently similar for both crops (Fig. 4.3).

## 264 *4.4.2. Crop growth and phenology*

The perennial grain crop developed faster in the early growing season, relative to the annual crop, maintaining a higher crop height until mid-July. The maximum height of the perennial grain crop ranged from 135 to 150 cm. Towards to the end of the growing season, the height difference between the perennial and annual grain crops narrowed. In fact, the height of the annual grain crop surpassed the perennial grain crop by August in both growing seasons (Fig. 4.4).

Dry matter (DM) grain yields of annual grain crops were  $3.52 \pm 0.59$  and  $2.86 \pm 0.18$  Mg DM ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2018 and 2019, which was significantly higher than the grain yields for the perennial;  $2.81 \pm 0.18$  Mg DM ha<sup>-1</sup> for 2018 and  $1.45 \pm 0.12$  Mg DM ha<sup>-1</sup> for 2019, respectively (*Ps* < 0.05; Table 4.2). Relatively higher grain yield and strong wind conditions led to the lodging of the annual grain crop prior to harvest in 2018 (Supplementary Table 4.1).

276 As abovementioned, the productivity of the perennial grain crop in 2019 was 277 compromised by weather and weed pressure therefore the harvest of these plots was 278 implemented in two ways: perennials as a grain crop, and as forage. Aboveground biomass (i.e., 279 grain + straw) for the annual crop was comparatively reduced relative to the perennial crop for both consecutive growing seasons, when considering the perennial crop solely as forage in 2019, 280 281 although statistical significance was not found (Ps > 0.05; Table 4.2). In contrast, a substantial 282 reduction of grain, straw, and total aboveground biomass in perennial crop was observed compared to annual grain crop when perennials were considered as a grain crop in 2019 (Ps <283 284 0.05, Table 4.2). Although the perennial grain crop overall had numerically higher C content in the aboveground biomass than the annual grain crop, this comparison was not statistically 285 significant for both 2018 and 2019 (Ps > 0.05; Table 4.2). 286

#### 287 *4.4.3. CO*<sub>2</sub> *fluxes*

### 288 4.4.3.1. Ecosystems respiration ( $R_e$ )

Estimated daily respiration fluxes from the perennial grain crop were  $5.61 \pm 0.32$  and 289  $3.20 \pm 0.16$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for the 2018 and 2019 growing seasons, respectively. Over the same 290 period, average daily respiration fluxes in annual grain crops were  $5.80 \pm 0.34$  and  $8.30 \pm 0.43$  g 291 C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. Over the perennial grain crop field, the respiration fluxes peaked on 17 July 2018 292 with 15.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> and on 12 July 2019 with 10.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>, whereas respiration fluxes 293 from the annual grain crop field peaked on 29 July 2018 at 17.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> and on 31 July 294 2019 at 23.1 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4.8). At these peak times, daily respiration fluxes of the perennial 295 grain crop were comparatively lower than the annual grain crop; which was particularly 296 297 pronounced in the second year. In addition, the annual grain crop field reached its peak Re fluxes later than the perennial grain crop. Although the magnitude of fluxes between annual and 298 perennial grain crops differed, the overall pattern of Re fluxes agreed over both growing seasons 299 300 (Fig. 4.8). The two crops showed small R<sub>e</sub> peaks at harvest, thereafter daily respiration fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> were minimal until the onset of winter. Cumulative growing-season R<sub>e</sub> in the perennial 301 grain crop field amounted to 875.7 and 541.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018 and 2019, respectively. In 302 comparison, the annual grain crop had 904.1 and 1402.0 C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018 and 2019, respectively 303 (Table 4.2 & Fig. 4.8) 304

## 305 *4.4.3.2. Gross primary production (GPP)*

Estimated daily mean GPP fluxes from the perennial grain crop were  $8.27 \pm 0.53$  and 9.06 ± 0.35 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> for the two successive growing seasons, while at the same time the fluxes in annual grain crop averaged  $6.70 \pm 0.52$  and  $8.52 \pm 0.53$  g C m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup>. Peak daily GPP fluxes for the perennial grain crop were 20.9 and 20.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on 2018 June 12 and on 2019 July 12, respectively. Annual grain crops exhibited peaks of 22.0 and 25.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> on 2018 July 26 and 2019 July 25, respectively. In general, the daily peak GPP fluxes occurred at similar times to peak daily respiration fluxes.

The perennial grain crop had higher CO<sub>2</sub> uptake than annual grain crop during the initial 313 months of the two growing seasons (i.e., May and June). Cumulative GPP fluxes from the 314 perennial grain crop from May to June were significantly higher than those from the annual grain 315 crops (i.e., in 2018, the perennial grain crop was 461.3, while the annual grain crop was only 316 187.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup>; in 2019, the perennial grain crop was 594.1, while the annual grain crop was 317 249.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup>). Conversely, the annual grain crop registered slightly higher or similar daily GPP 318 fluxes than perennial grain crop during the remaining of the growing season (Fig. 4.8). As 319 expected, substantial declines in GPP fluxes were observed following harvest operations. 320 321 Overall, cumulative growing season GPP fluxes from the perennial grain crop were 1289.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018 and 1591.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2019, which were greater than from the annual grain crop, with 322 1044.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018 and 1439.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2019 (Table 4.2, Fig. 4.7, Fig. 4.8). 323

## 324 *4.4.3.3. Net ecosystem exchange (NEE)*

Symmetrical diurnal NEE cycles were observed from May to October, with peak fluxes observed around 1:00 PM (Fig. 4.5, Supplementary Fig. S4.2B, Supplementary Fig. S4.3B). The perennial grain crop had remarkable CO<sub>2</sub> uptake via higher photosynthetic activity during the daytime in June as well as in May. In addition, the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake for the perennial grain crop was relatively more discernible than the uptake for the annual grain crop in September and October, as the perennial crop registered regrowth after harvest. Focusing on the partitioning of NEE, the observed negative NEEs from the perennial grain crop were generated by comparatively higher GPP fluxes than R<sub>e</sub> fluxes. In contrast, the annual grain crop had very reduced photosynthetic capacity at the period of crop emergence and initial canopy development (i.e., May), which resulted in measured NEE fluxes that paralleled estimated respiration fluxes. Moreover, the annual grain crop showed stronger respiration compared to the perennial grain crop during the nighttime within the growing season for both growing seasons (Fig 4.5, Fig. 4.8). In general, nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes were negligible after August, likely due to crop senescence and freezing temperatures.

Daily NEE fluxes from the perennial grain crop averaged  $-2.86 \pm 0.28$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in 339 2018 and  $-4.12 \pm 0.31$  g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in 2019, while daily NEE fluxes from the annual grain crops 340 were approximately C neutral (-0.28  $\pm$  0.24 g C m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> in 2018, and -0.07  $\pm$  0.23 g C m<sup>-2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> 341 in 2019). The maximum CO<sub>2</sub> uptake NEE fluxes of the annual grain crop were -8.02 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-</sup> 342 <sup>1</sup> on 12 July 2018 and -7.30 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on 16 July 2018. However, in the case of the perennial 343 grain crop, the maximum NEE fluxes were -13.2 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> on 22 June 2018 and -12.1 g C m<sup>-</sup> 344 <sup>2</sup>day<sup>-1</sup> on 10 June 2019. Recall that the NEE fluxes from the annual grain crop were balanced out 345 by  $R_e$  and GPP, so the NEE of the annual crop became very close to zero fluxes when 346 encompassing both growing seasons as a whole. Furthermore, positive NEE fluxes were 347 observed from the annual grain crop after crop senescence. Cumulative NEE fluxes from the 348 349 perennial grain crop rapidly decreased when approaching harvest, after which time the perennial grain crop began accumulating carbon slowly for two growing seasons (Fig. 4.7). Overall, across 350 351 the two assessed cropping systems, cumulative NEE of the annual grain crops showed neutrality (-44.7 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018, 13.3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2019), while the perennial grain crop field continuously 352 exhibited strong net CO<sub>2</sub> uptakes (-445.4 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2018, -697.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in 2019) (Fig. 4.7, 353

| 354 | Table 4.2). Thus, the perennial grain crop had a higher GPP and at the same time lower                   |
|-----|--|
| 355 | respiration fluxes when compared to the annual grain crop (Fig. 4.8).                                    |
| 356 | 4.4.4. Net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB) and carbon use efficiency (CUE)                               |
| 357 | Estimates of annual C extraction via NECB were 357.3 and 386.3 g C m <sup>-2</sup> for the annual        |
| 358 | grain crop, and 27.6 and -448.9 g C m <sup>-2</sup> for the perennial grain crop in 2018 and 2019,       |
| 359 | respectively. Net ecosystem carbon balance of perennial grain crop during the second year was            |
| 360 | substantially greater in terms of carbon sequestration at the ecosystem level.                           |
| 361 | Differences in harvest carbon use efficiency (CUE <sub>h</sub> ), as calculated based on dry matter      |
| 362 | and C content, were undiscernible across two crops. Yet, the perennial grain crop consistently           |
| 363 | exhibited considerably higher ecosystem carbon use efficiency (CUEe) compared to the annual              |
| 364 | grain crop (i.e., the perennial grain crop was 0.35 and 0.46 g C g $C^{-1}$ ; annual grain crops were    |
| 365 | only 0.04 and -0.01 g C g C <sup>-1</sup> in 2018 and 2019, respectively, Table 4.2). Comparably, weekly |

366  $CUE_e$  in the perennial grain crop was continuously higher than the annual grain crop over the 367 two growing seasons, except shortly following harvest events (Fig. 4.9).

## 368 4.4.5. Response of GPP and $R_e$ to major climatic variables

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) fluxes (i.e., GPP and R<sub>e</sub>) were strongly influenced by seasonal climate elements. Cumulative GPP for both crops had a strong linear relationship with cumulative precipitation (adjusted R<sup>2</sup>s= 0.97 for both perennial grain and annual grain crops; Fig. 4.10A). Additionally, weekly R<sub>e</sub> increased with increasing air temperature as described by the Arrhenius equation (i.e., perennial grain crop: R<sup>2</sup>= 0.37, P < 0.05; annual grain crop: R<sup>2</sup>= 0.61, P < 0.05; Fig. 4.10B). Soil temperature sensitivity (Q<sub>10</sub> coefficient) under the perennial grain crop was 2.72, while the Q<sub>10</sub> of the soil under the annual grain crop was much higher at 3.93. Optimum GPP occurred with a VPD of 5 hPa for annual grain crops, while for the
perennial grain crop the optimum VPD was higher, at 5.6 hPa (Fig. 4.10C).

378 *4.4.6. Water fluxes* 

The daily mean ET fluxes during both growing seasons were comparable across both 379 crops, being  $2.30 \pm 0.12$  and  $2.51 \pm 0.11$  mm H<sub>2</sub>O day<sup>-1</sup> for the annual grain crop, and  $2.65 \pm$ 380 0.12 and 2.78  $\pm$  0.10 mm H<sub>2</sub>O day<sup>-1</sup> for the perennial grain crop in 2018 and 2019, respectively. 381 However, average daily ET fluxes during May and June from the perennial grain crop were 382 slightly higher than for the annual grain crop (i.e.,  $2.55 \pm 0.16$  mm and  $2.24 \pm 0.13$  mm for 383 annual grain crop, and  $3.95 \pm 0.25$  mm and  $3.26 \pm 0.15$  mm for perennial grain crop in 2018 and 384 2019, respectively). Late in the growing season, the magnitudes of ET were similar between 385 perennial and annual grain crops. ET for both crops increased at the beginning of growing 386 season, and it thereafter declined until reaching grain fill or crop senescence. Annual grain crops 387 peaked at ET at 5.88 mm day<sup>-1</sup> on 29 July 2018, and at 8.07 mm day<sup>-1</sup> on 25 July 2019, whilst 388 the perennial grain crop peaked at 6.31 mm day<sup>-1</sup> on 2018 June 20, and at 7.12 mm day<sup>-1</sup> on 2019 389 390 July 25. Cumulative ET for the perennial grain crop was 413.58 mm in 2018 and 469.92 mm in 391 2019, whereas cumulative ET for annual grain crops was 358.8 mm in 2018 and 423.5 mm in 392 2019, respectively (Fig. 4.6, Fig. 4.7, Table 4.2). Overall, the perennial grain cropping system had slightly more evaporative water loss during the growing season compared to the annual grain 393 394 cropping system. Cumulative ET of annual and perennial grain crops was 105.0 % and 121.0 % 395 of the growing-season precipitation received in 2018, respectively. In contrast, annual and perennial grain crops evaporated about 84.6% and 93.8% of the growing-season precipitation in 396 2019. 397

Although the perennial crop had relatively lower  $WUE_h$  based on grain yield and C content of the grain when considering it as a grain crop, higher  $WUE_h$  based on aboveground biomass and C content in the aboveground biomass was observed when the perennial crop was regarded as a forage crop. Ecosystem water use efficiency between the two cropping systems did not greatly differ across years (Table 4.2). Weekly  $WUE_e$  illustrated that the perennial grain crop had higher efficiency compared to the annual grain crop, particularly at the beginning of the growing seasons (Fig. 4.9).

405 *4.4.7. Turbulent energy fluxes* 

The perennial grain crop registered more intense LE during the beginning of growing 406 season than the annual grain crop. As the canopy developed, the LE fluxes from the annual grain 407 408 cropping system gradually increased from May to August, which was opposite to the H fluxes for each growing season. As a result, the annual grain crop had a higher Bowen ratio, in 409 410 particular in May and June, as opposed to the perennial grain crop. Collectively, higher Bowen 411 ratios were observed later in the growing seasons for both crops (Fig. 4.12). The Bowen ratio of 412 the cumulative energy fluxes for the perennial grain crop was less than that for the annual grain 413 crop (i.e., perennial grain crop was 0.12 and 0.10; annual grain crop, 0.20 and 0.24 for 2018 and 414 2019, respectively; Table 4.2).

## 415 4.5. Discussion

416 *4.5.1 Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil CO*<sub>2</sub> *sink* 

Perennial grain crops have been recently underscored as a means to mitigate greenhouse
gas emissions. This notion further provokes the investigation into how different ecophysiological mechanisms and controls on greenhouse gas emissions operate in the perennial

grain system compared to a conventional annual grain crop. Within a broader context, enhanced
understanding of the aforementioned mechanisms and controls can aid in the development of
sustainable agricultural polices to mitigate global climate change.

Over two consecutive growing seasons, the perennial grain cropping system behaved as a 423 C sink, although it is noted that weed control represented a considerable challenge in the 424 perennial grain crop in the second year. On the contrary, the NEE for the annual grain crop 425 indicated a neutral C outcome. Overall, the ecosystem C assimilation (i.e., negative NEE) under 426 the perennial grain crop was substantially greater than that under the annual grain crop. The 427 discrepancy between two crops was particularly extreme in the early and late periods of both 428 429 growing seasons. This is because perennial grain crop had a longer period covering the ground compared to the annual grain crops, in particular with earlier green ups in the spring and extend 430 growing season in fall. The longer growing season and overall greater canopy height translated 431 into extended and intense photosynthetic activity by the perennial grain crop. This greater 432 cumulative GPP by the perennial grain crop supported a net C gain in the form of vegetative 433 biomass (i.e., the perennial grain crop produced 461.3 and 594.1 g biomass-C m<sup>-2</sup>, while the 434 annual grain crop produced 187.5 and 249.2 g biomass-C m<sup>-2</sup> for 2018 and 2109, respectively). 435 This phenomenon is frequently observed in boreal perennial ecosystems, where greater C gains 436 437 are a response to greater GPP, given that other conditions are favorable (Taylor et al., 2013). 438 In contrast, the perennial grain crop exhibited relatively lower Re fluxes due to lower soil 439 temperature in the spring. Likewise, our soil chamber measurements, which followed the spring thaw in 2019 indicated a coherent pattern in soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes, within which the annual grain crop 440 had generally heightened  $CO_2$  release than the perennial grain crop (Fig. 4.11). 441

Our partitioning of CO<sub>2</sub> into GPP and R<sub>e</sub> showed that the annual grain crop had greater 442 cumulative respiration fluxes, supporting that tillage management enhances soil organic matter 443 decomposition by disrupting aggregates, mixing and exposing C in the soil (Ruan and Robertson, 444 2020; Abraha et al., 2018; Menefee et al., 2020). Additionally, the early senescence of roots and 445 recently added residues in annual grain crop were probably readily decomposable, which differs 446 in the conditions of the continuously growing root system of perennial grain crop. These 447 attributes in annual grain crop may have contributed to increase soil respiration by biota after 448 harvest towards the end of growing season and also during the subsequent early spring (Abraha 449 450 et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2021). Notwithstanding, perennial grain crops have greater root biomass, which is capable of generating autotrophic respiration (Tang et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). This 451 contribution from root respiration seems to have negligible impacts on CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes. Future 452 research can quantify and elucidate the autotrophic and heterotrophic contribution to respiration 453 in perennial cropping systems. 454

The substantial CO<sub>2</sub> uptake observed from the perennial grain crop in the second year of 455 the study was coupled with both increased cumulative GPP and reduced cumulative Re. The high 456 precipitation in 2019 significantly increased soil moisture, in particular in the perennial grain 457 crop field. This excessively wet soil over extended periods may have precluded or at least 458 459 reduced soil respiration. Greater Re was observed in the annual grain cropping system in 2019 than in 2018, suggesting that decomposing plant residues and senesced roots from the previous 460 growing season likely boosted CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2019 (Zenone et al., 2013). In addition, it 461 462 should be considered in parallel that unintendedly high volunteer growth may have also 463 contributed to enhanced GPP in the perennial grain crop field in the second year.

464 NECB was evaluated to derive net soil C balance after accounting for harvest removals. The annual grain crop had considerable net C loss over the duration of this study, whilst 465 perennial grain cropping showed significant C gains, particularly in the second year. Notably, 466 greater NEE in the perennial grain crop enabled the sequestration of significant amounts of C, 467 despite C being removed via harvest. In line with this assertion, perennial grain cropping systems 468 have shown abundant soil and root C compared to annual grain crops (Kim et al., 2021). Thus, 469 the greater CO<sub>2</sub> uptake by perennial grain crops is well distributed between crop biomass and 470 soil profile C. Overall NECB substantiates the significant potential for C storage with perennial 471 472 grain cropping systems. Our assessment of annual C budget, however, does not account for root C exudation and non-CO<sub>2</sub>-C emissions (VOC, CO, CH<sub>4</sub>) components, as well as the possibility 473 for ecosystem C saturation. 474

The effect of perennial grain cropping on harvest carbon use efficiency ( $CUE_h$ ) was 475 negligible, which implies that the C obtained via GPP was not allocated to crop biomass and 476 grain in the same proportions in perennial and annual cropping. Genetic and phenotypic 477 divergences between perennial and annual grain crops may have dictated the differential C 478 allocation and distribution mechanisms from photosynthesis into biomass vs. grain 479 compartments across perennial and annual crops. Conversely, ecosystem carbon use efficiency 480 481  $(CUE_e)$ , as opposite to  $CUE_h$ , had consistent differences between the two cropping systems. The perennial grain crop maintained higher CUE<sub>e</sub> than the annual grain crop, indicating increased 482 soil C sequestration by perennials at the ecosystem level. Our findings provide a baseline to 483 484 estimate environmental benefits in terms of C sequestration under perennial grain cropping in the 485 Canadian Prairies and comparable eco-physiological regions.

486 *4.5.2. Fluxes vs. climatic and vegetation factors* 

Deciphering the dynamic responses of fluxes to climatic and vegetative factors may
provide insights of how ecosystem processes can vary as a function of escalating climate change
(Sharma et al., 2019; Jiang et al., 2020). In our study, variations in air temperature were
consistent with soil temperature, VPD, GPP and ET fluxes over time. Simultaneously, other
fluctuations were attributed to different plant phenological stages. Crop senescence and
cloudiness, for instance, reduced photosynthetic activity, which impacted overall NEE fluxes.

Notably, differences in precipitation and temperature explained variations in NEE fluxes 493 across years (Sharma et al., 2019; Ruan and Robertson, 2020; Menefee et al., 2020). Substantial 494 rainfall in 2019 apparently triggered disproportional biomass productivity across both annual and 495 496 perennial crops, and this effect may have also impacted the cumulative GPP and R<sub>e</sub>. Our regression analysis revealed a robust linearity between cumulative precipitation and cumulative 497 GPP, and this finding is in agreement with the assertion that interannual variability of water 498 availability often impacts the interannual variability in C sequestration in temperate regions 499 (Zenon et al., 2013). Moreover, soil temperature under the annual grain crop was slightly higher 500 than under perennial grain crops, and the temperature sensitivity  $(Q_{10})$  for ecosystem respiration 501 of the annual grain crop was likewise higher than of the perennial grain crop. As a result, the 502 503 higher soil temperature and Q<sub>10</sub> contributed to neutral NEE fluxes by releasing substantial CO<sub>2</sub> 504 as soil respiration in the annual grain cropping system.



Although WUE was not significantly different between two crops, perennial grain crops may
have the potential to act as a larger CO<sub>2</sub> sink, even under relatively drier conditions.

## 511 *4.5.3. Impacts of perennial grain cropping on the soil water fluxes*

The perennial grain field had slightly higher evaporative losses than annual grain crops, 512 which can be attributed to sparse vegetative growth and water use by the perennial during the 513 late fall, dormant season and early spring (Abraha et al., 2015). However, overall water losses 514 515 were not significantly different between two cropping systems. Our result is contrary to the expectation that perennial vegetation increases water usage by extending the growing season and 516 the extensive root system. This is in line with earlier studies indicating that a transition from row 517 crops to perennial bioenergy crops does not strongly alter terrestrial water balance in a humid 518 519 temperate climate (Abraha et al., 2015).

Moderate weather variations over the two growing seasons in the study drove the annual 520 water balance. Compared with the 10-year precipitation average, precipitation was higher in 521 522 2019 and relatively lower in 2018. The measured ET was proportional to annual precipitation, which suggests that water exchange was dependent on water input and the overall water balance. 523 Surplus ET over annual precipitation would have been derived from other existing sources, such 524 as groundwater, subsurface lateral flow or soil water storage. In contrast, ET that was lower than 525 526 annual precipitation implies losses through water run-off and deep percolation (de Oliveira et al., 2020). 527

Harvest water use efficiency (WUE<sub>h</sub>) for grain yield was lower for the perennial grain crop when considering the perennial crop as a grain crop (instead of a forage crop). This result confirms that the perennial grain crop not only produced lower grain yields but overall ET was also similar between two crops. WUE<sub>h</sub> for aboveground biomass and overall WUE<sub>e</sub> showed no
distinctive differences. This suggests that the potential drought resilience of perennial grain crops
may not be as robust as we had initially expected.

534

#### 535 *4.5.4. Turbulent energy fluxes*

Surface energy exchange and balance between the vegetation surface and the atmosphere 536 537 can be affected by agricultural practices through changes in leaf area, biomass, surface roughness, and albedo (Zenone et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2019). Contrasting crop canopy 538 growths and phenologies may contribute differentially to the energy partitioning at the terrestrial 539 surface (Moore et al., 2020). For example, the Bowen ratio near the end of two growing seasons 540 541 clearly showed the gradual senescence of the crops and decreasing canopy conductance 542 (Eichelmann et al., 2016). Perennial grain crops tend to have a lower albedo than annual grain crops, which typically indicates that higher energy availability can be used in evaporative and 543 photosynthetic process throughout the growing season (de Oliveira et al., 2020). In our study, the 544 545 perennial grain crop had higher LE and lower H at beginning of growing season, as well as in the late fall, likely a result of the extended ground coverage. Higher LE in the perennial grain crop 546 means greater atmospheric humidity associated with soil moisture and also increased canopy 547 548 conductance. In addition, the lower cumulative Bowen ratio for perennial grain crop further highlights the partitioning of available energy from net radiation as mediated by the cooling 549 effect of the dense perennial canopy. 550

#### 551 4.6. Conclusion

552 High frequency CO<sub>2</sub> and water fluxes over two contrasting cropping systems during two successive years provided valuable insights of how a perennial grain crop sequesters C without 553 impacting water use efficiency relative to annual grain crops in the Canadian Prairies. Our 554 research suggested that a lower soil temperature sensitivity  $(Q_{10})$  for R<sub>e</sub> and greater soil moisture 555 under perennial grain cropping enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. Concomitantly, the perennial grain crop 556 had a higher NECB and CUE<sub>e</sub> compared with the annual grain crops. Furthermore, the perennial 557 grain crop showed more capacity to assimilate CO<sub>2</sub> through photosynthesis under relatively drier 558 conditions, as shown by a higher optimum VPD. Although the seasonal distribution of ET fluxes 559 was slightly shifted between the two cropping systems, the overall cumulative ET and WUE was 560 similar between the two crops. Collectively, our results shed light on the capacity of perennial 561 grain crops to become a sizeable terrestrial sink of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at the ecosystem level, 562 563 leading to C sequestration in soil profile and plant biomass.

564

### 4.7. References

- Abraha, M., Chen, J., Chu, H., Zenone, T., John, R., Su, Y.-J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2015. Evapotranspiration of annual and perennial biofuel crops in a variable climate. GCB Bioenergy 7, 1344–1356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12239</u>
- Abraha, M., Chen, J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2020. Long-term evapotranspiration rates for rainfed corn versus perennial bioenergy crops in a mesic landscape. Hydrological Processes 34, 810–822. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13630</u>
- Amiro, B.D., Tenuta, M., Gervais, M., Glenn, A.J., Gao, X., 2017. A decade of carbon flux measurements with annual and perennial crop rotations on the Canadian Prairies. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 247, 491–502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.08.039</u>
- Anapalli, S.S., Fisher, D.K., Reddy, K.N., Krutz, J.L., Pinnamaneni, S.R., Sui, R., 2019. Quantifying water and CO2 fluxes and water use efficiencies across irrigated C3 and C4 crops in a humid climate. Science of The Total Environment 663, 338–350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.471
- Chen, Z., Yu, G., Wang, Q., 2018. Ecosystem carbon use efficiency in China: Variation and influence factors. Ecological Indicators 90, 316–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.03.025
- Current and Historical Alberta Weather Station Data Viewer [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://acis.alberta.ca/weather-data-viewer.jsp</u> (accessed 10.30.20).
- de Oliveira, G., Brunsell, N.A., Crews, T.E., DeHaan, L.R., Vico, G., 2020. Carbon and water relations in perennial Kernza (Thinopyrum intermedium): An overview. Plant Science, Food Security under Climate Change 295, 110279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110279</u>
- de Oliveira, G., Brunsell, N.A., Sutherlin, C.E., Crews, T.E., DeHaan, L.R., 2018. Energy, water and carbon exchange over a perennial Kernza wheatgrass crop. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 249, 120–137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.11.022</u>
- Eichelmann, E., Wagner-Riddle, C., Warland, J., Deen, B., Voroney, P., 2016a. Comparison of carbon budget, evapotranspiration, and albedo effect between the biofuel crops switchgrass and corn. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 231, 271–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.07.007
- Eichelmann, E., Wagner-Riddle, C., Warland, J., Deen, B., Voroney, P., 2016b. Evapotranspiration, water use efficiency, and energy partitioning of a mature switchgrass stand. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 217, 108–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.11.008</u>
- Flesch, T.K., 1996. The footprint for flux measurements, from backward Lagrangian stochastic models. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 78, 399–404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00120943</u>
- Hatfield, J.L., Dold, C., 2019. Water-Use Efficiency: Advances and Challenges in a Changing Climate. Front. Plant Sci. 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00103</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hatfield, J.L., Parkin, T.B., Sauer, T.J., Prueger, J.H., 2011. Carbon dioxide fluxes in corn-soybean rotation in the midwestern U.S.: Inter- and intra-annual variations, and

biophysical controls. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151, 1831–1842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.017

- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hatfield, J.L., Prueger, J.H., Sauer, T.J., 2010. Energy balance and turbulent flux partitioning in a corn–soybean rotation in the Midwestern US. Theor Appl Climatol 100, 79–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-009-0169-y</u>
- Jiang, S., Liang, C., Cui, N., Zhao, L., Liu, C., Feng, Y., Hu, X., Gong, D., Zou, Q., 2020. Water use efficiency and its drivers in four typical agroecosystems based on flux tower measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 295, 108200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108200</u>
- Keenan, T.F., Migliavacca, M., Papale, D., Baldocchi, D., Reichstein, M., Torn, M., Wutzler, T., 2019. Widespread inhibition of daytime ecosystem respiration. Nature Ecology & Evolution 3, 407–415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0809-2</u>
- Kim, K., Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Perennial grain cropping enhances the soil methane sink in temperate agroecosystems. Geoderma 388, 114931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.114931</u>
- Maleski, J.J., Bosch, D.D., Anderson, R.G., Coffin, A.W., Anderson, W.F., Strickland, T.C., 2019. Evaluation of miscanthus productivity and water use efficiency in southeastern United States. Science of The Total Environment 692, 1125–1134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.128</u>
- Mendes, K.R., Campos, S., da Silva, L.L., Mutti, P.R., Ferreira, R.R., Medeiros, S.S., Perez-Marin, A.M., Marques, T.V., Ramos, T.M., de Lima Vieira, M.M., Oliveira, C.P., Gonçalves, W.A., Costa, G.B., Antonino, A.C.D., Menezes, R.S.C., Bezerra, B.G., Santos e Silva, C.M., 2020. Seasonal variation in net ecosystem CO 2 exchange of a Brazilian seasonally dry tropical forest. Scientific Reports 10, 9454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66415-w</u>
- Menefee, D., Rajan, N., Cui, S., Bagavathiannan, M., Schnell, R., West, J., 2020. Carbon exchange of a dryland cotton field and its relationship with PlanetScope remote sensing data. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 294, 108130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108130</u>
- Moore, C.E., Haden, A.C. von, Burnham, M.B., Kantola, I.B., Gibson, C.D., Blakely, B.J., Dracup, E.C., Masters, M.D., Yang, W.H., DeLucia, E.H., Bernacchi, C.J., n.d. Ecosystem-scale biogeochemical fluxes from three bioenergy crop candidates: How energy sorghum compares to maize and miscanthus. GCB Bioenergy n/a. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12788</u>
- Peddinti, S.R., Kambhammettu, B.V.N.P., Rodda, S.R., Thumaty, K.C., Suradhaniwar, S., 2020. Dynamics of Ecosystem Water Use Efficiency in Citrus Orchards of Central India Using Eddy Covariance and Landsat Measurements. Ecosystems 23, 511–528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00416-3</u>
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., Valentini, R., 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biology 11, 1424–1439. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x</u>

- Ruan, L., Robertson, G.P., 2020. No-till establishment improves the climate benefit of bioenergy crops on marginal grasslands. Soil Science Society of America Journal 84, 1280–1295. https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20082
- Sharma, S., Rajan, N., Cui, S., Maas, S., Casey, K., Ale, S., Jessup, R., 2019. Carbon and evapotranspiration dynamics of a non-native perennial grass with biofuel potential in the southern U.S. Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 269–270, 285–293. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.037</u>
- Tang, X., Pei, X., Lei, N., Luo, X., Liu, L., Shi, L., Chen, G., Liang, J., 2020. Global patterns of soil autotrophic respiration and its relation to climate, soil and vegetation characteristics. Geoderma 369, 114339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114339</u>
- United Nations, 2015. Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development ... Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld/publication</u> (accessed 12.8.20).
- Virgilio, N.D., Facini, O., Nocentini, A., Nardino, M., Rossi, F., Monti, A., 2019. Four-year measurement of net ecosystem gas exchange of switchgrass in a Mediterranean climate after long-term arable land use. GCB Bioenergy 11, 466–482. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12523</u>
- Wagle, P., Gowda, P.H., Manjunatha, P., Northup, B.K., Rocateli, A.C., Taghvaeian, S., 2019a. Carbon and water dynamics in co-located winter wheat and canola fields in the U.S. Southern Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 279, 107714. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107714</u>
- Wagle, P., Gowda, P.H., Northup, B.K., 2019b. Annual dynamics of carbon dioxide fluxes over a rainfed alfalfa field in the U.S. Southern Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 265, 208–217. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.022</u>
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 106, 85–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710644707</u>
- Wutzler, T., Lucas-Moffat, A., Migliavacca, M., Knauer, J., Sickel, K., Šigut, L., Menzer, O., Reichstein, M., 2018. Basic and extensible post-processing of eddy covariance flux data with REddyProc. Biogeosciences 15, 5015–5030. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5015-2018</u>
- Zanotelli, D., Montagnani, L., Manca, G., Tagliavini, M., 2013. Net primary productivity, allocation pattern and carbon use efficiency in an apple orchard assessed by integrating eddy covariance, biometric and continuous soil chamber measurements. Biogeosciences 10, 3089. <u>http://dx.doi.org.login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/10.5194/bg-10-3089-2013</u>
- Zenone, T., Gelfand, I., Chen, J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2013. From set-aside grassland to annual and perennial cellulosic biofuel crops: Effects of land use change on carbon balance. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 182–183, 1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.07.015</u>
- Zhao, J., Feng, H., Xu, T., Xiao, J., Guerrieri, R., Liu, S., Wu, X., He, Xinlei, He, Xiangping, 2021. Physiological and environmental control on ecosystem water use efficiency in response to

drought across the northern hemisphere. Science of The Total Environment 758, 143599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143599

|                          | Units                     | Breton              |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Classification           |                           | Orthic Gray Luvisol |  |  |  |
| TC †                     | g C kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 27.5±2.9            |  |  |  |
| TN                       | g N kg <sup>-1</sup> soil | 2.21±0.18           |  |  |  |
| Texture                  |                           | Silty clay loam     |  |  |  |
| Clay †                   | %                         | 31.1                |  |  |  |
| Silt                     | %                         | 49.1                |  |  |  |
| Sand                     | %                         | 19.8                |  |  |  |
| pH‡                      |                           | 5.7                 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{EC}$ ‡          | dS m <sup>-1</sup>        | 0.83                |  |  |  |
| Cumulative Precipitation | mm yr <sup>-1</sup>       | 526.8§ [401.3] ¶    |  |  |  |
| Air Temperature          | °C                        | 3.51§ [10.32] ¶     |  |  |  |

Table 4. 1. Soil classification and properties from 0–15 cm depth for the Breton site.

† Soil organic carbon (OC) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured by dry combustion (Brachmann et al., 2020) for each block replicate; these are means of four replicates (±SE). Texture was measured by hydrometer (Hebb et al., 2017).

‡ 1:1 soil to solution. EC stands for electric conductivity.

§ These are long-term normals (Alberta Climate Information Service).

¶ Values inside the parenthesis correspond to the measurement period: May to October (Alberta Climate Information Service).

|  |   | 2018          |                    |          | 2019         |                     |                                |            |
|--|---|---------------|--------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
|  |   | Annual grain  | Perennial<br>grain | P-value  | Annual grain | Perennial<br>grain† | Perennial<br>grain‡            | P-value    |
| Seeding  | Date                                      | 2018 May 23   | 2017 Sep 1         |          | 2019 May 22  |                     |                                |            |
| Harvest  | Date                                      | 2018 Oct 5    | 2018 Oct 3         |          | 2019 Oct 11  | 2019 Aug 25         |                                |            |
| Grain  | (Mg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 3.52±0.59     | 2.81±0.18          | < 0.05*§ | 2.86±0.18    | 1.45±0.12           |                                | < 0.001*** |
| Straw  | (Mg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 5.96±0.18     | 8.37±4.76          | < 0.05*  | 5.87±0.08    | 4.44±0.37           |                                | < 0.05*    |
| Aboveground biomass;<br>(Grain + Straw)        | (Mg DM ha <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 9.48±0.24     | 11.18±0.53         | > 0.05   | 8.73±0.26    | 5.87±0.50           | $11.60 \pm 1.61$<br>(P > 0.05) | < 0.01**   |
| Grain-C  | (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 1.47±0.02     | 1.20±0.08          | > 0.05   | 1.19±0.78    | 0.62±0.05           |                                | < 0.001*** |
| Straw-C  | (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 2.55±0.08     | 3.52±0.20          | < 0.05*  | 2.54±0.03    | 1.86±0.15           |                                | < 0.05*    |
| Aboveground biomass-<br>C; (Grain-C + Straw-C) | (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 4.02±0.10     | 4.73±0.22          | > 0.05   | 3.73±0.10    | 2.48±0.21           | $5.15 \pm 0.70$<br>(P > 0.05)  | < 0.01**   |
| Soil-C¶  | (Mg C ha <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 46.58±2.79    | 50.15±2.28         | > 0.05   | 48.91±1.93   | 51.95±1.98          |                                | > 0.05     |
| Cumulative NEE                                 | (g C m <sup>-2</sup> )                    | -44.7         | -445.4             |          | 13.3         | -696.9              |                                |            |
| Cumulative GPP                                 | (g C m <sup>-2</sup> )                    | 1044.4        | 1289.8             |          | 1439.6       | 1531.6              |                                |            |
| Cumulative Reco                                | (g C m <sup>-2</sup> )                    | 904.1         | 875.7              |          | 1402.0       | 541.0               |                                |            |
| NECB   | (g C m <sup>-2</sup> )                    | 357.3         | 27.6               |          | 386.3        | -448.9              | -181.9                         |            |
| CUE <sub>h</sub> -DM (Grain)                   | (g C g DM <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 0.34          | 0.22               |          | 0.20         | 0.09                |                                |            |
| CUE <sub>h</sub> -DM                           | (g C g DM <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 0.91          | 0.87               |          | 0.61         | 0.38                | 0.76                           |            |
| (Aboveground biomass)                          |   |               |                    |          |              |                     |                                |            |
| CUE <sub>h</sub> -C (Grain)                    | (g C g C <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 0.14          | 0.09               |          | 0.08         | 0.04                |                                |            |
| CUE <sub>h</sub> -C                            | (g C g C <sup>-1</sup> )                  | 0.38          | 0.37               |          | 0.26         | 0.16                | 0.34                           |            |
| (Aboveground biomass)                          |   |               |                    |          |              |                     |                                |            |
| CUE <sub>e</sub>                               | $(g C g C^{-1})$                          | 0.04          | 0.35               |          | -0.01        | 0.46                | 0.46                           |            |
| Cumulative ET                                  | mm  | 358.89        | 413.58             |          | 423.58       | 469.92              |                                |            |
| Cumulative Bowen ratio                         |   | 0.20          | 0.12               |          | 0.24         | 0.10                |                                |            |
| Precipitation                                  | mm  | 486.5 (341.6) |                    |          | 596.0 (501)  |                     |                                |            |
| Temperature                                    | °C  | 3.08 (11.28)  |                    |          | 2.47 (8.56)  |                     |                                |            |
| WUE <sub>h</sub> -DM (Grain)                   | (g DM kg H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> ) | 0.98          | 0.68               |          | 0.68         | 0.31                |                                |            |
| WUE <sub>h</sub> -DM                           | $(g DM kg H_2O^{-1})$                     |               |                    |          |              |                     |                                |            |
| (Aboveground biomass)                          |   | 2.64          | 2.70               |          | 2.06         | 1.25                | 2.47                           |            |
| WUE <sub>h</sub> -C (Grain)                    | $(g C kg H_2O^{-1})$                      | 0.41          | 0.29               |          | 0.28         | 0.13                |                                |            |
| WUE <sub>h</sub> -C<br>(Aboveground biomass)   | $(g C kg H_2O^{-1})$                      | 1.12          | 1.14               |          | 0.88         | 0.53                | 1.10                           |            |
| WUE <sub>e</sub>                               | $(g C kg H_2O^{-1})$                      | 2.91          | 3.12               |          | 3.40         | 3.26                |                                |            |

Table 4. 2. Seeding and harvest dates, crop biomass, net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange (NEE), net ecosystem carbon balance (NECB), carbon use efficiency (CUE), evapotranspiration (ET), and water use efficiency (WUE) for annual and perennial grain crops.

<sup>†</sup> Shown results were calculated when considering the perennial crop as perennial grain crop.

‡ Shown results were calculated when considering the perennial crop as perennial forage crop.

§ asterisks denote the statistical significance based on ANOVA results (\* < 0.05, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\*<0.001).

¶ This is based on a soil depth of 0-15 cm after accounting for bulk density.

 $\cong$  Crop and weeds distribution based on dry matter in the 2019 perennial cropping system as follows: perennial rye 52.83 ± 5.80, rye grass 1.94 ± 1.16, timothy 9.55 ± 3.25, clover 18.20 ± 5.45, and various grass species 17.47 ± 3.04.



Fig. 4. 1. Daily average of water filled pore space (WFPS) at the 7.5 cm depth and precipitation for the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual grain cropping vs. perennial grain cropping) in 2018 and 2019.



Fig. 4. 2. Daily average of soil temperature at 7.5 cm depth, air temperature, and solar radiation in the contrasting cropping systems (annual grain crops vs. perennial grain crops) in 2018 and 2019.



Fig. 4. 3. Daily average of vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and relative humidity (rH) in annual and perennial grain cropping systems in 2018 and 2019.



Crop 🔶 Annual-grain 🐣 Perennial-grain

Fig. 4. 4. Crop height average during two growing seasons in the two 4-ha plots measured every two weeks. Crop height was determined from soil surface to the top of the apical bud. Means correspond to ten random measurements per field.


Fig. 4. 5. Diel net ecosystems exchange (NEE) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain crops for two growing seasons.



Fig. 4. 6. Diel evapotranspiration (ET) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain crops for two growing seasons.



Fig. 4. 7. Cumulative carbon (C) and evapotranspiration (ET) for the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual vs. perennial) during consecutive two growing seasons.





Fig. 4. 8. Daily net ecosystem exchange (NEE), gross primary production (GPP), ecosystem respiration ( $R_e$ ), evapotranspiration (ET) over contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annuals vs. perennials) during two consecutive growing seasons (2018 May 28 –2018 Oct 31, 2019 May 15 –2019 Oct 31).

Crop – Annual-grain – Perennial-grain



Fig. 4. 9. Weekly ecosystem carbon use efficiency ( $CUE_e$ ) and ecosystem water use efficiency ( $WUE_e$ ) for two growing seasons for the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual vs. perennial grain crops).



Fig. 4. 10. Regression analyses of A. linear relationship between weekly cumulative gross primary production (GPP) and cumulative precipitation, B. Arrhenius equation based exponential relationship between weekly ecosystem respiration (R<sub>e</sub>) and air temperature, and C. Quadratic relationship between weekly GPP and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) for annual and perennial grain cropping systems during the 2019 growing season (15 May to 31 Oct.).

167



Fig. 4. 11. Daily  $CO_2$  fluxes from the contrasting cropping systems (i.e., annual vs. perennial crops) from chamber and eddy covariance systems. Chamber-based flux measurements (top panel) correspond to seven chambers located within each 4-ha field. Error bars indicate standard error (n=7). These data only show the measurement period during spring thaw (i.e., from 2019 March 31 to 2019 May 1).





Fig. 4. 12. Daily mean energy flux density encompassing turbulent fluxes (*H*: heat flux; *LE*: latent flux) and Bowen ratio during two growing seasons.

#### CONCLUSION

#### Summary of Findings

In this research, comprehensive assessments about novel perennial grain cropping systems have been addressed through investigation of soil C sequestration, greenhouse gases (GHGs) emissions, mycorrhizae, and water use efficiency. Perennial grain cropping systems have substantial benefits over conventional annual grain cropping systems in pursuit of multifunctional agriculture. Our findings indicate that perennial grain cropping contributed to increase soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration in both labile and stable pools. This appears to be site-specific factors such as soil texture, cropping history, and climate. This study provides insight of how to maximize soil C sequestration by selected cropping and N fertilizer management options. Secondly, we demonstrated that perennial grain cropping systems become a sizeable terrestrial sink of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Perennials also registered much greater net ecosystem exchange balance and ecosystem carbon use efficiency compared with annual grain cropping systems. As a result, substantially different C sequestration was observed between perennial and annual grain crops. Our research revealed that the mediating mechanisms by perennial grain cropping systems favorably shift the aeration-moisture balance, photosynthetic activity, and microbial temperature sensitivity. Surprisingly, perennial grain cropping systems did not show significant differences in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) diversity, community compositions and water use efficiency compared with annual grain crops. Although N fertilizer additions showed to significantly decrease soil C sequestration in perennial grain crops under certain condition, overall effects of N fertilizer were inconsistent across cropping systems and sites.

In conclusion, perennial grain cropping systems hold a prominent opportunity to beneficially convert agricultural systems by pursuing multifunctionality. Therefore, we anticipate that perennial grain cropping systems will gradually be implemented as a sustainable agriculture system in near future.

### Synthesis and Future Research Prospects

Perennial grain cropping systems face feasibility limitations in terms of practical agronomy. This is because of the inherent difficulty to successfully practice mechanical weed controls which were closely associated with declines in crop grain productivity. Consequently, our experiment was also terminated at the end of second year. This notions underline the vulnerable stability of perennial grain cropping systems as well as several unanswered questions of how to improve their deployability and practicality. It is proposed that additional multi-year studies may enhance the further understanding of perennial grain cropping systems.

Focusing on a broader perspective for future research scopes, it will be important to uplift the general understanding on C dynamics by undertaking deeper and finer spatiotemporal measurements of soil properties, gaseous fluxes, and mycorrhizae attributes. Furthermore, additional investigation of soil properties related to C pools can account for unsolved C flow in the overall C cycling. For instance, aspects of root exudation and non-carbon emissions can be further examined in future studies. On the same topic, measuring additional soil attributes as potential explanatory factors of AMF might be able to account for the divergence of AMF community compositions across soils and cropping systems. In our study, AMF molecular analysis elucidated only species identity and how much they were distributed. In the view of the assumption that each identity has different functional role in soil, identifying the functional role of particular species through culture-based determination and could provide better insights for

171

designing and managing sustainable agroecosystems. Both methanotroph genomics and partitioning of CO<sub>2</sub> respiration sources (i.e., autotrophic vs. heterotrophic) may also improve the current understanding on GHGs emissions and controlling mechanisms.

Even though our field research offered unique opportunities to study C dynamics across contrasting cropping systems, the results might only fit to comparable eco-physiological regions. In the case of our result, the differential effects of cropping systems were exhibited for each corresponding to site. Consequently, this indicates the requirement for multiple-site experiments to be able to generalize the impacts of perennial grain cropping systems. Alternatively, model development can be considered for future studies with the aim of exploring knowledge gaps of space, economy, and time.

### REFERENCE

- Abraha, M., Chen, J., Chu, H., Zenone, T., John, R., Su, Y.-J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2015. Evapotranspiration of annual and perennial biofuel crops in a variable climate. GCB Bioenergy 7, 1344–1356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12239</u>
- Abraha, M., Chen, J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2020. Long-term evapotranspiration rates for rainfed corn versus perennial bioenergy crops in a mesic landscape. Hydrological Processes 34, 810–822. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.13630</u>
- Alami, M.M., Xue, J., Ma, Y., Zhu, D., Abbas, A., Gong, Z., Wang, X., 2020. Structure, Function, Diversity, and Composition of Fungal Communities in Rhizospheric Soil of Coptis chinensis Franch under a Successive Cropping System. Plants (Basel) 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9020244</u>
- Alberta Soil Information Viewer [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://www.alberta.ca/alberta-soil-information-viewer.aspx</u> (accessed 3.23.20).
- Alguacil, M.M., Díaz, G., Torres, P., Rodríguez-Caballero, G., Roldán, A., 2019. Host identity and functional traits determine the community composition of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in facultative epiphytic plant species. Fungal Ecology 39, 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2019.02.002
- Amiro, B.D., Tenuta, M., Gervais, M., Glenn, A.J., Gao, X., 2017. A decade of carbon flux measurements with annual and perennial crop rotations on the Canadian Prairies. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 247, 491–502. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.08.039</u>
- Anapalli, S.S., Fisher, D.K., Reddy, K.N., Krutz, J.L., Pinnamaneni, S.R., Sui, R., 2019. Quantifying water and CO2 fluxes and water use efficiencies across irrigated C3 and C4 crops in a humid climate. Science of The Total Environment 663, 338–350. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.471</u>
- Anderson, M.J., 2017. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA), in: Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online. American Cancer Society, pp. 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841
- Anderson-Teixeira, K.J., Masters, M.D., Black, C.K., Zeri, M., Hussain, M.Z., Bernacchi, C.J., DeLucia, E.H., 2013. Altered Belowground Carbon Cycling Following Land-Use Change to Perennial Bioenergy Crops. Ecosystems 16, 508–520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-012-9628-</u>
- Anthony, M.A., Stinson, K.A., Moore, J. a. M., Frey, S.D., 2020. Plant invasion impacts on fungal community structure and function depend on soil warming and nitrogen enrichment. Oecologia 194. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04797-4</u>
- AR5 Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change IPCC, n.d. URL <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg3/</u> (accessed 7.29.21).

- Avio, L., Njeru, E.M., Oehl, F., Turrini, A., Bocci, G., Bàrberi, P., Giovannetti, M., Sbrana, C., 2020. Small-scale soil heterogeneity affects the distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species in a hot-spot field in a Mediterranean site. Applied Soil Ecology 154, 103631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103631</u>
- Azeem, M., Sun, D., Crowley, D., Hayat, R., Hussain, Q., Ali, A., Tahir, M.I., Jeyasundar, P.G.S.A., Rinklebe, J., Zhang, Z., 2020. Crop types have stronger effects on soil microbial communities and functionalities than biochar or fertilizer during two cycles of legume-cereal rotations of dry land. Science of The Total Environment 715, 136958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136958
- Bainard, L.D., Bainard, J.D., Hamel, C., Gan, Y., 2014. Spatial and temporal structuring of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities is differentially influenced by abiotic factors and host crop in a semiarid prairie agroecosystem. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 88, 333–344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12300</u>
- Bakhshandeh, S., Corneo, P.E., Mariotte, P., Kertesz, M.A., Dijkstra, F.A., 2017. Effect of crop rotation on mycorrhizal colonization and wheat yield under different fertilizer treatments. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 247, 130–136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.06.027</u>
- Benitez, M.-S., Taheri, W.I., Lehman, R.M., 2016. Selection of fungi by candidate cover crops. Applied Soil Ecology 103, 72–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.03.016</u>
- Berhongaray, G., Verlinden, M.S., Broeckx, L.S., Janssens, I.A., Ceulemans, R., 2017. Soil carbon and belowground carbon balance of a short-rotation coppice: assessments from three different approaches. GCB Bioenergy 9, 299–313. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12369</u>
- Bhattacharyya, R., Pandey, S.C., Bisht, J.K., Bhatt, J.C., Gupta, H.S., Tuti, M.D., Mahanta, D., Mina, B.L., Singh, R.D., Chandra, S., Srivastva, A.K., Kundu, S., 2013. Tillage and Irrigation Effects on Soil Aggregation and Carbon Pools in the Indian Sub-Himalayas. Agronomy Journal 105, 101–112. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0223</u>
- Bolyen, E., Rideout, J.R., Dillon, M.R., Bokulich, N.A., Abnet, C.C., Al-Ghalith, G.A., Alexander, H., Alm, E.J., Arumugam, M., Asnicar, F., Bai, Y., Bisanz, J.E., Bittinger, K., Brejnrod, A., Brislawn, C.J., Brown, C.T., Callahan, B.J., Caraballo-Rodríguez, A.M., Chase, J., Cope, E.K., Da Silva, R., Diener, C., Dorrestein, P.C., Douglas, G.M., Durall, D.M., Duvallet, C., Edwardson, C.F., Ernst, M., Estaki, M., Fouquier, J., Gauglitz, J.M., Gibbons, S.M., Gibson, D.L., Gonzalez, A., Gorlick, K., Guo, J., Hillmann, B., Holmes, S., Holste, H., Huttenhower, C., Huttley, G.A., Janssen, S., Jarmusch, A.K., Jiang, L., Kaehler, B.D., Kang, K.B., Keefe, C.R., Keim, P., Kelley, S.T., Knights, D., Koester, I., Kosciolek, T., Kreps, J., Langille, M.G.I., Lee, J., Ley, R., Liu, Y.-X., Loftfield, E., Lozupone, C., Maher, M., Marotz, C., Martin, B.D., McDonald, D., McIver, L.J., Melnik, A.V., Metcalf, J.L., Morgan, S.C., Morton, J.T., Naimey, A.T., Navas-Molina, J.A., Nothias, L.F., Orchanian, S.B., Pearson, T., Peoples, S.L., Petras, D., Preuss, M.L., Pruesse, E., Rasmussen, L.B., Rivers, A., Robeson, M.S., Rosenthal, P., Segata, N., Shaffer, M., Shiffer, A., Sinha, R., Song, S.J., Spear, J.R., Swafford, A.D., Thompson, L.R.,

Torres, P.J., Trinh, P., Tripathi, A., Turnbaugh, P.J., Ul-Hasan, S., van der Hooft, J.J.J., Vargas, F., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Vogtmann, E., von Hippel, M., Walters, W., Wan, Y., Wang, M., Warren, J., Weber, K.C., Williamson, C.H.D., Willis, A.D., Xu, Z.Z., Zaneveld, J.R., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Q., Knight, R., Caporaso, J.G., 2019. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat Biotechnol 37, 852–857. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

- Borges, B.M.M.N., Bordonal, R. de O., Silveira, M.L., Coutinho, E.L.M., 2019. Short-term impacts of high levels of nitrogen fertilization on soil carbon dynamics in a tropical pasture. CATENA 174, 413–416. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2018.11.033</u>
- Borken, W., Davidson, E.A., Savage, K., Gaudinski, J., Trumbore, S.E., 2003. Drying and Wetting Effects on Carbon Dioxide Release from Organic Horizons. Soil Science Society of America Journal 67, 1888–1896. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2003.1888</u>
- Bowling, L.C., Cherkauer, K.A., Lee, C.I., Beckerman, J.L., Brouder, S., Buzan, J.R., Doering, O.C., Dukes, J.S., Ebner, P.D., Frankenberger, J.R., Gramig, B.M., Kladivko, E.J., Volenec, J.J., 2020. Agricultural impacts of climate change in Indiana and potential adaptations. Climatic Change 163, 2005–2027. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02934-9</u>
- Brachmann, C.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hik, D.S., 2020. CH4 uptake along a successional gradient in temperate alpine soils. Biogeochemistry 147, 109–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-019-00630-0
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., Papen, H., 2002. Four years continuous record of CH4-exchange between the atmosphere and untreated and limed soil of a N-saturated spruce and beech forest ecosystem in Germany. Plant and Soil 240, 77–90. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015856617553</u>
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nature Methods 13, 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869
- Campbell, C.A., Zentner, R.P., Gameda, S., Blomert, B., Wall, D.D., 2002. Production of annual crops on the Canadian prairies: Trends during 1976–1998. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 82, 45–57. <u>https://doi.org/10.4141/S01-046</u>
- Carbon budgets for an irrigated intensively grazed dairy pasture and an unirrigated winter-grazed pasture-All Databases [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://www-webofscience-com.login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/wos/alldb/full-record/WOS:000378354900005</u> (accessed 8.9.21).
- Carter, M.R., Gregorich, E.G., 2010. Carbon and nitrogen storage by deep-rooted tall fescue (Lolium arundinaceum) in the surface and subsurface soil of a fine sandy loam in eastern Canada. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 136, 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2009.12.005
- Casazza, G., Lumini, E., Ercole, E., Dovana, F., Guerrina, M., Arnulfo, A., Minuto, L., Fusconi, A., Mucciarelli, M., 2017. The abundance and diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are linked to

the soil chemistry of screes and to slope in the Alpic paleo-endemic Berardia subacaulis. PLoS One 12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171866</u>

- Cates, A.M., Ruark, M.D., Grandy, A.S., Jackson, R.D., 2019. Small soil C cycle responses to three years of cover crops in maize cropping systems. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 286, 106649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106649</u>
- Cates, A.M., Ruark, M.D., Hedtcke, J.L., Posner, J.L., 2016. Long-term tillage, rotation and perennialization effects on particulate and aggregate soil organic matter. Soil and Tillage Research 155, 371–380. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.09.008</u>
- Chai, L.L., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hik, D.S., Barrio, I.C., Frost, C.M., Chinchilla Soto, C., Esquivel-Hernández, G., 2020. A methane sink in the Central American high elevation páramo: Topographic, soil moisture and vegetation effects. Geoderma 362, 114092. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114092</u>
- Chen, W., Wolf, B., Zheng, X., Yao, Z., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Brüggemann, N., Liu, C., Han, S., Han, X., 2011. Annual methane uptake by temperate semiarid steppes as regulated by stocking rates, aboveground plant biomass and topsoil air permeability. Global Change Biology 17, 2803–2816. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02444.x</u>
- Chen, X., Wang, X., Liebman, M., Cavigelli, M., Wander, M., 2014. Influence of Residue and Nitrogen Fertilizer Additions on Carbon Mineralization in Soils with Different Texture and Cropping Histories. PLoS ONE 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103720</u>
- Chen, Z., Yu, G., Wang, Q., 2018. Ecosystem carbon use efficiency in China: Variation and influence factors. Ecological Indicators 90, 316–323. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.03.025</u>
- Chendev, Y.G., Sauer, T.J., Ramirez, G.H., Burras, C.L., 2015. History of East European Chernozem Soil Degradation; Protection and Restoration by Tree Windbreaks in the Russian Steppe. Sustainability 7, 705–724. <u>http://dx.doi.org.login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/10.3390/su7010705</u>
- Coates, T.W., Alam, M., Flesch, T.K., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Field Testing Two Flux Footprint Models. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions 1–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2021-106</u>
- Coates, T.W., Flesch, T.K., McGinn, S.M., Charmley, E., Chen, D., 2017. Evaluating an eddy covariance technique to estimate point-source emissions and its potential application to grazing cattle. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 234–235, 164–171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.12.026
- Conceptualizing soil organic matter into particulate and mineral-associated forms to address global change in the 21st century Lavallee 2020 Global Change Biology Wiley Online Library [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/gcb.14859</u> (accessed 4.15.21).

- Cotrufo, M.F., Ranalli, M.G., Haddix, M.L., Six, J., Lugato, E., 2019. Soil carbon storage informed by particulate and mineral-associated organic matter. Nature Geoscience 12, 989–994. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0484-6
- Cox, C.M., Garrett, K.A., Cox, T.S., Bockus, W.W., Peters, T., 2005. Reactions of Perennial Grain Accessions to Four Major Cereal Pathogens of the Great Plains. Plant Disease 89, 1235–1240. https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-89-1235
- Crews, T.E., 2005. Perennial crops and endogenous nutrient supplies. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 20, 25–37.
- Crews, T.E., Rumsey, B.E., 2017. What Agriculture Can Learn from Native Ecosystems in Building Soil Organic Matter: A Review. Sustainability 9, 578. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su9040578</u>
- Cui, L., Ren, Y., Murray, T.D., Yan, W., Guo, Q., Niu, Y., Sun, Y., Li, H., 2018. Development of Perennial Wheat Through Hybridization Between Wheat and Wheatgrasses: A Review. Engineering 4, 507–513. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2018.07.003</u>
- Culman, S.W., Snapp, S.S., Ollenburger, M., Basso, B., DeHaan, L.R., 2013. Soil and Water Quality Rapidly Responds to the Perennial Grain Kernza Wheatgrass. Agronomy Journal 105, 735–744. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2012.0273
- Current and Historical Alberta Weather Station Data Viewer [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://acis.alberta.ca/weather-data-viewer.jsp</u> (accessed 10.30.20).
- Curtin, D., Beare, M.H., Scott, C.L., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Meenken, E.D., 2014. Mineralization of Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Following Physical Disturbance: A Laboratory Assessment. Soil Science Society of America Journal 78, 925–935. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2013.12.0510</u>
- Dalal, R.C., Allen, D.E., Livesley, S.J., Richards, G., 2008. Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscapes: a review. Plant Soil 309, 43–76. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9446-7</u>
- Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Sources and priming of soil N2O and CO2 production: Nitrogen and simulated exudate additions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 149, 107942. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107942</u>
- de Oliveira, G., Brunsell, N.A., Crews, T.E., DeHaan, L.R., Vico, G., 2020. Carbon and water relations in perennial Kernza (Thinopyrum intermedium): An overview. Plant Science, Food Security under Climate Change 295, 110279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110279</u>
- de Oliveira, G., Brunsell, N.A., Sutherlin, C.E., Crews, T.E., DeHaan, L.R., 2018. Energy, water and carbon exchange over a perennial Kernza wheatgrass crop. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 249, 120–137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.11.022</u>
- DeHaan, L., Larson, S., López-Marqués, R.L., Wenkel, S., Gao, C., Palmgren, M., 2020. Roadmap for Accelerated Domestication of an Emerging Perennial Grain Crop. Trends in Plant Science 25, 525–537. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.02.004</u>

- DeHaan, L.R., Ismail, B.P., 2017. Perennial cereals provide ecosystem benefits. CEREAL FOODS WORLD 62, 278–281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/CFW-62-6-0278</u>
- Díaz, M.A., Bown, H.E., Fuentes, J.P., Martínez, A.M., 2018. Soils act as sinks or sources of CH4 depending on air-filled porosity in sclerophyllous ecosystems in semiarid central Chile. Applied Soil Ecology 130, 13–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.05.017</u>
- Dijkstra, F.A., Morgan, J.A., Follett, R.F., Lecain, D.R., 2013. Climate change reduces the net sink of CH4 and N2O in a semiarid grassland. Glob Chang Biol 19, 1816–1826. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12182
- D'Imperio, L., Nielsen, C.S., Westergaard-Nielsen, A., Michelsen, A., Elberling, B., 2017. Methane oxidation in contrasting soil types: responses to experimental warming with implication for landscape-integrated CH4 budget. Global Change Biology 23, 966–976. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13400</u>
- Don, A., Osborne, B., Hastings, A., Skiba, U., Carter, M.S., Drewer, J., Flessa, H., Freibauer, A., Hyvönen, N., Jones, M.B., Lanigan, G.J., Mander, Ü., Monti, A., Djomo, S.N., Valentine, J., Walter, K., Zegada-Lizarazu, W., Zenone, T., 2012. Land-use change to bioenergy production in Europe: implications for the greenhouse gas balance and soil carbon. GCB Bioenergy 4, 372– 391. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2011.01116.x</u>
- Dondini, M., Richards, M.I.A., Pogson, M., McCalmont, J., Drewer, J., Marshall, R., Morrison, R., Yamulki, S., Harris, Z.M., Alberti, G., Siebicke, L., Taylor, G., Perks, M., Finch, J., McNamara, N.P., Smith, J.U., Smith, P., 2016. Simulation of greenhouse gases following land-use change to bioenergy crops using the ECOSSE model: a comparison between site measurements and model predictions. GCB Bioenergy 8, 925–940. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12298</u>
- Drewer, J., Finch, J.W., Lloyd, C.R., Baggs, E.M., Skiba, U., 2012. How do soil emissions of N2O, CH4 and CO2 from perennial bioenergy crops differ from arable annual crops? GCB Bioenergy 4, 408–419. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2011.01136.x</u>
- Duchene, O., Celette, F., Barreiro, A., Dimitrova Mårtensson, L.-M., Freschet, G.T., David, C., 2020. Introducing Perennial Grain in Grain Crops Rotation: The Role of Rooting Pattern in Soil Quality Management. Agronomy 10, 1254. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10091254</u>
- Eclesia, R.P., Jobbagy, E.G., Jackson, R.B., Rizzotto, M., Piñeiro, G., 2016. Stabilization of new carbon inputs rather than old carbon decomposition determines soil organic carbon shifts following woody or herbaceous vegetation transitions. Plant Soil 409, 99–116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2951-9</u>
- Egerton-Warburton, L.M., Johnson, N.C., Allen, E.B., 2007. Mycorrhizal Community Dynamics Following Nitrogen Fertilization: A Cross-Site Test in Five Grasslands. Ecological Monographs 77, 527–544. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1772.1</u>
- Eichelmann, E., Wagner-Riddle, C., Warland, J., Deen, B., Voroney, P., 2016a. Evapotranspiration, water use efficiency, and energy partitioning of a mature switchgrass stand. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 217, 108–119. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.11.008</u>

- Eichelmann, E., Wagner-Riddle, C., Warland, J., Deen, B., Voroney, P., 2016b. Comparison of carbon budget, evapotranspiration, and albedo effect between the biofuel crops switchgrass and corn. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 231, 271–282. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.07.007</u>
- Emery, S.M., Reid, M.L., Bell-Dereske, L., Gross, K.L., 2017. Soil mycorrhizal and nematode diversity vary in response to bioenergy crop identity and fertilization. GCB Bioenergy 9, 1644– 1656. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12460</u>
- Entry, J.A., Rygiewicz, P.T., Watrud, L.S., Donnelly, P.K., 2002. Influence of adverse soil conditions on the formation and function of Arbuscular mycorrhizas. Advances in Environmental Research 7, 123–138. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1093-0191(01)00109-5</u>
- Ezeokoli, O.T., Mashigo, S.K., Maboeta, M.S., Bezuidenhout, C.C., Khasa, D.P., Adeleke, R.A., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community differentiation along a post-coal mining reclamation chronosequence in South Africa: A potential indicator of ecosystem recovery. Applied Soil Ecology 147, 103429. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103429</u>
- Fender, A.-C., Pfeiffer, B., Gansert, D., Leuschner, C., Daniel, R., Jungkunst, H.F., 2012. The inhibiting effect of nitrate fertilisation on methane uptake of a temperate forest soil is influenced by labile carbon. Biol Fertil Soils 48, 621–631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-011-0660-3</u>
- Flesch, T.K., 1996. The footprint for flux measurements, from backward Lagrangian stochastic models. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 78, 399–404. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00120943</u>
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [WWW Document], n.d. . Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. URL <u>http://www.fao.org/home/en/</u> (accessed 6.22.20).
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S., n.d. Nonlinear Regression, Nonlinear Least Squares, and Nonlinear Mixed Models in R 31.
- Franzluebbers, A.J., Stuedemann, J.A., 2008. Early Response of Soil Organic Fractions to Tillage and Integrated Crop–Livestock Production. Soil Science Society of America Journal 72, 613–625. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2007.0121</u>
- Gauder, M., Billen, N., Zikeli, S., Laub, M., Graeff-Hönninger, S., Claupein, W., 2016. Soil carbon stocks in different bioenergy cropping systems including subsoil. Soil and Tillage Research 155, 308–317. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.09.005</u>
- Gauder, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Graeff-Hönninger, S., Claupein, W., Wiegel, R., 2012. Soil-derived trace gas fluxes from different energy crops results from a field experiment in Southwest Germany. GCB Bioenergy 4, 289–301. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1757-1707.2011.01135.x</u>
- Gelfand, I., Shcherbak, I., Millar, N., Kravchenko, A.N., Robertson, G.P., 2016. Long-term nitrous oxide fluxes in annual and perennial agricultural and unmanaged ecosystems in the upper Midwest USA. Global Change Biology 22, 3594–3607. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13426</u>

- Glover, J.D., Reganold, J.P., 2010. Perennial Grains: Food Security for the Future. Issues in Science and Technology 26, 41–47.
- Gorzelak, M.A., Asay, A.K., Pickles, B.J., Simard, S.W., 2015. Inter-plant communication through mycorrhizal networks mediates complex adaptive behaviour in plant communities. AoB PLANTS 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plv050</u>
- Gorzelak, M.A., Holland, T.C., Xing, X., Hart, M.M., 2012. Molecular approaches for AM fungal community ecology: A primer. Journal of Microbiological Methods 90, 108–114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2012.04.010</u>
- Gorzelak, M.A., Pickles, B.J., Hart, M.M., 2017. Exploring the symbiont diversity of ancient western redcedars: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi of long-lived hosts. Molecular Ecology 26, 1586–1597. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14023
- Government of Canada, S.C., 2019. The Daily Agriculture and Agri-Food Economic Account, 2015 [WWW Document]. URL <u>https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/daily-quotidien/190730/dq190730a-eng.htm</u> (accessed 8.2.21).
- Government of Canada, S.C., 2018. Land Use [WWW Document]. URL https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/t1/tb11/en/tv.action?pid=3210040601 (accessed 6.22.20).
- Graham, J.H., Abbott, L.K., 2000. Wheat responses to aggressive and non-aggressive arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant and Soil 220, 207–218. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004709209009</u>
- Gray, V., 2016. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis Summary for Policymakers: Energy & Environment. <u>https://doi.org/10.1260/095830507781076194</u>
- Gu, S., Wu, S., Guan, Y., Zhai, C., Zhang, Z., Bello, A., Guo, X., Yang, W., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community was affected by tillage practices rather than residue management in black soil of northeast China. Soil and Tillage Research 198, 104552. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104552</u>
- Guenette, K.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2018. Tracking the influence of controlled traffic regimes on field scale soil variability and geospatial modeling techniques. Geoderma 328, 66–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.04.026
- Guenette, K.G., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Gamache, P., Andreiuk, R., Fausak, L., 2019. Soil structure dynamics in annual croplands under controlled traffic management. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2018-0117</u>
- Guo, G., Chen, Y., Tian, F., Gao, Z., Zhu, C., Liu, C., 2020. Effects of livestock manure properties and temperature on the methanogen community composition and methane production during storage. Environmental Technology 41, 131–140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2018.1491640</u>
- Haddaway, N.R., Hedlund, K., Jackson, L.E., Kätterer, T., Lugato, E., Thomsen, I.K., Jørgensen,
  H.B., Isberg, P.-E., 2017. How does tillage intensity affect soil organic carbon? A systematic review. Environmental Evidence 6, 30. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-017-0108-9</u>

- Hahn, P.G., Bullington, L., Larkin, B., LaFlamme, K., Maron, J.L., Lekberg, Y., 2018. Effects of Short- and Long-Term Variation in Resource Conditions on Soil Fungal Communities and Plant Responses to Soil Biota. Front. Plant Sci. 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01605</u>
- Hatfield, J.L., Dold, C., 2019. Water-Use Efficiency: Advances and Challenges in a Changing Climate. Front. Plant Sci. 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00103</u>
- He, X., Chen, Y., Liu, S., Gunina, A., Wang, X., Chen, W., Shao, Y., Shi, L., Yao, Q., Li, J., Zou, X., Schimel, J.P., Zhang, W., Fu, S., 2018. Cooperation of earthworm and arbuscular mycorrhizae enhanced plant N uptake by balancing absorption and supply of ammonia. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 116, 351–359. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.038</u>
- Hebb, C.C., Schoderbek, D., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hewins, D.B., Carlyle, C.N., Bork, E.W., 2017. Soil physical quality varies among contrasting land uses in Northern Prairie regions. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.008</u>
- Hempel, S., Renker, C., Buscot, F., 2007. Differences in the species composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in spore, root and soil communities in a grassland ecosystem. Environmental Microbiology 9, 1930–1938. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01309.x</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., Smith, D.R., Scoyoc, G.E.V., 2009a. Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics in an Eastern Corn Belt Soil: Nitrogen Source and Rotation. Soil Science Society of America Journal 73, 128–137. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2007.0381</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., Smith, D.R., Van Scoyoc, G.E., 2011a. Nitrogen partitioning and utilization in corn cropping systems: Rotation, N source, and N timing. European Journal of Agronomy 34, 190–195. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2010.12.002</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Brouder, S.M., Smith, D.R., Van Scoyoc, G.E., 2009b. Greenhouse gas fluxes in an eastern Corn Belt soil: weather, nitrogen source, and rotation. J. Environ. Qual. 38, 841–854. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2007.0565</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hatfield, J.L., Parkin, T.B., Sauer, T.J., Prueger, J.H., 2011b. Carbon dioxide fluxes in corn–soybean rotation in the midwestern U.S.: Inter- and intra-annual variations, and biophysical controls. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 151, 1831–1842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.07.017
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Hatfield, J.L., Prueger, J.H., Sauer, T.J., 2010. Energy balance and turbulent flux partitioning in a corn–soybean rotation in the Midwestern US. Theor Appl Climatol 100, 79–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-009-0169-y</u>
- Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Lawrence-Smith, E.J., Sinton, S.M., Tabley, F., Schwen, A., Beare, M.H., Brown, H.E., 2014. Root Responses to Alterations in Macroporosity and Penetrability in a Silt Loam Soil. Soil Science Society of America Journal 78, 1392–1403. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2014.01.0005</u>
- Higo, M., Isobe, K., Kondo, T., Yamaguchi, M., Takeyama, S., Drijber, R.A., Torigoe, Y., 2015. Temporal variation of the molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in three

different winter cover crop rotational systems. Biol Fertil Soils 51, 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0945-4

- Higo, M., Tatewaki, Y., Gunji, K., Kaseda, A., Isobe, K., 2019. Cover cropping can be a stronger determinant than host crop identity for arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities colonizing maize and soybean. PeerJ 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6403</u>
- Hoang, C.K., Pham, N.H., Le, C.H., Tran, H.T.N., Dang, H.T.C., Chu, H.H., Brouwer, B., Le, H.M., 2019. Impact of Nitrogen Fertilizer on the Mycorrhizal Inoculating Potential and Fungal Community Structure in Rhizosphere of Medicinal Plant Curcuma longa L. Geomicrobiology Journal 36, 385–395. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2018.1556751
- Hontoria, C., García-González, I., Quemada, M., Roldán, A., Alguacil, M.M., 2019. The cover crop determines the AMF community composition in soil and in roots of maize after a ten-year continuous crop rotation. Science of The Total Environment 660, 913–922. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.095</u>
- Ingraffia, R., Amato, G., Sosa-Hernández, M.A., Frenda, A.S., Rillig, M.C., Giambalvo, D., 2020. Nitrogen Type and Availability Drive Mycorrhizal Effects on Wheat Performance, Nitrogen Uptake and Recovery, and Production Sustainability. Frontiers in Plant Science 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00760
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, n.d. URL <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/</u> (accessed 8.3.20).
- Isgren, E., Andersson, E., Carton, W., 2020. New perennial grains in African smallholder agriculture from a farming systems perspective. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 40, 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-020-0609-8</u>
- Jemo, M., Dhiba, D., Hashem, A., Abd\_Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A.A., Tran, L.-S.P., 2018. Mycorrhizal fungal community structure in tropical humid soils under fallow and cropping conditions. Scientific Reports 8, 17061. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34736-6</u>
- Jiang, Shangtao, Hu, X., Kang, Y., Xie, C., An, X., Dong, C., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in the rhizospheric soil of litchi and mango orchards as affected by geographic distance, soil properties and manure input. Applied Soil Ecology 152, 103593. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103593</u>
- Jiang, Shouzheng, Liang, C., Cui, N., Zhao, L., Liu, C., Feng, Y., Hu, X., Gong, D., Zou, Q., 2020. Water use efficiency and its drivers in four typical agroecosystems based on flux tower measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 295, 108200. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108200</u>
- Jungers, J.M., DeHaan, L.H., Mulla, D.J., Sheaffer, C.C., Wyse, D.L., 2019. Reduced nitrate leaching in a perennial grain crop compared to maize in the Upper Midwest, USA. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 272, 63–73. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.11.007</u>

- Jungers, J.M., DeHaan, L.R., Betts, K.J., Sheaffer, C.C., Wyse, D.L., 2017. Intermediate Wheatgrass Grain and Forage Yield Responses to Nitrogen Fertilization. Agronomy Journal 109, 462–472. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2016.07.0438
- Kan, Z.-R., Virk, A.L., He, C., Liu, Q.-Y., Qi, J.-Y., Dang, Y.P., Zhao, X., Zhang, H.-L., 2020. Characteristics of carbon mineralization and accumulation under long-term conservation tillage. CATENA 193, 104636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104636</u>
- Kantola, I.B., Masters, M.D., DeLucia, E.H., 2017. Soil particulate organic matter increases under perennial bioenergy crop agriculture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 113, 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.023
- Kariyapperuma, K.A., Johannesson, G., Maldaner, L., VanderZaag, A., Gordon, R., Wagner-Riddle, C., 2018. Year-round methane emissions from liquid dairy manure in a cold climate reveal hysteretic pattern. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, Greenhouse gas and ammonia emissions from livestock production 258, 56–65. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.185</u>
- Kavadia, A., Omirou, M., Fasoula, D., Trajanoski, S., Andreou, E., Ioannides, I.M., 2020. Genotype and soil water availability shape the composition of AMF communities at chickpea early growth stages. Applied Soil Ecology 150, 103443. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103443</u>
- Keenan, T.F., Migliavacca, M., Papale, D., Baldocchi, D., Reichstein, M., Torn, M., Wutzler, T., 2019. Widespread inhibition of daytime ecosystem respiration. Nature Ecology & Evolution 3, 407–415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0809-2</u>
- Kern, J., Hellebrand, H.J., Gömmel, M., Ammon, C., Berg, W., 2012. Effects of climatic factors and soil management on the methane flux in soils from annual and perennial energy crops. Biol Fertil Soils 48, 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-011-0603-z</u>
- Khan, M.S., Zaidi, A., Wani, P.A., 2007. Role of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms in sustainable agriculture — A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 27, 29–43. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2006011</u>
- Kiani, M., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Quideau, S., Smith, E., Janzen, H., Larney, F.J., Puurveen, D., 2017. Quantifying sensitive soil quality indicators across contrasting long-term land management systems: Crop rotations and nutrient regimes. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 248, 123– 135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.07.018</u>
- Kiani, M., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Quideau, S.A.M., 2020. Spatial variation of soil quality indicators as a function of land use and topography. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 1–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-</u>2019-0163
- Kim, K., Daly, E.J., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Perennial grain cropping enhances the soil methane sink in temperate agroecosystems. Geoderma 388, 114931. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.114931</u>
- King, A.E., Blesh, J., 2018. Crop rotations for increased soil carbon: perenniality as a guiding principle. Ecological Applications 28, 249–261. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1648</u>

- Knerr, A.J., Wheeler, D., Schlatter, D., Sharma-Poudyal, D., du Toit, L.J., Paulitz, T.C., 2018. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungal Communities in Organic and Conventional Onion Crops in the Columbia Basin of the Pacific Northwest United States. Phytobiomes Journal 2, 194–207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/PBIOMES-05-18-0022-R</u>
- Kooch, Y., Noghre, N., 2020. Nutrient cycling and soil-related processes under different land covers of semi-arid rangeland ecosystems in northern Iran. CATENA 193, 104621. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.104621</u>
- Lang, R., Goldberg, S., Blagodatsky, S., Piepho, H.-P., Harrison, R.D., Xu, J., Cadisch, G., 2019. Converting forests into rubber plantations weakened the soil CH4 sink in tropical uplands. Land Degradation & Development 30, 2311–2322. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3417</u>
- Langholtz, M., Davison, B.H., Jager, H.I., Eaton, L., Baskaran, L.M., Davis, M., Brandt, C.C., 2021. Increased nitrogen use efficiency in crop production can provide economic and environmental benefits. Science of The Total Environment 758, 143602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143602
- Lavallee, J.M., Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2020. Conceptualizing soil organic matter into particulate and mineral-associated forms to address global change in the 21st century. Global Change Biology 26, 261–273. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14859</u>
- Li, J., Nie, M., Pendall, E., 2019. An incubation study of temperature sensitivity of greenhouse gas fluxes in three land-cover types near Sydney, Australia. Science of The Total Environment 688, 324–332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.206</u>
- Li, J., Ramirez, G.H., Kiani, M., Quideau, S., Smith, E., Janzen, H., Larney, F., Puurveen, D., 2018. Soil organic matter dynamics in long-term temperate agroecosystems: rotation and nutrient addition effects. Can. J. Soil. Sci. 98, 232–245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjss-2017-0127</u>
- Liebmann, P., Wordell-Dietrich, P., Kalbitz, K., Mikutta, R., Kalks, F., Don, A., Woche, S.K., Dsilva, L.R., Guggenberger, G., 2020. Relevance of aboveground litter for soil organic matter formation a soil profile perspective. Biogeosciences 17, 3099–3113. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-3099-2020</u>
- Lin, D., McCulley, R.L., Nelson, J.A., Jacobsen, K.L., Zhang, D., 2020. Time in pasture rotation alters soil microbial community composition and function and increases carbon sequestration potential in a temperate agroecosystem. Science of The Total Environment 698, 134233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134233
- Lin, S., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2020. Nitrous oxide emissions from manured soils as a function of various nitrification inhibitor rates and soil moisture contents. Science of The Total Environment 738, 139669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139669</u>
- Lin, S., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Kryzanowski, L., Wallace, T., Grant, R., Degenhardt, R., Berger, N., Lohstraeter, G., Powers, L.-A., 2017. Timing of Manure Injection and Nitrification Inhibitors Impacts on Nitrous Oxide Emissions and Nitrogen Transformations in a Barley Crop. Soil Science Society of America Journal 81, 1595–1605. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2017.03.0093</u>

- Liu, X.-J.A., van Groenigen, K.J., Dijkstra, P., Hungate, B.A., 2017. Increased plant uptake of native soil nitrogen following fertilizer addition not a priming effect? Applied Soil Ecology 114, 105–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.03.011
- Lopes, L.D., Fernandes, M.F., 2020. Changes in microbial community structure and physiological profile in a kaolinitic tropical soil under different conservation agricultural practices. Applied Soil Ecology 152, 103545. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103545</u>
- Luo, G.J., Brüggemann, N., Wolf, B., Gasche, R., Grote, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., 2012. Decadal variability of soil CO<sub>2</sub>, NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany. Biogeosciences 9, 1741–1763. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-1741-2012</u>
- Mahmoudi, N., Cruz, C., Mahdhi, M., Mars, M., Caeiro, M.F., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil, roots and rhizosphere of Medicago truncatula: diversity and heterogeneity under semi-arid conditions. PeerJ 7. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6401</u>
- Maleski, J.J., Bosch, D.D., Anderson, R.G., Coffin, A.W., Anderson, W.F., Strickland, T.C., 2019. Evaluation of miscanthus productivity and water use efficiency in southeastern United States. Science of The Total Environment 692, 1125–1134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.128</u>
- Mary, B., Clivot, H., Blaszczyk, N., Labreuche, J., Ferchaud, F., 2020. Soil carbon storage and mineralization rates are affected by carbon inputs rather than physical disturbance: Evidence from a 47-year tillage experiment. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 299, 106972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2020.106972
- McDaniel, M.D., Saha, D., Dumont, M.G., Hernández, M., Adams, M.A., 2019. The Effect of Land-Use Change on Soil CH4 and N2O Fluxes: A Global Meta-Analysis. Ecosystems 22, 1424–1443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00347-z
- McGONIGLE, T.P., Miller, M.H., Evans, D.G., Fairchild, G.L., Swan, J.A., 1990. A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular—arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist 115, 495–501. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00476.x</u>
- McGowan, A.R., Nicoloso, R.S., Diop, H.E., Roozeboom, K.L., Rice, C.W., 2019. Soil Organic Carbon, Aggregation, and Microbial Community Structure in Annual and Perennial Biofuel Crops. Agronomy Journal 111, 128–142. <u>https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2018.04.0284</u>
- McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLOS ONE 8, e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Meier, I.C., Leuschner, C., Marini, E., Fender, A.-C., 2016. Species-specific effects of temperate trees on greenhouse gas exchange of forest soil are diminished by drought. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 95, 122–134. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.12.005</u>
- Mendes, K.R., Campos, S., da Silva, L.L., Mutti, P.R., Ferreira, R.R., Medeiros, S.S., Perez-Marin, A.M., Marques, T.V., Ramos, T.M., de Lima Vieira, M.M., Oliveira, C.P., Gonçalves, W.A.,

Costa, G.B., Antonino, A.C.D., Menezes, R.S.C., Bezerra, B.G., Santos e Silva, C.M., 2020. Seasonal variation in net ecosystem CO 2 exchange of a Brazilian seasonally dry tropical forest. Scientific Reports 10, 9454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66415-w</u>

- Mendiburu, F. de, 2020. agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research.
- Menefee, D., Rajan, N., Cui, S., Bagavathiannan, M., Schnell, R., West, J., 2020. Carbon exchange of a dryland cotton field and its relationship with PlanetScope remote sensing data. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 294, 108130. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108130</u>
- Miller, G.A., Rees, R.M., Griffiths, B.S., Cloy, J.M., n.d. Isolating the effect of soil properties on agricultural soil greenhouse gas emissions under controlled conditions. Soil Use and Management n/a. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12552</u>
- Montes-Borrego, M., Metsis, M., Landa, B.B., 2014. Arbuscular Mycorhizal Fungi Associated with the Olive Crop across the Andalusian Landscape: Factors Driving Community Differentiation. PLoS One 9, e96397. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096397</u>
- Moore, C.E., Haden, A.C. von, Burnham, M.B., Kantola, I.B., Gibson, C.D., Blakely, B.J., Dracup, E.C., Masters, M.D., Yang, W.H., DeLucia, E.H., Bernacchi, C.J., n.d. Ecosystem-scale biogeochemical fluxes from three bioenergy crop candidates: How energy sorghum compares to maize and miscanthus. GCB Bioenergy n/a. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12788
- Morgan, B.S.T., Egerton-Warburton, L.M., 2017. Barcoded NS31/AML2 primers for sequencing of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in environmental samples1. Appl Plant Sci 5. <u>https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1700017</u>
- Mueller, A., Ngwene, B., Peiter, E., George, E., 2017. Quantity and distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal storage organs within dead roots. Mycorrhiza 27, 201–210. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-016-0741-0
- Ning, J., He, X.Z., Hou, F., Lou, S., Chen, X., Chang, S., Zhang, C., Zhu, W., 2020. Optimizing alfalfa productivity and persistence versus greenhouse gases fluxes in a continental arid region. PeerJ 8, e8738. <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8738</u>
- Njeru, E.M., Avio, L., Bocci, G., Sbrana, C., Turrini, A., Bàrberi, P., Giovannetti, M., Oehl, F., 2015. Contrasting effects of cover crops on 'hot spot' arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in organic tomato. Biol Fertil Soils 51, 151–166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-014-0958-z</u>
- Öpik, M., Vanatoa, A., Vanatoa, E., Moora, M., Davison, J., Kalwij, J.M., Reier, Ü., Zobel, M., 2010. The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). New Phytologist 188, 223–241. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x</u>
- Pansu, M., Gautheyrou, J., 2006. Handbook of Soil Analysis: Mineralogical, Organic and Inorganic Methods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-31211-6</u>

- Parvin, S., Van Geel, M., Ali, M.M., Yeasmin, T., Lievens, B., Honnay, O., 2021. A comparison of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities among Bangladeshi modern high yielding and traditional rice varieties. Plant Soil 462, 109–124. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-021-04858-4</u>
- Pawlowski, M.N., Crow, S.E., Meki, M.N., Kiniry, J.R., Taylor, A.D., Ogoshi, R., Youkhana, A., Nakahata, M., 2017. Field-Based Estimates of Global Warming Potential in Bioenergy Systems of Hawaii: Crop Choice and Deficit Irrigation. PLOS ONE 12, e0168510. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168510
- Paymaneh, Z., Sarcheshmehpour, M., Bukovská, P., Jansa, J., 2019. Could indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal communities be used to improve tolerance of pistachio to salinity and/or drought? Symbiosis 79, 269–283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-019-00645-z</u>
- Peddinti, S.R., Kambhammettu, B.V.N.P., Rodda, S.R., Thumaty, K.C., Suradhaniwar, S., 2020. Dynamics of Ecosystem Water Use Efficiency in Citrus Orchards of Central India Using Eddy Covariance and Landsat Measurements. Ecosystems 23, 511–528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-019-00416-3</u>
- Pellegrino, E., Gamper, H.A., Ciccolini, V., Ercoli, L., 2020. Forage Rotations Conserve Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Soil Fertility. Frontiers in Microbiology 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02969</u>
- Philippot, L., Hallin, S., Börjesson, G., Baggs, E.M., 2009. Biochemical cycling in the rhizosphere having an impact on global change. Plant Soil 321, 61–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9796-9</u>
- Phillips, M.L., Weber, S.E., Andrews, L.V., Aronson, E.L., Allen, M.F., Allen, E.B., 2019. Fungal community assembly in soils and roots under plant invasion and nitrogen deposition. Fungal Ecology, Ecology of Mycorrhizas in the Anthropocene 40, 107–117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2019.01.002</u>
- Piazza, G., Ercoli, L., Nuti, M., Pellegrino, E., 2019. Interaction Between Conservation Tillage and Nitrogen Fertilization Shapes Prokaryotic and Fungal Diversity at Different Soil Depths: Evidence From a 23-Year Field Experiment in the Mediterranean Area. Frontiers in Microbiology 10. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02047</u>
- Pinheiro, J.C., Bates, D.M. (Eds.), 2000. Nonlinear Mixed-effects Models: Basic Concepts and Motivating Examples, in: Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS, Statistics and Computing. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 273–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-22747-4\_6</u>
- Poffenbarger, H.J., Olk, D.C., Cambardella, C., Kersey, J., Liebman, M., Mallarino, A., Six, J., Castellano, M.J., 2020. Whole-profile soil organic matter content, composition, and stability under cropping systems that differ in belowground inputs. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 291, 106810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106810</u>
- Powlson, D.S., Whitmore, A.P., Goulding, K.W.T., 2011. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change: a critical re-examination to identify the true and the false. European Journal of Soil Science 62, 42–55. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01342.x</u>

- Pugliese, J.Y., Culman, S.W., Sprunger, C.D., 2019. Harvesting forage of the perennial grain crop kernza (Thinopyrum intermedium) increases root biomass and soil nitrogen cycling. Plant Soil 437, 241–254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-03974-6</u>
- Ramos-Zapata, J.A., Marrufo-Zapata, D., Guadarrama, P., Carrillo-Sánchez, L., Hernández-Cuevas, L., Caamal-Maldonado, A., 2012. Impact of weed control on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a tropical agroecosystem: a long-term experiment. Mycorrhiza 22, 653–661. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-012-0443-1
- Reddy, K.R., Rai, R.K., Green, S.J., Chetri, J.K., 2019. Effect of temperature on methane oxidation and community composition in landfill cover soil. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 46, 1283–1295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02217-y
- Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H., Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T., Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J.-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen, J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., Valentini, R., 2005. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. Global Change Biology 11, 1424–1439. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x</u>
- Ren, A.-T., Mickan, B.S., Li, J.-Y., Zhou, R., Zhang, X.-C., Ma, M.-S., Wesly, K., Xiong, Y.-C., 2021. Soil labile organic carbon sequestration is tightly correlated with the abundance and diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in semiarid maize fields. Land Degradation & Development 32, 1224–1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3773</u>
- Řezáčová, V., Slavíková, R., Konvalinková, T., Zemková, L., Řezáč, M., Gryndler, M., Šmilauer, P., Gryndlerová, H., Hršelová, H., Bukovská, P., Jansa, J., 2019. Geography and habitat predominate over climate influences on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities of mid-European meadows. Mycorrhiza 29, 567–579. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-019-00921-2</u>
- Robertson, G.P., Hamilton, S.K., Barham, B.L., Dale, B.E., Izaurralde, R.C., Jackson, R.D., Landis, D.A., Swinton, S.M., Thelen, K.D., Tiedje, J.M., 2017. Cellulosic biofuel contributions to a sustainable energy future: Choices and outcomes. Science 356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal2324</u>
- Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., Smyth, G.K., 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26, 139–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Roman-Perez, C.C., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. Sources and priming of nitrous oxide production across a range of moisture contents in a soil with high organic matter. Journal of Environmental Quality 50, 94–109. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20172</u>
- Rong, Y., Ma, L., Johnson, D.A., 2015. Methane uptake by four land-use types in the agro-pastoral region of northern China. Atmospheric Environment 116, 12–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.003</u>

- Ruan, L., Robertson, G.P., 2020. No-till establishment improves the climate benefit of bioenergy crops on marginal grasslands. Soil Science Society of America Journal 84, 1280–1295. https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20082
- Ryan, M.R., Crews, T.E., Culman, S.W., DeHaan, L.R., Hayes, R.C., Jungers, J.M., Bakker, M.G., 2018. Managing for Multifunctionality in Perennial Grain Crops. BioScience 68, 294–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy014</u>
- Saks, Ü., Davison, J., Öpik, M., Vasar, M., Moora, M., Zobel, M., 2014. Root-colonizing and soilborne communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a temperate forest understorey. Botany 92, 277–285. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2013-0058</u>
- Samson, M.-É., Chantigny, M.H., Vanasse, A., Menasseri-Aubry, S., Angers, D.A., 2020. Coarse mineral-associated organic matter is a pivotal fraction for SOM formation and is sensitive to the quality of organic inputs. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 149, 107935. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107935
- Samson, M.-E., Chantigny, M.H., Vanasse, A., Menasseri-Aubry, S., Royer, I., Angers, D.A., 2020. Management practices differently affect particulate and mineral-associated organic matter and their precursors in arable soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 148, 107867. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107867
- Sarma, B., Farooq, M., Gogoi, N., Borkotoki, B., Kataki, R., Garg, A., 2018. Soil organic carbon dynamics in wheat - Green gram crop rotation amended with vermicompost and biochar in combination with inorganic fertilizers: A comparative study. Journal of Cleaner Production 201, 471–480. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.08.004</u>
- Schneider, F., Don, A., Hennings, I., Schmittmann, O., Seidel, S.J., 2017. The effect of deep tillage on crop yield – What do we really know? Soil and Tillage Research 174, 193–204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.07.005</u>
- Schwen, A., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Lawrence-Smith, E.J., Sinton, S.M., Carrick, S., Clothier, B.E., Buchan, G.D., Loiskandl, W., 2011. Hydraulic Properties and the Water-Conducting Porosity as Affected by Subsurface Compaction using Tension Infiltrometers. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 822–831. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2010.0257</u>
- Sharma, S., Rajan, N., Cui, S., Maas, S., Casey, K., Ale, S., Jessup, R., 2019. Carbon and evapotranspiration dynamics of a non-native perennial grass with biofuel potential in the southern U.S. Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 269–270, 285–293. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.037</u>
- Silveira, M.L., Liu, K., Sollenberger, L.E., Follett, R.F., Vendramini, J.M.B., 2013. Short-term effects of grazing intensity and nitrogen fertilization on soil organic carbon pools under perennial grass pastures in the southeastern USA. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 58, 42–49. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.11.003</u>

- Smith, D.R., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., Armstrong, S.D., Bucholtz, D.L., Stott, D.E., 2011. Fertilizer and Tillage Management Impacts on Non-Carbon-Dioxide Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Soil Science Society of America Journal 75, 1070–1082. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2009.0354</u>
- Smith, J.D., Strauss, J.A., Hardie, A.G., 2020. Effects of long-term grazed crop and pasture systems under no-till on organic matter fractions and selected quality parameters of soil in the Overberg, South Africa. South African Journal of Plant and Soil 37, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2019.1640298
- Smith, S.E., Read, D.J., 2010. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press.
- Snapp, S., 2020. A Mini-Review on Overcoming a Calorie-Centric World of Monolithic Annual Crops. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 4. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2020.540181</u>
- Snapp, S., Rogé, P., Okori, P., Chikowo, R., Peter, B., Messina, J., 2019. PERENNIAL GRAINS FOR AFRICA: POSSIBILITY OR PIPEDREAM? Experimental Agriculture 55, 251–272. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479718000066</u>
- Soares, M.B., Freddi, O. da S., Matos, E. da S., Tavanti, R.F.R., Wruck, F.J., de Lima, J.P., Marchioro, V., Franchini, J.C., 2020. Integrated production systems: An alternative to soil chemical quality restoration in the Cerrado-Amazon ecotone. CATENA 185, 104279. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2019.104279</u>
- Soil structure dynamics in annual croplands under controlled traffic management [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://bioone-org.login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/journals/canadian-journal-of-soilscience/volume-99/issue-2/cjss-2018-0117/Soil%20structure%20dynamics%20in%20annual%20croplands%20under%20controlled% 20traffic%20management/10.1139/cjss-2018-0117.full (accessed 4.26.21).</u>
- Somenahally, A., DuPont, J.I., Brady, J., McLawrence, J., Northup, B., Gowda, P., 2018. Microbial communities in soil profile are more responsive to legacy effects of wheat-cover crop rotations than tillage systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 123, 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.04.025
- Somenahally, A., McLawrence, J., DuPont, J.I., Brady, J., Sarkar, R., Rouquette, M., 2020. Rootmycorrhizae interactions contributed to organic carbon density in the sandy soil profiles of adapted grazing lands. Applied Soil Ecology 154, 103656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103656
- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Peralta, A.L., DuPont, S.T., Lennon, J.T., Snapp, S.S., 2019. Perennial grain crop roots and nitrogen management shape soil food webs and soil carbon dynamics. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 137, 107573. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107573</u>
- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Robertson, G.P., Snapp, S.S., 2018a. How Does Nitrogen and Perenniality Influence Belowground Biomass and Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Small Grain Cereals? Crop Science 58, 2110–2120. <u>https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2018.02.0123</u>

- Sprunger, C.D., Culman, S.W., Robertson, G.P., Snapp, S.S., 2018b. Perennial grain on a Midwest Alfisol shows no sign of early soil carbon gain. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 33, 360–372. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170517000138
- Strullu, L., Cadoux, S., Preudhomme, M., Jeuffroy, M.-H., Beaudoin, N., 2011. Biomass production and nitrogen accumulation and remobilisation by Miscanthus×giganteus as influenced by nitrogen stocks in belowground organs. Field Crops Research 121, 381–391. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.01.005</u>
- Sutherlin, C.E., Brunsell, N.A., de Oliveira, G., Crews, T.E., R. DeHaan, L., Vico, G., 2019. Contrasting Physiological and Environmental Controls of Evapotranspiration over Kernza Perennial Crop, Annual Crops, and C4 and Mixed C3/C4 Grasslands. Sustainability 11, 1640. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su11061640</u>
- Tang, X., Pei, X., Lei, N., Luo, X., Liu, L., Shi, L., Chen, G., Liang, J., 2020. Global patterns of soil autotrophic respiration and its relation to climate, soil and vegetation characteristics. Geoderma 369, 114339. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114339</u>
- Tenuta, M., Amiro, B.D., Gao, X., Wagner-Riddle, C., Gervais, M., 2019. Agricultural management practices and environmental drivers of nitrous oxide emissions over a decade for an annual and an annual-perennial crop rotation. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 276–277, 107636. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107636</u>
- Thilakarathna, S.K., Hernandez-Ramirez, G., 2021. How does management legacy, nitrogen addition, and nitrification inhibition affect soil organic matter priming and nitrous oxide production? Journal of Environmental Quality 50, 78–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20168</u>
- Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development .:. Sustainable Development Knowledge Platform [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/post2015/transformingourworld/publication</u> (accessed 12.8.20).
- USGS.gov | Science for a changing world [WWW Document], n.d. URL <u>https://www.usgs.gov/</u> (accessed 6.2.20).
- Valdez, Z.P., Hockaday, W.C., Masiello, C.A., Gallagher, M.E., Philip Robertson, G., 2017. Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Responses to Nitrogen Fertilizer and Harvesting Rates in Switchgrass Cropping Systems. Bioenerg. Res. 10, 456–464. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12155-016-9810-7</u>
- van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 1998. Effects of soil moisture content and temperature on methane uptake by grasslands on sandy soils. Plant and Soil 204, 213–222. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004371309361</u>
- Virgilio, N.D., Facini, O., Nocentini, A., Nardino, M., Rossi, F., Monti, A., 2019. Four-year measurement of net ecosystem gas exchange of switchgrass in a Mediterranean climate after long-term arable land use. GCB Bioenergy 11, 466–482. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12523</u>

- Wagle, P., Gowda, P.H., Manjunatha, P., Northup, B.K., Rocateli, A.C., Taghvaeian, S., 2019a. Carbon and water dynamics in co-located winter wheat and canola fields in the U.S. Southern Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 279, 107714. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107714</u>
- Wagle, P., Gowda, P.H., Northup, B.K., 2019b. Annual dynamics of carbon dioxide fluxes over a rainfed alfalfa field in the U.S. Southern Great Plains. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 265, 208–217. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.11.022</u>
- Walter, K., Don, A., Flessa, H., 2015. Net N2O and CH4 soil fluxes of annual and perennial bioenergy crops in two central German regions. Biomass and Bioenergy 81, 556–567. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2015.08.011</u>
- Wang, J., Rhodes, G., Huang, Q., Shen, Q., 2018. Plant growth stages and fertilization regimes drive soil fungal community compositions in a wheat-rice rotation system. Biol Fertil Soils 54, 731– 742. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-018-1295-4</u>
- Wang, P.-X., Yang, Y.-D., Wang, X.-Q., Zhao, J., Peixoto, L., Zeng, Z.-H., Zang, H.-D., 2020. Manure amendment increased the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs but suppressed the type I methanotrophs in rice paddies. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27, 8016–8027. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07464-1</u>
- Wanyama, I., Pelster, D.E., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Verchot, L.V., Martius, C., Rufino, M.C., 2019. Soil carbon dioxide and methane fluxes from forests and other land use types in an African tropical montane region. Biogeochemistry 143, 171–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-019-00555-8</u>
- Webb, E.K., Pearman, G.I., Leuning, R., 1980. Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 106, 85–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710644707</u>
- Welch, B., Gauci, V., Sayer, E.J., 2019. Tree stem bases are sources of CH4 and N2O in a tropical forest on upland soil during the dry to wet season transition. Global Change Biology 25, 361– 372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14498</u>
- Williams, A., Manoharan, L., Rosenstock, N.P., Olsson, P.A., Hedlund, K., 2017. Long-term agricultural fertilization alters arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community composition and barley (Hordeum vulgare) mycorrhizal carbon and phosphorus exchange. New Phytologist 213, 874– 885. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14196</u>
- Wu, Hongbao, Wang, X., Ganjurjav, H., Hu, G., Qin, X., Gao, Q., 2020. Effects of increased precipitation combined with nitrogen addition and increased temperature on methane fluxes in alpine meadows of the Tibetan Plateau. Science of The Total Environment 705, 135818. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135818</u>
- Wu, Haohao, Xingkai, X., Cheng, W., Lin, H., 2020. Dissolved organic matter and inorganic N jointly regulate greenhouse gases fluxes from forest soils with different moistures during a freeze-thaw period. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 66, 163–176. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2019.1667212</u>

- Wutzler, T., Lucas-Moffat, A., Migliavacca, M., Knauer, J., Sickel, K., Šigut, L., Menzer, O., Reichstein, M., 2018. Basic and extensible post-processing of eddy covariance flux data with REddyProc. Biogeosciences 15, 5015–5030. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-5015-2018</u>
- Xiao, D., Liu, X., Yang, R., Tan, Y., Zhang, W., Deng, C., He, X., Wang, K., 2020. Nitrogen input through chemical and biological pathway affects arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in forage grass cultivation in southwest China. Applied Soil Ecology 150, 103454. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.103454</u>
- Yang, A.N., Lu, L., Zhang, N., 2011. The diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the subtropical forest of Huangshan (Yellow Mountain), East-Central China. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 27, 2351–2358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0702-x</u>
- Zanotelli, D., Montagnani, L., Manca, G., Tagliavini, M., 2013. Net primary productivity, allocation pattern and carbon use efficiency in an apple orchard assessed by integrating eddy covariance, biometric and continuous soil chamber measurements. Biogeosciences 10, 3089. http://dx.doi.org.login.ezproxy.library.ualberta.ca/10.5194/bg-10-3089-2013
- Zenone, T., Gelfand, I., Chen, J., Hamilton, S.K., Robertson, G.P., 2013. From set-aside grassland to annual and perennial cellulosic biofuel crops: Effects of land use change on carbon balance. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 182–183, 1–12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.07.015</u>
- Zhang, L., Wang, S., Liu, S., Liu, X., Zou, J., Siemann, E., 2018. Perennial forb invasions alter greenhouse gas balance between ecosystem and atmosphere in an annual grassland in China. Science of The Total Environment 642, 781–788. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.111</u>
- Zhang, Y., Ma, Q., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., Liu, D., Ning, Y., Jia, Z., Zhang, P., 2020. Variations in soil fungal communities after continuous fertiliser treatment under the ridge and furrow rainfall harvesting system in a semiarid region of China. Soil Res. 58, 161–173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/SR18336</u>
- Zhao, F., Feng, X., Guo, Y., Ren, C., Wang, J., Doughty, R., 2020. Elevation gradients affect the differences of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi diversity between root and rhizosphere soil. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 284, 107894. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.107894</u>
- Zhao, J., Feng, H., Xu, T., Xiao, J., Guerrieri, R., Liu, S., Wu, X., He, Xinlei, He, Xiangping, 2021. Physiological and environmental control on ecosystem water use efficiency in response to drought across the northern hemisphere. Science of The Total Environment 758, 143599. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143599</u>

APPENDIX

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# CHAPTER 1. Perennial Grain Cropping Enhances the Soil Methane Sink in Temperate Agroecosystems

Keunbae Kim

Erin J. Daly

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta,

Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: edaly@ualberta.ca, phone: 1(780)686-7746

Table S1. 1. Means and standard errors of soil bulk density ( $n = 5 \pm SE$ ) at 5–10 cm and 25–30 cm depths. Samples collected in June 2018 with the same method implemented by Hernandez-Ramirez et al., 2014.

|                  | Edmonton        |                 | Breton          |                 |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | 5–10 cm         | 25–30 cm        | 5–10 cm         | 25–30 cm        |
| Fallow           | $1.04{\pm}0.11$ | 0.97±0.17       | $1.09{\pm}0.09$ | $1.10{\pm}0.04$ |
| Spring-grain†    | $1.07 \pm 0.14$ | $1.12\pm0.14$   | $1.16 \pm 0.14$ | $1.12 \pm 0.10$ |
| Perennial-grain  | $1.00\pm0.13$   | 0.91±0.14       | $1.05 \pm 0.13$ | $1.15 \pm 0.15$ |
| Perennial-forage | $0.94{\pm}0.09$ | $0.97 \pm 0.17$ | $1.09{\pm}1.66$ | $1.00{\pm}0.18$ |

<sup>†</sup> For porosity calculations, we assumed that bulk density of spring-grain was representative of the bulk density of fall-grain (spring-grain was considered the most similar cropping system within the experiment; cropping system description can be found in Table 2).

|                          | F-value†      | Р     |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|--|--|
|                          | Edmonton 2018 |       |  |  |
| Fertilizer               | 1.94          | 0.20  |  |  |
| Crop                     | 1.92          | 0.19  |  |  |
| Fertilizer × Crop        | 2.01          | 0.14  |  |  |
| Edmonton 2019            |               |       |  |  |
| Fertilizer               | 2.42          | 0.13  |  |  |
| Crop                     | 4.97          | <0.01 |  |  |
| Fertilizer × Crop        | 0.99          | 0.41  |  |  |
|                          | Breton 2018   |       |  |  |
| Fertilizer               | 0.69          | 0.38  |  |  |
| Crop                     | 0.18          | 0.89  |  |  |
| Fertilizer × Crop        | 0.03          | 0.98  |  |  |
|                          | Breton 2019   |       |  |  |
| Fertilizer               | 0.39          | 0.53  |  |  |
| Crop                     | 3.18          | 0.04  |  |  |
| Fertilizer $\times$ Crop | 0.95          | 0.43  |  |  |

Table S1. 2. Cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by cropping systems ( $n=4 \pm SE$ ) at Edmonton and Breton. To evaluate the experimental factors (i.e., crop and fertilizer), the fallow plots were not included enabling a balanced model.

<sup>†</sup> The denominator degrees of freedom (df) was 21 across all two-way ANOVA models. The numerator df for crop was 3 and for fertilizer was 1.
|                  |      | Temp | perature (°C | C)      |      | WF   | PS (v/v %) |         |  |  |
|------------------|------|------|--------------|---------|------|------|------------|---------|--|--|
| Crop             | Min  | Max  | Median       | Average | Min  | Max  | Median     | Average |  |  |
|                  |      |      |              | А       | Air  |      |            |         |  |  |
|                  | 1.8  | 32.6 | 17.9         | 17.6    |      |      |            |         |  |  |
|                  |      |      |              | 7.5     | cm   |      |            |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | 0.4  | 26.7 | 15.6         | 14.5    | 15.0 | 63.9 | 49.5       | 46.9    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | 0.4  | 22.3 | 15.3         | 14.3    | 31.2 | 59.7 | 48.0       | 47.6    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | 0.3  | 24.7 | 16.3         | 14.6    | 11.2 | 56.0 | 33.9       | 32.7    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | 0.1  | 21.6 | 15.0         | 13.5    | 11.7 | 57.5 | 31.0       | 31.0    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | 0.2  | 19.4 | 13.9         | 12.4    | 22.9 | 58.6 | 30.4       | 34.1    |  |  |
|                  |      |      |              | 22.5    | 5 cm |      |            |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | -0.2 | 21.5 | 15.4         | 13.8    | 32.3 | 60.6 | 49.1       | 50.5    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | 0.0  | 19.6 | 14.9         | 13.1    | 35.9 | 62.5 | 44.5       | 46.2    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | -0.2 | 18.7 | 14.9         | 13.1    | 26.0 | 68.8 | 47.1       | 49.4    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | -0.3 | 17.2 | 14.0         | 12.3    | 29.1 | 57.3 | 39.1       | 40.4    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | -0.3 | 17.0 | 13.1         | 11.8    | 29.3 | 53.5 | 35.0       | 37.4    |  |  |
|                  |      |      |              | 40      | cm   |      |            |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | -0.4 | 20.4 | 15.1         | 13.4    | 29.2 | 59.2 | 49.7       | 49.4    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | -0.5 | 18.7 | 14.6         | 12.7    | 35.0 | 68.2 | 54.2       | 53.9    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | -1.0 | 17.1 | 14.3         | 12.3    | 30.3 | 48.0 | 36.0       | 36.5    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | -0.6 | 16.1 | 13.1         | 11.8    | 25.0 | 54.7 | 30.9       | 33.7    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | -0.7 | 16.0 | 12.3         | 11.4    | 20.0 | 40.1 | 23.2       | 24.8    |  |  |

Table S1. 3. Means and ranges for soil temperature and water-filled pore space by soil depths  $\times$  cropping systems in Edmonton.

|                  |     | Tem  | perature (°C | C)      | WFPS (v/v %) |      |        |         |  |  |
|------------------|-----|------|--------------|---------|--------------|------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Crop             | Min | Max  | Median       | Average | Min          | Max  | Median | Average |  |  |
|                  |     |      |              | A       | Air          |      |        |         |  |  |
|                  | 1.0 | 25.8 | 18.1         | 16.6    |              |      |        |         |  |  |
|                  |     |      |              | 7.5     | cm           |      |        |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | 1.8 | 19.8 | 14.7         | 13.1    | 45.5         | 58.4 | 53.5   | 53.3    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | 1.5 | 18.1 | 15.0         | 12.9    | 19.7         | 56.7 | 35.9   | 37.7    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | 1.9 | 19.8 | 15.8         | 13.6    | 25.4         | 55.0 | 48.6   | 42.9    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | 1.8 | 17.7 | 14.1         | 12.5    | 21.4         | 54.8 | 45.9   | 40.9    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | 0.8 | 18.6 | 14.0         | 12.5    | 21.7         | 59.3 | 47.1   | 43.1    |  |  |
|                  |     |      |              | 22.5    | 5 cm         |      |        |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | 2.3 | 19.5 | 14.9         | 13.4    | 51.6         | 72.8 | 56.4   | 58.0    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | 2.1 | 17.1 | 14.3         | 12.6    | 24.2         | 62.7 | 43.3   | 41.9    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | 2.3 | 17.5 | 14.1         | 12.6    | 24.6         | 60.8 | 46.9   | 43.8    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | 2.6 | 16.3 | 14.0         | 12.2    | 37.3         | 62.0 | 56.0   | 51.2    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | 0.4 | 17.4 | 14.0         | 12.4    | 29.1         | 49.3 | 42.4   | 39.8    |  |  |
|                  |     |      |              | 40      | cm           |      |        |         |  |  |
| Fallow           | 2.1 | 19.2 | 14.8         | 13.4    | 53.5         | 91.8 | 58.6   | 64.6    |  |  |
| Spring grain     | 2.0 | 16.8 | 14.6         | 12.8    | 46.2         | 64.4 | 50.2   | 52.7    |  |  |
| Fall grain       | 2.3 | 16.8 | 14.6         | 12.8    | 37.6         | 71.7 | 53.9   | 54.4    |  |  |
| Perennial Grain  | 2.4 | 16.3 | 13.7         | 12.2    | 29.1         | 66.3 | 50.6   | 46.6    |  |  |
| Perennial forage | 0.2 | 16.4 | 14.0         | 12.0    | 15.9         | 32.4 | 22.2   | 22.4    |  |  |

Table S1. 4. Means and ranges for soil temperature and water-filled pore space (WFPS) by soil depths  $\times$  cropping systems in Breton.

Table S1. 5. Temperature, water filled pore space and methane flux for the cropping systems over two years. These are averages by month. Positive fluxes are uptake. Temperature and water-filled pore space (WFPS) are measurements at the 40 cm soil depth.

|                        |             | Edmonton                                 |                                 | Breton      |              |                                 |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Crop                   | Temperature | WFPS                                     | CH <sub>4</sub> uptake flux     | Temperature | WFPS         | CH4 uptake flux                 |  |  |
| •                      | (°C)        | (%)                                      | $(g CH_4 - C ha^{-1} day^{-1})$ | (°C)        | (%)          | $(g CH_4 - C ha^{-1} day^{-1})$ |  |  |
|                        |             |  | May 2018                        |             |              |                                 |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 8.75        | 55.1                                     | -1.05                           | 10.06       | 51.2         | -0.30                           |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 13.38       | 46.8                                     | -0.65                           | 16.60       | 53.5         | 3.17                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 8.05        | 50.3                                     | -0.55                           | 9.18        | 55.5         | -0.25                           |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 7.84        | 47.4                                     | -0.12                           | 10.13       | 43.4         | 0.48                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 8.39        | 41.6                                     | -0.37                           | 7.54        | 47.3         | 0.55                            |  |  |
|                        |             |  | June 2018                       |             |              |                                 |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 15.25       | 57.6                                     | 0.71                            | 14.20       | 56.4         | 0.65                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 15.23       | 51.9                                     | 0.54                            | 14.37       | 55.4         | 1.06                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 13.53       | 36.5                                     | 0.81                            | 13.36       | 57.6         | 1.12                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 13.37       | 36.3                                     | 1.32                            | 12.83       | 41.5         | 0.82                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 12.47       | 26.7                                     | 1.23                            | 13.48       | NA           | 0.78                            |  |  |
|                        |             |  | July 2018                       |             |              |                                 |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 18.22       | 58.4                                     | 0.73                            | 17.38       | 57.6         | 1.49                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 16.39       | 45.9                                     | 1.33                            | 15.53       | 51.9         | 2.66                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 15.83       | 35.3                                     | 0.86                            | 15.47       | 53.6         | 2.36                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 15.53       | 28.9                                     | 1.46                            | 14.91       | 33.8         | 2.36                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 15.41       | 24.4                                     | 1.14                            | 15.34       | 40.1         | 2.48                            |  |  |
|                        |             |  | August 2018                     |             |              |                                 |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 17.70       | 58.5                                     | -0.02                           | 16.58       | 56.0         | 1.89                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 15.39       | 40.6                                     | 0.55                            | 14.93       | 47.3         | 1.87                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 15.76       | 34.8                                     | 0.27                            | 15.17       | 46.4         | 2.09                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 14.85       | 28.2                                     | 0.97                            | 14.59       | 31.7         | 2.04                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 14.63       | 23.7                                     | 1.28                            | 14.48       | 36.0         | 1.81                            |  |  |
| F 11                   | 0.00        | 20.0                                     | September 201                   | 8           | - 4 0        | 1.10                            |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 9.92        | 38.0                                     | 0.79                            | 8.83        | 54.8         | 1.19                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 9.92        | 42.4                                     | 1.69                            | NA          | 46.4         | 0.92                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 10.12       | 32.1                                     | 1.01                            | 8.03        | 53.3         | 0.71                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 9.8/        | 31.2                                     | 1.3/                            | /.40        | 42.6         | 0.78                            |  |  |
| Perennial lorage       | 10.22       | 21.9                                     | 1.30                            | 8.17        | 42.9         | 0.81                            |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 1 26        | 16.6                                     | April 2019                      | 1 10        | 52.1         | 0.20                            |  |  |
| Fallow<br>Series croin | 1.50        | 40.0                                     | -0.02                           | 1.18        | 52.1         | 0.20                            |  |  |
| Spring gram            | 1.02        | 41.4                                     | 0.30                            | 2.40        | 33.9<br>41 0 | -0.23                           |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 1.11        | <i>39</i> . <del>4</del><br><i>4</i> 0.7 | 0.27                            | 1.54        | 41.2         | -0.14                           |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 1.09        | +0.7<br>28 3                             | 0.40                            | 0.16        | 40.5         | -0.14                           |  |  |
| i cicilliai iorage     | 1.02        | 20.3                                     | May 2019                        | 0.10        | 57.1         | -0.24                           |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 9 52        | 43 7                                     | 1 23                            | 9.58        | 54 1         | 0.66                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 9.63        | 53.5                                     | 1.25                            | 8 27        | 47 1         | 1.06                            |  |  |
| Fall orain             | 8 48        | 39.9                                     | 1 42                            | 8.01        | 50.7         | 1.60                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 8 31        | 41.0                                     | 1.67                            | 7 55        | 55.5         | 0.96                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 8.06        | 22.7                                     | 1.45                            | 5.25        | 37.3         | 1.04                            |  |  |
| Tereniniar rorage      | 0.00        |  | Iune 2019                       |             | 57.5         | 1.01                            |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 14.78       | 43.2                                     | 0.71                            | 13.82       | 64.4         | 1.71                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 14.47       | 64.9                                     | 0.67                            | 14.46       | 48.6         | 2.42                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 13.75       | 34.1                                     | 0.75                            | 13.52       | 41.2         | 2.20                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 12.63       | 32.9                                     | 1.20                            | 13.26       | 53.7         | 2.74                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 11.70       | 19.4                                     | 1.29                            | 12.47       | 28.6         | 2.09                            |  |  |
| <u></u>                |             |  | July 2019                       |             |              | ,                               |  |  |
| Fallow                 | 16.04       | 49.5                                     | 0.98                            | 14.81       | 71.1         | 1.89                            |  |  |
| Spring grain           | 15.12       | 67.0                                     | 1.16                            | 14.87       | 55.8         | 1.85                            |  |  |
| Fall grain             | 14.92       | 38.0                                     | 1.11                            | 14.72       | 61.0         | 1.59                            |  |  |
| Perennial grain        | 13.85       | 36.9                                     | 1.28                            | 13.90       | 60.4         | 1.67                            |  |  |
| Perennial forage       | 13.34       | 20.4                                     | 1.24                            | 13.75       | 31.3         | 1.19                            |  |  |

| August 2017 | August | 2019 |  |
|-------------|--------|------|--|
|-------------|--------|------|--|

| Fallow           | 15.88 | 45.0 | 1.59           | 14.64 | 86.4 | 2.14 |
|------------------|-------|------|----------------|-------|------|------|
| Spring grain     | 15.03 | 63.8 | 1.04           | 14.83 | 61.5 | 1.66 |
| Fall grain       | 15.54 | 36.6 | 1.77           | 14.92 | 67.7 | 2.13 |
| Perennial grain  | 14.37 | 29.8 | 1.93           | 13.45 | 66.0 | 1.98 |
| Perennial forage | 14.50 | 21.4 | 1.94           | 14.17 | 34.8 | 1.61 |
|                  |       |      | September 2019 |       |      |      |
| Fallow           | 13.79 | 41.5 | -0.41          | 13.03 | 68.7 | 2.05 |
| Spring grain     | 12.78 | 59.8 | 0.13           | 12.93 | 52.8 | 2.23 |
| Fall grain       | 13.07 | 34.4 | 0.22           | 13.85 | 58.4 | 2.36 |
| Perennial grain  | 12.12 | 26.7 | 0.86           | 12.41 | 57.3 | 2.18 |
| Perennial forage | 12.11 | 20.2 | 1.16           | 12.23 | 28.5 | 2.12 |

200





Fig. S1. 1. Cumulative CH<sub>4</sub> flux during the entire study showing cropping  $\times$  nitrogen treatment combinations in Breton and Edmonton (integrating measurement from 26 April 2018 to 18 Oct 2018 and from 26 March 2019 to 30 Oct 2019). Means correspond to four field plots. Error bars are standard errors of the means.



Fig. S1. 2. Soil water filled pore space (WFPS; 40 cm depth) at the time of flux measurements over two consecutive growing seasons in Edmonton and Breton sites.



Fig. S1. 3. Soil temperature (40 cm depth) at the time of flux measurements over two consecutive growing seasons at the Edmonton and Breton sites.



🛪 Fallow 🛪 Spring grain 🛪 Fall grain 🛪 Perennial grain 🛪 Perennial forage

Fig. S1. 4. Arrhenius non-linear relationships of surface methane fluxes across cropping systems with air and soil temperatures at various depths (7.5, 22.5 and 40 cm) encompassing two consecutive growing season data in Edmonton and Breton. Predicted CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (bold line) are shown. These are measured daily fluxes.



#### ≢ Fallow ≢ Spring grain 둘 Fall grain 둘 Perennial grain 둘 Perennial forage

Fig. S1. 5. Relationships between surface CH<sub>4</sub> uptake rate and soil water filled pore space across cropping systems at three soil depths (7.5, 22.5 and 40 cm depth) encompassing the two-year growing season data in Edmonton and Breton. Predicted CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes (bold line) and 95% confidence intervals are shown. These are averages by months during the entire study.

## Supplementary material: R Code for Q10 calculation

For the Arrhenius Equation [3] in the method section:

Using k=flux, T= Temperature, non-leaner model (nls) enables  $\ln A$  and -(Ea/R) to have the best fit values. The  $\ln A$  and -(Ea/R) were replaced with b and a, respectively.

 $k=e^{(b+a^{*}(1/T))},$ 

Transformations:

- All fluxes are expressed with methane uptake being positive.
- Add 10 units to all methane fluxes to have all fluxes as positive.
- The absolute temperature is expressed in Kelvin.

k=-10+e^(b+a\*(1/(273.15+T))),

 $Model=nls(Flux \sim 10 + exp(b+a*(1/(273.15 + Temperature))), start = list(a=2, b=0.02), data=data)$ 

The model gives the best fit value of a and b, which enables to identify temperature (T1) when the flux is 1. The next step names T1+10 as T2

The flux of T2 can be calculated by the model equation, and the flux ratio of T1 and T2 become a  $Q_{10}$ .

T2=a/(log(11)-b)-273.15

Q10=(-10+exp(b+a\*1/(273+(a/(log(11)-b)-273.15+10))))/1

The model residuals were explained by the soil water contents as water filled pore space (WFPS in percentage).

model Flux= data\$PredicF measure Flux = data\$Flux

data\$sub=data\$Flux-data\$PredicF

the linear model was created by soil water content with residual flux ModelA=lm(sub~WFPS%,data)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

### CHAPTER 2. Soil Carbon Response to Perennial Grain Cropping and Nitrogen Fertilizer

Keunbae Kim<sup>1\*</sup>

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>

Monika Gorzelak<sup>2</sup>

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta,

Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2E3, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: keunbae@ualberta.ca, phone: 1(780)660-0670

Table S2. 1. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2018.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 8.52a                                   | 0.55ab                                  | 15.94      | 0.27              | 0.21              | 23.92ab                                  | 2.08ab                                   | 11.27       | 0.73              | 0.78              | 32.93ab              | 2.72ab              | 12.11             |
| Fall-grain       | 8.55ab                                  | 0.54a                                   | 16.28      | 0.29              | 0.22              | 20.98a                                   | 1.93a                                    | 10.88       | 0.71              | 0.78              | 29.64a               | 2.49b               | 11.91             |
| Perennial-grain  | 9.23ab                                  | 0.61ab                                  | 15.87      | 0.28              | 0.22              | 23.54ab                                  | 2.11ab                                   | 11.10       | 0.72              | 0.77              | 32.93ab              | 2.74ab              | 12.00             |
| Perennial-forage | 10.16b                                  | 0.64b                                   | 16.09      | 0.27              | 0.21              | 26.11b                                   | 2.28b                                    | 11.40       | 0.73              | 0.78              | 36.27b               | 2.95b               | 12.29             |
| No-N             | 9.22                                    | 0.59                                    | 15.86      | 0.28              | 0.22              | 23.41                                    | 2.10                                     | 11.08       | 0.72              | 0.78              | 32.82                | 2.74                | 12.01             |
| With-N           | 9.01                                    | 0.57                                    | 15.99      | 0.27              | 0.22              | 23.97                                    | 2.10                                     | 11.24       | 0.73              | 0.78              | 33.35                | 2.73                | 12.15             |
| Сгор             | <0.05*                                  | <0.05*                                  | 0.44       | 0.68              | 0.89              | <0.05*                                   | 0.05                                     | 0.17        | 0.72              | 0.94              | <0.01**              | <0.01**             | 0.23              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.61                                    | 0.40                                    | 0.47       | 0.63              | 0.65              | 0.61                                     | 0.98                                     | 0.34        | 0.64              | 0.69              | 0.62                 | 0.93                | 0.30              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.74                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.78       | 0.87              | 0.65              | 0.89                                     | 0.90                                     | 0.36        | 0.90              | 0.79              | 0.68                 | 0.71                | 0.14              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 7.5-15 cm                                |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 5.38                                    | 0.38                                    | 14.23      | 0.22              | 0.18              | 19.10                                    | 1.76                                     | 10.87       | 0.78              | 0.82              | 24.49                | 2.14                | 11.45             |
| Fall-grain       | 5.68                                    | 0.40                                    | 14.28      | 0.26              | 0.20              | 17.71                                    | 1.64                                     | 10.77       | 0.74              | 0.80              | 23.39                | 2.03                | 11.47             |
| Perennial-grain  | 5.79                                    | 0.41                                    | 14.10      | 0.22              | 0.18              | 20.02                                    | 1.84                                     | 10.92       | 0.78              | 0.82              | 25.81                | 2.25                | 11.49             |
| Perennial-forage | 5.31                                    | 0.36                                    | 14.66      | 0.21              | 0.16              | 20.16                                    | 1.87                                     | 10.80       | 0.79              | 0.84              | 25.47                | 2.23                | 11.43             |
| No-N             | 5.60                                    | 0.39                                    | 14.32      | 0.23              | 0.18              | 19.20                                    | 1.78                                     | 10.82       | 0.77              | 0.82              | 24.80                | 2.17                | 11.44             |
| With-N           | 5.48                                    | 0.38                                    | 14.33      | 0.22              | 0.18              | 19.30                                    | 1.78                                     | 10.86       | 0.78              | 0.82              | 24.78                | 2.16                | 11.48             |
| Crop             | 0.79                                    | 0.62                                    | 0.39       | 0.32              | 0.20              | 0.49                                     | 0.38                                     | 0.93        | 0.32              | 0.20              | 0.60                 | 0.50                | 0.99              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.76                                    | 0.73                                    | 0.97       | 0.60              | 0.63              | 0.94                                     | 0.99                                     | 0.81        | 0.60              | 0.63              | 0.99                 | 0.95                | 0.78              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.31                                    | 0.34                                    | 0.74       | 0.40              | 0.39              | 0.32                                     | 0.36                                     | 0.60        | 0.40              | 0.39              | 0.23                 | 0.30                | 0.33              |

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 9.10                                    | 0.61                                    | 14.90      | 0.29              | 0.22              | 22.32                                    | 2.18                                     | 10.25       | 0.71              | 0.78              | 31.42                | 2.79                | 11.28             |
| Fall-grain       | 9.08                                    | 0.61                                    | 14.92      | 0.29              | 0.22              | 22.45                                    | 2.14                                     | 10.47       | 0.71              | 0.78              | 31.53                | 2.75                | 11.47             |
| Perennial-grain  | 9.41                                    | 0.64                                    | 14.79      | 0.29              | 0.23              | 22.93                                    | 2.17                                     | 10.55       | 0.71              | 0.77              | 32.33                | 2.80                | 11.51             |
| Perennial-forage | 10.43                                   | 0.69                                    | 15.00      | 0.29              | 0.23              | 25.12                                    | 2.37                                     | 10.61       | 0.71              | 0.77              | 35.55                | 3.06                | 11.61             |
| No-N             | 9.70                                    | 0.65                                    | 14.86      | 0.29              | 0.22              | 23.70                                    | 2.27                                     | 10.44       | 0.71              | 0.78              | 33.39                | 2.92                | 11.44             |
| With-N           | 9.31                                    | 0.62                                    | 14.95      | 0.29              | 0.22              | 22.71                                    | 2.16                                     | 10.50       | 0.71              | 0.78              | 32.02                | 2.78                | 11.50             |
| Crop             | 0.10                                    | 0.19                                    | 0.65       | 0.99              | 0.94              | 0.14                                     | 0.20                                     | 0.09        | 0.99              | 0.94              | <0.05*               | 0.08                | <0.05*            |
| Fertilizer       | 0.36                                    | 0.37                                    | 0.45       | 0.99              | 1.00              | 0.29                                     | 0.21                                     | 0.54        | 0.99              | 1.00              | 0.21                 | 0.15                | 0.42              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.91                                    | 0.93                                    | 0.69       | 0.71              | 0.80              | 0.54                                     | 0.44                                     | 0.57        | 0.71              | 0.80              | 0.63                 | 0.49                | 0.74              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 7.5-15 cm                                |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 7.32                                    | 0.48                                    | 15.19      | 0.27              | 0.20              | 20.03                                    | 1.98                                     | 10.12       | 0.73              | 0.80              | 27.47                | 2.47                | 11.14             |
| Fall-grain       | 6.65                                    | 0.44                                    | 15.18      | 0.26              | 0.19              | 19.26                                    | 1.87                                     | 10.26       | 0.74              | 0.81              | 25.96                | 2.31                | 11.23             |
| Perennial-grain  | 6.46                                    | 0.43                                    | 15.13      | 0.26              | 0.19              | 18.37                                    | 1.80                                     | 10.17       | 0.74              | 0.81              | 24.98                | 2.24                | 11.14             |
| Perennial-forage | 6.85                                    | 0.45                                    | 15.22      | 0.26              | 0.19              | 20.33                                    | 2.00                                     | 10.13       | 0.74              | 0.81              | 27.42                | 2.47                | 11.10             |
| No-N             | 6.90                                    | 0.45                                    | 15.20      | 0.26              | 0.19              | 20.19                                    | 1.99b                                    | 10.13       | 0.74              | 0.81              | 27.22                | 2.45                | 11.10             |
| With-N           | 6.73                                    | 0.44                                    | 15.16      | 0.27              | 0.20              | 18.81                                    | 1.84a                                    | 10.21       | 0.73              | 0.80              | 25.70                | 2.29                | 11.21             |
| Crop             | 0.50                                    | 0.59                                    | 0.98       | 0.78              | 0.86              | 0.34                                     | 0.21                                     | 0.82        | 0.78              | 0.86              | 0.28                 | 0.18                | 0.82              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.68                                    | 0.72                                    | 0.80       | 0.54              | 0.48              | 0.10                                     | <0.05*                                   | 0.49        | 0.54              | 0.48              | 0.15                 | 0.08                | 0.28              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.71                                    | 0.76                                    | 0.76       | 0.82              | 0.74              | 1.00                                     | 0.98                                     | 0.45        | 0.82              | 0.77              | 0.97                 | 1.00                | 0.32              |

Table S2. 2. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in June 2019.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P <0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 9.38                                    | 0.59                                    | 15.88      | 0.30              | 0.20              | 22.29a                                   | 2.34a                                    | 9.48a       | 0.70              | 0.80              | 31.67a               | 2.93a               | 10.78a            |
| Fall-grain       | 9.31                                    | 0.59                                    | 15.91      | 0.29              | 0.20              | 22.71a                                   | 2.38a                                    | 9.53a       | 0.71              | 0.80              | 32.02a               | 2.97a               | 10.79a            |
| Perennial-grain  | 10.15                                   | 0.66                                    | 15.58      | 0.32              | 0.22              | 22.41a                                   | 2.33a                                    | 9.53ab      | 0.68              | 0.78              | 32.56a               | 2.99a               | 10.87a            |
| Perennial-forage | 11.48                                   | 0.73                                    | 15.78      | 0.30              | 0.21              | 27.23b                                   | 2.70b                                    | 10.05b      | 0.70              | 0.79              | 38.71b               | 3.43b               | 11.27b            |
| No-N             | 10.38                                   | 0.66                                    | 15.77      | 0.29              | 0.21              | 25.11b                                   | 2.54b                                    | 9.84b       | 0.71              | 0.79              | 35.49b               | 3.19b               | 11.06b            |
| With-N           | 9.78                                    | 0.62                                    | 15.80      | 0.31              | 0.21              | 22.21a                                   | 2.34a                                    | 9.45a       | 0.69              | 0.79              | 31.99a               | 2.96a               | 10.79a            |
| Crop             | <0.05*                                  | 0.0796                                  | 0.534      | 0.7727            | 0.5787            | <0.01**                                  | <0.01**                                  | <0.05*      | 0.7727            | 0.5787            | <0.001***            | <0.001***           | <0.01**           |
| Fertilizer       | 0.3073                                  | 0.3907                                  | 0.8917     | 0.4469            | 0.7283            | <0.01**                                  | <0.05*                                   | <0.01**     | 0.4469            | 0.7283            | <0.01**              | <0.01**             | <0.01**           |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.2                                     | 0.2174                                  | 0.2591     | 0.0944            | 0.1444            | <0.05*                                   | <0.05*                                   | <0.05*      | 0.0944            | 0.1444            | <0.05*               | <0.05*              | <0.05*            |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 7.5-15 cm                                |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 6.29                                    | 0.40                                    | 15.86      | 0.23              | 0.15              | 21.31                                    | 2.20                                     | 9.62        | 0.77              | 0.85              | 27.60                | 2.59                | 10.60             |
| Fall-grain       | 5.85                                    | 0.36                                    | 16.17      | 0.23              | 0.15              | 19.58                                    | 2.02                                     | 9.64        | 0.77              | 0.85              | 25.42                | 2.38                | 10.65             |
| Perennial-grain  | 6.73                                    | 0.42                                    | 15.90      | 0.24              | 0.16              | 21.48                                    | 2.21                                     | 9.66        | 0.76              | 0.84              | 28.20                | 2.63                | 10.68             |
| Perennial-forage | 5.93                                    | 0.37                                    | 16.20      | 0.23              | 0.15              | 20.68                                    | 2.17                                     | 9.48        | 0.77              | 0.85              | 26.60                | 2.54                | 10.49             |
| No-N             | 6.22                                    | 0.39                                    | 16.07      | 0.23              | 0.15              | 21.25                                    | 2.19                                     | 9.62        | 0.77              | 0.85              | 27.47                | 2.58                | 10.62             |
| With-N           | 6.18                                    | 0.39                                    | 15.99      | 0.23              | 0.15              | 20.27                                    | 2.10                                     | 9.58        | 0.77              | 0.85              | 26.45                | 2.49                | 10.59             |
| Crop             | 0.10                                    | 0.08                                    | 0.31       | 0.81              | 0.52              | 0.52                                     | 0.34                                     | 0.80        | 0.81              | 0.52              | 0.25                 | 0.19                | 0.66              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.89                                    | 0.95                                    | 0.60       | 0.73              | 0.74              | 0.33                                     | 0.30                                     | 0.77        | 0.73              | 0.74              | 0.32                 | 0.31                | 0.80              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.88                                    | 0.89                                    | 0.64       | 0.72              | 0.88              | 0.31                                     | 0.48                                     | 0.14        | 0.72              | 0.88              | 0.25                 | 0.44                | 0.13              |

Table S2. 3. Breton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2019.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P <0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 20.71                                   | 1.80                                    | 11.55      | 0.34              | 0.32              | 41.24                                    | 3.91                                     | 10.54       | 0.66              | 0.68              | 61.38                | 5.71                | 10.87             |
| Fall-grain       | 20.32                                   | 1.75                                    | 11.62      | 0.31              | 0.30              | 45.51                                    | 4.30                                     | 10.59       | 0.69              | 0.70              | 64.33                | 6.05                | 10.91             |
| Perennial-grain  | 20.77                                   | 1.80                                    | 11.57      | 0.32              | 0.31              | 43.85                                    | 4.04                                     | 10.78       | 0.68              | 0.69              | 63.50                | 5.85                | 11.05             |
| Perennial-forage | 21.53                                   | 1.86                                    | 11.68      | 0.34              | 0.32              | 42.39                                    | 4.01                                     | 10.55       | 0.66              | 0.68              | 63.34                | 5.87                | 10.89             |
| No-N             | 21.58                                   | 1.88                                    | 11.54      | 0.34              | 0.33              | 41.49                                    | 3.88                                     | 10.65       | 0.66              | 0.67              | 62.12                | 5.76                | 10.95             |
| With-N           | 20.09                                   | 1.72                                    | 11.67      | 0.31              | 0.29              | 45.01                                    | 4.25                                     | 10.58       | 0.69              | 0.71              | 64.15                | 5.97                | 10.91             |
| Crop             | 0.85                                    | 0.86                                    | 0.79       | 0.69              | 0.82              | 0.68                                     | 0.73                                     | 0.49        | 0.69              | 0.82              | 0.83                 | 0.80                | 0.57              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.15                                    | 0.10                                    | 0.17       | 0.07              | 0.07              | 0.19                                     | 0.16                                     | 0.52        | 0.07              | 0.07              | 0.40                 | 0.40                | 0.70              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | <0.01**                                 | <0.01**                                 | 0.38       | <0.05*            | 0.06              | 0.64                                     | 0.63                                     | 0.14        | <0.05*            | 0.06              | 0.75                 | 0.64                | 0.34              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   |  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 12.50                                   | 1.05                                    | 12.03      | 0.240             | 0.23              | 40.32                                    | 3.69                                     | 10.96       | 0.76              | 0.77              | 52.82                | 4.73                | 11.17             |
| Fall-grain       | 15.54                                   | 1.33                                    | 11.81      | 0.280             | 0.27              | 40.04                                    | 3.64                                     | 11.03       | 0.72              | 0.73              | 55.58                | 4.97                | 11.19             |
| Perennial-grain  | 12.83                                   | 1.08                                    | 11.94      | 0.242             | 0.23              | 40.30                                    | 3.64                                     | 11.07       | 0.76              | 0.77              | 53.13                | 4.72                | 11.26             |
| Perennial-forage | 14.59                                   | 1.23                                    | 11.99      | 0.269             | 0.26              | 40.04                                    | 3.63                                     | 11.06       | 0.73              | 0.74              | 54.62                | 4.87                | 11.26             |
| No-N             | 14.27                                   | 1.21                                    | 11.90      | 0.266             | 0.25              | 39.43                                    | 3.57                                     | 11.08       | 0.73              | 0.75              | 53.70                | 4.78                | 11.24             |
| With-N           | 13.46                                   | 1.13                                    | 11.99      | 0.250             | 0.24              | 40.91                                    | 3.73                                     | 10.98       | 0.75              | 0.76              | 54.37                | 4.87                | 11.19             |
| Crop             | 0.29                                    | 0.30                                    | 0.73       | 0.57              | 0.57              | 1.00                                     | 1.00                                     | 0.82        | 0.57              | 0.60              | 0.58                 | 0.63                | 0.62              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.53                                    | 0.50                                    | 0.56       | 0.51              | 0.47              | 0.46                                     | 0.41                                     | 0.28        | 0.51              | 0.49              | 0.68                 | 0.60                | 0.37              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.81                                    | 0.81                                    | 1.00       | 0.59              | 0.63              | 0.13                                     | 0.20                                     | 0.93        | 0.59              | 0.67              | 0.05                 | 0.10                | 0.82              |

Table S2. 4. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2018.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P <0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables. Table S2. 5. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in June 2019.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 22.56                                   | 1.99                                    | 11.35      | 0.35              | 0.34              | 41.15                                    | 3.88                                     | 10.61ab     | 0.65              | 0.66              | 63.72                | 5.87                | 10.86ab           |
| Fall-grain       | 22.66                                   | 1.99                                    | 11.38      | 0.35              | 0.34              | 41.14                                    | 3.92                                     | 10.49a      | 0.65              | 0.66              | 63.80                | 5.91                | 10.79a            |
| Perennial-grain  | 23.11                                   | 2.03                                    | 11.42      | 0.35              | 0.34              | 42.59                                    | 3.99                                     | 10.66ab     | 0.65              | 0.66              | 65.70                | 6.02                | 10.91ab           |
| Perennial-forage | 23.62                                   | 2.06                                    | 11.51      | 0.36              | 0.34              | 41.73                                    | 3.88                                     | 10.76b      | 0.64              | 0.66              | 65.35                | 5.93                | 11.01b            |
| No-N             | 22.63                                   | 2.00                                    | 11.37      | 0.35              | 0.34              | 41.42                                    | 3.90                                     | 10.61       | 0.65              | 0.66              | 64.06                | 5.90                | 10.86             |
| With-N           | 23.35                                   | 2.04                                    | 11.46      | 0.36              | 0.34              | 41.88                                    | 3.93                                     | 10.65       | 0.64              | 0.66              | 65.23                | 5.97                | 10.92             |
| Сгор             | 0.89                                    | 0.96                                    | 0.54       | 0.97              | 0.96              | 0.76                                     | 0.79                                     | 0.06        | 0.97              | 0.96              | 0.63                 | 0.84                | <0.05*            |
| Fertilizer       | 0.51                                    | 0.66                                    | 0.30       | 0.59              | 0.70              | 0.68                                     | 0.75                                     | 0.61        | 0.59              | 0.70              | 0.40                 | 0.56                | 0.16              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.30                                    | 0.33                                    | 0.74       | 0.04              | 0.06              | 0.05                                     | 0.06                                     | 0.18        | <0.05*            | 0.06              | 0.91                 | 0.89                | 0.26              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 7.5-15 cm                                |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 13.87                                   | 1.18                                    | 11.74      | 0.26b             | 0.25              | 39.05                                    | 3.64                                     | 10.73       | 0.74a             | 0.75a             | 52.91                | 4.82                | 10.98             |
| Fall-grain       | 14.10                                   | 1.21                                    | 11.70      | 0.26b             | 0.24              | 40.88                                    | 3.78                                     | 10.80       | 0.74a             | 0.76a             | 54.98                | 4.98                | 11.03             |
| Perennial-grain  | 12.43                                   | 1.05                                    | 11.81      | 0.22a             | 0.21              | 43.05                                    | 3.92                                     | 10.96       | 0.78b             | 0.79b             | 55.48                | 4.98                | 11.14             |
| Perennial-forage | 13.79                                   | 1.16                                    | 11.96      | 0.26b             | 0.24              | 39.70                                    | 3.63                                     | 10.95       | 0.74a             | 0.76a             | 53.49                | 4.78                | 11.21             |
| No-N             | 13.50                                   | 1.15                                    | 11.77      | 0.25              | 0.24              | 40.50                                    | 3.73                                     | 10.86       | 0.75              | 0.76              | 54.01                | 4.88                | 11.08             |
| With-N           | 13.59                                   | 1.15                                    | 11.83      | 0.25              | 0.24              | 40.83                                    | 3.76                                     | 10.86       | 0.75              | 0.76              | 54.43                | 4.91                | 11.10             |
| Crop             | 0.19                                    | 0.20                                    | 0.10       | <0.01**           | <0.05*            | 0.16                                     | 0.26                                     | 0.10        | <0.01**           | <0.05*            | 0.65                 | 0.69                | 0.06              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.88                                    | 0.96                                    | 0.45       | 0.98              | 0.88              | 0.80                                     | 0.81                                     | 0.99        | 0.99              | 0.88              | 0.80                 | 0.84                | 0.81              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.91                                    | 0.92                                    | 0.66       | 0.81              | 0.74              | 0.90                                     | 0.92                                     | 0.78        | 0.81              | 0.74              | 0.95                 | 0.97                | 0.94              |

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P <0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables. Table S2. 6. Edmonton soil organic matter fractionation in September 2019.

| Treatment        | POM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | POM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | POM<br>C:N | POM-C<br>fraction | POM-N<br>fraction | MAOM-C<br>Concentration<br>(g C/kg soil) | MAOM-N<br>Concentration<br>(g N/kg soil) | MAOM<br>C:N | MAOMC<br>fraction | MAOMN<br>fraction | TOC<br>(g C/kg soil) | TN<br>(g N/kg soil) | Whole Soil<br>C:N |
|------------------|---|---|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 0-7.5cm                                  |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 21.38                                   | 1.87                                    | 11.42      | 0.34              | 0.31              | 40.70                                    | 4.13                                     | 9.87        | 0.66              | 0.69              | 62.08                | 6.00                | 10.35             |
| Perennial-grain  | 20.51                                   | 1.79                                    | 11.47      | 0.32              | 0.29              | 43.81                                    | 4.37                                     | 10.03       | 0.68              | 0.71              | 64.40                | 6.17                | 10.45             |
| Perennial-forage | 21.45                                   | 1.87                                    | 11.51      | 0.33              | 0.30              | 43.37                                    | 4.29                                     | 10.11       | 0.67              | 0.70              | 64.82                | 6.16                | 10.54             |
| No-N             | 20.56                                   | 1.80                                    | 11.44      | 0.32              | 0.29              | 43.38                                    | 4.31                                     | 10.06       | 0.68              | 0.71              | 63.99                | 6.12                | 10.47             |
| With-N           | 21.66                                   | 1.89                                    | 11.49      | 0.34              | 0.31              | 41.87                                    | 4.21                                     | 9.95        | 0.66              | 0.69              | 63.53                | 6.10                | 10.43             |
| Сгор             | 0.75                                    | 0.73                                    | 0.76       | 0.53              | 0.60              | 0.42                                     | 0.61                                     | 0.09        | 0.53              | 0.60              | 0.47                 | 0.72                | 0.14              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.28                                    | 0.30                                    | 0.65       | 0.26              | 0.35              | 0.46                                     | 0.60                                     | 0.20        | 0.26              | 0.35              | 0.81                 | 0.92                | 0.63              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.11                                    | 0.05                                    | 0.46       | 0.41              | 0.28              | 0.46                                     | 0.43                                     | 0.90        | 0.41              | 0.28              | 0.11                 | 0.12                | 0.97              |
|                  |   |   |            |                   |                   | 7.5-15 cm                                |  |             |                   |                   |                      |                     |                   |
| Spring-grain     | 12.79                                   | 1.10                                    | 11.60      | 0.24              | 0.22              | 41.45                                    | 4.02                                     | 10.33       | 0.76              | 0.78              | 54.25                | 5.12                | 10.60             |
| Perennial-grain  | 15.49                                   | 1.34                                    | 11.53      | 0.25              | 0.23              | 45.26                                    | 4.44                                     | 10.20       | 0.75              | 0.77              | 60.75                | 5.79                | 10.50             |
| Perennial-forage | 14.51                                   | 1.23                                    | 11.75      | 0.25              | 0.23              | 42.81                                    | 4.14                                     | 10.35       | 0.75              | 0.77              | 57.32                | 5.38                | 10.67             |
| No-N             | 13.85                                   | 1.20                                    | 11.60      | 0.24              | 0.22              | 42.92                                    | 4.21                                     | 10.21       | 0.76              | 0.78              | 56.77                | 5.40                | 10.52             |
| With-N           | 14.67                                   | 1.26                                    | 11.65      | 0.25              | 0.23              | 43.43                                    | 4.19                                     | 10.37       | 0.75              | 0.77              | 58.11                | 5.45                | 10.66             |
| Сгор             | 0.27                                    | 0.27                                    | 0.10       | 0.12              | 0.09              | 0.12                                     | 0.09                                     | 0.29        | 0.64              | 0.69              | 0.09                 | 0.07                | 0.16              |
| Fertilizer       | 0.54                                    | 0.58                                    | 0.55       | 0.72              | 0.93              | 0.72                                     | 0.93                                     | 0.07        | 0.57              | 047               | 0.56                 | 0.82                | 0.05              |
| Crop: Fertilizer | 0.93                                    | 0.94                                    | 0.81       | 0.43              | 0.33              | 0.43                                     | 0.33                                     | 0.35        | 0.94              | 0.95              | 0.60                 | 0.51                | 0.49              |

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P <0.05, P <0.01, P <0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

Fall-grain data missing on September 2019.

Table S2. 7. ANOVA P-value in repeat measures analysis with crops (spring-grain and perennial-grain) x fertilizer x date effects on Particulate organic matter (POM-C), mineral-associate organic matter (MAOM-C), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentration at the Breton and Edmonton sites.

|                          |           |          | Breton   |          |          |         |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
|                          |           | 0-7.5 cm |          |          | 7.5-15cm |         |
|                          | POM-C     | MAOM-C   | TOC      | POM-C    | MAOM-C   | TOC     |
| Crop                     | 0.13      | 0.87     | 0.48     | 0.98     | 0.88     | 0.9015  |
| Fertilizer               | 0.60      | 0.30     | 0.32     | 0.67     | 0.48     | 0.47    |
| Time                     | 0.92      | 0.48     | 0.88     | < 0.01** | < 0.05*  | < 0.05* |
| Crop : Fertilizer        | 0.30      | 0.30     | 0.62     | 0.24     | 0.97     | 0.74    |
| Crop : Time              | 0.25      | 0.90     | 0.98     | 0.15     | 0.20     | 0.09    |
| Fertilizer : Time        | 0.72      | 0.16     | 0.28     | 0.75     | 0.38     | 0.36    |
| Crop : Fertilizer : Time | 0.18      | < 0.05*  | 0.05     | 0.41     | < 0.05*  | <0.05*  |
|                          |           |          | Edmonton |          |          |         |
|                          |           | 0-7.5 cm |          |          | 7.5-15cm |         |
|                          | POM-C     | MAOM-C   | TOC      | POM-C    | MAOM-C   | TOC     |
| Crop                     | 0.99      | 0.14     | 0.19     | 0.86     | < 0.05*  | < 0.05* |
| Fertilizer               | 0.21      | 0.10     | 0.38     | 0.44     | 0.32     | 0.15    |
| Time                     | 0.03      | 0.89     | 0.69     | 0.90     | < 0.05*  | 0.05    |
| Crop : Fertilizer        | 0.09      | 0.57     | 0.67     | 0.45     | 0.26     | < 0.05* |
| Crop : Time              | 0.77      | 0.92     | 0.97     | 0.18     | 0.16     | 0.27    |
| Fertilizer : Time        | <0.001*** | 0.09     | 0.77     | 0.87     | 0.89     | 0.88    |
| Crop : Fertilizer : Time | 0.08      | 0.16     | 0.88     | 0.95     | 0.16     | 0.08    |

Table S2. 8. ANOVA P-value with crops (spring-grain, fall-grain perennial-grain, perennial-forage) x fertilizer effects on above-biomass C:N (AB-C:N) ratio at the Breton and Edmonton sites.

|                   |       | Bret  | on   |       |            |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------------|
|                   | numDf | DenDf | F-va | lue   | P-value    |
| Crop              |       | 1     | 41   | 41.00 | < 0.001*** |
| Fertilizer        |       | 3     | 41   | 4.05  | 0.05       |
| Crop : Fertilizer |       | 3     | 41   | 0.83  | 0.48       |
|                   |       | Edmo  | nton |       |            |
| Crop              |       | 1     | 50   | 11.64 | < 0.001*** |
| Fertilizer        | 3     | )     | 50   | 1.24  | 0.27       |
| Crop : Fertilizer | 3     | )     | 50   | 0.11  | 0.9480     |

|          | POM-C     | POM-N     | POM-C:N   | POM-CF    | POM-NF    | MAOM-C    | MAOM-N    | MAOM-C:N  | MAOM-CF   | MAOM-NF   | Soil-TOC  | Soil-TN   | Soil-C:N  | Root-C    | Root-N    | Root-C:N  | AB-C      | AB-N      |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| POM-N    | 0.768***  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| POM-C:N  | 0.361 NS  | -0.250 NS |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| POM-CF   | 0.736*    | 0.589***  | 0.225 NS  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| POM-NF   | 0.364*    | 0.632*    | -0.400 NS | 0.700***  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| MAOM-C   | -0.243 NS | -0.146 NS | -0.071 NS | -0.796 NS | -0.668 NS |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| MAOM-N   | 0.054*    | -0.196 NS | 0.457**   | -0.439 NS | -0.854*   | 0.711***  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| MAOM-C:N | -0.443 NS | -0.057 NS | -0.568**  | -0.368*   | 0.321 NS  | 0.196 NS  | -0.504*   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| MAOM-CF  | -0.736*** | -0.589*** | -0.225 NS | -1.000*** | -0.700*** | 0.796 NS  | 0.439 NS  | 0.368*    |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| MAOM-NF  | -0.364*   | -0.632*** | 0.400 NS  | -0.700*** | -1.000*** | 0.668 NS  | 0.854*    | -0.321 NS | 0.700***  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Soil-TOC | 0.029***  | 0.129**   | -0.061 NS | -0.607 NS | -0.521 NS | 0.925***  | 0.686***  | 0.136 NS  | 0.607 NS  | 0.521 NS  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Soil-TN  | 0.246***  | 0.043**   | 0.400*    | -0.321 NS | -0.711 NS | 0.700***  | 0.954***  | -0.486*   | 0.321 NS  | 0.711 NS  | 0.754***  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Soil-C:N | -0.264 NS | 0.104 NS  | -0.500*   | -0.196 NS | 0.461 NS  | 0.086 NS  | -0.575*   | 0.968***  | 0.196 NS  | -0.461 NS | 0.096 NS  | -0.521 NS |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Root-C   | 0.064 NS  | 0.218 NS  | -0.164 NS | 0.250 NS  | 0.564 NS  | -0.339 NS | -0.579*   | 0.389 NS  | -0.250 NS | -0.564 NS | -0.225 NS | -0.471*   | 0.504 NS  |           |           |           |           |           |
| Root-N   | -0.054 NS | -0.061 NS | 0.111 NS  | 0.146 NS  | 0.214 NS  | -0.286 NS | -0.264 NS | 0.079 NS  | -0.146 NS | -0.214 NS | -0.229 NS | -0.218 NS | 0.150 NS  | 0.857***  |           |           |           |           |
| Root-C:N | 0.121 NS  | -0.229 NS | 0.543***  | 0.114 NS  | -0.454 NS | -0.007 NS | 0.543**   | -0.646*** | -0.114 NS | 0.454 NS  | 0.054 NS  | 0.450*    | -0.643*** | -0.271 NS | 0.068 NS  |           |           |           |
| AB-C     | -0.050 NS | 0.171 NS  | -0.354 NS | 0.021 NS  | 0.486*    | -0.143 NS | -0.604*   | 0.550**   | -0.021 NS | -0.486*   | -0.182 NS | -0.607*   | 0.614***  | 0.311 NS  | -0.114 NS | -0.746*** |           |           |
| AB-N     | -0.182 NS | 0.075 NS  | -0.386 NS | -0.054 NS | 0.464 NS  | -0.161 NS | -0.625*   | 0.632***  | 0.054 NS  | -0.464 NS | -0.189 NS | -0.661*   | 0.696***  | 0.325 NS  | -0.054 NS | -0.718*** | 0.946***  |           |
| AB-C:N   | 0.529*    | 0.246 NS  | 0.314 NS  | 0.296*    | -0.050 NS | 0.079 NS  | 0.207 NS  | -0.346 NS | -0.296 NS | 0.050 NS  | 0.054 NS  | 0.289 NS  | -0.350 NS | -0.196 NS | -0.232 NS | 0.075 NS  | -0.054 NS | -0.329 NS |

Table S2. 9. Spearman correlation coefficient for association to soil properties in Breton.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

|          | POM-C     | POM-N     | POM-C:N   | POM-CF    | POM-NF    | MAOM-C   | MAOM-N   | MAOM-C:N  | MAOM-CF  | MAOM-NF | Soil-TOC | Soil-TN | Soil-C:N  |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|
| POM-N    | 0.955***  |           |           |           |           |          |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| POM-C:N  | -0.228    | -0.406    |           |           |           |          |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| POM-CF   | 0.732***  | 0.806***  | -0.307    |           |           |          |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| POM-NF   | 0.692***  | 0.765***  | -0.311    | 0.990***  |           |          |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| MAOM-C   | 0.302     | 0.187     | 0.141     | -0.375    | -0.410    |          |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| MAOM-N   | 0.317     | 0.218     | -0.013    | -0.364    | -0.408    | 0.967*   |          |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| MAOM-C:N | -0.205    | -0.331    | 0.705***  | -0.108    | -0.071    | -0.071   | -0.263   |           |          |         |          |         |           |
| MAOM-CF  | -0.732*** | -0.806*** | 0.307     | -1.000*** | -0.990*** | 0.375    | 0.364    | 0.108     |          |         |          |         |           |
| MAOM-NF  | -0.692*** | -0.765*** | 0.311     | -0.990*** | -1.000*** | 0.410    | 0.408    | 0.071     | 0.990*** |         |          |         |           |
| Soil-TOC | 0.666**   | 0.544**   | 0.073     | 0.026     | -0.020    | 0.895*** | 0.864*** | -0.065    | -0.026   | 0.020   |          |         |           |
| Soil-TN  | 0.591**   | 0.525**   | -0.152    | -0.042    | -0.092    | 0.891*** | 0.938*** | -0.370    | 0.042    | 0.092   | 0.928*** |         |           |
| Soil-C:N | -0.088    | -0.226    | 0.796***  | 0.051     | 0.088     | -0.125   | -0.329   | 0.938***  | -0.051   | -0.088  | -0.055   | -0.381  |           |
| Root-C   | -0.263    | -0.381    | 0.191     | -0.280    | -0.251    | -0.084   | -0.135   | 0.474**   | 0.280    | 0.251   | -0.094   | -0.257  | 0.360***  |
| Root-N   | -0.401    | -0.366    | -0.352    | -0.389    | -0.375    | -0.069   | 0.001    | -0.094    | 0.389    | 0.375   | -0.197   | -0.129  | -0.278    |
| Root-C:N | -0.133    | -0.187    | 0.519     | 0.007     | -0.020    | -0.082   | -0.150   | 0.432     | -0.007   | 0.020   | -0.088   | -0.203  | 0.480     |
| AB-C     | 0.602**   | 0.680***  | -0.311    | 0.395     | 0.381     | 0.309    | 0.321    | -0.346    | -0.395   | -0.381  | 0.488*   | 0.521** | -0.271    |
| AB-N     | 0.373     | 0.218     | 0.523     | 0.121     | 0.143     | 0.311    | 0.156    | 0.558**   | -0.121   | -0.143  | 0.486    | 0.216   | 0.637**   |
| AB-C:N   | 0.125     | 0.300     | -0.730*** | 0.156     | 0.110     | -0.015   | 0.158    | -0.831*** | -0.156   | -0.110  | -0.057   | 0.247   | -0.849*** |

Table S2. 10. Spearman correlation coefficient for association to soil properties in Edmonton.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC; Total organic matter; C, Carbon; N, Nitrogen CF, Carbon fraction; NF, Nitrogen fraction. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* shows significance correlation at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively. NS means non-significance between variables.

| Root-C Root-N Root-C:N AB-C AB-N |
|----------------------------------|
|----------------------------------|

| 0. | 688*** |  |
|----|--------|--|
|----|--------|--|

| -0.137  | -0.484 |        |        |          |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|
| -0.323  | -0.137 | -0.445 |        |          |
| 0.352   | -0.207 | 0.011  | 0.360* |          |
| -0.509* | 0.112  | -0.366 | 0.300  | -0.723** |



Fig. S2. 1. Spearman correlation analyses of the Breton soils at 0-15 cm depth increment. Distribution of variables shows bar graph, correlation coefficient is noted on right panels of diagonal, and paired correlation represents on left panels of diagonal. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate significance of less than 0.05,0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC, total organic matter; AB, above biomass; C, carbon; N, nitrogen.



Fig. S2. 2. Spearman correlation analyses of the Edmonton soils at 0-15 cm depth increment. Distribution of variables shows bar graph, correlation coefficient is noted on right panels of diagonal, and paired correlation represents on left panels of diagonal. \*, \*\*, and \*\*\* indicate significance of less than 0.05,0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

Note: POM stands for particulate organic matter; MAOM, mineral-associate organic matter; TOC, total organic matter; AB, above biomass; C, carbon; N, nitrogen.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

# CHAPTER 3. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Community Linkages to Soil Nutrient Availability across Contrasting Agroecosystems.

Keunbae Kim<sup>1</sup>,

Patrick Neuberger<sup>2</sup>,

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>,

Monika Gorzelak<sup>2</sup>,

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, 454 Earth Science building, University of Alberta, Edmonton,

Alberta, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

\*Corresponding Author: ghernand@ualberta.ca

| Treatment  |           | AC              | VC              | HC               |
|------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
|            |           | Breton          |                 |                  |
| Crop       | Spring    | $0.37 \pm 0.26$ | 6.25±1.53       | $38.00 \pm 4.00$ |
|            | Fall      | $0.37 \pm 0.26$ | 6.87±1.34       | 31.62±1.46       |
|            |           | 1               | 0.74            | 0.19             |
| Fertilizer | noN       | 0.62±0.32       | 7.35±1.30       | 34.62±2.78       |
|            | withN     | $0.12 \pm 0.12$ | $5.75 \pm 1.50$ | 35.00±3.64       |
|            |           | 0.15            | 0.40            | 0.89             |
|            |           | Edmonton        |                 |                  |
| Crop       | Spring    | -               | $0.62 \pm 0.49$ | 6.37±1.67        |
|            | Fall      | -               | $0.12 \pm 0.12$ | $5.25 \pm 0.55$  |
|            | Perennial |                 | $0.12 \pm 0.12$ | $6.62 \pm 0.84$  |
|            |           | -               | 0.39            | 0.57             |
| Fertilizer | noN       | -               | 0.25±0.13       | 5.83±0.85        |
|            | withN     | -               | 0.33±0.33       | 6.33±0.97        |
|            |           | -               | 0.80            | 0.66             |

Table S3. 1. Mean and standard errors (n=4) for arbuscular colonization (AC), vesicle colonization (VC), and hyphal colonization (HC). Italic number means P-value.

|            |                  | Breton  |         | Edmonton |         |
|------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Parameter  | Treatment        | F-value | P-value | F-value  | P-value |
| Chao1      | Crop             | 1.01    | 0.40    | 0.54     | 0.64    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 0.06    | 0.79    | 0.11     | 0.73    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.19    | 0.89    | 0.45     | 0.71    |
| Evenness   | Crop             | 2.29    | 0.10    | 0.37     | 0.77    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 2.02    | 0.16    | 1.24     | 0.27    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.12    | 0.94    | 0.43     | 0.72    |
| Shannon    | Crop             | 2.27    | 0.10    | 3.38     | < 0.05  |
|            | Fertilizer       | 1.68    | 0.20    | 1.02     | 0.32    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.09    | 0.96    | 1.69     | 0.19    |
| InvSimpson | Crop             | 2.74    | 0.06    | 4.47     | < 0.05  |
| -          | Fertilizer       | 3.54    | 0.07    | 4.91     | < 0.05  |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.45    | 0.71    | 2.21     | 0.11    |

Table S3. 2. Alpha diversity in soil samples across the crop  $\times$  fertilizer effects with two-way ANOVA.

Number of degree freedom (NumDF) is [Crop = 3, Fertilizer = 1, Crop: Fertilizer = 3]. Denominator of Degree of freedom (denDF) is 21 and 20, in Breton and Edmonton, respectively. Fallow was excluded to meet the statistical assumptions under Two-way ANOVA.

|            |                  | Breton  |         | Edmonton |         |
|------------|------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| Parameter  | Treatment        | F-value | P-value | F-value  | P-value |
| Chao1      | Crop             | 0.02    | 0.88    | 1.09     | 0.35    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 0.09    | 0.76    | 0.04     | 0.82    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 3.12    | 0.11    | 3.45     | 0.05    |
| Evenness   | Crop             | 0.74    | 0.44    | 2.07     | 0.15    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 1.48    | 0.25    | 0.05     | 0.82    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.10    | 0.75    | 1.74     | 0.20    |
| Shannon    | Crop             | 0.70    | 0.42    | 2.41     | 0.11    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 1.73    | 0.22    | 0.04     | 0.84    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.35    | 0.56    | 1.49     | 0.25    |
| InvSimpson | Crop             | 0.62    | 0.45    | 2.48     | 0.11    |
|            | Fertilizer       | 3.33    | 0.10    | 0.14     | 0.70    |
|            | Crop: Fertilizer | 0.10    | 0.75    | 1.75     | 0.20    |

Table S3. 3. Alpha diversity in roots samples across the crop × fertilizer effects with two-way ANOVA

Number of degree freedom (NumDF) is [Crop = 1, Fertilizer = 1, Crop: Fertilizer = 1] and [Crop = 2, Fertilizer = 1, Crop: Fertilizer = 2] in Breton and Edmonton, respectively.

Denominator of Degree of freedom (denDF) is 8 and 15, in Breton and Edmonton, respectively Fallow was excluded to meet the statistical assumptions under Two-way ANOVA.

223

|                   |         | Breton         |                |          | Edmonton       | 1        |
|-------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| Treatment         | F.model | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | Pr(>F)         | F.model  | $\mathbb{R}^2$ | Pr(>F)   |
|                   |         |                | Soil           | ·        |                |          |
| Crop              | 1.961   | 0.174          | 0.027*         | 1.540    | 0.137          | 0.089    |
| Fertilizer        | 0.997   | 0.029          | 0.392          | 0.776    | 0.023          | 0.585    |
| Crop : Fertilizer | 0.530   | 0.049          | 0.946          | 1.425    | 0.127          | 0.126    |
|                   |         |                | Roots          | <b>i</b> |                |          |
| Crop              | 0.235   | 0.017          | 0.946          | 2.287    | 0.182          | 0.035*   |
| Fertilizer        | 0.952   | 0.072          | 0.397          | 0.436    | 0.017          | 0.883    |
| Crop : Fertilizer | 0.894   | 0.068          | 0.413          | 0.496    | 0.041          | 0.890    |
|                   |         |                | Soil vs. roots | <b>k</b> |                |          |
| Compartment       | 4.257   | 0.128          | 0.005**        | 8.962    | 0.163          | 0.001*** |

Table S3. 4. PERMANOVA across combination cropping systems (crop × N fertilizer) and rhizosphere compartments (soil vs roots).

Significance codes: P<0.05, \*; P<0.01, \*\*; P<0.001, \*\*\*.

To avoid type 1 errors caused by unbalance design, the fallow plots were precluded.

The data follows the assumption of homogenous dispersion among the groups

|                  | Fallow | Spring-grain    | Fall-grain                       | Perennial-grain |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                  |        | Breton-Soil (F= | Breton-Soil (F=2.644, P<0.004**) |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Spring-grain     | 0.25   | -               | -                                | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Fall-grain       | 0.11   | 0.99            | -                                | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial-grain  | 0.03   | 0.15            | 0.12                             | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial-forage | 0.03   | 0.03            | 0.04                             | 0.15            |  |  |  |  |
|                  |        | Breton-Roots (H | F=0.236, P>0.956)                |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Fall-grain       |        | 0.96            |                                  |                 |  |  |  |  |
|                  |        | Edmonton-Soil   | (F=1.506, P<0.08)                | !)              |  |  |  |  |
| Spring-grain     | 0.27   | -               | -                                | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Fall-grain       | 0.29   | 0.27            | -                                | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial-grain  | 0.27   | 0.95            | 0.27                             | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial-forage | 0.37   | 0.29            | 0.27                             | 0.29            |  |  |  |  |
|                  |        | Edmonton-Root   | s (F=2.350, P<0.0                | 36*)            |  |  |  |  |
| Fall-grain       |        | 0.036           | ι.                               | ,               |  |  |  |  |
| Perennial-grain  |        | 0.303           | 0.243                            |                 |  |  |  |  |

Table S3. 5. Pairwise community composition comparison between crops in soil samples based on PERMANOVA. P value adjusted by false discovery rate (FDR).

Significance codes: P<0.05, \*; P<0.01, \*\*; P<0.001, \*\*\*.

| Genus           | logFC                   | logCPM          | LR            | P-value        | FDR      |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
|                 | Fertilizer effe         | ects in soil co | ompartment    |                |          |
| Acaulospora     | 2.642836                | 9.580914        | 2.166499      | 0.141047       | 0.919298 |
| Ambispora       | -0.55699                | 11.17158        | 0.546749      | 0.459649       | 0.919298 |
| Archaeospora    | 0.182                   | 15.0181         | 0.104635      | 0.746336       | 0.943827 |
| Claroideoglomus | 0.071661                | 13.89618        | 0.048413      | 0.825849       | 0.943827 |
| Diversispora    | -1.53564                | 11.92309        | 0.685517      | 0.407694       | 0.919298 |
| Glomus          | -0.20803                | 14.06627        | 0.164056      | 0.68545        | 0.943827 |
| Paraglomus      | -0.11856                | 19.87957        | 0.593174      | 0.441194       | 0.919298 |
| Scutellospora   | -0.04287                | 10.7842         | 0.001414      | 0.970005       | 0.970005 |
| Croppi          | ing effects in root con | mpartment (     | spring-grain  | vs fall-grain) |          |
| Acaulospora     | 0.682645                | 6.335879        | 1.181428      | 0.277065       | 0.627046 |
| Ambispora       | 0.567558                | 11.55047        | 0.490939      | 0.483509       | 0.627046 |
| Archaeospora    | 0.41255                 | 14.93505        | 0.786322      | 0.375215       | 0.627046 |
| Claroideoglomus | 0.136911                | 13.89087        | 0.136253      | 0.712035       | 0.712035 |
| Diversispora    | -0.68932                | 10.37202        | 0.460876      | 0.497215       | 0.627046 |
| Glomus          | 0.863056                | 14.20747        | 3.981907      | 0.045991       | 0.367932 |
| Paraglomus      | -0.19049                | 20.55112        | 0.614587      | 0.433066       | 0.627046 |
| Scutellospora   | -0.43416                | 9.250149        | 0.359714      | 0.548665       | 0.627046 |
|                 | Fertilizer effe         | cts in roots c  | ompartment    |                |          |
| Acaulospora     | 1.262728                | 6.335795        | 0.711058      | 0.399093       | 0.456106 |
| Ambispora       | 1.601079                | 11.55023        | 1.496333      | 0.221237       | 0.456106 |
| Archaeospora    | 1.939533                | 14.93503        | 8.142036      | 0.004325       | < 0.05*  |
| Claroideoglomus | -0.64372                | 13.89092        | 0.933912      | 0.333848       | 0.456106 |
| Diversispora    | -2.46323                | 10.37145        | 1.277358      | 0.258391       | 0.456106 |
| Glomus          | -0.7862                 | 14.20747        | 0.879209      | 0.348418       | 0.456106 |
| Paraglomus      | 0.726677                | 20.55111        | 2.232146      | 0.135166       | 0.456106 |
| Scutellospora   | 1.600482                | 9.251566        | 0.496681      | 0.480962       | 0.480962 |
|                 | Compartment             | (soil vs. root  | s) difference |                |          |
| Acaulospora     | -1.02122                | 7.690594        | 2.900783      | 0.088537       | 0.708292 |
| Ambispora       | -0.3002                 | 11.59216        | 0.714501      | 0.397954       | 0.944815 |
| Archaeospora    | 0.02467                 | 14.82294        | 0.021331      | 0.883882       | 0.944815 |
| Claroideoglomus | 0.055868                | 13.90856        | 0.109388      | 0.740843       | 0.944815 |
| Diversispora    | 0.03485                 | 11.28372        | 0.004791      | 0.944815       | 0.944815 |
| Glomus          | 0.02727                 | 14.06679        | 0.008401      | 0.926972       | 0.944815 |
| Paraglomus      | 0.086244                | 20.30451        | 0.354503      | 0.551575       | 0.944815 |
| Scutellospora   | -0.78476                | 10.41876        | 1.801069      | 0.179583       | 0.718333 |

Table S3. 6. Differential abundance in genus rank impacted by treatments (crop  $\times$  fertilizer  $\times$  compartment) in Breton.

Note: LogFC designates log fold change; LogCPM, log counts per million; LR, likelihood ratio; FDR, false discovery rate.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* show statistical significance at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively.

| Genus  | logFC                                  | logCPM                       | LR              | P-value     | FDR        |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|--|
|  | Fortilizer effects in soil compartment |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| Acaulospora  | -1 77E-16                              | 7 575127                     | 0               | 1           | 1          |  |
| Ambispora  | 1.765907                               | 9.322814                     | 0.493007        | 0.482589    | 0.721545   |  |
| Archaeospora   | -0.66958                               | 17.58572                     | 1.308163        | 0.252727    | 0.721545   |  |
| Claroideoglomus  | 1 518271                               | 16 87893                     | 5 928463        | 0.014898    | 0 119186   |  |
| Diversispora   | -1 77E-16                              | 7 575127                     | 0               | 1           | 1          |  |
| Glomus   | -0.585                                 | 14 24991                     | 0 373396        | 0 541159    | 0 721545   |  |
| Paraglomus   | 0.505                                  | 22 50767                     | 0.955109        | 0.328422    | 0.721545   |  |
| Scutellospora  | -1 46681                               | 12 75278                     | 0 734741        | 0.320122    | 0 721545   |  |
| Cropping effects in root compartment (spring-grain vs fall-grain)  |  |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| Acaulospora  | 8.21E-17                               | 7.194283                     | 0               | 1           | 1          |  |
| Ambispora  | 1.970229                               | 9.556909                     | 1.022976        | 0.311814    | 0.825811   |  |
| Archaeospora   | 0.174634                               | 14.35988                     | 0.109443        | 0.740779    | 0.987706   |  |
| Claroideoglomus  | 0.315126                               | 14 83909                     | 0.696158        | 0 404078    | 0.825811   |  |
| Diversispora   | 8 21E-17                               | 7 194283                     | 0.090190        | 1           | 1          |  |
| Glomus   | 0.2112 17                              | 13 58865                     | 0 670419        | 0 412905    | 0.825811   |  |
| Paraglomus   | -0.29125                               | 20 21928                     | 1 353077        | 0.412903    | 0.825811   |  |
| Scutellospora  | 0.412786                               | 10 60659                     | 0 200567        | 0.654264    | 0.025011   |  |
| Cronning effects in root compartment (spring grain us perennial grain)   |  |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| $\frac{1}{2}$  |  |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| Ambienora  | 1 155856                               | 8 315646                     | 0 275063        | 0 500056    | 1          |  |
| Anolspora  | 0.220081                               | 1/ 21/18                     | 0.275005        | 0.399950    | 1          |  |
| Claroideoglomus  | 0.220081                               | 15 23821                     | 2 135150        | 0.702008    | 1          |  |
| Diversispore   | 7 72E 17                               | 7 202050                     | 2.135159        | 0.143930    | 1          |  |
| Glomus   | -/./2D-1/                              | 11 0/201                     | 0.004730        | 0.045114    | 1          |  |
| Diollius   | -0.0820                                | 11.94321                     | 0.004/39        | 0.943114    | 1          |  |
| Paragionnus  | -0.0401                                | 19.04030                     | 0.511538        | 0.370848    | 1          |  |
| $\frac{-0.997/21}{(0.999)08} = \frac{-0.997/21}{(0.999)08} = \frac{-0.997/21}{(0.997)08} = \frac{-0.997/21}{(0.$ |  |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| Aquilacmana  | Cropping effects in rooi c             | 0mparimeni (Jaii<br>7 084225 | -gruin vs peren | niai-grain) | 1          |  |
| Acaulospora  | -0.191-17                              | 0.755413                     | 0 46463         | 0 405468    | 0 734064   |  |
| Amolispora   | 0.005552                               | 9.733413                     | 0.40403         | 0.493408    | 0.734904   |  |
| Claraidaaglamus  | 0.213009                               | 14.19462                     | 0.333131        | 0.531225    | 0.734904   |  |
| Diversionere   | -0.23097<br>6 10E 17                   | 13.07349                     | 0.382398        | 0.330210    | 0./34904   |  |
| Clamus   | -0.19E-17                              | 12 22161                     | 2 625222        | 0 105171    | 0 20510    |  |
| Dana alamana   | 0.91/303                               | 15.52101                     | 2.023323        | 0.1031/1    | 0.26518    |  |
| Paragiomus   | -0.5528/                               | 20.40849                     | 2.398832        | 0.106942    | 0.28518    |  |
| Scutenospora   | 1.3328/4<br>E                          | 9.//0214                     | 5.220577        | 0.072727    | 0.28318    |  |
| <i>Fertilizer effects in roots compartment</i>   |  |                              |                 |             |            |  |
| Acaulospora  | -2.88E-1/                              | /.189/8/                     | 0.04(724        | 1           | 1          |  |
| Ambispora  | -0.60002                               | 9.670269                     | 0.046/34        | 0.828847    | 1          |  |
| Archaeospora   | 0.378928                               | 14.39363                     | 0.1/8546        | 0.672625    | 1          |  |
| Claroideoglomus  | -0.02/2                                | 15.2656                      | 0.001841        | 0.965//9    | l          |  |
| Diversispora   | -2.88E-1/                              | /.189/8/                     | 0               | 0.010551    | l          |  |
| Glomus   | 0.31/163                               | 13.35807                     | 0.05/463        | 0.810551    | l          |  |
| Paraglomus   | 0.006089                               | 19.8383                      | 0.002905        | 0.957016    | l          |  |
| Scutellospora  | 0.115984                               | 10.86432                     | 0.002759        | 0.958108    | 1          |  |
|  | Compartm                               | ent (soil vs. roots          | s) difference   |             | -          |  |
| Acaulospora  | -9.57E-17                              | 7.202749                     | 0               | 1           | 1          |  |
| Ambispora  | 0.006309                               | 9.713074                     | 0.000285        | 0.986535    | 1          |  |
| Archaeospora   | -1.68878                               | 17.1707                      | 43.03483        | 5.38E-11    | < 0.001*** |  |
| Claroideoglomus  | -0.54974                               | 15.56886                     | 8.645709        | 0.003278    | <0.05*     |  |
| Diversispora   | -9.57E-17                              | 7.202749                     | 0               | 1           | 1          |  |
| Glomus   | -0.52825                               | 13.4875                      | 1.652242        | 0.198654    | 0.397308   |  |
| Paraglomus   | 0.298097                               | 20.66507                     | 3.852521        | 0.049671    | 0.132457   |  |
| Scutellospora  | -0.12422                               | 11.99503                     | 0.062296        | 0.802904    | 1          |  |

Table S3. 7. Differential abundance at genus level analyzed by treatments (crop  $\times$  fertilizer  $\times$  compartment) at Edmonton sites.

Note: LogFC designates log fold change; LogCPM, log counts per million; LR, likelihood ratio; FDR, false discovery rate.

\*, \*\*, and \*\*\* show statistical significance at P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001, respectively.



Fig. S3. 1. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) alpha diversity including Chao1 richness, Peilou's Evenness, Shannon diversity and inverse Simpson diversity across cropping systems at (A) Breton and (B) Edmonton sites.

Fertilizer - noN - withN



Fig. S3. 2. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inverse Simpson diversity in soil samples at Edmonton site (P < 0.05).



Fig. S3. 3. Soil properties (A) particulate organic matter carbon (POM-C), (B) ammonium concentration ( $NH_4^+$ ; NH4-N), and (C) nitrate concentration ( $NO_3^-$ ; NO3-N) across grain-cropping systems at both sites. Bar indicates standard error. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) *P* value of POM-C, NH4-N and NO3-N were less than 0.10, 0.01, and 0.001at the Breton site, and were 0.70, and less than 0.001, 0.01. In other word, all variables have significant difference corresponding to cropping systems expect to POM-C at the Edmonton site.



Fig. S3. 4. CCA of AMF taxonomic community composition among soil (A) and root (B) sample in two sites of contrasting historical land use agricultural field, RDA of AMF taxonomic community composition among Breton [soil (C), root (D)] and Edmonton [soil (E), root (F)] in current contrasting cropping systems (fallow, spring-grain, fall-grain perennial grain, and perennial-forage). Arrows in CCA and RDA graph represent significant fitted vectors.

| Graph | Fitted vector      | F-value | P-value    |
|-------|--------------------|---------|------------|
| (A)   | POM-C              | 8.4853  | <0.001***  |
| (B)   | POM-C              | 23.196  | < 0.001*** |
| (C)   | NO <sub>3</sub> -N | 1.6781  | <0.05*     |
| (D)   | POM-C              | 1.3966  | < 0.1      |
| (E)   | NH4-N              | 1.7130  | < 0.05*    |

\*, \*\* and \*\*\* are significance at alpha critical levels <0.05, < 0.01 and <0.001, respectively.



Fig. S3. 5. Proportional compositions of AMF communities in phylum level at the Breton and Edmonton sites.



Fig. S3. 6. Proportional composition of AMF root and soil communities. Panel indicates proportional sequence abundance at site. Legend shows phylogenetic level to identified AMF genus.


Fig. S3. 7. Heatmap of differential AMF genus across different cropping systems (F, Fallow; SG, spring-grain; FG, fall-grain; PG, perennial-grain; PF, perennial-forage). Left panel (A) represents Breton AMF soil samples, while right panel (B) shows Edmonton AMF soil sample. The log2 fold change between treatments is indicated by a color gradient. \*, \*\* and \*\*\* are false discovery rate (FDR) at alpha critical levels <0.05, <0.01 and <0.001, respectively.



Fig. S3. 8. The heatmap of the correlation between AMF genus rank and physiochemical characteristics of (A) two site and (B) compartments in Breton and (C) compartments in Edmonton. Spearman's correlation analysis was used for creating the heatmap. Positive correlation is shown in red, whilst negative correlation is shown in blue. The asterisks mean significant correlations (\*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

## CHAPTER 4. Carbon and Water Dynamics of a Perennial Grain Crop in Temperate Agroecosystems

Keunbae Kim<sup>1</sup>

Erin J. Daly<sup>1</sup>

Thomas K. Flesch<sup>2</sup>

Trevor W. Coates<sup>3</sup>

Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Renewable Resource, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Earth and Atmospheric Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

|                      | 2018             |                  | 2019             |            |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| Methodology          | Rating1†         | Rating2¶         | Rating1          | Rating2    |
| Perennial grain crop | 6.65±0.29 a      | 68.37±2.65 a     | 3.20±0.44        | 52.00±4.89 |
| Annual grain crop    | 8.90±0.06 b      | 97.88±0.82 b     | Non-lodging (NI) | NI         |
| <i>P</i> -value      | <i>P</i> < 0.001 | <i>P</i> < 0.001 |                  |            |

Table S4. 1. Crop canopy lodging indices averaged from randomly collected measurements at pre-harvest (n=10).

† Scales from 0 to 9 (1= erect, 9= flat) on all replicates, lodging rating was evaluated when soil moisture content is less than 30 %.

¶ Berry et al., 2003. Methods for rapidly measuring the lodging resistance of cultivars.



Fig. S4. 1. University of Alberta Breton Research Plot configuration, red dots indicate the field locations of the eddy covariance systems within each 4-ha field.



Fig. S4. 2. Diel net ecosystems exchange (NEE) fingerprint for annual vs. perennial grain cropping systems for two growing seasons before gap-filling.



Fig. S4. 3. Monthly net ecosystems exchange (NEE) diel cycles in annual plot. Left panels (A) indicates original dataset while right panels (B) are final dataset after filtering and gap filling based on u-star scenario. Red lines are for 2018, while green lines are for 2019.



Fig. S4. 4. Monthly net ecosystems exchange (NEE) diel cycles in perennial plot. Left panels (A) indicate original dataset while right panels (B) are final dataset after filtering and gap filling based on ustar scenario. Red lines are for 2018, while green lines are for 2019