# Identifying historical climate-growth limitations of white spruce (*Picea glauca*) populations across North America

by

Ashley Hynes

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Forest Biology and Management

Department of Renewable Resources University of Alberta

© Ashley Hynes, 2020

## Abstract

Climate change may cause reduced forest productivity and higher tree mortality due to water deficits that result from increased evapotranspiration. Such limitations may occur in some areas of the North American boreal forest, where precipitation is low and warming trends are high. This thesis analyzes climatic factors that limit the growth of a commercially important and widespread boreal tree species, white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss), based on a range-wide dendroclimatological analysis of 9795 trees from 227 sample sites across the North American boreal forest. A bootstrapped response function analysis was conducted for monthly temperature and precipitation variables, and a multivariate regression tree analysis was used to group white spruce populations with similar response coefficients, where climatic factors explained 46% of the total variance in response coefficients. The results of this study shows that white spruce populations in the west-central boreal forest of North America are the most precipitation-limited group and therefore likely to be most susceptible to climate change. Populations both to the north and south of this group appear less vulnerable, and eastern populations generally do not experience growth-limiting moisture deficits. Given regional climate change projections, it is possible that declines of white spruce in the southwest and west-central boreal forest may be inevitable.

## Preface

A version of this thesis has been published as "Hynes, A., Hamann, A. 2020. Moisture deficits limit growth of white spruce in the west-central boreal forest of North America. *Forest Ecology and Management* **461**: 117944. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117944</u>". The study was conceived and designed by myself and AH. I assembled the database and conducted the analysis with input from AH. I wrote the first draft of the paper and AH contributed to editing the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to take an opportunity to thank multiple people in my life and acknowledge that completing this thesis would not have been possible without their continued support and encouragement. From mentors and peers at the university to my home life, I am extremely grateful to be surrounded by such uplifting and inspiring individuals.

To my supervisor, Dr. Andreas Hamann, thank you for giving me the chance to explore my scientific curiosity in your lab. From the very beginning, you were instrumental in ensuring that I had the tools and mentorship required to craft a successful research project, complete deadlines, and build my professional career. You have all the traits that make a patient, talented, and supportive supervisor and it was a pleasure being part of your lab.

To my fellow SIS lab members, I do not think I am biased when I say that you were the best group of people to learn among. There is so much talent in this lab, yet senior members never hesitated to lend a hand to stumbling new recruits like myself. I want to especially thank Vinicius, Sang, Dante, and Elizabeth for your technical support and friendly banter.

I want to thank my family and friends for their love and support every step of the way. To my parents, thank you for sitting through various presentation rehearsals and offering words of encouragement. It meant a lot to me, even if it seemed like a small gesture. To my younger sister Brittany, thank you for the carpools, nerdy conversations, and the seemingly never-ending calculus study sessions. You are lovely and I am excited to read your own thesis soon. To my partner Austin, it is almost impossible to put into words how grateful I am for you and your support. You were an anchor throughout these last few years, and I could not have had the same experience without you. Thank you endlessly for showing up for me and making this a shared adventure in life.

Thank you to my committee, Mr. John Acorn and Dr. Charles Nock, for agreeing to virtually participate in my thesis defense from across the world. You are both tremendous scholars and people by being so encouraging and supportive. Thank you to my co-supervisor Dr. Seifert at the University of Freiburg and the crew at the Canadian Forest Service for sharing your data. I wish to also thank the various scholarship committees and donors that provided me with the financial means to continue this program abroad.

My life is undoubtedly better since having met all of you, and I wish you all the very best in life.

# **Table of Contents**

1. Literature Review
2.1. The development of dendroclimatological analyses
2.2. White spruce as a dendroclimatological candidate
2.3. Dendrochronological applications and limitations
2. Methods
<i>3.1. Climate data</i>
3.2. Tree-ring data12
3.3. Analysis of climate-growth associations
3.4. Constrained clustering of chronologies14
3. Results
4.1. Grouping of chronology sites15
4.2. Climate of chronology groups18
4.3. Drought limited populations
4.4. Temperature limited populations
4. Discussion
5.1. Populations vulnerable to climate change
5.3. Applications and limitations
5. Conclusion
References
Appendix

#### **List of Tables**

**Table 4.** Observed climate change expressed as the difference between the 1961-1990 climate normal period and a recent 15-year climate average (2001-2015), and projected climate change for the 2050s relative to the 1961-1990 normal (mean and range). The future predictions are based on 15 models of the CMIP5 multi-model dataset for the RCP 4.5 scenarios that were chosen for high validation statistics and for representing all major clusters of similar AOGCMs (Knutti *et al.*, 2013). Variables are: MAT, change mean annual temperature (°C); MAP, change in mean annual precipitation (mm); and CMD, change in climate moisture deficit (mm)....... 31

#### **List of Figures**

**Figure 4**. Average response coefficients for each chronology group, where negative or positive responses to precipitation and temperature are displayed for the previous, dormant, and current growing period. An asterisk denotes where more than 10% of individual chronologies showed a statistically significant growth response. Note that the y-axis scales differ among regions...... 29

#### 1. Introduction

Northern forest ecosystems play a vital role in carbon sequestration and are a globally important carbon sink (Reinmann *et al.*, 2019). The ability of northern forests to regulate other natural cycles such as water cycles and climatic feedback loops makes them a crucial terrestrial ecosystem for preserving the ecological functions that leave way for many of the forest products and services we rely on in society. An increasingly important concern in particular is that northern forests will continue to experience hotter, drier conditions as climate change is anticipated to bring with it more frequent and intense climatic events. Studying and quantifying genetic adaptation to current and projected climatic conditions is imperative in order for forest managers to understand how species might respond to environmental stress (Hornoy *et al.*, 2015). Recent IPCC reports have indicated that regions in northern latitudes are experiencing more rapid warming than their southern counterparts, creating the impetus to study how climatic changes might affect northern tree species throughout their entire range (IPCC, 2014).

In the simplest of terms, in order for sustained and successful primary productivity to occur, plants require access to three biological factors: sunlight, water, and carbon dioxide (Landsberg and Sands, 2010). Though this seems easy enough to achieve, even with these three basic components satisfied, many additional nuanced and dynamic influences are at play that dictate how quickly a forest stand grows, which tree species thrive in certain areas, and how they respond to ongoing environmental changes. These additional parameters range from smaller sitespecific conditions such as soil fertility, medium-scale stand dynamics such as inter-andintraspecies competition, and larger-scaled regional influences such as gene flow and genetic adaptations to climate (Boisvenue and Running, 2006).

1

As this study focuses on how global climate change could affect the boreal forest across North America, a vast continental-scale forest that spans many ecological biomes, it is important to acknowledge that impacts on forest growth will inevitably include both regional and site-specific influences (Wiken, 1986). Specifically, growth-limiting climatic variables that directly influence annual tree growth will vary considerably over large spatial scales where climate change patterns are also anticipated to vary (Boisvenue and Running, 2006). A report conducted by Price *et al.* (2013) suggests that Aspen Parkland and Boreal Plains biomes could expect up to a 4.5°C increase in mean daily temperature during the growing season while northern biomes such as the Boreal Shield and the Hudson Plains could experience up to a 5.0°C increase during the summer. Given that over 600 million tree seedlings were planted across Canada in 2016 alone, having a deeper knowledge of which tree populations are already experiencing limited growth as a result of climate change can better guide our reforestation programs moving forward (Natural Resources Canada, 2018).

One way of analyzing how forest growth has been limited by climate over time is through the study of tree-rings, more formally known as dendrochronology. This non-destructive technique uses a sharp borer to extract a small wooden sample from the core of a tree for further analysis without the need of cutting down the tree (Natural Resources Canada, 2017). Wooden core samples reveal a great deal of paleoecological information regarding a tree's history, including the age of the tree, the climatic conditions it grew under through time, and the quality of the wood fibres. Fascinatingly, the scientific discovery that annual tree ring widths and climate are correlated with each other traces back to the early 20th century (Douglass, 1919). These correlations led to the study of dendroclimatology, where tree rings are studied to estimate

climatic conditions of the past (Sheppard, 2010). This quantification of climatic influences on tree growth is a particularly important tool for forest managers as climate change projections consistently predict increased water stress through rising temperatures and lower annual precipitation over much of North America (IPCC, 2014). In boreal ecoregions today, where precipitation is already a limiting climatic variable on forest productivity, this could lead to increased tree mortality and a loss of suitable habitat for certain maladapted species.

## 1. Literature Review

## 2.1. The development of dendroclimatological analyses

Now that the general process of using dendrochronological records to estimate past climatic conditions and subsequent radial growth limitations has been introduced, it is time to explore the conditions that allow this type of analysis to take place. Dendrochronology is possible because tree-ring formation occurs with four distinct phases: cell division and expansion, the formation of multilayered cell walls, lignification, and cell death (Rossi *et al.*, 2006; Piermattei *et al.*, 2015; Savidge, 1996). At the beginning of a growing season, initial and undifferentiated cambial cells start to divide, producing large-diameter but thin-walled xylem cells called earlywood (Fritts, 1966). As the growing season progresses, thick-walled xylem cells that are smaller in diameter but darker in color are produced, hereby referred to as latewood (Fritts, 1966). These visual growth rings occur primarily in colder, temperate climates such as the boreal where distinct seasons give rise to clearly defined growth ring boundaries (Schweingruber, 1988). The delineation between earlywood and latewood is what is then used to analyze annual growth increments where many concentric rings are stacked together (Fritts, 1966). Through a process referred to as crossdating, these radial growth rings are compared, matching the variations in the

wide and narrow rings to specific years in time where growing conditions limited or promoted growth (Speer, 2010).

An essential component of dendroclimatology is determining the signal-to-noise ratio where only the most relevant information is included in the analysis (Speer, 2010). As described by Speer (2010), changes in radial growth that resulted from random variation at the tree level, such as a neighboring tree dying and freeing up resources needed for increased growth, could obscure necessary stand-level information. In order to reduce the error probability that the rings of a single tree are not representative of the broader limited growing conditions of an entire site, replication is necessary, where variations in growth are supported by enough samples in a given area to produce statistical significance. Long-term biological factors such as age also affect the ring-width sizes, where radial growth naturally reduces as a tree matures. This additional variation, or noise, that is not specific to climatic influences needs to be reduced through a process of detrending and standardization to build stand-level tree ring chronologies (Fritts, 1966). With a large enough sample size, master chronologies can accurately produce growth "signatures" that encapsulate historical growth periods with a fine enough temporal resolution to describe the conditions of a particular growing season (Ambers, 2005).

These two processes apply a curve-fitting or smoothing function that removes short-term variations without losing important inter-annual and long-term signals (Schweingruber, 1988). This creates a detrended, dimensionless ring width indices with a mean of 1.0 that can be cross-dated with trees from the same site to then build master chronologies (Fritts, 1966). From here, the remaining patterns observed in the varying ring-widths of a stand indicate varying historical

levels of temperature and water availability, allowing dendroclimatologists to then compare these growth patterns with historical climate data to make inferences on how productivity in that area has been limited by climate.

Applications of this technique across North America has revealed distinct patterns of precipitation and temperature-limited tree populations. For example, tree populations in the northeast likely receive annual precipitation levels high enough to outweigh the negative impacts of climatic warming under future climate change projections (D'Orangeville *et al.*, 2016). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) received in this part of the continent is more than double the amount that is normally received in central and western areas of North America, creating a strong east-west gradient for water availability (D'Orangeville *et al.*, 2016). Contrarily, various climate change studies have concluded that much of the western regions of North America are projected to see up to 5% in tree mortality per year as a result of regional warming and water stress (van Mantgem *et al.*, 2009; Birdsey & Pan, 2011; Peng *et al.*, 2011). Forests in the western Canadian interior are particularly vulnerable to drought when compared to their eastern and western coastal counterparts as dry, prairie-like conditions expand northward (Hogg and Bernier, 2005).

Based on these consistent findings, tree populations in areas that already experience more frequent periods of drought could be more at risk than populations where annual precipitation levels remain high. Therefore, the logical next step is to investigate whether these findings are consistent with historical growth data from a widespread and commercially valuable tree species: white spruce.

#### 2.2. White spruce as a dendroclimatological candidate

White spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) is a shade-tolerant, late successional species that can currently be found throughout much of Canada. This common species also extends into Alaska, as well as north-central and northeastern parts of the United States (Canadian Forestry Service, 1971; Natural Resources Canada, 2015a). White spruce often thrives in later stand development stages as the overstory canopy is reduced from disturbance events or natural selfthinning processes (Gärtner *et al.*, 2011). It is formally known as a monoecious tree species, where both male and female flowers are borne on the same individual tree (Sutton, 1969). Standing at a height of up to 25 meters tall, this coniferous species has a dense, obtusely-rounded crown form with horizontal branches that gradually slope downward towards the base of the tree (Sutton, 1969). White spruce grows primarily in mesic to subhygric sites in a mixture of other boreal tree species such as trembling aspen, balsam fir, and white birch (Gärtner *et al.*, 2011; Natural Resources Canada, 2015b).

Ecologically, this tree species provides ideal habitat and food for a variety of terrestrial species. According to the Canadian Wildlife Federation, white spruce is a food source for many terrestrial avian and mammal species (CWF, 2019). Birds such as pine siskins, crossbills, nuthatches, and chickadees feed on the seeds. Game birds such as native grouse and small mammals such as red squirrels consume the early buds. Young shoots are often eaten by corvid and lagomorph species, while large predators such as black bears sometimes consume the bark. Climate change associated reductions in the geographical range of this tree species would inevitably affect all the animal species that rely on it also.

6

White spruce seeds are classified as "conditionally dormant", where seeds remain ungerminated until ideal growing conditions are achieved (Nienstaedt and Zasada, 1990; Baskin and Baskin, 2004; Gärtner *et al.*, 2011). As exemplified by Kabzems *et al.*, (2016), natural white spruce regeneration is the most successful when grown under the moderated environment of an overstory tree species where an ideal microclimate is created to protect seedlings from prevailing winds and moisture-deficits. However, in the context of harvesting white spruce for wood products, this species often relies on artificial regeneration with the replanting of seedlings to ensure success (Sutton, 1969). I would like to emphasize this fact, because as we increasingly rely on planted forests to provide sustainable wood products, it is imperative to understand which climatic variables are limiting tree growth in particular regions to inform reforestation guidelines (Chang *et al.*, 2019). In general, a decrease in natural regeneration resulting from unfavorable environmental conditions has been observed westwards across its range due to higher chances of drought (Candy, 1951; Sutton, 1969; CCFM, 2009; D'Orangeville *et al.*, 2016). If seedlings are planted by the millions in the wrong areas, this could have devastating economic impacts.

Collectively, the forestry sector directly employs approximately 211,075 people across Canada, with many of these jobs occurring in rural areas (Natural Resources Canada, 2018). Within these rural areas, forestry is often the sole industry for many communities and Indigenous groups (Natural Resources Canada, 2018). In 2016, the forestry sector contributed approximately \$23 billion to the national Gross Domestic Product, and to date, no other country in the world derives more net benefit from trading forest products than Canada (Natural Resources Canada, 2018). These economic statistics are important because white spruce is one of the most valuable boreal tree species and a key lumber export for the Canadian forestry sector. Accounting for approximately 26% of Canada's softwood growing stock, this species is sought after softwood lumber product due to its relatively low mass and high wood stiffness (Beaulieu *et al.*, 2006; Forintek Canada Corp, 2006; Sattler and Stewart, 2016). Products derived from white spruce are wide ranging and include structural materials for building construction, interior finishes, furniture, and pulp for packing boxes and cases (Beaulieu *et al.*, 2006). The versatility of this timber species, along with the socioeconomic implications of reductions in species distribution and productivity, fosters the need for further research on how to best mitigate the effects of climate change.

#### 2.3. Dendrochronological applications and limitations

Though this species can tolerate a relatively wide spectrum of climatic conditions, white spruce is often limited by drought in the southern portion of its range (Gärtner *et al.*, 2011). In fact, recent growth reductions have already been linked to late-20<sup>th</sup> century warming (Jacoby and D'Arrigo, 1995; Porter and Pisaric, 2011). Highly vulnerable areas such as those in ecological transition zones are perceived to be most at risk to future climate change because white spruce growing in these regions are often at the limit of their environmental tolerance (CCFM, 2009). Using species distribution modelling, Hamann and Wang (2006) discovered that white spruce could potentially lose a significant portion of its suitable habitat and current frequency in British Columbia by applying a classical CGCM1gax general circulation model. This model was based on a moderate prediction of climate change variables when compared to the range of other IS92a scenarios, making the implications of these findings potentially significant. Chhin *et al.* (2004) associated reduced radial growth to historical drought events in the prairies of Canada and the Great Plains of the United States. Similarly, dendroclimatic analyses of spruce in the western Canadian interior concluded that climatic drying and drought conditions over the last 20 years have significantly impacted the growth of white spruce in this region (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Hogg *et al.*, 2017; Hogg and Wein, 2005). Further north, white spruce populations in the interior of Alaska exhibited precipitation-limited growth (Lloyd *et al.*, 2013). Sang *et al.* (2019) found that western white spruce populations planted in a common garden experiment suffered substantial growth reductions, with similar behavior of all populations throughout the species range under severe drought conditions in this experiment.

Other considerations in addition to species distribution is how the rate of growth might affect adaptation over time. Previous studies studying the physiological responses of white spruce to heat exposure and drought conditions showed that families with superior growth performance were the most sensitive to these effects, implying that slower-growing white spruce families are the best adapted to drought (Bigras 2000; 2005). Other undesirable implications of fast-growing spruce genotypes is an increase in herbivory where carbon allocated to growth reduces defensive compounds (Olnes *et al.*, 2018). These differences among families and regions could provide a valuable insight on how variability between local white spruce populations could respond to multiple negative impacts associated with future climate change.

Therefore, it is imperative to determine which variables have been limiting the growth of white spruce over the last 100 years throughout its North American range in order to better prepare for the future. Because climate change projections predict an increase in evaporative demand driven by rising mean annual temperatures, this method could help predict which populations are particularly vulnerable in areas that are already experiencing a moisture deficit. Furthermore, these results could be informative to forest managers by creating a regional-scale understanding which climate variables are primarily controlling inter-annual variations in radial growth among white spruce populations.

This study will contribute a continental-scale analysis of white spruce response to climate by reanalyzing a comprehensive dataset from the International Tree Ring Data Bank (Grissino-Mayer and Fritts, 1997; Zhao *et al.*, 2018), a sample plot network of the Canadian Forest Service (Hogg *et al.*, 2017), and additional data from scientists who previously published regional dendrochronological studies with white spruce (Girardin *et al.*, 2016; Hogg *et al.*, 2017; Roy *et al.*, 2017; Lemus-Lauzon *et al.*, 2018). The research approach is a dendroclimatological response function analysis, where monthly historical climate data are correlated with interannual variation in radial growth. I will use a constrained cluster analysis to group individual chronologies according to similar response coefficients, with the groups being delineated by long-term climate conditions of the sample sites. The objective is to compare the climatic limitations of white spruce populations that are most vulnerable to growth reductions or mortality under climate change.

#### 2. Methods

#### *3.1. Climate data*

Climate data for North America were generated using the ClimateNA v5.10 software package based on the methodology described by Wang et al. (2016). These climate data were generated by interpolating historical weather station data using the Parameter-elevation Regressions on Independent Slopes Model (PRISM) methodology (Daly et al., 2008). This software was used to extract climate data for all white spruce chronology locations used in this study, including historical monthly data from 1901 to present to analyze interannual growth response to climate variation. In addition, 30-year (1961-1990) climate normal data were extracted to characterize the general climate conditions of sample sites. Also, future climate projections were generated based on 15 atmospheric-ocean general circulation models (AOGCMs) from the CMIP5 multimodel dataset. The AOGCMs CanESM2, ACCESS1.0, IPSL-CM5A-MR, MIROC5, MPI-ESM-LR, CCSM4, HadGEM2-ES, CNRM-CM5, CSIRO Mk 3.6, GFDL-CM3, INM-CM4, MRI-CGCM3, MIROC-ESM, CESM1-CAM5, GISS-E2R were chosen to represent all major clusters of similar AOGCMs by Knutti et al. (2013). All extractions of data for historical and future climate at chronology sites were carried out with the ClimateNA software package (Wang et al. 2016), available on-line at http://tinyurl.com/ClimateNA.

The following climate variables were used to characterize long term climate conditions: Mean Annual Temperature in units of °C (MAT); Mean Warmest Month Temperature in °C (MWMT); Mean Coldest Month Temperature in °C (MCMT); Mean Annual Precipitation in mm (MAP); Total Difference between MCMT and MWMT in °C (TD); May to September Precipitation in mm (MSP); Annual Heat-Moisture Index (AHM) calculated as (MAT+10)/(MAP/1000); Summer Heat-Moisture Index (SHM) calculated as MWMT/(MSP/1000); Hargreaves Climatic Moisture Deficit (CMD) calculated as  $E_{ref}$ -PPT, representing the sum of the monthly difference between reference evaporation ( $E_{ref}$ ) and precipitation (PPT) according to Wang *et al.* (2012); Degree Days below 0°C (DD<0); Degree Days above 5°C (DD>5); Frost-Free Period (FFP); Beginning of the Frost-Free Period (bFFP); End of the Frost-Free Period (eFFP); Extreme Minimum Temperature (EMT); Precipitation as Snow (PAS); and Number of Frost-Free Days (NFFD). For further details on the estimation of these climate variables, see Wang *et al.* (2016).

#### 3.2. Tree-ring data

Tree-ring data for white spruce across North America were obtained from the International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB) (Grissino-Mayer and Fritts, 1997). I used a version of the database prepared by Zhao *et al.* (2018), where raw tree-ring data were collected and formatting issues were corrected. Additional raw tree-ring data were collected from researchers who previously published dendrochronological research with white spruce (Girardin *et al.*, 2016; Hogg *et al.*, 2017; Roy *et al.*, 2017; Lemus-Lauzon *et al.*, 2018). An additional source used to cross-reference master chronologies was Dendrobox, an interactive and exploratory tool to visualize tree-ring data available from the ITRDB (Zang, 2015). In total, white spruce chronology data were compiled for 9795 trees from 227 sample locations across the North American boreal forest (for details, refer to Table 1 in the Appendix).

Trees that experience drought or defoliation from insect pests can produce false or missing treerings (Keen, 1937; Evenden, 1940; O'Neil, 1963; Swetnam *et al.*, 1985). Therefore, individual chronologies from the same sample sites were cross-dated to identify and correct for missing and false rings. I then detrended the chronologies by fitting a smoothing spline to each ring-width series using a frequency response of 0.5 and a wavelength of 0.67 for all chronologies, dividing the actual ring-width by each yearly value of the fitted growth curve (Fritts, 1966). Detrending was implemented with the *dplR* package (Bunn, 2008) for the R programming environment (R Core Team, 2018). The resulting dimensionless ring-width indices were then averaged with other trees from the same sample location to build a master chronology for each white spruce site (Cook & Peters, 1997), also implemented with the *dplR* package. This resulted in a total of 227 master chronologies with an average expressed population signal of 0.88 and a mean of 43 trees per chronology that were used for further dendroclimatological analysis (for detailed statistics on inter-series correlations and expressed population signal for each chronology, see Table 1 in the Appendix).

## 3.3. Analysis of climate-growth associations

I tested mean monthly temperature and precipitation variables from June of the previous year to September of the current year as predictor variables for standardized annual growth increments from 1901 to 2001. Climate variables from the previous growing season and winter months can be important to incorporate into the model because legacy growing conditions can influence tree growth the following year (Fritts, 1966; Swetnam, 1985). For the response function analysis, indirect regression techniques aim to mitigate predictor multicollinearity by regressing the annual growth measurements against the principal components of the climate data, where the principal components with the smallest variances are discarded (Bondi and Waikul, 2004, Zang and Bondi, 2013). Statistical significance of growth-climate relationships was tested through bootstrapping, generating a distribution of response coefficients through subsampling the chronology data with 1000 iterations. Coefficients that did not include zero within the 95% confidence interval of the distribution were regarded as statistically significant. All the above analysis was implemented with the *treeclim* package (Zang, 2015) for the R Programming Environment (R Core Team, 2018).

## 3.4. Constrained clustering of chronologies

I used a multivariate regression tree (MRT) analysis in order to group chronologies based on the similarity in their response coefficients to monthly climate, but using long-term average climate conditions of the chronology sites as partitioning criteria to arrive at groups that are interpretable as macroclimatic regions. MRT minimizes the variance in multiple response variables (here, coefficients from the response function analysis described above), using multiple predictor variables as grouping criteria (here, 30-year normal climate variables) in a recursive binary partitioning algorithm (<u>Ouellette *et al.*, 2012</u>). MRT is a constrained clustering method where splitting the data at the initial node explains most of the variance and maximizes homogeneity of the response variables within groups (De'Ath, 2002).

For the groups of chronologies resulting from the MRT, average monthly climate data for the 1961-1990 normal period were used to generate Walter and Lieth climate diagrams (Walter and Lieth, 1969) with the R package *climatol* (Guijarro, 2018). The diagrams were created for each group of chronologies generated by the multivariate regression tree analysis to interpret monthly response coefficients in light of long-term average climate conditions, i.e. the environment to which tree populations are putatively adapted.

## 3. Results

## 4.1. Grouping of chronology sites

The multivariate regression tree analysis produced six groups that explained 46% of the variance in monthly response function coefficients among chronologies (Fig. 1). According to their approximate geographic location, I will hereby label these groups Northwest, North-central, Northeast, Southwest, West-central, and East-central (Fig. 2). The first split (Node #1), explaining 13% of the variance in response coefficients, created a roughly diagonal separation of the species range into samples comprising the southwest and west-central populations versus all other groups (Table 1).

Alternative climate variables	Value (right side)
<u>Node #1</u>	
Degree days above 5°C	≥894
Mean annual temperature (°C)	≥-2.3
Annual heat moisture index	≥15
<u>Node #2</u>	
Beginning of frost-free period (day)	< 154
Frost-free period (days)	≥103
<u>Node #3</u>	
End of frost-free period (day)	< 245
<u>Node #4</u>	
No alternative variable	
<u>Node #5</u>	
Degree days below 0°C	≥2241

**Table 1**. Alternative auto-correlated climate variables that could be used in the multivariate regression tree analysis in Fig. 2 to delineate regional chronology groups.

This split was based on the number of frost-free days, or alternatively could also be made using growing degree days, mean annual temperature, or the annual heat moisture index. Thus, the primary split describes groups with response functions unique to warmer and drier environments with a longer growing season in the southwestern portion of the species range. The second split explained 10.7% of the variance in response coefficients and created the north-central group based on the mean coldest monthly temperature being below -27.1°C or alternatively variables that indicate a short frost-free period (Table 1), i.e., this group is characterized by winter length and severity.



**Figure 1.** Multivariate regression tree analysis of 277 chronology sites, where six groups with similar dendrochronological response functions are delineated based on climate normal conditions at each site. The climate variables chosen by the MRT algorithm include: NFFD (number of frost-free days); MCMT (mean coldest month temperature); DD>5 (degree days above 5°C); EFFP (end of the frost-free period); and MAT (mean annual temperature). Each node shows the percentage of variance in response coefficients explained in red.

The third and fourth split resulted in east-central, northwest, and northeastern groups based on growing degree days and growing season length. Split 5 further partitions the warm and dry

portion of the species range created by the first split accounting for 8.1% of the variance, where the southwest group is distinguished from the west-central group by the warmest mean annual temperatures or the highest growing degree days (Fig 3, Table 1). Overall, the regression tree clustering partitioned groups primarily by variables that describe temperature and growing season length conditions. Variables describing drought conditions only occur once as alternative auto-correlated climate variable for the first split (Table 1), which would create the same or near identical groups as number of frost-free days (Fig 2, Node 1).



**Figure 2**. The location of each white spruce chronology used in this study grouped into regions with similar climate and growth response coefficients by a multivariate regression tree analysis (Fig 1). The dark grey area represents the species range of white spruce.

## 4.2. Climate of chronology groups

Walter and Leith climate diagrams (Fig. 3) and additional climate variables (Table 2) for the six groups produced by the multivariate regression tree analysis provide a more comprehensive characterization of seasonal and annual climatic conditions. Overall, average annual temperatures between the six groups varied by approximately 10°C (Table 2). The lowest temperatures occurred in the north-central group, and the highest average annual temperature was in the southwest group, making this region the only one to have mean annual temperature above freezing (Table 2).

**Table 2.** Climate normals (1961-1990) for biologically relevant climate variables for six chronology groups. Climate variables include: MAT, mean annual temperature; MWMT, mean warmest month temperature; MCMT, mean coldest month temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; MSP, May to September precipitation; AHM, annual heat moisture index; SHM, summer heat moisture index; CMD, climate moisture deficit; DD>0, degree-days above 0°C; DD>5, degree-days above 5°C; and FFP, frost-free period.

Group	MAT	MWMT	MCMT	MAP	MSP	CMD	AHM	SHM	DD>0	DD>5	FFP
	(°C)	(°C)	(°C)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(°C/m)	(°C/m)			(days)
Northwest	-4.8	12.4	-20.3	536	333	87	11.7	44.8	3032	6445	83
North-central	-8.9	12.9	-28.8	257	152	133	3.7	90.2	4380	609	71
Northeast	-3.7	10.9	-19.3	747	353	29	8.6	31.6	2524	525	70
Southwest	1.4	14.9	-23.0	551	366	125	21.3	42.2	1572	1158	101
West-central	-1.9	16.3	-23.4	408	254	184	20.2	66.8	2682	1167	99
East-central	-2.6	15.5	-23.3	581	347	108	13.8	47.8	2818	991	88

The Walter and Lieth climate diagrams show that the southwest and the west-central groups have the longest and warmest growing seasons for white spruce populations with 5 months above 0°C

(Fig. 3), about 100 days of continuous frost-free period, and more than 1000 growing degree days (Table 2), far exceeding the remaining groups. White spruce growing in the northwest and north-central groups have only 3 months above 0°C (Fig 3) and only about 70 days of continuous frost-free periods (Table 2). The remaining east-central groups are characterized by relatively high mean annual temperature and precipitation levels, and frost-free periods of 80-90 days (Fig. 3).



**Figure 3.** Walter and Lieth climate diagrams for each of the six chronology groups. The blue yaxis and related lines represent average precipitation (mm) received in that region from 1901-2001. The red y-axis represents the average temperature (°C) recorded over the same 100-year time series. The dark blue horizontal bars indicate months with freezing temperatures.

Drought conditions arise in areas where the interplay between temperature and precipitation result in moisture deficits. A useful metric to assess drought risk in addition to temperature and precipitation levels is Hargreave's climate moisture deficit (CMD). Here, evapotranspirative demand relative to precipitation is expressed in mm, where higher CMD values indicate a larger moisture deficit. These results show the highest moisture deficits were present in the west-central group (Table 2). Moisture deficits were substantially smaller for populations both north and south of the west-central group. Although the north-central group received the least precipitation, temperatures in this region are also low, reducing evapotranspirative demand. The north-central group does have the strongest summer drought condition as indicated by the summer heat moisture index (Table 2, SHM) and also visible in Fig 3. The highest amount of total annual precipitation was received in the northeast group, around 750 mm per year (Fig. 3), likely making this group the least susceptible to drought conditions with a SHM of 31.6 and a CMD of 30 mm.

## 4.3. Drought limited populations

Dendrochronological response coefficients also indicate that white spruce in the west-central group is the most sensitive to drought conditions, as indicated by their response to variations in monthly precipitation and temperature (Fig. 4). Radial tree growth was consistently negatively affected by years with high temperature or low precipitation across most months with the exception of July of the current year. Temperature increases during the previous growing season in particular caused a negative growth response. The west-central group also showed the highest number of statistically significant relationships between monthly precipitation variables and growth in individual chronologies (Table 3). Response coefficients for temperature were less consistently significant for this group, however.

In addition to the west-central group, the southwest chronology group also appears to be potentially vulnerable to drought. Response coefficients generally show similar magnitude and direction as the west-central group, although to a lesser degree and with fewer percentages of significant response coefficients. This group also deviates from the west-central group by generally preferring warmer temperatures during the current growing season, whereas the westcentral group predominately showed a negative response to higher temperatures.

**Table 3.** The percent of significant growth-climate response coefficients in each chronology group. Significance for an individual chronology implies that the 95% confidence interval of the coefficient does not include zero. The gray scale highlights high percentages of significant coefficients in the group.

	Month of previous year							Month of current year									
Group	j	j	а	S	0	n	d		J	F	М	А	М	J	J	А	S
Precipitation																	
Northwest	-	11	7.1	5.4	3.6	3.6	5.4		1.8	1.8	-	3.6	1.8	-	1.8	3.6	7.1
North-central	-	4.5	-	-	-	7.6	1.5		-	-	1.5	-	-	-	1.5	-	-
Northeast	8.3	-	-	-	-	8.3	8.3		8.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southwest	11	3.5	12	1.8	5.3	7	1.8		7	1.8	1.8	7	8.8	16	8.8	1.8	-
West-central	11	11	32	11	-	3.5	7.1		7.1	-	-	7.1	3.6	14	11	-	-
East-central	-	-	13	13	-	-	-		-	-	13	-	13	-	-	-	-
Temperature																	
Northwest	14	38	-	-	8.9	29	5.4		3.6	3.6	-	8.9	13	66	5.4	-	-
North-central	3	58	-	-	9.1	1.5	-		7.6	1.5	1.5	7.6	4.5	33	6.1	-	-
Northeast	8.3	17	8.3	-	8.3	-	8.3		-	-	17	-	-	33	42	-	-
Southwest	7	-	5.3	-	11	1.8	-		3.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.5	11	1.8	7
West-central	3.4	-	3.4	14	3.4	3.4	-		3.4	-	3.4	3.4	3.4	6.9	10	-	-
East-central	13	-	13	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	13	13	-	-	13	-

The remaining populations do not generally appear to be drought limited. Coefficients between growth and precipitation for the northwest and east-central groups are variable, and for the northcentral and northeast groups low precipitation values are generally associated with better growth. This is partially consistent with the climate for these regions. They either receive relatively large amounts of precipitation, or in the case of north-central group, the conditions are presumably too cold and growing seasons are too brief to respond negatively to increases in temperature (Fig 3,



Table 2).

**Figure 4**. Average response coefficients for each chronology group, where negative or positive responses to precipitation and temperature are displayed for the previous, dormant, and current growing period. An asterisk denotes where more than 10% of individual chronologies showed a statistically significant growth response. Note that the y-axis scales differ among regions.

## 4.4. Temperature limited populations

All three northern populations (northwest, north-central and northeast), show a consistent positive response to temperature in June of the current growing season (Fig. 4). This is also the first month with temperatures above freezing for these three populations, indicating that an early start to the growing season due to warm temperatures is an essential condition to above average radial growth. Temperature response of these three northern populations to the previous

dormancy period is variable. In the northwest, response to warm winter temperature was generally positive, in the north-central region neutral, and in the northeast negative. Given that the northeastern group received the most annual precipitation, more precipitation as snow in cold years may prevent an early spring thaw which could benefit growth. The northern populations generally had a large proportion of significant individual response coefficients for temperature variables, with some of the highest proportions of significant within-population responses found in some months (Table 3).

#### 4. Discussion

#### 5.1. Populations vulnerable to climate change

One important finding was that white spruce populations in the southwest and west-central boreal regions are precipitation-limited, where significant positive growth responses to increased precipitation occurred during the previous and current growing season (Fig. 4). Given the longer growing season length, higher temperatures, and low precipitation levels, a moisture-deficit has already limited the radial growth of white spruce over the past century. Regional tree-ring studies have reported reduced productivity under drought conditions in these regions (Hogg and Wein, 2005; Chhin *et al.*, 2004; Sang *et al.*, 2019). Our study suggests that the west-central group, north of the southwest group, is actually the most precipitation-limited group and therefore likely to be most susceptible to climate change. Although further north and colder than the southwest group, overall climate moisture deficits are highest here due to low precipitation.

In the last several decades, the west central group has experienced substantial warming, leading to one of the highest increases in climate moisture deficits across the study area (Table 4). Future

projections from general circulation models for the 2050s show that the west-central region is also predicted to have the strongest warming, leading to the highest moisture deficit of any region: a CMD value of 212 mm (Table 4 difference added to Table 1 value). This would make the moisture deficit of the west-central group 25% higher than the second driest group in the southwest by the 2050s. The second highest moisture deficits are expected for the southwest group with CMD values of 155 mm by the 2050s. The southwest group has also experienced the highest increase in climate moisture deficits based on observed climate trends (Table 4).

**Table 4**. Observed climate change expressed as the difference between the 1961-1990 climate normal period and a recent 15-year climate average (2001-2015), and projected climate change for the 2050s relative to the 1961-1990 normal (mean and range). The future predictions are based on 15 models of the CMIP5 multi-model dataset for the RCP 4.5 scenarios that were chosen for high validation statistics and for representing all major clusters of similar AOGCMs (Knutti *et al.*, 2013). Variables include: MAT, change mean annual temperature (°C); MAP, change in mean annual precipitation (mm); and CMD, change in climate moisture deficit (mm).

	Observ	ved trend		Predict	ed 2050s	s mean	Predicted 2050s range			
Groups	MAT	MAP	CMD	MAT	MAP	CMD	MAT	MAP	CMD	
Northwest	+1.2	+20	+8.6	+3.6	+89	+6.6	+2.2 to +5.9	+54 to +164	-12 to +29	
North-central	+1.2	-9.2	+11	+4.1	+40	+17	+2.0 to +6.6	+15 to +81	-22 to +71	
Northeast	+0.8	+14	+5.3	+3.5	+72	+16	+1.7 to +6.3	+21 to +122	-14 to +40	
Southwest	+0.7	-12	+3.5	+2.8	+34	+30	+1.5 to +4.5	-24 to +170	-60 to +87	
West-central	+1.1	+/-0	+7.7	+3.2	+38	+28	+1.8 to +4.6	+1.3 to 101	-38 to +87	
East-central	+0.9	+29	-3	+3.3	+51	+21	+1.8 to +4.7	+16 to +117	-22 to +65	

It remains important to highlight the fact that I report 2050s projections for a relatively optimistic future climate change scenario RCP 4.5, where global carbon emissions would peak and subsequently reduce over the next 20 years. The projections from 15 general circulation models are fairly consistent for temperature, but show wide variance in precipitation projections. As a

consequence, the range of projected changes to moisture deficits are also quite variable, and reductions in climate moisture deficits remain a possibility in all regions (Table 4, CMD range). That said, observed trends over the last decades indicate that all except one region in the east have experienced trends towards higher moisture deficits.

## 5.2. Positive growth effects from climate warming

While the southern white spruce groups were often precipitation-limited, radial growth at the northern sites were instead primarily limited by cold temperatures and short growing seasons. Extended growing seasons under climate change would likely have beneficial effects on growth. This study has shown a consistent positive growth response to warmer spring temperatures for northern populations. Other studies have also shown that populations in the most northern reach of the boreal forest possess the earliest budbreak as a key adaptation to fully utilize the short growing season (Liepe *et al.*, 2016). Since the northern regions are expected to have the lowest moisture deficits, with CMD values below 100 by the 2050s, an extended growing season should be conducive to increased growth without moisture limitations. For the east-central region, moisture deficits by the 2050s are still moderate as well, with a CMD value of 129 (Table 1 and 4), and climate warming may have positive growth effects. It is important to note, however, that this study lacks samples from the southeast of the species range, where moisture limitations may occur under climate change.

Out of the three northern regions, the north-central group appears to be the most susceptible to drought. Though this group historically received the least mean annual precipitation overall, the response coefficients did not exhibit the same temperature-induced drought risk when compared

to the southern groups (Fig. 4). This is likely because the north-central group currently experiences relatively cooler annual temperatures and much a shorter growing season (Table 2). However, future increases in temperature could likely intensify the current evapotranspirative demand for this region where warmer, longer growing seasons could prove to be undesirable in the long-term.

#### 5.3. Applications and limitations

The chronologies we analyzed were originally taken for diverse purposes, but most of them were collected by dendroclimatologists who selected trees and sites they expect to be sensitive to the climate variable of interest. Therefore, there is likely a partial sampling bias toward sites exposed to warm and dry conditions, such as south facing slopes, ridges or rocky microsites. However, it is unlikely that prior sampling objectives are confounded with geographic regions (i.e. differences in sampling objectives for different regions) to produce bias relative to the main objective: detecting broad regional differences in climatic factors that limit growth, and inferring regional vulnerability to climate change.

Because of this partial sampling bias, and because I analyzed growth response to high frequency climate variability in detrended chronology data, this research does not provide evidence for long-term growth response to directional climate trends. Furthermore, standardized radial increments are not necessarily representative of whole tree growth. For example, moisture-limited trees may shift resource allocation to favor root growth.

Lastly, I note that the statistical power to detect climate-growth relationships was limited by the choice of statistical technique, which accounts for multicollinearity, as well as time series length

of 80-100 years. Nevertheless, climatic factors in the MRT analysis explained 46% of the total variance in response coefficients, with the remainder attributable to other site factors such as soil fertility and ground water access. Biotic factors may also play a role. For example, at forest edges, exposed vegetation is more sensitive to climate fluctuations than under continuous canopy coverage (Helama *et al.*, 2005). Canopy density and early successional competition can also influence growth at a particular site where climatic conditions are otherwise identical (Juday *et al.*, 2003). The sampling used in this study reflects growth on mature stands, and highlights differences among broad macroclimatic regions while smaller scale variation remains unaccounted for in the methodology, but nevertheless accounts for more than half the variation.

#### 5. Conclusion

From the evolution of dendroclimatological analysis techniques, forest managers now have increasingly reliable and cost-effective means to understand the relationship between a changing climate and tree growth. Scaled up, publicly accessible databases such as the International Tree-Ring Data Bank provide free data on countless tree species across the world and prove to be an invaluable tool for assessing global forest changes. By using historical tree-ring and climate data, this thesis project offered a dendroclimatological analysis to highlight the specific climatic variables which have been limiting the growth of white spruce across broad macroclimatic regions of the North American boreal forest.

Regional climate warming trends throughout the western interior boreal forest have raised the alarm for forest managers, particularly when trying to anticipate the impacts to current reforestation programs. The literature review component of this thesis project revealed that

similar tree-ring studies expect precipitation-limited populations of boreal tree species to the most likely to be at risk of ongoing drought conditions and tree mortality in the future. Research gaps surrounding climatic influences on white spruce growth, combined with the ecological and economic importance of this boreal tree species, spurred a close evaluation of how and where the evidence shows past radial growth limitations across North America. Results of this study contribute findings consistent with previous dendrochronological research, indicating that white spruce populations in the west-central boreal forest have already experienced growth limitations from moisture deficits over the last 100 years. This contrasts the eastern white spruce populations, where climate change projections anticipate the lowest annual climate-moisture deficit values.

## References

Ambers, J. (2005). Archaeometry and antique analysis: Dating of artifacts. In *Encyclopedia of Analytical Science* (2nd ed., pp. 109-117). Elsevier.

Baskin, J.M., & Baskin, C.C. (2004). A classification system for seed

- Beaulieu, J., Zhang, S.Y., Yu, Q., & Rainville, A. (2006). Comparison between genetic and environmental influences on lumber bending properties in young white spruce. *Wood* and Fiber Science, 38(3), 553–564.
- Bigras, F. J. (2000). Selection of white spruce families in the context of climate change: Heat tolerance. *Tree Physiology*, *20*, 1227-1234.
- Bigras, F. J. (2005). Photosynthetic response of white spruce families to drought stress. *New Forest, 29,* 135-148.
- Birdsey, R. & Pan, Y. (2011). Drought and dead trees. Nature Climate Change, 1, 444-445.
- Boisvenue, C., & Running, S. W. (2006). Impacts of climate change on natural forest productivity: Evidence since the middle of the 20th century. *Global Change Biology*, *12*, 862-882.
- Bondi, F., & Waikul, K. (2004). DENDROCLIM2002: A C++ program for statistical calibration of climate signals in tree-ring chronologies. *Computers & Geosciences*, 30(3), 303-311.
- Bunn, A. G. (2008). A dendrochronology program library in R (dplR). *Dendrochronologia*, 26(2), 115-124.
- Canadian Forestry Service (1971). *White spruce: The ecology of a northern resource* (Report No. NOR-X-40). Retrieved from http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/pubwarehouse/pdfs/11952.pdf

- Canadian Wildlife Federation (2019). *Spruce trees of Canada*. Retrieved from http://cwffcf.org/en/resources/encyclopedias/flora/spruce-trees-of-canada.html
- Candy, R. H. (1951). Reproduction on cut-over and burned-over land in Canada. *Canadian* Department of Resources Development. Silviculture Research Note 92, 224 p.
- CCFM (Canadian Council of Forestry Ministers) (2009). Vulnerability of Canada's tree species to climate change and management options for adaptation: An overview for policy makers and practitioners. Retrieved from https://www.ccfm.org/pdf/TreeSpecies web e.pdf
- Chang, W. Y., Gaston, C., Cool, J., Thomas, B. R. (2019). A financial analysis of using improved planting stock of white spruce and lodgepole pine in Alberta, Canada: genomic selection versus traditional breeding. *Forestry*, 92, 297-310.
- Chen, L., Huang, J. G., Stadt, K. J., Comeau, P. G., Zhai, L., Dawson, A., and Alam, S. A. (2017). Drought explains variation in the radial growth of white spruce in western Canada. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 233, 133-142.
- Chhin, S., Wang, G. G., & Tardif, J. (2004). Dendroclimatic analysis of white spruce at its southern limit of distribution in the Spruce Woods Provincial Park, Manitoba, Canada. *Tree-Ring Research*, 60, 31–43.
- Cook, E. R., & Peters, K. (1997). Calculating unbiased tree-ring indices for the study of climatic and environmental change. *The Holocene*, 7(3), 361-370.
- D'Orangeville, L., Duchesne, L., Houle, D., Kneeshaw, D., Cote, B., & Pederson, N. (2016). Northeastern North American as a potential refugium for boreal forests in a warming climate. *Science*, 352(6292), 1452-1455.

- Daly, C., Halbleib, M., Smith, J. I., Gibson, W. P., Doggett, M. K., Taylor, G. H., Curtis, J., & Pasteris, P. P. (2008). Physiographically sensitive mapping of climatological temperature and precipitation across the conterminous United States. *International Journal of Climatology*, 28, 2031-2064.
- Daniels, L. D., Yocum Kent, L. L., Sherriff, R. L., & Heyerdahl, E. K. (2017). Deciphering the complexity of historical fire regimes: Diversity among forests of Western North America. *Dendroecology*, 231, 185-210.
- De'Ath, G. (2002). Multivariate regression trees: A new technique for modelling speciesenvironment relationships. *Ecology*, 83(4), 1105-1117. dormancy. *Seed Science Research*, 14(1), 1–16.
- Douglass, A. E. (1919). Climate cycles and tree-growth. Carnegie Institution of Washington. Washington, D. C.
- ESRI. (2011). ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.5.1. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute
- Evenden, J. D. (1940). Effects of defoliation by the pine butterfly upon ponderosa pine. *Journal of Forestry, 38*, 949-955.
- Forintek Canada Corp (2006). White spruce: Facts on wood. Retrieved from https://www.albertacanada.com/files/albertacanada/AIS-BP WhiteSpruce.pdf
- Fritts, H. C. (1966). Growth-rings of trees: Their correlation with climate. *Science*, 154(3752), 973–979.
- Gärtner, S. M., Lieffers, V. J., & MacDonald, S. E. (2011). Ecology and management of natural regeneration of white spruce in the boreal forest. *Environment Reviews*, 19, 461-478.

- Girardin, M. P., Bouriaud, O., Hogg, E. H., Kurz, W., Zimmerman, N. E., Metsaranta, J. M., de Jong, R., Frank, D. C., Esper, J., Buntgen, U., Guo, X. J., & Bhatti, J. (2016). No growth stimulation of Canada's boreal forest under half century of combined warming and CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization. *PNAS*, 133(52), E8496-E8414.
- Grissino-Mayer, H. D., & Fritts, H. C. (1997). The international tree-ring data bank: An enhanced global database serving the global scientific community. *The Holocene*, 7(2), 235-238.
- Guijarro, J. A. (2018). Climatol: Climate tools (Series homogenization and Derived Products). R Package version 3. 1. 1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=climatol
- Hamann, A., & Wang, T. (2006). Potential effects of climate change on ecosystem and tree species distribution in British Columbia. *Ecology*, 87(11), 2773–2786.
- Helama, S., Timonen, M., Lindholm, M., Merilainen, J., & Eronen, M. (2005). Extracting longperiod climate fluctuations from tree-ring chronologies over timescales of centuries to millennia. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25, 1767-1779.
- Hogg, E. H., Michaelian, M., Hook, T. I., & Undershultz, M. E. (2017). Recent climatic drying leads to age-independent growth reductions of white spruce stands in western Canada. *Global Change Biology*, 23(12), 5297-5308.
- Hogg, E.H., & Bernier, P.Y. (2005). Climate change impacts on drought-prone forests in western Canada. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 81, 675–682.
- Hogg, E.H. & R.W. Wein. (2005). Impacts of drought on forest growth and regeneration following fire in southwestern Yukon, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, 35(9), 2141-2150.

- Hornoy, B., Pavy, N., Gerardi, S., Beaulieu, J., & Bousquet, J. (2015). Genetic adaptation to climate in while spruce involves small to moderate allele frequency shifts in functionally diverse genes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7(12), 3269-3285.
- IPCC (2014). North America. In: Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Lankao-Romero, P., Smith, J. B., Davidson, D. J., Diffenbaugh, N. S., Kinney, P.L., Kirshen, P., Kovacs, P., & Ruiz, L. V. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jacoby, G. C., and D'Arrigo, R. D. (1995). Tree ring width and density evidence of climatic and potential forest change in Alaska. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *9*, 227-234.
- Juday, G. P., Barber, V. A., Rupp, S. T., Zasada, J. C., & Wilmking, M. (2003). A 200 year perspective of climate variability and the response of white spruce in interior Alaska.
  In *Climate variability and ecosystem response at long term ecological research sites*(pp. 226–250). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kabzems, R., Comeau, P. G. Filipescu, C. N., Rogers, B., & Linnell Nemec, A. F. (2016).
  Creating boreal mixedwoods by planting spruce under aspen: Successful establishments in uncertain future climates. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, 46, 1217-1223.
- Keen, F. P. (1937). Climatic cycles in eastern Oregon as indicated by tree rings. *Monthly Weather Review*, 65, 175-181.
- Knutti, R., Masson, D., & Gettleman, A. (2013). Climate model genealogy: Generation CMIP5 and how we got there. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40, 1194-1199.

- Landsberg, J. J., & Sands, P. (2010). *Physiological ecology of forest production*. Academic Press.
- Lemus-Lauzon, I., Bhiry, N., Arseneault, D., Woollett, J., & Delwaide, A. (2018). Tree-ring evidence of changes in the subarctic forest cover linked to human disturbance in Northern Labrador (Canada). *Ecoscience*, 25(2), 135-151.
- Liepe, K. J., Hamann, A., Smets, P., Fitzpatrick, C. R., & Aitken, S. N. (2016). Adaptation of lodgepole pine and interior spruce to climate: implications for reforestation in a warming world. *Evolutionary Applications*, 9(2), 409-419.
- Lloyd, A. H., Duffy, P. A., & Mann, D. H. (2013). Nonlinear responses of white spruce to climate variability in interior Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, 43, 331-343.
- Natural Resources Canada (2015a). White spruce: Distribution map. Retrieved from https://tidcf.nrcan.gc.ca/en/trees/factsheet/38
- Natural Resources Canada (2015b). White spruce. Retrieved from https://tidcf.nrcan.gc.ca/en/trees/factsheet/38
- Natural Resources Canada (2017). The state of Canada's forests annual report. Retrieved from http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/pubwarehouse/pdfs/38871.pdf
- Natural Resources Canada (2018). The state of Canada's forests annual report. Retrieved from http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/pubwarehouse/pdfs/39336.pdf
- Nicklen, E. F., Roland, C. E., Csank, A. Z., Wilmking, M., Ruess, R. W., & Muldoon, L. A. (2019). Stand basal area and solar radiation amplify white spruce climate sensitivity in interior Alaska: Evidence from carbon isotopes and tree rings. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 911-926.

- Nienstaedt, H., & Zasada, J.C. (1990). *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss: White spruce. U.S. Department of Agriculture.. *Agricultural Handbook, 1*, 204–226.
- Olnes, J., Kielland, K., Genet, H., Juday, G. P., Ruess, R. W. (2018). Functional responses of white spruce to snowshoe hare herbivory at the treeline. *PLoS One, 13*(6), e0198453.
- Ouellette, M. H., Legendre, P., & Borcard, D. (2012). Cascade multivariate regression tree: a novel approach for modelling nested explanatory sets. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3*, 234-244.
- Peng, C., Ma, Z. Lei, X., Zhu, Q., Chen, H., Wang, W., Liu, S., Li, W., Fang, X., & Zhou, X. (2011). A drought-induced pervasive increase in tree mortality across Canada's boreal forests. *Nature Climate Change*, 1, 467-471.
- Piermattei, A., Crivellaro, A., Carrer, M., & Urbinati, C. (2015). The "blue ring": anatomy and formation hypothesis of a new tree-ring anomaly in conifers. *Trees, Structure and Function*, *29*(2), 613–620.
- Porter, T. J., Pisaric, M. F. (2011). Temperature-growth divergence in white spruce forests of Old Crow Flats, Yukon Territory, and adjacent regions of northwestern North America. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 3418-3430.
- Price, D. T., Alfaro, R. I., Brown, K. J., Flannigan, M. D., Fleming, R. A., Hogg, E. H.,
  Girardin, M. P., Lukusta, T., Johnston, M., McKenney, D. W., Pedlar, J. H., Stratton,
  T., Sturrock, R. N., Thompson, I. D., Trofymow, J. A., & Venier, L. A. (2013).
  Anticipating the consequences of climate change for Canada's boreal forest
  ecosystems. *Environmental Reviews*, *21*, 322-365.
- R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

- Reinmann, A. B., Susser, J. R., Demaria, E. M. C., Templer, P. H. (2019). Declines in northern forest tree growth following snowpack decline and soil freezing. *Global Change Biology*, 25, 420-430.
- Rossi, S., Deslauriers, A., Anfodillo, T., Morin, H., Saracino, A., Motta, R., & Borghetti, M. (2006). Conifers in cold environments synchronize maximum growth rate of tree-ring formation with day length. *New Phytologist*, *170*(2), 301–310.
- Roy, N., Bhiry, N., Woollett, J., & Delwaide, A. (2017). A 550-year record of the disturbance history of white spruce forests near two Inuit settlements in Labrador, Canada. *Journal* of the North Atlantic, 31, 1-14.
- Sang, Z., Sebastian-Azcona, J., Hamann, A., Menzel, A., & Hacke, U. (2019). Adaptative limitations of white spruce populations to drought imply vulnerability to climate change in its western range. *Evolutionary Applications*, 00, 1-11.
- Sattler, D. F., Stewart, J. D. (2016). Climate, location, and growth relationships with wood stiffness at the site, tree, and ring levels in white spruce (Picea glauca) in the Boreal Plains ecozone. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, 46(10), 1235-1245.
- Savidge, R. A. (1996). Xylogenesis, genetic and environmental regulation A review. *IAWA Journal*, *17*(3), 269–310.
- Schweingruber, F. (1988). *Tree rings: basics and applications of dendrochronology*. Springer Netherlands.
- Sheppard, P. R. (2010). Dendroclimatology: extracting climate from trees. Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, Vol. 1. Retrieved from https://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/~sheppard/Raul/ Speer, J. (2010). *Fundamentals of Tree-ring Research*. University of Arizona Press.

- Sutton, R. F. (1969). *Silvics of White Spruce* (Report No. 1250). Ottawa, ON: Minister of Fisheries and Forestry.
- van Mantgem, P. J., Stephenson, N. L., Byrne, J. C., Daniels, L. D., Franklin, J. F., Fule, P. Z., Harmon, M. E., Larson, A. J., Smith, J. M., Taylor, A. H., & Veblen, T. T. (2009).
  Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States. *Science*, 323(5913), 521-524.
- Walter, H., & Lieth, H. (1969). Klimadiagramm-Weltatlas. Journal of Ecology, 57(1), 319-320.
- Wang, T. L., Hamann, A., Spittlehouse D. L., and Murdock, T. Q. (2012). ClimateWNA-High resolution spatial climate data for western North America. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 51(1), 16-29.
- Wang, T., Hamann, A., Spittlehouse, D. L., & Carroll, C. (2016). Locally downsized and spatially customizable climate data for historical and future periods for North America. *PLoS One*, 11, e0156720.
- Wiken, E.B. (compiler). 1986. Terrestrial ecozones of Canada. Ecological Land Classification Series No. 19. Environment Canada, Hull, Que. 26 pp.
- Zang, C. (2015). Dendrobox An interactive exploration tool for the International Tree Ring Data Bank. *Dendrochronologia*, 33, 31–33.
- Zhao, S., Pederson, N., D'Orangeville, L., HilleRisLambers, J., Boose, E., Penone, C., ...& Manzanedo, R. D. (2018). The International Tree-Ring Data Bank (ITRDB) revisited: Data availability and global ecological representativity. *Journal of Biogeography*, (October), 1–14.

# Appendix

**Table 1.** Supplemental data table showing the location of each master chronology, the originator(s), chronology statistics, and the final macroclimatic group to which each chronology has been assigned.

				Sample			Time			
ID	Lat	Long	Elev	Depth	rbar.bt	EPS	Series	Originator(s)	Literature	Region
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
	67.93					0.89	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
ak031	333	-161.7	126	34	0.288	9	1990	Buckley		Northwest
	64.81					0.91	1901-			
ak034	667	-162.3	61	29	0.314	1	1997	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
						0.84	1901-			
ak035	63.25	-146.217	884	35	0.228	1	1997	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
							1901-			
ak036	65.5	-144.667	945	17	0.318	0.83	1997	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
						0.18	1901-			
ak037	65.5	-144.667	945	8	0.037	3	1996	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
	64.91					0.93	1901-			
ak038	667	-162.333	150	24	0.448	7	1999	Andrea Lloyd		Northeast
	63.26					0.92	1901-			
ak039	667	-146.083	884	51	0.331	3	1998	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
	65.36						1901-			
ak041	667	-145.383	884	32	0.252	0.89	1997	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
	65.36					0.85	1901-			
ak042	667	-144.067	945	12	0.411	4	1996	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
	65.36					0.67	1901-			
ak043	667	-144.067	945	12	0.209	6	1997	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
						0.71	1901-			
ak044	63.9	-147.333	762	15	0.175	9	1996	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
						0.22	1901-			
ak045	63.9	-147.333	762	15	0.023	7	1996	Andrea Lloyd		Northwest
									Graumlich	
	67.06					0.96	1901-	John C. King,	& King	
ak046	667	-158.383	100	106	0.264	6	1992	Lisa J. Graumlich	(1997)	Northwest
	67.11					0.87	1901-			North-
ak048	667	-149.917	100	75	0.156	9	2001	Martin Wilmking		Central
						0.91	1901-			North-
ak049	67.15	-149.917	100	99	0.201	8	2001	Martin Wilmking		Central
						0.95	1901-			
ak050	67.15	-148.867	100	154	0.225	1	2000	Martin Wilmking		Northwest
						0.94	1901-			
ak051	67.15	-148.867	100	39	0.367	1	2001	Martin Wilmking		Northwest
	67.13					0.96	1901-			
ak052	333	-151.933	100	186	0.234	2	2001	Martin Wilmking		Northwest
						0.89	1901-	Martin Wilmking,		
ak054	63.1	-150	100	106	0.156	8	2000	Glenn Juday		Northwest
	63.08					0.84	1901-			
ak055	333	-147.867	100	91	0.142	7	2001	Martin Wilmking		Northwest
								Martin Wilmking,		
	63.11					0.89	1901-	Glenn Juday, Jens		
ak056	667	-149	100	106	0.156	8	2000	Ibendorf		Northwest
	65.18					0.88	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mas	hig, D. Frank,	
ak057	333	-161.8	168	37	0.248	6	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacob	y	Northwest
	65.18					0.90	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mas	hig, D. Frank,	
ak058	333	-161.783	213	58	0.244	4	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacob	У	Northwest

[	65 11	1	1		r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.06	1001	D D'Amine E Machie D Enemls	
al:050	05.11	161 917	202	04	0.226	0.96	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Masnig, D. Frank,	Northwest
ak039	667	-101.817	202	94	0.520	2	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
1.0.40	65.21					0.88	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak060	667	-161.75	259	24	0.331	8	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.08						1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak061	333	-161.817	282	33	0.362	0.92	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
						0.91	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak062	65.2	-161.733	239	49	0.3	7	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
						0.91	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak063	65.1	-161.85	229	36	0.33	7	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.08					0.94	1901-	R D'Arrigo E Mashig D Frank	
ak064	333	-161.85	229	47	0 385	1	2001	R Wilson G Jacoby	Northwest
akoot	555	-101.05	22)	7	0.505	0.74	1001	P. D'Arrigo F. Mashig D. Frank	Hortifwest
ak065	65.2	161.9	169	20	0.245	0.74	2001	R. D'Alligo, E. Washig, D. Flank, P. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
ak005	65.2	-101.8	108	20	0.243	3	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
1000	65.21	1 (1 702	010	10	0.000	0.92	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak066	667	-161.783	213	48	0.306	4	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.21					0.93	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak067	667	-161.783	229	66	0.311	5	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.08						1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak068	333	-161.817	229	53	0.365	0.93	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.06					0.91	1901-	R. D'Arrigo, E. Mashig, D. Frank,	
ak069	667	-161.767	244	34	0.333	2	2001	R. Wilson, G. Jacoby	Northwest
	65.18					0.92	1901-	R D'Arrigo F Mashig D Frank	1
ak070	333	-161 783	251	48	0 307	3	2001	R Wilson G Jacoby	Northwest
akoro	555	-101.705	231	40	0.507	5	2001	Gordon Jacoby	Hortifwest
	62.56						1001	Nicela Davi Cree	West
-1-071	02.30	141 ((7	1020	16	0.207	0.0	1901-	Wile-	West-
ak0/1	00/	-141.00/	1030	10	0.287	0.8	1957		Central
								Gordon Jacoby,	
	61.36					0.64	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg	
ak073	667	-141.317	995	16	0.201	2	1997	Wiles	Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby,	
	62.36						1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg	
ak074	667	-142.95	1167	37	0.313	0.9	1997	Wiles	Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby,	
						0.90	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg	
ak075	61.15	-141.917	1030	29	0.335	8	1998	Wiles	Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby.	
	62.08					0.78	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg	
ak076	333	-141 983	1006	8	0 4 1 9	2	1994	Wiles	Northwest
uito / o	000	111000	1000	0	01112	-	1777.	Gordon Jacoby	1.01010000
						0.76	1001	Nicole Davi Greg	
ak077	62 55	142 717	004	24	0.100	0.70	1006	Wiles	Northwest
ak077	02.55	-142./1/	<u>,,,,</u>	24	0.199	5	1990	Cordon Joseby	Northwest
	61.22					0.01	1001	Nicole Davi Cros	
1.070	01.33	141 202	10.40	20	0.264	0.81	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg	
ak0/8	333	-141.285	1040	20	0.204	3	1997	whes	Northwest
	60.10			1		0.05	1001	Greg Wiles, Will	
	60.48			1		0.92	1901-	Driscoll, Rosanne	
ak086	333	-153.667	550	41	0.32	2	2001	D'Arrigo	Northeast
								Greg Wiles, Will	
						0.94	1901-	Driscoll, Rosanne	
ak087	60.5	-153.88	580	50	0.37	4	2001	D'Arrigo	Northeast
								Greg Wiles, Will	
	60.96			1		0.86	1901-	Driscoll, Rosanne	
ak088	667	-152.083	400	20	0.293	5	2001	D'Arrigo	Southwest
				1	>0	-		Greg Wiles Will	
						0.88	1901-	Driscoll Rosanne	
ak080	60.65	-153 083	580	33	0.27	7	2001	D'Arrigo	Northwest
arooy	00.05	-155.705	500	55	0.27	/	2001	Gordon Jacoby	Tornwest
	61.12					0 00	1001	Grag Wiles	
-1.007	222	141.022	076	25	0.202	0.00	1901-	Nicele Desi	N. 41
ak097/	333	-141.933	8/6	25	0.302	3	1998	INICOLE DAVI	Northwest

								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
	63.73					0.88	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
ak103	333	-148.817	930	31	0.305	8	1990	Buckley		Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby,		
	61.61					0.83	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg		
ak105	667	-140.633	1000	19	0.287	4	1999	Wiles		Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
	67.91					0.89	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
ak109	667	-161.7	750	25	0.367	3	1990	Buckley		Northwest
								Gordon Jacoby,		
	< <b>-</b> 0.0						1001	Rosanne		
1 1 1 0	67.08	156,000	0	41	0.217	0.88	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
ak110	333	-156.083	0	41	0.317	6	1990	Buckley		Northwest
								Rosanne		
						0.00	1001	D'Arrigo, Rob		
1 1 1 1	()	1.47	0	16	0.056	0.90	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	
ak111	64	-147	0	46	0.256	2	1990	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Northwest
								Rosanne		
						0.05	1001	D'Arrigo, Rob	DIA	
	<i>(</i> <b>- -</b>	1.50	0		0.400	0.95	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	
ak113	67.5	-150	0	248	0.199	5	2000	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Northwest
								Rosanne		
							1001	D'Arrigo, Rob	<b>D</b> (1)	
1 1 1 7	65.16	1 ( 1 7 5	0		0.046	0.0	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	
ak115	667	-161.75	0	46	0.346	0.9	2001	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Northwest
								Rosanne		
							1001	D'Arrigo, Rob	<b>D</b> (1)	
1.1.1		1.10	<u>_</u>			0.93	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	
ak116	62	-142	0	141	0.171	8	1999	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Northwest
	(2.01					0.00	1001	Andrea Lloyd,		
1 1 2 2	63.81	1.42.0	120	20	0.200	0.90	1901-	Daniel Mann,		West-
ak122	66/	-143.2	420	29	0.308	3	2001	Paul Duffy		Central
	(2.71					0.01	1001	Andrea Lloyd,		<b>XX</b> 7 (
-1-1-22	63./1	1 4 2 9 5	427	20	0.470	0.91	1901-	Daniel Mann,		West-
ak123	667	-143.85	43/	20	0.479	/	2001	Paul Duffy		Central
	(11)					0.05	1001	Andrea Lloyd,		Б (
1 1 2 4	64.16	140.7	220	20	0.515	0.95	1901-	Daniel Mann,		East-
ak124	66/	-148./	339	29	0.515	2	2001	Paul Duffy		Central
	(1.10					0.05	1001	Andrea Lloyd,		
1 1 0 5	64.48	1.45.022	2.15	20	0.605	0.95	1901-	Daniel Mann,		
ak125	333	-145.033	245	20	0.625	6	2001	Paul Duffy		Northwest
						0.05	1001	Andrea Lloyd,		
-1-126	(1.9	140.9	241	20	0.40	0.95	1901-	Daniel Mann,		N <sub>z</sub> th
ak126	64.8	-140.8	341	30	0.49		2001	Paul Duffy	0.11	Northwest
al-149	6/.48	161 792	125	25	0.27	0.84	1901-	Dataial- S-11	Sullivan et $a1 (2015)$	Norther
ак148	333	-101./83	125	23	0.27	/	2001	Patrick Sullivan	ai. (2015)	INORTHWEST
-1-1.40	0/.48	1(1 792	120	25	0.200	0.89	1901-	D-4-1-1-0 11	Sullivan et $(2015)$	N <sub>z</sub> tl
ak149	333	-161./83	120	25	0.309	6	2001	Patrick Sullivan	al. (2015)	Northwest
bre_w	53.13	114 (00	014	-	0.672	0.89	1953-	T 11	Hogg et al.	c d
s09	808	-114.609	814	3	0.653	1	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
bre_w	53.12 200	114 614	007	5	0.525	0.0	1953-	T-11	Hogg et al.	S 1
s10	209	-114.614	807	5	0.535	0.8	2001	1 ed Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
bre_w	53.12	114	0.07		0.000	0 = 1	1901-		Hogg et al.	
sll	209	-114.614	807	5	0.392	0.76	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cal_w	55.34	112.444	(27		0.551	0.87	1901-	T 11	Hogg et al.	
s01	101	-113.441	637	5	0.574	1	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cal_w	55.34	112.271	(22		0.700	0.88	1930-		Hogg et al.	
s02	571	-113.374	632	5	0.623	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest

cal w	55.34					0.92	1934-		Hogg et al.	
s03	365	-113.322	663	5	0.748	6	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cana0	000	110.022	000	0	017.10	Ű	1901-	Fritz	(2017)	West-
38	51.62	-05.83	1000	23	0 331	0.0	1088	Schweingrüber		Central
20000	51.02	-75.05	1000	23	0.551	0.9	1001	Emitz		East
	50.4	01.45	1200	22	0.210	0.89	1000-	Sahuaingmihar		Control
41	50.4	-91.43	1300	22	0.319	0.96	1900	Schweingrüber		East
	50.2	90.05	1120	24	0 277	0.80	1901-			East-
43	50.3	-89.05	1120	24	0.277	2	1988	Schweingruber		Central
cana0		0.7.00	<i></i>		0.4.60		1901-	Fritz		East-
79	53.07	-87.33	645	24	0.163	0.78	1988	Schweingruber		Central
canal						0.82	1901-	J. M. Szeicz, G.		North-
17	65.35	-125.3	820	54	0.135	7	1991	M. MacDonald		Central
canal	64.96						1901-	J. M. Szeicz, G.		East-
19	667	-126.517	680	49	0.153	0.8	1989	M. MacDonald		Central
								J. M. Szeicz, G.		
canal	66.71					0.93	1901-	M. MacDonald, J.		
21	667	-135.717	800	108	0.236	4	1992	Lundberg		Northwest
								J. M. Szeicz, G.		
cana1	64.98					0.90	1901-	M. MacDonald.		North-
23	333	-126.433	950	76	0.178	9	1989	M. Hutton		Central
canal	59.98	1201.00	200	, 0	01170	0.94	1901-	C Larsen I		West-
29	333	-111.65	200	34	0 359	2	1989	Szeicz M Hutton		Central
cono1	555	111.05	200	51	0.557	0.02	1001	C Lorsen I		West
20	50.8	111 922	240	20	0.402	0.92	1001-	C. Laisen, J.		Control
30	59.0	-111.655	240	30	0.403	0.00	1909	Szelcz, M. Huttoli		Vential
	59.11	111.017	220	21	0.(20	0.96	1901-	C. Larsen, J.		west-
31	667	-111.81/	220	21	0.629	/	1989	Szeicz, M. Hutton	~ 1 11	Central
canal						0.95	1901-	D. M. Lawrence, I. D.	. Campbell,	West-
45	53.95	-104.85	590	32	0.44	1	1994	T. M. L. Varem-Sand	lers	Central
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
cana1	65.33					0.88	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		North-
54	333	-125.317	725	39	0.225	4	1983	Buckley		Central
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
cana1	64.03					0.93	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		North-
55	333	-102.133	160	42	0.314	5	1984	Buckley		Central
						-		Gordon Jacoby.		
								Rosanne		
canal						0.94	1901-	D'Arrigo Brendan		North-
56	65	-126 167	1375	53	0 363	0.74	108/	Buckley		Central
50	05	-120.107	1373	55	0.303	/	170-	Cardan Jacoby		Central
								Derenne		
						0.79	1001	Di Amire Ducuden		N
canal	50.70	04.07	50	10	0.107	0.78	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		North-
38	58.72	-94.07	50	19	0.196	8	1982	Buckley		Central
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
canal	56.16					0.94	1901-	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
59	667	-75.45	50	35	0.396	9	1982	Buckley		Northeast
								A. Beriault, D.		
cana1	57.86					0.92	1901-	Sauchyn, J.		East-
97	667	-102.2	425	27	0.402	5	2001	Stroich		Central
								A. Beriault, D.		
cana1	54.93					0.93	1901-	Sauchyn, J.		West-
98	333	-101.217	315	55	0.324	8	2001	Stroich		Central
				1	5.521	Ŭ		A Beriault D		
cana?						0.97	1901-	Sauchyn I		West
	557	-105 567	390	53	0.467	0. <i>J</i> /	2001	Stroich		Central
02	55.1	-105.507	370	55	0.407	+	2001			Cenual
	55 (2					0.05	1001	A. Deriduit, D.		West
cana2	33.03	102.267	200	22	0.424	0.95	1901-	Saucnyn, J.		west-
05	533	-103.267	360	33	0.424	4	2001	Stroich		Central

								A. Beriault, D.		
cana2	55.21					0.95	1901-	Sauchyn, J.		West-
06	667	-103.467	370	47	0.383	3	2001	Stroich		Central
cana2						0.92	1901-	Brendan Buckley,		
10	56.92	-61.5	25	38	0.353	2	1997	Rosanne D'Arrigo		Northeast
								Gordon Jacoby,		
cana2						0.89	1901-	Nicole Davi, Greg		
11	61.9	-139.283	731	21	0.358	7	1999	Wiles		Northwest
cana2						0.96	1901-	David Meko, Charle	s Stockton.	West-
12	59	-112	209	45	0.539	3	2000	Hal Fritts. Tony Kno	owles	Central
								David Meko.		
cana2						0.96	1901-	Charles Stockton		West-
13	58.8	-110.5	209	54	0.503	7	2000	Hal Fritts		Central
cana?	2010	11010	207	0.	0.000	0.96	1901-	1100 1 1100		West-
14	58.9	-110.4	209	30	0 585	9	2000	David Meko		Central
cana?	000	11011	202	20	0.000	0.95	1901-	David Meko, Charle	s Stockton	West-
15	58.9	-110.6	209	57	0 4 2 4	6	2000	Hal Fritts Tony Kno	owles	Central
cana?	000	11010	207	0,	01.2.	0.96	1901-	David Meko, Charle	s Stockton	West-
16	59	-110.6	209	53	0 482	3	2000	Hal Fritts Tony Kno	owles	Central
cana?	57	110.0	207	55	0.102	0.94	1901-	David Meko, Charle	s Stockton	West-
17	58.4	-110.5	209	41	0.38	3	2000	Hal Fritts Tony Kno	wles	Central
cana?	50.4	-110.5	207	71	0.50	0.94	1901-	That I thus, Tony Kin	JWICS	West-
	58 5	111.5	200	27	0.536	0.94	2000	David Meko		Central
10	50.5	-111.5	207	21	0.550	0	1001	David Micko		West
	586	110.7	200	25	0.47	0.02	2000	David Maka		Control
19	38.0	-110.7	209	23	0.47	0.95	2000	Stavan D. Mamat		North
	59 62	02.8	50	154	0.200	0.95	2001	C. Deter Korshow		North-
70	38.02	-93.8	50	154	0.298	3	2001	G. Peter Kersnaw		Central
cana2	59.52	02.47	17	1.40	0.274	0.94	1901-	Steven D. Mamet,		North-
/4	38.33	-93.4/	1/	142	0.274	0.77	2001	G. Peter Kersnaw		Central
cana2	57.05	02 7922	27	55	0.127	0.77	1901-	Steven D. Mamet,		North-
//	57.95	-92./833	27	55	0.137	3	2001	G. Peter Kersnaw		Central
cana2	57.31	00.0447	50	21	0.010	0.82	1901-	Steven D. Mamet,		NT d
/9	667	-92.9667	56	31	0.212	5	2001	G. Peter Kersnaw		Northeast
cana2	52.05	104.95	575	21	0.452	0.95	1901-	D. M. Lawrence, I.	D. Campbell,	West-
82	53.95	-104.85	5/5	31	0.452	/	1994	1. M. L. varem-San	ders	Central
2						0.00	1001	Andy Bunn,		<b>XX</b> 7 /
cana2	(17	115.05	0	10	0.260	0.88	1901-	Andrea Lloyd,		West-
95	61./	-115.05	0	18	0.368	/	2001	Logan Berner		Central
						0.04	1001	Andy Bunn,		<b>TT</b> 7
cana2	~	100				0.84	1901-	Andrea Lloyd,		West-
96	61.2	-120	270	16	0.299	2	2001	Logan Berner		Central
	<0.0 <b>0</b>					0.07	1001	Andy Bunn,		
cana2	60.03	110.05				0.96	1901-	Andrea Lloyd,		East-
98	333	-118.95	0	41	0.515	6	2001	Logan Berner		Central
								Rosanne		
_						o	1001	D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3			- 0		0.4.6	0.54	1901-	Buckley, Gordon		
09	56	-61	50	15	0.16	3	1988	Jacoby		Northeast
								Rosanne		
_								D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3						0.91	1901-	Buckley, Gordon		
10	57.15	-61.7	50	53	0.253	8	1996	Jacoby		Northeast
								Rosanne		
_								D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3	56.51					0.88	1901-	Buckley, Gordon		
11	67	-61.9167	150	25	0.362	3	1998	Jacoby		Northeast
								Rosanne		
								D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3	55.41					0.89	1901-	Buckley, Gordon		
12	67	-61.3167	50	50	0.314	3	1997	Jacoby		Northeast

								Rosanne		
								D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3						0.92	1901-	Buckley, Gordon		
13	56	-61	50	35	0.328	4	1998	Jacoby		Northeast
cana3	64.03	102 122	1.00	202	0.24	0.97	1901-	Gordon Jacoby,		North-
18	333	-102.133	160	283	0.24	9	2001	Rosanne D'Arrigo		Central
						0.05	1001	Gordon Jacoby, Ros	sanne	North
	69.5	-126 167	0	105	0.35	0.95	1901-	Wiles	Suckley, Greg	North- Central
17	07.5	-120.107	0	105	0.55	5	1774	Rosanne		Central
								D'Arrigo, Brendan		
cana3						0.94	1901-	Buckley, Greg		North-
20	69.5	-126.167	0	67	0.342	3	1994	Wiles		Central
								Gordon Jacoby,		
								Rosanne		
cana3						0.86	1901-	D'Arrigo, Greg		North-
21	69.5	-126.167	0	22	0.401	2	1993	Wiles		Central
								Rosanne		
2						0.07	1001	D'Arrigo, Rob		NL 4
cana3		120	0	447	0.215	0.97	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	North-
22	66	-120	0	44 /	0.215	/	2001	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Central
								D'Arrigo Rob		
cana3						0.87	1901-	Wilson Gordon	D'Arrigo et	North-
24	58	-94	0	45	0.163	5	1982	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Central
	00		Ŭ		01100		1702	Rosanne	un (2000)	
								D'Arrigo, Rob		
cana3						0.93	1901-	Wilson, Gordon	D'Arrigo et	
26	67	-139	0	129	0.201	9	2001	Jacoby	al. (2006)	Northwest
cana3						0.76	1901-		St. George	
48	54.75	-114.383	0	11	0.258	9	2001	David Sauchyn	et al. (2009)	Southwest
								Brian Luckman,		
cana3	61.03	105.5			0.044	0.95	1901-	Richard van Dorp,		
54	333	-137.5	800	86	0.366	1	2001	Don Youngblut		Northwest
	52 76					0.01	1001	J. C. Aravena, D. M.	lorimoto, E.	
	55.70 667	126 717	1220	60	0.31	0.91	2001	Luckman	uu, D.	Southwest
00	007	-120./1/	1220	00	0.51	0	2001	Luckman University of		Southwest
								Toronto Trevor		
cana5						0.95	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
02	68.25	-133.267	2	75	0.303	8	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
								University of		
								Toronto, Trevor		
cana5	68.28					0.91	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
03	333	-133.217	2	24	0.38	9	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
								University of		
-	(0.11					0.01	1001	Toronto, Trevor		NT 4
canas	68.61	122 (17	2	74	0.051	0.91	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
04	667	-133.61/	2	/4	0.251	/	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
								University of Toronto, Trover		
cana5	68 26					0.82	1901-	Porter Michael	Porter et al	North-
05	667	-132.6	130	57	0.213	2	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
		102.0			5.215			University of	(====)	muui
								Toronto, Trevor		
cana5						0.85	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
06	68.15	-132.233	25	61	0.19	7	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
								University of		
								Toronto, Trevor		
cana5	68.13					0.94	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
07	333	-133.233	2	95	0.223	8	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									University of		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto, Trevor		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	cana5	68.36	100.000			0.044	0.94	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	08	667	-133.033	2	75	0.266	5	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									University of		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	cono5	68 51					0.02	1001	Porter Michael	Porter et al	North
30         30         10<	09	667	-134 933	2	78	0.259	0.92	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
canas         68.11         random of the sector of the sec	07	007	-134.755	2	70	0.237	0	2001	University of	(2013)	Central
canas         68.11         -         85         0.29         1901         Porter, Michael Dimer, Michael Dimer, Michael Dimer, Michael Porter, M									Toronto Trevor		
10         667         -133.833         2         85         0.239         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.26         -         -         -         0.93         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.43         -         -         0.93         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.43         -         -         0.93         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.43         -         -         0.93         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.4         -133.733         2         77         0.237         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.43         -133.733         2         77         0.237         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.43         -133.737         2         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central	cana5	68.11					0.92	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	667	-133.833	2	85	0.239	5	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
canas         68.26									University of		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto, Trevor		
11         667         -133.85         2         67         0.228         3         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.43         -         -         69         0.236         3         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         -         -         69         0.236         3         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         -         -         69         0.236         3         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.4         -133.733         2         77         0.237         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58         -         -         -         0.96         1901-         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.58         -         -         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.53         -         12         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           14         333<-132.67 </td <td>cana5</td> <td>68.26</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.93</td> <td>1901-</td> <td>Porter, Michael</td> <td>Porter et al.</td> <td>North-</td>	cana5	68.26					0.93	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
cana5         68.43	11	667	-133.85	2	67	0.228	3	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
cana5         68.43									University of		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto, Trevor		
12         333         -133.417         2         69         0.236         3         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.4         -133.733         2         77         0.237         5         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58         -         -         0.237         5         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58         -         -         0.237         5         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58         -         -         0.96         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.53         -         -         0.95         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         -         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           cana5         -         -         0.95         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         -         -         0.94         1901-         P	cana5	68.43					0.93	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	333	-133.417	2	69	0.236	3	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
cana5         r <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>University of</td> <td></td> <td></td>									University of		
canab         canab         canab         canab         canab         order         etal.         Porter, tal.         Po	_							1001	Toronto, Trevor		
13         68.4         -133.733         2         77         0.237         5         2010         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58	cana5	(0.4	100 700	2	77	0.007	0.94	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
cana5         68.58         -	13	68.4	-133./33	2	11	0.237	5	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
canas         68.58         132.317         98         88         0.333         4         2001         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North- Central           14         333         -132.317         98         88         0.333         4         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           15         333         -132.367         2         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           15         333         -133.367         2         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.53         -         -         0.94         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.15         -134.85         2         77         0.276         8         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.36         -         0.95         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           cana5         68.36         -         0.95         1901-         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           17									University of		
canas         68.38         -132.317         98         88         0.33         4         2001         Prisarie         (2013)         Central         North-           canas         68.53         -         -         0.95         1901-         Prisarie         (2013)         Central         North-           15         333         -133.367         2         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           canas         68.53         -         -         0.95         1901-         Porter, Michael         Porter et al.         North-           canas         -134.85         2         77         0.276         8         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           16         68.15         -134.85         2         77         0.276         8         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           17         667         -133.333         2         92         0.28         9         2001         Pisarie         (2013)         Central           17         667         -134.483         2         79         0.288         2         2001         Pisarie         (2013)		60 50					0.06	1001	Toronto, Trevor	Douton at al	North
14       353       -132.317       96       88       0.333       4       2001       Plant       (2013)       Centual         cana5       68.53       -       0.95       1901-       Porter, Michael       Porter, et al.       North-         15       333       -133.367       2       112       0.27       5       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         16       68.15       -134.85       2       77       0.276       8       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         16       68.15       -134.85       2       77       0.276       8       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         17       667       -133.333       2       92       0.28       9       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         18       68.45       -134.483       2       79       0.288       2       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         18       68.45       -134.483       2       79       0.288       2       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         1901-       Porter, Michael       Porter et al.       North-       Central		222	122 217	08	00	0 222	0.90	2001	Porter, Michael	(2012)	North-
cana5         68.53	14	333	-132.317	90	00	0.555	4	2001	Fisalic University of	(2013)	Central
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto Trevor		
Canas         Obs.53         1901         Porter, Michael         Porter (al.)         North- Central           15         333         -133.367         2         112         0.27         5         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           16         333         -134.85         2         77         0.276         8         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           16         68.15         -134.85         2         77         0.276         8         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           17         667         -133.333         2         92         0.28         9         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           17         667         -133.333         2         92         0.28         9         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           18         68.45         -134.483         2         79         0.288         2         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           18         68.45         -134.483         2         79         0.288         2         2001         Pisaric         (2013)         Central           <	cana5	68 53					0.95	1901-	Porter Michael	Porter et al	North-
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	15	333	-133 367	2	112	0.27	0.75	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	555	155.507	2	112	0.27	5	2001	University of	(2013)	Contrai
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto, Trevor		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cana5						0.94	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	68.15	-134.85	2	77	0.276	8	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									University of		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									Toronto, Trevor		
17       667       -133.333       2       92       0.28       9       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         cana5	cana5	68.36					0.95	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	667	-133.333	2	92	0.28	9	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
cana5 $-134.483$ $2$ $79$ $0.288$ $2$ $2001$ $70$ ronto, $Trevor$ $Porter$ et al. $(2013)$ $North-$ $Central1868.45-134.4832790.28822001Pisaric(2013)Central1868.45-134.4832790.28822001Pisaric(2013)Centralcana568.31-134.82810.3690.962001Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North-19667-134.82810.3690.962001Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North-19667-134.82810.3690.962001Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North-19667-132.1521050.26192001Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North-1968.43-132.1521050.26192001Pisaric(2013)Central1968.43-132.1832550.31312001Brock University,Porter et al.North-19Aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$									University of		
cana5 $0.95$ 1901-Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North- Central1868.45-134.483279 $0.288$ 22001Pisaric(2013)Centralcana568.31Image: Constant of the second sec									Toronto, Trevor		
18       68.45       -134.483       2       79       0.288       2       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         cana5       68.31       -	cana5						0.95	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
cana5 1968.31 $667$ -134.82810.3690.962001University of Toronto, Trevor Porter, Michael PisaricPorter et al. (2013)North- Central19667-134.82810.3690.962001Pisaric(2013)Central19667-134.82810.3690.962001Pisaric(2013)Central19667-132.1521050.26192001Porter, Michael Porter, MichaelPorter et al. Porter et al.North- Central2068.45-132.1521050.26192001Pisaric(2013)Central21333-132.1832550.31312001Steve Kokelj(2013)Central22667-132.1332430.2910.92001Steve Kokelj(2013)Central22667-132.1332430.2910.92001Steve Kokelj(2013)Central2368.58Brock University, (2013)Central2468.58Brock University, (2013)Central2568.58Brock University, (2013)Central26Brock University, (2013)Central27667Bro	18	68.45	-134.483	2	79	0.288	2	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
cana5 19 $68.31$ $667$ -134.82810.3690.962001Toronto, Trevor Porter, Michael PisaricPorter et al. (2013)North- Central19 $667$ -134.82810.3690.962001Porter, Michael PisaricPorter et al. (2013)North- Centralcana5 20 $68.45$ -132.1521050.26192001Porter, Michael Porter, MichaelPorter et al. Porter et al.North- Centralcana5 20 $68.43$ -132.1521050.26192001Pisaric(2013)Centralcana5 21 $333$ -132.1832550.31312001Steve Kokelj(2013)Centralcana5 22 $667$ -132.1332430.2910.92001Steve Kokelj(2013)Centralcana5 22 $68.58$ cana5 23 $68.58$ cana5 24 $68.58$ cana5 24 $68.58$ cana5 24 $68.58$ cana5 24 $68.58$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>University of</td> <td></td> <td></td>									University of		
canas $68.31$ $-134.8$ $2$ $81$ $0.369$ $0.96$ $2001$ Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North- $19$ $667$ $-134.8$ $2$ $81$ $0.369$ $0.96$ $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central $19$ $667$ $-134.8$ $2$ $81$ $0.369$ $0.96$ $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central $cana5$ $-132.15$ $2$ $105$ $0.261$ $9$ $2001$ Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North- $20$ $68.45$ $-132.15$ $2$ $105$ $0.261$ $9$ $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central $cana5$ $68.43$ $-132.183$ $2$ $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $(2013)$ Central $cana5$ $68.51$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve KokeljPorter et al.North- $22$ $667$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $(2013)$ Central $22$ $667$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $(2013)$ Central $20$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $(2013)$ Central $1901$ - $1901$ - $1901$ - $1901$ -Michael Pisaric,Porter et al.North- $21$ $333$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $20$	-	(0.01						1001	Toronto, Trevor	<b>D</b> 1	N. 4
19       667       -134.8       2       81       0.369       0.96       2001       Pisaric       (2013)       Central         a       a       a       a       a       b       a       b <td>cana5</td> <td>68.31</td> <td>124.0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0.000</td> <td>0.07</td> <td>1901-</td> <td>Porter, Michael</td> <td>Porter et al.</td> <td>North-</td>	cana5	68.31	124.0	2	0.1	0.000	0.07	1901-	Porter, Michael	Porter et al.	North-
cana5 20 $68.45$ $-132.15$ 2 $105$ $0.261$ 9 $2001$ Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North- Central20 $68.45$ $-132.15$ 2 $105$ $0.261$ 9 $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central20 $68.45$ $-132.15$ 2 $105$ $0.261$ 9 $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central20 $68.43$ $-132.183$ 2 $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central21 $333$ $-132.183$ 2 $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central21 $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central22 $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central23 $68.58$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central24 $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central25 $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $2013$ Central26 $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $1901-$ Michael Pisaric,Porter et al.North-27 $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$	19	667	-134.8	2	81	0.369	0.96	2001	Pisaric	(2013)	Central
cana5 20 $68.45$ $-132.15$ 2 $105$ $0.261$ 9 $2001$ Porter, Michael Porter, MichaelPorter et al. (2013)North- Central $20$ $68.45$ $-132.15$ 2 $105$ $0.261$ 9 $2001$ Pisaric(2013)Central $20$ $68.43$ $-132.183$ 2 $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Brock University, Michael Pisaric,Porter et al. Porter et al.North- Central $21$ $333$ $-132.183$ 2 $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj(2013)Central $21$ $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve KokeljPorter et al. (2013)North- Central $22$ $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj(2013)Central $20$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj(2013)Central $20$ $667$ $-132.133$ 2 $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj(2013)Central $20$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj $0.9$ Central $20$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $0.95$ $1901$ -Michael Pisaric, Michael Pisaric,Porter et al.North-									University of		
cana5 $68.45$ $-132.15$ $2$ $105$ $0.261$ $9$ $2001$ Porter, MichaelPorter et al.North- $20$ $68.45$ $-132.15$ $2$ $105$ $0.261$ $9$ $2001$ Pisaric $(2013)$ Central $cana5$ $68.43$ $-132.183$ $2$ $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central $21$ $333$ $-132.183$ $2$ $55$ $0.313$ $1$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central $cana5$ $68.51$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central $22$ $667$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central $cana5$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central $cana5$ $68.58$ $-132.133$ $2$ $43$ $0.291$ $0.9$ $2001$ Steve Kokelj( $2013$ )Central							0.05	1001	Toronto, Trevor	Douton at al	North
20       60.75       -132.13       2       105 $0.201$ 9       2001       Fishic       (2013)       Central         cana5       68.43       -132.183       2       55 $0.313$ 1       2001       Fishic       Porter et al.       North-         21       333       -132.183       2       55 $0.313$ 1       2001       Steve Kokelj       (2013)       Central         cana5       68.51       -       -       Brock University,       Porter et al.       North-         22       667       -132.133       2       43 $0.291$ $0.9$ 2001       Steve Kokelj       (2013)       Central         cana5       68.58       -       -       -       Brock University,       Porter et al.       North-         cana5       68.58       -       -       0.291 $0.9$ 2001       Steve Kokelj       (2013)       Central	20	68 45	-132.15	2	105	0.261	0.95	2001	Pisario	(2013)	Centrol
cana568.43 21-132.1832550.31311901-Michael Pisaric, 2001Porter et al. (2013)North- Centralcana568.51 22667-132.1332430.2910.92001Steve Kokelj(2013)Central22667-132.1332430.2910.92001Steve Kokelj(2013)Centralcana568.580.951901-Michael Pisaric, Michael Pisaric,Porter et al.North- Central	20	00.45	-132.13	2	105	0.201	7	2001	Brock University	(2013)	Central
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	cana5	68 43					0.94	1901-	Michael Pisaric	Porter et al	North-
cana568.51120.0200.010<	21	333	-132.183	2	55	0.313	1	2001	Steve Kokeli	(2013)	Central
cana568.51667-132.1332430.2910.92001Michael Pisaric, Steve KokeljPorter et al.North- Centralcana568.5868.580.2910.951901-Michael Pisaric, Michael Pisaric,Porter et al.North- Central		220	102.100	-		0.010			Brock University	(=010)	2
22         667         -132.133         2         43         0.291         0.9         2001         Steve Kokelj         (2013)         Central           cana5         68.58         0.58         0.95         1901-         Michael Pisaric,         Porter et al.         North-	cana5	68.51						1901-	Michael Pisaric.	Porter et al.	North-
cana5 68.58 0.95 1901- Michael Pisaric, Porter et al. North-	22	667	-132.133	2	43	0.291	0.9	2001	Steve Kokeli	(2013)	Central
cana5 68.58 0.95 1901- Michael Pisaric, Porter et al. North-				1					Brock University.		
	cana5	68.58					0.95	1901-	Michael Pisaric,	Porter et al.	North-
23         333         -133.9         2         45         0.386         6         2001         Steve Kokelj         (2013)         Central	23	333	-133.9	2	45	0.386	6	2001	Steve Kokelj	(2013)	Central

cono5	68.46					0.88	1001	Brock University, Michael Pisaria	Porter et al	North
24	667	-132 15	2	40	03	0.88	2001	Steve Kokeli	(2013)	Central
21	007	102.10	2	10	0.5	0	2001	Brock University.	(2013)	Contaut
cana5	68.46					0.94	1901-	Michael Pisaric,	Porter et al.	North-
25	667	-132.133	2	83	0.252	1	2001	Steve Kokelj	(2013)	Central
								Brock University,		
cana5	68.51					0.96	1901-	Michael Pisaric,	Porter et al.	North-
26	667	-134	2	73	0.346	2	2001	Steve Kokelj	(2013)	Central
_								Brock University,		
cana5	60.4	122.2	2	(7	0.200	0.95	1901-	Michael Pisaric,	Porter et al.	North-
27	68.4	-132.2	2	6/	0.399	4	2001	Steve Kokelj	(2013)	Central
cono5							1001	Travor Dortar	Porter & Disorio	North
31	67.5	-138 033	249	42	0 363	0.95	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
51	07.5	150.055	217	12	0.505	0.75	2001	Whender I isuite	Porter &	Contrai
cana5	67.51					0.88	1901-	Trevor Porter.	Pisaric	North-
32	667	-138.033	249	41	0.194	5	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	67.51					0.91	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
33	667	-138.017	251	42	0.266	1	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	67.51					0.90	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
34	667	-140	243	35	0.274	7	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
-							1001		Porter &	
cana5	(7.5	120.05	2.12	~ ~	0.250	0.92	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
35	67.5	-139.95	243	22	0.358	2	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
00005	67 18					0.02	1001	Traver Derter	Porter &	North
36	333	-139 683	244	61	0.254	0.92	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
50	555	159.005	211	01	0.231	,	2001	Whender I isuite	Porter &	Contrai
cana5						0.93	1901-	Trevor Porter.	Pisaric	North-
37	67.8	-139.567	245	67	0.239	9	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5						0.94	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
38	67.95	-138.867	286	73	0.269	1	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	67.58					0.91	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
39	333	-138.25	258	67	0.264	9	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
_						0.02	1001		Porter &	NT 4
cana5	677	120 102	267	51	0.262	0.93	1901-	Trevor Porter, Michael Diserie	Pisaric (2011)	North-
40	07.7	-136.165	207	34	0.205	0	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011) Dortor &	Central
cana5	67.71					0.87	1901-	Trevor Porter	Pisaric	North-
41	667	-138,183	259	56	0.231	0.07	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
	007	150.105	239	50	0.231	,	2001	infender i iburie	Porter &	Contaut
cana5	68.13					0.88	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
42	333	-138.067	282	45	0.247	9	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	68.06						1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
43	667	-138.217	272	75	0.247	0.94	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	(0, 0 <b>-</b>	120 11-	262		0.001	0.91	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
44	68.05	-138.417	269	52	0.231	5	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
-						0.00	1001		Porter &	NT 4
cana5	67.05	120.2	265	74	0.10	0.90	1901-	I revor Porter,	Pisaric (2011)	North-
43	07.85	-138.2	205	/4	0.19	9	2001	Ivitchael Pisaric	(2011) Porter &	Central
cano5	68 21					0.82	1001	Trevor Porter	Pisario	North
46	667	-139 917	292	30	0.25	0.82	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
10	007	-137.717	212	50	0.25	/	2001	iviteitaet i isaite	(2011)	Junuar

									Porter &	
cana5						0.96	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
47	67.55	-138.583	251	94	0.29	8	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	67.53					0.88	1901-	Trevor Porter.	Pisaric	North-
48	333	-138 683	251	60	0.17	6	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
10	555	150.005	201	00	0.17	Ŭ	2001	Milender Filburie	Porter &	Contrai
cono5						0.00	1001	Traver Dorter	Disorio	North
	67 75	120 482	647	20	0.220	0.00	2001	Michael Diserie	(2011)	Control
49	07.75	-139.465	04/	30	0.239	1	2001	Witchael Pisaric	(2011) D ( 2011)	Central
-	(0.22					0.05	1001		Porter &	N1
canas	68.33	100.05				0.95	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
50	333	-139.25	339	89	0.248	3	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	68.33						1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
51	333	-138.283	315	94	0.252	0.95	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
									Porter &	
cana5	68.16					0.89	1901-	Trevor Porter,	Pisaric	North-
52	667	-138.217	305	60	0.2	6	2001	Michael Pisaric	(2011)	Central
					0	Ű			Porter &	
cana5	67 53					0.93	1901-	Trevor Porter	Pisaric	North-
53	222	138.067	251	67	0.27	0.95	2001	Michael Disorio	(2011)	Central
55	535	-138.007	231	07	0.27		1001	Whender I Isarie	(2011)	Central
car_w	32.13	114 526	1010	E	0.5(4	0.81	1901-	T 111	Hogg et al.	G (1 )
\$12	28	-114.536	1018	3	0.564	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
col_w	54.43			_		0.82	1948-		Hogg et al.	
s04	311	-110.609	556	5	0.543	1	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
col_w	54.50						1956-		Hogg et al.	
s06	666	-110.66	564	5	0.576	0.86	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
col w	54.41						1953-		Hogg et al.	
s08	536	-110.951	567	5	0.422	0.76	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cvn w	53.44					0.91	1956-		Hogg et al.	
s01	195	-115 329	877	5	0 694	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cvn w	53 33	1101022	011		0.07 .	0.91	1901-	100 110 88	Hogg et al	20 ann ann ann
$s_{02}$	976	-115 /32	050	5	0.694	0.71	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
502	52.22	-115.452	939	5	0.094	1 0 00	1022	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cyn_w	35.25	115 200	0.17	E	0 (22	0.00	1955-	T 111	(2017)	G (1 )
s03	969	-115.388	946	3	0.633	8	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cyn_w	53.36					0.85	1944-		Hogg et al.	
s04	514	-115.18	908	5	0.553	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
cyn_w	53.26					0.87	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s05	229	-115.27	911	5	0.602	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
fox_w	54.51					0.76	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s01	292	-117.5	875	5	0.396	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
fox w	54.55					0.56	1957-		Hogg et al.	
s02	511	-117.845	884	5	0.231	7	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
fox w	54 55				0.202		1964-		Hogg et al	
\$03	559	-117 725	838	5	0 4 4 3	0.78	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
for w	54.51	-11/./25	050	5	0.775	0.70	1001	Tea Hogg	Hogg et al	Southwest
10A_W	927	117 602	852	5	0 475	0.01	2001	Ted Hoge	(2017)	Southwest
S04	032	-117.002	032	3	0.475	9	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
gra_w	54.67	110.077	076	-	0.402	0.82	1903-	T 11	Hogg et al.	G .1 .
s01	969	-118.976	9/6	3	0.493	5	2001	1 ed Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
gra_w	54.68					0.84	1953-		Hogg et al.	
s02	794	-119.067	1045	5	0.55	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
gra_w	54.67					0.81	1957-		Hogg et al.	
s03	656	-118.801	909	5	0.494	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
hig w	58.75					0.93	1901-		Hogg et al.	West-
s01	412	-117.335	354	5	0.738	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Central
hig w	58.72		<u> </u>			0.87	1901-		Hogg et al	West-
s02	607	-117 387	405	5	0.611	7	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Central
hig w	58.95	117.307	105		0.011	0.01	1901-	100 11055	Horgetal	West-
ng_w	7/0	117.61	361	5	0.676	0.91	2001	Ted Hora	(2017)	Control
803	/40	-11/.01	501	5	0.070	2	2001	Teu nogg	(2017)	Ucilitat W 1
nig_w	39.09	117 (0)	226	5	0.504	0.8/	1901-	T 11	Hogg et al.	west-
s04	101	-11/.696	336	5	0.584	4	2001	1 ed Hogg	(2017)	Central

hig_w	59.10					0.91	1901-		Hogg et al.	West-
s05	78	-117.661	353	5	0.713	9	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Central
	55.27						1901-			
kuuj	457	-77.7638	14	5	N/A	N/A	1983	Ann Delwaide	TT / 1	Northeast
lac_w	54.89 474	111 407	600	5	0.460	0.81	1901-	Ted Hogg	Hogg et al. $(2017)$	Southwast
lac w	54.86	-111.407	099	5	0.409	0.77	1901-	Teu nogg	(2017) Hogg et al	Southwest
s02	34	-111.419	643	5	0.471	6	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
lac w	54.86			-		0.83	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s03	345	-111.485	724	5	0.519	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
lac_w	55.00					0.92	1953-		Hogg et al.	
s04	812	-111.668	670	5	0.73	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
	10.69	87.0	0	10	0.202	0.93	1901-	Montin Cinendia		East-
man	49.08	-07.9	0	40	0.292	0.84	1007		Hogg et al	Central
ws01	733	-117 555	547	5	0 531	0.84	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
man	57.15	117.555	517	5	0.001	0.77	1917-	100 11055	Hogg et al.	Bouinvest
$ws0\overline{2}$	273	-117.847	650	5	0.471	6	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
man_	57.16					0.85	1928-		Hogg et al.	
ws03	552	-117.955	663	5	0.587	9	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
man_	57.17					0.85	1908-		Hogg et al.	
ws04	353	-117.983	689	5	0.55	1	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
mil_w	53.10	112 402	7(7	-	0.476	0.80	1931-	T 11	Hogg et al.	<b>C</b> (1) (
s14	14	-113.493	/6/	3	0.4/6	1	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
mil_w	031	113 40	761	5	0 335	0.70	1921-	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
mil w	53.01	-115.49	701	5	0.555	0.66	1945-	Teu Hogg	(2017) Hogg et al	Southwest
s16	142	-113.46	803	5	0.302	0.00	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
nor w	52.41			-		0.94	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s01	125	-116.097	1378	5	0.77	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
nor_w	52.42					0.91	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s02	579	-116.104	1449	5	0.698	6	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
nor_w	52.46					0.87	1902-		Hogg et al.	
s03	087	-116.103	1422	5	0.594	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
nor_w	52.52	116 416	1662	5	0 422	0.76	1913-	Ted Hogg	Hogg et al. $(2017)$	Southwast
S04	52.46	-110.410	1005	5	0.425	0.83	1901-	Ted Hogg	(2017) Hogg et al	Southwest
s05	888	-116 131	1379	5	0 505	0.85	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
smo	54.03	110.151	1575	5	0.000	0.75	1936-	100 11055	Hogg et al.	Bouinvest
$ws0\overline{2}$	964	-112.357	605	5	0.447	2	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
sun_w	51.76					0.82	1901-		Hogg et al.	
s13	469	-114.709	1128	5	0.483	4	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
swa_	54.89					0.84	1901-		Hogg et al.	
ws01	035	-115.364	901	5	0.517	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
swa_	54.83	115 207	0(2	5	0.20	0.75	1901-	Tallar	Hogg et al.	C t
WS02	54.82	-115.387	962	3	0.38	0.75	1001	Ted Hogg	(2017) Hogg at al	Southwest
swa_ ws03	54.85 145	-115 382	893	5	0.17	0.30	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
swa	54.80	-115.582	075	5	0.17	/	1901-	reu nogg	Hogg et al	Southwest
ws04	83	-115.538	1102	5	0.571	0.87	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
swa	54.84					0.54	1901-		Hogg et al.	
ws05	791	-115.479	1038	5	0.192	3	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wab_	56.03					0.79	1901-		Hogg et al.	
ws04	066	-113.734	610	5	0.437	5	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wab	56.07	110 510	<i>(</i> ) -	-	0.077	0.74	1901-	<b>T</b> 111	Hogg et al.	G 1
ws05	079	-113.769	615	5	0.375	8	2001	1ed Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wab_	55.98 519	113 620	505	5	0.62	0.89	1901- 2001	Ted Hoga	(2017)	Southwest
ws07	56.02	-113.028	373	5	0.05	0.65	1901-	1 cu nogg	(2017) Hoggetal	Soumwest
ws08	949	-113.864	614	5	0.304	5	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest

win	52.96					0.66	1929-		Hogg et al.	
ws17	239	-114.3	919	5	0.31	9	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
win_	52.95					0.87	1914-		Hogg et al.	
ws18	372	-114.194	932	5	0.602	9	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wor_	56.55						1901-		Hogg et al.	
ws02	927	-119.392	730	5	0.513	0.84	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wor	56.59					0.89	1901-		Hogg et al.	
ws03	526	-119.37	889	5	0.633	6	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest
wor	56.58					0.84	1901-		Hogg et al.	
$ws0\overline{4}$	466	-119.347	810	5	0.525	7	2001	Ted Hogg	(2017)	Southwest

## **References included in the table:**

- D'Arrigo, R., Wilson, R., & Jacoby, G. (2006). On the long-term context for late twentieth century warming. Journal of Geophysical Research, 111, D03103, doi:10.1029/2005JD006352
- Graumlich, L.J. & King, J.C. (1997). Late Holocene climatic variation in northwestern Alaska as reconstructed from tree rings. A final report on cooperative research with the National Park Service
- Hogg, E. H., Michaelian, M., Hook, T. I., & Undershultz, M. E. (2017). Recent climatic drying leads to age-independent growth reductions of white spruce stands in western Canada. Global Change Biology, 23(12), 5297-5308.
- Porter, T. J. & Pisaric, M. F. J. (2011). Temperature-growth divergence in white spruce forests of Old Crow Flats, Yukon Territory, and adjacent regions of northwestern North America. *Global Change Biology*, 17(11), 3418-3430. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02507.x
- Porter, T. J. & Pisaric, M. F. J., Kokelj, S. V., & deMontigny, P. (2013). A ring-width-based reconstruction of June-July minimum temperatures since AD 1245 from white spruce stands in the Mackenzie Delta region, northwestern Canada. *Quaternary Research*, 80(2), 167-179. doi: 10.1016/j.yqres.2013.05.004
- St. George, S., Meko, D.M., Girardin, M. P., MacDonald, G.M., Nielsen, E., Pederson, G.T., Sauchyn, D.J., Tardif, J. C., & Watson, E. (2009). The Tree-Ring Record of Drought on the Canadian Prairies. *Journal of Climate*, 22, 689-710. DOI: 10.1175/2008JCLI2441.1
- Sullivan, P. F., Ellison, S. B. Z., McNown, R. W., Brownlee, A. H., & Sveinbjörnsson, B. (2015). Evidence of soil nutrient availability as the proximate constraint on growth of treeline trees in northwest Alaska. *Ecology*, 96(3), 16-27. doi: 10.1890/14-0626.1