

1 **Broadening the genetic base of *Brassica napus* canola by interspecific crosses**
2 **with different variants of *B. oleracea***

3

4 **Iftikhar R, Wang X, Rahman H¹**

5

6 Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton,
7 Alberta, T6G 2P5, Canada

8 ¹Corresponding author (e-mail: habibur.rahman@ualberta.ca).

9

10 **Abstract** Broadening the genetic base of the C genome of *Brassica napus* canola by use of *B.*
11 *oleracea* is important. In this study, the prospect of developing *B. napus* canola lines from *B.*
12 *napus* × *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*, *botrytis*, *italica* and *capitata* crosses and the effect of
13 backcrossing the F₁'s to *B. napus* were investigated. The efficiency of the production of the F₁'s
14 varied depending on the *B. oleracea* variant used in the cross. Fertility of the F₁ plants was low –
15 produced, on average, about 0.7 F₂ seeds per self-pollination and similar number of BC₁ seeds on
16 backcrossing to *B. napus*. The F₃ population showed greater fertility than the BC₁F₂; however,
17 this difference diminished with the advancement of generation. The advanced generation
18 populations, whether derived from F₂ or BC₁, showed similar fertility and produced similar size
19 silique with similar number of seeds per silique. Progeny of all F₁'s and BC₁'s stabilized into *B.*
20 *napus*, although *B. oleracea* plant was expected, especially in the progeny of F₁ (ACC) owing to
21 elimination of the A chromosomes during meiosis. Segregation distortion for erucic acid alleles
22 occurred in both F₂ and BC₁ resulting significantly fewer zero-erucic plants than expected;
23 however, plants with ≤15% erucic acid frequently yielded zero-erucic progeny. No consistent
24 correlation between parent and progeny generation was found for seed glucosinolate content;
25 however, selection for this trait was effective and *B. napus* canola lines were obtained from all
26 crosses. Silique length showed positive correlation with seed set; the advanced generation
27 populations, whether derived from F₂ or BC₁, were similar for these traits. SSR marker analysis
28 showed that genetically diverse canola lines can be developed by using different variants of *B.*
29 *oleracea* in *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific crosses.

30

31 **Keywords** *Brassica napus* • *Brassica oleracea* • *alboglabra* • *botrytis* • *italica* • *capitata* •
32 interspecific cross • plant fertility • chromosome stability • canola quality

33

34 **Introduction**

35

36 The oilseed crop *Brassica napus* L. canola supplies about 15% of the total vegetable oil in the
37 world. It is the major oilseed crop in the European Union, Canada, Australia, China and India,
38 and makes significant contribution to their national economy. Therefore, improvement of this
39 crop through breeding is important; for this, presence of adequate genetic diversity in the
40 breeding population is essentially needed. The short history of domestication of *B. napus*
41 (Prakash et al. 2012) and the breeding strategies, such as selection for low erucic acid and
42 glucosinolate content (Friedt and Snowdon 2010) and the use of canola quality germplasm in
43 repeated cycle of breeding, as well as growing of a specific form, such as spring, semi-winter or
44 winter type, in a specific growing region and keeping these gene pools isolated from each other
45 are some of the reasons for the lack of adequate genetic diversity in *B. napus* canola (Hasan et al.
46 2006; Cowling 2007; Fu and Gugel 2010; for review, see Rahman 2013). According to Gyawali
47 et al. (2013), the Canadian and Australian populations carry the lowest richness of unique alleles
48 as well as the least genetic diversity.

49 Genetic diversity analysis by use of molecular markers placed the European winter,
50 Chinese semi-winter, rutabaga and spring type of *B. napus* into distinct gene pools (Diers and
51 Osborn 1994; Hasan et al. 2006; Bus et al. 2011); and the genomes of the two diploid progenitor
52 species *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* are found to be genetically distinct from each other as well as
53 from their corresponding genome of *B. napus* (Thormann et al. 1994; Abel et al. 2005). Efforts
54 have been made to broaden the genetic base of the spring *B. napus* canola through the use of the
55 European winter type (Butruille et al. 1999; Kebede et al. 2010) or Chinese semi-winter type *B.*
56 *napus* (Udall et al. 2004, Qian et al. 2007, 2009) or rutabagas (Rahman et al. 2014). The diploid
57 progenitor species *B. rapa* has been used in the breeding of Chinese (Liu 1985 cited by Chen et
58 al. 2008; Qian et al. 2006; Mei et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013) as well as Canadian (Kubik et al. 1999;
59 Attri and Rahman 2018) *B. napus*. Indeed, introgression of allelic diversity from *B. rapa* into *B.*
60 *napus* has made the Chinese oilseed *B. napus* germplasm quite distinct from other *B. napus*
61 germplasm (Qian et al. 2006), and this germplasm has shown the potential for increasing

62 heterosis in European winter and Canadian spring *B. napus* hybrid canola cultivars (Qian et al.
63 2005, 2007, 2009). In the case of the other parental species *B. oleracea*, very little effort have
64 been made to utilize this gene pool in the breeding of *B. napus* canola. To our knowledge, only
65 two accessions of kale (*B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra* and var. *acephala*) have been used for
66 broadening the genetic base of spring (Bennett et al. 2012; Rahman et al. 2015) or Chinese semi-
67 winter *B. napus* (Li et al. 2014).

68 Seeds of *B. oleracea* generally contain a high level of erucic acid in oil (> 40 %) and a
69 high level of glucosinolate (GSL) (>100 μ mol per g meal) in seed meal, and this species also
70 show low crossability with *B. napus* (Bennett et al. 2008). High sterility in the progeny of *B.*
71 *napus* \times *B. oleracea* interspecific hybrids, due to meiotic abnormalities (Chiang et al. 1978;
72 Bennett et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014), also imposes the difficulty of getting a euploid line from this
73 interspecific cross. These are some of the factors that may have contributed to the reluctance of
74 the use of *B. oleracea* gene pool in the breeding of *B. napus* canola despite wide diversity exists
75 in *B. oleracea* (dos Santos et al. 1994; Simonsen, and Heneen 1995; Lázaro and Aguinagalde
76 1998; Izzah et al. 2013). Previously, we investigated the prospect of developing canola quality *B.*
77 *napus* line from a *B. napus* \times *B. oleracea* interspecific cross by use of a Chinese kale (var.
78 *alboglabra*) accession (Rahman et al. 2015). Given the fact that wide morphological and genetic
79 diversity exists in *B. oleracea*, it is important to study the different variants of this species for
80 utilization of this wide diversity in breeding. It is also well-known that the allied species and exotic
81 gene pool carry many alleles which are undesirable for *B. napus* canola; introduction of these alleles
82 can disrupt the favourable allele combinations of the elite lines that have been established over cycles
83 of breeding. In this context, limited backcrossing approach, as suggested by Falk (2010), can be
84 advantageous for getting the benefit of the exotic alleles while maintaining the favourable allele
85 combinations to some extent.

86 The objective of this research was to evaluate the prospect of developing genetically
87 diverse canola quality euploid *B. napus* lines by using different variants of *B. oleracea*, such as
88 the Chinese kale (var. *alboglabra*), cauliflower (var. *botrytis*), broccoli (var. *italica*) and cabbage
89 (var. *capitata*), in *B. napus* \times *B. oleracea* interspecific crosses and following the approach of
90 with or without backcrossing of the interspecific hybrids to the *B. napus* parent.

91

92 **Materials and methods**

93

94 Parental Materials

95

96 One canola quality (zero erucic acid and $<15 \mu\text{mol glucosinolates g}^{-1}$ seed) spring type *B. napus*
97 (AACC, $2n = 38$) line A04-73NA and four *B. oleracea* lines/cultivars, viz. *B. oleracea* var.
98 *alboglabra*-NRC, var. *botrytis* cv. BARI Cauliflower-1, var. *italica* cv. Premium Crop, and var.
99 *capitata* cv. Balbro, were used. Seeds of *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra* were obtained from the
100 National Research Council (NRC), Saskatoon, Canada, BARI Cauliflower-1 from Bangladesh
101 Agricultural Research Institute, Bangladesh, and cvs. Premium Crop and Balbro were obtained
102 from Dr. Ron Howard, Alberta Agriculture and Rural Development, Brooks, AB, Canada from
103 his germplasm collection. All *B. oleracea* parents were of non-canola quality types ($>40\%$ erucic
104 acid in seed oil and $>80 \mu\text{mol glucosinolates per g}$ of seed meal). The following four
105 interspecific crosses were made using the *B. napus* as female:

106 A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*

107 A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *botrytis* cv. BARI Cauliflower-1

108 A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *italica* cv. Premium Crop

109 A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *capitata* cv. Balbro

110 The F₁ plants were produced through application of embryo culture technique (Bennett et al.
111 2008) and were grown in a growth chamber (20/15 °C day/night temperatures, 16 h photoperiod,
112 and photosynthetic flux density of $450 \mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at plant level). The plants were self-pollinated
113 for F₂ seeds as well as backcrossed to the *B. napus* parent A04-73NA for backcross (BC₁) seeds:

114 (A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*) \times A04-73NA

115 (A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *botrytis*) \times A04-73NA

116 (A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *italica*) \times A04-73NA

117 (A04-73NA \times *B. oleracea* var. *capitata*) \times A04-73NA

118

119 Development of F₂- and BC₁-derived inbred lines

120

121 The F₂ and BC₁ populations were grown in a greenhouse (21°/18° \pm 2°C day/night) and
122 subjected to self-pollination with selection of individual plants for canola quality traits
123 irrespective of their morphology (*B. napus* or *B. oleracea* type). Selection for zero erucic acid

124 started from F₂ generation, while analysis for glucosinolate content started one generation later
125 as larger quantity of seeds was required for this analysis. The F₃ and BC₁F₂, F₄ and BC₁F₃, F₆
126 and BC₁F₅, and F₈ and BC₁F₇ populations of all four crosses were grown in a greenhouse in
127 spring 2012, and in winter 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15, respectively; the F₅ and BC₁F₄
128 populations of A04-73NA × *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra* and A04-73NA × *B. oleracea* var.
129 *botrytis* were grown in field plots in 2013 at the Edmonton Research Station of the University of
130 Alberta and of A04-73NA × *B. oleracea* var. *italica* and A04-73NA × *B. oleracea* var. *capitata*
131 were grown in a greenhouse in 2013; and the F₇ and BC₁F₆ population of all crosses were grown
132 in field in 2014. Field plot size in 2013 and 2014 was 2.0 m long single row (unreplicated) with
133 50 cm space between the rows. In greenhouse, plants were grown in six-inch pots filled with
134 SunGrow Sunshine Mix 4 (composed of sphagnum peat moss, fine perlite, dolomitic limestone,
135 and gypsum), and the plants were watered daily and fertilized every week with 15-30-15 N-P-K
136 fertilizer. Self-pollinated seeds were obtained by bagging of individual plants with transparent
137 and micro-perforated plastic bags and the seeds were used to grow the next generation
138 population. The detail of *B. napus* canola inbred line development from these interspecific
139 crosses is presented in [Fig. 1](#).

140

141 Plant fertility analysis

142

143 Plant fertility in the F₂ and BC₁ generation populations was estimated based on seed yield per
144 plant (g), while plant fertility in the subsequent generations (F₃, BC₁F₂, F₄, BC₁F₃, F₅, BC₁F₄, F₆,
145 BC₁F₅, and F₇, BC₁F₆) was estimated based on silique length (mm), number of seeds per silique,
146 and seed yield per plant (g). For measurement of silique length, three to five siliques from the
147 middle to upper half of the main raceme were measured and the mean values were used in data
148 analysis. Seeds from the same siliques were counted and the average number of seeds per silique
149 was calculated. Data of these traits were compared with the *B. napus* parent A04-73NA grown
150 along with these populations to estimate plant fertility.

151

152

153 Fatty acid analysis

154

155 Erucic acid content was estimated in self-pollinated seeds of the F₂ and F₂-derived (F₃, F₄, F₅ and
156 F₆) plants, and the BC₁ and BC₁-derived (BC₁F₃, BC₁F₄, and BC₁F₅) plants by gas
157 chromatographic technique. For this, 0.2 g bulk seeds were used. The details of oil extraction and
158 conversion to methyl esters, and gas chromatographic analysis of erucic acid content is reported
159 elsewhere ([Bennett et al. 2008](#)).

160

161 Glucosinolate analysis

162

163 Total glucosinolate (GSL) content in seeds harvested from the F₂- and BC₁-derived populations
164 (F₃, F₄, BC₁F₃, F₅, BC₁F₄, F₆, BC₁F₅, F₇, BC₁F₆) was determined by near-infrared spectroscopy
165 (NIRS, FOSS NIRSystems model 6500) method. For this, 2.5 to 4.0 g self-pollinated seeds
166 harvested from individual plants grown in greenhouse or 5.0 to 8.0 g open-pollinated bulk seeds
167 harvested from several plants grown in field plots were used. Glucosinolate content was
168 calculated on 8.5% moisture basis and reported as $\mu\text{mol/g}$ seed.

169

170 Ploidy analysis

171

172 Flow cytometric analysis for relative nuclear DNA content (or Partec value) was done on F₈ and
173 BC₁F₇ generation plants grown in a greenhouse to estimate their approximate chromosome
174 number — whether the plants were similar to the *B. napus* or *B. oleracea* parent. For this, two
175 leaf samples, each of approximately 0.5 cm² in size, were collected from each plant (3-4 weeks
176 old) and were chopped with a razor blade in extraction buffer (Partec GmbH, Münster,
177 Germany). After that, the samples were filtered through 50 μm Partec CellTrics disposable filter
178 and 1.6 ml nuclear fluorochrome DAPI (4,6-diaminido-2-phenylindole, Sigma, product no. D-
179 9542) staining buffer was added. The samples were incubated for 1-2 min and analyzed by a
180 Partec Ploidy Analyzer (Partec GmbH, Münster, Germany). Mean value of the two samples were
181 used for data analysis.

182

183 Molecular marker analysis

184

185 Molecular marker analysis was done to study diversity of the interspecific cross-derived plants
186 from the elite canola line A04-73NA. For this, a total of 76 F₄ and 111 BC₁F₃ generation plants
187 of the four crosses and their parents were used. Genomic DNA of the plants was extracted from
188 leaf samples of 3-4 weeks old plants grown in a greenhouse using a SIGMA DNA extraction kit
189 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and following manufacturer's instructions, and the DNA was
190 diluted to a concentration of $15 \pm 5 \text{ ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$ for polymerase chain reaction (PCR). A total of 366
191 SSR markers from nine C genome linkage groups (C1-C9) were tested on the five parents for
192 polymorphism. These markers were obtained from Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC)
193 (299 markers) through a material transfer agreement, and the markers published by [Cheng et al.](#)
194 [\(2009\)](#) (10 markers) and [Li et al. \(2011\)](#) (57 markers). Based on parental polymorphism, 102
195 markers from nine linkage groups were used to genotype the F₄ and BC₁F₃ plants. PCR was
196 performed according to [Kebede et al. \(2010\)](#) and genotyping data was obtained by analysing the
197 samples with a capillary ABI sequencer No. 3730 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

198

199 Statistical analysis

200

201 Data recorded on different agronomic and seed quality traits were analyzed for basic descriptive
202 statistics such as mean, range, standard deviation and standard error by using MS Excel, and
203 comparison of different generation populations was done by using Statistical Analysis Software
204 ([SAS version 9.3](#)).

205 For molecular marker data analysis, the marker amplicons were given a score of 1 when
206 present in a sample and a score of 0 when absent. A binary data matrix based on these scores for
207 different SSR markers was produced and used to calculate Dice genetic similarity coefficients
208 ([Nei and Li 1979](#)) by using the software Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System
209 (NTSYSpc 2.2) ([Rohlf 2000](#)). These similarity coefficients were used for cluster analysis
210 following unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA).

211

212 **Results**

213

214 Production of interspecific F₁ hybrids

215

216 A total of 214 fertilized ovules from 112 cross-pollinations of the four crosses were cultured *in*
217 *vitro* from where 60 embryos were obtained which yielded 47 F₁ plants. Hybrid nature of the
218 plants was confirmed through morphological comparison with the *B. napus* parent. Number
219 hybrid plants obtained per pollination varied, depending on the crosses, from 0.19 to 0.96 with a
220 mean of 0.42 (Table 1).

221

222 Production of F₂ and BC₁ generation population and their fertility

223

224 All F₁ plants showed high sterility and produced very little pollen; therefore, manual self-
225 pollination of individual flower (bud) was done to obtain sufficient number of F₂ seeds. A total
226 of 457 F₂ seeds of the four crosses were obtained from 594 manual self-pollinations and this
227 translated to 0.77 (range 0.04 to 1.61) seeds per self pollination (Table 2a). On the other hand,
228 backcrossing of the F₁ plants (female) to the *B. napus* parent (male) yielded 0.65 (range 0.26 to
229 2.41) seeds per pollination (Table 2b). Of the four crosses, the F₁ plants of *B. oleracea* var.
230 *italica* produced the greatest number of F₂ and the cross involving *B. oleracea* var. *botrytis*
231 produced the greatest number of BC₁ seeds per pollination. A total of 183 F₂ and 210 BC₁ plants
232 were grown where 69% and 81% plants, respectively, of these two populations produced viable
233 seeds (Table 2).

234

235 Growing F₃ and BC₁F₂, and subsequent generation populations

236

237 To capture maximum genetic diversity of the F₂ and BC₁ populations in their progeny, a
238 total of 636 F₃ plants from all 127 families and 761 BC₁F₂ plants from all 170 families of the
239 four crosses were grown (Table 2). About 60% of the F₃ plants and 40% of the BC₁F₂ plants of
240 the four crosses produced viable seeds. Selection in F₃ and BC₁F₂ and in their progeny
241 generations was done primarily for the two canola quality traits; however, plants with extremely
242 low seed set in some cases were discarded. A total of 420, 740, 384 and 514 plants, respectively,
243 of the F₄, F₅, F₆ and F₇ generations, and 549, 835, 422 and 639 plants, respectively, of the BC₁F₃,
244 BC₁F₄, BC₁F₅ and BC₁F₆ generations of the four crosses were grown. An increase in the length
245 of silique and number of seeds per silique was observed with the progression of generations. For
246 example, the F₄ and BC₁F₃ populations, grown in greenhouse, on average, produced about 30

247 mm long silique carrying about 3 seeds per silique, while the F₆ and BC₁F₅ populations, grown in
248 greenhouse, produced about 10 mm longer silique with about 3-times greater number of seeds
249 per silique. Silique length and number of seeds per silique of the F₇ and BC₁F₆ populations,
250 grown in field is presented in Fig. 2 (supplementary Table 1). No significant difference between
251 the populations of the four crosses could be found for these two silique traits. These populations
252 still produced about 10 mm shorter silique with fewer seeds than the *B. napus* parent. All F₈ and
253 BC₁F₇ plants grown in greenhouse produced viable seed under self-pollination.

254

255 Erucic acid content in F₂ and BC₁, and in their progeny generations

256

257 Frequency distribution of the F₂ and BC₁ plants for erucic acid content in seed oil is presented in
258 Fig. 3A and 3B, respectively, and scatter diagrams for the content of this fatty acid in different
259 parent vs. offspring generations are presented in Fig. 3C, 3D and 3E. Erucic acid content in both
260 F₂ and BC₁ population ranged from 0 to about 20%. Segregation for absence (<1%) vs. presence
261 (>1%) of erucic acid in the F₂ population deviated significantly from the expected 1:3 ratio ($\chi^2 =$
262 5.33, $p < 0.05$); and in the BC₁ population, segregation deviated significantly from 1:1 ratio ($\chi^2 =$
263 47.27, $p < 0.001$). In both cases, significantly less number of plants fell into the zero erucic acid
264 group (Fig. 3A, 3B). Considering additive effect of the erucic acid alleles, nine different
265 genotypes resulting five phenotypes would be expected in the F₂ generation, as outlined by
266 Rahman et al. (2015). Based on data of the 36 F₂ plants, only three phenotypic groups could be
267 established while the two other groups of plants producing about 30% and 40% erucic acid could
268 not be found (Fig. 3A); this deviation was apparently due to small population size. In case of the
269 BC₁ population, four genotypes resulting three phenotypic classes with about 0%, 10% and 15%
270 erucic acid would be expected in 2:1:1 ratio. The observed distribution of the BC₁ plants covered
271 the expected range of variation for this fatty acid; however, the distribution deviated significantly
272 from the expected distribution where significantly greater number of plants belonging to 10%
273 erucic acid class was found (Fig. 3B).

274 Scatter diagram of the F₂ vs. F₃ and F₃ vs. F₄ population showed that the zero-erucic acid
275 type stabilized for this phenotype. On the other hand, the parent generation plants with erucic
276 acid content up to about 10% often gave zero-erucic progeny (Fig. 3C and 3D). In case of the
277 population derived from the BC₁, parent-offspring relationship was studied in BC₁F₃ vs. BC₁F₄

278 populations. In this case, in addition to the zero and 10% erucic acid class, the 15% erucic acid
279 class also yielded zero-erucic progeny (Fig. 3E). Despite the deviation from the expected
280 segregation found in the progeny derived from this interspecific cross, selection for zero-erucic
281 phenotype was quite effective; all F₇ and BC₁F₆ generation populations were indeed zero-erucic
282 acid type (data not shown).

283

284 Glucosinolate (GSL) content in F₂- and BC₁-derived populations

285

286 The estimation of seed GSL content was started on seeds harvested from the F₃ and BC₁F₃ plants
287 due to the lack of the quantity seeds needed for this analysis from the earlier generations. GSL
288 content in the seeds harvested from 199 F₃ plants of the four crosses grown in greenhouse ranged
289 from 5.2 to 77.4 μmol/g seed with a mean of 29.3 ± 15.1 SD (*B. napus* parent, 8.9 ± 1.7 SD
290 μmol/g seed). In this generation, the mean GSL content of the four crosses was similar (26.3 ±
291 12.5 SD to 34.6 ± 12.7 SD). In case of the BC₁-derived population, GSL content in the seeds
292 harvested from 343 BC₁F₃ plants of the four crosses varied from 8.1 to 81.7 μmol/g seed with a
293 mean of 33.6 ± 16.6 SD (*B. napus* parent, 9.7 ± 0.9 SD μmol/g seed); mean GSL content of the
294 four crosses varied from 29.0 ± 10.4 SD to 42.2 ± 22.1 SD μmol/g seed. Seed GSL content is
295 known to be influenced by the environment (Mailer and Pratley 1990) as well as seed set of the
296 plants (Holm et al. 1985), therefore, no stringent selection for this trait was performed in the
297 early generation populations – seed with more than 50 μmol/g seed were primarily discarded;
298 however, stronger selection for low GSL content was performed in F₅ and BC₁F₄ generation
299 when higher fertility (seed set) of the plants was observed.

300 The F₂- and BC₁-derived populations were grown under different growth conditions
301 (greenhouse or field) and this trait is known to be significantly influenced by environment
302 (Mailer and Pratley 1990); therefore, GSL content data of the F₂ and BC₁-derived plants was
303 adjusted based on GSL content of the *B. napus* parent A04-73NA. For this, the GSL content of
304 A04-73NA was subtracted from the GSL content of the F₂- or BC₁-derived plants grown under
305 same growth condition. This resulted either positive (GSL content higher than confidence limits
306 of A04-73NA) or negative (GSL content lower than confidence limits of A04-73NA) or zero
307 (falling within confidence limits of A04-73NA) values for the F₂- and BC₁-derived plants. Based
308 on this data, scatter diagrams of the parent vs. offspring generation of the F₂- and BC₁-derived

309 populations are presented in Fig. 4. Correlation between the parent and offspring generation was
310 positive and varied from 0.27 to 0.72 (Fig. 4); no consistent pattern of the strength of this relation
311 between the parent and offspring generations grown in greenhouse or the parent generation
312 grown in greenhouse and the offspring grown in field or *vice versa* was found. However,
313 selection for low GSL content was effective in all populations. GSL content in the F₇ and BC₁F₆
314 generation populations, grown in field, varied from about 10 - 50 μmol/g seed with mean of 17.5
315 ± 6.6 SD to 28.2 ± 11.0 SD (*B. napus*: range 12.7 – 24.5; mean 19.6 ± 2.4 SD) (Fig. 5),
316 respectively, and the population selected for growing the next generation population had mean
317 GSL content less than 20 μmol/g seed (Supplementary Table 2). Thus, it was possible to develop
318 canola quality *B. napus* lines from all four crosses, with or without backcrossing.

319

320 Ploidy analysis of the F₈ and BC₁F₇ populations

321

322 The F₈ and BC₁F₇ populations had similar relative nuclear DNA content (partec value) and all
323 were statistically similar ($p > 0.05$) to the *B. napus* parent A04-73NA; none of the plants had
324 nuclear DNA content similar to *B. oleracea* (Fig. 6; Supplementary Table 3). This suggests that
325 all F₈ and BC₁F₇ plants stabilized into *B. napus* type ($2n = 38$).

326

327 Molecular marker analysis of the F₄ and BC₁F₃ population

328

329 A total of 102 SSR markers from the *Brassica* C genome amplified a total of 325 alleles specific
330 to the four *B. oleracea* parents (103 of *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*, 93 of var. *botrytis*, 60 of var.
331 *italica*, and 69 of var. *capitata*). Genetic similarity of the four variants of *B. oleracea*, var.
332 *albograbra*, var *botrytis*, var. *italica*, and var. *capitata*, with the *B. napus* parent was 0.264,
333 0.255, 0.184, and 0.160, respectively.

334 Cluster analysis of the F₄ and BC₁F₃ populations showed that, wide diversity existed
335 among the populations derived from the four interspecific crosses where several lines were
336 genetically distinct from the *B. napus* parent (Fig. 7); however, few lines lack *B. oleracea* alleles
337 and showed similarity with the *B. napus* parent. No distinct grouping of the F₄ and BC₁F₃
338 populations could be found in case of the populations derived from *B. napus* × var. *alboglabra*
339 and *B. napus* × var. *botrytis* crosses. However, the F₄ and BC₁F₃ populations derived from the *B.*

340 *napus* × var. *italica* and *B. napus* × var. *capitata* crosses tended to form stronger genetically
341 distinct groups where the most of the BC₁F₃ plants were genetically closer to the *B. napus*
342 parent; the two *B. oleracea* parents involved in these crosses also showed greatest genetic
343 distance from the *B. napus* parent.

344

345 **Discussion**

346

347 Breeding effort in the last few decades has substantially diversified the A genome of the Chinese
348 *B. napus* through introgression of allelic diversity from its progenitor species *B. rapa* (Qian et al.
349 2006; Liu 1985 cited by Chen et al. 2008; Mei et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013). In the recent years
350 researchers, such as Bennett et al. (2012) and Li et al. (2014) emphasized the need of
351 diversifying the C genome of *B. napus* through the use of the progenitor species *B. oleracea*.

352 In the present study, we investigated the prospect of developing canola quality euploid *B.*
353 *napus* lines from *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific crosses involving different variants of this
354 progenitor species, viz. Chinese kale, cauliflower, broccoli and cabbage. In addition to this,
355 backcrossing of the F₁ to the *B. napus* parent was done with the hypothesis that fertile euploid *B.*
356 *napus* ($2n = 38$) canola lines can be obtained relatively easily in the population derived from BC₁
357 as compared to the population derived from F₂ due to higher contribution of the *B. napus*
358 genome. Indeed, plant fertility in BC₁ population was significantly higher than in F₂ – about 25%
359 greater number of BC₁ plants produced viable seeds as compared to the F₂ population. In
360 contrast, when compared the BC₁F₂ and F₃ population, the F₃ population showed significantly
361 higher fertility as compared to the BC₁F₂ population. This might be for the reason that the F₃
362 population passed through self-pollination for two times while the BC₁F₂ population passed
363 through this only one time, and this resulted higher fertility in the F₃ population as compared to
364 the BC₁F₂ population. However, the difference between the populations derived from the F₂ and
365 BC₁ was not evident after an additional generation of self-pollination. Thus, the results from this
366 study, by using four variants of *B. oleracea*, demonstrated that self-pollination of the *B. napus* ×
367 *B. oleracea* populations in their early generation directs the population towards the euploid *B.*
368 *napus* type; indeed, some of the F₂- and BC₁-derived plants had seed set similar to *B. napus* in
369 their early generation (data not presented). This indicates that the early generation interspecific
370 hybrid plants with aneuploid chromosome composition largely produce unviable gametes;

371 however, only a couple of generation of self-pollination improve fertility of the plants to a great
372 extent. Indeed, [Rahman et al. \(2011\)](#) and [Li et al. \(2014\)](#) obtained stable euploid *B. napus* plant
373 ($2n = 38$) in F₂ and F₃ population of *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific crosses using a single
374 variant of *B. oleracea* in their study. The low seed set in the early generation populations could
375 also be due to the effect of self-incompatibility allele's introgressed from *B. oleracea*. However,
376 the lack of consistent difference between the population derived from the cross involving var.
377 *alboglabra* (self-compatible type) and the populations derived from the other three crosses
378 ([Table 2](#)) suggests that aneuploidy played a greater role in this low seed set. Despite several
379 challenges associated with *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific cross, canola quality *B. napus*
380 lines were obtained from all four crosses involving different variants of *B. oleracea*.

381 The genome composition of the F₁ plants of the *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* crosses was ACC.
382 Theoretically, it was expected that these F₁ plants will produce gametes with chromosome
383 number ranging from 9C + 0A to 9C + 10A due to normal disomic segregation of the nine
384 homologous chromosome pairs of the C genome and random assortment of the haploid set of the
385 10 A genome chromosomes. Based on this, it was expected to obtain progeny plants with
386 variable chromosomes composition ranging from euploid *B. oleracea* (CC, $2n = 18$) to euploid
387 *B. napus* (AACC, $2n = 38$) with majority being aneuploids with variable chromosome
388 composition (CC + 1A to CC + 19A). The aneuploid plants were expected to show variable
389 degree of sterility. Indeed, majority of the F₂ plants showed sterility and few *B. napus* type plant
390 with high fertility was also obtained; however, no *B. oleracea* (CC, $2n = 18$) type plant could be
391 obtained in F₂ or in subsequent generation populations of all four interspecific crosses. Thus,
392 through working with different variants of *B. oleracea*, we demonstrate that the progeny of the
393 ACC digenomic triploid stabilizes into amphidiploid *B. napus* type; this is apparently due to
394 greater viability of the gametes carrying greater number of chromosomes of these two genomes.
395 Brassica allopolyploids can tolerate aneuploidy including simultaneous loss and gain of the A-
396 and C-genome chromosomes to some extent ([Zhou et al. 2016](#)); therefore, it is probable that
397 some of the *B. napus* lines developed in this study are not 'true' amphidiploid. However, [Qian et al. \(2005\)](#)
398 found that the digenomic AAC triploid, derived from *B. napus* × *B. rapa* interspecific
399 crosses, mostly produces AC euploid gametes, and working with a single *B. oleracea* variant,
400 [Rahman et al. \(2015\)](#) showed that the progeny of *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific cross
401 stabilizes into *B. napus*. Similarly, [Attri and Rahman \(2018\)](#) also reported that the AAC progeny

402 of *B. napus* × *B. rapa* interspecific crosses stabilizes into *B. napus* type. Thus, it is highly likely
403 that most of the *B. napus* lines developed in the present study are euploid. This knowledge has
404 important implication in breeding that, no special effort for selection of *B. napus* type plants will
405 be needed in the self-pollinated progeny of the digenomic triploids carrying the A and C
406 genomes – population will mostly stabilize into *B. napus* type. Zaman (1988) and Rahman
407 (2001) have also reported that the progeny of the amphidiploid *B. carinata* (BBCC, $2n = 34$) ×
408 diploid *B. oleracea* mostly stabilizes into *B. carinata* type. In contrast, Pelé et al. (2016)
409 developed AA ($2n = 20$) genome plants from (*B. napus* × *B. rapa*) × *B. napus* and (*B. napus* × *B.*
410 *rapa*) × *B. rapa* crosses through selection of plants for chromosomeme number and composition.
411 However, no selection was applied in the present study for chromosomeme number and
412 composition and this might be the reason of stabilizing all plants into *B. napus* type. The A and C
413 genomes of *B. napus* has close evolutionary relationship (Song et al. 1988); therefore,
414 homoeologous pairing of chromosomes can occur (Mason et al. 2010; Cui et al. 2012) and this
415 can result variable changes in the chromosomes including chromosomal recombination,
416 translocation, and gain or loss of the entire or a part of the chromosome (Xiong and Pires 2011;
417 Nicolas et al. 2012; Zhou et al. 2016). Occurrence of such chromosomal change in the
418 populations developed in the present study cannot be ruled out; however, this investigation was
419 beyond the scope of the present study.

420 The four *B. oleracea* parents used in this study possess high content of erucic acid in seed
421 oil and high content of glucosinolates in seed meal; therefore, segregation for these two traits in
422 F₂ and BC₁ and in their progeny generations would primarily reflect the segregation of the C
423 genome alleles. Segregation for erucic acid in an F₂ population of *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* and in
424 a backcross population of (*B. napus* × *B. oleracea*) × *B. oleracea* was studied by Rahman et al.
425 (2015) and Bennett et al. (2008); they found a significant deviation from the expected
426 segregation for this trait in these populations. To our knowledge, no study has so far been
427 conducted on segregation of erucic acid alleles in backcross population of (*B. napus* × *B.*
428 *oleracea*) × *B. napus* interspecific cross. Backcrossing of the F₁ (A^eC^eC^E where, e = zero erucic
429 acid allele, E = high erucic acid allele) plants to the *B. napus* parent (A^eA^eC^eC^e) was expected to
430 produce A^eC^eC^e, A^eA^eC^eC^e, A^eA^eC^eC^E and A^eC^eC^E genotypes for erucic acid alleles. The A^eC^eC^e
431 and A^eA^eC^eC^e genotypes were expected to be virtually free (<1%) from erucic acid, while the
432 plants of A^eA^eC^eC^E and A^eC^eC^E genotype were expected to produce about 10 % (8 – 15%) and

433 >15% erucic acid in seed oil, respectively (Chen and Heneen 1989; Bennett et al. 2008). However,
434 segregation distortion for this trait was observed in the present study where significantly less
435 number of plant was found in the zero erucic acid group and higher number of plants in 10%
436 erucic acid group (A^eA^eC^eC⁺).

437 Seed glucosinolate (GSL) content is generally considered a quantitative trait controlled by
438 multiple gene loci (Kondra and Stefansson 1970; Rucker and Röbbelen 1994; Toroser et al.
439 1995; Howell et al. 2003; Hasan et al. 2008; Rahman et al. 2014) with both additive and non
440 additive effect of the genes (Hill et al. 2003). With this genetic control of the trait, Bahrani and
441 McVetty (2007) found significant correlation ($r = 0.30$ $p = 0.01$) between the parent generation
442 (F₃) of *B. napus* grown in greenhouse vs. progeny generation (F₄) grown in field for this trait.
443 However, in the present study, correlation between the parent and progeny generation, based on
444 pooled data of the crosses, varied from 0.27 to 0.72. This wide variation is apparently due to the
445 difference between the type of populations used in these two studies as well as the growth
446 conditions. Despite these challenges, it was possible to develop low GSL lines from all four
447 interspecific crosses, irrespective of whether the F₁ plants were backcrossed to the low GSL *B.*
448 *napus* parent or not. This is apparently due to simpler segregation of the trait involving the
449 alleles only from the C genome.

450 In conclusion, this research demonstrated the feasibility of developing canola quality
451 genetically diverse euploid *B. napus* lines ($2n = 38$) by using different variants of *B. oleracea* in
452 *B. napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific cross. Furthermore, by the use of the different variants of *B.*
453 *oleracea*, this study also demonstrated that no special attention need to be paid for selection of *B.*
454 *napus* type plants in the self-pollinated progeny of this interspecific cross as all stabilizes into *B.*
455 *napus* type. Thus, re-constitution of the C genome of *B. napus* canola with the C genome of the
456 different variants of *B. oleracea* is expected to enrich the gene pool of this crop.

457

458 **Acknowledgments** H.R. gratefully acknowledges the Natural Sciences and Engineering
459 Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Crop Production Services (CPS) for financial support
460 to this project. Authors also acknowledge technical staff of the Canola Program of the University
461 of Alberta for assistance in different routine operations, and Dr. Berisso Kebede for guidance in
462 molecular marker analysis.

463

464 **Conflicts of interest** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

465

466 **References**

467 Abel S, Möllers C, Hecker HC (2005) Development of synthetic *Brassica napus* lines for the
468 analysis of “fixed heterosis” in allopolyploid plants. *Euphytica* 146:157–163

469 Attri R, Rahman H (2018) Introgression of allelic diversity from genetically distinct variants of
470 *Brassica rapa* into *Brassica napus* canola and inheritance of the *B. rapa* alleles. *Crop &*
471 *Pasture Sci* 69:94–106

472 Bahrani J, McVetty PBE (2008) Relationship of seed quality traits for greenhouse-grown versus
473 field-grown high erucic acid rapeseed: Is seed quality trait selection for greenhouse-grown
474 seed worthwhile? *Can J Plant Sci* 88:419–423

475 Bennett RA, Thiagarajah MR, King JR, Rahman MH (2008) Interspecific cross of *Brassica*
476 *oleracea* var. *alboglabra* and *B. napus*: Effects of growth condition and silique age on the
477 efficiency of hybrid production, and inheritance of erucic acid in the self-pollinated
478 backcross generation. *Euphytica* 164:593–601

479 Bennett RA, Séguin-Swartz G, Rahman H (2012) Broadening genetic diversity in canola
480 (*Brassica napus* L.) using the C-genome species *B. oleracea*. *Crop Sci* 52:2030–2039

481 Bus A, Korber N, Snowdon RJ, Stich B (2011) Patterns of molecular variation in a species-wide
482 germplasm set of *Brassica napus*. *Theor Appl Genet* 123:1413–1423

483 Butruille DV, Guries RP, Osborn TC (1999) Increasing yield of spring oilseed rape hybrids
484 through introgression of winter germplasm. *Crop Sci* 39:1491–1496

485 Chen BY, Heneen WK (1989) Fatty acid composition of resynthesized *Brassica napus* L., *B.*
486 *campestris* L. and *B. alboglabra* Bailey with special reference to the inheritance of erucic
487 acid content. *Heredity* 63:309–314

488 Chen S, Nelson MN, Ghamkhar K, Fu T, Cowling WA (2008) Divergent patterns of allelic
489 diversity from similar origins: the case of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) in China and
490 Australia. *Genome* 51:1–10

491 Cheng X, Xu J, Xia S, Gu J, Yang Y, Fu J, Qian X, Zhang S, Wu J, Liu K (2009) Development
492 and genetic mapping of microsatellite markers from genome survey sequences in *Brassica*
493 *napus*. *Theor Appl Genet* 118:1121–1131

494 Chiang BY, Grant WF, Chiang MS (1978) Transfer of resistance to race 2 of *Plasmodiophora*
495 *brassicae* from *Brassica napus* to cabbage (*B. oleracea* var. *capitata*). II. Meiosis in the
496 interspecific hybrids between *B. napus* and 2x and 4x cabbage. *Euphytica* 27:81–93

497 Cowling WA (2007) Genetic diversity in Australian canola and implications for crop breeding
498 for changing future environments for crop breeding for changing future environments.
499 *Field Crop Res* 104:103–111

500 Chi C, Ge X, Gautam M, Kang L, Li Z (2012) Cytoplasmic and genomic effects on meiotic
501 pairing in *Brassica* hybrids and allotetraploids from pair crosses of three cultivated
502 diploids. *Genetics* 191:725–738

503 Diers BW, Osborn TC (1994) Genetic diversity of oilseed *Brassica napus* germplasm based on
504 restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Theor Appl Genet* 88:662–668

505 dos Santos JB, Nienhuis J, Skroch P, Tivang J, Slocum MK (1994) Comparison of RAPD and
506 RFLP genetic markers in determining genetic similarity among *Brassica oleracea* L.
507 genotypes. *Theor Appl Genet* 87:909–915

508 Falk DE (2010) Generating and maintaining diversity at the elite level in crop breeding. *Genome*
509 53:982–991

510 Friedt W, Snowdon R (2010) Oilseed rape. In Vollmann J, Rajcan I (eds) *Oil Crops. Handbook*
511 *of Plant Breeding* 4, Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, pp 91–126

512 Fu YB, Gugel RK (2010) Genetic diversity of Canadian elite summer rape (*Brassica napus* L.)
513 cultivars from the pre- to post-canola quality era. *Can J Plant Sci* 90:23–33

514 Gyawali S, Hegedus DD, Parkin IAP, Poon J, Higgins E, Horner K, Bekkaoui D, Coutu C,
515 Buchwaldt L (2013) Genetic diversity and population structure in a world collection of
516 *Brassica napus* accessions with emphasis on South Korea, Japan, and Pakistan. *Crop Sci*
517 53:1537–1545

518 Hasan M, Friedt W, Kühnemann JP, Freitag NM, Link K, Snowdon RJ (2008) Association of
519 gene-linked SSR markers to seed glucosinolate content in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* ssp.
520 *napus*). *Theor Appl Genet* 116:1035–1049

521 Hasan M, Seyis F, Badani AG, Pons-Kuhnemann J, Friedt W, Lühs W, Snowdon RJ (2006)
522 Analysis of genetic diversity in the *Brassica napus* L. gene pool using SSR markers. *Genet*
523 *Res Crop Evol* 53:793–802

524 Hill J, Lethenborg P, Li PW, Rahman MH, Sørensen H, Sørensen JC (2003) Inheritance of
525 progoitrin and total aliphatic glucosinolates in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L). *Euphytica*
526 134:179–187

527 Holm SN, Rahman MH, Stølen O, Sørensen H (1985) Studies on pollination requirement in
528 rapeseed (*Brassica campestris*). In: Sørensen H (ed) *Advances in the Production and*
529 *Utilization of Cruciferous Crops*. Martinus Nijhoff Publ, Dordrecht, pp 245–253

530 Howell PM, Sharpe AG, Lydiate DJ (2003) Homoeologous loci control the accumulation of seed
531 glucosinolates in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Genome* 46:454–460

532 Izzah NK, Lee J, Perumal S, Park JY, Ahn K, Fu D, Kim G-B, Nam Y-W, Yang T-J (2013)
533 Microsatellite-based analysis of genetic diversity in 91 commercial *Brassica oleracea* L.
534 cultivars belonging to six varietal groups. *Genet Resour Crop Evol* 60:1967–1986

535 Kebede B, Thiagarajah MR, Zimmerli C, Rahman MH (2010) Improvement of open-pollinated
536 spring rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) through introgression of genetic diversity from winter
537 rapeseed. *Crop Sci* 50:1236–1243

538 Kondra ZP, Stefansson BR (1970) Inheritance of the major glucosinolates of rapeseed (*Brassica*
539 *napus*) meal. *Can J Plant Sci* 50:643–647

540 Kubik TJ, Hawkins GP, Stringam GR (1999) Cytological stability of doubled haploid lines
541 derived from interspecific crosses between *B. napus* L. and *B. rapa* L. *Proc 10th Intl*
542 *Rapeseed Congr, Australia*

543 Lázaro A, Aguinagalde I (1998) Genetic diversity in *Brassica oleracea* L. (Cruciferae) and wild
544 relatives ($2n = 18$) using RAPD markers. *Annals Bot* 82:829–833

545 Li H, Chen X, Yang Y, Xu J, Gu J, Fu J, Qian X, Zhang S, Wu J, Liu K (2011) Development and
546 genetic mapping of microsatellite markers from whole genome shotgun sequences in
547 *Brassica oleracea*. *Mol Breed* 28:585–596

548 Li Q, Mei J, Zhang Y, Li J, Ge X, Li Z, Qian W (2013) A large-scale introgression of genomic
549 components of *Brassica rapa* into *B. napus* by the bridge of hexaploid derived from
550 hybridization between *B. napus* and *B. oleracea*. *Theor Appl Genet* 126:2073–2080

551 Li Q, Zhou Q, Mei J, Zhang Y, Li J, Li Z, Ge X, Xiong Z, Huang Y and Qian W (2014)
552 Improvement of *Brassica napus* via interspecific hybridization between *B. napus* and *B.*
553 *oleracea*. *Mol Breed* 34:1955–1963

554 Liu H (1985) Rapeseed genetics and breeding. Shanghai Science and Technology Press,
555 Shanghai pp 556–559

556 Mailer RJ, Pratley JE (1990) Field studies of moisture availability effects on glucosinolate and
557 oil concentration in the seed of rape, (*Brassica napus* L.) and turnip rape (*Brassica rapa* L.
558 var. *silvestris* (Lam.) Briggs). Can J Plant Sci 70:399–407

559 Mason AS, Huteau V, Eber F, Coriton O, Yan G, Nelson MN, Cowling WA, Chevre AM (2010)
560 Genome structure affects the rate of autosynthesis and allosynthesis in AABC, BBAC and
561 CCAB *Brassica* interspecific hybrids. Chromosome Res 18:655–666

562 Nicolas SD, Monod H, Eber F, Chèvre AM, Jenczewski E (2012) Non-random distribution of
563 extensive chromosome rearrangements in *Brassica napus* depends on genome organization.
564 Plant J 70:691–703

565 Mei J, Fu Y, Qian L, Xu X, Li J, Qian W (2011) Effectively widening the gene pool of oilseed
566 rape (*Brassica napus* L.) by using Chinese *B. rapa* in a ‘virtual allopolyploid’ approach.
567 Plant Breed 130:333–337

568 Nei M, Li WH (1979) Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction
569 endonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci 76:5269–5273

570 Pelé A, Trotoux G, Eber F, Lodé M, Gilet M, Deniot G, Falentin C, Nègre S, Morice J,
571 Rousseau-Gueutin M, Chèvre A-M (2017) The poor lonesome A subgenome of *Brassica*
572 *napus* var. Darmor (AACC) may not survive without its mate. New Phytol 213: 1886–1897

573 Prakash S, Wu XM, Bhat SR (2012) History, Evolution, and Domestication of *Brassica* Crops.
574 Plant Breed Rev 35:19–84

575 Qian W, Chen X, Fu D, Zou J, Meng J (2005) Inter sub-genomic heterosis in seed yield potential
576 observed in a new type of *Brassica napus* introgressed with partial *Brassica rapa* genome.
577 Theor Appl Genet 110:1187–1194

578 Qian W, Li Q, Noack J, Sass O, Meng J, Frauen M, Jung C (2009) Heterotic patterns in rapeseed
579 (*Brassica napus* L.): II. Crosses between European winter and Chinese semi-winter lines.
580 Plant Breed 128:466–470

581 Qian W, Meng J, Li M, Frauen M, Sass O, Noack J, Jung C (2006) Introgression of genomic
582 components from Chinese *Brassica rapa* contributes to widening the genetic diversity in
583 rapeseed (*B. napus* L.), with emphasis on the evolution of Chinese rapeseed. Theor Appl
584 Genet 113:49–54

585 Qian W, Sass O, Meng J, Li M, Frauen M, Jung C (2007) Heterotic patterns in rapeseed
586 (*Brassica napus* L.): I. Crosses between spring and Chinese semi-winter lines. Theor Appl
587 Genet 115:27–34

588 Rahman MH (2001) Production of yellow-seeded *Brassica napus* through interspecific crosses.
589 Plant Breed 120:463–472

590 Rahman H (2013) Review: Breeding spring canola (*Brassica napus* L.) by the use of exotic
591 germplasm. Can J Plant Sci 10:24–29

592 Rahman H, Peng G, Yu F, Falk KC, Kulkarni M, Selvaraj G (2014) Genetics and breeding for
593 clubroot resistance in Canadian spring canola (*Brassica napus* L.). Can J Plant Path 36
594 (S1):122–134

595 Rahman MH, Bennett RA, Yang RC, Kebede B, Thiagarajah MR (2011) Exploitation of the late
596 flowering species *Brassica oleracea* L. for the improvement of earliness in *B. napus* L.: an
597 untraditional approach. Euphytica 177:365–374

598 Rahman H, Kebede B, Zimmerli C, Yang RC (2014) Genetic study and QTL mapping of seed
599 glucosinolate content *Brassica rapa* L. Crop Sci 54:537–543

600 Rahman H, Bennett RA, Séguin-Swartz G (2015) Broadening genetic diversity in *Brassica*
601 *napus* canola: Development of canola-quality spring *B. napus* from *B. napus* × *B. oleracea*
602 var. *alboglabra* interspecific crosses. Can J Plant Sci 95:29–41

603 Rohlf FJ (2000) NTSYS-pc numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system. Exeter
604 Software, New York, NY

605 Rücker B, Röbbelen G (1994) Inheritance of total and individual glucosinolate contents in seeds
606 of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). Plant Breed 113:206–216

607 SAS Institute (2011) SAS Statistical Analysis Software Version 9.3. SAS Inst., Cary, NC

608 Song KM, Osborn TC, Williams PH (1988) *Brassica* taxonomy based on nuclear restriction
609 fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs). 1. Genome evolution of diploid and
610 amphidiploid species. Theor Appl Genet 75: 784–794

611 Simonsen V, Heneen WK (1995) Genetic variation within and among different cultivars and
612 landraces of *Brassica campestris* L. and *B. oleracea* L. based on isozymes. Theor Appl
613 Genet 91:346–352

614 Thormann CE, Ferreira ME, Camargo LEA, Tivang JG, Osborn TC (1994) Comparison of RFLP
615 and RAPD markers to estimating genetic relationships within and among cruciferous
616 species. *Theor Appl Genet* 88:973–980

617 Toroser D, Thormann CE, Osborn TC, Mithen R (1995) RFLP mapping of quantitative trait loci
618 controlling seed aliphatic glucosinolate content in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.). *Theor*
619 *Appl Genet* 91:802–808

620 Udall JA, Quijada PA, Polewicz H, Vogelzang R, Osborn TC (2004) Phenotypic effects of
621 introgressing Chinese winter and resynthesized *Brassica napus* L. germplasm into hybrid
622 spring canola. *Crop Sci* 44:1990–1996

623 Xiong Z, Pires CJ (2011) Karyotype and identification of all homoeologous chromosomes of
624 allopolyploid *Brassica napus* and its diploid progenitors. *Genetics* 187:37–49

625 Zaman MW (1988) Limitations for introgression of yellow seed coat colour in *Brassica napus*. *J*
626 *Swedish Seed Assoc* 98:157–161

Table 1. Production of *Brassica napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific hybrids through application of *in vitro* ovule culture technique

Cross ¹	No. pollination	No. ovules cultured	No. ovules/ pollination	No. embryo to solid media	No. embryo/ pollination	No. F ₁ plantlet to soil	No. F ₁ / pollination
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.albo</i>	29	55	1.90	9	0.31	8	0.28
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.bot</i>	42	26	0.62	14	0.33	8	0.19
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.ital</i>	26	51	1.96	27	1.04	25	0.96
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.capi</i>	15	82	5.47	10	0.67	6	0.40
Total	112	214	0.52	60	0.54	47	0.42

¹*B.nap* = *B. napus* line A04-73NA, *B.o.albo* = *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*, *B.o.bot* = *B. oleracea* var. *botrytis* cv. BARI Cauliflower-1, *B.o.ital* = *B. oleracea* var. *italica* cv. Premium Crop, *B.o.capi* = *B. oleracea* var. *capitata* cv. Blabro.

Table 2. Production of F₂ and BC₁ seeds and development of subsequent generation populations of four *Brassica napus* × *B. oleracea* interspecific crosses.

(a) F₂ and subsequent generation populations

Cross ¹	No. bud pollination of F ₁ plants	No. F ₂ seeds harvested	No. F ₂ seeds/self-pollination	No. F ₂ plants grown	No. F ₂ plants produced seeds	% fertile F ₂ plants	No. F ₃ plants grown	No. F ₃ plants produced seeds	% fertile F ₃ plants
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.albo</i>	219	67	0.31	60	37	61.7	170	137	80.6
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.bot</i>	97	66	0.68	60	35	58.3	126	74	58.7
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.ital</i>	200	321	1.61	60	53	88.3	290	127	48.1
<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.capi</i>	78	3	0.04	3	2	66.7	50	42	84.0
Total	594	457	0.77	183	127	69.4	636	380	59.7

(b) BC₁ and subsequent generation populations

Cross	No. crosses made	No. BC ₁ seeds harvested	No. BC ₁ seeds/pollination	No. BC ₁ plants grown	No. BC ₁ plants produced seeds	% Fertile BC ₁ plants	No. BC ₁ F ₂ plants grown	No. BC ₁ F ₂ plants produced seeds	% Fertile BC ₁ F ₂ plants
(<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.albo</i>) × <i>B.nap</i>	130	81	0.62	60	52	86.7	243	108	44.4
(<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.bot</i>) × <i>B.nap</i>	73	176	2.41	60	52	86.7	253	111	43.9
(<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.ital</i>) × <i>B.nap</i>	220	62	0.28	60	51	85.0	163	37	22.7
(<i>B.nap</i> × <i>B.o.capi</i>) × <i>B.nap</i>	117	30	0.26	30	15	50.0	102	47	46.1
Total	540	349	0.65	210	170	81.0	761	303	39.8

¹*B.nap* = *B. napus* line A04-73NA, *B.o.albo* = *B. oleracea* var. *alboglabra*, *B.o.bot* = *B. oleracea* var. *botrytis* cv. BARI Cauliflower-1, *B.o.ital* = *B. oleracea* var. *italica* cv. Premium Crop, *B.o.capi* = *B. oleracea* var. *capitata* cv. Blabro.