A Study of Students' Perceptions of Library Catalogues on Tablet Computers: The NEOS Consortium Catalogue

By Erik Christiansen

How the project emerged

- When using NEOS on my own tablet I felt some of design aspects were not intuitive.
- An evaluation of NEOS on traditional computers would not be forward looking.
- A "useful" usability experiment and design analysis would *have* to focus on touch screens.

Why is mobile computing important?

Percentage of World Wide Web Traffic 2012 vs. 2013



Project Overview

Research Questions

How well does NEOS function when using a tablet?

What are students' perceptions of using library catalogues on tablet computers?

<u>Assumption</u>

- 1. Navigation and deciphering menus would be more difficult.
- 2. A non-native design language would confuse users.

Related Literature

- Limited number studies that focus on 'tablets' exclusively.
- Lots of usability studies regarding mobile computing.
 - Portland State 2012
 - Ryerson University 2011
- This study followed 'best practices' for usability testing.
 - Information Architecture Morville & Rosenfeld
 - Don't Make Me Think Steve Krug

Participants



Study Design

Part 1: usability tasks

- Basic keyword searches.
- Selecting titles and navigating through menus.
- Specifying the library location.
- Placing holds and checking out material.
- Viewing item and account information.

Task Results

Tasks (Seconds)	Sonny	Cher	Hall	Oates	Averages
1	9	39	7	17	18
2	5	9	5	7	6.5
3	4	3	5	4	4
4	41	42	27	20	(32.5)
5	4	3	6	3	4
6	49	31	29	45	38.5
7	37	19	30	36	(30.5)
Total:	149	146	109	132	134

Study Design

Part 2: semi-structured interviews

- Types of devices owned by the participants.
- Hours spent on a tablet
- Hours spent browsing the web.
- How often the participants access NEOS weekly.
- Overall experience using NEOS on a tablet: likes and dislikes.
- NEOS vs. other online library catalogues.
- Possibility of a mobile app.
- Additional suggestions or comments.

Analysis

Two-step coding system as outlined in "An Introduction to Codes and Coding" by Saldana



Themes

Ended up with over **30 code categories** from 4 interviews. Reduced these codes to **3 themes**

- Catalogue design and functionality
- Participants' device usage habits and preferences
- Information seeking behaviour

iPa

Design language & cross platform usability.

d ?			2:16 PM					g	6% •
< > 🗅		neos.li	brary.ualberta.c	a			c 🔲	\bigcirc	+
			iLink						
IEOS ary Consortium a ta logue	UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES	OF ALBERTA	new search	advanced search	reserve desk	my account	contact us		
Go Back Logout									
	Find Ite	ms 🔍 Keyword	d 📄 Starting	With					
				Any f	ïeld	Searc	h		
	Optiona	l Limits							
	Library	ALL					V		
	Additio	nal "Containing"	Limits						
	Year:		e	x. 1995 - 2	000				
	Format	ANY		V					
	Langua	ge: ANY			V				
	Sort by	None	V						

- Catalogue searches proved to be tedious.
- Participants felt a tablet was not ideal for text entry.

iPad ᅙ	12:47 AM		82% 🔳
$\langle \rangle$ \triangle	neos.library.ualberta.ca	a	c □□ ○ +
\otimes	iLink		
	Find Items 🐱 Keyword 🔲 Starting V	With	
	The great gatsby	Any field V	Search
	Optional Limits		
	Library: ALL		V
< >			
QW	E R T Y	UIC	
A S	D F G H	JK	L Search
φZ	X C V B N	I M !	? ·
.?123	Q		.?123

 Participants did not like scrolling through long lists to specify the library location.

Authentication using library card barcode and PIN:

- Slow and requires multiple sign-ins.
- Desire for CCID login option.



Authentication using library card barcode and PIN:

- Slow and requires multiple sign-ins.
- Desire for CCID login option.



Participants' Device Usage Habits

- Three of the four participants did not use their tablet as their primary device.
- Tablets used primarily for web browsing and entertainment.
- Laptops largely preferred for using the library catalogue.



CC image courtesy of <u>Tom Raftery</u> on Flickr.

Information Seeking Behaviour

- Two of the four participants did not regularly consult NEOS.
 - Preferred UofA's new integrated search.
- NEOS was most heavily used when participants conducted research for an assignment.
- Well promoted by the university librarians.



Mobile App?

- Reactions to a mobile app were mixed.
 - Limited functionality.
 - Poor user experience.
 - Another thing to download.
- Participants were content with a browser optimized version.



Conclusions

- NEOS' usability issues are mostly front-end design.
- Cross platform usability is key.
- Mobile app or mobile optimized version *could* be beneficial.
- A one-time universal login system (CCID) would reduce text entry requirements.
- An improved and interface should be accompanied by <u>heavy</u> promotion from the library.

Acknowledgements

- Professors Ali Shiri, Tami Oliphant, & Michael McNally.
- Research participants.
- SLIS Cohort.

Thank you!

References

Eichenlaub, Naomi, et al. "Project iPad: Investigating Tablet Integration in Learning and Libraries at Ryerson University." Library and Staff Publications. (2011): 17-21.

Hahn, J., & Ryckman, N. (2012). Modular mobile application design. Code4Lib Journal, (18), 1-12.

Krug, S. (2006). Don't make me think. (2nd ed.). Berkeley: New Riders Pub.

Miller, R. E., Vogh, B. S., & Jennings, E. J. (2013). Library in an app. Journal of Web Librarianship, 7(2), 142-153.

Mobile phones account for 17% of global web usage. (2013, August 20). Retrieved from http://www.statista.com/topics/779/mobile-internet/chart/1380/mobile-web-usage/

Morville, P., & Rosenfeld, L. (2007). Information architecture for the world wide web. (3rd ed.). Farnham: O'Reilly.

Pendall, K. D., & Bowman, M. S. (2012). Usability study of a library. Information Technology & Libraries, 31(2), 45-62.

Questions?