## **INFORMATION TO USERS**

This manuscript has been reproduced from the microfilm master. UMI films the text directly from the original or copy submitted. Thus, some thesis and dissertation copies are in typewriter face, while others may be from any type of computer printer.

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleedthrough, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send UMI a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

Oversize materials (e.g., maps, drawings, charts) are reproduced by sectioning the original, beginning at the upper left-hand corner and continuing from left to right in equal sections with small overlaps.

Photographs included in the original manuscript have been reproduced xerographically in this copy. Higher quality 6" x 9" black and white photographic prints are available for any photographs or illustrations appearing in this copy for an additional charge. Contact UMI directly to order.

ProQuest Information and Learning 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346 USA 800-521-0600

# UM®

## **University of Alberta**

Modulation of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α-Mediated Gene Transcription of Rat Peroxisomal Acyl-CoA Oxidase and Enoyl-CoA Hydratase/3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase by Members of the Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily

by



A thesis submitted to the faculty of Graduate Studies and research in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Cell Biology

Edmonton, Alberta

Spring 2001



## National Library of Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services

395 Wellington Street Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions et services bibliographiques

395, rue Wellington Ottawa ON K1A 0N4 Canada

Your file Votre rélérence

Our file Notre référence

The author has granted a nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of this thesis in microform, paper or electronic formats.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in this thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's permission. L'auteur a accordé une licence non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de cette thèse sous la forme de microfiche/film, de reproduction sur papier ou sur format électronique.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège cette thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

0-612-60306-7



## **University of Alberta**

## **Library Release Form**

Name of Author:	Altaf Kassam
Title of Thesis:	Modulation of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α-Mediated Gene Transcription of Rat Peroxisomal Acyl-CoA Oxidase and Enoyl-CoA Hydratase/3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase by Members of the Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily
Degree:	Doctor of Philosophy
Year this degree Granted:	2001

Permission is hereby granted to the University of Alberta Library to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly, or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves all other publication and other rights in association with the copyright in the thesis, and except as herein before provided, neither the thesis nor any substantial portion thereof may be printed or otherwise reproduced in any material form whatever without the author's prior written permission.

Catter .

Altaf Kassam 32, 10525-83rd. Ave. Edmonton, AB T6E 2E1

Date: December 15,2000

#### **UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA**

## FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research for acceptance, a thesis entitled: Modulation of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor α-Mediated Gene Transcription of Rat Peroxisomal Acyl-CoA Oxidase and Enoyl-CoA Hydratase/3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase by Members of the Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily, submitted by Altaf Kassam in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Richard Rachubinski, Ph.D.

Stefan Alexson, Ph.D.

ames C Jim Stone, Ph.D.

Tom Hobman, Ph.D.

hang paser

Manijeh Pasdar, Ph.D.

11 Dec 2000

Date

For Gulshan and Mirza .

## ABSTRACT

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily that serve as ligand-activated transcription factors regulating the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism (peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation). adipogenesis, inflammation, and glucose metabolism. PPARs are activated by a diverse group of compounds termed peroxisome proliferators, which include the fibrate family of hypolipidemic drugs, eicosanoids, antidiabetic thiazolidinediones, as well as naturally occurring and synthetic mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acids. Upon binding of ligand, PPARs heterodimerize with the 9-cis-retinoic acid receptor (RXRα) and bind to cognate binding sequences termed peroxisome proliferator-response elements (PPRE) found in the promoter regions of target genes. PPAR-mediated gene transcription is a complex and dynamic event involving a myriad of cellular factors. This thesis examines the role of various members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily in modulating gene transcription by PPARs. In particular, this thesis focuses on the genes encoding the first two enzymes of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway, acyl-CoA oxidase (AOx) and enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HD).

The results presented illustrate the involvement of thyroid hormone receptor  $\alpha$ , RevErb  $\alpha$ , constitutive androstane receptor  $\beta$  (CAR $\beta$ ), and the short heterodimer partner (SHP) in differentially modulating PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription from both the AOx- and HD-PPREs. Furthermore, subtype- and response element-dependent differences in gene transcription between two PPAR subtypes,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , are also demonstrated. The conclusions drawn from this research further support the hypothesis that PPAR-mediated gene transcription from PPREs is integrated with the transcriptional activities of various members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, as well as with a variety of cellular coactivators and corepressors, and can be influenced by the availability of ligand so as to ensure a correct transcriptional response to extra- and intracellular stimuli from appropriate target genes. The research contained herein establishes a framework for understanding normal and dysfunctional lipid metabolism and provides an impetus for further exploration of the molecular mechanisms of PPAR-mediated gene transcription.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Rick Rachubinski for all his support and guidance throughout my graduate career in his lab. He is an outstanding research scientist who was able to convey his passion for science to all of us working in his lab. It has been a pleasure and an honour to be one of his students. I will continue to cherish his friendship and advice.

I am also thankful to my former colleagues (The Original Six) who shared their ideas and friendship. Furthermore, I would like to acknowledge the wonderful support of my committee members, Jim Stone and Tom Hobman. I am particularly grateful to Karim Sayani who has been a source of strength and wisdom over the past 6 years.

Lastly, I would like to thank my wife, Gabrielle Slowey, for her exceptional support. Her words of encouragement provided me with the confidence to excel. She has been a wonderful friend and a loving partner.

Khuda Hafeez.

#### **TECHNICAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to Eileen Reklow for her outstanding contribution and expertise in tissue culture. Her assistance in maintaining cell lines for my many transfection experiments was invaluable. In addition, I would like to thank Rachel Oates, Hanna Kroliczak, and Robert Venketraman for helping to maintain the availability of lab supplies and equipment.

My heartfelt thanks are also extended to those who collaborated with me towards the publication of data contained herein. Chris Winrow and John Hunter for their work on THR  $\alpha$  in Chapter 3; Chris Winrow and Françoise Fernandez-Rachubinski for their assistance on CAR  $\beta$  in Chapter 5; and John Hunter for his contribution in studies involving PPAR $\gamma$  in Chapter 7.

Thank you to John Capone and members of his research lab who provided technical assistance, reagents and plasmids during the course of my thesis as well as members of University of Alberta who extended their technical expertise and friendship.

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Peroxisomes	2
1.2 Peroxisomal β-oxidation	3
1.3 Peroxisome Proliferators	7
1.4 Peroxisome Proliferation	10
1.5 Hepatomegaly and Carcinogenesis	11
1.6 Oxidative Stress	12
1.7 Tumour Promotion	13
1.8 Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily	14
1.8.1 Thyroid/Retinoid/Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Receptor Family	14
1.9 Structural and Functional Domains	17
1.9.1 Ligand Binding Sites of PPARs	19
1.9.2 Activation Function 1 (AF1) Domain	19
1.9.3 Activation Function 2 (AF2) Domain	21
1.10 Orphan Nuclear Receptors	22
1.11 Nuclear Hormone Receptor Response Elements	24
1.11.1 Peroxisome Proliferator Response Elements	24
1.11.2 PPREs of the Genes Encoding β-oxidation Enzymes	25
1.12 The PPARs	
1.12.1 PPARα	
1.12.2 <b>PPAR</b> γ	29
1.12.3 <b>PPARδ</b>	
1.13 Crosstalk Between Nuclear Hormone Receptors	32
1.13.1 Retinoid X Receptor	32
1.13.2 Thyroid Hormone Receptor α	33
1.13.3 RevErbα	34
1.13.4 Constitutive Androstane Receptor β	
1.13.5 Short Heterodimer Partner Receptor	37
1.14 Basal Transcription	38
1.15 Transcriptional Activation and Repression	39
1.15.1 Coactivators	40
1.15.2 Structural Motif Requirements for Coactivator Interaction	43
1.15.3 Corepressors	44
1.15.4 Auxiliary Cofactors	46
1.15.5 Protein Methyltransferase	48
1.16 General Mechanism of Transactivation and Transrepression	49
1.17 Concluding Overview	49
1.18 Aims of This Thesis	52

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Chapter 2 Materials and Methods	54
2.1 Chemicals and Reagents	55
2.2 Enzymes	56
2.2.1 DNA Modifying Enzymes	56
2.2.2 Other Enzymes	57
2.3 Multicomponent Systems	57
2.4 Radiochemicals and Detection Systems	57
2.5 Molecular Size Standards	57
2.6 Commercial Plasmid Vectors	58
2.6.1 E. coli vectors	58
2.6.2 Yeast Shuttle Vectors	58
2.7 Antibodies	58
2.8 Oligodeoxyribonucleotides	59
2.9 Commonly Used Buffered Solutions	60
2.10 Microorganisms and Culture Conditions	60
2.10.1 Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions	60
2.10.2 Yeast Strains and Culture Conditions	61
2.11 Introduction of DNA into Microorganisms	61
2.11.1 Transformation of E. coli	61
2.11.2 Electroporation	62
2.11.2-1 Electroporation of E. coli	62
2.11.3 Transformation of Yeast by Li/Acetate/PEG	63
2.11.4 Preparation of Single Stranded High Molecular	
Weight Carrier DNA	63
2.12 DNA Isolation from Bacteria	65
2.12.1 Alkaline Lysis Prep (Small Scale)	65
2.12.2 QIAprep MiniPrep Kit	66
2.12.3 Large Scale Plasmid Isolation	66
2.13 Standard DNA Manipulations	67
2.13.1 Restriction Endonuclease Digestions	67
2.13.2 Dephosphorylation of 5' ends	67
2.13.3 Phosphorylation of 5' ends	68
2.13.4 Annealing Oligonucleotides	68
2.13.5 Creating Blunt-Ended Fragments	69
2.13.6 Phenol/Chloroform Extraction	69
2.13.7 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of DNA Fragments	70
2.13.8 Purification of DNA Fragments	70
2.13.8-1 Electroelution	71
2.13.8-2 QIAquick Columns	71
2.13.9 Ligation of DNA Fragments	72
2.13.10 Polymerase Chain Reaction	72
2.13.10-1 Taq Polymerase	73
2.13.10-2 Ready-To-Go PCR Beads	73
2.13.11 PCR Product Purification	73

2.14 Analysis of DNA	74
2.14.1 DNA Sequencing	74
2.14.1-1 Template Preparation	74
2.14.1-2 Sequencing Reaction	74
2.14.2 Sequenase Kits	74
2.14.3 ABI Automated Sequencing	75
2.14.4 Radiolabelling of DNA Probes	75
2.14.4-1 Spin Column Chromatography	76
2.14.5 Gel Electromobility Shift Analysis	76
2.14.5-1 Binding Reactions with In vitro Translated Proteins	76
2.14.5-2 Binding Reactions with Yeast Extracts	77
2.14.5-3 Supershift Analysis	77
2.14.5-4 Electrophoresis	77
2.14.6 In vitro Mutagenesis	78
2.15 Analysis of Proteins	78
2.15.1 Protein Determination	78
2.15.2 Electrophoretic Separation of Proteins	78
2.15.3 Detection of Proteins	79
2.15.3-1 Coomassie Blue	79
2.15.3-2 Fluorography	80
2.15.3-3 Immunoblotting	80
2.15.4 In vitro Transcription/Translation	81
2.15.4-1 Coupled Transcription/Translation	81
2.15.4-2 Radiolabelling Proteins	81
2.15.5 GST Binding Assay	81
2.15.5-1 Preparation of Bacterial Lysates	81
2.15.5-2 Binding Reaction	82
2.16 Transient Transfections	83
2.16.1 Cell Growth and Media	83
2.16.2 Preparations of Dextran-Coated Charcoal-Stripped	
Fetal Calf Serum	83
2.16.3 Calcium Phosphate Method	84
2.16.4 Luciferase Assay	85
2.17 β-Galactosidase Assay	85
2.18 Plasmid Constructs	86
2.18.1 pCPSluc, pHD(X3)luc, and pAOx(X2)luc	86
2.18.2 prPPARa:Rc/CMV	87
2.18.3 phRXRα:SG5	87
2.18.4 pRSV-TRα	88
2.18.5 pRevErba:SG5	88
2.18.6 pCARβ:SG5	88
2.18.7 p $\beta$ RARE(X2)TK <i>luc</i>	89
2.18.8 pSHP:SG5	89
2.18.9 ymPPARα and cmPPARα	90
2.18.10 pRXR2µGPD, pRXRGPD314, and pRS424:RXRGPD	90

2.18.11 pRS423:mPPARy2 and pRS313:mPPARy2	
2.18.12 IAOx $\Delta$ L1 and IHD $\Delta$ L1	
2.18.13 pAOx(X2)GL and pHD(X2)GL	

## 

3.1	Abstract	94
3.2	Results	95
	3.2.1 TRa and TRa/RXRa Heterodimers Bind to the AOx-PPRE	95
	3.2.2 TRa Competes with PPARa for Binding to the AOx-PPRE	101
	3.2.3 TRa Stimulates Transactivation by PPARa/RXRa	
	Heterodimers	104
3.3	Discussion	107
3.4	Summary	110

Chapter 4	The Orphan Nuclear Hormone Receptor RevErbα Modulates Expression from the Promoter of the Hydrata Dehydrogenase Gene by Inhibiting Peroxisome Proliferat Activated Receptor α-Dependent Transactivation	se- tor
	Activated Receptor d-Dependent Transactivation	••••••
4.1 Abstract		
4.2 Results.		
4.2.1	RevErba Binds to the HD-PPRE	112
4.2.2	RevErba and PPARa/RXRa Bind to Distinct Sites on the HD-PPRE	
4.2.3	RevErba Antagonizes Transactivation by PPARa/RXRa	
	from the HD-PPRE but Not the AOx-PPRE	
4.2.4	Increased Amounts of PPARa and RXRa Can Overcome	
	Repression by RevErba	122
4.3 Discussi	on	123
4.4 Summar	у	126

Chapter 5	The Peroxisome Proliferator Response Element of the
-	Gene Encoding the Peroxisomal $\beta$ -Oxidation Enzymes
	Enoyl-CoA Hydratase/3-Hydroxy-acyl-CoA Dehydrogenase
	Is A Target for Constitutive Androstane Receptor β/9-cis-
	Retinoic Acid Receptor-Mediated Transactivation

5.1	Abstract	128
5.2	Results	128
	5.2.1 CAR $\beta$ Binds to the HD-PPRE as a Heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$	128
	5.2.2 CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ Recognizes the DR2 Element of the HD-PPRE	129
	5.2.3 CAR $\beta$ Competes with PPAR $\alpha$ for Binding to the HD-PPRE	133
	5.2.4 CARβ Differentially Affects PPARα/RXRα-Mediated	
	Transactivation from the HD- and AOx-PPREs	133
	5.2.5 CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ Potentiates Transactivation from the HD-PPRE	135
	5.2.6 The CAR $\beta$ Ligand 5 $\alpha$ -Androstan-3 $\alpha$ -ol Reduces	
	Transactivation from the HD-PPRE by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$	138
5.3	Discussion	139
5.4	Summary	142

Cha	apter 6	The Short Heterodimer Partner Receptor Differentially Modulates PPARα-Mediated Transcription from Peroxiso Proliferator-Response Elements of the Genes Encoding	ome
		Acyl-CoA Oxidase and Hydratase-Dehydrogenase	143
6.1	Abstract		144
6.2	Results		144
	6.2.1	SHP Interacts with PPARa and RXRa In Vitro	144
	6.2.2	SHP Modulates PPARa/RXRa-Mediated Transcription	
		in a Response Element-Specific Manner	145
6.3	Discussio	n	149
6.4	Summary	,	152

Cha	apter 7	Subtype- and Response Element-Dependent Differences in Transactivation by Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated	
		Receptors $\alpha$ and $\gamma$	154
7.1	Abstract		
7.2	Results		156
	7.2.1	Properties of PPARa and PPARy2 Expressed in Yeast	156
	7.2.2	Response of PPARy2 in Yeast to Exogenously Added Ligand	161
	7.2.3	Comparison of Binding Properties Between of PPARa and	
		PPARy2 on the AOx- and HD-PPREs	164
	7.2.4	PPARα and PPARγ2 Activity in Mammalian Cells	
7.3	Discussio	n	171
7.4	Summary	,	174

Cha	apter 8 General Discussion	176
8.1	General Discussion	
8.2	Convergence of the Thyroid Hormone and Peroxisome Proliferator	
	Signaling Pathways	178
8.3	Signaling Crosstalk Between PPARa and RevErba	183
8.4	Integration of CAR $\beta$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$ Signaling Pathways	
8.5	Modulation of Transcription From the AOx- and HD-PPREs by SHP	190
8.6	Transactivation by PPARs in Yeast	192
8.7	Future Considerations	198
	8.7.1 PPARô: Putative Biological Functions	199
	8.7.2 Auxiliary Cofactors	200
	8.7.3 Drug Discovery	201
	8.7.4 A Model of Transcriptional Regulation by Nuclear Hormone	
	Receptors	201
8.8	Conclusion	204

Chapter 9	Bibliography	
-----------	--------------	--

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1.	Examples of peroxisome proliferators	8
Table 1-2.	Members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily	15
Table 1-3.	Nuclear hormone receptor response elements	16
Table 1-4.	Nuclear hormone receptor coactivators and corepressors	41
Table 2-1.	Synthetic oligonucleotides	59
Table 2-2.	Buffered solutions	60
Table 2-3.	Bacterial culture medium	61
Table 7-1.	Transcriptional activation by PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\gamma$ 2 expressed in yeast from low and high copy vectors	157

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Comparison of peroxisomal and mitochondrial $\beta$ -oxidation pathways	4
Figure 1-2	Model of nuclear hormone receptor coactivator and corepressor interactions	50
Figure 3-1	Interaction of TR $\alpha$ with a synthetic DR4 element	96
Figure 3-2	TR $\alpha$ binds to the AOx-PPRE on its own and in association with RXR $\alpha$	97
Figure 3-3	$TR\alpha$ is present in complexes formed on the AOx-PPRE	99
Figure 3-4	TR $\alpha$ binds to the AOx-PPRE in a sequence-specific manner	100
Figure 3-5	TRα competes with PPARα/RXRα for binding to the AOx-PPRE	102
Figure 3-6	Effects of increasing amounts of RXR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\alpha$ on the formation of TR $\alpha$ -dependent protein/DNA complexes	103
Figure 3-7	TRa enhances transactivation by PPARa/RXRa in vivo	105
Figure 4-1	RevErba binds to the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE	113
Figure 4-2	Binding of RevErba is sequence-specific	115
Figure 4-3	RevErbα and PPARα/RXRα bind independently to the HD-PPRE	116
Figure 4-4	RevErbα and PPARα/RXRα bind to distinct sites within the HD-PPRE	118
Figure 4-5	Mutation of site II of the HD-PPRE abolishes RevErb $\alpha$ binding	119
Figure 4-6	RevErb $\alpha$ antagonizes transactivation from the HD-PPRE by exogenous PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , whereas exogenous expression of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ can overcome transcriptional repression by RevErb $\alpha$ on the HD-PPRE	121
Figure 5-1	CAR $\beta$ binds as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$ to the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE	130

Figure	5-2	Binding of CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ to the HD-PPRE is sequence specific	131
Figure	5-3	$CAR\beta/RXR\alpha$ recognizes the DR2 element of the HD-PPRE	132
Figure	5-4	CAR $\beta$ competes with PPAR $\alpha$ for RXR $\alpha$ in heterodimer formation on the HD-PPRE	134
Figure	5-5	Transactivation by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ from the HD- and AOx- PPREs is differentially modulated by CAR $\beta$	.136
Figure	6-1	PPARα and SHP interact in vitro	146
Figure	6-2	Wy-14,643 does not affect the binding efficiency between PPAR $\alpha$ and SHP	147
Figure	6-3	SHP differentially modulates PPARα/RXRα-mediated transactivation from the AOx- and HD-PPREs	150
Figure	7-1	$PPAR\gamma/RXR\alpha$ -mediated transactivation in yeast is not potentiated by the presence of ligand	162
Figure	7-2	PPARγ2 expressed in yeast binds cooperatively with RXRα to the AOx- and HD-PPREs	.165
Figure	7-3	Comparison of PPARα/RXRα and PPARγ2/RXRα DNA- binding activities on the HD- and AOx-PPREs	.166
Figure	7-4	PPARγ2 differentially activates transcription from the AOx- and HD-PPRE reporter genes in mammalian cells	169
Figure	8-1	Convergence of nuclear hormone receptors on the AOx- and HD-PPREs	203
Figure	8-2	Overview of factors involved in nuclear hormone receptor- mediated gene transcription	205

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACTR	activator of the thyroid and retinoic acid receptor
AF	activation function
Amp <sup>r</sup>	ampicillin resistance gene
AOx	acyl-CoA oxidase
AR	androgen receptor
ARC	activator-recruited cofactors
ARE	adipocyte lipid-binding protein response element
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
bp	basepair
BSA	bovine serum albumin
C-	carboxyl-
CARβ	constitutive and rost and receptor $\beta$
CARLA	coactivator-dependent receptor ligand assay
CBP	CREB-binding protein
CEN	centromeric sequence
CMV	cytomegalovisrus
CoA	coenzyme A
COUP-TF	chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor
CPS	carbamoyl phosphate synthetase
CREB	cAMP response element-binding protein
CYP	cytochrome P450
DAX-1	dosage-sensitive sex (DSS)-adrenal hypoplasia congenita (ACH) critical
	region on the X, gene 1
db	diabetes gene; encodes the leptin receptor
DBD	DNA binding domain
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified eagles's medium
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DRIP	vitamin D receptor interacting protein
DRn	direct repeat of TGACCT-like motifs separated by <i>n</i> nucleotide(s)
DTT	dithiothreitol
EDTA	ethylenediamine tetracetic acid
EMSA	electromobility shift analysis
ER	estrogen receptor
FAD	flavin adenine nucleotide
GALI	gene encoding the GAL1 protein; an enzyme involved in galactose metabolism
GR	glucocorticoid receptor
GRIP-1	GR-interacting protein-1
GST	glutathione-S-transferase
HAT	histone acetylase transferase
HD	enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase
HDAC	histone deacetylase

HEPES	n-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N'-(2-ethanesulfonic acid)
HETE	hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid
HODE	hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid
HIS	gene encoding the HIS3 protein; an enzymes involved in histidine
	synthesis
HMG-CoA	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA
HNF	hepatocyte nuclear factor
HRE	hormone response element
IPTG	isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside
kDa	kiloDalton
LBD	ligand binding domain
LEU2	gene encoding the LEU2 protein; an enzymes involved in leucine
	biosynthesis
LTB₄	leukotriene B <sub>4</sub>
luc	luciferase gene
LXR	liver X receptor
MAP kinase	mitogen-activated protein kinase
NAD	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NCoA	nuclear receptor coactivator
NCoR	nuclear receptor corepressor
NP-40	Nonidet P-40
NUC1	human NUC1 (a PPAR isoform; also known as PPAR $\beta$ , and PPAR $\delta$ )
p/CIP	p300/CBP co-integrator associate protein
p/CAF	p300/CBP-associated factor
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBP	PPARγ-binding protein
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PGC	PPARy-coactivator
PGK	phosphoglycerate kinase
pH	-log[H <sup>-</sup> ]
PPAR	peroxisome proliferator activated receptor
PPO	2,5-diphenyloxazole
PPRE	peroxisome proliferator-response element
RAC3	receptor-associated coactivator 3
RAR	retinoic acid receptor
RevErba	receptor encoded on the opposite strand of the TR $\alpha$ gene
RIP140	receptor-interacting protein 140
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RXR	retinoid X receptor
RXRα	9-cis retinoic acid receptor
RZR	retinoid-like Z receptor
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
SHP	short heterodimer partner receptor
SMRT	silencing mediator for RARs and THRs
SRA	steroid receptor-specific coactivator

SRC-1	steroid receptor coactivator-1
SUNCoR	small unique nuclear receptor corepressor
T3	3,3'-5-triiodothyronine
TBE	tris/borate/EDTA (buffer)
TBST	tris-buffered saline plus Tween 20
TE	tris/EDTA (buffer)
TIF2	transcriptional intermediary factor 2
TK	thymidine kinase
TR	thyroid hormone receptor
TRAM	TR-activator molecule
TRAP	thyroid hormone receptor-associated protein
TRE	TR-response element
TRP1	gene encoding the TRP1 protein; an enzymes involved in tryptophan
T (D)D	biosynthesis
VDR	vitamin $D_3$ receptor
Wy-14,643	[4-Chloro-6-)2,3-xylidino)2-pyrimidinylthio] acetic acid; a peroxisome proliferator
YNBD	yeast nitrogen base with 2% glucose
15d-PGJ2	15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12.14}$ -prostaglandin J <sub>2</sub> (J-Series)

SRA	steroid receptor-specific coactivator
SRC-1	steroid receptor coactivator-1
SUNCoR	small unique nuclear receptor corepressor
T3	3,3'-5-triiodothyronine
TBE	tris/borate/EDTA (buffer)
TBST	tris-buffered saline plus Tween 20
TE	tris/EDTA (buffer)
TIF2	transcriptional intermediary factor 2
ТК	thymidine kinase
TR	thyroid hormone receptor
TRAM	TR-activator molecule
TRAP	thyroid hormone receptor-associated protein
TRE	TR-response element
TRP1	gene encoding the TRP1 protein; an enzymes involved in tryptophan biosynthesis
VDR	vitamin D <sub>3</sub> receptor
Wy-14,643	[4-Chloro-6-)2,3-xylidino)2-pyrimidinylthio] acetic acid; a peroxisome proliferator
YNBD	yeast nitrogen base with 2% glucose
15d-PGJ2	15-deoxy- $\Delta$ <sup>12,14</sup> -prostaglandin J <sub>2</sub> (J-Series)

**CHAPTER 1** 

Introduction

## **1.1 Peroxisomes**

Peroxisomes are members of the microbody family of organelles which includes the glyoxysomes of plants and the glycosomes of *Trypanosomes*. They are roughly spherical in shape, varying in size from 0.2-1.0  $\mu$ m in diameter, and are bound by a single unit membrane (Lazarow and Fujiki, 1985; Subramani, 1993). Mammalian peroxisomes are most abundant in the liver and kidney (Small et al., 1990; Bentley et al., 1993) and are involved in a myriad of biological processes which vary depending on the organism, cell type, developmental stage and cellular environment (Borst 1989; van den Bosch et al., 1992; Subramani 1993). These include fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation (Lazarow and de Duve, 1976), decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Lazarow and de Duve, 1976), cholesterol and plasmalogen biosynthesis (Hajra et al., 1979; Tolbert et al., 1981; Hajra and Bishop, 1982; Appelkvist et al., 1990), bile acid synthesis (Pedersen and Gustafsson 1980, Bentley et al., 1993; Hiltunen et al., 1996), and the metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, purines and lipids (Mannaerts and van Veldhoven, 1990). To carry out the many cellular processes, peroxisomes contain a large complement of approximately 50 enzymes (Mannaerts and van Veldhoven, 1993), of which over half are involved in lipid metabolism. The importance of peroxisomes for normal human development and physiology is underscored by early infant lethality arising from human genetic disorders in which peroxisomes are absent or fail to assemble (Lazarow and Moser, 1994). Examples include Zellweger syndrome, adrenoleukodystrophy, and infantile Refsum's disease (Schutgens et al., 1986; Lazarow and Moser, 1994; Singh, 1997).

Administration of a diverse group of chemical agents termed peroxisome

proliferators results in a dramatic increase in the number, size, and metabolic capacity of peroxisomes (Reddy, 1990; Rao and Reddy, 1991). Peroxisome proliferators also induce a host of biochemical and morphological changes in several tissues, including hepatomegaly and tumourigenesis. In addition, hepatocarcinogenesis has also been observed after long-term exposure to peroxisome proliferators; however, this effect has been most notably observed in the liver cells of rodent species (Lock *et al.*, 1989; Bentley *et al.*, 1993).

## 1.2 Peroxisomal β-oxidation

Fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation can take place in either the peroxisome or the mitochondrion in animal cells (Hashimoto, 1996). In both organelles, the alkyl chains of fatty acid molecules are sequentially shortened by two carbon atoms in each oxidative cycle (Figure 1-1). The peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation cycle consists of three core enzymes: fatty acyl-CoA oxidase (AOx), enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase bifunctional enzyme (HD), and 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (thiolase). Peroxisomes also contain catalase for the metabolism of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Lehninger *et al.*, 1993; Hashimoto, 1996). In the first step of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation, fatty acids are activated to their acyl-CoA derivatives by an ATP-dependent acyl-CoA synthase located in the peroxisomal membrane. After transport of the acyl-CoAs across the membrane, the remaining steps are catalysed in the peroxisomal matrix (van den Bosch *et al.*, 1992). The fatty acyl-CoA is reduced to *trans*-2-enoyl-CoA by AOx, the rate-limiting enzyme. This reaction transfers electrons directly from FAD to O<sub>2</sub> yielding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, which is subsequently consumed by peroxisomal catalase





to produce  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$ . Next, trans-2-enoyl-CoA undergoes both a hydration and dehydrogenation reaction by the HD bifunctional enzyme to liberate NADH and 3ketoacyl-CoA, which is then cleaved by thiolase to produce acetyl-CoA and a fatty acyl-CoA moiety that is two carbon atoms shorter than the original molecule (Miyazawa et al., 1981). The newly formed fatty acyl-CoA re-enters the  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway for further oxidation (Lazarow, 1978; Hashimoto, 1987) while the acetyl-CoA is converted to carnitine esters by carnitine acyl-transferase, which are then translocated into the mitochondrial matrix by the carnitine translocase system (Hashimoto, 1996) and oxidized via the citric acid cycle. In addition, since peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation is not directly coupled to an electron transport chain and oxidative phosphorylation, the energy released in the first oxidation step (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production) is lost as heat, while the energy of the second step is conserved in the form of NADH. The electrons transferred to NAD<sup>+</sup> in the peroxisome are reoxidized through the electron-transport chain in the mitochondrion where electrontransferring flavoproteins ultimately transfer electrons from NADH to O2, yielding H2O and ATP.

Although  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids can occur in both peroxisomes and mitochondria, with both systems requiring fatty acyl-CoA as the initial substrate, the two systems can be distinguished according to their molecular and enzymatic properties (Lazarow, 1978; Osumi and Hashimoto, 1979; Tolbert, 1981; Lehninger *et al.*, 1993; Eaton *et al.*, 1996; Hashimoto, 1996; Mannaerts and van Veldhoven, 1996). (1) Peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation is carnitine-independent. Therefore, very long-chain fatty acids need not be transported into the peroxisome as carnitine esters, but enter the peroxisome compartment by simple diffusion. (2) Peroxisomes preferentially oxidize medium-, long-, and very long-chain fatty acids ( $C_{10}$ - $C_{30}$ ). In contrast, mitochondria oxidize short-, medium-, and long-chain fatty acids (up to  $C_{18}$ ) (Tolbert, 1981; Mannaerts and DeBeer, 1982). (3) The AOx enzymes is inactive toward substrates having acyl moieties of eight or fewer carbon atoms (Osumi, *et al.*, 1980). Instead, they are converted to carnitine esters by carnitine acyl-transferase and shuttled to the mitochondria for further oxidation (Hashimoto, 1996; Mannaerts and van Veldhoven 1996). (4) Peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation can also use substrates that are not efficiently oxidized by mitochondria, such as unsaturated fatty acids (Osmundsen, 1982; Osmundsen and Hovik, 1988) and medium- and long-chain dicarboxylic acids (van Hoof *et al.*, 1988). (5) The activity of peroxisomes responds to various physiological conditions, the most characteristic response being the induction of  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes in the presence of peroxisome proliferators (Hashimoto, 1996).

Under normal conditions, the  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids occurs in mitochondria with the liberation of ATP (Mannaerts and DeBeer, 1982). However, under conditions in which energy balance is perturbed, such as a high fat diet or the administration of peroxisome proliferators, peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation is induced (Lock *et al.*, 1989). It therefore appears that biotransformation via the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation system contributes to the oxidation of fatty acids, as well as to the detoxification of active endogenous and exogenous molecules (Mannaerts and van Veldhoven, 1993; Hashimoto, 1996).

## **1.3 Peroxisome Proliferators**

Peroxisome proliferators are a broad class of structurally unrelated xenobiotic chemicals that include herbicides, phthalate ester plasticizers, chlorinated hydrocarbons, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, eicosanoids, thiazolidinedione antidiabetic drugs, as well as naturally occurring and synthetic mono- and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Table 1-1) (Reddy and Lalwani, 1983; Reddy and Rao, 1986; Lock et al., 1989; Forman et al., 1997; Kliewer et al., 1997; Krey et al., 1997; Lee et al., 1997; Lehmann et al., 1997). Surprisingly, the fibrate family of hypolipidemic drugs, which are used extensively to lower elevated plasma triglycerides and cholesterol levels in the treatment of cardiovascular disease (Reddy et al., 1980), also form a class of peroxisome proliferators. Dose-response studies have shown that the potency of peroxisome proliferators varies over several orders of magnitude, with hypolipidemic agents being the strongest and plasticizers and chlorinated hydrocarbons among the weakest (Lock et al., 1989). In general, peroxisome proliferators are classified as non-genotoxic carcinogens, since they fail to interact with or damage DNA either directly or indirectly via metabolic conversion as detected by a number of genotoxicity assays, including the Ames Salmonella mutagenecity assay (Warren et al., 1980; Gupta et al., 1985; Bentley et al., 1987). The widespread use of peroxisome proliferators in society has led to the contamination of air, drinking water and foodstuffs, raising the concern that humans may be at a potential health risk from exposure to these chemical agents (Huber et al., 1996).

Common Name	Chemical Name	Structure
Fibrate hypolipidemic drug	gs	
Clofibrate	ethyl-a-p-chlorophenoxyisobutyrate	
Ciprofibrate	2-[4-[2,2-dichlorocyclopropyl] phenoxyl]-2-methyl propionic acid	сі сі
Nafenopin	2-methyl-2-[ <i>p</i> -(1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1-napthyl) phenoxy propionic acid	-о-с-соон
Gemfibrozil	5-2[2,5-dimethylphenoxy]2-2-dimethylpropionic acid	
Bezafibrate	2-[4-(2-[4-chlorobenzamide ethyl)phenoxy 2- methylpropionate	
Non-fibrate hypolipidemic	drugs	Соон
ΕΤΥΑ	5,8,11,14-eicosatetraynoic acid	
Wy-14,643	[4-chloro-6-(2,3-xylidino)2-pyrimidinylthio] acetic acid	
BR-931	[4-chloro-6-(2,3-xylinidino)2-pyrimidinylthio] (N-[}-hydroxyethyl)acetamide	
Non-steroidal anti-inflamm	natory drugs	COOH
Aspirin (acetyl salicylic acid)	2-(acetyloxy)-benzoic acid	
Troglitazone (Rezulin)	5-[[4-](3.4-dihydro-6-hydroxy-2.5.7.8-tetramethyl- 211-1-benzopyran-2-yl)methoxy]phenyl[methyl]- 2,4-thiazolidinedione	
Rosiglitazone (BRL49653)	5-[[4]2-(methyl-2-pyridinylamino)ethoxy]phenyl] methyl]-2,4-thiazolidinedione	

## Table 1-1. Examples of Peroxisome Proliferators

.

Indomethacin	1-[4-chlorobenzoy1]-5-methoxy-2-methylindole- 3-acetic acid
Ibuprofen	methyl-4-(2-methylpropyl)phenylacetic acid
Eicosanoids	
8S-HETE	8-hydroxy-5,9,11,14-eicosatetraenoic acid
9-HODE	9-hydroxy-10,12-octadecadienoic acid
13S-HODE	13-hydroxy-9,11-octadecadienoic acid
15d-PGJ2	15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J <sub>2</sub>
LTB4	5,12-dihydroxy-6,7,10,14-eicosatetraenoic acid

#### **Unsaturated Fatty Acids**

MEHP

Linolenic acid	9,12,15-octadecatrienoic acid	
Linoleic acid	9,12-octadecadienoic acid	$\langle $
Arachidonic acid	5,8,11,14-eicosatetraenoic acid	
Oleic acid	9-octadecenoic acid	
Phthalates		
DEHP	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	$\sim$

Mono(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate





соон







## **1.4 Peroxisome Proliferation**

Hess and coworkers (1965) and Svoboda and Azarnoff (1966) identified peroxisome proliferators through animal studies using rats administered clofibrate. Analysis of rat hepatocytes had revealed that this hypolipidemic agent caused an increase in the number, size, and metabolic capacity of peroxisomes (Paget, 1963; Hess *et al.* 1965; Svoboda and Azarnoff 1966; Lazarow and de Duve, 1976; Osumi and Hashimoto, 1978; Hawkins *et al.*, 1987). Later studies provided evidence that the increase in  $\beta$ -oxidation activity is accompanied by increased levels of the core enzymes of the  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway, AOx, HD and thiolase, primarily due to the transcriptional induction of the corresponding genes (Lock *et al.*, 1989; Hijikata *et al.*, 1990; Osumi, 1993; Reddy *et al.*, 1986). Interestingly, the same level of induction of peroxisomal catalase was not observed (Reddy and Rao, 1986, 1989).

It had been postulated that the effects of peroxisome proliferators were mediated through a receptor-based mechanism of transcriptional activation. In 1990, Issemann and Green successfully cloned the first PPAR isoform and demonstrated that, indeed, it was responsible for mediating the effects of peroxisome proliferators (Issemann and Green, 1990) by stimulating the rapid and coordinated transcriptional induction of genes encoding both the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes and the drug-metabolizing enzymes of the cytochrome P450 family (Poellinger *et al.*, 1992). The fact that PPAR $\alpha$  knock-out mice fail to exhibit peroxisome proliferation upon exposure to the classic peroxisome proliferators clofibrate and Wy-14,643 further supported the claim that PPAR $\alpha$  (NR1C1)<sup>1</sup> is the main mediator of the pleiotropic effects of this class of compounds. In contrast, peroxisome proliferators have been shown to have an inhibitory effect on mitochondrial-specific metabolic activities (Youssef and Badr, 1998).

#### 1.5 Hepatomegaly and Carcinogenesis

Peroxisome proliferators have also been shown to induce a host of biochemical and morphological changes. One notable phenomena is the enlargement of the liver. Termed hepatomegaly, the growth of the liver results from both a hyperplastic (increase in cell number) and hypertrophic (increase in cell size) response. Hyperplasia is characterized by cellular proliferation due to increased DNA synthesis and a concomitant decrease in apoptosis (Rao and Reddy, 1991). On the other hand, hypertrophy results from an increase in peroxisomal volume (Meyer and Afzelius, 1989). It has been demonstrated that long-term exposure to peroxisome proliferators invariably leads to the formation of hepatocellular tumours in rodent species. However, exposure to peroxisome proliferators has not been conclusively shown to induce hepatomegaly or hepatocarcinogenesis in nonrodent species, including humans, even though peroxisome proliferators can activate both human and murine PPAR $\alpha$  in cell-based transactivation assays (Bentley *et al.*, 1993; Bieri and Lhuguenot, 1993; Cattley *et al.*, 1998). This lack of hepatic carcinogenic response may be attributed to reduced levels of PPAR $\alpha$  in humans (Bell *et al.*, 1998; Cattley *et al.*,

Section 1.8 Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily offers an explanation for the unified nomenclature for nuclear hormone receptors.

1998; Palmer *et al.*, 1998), which are 10-fold lower in human liver than in rat liver. In addition, structural polymorphisms in human PPAR $\alpha$  may render this form less responsive to peroxisome proliferators and/or to inducing a proliferative effect (Palmer *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, an alteration of the PPRE sequence in the human AOx gene promoter may also partly explain the relative unresponsiveness of humans to PPAR $\alpha$  ligands (Woodyatt *et al.*, 1999).

#### 1.6 Oxidative Stress

A number of models have been proposed to account for the mechanisms by which peroxisome proliferators induce hepatocarcinogenesis (Rao and Reddy 1991; Bentley *et al.*, 1993; Peters *et al.*, 1997; Christiansen *et al.*, 1998). Reddy and colleagues (1980) first proposed that since the three core enzymes of the  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway are significantly induced, with only a minor increase in catalase activity (Lazarow and de Duve 1976; Cohen and Grasso, 1981; Reddy and Lalwani, 1983), the increase in the metabolic capacity of the  $\beta$ -oxidation system causes an overproduction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> within the cell that cannot be sufficiently converted to H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>. The accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> leads to increased formation of highly reactive oxygen radicals (Elliot *et al.*, 1986) that can damage DNA directly. In addition, administration of peroxisome proliferators has been shown to reduce the efficiency of the antioxidant defence system involving glutathione peroxidase and glutathione-S-transferase, which normally functions to inactivate peroxides (Furukawa *et al.*, 1985; Foliot *et al.*, 1986; Elliot and Elcombe, 1987; Rao and Reddy 1991; Reddy and Chu, 1996). In support of this, co-administration of the antioxidant ethoxyquin with the
peroxisome proliferator, ciprofibrate, inhibits hepatic tumourigenesis by minimizing the increase in oxygen radical formation (Rao *et al.*, 1984).

### **1.7 Tumour Promotion**

An alternative hypothesis linking peroxisome proliferators and hepatocarcinogenesis involves tumour promotion. Several peroxisome proliferators have been tested in assays for liver tumour initiation and were found to lack tumour-initiating activity (Williams et al., 1987; Cattley et al., 1989). However, peroxisome proliferators have been shown to stimulate the growth of preneoplastic lesions initiated by known carcinogens (Marsman, 1988; Green, 1992; Bentley, 1993). The increase in size of preneoplastic lesions has been attributed to an induction of DNA synthesis and mitotic activity (Moody and Reddy, 1978). Recent evidence has indicated that peroxisome proliferators prevent apoptosis in preneoplastic lesions by modulating PPAR-mediated bcl-2 expression (Schulte-Hermann et al. 1991; Christiansen et al., 1998). Further evidence for the involvement of PPARs in hepatocarcinogenesis comes from analysis of PPARa knock-out mice, which fail to develop hepatocellular neoplasms upon exposure to the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643 (Peters et al., 1997). Although the mechanism of carcinogenicity of peroxisome proliferators remains incompletely known, it likely involves PPARa and requires the continuous administration of peroxisome proliferators to induce hepatomegaly and promote tumourigenesis (Bentley, 1993).

### 1.8 Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily

The nuclear hormone receptor superfamily<sup>2</sup> is divided into the steroid receptor family and the thyroid/retinoid/vitamin  $D_3$  (or nonsteroidal) receptor family. Each type of receptor constitutes a subfamily (Table 1-2). Each receptor subtype represents the products of individual genes, and receptor isoforms represent the products of alternative gene splicing or promoter usage or both.

# 1.8.1 Thyroid/Retinoid/Vitamin D<sub>3</sub> Receptor Family

Whereas the steroid hormone receptors typically bind to response elements as homodimers, receptors of the thyroid/retinoid/vitamin D<sub>3</sub> family can be further classified into two subgroups based on their DNA binding properties: (1) receptors that bind as monomers, where the DNA binding domain of the receptor binds with high affinity to a single extended TGACCT half-site (Wilson, 1992); and (2) receptors that bind as heterodimers with the common partner, 9-*cis*-retinoic acid receptor (RXR $\alpha$ ) (NR2B1) (Bugge *et al.*, 1992; Kliewer, 1992; Wahlstrom *et al.*, 1992). For this last group of receptors, the response elements are complex, often being composed of two or more TGACCT half-sites organized as either direct, inverted or everted repeats (Brent *et al.*, 1992; Farsetti *et al.*, 1992; Williams *et al.*, 1992; Mangelsdorf and Evans, 1995) with variations in the relative spacing of the half-site motifs (Table 1-3). In the case of direct

2

The discovery and identification of nuclear hormone receptors never followed any common nomenclature or basic naming scheme. Therefore, identical receptors cloned in different species or by different groups were given unrelated names. Recently, a unified nomenclature system for the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily has been adopted (The Nuclear Receptor Nomenclature Committee) (see Table 1-2)

Receptor	Acronym	Subfamily	Subtypes	Isoforms	
Steroid Family					
Progesterone Receptor	PR	3C	-	-	
Androgen Receptor	AR	3C	-	-	
Glucocorticoid Receptor	GR	3C	-	-	
Mineralocorticoid Receptor	MR	3C	-	-	
Estrogen Receptor	ER	3A	α, β	-	
Thyroid/Retinoid/Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Family					
Peroxisome Proliferator- Activated Receptor	PPAR	۱C	α, γ. δ (or β)	y1. y2. y3	
Retinoic Acid Receptor	RAR	IB	α. β. γ	αι. α2. βι. β2. β3. β4. γι. γ2	
Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> Receptor	VDR	11	-	-	
Retinoid X Acid Receptor	RXR	2B	α. β. γ	αι. α2. βι. β2. γι. γ2	
Thyroid Hormone Receptor	TR	IA	α. β	α1, β1, β2	
RevErb	-	1D	α, β	-	
Retinoid Z Receptor (a.k.a retinoid orphan receptor)	RZR ROR	1F 1F	α, β α, β, γ	αι. α2. α3. α4	
Farnesoid X Receptor	FXR	ιH	-	-	
Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor-4	HNF-4	2A	α.β.γ	α1. α2. α4	
Chicken Ovalbumin Upstream Promoter Transcription Factor (I and II)	COUP-TF	2F	α.β.γ	-	
Liver X Receptor	LXR	1H	α, β	~~	
Constitutive Androstane Receptor	CAR	11	α, β	-	
Short Heterodimer Partner	SHP	0A	-	_	

# Table 1-2. Members of the Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily

\* Unified Nomenclature System for the Nuclear Hormone Receptor Superfamily (1999)

In this system, the gene subfamilies are designated by Arabic numerals, groups by capital letters, and individual genes by a second set of Arabic numerals. Receptor isoforms arising from the same gene by alternative promoter usage or differential splicing are designated by a lowercase letter at the end of the name

Binding Sites	Motif	Receptors	
Consensus Steroid Response Elements			
AGAACAnnnTGTTCT	GRE AR/AR. GR/GR, MR/MR. PR/PR		
AGGTCAnnnTGACCT	ERE	ER/ER	
Consensus Direct Repeats			
TGACCTnTGACCT	DRI	RXR/RXR. PPAR/RXR RAR/RXR. COUP-TF/RXR	
TGACCTnnTGACCT	DR2	RAR/RXR	
TGACCTnnnTGACCT	DR3	VDR/RXR	
TGACCTnnnnTGACCT	DR4	TR/RXR	
TGACCTnnnnnTGACCT	DR5	RAR/RNR	

# **Table 1-3. Nuclear Hormone Receptor Response Elements**

Peroxisome Proliferator Response Elements	
AOx	HD
TGACCTiTGTCCT	TCTCCTtTGACCTatTGAACTaTTACCT
RevErba Response Element (Monomer)	DR2
TGACC(T/C)ACATT	TGACC(T/C)gaTGACC(T/C)ACATT
TRE (palindrome)	DR4
AGGTCAtTGACCT	AGGTCAtttcAGGACA
β-RARE	

TGAACTtteggTGAACC

#### CYP4A6

TCACTTiTGCCCT

GRE - glucocorticoid response element

ERE - estrogen response element

AOx - acyl-CoA oxidase

HD - enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase TRE - thyroid hormone receptor response element

 $\beta$ -RARE - retinoic acid receptor  $\beta$ 2 response element CYP4A6 - cytochrome P450 fatty acid  $\omega$ -hydroxylase

n - any nucleotide

repeats, spacing with one nucleotide (DR1) is typically used by PPAR/RXR heterodimers and RXR homodimers, while direct repeats with 3,4, or 5 nucleotide spacing (DR3, DR4, DR5) are the preferred target sites for heterodimers of RXR with vitamin D3 receptor, TRs, and retinoic acid receptor, respectively (Kliewer *et al.*, 1992a). However, some members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily exhibit binding promiscuity that enables them to bind to direct repeats with variable spacing, albeit at lower efficiency than binding to their cognate binding sites (Naar *et al.*, 1991; Umesono *et al.*, 1991; Tsai and O'Malley, 1994). For example, COUP-TF I (NR2F1) homodimers can bind to direct and inverted repeats with spacing between 1 and 10 nucleotides (Cooney *et al.*, 1992) Binding promiscuity confers crosstalk among nuclear hormone receptor signaling pathways and adds a further layer of complexity onto the molecular mechanisms of transcriptional regulation (Umesono *et al.* 1991).

### **1.9 Structural and Functional Domains**

The nuclear hormone receptors are modular in structure, composed of four functional domains (A/B, C, D, and E/F) (Lemberger *et al.*, 1996), which are classified on the basis of sequence homology and functional conservation (Mangelsdorf and Evans 1995; Nuclear Receptors Nomenclature Committee, 1999). The amino-terminal A/B domain is highly variable in terms of length and sequence, and contains an autonomous activation function (AF1) domain that plays an important role in gene expression (Section 1.9.2). The C domain represents the highly conserved DNA binding domain (DBD), which consists of two zinc finger motifs, each comprised of four cysteine residues coordinated

with a zinc atom via their sulphur residues. This results in the formation of a globular tertiary structure that enables the receptor to bind to specific DNA response elements in the promoter regions of target genes (Evans, 1988; Umesono and Evans, 1989; Luisi et al., 1991; Gronmeyer, 1992; Parker, 1993; Mangelsdorf et al., 1995; Meier, 1997; Forman et al., 1998; Keightley, 1998). DNA binding specificity is also influenced by the P-box amino acids located at the carboxy-terminal end of the first zinc finger. Members of the PPAR/TR/RAR subfamily and some orphan nuclear receptors share an identical Pbox motif of CEGCKG. In addition, the amino acids between the first and second cysteine residues of the second zinc finger comprise the D-box, which is involved in receptor dimerization (Kumar and Thompson, 1999). Unlike members of the TR and RAR subfamily that have five or six amino acids, PPARs have only three amino acids that are important in directing the spatial orientation of PPAR and its dimerization partner, RXR. The D region, also known as the hinge region, confers spatial flexibility, allowing conformational changes within the receptor upon DNA and ligand binding. The flexibility of the hinge region is critical, as it permits the DNA binding domain to rotate 180°, enabling some receptors to bind as dimers to both direct and inverted response elements (Glass, 1994) Furthermore, the hinge region contains nuclear localization sequences, mediates interactions with corepressor proteins, and participates in DNA binding (Shibata et al., 1997b; Jackson, 1997). The E/F domain is a complex domain that functions primarily as the ligand binding domain (LBD). It contains a ligand-dependent transactivation function (AF2) domain (Section 1.9.3), as well as houses largely uncharacterized subdomains involved in transcriptional repression, dimerization, and

nuclear localization (Kumar and Thompson, 1999; Giguère, 1999). The ligand binding domains of nuclear hormone receptors are very similar, comprised of eleven to thirteen highly conserved  $\alpha$ -helices and one or more conserved antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheets, arranged into three layers that form the hydrophobic pocket of the ligand binding site (Torchia *et al.*, 1998; Kumar and Thompson, 1999).

### 1.9.1 Ligand Binding Sites of PPARs

X-ray crystallographic studies on the human apo-PPAR $\gamma$ -LBD and apo-PPAR $\delta$ -LBD have illustrated that the PPARs contain an unusually large ligand binding pocket with a volume of approximately 1300 Å<sup>3</sup>. It has been estimated that when bound, ligands occupy from 30 to 40% of the binding cavity volume, in contrast to most other nuclear receptors, such as the TRs in which ligands occupy close to 90% of the cavity volume of a significantly smaller, 600 Å<sup>3</sup> binding pocket (Wagner *et al.*, 1995). The large binding cavity of PPARs offers an explanation for their ability to bind a large repertoire of structurally diverse compounds, albeit with low affinity (Xu *et al.*, 1999; Nolte *et al.*, 1998), rather than a single, high affinity ligand typical of most nuclear hormone receptors.

### 1.9.2 Activation Function-1 (AF1) Domain

The AF1 domain, unlike the AF2 domain (Section 1.9.3), is conventionally thought to be constitutively active in a ligand-independent manner by molecular mechanisms that are poorly understood (Glass *et al.*, 1997; Gelman *et al.*, 1999). Circular dichroism and nuclear magnetic resonance studies have shown that the AF1 domain is rich in acidic amino acid residues (Dahlman-Wright et al., 1995) capable of forming one or more  $\alpha$ -helical structures in vitro. Recent studies involving a number of nuclear hormone receptors have indicated that specific serine residues in the AF1 region are subject to cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)- and mitogen-activated protein (MAP)-kinase-induced phosphorylation. Depending on the receptor type, phosphorylation can either activate or repress transcription (Hammer, 1999; Tremblay, 1999). Insulin stimulation has also been shown to activate PPARa by phosphorylation of its AF1 domain by MAP kinase (Juge-Aubry et al., 1999). It is widely believed that phosphorylation promotes the dissociation of corepressor proteins, consequently permitting the recruitment of coactivators. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that the AF1 domain can bind to the p160 family of coactivators (Oñate et al., 1998; Webb et al., 1998; Ma, 1999) as well as p300. In the case of ER $\beta$ (NR3A2), coactivators (Section 1.15.1) such as SRC-1 are recruited to AF1 independently of the typical ligand-dependent AF2 transactivation domain (Tremblay et al., 1999). Furthermore, phosphorylation of the AF1 domain of steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1) allows for recruitment of the coactivator GRIP-1 to its LBD (Hammer et al., 1999). These observations imply the existence of intramolecular communication between the AF1 and AF2 domains, whereby phosphorylation of the AF1 domain propagates conformational changes to the LBD, facilitating coactivator recruitment (Freedman, 1999b). However, phosphorylation can also have an inhibitory effect, as in the case of MAP kinase-induced phosphorylation of PPARy (Hu et al., 1996), which significantly down-regulates PPARy transcriptional activity by reducing its ligand-binding affinity and modifying its interactions with coactivators and corepressors (Shao et al., 1998; Hu et al., 1996).

It has also been reported that the AF1 domain can form functional, physical interactions with components of the basal transcriptional machinery such as TFIID (Ford *et al.*, 1997). More recently, two-hybrid screens for AF1 interacting proteins have led to the isolation of steroid receptor-specific coactivators (SRA), which are novel RNA species speculated to form a ribonucleoprotein transcriptional scaffold through which SRC-1 is recruited to steroid receptors (Freedman, 1999a; Lanz *et al.*, 1999).

### 1.9.3 Activation Function-2 (AF2) Domain

Mutational analysis of the estrogen receptor (ER) revealed a region within its LBD necessary for transactivation (Danielian *et al.*, 1992). This region is commonly known as the activation function (AF)-2 domain. X-ray crystallography data of various nuclear hormone receptors has illustrated the dynamic nature of the LBD, which undergoes significant structural changes between the unbound, agonist-, and antagonist-bound states (Bourguet *et al.*, 1995; Renaud *et al.*, 1995; Wagner *et al.*, 1995; Brzozowski *et al.*, 1997; Nolte *et al.*, 1998). Ligand binding stimulates nuclear hormone receptor activity by inducing a conformational change within the AF2 domain that facilitates interactions between the nuclear hormone receptor and coactivators. The LBD of nuclear hormone receptors is well conserved and generally consists of 12  $\alpha$ -helices with a conserved  $\beta$ -turn between  $\alpha$ -helices H5 and H6 (Moras and Gronemeyer, 1998; Nolte *et al.*, 1998), while  $\alpha$ -helices H3, H4, H5 and H12 form the hydrophobic pocket that binds ligand. Structural analysis of the LBDs of RAR, RXR, ER, TR and PPAR illustrate that upon binding of ligand, the AF2 domain becomes repositioned so that it folds up against

the ligand binding pocket, forming a "charge clamp" that holds the ligand in place, while also exposing a surface for coactivator recognition and binding (Moras and Gronemeyer, 1998; Darimont *et al.*, 1998; McInerney *et al.*, 1998). Deletions and mutations involving the H12  $\alpha$ -helix eliminate ligand-dependent AF2 transactivity, underscoring the importance of this  $\alpha$ -helix in nuclear hormone receptor transactivity (Tone *et al.*, 1994; Jurutka *et al.*, 1997). In contrast, antagonist-bound ER causes H12 to become partially buried within the ligand binding pocket, precluding the residues needed for coactivator recruitment and transcriptional activation (Brzozowski *et al.*, 1997).

# 1.10 Orphan Nuclear Receptors

Classic members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily were originally cloned on the basis that known hormones (steroids) bound specific receptors that mediated their physiological effects (Evans, 1988; Jensen, 1996). However, with the advent of molecular biology, low-stringency screening of cDNA libraries using conserved DNA binding domain fragments led to the cloning of a plethora of cDNAs encoding nuclear hormone receptors. Of the 70 known nuclear hormone receptors, putative ligands have only been identified for approximately half of them (Kliewer *et al.*, 1999). The remaining receptors with unknown ligands are collectively referred to as orphan nuclear receptors. This large class of receptors is implicated in a wide variety of biological processes that continue to expand our understanding of nuclear hormone receptor biology. The vast majority of orphan nuclear receptors possess all the functional domains typical of nuclear hormone receptors. However, variability exists in that some receptors have short A/B

domains that lack an AF1 domain, while others such as RevErbα (NR1D1) lack an AF2 domain (Harding and Lazar, 1993), Furthermore, receptors such as SHP (NR0B2) and DAX-1 (NR0B1) are found to be missing a typical DNA binding domain. However, in the absence of specific, well characterized functional domains, such orphan receptors may still contain novel functional domains that allow them to bind DNA and ligands while also interacting with cofactors (Zazopoulos *et al.*, 1997). This is exemplified by the DAX-1 receptor, which uses its unique amino-terminal domain to bind to DNA hairpin loop structures (Zazopoulos *et al.*, 1997).

In order to better understand the physiological role of orphan receptors in health and disease, a number of research groups have been devising strategies to identify orphan receptor ligands through a screening process known as "reverse endocrinology" (Blumberg and Evans 1998; Gustaffson, 1999; Kliewer *et al.*, 1999; Schapira *et al.*, 2000; Williams, 2000). In some cases, ligand identification has been based on the hypothesis that ligand binding to nuclear hormone receptors induces an interaction with coactivator proteins *in vitro* (Krey *et al.*, 1997; Desvergne *et al.*, 1998). The ligand-dependent interaction of SRC-1 with PPAR $\gamma$  led to the development of a coactivator-dependent receptor ligand assay (CARLA), which has enabled the identification of *bona fide* ligands for PPARs from *Xenopus* (Krey *et al.*, 1997). Technological advances in instrumentation have also led to the development of new cell-based assays for measuring ligand binding and receptor activation (Williams, 2000), as well as increasing the speed with which putative ligands are discovered.

### 1.11 Nuclear Hormone Receptor Response Elements

Nuclear hormone receptors function to regulate transcription by binding to specific DNA sequences, termed response elements, located in the promoter regions of target genes (Umesono and Evans, 1989; Glass, 1994; Mangelsdorf and Evans, 1995; Keightley, 1998). These response elements are comprised of two copies of consensus hexameric motif TGACCT (Glass, 1994: Schoonjans *et al.*, 1996; Latruffe and Vamecq, 1997) or variations thereof, configured in tandem as direct, inverted, everted or palindromic repeats (Mangelsdorf and Evans, 1995). Specificity of binding by nuclear hormone receptors is dictated by the orientation and spacing of the repeats, as well as by both the core consensus sequence and sequences adjacent to the TGACCT motif (Umesono *et al.*, 1991; Mader *et al.*, 1993; Castelein *et al.*, 1997; IJpenberg *et al.*, 1997; Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997; Olson and Koenig, 1997; Osada *et al.*, 1997)

# 1.11.1 Peroxisome Proliferator Response Elements

PPARs heterodimerize with the 9-cis retinoic acid receptor (RXR $\alpha$ ) and bind to specific response elements termed peroxisome proliferator-response elements (PPRE) comprised of direct tandem repeats of the consensus hexameric motif TGACC(T/C) separated by one nucleotide, referred to as DR1 spacing (Kliewer *et al.*, 1992b; Gearing *et al.*, 1993; Keller *et al.*, 1993; Chu *et al.*, 1995). PPAR/RXR heterodimers favour PPREs in which the separating nucleotide is either an A or T (Palmer *et* 1995; Johnson *et al.*, 1996; Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997). Although the PPREs function primarily to bind PPARs, they also can be bound by other nuclear hormone receptors in DNA binding assays and can differentially modulate PPAR function in transient transfection assays (Miyata *et al.*, 1993; Miyata *et al.*, 1996; Winrow *et al.*, 1994, Winrow *et al.*, 1998). The convergence of various nuclear hormone receptor signaling networks with the PPAR signaling pathway has been demonstrated for COUP-TF I (NR2F1) (Miyata *et al.*, 1993), HNF-4 (NR2A1) (Winrow *et al.*, 1993), LXR $\alpha$  (Miyata *et al.*, 1996), and RZR $\alpha$  (NR1F1) (Winrow *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, other signaling pathways such as those involving mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase can also target nuclear hormone receptors directly and modify their activity.

# 1.11.2 PPREs of the Genes Encoding $\beta$ -oxidation Enzymes

PPREs have been found in the promoters of a number of target genes including those encoding peroxisomal acyl-CoA oxidase (AOx) and enoyl-CoA hydratase/3hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HD). The PPREs of AOx and HD were first identified by deletional and mutational analysis of their promoter regions, followed by transient transfection in the peroxisome proliferator-responsive Reuber rat hepatoma cell line, H4IIEC3 (Osumi *et al.*, 1991; Zhang *et al.*, 1992, 1993). However, the HD-PPRE is unique in that it contains four naturally occurring half-sites (Chu *et al.*, 1995). These four imperfect TGACC(T/C) motifs constitute a unique binding site consisting of two DR1 elements separated by two nucleotides, hence forming a juxtaposed DR2 element (Chu *et al.*, 1995) (Table 1-3). The integrity of the DR1 repeat of the AOx- and HD-PPREs is essential for PPAR/RXR binding *in vitro* and for peroxisome proliferator-responsiveness *in vivo* (Issemann *et al.*, 1993; Miyata *et al.*, 1993; Chu *et al.*, 1995). In addition to the AOx- and HD-PPREs, related PPREs have been found in a number of other peroxisome proliferator-responsive genes including those encoding  $\omega$ -hydroxylases (*CYP4A1* and *CYP4A6*) (Muerhoff *et al.*, 1992; Aldridge *et al.*, 1995), fatty acyl CoA-sythetase (Schoonjans *et al.*, 1995), malic enzymes (Castelein *et al.*, 1994), liver fatty acid binding protein (Issemann *et al.*, 1992), and lipoprotein lipase (Schoonjans *et al.*, 1996). It is becoming increasingly apparent that sequences flanking the PPRE are also important for directing the binding of, and maintaining the conformation of PPAR/RXR heterodimers to the DNA (Palmer *et al.*, 1995; Castelain *et al.*, 1997; IJpenberg *et al.*, 1997; Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997; Osada *et al.*, 1997). These adjacent residues can also modulate gene activation by regulating the specificity of nuclear hormone receptors that bind the PPREs (Palmer *et al.*, 1995).

Analysis of both natural and synthetic PPREs has demonstrated heterodimer binding polarity, with PPAR binding to the 3' half-site and RXR binding to the 5' half-site (Chu *et al.*, 1995; IJpenberg *et al.*, 1997; Juge-Aubry, 1997). This is in contrast to the binding polarity of other RXR $\alpha$  heterodimeric complexes, such as TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , where RXR $\alpha$  occupies the distal half-site. This differential polarity can be explained by intrinsic differences within the specific response elements, which provide a more stable binding interface for specific combinations of nuclear hormone receptor heterodimers in different polar orientations. The unique D-box of PPARs can also exert influence on binding polarity.

# 1.12 The PPARs

PPARs were initially cloned from mouse in a genetic screen for novel members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily (Issemann and Green, 1990) and were shown to be activated by peroxisome proliferators in cell-based transfection assays. The PPARs belong to the thyroid/retinoid/vitamin D3 receptor superfamily, members of which recognize response elements consisting of direct repeats of the TGACC(T/C) motif separated by one base pair (Schoonjans et al., 1996; Latruffe and Vamecq, 1997). There are three PPAR subtypes,  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  (also known as PPAR $\beta$ , NUC1 and FAAR), of which the  $\gamma$  subtype contains three isoforms, 1, 2 and 3. The three PPAR subtypes show distinct tissue patterns of expression and have been identified in a number of species, including human (Schmidt et al., 1992; Sher et al., 1993), rat (Gottlicher et al., 1992), mouse (Chen et al., 1993; Issemann and Green, 1990; Tontonoz et al., 1994; Zhu et al., 1993), guinea pig (Bell et al., 1998), hamster (Aperlo et al., 1995), salmon (Ruyter et al., 1997) and *Xenopus* (Dreyer et al., 1992). In addition, the three PPAR subtypes differ in their ligand binding profiles, each preferentially binding a specific subset of natural and synthetic ligands (Issemann and Green, 1990; Kliewer et al., 1995; Forman et al., 1997). Together, the PPARs regulate the transcription of genes involved in a multitude of metabolic processes that include lipid metabolism, adipogenesis, inflammation, glucose metabolism, development, differentiation, and cancer (Dreyer et al., 1992; Green and Wahli, 1994; Devchand et al., 1996; Schoonjans et al. 1996; Latruffe and Vamecq, 1997; Ribon et al., 1998; Ricote et al., 1998; Deeb et al., 1998; Wu et al., 1998; Desvergne and Wahli, 1999; He et al., 1999).

# 1.12.1 **PPARα**

PPARα (NR1C1) is predominantly expressed in liver, heart, kidney, muscle and brain tissue (Braissant et al., 1996; Auboeuf et al., 1997). PPARa is activated by both naturally occurring and synthetic long- and very long-chain fatty acids, the fibrate family of hypolipidemic drugs, eicosanoids, leukotriene B4 (LTB4), thiazolidinediones (TZD), and a variety of peroxisome proliferators (Forman et al., 1997; Kliewer et al. 1997; Krey et al., 1997; Schoonjans et al., 1997). The PPAR a subtype is responsible for regulating the expression of genes essential for fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation; detoxification of several xenobiotics; lipoprotein, cholesterol, and triglyceride metabolism; and ketogenesis. PPAR $\alpha$  also plays a role in controlling inflammation. The potent chemotactic inflammatory eicosanoid, LTB4 binds to and activates PPARa, inducing the transcription of enzymes of the  $\omega$ - and  $\beta$ -oxidation pathways that eventually catabolize LTB4 (Devchand *et al.*, 1996). In agreement, activation of PPAR $\alpha$  with clofibrate accelerates the catabolism of LTB4 in granulocytes and macrophages (von Schacky et al., 1993; Couve et al., 1992). Taken together, PPAR $\alpha$  modulates the expression of inflammatory cytokines and inflammation markers, exerts anti-inflammatory and pro-apoptotic activities, and is implicated in atherosclerosis, cell differentiation, obesity, carcinogenesis and cell cycle control (Gonzalez, 1997; Aoyama et al., 1998; Chinetti et al., 1998; Ricote et al., 1998; Peters et al., 1998; Gelman et al. 1999; Medh, 1999; Pineda-Torra et al., 1999). Analysis of PPAR $\alpha$  knock-out mice reveals that these mice fail to exhibit the hallmarks of the peroxisome proliferator response (Lee et al., 1995; Costet et al., 1998), have a decreased propensity for the onset of hepatocarcinogenesis, have increased circulating

levels of cholesterol, and sustain a prolonged inflammatory response in response to treatment with fibrates (Peters *et al.*, 1997).

### 1.12.2 PPARy

PPARy (NR1C3) has been the most extensively studied PPAR subtype. Whereas PPARα functions in the catabolism of fatty acids, PPARγ is involved in lipid storage. The PPARy gene is transcribed into three PPARy mRNA species, PPARy1, PPARy2, and PPARy3, which are derived by alternative splicing and promoter usage (Fajas et al., 1997; Zhu et al., 1995). PPARy2 differs from PPARy1 and PPARy3 by an additional 30 amino acids at its amino-terminal (Tontonoz et al., 1994; Camp and Tafuri, 1997; Fajas et al., 1997, 1998). It has been demonstrated that PPARy1 and PPARy2 are phosphorylated by MAP-kinase signaling pathways, which decreases their transcriptional activity by abrogating ligand-binding and/or preventing conformational changes that modulate cofactor affinity and interactions with the basal transcription machinery (Arias et al., 1994; Camp and Tafuri, 1997; Adams et al., 1997; Shao et al., 1998). PPARy1 is ubiquitously expressed. PPARy2 is expressed mainly in fat cells, with moderate expression in the large intestine and monocyte precursors (Lemberger et al., 1996). PPARy1 and PPARy3 appears to be the major subtypes expressed in macrophage foam cells (Ricote et al., 1998). Foam cells are cholesterol-laden macrophages that are implicated in atherosclerotic plaque formation (Willson et al., 2000). The conversion of macrophages to foam cells involves the uptake of oxidized low density lipoprotein particles via the CD36 transporter, whose expression is regulated by PPARy (Ricote et al., 1998; Tontonoz et al., 1998; Willson et

al., 2000).

The PPARy2 isoform was initially discovered as a key determinant of adipogenesis (Tontonoz et al., 1994; Wahli et al., 1995), where ectopic expression of PPARy2 in preadipocytes and fibroblasts converted them to mature adipocytes (Spiegleman et al., 1996; Schoonjans et al., 1997; Gustaffson, 1998). The adipocyte-specific genes that are regulated by PPARy2 include lipoprotein lipase, acyl-CoA synthase, fatty acid transport protein, phosphoenoylpyruvate carboxykinase, and the insulin-dependent glucose transporter, GLUT4 (Tontonoz et al., 1995; Schoonjans et al., 1996; Martin et al., 1997, Motojima et al., 1998; Wu et al., 1998; Kersten et al., 2000), which are involved in coordinating the uptake, metabolism and storage of fatty acids. Furthermore, PPARy2 decreases the expression of adipocyte-derived leptin signaling molecule, which governs energy intake and energy usage and serves to further modulate the adipogenic effect (Kallen and Lazar, 1996). PPARy2 is also important for the control of thermogenesis in brown fat through its regulation of uncoupling protein 1 (UCP-1) in the mitochondria (Puigserver et al., 1998; Guardiola-Diaz et al., 1999). Ligands for PPARy include naturally occurring prostaglandin metabolites such as 15d-PGJ2, synthetic ligands such as TZDs which are insulin sensitizers used in the treatment of diabetes (Kliewer et al., 1995; Lehmann et al., 1995; Berger et al., 1996; Forman et al., 1997), and certain nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Lehmann et al., 1997). The central role of PPARy in fat cell differentiation, and its activation by drugs that modulate insulin sensitization, have directly linked PPARy to obesity and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (Deeb et al., 1998; Ringel et al., 1999). Furthermore, PPARy ligands may also be valuable

agents in cancer therapy. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that exposure to PPAR $\gamma$  ligands can induce terminal differentiation of human liposarcoma cells (Demetri *et al.*, 1999) and malignant breast epithelial cells *in vitro* (Suh *et al.*, 1999). However, treatment with PPAR $\gamma$  ligands can also have deleterious effects. Activation of PPAR $\gamma$  by oral TZD dosing has been linked to colon polyp formation in mice predisposed to intestinal neoplasia (LeFebvre *et al.*, 1998; Saez *et al.*, 1998). This would indicate that PPAR $\gamma$  activation via a high-fat diet could lead to an increased risk of colorectal cancer.

# 1.12.3 **PPARδ**

PPAR $\delta$  (NR1C2) is the most ubiquitously expressed PPAR subtype (Xing *et al.*, 1995; Braissant *et al.*, 1996). Although its exact biological function remains elusive, studies by Gelman *et al.* (1999), and Leibowitz *et al.* (2000), have suggested that PPAR $\delta$  may be involved in lipid metabolism, having a specific effect on high density lipoprotein levels without affecting plasma glucose or triglyceride levels. Administration of PPAR $\delta$  agonists to insulin-resistant  $db/db^3$  mice resulted in an induction of high density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (Leibowitz *et al.*, 2000). PPAR $\delta$  has also been implicated in oligodendrocyte maturation and membrane sheet formation (Granneman *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, PPAR $\delta$  is the only subtype expressed in the uterus during embryo implantation in mice (Lim *et al.*, 1999). Expression of PPAR $\delta$  mirrors the expression pattern of prostacyclin synthase, which produces prostacyclin PGI2, a cyclooxygenase-2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These mice have mutations in the *db* gene that encodes the leptin receptor. As a result, *db/db* mice are obese, hyperglycemic and hypertriglyceridemic.

(COX-2)-derived prostaglandin and a putative PPARô ligand (Yu *et al.*, 1995 Lim *et al.* 1999). Since COX-2 null mice show defects in implantation and decidualization, it is hypothesised that COX-2<sup>-/-</sup> mice may be deficient in endogenous activators of PPARô (Lim *et al.*, 1999). Recent evidence has also implicated PPARô as a downstream effector of the tumour suppressor protein, APC, in colorectal cancer (He *et al.*, 1999). In common with other subtypes, PPARô is activated by naturally occurring fatty acids such as ethyl esters of palmitic and oleic acids (Schmidt *et al.*, 1996), linoleic acid (Yu *et al.*, 1995), 8S-HETE (Krey *et al.*, 1997), as well as the synthetic ligands ETYA (Forman *et al.*, 1997), the arachidonic acid analog L-631,033 (Johnson *et al.*, 1997) and the fibrate derivative GW 2433 (Brown *et al.*, 1997).

### 1.13 Crosstalk Between Nuclear Hormone Receptors

A common theme in the regulation of metabolic processes is the convergence of different signaling pathways. Crosstalk between the various nuclear hormone receptor signaling pathways has been readily observed to mediate transcriptional regulation (Beato *et al.*, 1995). With respect to PPARs, several nuclear hormone receptors have been shown to bind to PPREs and differentially modulate PPAR function (Miyata *et al.*, 1993, 1996; Winrow *et al.*, 1994, 1998).

### 1.13.1 Retinoid X Receptor

Three RXR gene products ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ ) have been identified in mammals (Mangelsdorf *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Leid *et al.*, 1992) whose ligand is 9-*cis* retinoic acid (9-

cis RA). The RXRs are ubiquitously expressed, although individual RXR genes display unique but overlapping patterns of expression during development and in adult tissues (Levin et al., 1992; Mangelsdorf, 1992; Liu and Linney, 1993; Dollé et al., 1994). As promiscuous heterodimerization partners for various nuclear hormone receptors, RXRs participate in the expression of target genes involved in a wide range of biological processes. Two types of RXR heterodimeric complexes exist: non-permissive heterodimers such as RAR/RXR, TR/RXR, and VDR/RXR that can be activated only by the partner's ligand (Kurokawa et al., 1994; Forman et al., 1997), and permissive heterodimers like LXR/RXR and PPAR/RXR that can be activated by either partner's ligand (Kliewer et al., 1992a; Willy et al., 1995; Janowski et al., 1996, Willy and Mangelsdorf, 1997). In the case of PPAR/RXR heterodimers, activation of the heterodimer complex can occur by each partner's individual ligand or when both receptors are liganded. The latter scenario results in an additive effect on transcriptional activation in transient transfections (Kliewer et al., 1992a; Gearing et al., 1993; Keller et al., 1993). However, in a cellular context, the relative contributions of either the RXR or PPAR signaling pathways to PPAR/RXR-mediated gene transcription remains to be determined.

# 1.13.2 Thyroid Hormone Receptor α

Receptors for thyroid hormones exert a myriad of physiological effects by modulating the expression of genes involved in growth, development (Chatterjee, 1989) and lipid metabolism (Evans, 1988; Glass *et al.*, 1989; Chatterjee and Tata, 1992; Desvergne, 1994). Coincidently, these metabolic processes overlap those modulated by

peroxisome proliferators. In fact, it has been demonstrated that the thyroid hormone, triiodothyronine (T3), attenuates peroxisome proliferator-mediated transcriptional induction of the genes encoding peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes, suggesting crosstalk between these two signaling pathways (Takeda et al., 1992; Pacot et al., 1993; Yamada et al., 1994). Evidence for a physiologically relevant connection between thyroid hormones and peroxisome proliferators is illustrated by the finding that PPAR activators such as fibrates promote adipocyte differentiation in a process that is similarly modulated by thyroid hormone (Gharbi-Chihi et al., 1993). Studies by Bogazzi et al. (1994), Jow and Mukherjee (1995), Juge-Aubry et al. (1995), and Meier-Heusler et al. (1995), have further delineated that peroxisome proliferator and thyroid hormone signaling pathways converge at the level of their respective nuclear hormone receptors and that both PPARs and thyroid hormone receptors (TR) require heterodimerization with RXR $\alpha$  for DNA binding (Green and Wahli, 1994). In addition, PPARa can selectively down-regulate the transcriptional activity of TRs either by forming non-DNA-binding heterodimers with TRs (Bogazzi et al., 1994; Jow and Mukherjee, 1995) or by competition for RXRα and other auxiliary proteins (Juge-Aubry et al., 1995).

# 1.13.3 **RevErb**α

RevErb $\alpha$  (NR1D1) is an orphan member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily (Chawla and Lazar, 1993) that is encoded on the opposite strand of the TR $\alpha$ gene (Lazar *et al.*, 1989; Miyajima., 1989). RevErb $\alpha$  is expressed in a variety of tissue types, including adipocytes (Chawla and Lazar, 1993), skeletal muscle (Forman *et al.*, 1994), and liver (Forman *et al.*, 1994). Although the biological function of RevErba remains elusive, it has been implicated in adipogenesis (Chawla and Lazar, 1993), thyroid hormone signaling (Spanjaard *et al.*, 1994; Miyajima *et al.*, 1989; Lazar *et al.*, 1990) and muscle differentiation (Downes *et al.*, 1995). Recently, the gene for rat apolipoprotein A1, as well as the *CYP4A6* gene encoding a member of the cytochrome P450 fatty acid  $\omega$ hydroxylase family, have been shown to contain PPREs that bind, and are regulated by RevErba (Hsu *et al.*, 1998; Vu-Dac *et al.*, 1998). Furthermore, RevErba responds to the fibrate family of hypolipidemic drugs (Vu-Dac, N *et al.*, 1998). Human RevErba has also been shown to mediate the transcriptional repression of its own promoter *in vitro* (Adelmant *et al.*, 1996). More recently, Gervois *et al.* (1999) have demonstrated that fibrate drugs induce the expression of the RevErba gene through competition between RevErba and PPARa/RXRa for binding to the autoregulatory RevDR2 site of the RevErba gene.

Unlike many nuclear hormone receptors that bind to response elements as heteroand homodimers, RevErb $\alpha$  is a member of a growing subclass of receptors that includes SF-1 (NR5A1) (Ikeda *et al.*, 1993), NGF-1B (NR4A1) (Milbrandt, 1988), RNR-1 (NR4A2) (Scearce *et al.*, 1993), RZR $\alpha$  (NR1F1) (Winrow *et al.*, 1998), and BD73 (NR1D2) (Retnakaran *et al.*, 1994), that bind notably as monomers (Adelmant *et al.*, 1996; Harding and Lazar, 1995). However, RevErb $\alpha$  can also bind as a homodimer to a RevErb $\alpha$ -DR2 element (Harding and Lazar, 1995). Moreover, unlike many nuclear hormone receptors, RevErb $\alpha$  lacks a conserved carboxyl-terminal activation domain (AF2) (Durand *et al.*, 1994; Harding and Lazar, 1995), which is suggested to be the cause of its repressive effects on transcriptional activation (Forman *et al.*, 1994; Harding and Lazar, 1995; Adelmant *et al.*, 1996; Zamir *et al.*, 1996). Monomeric RevErbα binds to the TGACCT/C consensus half-site flanked by a 5-base A/T-rich region, ACATT (Harding and Lazar, 1993; Forman et al, 1994; Harding and Lazar, 1995; Hsu *et al.*, 1998), in which the A at position +1 and the T at position +4 relative to the half-site are essential for high affinity binding (Harding and Lazar, 1993). This consensus half-site is found in both the AOx- and HD-PPREs.

### 1.13.4 Constitutive Androstane Receptor $\beta$

The nuclear hormone receptor constitutive androstane receptor  $\beta$  (CAR $\beta$ ) (NR1I4) (Choi *et al.*, 1997) heterodimerizes with RXR $\alpha$  to activate a subset of retinoic acid-response elements (Baes *et al.*, 1994; Choi *et al.*, 1997; Forman *et al.*, 1998) consisting of DRs related to the half-site consensus motif and separated by 2 or 5 base pairs. CAR $\beta$  response elements include the retinoic acid receptor  $\beta$ 2 response element ( $\beta$ -RARE) (Baes *et al.*, 1994; Choi *et al.*, 1997; Forman *et al.*, 1998) and the phenobarbital response element module (PBREM) of the *CYP2B* gene (Honkakoski *et al.*, 1998; Sueyoshi *et al.*, 1998). Interestingly, CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  possesses ligand-independent transactivity from both the  $\beta$ -RARE and PBREM in the absence of retinoids or any other exogenously added ligand (Choi *et al.*, 1997). CAR $\beta$  is therefore among a growing number of orphan receptors, such as HNF-4 (NR2A1) (Sladek *et al.*, 1990; Ladias *et al.*, 1992; Carter *et al.*, 1993), steroidogenic factor 1 (SF-1) (Lynch *et al.*, 1991; Paulsen *et al.*, 1992) and OR-1 (NR1H2) (Feltkamp *et al.*, 1999) that are capable of activating transcription in the absence of added ligand. Recently, Forman and coworkers showed that unlike the classical steroid/nuclear hormone receptors that are activated by their cognate ligands, CAR $\beta$  binds the steroid androstane metabolites, androstanol and androstenol, which antagonize its ligand-independent transactivity (Forman *et al.*, 1998). This result proposes that CAR $\beta$  could form part of a novel class of ligand-deactivated receptors (Picard, 1998).

# 1.13.5 Short Heterodimer Partner Receptor

The short heterodimer partner (SHP) (NR0B2) receptor is an unusual orphan nuclear receptor in that it lacks a typical DNA binding domain (Seol *et al.*, 1996). SHP was independently isolated by a yeast two-hybrid screen through its interaction with mouse CAR $\beta$  (Seol *et al.*, 1996) and PPAR $\alpha$  (Johansson *et al.*, 1999). Its 1.3 kb transcript is ubiquitously expressed in a variety of tissue types, with strong expression in the liver (Johansson *et al.*, 1999). In addition to the interaction between SHP and CAR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$  in yeast, SHP has been shown to interact with a number of nuclear hormone receptors *in vitro*, including RAR, TR, RXR, ERs, and HNF-4 (Seol *et al.*, 1996; Masuda *et al.*, 1997; Johansson *et al.*, 1999; Lee *et al.*, 2000). In most cases, binding between receptors was enhanced in the presence of receptor-specific ligand (Seol *et al.*, 1996).

SHP has been proposed to act as a negative regulator of nuclear receptor transactivity, as demonstrated in transient transfections (Seol *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Johansson *et al.*, 1999). Because many nuclear hormone receptors are sensitive to SHP-mediated

transcriptional repression, it is becoming increasingly obvious that the convergence of the SHP signaling pathway may be a critical regulatory mechanism in modulation of nuclear hormone receptor-mediated gene transcription.

### 1.14 Basal Transcription

A number of elegant studies have illustrated the complex mechanisms by which nuclear hormone receptors activate and repress transcription. It has long been thought that transcriptional activation by nuclear hormone receptors required either direct or indirect interaction with the basal transcription machinery (Baniahmed et al., 1993; Tsai and O'Malley, 1994; Schulman et al., 1995; Janknecht and Hunter, 1996; Glass et al., 1997). The vast majority of eukaryotic genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II, which produces a messenger RNA (mRNA) transcript of the target gene. A host of general transcription factors assemble at the core promoter in a highly ordered fashion, facilitating the recruitment of RNA polymerase II to initiate transcription (Zawel and Reinberg, 1995). These general transcription factors, which include transcription factors (TF) IID, TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF, and TFIIH, and the TATA binding protein (TBP), direct basal level transcription from promoters containing an initiation element called a TATA box (Goodrich et al., 1996) located upstream (-25 to -30 bp) of the transcription start site. The assembly of the basal transcription factors begins with TFIID, which is a large complex consisting of at least nine subunits, TBP, and eight TBP-associated factors (TAFs) (Goodrich and Tjian, 1994). The TBP subunit binds specifically to the TATA sequence. Next, TFIIB is recruited to the complex followed by RNA polymerase II, which

38

joins the DNA-bound transcription factors as a complex with TFIIF. TFIIE and TFIIH then assemble onto the complex, causing phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II, henceforth initiating transcription (Alberts *et al.*, 1994).

Gene expression is a precise and tightly regulated event that integrates various biological signals essential for normal growth and physiology. It is becoming increasingly apparent that transcription by RNA polymerase II promoters involves the action of both positive and negative regulatory factors (Goodrich *et al.*, 1996). Indeed, it has been demonstrated that a variety of transcriptional activating proteins such as coactivators (Section 1.15.1) and nuclear hormone receptors (*e.g.* RXR, THR, ER and PR) interact with various subunits of the general transcription machinery (Goodrich and Tjian 1994; Jacq *et al.* 1994; Schulman *et al.*, 1995; Schwerk *et al.*, 1995; Horwitz *et al.*, 1996) such as TBP and TAFs (Triezenberg 1995, Zawel and Reinberg, 1995).

# 1.15 Transcriptional Activation and Repression

A growing body of evidence suggests that nuclear hormone receptors form part of a large macromolecular complex of associated cofactors that acts as a protein "scaffold" to integrate signals between nuclear hormone receptors so as to either positively or negatively regulate transcription (Horwitz *et al.*, 1996; Nolte *et al.*, 1998; Torchia *et al.*, 1998). These cofactors are commonly referred to as coactivators and corepressors, respectively, and have been identified genetically and biochemically in both yeast and mammalian cells. A number of cofactors have been shown to possess intrinsic or associated enzymatic activities such as histone acetyl transferase, deacetylase, and protein methyl transferase activities (Chen *et al.*, 1999). These cofactors can exert their actions by remodelling chromatin, mediating interactions with auxiliary cofactors, introducing protein modifications, and promoting the assembly and/or stabilization of the transcription pre-initiation complex (Xu *et al.*, 1999). PPARs have been shown to interact with PPARor PPAR isoform-specific cofactors, as well as those shared amongst other nuclear hormone receptors (DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997; Dowell *et al.*, 1997, 1999; Meertens *et al.*, 1998; Miyata *et al.*, 1998; Nolte *et al.*, 1998; Treuter *et al.*, 1998) (Table 1-4)

# 1.15.1 Coactivators

Transcriptional activation by regulated transcription factors such as cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) (Kwok *et al.*, 1994), mitogen-activated transcription factors (Arias *et al.*, 1994), as well as nuclear hormone receptors (Chakravarti *et al.*, 1996; Kamei *et al.*, 1996) involves the recruitment of coactivator proteins to the nuclear hormone receptor in a ligand-dependent manner. There is an expanding list of identified coactivator proteins that include the CREB binding protein (CBP) and its homolog p300, CBP associated factor (p/CAF), steroid receptor coactivator 1 (SRC-1), the SRC-1 homolog transcriptional intermediary factor 2 (TIF2), activator of thyroid and retinoic acid receptor (ACTR), glucocorticoid receptor-interacting protein 1 (GRIP1), receptor interacting protein 140 (RIP140), PPARγ-binding protein (PBP), PPARγ-coactivator (PGC), receptor-associated coactivator 3 (RAC3), thyroid hormone receptor-activator molecule (TRAM), and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor interacting protein (PRIP)/RAP250/ASC-2 (Lee *et al.*, 1999; Caira *et al.*, 2000; Zhu *et al.*,

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Name	HAT/HDAC	Associated Factors*
ACTR	HAT	TR <sup>a</sup> . RARβ'
AIB1	-	ER <sup>a</sup> , RAR', VDR <sup>i</sup>
CARM-1	-	SRC-1*. GRIP-1*
CBP/p300	HAT	AR', ER', PR', RAR', RXR', TR', VDR', SRC-1*, p/CAF <sup>b</sup> , TFIIB <sup>b</sup> , TIF-2 <sup>b</sup> , p/CIP <sup>b</sup> , TBP <sup>j</sup> , PPAR <sup>p</sup>
DRIP/TRAP	-	VDR <sup>b</sup> . TR <sup>b</sup>
GRIP-1/TIF-2	-	GR", CBP <sup>a</sup> , ER <sup>j</sup> , AR <sup>j</sup> , PR <sup>j</sup> , RAR <sup>I</sup> , PPAR <sup>III</sup>
p/CAF	HAT	PR <sup> b</sup> , SRC-1 <sup>b</sup> , TR <sup> b</sup> , ACTR <sup>b</sup> , CBP/p300 <sup>c</sup> , RAR <sup> c</sup> , RXR <sup>i</sup>
p/CIP	HAT	CBP/p300 <sup>h</sup>
PBP	-	PPAR $\alpha$ ', PPAR $\gamma$ ', RAR', RXR', TR $\beta^{k}$ , VDR'
PGC-1	-	PPARy <sup>r</sup> , RAR <sup>r</sup> , ER <sup>r</sup> , SRC-1 <sup>*</sup> , CBP/p300 <sup>*</sup>
PRIP	-	PPAR <sup>4</sup>
RAC3	HAT	RAR <sup>®</sup> . VDR <sup>®</sup>
RIP140	-	PPARγ <sup>r</sup> , RNR <sup>r</sup> , TR <sup>r</sup> , ER <sup>b</sup> , PPARα <sup>d</sup> , RAR <sup>d</sup> , LNRα <sup>d</sup>
SMCC/ARC	-	VDR <sup>b</sup> . TR <sup>b</sup>
SRC-1	HAT	PR', PPARα', PPARγ', PPARδ', TR', ER <sup>b</sup> , GR <sup>b</sup> , RXR <sup>b</sup> , RAR <sup>b</sup> , CBP/p300 <sup>b</sup> , p/CAF <sup>b</sup> , HNF-4 <sup>b</sup> , TBP <sup>e</sup> , TFIIB <sup>e</sup> , VDR <sup>e</sup>
TRAM-1	-	TR
TRIP-1/SUG-1	-	TR <sup>b</sup> . RXR <sup>b</sup>
SMRT/TRAC-2	recruits HDAC	RAR', TR', RNR', PPARα <sup>i</sup> , PPARγ <sup>i</sup> , ER <sup>i</sup> , PR <sup>i</sup> , COUP-TFI <sup>i</sup> , Sin3A <sup>i</sup> , HDAC-1 <sup>i</sup>
NCoR	recruits HDAC	RAR <sup>e</sup> , TR <sup>e</sup> , RXR <sup>e</sup> , RevErb <sup>f</sup> , PPAR <sup>e</sup> , Sin3A <sup>j</sup> , Sin3B <sup>f</sup>
Sin3	recruits HDAC	COUP-TF1 <sup>h</sup> , PR <sup>h</sup> , NCoR <sup>j</sup> , SMRT <sup>j</sup>
RDP3	HDAC	RAR'
ΤΙF1α/β	recruits HDAC	RXR <sup>h</sup> . RAR <sup>h</sup>

Table 1-4. Nuclear Hormone Receptor Coactivators and Corepressors

.

\* Leo and Chen, 2000

- <sup>b</sup> Takeshita *et al.*, 1996 <sup>1</sup> Chen *et al.*, 1997

"Leers et al., 1998

<sup>q</sup> Zhu et al., 2000

<sup>b</sup> Shibata et al., 1997b

<sup>6</sup> Zamir et al., 1996 <sup>j</sup> Collingwood et al., 1999

\* Li et al., 1997

' Gelman et al., 1999

6 Horwitz et al., 1996

<sup>s</sup> Sande *et al.*, 1996 <sup>k</sup> Ogryzko *et al.*, 1998

- ° Chen et al., 2000
- <sup>d</sup> Mivata *et al.*, 1998 <sup>h</sup> McKenna *et al.*, 1999 <sup>1</sup> Voegel *et al.*, 1996
- P Berger et al., 1999

2000). The ability of coactivator proteins to potentiate ligand-dependent transactivation for several nuclear hormone receptors has been demonstrated *in vivo*.

The well-studied p160 family of coactivators is comprised of steroid receptor coactivator 1 (SRC-1)/NCoA-1, TIF2/GRIP1/NCoA-2, and p/CIP/ACTR/AIB1/ RAC3/TRAM-1, along with the CREB-binding protein (CBP) and the functionally related protein p300. These coactivators possess histone acetyltransferase activities and, as a result, are involved in the modification of chromatin (Kamei et al., 1995; Oñate et al., 1995; Bannister and Kouzarides, 1996; Hong et al., 1996; Ogryzko et al., 1996; Voegel et al., 1996; Anzick et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1997; Li et al., 1997; Spencer et al., 1997; Takeshita et al., 1997; Torchia et al., 1997; Korzus et al., 1998). Chromatin remodelling occurs through the acetylation of amino-terminal lysine-rich histone tails, which destabilizes histone-DNA interactions and causes the unwinding of DNA, making it more accessible to the transcriptional machinery (Chakravarti et al., 1999). The p160 proteins are comprised of a number of structural motifs including three independent activation domains (AD-1, AD-2, and AD-3). In fact, the p160 family of coactivators anchor a complex comprised of the CBP/p300, p/CAF (Spencer et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1997), to nuclear hormone receptors through ligand-dependent AF2 interactions (Yao et al., 1996; Anzick et al., 1997). These so called general transcription coactivators enable full transcriptional activation by both nuclear hormone receptors and transcription factors such as STAT and NFkB. In essence, coactivators function primarily to recruit histone acetyl transferase activity to target promoters, allowing for the subsequent remodelling of chromatin (Freedman, 1999a and 1999b).

A second category of coactivators generally lacks histone acetyltransferase activity and are thought to act as "scaffolding" factors that link the receptor complex to the basal transcriptional machinery (Zhu *et al.*, 2000) either directly or via interactions with other auxiliary factors or multiprotein transcriptional complexes such as DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC (Section 1.15.4). However, this distinction is not exclusive, since CBP and p300 have also been shown to interact with TFIIB (Kwok *et al.*, 1994) and TBP (Nakajima *et al.*, 1997), respectively. The large number of coactivator proteins in an active transcriptional complex may reflect the utility of distinct set(s) of coactivator proteins to bind specific nuclear hormone receptors to activate gene transcription from specific target genes in response to various physiological stimuli (Kawasaki *et al.*, 1998).

### 1.15.2 Structural Motif Requirements for Coactivator Interaction

The mechanism by which coactivators interact with nuclear hormone receptors is well established. The distinctive feature of coactivators is that they contain single or multiple LXXLL (where L is leucine and X is any amino acid) signature motifs (also called NR boxes) in a conserved central sequence used to directly interface with the AF2 domain of nuclear hormone receptors (McInerney *et al.*, 1998). Upon binding of ligand,  $\alpha$ -helix H12 undergoes a conformational reorientation within the ligand binding domain structure, forming part of a "charged clamp" that interacts with the LXXLL motif of coactivators (Freedman, 1999a). Evidence for this mechanism is derived from experiments in which deletions or point mutations in the LXXLL residues abrogate coactivator-receptor interactions and abolish ligand-dependent transactivity (DiRenzo *et al.* 1997; Feng *et al.*,

1998; Meertens et al., 1998; Nolte et al., 1998). Interestingly, antagonists such as tamoxifen or raloxifene bound to estrogen receptor alter the position of the AF2 domain such that  $\alpha$ -helix H12 occupies the hydrophobic cleft of the ligand binding domain, precluding the binding of coactivators (Brzozowski et al., 1997; Shiau et al., 1998). In addition to the integrity of the LXXLL motifs, binding between nuclear hormone receptors and coactivators is also influenced by sequences adjacent to the LXXLL motifs, spacing between LXXLL motifs, as well as residues around the periphery of the hydrophobic pocket (Darimont et al., 1998). Furthermore, apart from interacting with nuclear hormone receptors, coactivator proteins also interact with each other to form a large macromolecular complex that synergistically potentiates transcription (Chakravarti et al., 1996; Kamei et al., 1996; Yao et al. 1996; Freedman, 1999a). Examples include p/CAF which forms part of an approximately 20 subunit complex containing TAFs and TAF-like proteins (Ogryzko et al., 1998), CPB/p300 and some of the p160 coactivators, as well as nuclear hormone receptors (Chen et al., 1997; Blanco et al., 1998). Interestingly, in some cases, these cofactor interactions involve the use of LXXLL motifs distinct from those used for interactions with nuclear hormone receptors. For example, SRC-1 contains specific carboxy terminal LXXLL signature motifs that are used for binding CBP/p300 (Oñate et al., 1996; Torchia et al., 1997; Dallas et al., 1998; McInerney et al., 1998).

# 1.15.3 Corepressors

Whereas coactivators facilitate transcriptional activation, transcriptional repression involves the recruitment of cofactors termed corepressors to nuclear hormone receptors

in either the absence of ligand or in an antagonist-bound state (Chen and Evans, 1995; Jackson et al., 1997; Perlmann and Evans, 1997; Shibata et al., 1997b). In these states, nuclear hormone receptors assume a conformation distinct from agonist-bound receptors, with the surface of the H12  $\alpha$ -helix buried in the hydrophobic pocket, precluding it from interacting with coactivators, and thereby down-regulating transcription (Darimont et al., 1998; Brzozowski et al., 1997; Shiau et al., 1998). There are two classes of corepressors. The major class is formed by the silencing mediator of RAR and TR (SMRT) and the nuclear hormone receptor corepressor (NCoR) (Chen and Evans 1995; Hörlein et al., 1995; Kurokawa et al., 1995; Zamir et al., 1997; Ordentlich et al., 1999). These corepressors were initially identified as mediators of transcriptional silencing by RAR and TR in the absence of ligand (Baniahmad et al., 1992, Chen and Evans, 1995; Kurokawa et al., 1995; Nawaz et al., 1995; Sande and Privalsky, 1996), but have since been shown to interact with a number of nuclear hormone receptors (Chen and Evans, 1995; Lee et al., 1995; Zamir et al., 1996, 1997; Heinzel et al., 1997; Lavinsky et al., 1998). The interactions between NCoR and SMRT with nuclear receptors are facilitated by one or more nuclear receptor interaction domains that contact the CoR box located between the hinge region and proximal ligand binding domain (Baniahmed et al., 1992, 1995; Chen and Evans, 1995; Hörlein et al. 1995; Kurokawa et al., 1995; Sande and Privalsky, 1996; Zamir et al., 1996; Jackson et al., 1997; Shibata et al., 1997b). Mutations within the CoR box abolish ligand-independent repression (Shibata et al., 1997b; Söderström et al., 1997). However, for some nuclear hormone receptors, like ERs, RevErba, and COUP-TFI which show no homology to the CoR box within any region of each receptor, suggests that other

structural motifs may be involved in corepressor interactions (Downes *et al.*, 1996; Zamir *et al.*, 1996; Shibata *et al.*, 1997a). This may explain how NCoR and SMRT, which share sequence homology, have different preferences for specific nuclear receptors (Lavinsky *et al.*, 1998).

In vitro protein binding assays and co-immunoprecipitation studies have demonstrated that NCoR and SMRT are found in a multisubunit repressor complex with additional cofactors like Sin3 and RPD3 (Nagy *et al.*, 1997) whose interactions are mediated by specific repressor domains (RD) located on the corepressors (Horwitz *et al.*, 1996; Shibata *et al.*, 1997b). Sin3 and RDP3 subsequently recruit histone deacetylase activity through the histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC-1) protein (Alland *et al.*, 1997; Pazin and Kadonaga, 1997).

Transcription intermediary factor-1 (TIF-1)  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  form the minor class (LeDouarin *et al.*, 1995, 1996; vom Baur *et al.*, 1996) of corepressors that remain largely uncharacterized, although collectively it appears that corepressors form a multiprotein complex that functions to (1) recruit histone deacetylase activity to a target gene promoter to maintain chromatin in an inactive, hypoacetylated state, (2) prevent the functional nuclear hormone receptor-coactivator interaction, and (3) possibly block any transcriptional intracommunication between the AF1 and AF2 domains (Smith *et al.*, 1997)

### 1.15.4 Auxiliary Cofactors

A series of novel biochemical approaches has been used to isolate a large number of auxiliary factors forming a multisubunit complex that interacts with nuclear hormone receptors. These large complexes vary from 9 to 20 polypeptides and have relative molecular masses of 600 to 1000 kDa (Kingston, 1999). They have been referred to as vitamin D receptor-interacting proteins (DRIP) (Rachez et al., 1998, 1999), thyroid hormone receptor-associated proteins (TRAP) (Fondell et al., 1996), activator-recruited cofactors (ARC), and SRB/MED-containing cofactor complex (SMCC). These complexes are recruited to the AF2 domain in a ligand-dependent manner using an LXXLL motif from a single subunit of the complex (Rachez et al., 1998). As an example, the DRIP205/TRAP220 subunits bind the nuclear hormone receptor and anchor approximately 13 to 15 proteins of a pre-formed DRIP/TRAP complex to the receptor. Sequence alignments have established that the DRIP and TRAP subunits are homologous, as are ARC and SMCC, while several DRIP/TRAP subunits show homology to those protein subunits of ARC/SMCC that associate with RNA polymerase II. (Gu et al., 1999; Rachez et al., 1999). This would suggest a general mechanism whereby these multi-subunit complexes function, in part, to recruit RNA polymerase II to various promoters. Furthermore, many of the protein subunits within each multi-subunit complex are also shared amongst the various complexes (Fondell et al., 1996; Rachez et al., 1998; Kingston, 1999; Näär et al., 1999). For example, DRIP205, TRAP220 and ARC205 are identical, as are ARC100, DRIP100 and TRAP100. The importance of subunit sharing may emerge as another mechanism by which various signaling pathways are integrated for a desired transcriptional response (Näär et al., 1999).

The emerging picture is that DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC complexes represent very large protein complexes containing distinct and overlapping sets of protein subunits that interact with various transcriptional factors, cofactors, and RNA polymerase II to regulate different steps of transcription (Kingston, 1999).

### 1.15.5 Protein Methyltransferases

Methylation of DNA has long served as a central means of promoting transcriptional repression (Bird and Wolffe, 1999). In this mechanism, DNA methylation prevents the binding of basal transcription factors that require contact with cytosine residues in the major groove of DNA. Alternatively, methylation may also affect nucleosome stability, thereby restricting access of transcription factors to promoter DNA. However, it has been suggested that methylation may also serve to potentiate transcription through modification of histone proteins (Chen *et al.*, 1999). Chen and co-workers (Chen *et al.*, 1999) isolated a protein coactivator with arginine methyltransferase activity. This protein, termed CARM1 (coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1), has been shown to bind the carboxyl-terminal region of the p160 coactivators, enhancing the transcriptional activity of nuclear hormone receptors such as the androgen receptor (AR) (NR3C4), ERs, and TRs.

In general, protein arginine methyltransferases catalyse the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosylmethinoine to the guanidino group nitrogen atoms in arginine residues of specific proteins. In the case of CARM1, histone H3 has been shown to be methylated *in vitro* (Najbauer *et al.*, 1993; Gary *et al.*, 1998; Chen *et al.*, 1999). The presence of both protein methyl transferase and transcriptional coactivator activities in CARM1 are not mutually exclusive, as demonstrated by mutational analyses (Chen *et al.*,
1999), and suggests that methylation of histones and possibly other proteins plays a novel and important role in enhancing transcriptional activity.

### 1.16 General Mechanism of Transactivation and Transrepression

Figure 1-2 illustrates the dynamic relationship between transcriptional activation and repression. Most nuclear hormone receptors are constitutively nuclear and often bound to DNA in the absence of ligand (Chen and Evans, 1995; Horlein *et al.*, 1995; Horwitz *et al.*, 1996). In the unliganded state, nuclear hormone receptors form interactions with corepressor molecules that recruit histone deacetylase activity. This corepressor complex maintains chromatin in a repressive, transcriptionally inactive state (Chen and Li, 1998; Torchia *et al.*, 1998). Ligand binding induces a conformational change in the receptor, causing the dissociation of the corepressor complex but enhancing its affinity for a coactivator complex. The receptor-coactivator complex may contain one or more coactivator proteins that possess or recruit histone acetyl transferase activity, enabling the remodelling of chromatin from a repressed to an open, transcriptionally active state. This is followed by recruitment of an additional auxiliary multiprotein complex that ultimately forms positive interactions with the components of the general transcriptional machinery to initiates transcription.

### 1.17 Concluding Overview

The regulation of gene expression is a complex and dynamic process that involves the coordinated integration of various signaling pathways, cellular cofactors, and

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

**Corepressor Complex** 



Figure 1-2 Model of Nuclear Receptor Corepressor and Coactivator Interactions

discreet genomic sequences. Nuclear hormone receptors constitute a distinct class of signaling molecules that link extra- and intracellular signals to transcriptional responses by binding to characteristic response elements in the promoter regions of target genes. The DNA target site generally consists of direct repeats of the TGACCT consensus half-site. Target site specificity is modulated, in part, by the core sequence, spacing and orientation of the half-sites, as well as by sequences flanking the TGACCT motif (Juge-Aubry et al., 1997; Nishiyama et al., 1998). DNA binding specificity is also subject to receptor promiscuity, such that different nuclear hormone receptors can bind to a given response element and, in a reciprocal manner, a specific receptor can bind to different response elements. Transcriptional regulation by these mechanisms can be seen with HNF-4 and COUP-TF homodimers and RZR monomers that bind to PPREs, displacing PPAR/RXR heterodimers from their natural binding site (Miyata et al., 1993; Baes et al., 1995; Winrow et al., 1994, 1998). Additionally, response elements with a DR1 spacing are also recognized by RAR/RXR heterodimers and RXR homodimers (Nakshatri and Chambon, 1994; Fraser et al., 1998). In comparison, PPARs can bind to both PPREs and RAREs. Therefore, in a cellular context, the relative amounts of each type of receptor plays an important role in the determining the final transcriptional response. The majority of nuclear hormone receptors bind to their target sites as heterodimers, although some receptors bind as homodimers or monomers. RXR is the typical heterodimerization partner for most nuclear hormone receptors including PPARs (Kliewer et al., 1992b), RAR, TR (Zhang et al., 1992) and VDR (Thompson et al., 1998). Therefore, the availability of RXR can greatly influence the transactivation potential of nuclear hormone

receptors (Chu et al., 1995; Juge-Aubry et al., 1995; Miyata et al., 1996; DiRenzo et al., 1997).

The molecular mechanism of nuclear hormone receptor activity is further complicated by the fact that receptor-interacting cofactors can modulate transcription. These include the p160 family of transcriptional coactivators and the transcriptional corepressor molecules of the NCoR/SMRT family, which either possess or recruit histone acetyl transferase or histone deacetylase activity, respectively. Furthermore, additional large multiprotein complexes termed DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC can further potentiate nuclear hormone receptor transactivity through interactions with coactivator proteins and components of the basal transcriptional machinery, in a ligand-dependent manner. The availability of ligand and/or agonists critically influences the transcriptional potential of nuclear hormone receptors, either positively or negatively depending on the specific receptor. Therefore, it appears that transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors is a net transcriptional response generated, in part, by the availability of ligands and cellular cofactors, as well as by determinants within the DNA response elements. Together, they ensure the correct transcriptional response to both nutritional and metabolic cues.

# 1.18 Aims of This Thesis

Our laboratory has been interested in elucidating the molecular mechanisms by which PPARs regulate the transcription of genes involved in lipid metabolism. This thesis examines how members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily modulate PPAR- mediated gene transcription from the PPREs of the AOx and HD genes in response to peroxisome proliferators. More specifically, the binding properties of TR $\alpha$ , RevErb $\alpha$ , CAR $\beta$ , and SHP have been investigated on both the AOx- and HD-PPREs *in vitro*. The biological effects of these receptors on PPAR/RXR-mediated transactivity have also been analysed *in vivo* using cultured mammalian cell lines. Analysis of the subtype- and response element-dependent differences in transactivity between PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  from both PPREs was also carried out in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The conclusions drawn from this body of work expand our understanding of the dynamic nature of PPARmediated gene transcription, provide further insight into the modulatory activities of nuclear hormone receptors, and establish a framework for continuing research on the various regulatory platforms that govern gene expression. **CHAPTER 2** 

**Materials And Methods** 

# 2.1 Chemicals and Reagents

All reagents were of the highest quality available and, where required, were used according to the manufacturers' specifications, unless otherwise indicated.

acrylamide	SIGMA
acrylamide solution, ExplorER	J.T. Baker
acrylamide solution, Long Ranger	J.T. Baker
agar	Difco
agarose, electrophoresis grade	Gibco/BRL
L-amino acids	Sigma
ammonium persulphate	BDH
ampicillin	Sigma
antipain	Roche
aprotinin	Roche
benzamidine hydrochloride	Sigma
Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent	Bio-Rad
bromophenol blue	BDH
charcoal	BDH
chymostatin	Sigma
Coenzyme A	Sigma
CSM-leu, ura, trp, his (complete	<b>BIO</b> 101
supplements minus leucine,	
uracil, tryptophan, and histidine)	
Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250	ICN
Dextran T-70	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
DEPC (diethyl pyrocarbonate)	Sigma
DNA, from salmon testes, sodium salt	Sigma
DMF (dimethylformamide)	BDH
DTT (dithiothreitol)	ICN
EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid)	Sigma
glass wool	Sigma
glutathione-Sepharose 4B	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
glycerol	BDH
HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-	Roche
piperazineethanesulphonic acid)	
IPTG (isopropyl $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside)	Vector Biosystems
leupeptin	Sigma
luciferin	Sigma
N,N'-methlenebisacrylamide	Gibco/BRL
nitrocellulose (Hybond-C)	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
NP-40 (Nonidet P-40)	BDH
o-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactosidase	Sigma

55

2-mercaptoethanol	BDH
MOPS (3-[N-morpholino]	Sigma
propanesulphonic acid	
Pefabloc SC	Roche
PEG-4000 (polyethylene glycol, average molecular weight 4,000)	Sigma
pepstatin A	Sigma
peptone	Difco
phenol, buffer-saturated	Gibco/BRL
PMSF (phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride)	Roche
Ponceau S	Sigma
PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole)	Sigma
RNasin	Promega
salmon sperm DNA (sonicated)	Sigma
Sephadex G-50	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
SDS (sodium dodecyl sulphate)	Sigma
sodium fluoride	Sigma
TEMED $(N, N, N', N')$ -tetrametyhylethylene- diamine	Gibco/BRL
Tris (tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane)	Roche
tryptone	Difco
Tween 40 (polyoxyethylenesorbitan monopalmitate	Sigma
Wy-14,643	Wyeth Ayerst, Chemsyn Science
	Laboratories
X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D- galactoside)	Vector Biosystems
yeast extract	Difco
YNB (yeast nitrogen base without amino acids)	Difco
15d-PGJ2	Cayman Chemical Company
9-cis retinoic acid	Sigma

# 2.2 Enzymes

# 2.2.1 DNA Modifying Enzymes

CIP (calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase)	NEB
DNA ligase, T4	Gibco/BRL, NEB, Roche,
DNA polymerase I, Escherichia coli,	NEB
Klenow fragment	
DNA polymerase, T4	NEB
DNA polymerase, T4	NEB

56

polynucleotide kinase, T4	Roche NEB
Taq polymerase (Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase)	Perkin-Elmer, Roche
2.2.2 Other Enzymes	
RNase A (ribonuclease A), bovine pancreas	Sigma, Roche
2.3 Multicomponent Systems	
QIAprep MiniPrep Kit	Qiagen
Qiagen Plasmid Midi Kit	Qiagen
QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit	Qiagen
Random Primers Labelling Kit	Roche
Ready-to-Go PCR Beads	Amersham-Pharmacia

QIAprep MiniPrep Kit	Qiagen
Qiagen Plasmid Midi Kit	Qiagen
QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit	Qiagen
Random Primers Labelling Kit	Roche
Ready-to-Go PCR Beads	Amersham-Pharmacia
Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit	Roche
Sequenase Version 1.0 / 2.0 DNA Sequencing Kit	USB
TNT T7 /SP6 Coupled Reticulocyte Lysate System	Promega

# 2.4 Radiochemicals and Detection Systems

$\alpha$ -[ <sup>32</sup> P]dATP (3,000 Ci/mmol, 10 $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ L, Redivue)	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
L-[ <sup>35</sup> S]methionine (1,175 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/mL)	ICN
enhanced chemiluminescence detection kits	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
for immunoblotting and nucleic acid	
blotting, horseradish peroxidase-linked	
nitrocellulose	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech,
	Bio-Rad
X-ray film	Kodak

restriction endonucleases

# 2.5 Molecular Size Standards

1 kb DNA ladder (75-12,216 bp)	Gibco/BRL
25 bp DNA ladder (25-500 bp)	Gibco/BRL
prestained markers for SDS-PAGE	NEB
(6.5, 16.5, 25, 32.5, 47.5, 63, 83, 175 kDa)	

Gibco/BRL, NEB, Promega,

# 2.6 Commercial Plasmid Vectors

### 2.6.1 E. coli vectors

pBluescript SKII (-/+)	Stratagene	
pGEM7Zf(+)	Promega	
pSG5	Invitrogen	
pGEX-2T	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech	
pGEX-2TK	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech	
pGEX-4T	Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech	
pRc/CMV	Invitrogen	

### 2.6.2 Yeast Shuttle Vectors

pRS423*	ATCC	
pRS424*	ATCC	
pRS426*	ATCC	
pRS313	Sikorski and Heiter, 1989	
pRS314	Sikorski and Heiter, 1989	

\* (Christianson, et al., 1992)

# 2.7 Antibodies

Sigma
Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech
Clontech
Marcus et al., 1995
Santa Cruz Biotechnology

# 2.8 Oligodeoxyribonucleotides

Table 2-1 details the sequences and applications of oligodeoxyribonucleotides (oligonucleotides) used in this study. Oligonucleotides were synthesised at the DNA Sequencing Facility, Department of Biochemistry, University of Alberta, or using a Beckman Oligo 1000M synthesizer at the Department of Cell Biology, University of Alberta.

Name	Sequence <sup>a</sup>	Application
HD-PPRE(x3)	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAG	Mammalian reporter plasmid
HD(M2)- PPRE(x3)	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTAAAATATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATATTTTAAAGGAGAG	Mammalian reporter plasmid
AOx-PPRE(x2)	5'-gatCCTTTCCCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCTGGTCCCCTTTTGCTa 5'-gatctAGCAAAAGGGGACCAGGACAAAGGTCACGTTCGGGAAAG	Mammalian reporter plasmid
HD-PPRE	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAGG	Electromobility shift assay
MI-PPRE	5'-gatCCTATAATTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATAGGTCAAATTATAGG	Electromobility shift assay
M2-PPRE	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTAAAATATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATATTTTAAAGGAGAGG	Electromobility shift assay
M3-PPRE	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAAGTATTACCTACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATATCTTCAATAGTTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAGG	Electromobility shift assay
M4-PPRE	5'-gatCCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTAATCTTCACATTTGA 5'-gatcTCAAATGTGAAGATTAGTTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAGG	Electromobility shift assay
AOx-PPRE	5'-gatCCTTTCCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCTGGTCCCCTTTTGCT 5'-gatAGCAAAAGGGGACCAGGACAAAGGTCACGTTCGGAAAAGG	Electromobility shift assay
DR4	5'-gateTTCTGACCTCCTGTGACCTGG 5'-gatCCAGGTCACAGGAGGTCAGAA	Electromobility shift assay
Nonspecific competitor	5'-GATCCCTACCCATACGACGTCCCAGACTACGCTTGAGCT 5'-AGCTCAAGCGTAGTCTGGGACGTCGTATGGGTAGGGATC	Electromobility shift assay
β-RARE(x2)	5'-egegtAAGGGTTCACCGAAAAGTTCACTCGCATAAGGGTTCA CCGAAAGTTCACTCGCATA 5'-gatetATGCGAGTGAACTTTCGGTGAACCCTTATGCGAGTGAA CTTTCGGTGAACCCTTA	Mammalian reporter plasmid
RNRa	5'-ATTACATCTAGACATGGACACCAAC 5'-ATTAGATCTGGTGGGCACAAAGGATG	PCR amplification of RXRα for cloning
PPAR-pBS	5'-CAAATCTCTGTTTTACGTAAAAATGGGTGAAACTC	Site-directed mutagenesis for expression in yeast
1AOx∆L1	5'-ccgggCCTTTCCCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCTGGTCCCCTTTTGCTG 5'-tcgacAGCAAAAGGGGACCAGGACAAAGGTCACGTTCGGGAAAGGC	Yeast reporter plasmid
IHD∆LI	5'-ccgggCCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCTACATTTGAG 5'-tcgacTCAAATGTAGGTAATAGTTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAGGC	Yeast reporter plasmid

 Table 2-1.
 Synthetic Oligodeoxyribonucleotides

a Lowercase letters represent engineered restriction endonuclease sites

# 2.9 Commonly Used Buffered Solutions

Table 2-2 details the compositions of some commonly used buffered solutions.

Table 2-2.	<b>Commonly Used Buffers</b>

Name	Composition	Reference
5 x KGB	0.5 M potassium glutamate. 125 mM Tris-acetate. pH 7.6. 50 mM magnesium acetate. 250 μg BSA/mL. 2.5 mM 2- mercaptoethanol	Hanish and McClelland. 1988
1x PBS	137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> , 1.5 mM KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , pH 7.3	Ausubel et al., 1996
10 x TBE	0.89 M Tris-borate, 0.89 M boric acid, 0.02 M EDTA	Maniatis et al., 1982
lx TE	10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0-8.0 (as required), 1 mM EDTA	Maniatis et al., 1982
Buffer Z	60 mM Na <sub>2</sub> HPO4, 40 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7.0, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4	Rose and Botstein, 1983
Breaking Buffer	20% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 M Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT	Rose and Botstein, 1983
TBST	20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% (w/v) Tween 20	Huynh et al., 1985
2x HBSS (pH 7.12)	280 mM NaCl. 50 mM HEPES. 1.5 mM Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	Ausubel et al., 1996
Lysis Buffer	25 mM Tris-phosphate (pH 7.8), 2 mM DTT, 2 mM EDTA, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 10% (v/v) glycerol	Ausubel et al. 1996
2.5x Luciferase Assay Buffer	6.67 mM MgSO4. 50 mM Tricine. 83.25 mM DTT. 0.25 mM EDTA 2.67 mM (MgCO3)4Mg(OH)2•5H20	Promega Technical Bulletin. 1990
1x PLATE	0.1 M Li-acetate, pH 7.5, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM EDTA, 40% (w/v) PEG 4000	Elble, 1992

# 2.10 Microorganisms and Culture Conditions

#### 2.10.1 Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

The Escherichia coli strain DH5 $\alpha$  (F<sup>- $\phi$ 80dlacZ $\Delta$ M15 $\Delta$ (lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR</sup>

 $recA1 endA1 hsdR17(r_{K}^{-}, m_{K}^{+}) phoA supE44 \lambda^{-} thi-1 gyrA96 relA1)$  (Gibco/BRL)

was routinely used for amplification of plasmids (Section 2.11.1). E. coli BLR-DE3 (F-

ompT hsdSB(r<sub>B</sub><sup>-</sup>, m<sub>B</sub><sup>-</sup>) gal dcm lon (srl-recA)306::Tn10 (DE3)) (Novagen) was used for

the production of glutathione-S-transferase (GST) chimeric proteins (Section 2.15.5). Bacteria were grown in a rotary shaker and, unless otherwise indicated, growth was at 37°C. Table 2-3 lists the bacterial culture medium employed in this study.

### Table 2-3.Bacterial Culture Media

Medium	Composition	Reference
LBeb	1% tryptone, 0.5 % yeast extract, 1% NaCl. pH 7.5	Maniatis et al., 1982

\* Ampicillin was added to 100 µg/mL for plasmid selection, as required,

<sup>b</sup> For solid media, agar was added to 1.5%

# 2.10.2 Yeast Strains and Culture Conditions

Saccharomyces cerevisiae parental strain YPH500 (Mata, ura3, lys2, ade2, trp1, his3, leu2) was a gift from Drs. Sikorski and Heiter (Sikorski and Heiter, 1989), and used for expression of plasmid DNA. Yeast were grown in a rotary shaker at 30°C unless otherwise indicated.

### 2.11 Introduction of DNA into Microorganisms

#### 2.11.1 Transformation of E. coli

In general, plasmids were amplified in transfection-competent, subcloning efficiency *E. coli* DH5 $\alpha$  cells from Gibco/BRL and transformed according to the manufacturer's protocol. A 25  $\mu$ L aliquot of cells was thawed on ice and mixed with 1 to 2  $\mu$ L of a ligation reaction (Section 2.13.9) or 0.5  $\mu$ L (approximately 0.5  $\mu$ g) of plasmid

DNA. Cells were incubated on ice for 30 min, placed in a 37 °C water bath for 20 sec and immediately returned to ice for 2 min. One mL of LB was added to the cells, which were then shaken at 250 rpm for 45 min at 37 °C. Cells were spread onto LB-ampicillin plates and incubated at 37 °C overnight to allow colony formation. If required, 75  $\mu$ L of 2% X-gal in dimethylformamide was spread on the surface of the agar plates before the cells were plated to allow for blue/white selection of colonies harbouring recombinant plasmids.

### 2.11.2 Electroporation

All electroporations were performed in BRL microelectroporation chambers (width approximately 0.15 cm) in a BRL Cell-Porator connected to a BRL Voltage Booster.

# 2.11.2-1 Electroporation of E. coli

High-efficiency transformation of *E. coli* with plasmids were carried out by electroporation using protease-deficient *E. coli* BLR(DE3). A 20  $\mu$ L aliquot of cells was thawed on ice. Cells were gently mixed with 1 to 2  $\mu$ L of plasmid DNA and transferred between the bosses of a prechilled microelectroporation chamber. Electroporation proceeded with a pulse of 395 V amplified to ~2.4 kV, using a capacitance of 2  $\mu$ F and a resistance of 4 k $\Omega$ . Cells were then spread onto ampicillin-containing LB plates.

# 2.11.3 Transformation of Yeast by Li/Acetate/PEG

The yeast *S. cerevisiae* YPH500 was transformed with various effector plasmids and reporter genes (as indicated in the figure legends) by the lithium acetate procedure,

essentially as described by the method of Elble (Ito et al., 1983; Elble, 1992; Marcus et al., 1995, 1996). A single colony of YPH 500 cells was used to inoculate 10 mL of YNBD (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 2% glucose) supplemented with adenine  $(20 \ \mu g/mL)$ , lysine  $(30 \ \mu g/mL)$ , leucine  $(30 \ \mu g/mL)$ , and tryptophan  $(30 \ \mu g/mL)$ , as required. Cells were grown to an optical density of 1.0 at a wavelength of 600 nm using a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer. 500 µL of culture was transferred to a microfuge tube and subjected to centrifugation at 16 000 x g for 10 sec followed by decanting of the supernatant. 10 µL of single-stranded, high molecular weight salmon sperm DNA (10  $\mu g/\mu L$ ) (Sigma) (Section 2.11.4) and 1  $\mu g$  of transforming DNA were added to the cells, followed by vortexing for 5 sec. A volume of 500  $\mu$ L of PLATE buffer was added to the cell suspension, followed by brief vortexing. Samples were incubated overnight at room temperature and after centrifugation at 16 000 x g for 2 min, the supernatant was decanted and the cell pellet was resuspended in 100  $\mu$ L of 1x TE. The cell suspension was spread onto YNBD-agar plates containing adenine (20 µg/mL), lysine (30 µg/mL), leucine (30  $\mu$ g/mL), and tryptophan (30  $\mu$ g/mL), as required. Plates were incubated for 3 days at 30°C, or until single colonies became visible.

### 2.11.4 Preparation of Single-Stranded High Molecular Weight Carrier DNA

One gram of DNA (type III, sodium salt, from salmon testes; Sigma D1626) was dissolved in 100 mL of TE by stirring overnight at 4 °C. The dissolved DNA was transferred to four 50 mL Falcon tubes and subjected to sonication using the Branson Sonifier Model 250 (Duty cycle 50%, Output power 40%) twice for 30 sec. 1 µg aliquots

of sonicated DNA were run on 0.8 % agarose gels (Section 2.13.7) to verify that DNA fragments were in the range of 2 kbp to 15 kbp in size with a mean size of approximately 7 kbp. After verification, an equal volume of buffer-saturated phenol was added to each tube and shaken vigorously on an orbital platform shaker for 20 min. Samples were subjected to centrifugation in a JS13.1 rotor at 13 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. The upper aqueous layer was removed, and the samples were extracted with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1), followed by extraction with chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). DNA was precipitated by the addition of 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate and 2.5 volumes of ice-cold ethanol, thorough mixing by inversion and centrifugation at 13 000 x g for 20 min at 4 °C. Pellets were washed with ice-cold 70% ethanol and centrifuged at 13 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. Pellets were dried in a vacuum desiccator for 10 min and then were removed to a sterile beaker and incubated overnight at 4 °C in an appropriate amount of TE buffer (pH 8.0) to give a final concentration of 10 mg/mL. Dissolved DNA was boiled for 5 min and then immediately cooled in an ice-water bath. DNA concentration was determined from the absorbance at wavelength of 260 nm where 1  $OD_{260} = 50 \ \mu g$  of DNA and then was divided into 1 mL aliquots that were stored at -20 °C.

### 2.12 DNA Isolation From Bacteria

·• -2

# 2.12.1 Alkaline Lysis Preparation (Small Scale)

The alkaline lysis method of plasmid isolation was used essentially as described by Maniatis *et al.* (1982). A single bacterial colony was used to inoculate 2 to 3 mL of LB- ampicillin. Cells from 1.5 mL of a saturated culture were pelleted by microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 1 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 100 µL of 50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris-HCL, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA. Cellular DNA was denatured by gentle mixing with 200 µL of 0.2 M NaOH, 1% SDS, followed by incubation on ice for a maximum of 5 min. Renaturation of plasmid DNA and precipitation of cellular proteins, high molecular mass RNA and chromosomal DNA were facilitated by the addition of 150  $\mu$ L of potassium acetate solution (3 M K<sup>-</sup>, 5 M acetate), followed by incubation on ice for 5 min. Cell debris was pelleted by microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was transferred to a clean 1.5 mL microfuge tube and subjected to extraction with an eaual volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1), followed by extraction with an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). Aqueous and organic phases were separated by microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 2 min. DNA was precipitated by the addition of two volumes of absolute ethanol and microcentrifugation for 10 min at 16 000 x g. Excess absolute ethanol was discarded and the resultant DNA pellet was washed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The dried DNA pellet was dissolved in 50  $\mu$ L of TE (pH 8.0) containing 20  $\mu$ g RNase A/mL. Plasmids were analysed by restriction endonuclease digestion (Section 2.13.1) and, where necessary, by DNA sequencing (Section 2.14.1).

### 2.12.2 QIAprep MiniPrep Kit

Cells from 1.5 mL of a saturated LB-ampicillin culture were harvested by

65

centrifugation for 2 min at 16 000 x g. Cell lysis and plasmid DNA isolation were carried out using the QIAprep MiniPrep Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 2.12.3 Large Scale Plasmid Isolation

Qiagen DNA purification columns were used according to the manufacturer's directions for the isolation of plasmid DNA from large volumes of saturated culture. Cells from 500 mL of a saturated LB-ampicillin culture were harvested by centrifugation at  $6\,000 \text{ x g}$  for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded, and the bacterial pellet was resuspended in 10 mL of P1 Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL, pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 100 µg RNase A/mL). 10 mL of P2 Buffer (200 mM NaOH, 1% SDS) was added with gentle mixing, followed by a 5 min incubation at room temperature, in order to denature cellular DNA. Protein and chromosomal DNA were precipitated by the addition of 10 mL of P3 Buffer (3.0 M potassium acetate, pH 5.5), gentle mixing and a 15 min incubation on ice. Precipitated products were separated from the plasmid DNA by centrifugation at 29 000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was subjected to further clarification by centrifugation for 15 min at 29 000 x g at 4 °C and applied to a Qiagen Tip-500 ionexchange column pre-equilibrated with 10 mL of QBT Buffer (750 mM NaCl, 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 15% isopropanol, 0.15% (w/v) Triton X-100). The column was washed twice with 30 mL of QC Buffer (1.0 M NaCl, 50 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 15 % isopropanol), and DNA was eluted with 15 mL of QF Buffer (1.25 NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 15% isopropanol). DNA was precipitated by the addition of 10.5 mL of isopropanol and

pelleted by centrifugation at 24 500 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. The DNA was washed with 20 mL of ethanol at room temperature, subjected to centrifugation at 24 500 x g for 5 min and air-dried at room temperature for 5 min. The dried DNA was resuspended in 500 mL water. The DNA concentration was measured by absorbance at a wavelength of 260 nm using a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer.

# 2.13 Standard DNA Manipulations

All procedures in this section were carried out essentially as described by Ausubel et al. (1999), except where noted.

### 2.13.1 Restriction Endonuclease Digestion

Restriction analysis of small-scale plasmid DNA preparations was used to verify the presence of a restriction fragment, determine the orientation of a fragment or to digest a plasmid vector for ligation purposes (Section 2.13.9). Typically, 1  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA was subjected to restriction endonuclease digestion according to the manufacturer's instructions

### 2.13.2 Dephosphorylation of 5' ends

In order to prevent the religation of plasmid vectors, 5'-terminal phosphate groups were removed using calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP). At the completion of a 10  $\mu$ L restriction digest, 1 U of CIP was added, and the sample was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. CIP was inactivated by heating the reaction at 75 °C for 15 min.

### 2.13.3 Phosphorylation of 5' ends

Phosphorylation of the 5'-termini of oligonucleotides was performed to enable their ligation into plasmid vectors or to other DNA fragments. A 30  $\mu$ L reaction contained 20  $\mu$ g of oligonucleotides, 3  $\mu$ L of 10 x PNK buffer (700 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 100 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM DTT), 3  $\mu$ L of 10 mM ATP and 2  $\mu$ L (10 U) of T4 polynucleotide kinase. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 2 hours and terminated by heating at 65 °C for 20 min.

### 2.13.4 Annealing Oligonucleotides

To generate double-stranded oligonucleotides for use in ligations (Section 2.13.9) or as probes for gel electromobility shift analysis (Section 2.14.5), complementary singlestranded oligonucleotides were annealed. A typical annealing reaction contained 10 pmol of each complementary oligonucleotide, 10  $\mu$ L of 5 x Annealing Buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>) and water to a final volume of 50  $\mu$ L. Reactions were heated at 90 °C for 4 min in a heating block, which was removed from the heating element and allowed to cool gradually to room temperature. This facilitated the kinetics for proper annealing.

### 2.13.5 Creating Blunt-Ended Fragments

Restriction fragments that required the blunting of either 5' or 3' overhangs were subjected to treatment with either the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I or T4 DNA polymerase, respectively. In the former, 5 U of Klenow and 100 µM of deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) (*i.e.* 25  $\mu$ M of each dNTP) were incubated in a final volume of 25  $\mu$ L, and the reaction was incubated at 30 °C for 15 min. The reaction was terminated by subjecting the reaction to agarose gel electrophoresis (Section 2.13.7). Conversely, to blunt 3' overhangs, 2  $\mu$ L (9 U) of T4 DNA polymerase and 100  $\mu$ M dNTPs were added to a restriction digest reaction to a final volume of 25  $\mu$ L (water added as necessary). The reaction was incubated at 11 °C for 20 min and terminated by heating at 75 °C for 15 min or by phenol/chloroform extraction (Section 2.13.6).

### 2.13.6 Phenol/Chloroform Extraction

Protein was removed from reactions containing nucleic acid by extraction with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol as described by Ausubel *et al.* (1998). Typically, an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (26:25:1) was added to the DNA solution, vortexed vigorously for 10 sec and subjected to microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 2 min at room temperature. Microcentrifugation resulted in phase separation, and the DNA-containing aqueous phase was transferred to a clean 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. The extraction was repeated with the an equal volume of chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:1). The aqueous phase was then transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube and the plasmid DNA was precipitated with 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate, pH 5.2, and 2.5 volumes of ice-cold absolute ethanol. The sample was mixed by gentle inversion, incubated on ice for 15 min and subjected to microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 5 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was rinsed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The dried pellet was dissolved in an

appropriate amount of water or buffer, as required.

### 2.13.7 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis of DNA Fragments

To separate DNA fragments from enzymatic reactions, DNA samples were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. A total of 0.2 volume of 6 x DNA sample dye (40% sucrose, 0.25% bromophenol blue, 0.25% xylene cyanol) (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982) was added to an enzymatic reaction mixture, mixed by pipetting, and loaded into wells cast in agarose gels (0.8-1.5%, as required) in 1 x TBE containing 0.5  $\mu$ g ethidium bromide/mL. Resolution of DNA fragments less than 400 bp in length was carried out using 3% gels consisting of 0.5% SeaKem GTG agarose and 2.5% NuSieve GTG agarose. Gels were run in 1 x TBE containing 0.5  $\mu$ g of ethidium bromide/mL and subjected to electrophoresis at 10 V/cm. Fragments were visualized by placing the gel on an ultraviolet transilluminator (Photodyne, Model 3-3006) and photographed with Polaroid film (Polapan 667).

### 2.13.8 Purification of DNA Fragments

DNA fragments separated by agarose gel electrophoresis were isolated from the gel by excision with a sterile razor blade. The DNA was purified from the agarose gel either by electroelution or using *QIA* quick columns (Section 2.13.8).

# 2.13.8-1 Electroelution

The excised agarose gel slice containing the DNA fragment of interest was placed into the well of a unidirectional electroeluter (Model UEA, International Biotechnologies) filled with 0.5 x TBE. The bottom of the reservoir well was filled with 80  $\mu$ L of high salt solution (7.5 M ammonium acetate, 0.25% bromophenol blue). Electroelution was carried out at 100 V for 30-60 min. A total volume of 350  $\mu$ L containing the eluted DNA within the high salt solution and between the upper interface of 0.5 x TBE was transferred to a 1.5 mL microfuge tube. 25  $\mu$ g of linear polyacrylamide (Gaillard and Strauss, 1990) and 1 mL of absolute ethanol (prechilled to -20 °C) were added, followed by gentle mixing by inversion. The DNA mixture was incubated at -80 °C for 15 min to allow the DNA to precipitate, and DNA was subsequently pelleted by microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of 70% ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator. The DNA was dissolved in 20  $\mu$ L of water.

# 2.13.8-2 QLAquick Columns

The QIAquick gel extraction kit (Qiagen) was used for the extraction of DNA fragments (100 bp to 10 kbp) from agarose gel slices or PCR reactions, according to the manufacturer's protocol. The QIAquick spin columns used in this kit use a uniquely designed silica-gel membrane that selectively adsorbs DNA in the presence of high concentrations of chaotropic salts in a pH environment of  $\leq$ 7.5. DNA was eluted from the column by the addition of 30 to 50 µL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1mM EDTA).

# 2.13.9 Ligation of DNA Fragments

DNA fragments were prepared for ligation by enzymatic digestion. Ligation reactions were performed at a 5:1 molar ratio of insert to vector while maintaining total

71

DNA between 200 to 250 ng. Ligation reactions were carried out with 1 U of T4 DNA ligase in the buffer supplied by the manufacturer, ATP at a final concentration of 1 mM, and water to a final volume of 10  $\mu$ L. Ligation reactions were incubated overnight at 16 °C, and ligation products were amplified by transformation of *E. coli*.

### 2.13.10 Polymerase Chain Reaction

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) allows for the amplification of DNA sequences *in vitro* through a succession of incubation steps at various temperatures (Mullis *et al.*, 1987). Additionally, the procedure can be used to introduce modifications into the amplified DNA sequences. Typically, double-stranded DNA is denatured by heat at 93 to 95 °C, and annealed to two primers that are complementary to the 3' ends of the DNA segment at a permissive, low temperature. The primers are then extended at an intermediate temperature, usually 72 °C. This cycle is repeated in order to amplify the DNA segment of interest. PCR makes use of a recombinant thermostable DNA polymerase (*Thermus aquaticus; Taq*) (Roche, Perkin Elmer) and 0.1 to 0.5  $\mu$ g of DNA template, unless specified otherwise by the manufacturer's protocol. PCR was performed in a Robocycler 40 with a Hot Top attachment (Stratagene).

# 2.13.10-1 Taq Polymerase

Reactions using 2.5 U of *AmpliTaq* polymerase (Perkin Elmer) or 5 U of *Taq* polymerase (Roche) were carried out in a total volume of 100  $\mu$ L containing the appropriate supplied buffer, 50 to 200  $\mu$ M each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, and 0.2

to 1.0  $\mu$ M of each specific oligonucleotide primer. The reaction mixture was overlaid with 50  $\mu$ L of mineral oil (Sigma) to prevent evaporation, if needed. Upon completion of thermocycling, the PCR reaction was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis.

# 2.13.10-2 Ready-To-Go PCR Beads

PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume of 25  $\mu$ L containing less than 1 $\mu$ g of template DNA, 25 pmol of each specific primer and one Ready-to-Go PCR bead (containing the necessary complement of buffer components, dNTPs, and *Taq* polymerase) (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech). Upon completion of thermocycling, the PCR reaction was subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis.

# 2.13.11 PCR Product Purification

PCR reaction products that required purification without agarose gel electrophoresis were brought to a final volume of 100  $\mu$ L with water, and the DNA was subsequently purified using QIAquick columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. These columns use a special silica-gel membrane that adsorbs DNA (100 bp to 10 kbp), while excluding primers up to 40 nucleotides in length, in the presence of buffers containing high salt.

# 2.14 Analysis of DNA

### 2.14.1 DNA Sequencing

#### 2.14.1-1 Template Preparation

Approximately 4  $\mu$ g of double-stranded plasmid DNA was used as template for sequencing. The DNA was initially subjected to denaturation with alkali by the addition of 4 M NaOH, 4 mM EDTA, and water in a total volume of 28  $\mu$ L. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 5 min and then neutralized by the addition of 2.5 volumes of 2 M ammonium acetate. The DNA was precipitated with 2.5 volumes of absolute ethanol and incubation at -20 °C, followed by microcentrifugation at 16 000 x g at 4 °C for 30 min. The DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol and dried in a rotary vacuum desiccator.

# 2.14.1-2 Sequencing Reactions

Sequencing reactions were conducted using either Sequenase DNA Sequencing Kits (Versions 1.0 and 2.0; USB) or an automated ABI Genetic Analyzer, according to the manufacturer's protocol.

# 2.14.2 Sequenase Kits

This method generates sequences by the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method of Sanger *et al.* (1977). DNA is sequenced using a genetic variant of the bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase (Tabor and Richardson, 1990), which is devoid of any 3'-5' exonuclease activity found in the wild-type enzyme. The manufacturer's protocol was followed with the exception that 2 pmol of primer was used. Reaction products were run through denaturing acrylamide gels (5% Long Ranger or 5% ExplorER, in 0.6 x TBE or 1 x TBE buffer, respectively). Gels were dried at 80 °C for 45 min and subjected to

autoradiography using Kodak X-AR film.

### 2.14.3 ABI Automated Sequencing

Sanger dideoxy sequencing (Sanger *et al.* 1977) reactions were conducted using the Stratagene Robocycler with attached Hot Top according to a standard protocol involving BigDye Terminator chemistry (PE Biosytems). AmpliTaq DNA polymerase FS is used in ABI PRISM cycle sequencing to elongate a DNA sequence by randomly incorporating dideoxy terminators, each tagged with a different fluorescent dye. Each dye emits fluorescence at a different wavelength when excited by an argon laser light, and therefore all four bases can be detected and distinguished by capillary electrophoresis using an ABI 310 Genetic Analyzer (PE Biosystems).

### 2.14.4 Radiolabelling of DNA Probes

To radiolabel oligodeoxyribonucleotides, complementary oligonucleotides were first annealed (Section 2.13.4) at a final concentration of 10 pmol/ $\mu$ L. Twenty pmols of double-stranded oligonucleotides were mixed with 5  $\mu$ L of 5 x KGB buffer, 10  $\mu$ L of [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]dATP (3000 Ci/mmol, 10  $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ L), 0.5 mM each of dGTP, dCTP, and dTTP, 1  $\mu$ L (5U) of Klenow fragment, and water to a final volume of 25  $\mu$ L. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. 75  $\mu$ L of TE buffer was added to samples, and the radiolabeled probes were purified from unincorporated radionucleotide by centrifugation through Sephadex-G50 (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982; Section 2.14.4-1). Radionucleotide incorporation was measured by liquid scintillation counting in an LKB RackBeta 1209 scintillation counter. Radiolabeled probes were stored at -20 °C.

### 2.14.4-1 Spin Column Chromatography

A 1 mL syringe (BDH) was plugged with silanized glass wool (Sigma) and filled with Sephadex-G50 prepared in TE, pH 8.0. The syringes were placed into a 15 mL Falcon tube containing a cap-less microfuge tube lining the bottom of the Falcon tube. The spin column assemblies were placed in a clinical centrifuge and subjected to centrifugation for 4 min at  $180 \times g$ . Excess TE buffer was discarded, and the column was repacked with Sephadex G-50. This procedure was repeated until the column was filled with approximately 900 µL of packed Sephadex G-50. The column was then washed twice with 100 µL of TE buffer. The columns were immediately used for separating radiolabeled probes from unincorporated radionucleotide.

# 2.14.5 Gel Electromobility Shift Analysis

### 2.14.5-1 Binding Reactions with In Vitro Translated Proteins

In vitro translated proteins (as indicated in the figure legends) were incubated with 4  $\mu$ g of BSA, 4  $\mu$ g of poly dI•dC nonspecific competitor DNA, 4  $\mu$ g of salmon sperm DNA, 4  $\mu$ L of buffer C (20 mM HEPES, 25% (v/v) glycerol, 420 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.2 mM PMSF). Water and unprogrammed lysate were added as appropriate to maintain a constant total reaction volume and a constant lysate concentration. Binding reactions were incubated at room temperature for 20 min and terminated by the addition of 1 to 2  $\mu$ L of loading dye (30% glycerol (v/v), 0.5%

xylene cyanol, 0.5% bromophenol blue).

### 2.14.5-2 Binding Reactions with Yeast Extracts

To perform electrophoretic mobility shift analysis using yeast extracts, yeast transformed individually with plasmids pRS423:mPPAR $\gamma$ 2, pRXR2 $\mu$ GPD, ymPPAR $\alpha$  or the corresponding empty vectors, were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1 in minimal media (YNBD supplemented with the appropriate amino acids) (Section 2.11.3). Extracts were prepared by glass bead disruption of cells in breakage buffer (20% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM dithiothreitol). Extracts containing 10  $\mu$ g of total yeast protein were incubated with radiolabeled DNA probes, and protein/DNA complexes were then resolved by electrophoresis as described below.

# 2.14.5-3 Supershift Analysis

Protein binding reactions were carried out as above with the addition of 1  $\mu$ L of monoclonal antibody specific for TR $\alpha$  or of polyclonal anti-RXR $\alpha$  antibody prior to the addition of labeled probe.

# 2.14.5-4 Electrophoresis

Binding reactions were analysed by electrophoresis at 4 °C on prerun 2.5 or 3.5% (as indicated in figure legends) polyacrylamide gels (30:1 acrylamide/N,N-methylene bisacrylamide, 0.1% ammonium persulphate, 0.03% (v/v) TEMED), with 0.25 x Tris borate-EDTA (TBE) as running buffer, followed by autoradiography with Kodak X-ray

film (BioMax). Where required, radiolabeled protein/DNA complexes were quantitated by phosphorimager analysis.

# 2.14.6 In Vitro Mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out on single-stranded DNA templates using the Sculptor *In Vitro* Mutagenesis kit (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

# 2.15 Analysis of Proteins

# 2.15.1 Protein Determination

The protein concentration of a sample was measured by the method of Bradford (1976). An aliquot of a protein sample was brought to a volume of 100  $\mu$ L with water, followed by the addition of 1 mL of Bio-Rad Protein Dye reagent. The sample was mixed by vortexing and incubated at room temperature for 10 min to allow for colour development. The absorbance of the sample was measured at 595 nm using a Beckman DU640 spectrophotometer, and protein concentration was determined by graphical analysis against the absorbance values of BSA protein standards of known concentration (1, 2, 5, 10 and 20  $\mu$ g) dissolved in water.

# 2.15.2 Electrophoretic Separation of Proteins

Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Protein

78

samples were dissolved in sample buffer (62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2 % SDS, 10 % sucrose, 10 mM DTT, 0.001% bromophenol blue, final concentration) and denatured by boiling for 5 min. Samples were subjected to discontinuous polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The stacking gel consisted of 3% acrylamide (30:0.8, acrylamide:*N*,*N*'-methylene-bisacrylamide), 60 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 0.1 % SDS, 0.1 % (v/v) TEMED, 0.1 % ammonium persulphate. Resolving gels were made of 10% acrylamide (30:0.8, acrylamide:*N*,*N*'-methylene-bisacrylamide), 370 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.1 % SDS, 0.1 % (v/v) TEMED, 0.042 % ammonium persulphate. Gels were run in the vertical dimension using a Bio-Rad Mini-Protean II vertical gel system at 50 to 200 V in SDS-PAGE running buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.4 M glycine, 0.1 % SDS). Electrophoresis was allowed to proceed until the tracking dye reached the bottom of the gel.

### 2.15.3 Detection of Proteins

### 2.15.3-1 Coomassie Blue

Proteins separated by SDS-PAGE were visualized by staining the gels with 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 dye in 10% (v/v) acetic acid, 35% (v/v) methanol for 30 min on an orbital shaker with gentle agitation. The gels were subsequently destained using 10% (v/v) acetic acid, 35% (v/v) methanol. The destain solution was replaced periodically until protein band resolution was distinct. Stained gels were then dried using a Bio-Rad gel dryer Model 583 for 1 hour at 80 °C.

# 2.15.3-2 Fluorography

Proteins labeled with <sup>35</sup>S-methionine were separated by SDS-PAGE as previously described (Section 2.15.2) and processed for fluorography by the method of Bonner and Laskey (1974). After electrophoresis, gels were placed directly in destain solution for 30 min with gentle agitation on an orbital shaker. The destain solution was discarded, and the gels were subjected to dehydration by two 30 min washes in 100% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO). Gels were then incubated for 3 hours in 22.2% PPO (2,5-diphenyloxazole) dissolved in DMSO and subsequently rehydrated with two 15 min washes in water to precipitate the PPO. Gels were then dried at 60 °C, followed by autoradiography on Kodak X-ray film (BioMax or XK-1).

# 2.15.3-3 Immunoblotting

Electrophoretically separated proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose by electrophoresis using a BioRad western transblotter apparatus overnight at 100 mA in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM glycine, 20% (v/v) methanol. Protein blots were stained in Ponceau S to verify the efficiency of transfer and subsequently destained in 1 x TBST (Table 2-2) containing 1% skim milk powder for 30 min at room temperature. Blots were washed three times with 1 x TBST for 5 min and subsequently incubated with a primary antibody solution in 1 x TBST containing 1% skim milk powder for 1 hour at room temperature with gentle agitation. The primary antibody solution was removed and blots were washed three times with 1 x TBST for 10 min and then incubated at room temperature for 30 min with a horsereadish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary

antibody diluted in TBST containing 1% skim milk powder. After incubation, blots were washed three times for 10 min with 1 x TBST, and antigen-antibody reactions were detected by chemiluminescent exposure of Kodak X-ray film (XK-1).

### 2.15.4 In Vitro Transcription/Translation

### 2.15.4-1 Coupled Transcription/Translation

Proteins were synthesized *in vitro* using the TNT-coupled transcription/translation reticulocyte lysate system (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Typically, 0.5 to 1.0  $\mu$ g of plasmid DNA was used in either 25 or 50  $\mu$ L reaction volume with one of T7, T3, or SP6 RNA polymerase, as required.

### 2.15.4-2 Radiolabelling of Proteins

For synthesis of radiolabeled proteins, 10  $\mu$ Ci of [<sup>35</sup>S]methionine (1175 Ci/mmol, 10 mCi/mL) was used in the coupled reaction.

### 2.15.5 GST Binding Assay

# 2.15.5-1 Preparation of Bacterial Lysates

A single colony of BL21 *E. coli* harbouring plasmids pGST-PPAR $\alpha$ , pGST-RXR $\alpha$ , or pGST-SHP (Sections 2.18.8) was used to inoculate 3 mL of LB-ampicillin containing glucose at a final concentration of 2%, and the inoculum was incubated overnight at 37 °C. 500 µL of the saturated culture was used to inoculate 20 mL of LB/ampicillin/2% glucose for 3 hours at 37 °C. 20 µL of IPTG (100 mM) was added to the culture, and the culture

was incubated for an additional 2 hours at room temperature. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 16 000 x g for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in 300  $\mu$ L of 1 x PBS containing 50 mM EDTA, pH 7.5, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (leupeptin 1 $\mu$ g/mL, pepstatin 1 $\mu$ g/mL, aprotinin 1 $\mu$ g/mL, chymostatin 0.1 $\mu$ g/mL, antipain 2.5 $\mu$ g/mL, 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, 5 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF or 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 2 mM DTT). Cells were maintained on ice and then subjected to sonication on a Model 250 Branson Sonifier using a duty cycle of 50% and an output control setting of 3, three times for 10 sec each. Samples were subjected to microcentrifugation at 14 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant was transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL microfuge tube. The 1 x PBS/EDTA/protease inhibitor cocktail buffer containing 0.1 % (w/v) NP-40 was then added to a final volume of 500  $\mu$ L. 100  $\mu$ L aliquots were stored at -80 °C for later use.

# 2.15.5-2 Binding Reactions

Clarified lysates were thawed on ice and incubated with 2 or 4  $\mu$ L of *in vitro* translated PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$ , or SHP on an orbital rotator for 20 min at room temperature. To this a 20  $\mu$ L GST-Sepharose 4B slurry was added, and the reaction was further incubated for 20 min on an orbital rotator. Binding reactions were then washed ten times with 800  $\mu$ L of 1 x PBS/EDTA/0.1% NP-40/protease inhibitor cocktail by inverting the tube several times, followed by microcentrifugation at 14 000 x g for 10 sec. The supernatant was carefully discarded after each wash so as not to disturb the slurry/protein pellet. After washing, the slurry/pellet was resuspended in the approximately 20  $\mu$ L of residual buffer remaining in the microfuge tube and 15  $\mu$ L of 2 x SDS-PAGE sample buffer

was added followed by denaturation by boiling for 5 min. Samples were subjected to centrifugation to pellet the GST-Sepharose 4B slurry, and the supernatant was subjected to electrophoresis via SDS-PAGE.

### 2.16 Transient Transfections

### 2.16.1 Cell Growth and Media

The BSC40 cell line used in this study was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (CCL-26). These cells were chosen, because they contain low levels of endogenous PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (Zhang *et al.*, 1992; Miyata *et al.*, 1993). Cells were cultured as monolayers in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 10% (v/v) calf serum supplemented with 1% *L*-glutamine, 1% penicillin, and 1% streptomycin. Cells that were to be used in transient transfection were preincubated in DMEM containing dextran-coated charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (10%), supplemented with 4% *L*-glutamine, 1% penicillin, and 1% streptomycin. In addition, 0.1 M Wy-14,643 or an equal volume of vehicle (DMSO) was added as required.

# 2.16.2 Preparation of Dextran-Coated Charcoal-Stripped Fetal Calf Serum

12.5 g of charcoal (BDH) and 1.25 g of Dextran T-70(Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech), was added to 1M Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 at a final volume of 500 mL, and stirred overnight at 4 °C. For every 100 mL of fetal calf serum, 20 mL of dextran-coated charcoal (DCC) was pelleted by centrifugation at 4 300 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was mixed with fetal calf serum. Samples were shaken in a

56 °C waterbath for 30 min and then centrifuged at 4 300 x g for 15 min. Serum was stripped for a second time as before and was filtered through a 0.22  $\mu$ M filter. DCC-FCS was stored in 50 mL aliquots at -20 °C.

#### 2.16.3 Calcium Phosphate Method

Transient transfections were performed in BSC40 cells at 60 to 80% confluence using the calcium phosphate method of Graham and van der Eb (1973). Monolayer cultures in 10 cm dishes were routinely transfected with 5 µg of luciferase reporter plasmid DNA, pAOx(X2)luc, pHD(X3)luc, or pM2(X3)luc, as well as varying amounts of expression plasmid for PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$ , TR $\alpha$ , RevErb $\alpha$ , CAR $\beta$  or SHP, as indicated in the figure legends. In addition, effector plasmid dosage was kept constant by the addition of appropriate amounts of the corresponding empty vectors. Total DNA was normalized to 20 µg by the addition of sonicated salmon sperm DNA. Typically, a 2 mL solution was made of plasmid DNA, sonicated salmon sperm DNA, and 2 M CaCl<sub>2</sub>. This solution was added to 2 mL of 2 x HEPES-buffered saline in a drop-wise fashion with continuous gentle vortexing. The mixed solution was allowed to incubate for 30 min at room temperature to allow for precipitation. A 960  $\mu$ L aliquot of the solution was then added to cells preincubated in transfection media containing 100 mM Wy-14,643 (dissolved in DMSO) or 0.5% DMSO. After cells were incubated for 16 to 20 hours at 37 °C in the presence of 3% CO<sub>2</sub>, the medium was replaced with fresh medium supplemented with Wy-14,643 or solvent, and the incubation was continued for an additional 16 to 20 hours at 37 °C and 7% CO<sub>2</sub>. To harvest cells, the medium was removed, and cells were washed twice with
1 x PBS. 1 mL of ice-cold 1 x PBS was added to the plate, and the cells were scraped off using a Costar cell lifter (Model 3008, Corning), placed in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, and microfuged briefly to pellet the cells. The pellets were then lysed by the addition of 100  $\mu$ L of lysis buffer. Cell lysates were vortexed intermittently for 5 min and stored at -80 °C.

## 2.16.4 Luciferase Assay

Cell lysates prepared from transient transfections were thawed on ice and subjected to microcentrifugation at 14 000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C to pellet cell debris. The supernatant was assayed for luciferase activity using a luminometer (Bio-Orbit, Model 1253, Turku, Finland). An 80  $\mu$ L aliquot of the cell lysate supernatant was added to 400  $\mu$ L of luciferase reagent (0.5 mM ATP, 33.4 mM DTT, 0.5 mM luciferin, 0.27 mM Coenzyme A, 1 x luciferase assay buffer), briefly vortexed and placed in a luminometer cuvette.

#### 2.17 β-Galactosidase Assay

Yeast transformants expressing PPAR $\gamma$ 2, PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$  from low copy vectors (pRS313:mPPAR $\gamma$ 2, ymPPAR $\alpha$ , pRXRGPD314, respectively) or high copy vectors (pRS423:mPPAR $\gamma$ 2, ymPPAR $\alpha$ , and pRS424:RXRGPD, respectively) and harbouring the reporter genes 1AOx $\Delta$ L1 or 1HD $\Delta$ L1, were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 in YNBD supplemented with the appropriate amino acids. Cells were collected by low speed microcentrifugation and resuspended in YNBD containing 0.2% (v/v) Tween 40. Cultures were also supplemented with 10  $\mu$ M 15-deoxy- $\Delta$ <sup>12,14</sup>-prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub> (15d-PGJ2; Cayman

Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI),  $0.1 \mu$ M 9-*cis* retinoic acid, and/or vehicle (ethanol or DMSO, respectively). Cells were incubated for an additional 6 hours, harvested, and assayed for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity as described by Rose and Botstein (1983), with the following modifications. Cells were resuspended in 100 µL of breaking buffer (20 % (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT) and disrupted by vortexing in the presence of acid-washed glass beads (Sigma). Lysates were diluted by the addition of 100 µL of breaking buffer. Assays were performed on 100 µL of diluted cell lysate brought to a final volume of 1 mL with 0.9 mL of buffer Z (60 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 40 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.0, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>). Samples were incubated at 28 °C for 5 min, at which time 200 µL of *o*-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -D-galactosidase (4 mg/mL) was added, and the incubation was continued for approximately 30 to 60 min to allow for colour development. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 0.5 mL of 1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity was measured at 420 nm using a Beckman DU 640 spectrophotometer, as described (Marcus *et al.*, 1995, 1996).

#### 2.18 Plasmid Constructs

#### 2.18.1 pCPSluc, pHD(X3)luc, and pAOx(X2)luc

The luciferase reporter plasmid pCPS*luc* is a luciferase expression vector containing the minimal promoter from the rat liver carbamoyl phosphate synthetase (CPS) gene (nucleotides -600 to -1 of the CPS promoter) (Howell *et al.*, 1989). The plasmid pHD(X3)*luc* contains three tandem copies of the HD-PPRE cloned into pCPS*luc*. It was constructed by inserting the oligonucleotide 5'-gatCCTCTCTTTGACCTATTGAACT-

ATTACCTACATTTGA and its complement, 5'-gatcTCAAATGTAGGTAATAG-TTCAATAGGTCAAAGGAGAG (nucleotides -2956 to -2919 of the rat HD promoter), into the *Bam*HI site of pCPS*luc*.

pAOx(X2)*luc* contains two tandem copies of the rat AOx-PPRE generated by inserting the oligonucleotide 5'-gatCCTTTCCCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCT-GGTCCCCTTTTGCTa and its complement, 5'-gatctAGCAAAAGGGGACCAGGAC-AAAGGTCACGTTCGGGAAAG (nucleotides -583 to -544 of the rat AOx promoter), into the *Bam*HI site of pCPS*luc*. Nucleotides designated in lower case were added to provide *Bam*HI/*Bgl*II ends.

#### 2.18.2 prPPARa:Rc/CMV

Rat PPAR $\alpha$  (rPPAR $\alpha$ ) cDNA was excised from pBluescript II SK(+) (a kind gift of Dr. Daniel Noonan, Ligand Pharmaceuticals, San Diego, CA) with *SpeI/EcoRV*, and the 2.6-kbp fragment was cloned into the *XbaI/EcoRI* sites of the expression vector pRc/CMV (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA).

### 2.18.3 phRXRa:SG5

To construct the expression vector harbouring human RXR $\alpha$ , the RXR $\alpha$  cDNA was excised from pSKXR3-1 (a kind gift of Dr. Ron Evans, Salk Institute, San Diego, CA) by digestion with *Eco*RI. The 1.8-kbp fragment was cloned directly into the *Eco*RI site of pSG5 (Stratagene).

## 2.18.4 pRSV-TRα

The mammalian expression vector for rat TR $\alpha$  (pRSV-TR $\alpha$ ) was obtained from Dr. Vera Nikodem (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and contains the rat TR $\alpha$ cDNA inserted as an *HindIII/Hpa*I fragment into pRSV (Bogazzi *et al.*, 1994). The reporter plasmid pTREpal*luc*, containing a high affinity palindromic TRE, was obtained from Dr. Chris Glass (University of California, San Diego, CA) (Glass *et al.*, 1988). The TR $\alpha$  *in vitro* expression vector was constructed by excising the full-length TR $\alpha$  cDNA from pRSV-TR $\alpha$  and cloning it into pGEM-7Zf(+) (Promega).

## 2.18.5 pRevErba:SG5

The expression plasmid for human RevErbα (RevErbα:SG5) was constructed by excision of the human RevErbα cDNA as a *Bam*HI fragment from the plasmid pCMX:hRevErbα (a kind gift of Drs. Heather P. Harding and Mitchell A. Lazar, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA) (Harding and Lazar, 1993), followed by cloning of the fragment in the correct orientation into the *Bam*HI site of the plasmid pSG5 (Stratagene).

## 2.18.6 pCARβ:SG5

The CAR $\beta$ :SG5 expression plasmid was constructed by excision of the cDNA for mouse CAR $\beta$  as a *SalI/NotI* fragment from the plasmid T7lacHisMyc/mCAR $\beta$  (a kind gift from Dr. David D. Moore, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA) (Choi *et al.*, 1997), followed by its cloning as a blunt fragment into the *Sma*I site of pGEM-7Zf(+) (Promega), removal as a n *Eco*RI/*Bam*HI fragment, and insertion into the EcoRI/BgIII site of the eukaryotic expression vector pSG5. The integrity of the CAR $\beta$ :SG5 construct was confirmed by sequencing.

### 2.18.7 *p*βRARE(X2)TKluc

The  $\beta$ RARE(X2)TK*luc* reporter plasmid was constructed by synthesizing oligonucleotides containing two copies of the  $\beta$ -RARE (bold) and overhangs for *MluI* and *Bgl*II (lowercase) 5'-cgcgtAAGGGTTCACCGAAAGTTCACTCGCATAAGGGT-TCACCGAAAGTTCACTCGCATA and its complement, 5'-gatctATGCGAGTGAACT-TTCGGTGAACCCTTATGCGAGTGAACTTTCGGTGAACCCTTA. The oligonucleotides were phosphorylated with T4 polynucleotide kinase and ATP, annealed, and cloned into the pGL2 control vector (Promega) via *MluI* and *Bgl*II sites. The SV40 promoter was excised by digestion with *Bgl*II and *Hin*dIII and replaced with a 170 bp fragment of the TK promoter, which was excised from the plasmid TK-*luc*-pGL2 (Rachubinski *et al.*, 1999) by digestion with *Bgl*II and *Hin*dIII. The integrity of the construct was verified by sequencing.

# 2.18.8 pSHP:SG5

The plasmid pSHP:SG5 was obtained from Dr. Eckardt Treuter (Department of Biosciences at Novum, Karolinska Institute, Sweden) (Johansson *et al.*, 1999). pGST:PPAR $\alpha$  was constructed by inserting the *Bam*HI fragment from pSG5-mPPAR $\alpha$  (Tugwood *et al.*, 1992) into the *Bam*HI site of pGEX-2TK (Pharmacia) (Miyata *et al.*, 1998). pGST:RXR $\alpha$  was made by cloning the *BgI*II fragment from pGEM7Zf:RXR $\alpha$  (see below) into the *Eco*RI site of pGEX-2T (Pharmacia) after first generating blunt ends with Klenow (Miyata *et al.*, 1998). pGST:SHP was constructed by ligating the *Eco*RI fragment from pSHP:SG5 into the *Eco*RI site of pGEX4T (Pharmacia) in the correct orientation.

## 2.18.9 ymPPARa and cmPPARa

Yeast high-copy  $2\mu$  vectors expressing mouse PPAR $\alpha$  (mPPAR $\alpha$ ) was constructed by excision of the mPPAR $\alpha$  cDNA from pPPAR/SG5 (Issemann and Green, 1990) as a 1.8-kbp *Bam*HI fragment. This fragment was cloned into the *Bgl*II site of the phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) promoter/terminator, which had been inserted into the *Hind*III site of the yeast shuttle vector pRS426 (Christianson *et al.*, 1992). The entire mPPAR $\alpha$ /PGK cassette was released as a *Bam*HI/*Xho*I fragment cloned into the vector pRS423 to generate ymPPAR $\alpha$  and into the *CEN*-vector pRS313 to generate cmPPAR $\alpha$ (Marcus *et al.*, 1995).

## 2.18.10 pRXR2µGPD, pRXRGPD314, and pRS424:RXRGPD

High copy and low copy expression plasmids for human RXRα under the control of the glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase promoter (GPD) was constructed as follows. The 1.8-kbp fragment of the RXRα cDNA was amplified from pSKXR3-1 using the forward primer 5'-ATTACATCTAGACATGGACACCAAC and the reverse primer 5'-ATTAGATCTGGTGGGCACAAAGGATG, treated with Klenow fragment, and cloned

into the SmaI site of the pGEM7Zf(+) vector. A 1.4-kbp fragment was excised with BgIII and cloned into the *Bam*HI site of p2µGPD (*URA3*, 2µ) (a kind gift from S. Lindquist, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL) to generate pRXR2µGPD. The 2.1-kbp RXR:GPD cassette was removed by digestion with *XhoI* and *SpeI* and ligated into the pRS314 and pRS424 to yield the low copy plasmid pRXRGPD314 and the high copy plasmid pRS424:RXRGPD, respectively.

#### 2.18.11 pRS423:mPPARy2 and pRS313:mPPARy2

To construct expression vectors for mouse PPARγ2 (mPPARγ2), a 1.8-kbp fragment containing the mPPARγ2 cDNA was excised from the PPARγ2/SPORT plasmid (a kind gift of Dr. Bruce Spiegleman, DanaFarber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA) (Tontonoz et al., 1994) with SpeI/MhuI, repaired with the Klenow fragment and cloned into the SmaI site of pBluescript II SK(-) (Stratagene). Site-directed mutagenesis of the region around the translation initiation codon with the oligonucleotide 5'-CAAATCTCTGTTT<u>TACGTAAAAATGGGTGAAACTC</u> was performed to create a unique SnaBI site (underlined) and to alter the initiation codon context (bold) for efficient translation in yeast. The 1.8-kbp fragment was excised with SnaBI and XhoI (thereby removing the 5' untranslated region of the cDNA), made blunt with Klenow fragment, and cloned into the BamHI site of the plasmid pUGPD (URA3, CEN6, ARSH4) (kindly provided by Dr. Susan Lindquist, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL). The GPD:mPPARγ2 cassette was liberated with SpeI/XhoI and cloned into pRS423 (HIS3, 2µ) (Christianson et al., 1992) and pRS313 (HIS3, CEN6, ARSH4) (Sikorski and Heiter, 1989) to generate the plasmids pRS423:mPPARy2 and pRS313:mPPARy2, respectively.

## 2.18.12 1AOxΔL1 and 1HDΔL1

Single copies of the AOx-PPRE (bold) double-stranded oligonucleotide 5'-CCTTTCCCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCTGGTCCCCTTTTGCT or of the HD-PPRE double-stranded oligonucleotide 5'-CCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCT-ACATTTGA, were excised as *XmaI/Sal*I fragments from pSP73 (Marcus *et al.*, 1995) and cloned into the p $\Delta$ L1 (ura +) *lacZ* vector (Marcus *et al.*, 1995) to make the *lacZ* reporter plasmids 1AOx $\Delta$ L1<sup>4</sup> and 1HD $\Delta$ L1<sup>5</sup>, respectively.

# 2.18.13 pAOx(X2)GL and pHD(X2)GL

Plasmids containing the HD- and AOx-PPREs upstream of the minimal SV40 promoter were constructed by cloning the corresponding synthetic oligonucleotides into the *Bam*HI site of the enhancerless SV40 promoter/luciferase expression plasmid pGL2 (Promega, Madison, WI). The resultant plasmids, pAOx(X2)GL<sup>6</sup> and pHD(X2)GL<sup>7</sup>, contain two tandem copies of the respective PPRE in the forward orientation.

<sup>4, 5</sup> Constructed by Sandra L. Marcus, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

<sup>6, 7</sup> Constructed by John Hunter, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

## **CHAPTER 3**

Crosstalk Between the Thyroid Hormone and Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors in Regulating Peroxisome Proliferator-Responsive Genes<sup>§</sup>

<sup>§</sup> A version of this chapter has been published. Hunter, J., Kassam, A., Winrow, C. J., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 116:213-221 (1996) and Winrow, C. J., Kassam, A., Miyata, K.S., Hunter, J., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 804:214-230 (1996). Used with permission from Elsevier Science and the New York Academy of Sciences, respectively.

#### 3.1 Abstract

Peroxisome proliferators and thyroid hormones have overlapping metabolic effects and regulate a subset of genes involved in maintaining lipid homeostasis. Thyroid hormone receptors mediate cellular responses which, like PPARs, affect the expression of many genes that are important for lipid metabolism, cellular growth, and development (Hertz et al., 1993). The effects of thyroid hormone receptor  $\alpha$  (TR $\alpha$ ) on DNA binding in vitro and transcriptional activation in vivo by rat peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$ (PPAR $\alpha$ ) was examined. Gel mobility shift analysis demonstrated that TR $\alpha$  was capable of binding on its own and cooperatively with the 9-cis retinoic acid receptor  $\alpha$  (RXR $\alpha$ ) to the rat acyl-CoA oxidase (AOx) PPRE and of inhibiting the binding of PPARa/RXRa heterodimers on this element. This inhibition was the result of competition between  $TR\alpha$ and PPAR $\alpha$  for limiting amounts of the heterodimerization partner RXR $\alpha$  and for binding to the PPRE in vitro. Interestingly, cotransfection of a TR $\alpha$  expression plasmid into mammalian cells resulted in the potentiation of the peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -dependent transcriptional induction of a reporter gene containing the AOx-PPRE. TRa therefore appears to cooperate with RXRa and PPARa to positively modulate peroxisome proliferator-dependent transactivation in vivo. These findings suggest that there is crosstalk between the thyroid hormone and peroxisome proliferator signaling pathways in the regulation of peroxisome proliferator-responsive genes.

#### 3.2 Results

#### 3.2.1 TRa and TRa/RXRa heterodimers bind to the AOx-PPRE

 $TR\alpha/RXR\alpha$  heterodimers bind with high affinity to TGACCT direct repeats spaced by four nucleotides (DR4) (Umesono and Evans, 1989; Umesono et al., 1991), whereas PPARa/RXRa heterodimers bind preferentially to direct repeat response elements configured as DR1, as found in both the AOx- and HD-PPREs (Tugwood et al., 1992; Zhang et al., 1993). However, natural thyroid hormone response elements (TRE) are configured in various manners and orientation and can be recognized by TR monomers, homodimers, or heterodimers with RXR $\alpha$  and other partners (Desvergne, 1994). To explore whether TRa recognizes the AOx-PPRE and/or modulates PPARa/RXRa protein/DNA interactions on this element, we carried out DNA binding assays with in vitro transcribed and translated receptors. TR $\alpha$  /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers could bind cooperatively to a double-stranded oligonucleotide containing a DR4 element (Fig. 3-1, lane d). TR $\alpha$ could also bind to the DR4 element weakly as a monomer (lanes b, d, e and g). PPARa alone or with RXR $\alpha$  or TR $\alpha$  did not form a complex on the DR4 element. Mobility shift experiments carried out with the AOx-PPRE are shown in Figure 3-2. As previously demonstrated (Marcus et al., 1993), PPARa and RXRa did not bind the AOX-PPRE individually but did bind cooperatively (lane e). Interestingly, TRa alone formed a monomeric complex on the AOx-PPRE (lane c). However, coincubation of TR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  failed to form a complex on the AOx-PPREs (lane g). Conversely, in the presence of RXR $\alpha$ , TR $\alpha$  formed an additional complex on the AOx-PPRE that had a slightly faster electrophoretic mobility than that of the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex (lane f).



Figure 3-1. Interaction of TR $\alpha$  with a synthetic DR4 element. In vitro synthesized TR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$  (1µL each) were used individually or in pairwise combinations (as indicated at top) in gel retardation assays with a radiolabeled synthetic DR4 probe. Reactions were normalized with unprogrammed lysate as appropriate. The positions of the TR $\alpha$  monomeric complex and the TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimeric complex are indicated. Lane a is a control in which the DR4 probe was incubated with unprogrammed lysate.



Figure 3-2. TR $\alpha$  binds to the AOx-PPRE on its own and in association with RXR $\alpha$ . In vitro synthesized receptors (1µL each) were incubated individually or in various combinations (as indicated at top) with radiolabeled AOx-PPRE probe and analyzed by gel retardation as in Figure 1. Reactions were normalized with unprogrammed lysate as appropriate. The positions of the monomeric TR $\alpha$  complex and the heterodimeric TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complexes are indicated. The TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex has a slightly faster electrophoretic mobility than that of the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex.

Coincubation of equal amounts of PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$ , and TR $\alpha$  led to the formation of three distinct complexes, corresponding to PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer and TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer, and TR $\alpha$  monomer complex (lane h). Under these conditions, the TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complexes formed with approximately the same efficiency, indicating that TR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  compete for limiting amounts of RXR $\alpha$ .

To determine if TR $\alpha$  was a component of these protein/DNA complexes, supershift analysis with antibodies to TR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  were carried out. Addition of anti-TR $\alpha$ antibodies to the binding reaction disrupted the formation of both the faster migrating TR $\alpha$ -dependent complex and the more slowly migrating TR $\alpha$ /RXR-dependent complex (Fig. 3-3, lanes d and h). In contrast, anti-RXR $\alpha$  antibodies disrupted the formation of only the more slowly migrating complex (lane g). Preimmune serum had no effect on complex formation (lane f). These results show that TR $\alpha$  was present in both the faster and more slowly migrating TR $\alpha$ -dependent complexes, whereas RXR $\alpha$  was only a component of the more slowly migrating complex.

The TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -dependent complex was sequence-specific, since it could be competed with unlabeled AOx-PPRE probe DNA but not with a nonspecific competitor oligonucleotide (Fig. 3-4, lanes i-k and e-g, respectively). TR $\alpha$  monomeric complex was similarly competed for by specific, but not nonspecific, competitor DNA; however, this competition required a 100- to 200-fold molar excess of competitor DNA compared to the 10- to 50-fold molar excess that was sufficient to disrupt the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complexes. These results suggest that the TR $\alpha$  monomeric complex is more stable than the TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex.



Figure 3-3. TR $\alpha$  is present in complexes formed on the AOx-PPRE. Gel retardation assays using radiolabeled AOx-PPRE were carried out with *in vitro* synthesized receptors (1  $\mu$ L each) in the presence of preimmune serum (lane f), monoclonal anti-TR $\alpha$  antibodies (lanes d and h), or polyclonal anti-RXR $\alpha$  antibodies (lane g), as indicated. One  $\mu$ L of preimmune serum or antibodies was added along with the indicated receptors prior to the addition of the AOx-PPRE probe. Reactions were normalized with unprogrammed lysate as appropriate. Inclusion of anti-TR $\alpha$  antibodies resulted in the loss of both the fast migrating and slowly migrating complexes (lanes d and h), while inclusion of anti-RXR $\alpha$  antibodies resulted in the loss of only the slowly migrating complex (lane g). Specific supershifted complexes produced by addition of anti-TR $\alpha$  and anti-RXR $\alpha$  antibodies were apparent after longer exposure. Lane a is a control in which the AOx-PPRE was incubated with unprogrammed lysate.



Figure 3-4. TR $\alpha$  binds to the AOx-PPRE in a sequence-specific manner. In vitro synthesized TR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$ , and PPAR $\alpha$  (1 µL each) were coincubated with radiolabeled AOx-PPRE alone (lanes d and h) and in the presence of 10-, 50-, and 100-molar excess of unlabeled, double-stranded nonspecific competitor DNA (5'-GATCCTACCCATACGAC-GTCCCAGACTACGCTTGAGCT and its complement; lanes e-g, respectively) or 10-, 50-, and 100-molar excess of unlabeled AOx-PPRE (lanes i-k, respectively) and analyzed by gel retardation. Lanes a and b are incubations carried out with combinations of *in vitro* translated PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  and of TR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (1 µL of each), respectively, to indicate the positions of the heterodimeric complexes. Lane c is a control in which the AOx-PPRE probe was incubated with unprogrammed lysate.

#### 3.2.2 TRa Competes with PPARa for Binding to the AOx-PPRE

When constant amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  were coincubated with increasing amounts of TR $\alpha$ , the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex gradually disappeared, concomitant with an increase in the intensity of the TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex and the TR $\alpha$  monomeric complex (Fig. 3-5). This result could be due to a competition of TR $\alpha$  for limiting amounts of RXR $\alpha$ , a competition of TRa/RXRa heterodimers for the AOx-PPRE binding site, and/or the formation of nonbinding TRa/PPARa heterodimers. To address these possibilities, gel electromobility shift analysis was conducted in a reciprocal manner in which constant amounts of TR $\alpha$  were coincubated with increasing amounts of *in vitro* translated RXR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , or both receptors (Fig. 3-6). Increasing amounts of RXR $\alpha$  led to an increase in the amount of TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex, concomitant with a decrease in the amount of TR $\alpha$ monomeric complex (lanes b and c). This result would suggest that  $RXR\alpha$  was limiting for complex formation under these conditions. Incubation of TR $\alpha$  with increasing amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  led to a decrease in the formation of the TR $\alpha$  monomeric complex, suggesting that PPAR $\alpha$  can sequester TR $\alpha$  (compare lane a to lanes d and e). Coincubation of TR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\alpha$  with increasing amounts of RXR $\alpha$  led to a reduction in the amount of TR $\alpha$ monomeric complex, concomitant with increased amounts of PPARa/RXRa and  $TR\alpha/RXR\alpha$  complexes (lanes f and g). These results suggest that TR\alpha can inhibit binding of PPAR $\alpha$  to the AOx-PPRE by competing for the common heterodimerization partner RXRa and by binding to the AOx-PPRE on its own or cooperatively with RXRa. Moreover, the availability of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers capable of binding to the AOx-



Figure 3-5. TR $\alpha$  competes with PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  for binding to the AOx-PPRE. In vitro translated PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (2 µL of each) were incubated in the absence (lane a) or presence of 2, 4 and 6 µL of *in vitro* translated TR $\alpha$  (lanes b, c and d, respectively) and analyzed by gel retardation. Volumes of lysate were kept constant by the addition of unprogrammed lysate as appropriate. TR $\alpha$  inhibits the formation of the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex concomitant with the formation of the TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex and the TR $\alpha$  complex.



Figure 3-6. Effects of increasing amounts of RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  on the formation of TR $\alpha$ -dependent protein/DNA complexes. In vitro translated TR $\alpha$  (2 µL) was mixed with RXR $\alpha$  (2 µL, lane b; 5 µL, lane c), PPAR $\alpha$  (2 µL, lane d; 5 µL, lane e), PPAR $\alpha$  (1 µL) + RXR $\alpha$  (1 µL) (lane f) or PPAR $\alpha$  (1 µL) + RXR $\alpha$  (4 µL) (lane g) in the presence of radiolabeled AOx-PPRE and analyzed by gel retardation. Lysate volumes were kept constant by the addition of unprogrammed lysate as appropriate.

PPRE may also be restricted through the formation of non-PPRE-binding  $TR\alpha/PPAR\alpha$  complexes.

#### 3.2.3 TRa Stimulates Transactivation by PPARa/RXRa Heterodimers

Transient transfection assays were carried out in BSC40 cells to examine the effects of expression of TR $\alpha$  on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -dependent transactivation of a reporter gene plasmid containing two copies of the AOx-PPRE (pAOx(X2)luc). Control transfections showed T3-dependent activation of a luciferase reporter gene plasmid containing a palindromic TRE (pTREpalluc) following cotransfection with the TRa expression vector (Fig. 3-7, A). Expression from the parental reporter gene plasmid lacking the TRE (pSV $\Delta$ 5'luc) was unaffected by expression of TR $\alpha$  or by the presence of T3, as reported previously (Glass et al., 1988). Figure 3-7, B shows the effects of transfecting increasing amounts of the TR $\alpha$  expression plasmid on transactivation from the AOx-PPRE reporter gene plasmid by PPARa and RXRa. Cotransfection of PPARa and RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids resulted in an approximately 10-fold activation of expression of the AOx-PPRE reporter gene plasmid over basal levels in the absence of exogenously added PPARa activators, as has been previously demonstrated (Marcus et al., 1993). This activator-independent induction is presumably due to the presence of endogenous PPAR $\alpha$ activators. Addition of the potent peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643 to the transfections resulted in a 50- to 100-fold stimulation of activity from the AOx-PPRE reporter gene plasmid over basal levels. Interestingly, cotransfection with increasing amounts of  $TR\alpha$ expression plasmid resulted in progressively enhanced stimulation by PPARa/RXRa

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Figure 3-7. TRa enhances transactivation by PPARa/RXRa in vivo. (A) BSC40 cells were cotransfected with the reporter plasmids pTREpalluc or pSVL $\Delta 5'$ luc and the TRa expression plasmid pRSV-TR $\alpha$  in the presence or absence of T3, as indicated. Luciferase assays were performed as indicated in "Materials and Methods". The values reported are the averages (±SEM) of three independent transfections carried out in duplicate and normalized to the value obtained with pTREpalluc alone (taken as 1). (B) BSC40 cells were transfected with pAOx(X2)luc in the presence or absence of the peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643, along with a constant amount of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids (2 µg each) and increasing amounts of the TR $\alpha$  expression plasmid (in  $\mu$ g), as indicated. Plasmid dosage was normalized in each case with the appropriate amounts of the corresponding empty expression vectors. The values reported are the averages (±SEM) of a minimum of three independent transfections carried out in duplicate and normalized to the value obtained from Wy-14,643treated cells cotransfected with PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids (taken as 100%). The results show that TR $\alpha$  potentiates Wy-14,643-mediated transactivation by PPARa/RXRa. (C) BSC40 cells were cotransfected with the reporter gene pCPSluc and plasmids (2 µg each) expressing the indicated receptors in the presence or absence of Wy-14,643 and T3, as indicated. The values reported are the averages of duplicate transfections and are normalized to the value obtained with pCPSluc-cotransfected with PPARa and RXRa expression plasmids in the presence of Wy-14,643 (taken as 100%). Readings of the duplicate samples did not vary by more than 15%. (D) The TRa expression plasmid pRSV-TR $\alpha$  (in µg) was cotransfected with pAOx(X2)luc alone or in combination with the PPAR $\alpha$ expression plasmid (2 µg) in the presence of Wy-14,643, as indicated. The values reported are the averages (±SEM) or three independent transfections carried out in duplicate and normalized to the value obtained for cells transfected with the pAOx(X2)luc reporter plasmid alone (taken as 1).



heterodimers. Cotransfection of up to 4 µg of TRa expression plasmid (representing a 2fold molar excess over PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids) resulted in a 200- to 300fold stimulation of Wy-14,643-dependent induction by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , and to a lesser extent (40- to 50-fold stimulation over basal) in the absence Wy-14,643. To examine the requirements of a PPRE for TR $\alpha$ -dependent stimulation, cotransfection experiments similar to those reported above were carried out with pCPShic, the parental vector used to construct pAOx(X2) luc. Transfection of PPARa/RXRa alone, or in combination with TR $\alpha$ , had little effect on the expression of this reporter gene construct, either in the absence or presence of Wy-14,643 (Fig. 3-7, C). Transfection of the PPARa expression vector alone resulted in a modest (10-fold) stimulation of the AOx-PPRE reporter gene activity in the presence of Wy-14,643, likely because of interaction between PPAR $\alpha$  and endogenous RXR $\alpha$  and/or other cofactors (Fig. 3-7, D). However, TR $\alpha$  did not further stimulate PPAR-mediated activation under these conditions. Indeed, activation was reduced by approximately 50% when a 2-fold excess of TRa expression plasmid was transfected. Therefore, TR $\alpha$ -mediated stimulation of Wy-14,643-dependent transcriptional induction also requires the exogenous addition of both PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ .

## 3.3 Discussion

Several groups have indicated that PPAR $\alpha$  can differentially influence TR $\alpha$ mediated activation of thyroid-hormone responsive genes (Bogazzi *et al.*, 1994; Jow and Mukherjee, 1995; Meier-Heusler *et al.*, 1995; Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1995). The findings presented in this chapter show that TR $\alpha$  modulates PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation of peroxisome proliferator responsive genes *in vivo* and can disrupt the binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers to PPREs *in vitro*. Thus, crosstalk and coupling between the thyroid hormone and peroxisome proliferator signaling pathways are important to the reciprocal regulation of both thyroid hormone- and peroxisome proliferator-responsive genes.

The data presented in this chapter show that TR $\alpha$  is capable of binding to the AOx-PPRE in a sequence specific manner on its own as well as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$ . This is not necessarily unexpected, since, in addition to response elements containing half sites that are configured in a DR4 arrangement, thyroid hormone receptors bind promiscuously as monomers or as homo- and heterodimers to a wide variety of structurally diverse response elements configured in different manners (Desvergne, 1994). Therefore, the AOx-PPRE defines a novel target for TR $\alpha$ . This finding, coupled with previous observations that COUP-TFI (Miyata *et al.*, 1993) and HNF-4 (Winrow *et al.*, 1994) also bind to the AOx-PPRE, provides further evidence that the AOx-PPRE is a composite response element that is a target for multiple members of the nuclear receptor superfamily.

The binding of TR $\alpha$  and of TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the AOx-PPRE led to a decrease in the binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to this element. The findings presented suggest that this decrease was the net result of TR $\alpha$  competing for the common heterodimerization partner RXR $\alpha$  and for binding to the AOx-PPRE. Moreover, as shown by others, PPAR $\alpha$  and TR $\beta$  can heterodimerize in solution, implying that TR $\alpha$ , likely sequesters PPAR $\alpha$  as well as RXR $\alpha$  (Bogazzi *et al.*, 1994). However, TR $\alpha$ /PPAR $\alpha$  was unable to bind to the AOx-PPRE, and a natural DNA-binding site for the TR $\alpha$ /PPAR $\alpha$  heterodimer pair, if it exists,

remains to be identified. Interestingly, the TR $\beta$ /PPAR $\alpha$  heterodimer has been shown to be capable of binding to a DR2 element present in the promoter region of the gene encoding myelin proteolipid protein (Bogazzi *et al.*, 1994).

The results showing that TR $\alpha$  augments PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the AOx-PPRE *in vivo* contrasts with the *in vitro* results showing that TR $\alpha$  inhibits the binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the AOx-PPRE. It is possible that TR $\alpha$  may not bind to the AOx-PPRE *in vivo*, perhaps because there exist additional cellular factors that stabilize PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  interactions on the AOx-PPRE. TR $\alpha$ -dependant stimulation of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  activity *in vivo* may thus be manifested through mechanisms that do not involve the binding of TR $\alpha$  to the AOx-PPRE. For instance, it may be possible that TR $\alpha$ titrates, and therefore counteracts, the effects of a repressor that attenuates transcriptional activation by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers, thereby relieving repression. Consistent with this possibility, TR $\alpha$  has been shown to bind to an inhibitory factor that also interacts with the retinoic acid receptor alpha, RAR $\alpha$  (Casanova *et al.*, 1994). Therefore, overexpression of TR $\alpha$  may lead to an alteration in the repertoire of RXR $\alpha$  available for interaction with PPAR $\alpha$ .

The fact that the AOx-PPRE reporter gene construct contains a tandem repeat of the PPRE offers an alternative explanation for our finding that TR $\alpha$  augments PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the AOx-PPRE *in vivo*. It may be possible that TR $\alpha$  homodimers or TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers simultaneously occupy the duplicated element along with bound PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , thereby acting synergistically to enhance transcriptional activation.

# 3.4 Summary

.

The results of the data presented suggest that there is signaling crosstalk between PPAR $\alpha$  and TR $\alpha$  that may be physiologically important in the regulation of lipid homeostasis and in mediating the thyromimetic effects of peroxisome proliferators. The mechanism by which TR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  differentially modulate transactivation by the other is complex and appears to be dependent upon a dynamic balance amongst RXR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , TR $\alpha$ , and possibly other cellular cofactors, including coactivators, corepressors, and nuclear hormone receptors.

## **CHAPTER 4**

# The Orphan Nuclear Hormone Receptor RevErbα Modulates Expression from the Promoter of the Hydratase-Dehydrogenase Gene by Inhibiting Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor α-Dependent Transactivation<sup>§</sup>

<sup>§</sup> A version of this chapter has been published. Kassam, A., Capone, J.P., and Rachubinski, R. A. J. Biol. Chem. 274:22895-22990 (1999). Used with permission from The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.

#### 4.1 Abstract

Considering the similarities between RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  with respect to their consensus half-sites, tissue distribution, response to fibrates, and putative biological functions, we investigated a possible role for RevErb $\alpha$  in lipid metabolism as an upstream regulatory factor for the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway. Here we demonstrate that the orphan nuclear hormone receptor, RevErb $\alpha$ , modulates PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -dependent transactivation in a response element-specific manner. *In vitro* binding analysis showed that RevErb $\alpha$  bound the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE. Determinants within the HD-PPRE required for RevErb $\alpha$  binding were distinct from those required for PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding. In transient transfections, RevErb $\alpha$  antagonized transactivation by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  from an HD-PPRE luciferase reporter construct, whereas no effects were observed with an AOx-PPRE reporter construct. These data identify the HD gene as a target for RevErb $\alpha$  and illustrate crosstalk between the RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  signaling pathways on the HD-PPRE. Our results suggest a novel role for RevErb $\alpha$  in regulating peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation.

## 4.2 Results

#### 4.2.1 RevErb & Binds to the HD-PPRE

Both the HD- and AOx-PPREs contain potential binding sites for RevErbα (Fig.4-1, A). We therefore examined whether RevErbα is capable of binding to the HD- and AOx-PPREs by performing electromobility shift analysis (EMSA) with radiolabeled PPRE probes and *in vitro* translated receptors. As expected, PPARα and RXRα bound as a

112

AOx-PPRE: CCGAACGTGACCTTTGTCCTGGTCCC .... īV HD-PPRE: CCTCTCCTTTGACCTATTGAACTATTACCTACATT RevErba Consensus Site: TGACC(T/C)(A/T), HD-PPRE . PPARa/RXRa RXRα PPARa RevErbo PPARa/RXRa

**AOx-PPRE** 

Figure 4-1. RevErb $\alpha$  binds to the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE. (A), comparison of the sequences of the AOx- and HD-PPREs to the consensus RevErb $\alpha$  binding site. Arrows and Roman numerals indicate the locations and directions of the TGACCT-like motifs. (B) In vitro synthesized PPAR $\alpha$  (1  $\mu$ L), RXR $\alpha$  (1  $\mu$ L), and RevErb $\alpha$  (4  $\mu$ L) were incubated alone or in combination with radiolabeled HD-PPRE (upper panel) or AOx-PPRE (lower panel) probe, as indicated. Lysate volumes were kept constant by addition of unprogrammed lysate. EMSA was performed on 3.5% polyacrylamide gels. The positions of the heterodimeric PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and monomeric RevErb $\alpha$  complexes are indicated by arrows.

Α

В

heterodimer on the HD-PPRE (Fig. 4-1, B). RevErba also bound the HD-PPRE, forming a complex with a mobility slightly less than that formed by the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer. Inclusion of RXR $\alpha$  or PPAR $\alpha$  with RevErb $\alpha$  in the binding reactions had no effect on the formation of the RevErba/HD-PPRE complex. Moreover, coincubation of all three receptors with the HD-PPRE produced only two distinct complexes corresponding to PPARα/RXRα heterodimers and RevErbα monomers (Fig. 4-1, B, far right lane). Therefore, the three receptors do not co-occupy the HD-PPRE in some higher order complex, and RevErba does not form complexes with PPARa or RXRa on this element in vitro under the EMSA conditions used. In contrast to the results obtained with the HD-PPRE, RevErba was unable to bind to the AOx-PPRE. Furthermore, only the characteristic PPARa/RXRa heterodimer was generated on the AOx-PPRE when RevErba was coincubated with PPARa and RXRa (Fig. 4-1, B). Binding of RevErba to the HD-PPRE was specific, since the radiolabeled complex was refractory to competition by nonspecific unlabeled oligonucleotide but was eliminated by addition of unlabeled HD-PPRE oligonucleotide (Fig. 4-2).

We next investigated whether binding by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE could be influenced by RevErb $\alpha$  and *vice versa*. EMSA was first performed using radiolabeled HD-PPRE, constant amounts of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , and increasing amounts of RevErb $\alpha$  (Fig. 4-3, A). Increasing the amount of RevErb $\alpha$  did not affect binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE, but did result in greater amounts of RevErb $\alpha$  monomeric complex forming on the HD-PPRE. Conversely, when EMSA was performed with a constant amount of RevErb $\alpha$ , increasing the amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  led to increased formation of



Figure 4-2. Binding of RevErb $\alpha$  is sequence-specific. EMSA was performed with *in vitro* synthesized RevErb $\alpha$  and radiolabeled HD-PPRE probe. Volumes were kept constant by addition of unprogrammed lysate. Competition experiments were performed by addition of 10-, 50- or 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled competitor DNA (5'-GATCCCGTGCATGCTAATGATATTCT and its complement) (*Nonspecific*) or unlabeled HD-PPRE (*Specific*). The position of the RevErb $\alpha$  complex is indicated.



Figure 4-3. RevErba and PPARa/RXRa bind independently to the HD-PPRE. Binding reactions contained *in vitro* synthesized PPARa/RXRa (0.5  $\mu$ L of each) and RevErba (5 or 10  $\mu$ L) (A), or RevErba (10  $\mu$ L) and PPARa/RXRa (1 or 2  $\mu$ L of each) (B). Radiolabeled HD-PPRE probe was added at 200, 2, or 0.2 fmol, as indicated. Lysate volumes were kept constant by addition of unprogrammed lysate. EMSA was performed on 2.5% polyacrylamide gels. Autoradiography was for 16 h (200 fmol, A and B), 6 d (2 fmol, A), 3 d (2 fmol, B), 14 d (0.2 fmol, A), or 7 d (0.2 fmol, B). Arrows indicate the positions of the PPARa/RXRa heterodimer and the RevErba monomer.

PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complexes on the HD-PPRE, while the binding of RevErb $\alpha$  monomers was unaffected (Fig. 4-3, B). Similar findings were observed over a wide range of HD-PPRE probe concentration (Fig. 4-3, A and B). These results suggest that RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  bind independently to the HD-PPRE, and that RevErb $\alpha$  monomers and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers do not simultaneously occupy the HD-PPRE.

#### 4.2.2 RevErba and PPARa/RXRa Bind to Distinct Sites on the HD-PPRE

The HD-PPRE consists of four half-sites (sites I-IV) related to the consensus TGACCT/C hexameric half-site. Each half-site of the HD-PPRE could potentially serve as a binding site for RevErb $\alpha$ . In order to determine the sequence requirements for RevErb $\alpha$  interaction, oligonucleotide probes harboring mutations in each of the four hexameric half-sites of the HD-PPRE were used in binding studies (Fig. 4-4). EMSA showed that mutations in sites I, III, and IV did not affect binding of RevErb $\alpha$ , either in the absence (Fig. 4-5) or presence of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  (Fig. 4-4). However, disruption of site II effectively abrogated RevErb $\alpha$  binding. This observation is consistent with the fact that site II most closely resembles the consensus sequence for RevErb $\alpha$  binding (Fig. 4-1, A). Binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  was found to require the integrity of sites III and IV but not of sites I and II (Fig. 4-4), as previously demonstrated (Winrow *et al.*, 1998; Zhang *et al.*, 1993). These results show that RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  target distinct half-sites on the HD-PPRE.



Figure 4-4. RevErba and PPARa/RXRa bind to distinct sites within the HD-PPRE. Sequences of the wild-type HD-PPRE and of the oligonucleotides M1, M2, M3, and M4 containing mutations (*underlined*) in the consensus hexameric half-sites I, II, III, and IV (*bold*), respectively. EMSA was performed on a 2.5% polyacrylamide gel with *in vitro* synthesized PPARa/RXRa (1  $\mu$ L of each) and RevErba (10  $\mu$ L) and wild-type or mutant HD-PPRE probes, as indicated. Lysate volumes were kept constant by addition of unprogrammed lysate. Arrows show positions of bound complexes.



Figure 4-5. Mutation of site II of the HD-PPRE abolishes RevErb $\alpha$  binding. EMSA was performed as in Figure 4-4. Binding reactions contained 10  $\mu$ L of *in vitro* synthesized RevErb $\alpha$  and wild-type or mutant HD-PPRE probes, as indicated. The position of the RevErb $\alpha$  complex is indicated.

# 4.2.3 RevErbα Antagonizes Transactivation by PPARα/RXRα from the HD-PPRE but Not the AOx-PPRE

To investigate the in vivo properties of RevErba on transcriptional regulation, we carried out transient transfection assays using luciferase reporter plasmids containing either the HD-PPRE (pHD(X3)luc) or the AOx-PPRE (pAOx(X2)luc), along with expression plasmids for RevErba, PPARa, and/or RXRa in BSC40 African monkey kidney cells. Cotransfection of PPARa and RXRa with the HD-PPRE reporter plasmid led to a 2-fold induction of transcription over basal levels in the absence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643 (Fig. 4-6, A). Addition of proliferator led to a potent induction of transcription (10- to 15-fold) over basal levels. Increasing amounts of RevErba expression plasmid inhibited transactivation from the HD-PPRE by PPARa/RXRa both in the presence and absence of peroxisome proliferator. Transactivation by PPARa/RXRa was reduced by 80% at the highest amount of RevErba expression plasmid used (2 µg). PPAR \alpha/RXR \alpha also activated transcription of a reporter gene that contained the HD-PPRE harboring a disruption in site II; however, in this case, RevErba-dependent inhibition was not observed (Fig. 4-6, B). This finding is in agreement with in vitro binding data for RevErba (see Fig. 4-4) and indicates that inhibition of PPARa/RXRa-mediated activation is dependent upon RevErba binding to the HD-PPRE.

RevErba did not significantly affect transcriptional activation by PPARa/RXRa on the AOx-PPRE, in either the absence or presence of Wy-14,643 (Fig. 4-6, C). These data are in keeping with *in vitro* binding data showing that RevErba failed to bind the AOx-PPRE (Fig. 4-1, B). Control transfections with the parental reporter construct


Figure 4-6. RevErba antagonizes transactivation from the HD-PPRE by exogenous PPARa/RXRa, whereas exogenous expression of PPARa/RXRa can overcome transcriptional repression by RevErba on the HD-PPRE. BSC40 monolayer cells were transfected with 5 µg of the luciferase reporter pHD(X3)luc (A), pM2(X3)luc (B), pAOx(X2)luc (C), or pCPSluc (D), plasmids for PPARa (2 µg), RXRa (2 µg), and RevErba  $(0.5 - 2 \mu g)$ , in the absence or presence of 0.1 mM Wy-14,643. Plasmid dosage was normalized by addition of empty expression vector. Cells were harvested 48 h posttransfection, and luciferase activity quantitated. Transfections were carried out in duplicate and represent the average  $(\pm SEM)$  of three independent experiments. Values presented are relative to the value obtained for cells transfected with PPARa and RXRa in the presence of Wy-14,643 (taken as 100%). (E) BSC40 cells were transfected with 5  $\mu$ g of the luciferase reporter pHD(X3)luc and expression plasmids for RevErba (2  $\mu$ g) and PPARa/RXRa (0.5 - $4 \mu g$ ) in the absence or presence of 0.1 mM Wy-14,643. Plasmid dosage was normalized by the addition of empty expression vector. Transfections were carried out in duplicate and represent the average (± SEM) of three independent experiments. Values presented are relative to the value obtained for cells transfected with RevErb $\alpha$  (2 µg) alone in the presence of Wy-14,643 (taken as 100%).

pCPS*luc*, which lacks a PPRE, showed that the presence of RevErba, PPARa, and RXRa did not influence basal levels of luciferase activity (Fig. 4-6, D), demonstrating the need for a functional PPRE for receptor activity. Together, these data show that RevErba antagonizes transactivation by PPARa/RXRa specifically from the HD-PPRE.

### 4.2.4 Increased Amounts of PPAR and RXR a Can Overcome Repression by RevErba

We were interested in determining whether increased amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  could modulate the repression exerted by RevErb $\alpha$  on transcription from the HD-PPRE. Transient transfections with a constant amount of RevErb $\alpha$  expression plasmid and increasing amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids demonstrated that the repressive effects of RevErb $\alpha$  could be alleviated in a dose-dependent manner by increasing amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ , either in the presence or absence of Wy-14,643 (Fig. 4-6, E). These results suggest that the net transcriptional response from the HD-PPRE is influenced by the relative levels of PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  and RevErb $\alpha$  in vivo.

#### 4.3 Discussion

A number of recent observations have pointed to possible interplay between the RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  signaling pathways. First, there is strong sequence similarity between the RevErb $\alpha$  consensus binding site and the PPREs of the AOx and HD genes. Second, a role for RevErb $\alpha$  in PPAR-mediated signaling has been suggested by studies showing that the PPRE of the CYP4A6 gene binds both RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  (Hsu *et al.*, 1998) and that the PPAR $\gamma$  isoform, a key regulator of adipogenesis, may in turn be regulated by RevErb $\alpha$ , whose mRNA levels are dramatically increased during differentiation of preadipocytes to adipocytes (Chawla and Lazar, 1993). Third, RevErb $\alpha$  has been shown to be encoded on the opposite strand of the gene encoding thyroid hormone receptor  $\alpha$  and to be able to bind the thyroid hormone, triiodothyronine (Miyajima *et al.*, 1989), and we have previously demonstrated crosstalk between thyroid hormone receptor  $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  in regulating transcription from the AOx-PPRE (Chapter 3). As a result of these observations, we considered the possibility of a role for RevErb $\alpha$  in regulating transcription from the AOx- and HD-PPREs.

We have demonstrated here that the RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  signaling pathways converge and that RevErb $\alpha$  serves to repress transcriptional activation specifically from the HD-PPRE. Interestingly, RevErb $\alpha$  had no effect on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated activation via the AOx-PPRE. Consistent with this observation, RevErb $\alpha$  was shown to bind specifically to the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE. The HD-PPRE is comprised of four hexameric direct repeats arranged as two tandem DR1 arrays separated by two nucleotides (a DR2). This complex arrangement is thought to permit the interaction of a diverse array of nuclear hormone receptors with the HD-PPRE, thereby increasing the complexity of transcriptional regulation from this PPRE. RevErba and PPARa/RXRa target distinct half sites on the HD-PPRE. The integrity of site II is required for RevErba binding, while sites III/IV serve to bind RXRa/PPARa. Site II was also required for the RevErba-dependent repressive effects on transcriptional activation by PPARa/RXRa, indicating that inhibition requires binding of RevErba to the HD-PPRE. Although sites III/IV have been shown to be essential and sufficient for PPARa/RXRa binding and activity (Winrow *et al.*, 1998; Zhang *et al.*, 1993), an arrangement in which PPARa/RXRa heterodimers are bound to both DR1 sites has been suggested to yield the highest level of transactivation (Chu *et al.*, 1995). Since RevErba occupies site II within the HD-PPRE, this may preclude binding of PPARa/RXRa to the upstream DR1 element, resulting in reduced levels of transactivation from the HD-PPRE by PPARa/RXRa.

Although RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  use distinct determinants on the HD-PPRE, we did not observe a higher order complex containing all three receptors *in vitro*. However, the limitation of our *in vitro* binding analysis does not preclude the possibility of a higher order complex forming among RevErb $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  *in vivo*, perhaps through the cooperativity or association of regulatory cofactors such as SRC-1, p300, N-CoR and SMRT-1 (Chen and Evans, 1995; Hörlein *et al.*, 1995; DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997; Dowell *et al.*, 1997). The involvement of such cofactors in transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors is well established. Indeed, NCoR, SMRT and SUNCoR have been shown to interact with RevErb $\alpha$  in mammalian cells causing transrepression (Downes *et al.*, 1996; Zamir *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Burke *et al.*, 1998). A model can be proposed in which repression of transactivation by RevErb $\alpha$  is the result of a shift from active to repressive states of the receptor through its association with corepressors and dissociation from coactivators, respectively. RevErb $\alpha$  can also be envisioned to be subjected to post-translational modifications *in vivo*, such as phosphorylation that could initiate its repressive state. Interestingly, the amino-terminal of RevErb $\alpha$  contains numerous serine and threonine residues that could potentially be phosphorylated (Miyajima *et al.*, 1989).

The ligand for RevErba remains unknown. It is therefore impossible to ascertain at this time whether an endogenous ligand exists in BSC40 cells that could induce transcriptional repression upon binding RevErba, as has been demonstrated for and rostanol and the mCAR $\beta$  receptor (Forman *et al.*, 1998). It has been suggested that RevErb $\alpha$  lacks the AF2 transactivation domain that is responsible for ligand binding (Durand *et al.*, 1994), thus precluding the possibility of RevErb $\alpha$  having any capacity for ligand-dependent activation or repression (Zamir et al., 1996). Orphan receptors lacking AF2 domains could instead act as competitors for ligand-inducible receptors (Durand et al., 1994), and such a scenario has been proposed to explain the blocking of RZR $\alpha$ mediated transactivation by RevErba (Forman et al., 1994; Retnakaran et al., 1994). Nevertheless, the absence of an AF2 domain does not preclude the possibility that RevErb $\alpha$  contains undetected, and yet undefined, activation domains that could be revealed through interaction with a novel ligand (Harding and Lazar, 1995). RevErba could also potentially activate transcription by cooperative interaction with a non-AF2dependent coactivator or, indirectly, by recruiting corepressors away from other nuclear receptors (Zamir *et al.*, 1996). TR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$  have been reported to form nonbinding heterodimers *in vivo* (Bogazzi *et al.*, 1994), and RevErb $\alpha$  could similarly form inactive, nonbinding complexes (Harding and Lazar, 1995) with PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  or other nuclear receptors, effectively sequestering these receptors and preventing them from forming heterodimers that normally potentiate transcription, leading to an overall repression of transcription. However, we consider the latter scenario unlikely, since inhibition by RevErb $\alpha$  required the integrity of the HD-PPRE and had no effect on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ mediated transactivation via the AOx-PPRE.

## 4.4 Summary

In summary, our results identify the gene encoding enoyl-CoA hydratase/3hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase, the second enzyme of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway, as a target for RevErb $\alpha$  and demonstrate that this orphan nuclear hormone receptor serves as a negative modulator of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the PPRE of this gene. The repressive effects of RevErb $\alpha$  on the HD-PPRE can be overcome by increasing the concentration of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , and together, or results illustrate a convergence of the RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  signaling pathways in gene regulation. Transcriptional control of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation involves a complex network of interacting regulatory factors that integrate a diverse array of host signaling pathways to determine the net transcriptional response to a particular environmental or physiological cue.

## **CHAPTER 5**

The Peroxisome Proliferator Response Element of the Gene Encoding the Peroxisomal β-oxidation Enzyme Enoyl-CoA Hydratase/3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase Is a Target for Constitutive Androstane Receptor β/9-cis-Retinoic Acid Receptor α-Mediated Transactivation<sup>§</sup>

<sup>§</sup> A version of this chapter has been published. Kassam, A., Winrow, C. J., Fernandez-Rachubinski, F., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:4345-4350 (2000). Used with permission from The American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.

#### 5.1 Abstract

The impetus to investigate the potential for CAR $\beta$  to regulate expression from the PPREs of genes involved in peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation stems from the similar physiological properties shared between CAR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$ . These include the compositions of their respective response elements, liver expression pattern, and their ability to heterodimerize with RXRa. Here we demonstrate that the HD-PPRE is also a target for the constitutive androstane receptor  $\beta$  (CAR $\beta$ ). In vitro binding analysis showed that CAR $\beta$  bound the HD-PPRE, but not the AOx-PPRE, as a heterodimer with RXRa. Binding of CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE occurred via determinants that overlap partially with those required for PPARa/RXRa binding. In vivo, CARB/RXRa activated transcription from an HD-PPRE luciferase reporter construct. Interestingly, CAR $\beta$  was shown to also modulate PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation in a response element-specific manner. In the presence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643, CAR $\beta$  had no effect on PPARα/RXRα-mediated transactivation from the HD-PPRE, but antagonized transactivation from the AOx-PPRE in both the presence and absence of proliferator. Our results illustrate that transcription of the AOx and HD genes is differentially regulated by CAR $\beta$  and that the HD gene is a specific target for regulation by CAR $\beta$ . Overall, this study proposes a novel role for CAR $\beta$  in the regulation of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation.

#### 5.2 Results

#### 5.2.1 CARβ Binds to the HD-PPRE as a Heterodimer with RXRα

Both the AOx- and HD-PPREs contain arrays of the consensus hexameric binding

128

motif TGACCT that could potentially serve as binding sites for CAR $\beta$ . To illustrate the binding capability of CAR $\beta$  to these response elements, we performed EMSA with radiolabeled PPRE probes and *in vitro* translated receptors. As previously shown (Winrow et al., 1998; Chapters 3 and 4), PPARa and RXRa bound as a heterodimer to the HD-PPRE (Fig. 5-1, A). CAR $\beta$  did not bind alone to the HD-PPRE but was capable of binding as a heterodimer with  $RXR\alpha$ , generating a complex with slightly faster mobility than that of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  (Fig. 5-1, A). Coincubation of PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$ with the HD-PPRE produced two distinct complexes corresponding to PPARa/RXRa and CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers (Fig. 5-1, A; *far right lane*). No higher order ternary complex was observed, suggesting the lack of cooperativity of all three receptors in binding to the HD-PPRE. In contrast to the results obtained with the HD-PPRE, binding of CAR $\beta$  as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$  on the AOx-PPRE was not observed (Fig. 5-1, B). Binding of  $CAR\beta/RXR\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE was specific, since the radiolabeled complex was refractory to competition by nonspecific unlabeled oligonucleotide but was effectively competed out by the addition of unlabeled HD-PPRE oligonucleotide (Fig. 5-2).

#### 5.2.2 CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ Recognizes the DR2 Element of the HD-PPRE

The HD-PPRE consists of four consensus hexameric TGACCT half-sites (I-IV) in an arrangement of two DR1 elements separated by two base pairs, thereby forming an internal DR2 element (Fig. 5-3). Oligonucleotide probes harboring mutations in each of the four half-sites were used in binding studies to determine which half-sites are responsible for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding. PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  requires the integrity of half-sites



Figure 5-1. CAR $\beta$  binds as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE but not the AOx-PPRE. In vitro synthesized PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$  were incubated alone or in combination with radiolabeled HD-PPRE (A) or AOx-PPRE (B). The total amount of lysate in each reaction was kept constant by the addition of unprogrammed lysate. EMSA was performed on 3.5% polyacrylamide gels. The positions of the heterodimeric PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  complexes are indicated.



Figure 5-2. Binding of CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the HD-PPRE is sequence-specific. EMSA was performed with *in vitro* synthesized CAR $\beta$  and RXR $\alpha$  and radiolabeled HD-PPRE probe, as indicated. Volumes were kept constant by addition of unprogrammed lysate. Competition experiments were performed by addition of 10-, 50- or 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled competitor DNA (5'-GATCCCGTGCATGCTAATGATATTCT and its complement) (*Nonspecific*) or unlabeled HD-PPRE (*Specific*). The position of the CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex is indicated.





Figure 5-3. CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  recognizes the DR2 element of the HD-PPRE. Top, sequences of the wild-type HD-PPRE and of the oligonucleotides M1, M2, M3 and M4 containing mutations in consensus hexameric binding half-sites I, II, III and IV (bold lettering) of the HD-PPRE. Bold underlined lettering, sequences of mutant sites I, II, III and IV. Bottom, in vitro synthesized CAR $\beta$  and RXR $\alpha$  were incubated with radiolabeled double-stranded wild-type and mutant HD-PPRE probes. Lysate volumes were kept constant by the addition of unprogrammed lysate. EMSA was performed as described under "Materials and Methods". The position of the CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex is indicated.

132

III and IV (the 3' DR1 element) of the HD-PPRE for binding (Fig. 4-3) (Zhang *et al.*, 1993; Winrow *et al.*, 1998). Disruption of half-sites II and III (comprising the DR2 element) abrogated CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding, while half-sites I and IV were dispensable for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding (Fig. 5-3). These results show that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  overlap in their binding to the HD-PPRE at half-site III.

### 5.2.3 CAR $\beta$ Competes with PPAR $\alpha$ for Binding to the HD-PPRE

To determine the influence of CAR $\beta$  on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding to the HD-PPRE, EMSA was performed using radiolabeled HD-PPRE, constant amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ , and increasing amounts of CAR $\beta$ . Increasing the amount of CAR $\beta$  reduced the binding of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  on the HD-PPRE and led to a concomitant increase in CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding (Fig. 5-4). This result suggests a competition between CAR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$  for limiting amounts of the heterodimerization partner, RXR $\alpha$ . Moreover, the data are suggestive of a dynamic balance between CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  for binding to the HD-PPRE.

# 5.2.4 CARβ Differentially Affects PPARα/RXRα-Mediated Transactivation from the HD- and AOx-PPREs

To investigate the effects of CAR $\beta$  on transcriptional regulation mediated by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , we carried out transient transfection assays using luciferase reporter plasmids containing the HD-PPRE (pHD(X3)*luc*) and AOx-PPRE (pAOx(X2)*luc*), along with expression plasmids for PPAR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$ . Cotransfection of PPAR $\alpha$  and



Figure 5-4. CAR $\beta$  competes with PPAR $\alpha$  for RXR $\alpha$  in heterodimer formation on the HD-PPRE. In vitro translated RXR $\alpha$  (1 µL) and limiting amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  (0.2 µL) were incubated with increasing amounts of *in vitro* translated CAR $\beta$  (4, 8, 12 and 16 µL), as shown. Lysate volumes were kept constant by the addition of unprogrammed lysate as appropriate. Radiolabeled HD-PPRE was added to the binding reactions following a 5 min pre-incubation, and reactions were kept at 25°C for an additional 25 min before electrophoresis.

RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids with the HD-PPRE reporter plasmid led to an approximately 6-fold induction in the levels of transcription over basal levels in the absence of the peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643, and to an approximately 20-fold induction in the levels of transcription in the presence of proliferator (Fig. 5-5, A). Increasing amounts of the expression plasmid for CAR $\beta$  did not significantly affect PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription in the presence of proliferator and modestly reduced transcription levels in its absence (Fig. 5-5, A). Interestingly, increasing amounts of CAR $\beta$  expression plasmid antagonized PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the AOx-PPRE in a dosedependent manner, in the presence and absence of Wy-14,643 (Fig. 5-5, B). These data illustrate that CAR $\beta$  differentially affects PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription from the HD-PPRE and AOx-PPREs.

#### 5.2.5 $CAR\beta/RXR\alpha$ Potentiates Transactivation from the HD-PPRE

Since we observed that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers could bind the HD-PPRE *in vitro*, we sought to determine whether the HD-PPRE is a specific target for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ mediated transcription *in vivo*. Transient transfections of BSC40 cells were carried out with the pHD(X3)*luc* reporter plasmid in the absence or presence of expression plasmid for RXR $\alpha$  and with varying amounts of CAR $\beta$  expression plasmid. We observed that CAR $\beta$  in the presence of coexpressed RXR $\alpha$  could potentiate transcription from the HD-PPRE in a dose-dependent manner, and with equal competence in both the absence and presence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643 (Fig. 5-5, C). In the presence of exogenously expressed RXR $\alpha$ , transcription from the HD-PPRE was induced Figure 5-5. Transactivation by PPARa/RXRa from the HD- and AOx-PPREs is differentially modulated by CAR $\beta$ . BSC40 monolayer cells were transfected with 5  $\mu$ g of the luciferase reporter pHD(X3)luc (A) or pAOx(X2)luc (B) and expression plasmids for PPAR $\alpha$  (2 µg), RXR $\alpha$  (2 µg) and CAR $\beta$  (0.5-4 µg) in the absence or presence of 0.1 mM Wy-14,643. Plasmid dosage was normalized by the addition of empty expression vector. Cells were harvested 48 h post-transfection and luciferase activity quantitated. Transfections were carried out in duplicate, and the values reported represent the average of three independent experiments. Values from independent experiments did not vary by more than 15%. (C) Transfections of BSC40 cells were carried out with 5 µg of the reporter plasmid pHD(X3)luc in the absence or presence of RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmid (2 µg) and varying amounts of CAR $\beta$  expression plasmid (0.5-4 µg), and in the absence or presence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643 (0.1 mM). Transfections were carried out in duplicate and represent the average of three independent experiments. Values from independent transfections did not vary by more than 15%. (D) Half-site II of the HD-PPRE is required for transactivation by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ . BSC40 cells were transfected with 5 µg of the reporter plasmid pM2(X3)luc, which harbors a mutation in the second TGACCT-like half-site of the HD-PPRE, and with expression plasmids for RXR $\alpha$  (2 µg) and CAR $\beta$  (2 µg or 4 µg), as indicated. The values shown represent the averages of three independent transfections carried out in duplicate. Values from independent transfections did not vary by more than 15%. (E) The CAR $\beta$  ligand, 5 $\alpha$ -androstan-3 $\alpha$ -ol, reduces transactivation from the HD-PPRE by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ . Transient transfections were performed in BSC40 cells with expression plasmids for PPAR $\alpha$  (2 µg), RXR $\alpha$  (2 µg), and CAR $\beta$  (4 µg) and with 5 µg of the luciferase reporter plasmids pHD(X3)luc or p $\beta$ RARE(X2)-TK-luc, in the absence or presence of 5 $\alpha$ androstan-3 $\alpha$ -ol (5 and 10  $\mu$ M). The values reported represent the averages of three independent transfections done in duplicate. Values from independent transfections did not vary more than 15%.





137

approximately 12-fold over basal levels with 4  $\mu$ g of CAR $\beta$  expression plasmid. This potentiation of transcription by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  was abrogated when a reporter plasmid harboring a mutation at half-site II of the HD-PPRE (pM2(X3)*luc*) was used in transfection (Fig. 5-5, D), in agreement with *in vitro* results demonstrating that the integrity of half-site II of the HD-PPRE is required for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding (see Fig. 5-3).

# 5.2.6 The CARβ Ligand 5α-Androstan-3α-ol Reduces Transactivation from the HD-PPRE by CARβ/RXRα

The steroid androstanol metabolite,  $5\alpha$ -androstan- $3\alpha$ -ol, has recently been shown to serve as a ligand for the CAR $\beta$  receptor (Forman *et al.*, 1998). Interestingly, this ligand acts to reduce transcriptional activation from a  $\beta$ 2-RARE by the CAR $\beta$  receptor (Forman *et al.*, 1998). We investigated whether  $5\alpha$ -androstan- $3\alpha$ -ol would also inhibit transactivation from the HD-PPRE by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ . In transient transfections performed in the presence of expression plasmids for CAR $\beta$  and RXR $\alpha$ , addition of  $5\alpha$ -androstan- $3\alpha$ ol led to an approximately 50% reduction in transcriptional activity of a luciferase reporter construct containing two copies of the  $\beta$ 2-RARE (p $\beta$ RARE(X2)-TK-*luc*) and to an approximately 30% reduction in transcriptional activity of a reporter construct containing three copies of the HD-PPRE (pHD(X3)*luc*) (Fig. 5-5, E). These results suggest that in BSC40 cells, the CAR $\beta$  ligand retains moderate transcriptional inhibitory effects, with a reporter plasmid containing two copies of the  $\beta$ RARE showing slightly greater transcriptional inhibition than a reporter containing three copies of the HD-PPRE.

#### 5.3 Discussion

Because of the abundant expression of the nuclear hormone receptor CAR $\beta$  in liver and its functional interaction with RXR $\alpha$ , we investigated whether CAR $\beta$  had a role in the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation of fatty acids through regulation of transcription of the HD and AOx genes via their PPREs. We have demonstrated that the HD-PPRE is a target for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers and that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  stimulates transcription from the HD-PPRE. This increase in transcriptional activity is approximately the same in either the absence or presence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643, affirming the ligandindependent transactivity that has previously been demonstrated for CAR $\beta$  (Baes *et al.*, 1994).

The presence of CAR $\beta$  does not affect transactivation from the HD-PPRE by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ . In contrast, CAR $\beta$  serves to antagonize PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcriptional induction from the AOx-PPRE. We attribute the effects of CAR $\beta$  on transcription from the HD- and AOx-PPREs in the presence of PPAR $\alpha$  to the availability of RXR $\alpha$  required for heterodimerization with both CAR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$ . Since CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers form a complex on the HD-PPRE, we suggest that CAR $\beta$ sequesters RXR $\alpha$  away from PPAR $\alpha$  to form a heterodimeric CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex that is less transcriptionally robust than the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex on the HD-PPRE. In the case of the AOx-PPRE, which does not bind CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ , sequestration of RXR $\alpha$  away from PPAR $\alpha$  by CAR $\beta$  would result in decreased transcriptional activity, likely as a result of the formation of nonbinding, transcriptionally inactive CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers, concomitant with a reduction in the number of transcriptionally active PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers.

The involvement of cofactors such as SRC-1, p300, NCoR and SMRT-1 in transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors is well established (Chen and Evans, 1995; DiRenzo et al., 1997; Dowell et al., 1997, 1999). The binding of the ligand  $5\alpha$ -androstan- $3\alpha$ -ol to CAR $\beta$  has been suggested to result in the dissociation of SRC-1 from CAR $\beta$ , thereby bringing about transcriptional deactivation (Forman *et al.*, 1998; Kliewer *et al.*, 1999). Addition of  $5\alpha$ -androstan- $3\alpha$ -ol in transfections did result in transcriptional deactivation by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  from both the  $\beta$ -RARE and the HD-PPRE; however, the extent of deactivation was less than what has previously been reported. The observed decrease in deactivation could stem from endogenous levels of PPARa and RXR $\alpha$  in BSC40 cells, which may overcome and rostanol deactivation by promoting transcriptional induction from the HD-PPRE via PPARa/RXRa heterodimers. PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers bind weakly to the  $\beta$ 2-RARE (Kliewer *et al.*, 1992b), and therefore endogenous cellular levels of PPARa and RXRa would probably be precluded from potentiating transcription from the  $\beta$ 2-RARE, allowing for the observed deactivation by CAR $\beta$  in the presence of androstanol. Surprisingly, we observed only a moderate reduction of ligand-independent activity by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  on the  $\beta$ 2-RARE as compared to previously published results (Forman et al., 1998). These differences could be attributed to inherent differences in the cell lines used, including the relative levels of endogenous nuclear hormone receptors, corepressors and coactivators. These and other cell-specific factors could influence the observed differences in ligand-dependent deactivation.

The HD-PPRE is a complex response element composed of four hexameric DRs arranged as two tandem DR1 arrays separated by two nucleotides, thereby forming a DR2. This complex arrangement permits the interaction of a variety of nuclear hormone receptors on the HD-PPRE (Marcus et al., 1993; Miyata et al., 1993; Winrow et al., 1994, 1998), leading to a complex model of transcriptional regulation from this response element.  $CAR\beta/RXR\alpha$  heterodimers bind to half-sites on the HD-PPRE that partly overlap with half-sites recognized by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. Half-sites II and III of the HD-PPRE, which form a DR2, are required for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  binding, while PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  binds to sites III and IV. CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation is eliminated when half-site II or III is mutated. It has been previously reported that half-site III of the HD-PPRE is occupied by RXR $\alpha$ , while the more distal half-site (IV) is occupied by PPAR $\alpha$  (Chu et al., 1995). Considering this, and the fact that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ heterodimers have been shown to bind  $\beta$ 2-RARE with DR2 spacing (Choi *et al.*, 1997), it is not surprising that CAR $\beta$  would likely occupy half-site II, which matches perfectly the consensus hexameric half-site sequence. Furthermore, the intricate combinations of various nuclear hormone receptors that can modulate the transcriptional response from the HD-PPRE converge on the second hexameric half-site (Winrow et al., 1998; Chapters 3 and 4). Nevertheless, the limitations of our *in vitro* assays do not allow us to distinguish which half-site is specifically occupied by CAR $\beta$  when bound as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$ on the HD-PPRE.

Our findings suggest that CAR $\beta$  can modulate the transcriptional response of the genes coding for two enzymes of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway, AOx and HD.

The peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway is involved preferentially in the metabolism of longand very long-chain fatty acyl-CoAs. AOx, the first enzyme in the pathway, is ratelimiting. We have shown that CAR $\beta$  decreases transcriptional activation of the AOx gene while increasing transcriptional activation of the HD gene, which encodes the second enzyme of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway. This differential regulation of the genes encoding the first two enzymes of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway may represent an adaptive cellular response that primes the pathway to respond rapidly to cellular oxidative demands under physiological conditions where repression of the transcription of the AOx gene is relieved.

## 5.4 Summary

In summary, we demonstrate that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers play a role in fatty acid homeostasis by regulating the transcription of the genes encoding the first two enzymes of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway, acyl-CoA oxidase and enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. We also show that the PPRE of the HD gene is a target for CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. The convergence of the CAR $\beta$ , PPAR $\alpha$ and RXR $\alpha$  signaling pathways underscores the complex and dynamic processes by which various metabolic cues are integrated to elicit the correct transcriptional response leading to control of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation.

# **CHAPTER 6**

The Short Heterodimer Partner Receptor Differentially Modulates PPARα-Mediated Transcription from Peroxisome Proliferator-Response Elements of the Genes Encoding Acyl-CoA Oxidase and Hydratase-Dehydrogenase

## 6.1 Abstract

The short heterodimer partner (SHP) receptor is expressed in the liver and has been shown to interact with a number of nuclear hormone receptors including PPAR $\alpha$  (Seol *et al.*, 1996; Masuda *et al.*, 1997). In this study, the role of SHP in modulating PPAR $\alpha$ mediated gene transcription from the PPREs of the genes AOx and HD was investigated both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *In vitro* binding assays using GST-tagged chimeric receptors for PPAR $\alpha$  and SHP were used to verify the interaction between PPAR $\alpha$  and SHP. This interaction was unaffected by the presence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643. SHP is proposed to act as a negative regulator of nuclear hormone receptor activity, and therefore it was not surprising that SHP inhibited transcription by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ heterodimers from the AOx-PPRE. Surprisingly, SHP potentiated transcription by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers from the HD-PPRE. This is the first demonstration of positive transcriptional activity attributable to SHP. Togther, these results suggest that SHP modulates PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription in a response element-specific manner.

#### 6.2 Results

#### 6.2.1 SHP Interacts with PPAR and RXR a In Vitro

SHP was initially isolated by its interaction with the ligand binding domain/AF2 domain of PPAR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$  (Seol *et al.*, 1996; Johansson *et al.*, 1999). To verify the physical interaction between SHP and PPAR $\alpha$ , *in vitro* binding assays were performed using GST-fusion proteins or GST alone with *in vitro* translated, full-length, <sup>35</sup>S-

144

methionine-labeled PPAR $\alpha$  and SHP receptors. PPAR $\alpha$  could interact specifically with GST-SHP, but not GST alone (Fig. 6-1, A, upper panel). Approximately 8 to 10% of the input radiolabeled PPAR $\alpha$  bound to GST-SHP (compare lane 3 to lane 1). This interaction was also confirmed in a reciprocal manner in which SHP bound specifically to GST-PPARα but not GST alone (Fig. 6-1, A, *lower panel*). Furthermore, this interaction was unaffected by the presence of 0.1 mM Wy-14,643 or vehicle, as binding efficiencies were the same under both conditions (Fig. 6-2, A). Control reactions verified the interaction between PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (Fig. 6-2, A, bottom panel). We also demonstrated that SHP and RXRa could interact in vitro (Fig. 6-2, B top panel) and that the binding between SHP and RXR $\alpha$  could be increased in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M 9-cis retinoic acid (Fig. 6-2, B, top and middle panels), in agreement with previously published results (Seol et al., 1996). However, 9-cis retinoic acid had no effect on the interaction between PPARa and RXRa (Fig. 6-2, B, bottom panel). These results indicate that SHP can physically interact with both PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  in the absence or presence of their respective cognate ligands.

# 6.2.2 SHP Modulates PPARa/RXRa-Mediated Transcription in a Response Element-Specific Manner

Transient transfection assays were used to examine the effects of SHP on PPAR $\alpha$ mediated transcription *in vivo*. Assays included a luciferase reporter gene containing either three copies of the HD-PPRE or two copies of the AOx-PPRE. As shown in Figure 6-3 A, cotransfection of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  led to a 3-fold and 10-fold induction of



Figure 6-1. PPAR $\alpha$  and SHP interact *in vitro*. (A) L-[<sup>35</sup>S]methionine-labeled full-length PPAR $\alpha$  or (B) SHP synthesized *in vitro* were incubated with either GST-SHP (A, lane 3) or GST-PPAR $\alpha$  (B, lane 3), respectively, or GST alone (A and B, lane 2), as indicted. Bound radiolabeled protein was analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Lane 1 represents 10% of the labeled material added to each of the binding reactions.

Figure 6-2. Wy-14,643 does not affect the binding efficiency between PPAR $\alpha$  and SHP. (A) Combinations of L-[<sup>35</sup>S]methionine-labeled full-length PPAR $\alpha$  or SHP were coincubated with GST-SHP or GST-PPAR $\alpha$ , respectively, alone (*top and middle panels*; lanes 2 and 5) or in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M Wy-14,643 (Wy) (lanes 4 and 7) or an equivalent volume of vehicle (dimethylsulfoxide (D)) (lanes 3 and 6). Bound material was analyzed as in Figure 6-1. (B) The interaction of SHP and RXR $\alpha$  *in vitro* is enhanced in the presence of 9-*cis* retinoic acid. Binding reactions with L-[<sup>35</sup>S]methionine-labeled full-length RXR $\alpha$  and SHP incubated with GST-SHP and GST-RXR $\alpha$  respectively (*top and middle panels*) were analysed by SDS-PAGE. Where indicated, reactions contained 1  $\mu$ M 9-*cis* retinoic acid (RA) (lanes 4 and 7), or an equivalent volume of vehicle (dimethylsulfoxide (D)) (lanes 3 and 6). Lanes 2 and 5 represent binding reactions in the absence or vehicle or ligand. Control binding reactions were performed with PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (A and B; *bottom panels*). In all cases, lane 1 represents 10% of each radiolabeled receptor added to the binding reactions.

Α	10%	GST		GST-SHP			
	load	- D	Wy	-	D	Wy	
83 —	-						
62 —	-			-	-		<sup>35</sup> S-PPARa
47.5 —	-						
	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	
	10% Ioad	- C	ST Wy	G	ST-P	PARα Wy	
32.5 <b></b> 25 <b></b>							<sup>35</sup> S-SHP
	1	2	34	5	6	7	
62 <b>-</b>	10% ioad	- C	ST D Wy	GS	T-PP	PARα Wy	
47.5 =	_			4. 12			<sup>35</sup> S-RXRα
	1	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	



148

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

transcription from the AOx-PPRE in the absence and presence of the Wy-14,643, respectively. As increasing amounts of expression plasmid for SHP were cotransfected, there was a dose-dependent inhibition of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription with an approximately 80% reduction in PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  transactivity in the presence of 0.1 mM Wy-14,643. Interestingly, when a reporter plasmid harbouring three copies of the HD-PPRE was used in transient transfections, increasing amounts of expression plasmid for SHP potentiated PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription (Fig. 6-3, B). At the highest amounts of SHP expression plasmid used, ligand-dependent transcription was increased approximately 3-fold. These *in vivo* data illustrates that PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription can be modulated by SHP in a response element-specific manner.

## 6.3 Discussion

The effect of SHP on individual nuclear hormone receptors is dependent on several factors that may include the intrinsic activity of the nuclear hormone receptor itself, the relative expression levels of SHP, its potential heterodimeric partners, and their relative affinity for one another. Additionally, the presence of agonist or antagonist ligands may induce conformational changes in SHP, enabling it to recruit coactivators or corepressors, and thereby function as a transcriptional activator or repressor, respectively (Johansson *et al.*, 1999). A number of models have been proposed to explain transcriptional repression by SHP. These include inhibiting DNA binding by various receptor heterodimers by competition for the common heterodimeric partner  $RXR\alpha$  (Seol *et al.* 1996; Johansson *et al.*, 1999) and modulating the recruitment of coactivators and corepressors (Seol 1996;



Figure 6-3. SHP differentially modulates PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the AOx- and HD-PPREs. (A) BSC40 cells were transfected with the reporter plasmid pAOx(X2)*luc* and expression plasmids for PPAR $\alpha$  (0.5 µg), RXR $\alpha$  (0.5 µg), and increasing amounts of SHP (1x, 5x, or 10x) relative to the total amount of PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ expression plasmids. (B) Transfections were carried as in (A) but with the pHD(X3)*luc* reporter plasmid. Values represent the average (±SEM) of three separate experiments carried out in duplicate and normalized to the activity with PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  in the presence of Wy-14,643 (taken as 100%). Johansson *et al.*, 1999; Lee *et al.*, 2000). Recently, it has been demonstrated that SHP contains a putative carboxyl-terminal autonomous repression domain (Seol *et al.*, 1997; Johansson *et al.*, 1999) that functions to repress transactivation by a two-step mechanism. In this model, an initial inhibitory effect occurs from the loss of coactivator binding due to competition by SHP. Next, full inhibition is exerted by the SHP repressor domain by an as yet unknown mechanism (Lee *et al.*, 2000). Since repression has been demonstrated to be a general feature of SHP, this explanation may also account for its repressive effect on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription from the AOx-PPRE.

Typically, coactivators bind to the AF2 regions of nuclear receptors via LXXLL motifs. Johansson and colleagues (2000) have recently demonstrated that SHP can bind to estrogen receptors via LXXLL-related motifs. The existence of these similar motifs within the putative ligand binding domain of SHP suggests that SHP may mimic the interaction of some transcriptional coactivators. (Johansson *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, SHP may preclude classical coactivators from binding to ligand-activated nuclear hormone receptors and, in combination with the above cited two-step mechanism of repression, may explain the inhibitory activity of SHP. Conversely, given that SHP contains LXXLL-like motifs, SHP could also function as a novel coactivator molecule. This would partially explain SHP transactivity on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription from the HD-PPRE. Therefore, it appears that SHP shares the physical features of both a nuclear hormone receptor and a novel "coactivator-like repressor" that binds to the AF2 domain of nuclear hormone receptors. The dual modality of SHP may also result from allosteric effects exerted by the response element. The PPREs can induce a conformational change in

PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers that enable the heterodimer to either bind strong, positively acting coactivators or bind SHP alone or with cellular cofactors to potentiate transactivation. Alternatively, spatial constraints conferred by response elements may cause PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  to bind SHP, precluding the binding of coactivators causing transrepression.

In gel electromobility shift assays, SHP failed to bind either the AOx- or HD-PPRE alone or in combination with PPAR $\alpha$  or RXR $\alpha$ . SHP lacks a conventional DNA binding domain, likely accounting for its inability to bind to various response elements (Seol *et al.*, 1996). However, it may have the potential to do so *in vivo*. DAX-1, another orphan nuclear receptor that lacks a DNA binding domain, has been reported to bind a retinoic acid response element using a novel amino-terminal domain. This raises the possibility that SHP may do so similarly. Additionally, the lack of binding to PPREs *in vitro* also suggests that complex formation *in vivo* may be dependent on additional cellular cofactors that stabilize such a complex. Binding assays containing mammalian cell extracts expressing SHP, PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$  may provide insight into the formation of a SHPspecific complex *in vivo*.

## 6.4 Summary

Our results demonstrate that SHP can differentially modulate PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ mediated gene transcription from the AOx- and HD-PPREs. Given our limited analysis, a number of mechanisms can be proposed to account for the differential activity of SHP, including the role of coactivators and corepressors, as well as allosteric effects from the response elements themselves. Further studies are required to delineate the exact mechanism by which SHP acts as a transactivator or transrepressor. The potential of SHP to silence or redirect ligand signaling events presents a novel and unique mechanism of crosstalk between nuclear hormone receptors and places SHP structurally and functionally between nuclear receptors and their associated transcriptional cofactors.

**CHAPTER 7** 

Subtype- and Response Element-Dependant Differences in Transactivation by Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma^{\$}$ 

<sup>§</sup> A copy of this chapter has been published. Kassam, A., Hunter, J., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 141:153-162 (1998). Used with permission from Elsevier Science.

#### 7.1 Abstract

Evidence from transfection and DNA binding studies suggest that PPAR activity may be subtype- and PPRE-dependent (Marcus et al., 1993; Juge-Aubry et al., 1997). However, direct examination of PPAR subtype properties in mammalian cells is complicated by the presence of multiple endogenous nuclear receptors, cofactors and potentially activating ligands that may directly, or indirectly, modulate PPAR activity and DNA binding. Yeast offer a number of advantages, since they are devoid of endogenous nuclear receptors and nuclear receptor-specific auxiliary cofactors (Butt and Walfish, 1996). Furthermore, it has been previously shown that PPARa/RXRa activates transcription in a PPRE-dependent, ligand-independent manner in the yeast Saccharomyces *cerevisiae* (Marcus *et al.*, 1995). To investigate potential subtype- and response elementdependent differences in transcriptional activation by PPARs, plasmids containing cDNAs for PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2, along with RXR $\alpha$ , were expressed in S. cerevisiae in order to compare their ability to activate transcription of reporter genes containing a PPRE from either the rat acyl-CoA oxidase (AOx) or enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HD) gene. PPAR $\gamma$ 2 and RXR $\alpha$ , when coexpressed from low copy vectors, potently and synergistically activated transcription from the AOx-PPRE reporter gene, but only weakly stimulated transcription from the HD-PPRE reporter gene. This response element preference, which was also observed in mammalian cells, could not be attributed to differences in binding affinity of PPARy2/RXRa heterodimers to these elements in vitro. Interestingly, PPARy2 expressed from a high copy vector was able to strongly activate transcription from the HD-PPRE reporter gene, even in the absence of exogenous RXR $\alpha$ . In comparison to the findings with PPAR $\gamma$ 2, the HD-PPRE served as a significantly more robust response element for PPAR $\alpha$  as compared to the AOx-PPRE. PPRE-dependent transcriptional activation by PPAR $\alpha$  correlated with binding efficiencies of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to the response element. These findings demonstrate that the transactivation potential of PPAR subtypes can be differentially modulated by distinct PPREs.

#### 7.2 Results

## 7.2.1 Properties of PPARa and PPARy2 Expressed in Yeast

To examine PPAR subtype and PPRE-dependent specificities in yeast, we expressed PPAR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\gamma$ 2, and RXR $\alpha$ , from low copy *CEN* vectors and used *lacZ* reporter genes containing a single copy of either the HD- or AOx-PPRE. As summarized in Table 7-1, transformation of yeast with the HD-PPRE reporter gene and either PPAR $\alpha$  or RXR $\alpha$  expression plasmids had little effect on reporter gene activity. However, coexpression of both receptors led to a greater than100-fold induction in activity relative to the reporter gene transformed alone. The level of induction with PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  was observed to be only 4-fold with the AOx-PPRE reporter. In terms of specific activity, PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  generated a 2 to 3-fold greater specific activity on the HD-PPRE as compared to the AOx-PPRE (2.8 x 10<sup>2</sup> vs 1.2 x 10<sup>2</sup> U/mg protein, respectively). The larger difference in the relative fold induction compared to specific activity is due to the higher basal activity from the AOx-PPRE reporter gene. Similar results were obtained when receptors were expressed from high copy plasmids (Table 7-1, B). PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$
Table 7-1. (A) S. cerevisiae harbouring the  $\beta$ -galactosidase reporter genes and low copy plasmids expressing PPAR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\gamma$ 2, and/or RXR $\alpha$ , as indicated, were assayed for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. (B) Following page, transformation were carried out as in (A) using high copy plasmids. Units are given as the  $\Delta A_{420} \times 10^3$ /min. The values shown are the averages (±SEM) of at least three independent transformants which were assayed in duplicate.

A	Transcriptional Activation by PPARα and PPARγ2 Expressed
	in Yeast from Low Copy Vectors

Reporter	RXRα	PPARα	PPARy2	β-galactosidase (U/mg protein)	Induction Ratio
IAOx∆L1	- + - + -	- - + - -	- - - + +	$32 \pm 5.6 49 \pm 6.1 24 \pm 3.2 124 \pm 12.4 53 \pm 6.5 1206 \pm 120$	1 1.5 0.8 3.9 1.6 38
lHD∆L1	- + - + +	- - + - -	- - - + +	$2.4 \pm 0.3  4.4 \pm 1.2  2 \pm 0.07  277 \pm 17  17 \pm 0.4  33 \pm 4$	1 1.9 0.8 118 7.3 14

Reporter	RXRα	PPARα	PPARy2	β-galactosidase (U/mg protein)	Induction Ratio
1AOx∆L1	- + - + +	- - + - -	- - - + +	$48 \pm 3.4 89 \pm 16.1 59 \pm 7.1 810 \pm 93 92 \pm 6.5 885 \pm 70$	1 1.9 1.2 17 1.9 18.4
1HDΔL1	- + - + +	- - + - -	- - - + +	$8.5 \pm 0.8 \\ 53 \pm 5.2 \\ 47 \pm 3.5 \\ 2772 \pm 220 \\ 610 \pm 60 \\ 1060 \pm 84$	1 6.1 5.5 326 72 123

**B** Transcriptional Activation by PPARα and PPARγ2 Expressed in Yeast from High Copy Vectors

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

induced expression of the AOx-PPRE reporter gene 17-fold and of the HD-PPRE reporter gene greater than 300-fold (specific activity of 8 x  $10^2$  vs 2.7 x  $10^3$  U/mg protein, respectively). Therefore, while overall levels of activity were significantly higher when using high copy vectors, the response element preference of PPAR $\alpha$  was maintained.

In parallel experiments, PPAR $\gamma$ 2 and RXR $\alpha$  individually had no effect on the activity of the AOx-PPRE reporter gene, whereas cotransformation led to a synergistic 38-fold activation (Table 7-1). Thus PPAR $\gamma$ 2, like PPAR $\alpha$ , is a constitutive transcriptional activator in yeast. The absolute level of activity with PPAR $\gamma$ 2 on the AOx-PPRE was an order of magnitude greater than that observed with PPAR $\alpha$  (1.2 x 10<sup>3</sup> vs 1.2 x 10<sup>2</sup> U/mg protein, respectively). In contrast, PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  coexpression led to only a modest induction of the HD-PPRE reporter gene. Therefore, compared to the results with PPAR $\alpha$ , the AOx-PPRE serves as a much more efficient response element than does the HD-PPRE for PPAR $\gamma$ 2.

Activation of the AOx-PPRE reporter gene by PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$  expressed from high copy vectors was similar to that observed when low copy expression vectors were used (885 vs 1206 U/mg protein, respectively). However, coexpression of PPAR $\gamma 2$  and RXR $\alpha$  from high copy vectors led to greatly increased activity over those obtained with low copy vectors (1060 vs 33 U/mg protein, respectively). These findings indicate that PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$ , when expressed from low copy vectors, was limiting for activation from the AOx-PPRE reporter gene. Surprisingly, PPAR $\gamma 2$  was able to strongly induce expression of the HD-PPRE reporter gene in the absence of coexpressed RXR $\alpha$  (Table 7-1). This was particularly evident when PPAR $\gamma 2$  was expressed on a high copy vector (72fold induction over basal levels). RXR $\alpha$ -independent activity of PPAR $\gamma$ 2 was subtype and response-element-specific, since it was not observed with either the AOx-PPRE reporter gene or PPAR $\alpha$ . However, cooperative transactivation between PPAR $\gamma$ 2 and RXR $\alpha$  on the HD-PPRE was weak, as coexpression only modestly stimulated activity (1.5-fold) over that observed with PPAR $\gamma$ 2 alone.

Overall, these findings demonstrate that both PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2 are constitutive activators in yeast but that their respective activities can be differentially modulated by the nature of the target PPREs, availability of the heterodimerization partner RXR $\alpha$ , and levels of expression of the respective PPAR subtypes.

### 7.2.2 Response of PPARy2 in Yeast to Exogenously Added Ligand

It has been previously demonstrated that addition of potent exogenous activators of PPAR $\alpha$ , such as Wy-14,643 or ciprofibrate, does not further potentiate the activity of PPAR $\alpha$  in yeast, irrespective of the target PPRE or the presence of the RXR $\alpha$ -selective ligand, 9-*cis* retinoic acid (Marcus *et al.*, 1995; Henry *et al.*, 1995). The yeast expression assays were extended to determine if PPAR $\gamma$ 2 activation could be modulated by its highaffinity ligand, the prostaglandin metabolite 15d-PGJ2. As shown in Figure 7-1, addition of 15d-PGJ2 did not significantly increase transactivation by PPAR $\gamma$ 2 when either the HD-PPRE-*lacZ* reporter or the AOx-PPRE-*lacZ* reporter was used. Similarly, addition of 9*cis* retinoic acid alone and in combination with 15d-PGJ2 also failed to activate PPAR $\gamma$ 2. This lack of robust response to potent ligands in yeast is not uncommon and may be due to poor uptake of ligand or lack of coregulatory factors for full activation (Henry *et al.*,

Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

Figure 7-1. PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$ -mediated transactivation in yeast is not potentiated by the presence of ligand. Yeast transformed with 1AOx $\Delta$ L1 (A) or 1HD $\Delta$ L1 (B) reporter genes and low-copy expression plasmids for PPAR $\gamma 2$  and RXR $\alpha$  (or the corresponding empty vectors) were incubated with 15d-PGJ2 and/or 9-*cis* retinoic acid (RA), as indicated, and assayed for  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity. The values shown are averages from two independent assays done in duplicate. Values within individual experiments did not vary by more than 15%.



# 7.2.3 Comparison of Binding Properties Between PPAR and PPAR 2 on the AOxand HD-PPREs

EMSA was carried out to determine if the response element- and PPAR subtypedependent differences in transactivation reflected differences in protein/DNA binding affinities of the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. Yeast extracts prepared from cells expressing PPAR $\alpha$  or PPAR $\gamma$ 2 alone, or in combination with RXR $\alpha$ , were incubated with labeled AOx- or HD-PPRE oligonucleotide probes. PPAR $\gamma$ 2 bound cooperatively with RXR $\alpha$ , and with similar efficiencies, to the AOx- and HD-PPREs (Fig. 7-2, lane D). PPAR $\gamma$ 2 was unable to bind to either PPRE in the absence of RXR $\alpha$  (lane C). PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  also bound to both elements; however, binding appeared to be more efficient on the HD-PPRE (lane F). The PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  complex exhibited retarded mobility compared to the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  complex, likely due to the larger size of PPAR $\gamma$ 2. PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  apparently bound more avidly that PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to both PPREs (Fig. 7-2, compare lanes D and F, respectively).

EMSA was also carried out with *in vitro* translated receptors to quantitate the relative binding efficiencies of the PPAR subtypes to the AOx- and HD-PPREs. The specific activities of the PPRE probes did not differ by more than 10%, and PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2 receptor molecules were equalized in the binding reactions. PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  bound to the HD-PPRE with a 2 to 3-fold greater efficiency as compared to the AOx-PPRE (Fig. 7-3, A, *upper panel*; compare lanes 4-6 with lanes 10-12). PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$ 



Figure 7-2. PPAR $\gamma$ 2 expressed in yeast binds cooperatively with RXR $\alpha$  to the AOxand HD-PPREs. Electromobility shift assays were performed with extracts prepared from yeast individually expressing PPAR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\gamma$ 2, or RXR $\alpha$ ; or coexpressing PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ or PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$ , with radiolabeled oligonucleotide probes corresponding to the AOx-PPRE (*upper panel*) or the HD-PPRE (*lower panel*). Additions are indicated at the bottom of the figure. The positions of the PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  protein/DNA complexes are indicated by the arrows. The first lane in each panel represents a control in which the respective probe was incubated with extract prepared from yeast transformed with empty vectors.

Figure 7-3.\* Comparison of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  DNA-binding activities on the HD- and AOx-PPREs. (A) A constant amount of *in vitro* translated RXR $\alpha$  was incubated with increasing amounts of PPAR $\alpha$  (upper panel) or PPAR $\gamma$ 2 (lower panel) (1:1, 1:2, and 1:4 molar ratios, respectively) with either labeled AOx-PPRE probe or HD-PPRE probe, as indicated, and EMSA was performed. Lanes 1 and 7 represent binding reactions carried out with unprogrammed lysates. Quantitation of PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  protein/DNA complexes, as determined by phosphorimager analysis, is shown on the right. The values given are arbitrary units normalized to the amount of the respective PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  complex formed on the AOx-PPRE (at a PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  molar ratio of 1:1), which was taken as one. (B) Competition analysis of PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  protein/DNA complexes. Labeled AOx- and HD-PPRE oligonucleotides were incubated with PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  or PPAR $\gamma$ /RXR $\alpha$  (1:1 molar ratio) in the absence of competitor DNA (lane 1) or the presence of 10-, 50-, or 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled nonspecific DNA (lanes 2-4), AOx-PPRE DNA (lanes 5-7), or HD-PPRE DNA (lanes 8-10), as indicated. Protein/DNA complexes were resolved by EMSA and quantitated as above.

\* The data for this figure were contributed by John Hunter.

Α



bound modestly more efficiently (approximately 25%) to the HD-PPRE than to the AOx-PPRE (Fig. 7-3, A, *lower panel*; compare lanes 4-6 with lanes 10-12). Finally, PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  bound more efficiently than PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  to both the AOx- and HD-PPREs (Fig. 7-3; compare lower panel to upper panel).

Competition experiments were performed with increasing concentrations of unlabeled nonspecific and specific double-stranded oligonucleotides to further investigate protein/DNA complex stability (Fig. 7-3, B). HD-PPRE DNA was a more effective competitor than AOx-PPRE DNA, irrespective of the labeled probe used or whether the protein/DNA complexes were generated with PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  or PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  (lanes 5-7). In general, 2 to 5 times more unlabeled AOx competitor DNA than HD competitor DNA was required to achieve the same degree of inhibition. Moreover, complexes formed on the AOx-PPRE were more sensitive to disruption by nonspecific DNA, suggesting that complexes formed on the AOx-PPRE were less stable (Fig. 7-3, B, compare lanes 1-4).

### 7.2.4 PPAR and PPAR y2 Activity in Mammalian Cells

PPAR activity in mammalian cells was measured by transient transfection assays performed in BSC40 cells. PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$  coexpression led to a 6-fold induction of activity of pAOx(X2)GL as compared to control transfections containing only the pAOx(X2)GL reporter plasmid (Fig. 7-4, A). Activity of pAOx(X2)GL was further augmented by the addition of the PPAR $\gamma$ -specific ligand, 15d-PGJ2, resulting in a 3-fold induction in activity compared to the corresponding control with vehicle alone. The induction in reporter gene activity observed in the absence of transfected receptors is



Figure 7-4\*. PPAR $\gamma$ 2 differentially activates transcription from the AOx-PPRE and HD-PPRE reporter genes in mammalian cells. BSC40 cells were cotransfected with the indicated luciferase reporter genes along with the expression plasmids for PPAR $\gamma$ 2 and RXR $\alpha$  (A) or PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (B) in the presence, or absence, of 15d-PGJ2 or Wy-14,643, as indicated. Luciferase activity was measured 48 h post-transfection. The values shown are averages (±SEM) from three independent transfections carried out in duplicate and normalized to the values obtained with the corresponding reporter plasmid alone in the absence of ligand (taken as 1).

\* The data for this figure were contributed by John Hunter.

PPRE-dependent and likely results from the presence of endogenous PPAR in mammalian cells (Tontonoz *et al.*, 1994). pHD(X2)GL was also induced by PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$ , both in the presence, or absence, of 15d-PGJ2; however, the level of induction and absolute activity were less than that observed with pAOx(X2)GL (Fig. 7-4, A). For comparison, experiments were carried out with PPAR $\alpha$  and the peroxisome proliferator Wy-14,643, a potent activator of the PPAR $\alpha$  subtype (Fig. 7-4, B). In the absence of proliferator, PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated induction was comparable with both response elements (10-fold over control levels). The addition of Wy-14,643 resulted in a further 2-fold stimulation of activity from the AOx-PPRE and a slightly higher, but reproducible, 2.5-fold stimulation from the HD-PPRE, when compared to the activity of the reporter gene alone in the presence of proliferator.

To exclude promoter context-dependent effects, transfections were conducted with the minimal promoter from the carbamoyl phosphate synthetase gene. As shown in Figure 7-4, A, PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$  in the presence of 15d-PGJ2 induced expression of pAOx(X2)*luc* 2.5-fold over the reporter gene construct alone, consistent with results obtained with pAOx(X2)GL. In contrast, PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$  was unable to induce expression of pHD(X3)*luc* expression over background levels, even though this reporter construct contained three copies of the HD-PPRE. Activities of both the pHD(X3)*luc* and the pAOx(X2)*luc* reporter gene constructs were efficiently induced by PPAR $\alpha/RXR\alpha$  (Fig. 7-4, B), consistent with previous findings (Marcus *et al.*, 1993). The higher activity observed with pHD(X3)*luc* compared to pAOx(X2)*luc* is probably due, in part, to the fact that the former construct contains three copies of a PPRE, while the latter construct only

170

contains two copies of a PPRE. These findings demonstrate a PPAR $\gamma$ 2 subtypedependent preference for the AOx-PPRE versus the HD-PPRE in mammalian cells, as observed in yeast. In comparison, PPAR $\alpha$ , which showed a strong preference for the HD-PPRE in yeast, was able to efficiently activate transcription via both the HD-PPRE and the AOx-PPRE in mammalian cells.

### 7.4 Discussion

PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , expressed from either high or low copy expressions vectors, activated transcription from the HD-PPRE more greatly than from the AOx-PPRE. The response element preference observed in yeast was not as dramatic as in mammalian cells, where we found that both the AOx- and HD-PPRE reporter genes were activated to comparable levels by both PPAR subtypes. It is possible that in transient transfection assays in mammalian cells, PPAR $\alpha$  is expressed at levels sufficient for efficient activation of both elements. Alternatively, strong activation of the AOx-PPRE by PPAR $\alpha$  in mammalian cells may be due to the presence of positively acting cofactors not present in yeast. A potential candidate is the coactivator, SRC-1, which has been recently shown to bind to and stimulate transactivation by PPAR $\alpha$  on the AOx-PPRE (Zhu *et al.*, 1996; DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997).

Response-element activation with PPAR $\alpha$  in yeast correlated with the *in vitro* DNA binding efficiencies of the PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer, thereby providing a mechanistic basis for the observed differences in transactivation levels from the HD- and AOx-PPREs. Stronger activation from the AOx-PPRE by PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  was also

reflected in greater binding of PPAR $\gamma 2/RXR\alpha$  than of PPAR $\alpha/RXR\alpha$  to the AOx-PPRE. These findings are in agreement with those showing that PPARs can bind PPREs with different affinities, depending on the sequence of the PPRE and the receptor subtype (Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997).

The data presented herein demonstrates for the first time that PPAR $\gamma 2$  functions as a constitutive transcriptional activator in yeast. Activity was not further augmented by the addition of the PPARy-specific ligand 15d-PGJ2 and/or the RXRa-selective ligand 9cis retinoic acid. The lack of response of nuclear hormone receptors to exogenously added activating ligands in yeast is not uncommon and could be due to, for example, poor uptake of ligand or lack of regulatory components in yeast (Henry et al., 1995; Butt and Walfish, 1996). In this respect, it has been shown that the nuclear hormone receptor-interacting protein, GRIP-1, can restore or augment the ligand-responsiveness of some nuclear hormone receptors in yeast (Walfish et al., 1997). PPARy2 displayed several properties distinct from those of PPAR $\alpha$ . The AOx-PPRE served as a more robust response element for activation by PPARy2 than did the HD-PPRE, particularly when low copy expression vectors were used. This response element difference was also observed in mammalian cells, where PPARy2-mediated activation was more efficient with reporter genes containing the AOx-PPRE rather than the HD-PPRE. In contrast to what was observed with PPAR $\alpha$ , the difference in response element-dependent transactivation potential of PPAR $\gamma$ 2 did not correlate with intrinsic differences in the ability of PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$ heterodimers to bind to these elements in vitro. Indeed, PPARy2/RXRa heterodimers bound modestly better to the HD-PPRE than to the AOx-PPRE (Fig. 7-3). These

observations suggest that differences in binding affinity cannot by themselves account for the response element differences in transactivation observed in vivo. Interestingly, expression of PPARy2/RXRa from high copy vectors did not further stimulate activation from the AOx-PPRE but dramatically increased activation from the HD-PPRE. This suggests that weak activation of the HD-PPRE reporter gene can be compensated by increased levels of PPARy2, and that threshold levels for efficient activation of the two PPREs in yeast are different. This result contrasts with what is observed with PPARa, where increased activation of both reporter genes by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  directly correlated with increased expression of the receptors. These findings indicate that response elementspecific activation patterns can be differentially affected by PPAR abundance in a subtypedependent manner. Surprisingly, PPARy2 was capable of activating transcription from the HD-PPRE to some extent in the absence of RXR $\alpha$ . The basis for this activation is unknown, but the activation was specific, since it was not observed with the AOx-PPRE reporter gene or with PPAR $\alpha$ . It may be that PPAR $\gamma$ 2 can bind to the HD-PPRE independently of RXRa and activate transcription in yeast, or that some yeast factor substitutes for RXR $\alpha$  to allow complex formation. However, the second possibility seems unlikely, since PPARy2 in yeast extracts was unable to bind PPREs in the absence of  $RXR\alpha$ . The mechanism by which PPARs transactivate in yeast are unknown, and it may be possible that the observed RXR $\alpha$ -independent activation observed with PPAR $\gamma$ 2 is peculiar to this organism.

Another distinctive feature of PPAR $\gamma$ 2 is related to its cooperative interaction with RXR $\alpha$ . As expected, RXR $\alpha$  cooperated with PPAR $\alpha$  to synergistically activate

transcription from both the AOx- and HD-PPREs, and with PPAR $\gamma$ 2 from the AOx-PPRE. However, with the HD-PPRE, coexpression of RXR $\alpha$  increased activation less than 2-fold, as compared to PPAR $\gamma$ 2 alone. This contrasts with a 10 to 20-fold increase in transcription observed with the AOx-PPRE reporter gene when PPAR $\gamma$ 2 and RXR $\alpha$ were coexpressed. Thus, while PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers bind efficiently and cooperatively to both the AOx- and HD-PPREs, much stronger cooperative transactivation is observed on the AOx-PPRE. It is possible that heterodimeric partners are more effective in promoting transactivation via the HD-PPRE. Recent findings have shown that the RXR $\gamma$  isoform is a more effective heterodimeric partner for binding PPAR $\gamma$  to the HD-PPRE, whereas RXR $\alpha$  is more efficient for binding to the AOx-PPRE (Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997).

#### 7.5 Summary

The underlying mechanisms that govern response-element and subtype-dependent differences in PPAR activity in mammalian cells are unknown, but likely relate in part to differences in PPREs. The AOx-PPRE is a simple DR1 array, while the HD-PPRE is more complex, consisting of two DR1 arrays that overlap to form a DR2 repeat (Chu *et al.*, 1995; Horwitz *et al.*, 1996). These structural differences may dictate protein/DNA complex formation and stability, interactions with multiple cofactors and, perhaps, promote conformational changes in bound receptors. For example, evidence indicates that is some cases, recruitment of cofactors to receptors may be governed by allosteric effects that are manifested in a response element- and receptor-dependent manner (DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997).

Thus, the transactivation potential of a given PPAR/RXR heterodimer may be related to PPRE-dependent conformational changes that mask, or unmask, functional domains. In this respect, PPAR $\gamma$ 2 has been shown to efficiently interact with the corepressors NCoR and SMRT in solution, but not when bound to the AOx-PPRE as a heterodimeric complex with RXR $\alpha$  (DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997; Zamir *et al.*, 1997).

The results presented herein demonstrate PPRE- and receptor subtype-dependent differences in the capacity of two members of the PPAR subfamily to activate transcription. In some cases, transactivation potential is governed by PPAR abundance and/or differing affinity for particular response elements. However, as seen with PPAR $\gamma$ 2, additional parameters appear to enable PPAR subtypes to differentially utilize diverse PPREs.

**CHAPTER 8** 

**General Discussion** 

176

### 8.1 General Discussion

The nuclear hormone receptor superfamily is the largest group of eucaryotic transcription factors that regulate development and metabolism through the control of gene expression (Weatherman et al., 1999). PPARs are ligand-activated transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in a plethora of metabolic processes including lipid and glucose metabolism, adipogenesis, and inflammation. This subfamily of nuclear hormone receptors has far-reaching implications for a variety of pathophysiological disease states such as obesity, diabetes and atherosclerosis. Interestingly, obesity is a major risk factor for insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease and is increasing in prevalence in society (Bray et al., 2000). In the United States alone, one-third of the population is now obese (Greenway et al., 1999). These disease states are invariably related to dysfunctional lipid metabolism and homeostasis. Since PPARs are critical sensors of fatty acids level and implicated in peroxisomal fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation, they are excellent candidate drug targets. The fibrate family of drugs, the insulinsensitizing thiazolidinediones, and the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are examples of therapeutics that are currently used to circumvent lipid-related disease. A comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in PPAR-mediated gene expression and their putative ligands is required for the continued discovery of effective pharmacological agents for the treatment of human disease stemming from dysfunctional lipid metabolism.

This thesis presents new findings related to PPAR activity with respect to the expression of the genes encoding the first two enzymes of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation, acyl-

177

CoA oxidase (AOx) and enoyl-CoA hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (HD). In particular, this thesis expands on the general concepts of transcriptional regulation by illustrating the effects of the TR $\alpha$ , RevErb $\alpha$ , CAR $\beta$ , and SHP nuclear hormone receptors on PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription from the AOx- and HD-PPREs. Furthermore, a comparison of the transactivation potential of two PPAR subtypes,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , has also been demonstrated from both PPREs.

# 8.2 Convergence of the Thyroid Hormone and Peroxisome Proliferator Signaling Pathways

Peroxisome proliferators and tri-iodothyronine (T3) are important regulators of genes involved in a variety of cellular processes including lipid metabolism, adipocyte differentiation, and energy balance (Chu *et al.*, 1995; Xiong *et al.*, 1998). Both peroxisome proliferators and T3 have been found to act either synergistically or in opposing manners on specific genes involved in lipid metabolism, by acting through a receptor-based mechanism involving members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, namely PPAR $\alpha$  and TR $\alpha$ .

Chapter 3 provides evidence for the modulatory role of TR $\alpha$  on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ mediated gene transcription from the AOx-PPRE. We have demonstrated that TR $\alpha$  binds to the AOx-PPRE as a monomer and as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$ . Furthermore, in transient transfections, TR $\alpha$  augmented PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation from the AOx-PPRE in the presence and absence of the peroxisome proliferator, Wy-14,643. In contrast, TR $\alpha$  dramatically repressed transactivation by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  in the presence of T3. Chu *et al.* (1995) carried out similar studies and demonstrated that PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -

mediated transcription of the genes encoding AOx and HD was repressed by T3. However, in contrast to our observations, they illustrated that  $TR\alpha$  represed PPARα/RXRα transactivation from both the AOx- and HD-PPREs in the presence of peroxisome proliferators. Miyamoto et al. (1997) also published results similar to those of Chu and colleagues, but suggested that T3 had no effect on repression. The discrepancy between these findings and our findings presented herein can be explained, in part, by inherent differences between the cell types used in transient transfections. We used a BSC40 African green monkey kidney epithelial cell line, whereas others have used the African green monkey CV-1 kidney fibroblast and the CV-1-derived SV40 transformed COS-1 cell line. The rat hepatoma epithelial H4IIEC3 cell lines have also been used. It is conceivable that cell-specific factors such as endogenous nuclear hormone receptors, coactivators, and corepressors differ between these cell lines. A careful examination of endogenous cofactors in these cell lines would shed light on the observed differences, especially with respect to RXRa levels. We and others (Chu et al., 1995; Juge-Aubry, 1995) argue that the modulatory activity of TR $\alpha$  on PPAR $\alpha$  signaling arises from the squelching of RXRa. Therefore, if competition at the level of limiting amounts of RXRa protein determine whether TR $\alpha$  will act positively or negatively, it may be that BSC40 cells contain higher amounts of endogenous RXRa relative to the other cell lines, overcoming any potential inhibitory effects that would otherwise be the case if  $RXR\alpha$  were limiting.

Another mechanism by which TR $\alpha$  exerts its effects is by competition for binding to the PPRE. TR $\alpha$  can function as a monomer, homodimer or heterodimer (Desvergne,

1994; Ikeda et al., 1994; Tsai and O'Malley, 1994). We observed that TRa bound to the AOx-PPRE as a monomer and as a heterodimer with RXRa. It has been argued that since a mutation in the DNA binding domain of TR $\alpha$  abrogates the inhibitory action of TR $\alpha$  on transcription from the AOx-PPRE, competition for DNA binding is a requisite for TR $\alpha$ mediated repression of transcription (Miyamoto *et al.*, 1997). While this may be plausible, it was noted that some inhibition of transcription still occurred with the DNA binding mutant, which may have formed nonbinding heterodimers with PPARa in vivo (Miyamoto et al., 1997). Indeed, PPAR/TR heterodimers have been reported to form in solution (Bogazzi et al., 1994). This may account for the reduced PPARα/RXRα binding to the AOx-PPRE we observed *in vitro*, and could explain the repressive effects of TR $\alpha$  *in vivo* in conditions of limiting RXR $\alpha$ . It would be interesting to see how the TR $\alpha$  DNA binding mutant functions in our mammalian expression studies to see if a decrease in activity is similarly observed. Nagaya and coworkers (1992) have suggested that T3 reduces the formation of TRa homodimers, while increasing the formation of TRa/RXRa heterodimers. We observed potent inhibition of PPARa/RXRa-mediated transactivation by TR $\alpha$  in the presence of T3, supporting our hypothesis that sequestration of RXR $\alpha$  by TR $\alpha$  causes transcriptional repression. Indeed, sequestration of RXR $\alpha$  has also been implicated in inhibition of PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated transcription by RARs (Imakado et al., 1995).

Transcriptional repression can also result from the sequestration of other cellular cofactors such as the SMRT, NCoR, and SUNCoR corepressors. In the absence of ligand, TRs act as potent transcriptional silencers (Baniahmad *et al.*, 1993; Burcin *et al.*, 1994;

Nawaz et al., 1995; Zhang et al., 1999) through their interaction with corepressor molecules (Baniahmad et al., 1995; Chen and Evans, 1995; Sande and Privalsky, 1996). In this manner, TR $\alpha$  may sequester corepressors that compete for binding to PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  (Chen and Evans, 1995; Kurokawa et al., 1995; Lee et al., 1995). In doing so, the trapping of corepressors by TR $\alpha$  may concomitantly promote the association of liganded PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers with coactivators, generating an overall net increase in transactivation by PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ . Transient transfection studies coexpressing coactivator and corepressor proteins may provide additional insight into the modulatory effects observed. If such is the case, point mutations in TR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$  that abrogate coactivator and corepressor interactions would establish the *in vivo* dependency of these interactions for the modulatory role of TR $\alpha$  on PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription.

It has been recently suggested that the H12  $\alpha$ -helix of RXR $\alpha$  may be critical in TRmediated transcriptional repression (Zhang *et al.*, 1999). It has been proposed that the H12  $\alpha$ -helix imposes steric hindrance on the RXR $\alpha$  conformation, concealing its corepressor interaction surface. However, docking of TRs with RXR $\alpha$  reorients the H12  $\alpha$ -helix, resulting in a conformational state that exposes the interaction surfaces, enabling RXR $\alpha$  (and TRs) to bind corepressor molecules. Considering this, it is conceivable that titration of RXR $\alpha$  by TR $\alpha$  increases the association with corepressors, resulting in a larger pool of active PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers that can potentiate transcription from the AOx-PPRE.

Ligand-dependent receptor activity is also differentially modulated by the number

of copies of the response element contained in a reporter construct. Olson *et al.* (1997) have shown that TRs act as transcriptional repressors with reporter constructs containing a single copy of a DR4 element, but function as activators from response elements with three copies. Our *in vivo* studies used a synthetic reporter construct containing two copies of the AOx-PPRE in tandem. In this context, it may be that TR $\alpha$  homodimers or TR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers co-occupy the AOx-PPRE simultaneously and synergistically enhance transactivation *in vivo*. Studies conducted on natural promoter elements may be better suited for understanding the true *in vivo* mechanisms of action of nuclear hormone receptors.

It is clear that crosstalk between TR $\alpha$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$  receptor pathways is a complex mechanism governed by many regulatory parameters. A closer examination of the roles played by factors such as SMRT, NCoR, SUNCoR, SRC-1, CBP/p300 as well as the DRIP/TRAP complexes will be of interest in determining the requirements of such cofactors in regulating transcription from the AOx-PPRE. Indeed, there are a number of receptor-specific cofactors utilized by TR $\alpha$  such as TRAP, Trip1, SUNCoR (Lee *et al.*, 1995; Tong, *et al.*, 1996; Zamir *et al.*, 1997) and by PPAR $\alpha$  such as PRIP and PBP (Gelman *et al.*, 1999; Zhu *et al.*, 2000). The role these and other cofactors play will no doubt influence the transcriptional activity of these nuclear receptors. The presence of endogenous receptors in mammalian cells can complicate the analysis of gene transcription by nuclear hormone receptors (McDonell *et al.*, 1989; 1991; Marcus *et al.*, 1996; Butt and Walfish, 1996), would provide an excellent model system in which to carry out parallel studies examining PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription from the AOx-PPRE.

#### 8.3 Signaling Crosstalk Between PPARa and RevErba

RevErba is a liver-expressed orphan nuclear receptor that is part of a novel subclass of receptors that bind to target response elements as monomers (Scearce *et al.*, 1993; Carlberg *et al.*, 1994; Giguère *et al.*, 1994; Retnakaran *et al.*, 1994; Harding and Lazar, 1995; Adelmant *et al.*, 1996). Monomeric RevErba binds to consensus half-sites that are conserved in the HD-PPRE. We have demonstrated that RevErba binds to the second half-site (site II) of the HD-PPRE *in vitro* and functions to antagonize PPARa/RXRa-mediated gene transcription *in vivo*. Interestingly, site II has been previously demonstrated to be important for the binding of other nuclear hormone receptors such as HNF-4 (Winrow *et al.*, 1994), COUP-TF (Miyata *et al.*, 1993), RZRa (Winrow *et al.*, 1998), and CAR $\beta$  (Chapter 5). Unlike the AOx-PPRE, the HD-PPRE is a complex response element comprised of two tandem DR1 arrays separated by two nucleotides, resulting in the formation of a juxtaposed DR2. Essentially, the HD-PPRE houses four hexameric direct repeats making it amenable to the binding of multiple nuclear hormone receptors and enabling crosstalk among various signaling pathways.

It has been proposed that PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  cooperatively binds to both DR1 elements in vivo (Chu et al., 1995), yielding maximum transactivation from the HD-PPRE. Although we do not observe this interaction in our *in vitro* binding assays, this dual complex may still form *in vivo*, perhaps stabilized through the association of cellular coactivators such as SRC-1, CBP/p300, and PBP (Hörlein et al., 1995; Chen and Evans, 1995; DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997; Dowell *et al.*, 1997). This would allow RevErb $\alpha$  to displace the potential PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers from the proximal DR1 site, thereby causing repression.

Besides causing repression via the HD-PPRE, RevErb $\alpha$  has also been shown to repress transcription from the PPRE of the rat apolipoprotein A-1 gene in response to fibrates. Interestingly, fibrates also induce the expression of RevErba through PPARa activation from a RevErba DR2 element in the promoter of the human RevErba gene (Gervois et al., 1999). However, in a negative feedback mechanism, RevErba subsequently serves to autoregulate its expression by competing for binding to the same RevErba DR2 element (Adelmant et al., 1996; Vu-Dac et al., 1998; Gervois et al., 1999). Mutations in the RevErb $\alpha$  DR2 site abolishes both PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation and RevErb $\alpha$ -mediated transrepression. These studies support our view that crosstalk between RevErb $\alpha$  and PPAR $\alpha$  occurs at the level of DNA binding, whereby PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and RevErba monomers compete for binding to the HD-PPRE. This is further substantiated by the lack of transrepression by RevErba from the AOx-PPRE, to which RevErba failed to bind in vitro. Therefore, DNA binding may define a general mechanism of transrepression of putative targets by RevErb $\alpha$ . For example, since RevErb $\alpha$  expression is increased during adipocyte differentiation (Chawla and Lazar, 1993), it is possible that during this highly sequential and ordered event,  $\text{RevErb}\alpha$  may downregulate itself and other adipocyte-specific genes such as the leptin gene or even the PPARy gene. Moreover, since RevErb $\alpha$  expression is controlled by fibrates and represses HD and apolipoprotein A-1 gene expression, RevErb $\alpha$  may play a critical role in overall lipid

184

metabolism and potentially contribute to the onset of atherosclerosis (Gervois *et al.*, 1999). Therefore, it is essential to determine if RevErb $\alpha$  plays a general role as a negative modulator in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism by identifying other target genes that may be regulated by this receptor, or if expression of the RevErb $\alpha$  gene itself is disregulated. Gene chip technology can readily aid in this pursuit by comparing global gene expression patterns in normal and peroxisome proliferator-induced states.

Incidently, the mechanism of RevErbα-mediated transcriptional repression may also arise from interactions with coactivators and corepressors. RevErbα lacks a conserved AF2 domain which is normally used for interactions with coactivators. Its absence would suggest the inability of RevErbα to bind coactivators, illustrating a potential mechanism by which it acts as a constitutive transcriptional repressor. However, no studies have been conducted demonstrating a lack of interaction with coactivators. The lack of a physical AF2 domain does not preclude the possibility that RevErbα contains novel activation domains (non-AF2) that can mediate interactions with coactivators. RevErbα may use its amino-terminal AF1 domain in a manner similar to estrogen receptor  $\beta$  and steroid factor 1 to interact with coactivators (Tremblay *et al.* 1999; Hammer *et al.*, 1999).

As mentioned earlier, transrepression can be mediated by corepressors. It may be that RevErb $\alpha$  has a strong affinity for corepressor molecules such as NCoR and SUNCoR which may circumvent the effects of ligand-activated PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers *in vivo* (Zamir *et al.*, 1996). Similarly, recruitment of corepressors by RevErb may form a large, multimeric complex on the HD-PPRE, causing spatial interference that displaces PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers from the HD-PPRE or prevents their access to the HD- PPRE. SUNCOR interacts with NCOR and SMRT *in vitro* (Zamir *et al.*, 1997), which may act to stabilize a RevErb $\alpha$  repression complex with additional proteins such as Sin3A and HDAC-1. Although both NCoR and SUNCOR have been demonstrated to interact with RevErb $\alpha$ , this interaction has been shown to occur when RevErb $\alpha$  is bound to a RevErb $\alpha$ DR2 site. It would be useful to verify this interaction by monomeric RevErb $\alpha$  as well. Yeast two-hybrid screens and two dimensional gel electrophoresis can be useful to isolate and identify associated cofactors that contribute towards the repressive activity of RevErb $\alpha$ .

Our results suggest that the HD gene serves as a specific target for RevErb $\alpha$ , which acts as a negative regulator of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ -mediated transactivation.

## 8.4 Integration of CAR $\beta$ , PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$ Signaling Pathways

The orphan nuclear receptor CAR $\beta$  binds DNA as a heterodimer with RXR $\alpha$  and activates gene transcription from a subset of retinoic acid response elements (RARE) in a constitutive manner (Baes *et al.*, 1994; Choi *et al.*, 1997; Forman *et al.*, 1998). Similarly, we have demonstrated that CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers occupy the DR2 motif of the HD-PPRE and stimulate transcription from this PPRE in a ligand-independent manner. CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  has also been shown to bind to and transactivate from the steroid/rifampicin-responsive ER6 element of human *CYP3A4* and the phenobarbital-inducible DR4 motif of the phenobarbital response element module (PBREM) located in the promoter of the *CYP2B* gene (Honkakoski and Negishi, 1998; Sueyoshi *et al.*, 1999). This display of binding promiscuity is typical of many nuclear hormone receptors. It is

well known that binding specificity is influenced by the sequence, spacing and orientation of half-sites on a response element (Umesono and Evans, 1989; Umesono *et al.*, 1991; Lefstin and Yamamoto, 1998). In the context of PPREs, it may be that DR2 elements provide the minimum spatial distance for the efficient binding of CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ heterodimers, since they failed to form a complex on the DR1 element of the AOx-PPRE. However, the binding polarity of a heterodimeric complex may also influence the binding sensitivity to a given response element. For heterodimers of RXR $\alpha$ , RXR $\alpha$  is routinely observed to bind to the 3' TGACCT half-site (Kurokawa *et al.*, 1994; Rastinejad *et al.*, 1995). Consistent with this, we have inferred from our *in vitro* data that RXR $\alpha$  occupies the distal half site of the juxtaposed DR2 motif of the HD-PPRE, while CAR $\beta$  occupies the 5' TGACCT motif.

Differentially spaced half-sites can also produce distinct transcriptional responses from nuclear hormone receptors (Lefstin and Yamamoto, 1998). For example, RAR/RXR heterodimers activate transcription from DR5 elements in a ligand-dependent manner, but fails to do so from DR1 elements. This has been attributed to the inability to release the NCoR repressor protein (Kurokawa *et al.*, 1995). One can envision an analogous, albeit inverse mechanism, for ligand-dependent CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. The steroid androstanol ligands have been shown to potently deactivate the constitutive activity of CAR $\beta$  by dissociating the SRC-1 coactivator (Forman *et al.*, 1998; Sueyoshi *et al.*, 1999). We observed only a modest reduction of ligand-independent activity by CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$ heterodimers in the presence of androstanol. This observation has led us to hypothesize that allosteric constraints arising from the HD-PPRE DR2 element induces a conformational state with CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  that makes the release of SRC-1 inefficient or, conversely, prevents the exposure of an interaction surface for the recruitment of corepressors. Additionally, endogenous levels of nuclear hormone receptors, cofactors or other cell-specific proteins may have contributed to the diminished effects of the androstanol ligands in the BSC40 cell line, since the same effects were seen for transactivation from the  $\beta$ -RARE, *in vivo*. As part of this explanation, we suggest that endogenous levels of active PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers overcome the effects of androstanol deactivation by promoting transcription from the HD-PPRE and  $\beta$ -RARE.

In the presence of PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$ , CAR $\beta$  had no effect on transcription from the HD-PPRE, whereas it antagonized transactivation from the AOx-PPRE. Because CAR $\beta$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers form a complex on the HD-PPRE but not on the AOx-PPRE, we suggest CAR $\beta$  sequesters RXR $\alpha$ , decreasing the amount of transcriptionally active PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers from the AOx-PPRE. As previously discussed, sequestration may also involve other cellular cofactors as well. This can be verified by conducting coimmunoprecipitation studies with CAR $\beta$  to see if various transcriptional components are shared with PPAR $\alpha$  and/or RXR $\alpha$ .

Recently, the phenobarbital-like compound 1,4-bis[2-(3,5-dichloropyridyloxy)] benzene (TCPOBOP) has been demonstrated to be a CAR $\beta$  ligand which, in contrast to androstanol, potentiates CAR $\beta$  transactivity (Sueyoshi *et al.*, 1999; Tzameli *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, TCPOBOP can overcome the inhibitory effects of androstanol ligands, suggesting it acts as a potent, competitive ligand for CAR $\beta$  *in vivo*. The fact that a xenobiotic compound can activate CAR $\beta$  suggests that other members of the peroxisome

proliferator class of xenobiotic compounds may also serve as putative ligands for CAR<sub>β</sub>. Current research shows that xenobiotics induce the transcription of the CYP2B, CYP3A, and CYP4A genes by CAR $\beta$ , PXR, and PPAR $\alpha$ , respectively (Lee et al., 1995; Huss et al., 1996; Johnson et al., 1996; Honkakoski and Negishi, 1998; Kliewer et al., 1998; Suevoshi et al., 1999; Strom et al., 1996). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate whether CAR $\beta$  is integrated with other nuclear hormone receptor signaling pathways, most notably with PPAR $\alpha$ , in response to similar classes of ligands. Secondly, the induction of the cytochrome P450 series of genes implies that both CAR $\beta$  and PPAR $\alpha$  may coinduce the metabolism of steroids, lipids, fatty acids and other xenobiotics to produce potential ligands not only for each other, but for other nuclear hormone receptors (Sueyoshi et al., 1999). As an example, the pesticide methoxychlor, which is converted to an estrogenbased compound by the CYP2B enzyme (Bulger et al., 1985), also activates CAR $\beta$  and CYP2B expression (Sueyoshi et al., 1999). Therefore, CAR $\beta$  could be involved in increasing the availability of putative ligands for estrogen receptors. An in-depth screen of gene activation using a comprehensive chemical library provides a means of correlating gene expression patterns with receptor activation and deactivation. This may also prove useful in the design of pharmacologics that lack receptor cross-reactivity and decreased drug-drug interactions.

Recently, Moore and coworkers (2000) have compared the effects of various xenobiotics on CAR $\beta$ -mediated CYP expression. Using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) ligand-sensing assays (Parks *et al.*, 1999) to measure CAR $\beta$ /SRC-1 interactions, as well as radioligand binding competition assays, they established that

and  $5\beta$ -pregnane-3,20-dione (a PXR ligand), and the antimycotic agent clotrimazole, all bind CAR $\beta$  (Moore et al., 2000). Nevertheless, ligands such as and rostanol and 5 $\beta$ -pregnane-3,20-dione may not be physiogically relevant, since superphysiological concentrations are required to mediate their effects. This raises the possibility that bona fide steroid ligands remain to be found, including intermediate metabolites formed by one of many CYP enzymes (Waxman, 1999). Moreover, Moore et al. (2000) have also demonstrated species-specific responses to various xenobiotics with the human and mouse CAR $\beta$  isoforms. These may be the result of post-translational modifications, differences in spatial expression patterns, or both. Indeed, phosphorylation has been shown to result in the targeting of nuclear hormone receptors, including CAR $\beta$ , from the cytosol to the nucleus (Kawamoto et al., 1999). Other possibilities may still account for species- and ligand-specific responses, meriting the continued analysis of the molecular mechanism of action of CAR $\beta$  with PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ , and with other members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. Future studies may eventually uncover the reactivity of different xenobiotics with CARB, PPARa, and RXRa and their modulation of not only CYP and HD gene expression, but the expression of other target genes as well. These studies can then be extended to examine the activation potential of xenobiotics between receptor subtypes such as PPARy and PPAR $\delta$ . Targeted disruption of the CAR $\beta$ gene may provide additional insight into the physiology of this receptor. High throughput binding assays and cell-based transfections will no doubt help in unravelling the highly integrated signaling pathways of nuclear hormone receptors.

The mechanism of action of SHP offers some challenges to the basic concepts of transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors. Our results indicate that SHP positively and negatively regulates PPARa/RXRa-mediated transcription from the HD-PPRE and AOx-PPRE, respectively. Notwithstanding our incomplete analysis, we have proposed a number of mechanisms to account for the modulatory role of SHP from PPREs. (1) Structural differences between the AOx- and HD-PPREs may influence protein/DNA formation and stability by increasing or decreasing steric hindrance of bound receptor complexes (DiRenzo et al., 1997). These allosteric effects may position PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers in a conformational state that allows for the interaction of SHP, which subsequently represses transcription via its carboxy-terminal repressor domain. Binding of SHP may also prevent the association of coactivator molecules. However, in the context of the HD-PPRE, using the LXXLL-related motifs, SHP may function as a novel coactivator-like protein, potentiating transcription. If this is true, it is likely that SHP would be associated with other classical coactivators like CBP/p300 or the DRIP/TRAP complexes. This could be verified by isolating such factors by coimmunoprecipitation, yeast two-hybrid screens or epitope-tagged in vitro binding assays. (2) Although lacking a typical DNA binding domain, SHP may be capable of binding to DNA *in vivo* using novel structural domains. Both the AOx- and HD-PPREs may direct the specificity of binding of SHP monomers, homodimers or heterodimers with either RXR $\alpha$  or PPAR $\alpha$ . It has been noted that the HD-PPRE contains a series of potential binding sites for nuclear hormone receptors. These sites increase the possibility that SHP

may stabilize an existing PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer on this PPRE or bind to this element together with PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$ , individually or synergistically to activate transcription. (3) Like TR $\alpha$  and CAR $\beta$ , SHP may sequester PPAR $\alpha$  and RXR $\alpha$  from each other in a response-element specific manner that differentially modulates transcription. In the context of the AOx-PPRE, SHP may form inactive, nonbinding heterodimers. This is supported by our observations from gel electromobility shift assays. Using combinations of *in vitro* translated SHP, PPAR $\alpha$ , and RXR $\alpha$ , no heterodimeric complex with SHP is observed to bind either the AOx- or HD-PPRE. (4) Seol and colleagues (1997) have been unable to detect any interaction of SHP with NCoR in either yeast or mammalian two-hybrid systems. However, it remains to be seen whether SHP can interact with the related corepressors SMRT and SUNCOR, or with an as of yet unidentified corepressor to cause transrepression. Alternatively, SHP may interact directly with a histone deacetylase, a Sin-3-like protein, or a component of the basal transcription machinery to give a similar effect (Vidal and Gaber, 1991; Taunton *et al.*, 1996).

In summary, although much research is required to ascertain the overall mechanism of action of SHP, it is likely that the proposed mechanisms of action may not be mutually exclusive. Furthermore, it will be important to establish whether SHP is in any way ligand-activated. The presence of a ligand binding domain suggests that a natural ligand may exist, influencing the activating or repressing potential of SHP.

## 8.6 Transactivation by PPARs in Yeast

Although mammalian cells are a useful model system with which to examine
nuclear hormone receptor function in vivo, analyses are complicated by the fact that receptor activity varies between cell types and by the presence of endogenous nuclear hormone receptors, cellular cofactors and physiological ligands. These limitations compromise our ability to truly understand the molecular mechanism of peroxisome proliferator signaling pathways. To circumvent these problems, we used the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae to explore the mechanism of action of PPARs with PPREs. Yeast lack endogenous factors, including nuclear hormone receptors, and it is likely they lack the metabolic machinery for creating or modifying receptor ligands (Hall et al., 1993). Against this null background, yeast serve as an attractive model system with which to examine nuclear hormone receptor activity and to complement studies in mammalian cells. RXRs, RARs, TRs, ERs, and PPARa have all been functionally expressed in yeast (Garabedian and Yamamoto, 1992; Pham et al., 1992; Hall et al., 1993; Heery et al., 1993; Sande et al., 1994; Marcus et al., 1995; Kephart et al., 1996; Berghöfer-Hochheimer et al., 1997). Furthermore, yeast have been used successfully to isolate and clone nuclear hormone receptor-interacting proteins, as well as to define heterodimeric partners for nuclear receptors (Horowitz et al., 1996). Chapter 7 illustrates how a yeastbased system was used to discriminate between the transcriptional activation potential of PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2 on *lacZ* reporter genes containing the AOx- and HD-PPREs. We demonstrated that PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  activated transcription more robustly from the HD-PPRE, while PPARy2/RXRα preferentially transactivated from the AOx-PPRE. The former result is in agreement with previous findings that the HD-PPRE is a more efficient response element for PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  (Marcus *et al.*, 1995). Similar response elementdependent differences in transactivity were observed in mammalian cells. We speculate that structural differences between PPREs modulate transactivity by stabilizing protein/DNA interactions, leading to the observed differences in transactivity between PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2/RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. The binding efficiency of these heterodimers to the PPREs *in vitro*, is in agreement with their transactivation potential in yeast, suggesting that these response elements do impart allosteric effects that govern the receptor-specific activation potential *in vivo*. Furthermore, as the HD-PPRE possesses two tandem DR1 arrays, it may be that either site is particularly suited for binding PPAR $\alpha$ /RXR $\alpha$  independently or synergistically (Chu *et al.*, 1995). It has also been noted that PPAR $\gamma$  contains an additional  $\alpha$ -helix (H2') (Renaud *et al.*, 1995) that may limit the amount of its flexibility on various response elements. As such, PPAR $\gamma$  may be structurally limited to fully transactivate from the HD-PPRE.

The highly divergent A/B domain of nuclear hormone receptors appears to impart a number of receptor-specific activities. Of interest is the demonstration that the A/B regions of PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  are modified by phosphorylation (Juge-Aubry *et al.*, 1997; Werman *et al.*, 1997) and that the A/B region of PPAR $\gamma$  can influence ligand binding by the ligand binding domain (Shao *et al.*, 1998). In addition, the PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$ 2 AF1 domains display different constitutive transcriptional activating properties when expressed in both yeast and mammalian cells (John Capone, McMaster University, personal communication). Functional analysis of the various PPAR subdomains will be important in detern ining their receptor-specific activities through inter- and intra-domain communication. To map the specific PPAR domains, deletion and point mutations can be introduced to PPAR domains fused to Gal4 DNA binding domains and tested for transactivity from the AOx- and HD-PPREs. Domain swapping experiments will also help to establish if structural conformations are responsible for the response element-specific transactivation potential of both PPAR subtypes. In addition, modifying the residues at sites I and IV of the HD-PPRE to make it resemble the AOx-PPRE, and *vice-versa*, may reverse the binding efficiencies and transactivation potential of the PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  heterodimers. This would provide additional evidence of whether structural and spatial conformational constraints can be substituted between response elements, thereby confirming response element specificity. These studies can eventually be extended to include the PPAR $\delta$  subtype and also used to examine the ability to dissociate and recruit corepressors and coactivators, respectively.

Interestingly, the PPAR $\gamma$ 2 subtype-dependent preference for the AOx-PPRE observed both in yeast and mammalian cells mirrored the binding efficiencies *in vitro*, while PPAR $\alpha$  could efficiently activate transcription from both the AOx- and HD-PPREs (two copies of each PPRE). A number of variables may be at work to account for the strong activation potential of PPAR $\alpha$  over PPAR $\gamma$ 2 with respect to fold-induction over basal activity, in mammalian cells as compared to yeast cells. Since mammalian cells contain a large complement of cofactors that can interact with nuclear hormone receptors, it is likely that SRC-1, CBP/p300, and other PPAR $\alpha$ -specific coactivators potentiate PPAR $\alpha$ -dependent transactivation. Indeed, this is true with respect to the GRIP1 coactivator protein. GRIP1 has been shown to markedly restore the ability of nuclear hormone receptors such as TR and RAR to transactivate gene expression in a ligand-dependent

manner (Walfish *et al.*, 1997). The same argument could be made for PPAR $\gamma$ 2; however, our results indicate otherwise. Coexpression of coactivators with PPAR expression plasmids in yeast would establish the modulatory role of coactivators on PPAR subtype transactivity. Conversely, it may also be that corepressor molecules have a greater affinity for PPAR $\gamma$ 2 in BSC40 cells. The structural properties of the PPREs may also produce allosteric effects that govern the efficient recruitment of cofactors to the receptors (DiRenzo *et al.*, 1997). Therefore, the transactivation potential of a given PPAR/RXR $\alpha$ heterodimer may be related to PPRE-dependent conformational changes that expose the interaction surfaces for cofactors. Investigating whether there are PPRE-dependent differences in the ability of PPARs to interact with various receptor coactivators both in whole mammalian cell lysate as well as through reconstitution in yeast would help to establish the role of cofactor-dependent subtype-specific transactivity.

We and others have illustrated that yeast are unresponsive to PPAR activation by peroxisome proliferators (Marcus *et al.*, 1995; Henry *et al.*, 1995). Furthermore, we demonstrated that yeast also failed to respond to 9- *cis* retinoic acid or the PPAR $\gamma$ -specific ligand, 15d-PGJ2. This lack of response may be attributed to inefficient uptake of the exogenous ligand, lack of metabolic machinery to modify the potential ligand to biologically active forms (Hall *et al.*, 1993; Henry *et al.*, 1995; Butt and Walfish, 1996), inactivation of the ligand by yeast-specific metabolism, or exocytosis of the ligand. The last has been demonstrated in yeast, whereby ATP-transport proteins actively export exogenous estrogen ligand (Gilbert *et al.*, 1993; Kralli *et al.*, 1995). However, Olson *et al.* (1997) have suggested that ectopically expressed receptors in yeast lacking corepressors may exist in a constitutively active state, functionally equivalent to liganded receptors. This would imply that such receptors are incapable of enhancing transcription above constitutive levels achieved in the absence of ligand. Furthermore, the lack of corepressors would also eliminate any transcriptional repression arising from the recruitment of histone deacetylase, further rendering these nuclear hormone receptors refractory to exogenous ligands. Therefore, in yeast, these receptors remain in a "mono-active" state unlike in mammalian cells. This hypothesis can be addressed by coexpression of coactivators and corepressors with nuclear hormone receptors in the presence and absence of ligand, in an effort to re-establish the repressed, basal, and activated forms of the receptor *in vivo*. Using yeast two-hybrid analysis, the functional utility of the coactivators SRC-1 and p300 to interact with ligand-activated PPAR $\alpha$  has been established (Dowell *et al.*, 1997). This result would suggest that coactivators are needed for full activation, while refuting the notion of inefficient ligand uptake, but not necessarily the need for metabolic conversion.

Allegretto and colleagues have shown that RAR $\gamma$ /RXR $\gamma$  heterodimers and all RXR subtype homodimers could be activated by 9-*cis* retinoic acid in yeast from DR1- and DR5-containing promoter elements (Allegretto *et al.*, 1993). Similarly, Hall *et al.* (1993) have shown that RXR $\gamma$ /TR $\beta$  could potentiate transcription from a TREpal in the presence of the RXR $\alpha$  ligand. Thus, we were surprised that both PPAR/RXR $\alpha$  heterodimer and RXR $\alpha$  homodimers (transformed alone) could not be similarly activated from the AOxor HD-PPRE in response to 9- *cis* retinoic acid. However, VDR/RXR $\beta$ 2 and VDR/RXR $\gamma$ have also failed to respond to either vitamin D or 9-*cis* retinoic acid in yeast (BerghöferHochheimer *et al.*, 1997; Jin and Pike, 1996). These different observations are hard to reconcile, but may suggest that transactivation by nuclear hormone receptors in yeast is strongly influenced by the RXR subtype and the specific nucleotide spacing between half sites of direct repeats (Kephart *et al.*, 1996). However, it may still be possible to have a peroxisome proliferative response by PPARs in yeast if the heterodimeric partner is RXR $\beta$  or RXR $\gamma$ . Receptor activation may also require much higher concentrations of ligands in yeast than in mammalian cells, though exceedingly high concentrations may eventually be toxic and physiologically irrelevant.

# 8.7 Future Considerations

The 70 known nuclear hormone receptors may represent only a third of the actual number of receptors that truly exist. A search of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome suggests that there exists approximately 228 proteins containing two-zinc finger structures (Gustaffson, 1999; Clark and Berg, 1998). However, it remains to be determined whether mammalian homologs exist for such putative nuclear receptors. Furthermore, the genes controlled by known nuclear receptors may also be modulated *in vivo* by other regulatory pathways currently unknown to researchers. This may include compensatory or dormant pathways that become activated in the absence of a specific nuclear hormone receptor (Deluca *et al.*, 2000). This may be true for the RXR and PPAR subfamilies which have known, yet enigmatic, receptor subtypes that may influence gene expression in a secondary manner by providing basic metabolic requirements for a cell or organism, especially under disease states. For example, TZD administration in PPAR $\alpha$  null mice causes a modest

induction of AOx protein concomitant with increases in AOx mRNA levels, suggesting that the AOx-PPRE may be targeted by either PPAR $\gamma$  or PPAR $\delta$ , which act as surrogate receptors for PPAR $\alpha$ . Although hepatic expression of PPAR $\gamma$  and PPAR $\delta$  is lower than that of PPAR $\alpha$ , they may still be capable of functioning in peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation. Conversely, activation of PPAR $\gamma$ -mediated transcription of adipocyte differentiation genes by PPAR $\alpha$  has also been demonstrated (Brun *et al.*, 1996). These results would suggest that under permissive conditions, PPARs can mediate the actions of their dominant PPAR subtypes in order to maintain normal metabolic processes.

### 8.7.1 PPARδ: Putative Biological Functions

Unlike the other PPAR subtypes, there are no high affinity PPARô-selective ligands available for determining the function of this receptor (Willson and Wahli, 1997). The available data for PPARô, which suggests putative roles for this receptor in lipid homeostasis, fertility, and cancer (Amri *et al.*, 1993; Lim *et al.*, 1999; He *et al.*, 1999) have mainly relied on correlative associations with specific phenotypes. It remains to be determined whether target genes exist that are exclusively controlled by PPARô (Peters *et al.*, 2000). As a means of unravelling the mysteries of PPARô function, Peters *et al.* (2000) have generated PPARô knock out mice which show defects in myelination in the central nervous system and remain refractory to the administration of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Expression of the proteolipid protein, a myelin-specific protein encoded by a gene containing a PPRE, was unaffected in these mice. This was surprising since PPARô is the most predominant PPAR subtype expressed in the brain (Braissant and Wahli, 1998). Thus, it remains to be seen what, if any, putative target genes exist for PPAR $\delta$ , or whether it simply serves as a receptor that acts by proxy for other PPAR subtypes through some signaling event.

### 8.7.2 Auxiliary Cofactors

It remains unclear how DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC interface with the p160/CBP/p300/pCAF system. It is postulated that histone acetyl transferase-containing coactivators must first unwind chromatin to allow the DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC complexes to bind to the nuclear receptor/coactivator complex and thereafter recruit RNA polymerase II to activate transcription (Freedman, 1999a and 1999b). The need for histone acetyl transferase activity is supported by the demonstration that DRIP/TRAP and ARC/SMCC complexes fail to activate transcription on naked DNA *in vitro*, but do so in the presence of chromatin (Sun *et al.*, 1998; Boyer *et al.*, 1999; Ito *et al.*, 1999; Kingston, 1999). Interestingly, other transcription factors unrelated to nuclear hormone receptors such as Sp1 can also recruit DRIP/TRAP complexes function as a novel subclass of general auxiliary transcription factors.

Similarly, the role of protein methyl transferases in transcriptional regulation remains unclear. It is possible that CARM1 or similar proteins can modulate PPARmediated gene transcription. Rachubinski *et al.* have described an approximately 300 amino acid PPAR $\alpha$ -interacting protein isolated from a yeast two-hybrid screen that shows homology to protein methyl transferases (personal communication). Therefore, it seems likely that protein methyltransferases will play an important role in transcriptional regulation by PPARs.

## 8.7.3 Drug Discovery

Through the process of reverse endocrinology, considerable advances have been made towards a better understanding of the biology and physiology of nuclear hormone receptors. The importance of the nuclear hormone receptors as medicinal targets has caused a fevered rush to screen comprehensive chemical libraries in an effort to find putative ligands that can aid in the rational design of pharmaceutical drugs for the treatment of diseases caused by defects in the biochemical pathways involving nuclear and orphan receptors (Gustafsson, 1999). These studies are complicated by the fact that receptors have multiple subtypes and, in general, exhibit a wide array of cellular functions. Given this fact, it will be prudent to develop drugs that display target efficacy and subtype selectivity.

The search for agonist and antagonist ligands for newly discovered orphan receptors will undoubtedly lead to the discovery of previously unknown signaling pathways regulated by specific receptors and their interactions with novel cofactors. With recent advances in combinatorial chemistry, high throughput screening assays, and functional genomics, a better understanding of the complex mechanisms of nuclear and orphan receptor biology will emerge.

#### 8.7.4 A Model of Transcriptional Regulation by Nuclear Hormone Receptors

The central theme that has been emphasized in this thesis is that transcriptional regulation by nuclear hormone receptors from the PPREs of the AOx and HD genes is a complex and dynamic event. The signaling pathways that converge on the AOx- and HD-PPREs are highly integrated to ensure the correct transcriptional response to physiological stimuli. A model (Fig. 8-1) illustrating the convergence of the various nuclear hormone receptors to act on PPAR $\alpha$ -mediated gene transcription from the AOx- and HD-PPREs can be proposed.

The mechanism by which nuclear hormone receptors crosstalk to elicit a transcriptional response is influenced by many variables including (i) response element specificity resulting from the sequence, spacing, and orientation of half-sites; (ii) competition for binding to half-sites; (iii) sequestration of common heterodimerization partners, such as RXR $\alpha$ ; (iv) interactions with coactivators, corepressors, and auxiliary cofactors; (v) the availability of activating/deactivating ligands; (vi) post-translational modifications; (vii) relative abundance of nuclear receptors and cofactors; (viii) interactions with the basal transcriptional machinery; (ix) accessibility to DNA via histone acetyl transferase, histone deacetylase, and protein methyltransferase activities; and (x) steric hindrance from protein/protein and protein/DNA interactions.

In keeping with the current model of transrepression and transactivity, unliganded nuclear hormone receptors bound to DNA interact with a series of corepressor molecules that recruit histone deacetylase activity, causing DNA to remain tightly associated with histones. Upon ligand binding, corepressor molecules dissociate from the receptors which,





Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

203

in turn, undergo a conformational change promoting the recruitment of classical coactivator molecules, some of which possess histone acetyl transferase activity that remodels chromatin. This enables an association with a large, multisubunit auxiliary protein complex which, in synergy with the coactivators and nuclear receptors, mediates cooperative interactions with the pre-initiation complex (Figure 8-2). This positively acting pathway can then be negatively regulated either by the dissociation or metabolism of the receptor ligand, formation of non-DNA binding receptor complexes, binding of transrepressive receptors, or by the presence of deactivating ligands. The overall effect is a delicately balanced, continuous flux between transcriptional activation and repression.

Because of the dynamic cellular environment, it is difficult to ascertain the dominant transcriptional response in a milieu of cellular receptors, cofactors and endogenous ligands. We propose that ligand binding to a particular nuclear hormone receptor increases the receptors' affinity for cellular pools of receptor cofactors, which ultimately determines the transcriptional response. In this manner, cofactors continuously shuttle from one receptor to another based on "rank-order affinity" to produce the necessary transcriptional response according to the immediate metabolic needs of a cell. This would leave other receptors at a repressed or basal level of activity.

## 8.8 Conclusion

Previous studies have shown the interplay of COUP-TF, HNF-4, RZR $\alpha$ , and LXR $\alpha$  receptors in modulating PPAR $\alpha$ -dependent transactivity. The results presented herein illustrate how four additional members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily - TR $\alpha$ ,



205



Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.

RevErba, CAR $\beta$ , and SHP - converge on the AOx- and HD-PPREs and differentially modulate PPARa/RXRa-mediated gene transcription. We have also demonstrated that subtype- and response element-dependent differences also influence transactivation from the two PPREs. This research extends our current knowledge of peroxisome proliferatormediated gene expression and underscores the complex dimensions involved in regulating the expression of the genes encoding the first two enzymes of the peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation pathway. The research provided in this thesis raises provocative questions that warrant continued efforts to further delineate the intricate molecular mechanisms of PPARmediated gene regulation. Establishing the general principles governing the mechanisms of peroxisome proliferator-dependent PPAR activation must be considered in the context of global gene expression in order to fully understand the consequences of peroxisome proliferators in the dynamic environment of a multifunctional eukaryotic organism. **CHAPTER 9** 

Bibliography

207

- Adams, R., Reginato, M. J., Shao, D., and Lazar, M. A. (1997) Transcriptional activation by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  is inhibited by phosphorylation at a consensus mitogen-activated protein kinase site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:5128-5132
- Adelmant. G., Bègue, A., Stéhelin, D., and Laudet, V. (1996) A functional RevErb α responsive element located in the human RevErb α promoter mediates a repressing activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:3553-3558
- Alberts, B., Bray, D., Lewis, J., Raff, M., Roberts, K., and Watson, J. D. (1994) Molecular Biology of the Cell (Garland Publishing Inc. New York)
- Aldridge, T. C., Tugwood, J.D., and Green, S. (1995) Identification and characterization of DNA elements implicated in the regulation of CYP4A1 transcription. *Biochem. J.* 306:473-479
- Alland L., Muhle, R., Hou, H. Jr., Potes, J., Chin, L., Schreiber-Agus, N., and DePinho, R. A. (1997) Role for N-CoR and histone deacetylase in Sin3-mediated transcriptional repression. *Nature* 387:49-55
- Allegretto, E. A., McClurg, M. R., Lazarchik, S. B., Clemm, D. L., Kerner, S. A., Elgort, M. G., Boehm, M. F., White, S. K., Pike, J. W., and Heyman, R. A. (1993) Transactivation properties of retinoic acid and retinoid X receptors in manunalian cells and yeast. Correlation with hormone binding and effects of metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 268:26625-26633
- Amri, E. -Z., Bonino, F., Ailhaud, G., Abumrad, N. A., and Grimaldi, P. A. (1995) Cloning of a protein that mediates transcriptional effects of fatty acids in preadipocytes. Homology to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 270:2367-2371

- Anzick, S. L., Kononen, J., Walker, R. L., Azorsa, D. O., Tanner, M.M., Guan, X. Y. Sauter, G., Kallioniemi, O. P., Trent, J. M., and Meltzer, P. S. (1997) AIB1, a steroid receptor coactivator amplified in breast and ovarian cancer. *Science* 277:965-968
- Aoyama, T., Peters, J. M., Iritani, N., Nakajima, T., Furihata, K., Hashimoto, T., and Gonzalez, F. J. (1998) Altered constitutive expression of fatty acid-metabolizing enzymes in mice lacking the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR  $\alpha$ ). J. Biol. Chem. 273:5678-5684
- Aperlo, C., Pognonec, P., Saladin, R., Auwerx, J., and Boulukos, K. E. (1995) cDNA cloning and characterization of the transcriptional activities of the hamster peroxisome proliferator activated receptor haPPAR γ. *Gene* 162:297-302
- Appelkvist, E. -L., Reinhart M., Fischer R., Billheimer J, and Dallner G. (1990) Presence of individual enzymes of cholesterol biosynthesis in rat liver peroxisomes. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 282:318-325
- Arias, J., Alberts, A. S., Brindle, P., Claret, F. X., Smeal, T., Karin, M., Feramisco, J., and Montminy, M. (1994) Activation of cAMP and mitogen responsive genes relies on a common nuclear factor. *Nature* 370:226-229
- Auboeuf, D., Rieusset, J., Fajas, L., Vallier, P., Frering, V., Riou, J. P., Staels, B., Auwerx, J., Laville, M., and Vidal, H. (1997) Tissue distribution and quantification of the expression of mRNAs of peroxisome proliferator activated receptors and liver X receptor- $\alpha$  in humans: no alteration in adipose tissue of obese and NIDDM patients. *Diabetes* 46:1319-1327
- Ausubel, F. M. (1996) Current Protocols in Molecular Biology (John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York)

- Baes. M., Castelein, H., Desmet, L., and Declercq, P. E. (1995) Antagonism of COUP-TF and PPAR  $\alpha$ /RXR  $\alpha$  on the activation of the malic enzyme gene promoter: modulation by 9-cis RA. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 215:338-345
- Baes, M., Gulick, T., Choi, H.-S., Martinoli, M.G., Simha, D., and Moore, D.D. (1994) A new orphan member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily that interacts with a subset of retinoic acid response elements *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14:1544-1552
- Baniahmad. A., Ha, I., Reinberg, D., Tsai, S., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1993) Interaction of human thyroid hormone receptor  $\beta$  with transcription factor TFIIB may mediate target gene derepression and activation by thyroid hormone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90:8832-8836.
- Baniahmad, A., Kohne, A. C., and Renkawitz, R.
  (1992) A transferable silencing domain is present in the thyroid hormone receptor, in the v-erbA oncogene product and in the retinoic acid receptor. *EMBO J.* 11:1015-1023
- Baniahmad, A., Leng, X. Burris, T. P., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1995)
  The tau 4 activation domain of the thyroid hormone receptor is required for release of a putative corepressor(s) necessary for transcriptional silencing *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:76-86
- Bannister, A. J. and Kouzarides, T. (1996) The CBP co-activator is a histone acetyltransferase. *Nature* 384:641-643
- Beato, M., Herrlich, P., Schutz, G. (1995) Steroid hormone receptors: many actors in search of a plot. *Cell* 83:851-857

- Bell, A. R., Savory, R., Horley, N. J., Choudhury, A., I., Dickens, M., Gray, T. J., Salter, A. M., and Bell, D. R. (1998) Molecular basis of non-responsiveness to peroxisome proliferators: the guinea-pig PPAR  $\alpha$  is functional and mediates peroxisome proliferator-induced hypolipidaemia. *Biochem. J.* 332:689-693
- Bentley, P. Calder, I., Elcombe, C., Grasso, P., Stringer, D., and Wiegand, H. J. (1993) Hepatic peroxisome proliferation in rodents and its significance for humans. *Food Chem. Toxiocol.* 31:857-907
- Bentley, P., Bieri, F., Mitchell, F., Waechter, F., and Staubli, W. (1987) Investigations on the mechanism of liver tumour induction by peroxisome proliferators. Arch. Toxicol. Suppl. 10:157-161
- Berger, J., Bailey, P., Biswas, C., Cullinan, C. A., Doebber, T. W., Hayes, N. S., Saperstein, R., Smith, R. G., and Leibowitz, M. D. (1996) Thiazolidinediones produce a conformational change in peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$ : binding and activation correlate with antidiabetic actions in db/db mice. *Endocrinology* 137:4189-4195
- Berger, J., Leibowitz, M. D., Doebber, T.W., Elbrecht, A., Zhang, B., Zhou, G., Biswas, C., Cullinan, C. A., Hayes, N. S., Li, Y., Tanen, M., Ventre, J., Wu, M. S., Berger, G. D., Mosley, R., Marquís, R., Santini, C., Sahoo, S. P., Tolman, R. L., Smith, R. G., and Moller, D.E. (1999) Novel peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ and PPAR δ ligands produce distinct biological effects. J. Biol. Chem. 274:6718-6725
- Berghöfer-Hochheimer, Y., Zurek, C., Langer, G., and Munder, T. (1997) Expression of the vitamin D and the retinoid X receptors in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: alternative in vivo models for ligand-induced transactivation. J. Cell. Biochem. 66:184-196

210

- Besnard, P., Mallordy, A., and Carlier, H. (1993) Transcriptional induction of the fatty acid binding protein gene in mouse liver by bezafibrate. *FEBS Lett.* 327:219-223
- Bieri, F., and Lhuguenot, J. C. (1993) Toxicity of peroxisome proliferators. *Biochimie* 75:263-268
- Bird, A. P. and Wolffe, A. P. (1999) Methylation-induced repression-belts, braces, and chromatin. *Cell* 99:451-454
- Blanco, J. C., Minucci, S., Lu, J., Yang, X. J., Walker, K. K., Chen, H., Evans, R. M.,Nakatani, Y., and Ozato, K. (1998) The histone acetylase PCAF is a nuclear receptor coactivator. *Genes Dev.* 12:1638-1651
- Blumberg. B. and Evans, R. M. (1998) Orphan nuclear receptors--new ligands and new possibilities. *Genes Dev.* 12:3149-3155
- Bogazzi, F., Hudson, L.D., and Nikodem, V. (1994) A novel heterodimerization partner for thyroid hormone receptor. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 269:11683-11686
- Bonner, W. M. and Laskey, R. A. (1974) A film detection method for tritium-labelled proteins and nucleic acids in polyacrylamide gels. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 46:83-88
- Borst, P. (1989) Peroxisome biogenesis revisited. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 1008:1-13
- Bourguet, W., Ruff, M., Chambon, P., Gronemeyer, H., and Moras, D. (1995) Crystal structure of the ligand-binding domain of the human nuclear receptor RXR-α. *Nature* 375:377-382
- Boyer, T. G., Martin, M. E., Lees, E., Ricciardi, R. P., and Berk, A. J. (1999) Mammalian Srb/Mediator complex is targeted by adenovirus E1A protein. *Nature* 399:276-279

- Bradford, M. M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein using the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72:248-254
- Braissant, O., Foufelle, F., Scotto, C., Dauca, M., and Wahli, W. (1996) Differential expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs): tissue distribution of PPAR  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  in the adult rat. Endocrinology 137:354-366
- Braissant, O. and Wahli, W. (1998) Differential expression of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ . and  $\gamma$  during rat embryonic development. *Endocrinology* 139:2748-2754
- Bray, G. A. and Tartaglia, L. A. (2000) Medicinal strategies in the treatment of obesity. *Nature* 404:672-677
- Brent, G. A., Williams, G. R., Harney, J. W., Forman, B. M., Samuels, H. H., Moore, D. D., and Larsen, P. R. (1992) Capacity for cooperative binding of thyroid hormone (T3) receptor dimers defines wild type T3 response elements. *Mol. Endocrinol*, 6:502-514
- Brockman, W. W., and Nathans, D. (1974) The isolation of simian virus 40 variants with specifically altered genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 71:942-946
- Bronfman, M., Amigo, L., and Morales, M. N. (1986) Activation of hypolipidaemic drugs to acyl-coenzyme A thioesters. *Biochem. J.* 239:781-784
- Bronfman, M., Orellana, A., Morales, M. N., Bieri, F., Waechter, F., Staubli, W., and Bentley, P. (1989) Potentiation of diacylglycerol-activated protein kinase C by acyl-coenzyme A thioesters of hypolipidaemic drugs. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 159:1026-1031

- Brown, P. J., Smith-Oliver, T. A., Charifson, P.
  S., Tomkinson, N. C., Fivush, A. M.,
  Sternbach, D. D., Wade, L. E., Orband-Miller,
  L., Parks, D. J., Blanchard, S. G., Kliewer, S.
  A., Lehmann, J. M., and Willson, T. M.
  (1997) Identification of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ligands from a biased chemical library. *Chem. Biol.* 4:909-918
- Brun, R. P., Tontonoz, P., Forman, B. M., Ellis,
  R., Chen, J., Evans, R. M., Spiegelman, B. M. (1996) Differential activation of adipogenesis by multiple PPAR isoforms. *Genes Dev*. 10:974-984
- Brzozowski, A. M., Pike, A. C., Dauter, Z., Hubbard, R. E., Bonn, T., Engstrom, O., Ohman, L., Green, G. L., Gustafsson, J. A., and Carlquist, M. (1997) Molecular basis of agonism and antagonism in the oestrogen receptor. *Nature* 389:753-758
- Bugge, T. H., Pohl, J., Lonnoy, O., and Stunnenberg, H.G. (1992) RXR  $\alpha$ , a promiscuous partner of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptors. *EMBO J.* 11:1409-1418
- Bulger, W. H., Feil, V. J., and Kupfer, D. (1985) Role of hepatic monooxygenases in generating estrogenic metabolites from methoxychlor and from its identified contaminants. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 27:115-124
- Burcin, M., Kohne, A. C., Runge, D., Steiner, C., and Renkawitz, R. (1994) Factors influencing nuclear receptors in transcriptional repression. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 5:337-346
- Burke, L. J., Downes, M., Laudet, V., and Muscat,
   G. E. (1998) Identification and characterization of a novel corepressor interaction region in RVR and RevErbA α. Mol. Endocrinol. 12:248-262
- Butt, T. R. and Walfish, P. G. (1996) Human nuclear receptor heterodimers: opportunities for detecting targets of transcriptional regulation using yeast. *Gene Expr.* 5:255-68

- Caira, F., Antonson, P., Pelto-Huikko, M., Treuter, E., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2000) Cloning and characterization of RAP250, a novel nuclear receptor coactivator. J. Biol. Chem. 275:5308-5317
- Camp, H. S. and Tafuri, S. R. (1997) Regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$ activity by mitogen-activated protein kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:10811-10816
- Carlberg, C., Hooft van Huijsduijnen, R., Staple,
  J. K., DeLamarter, J. F., and Becker-Andre,
  M. (1994) RZRs. a new family of retinoid-related orphan receptors that function as both monomers and homodimers. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8:757-770
- Carter, M.E., Gulick, T., Raisher, B. D., Caira, T., Ladias, J. A., Moore, D. D., and Kelly, D.
  P. (1993) Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 activates medium chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase gene transcription by interacting with a complex regulatory element. J. Biol. Chem. 268:13805-13810
- Casanova, J., Helmer, E., Selmi-Ruby, S., Qi, J.
  S., Au-Fliegner, M., Desai-Yajnik, V.,
  Koudinova, N., Yarm, F., Raaka, B. M., and
  Samuels, H. H. (1994) Functional evidence for ligand-dependent dissociation of thyroid hormone and retinoic acid receptors from an inhibitory cellular factor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 14:5756-5765
- Castelein, H., Gulick, T., Declercq, P. E., Mannaerts, G. P., Moore, D. D., and Baes, M. I. (1994) The peroxisome proliferator activated receptor regulates malic enzyme gene expression. J. Biol. Chem. 269:26754-26758
- Cattley, R. C., DeLuca, J., Elcombe, C., Fenner-Crisp, P., Lake, B. G., Marsman, D. S., Pastoor, T. A., Popp, J. A., Robinson, D. E., Schwetz, B., Tugwood, J., and Wahli, W. (1998) Do peroxisome proliferating compounds pose a hepatocarcinogenic hazard to humans? *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 27:47-60

- Cattley, R. C., Marsman, D. S., and Popp, J. A. (1989) Failure of the peroxisome proliferator WY-14.643 to initiate growth-selectable foci in rat liver. *Toxicology* 56:1-7
- Chakravarti, D., LaMorte, V. J., Nelson, M. C., Nakajima, T., Schulman, I. G., Juguilon, H., Montminy, M., and Evans, R., M. (1996) Role of CBP/P300 in nuclear receptor signalling. *Nature* 383:99-103
- Chakravarti, D., Ogryzko, V., Kao, H.Y., Nash, A., Chen, H., Nakatani, Y., and Evans, R. M. (1999) A viral mechanism for inhibition of p300 and PCAF acetyltransferase activity. *Cell* 96:393-403
- Chatterjee, V. K., Lee, J. K., Rentoumis, A., and Jameson, J. L. (1989) Negative regulation of the thyroid-stimulating hormone  $\alpha$  gene by thyroid hormone: receptor interaction adjacent to the TATA box. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 86:9114-9118
- Chatterjee, V. K. and Tata, J. R. (1992) Thyroid hormone receptors and their role in development. *Cancer Surv.* 14:147-167
- Chawla, A. and Lazar, M.A. (1993) Induction of RevErbA  $\alpha$ , an orphan receptor encoded on the opposite strand of the  $\alpha$ -thyroid hormone receptor gene, during adipocyte differentiation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268:16265-16269
- Chawla, A., Schwarz, E. J., Dimaculangan, D. D., and Lazar, M. A. (1994) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ: adipose-predominant expression and induction early in adipocyte differentiation. *Endocrinology* 135:798-800
- Chen, D., Ma, H., Hong, H., Koh, S. S., Huang, S. M., Schurter, B. T., Aswad, D. W. and Stallcup, M. R. (1999) Regulation of transcription by a protein methyltransferase. *Science* 284:2174-2177

- Chen, F., Law, S. W., and O'Malley, B. W. (1993) Identification of two mPPAR related receptors and evidence for the existence of five subfamily members. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 196:671-677
- Chen, H., Lin, R. J., Schiltz, R. L., Chakravarti, D., Nash, A., Nagy, L., Privalsky, M. L., Nakatani, Y., and Evans, R. M. (1997) Nuclear receptor coactivator ACTR is a novel histone acetyltransferase and forms a multimeric activation complex with P/CAF and CBP/p300. *Cell* 90:569-580
- Chen J. D. (2000) Steroid/Nuclear Receptor coactivators. Vitamins and Hormones 58:391-448
- Chen, J. D., and Evans, R. M. (1995) A transcriptional co-repressor that interacts with nuclear hormone receptors. *Nature* 377:454-457
- Chen, J. D. and Li, H. (1998) Coactivation and corepression in transcriptional regulation by steroid/nuclear hormone receptors. *Crit. Rev. Eukarvot. Gene Expr.* 8:169-190
- Chinetti, G., Griglio, S., Antonucci, M., Torra, I. P., Delerive, P., Majd, Z., Fruchart, J. C., Chapman, J., Najib, J., and Staels, B. (1998) Activation of proliferator-activated receptors  $\alpha$ and  $\gamma$  induces apoptosis of human monocyte-derived macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 273:25573-25580
- Choi, H.-S., Chung, M., Tzamelli, I., Simha, D., Lee, Y.-K., Seol, W., and Moore, D. D. (1997) Differential transactivation by two isoforms of the orphan nuclear hormone receptor CAR J. Biol. Chem. 272:23565-23571
- Christiansen, J. G., Gonzales, A. J., Cattley, R. C., and Goldsworthy, T. L. (1998) Regulation of apoptosis in mouse hepatocytes and alteration of apoptosis by non-genotoxic carcinogens. *Cell Growth Diff.* 9:815-825

- Christianson, T. W., Sikorski, R. S., Dante, M., Shero, J. H., and Hieter, P. (1992) Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. *Gene* 110:119-122
- Chu, R., Lin, Y., Rao, M. S., and Reddy, J. K. (1995) Cooperative formation of higher order peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoid X receptor complexes on the peroxisome proliferator responsive element of the rat hydratase-dehydrogenase gene. (1995) J. Biol. Chem. 270:29636-29639
- Clarke, N. D. and Berg, J. M. (1998) Zinc fingers in Caenorhabditis elegans: finding families and probing pathways. *Science* 282:2018-2022
- Cohen, A. J., and Grasso, P. (1981) of the hepatic response to hypolipidaemic drugs in rodents and assessment of its toxicological significance to man. *Food Cosmet. Toxicol.* 19:585-605
- Collingwood, T. N., Urnov, F. D., and Wolffe, A. P. (1999) Nuclear receptors: coactivators, corepressors and chromatin remodeling in the control of transcription. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 23:255-275
- Cooney, A. J., Tsai, S. Y., O'Malley, B. W., and Tsai, M. J. (1992) Chicken ovalbumin upstream transcription factor (COUP-TF) dimers bind to different GGTCA response elements. allowing COUP-TF to repress hormonal induction of the vitamin D3, thyroid hormone, and retinoic acid receptors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 12:4153-4163
- Couve, A. O., Koenig, C., and Santos, M. J. (1992) Induction of peroxisomal enzymes and a 64-kDa peptide in cultured mouse macrophages treated with clofibrate. *Exp. Cell. Res.* 202:541-544

- Costet. P., Legendre, C., More, J., Edgar, A., Galtier, P., and Pineau, T. (1998) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$ -isoform deficiency leads to progressive dyslipidemia with sexually dimorphic obesity and steatosis. J. Biol. Chem. 273:29577-29585
- Dahlman-Wright, K., Baumann, H., McEwan, I. J., Almlof, T., Wright, A. P., Gustafsson, J. A., Hard, T. (1995) Structural characterization of a minimal functional transactivation domain from the human glucocorticoid receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 92:1699-1703
- Dallas, P. B., Cheney, I. W., Liao, D. W., Bowrin, V., Byam, W., Pacchione, S., Kobayashi, R., Yaciuk, P., and Moran, E. (1998) p300/CREB binding protein-related protein p270 is a component of mammalian SWI/SNF complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:3596-3603
- Danielian, P. S., White, R., Lees, J. A., and Parker, M. G. (1992) Identification of a conserved region required for hormone dependent transcriptional activation by steroid hormone receptors. *EMBO J.* 11:1025-1033
- Darimont, B. D., Wagner, R. L., Apriletti, J. W., Stallcup, M. R., Kushner, P. J., Baxter, J.D., Fletterick, R. J., and Yamamoto, K. R. (1998) Structure and specificity of nuclear receptor-coactivator interactions. *Genes Dev.* 12:3343-3356
- Davis, I.J., Hazel, T.G., and Lau, F. (1991) Transcriptional activation by Nur77, a growth factor-inducible member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily. *Mol. Endocrinol*, 5:854-859
- Deeb. S. S., Fajas, L., Nemoto, M., Pihlajamaki, J., Mykkanen, L., Kuusisto, J., Laakso, M., Fujimoto, W., and Auwerx, J. (1998) A Pro12Ala substitution in PPAR  $\gamma 2$  associated with decreased receptor activity, lower body mass index and improved insulin sensitivity. *Nat. Genet.* 20:284-287

- DeLuca, J. G., Doebber, T. W., Kelly, L. J., Kemp, R. K., Molon-Noblot, S., Sahoo, S. P., Ventre, J., Wu, M. S., Peters, J. M., Gonzalez, F. J., and Moller, D. E. (2000) Evidence for Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (PPAR)α-Independent Peroxisome Proliferation: Effects of PPAR γ/δ-Specific Agonists in PPAR α-Null Mice. Mol. Pharmacol. 58:470-476
- Demetri, G. D., Fletcher, C. D., Mueller, E., Sarraf, P., Naujoks, R., Campbell, N., Spiegelman, B. M. and Singer, S. (1999) Induction of solid tumor differentiation by the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$ ligand troglitazone in patients with liposarcoma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96:3951-3956
- Desvergne, B. (1994) How do thyroid hormone receptors bind to structurally diverse response elements? *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 100:125-131
- Desvergne, B. and Wahli, W. (1999) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism. *Endocr. Rev.* 20:649-688
- Desvergne, B., IJpenberg, A., Devchand, P. R., and Wahli, W. (1998) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors at the crossroad of diet and hormonal signalling. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 65:65-74
- Devchand, P. R., Keller, H., Peters, J. M., Vazquez, M., Gonzalez, F. J., and Wahli, W. (1996) The PPAR  $\alpha$ -leukotriene B4 pathway to inflammation control. *Nature* 384:39-43
- DiRenzo, J., Soderstrom, M., Kurokawa, R., Ogliastro, M. H., Ricote, M., Ingrey, S., Hörlein, A., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Glass, C. K. (1997) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and retinoic acid receptors differentially control the interactions of retinoid X receptor heterodimers with ligands. coactivators. and corepressors. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17:2166-2176

- Dollé, P., Fraulob, V., Kastner, P., and Chambon, P. (1994) Developmental expression of murine retinoid X receptor (RXR) genes. Mech. Dev. 45:91-104
- Dowell, P., Ishmael, J. E., Avram, D., Peterson, V. J., Nevrivy, D. J, and Leid, M. (1997) p300 functions as a coactivator for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α. J. Biol. Chem. 272:33435-33443
- Dowell, P., Ishmael, J. E., Avram, D., Peterson, V. J., Nevrivy, D. J., and Leid, M. (1999) Identification of nuclear receptor corepressor as a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α interacting protein. J. Biol. Chem. 274:15901-15907
- Downes, M., Burke, L. J, and Muscat, G. E. (1996) Transcriptional repression by RevErb  $\alpha$  is dependent on the signature motif and helix 5 in the ligand binding domain: silencing does not involve an interaction with N-CoR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24:3490-3498
- Downes, M., Carozzi, A.J., and Muscat, G.E. (1995) Constitutive expression of the orphan receptor, RevErbA α, inhibits muscle differentiation and abrogates the expression of the myoD gene family. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 9:1666-1678
- Dreyer, C., Krey, G., Keller, H., Givel, F., Helftenbein, G., and Wahli, W. (1992) Control of the peroxisomal β-oxidation pathway by a novel family of nuclear hormone receptors. *Cell* 68:879-887
- Durand, B., Saunders, M., Gaudon, C., Roy, B., Losson, R., and Chambon, P. (1994) Activation function 2 (AF2) of retinoic acid receptor and 9-cis retinoic acid receptor: presence of a conserved autonomous constitutive activating domain and influence of the nature of the response element on AF2 activity. *EMBO J.* 13:5370-5382
- Eaton, S., Bartlett, K., and Pourfarzam, M. (1996) Mammalian mitochondrial β-oxidation. *Biochem J.* 320:345-57

- Elble, R. (1992) A simple and efficient procedure for transformation of yeasts. *Biotechniques* 13:18-20
- Elliot, B. M., Dodd, N. J. F., and Elcombe, C. R. (1986) Increased hydroxyl radical production in liver peroxisomal fractions from rats treated with peroxisome proliferators. *Carcinogenesis* 7:795-799
- Elliot, B. M. and Elcombe, C. R. (1987) Lack of DNA damage or lipid peroxidation measured *in vivo* in the rat liver following treatment with peroxisomal proliferators. *Carcinogenesis* 8:1213-1218
- Evans, R. M. (1988) The steroid and thyroid hormone receptor superfamily. *Science* 240:889-895
- Fajas. L., Auboeuf, D., Raspe, E., Schoonjans, K., Lefebvre, A. M., Saladin, R., Najib, J., Laville, M., Fruchart, J. C., Deeb, S., Vidal-Puig, A., Flier, J., Briggs, M. R., Staels, B., Vidal, H., and Auwerx, J. (1997) The organization, promoter analysis, and expression of the human PPAR γ gene. J. Biol. Chem. 272:18779-18789
- Fajas, L., Fruchart, J. C., and Auwerx , J. (1998) PPAR $\gamma$ 3 mRNA: a distinct PPAR  $\gamma$  mRNA subtype transcribed from an independent promoter. *FEBS Lett.* 438:55-60
- Farsetti, A., Desvergne, B., Hallenbeck, P., Robbins, J., and Nikodem, V. M. (1992) Characterization of myelin basic protein thyroid hormone response element and its function in the context of native and heterologous promoter. J. Biol. Chem. 1992 267:15784-15788
- Feltkamp, D., Wiebel, F. F., Alberti, S., and Gustafsson, J.-Å. (1999) Identification of a novel DNA binding site for nuclear orphan receptor OR1. J. Biol. Chem. 274:10421-10429

- Feng, W., Ribeiro, R. C., Wagner, R. L., Nguyen, H., Apriletti, J. W., Fletterick, R. J. Baxter, J. D., Kushner, P. J., and West, B. L. (1998) Hormone-dependent coactivator binding to a hydrophobic cleft on nuclear receptors. *Science* 280:1747-1749
- Foliot, A., Touchard, D., and Mallet, L. (1986) Inhibition of liver glutathione S-transferase activity in rats by hypolipidemic drugs related or unrelated to clofibrate. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 35:1685-1690
- Fondell, J. D., Ge, H., and Roeder, R. G. (1996) Ligand induction of a transcriptionally active thyroid hormone receptor coactivator complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:8329-8333
- Ford, J., McEwan, I. J., Wright, A. P., and Gustafsson, J. A. (1997) Involvement of the transcription factor IID protein complex in gene activation by the N-terminal transactivation domain of the glucocorticoid receptor *in vitro*. *Mol. Endocrinol*. 11:1467-1475
- Forman, B. M., Chen, J., and Evans, R. M. (1997) Crosstalk among ROR  $\alpha$  1 and the RevErb family of orphan nuclear receptors. Hypolipidemic drugs, polyunsaturated fatty acids. and eicosanoids are ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors  $\alpha$ and  $\delta$ . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94:4312-4317
- Forman, B. M, Chen, J., Blumberg, B., Kliewer, S.A., Henshaw, R., Ong, E.S., and Evans, R.M. (1994) Crosstalk among ROR  $\alpha$  1 and the RevErb family of orphan nuclear receptors. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8:1253-1261
- Forman, B. M., Tzameli, I., Choi, H.-S., Chen, J., Simha, D., Seol, W., Evans, R. M., and Moore, D. D. (1998) Crosstalk among ROR α 1 and the RevErb family of orphan nuclear receptors. *Nature* 395:612-615

- Fraser, J. D. Martinez, V., Straney, R., and Briggs, M. R. (1998) DNA binding and transcription activation specificity of hepatocyte nuclear factor-4. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 26:2702-2707
- Freedman, L. P. (1999a) Increasing the complexity of coactivation in nuclear receptor signaling. *Cell* 97:5-8
- Freedman, L. P. (1999b) Strategies for transcriptional activation by steroid/nuclear receptors. J. Cell Biochem. 32-33:103-109
- Furukawa, K., Numoto, S., Furuya, K., Furukawa, N. T., and Williams, G. M. (1985) Effects of the hepatocarcinogen nafenopin, a peroxisome proliferator, on the activities of rat liver glutathione-requiring enzymes and catalase in comparison to the action of phenobarbital. *Cancer Res.* 145:5011-5019
- Garabedian, M. J. and Yamamoto, K. R. (1992) Genetic dissection of the signaling domain of a mammalian steroid receptor in yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* 3:1245-1257
- Gary, J. D. and Clarke, S. (1998) RNA and protein interactions modulated by protein arginine methylation. *Prog. Nucleic Acids Res. Mol. Biol.* 61:65-131
- Gearing, K. L., Gottlicher, M., Teboul, M., Widmark, E., and Gustafsson, J. Å. (1993) Interaction of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and retinoid X receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90:1440-1444
- Gelman, L., Fruchart, J. C., and Auwerx, J. (1999) An update on the mechanisms of action of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) and their roles in inflammation and cancer. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 55:932-943

- Gervois. P., Chopin-Delannoy, S., Fadel, A., Dubois, G., Kosykh, V., Fruchart, J.C., Najib, J., Laudet, V., and Staels, B. (1999) Fibrates increase human RevErb  $\alpha$  expression in liver via a novel peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response element. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 13:400-409
- Gharbi-Chihi, J., Teboul, M., Bismuth, J., Bonne, J., and Torresani, J. (1993) Increase of adipose differentiation by hypolipidemic fibrate drugs in Ob 17 preadipocytes: requirment for thyroid hormones. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1177:8-14
- Giguère, V. (1994) Retinoic acid receptors and cellular retinoid binding proteins: complex interplay in retinoid signaling. *Endocr. Rev.* 15:61-79
- Giguère, V. (1999) Orphan nuclear receptors: from gene to function. *Endocr. Rev.* 20:689-725
- Gilbert, D. M., Heery, D. M., Losson, R., Chambon, P., and Lemoine, Y. (1993) Estradiol-inducible squelching and cell growth arrest by a chimeric VP16-estrogen receptor expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: suppression by an aliele of PDR1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13:462-472
- Glass, C. K. (1994) Differential recognition of target genes by nuclear receptor monomers. dimers, and heterodimers. *Endocr. Rev.* 15:391-407
- Glass, C. K., Holloway, J. M., Devary, O. V., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1988) The thyroid hormone receptor binds with opposite transcriptional effects to a common sequence motif in thyroid hormone and estrogen response elements. *Cell* 54:313-323
- Glass, C. K., Lipkin, S. M., Devary, O. V., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1989) Positive and negative regulation of gene transcription by a retinoic acid-thyroid hormone receptor heterodimer. *Cell* 59:697-708

- Glass, C. K., Rose, D. W., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1997) Nuclear receptor coactivators. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 9:222-232
- Gonzalez, F. J. (1997) Recent update on the PPAR α-null mouse. *Biochimie*. 79:139-144
- Goodrich, J. A. and Tjian, R. (1994) TBP-TAF complexes: selectivity factors for eukaryotic transcription. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 6:403-409
- Goodrich, J. A., Cutler, G., and Tjian, R. (1996) Contacts in context: promoter specificity and macromolecular interactions in transcription. *Cell* 84:825-830
- Göttlicher, M., Widmark, E., Li, Q., and Gustafsson, J.-Å. (1992) Fatty acids activate a chimera of the clofibric acid-activated receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89:4653-4657
- Graham, F. L. and Eb, A.J. van der., (1973) A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus 5 DNA. *Virology* 52:456-467
- Granneman, J., Skoff, R., and Yang, X. (1998) Member of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor family of transcription factors is differentially expressed by oligodendrocytes. J. Neurosci. Res. 51:563-573
- Green, S. (1992) Peroxisome proliferators: a model for receptor mediated carcinogenesis. *Cancer Surveys* 14:221-32
- Green, S., and Wahli, W. (1994) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: finding the orphan a home. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 100:149-153
- Greenway, F. L., Ryan, D. H., Bray, G. A., Rood, J. C., Tucker, E. W., and Smith, S. R. (1999) Pharmaceutical cost savings of treating obesity with weight loss medications. *Obesity Research* 7:523-531

- Gronmeyer, H. (1992) Control of transcription activation by steroid hormone receptors. FASEB J. 6:2524-2529
- Gu, W., Malik, S., Ito, M., Yuan, C. -X., Fondell, J. D., Zhang, X., Martinez, E., Qin, J., and Roeder, R. G. (1999) A novel human SRB/MED-containing cofactor complex, SMCC, involved in transcription regulation. *Mol. Cell* 3:97-108
- Guardiola-Diaz, H. M. Rehnmark, S., Usuda, N., Albrektsen, T., Feltkamp, D., Gustafsson, J.
  A., and Alexson, S. E. (1999) Rat peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and brown adipose tissue function during cold acclimatization. J. Biol. Chem. 274:23368-23377
- Gulick, T. Cresci, S., Caira, T., Moore, D. D., and Kelly, D. P. (1994) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor regulates mitochondrial fatty acid oxidative enzyme gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 91:11012-11016
- Gupta, R. C., Goel, S. K., Earley, K., Singh, B., and Reddy, J. K. (1985) <sup>32</sup>P-postlabeling analysis of peroxisome proliferator-DNA adduct formation in rat liver *in vivo* and hepatocytes *in vitro*. *Carcinogenesis* 6:933-6
- Gustafsson, J. Å. (1998) Fatty acids in control of gene expression. *Nutr. Rev.* 56:54-75
- Gustafsson, J. Å. (1999) Seeking ligands for lonely orphan receptors. Science 284:1285-1286
- Hajra, A. K. and Bishop, J. E. (1982) Glycerolipid biosynthesis in peroxisomes via the acyl dihydroxyacetone phosphate pathway. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 386:170-182
- Hajra, A. K. Burke, C. L, and Jones, C. L. (1979) Subcellular localization of acyl coenzyme A: dihydroxyacetone phosphate acyltransferase in rat liver peroxisomes (microbodies). J. Biol. Chem. 254:10896-10900

- Hall, B. L. Smit-McBride, Z., and Privalsky, M.
  L. (1993) Reconstitution of retinoid X receptor function and combinatorial regulation of other nuclear hormone receptors in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 90:6929-6933
- Hammer, G. D., Krylova, I., Zhang, Y., Darimont, B. D., Simpson, K., Weigel, N. L., and Ingraham, H. A. (1999) Phosphorylation of the nuclear receptor SF-1 modulates cofactor recruitment: integration of hormone signaling in reproduction and stress. *Mol. Cell*. 3:521-526
- Hanish, J. and McClelland, M. (1988) Activity of DNA modification and restriction enzymes in KGB, a potassium glutamate buffer. *Gene Anal. Tech.* 5:105-107
- Harding H. P., and Lazar, M. A. (1993) The orphan receptor RevErbα activates transcription via a novel response element. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13:3113-3121
- Harding, H. P., and Lazar, M. A. (1995) The monomer-binding orphan receptor RevErbα represses transcription as a dimer on a novel direct repeat. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:4791-4802
- Hashimoto, T. (1987) Peroxisomes in Biology & Medicine, Fahimi, H. D. and Sies, H. editors (Springer-Verlag Publishers, New York)
- Hashimoto, T. (1996) Peroxisomal β-oxidation: enzymology and molecular biology. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 804:86-98
- Hawkins, J. M., Jones, W. E., Bonner, F. W., and Gibson, G. G. (1987) The effect of peroxsiome proliferators on microsomal, peroxisomal, and mitochondrial enzyme activity in the liver and kidney. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 18:441-515
- He. T. C., Chan, T. A, Vogelstein, B., and Kinzler, K. W. (1999) PPAR δ is an APC-regulated target of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Cell* 99:335-345

- Heery, D. M., Zacharewski, T., Pierrat, B., Gronemeyer, H., Chambon, P., and Losson, R. (1993) Efficient transactivation by retinoic acid receptors in yeast requires retinoid X receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 90:4281-4285
- Heinzel, T., Lavinsky, R. M., Mullen, T. M., Soderstrom, M., Laherty, C. D., Torchia, J., Yang, W. M., Brard, G., Ngo, S. D., Davie, J. R., Seto, E., Eisenman, R. N., Rose, D. W., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1997) A complex containing N-CoR, mSin3 and histone deacetylase mediates transcriptional repression. *Nature* 387:43-48
- Henry, K., O'Brien, M. L., Clevenger, W., Jow, L., and Noonan, D. J. (1995) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor response specificities as defined in yeast and mammalian cell transcription assays. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 132:317-324
- Hertz, R., Bishara-Shieban, J., and Bar-Tana, J. (1995) Mode of action of peroxisome proliferators as hypolipidemic drugs. Suppression of apolipoprotein C-III. J. Biol. Chem. 270:13470-13475
- Hertz, R., Kalderon, B., and Bar-Tana, J. (1993) Thyromimetic effect of peroxisome proliferators. *Biochimie*, 75:257-261
- Hess, R., Staubli, W., and Riess, W. (1965) Nature of the hepatomegalic effect produced by ethyl-chlorophenoxy-isobutyrate in the rat. *Nature* 208:856-859
- Hijikata, M., Wen, J. K., Osumi, T., and Hashimoto, T. (1990) Rat peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase gene. Occurrence of two closely related but differentially regulated genes. J. Biol. Chem. 265:4600-4606
- Hiltunen, J. K., Filppula, S. A., Koivuranta, K. T., Siivari, K., Qin, Y. M., Hayrinen, H. M. (1996) Peroxisomal β-oxidation and polyunsaturated fatty acids. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 804:116-128

- Hong, H., Kohli, K., Trivedi, A., Johnson, D. L., Stallcup, M. R. (1996) GRIP1, a novel mouse protein that serves as a transcriptional coactivator in yeast for the hormone binding domains of steroid receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:4948-4952
- Honkakoski. P. and Negishi, M. (1998) Regulatory DNA elements of phenobarbital-responsive cytochrome P450 CYP2B genes J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 12:3-9
- Honkakoski, P., Zelko, I., Sueyoshi, T., and Negishi, M. (1998) The nuclear orphan receptor CAR-retinoid X receptor heterodimer activates the phenobarbital-responsive enhancer module of the CYP2B gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:5652-5658
- Hopps, H. E., Bernham, B. C., Nisalak, A., Tijo, J. H., and Smadel, J. E. (1963) Biologic characteristics of a continuous kidney sell line derived from the African green monkey J. Immunol. 91:416-424
- Hörlein, A. J., Naar, A. M., Heinzel, T., Torchia, J., Gloss, B., Kurokawa, R., Ryan, A., Kamai, Y., Soderstrom, M., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1995) Ligand-independent repression by the thyroid hormone receptor mediated by a nuclear receptor co-repressor. *Nature* 377:397-404
- Horwitz, K. B., Jackson ,T. A., Bain, D. L., Richer, J. K., Takimoto, G. S., and Tung, L. (1996) Nuclear receptor coactivators and corepressors. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 10:1167-1177
- Howell, B. W., Lagace, M., and Shore, G. C. (1989) Activity of the carbamyl phosphate synthetase I promoter in liver nuclear extracts is dependent on a cis-acting C/EBP recognition element. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9:2928-2933

- Hsu, M.-H., Palmer, C.N.A., Song, W., Griffin, K.J., and Johnson, E.F. (1998) A carboxylterminal extension of the zinc finger domain contributes to the specificity and polarity of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor DNA binding. J. Biol. Chem. 273:27988-27997
- Hu, E., Kim, J. B., Sarraf, P., and Spiegelman, B.
  M. (1996) Inhibition of adipogenesis through MAP kinase-mediated phosphorylation of PPAR γ. Science 274:2100-2103
- Huber, W. W., Grasl-Kraupp, B., and Schulte-Hermann, R. (1996)
  Hepatocarcinogenic potential of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in rodents and its implications on human risk. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 26:365-481
- Huss, J. M., Wang, S. I., Astrom, A., McQuiddy, P., and Kasper, C. B. (1996) Dexamethasone responsiveness of a major glucocorticoidinducible CYP3A gene is mediated by elements unrelated to a glucocorticoid receptor binding motif. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:4666-4670
- Huynh, T. V., Young, R. A., and Davis, R. W. (1985) DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach.
  Vol. I Glover, D. M. editor (IRL Press. Oxford)
- IJpenberg, A., Jeannin, E., Wahli, W., and Desvergne, B. (1997) Polarity and specific sequence requirements of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)/retinoid X receptor heterodimer binding to DNA. A functional analysis of the malic enzyme gene PPAR response element. J. Biol. Chem. 272:20108-20117
- Ikeda, M., Rhee, M., and Chin, W. W. (1994) Thyroid hormone receptor monomer. homodimer, and heterodimer (with retinoid-X receptor) contact different nucleotide sequences in thyroid hormone response elements. *Endocrinology* 135:1628-1638

- Ikeda, Y., Lala, D. S., Luo, X., Kim, E., Moisan, M. P., and Parker, K.L. (1993) Characterization of the mouse FTZ-F1 gene, which encodes a key regulator of steroid hydroxylase gene expression. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 7:852-860
- Imakado, S., Bickenbach, J. R., Bundman, D. S., Rothnagel, J. A., Attar, P. S., Wang, X. J., Walczak, V. R. Wisniewski, S., Pote, J., Gordon, J. S. and Heyman, R. A. (1995) Targeting expression of a dominant-negative retinoic acid receptor mutant in the epidermis of transgenic mice results in loss of barrier function. *Genes Dev.* 9317-9329
- Issemann, I. and Green, S. (1990) Activation of a member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily by peroxisome proliferators. *Nature* 347:645-650
- Issemann, I., Prince, R., Tugwood, J., and Green, S. (1992) A role for fatty acids and liver fatty acid binding protein in peroxisome proliferation? *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 20:824-827
- Issemann, I., Prince, R. A., Tugwood, J. D., and Green, S. (1993) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor:retinoid X receptor heterodimer is activated by fatty acids and fibrate hypolipidaemic drugs. J. Mol. Endocrinol. 11:37-47
- Ito, H., Fukuda, Y., Murata, K., and Kimura, A. (1983) Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153:163-168
- Ito, M., Yuan, C. X., Malik, S., Gu, W., Fondell, J. D., Yamamura, S., Fu, Z. Y., Zhang, X., Qin, J., and Roeder, R. G. (1999) Identity between TRAP and SMCC complexes indicates novel pathways for the function of nuclear receptors and diverse mammalian activators. *Mol. Cell.* 3:361-370

- Jackson, T. A., Richer, J. K., Bain, D. L., Takimoto, G. S., Tung, L., and Horwitz, K. B. (1997) The partial agonist activity of antagonist-occupied steroid receptors is controlled by a novel hinge domain-binding coactivator L7/SPA and the corepressors N-CoR or SMRT. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11:693-705
- Jacq, X., Brou, C., Lutz, Y., Davidson, I., Chambon, P., and Tora, L. (1994) Human TAFII30 is present in a distinct TFIID complex and is required for transcriptional activation by the estrogen receptor. *Cell* 79:107-117
- Janknecht, R. and Hunter, T. (1996) Transcription. A growing coactivator network. *Nature* 383:22-23
- Janowski, B. A., Willy, P. J., Devi, T. R., Falck, J. R., and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (1996) An oxysterol signalling pathway mediated by the nuclear receptor LXR α. *Nature* 383:728-731
- Jensen, E. V. (1996) Steroid hormones, receptors, and antagonists. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 784:1-17
- Jin, C. H. and Pike, J. W. (1996) Human vitamin D receptor-dependent transactivation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires retinoid X receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 10:196-205
- Johansson, L., Thomsen, J. S., Damdimopoulos, A. E., Spyrou, G., Gustafsson, J. A.,and Treuter, E. (1999) The orphan nuclear receptor SHP inhibits agonist-dependent transcriptional activity of estrogen receptors ER  $\alpha$  and ER  $\beta$ . J. Biol. Chem. 274:345-353
- Johansson, L., Båvner, A., Thomsen, J. S., Färnegårdh, M., Gustafsson, J. -Å, and Treuter, E (2000) The orphan nuclear receptor SHP utilizes conserved LXXLLrelated motifs for interactions with ligandactivated estrogen receptors. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 20:1124-1133

- Johnson, T. E., Holloway, M. K., Vogel, R., Rutledge, S. J., Perkins, J. J., Rodan, G. A., and Schmidt, A. (1997) Structural requirements and cell-type specificity for ligand activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. J. Steroid. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 63:1-8
- Jow. L. and Mukherjee, R. (1995) The human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) subtypeNUC1 represses the activation of hPPAR  $\alpha$  and thyroid hormone receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270:3836-3840
- Juge-Aubrey, C., Pernin, A., Favez, T., Burger, A. G., Wahli, W., Meier, C. A., and Desvergne, B. (1997) DNA binding properties of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor subtypes on various natural peroxisome proliferator response elements. Importance of the 5'-flanking region. J. Biol. Chem. 272:25252-25259
- Juge-Aubry, C. E. Gorla-Bajszczak, A., Pernin, A., Lemberger, T., Wahli, W., Burger, A. G. and Meier C. A. (1995) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor mediates crosstalk with thyroid hormone receptor by competition for retinoid X receptor. Possible role of a leucine zipper-like heptad repeat. J. Biol. Chem. 270:18117-18122
- Juge-Aubry, C. E., Hammar, E., Siegrist-Kaiser, C., Pernin, A., Takeshita, A., Chin, W. W., Burger A. G. and Meier, C. A. (1999) Regulation of the transcriptional activity of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  by phosphorylation of a ligand-independent trans-activating domain. J. Biol. Chem. 274:10505-10510

- Jurutka, P. W., Hsieh, J. C., Remus, L. S., Whitfield, G. K., Thompson, P. D., Haussler, C. A., Blanco, J. C., Ozato, K., and Haussler, M. R. (1997) Mutations in the 1.25-dihydroxyvitaminD3 receptor identifying C-terminal amino acids required for transcriptional activation that are functionally dissociated from hormone binding, heterodimeric DNA binding, and interaction with basal transcription factor IIB, *in vitro*. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14592-14599
- Kaikaus, R. M., Chan, W. K., Lysenko, N., Ray,
  R., Ortiz de Montellano, P. R., and Bass, N.
  M. (1993) Induction of peroxisomal fatty acid
  β-oxidation and liver fatty acid-binding protein by peroxisome proliferators. Mediation via the cytochrome P-450IVA1 omega-hydroxylase pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 268:9593-9603
- Kallen, C. B. and Lazar, M. A (1996) Antidiabetic thiazolidinediones inhibit leptin (ob) gene expression in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:5793-5796
- Kamei, Y., Kawada, T., Fukuwatari, T., Ono, T., Kato, S., and Sugimoto, E. (1995) Cloning and sequencing of the gene encoding the mouse vitamin D receptor. *Gene* 152:281-282
- Kamei, Y., Xu, L., Heinzel, T., Torchia, J., Kurokawa, R., Gloss, B., Lin, S. C., Heyman, R. A., Rose, D. W. Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1996) A CBP integrator complex mediates transcriptional activation and AP-1 inhibition by nuclear receptors. *Cell* 85:403-414
- Kawamoto, T., Sueyoshi, T., Zelko, I., Moore, R., Washburn, K., and Negishi, M. (1999) Phenobarbital-responsive nuclear translocation of the receptor CAR in induction of the CYP2B gene. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19:6318-6322

- Kawasaki, H., Eckner, R., Yao, T. P., Taira, K., Chiu, R., Livingston, D. M., and Yokoyama, K. K. (1998) Distinct roles of the co-activators p300 and CBP in retinoic-acid-induced F9-cell differentiation. *Nature* 393:284-289
- Keightley, M. C. (1998) Steroid receptor isoforms: exception or rule? Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 137:1-5
- Keller, H., Mahfoudi, A., Dreyer, C., Hihi, A. K., Medin, J., Ozato, K., and Wahli, W. (1993) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors and lipid metabolism. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 684:157-173
- Kephart, D. D., Walfish, P. G., DeLuca, H., and Butt, T. R. (1996) Retinoid X receptor isotype identity directs human vitamin D receptor heterodimer transactivation from the 24-hydroxylase vitamin D response elements in yeast. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 10:408-419
- Kersten, S., Desvergne, B., and Wahli, W. (2000) Roles of PPARs in health and disease. *Nature* 405:421-424
- Kingston, R. E. (1999) A shared but complex bridge. *Nature* 399:199-200
- Kliewer, S. A., Forman, B. M., Blumberg, B., Ong, E. S., Borgmeyer, U., Mangelsdorf, D. J. Umesono, K., and Evans, R. M. (1994)
  Differential expression and activation of a family of murine peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 91:7355-7359
- Kliewer, S. A., Lehmann, J. M., and Willson, T. M. (1999) Orphan nuclear receptors: shifting endocrinology into reverse. *Science* 284:757-760
- Kliewer, S. A., Lenhard, J. M., Willson, T. M., Patel, I., Morris, D. C., and Lehmann, J. (1995) A prostaglandin J2 metabolite binds peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$ and promotes adipocyte differentiation *Cell* 83:813-819

- Kliewer, S. A., Moore, J. T., Wade, L., Staudinger, J. L., Watson, M. A., Jones, S. A., McKee, D. D., Oliver, B. B., Willson, T. M., Zetterstrom, R. H., Perlmann, T., and Lehmann, J. M. (1998) An orphan nuclear receptor activated by pregnanes defines a novel steroid signaling pathway. *Cell* 92:73-82
- Kliewer, S. A., Sundseth, S. S., Jones, S. A., Brown, P. J., Wisely, G. B., Koble, C. S., Devchand, P., Wahli, W., Willson, T. M., Lenhard, J. M., and Lehmann, J. M. (1997) Fatty acids and eicosanoids regulate gene expression through direct interactions with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors  $\alpha$ and  $\gamma$ . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94:4318-4323
- Kliewer, S. A., Umesono, K., Mangelsdorf, D. J., and Evans, R. M. (1992a) Retinoid X receptor interacts with nuclear receptors in retinoic acid, thyroid hormone and vitamin D3 signalling. *Nature* 355:446-449
- Kliewer, S. A., Umesono, K., Noonan, D. J., Heyman, R. A., and Evans, R. M. (1992b) Convergence of 9-cis retinoic acid and peroxisome proliferator signalling pathways through heterodimer formation of their receptors. *Nature* 358:771-774
- Korzus, E., Torchia, J., Rose, D. W., Xu, L., Kurokawa, R., McInerney, E. M., Mullen, T. M., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1998) Transcription factor-specific requirements for coactivators and their acetyltransferase functions. *Science* 279:703-707
- Kralli, A., Bohen, S. P., and Yamamoto, K.R. (1995) LEM1, an ATP-binding-cassette transporter, selectively modulates the biological potency of steroid hormones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92:4701-4705
- Krey, G., Braissant, O., L'Horset, F., Kalkhoven, E., Perroud, M., Parker, M. G., and Wahli, W. (1997) Fatty acids, eicosanoids, and hypolipidemic agents identified as ligands of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors by coactivator-dependent receptor ligand assay. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11:779-791

- Kumar, R. and Thompson, E. B. (1999) The structure of the nuclear hormone receptors. *Steroids* 64:310-319
- Kurokawa, R., DiRenzo J., Boehm, M., Sugarman, J., Gloss, B., Rosenfeld, M. G., Heyman, R. A., and Glass, C. K. (1994) Regulation of retinoid signalling by receptor polarity and allosteric control of ligand binding. *Nature* 371:528-531
- Kurokawa, R., Soderstrom, M., Horlein, A., Halachmi, S., Brown, M., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Glass, C. K. (1995) Polarity-specific activities of retinoic acid receptors determined by a co-repressor. *Nature* 377:451-454
- Kwok, R. P., Lundblad, J. R., Chrivia, J. C., Richards, J. P., Bachinger, H. P., Brennan, R. G., Roberts, S. G., Green, M. R., and Goodman, R. H. (1994) Nuclear protein CBP is a coactivator for the transcription factor CREB. *Nature* 370:223-226
- Ladias. J. A., Hadzopoulou-Cladaras, M., Kardassis, D., Cardot, P., Cheng, J., Zannis, V., and Cladaras, C. (1992) Transcriptional regulation of human apolipoprotein genes ApoB. ApoCIII, and ApoAII by members of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily HNF-4, ARP-1, EAR-2, and EAR-3. J. Biol. Chem 267:15849-15860
- Laemmli, U. K. (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227:680-685
- Lambe, K.G., and Tugwood, J.D. (1996) A human peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  is activated by inducers of adipogenesis, including thiazolidinedione drugs. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 239:1-7
- Lanz., R. B., McKenna, N. J., Oñate, S. A., Albrecht, U., Wong, J., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1999) A steroid receptor coactivator, SRA, functions as an RNA and is present in a SRC-1 complex. *Cell* 97:17-27

- Latruffe, N. and Vamecq, J. (1997) Peroxisome proliferators and peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) as regulators of lipid metabolism. *Biochimie*. 79:81-94
- Lavinsky, R. M., Jepsen, K., Heinzel, T., Torchia, J., Mullen, T. M., Schiff, R., Del-Rio, A. L., Ricote, M., Ngo, S., Gemsch, J., Hilsenbeck, S. G., Osborne, C. K., Glass, C. K., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Rose, D. W. (1998) Diverse signaling pathways modulate nuclear receptor recruitment of N-CoR and SMRT complexes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95:2920-2925
- Lazar, M. A., Hodin, R. A., Darling, D. S., and Chin, W. W. (1989) A novel member of the thyroid/steroid hormone receptor family is encoded by the opposite strand of the rat c-erbA α transcriptional unit. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9:1128-1136
- Lazar, M. A., Jones, K. E., and Chin, W. W. (1990) Isolation of a cDNA encoding human RevErbα: transcription from the noncoding DNA strand of a thyroid hormone receptor gene results in a related protein that does not bind thyroid hormone. *DNA Cell Biol.* 9:77-83
- Lazarow, P. B. (1978) Rat liver peroxisomes catalyze the  $\beta$  oxidation of fatty acids. *J. Biol. Chem.* 253:1522-1528
- Lazarow, P. B. and de Duve, C. (1976) A fatty acyl-CoA oxidizing system in rat liver peroxisomes; enhancement by clofibrate, a hypolipidemic drug. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 73:2043-2046
- Lazarow, P. B. and Fujiki, Y. (1985) Post-translational import of fatty acyl-CoA oxidase and catalase into peroxisomes of rat liver in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. 260:5603-5609
- Lazarow, P. B. and Moser, H. W. (1994) Disorders of Peroxisome Biogenesis. The Metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease. Scriver, C. R., Beaudet, A., L., Sly, W. S., and Valle, D. editors. (McGraw-Hill, New York)

- Le Douarin, B., Nielsen, A. L., Garnier, J. M., Ichinose, H., Jeanmougin, F., Losson, R., and Chambon, P. (1996) A possible involvement of TIF1  $\alpha$  and TIF1  $\beta$  in the epigenetic control of transcription by nuclear receptors. *EMBO* J. 15:6701-6715
- Le Douarin, B., Zechel, C., Garnier, J. M., Lutz. Y., Tora, L., Pierrat, P., Heery, D., Gronemeyer, H., Chambon, P., and Losson, R. (1995) The N-terminal part of TIF1, a putative mediator of the ligand-dependent activation function (AF2) of nuclear receptors. is fused to B-raf in the oncogenic protein T18. *EMBO J.* 14:2020-2033
- Lee, J. W., Choi, H. S., Gyuris, J., Brent, R., and Moore, D. D. (1995) Two classes of proteins dependent on either the presence or absence of thyroid hormone for interaction with the thyroid hormone receptor. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 9:243-254
- Lee, M. J., Gee, P., and Beard, S. E. (1997) Detection of peroxisome proliferators using a reporter construct derived from the rat acyl-CoA oxidase promoter in the rat liver cell line H4IIE. *Cancer Res.* 57:1575-1579
- Lee, S.-K., Anzick, S. L., Choi, J. E., Bubendorf,
  L., Guan, X. Y., Jung, Y. K., Kallioniemi, O.
  P., Kononen, J., Trent, J. M., Azorsa, D.,
  Jhun, B. H., Cheong, J. H., Lee, Y. C.,
  Meltzer, P. S., and Lee, J. W. (1999) A
  nuclear factor, ASC-2, as a cancer-amplified
  transcriptional coactivator essential for
  ligand-dependent transactivation by nuclear
  receptors *in vivo. J. Biol. Chem.*274:34283-34293
- Lee, S. S., Pineau, T., Drago, J., Lee, E. J., Owens, J. W., Kroetz, D. L., Fernandez-Salguero, P. M., Westphal, H., and Gonzalez, F. J. (1995) Targetted disruption of the  $\alpha$  isoform of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gene in mice results in abolishment of the pleiotropic effects of peroxisome proliferators. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:3012-3022

- Lee, Y. K. Dell, H., Dowhan, D. H., Hadzopoulou-Cladaras, M., and Moore, D. D. (2000) The orphan nuclear receptor SHP inhibits hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 and retinoid X receptor transactivation: two mechanisms for repression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20:187-195
- Leers, J., Treuter, E., and Gustafsson, J. A. (1998) Mechanistic principles in NR box-dependent interaction between nuclear hormone receptors and the coactivator TIF2. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:6001-6013
- Lefebvre, A. M., Chen, I., Desreumaux, P., Najib. J., Fruchart, J. C., Geboes, K., Briggs, M., Heyman, R., and Auwerx, J. (1998) Activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ promotes the development of colon tumors in C57BL/6J-APCMin/+ mice. Nat. Med. 4:1053-1057
- Lefstin, J. A. and Yamamoto, K. R. (1998) Allosteric effects of DNA on transcriptional regulators. *Nature* 392:885-888
- Lehmann, J. M, Jong, L., Fanjul, A., Cameron, J. F., Lu, X. P., Haefner, P., Dawson, M. I. and Pfahl, M. (1992) Retinoids selective for retinoid X receptor response pathways. *Science* 258:1944-1946
- Lehmann, J. M. Lenhard, J. M., Oliver, B. B., Ringold, G. M., and Kliewer, S. A. (1997) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors  $\alpha$ and  $\gamma$  are activated by indomethacin and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:3406-3410
- Lehmann, J. M., Moore, L.B., Smith-Oliver, T. A., Wilkinson, W. O., Willson, T. M., and Kliewer, S. A. (1995) An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ). J. Biol. Chem. 270:12953-12956
- Lehninger, A. L., Nelson, D. L., and Cox, M. M. (1993) *Principles of Biochemistry* (Worth Publishers, New York)

- Leibowitz. M. D., Fievet, C., Hennuyer, N., Peinado-Onsurbe, J., Duez, H., Berger, J., Cullinan, C. A., Sparrow, C. P., Baffic, J., Berger, G. D., Santini, C., Marquis, R. W., Tolman, R. L., Smith, R. G., Moller, D. E., and Auwerx, J. (2000) Activation of PPAR  $\delta$ alters lipid metabolism in db/db mice. *FEBS Lett.* 473:333-336
- Leid. M., Kastner, P., Lyons, R., Nakshatri, H., Saunders, M., Zacharewski, T., Chen, J. Y., Staub, A., Garnier, J. M., Mader, S., and Chambon, P. (1992) Purification, cloning, and RXR identity of the HeLa cell factor with which RAR or TR heterodimerizes to bind target sequences efficiently. *Cell* 68:377-395
- Lemberger, T., Desvergne, B., and Wahli, W. (1996) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: a nuclear receptor signaling pathway in lipid physiology. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 12:335-363
- Leo. C. and Chen, J. D. (2000) The SRC family of nuclear receptor coactivators. *Gene* 245:1-11
- Levin, A. A., Sturzenbecker, L. J., Kazmer, S., Bosakowski, T., Huselton, C., Allenby, G., Speck, J., Kratzeisen, C., Rosenberger, M., Lovey, A., and Grippo, J. F. (1992) 9-cis retinoic acid stereoisomer binds and activates the nuclear receptor RXRα. *Nature* 355:359-361
- Li, H., Leo, C., Schroen, D. J., and Chen, J. D. (1997) Characterization of receptor interaction and transcriptional repression by the corepressor SMRT. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11:2025-2037
- Lim, H., Gupta, R. A., Ma, W. G., Paria, B. C., Moller, D. E., Morrow, J. D., DuBois, R. N., Trzaskos, J. M., and Dey, S. K. (1999) Cyclo-oxygenase-2-derived prostacyclin mediates embryo implantation in the mouse via PPAR δ. *Genes Dev.* 13:1561-1574

- Liu, Q. and Linney, E. (1993) The mouse retinoid-X receptor-γ gene: genomic organization and evidence for functional isoforms. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 7:651-658
- Lock, E. A., Mitchell, A. M., and Elcombe, C. R. (1989) Biochemical mechanisms of induction of hepatic peroxisome proliferation. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 29:145-163
- Luisi, B. F., Xu, W. X., Otwinowski, Z., Freedman, L. P., Yamamoto, K. R., and Sigler, P. B. (1991) Crystallographic analysis of the interaction of the glucocorticoid receptor with DNA. *Nature* 352:497-505
- Lynch, J. P., Lala, D. S., Peluso, J. J., Luo, W., Parker, K. L., and White, B. A. (1993) Steroidogenic factor 1. an orphan nuclear receptor, regulates the expression of the rat aromatase gene in gonadal tissues. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 7:776-786
- Ma, H., Hong, H., Huang, S. M., Irvine, R. A., Webb, P., Kushner, P. J., Coetzee, G. A., and Stallcup, M. R. (1999) Multiple signal input and output domains of the 160-kilodalton nuclear receptor coactivator proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19:6164-6173
- Mader, S., Leroy, P., Chen, J. Y., and Chambon, P. (1993) Multiple parameters control the selectivity of nuclear receptors for their response elements. Selectivity and promiscuity in response element recognition by RAR and RXR. J. Biol. Chem. 268:591-600
- Mangelsdorf, D. J. and Evans, R. M. (1995) The RXR heterodimers and orphan receptors. *Cell* 83:841-850
- Mangelsdorf, D. J., Borgmeyer, U., Heyman, R. A., Zhou, J. Y, Ong, E. S. Oro, A. E, Kakizuka ,A., and Evans, R. M. (1992) Characterization of three RXR genes that mediate the action of 9-cis retinoic acid. *Genes Dev.* 6:329-344

- Mangelsdorf, D. J., Ong, E. S. Dyck, J.A., and Evans, R. M. (1990) Nuclear receptor that identifies a novel retinoic acid response pathway. *Nature* 345:224-229
- Mangelsdorf, D. J. Thummel, C., Beato, M., Herrlich, P., Schutz, G., Umesono, K., Blumberg, B., Kastner, P., Mark, M., Chambon, P., and Evans, R. M. (1995) The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. *Cell* 83:835-839
- Maniatis, T. Fritsch, E. F., and Sambrook, J. (1982) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (Cold Spring Harbor, New York)
- Mannaerts, G. P. and Debeer, L. J. (1982) Mitochondrial and peroxisomal β-oxidation of fatty acids in rat liver. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 386:30-39
- Mannaerts, G. P. and van Veldhoven, P.P. (1990) The peroxisome: functional properties in health and disease. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 18:87-89
- Mannaerts, G. P. and van Veldhoven, P. P. (1993) Metabolic pathways in mammalian peroxisomes. *Biochimie*. 75:147-158
- Mannaerts, G. P. and van Veldhoven, P. P. (1996) Functions and organization of peroxisomal β-oxidation. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 804:99-115
- Marcus, S. L., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. (1996) Identification of COUP-TFII as a peroxisome proliferator response element binding factor using genetic selection in yeast: COUP-TFII activates transcription in yeast but antagonizes PPAR signaling in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 120:31-39
- Marcus, S. L., Miyata, K. S., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1995) Transactivation by PPAR/RXR heterodimers in yeast is potentiated by exogenous fatty acid via a pathway requiring intact peroxisomes. *Gene Expr.* 4:227-239

- Marcus, S. L., Miyata, K. S., Zhang, B., Subramani, S., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1993) Diverse peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors bind to the peroxisome proliferator-responsive elements of the rat hydratase/dehydrogenase and fatty acyl-CoA oxidase genes but differentially induce expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 90:5723-5727
- Marsman, D. S., Cattley, R. C., Conway, J. G., and Popp, J. A. (1988) Relationship of hepatic peroxisome proliferation and replicative DNA synthesis to the hepatocarcinogenicity of the peroxisome proliferators di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and [4-chloro-6-(2,3-xylidino)-2-pyrimidinylthio]acetic acid (Wy-14,643) in rats. *Cancer Res.* 48:6739-6744
- Martin, G., Schoonjans, K., Lefebvre, A. M., Staels, B., and Auwerx, J. (1997) Coordinate regulation of the expression of the fatty acud transport protein and acyl-CoA syntetase genes by PPAR  $\alpha$  and PPAR  $\gamma$  activators. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272:28210-28217
- Masuda, N., Yasumo, H., Tamura, T., Hashiguchi, N., Furusawa, T., Tsukamoto, T., Sadano, H., and Osumi, T. (1997) An orphan nuclear receptor lacking a zinc-finger DNA-binding domain: interaction with several nuclear receptors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1350:27-32
- McDonnell, D. P., Nawaz, Z., Densmore, C., Weigel, N. L., Pham, T. A., Clark, J. H., and O'Malley, B. W. (1991) High level expression of biologically active estrogen receptor in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 39:291-297
- McDonnell, D. P., Pike, J. W., Drutz, D. J., Butt, T. R., and O'Malley, B. W. (1989) Reconstitution of the vitamin D-responsive osteocalcin transcription unit in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9:3517-3523

- McInerney, E. M., Rose, D. W., Flynn, S. E., Westin, S., Mullen, T. M., Krones, A., Inostroza, J., Torchia, J., Nolte, R. T., Assa-Munt, N., Milburn, M. V., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1998) Determinants of coactivator LXXLL motif specificity in nuclear receptor transcriptional activation. *Genes* Dev. 12:3357-3368
- McKenna, N. Y., Xu, J., Nawaz, Z., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1999)
  Nuclear receptor coactivators: multiple enzymes, multiple complexes, multiple functions. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 69:3-12
- Medh, J. D. (1999) Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors in atherosclerosis. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 10:69-71
- Meertens, L. M., Miyata, K. S., Cechetto, J. D., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1998) A mitochondrial ketogenic enzyme regulates its gene expression by association with the nuclear hormone receptor PPAR  $\alpha$ . *EMBO J*. 17:6972-6978
- Meier, C. A. (1997) Regulation of gene expression by nuclear hormone receptors. J. Recept. Signal. Transduct. Res. 17:319-335
- Meier-Heusler, S. C. Zhu, X., Juge-Aubry, C., Pernin, A., Burger, A. G., Cheng, S. Y., Meier, C. A. (1995) Modulation of thyroid hormone action by mutant thyroid hormone receptors. c-erbAα2 and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor: evidence for different mechanisms of inhibition. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 107:55-66
- Meijer, J. and Afzelius, B. A. (1989) Effects of clofibrate treatment and of starvation on peroxisomes. mitochondria, and lipid droplets in mouse hepatocytes: a morphometric study. J. Ultrastruct. Mol. Struct. Res. 102:87-94
- Milbrandt, J. (1988) Nerve growth factor induces a gene homologous to the glucocorticoid receptor gene. Neuron 1:183-188

- Milburn, M. V., Charifson, P., Lambert, M., Cobb, J., Winsley, G. B. (1997) Ligand binding and activation of PPARs - crystal structures of PPARγ [Abstract] - EMBO Workshop on 'Structure and Function of Nuclear Receptors', May 2-5, Erice, Italy
- Miyajima, N., Horiuchi, R., Shibuya, Y., Fukushige, S., Matsubara, K., Toyoshima, K., and Yamamoto, T. (1989) Two erbA homologs encoding proteins with different T3 binding capacities are transcribed from opposite DNA strands of the same genetic locus. *Cell* 57:31-39
- Miyamoto, T., Kaneko, A., Kakizawa, T., Yajima, H., Kamijo, K., Sekine, R., Hiramatsu, K., Nishii, Y., Hashimoto, T., and Hashizume, K. (1997) Inhibition of peroxisome proliferator signaling pathways by thyroid hormone receptor. Competitive binding to the response element. J. Biol. Chem. 272:7752-7758
- Miyata, K. S. McCaw, S. E., Marcus, S. L., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1994) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor interacts with the retinoid X receptor *in vivo*. *Gene* 148:327-330
- Miyata, K. S., McCaw, S. E., Meertens, L. M., Patel, H. V., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1998) Receptor-interacting protein 140 interacts with and inhibits transactivation by, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$ and liver-X-receptor  $\alpha$ . Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 146:69-76
- Miyata, K. S., McCaw, S. E., Patel, H. V., Rachubinski, R. A., and Capone, J. P. (1996) The orphan nuclear hormone receptor LXR  $\alpha$ interacts with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor and inhibits peroxisome proliferator signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271:9189-9192

- Miyata, K. S., Zhang, B., Marcus, S. L. Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. (1993) Chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor (COUP-TF) binds to a peroxisome proliferator-responsive element and antagonizes peroxisome proliferator-mediated signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 268:19169-19172
- Miyazawa, S., Furuta, S., Osumi, T., Hashimoto, T., and Ui, N. (1981) Properties of peroxisomal 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase from rat liver. J. Biochem. 90:511-519
- Moghal, N. and Neel, B. (1995) Evidence for impaired retinoic acid receptor-thyroid hormone receptor AF2 cofactor activity in human lung cancer. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15:3945-3959
- Moody, D. E. and Reddy, J. K. (1978) Hepatic peroxisome (microbody) proliferation in rats fed plasticizers and related compounds. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 45:497-504
- Moore, L. B., Parks, D. J., Jones, S. A., Bledsoe, R. K., Consler, T. G., Stimmel, J. B., Goodwin, B., Liddle, C., Blanchard, S. G., Willson, T. M., Collins, J. L., and Kliewer, S. A. (2000) Orphan nuclear receptors constitutive androstane receptor and pregnane X receptor share xenobiotic and steroid ligands. J. Biol. Chem. 275:15122-15127
- Moras. D. and Gronemeyer. H. (1998) The nuclear receptor ligand-binding domain: structure and function. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 10:384-391
- Motojima, K., Passilly, P., Peters, J. M., Gonzalez, F. J., Latruffe, N. (1998) Expression of putative fatty acid transporter genes are regulated by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ activators in a tissue- and inducer-specific manner. J. Biol. Chem. 273:16710-16714

- Muerhoff, A. S. Griffin, K. J., and Johnson, E. F. (1992) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor mediates the induction of CYP4A6. a cytochrome P450 fatty acid omega-hydroxylase, by clofibric acid. J. Biol. Chem. 267:19051-19053
- Mullis, K. B. and Faloona, F. A. (1987) Specific synthesis of DNA *in vitro* via a polymerase-catalyzed chain reaction. *Methods Enzymol.* 155:335-350
- Näär, A. M., Boutin, J. M., Lipkin, S. M., Yu, V. C., Holloway, J. M., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1991) The orientation and spacing of core DNA-binding motifs dictate selective transcriptional responses to three nuclear receptors. *Cell* 65:1267-1279
- Näär, A. M., Beaurang, P. A., Zhou, S., Abraham, S., Solomon, W., and Tjian, R. (1999) Composite co-activator ARC mediates chromatin-directed transcriptional activation. *Nature* 398:828-832
- Nagaya, T., Madison, L. D., and Jameson, J. L. (1992) Thyroid hormone receptor mutants that cause resistance to thyroid hormone. Evidence for receptor competition for DNA sequences in target genes. J. Biol. Chem. 267:13014-13019
- Nagy, L., Kao, H. Y, Chakravarti, D., Lin, R. J., Hassig, C. A., Ayer, D. E., Schreiber, S. L., and Evans, R. M. (1997) Nuclear receptor repression mediated by a complex containing SMRT, mSin3A, and histone deacetylase. *Cell* 89:373-380
- Najbauer, J., Johnson, B. A., Young, A. L., and Aswad, D. W. (1993) Peptides with sequences similar to glycine, arginine-rich motifs in proteins interacting with RNA are efficiently recognized by methyltransferase(s) modifying arginine in numerous proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268:10501-10509
- Nakajima, T., Uchida, C. Anderson, S. F., Parvin, J. D. and Montminy, Y. (1997) Analysis of a cAMP-responsive activator reveals a two-component mechanism for transcriptional induction via signal-dependent factors. *Genes* Dev. 11:738-747
- Nakshatri, H. and Chambon, P. (1994) The directly repeated RG(G/T)TCA motifs of the rat and mouse cellular retinol-binding protein II genes are promiscuous binding sites for RAR, RXR, HNF-4, and ARP-1 homo- and heterodimers. J. Biol. Chem. 269:890-902
- Nawaz, Z., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1995) Specific mutations in the ligand binding domain selectively abolish the silencing function of human thyroid hormone receptor  $\beta$ . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92:11691-11695
- Nishiyama, C., Hi, R., Osada, S., and Osumi, T. (1998) Functional interactions between nuclear receptors recognize a common sequence element. the direct repeat motif spaced by one nucleotide (DR-1). J. Biochem. 123:1174-1179
- Nolte, R. T., Wisely, G. B., Westin, S., Cobb, J.
  E., Lambert, M. H., Kurokawa, R., Rosenfeld,
  M. G., Willson, T. M., Glass, C. K., Milburn,
  M. V. (1998) Ligand binding and
  co-activator assembly of the peroxisome
  proliferator-activated receptor-γ. Nature 395:137-143
- Nuclear Receptors Nomenclature Committee (1999) Cell 97:161-163
- Nuñez, S. B., Medin, J. A., Braissant, O., Kemp, L., Wahli, W., Ozato, K., and Segars, J. H. (1997) Retinoid X receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor activate an estrogen responsive gene independent of the estrogen receptor. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 127:27-40

- Ogryzko, V. V., Kotani, T., Zhang, X., Schlitz, R. L., Howard, T., Yang, X. J., Howard, B. H., Qin, J., and Nakatani, Y. (1998) Histone-like TAFs within the PCAF histone acetylase complex. *Cell* 94:35-44
- Ogryzko, V. V., Schiltz, R. L., Russanova, V., Howard, B. H., and Nakatani, Y. (1996) The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. *Cell* 87:953-959
- Olson, D. P. and Koenig, R. J. (1997) 5'-flanking sequences in thyroid hormone response element half-sites determine the requirement of retinoid X receptor for receptor-mediated gene expression. J. Biol. Chem. 272:9907-9914
- Oñate, S. A., Boonyaratanakornkit, V., Spencer, T. E., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., Edwards, D. P., and O'Malley, B. W. (1998) The steroid receptor coactivator-1 contains multiple receptor interacting and activation domains that cooperatively enhance the activation function 1 (AF1) and AF2 domains of steroid receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 273:12101-12108
- Oñate, S. A., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1995) Sequence and characterization of a coactivator for the steroid hormone receptor superfamily. *Science* 270:1354-1357
- Ordentlich, P., Downes, M., Xie, W., Genin, A., Spinner, N. B., and Evans, R. M. (1999) Unique forms of human and mouse nuclear receptor corepressor SMRT. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96:2639-2644
- Osada, S., Tsukamoto, T., Takiguchi, M., Mori, M., and Osumi, T. (1997) Identification of an extended half-site motif required for the function of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$ . Genes Cells 2:315-327
- Osmundsen, H. (1982) Peroxisomal β-oxidation of long fatty acids: effects of high fat diets. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 386:13-29

- Osmundsen, H. and Hovik, R. (1988) Beta-oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 16:420-422
- Osumi. T. (1993) Structure and expression of the genes encoding peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation enzymes. *Biochimie*. 75:243-250
- Osumi, T. and Hashimoto, T. (1978) Acyl-CoA oxidase of rat liver: a new enzyme for fatty acid oxidation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 83:479-485
- Osumi, T. and Hashimoto, T. (1979) Peroxisomal β oxidation system of rat liver. Copurification of enoyl-CoA hydratase and 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 89:580-584
- Osumi. T., Hashimoto, T., and Ui, N. (1980) Purification and properties of acyl-CoA oxidase from rat liver. J. Biochem. 87:1735-1746
- Osumi, T., Wen, J. K., and Hashimoto, T. (1991) Two cis-acting regulatory sequences in the peroxisome proliferator-responsive enhancer region of rat acyl-CoA oxidase gene. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 175:866-871
- Pacot. C., Charmoillaux, M. Goudonnet, H., Truchot, R. C., and Latruffe, N. (1993) Role of the thyroid state on induction by ciprofibrate of laurate hydroxylase and peroxisomal enzymes in rat liver microsomes. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 45:1437-1446
- Paget, G. E. (1963) Experimental studies of the toxicity of atromid with particular reference to fine structural changes in the livers of rodents. J. Atheroscl. Res. 3: 729-736
- Palmer, C. N., Hsu, M. H., Griffin, K. J., Raucy, J. L., and Johnson, E. F. (1998) Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-α expression in human liver. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 53:14-22

- Palmer, C. N., Hsu, M. H., Griffin, H. J., and Johnson, E. F. (1995) Novel sequence determinants in peroxisome proliferator signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 270:16114-161121
- Parker, M. G. (1993) Steroid and related receptors. Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol. 5:499-504
- Parks, D. J., Blanchard, S. G., Bledsoe, R. K., Chandra, G., Consler, T. G., Kliewer, S. A., Stimmel, J. B., Willson, T. M., Zavacki, A. M., Moore, D. D., and Lehmann, J. M. (1991) Bile acids: natural ligands for an orphan nuclear receptor. *Science* 284:1365-1368
- Paulsen, R. E., Weaver, C. A., Fahrner, T. J., and Milbrandt, J. (1992) Domains regulating transcriptional activity of the inducible orphan receptor NGFI-B. J. Biol. Chem. 267:16491-16496
- Pazin. M. J. and Kadonaga. J. T. (1997) What's up and down with histone deacetylation and transcription? *Cell* 89:325-328
- Pedersen, J. I. and Gustaffson, J. Å. (1980) Conversion of  $3\alpha$ ,  $7\alpha$ ,  $12\alpha$ -trihydroxy-5  $\beta$ -cholestanoic acid into cholic acid by rat liver peroxisomes. *FEBS Lett.* 121:345-348
- Perlmann, T. and Evans, R. M. (1997) Nuclear receptors in Sicily: all in the famiglia. *Cell* 90:391-397
- Peters, J. M., Aoyama, T., Cattley, R. C., Nobumitsu, U., Hashimoto, T., Gonzalez, F. J. (1998) Role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α in altered cell cycle regulation in mouse liver. *Carcinogenesis* 19:1989-1994
- Peters, J. M., Hennuyer, N., Staels, B., Fruchart, J. C., Fievet, C., Gonzalez, F. J., and Auwerx, J. (1997) Alterations in lipoprotein m et a b o l i s m i n p e r o x i s o m e proliferator-activated receptor α-deficient mice. J. Biol. Chem. 272:27307-27312

- Peters. J. M., Lee, S. S., Li, W., Ward, J. M., Gavrilova, O., Everett, C., Reitman, M. L., Hudson, L. D., Gonzalez, F. J. (2000) Growth. adipose, brain, and skin alterations resulting from targeted disruption of the mouse peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\beta$  ( $\delta$ ). *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20:5119-5128
- Pham. T. A., Hwung, Y. P., Santiso-Mere, D., McDonnell, D. P., and O'Malley, B. W. (1992) Ligand-dependent and -independent function of the transactivation regions of the human estrogen receptor in yeast. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 6:1043-50
- Picard. D. (1998) Two orphans find a home. Nature 395:543-544
- Pineda-Torra. I., Gervois, P., and Staels, B. (1999) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α in metabolic disease. inflammation, atherosclerosis and aging. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 10:151-159
- Pellinger, L., Gottlicher, M., Gustafsson, J. Å. (1992) The dioxin and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear receptors in search of endogenous ligands. *Trends. Pharmacol. Sci.* 13:241-245
- Puigserver, P., Wu, Z., Park, C. W., Graves, R., Wright, M., and Spiegelman, B. M. (1998) A cold-inducible coactivator of nuclear receptors linked to adaptive thermogenesis. *Cell* 92:829-839
- Rachez, C., Lemon, B. D., Suldan, Z., Bromleigh,
  V., Gamble, M., Naar, A. M.,
  Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and
  Freedman, L. P. (1999) Ligand-dependent
  transcription activation by nuclear receptors
  requires the DRIP complex. Nature
  398:824-828
- Rachez, C., Suldan, Z., Ward, J., Chang, C. P., Burakov, D., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P., and Freedman, L. P. (1998) A novel protein complex that interacts with the vitamin D3 receptor in a ligand-dependent manner and enhances VDR transactivation in a cell-free system. *Genes Dev.* 12:1787-1800

- Rachubinski, R. A., Marcus, S. L., and Capone, J.
  P. (1999) The p56(lck)-interacting protein p62 stimulates transcription via the SV40 enhancer. J. Biol. Chem. 274:18278-18284
- Rao. M. S. and Reddy, J. K. (1991) An overview of peroxisome proliferator-induced hepatocarcinogenesis. *Environ. Health. Perspect.* 93:205-209
- Rao, M. S., Lalwani, N. D., Watanabe, T. K., and Reddy, J. K. (1984) Inhibitory effect of antioxidants ethoxyquin and 2(3)-tert-butyl-4-hydroxyanisole on hepatic tumorigenesis in rats fed ciprofibrate. a peroxisome proliferator. *Cancer Res.* 44:1072-1076
- Rastinejad, F., Perlmann, T., Evans, R. M., and Sigler, P. B. (1995) Structural determinants of nuclear receptor assembly on DNA direct repeats. *Nature* 375:203-211
- Reddy, J. K. (1990) Carcinogenicity of peroxisome proliferators: evaluation and mechanisms. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 18:92-94
- Reddy, J. K. Azarnoff, D. L. and Hignite. C. E. (1980) Hypolipidaemic hepatic peroxisome proliferators form a novel class of chemical carcinogens. *Nature* 283:397-398
- Reddy, J. K. and Chu, R. (1996) Peroxisome proliferator-induced pleiotropic responses: pursuit of a phenomenon. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 804:176-201
- Reddy, J. K., Goel, S. K. Nemali, M. R., Carrino.
  J. J., Laffler, T. G., Reddy, M. K., Sperbeck, S.
  J, Osumi, T., Hashimoto, T., Lalwani, N. D., and Rao, M. S. (1986) Transcription regulation of peroxisomal fatty acyl-CoA o x i d a s e a n d e n o y 1 C o A hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase in rat liver by peroxisome proliferators. *Proc.* Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 83:1747-1751

- Reddy, J. K and Lalwani, N. D. (1983) Carcinogenesis by hepatic peroxisome proliferators: evaluation of the risk of hypolipidemic drugs and inductrial plasticizers to humans. CRC Crit. Rev. Toxic. 12:1-58
- Reddy, J. K. and Rao, M. S. (1986) Peroxisome proliferators and cancer: mechanisms and implications. *Trends Pharmac. Sci.* 7:438-443
- Reddy, J. K and Rao, M. S. (1989) Oxidative DNA damage caused by persistent peroxisome proliferation: its role in hepatocarcinogenesis. *Mutat. Res.* 214:63-68
- Renaud, J. P., Rochel, N., Ruff, M., Vivat V., Chambon P., Gronemeyer, H., and Moras, D. (1995) Crystal structure of the RAR-γ ligand-binding domain bound to all-trans retinoic acid. *Nature* 378:681-689
- Retnakaran, R., Flock, G., and Giguère, V. (1994) Identification of RVR, a novel orphan nuclear receptor that acts as a negative transcriptional regulator. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8:1234-1244
- Ribon, V., Johnson, J. H., Camp, H. S., and Saltiel, A. R. (1998) Thiazolidinediones and insulin resistance: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ activation stimulates expression of the CAP gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95:14751-14756
- Ricote, M., Huang, J., Fajas, L., Li, A., Welch, J., Najib, J., Witztum, J. L., Auwerx, J., Palinski, W., and Glass, C. K. (1998) Expression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR  $\gamma$ ) in human atherosclerosis and regulation in macrophages by colony stimulating factors and oxidized low density lipoprotein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95:7614-7619
- Ringel, J., Engeli, S., Distler, A., and Sharma, A.
  M. (1999) Pro12Ala missense mutation of the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ and diabetes mellitus. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 254:450-453

- Rodriguez, J. C., Gil-Gomez, G., Hegardt, F. G., and Haro, D. (1994) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor mediates induction of the mitochondrial 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase gene by fatty acids. J. Biol. Chem. 269:18767-18772
- Rose, M. and Botstein, D. (1983) Construction and use of gene fusions to lacZ( $\beta$ -galactosidase) that are expressed in yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* 101:167-180
- Ruyter, B., Andersen, O., Dehli, A., Ostlund Farrants, A. K., Gjoen, T., and Thomassen, M.
  S. (1997) Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar): effects on PPAR transcription and acyl-CoA oxidase activity in hepatocytes by peroxisome proliferators and fatty acids. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1348:331-338
- Saez, E., Tontonoz, P., Nelson, M. C., Alvarez, J.G., Ming, U.T., Baird, S. M., Thomazy, V. A., and Evans, R. M. (1998) Activators of the nuclear receptor PPAR γ enhance colon polyp formation. *Nat. Med.* 4:1058-1061
- Sande, S. and Privalsky, M. L. (1994) Reconstitution of thyroid hormone receptor and retinoic acid receptor function in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8:1455-64
- Sande, S. and Privalsky, M. L. (1996) Identification of TRACs (T3 receptor-associating cofactors), a family of cofactors that associate with, and modulate the activity of, nuclear hormone receptors. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 10:813-825
- Scearce, L. M., Laz, T. M., Hazel, T. G., Lau, L. F., and Taub, R. (1993) RNR-1, a nuclear receptor in the NGFI-B/Nur77 family that is rapidly induced in regenerating liver. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 8855-8861
- Schapira, M., Raaka, B. M., Samuels, H. H., and Abagyan, R. (2000) Rational discovery of novel nuclear hormone receptor antagonists. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97:1008-1013

- Schmidt, A., Endo. N., Rutledge, S. J., Vogel, R., Shinar, D., and Rodan, G. A. (1992) Identification of a new member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily that is activated by a peroxisome proliferator and fatty acids. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 6:1634-1641
- Schoonjans, K., Martin, G., Staels, B., and Auwerx, J. (1997) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, orphans with ligands and functions. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 8:159-166
- Schoonjans. K., Peinado-Onsurbe, J., Lefebvre, A. M., Heyman, R. A., Briggs, M., Deeb, S., Staels, B., and Auwerx, J. (1996a) PPAR  $\alpha$ and PPAR  $\gamma$  activators direct a distinct tissue-specific transcriptional response via a PPRE in the lipoprotein lipase gene. *EMBO J.* 15:5336-5348
- Schoonjans, K., Staels, B., and Auwerx, J. (1996b) The peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARS) and their effects on lipid metabolism and adipocyte differentiation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1302:93-109
- Schoonjans, K., Watanabe, M., Suzuki, H., Mahfoudi, A., Krey, G., Wahli, W., Grimaldi, P., Staels, B., Yamamoto, T., and Auwerx, J. (1995) Induction of the acyl-coenzyme A synthetase gene by fibrates and fatty acids is mediated by a peroxisome proliferator response element in the C promoter. J. Biol. Chem. 270:19269-19276
- Schulman, I. G., Chakravarti, D., Juguilon, H., Romo, A., and Evans, R. M. (1995) Interactions between the retinoid X receptor and a conserved region of the TATA-binding protein mediate hormone-dependent transactivation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92:8288-8292
- Schulte-Hermann, R., Bursch, W., Parzefall, W. (1991) Mitogenesis and programmed cell death as determinants of carcinogenicity of nongenotoxic compounds. *Prog. Clin. Biol. Res.* 369:237-244

- Schutgens, R. B., Heymans, H. S., Wanders, R. J., van den Bosch, H., and Tager, J. M. (1986) Peroxisomal disorders: a newly recognised group of genetic diseases. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 144:430-440
- Schwerk, C., Klotzbucher, M., Sachs, M., Ulber.
  V., and Klein-Hitpass, L. (1995)
  Identification of a transactivation function in the progesterone receptor that interacts with the TAFII110 subunit of the TFIID complex. J. Biol. Chem. 270:21331-21338
- Seol, W., Choi, H. S., and Moore, D. D. (1996) An orphan nuclear hormone receptor that lacks a DNA binding domain and heterodimerizes with other receptors. *Science* 272:1336-1339
- Seol, W., Chung, M., and Moore, D. D. (1997) Novel receptor interaction and repression domains in the orphan receptor SHP. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17:7126-7131
- Shalev, A., Siegrist-Kaiser, C. A., Yen, P. M., Wahli, W., Burger, A. G., Chin, W. W., and Meier, C. A. (1996) The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  is a phosphoprotein: regulation by insulin. *Endocrinology* 137:4499-4502
- Shao, D., Rangwala, S. M., Bailey, S. T., Krakow,
  S. L., Reginato, M. J., and Lazar, M. A. (1998) Interdomain communication regulating ligand binding by PPAR γ. Nature 396:377-380
- Sher, T., Yi, H. F., McBride, O. W., and Gonzalez, F. J. (1993) cDNA cloning, chromosomal mapping, and functional characterization of the human peroxisome proliferator activated receptor. *Biochemistry* 32:5598-5604
- Shiau, A. K. Barstad, D., Loria, P. M., Cheng, L., Kushner, P. J., Agard, D. A., and Greene, G. L. (1998) The structural basis of estrogen receptor/coactivator recognition and the antagonism of this interaction by tamoxifen. *Cell* 95:927-937

- Shibata, H., Nawaz, Z., Tsai, S. Y., O'Malley, B. W., and Tsai, M. J. (1997a) Gene silencing by chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter-transcription factor I (COUP-TFI) is mediated by transcriptional corepressors. nuclear receptor-corepressor (N-CoR) and silencing mediator for retinoic acid receptor and thyroid hormone receptor (SMRT). Mol. Endocrinol. 11:714-724
- Shibata, H., Spencer, T. E., Oñate, S. A., Jenster, G., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B.
  W. (1997b) Role of co-activators and co-repressors in the mechanism of steroid/thyroid receptor action. *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* 52:141-165
- Sikorski, R. S. and Hieter, P. (1989) A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 122:19-27
- Singh, I. (1997) Biochemistry of peroxisomes in health and disease. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 167:1-29
- Sladek, F. M., Zhong, W. M., Lai, E., and Darnell, J. E., Jr. (1990) Liver-enriched transcription factor HNF-4 is a novel member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily. *Genes Dev.* 4:2353-2356
- Small,G. M and Lewin, A. S. (1990) Protein targeting to peroxisomes. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 18:85-87
- Smith, C. L., Nawaz, Z., and O'Malley, B. W. (1997) Coactivator and corepressor regulation of the agonist/antagonist activity of the mixedantiestrogen, 4-hydroxytamoxifen. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11:657-866

- Söderström, M., Vo, A., Heinzel, T., Lavinsky, R. M., Yang, W. M., Seto, E., Peterson, D. A., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Glass, C. K. (1997) Differential effects of nuclear receptor corepressor (N-CoR) expression levels on retinoic acid receptor-mediated repression support the existence of dynamically regulated corepressor complexes. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 11:682-892
- Spanjaard, R. A., Nguyen, V. P., and Chin, W. W. (1994) Rat RevErbα, an orphan receptor related to thyroid hormone receptor, binds to specific thyroid hormone response elements. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 8: 286-295
- Spencer, T. E., Jenster, G., Burcin, M. M., Allis. C. D., Zhou, J., Mizzen, C. A., McKenna, N. J., Oñate, S. A., Tsai, S. Y., Tsai, M. J., and O'Malley, B. W. (1997) Steroid receptor coactivator-1 is a histone acetyltransferase. *Nature* 389:194-198
- Spiegleman, B. M. and Flier, J. S. (1996) Adipogenesis and obesity: rounding out the big picture. *Cell* 87:377-389
- Strom, S. C., Pisarov, L. A., Dorko, K., Thompson, M. T., Schuetz, J. D., and Schuetz, E. G. (1996) Use of human hepatocytes to study P450 gene induction. *Methods Enzymol.* 272:388-401
- Subramani, S. (1993) Protein import into peroxisomes and biogenesis of the organelle. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Biol.* 9:445-478
- Sucov, H. M., Murakami, K. K., and Evans, R. M. (1990) Characterization of an autoregulated response element in the mouse retinoic acid receptor type  $\beta$  gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 87:5392-5396
- Sueyoshi, T., Kawamoto, T., Zelko, I., Honkakoski, P., and Negishi, M. (1999) The repressed nuclear receptor CAR responds to phenobarbital in activating the human CYP2B6 gene. J. Biol. Chem. 274:6043-6046

B. (1999) A new ligand for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR γ).
GW7845. inhibits rat mammary carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 59:5671-5673

Suh, N., Wang, Y., Williams, C. R., Risingsong,

R., Gilmer, T., Willson, T. M., and Sporn, M.

- Sun, X., Zhang, Y., Cho, H., Rickert, P., Lees, E., Lane, W., and Reinberg, D. (1998) NAT, a human complex containing Srb polypeptides that functions as a negative regulator of activated transcription. *Mol. Cell.* 2:213-222
- Svoboda, D. J and Azarnoff, D. L. (1966) Response of hepatic microbodies to a hypolipidemic agent, ethylchlorophenoxyisobutyrate (CPIB). J. Cell. Biol. 30:442-450
- Tabor, S. and Richardson, C. C. (1989) DNA sequence analysis with a modified bacteriophage T7 DNA polymerase. Effect of pyrophosphorolysis and metal ions. J. Biol. Chem. 265:8322-8328
- Takeda, T., Ichikawa, K., Miyamoto, T., Kobayashi, M., Nishii, Y., Suzuki, S., Sakurai, A., and Hashizume, K. (1992) Regulation of rat hepatic peroxisomal enoyl-CoA hydratase-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase bifunctional enzyme by thyroid hormone. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 185:211-216
- Takeshita, A., Cardona, G. R., Koibuchi, N., Suen, C. S., and Chin, W. W. (1997) TRAM-1, A novel 160-kDa thyroid hormone receptor activator molecule, exhibits distinct properties from steroid receptor coactivator-1. J. Biol. Chem. 272:27629-27634
- Takeshita, A., Yen, P. M., Misiti, S., Cardona, G.
  R., Liu, Y., and Chin, W. W. (1996)
  Molecular cloning and properties of a full-length putative thyroid hormone receptor coactivator. *Endocrinology* 37:3594-3597
- Taunton, J., Hassig, C. A., and Schreiber, S. L. (1996) A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. Science 272:408-411

- Thompson, P. D., Jurutka, P. W., Haussler, C. A., Whitfield, G. K., and Haussler, M. R. (1998) Heterodimeric DNA binding by the vitamin D receptor and retinoid X receptors is enhanced by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 and inhibited by 9-cis-retinoic acid. Evidence for allosteric receptor interactions. J. Biol. Chem. 273:8483-8491
- Tolbert, N. E. (1981) Metabolic pathways in peroxisomes and glyoxysomes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 50:133-157
- Tone, Y., Collingwood, T. N., Adams, M., and Chatterjee, V. K. (1994) Functional analysis of a transactivation domain in the thyroid hormone  $\beta$  receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269:31157-31161
- Tong, G. X., Jeyakumar, M., Tanen, M. R., and Bagchi, M. K. (1996) Transcriptional silencing by unliganded thyroid hormone receptor  $\beta$  requires a soluble corepressor that interacts with the ligand-binding domain of the receptor. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16:1909-1920
- Tontonoz, P., Hu, E., Graves, R. A., Budavari, A., and Spiegelman, B. M. (1994a) mPPARy 2: tissue-specific regulator of an adipocyte enhancer. *Genes Dev.* 8:1224-1234
- Tontonoz, P., Hu, E., and Spiegelman, B. M. (1994b) Stimulation of adipogenesis in fibroblasts by PPARγ 2, a lipid-activated transcription factor. *Cell* 79:1147-1156
- Tontonoz, P., Hu, E., and Spiegelman, B. M. (1995) Regulation of adipocyte gene expression and differentiation by peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 5:571-576
- Tontonoz, P., Nagy, L., Alvarez, J. G., Thomazy, V. A., and Evans, R. M. (1998) PPAR γ promotes monocyte/macrophage differentiation and uptake of oxidized LDL. *Cell* 93:241-252

- Torchia, J., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1998) Co-activators and co-repressors in the integration of transcriptional responses. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 10:373-383
- Torchia, J., Rose, D. W., Inostroza, J., Kamei, Y., Westin, S., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1997) The transcriptional co-activator p/CIP binds CBP and mediates nuclear-receptor function. *Nature* 387:677-684
- Tremblay, A., Tremblay, G. B., Labrie, F., and Giguere, V. (1999) Ligand-independent recruitment of SRC-1 to estrogen receptor  $\beta$ through phosphorylation of activation function AF1. *Mol. Cell.* 3:513-519
- Treuter, E., Albrektsen, T., Johansson, L., Leers, J., and Gustafsson, J. A. (1998) A regulatory role for RIP140 in nuclear receptor activation. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 12:864-881
- Triezenberg, S. J. (1995) Structure and function of transcriptional activation domains. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 5:190-196
- Tsai. M.-J. and O'Malley B. W. (1994) Molecular mechanisms of action of steroid/thyroid receptor superfamily members. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 63:451-486
- Tugwood, J. D., Issemann, I., Anderson, R. G., Bundell, K. R., McPheat, W. L., and Green, S. (1992) The mouse peroxisome proliferator activated receptor recognizes a response element in the 5' flanking sequence of the rat acyl CoA oxidase gene. *EMBO J.* 11:433-439
- Tzameli, I., Pissios, P., Schuetz, E. G., and Moore. D. D. (2000) The xenobiotic compound 1.4-bis[2-(3,5-dichloropyridyloxy)] benzene is an agonist ligand for the nuclear receptor CAR. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20:2951-2958
- Umesono, K. and Evans, R. M. (1989) Determinants of target gene specificity for steroid/thyroid hormone receptors. *Cell* 57:1139-1146

- Umesono, K., Murakami, K. K., Thompson, C. C., and Evans, R. M. (1991) Direct repeats as selective response elements for the thyroid hormone, retinoic acid, and vitamin D3 receptors. *Cell* 65:1255-1266
- van Hoof, F., Vamecq, J., Draye, J. B., and Veitch, K. (1999) The catabolism of mediumand long-chain dicarboxylic acids. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 16:423-424
- van den Bosch, H., Schutgens, R. B., Wanders, R. J., and Tager, J. M. (1992) Biochemistry of peroxisomes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 61:157-97
- Vidal, M. and Gaber, R. F. (1991) RPD3 encodes a second factor required to achieve maximum positive and negative transcriptional states in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 11:6317-6327
- Voegel, J. J., Heine, M. J., Zechel, C., Chambon,
  P., and Gronemeyer, H. (1996) TIF2, a 160
  kDa transcriptional mediator for the
  ligand-dependent activation function AF2 of
  nuclear receptors. *EMBO J.* 15:3667-3675
- vom Baur, E., Zechel, C., Heery, D., Heine, M. J., Garnier, J. M., Vivat, V., Le Douarin, B., Gronemeyer, H., Chambon, P., and Losson, R. (1996) Differential ligand-dependent interactions between the AF2 activating domain of nuclear receptors and the putative transcriptional intermediary factors mSUG1 and TIF1. *EMBO J.* 15:110-124
- von Schacky, C., Kiefl, R., Marcus, A. J., Broekman, M. J., and Kaminski, W. E. (1993) Dietary n-3 fatty acids accelerate catabolism of leukotriene B4 in human granulocytes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1166:20-24
- Vu-Dac, N., Chopin-Delannoy, S., Gervois, P., Bonnelye, E., Martin, G., Fruchart, J.-C., Laudet, V., and Staels, B. (1998) The nuclear receptors peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  and RevErb  $\alpha$ mediate the species-specific regulation of apolipoprotein A-I expression by fibrates. J. Biol. Chem. 273:25713-25720

- Vu-Dac. N., Schoonjans, K., Laine, B., Fruchart, J. C., Auwerx, J., and Staels, B. (1994) Negative regulation of the human apolipoprotein A-I promoter by fibrates can be attenuated by the interaction of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor with its response element. J. Biol. Chem. 269:31012-31018
- Wagner, R. L., Apriletti, J. W., McGrath, M. E., West, B. L., Baxter, J. D., and Fletterick, R. J. (1995) A structural role for hormone in the thyroid hormone receptor. *Nature* 378:690-697
- Wahli, W., Braissant, O., and Desvergne, B. (1995) Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors: transcriptional regulators of adipogenesis, lipid metabolism and more. *Chem. Biol.* 2:261-266
- Wahlstrom, G. M., Sjoberg, M., Andersson, M., Nordstrom, K., and Vennstrom, B. (1995)
  Binding characteristics of the thyroid hormone receptor homo- and heterodimers to consensus AGGTCA repeat motifs. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 6:1013-1022
- Walfish, P. G., Yoganathan, T., Yang, Y. F., Hong, H., Butt, T. R., and Stallcup, M. R. (1997) Yeast hormone response element assays detect and characterize GRIP1 coactivator-dependent activation of transcription by thyroid and retinoid nuclear receptors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94:3697-3702
- Warren, J. R., Simmon, V. F., and Reddy, J. K. (1980) Properties of hypolipidemic peroxisome proliferators in the lymphocyte [3H]thymidine and Salmonella mutagenesis assays. *Cancer Res.* 40:36-41
- Waxman, D. J. (1999) P450 gene induction by structurally diverse xenochemicals: central role of nuclear receptors CAR, PXR, and PPAR. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 369:11-23

- Weatherman , R. V., Fletterick, R. J., and Scanlan, T. S. (1999) Nuclear-receptor ligands and ligand-binding domains. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 68:559-581
- Webb, P., Nguyen, P., Shinsako, J., Anderson, C., Feng, W., Nguyen, M. P., Chen, D., Huang, S.
  M., Subramanian, S., McKinerney, E., Katzenellenbogen, B. S., Stallcup, M. R., and Kushner, P. J. (1998) Estrogen receptor activation function 1 works by binding p160 coactivator proteins. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 12:1605-1618
- Werman, A., Hollenberg, A., Solanes, G., Bjorbaek, C., Vidal-Puig, A. J., and Flier, J. S. (1997) Ligand-independent activation domain in the N terminus of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (PPAR  $\gamma$ ). Differential activity of PPAR  $\gamma$ 1 and -2 isoforms and influence of insulin. J. Biol. Chem. 272:20230-20235
- Williams, C. (2000) Biotechnology match making: screening orphan ligands and receptors. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 11:42-46
- Williams, G. M., Maruyama, H., and Tanaka, T. (1987) Lack of rapid initiating, promoting, or sequential syncarcinogenic effect of di(2ethylhexyl)phthalate in rat liver carcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 8:875-880
- Williams, G. R., Harney, J. W., Moore, D. D., Larsen, P. R., and Brent, G. A. (1992) Differential capacity of wild type promoter elements for binding and trans-activation by retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptors. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 6:1527-1537
- Willson, T. M., Brown, P. J., Sternbach, D. D., and Henke, B. R. (2000) The PPARs: from orphan receptors to drug discovery. J. Med. Chem. 43:527-550
- Willson, T. M. and Wahli, W. (1997) Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor agonists. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 1:235-241

- Willy, P. J. and Mangelsdorf, D. J. (1997) Unique requirements for retinoid-dependent transcriptional activation by the orphan receptor LXR. *Genes Dev.* 11:289-298
- Willy, P. J., Umesono, K., Ong, E. S., Evans, R. M., Heyman, R. A., and Mangelsdorf, D.J. (1995) LXR, a nuclear receptor that defines a distinct retinoid response pathway. *Genes Dev.* 9:1033-1045
- Wilson, T. E., Fahrner, T. J., Johnston, M., and Milbrandt, J. (1991) Identification of the DNA binding site for NGFI-B by genetic selection in yeast. *Science* 252:1296-1300
- Wilson, T. E., Paulsen, R. E., Padgett, K. A., and Milbrandt, J. (1992) Participation of non-zinc finger residues in DNA binding by two nuclear orphan receptors. Science 256:107-110
- Winrow, C. J., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. (1998) Crosstalk between orphan nuclear hormone receptor RZR  $\alpha$  and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  in regulation of the peroxisomal hydratase-dehydrogenase gene. J. Biol. Chem. 273:31442-31448
- Winrow, C. J., Marcus, S. L., Miyata, K. S., Zhang, B., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A (1994) Transactivation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor is differentially modulated by hepatocyte nuclear factor-4. *Gene Expr.* 4:53-62
- Woodyatt, N. J., Lambe, K. G., Myers, K. A., Tugwood, J. D., and Roberts, R. A. (1999) The peroxisome proliferator (PP) response element upstream of the human acyl CoA oxidase gene is inactive among a sample human population: significance for species differences in response to PPs. *Carcinogenesis* 20:369-372
- Wu, Z., Xie, Y., Morrison, R. F., Bucher, N. L., and Farmer, S. R. (1998) PPAR  $\gamma$  induces the insulin-dependent glucose transporter GLUT4 in the absence of C/EBP $\alpha$  during the conversion of 3T3 fibroblasts into adipocytes. J. Clin. Invest. 101:22-32

- Xing, G., Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Heynen, T., Yoshikawa, T., Smith, M., Weiss, S., and Detera-Wadleigh, S. (1995) Rat PPAR δ contains a CGG triplet repeat and is prominently expressed in the thalamic nuclei. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 217:1015-1025
- Xiong, S., Chirala, S. S., Hsu, M. H., and Wakil, S. J. (1998) Identification of thyroid hormone response elements in the human fatty acid synthase promoter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 95:12260-12265
- Xu, H. E., Lambert, M. H., Montana, V. G., Parks, D. J., Blanchard, S. G., Brown, P. J., Sternbach, D. D., Lehmann, J. M., Wisely, G. B., Willson, T. M., Kliewer, S. A., and Milburn, M. V. (1999) Molecular recognition of fatty acids by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors. *Mol. Cell.* 3:397-403
- Xu, L., Glass, C. K., and Rosenfeld, M. G. (1999) Coactivator and corepressor complexes in nuclear receptor function. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 9:140-147
- Yamada, J., Sugiyama, H., Tamura, H., and Suga.
  T. (1994) Hormonal modulation of peroxisomal enzyme induction caused by peroxisome proliferators: suppression by growth and thyroid hormones in cultured rat hepatocytes. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 315:555-557
- Yao, T. P., Ku, G., Zhou, N., Scully, R., and Livingston, D. M. (1996) The nuclear hormone receptor coactivator SRC-1 is a specific target of p300. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93:10626-10631
- Youssef, J. and Badr, M. (1998) Extraperoxisomal targets of peroxisome proliferators: mitochondrial, microsomal, and cytosolic effects. Implications for health and disease. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 28:1-33

- Yu, K., Bayona, W., Kallen, C. B., Harding, H. P., Ravera, C. P., McMahon, G., Brown, M., and Lazar, M. A. (1995) Differential activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors by eicosanoids. J. Biol. Chem. 270:23975-23983
- Zamir, I., Dawson, J., Lavinsky, R. M., Glass, C. K., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Lazar, M. A. (1997) Cloning and characterization of a corepressor and potential component of the nuclear hormone receptor repression complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94:14400-14405
- Zamir, I., Harding, H. P., Atkins, G. B., Hörlein, A., Glass, C. K., Rosenfeld, M. G., and Lazar, M. A. (1996) A nuclear hormone receptor corepressor mediates transcriptional silencing by receptors with distinct repression domains. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16:5458-5465
- Zawel, L. and Reinberg, D. (1995) Common themes in assembly and function of eukaryotic transcription complexes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 64:533-561
- Zazopoulos, E., Lalli, E., Stocco, D. M., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (1997) DNA binding and transcriptional repression by DAX-1 blocks steroidogenesis. *Nature* 390:311-315
- Zhang, B., Marcus, S. L., Miyata, K. S., Subramani, S., Capone, J. P., and Rachubinski, R. A. (1993) Characterization of protein-DNA interactions within the peroxisome proliferator-responsive element of the rat hydratase-dehydrogenase gene. J. Biol. Chem. 268:12939-12945
- Zhang, B., Marcus, S. L., Sajjadi, F. G., Alvares, K., Reddy, J. K., Subramani, S., Rachubinski, R.A., and Capone, J.P. (1992) Identification of a peroxisome proliferator-responsive element upstream of the gene encoding rat peroxisomal enoyl-CoA hydratase/ 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 89:7541-7545

- Zhang, J., Hu, X., and Lazar, M. A. (1999) A novel role for helix 12 of retinoid X receptor in regulating repression. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 19:6448-6457
- Zhu, Y., Alvares, K., Huang, Q., Rao, M., S., and Reddy, J. K. (1993) Cloning of a new member of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gene family from mouse liver. J. Biol. Chem. 268:26817-26820
- Zhu, Y., Kan, L., Qi, C., Kanwar, Y. S., Yeldandi, A. V., Rao, M. S., and Reddy, J. K. (2000) Isolation and characterization of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) interacting protein (PRIP) as a coactivator for PPAR. J. Biol. Chem. 275:13510-13516
- Zhu, Y., Qi, C., Korenberg, J. R., Chen, X. N., Noya, D., Rao, M. S., and Reddy, J. K. (1995) Structural organization of mouse peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\gamma$  (mPPAR  $\gamma$ ) gene: alternative promoter use and different splicing yield two mPPAR  $\gamma$  isoforms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 92:7921-7925
- Zhu, Y. J., Qi, C., Calandra, C., Rao, M. S., Reddy, J. K. (1996) Cloning and identification of mouse steroid receptor coactivator-1 (mSRC-1), as a coactivator of peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ. *Gene Expr.* 6:185-195