This document has been digitized by the Oil Sands Research and Information Network, University of Alberta with permission of Alberta Environment.

SOILS OF PERMANENT SAMPLE PLOTS IN THE ATHABASCA OIL SANDS AREA

bу

L.W. TURCHENEK

Soils Department Alberta Research Council

for

RESEARCH MANAGEMENT DIVISION ALBERTA ENVIRONMENT

March 1982

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		raye
LIST OF T	ABLES	
LIST OF F	IGURES	
ABSTRACT	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS	
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	STUDY AREAS	3
3.	METHODS	6
4. 4.1 4.1.2 4.1.3 4.1.4 4.1.5 4.1.6 4.1.7 4.1.8 4.1.9 4.1.10 4.1.11 4.1.12	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Soil Descriptions PSP 2 PSP 3 PSP 4 PSP 5 PSP 7 PSP 7 PSP 8 PSP 9 PSP 10 PSP 10 PSP 12 PSP 14 PSP 15 PSP 16	8 9 12 14 17 20 22 25 28 31 34 37 39
4.2	Comparisons of Soil Properties Among Permanent Sample Plots	41
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	51
6.	REFERENCES CITED	52
7. 7.1 7.2	APPENDIX Data Tables and Figures Terms of Reference	53 54 62

Page

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1.	Locations of Permanent Sample Plots	4
2.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 2	9
3.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 3	12
4.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP [,] 4	14
5.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 5	17
6.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 7	20
7.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 8	22
8.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 9	25
9.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 10	28
10.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 12	31
11.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 14	34
12.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 15	37
13.	Horizon Thicknesses and pH in PSP 16	39
14.	Descriptive Statistics for Horizon Thicknesses and pH in Plots 2 - 14	42
15.	Descriptive Statistics for Horizon Thicknesses and pH in All Plots	42
16.	ANOVA for Solum Thickness	44
17.	ANOVA for pH at 0-5 cm	45
18.	ANOVA for pH at 15-20 cm	45
19.	ANOVA for pH at 45-50 cm	46
20.	ANOVA`for Solum at 100 cm	46
21.	Comparisons of Means Among Permanent Sample Plots for Solum Thickness and pH at various depths	47

LIST OF TABLES (CONCLUDED)

		Page
22.	Data for Horizon Thicknesses and pH in Permanent Sample Plots	54
23.	ANOVA for LF Thickness	58
24.	ANOVA for Ae Thickness	58
25.	ANOVA for AB Thickness	59
26.	ANOVA for A (Ae + AB) Thickness	59
27.	ANOVA for Bm Thickness	60
28.	ANOVA for Ae + AB + Bm Thickness	60
29.	ANOVA for BC Thickness	61

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
1.	Locations of Permanent Sample Plots	5
2.	Inspection Points in PSP 2	9
3.	Inspection Points in PSP 3	12
4.	Inspection Points in PSP 4	14
5.	Inspection points in PSP 5	17
6.	Inspection Points in PSP 7	20
7.	Inspection Points in PSP 8	22
8.	Inspection Points in PSP 9	25
9.	Inspection Points in PSP 10	28
10.	Inspection Points in PSP 12	31
11.	Inspection Points in PSP 14	34
12.	Inspection Points in PSP 15	37
13.	Inspection Points in PSP 16	39
14.	Histograms of Horizon Thicknesses and pH in Permanent Sample Plots	55

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The field and laboratory assistance provided by D. E. Ben-Oliel is gratefully acknowledged.

INTRODUCTION

1.

Soils of permanent sample plots were investigated to provide baseline data for research related to monitoring of terrestrial ecosystems. More specifically, the objective of this project was to provide information on the kinds, characteristics, and distribution of soils in 16 permanent sample plots, each of about 5 ha area, established during 1981. The background and general purpose of the project are outlined in the Terms of Reference appended to this report.

General information about distribution and characteristics of soils in the oil sands area is provided in a report on the soils inventory of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area (Turchenek and Lindsay 1982). Emphasis in 1981 was placed on selecting permanent sample plots with jack pine vegetation communities on Eluviated Dystric Brunisols. These soils are members of the Mildred and Heart soil groups which are described in the report of Turchenek and Lindsay (1982). Both of these soils groups are composed predominantly of Eluviated Dystric Brunisols. The Heart soils have developed in eolian sands while Mildred soils have formed in sandy glaciofluvial materials. Both soil groups are very sandy and usually contain less than 5% fine materials (clays and silt). The Mildred soils normally have a variable content of coarse fragments (larger than 2 mm) while Heart soils have no coarse materials. Two permanent sample plots were established in the Richardson Hills Upland. Soils in this area belong to the Firebag soil group; they have developed on sandy, gravelly and stony glaciofluvial ice-contact deposits, but are otherwise similar to the Mildred and Heart soil groups.

In this project, samples for laboratory analysis were taken from one or two sites within each permanent sample plot. For additional data and for making comparisons, analytical data for Heart, Mildred, and Firebag soils can be found in Volume 2 of the report by Turchenek and Lindsay (1982). Other soil surveys conducted in the general area are those of Hardy Associates Ltd. (1980) for the

Alsands lease, and Twardy (1978) for portions of the Syncrude lease. Information about general properties, moisture movement and retention, and nutrient cycling in soils near the AOSERP Mildred Lake research facility can be found in the report of McGill et al. (1980).

(]	Particle Size Distribution (%)							
lor i zon	Sand	VCS	VCS CS		MS FS		Silt & Clay	
LF ···	-	0	-	-	•	-	•	
Ae	95	0	1	33	· 57	. 4	5	
AB	94	0	1	28	59	6	6	
Bm1	94	0	tr	25	64	5	6	
Bm2	96	0	1	25	62	6	4	
Bm3	98	0	tr	20	74	4	2	
BC	99	0	0	27	69	3	1	
С	99	0	0	13	82	4	1	

PSP 2 - INSPECTION POINT 9 - ANALYSIS

lorizon	рН		CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C/N
101 1 2011	H ₂ 0	CaCl2	eq.(%)	۶	2	·····
LF	-	3.5	0	44.78	0.59	76
Ae	5.1	4.4	0	1.56	0.04	39
AB	5.3	4.6	0	0.39	0.01	39
Bm 1	5.5	4.7	0	0.13	0.01	13
Bm2	5.7	4-7	0	0.38	0.01	38
Bm3	5.8	4.8	0	0.09	0.00	9
BC	5.9	4.8	0	-	-	-
С	5.9	4.8	0	-	-	-

		<u></u> Q/				
Un - :	Ex	ch Cations	& CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/10	00g)	Base
Horizon	A1	Ca	Mg	ĸ	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	0.84	12.14	2.08	1.09	16.14	95
Ae	0.08	1.10	0.08	0.07	1.33	94
AB	0.04	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.26	84
Bm 1	0.02	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.19	· 91
Bm2	0.01	0.24	0.05	0.02	0.32	96
Bm3	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.12	93
BC	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.09	92
C	0.01	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.16	95

Horizon	Particle Size Distribution (%)							
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Ae	97	tr	6	66	23	2	3	
AB	97	1	9	68	18	1	3	
Bm1	94	tr	6	64	22	2	6	
Bm2	94	tr	8	67	17	2	6	
Bm3	99	0	3	68	26	2	1	
BC	98	0	2	50	36	2	2	
С	9 8	0	1	52	44	ì	2	

PSP 3 -	INSPECTION	POINT 8	- ANALYSIS
---------	------------	---------	------------

Horizon	pH		CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	C (1)
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	ž	\$	C/N
LF -	-	4.1	0	19.08	0-47	41
Ae	5.1	4.2	0	1.16	0.05	23
AB	4.9	4.3	0	0.21	0.01	21
Bm 1	5.4		0	0.13	0.01	13
Bm2	5.6	4.8	0	0.14	0.01	14
Bm3	5.8	4.9	0	0.04	0.00	-
BC	5.7	4.9	0	-	-	-
° C	5.8	5.0	0	-	-	-

	Ex	cHr Cations	& CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/10	(g0(Base
Horizon	Al	Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%
LF	0.42	*8.00	2.06	0.44	10.50	96
Ae	0.15	1.21	0.15	0.04	1.55	90
AB	0.12	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.35	66
Bm 1	0.02	0.13	0.03	0.01	0.19	89
Bm2	0.02	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.19	89
Bm3	tr	0.14	0.02	0.01	0.17	99
BC	tr	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.09	99
C	tr	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.15	99

13A

PSP	4 -	INSPECTION	POINT	1 -	ANALYSIS
-----	-----	------------	-------	-----	----------

Horizon		P	<u>article Si</u>	ze Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	F\$	VFS	Clay	
L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
F	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ae 1	95	1	14	54	26	1	5	
Ae2	94	1	14	54	25	1	6	
AB	91	2	13	52	22	2	9	
Bm	97	1	14	60	21	1	3	
BC	99	tr	1	32	65	1	1	
C	96	1	4	22	66	3	4	

lorizon	1	рН	CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	c / 1
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	ž	\$	C/N
L	-	-	0	-		
F	-	3.6	0	38.82	0.49	79
Ae 1	5.4	4.6	0	0.31	0.01	31
Ae2	5.3	4.5	0	0.21	0.01	21
AB	5.3	4.5	0	0.16	0.01	16
Bm	5.7	4.8	٥	0.08	0.01	8
BC	5.5	4.6	0	-	-	-
C	5.5	4.6	0	-	-	-

1	E	xch Cations	& CEC-Neutra	al Salt (me/10)0g)	Base
lor i zon	Al	Ca	Mg	K	Total	Sat.(%
L	0.74	11.43	1.84	1.15	15.16	95
F	-	-		· -	-	-
Ael	0.02	0.50	0.06	0.03	0.61	97
Ae2	0.03	0.24	0.03	0.02	0.32	91
AB	0.05	0.13	0.02	0.02	0.22	77
Bm	0.01	0.30	0.01	0.07	0.39	97
BC	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.04	0.18	94
С	0.02	0.50	0.16	0.02	0.70	97

15A

Horizon		F	Particle S	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	*	÷	
Ae 1	97	1	9	56	29	2	3	
Ae2	97	2	14	55	24	2	3	
Bfj	96	3	14	55	22	2	4	
Bm	98	0	5	69	22	2	2	
BC	97	0	2	57	36	2	3	
C	98		2	50	44	2	2	

2

2

. .

PSP 4 - INSPECTION POINT 8 - ANALYSIS

CaCO₃ eq.(%) Org. C १ Total N % pН Horizon C/N H₂0 CaC12 -LF 3.6 0 41.73 0.27 155 Ae 1 4.8 4.1 0.31 0 0.01 31 4.8 Ae2 4.3 0.06 0 0.00 -Bfj 5.9 5.1 0.12 0 0.01 12 Bm 5.7 4.9 0 0.02 0.00 -BC 5.8 5.0 0 --۵ 5.9 5.0 0

Horizon		Exch	& CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/10	0g)	Base
norizon	Al	Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	0.92	11.33	1.98	1.22	15.45	94
Ae 1	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.005	0.11	82
Ae2	0.01	0.10	0.03	0.02	0.16	94
Bfj	tr	0.42	0.11	0.07	0.60	99
Bm	tr	0.33	0.10	0.04	0.47	99
BC	tr	0.46	0.16	0.03	0.65	99
C	tr	0.56	0.15	0.02	0.73	99

Horizon		F	Particle Si	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ae	96	2	3	54	35	2	4	
AB	94	tr	3	59	30	2	6	
Bml	93	0	3	57	31	2	7	
Bm2	98	0	3	69	25	ĩ	2	
Bm3	99	0	1	47	50	1	1	
BC	98	0	2	53	42	1	2	
C	98	0	1	40	55	2	2	

PSP 5 - INSPECTION POINT 6 - ANALYSIS

6.1

6.0

6.1

Bm3

BC

C

CaCO₃ eq.(%) Org. C % Total N % pН Horizon CaCl₂ H₂0 LF -3.7 0 32.84 0.49 4.7 4.1 1.13 0.04 Ae 0 AB 5.2 4.5 0.16 0 0.01 5.5 4.7 Bm1 0 0.15 0.01 8m2 5.8 4.9 0.03 0.00 0

C/N

67

28

16

15

-

0

0

0

•

-

0.00

-

-

5.1

5.0

5.0

b.

Horizon	E	xch'r Cations	& CEC-Neutra	l Salt (me/l0		Base
noi 12011	A1	Ca	Mg	K	Total	Sat.(%
LF	1.02	8.69	1.24	1.02	11.96	92
Ae	0.19	0.61	0.06	0.06	0.91	79
AB	0.05	0.19	0.03	0.02	0.28	84
Bm1	0.02	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.26	91
Bm2	0.01	0.24	0.05	0.02	0.32	96
Bm3	tr	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.24	29
BC	tr	0.19	0.04	0.02	0.25	99
C	tr	0.36	0.08	0.02	0.46	99

18A

Horizon			Particle S	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ae	95	0	6	58	29	2	5	
AB	9 5	tr	8	63	23	1	5	
Bm 1	92	tr	7	60	24	1	8	
Bfj	99	0	14	72	12	1	1	
Bm2	9 9	tr	8	74	16	1	1	
BC	99	0	1	60	37	1	1	

PSP 5 - INSPECTION POINT 9 - ANALYSIS

C

lorizon	рH		CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	C /1
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	×	گ	C/N
LF	-	3.4	0	31.71	0.36	88
Ae	4.8	4.1	0	0.56	0.02	28
AB	5.6	4.7	0	0.16	0.01	16
Bm 1	5.7	4.8	0	0.12	0.01	12
Bfj	5.8	4.8	0	0.04	0.00	-
Bm2	5.7	4.8	0	-	0.00	-
BC	5.8	4.8	0	-	-	-
С	5.9	4.8	0	-	-	-

	Ex	chy Cations	E CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/10)0g)	Base
Horizon	A1	Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%
LF	0.95	5.42	0.94	0.71	8.02	8 8
Ae	0.15	0.31	0.03	0.02	0.51	70
AB	0.02	0.22	0.02	0.01	0.27	93
Bml	0.01	0.15	0.02	0.02	0.19	9 5
Bfj	tr	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.16	98
Bm2	tr	0.14	0.05	0.01	0.20	99
BC	tr	0.24	0.06	0.01	0.31	99
C	tr	0.19	0.05	0.01	0.25	9 9

19A

PSP 8 - INSPECTION POINT 6 - ANALYSIS

•

lorizon		F	article Si	ze Distribu	ution (%)		Silt
	Sand	VCS	ÇS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay;
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ae 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bmj	95	tr	3	55	34	3	5
Ae2	96	tr	3	57	31	2	4
AB	95	tr	3	55	33	3	5
Bm 1	97	0	3	65	27	2	3
Bm2	98	tr	3	57	36	2	2
II BC	87	0	2	39	44	2	13(7)
III BC	98	0	3	44	48	3	2
111 C	98	0	2	54	40	2	2

lorizon		pH		Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	*	<u>گ</u>	C/ N
LF	-	3.5	0	30.14	0.50	60
Ael	-	-	0	-	-	-
Bmj	5.4	4.5	0	0.85	0.03	28
Ae2	5.4	4.6	0	0.25	0.01	25
AB	5.4	4.7	0	0.14	0.01	. 14
Bm I	5.6	4.9	0	0.03	0.01	3
Bm2	5.7	4.9	0	0.02	0.00	-
IIBC	5.5	4.9	0	-	-	-
III BC	5.7	4.8	0	-	-	-
ill C	5.7	4.8	0	-	-	-

lorizon	Ex	Base				
	A1	n Ca	Mg	ĸ	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	1.59	5.22	0.62	0.41	7.84	80
Ae 1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bmj	0.08	1.09	0.08	0.05	1.30	94
Ae2	0.02	0.27	0.04	0.02	0.35	- 93
AB	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.02	0.15	93
Bm1	tr	0.18	0.05	0.02	0.26	9 9
Bm2	tr	0.20	0.05	0.02	0.27	99
II BC	tr	1.50	0.40	0.06	1.98	99
III BC	0.01	0.45	0.12	0.02	0.60	98
111 C	0.01	0.35	0.09	0.02	0.47	98

* clay content in brackets

.

lorizon			Silt &				
	Sand	VCS	Particle Si CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay*
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ae	97	1	4	62	28	2	́ 3
AB	95	1	5	60	27	2	5
Bm 1	9 5	1	5	67	20	2	· 5
Bm2	98	1	7	74	15	1	2
BC	97	0	2	28	61	6	3
II C	68	0	1	26	36	5	32 (19)
III C	98	0	2	60	35	1	2

PSP	8	-	INSPECT	ION	POINT	9 -	ANALYSIS
-----	---	---	---------	-----	-------	-----	----------

		 	 _	0
-	 	 	 	
				۰.
		· ·		

orizon	pH		CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl2	eq.(%)	ž	\$	
LF	-	3.2	0	27.66	0.75	37
Ae ·	5.0	4.1	0	1.82	0.05	36
AB	5.5	4.6	0	0.46	0.02	23
Bm 1	5.8	4.8	0	0.12	0.01	12
Brn 2	5.7	4.9	0	0.03	0.01	· 3
BC	5.8	4.9	0	-	-	-
11 C	4.4	4.0	0	-	-	-
111 C	5.8	4.6	0	-	-	-

		ExchorLations	E CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/l	00g)	Base
Horizon	Al	Ca	Mg	K	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	1.42	*6.10	0.80	0.56	8.88	84
Ae	0.12	1.43	0.10	0.06	1.71	93
AB	0.02	0.59	0.06	0.04	0.71	97
Bm 1	tr	0.12	0.02	0.02	0.16	` 9 9
Bm2	0.01	0.20	0.04	0.01	0.26	96
BC	0.01	0.48	0.10	0.03	0.62	98
11 C	1.37	2.37	0.76	0.06	4.56	70
III C	tr	0.37	0.11	0.01	0.48	9 9

* clay content in brackets

Horizon		F	Particle Size Distribution (%)								
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay				
LF	-	-	-	-	-	•	-				
Ahe .	94	0	1	16	63	14	6				
AB	94	0	1	11	65	17	6				
Bml	94	0	tr	10	68	16	6				
Bm2	96	0	-	8	70	18	4				
BC	96	0	-	9	72	15	4				
C	97	0	tr	14	68	15	3				

PSP 9 - INSPECTION POINT 3 - ANALYSIS

. .

lorizon	pH		CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	ž	\$	C/N
LF _	-	3.7	0	41.50	1.10	38
Ahe	5.4	4.7	0	2.01	0.06	34
AB	5.9	5.0	0	0.22	0.02	11
Bm 1	6.0	5.2	0	0.13	0.01	13
Bm2	6.1	5.2	0	0.10	0.01	10
BC	6.2	5.3	0	-	-	-
C	6.0	5.0	. 0	-	-	-

1		

		<u>y</u>						
Horizon	E	Exchi Cations & CEC-Neutral Salt (me/100g)						
	A1	V Ca	Mg	K	Total	Sat.(%)		
LF	0.60	16.13	2.31	2.08	21.12	97		
Ahe	0.07	3.03	0.22	0.12	3.95	98		
AB	0.02	1.07	0.22	0.10	1.41	99		
Bm 1	0.02	1.34	0.29	0.08	1.73	99		
Bm2	0.02	1.65	0.34	0.10	2.12	99		
BC	0.02	1.80	0.34	0.13	2.29	99		
C	0.02	1.54	0.31	0.13	2.00	99		

26A

PSP 9 - INSPECTION POINT 10 - ANALYSIS

.

lorizon	_	P	article S	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
E i	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ae	94	tr	2	9	65	17	6	
AB	90	tr	1	10	62	18	10	
Bm I	92	tr	1	11 -	6 6	14	8	
Bmgj	94	0	tr	8	67	19	6	
Bcgj	95	0	tr	7	67	21	5	
Cgj	88	0	tr	2	58	28	12	
Cg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11Cg	97	0	tr	37	55	5	3	

:			

•

lorizon		ьн	CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)		2	Q/ IV
L,	-	3.9	0	46.92	0.97	48
F	-	3.3	0	47.70	0.86	56
Ae	4.7	4.1	0	0.64	0.04	16
AB .	5.2	4.5	0	0.54	0.03	18
Bm1	5.6	4.8	0	0.14	0.01	14
Bmgj	5.5	4.7	0	0.07	0.01	7
BCgj	5.7	4.9	0	-	-	-
Cgj	5.7	5.0	0	-	-	-
Cg	-	-	-	-	-	-
IICg	7.5	7.0	0	0.11		

	E	xcho Cations	E CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/1)	(p00	Base
orizon	Al	Ĉa	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%)
L	0.66	24.23	5.69	3.75	34.34	98
F	1.08	11.74	2.49	3.41	18.72	94
Ae	0.43	0.83	0.09	0.09	1.43	70
AB	0.13	1.16	0.13	0.11	1.53	92
Bm 1	0.03	1.53	0.25	0.13	1.94	98
Bmgj	0.01	1.01	0.19	0.05	1.26	99
BCgj	0.02	1.78	0.31	0.09	2.20	99
Cgj	0.03	2.17	0.40	0.11	2.71	99
Cg	-	-	-		-	-
IICg	0.02	2.04	0.17	0.04	2.27	99

• • •

lorizon	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay
LF		-	-		-	-	-
Ae	96	2	10	64	19	1	4
AB	9 5	1	10	64	18	2	5
Bm 1	96	1	7	65	21	2	4
Bm2	96	1	12	61	20	2	4
Bm3	98	1	13	72	10	2	2
BC	9 9	1	9	73	14	2	1
С	99	1	6	67	24	1	ı

٠.

1

Horizon	- pH		CaCO	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaC12	eq.(%)	*	\$	•,
LF .	-	3.8	0	34.81	. 0.49	71
Ae	5.2	4.6	0	0.80	0.02	40
AB	5.3	4.6	0	0.18	0.01	18
Bm 1	5.4	4.7	0	0.14	0.01	. 14
Bm2	5.9	4.9	0	0.05	0.01	5
Bm3	5.8	5.1	0	0.02	0.00	-
BC	6.2	5.1	0	-	-	-
C	5.9	5.0	0	-	-	-

	Ex	ch ^o Cations	& CEC-Neutra	al Salt (me/l	00a)	Base
lorizon	Al	Ca	Mg	K	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	0.85	7.52	0.98	1.03	10.38	92
Ae	0.11	0.88	0.05	0.04	1.08	88
AB	0.02	0.26	0.02	0.01	0.31	94
Bm 1	0.02	0.24	0.02	0.01	0.29	93
Bm2	0.01	0.35	0.04	0.01	0.41	98
Bm3	0.01	0.25	0.04	0.01	0.31	97
BC	0.01	0.22	0.04	0.01	0.28	96
C	0.01	0.26	0.07	0.01	0.35	9 7

29A

PSP 10 - INSPECTION POINT 13 - ANALYS	PSP	10 -	INSPECTION	POINT 1	3 -	ANALYSIS
---------------------------------------	-----	------	------------	---------	-----	----------

lorizon	_	F	Particle S	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ae	97	1	11	65	18	2	3	
AB	96	1	14	64	15	2	4	
Bm 1	94	1	11	67	13	2	6	
Bm2	96	1	8	65	20	2	4	
Bm3	98	0	7	75	14	2	2	
BC	98	1	4	6 6	25	2	2	
С	97	0	1	30	63	3	3	

lorizon		ъН	CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaC12	eq.(%)	<u>گ</u>	گر	
LF	-	3.8	0	33.17	0.65	51
Ae	4.9	4.4	0	0.79	0.03	26
AB	5.1	4.5	0	0.20	0.01	20
Bm 1	5.4	4.7	0	0.17	0.01	17
Bm2	5.6	4.9	0	0.05	0.00	-
Bm3	5.7	5.0	0	0.02	0.00	-
BC	5.7	4.9	0	-	-	-
С	5.6	4.7	0	. .	-	-

	E	xch Cations	& CEC-Neutra	Salt (me/10	l0g)	Base
Horizon	A1	Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%
LF	0.84	10.76	1.44	1.62	14.65	94
Ae	0.10	0.28	0.04	0.04	0.46	79
AB	0.05	0.16	0.02	0.02	0.25	80
Bm I	0.13	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.18	28
Bm2	tr	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.16	98
Bm3	tr	0.10	0.02	0.01	0.13	5 8
BC	tr	0.13	0.04	0.01	0.18	99
C	0.01	0.28	0.07	tr	0.38	99

.

PSP 12 - INSPECTION POINT 6 - ANALYSIS

Horizon	.	P	article S	ize Distribu	ution (%)		Silt &	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	
Ae	92	tr	2	41	46	3	8	
AB	94	tr	3	44	44	3	6	
Bml	96	0	2	39	53	2	4	
Bm2	99	0	1	32	64	2	1	
IIBC	97	0	3	60	33	1	3	
1110	99	0	2	50	45	2	1	

lorizon		pH	CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	C / 1
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	\$	گ ـــــ	C/N
LF .	-	3.7	0	25.72	0.52	50
Ae	4.7	4.1	0	2.54	0.05	51
AB	5.0	4.3	0	0.21	0.01	21
Bml	5.1	4.4	0	0.22	0.01	22
Bm2	5.4	4.8	0	0.07	0.00	-
IIBC	5.4	4.7	0	0.75	-	• –
1110	5.6	4.9	0	-	-	-

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·····		
		لان				
Horizon			& CEC-Neutra	l Salt (me/l		Base
	A1	'\ Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	0.67	9.40	1.10	0.79	11.95	94
Ae	0.33	1.24	0.10	0.11	1.78	81
AB	0.09	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.25	64
Bml	0.13	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.21	. 38

0.02

0.02

0.05

0.01

0.01

0.02

0.07

0.11

0.30

99

99

99

0.04

0.08

0.23

Bm2

IIBC

1110

tr

tr

tr

Horizon	Particle Size Distribution (%)								
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay		
LF		-	-	-	-	-	-		
Ae	96	0	2	46	45	3	4		
AB	94	0	2	48	41	3	6		
Bm 1	97	0	2	48	45	2	3		
Bm2	97	0	1	43	50	3	3		
BC	99	0	2	61	35	1	1		
C	99	0	3	64	31	1	1		

PSP 12 - INSPECTION POINT 9 - ANALYSIS

CaC0₃ eq.(%) pН Org. C Total N Horizon C/N H_0 CaCl₂ ž 2 LF -3.8 42.47 0 0.79 54 Ae 4.7 4.1 1.12 0 0.05 22 AB 5.1 4.4 0 0.42 0.02 21 5.3 Bm1 4.7 0 0.22 0.01 22 5.6 Bm2 4.9 0 0.07 0.01 7 BC 5.6 4.9 0 . -C 5.9 4.9 0

Q. Cations & CEC-Neutral Salt (me/100g) Base Exch Horizon Total Sat. (%) AI \ Ca ĸ Mg , LF 0.68 15.45 11.52 2.05 1.19 96 Ae 0.15 0.56 0.06 0.04 0.80 81 AB 0.12 0.11 0.01 0.01 0.25 55 Bml 0.01 0.06 0.03 0.01 0.01 83 8m2 0.02 0.01 0.03 99 tr tr BC 0.04 0.01 0.06 tr 0.01 99 C tr 0.06 0.01 0.01 0.09 99

PSP 14 - INSPECTION POINT 7 - ANALYSIS

Horizon	Particle Size Distribution (%)							
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt 8 Clay	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ae	89	3	16	42	26	2	11	
AB	89	3	17	44	23	2	11	
Bfj1	92	4	21	49	16	2	8	
Bfj2	93	11	27	30	23	2	7	
Bfj3	94	4	24	38	26	2	6	
BC	97	2	14	53	26	2	3	
C	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

lorizon	pH		CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	2	\$	L /N
LF	-	4.0	0	43.91	1.10	40
Ae	4.9	4.2	0	0.15	0.01	15
AB	5.2	4.5	0-	0.11	0.01	11
Bfj1	5.7	4.9	0	0.09	0.01	9
Bfj2	6.0	5.1	0	0.11	0.02	6
Bfj3	6.0	5.1	0	0.07	0.00	-
BC	6.0	5.2	0	-	-	-
C	-	-	-	-	-	-

Horizon	E	xch Cations	& CEC-Neutra	1 Salt (me/1	00g)	Base
	Al	Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat. (%)
LF	1.16	18.40	2.63	1.27	23.46	95
Ae	0.06	0.15	0.04	0.02	0.27	78
AB	0.10	0.56	0.07	0.07	0.80	88
Bfj1	0.02	0.85	0.15	0.07	1.09	98
Bfj2	0.01	1.34	0.29	0.06	1.70	9 9
Bfj3	0.02	1.22	0.31	0.05	1.60	99
BC	0.01	0.80	0.22	0.04	1.07	9 9
C	-	-	-	-	-	-

PSP 14 - INSPECTION POINT 9 - ANALYSIS

lorizon	Particle Size Distribution (%)							
	Sand	VCS	ĊS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay	
L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
F	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Ae	96	1	20	58	16	1	4	
AB	93	1	22	56	14	1	7	
Bm 1	98	1	14	63	19	1	2	
Bm2	99	1	11	65	21	1	1	
BC	98	1	15	61	20	1	2	
110	98	1	3	34	56	4	2	
1110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lliCg	-	-	-	•	-		-	

lorizon	Hq		CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	۶	٤	U/N
L .	-	-	0	-		
F	-	4.1	0	41.24	0.64	64
Ae	5.2	4.5	0	0.15	0.01	15
AB	5.3	4.6	0	0.13	0.01	. 13
Bm 1	5.7	5.0	0	0.05	0.00	-
Bm2	6.1	5.1	0	0.02	0.00	-
BC	6.0	5.0	0	-	-	-
110	5.7	4.8	0	-	· –	-
1110	-	-	0	-	-	-
IIICg	-	-	0	-	-	-
1110	-	-	0	-	-	

Horizon	E	xche Cations	& CEC-Neutra)0g)	Base	
	Al	N Ca	Mg	ĸ	Total	Sat.(%)
L	0.67	20.93	3.16	1.39	26.16	97
F	0.67	21.92	3-34	1.44	27.36	98
Ae	0.01	0.26	0.04	0.02	0.33	9 7
AB	0.03	0.16	0.04	0.33	0.56	9 5
Bm 1	0.01	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.24	96
Bm2	tr	0.19	0.05	0.03	0.27	99
BC	tr	0.23	0.06	0.02	0.31	99
110	tr	0.35	0.10	0.02	0.48	99
1110	-	-	-	-	-	-
lliCg	-	-	-	-	-	-

.....

PSP 15 -	INSPECTION	POINT 6	-	ANALYSIS
----------	------------	---------	---	----------

Horizon	Particle Size Distribution (%)							
	Sand	VCS	ĊS	MS	FS	VFS	Silt & Clay *	
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ael	50	2	5	18	20	5	50	
Ae2	66	2	7	24	28	5	34	
AB	77	2	7	29	35	4	23	
Bt	50	2	5	17	21	5	50 (30)	
BC	45	1	3	12	20	9	55	
C	48	1	4	16	21	6	52	

lorizon	PH		CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	• ···
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	2	\$	C/N
LF ·	-	4.3	0	47.08		40
Ae 1	4.9	4.2	0	0.51	0.03	. 17
Ae2	5.0	4.4	0	0.36	0.02	18
АВ	5.6		0	0.14	0.01	. 14
Bt	6.4	5.9	0	0.75	0.03	25
BC	7.4	7.2	5.4	-	-	-
C	7.7	7.4	14.7	-	-	-

•

\$ Exch^(y) Cations & CEC-Neutral Salt (me/100g) Ca Mg K Base Sat.(%) Horizon Al Mg Total . LF 0.56 33.11 7.57 2.90 44.13 **9**9 0.34 Ae 1 1.40 0.48 0.08 2.30 85 Ae2 0.13 1.20 0.52 0.05 1.90 93 AB 0.01 0.91 0.37 0.03 1.32 99 Bt 0.07 7.68 3.60 0.04 11.39 99 BC 0.07 6.90 2.95 0.04 9.97 99 C 0.06 7.21 5.17 1.96 0.03 99

lorizon		F		ze Distrib		Silt 6	
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay #
L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
F	-	•	- ·	-	-	. 🛥	-
Ae	69	1	5	19	36	8	31 (2)
Bmj	45	1	I	3	22	18	55(11)
AB	38	1	2	6	17	12	62(30)
Btl	36	1	2	7	18	8	64 (35)
Bt2	64	T	1	4	39	19	36 (5)
BC	26	1	2	5	11	7	7 4(37)
C	23	1	1	4	10	7	77 (39)

PSP 16 - INSPECTION POINT 5 - ANALYSI	- 3 F	P 10 - INS	PELIIUN	PUINI	5	-	ANALYSI	S
---------------------------------------	-------	------------	---------	-------	---	---	---------	---

lorizon	1	pH	CaCO,	Org. C	Total N	C/N
	H ₂ 0	CaCl ₂	eq.(%)	2	\$	
L	-	-	0	-	-	-
F	-	3.8	0	46.12	0.97	48
Ae	4.5	4.0	0	0.55	0.02	28
Bmj	5-3	4.5	0	0.22	0.02	11
AB	4.9	4.4	0	0.26	0.02	13
Btl	5.5	5.3	0	0.44	0.04	11
Bt2	5.5	4.6	0	0.29	0.02	15
BC	5.2	4.8	0	-	-	-
C	5.3	4.9	0	-	-	-

	E	Exch ² Cations & CEC-Neutral Salt (me/100g)						
Horizon	AI	n Ca	Mg	К	Total	Sat.(%)		
L	-	-	-	-	-	-		
F	0.98	21.95	4.29	3.21	30.43	9 7		
Ae	0.42	0.69	0.14	0.06	1.31	68		
Bmj	0.19	1.58	0.58	0.09	0.19	58		
AB	0.35	5.08	2.15	0.15	7.73	95		
Bt1	0.08	8.48	3.65	0.10	12.30	9 9		
Bt2	0.14	0.57	0.17	0.04	0.93	85		
BC	0.07	3.38	1.45	0.07	1.48	. 99		
C	0.07	5.01	2.19	0.10	7.36	99		

.

* clay content in brackets

2. STUDY AREAS

Potential permanent sample plots were selected during the autumn of 1980 by R. Ellis, Department of Botany, University of Alberta, and L.W. Turchenek. The sites selected included white spruce-aspen and black spruce communities as well as jack pine communities. Documentation of soil observations at these sites was submitted to the Research Management Division, Alberta Environment, in late 1980. Dr. LaRoi and his research group established 16 permanent sample plots at sites selected from these and from several others visited during 1981. The soil investigations were to be carried out at these 16 sites. Their locations are presented in Table 1 and in Figure 1. The permanent sample plots have been renumbered according to LaRoi (1982). La Roi has also provided airphoto co-ordinates for locating each of the plots.

Table 1. Location of permanent sample plots.

4

....

1.1

1

í...

Permanent Sample Plot	Age (yrs)	Location
PSP - 1	17	NE(NW?)26-99-8-W4
PSP - 2	37	SE20-98-8-W4
PSP - 3	32	SE19-93-10-W4
PSP - 4	34	SE17-93-9-W4
PSP - 5	40	SE6, SW5-94-9-W4
PSP - 6	36	SE7, SW8-102-7-W4
PSP - 7	37	NE12-88-13-W4
PSP - 8	37	NE1-94-10-W4
PSP - 9	54	NW15(SE16?)88-13-W4
PSP - 10	85	SE1-94-10-W4
PSP - 11	78	NE(NW?)25-99-8-W4
PSP - 12	80	NE17-98-8-W4
PSP - 13	98	SE7, NE6-102-7-W4
PSP - 14	140	SE17-93-9-W4
PSP - 15	33	NE33-92-9-W4
PSP - 16	40	NW23-92-8-W4



Figure 1. Locations of permanent sample plots.

METHODS

3.

A systematic soil inspection and sampling scheme, in which sampling or inspection points are located at regular intervals on a grid, was used in this survey. This scheme was selected to reduce bias in selecting sample points while at the same time making them easy to locate and index. A disadvantage of systematic sampling is that the selected grid could coincide with regular periodicity in the soil population. As one criterion for selecting permanent sample plots was general uniformity within ecosystem components, the possibility of periodicity within plots was considered to be minimal. Recurring patterns were not apparent when the actual field investigations were carried out.

A limit of one day's field work was established for each permanent sample plot. This time limit determined the number of inspections that could be made per plot. The number selected was 16 inspections on a 4 x 4 grid, but in fact it became necessary to delete a few inspection points from most plots. At PSP 6, near the Mildred Lake research facility, inspections using a 5 x 5 grid were attempted but it was found that 2 days were necessary to complete the plot.

Soil classification and terminology used in soil descriptions was that of the Canadian System of soil classification (Canada Soil Survey Committee 1978a 1978b).

Inspection points were hand augered, usually to a depth of about 120 cm. Horizon thicknesses and morphology were recorded. Site features such as slope, stoniness, vegetation, and distance of sample points from the nearest trees were recorded. At each inspection point, small grab samples for pH determination were collected at depths of 0-5, 15-20, 45-50, and at 100 cm. Pits were dug at one or two of the inspection points and about 2 kg of sample from each horizon were taken for laboratory analysis.

Grab samples were kept cold and moist until pH was determined. Samples from pits were air dried and passed through a

2 mm sieve. The content of fragments larger than 2 mm was determined. The pH of grab samples was determined in 0.01 MCaCl_2 solution (1:2 soil:solution ratio).

The pH of other samples was determined in 0.01 MCaCl₂ and also in H_2O . Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and exchangeable cations were determined after extraction with 2N NaCl solution. CEC determined by this method, called permanent charge CEC, is determined at the pH of the soil. Contents of exchangeable cations in solution were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emmission Spectroscopy. Total carbon was determined by dry combustion and total nitrogen by the semi-micro Kjeldahl method.

Access to permanent sample plots was by helicopter and location of plots was made with the aid of 1:60,000 airphotos. Grid inspection points were selected within plot boundaries established by LaRoi (1982). The grid was laid out by use of a compass and a hip-chain measuring instrument.

No attempt was made to delineate differing soils and topography within the permanent sample plots. Without large scale airphotos, determining boundary locations is rather difficult and time-consuming. The grid diagrams in Section 4 do not indicate permanent sample plot boundaries. Again, determination of these would have been time-consuming, particularly for the irregularly shaped permanent sample plots. It is hoped that large scale airphotos will be obtained in the future; plot, soil, vegetation, landform, drainage, and other boundaries could then be quite easily added.

Statistical procedures utilized the Michigan Interactive Data Analysis System (MIDAS) conducted with the Amdahl 470V/8 mainframe at the University of Alberta computing centre. The procedures are outlined by the Statistical Research Laboratory, The University of Michigan (1976).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 SOIL DESCRIPTIONS

Field descriptions and data for soils within the various permanent sample plots are presented in this section. Four of the 16 plots were not investigated because the forest cover had been destroyed by fires and it was not known, at the time of the field work, if research would proceed on these plots. If permanent sample plots are to be re-established at these sites, the soils information can be collected during 1982. Sites 15 and 16, which had very stony soils, were difficult to dig in and were not examined as thoroughly as others. PSP 7 was not completed due to problems encountered at the site but will hopefully be completed during 1982.

At the time of preparing this report, laboratory analyses of soil samples was still being carried out. Therefore, only field descriptions and results of pH determinations are presented herein.

Data from each plot are presented on the following pages using a standard format as described below.

- A diagram of the inspection grid indicates potential inspection points by use of crosses (x), inspection actually examined by use of circled crosses (@), and inspection points sampled by a solid circle (•).
- 2) A table derived from a computer printout of some descriptive measures follows the grid diagram. The data are for horizon thicknesses and pH. The actual data for all plots are presented in the Appendix. Abbreviations and definitions are as follows: Variable = horizon thickness or pH; A = sum of Ae and AB horizons; ABM = sum of Ae, AB, and Bm horizon
- * thicknesses; Solum = sum of all A and B horizon thicknesses; pH5 = pH of 0-5 cm sample; pH 20 = pH of 15-20 cm sample; pH 50 = pH of 45-50 cm sample; pH 100 = pH of sample taken at 100 cm.

4.1.1 PSP 2



Figure 2. Inspection points in PSP 2.

Table 2. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 2.

ESCRIPTIVE MEASUR	ES <2> PLOT:2				
VARIABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. SOGG CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	4 1	2	1.7500	. \$0000	(1.1617.2.3383)
AE	4 4		5.2500	. \$ \$ 7 4 3	(4.1234.5.2785)
AB	4 5	10	7.5000	1.7321	(5,4518,9,5381)
A	4 11	18	12.750	2.3628	(8.9686,18.530)
BM .	4 45	84	\$2.250	8.2208	(42.577,81.823)
ABM	4 58	* 75	85.000	7.2572	(88.481.73.538)
#C	4 15	\$7	36.250	17.851	(15.127,57.373)
SOLUM	4 80	115	101.25	11.087	(88.204.114.30)
PHS	3 4.24	4.83	4.5257	. 29535	(4.0287,5.0248)
PH20	3 4.84	4.87	4.7800	.21517	(4.3972,5.1228)
PHEO	3 5.05	5.21	5.1300	.75498 +	1 (5.0027,5.2573)
PH100	2 4.95	5.05	5.0150		1 (4.8045,5.4254)

DE

PSP 2 - INSPECTION POINT 3

Site Description

Plot Location: Landform:	SE20-98-8-W4
Cana or m.	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy; possible eolian influence in top few cm
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (2-5%)
Site Features:	Lower to mid position of very gentle slope; northwest aspect; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	<u>Jack</u> pine - <u>lichens</u> - Polytrichum

Profile Description

- LF 2 to 0 cm; slightly to moderately well decomposed needles, lichens, and mosses; some charcoal fragments at mineral contact; mixed gray, black and brown colours; few, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 6 (±1) cm; 'salt and pepper' colours, generally dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/6 d, and 10YR 4/4 d); sand; single grain; loose, abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; very few coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.3.
- AB 6 to 13 (±1) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6.5/5 d); sand; single grain; loose; abundant, fine to coarse, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- Bm1 13 to 30 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose, plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; trace of coarse fragments; gradual wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- Bm2 30 to 43 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine, oblique roots; about 3% coarse fragments; gradual, wavy boundary.
- Bm3 43 to 58 (±5) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; no coarse fragments; clear, irregular and broken boundary with tonguing into BC; pH 4.9.
- BC 58 to 115 cm; very pale brown (1DYR 7/3.5 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; no coarse fragments; pH 4.9.
- C 115 to 138+ cm; very pale brown (10YR 7/3 m); sand; single grain; no coarse fragments; pH 4.9.

Horizon		f	Particle S	ize Distrib	ution (%)		Silt &
	Sand	VCS	CS	MS	FS	VFS	Clay
LF	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ae	93	0	1	34	54	4	7
AB	96	0	1	41	51	3	4
Bm1	96	0	1	34	58	3	4
Bm2	96	tr	1	29	61	4	4
Bm3	9 9	0	tr	26	69	4	1
BC	9 9	0	0	35	61	3	1
C	99	0	0	46	51	2	1

PSP 2 - INSPECTION POINT 3 - ANALYSIS

Horizon	На		CaCO ₃	Org. C	Total N	C /11
	H ₂ 0	CaC12	eq.(%)	2	\$	C/N
LF	-	3.3	0	39.32	0.59	67
Ae	5.1	4.3	0	1.02	0.04	26
AB	5.2	4.6	0	0.14	0.01	14
Bm 1	5.2	4.6	0	0.22	0.01	22
Bm2	5.4	4.6	0	0.11	0.01	11
Bm3	5.5	4.9	0	0.04	0.00	-
BC	5.7	4.9	0	-	-	-
C	5.9	4.9	0	-	-	-

:	E	xchr Cations	& CEC-Neutra	al Salt (me/10)0g)	Base
orizon	Al	Ca	Mg	к	Total	Sat.(%)
LF	1.16	9.85	1.09	0.83	12.94	91
Ae	0.08	0.88	0.05	0.03	1.04	92
AB	0.04	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.16 🚺	78
Bm1	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.12	74
Bm2	tr	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.06	74 93 95
Bm3	tr	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.05	-95
BC	tr	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.08	97
C	tr	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.15	98

4.1.2 PSP 3



m

Figure 3. Inspection points in PSP 3.

Table 3. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 3.

DESCRIPTIVE MEASUR	ES <3> PLOT:3				
VARIABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	.BODO CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	10 1		2.7388	1.0975	(2.3002,3.1735)
AE	20 1	14	8.3000	3.5555	(4.\$253,7.\$747)
A. 8.	18 0	20	6.6316	5.5599	{4.4158,8.8474}
	18 2	30	12.842	8.8841	(10.080,15.825)
SM	14 31	80	80.111	14.004	(54.359,85.853)
ABM	18 40	80	73.000	13.952	(\$7.275,78.728)
BC	18 18	80	30.444	11.748	(25,827,38,282)
SOLUM	18 72	120	103.44	13.975	(\$7,714,108.17)
PHS	20 3.82	4.71	4,2100	.34035	(4.0782,4.3418)
PH20	20 3.81	4.95	4.3175	.30430	(4.2588,4.8082)
PHEO	20 3.84	4.88	4.5170	.28840	(4.4824,4.7118)
PHIOD	18 4.28	8.14	4.7732	.20788	(4.8905,4.8558)
PSP 3 - INSPECTION POINT 8

Site Description	
Plot Location:	SE19-93-10-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; nearly level (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Sample point in basin between slopes; relief about ½ m; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	<u>Jack pine – aspen – alder – blueberry</u> – lichens

- LF 1-2 to 0 cm; mixed colours, mainly grayish brown; moderately decomposed lichens and needles; plenty of charcoal fragments; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ae 0 to 5 (±1) cm; 'salt and pepper' colours, mainly grayish brown (10YR 5/2 d); some charcoal particles; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.2.
- AB 5 to 14 (±1) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 d; 10YR 5/4 m); some faint grayish blotches; sand; single grain; loose; abundant; fine to coarse, horizontal and oblique roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.3.
- Bm1 14 to 24 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown to brownish yellow (10YR 6.5/5 d; 10YR 5/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; about 5%, well rounded to subangular, gravel and cobbles; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm2 24 to 46 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown to brownish yellow (10YR 6/5 d; 10YR 4.5/6 m); very few, fine, oblique roots; about 5% gravel and cobbles; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bm3 46 to 66 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- BC 66 to 90 (±3) cm; very pale brown (1DYR 7/4 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 4.9.
- C 90 to 140+ cm; pale brown (10YR 6.5/3 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 5.0.



Figure 4. Inspection points in PSP 4.

1

Table 4. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 4.

VARIABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. BOOD CONFIDENCE INTERS
LF	4 1	5	2.0000	1.3093	(1.1230,2.8770)
AE	9 7	26	15.333	8.3838	(11.378,18.280)
AB	8 0	15	7.3333	8.0488	(4.2032,10.463)
A	8 14	28	22.857	5.0248	(18.852,28.781)
8M		**	38.444	14.205	(28,840,47,248)
ABM	3 45	80	\$1,111	12.883	(\$3.243,\$8.878)
80	9 10	47	21.855	91.652	(14.333,28.778)
SOLUM .	9 65	. 7	82.867	11.833	(75.518,85.815)
PHS T	8 3.58	5.52	4.5255	. 69478	(4.0545,4.3582)
PH20	8 4.27	8.84	4.7378	.38273	(4,5128,4.5525)
PHEO	8 4.34	8.14	4.7822	.28288	(4.8107,4.8738)
PH100	9 4.82	5.22	4.9000	.20380	{4.7738,8.0284}

PSP 4 - INSPECTION POINT 1

Site Description	
Plot Location:	SE17-93-9-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (2-5%)
Site Features:	Mid position of 3-4% slope; northeast aspect; relief about 1 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness; water table at about 115 cm.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - cowberry - bearberry - grasses - lichens - Polytrichum

- L 1.5 to 1 cm; non to slightly decomposed needles and lichens.
- F 1 to 0 cm; dark brown, slightly to moderately decomposed needles, lichens, and mosses; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae1 0 to 7 (±1) cm; very pale brown to pink (7.5YR 7/4 m) with dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/5 m) at top; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- Ae2 7 to 17 (±2) cm; pinkish gray (7.5YR 7/2 m); sand; single grain; loose plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- AB 17 to 24 (±4) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m), yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m), and other colours; sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 2-3% coarse fragments; clear, irregular boundary; pH 4.5.
- Bm 24 to 50 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine, oblique roots; 3-5%, rounded to subrounded, gravel and cobbles; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- BC 50 to 97 cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6.5/4 m); faintly blotchy; single grain; loose; very few roots; about 1% coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.6.
- 97 to 110+ cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); sand; single grain; loose;
 no roots; thin band of gravel and cobbles at top of C; pH 4.6.

PSP 4 - INSPECTION POINT 8

Site Description

Plot Location:	SE17-93-9-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (25%)
Site Features:	Mid to upper position of 4-5% slope; west aspect; relief about 1-2 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - bearberry - bunchberry - lichens

Profile Description

•

- LF 2 (±1) to 0 cm; dark brown and black; slightly to moderately decomposed needles, lichens, and leaves; few, very fine to fine, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae1 0 to 6 (±2) cm; light gray (10YR 7/2 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- Ae2 6 to 16 (±4) cm; light gray (10YR 7/2 m) some blotches of Bfj material; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; abrupt, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bfj 16 to 28 (±4) cm; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6 m); sand; single grain; very friable; some finer material in thin band at Ae2-Bfj contact; few, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 5.9.
- Bm 28 to 49 (±3) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); faintly blotchy with Bfj colours; sand; single grain; loose; very few, fine, oblique and vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 5.7.
- BC 49 to 72 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/5 m); faintly blotchy with Bm colours; sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.8.
- C 72 to 110+ cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/4 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; thin, dark band near top of C may contain comminuted tar sand; pH 5.9.

4.1.4 PSP 5

m



m

Figure 5. Inspection points in PSP 5

Table 5. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 5.

VARJABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. SOOD CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	13 1	2	1.8823	.48038	{1,4548,1,8288}
AE	12 2		4.8482	1.8730	(4.0885,5.8237)
AB	13 6	14	8.3077	2.1384	(8.2518,10.384)
A	13 10	16	14.184	1.7723	(13.278,15.030)
BM	13. 46	78	82.482	8.8187	(58.102,85.821)
ABM	13 86	80	78.815	10.021	(71.882,81.888)
36	13 15	35	18.815	5.8385	(15.728,21.502)
SDLUM-	13 71	105	85.231	10.101	(80.238,100.22)
PHS	13 4.07	4.87	4.4038	.27842	(4.2572,4.5405)
PH20	13 4.55	8.12	4.7438	. 18705	(4.8813,4.8254)
PHEO	12 4.84	5.04	4.8354	. 13283	(4.7897,4.9010)
PH 100	13 4.70	5.18	4.8015	. 12836	(4.8388,4.8535)

PSP 5 - INSPECTION POINT 6

Site Description

Plot Location:	SE6, SW5-94-9-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Level
Site Features:	Level sample site; rapidly drained; high perviousness; slightly stony.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	<u>Jack pine</u> - bearberry - blueberry - <u>lichens</u>

- LF 2 to 0 cm; brown; needles and lichens; very few roots; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ae 0 to 4 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 5/5 and 6/5 m); blotchy; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.1.
- AB 4 to 10 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/5 m); sand; single grain; loose; abundant, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; gradual, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- Bm1 10 to 25 (±3) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/7 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 5-10%, rounded to subangular gravels and cobbles in band near top; gradual, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm2 25 to 41 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; 2-3% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- Bm3 41 to 56 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/7 m) sand; single grain; loose; few, very fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.1.
- BC 56 to 71 (±3) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/4 m) sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.0.
- C 71 to 110+ cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 5.0.

PSP 5 - INSPECTION POINT 9

Site Description	
Plot Location: Landform:	SE6, SW5-94-9-W4
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Level to undulating (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Mid position of 0.5% slope; east aspect; rapidly drained; high perviousness; slightly stony.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - bearberry - cowberry - lichens

Profile Description

•

- LF 2 to 0 cm; brown; slightly to moderately decomposed lichens, needles, and leaves; very few roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 6 (±1) cm; brown (10YR 4/3 m) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); blotchy; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.1.
- AB 6 to 15 (±1) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); faintly blotchy; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm1 15 to 32 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 5-10%, rounded to subangular gravel and cobbles, with about 20-30% at Bm1 - Bm2 boundary; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bfj 32 to 51 (±1) cm; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bm2 51 to 68 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- BC 68 to 84 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); blotches and streaks; sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 4.8.
- C 84 to 120+ cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); sand; single; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 4.8.

4.1.5 PSP 7

m

.



m

Figure 6. Inspection points in PSP 7.

Table 6. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 7.

DESCRIPTIVE MEASURES	<7	> PLOT:7				
VARIABLE	N	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. 0000 CONFIDENCE INTERV
L.F.		2	6 ·	3.0000	1.0354	(2.0988.3.9012)
AZ		4		\$.0000	1.6733	(3.8235.8.2785)
AB		6	10	7.3333	1.7\$12	(5.8827,8.7738)
A		8	16	12.333	3.0111	(8.8553,14.810)
8 M	8	8 B	85	78.500	8.2188	(73.304.83.685)
ABM		85	85	90.833	4.8180	(88.789,94.877)
BC .		20	30	22.500	4.1833	(19.058,25.941)
SOLUM		105	120	113.33	5.1540	(109.08.117.58)
PH6 "		4.54	5.28	4.8733	.31841	(4.8114,8.1383)
PH20		4.82	8.68	8.0383	. 28045	(4.8075.8.2580)
PHED		4.36	5.17	4.8187	. 23384	(4.3700,4.8533)
PHIDD		4.55	5.20	4.8587	. 17189	(4,8153,5.0881)

PSP 7 - GENERAL DESCRIPTION *

Site Description	
Plot Location:	NE12-88-13-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Eolian; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (2-5%)
Site Features:	Slopes mainly 2-4%; relief about 0.5 to 1 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Heart
Vegetation:	Jack pine - cowberry - blueberry - bearberry - alder - lichens

Composite Profile Description .

- LF 2 (1) to 0 cm; slightly to moderately decomposed needles and lichens, with feathermosses and leaves in places; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ae 0 to 5 (±3) cm; grayish brown (10YR 5/2 m), or light brownish gray (10YR 6/2 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- AB 5 to 13 (±3) cm; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4 m) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- Bm1 13 to 40 (±5) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 and 5/6 m) to brownish yellow (10YR 6/5 and 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- Bm2 40 to 70 (±5) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/5 to 5/7 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- Bm3 70 to 85 (±10) cm; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/5 m) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/5 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- BC 85 to 105 (±15) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 m) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- C below 105 (±15) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 m) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); sand; single grain; loose.
- * No samples were taken at this site. The descriptions are a composite of observations from 6 auger holes.

22



Figure 7. Inspection points in PSP 8.

Table 7. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 8.

VARJABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. SOOO CONFIDENCE INTER
. L F	12 1	1	1,0000		
AE	12 1		2.1557	1.9924	(1.1337,3.1096)
AB	12 7	17	11.887	2.8391	(10.195,13.139)
Å	12 9	20	13.433	3.1244	(12,211,18,488)
- EM	12 34	64	\$1.800	10.220	(45.202.55.798)
ABH	12 47	78	85.333	10.030	(80.133,70.833)
80	12 10	44	25.750	12.672	(20.181,33,310)
SOLUM "	12 55	110	82.083	18.023	(82.740, 101.43)
PHS	12 3.87	8.23	4.8533	. 33868	(4.3477.4.7890)
PH20	12 4.65	5.26	4.9808	. 18273	(4.8861.8.0888)
	12 4.54	\$.12	4.8142	17438	(4.7238,4.8045)
PHEC Philod	12 4.33	5.12	4.7887	. 20219	(4.8518.4.8718)

PSP 8 - INSPECTION POINT 6

Site	Descript	ion

Plot Location:	NE1-94-10-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; nearly level (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Mid position of 1% slope; relief less than 0.5 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation	Jack Pine - bearberry - lichens

- LF (1-1) to 0 cm; various brown colours; slightly to moderately decomposed needles and lichens; few, fine, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae1 0 to 0.5 cm; 'salt and pepper' colours; sand; single grain; loose; few, fine, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary.
- Bmj 0.5 to 6 (±2) cm; brown (10YR 4/3 m); small blotches of Ae colours; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to coarse, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- Ae2 6 to 13 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); faintly blotchy; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- AB 13 to 20 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6.5/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; no coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm1 20 to 46 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine, oblique roots; 1-3%, well rounded to subrounded coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.9.
- Bm2 46 to 62 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; some blotches of Bm1 colours; very few roots; 2-5% coarse fragments; abrupt smooth boundary; pH 4.9.
- IIBC 62 to 72 (±3) cm; brown (7.5YR 4/4 m); blotchy; sandy loam, with sandy and clayey patches; amorphous; very friable; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; abrupt, smooth boundary; pH 4.9.
- IIIBC 72 to 110 cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/5 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- IIIC 110+ cm; very pale brown (10YR 7/4 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 4.8.

PSP 8 - INSPECTION POINT 9

Site Description

Plot Location:	NE1-94-10-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy; about 10 cm eolian overlay
Surface Expression:	Level
Site Features:	Level site; rapidly drained; high perviousness
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - bearberry - lichens

Profile Description

- LF 1 (±0.5) to 0 cm; mixed brown colours; slightly to moderately decomposed lichens and needles; some charcoal fragments; few roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 2 (±1) cm; light gray (10YR 6/1 m) and dark gray (10YR 3/1 m); 'salt and pepper' colours; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.1.
- AB 2 to 9 (±3) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 m), pale brown (10YR 6/3 m), and yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); blotchy, with Ae and Bm colours; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- Bm1 9 to 28 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique roots; 2-3%, rounded, gravelly coarse fragments; gradual, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bm2 28 to 47 (±3) cm; reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few, fine to medium, oblique roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- BC 47 to 66 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown (1DYR 6.5/4 m); faintly blotchy with Bm colours; sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- IIC 66 to 78 (±2) cm; brown (7.5YR 5/4 m); streaky and blotchy with pink, light brown, and rusty colours; sandy loam; amorphous, soft; very few, fine, oblique roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.0.
- IIIC 78 to 100± cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 4.6.

m2

4.1.7 PSP 9



m

Figure 8. Inspection points in PSP 9.

Table 8. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 9.

DESCRIPTIVE MEAS		> PLDT:B				
VARJABLE		MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. BODO CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	7	2	10	3.8571	3.0783	(1.5952,5.1180)
AE		4	17	8.4444	4.2753	(8.7944,12.094)
AB		3	15		3.4440	(7,7541,12.024)
A		12	25	18.333	4.2720	(18.885,21.981)
8M		31	70	86.222	11.858	(48.811,83.823)
ABM		\$2	45	75.588	11.833	(\$8.221,82.880)
- BC		10	23	21.111	7.1317	(18.881,28.832)
SOLUM		**	110	\$5.887	9.3541	(90.855,102.45)
PHE _	10	3.73	4.73	4.3050	.34238	(4.1085,4.5035)
PH20	10	4.44	8.17	4.8320	. 25528	(4.5340,4.5800)
PHED	10	4.52	8.40	5.0230	. 22955	(4.8484,8.1988)
PHIOD	10	4.47	8.29	8.0880	. 23244	(4.8513.5.2207)

PSP 9 - INSPECTION POINT 3

Site Description

Plot Location:	NW15-88-13-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Eolian; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (2-5%)
Site Features:	Mid position of 2% slope; less than 1 m relief; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Heart
Vegetation:	<u>Jack pine</u> - bearberry - <u>lichens</u> - grasses

Profile Description

- LF 2 to 0 cm; mainly brown; needles and lichens with plenty of fungal hyphae; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ahe 0 to 12 (±3) cm; dark brown (10YR 4/3 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- AB 12 to 21 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.0.

Bm1 - 21 to 33 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.2.

Bm2 - 33 to 52 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.2.

BC - 52 to 85 cm; light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.3.

C - 85 to 100+ cm; light olive brown (2.5Y 5.5/4 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; no coarse fragments; pH 5.0.

PSP 9 - INSPECTION POINT 10

Site Description	
Plot Location:	NW15-88-13-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Eolian; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; nearly level (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Level sample site; microtopography hummocky in places due to windthrow and feathermoss patches; well drained; high perviousness; water table at 140 cm.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Heart
Vegetation:	Jack pine - alder - rose - cowberry - bunchberry - lichens - feathermosses

- L 7 (±2) to 3 (±1) cm; brown feathermoss remnants; few, very fine and fine, horizontal roots.
- F 3 (±1) to 0 cm; gray; moderately decomposed feathermosses; many fungal hyphae; charcoal fragments at mineral contact; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 9 (±1) cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); some brown blotches; fine sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- AB 9 to 12 (±1) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4 m); some gray blotches; fine sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; no coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 5.2.
- Bm1 12 to 32 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, wavy boundary; pH 5.6.
- Bmgj 32 to 63 (±3) cm; light olive brown (2.5Y 5.5/4 m); plentiful, fine and medium, distinct mottles coloured light olive brown (2.5Y 5/6 m) and yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; no coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.5.
- BCgj 63 to 90 cm; light olive brown (2.5Y 5/4 m); plentiful, fine and medium, distinct mottles coloured yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.7.
- Cgj 90 to 120 cm; olive brown (2.5Y 4/4 m); plentiful, fine and medium, distinct mottles coloured yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); fine sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.7.
- Cg 120 to 140 cm; like Cgj, but more strongly mottled.
- IICg 140+ cm; dark grayish brown (2.5Y 4/2 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; no coarse fragments; at water table; pH 7.5.

4.1.8 PSP 10



m

Figure 9. Inspection points in PSP 10.

Table 9. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 10.

VARJABLE	N MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. SOOD CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	11 1	2	1.8182	. 40482	(1.5\$71,2.03\$2)
AZ	12 4	7	8.8887	. 1. 1847	(5.0580,8.2553)
AB	12 7	11	8.7500	1.2481	(8.0822,8.4178)
▲	12 13	18	14.417	1.0836	(13.858,14.878)
BM	12 48	81	87.280	10.817	(\$1.801,72.833)
ABM	12 65	105	82.083	10.844	(78.817,87.550)
82	12 15	45	31.043	8.4473	(28,704,38.483)
SOLUM-	12 88	120	112.75	7.5574	(108.83,118.87)
PHS	12 4.28	4.82	4.3842	.10187	(4,3118,4.4188)
PH20	12 4.34	4.78	4.8000	. 13416	(4,5304,4.8888)
PHED	12 4.44	5.08	4.7883	. 18 187	(4,8888,6.8879)
PH100	12 4.38	5.04	4.8700	. 17326	(4.7802,4.9588)

PSP 10 - INSPECTION POINT 10

Site	Des	cript	tion

Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - cowberry - lichens - feathermosses
Soil Series:	Mildred
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Site Features:	Level sample site; rapidly drained, high perviousness.
Surface Expression:	Level to undulating (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Genetic Material:	Glaciolfuvial; sandy
Landform:	
Plot Location:	SE1-94-10-W4

.

Profile Description

С

LF	-	2 to 0 cm; brown; slightly decomposed lichens, needles, and leaves;
		plentiful, very fine to coarse, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.

- Ae 0 to 7 (±1) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 m), and 'salt and pepper' colours; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to coarse horizontal roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- AB 7 to 16 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); blotchy, with Ae and Bm colours; sand; single grain; loose; abundant; very fine to coarse, horizontal roots; 2-3% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.6.
- Bm1 16 to 29 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to coarse, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5%, subangular and rounded gravelly fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm2 29 to 45 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5% gravel; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- Bm3 45 to 65 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.1.
- BC 65 to 105 cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/5 m); some streaks of Bm3 colours; sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.1.
 - 105 to 150+ cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 5.0.

PSP 10 - INSPECTION POINT 13

Site Description

Plot Location:	SE1-94-10-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Nearly level (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Mid position of 1% slope; west aspect; less than 0.5 m relief; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - blueberry - cowberry - bearberry - lichens

- LF 2 to 0 cm; dark brown; lichens and needles; plentiful, very fine to medium horizontal roots at mineral contact; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 6 (±1) cm; dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2 d), brown (10YR 5/3 d), and light brownish gray (10YR 6/2 d); sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.4.
- AB 6 to 13 (±1) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m) and light brownish gray (10YR 6/2 m); some dark splotches; sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- Bm1 13 to 30 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5% coarse fragments; layer of rounded to subangular gravel and cobbles at Bm1-Bm2 boundary; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.7.
- Bm2 30 to 52 (±2) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; 3-5% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- Bm3 52 to 74 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 5.0.
- BC 74 to 98 (±2) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); many faint, brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m) blotches; sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; 1-2% coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- C 98 to 130+ cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); faintly banded; sand; single grain; loose; not roots; trace of coarse fragments; pH 4.7.



Figure 10. Inspection points in PSP 12.

Table 10. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 12.

VARIABLE	N MININUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. \$000 CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	12 1	6	1,7500	1.1342	(1.1598,2.3401)
AE	12 1	4	2.9167	1.0836	(2.3543,3.4784)
A9	12 4	14	8.8887	3.8248	(7.8383,11.484)
A ⁻	12 8	18	12.543	4.1222	(10.446,14.720)
- 8M	12 34	#1	48.750	12.843	(43.052,88.408)
ABM	12 80	80	82.333	11.734	(88.250,88.417)
80	10 5	45	27.100	14.333	(18.791,35.409)
BOLUM	10 70	105	81.800	11.080	(85.477,88.323)
PHS	12 4.12	4.95	4.4575	.24378	(4.3411,4.8838)
PH20	12 4.48	4.81	4.8382	.12169	(4.5751,4.7023)
PHEO	12 4.28	5.10	4.7733	.24077	(4.8485,4.8882)
PH100	10 4.42	8.08	4.8040	. 18804	(4.7980,8.0130)

PSP 12 - INSPECTION POINT 6

Site Description

Plot Location:	NE 17-98-8-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; very gentle slopes (2-5%)
Site Features:	Mid position of 2-3% slope; northwest aspect; relief about 1 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - cowberry - feathermosses - Polytrichum - lichens

- LF 1 to 0 cm; variable colours, mainly dark brown; slightly to moderately decomposed needles and lichens; charcoal fragments at mineral contact; few roots; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ae 0 to 3 (±1) cm; light brownish gray (10YR 6/2 d); sand; single grain; loose; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.1.
- AB 3 to 15 (±1) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/5 d); faintly blotchy; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 3-5%, well rounded gravelly fragments composed mainly of tar sands; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.3.
- Bm1 15 to 40 (±3) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 5.5/7 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.4.
- Bm2 40 to 51 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6.5/5 m); faintly blotchy with tar sands; sand; single grain; loose; few, fine, vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- IIBC 51 to 93 (±2) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 m); discontinuous, black, horizontal bands, probably tar sands; sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; 3-5% coarse fragments, mainly tar sands; clear smooth boundary; pH 4.7.
- IIIC 93 to 130+ cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m); faintly blotchy with light brown colours; sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of tar sand fragments; pH 4.9.

PSP 12 - INSPECTION POINT 9

Site Description	
Plot Location:	NE17-98-8-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; gentle slopes (6-9%)
Site Features:	Mid position of 7% slope; northwest aspect; relief about
	2 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness; sampled in
	bearberry patch.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	<u>Jack pine</u> - bearberry - cowberry - blueberry - <u>lichens</u> - Polytrichum

- LF 2 (±1) to 0 cm; variable dark brown colours; slightly to moderately decomposed needles, lichens, and leaves; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, smooth boundary.
- Ae 0 to 4 (±1) cm; gray (10YR 5/1.5 m); 'salt and pepper' colours; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.1.
- AB 4 to 13 (±1) cm; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6 m); some light blotches; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.4.
- Bm1 13 to 26 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/7 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 1-3% tar sand fragments; clear, irregular boundary, with some tonguing into Bm2; pH 4.7.
- Bm2 26 to 67 (±2) cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/7 m) and light yellowish brown (10YR 6.5/4 m); sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, fine to medium, oblique and vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.9.
- BC 67 to 105 (±5) cm; pale brown (10YR 6.5/3 m) some blotches of Bm2 colours; sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of tar sand fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 4.9.
- C
- 105 to 140+ cm; light brownish gray (10YR 6.5/2 m); blotchy with tar sands; sand; single grain; loose; no roots; trace of tar sand fragments; pH 4.9.

PSP 14 - INSPECTION POINT 9

Site Description

Plot Location: Landform:	SE17-93-9-W4
Genetic Material:	Glaciofluvial; sandy
Surface Expression:	Undulating; nearly level and very gentle slopes (2-5%).
Site Features:	Mid position of about 2% slope; relief less than 1 m; rapidly drained; high perviousness; water table at 180 cm.
Soil Classification:	Eluviated Dystric Brunisol
Soil Series:	Mildred
Vegetation:	Jack pine - bearberry - cowberry - blueberry - bunchberry - northern commondra - toadflax - twinflower - lichens

- 3 to 2 cm; dark brown; non to slightly decomposed needles, leaves, lichens, and twigs.
- F 2 (±1) to 0 cm; dark brown to black; slightly to moderately decomposed; plenty of fungal hyphae; some charcoal fragments; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 9 (±3) cm; light gray (10YR 7/2 d); plenty of small, yellowish brown blotches; sand; single grain; loose; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; trace of coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- AB 9 to 25 (±10) cm; light yellowish brown (10YR 6/4 m), and brownish yellow (10YR 6/6 m); many blotches of Bm colour; sand; single grain; loose; few, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5% coarse fragments; clear, irregular boundary; pH 4.6.
- Bm1 25 to 40 (± 3) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/8 m); sand; single grain; loose; few, very fine and fine, oblique and vertical roots; trace of coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.0.
- Bm2 40 to 55 cm; brownish yellow (10YR 6/7 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 5.1.
- BC 55 to 100 cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5.5/5 m); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments; abrupt, smooth boundary; pH 5.0.
- IIC 100 to 130 cm; blotchy gray and reddish brown; sandy loam; massive; sticky; very few roots; no coarse fragments; abrupt, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- IIIC 130 to 180 cm; brown (10YR 5/3 w); sand; single grain; loose; very few roots; trace of coarse fragments.
- IIICg 180+ cm; wet, gleyed sand.

4.1.11 PSP 15



Figure 12. Inspection points in PSP 15.

Table 12. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 15.

VARIABLE	N	MININUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	. BODO CONFIDENCE INTERV
LF	1	3	3	3.0000		
AE	2	24	80	38.000	18.555	(+30.451,108.45)
AB	2	0		4.5000	5.3640	(-23.912,32.912)
A	2	37	80	43.800	8.1824	(2.4505,84.539)
BM .	2	21	35	28.000	8.8935	(*18.196,72.198)
ABM	2	53	85	71.800	18.082	(-13.736,188.74)
36	2	17	25	21.000	8.6559	(-4,2550,48,285)
SOLUM	2	75	110	82.500	24.748	(-17.3\$1,202.85)
PHE	2	4.28	4.73	4.4950	. 33234	(3.0113,5.8787)
PH20	2	4.55	\$.\$7	5.7800	1.8828	(-1.7334,13.283)
PHEO	2	4.72	5.23	5.5050	1,1102	(.54871,10.481)
PH100 .	2	7.28	7.88	7.4780	.28183	(8.3070.8.8430)

PSP 15 - INSPECTION POINT 6

Site Description

Plot Location: Landform:	NE33-92-9-W4
Genetic Material:	Morainal; fine-loamy;
Surface Expression:	Nearly level (0.5-2.5% slopes)
Site Features:	Upper position of 0.5% slope; southwest aspect; relief less than 0.5 cm; well drained; high perviousness in surface 35 to 40 cm, medium below; very stony.
Soil Classification:	Orthic Gray Luvisol
Soil Series:	Variant of Kinosis
Vegetation:	Jack pine - aspen - Labrador tea - blueberry - rose - cowberry - bunchberry - twinflower

- LF 3 (2) to 0 cm; dark brown; moderately decomposed leaves and needles with plenty of fungal hyphae; abundant, fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae1 0 to 14 cm; light gray (10YR 7/1 d); very fine sand; strong, medium platy; friable; plentiful, very fine to coarse, horizontal roots; about 10% gravel, cobbles and stones; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.2.
- Ae2 14 to 28 cm; light gray (10YR 7.5/1.5 d); very fine sand; strong, medium platy; friable; plentiful, very fine to coarse, horizontal roots; about 10% gravel and cobbles; some coarse sand lenses; abrupt, wavy boundary; pH 4.4.
- AB 28 to 37 (±1) cm; brown (10YR 5/3 d); partly gray; sandy loam; moderate, medium, subangular blocky to weak, medium platy; very hard; very few clay skins; plentiful, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; about 20 to 30%, rounded to subangular, gravel, cobbles, and stones; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.8.
- Bt 37 to 58 (±2) cm; dark brown (7.5YR 3.5/2 m); clay loam; strong, fine, subangular blocky; friable; thin, continuous clay skins on ped surfaces; few, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; about 30%, rounded to subangular, gravel, cobbles, and stones; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.9.
- BC 58 to 75 cm; dark grayish brown (10YR 4/2 m); speckled and faintly blotchy; clay loam; moderate, fine, subangular blocky; soft; thin, discontinuous clay skins on ped surfaces; few, fine to medium, oblique roots; 10-20% gravel and cobbles; clear, smooth boundary; pH 7.2.
- C 75+ cm; very dark grayish brown (2.5YR 3/2 m); some rusty and grayish speckles and blotches; clay loam; massive; soft; no clay skins; very few roots; 10 to 20% coarse gravel and cobbles; pH 7.4.

4.1.12 PSP 16



Figure 13. Inspection points in PSP 16.

Table 13. Horizon thicknesses and pH in PSP 16.

VARIABLE	N MININUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	
1.4	6 3		4.8000	1,2247	(3.4825,5.5075)
AE	\$ 7	18	12.500	3,3012	(8.7103,18.290)
AB		26	15.000	8.1240	(4.3162,21.883)
A T	á 15	40	27.800	8.2188	(20.741,34,258)
BH	8 15	60	40.833	18.253	(27,463,64,204)
ABM .	8 85	80	88.333	13.883	(57.054,78.573)
38	8 10	26	15.833	4.8180	(11,788,18,877)
SOLUM	8 70	105	84.187	12.416	(73.952,94.381)
PHS	8 3.68	4.24	3.9983	.25057	(3.7820,4.2047)
PH20	6 4.30	4.69	4.4517	.12881	(4.3455,8.5577)
PHEO	8 4.44	5.33	4.7717	.32878	(4.5012,5.0421)
PH100	8 4.98	7.80	8.4887	1.0533	(8,5010,7,3414)

PSP 16 - INSPECTION POINT 5

Site Description

Plot Location:	NE23-92-8-W4
Landform:	
Genetic Material:	Morainal and mixed glaciolacustrine; fine-loamy
Surface Expression:	Level
Site Features:	Level sample site; moderately well drained; medium pervious- ness; water table at 115 cm; slightly to moderately stony.
Soil Classification:	Orthic Gray Luvisol
Soil Series:	Variant of Kinosis
Vegetation:	Jack pine - black spruce - cowberry - bunchberry - lichens - feathermosses - blueberry - Labrador tea

- L 4 to 3 cm; non to slightly decomposed needles, leaves, lichens, and mosses.
- F 3 (±1) to 0 cm; brown; mainly moderately decomposed mosses; plenty of yellow fungal hyphae; abundant, very fine to medium, horizontal roots; abrupt, wavy boundary.
- Ae 0 to 13 (±3) cm; light gray (10YR 7/2 m); faint light brown blotches; sand to loamy sand; weak, medium platy; very friable; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal roots; 3-5% rounded and subrounded coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.0.
- Bmj 13 to 19 (±3) cm; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6 m); faintly blotchy; loamy sand; amorphous; very friable; plentiful, fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5% rounded and subrounded coarse fragments; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.5.
- AB 19 to 25 (±3) cm; pale brown (10YR 6/3 m); blotchy and speckled; loamy sand; weak, medium platy; very friable; clear, wavy boundary; pH 4.4.
- Bt1 25 to 40 (±2) cm; brown (7.5YR 4.5/4 m); some sandy lenses, and yellowish and rusty splotches; fine sandy clay loam; moderate, fine, subangular blocky; firm; thin clay skins on ped surfaces; few, very fine to medium, horizontal and oblique roots; 3-5%, rounded coarse fragments; clear, smooth boundary; pH 5.3.
- Bt2 40 to 80 cm; brown (10YR 4/3 m) and dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4 m); clay; strong, fine, subangular blocky; very firm; thin clay skins on ped surfaces; plentiful, very fine to fine, oblique roots; 3-5% rounded to subangular coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 4.6.
- BC 80 to 90 cm; dark brown (10YR 3.5/3 m); some dark gray and pink blotches; some sand lenses; clay loam; weak, fine, subangular blocky; firm; very thin, discontinuous clay skins on ped surfaces; very few roots; 3-5% rounded to subangular coarse fragments; gradual, smooth boundary; pH 4.8.
- C 90 to 115+ cm; dark brown (10YR 3/3 m); pink and rusty blotches; clay; massive; firm; no roots; 3-5% coarse fragments; pH 4.9.

3) A description of the site features and soil morphology of inspection points sampled for laboratory analyses is then presented. In a future update of this report, results of laboratory analyses will accompany these descriptions.

4.2 COMPARISONS OF SOIL PROPERTIES AMONG PERMANENT SAMPLE PLOTS

An analysis of variance was conducted to determine the equality of some soil parameters among the permanent sample plots. The parameters tested were thicknesses of horizons and pH determined at various depths as indicated in the previous section. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) provides an F-test of the quality of different population means. The basic assumptions underlying the F-test are that the various samples are independent and that each has been generated from some underlying normal population with perhaps a different mean, but having the same (unknown) variance.

Prior to doing ANOVA, the normalities of the soil variables of interest were investigated by determining descriptive measures, including skewness and kurtosis, of all samples taken (Table 14). Histrograms of frequency distributions of the various properties were also generated (Appendix). These analyses were performed only on sample data from plots with Brunisolic soils. Soils in PSP 15 and PSP 16 are Luvisolic, and were obviously different in many ways from soils of all other plots. This is shown to some extent by the descriptive measures of variables using data from all plots (Table 15). In particular, the pH of lower horizons is seen to have higher skewness and kurtosis when composed with data in Table 14.

The descriptive measures indicate that except for thicknesses of LF and Ae horizons, the populations appear to be more or less normal. Data for LF and Ae thicknesses are strongly skewed to the right and the ANOVA for these probably has little Table 14.

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR HORIZON THICKNESSES AND PH IN PLOTS 2-14

VARIABLE	N	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	SKEWNESS	KURTOS 15	
3.LF	103	1.0000	10.000	2.1553	1.3182	2.873	11.340	(1.9395,2.3711)
4.AE	108	1.0000	25.000	#.\$185	4.7488	1.684	2.655	(5.7503,7.2787)
5 . AB	107	٥.	20.000	8.8785	3.8398	280	. \$ 07	(8.2465,9.5105)
12.4	107	2.0000	30.000	15.343	5.3245	. 4 5 5	. 233	(14.529, 15.237)
5.8M	105	18.000	\$1.000	\$\$.774	34.511	187	+.138	(54.435,58.113)
13 . ABM	105	40.000	105.00	72.235	13.323	142	\$30	(70.088,74.383)
7.80	104	5.0000	57.000	25.808	11.182	. \$ 17	377	(23.883,27.823)
14.SDLUM	104	85.000	120.00	88.423	14.074	425	+ . 255	(\$5,132,100.71)
8.PH5	108	3.5200	5.5200	4.4184	. 36 3 2 8	. 270	. 844	(4.3504,4.4784)
8.PH20	108	3.6100	5.5800	4.6802	.28575	081	1.833	(4.8430,4.7374)
10. PH50	108	3.8800	8.4000	4.7831	.28878	447	. 383	(4,7505,4,2358)
11. PH100	104	4.2100	5.2900	4.8553	. 22283	642	.287	(4.8291,4.9015)

Table 15.

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR HORIZON THICKNESSES AND PH IN ALL PLOTS

VARIABLE	N	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	MEAN	STD DEV	SKEWNESS	KURTOSIS	
3.LF	110	1.0000	10.000	2.2808	1.4100	2.177	7.358	(2.0878,2.8138)
4 . AE	118	1.0000	EO . 000	7.3478	8.8605	3.074	14.728	(8.3778,8.3880)
5 AB	115	Ο.	26.000	8.1217	4.4845	. 363	1.851	(8.4313,8,8121)
12.A	118	2.0000	60.000	16.504	7.0388	1.539	4.245	(.15.407,17.802)
5.BM	114	18.000	\$1.000	85.430	15.315	+.241		(\$3.051,57.808)
13.ABM	114	40,000	105.00	72.018	13.312	.097		(81.880.74.085)
7.80	112	5.0000	57.000	25.188	11.084		258	(23.455,25.820)
14.SOLUM	112		120.00	\$7.854	14.382	358		(\$5.258,58.805)
8.PH5	118	3.5200	5.5200	4.3880	.35782	. 282		(4.3414,4.4547)
8 . PH20	114	3.8100	E. 8700	4.8985	.35100	2.075		(4.6411,4.7522)
10.PH50	118	3.8800	8.2800	4.8042	. 30058	. 705		(4.7578.4.8505)
11.PH100	112	4.2100	7.5500	4.8877	. 58411	3,223		(4.9061.5.0892)

Ţ

meaning. It should be noted that means of variables from some plots are based on very few samples and any comparisons with other plots should be made carefully.

The results for the ANOVA are given in Tables 16 to 20 and in the Appendix. In addition to the usual ANOVA computations, random effects calculations are provided by the computer program. These are ETA (square root of ETA-SQR), ETA-SQR (the ratio of among stratum sum of squares to total sum of squares), the estimated among-groups (or strata) variance component, and the percentage of variance among groups. These are not discussed and reference to MIDAS documentation should be made for further information. The ANOVA printout also provides a test for equality of variance, a listing of individual plot statistics, and a grand (or combined) sample mean, variance, and standard deviation.

The ANOVA indicated significant differences in means among plots for all variables. The class means and the sizes of the differences among them were then examined. This was done only for solum thicknesses and for the pH data. Duncan's multiple range test was used to compare the means, and data are presented in Table 21. The table presents means of variables in decreasing order of magnitude. Plot numbers not followed by the same letter are significantly different from each other at the 5% level of significance as judged by the test. (Duncan's multiple range test was chosen for ease of computation and was only cursorily examined for appropriateness. The test is apparently adequate, but will have to be examined in more detail in any subsequent studies).

The results for comparison of means show that most sola are not significantly different from each other. However, PSP 4 is relatively shallow, while PSP 7 and PSP 10 are relatively deep. Comparing the depths of sola in these soils may not be very meaningful because changes with depth are very gradual and it is difficult to locate boundaries between B and C horizons in the field. However, differences in depths may provide clues to differences in other soil features such as depth to calcareous or relatively Table 16. ANOVA for solum thickness.

ANALYSIS OF	VARIANCE OF 14.SOLUM N= 104 DUT OF 108
SOURCE	DF SUM OF SORS MEAN SOR F-STATISTIC SIGNIF
BETWEEN	8 7631.8 847.99 6.2414 .0000
WITHIN	94 12771. 135,87
TOTAL	103 20403. (RANDOM EFFECTS STATISTICS)
ETA= .8118	ETA-SOR: . 374 (VAR COMP= 69.432 %VAR AMONG= 33.82
EQUALITY OF	VARIANCES: DF= 8, 4588.7 F= 1.8542 .0526

PLOT	N	MEAN	VARIANCE	STD DEV
(2)	4	101.25	122.82	11.087
(3)	18	103.44	125.32	13.976
(4)		82.867	133.00	11.533
(5)	13	85.231	102.03	10.101
(7)	6	113,33	26.567	5.1540
(8)	12	82.083	324.81	18.023
(*)		86.857	\$7.500	8.3541
(10)	12	112.75	87.114	7.5574
(12)	10	\$1.800	122.77	11.080
(14)	11	86.364	65.455	8.0904
GRAND	104	88.423	198.09	14.074

Table cm

ANALYSIS OF	VAF	A L	NC	E	01	- e	\$.	**	15		N		1	80		Dυ	۲	0	F	1	01														
SOURCE				D F	. 1	UN:	1	01	•	5	0R	\$	1	ME	AI	N.	5	0 R		F	• 5	1	۸	T I	11	11	10	: 1	S 1	G	N 3	F			
BETWEEN				9			2	. (56	3	6				21	5	9	5			- 2	2.	5	3	18	5				0	1	8			
WITHIN							1	1.	4	6	6				1	18		0																	
TOTAL			1	07			1	4	1	1	9			(R.	A N	Þ	DM		EF	FI	EC	T	\$	1	7	A 1	\mathbf{u}	s 1	1	C s	;)			
ETA= .4343	ETA	- 5	OR		. 1				(Ŷ.	A R	1	: 01	MP			1	5 8	7 1	1	• 1			* 1	v	1R		M	Dh	IG		1	2.	6	1)
EQUALITY OF																																			
PLOT	N		м	EA	N			v٨	A.R	1.	A N		E		s .	TD		DE	v																
(2)	3	- 4	. 5	28	7				. 8	7	23	3	٠	1		. 2		53	5																
(3)	20																																		
(4)		4	. 5	25	8				. 4	8	28	8				. 8		47	5																
(5)	13	4	. 4	03	8				7	5	40	9		1		. 2	7	84	2																
(7)	8	4		73	3				1	ò	13	8				. 3	1	84	1																
(8)	12	4	. 5	63	3				1	5	73	8				3		68	8																
(9)	10								1	Ť	72	3				3	Å.	23	9																
(10)	12		. 3						1	0	3 1	2		1		1	0	15	7																
(12)	12																	37																	
(14)	11																	30																	
	108										1.							32																	

at 18-20 cm. Teb ANDVA for DH

ANALYSIS OF	VARIANCE DF 9.PH20 N= 108 DUT DF 109
SOURCE	DF SUM DF SORS MEAN SOR F-STATISTIC SIGNIF
BETWEEN	\$ 3,8516 .42796 7,5139 .0000
WITHIN	98 5.5084 .55208 * 1
TOTAL	107 8,3500 (RANDOM EFFECTS STATISTICS)
ETA= .5415	ETA-SORE .4115 (VAR COMPE .35027 +1 %VAR AMONGE 35.39
EQUALITY DF	VARIANCES: DF= 8, 3886.4 F= 2.3745 .0113
PLOT	N MEAN VARIANCE STD DEV
(2)	3 4.7600 .46300 -1 .21617
(3)	20 4 3875 . 82599 -1 .30430
(4)	\$ 4.7378 .13157 .36273
(5)	13 4,7438 .27808 -1 .16705
(7)	5 5.0383 .78657 -1 .28046
(12 4.8608 .33390 -1 .18273
(10 4,8320 .65173 -1 .25529
(10)	12 4.8000 .18000 +1 .13416
(12)	12 4,5392 .14808 -1 .12169
(14)	11 4.5591 .55082 -1 .23583
GRAND	108 4 5802 .87477 -1 .28575

TEDIS 18. ANDVA for pH at 45-50 cm.

1.4.5

, ···

? ···

,

3

ANALYSIS OF	VAR	14	(CE		1F 1	ο.	••	15	0		**	1	01		01	11	÷.,	DF		1	6 6												
SDURCE					\$ UM																		1	s	Ŧ	1 6		6 1	6	N 1	F		
BETWEEN				9																													
WITHIN			. 9	8																-								•					
TOTAL			10	7															*	E.	c 1	r s		s	۳.	АТ	11	5 T	11	- 5	: 1		
ETA= . 6071	ETA	- 5 0	R =		257	1	Ċ	v	AR		: 0	MP			11	60	5	8	•	ĩ		2	v	Ā	Ŕ	à	м	D N	e.		20	,	 4.1
EQUALITY OF	VAR	144	IC E	\$:	D	F a	9	,	3	8	6	. 4						F #		1	. 1	10	0	2					0				 • •
PLOT .	N		ME										5 1																				
(2)	3		13							0																							
(3)	20		59							4				2				•	1														
(4)	ĩ		79									í		2																			
(5)	13		23											1																			
(7)	٠ <u>،</u>		6 1									1		2																			
(8)	12									8				1																			
(.)	10		02							4				2																			
(10)	12		79							2				1																			
(12)	12		77							0				2																			
(14)	11									ŝ																							
	108		78				3							2																			

Table 20. ANDVA for pH at 100 cm.

PLOT	N	MEAN	VARIANCE	STD DEV
(2)	2	5.0150	.84500 -2	.\$1\$24 +1
(3)	1.9	4.7732	.43123 -1	. 20785
(4)	8	4.9000	.41575 -1	. 20380
(5)	13	4.8015	. 15714 - 1	. 12536
(7)	5	4.9587	.28547 -1	. 17189
(8)	12	4.7657	.40878 •1	. 20219
(#)	10	5.0860	.54027 -1	.23244
(10)	12	4.8700	.30018 -1	. 17326
(12)	10	4.8040	.35350 +1	. 18804
£ 14 E	11	4.7427	.89862 -1	.31601
GRAND	104	4.8553	.49563 -1	. 22263

Solum - cm		рН 0-5 ст			р	pH 15-20 cm			pH 45-50 cm				pH 100 cm						
7	-	113.3	a*	7		4.87	a	7	-	5.04	a	2	-	5.13	a	9		5.09	ä
0	-	112.8	a	8	-	4.55	ab	8	-	4.96	ab	9	-	5.02	ab	2	-	5.02	ä
3	-	103.4	ab	2	-	4.53	ab	9	-	4.83	abc	14	-	4.89	b	7	-	4.96	al
2	-	101.2	b	4	-	4.53	ab	2	-	4.76	bc	5	-	4.84	bc	12	-	4.90	a
9		96.7	b	12	_	4.47	b	5	-	4.74	bc	8	-	4.81	bc	5	-	4.90	al
4	-	96.4	b	14	-	4.41	b	4	-	4.74	bc	10	-	4.80	bc	4	-	4.90	al
5	-	95.2	b	5	-	4.40	b	14	-	4.66	С	4	-	4.79	ЬС	10	-	4.87	al
8	-	92.1	bc	10	-	4.36	b	12	-	4.64	с	12	-	4.77	bc	3	-	4.77	I
2	-	91.9	bc	9	-	4.30	b	10	-	4.60	cd	7	-	4.62	с	8	-	4.77	
4	-	82.7	С	3	-	4.21	b	3	-	4.39	đ	3	-	4.60	с	14	-	4.74	

Table 21. Comparisons of means among permanent sample plots for solum thickness and pH at various depths.

* Sequence is PSP no., mean value, and significance indicator. PSP's not followed by the same letter are significantly different from each other.

alkaline material. The pH data suggest that shallow depths to materials richer in lime or salts is not likely in any of the plots. Possibly, the depth of soil development in PSP 4 has been influenced by a relatively shallow water table.

PSP 7 has relatively thicker sola and significantly higher pH of surface horizons than other plots. PSP 3 is relatively more acidic in the surface horizons as compared to other plots. These are the only two plots that show some consistency in differences. The soils in PSP 7 have developed in eolian rather than glaciofluvial deposits. Although some differences between soils formed on the two types of materials may be expected, a second plot on eolian materials (PSP 9) does not appear to have soils significantly different from those formed on glaciofluvial materials.

The purpose of these comparisons among permanent sample plots is to indicate variations in soil properties which may influence present studies within the plots, to assist researchers in selecting plots for certain experiments, and to assist in various studies within the ecological monitoring program with researchers using the soil data as they see fit. However, the results of the ANOVA should not be used by themselves in determining similarity of plots because the variables tested are only a few of many important soil properties. Properties already discussed above and those described but not quantified are summarized for individual permanent sample plots below. Only those characteristics which apparently deviate from modal or mean values are indicated.

- PSP 2 slope and relief relatively high
 - whole profile slightly less acidic than in most other plots
 - gravelly to very large (inpenetrable) tar sand fragments occur in soil throughout much of the plots

- PSP 3 much dust on plant cover and soil surface noticed; probable origin is nearby road and Syncrude dike
 - whole profile generally more strongly acidic than in other plots
- PSP 4 has thinnest solum, on the average
 - profile gleyed in parts of plot, especially south side
 - shallow water table in much of plot
 - thin band of relatively fine-textured material occurs in profile in parts of the plot
- PSP 5 gravel and cobble bands in profile in parts of plot - some surface stones
 - apparently modal in most features
- PSP 7 eolian parent material, but probably not very different from glaciofluvial
 - deeper solum and higher surface pH than in most other plots
- PSP 8 higher slope and relief than in most other plots
 bands of finer material occur below 60 cm in parts of plot
- PSP 9 eolian parent material, as in PSP 7
 water table at about 130 cm in parts of plot
 relatively thick LF horizon in parts of plot

of plot

PSP 10 - apparently modal in most characteristics - thin gravelly layers occur in Bm horizon in some parts PSP 12 - inpenetrable tar sand layer occurs at about 50 cm in parts of the plot

- higher slope and relief than most plots

- PSP 14 apparently modal in most characteristics
 - some gravelly fragments and surface stones
- PSP 15 Orthic Gray Luvisol
 - gleyed in lower part of profile in part of plot
 - fine sandy Ae, clayey B, and fine-loamy C horizons
 - very stony

.

- PSP 16 Orthic Gray Luvisol, much like PSP 15
 - calcareous at about 1 m in parts of plot
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Soils of all plots except PSP 15 and PSP 16 are Eluviated Dystric Brunisols developed in glaciofluvial sands or in eolian deposits. Statistical analyses of horizon thicknesses and pH at various depths indicated that soils of most plots were very similar to each other. Factors to consider in determining soil uniformity among plots are the extreme values found for mean solum thicknesses and pH at various depths, the occurrence of gleyed soils, shallow depth to water table, presence of finetextured bands, and presence of large amounts of coarse fragments, particularly tar sands. Presence or absence of one or more of these properties could affect the nutrient and moisture status of the soil.

Soils of PSP 15 and PSP 16 were Orthic Gray Luvisols. Their surfaces are as acidic as those of the Brunisols, but their subsurfaces are somewhat less acidic. Their nutrient and moisture status is very different from that of Brunisol due to the clayey nature of the subsoil. Both sites are very stoney and it is likely that any experimental procedures involving soil instrumentation would be very difficult to carry out.

51

6. REFERENCES CITED

Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1978a. The Canadian system of soil classification. Canada Department of Agriculture, Ottawa. Publication 1646. 164 pp.

- Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1978b. The Canada soil information system (Can. SIS). Manual for describing soils in the field. Land Resource Research Institute, Ottawa. 92 pp.
- Hardy Associates, Ltd. 1980. Soil survey, materials suitability and availability for reclamation, and land use capabilities in the Alsands project area. Prep. for the Alsands Project Group, Calgary. 72 pp.
- La Roi, G.H., and M. Ostafichuk. 1982. Establishment and vegetation survey of 16 Pinus banksiana - dominated permanent plots for the Athabasca oil sands ecological monitoring project in 1981. Prep. for Research Management Division, Alberta Environment, by the University of Alberta, Department of Botany. 141 pp.
- McGill, W.B., A.H. Maclean, L.W. Turchenek, and C.A. Gale. 1980. Interim report of soil research related to revegetation of the oil sands area. Prep. for Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by the Dept. of Soil Science, University of Alberta, Edmonton. AOSERP Report 0.F.7. 181 pp.
- Statistical Research Laboratory, The University of Michigan. 1976. Elementary statistics using MIDAS. 300 pp.
- Turchenek, L.W., and J.D. Lindsay. 1982. Soils inventory of the Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program study area. Prep. for Alberta Oil Sands Environmental Research Program by Alberta Research Council, Soils Department. AOSERP Report 122. (In press).

Twardy, A.G. 1978. Soil survey of a portion of the Syncrude Lease 17 area, Alberta. Syncrude Canada Ltd., Environmental Research Monograph 1978-1. 77 pp. 7. <u>APPENDIX</u>

7.1 DATA TABLES AND FIGURES

.

Table 22.

5

DATA FOR HORIZON THICKNESSES AND DH IN PERMANENT SAMPLE PLOTS

DATA P	OR HORI	1200 183	LKNESSE	S AND P		ERMANENT	SAMPLE	PLDTS					
PLDT 2.	PR0F11 2	LE LF 2.	A 6 5.	AB 10.	▲ 18.	8 m 4 9 .	88m 85.	8C 30.	SDLUM 95.	pHS 4.83	PH20 4.97	10HS0 5.12	рН100 5.05
2.	3.	2	6	7.	13	45	5 8.	\$7.	115.	4.24	4.54	5.21	4.95
2.	8.	2.	5 .	6 .	11.	84.	75.	15.	.00	4.51	4.77	5.05	· O .
2.	9 . 1 .	1.	4.	7.	11.	61. 38.	\$2. 40.	43.32.	105. 72.	-0. 4.51	-0. 4.82	+0. 4.89	-0. 4.77
3.	2	3.	8.	Ο.	8.	57.	85.	30		3.65	4.23	4.34	4.40
3.	3.	2.	4.	2.	11.	69 .	80.	20.	100.	4.47	4.42	4.53	4.82
3.	4.	2.	6. 10.	7. 15.	13.	77. 55.	80. 80.	30.	120.	4.07	4.08	4.82	4.75
3.	8.	2.	5.	9 .	14.	\$ 2	85.	24.		4.05	4.80	4.98	4.85
3.	9. 10.	1. 3.	4.2.	0, 12.	4.	86.	70.	50.	120.	4.42	4.71	4.89	5.03
3.	11.	3	5	\$. \$.	10	52. 55.	56. 75.	49. 20.	115. 95.	4.71	4.23	4.85	4.88
3.	12.	3.	14.	۰.	14.	56.	70.	15.	85.	4.28	4.20	4.80	4.52
3.	13. 14.	2. 4.	Б. 10.	7. 20.	12.	83.	65.	30.	85.	3:80	4.61	4.99	5.00
3	15	2	1.	4	30.	55. 80.	85. 85.	38.	120.	4.27	4.20	4 70	4.88
з.	17.	2.	€.	7.	13.	77.	\$D.	16.	105.	4.87	4.48	4.78	4.89
3.	18.	3.	4.	13.	9. 24.	48.31.	57. 55.	48. 40.	105.	4,40	4.38	4.83	4.89
3.	22	4	12	÷.	12.	73.	46.	35.	120	3.78	4.88	4.47	5.14
3.	23.	5 .	4.	8 .	12.	78 -	80.	15.	105.	4.25	4.67	4.55	4.87
3.	21.	-0.	8. 5.	-0.	-0. 12.	•0	-0.	•0.	-0.	4.34	4.82	3.88	4.28
4.	1.	1.	17.	8.	25.	25.	50.	47.	97.	4.52	4.83	4.67	4.82
4.	2.3.	1. 2.	10.	15.	26. 27.	40.	85.	20.	85.	5.07	4.81	5.04	B.05
41		2	15		25.	18.	45.	30.	75. 85.	3.89	4.80 4.84	4.58 4.43	4.91
4.	6 .	•0.	7.	12.	19.	\$ 1 .	70.	25.	35 .	4.50	5.05	5.14	5.04
4.	4.7.	1.	7.23.	7. 5.	14. 28.	51. 22.	83. 50.	10. 15.	76. 66.	5.37 3.55	4.73	4.94	4.72 4.84
4.		2.	16.	ο.	18.	34	50.	22.	72	5.52	5.54	8.04	5.22
4. 5.	9 -	5 .	25.	ο.	25.	50.	76.	10.	85.	4.05	4.67	4.85	4.81
	1.2.	2.	ŝ	14.	18. 18.	74. 61.	80. 77.	15.	105.	4.87	4.91 5.12	5.00 4.82	4.87
S .	з.	2.	4.	∎.	13.	87	70.	35	105	4.27	4.87	4.86	4.84
5. 5.	4.	1.	\$. 4 .	8 . 6 .	13.	87.	70. 86.	20.	80.	4.34	4.65	4.64	4.84
5	7.	2	۰.		15	45. 75.	80.	15. 15.	71.	4.40	4,75 4,87	8.04 4.69	6.18 4.95
5.	8 -	2.	4.	8.	12.	58.	70.	20.	80.	4.27	4.59	4.85	4.97
5.	8. 11.	2.	8. 4.	10.	16. 14.	\$3. 66.	88. 80.	17.	85.	4.25	4,81	4.95	4.82
Б.	12.	1.	S .	11.	16	59	75.	15	80.	4.33	4.83	4.84	4.99
5.	13.	2.	B .	9	14.	56.	80.	15.	95.	4.07	4.82	4.81	4.97
5. 5.	14.	1, 2.	16 i 16 i	10.	15.	75. 55.	90. 80.	16. 16.	105. 85.	4.96	4.53	4.82	4.87
7.	2.	з.	4.	8.	12.	73.	85.	30.	115.	4.83	4.88	4.55	4.85
7.	3. #.	3.	6 . 4.	10.	18.	69. 84.	85. 85.	20.	105	5.28	5.58	4 43	4.85
7		5	41	7. \$.	10		95.	20.	115.	5.03 4.55	4.82 5.08	4.42	4.85
7.	10.	2.	4.	δ.	.	81.	80.	20.	110.	4.54	4.84	5.17	6.20
7.	11.	2.	₿. 1.	₿. 17.	16. 18.	78. 42.	85. 80.	25.	120	5.12 5.23	4.88	4.87	4.85
8.	2,	÷.	1.	14	18.	50.	75	38	110	4.70	4.65	4.74	4.49
. .	4.	1.	1.	14	15,	40.	55.	25	80.	5.05	5.07	5.01	4.85
4 . 4 .	6. 7.	1.	₿. 1.	12.	20. 16.	42. 59.	82. 75.	48.	110.	4.33	4.89 5.04	4.84	4.73
۸.	8.	1.	1.	10.	11.	54.	75.	36.	110.	4.18	8.16	4.88	4.86
8. 8.	₽. 11.	1.	2.	7.	•.	34.	47.	18.	85.	4.70	4.75	4.84	4.76
	12	1.	2.	10	12.	83. 48.	75.	35.	110.	4,38	4,74	4.80	4.75
8.	13.	1,	2.	10.	12.	43.	55.	10.		4.14	4.87	5.12	5.12
8. 8.	14.	1.	3.	11.	14.	\$6.	70. 75.	20.		4.54	4.87	4.84	4.84
	1.	2	4	11.	12. 15.	83. 70.	85.	20.	85. 105.	4.81	5.25 5.03	4.90 5.05	4.81
. .	2.	2.		13.	19.	66.	85.	25.	110.	4.80	4.81	4.73	8.17
8.	3. 7.	2.2.	12.	16.	21.	31. 50.	82. 70.	33.	85. 90.	4.73	5.04 5.02	8.40 5.33	5.25 5.27
		÷.			17.	88	85	10	.85	3.44	4.44	4.52	4.47
9. 8.	10.	10	17	3.	12.	\$1.	\$3.	27.	80.	3.95	5.05	4.70	5.05
	11.	3.		₿. 11.	25. 20.	80 55	815. 715.	25.	110.	3.73 4.36	5.17 4.#4	5.32 5.11	5.21 5.14
۰.	14 .	•0.	14.	11.	25.	86.	80.	15.		. 4 . 38	4.85	5.15	5.07
8. 10.	16.	-0.	-0.	-0. 11.	-0.	-D. BO.	-D. 80.	45	•0. 120.	4.42	4.55	4.91	\$.14 4.85
10.	3	2.	4		53.	72	85	30	115	4.28	4.71	5.00	4.90
10. 10.	S .	1.	E .	• -	15.	80.	75.	30.	108.	4.37	4.78	4.83	4.82
10.	6 . 8 .	1.	7. •.	8 . 8 .	18. 14.	78. 75.	85. 80.	25. 25.	120.	4.30	4.55	4.82	4.38
10.	10.	2.	7.		98 .	49.	85.	40.	105.	4.33	4.43	4.97	
10.	11.	-0.	5	8. 9.	13.	82. 55.	75. 80.	30. 35.	105.	4.27	4.67	4.85	4,84
10	13.	2.	i (7 :	13	62	75	23	98.	4.36	4.83	4.87	4.82
10. 10.	14.	2.2.	4.	11.	15.	85	80.	40.	120.	4.35	4.68	4.84	4.85
10.	18.	2.	5 7	* . 7 .	14.	81. 65.	105.	15.	120.	4.35	4.55	8.08 4.81	5.04 4.87
12.	1.	2.	4.	14.	18.	47.	85 .	5.	70.	4.95	4.87	4.61	4.42
12.	2.	5. 2.	3.	* . 7 .	12.	38.	50. 50.	-0. 45.	-0.	4.88	4.85	5.00	-0.
12.	8 .	1.	3.	12.	15	36.	60. 61.	43.	94.	4.55	4.81	4.87	4,88 8.03
12.	7.	2.	4.	12.	18.	48.	85.	30.	95.	4.68	4.84	4.75	8.03
12.	8 . 9 .	1.	4.	14. 9.	18.	52. 54.	70. 87.	26. 34.	95. 105.	4.12	4.52	5.10 4.81	4.94
12.	11.		1.	4.	S .	85	80,	16.	75	4.84	4.73	4.41	5.08 4.88
12.	12.	1.	2.		11.	49 -	60.	40.	100.	4.88	4.49	4.74	4.82
12.	13. 14.	1.	4. 2.	8. 14.	8. 15.	81. 34.	70. 50.	20.	BO. -0.	4.42	4.70	4.98	4,98
12.	16.	2.	2.	7.	₿.	#1.	80.	10.	100.	4.26	4.75	4.82	4.87
14. 14.	1.	2.	11.	11.	22.	38.	60.	30.	80.	4.18	4.88	4.89	4.48
14.	3.	2.3.	10.	10.	20. 20.	55. 50.	75. 70.	25.	100. 85.	4.48	4.83	4.84	5.15 4.73
14.	4.	3.	4.	10.	14.	\$1.	75.	15.	80.	4.33	4.84	4.70	8.0E
14.	5.	3.	4 .	12.	20.	50. 45	70.	20.	80.	4.40	4.87	8.18	4.83
14	* · 7 :	2.3.	14.	11.	26. 20.	45. 50.	70. 80.	25.	85. 85.	4.83	4.37 4.28	8.14 4.95	4.81 5.22
14.	A .	4.	18.	₿.	21.	88.	80.	25.	115.	4.34	4.87	4.82	4.21
14. 14.	10.	2.	8 · 7 ·	18. 8.	25.	30. 84.	85. 80.	45.	100.	4.58	4.85	E.11 4.78	4.88
14.	11.	2.	7.	18.	22.	48.	80. 70.	30.	100.	4.32	4.81 8.11	4.78	4.82
15.	2.	• O .	50.	٥.	80.	36.	85.	25.	110.	4.28	4.88	4.72	7.28
56. 16.	₿. 1.	3.5.	28. 18.		37. 25.	21.	56, 50,	17.	76. 75.	4.73	6.87 4.89	8.23 4.95	7.85
16,	2.	3.	18.	16.	30.	36.	85.	16.	80.	4.15	4.46	6.33	7.80
18. 16	3.	2.	14.	28.	40.	16.	66.	15.	70.	3.72	4.41	4.84	5.90
15. 16.	4.5.	5 . 5 .	10.	5. 12.	15. 25.	46. 55.	80. 80.	25.	85. 90.	4.24	4.42	4.75 4.80	7,88 4,88
16.		.	7	23.	30.	80.	80.	15.	105	3.87	4,30	4.44	6 62

HISTOGRAM	- LF TH1	CKNES	SES IN	PLOTS 2-14
MIDPDINT	H357%	COUNT	FOR 3.	.LF (84CH X= 1)
1.0000	30.1	31	+ * * * * * * *	********************
2.0000	46.5	4 8	+*****	***************************************
3.0000	12.5	13	+ * * * * * * *	******
4.0000	4.9	5	+ X X X X X	
5.0000	3.8	4	+ X X X X	
5.0000	1.0	1	+ X	
7.0000	0	Ó	+	
8.0000	•	ò	+	
8.0000	ė.	ò	+	
10.000	1.0	1	+ X	
MISSING		5		
TOTAL		101	(INTER	RVAL WIDTH= 1.0000)

HISTOGRAM	•	Ae	٦	H		ĸ	E:	5 \$	1	:\$		1	N	1	•		5 7	1	5	2	٠	١	4														
MIDPOINT		H 1	s 1	2	1	2 0	U	N 1	r	۴	0	R	,	4	. 1	A E	ŗ		(E	8	C	H	1	K •	•	1	,									
1.0000		16	. 7			1		4	• •	(x	x	x	x	x	K I	K)	()	0	()	x	x	x	x	K :	x												
3.6557		25	. c	κ		2	7		• 3	(x	x	x	x	x	K I	к)	()	()	(X	x	×	×	x	X	K)	()	: X	x	x	x	X	x)	٢.				
8.3333		30				3	3		١,	(X	x	x	x	x	K I	ĸ	¢)	Ó	(X	x	x	×	x	K I	K)	Ċ,	X	x	x	x	x	x	Ċ3	. *	x	x	x)
8.0000		13	. c	÷ .		1	4		• •	(x	x	x	x	X	x)	ĸ 3	()	o	()	x	x																
11.667		3	. 7				4		• >	(x	x	x																									
14.333		3					4	4	÷	x	x	x																									
17.000		- ă					5		2	x	x	x	x																								
18.667			. 1				1		• •	ť																											
22.333							1		ьì	ċ																											
25.000							1		• •	Ċ																											
MISSING							1																														
TOTAL						1 6			(1	N	T!	E	R	v,	A 1		٧	41	D	۳	H		1	2			\$	7	}							

HISTOGRAM	• 4	18 TI	HICKNES	5 E S	3 N	PLOTE	2-14	

		LOOMI	FUR B.AB (EACH AF I)
o. •	7.5		+******
2.2222		1	+ X
4.4444 1	5.5	7	******
6.6567 1	7.8	18	*************
8.8888 21	5.2	27	********
11.111 20	5.2	28	***************************
13.333 (8.4		*****
15.855 1	5.6	6	******
17.778	. 9	1	+ X
20.000	. 9	1	+x
MISSING		2	
TOTAL		103	(INTERVAL WIDTH= 2.2222)
11.111 20 13.333 0 15.555 1 17.775 20.000 MISSING	5.2 5.4 5.5	2 & 8 6 1 1 2	+ x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

NISTOGRAM	- A(Ae+	AB) TI	HICKNESSES IN PLOTS 2-1 44
MIDPOINT	HIST%	COUNT	T PDR 12.A (EACH X= 1)
2.0000		1	+x
8.1111	2.8	3	****
8.2222	6.6		+****
11.233	19.6		*****
14.444	29.9		***********************************
17.555	17.4		+***************
20.867	10.3		+****
23.778	9.3		+****
25.885	1.0		+11
30.000			+x
MISSING		2	
TOTAL		105	

Figure 14. Histograms of horizon thicknesses and pH in permanent sample plots.

HISTOGRAM - BN THICKNESSES IN PLOTS 2+14

المراجعة المعترية المتركب والمراجع

MIDPOINT	HIST%	COUNT	FOR S.BM (EACH X= 1)
18.000	1.8	2	+**
26:111	1.5	2	+ X X
34.222	8.5	,	+*****
42.333	8.5		+XXXXXXXXXX
50.444	20.8	22	********************
58.556	23.6	25	*********************
66.667	17.9	19	+ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
74.778	8.4	10	+XXXXXXXXXXX
82.889	8.8	7	+*****
81.000	. \$	1	+x
MISSING		3	
TOTAL		109	(INTERVAL WIDTH= 8.1111)

HISTOGRAM - A+Bm THICKNESSES IN PLOTS 2-14

MIDPOINT HIST'S COUNT FOR 13.ABM (EACH X= 1)

. 8	1	+x
7.5		*****
8.5		******
58.1	15	********
17.0	1.8	******
15.1	16	*********
21.7	23	******
8.4	10	*******
3.8	4	+ X X X X
. 9	1	+x
	3	
	109	(INTERVAL WIDTH= 7.2222)
	7.5 8.5 15.1 17.0 15.1 21.7 9.4 3.8	7,5 8 8,5 9 15,1 15 17,0 14 15,1 16 15,1 16 9,4 10 3,8 4 .9 1 3

HISTOGRAM - BC THICKNESSES IN PLOTS 2-14 MIDPOINT HISTS COUNT FOR 7.8C (FACH VE 1)

M10P01W1	RIBTA	COOM	FOR 7.8C (EACH XP 1)
	1.0	1	+x
10.778	5.8		+xxxxx
15.556	21.2	22	+***************
22.333	32.7	34	*************************************
24.111	12.5	13	+*****
33.885	\$.6	10	+*****
38.857	5.8	6	+*****
45.444	8.7		+*****
51.222	1.9	2	* X X
\$7.000	1.0	1	*x
M1851NG			
TOTAL		108	(INTERVAL WIDTH= 5.7778)

MISSING B Total 109 (Interval Width= 8.1111)

Figure 14. Continued.

HISTOGRAM - PH AT 0-5 CM IN PLOTS 2-14 ' Midpoint Histy Count Por 8,Ph5 (Each X= 1)

. .

3.5200	1.#	2	***
3.7422	5.5	7	******
3.8544	8.6		******
4.1857	18.5	20	**************
4.4089	33.3	36	+ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
4.6311	22.2	24	*******
4.8533	3.7	4	+xxxx
5.0755	4.5	5	*****
5.2878	2.8	3	+xxx
5.5200	. 5	1	+x
MISSING		1	
TOTAL		109	(INTERVAL WIDTH= .22222)

Figure 14. Concluded.

Table 23. ANDVA for LF thickness.

UNIVARIATE 1-WAY ANDVA CASES=PLDT:2,3,4,5,7,8,8,10,12,14

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE DF 3.LF N= 103 DUT DF 108 SDURCE DF SUM DF BORS MEAN SOR F-STATISTIC SIGNIF BETWEEN \$ 54.840 6.0334 4.6194 .0000 WITHIN \$ 122.67 1.3191 .0101 TDTAL .0010 TDTAL 102 177.51 (RANDOM EFFECTS STATISTICS) ETA=.3658 ETA-SORE .3000 EQUALITY OF VARIANCES: DF= 8, 3625.5 F= 6.3048 .0000

PLOT	N	MEAN	VARJANCE	STD DEV
(2)	4	1.7500	. 25000	. 50000
(3)	1.8	2.7368	1.2047	1.0976
(4)	8	2.0000	1.7143	1.3083
(5)	13	1.8923	23077	. 48038
(7)	6	3.0000	1.2000	1.0954
(8)	12	1.0000	٥.	Ο.
(*)	7	3.8571	8.4752	3.0783
(10)	11	1.8182	. 16384	.40452
(12)	12	1.7500	1.2955	1.1382
(14)	11	2.4545	. 67273	. 82020
GRAND	103	2.1553	1.7403	1.3182

Table 24. ANDVA for As thickness.

1047 D No. 10 No. 1 No. 1 No. 1

.

ANALYSIS OF	VAR	1.4	NC	E	٥F	4	۱.,	At	5		N×		10	8	C	υ	Ŧ	0	ŧ.	1	o	9												
SOURCE				Ď۴	5	U	۰.	87	r	5	0R	s		M	E A			5 6	R		F	• 1	6 1		7	11	61	11	2	\$	11	G N	11	8
BETWEEN							1	31	73		2				1 5	: 2	. 1	5 8						۱.	3	8	1				. 1	00	De	\$
WITHIN			- 1				1	03			8				۱с	s.	6	1 0																
TOTAL			1	67			2	4 1	13		0				(8	A	N	DC	M	E	F	F I	E C	T	\$	4	51	A	۳.	15	Ŧ.	1 C	s)
ETA= .7844	ETA	. 5	QR I	ĸ	. 5		1			۷	AR		C D	-	P #	:	t:	з.	31	6 1		1	٤v	A	R	1	44	10	N	G R	1	66	, 1	78
EQUALITY OF	VAR	IA	NCI	E S	:	5	7				4		8 C	. 1	5							- (٤.		6	8	B				. 1	00	01	٥
PLOT	N		M				,				AN				5				E															
(2)	- 4		. 2								66								4															
(3)	20		. 3								6 4								51															
(4)			5.3								75								31															
(5)	13	- 4	. 8	48	2			- 2	2.	4	74	4				1	.1	57	3	•														
(7)			. 0	00	•			:	2.	8	00	••				1	. 1	67	3:	3														
(8)	12	2	. 1	66	7			- 2	¥.		6 8	7				1	• 1		24	L														
())			. 4.	64	4			1	18		27	8					. :	27	\$:	3														
(10)	12	5	. 6	66	7			1	Ι.	3	33	3				1		18	4	7														
(12)	12	2		16	7			1	Ι.	1	74	2				1	. (08	31															
(14)	11	1	Ο.	18	2			1	20	١.	85	4				4	. 1	57		6														
GRAND	108		. 5	٠.	×.						85							-																

Table 25. ANDVA for AB thickness.

ANALYSIS OF	VARI	ANCE :	3F 6	. AB	N=	107	00	T	0 F	10								
SDURCE		DF	SUM	DF	SOR	5 M	EAN	5	0R	- F -	- 5 1	A1	11	5 T I	: E	\$ 1 (SN I	I F
BETWEEN				273	24		30.	36	0		2.	14		2			032	26
WITHIN				1372	2.2		14.	14	6									
TOTAL		106		1648	5.4			ND	DM	271	FEC	15		6 T 4	171	S T 1	I C S	; ;
ETA= .4075	ETA-	SORE	166	1 1	VAR	COM	P z	1.	\$31	ò	1.1	AF	2	6 M 8	ING	* 1		
EQUALITY OF	VARI	ANCES	D	F= 9	. 4	859.	1			Fz	3.	8 5	8	3		. (000	, 1
PLOT	N	MEA	N	V AF	IAN:	CE	51	Ð	DEN	,								
(2)	4	7.500	>	3.	000	6	1	. 7	321									
(3)	19	6.631	5	31	1.02	3	5	. 6		ł								
(4)		7.333:	3	21		0		. 0	488									
(5)	13	9.307	7	4.		1	2	1.1	364									
(7)	6	7.333	3	3.		7	1	. 7	512	2								
(8)	12	11.66	,			6	2		39 1									
(2)	8			1 1	1.85	,	3	i. 4	440	,								
(10)	12	4.750	5				1	. 2										
(12)					2.42				248									
(14)		10.27			1.21				839									
	107	8.878			5.52				396									

Table 25. ANDVA for A(Ae+AB) thickness.

ANALYSIS OF	VAR	1.	N C	£	0	F	12	2.	▲		N		۱	07	7	0	U,	۲	0	ŧ	1	0	9												
SOURCE				Df		su	M	0	ŧ.	- 5	:0	R S	5		4E	۵	N	5	• •	R		۴	• :	51	A	۲	1	5	T 1	ε	\$	1	G N	11	F
BETWEEN				1	•		1	2	6	٥.	8				1	4	٥	. C	8					7	7	8		¢				•	00	0	0
WITHIN				87	1		1	17	4	4.	5				1	7	. 1	8	5																
TOTAL			1	•	5			80	0	Б.	3				(R	A I	NC	0	2	ŧ	F	F I	E C	: 7	\$		\$	T A	T	15	7	10	:s)
ETA= . \$477	ETA	• 5	0R			41		5		()	A	R	c	0N	1P			1 1		5 2	12		1	١.	A	R		A1	мĐ	N.	G =		39	١.	17
EQUALITY DF	VAR	1 .	N C	E S	: :		Df		1	8,		4 4	E 5	. 8	1						•	=	ł	5	2	8	1	0				•	00	••	•
PLOT	N		M	£ A	N			۷	A	R 3	A	N (ΞĒ			\$	11	D	D	E١	1														
(2)	- 4	1	2.	78	0				5	. 5	8	33	3				2	. 3	6	2 5															
(3)	18.																			4 1															
(4)		2	2.	66	7				21	5.	2	5 (2				5	. c	2	4 5	ŧ.														
(5)	13	1	4.	18	4				3	. 1	4	14	5				1	. 7	7	23															
(7)		1	2.	33	13				\$. c		6 1	7				3	. C	11	11															
(8)	12	1	3.	8 2	13				5	. 1	8	71					3	. 1	2		;														
(8)		1	9.	33	13				11	8.	2	5 (5				4	. 2	7	20	•														
(10)	12																1	. c	×.	36															
(12)	12	1	2.	54	i ŝ				11	٤.		82	2				4	. 1	ż	2 2	Ł														
(14)	11	2	ò.	4 8	5				1	ò.	ŝ	7:	3				3	. 2	:9	74															
	107																			46										•					

59

Table 27. ANOVA for Bm thickness.

ANALYSIS OF	VAR	IANC	E	DF	6.B	H		**	108		T	0 F		10											
SOURCE			DF	S U	MD	F	\$ (>R S		EAN	1	i O R		÷	- 5	Ť,	A 1	11	5 1	11	: :	s 1	GN	18	ł
SETWEEN			8			74	. 4	1		100		3			7	۰.,	4 2	5	0				00	00	,
WITHIN					13	03	6.			135		79													
TOTAL		1	105		22	11	1			(RA	NI	DOM	• •	EF	₹E	с	T S	1	5 1	A 1	11	\$1	10	\$)	£
ETA: .6406	ETA.	- 5 0 #	2 2	.41	04	(¥1	AR.	CON	P a		8.4	34	t i	*	v.	AR	- 4	R.H	101	10	8	38	. 0	36
EQUALITY OF	VAR	IANC	ES	;	DF=		,	4 8	36.	3			1	•	•	7	8 8	8	3			•	82	71	l
PLOT				N	v	AR	14		E	51	Þ	DE	٤v												
(2)		\$2.	25	0		87	. 1		-			220	8												
(3)	18		11	1		1.	6	. 10		1	4	. 00													
(4)		38.		4		20	1	. 78		1	4	20	5												
(6)	13	\$2.	48	2		77	. 1	76 9				1.8	17												
(7)		78.		0		38	. 1	100	•	6	. 1	618	6												
(4)	12	51.		0		10	4	. 4 5		1	۰.	22	0												
(8)		56.	22	2		14	2	. 84		1	1		5												
(10)	12	\$7.	28	•		11	8.	78		1	0.	. 8 3	7												
(12)	12	48.	75	0		18	4	. 9 3		1	2.		3												
(14)	11	81.	81			13	3.			1	1.	\$ 7	4												
GRAND	105	55.	77	4		2 i	•					8.1													

Table 28. ANDVA for A(As+AB) + Bm thickness.

 ANALYSIS
 DF
 VARIANCE
 DF
 13.ABM
 N=
 105
 DUT
 DF
 108

 SDURCE
 DF
 SUM
 DF
 SORS
 MEAN
 SOR
 P-STATISTIC
 SIGNIF

 BETWEEN
 9
 6563.7
 74.07
 5.9437
 .0000

 WITHIN
 105
 13633.
 124.63
 .07
 .07

 TOTAL
 105
 13633.
 (RANDOM EFFECTS STATISTICS)
 .01

 ETA*
 .0582
 ETA*.3578
 (VAR COMPN ES.945.3
 XAR AMONGE 32.10)

 EQUALITY OF VARIANCES:
 DF
 8,4835.3
 F*
 .83640
 .6328

PLOT	N	MEAN	VARIANCE	STD DEV
(2)	4	\$5,000	\$2.887	7.2572
(3)	18	73.000	184.84	13.862
(4)	9	81.111	181.11	12.893
(5)	13	76.615	100.42	10.021
(7)	6	80.833	24.187	4.8180
(8)	12	88.233	100.81	10.030
(.)	8	78.555	140.03	11.833
(10)	12	82.083	111.17	10.544
(12)	12	82.233	137.70	11.734
(14)	11	72.273	81.818	8.5822
GRAND	105	72.236	177.52	13.323

. .*

Table 29. ANOVA for BC thickness.

ANALYSIS OF	VARJANCE DF 7.8C N= 104 BUT DF 108
SOURCE	DF SUM DF SORS MEAN SOR F-STATISTIC SIGNIF
BETWEEN	8 2315.3 257.37 2.3054 .0218
WITHIN	54 10494. 111.5A
TOTAL	103 12810. (RANDDM EFFECTS STAT1\$TICS)
ETA= .4252	ETA-SORE . 1808 (VAR COMPE 14.209 %VAR AMONGE 11.29
EQUALITY DF	VARIANCES: DF= 9, 4688.7 F= 2.1581 .0220
PLDT	N MEAN VARIANCE STD DEV
(2)	4 36,250 322.25 17.851

(2)	- 4	36.250	322.25	17.851
(3)	18	30.444	138.03	11.748
(4)		21.585	135.78	11.852
(5)	13	18.615	34.090	5,8385
(7)	6	22.500	17.500	4.1833
(8)	12	25.750	150,57	12.672
(.)		21.111	50.851	7.1317
(10)	12	31.083	71.355	8.4473
(12)	10	27.100	205.43	14.333
(14)	11	24.091	78.081	8.8833
GRAND	104	25.808	124.37	11.152

ECOLOGICAL MONITORING

THE RESPONSE OF VEGETATIONAL COMMUNITIES TO AERIAL EMISSIONS. PERMANENT SAMPLE PLOTS

TERMS OF REFERENCE

1. INTRODUCTION

Research addressing the impacts of aerial emissions on components of terrestrial ecosystems to date has concentrated on detailing the chemical form, concentration and distribution of existing aerial emissions and examining the physiological responses of vegetation to varying concentrations of specific contaminants under rigidly controlled laboratory conditions.

The ability to relate the results generated from the above programs in a predictive manner to actual impacts on the terrestrial ecosystem created by the current levels of aerial emissions in the area has not been available. Therefore the development of a monitoring program which would possess an early warning capability closely relating emission levels to ecosystem response is clearly warranted.

The evolution of the concepts and technologies required for the implementation of an accurate, applicable and predictive ecosystem monitoring program capable of detecting impacts on terrestrial ecosystems has not, and will not, be a simple nor inexpensive task.

2. GENERAL PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

To develop a terrestrial ecosystem monitoring program which would detect the impact of aerial emissions, originating from the oil sands plants, on the terrestrial ecosystem and relate this impact to changes in the structure and function of the terrestrial ecosystem. 3.

SPECIFIC PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

- Determine structural dynamics in the boreal forest and compare these changes to those induced by aerial emissions.
- Develop sensitive and predictive indicators to aerial emissions (as in early warning) involving process related phenomena and to establish the relationship between changes occurring in these processes and the structure and function of the terrestrial ecosystem.

4. BACKGROUND

The initial phase in the development of a research program in support of a terrestrial ecosystem monitoring program involved the establishment of eight reference plots in the Hondo region of Alberta during 1980-81 in an area free from aerial emissions. The establishment of these plots was jointly funded by Alberta Environment and the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council.

The second phase of this program involves the establishment of a series of permanent sample plots during 1981-82 in the Athabasca Oil Sands region of northeastern Alberta. Concurrent with the establishment of these plots will be a detailed vegetational survey.

This project will provide the detailed soil survey of the permanent sample plots in the Athabasca Oil Sands region.

5. PROJECT OBJECTIVE

To provide information on the kinds, characteristics, and distribution of soils in ten to twenty permanent sample plots, each of 5 hectares area.

6. WORK OUTLINE

Basic soils information on the plots will be obtained by conducting a detailed soil survey at a proposed mapping scale of 1:1000 to 1:2000. The survey will be carried out by a "transect" method and data will be gathered such that statistical procedures can be applied to determine composition and variability of soils within and between plots. Observations in the field will be supported by laboratory analyses. This will be accomplished by the following procedure:

- obtain airphotos and expand to 1:1000 to 1:2000 scale.
- examine airphotos with stereoscope.
- select 3 or 4 transects at each plot and examine soils at specific points along transect.
- examine and record morphological and some chemical soil features, and site features.
- take samples at 2 to 4 sites representative of the dominant soils in each plot.
- analyze samples in the laboratory.
- soil delineations and symbols to be added to airphoto bases by Drafting Section, Alberta Research Council.
- statistical analyses of data.

7. REPORTING

The above information will be presented in the form of maps on airphoto bases, legend, report and tables of data. A draft report is to be submitted by 1 March 1982.

This material is provided under educational reproduction permissions included in Alberta Environment's Copyright and Disclosure Statement, see terms at <u>http://www.environment.alberta.ca/copyright.html</u>. This Statement requires the following identification:

"The source of the materials is Alberta Environment <u>http://www.environment.gov.ab.ca/</u>. The use of these materials by the end user is done without any affiliation with or endorsement by the Government of Alberta. Reliance upon the end user's use of these materials is at the risk of the end user.