# Fishing for Grey Literature:

# WHAT ARE WE CATCHING IN CADTH'S RAPID RESPONSE SERVICE?

CHLA/ABSC 2017 Edmonton, AB May 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017

@CADTH\_ACMTS
@MelSevern



# **Project Team**

Melissa Severn @melsevern Kelly Farrah Caitlyn Ford @library\_cait Suzanne McCormack Aleksandra Grobelna David Kaunelis

CADTH adheres to the authorship and contribution guidelines established by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).



#### **Author Conflicts of Interest**

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest.



## **Presentation Outline**

- Grey Literature Searching
- The CADTH Rapid Response Service
- Search Process for Rapid Response
- Rapid Response Checklist
- Research Question
- Methods
- Results
- Discussion
- Future Directions
- Limitations
- Conclusion



## **Grey Literature Searching**



- Time
- Uncertainty
  - FOMO



#### **CADTH Rapid Response Service**

**Types of Rapid Response Reports** 

Rapid Health Technology Assessment

Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis

Peer-Reviewed Summary with Critical Appraisal

Summary with Critical Appraisal

Summary of Abstracts (SA)

Reference List (RL)



#### **Search Process**





#### **Rapid Response Checklist**

Grey Literature Databases

Websites of Relevant Organizations

> Internet Search Engine

Trial Databases/ Consultation with Experts Adams et al. Systematic Reviews (2016) 5:164 DOI 10.1186/s13643-016-0337-y

Systematic Reviews

#### METHODOLOGY

**Open Access** 

SYSTEMATIC

**Open Access** 

CrossMark



Jean Adams<sup>12,3\*</sup>, Frances C. Hillier-Brown<sup>3,4</sup>, Helen J. Moore<sup>3,4</sup>, Amelia A. Lake<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Vera Araujo-Soares<sup>2,3</sup>, Martin White<sup>12,3</sup> and Carolyn Summerbell<sup>3,4</sup>

Godin et al. Systematic Reviews (2015) 4:138 DOI 10.1186/s13643-015-0125-0

#### METHODOLOGY

Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada

Katelyn Godin<sup>\*</sup>, Jackie Stapleton, Sharon I. Kirkpatrick, Rhona M. Hanning and Scott T. Leatherdale

#### **Research Question**

The objective of this study was to measure the value of the Rapid Response checklist for finding relevant grey literature documents.

We also wanted to learn...

- What type of grey literature document is most frequently cited?
- Who are the publishers of the cited grey literature documents?



#### **Methods**

#### 73 CADTH summary of abstracts & reference lists





Publishing body recorded



### **Results from 73 Reports**

- 1. How much grey literature was cited in total?
- 2. How much grey literature was cited from the results sent?
- 3. What types of grey literature documents were most frequently cited?
- 4. How much cited grey literature came from websites of relevant organizations on our Rapid Response (RR) checklist?



# 1. How much grey literature was cited in total?



 324/1388 (23%) grey literature documents cited

1064/1388 (77%) bibliographic database results cited



# 2. How much grey literature was cited from the results sent?



324/1,893 (17%)
 GL documents
 cited in final
 report

1,569/1,893

 (83%) GL
 documents not
 selected by
 researcher



# 3. What types of grey literature documents were most frequently cited?





# 4. How much cited grey literature came from websites of relevant organizations on our RR checklist?



- 40% Cited Grey Literature documents from relevant organizations on the RR checklist
- 60% Cited Grey Literature documents found through Google or Grey Literature Databases



## Discussion

#### Usefulness

- We should be searching for grey literature.
- Focus efforts on finding guidelines through the grey literature search.

#### Time

• The RR search process is finding relevant grey literature but we may be spending too much time looking.

#### NOMO FOMO

 Be more selective in what we send researchers. If in (a lot of) doubt, leave out!



#### **Future Directions**

- Can we rely on the grey literature databases to have up-todate repositories so we can search fewer individual websites?
- 2. Are there grey literature documents that the researchers are not citing but using for their own background knowledge?
- 3. Does additional time spent searching for grey literature result in more being cited?



# Limitations

- 1. Unless indicated by the searcher, we do not know where the grey literature documents were found.
- 2. Possibility that some grey literature documents are useful to the researcher but are not cited.
- 3. Possibility that some cited grey literature documents were found by the researcher.
- 4. Variation in grey literature selection methods of individual Information Specialists.
- 5. Sample taken from a specific point in time. Seasonal topics, newsworthy topics, etc.



## Conclusion

- A formalized grey literature search approach is useful for finding relevant grey literature.
- The screening of grey literature by the Information Specialist could be more selective.
- Allow searching of some websites to be optional to allow efforts to be focused on websites of higher relevance.
- Direct more effort to finding guidelines through the grey literature search.



#### References

- Adams J, Hillier-Brown FC, Moore HJ, Lake AA, Araujo-Soares V, White M, et al. Searching and synthesising 'grey literature' and 'grey information' in public health: critical reflections on three case studies. Syst Rev [Internet]. 2016 Sep 29 [cited 2017 May 12];5(1):164. Available from: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5041336</u>
- 2. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hanning RM, Leatherdale ST. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. Syst Rev [Internet]. 2015 Oct 22 [cited 2017 May 12];4:138. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4619264



**Questions?**