CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

THÈSES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

] 🌩

National Library of Canada Collections Development Branch

Canadian Theses on Microfiche Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A ON4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada Direction du développement des collections

Service des thèses canadiennes sur microfiche

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming./Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse sournise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadjenne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

Canada

0-315-19588-National Library Bibliothèque nationale of Canada du. Canada, Canadian Theses Division Division des thèses canadiennes Ottawa, Canada K1A ON4 67496 **AUTORISATION DE** Please print or type - Ecrire en lettres moulées ou dactylographier Full Name of Author - Nom complet de l'auteur PIERRE LOUIS BEAULIEU Date of Birth - Date de naissance Country of Birth - Lieu de naissance . 21 - 06 - 57Permanent Address – Résidence fixe CANADA 317 Stewart, OHawa, ONTARIO, KIN6K5 Title of Thesis - Titre de la thèse SYNTHETIC METHODS GASED ON TELLURIUM AND FREE RANICAL, CHEMISTRY University - Université Degree for which thesis was presented - Grade pour lequel cette thèse fut présentée Ph D Year this degree conferred - Année d'obtention de ce grade Name of Supervisor - Nom du directeur de thèse 984 DL JI CLIVE Dr Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHE-CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de the film. prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film. The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication, ni la thèse ethesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou wise reproduced without the author's written permission. autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur. Date Signature Decembre 15-83 un Seaucen

NL-91 (4/77)

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

SYNTHETIC METHODS BASED ON TELLURIUM AND FREE RADICAL CHEMISTRY

by PIERRE L. BEAULIEU

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Chemistry

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

. 68

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

NAME OF AUTHOR PIERRE L BEAULIEU

TITLE OF THESIS

SYNTHETIC METHODS BASED ON TELLURIUM AND FREE V

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED Spring, 1984

> Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only

> The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

Beau (SIGNED) 10111

K1N6K5

PERMANENT ADDRESS 317 Stewart Ottawa, Ontario

Canada

DATED December 13 1983

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled SYNTHETIC METHODS BASED ON TELLURIUM AND FREE RADICAL CHEMISTRY submitted by PIERRE L. BEAULIEU in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

ine

External Examiner

Date Décember 13 1983



NOTTRACT

This thesis consists of two parts. The first chapter describes the use of sodium O.O-diathyl phosphorotellurgits for the reductive dehalogenation of Or-helokatones.

This new reaction complements other synthetic methods that perform similar conversions. In addition, the experiments provide an example of the use of a tellurium respent to accomplish an organic transformation.

The second part of the thesis describes our work on intramolecular radical cyclizations. A new procedure for the formation of γ -lactones was invented. It involves the ionic addition of the elements of "PhSe" and "OCOCH=CHCH," across a double bond, and homolysis of the carbon-selenium bond with tin radicals. Intramolecular closure takes place in a regiospecific *exo*-manner leading to five-membered lactones:



This reaction was developed further into a new method for the formation of carbocycles. The method was tested first with olefinic substrates and a hydroxyl group was used as a radical precursor via conversion to alkoxythiocarbonylimidazole esters:



Im = N- Imidazoyl

A synthetically more useful version of this methodology involves acetylenic starting materials because further manipulation of the final product is then possible



Finally, a new method for the preparation of substituted cyclopentanones was discovered and is based on 8-cyano radicels.



7

All intramolecular radical cyclizations described in this work occurred regiospecifically in the exo- fashion giving rise to the smaller of the two possible ring sizes. The reactions also exhibited varying degrees of stereoselectivity that were most pronounced in the case of δ -cyano radicals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to think Dr. D. L. J. Clive for his unfailing assistance throughout the course of this work.

Financial support in the form of Scholarships from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, and the Department of Chemistry of the University of Alberta is gratefully acknowledged

I also wish to express my gratitude to all my friends for helpful discussions and support

Finally, I would like to thank Lu Ziola for her skillful preparation of the typescript.

	النصر ح	A.,	مى قىرىكە قىلىسىنى	يشتخب
م مينية. ما	a af		1 to a day and	

Chapter

,

· .	OF SODILM 0.0" DIETHYL					÷
	RODUCTION		and the second second			
	Telluroxide fragmentatic	요즘 동생귀 오세?		그는 그는 아파는		\sim
5	Reductions and dehalogs				· · ·	
C	Formation of carbon-ca				÷ ، ، , , ,	
D.	Telkiroxides as oxidizing	1		* .		
E	Miscellaneous			******	1	******
F	Reductive dehalogenatio	× / ·			and the second	
ii. RES	SULTS AND DISCUSSION		·····	*******************		
. A		•••••••		********		******
B.:	Results	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
C	Discussion	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
		•		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
		•••••	•			
A	General *	•			•	
в	Starting Materials	1 1 1				•
Ċ	Dehalogenation of Q-ha	1 and the second second				· .
V REF	•••					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······/···		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)
		· ·		* 		· .
PTER	2. INTRAMOLECULAR RA		LIZATION	S	······	
		, 		·····		
A . •	Generalities	- 1 - 2 				·····
B	Intermolecular Processe	S	·····			
C	Intramolecular Processe:				۱.	

;

Viii

86

Introduction

. ،

C. ion of A 07 D. Formation of Carboolicies by Redical Cycl 11 IN CONCLUSION 186 IV EXPERIMENTAL 156 -sandarti - sandaziones 188 Cyclization of B-Phenylselenclesters 156 V. REFERENCES 210 ADDENDA 218

LIST OF TABLES

(ja) ()

油量

.

Table 1: Reductive dehelogenetion of Or-heloketones	240.00
Thisle 2: Dehillagenition of 2-bromocycloheptenone 4	Y
using 0, 0-diethyl phosphorotelluroste	Ļ
Table 3: Dehalogenation of Cr-halokatones using 0. 0-diathyl	1
phosphilinonethireans and backson darivy phosphilis	e dan

Table 4:

Comparison of various reagents for reductive dehalogenation of or-halokatones

Che otor 2

Chapter 2		n na serie de la
Table 1:	Cyclization of hex-5-envi radicals carrying	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ċ	electron withdrawing substituents	
Table 2:	ExolEndo ratios for the cyclization of 4	
Table 3:	Cyalization of alkyl substituted 5-hexenyl radicals	
Table 4:	Cyclization of -cyano radicals	
Table ¹ 3:	Rate constants for cyclization of radicals	4 j
	onto various functional groups	
Table 6:	Formation of B-lactams by radical ring closure	
Table 7:	Cyclization of vinyl radicals	
Table 8:	Zn/Me,SiCl induced cyclizations of ketones	
Table 9:	Preparation of B-tphenylseleno)esters using	
• •	various salts of carboxylic acids	
Table 10:	Preparation of B-lphanylseleno)esters	
Table 11:	Cyclization of B-(phenylseleno)esters	
Table 12:	Chemical shift of the -CH-OC- proton of lactones	
Table 13:	Ring fusion geometry of bicyclic lactones	
Table 14:	Halolactonization of unsaturated carboxylic acids	
Table 15:	Preparation and cyclization of S-cyano radicals	

REDUCTIVE DEHALOGENATION OF Q-HALOKETONES.

Рċ,

÷.

υ

1.

I. INTRODUCTION

12. 6

GENERAL SURVEY OF APPLICATIONS OF TELLURIUM COMPOUNDS

Unlike selenium, tellurium has found very little use as a reagent in organic synthesis. Until recently, most of the research had concentrated on means of introducing the element into organic molecules,¹ but, in the last few years there has been an increasing interest in the chemistry of this chalcogen and numerous publications are now unfolding its interesting properties.

A. Telluroxide fragmentation

È

One of the most consequential aspects of selenium chemistry has been the discovery of the selenoxide fragmentation leading to carbon—carbon double bond formation.² In contrast, little is known about the telluroxide fragmentation. Early reports from Sharpless³ and Cava⁴ indicated that the reaction was rather limited in scope. It has now been demonstrated that the telluroxide fragmentation may be a useful synthetic method that can lead to the formation of olefins, allylic alcohols, end/or allylic ethers³ (equ 1, 2, 3).





Relative to the analogous selenoxide and sulfoxide fragmentations the reaction shows a greater preference for elimination towards the less substituted carbon n-Alkyl- and cyclohexyl telluroxides proved to be more resistant but could be made to undergo the desired elimination by pyrolysis without solvent at temperatures ranging from 200 to 240°C (yields were 50 to 72%). Another synthesis of olefins takes advantage of the thermal instability of tellurium sulfonimides generated from alkyl tellurides and chloramine-T* (equ. 4).



B. Reductions and dehalogenations with H, Te and NaHT, e

The properties of hydrogen telluride, H, Ter and its sodium salt, NaHTe, have been extensively studied. Both exhibit unique reducing characteristics. Aldehydes and ketones can be reduced to the corresponding alcohols with hydrogen telluride; generated *in situ* from aluminum telluride and water' (equ. 5), while nitroaryls give aromatic amines! (equ. 6).

PhCH0
$$\xrightarrow{A1_2Te_3}$$
 PhCH₂OH \div 2 A1(OH)₃ \div 3 Te (equ 5)
H₂O

Ary1-NO₂
$$\xrightarrow{A1_2Te_3}$$
 Ary1-NH₂ + 2 A1(OH)₃ + 3 Te (equ 6)
H₂O

 α , β -Unsaturated carbonyl compounds are reduced exclusively in a 1,4-fashion. by hydrogen telluride' and by its sodium salt generated *in situ* from elemental tellurium and sodium borohydride^s (equ. 7).

$$Ar - CH = CH - CHO$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Te / NaBH_4} \\ \text{Ethanol}} Ar - CH_2CH_2CHO (equ 7)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{or}} Al_2Te_3 / H_2O$$

Sodium hydrogen telluride has also proven to be efficient for dehalogenation of vic-dibromoalkanes to alkenes¹⁰ (equ. 8)



The same transformation can be achieved with diaryl tellurides,¹¹ diarylditellurides¹² and lithic 2-thiophenetelluroates¹³

C. Formation of carbon-carbon bonds

Halogenation of alkyl tellurides to tellurium (IV) species and pyrolysis gives alkyl halides. This reaction offers possibilities for carbon chain extension¹⁴ (equ. 9)



A similar sequence with bis-(phenyltelluro)methyllithium can lead to aldehydes¹⁴ (equ 10)



D. Telluroxides as oxidizing agents

Diaryl telluroxides have been used as mild, and selective oxidizing agenta.¹¹ Thiocarbonyl derivatives are converted into their oxo— analogues and disulfides are formed from thiols. The reagent is also effective for the oxidation of hydroquinones to quinones, acyl hydrazines to diacyl hydrazines, and phosphines to phosphine oxides. The oxidation of thiocarbonyl species to their oxo counterpart can be made catalytic in the tellurium reagent by use of a halogenating agent¹⁴ (equ. 1.1).



E. Miscellaneous

Other uses of tellurium in synthetic methodology include the coupling of allylic halides with Te²⁻ to afford 1, 5-dienes¹⁷ (equ. 12), the tellurium catalyzed carbonylation of amines with <u>carbon</u> monoxide to produce use derivatives¹³ (equ. 13), and the rearrangement of various cycloheptatrienes to benzene derivatives involving the use of tellurium tetrachloride as reagent¹⁹ (equ. 14).

/



F. Reductive dehalogenation of Q-halocarbonyl compounds

There are two reports in the literature invoking the use of tellurium reagents for the reductive dehalogenation of α -halocarbonyl compounds. Lithium and sodium 2-thiophenetellurolates convert α -haloketones and acids into the corresponding halogen-free products. Acetoxy, mesyloxy and phenylthio groups are also removed²⁰ (equ. 15, 16). More recently, sodium hydrogen telluride was found to perform the same transformation²¹ (equ. 17).

$$R - C - CH_2 X + (equ 15)$$

 $R - C - CH_3 (equ 15)$
 $(52-99\%)$



In 1977, Clive²² reported that alkali metal 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroates are mild reagents for deoxygenating epoxides lequ. 18). This was probably the first example of a tellurium reagent that could be used for a transformation, that was not easy by classical methods.

(EtO)2P RCH = CHR ' Te (70-90%) (EtO)₂PONa (equ. 18)

The reagent was generated *in situ* in ethanol from elemental tellurium and sodium diethyl phosphite. The deoxygenation was stereospecific and could be made catalytic in tellurium. The above summary, equ. 1 - 18, represents the synthetic transformations that can be brought about with tellurium reagents. Clearly, the subject is not nearly as well-developed as selenium chemistry and much further research is required in order to

establish the relevance of tellurium compounds to organic synthesis.

A. Introduction

The removal of a halogen atom from an Q-haloketone is a transformation that is sometimes used in organic synthesis. For example, epoxides can be converted to ketones via their Q-iodinated derivatives²³ (equ. 19)

$$R \xrightarrow{0} \frac{1 - He_3S1I}{2 - CrO_3/H^4} \xrightarrow{0} R \xrightarrow{0} R \xrightarrow{0} (equ 19)$$

Alkenes react with chromyl chloride²⁴ or silver chromste-iodine to give α -haloketones²³ (equ. 20, 21), that are potential precursors to ketones:



Easily accessible α, α -dichlorocyclopentanes allow monoalkylation of a five membered ring ketone, a task that can often prove problematic²³ (equ. 22).



As the above reactions (equ. 19-22) indicate, dehalogenation of *Q*-haloketones can serve : to generate the parent ketone.²⁴

In the last decade a number of reagents have been introduced for this purpose. Many of the procedures, however, suffer from lack of selectivity or low yields. Zinc in acetic acid,³⁷ tin hydrides,³¹ trimethylsilyl iodide²⁹ and sodium borohydride—metal¹ions³⁰ are not selective reagents and can alter many other functionalities, thus limiting their utility. Molybdenum hexacarbonyl,31 iron pentacarbonyl,32 and diphenyl phosphine33 are toxic and expensive. Triphenylphosphine fails to perform the transformation in many simple cases.³⁴ lodide ions are ineffective in hindered situations³⁵ unless a Lewis acid is present to facilitate nucleophilic attack.³⁴ Tertiary amine-SO₃ complexes will not dehalogenate α halocycloalkanones unless pyridine-SO, is used under more drastic conditions.³⁷ Transition metal ions such as vanadium (II) chloride³³ and titanium (III) chloride³⁹ have been used quite successfully, whereas pyridine-sodium dithionite⁴⁰ and N,N-dimethylaniline⁴¹ operate with varving vields. Most recently, two tellurium reagents, lithio 2-thiophenetellurolate²⁰ and sodium hydrogen telluride²¹ have been shown to perform very satisfactorily and these reactions are indicative of a still growing interest in tellurium chemistry. Before the publication of the above tellurium reagents,20 / 21 we had been looking for other uses for the easily accessible 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroates and had found that they would induce reductive dehalogenation of α -chloro- and α -bromoketones.⁴² The first chapter of this thesis describes our results on this new reaction.

B. Results

Until the discovery that they could deoxygenate epoxides under very mild conditions,²² little was known of alkali metal 0,0-diethyl phosphorotellurøates. The potassium salt was reported in 1950⁴³ but its synthetic potential was not explored. Furthermore, it was observed to be unstable in air, depositing elemental tellurium when exposed. Sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroate 1 is easily prepared by dissolving finely divided tellurium metal in an ethanolic solution of sodium diethyl phosphite as shown

in equation 23.

(Et 0)P0

Ethanol

ŔΤ

(equ 23)

12

The colorless solution of the reagent can be used directly or the salt can be isolated by evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. These solutions are very airsensitive and must be handled under an inert atmosphere. Precipitated tellurium was used in the preparation of 1 to ensure rapid and complete reaction with sodium diethyl phosphite. Powdered tellurium was found to be much less reactive, and required long periods of stirring before dissolution was complete. The reagent has been assigned structure 1 on the basis of its chemical behavior and NMR characteristics.²²

When an ethanolic solution of 2,4-dibromoacetophenone, 6, (see Table 1), (1 equivalent) was injected into a solution of 1 (1 equivalent) an orange coloration first appeared (indicative of the formation of a telluride), rapidly followed by a black precipitate of finely divided tellurium. From the reaction mixture p-bromoacetophenone was isolated in 78% yield. When the experiment was repeated with other α -haloketones, similar results were obtained. These experiments are sumarized in Table 1.

The identity of the products was established firmly by comparison with authentic samples (TLC, VPC, ¹H NMR). α -Halocycloalkanones proved to be more resistant, but dehalogenation occurred when the reaction was conducted at 80°C. Bromides were more reactive than chlorides as revealed by <u>entries</u> 8 and 9: 2-bromocyclohexanone gave a 56% yield of cyclohexanone after two hours at 80°C whereas a 36% yield of the ketone was obtained from 2-chlorocyclohexanone after seven hours at the same temperature An increase in substitution at the halogen site also decreases the yield and reactivity. This is exemplified by entries 9 and 10, and is indicative of a mechanism involving bimolecular nucleophilic substitution at some stage of the reaction. Hindered substrates such as 3-



LET

13

si,

Experiments were run on a 1 mmol scale except for entries 4 and 6 which were on a 0.125 mmol scale. A stoichiometric amount of tellurium and a 20% excess of sodium diethyl phosphite was used in all cases. Ethanol was the solvent except for entries 1, 4, 5 and 6 where ethanol-THF was used.

Yields refer to pure isolated material, except where indicated.

Yield determined by VPC using an internal standard. $\mathring{}$

b

С

bromocamphor 2 and 20t-bromocholestan-3-one 7 underwent reductive dehalogenation in good yield.

C. Discussion

The stoichiometry of the reaction is depicted in equation 24.



Tellurium metal is regenerated in the process and this means that only a catalytic amount of the element is required for the reaction to proceed. This fact was verified in experiments with 2-bromocycloheptanone 4 as shown in Table 2 (p. 15).

One sees that a full equivalent of tellurium is not required, but for best results, a stoichiometric amount ensures a good yield and a short reaction time

Triethyl phosphate. 13. was also detected as one of the reaction products. It was identified by VPC (*ca.* 100% yield) and ¹H NMR in an experiment with 2,4'-dibromo-acetophenone. 6. Bromoethane could not be detected (VPC) at any stage of the reaction indicating that halide attack on one of the ethoxy groups attached to phosphorus does not occur. A reasonable mechanism that accounts for these observations is shown in Scheme 1.4p. 16). The first step is an $S_N 2$ displacement of halide ion from the α -haloketone by reagent 1 giving rise to ester 14. Analogy for the intermediacy of 14 is provided by the observation that the selenium salt, 16,⁴⁴ corresponding to 1 reacted with 2,4'-dibromo-acetophenone 6, to give a similar selenophosphate ester 17, as shown in equation 25.

Under conditions that yielded smooth reductive dehalogenation with the tellurium

DEHALOGENATION OF 2-BROMOCYCLOHEPTANONE USING 0.0-DIETHYL PHOSPHOROTELLUROATE

	4 (mmol)	Sodium	Tellurium	Temp	•C	Time (h)	Yield %	, ,
		diethyl	(mmol)			۰.:	4 11	
		phosphite				an an taon 1997 - Anna Anna 1997 - Anna Anna Anna		9 .
	. 4	(mmol)				X ,	· ∳	
			er L	₩2	-			*
				1 4 14				
	10	1.2	1.0	25	•	3.5	89	-
	1.0	1.2	0.67	25		15.0	86	i
•	1.0	1.2	0 14	25		5.0	67	•
	· · ·	· .				·~		

a Yields were determined by VPC analysis using an internal standard



Br

lequ 25



reagent, ester 17 did not react further and could be isolated for characterization. Most diagnostic for that purpose were the NMR spectra which showed a singlet in the ¹H NMR spectrum at 4.19 ppm for CH₂-SeP(O)(OEt)₂ and a 7-peak multiplet in the ³¹P spectrum at 18.6 ppm downfield from H₃PO₄ with an extra J_{Se=P} coupling of 450 Hz. These values are in good agreement with the presence of a selenophosphate ester. For example (EtO)₂P(O)SeCH, has a ³¹P resonance at 18.5 ppm downfield from 85% H₃PO₄.²² Also characteristic of ester 17 was an IR (P=0) absorption at 1587cm⁻¹ and a molecular ion at m/e 4 13.9135 in the mass spectrum consistent with the molecular formula $C_{12}H_{16}BrO_4PSe$. The next step in Scheme 1 is a nucleophilic attack of the solvent, ethanol, on the phosphorus atom. Triethyl phosphate is thus generated at this stage and the telluride ion breaks down to the enclate form of the dehalogenated ketone. This can occur directly (path a) or via epitelluride 15 (path b). The facile extrusion of tellurium metal from epitellurides has been documented.⁴³ Protonation of the enolate by the solvent occurs at any stage after elimination of triethyl phosphate from ester 14. In order to further substantiate the proposed mechanism, attempts were made to trap the postulated enolate by alkylation with iodomethane. In order to achieve this, it was necessary to perform the reaction in an aprotic solvent to prevent direct protonation of the enolate. To this end, salt 1 was isolated from its ethanolic solution and the reaction with 2,4'-dibromoacetophenone. 6, was carried out in THF. After 16 hours at room temperature, p-bromoacetophenone and starting material 6, were obtained in a 3.6.1 ratio. This large decrease in reactivity (the usual time in ethanol is 2.5 hours for complete reaction) is consistent with the involvement of an external nucleophile (usually solvent ethanol) in the breakdown of telluroester 14. In two further experiments performed in THF, iodomethane was added to the reaction mixture 5 minutes and 3.5 hours, respectively, after mixing. However, no Dbromopropiophenone 18, was detected by ¹H NMR (equ. 26).

$$Br \longrightarrow 0^{\circ}_{CCH_{2}Br} \xrightarrow{a-\underline{1} / THF}_{b-CH_{3}I'} Br \longrightarrow 0^{\circ}_{CCH_{3}}$$

$$+ Br \longrightarrow 0^{\circ}_{CCH_{2}Br} + Br \longrightarrow 0^{\circ}_{CCH_{2}CH_{3}} (equ 26)$$

$$22\% \qquad 0\%$$

$$18$$

Of course, the mechanism of the reaction may not be the same in ethanol as in THF, but, in the event, alkylation attempts in THF did not serve to define the mechanism in the aprotic solvent.

18

In control experiments, it was found that sodium diethyl phosphite itself effects slow dehalogenation but the yields are poor. These observations are shown in Table 3 This result can be understood in terms of a difference in nucleophilicity between telluride 1 and sodium diethyl phosphite.

TABLE 3 DEHALOGENATION OF &-HALOKETONES USING 0.0-DIETHYL PHOSPHORO-TELLUROATE AND SODIUM DIETHYL PHOSPHITE

19

HALOKETONE	REAGENT ^a	TEMP. (°C)	TIME (h)	YIELD ^b (%)
	(Et0) ₂ P(0)TeNa	25	1	84
О-е-сн,а	(EtO) ₂ P(O)Na	25	72	60
12	$(Et0)_2^2 P(0) Na$	25	1	0
0	:. (EtO) ₂ P(O)TeNa	25	2.5	78
всн, вг 6	(Et0) ₂ P(0)Na	25 [.]	24	18
			¢,	••

·,. · **ф**.,

•

.

a Reactions run in ethanol—THF.

j.

10 ...

Ω

÷

\...**•**.

.

.

b Yield refers to isolated ketone

III. CONCLUSION

As mentioned previously several methods are available to bring about the reductive dehalogenation of α -haloketones. The present method is a useful addition in view of the following characteristics mild conditions, easy access to the reagent, simple experimental manipulation and low cost. The reagent that we have introduced is also one of broad versatility as it is compatible with many other functionalities. Table 4 is an explicit comparison of our tellurium reagent with other published methods. The yields are usually comparable and/or complimentary to the available methodology. Finally, sodium 0.0- diethyl phosphorotelluroate represents a new contribution to the use of tellurium in organic synthesis.



COMPARISON OF VARIOUS REAGENTS FOR REDUCTIVE DEHALOGENATION OF

Me,SiCl/Nal: ref. 29
Mo(CO), ref. 31.
Mo(CO), ref. 31.
Gettinium salt: ref. 40.
f
NaHTe: ref. 21.
Ph,PH: ref. 33.
Bu,SnH, or Bu,SnH: ref. 28.
HFe(CO), ref. 32.
Lil/BF, ref. 36.
Lithium-2-thiophenetellurolate: ref. 20.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL

A. General

Unless otherwise stated the following particulars apply. For reactions carried out under an inert atmosphere, the double line technique described below and oven-dried glassware were used. The apparatus shown in Figure 1 consists of a high vacuum line and an argon line. The latter is connected to a mercury bubbler to allow for the release of excess pressure. Alternate access to either the vacuum or the argon line is gained by means of two-way stopcocks. The line was connected to the hot (oven-dried) apparatus in which the reaction was to be performed by means of ground-glass joints or via a needle through a rubber septum. The system was alternately evacuated and flushed with argon three times and kept under a slight static pressure of the inert gas.

Syringes and syringe needles were oven-dried and allowed to cool in a desiccator. All solvents were distilled before use for chromatography. Where required, solvents and reagents were dried with suitable drying-agents and distilled under argon. Dry ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and dioxane were distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl; dichloromethane, chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, 1, 2-dichloroethane, benzene, toluene, hexane, pyridine, triethylamine, diisopropylamine, 1, 3-diaminopropane, acetonitrile, dimethylsulfoxide, and hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA) from calcium hydride [the latter two under reduced pressure (ca. 10 mm)]. Acetone was distilled from calcium sulfate (Drierite); methanol from magnesium methoxide; absolute ethanol was from a commercial source and was used without further purification; deuterated chloroform for NMR was stored over 4 A molecular sieves. Magnesium turnings for Grignard reactions were stored at 130°C. The commercial solutions (Aldrich) of methyllithium in ether and n-butyllithium in hexane were titrated before use by the diphenyl acetic acid method 42 Benzeneselenenyl chloride (Aldrich) was used as received and stored in a desiccator. Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) from Eastman was used without further purification and stored at 0°C.

Products were isolated from solution by concentration under water pump vacuum at 30°C using a rotary evanuator compounds were isolated by simple evaporation of their solutions, the regime of their vacuum (<1.0 mm) until of


constant weight. Stirring was done by means of a Teflon coated magnetic stirring bar unless otherwise stated. Vapor phase chromatography(VPC) analyses were performed on a Hewlett-Packard 5830A gas chromatograph equipped with an FID detector and prepacked Hewlett-Packard columns (6 ft) with nitrogen as carrier gas. Columns used were:

10% DEGS on chromosorb W, 80-100 mesh

10% Apiezon L on chromosorb W, 80-100 mesh

10% carbowax 20M on chromosorb W, 80-100 mesh

- 10% FFAP on chromosrob W, 80-100 mesh

10% QF-1 on chromosorb W, 80-100 mesh

VPC yields were evaluated in the following way. Suppose compound A is to be prepared by a certain reaction and the yield is to be measured by using an internal standard B. A solution is prepared containing a g of authentic A and b g of B. After VPC analysis compound A gives a peak count C_A and compound B gives a peak count C_B. The reaction is then carried out in the presence of b'g of standard B a' is the theoretical yield of compound A. After VPC analysis of the reaction mixture, the peak due to A is C'_A counts and that due to B is C'_B counts. The VPC yield of A for the reaction is given by:

 $% \text{YIELD} = \frac{ab'C_A'C_B}{a'bC_AC_B} \times 100\%$

Commercial silica (Merck 60F-254) thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates were used UV active spots were detected at 254 nm; spots were also revealed with iodine vapor and by charring after spraying with sulfuric acid (50% in methanol). Throughout the text, "normal" silica gel for column chromatography refers to Merck's type 60, 70-230 mesh ASTM; silica gel for flash chromatography⁶⁶ was Merck type 60, 230-400 mesh ASTM.

Spinning band distillations were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 151 annular still. Melting points were determined on a Kofler block apparatus. Melting points and boiling points are uncorrected. Boiling points quoted for products distilled in a Kugelrohr apparatus refer to the oven temperature. Combustion elemental analyses were performed in the microanalytical laboratories of the University of Alberta

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 297 infrared spectrophotometer; liquids were run as neat films on potassium chloride plates; solids were run as solutions in the specified solvent, using 0.5 mm potassium chloride cells

Proton NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker WP-80 (at 80 MHz). Perkin-Elmer R32 (at 90 MHz). Varian HA-100 (at 100 MHz), Bruker WH-200 (at 200 MHz) or Bruker WH-400 (at 400 MHz) spectrometers, in the specified deuterated solvent with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker HFX-90 (at 22.6 MHz), Bruker WH-200 (at 50.3MHz) or Bruker WH-400 (at 100.6 MHz) spectrometers in deutepated chloroform with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. ¹³P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker HFX-90 (at 36.43 MHz) spectrometer in the specified solvent using 85% phosphoric acid as an external standard. The following abbreviations are used in the text s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet, q, quartet, q, quintet, m, multiplet; J, coupling constant, J_{1/2}, coupling constant at half-height; δ , chemical shift.

Mass spectra were recorded on an A.E.I. MS50 mass spectrometer at an ionizing, voltage of 70 EV.

B. Starting Materials

The following α -haloketones were prepared by the methods described in the literature. (*IR-endo*)-3-Bromo-1,7, 7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one.⁴ 2 α -bromocholestan-3-one.⁴ 2-bromo-3, 4-dihydro-1- (2*H*)-naphthalenone.⁴ 16 α -bromo-3-methoxyestra-1, 3, 5(10)-trien-17-one.⁴⁹ · ³⁰ 2-chloro-2-methylcyclo-hexanone.⁵¹ 2-bromocycloheptanone.⁵² 2-chlorocyclohexanone.⁵³ 2-bromocyclohexanone.⁵⁴ and 2-bromo-2,4-dimethylpentan-3-one.⁵³ 2-Chloroacetophenone and 2,4-dibromoacetophenone were commercial samples.

Standard ethanolic solution of sodium diethyl phosphite:²² Sodium metal (1.30 g. 56.55 mmol) was placed in a dry 100-mL round-bottomed flask containing a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar and equipped with a reflux condenser fitted with a rubber septum. The system was purged with argon via a needle passing through the septum, and absolute

ethanol (50.0 mL) was added by syringe to generate a solution of sodium ethoxide. The solution was cooled to room temperature and diethyl phosphite (Aldrich, 7.3 mL, 56.55 mmol) was injected. The resulting solution of sodium diethyl phosphite (ca. 1.0 M) was stirred at 25°C for an additional hour before use. Such solutions could be stored at ambient temperature under an argon atmosphere for a maximum of four weeks.

Standard tetrahydrofuran solution of sodium diethyl phosphite: Sodium metal (0.56 g. 24.35 mmol) was placed in a dry 100-mL round-bottomed flask containing a Tefloncoated magnetic stirring bar and equipped with a reflux condenser fitted with a rubber septum. The system was purged with argon via a needle passing through the septum and dry tetrahydrofuran (20.0 mL) was added (syringe) followed by diethyl phosphite (Aldrich, 3.14 mL, 24.35 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 2 h at which stage hydrogen evolution had stopped (and all the sodium metal had dissolved). The resulting solution was 1.05 M in sodium diethyl phosphite and was used immediately. The storage life of such solutions was not measured.

Precipitated tellurium metal: In order to reduce the reaction time with sodium diethyl phosphite (in the preparation of ethanolic solutions of sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroate), it was found necessary to use precipitated tellurium metal of homogeneous particle size. This material was prepared by a literature procedure.³⁶

Sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphoroselenoate. This material was prepared as a white solid as described in the literature.⁴⁴

C. Dehalogenation of α -haloketones

General procedure: Finely precipitated tellurium (0.1280 g, 1.0 mmol) was placed in a 15-mL oven-dried flask containing a magnetic stirring bar and fitted with a rubber septum. For reactions done above room temperature, the flask was equipped with a reflux condenser. The system was purged with argon, a standard ethanolic solution of sodium diethyl phosphite (1.0 M, 1.5 mL, 1.5 mmol) was injected into the flask and the mixture was stirred (ca. 5 min) until a clear solution of sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroste had formed. The α -haloketone (1.0 mmol) in dry ethanol or THF (1.5 mL plus a 0.5 mL rinse) was injected. Usually, an orange colour developed and black elemental tellurium was precipitated. The mixture was stirred at the specified temperature (see Table 1) for the time indicated and was then filtered by suction through a pad of Celite (2.5 x 2.0 cm) with the aid of diethyl ether (ca. 25 mL). The orange filtrate was evaporated at room temperature and the residue was applied in 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate to a column of ordinary silica gel (1.0 x 15 cm) made up in the same solvent. The material was allowed to penetrate the packing for a distance of about 2 cm. Elution was then stopped for ca. 30 min to allow decomposition of residual tellurium species (deposition of black tellurium), and development was resumed to elute the product, which was obtained pure by removal of solvent and crystallization or distillation. The products were identified by comparison (VPC, TLC, 4 NMR) with authentic samples.

Data on starting materials, dehalogenation method, and reaction products:

(IR-endo)-3-Bromo-1,7, 7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (2): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 90 MHz) δ 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.20-2.45 (m, 5H), 4.64 (dd, J = 5.0, 1.8 Hz, ⁵1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 9.7, 19.9, 20.0, 22.5, 30.6, 45.9, 49.7, 53.9, 212.5.

The general procedure was followed using (0.2312 g, 1.0 mmol) of the α - haloketone. The reaction was complete after a reflux period of 5 h and pure crystalline *D*-camphor (0.1200 g, 79%) was obtained after chromatography. The material was identical (ILC, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample and had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 3H), 1.10-2.65 (m, 7H).

2-Bromo-3, **4-dihydro-1(2//)-naphthalenone (3)**: The bromoketone was purified before use by crystallization from hexane-chloroform mp $38.5-39.5^{\circ}$ C (lit.³⁷ 40-41°C); IR (neat) 1597, 1683 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ 2.00-3.70 (m, 4H), 4.74 (t, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 7.10-8.25 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 26.1, 31.9, 50.6, 127.0, 128.4, 128.8, 129.9, 134.1, 143.0, 190.3.

The general procedure was followed using (0.2251 g, 1.0 mmol) of the α - bromoketone. The reaction was complete after 3 h at room temperature and the product

10-

was purified by Kugelrohr distillation (bp. 150°C, 13 mm)] to afford the ketone (0.1342 g, 91%). The material, which was better than 98% pure (VPC), was identical (TLC, VPC, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample and had ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.85-3.15 (m, 6H), 7 10-8.20 (m, 4H).

2-Bromocycloheptanone (4): The C -bromoketone was 99% pure (VPC) and had ³H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.20-3.10 (m. 10H), 4.67 (dd, J = 9.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H). The dehalogenation was carried out in the presence of an internal standard (*n*-dodecane) in <u>order</u> to determine the yield by VPC. Three experiments were run following the general procedure but with a different amount of tellurium metal in each case.

Experiment a: 1.00 equivalent of tellurium was used. After a reaction period of 3.5 h at room temperature, an aliquot was quenched in water and extracted with other. The yield of product was 89.6% as judged by VPC measurement.

Experiment b: 0.67 equivalent of tellurium was used under the same conditions described in a. The yield of product was 78.7% after 1 h at room temperature and reached a maximum of 86.4% after 15 h.

Experiment c: 0.14 equivalent of tellurium was used under the same conditions as in a. The yield was 67.2% after 5 h and reached a maximum of 69.2% after 8 h.

Cycloheptanone was isolated by chromatography in experiment *a*. The sample was identical with authentic material (TLC, VPC, ¹H NMR) and had ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 60 MHz) δ 1.55-2.10 (m, 8H), 2.33-2.70 (m, 4H).

16α-Bromo-3-methoxyestra-1, 3, 5(10)-trien-17-one (5): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 0.94 (s, 3H), ¹.35-2.50 (m, 11 H), 2.88 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 4.58 (m, 1H), 6.58-6.80 (m, 2H), 7.20 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 14.3, 25.8, 26.5, 29.5, 32.4, 34.0, 37.7, 43.9, 46.3, 47.1, 48.0, 55.2, 111.7, 113.9, 126.3, 131.7, 137.6, 213.1.

Following the general procedure, the α -haloketone (0.0457 g, 0.126 mmol) was dehalogenated in 45 min at room temperature. The product (0.0344 g, 96%) was obtained pure after chromatography. It was identical with authentic material and had mp 168-

171.5°C (lit.³⁰ 164–171°Ć); ³H NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 0.90 (s. 3H), 1.20–2.70 (m. 12H). 1.80–**10** (m. 2H), 3.76 (s. 3H), 6.56–6.78 (m. 2H), 7.10–7.26 (d. J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), ³⁴C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 13.9, 21.7, 26.0, 26.7, 29.8, 31.7, 35.9, 38.5, 44.1, 48.1, 50.6, **55**.3, 111.7, 114.1, 126.5, 132.2, 137.9, 157.8, 220.8.

2,4'-Dibromoacetophenone (6): The starting material (Aldrich) was used without further purification. The general procedure using 0.278 g (1.0 mmol) of α -bromoketone gave the product after a reaction period of 2.5 h at room temperature. Purification by crystallization from hexane afforded pure 4-bromoacetophenone (0.1562 g, 78.5%). The material was identical (TLC, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample and had mp, 49-50.5°C (lit.³⁷ 50-52°C). ¹H NMR (CDC1, 60 MHz) δ 2.50 (s, 3H), 7.45-7.95 (m, 4H).

 2α -Bromocholestan-3-one (7): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 0.68 (s, 3H), 0.86 (d, J = 3Hz, 6H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 0.70-2.75 (m, 32H), 4.74 (dd, J = 6.5, 3.0 Hz, 1H). The general procedure was followed using 0.0932 g (0.2 mmol) of the α -bromoketone. The reaction was complete after 4 h at room temperature. The product (0.0627 g, 81%) was isolated in pure form by chromatography and crystallization. It had mp 127-128.5°C (lit.³⁹ 128-130°C) and was identical (TLC, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.66 (s, 3H), 0.74 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 0.99 (S, 3H), 0.60-2.50 (m, 34H).

2-Bromo-2,4-dimethylpentan-3-one (8): The bromoketone was distilled before use and had Bp 75-75.5°C (25 mm) [lit.^{55,}59-61°C (18-20 mm)] and ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.20 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.85 (s, 6H), 3.45 (m; J = 6.5 Hz, 1H). The dehalogenation was carried out in the presence of acetophenone as internal standard using 0.1931 g (1.0 mmol) of α -bromoketone. The yield, as determined by VPC, reached a maximum of 48% after 3.5 h at reflux temperature. (For VPC examination samples were withdrawn and partitioned between water and ether. The organic phase was then examined by VPC) 2-Bromocyclohexanone (9): Distillation before use afforded 2-bromocyclohexanone of 91% purity (VPC). The material had Bp 101.5°C (13 mm) [lit.⁵⁴ 78.5°C (5 mm)] and ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.50-2.63 (m, 7H), 2.75-3.20 (m, 1H), 4.45 (dt, J = 5.0, 1.0 Hz, ¹H).

The general procedure was followed using *n*-dodecane as an internal standard for VPC yield determination and 0.1771 g (1.0 mmol) of α -bromoketone. After 2 h at reflux, the yield levelled off at 56% as determined by VPC analysis of aliquots that were removed at intervals and partitioned between water and ether.

2-Chlorocyclohexanone (10): The haloketone was purified by distillation. The material was 97.5% pure (VPC) and had Bp 80°C (6 mm) [lit ³³ 90-91°C (14-15 mm)] and ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ 1,67-3.10 (m. 8H).

The α -haloketone (0.1326 g, 1.0 mmol) was dehalogenated in the presence of ndodecane as an internal standard following the general procedure. After 7.0 h at reflux temperature, the yield was 36% as judged by VPC analysis of an aliquot that had been partitioned between water and ether

2-Chloro-2-methylcyclohexanone (11): The haloketone was purified by distillation under reduced pressure. The material was 97% pure (VPC) and had Bp 89.5-90°C (25 mm) [lit ³¹ 94-96°C (27 mm)] and ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.62-2.50 (m. 7H), 3.75 (dt, J = 13.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H).

The general procedure was followed in the presence of *n*-dodecane as internal standard for VPC yield determination and using 0.1533 g (1.04 mmol) of α -haloketone. The yield after 15 h at reflux temperature was 19% as determined by VPC analysis

2-Chloroacetophenone (12): 2-Chloroacetophenone (Aldrich) was used without further purification. The general procedure was followed using 0.1546 g (1.0 mmol) of α -chloroketone. The reaction was complete after 1 h at room temperature and pure acetophenone (0.1010 g, 84%) was isolated after chromatography and Kugelrohr

distillation (120°C: 50 mm)) The material was identical (TLC, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample and had ¹H NMR (CDCI, 80 MHz) δ 2.54 (s, 3H), 7.20–7.55 (m, 3H), 7.75–8.00 (m, 2H).

Evidence for the formation of triethyl phosphate in the dehalogenation of α -haloketones: 2,4-Dibromoacetophenone (Aldrich) was dehalogenated according to the general procedure (30 min at room temperature) and the reaction mixture was chromatographed over "normal" silica (1.0 x 15 cm) using hexane-ethyl acetate (1.1) as eluant 4-Bromoacetophenone and triethyl phosphate were both isolated and characterized as follows:

4-Bromoacetophenone had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ 2.57 (s, 3H), 7.50-8 10 (m, 4H), exact mass, m/e 199.9684 (calcd for C₁H₇BrO, m/e 199.9660). It was identical with authentic material (TLC, ¹H NMR). Triethyl phosphate had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ 1.35 (dt, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, 9H), 4.15 (dq, J = 8.0, 7.0 Hz, 6H), exact mass, m/e 182.0698 (cald for C₄H₁₃O₄P, m/e 182.0708). It was identical with authentic material (TLC, ¹H NMR).

In a second experiment, the yield of triethyl phosphate was determined by VPC using n-tetradecane as an internal standard. The experiment was run under conditions identical to those described above. The yield was 100% (VPC).

Dehalogenation of 2,4'-dibromoacetophenone in the presence of methyl iodide under aprotic conditions: Tellurium metal (0.2105 g. 1.65 mmol) was placed in a 15-mL round-bottomed flask containing a Teflon coated magnetic stirring bar and equipped with a rubber septum. The flask was purged with argon and a THF solution of sodium diethyl phosphite (1.05 M, 1.62 mL, 1.70 mmol) was added followed by dry THF (3 mL). The mixture was stirred for 5 min at room temperature to produce a clear solution of sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphorotelluroate. The haloketone (0.4169 g. 1.50 mmol) dissolved in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was injected. An orange color developed immediately. The solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and methyl iodide (0.3 mL, 5 mmol) was then added. The orange solution was stirred 20 h in the dark at room temperature. A white precipitate formed but no tellurium was deposited. The suspension was filtered through Celite and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude red oil that was obtained had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.43 (broad t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 2.59 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 1H), 3.85-450 (m, 5H), 7.10-8 10 (m, 4H). In this sample only 4-bromoacetophenone and 2.4-dibromoacetophenone could be identified (TLC, ¹H NMR).

Reaction of 2,4'-dibromoacetophenone and sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphoroselenoate: Sodium 0,0-diethyl phosphoroselenoate (0,4570 g; 1.91 mmol) was placed in a 15-mL round-bottomed flask containing a Teflon coated magnetic stirring bar The flask was equipped with a rubber septum and purged with argon. Absolute ethanol (3 mL) was injected into the flask and the suspension was stirred until all solids had dissolved 2,4 - Dibromoacetophenone (Atdrich, 0,4447 g, 1.60 mmol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added to this solution. The mixture slowly became milky. After the reaction was complete (TLC control, 45 min), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on normal silica gel (1.0 x 15 cm) using 7.4 hexaneethyl acetate as eluant. A white solid (NaBr?) remained at the top of the column. The major product (Rf. 0.33) had IR (CDCl₃) 1680, 1587 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 6.0 MHz) & 1.35 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 6H), 4.18 (dq, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 4H), 4.19 (s, 2H), 7.50-8.05 (m, 4H), ³¹P (CDCI, 36.4 t, $J_{S_e-P} = 450$ Hz), exact mass, m/e 4 13.9145 (cald for $C_{11}H_{16}^{19}BrO_4P^{19}Se$. MHz) Ö m/e 413.9135) and was evidently 270,0-diethyl phosphoroseleno)-4-bromoacetophenone 4-Bromoacetophenone could not be detected in the crude reaction mixture (TLC, 1H NMR) before chromatography. Selenium was not precipitated at any stage during the experiment.

Reaction of 2, 4'-Dibromoacetophenone and sodium diethyl phosphite: 2,4-Dibromoacetophenone (Aldrich, 1,45,11 g, 5,22 mmol) was placed in a 15 mL roundbottomed flask containing a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar. The flask was equipped with a rubber septum and flushed with argon. Ethanolic sodium diethyl phosphite (6 mmol) was added dropwise (syringe). The resulting solution was stirred for 20 h at room temperature and the reaction mixture was then poured into water (50 mL), extracted with ether (3 \times 30 mL), washed with water (20 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was evaporated and the resulting orange oil was chromatographed over normal silica (1.0 \times 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate. The yield of 4-bromoacetophenone was (0.1910 g. 18%). The material was identical with an authentic sample (TLC, 41 NMR).

34

Reaction of 2-Chloroacetophenone and sodium diethyl phosphite: The dehalogenation. procedure and scale used were exactly as described above for 2,4dibromoacetophenone. The yield of acetophenone was 0% after 1 h at room temperature and reached a maximum of 60% after 3 days. The product was identical with an authentic sample (TLC, VPC, ¹H NMR).

V. REFERENCES

Irgolic, K.J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 103, 91, Irgolic, K.J. Ibid. 1978, 158 235, Irgolic, K.J. Ibid., 1978, 158, 267; Irgolic, K.J. Ibid. 1980, 189, 65; Irgolic, K.J. Ibid. 1980,203, 367; Irgolic, K.J. "The Organic Chemistry of Tellurium," Gordon and Breach: New York, 1974; Irgolic, K.J. In "Tellurium", Copper, W.C., Ed., Van Nostrand-Reinhold New York, 1971; Petragnani, N. de Moura Campos, M. Organomet. Chem. Rev. 1967, 2, 61; Petragnani, N. Ann: N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1972, 192, 10; Houben-Weyl, "Methoden der Organischen Chemie," Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart, 1955, Chapter IX, 917

- Clive, D.L.J. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1049; Reich, H.J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 22
- Sharpless, K.B.; Gordon, K.M., Lauer, R.F., Patrick, D.W.; Singer, S.P.; Young, M.W. Chem. Scr. 1975, **BA**, 9
- 4 Lee, H. Cava, M.P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 277.
- 5 Uemura, S.; Fukuzawa, S-I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105 2748.
- 6 Otsubo, T., Ogura, F.; Yamaguchi, H.; Higuchi, H.; Sakata, Y.; Misumi, S. *Chem. Lett.* 1981, 447.
- 7 Sonoda, N. Angew: Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 1009.
- 8 Kambe, N.; Kondo, K.; Sonoda, N.; Angew. Chem. Int, Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 1009
- 9. Ramasany, K.; Kalyanasundaram, S.K.; Shanmugam, P. Synthesis, 1978, 545.
- 10. Ramasany, K., Kalyanasundaram, S.K.; Shanmugam, P. Synthesis, 1978, 311.
- 11 de Moura Campos, M.; Petragnani, N.; Thome, C.: Tetrahed ron Lett. 1960, 15, 5.
- 12. Petragnani, N., de Moura Campos, M. Chem. Ber 1961, 94, 1759.
- 13 Engman, L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 3601.

1.

2

- 14 Chikamatsu, K.; Otsubo, T.; Ogura, F.; Yamaguchi, H.; Chem. Lett. 1982, 1081.
- Barton, D.H.R.; Ley, S.V.; Meerholz; C.A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979.
 755; Ley, S.V.; Meerholz, C.A.; Barton, D.H.R. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, Suppl. 1, 21'3
- 16 Ley, S.V.; Meerholz, C.A.; Barton, D.H.R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1785.
- 17. Clive, D.L.J.; Anderson, P.C.; Moss, N.; Singh, A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47 1641.
- Kambe, N.; Kondo, K.; Ishii, H.; Sonoda, N. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1981, 54, 1460;
 Kambe, N.; Kondo, K.; Ishii, H.; Murai, S.; Sonoda, N. *Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*

- 19. Albeck; M.; Tamari, T.; Sprecher, M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2276.
- 20 Engman, L.; Cava, M.P. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3946
- 21. Osuka, A.; Suzuki, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 119
- 22 Clive, D.L.J.; Menchen, S.M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977; 658, Clive, D.L.J.; Menchen, S.M. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2347.

- 23 Krief, A.; Denis, J.N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 1429.
- 24. Sharpless, K.B.; Teranishi, A.Y. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 185.
- 25. Greene, A.E.; Deprés, J.-P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4003, Deprés, J.-P., Greene, A.E. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2036
- 26 Stork, G. MacDonald, T.L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1264; Noyori, R.: Hayakawa, Y. "Organic Reactions", Wiley, New York, 1983, Vol. 29, p. 163.
- 27. Zimmerman, H.E.; Mais, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 3644; Sauers, R.R.; Hu, C.K. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 1153.
- 28. Kuivila; H.G.; Menapace, L.W. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 2165.
- 29. Olah, G.A.; Arvanaghi, M.; Vankar, Y.D. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3531.
- 30 Goto, T.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1961, 513.
- 31 Alper, H.; DesRoches, D. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 806; Alper, H.; Pattee, L. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2568
- 32 Luh, T.-Y., Lai, C.H., Lei, K.L., Tam, S.W. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 641; Alper, H. Tetrahed ron Lett. 1975, 2257.
- 33. Borowitz, I.J.; Kirby, K.C. Jr.; Rusek, P.E.; Lord, E. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2687.
- 34. Borowitz, I.J.; Grossman, LI. Tetrahedron Lett. 1962, 471.
- 35 Gemal, A.L.; Luche, J.L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 3195, Ho, T.L. Synth. Commun. 1979, 241
- 36. Townsend, J.M.; Spencer, T.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 137.
- 37. Olah, G.A.; Vankar, Y.D.; Fung, A.P. Synthesis 1979, 59.
- 38. Ho, T.L.; Olah, G.A. Synthesis, 1976, 807.
- 39. Ho, T.L., Wong, C.M. Synth. Commun. 1973, 237.
- 40. Ho, T.L.; Wong, C.M. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 562.
- 41. Giumanini, A.G. Chimia 1967, 21, 464.

- 42. Clive, D.L.J.; Beaulieu, P.L. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1124.
- 43 Foss, O. Acta Chem. Scand. 1950, 4, 1241
- 44. Foss, O. Acta Chem. Scand. 1947, 1, 8. Pistschimuka, P. J. Prakt. Chem. 1911, 192, 746
- 45. Connor, J.; Van Roodselaar, A.; Fair, R.W.; Strausz, D.P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 560.
- 46. Kipping, F.S., Pope, W.J. J. Chem. Soc. 1893, 63, 576.
- 47. Fieser and Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis, " Wiley: New York, 1967, Vol. 1, p. 967.
- 48. Wilds, A.L.; Johnson, J.A. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946; 68, 86.
- 49. Paquet, A.; Layne, D.S.; Can. J. Chem. 1973, 51, 3855.
 - 50. Johnson, W.S.; Johns, W.F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 2005.
 - 51. Rabjohn, N. "Organic Syntheses", Wiley: New York, 1963; Collective Vol. IV, p. 162.
 - 52. Corey, E.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 2301.

.

- 53. Horning, E.C. "Organic Syntheses" Wiley: New York, 1955; Collective Vol. III, p. 188.
- 54. von Schmid, H.; Karrer, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1946, 29, 573.
- 55. Sacks, A.A.; Aston, J.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 3902.
- 56. Brauer, G. "Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry," 2nd ed., Academic Press.
 New York, 1963; Vol. I, p. 447; Goldstein, J. Rev. Chem. (Bucharest) 1963, 14(3), 164; Chem. Abstr. 1963, 59, 12425g.
- 57. Nefedov, V.A. J. Gen. Chem. USSR Engl. 1973, 43, 2002.
- 58. Chapman and Hall, "Dictionary of Organic Compounds," 5th ed., Vol. 1, p. 749.
- 59. CRC "Handbook of Chemistry and Physics" 55th ed., CRC Press, 1974, p. C239.
- 60. "Selected Constants; Optical Rotatory Power, " là Steroids, Vol. 14, p. 27t; Pergamon." New York, 1965
- 61. Krief, A.; Denis, J.N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 1431.
- 62. Kofron, W.G.; Baclawski, L.M. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1879.
- 63. Still, W.C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.

ò

CHAPTER 2

INTRAMOLECULAR RADICAL CYCLIZATIONS

I. INTRODUCTION .

A. Generalities

Until recently synthetic organic chemists, apart from those involved in the polymer sciences, had given little attention to the use of radicals for making carbon—carbon bonds. It is now becoming increasingly well appreciated that ionic or concerted reactions are not the only ones for this purpose and that free radical chemistry has a great deal to offer in this respect. In the following paragraphs we review the current status of carbon—carbon bond formation by way of free radicals.

B. Intermolecular Processes

a) Mechanistic considerations: The addition of radicals to unsaturated systems to form a new carbon-carbon bond (equ. 1) has been studied thoroughly and extensive reviews are available on this subject.¹

R+ (equ. 1)

Alkyl radicals are slightly nucleophilic entities as shown by the increased rate of addition to alkenes carrying an electron withdrawing substituent (CN, COOEt) compared with electron rich olefins.² Because of steric repulsion, intermolecular addition of a free radical to a monosubstituted olefin occurs invariably at the unsubstituted end of the double bond (equ. 2) This results in formation of the thermodynamically more stable product (Markownikoff orientation).

RCH₂-CHX $CH_2 = CHX$ R•

39

(equ. 2)

The rate of addition is dependent on the polarity of both the attacking radical and the substituent on the double bond

Radical addition reactions are highly exothermic and MINDO/2 calculations³ have shown that the transition state occurs very early on the reaction coordinate and is therefore reactant—like in character. As a consequence, delocalization of the unpaired electron in the adduct radical is of little importance in determining the orientation of addition.

b) Synthetic methods: Giese and coworkers have long recognized the potential of *intermolecular* radical additions as a method for carbon—carbon bond formation in organic synthesis (equ. 3–12). The idea is based on the fact that alkyl mercurials react with borohydride reducing agents to produce alkyl radicals⁴ that can be trapped by electron deficient olefins. In his early work, Giese converted cyclohexylmercuric acetate into cyclohexyl radicals that were captured by a variety of electron deficient alkenes⁴ (equ

3).



The success of the reaction was based on the differences in the rates of the possible pathways: these are adduct 2 formation, capture of cyclohexyl radical 1 by cyclohexyl mercuric hydride, hydrogen transfer to species 2, and formation of cyclohexyl radical 1 from 2 (β -fission). Dimerization, disproportionation, polymerization and β -

bond cleavage of adduct radical 2 evidently did not compete with hydrogen transfer⁴ to 2.

With respect to the addition of alkyl radicals to electron deficient alkenes, polar effects cause the reactivity of the radicals to be opposite to that expected on energetic grounds? In other words, while the order of *reactivity* based on the stability of alkyl radicals would be anticipated to decrease in the series $1^{\circ} > 2^{\circ} > 3^{\circ}$, the facts are that in the addition of alkyl radicals to electron deficient olefins, the order is actually: 2° radicals are more reactive than 1° radicals. The classical relationship: high reactivity – low selectivity, is also reversed: 2° radicals are more selective than 1° radicals are also more reactive towards addition. Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) theory can explain this behavior as long as steric effects do not come into play.²/⁶

Giese extended his work to the one-flask coupling of alkenes with electron poor olefins via 2-methoxyalkyl radicals⁴⁷(equ. 4).



Electron rich olefins¹ (equ.5), dienes⁹ (equ. 6) and cyclopropanes¹⁰ (equ. 7-10) were also viable substrates in this type of reaction as were maleic acid derivatives (equ. 11).¹¹



7





. Several other synthetic methods have emerged from the work of Giese. Among them, is a new δ -lactone synthesis which involves the hydromercuration of an alkene



followed³by a reductive coupling and lactomization¹³ (equ. 13).

A very useful method for the synthesis of alkaloids is the carbo-amination of a double bond. The elements of "HgCl" and "N" can be added across a double bond and, in certain cases, it is possible to couple the resulting mercurial with electron deficient olefins¹⁴ (equ. 14).



Nitrogen functionalities that were successfully added to the double bond include NO₂, N₃ and NHCOCH₃. However, reductive coupling occurred only with β -acetamido radicals ("N", NHCOCH₃).

Another procedure for construction of alkaloid skeletons makes use of the construction of alkaloid skeletons makes use of the coupling of the

45

ر آن و الح

٢



 γ -Lactones are an important structural unit in nature and it is desirable to have a variety of methods of functionalizing them. One such procedure uses a radical process and allows for carbon-carbon bond-formation¹⁶ (equ. 16).



In this case, tributyltin radicals, generated by thermal decomposition of the hydride with azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as initiator, are used to homolyse the C-X bond. The resulting radical is then captured by the electron deficient olefin.

P(-)_

Tin species are useful compounds for generation of radicals. Allyl radicals, for example, are generated conveniently by homolysis of the allylic carbon-tin bond of trialkyl-allyl tins. The process is initiated with AIBN. Alkyl halides¹⁷ (equ. 17), and selenides¹¹ (equ. 18) can then be chain-extended by a 3-carbon unit. The method is even applicable to hindered substrates such as tertiary alkyl halides and tolerates a variety of other functional groups including acetals, ketals, ethers, epoxides, lactones, hydroxyls, esters, and sulfonates





C. Intramolecular Processes

a

a) Introduction: It is conceivable that any reaction capable of forming a carboncarbon bond can be used intramolecularly to prepare cyclic compounds. This would be a very useful process as most substances that occur in nature incorporate one or more rings or heterocycles in their framework. Until recently, most of the technology available for ring closure was not of the free radical type. In principle, the addition of a radical to an unsaturated entity could be used intramolecularly to close a ring. Furthermore, since

oxygen, sulfur and nitrogen radicals can be produced quite easily a reaction of this type could be used to form heterocycles. Very few examples of such processes of a synthetically useful type have been described in the literature. One of them is the Pschorr phenanthrene synthesis (equ. 19) which proceeds via the radical mechanism shown.



When a long chain alkyl radical contains properly located unsaturation, there exists the possibility for both intermolecular and intramolecular addition, the latter leading to the formation of a ring. The intermolecular process is used industrially for making polymers. If the addition occurs intramolecularly, one can envisage two different modes of attack leading to different ring sizes. Assertiown in Scheme 1, the radical can attack the double bond at the more substituted terminus (called an *exo*-closure) to give the smaller ring with an exocyclic radical, or addition can take place at the less substituted end of the double bond (*endo*-closure) leading to a larger ring with an endocyclic radical. This type of radical closure has been known for at least 30 years to mechanistic chemists who have studied it in detail, but the reaction has received very little attention as a synchetic method.³⁴ at least until recently.

b) The hex-5-envi radical The hex-5-envi radical 3 is a good example of an acyclic unsaturated radical that undergoes clean intramolecular ring closure





 \Diamond

Several factors are involved in determining the regiochemistry of the process. On thermodynamic grounds, (2º radicals being more stable than 1º), one-would expect endoclosure to predominate This factor should be reinforced by steric effects (position 6 is less hindered than position 5) and by ring strain which are both expected to favor production of the more stable 6-membered ring (equ. 20).



Such a line of thought led early workers20 to report the ring closure of type 3 radicals to cyclohexane derivatives. However, with the advent of better analytical tools, early experiments were reinvestigated21 and found to be in error. When the hex-5-envi radical 3 was generated by decomposition of 6-heptanoyl peroxides21 or by treatment of hexene-6-thiol with triethyl phosphite,22 no products derived from endocyclic ring closure were detected (equ. 21).

48

\$1



Methylcyclopentane, the result of *exo*-closure was formed as the major product along with 1-hexene, derived by hydrogen transfer to radical 3. The ring closure was also found to be irreversible²² (equ. 22)



Clearly, the relative stability of *a* and *b* was not a determining factor in deciding the course of the reaction. Exclusive formation of the cyclopentane derivative was thought²¹ to be a consequence of complexation between the free radical and the double bond as depicted in Fig. 1. However, no acceptable explanation was given²¹ as to exactly how the complex caused the reaction to follow the *exo*-pathway.² Further evidence bearing on the matter came from the work of Julia and his colleagues. They studied the cyclization of hex-5-enyl radical derivatives such as 4²³ (equ. 23) in which X and Y are (usually) electron withdrawing groups

a



Figure 1

50

These radicals underwent cyclization in good yield and the results are shown in Table 1²³ (p. 51). The most striking feature is the *endo*-regioselectivity. The yields of cyclized products were found to increase with substitution until the terminal olefinic carbon became fully substituted (entry 4, Table 1). Such substitution caused a drastic fall in the yield of cyclized material and, more importantly, the regioselectivity was completely reversed in favor of *exo*-closure. The reaction was used in a synthetic fashion to construct decalin²⁴ (equ.24, 25) and tricyclic systems²⁵ (equ.26).



TABLE 1 CYCLIZATION OF HEX-5-ENYL RADICALS CARRYING ELECTRON WITHDRAWING SUBSTITUENTS

51





The regioselectivity of the reaction (exo- vs endo-) was altered by modifying the stability of the initial radical 4. This was done by changing the nature of substituents X and Y²⁶ (Table 2, p. 53).

An important factor in rationalizing the regiochemistry was the realization that cyclization of radicals of type 4 is reversible?⁷ (equ.27). In crucial experiments, Julia showed that both radicals 5 and 6, when prepared independently, gave the same equilibrium mixture of methylcyclopentane and cyclohexane derivatives in a ratio of 15:85. This ratio was identical to the one obtained from closure of the acyclic radical 4 (X = CN Y = COOEt; See Table 2).



5

6

(equ 27)

5,2



In other words, exocyclic closure occurs under kinetic control for the values of X, Y = H(see Table 2, entry 1). If the reaction is made reversible by stabilizing the initial radical 4 (X) = CN, Y = COOEt or Ph³⁰), products derived from the endocyclic mode are formed under thermodynamic control

It was suggested by Capon in 1964, and result of studying molecular models, that *exo*-ring closure occurs under kinetic control because the number of available conformations in which attack at position 5 is possible is greater than that for attack at position 6^{21} Subsequently. Julia and Lebel²⁷ proposed a stereochemical argument according to which cyclohexane formation is hindered by steric interaction between a hydrogen at C-2 and the *syn*-hydrogen on C-6 in the transition state leading to *endo*-ring closure²⁴ (Fig. 2). Ring closures of 1° radicals (such as 3) are not reversible and exclusive formation of exocyclic products is to be expected (see above, discussion related to equ. 27) under kinetic control.²¹

Several other isolated cases of radical cyclizations operating in the *endo*-mode are known. For example, addition of benzoyloxy radicals to farnesyl acetate results in a low yield of a *trans*-decalin system³¹ (equ. 28).



The reason for the observed regiospecificity is not known. Germacrene, when treated with this radicals undergoes cyclization with stereo- and regiospecificity similar to that observed in electrophilic cyclizations of the same substrate³² (equ. 29).



A reaction that made an indirect, but important, contribution to the study of radical closure was a procedure developed by Kuivila for homolysis of carbon-halogen bonds. It provided a clean and efficient source of radicals³³ and allowed easy access to 3. The method involves trialkyltin hydride reduction of alkyl halides (equ. 30).

*



The tin hydride reduction was applied to 6-bromo-1-hexene and the resulting radical was found³⁴ to close almost exclusively in an exocyclic fashion to give methylcyclopentane (81.1%), cyclohexane (1.6%) and 1-hexene (17.3%) (Scheme 2, p. 57). The absence of dimerization and disproportionation is a consequence of the ease of abstraction of a hydrogen atom from trialkyltin hydrides.³³ The formation of 1-hexene results from rapid hydrogen transfer to radical 3. The yield of cyclization products was found to be dependent on temperature³⁶ and tin hydride concentrations.³⁴ Similarly, the *exolendo* ratio is dependent on the temperature and the stability of radicals of type 3.³⁶

To account for preferential formation of the less stable *exo*-product, a new proposal was made that there exists a more favorable entropy of activation for production of a 5-membered ring versus a 6-membered ring ³⁷ Theoretical calculations¹⁸ estimated the difference in entropy of activation for the two processes as 3.3 cal mol⁻¹⁹ K in favor of the former. The importance of this factor was questioned³⁹ since enthalpy of activation differences between the two modes of cyclization are of far greater magnitude³⁹ (1.7 kcal/mol). The entropy difference is much too small to account for the *exo*-selectivity that is observed³⁴. The enthalpy of activation calculated³⁴ for exo- and endo-cyclic ring closure for unsaturated carbon radicals is depicted in Figure 3. From this diagram one would expect allyl, but-3-envil, and pent-4-envil radicals to undergo preferential exocyclic ring closure as the enthalpy of activation is more favourable than for *endo*-closure. Based on enthalpy considerations alone, the hex-5-envil radical can undergo closure via either more and radiotis where *n* istarger than 4 should show a preference for the endocyclic cattavay¹. Clearly, the experimental results differ from these predictions and enthalpy of activation cannot bacteriolity factor involved.

The hypothesis of Julia and Lebel, which invoked starts considerations has already been discussed for cyclication of cyane-carboxylate substituted radicals 4²⁹,⁴⁰ As shown in Figure 4 (p. 58), when applied to a simple 5-be centrical, there is seen to be a nonbonded interaction between the pseudo-axial proton at C-2 and the *syn*- proton at C-6. This destabilizes the transition state lying on the path to *endo*-cyclication. The models for these transition states are based on the structure of the hex-5-envl radical 3 which was suggested by CPH spectroscopy⁴¹ In support of these steric arguments were the measurements on *exolendo* ratios determined for a variety of substituted hex-5-envl



Scheme 2



radicals^{40 42} (Table 3, p. 59) The conclusions that can be derived from Table 3 are as follows: A syn methyl group at C-6 (as in 10, Table 3) results in total inhibition of the endo-mode of cyclization presumably because of the severe interaction with the hydrogen at C-2 (see Figure 4) Substituents at C-2 will inhibit endo-closure for⁰ the same steric reasons (see 7 and 12, Table 3). Radical 11 reacted ten times faster than the parent 3. This was attributed to the gem-dialkyl effect⁴¹ The introduction of a methyl group at C-5 favours endo- cyclization (compare 3 vs 7, 8 vs 9 and 11 vs 12, Table 3). This is because B strain⁴⁴ becomes more severe as C-5 moves toward tetrahedral geometry in the transition state. Introducing a methyl group at that position does not affect the rate of endo-cyclization but will retard exo-attack.

The steric arguments advanced by Julia and Lebel, depicted in Figure 4, fail, however, to explain the total regioselectivity towards *exo*-cyclization that is observed in the case of aryl radicals⁴³ (equ. 3.1). In this instance, there is no pseudoaxial substituent at C-2!

>



TABLE 3 CYCLIZATION OF ALKYL SUBSTITUTED 5-HEXENYL RADICALS

R

Ø



By the mid-nineteen seventies, it was finally appreciated that most of the observations could be accounted for satisfactorily by concepts of stereoelectronic

control. The structure of the transition state for alkyl radical additions to double bonds was proposed to comprise a triangular array of centers arising from the initial interaction of the semi-occupied 2p orbital with one lobe of the vagant π^* orbital ⁴³ Consequently, the transition complex is dipolar (Figure 5).

Figure 5

The degree to which this arrangement can be achieved by the three atoms involved determines the ratio of *exolendo* cyclization

These arguments proved useful in explaining a number of experiments. In each case, the extent of *exo*- or *endo*-cyclization is determined, by the relative ease of accommodating the transition state, depicted in Figure 5, for each mode. For example, the behavior of the 4-(1-cyclohexenyllbutyl⁴⁶ (equ. 32), the 3-allylhex-5-enyl⁴¹ (equ. 33) and the 2-(but-3-enyl) cyclohexyl radicals⁴⁹ (equ. 34) is considered to be under attreeoelectronic control and an examination of molecular models suggests that the planar array (Fig. 5) is not easily accessible for *endo*-closure

(equ. 32) 35% 36% 24% 4.3%


The theory also applies to cyclization of hept-6-envil (equ. 35) and oct-7-envil radicals⁴¹ (equ. 36)

Ť

Ű,

(equ. 35)

3.3



62

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

Radical ring closures also occur in cases where strained systems are generated such as in the cyclization of $2-(\Delta^3-cyclopentenyl)$ ethyl bromide⁵⁰ (equ. 37).



The theory of stereoelectronic control is applicable to the reverse process, i.e., radical fragmentations⁵¹ (equ. 38, 39)





63

The two radicals 15 and 16 undergo regiospecific fragmentation. In each case, the bond which lies most nearly parallel to the axis of the half-filled b-orbital at C-6 is cleaved preferentially. The relative stabilities of the intermediate radicate is not involved

Because 1,5-cyclization of *substituted* hex-5-envl radicals leads to exclopentane derivatives having substituents around the periphery of the ring there is the possibility of *cis*- and *trans*- isomerism. There is a relationship that links the substitution pattern of the hex-5-envl radical and that of the cyclized product. For example, 6-hepten-2-yl radicals undergo 1,5-ring closure to 1,2-disubstituted cyclopentanes in which the proportion of *cis*-isomer predominates⁵² (equ. 40-42).

(equ. 40) Major (equ 419 Major SHA.



In each case, the *cis/trans* ratio was 2.3. The preferential formation of *cis*-products has been attributed to the effects of orbital symmetry. The two transition states leading to *trans*- and *cis*-1,2-disubstituted cyclopentanes respectively are depicted in Figure 7. In the transition state for *cis*-products there is a secondary attractive interaction between the alkyl substituent and the olefinic bond. This factor does not apply to the transition state leading to *trans*-1,2-disubstituted cyclopentanes. As pointed out by Hoffmann⁵³ such interactions become important in highly exothermic processes such as radical additions to double bonds.

In the case of C-2, C-3 and C-4 substituted hex-5-envl radicals, the degree of stereoselectivity is related to the conformational preference of the substituents³⁴ as shown in equations 43-45



64

(C)



The stereoselectivity is explained by a chair-like conformation with substituents occupying *pseudo*-equatorial positions. The degree of selectivity increases as the

substituents become more bulky.

1

A set of guidelines for radical cyclizations is now available to help predict the outcome of such reactions ³⁵

Radical intramolecular additions to C=C and C=C under kinetic control occur preferentially in the exo-mode for $3 \le n \le 5$ (equ. 46).



For n larger than 5, the flexibility of the chain increases to the point where attack of the terminal unsubstituted carbon becomes preferred (as in intermolecular additions) For systems under thermodynamic control, or substituted at atom A, (equ.46), *endo* -cyclization is favoured.

- 2 Substituents on the olefinic bond retard the rate of addition at that position. This effect can lead to a reversal of regiospecificity
- 3 Sustituted hex-5-envl radicals undergo stereoselective ring closure C-1 or C-3 substituted systems give mainly *cis*-products whereas C-2 or C-4 substituted systems give mainly *trans*-products.

Other factors can modify these guidelines and a case in point is the result with the 2-(but-3-envil)cyclohexyl radical 17 (equ. 47).³⁶



(equ 47)

Radical 17 is formally a 1,2-disubstituted hex-5-envl radical. According to the guidelines. 20. where the methyl group is *cis* to the C-1 substituent and *trans* to the C-2 substituent, should be the favoured product. In the event all *cis* isomer 18 is formed preferentially. Production of 18 is explained by taking into account the steric constraints applied by the ring. These are not present in acyclic systems (Fig. 8).

Figure 8



Radical 22 allows poor overlap of the semi-occupied p orbital and the antibonding $\overline{f}(*$ orbital. When the substituent is placed in an axial position as in 23, maximum interaction occurs. Species 23 affords *cis*-fused products of which 18 (where the methyl group is *cis* to the C-1 substituent) is the major as predicted by the guidelines. It is also expected that 20 should be the major isomer when the 3-butenyl substituent is in an equatorial position as in 22. Similar results have been observed in the cyclization of the cyclopentane analogue³⁷ (equ. 48).



(equ. 48)

67

c) Intramolecular cyclization of radical's onto triple bonds. Like alkenyl radicals, alkynyl radicals can undergo exo- or endocyclic ring closure³¹ (equ. 49).



This type of radical cyclization has not been studied as extensively as its double bond counterpart. This is surprising because the process is much more useful synthetically. In the case of hex-5-envl radicals, functionality (here the C=C bond) is destroyed in the cyclization. With acetylenes, however, the carbon-carbon triple bond is converted to a double bond which is then available for further manipulation.

The factors governing cyclizations onto double bonds appear to apply to acetylenic radicals as well. Exo-cyclization is kinetically preferred over the *endo*- mode as shown in equations $50-52^{-31}$ (It is assumed that these reactions are irreversible.)



Q

(equ. 50)

68



The proportion of cyclization versus reduction is determined by the rate of hydrogen abstraction by the original radical, a factor that is controllable by the concentration of tin hydride. The radical cyclization takes place stereoselectively in the *anti*-fashion where the half-filled sp^2 hybrid orbital is situated *trans* to the added group³⁹ (equ. 53).



Y

This observation indicates that the cyclized vinylic radical does not undergo rapid -isomerization (equ. 54).



In the case of a phenyl-substituted aretylene, where stabilization occurs through delocalization of the odd electron into the benzene ring, there is evidence for the occurrence of linear 1-phenylvinylic radicals⁴⁰ (equ. 55).



The presence of equal amounts of (E) and (Z) isomers is consistent with of the intermediacy of linear vinylic radical 24.



24

Radicals are also known to undergo regiospecific exo-ring closure onto the C=N triple bond of a nitrile group ⁶¹ Hydrolysis of the intermediate imine leads to the corresponding cycloalkanone (Table 4).

Because of differences in activation energy, the intramolecular cyclization of a radical onto a C=N is slower than the corresponding cyclization onto a C=C or a $\vec{C} \equiv C^{i_2}$ (Table 5). The synthetic possibilities of δ -cyano-radicals do not appear to have been recognized.



71

a

Absolute yields of cycloalkanones were 65–75%. Radicals were generated from carboxylic acids.

TABLE 5

RATE CONSTANTS FOR CYCLIZATION OF RADICALS

ONTO VARIOUS FUNCTIONAL GROUPS

k 25°C (sec-1) k 80°C (sec-1)

		æ.				
(C≣N	4.0 x 10 ³		10		4.0 x 104
		•	•			
				÷., .,		
· · (C=C	1.1 × 10 ^s	,	1 - A	~	5.3 x 10 ^s
		•				

1.2 x 10⁵

C≡C

d) Synthetic methods. Until three years ago, there were very few examples of the use of intramolecular radical cyclizations in synthetic organic chemists. Despite the enormous potential of such reactions for the construction of complex carbon frameworks there is only a handful of examples where the methodology was incorporated as the crucial step of a synthesis. The synthesis of sativene and copacamphene⁴³ (equ. 56) and of dihydroagarofuran⁴⁴ (equ. 57) are the only examples known to us in which carbocyclic rings are formed from olefinic radicals.



Due to their regioselectivity, stereoselectivity and efficiency, radical ring closure reactions have become increasingly more attractive as a mean of preparing cyclic compounds. This potential, however, was not realized until two years ago when a large number of publications started to appear on the subject. At that time we had already initiated our research in the area and the second chapter of this thesis deals with our results.⁶³ The little that was published before our work and what has been done since will first be discussed briefly.

73

i) Formation of heterocycles by radical closure onto double bonds. In 1981, an intramolecular radical cyclization was reported** in the form of a new strategy for construction of β -lactam derivatives (Table 6). Exocyclic closure was the preferred route when the olefinic substituent, R, was capable of delocalizing the free spin *? Methods for construction of alkaloid skeletons have also been developed based on

the cyclization of α -acylamino radicals to indolizidine and pyrrolizidines⁴: (Scheme 3) Substitution of the double bond allows control of *exolendo*- regioselectivity

Scheme 3





An intramolecular version of the Giese reaction (see under intermolecular radicaladditions) leads to structurally related systems via reductive coupling of alkyl mercurials with electron deficient olefins⁴⁹ (equ. 58).



Several procedures have been devised for preparation of γ -lactones via homolytic cyclizations. Two of them make use of unsaturated bromoacetals⁷⁰, ⁷¹ (equ 59, 60) while a third yields trichlorinated γ -butyrolactones from esters of trichloroacetic acid⁷² (equ 61)



These procedures are useful as they allow for the facile generation of quaternary centers. Such bond formation in polar reactions is strongly affected by steric hindrance and skeletal rearrangements. The high regio- and stereoselectivity observed in most

cases permits induction of a new chiral center in the lactones.

2.4

Ð.

We have developed an entirely different approach to the synthesis of γ -lactones⁶³ and our results are presented in the next section of this chapter. An interesting approach to the control of regioselectivity in radical cyclizations involves the use of a properly situated leaving group⁷³ (equ. 62).



Extrusion of the relatively stable PhS radical is an extra driving force for exocyclic ring closure.

ð,

ii) Formation of *carbocycles* by radical closure onto double bonds. Enones have been used as substrates, in an intramplecular version of the Giese reaction, to prepare carbocycles⁷⁴ (equ. 63). The process is not efficient when guaternary centers are generated. In such cases, reduction without cyclization and reductive elimination back to the alkene are the main processes.



Intramolecular radical cyclizations can be used to build structurally complex molecules from relatively simple precursors as exemplified by a new synthetic route to perhydroindanes⁷⁵ (Scheme 4).





Vinyl radicals can be generated cleanly by tin hydride reduction of vinyl halides. They undergo intramolecular cyclizations to products in which functionality is still present. Substitution of the substrate again allows control of regioselectivity¹⁴ (Table 7). The first entry in Table 7 shows that the reaction is applicable to the generation of quaternary centers.

e

Intramolecular vinylic radical cyclizations have also been used for preparation of masked 1,4-diketones and giberillic acid skeletons?? (equ. 65, 66).



iii) Formation of heterocycles by radical closure onto triple bonds. The cyclization of a bromoacetal onto a triple bond has been used to generate vinyl radicals that were further cyclized onto a double bond⁷⁸ (equ. 67).



TABLE 7 CYCLIZATION OF VINYL RADICALS

1

This ring closure reaction has been further developed into a furan synthesis? (equ.

* 68)

79



3.

Easy entry into substituted furans is thus gained.

The reduction of alkyl halides by cobaloxime is known to proceed via electrontransfer¹⁹ and a new route to α -methylene- γ -lactones is based on the cobaloxime induced cyclization of acetylenic ethers¹⁰ (Scheme 5) and acetals¹¹ (Scheme 6). α -Acylamino radicals undergo radical cyclization onto double bonds (see Scheme 3, p. 73). These radicals also undergo closure onto substituted triple bonds¹² (equ.69, 70). The reaction is used to construct alkaloid frameworks





The new methodology for the preparation of β -lactams discussed with respect to Table 6 has been modified by replacing the double bond by a triple bond. This allows entry into 1-oxacephem and 1-oxahomócephem systems. Proper choice of the acetylenic substituent allows control of regioselectivity¹³ (equ. 71, 72).



iv) Formation of carbocycles by cyclization onto triple bonds. The synthetic utility of cyclization of carbon radicals onto carbon-carbon triple bonds for making carbocycles has not been properly appreciated and very few examples are known. (See Buchi's synthesis of β -agarofuran,⁴⁴ equ. 73).



We have developed a general methodology that involves closure of a carbon radical onto a carbon-carbon triple bond and which takes advantage of the fact that ω hydroxy-acetylene and nitrile compounds are easy to make. This work is described in the discussion section.

Anion radical closures. A process that is closely related to the formation of carbocycles described above was first reported by Stork in 1965. It involves lithium-ammonia induced reductive cyclization of δ -acetylenic ketones¹⁴ (equ. 74). The reaction is thought to proceed via radical anions.



Using naphthalene radical anions, Pradhan and coworkers have undertaken a thorough study of this reaction.¹³ The intramolecular radical cyclization of δ -acetylemic, and ethylenic-keto-steroids gave 5 β -hydroxy steroids via exclusive *exo*-cyclization (equ. 75).



The regiospecific formation to the product was associated with an early transition state. The stereospectrum of a graduate graduate steroids are formed was

83

regarded as a consequence of preferential axial bond formation by the ketyl radical anion derived from the ketone (Fig. 9)



es?

Along the same lines, Corey has developed a new five-membered ring annulation " It involves treatment of ketones with zinc-chlorotrimethylsilane. This combination is a assumed to generate a radical that then undergoes, cyclization onto an unsaturated appendage (Table 8). The reaction tolerates a variety of functional groups. It is not definitely proven that the mechanism involves C-OSiMe₃ radicals rather than C-OSiMe₃ anions.



II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Introduction

As part of an ongoing research program aimed at the development of new methods for making carbon-carbon bonds.¹⁹ it was thought that radical ring closure reactions could provide a unique route to cyclic compounds. For a process of this type to be of synthetic value, readily available materials have to be used as substrates. For that reason we focussed our initial efforts on ways of elaborating alkenes into compounds suitable for radical cyclization.

In order to carry out a radical closure, one must generate a radical site in the presence of a pendant group that contains suitably located unsaturation, such as a double or a triple bond. The original concept was to take an olefin, and by means of well established technology, attach to it two units (Scheme 7). One of the units is a group X that would have to be chosen such that the bond between carbon and X can be broken in a homolytic fashion. The second unit is a pendant group containing a property positioned multiple bond.

Scheme 7

B. Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation by Radical Ring Cloaure of β -(Phenyl-selenc)esters; A New Method for the Preparation of γ -Lactones

a) Preparation of β -(phenylseleno)esters: Because of our experience with selenium chemistry, we examined the possibility of using the phenylseleno molety as a potential X group in Scheme 7. It was known that the carbon-selenium bond can be broken very easily with tin radicals generated by the AIBN-initiated thermal decomposition of triphenyltin hydride.¹⁰ This radical chain-reaction affords alkyl radicals cleanly and efficiently (Scheme B) and has become the method of choice for the reductive removal of selenium from organic molecules.¹⁰

Scheme 8



Most methods for the addition of a phenylseleno group to a double bond involve electrophilic ionic addition of PhSeX (where X is Cl, Br) to the alkene. The reaction proceeds via episelenirium ions and gives *trans*-adducts² (equ. 75).



If a nucleophile is present, it can sometimes be incorporated into the final product (equ

76).

77



Nucleophiles that have been successfully employed include acetate," trifluoroacetate," azide ions " and methanol." On the basis of these known reactions, we set out to attach an unsaturated ester unit and a phenylseleno group to a double bond as described in equation



Two factors had to be taken into consideration before the methodology of equ. 77, was adopted. First, the addition of benzeneselenenyl chloride across a double bond is a reversible process.³³ Therefore, the unsaturation present the pendant group had to be deactivated to prevent reaction with benzeneselenenyl chloride. α , β -Unsaturated esters satisfied this requirement and in no cases could we detect any products derived from addition of the electrophile to the double bond of the ester.

Secondly, in order to introduce an ester unit in a β -position with respect to the phenylseleno group, an (E)-2-butenoate entity that was nucleophilic enough to react with

adduct 25 was necessary. To this and, the banzaneselenanyl chiloride adduct of cyclonexene was treated with (E)-2-butenoic acid and several of its selts (Table 9).

It is apparent that both (E)-2-butanoic acid and its sodium salt ware ineffective for producing ester 26. The thallium salt provided some of the desired material in modest yield, but silver (E)-2-butanoate proved to be the reagent of choice.

The experimental procedure for making *B*-tohenviselenojestars consists of a adding a given alkene to a dichloromethane solution of benzeneselenenvil chloride. Formation of the corresponding *trans-* adduct is easily observed by disappearance of the orange color that is characteristic of selenenvil halides. The adduct is not isolated but the solution is diluted with dry acetonitrile and silver (*E*)-2-butenoate is added. Because of the two-phase nature of this reaction, the use of a sonic bath for mixing led to improved wilds over conventional magnetic stirring. Of course, the experiments have to be carried out with protection from light in order to prevent decomposition of the silver salt. Simple filtration and chromatography affords the desired esters. A number of these preparations were carried out and the results are shown in Table 10. The Table reveals that yields of β -(phenylselenolesters are generally good. The reaction is not limited to (*E*)-2-butenoic acid. Entries B and 9 show that silver propenoate can be used and the corresponding esters are obtained with comparable ease. Also included in Table 10 (entry 10) is the preparation of an iodeester according to the literature procedure.¹⁹ It was made in order to compare the ring closure of an iodee with that of a selenide.

All of our β - (phenylselend)esters have clean *trans*-stereochemistry as expected from literature¹²,¹³ analogy.⁴ The *trans*-stereochemistry for most of the adducts in Table 10 was derived from the coupling constant between the -CH-SePh and the -CH-OOC protons. In each case, its value was greater than 7 Hz.

*The structure of adducts and the *trans*-stereochemistry were derived from ³H NMR analysis. Among the features present in the ³H NMR spectra were resonances at δ 1.85 (dd, J = 10.0,2.0 Hz, 3H), 5.8(dq, J = 16; 2 Hz, 1H) and 6.9 (dq, J = 16, 7 Hz, 1H) characteristic of the (*E*)-2-butenoic segment, -OC-CH=CHCH₃. The proton attached to the carbon bearing the selenium atom, -CH-SePh, resonated at δ 3.10-3.70 ppm, depending on the structure of the starting olefin. This range is in agreement with values reported for β -(phenylseleno) esters of acetic acid.* Similarly, the proton attached to the carbon bearing the ester functionality, -CH-OCO-, was observed at δ 4.3-5.2 ppm.* The vinylic protons of propenoate esters 33, 34. Table 10), -O-C-CH=CH₃, had characteristic resonances at δ 5.75 (dd, J = 10, 2 Hz, 1H), 6:00 (dd, J = 17, 10 Hz, 1H).





With a preparation of the S-tohinylectenolectors available we were now ready to study the generation of the radical site by homolysis of the carbon-selenken bond and to examine conditions for the cyclication.

N.

Section of β -loberly is landesters: Treatment of β -loberly is lendesters otherwith hydride in the presence of an initiator (AIBN) was expected to the carbon-selenium bond and produce radical 38 (Soberne 9), which

is noped would undergo ring closure vis exa- or endo-attack (path a and/b respectively) followed by rapid hydrogen transfer by triphenyltin hydride to give y-lactones 37 br. 8 lactones 38, in the event, when a dilute benzene solution of B-tphenylselenolester 26 (ce. 0.02 Mi was treated simultaneously with benzene solutions of a catalytic amount/of AIBN and a small excess (1.1 - 1.2 equivalents) or triphenyltin hydride, radical 36 was generated cleanly and underwant exclusive closure to the 5-membered lactones 37. Isomeric δ lactones 38 and ester 39 (product of hydrogen transfer to radical 36) could not be detected in the reaction mixture (TLC, VPC, "H NMR;""C NMR). The absence of ester 39 is a consequence of the experimental procedure that was designed for these cyclizations: Use of dilute substrate solutions (0.02 M) and slow addition of the reagents over 15 h by means of a syringe pump ensured that no exess of triphenyltin hydride was present in the reaction mixture. Both factors maximize the extent of cyclization of radical 36 prior to. hydrogen transfer. The presence of a radical initiator was found to be essential. Use of a higher temperature (through use of toluene as solvent) resulted in a decrease in the yield of cyclized products. Extrusion of (E)-2-butenoyl radicals from 36 (i.e. B-fission) was not observed (Scheme 9) Experiments of this sort were carried out on all substrates of Table 10 and the results are shown in Table 11.

Examination of Table 11 reveals that all β -(phenylsenelo)esters (26 - 34) and iodo ester 35 underwent exclusive exo-ring closure to mixtures of γ -lactones. Yields were generally 60-80% except for propendate esters (33, 34) which gave poor results.

c) Identification of γ -lactones from β -(phenylseleno)ester cyclizations: Scheme 9 showed that two sets of products can arise, at least in principle, from cyclization of radical 36: γ -lactones from exocyclic closure and δ -lactones from the





See text for evidence to support stereochemical assignments.

d lodoester

> . ñ

endo-pathway

4: Z

The two classes can be differentiated easily by their infrared absorption: $\gamma = 1500 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ whereas the $\delta = 1000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ success the $\delta = 1000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ success the second classes are band near 1730 cm⁻¹. Our cyclication products absorp at 1760 \rightarrow 1770 cm⁻¹, and are clearly $\gamma = 1000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$.

The relative stereochemical relation between the substituents around the periphery of the 5-membered ring was more difficult to astallish. City lectones 48," 46," and 47" were known compounds. In each case, the spectral characteristics of our materials were very close to those reported in the literature (see Experimental Section).

In the case of lactones 41a and 41b, authentic samples were prepared¹⁴¹ (equ. 78) and found to be identical with the products of the cyclistion of ester 27.



Lactones 40, 42, 43 and 44 were identified by comparison of their spectral characteristics with those of structurally related compounds and will be dealt with separately.

Lactones 40, 41 and 46 were all *cis*-fused and were mixtures of *exo-* and *endo*isomers (Table 11). The ring fusion geometry was determined by ¹HNMR (400 MHz). In *cis-* 2-oxabicyclo[4.3.0]nonan-3-one systems, the ¹HNMR signal of -CH-O occurs at δ 4.3 - 4.65 ¹⁹¹ For *trans*-ring fusion the signal is at δ 3.60-3.78¹⁹¹,¹⁹² (Table 12). For *cis*fused 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2-one, the corresponding signal is at δ 5.0.¹⁹³ (The formation of a *trans*-ring fused 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-2-one is very difficult to Influence." Examination of Table 12 reveals that sectores 40. At and 46 all without change wifts characteristic of a/a-ring fusion.

The starspohenistry at C-3 4.s. exclende ratio in lectones deb (fable (1) was established by spectral comparison thith the published* 14 NMR date on deb

Differentiation astronen exo- and endo-leomers die and die (Table 1.1) was made on the basis of 11°C NMR measurgments and equilibration experiments on autoentic samples of the individual companies of obtained by preparative VFC table Experiments (Educed When lectones 41e or 41b were refluxed in tetrain in the presence of anhydrous potassium hydrogen carbonate, isomerization occurred to an equilibrium mixture containing 41e and 41b in a ratio (VPC) of 1:0.33 (equ. 79).



The thermodynamically more stable product was assigned structure 41a exostereochemistry) on the basis of a non-bonded interaction present in the endo-isomer (Figure 10). Further support for the assignment is found in the ¹³C NMR spectra of the individual isomers. For exo-compound 41a the sum of ¹³C chemical shifts of the methylene carbons should be higher than in the corresponding endo-isomer 41b.¹⁰ The observed values for \sum_{12} are 1.16.0 ppm and 102.5 ppm for 41a and 41b, respectively, in agreement with the assignment.

Radical cyclization of β -(phenylseleno)esters 30 and 31 (derived from (E) and (Z)-2-butene; respectively) afforded a mixture of four isomeric lactones 44a-d in almost identical ratios. The stereochemistries shown in Table 11 were assigned, tentatively, by


Hore Stable

0

EXO 410

comparison with published^{**} detailed ¹H NMR data on the four isomeric 2.3.4-trimethylbutyrolactones 47a-d. Similarly, lactones 43a-d were tentatively assigned the stereochemistries shown (Table 11) by comparison with lactones 44a-d and 47a-d

ENDO 416

ess Stable

Cyclization of β -(phenylseleno)ester 28 gave a mixture of four isomeric bicyclic lactones 42a-d (Table 11). By analogy with lactones 40, 41 and 46, the H NMR chemical shift of -CH-O (Table 12) was used to determine the stereochemistry at the ring junction. Isomers 42a and 42c having resonances at higher field than 42b and 42d, were assigned a *trans*-ring fusion geometry and the latter pair a *cis*-geometry. The stereochemistry at C-3 was tentatively assigned by comparison with lactones 43, 44 and 47.

Form IR, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR measurements.

d) Theoretical aspects:

i) Regiochemical outcome. Radicals structurally related to the 5-hexenyl radical can undergo ring closure via two different modes as depicted in Scheme 10. Exoclosure leads to the formation of a five-membered lactone whereas endo-cyclization gives a 6-membered lactone. Both processes are allowed by the Baldwin rules.¹⁶¹ All experiments listed in Table 11 give exclusive 5-exo-ring closure.

We established that radical 49 is not formed under equilibrium conditions by isomerization of radical 50. To prove this point, α –(phenylseleno)lactone 51 (p. 100) was



99

prepared and reduced with triphenyltin hydride, under conditions identical to those used for radical cyclizations. Radical 52 was generated cleanly and it led to lactone 53 without rearrangement to 54 (Scheme 11). This result implies that *exo*-closure of radical 36 (Scheme 10) is kinetically controlled and does not result from thermodynamic equilibrium between *exo*- and *endo*-radicals (49 and 50 respectively).

The regiospecific intramolecular attack of the radical at the α -position of the α , β -unsaturated ester is, in some respects, unusual: It contrasts with *intermolecular* radical additions to (*E*)-2-butenoate esters which are known to proceed almost exclusively at the β -position¹⁰⁶ (equ 80).

z٠ OOR COOR (equ. 80)



Like the 5-hexenyl radical 3 (p.47), the present reaction shows a reversal of the regiochemistry associated with corresponding intermolecular processes. Resonance stabilization of radicals such as 50 (Scheme 10) by delocalization through electron withdrawing groups is not very important⁴ because the transition state occurs at an early stage along the reaction coordinate. Therefore such delocalization should not provide a significant driving force for *endo*-cyclization. The factors responsible for the *exo*-preference in radical closure of the 5-hexenyl radical 3 must also operate in the present case. The kinetic preference for formation of 5-membered rings is probably a consequence of stereoelectronic control in the transition state.³⁴,³⁶,¹⁰⁷ According to this

hypothesis, attack of a radical on a double bond follows the pathway shown in Fig. 5, and this preferred pathway is more readily accommodated by the transition state leading to exocyclic products (Fig. 1.1).

Figure 11



ii) Relative stereochemistry of peripheral substituents: Beckwith proposed guidelines to help predict the relative stereochemistry of substituents in products derived from cyclization of substituted 5-hexenyl radicals.¹⁴ They are summarized again for convenience in Figure 12. The information in Fig. 12 reveals that C-1 and C-3 substituted 5-hexenyl radicals give predominantly *cis*-disubstituted cyclopentanes whereas C-2 and C-4 substituted 5-hexenyl radicals favour the formation of *trans*-disubstituted products.

The 2-(but-3-envl)cyclohexyl radical 17 (p. 66) is formally a 1,2-disubstituted radical. The theory of stereoelectronic control was used to explain the preferred



formation of product 1834 (equ. 47) This is shown again as equ. 81.



The formation of 18 was considered to be favoured by a better overlap of the semi^{\pm} occupied *p* orbital and the antibonding **f** * orbital in a transition state conformation in which the substituent is in an axial position (Fig. 8, p. 67).³⁶

The same arguments can be used to justify the formation of the major products in the cyclization of radicals derived from esters 26, 27, 28, 33 and 35, all of which can be considered as 1,2-disubstituted 5-hexenyl radicals. Examination of Table 11 reveals that in all cases, the favoured lactone has the relative stereochemistry indicated in Fig. 13 (p. 104).

The preferred transition state conformations involved in 5-exo cyclization of radicals such as 26, 27,28, 33 and 35 are depicted in Fig. 14 (p. 104).

Inspection of models shows that the required transition state for *exo*-cyclization (Fig. 5, p. 60) is more readily attained in the conformation leading to product 55 and hence, the relative stereochemistry of the three asymmetric centers of the major isomer corresponds to that observed in carbocyclic systems.⁵⁴ As pointed out by Beckwith.⁵⁴ the departure from the guidelines in the case of radical 17 is attributable to additional constraints imposed by the presence of a ring.

The radicals derived from esters 29, 30, 31 and 34 (Table 1) are 1,2-disubstituted acyclic 5-hexenyl radicals. Therefore, they should conform to the guidelines according to which the major product should be 57 where the new substitutent resulting from cyclization is disposed *cis* to the formal C-1 substituent and *trans* to the C-2 substituent. Conversely, the minor isomer should have the relative configuration 58 (Fig. 15). Also shown in Fig. 15 are the major, 59 and minor, 60 isomers observed in our cyclization





reactions. It is evident that our system does not obey the Beckwith guidelines. The observed major isomer 59 (= 58) is actually the one which is predicted to be formed in the least amount. One possible reason for the discrepancy is the constraint imposed on on the system by the ester unit which has to remain planar in the transition state. One must therefore exercise caution when applying the guidelines to systems containing heteroatoms and other functionality.

iii) Nature of ring fusion geometry: In cases where radical closure generates bicyclic systems, the ring fusion geometry requires comment. As shown in Table 13 (p. 106), [3.30] and [4.3.0] systems are generated with *cis*-ring fusion geometry whereas [5.3.0] systems exhibit both *cis*- and *trans*-ring fusion.

It is apparent that ring fusion geometry depends on the size of the ring that is initially present. When a 5-membered ring is being formed onto a preexisting 5- or 6-



membered ring, the approach vector of the radical onto the olefinic unit of the pendant can be achieved much more easily via a transition state that leads to *c/s*—ring fusion. When the initial ring is larger, as in the case of a 7—membered ring, this is no longer true and both transition states are energetically accessible. Similar phenomena are observed in the wellknown halolactonization reaction¹⁴⁴ (Table 14). The strain involved in the' formation of a *trans*—ring fused [3.3.0] system¹⁴⁴ is probably responsible for the exclusive formation of *cis*—fused products in both radical cyclizations and halolactonizations, but the factors involved in the case of [4.3.0] and [5.3.0] systems remain uncertain.¹⁴⁴

C. Attempted Cyclization of β -(Phenylseleno)amides

ŝ

a) Introduction: It was of interest to find out if the radical cyclization reaction described above could be applied to the preparation of nitrogen beterocycles such as γ - lactams. Starting from alkenes, the methodology would require the attachment of "PhSe-NHCOCH=CHCH₃" across the double bond followed by radical induced cyclization (equ. 82).



b) Preparation of β -(phenylseleno)amides: Very few reactions are known that will allow the addition of "PhSe" and a nitrogen nucleophile across the double bond of an alkene¹¹⁰ One of these that was of interest to us was a new method for the addition of "PhSe" and "CH₃CONH" to olefins¹¹¹ (equ. 83).

107



In this reaction, acetonitrile behaves as a nucleophile. Intermediate immochloride, 61, is then hydrolyzed to the amide. If 2-butenenitrile, 62 was to be used as the nucleophile, the end product, 63 should be of the type required for radical cyclization (equ.84),



In the event, when cyclohexene was treated with benzeneselenenyl chloride in the presence of a 2-Butenenitrile, 62 and the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with aqueous trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, the desired β -(phenylseleno)amide was isolated in 55% yield (Z)-2-Butene also gave the corresponding amide, 64 in 36% yield (equ. 85).*



c) Attempted cyclization of β -(phenylseleno)amides: The next stage of the reaction involved generation of a radical by homolysis of the carbon-selenium bond. To

* The structure of amides 63 and 64 was determined on the basis of their spectral properties (IR, ¹H NIMR, ¹³C NIMR), mass spectra and elemental analyses. The ¹H NIMR was most diagnostic with resonances characteristic of the (*E*)-2-butenois segment at δ 1.80(dd, J = 7; 2 Hz, 3H), 5.70(dq, J = 15, 2 Hz, 1H) and 6.80 (dq, J = 15, 7 Hz, 1H). The - CH-SePh protons were at δ 3.06 and 3.53 ppm for 63 and 64 and the -CH-NHCO protons at δ 3.86 and 4.35 ppm. In both cases, the NH proton could be seen at δ 5.67 ppm as a broad singlet. Both the exact mass spectra and the combustion analyses agreed with the predicted molecular formulas.

this end, β -phenyleelenolamide 63 was treated with a catalytic amount of AIBN and a small excess of triphenyltin hydride under conditions identical to those used for the formation of lactones from β -(phenylseleno)esters. However, the only product formed, 65, was that derived from simple reduction without cyclization (equ. 86).



Similarly, 64 gave only the reduction product 66 (equ. 87)+



The failure of β -(phenylseleno)amides 65 and 66 to undergo radical cyclization may be due to a change in orientation of the pendant group. The ester linkage of β -(phenylseleno)esters must assume a conformation such that the carbon-carbon double bond is brought into proximity to the radical site and interaction between the half-filled ρ

*The identities of 65 and 66 were determined by ¹H NMR analysis and confirmed by exact mass measurements, elemental analysis, IR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Both 65 and 66 retained ¹H NMR resonances characteristic of the (£)2--butenoic segment at δ 1.85 (dd, J = 7/2 Hz, 3H), 5.80 (dq, J = 15, 2 Hz, 1H) and 6.85 (dq, J = 15, 7 Hz, 1H). The --CH--NHCO protons were still present at δ 3.86 and 3.98 ppm respectively for 65 and 66, as was the NH proton at δ 5.4-5.5 ppm. The resonances characteristic of --CH--SePh had disappeared indicating removal of the phenylseleno group. In the case of cyclohexylamide 65, the new symmetry of the molecule was revealed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum which showed only 8 resonances as opposed to ten in the original adduct 63. The presence of an α , β --unsaturated amide was confirmed by infrared absorptions at 3438 (NH), 3310 (NH), 1673 (C=O), 1633 (C=C) and 966 cm⁻¹ (C=C) in both 65 and 66.

(equ. 86)

orbital and the antibonding T(* orbital can occur (Fig. 16, a). The barrier between c/s and trans conformation of esters is low (1-3 kcal/mol).112

111

Figure 16

a

As in the case of peptides)¹¹² the preferred conformation of amides is one in which the carbonyl oxygen is antiperiplanar to the hydrogen atom on the nitrogen. This brings the double bond out of reach of the p orbital at the radical site (Fig. 16, b) and the cyclization is no longer possible. Hydrogen transfer becomes the main process (Scheme 12) because the energy barrier (unlike the case with esters) between *c/s* and *trans* conformations is high (18-25 kcal/mol).¹¹²

D. Formation of Carbocycles by Radical Cyclization

a) Introduction

The first part of this work (p. 87-111) illustrates the concept of taking an olefin and, by means of an ionic process, attaching to it two units so chosen that a radical site could be generated from one of them while the other contained a suitably located multiple bond onto which the radical can cyclize. The idea was developed into a new method for preparation of γ -lactones. Our results suggested that this methodology would be very useful if applied to the formation of carbocycles (Scheme 13).

Of the two reactions shown, the second one, cyclization onto a digonal carbon should be synthetically more useful because once the process has occurred, ozonolysis of the resulting double bond would serve to generate a carbonyl group, which can be



Scheme 13





manipulated in a variety of ways. Even though there exists the possibility for both *exo*and *endo-* modes of cyclization, only the former is expected to occur based on mechanistic work described in the introduction to this chapter. The reaction would therefore provide an entry to 5-membered rings (starting from 5-hexenyl radicals). If 6heptynyl radicals are generated, then 6-membered rings would be expected. Such reactions are of obvious synthetic utility. The use of easily accessible substrates for cyclization was, of course, important in order to make the process versatile.

As in the cyclization of β -lphenylseleno)esters, the first step was to attach two units to an appropriate starting material. One unit would serve to generate a radical and the other would be a carbon chain containing a properly positioned \mathcal{T} -system. We expected that alkylation technology would provide an efficient and versatile method for introduction of the unsaturated carbon chain. Ketones and epoxides could then serve as starting materials (Scheme 14) depending on whether the alkylating agent was an electrophile or a nucleophile.

Scheme 14



As shown in Scheme 14, the hydroxyl group has to serve as a precursor to the radical and this choice was made because several methods are available for generating carbon radicals from alcohols. Conversion to a halide and homolysis of the carbon-

halogen bond with tin radicals is an obvious procedure and has, in fact, been used in most mechanistic studies (see Introduction). However, alkyl halides are often unstable and are sometimes difficult to prepare.

Recently, Barton¹¹³ developed a procedure for homolytic cleavage of carbonoxygen bonds in secondary alcohols (Scheme 15) and this method appeared ideal for our purposes. It involves esterification of an alcohol with 1,1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole 67 under mild and neutral conditions. The resulting alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 68 are stable and easily purified by chromatography. Treatment with tin radicals results in clean homolysis of the carbon-oxygen bond.

Scheme 15



This reaction is applicable only to secondary alcohols. Tertiary alcohols do not react with 1,1-thiocarbonylbisimidazole 67 and the sulfur derivatives of primary alcohols, though easily formed, undergo cleavage to alkoxy instead of carbon radicals. Alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles such as 68, are not the onlý sulfur derivatives useful in alcohol deoxygenations¹¹⁴ but they proved eminently suitable for our purposes.

b) Carbocycles by Cyclization onto C=C;

i) Results and Discussion

The use of epoxides as starting materials was investigated first. As shown in Scheme 16, treatment of cyclohexene oxide with the appropriate unsaturated Grignard reagent should give alcohol 69. Esterification with 67 would then afford alkoxythio-carbonylimidazole 70 which is properly constituted to undergo the radical cyclization.

Scheme 16



We tested the suitability of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles for the ring closure with compound 70 and we made this choice because it is known that radical 71, made in a different way, undergoes closure in good yield¹¹³ In the event, when cyclohexene oxide was treated with the Grignard reagent formed from 4-bromo-1-butene in ether, the desired alcohol, 69, could not be isolated. Various temperatures in the range, -60 to +25°C and other solvents, such as dioxane and THF, were examined but without success. Consequently, epoxides were rejected as potential starting materials. Admittedly, an exhaustive investigation was not made, but our aim at this stage was to examine the chemistry of thiocarbonylimidazolides.

Alkylation technology would be expected to provide ready access to δ -alkenois such as 73 (equ. 88)



116

and we prepared 2-(3-butenyl)-cyclohexanone 72 by alkylation of N-cyclohexylidenecyclohexanamine 74 (Scheme 17). Reduction of the ketone with lithium aluminum hydride gave alcohols 73a and 73b in 72% yield overall from the imine 74.

The mixture of cis and trans alcohols 73ab was esterified with 1,1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole 67 to give alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 75ab, and radical 71 was generated cleanly upon treatment with triphenyltin radicals. It underwent regioselective closure to octahydroindenes 76ab (67.7% yield) A small amount (1.4%) of trans-decahydronaphthalene 77b was also formed cis-Decahydronaphthalene 77a and the product 78 of hydrogen transfer to radical 71 were not detected (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, VPC). The absence of 78 is a consequence of the experimental procedure that we have followed. This involves slow addition of the reagents over ca. 15 h and the use of dilute solutions. As in: the tin hydride reduction of 2-(3-butenyl)-1-chloro-cyclohexane;115 radical 71 underwent almost exclusive (98%) 5-exo-closure 6-Endo-products were present only in trace amounts (<2%). These results have been rationalized in terms of stereoelectronic control (see introduction, p. 66). As expected, the products derived from 5-exo-closure, 76ab, had cis-ring fusion geometry. The corresponding trans-ring fused octahydroindenes were present only in trace amounts (<1%). Similar behavior was observed in the lactonization experiments when a 5-membered ring was being formed on a pre-existing 6-membered ring

The octahydroindenes 76 were produced as a mixture of exo- and endo- isomers in a ratio of 1.2.* This observation is consistent with Beckwith's results which were

2-(3-butenyl)-1-chlorocyclohexane.¹¹⁷ trans-Decahydronaphthalene 77b was identified by comparison with an authentic sample.

^{*} The assignment was by analogy to the ring closure of 71 der der de from



discussed earlier (p. 66).

Having established the use of secondary hydroxyl groups as a source of radicals in ring closure reactions, it was necessary to test the concept with several other substrates in order to demonstrate its generality as a synthetic method.

When applied to acyclic substrates, the reaction leads to the formation of substituted cyclopentanes (Scheme 18). Alcohol 79 was formed in about 54% yield from *n*-octanal. After esterification to alkoxythiocarbonylimidazole 80 (73%), radical 81 was generated in the usual manner and cyclization occurred to give a 63.5% yield of *c/s-* and *trans-*1-heptyl-2-methyl-cyclopentanes 82. Ring closure again took place exclusively via the 5-*exo-* mode. Compound 82 was obtained as a mixture of isomers in a ratio of 1.2.5. The relative stereochemistry could not be assigned on spectroscopic grounds. However, radical 81 is a C-1 substituted 5-hexenyl radical and, according to Beckwith's guidelines, the major isomer is expected to have *c/s-*stereochemistry.

To investigate the formation of [3.3.0] systems we attempted to prepare 2-(3-butenyl)cyclopentanone 83 by alkylation of cyclopentanone. When imine 84 was deprotonated (equ. 89) and treated with 4-bromo-1+butene under conditions that were successful in the case of the 6-membered derivative, no alkylation products were isolated.



Alkylation of ketoester 85 on the other hand was easily carried out (Scheme 19) following a procedure described in the literature ¹⁴⁶ The ketoester 86 was then reduced to





the corresponding alcohol 87 (as a mixture of isomers) and esterified to alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 88 (also as a mixture of isomers). Treatment with triphenyltin hydride in the presence of AIBN gave radical 89. The radical underwent ring closure to the pentalene derivative 90 in 64% yield (Scheme 19). The product was formed as a 1.6-1mixture of isomers, reflecting a certain degree of stereoselectivity. The only type of cyclization detectable by ¹H NMR (400 MHz) was the 5-*exo*-mode. The *cis*-ring fusion geometry of compound 90 was assigned on the basis of the strain involved in making*trans*-fused [3.3.0] systems.¹⁰⁹ This experiment also demonstrates that the tin radical induced cyclization is compatible with the ester functionality.

In the next example, we demonstrated that hydroxyl groups also do not interfere in the ring closure (Scheme 20). Condensation of 2-chloro-cyclohexanone 91 with 3-butenylmagnesium bromide gave the chlorohydrin 92 in 30% yield. Homolysis of the carbon-chlorine bond with tri-n-butyltin radicals in the usual way gave 93 which underwent regiospecific closure to the octahydroindenol 94 in 49% yield. The product was formed as a 2.1 mixture of compounds isomeric at C-1. The moderate yield was due to difficulties in separating the cyclized material from tin-containing side products (such as hexabutylditin and tri-n-butyltin chloride). The two isomers could not be differentiated on the basis of their spectroscopic properties but, by analogy with the 2-(3-butenyl)cyclohexyl radical, one expects the major isomer to have the C-1 methyl group in the *endo*-orientation (i.e. *trans* to the hydroxyl group).

ii) Conclusion

60' + 4

In the cases studied, cyclization was found to occur exclusively in the *exo*-mode. This is a consequence of the structure of the transition state for alkyl radical additions to double bonds (Fig. 5, p. 60). In each example, the required alignment of atoms is more readily accommodated by a transition state leading to *exo*-products. All bicyclic products that were formed by closure of a 5-membered ring onto a pre-existing 5- or 6-membered ring had *cis*-ring fusion geometry. In the case of octahydroindene derivatives with a methyl substituent at C-1, the stereochemistry at that position could not be determined on spectroscopic grounds. However, by analogy to the 2-(3-butenyl)cyclohexyl radical, it can be assumed that the major isomer is the one bearing the C-1

121



122

substituent in the endo- conformation (i.e. trans to the adjacent bridgehead hydrogen). The degree of stereoselectivity at the 1-position varied with the structure of the 5-hexenyl radical.

It is clear that cyclization of radicals onto double bonds is a process that may be synthetically useful. In each reaction, a carbon-carbon bond is formed regiospecifically.

One feature inherent in this type of reaction, and one that presents a disadvantage, is the fact that functionality is destroyed as a result of cyclization. The double bond originally present in the substrate is converted into a methyl group. Consequently, further elaboration is difficult and one must then rely on functionality already present in other parts of the molecule. In this connection, we have demonstrated that the reaction can be carried out in the presence of various groups such as ester and hydroxyl.

A cyclization process that would introduce new functionality or simply modify functionality already present would be much more useful synthetically. The remaining parts of this thesis are devoted to the development of such a process.

c) Carbocycles by Cyclization onto CEC:

i) Introduction:

As mentioned earlier, ring closure of a radical onto a carbon-carbon triple bond can lead to the formation of cyclic compounds that have a double bond exo- or endocyclic to the newly formed ring, depending on the mode of closure (Scheme 21).

Scheme 21



This double bond can, of course, be further manipulated in a variety of ways. For example, ozonolysis of 97 would provide a route to cyclopentanone 98 and hydrogenation should give products 99 of the type obtained by radical cyclization of olefins, but, perhaps, with increased stereoselectivity.

ii) Results and discussion;

Our initial efforts were focussed on the generation of readily available acyclic 5-hexynyl radicals, in order to investigate the cyclization process itself. Scheme 22 summarizes the preparation of a substrate of this type and its cyclization.

Scheme 22



Bromoalcohol 101 was easily produced in high yield as shown.* Homolysis of the carbonbromine bond with tri-*n*-butyltin radicals gave intermediate 102 which cyclized to the benzylidene cyclopentanones 103a and 103b (65%) in a ratio of 1:1.9.** This experiment demonstrates that, like 5-hexenyl radicals, 5-hexynyl radicals undergo exclusive closure via the *exo*- pathway to produce cyclopentane derivatives in a synthetically useful yield. * The lithium salt corresponding to the bromomagnesium salt of phenylacetylene did not give the desired alcohol. **The geometry of the substituted double bond was assigned tentatively on the basis of

chemical shifts in the¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of the separated isomers. **103a** shows deshielding of two aromatic protons due to their proximity to the hydroxyl group. For the same reason, the olefinic hydrogen of **103b** occurs at a lower field than in **103a** (see Experimental section).

124

Another acyclic case was investigated (Scheme 23)⁴ with equally encouraging results. Alkylation of the lithium salt of 1-heptyne 104 with the tetrahydropyranyl ether of 4-bromo-1-butanol in THF-HMPA gave alcohol 105 (30%) as shown. Oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate gave the corresponding aldehyde, which was condensed with phenethylmagnesium chloride without purification. Alcohol 106 (66%) was then esterified with 1,1-thiocarbonylimidazole 67 (65%) and radical 108 was subsequently generated in the usual manner. This radical also underwent regiospecific 5-exo-closure to a single compound 109 in 81%.*

*The alkylation of **104** was not optimized. The structure of compound **109** was determined unequivocally by ozonolysis to cyclopentanone **110**. This ketone was identical with an authentic sample¹⁴⁷ prepared as shown below.



The geometry of the substituent on the double bond could not be determined on the basis of the spectral data available. However, radicals are known to add to carbon-carbon triple bonds in an anti- fashion (see Introduction) and therefore, one would expect preferential formation of the (Z)-isomer.

125



Having shown the 5-hexynyl radicals generated from alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles undergo ring closure in the manner indicated, we wanted to further develop this process into a new synthetic method that would allow elaboration of a simple starting material into carbocyclic compounds. As mentioned in the introduction there are very few cases in the literature where cyclization of a radical onto a CEC bond is used in synthesis for the formation of a carbocycle. To our knowledge, this type of process has not been investigated to any great extent and the development of such methodology should prove very useful in preparative chemistry.

Our efforts were first concentrated on the formation of 2-(3-butynyl) radicals such as 112



This species should be available, a priori, through alkylation technology (equ 90) as were the alkene counterparts. Unfortunately, all attempts at alkylating cyclohexanone with homopropargylic derivatives were unsuccessful (Scheme 24). When bromides 113 and 114 were subjected to the basic environment of the anion of imine 74, they underwent dehydrohalogenation to conjugated enviros. Tosylate 115 on the other hand was totally unreactive and was recovered unchanged after exposure for 20 h to the lithium salt of the imine at 60°C. When imine anion 116 (generated by treatment of imine 74 with LDA) was treated with 1-bromo-3-nonyne 117, alkylation occurred but the product consisted of a mixture of the desired 2-(3-nonynyl)-cyclohexanone 118 (40%) and a substance containing an allene group (60%). The two could not be separated by chromatography or by distillation (equ 91).



Attempts at opening epoxides with Grignard reagents derived from , homopropargylic bromides (equ. 92) were equally unsuccessful.



 $R = nC_5H_{11}$, Ph

Since we were not able to use homopropargylic compounds for the preparation of δ -acetylenic alcohols or ketones we examined the use of masked acetylenes in the form of vinyl halides. These have been used widely in synthesis¹¹⁷ and, when treated with a strong base, such as sodium amide in refluxing benzene, or the potassium salt of 1,3-diaminopropane¹¹¹ (KAPA), they undergo elimination to acetylenic species (equ. 93).



To apply this approach to the present problem would require alkylation of a cyclohexanone with a homoallylic vinyl halide followed by base-induced elimination (equ. 94)



Corey recently developed a method for homologation of aldehydes to acetylenes via a Wittig-type reaction¹¹⁹ (equ. 95) and this procedure should allow preparation of 1, 1, 4-tribromo-1-butene 121 when applied to 3-bromo-propanal 120 (equ. 96).



Tribromide 121 was successfully prepared in this way. However, when treated with imine anion 116, the alkylating agent suffered loss of HBr and alkylation products were not formed (Scheme 25). When bromide 121 was refluxed in acetonitrile with the pyrrolidine enamine of cyclohexanone 122 no reaction occurred and the bromide was recovered (equ. 97).



The use of (E)- and (Z)-3-chloro-1-iodo-2-butene 123 and (E)- and (Z)-1,3dichloro-2-butene 124 were investigated next as possible 3-butynyl synthons (Scheme 27, p. 133). They were expected to be more resistant towards base-induced dehydrohalogenations (as they are not homoallylic species) and both 123 and 124 are more reactive than bromide 121. It was expected that alkylation with these vinyl halides, and dehydrohalogenation would give methylacetylenes 125 that would then require isomerization (Scheme 26).



Both alkylating agents were found to be adequate for the preparation of (E)- and (Z)-2-(3-chloro-2-butenyl)-cyclohexanone 126 (Scheme 27) and anions derived from imine 74 or trimethylsilyl enol ether 127 gave the desired chloroketone 126. However, the best yields were obtained in the enamine reaction between iodide 123 and pyrrolidine enamine 122 (Scheme 27).

The carbonyl group in ketone 126 was reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to give a mixture of four isomeric alcohols 128 (equ. 98).




134

The transformation of 128 into δ -acetylenic alcohol 119 requires dehalogenation of the vinylchloride to the corresponding methyl acetylene followed by isomerization of the triple bond to the terminal position. The base of choice for this type of isomerization is the potassium salt of 1.3-diaminopropane¹¹¹ (KAPA) 129 (Scheme 28).

Scheme 28



Since vinyl halides are more acidic than hydrocarbons, KAPA is expected to cause both dehalogenation and isomerization of the resulting triple bond. When alcohol 128 was subjected to the action of a large excess of the base (6 equ.) in 1,3-diaminopropane.at

0°C, 2-(3-butynyl)cyclohexanol 119 was obtained as a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers (61%) in a ratio of 1:3.8 (equ. 99).

6 KAPA: 0°C 1.3-Diamino propane (equ: 99) 128 119 19b : 3.8

(61%)

The conversion of cyclohexanone to alcohols 119 is summarized in Scheme 29, the overall yield for the four steps being 22.6%. Even though this approach was relatively viable, we investigated an alternative route to 119 via successive alkylation and isomerization reactions (Scheme 30).

2-(2-Propynyl)cyclohexanone 131 (Scheme 30) was prepared by alkylation of cyclohexanone with 2,3-dichloro-1-propene (21%) followed by dehydrochlorination (55%). Alternatively, alkylation of enamine 122 with 3-chloro-1-propyne gave ketone 131 in 31% overall yield from cyclohexanone. Reduction of the carbonyl group with lithium aluminum hydride produced alcohols 132 (88%) as a 1:1.7 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers. Double deprotonation and C-alkylation with iodomethane gave methylacetylene 133 (85%) as a 1:2.6 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-isomers. Base-induced isomerization of the triple bond to the terminal position proved to be difficult. Yields were not reproducible (24-68%) and varied substantially with temperature and reaction time. In the best case, the overall yield of 119 from cyclohexanone was 15.5%.

A more direct approach to *cis*- and *trans*- 2-(3-butynyl)-cyclohexanols 119 was based on a new method¹²⁰ for alkylation of epoxides making use of boron acetylides. Here, the correct number of atoms in the side chain is introduced in one step and, furthermore, the oxygen functionality is present as a hydroxyl group. This eliminates the

Scheme 29







æ.

(24-68%)

136

į.

C:



As illustrated in Scheme 31, this procedure would allow conversion of an epoxide in two steps into a substrate properly constituted for radical cyclization. Boron species 134 was generated when 1-butyne was sequentially deprotonated and treated with boron trifluoride etherate. Ring opening of cyclohexene oxide with this reagent gave alcohol 135 (81%). Unfortunately, isomerization of the triple bond to the terminal position could be achieved in 33.6% yield at best and thus provided only a 27.4% overall yield of alcohol 119 from cyclohexene oxide.

Our initial efforts at generating the 2-(3-butynyl)cyclohexyl radical/112 (p. 127) by alkylation technology were not very successful since elaboration of the starting material into a substrate suitable for cyclization, e.g. 119, required many steps and the overall yield was poor (1.5 to 27%). When ketoesters were chosen as substrates for alkylation, the required homoproparcylic halides again suffered elimination to envnes (equ. 100).



Because alkylation procedures that we had tried were not synthetically useful for attaching a 3-butynyl unit α to a carbonyl group, we decided to examine the use of bishomopropargylic halides 136 for generation of 6-heptynyl radicals. The latter can undergo cyclization to a 6-membered ring 137 via a 6-exo-closure or a 7-membered ring 138 via an endocyclic pathway (Scheme 32).

To this end, anion **116** of imine **74**, generated by deprotonation with ethylmagnesium bromide, was treated with 5-bromo-1-pentyne. After hydrolysis, ketone **140** was isolated in 49% yield (Scheme 33)

Lithium aluminum hydride reduction of the carbonyl gave alcohol 141 (83%) as a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*- isomers and esterification with 1, 1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole 67 produced ester 142 (74%), also as an isomer mixture. Radical 143 was generated upon homolysis of the carbon-oxygen bond and it underwent cyclization in 24% yield to a *cis* and *trans* mixture of decahydro-1-methylene-naphthalenes 144a and 144b in a ratio of 1:2. The two products were identified by comparison with authentic samples prepared as shown in Scheme 34. Unlike previous ring closures, the yield obtained in cyclization of radical 143 was very low (24%). Presumably, the cause lies in the generation of an unstabilized vinyl radical 145 (equ. 101).



(equ. 101)







Substitution of the acetyter side chain at the terminal position, by a group that can stabilize radical 145 through delocalization of hyperconjugation with the free spin should increase the yield. To test this hypothesis, alcohol 148, carrying a strategically located phenyl group was prepared (Scheme 35). Alkylation of cyclohexanone via its imine 74 with iodide 146 gave ketone 147 (62%). After reduction (95%) of the carbonyl group (147 \rightarrow 148) and esterification to the corresponding alkoxythiocarbonylimidazole 149 (97%). (*cis/trans* ratio = 1:1.7), treatment with triphenyltin hydride in the presence of AIBN gave radical 150. Cyclization then produced a mixture of *cis*- and *trans* alkenes 151ab and 151cd in a yield of 79%. Ring closure occurred regiospecifically in a 6-*exo*-fashion. The *cis*-fused decahydro-1-bent lidene-naphthalenes 151ab were formed as a mixture of



geometrical isomers, ratio = 1:7). For the *trans*-isomers 151cd, the ratio was 1:2.5. Alkenes 151ab and 151cd were identified by comparison with authentic samples (see Experimental section).

These experiments demonstrate that, like 5-hexenyl radicals, 5-hexynyl and 6-heptynyl radicals undergo ring closure in a regiospecific manner to form cyclic species with an exocyclic double bond. Cyclization of alkyl radicals onto terminal acetylenes proceeds poorly and substitution of the terminal position by an alkyl group or a benzene ring results in an increased yield. When [4,4,0] bicyclic systems are formed by radical closure onto a triple bond, preferential formation of *trans*-fused products is observed.

iii) Conclusion:

1

exo-Ring closure of 5-hexynyl and 6-heptynyl radicals provides a method for formation of carbocycles possessing exocyclic double bonds. Elaboration of these products can lead to cyclopentanone and cyclohexanone derivatives. Precursors to 6-heptynyl radicals and acyclic 5-hexynyl radicals are easily accessible by conventional methodology (i.e., alkylation, condensation). However, annulated cyclopentanone derivatives are not available through this route as the required substrates dould not be prepared, at least by the methods we tried, involving carbanion chemistry. We decide investigate an alternative route to cyclopentanones.

d) Formation of Cyclopentanones by Radical Cyclization onto CEN Bonds i) Introduction:

The cyclization of alkyl radicals onto carbon-nitrogen triple bonds has been mentioned briefly in the literature⁶¹ (see Introduction). However, the potential of this reaction as a new synthetic method for formation of cyclopentanones has not been recognized. We felt that iminyl radicals 154, formed as intermediates in the ring closure process could lead, after hydrogen abstraction and hydrolysis, to the desired cyclopentanones 152 (equ. 102).



The elaboration of a simple starting material into a δ -cyano radical such as 153 would provide a general synthesis of cyclopentanone derivatives. Such a process would be a very useful one

ii) Results and discussion:

As immediate precursors to δ -cyano radicals, 153, we envisaged the possibility of using δ -hydroxynitriles 156 derived from the corresponding ketones 155 as shown in Scheme_36

The required δ -ketonitriles such as 155 (n = 0, 1) are known substances, easily prepared by a Michael addition sequence.¹²¹ and the reduction of the carbonyl group was known to be best effected with sedium borohydride. This sequence, as applied to the conversion of cyclohexanone to octahydro-1//-inden-1-one 162 is shown in Scheme 37. Michael addition of cyclohexanone-pyrrolidine enamine 122 to acrylonitrile gave δ -keto-nitrile 158 which was reduced and esterified to alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 160. The overall yield for the conversion of cyclohexanone to the cyclization substrate 160 was 65.5% for the 4 steps. Radical 161 was generated in the usual manner and it underwent regiospecific closure to *cis*-fused octahydro-1//-inden-1-one 162 (67.7% yield). The structure of bicyclic ketone 162 was determined by comparison of its physical properties with those reported in the literature.¹²³ Cyclohexanopropanenitrile 163 (11%), the product of hydrogen transfer to radical 161, was also formed. It too was identified in the same fashion. We have carried out a number of experiments of this type and our results are summarized in Table 15 (p. 147).

The Table shows that cycloalkanones are easily transformed into substrates 157. (Scheme 36) suitable for radical cyclization. In all cases studied, 5-exo-closure occurred exclusively. The intermediate imines were hydrolyzed, *in situ* to afford the





δ-KETONITRILES^a ENTRY STARTING IMIDAZOL IDES CYCLOPENTANONES NITRILES MATERIALS (%)^b (%)^C (%) (%) 4 8 OCSIm CN CN CN 1 163 11% 158 160 **162** 67.7% 66% 99% OCSIm C CN <u>=0</u> 2 CN **CN** н 166 18^{2 d} 167 164 165 54% 78% 21% OCSIm CN CN 3 Ó 169 168 170 171 47% 77% e 74% 0% ImSCO Ċ CN OCN 172 173 174 175 31% f 8% 74% 0% OCSIm он ÇN CN CN 180 177 178 179 33% 9 90% 0% 74%

TABLE 15 PREPARATION AND CYCLIZATION OF δ -CYANO RADICALS

Footnotes to Table 15

^aPrepared via the pyrrolidine enamine: ^bYield based on starting ketone. ^cYield based on ketonitrile. Intermediate δ -hydroxynitrile was used without purification. ^d Identified by comparison of physical properties with literature data ¹²⁴ ^eFormed as a mixture of isomers in a ratio of 1.23.4. ¹Prepared via the morpholine enamine. The material was not pure. ⁹Prepared as shown in Scheme 38.

cyclopentanone derivatives. The yields were generally good. An exception is found in entry 2 of Table 15. In this case, the rate of cyclization was sufficiently slow as to allow hyrogen-transfer to the uncyclized radical to become a preferred pathway.

Acyclic ketones (entry 4, Table 15) could not be converted efficiently to the corresponding alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles via the usual reaction sequence. However, the substrate underwent cyclization in very good yield. Furthermore, the ring closure step gave *trans*-disubstituted cyclopentanone 174 in a completely stereoselective manner *

Acyclic δ -hydroxynitriles were prepared in better yields using alkenes as starting materials following the procedure¹³ shown in Scheme 38 (p. 149)

cis-4-Octane 176 was hydroxymercurated (61.4%) and homolysis of the carbonmercury bond using sodium trimethoxyborohydride in the presence of acrylonitrile gave δ -hydroxynitrile 177 (54.4%). Esterification in the usual way (90.3%) followed by radical cyclization produced *trans*-1.2-dipropylcyclopentanone 179 (74%). The relative stereochemistry at the two asymmetric centers was assigned by analogy to the cyclopentanone derivative 174.

The above experiments show that cyclization of δ -cyanoalkyl radicals occurs regiospecifically in a 5-exo- fashion. Hydrolysis of the intermediate imine leads to the corresponding cyclopentanone derivative. The sequence occurs in synthetically acceptable yields and offers an unusual approach to the preparation of 5-membered ring ketones.

*The relative stereochemistry of the alkyl substituents in cyclopentanone derivative 174 was determined by equilibration studies¹²³. After 174 had been refluxed for 24h in 5% ethanolic potassium hydroxide, the material was recovered unchanged (VPC, ¹¹C NMR), indicating that the ketone obtained was the thermodynamically more stable one; i.e., with substituents oriented *trans* to each other. In principle, of course, epimerization could have occurred *after* cyclization.



The rate of cyclization of an alkyl radical onto a C \equiv N is slower than in the case of C=C or C \equiv C bonds⁴² As a result, products of hydrogen transfer to the intermediate uncyclized radical are also formed in amounts that depend on the particular case. The effect is most dramatic in the cyclization of radical 181 (Fig. 17) to pentalenone derivative 166. In this instance, hydrogen transfer to the intermediate radical 181 is favoured over cyclization. This observation can be explained in terms of an unusually low rate of cyclization due to non-bonded interactions present in the transition state leading to 5'-*exo*-ring closure (Fig. 17). For the half-filled *p*-orbital to interact properly with the cyano-group, the side chain must be brought above the plane of the 5-membered ring. In this conformation, non-bonded interactions between the cyano-group and the hydrogen



at C-5 (Fig. 17) become important. Consequently, the activation energy for ring closure increases and the net result is that the rate of cyclization is depressed.

The regiospecificity of the reaction, as was the case for 5-hexenyl and 5-hexynyl radicals, is due to the required arrangement of atoms depicted in Fig. 5 (p. 60) No significant overlap occurs between the half-filled p-orbital and the unsaturated system in a transition state leading to *endo*-products (Fig. 18).*

The reaction exhibits a high degree of stereoselectivity. *cis*-Ring fusion geometry is found in both [4.3.0] and [3.3.0] compounds. In the latter case, this is explained by the strained involved in the formation of a *trans*-[3.3.0] system.¹⁰⁹ In [4.3.0] systems, *cis*- ring fusion geometry could be the result of epimerization but, we consider, it is more likely to be the consequence of a better overlap between the radical and the cyano-group in the

* Other considerations, such as thermochemical factors, are likely to be involved in influencing the regiospecificity of the ring closure since both pathways give rise to products that are structurally very different.



transition state leading to cis-fused products (Fig. 19).

Acyclic δ -oyano-radicals undergo cyclization with complete stereoselectivity. trans-1,2-Disubstituted cyclopentanones are formed exclusively. This could be the result of epimerization during work up or a consequence of factors that underlie Beckwith's guidelines. The preferred transition state for cyclization is, presumably, one in which all substituents lie in pseudoequatorial conformations (Fig. 20). This leads to a transrelationship between the substituents in the product. We have not yet sought evidence to distinguish between these possibilities.

iv) Conclusion:

Cyclopentanone derivatives were prepared by ring closure of δ -cyano radicals. This reaction presents an alternative to the sequence illustrated in Scheme 21 (p. 123) which involved cyclization of a 2-(3-butynyl) radical followed by ozonolysis of the exocyclic double bond to introduce the carbonyl functionality.

The cyclization of radicals onto $C \equiv N$ occurs regiospecifically via the *exo*-mode. The reaction shows a high degree of stereoselectivity although the mechanistic basis of this has not yet been established.



The intramolecular addition of a radical to a cyano-group is slower than the corresponding attack on carbon-carbon double or triple bonds. As a consequence, factors (such as non-bonded interactions) which retard the process further, can cause side reactions (such as hydrogen transfer to the uncyclized radical) to compete with cyclization. This phenomenon was observed only in the case of [3.3.0] bicyclic systems.

The reaction provides an efficient synthesis of *trans*-1,2-disubstituted cyclopentanones, devoid of any alkylation steps.

e) Conclusion:

This work has demonstrated the feasibility of using radical cyclization reactions in a synthetically useful way for the construction of carbocyclic frameworks. The methodology was successfully applied to a variety of substrates including 2-(3-butenyl)-, 2-(3-butynyl)-, 2-(4-pentynyl)-, and δ -cyano-radicals. The hydroxyl group was found to be a versatile precursor to the radical site via conversion to thiocarbonylimidazole esters.

The use of 2-(3-butenyl)-radicals leads to a synthesis of cyclopentane derivatives. 5-*Exo*-ring closure occurs regiospecifically in all cases. The degree of stereoselectivity is rather limited except when [4.3:0] and [3.3:0] bicyclic systems are being formed. In those instances, *cis*-ring fusion geometry is observed. The process has the drawback of destroying functionality and preventing further etaboration of the product. For this reason, the cyclication of 2-(3-butynyl)- and 2-(4-pentinyl)-radicals shows arrolf promise as a synthetic method. In both cases *exo*-ring closure occurs. Detyrenic side chains substituted at the terminal position give better yields of cyclized material. The stereoselectivity is comparable to that observed in the attenyl series.

The cyclization of acetylenic substrates offers the edvantage of providing products in which functionality remains available for further manipulations. However, the preparation of the starting materials can present some problems especially in the 2-(3-butynyl)cyclohexyl series (c/, denydrohalogenation of the alkylating agents). The use of this methodology provides access to cyclopentanone derivatives after ozonolysis of the cyclization products. The same type of compounds can be obtained in a more direct fashion using the carbon-nitrogen triple bond as the intramolecular trap for the "alkyl radical. Cyclopentanones are obtained directly after hydrolytic work up. Again, the process is regioselective, showing complete preference for $\Im x_0$ -closure. The degree of stereoselectivity observed in this series is much higher. The usual preference for the formation of *cis*-fused [4.3.0] and [3.3.0] systems is still present but, it extends also to 1.2-disubstituted acyclic δ -cyano radicals. The latter undergo exclusive cyclization to trans-1.2-disubstituted cyclopentanones. This high degree of stereoselection brings up, the possibility of asymmetric induction in the cyclization of chiral radicals.

We believe that radical ring closures onto triple bonds will prove to be a useful process in organic synthesis

III. CONCLUSION

The work described in the second part of this thesis establishes the feasibility of using intramolecular radical cyclizations in a synthetically useful way to prepare cyclic compounds. The technique can be used to make substituted γ -butyrolactones, a type of compound that has been found to possess anticonvulsant properties of clinical potential 176

The basic idea was further extended to the formation of carbocycles. Exploratory work was conducted using 5-hexenyl type radicals which were available by alkylation technology. The hydroxyl group proved to be a convenient precursor to the radical via conversion to its thiocarbonylimidazole ester. Cyclization occurred in a regiospecific fashion to give substituted cyclopentanes. In this type of ring closure functionality is destroyed in the cyclization step and so the products formed are not amenable to further elaboration. For this reason, the cyclization of acetylenic substrates was investigated. 5-Hexenyl radicals could not be obtained by conventional alkylation methods, but 6-heptynyl radicals were readily available and they underwent regiospecific *exo* closure to 6-membered rings carrying an exocyclic double bond. Terminal acetylenes did not ⁰ cyclize efficiently but substitution at the terminal carbon led to the desired products in excellent yields. The exocyclic double bond could be manipulated further to give cycloalkanone derivatives after ozonolysis.

Because 5-hexynyl radicals required for the formation of ring fused cyclopentanone derivatives were not easily available, a strategy was developed that would lead directly to the desired products. This was based on the cyclization of δ -cyano radicals. These were accessible via routes involving Michael additions or intermolecular radical additions to acrylonitrile. δ -Cyano radicals underwent regiospecific ring closure to five-membered ring ketones. This new cyclopentanone synthesis should become very useful in organic synthesis because substituted cyclopentanones are produced without need for often problematic alkylation steps. Further work is now in progress to extend the reaction to other systems.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL

A. General

See Chapter 1, experimental section (p. 23).

B. Cyclization of the enviselence sters

Silver (E)-2-butenoate: (E)-2-Butenoic acid (Aldrich, 23.68 g, 0.275 mol) was added to a solution of sodium hydroxide (11.00 g, 0.275 mol) in water (300 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature until formation of the sodium carboxylate was complete (*ca*. 5 min). Silver nitrate (46.71 g, 0.275 mol) in water (75 mL) was added rapidly with stirring, and the white precipitate was collected, washed with water (3 x 25 mL) and acetone (3 x 25 mL), and dried in the dark under oil pump vacuum (*ca*: 12 h). The silver salt (48.00 g, 90.5%) was stored in the dark.

Silver 2-propenoate: The procedure for silver (E)-2-butenoate was followed using propenoic acid (5.14 g, 0.075 mol), sodium hydroxide (3.00 g, 0.075 mol) in water (15 mL) and silver nitrate (12.75 g, 0.075mol) in water (10 mL). Silver 2-propenoate (13.42 g, 84.1%) was stored in the dark

Silver 3-butenoate: The procedure for silver (*E*)-2-butenoate was followed using 3-butenoic acid (7.32 g. 85 mmol), sodium hydroxide (3.37 g, 84.3 mmol) in water (25 mL) and silver nitrate (14.61 g, 86 mmol) in water (10 mL). Silver 3-butenoate (9.44 g, 58%) was stored in the dark:

Thallium (E)-2—butenoate: Following a general literature procedure,¹²⁴ thallium ethoxide (Aldrich, 6.49 g, 26 mmol) and (E)-2—butenoic acid (Aldrich, 2.16 g, 25.1 mmol) in dry ether (20 mL) were stirred overnight at room temperature. The precipitate was collected, washed with ether (2 x 10 mL) and dried under vacuum. The salt¹²⁷ (7.11 g, 98%) was obtained as a white solid. β -(Phenylseleno)esters: general procedure: The alkene in dichloromethane was added to a solution of benzeneselenenyl chloride in the same solvent. After the orange colour had been discharged, dry acetonitrile was added followed by the silver salt of the unsaturated acid. The white slurry was kept overnight in the dark in a sonic bath at room temperature. Suction filtration through Celite (ca. 2 x 4 cm) using ether (ca. 3 x 20 mL) for washings, and concentration under reduced pressure gave the crude adduct as an orange oil. Flash chromatography over silica gel with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate afforded the pure (phenylseleno)ester.

Trans-2, (phenylseleno)-cyclohexanol (*E*)-2-butenoate 26: The general procedure was followed using benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.59 g. 3.08 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), cyclohexene (0.29 g. 3.5 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL), silver. (*E*)-2-butenoate (0.67 g. 3.5 mmol), and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm), gave (phenylseleno)ester 26 (0.77 g. 77%). IR (neat) 1710, 1650, 968 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.25-1.80 (m, 6H). 1.86 (dd, J = 10, 2 Hz, 3H), 2.06-2.24 (m, 2H), 3.27 (ddd, J = 10.4, 9.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (dt, J = 8.2, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (dq, J = 16.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dq, J = 16.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.56 (m, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 17.9, 23.5, 25.7, 31.6, 32.2, 46.2, 75.0, 123.0, 127.5, 128.8, 135.2, 144.4, 165.6; exact mass, m/e 324.0630 (calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₂Se, m/e 324.0628); Amal Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀O₂Se C, 59.44; H, 6.24. Found C, 59.44; 6.19.

Trans-2-(phenylseleno)cyclohexanol (E)-2-butenoate 26 from thallium (E)-2-butenoate: (c) ohexene (0.14 g, 1.7 mmol) was added to benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.31 g, 1:62 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 mL). After the orange colour had disappeared, thallium (E)-2-butenoate¹²⁷ (0.492 g, 1.7 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. After suction filtration through Celite (2 x 4 cm) using ether for washings (3 x 10 mL), the solvent was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed over "normal" silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate. (Phenylseleno)ester 26 (0.27 g, 5.1%) was obtained but the material was contaminated with diphenyl diselenide (ca. 10%). A pure sample was identical (TLC, IR, ¹H NMR) to the adduct prepared from the silvensalt.

Trans-2-(phenylseleno)cyclopentanol (*E*)-2-butenoate 27: The general procedure was followed using benzeneselenenyl chloride (2.46 g. 12.84 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), cyclopentene (0.89 g. 13 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL), silver (*E*)-2-butenoate (2.70 g. 14 mmol) and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (5 x 20 cm) gave (phenylseleno)ester 27 (2.97 g. 75%). IR (neat) 1717, 1655, 972 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz). δ 1.63- 1.84 (m, 4H), 1.85 (dd, J = 9, 1.4 Hz, 3H), 2.20 (m, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (m, 1H), 5.18.4dt, J = 6.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.74 (dq, J = 15.5, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dq. = 160, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (m, 3H), 7.56 (m, 2H); ¹¹C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz). δ 17.8. 22.5, 30.8, 31.1, 45.9, 81.0, 122.7, 127.3, 128.9, 129.2, 134.0, 144.4, 165.6, exact mass. m/e 310.0473 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O₂Se, m/e 310.0472); Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₃O₃Se C. 58.25, H, 5.87. Found C, 58.30, H, 5.91.

Trans-2-(phenylseleno)cycloheptanol (*E*)-2-butenoate 28: The general procedure was followed using benzeneselenenyl chloride (1.35 g. 7.05 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), cycloheptene (0.70 g. 7.3 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL), silver (*E*)-2-butenoate (1.45 g. 7.5 mmol), and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) gave (phenylseleno)ester 28 (1.81 g. 76%) IR (neat) 1715, 1655, 986 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.40-1.94 (m, 9H), 1.83 (dd, J = 6.5, 1.6 Hz, 3H), 2.13 (m, 1H), 3.47 (ddd, J = 8.2/7.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (ddd, J = 7.6, 7.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (dq, J = 16.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (dq, J = 16.0, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 3H), 7.54 (m, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 17.9, 22.1, 26.5, 28.2, 31.5.5, 32.0, 48.8, 77.7, 123.0, 127.5, 128.9, 129.4, 134.8, 144.4, 165.5; exact mass, m/e 338.0790 (calcd for C₁₇H₁₂O₂Se, m/e 338.0785) Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₂O₂Se C, 60.53; H, 658. Found C, 60.68; H, 6.71.

Threo-5-(phenylseleno)-4-octanol (E)-2-butenoate 29: The general procedure was followed using benzeneselenenyl chloride (3.65 g, 19.06 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), (Z)-2-octene (Chemical Samples Co., 2.19 g, 19.3 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 mL), silver (E)-2-butenoate (4.44 g, 23 mmol) and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silce gel (5 x 20 cm); gave (phenylseleno)ester 29 (6.24 g, 93%). IR (neat) 1718, 1657, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (m, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (m, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 1.46 (m, 1H), 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.86 (m, 3H),

· · ·

1.89 (dd. J = 8.8, 1.6 Hz, 3H), 3.28 (dtd, J = 8.6, 5.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (ddd, J = 8.0, 5.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 5.80 (dq, J = 16.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (dq, J = 16.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.5 (m, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 13.8, 18.0, 19.2, 21.4, 34.0, 34.7, 49.9, 75.8, 122.9, 127.3, 129.1, 130.3, 134.1, 144.8, 166.2, exact mass, m/e 354.1096 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O₂Se. m/e 354.1098). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₄O₂Se: C, 61.18, H, 7.42. Found C, 61.40, H, 7.45.

Erythro-3-(phenylseleno)-2-butanol (*E*)-2-butenoate 30: (*E*)-2-butene was bubbled through a solution of benzeneselenenyl chloride (1.90 g. 9.92 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) until the orange solution had been decolorized. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (20 mL) Silver (*E*)-2-butenoate (2.03 g. 10.5 mmol) was added. The general procedure was then followed. Flash chromatography over silica gel (3 x 15 cm) gave (phenylseleno)ester 30 (2.57 g. 87%). IR (neat) 1720, 1657, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCI, 400 MHz). δ 1.34 (d. J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.84 (dd, J = 0.8, 1.6 Hz, 3H), 3.42 (dq, J = 7.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (dq. J = 6.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (dq. J = 16.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dq. J = 16.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 3H), 7.54 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 15.1 MHz). δ 17.8, 17.9, 44.2, 73.2, 94.2, 122.9, 127.7, 129.1, 135.2, 144.6, 165.8; exact mass, m/e 298.0474 (calcd for C₁₄H₁₁O₂Se, m/e 298.0472); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₁O₂Se: C, 56.57, H, 6.10. Found C, 56.53, H, 6.09.

Threo-3-(phenylseleno)-2-butanol (*E*²-2-butenoate 31: The procedure employed for ester 30 was followed using (*Z*)-2-butene, benzeneselenenyl chloride (1.74 g. 9.09 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL), silver (*E*)-2-butenoate (2.70 g. 14 mmol) and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) gave (phenylseleno) ester 31 (2.99 g. 88%) IR (neat) 1720, 1658, 972 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.37 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.87 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (dq, J = 7.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dq, J= 7.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (dq, J= 6.4, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dq, J = 16.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dq, J = 1.60, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (m, 3H), 7.55 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 16.9, 17.5, 17.9, 43.2, 73.2, 123.0, 127.6, 129.1, 134.6, 144.7, 165.8; exact mass, m/e 298.0476 (calcd for C₁₄H₁₄O₂Se, m/e 298.0472); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆O₂Se; C,

56.57; H, 610. Found C, 56.54; H, 610.

2-(phenylseleno)ethanol (*E*)-**2--butenoate 32**: The procedure used for ester 30 was followed using ethylene, benzeneselenenyl chloride (1.27 g. 6.63 (mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL), silver (*E*)-2-butenoate (1.35 g. 7 mmol) and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) gave (phenylseleno)ester 32 (0.65 g. 48%). IR(neat) 1720, 1658, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.85 (dd, J= 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 3H). 3.10 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.35 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.79(dq. J = 16.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (dq. J = 16.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.53 (m, 2H), ¹¹C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 18.0, 25.6, 63.5, 122.5, 127.3, 129.2, 133.0, 145.2, 166.2; exact mass, m/e 270.0154 (Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O₃Se m/e 270.0159)

Trans-2-(phenyiseleno)cyclohexanol propenoate 33: The general procedure. was followed using benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.77 g, 4.02 mmol), cyclohexene (0.36 g, 4.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), silver propenoate (0.81 g, 4.5 mmol), and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) gave (phenyiseleno)ester 33 (0.83 g, 67%). IR(neat) 1722, 1639, 1625, 158-1 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.27-1.76 (m, 6H), 2.16 (broad t, J = 20 Hz, 2H), 3.26 (ddd, J = 10.1, 9.3, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.91 (dt, J = 9.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 5.76 (dd, J = 10.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (dd, J = 17.2, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (dd, J = 17.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (m, 3H), 7.54 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 23.5, 25.7, 31.5, 32.2, 46.0, 75.4, 127.6, 128.7, 128.9, 130.5, 135.2, 165.3, exact mass, m/e 310.0474 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O₂Se, m/e 310.0472), Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O₂Se; C, 58.25, H, 5.87. Found C, 58.18, H, 5.70.

Threo-3-(phenylseleno)-2-butanol propenoate 34: The procedure employed for ester 33 was followed using (Z)-2-butene, benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.90 g. 4.7 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL), silver propenoate (0.85-g. 5 mmol), and acetonitrile (20 mL). Flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) gave (phenylseleno)ester 34 (1.0 kg. 76%): IR (neat) 1723, 1637, 1620, 159-1 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 1.30 (d. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.44 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 3.47 (dq, J = 7.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dg, J = 6.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (dd, J = 9.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (s, 0.25H), 6.09 (s, 0.5H), 6.18 (s, 0.25H), 6.39 (dd, J = 17.0, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (m, 3H), 7.58 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 16.7, 17.3, 42.9, 73.6, 127.6, 128.7, 129.1, 130.7, 134.5, 165.4; exact mass, m/e 284.03.17 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₃Se, m/e 284.03.15); Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₃Se; C, 55.13; H, 5.70. Found C, 53.77; H, 5.56

Trans-2-iodocyclohexanol (*E*)-2-butenoate 35: Following the literature procedure.⁹³ a mixture of cyclohexene (1.32 mL, 1.3 mmol) and iodine (2.92 g, 11.5 mmol) in benzene (15mL) was added to a suspension of thallium (*E*)-2-butenoate¹²⁺ (3.36 g, 11.62 mmol) in the same solvent (6 mL). The suspension was stirred for 68 h at room temperature, and filtered by suction through Celite (2 x 4 cm) using ether for washings (3 x 20 mL). The solvent was evaporated and the crude adduct (3.17 g, 94%) was used without further purification. It had IR (neat) 1720, 1655, 971 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ^{-9} 1.24-1.55 (m, 3H), 1.59 (m, 1H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.89 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 2.14 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 1H), 4.10 (ddd. J = 10.4, 9.6, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (dt, J = 9.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (dq, J = 16.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.01 (dq, J = 16.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz). δ 18.0, 23.5, 26.9, 31.5, 31.7, 37.7, 76.4, 122.8, 145.2, 165.3; exact mass, m/e 294.0108 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₃IO₂, m/e 294.0117); Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₃IO₂: C, 40.83, H, 5.14.

General procedure for radical cyclizations: Oven-dried apparatus and anhydrous solvents were used. A 100-mL round-bottomed flask containing a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar and equipped with a reflux condenser fitted with a rubber septum. Was purged with argon and immersed in an oil bath preheated to 80°C. A benzene solution of the substrate (0.01 - 0.02 M) was injected into the flask and brought to reflux. Benzene solutions of triphenyltin hydride¹²¹ (1.1 - 1.2 equivalents; 0.7 - 0.1 M) and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (Eastman, 0.05 equivalent, 0.003 M) were then added by syringe simultaneously to the reaction mixture by means of a double syringe pump over a period of 15 h, and refluxing was continued for an arbitrary period of 5 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was processed as described for the individual examples.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 26; $(\beta \alpha, 3 a \alpha, 7 a \alpha)$ and $(3\beta, 3 a \alpha, 7 a \alpha)$ hexahydro-3-ethyl-2 (3H) benzofuranones 40a and 40b: Following the general procedure, ester 26 (0.707 g, 2.19 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) was cyclized using triphenyltin hydride (0.58 mL, 2.27 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.020 g, 0.14 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 40a and 40b(0.368 g. 85.3%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1;4. The mixture had IR (neat) 1772 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.01 (isomer 40b, t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2.35 H), 1.04 lisomer 40a, t, J = 7.4 Hz, 0.65 H), 1.25 (tq. J = 13.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.30-1.86 (m, 8H), 222 (m, 1H), 2.26 (m, 0.25H), 2.33 (isomer 40b, ddt, J = 12.6, 6.3, 4.2 Hz, 0.75H), 2.57 (isomer 40b, ddd; J = 10.1, 5.8, 4.6 Hz, 0.75 H), 4.37 (isomer 40b, broad q, J = 3.1 Hz, 0.75 H), 4.49 (isomer 40a, q, J = 5.6 Hz, 0.25 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ lactone 40a 11.7, 19.3, 26.7, 28.5, 31.6, 39.4, 47.2; lactone 40b: 12.3, 17.5, 19.8, 22.5, 23.4, 27.6, 37.9, 49.7, 77.4, 179.1; exact mass, m/e 168.1149 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₂, m/e 168.1150); Anal Calcd for C10H100; C, 71.39; H, 9.59. Found: C, 71.58; H, 9.77.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 27; (3 α , 3a α , 6a α)- and (3 β , 3a α , 6a α)hexahydro-3-ethyl-2/-cyclopenta[b]furan-2-ones 41a and 41b:¹⁰⁰ Following the general procedure, ester 27 (0.471 g. 1.523 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) was cyclized using triphenyltin hydride (0.43 mL, 1.67 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.0097 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2.5 x 15 cm) with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate followed by Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 41a and 41b (0.144 g. 61%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1 2.33. The material had: Bp 125-130°C (1.8 mm); lactones 41a and 41b had IR (neat) 1765 cm⁻¹. Lactone 41a had: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.03 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.54-1.98 (m, 7H), 2.04 (broad d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (q', J = 4%5 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 4.94 (dt; J = 5.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 1.15, 23.5, 25.3, 33.5, 33.6, 44.2, 49.2, 84.6, 180.0. Lactone 41b had: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.04 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.40-1.84 (m, 5H), 1.86-2.06 (m, 3H), 2.66 (ddd, J = 11.0, 9.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (broad q', J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dt, J = 5.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 12.6, 20.0, 24.4, 25.4, 32.7, 43.1, 45.6, 84.5, 178.7. Lactones 41a and 41b had exact mass, m/e 154.0993 (calcd for C,H₁₄O₂, m/e 154.0994); Anal- Calcd for C,H₁₄O₂ C, 70.10; H, 9.15. Found C, 69.89. H, 9.26.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 28, $(3\alpha, 3a\beta, 8a\alpha)$ -, $(3\alpha, 3a\alpha, 8a\alpha)$ -, (3 β , 3a β , 8a α)— and (3 β , 3a α , 8a α)— octahydro—3—athyl—2H—cyclohepta[b]furan— 2-ones 42a, 42b, 42c and 42d: Following the general procedure, ester 28 (0.534 g, 1.58 mmol) in benene (100 mL) was cyclized using triphenyltin hydride (0.40 mL, 1.6 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.015 g, 0.1 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2.5 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 98% pure by VPC) of lactones 42a, 42b, 42c and 42d (0.190 g, 66%) in a ratio ³H NMR) of 1:1.8:5:7.9. The material had: Bp 160–175°C (2.3 mm); IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.01 k, J = 7.5 Hz, 2.6 H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 0.4 H), 1.16-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.20-2.62 (m, 3H), 4.09 (isomer 42c, dt, J = 10.2, 4.8 Hz, 0.32 H), 4.23 (isomer 42a, dt, J = 10.2, 4.8 Hz, 0.07 H), 4.55 (isomer 42b, dt, J = 8.7, 3.3 Hz, 0.13 H), 4.58 (isomer 42d, dt, J = 9.3, 6.0 Hz, 0.48H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz), δ isomer 42a, 22.8, 24.1, 29.0, 31.7, 33.3, 45.5, 46.3, 84.0; isomer 42b; 21.5, 22.5, 23.0, 25.6, 27.2, 27.3, 47.8, 82.8, 179.2; isomer 42c: 11.0, 21.2, 24.9, 25.4, 27.6, 297, 33.1, 48.9, 83.2, 178.4; isomer 42d 12.3, 18.5, 21.7, 22.1, 28.7, 30.5, 31.0, 43.7, 46.8, 81.6, 178.4; exact mass, m/e 1.82.1293 (calcd for C₁₁H₁₁O₂, m/e 182.1307); Anal Calcd for CyH102; C, 72.49; H, 9.95. Found: C, 72.78; H, 10.14.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 29; $(3\alpha, 4\beta, 5\beta)$ -, $(3\beta, 4\beta, 5\beta)$ -, $(3\alpha, 4\alpha, 5\beta)$ - and $(3\beta - 4\alpha - 5\beta)$ -dihydro-4,5-dipropyl-3-ethyl-2(3//)furanones 43a, 43b, 43c and 43d: Following the general procedure, ester 29 (0.432 g, 1.22 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) was cyclized with triphenyltin hybride (0.33 mL, 1.29 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.008 g, 0.05 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 97.3 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 43a, 43b, 43c and 43d (0.188 g, 77%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1:9.8.14.5. The material had: Bp 126-132°C (1.8 mm), IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDC), 400 MHz), δ 0.84-1.14 [m, 9H, including: 0.97 (t. J = 7.5 Hz), 0.99 (t, J = 7.0 Hz), 100 (t, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.02 (t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz),

ين د کې

1.08 (t. J = 7.5 Hz)], 1.15–1.82 (m, 10H), 1.87 (m, 0.50H), 2.14 (m, 0.25H), 2.26 (dt, J = 9.8, 5.3 Hz, 0.5H), 2.45 (m, 0.5H), 2.52 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 0.25 H), 3.99 (isomer 43d, dt, J = 8.0, 3.3 Hz, 0.44H), 4.14 (isomer 43c, dt, J = 7.1, 5.0 Hz, 0.25 H), 4.32 (isomer 43b, dt, J = 9.5, 4.3 Hz, 0.28H), 4.46 (isomer 43a, dt, J = 9.0, 5.9 Hz, 0.3 H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz), δ isomer 43b and 43c, 10.8, 12.2, 12.6, 14.1, 14.6, 18.4, 19.0, 19.7, 20.6, 21.1, 26.4, 29.2, 32.7, 36.3, 41.3, 43.2, 43.8, 47.1, 82.6, 82.9, 178.8, isomer 43d, 13.9, 14.3, 19.2, 20.4, 22.7, 35.4, 37.6, 44.7, 47.7, 83.7, 178.7; exact mass, m/e 198.1623 (calcd for C₁₂H₂₂O₂ m/e 198.1620), Anal Calcd for C₁₂H₂₂O₃ C, 72.68; H, 11.19. Found C, 72.83; H, 11.30.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 30; (3 α , 4 β , 5 β)-, (3 β , 4 β , 5 β)-, (3 α , 4 α , 5β = and $(3\beta, 4\alpha, 5\beta)$ = dihydro-4,5-dimethyl-3-ethyl-2(3H)furanones 44a, 44b, 44c and 44d: Following the general procedure, ester 30 (0.447 g, 1.50 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was cyclized with triphenyltin hydride (0.42 mL, 1.64 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.010 g, 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 9.1 hexane—ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 44a, 44b, 44c and 44d (0.1410 g, 66%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1:6.8:4.4.7.9. The material had: Bp 103-106°C (2.0 mm); IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) & 0.84 (isomer **44**b, d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.5 Hz) 2H), 1.04 (isomer 44c, d, J = 6.9 Hz, 0.66H); 1.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.14 (isomer 44d, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1.19H), 1.24 (isomer 44a, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 0.15 H), 1.34 (isomer 44b, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1.00 H), 1.37 (isomer 44c, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 0.66 H), 1.40 (isomer 44d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1.19 H), 1.34–1.60 (m, 0.3*H*), 1.71 (m, 0.82H), 1.84 (isomer 44d, m, 1.1H), 2.16 (dq, J = 6.0, 6.0 Hz) 0.42H), 2.30 (isomer 44c, m, 0.27H), 2.37 (isomer 44a, m, 0.04H), 2.49 (isomer 44b, m, 0.34H), 2.55 (m, 0.36H), 4.01 (isomer 44d, dq, J = 9.8, 6.2 Hz, 0.40H), 4.23 (isomer 44c, dq, J = 6.3, 5.3 Hz, 0.22H), 4.52 (isomer 44b, dq, J = 6.3, 4.9 Hz, 0.34H), 4.65 (isomer 44a, dq, J = 6.7, 6.3 Hz, 0.05H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz), δ7.8, 10.9, 11.2, 12.1, 12.4, 12.5, 13.0, 15.5, 16.2, 16.4, 18.3, 18.5, 18.7, 19.3, 19.6, 21.3, 21.7, 36.8, 39.7, 43.3, 44.5, 48.1, 49.2, 77.8, 80.9, 81.5, 178.4, 178.5; exact mass, m/e 142.0993 (calcd for C₁H₁₄O₂, m/e 142.0994); Anal. Calcd for C₁H₁₄O₂: C, 67.57; H, 9.92. Found: C, 67.61; H, 9.90.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 31; (3 α , 4 β , 5 β)-, (3 β , 4 β , 5 β)-, (3 α , 4 α , 5 β)-and (3 β , 4 α , 5 β)-dihydro-4,5-dimethyl-3-ethyl-2(3*H*)furanones 44a, 44b, 44c and 44d: Following the general procedure, ester 31 (0.383 g, 1.29 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was cyclized with triphenyltin hydride (0.36 mL, 1.4 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.005 g, 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 95:5 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 44a, 44b, 44c and 44d (0.123 g, 67%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1:5.5:4:7.3. The lactone mixture, which had Bp 98-102°C (1.25 mm), was identical (IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, VPC, MS) to that prepared from (phenylseleno) ester 30.

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 32; 3-ethyldihydro-2(3//)furanone 45:⁴⁷ Following the general procedure, ester 32 (0.218 g. 0.81 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was cyclized using triphenylfin hydride (0.23 mL, 0.9 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.010 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 9:1 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under reduced pressure gave lactone 45 (0.016 g. 17%) which had Bp 110 – 120°C (10.5mm); IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.01 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (m, 1H), 1.82–2.00 (m, 2H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.48 (dq. J = 9.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.19(dt. J = ¹⁹9.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 11.6, 23.4, 28.1, 40.6, 66.4, 182.7; exact mass, m/e 114.0672 (calcd for C₆H₁₀O₂, m/e 114.0681).

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 33; (3α , $3a\alpha$, $7a\alpha$) – and (3β , $3a\alpha$, $7a\alpha$) – hexahydro-3-methyl-2(3//)benzofuranones 46a and 46b:⁴⁴ Following the general procedure, ester 33 (0.367 g, 1.19 mmol) in benzene (100 mL) was cyclized with triphenyltin hydride (0.33 mL, 1.3 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.012 g, 0.08 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 9:1 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (>95% pure by VPC) of lactones 46a and 46b (0.044 g, 24%) in a ratio ³HNMR) of 1:3.44. The material had Bp 128 – 135°C (1.4 mm); IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹; ³H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.01 (dq, J = 12.8, 3.7 Hz, 0.7 H), 1.14 (isomer 46b, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2.3H), 1.23 (isomer 46a,

d, J = 7.0 Hz, 0.7H), 1.28–1.50 (m, 2H); 1.50–1.98 (m, 5.3H), 2.10–2.33 (m, 2H), 2.44 (isomer 46a, dq, J = 8.8, 7.2 Hz, 0.25 H), 2.77 (isomer 46b, dq, J = 7.0, 6.5 Hz, 0.75 H), 4.42 (isomer 46b, broad q, J = 2.8 Hz, 0.75 H), 4.52 (isomer 46a, dt, J = 6.3, 7.8 Hz, 0.25 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ isomer 46a, 13.5, 21.2, 21.6, 25.6 28.8, 38.5, 42 1; isomer 46b 9.0, 19.8, 23.0, 23.3, 27.6, 39.5, 42.5, 77.4; exact mass, m/e 154.0992 (calcd for C₉H₁₄O₂, m/e 154.0994); Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₄O₂, C, 67.57; H, 9.92. Found C, 67.61; H. 9.90. The spectral data for lactones 46a and 46b were very close to those reported in the literature.⁹¹

Cyclization of (phenylseleno)ester 34; $(3\alpha, 4\beta, 5\beta)$ -, $(3\beta, 5\beta)$ -, $(3\beta$ 4β , 5α)- and $(3\alpha, 4\beta, 5\alpha)$ -dihydro-3,4,5-trimethyl-2(3/)furanones 47a, 47b, 47c and 47d:" Following the general procedure, ester 34 (0.335 g, 1.18 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was cyclized with triphenyltin hydride (0.3 mL, 1.3 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.0055 g, 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 9:1 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 99% pure by VPC) of lactones 47a, 47b, 47c and 47d (0.038 g. 25%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1:6.6:5.7.4. The material had: Bp 75-95% (1.4 mm); IR (neat) 1770 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.85 (isomer 47b, d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1.0H), 1.02 (isomer 47c, d, J = 7.3 Hz, 0.75H), 1.07 (isomer 47a, d, J = 7.0 Hz, 0.15H), 1.12 (isomer 47d, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1.11H), 1.15 (isomer 47c, d, J = 7.8 Hz, 0.75H), 1.16 (isomer 47b, d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1.0H), 1.22 (isomer 47d, d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1.11H), 1.33 (isomer 47b, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1.00H), 1.37 (isomer \$47c, d, J = 7.1 Hz, 0.75H), 1.38 (isomer 47a, d, J = 7.0 Hz, 0.15H), 1.39 (isomer 47d, d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1.11H), 1.50-1.90 (m, 1H), 2.22 (isomer 47d, m, 0.37H), 2.34 (isomer 47a, q', J = 6.8 Hz, 0.05H), 2.49 (m, 0.3H), 2.75 (isomer 47c, q', J = 7.7 Hz, 0.25H), 2.83 (isomer 47b, q, J = 7.6 Hz, 0.33H)., 4.04 (isomer 47d, dq, J = 9.6, 6.4 Hz, 0.37 H), 4.22 (isomer 47c, q, J = 6.4 Hz, 0.25 H), 4.56 (isomer 47b, dq, J = 6.4, 4.8 Hz, 0.33 H), 4.68 (isomer 47a, dq, J = 7.2, 6.4 Hz, 0.05 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz, spectrum run on, mixture) δ isomer 47a: 13.4, 18.6, 44.0, 47,8, 80.3, 177.9; isomer 47b: 8.3, 10.0, 14.6, 40.8, 46.5, 77.7, 179.2; isomer 47c: 10.2, 12.6, 19.1, 38.2, 40.4, 81.3, 179.6; isomer 47d: 13.0, 15.5, 18.5, 38.0, 43.2, 81.1, 178.7; exact mass, m/e 128.0833 (calcd for C₂H₁₂O₂, m/e 128.0837). The lactones had spectral characteristics extremely close to

those reported in the literature.

Cyclization of iodoester 35; $(3\alpha, 3a\alpha, 7a\alpha)$ – and $(3\beta, 3a\alpha, 7a\alpha)$ hexahydro-3-ethyl-2(3//)benzofuranones 40a and 40b collowing the general procedure, iodide 35 (0.633 g, 2.15 mmol) in benzene (100mL was cyclized with triphenyltin hydride (0.6 mL 2.37 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.006 g, 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, the residue was dissolved in ether (50 mL) and stirred with saturated aqueous potassium fluoride (25 mL) for 30 min. The mixture was extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL) and the solution was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 82 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a mixture (> 98% pure by VPC) of lactones 40a and 40b (0.205 g, 56.7%) in a ratio (H NMR) of 1:4. The compounds, examined as a mixture, were identical (TLC, VPC, IR, ¹H NMR) to those prepared from (phenylseleno)ester 26.

167

2.5

 α -Ethyl-1-hydroxy-cyclopentaneacetic acid, 48:¹²⁹ Following the literature procedure,¹²⁹ 2-bromobutanoic acid (15 g, 0.09 mol) was converted into its tetrahydropyranyl ester which was then mixed with cyclopentanone (5.4 mL, 0.06 mol) and treated with zinc dust (6.45 g, 0.099 mol) in THF (90 mL), to give after acidic workup, hydroxy-acid 48. The material was used without further purification to prepare authentic lactones 41a and 41b. The crude hydroxy-acid 48 had: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.00 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 1.30-2.25 (m, 10H), 2.37 (dd, J = 8.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (broad s, 2H).

Authentic $(3\alpha, 3a\alpha, 6a\alpha)$ – and $(3\beta, 3a\alpha, 6a\alpha)$ – hexahydro – 3 – ethyl – 2//– cyclopentalb]furan – 2 – ones 41a and 41b:¹⁰⁰ Following the literature procedure,¹⁰⁰ concentrated (98%) sulfuric acid (0.7 mL) was added to a solution of hydroxy acid 48 (4.43 g, 25.7 mmol) in benzene (50mL). The mixture was refluxed for 18 h and cooled. Water (25 mL) was added, the organic phase was separated, dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated. The residue was distilled under vacuum to give a mixture of lactones 41a and 41b (2.46 g, 62%), Bp 125–130°C (1.9 mm) in a ratio (VPC) of 1:1.1. The lactones (examined as a mixture) were identical (TLC, VPC, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) to those prepared by our cyclization route. The isomers were separated by preparative VPC on Carbowax 20M at 160°C. (3 α , 3 $a\alpha$, 6aα)-Hexahydro-3-ethyl-2//-cyclopenta[b]furan-2-one 41a (97% pure by VPC), had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, $(00 \text{ MHz}) \delta$ 1.03 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.54-1.98 (m, 7H), 2.04 (broad d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (q', J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 4.94 (dt, J = 5.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ 11.5, 23.5, 25.3, 33.5, 33.6, 44.2, 49.2, 84.6, 180.0. (38, 3aα, 6aα)-Hexahydro-3-ethyl-2//-cyclopenta[b]furan-2-one 41b (95% pure by VPC) had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.04 (t. J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.40-1.84 (m, 5H), 1.86-2.06 (m, 3H), 2.66 (ddd, J = 11.0, 9.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (broad q', J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (dt, J = 5.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 12.6, 20.0, 24.4, 25.4, 32.7, 43.1, 45.6, 84.5, 178.7.

Equilibration studies of lactones 41a and 41b: The lactones (0.050 g, 0.32 mmol) were dissolved in separate batches of decahydronaphthalene (tetralin, 10 mL). Anhydrous potassium hydrogen carbonate (0.100 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to each solution and the mixtures were refluxed for 30 min. The supernatant solutions were analyzed by VPC on a DEGS column (6 ft) at 200°C. Lactones 41a and 41b were found to isomerize to the same equilibrium mixture containing 41a and 41b in a ratio of *ca.* 1:0.33

. *	Initial ratio			E	·	
2	41a:41b			• <i>1</i>	41a:41b	
<u>.</u>	1:2.3	1	· ·		1:0.35	<u> </u>
1	1.0.29		· · ·	• • / • •	1:0. 3 0	•• .
	1.2.5	, , , , , , ,	·		1.0.33	•

Tetrahydro-4-methyl-2/-pyran-2-one 52:¹³⁰ 3-Methylglutaric anhydride [6.40 g, 0.05 mol, Bp 114.5 - 1.15.5°C (2.5 mm)¹³¹] was reduced with lithium aluminum hydride (1.10 g, 0.029 mol) in dry THF (100 mL) at -60°C as describe the literature.¹³⁰ After 1.10 min at 0°C, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with 50% aqueous HCI (20 mL) at -30°C. The product was extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL) and the extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7.4
hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under reduced pressure [Bp 122-132°C (12 mm)¹³⁰] gave material that was further purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 2.1 dichloromethane-ethyl acetate³ Lactone 52 was obtained in *ca.* 5% yield and had IR (neat) 1735 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 2.14 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dq, J = 11.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (ddd. J = 11.6, 10.4, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (ddd, J = 11.6, 4.8, 3.8 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 2.1.5, 26.6, 30.7, 38.3, 68.6, 171.3.

Tetrahydro-4-methyl-3-(phenylseleno)-2H-pyran-2-one 50: Lithium diisopropylamide (1.1 mmol) was prepared at -70°C by addition of n-butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 0.85 mL, 1.1 mmol) to a solution of diisopropylamine (0.15 mL, 1.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The mixture was kept at -70°C for 5 min and lactone 52 (0.114 g, 1 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at -70° C. Benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.192 g, 1 mmol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was injected at -70°C into the stirred solution and after 15 min saturated aqueous amnonium cilioride (25mL) was added. The mixture was extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL) and the combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride (20 mL), dried (MgSO4) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of crude lactone 50 over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure material (0.112 g, 42%) as a 1114 mixture (H NMR) of, isomers: IR (CCI, 1734, 1579 cm 1 坍 NMR (CDCI, 400 MHz) δ 1.21 (major isomer, d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2,8H), 1.24 (minor isomer, d, J = 6.8 Hz, 0.2H), 1.54 (ddq, J = 9.7, 4.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H). 1.98 (dddd, J = 14.6, 7.5, 5.3, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 3.52 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (major isomer, ddd, J = 12,5, 10.0, 3,5 Hz, 0.9H), 4,34 (major isomer, dt, J = 11.0, 4.2 Hz, 0.9H), 4.47 (minor isomer, ddd; J = 11.5, 6.0, 4.0 Hz, 0.1H), 7.34 (m; 3H), 7.58 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ major isomer: 21≰1, 29.7, 33.7, 46.3, 67.4, 128.9, 129.2, 135.6. 170.5; minor isomer: 19.2, 27.9, 30.8, 49.7, 68.3; exact mass; m/e 270.0154 (calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₂Se, m/e 270.0159).

Action of triphenyltin hydride on lactone 50: Following the general procedure for cyclizations (see p. 161), lactone 50 (0.098 g, 0.364 mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was treated with triphenyltin hydride (0.1mL, 0.4 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.007 g.

0.05 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash/chromatography over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 7:3 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation under vacuum gave a single lactone (0.027 g, 64%) identified as 4-methyltetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-one 52 on the basis of its spectral properties (IR, ³H NMR; ¹³C NMR) and a comparison with an authentic

 δ (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.08 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.96 (m, 1H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 2.14 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dq, J = 11.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (ddd, J = 11.6, 10.4, 3.8 Hz), 4.44 (ddd, J = 11.5, 4.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 21.2, 26.4, 30.5, 38.1, 68.3, 171.0; exact mass, m/e 114.0680 (calcd for C₆H₁₀O₂, m/e 114.0681).

sample.¹³⁰ The present material had Bp 100-105°C (0.8 mm); IR (CDCl₁ 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR

Trans–*N*–[**2**–(**phenylseleno**)cyclolexy]**1**–(*E*)-2–butenamide 63: Based on a procedure described in the literature.¹¹¹ cyclohexene (0.42' g, 5 mmol), benzeneselenenyl chloride (0.96 g, 5 mmol), 2–butenenitrile¹¹²(5 mL), trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (0.75 g, 5 mmol) and water (0.45mL, 25 mmol) were mixed in that order. After aqueous workup the crude material was purified by flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 5 cm) with 7:4 hexane—ethyl acetate to give (phenylseleno)amide 63 (0.89 g, 55.3%) as a white solid IR (CDCI₃) 3430, 3310, 1675, 1638, 963 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 100 MHz) δ 1.00–2.38 (m, 8H), 1.83 (dd, J⁺= 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 3.06 (dt, J = 11.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (broad m, 1H), 5.66 (broad s, 1H), 5.71 (dq, J = 15.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (dq, J = 15.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 3H), 7.54 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ , 17.6, 24.5, 26.6, 33.8, 47.8, 52.9, 125.3, 127.6, 128.1, 128.9, 135.4, 139.5, 165.1; exact mass, m/e 323.0793 (calcd for C₁₄H₂₁ NOSe, m/e 323.0788); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₁NOSe; C, 59.62; H, 6.57; N, 4.35; Found °C, 59.47; H, 6.66; N, 4.48

Three-N-[3-phenylseleno)2-butyl]-(E)-2-butenamide 64: Based on a procedure described in the literature,¹¹¹(Z)-2-butene was bubbled through a solution of benzeneselenenyl chloride (1.02 g, 5.33 mmol) in 2-butenenitrile¹³² (3 mL) until the colour, had been discharged. Trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (0.80 g, 5.33 mmol) and water (0.48 mL, 26.6 mmol) were added sequentially and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h and cooled. The black solution was poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and the mixture was extracted with chloroform $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The extract was washed with

saturated aqueous sodium chloride, dried (MgSO₄) and was evaporated. Flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 5 cm) with 9:1 hexane-ethyl acetate to remove diphenyl diselenide and then with 1:1 hexane-ethyl acetate to elute the product, followed by recrystallization from 5:1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure (phenylseleno)amide 64 (0.57 g. 36%): IR (CDCI₃ 3438, 3320, 1676, 1638, 963 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 100 MHz) δ 1.22 (d. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.41 (d. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.85 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 3.53 (dq, J = 17.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (m, 1H), 5.69 (broad s, 1H), 5.76 (dq, J = 15.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6/82 (dq, J = 15.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (m, 3H), 7.58 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 17.6, 18.7, 18.9, 45.2, 49.5, 125.2, 127.5, 129.1, 134.5, 139.8, 165.4; exact mass, m/e 297.0633 (calcd for C₁₄H₁₉NOSe, m/e 297.0632); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₉NOSe; C, 56.75; H, 6.46; N, 4.73. Found C, 56.54; H, 6.49; N, 4.72.

Attempted cyclization of (phenylseleno)amide 63; N-cyclohexyl-(E)-2buteneamide 65: Following the general procedure (see p. 161) amide 63 (0.107 g. 0.33mmol) in benzene (50 mL) was treated with triphenyltin hydride (0.10 mL, 0.38 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) and AIBN (0.012 g. 0.08 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After workup, flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a single product (0.049 g. 87%) as a white solid which was identified as amide 65 based on its spectral properties: IR (CDCl₃ 3438, 3310), 1673, 1633, 966 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.17 (dq', J = 12.0, 3.0 Hz, 3H), 1.40 (tq, J = 12.6, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (td, J = 12.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (td, J = 13.2, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 1.87 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.7 Hz, 3H), 1.98 (qd, J = 12.6, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 5.43 (broad s, 1H), 5.79 (dq, J= 15.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H); 6.84 (dq, J = 15.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), ¹¹C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 17.6, 24.9, 25.6, 33.3, 48;0, 125.6, 139.3, 165.0; exact mass, m/e 167.1308 calcd for C₁₀H₁₇NO, m/e 167.1310).

Attempted cyclization using (phenylseleno)amide 64; N-2-butyl-(E)-2-butyl-(E

based on its spectral properties IR (CDCl₃ 3439, 3310, 1675, 1635, 966 cm⁻¹; ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 0/91 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.14 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.50 (q', J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.86 (dd, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 3H), 3.98 (tq, J = 9.3, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (broad s, 1H), 5.81 (dq, J = 15.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (dq, J = 15.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 10.3, 17.5, 20.4, 29.7, 46.4, 125.5, 139 **1**65.3; exact mass, m/e 141.1151 (calcd for C₁H₁₃NO, m/e 141.1154).

1-(1-Cyclohexen-1-yl)pyrrolidine 122:¹³³ The literature procedure,¹³³ was followed A solution of cyclohexanone (73.00 g, 0.744 mol) and pyrrolidine (53.00 g, 0.744 mol) in benzene (100mL) was refluxed using a Dean-Stark trap. After 2 h no more water was produced. The solution was evaporated and the residue was distilled [bp 114.5-117°C (14 min)] [lit.¹³³ bp 64-65°C (0.5 mm)] to give the enamine 122 (98.09 g, 87%).

N-Cyclohexylidenecyclohexanamine 74: ¹³⁴ Cyclohexanone (21.58g, 0.22 mol) and cyclohexanamine (21.80 g, 0.22 mol) were added with stirring to benzene (50mL) contained inf a 100-mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a Dean-Stark trap that carried a reflux condenser fitted with a Drierite drying tube. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h, by which time water was no longer produced. The solvent was evaporated and distillation of the residue gave the imine 74 (34.70 g, 88%) as a faintly yellowish liquid bp $107-110^{\circ}C$ (3 mm). The imine was used immediately.

cis- and trans-2-(3-Butenyi)cyclohexanois 73a and 73b:

a) 2-(3-buterfyl)cyclohexanone 72:¹³⁵ N-Cyclohexylidene-cyclohexanamine 74 (5.37 g. 0.03 mol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added to ethylmagnesium bromide (2.19 M in ether, 13.7 mL, 0.03 mol) in dry THF (30mL). The mixture was refluxed under argon for 20 h. 4-Bromo-1-butene (Aldrich, 4.05 g, 0.03 mol) was injected and refluxing was continued for a further 24 h. The mixture was cooled, stirred for 30 min with 2-N, aqueous HCI (30mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 30 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation of the residue [bp 95-120°C (0.8 mm)] [lit¹³⁵ bp 104-107°C (17 mm)] gave the ketone 72 which was used directly for the next stage. The material had IR (neat) 1710, 1642, 1000, 915 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₁, 80 MHz) δ 1.05–2.70 (m, 13H), 4.83–5.23 (m, 2H), 5.55–6.07 (m, 1H).

b) *cis*- and *trans*-2-(3-butenyl)cyclohexanols 73a and 73b;¹¹⁴ For the preparation of alcohols 73a and 73b it was found advantageous to use the crude ketone 72. The material from the above experiment was dissolved in ether (10 mL) and a solution of lithium aluminum hydride (Aldrich, 1 M in THF, 10 mL, 0.01 mol) was injected rapidly with stirring and under argon. After a further 10 min the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (10 mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Kugelröhr distillation of the residue (bp 125-135°C (12 mm)) gave alcohols 73a and 73b (3.37 g, 73% overall from 74) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.4.3 as an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate) oil: IR (neat) 3100-366 1642, 1000, 914 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.75–2.50 (m, 14H), 3.17 (*trans*-isomer, broad dt, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 0.81 H), 3.85 (*cis*-isomer, broad m, J_{1/2} = 10.0 Hz, 0.19 H), 4.80–5.20 (m, 2H), 5.55–6.10 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ *cis*-isomer 73a; 20.6, 25.2, 26.6, 31.2, 31.3, 33.1, 40.7, 69.4, 114.5, ¹139.3, *trans*-isomer 73b; 25.0, 25.6, 30.1, 31.0, 31.6835.8, 44.7, 74.7, 114.4, 139.5.

173

cist and trans-1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid O-[2-(3-butenyl)cyclohexyl] esters 75a and 75b: cis- and trans-Alcóhols 73a and 73b (0.542 g, 3.51 mmol) and 1.1-thiocarbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (1.25 g, 7 mmol) were placed in a first and covered with dry 1.2-dichloroethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed under argon for 20 h. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a 1:4.7 (H NMR) mixture of cis- and transalkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 75a and 75b (0.743 g, 80%) which was pure by TLC (silica, 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate, 2 spots): IR (neat) 1639, 910 cm⁻¹; H NMR (CDCI₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.04-2.36 (m. 13H), 4.90-5.10 (m. 2H), 5.29 (trans-isomer, dt, J = 9.5; 4.5 Hz, 0.82 H), 564-5.90 (m. 1.18H), 7.04 (broad m. 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ cis- isomer 75a: 21.2, 23.5, 24.9, 28.1, 29.0, 39.7, 82.8; trans-isomer 75b: 24.2, 24.6, 29.8; 30.3, 30.6, 31.2, 41.0, 86.9, 115.0, 117.8, 130.8, 136.7, 138.2, 183.8; exact mass, m/e 264.1298 (calcd for C₁₄H₄N₂OS, m/e 264.1296).

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 75a and 75b; Octahydro-1-methyl-1H-indenes 76a and 76b;115 The general procedure was followed using a mixture of cis- and trans-alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 75a and 75b (0.125 g, 0.473 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.20 mL, 0.8 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.010 g, 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, Kugelrohr distillation (bp 145-180°C) gave a mixture of hydrocarbons (0.044 g, 68%) consisting (VPC) of cis-octahydro-1-methyl-1H-indenes 76a and 76b (98%) in a 1:2 ratio (VPC) of exo and endo isomers and trans-decahydronaphthalene 77b (2%). The mixture of hydrocarbons had cis-octahydro-1H-indenes 76a and 76b: HNMR (CDCI., 400 MHz) δ 0.90 (major isomer, d, J = 7,2 Hz,2H), 0.95 (minor isomer, d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.06-2.16 2 27, 1 80.6, 38,7, 39.7, 43.7; minor Isomer: 20.3, 22.4, 24.8. 15.4, 21.1, 22.2, 25.63 27.2, 27.8, 29.0, 29.8 7, 1; exact mass, m/e 138, 1390 (calcd for C10Hill, m/e 8); Anal. Calco Tor C₁₀H₁₁ C; 86.88; H, 13,12. Found: C, 86.12; H, 12.85; ecahydronaphthalene 77b: ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ 26.8, 34.3, 43.7; authentic $\delta_{\rm c}$ trans-decahydronaphthalene 77b: ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) $\delta_{\rm c}$ 26.8, 34.3, 43.6; Rate Pentic (Aldrich) cis-decahydronaphthalene 77a: 33C NMR (CDCI,, 22.6 MHz) δ 24.2 (broad s), 29.4 (broad s), 36.5.

1-Tridecen-6-ol 79: 1-Octanal (0.49 g, 3.85 mmol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added dropwer to the Grignard reagent formed from magnesium turnings (0.097 g, 4 mmol) and 5-bromo-1-pentene¹³¹ (0.60 g, 4.0 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (5 mL) and extracted with ether. The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate. gave alcohol 79 (0.41 g, 54%) as a pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil which had IR (neat) 3120-3660, 1647, 919 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.02-1.75 (m, 17H), 1.85-2.40 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.81 (broad m, 1H), 4.85-5.20 (m, 2H), 5.55-6.13 (m, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 14.1, 22.7, 25.0, 25.7, 29.3, 29.7, 31.9, 33.8, 37.0, 37.6, 71.9, 114.6, 138.8. 1/--Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid *O*-(1-tridecene-6-yl) ester 80: Alcohol 79 (0.37 g, 1.87 mmol) and 1.1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (0.45 g, 2.5 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry 1.2-dichloroethane (10, mL). The resulting solution was refluxed under argon for 19 ft; Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica. 7:3 hexane-ethyl acetate) 80 (0.42 g, 73%): IR (neat) 1645, 919 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.02-1.90 (m, 16H), 2.10 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.87 5.17 (m, 2H), 5.43-6.04 (m, 2H), 7.00 (broad s, 1H), 97.58 (broad s, 1H), 8.29 (broad s, 1H); ¹¹C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 14.0, 22.6, 24.4, 25.1, 29.1, 29.4, 34.7, 32.8, 33.4, 85.1, 115.2, 119, 9, 130.7, 136.7, 137.9, 184.1, exact mass; m/e 308.192¹ (calcd for C, H₁₃N₂OS, m/e 308.1922); Anal. Calcd for C₁/H₂₁N₂O₅, C, 66.19; H, 9.15; N, 9.08. Found C, 67.08; H, 9.29; N, 8.78.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazole 80; *cis-* and *trans-*1-heptyl-2methylcyclopentanes 82: The general procedure was followed using 80 (0.146 g. 0.473 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.13 mL, 0.5 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.010 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, Kugelrohr distillation (bp 200²230⁹C) of the residue gave 1-heptyl-2-methylcyclopentane 82 (0.055 g. 63%) mixture of isomers in a ratio (VPC) of 1:2.5 as a pure (> 99% VPC) oil which had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.80 (major isomer. d. J = 7.2 Hz, 2:14H), 0.90 (t; J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (minor isomer, d. J = 6.6 Hz, 0.86H), 1.00-1.44 (m, 14H), 1.46-1.60 (m, 1.5H), 1.60-1.90 (m, 3H), 1.96-2.06 (m, 0.5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer: 14.1, 14.8, 227, 28.9, 29.4, 29.8, 30.1, 30.542.0 MR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer: 19.5, 22.6, 23.6, 28.6, 32.5, 40.7, 47.8; exact mass, m/e 182.2033 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₆, m/e 182.2034).

1-(3-Butenyl)-2-oxocyclopentanecarbocyclic acid ethyl ester 86:¹¹⁶ Sodium hydride (33% oil dispersion, 0.71 g, 0.0296 mol) was suspended in dry THF and mL) under argon and 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylic acid ethyl ester (Aldrich, 4.30 mL, 0.029 mol). was added dropwise with stirring. The resulting slurry was refluxed for 2 h and then, cooled to room temperature. 4-Bromo-1-butene (Aldrich, 3.25 mL, 0.032 mol) was injected and stirring was continued for 20 h. The mixture was then refluxed for 6 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was poured into water (30 mL) and extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL). The combined ether extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 mL) with 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate) gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate) gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate) δ (1.83 g, 30%): IR (neat) 1750, 1720, 1644, 920 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 1.50–2.50 (m, 8H), 2.50 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 4.75–5.15 (m, 2H), 5.45–6.08 (m, 1H).

1-(3-Butenyl)-2-hydroxycyclopentanecarboxylic acid ethyl esters 87: A solution of sodium borohydride (0.09 g, 2.47 mmol) in water (5 mL) was added dropwise , with stirring to ketoester 86 (0.52 g, 2.47 mmol) in 95% ethanol (10 mL). Five minutes after the end of the addition, saturated aqueous sodium chloride (5 mL) was aded and the mixture was extracted with ether 3×15 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x, 15 cm) with 8.2 hether-ethyl acetate gave an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil consisting (NMR) of a mixture of alcohols 87 (0.43 g, 82%) in a ratio (4H NMR) of 1:1.1. The material had: IR (neat) 3160-3860, 1725, 1643, 918 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI, 80 MHz) δ 1.23 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 1.5H), 1.25 (t, J = 8.0Hz, 1.5H), 1.43-2.75 (m, 11H), 3.00 (broadm, 0.5H), 4.00 (m, 0.5H), 4.13 (q, J = 70 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 1H), 4.75-5.20 (m, 2H), 5.47-6.05 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI, 22.6 MHz) δ 1.43, 198, 20.4, 29.6, 29.7, 31.1, 31.5, 32.0, 32.3, 35.7, 57.3, 58.1, 60.5, 60.6, 79.3, 114.7, 114.8, 138.1, 138.4, 176.3, 176.5; exact mass, m/e 212, 14.11 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₀O₃, m/e 212, 14.12).

1*H*-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid O-[2-(3-butenyl)-2-carbethoxy-cyclopentyl]ester 88: Alcohols 87 (0.34 g. 1.60 mmol) and 1,1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (0.57'g. 3.2 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed under argon for 20 h. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7:3 he&ane-ethyl acetate gave a pure (TLC, silica, 7:4 hexane-ethyl acetate, 2 spots) oil consisting (¹H NMR) of the alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 88 (0.44 g, 85% m a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:1.1. The

material had IR (neat) 1730, 1644, 919 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.15 (minor isomer, t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1.4H), 1.30 (major isomer, t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1.6H), 1.66–2.20 (m, 8H), 2.20–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.50 (m, 1H), 4.12 (minor isomer, dq, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 0.9H), 4.24 (major isomer, q, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.1H), 4.10–4.30 (m, 2H), 5.72 (minor isomer, dd, J = 5.3, 2.8 Hz, 0.45H), 5.70–5.86 (m, 1H), 6.14 (major isomer, dd, J = 5.5, 3.1 Hz, 0.55H), 7.05 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.45H), 7.08 (major isomer, broad s, 0.55H), 7.55 (more) risomer, broad s, 0.45H), 7.63 (major isomer, broad s, 0.55H), 8.29 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.45H), 8.35 (major isomer, broad s, 0.55H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz), δ 14.2, 21.0, 29.0, 30.0, 30.2, 30.4, 31.3, 32.7, 39.2, 58.5, 60.9, 61.2, 88.1, 91.7, 115.3, 177.7, 118.1, 130.9, 136.3, 136.7, 137.2, 137.4, 172.8, 174.1; exact.mass, m/e 322.1352 (calcd for C₁₆H₂₂N₃O₃S, m/e 322.1351); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₂N₂O₃S, C, 59.0; H, 6.88; N, 8.69. Found C, 59.87; H, 6.91; N, 8.75.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 88; (1 α , 3a α , 6a α) – and (1 α , 3a β , 6a β) – hexahydro–1–methyl–3a– (1//) – pentalenecarboxylic acid ethyl esters 90: The general procedure was followed using a mixture of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 88 (0.135 g. 0.42 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.13 mL, 0.5mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AlBN (0.010 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, Kugelrohr distillation [bp 130–140°C (90 mm)] gave 90 (0.053 g. 64%) as an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 9.1 hexane–ethyl acetate) oil consisting (¹H NMR) of a 1:6.1 mixture of isomers. The material had: IR (neat) 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.98 (major isomer, d, J = 6.8Hz, 2.6H), 1.02 (minor isomer, d, J = 6.8Hz, 0.4H), 1.26 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.78 (m, 6H), 2.04 (major isomer, dt, J = 12, 6.8 Hz, 1.7H), 2.12 (minor isomer, dt, J = 12, 6.8 Hz, 0.3H), 2.20 (minor isomer, m, 0.14H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.60 (major isomer, q, J = 8.3 Hz, 0.86 H), 4.15 (q. J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer: 14.2; 14.9, 27.3, 28.0, 32.6, 37.3, 37.6, 39.4, 53.5, 60.2, 179.0; minor isomer: 19.4, 25.8, 31.2, 32.2; 35.3, 38.4, 38.5, 42.4, 59.9; exact mass, m/e 196.1466 (calcd for C₁₂H₂₀O₃, m/e 196.1463).

1-(3-Butenyl)-2-chlorocyclohexanol 92: 3-Butenylmagnesium bromide was prepared in dry ether (30 mL) from magnesium turnings (0.24 g, 10 mmol) and

4-bromo-1-butene (Aldrich, 1.0 mL, 10 mmol), and used as follows, acording to a general procedure.139 The reagent was cooled to -60°C and 2-chlorocycloMexanone149 (1.19 g. 0.009 mol) in ether (10 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at =60°C, cooled to =70°C and then acetic acid (0.1 mL, 1.6 mmol) was added.141 The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over ca. 30 min (by removal of the cooling bath). Water (10 mL) was added and the aqueous phase was separated and extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Thin layer chromatography of the crude product showed two major spots. That of higher Rf. 92, (0.510 g, 30%) was isolated by flash chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate. The material was obtained as a homogeneous (TLC, silica, 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil: IR (neat) 3565, 3100-3640, 1642, 914 cm⁻¹; ³H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.23–2.24 [m, 13H, including: 1.50 (ddt, J = 13.5, 4.0, 1.0Hz), 1.89 (d, J = 2.0 Hz), 2.05 (ddq, J = 14.0, 4.5, 2.0 Hz)], 4.01 (dd, J = 10.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (ad, J = 10.2, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07 (qd, J = 16.9, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.86 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI,, 15.1 MHz) δ 20.9, 25.4, 27.7, 32.6, 34.6, 39.8, 69.2, 72.8, 1.14.7, 138.6; exact mass, m/e 188.0964 (calcd for C₁₀H₂₇ClO, m/e 188.0968).

Cyclization of chlorohydrin 92; $(1\alpha, 3a\beta, 7a\beta)$ - and $(i\alpha, 3a\alpha, 7a\alpha)$ octahydro-1-methyl-3a//-inden-3a-ols 94: The general procedure, was followed using chlorohydrin 92 (0.043 g, 0.226 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydridé (0.06 mL, 0.25 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.007 g, 0.05 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave c/s-fused alcohols 94 (0.017 g, 49%) as a 1.2 mixture (¹H NMR) of isomers. The material was apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate) and had: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.82 (dq, J = 13.3, 3.2 Hz, 0.25H), 0.92 (major isomer, d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.00 (minor isomer, d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 1.05-2.05 (m, 11.7 Hz, 3H), 2.62 (m, J = 7.2 Hz, 0.53H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer: 15.1, 24.2, 24.4, 25.4, 29.4, 34.4, 35.0, 36.7, 51.5; minor isomer: 21.0, 21.4, 22.2, 24.3, 29.7, 30.4, 34.6, 35.1, 39.1, 53.0; exact mass, m/e 139.1125 (calcd for C₉H₁₃O, M'-CH₃), m/e 139.1123]. **6 Bromo-3-methyl-1-phenylhex-1-yn-3-ol 101:** Ethylmagnesium bromide (2.19 M in ether, 4.6 mL; 10 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring to phenylacetylene (Aldrich, 1.02 g, 10 mmol) in ether (20 mL). The mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature and 5-bromo-**2-pentanone**¹⁴² (1.65 g, 10 mmol) was then added. After a further period of 15 min, water (20 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave crude **101** (2.48 g, 93%). IR (neat) 3140-3660, 1603 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.75-2.40 (m, ⁷4H), 2.33 (s, 1H), 3.48 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H). 7.27 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 28.4, 30.3, 33.8, 42.2, 68.1, 83.9, 92.4, 122.6, 128.4, 128.5, 131.8; exact mass, m/e 268.0278 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₃BrO, m/e 268.0286). The material contained trace impurities (¹³C NMR), but was used directly for the next stage.

¥.

Ð

Cyclization of 101; (Z)-and (E)-2-benzylidene-1-methylcyclopentanols 103a and 103b: The general procedure was followed using 101 (0.375 g, 1.40 mmol in benzene (40 mL), tri-n-butyltin hydride 10.47 mL, 1.8 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.010 g. ter evaporation of the solvent, flash chromatography 0.07 mmol) in benzene (To of the residue over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a mixture of (Z) and (E)-alkenols 103a and 103b (0.173 g, 65%). The isomers were separated by flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 5 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate to afford pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 nexane-ethyl acetate) (Z)-2-benzylidene-1-methyl-cyclopentanol 103a (0.059 g, 22%, Rf = 0.39); IR (neat) 3140-3640, 1604 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 1H), 2.60 (m, 2H), 6.50 (broad t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (m, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 22.0, 26.5, 35.3, 45.3, 78.5, 124.1, 126.5, 128.0, 129.3, 137.3, 149.5; exact mass, m/e 188.1200 (calcd for C13H160, m/e 188.1201); Anal. Calcd for C13H160: C, 82.93; H, 8.57. Found: C, 80.88; H, 8.49; and (E)-2-benzylidene-1-methyl-cyclopentanol 103b: (0.114 g, 43.1%, Rf = 0.13); IR (neat) 3130–3680, 1601 cm⁻¹ ¹HNMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.46 (s, 3H), 1.66-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.83 (s, 1H), 2.71 (m, 2H), 6.54 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.36 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 22.2, 27.2, 30.2, 41.5, 80.1, 121.3, 126.4, 128.2, 128.5, 137.9, 151.0; exact mass, m/e 188.1198 (calcd for C13H16O, m/e

2-(4-Bromobutoxy)tetrahydro-2/-pyran 182:143 4-Bromo-1-butanol¹⁴⁴ (39.35 g, 0.257 mol) was added rapidly with stirring to dihydropyran (21.87 g, 0.26 mol) contained in a 100-mL round-bottomed flask which was equipped with a reflux condenser closed by a Drierite drying tube. After the initial exothermic reaction had subsided (*ca.* 30 min) the mixture was distilled [bp 102-107°C (12 mm)] to give the protected alcohol 182 (41.87 g, 69%).

5-Undecyn-1-ol 105⁻¹⁴³ 1-Heptyne¹⁴⁴ (0.96 g, 0.01 mol) in dry THF (25 mL) was placed in a 10-mL round-bottomed flask under argon and the solution was cooled to -70°C in a dry ice-acetone bath. n-Butyllithium (1.2 M in hexane, 9.1 mL, 0.011 mole) was added dropwise via syringe (over ca. 5 min) and the mixture was stirred for 15 min after the end of the addition. HMPA (1.75 mL, 0.01 mol) was then added and 2–(4–bromobutoxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran 182 (2.61 g, 0.011 mol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was injected (over ca. 1 min) into the acetylide solution. The cooling bath was removed and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 48 h, the solvent was 🦋 evaporated and the residue was dissolved in ether (35 mL). The protecting group was removed by stirring the ether solution for 2 h with 3 N aqueous HCI (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with saturated cupric sulfate solution (to remove HMPA), dried (MgSQ₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave 105 (0.51 g; 30%) ás a homogeneous (TLC, silica, 8:2 hexane- (ethyl acetate) oil: IR (neat) 3030-3640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₁, 80 MHz) δ 0.89 (t, J = 7.0Hz. 3H), 1.10-1.80 (m, 6H), 2.00-2.60 (m, 5H), 3.65 (broad t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₁, 22.6 MHz) δ 14.0, 18.7, 22.2, 23.2, 28.7, 31.1, 61.4, 76.2, 82.7.

1-Phenyl-7-tridecyne-3-ol 106:

a) 1-0xo-5-undecyne 183: 5-Undecyne 1-ol (0.38 g, 2.26 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added to arktissed mixture of pyridinium chlorochromate (1.72 g, 8 mmol) and 3A Molecular size calls to control that the (25 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and the resulting brown slurry was filtered by suction through a pad of "normal" silica get (2 × 4 cm) using hexane for washings. Removal of the solvents gave the crude aldenyde (IR) which was used directly for the next stage

b) 1-Phenyl-7-tridecyne-3-ol 106: The Gright states to prepared in ether (5 mL) , from magnesium turnings (0.097 g, 4 mmol) and (2* thyl)benzene (0.4218, g* 3mmol) was added dropwise (ca. 1 min) to a stirred solution If the above aldehyde in ether (20 mL). After a further period of 15 min, the stirrection mixture was guenched by dropwise addition of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (2 mL). The organic phase was separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with ether (1 x 10 mL) and the combined organic solution was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 5 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave the pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) alcohol 106 (0.40 g, 66%): IR (neat) 3120-3670, 1607 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) 0 0.89 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.10–2.40 (m, 17H), 2.75 (dt, J = 7.4, 3.6 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (broad m, 1H), 7.06-7.40 (broad s, 5H); 13 C*NMR (CDCI, 15.08 MHz) δ 14.0. 18.8, 22.3, 25.3, 28.9, 31.2, 32.1, 36.8, 39.2, 71.0, 79.8, 80.9, 125.9, 128.5, 142.5; exact mass, m/e 272.2134 (calcd for C1.H, 0, m/e 272.2140)

1//-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid *O*-(1-phenyl-7-tridecyn-3-yl) ester 107: Alcohol 106 (0.36 g, 1.32 mmol) and 1, 1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole³³⁷ (0.45 g, 2.50 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed under argon for 36 h. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) using 7:3 hexane-ethyl acetate gave the pure (TLC, silica, 7:3 hexane-ethyl acetate) alkoxythiocarbonylazole 107 (0.330 1 g, 65%) as an oil: IR (neat) 1609 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.89 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H). 1.10-2.35 (m, 16H), 2.75 (dt, J = 8.4, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 5.68 (q', J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (broad s, 1H), 7.05-7.40 (broad s, 5H), 7.55 (broad s, 1H), 8.2 (broad s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 13.9, 18.6, 22.2, 24.5, 28.8, 31.1, 31.6, 32.3, 34.9, 78.9, 84.1, 117.9, 126.2, 128.2, 128.5, 130.7, 136.7, 183.9; exact mass, m/e 382.2081 (calcd for C₂₃H₃₀N₂OS; C, 72.21; H, 7.90; N, 7.32. Found: C, 72.25; H, 7.90; N, 7.07.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazole 107; *(E)*-1-hexylidene-2+ (2-phenylethyl)cyclopentane 109: The general procedure was followed using the alkoxythiocarbo**yti**midazole 107 (0.175 g. 0.458 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.16 mL, 0.0 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AlBN (0.010 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.5 x-15 cm) with hexane gave the alkene 109 (0.095 g. 81%) as a pure (TLC; silica, hexane) oil: IR (neat) 1606 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.89 (t. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.44 (m, 7H), 1.48–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.89–2.08 (m, 4H), 2.16–2.40 (m, 3H), 2.56–2.80 (m, 2H), 5.28 (qt, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.16–7.39 (m, 5H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 14.1422.7, 24.2, 29.2, 29.4, 31.7, 32.8, 34.2, 36.6, 43.9, 120.4, 125.6, 128.3, 128.4, 143.1, 146.1; exact mass; m/e 256.2190 (calcd for C₁₉H₂₁, m/e 256.2191); Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₁ C, 88.99; H, 11.01. Found C, 88.80; H, 11.07.

Ozonolysis of Compound 109: This experiment was done by Lu Set Ozone was bubbled for 20 min through a solution of alkene 109 (0.047 g, 0.18 mmol) in methanol (6 mL) at -78°C. Excess of ozone was removed by a stream of argon (*ca.* 5 min) and dimethylsulfide (5 drops) was added to the mixture which was then stirred for 2 h and allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 x 20 cm) with 95.5/hexane-ethyl acetate gave cyclopentanone 110 as a pure (TLC, silica, 9 1 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil-which had IR (neat) 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.60 (m, 2H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 2.00-2.24 (m, 4H), 2.24-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.62-2.81 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.38 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 20.6, 29.6, 31.3, 33.5, 38.0; 48.2, 125.8, 128.2, 141.5, 220.9. The material was compared spectroscopically with an authentic sample (see p. 183).

Keto ester 111:¹⁴⁷ This experiment was done by Lu/Set: (2-Bromoethyllbenzene¹⁴¹ (1.45 mL, 1.062 mmol) in DMSO (1 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added dropwise (*ca* 2 min) to a solution of the potassium salt of 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylic acid ethyl ester¹⁴⁹ (2.065 g, 1.062 mmol) in dry DMSO (10 mL) under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, poured into water (30 mL) and extracted with pentane (3 x 15 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. The crude material was used 澎

Authentic 2-(2-phenylethyl)cyclopentanone 110:¹⁴¹ This experiment was done by Lu Set Crude ketoester 111 was refluxed overnight in 3 N HCl (100 mL). After being cooled to room temperature, the solution was extracted with pentane (3 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (20 mL) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride (20 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (4 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate). 2=22 phenylethyllcyclopentanone 110 (0.721 g, 36%). The material was identical (TLC, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) with the ketone 110 obtained by ozonolysis of alkene 109. It had IR (neat) 1735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.53 (m, 2H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.89-2.15 (m, 4H), 2.15-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.56-2.77 (m, 2H), 710-7.30 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 20.7, 29.7, 31.4, 33.6, 38.2, 48.4, 125.9, 128.4, 141.6, 220.9, exact mass, m/e 188.1202 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O, m/e 188.1201).

(4-Bromo-1-butynyl)benzene 114:¹³⁰ Bromine (1.60 g. 0.01 mol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of triphenyl phosphite (3.10 g. 0.01 mol) in dry ether (50 mL) at 0°C. 4-Phenyl-3-butyn-1+ol¹³¹ (1.46 g. 0.01 mol) and pyridine (0.9 mL, 0.01 mol) in ether (10 mL) were added dropwise and the resulting white slurry was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Water (50 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 N aqueous HCl (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue over "normal" silica gel (2.5 x 15 cm³ with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate and Kugelrohr distillation [bp 135-140°C (9 mm)] gave the pure (TLC, silica, 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate) bromide (1.55 g, 74%). IR (neat) 1596 cm⁻¹ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 2.39, 29.5, 82.5, 86.6, 123.3, 128.1, 128.3, 131.8.

4-Phenyl-3-butyn-1-ol 4-methylbenzenesulfonate 115: 4-Phenyl-3-butyne-1-0¹³¹ (2.11 g, 14.43 mmol), pyridine (3:5 mL, 43 mmol), p-toluenesulfonyl choride (3:05 g, 16 mmol) and a catalytic amount of N,N-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine (0:010 g, 0:08 mmol) were added in that order to dry benzene (50 mL) and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 days. The mixture was poured into water (50 mL) and the organic phase was washed with 3 N aqueous HCl (2 x 20 mL). It was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 82 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 7:3 hexane-ethyl acetate) tosylate 115 (2.26 g. 52%) IR (neat) 1601, 1360, 1175 cm⁻¹, ³H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.75 (t, J =¹ 7.0 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (m, 7H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H).

4-Bromo-1-butyne 113:²³² Bromine (15.9B g, 5.12 mL, 0.1 mol) was added dropwise to a solution of triphenyl phosphite (34.13 g, 0.11 mol) in dry ether (50 mL) at 0°C_3-Butyne+1-ol (Aldrich, 7.01 g, 0.1 mol) and pyridine (8.9 mL, 0.11 mol) in dry ether (25mL) were added dropwise and the resulting white slurry was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The mixture was poured into water (50 mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 50mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 A aqueous HCI (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Distillation (bp 95-96°C) gave bromide **113** (4.07 g, 30%). IR (neat) 3295, 2125 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI, B0 MHz). δ 2 10 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dt, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 343 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H).

3-Nonyn-1-ol 184:¹³³ Ethylmagnesium bromide was prepared from magnesium turnings (25 g. 1.03 mol) and bromoethane (109.0 g. 75 mL, 1.0 mol) in dry ether (1000 mL). 1-Heptyne¹⁴⁴ (96.17 g. 1 mol) was added dropwise (over *ca.* 1 h) and the solution was refluxed for 20 h. It was cooled to 0°C, the flask was equipped with a dry ice reflux condenser, and ethylene oxide (Eastman, 100 g. 2.27 mol) in ether (50 mL) was added dropwise (over *ca.* 30 min). Stirring was continued for a further 2 h at which stage the mixture solidified. Aqueous 6 *N* HCl was added slowly at 0°C and the solids dissolved The mixture was extracted with ether (3 x 100mL) and the combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous sodium chloride (100 mL), dried (Na₂SÕ₄) and evaporated. Distillation (bp 104-105°C (9 mm)) gave the pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) alcohol **184** (71.0 g. 50%). IR (neat) 3060-3640 cm⁶¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H), 1.10-1.90 (m, 11H), 2.15 (m, 4H), 3.63 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H).

1-Bromo-3-nonyne 117:¹³⁴ Bromine (11.60 mL, 0.226 mol) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of striphenyl phosphite (70.25 g, 0.226 mol) in ether (200mL) at 0°C. Alcohol 184 (28.04 g, 0.2mol) and pyridine (18.3 mL, 0.226 mol) in ether (25 mL) were added slowly (over *ca*. 30 min) and the resulting white slurry was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water (50 mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 N agleous HCI (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. The residue was distilled [bp 107-108°C (14 mm)] to give the bromide (35.45 g, 87%) which was pure (> 98% VPC) and had IR (neat) no OH band, ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90 (t, J = 66 Hz, 3H), 1.00-1.70 (m, 6H), 2.13 (m, 2H), 2.70 (tt, J = 7.6, 2.5 Hz, H), 3.41 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H); ¹C NMR (CDCI₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 14.0, 18.7, 22.2, 23.4, 28.6, 30.4, 31.1, 82.8

Alkylation of N-cyclohexylidene-cyclohexanamine 74 with 1-bromononyne 117; 2-(3-nonynyl)cyclohexanone 118: Lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) was prepared at -20°C by addition of n-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane, 6.8 mL, 11mmol) to a solution of diisopropylamine (1.1.1 g, 1.1 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL). The solution was allowed to warm to 0°C over 15 min and imine 74 (p. 172, 1.79 g. 10 mmol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added dropwise at this temperature. After 30 min, bromide 117 (2.03 g. 10 mmol) in THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h. At this point no more bromide could be detected by VPC. The mixture was cooled to room. temperature, stirred for 30 min with 3 N aqueous HCI (10 mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 N aqueous HCI (10 mL), dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to afford a mixture of two products that could not be separated by TLC: The major product (60%, ¹H NMR) was shown (IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) to be an allene: IR (neat) 1960, 1710 cm⁻¹, H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90–2.50 (m, 22H), 4.95 (q. J = 4.0 Hz, 2H) ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 212.8. The minor isomer (40%, ¹H NMR) was the desired acetylenic ketone 118: IR (neat) 1711 cm-1; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) & 0.90 (t, J = 6.4Hz, 3H), 1.00–2.60 (m, 2.1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 14.0, 16.5, 18.8, 22.3, 25.2, 28.1, 28.8, 31.1, 33.9, 42.2, 49.4, 79.6, 80.8, 212.9.

1,1,4-Tribromo-1-butene 121:

a) 3-Bromo-1-propanal 120:¹³³ The compound was made by the literature procedure¹³³ Hydrogen bromide was bubbled slowly for *ca.* 2 h through a solution of propenal (12.7 mL, 0.2 mol) in dichloromethane (50mL). Excess of HBr was displaced by a stream of argon and the aldehyde solution was dried (Na,SO₄) and evaporated.

b) (Dibromomethylene) triphenylphosphorane:¹¹? The reagent was prepared by the literature procedure¹¹? from triphenylphosphine (100.00 g, 0.381 mol), carbon tetrabromide (126.26 g, 0.381 mol) and zinc dust (24.88 g, 0.381 mol) in dichloromethane (500 mL).

c) 1,1,4-Tribromo-1-butene 121: The bromoaldehyde [see (a) above] in dichloromethane (50 mL) was added to the Wittig reagent [see (b) above] and the mixture was stirred mechanically overnight at room temperature. It was diluted with pentane (1000 mL) and the supernatant was decanted: The black residue was extracted twice with pentane (2 x 200 mL) and the combined pentane solutions were evaporated. The product was partially purified by passage through a pad of "normal" silica gel (5 x 20 cm) using 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate as eluant. Spinning band distillation [bp 97-99°C (12 mm)] then gave the desired material "H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.67 (q, J = 7.0Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.2, Hz. 2H), 6.44 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H).

Attempted alkylation of 1–(1–cyclohexen–1–yl)pyrrolidine 122 with 1,1,4– tribromo–1–butene 121: The enamine 122 (p. 172, 0.50 g. 3.31 mmol) and the tribromide. 121 (p. 186, 0.99 g. 3.31 mmol) in acetonitrile (15 mL) were refluxed for 16 h under argon. The mixture was then hydrolyzed by addition of water (5 mL) and a further period (30 min) of reflux. The solvents were evaporated. The residue was extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The crude product consisted (¹H NMR) of cyclohexanone and the starting bromide: ¹HNMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.67 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H).

(E)- and (Z)-3-Chloro-1-iodo-2-butene 123:134 A mixture of (E)- and (Z)-1,3-dichloro-2-butene 124137 (9.74 g, 77.9 mmol) and sodium iodide (14.99 g. 100 mmol) in dry acetone (50 mL) was refluxed for 20 h. The solvent was evaporated and the

residue was suspended in hexane. The resulting alurry was filtered by suction and the solvent was evaporated. Distillation of the residue [bp 76-81°C (22 mm)] gave the allylic iodide (12.24 g, 72%) as a brownish liquid which was decolorized by sonication with a drop of mercury followed by filtration through Celite (0.5 x 2 cm). The iodide was stored at -10°C over a drop of mercury. It had: ¹H NMR (CDCI₃: 80 MHz) δ 2.07 (s, 0.3H), 2.10 (s, 2.7H); 3.87 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 0.2H); 3.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.8H), 5.83 (broad t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H)

(E) and (Z)2-(3-Chloro-2-butenyi)-cyclohexanones 126:117

a) By alkylation of N-cyclohexylidene-cyclohexanamine 74. *n*-Butyllithium (0.85 M in hexane, 29 mL, 25 mmol) was added dropwise to imine 74 (p. 172, 4.35 g. 24.3 mmol) in ether (25 mL) at -70°C. The solution was then stirred for 2 h at -70°C. The cooling bath-was removed and stirring was continued for 2 h during which time the mixture attained room temperature. The temperature was lowered to -75°C and (E)- and (Z)-1,3-dichloro-2-butenes 124¹³⁷ (2.75*g. 22 mmol) in ether (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added. The solution was stirred 24 h during which period the cooling bath and the reaction mixture attained room temperature. Aqueous 3 N HCl (10 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was then extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL) and the combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and a evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation (bp 90-135°C (0.7mm)) gave the pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) ketone 126 (1.40 g. 34.1%).

(b) By alkylation of 1-(1-cyclohexen-1-yl)pyrrolidine 122: A mixture of the enamine 122 (p. 172, 0.76 g. 5 mmol) and (E^{\dagger} - and (Z^{\dagger} -3-chloro-1-iodo-2-butene 123¹³⁴ (p. 186, 1.08 g. 5 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was refluxed for 20 h under argon. Water (5 mL) was added and the mixture was refluxed for a further 30 min. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with ether (2 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation [bp 155-170°C (18 mm)] gave pure (TLC, silica, 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate) ketone 126 (0.550 g. 59%).

c) By alkylation of (1-cyclohexen-1-yloxy)trimethylsilane 127: Methyllithium (1.24 M in ether, 2.5 mL, 2 mmol) was added dropwise to silvl enot ether, 127¹³¹ (0.34 g, 2 mmol) in ether (10 mL) at room temperature and under argon. Stirring was continued for 15 min and (E)- and (Z)-3-chloro-1-iodo-2-butenes 123¹³⁴ (p. 186, 0.43 g, 2 mmol) in

ether (2 mL + 1 mL) rinse) was added. Stirring was continued for 48 h, the slurry was then filtered by suction and the solvent was evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation of the residue [bp 130-180°C (10 mm)] gave pure (TLC, silica, 8: 2 hexane-ethyl acetate) ketone 126 (1.75 g. 47%): IR (neat) 1712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.44 (qd, J = 11.7, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.89 (m, 1H); 2.00-2.60 (m, 7H), 2.10 (d, J = 1, 3 Hz, 3H), 5.53 (qt, J = 7.0, 12 Hz, 0.86H), 5.59 (qt, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 0.14H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ major isomer 25.1, 26.2, 27.9, 28.9, 33.7, 42.0, 50.2, 123.7, 131.3, 2.12 (0; minor isomer: 25.2, 27.7, 28.7, 30.8, 31.9, 58.8, 123.6, 125.5; exact mass, m/e 186.0811 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₃ClO, m/e 1/86.0811).

cis- and *trans*-2-[3-Chloro-(*E*)- and (*Z*)-2-butenyl]cyclohexanols 128: Lithium aluminum hydride (1 M in THF, 3 mL, 3 mmol) was added dropwise to a mixture of ketones" 126 (1.64 g, 8.8 mmol) in ether (20 mL). After a reaction period of 15 min the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (2 mL) and extracted with ether (10 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2.5 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate gave alcohols 128 (1.20 g, 72%) as a pure (TLC, silica, 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil. IR (neat) 3100-3660 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.90-2.60 (m, 1.2H), 2.14 (broad s, 3H). 3.30 (m, 0.3H), 3.44 (m, 0.3H), 3.88 (m, 0.3H), 3.96 (m, 0.1H), 5.45-5.68 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ 19.8, 20.3, 22.6, 22.9, 24.8, 25.0, 25.1; 25.2, 25.5, 25.8, 26.0, 26.1, 26.6, 28.4, 30/0, 30.4, 31.2, 31.7, 32.1, 32.8, 34.0, 35.4, 41.2, 45.0, 55.0, 66.0, 68.9, 70.5, 74.2, 74.⁶4, 122.2, 124.0, 124.3; exact mass, m/e 188.0953 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₁, ³³CIO, m/e 188.0968).

Dehatogenation of alcohols 128; *cis*- and *trans*-2-(3-butynyl)cyclohexanols 119a and 119b: The potassium salt of 1,3-diamino proparte (KAPA) (6.5 mmol) was prepared by dissolving potassium hydride (24% oil dispersion, 1.05 g, 6.5 mmol) in dry 1,3-diaminopropane (5 mL) at 0°C.¹¹³ After a reaction period of 30 min, the chloro alcohols 128 (0.1887 g, 1.0 mmol) in hexane (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) were added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0°C. The reaction mixture was quenched by dropwise addition of water (3 mL), and extracted with ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane- ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) alcohols 119a and 119b (0.093 g, 60%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:3.8. The material had: IR (neat) 3100-3640, 3312, 2250 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90-2.50 (m, 14H), 1.75 (t, J = 2.5Hz, 1H), 3.32 (144) former, m, J_{1/2} = 24 Hz, 0.80H), 4.01 (*cis*-isomer, m, J_{1/2} = 8 Hz, 0.20H); ¹³C **152** MHz) δ *cis*- isomer 119a: 16.3, 23.4, 24.7, 25.1, 28.5, 29.4, 39.4, 45.5, m/e 152.1183 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄0, m/e 152.1201).

2-(2-Chloro-2-propenyl)cyclohexanone 130:¹³¹ Sodium amide (0.51 mol) was prepared by dissolving sodium metal (11.73 g, 0.51 mol) in liquid ammortia (200 mL) containing a few crystals of ferric nitrate. Dry benzene (200 mL) was added and the ammonia was allowed to evaporate. Cyclohexanone (49.1 g, 0.5 mol) was added and the sturry was refluxed for 18 h under argon 2, 3-Dichloro-1-propene (55.49 g, 0.5 mol) was added and refluxing was continued for another 24 h. The mixture was cooled, poured into water (200 mL) and extracted with ether (2 x 200 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Distillation [bp 111-113°C (9 mm)] gave pure (> 97%, VPC) 130 (18.00 g, 21%); IR (neat) 1710, 1635, 888 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.00-3.10 (m, 11H), 5.60 (broad s, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 15,1 MHz) δ 25.1, 28.0, 33.1, 39.1, 42.2, 47.8, 114.1, 140.8, 211.4.

2-(2-propynyl)cyclohexanone 137 by dehalogenation of 130:¹⁴⁰ Sodium amide (0.05 mol) was prepared from sodium metal (1.15 g, 0.05 mol), liquid ammonia (25 mL) and a catalytic amount of ferric nitrate. Ketone 130 (1.73 g, 0.01 mol) was added neat followed by dry benzene (50 mL). The ammonia was allowed to evaporate (stirring) and the resulting slurry was refluxed for 24 h. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (100 mL) and extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL). The combined extract was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation (bp 180-210°C) of the residue gave pure (¹H NMR) 131 (0.75 g, 55%). It was identical to material prepared by alkylation of 1-(1-cyclohexen-1-yl)pyrrolidine with 3-chloro-1-propyne (see nextexperiment).

35

2-(2-Propynyl)cyclohexenone 131:¹⁴⁹ 1-(1-Cylohexen-1-yl)pyrrolidine (p. 172) was alkylated with 3-chloro-1-propyne according to a procedure described in the literature ¹⁴⁰ The compound had bp 102-106°C (14 mm) [lit.¹⁴⁰ 93-95°C (12 mm)]; IR (neat) 3290, 2130, 1708 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.12-2.75 (m, 11H), 1.95 (t, J = 30 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 1.8.9, 25.1, 27.9, 33.3, 42.0, 49.6, 69.5, 82.6, 210.8

ß

cis- and *trans*-2-(2-Propynyl)cyclohexanols 132a and 132b:¹⁴⁰ The literature procedure¹⁴⁰ was followed using ketone 131 (15.50 g, 0.1138 mol) and lithium aluminum hydride (1.50 g, 0.0395 mol) in THF (50 mL). Alcohols 132a and 132b (13.84 g, 88%) were obtained pure (TLC, silice 8-1 mane-ethyl acetate) after distillation (bp 83-90 (1.4 mm)) llit¹⁴⁰ bp 81-82°C (2-3 mane-ethyl acetate) after distillation (bp 83-90 (1.4 mm)) llit¹⁴⁰ bp 81-82°C (2-3 mane-ethyl acetate) after distillation (bp 83-90 (1.4 mm)) 300, 2125 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.95-3004 for the distillation (bp 83-90 (1.4 mm)) 3.36 (*trans*-isomer, dt. J = 10.0, 4.5 Hz, 0.63 H), 4.04 (*cis*-isomer, brpad m, 0.37H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ *cis*-isomer 132a 20.1, 21.5, 25.1, 26.3, 33.0, 40.9, 68.2, 69.2, 83.4, *trans*-isomer 132b 21.8, 24.9, 25.4, 30.3, 35.5, 43.9, 69.7, 73.4, 82.9; exact mass, m/e 138 1028 (calcd for C₉H₁₄O, m/e 138 1045).

cis- and *trans*-2-(2-Butynyl)cyclohexanols 133a and 133b: n-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 1.3 mL, 1.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of alcohols 137ab (0.065 g, 0.468 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at 0°C. The solution was stirred for 5 min and iodomethane (0.03 mL, 0.5 mmol) was added. Stirring was continued overnight at room temperature and the solution was evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate gave an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate) mixture of *cis*- and *trans*- 133ab (0.061 g, 85%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.26 as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3040-3640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.07-2.04 (m, 8H), 2.00 (t, J = 2:8 Hz, 3H), 2.04-2.56 [m, 3H, including: 2.20 (*cis*-isomer, dd, J = 6.6, 2.8 Hz), 2.25 (*cis*-isomer, dd, J = 2.9, 1.7 Hz), 2.28 (*cis*-isomer, t, J = 2.4 Hz), 2.34 (*trans*-isomer, dd, J = 4.1, 2.8Hz), 4.53 (*cis*-isomer, dd, J = 4.1, 2.8Hz), 3.35 (*trans*-isomer, dt, J = 10.0, 4.8Hz, 0.72 H), 4.04 (*cis*-isomer, broad m, 0.28H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ *cis*- isomer 133a: 20.2, 21.4, 26.3, 33.0, 41.0, 68.3, 69.3, 83.7; *trans*-isomer 133b: 21.8, 25.0, 25.5, 30.3, 35.6, 44.0.

69 7, 73.3, 83 1; exact mass, m/e 152 1201 (calcd for CijHi,O, m/e 152 1201).

Na

Base isomerization of alcohols 133a and 133b; *c/s-* and *trans-2-(3-butynyl)*cyclohexanols 119a and 119b: The potassium salt of 1,3-diaminopropane¹¹¹ (KAPA) was prepared at 0°C according to the literature procedure¹¹¹ from 1,3-diaminopropane (4 mL) and potassium hydride (24% oil dispersion, 3 mmol). The *c/s-* and *trans-alcohols* 133ab (0.152 g, 1.0 mmol) in hexane (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) were added and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. The resulting brown slurry was poured into water (20mL), made acidic with 3 *N* aqueous HCI and extracted with ether (2 x 20mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 82 hexane-ethyl acetate gave (¹H NMR) a mixture of starting alcohols and the corresponding isomerized alcohols 119a and 119b. The yields were not reproducible (24-68%) and depended on temperature and reaction times. The mixture of *c/s-* and *trans-* alcohols 119ab in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.4, had IR (neat) 3040-3650, 3400, 2118 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.80-2.50 (m, 14H), 1.93 (t. J= 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (*trans-* isomer, broad m, J_{1/2} = 22 Hz, 0.8H), 3.90 (*c/s-* isomer, m, J_{1/2} = 7.0Hz, 0.2H), exact mass, m/e 152 1197 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O, m/e 152 1201).

Trans-2-(1-butynyl)cyclohexanol 135: 1-Butyne (*ca.* 1 mL) was condensed into a 50 mL three-necked flask cooled to -70°C under an argon atmosphere and containing dry THF (20 mL). *n*-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 5.8 mL, 7.5 mmol) was added dropwise After a further 10 min at -70°C, boron trifluoride etherate (0.93 mL, 7.5 mmol) was injected followed after another 10 min by cyclohexene oxide (Aldrich, 0.49 g, 0.51 mL, 5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -70°C, quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (20 mL) and extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 7.3 hexane—ethyl acetate) 135 (0.62 g, 81%); IR (neat) 3060-3640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.0 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.00-2.50 (m, 11H), 2.42 (s, 1H), 3.37 (dt, J = 8.5, 4.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 12.3, 14.1, 24.1, 24.7, 31.2, 32.9, 38.8, 73.6, 80.4, 83.8, exact mass, m/e 152.1199 (calcd for C₁₉H₁₄O, m/e 152.1201).¹²⁹

Isomerization of alcohol 135; *cis*- and *trans*-2-(3-butynyl)cyclohexanois 119a and 119b: The potassium salt of 1,3-diaminopropane (KAPA) (6 mmol) in 1,3-diaminopropane (3 mL) was prepared according to the literature procedure ¹¹¹ The solution was cooled to 0°C and alcohol 135 (0 1522 g. 1 mmol) in hexane (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at 0°C. It was quenched with water and extracted with ether (2 x 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (1 x 15 cm) with 82 hexane—ethyl acetate gave alcohol 119 (0 051 g, 33%) as a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*isomers which was identical (TLC, IR, ¹H NMR) to that obtained by isomerization of alcohols 133a and 133b (p. 191).

5-Bromo-1-pentyne 185¹⁴¹ Bromine (15.98 g. 5.1 mL, 0.1 mol) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred solution of triphenyl phosphite (343 g. 0.11 mol) in dry ether (50 mL) at 0°C. The orange complex was stirred at 0°C for about 5 min and a solution of 4-pentyne-1-ol¹⁴² (8.41 g. 0.1 mol) and pyridine (8.9 mL, 0.11 mol) in dry ether (25 mL) was added dropwise over *ca.* 10 min. The ice bath was removed and the resulting white slurry was stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was poured into water (50 mL) and the product was extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 *N* aqueous HCI (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated Distillation of the residue (bp 139–141°C) gave 5-bromo-1-pentyne (9.92 g. 67%) as a colorless, pure (IR, NMR) liquid IR (neat) 3295, 2111 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.03 (m, 3H), 2.25–2.60 (m, 2H), 3.50 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H).

2-(4-pentynyl)cyclohexanone 140:¹⁴³ Ethylmagnesium bromide (2.19 M in ether, 10 mL, 22 mmol) was added dropwise, under an argon atmosphere to a magnetically stirred solution of *N*-cyclohexylidene-cyclohexanamine 74 (3.59 g, 20 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 18 h and then cooled to room temperature 5-Bromo-1-pentyne 185 (2.95 g, 20 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added in one portion and the mixture was refluxed for a further 24 h and then cooled to room temperature. The solution was diluted with 3 *N* aqueous HCI (20 mL) and stirred for 48 h. The THF was then evaporated and the residue was extracted with ether (3 x 25 mL). The

combined extracts were washed with 3 N aqueous HCI (20 mL), dried (MgSQ₄) and concentrated. Flash chromatography of the residual oil over silica gel (3 x 15 cm) with 9 1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave ketone 140 (1.62 g. 49%) as a pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-acetate) coloriess oil IR (neat) 3290, 2122, 1706 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 200 MHz) δ 1 19-1.97 (m; 8H), 1.94 (t. J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.97-2.48 (m, 5H), 2.19 (dt. J = 7.1, 2.6 Hz, 2H), ¹¹C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 18.6 25.0, 26.2, 28.0, 28.8, 34.0, 42.0, 50.3, 68.4, 84.3, 212.6 exact mass m/e 164.1196 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₄O, m/e 164.120.1)

c/s- and *trans*-2-(4-Pentynyl)cyclohexanols 141a and 141b: A THF solution of Ithium aluminum hydride (Aldrich, 1 M in THF, 0.5 mL, 0.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred solution of ketone 140 (1.37 g, 8.34 mmol) in dry ether (5 mL). Stirring was continued for 10 min at room temperature and the reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (1 mL). The mixture was extracted with ether (2 x 10 mL) and the combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to give an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) mixture of *c/s*- and *trans*-2-(4-pentynyl)cyclohexanols 141a and 141b (1.15 g, 8.3%) in a ratio of 1.1.7 (¹H NMR) IR (neat) 3100-3650, 3310, 2123 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.80-2.00 (m, 1.3H); 1.96 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.00-2.36 (m, 1H), 2.19 (dt, J = 6.8.2.8 Hz, 2H), 3.20 (*trans*- isomer, dt, J = 8.8, 4.5 Hz, 0.63H), 3.85 (*c/s*- isomer, broad s, 0.37 H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz). δ *trans*- isomer 141b, 20.6, 24.9, 25.6, 25.8, 30.3, 31.6, 35.8, 44.8, 68.3, 74.5, 84.7, *c/s*- isomer 141a, 18.7, 18.8, 25.2, 26.2, 26.7, 31.1, 33.1, 41.0, 68.3, 69.3, 84.7; exact mass, m/e 14.8.1240 (calcd for C₁₁H₁₄, (M⁻-H₂O), m/e 14.8.1252)

c/s- and trans- 1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid O-[2-(4-pentynyl)-cyclo-hexyl] esters 142a and 142b: Alcohols 141a and 141b (0.41 g, 2.47 mmol) and 1,1-thio-carbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (0.89 g, 5 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed under argon for 24 h Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a pure (TLC, silica, 7:4 hexane-ethyl acetate) mixture (0.505 g, 74%) of 142a and 142b in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:1.7: IR (neat) 3300, 2121 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz). δ 0,84-2.01 (m, 12H), 1.90 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dt, J =

7 1. 2 7 Hz. 2H). 2 28 (m. 1H). 5 29 (trans-isomer, dt. J = 10 1, 4 4 Hz, 0.62H). 5 76 (c/sisomer, broad ± 0.38H). 7 04 (trans-isomer, q, J = 1.0 Hz, 0.62H). 7 06 (c/s- isomer, q, J = 1.0 Hz, 0.38H). 7 65 (t. J = 1.0Hz, 1H). 8 36 (trans-isomer, t. J = 1.0 Hz, 0.62H). 8 37 (c/sisomer, t. J = 1.0 Hz, 0.38H). ¹¹C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz). δ trans-isomer 142b, 190, 24.7 25.2, 25.8, 30.4, 30.8, 31.3, 41.7, 69.2, 84.5, 87.4, 118.4, 131.2, 137.2, c/s- isomer 142a, 21.7, 25.3, 26.3, 28.7, 29.4, 31.6, 37.1, 40.5, 69.2, 83.3, 84.5, 118.3, 131.2, 137.2, exact mass, m/e 276, 1289 (calcd for C₁₃H₁₂N₃OS, m/e 276, 1296)

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 142a and 142b; *cis*- and *trans*decahydro-1-methylene-naphthalene 144a and 144b:¹⁴⁴ The general procedure was followed using the mixture of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 142a and 142b (0.166 g. 0.6 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.26 mL, 1.0 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.010 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent Kugelrohr distillation (bp. 110–165°C (20 mm)] gave a mixture (0.022 g. 24%) of *cis*- and *trans*decahydro-1-methylene-naphthalene 144a and 144b in the ratio (¹H NIMR) of 1.2. The material was 95% pure (VPC) and had ¹H NIMR (CDCI₁, 400 MHz) δ 0.80–1.90 (m. 13H) 2.01 (*trans*- isomer, dt, J = 13.6, 4.5 Hz, 0.66H), 2.00–2.10 (*cis*- isomer, m, 0.33H), 2.10–2.20 (*cis*- isomer, m, 0.33H), 2.34 (*trans*- isomer, broad s, 0.66H), 4.60 (*cis*isomer, broad s, 0.33H), 4.64 (*cis*- and *trans*isomer, broad s, 0.33H), 4.64 (*cis*- 4.54 (*trans*- 1.554), 4.557 (*cis*- 2.574), 3.467 (*cis*-4.49, 4.757 (10.38, 153.6)

Authentic c/s-decahydro-1-methylene-naphthalene 144a:¹⁴⁴ c/s-Octahydro-1(2H)-naphthalenone was prepared by PCC oxidation of (1 β , 4a α , 8a α)-decahydro-1naphthalenol by a literature procedure¹⁴³ and had IR (neat) 1705 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 100 MHz) δ 100-2.50 (m, 15H), 2.54 (q, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 22.9, 23.3, 24.5, 25.1, 29.0, 29.1, 39.0, 40.5, 50.5, 21.3.2, exact mass, m/e 152.1.199 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O, m/e 152.1201). The ketone was used in the following way: *n*-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 1.3 mL, 1 mmol) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (Aldrich, 0.3572 g, 1.0 mmol) in dry

THE (5 mL). The orange solution was stirred 15 min at room temperature and the ketone (0.12 g. 0.78 mmol) in THE (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added slowly (over *ca.* 2 min). After a further period of 10 min, the yellowish slurry was concentrated and Kugelrohr distillation of the residue [bp. 120–130°C (20 mm)] gave pure (99%, VPC) 144a (0.10 g. 85%). ¹H NMR (CDCI₁, 400 MHz) δ 0.90–2.40 (m. 16H). 4.52 (*trans*- isomer impurity: q. J = 1.5 Hz. 0.1H). 4.60 (broad s. 0.45H), 4.63 (broad m. 0.47H). ¹³C NMR (CDCI₁, 22.6 MHz). δ 22.0, 25.7 26.9, 27.0, 27.4, 30.9, 32.0, 37.8, 45.5, 106.5, 153.3

Authentic trans- decemydro-1-methylene-nephthalene 144b:144 This authentic sample was prepared by Lu Set trans-Octahydro-1(2H)-naphthalenone was made by a literature procedure¹⁺⁵ and contained 82% transmand 18% cism isomers (¹¹C NMR). The ketone had ¹³C NMR (CDCI), 22.6 MHz) δ 25.2, 25.5, 25.8, 26.5, 33.1, 34.4, 41.8, 45.0, 55.1, 212.6 It was used in the following way n-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 2.1 mL 275 mmol) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium iodide (Aldrich, 1 111 g, 274 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL). The orange solution was stirred 15 min at room temperature and the ketone (0.3639 g. 2.4 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise over ca. 5 min. After a further period of 1 h, the yellowish solution was refluxed for 4 h and evaporated. The residue was diluted with ether (50 mL) and the solution was washed with 2 N aqueous HCi (10 mL) and saturated aqueous sodium chloride. The solution was dried (MgSO,) and concentrated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with hexane gave the apparently pure (VPC) alkene 144b The material had: IR (neat) 1690 cm 1 1 H NMR (CDCI, 400 MHz) δ 0.80–1.92 (m. 14H). 201 (dt, J = 13.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 233 (dq, J = 131, 16 Hz, 1H), 451 (q, J = 14 Hz, 042H). 4.60 (c/s- isomer impurity, broad s, 0.05H), 4.61 (q, J = 1.9 Hz, 0.53H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 26.5, 26.6, 28.2, 29.1, 34.6, 34.8, 37.2, 44.9, 47.4, 103.8, 153.6, exact mass, m/e 150 1407 (calcd for C₁₁H₁₁, m/e 150 1408)

(5-lodo-1-pentynyl)benzene 146:¹⁴⁶ A solution of (5-chloro-1-pentynyl)benzene¹⁴⁷ (2.32 g, 13 mmol) and anhydrous sodium iodide (6.00 g, 40 mmol) in dry acetone (25 mL) was stirred magnetically and refluxed for 4,8 h under argon. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the resulting slurry was extracted with hexane. The

solvent was evaporated and the pure (¹H NMR) iodide (3.51 g, 100%) was used directly in the next stage. The material had: ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 2.06 (q', J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.55 t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.08-7.55 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 5.3, 20.4, 32.1, 81.7, 87.7, 123.5, 127.7, 128.1, 131.5; exact mass, m/e 270.9938 (calcd for ¹²C₁₀ ¹³CH₁₁I, m/e 270.9939).

1

2-(5-Phenyl-4-pentyne)cyclohexanone 147: Ethylmagnesium bromide was prepared in THF (20 mL) and under argon from magnesium turnings (0.073 g, 3 mmol) and bromoethane (0.327 g, 3 mmol). N-Cyclohexylidene-cyclohexanamine 74 (see p. 172) (0.54 g, 3 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was added via syringe and with stirring The mixture was refluxed overnight and then (5-iodo-1-pentynyl)benzene 146 (0.81 g, 3 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL + 1 mL rinse) was injected into the hot mixture. Refluxing was continued for a further 24 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and stirred for 30 min with 3 N aqueous HCI (10 mL). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was extracted with ether (3 x 25 mL). The combined extracts were washed with 3 N aqueous HCI (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 9.1 hexane-ethyl acetate, ketone 147 (0.45 g, 62%) which had IR (neat) 1710 cm-1; ¹H NMR (CDCI, 200 MHz) δ 1.20–2.50 (m, 12H), 1.62 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.43 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H). 7.15–7.45 (m, 5H); 13 C NMR (CDCI, 22.6 MHz) δ 19.5, 24.8, 26.4, 27.9, 28.8, 33.9, 41.9, δ 50.2, 80.7, 89.9, 123.9, 127.4, 128.1, 131.4; exact mass, m/e 240.1512 (calcd for C1,H200, m/e 240.1514).

cis- and *trans-2-(5-Phenyl-4-pentynyl)cyclohexanols* 148a and 148b: A solution of lithium aluminum hydride (Aldrich, 1 M in THF, 0.07 mL, 0.07 mmol) was injected into a stirred solution of 2-(5-phenyl-4-pentynyl)-cyclohexanone 147 (0.042 g, 0.176 mmol) in dry ether (5 mL). Stirring was continued for 5 min and the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (1 mL). The aqueous phase was removed by pipette and the ether layer was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to give an apparently homogeneous (TLC, silica 8:2 hexane-ethyl acetate) mixture of *cis-* and *trans-* alcohols 148a and 148b (0.041 g, 96%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:2: IR (neat) 3130-3630, 2230, 1597

cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 0.78–2.04 (m, 14H), 2.40 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.21 (transisomer, broad m, J_{1/2} = 18 Hz, 0.67H), 3.87 (*cis*- isomer, broad m, J_{1/2} = 10 Hz, 0.33H), 7.14–7.44 (m, 5H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ trans- isomer **148b**: 19.9, 24.9, 25.6, 26.1, 30.3, 31.7, 35.8, 44.9, 74.6, 80.8, 90.4, 124.2, 127.5, 128.2, 131.6; *cis*- isomer **148a**: 19.7, 20.6, 25.2, 26.4, 26.7, 31.3, 33.2, 41.0, 53.8, 69.4, 90.3, 124.2, 127.5, 128.2, 131.6; exact mass, m/e 242.1663 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₂O, m/e 242.1671).

cis- and trans-1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid O-[2-(5-phenyl-4-pentynyl) cyclohexyl] esters 149a and 149b: The mixture of alcohols 148a and 148b (0.310 g, 1.28 mmol) and 1,1'-throcarbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (0.46 g, 2.6 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry 1,2-dichloroethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed for 20 h under argon. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7:3 hexane—ethyl acetate gave the pure (TLC, silica, 7:3 hexane ethyl acetate, 2 spots) mixture of cis- and trans- alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 149a and 149b (0.44 g, 98%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.1.7; IR (neat) 2240, 1601 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz) δ 1.04–2.05 (m, 12H), 2.26 (broad m, 1H), 2.39 (t, J = 7.0Hz, 2H), 5.28 (transisomer, dt, J = 9.6, 4.6 Hz, 0.63H), 5.76 (cis- isomer, broad m, J_{1/2} = 8.0 Hz, 0.37H), 6.90 (*trans*- isomer, dd, J = 1.7, 1.1 Hz, 0.63H); 6.99 (*cis*- isomer, dd, J = 1.5, 1.1 Hz, 0.37H). 7.18–7.40 (m, 5H), 7.56 (*trans*- isomer, t, J = 1.3 Hz, 0.63H), 7.99 (*cis*- isomer, t, J = 1.3 Hz, 0.37H), 8.32 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.2 MHz) δ c/s- isomer 149a 21.2, 24.8, 26.1, 28.2, 28.9, 31.3, 40.1, 82.9, 117.6, 123.8, 127.6, 128.2, 130.8, 131.5, 136.7, 183.7; trans- isomer 149b: 19.5, 24.1, 24.6, 25.6, 29.9, 30.3, 31.1, 41.3, 81.1, 86.9, 89.6, 117.6. 123.8, 127.6, 128.2, 130.7, 131.5, 136.9, 183.8; exact mass, m/e 352.1609 (calcd for C₁₁H₂₄N₂OS; m/e 352.1609); Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₄N₂OS; C, 71.55; H, 6.86; N, 7.98. Found C, 71.39; H, 6.84; N, 8.04.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 149a and 149b; *cis*- and *trans*decahydro-(*E*) and (*Z*)-benzylidene-naphthalenes 151ab and 151cd: The general procedure was followed using the mixture of *cis*- and *trans*- alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 149a and 149b (0.076 g, 0.215 mmol) in benzene (30 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.14 mL, 0.5mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.005 g, 0.04 mmol) in the same solvent

(10 mL). Evaporation of the solvent and Kugelrohr distillation [bp 130–180°C (18 mm)] gave a mixture of the four alkenes 151ab and 151cd (0.038, 79%) in a ratio (VPC) of 1.7.15.38 The material was >99% pure (VPC) and had IF (neat) 1598 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.80–3.00 (m, 16H), 6.12 (151a, broad s, 0.05H), 6.17 (151d, broad s, 0.56 H), 6.20 (151b, broad s, 0.12H), 6.31 (151c, broad s, 0.27H), 7.06–7.45 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 20.9, 21.4, 25.4, 26.1, 26.3, 26.4, 26.6, 26.7, 27.1, 27.7, 27.9, 28.3, 29.1, 30.4, 30.5, 31.5, 31.6; 31.8, 32.4, 33.4, 34.6, 34.7, 34.8, 35.1, 37.2, 37.3, 38.0, 39.7, 42.5, 45.2, 48.1, 48.3, 48.5, 119.1, 122.1, 122.2, 122.9, 125.7, 125.8, 127.2, 127.6, 128.0, 128.1, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.0, 137.3, 138.5, 138.7, 139.3, 139.8, 146.0, 146.7, 147.5; exact mass m/e 226.1724 (calcd for C₁,H₂, m/e 226.1721).

Authentic *cis*- decahydro-(*E*)- and (*Z*)-1-benzylidene-naphthalenes 151ab: These authentic samples were prepared by Lu Set. *n*-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexator). mL, 1.95 mmol) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred suspension of benzyltriphenylphosphonium chloride¹⁴¹ (0.76 g, 1.95 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL). The red solution was stirred for 15 min at room temperature and *cis*-octahydro-1(2*H*)naphthalenone¹⁴³ (0.325 g, 2.13 mmol) in dry THF (6 mL) was added dropwise over *ca*. 1 min. After a further period of 3 h the mixture was refluxed for 20 h. The solution was filtered and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation gave alkenes **151ab** as a mixture of isomers in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.61. The material had ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.00-3:00 (m, 16H), 6.13 (major isomer, broad s, 0.86 H), 6.29 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.14 H), 7.10-7.40 (m, 5H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer: 26.3, 26.6, 27.8, 29.0, 30.5, 34.8, 35.0, 45.1, 48.4, 119.0, 125.7, 127.9, 129.0, 146.6; minor isomer: 25.5, 25.8; 26.5, 30.4, 33.1, 34.4, 41.8, 45.0, 55.1, 139.2.

Authentic trans- decahydro-(E)- and (Z)-1-benzylidane-naphthalenes 151cd: These authentic samples were prepared by Lu Set by the above procedure (see 151ab) using benzyltriphenylphosphonium chloride¹⁴⁴ (1.196 g. 3.08 mmol) in THF (20 mL), *n*-Butyllithium (1.29 M in hexane, 2.4 mL, 3.1 mmol), trans-octahydro-1(2H)-naphthalenone¹⁶⁵ (0.4217 g. 2.77 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and a reaction period of 1 h at room, temperature and 3 h at reflux. After work up, the material was purified by flash

р

chromatography over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with hexane. The alkenes 151cd (0.069 g, 11%) were obtained as a mixture of (Z)- and (E)-isomers in a ratio (IH NMR) of 1:67. The material had IH NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.90-1.50 (m, 7H), 1.62-2.04 (m, 7H), 2.97 (broad d. J = 13 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (major isomer, broad s, 0.87H), 6.32 (minor isomer, s, 0.13H), 7.10-7.40 (m, 5H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 22.6 MHz) δ major isomer: 26.3, 26.6, 27.8, 29.0, 30.4, 34.7, 35.0, 45.1, 48.4, 119.0, 125.6, 128.0, 129.0, 146.7.

2-oxocyclohexanonepropanenitrile ¹158:¹²¹ The literature procedure¹²¹ was followed A solution of enamine 122 (17.65 g. 0.117 mol) and propenenitrile (7.85 g. 0.148 mol) in dry dioxane (60 mL) was refluxed for 21 h under argon.¹ The solvent was evaporated and the residue was suspended in water (10 mL). The product was extracted with ether (3 x 30 mL), and the combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated. The residue was distilled [bp 128–132°C (25 mm)] [lit.¹²¹ 141–145°C (10 mm)] to afford the pure (TLC, silica, 8.2, hexane-ethyl acetate) ketonitrile **158** (13.39 g, 76%). IR (neat) 2224, 1704 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.15–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.43 (t, J = 7.0Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 15.2, 25.2, 25.7, 28.0; 34.3, 42.2, 48.9, 119.8, 211.8.

cis- and *trans-1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic* acid *O-[2-(2-cyanoethyl)-* cyclohexyl] esters 160a and 160b:

a) *cis*- and *trans*-2-Hydroxycyclohexanepropanenitriles 158a, and 158b: A solution of ketonitrile 158 (1.10 g, 7.3 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise over *ca*. 15 min at 0°C to a stirred suspension of sodium borohydride (0.56 g, 14.6 mmol) in THF (20 mL)¹²³ The temperature was kept at 0-5°C for 1.5 h and the mixture was then acidified at 0°C to pH 1 with 6 N HCI. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in water (10 mL) and extracted with ether (3 x 20 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to give the crude alcohols in quantitative yield. IR (neat)_{*}3160-3700, 3000-3440, 2250 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.80-2.20 (m, 12H), 2.37 (broad t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.25 (*cis*- isomer, broad m, 0.5H), 3.75 (*trans*- isomer, broad m, 0.5H).

b) Alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 160a and 160b: The mixture of alcohols 159a and 159b (1.00 g, 6.52 mmol) and 1,12-thiocarbonylbisimidazole132(2.30 g, 13 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed overnight under argon. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 1:1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a mixture of cis-and trans- alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 160a and 160b (1.90 g. 99%) in a ratio (NMR) of 1.27 as a pure (TLC, silica, 7.3 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil. The material had TR (neat) 2225 cm⁻¹; ¹Η NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.10-2.10 (m; 10H), 2.20-. 2.55 (m; 3H), 5.34 (trans- isomer, dt; J = 9.6, 4.2 Hz, 0.73H), 5.85 (cis- isomer, broad s, 0.27H), 7.09 (broad s, 1H), 7.64 (cis- isomer, broad s, 0.27H), 7.67 (trans- isomer, broad s, 0.73H), 8.37(cis- isomer, broad s, 0.27H), 8.40 (trans- isomer, broad s, 0.73H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI, 22.6 MHz), δ trans- isomer: 14.8, 24.0, 24.4, 27.9, 29.6, 30.3, 40.7, 86.0, 117.2. 119.2, 130.7, 136.9, 183.5; cis- isomer: 20.9, 27.1, 27.4, 28.9, 29.3, 39.3, 81.5, 117.2, 119.2, 130.7, 136.6, 183.5, exact mass, m/e 263.1089 (calcd for C13H17N3OS, m/e 263 1092); Anal. Calcd for C13H17N3OS: C, 59.28, H, 6.51; N, 15.96. Found: C, 58.88, H, 6.56, N. 15.66

Cyclization of 160a and 160b; octahydro-1//-inden-1-one 162:¹²³ The general procedure was followed using a mixture of 160a and 160b (0.118 g. 0.45 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.14mL, 0.6 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.040 g. 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was stirred for 1 h with 80% v/v aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) and evaporated. The residue was then, suspended in hexane (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate for 1 h (stirring). The solution was filtered and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation (bp 80–100°C(1.0 mm)) followed by flash chromatography over silica gel (1.5 x 15 cm) with 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate, gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate), bicyclic ketőhe 162 (low Rf, 0.042g, 68%) and pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate) cyclohexane-propanenitrile 163 (high Rf, 0.008 g. 11.0%). The octahydro-1//-inden-1-one 162 had: IR (neat)1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.80–2.40 (m, 14H, including: 2.24 (dd, J =9.8, 6.8 Hz)); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) δ 24.9, 25.5, 25.8, 27.6, 32.6, 37.0, 43.3, 55.6, 218.6; exact mass, m/e 138.1042 (calcd or C₉H₁₄O, m/e 138.1045), Anal. Calcd for

 $C_{9}H_{14}O.$ C; 78.21; H, 10.21. Found: C, 76.81; H, 10.08. The material had physical properties very close (IR,¹H NMR) to those reported in the literature.¹²³ The cyclohexane-propanenitrile **163** had: IR (neat) 2225 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.92 (m, 2H). 1.10-1.48 (m, 4H), 1.56 (q. J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 1.64-1.82 (m, 5H), 2.37 (t. J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ 14.7, 26.0, 26.4, 32.6, 36.7, 128.4; exact mass, m/e 137.1186 (calcd for C₉H₁₅N; m/e 137.1204). The material was identical (VPC, TLC, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) with an authentic sample.

Authentic cyclohexanepropanenitrile 163:169

a) Cyclohexanepropanenitrile tosyl hydrazone.¹⁷⁰ The ketonitrile 158 (1.00 g, 6.6.1 mmol) and p-toluenesulfonyl hydrazide (1.35 g; 7.3mol) in absolute ethanol (2 mL) were stirred at 80°C for 1 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and the crystalline product was collected and recrystallized from 95% ethanol. The resulting hydrazone (1.86 g, 88%) was suitable for the next stage.

b) Cyclohexanepropanenitrile 163: Sodium borohydride (0.55 g. 14.55 mmol) was dissolved in acetic acid (21 mL) at 0°C. The hydrazone (1.86 g. 5.82 mmol) was added^{14°} with stirring and the ice bath was removed. Stirring was continued for 1 h (during which time the mixture attained room temperature) and then for 2 h-at 70°C (oil bath). The mixture was poured onto ice, made basic by addition of solid sodium hydroxide, extracted with hexane and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was evaporated and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 8:2 hexane—ethyl acetate gave pure (TLC, silica, 8.2 hexane—ethyl acetate) nitrile 163: IR (neat) 2225 cm⁻¹; ¹H MR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90–1.80 (m, 13H), 2.35 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 14.5, 26.0, 26.3, 32.3, 36.4, 128.1.

1-(1-Cyclopenten-1-yl)pyrrolidine 186;¹²¹ The procedure for 122¹²¹ was followed using cyclopentanone (29.82 g, 0.354 mol), pyrrolidine (25.21 g, 0.354 mol), benzene (100 mL), and a reaction period of 2 h. Distillation of the crude product (bp 102-104°C (18-mm)) [lit.¹²¹ bp 81-83°C (9 mm)] gave the pure enamine 186 (40.55 g, 83%). The IR spectrum showed no carbonyl absorption.

2-Oxocyclopentanepropanenitrile 164;¹²¹ The procedure¹²¹ for 158 was followed using cyclopentanone enamine 186 (19.00 g, 0.1385 mol) and propenenitrile (9.19 g, 0.173 mol) in dry dioxane (70 mL). The pure ketonitrile (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR) (12/24 g, 64%) had bp 130-131°C(4.5 mm)[lit.¹²¹ bp 144-147°C (13 mm)]; IR (neat) 2225, 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ J.35-2.60 (m, 9H), 2.50 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 15.4, 20.5, 25.6 29.4, 37.8, 47.6, 119.5, 219.4.

cis- and trans- 1//-imidazole-1-carbothioic acid D-[2-(2-cyanoethyl)-cyclopentyl] esters 166a and 166b:

a) cis^{-1} and trans-2-Hydroxycyclopentanepropanenitriles 187a and 187b: The procedure¹²² for 159 was followed using ketonitrile 164 (1.00 g, 7.3mmol) in THF (10 mL) and sodium borohydride (0.56 g, 14.6 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The crude mixture of cis-and tcans- alcohols (0.90 g; 88%) was used directly for the next step. The material had: IR (neat) 3040-3700, 2250 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.85-2.20 (m, 10H), 2.43 (broad t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.75 (broad m, 0.67H), 4.15 (broad s, 0.33H).

b) Alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 165a and 165b: The mixture of c/s- and transalcohols 187a and 187b (0.90 g, 6.47 mmol) and 1, 1-thiocarbonylbisimidazole¹¹³ (2.14 g, 12 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry dichloromethane (15mL). The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h under argon Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7.4 hexane-ethylacetate gave a mixture of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 165a and 165b (1.44 g, 89%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:82 as a pure (TLC, silica, 2 spots, 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil. The material had IR (neat) 2225 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.39 (dq, J = 13.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.50-2.36 (m, 7H), 3.37-3.50 (m, 1H), 3.48 tt, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 5.52 (major isomer, dq, J = 3.9, 3.2 Hz, 0.85H), 5.94 (minor isomer, dt, J = 4.2, 1.35 Hz, 0.15H), 7.70 (broad s, 1H), 7.61 (minor isomer, t, J = 2.2 Hz, 0.15H), 7.65 (major isomer, t) J = 2.2 Hz, 0.85 H), 8.33 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.15H), 8.36 (major isomer, broad s, 0.85H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ trans- isomer 165b: 16.4, 23.4, 29.4, 30.4, 31.9, 44.9, 90.5, 118.3, 119.6, 131.3, 137.3, 184.0; c/s- isomer 165a: 32.6, 36.9, 44.4, 87.9; exact mass, m/e 249.0936 (calcd for C₁₃H₁N₃OS, m/e 249.0936).

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 165a and 165b; hexahydro-2(1H)-pentalenone 166124 and cyclopentanepropanenitrile 167: The general procedure was followed using the mixture of 165a and 165b (0.166 g, 0.668 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.25 mL, 1.0 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.04 g, 0.07 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was stirred for 1 h with 80% v/v aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) and evaporated. The residue was suspended in hexane (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate for 1 h (stirring). The solution was filtered and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation [bp 160-200°C(17 mm)] gave an oil (0.033 g, 39% combined yield) of which 45% (VPC) was hexahydro-2(1H)pentalenone 166 and 52% (VPC) was cyclopentanepropaneitrile 167. The compounds were identified by the spectral properties of the mixture: IR (neat) 2226 cm-1 (167), 1733 cm-1 (166); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.76–2.86 [m, including: 0.90 (m), 1.14 (m); 1.46 (m, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.70 (q, J = 7.3Hz), 1.93 (m, J = 7.7 Hz), 2.29 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 2.38 (167, t, J = 7.7Hz), 2.58 (dt, J = 9.5, 4.6 Hz), 2.81 (m)]; ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 166; 26.3, 26.5, 29.9, 33.6, 38.0, 41.2, 52.2, 223.4) δ 167, 16.5, 25.2, 31.7, 32.6, 39.4, 128.4; exact mass, m/e 124.0889 (calcd for C1H120, m/e 124.0880). The spectral characteristics for 166 were identical with those reported in the literature.124

1-(1-Cyclohepten-1-yl)pyrrolidine 188:¹⁷¹ The procedure for 122¹³³ was followed using cycloheptanone (7.12 g, 63.5 mmol), pyrrolidine (5.00 g, 70 mmol), benzene (50 mL) and a reaction period of 2 h. Distillation of the crude product [bp 93–95°C (2.2 mm)] gave the pure enamine 188 (5.30 g, 50%): IR (neat) 1623 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.25–2.75 (m, 14H), 2.80–3.15 (broad t; J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 4.15–4.80 (broad m, 1H).

2-Oxocycloheptanepropanenitrile 168: The procedure for 158¹²¹ was followed using cycloheptanone enamine 188 (5.26 g, 0.0318 mol) and propenenitrile (2.62 mL, 0.04 g mol) in dry dioxane (20 mL). The pure (TLC, silica, 8:2 hexane—ethyl acetate) ketonitrile 168 (4.82 g, 92%) had: bp 135-145°C (1.0 mm); IR (neat) 2250, 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.00-2.20 (m, 9H), 2.25-3.00 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 15.2, 23.7, 27.6, 28.8, 29.0, 31.6, 43.4, 50.0, 119.5, 214.2; exact mass, m/e 165.1161 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₃N, m/e 165.1154).

cis- and trans-1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid 0-[2-(2-cyanoethyl)cycloheptyl] esters 169a and 169b:

a) *cis-* and *trans-2-Hydroxycycloheptanepropanenitriles* **189a** and **189b**: The procedure¹²² for **159** was followed using ketonitrile **168** (1.2.1 g, 7.3 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and sodium borohydride (0.56 g, 14.6 mmol) in THF (20 mL). The crude mixture of *cis-* and *trans-* alcohols was used directly for the next step.

b) Alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 169a and 169b: The above mixture of c/s^{-} and r trans- alcohols and 1,1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole^{13*} (2.32 g, 13 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry dichloromethane (25 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed for 24 h under argon. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatoraphy of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 1:1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a mixture of alkoxythio-carbonylimidazoles 169a and 169b (1.49 g, 74% overall from ketonitrile) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1:3.5 as a pure (TLC, silica, 2 spots, 7:4 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil. The material had IR (neat) 2224 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.38-2.20 (m, 13H), 2:30-2:52 (m, 2H), 5:43 (minor isomer, ddd, J = 9.6, 7.2, 3.5 Hz, 0.22H), 5:80 (major isomer, q', J = 3.4 Hz, 0.78H), 7:01 (broad s, 1H), 7:58 (major isomer, broad s, 0.78H), 7:62 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.22H), 8:30 (major isomer, broad s, 0.78H), 8:36 (minor isomer, broad s, 0.22H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ major isomer, 15.4, 22.2, 26.1, 27.5, 28.5, 28.7, 30.7, 41:9, 8:3, 117.8, 1:19.1, 1:31.0, 1:36.7; 1:83.6; minor isomer: 1:5.1, 22.5, 26.2, 28.9, 29.5, 31.5, 42.7, 8:84, 1:166, 1:19.2, 1:37.0, 1:83.6; exact mass, m/e 2:77.1:255 (calcd for C₃₄H₁₉N₃OS, m/e 2:77.1:249).

Cyclization, of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 169a and 169b; Octahydro-(2H)-azulen-1-ones 170ab:¹⁷² The general procedure was followed using the mixture of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 169a and 169b (0.154 g, 0.5566 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.19 mL, 0.75 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.005 g, 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was stirred for 1 h with 80% v/v aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) and evaporated. The residue was suspended in hexane (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate for 1 h (stirring). The solution was filtered and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation [bp 85-100°C (0.6 mm)] gave an oil 170 (0.065 g, 77%) that was 97% pure (VPC) and consisted of a mixture of isomers in
a ratio (VPC) of 1:234. Cycloheptanepropanenitrile 171 (2.5%, VPC) was also identified (IR) in the reaction product. The mixture 170 had: IR (neat) 1735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 1.80-2.70 (m, 16H); ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 100.6 MHz) δ major isomer: 26.8, 27.0, 27.6, 28.2, 28.8, 35.4, 38.0, 43.7, 55.4, 22.1.2; minor isomer: 26.9, 29.2, 31.5, 33.0, 37.9, 41.4, 53.0, 222.0; exact mass, m/e 152.1203 (calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O, m/e 152.1201), Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O C, 78.9, H, 10.60. Found C, 78.86, H, 10.69. The IR and mass spectra of 170ab have been reported in the literature.¹⁷² Cycloheptanepropanenitrile 171 had: IR (neat) 2225cm⁻¹.

4-(1-Butyl-1-pentenyl)-(*E***)-morpholine 190:** 5-Nonanone (2.85 g. 0.02 mol) and freshly distilled morpholine (6.10 g. 0.07 mol) in dry benzene (30 mL) were cooled to 0°C under argon. Titanium tetrachloride (1.21 mL, 0.011 mol) in benzene (20 mL) was added dropwise over 20 min and the mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The resulting slurry was filtered by suction through a pad of Celite (2 x 4 cm) and the solvent was evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation [bp 105-115°C (0.9 mm)] of the residue gave the enamine **190** (3.39 g, 80%): IR (neat) 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCI₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90 (broad t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.40-1.75 (m, 6H), 1.83-2.33 (m, 4H), 2.65-3.97 (m, 4H), 3.63 (m, 4H), 4.39 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H). The material¹⁷³ which was pure as judged by the good quality of the integrated ¹H NMR spectrum, was used immediately for the next stage.

5-0xo-4-propylnonanenitrile 172: A solution of enamine 190 (3.30 g, 15.6 mmol) and propenenitrile (1.03 g, 19.5mmol) in dioxane (20 mL) was refluxed for 21 h under argon. The solvent was evaporated and Kugelrohr distillation of the residue (bp 115-125°C (0.3 m)] gave the ketonitrile 172 as a colorless oil (0.91 g, 38%). Trace impurities were detectable by TLC (silica, 8.2 hexane-ethyl acetate). The material had IR (neat) 2230, 1710 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90 (broad t, J = 6.0 Hz, 6H), 1.10-2.95 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 15.1 MHz) δ 13.8, 14.1, 15.3, 20.2, 22.4, 23.6, 25.7, 26.1, 33.7, 42.4, 119.3, 213.0; exact mass, m/e 195.1625 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁NO, m/e 195.1623).¹²¹

Threo- and erythro-5-Hydroxy-4-propylnonanenitrile 191: Sodium borohydride (0 150 g. 4.0 mmol) was added to a solution of ketonitrile 172 (0.91 g. 4.66 mmol) in 95% ethanol (20 mL)¹²² The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature (TLC control) and evaporated. The residue was acidified with 3 N aqueous HCl and extracted with ether (3 x -15 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to give the crude product 191 (0.54 g. 58%) which was used without further purification. The material had IR (neat) 3100-3680, 2228 cm⁻¹; ¹H MR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.91 (broad t, 6H), 0.90-2.00 (m, 9H), 2.20-3.10 (m, 5H), 3.50-3.95 (m, 0.75H), 4.00+4.35 (m, 0.25H)

three- and *erythro-1H-*Imidatole-1-carbothiolc acid *O*-(1-cyano-3-propyloct-4-y) esters 173: The crude mixture of alcohols 191 (0.54 g, 2.73 mmol) and 1.1 - thiocarbohylbisimidatole¹¹ (0.97 g, 5.4 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry dichloromethane (15 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed for 20 h under argon. Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 1 1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave a mixture of alkoxythiocarbonylimidatoles 173 (0,112 g, 13%) in a ratio (¹H NMR) of 1.1 as a pure (TLC, silica, 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate) oil The material had IR (neati 2230 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.92 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H). 0.93 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.20-1.64 (m, 8H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.75 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H). 1.91 (m, 1H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 5.76 (m, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz), δ 13.9, 14.3, 15.6, 20.3, 20.4, 22.5, 25.9, 27.6, 27.8, 29.8, 29.9, 31.6, 39.9, 85.4, 85.5, 117.8, 119.1, 131.0, 136.8, 184.1; exact mass. m/e 307.1719 (calcd for C₁₄H₂₃N₃OS, m/e 307.1718); Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₂₃N₃OS C, 62.50, H, 8.20, N, 13.67. Found C, 62.70, H, 8.47; N, 13.27.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 173; trans-2-butyl-3-propylcyclopentanone 174:¹²³ The general procedure was followed using alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 173 (0.162 g, 0.528 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.18 mL, 0.7 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.005 g, 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was stirred for 1 h with 80% v/v aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) and evaporated. The residue was suspended in hexane (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate for 1 h (stirring). The solution was filtered and

evaporated Kugelrohr distillation [bp 90-110°C (0 mm)] gave cyclopentanone 174 (0 071 g. 74.3%) of 97% (VPC) purity IR (neat) 1737 cm⁻¹, ³H NMR (CDCI₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.90 (t. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t. J = 7.1Hz, 3H), 1.17-1.75 (m. 12H), 1.80-1.94 (m. 1H), 2.02-2.20 (m. 2H), 2.26-2.38 (ddt. J = 17.0, 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCI₃, 100.6 MHz) δ 13.9, 14.3, 20.3, 23.0, 27.0, 27.9, 29.1, 37.1, 37.9, 41.4, 55.0, 22.14; exact mass, m/e 182.1673 (calcd for C₁₃H₂,0, m/e 182.167.1)

threo-Bromo(5-hydroxy-4-octyl)mercury 192: A general literature procedure¹¹ was followed using (Z)-4-octene (Chemical Samples Co., 2.00 g, 17.8 mmol, 95%), mercuric acetate (5.68 g, 17.8 mmol) and water (10 mL) in THF (30 mL). The crude product (4.26 g, 61%) had IR (neat) 3100-3700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) & 0.95 (broad t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 1.20-2.25 (m, 8H), 2.65-3.05 (m, 2H), 4.10 (dt, J = 6.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 22.6 MHz) & 14.0, 19.2, 25.5, 35.3, 42.4, 68.7, 75.2.

three- and erythro-5-Hydroxy-4-propyloctanenitrile 177: Sodium trimethoxyborohydride¹⁷⁴ (1.25 M in THF, 20 mL, 25 mmol) was added dropwise at room temperature over 6.5 h to a solution of mercurial 192 (1.80 g, 4.39 mmol) and propenenitrile (8.6 mL, 130 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (15 mL).¹³ The mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature and the resulting slurry was filtered by suction through a pad of Celite (2 x 4 cm) and the solvent was evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7:4 hexane-ethyl acetate gave the pure (TLC, silica, 7:4 hexane-ethyl acetate) hydroxynitrile 177 as a mixture of isomers (0.435 g, 54%): IR (neat) 3100-3700, 2227 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ 0.90 (broad t, 6H), 1.00-2.10 (m, 12H), 2.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (broad m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ isomer a 14.0, 15.5, 195; 20.1, 25.2, 32.2, 36.9, 42.1, 72.8, 120.2; isomer *b*: 14.3, 15.3, 19.2, 20.6, 26.1, 31.1, 35.4, 42.3, 72.7, 120.1; exact mass, m/e 183.16.17 (calcd for C₁₁H₂₁NO, m/e 183.1623).

threo- and erythro-1H-Imidazole-1-carbothioic acid 0-(1-cyano-3-propylhept-4-yl) esters 178: The mixture of hydroxynitriles 177 (0.36 g, 1.96 mmol) and 1,1'-thiocarbonylbisimidazole¹³⁷ (0.70 g, 3.9 mmol) were placed in a flask and covered with dry dichloromethane (15 mL). The resulting solution was refluxed for 20 h under argon.

Evaporation of the solvent and flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 x 15 cm) with 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate gave the pure (TLC, silica, 7.4 hexane-ethyl acetate) alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 178(0.52 g, 90%). IR (neat) 2228 cm⁻¹, ¹H-NMR (CDCl, 400 MHz). $\delta 0.93$ (t. J = 7.1 Hz, 1.4H), 0.97 (t. J = 6.5 Hz, 3.0H), 0.98 (t. J = 6.5 Hz, 1.6H). 1.24-1.58 (m, 6H), 1.62-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.80-2.06 (m, 3H), 2.38-2.58 (m, 2H), 5.68-5.80 (m, 1H), 7.04 (broad t. J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (broad s, 1H), 8.30 (broad s, 1H), ¹¹C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.32, MHz). δ 1.3.9, 14.2, 15.6, 18.8, 19.0, 20.3, 20.4, 26.0, 31.7, 31.8, 32.2, 32.4, 39.9, 40.0, 85.2, 85.3, 1.17.8, 11.8.9, 1.31.0, 1.36.8, 1.84.1, exact mass, m/e. 293.1563 (calcd for C₁₃H₂₁N₁OS, m/e. 293.1562). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₃N₁OS, C, 6.1.40, H. 7.90. N. 14.32 Found C, 6.1.23, H, 7.93, N, 14.34.

Cyclization of alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 178; *trans*-2, 3-dipropylcyclopentanone 179:¹¹³ The general procedure was followed using a mixture of the alkoxythiocarbonylimidazoles 178 (0.105 g. 0.36 mmol) in benzene (40 mL), triphenyltin hydride (0.10 mL, 0.41 mmol) in benzene (10 mL), and AIBN (0.005 g. 0.04 mmol) in benzene (10 mL). After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was stirred for 1 h with 80% v/v aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) and evaporated. The residue was suspended in hexane (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate for 1 h (stirring). The solution was filtered and evaporated. Kugelrohr distillation [bp 90-110°C(0.4 mm)] gave cyclopentanone 179 (0.044 g. 73%) which was > 99% pure (VPC) and had. IR (neat) 174.1 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.90 (t. J = 7.0Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t. J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.20–1.58 (m, 8H), 1.60–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 2.01–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.34 (ddt, J = 16.0, 8.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 50.3 MHz) δ 14.3, 14.4, 20.2, 20.3, 27.1, 30.5, 37.1, 37.9, 41.5, 54.9, 221.5; exact mass, m/e 168.15.19 (calcd for C₁₁H₂₀O, m/e 168.15.14); Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₀O; C, 78.51; H, 11.98. Found C, 78.34; H, 12.11.

V. REFERENCES

- Tedder J M Angew Chem Int. Ed Engl 1982. 401. Tedder JM. Walton.
 JC Tetrahedron. 1980, 36 701 Tedder, JM Walton, JC Adv. Phys Org
 Chem. 1978 16 86 Tedder, JM, Walton, JC A.C.S. Symposia 1978 66 107
 Tedder, JM Walton, JC Accounts Chem. Res 1976, 9, 183
- 2 Carona T. Cittero A. Ghirardini, M. Minisci, F. Tetrahedron, 1977, 33, 793
- 3 Hoyland J.R. Theor. Chim. Acta 1971, 22, 229
- 4 Whitesides GM, Hill CL, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, 96, 870, Jensen, FR, Miller JJ, Cristol, SJ, Beckley, RS, *J. Org. Chem.* 1972, 37, 4341
- 5 Giese, B., Meister, J. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 2588
- Giese B, Kretzschmar, G, Meixner, J. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 2787. Giese, B.
 Meixner, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 206, Giese B, Meixner, J.
 Chem. Ber. 1981, 114, 2138. Giese B, Dupuis, J, Hasskerl, T; Meixner, J.
 Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 703.
- 7 Giese, B., Heuck, K. Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 3759, Giese B., Heuck, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 1829
- 8 Giese, B., Heuck, K. Chem. Ber, 1981, 114, 1572
- 9 Giese, B., Heuck, K., Luning, U., Tetrahedron, Lett. 1981, 2155
- Giese, B., Zwick, W. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 2526, Giese, B., Gonzalez Gomez, J.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 2765, Giese, B., Horler, H.; Zwick, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 931; Giese, B.; Zwick, W. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 1264
- 11 Giese, B., Kretzschmar, G. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 2012.
- 12 Giese, B., Erfart, U. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 1240.
- 13 Kozikowski, A.P.; Nieduzak, T.R.; Scripko, J. Organometal lics, 1982, 1, 675
- 14 Kozikowski, A.P.; Scripko, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 2051.
- 15 Danishefsky, S.; Taniyama, E.; Webb II, R.R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 11
- 16 Burke, S.D.; Fobare, W. F.; Armistead, D.M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3348
- Kosugi, M.; Kurino, K.; Takayama, K.; Migita, T.J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 56, C11, Keck, G.E.; Yates, J.B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5829.
- 18 Webb II, R.R.; Danishefsky, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 1357.
- 19. Julia, M. Rec. Chem. Prog. 1964, 25, 3; Julia, M. Pure and Applied Chem. 1967,

15, 167; Julia, M. Accounts Chem. Res. 1971, 386; Julia, M. Pure and Applied Chem. 1974, 553; Beckwith, A.L.J. Tetrahedron, 1981, **37**, 3073.

- 20. Butler, G.B., Angelo, R.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 312B; Marvel, C.S.; Vest, R.D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957; 79, 5771
- 21. Lamb, R.C.; Ayers, P.W.; Toney, M.K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3483.
- 22. Walling C.; Pearson, M.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 2262.
- 23. Julia, M.; Surzur, J.M.; Katz, L. Bull. Soc. Chim.Er, 1964, 1109.
- 24. Julia, M.; Surzur, J.-M., Katz, L.; LeGoffic, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1964, 1116; Julia, M.; Le Goffic, F.; Katz, L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1964, 1122.
- 25. Julia: M.; Le Goffic, F. Bull. Soc., Chim. Fr. 1964, 1129.
- 26. Julia, M.; Maumy, M.; Mión, L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1967, 2641; Julia, M.; Maumy,
 M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 2415.
- 27. Julia, M.; Maumy, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 2427.
- 28. Capon, B.; Rees, C.W. Annu. Rep. Progr. Chem. 1964, 61, 261.
- 29 Julia; M.; Maumy, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1968, 1603.
- Walling, C.; Cioffari, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6064; Pines, H., Sih, N.C.;
 Rosenfield, D.B. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 2255.
- 31. Breslow, R.; Olin, S.S.; Groves; J.T. Tetrahed ron Lett., 1968, 1837.
- 32. Sam, T.W.; Sutherland, J.K. J., Chem. Soc.; Chem. Commun. 1971, 970.
- 33. Menapace, LW.; Kuivila, H.G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3047.
- 34. Beckwith, A.L.J. Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 3073.
- 35 Walling, C.; Cooley, J.H.; Ponaras, A.A.; Racah, E.J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1966, 88, 5361.
- 36. Walling, C.; Cioffari, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6059.
- 37. Capon, B.; Rees, C.W. Annual Reports, 1964, 61, 261; Capon, B. Quart. Rev. 1964,
 18, 45; Rieke, R.D.; Moore, N.A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2035; Rieke, R.D.; Moore,
 N.A. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 413.
- 38. Bischof, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1291; Bischof, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 1980,
 63, 1434.
- Beckwith, A.L.J.; Moad, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 472. Dewar,
 M.J.S.; Kirschner, S.; Kollmar, H.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 5240.

40. Julia, M.; Descoins, C.; Baillarge, M.; Jacquet, B.; Uguen, D.; Groeger, F.A. Tetrahedron, 1975, 31, 1737

211

- 41. Edge, D.J.; ; Kochi, J.K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7695; Krusic, P.J.; Kochi, J.K.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 846.
- 42. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Lawrence, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1/ 1979, 1535, Beckwith, A.L.J.; Blair, I.A.; Phillipou, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 2251.
- 43. Allinger, N.L.; Zalkow, V. J. Org. Chem. 1960, 25, 701; Gream, G.E.; Serelis, A.K.
 Aust. J. Chem. 1978, 31, 863.

- 44. Brown, H.C.; Bartholomay; H. Jr., Taylor, M.D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1944, 66, 435
- 45. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Gara, W.B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 11, 1975, 795;
 Fumimoto, H.; Yamabe, S.; Minato, T.; Fukui, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9205;
 Dewar, M.J.S.; Olivella, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5290; Hoyland, J.R.
 Theor. Chim. Acta 1971, 22, 229; Nagase, S.; Kern, C.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1980, 102, 4513.
- Beckwith, A.L.J.; Gream, G.E.; Struble, D.L.; Aust. J. Chem. 1972, 25, 1081; Struble,
 D.L.; Beckwith, A.L.J.; Gream, G.E. Tetrahed ron Lett. 1968, 3701.
- 47. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Moad, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 11, 1975, 1726.
- 48 Beckwith, A.L.J., Moad, G. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1974, 472.
- 49. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Phillipou, G. J. Chem. Soc.; Chem. Commun. 1973, 280.
- 50. Wilt, J.W.; Mossie, S.N.; Dabeck, R.B. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 2803.
- 51. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Phillipou, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 658.
- 52. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Blair, I.; Phillipou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1613.
- 53. Hoffmann, R.; Levin, C.C.; Moss, R.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 629.
- 54. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Lawrence, T.; Serelis, A.K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 484.
- 55. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Easton, C.J.; Serelis, A.K. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1980, 482.
- 56 Beckwith, A.L.J.; Phillipou, G.; Serelis, A.K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 2811.
- 57. Wolff, S.; Agosta, W.C. J. Chem. Res. (S), 1981, 78.
- 58. Crandall, J.K.; Keyton, D.J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 1653.
- 59. Ohnuki, T.; Yoshida, M.; Simamura, O. Chem. Lett. 1972, 797; Nagase, S.; Kern, C.W.

- J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2544.
- 60. Ohnuki, T.; Yoshida, M.; Simamura, O.; Fukuyama, M. Chem. Lett. 1972, 999:

- 61. Ogibin, Y.N.; Troyanskii, El. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Khim. 1975, 1461.
- 62. Griller, D.; Schmid, P.; Ingold, K.U. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 831.
- 63. Bakuzis, P.; Campos, O.O.S.; Bakuzis, M.L.F. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3261.
- 64. Buchi, G.; Wuest, H. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 546.
- 65 Clive, D.L.J.; Beaulieu, P.L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 307.
- 66. Bachi, M.D.; Hoornaert, C. Jetrahed ron Lett. 1981, 2689, 2693.
- 167. Bachi, M.D.; Frolow, F.; Hoornaert, C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1841.
- 68. Hart, D.J.; Tsai, Y.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1430.
- 69 Danishefsky, S.; Taniyama, E. Tetrahedron Lett., 1983, 15.
- 70. Ueno, Y.; Chino, K.; Watanabe, M.; Moriya, O.; Okawara, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5564
- 71. Stork, G.; Mook, R. Jr.; Biller, S.A.; Rychnovsky, S.D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 3741.
- 72 Nagashima, H.; Wakamatsu, H.; Itoh, K.; Tomo, Y.; Tsuji, J. *Tetrahed ron Lett.* 1983, 2395.
- 73 Ueno, Y.; Chino, K.; Okawara, M. Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 2575.
- 74. Danishefsky, S.; Chackalamannil, S.; Uang, B.-J. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2231.
- 75. Chuang, C.-P.; Hart, D.J. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1782.
- 76 Stork, G., Baine, N.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2321
- 77. Marinovic, N.N.; Ramanathan, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 1871.
- 78. Stork, G.; Mook, R. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3720.
- 79. Okabe, M., Tada, M. Chem. Lett. 1980, 831.
- 80. Okabe, M.; Abe, M.; Tada, M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1775.
- 81. Okabe, M.; Tada, M. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 5382.
- 82 Choi, J.-K.; Hart, D.J.; Tsai, Y.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 4765.
- 83. Buchi, M.D.; Hoornaert; C. Tetrahed ron Lett. 1982, 2505.
- 84. Stork, G.; Malhotra, S.; Thompson, H.; Uchibayashi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1148.
- 85. Pradhan, S.K.; Radhakrishan, T.V.; Subramanian, R. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1943;

Pradhan, S.K.; Kadam, S.R.; Kolhe, J.N.; Radhakrishan, T.V.; Sohani, S.V., Thaker, V.B. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46: 2622.

- Koening, T.; Balle, T.; Snell, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 662; Fujimoto, H.;
 [°]Yamabe, S.; Minato, T.; Fukui, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9205; Dewar, M.J.S.;
 Olivellå, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5290.
- 87. Strozier, R.W.; Caramella, P.; Hauk, K.N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101 1340.
- 88 Corey, E.J., Pyne, S.G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 2821.
- 89 Clive, D.L.J.; Anderson, P.C.; Moss, N.; Singh, A. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1641;
 Clive, D.L.J.; Farina, V.; Beaulieu, P.L.B. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2572.
- Clive, D.L.J.; Chittattu, G.J.; Farina, V.; Kiel, W.A.; Menchen, S.M.; Russel, C.G.; Singh, A.;
 Wong, C.K.; Curtis, N.J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 4438; Burke, S.D.; Fobare,
 W.F.; Armistead, D.M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982, 47, 3348.
- Beich, H.J.; Trend, J.E. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 1922; Garratt, D.G.; Schmid, G.H.;
 Csizmadia, I.G. J. Mol. Structure 1974, 22, 117; Schmid, G.H.; Garratt, D.G.
 Chemica Scripta 1975, 8A, 110.
- 92. Sharpless, K.B.; Lauer, R.F. J.Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 429.
- 93 Clive, D.L.J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 100; Reich, H.J. J. Org. Chem: 1974, **39**, 428
- 94. Denis, J.N.; Vicens, J.; Krief, A.: Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 2697.
- 95 Camble, R.C.; Hayward, R.C.; Roberts, J.L.; Rutledge, P.S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. / 1974, 1858.
- 96 Reich, H.J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1974, **39**, 428; Garratt, D.G.; Schmid, G.H. *Can. J. Chem.* 1974, **39**, 429
- 97. Ikariya, T.; Osakada, K.; Yoshikawa, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3749.
- 98. Das Gupta, T.K.; Felix, D.; Kempe, U.M.; Eschenmoser, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1972,
 55, 2198; Itoh, A.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 1783.
- 99 Petrzilka, M.; Felix, D.; Eschenmoser, A. Helv. Chim, Acta 1973, 56, 2950.
- 100. Fujita, T.; Suga; K.; Watanabe, S.; Yanagi, R.J. Appl. Bioch. Tech. 1977, 27, 593.
- 101. Itoh, A.; Oshima, K.; Nozaki, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 1783; Herz, W.; Glick, L.A. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 613; Das Gupta, T.K.; Felix; D.; Kempe, U.M.; Eschenmoser, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1972, 55, 2198; Herz, W.; Glick, L.A. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28,

2970; Bertrand, M.; Dulcere, J.P.; Gil, G.; Grimaldi, J.; Sylvestre-Panthet, P. Tetrahed ron Lett. 76, 3305.

102 Creger, P.L. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 1907.

- 103. The material is reported in Ref. 90 without spectroscopic data
- 104. Meyers, A.I.; Mihelich, E.D.; Nolen, R.L. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39 2783.
- 105 Baldwin, J.E. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1976, 734.
- 106. Giese, B.; Lachein, S.: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 967.
- 107. Smith; T.W.; Butter, C.B. J., Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 6.
- 108. Dowle, M.D.; Davies, D.I. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1979, 8, 171.
- 109. Dale, H. "Stereochemistry and Conformational Analysis," Verlag Chemie: New York, 1978, p. 217.
- Barton, D.H.R.; Britten-Kelly, M.R.; Ferreira, D.J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1978, 1090; Denis, J.N.; Vicens, J.; Krief, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 2697; Garratt, D.G., Ryan, M.D.; Ujjainwalla, M. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 2145; Garratt, D.G. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 2180; Reich, H.J.; Renga, J.M. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 3313; Reich, H.J.; Renga, J.M.; Trend, J.E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 2217; Clive, D.L.J.; Wong, C.K.; Kiel, W.A.; Menchen, S.M. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 379; Clive, D.L.J.; Farina, V.; Singh, A.; Wong, C.K.; Kiel, W.A.; Menchen, S.M. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2120; Wilson, S.R.; Sawicki, R.A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 287.
 Toshimitsu, A.; Toshiaki, A.; Owada, H.; Uemura, S.; Okano, M. J. Org. Chem. 1981,
 - 46, 4727.
- 112 Csizmadia, G.; Peterson, M.R.; Kozmutza, C.; Robb, M.A. "Chemistry of Acid Derivatives, "Supplement B, Part 1, Patai, Wiley: New York, 1979, p. 27.
- 113 Barton, D.H.R.; McCombie, W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. / 1975, 1574.
- 114. Robins, M.J.; Wilson, J.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 932.
- 115. Beckwith, A.L.J.; Phillipou, G.; Serelis, A.K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 2811; Schimpf, R.; Heimbach, P. Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 2122.
- 116 Beslin, P.; Bloch, R.; Moinet, G.; Conia, J-M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1969, 508.
- 117. Stork, G. Dowd, S.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85 2178
- 118. Brown, C.A.; Yamashita, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 891.
- 119. Corey, E.J., Fuchs, P.L. Tetrahed ron Lett. 1972, 3769.

- 120. cf.: Yamaguchi, M., Hirao, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 391.
- 121. cf. Stork, G.; Bizzolara, A.; Landesman, H.; Szmuszkovicz, J.; Terrell, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 207.
- 122. Pirkle, W.H.; Adams, P.E. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 4111.
- 123. Larock, R.C.; Oertle; K.; Potter, G.F., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 190.
- 124 Whitesell, J.K.; Matthews, R.S. J. Org. Chem, 1977, 42, 3878
- 125. Sakai, K.; Ide, J.; Oda, O.; Nakamura, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 1287.
- 126. Fieser and Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis," Wiley, New York, 1969, Vol. 2, p. 409.
- 127. "Beilsteins Handbuch der Organischen Chemie, " Springer-Verlag Berlin Ell, 2, 392.
- 128. Kuivila, H.G.; Beumel, O. F. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 1246.
- 129. Bogavac, M.; Arsenijevic, L.; Arsenijevic, V. Bull. Soc. chim. Fr. 1980, 145.
- 130. Bloomfield, J.J.; Lee, S.L. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 3919.
- 131. Rabjohn, N. "Organic Syntheses, " Wiley: New York, 1965, Collective Vol. IV, p. 630.
- 132. Lynn, J.W.; Roberts, R.L.; Kilsheimer, J.R. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 4300.
- 133: Barieux, J.-J.; Gore, J. Bull. Soc. chim. Fr. 1971; 1649.
- 134. Layer, R.W. Chem. Rev. 1963, 63, 489.
- 135. Harding, K.E.; Ligon, R.C.; Tseng, C.-Y.; Wu, T.-C. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 3478, Mahajan, J.R.; DeAravjo, H.C. Synthesis 1981, 49; Pennanen, S. Acta Chem. Scand.
 Ser. B 1980, B34; 261.
- 136 Julia, M., Fourneron, J-D. *J. Chem. Res. (S)* 1978, 466; Julia, M.; Colomer Gazquez, E. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1972, 4148.
- 137. Ried, W.; Beck, B.M. Annalen 1961, 646, 96.
- 138. LaForge, F.B.; Green, N.; Gersdorff, W.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3709
- 139. Barluenga, J.; Yus, M.; Bernad, P. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1978, 847.
- 140. Horning, E.C. "Organic Syntheses," Wiley: New York, 1955, Collective Vol. III, p. 188.
- 141. Cornforth, J.W.; Cornforth, R.H.; Mathew, K.K. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 112.
- 142. Johnson, W.L. Chem. Abstr. 1949, 43, 678i; ApSimon, J.; Seguin, R. Synth. Commun. 1980, 10, 897.

144. Vedejs, E.; Arnost, M.J.; Hagen, J.P. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3230.

- 145. Svirskaya, P.I.; Leznoff, C.C.; Weatherston, J.; Laing, J.E. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1979, 24, 152.
- 146 Rabjohn, N. "Organic Syntheses, " Wiley: New York, 1965, Collective Vol. IV, p. 117.
- 147. Pond, D.M., Cargill, R.L. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 4064.
- 148. Heilbron, I.M.; Heslop, R.N.; Irving, F.; Wilson, J.S. J. Chem. Soc. 1931, 1336.
- 149. Mayer, R.; Wenschuk, G.; Topelmann, W. Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 1616.
- 150 LaForgue, F.; Green, N.; Gersdorff, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 3707; Derocque, J.; Beisswenger, U.; Hanach, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 2149.
- 151. Glennon, R.A.; Salley, J.J. Jr.; Steinsland, O.S.; Nelson, S. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 678.
- 152. Eglinton, G.; Whiting, M. J. Chem. Soc. 1950, 3650.
- 153. Bhanu, S.; Scheinmann, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 1218.
- 154. Rokach, J.; Girard, Y.; Guindon, Y.; Atkinson, J.G.; Larue, M.; Young, R.N.; Masson, P.; Holme, G. *Tetrahed ron Lett.* 1980, **21**, 1485.
- 155. Stowell, J.C.; Keith, D.R. Synthesis 1979, 132.
- 156. Frater, G. Helv. Chim. Acta 1980, 1383
- 157. Conrow, R.E.; Marshall, J.A. Synth. Commun. 1981, 11, 419. Hatch, L.F.; Perry, R.H. Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 1136.
- 158. House, H.O.; Czuba, L.J.; Gall, M.; Olmstead, H.D. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2324.
- 159. Nienhouse, E.J.; Irwin, R.M.; Finni, G.R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4557.
- 160. Dufey, P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1968, 4653.
- 161. Eglinton, G.; Whiting, M.C. J. Chem. Soc. 1950, 3650.
- 162. Rabjohn, N. "Organic Syntheses, " Wiley: New York, 1965, Collective Vol. IV, p. 755.
- 163. Mandville, G.; Leyendecker, F.; Conia, J.-M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1973, 963
- 164. Hudec, J.; Kirk, D.N. Tetrahedron 1976, 32 2475; Meyers, A.I.; Ford, M.E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 2861.
- 165. Lewis, P.H.; Middleton, S.; Rosser, M.J.; Stock, L.E. Aust. J. Chem. 1979, 32, 1123.

- 166 Dupuy, C.; Surzur, J.-M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1980, 374; Newman, N.; Wobiz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 1292.
- 167. Truce, W.E.; Klinger, T.C. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1834.
- 168. Friedrich, K.; Henning, H.G. Chem. Ber. 1959, 92, 2756.
- 169 Hutchins, R.O.; Natale, N.R. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2299.
- 170. Hutchins, R.O.; Kacher, M.; Rua, L. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 923.
- 171. Kuehne, M.E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 5400
- 172. Trost, B.M., Bogdanowicz, M.J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5311.
- 173. cf. White, W.A.; Weigarten, H. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 213.
- 174. Brown, H.C.; Schlesinger, H.I.; Sheft, I.; Riter, D.M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, - 192.
- 175. Brenner, W.; Heimbach, P.; Ploner, K.J.; Thoemel, F. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1973, 11, 1882.

176. Klunk, W.E.; McKeon, A.C.; Covey, D.F.; Ferrendelli, J.A. Science 1982, 217, 1040.

ADDENDA

1-Tridecen-6-ol 79 (p. 174): exact mass, m/e 99.0808 (calcd for C₄H₁₁O, M⁺-C₄H₁₃, m/e 99.0810).

Authentic cis-decahydro-1-methylene-naphthalene 144a (p. 194): exact mass m/e 150.1388 (calcd for $C_{11}H_{14}$, m/e 150.1408).

Authentic trans-decahydro-(E)- and (Z)-1-benzylidene-naphthalenes 151cd (p. 198): exact mass, m/e 226.1723 (calcd for $C_{17}H_{22}$, m/e 226.1721).