

UNIVERSITY OF

AI RFR'

Optimal Zwitterionic Surfactant Slug for an Improved Oil Recovery in Oil Wet Carbonate Rocks - Silurian Dolomite

Yosamin Esanullah, Madison Barth, Benedicta Nwani (MSc.) and Japan Trivedi (PhD.) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Alberta



1. Introduction 3. Results and Discussion Phase 1: Fluid-Fluid Analysis Stage 1: Compatibility Test T = 0 T = 21 Hours T = 116 hrs Figure 2 : Rock-fluid interaction 200.00 Figure 8: Compatibility Test Water Flow Direction Stage 2: Phase Behavior Test T = 332 hr igure 3: Working mechanism of a surfactant 200.000 pp 0 ppm Figure 9: Phase behavior Test Figure 10: A decrease in the oil solubilization ratio is observed with time The decrease in the oil solubilization ratio is an indication of the reduced activity of the surfactant over time. Research Question: What is the optimal surfactant slug that is required for an improved oil recovery in Silurian Dolomite rock? The salinity with the lowest oil solubilization ratio at time 332 hours 2. Experimental Approach was 5,000 ppm and the highest for Figure 10: Oil Solubilization ratio vs Time 50,000 ppm. terature $Oil Solubilization \ ratio = \frac{V_{oil \ in \ the \ microemulsion \ phase}}{V_{active \ surfactant}}$ Review Stage 3: Interfacial Tension Measurements IFT vs Salinity Test Stage 1 NaCl Surfactant: 1% Chembetaine zwitterionic No2SO 1.05 surfactant Figure 11: Effect of Salinity on IFT Figure 12: Effect of Surfactant concentration on IFT Figure 11 shows the changes in IFT with salinity. NaHCO3 able 1: Synthetic Formation Brine comp There is a non-monotonous behavior of IFT with salinity IFT is lowest at 200,000 ppm brine salinity since there is a competition for solubilization between brine and surfactant. Due to the presence of excess ions at high salinity, the surfactant is pushed further towards the oil-brine interface which results in a lower IFT. Figure 12 shows the changes in IFT with surfactant concentration. A decrease in surfactant concentration from 1% to 0.5% results in a decrease in the IFT

Non of the concentrations yields ultra-low IFT values (10⁻² and 10⁻³ mN/m).



Figure 7: Interfacial Tension measuremen