

National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Canadian Theses Service

Service des thèces canadiennes

Onese, Cenada K1A 0N4

NOTICE

The quality of this microform is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every affort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the eriginal pages were typed with a poor typewriter rigion or if the university cent us an interior photocopy.

Reproduction in full or in part of this microform is governed by the Canadian Capyright Act, R.S.C. 1979, c. C-39, and subsequent amendments.

AVIS

La qualité de cette microforme dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microffinage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reprodus-

\$10 manque des pages, veullez communiquer avec funiversité qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, sustaut si les pages entginales ent été dadytogra-phiées à l'aide d'un rubain usé du el l'université nous à lait parvenir une photocopie de qualité injérieure.

La reproduction, même partielle, de cette microforme est soumise à la Lei canadienne our le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30, et ses amendements subséquents.



UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

THE ART OF FAILURE IN CARMEN 64:
NULLA DONUS, NULLUS AMOR IN CATULLUS

BY

HARGARET HARINA DRUMHOND

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH
IN FARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
HASTER OF ARTS

IN

CLASSICAL LITERATURE

DEPARTMENT OF CLASSICS

FALL, 1990



Mational Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nelionale

Canadian Theses Service Service des thèues canadiannes

The author has granted an irrevocable nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, toan, distribute or sell copies of his/her theels by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in higher thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without hiether permission.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non esclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur gui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des entrales substantiels de celle-ci ne dolvent être Imprimée ou autrement reproduits sens son autoriacilon.

ISBN 0-315-64915-1

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR: MARGARET MARINA DRUMMOND

TITLE OF THESIS: THE ART OF FAILURE IN CARMEN 64:

MULLA DOMUS, MULLUS AMOR IN CATULLUS

DEGREE: MASTER OF ARTS IN CLASSICAL LITERATURE

YEAR THIS DEGREE WAS GRANTED: 1990

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA TO REPRODUCE SINGLE COPIES OF THIS THESIS AND TO LEND OR SELL SUCH COPIES FOR PRIVATE, SCHOLARLY OR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PURPOSES ONLY. THE AUTHOR RESERVES OTHER PUBLICATION RIGHTS, AND NEITHER THE THESIS NOR EXTENSIVE EXTRACTS FROM IT MAY BE PRINTED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHOR'S WRITTEN PERMISSION.

7426 - 119 Street Edmonton, Alberta. TGG 1V1

Magaet Drummad

Date: Oct. 10, 1990

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

PACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled THE ART OF FAILURE IN CARMEN 64: NULLA DOMUS, NULLUS AMOR IN CATULLUS submitted by MARGARET MARINA DRUMSOND in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts.

Rosemary H. Milelsen, Supervisor

Helena Fracchia

E. D. Blodgett

Date: September 25, 1990

DEDICATION

To the memory of my parents, and to all my beautiful children.

Abstract

The thesis approaches Carmen 64 as a painting in words. The painting consists of a central canvas, an ekphrasis, which depicts the Ariadne/Theseus love story and four framing edges. The edges contain pictures of the world before the marriage of Peleus and Thetis; the separate worlds of the wedding guests; the world of Achilles, the killer-hero, and the world of the caelicolae.

Although scholars see the lop-sidedness of the poem's structure, there is general agreement that Catullus celebrates the blissful union of Peleus and Thetis and the nobility of the lost heroic age of their son. But the strategy of painting in words allows Catullus' invisible narrator to bring out, picture by picture, the flaws and the half-truths of believing in such a Golden Age. The narrator dominates. He soduces the reader into a series of realms (natural, human, and divine), where nething is what it first appears to be. The fourth framing edge serves as an epitaphium recording all of the grim realities of the epyllion. Gods are seen deserting mankind; men and women engage in perversions of the amer which brought Ariadne and Theseus together; and mankind forgets its duties, just as Theseus forgets the mandata of a dear parent. Separation, abandonment, entropy, and failure hount every image of the poem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank Dr. Rosemary Wielsen for her help, encouragement, and guidance. I also wish to thank the Department of Classics for having enabled me to study Classical Literature.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPT		PAGE
I.	"THE SAFER TERRITORY OF THE TEXT"	. 1
II.	ARIADNE AND THESEUS: UT PICTURA POESIS	. •
111.	"I SAW SOME CREATURES PAINTED IN A PICTURE CMCE"	. 40
IV.	"THE DIRE DIMENSION OF A FINAL THING"	. 68
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	. 76
	WITA	. 81

CHAPTER OME

"THE SAFER TERRITORY OF THE TEXT"

Favorable criticism devoted to the longer poems of Catulius is still comparatively rare.

H. C. J. Putnem

This thesis approaches Carmen 64 as a lusus naturae. In its literal meaning, the phrase is appropriate to a poon in which Catullus' narrator plays self-conscious "games," not only with a variety of "natural settings," but also with his own powers of control as a craftsman of literary art. Without warning, he breaks his narrative apart at lines 115-116, and challenges the reader to answer the following question: "Why have I digressed from my control theme?" This "sporting" with the question of poetic structures and opic convention leads into the metapherical application of lusus naturae to Catullus' opyllion. As an odd assertment of large and small tablesum vivants leesely interconnected, Catullus' opyllion "deviates" both from the "normal type" of poen in his own collection, and from what is conjectured about the genre of the Mellenistic opyllion. As such, then, the poen stands out as an uncharted territory which has tantalized a heat of scholars over the last contury and a half.

Two major territories of scholarship have been opened up, almost in a most chromological sequence: the philological and the autobiographical. Ellis (1876) should be considered as the "father" of English philological criticism on Catullus. Building upon the work done by the great nineteenth-century German scholars on the reconstruction of the Catullan text, Ellis argues for a "scientific" scrutiny of the language and allusions of c. 64. Unlike the later commentators who follow his lead, Ellis never reduces the poetry to a scholarly game played for reputation and position in the field. Ellis' work is based on the conception of Catullus as the first of the two poets (the other being Virgil) who "respectively represent the highest point of Roman imagination in the Ciceronian and Augustan age."

The greatest sign of Ellis' ability to see the herizon of the peen beyond the limits of his chosen philological territory is his perception of the importance, to the meaning of the peen, of its pussling asymmetrical structure. In a comment typifying his general method, Ellis weighs, with tact and sensibility, the value of the nevel themstic approach taken by a contemporary critic (Shedworth Hedgeon). Without entirely dismissing the ability of Hedgeon's theme of "the glory of marriage" to unite the peen, Ellis hints at the complexity of c. 64, and unwittingly sets forth the critical perspective that has deminated all subsequent work on the peen. For Ellis, c. 64 exhibits a "defect," namely, a "went of poetical finish in the junctures."

This "went of finish," a defect quickly restated as the lack of fusion between the "marriage" stories of Peleus/Thetis and Theseus/Ariadne, has sent English, German, and American scholars on a journey into the territory of Archaic Greek and Hellonistic Greek poetic tradition. Wheeler (1934) summarizes the "vened problem of sources" in the peen by pointing new to a lost Mesiedic enemplum, new to Euphorien (c.

200 B.C.), now to a Callimachean epithelemium, and, finally, to lost Roman sources, such as Cinna's Zmyrna. Following Wheeler, Fordyce (1961) moves beyond an archaeology of sources to connect Catullus' "mannerist" style in c. 64 to the influence upon him of common Hellenistic literary devices (e.g. "exclamation," "apostrophe," "realistic description," "sentimental analysis of emotion"). Clausen (1970), examining Catullus' struggle to adapt Callimachean diction and style to Latin measures (cc. 65 and 66), acknowledges that the Roman poet "improves on the original" in a few places. Whether intentionally or not, the effect of searching for sources has been to deny Catullus his originality and creative impulse. Accordingly, if c. 64 has any defect, it is because the poets was too doctus, too scholarly a translator of sources.

Putnem (1961) sets the standard for the sutobiographical approach to c. 64. He rejects the view of the peem as a "made-to-order Alexandrian work," and turns critical attention to another method of interpretation. Putnem believes in identifying the historical poet Catullus with the female dramatic persons of the opyllion, Ariadne. Establishing a widely-adhered-to tenet of faith about Catullus, Putnem argues that the Reman poet "could never diverse himself from his themes." Assertions such as, for example, that Catullus uses his art to "show his whole life as if seen in a mirror," flow naturally from Deniels' (1967) linkage between the themes of c. 64 and the "Losbia-cycle." The dominant theme unifying those sets of poems, ascerding to Benfels and later Adler (1982), is that if the betrayal of love. With the figure of Ariadne, therefore, we are see the real Catullus emploring his "feminime" side. This is a kinematica of "self" which, according to Adler, allows him to recover from

the psychological blows inflicted by Lasbia, and to project an image of his own better values and emotional sensitivity as the "woman" in the text. 15 But this approach violates the rightful boundaries delimiting one poetic world from another, and the life of the poet from his art. With the autobiographical method, c. 64 has become a "safe territory," a hypothetical one from which to speculate about Catullus' personal sexuality and about the chronological ordering in which he wrote his self-revelatory excerpts, as if in a kind of poetic diary. There is no real interest in explaining the structure of c. 64, because the real function of this poem is to play its part in unifying the Catullan corpus.

As this brief summary of the scholarship of c. 64 has indicated, the central question has always been: What kind of art has Catulius created? Over the past century, there have been hints of an answer -- or, rather of a new perspective that might explain the problem of the poem's structure. Wheeler, for example, notes in passing that c. 64 eves more to "sculpture or painting [than to] literature." Here recently, Kinsey (1965), digressing from his study of the ironic features of the epyllion, compares the delimeation of what he calls "the main theme" (the Peleus/Thetis marriage story) to "a series of seems on a work of art." This thesis proposes to examine c. 64 as Catullus' experiment in combining the artistic techniques of the verbal and the visual. The poem is servisioned as a kind of papyrus/canvas on which Catullus juntaposes and, at times, even superimposes, fragmented visions of deemed human existence.

Chapter Two develops an original approach by viewing the Ariedne/Theorem story as both the physical and the symbolic center of a poss, the inspiration of which is a radical revision of the herois world

and its values. Lines 50-264 are treated as the painting or the canvas of the poetic text. The chapter examines how the narrator uses words and images, like brush strokes and color, to cast the mythological figures of the golden-age hero and his noble helpmate, the heroine, into a new, darker hue. Chapter Three argues that the rest of the epyllion forms the framing motifs that edge the papyrus/canvas. The first three of these corners are discussed as a unit, and include: (1) lines 1-49 (the world before the marriage of Peleus and Thetis); (2) lines 265-302 (the separate worlds of the wedding guests; and (3) lines 303-383 (the world of the killer-hero Achilles, as created by his prophet-singers, the Parcae).

Chapter Four approaches the fourth edge of the frame, lines 384-408 (the inner world of the narrator), as a parting look back at the canvas/papyrus as a whole. This frame catalogues, or etches in, details of a divine world where god and man, martial deity and here, never cehere.

Carmen 64 does not trace the fall of mankind from some lost and desirable golden epic existence. Rather, it peels away the veneer of myths and cultural expectations about man's aristeis, and it rings with the narrator's despair over the possibility of lasting, mutually beneficial relationships between gods, men and women, and nature.

HOTES

- 1. All the definitions of this term used in this paragraph are taken from OED 2, Volume IX (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1989), p. 123. The title of this chapter is a quote taken from T. P. Wiseman, Catullus & His World. A Reappraisal (Cambridge: University Press, 1985), p. 179, hereafter cited as Wiseman, Catullus' World.
- For a brief summary, see J. Fordyce, Catullus. A Commentary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1961), pp. 272-273.
- 3. A typical example of this kind of exchange is to be found in the following: T. P. Wiseman, "Catullus' Iacchus and Ariadne," LCN 2 (1977), pp. 177-180, herafter cited as Wiseman, "Catullus' Iacchus," and G. Giangrande, "Catullus 64." Giangrande begins one of his sentences with the following words: "I have destroyed the only argument used by the critics...."
- 4. R. Ellis, A Commentary on Catullus (Oxford: Clarendon Press, reprint 1889), in his Prolegomena, p. XXII.
- 5. Ibid., pp. 280-281.
- 6. Ibid., p. 281.
- A. L. Wheeler, Catullus and the Traditions of Ancient Poetry (Berkeley: University of California Press, reprint 1964), p. 148.
- 8. K. Quinn, Catullus. An Interpretation (London: B. T. Batsford Ltd., 1972), p. 262, suggests the effect of Catullus' "mennerism" this way: "[The] lines...seem to stride confidently forward, one by one, each pausing for our applause before giving place to the next." This work is hereafter cited as Quinn, Interpretation.
- 9. Fordyce, pp. 274-275.
- 10. W. Clausen, "Catulius and Gallimachus," HSGP 74 (1970), p. 88. T. Frank, Gatulius and Horace. Two Poets in Their Environment (New York: Henry Helt and Company, 1928), p. 103, says: "However, Gatulius was himself as creative as any of the Alexandrians and a truer poet (italies mine). See also L. W. Daly, "Gallimachus and Gatulius." GP 47 (1952), pp. 97-98.
- H. C. J. Petnam, "The Art of Catullus 64," in Approaches to Gatullus, ed. K. Quinn (New York: Barnes and Heble Books, 1972), p. 225.
- 12. Ibid., p. 166.

- 13. Paul Veyne, Roman Erotic Elegy. Love, Poetry and the West, trans. David Pellauer (Chicago: University Press, 1988), p. 171.
- 14. H. L. Daniels, "Personal Revelation in Catullus 64," GJ 62 (1967), pp. 351-356. J. Ferguson, Catullus (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988), p. 35, finds "allusions to Lesbia poems," and deems the references "hardly...accidental."
- 15. E. Adler, Catulian Self-Revelation (New York: Armo Press, 1981), pp. 145ff.
- 16. Wheeler, p. 149.
- 17. T. E. Kinsey, "Irony and Structure in Catullus 64," Latomus 24 (1965), p. 929.

CHAPTER TWO

ARIADNE AND THESEUS: UT PICTURA POESIS

Paint me a cavernous waste shore Cast in the unstilled Cyclades, Paint me the bold anfractuous rocks Faced by the snarled and yelping seas.

Display me Acolus above Reviewing the insurgent gales Which tangle Ariadne's hair And swell with haste the perjured sails.

T. S. Eliot, "Sweeney Erect"

Sweeney (or is it the poet-narrator?) dreams. The male conjures up a mythological seascape utterly remote from the tawdry day-to-day reality of 1'homme moyen sensuel. The woman in the dream tableau, with her wind-blown hair, is lovely, a disembodied figure, quite unlike Sweeney's own too-real Doris of the "broad feet." Sweeney can, for a mement, fantasise about himself as the heroic lover, and also as a man who sails away at will from his imaginary woman. Whether or not Eliot had Garmen 64 in mind as he composed, the naming of his archetypical woman as "Ariadne," and the creation of her image as a vague pictorial creation, an emblems of a world in which human relationships are illusory, is reminiscent of the ancient Latin poem. Catullus' poet-narrator also conjures up a vision of the love-relationship between Ariadne and her Theseus; it, too, becomes symbolic of all the broken dreams of its creator.

Both Eliot and Catullus play with poetry as a painting in words, tonality, and the positioning of thomas and characters. With self-

conscious display. Eliot reiterates his command, "paint me," while ensuring at the same time that the vocabulary selected for his legendary scene depicts no still life (cf. "unstilled Cyclades"). Similarly, in lines 50-51, Catullus' narrator displays his powers of visual art (51, mire arte) in a way that highlights the poet in the text and his problem of control over his medium. He treats the "bedspread" (50, vestis) as a tapestry on which there is painted a series of shifting figures ("shapes" or "forms"), arranged in well-contrived variation (50, variata), and brought to life by the narrator's "psychologically intriguing"4 perspective on each of his creations. Lines 50-266 form what Leach in her study of Ovid calls an "ekphrasis," both a "bravura piece" and a "poetic description of a work of art."5 But the difference between Ovid and Catullus is that, for the latter poet, the ekphrasis is no mere rhetorical device used to connect, however haphazardly, an "eclectic combination of tales."6 In c. 64, the ekphrasis first casts the reader in the role of viewer and critic of a word-canvas. Nevertheless, as the images pile up, the reader finds himself seduced by, and absorbed into the world of the narrator's imagination, a realm where nothing is what it first appears to be.

Catullus' narrator plays, much as the American poet Emily Dickinson does, with the artist figure as one who is empowered to tell "all the Truth but tell it slant." By superimposing the Ariadne-Theseus painting upon the larger canvas of a hereic narrative, the narrator draws out a possible pun in akphrasis as a "telling ever" (af. the verb akphrasso). In effect, e. 64 is a palimposet, erasing the conventional literary and cultural truths of the hereic world. Thus, a poon which has always

appeared to critics as flawed, accidentally lop-sided or de-centered, is deliberately so. The whole conception of the project pushes the reader to envision "deeper, less accessible (and less socially acceptable) levels of meaning."

The present chapter divides the central story of the epyllion into two separate readings: (1) Ariadne's love, (50-75; 86-104; 116-202), and (2) Theseus' quest (75-85 and 104-115; 202-248). This division is caused by a narrator, who pulls himself up short in lines 116-117 in order to question his own technique; the participle digressus (116) calls attention to his shaping of a hybrid poetry out of the ancient epic. With the pronoun ego (116), and the verb commemorem (117), the narrator becomes a character in his own text, a figure who as a poet has as such at stake in terms of future renown as his two figures, Ariadne and Theseus. But at the heart of this central story, everything is split spart. The narrator conceives of Ariadne and Theseus as lovers irrevocably alienated from one smother. In the following re-reading it becomes clear that although the suffering Ariadne seems to dominate the canvas, the figure of Theseus as the fugitive here in the background evekes more of the reader's sympathy. The painting is at odds with the words: a daring ekphrasis.

I. ARIADME

Most critics, like Arkine recently, approach the initial representation of Ariadne in lines 50-75 as full of pathos. In this view, it is Catullus' sensitive "portrayel of the heroine standing amid seaweed...while the waves play with her discarded clothes." The vision

of the abandoned Ariadne has aroused critics to suffer along with her; that is, to rewrite a romantic version of "her betrayal from something that is both desire and anger." No one can deny that the Ariadne of the Latin poem is abandoned and, as Adler notes, "stripped of everything." What is important, however, is the way in which she is stripped as loveheroine. Lines 52-54 are the key to Ariadne's existence in the painting: 13

namque fluentisono prospectans litore Diae, Thesea cedentem celeri cum classe tuetur indomitos in corde gerens Ariadna furores,

(For, looking forth from the sounding shore of Dia, Ariadne, harboring untamed madness in her heart, gazes at Theseus departing in his swift craft.)

Although the words prospectans (52), tuetur (53), and gerens (54) cast Ariadne as the active agent of her own story, as well as the subject of the narrator's, the positioning of the names "Theseus" and "Ariadne" tell a different story. Because the nominative participle prospectans has no referent in line 52, the reader looks to the Greek accusative noun Theses for the first signs of human life on the vestis. The nouns Theses and Ariadne resemble one another in number of syllables and end-rhyme, but the male is given the dominant first position vis-à-vis the women on the papyrus/canvas. The narrator sketches him in as already having made a crucial decision with respect to Ariadne's destiny: Theseus rejects the women. The accusative participle cedantem passes no judgment against the "departing" here; in terms of first impression, Theseus is merely a flee(t)ing image, semething in the act of being "gazed upon" (prospectans).

By contrast, the gaser, Ariadne, can only re-set to what is happening. Her name, although in the meminative, is enclosed in line 54

by the single greatest attribute of the feminine condition, as it is portrayed on the narrator's canvas. To be seen as "Ariadne" means to be identified with medness. Ariadne is the prey of not only furor, but of multiple forms of irrationality. By placing the noun Ariadne in end-position next to furores, the narrator turns the woman into an abstract embodiment of ungovernable feelings. This metamorphosis of the narrator's heroine is the first step of her eventual reincarnation, in lines 60-70, as the saxes effigies...becchantis (61):

quem procul ex alga maestis Minois ocallis, saxes ut effigies becchentis, prospicit, eheu, prospicit et magnis curarum fluctuat undis, non flavo retinens subtilem vertice mitram, non contecta levi velatum pectus amictu, non tereti strophio lactentis vineta papillas, omnia quae toto delapsa e corpore passim ipsius ante pedes fluctus salis alludebant. sed neque tum mitrae neque tum fluitantis amictus illa vicem curans toto ex te pectore, Theseu, toto animo, tota pendebat perdita mente.

(From far off among the seaweed, the daughter of Minos gazes at him with sad eyes, just like the stone effigy of a bacchente; she gazes, alas, and is tossed by great waves of sorrow -- not keeping her finely-waven scarf on her head; her breasts not veiled by her light garment; her milky nipples not held by a smooth band. The salt waves were toying with all these clothes, which had slipped from her body in front of her. But then, caring neither for her head-dress nor her floating garments, she was hanging on you, Theseus, her mind lost, with all her heart, with all her soul.)

The startling image of a women as "stone semblance of a bacehonte" ensures that the Ariadne of lines 50-75 will always remain at odds with herself, that is, unable to express a "self" which is whole, integrated, her own. For the reader, the allusion in bacchantis back to Buripides' Bacchae makes Ariadne a particularly complex and frightening figure to view. Toneion has been created between Ariadne as still-life on the exterior, and as seething movement and passion on the interior. In

addition, Ariadne is no longer a woman in a painting; now she is a stone sculpture: the artistic medium has shifted in appearance. Like the epyllion itself, the woman in it is a peculiar hybrid -- stone only partly painted, a deliberate effect.

At first impresssion, the narrator tempts the reader to look at his female stone effigy erotically. Eyes are drawn down over the physical details of what should be a seductive statue of a woman's body. The reader sees a headband loosened from blonde locks (63); breasts left unveiled (64); milky nipples (65); and, finally, clothing spread at random in the sea-water (66-67). But these painted images are the only indications of life on the statue; the rest of Ariadne does not exist. The narrator's Ariadne has no lips or face to invite the reader, no hands to hold out to him, no thighs or legs to give the promise of a night of pleasure. In Jact, this undraped nude lacks the trunk of a solid body. She is bits and pieces, fragments of a woman.

The nerrator not only presents Ariadne as a woman undressed, but also allows the reader to participate vicariously in the experience of undressing Ariadne himself. The realization of what has just happened in looking over the stone offigy shocks the alert reader. He stope back from the statue, trying to see it from another perspective. Has the nerrator's game of molding his figure of Ariadne been misogynistic, or is there semething underlying and more important here?

Perhaps the answer to those questions lies in the narrator's oun intrusion into the text at this point. In an elaborate apostrophe, lines 71-75, he addresses Ariadne as "misera" (71), an opithet normally used of the male lover elsewhere in Catullus. In poems such as 8 and 51, miser

heralds a sympathetic approach to the emotions and tribulations of men in love. In c. 64, however, the narrator, having shown no love of the female body, does not try to evoke a feeling of sympathy for the woman struggling with her love inside the effigy. Rather, he "paints" Ariadne's love onto her body, a detail added through the allusion to the "spiky love-thorns" (72, spinosas...curas) that she must wear. These thorns are as ornate and out-of-place as the Hellenistic title Erycins by which Venus appears in - and just as suddenly disappears from -- the scene. Incongruous details, such as a love that is no love, a love goddess who has no positive function, a woman who is neither a real woman nor a maened, create a poetic world in which everything is emptiness, shem. Ariadne is the emblems of all of the realms that will be delineated in c. 64. This female figure is a paradex, at once both physical stone effigy and immeterial abstract idea. In whichever form she is viewed in lines 50-75, Ariadne's existence is circumscribed by the names Theses and Theseus.

In lines 86-104 the narrator moves backwards in time, from the image of Ariadne abandoned to that of Ariadne in the bosom of a loving family:15

hunc simil as cupido conspexit lumine virgo regia, quem susvis expirens castus odores lectulus in molli compleme metris alebet, quales Duretes progignunt flumina myrtus aurave distinctes educit verne colores, non prius ex illo flagrantia doclinavit lumine, quem cuneto concepit corpore flamen funditus atque inis exareit tota medullis. hou misero emegitans immiti cerde fureres seneto puer, ouris hominum qui gaudia miscos, quarque regis Colgos quarque Idelium frondosum, polibus incenses lactastis mente puellas fluctibus, in flavo scope hospite suspirenteni quantos illa tulit languanti corde timeros! mto scope megis fulgoro espailuit auri, our sacrum cuplens centre centendere monetrum

eut mortem appeteret Theseus aut praemie laudis! non ingrata tamen frustra munuscula divis promittens tacito succepit vota labello.

(As soon as the royal virgin caught sight of him -- she whom her little bed indulged in the soft embrace of a mother -just so the rivers of Eurote give birth to myrtles, or the breeze brings forth distinct hues in spring; it was not before she turned her burning eyes from him that she conceived a flame within her entire body, and all of her burned in the depths of her being. Alas, you who inflict madness on our poor hearts, savage hely child, you who mix the joys of mankind with sorrows, and you who rule Golgos and leafy Idalium, in what floods you tossed the mind of the girl, ever sighing over the golden-haired stranger! What fear she bore in her languishing heart! How often she grew paler than the gleen of gold, when Theseus, desiring to contend against the cruel monster, would seek out death or the reward of praise! Mervertheless, it was not in vain that, promising not unpleasing little gifts to the gods, she made her vows with silent lips.)

Everything in this tableau is filled with the same kind of incongrueus details that characterised Ariadne as stone effigy. There is a strange juxtaposition of conflicting images and hyperbolic language; textures, scent and color, and fires are all jumbled together and give this brief scene a surrealistic effect. Forsyth and other commentators take the scene literally, and read into details such as the "little bed" (88, lectulus) evidence of Ariadne's essential vulnerability and sexual purity. Marmon, however, sees the possibility of a certain wentonness in Ariadne: 17

For a daughter of Pasiphae, to be in full blossen means to arrive at the time of life when powerful and ungovernable passions first appear.

The narrator's concern, however, is not with condemning Ariadne through her naturnal lineage but, rather, with suggesting her responsibility for the sexual liniage between Ariadne and Theorem. In two ernate, alliterative phrases -- "hunc simul as outlide consperit lumine virge"

(86), and "quam cuncto concepit corpore flammam," (92) -- Ariadne is reduced to "lustful eyes" (86, cupido...lumina, and 91-92, flagrantia...lumina). Her obsession with desire is made explicit in the hyperbolic language describing the flames that "eat away the marrow of her bones"(93). This lovesick figura is the same woman who, by metonymy (86 ff.), is also described as "virgo," the possessor of a "chaste little bed" (87-88).

The alert reader struggles with the inherent contradictions in the painting? sculpture? abstract idea? of Ariadne. He, too, is in a state of "desire," longing to hold on to his initial image of the virgo regia as a young princess sheltered and surrounded by the pleasures of family and the beauties of nature. But the simile (87-90) which portrays her bedroom as a springtime bower, verdent and filled with scent, is not sufficient to remove the reader's uneasiness over where the chastity lies. For the lectulus, not Ariadne, "breathes out the perfumes of maidenhood" (87). Thus the reader's physical senses take him one way; his critical sense takes him the other way.

And the narrator provides no room for reflection on this problem. In lines 94-102 he again intervenes by becoming a speaking character who talks to the reader (not to Ariadne) about the meaning of the tableau. The reader new learns that both his senses and his intellect can only supply partial truths. If Ariadne's eyes are "lustful," it is not she, but the sancte puer (Cupid) (95) and his Idalian mether, Venus (96), addressed in the second person, who have inspired her obscassion. Thus the figure of Ariadne on the beach, and the figure of Ariadne in her bedreen have one common trait: the fate of each is being manipulated by forces

outside her control. In addition, the narrator's use of the terms Golgos and Idalium frondosum (96) are Hellenistic allusions reminiscent of the Erycinian Venus (72). As such, they are further touches adding to the unreality, or playfulness, of the narrator's approach to his love-heroine. His apostrophes jar the reader by moving him away from Ariadne's tell-tale eyes to the less provocative, more maidenly, sighs and fainting fears, and promises to the gods on Theseus' behalf, 10 utterances which issue from her "little lips" (104). As the reader leaves the Ariadne of this tablesu, her figure remains, as always, a woman shaped for the pursuits of others.

Lines 116-201 are the most dramatic moment of Ariadne's "life" on the vestis. She will speak, but not before the narrator, as creator and mover, has made his powers over her clear for those who choose to see. Host critics, however, do not choose to see the figure of the master standing behind Ariadne. They ignore the importance of the words with which he prefaces her speech (116-131). Verducci, for example, looks only at Ariadne's speech, and reads into it the hereine's feelings:

In Catullus' poem, Ariadne first breaks her prolonged silence with a moral evaluation of Theorems' character, citing his perfidy, his forgetfulness, his cruelty, his lack of clementis and pity. She enrolls him in the annals of treacherous love. He is all men, an exemplum of male exploitativeness.

But the narrator's Ariadne does nothing on her own. In fact, in lines 116-131 she is placed firmly in the background, while he toys with her easing soliloquy as a digression from his main theme. If, then, as Arkins says, Ariadne's soliloquy consists of "four indignant rhotorical questions...elsberately emphasis[ing] the fact" of Thoseus the traiter, "

her indignance is not her own voice. It is the property of a man's art. He is capricious. The problem of digression is laid aside for the pleasure of recasting another image of Ariadne. The narrator takes the reader "inside" the stone effigy and allows details of her seething nature to come to the surface before she speaks. This Ariadne has a "life;" she is able to feel sadness (130, maestam), and to rush about in mad confusion searching for her conjunx (123). In other words, the reader is being prepared to accept that a stone effigy can now talk. 21

Of all the recent Catullan critics, only Kinsey has seen the parodic potential of Ariadne's soliloquy. But he defines it in terms of the blameworthiness of Ariadne's past choices, as if she had made them herself.²² The effect of Kinsey's approach is to turn the invective put into a heroine's mouth against her. What is at fault, however, with the speech is not Ariadne, but the overly-wrought, sometimes epic, sematimes comic language that the narrator has fashioned. Her opening lines are an exemplum of all that is to follow (132-133):

Sicine me patriis avectam, perfide, eb aris, perfide, deserto liquisti in litere, Theseu.

(So then, is this how you have left me, you traiter Theseus, on a deserted shere, you traiter, carried sway from my fatherland's secred alters!)

The same Ariadne who uses the elegant image of her "fatherland's secred alters" to frame what Pordyce calls an "indignant, disillusioned question," prefaces her emotional appeal with the presais intensified adverb sieine (132). The latter is a word drawn from the language of Roman comedy, and it jurs in its collectation with the grander formal style of the rest of the line. As Ellis points out, lines 164-170 and 184-187 provide other evidence of the marrator's game of mixing in

language, and even personse, from comic models. If the Ariadne of these lines can be heard crying out in the voice of a shipwrecked Palaestra rediviva, she is reduced from regis virgo and love-hereine to the status of belonging to Labrax (the procurer who stole the free-born Palaestra.) The overlaying of the comic upon the drama of a soliloquy undercuts the pathos of the speech. The marrator thus makes her whole speech nothing more than an exaggerated stage performance which exposes her for what she is: a parody of a hereine, and a pompous and self-contradictory one at that.

These attributes of Ariadne's speech and behavior are highlighted in three closely-related sections of her speech. First, in lines 143-148, Ariadne projects herself as the archetypical femine misera ac dependita (cf. 119):

nume iam mulia viro iuranti famina credat, nulla viri speret sermones esse fideles; quis dum aliquid cupiens animus proegestit apisci, nihil metuunt iurare, nihil promottere parcunt: sed simul ac cupidae mentis satiata libido est, dicta nihil meminere, nihil periuria curant.

(Now, may no woman ever believe any man who makes vows; may no woman hope that his eaths may be trustworthy! While a man's lusting heart is eager to get semething, they are not afraid of swearing to anything; they do not hesitate to promise anything. But, as soon as the lust of an amorous mind is sated, they do not eare to remember their words or their false eaths.)

Lying beneath Ariadne's pose of morally evaluating Theorems as exemples of male emploitativeness is a wesen whose venity is laid upon her by the narrator. Bither this Ariadne is generalizing from the specific case of Theorems to all men, a specious proposition, or it is to be inferred that she knows more about men than the reader had thought a regis virgo could. In either case, the wesen who has just twice demned Theorems as perfidue

(132 and 133) is as treacherous and slippery with words herself. Her phrases cupiens enimus praegestit (145) and cupides mentis libido est (147) characterise men as driven by lust to betray women and their sacred trusts. But Ariadne, who has already herself been characterized by her "lustful eyes" (cf. 86 and 92), never openly admits to the libido that has caused her to betray a father's alters and land.²⁷ This is because she is the narrator's Ariadne, a women incapable of controlling the activities of her separate identities.

Ariadne's contradictory nature is particularly clear in a second set of passages, which consist of lines 139-142 and 154-157:

at non heec quendem blanda promissa dedisti voce mihi, non heec miserae sperare iubebas, sed conubia lacca, sed optatos hymenaecs, quae cuncta serii discerpunt irrita venti.

(But some time ago you did not promise me this in your seething voice, you did not lead poor me to expect this, but a happy wedding, a longed-for marriage; the winds are blowing away all of these vain promises.)

queenem to genuit sols sub rupe lesens, quod mero conceptum spumentibus exspuit undis, ques Syrtis, quee Scylla repex, quee vesta Carybdis, talis qui reddis pro dulci preemis vita?

(For what lieness gave birth to you, alone under a cliff? What sea, after conceiving you, spat you out from its feamy waves? What Syrtis, what revenous Seylla, what vast Carybdis? Such rewards have you given so in return for your life?)

It is ironic that only after the reader has dealt with the significance of Ariadne's utter condemnation of the male gender, he is forced to reconsider the beginnings of her speech in lines 139-142 from a different perspective. What he might have once accepted as "real" premises of marriage by Theorems are now east in doubt. As seen as the reader starts questioning her trustworthiness, her words lose their force of sincerity.

Is Ariadne manufacturing past promises in order to add to the drama of her suffering as misers (140)? Whatever the answer is, Ariadne's penchant for play-acting is quickly matched by her fertile imagination.

In the second of the passages quoted above (154-157), Ariadne slips off the mask of the femine misers and puts on, just for a moment, the mask of a docta puella. She displays her literary knowledge through an elegant conceit which transforms the history of Theseus' lineage. What is implied by Ariadne's fiction is that, if her lover's promises are lies, so, too, must be the guarantees of his nobility. Building upon word-phrases and brief allusions scattered throughout Greek, Hellenistic, and early Roman poetry, Ariadne fashions an extended conceit "dealing with the barbarous or hard-hearted" nature of Theseus. Me But if Theseus is attacked and marginalized, by Ariadne's inflated use of myth, as a creature "engendered by a lioness, or Scylla, or Garybdis...etc.," perhaps this fiction of multiple personalities tells equally on the teller, too. Just how much, does not become clear until the last of the three closely-related passages.

In lines 158-163 the Arisdne who has first, expressed her shock at broken premises, then, vented her anger, and, finally, shown haughty contempt for a creature below her, new gravels:

si tibi non cordi fuorant comubie nostre, serve quod horrobes prisci precoepte perentis, attamen in vostres potuisti ducero sedes, quoe tibi iucumdo famularer serve laboro, candide permulcans liquidis vostigie lymphis, purpurcavo tunn consternans vosto cubilo.

(If our union had not been in your heart because you dreaded the precepts of a stern parent, you could nevertheless have led me to your abode; I would have served you as a slave, in joyful labor, washing your white feet in clear water, spreading your bed with a crimeon coverlet.) These words are pretentious and contradictory. Not only is Theseus unable to hear them (and thus to deal with her offer), but the picture of slevery that Ariadne fashions is also conditional (cf. 161, the verb famularer is subjunctive), sentimental, and romanticised. Her primary duty, at least as she sets it, consists of bathing the white feet of a hero (a man whose nobility she has just reviled). The image incumdo...labore (161) is the key to Ariadne's fiction as a serva. She smooths over any reality of drudgery by implying that only she would have had the right to touch the waters (lymphis, 162), the purity of which might have rehabilitated Theseus (his feet!) to his heroic role as her master: her joyful duty. Once again, however, such a fantasy warns the reader about Ariadne's propensity for theatricality. Thus, when Ariadne exalts herself as externets melo (165), a woman "laid low by evil," it is not possible for her, as play-actress, to understand the full extent of the truth that she utters now. Each time that she has spoken in these three sections, the narrator has put partial truths into her south. And yet, at the very moment when she speaks a "truth" about herself, the reader almost misses it, that is, he nearly dismisses the significance of it, because it goes by so quickly.

The archetype of the famine misers ac dependits returns with a vengeance, and images of it fill the first half of the final mements of Ariadne's soliloquy (167-201). In this portion (167-187) she magnifies her helplessness and sense of loneliness, and the reader is almost taken in by her vision of her plight. It seems natural that a famine deserts would, first, call out in prayer to Supplier emigetens (171 ff.), wishing against reality that Theseus had never appeared in her life. There is

a maliciousness to her contrary-to-fact prayer, however, because Ariadne seizes the occasion to reduce Theseus in status to a mere nevita in line 174. Second, in a series of rhetorical questions (177-183), Ariadna lays out the "realities" that she must endure because she "followed the lead of a youth spattered with a brother's slaughter" (181): her inability (1) to cross the sea (177-179); or (2) to petition a father's aid (180); or (3) to find consolation in the love of a husband (182). And yet because the reader has become used to Ariadne's self-dramatizing language, the piling up of so many pathetic images, an exaggeration in itself, undercuts sympathy for her isolation. Third, and finally, in lines 184-187, the way in which Ariadne plays with negatives effectively takes away any possibility of action (i.e., escape), of hope, or even of nurturing shelter.30 But just when the reader accepts Ariadne's image of herself as passive, a victim, she acts. The drama of her helplessness and loneliness gives her an unforeseen weapon. She adopts the persons of a Fate and prophosies her even death (187, ostentant omnie letum).31 That is, Ariedne introduces the concept of death as vengeance, a notion that deminates the rest of her selilecuy.

Arisdne concludes her soliloquy (188-201) by projecting it as a querells (195), a lever's plaintive song of suffering. Here again in sense, language, tone and image, what this hereine claims she is doing runs counter to what she does. The most dramatic effect of her "song" is her invocation of the Eumonides (193), creatures when she herself describes as grotoque: "wreathed in easily locks" (193, anguino redialta capillo), and "breathing out anger from their vitals" (194, expirantis...pectoris ires). The full significance of the invocation

Pury driven senseless and out of her mind" (197, ardens, amenti caeca furore). 23 Like the Clytemestra of Aeschylus' Eumenides, a ghost who tongue-lashes the Furies, Ariadne, too, commands (195, adventate...audite) these primitive female spirits. She transforms these deities of vengeance upon kin-killers into agents of death against perjurers. 24 What her rage demands is the "punishment by savage flogging" (192, multantes vindice poems) in retribution for the unheroic deeds of her "man."

The noun virum, a contracted genitive plural, reminds the reader of Ariadne's generalized attack against the periuris (148) of all men. Thus, although Ariadne is a "Fury come late" (cf. Aeschylus, Agamemon, 59-60, hysteropoinon...Erynyn), she comes at last [with a] vengeance." Her rage increases and culminates on an injunction-as-curse. Ariadne prays that Theseus "pollute himself and his own by becoming the agent of bleedshed and death" (201, funestet seque sucsque). In a final desperate act, Ariadne becomes the perversion of "a participant in heroic deeds." This female hero has, first, assumed death like a breastplate (187, cerentant comis letum); now she attacks her "enemy" Theseus and, through the image of "pellution," she turns topsy-turvy the whole idealization of the glery of heroic death.

Her weapon is a curse which results in Theseus' forgetting the commands of his father, commands concerning the color of his sails on return to Athens (220 ff.). In this instance the narrator weers away from the traditional legend where it is Disayous who brings Theseus into a state of being immemor, thus bringing about the deadly consequences at home. 37 As if to add to the drams of such a change in the story of Ariadne

and Theseus, the narrator closes off the soliloquy with an assurance, to the reader, that Jupiter "nods his assent" (204, annuit) to the heroine's Thetis-like request for vengeance. Therefore, any reader who looks back to the origin of the woman on the vestis in lines 50-51 realizes that the narrator has not been digressing from his central theme. Ariadne's soliloquy is the center-piece that "wondrously tells on the virtues of heroes" (50-51, vestis.../heroum mira virtues indicat arte).

Not all readers who look upon the last of the tableaux on the vestis (249-266) recognize the extent to which the narrator uses the Ariadne of this scene to reiterate his theme of fallen heroism. Arkins sees a new hero in the figura of florens...Iacchus (251). The scholar superimposes upon the deity his mythical role as Ariadne's "husband," and he concludes that the "heroic age, whatever its defects, is essentially desirable." But the idea of desire does not describe the heroic age; it fits the sexual image of Bacchus "flitting about somewhere" (251, parte ex alia...volitabet), a god "driven by lust" (253, tuoque incensus amore) and "seeking you, Ariadne" (253, to quaerens, Ariadne). The same creater who silences the Ariadne of this tableau seems to know Bacchus so intimately that he can take on the god's voice as the latter searches for his "love" (233, amore).

The language surrounding Dionysus also reveals the narrator's awareness of what Ariadne's initiation into the obscurs orgis of the god will bring (259-260). Two of the reader's senses, sight and hearing, are brought into play, while, at the same time, he must know that he is a prefame (260) in his desire to discover some vestige of the god. The reader sees in succession (but never in their relationship), Theseus' beat

on the horizon (249); a wounded (250, saucia) Ariadne; a Dionysiac thiases of satyrs and sileni (252); meddened bacchantes (254-255); the points of thyrsi brandished; and, finally, the limbs of a bullock torn spart (257) and writhing snakes (258). The vague and surrealistic visual effect is matched by sound effect. The reader hears a cacophony of tambourines beaten by fingertips (261); brass cymbals crashing (262); horns blasting out hourse sounds (263); and flutes screeching in barbarous, terrifying Phrygian song (264). Everything on this portion of the vestis hovers, just as Dionysus does. There is no apotheosis, no "happy marriage," no resolution of Ariadne's plight as femine misers ac deperdits, no integration of the visual and the aural elements in this sinister tablesu. The name "Ariadna" (253) and her association with images of Bacchic madness and its divine cohorts take the reader back full circle to the representation of "Ariadne" as the stone effigy of a bacchante and as the abstraction of "furores" (61). The narrator's "Ariadna" disappears -- a woman who was first a figure on a painting, then a stone statue, then a series of personee (comic, epic, and tragic), now vanishes from the world of the narrator's text. Ariadne leaves as she had entered, a crased woman, unable to know her "self" or to form any bonds with others, god or men.

II. THEREDS

Although Thoseus is a figure on the same tableau as Ariadne, man and woman never meet. Just as Ariadne's divine lever Basehus flits about semanhere on the vestis, so, too, does Thoseus, her mertal lever (cf. 53,

Theses codentem, 213, linquentem gnatum, and 245-246, Theses...ingressus). The narrator's distancing of male and female is not simply an attempt to represent artistically the personal consequences of an unhappy, or betrayed, love-affair. If to exist as "Ariadna" is, as we have seen, to be furens, then there is no place for her in Theseus' heroic world. Perox Theseus (73) stands in opposition to the regis virgo.

The nature of Theseus' difference from Ariadne is expressed through his commitment to the heroic ideal. The full significance of this ideal is not obvious until a passage late in the poem (382-386), which provides a final look back on his world. The noun pietas (386) shocks the reader and forces him to re-evaluate the tableoux concerning Theseus (76-85 and 105-115; 202-248). Pietas locates Theseus' code of heroism not in an archaic Greek civilisation but, rather, in the Reman world. Boyle effers the best perspective on why (pius) Theseus and furens Ariadna are doomed to fail as partners:

Puror, as is well known, together with its cognates, furo, furens, and furis, connetes destructive, non-rational behavior and can be used to refer to hysteria, medness, rage, blood-lust, passion, leve, anger. Pietas, on the other hend, and its cognate, pius, connete (a) a kind of righteeusness, based upon a sense of duty towards one's family, one's country, one's destiny or one's gods and (b)...the prime human virtue of pity or compassion.

The narrator, by putting a Roman persons upon Theseus, creates a one-dimensional, simplified figure, the very opposite of the fragmented and complex figure of Ariadne. A gulf between them is opened. Ariadne is a once-majestic princess sunken through her rage to madness; by contrast, (pius) Theseus is a resolute man (82, optavit), self-composed and devoted to his idea of his obligations. Instead of a Greek Theseus associated with numerous virtutes (51), 41 however, the narrator's Roman Theseus is

dedicated to a single fervide virtus, a sacred cause which becomes the embleme of his existence on the tableau.

The first of the two sections (75-85 and 104-115) contains the definition of fervide virtus. Theseus has a "mission," namely, to rescue Athens from a "cruel ruin" (76, crudeli peste) which has been inflicted on it by Crete. His mission is not, however, a quest for personal renown or glory, the raisons d'être of conventional Greek heroes (e.g., 4-7). What moves ferox Theseus is patriotism and, further, a readiness to sacrifice his own life, should that be necessary (81-82, ipse summ...corpus/ proicere optavit). In addition to Theseus' display of compassion and love for "his dear Athenians," he exhibits an almost filial devotion to the physical entity of the "narrow walls" (80, angusta moenia) of his father's city. All these levels of fervide virtus show the color Romanus of Theseus' character. In a tableau which emphasizes the grim reality of human flesh served to a Minotaur (79), of "sad cargoes of funerals" (83, funera...nec funera portarentur), and of an impious foreign king (75), Theseus attracts the reader to him as the one figure "bearing a distant hope."48 Unlike Ariadne, Theseus has high ideals, a greater amor to serve.

At first, Theseus' cause is magnified into a moral battle between good and evil. Not only is Athens made to seen, through the phrase angusts mosnis (80), small and vulnerable, but the evils that beset it and threaten to ruin its culture are also placed side-by-side in line 80 with the edifice of the city. The collocation increases the stature of Theseus as champion against a brutal destruction of a precarious civilisation. The narrator has already played with the dangers heaped upon Athens. In

lines 74-75 the curved shores of Piraeus seem bounded and confined by the "built-up sacred halls of state" of the unjust Minos. 43 As a final touch to the image of a Crete oppressing Athens by means of human sacrifice, the narrator transforms Minos' templa into "haughty/arrogant (impious?) abodes" (85, sedesque superbas). The king himself is recast from injustus to magnanisus, a reversal that strains the reader's credulity.

It is against this geographical, political, and moral background that the scene of combat (105-115) between Theseus and the Minoteur, the "cruel monster" (101, saevum...monstrum) stands out. And yet, although Theseus emerges as victor, in fact as a hero laden "with much praise" (112, multa cum laude), it is precisely here that the narrator begins to shift the reader's perception of the men being glorified. The immediate cause is the intervention of a passage dealing with Ariadne (86-104). Having betrayed her duties as fills of Minos and as half-sister of the Minoteur because of her lust, Ariedne uses the filum (113) by which Theseus threads his way to safety out of the labyrinth as a means of assigning worth or value to her amor. But this image of an imple Arladne positioned behind forox Theses ultimately serves neither of them well. First, it taints Theseus' hereic attempt with her pollution of bloodties. Second, it reduces Ariadne as the savier of Theseus by emitting any scene in which the handing over of the gift of thread would have exalted her as an active agent. And, finally, the thread itself suggests that Theseus, although brave enough to face the beast, did not have sufficient forethought to deal with the challenge posed by leaving the labyrinth.

The second source of the undercutting of Thoseus is the narrator's use of similes in lines 105-111 as a substitute not only for the cembet,

but also for the contestants:

nam velut in summo quatientem bracchia Tauro, quercum aut conigeram sudanti cortice pinum indomitus turbo contorquens flamine robur eruit (illa procul radicitus exturbata prona cadit, late quaevis cumque obvia frangens), sic domito saevum prostravit corpore Theseus, neguiquem vanis iactantem cornua ventis.

(For just as on the summit of the Taurus, the untamed twisting whirlwind uproots an oak or a cone-bearing pine with sweating bark (it falls prone, far-off, ripped out by its roots, breaking whatever is in its path); thus Theseus, having broken its body, cast down the cruel beast, vainly tossing its horns in the empty winds.)

The reader sees not a labyrinth but the Taurus, a mountain range in Cilicia. The layering of the trees which represent parts of the Minotaur's half-human, half-animal body (e.g., 105, his arms, bracchia), suggest the terrain of a mountainside. The reader moves his gaze upwards, from the level of oak (106, quercum) to the higher level of pine (106, pinum). The resin seeping out of the Minotaur's hybrid cone-bearing body (1. 106, sudanti cortice) makes the reader aware that the tree has a life of its own and that this life belongs properly to the natural scene.

By contrast, Theseus is described as a "whirlwind" (107, turbo), an impersonal and destructive force upreeting the life of the tree. At the very moment of his act of heroism, then, Theseus is similar to the cursing Ariadne when he makes his irrational enslaught against nature. This Theseus is a here who "lays low" (110, prostravit) the beast by ravaging everything that comes in his way. The effect of the simile is to draw the reader's sympathy to the victim, the Himotour/tree. He is part of the swath being cut by a here in an indiscriminate act of destruction. As the tragic victim in this scene, the Himotour becomes the herms of his tree-head (111, cormus) in vain against the assume winds. When Theseus'

heroism is viewed from this perspective, the word error (115), which closes this tableau, seems less a reference to the "perplexity" of the lebyrinth's passageways them a hint at Theseus' "self-delusion" (error) 46. The hero fails to understand how far his method of killing the Minotaur has caused him to "wander off the course" (error) of his fervide virtus.

Error sets up the atmosphere of negativity that pervades Theseus' second and final appearance on the vestis (202-248). Not only is his victory clouded by his lack of foresight and his tragic error; now the narrator reveals that Theseus has a greater flaw; emptiness. narrator's flat, one-dimensional Roman hero Theseus is betrayed by phrases like caeca mentem caligine(207), oblito...pectore (208), prius constanti mente (209, cf. 238), and mente immemori (248). He is a caricature of his fervide virtus. He exists as only two physical details: blonde hair (98, in flevo...hospite) and white feet (162, candida vestigia). The narrater makes sport of his here by spending more time on the materials of the ship that carries Theseus from Athens to Crete than on the hero. The reader's attention is drawn in particular to the "stain on the sails"(225, infects...lintes) swaying from the most and to the "twisted ropes" (235, interti...rudentes) that are to heist up the "white sails" (235, candidaque...vela) denoting Theseus' safe return home. The body of a ship stands for all that Theseus is, and it is a body directed and defined by the commands of his father.

As if to drive home the idea of a mindless and empty Theseus, the narrator places him into a past situation of one-sided dialogue. In lines 215-237 Aegous delivers what appears, at first, to be a moving farewell address to his son. The father cries out twice, gmace, gmace (215-216),

in simple words which express a degree of love and affection found nowhere else in the poem. Theseus is treasured "more than a long life;" Aegeus' eyes "have not yet taken their fill of his son" (220).

But the pathos of the moment dissipates as soon as the reader comprehends the meaning of what Aegeus himself readily admits to (216 ff.). Father and son have lived apart up to this point, because Aegeus had abandoned his son at birth. How Aegeus' fortuns (218) forces him to send his son to fulfill a destiny to which neither heroic father nor heroic son can say "no." Aegeus describes his anguish as a querella, the very term which Ariadne had used earlier of her lover's lament (cf. 195). Here, as in that passage, querella is misapplied, because what Aegeus connects love with is an act of "fouling his gray hair" (224) in anticipation of grieving for a dead son. In addition, he uses assertions of anguish and love to add emotional weight to the mendata he issued to Theseus. Just as Aegeus directs and defines the ship that takes Theseus away, his mendata seek to shape and to control his sen's outleek and intellect to be constant (209 and 238): a reflection of a father's will.

He matter how the reader receives the soliloquy, he cannot ignore the absence of real bending between father and son. In the Ariadne soliloquy Theseus was reduced to a flee(t)ing image on the herizon, a ship so far away that no breeze might carry to it the sound of her voice. During Aegous' speech the reader cannot even locate Theseus in the geography of the Athens inscribed on the vestis. This same Theseus has no voice with which to utter fidelity to his father's commands, no eyes with which to gase upon his aged gray head; no hands to reach out to support a bereaved father. Theseus is even more empty than Ariadne was

as "stone effigy of a bacchante."

Hevertheless, even though Theseus' fervide virtus is undereut by his failure to be memor or constant, and his individuality is left unexplored (because he never speaks), the everall effect of the scene between father and son projects them as victims of Ariadne. As Aegeus plunges headleng to death from his moenis (244), the reader is overwhelmed with the sadness and the futility of such an extreme act. The serrow of the occasion everrides any of the burden of the responsibility which is laid upon Theseus in lines 247-248. What the reader remembers as he leaves this scene is that Aegeus has died for leve, just as his son Theseus had earlier gone out from Athens in an undertaking of leve. Thus, in their willingness to die, father and sen receive their sole kin-connection.

But what meaning does anything that moves human beings -- love, anger, self-secrifice, duty, betrayal, Beachie fromty -- have in this vestis? Each time that the narrator has introduced a figure into his peem/canvas, he has used the expression "they say" (75, perhibent; 124, perhibent; and 212, forunt olim). He insists that his story is a retelling of "their" story, and thus leaves both the sources of, and the "truths" themselves vague, timeless, unknowable. He tableaux of such a canvas can only be illusory at best, because the narrator's mire are is but a game of shifting pictures and of toying with the reader's emotions. This game, however, is meither an entertainment nor a rhotorical cour de force. The narrator has brought to life a Greek heroic world, then dramatised its strange color Remanus, and finally, destroyed all life on the vestis. Beath -- as Augus' suicide, as Theorem' failure to be pine, as Beachus' crased orgis, and as Ariedne's maddened ourse -- this is all

that the reader sees when he steps back from the canvas. Death and the impossibility of the existence of human ties is all that the narrator sees. This is his story.

NOTES

- 1. T. S. Eliot, Selected Poems (London: Faber and Faber Limited, 1961). A part of the title of this chapter is taken from Herace's De Arte Poetics, 361.
- On figure as an artistic representation, OLD cites the following: Propertius 2. 30. 35; Quintilian, Institutio Oratoria 10. 2. 15; Cicero, Natura Deorum, 1. 71.
- 3. Catullus arranges the figurae of Ariadne, Theseus, and Aegeus so that not only are the lovers played off one against another, as well as father and son, but also, through the metif of the querella, Ariadne and Aegeus are subtly compared in terms of their "affection" for Theseus. Further, on variatio see G. Williams, Tradition and Originality in Roman Poetry (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1968), pp. 724 ff., and H. Dettmer, Horace: a Study in Structure (Hildesheim: Olms Vidmann, 1983), 3. pp. 1-3 and 359-360.
- 4. P. Y. Forsyth, The Poems of Catullus. A Teaching Text (New York: University Press of America, 1986), p. 348.
- 5. E. W. Leach, "Ekphrasis and the Thome of Artistic Failure in Ovid's Metamorphoses," Remus 3 (1974), p. 104. E. T. Herrill, Gatullus (Cambridge: Marvard University Press, 1893), p. 136, n. 50, remarks:

Episodic digressions of a similar character, depicting actions represented in graving or embreidery, are as old as the description of the shield of Achilles (New. II. XVIII, 478 ff.), and are multiplied in later writers.

- 6. Leach, p. 106.
- 7. The Complete Poems of Emily Dickinson, ed. by Thomas H. Johnson (Secton: Little, Brown and Company, 1960), poem 1129.
- 8. In Liddell and Scott, p. 448, the collection of the following terms suggests their interrelationship: (1) akphradae, "to tell ever, recount" (in Greek tragedy); (2) akphradie, "a description,...a title of several late poems descriptive of works of art...; and (3) akphrasee, "to remove obstacles, open."
- 9. S. M. Gilbert and S. Guber, The Medicann in the Attic. The Weman Writer and the Minoteenth-Gentury Literary Imagination (New Maven: Yele University Press, 1979), p. 73.
- 10. B. Arkins, Semuelity in Catullus (Hildesheim: Georg Olms Verlag, 1982), p. 149.

- 11. Adler, p. 148.
- 12. Ibid.
- 13. Unless otherwise cited, the Latin text is that of K. Quinn, Catullus. The Poems (London: Macmillan Education Ltd., 1989). Hereafter the text is cited as Quinn, The Poems. All translations of the Latin are my own and aim to be literal.
- 14. Contrs, M. O'Connell, "Pictorialism and Meaning in Catullus 64,"
 Latomus 36 (1977), p. 750: "We see first her eyes and the emotion
 expressed in her face..." O'Connell defines the physical details
 of the body as "pictorial correlatives of her unconcern for anything
 except the departing Theseus."
- 15. Adler, p. 112.
- 16. Forsyth, The Poems, ad loc.
- 17. D. P. Harmon, "The Concept of Alienation in Catullus' Poetry," diss., Northwestern University (1968), p. 48.
- 18. Putnam, p. 173.
- 19. P. Verducci, Ovid's Toyshop of the Neart: Epistolae Neroidum (Princeton: University Press, 1985), p. 267.
- 20. Arkins, p. 150.
- 21. Adler has a different view of the soliloquy. She believes that the words Ariadne speaks are "presented to us solely from her subjective point of view" (p. 112).
- 22. Kinsey, p. 917.
- 23. Fordyce, p. 295.
- 24. D. O. Ross, Style and Tradition in Catullus (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1969), p. 74, notes the collequial effect but chooses to everlook it in this passage:

It is worth adding here an observation on sicine, a word frequent in comedy, but found nowhere in poetry outside Catulius and Proportius. Ariadne begins her tirade with this repeated, emotional collequialism (64. 132, 134), used also by Catulius himself in addressing Rufus (77. 3. sicine subrepeti mi)....

25. Ellis, p. 312, ad loc.

- 26. Cf. Plautus, Rudens, 204 ff.:
 nunc quam spem aut opem aut consilii quid capessam?
 ita hic sola solis locis compotita sum.
 hic saxa sunt, hic mare sonat,
 neque quisquam homo mihi obviam venit.
 hoc quod induta sum, summae spes oppido.
 nec cibo nec loco tecta quo sim scio:
 quae mihist spes, qua me vivere velim?
- 27. The only time Ariadne hints at her role as traitor comes in lines 180-182. And yet even here tones of self-pity and anger at Theseus' rejection of her color her confession. Ovid's Ariadne admits to her guilt only insofar as her act of giving the thread to Theseus, an act which precipitates everything else. Cf. Neroides 10, 103 ff.
- 28. A. S. Pease, Publi Vergili Meronis. Aeneidos, Liber Quartus (Darmstadt: Vissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 1967), p. 316, uses this phrase to describe Dido's demnation of Aeneas as lover. Virgil's debt to Catullus in these lines is obvious, and Pease's commentary draws out each aspect (pp. 316-317).
- 29. Cf. Buripides' Hedes, where the nurse opens the Prologue with a similar wish that Jason and the Argo had never reached the shores of Colchis. The mad Nedes and the mad Ariadne are becoming one imagistically.
- 30. Ellis' translation of line 184, which describes the natural habitat, becomes symbolic of Ariadne's cold heart, her only shelter: "It is an uninhabited waste shore, an island of desolation."
- 31. OLD, p. 76, cites as common meanings of ostendo: "to be a visible sign of, to display oneself as, to disclose (a fact);" and "to hold out the prespect of (rewards, punishments)."
- This term, which primarily denotes a song of complaint sung before the belted door of an obdurate mistress (paraclausithyron), has a tradition that goes back to Greek antecedents. But the lover is always a male, hence Ariadne's song of complaint has no viable tradition behind it. F. O. Copley, Exclusus Amstor. A Study in Latin Love Poetry, (Philological Monographs, Humber XVII, 1956), pp. 33-34, gives the common features of a men's querella:

Thus the Reman lover, like his Greek producessor, suffers from the pains of love; he, too, tells of the tears he has shed; the winds, the rain, and the snew fill his vigil with misery. His lady is cruel, heedless, and descitful; her heart is of stone, hard as eak or steel; she is as harsh as the serpent or the stormy sea. Like the Greek, the Reman lover is everouse by a power he cannot resist: contra quis faret arms deef is his ensuse for his behavior, too, as he cause

humbly to his lady's door and kneels the kiss her threshold.

- 33. OLD cites as the second most common meaning of cascus: "having one's judgment impaired, mentally or morally blind," particularly when one's judgment is affected by passions or fears.
- 34. Forsyth, The Poems, p. 386, ad loc., draws out this important idea. It should be noted that Ariadne is twisting the traditional role of the Furies to suit her own purpose. As F. Zeitlin points out, they are properly deities who "pursue and suck the blood from their living victims...," in "The Dynamics of Misogyny in the Oresteis," Arethuse 11 (1978), p. 159. Cf. also A. Michelini, "Characters and Character Change in Aeschylus: Klytaimestra and the Furies," Ramus 8 (1979), p. 158, who, again, having called the Furies "[u]gly, aged, polluted with the effluvia of death," says that they are the very antithesis of new gods, such as Dionysus.
- 35. The quoted phrases are from A. Lebeck's translation of two related passages in Aeschylus, in *The Oresteia*. A Study in Language and Structure (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1971), p. 13.
- 36. Adler, p. 113.
- 37. Giangrande, "Catullus 64," p. 230, defines immemor as an act of falling "prey to amnesia."
- 38. Arkins, p. 152. Forsyth, "Catullus 64, Dionysus Reconsidered," in Studies in Latin Literature and Roman History, ed C. Deroux (Brussels: Latomus, 1980), p. 100, summarizes the problem of the Dionysus tableau as follows:

What, then, are we to make of the Dionysus episode: a joyful salvation, ending in wedded bliss, or a violent act of rape, increasing Ariadne's torment? Or something in-between?

- 39. Harmon, pp. 60-61, first says, "The coming of Bacchus is unexplainable" (p. 60). On the next page he says, "If we are to conjecture about the reason for Bacchus' coming to Ariadne, we should stress the fact that her alienated condition makes her a fit subject for Bacchus' concern." Such a statement is hardly praise of the "marvelous heights" to which Ariadne as "Dienysiac woman is exalted...."
- 40. A. J. Boyle, "The Heaning if the Asneld: A Critical Inquiry," Ramus 1 (1971), pp. 64-65.
- 41. E.g., his hunting of the Calydonian boar, his journey to the underworld, his mission with the Athenians to capture Thobas; his "rape" of Helen, etc. For an account of those and other emploits of Thoseus, see Apollodorus, The Library, Vol. I, with and English trans. by Sir James Goorge Prazer (London: Heinemann, 1921).

- 42. E. Block, "The Failure to Thrive: The Theme of Parents and Children in the Aeneid and its Iliadic Models," Remus 9 (1980), p. 130.
- 43. The translation of the Latin belongs to Ellis, p. 297. He also notes that temple can refer to the labyrinth because of "the association of such structures with sepulture, and the divine honors paid to mythical heroes after their death...." The epithet iniustus is a striking one, since Minos is traditionally seen as one of the "just" judges in the Underworld.
- 44. Ellis, p. 303, n. 105.
- 45. This is Ellis' translation, p. 306, ed loc., of the noun error.
- 46. Catullus uses the noun error two other times. In c. 22.20, the word refers to the self-delusion that all of us operate under in recognizing our personal faults. In c. 63.18, errores denotes the maddened reamings of Cybele's crazed Gellae.
- 47. Cf. Pausanias I. 27. 8 and Plutarch, Theseus, 6.
- 48. L. Richardson, Political Theory in Republican Rome (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1944), p. 50, interprets "they say" as an indication that "Catullus does not think of his poem as a direct inspiration but as a story which he has received from tradition and is reorganizing artistically."

CHAPTER THREE

"I SAW SOME CREATURES PAINTED IN A PICTURE ONCE..."

Delight becomes pictorial When viewed through pain, --More fair, because impossible That any gain.

The mountain at a given distance In amber lies; Approached the amber flits a little, --And that's the skies!

Emily Dickinson, 45

To lose one's faith surpasses The loss of an estate Because estates can be Replenished -- faith cannot.

Inherited with life, Belief but once can be; Annihilate a single clause, And Being's beggary!

Emily Dickinson, 1191

Emily Dickinson's phrase "Being's beggary" is an apt focus for the multitude of creatures who lie on the edges of the central canvas. As soon as the reader has perceived the emptiness of Ariadne, Theseus, and Aegous, how can the addition of countless other fleeting figures (Argenauts, sea-nymphs, Pelous and Thetis, human and divine wedding guests) revive the reader's belief in "Being" in Garman 64? In the narrator's story, one created out of pain, the "whole truth" of "Being" can only be viewed "slant." His words have already, and will continue to,

"annihilate" old poetic truths about the possibility of "any gain" from the ideals traditionally associated with the heroic: romance, nobility, and the glory of self-sacrifice. This chapter looks at three of the four edges framing the central canvas (1-49, 265-304, and 305-383). The dark hue of amor in lines 50-264 seeps into the framing edges. It now reveals more about the sinister implications of the Ariadne-Theseus vestis, and more about the nature of the pain driving the narrator to create his vision of home's "beggary."

FRAME OME.

The poem begins with an image of a mountain, and what happens to this fragment of nature is an embleme of everything else in the epyllion. Like Arisdne and the Minotaur, Hount Pelion is a hybrid, as both natural haunt and paternal figure. This mountain has a "summit/head" (vertice), out of which have "sprung/been born" (prognates) "pine(s)/daughters" (pinus). But this intimate familial union of male and female, which forms the first impression of life in a domus, is, to borrow from Edna St. Vincent Milley, "altered, estranged, disintegrated, lost," as quickly as it is created by the narrator.

With the passive voice verb dicuntur (2), the narrator both distances himself from his story and reshapes the traditions associated with the veyage of Argo into an account which is unconventional, strange, and sinister. His work here, as elsewhere on the framing edges, involves pictures, impressionistic word-pictures, not lengthy tableaux vivants. At the same time that the narrator marks out his picture as untraditional,

"father," their local waters, and their home-land. The reason for the separation of father and daughters is left unexplained. At first, the waves through which they swim are not simply "clear," but the adjective liquides (2) also conveys a sense of the instability of the waters, and thus hints at the presence of dangers as the pine-daughters enter the unknown -- the waves of Phasis and the land of Acetes (3). And yet the fluctuating natural realm is not the greatest harm facing the pine-daughters.

The feminine life suggested by the image of the prognatae...pinus (1) is altered and lost forever. In line 10 the pine-daughters are transformed into "the pine-weavings of the curved (i.e., altered and perverted)" keel of a boat" (pinea...inflexae texts carinae). Feminine creatures have become a neuter creation, a hybrid-boat, one fashioned by a hybrid-creatrix (8-10, diva.../fecit.../coniungens). The androgynous goddess Athena is credited by the narrator as the shipwright of the carina, a detail which is not a traditional one. These pine-weed planks form the temporary domus for a group of men, figurae when the narrator ennobles with the noble phrase lecti invenes (4). But just as soon as the Argonauts are introduced, they are themselves transformed, through the cellecation of robora/pubis, into "eak(s)/yeuth(s)."

The reader's perspective on this part of the frame is best guided by Ellis' commentary on a certain detail. At first, it is easy to dwell on the traditional notion of the "boldness" of the Argenauts (6, ausi sunt), as well as on their "great desire" (5, optantes) which impole them to take up the quest. But the remember associated with the golden floose (5, surstan pellem) dissipates with the verb svertere (5). The connotations of this word have little, if anything, to do with the idea of a glory-bringing adventure for a prize. Avertere not only means "to turn away," "to steal," "to embezzle," but also, as Ellis notes, "seems chosen in reference to driving off cattle, its common though not invariable meaning." With his selection of svertere, therefore, the narrator smiles as he steals elements of another story about a heroic act of cattle-rustling (cf. the Hymn to Hermes) and conflates it with the exploits of his own heroes. The game of literary allusion, however, quickly turns sinister in lines 6-7, where the oak-youths are reduced to a single physical detail: feminine "fir-wood palms" (7, abiagnis... palmis). How far, the reader wonders, will this creator go in his game of splicing together the separate realms of the natural and the human; in blurring the distinctions among the genders; and in manipulating disparate details?

The very fact that the reader finds himself so perplexed this early in the poem is itself part of the narrator's preparation for something even more sinister -- the advent of a monstrum (11-18):7

illa rudem cursu prime imbuit Amphitrite.
quae simul ac rostro ventosum proscidit aequor
tortaque remigio spumis incanuit unda,
emersere feri candenti e gurgite vultus,
aequorae monstrum Nereides admirantes.
illa, atque <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.com/remiss.org/10.

(In her passage, she first stained untilled Amphitrite. As seen as she ploughed through the windy see with her prow, and the twisting waves grow white with feen from her ears, wild white faces areas from the white abyss -- Heroids gazing in wender at the measter. By the light of that day -- and no other -- the mertals saw, with their own eyes, see-nymphs, with their bodies mude, coming out from the white abyss, even

up to their nursing breasts.)

The "strange creature" that arouses such intense wonderment (15, admirantes) in the Mereids is something more, the reader realizes, than a fir-palmed carins. Hidden within that construct are fragments of the bodies of Pelion's pine-daughters. Thus the neuter noun monstrum underlines both the monstrous and portentous aspect of all the details of the first scene on the framing edge. The noun also forces the reader to look back and to think again about the glory that might have surrounded the idea of a pioneering sea-journey (10, prims), a one-time quest. This journey has resulted in an archetypical act of violation, one which affects the representation of every other female figure in the poem. The carins "stains" (11, imbuit); the carins "ploughs through" Amphtrite's "inexperienced sea."

all of these portents culminate in the apparition of sea-nymphs springing forth from the water and thus exposing their breasts. Because of the sea's personification as Amphitrite, the nymphs come forth (18, e gurgite cano) as if from the domus of the female body of a sister. Everything is wrong here. Hortals catch sight of immortals, a vision not to be seen (16, illa atque <hsud> alia...luce). Horeover, the virginal nature of the nymphs is contradicted by the visual detail of their "lactating nipples" (cf. Ariadne's lactentis...papillas). The hapax nutricum (18) places the nymphs into the realm of the monstrum. In addition, the association of this noun with notions of maternity and feeundity10 undercuts any of the cretic potential of a scene in which the mortal Pelous first lecks upon his immortal "love," the sea-nymph Thetis.

With the impersonal werb fartur (19, "it is said"), the marrator in

effect erases all of the previous details of the picture he has just created. He begins again, this time with a display of verbal, not visual, technique. The collecation Thetidis Peleus (19, the only time these two names are so closely linked) introduces what the reader thinks will be the principal figurae of the epyllion. But the love burning in Peleus is a passion left unelaborated, an oddity, especially if their match is to be viewed from a romantic perspective. Pertur leaves their love hypothetical, as if the narrator will not stand behind his own assertion. He seems more interested in fashioning a rhetorical triplet displaying three cases of Thetis' name (19, tum Thetidis; 20, tum Thetis; and 21, tum Thetidi). This alliterative display displaces the heroic mortal lover by throwing all the emphasis on Thetis, the focus of a word-game.

Within a single line, amor is transformed into the prospect of marriage (20, hymenaeos), one over which Peleus has no control, and Thetis little. Thetis can only "not despise union with a mortal" (20, humenos non despexit hymenaeos), because the union itself is in the hands of a stronger outside force. Just as the narrator manipulates the figuree of this framing edge, so, too, Jupiter, the divum genitor, shapes the figuree of his divine realm according to his own design. As "sire," he coerces mortal and immortal to accept a "yoking" through marriage. (21, iugandum).

In the same breath with the reference to the yoking, the narrator interrupts his story of marriage. The next ten lines (22-30) are an invocation which is both out of place in the text and couched in language emitting an address to the traditional inspiration of opic poetry, the Nasce. 12 Instead, the narrator chooses an apastrophe hailing (22, salveto)

heroes now vanished from the picture of this frame (22, heroes...deum genus). A certain excess of language makes everything ring false. The heroes are quickly reinvoked as the "good" progenies of <"good"> mothers (23 and 23b), women who have not yet appeared on the frame. Then, through the iteration of vos...vos (24), the narrator for a third time calls out to this faceless, nameless, unlocatable group of figures. His choice of the verb compellabo (24) not only promises a future address (which never comes), but it also plays with negative associations of two forms of the root compell. The good heroes and mothers are, first, "driven together," "assembled," or "constrained" to come into the presence of the narrator; second, once assembled, they may possibly be "accosted" and "upbraided," or "called to account" for themselves by their creator.

Just as quickly as a hint of repreach slips in, it, too, vanishes. Overlaying compellabo is the lavish vocative formula, toque adeo eximie felicibus aucte (25), in which Peleus is singled out from the heroes as superior, even to their kind. His particular aristeia derives not from his own exploits on a quest, but from his kleos as the selected bridegroom-to-be of Thetis. If, however, the reader looks carefully at the name Peleu (26), he sees that the men is not simply here or bridegroom, but a monstrum of sorts. The name appears after his transformation into a neuter "pillar" (26, column), an architectural support of the domus of Thessaly. This is a hybrid Peleus.

His oddity is compounded as the narrator switches from vecative addresses to rhotorical questions. Because the clause beginning with sui Implier ipse...(26-27) intervenes between the name of Polous and the accusative pronouns tens...tens (28-29), the reader can not be confident

that Peleus is either the only lover (cf. Jupiter's suos...amores, 26) whom Thetis has embraced, or the only bridegroom whom Tethys and Oceanus have "conceded" (29, concessit) to accept. Clause by clause, nuance by nuance, the narrator's invocation annihilates the reader's belief that such a couple will ever "celebrate an unequivecal blissful wedding." 13

The final picture of the first framing edge is not the consummation of the marriage of Feleus and Thetis but, rather, two conflicting visions of domus: royal (31-37 and 43-49), and rural (38-42). In the creation of the image of the regia domus, the narrator plays with both geography and tradition by altering the locale of the wedding: Hount Polion is replaced by Pherseliam... Pherselia tecta (37). The iteration of this place-name and others (Thessaly, Cierium [Scyros?], Tempe, Crannon, Larisa, 33-36) is, however, itself a word-game of shifting geographical regions (north and south) and ages (heroic and historical).15 While the specific territory of the wedding can not be located, the dogue where the event takes place is visually described. It is made the hub of all activity (32, advance ... conventu... frequentat; 35, descritur... linguunt; 37, cocunt... frequentat). The domus, not the bridgl pair, receives the throngs of guests arriving, accepts their gifts, and sees the joy on each foce (34, declarent gaudia vultu). The expression declarent gaudia vultu(34) treats the joy as semething superficial, as a mask painted on the countenance of guests rather than an emotion deeply felt. The superficiality conveyed by the image permeates the regia domes. As Bramble has argued, the wedding house is an "artifice" and an emblane of decadence. M Since the processe of the pulviner...geniale (47)17 seems to propers for a description of a Roman religious serversy, the piling up of

details such as gleaning gold and silver (44), ivery legs on a table (45), polished ivery-inlaid marriage couch (47), and crimson drapery (48-49), is jarring. The epulence undercuts the selemn potential of the eccasion and reminds the reader of semething else Roman: suspicion of, if not outright distaste for, excessive display of exetic wealth, luxuria. 18

In contrast to images of material splender and human beings thronging the royal domus, stands the undeniable desolation and emptiness of the rural domus. The nerrator seals off the latter realm by means of a chain of negatives: (38, nemo; and 39-41, non...non...non) in a futile attempt, as it were, to protect it from any further less. 10 He fails. The natural scene has already been altered. The rural figures are missing; they have been transformed into an impersonal, faceless group of wedding guests feigning jey in the regis domus of Pelous. The absence of these figures from their proper setting is marked by a rural domus in which time stands still. The landscape seems suspended in a state of entropy. Through images of rust on the ploughshare (42), unpruned vines (39-40), untended bullock, and untilled soil (40), the narrator demonstrates how Earth pays for the "happy" wodding feast: her natural gifts lie in waste, replaced by "wedding-gifts" (34, done). The one-time occasion of Polous' and Thotis' marriage has destroyed the primal bonds between rural figures and their vital source of life, the Earth. The reader who leaves this first framing edge must question why a marriage, which ought to have brought with it the "happiness of fairy-tale remance" is so deeply ingrained with images of decadence and superficiality, on the one hand, and separation, disintegration, and loss, on the other.

FRAME TWO.

The first picture of lines 265-304 is the pulviner of Peleus and Thetis, which is enveloped (266, complexe suo velabet amictu) by the dark folds of the Ariadne-Theseus vestis. The reader's premonition about a marriage ill-fated is aroused again at the very moment he believes the ceremony is to commence. What he sees, however, is the rural figures, the Theseals pubes (267), "giving way to the company of divine wedding guests" (268, sanctis coepit decedere divis). But there is only a glimpse of this activity, because the human wedding guests are immediately transformed, by means of an extended simile, into the waves of a morning sea-scape (269-275):

...qualis flatu placidum mare matutino horrificans Zephyrus proclivas incitat undas, Aurora emorients vagi sub limina Solis, quae tarde primum clementi flamine pulsae, procedunt lenique sonant plangore cachinni, post vento crescente magis increbrescunt purpuraeque procul nantes ab luce refulgent:

(Just as Zophyr, causing the placid sea to bristle with a norning brocke, rouses the sloping waves -- as Dewn springs forth from under the dwelling of the wandering Sun -- which nove out slowly at first, pushed by a closent brocke, and their laughter resounds in their gentle beating; afterwards, as the wind rises, they quicken more and more, and glisten purple from the light, swimning away.)

On the surface, the simile transports the reader into a pleasurable realm, a world devoid of anything injurious. Within one line, however, the reader senses the "subliminal menace" of the language. Zephyr, the westerly wind, might be "ruffling" the sea-surface, and yet the present participle herrificans commonly means "cousing terror" or "making rough." The image of the placehous more (269) is being altered. Similarly, in line

270, the phrase proclives undes can be rendered as "sloping waves", or as waves "tumbling down" into breakers: this sea is becoming dangerous, in spite of its surface leveliness. The adjective vagi (271), 22 portrays the Sun as a god "wandering," "uncertain." The natural order of the heavens is disrupted as the Sun leaves his fixed diurnal course. Even the coloring of the light playing on the swimming waves (275, nantes) is ominous. It gleams purple-red, a hue both reminiscent of Theseus' purple funereal sails (227, carbasus obscurata...ferrugine),23 and a possible portent of a coming storm. After toying with human beings as inemimate waves tossed about in a perilous nature, the narrator casts this imaginary sea-scape aside. The rural figures reappear, only to depart from the scene, as the Sun did, on "uncertain foot" (277, ed se quisque vago passim pede discedebant). The ambiguous phrase ed se, taken by all commentators to mean "to his own home," is insufficient to make the reader believe that the Thessals pubes ever find their own way back to their timeless shandened rural domus.

By contrast, in lines 277-304 the narrator brings the reader back to Pelous' regis domes and the problem of the marriage. If the human guests are not allowed to mingle with the divine, even on such a unique occasion as this, how blissful is the marriage between the mortal Pelous and the immortal Thotis? That their yoking brings together a disparate pair, an unnatural alliance, becomes even more obvious when the reader examines the deities who grace the house with their presence.

Figure by figure, the melange of divine wedding guests adds to the simister, distorted aspect of the coreseny. The arrival of Chiron is not surprising in itself, since, by tradition, the contour is destined to

become the tutor of Achilles, the issue of Peleus and Thetis. Instead of his traditional gift of an ash-spear, 15 however, Chiron comes (presumably from his home in Ht. Pelion) bearing "plaited garlands" (283, plexos... corollis). The substitution of a floral gift for a war-like gift seems strange. As the destined tutor of Achilles, a centaur wise and skilled according to legend, Chiron is inept. His blooming gifts are "indistinct," "unseparated," even "unimpressive" (283, indistincts) because of their indiscriminate bunching. When the royal domes "breaks into a smile" (284, risit) at their scent, perhaps it is also "laughing at" the bearer, too.

The presence of Penies, an eponymous river-god, adds a touch of "burlesque"36 to the humor of Chiron's behavior. It seems appropriate that a river deity should be the one bearing woodland gifts, trees which have come to their fullness of growth because of his life-giving streams. Instead of planting them, however, the god strews them "uprected" (288, radicitus) around the regia tecta, an act which transforms the domes into a site of waste and death. Two of the trees in particular, the cypress and the poplar, bring out further nuences of the domes as a temb, a setting for mourning. The poplar, though unnamed, is depicted as "the sister of flory Phoethon' (290-291, sorore/flammati...Phoethontis). The reader who looks at this gift is made to grieve again for a mortal whose rashness in driving the chariet of the sun ended in his own destruction, as well as in the transformation (through unbearable serrow) of his sisters.27 Thus, axidet the festivities colebrating the union, there are disquisting signs of the nortality both of Polous and his domes (o.g., withering trees). How is this a fit demain for an importal bride?

With the advent of the final figures (Prometheus, Jupiter, and Apollo), the reader moves from intimations of a divided royal domus to evidence that the divine domus is itself a House Divided. Prometheus is an unexpected guest, a figure completely unlike Chiron and Penies, and one whose persons is associated with a challenge to Jupiter's supremery and with divine retribution. Surely his presence will make the divum pater (298) feel some discomfort, because this is the god who took away Jupiter's amor for Thetis. Prometheus, too, comes bringing a strange gift -- the physical scars (295, vestigis) of his cousin's vengeance. His body still bears the marks of the chains that bolted the Titan to Hount Caucasus and the eagle that picked his liver day by day. This guest is also a trickster-god, whose test of Jupiter's knowledge destroyed the Gelden Age of brotherhood and communication between men and god. 20

The idea of division and estrangement continues in the guest-list. The expected guests, Jupiter and Hera, (298, sancta cum coniuge natisque), are stohed in and then passed over. The narrator is more conserved with directing attention to figures that are missing. He asserts that Apollo and his twin sister "disdained to colebrate the muptials of Thetis' marriage" (301-302). The word "aspernata" (301) carries connetations of strong aversion, and thus dramatizes the rejection, at least by some of the gode, of a union between ged and man. The absent Apollo, more than any of the divinities present, is the portent of the destruction inherent in this marriage-bond. He, after all, is one of the two destined slayers of Polous' and Thetis' son Achilles (the other being Paris). In addition, the god's role as protector of Achilles' future enseles, the Trojans, doors the marriage of Polous and Thetis to be ill-starred from

its inception.³¹ The archer-god of the Trojan War is the culmination of a series of divine guests, who bring as "gifts" a future filled with darkness, discord among families and nations, and promises of vengeance and the slaughter of innocents.

FRANK THREE.

The third framing edge (303-383) begins with negativity. The narrator can not speak of the "nuptial pine-torches" (302, taedas) without enveloping them in the phrase nec...voluit. Rather than depict a traditional Roman wedding-banquet, or torch-light procession through streets, or even a processional leading a bride over the thresheld of the merriage-chamber, the marrater discloses the presence of omineus deities seated semewhere at the edges of the festivities. In lines 305-322 the seme mire are that had earlier painted a youthful Ariadne on to the vestis new paints another incarnation of the feminine in the shape of the Pareae, whom Richardson describes as "cracked and pathetic old women dressed in ritual finery."38 The narrator conceives of these goddesses as "sickly, shaking bodies" (305, infirmo quatientes corpora motu; cf. 307, his corpus translum); which are draped on all sides with a white vestis (307). His choice of the word vestis, instead emistus (cf. 266) or some such noun, is a further indication that the pictures to come may be just as tragic with respect to love and hereism as the tableaux on the Arledno/Thosous vostis vero.

The vestis of the Person is the first visual sign of their disturbing character. The white hus of the garment and its purple hom

pick up the colors not only of the overdone marriage-chamber of Peleus and Thetis (47-49), but also of the ash-fouled white head of Aegeus and of his son's funereal sails (227). Both colors, which are combined again in "rosy fillets on snow-white heads" (309, rosese miveo...vertice vittae), project the Parcae as another hybrid, a clash between sexual frigidity and passionate feeling/furor.33 Strange guests for a wedding. clothing reduces reduces the erotic potential of the wedding, these figures, even though goddesses, seem on the threshold of death. Added to the images of their physical infirmity are others describing the dessication of the females. The reader can see tiny bits of woel clinging to "parched little lips" (316, laneaque aridulis haerebant morsa labellis), and a single "tooth evening and snapping" the spun-dry thread (315, decerpens sequebat semper opus dens). This realistic detail, which shows the influence of Hellenistic pictorial art,34 removes any glamour from the wedding scene. Rather than depict a youthful bride, the narrator focuses on goddesses who resemble crones wern out from a lifetime of toil working the distaff and wool.

Catullus' narrator is perhaps drawing upon another Hellenistic artistic medium (the peetic) when he identifies the spinning of the Pareae with their singing in accompanisent to the metion of the spindle and distaff. Their musical strain not only is said to "tell the truth" (306, veridiose), but it is immediately transformed into the utterance of a "divine peem" (321-322, divine fuderunt carmine fata/carmine) and into a "truthful eracle" (326, veridioum eraclum). In effect, these Pareae/erance assume the mentle of ancient eral bards (vetee), wen whose songs of peet heroic deeds commenceate the achievement of glorious family lines

and houses. As singers, however, the women refuse to look back to the past either for guidance or for inspiration. Instead, they fashion a hybrid oral poem. It is at once an epithalamium chanted in honor of Peleus and Thetis and an aristeis which prophesies the inglorious heroism of Achilles. Unlike every other vision in the text, this song is not introduced by impersonal verbs, such as fertur, dicuntur, perhibent. The narrator insists that "no age will accuse [these feminine singers] of perfidic (322): for the first time he stands behind the "truth" he creates.

The initial three stanses (323-327; 328-333; 334-337) seem to adhere to the traditional motifs and rhetorical structure of a wedding song. The time is the "present," and the Parcee, in Roman fashion, hail the bridegroom. The Peleus who has been absent from sight since his metamorphosis into a column (26) is addressed and elevated in rank: "O thou that exaltest thy rare glory by great doods of valour" (323). " Like the description of the Thessalien royal domes, however, such praise of Polous is over-wrought. Since the reader has been given no accounting of Polous' past virtutes, how is his projection as "the means of defense for Bathia" (324, sutamen) credible? Is his role as protector a complement of his duties as husband? Furthermore, when he is hailed as "dearest to" Jupiter, child of Ope, the Goddess of Pleaty (324), the reader recalls a Jupiter whose affection for Polous was not generous. He merely yielded place to the mortal as bridegroom. This is the Polous whom the Parese now bid to hear the following refrain: "But do you, ye spindles, run on, drawing out as threads the destinies which are to come" (326). 37 Not even the Perese, it seems, have the power to alter what has already "been

spoken" (321, fata) about this hybrid union. The "threads" of their song simply grant destiny a material form.

In the second stanza (326-333), the narrator gives a peculiar twist to "an indispensable element" of Roman marriage coresony, namely the "deductio of the bride." It is now the time of the rising of the evening star Hesperus (the planet Venus), 30 and the absent Thetis is portrayed as about to come and fulfill the "things desired (328, optata maritis) by every husband." On the surface, the suggestions of love-making (e.g., "smooth feminine arms" placed "under [Peleus'] strong masculine neck" and "the sleep that follows sexual fulfillment" (330-332)46 are conventional motifs of an epithalamium. But Thetis is, one must remember, a diva, and yet here she is expected to be subservient and comply with the sexual duties required of any mere mortal bride: "a wife who will fleed [her man's] heart with love that distracts him from other things" (italics mine). 41 Is this the grandour of love that "she did not disdain" (20)?

The off-key elements of the spithelamium resenate in the third stanza (334-337), the first two lines of which begin with the negative images nulls downs (334), nullus amor (335). Suddenly, what binds all the framing edges tegether around the central canvas is manifest. The depiction of Poleus' royal house as "concealing such loves" (334, takes contexts amores) identifies the downs as deceitful mask with that of the vestis of Ariadne and Theseus as deceitful mask: the folds of that dark garment conceal the pulvinar (266, compleme...velabet). Both cover ever stories (past and future) of love that fails and of herees who are not what they seem. The Persea, too, conceal, for a mesent, where their song is leading. They begin by putting a resy bue ever the future. The

married love of Peleus and Thetis is elevated to a Roman foedus ("a relationship of amicitia between equals). Foedus also suggests the sacred obligation upon both partners of living in accordance with pietas and fides. In addition, the strong familial bonds of the couple are projected to produce a concordia (336). And yet, although "such an alliance" (335, tali...foedere) of the disparate pair promises to be made valid and permanent, there is still a presentiment of evil.

Achilles is that evil incarnate. Images of his aristeia as a "killer-hero"44 fill the next five stansas of the song of the Parcae (338-371): "strange music for a wedding."45 Like an Athena, the demi-god Achilles springs into being (in lines 338-342) fully grown, a warrior figure. As parents, therefore, Peleus and Thetis are denied any of the pleasure that glimpses of Achilles' childhood and their nurturing of him might have provided. The Achilles of this song may be fearless (338, expers terroris), indomitable (339), and floot (340-341), but his parents do not see the lineaments of a son's face or hear any of his words. He is merely a "back" (339, tergo) and a "brave breast" (339, forti pectore). Even his genealogy as sole heir of Peleus is superseded by his association, through the convoluted rhotoric of line 344-346, with another heir belonging to enother royal domes, namely, Ageneumon. This men is proclaimed "third heir of perjured Polope" (346, periuri Polopis...tertius heres).46 The essence of Achilles as heres is thus clocked with the legendary brutality of the Nouse of Atrons, a royal domes where non abuse divine trust, percents serve children's flesh, fathers and sens secrifice kin, and warriors make Earth run red with blood.

The pictures that follow demonstrate what the Pareas mean when they

link Achilles' "extraordinary virtutes and renowned deeds" (348, egregias virtutes claraque facts) with such a domus. Their catalogue of exploits (349-370) begins in line 349 with images of "mothers," "sons," and "a funeral." Because the song is a prophecy offered to one particular mother, the singular noun funus has a special resonance. The reader thinks that Achilles' death after a glorious career is being foreshadowed. The career recorded, however, is that of a here who brings terror and grief to everything he touches. The image of the mourning mothers properly describes the Trojan mothers. Achilles is a monstrum, a portent of doom, the reason that mothers "will bruise" (351, variabunt) their "withered" (351, putridaque) breasts in anguish for the sons he has slaughtered.⁴⁷ The effect of the image of dried-up breasts is to draw immortal Thetis into the domain of mortal women, and into the death her role as mater is destined to bring.

The focus upon images of mater continues in lines 353-361. The Parcae sing of the effects of Achilles' battle-rampage upon Nother Earth. As mater, Thetis must now endure her heroic son being transformed, through a simile, into a reaper teiling in the fields (353, messor). But her son's labor brings no fruit. Instead of working in the fields and harvesting ears of corn, grown to their fullness as sources of feed for man (354, flaventis...ervs), Achilles stands on the plain and cuts down rows of Trojan bedies to feed his warrier's lust. His desceration of the goods of Earth is matched by his fouling of one of her veters, the waves of the Scanander (357 ff.). The "nameless heap" of Trojan dead not only restricts the flow of the Scanander to the Hellespent, but the life-blood of human beings "warms" (360, topefaciot), and almost replaces, the life-

giving waters of nature. As sea-nymph, therefore, a woman whose "Being" is the water, Thetis must reckon with the vision of a son capable of violating even her own domus.

The Parcae bring their catalogue to an end with perhaps the most complicated image of the death inherent in the union of Peleus and Thetis. Two details, the bustum (363, barrow) and the sepulcrs (368, tomb), confront a divine mater with cold reality: her killer-son's inability to escape death himself. Her eye is made to "climb up [Achilles'] tomb - which instead of being polished or round becomes a heap of blood-red carnage. Raised on the Hellespont, this barrow becomes Achilles' eternal domus, the material sign of what separates mother and son.

The song of prophecy should have ended here, but the Parcae hymn an Achilles become killer even in death. Their hymn is, in effect, a dirge for Hecuba's lost daughter, Polymens, "unwilling bride" of dead Achilles. How the barrow becomes an altar on which a perverted marriage rite is performed in front of another wedding-couple, Achilles' parents. The Achilles who has doomed matres to bereavement for sons killed by his hand still has the power, even from the grave, to increase the brutality of his hereism. Polymena is represented as, simultaneously, booty (362, praceds), bride, secrificial animal, and headless bedy. The mutilation of the female body (370, truncum...corpore) by the double-axe, an instrument of secred ritual (369, ancipiti...ferro), is an image even more disturbing than the indiscriminate cutting down of the corm/Trojan warriors by the living Achilles' ame (355, infecto...ferro). The careful detailing of the hymn of the Parcae presents the reader with a Polymena who goes through the herror of every step of the ritual, a weam conscious of what

is befalling her. First, she marks her submission to her "bridegroom" by lowering herself on to this altar/tomb with bended knee; then she hurls her headless corpse forward in the throes of joining with him in death.

In line 372 the abrupt transition between a vision of decapitation and an exhortation to the bridal pair to consummate their marriage shocks the reader. 52 The Parcae superimpose the prospects of a living bride upon the reality of a dead bride, thereby increasing the dark and the sinister in the present nuptial celebration. The disparate pair are ordered to join in an act of love-making (372, optatos animi...amores). Once again, the diva Thetis is reminded, as any mortal woman would be, of her prescribed duties as nupta. She is urged to surrender herself (374, dedatur) to the terms of her "contract" (373, foedere) with a mortal husband. But in the stock Roman banter teasing this bride on her lost virginity, there are disquieting echoes of the earlier discordant song. Through the collocation and build-up of the images anxia nec mater, meesta puellae (379), secubitu caros, and mittet sperare domus (380), the Parcae give Thetis fragmentary images of the only possibilities in being woman in the world she now inhabits. There flash before her eyes now "a distraught mother," now "a saddened woman," now a woman "separated from her dear ones," now a woman "stripped of the hope of descendants" (380, nepotes). Strange music for a wedding.

As the reader leaves this framing edge, he is saddened and perplaced. What is the "truth?" Has the seng of the Parcae been an epithelemium in honor of a unique occasion, or is it, rather, a dirgo mourning lesses beyond the ken of even the Parcae? For, the figure of their Thetis is not different from any of the creatures, male or female,

ereated earlier by the narrator. Thetis' error in leaving her natural demus, her secure realm, is only a variation on the themes of self-separation, destruction, and less running throughout all three framing edges.

The genesis of these themes is the pine-daughters' error in swimming away from their father. This unexplained archetypical act opens the poem. Thereafter the reader is plunged into a series of abbreviated, rapidly-shifting pictures, which defy all conventional norms of opic literary tradition: logical time-sequence, symbolic geographical territories, elearly defined central characters, and thematic unity, scene-to-scene. Instead, the reader of Garman 64 mosts a group of "select heroes," whose stature as the Argenauts is never acknowledged. For a single line he follows this group on their quest for a flooce, only to see them transformed into rebers/youth, and, then, fir-palms -- and then they simply disappear from eight, never to return.

The reader ensembers a second archetypical error. The human woodling guests who flock to Polous' regis domes do so at the price of shandening their pride-of-place in the rural domes. Mature begins to die, an act which sets the stage not only for the death-bringing here Achilles in the song of the Parese, but also for the failed divine domes of the fourth framing edge.

MOTES

- 1. The title of this chapter is R. Lattimore's translation of Rumenides, 50, in Asschylus I. Oresteia (Chicago: University Press, 1953), p. 136. The opigraphs are taken from T. Johnson's edition of the poetry of Emily Dickinson.
- Collected Sonnets of Edna St. Vincent Hillay (New York: Washington Square Press Inc., 1960), p. XIX.
- 3. Merrill, p. 130, ad loc., makes the point about instability. No notes that the adjective is "not an etiese epithet." It indicates water unsuited "to support a heavy body." Professor E. D. Blodgett has called my attention to the possibility of a pun linking the adjective liquidus to the liq- perfect root in the verbe linque and relinque. This pun would associate the waters with the those of leave-taking which dominates the opyllion (e.g., 35, 59, 123, 133, 162, 180, etc. Lee C. Curran, "Gatullus 64 and the Hereis Age," YCS 21 (1969), p. 185, states:

Linquere and relinquere are used with particular frequency in the Ariadne story and in such a way that they lose their colorlessness and become leaded words.

4. In Vergil's Asneid IV. 22, Dide confesses that Asness is the only man who has "turned her away from" her adoration of her dead husband, Sychoous:

solus hic inflexit sensus enimunque lebenten impulit. edgnosco votoris vostigia flamme.

- 5. Cf. Apollonius Ehodius' Argonoutica I. 18 ff.
- 6. Ellis, p. 283, ad loc.
- 7. I have chosen to read line 11 asserding to the text of D. F. S. Themsen, Gatulius. A Critical Edition (Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press, 1978), i.e., prime instead of pressm.
- 8. Ellis, p. 285, ad lec.
- 9. Persyth, p. 351, ad lec. Ellis, p. 285, notes the meaning of prescindere as "generally used of the first ploughing which breaks up a virgin soil...."
- 10. This point is also briefly alluded to by Curren, p. 186.
- 11. In line 27 the phrase succ...consecut amores hints at the traditional story (cf. Accepylus, Premethous Sound) that Thotis was, in fact, Jupitor's our "love-object," one that he had to give up because of a prophecy warning against the merriage.

- 12. If Catullus were following Alexandrian tradition, he might have been expected to prefece the wedding story of Poleus and Thetis with an invocation to Erate, the Muse of leve and of leve poetry. Apollonius Rhodius, for example, begins the narrative on Modea and Jason (Book 3) with such an appeal for inspiration.
- 13. Arkins, p. 134, uses each of the quoted words semetimes singly, semetimes in pairs, throughout this page.
- 14. Merill, p. 134, notes that the common form of the legend made Mt. Pelion the place of the wedding, and Chiron the host.
- 15. G. Giangrande, "Catullus 64.35," LCN 1 (1976), p. 111, argues for keeping the reading Scyros (instead of Gieros) because Catullus' "inaccuracy" about Thessaly's geography is less important than the aura he creates of "elden times." Hereafter this article is cited as Giangrande, "Catullus 64.35."
- 16. J. C. Bramble, "Structure and Ambiguity in Catullus LXIV," PCPS 16 (1970), p. 39.
- 17. The Oxford Classical Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1961), p. 748, outlines two major uses for the pulvinar: "(1) a couch, such as was used for the images or symbols of gods at a lectisternium;...(2) a platform on which such objects were placed, either to be adored at a supplicatio, or for other ritual purposes...." See also Jereme Carcopine, Baily Life In Ancient Reme (New Navon: Yale University Press, 1940, reprint 1979), p. 209, who traces the use of the pulvinar in later Remen times in secred corteges in the simultaneous honor of past emperors and their living representative.
- 18. Reman disapproval of lumuris is best summed up by Harous Cate in the Prefece of his treatise So Agri Gulture I.5-7:

 Instrumenti no magni siet, lose bene siet. Videte, quan minimi/ instrumenti sumptuesusque ager no siet. Seite/
 iden agrum qued heminen, quanvis quaestussus siet, si sumptuesus erit, relinqui non multum.
 See also Gioero, In Gatilinan II, v. 9-11; Be Oratoro II, 171.
- 19. Bramble, p. 36, says:

 Cotulius has only given us the negative aspect of a return to the Golden Age: he cheets the reader of the empected description of Neture's automatic beneficence towards men. Labor and agriculture have eccoed, but the innocent earth does not submit to the Golden Age formula by producing crops of her our volition.
- 20. 0'Connoll, p. 749.
- 21. Ibid., p. 752.

- 22. Vague is a favorite of Catullus. Of the thirteen appearances of the adjective in the corpus, only three (cc. 46, 61, 65) occur outside of cc. 63 and 64. As Ellis was first to point out, p. 262, n. 4, vague denotes states of mental confusion, emotional bowilderment, and erratic movement of bodies, enimate or inemimate. Thus the use of vague in reference to the Sun suggests the "madness" of the god, his condition of inner turnoil.
- 23. O'Connell, p. 752, is the first to develop the links between the coloring of the waves and Theseus' sails.
- 24. Cf. Forsyth, The Poems, ad loc.
- 25. Bramble, p. 29. Cf. also Homer, Iliad 16, 144.
- 26. Kinsey, p. 923. In his discussion of the passage, however, he sees senething that this reader of the peem does not. For, Kinsey asserts that, "Penice plants [the trees] around the palace..." (italies mine).
- 27. Cf. Ovid, Netamorphoses, 2. 1-366.
- 28. This story is as old as Acochylus' Promotheus Bound. Only Promotheus know that Thetis was the doity prophesied to bear to Zous a sen who would everthrow him. Promotheus townts Zous with this secret, and is finally released from bondage when he tells it. See also Hygionus, Astr. 2. 15.
- 29. Per the earliest assesunt of the relations between man and god in the Golden Age, see Hesiod's Works and Bays, 109-126. The most telling dramatization of the disruption caused to the divine realm by Premethous is found in Hesiod's Theograp, 507-616.
- 30. Cf. Illad 22, 359-360.
- 31. The doctus lector will know, of course, the encient legends about the golden apple, the source of strife enong three female deities at the wedding, the role of Trojen Peris as judge, and the much later sacrifice of Iphigenia to appears Artemis for her less of Troy. Curren, p. 106, calls attention to Homer, Iliad 24. 63 and Pinder, Heman 5. 21 ff., both of which record Apollo as present at the wedding.
- 32. Richardson, p. 51.
- 33. I am indebted to C. C. Rehrer, "Red and White in Ovid's Metamorphoses: The Mulberry Tree in the Tale of Pyrams and Thisbe," Ramse 9, pp. 79-80, for her work on colors in Latin poetry. See also B. H. Powler, The Hellanistic Acothotic (Medison: The University of Visconsin Press, 1989), p. 17 ff.

- 34. Powler, p. 99 ff., traces what is almost a genre of depicting the aged so as to draw out the pathos of the human body as it withers away.
- 35. AP 726:

Old Platthis often repelled from her evening and merning sleep, keeping poverty away, and near the deer of gray eld age used to sing a tune to her spindle and familiar distaff. Still by the lees until the dawn she revolved in company with the Graces that long task of Pallas, or, a loveable figure, smoothed with her wrinkled hand on her wrinkled knee the thread sufficient for the leem. Aged eighty years comely Platthis who were so well set eyes on the lake of Acheron.

- 36. This is Ellis' translation, p. 334, ed loc.
- 37. Ellis, 161d.
- 38. Eduard Freenkel, "Vesper Adost (Catullus LXII) JRS 45 (1955), p. 7. For a study of the conventions of Reman marriage, see Cordon Williams, "Some Aspects of Reman Marriage Coronomies and Ideals, JRS 48 (1958), pp. 16-29.
- 39. D. A. Kidd, "Hosperus and Catullus LXII," Letomus 33 (1974), pp. 22-23, discusses the meaning of Hosperus:
 Seen in the afterglew of the sunset, it presents what most observers would consider an impressive and beautiful sight. It became therefore a byword for beauty and, incomuch as it outshines all other stars, a byword for pre-eminent beauty.... In art [Hosperus] appears as a young man, with flowing hair, carrying a torch, and riding a horse.
- 40. Arkins, p. 136.
- 41. Ibid.
- 42. Ross. p. 85.
- 43. On this concept, see P. HeGushin, "Catullus' Sanctae Foodus Amicitico," GP 62 (1967), pp. 85-86, and R. H. Henry, "Pietas and Fidos in Catullus," Hormschene 75 (1950), pp. 65-67.
- 44. Beniels, "'The Song of the Pates' in Catallus 64: Epithalanium or Dirgot," GJ 68 (1972), p. 101. Noveefter this article is cited as Daniels, "Song."
- 45. IDIA.
- 46. Cf. Pinter, Olympian 1.

- 47. Cf. Horace, Odes I. 1, 24-25: ...bellaque matribus/ detestata. The source of Catullus' inspiration for the matres and their putrida pectors is, of course, Hemor, Iliad 22, 77ff.
- 48. Putnem, p. 253.
- 49. Kinsey, p. 926, says:

 Thetis tried to secure immertality for her children [sic] and Pinder tells us that the death of Achilles was the great misfortune of Pelous' life.
- 50. Putnam, p. 254.
- 51. Deniels, p. 101. Cf. the Prologue of Euripides' Hocube.
- 52. Quinn, The Poems, ad loc., observes: "...quere seems almost estentationally to ignore the horror of what has just been prophesied.

Is it a love poem? Did he sing of war?
Is it an intrigue to run subtly out,
Born of a jonglour's tongue, freely to pass
Up and about and in and out the land,
Mark him a craftsmen and a strategist?

Oh, there is precedent, legal tradition, To sing one thing when your song means another...

Exra Pound, "Hear Perigord"

CHAPTER FOUR

"THE DIRE DIMENSION OF A FINAL THING"

These houses, these difficult objects, dilapidate Appearances of what appearances, Words, lines, not meanings, not communications

Wellace Stevens, "An Ordinary Evening in New Haven"

But now a habit of the truth had formed To protect him in a privacy, in which

The scholar, captious, told him what he could Of there, where the truth was not the respect of one, But always of many things. He had not to be told

Of the incredible subjects of peetry He was willing they should remain incredible Because the incredible, also, had its truth...

Wallace Stovens, "Semeone Puts a Pincapple Together"1

Scholar. At the end of the third framing edge, the reader is ensured to imagine that the "truth" consists of a choice among a narrow field of answers. Is Garmen 64 a wedding poon, or a hereic epic, or a didectic epic (that is, a narration of "the descent of man")? But even as he questions those possibilities, he already knows that a "habit of truth" has formed about how to look upon what he sees. Each successive part reveals semething hitherto unknown about the known, and each successive part contains "dilapidate appearances -- of what appearances? Thus, when the reader looks at the fourth framing edge (363-466) and hears of the mingling of gods and men, perplexed, he begins to medicate on "the

incredible subjects of poetry."

The fourth frame opens up a vast chase between the domus of Poleus and Thetis and another "strange, isolated little family." The members of this family are introduced collectively as "those who cherish the heavens" (386, the caelicolae). The impulse to make an easy identification between those figures and the divinities frequenting the wedding-feast quickly fades, however. The temporal adverb ante (384, "in former times") removes the reader to another dimension of space and time. He is "semewhere." But where? in relationship to the pine-daughters, the quest of Theseus, the ribald banter of the wedding-feast? Furthermore, even though a second temporal adverb, postquam (397, "afterwards") slips in, it seem becomes clear that "time" is essentially meaningless. In this incredible poetry, time does not pass. And yet the narrator pretends to catalogue an array of religious events which ought to place the reader in "time." Such playfulness with verbal ambiguities once again draws attention back to the marrator: what kind of figure is he as artist?

Within the space of a single line-end (383, Parese/384, presentes), the narrator outs off the sound of the competing voices of the Parese in song. The pictures he now creates have been criticized by Quinn as forming a "clumpy moral statement" about human depravity. But the narrator's dramatic ressecrtion of first-hand control (cf. 116-117, quid ago...digressus/commemorum) is neither clumpy in technique nor moralistic in intention. The same creater who has earlier challenged the reader's belief in the stability of nature and of man (horoic or rural) now stripe the last illusion from the reader's eyes, namely that there is anything secred even in the deities his culture honors. It would seem, to

paraphrase Dickinson, that Catullus' poet-nerrator has lost faith in the entirety of the "estate" which he inherited along with life .. his artistic traditions together with the ancient truths and rituals informing his very sense of humanity. And the art with which this narrator transmits such "unbelief" to the reader transferms the fourth framing edge into a powerful look back on the poon as a whole. It serves as a kind of epitaphium to "Being's beggary."

The framing edge (384-408) can be broken into what appear, at first, to be pictures of two contrasting times: the Good Life (ance) and the Bad Life (postquam). The common link between the two dimensions is that the caelicoles dominate. Hortals exist only in their relationship to those higher powers. The essential difference between the two dimensions can be summarized as the presence, as opposed to the absence, of the gode. The narrator asserts that when the caelicoles were present, there was a generation of herees (385-386, demos...hereum), "Stronger and freer, a little better off."

But how do the gods "manifest themselves" (385, sees...estendere) as present? The narrator claims that "mortal assemblies" (385, mortali...esotu) have experienced the manimum presence of the caelisoles. The reader expects some evidence to support such a claim. What he receives, however, is a haphasard collection of clichés on ansient religious practice. Purthermore, there is no logical relationship between one divine manifestation (i.e., seetus) and another. Jupiter, for example, is not represented with any of the elegance due to the pater divum (387). He is morely a god who returns on a yearly basis to watch "the falling of buils"(388): where does this secred event take place?

Where are the people to pay honor to his divine presence? The chain of saspe (387), saspe (390), cum (392), and saspe (394) sets up a puzzling relationship among what really are quite separate and distinct cults in honor of Jupiter, Liber, Apolle (at Delphi?), and the war-gods. This same vagueness pertains to the description of the mortals said to "rejoice" (393, lasti) at Delphi. These figurae have no individuality beyond the name of their city. Like the mortal wedding-guests, they remain forever faceless and nameless. Just as the mortals in these sacred rituals remain amorphous, so, too, does the "armed crowd" of men (396, armstas...catervas) driven into "the death-bringing centest of war" (394, in letifero belli certamine). The emineus nuances of the sacred in the divine are new set by the gods they hence.

The reader who looks at the Good Life with eyes that have seen the rest of the peen, can not ignore the dire dimension in the vision of the sloughtered bulls in line 389. First, the occasion is not accompanied by any details of the feasting, conviviality, and joy traditionally associated with the gift of anisal secrifice. Jupiter and a hundred dead bulls are the center, not mankind. Second, as Porsyth notes, the verb procumbers (309) recalls succumbers (369), and the "bloodless body of a young girl [Polymona] gratuitously slain" as animal secrifice. The association between human beings as either victims or agents of secrifice grows stronger with the picture of the people of Belphi "vying with one smother maily" (392, certatim...rusmess) about countless alters. These secred places are said to be "smoking," or perhaps "recking" (392, funantibus) with the stoneh of heaped careaces. But such a profusion of apparently proper secrifices can not crose the memory of the one perverted

sacrifice. The decapitated body of Polymena lying over the barrow of Achilles stains the sacred in sacrifice.

What is missing from all of the imagery of the Good Life is any proof of a real coetus, a real communication between gods and men. The reader never sees Liber, for example, infusing the pleasures of his ecstasy into the spirits of mankind. The god is too busy "driving on" (391, egit) his band of Thyads. Is his "bride" Ariadne to be imagined among their frenzied troop? Even the gods Hars, Athena, and the Ramusia virgo (Hemesis? Diana?) are "presences" (396, praesens) among mankind simply in their capacity as remote marshals of battle. For them, death is a game; but for their mortal pawns it is final, with everything to lose. If even the conventional idea of deities as numinous allies or patrons of herees can be undermined, how, in retrospect, can the reader believe in the narrator's abrupt assertion that the homes of the herees in this divine domus are "virtuous" (384, domes...castas)?

As the narrator moves into an ascount of the so-called Bed Life, he prodicates its "Being" on a justicia (396) which mortals have senshed forsales. But having seen the emptimess of the vague religiosity of the Good Life, the reader is even more frustrated with the empty abstraction "justicia." The "justice" of the Good Life has simply meant bands of mortals seen rushing about in mindless compliance with divine ordinances. These creatures are passively "good" as they carry out, in rote repetition, secred rituals wherein they derive no meaningful contus with their deities. As Thomson says in another context, theirs is "a demostering a void in the heart."

Nevertheless, the estalogue of the activities pursued by the

Unblessed is just as vague, just as meaningless and mindless as the religious practices of the Blessed. There are no faces, nor any names attached to those who are said to engage in fratricide, in acts of unnatural lust, and in forgetfulness of their duties to the dear dead (400-403). The narrator's vagueness about such shocking realities removes the horror from the impious. The shallow family ties between gods and men in the Good Life are mirrored in reverse by the shallow family ties between men and women in the Bad Life. Strange music.

When the narrator inscribes at the bottom of his poem's epitaphium the noun phrase omnia fanda nefanda malo permixta furore (405), he mocks the reader. These words say plainly that no matter what the reader thinks the painting might "say" (fands), these pictures are, at best, elliptical, only glimpses of things which are "unspeakable" (nefands). The source of the narrator's inspiration is not, as the reader might have supposed, the pleasure of crafting a nevel art: a painting in words. For such a painting implies a poet's confidence that he can transform "clode of celor-11 into clods of words capable of being scrutinized as a whole and, as Morace later says about the good painting, "in full light."18 Garmon 64, Catullus' narrator has fashioned a savage art, a thing fragmented. Its framing edges and central canvas speak of a void in its creator's heart. Such craftsmenship springs not from pleasure, but from semething he connects with male...furore (405). The adjective male ("vicked" or "injurious") transforms furor from a conventional connectation of an artist's "beneficial" manie or divine inspiration into the marrator's personal Muse: a petentially self-injurious anger and rage. This thee has left him impotent, unable to say, or to un-say, all the

incredible truths of his poetry (omnia fanda nefanda). The effect of such furor is to leave the reader in the same state of anger and rage as he struggles with visions of "no light" (407-408, nec.../lumine claro), separation and abandonment, and failure locating in the poem's final words. However often this reader looks back at Carmen 64, he will feel the pain underlying all the narrator's dark visions, and he will hear, as it were, a voice saying:

That's it. The lover writes, the believer hears, The poet numbles and the painter sees, Each one, his fated eccentricity, As a part, but part, but tenacious particle, Of the skeleton of the ether, the total Of letters, prophecies, perceptions, clods Of color, the giant of nothingness, each one And the giant ever changing, living in change.

Wallace Stevens, "A Primitive Like an Orb"

HOTES

- 1. The Collected Poems of Wallace Stevens (New York: Alfred A Knepf, 1967). The title of this chapter is a line quoted from Theodore Roethke's "The Tree, The Bird," in The Par Field (New York: Doubleday & Company, Inc., 1964).
- 2. Forsyth, "Catullus 64: The Descent of Man," Antichthon 9 (1975), pp. 41-51, hereafter cited as Forsyth, "Descent." She says that: The basis theme of poom 64 can be put into two words: e vertice. The human ereature, having been blessed with a high state at birth, has fallen to a much lower level of existence. Like the ship Argo, mankind has lost sight of its lefty origin (p. 51).
- 3. This line is from Amy Lowell's "The Sisters," in The Complete Poetical Works (Boston: Houghton Hifflin, 1955).
- 4. Quinn, Interpretation, p. 263.
- 5. I am using a term which Esra Pound highlights in his translation, "Ask not Ungainly," of Horace's ede to Loucense (Odes I.11). The last two lines are as follows:

We talk. Time runs in eavy of us, Molding our day more firm in unbelief.

- 6. This is a line from Wallace Stevens' "The Good Man has no Shape."
- 7. It is difficult to give a precise reference to the neun divum in line 393. Is it Baschus or Apollo? Merrill, ad loc., says that Dolphi was connected with the worship of both gods.
- 8. Forsyth, "Descent," p.44.
- 9. O'Gonnell, p. 754.
- 10. D. F. S. Thomson, "Aspects of Unity in Catullus 64," GJ 57 (1961), p. 56.
- 11. W. Stevens, "A Primitive like on Orb."
- 12. Horace, De Arte Poetica, 363: hace annt obscuren volet hace subluce videri.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. Prinary Sources

- Asschylus. Asschylus I. Orosteis, trans. by Richmond Lattimers (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1963). A Commentary on Catullus. Ed. with comm. by Robinson Catullus. Ellis (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1889). Catulius. A Commentary. Ed. with com. by C.J. Pordyce (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1961). The Poems of Catulius. A Teaching Text. Ed. with com. by Phyllis Young Porsyth (Lenthem, New York: University Press of America, 1986). A Critical Concordace to Catullus, Ed. by V.P. NcCarren (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1977). Catullus. Ed. with com. by Elmer Truesdale Merrill (Cambridge: Marvard University Press, 1893, reprint 1951). Catullus. The Poons. Ed. with com. by Kenneth Quinn. 2nd ed. (Lendon: Mecmillan Education 7.4., 1973). Catullus. A Critical Edition. Ed. with Introduction by D.F.S. Thomson (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1978).
- The Greek Anthology. The Loob Classical Library. Trans. by W.R. Paten (London: Un Heinemann Ltd., 1960). Vol. 4.
- Vergil. Publi Vergili Marenis Asneldes. Liber Quartus. Ed. with seam. by Arthur Stanley Pease (Darmstedt: Visconschaftishe Buchgesellschaft, 1967).

B. Secondary Sources

- Adler, Bve. Catulian Solf-Revolution. (New York: Arms Press, 1981)
- Arkins, Brian. Samuelity in Catulius. (Hildocheim: Georg Olms Verlag, 1962).
- Block, Elizabeth. "Failure to Thrive: the Thome of Parents and Children in the Assoid and its Iliadis Hodels," Rames 9 (1980), p. 128-149.

- . Catullus. The Poems. Ed. with comm. by Kenneth Quinn. 2nd ed. (London: Macmillan Education Ltd., 1973).
- D. F. S. Thomson (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1978).
- Boyle, A.J. "The Meaning of the Aeneid: A Critical Inquiry (Part I)," Ramus (1971) pp. 63-90.
- Curren, Lee C. "Catullus 64 and the Heroic Age," YCS 21 (1969), pp. 171-192.
- Dely, Lloyd W. "Callimachus and Catullus," CP 47 (1952) 97-99.
- Daniels, Marion L. "Personal Revelation in Catullus 64," GJ 62 (1967), p. 351-356.
- . "'The Song of the Fates' in Catullus 64: Epithalamium or Dirgo?" CJ 68 (1972) pp. 97-101.
- Dettmer, Helena. Horace: A Study in Structure (Mildesheim: Olms Weidmann, 1983).
- Dickinson, Emily. The Complete Poems of Emily Dickinson, ed. by Thomas H. Johnson (Boston: Little Brown and Company, 1960).
- Eliot, T.S. Selected Poems. T.S. Eliot. (London: Faber and Faber Limited, 1961).
- Perguson, John. Catullus (Onford: Clarendon Press, 1988).
- Forsyth, Phyllis Young. "Catullus 64: The Descent of Man," Antichthon 9 (1975) pp. 41-51.
- _____. "Catulius 64: Dienyous Reconsidered" in Studies in latin Literature II, ed. by C. Dereux (Brussels: Latenus, 1900).
- Powler, Berbers Hughes. The Hellenistic Assthetic (Medison: University of Visconsin Press, 1989).
- Frank, Tonney. Gatullus and Horaco. Two Poots and Their Environment (New York: Honry Holt and Company, 1928).
- Fracentel, Edward. "Vesper Adost (Catullus LXII)," JRS 45 (1955), pp. 1-8.
- Glangrando, G. "Catullus 64.35" LCW 1 (1976) pp. 111-112.

- . "Catullus 64," LCH 2 (1977), pp. 229-231.
- Gilbert, Sandra H. and Susan Gubar. The Madveman in the Attic.

 The Woman writer and the Wineteenth-Century

 Literary Imagination. (New Naven and London: Yale
 University Press, 1979).
- Glare, P.G.W. Oxford Latin Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1982).
- Hammond, N.G.L. & H.H. Scullard, ed. The Oxford Classical Dictionary 2nd ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1970).
- Harmon, Daniel Patrick. "The Concept of Alienation in Catullus' Poetry," diss., Horthwestern University (1969).
- Henry, R.M. "Pietas and Pides in Catullus," Hermsthens 75-76 (1950) pp. 63-68 and 48-57.
- Holoka, James P. Gaius Valerias Catullus, A Systematic Bibliography (New York: Garland Publishing, Inc. 1985).
- Kidd, D.G. "Hosperus and Catullus LXII" Latomus 33 (1974) pp. 22-33.
- Kinsey, T.E. "Irony and Structure in Catullus 64," Latenus 24 (1965), pp. 911-931.
- Leach, Eleanor Winsor. "Ekphrasis and the Thoma of Artistic Failure in Ovid's Notemorphoses," Remus 3 (1974), pp. 102-142.
- Lobock, Anno. The Oresteis. A Study in Language and Structure (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1971).
- Liddell, H.G. and R. Soett. Greek-English Lexicon, 8th ed. (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1897).
- McGushin, P. "Gatullus' Sanctae Foodus Amicities," CP 62 (1967), pp. 85-93.
- Michelini, Ann H. "Characters and Character Change in Acachylus: Klytaimeetra and the Puries," Ramus 8 (1979, pp. 153-164.
- Millay, Edna St. Vincent. Collected Sennets of Edna St. Vincent Millay (York: Washington Square Press, Inc., 1960).
- O'Connell, Michael. "Pictorialism and Meaning in Catulius 64."

 Laterms 36 (1977), pp. 746-756.

- Pound, Esra. Esra Pound. Selected Poems. (New York: New Directions Books, 1957).
- Putnem, Michael G.J. "The Art of Catullus 64," in Approaches to Catullus, ed. Kenneth Quinn (New York: Barnes & Moble Books, 1972).
- Quinn, Kenneth. The Catullan Revolution (Cambridge: W. Heffer & Sons, Ltd., 1969).
- _____. Catullus. An Interpretation (London: B.T. Batsford, Ltd. 1972).
- Rankin, Anne V. "Odi et Amo: Gaius Valerius Catullus and Freud's Essay en 'A Special Type of Choice of Object Hade by Hen,'" The American Image 19 (1962), pp. 437-448.
- Richardson, Lawrence. Political Theory in Republican Rome (New Naven: Yale University Press, 1944).
- Rohrer, Catherine C. "Red and White in Ovid's Metamorphoses: The Mulberry Tree in the Tale of Pyramus and Thisbe" Ramus 9 (1980), pp. 79-99.
- Roothke, Thoodere. The Far Field. (New York: Doubleday & Company, Inc., 1964).
- Ross, David O., Jr. Style and Tradition in Catullus. (Cambridge: Marvard University Press, 1969).
- Simpson, J.A. and Winder, E.S.C. ed. The Oxford English Distionary Vol. IX. 2nd ed. (Oxford Clarendon Press, 1989).
- Stevens, Wallace. The Collected Poems of Wallace Stevens (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1967).
- Thomson, D.F.S. "Aspects of Unity in Catullus 64," CJ 57 (1961), pp. 49-57.
- Verducci, Florence. Ovid's Toychop of the Heart: Epistules Heroidum (Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1985).
- Voyne, Paul. Asman Erotic Elegy. Love, Poetry and the West, trans. David Pollauer (Chicago: University Press, 1988), p. 171.
- Wheeler, Arthur Leelie. Getulius and the Traditions of Ancient Poetry (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1964).

Williams, Gordon. "Some Aspects of Roman Marriage Coremonies and Ideals," JRS 48 (1958), pp. 16-29.

_______. Tradition and Originality in Roman Poetry (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1968.

Wiseman, T.P. Gatullus and Mis World. A Reappraisal (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985)

______. "Catullus' Iacchus and Ariadne," LCN 2 (1977), pp. 177-180.

______. "Catullus 64 Again," LCN 3 (1978), pp. 21-22.

Zeitlin, Froma J. "The Dynamics of Hisogyny: Myth and Mythmaking in the Oresteis," Arethusa 11 (1978), pp. 140-184.

Vita

MAME: Nargaret Marina Drummond

PLACE OF BIRTH: Rigs, Latvia

POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION: B.A. in Romance Languages/English Literature

Case, Western Reserve University

Summe cum laude

HONORS AND AWARDS: Phi Beta Kappa

Fulbright Fellowship (France)

The Walter N. Johns Memorial Pellowship in

Classics, 1989-90.

Killam Doctoral Fellowship, 1990-91.

RELATED WORK EXPERIENCE: Teaching Assistant 1989-90

Department of Classics University of Alberta