



Engineering at Alberta

INTRODUCTION

- revolutionized production processes by enabling the creation of intricate structures layer by layer.
- \ast One standout technique within AM is Laser Powder Bed Fusion (LPBF), which utilizes focused lasers to selectively melt and fuse metal powders, yielding precise, fully dense components with exceptional mechanical properties.
- \rightarrow This study explores the potential of LPBF in creating intricate porous filters using Stainless Steel (17-4PH), a versatile alloy known for its mechanical strength, chemical and corrosion resistance.
- \rightarrow Using the power of LPBF, our research aims to optimize the filtration efficiency of these porous filters, pushing forward both 3D printing and filtration methods.⁴



Fig. 1 LPBF Machine



Fig. 2 17-4 PH Application

(3) ine Space Definition Adjust Line Thickness 1.CAD modeling in Solidworks 2. Convert file to STL 3. Transfer STL file to Orlas Suite 7 4. Add various parameters 5.Print 6. Remove Supports 7.Application

METHODS

EFFICIENT WATER FILTRATION THROUGH LASER POWDER BED FUSION FABRICATED POROUS FILTERS

Jady Xu,^a Shalini Singh^a, and Ahmed Qureshi^a

Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB

PROCESS

- part of printing using LPBF and different materials have different optimal² parameters
- * The most important parameters to consider for each material (powder) are laser power (W), scanning speed (mm/s), and hatch spacing (µm). For the



Fig. 3 LPBF printed samples

stainless steel 17-4 PH we have printed 20 cubes with various parameters generated with ranges given to a software Minitab. After performing computed tomography scans with Dragonfly and analyzing the cubes closely, we identified the top three parameter sets that shows a significant amount of porosity which can be used for our filtration.

Stainless Steel 17–4 PH					
Sample #	Laser Power (W)	Scanning speed (mm/s)	Hatch Spacing (µm)	Energy density (J/mm ³)	Porosity %
1	80	1400	75	30.48	33.12±0. 97
2	70	1600	95	18.42	51.81±1.5 4
3	60	1800	115	11.59	65.26±0. 34

Table 1 - Optimal Parameters for 17-4 PH

- with the 3D model design we have assigned different parameters to each filter.
- On a smaller scale, we started testing our printed samples through various methods. We found that the most effective filtration outcomes came from this setup, which contains a beaker full of water, a syringe and Teflon tape to seal off any air bubbles between the filter and syringe.



Fig. 4 Demonstration Apparatus









RESULTS

Fig. 5 Porosity v.s. Parameters



Fig. 6 Porosity Distribution Images a) Sample 1 b) Sample 2 c) Sample 3

- Sample 1 has the least porosity among the 3 filters at 33.12 ± 0.97%. The air bubbles were evenly distributed, filter wall was strong and had effective filtration. The tiny pores kept impurities trapped inside, making it perfect for precise filtering needs with liquid or gas.





Fig. 7 Sample 1 demonstration

Fig. 8 Sample 2 demonstration

–**米** In contrast, Sample 2, with a porosity of 51.81 ± 1.54%, showed bigger pores that allowed more filtration. Plus, its uneven porosity across the filter meant it could lead to inaccurate filtration devices.

+ However, Sample 3 (porosity: 65.26 ± 0.34%) faced issues – it had holes and broke during testing, so it could not effectively filter. Future experiments could increase laser power or decrease scanning speed/hatch spacing to overcome these issues.

filtration.

[1] [Pauzon, C., Hryha, E., Forêt, P., & Nyborg, L. (2019). Effect of argon and nitrogen atmospheres on the properties of stainless steel 316 L parts produced by laser-powder bed fusion. Materials & Design, 179, 107873. [2] Diaz Vallejo, N., Lucas, C., Ayers, N., Graydon, K., Hyer, H., & Sohn, Y. (2021). Process optimization and microstructure analysis to understand laser powder bed fusion of 316l stainless steel. Metals, 11(5), 832. [3] Chua, C. K., Leong, K. F., & Lim, C. S. (2010). Rapid prototyping: principles and applications (with companion CD-ROM). World Scientific Publishing Company. [4] Mueller, B. (2012). Additive manufacturing technologies–Rapid prototyping to direct digital manufacturing. Assembly Automation, 32(2).

together.





MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS FOUNDATION

ADDITIVE DESIGN & MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS ABORATORY

CONCLUSION

- We have found that the set of parameters resulting in a 33.12 ± 0.97% porosity was the most efficient in

- More trials should be done to confirm these results. - * Metal additive manufacturing porous filters represent a groundbreaking advance in filtration, introducing customizable and high-performance solutions for diverse applications.

manufacturing with practical filtration demands, yielding enhanced efficiency and longevity. By bridging this innovation with real-world challenges, this research contributes to a more effective and sustainable approach to fluid separation, impacting industries ranging from water treatment to industrial processing. problem and it is only with extensive research can we truly understand its underlying mechanisms.

REFERENCES

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to my PI, Dr. Qureshi, supervisor, Dr. Singh, and lab members Vinay, Mohammed, Shokoufeh & Dr. Dehgahi for enriching this experience.

Thank you to Motorola and Canada Summer Jobs for their sponsorship, making this incredible opportunity possible. Lastly, heartfelt appreciation to the WISEST team and my fellow SRP researchers for the chance to express our scientific passion