

National Library of Canada

Canadian Theses Service

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Service des thèses canadiennes

AVIS

NOTICE

The quality of this microform is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Reproduction in full or in part of this microform is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30, and subsequent amendments. La qualité de cette microforme dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

La reproduction, même partielle, de cette microforme est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30, et ses amendements subséquents



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON MACRO- AND MICROSTRUCTURAL VARIATION IN SHELL FEATURES OF NATURAL POPULATIONS OF *THAIS LAMELLOSA* (GASTROPODA: THAIDIDAE).

by

Cindy Jean Gratto

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF Master of Science

DEPARTMENT OF ZOOLOGY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

(SPRING) (1989)



National Library of Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Service des thèses canadiennes

Canadian Theses Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

> The author has granted an irrevocable nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced with out his/her permission. L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-52809-5



THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR: Cindy Jean Gratto

TITLE OF THESIS: ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON MACRO- AND MICROSTRUCTURAL VARIATION IN SHELL FEATURES OF NATURAL POPULATIONS OF *THAIS LAMELLOSA* (GASTROPODA: THAIDIDAE).

DEGREE: Master of Science

YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED: 1989

Permission is hereby granted to the UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(Student's signature)

10 NOHINIUN ST. (Student's permanent address)

TRURC, NUNA SCOTIA BON 3PI

Date: 04 04 89

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research for acceptance, a thesis entitled ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON MACRO- AND MICROSTRUCTURAL VARIATION IN SHELL FEATURES OF NATURAL POPULATIONS OF *THAIS LAMELLOSA* (GASTROPODA: THAIDIDAE) submitted by Cindy Jean Gratto in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

(Supervisor)

WUCC

Date: / April 6 1989

Abstract.

Thais lamellosa hatchlings from five genetically isolated populations were raised in the laboratory under a variety of conditions (different food availabilities, exposure to large crab predators, and varied water temperatures). The collection sites of these five populations differed both in degree of wave exposure and degree of predation pressure. All snails responded similarly to the stimuli presented, regardless of parent population.

Limited food, the presence of crabs, and increased water temperatures induced similar morphological responses in these decreased growth in shell length, decreased body growth, iuveniles and decreased spiral growth. Snails raised at elevated water temperatures and those raised in the presence of crabs produced relatively thick shells. Snails raised in the presence of crabs increased their shell-weight to shell-length and shell-weight to body-weight ratios, while no change was elicited in snails raised with either limited food or elevated water temperatures with respect to these parameters. A number of snails raised in the presence of crabs initiated apertural tooth development; those snails raised in the absence of crabs did not. Shell thickening and apertural tooth secretion in the crab treated snails undoubtably represent predator avoidance responses through shell strengthening. Snails from one site (Argyle Creek) appeared significantly less probable to produce apertural teeth than the remaining four sites. Field-collected adults from this site showed markedly fewer sublethal crab predation scars on their shells than did snails from

iv

Aguilar Point, Cattle Point, and Mar Vista. These data suggest the capacity to respond phenotypically to chemical cues may vary among natural populations. None of the remaining shell and body parameters measured in these experiments, however, exhibited significant differences among sites in response to the presented stimuli.

Shells of *T. lamellosa* are composed of two microstructural layers: an inner aragonitic crossed lamellar layer and an outer calcitic simple irregular prismatic layer. The relative thicknesses of the two shell microstructural layers did not vary in either the food or in the water temperature treatments. Snails raised in the presence of crabs did not vary the thickness of the inner crossed lamellar layer, but the thickness of the outer prismatic layer increased markedly. This increased secretion of prisms may be explained as an attempt to reduce the effect of the inner crossed lamellar layer on the bending strength of the outer surface of the shell. The function of this inner layer may be to increase the resistance of the shell to either crack propagation or to abrasive forces.

v

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. A.R. Palmer for initially suggesting shell microstructure as a topic, as well as for his patience and advice throughout this project and throughout the first drafts of this thesis. I also greatly appreciate the comments and advice from this. A. Spencer and B. Chatterton, the remaining members of my committee. I am particularly indebted to D. Lindberg for his invaluable advice and time, and for internal arg me into the includiof thin sectionning.

I am grateful to both the Geology Departmant, University of British Columbia, and to P. Black, Geology Department, University of Alberta, for providing me with access to facilities without which this project would have been severely limited. Many thanks to R. Mandryk, R. Koss, and Dr. J. Nelson for the use of their equipment as well as their time. A special thanks to T. Smith both for equipment use and for providing me with so much incentive to complete this paper.

I would also like to thank the staff of the Bamfield Marine Station for their help, time and generally great tolerance of my prolonged existence in Bamfield. For the support for this prolonged stay in Bamfield, I am indebted both to Dr. A.R. Palmer, and to W.C U.M.B.S.

I would particularly like to thank fellow graduate students and associates such as N. MacFadden, G. Gibson, Dr. J. Shiek, P. Dunn, Dr. D. Duncan, Dr. G. Hansen, S. Zohar, J². Ferris, Dr. D. Padilla, A. Martel,

vi

K Klein, B. Burgess, K. Cook, and JP. Ouellet for their help, advice and companionship. Finally, a special thanks to R. Cotter for his infinite patience and help throughout the completion of this paper.

Table of Contents

Chap	ter		Page
1	Study Syster	duction Animal matic Nomenclature ure Cited	3
11	to Env Introde	hological Plasticity of <i>Thais lamellosa</i> in Resp ironmental Conditions uction	
	Metho	I. Collection sites and raising of hatchlings II. Measurements III. Experimental design	19 23
	Discus	IV. Statistical analyses ts ssion ture Cited	31 85
111		structural Plasticity of <i>Thais lamellosa</i> in Re ironmental Conditions	esponse
		uction	104
	Result Discu	I. Collection sites and raising of hatchlings II. Measurements and analyses ts ssion ture Cited	110 116 135
IV	General Sur	nmary	151
Арре	ndix 1	Shell microstructural types	155
Арре		Methods of calculation of degree of wave exp restudy sites	
Арре	ndix 3 body we	Non-destructive calculation of dry shell and eights of <i>Thais lamellosa</i> juveniles	
Appe	ndix 4	Additional scattergrams from Chapter II	167
Appe	ndix 5	Additional scattergrams from Chapter III	208

List of Tables

Table	Page
11-1	Relative degrees of wave exposures and predation pressures of the five collection sites
11-2	Means of chell and body parameters of <i>Thais lamellosa</i> from the food availability and crab exposure experiments. 32
11-3	Means of shell and body parameters of Thais lamellosa from the water temperature experiment
-4	ANOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to initial shell and body parameters
11-5	ANOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to initial shell and body parameters
11-6	ANOVA tests for differences among temperature treatments with respect to initial shell and body parameters
-7	ANCOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to final versus initial shell length, shell weight, and body weight
11-8	ANOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to final/initial shell length, shell weight, and body weight
11-9	ANOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to spiral growth, final shell thickness, and translation rate
11-10	ANCOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to final and initial shell weight versus shell length, and body weight
11-11	ANCOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to final versus initial shell length, shell weight, and body weight

11-12	ANOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to final versus initial shell length, shell weight, and body weight	
11-13	ANOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to spiral growth, final shell thickness, and translation rate	
-14	ANCOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to final and initial shell weight versus shell length and body weight	
11-15	Degree of apertural tooth development with respect to degree of crab stimulus	
II 16	Contingency tables comparing apertural tooth development with site, food availability, and replicates	
-17	ANCOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to final versus initial shell length, shall weight, and body weight	
11-18	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to final versus initial shell length, shell weight, and body weight	
11-19	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to spiral growth, and translation rate	
11-20	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to final shell thickness 86	
11-21	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to final and initial shell weigh versus shell length and body weight	t
-1	Ratio of crossed lamellae to prisms in field collected <i>Thais</i> Iamellosa from all five study sites	9
111-2	Means of microstructural layer thicknesses in snails from the food availability and crab exposure experiments 11	7

x

111-3	Means of microstructural layer thicknesses in snails from the water temperature experiment	118
-4	ANOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments with respect to microstructural layer thicknesses	119
111-5	ANOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments with respect to microstructural layer thicknesses	122
-6	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to microstructural layer thicknesses. Argyle Creek snails only	128
111-7	ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments with respect to microstructural layer thicknesses. Replicate one only	129
111-8	Comparison between the mechanical properties of prismatic and crossed lamellar microstructures	139

List of Figures

Figure	2.50 ° · · · gu · · ·	Page
-1	Map of study sites	16
11-2	Representative diagrams of a cross-section and a longitudinal section through the shell of a juvenile Thais lamellosa	22
11-3	Diagrammatic representation of the experimental design	25
11-4	Summary figures of growth from snails of the food availability experiment	. 43
11-5	Summary figures of final morphology from snails of the availability experiment	food . 46
11-6	Scattergrams of initial shell weight versus initial shell length for snails of the food availability experiment	50
-7	Scattergrams of initial shell weight versus initial body weight for snails of the food availability experiment	. 52
11-8	Scattergrams of final shell weight versus final shell len for snails of the food availability experiment	gth . 54
11-9	Scattergrams of final shell weight versus final body we for snails of the food availability experiment	eight 56
11-10	Summary figures of growth from snails of the crab expo experiment	sure 60
-11	Summary figures of final morphology of snails from the exposure experiment	cr ab 64
-12	Scattergrams of initial shell weight versus initial shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment	67
11-13	Scattergrams of initial shell weight versus initial body weight for snails of the crab exposure experiment	69

11-14	Scattergrams of final shell weight versus final shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment
11-15	Scattergrams of final shell weight versus final body weight for snails of the crab exposure experiment
11-16	Summary figures of growth from snails of the water temperature experiment
-17	Summary figures of final morphology of snails from the water temperature experiment
11-18	Scattergrams of initial shell weight versus initial shell length and initial body weight, respectively for snails of the water temperature experiment
-19	Scattergrams of final shell weight versus final shell length and final body weight, respectively for snails of the water temperature experiment
11-20	Photographs comparing shell morphology of laboratory raised juveniles and field collected adults fromn the five study sites
-1	Diagrammatic view of a cross section through the shell of a juvenile <i>Thais lamellosa</i> 112
111-2	Diagrammatic view of a longitudinal section through the shell of a juvenile <i>Thais lamellosa</i>
111-3	Summary figures of shell microstructural composition of snails from the food availability experiment
-4	Summary figures of shell microstructural composition of snails from the crab exposure experiment
111-5	Diagrammatic view of cross sections through the shells of a laboratory-raised juvenile and a field collected adult <i>Thais lamellosa</i>
111-6	Summary figures of shell microstructural composition of snails from the water temperature experiment

111-7	Diagrammatic view of a longitudinal section through the shell of a field-collected adult <i>Thais lamellosa</i>
111-8	Means and standard errors of crossed lamellar thickness/prismatic thickness with respect to whorl number in juvenile <i>Thais lamellosa</i>
-9	Diagrammatic representation of the relative forces on a shell from apertural-peeling predators
A 1 - 1	Diagrammatic view of shell microstructures
A2-1	Method of wave exposure calculations 161
A 3 - 1	Method of non-destructive calculation of dry shell and dry body weights
A4-1	Scattergrams of final versus initial shell length for snails of the food availability exceriment
A4-2	Scattergrams of final versus initial shell weight for snails of the food availability experiment 171
A 4 - 3	Scattergrams of final versus initial body weight for snails of the food availability experiment 173
A4-4	Scattergrams of spiral growth versus initial shell length for snails of the food availability experiment
A4-5	Scattergrams of final shell thickness versus initial shell length for snails of the food availability experiment177
A4-6	Scattergrams of translation rate versus spiral growth for snails of the food availability experiment
A4-7	Scattergrams of final versus initial shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment
A4-8	Scattergrams of final versus initial shell weight for snails of the crab exposure experiment

A4-9 Scattergrams of final versus initial body weighth for snails of the crab exposure experiment
A4-10 Scattergrams of spiral growth versus initial shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment
A4-11 Scattergrams of final shell thickness versus initial shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment 189
A4-12 Scattergrams of translation rate versus spiral growth for snails of the crab exposure experiment
A4-13 Scattergrams of final versus initial shell length shell weight, and body weight for snails of the water temperature experiment
A4-14 Scattergrams of spiral growth versus initial shell length, final shell thickness versus initial shell length, and translation rate versus spiral growth for snails of the water temperature experiment
A4-15 Scattergrams of final versus initial shell length, comparing among-site variation in snails of the food availability and crab exposure experiments
A4-16 Scattergrams of shell thickness versus initial shell length, comparing among sites in snails of the food availability and crab exposure experiments
A4-17 Scattergrams of translation rate versus spiral growth, comparing among sites in snails of the food availability and crab exposure experiments
A4-18 Scattergrams of final versus initial shell length, comparing between sites in snails of the water temperature experiment
A4-19 Scattergrams of shell thickness versus initial shell length, comparing between sites of snails of the water temperature experiment

- A 5-2 Scattergrams of prismatic layer thickness versus final shell length for snails of the food availability experiment. 212

- A5-5 Scattergrams of prismatic layer thickness versus final shell length for snails of the crab exposure experiment...... 218

Chapter I. General Introduction

A conspicuous feature of most gastropods is the secretion of an external shell composed of calcium carbonate. These shells vary substantially among species both in overall form and internal microstructure. Shell forms appear to reflect adaptations to the various life histories of snails. A globose shell, for example, may act as a water reservoir in high intertidal species, where desiccation may be a problem (Lewis, 1963; Vermeij, 1973). External shell sculpture may act to break up the force from waves in areas of high wave exposure (Vermeij, 1978), and in these same areas, an enlarged aperture and foot may reduce the probability of dislodgement (Kitching et al., 1966; Kitching & Lockwood, 1974; Heller, 1975; 1976; Etter, 1988). Predation may be hindered by one or a combination of features including a general thickening of the shell, the secretion of external shell structures such as varices, ribs, and spines, and the development of an elongate, dentate aperture (see reviews in Vermeij, 1978; 1987) Although the occurrence of these features is well documented between and within species, little is known of either the genetic or the environmental factors affecting them.

The basic composition of gastropod shells is quite uniform. The shell generally consists of two layers: an outer tough organic periostracum, and an inner primarily calcareous layer, which constitutes the bulk of the shell. This latter layer is composed of calcium carbonate crystals ensheathed in organic material or

1

matrix. The arrangement of these crystals or prisms describes a particular shell microstructure. See Appendix I for a brief summary of Carter and Clark's (1980) description of some common shell microstructural types. One or more of these microstructures may be found arranged as layers within a single shell. Each of these layers is generally composed of one of two minerals: calcite or aragonite. Both minerals may occur within a single shell (Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). The occurrence of these layers within individuals of a single species hus been generally accepted to be uniform, and shell microstructure has been used extensively as a major taxonomic character (Lindberg & Kellogg, 1982; Wellington & Kuris, 1983; Chen, 1985; Lindberg, 1986; Lindberg & Hickman, 1986).

Due to both the crystalline arrangement and the associated organic component, specific mechanical properties are associated with each microstructure. Such properties include bending strength, crushing strength, tensile strength, elasticity, plasticity, resistance to abration, and resistance to chemicals such as acids and chelating agents (Currey & Taylor, 1974; Currey, 1976; Gabriel, 1981).

Variations in shell microstructural composition have been strongly associated with life histories (Taylor & Layman, 1972; Gabriel, 1981), and to a lesser degree, environmental conditions (Kennedy *et al.*, 1969; Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). Although shell microstructure is frequently used as a taxonomic character, little work has examined possible phenotypic plasticity within this component of the shell. For this reason I chose to study both shell morphological and microstructural variation in response to controlled environmental conditions. I also examined the responses of several genetically isolated populations of a single species. Based on these data I assessed i. the genetic and plastic components of both overall shell morphology and shell microstructure, and ii. the inter-relationship between these two components.

Study Animal

The snail *Thais lamellosa* [= *Nucella lamellosa*] (GMELIN, 1791) (Prosobranchia: Thaididae) is found abundantly in the low intertidal zone along the Pacific coast of North America, from the Bering Strait to central California (Morris *et al.*, 1980). *Thais lamellosa* is a predator, feeding primarily on barnacles and mussels by drilling their calcareous shells through the use of both radula and accessory boring organ (Morris *et al.*, 1980).

These shails exhibit direct development. In the field sexual maturity is reached during their fourth year, and shails generally return to their hatching site, where breeding takes place in aggregations. Because shails generally return to the same breeding site over a period of years, breeding aggregations are persistent (Spight, 1974). Also, because of a low adult mobility, populations tend to be genetically isolated, and a large number of these isolates may be found within a relatively small geographic range (Grant & Utter, 1988).

Thais lamellosa is morphologically highly variable. The shell may range from very thin, with marked axial fluting and spiral

ribbing to heavy and thick, with little development of surface sculpture other than non-distinct spiral ribs and a pronounced development of apertural teeth (Kincaid, 1957). The shell morphology within a population is usually quite uniform (Spight, 1973). The morphological variation observed between populations of this species appears to be due, at least in part, to an environmental response to a common predator, the red rock crab, *Cancer productus* RANDALL, 1839 (Appleton & Palmer, 1988).

The shell of *T. lamellosa* is composed of two microstructural layers (see Chapter III), similar to those of *T. lapillus*, consisting of an outer calcitic simple irregular prismatic layer and an inner aragonitic crossed lamellar layer (Bøggild, 1930). These findings are in contradiction with Vermeij and Currey (1980), who found both *T. lamellosa* and *T. lapillus* to be of an "ill-defined cross-lamellar" microstructure. I believe these apparent differences in opinion lie in the method of examination of the microstructures: Vermeij and Currey (1980) determined microstructural types solely with scanning electron microscopy, while Bøggild (1930) used thin sections, and I used both thin cections (Chapter III) and scanning electron microscopy (unpubl.).

Systematic nomenclature

The systematics of the temperate thaidid gastropods has been a source of much confusion. Dexter (1960), for example, cited a letter by Clench who noted that during the years 1942 to 1949 a single thaidid species could be identified by three generic synonyms: 4

Purpura, Nucella, or Thais. Even in relatively recent years both Nucella (Abbott, 1974; Smith & Carleton, 1975; Morris et. al., 1980) and Thais (Morris, 1952, 1973; Kozloff, 1973; Carefoot, 1977; Gosner, 1978) may be found in the general treatese involving gastropods.

The name Nucella has primarily been used by the British for no apparent reason other than tradition (Crothers, 1972). In North America, however, this genus has generally neen referred to as Thais throughout the bulk of the literature, pail cularly that literature referring to the Pacific coast spaces (Findake 1957; Spight, 1972, 1973, 1973, 1975a,b, 1976a,b, 1981, 1983; Lyons & Spight, 1973; Spight et. al., 1974; Bertness & Schneider, 1976; Kitching, 1976; Spight & Emlen, 1976; Bertness, 1977; Campbell, 1978; Vermeij & Currey, 1980; Palmer, 1980, 1981, 1985; Appleton & Palmer, 1988). Recent work, however, indicates that these two genera (Thais and Nucella) are not synonymous and that these temperate thaidids may, in fact, be members of the genus Nucella (Kool, 1986; as cited in Kool, 1987). These data, however, have as yet to be published and although the North American temperate species may officially be identified as Nucella in the near future, I choose, at this time, to attempt to avoid present confusion by following the North American literature, and continue to refer to these temperate species as members of the genus Thais throughout this paper.

5

Literature Cited

- Abbott, R.T. 1974. <u>American Seashells</u>. Van Nostrand bld Co., New York. 663 pp.
- Appleton, R.D. and A.R. Palmer. 1988. Water-borne stimuli released by predatory crabs and damaged prey induce more predatorresistant shells in a marine gastropod. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 85: 4387-4391.
- Bertness, M.D. 1977 Behavioural and ecological aspects of shorelevel size gradients in *Thais lamellosa* and *Thais emarginata*. *Ecology* 58:86-97.
- Bertness, M.D. and D.E. Schneider. 1976. Temperature relations of Puget Sound thaids in reference to their intertidal distribution. Veliger 19:47-58.
- Bøggild, O.B. 1930. The shell structure of the mollusks. K. Dansk. Vidensk. Selsk. Skr. Copenhagen 2: 232-325.
- Campbell, C.A. 1978. Genetic divergence between populations of *Thais Iamellosa* (GMELIN). In: Battaglia, B. and J.A. Beardmore (eds.). <u>Marine Organisms: Genetics. Ecology and Evolution</u>. Plenum Press, New York. pp. 157-170.
- Carefoot, T. 1977. <u>Pacific Seashores. A Guide to Intertidal Ecology</u>. J.J. Douglas Ltd., Vancouver. 208 pp.
- Carter, J.G. and G.R. Clark. 1985. Classification and phylogenetic significance of molluscan shell microstructure. in: Mollusks. Notes for a Short Course (Bottjeter, P.J., C.S. Hickman, and P.D. Ward) University of Tennessee, Dept. of Geol. Sci., Stud. in Geol. 13.
- Chen, J.H. 1985. Ultrastructure of bivalve shells and its current study situation. *Acta Palaeont..Sin.* 24:463-476.
- Crothers, J.H. 1972. On the nomencalture of the common dog-whelk. J. Conchol. 27:373-375.

- Currey, J.D. 1976. Further studies on the mechanical properties of some mollusc shell material. J. Zool. Lond. 180: 445-453.
- Currey, J.D. and J.D. Taylor 1974. The mechanical behaviour of some molluscan hard tissues. *J. Zool. Lond.* 173: 395-406.

Dexter, R.W. 1960. Purpura, Nucella, or Thais? Nautilus 74:38.

- Etter, R.J. 1988. Asymmetrical developmental plasticity in an intertidal snail. *Evolution*. 42: 322-334.
- Gabriel, J.M. 1981. Differing resistance of various mollusc shell materials to simulated wheik attack. *J. Zool. Lond.* 194: 363-369.
- Gosner, K.L. 1978. <u>A Field Guide to the Atlantic Seashore</u>. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. 329 pp.
- Grant, W.S. and F.M. Utter. 1988. Genetic heterogeneity on different geographic scales in *Nucella lamellosa* (Prosobranchia, Thaididae). *Malacologia* 28: 275-288.
- Heller, J. 1975. Taxonomy of some British Littorina species with notes on their reproduction (Mollusca: Prosobranchia). Zcol. J. Linn. Soc. 56:131-151.
- Heller, J. 1976. The effects of exposure and predation on the shell of two British winkles. *J. Zool. Lond.* 179: 201-213.
- Kennedy, W.J., J.D. Taylor, and A. Hall. 1969. Environmental and biological controls on bivalve shell mineralogy. *Biol. Rev.* 44. 499-530.
- Kincaid, T. 1957. Local races and clines in the marine gastropod *Thais lamellosa*, a population study. Calliostoma Co., Seattle. 75 pp.
- Kitching, J.A. 1976. Distribution and changes in shell form of *Thais* spp. (Gastropoda) near Bamfield, British Columbia. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 23: 109-126.

- Kitching, J.A. and J. Lockwood. 1974. Observations on shell form and its ecological significance in thaisid gastropods of the genus *Lepsiella* in New Zealand. *Mar Biol.* 28: 131-144.
- Kitching, J.A., L. Muntz, and F.J. Ebling. 1966. The ecology of Lough Ine XV. The ecological significance of shell and body forms in *Nucella. J. Anim. Ecol.* 35: 113-126.
- Kool, S.P. 1987. significance of radular characters in reconstruction of thaidid phylogeny (Neogastropoda: Muricacea). *Nautilus* 101: 117-132.
- Kozloff, E.N. 1973. Seashore Life of the Northern Pacific Coast. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 370 pp.
- Lewis, J.B. 1963. Environmental and tissue temperatures of some tropical intertidal marine animals. *Biol. Bull.* 124: 277-284.
- Lindberg, D.R. 1986. Name changes in the "Acmaeidae". Veliger 29: 142-148.
- Lindberg, D.R. and C.S. Hickman. 1986. A new anomalous giant limpet from the Oregon Eccene (Mollusca: Patellida) J. Paleont. 60: 661-668.
- Lindberg, D.R. and M.G. Kellogg. 1982. A note on the structure and pigmentation of the shell of *Notoacmaea persona* (Rathke) (Docoglossa: Acmaeidae). *Veliger* 25: 173-174.
- Lyons, A. and T.M. Spight. 1973. Diversity of feeding mechanisms among embryos of Pacific Northwest *Thais*. *Veliger* 16: 189-194.
- Morris, P.A. 1952. <u>A Field Guide to the Pacific Coast Shells</u>. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. 297 pp.
- Morris, P.A. 1973. <u>A Field Guide to Shells of the Atlantic and Gulf</u> <u>Coasts and the West Indies</u>. Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston. 330 pp.
- Morris, R.H., D.P. Abbott, and E.C. Haderlie. 1980. Intertidal Invertebrates of California. Stanford University Press: Stanford Ca. pp.690.

- Palmer, A.R. 1980. A comparative and experimental study of feeding and growth of thaidid gastropods. Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, Seattle. 320 pp.
- Palmer, A.R. 1981. Do carbonate skeletons limit the rate of body growth ? Nature 292: 150-152.
- Palmer, A.R. 1985. Adaptive value of shell variation in *Thais lamellosa*: Effect of thick shells on vulnerability and preference by crabs. *Veliger*: 27: 349-236.
- Rhoads, D.C. and R.A. Lutz (eds.). 1980 <u>Skeletal Growth of Aquatic</u> <u>Organisms: Biological Records of Environmental Change</u>. Plenum Press: New York. pp. 750.
- Smith, R.I. and Carleton, J.T. 1975. Light's Manual: Intertidal Invertebrates of the Central California Coast. University of California Press, Berkley. 716 pp.
- Spight, T.M. 1972. Patterns of change in adjacent populations of an intertidal snail, *Thais lamellosa* GMELIN. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 13: 215-228.
- Spight, T.M. 1973. Ontogeny, environment, and shape of a marine snail, *Thais lamellosa* (Gmelin). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 13: 215-228.
- Spight, T.M. 1974. Sizes and populations of a marine snail. *Ecology* 55: 712-729.
- Spight, T.M. 1975a. Factors extending gastropod embryonic development and their selective cost. *Oecologia* 21: 1-16.
- Spight, T.M. 1975b. On a snails chances of becoming a year old. Oikos 26: 9-14.
- Spight, T.M. 1976a. Ecology of hatching size for marine snails. Oecologia 24: 283-294.
- Spight, T.M. 1976b. Colors and patterns of an intertidal snail, *Thais lamellosa. Res. Popul. Ecol.* 17: 176-190.

- Spight, T.M. 1981. Food, growth, and size of the marine snail Thais Iamellosa. Ecosynthesis 1:197-224.
- Spight, T.M. 1983. The ecology of body growth: Environmental influences on the growth of marine snails. *Ecosynthes* 1: 257-344.
- Spight, T.M., C. Birkeland, and A. Lyons. 1974. Life histories of large and small murexes (Prosobranchia: Muricidae) Mar. Biol. 24:

Chapter II.

Shell Morphological Plasticity of Thais lamellosa in Response to Environmental Conditions

Introduction

Molluscan shell form may be influenced environmentally by both abiotic and biotic factors. The responses to both of these above factors are g etically controlled to a large extent, but some may be phenotypic (Geisel, 1969; Lewis & n, 1975; Crothers, 1977; Seed, 1980; Emberton, 1982; Kemp \Rightarrow ss, 1984).

Temperature, for example, is stro jy associated with shell shape and colour (Frank, 1965; Hallam, 1965; Feare; 1970; Philips *et al.*, 1973; Heller & Gadot, 1984; Ramos, 1984; Beukema & Meehan, 1985; Roberts & Kell, 1987). Seasonal effects on shell growth have also been well documented (Frank, 1965, 1969; Blackmore, 1969; Ritz & Crisp, 1970; Sutherland, 1970; Richardson *et al.*, 1980). Changes in salinity (Hallam, 1965; Manzi, 1970; Richardson *et al.*, 1980), high wave action (Menge, 1974; Creese & Underwood, 1976; Menge, 1978; Boyden & Zeldis, 1979; Dudley, 1985; Simpson, 1985), water flow rates (O'Loughlin & Aldrich, 1987; Lam & Calow, 1988), and height in the intertidal zone (Sutherland, 1970; Boyden & Zeldis, 1979) have been found to effect the size and shape of apertural formation, shell shape, and snail growth rate.

Growth rate alone appears to account for a great deal of variation in shell morphology (Rhoads & Lutz, 1980; Vermeij, 1980). High growth rates are associated with the secretion of relatively thin shells (Gould, 1968; Wellington & Kuris, 1983) with low spires (Gould, 1968; Frank, 1975; Crothers, 1975; Spight, 1973). The secretion of apertural teeth in *Thais lapillus* (Crothers, 1971), and *T. lamellosa* (Appleton & Palmer, 1988), as well as inner ridges in the aperture of *Stagnicola elodes* (Jokinen, 1977) have been attributed to growth stoppages, although Appleton and Palmer (1988) found greater tooth development to be correlated with the presence of predatory crabs.

Growth rate and shell shape may also be affected by habitat quality, which includes amount of food items available (Leighton & Boolootian, 1963; Phillips & Campbell, 1968; Laxton, 1970a; Hughes, 1972; Stimson, 1973; Eversole, 1978). Also associated with feeding, and subsequently habitat quality and growth rate, are intraspecific (Seed, 1968; Sutherland, 1970; Black, 1977; Choat, 1977; Creese & Underwood, 1982; Ortega, 1985; Ahmed *et al.*, 1986) and interspecific (Haven, 1973; Choat, 1977; Choat & Black, 1979; Creese & Underwood, 1982; Schoener, 1983) competition, as well as predation pressures (Paine, 1969; Hamilton, 1976, 1977; Markowitz, 1980; Bertness & Cunningham, 1981; Garrity & Levings, 1981; Garrity, 1984).

A number of shell characteristics appear to have evolved in response to predation pressure. The effectiveness of a particular trait, however, depends upon the mode of predation. Some predators are capable of extracting body parts through the shell aperture of a snail without damaging the shell itself, some predators break the shell to extract the animal, others swallow the prey whole, and still others are capable of drilling through shells (see Vermeij, 1987, for a review). Proposed predator avoidance responses in shell morphology include an unwieldy size or shape of an individual (Vermeij, 1978), the secretion of a thick shell (Vermeij, 1978; Bertness & Cunningham, 1981; Palmer, 1985), the development of an elongate or reduced aperture, the development of apertural structures such as teeth or a tight-fitting operculum (Vermeij, 1978; Bertness & Cunningham, 1981; Heath, 1985; Signor, 1985; Appleton & Palmer, 1988), and the secretion of strong external s' sculpturing (Spight & Lyon, 1974; Palmer, 1979; Vermeij, 1978; Bertness & Cunningham, 1981).

Thick shells, highly ornamented external surfaces, lower spires. and elongate, occluded apertures are found more commonly in tropical regions as opposed to temperate (Vermeij, 1978). Vermeij (1978) correlates this trend with higher predation pressures in regions of lower latitudes. Similarly, the greater prevalence of these same features in snails from the Pacific and Indian Oceans, relative to those of the Atlantic is also correlated with predation levels (Vermeij, 1973).

That both the biotic and abiotic factors of an environment influence snail growth and shell morphology is obvious. What is not obvious are the costs incurred by these snails as a result of these variations. The cost of the production of a calcium carbonate skeleton, for example, has been attributed to three major factors: i. the energetic expense of the actual secretion of the shell material, ii. the energetic expense of transporting this skeleton, once formed, and iii. a non-energetic cost due to body growth-rate limitations imposed by the maximal rate at which shell material may be physically deposited (Palmer, 1981). Pre-reproductive rates of body growth and final body size have been found to be directly proportional to reproductive output (Spight, 1981). In many species, body growth does not continue significantly past maturity (Spight, 1981). Rates of shell and subsequent body growth are, therefore, of marked importance to the fitness of an individual. Shell shape and shell structure figure prominently as factors: shell thickness and spire height are inversely proportional to maximal body growth (Spight, 1981).

Snail shell and body growth represent a balance determined, at least to some extent, by the environment. Through the examination of a number of populations of snails, it may be possible to determine what stimuli may affect shell and body growth, what effect these stimuli may have on such growth parameters, and how these responses vary across a number of populations

Methods

I. Collection sites and raising of hatchlings.

Adult individuals of *Thais lamellosa* were collected from five study sites (Figure II-1) in the Pacific Northwest in September of 1986. These sites included Aguilar Point (48°50'18"N, 125°18'24"W) and Sanford Island (48°52'18"N, 125°09'48"W), Barkley Sound, British Columbia, and Cattle Point (48°27'06"N, 122°57'42"W), Mar Vista (48°28'48"N, 123°04'W), and Argyle Creek (48°31'06"N, 123°00'48"W), San Juan Island, Washington. All sites varied with respect to degree of wave exposure and large crab predators, such as Figure II-1.

Map of study sites. A = Sanford Island, B = Aguilar Point, C = Mar Vista,

D = Cattle Point, E = Argyle Creek.



Cancer productus (see Table II-1). Both Argyle Creek and Sanford Island are relatively sheltered locations with low crab predation pressures. Mar Vista is semi-exposed with high predation pressures, ans Aguilar Point and Cattle Point are exposed with low predation pressures at Cattle Point, and relatively higher predation pressures at Aguilar Point. See Appendix 2 for an explanation of the collection of the data and the calculation of the wave exposure index.

The snails were maintained in cages submerged in water tables supplied with a flow rate of approximately 50 mL/s at the Bamfield Marine Station, Bamfield, British Columbia, Canada. The cages were constructed by removing two opposing sides of plastic freezer containers (Frig-O-Seal) and replacing them with screening held in place with hot melt glue.

In late December and early January the adults began to lay eggs, which were removed and placed in a direct flow of water in screen pouches (mesh size of approximately 1 mm). After three months the screen pouches were placed into cages with a mesh size of 67 μ m and within one month the eggs had completely hatched. Rocks with juvenile barnacles (*Balanus glandula*) were added to the cages as food for the hatchlings. These rocks were collected from a site with no native *T. lamellosa*. The barnacles were replaced ecessary, usually at monthly intervals, although cages were severe the severe replaced into the cages as four placement coincided with a monthly cage.

Table II-1. Relative degrees of wave exposures and predation pressures at all five collection sites. SE = standard error, N = number of samples

Site	Wave exposure index*			Predation pre	Predation pressure indext	
	Mean	<u>SE</u>	Ν	Percent	N	
Argyle Crk.	22.86	1.52	3	5.71	132	
Aguilar Pt.	144.78	6.76	3	8.20	117	
Cattle Pt.	149.86	6.10	3	1.47	152	
Mar Vista	128.27	4.23	3	22.73	143	
Sanford Is.	101.60	3.53	3	0.00	140	

* Height in centimetres from the top of the barnacle zone to the base of the vascular plants. See Appendix 2 for the derivation of this height.

† Percentage of adult snails bearing sublethal predation scars on their shells. This measurement approximates predation pressures, as it only measures snails not killed by predator attacks, and assumes those killed are proportional to those scarred.
II. Measurements.

At the initiation of the experiments, and at monthly intervals thereafter, three measurements were taken on each tagged individual: shell length (from the apex to the distal-most tip of the siphonal canal), weight of the snail immersed in sea water, and weight of the snail in air. Weight in air was measured after the snails were chased into their shells and any water remaining in the shell aperture was removed by blotting with Kimwipes. These latter two measurements were later used to approximate dry shell weight and dry body weight (Palmer, 1982). See Appendix 3 for a summary of the calculations used to determine these dry weights. At both the initiation and the termination of the experiments, the outer lip of the aperture was marked with enamel paint. These marks were later used to calculate shell spiral growth, as the spiral distance between the old and new apertural lip. This distance was measured by calibrating a length of flexible wire and coiling it around the shell along the posterior-most spiral rib of the shell whorls, between the marks. An approximation to translation rate was calculated by dividing the spiral growth distance by shell length change. In using this method of determining translation rate, the assumption that spiral growth does not occur upwards, towards the spire must be made. Shells of Thais lamellosa do not appear to have such a type of arowth.

At the end of the three month experimental period, all snails were terminated and their bodies removed from the shells with forceps. The shells were air dried for at least forty-eight hours before being cut for thin sections (see Methods, Chapter III for a description of the thin sectioning technique). The sections were cut through the posterior-most spiral rib of the body whorl, perpendicular both to the growth lines and to the outer surface of the shell, (see Figure II-2). Thin sections were examined with a dissecting microscope and camera lucida drawings were made from the magnified resulting thin sections. The area of the shell over a set distance was measured from these drawings with a MacIntosh 512 Summographics tablet, using the MacIntosh MacMeasure program. One fixed endpoint of this area calculation was determined as the last point of secretion of crossed lamellar microstructure (see Chapter III) from the shell aperture. The distance of the measurement was determined by approximating the section to be a circle, estimating a grameter (across the body whor' starting at the apertural lip and passing through point i, the indentation of the shell just prior to the columella), and calculating an arc length for an angle of 15°. This distance was extended from the fixed endpoint towards the columella, or away from the apertural lip (see Figure II-2 for a diagramatic view). Shell thickness was then estimated by dividing the calculated area by the distance over which it was measured.

After the initial cut had been made on the shells, each shell was examined for apertural tooth development. Apertural tooth development was scored as follows. A value of one was given to a snail exhibiting no sign of apertural tooth development. The value two was assigned if apertural tooth development consisted solely of two or more slight swellings, no more than 0.5 mm in height. Three designated snails with moderately defined apertural teeth, with a Figure 11-2.

A. Diagrammatic view of a representative cross-sectional cut through the shell of a juvenile laboratory-raised *Thais lemelloss*. The section was cut along the posterior-most spiral rib of the body whorl, approximately perpendicular to both the external surface of the shell and to the surface growth lines of the shell.

B. Diagrammatic view of a representative longitudinal section through the shell of a juvenile laboratory-raised *Theis lamellasa*. The section was cut through the longitudinal axis of the shell, from the apex to the siphonal canal. Note the plane of section identifying the region of a cross-sectional cut, such as is represented above, in A.



height greater than 0.5 mm, but with an angle of tooth development from the tooth base to be less than 30°. The value four was assigned if tooth development was well-defined in both tooth height and in their angle of development (ie. steep-sided, with an angle greater than 30°, as measured from the tooth base).

III. Experimental design.

At a post-hatching age of approximately four months, the last week of August, 1987, snails of approximately equal size were randomly separated into treatment groups, with ten to fifteen animals per group. Each group was then transferred to a single cage with a mesh size of approximately one mm. At all times snails from different sites were maintained in separate cages. All snails of sufficient size at the initiation of the experiments, were numbered with Brady wire labels and coated with a drop of Krazy glue. All experiments were conducted over a period of approximately three months, from the first of September to the end of November, 1988.

A. Food availability.

Three sets of treatments were established for each of the five study sites: 33%, 67%, and 100% food availability. Snails in the 100% food availability category were maintained with a constant supply of barnacles. The barnacles in the cages of snails maintained with food availabilities of 33% and 67% food, were removed from the cages for six and three days of each nine, respectively. Past work (Appleton & Palmer, 1988) has indicated a removal procedure such as described above, does limit the food intake of *Thais lamellosa*. In all treatments, the barnacles were replaced with

23

Figure II-3.

Diagrammatic representation of the top view of the experimental designs used in this paper. The large case letters A, B, and C represent the food availability, crab exposure, and water temperature experiments, respectively. Replicates for experimental designs A and B were identical to the representations here, but were conducted in separate water tables. The small case latters a to f represent food and temperature treatments: a = snails fed 33%, b = snails fed 67%, c = snails fed 100%, d = snails raised at ambient water temperature (9-11°C), e = snails raised at a water temperature of 15°C, and f = snails raised at a water temperature of 18°C. The labels d', e', and f' represent the replicate treatments of snail cages d, e, and f.



= water table of constantly flowing sea water



- = aquarium of recirculating sea water
- - = aquarium of constantly flowing sea water



- = snail cage
- = sea water outflow
- = sea water inflow
- Sector and a strinflow
 - = submersible water heater



fresh field collected individuals monthly. Only a small proportion, approximately 15%, of the barnacles available to the snails had been consumed by the end of each month. All treatments were maintained in individual cages, and two sets of replicates were established. The two sets of replicates were maintained constantly immersed in separate water tables (see Figure II-3A for a diagrammatic view of the experimental set-up). The placement of the individual cages within each water table was changed bimonthly.

B. Predation pressures.

Two treatment groups were established for each of the five study sites. These two treatments consisted of 33% and 100% food availabilities (see Experiment A for a description of the feeding regimes). In both of these treatments, the snails were maintained in separate cages, with two sets of replicates in separate water tables. Two aquaria, each containing a red rock crab, C. productus, were added to each of two water tables. Water was maintained at an approximately 50mL/s rate of flow, and all water flowing into each of these water tables first ran through the respective aquaria containing the crabs. The snails in these treatments, therefore, could detect the presence of, but had no actual contact with the crabs (Figure II-3E The four crabs were fed field-collected individuals of T. lamellosa, and the number of snails consumed by each of the crabs was recorded. Again, barnacles were replaced monthly (less than 15% were consumed each month) and the placement of individual cages within the water table was changed bimonthly. The controls for these two treatments were the 33% and 100% food availability treatments of Experiment A, maintained in the absence of crab effluent.

C. Temperature.

Three treatments were established for each of two study sites (Argyle Creek and Mar Vista). All treatments were carried out in five gallon aquaria with recirculating water, maintained in a full water table of constantly flowing sea water at an approximately 50mL/s flow rate (Figure II-3C). The water in each of these aquaria was replaced with clean water of the same temperature every two weeks. One aquarium was kept at ambient sea water temperature (approximately 9° to 11°C), while the other two aquaria were equipped with Hägen 150 W submersible heaters. One of these latter two aquaria was maintained at 15°C and the other at 18°C and barnacles were replaced monthly. Food was not limited. Two replicates were conducted in se, ate recirculating aquaria within the same water table

IV. Statistical analyses.

Pre-experimental differences among treatments in shell length, dry shell weight, and dry body weight were tested with two-way ANOVAs (site and treatment). ANOVAs were conducted on each replicate separately because the purpose of these tests was to compare pre-experimental values across site and treatment, only.

The degree of variation between replicates with respect to final shell and body parameters (shell length, dry shell weight, dry body weight) was tested through one of two methods, depending on the type of experimental design. The experimental design of the food availability experiment consisted of two fixed (site and food) and one random (replicate) variables. Because all cages for one replicate were in a single water table, and all cages for the second replicate were located in a separate water table, the replicate factor is fully crossed with both the site and food factors, and may be considered as a 'water table' affect. A three-way factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted on the food experiment data, and the F-values for site, food and the site-food interaction term were calculated as suggested by Sokol and Rohlf, 1981 (pp. 382-383) for a mixed model ANOVA (A,B fixed, C random). The mean square (MS) for sites (A) was divided by the site-replicat interaction (AC) MS to calculate the F-value for sites. Similarly the food (B) and the site-food interaction (AB) were divided by the foodreplicate and the site-food-replicate interaction terms, respectively. The F- values for the remaining mean squares of replicate (C), site-replicate (AC), food-replicate (BC), and the sitefood-replicate (ABC) were calculated by dividing by the error MS. Exact P-values were calculated with a Hewlett-Packard 67 programmable calculator.

The experimental design of the crab-exposure and the water temperature experiments were different from the food availability experiment, and the analyses were conducted differently. For both experiments, replicates for one treatment were held in different aquaria than those for the second treatment (Figure II-3). Consequently, replicates were most appropriately considered nested within main effects. To circumvent the limited ability of most statistical routines to compute the proper F-values for such a design, the relative effects of replicate versus site and treatment (crab-exposure or water temperature) on snail growth were examined with three-way factorial (ANOVA), and subsequent calculation of F-values as suggested by Hartley (1962) and Sokol and Rohlf (1981). This method designates replicates as a "dummy" variable and may be explained as follows. The sums of squares for sites (A), treatment (B), and replicates (C) were computed through the three-way ANOVA as if each were crossed in a fully factorial design. The sums of squares for the replicate variable (C) and the interaction terms in which it was included (AC, BC, ABC) were summed. The mean squares (MS) for replicates was recalculated by dividing the resultant total replicate sums of squares by the summed degrees of freedom for these same four terms (C, AC, BC, ABC). F-values were then calculated by individually dividing the MS for sites (A), food availability (B), and their interaction term (AB) by the recalculated MS value. The F-value for replicates was then calculated by dividing the new replicate MS value by the error MS. Exact P-values were calculated using a Hewlett-Packard 67 programmable calculator.

Variation in final shell and body parameters (shell length, dry shell weight, dry body weight), spiral growth, and relative translation rate (spiral growth/shell length change), were examined through regression and analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) (final plotted against initial growth values) where possible, and through ANOVAs otherwise. In cases where the linearity of the plots was questionable or when a relatively large number of regressions were of unequal slopes (shell length, shell weight, and body weight), both of these analyses were conducted.

Due to a number of unusable thin sections, a number of cells for shell thickness measurements were either empty or unequal. In order to provide equal cells for the ANOVAs, a number of data points were randomly excluded from the analyses. Because of empty cells, only snails from Mar Vista and Sanford Island were included in the shell thickness analyses. Otherwise, the ANOVA was conducted as described previously.

Because of empty cells, all sites were pooled for snails fed 33% in the crab-exposure experiment. A nested two-way ANOVA (crab-exposure and replicates) was conducted on these data because both the crab-presence and crab-absence treatments, as well as the replicates for the crab-exposure factors were located in separate water tables (see Figure II-3).

Due to an empty cell in the data of snails from the water temperature experiment, initial analyses were conducted on snails from Argyle Creek only. Because both the water temperature treatments and each of their replicates were conducted in separate aquaria, a two-way nested ANOVA (temperature and replicates) was conducted on these data. In order to test for site differences, a two-way factorial ANOVA (site and temperature) was conducted on snails from both Argyle Creek and Mar Vista, but for replicate one, only. The resultant design was factorial in nature, but was limited in its ability to test for temperature differences due to possible aquarium effects, which could not be factored out. Differences in final and initial relative weight of dry shell versus shell length and dry body weight respectively were examined through ANCOVAs, although these analyses revealed some regressions to be of unequal slopes. The scattergrams of these data (Figures II- 5-8, 11-14, 17-18) indicate slope differences to be probably due to the small amount of scatter about each regression. Because of the similarity in slopes, indicated by these scattergrams, ANCOVAs were conducted on these data. Initial measurements of shell and body parameters were conducted over a period of three days, during which, the experiments were in progress. In an attempt to factor out any initial effects of the experimental stimuli, initial shell weight was added as a second covariate for the final shell weight comparisons.

Contingency table analyses were conducted for site, food availability, and replicates versus the presence versus absence of apertural tooth development.

All ANCVAs, contingency tables, and basic statistics were conducted using Statview 512+TM microcomputor package (Abacas Concepts, Berkley, Ca.) and all ANCOVAs with a main frame MIDAS (Statistical Research Laboratory, University of Michigan) statistical package.

Results

Initial shell morphology.

Comparisons of pre-experimental shell and body parameters (shell length, dry shell weight, dry body weight) revealed no Table II - 2: Means and standard errors of initial and linal shell and body parametres of Thais lamellosa juveniles raised at varying crab exposures and tood availabulaties. SE = standard

ero	error, N = sample size	ie size													•						
Fred	Ireatment	Ireatment Study site	<u> Replicate</u>	Shell is	Shell length (cm)	(E)	1	Dov she	Dry shell weight (g)	tit (a)	a -	Dr body	Dry body weight (g)	ht (e)	জ। ।	Spiral growth	N UM	Fin	Final shell thickness(mm)		Percent
				Mean	SE	Mean	SE	- ł	SE SE		SE R		N N N		S E E E	Mean SE	ш а	Mean	N SE		
Fed 33 %	No crebs	Arayle Crk.	-	1.107	0.114	2 353	0 197	0.112 (0 031 0	573	0.131 0	0 016 0	0 002 0	0 158 0	0.041 1.0	1.744 0	263 10		•	•	0
		Aquilar P1.	-	1.192	0 073	1.946	0.139	0.108 0	0.017 0	553	0.087 0	0 017 0	0.003	0 6/0 0	0.016 1.5	1.950 0	0136 9	•	•	•	~
		Cettle Pr.	-	1.215	C/0 0	2.146	0.132	0 106 0	0 014 0	0.646 0	0.086 0		0 000	0 112 0		2.143 0.	0 248 13		•	•	0
		Mar Vista	•	1.097	0.112	1.963	0.132	0 103 (0 020 0	0.497 0	0.094 0	0.019 0	0 900 0	0 085 0			0 146 1			~	0
		Sarriord Is.	-	1.262	0.101	2.028	0.121	0.134	0.026 0	502	0.061 0.	0.024 0	002	0.76 0.	0.013 2.1	2.164 0.3	0.280 1	0.880	0 0.133	-	0
		Aravle Crk.	2	1.258	0.130	2.144	0.182	0.126	0 000 0	582	0.116 0	0 022 0	0 900 0	0 082 0	0 019 2.1	2.121 0.	0.182 6	0 876	6 0 067		:
		Aquilar Pt.	0	1.113	0 0 7 2			0 094 0	0 017 0	0418 0	0 690 0	0 014 0	0 003	0 054 0	0 011 1.1	1.562 0.	0.156 11	1.307	200:0 7		•
		Cattle Pr.	N	1.152	0 059												0.300 13			•	0
		Mar Visla	~	1.248	· • 21	2.102	0.146											0			0
		Sarriord is.	8	1.185	G. 106	2.051	0.140	0.106	0.026 0.	554	0.093 0.	0.018 0.	0.005 0	0.076 0.	0.018 2.	2.300 0.	0.302 1(0.668	060:0		•
Fed 33 %	Crabs	Aravle Crk.	-	1.190	0 069	2.164	0.133	0.133 (0.023 0	0.689.0	0.152 0.	0.012 0	0 002 0	0.123 0.	0.023 2.1	2.242 0.	0.203 12	•	•		0
		Aquitar Pt.	-	266 0	0 063	1.814	0.138	0.082 (0 016 0	0.657 0	0.133 0.	0 600.0	0 001 0	0 061 0	0.013 1.1	1.906	0.254 7	•	•	•	12
		Cattle Pt.	-	1 029	0.076	1.992	0.145	0.087 (0.018 0	0.721 0	0.172 0	0 011 0	0 005 0	0 000 0	0.018 1.		11 621.0	1.026	•	-	15
		Mar Vista	-	1.057	0.116	1.842	0.184	0 119 0	0 034 0	0 690 0	0.206 0.	0.012 0.			0.023 1.4					~	•
		Santord Is.	-	1.103	0.085	1.625	0.108	0.108	0.024 0	0.481 0	0.129 0.		0.003 0	0 082 0.	0.020 1.4	1.483 0.	0.273 10	1.367	1 0.252		0
		Aroda Crk	~	1 264	1007	1 859	0 1 3 0	0 160 (0 035 0	0.616 0	0.149 0	0 010 0	0 004 0	0 066 0	0 017 13	1.291 0.	0.101 9	1.507	0.206	ŝ	0
		Acutor Di			0 117	1 702											0.146 9	•	•	•	0
		Cattle PI	N (N	1.152	0.059													3 1.568	0000 8:	•	2
		Mar Visin	0	1.207	0.115				0.044 1	1.008 0	0.200 0	0.020	0 005 0	0 060 0	0 022 1	1.450 0	0167 12	ح	•	•	1
		Santord Is.	2	1.132	0.100	1.800	0.180	0.126 (0.029 0	.625 0	0.149 0.	013 0.	0.003 0.	053 0.	0.013 0.1	0.850 0.	0.167 10	0 2.303	0.038	~	a
Fad 67 %	No crabs	Aravie Crk.	-	1.195	0.088	2 626	0 089	0.130	0 025 1	1.026 0										•	0
		Aquilar P1.	-	1.155	0.081	2 370															0 1
		Cattle Pt.	-	1.132	0.089	2 625	0.122	660 0	0.023 1	1.048 0	0.134 0		0 004 0	0 186 0				0			~ 1
		Mar Vista	-	1.183	0129	2:655			050								-	0		2	~
		Sanford Is.	-	1.168	0.085	2.354	0.140	0.113	0.021 0	0.745 0	0.117 0	019 0	0.004	0 125 0.	0.022 2.1	2.922 0	525 8	0.525	5 0.161		3
				1 317	0.048	2 266	0 130	0.129	0.013 0	0 690	0 086 0	0 020 0	0 002 0	0 113 0	0 015 2.	2.729 0	0 221 1	0 0 583	3 0 0 56	2	•0
		Active Cin.	10		0.071				022				0 004 0	0 135 0	0 022 3	3217 0	0 466 12				•
			• •		0 065								0 003 0	0 136 0	0 017 3		0 370 1		12 0 053	¢	0
		Mar Vista	0		0.150	2.259		0.137	0.054 0	0.726 0	0.124 0.	.026 0.	012 0	0.143 0.	0.041 3.	406 0	599 1	0.446	16 0.057	ŝ	0

τ
9
2
5
50
Ņ
•
9
-

Food	Treatment	Study site	Reolicate	Shell k	enoth is	101		Drv. she	Ory shelf weight (g)	tht (a)		Dry boo	Ory body weight (g)	11 (a)		Spiral growth		- 2	Final shell	hell	4	Percent
		Initial Fin	•	Initial		Final		Initial	1	Final		Initial		Final	-	(cm)		-	thickne	thickness(mm)		mortality
				Mean	SE	R	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean.	ŝ	Mean	- J		SE	-	Mean	SEN		
Ead 100 % No crebe		Arovia Crk	-		0.104	2 475	0 15		0 026							3 214 (0 324	:	0.700 0	0 0 0 0	=	0
		Aquilar PI.	-		4P0 0		0 089	0 128							0 016		0 181			190 0	5	•
		Callie P.	-		0 062		0000									3 939 (0 304	15 0		0.066	Ś	0
		Mar Visla	-		0 093		0 0 79		0 022	0 593	0 062	0 022 (0174	13	0 575 0	-	0	1
		Saniord Is.	-	1.166	0.098		0.149	-						0.140	0.024	3.417 (0 239		0 266 0	0.110	- -	0
		Arnula Crk	2		0 0 70	2 475	137	0.137	0 018	_							0 365	50		990 0		~
		Aquillar Pt.	10		0 074		0 128			-							0 299	10		0 063	•	~
		Catlle P			960 0		0 106		0 022	_			C 004	0 123	0 01 7	3 000	0 285	11	0 201 0	190	~	
		Mar Visia	0		0 103		0 138								0 025	2 987 (0190	12 0		0.109	-	
		Sanlord Is.	~	1.175	680 0		0 107			1.043	0.101	0 050			-	4 695 0	066.0	:		ł		0
Ead 100 K Crahe		Aroda Crk	-		0 128	2 132	0.199	0 102	9000								3 302	~		0 1 99		
		Antilar Pt	• •		0.076		0 160										3215	10	1.216 0	0124		0
		Cattle PI	• ••		0 056				0 0 1 2	0 957			0 00		013 3		201	13 0		0900	Ğ	0
		Mar Visla	• 🕳		0 086							0 013 (0 143 0		3 125 0	0 125	1	0 870 0	0 0 74	-	*
		Sanlord Is.	-	1.084	2 60 0		0.175	0.111		0.886	0.176 (0 022 2		0 231	10	0.000	0.096	-	0
			~		0.067	1.841	0.000	9 860 0	0 0 1 8						0 006	1 527 0	3 1 2 4	10		215	~	•
		Aquilar PI	• •		0 06.8						0 107		0 00 0	0 090 0	0100		3 124	:	1.575 0	0 145		~
			• •		0.064			0118	0 0 0 0						1 010 0		141 0	13 1		52		•
		Mar Vicle	10		0.086							0 012 0			0 016 1		0 166	1.0	112 0	245	-	~
		Senford Is.	• •	1.178	0 104		0.137	0.132	2500	0.720	0.137		0000	0.067		1.706 0	0 163	•	0 660	0 262	Š	•

Means and standard errors of initial and linal shell and body parametres of Thaus lame/losa juveniles raised at varying sea water temperatures. SE = standard error, N = sample Table II - 3: size

<u> Temperalure</u>	Sludy site	<u>Replicate</u>	Shell H	ength (cm)	Ē	l	Dry sh	Dry shell weight (g)	(छ) सर		Dry bo	Dry body weight (g)	(a) [i		Spiral growth	arowih	Z	Final shell		Percent
	Initial Mean		Initial Mean	33	Final Mean	አ	Initial Mean	- 5	Final Mean SE	3	lnilial Mean SE	썘	Final Mean	33	(cm) Mean	3		thickness(mm) Mean SE N		mortality
Amblent (B-11*C)	Argyle Crk. Mær Visla		0 927 1.121	0 037	2 031 2.283	0 071	0 050 0 082	0 006	0.375	0 036 0.059	0 008	0000	0 081 0.123	0 000	3.018	0 268 0 392	= =	0 295 0 105 0 410 0 051	5 - 5 3	5 0
	Argyle Crk. Mar Vista	~ ~	1.075	0 055 0.087	2 423 2.374	0 076 0.164	0 073 0 082	0 010 0.017	0 498	0.047	0.014	0.003	0 120 0 120	0.023	4 229 0	0 286 0.295	15	0 259 0 016	۰ تع ت	12 22
15 °C	Argyle Crk. Mar Visla		1.140 1.208	0.097	1 907 1.666	0.071 0.069	0.003 0.105	0 010 0.032	0 387 0.277	0.042	0 016	0 002	0 077 0.042	0 011	0.562 0	0 057 0.073	<u> </u>	0.390 0.019 0.596 0.062	50 F-	21 40
	Argyle Crk. Mar Vista	~ ~	1.060 1.000	0.052 0.052	1.880 1.895	0.0 84 0.240	0 075 0 095	010 0.028	0.341	0.033	0 013	0 001 0.005	0 065 0.077	0 011	1.821 0	0 444 0.120	~ •	0 592 0 067 0 273 ·	c 1	59 71
18 °C	Argyle Crk. Mar Visla		1.063 1.219	0.110	0.677 1.648	0 076 0.113	0 0 72 0.111	0.007	0 317 0	0.034	0 013	0 002 0 007	0 055 0 055	0 007	1.233 0	0 209 0 091	5 e	0 540 0 120 0 516 0.065	•• •	0 36
	Argyle Crk. Mar Vista	~ ~	1.209 1.303	0 047 0.110	1.755 1.743	0 079 0 095	0.109	1100	0 404	0.040	0.019	0 003 0.008	0 062 0.062	0 001	1.000 0.963 0	0 259 0.168	* 01	0 534 0 051 0 514 0.061		13 25

34

significant differences between treatments of the food availability experiment (Means table II-2; ANOVA Table II-4). Snails of the crab presence and water temperature experiments, however, were found to have been unequally grouped initially. Within the crab experiments (Means Table II-2, ANOVA Table II-5) initial shell lengths and shell weights exhibited only marginally significant differences in two instances. The patterns in final growth, however, appeared to be fairly constant among sites, regardless of degree of difference in pre-experimental values (Figures A4-15 to 20). Initial body weight differed in a number of instances, however, but the effect of these differences in initial values appeared to be so slight with respect to the overall responses elicited in these snails that they were regarded as being negligible.

A proportionally larger amount of pre-experimental variation was found within the temperature experimental groups (Means Table II-3, ANOVA Table II-6). These variations, however, were not consistent with final growth values: in fact, in most cases a reversal in trend from pre-experimental to final variation was noted. The observed patterns in final shell morphology appear to be not due to these initial variations, but to persist in spite of them. Again, these initial variations were regarded as negligible.

Final shell morphology.

Food availability.

Both the ANCOVA (Table II-7; means Table II-2) and ANOVA (Table II-8; means Table II-2) analyses revealed significant

Table II - 4. Two-way ANOVA tests for differences among food availability treatments in pre-experimental shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the absence of crabs, at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II-2. df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, $P \le 0.05$ indicates a significant difference between pre-experimental shell or body parameters at a 95% confidence level.

		-			
Replicate	Factor	ġ	Initial shell length MS P	Initial shell <u>weight</u> MS P	Initial body <u>weight</u> MS P
-	Site Food availability Interaction Error	4 2 157	0.018 0.317 0.004 0.778 0.008 0.839 0.015	0.226 0.123 0.021 0.845 0.067 0.823 0.123	0 141 0.329 0.011 0.910 0.056 0.880 0.121
N	Site Food availability Interaction Error	4 C 3 F 7 4 C	0.011 0.391 0.009 0.431 0.003 0.962 0.011	0.079 0.452 0.131 0.220 0.034 0.921 0.085	0.040 0.777 0.079 0.418 0.035 0.925 0.090

Table II - 5. Two-way ANOVA tests for differences between crab exposure treatments in pre-experimental shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised at two food availabilities, at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II-2. df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference in shell or body parameters at a 95% confidence level.

Food avail.	Replicate	Factor	ᅯ	Initial she ^r length MS E	hniti'i shell 며 면 명	Initial body weight MS P
Fed 33 %	-	Site Crab Interaction Error	4 - 4 - 0	0.008 0.673 0.035 0.118 0.015 0.371 0.014	0.084 0.576 0.024 0.654 0.112 0.433 0.116	0.108 0.368 0.788 0.006 0.060 0.660 0.100
	5	Site Crab Interaction Error	4 - 4 9	0.005 0.801 0.000 0.874 0.001 0.984 0.013	0.025 0.929 0.139 0.274 0.030 0.900 0.114	0.052 0.719 0.098 0.325 0.028 0.889 0.100
Fed 100 %	-	Site Crab Interaction Error	4 - 4 0 0	0.025 0.140 0.032 0.134 0.007 0.714 0.014	0.334 0.029 0.025 0.645 0.070 0.668 0.118	0.151 0.198 0.992 0.002 0.123 0.300 0.099
	N	Site Crab Interaction Error	4 - 4 0	0.003 0.914 0.056 0.022 0.010 0.434 0.010	0.041 0.766 0.089 0.322 0.086 0.436 0.090	0.015 0.939 1.040 0.000 0.051 0.630 0.078

II - 6. Two-way ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments in pre-experimental shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the absence of crabs, at a full food availability. The data are summarized in Table II-3. df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference among shell or body parameters at a 95% condidence level. Table II - 6.

shell Initial shell Initial body In <u>weight weight</u> P <u>MS</u> P <u>MS</u> P	0.039 0.019 0.163 0.079 0.284 0.037 0.021 0.051 0.122 0.101 0.231 0.030 0.005 0.502 0.034 0.519 0.036 0.567 0.007 0.051 0.051 0.062	0.000 0.881 0.031 0.449 0.094 0.219 0.026 0.032 0.220 0.022 0.192 0.051 0.002 0.781 0.000 0.994 0.041 0.941 0.007 0.781 0.0053 0.994 0.004 0.941
Initial shell length <u>MS</u> P		0.000 0.026 0.002
둭	ture 2 on 22	ature 2 ion 2 50
Factor	Site Temperature Interaction Error	Site Temperature Interaction Error
<u>Replicate</u>	~	<u>م</u>

Table II - 7. Tests for differences among food availability treatments using adjusted means of ANCOVA analyses of the logged values of final versus initial shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the absence of crabs at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). the data are summarized in Table II-2, Figures II-3; A4-1,2,3. Y = adjusted mean, SE = standard error, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a confidence level of 95% in slopes or adjusted means.

Measurement	Site			Food Availability	Vilid	-	à	<u>Common slope</u>	<u>slope</u>	Slope	Adjusted
		<u>Fed 33%</u>	ж	<u>Fed 67</u> 9 Y	비		³ 뛰	Slope	SE		
Log shell length	Argyle Crk. Aquilar Pt.	0.368 0.316	0.013 0.015	0.398 0.380	0.011 0.013	0.424 0.388	0.011 0.015	0.511 0.678	0.068 0.098	0.3900 0.1790	0.0084 0.0020
(Cattle Pt.	0.319	0.010	0.387 0.408	0.010 0.009	0.392 0.387	0.010 0.009	0.592 0.563	0.062 0.038	0.1330 0.0130	0.0000
	Sanford Is.	0.313	0.010	0.366	0.010	0.427	0.010	0.510	0.056	0.5840	ŋ.0000
Log shell weight	Argyle Crk.	-0.1	0.013	-0.035		-0.009	0.012	0.281	0.025	0.0200	0.0000
(6)	Aguilar Pt. Cattle Pt	0, 0	0.015	-0.034		-0.020	0.011	0.262	0.026	0.0780	0.0000
	Mar Vista Sanford Is.	 	0.010	-0.027	0.010 0.012	-0.051 -0.002	0.010 0.011	0.247 0.221	0.015 0.023	0.0 04 0 0.4700	0.0000
Log body weight	Argyle Crk.	0	0.052	-0.799		-0.798	0.047	0.564	0.090	0.1210	0.0290
(6)	Aguilar Pt. Cattle Pt.		0.034	-0.942	0.034	-0.838	0.033	0.631	0.074	0.15	0.0000
	Mar Vista Sanford Is.		0.030 0.040	-0.795 -0.964		-0.858 -0.788	0.030 0.040	0.618 0.511	0.045 0.079	0.7850 0.7850	0.000.0

for the food-replicate interaction and the site-food-replicate interaction MS, respectively. The remaining MS terms were divided by the error MS to calculate degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-value for the site term was calculated by dividing its MS by the MS of the site-replicate term. of the ratio of final/initial shell and body growth parameters of Thais lamellosa Table II - 8. ANOVA results of tests for differences among food availability treatments 11° C). The data are summarized in Table II-2, Figures II-3; A4-1,2,3. df = Similarly, the food MS and the site-food interction MS were divided by the MS uveniles raised in the absence of crabs, at ambient water temperature (9their F-values.

Factors	đ	Final sl initial s <u>MS</u>	inal shell length/ nitial shell length <u>MS</u> P	Final s Initial s MS	shell weight/ shell weight P.	Final bo inital bo MS	body weight/ body weight E
Site (A)	4	0.011	0.12	0.119	0.31	0.139	0.21
Food avail. (B)	2	0.170	0.04	1.187	0.03	2.107	0.01
Interaction(AB)	8	0.007	0.05	0.042	0.17	0.046	0.45
Replicate (C)	-	0.007	0.21	0.082	0.07	0.070	0.15
PC -	4	0.003	0.62	0.069	0.06	0.058	0.18
	2	0.008	0.16	0.040	0.26	0.016	0.66
ABC	8	0.002	0.87	0.021	0.68	0.042	0.34
Error	286	0.004		0.030		0.037	

differences in final shell length, shell weight, and body weight among snails exposed to different food availabilities (Figures II-4; A4-1,2,3). Snails raised with a 33% food availability grew less than those of either 67 or 100%. There was little difference in growth between snails from the 67 and 100% food availability treatments. There were no significant site differences with the exception of the site-food interaction term with respect to shell length. The scattergrams of these data (Figure A4-15) do not indicate any apparent site differences.

Analyses of variance results for snails of the 33% food availability treatment, indicate a decrease in rate of spiral growth, an increase in translation rate, and an increase in final shell thickness over snails of the 67% food category (ANOVA T ble II-9; means Table II-2; Figures II-5; A4-4,5,6). Translation rate also appeared to be significan: between sites at a 90% confidence level, but the scattergram (Figure A4-17) of these data indicates that these differences are slight. The apparent change in translation rate among food availabilities, however, is probably an artifact due simply to differences in spiral growth. When translation rate is plotted against spiral shell growth, no differences between treatments with respect to translation rate are evident (Figure A4-Snails raised with 100% food also deposited relatively thick 6). shells (means Table II-2). No significant difference was found between snails of the 67 and 100% food treatments, with the exception of shell thickness.

No significant differences were found between pre-experimental regressions of shell weight on shell length and on body weight,

Figure II-4.

Relative growth in shell length (A) and shell weight (B) of snails from the food availability experiment. The patterns of growth were similar across treatments for body weight and spiral growth as well. All means were calculated on pooled site data. The standard error bars in these diagrams represent the standard error among sites.





Figures II-4.5; A4-4.5.6.df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, P< 0.05 indicates length change/spiral growth) of Thais lamellosa juveniles aised in the absence of crabs . .m. Similarly, the food MS and the site-food interction MS were divided by the MS for value for the site term was calculated by dividing its MS by the MS of the site-replicate Tests for differences among food availability treatments using results from two-+ four-replicate interaction and the site-food-replicate interaction MS, respectively. a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The Fway ANOVA analyses of spiral growth, final shew thickness, and translation rate (shell and at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II 2. he remaining MS terms were divided by the error MS to calculate their F-values. Table II - 9.

Factor	Spira	spiral growth f MS	ے ط	Shell	Shell thickness of MS P	ងក	Iran df	ranslation rate If MS P	ate P
	i,		4	k		1	ł		ł
Site (A)	4	0.118	0.19		0.010	0.51	4	0.124 0.10	0.10
Food availability (B)	~~	1.885	0.01	2	0.054	0.02	2	0.365	0.03
	8	0.038	0.45	2	0.021	0.30	ထ	0.021	0.67
Renlicate (C)		0.062	0.12		0.000	ر ن 0	-	0.000	0.91
AC.	4	0.046	0.18		0.000	0.0	4	0.031	0.28
	2	0.023	0.45	2	0.001	0.97	2	0.011	0.64
ABC	0	0.035	0.29	2	0.009	0.79	æ	0.029	0.30
Error	282	0.029		19	0.037		280	0.024	

Figure II-5.

Differences in final shell morphologies of snails from the food availability experiment. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars in these diagrams represent standard error among sites. A =shell weight/shell length, B =shell weight/body weight, C =shell thickness/initial shell length.



respectively (Table II-10; Figures II-6,7). Differences were found, however, between the same comparisons of final growth parameters: snails fed 33% appeared to secrete relatively thinner shells with respect to shell length than did those of the 67% and 100% food treatments. An examination of the scattergrams (Figures II-8,9) of these data, however, revealed such differences to be small.

Crab presence.

Both the ANCOVA (Table II-11) and the ANOVA (Table II-12) results of final versus initial shell length, shell weight, body weight (Figures II-10; A4-7,8,9) revealed little variation between crab treatments in snails raised with 33% food availability. The ANCOVA results, however (Table II-11; means Table II-2), indicated snails raised with 100% food had significantly reduced growth in shell length (Figure II-10) and body weight in the presence of crabs. Of these latter snails, two sites exhibited reduced growth in shell while no significant difference was found in this weigi measurement in snails from the remaining three sites. The ANOVA results of these same data (Table II-12; means Table II-2; Figure II-10) showed decreased growth in shell length and body weight, but no significant difference in shell weight between crab treatments. Snails of replicate two, fed 33%, increased in shell weight, and decreased in body weight over snails of replicate one. Similarly, replicate two snails fed 100%, increased significantly in shell weight and decreased in body weight over those of replicate one. These results are assumed to be a response due to the higher rate of field-collected snail consumption by the crabs of replicate two.

47

Eache IE 10. Lesis for differences among food avairability heatments using achusted means from AFLCOVA auatyses of the logged values of dry shell weight weight of *Luars Tamellos*...) preventes at the absence of crabs, at authent water technice (9-with respect to shell length and dry body weight of *Luars Tamellos*...) preventes raised in the absence of crabs, at authent water technice (9-11.C). The data are summarized in Figures II.4.5.6.7.8. Y = adjusted mean, SE = standard error, P < 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in slopes or adjusted means. Initial shell was used a secong covariate for the final shell weight comparisons

	Cito			Food availability	alability			Common slope	1 Slope	Stope	Adjusted
AXES		Fod	Fed 33 %	f ed 67 %	57%	fed	f ed 100 %			guality	SIRAII
		<pre></pre>	4 5 7	>	5	>	SE	Slope	SF	[1]	[b]
	A.C. Allo	L O OR J	0.0120	-0 944	01100	166 0	0 01 10	2 706	0 057	0 0021	0 7630
x = 1 og inihal shell lengin	Angle CIA	606 0-	0.0100	179.0-	0 0089	0 986	0 0096 U	2 885	0 0 0 0 0	01790	04610
(cm)	Aguitar PL.	1 080 1	0000	1601-	0 00 /5	-1 086	0 00 75	2 754	0 045	0 0031	0 8840
y = Log initial sher weight	Califier TL		0 0080	1 097	1 0 0 0	-1 118	0 0089	2 800	0 036	0 9800	0 1470
(6)	war visia Sanlord 1s.	1.042	0 0100	-1 022	01100	1.030	0 0 1 0 0	2.759	0 057	0 2510	0.4200
		1001	01010	196 U.	0 0200	600 1	0 02 00	1160	0 038	0 8 4 9 0	0 2480
x = { og initial body weight	Argyle VIA.	500 C	00100	0.005	0.0160	0.965	0 01 70	0 912	0 034	0 3030	0 3460
(6)	Aguilar PT.	066.0	00100		01100	1 088	01100	166 0	0 023	0 8270	0 7840
y = 1 og intial shell weight	L'anne r'i.	060 I-		1 084	0.0140	1 123	0 0140	1 004	0 0 2 0	0 0490	0 0 5 9 0
(6)	war visia Sanford Is.	-1 033	0.0110	-1.012	0 0120	-1.049	0 01 10	1160	0 022	0.4670	0 0840
				100 0		1 20 0	0.016.0	1 852	0 187	0 0005	0 0000
x = t og final shelt length	Argyle Crk.	0 176	0 01 80	190.0.	0 0 0 0 0	100.0		1 0 JE	0110	0.6020	0 0032
(cm)	Aguilar Pt.	0 158	0 0120	0 102	0 0033	0 100		503	0.080	0 5070	0 2860
y = t og tinal shell weight	Califie Pt	0 136	a/00 0	6 6 F F O	0 0082		0.00.76	2 348	960 0		
(6)	Mar Vista Sanford Is	0.164	0 0031	0 157	0 0076	0 144	0 0003	2 4 3 8	160 0	0.1660	0 0430
		010 0			00100	.0.04R	0.0200	0 434	0 064	0 0000	0 0000
x = Log linal body weight	Argyle Cirk	612.0	0 0150	600 U	00100	10.137	0 0140	0 685	0 042	0 8640	0 0860
(6)	Aguilar 11	121.0		1110	0110	0 150	0 01 10	0 713	96.0.0	0 0850	0 0860
y = t og tinat shelt weight		(61 O		0110	0 0120	0 1/5	0110	0 /15	0 046	0 0 1 9 0	0 0450
(6)	Santord Is.	0.182	0 0140	1/1 0	0 0120	0.121	0 0140	0 590	0 040	0 00 0	0 0160

Figure II - 6.

Scattergrams of initial shell weight (g) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at the refood availabilities.

• = fed 33%, □ = fed 67%, マ = fed 100%



Figure II-7.

Scattergrams of initial shell weight (g) versus initial body weight (g) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities.

• = fed 33%, \Box = fed 67%, ∇ = fed 100%.



Figure II-8.

Scattergrams of final shell weight (g) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\bigtriangledown = \text{fed } 100\%$.


Figure 11-9.

Scattergrams of final dry shell weight (g) versus final dry body weight (g) for juvenile *Theis lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities.

♦ = fed 33%, □ = fed 67%, ▽ = fed 100%.



Table II - 11: Tests for differences between drab exposure treatments using adjusted means from ANCOVA analyses of the logged values of initial versus final shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised at two food availabilities and at values of initial versus final shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised at two food availabilities and at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II-2, Figures II-9,A4-7,8,9 Y = adjusted mean, ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II-2, Figures II-9,A4-7,8,9 Y = adjusted mean, $SE = standard error, P \leq 0.05$ indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in slopes or adjusted means.

SE = stand	ard error, rs u	SE = standard error, PS 0.00 invicates a significant anno 0.					Common elemen	, elmu	Sime	Adiusted
Measurement	Food	Sile	No Crahs	<u>Liao riesenva</u> ahs	Crabs				equality	means
				, Э.Э.	 	ж	Slope	S.	E	ป
		Arouto Crk	1 363	0.014	0.319	0.012	0.732	060 0	0.2500	0.0210
Log shell lengin	1 EG 33%	Aryyle CIN.	0.000	0.017	0.256	0.018	0.867	0.128	0.4910	0.2210
(cm)		Aguilar ru.	0.50	0.013	0.315	0.013	0.732	0.101	0.5120	0.9960
		Calle ri.		0.008	0 296	0.009	0.737	0 045	0.6960	0.4840
		Mar Visia Sanhard Is	0.307	0.018	0.234	0.017	0.645	0.110	0.6340	0.0050
										0.26.70
	1 ad 230/	Aroula Crk	-0.243		-0.194	0.029	0.899	C .073	0.3560	0/97.0
Log shelt weight	Led 33.6	Aquilar Di	000.0	0.042	0 285	0.042	0.813	0.101	0.9640	0.8150
(6)					187 0-	0.041	0.697	0 121	0.2900	0.2900
				0.007	-0.208	0.028	0.754	0.048	0.5640	0.0290
		nar vista Antord Is.	-0.297		-0.384	0.041	0.813	0.096	0.1440	0.0290
							0 767	0 127	0.6570	0.9740
I on body weight	Fed 33%	gyle Crk.	-1.034					0 160	0 6230	0 4320
		Aguilar Pt.	-1.242	0.056	-1.306				0.0620	0.3260
		Cattle Pt.	-1.077	0.045	-1.142	0.047	0.024			
		Mar Vieta	-1 159	0.031	-1.130	0.032	0.799	0 058	0./3/0	0.22.0
		Sanford Is.	-1.236	0.060	-1.241	0.060	0.839	0.140	0.0380	0.9510
								0.087	0 7280	0 0005
t og shell length	Fed 100%	Argyle Crk.	0 4 7 5	0.013	0.328			0 108	0 1630	0.6730
(E)		Aquilar Pt.	0.387	0.013	0.351		0.000		0.2520	0.0130
(Cattle Pt.	0.377	0.010	0.339	0.010	1240		0.45.0	
		Mar Vista	0.375	0.009	0.329	0.009	2/9.0			
		Sanford Is.	0.416	0.011	0.338	0.011	0.595	0.069	0.0640	0.000
					0 147	0.035	0 605	0 081	0.4450	0.0850
t og shell weight	Fed 100%	Argyle Crk.	-0.05				0.635	0 103	0.4700	0.3600
(0)		Aguilar Pt.	-0.038	0.034		800.0	0.473	0 071	0.4870	0.4440
		Cattle Pt	-0.122		260.0.		0.605	0.046	0.1790	0.4810
		Mar Vista	191.0-	420.0	0.1.0	0.032	0.653	0.065	0.0023	0.0190
		Sanlord Is.	-0.018		.0.160					
					.1 080	0.058	0.437	0 127	0.2280	0.0060
Log body weight	Fed 100%	Argyle LTK.			0000		0.690	0 136	0.4580	0.1710
(6)		Aguilar Pt.	808 D		1 027	0.039	0.402	0101	0.1570	0 0260
		Came M.			1 023		0.701	0 074	0.0550	0.0460
		Mar Vista	3100		1 026		0.710	6 60 0	0.0014	C 0052
		Santord IS.		2						

Tests for differences between crab exposure treatments using results from three way squares, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-values for the Site, Crab, and Site-Crab Interaction terms were calculated juveniles raised at two food availabilities and ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table 11-2, Figures 11-9; A4-7,8,9. df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean by dividing their respective MS values by the Replicate MS. The Replicate F value was nested ANOVAs of final over initial shell and body growth parameters of Thais lamellosa calculated by dividing the Replicate MS by the Error MS. Table II - 12.

Food avail.	Factors	đ	Final st initial s MS	Final shell length initial shell length MS P	Final st initial s <u>MS</u>	Final shell weight/ <u>initial shell weight</u> <u>MS</u> P	Final bo initial b MS	Final body weight/ initial body weight <u>MS</u> P
Fed 33 %	Site Crab presence Interaction Replicate Error	4 4 1 0 1 7 4	0.013 0.017 0.006 0.006 0.006	0.12 0.11 0.40 0.14	0.146 0.028 0.041 0.061 0.026	0.12 0.51 0.63 0.01	0.240 0.001 0.032 0.141 0.032	0.23 0.93 0.00 0.00
Fed 100 %	Site Crab presence Interaction Replicate Error	4 1 4 1 4 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.003 0.292 0.009 0.015 0.005	0.93 0.001 0.66 0.03	0.111 0.070 0.128 0.104 0.036	0.42 0.43 0.36 0.13	0.064 3.475 0.079 0.300 0.300	0.93 0.01 0.90 0.00

Figure 11-10.

Relative growth in shell length (A) and shell weight (B) of snails from the crab-exposure experiment. The patterns of growth in shell length were similar across treatments to body weight and spiral growth. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars in these diagrams represent the standard errors among sites.





Snails raised with both 33 and 100% food availabilities showed a decrease in spiral growth and an increase in shell thickness when maintained in the presence of crabs (Table II-13; Figures A4-10,11,12). Translation rate appeared to be significantly different with respect to the site-crab interaction term, but the scattergrams (Figure A4-17) of these data demonstrated no correlation between translation rate and site. This apparent change in translation rate, however, is probably an artifact due to spiral sizell growth rate differences (Figure A4-12). No significant difference was found between sites in snails of the 100% food category, and although the ANOVA results indicated significant differences between sites with respect to shell thickness at a 93% confidence level in snails of 33% food, the scattergrams of these data (Figure A4-16) indicate differences between sites to be small.

Final shell weight was significantly higher with respect to final shell length and final body weight in snails raised in the presence of crabs (Figure II-11). Pre-experimental values, however, also demonstrated this trend (ANOVA Table II-14), but when final values were re-tested with initial shell weight as a covariate, significant results were still obtained with the final values (ANOVA Table II-14, Figures II-12,13,14,15), with the exception of snails from Sanford Island, raised with 33% food. An explanation for this variation in pre-experimental data could lie in the fact that due to the duration of the measurement time, the crab-presence individuals were exposed to crabs for a period of up to three days before being measured. from or body parameters. The F-values for the Site, Crab, and Site-Crab Interaction terms availabilities and at ambient water temper∕ture (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table II-2, Figures II-10,11; A4-10,11,12.df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean squares, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell two-way ANOVAs of spiral growth, final shell thickness, and translation rate (shelt were calculated by dividing their respective MS values by the Replicate MS. The Replicate F- value was calculated by dividing the Replicate MS by the Error MS. Tests for differences between crab exposure treatments using results length change/spiral growth) of Thais lamellosa juveniles raised at two food Table II - 13.

Food avail. Factor		Spiri Af	Spiral growth df MS P	Shel df	<u>Shell thickness</u> df <u>MS</u> P	et L	Iranslation rate of MS P	P
Fed 33%	Site Crab Interaction 4 Renticate	4 - 4 -	4 0.038 0.27 1 1.297 0.00 4 0.159 0.01	- ~	0.305 0.14 0.653 0.09	4 - 4 -	0.007 0.79 0.212 0.005 0.098 0.01 0.016 0.76	0.005 0.005 0.01
	Eror	172	172 0.034	13	0.018	168	168 0.024	
Fed 100%	Site 4 Crab 1 Interaction 4		0.062 0.89 1.106 0.05 0.053 0.92	4 - 4	0.015 0.90 0.795 0.004 0.008 0.72	4 - 4	0.038 0.75 0.090 0.31 0.078 0.00	0.75 0.31 0.00
	Replicate Error	210	0.234 0.00 0.025	10 39	0.051 0.004 0.018	10 190	0.078 0.00 0.024	0 0. 0

Figure II-11.

Differences in final shell morphology of snails from the crab-exposure experiment All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars in the diagrams represent the standard error among sites. A = shell weight/shell length, B = shell weight/body weight, C = shell thickness/initial shell length.



Table II - 14. Tests for dilivences between cablesposure freatments using adjusted means from ANCOVA analyses of the togged values of dry sheet werring with respect to sheet length and dry body weight of fhats tamewosal juvenues instead at two food avainownes, at ambient water temperature (9.11°C). The data are summarized in Figures II-10, 11, 12, 13, 14. Y = adjusted mean. SE = standard error, PS 0.05 indicates a symmers in difference at a 95% confidence level in stopes or adjusted means. Instal sheet weight was used as a second covariate for the linet sheet second covariate for the linet sheet or stopes or adjusted means. Instal sheet weight was used as a second covariate for the linet sheet second covariate for the linet sheet or the linet sheet.

eight comparisons.				,					2005	Adrusted
AIC	Foot	aiz		CLARD	CLAD DIESEDCH				Vidence	arean
	availability		g	No crabs	Crabs	2	i	ł		
			>	З Ч	н	얾		뷞	3	3
		Aroute Crk	101	00100	0 259	0 0 91	2 762	0 060	0.0410	1000 0
a - Log indial sher lengin			001	0 0 1 2 0		0 0 20	2 999	690 0	0.1730	0 0000
(cu)				0.0067	-1 0/2	0 04-67	2 758	0 067	0 0 4 6 0	0 0213
y = Log initial shert we give		Caller T.		0 0120	1 048	0 01 2 0	2 856	0 057	0 4240	00000
(0)		Santord 19.	-1.074	0 010 0	866.0	0.0100	2 830	0 063	0.0026	0 0000
										0000 0
	Ead 11 %	Arrive Cit.	060 1-	0 0220	-0 69 7	0 0200	0 901	0 045	0/6/0	
I - LOO WINE WOULD - I		Anular Pt	=	0 0260		0 02 70	1 054	2/0 0	0 00 10	
		Cattle Pt	1115	0 0160	-1 059	0 0160	1 019	0 0 4 8	0 4820	
NITHAN NAUS IRINI DOT - A		Mar Vieta		0 0 1 8 0	666 0	01100	1 064	6000	0216 0	
(6)		Sanford Is.	-1.106	0.0190	-0.968	0.0140	1.034	0 045	0 / 20 0	0,000
										0000
discol Mada bard and -	Fad 11 %	Anovie Crk.	C020-	0 0260	10131	0 0210	228 4			
Industrian Sugar Mundan		Acuta Pt	776.0.	0 01 70	-0 207	0 01 70	2 452	99.0		
			0 259	0.0340	2/1 0-	0 0 1 7 0	1 842	0 458	0 2020	
A = Log In 2 Shen wergen		Mar Viela	910 0.	0110	0 165	0 0 0	2 658	0		
(8)		Sanlord Is.	0.363	0600 0	0.319	0.0380	1 453		0 12 20 0	
							196.0	280.0	0 0022	0 000 0
r = t no trust body weight	Fed 33 %	Argyle Crk.	0.277	0 0280	-0 168	0.0700		83	0 0 7 2 0	
		Aquitar Pt.	976 D-	0 0130	• 22 0	00100			0.460	0 0 0 0
		Calife Pt.	-0 297	0 0190	441 0	00200			0 2160	00000
		Mar Visla	96C 0 [.]	0 0 1 9 0	291 0	0610 0			96	0 2010
		Sanlord Is.	·0 323	0 0350	93C O.	00100			, ,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fed 100 %	Arovie Crk.	-1 051	0 01 10	966 O	02100	2 649	1200	0 0260	0
		Aquitar P1	166 0	0 01 70	0 904	0 0160	2 9 2 5	1610	0 3550	•
Mores lets letter of	-	Calle PI	-1 152	2600 0	1001	26(00	2 819	0 065	0210 €	•
		Mar Visia	11187	0 0069	- 1 13 6		2 930	0 047	2100 0	0
		Samord Is	1 054	0 0083	-1 010	2500 û	306 2	0 055	0 2 1 30	00000
	501 P.2		070	0.0070	0.96.0	0 0 1 0 0	5160	00	01010	0 0085
I - Log minute body wengen				0.010	0.00	00:00	906 0	15. 3	0 1650	•
r - 1 oo what shall second		Callfe PI	502 -	00100	1 036	0 0160	1011	0 341	0 16 0	0
		Mar Vista	622 1-	0 0 2 3 0	-1 079	0 01 20 0	100	044	0 22 79	c
2		Sanford Is	9011	0 0160	0.964	0/10 0	1.128	6000	0.000	0 1000
Mond Bada bad an 1	2 WI M	Arn de Crh	0 159	01100	1000	0 2 1 2 0	2 495	0 163	0 2170	•
		Amer Pl	EC1 0,	00100	0 067	6610 0	2 647	0 : 30	0 4190	¢
to intervention in the second second		Catte F1	6410	0 0085	0.029	0000	2714	C 1 0		0
		Mar Vista	0.219	0 00 0	-0 035	17(0 0	2 630	0 104	0 1380	0
		Santord 19	0 129	0 0 1 5 0	6 00 0	0 0 1 5 0	2 50 0	0 151	0 0036	00000
										0110 0
t = Log final body weight	Fed 100 %	Argyie Crk	0 143	0 0200	-005					. 0
(6)		Aguin Pl								e
jybaan jaays jeur, bo') = A		Carre FI	C61 0-						0 0 1 5 0	• •
(0)			651 D.			00,00	0 652	69.70	0 0956	
		Sanord H	£01 D.						•	•

Figure 11-12.

Scattergrams of initial dry shell weight (g) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised at ambient waver temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed 33\%}$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed 33\%}$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed 100\%}$, no crabs,

= fed 100%, crabs.



Figure 11-13

Scattergrams of initial dry shell weight (g) versus initial dry body weight (g)

- for juvenile Thais lamellasa from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature,
- in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities.
- $\diamond =$ fed 33%, no crabs, $\bullet =$ fed 33%, crabs, $\Box =$ fed 100%, no crabs,
- = fed 100%, crabs.



Figure 11-14.

Scattergrams of final dry shell weight (g) versus final shell len th (cm) for juvenile *Thats lamellasa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crats, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed 33\%}$, no craus, $\bullet = \text{fed 33\%}$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed 100\%}$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed 100\%}$, crabs.



Figure 11-15.

Scattergrams of final dry shell weight (g) versus final dry body weight (g) for venile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs,

■= fed 100%, crabs.



The number of field-collect- d snails consumed by the experimental crabs differed by approximately a factor of two between replicates (see Table II-15). Snails of replicate two (that replicate of increased crabifeeding) showed a higher probability of developing apertural teeth than those of replicate one (Table II-15). Of these snails, those from Argyla Creek showed a significantly lower probability of developing apertural teeth (Table II-16; means Table II-15). No apertural tooth development was noted in snails raised in the absence of crabs.

iemperature.

Both the ANCOVA (Table II-17) and the ANOVA (Table II-18) tests of final versus initial growth measurements (shell length, shell weight, body weight) revealed similar results: snails raised at 15° and 18°C grew at slower rates than did snails raised at ambient sea water temperatures (9-11°C) (Figures II-16; A4-13). Snails raised at ambient sea water temperature increased in spiral growth more rapidly, and secreted thinner shells (Figure II-17) than did snails raised at increased water temperatures (ANOVA Tables II-19,20; Figure A4-14). The site-temperature interact¹. In appeared to be significant with respect to translation rate, although the scattergrams (Figure A4-20) of these data indicate site differences between treatments were small. No significant difference was found between the 15° and the 18°C treatments.

Results from ANCOVAs for shell weight regressed against shell length and body weight respectively, revealed statistically 74

Table II - 15: Degree of apertural tooth development in juvenile *Thais lamellosa* raised at two food availabilities and in the presence of crabs. Apertural tooth scoring: 1 = no teeth, 2 to 4 = a gradation of tooth development, with 2 = minimal to 4 = well developed. N = sample size, Mean = mean of scored data

Number of field-collected snails consumed by the experimental crabs.

100%	Replicate 2	92
Fed 33%, 100%	Replicate 1	49
		All sites

75

Table II-16. Tests for differences between sites, food availabilities, and replicates with respect to rresence vs. absence of apertural teeth, using Chi-square statistics. Only snails from the crab-presence treatments are represented here. Snails from the rrab-absence treatments did not develop apertural teeth. df = degree eedom, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference between factors at a 95% confidence level.

Factor	Aper df	Apertural tooth developmen	oment P
Sile	त ।	004.0	
Food availability		1.835	0.0001
Replicates	-	18.666	0.0001

•

Table II = 17. Tests for differences among water temperature treatmentsusing adjusted means from ANCOVA analyses of the logged values of initial versus final shell and body parameters of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the absence of crabs with a 100% food availability. The data are summarized in Table II-3, Figures II-15, A4-13. Y = adjusted mean, SE = standard error, $P \le 0.05$ indicates a significant difference at a 95% comfidence level in slopes or adjusted means.

Measurement	Site				ture	•	ç	Common slope	<u>n slope</u>	Slope	Adjusted
			5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5		اللا الا		2 2	Slope	<u>S</u>	(J)	d
Log sheil length (cm)	Argyle Crk. Mar Vista	00	0.012 0.013	.364 0.012 0.270 .378 0.013 0.230	0.014 0.018	0.014 0.217 0.011 0.018 0.250 0.013	0.011 0.013	0.470 0.606	0.099 0.091	0.0060 0.5840	0000.0
Log shell weight (g)	Argyle Crk0.143 0.012 -0.203 0.014 -0.226 0.012 0.235 Mar Vista -0.129 0.015 -0.223 0.019 -0.231 0.015 0.267	-0143 -0129	0.012 0.015	-0.203	0.014 0.019	-0.226 -0.231	0.012 0.015	0.235 0.267	0.038 0.037	0.0010 0.6150	0.0001 0.0000
Lo , body weight ()	Argyle Crk 0.969 0.036 205 0.042 1.307 0.033 0.464 Mar Vista -0.906 0.051 1.328 0.061 1.380 0.051 0.668	906 [.] 0-	0.036	1.328	0.042 0.061	-1.307 -1.380	0.033 0.051	0.464 0.668	0.101 0.114	0.0060 0.6270	0.0000 0.0000

Temperature, and Site Temperature Interaction terms were calculated by dividing lamellosa juveniles raised in the absence of crabs and with full food availability Tests for differences among water temperature treatments using results freedom, MS = mean square, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% from two-way ANOVAs of final versus initial shell and body parameters of Thais The data are summarized in Table II-3, Figures II-15, A4-13. df = degrees of their respective MS values by the Replicate MS. The Replicate F values were confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-values for the Site, calculated by dividing the Replicate MS by the Error MS. Table II · 18.

Eactors	ij	Final sl initial s MS	Final shell length/ initial shell length MS P	Final sl initial s <u>MS</u>	Final shell weight/ initial shell weight MS P	Final bo initial b <u>MS</u>	Final body weight/ initial body weight MS P
Site	-	0.001	0.66	0.00 4	0.73	0.051	0.35
Temperature	2	0.178	0.001	0.165	0.04	0.985	0.002
Interaction	2	ŋ.005	0.52	0.023	0.48	0.061	0.34
Replicate	9	0.007	0.25	0.027	0.62	0.040	0.52
Error	110	0.005		0.037		0.056	

Figure II-16.

Relative growth in shell length (A) and shell weight (B) of snails from the water temperature experiment. The patterns of growth were similar across treatments for body weight and spiral growth. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars of these diagrams represent the standard error between sites.





Temperature Interaction terms were calculated by dividing their respective MS values by the Replicate MS. The Replicate F-values were calculated by dividing $P\leq 0.05$ indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-values for the Site, Temperature, and Siteabsence of crabs, at a full food availability. The data are summarized in Table II-3, Figures II-16,17;A4-14. df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, results from two-way ANOVAs of spiral growth, and translation rate (shell Tests for differences among water temperature treatments using length change/spiral growth) of Thais lamellosa juveniles raised in the the Replicate MS by the Error MS. Table II - 19.

Factor	So	Spiral arowth	Ħ	Irar	Translation rate	ate
	Ъ,	SM	പ	뉭	SM	പ
Site		0.049	0.68	-	0.331	0.338
Temnerature	2	5.972	0.002	2	3.030 0.000	0.000
Interaction	0	0.078 0.76	0.76	2	0.197	0.577
Replicate	9	0.269	0.331			
Error	104	0.231		107	107 0.35/	

Table II-20.ANOVA tests for differences among water temperature treatments using
ANOVA results of final shell thickness or *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the
absence of crabs, at a full food availability. The data are summarized in Table II-
3, Figure A4-14.

Iest	Factor	Final Initial dr	Final shell thickness/ Initial shell length dr MS P	skness/ Dath P
Nested ANOVA of Argyle Creek snails only; and adjusted cell sizes	Temperature Replicate Error	ี่งกด	0.007 0.007 0.012	2 0.091 0.03 3 0.007 0.66 6 0.012
ANOVA of Replicate 1 snails only; and adjusted cell sizes	Site Temperature Interaction Error	0 0 0 -	0.042 0.12 0.111 0.01 0.020 0.29 0.015	0.12 0.01 0.29

Figure II-17.

Differences in final shell morphology of snails from the water temperature experiment. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars in these diagrams represent the standard error between sites. (A = shell weight/shell length, B = shell weight/body weight, C = shell thickness/initial shell length.



significant differences in initial values (Table II-21). The scattergrams of these same data, however, revealed that these differences were again slight (Figure II-18). The ANCOVAs of final shell and body parameters, with initial shell weight as a covariate show a significantly lower amount of shell deposition with respect to body growth, but not to shell length, in snails raised at ambient temperatures, rather than at either 15° or 18°C (Table II-21). The scattergrams for these data further illustrate the relative variation in initial versus final measurements (Figures II-18,19). An explanation for the variation in the pre-experimental data could lie in the fact that due to the length of initial measurement time, the snails were exposed to the varied water temperatures and allowed to grow for a period of up to three days before being measured.

Discussion

Although all five sites differed in degree of both wave exposure and predation pressures from crabs, few site differences were found in response to the three types of experimental stimuli presented here, and the scattergrams of these data indicated these differences were slight. Such responses, although environmentally induced, must be strongly conserved to be maintained throughout populations which have not encountered stimuli such as crab predators for an indeterminate amount of time. The snails from each site did, however, maintain the colour and surface structures of their parent Lable II 21 Tests for differences anong water temperature treatments usuig adjusted means from ANCOVA analyses of the logged values of dry shell weight with respect to shell length and dry body weight in *Thats Tamellosa* prveniles raised in the absence of crabs, with a tuil food shell weight are summarized in Figures II 16,17,18 Y = adjusted mean, SF = standard error P< 0.05 indicates a significant availability. The data are summarized in Figures II 16,17,18 Y = adjusted mean, SF = standard error P< 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in closes or adjusted means. Initial shell weight was used as a second covariate for the final shell weight

comparisons.											
	Sile			lempe	<u>l emperature</u>		,	Common slope	5006	Clope soundity	Mojusieu
AXes		Ambien	11.01	15.C	g	18 C	о Ч	č	L L	TUDNA	
-		Y 167			C 0080	1 139 1 139	0 0640	2 617	0.0571	0 1420	0 0210
x = 1 og initial shell lenglh (cm) y = 1 og initial shell weight (g)	Mar Vista	1.089	0.0084	1 106	8600 0	-1 072	0 0084	2 768	0 0520	040/0	
			0000 0	1168	00100	1 140	0 0084	0 886	0 0250	0 4400	0060 0
r = l og initial body weight (g) y = L og initial shell weight (g)	Argyle Crk Mar Vista	10/0 I-	6600 0	1601	0.0110	1 064	6600 0	0 924	0 0200	0.1280	0 3500
	Aroula Crk	-0.499	0 0200	0.440	0 0170 -0 374	0.374	0 0180 2 040	2 040	0 1518	0 0810	0 0006
x = 1 og tinal shell vergtil (c ^{ru}) y = Log final shell weight (g)	Mar Vista	-0 544	0020 0	0 435	0 0180	0 348	0 01 /0	2 442			
		0.473	01100	0 442	0 0130	0 4 00	0 0120 0 773	0 773	0 0373	03760	0 0 1 1 0
<pre>x = 1 og tinal body weight (g) y = 1 og tinal shell weight (g)</pre>	Mar Vista	0 200	0 0140	0 427	0 0140	0 399	0 012	0.17	0 0318	n f nn n	-

Figure 11-18.

Α.

Scattergrams of initial dry shell weight (g) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile Thais lamellase from two sites, raised in jin the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet - ombient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = 15°C$, $\nabla = 18°C$.

Β.

Scattergrams of initial dry shell weight (g) versus initial dry body weight (g) for juvenile Thais lamellosa from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = 15°C$, $\nabla = 18°C$.



Figure 11-19

Α

Scattergrams of final dry shell weight (g) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile Thais lamellosa from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = 15^{\circ}C$, $\nabla = 18^{\circ}C$.

Β.

Scattergrams of final dry shell weight (g) versus final dry body weight (g) for juvenile Theis lamellosa from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), □= 15°C, ▽= 18°C.


populations, despite the experimentally elicited responses (Figure 11.20)

Site differences, however, were observed in apertural tooth development. Although snails from all sites exhibited some apertural tooth development when raised in the presence of crabs, snails from Argyle Creek were found to be significantly less prone to secrete apertural teeth than were snails from the remaining four sites (Table II-16). The natural populations of the collection site of Argyle Creek exhibited fewer sublethal crab predation scars than did snails of Aguilar Point. Cattle Point, and Mar Vista (Table II-1) No differences, however, were found in the response of snails from this former site with respect to final shell morphology (shell length, dry shell weight, dry body weight, spiral shell growth, and shell thickness) (ANOVA Table II- 11-13; means Table II-2).

A number of snails have been found to exhibit an avoidance behaviour in response to predators such as crabs (Vermeij,1978; Bertness *et al.*, 1981; Spight, 1983). This behaviour reduces time spent actively feeding (Bertness *et al.*, 1981; Spight, 1983). A number of morphological responses of snails to crabs, therefore, may be attributable to reduced feeding and slower growth rates. In these experiments growth rates varied repeatedly in response to crab scent and food availability. Some differences between treatments, however, were noted.

Snails raised with reduced food availabilities exhibited slower growth as measured by several parameters (shell length, shell weight, body weight, and spiral shell growth). In the presence of crabs, snails raised at a feeding rate of 100%, grew slower in all Figure II-20.

A comparison of shell morphologies between adults from the parent populations and from laboratory raised juvenile *Theis lamellase* from the same five populations. Par. = field collected adults , Juv = laboratory raised juveniles, A-E = collection sites: A = Argyle Creek, B = AgullarPoint, C = Cattle Point, D = Mar Vista, E = Sanford Island. The average length was approximately 4 cm for the parent shells, and approximately 2 cm for the juvenile shells.



parameters except shell weight. Snails raised both with limited and full food availabilities, and those raised in the presence of crabs, increased in shell apertural thickness. Little difference was found between snails raised with the 67% and the 100% food availabilities. Possibly the snails in both of these treatments were either i. approaching their maximal feeding rates, or ii. approaching their maximal growth rates. A large proportion of snails raised in the presence of crabs developed apertural teeth, while none of the juveniles of these experiments raised without crab influence showed any sign of apertural tooth development, although apertural tooth development is accepted to be related not only to the presence of crabs, but also to reduced feeding and slow growth rates (Appleton & Palmer, 1988). Possibly if lower levels of feeding had been used in the food availability experiment, not only may the food availability response have been eraggerated, but apertural tooth development may have been induced in snails raised in the absence of crabs. Food-limited individuals, however, did appear to require a lower stimulus to initiate apertural tooth development than did snails raised with full food available. With regard to other growth parameters, however, the response of snails raised with 33% food availability was less than those raised with 100% food.

Interestingly, with regard to a number of shell and body parameters, the snails of replicate two, raised in the presence of crabs, responded more strongly to the crab stimulus than did snails of replicate one. Such an increased response is probably due to the fact that the crabs of replicate two consumed almost twice the number of field-collected *Thais lamellosa* during the experimental

period than those of replicate one. This result indicates *Thais lamellosa* to have an inherent response to either the crab-produced metabolites, or to the scent of damaged conspecifics. The response of the snails to the crabs in this experiment, may in fact, not be due to the actual presence of the crab at all, but due to the scent of damaged conspecifics.

The secretion of a thicker shell with apertural teeth in the presence of crabs is an adaptive response. Crabs have been found to break snail shells through three basic methods: i. by crushing the shell, particularly across the body whorl, ii. by breaking the apex of the shell, and iii. by peeling or chipping at the aperture of the shell (Vermeij, 1987). The crab, *Cancer productus*, used in this experiment generally tends to attack at shell apertures (Zipser & Vermeij, 1978). Shell apertural thickening provides greater protection against such shell breaking forces (Vermeij, 1978; Bertness & Cunningham, 1981; Palmer, 1985). Furthermore, the function of apertural teeth has been suggested to be twofold: i. occlusion of the aperture, restricting insertion of chelae, and ii. provision of additional apertural thickening, and thus strongthening, at minimal cost of shell deposition (Appleton & Palmer, 1988).

Snails reared at increased water temperatures showed similar responses to those raised with limited food, including an increase in shell thickness combined with a reduction in growth of the remaining basic parameters. Tropical snails are known to secrete relatively thicker shells than their temperate counterparts (Vermeij, 1978). Because of the observed number of deaths, growth checks and abnormalities in the shells secreted by the experimental

animals maintained at increased temperatures (pers. obs.), it is apparent that higher temperatures produced a definite stress in these snails, and it appears unlikely that the secretion of thicker shells by these animals is comparable to those of natural tropical populations, but instead may simply be a response to reduced growth.

Shell thickening in response to reduced growth has been well documented (Vermeij, 1978; Spight, 1983; Wellington & Kuris, 1983). Such a response may reflect a fundamental type of predator avoidance: during periods of limited body growth, potential protection gained from increased shell thickness may outweigh the cost of actual shell deposition (Palmer, pers. comm.).

The morphological responses observed in these experiments represented responses to a change in the habitat, presumably for the worse, and involved an increased deposition of shell material or in shell thickness at the expense of growth in body weight and overall shell size. This additional secretion of shell material in "less-thanideal" environmental conditions, further supports the supposition of long-term protection outweighing short-term growth.

Literature Cited

- Ahmed, M.D., E.S. Upatham, W.Y. Brockelman, and V. Viyanant. 1986. Population responses of the snail *Bulinus (Physopsis) abyssinicus* to differing initial social and crowding conditions. *Mal. Rev.* 19: 83-89.
- Appleton, R.D. and A.R. Palmer. 1988. Water-borne stimuli released by predatory crabs and damaged prey induce more predatorresistant shells in a marine gastropod. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 85: 4387-4391.
- Bertness, M.D. and C. Cunningham. 1981. Crab shell-crushing predation and gastropod architectural defense. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 50: 213-230.
- Bertness, M.D., S.D. Garrity, and S.C. Levings. 1981. Predation pressure and gastropod foraging: A tropical-temperate comparison. *Evolution* 35: 995-1007.
- Beukema, J.J. and B.W. Meehan. 1985. Latitudinal variation in linear growth and other shell characteristics of *Macoma balthica*. *Mar. Biol.* 90: 27-33.
- Black, R. 1977. Population regulation in the intertidal limpet *Patelloida alticostata* (Angas,1865). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 3: 200-213.
- Blackmore, D.T. 1969. Studies of *Patella vulgata* L. I. Growth, reproduction, and zonal distribution. *Oecologia* 30: 9-23.
- Boyden, C.R. and J.R. Zeldis. 1979. Preliminary observations using an attached microphonic sensor to study feeding behaviour of an intertidal limpet. *Est. & Coastal Mar. Sci.* 9: 759-769.
- Choat, J.H. 1977. The influence of sessile organisms on the population biology of three species of acmeid limpets. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 26: 1-26.
- Choat, J.H. and R. Black. 1979. Life histories of limpets and the limpet-laminarian relationship. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 41: 25-50.

- Creese, R.G. and A.J. Underwood. 1976. Observations on the biology of the trochid gastropod *Austroconchlea constricta* (Lamark) (Prosobranchia) I. Factors affecting shell-banding patterns. J. *Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 23: 211-228.
- Creese, R.G. and A.J. Underwood. 1982. Analysis of inter- and intraspecific competition amongst intertidal limpets with different methods of feeding. *Oecologia* 53: 337-346.
- Crothers, J.H. 1971. Further observations on the occurrence of 'teeth' in the dog whelk Nucella lapillus. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 51: 623-639.
- Crothers, J.H. 1975. On variation in *Nucella lapillus* (L.): Shell shape in populations from the south coast of England. *Proc. Malcol. Soc Lond.* 41: 489-498.
- Crothers, J.H. 1977. Some observations on the growth of the common dog-whelk, *Nucella lapillus* (Prosobranchia: Muricacea) in the laboratory. *J. Conch.* 29: 157-162.
- Dudley, R. 1985. Fluid-dynamic drag of limpet shells. Veliger 28: 6-13.
- Emberton, K.C. Jr. 1982. Environment and shell shape in the Tahitian land snail, Partula otaheitana. Malacologia 23: 23-36.
- Eversole, A.G. 1978. Life cycles in growth and population bioenergetics in the snail *Helisoma trivolis* (Say). J. Moll. Stud. 44: 209-222.
- Feare, C.J. 1970. A note on the methods employed by crabs in breaking shells of dogwhelks, *Thais lapillus*. *Naturalist* 913: 67-68.
- Frank, P.W. 1965. The biodemography of an intertidal snail population. *Ecology* 46: 831-844.
- Frank, P.W. 1969. Growth rates and longevity of some gastropod mollusks on the coral reef at Heron Island. *Oecologia* 2: 232-250.

- Frank, P.W. 1975. Latitudinal variation in the life history features of the black turban snail*Tegula funebralis* (Prosobranchia: Trochidae). *Mar Biol.* 31: 181-192.
- Garrity, S.D. 1984. Some adaptations of gastropods to physical stress on a tropical rocky shore. *Ecology* 65: 559-574.
- Garrity, S.D. and S.C. Levings. 1981. A predator-prey interaction between two physically and biologically constrained tropical rocky shore gastropods: Direct, indirect, and community effects. *Ecol. Monogr.* 51: 267-286.
- Giesel J.T. 1969. Factors influencing the growth and relative growth of Acmaea digitalis, a limpet. Ecology 50: 1084-1087.
- Gould, S.J. 1968. Ontogeny and the explanation of form: An allometric analysis. J. Paleont. 42, Paleont. Soc. Mem., II: 81-98.
- Hallam, A. 1965. Environmental causes of stunting in living and fossil marine benthic invertebrates. *Palaeontology* 8: 132-155.
- Hamilton, P.V. 1976. Predation on *Littorina irrorata* (Mollusca: Gastropoda) by *Callinectes sapidus* (Crustacea: Portunidae). *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 26: 403-409.
- Hamilton, P.V. 1977. Daily movements and visual location of plant stems by Littorina irrorata (Mollusca: Gastropoda). Mar. Behav. Physiol. 4: 293-304.
- Hartley, H.O. 1962. Analysis of variance. In: Ralston, A. and H.S. Wilf (eds.), <u>Mathmatical Methods for Digital Computors</u> (Vol. 1). Wiley, New York. pp. 221-230
- Haven, S.B. 1973. Competition for food between the intertidal gastropods Acmaea scabra and Acmaea digitalis. Ecology 54: 143-151.
- Heath, D.J. 1985. Whorl overlap and the economical construction of the gastropod shell. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 24: 165-174.

- Heller, J. and M. Gadot. 1984. Shell polymorphism of Theba pisana the effects of rodent distribution. Malacologia 25: 349-354.
- Hughes, R.N. 1972. Annual production of two Nova Scotian populations of *Nucella Iapillus* (L.). *Oecologia* 8: 356-370.
- Jokinen, E.H. 1977. The formation and structure of the shell varix in Stagnicola elodes (Say) (Gastropoda: Lymnaeidae) Nautilus 91:13-15.
- Kemp, P. and M.D. Bertness. 1984. Snail shape and growth rates: evidence for plastic shell allometry in *Littorina littorea*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 81: 811-813.
- Lam, P.K.S. and P. Calow. 1988. Some observations on the number and packaging of eggs of *Lymnaea peregra* (Müller) (Pulmonata). *J. Moll. Stud.* 54: 197-207.
- Laxton, J.H. 1970. Shell growth in some New Zealand Cymatiidae (Gastropoda: Prosobranchia). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 250-260.
- Leighton, D. and R.A. Boolootian. 1963. Diet and growth in the black abalone Haliotus cracherodii. Ecology 44: 227-238.
- Lewis, J.R. and R.S. Bowman. 1975. Local habitat-induced variations in the population dynamics of *Patella vulgata* L. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 17:165-203.
- Markowitz, D.V. 1980. Predator influence on shore-level size gradients in *Tegula funebralis* (A. Adams). *J. Exp.Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 45: 1-13.
- Menge, B.A. 1978. Predation intensity in a rocky intertidal community. *Oecologia* 17: 293-316.
- Menge, J.L. 1974. Prey selection and foraging period of the predaceous rocky intertidal snail, *Acanthina punctulata*. *Oecologia* 34: 1-16.
- O'Loughlin, E.F.M. and J.C. Aldrich. 1987. Morphological variation in the painted top shell*Calliostoma zizyphinum* (Linnaeus) (Prosobranchia: Trochidae), from intertidal rapids on the Irish coast. J. Moll. Stud. 53: 267-272.

- Ortega, S. 1985. Competitive interactions among tropical intertidal limpets. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 90: 11-25.
- Paine, R.T. 1969. The *Pisaster-Tegula* interaction: Prey patches, predator food preference, and intertidal community structure. *Ecology* 50: 950-961.
- Palmer, A.R. 1979. Fish predation and the evolution of gastropod shell sculpture: Experimental and geographic evidence. *Evolution* 33: 698-713.
- Palmer, A.R. 1981. Do carbonate skeletons limit the rate of body growth ? Nature 292: 150-152.
- Palmer, A.R. 1982. Growth in marine gastropods: A non-destructive technique for independently measuring shell and body weight. *Malacologia* 23: 63-73.
- Palmer, A.R. 1985. Adaptive value of shell variation in *Thais lamellosa*. Effect of thick shells on vulnerability to and preference by crabs. *Veliger* 27: 349-356.
- Philips, B.F. and N.A. Campbell. 1968. A new method of fitting the von Bertalanffy growth curve using data on the whelk *Dicathais. Growth* 32: 317-329.
- Philips, B.F., N.A. Campbell, and B.R. Wilson. 1973. A multivariate study of geographic variation in the whelk *Dicathais*. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 11: 27-69.
- Ramos, M.A. 1984. Polymorphism of *Cepaea nemoralis* (Gastropoda, Helicidae) in the Spanish Occidental Pyrenees. *Malacologia* 25: 325-341.
- Richardson, C.A., D.J. Crisp, N.W. Runham, and LI.D. Gruffydd. 1980.
 The use of tidal microgrowth bands in the shell of *Cerastoderma edule* to measure seasonal growth rates under cool temperate and sub-arctic conditions. *J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K.* 60: 977-989.
- Ritz, D.A. and D.J. Crisp. 1970. Seasonal changes in feeding rate in Balanus balanoides. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 50: 223-240.

- Roberts, D. and G.V. Kell. 1987 Shell colour in *Calliostoma* zizyphinum (L.) from Stranford Lough, N. Ireland. J. Moll. Stud. 53: 273-283.
- Seed, R. 1968. Factors influencing shell shape in the mussel Mytilus edulis. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. U.K. 48: 561-584.
- Seed, R. 1980. The relationship between shell shape and life habits in *Geukensia demissa* and *Brachidontes exusius* (Mollusca: Bivalvia). J. Moll. Stud. 46: 293-299.
- Schoener, T.W. 1983. Field experiments on interspecific competition. Am Nat. 122:240-285
- Signor, P.W. III. 1985. The role of shell deconetry as a deterrent to predation in terebrid gastropods. Valiger 28 079-185.
- Simpson, R.D. 1985. Relationship between allometric growth, with respect to shell height, and habitats for two patellid limpets, *Nacella* (*Patinigera*) *macquariensis* Finlay, 1927, and*Cellana* t *ramoserica* (Holten, 1802). *Veliger* 28: 18-27.
- Sokol, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. <u>Biometry</u>. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco. 859 pp.
- Spight, T.M. 1973. Ontogeny, environment, and shape of a marine snail, *Thais lamellosa* (Gmelin). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 13: 215-228.
- Spight, T.M. 1981. Food, growth, and size of the marine snail Thais lamellosa. Ecosynthesis 1:197-224.
- Spight, T.M. 1983. The ecology of body growth: Environmental influences on the growth of marine snails. *Ecosynthesis* 1: 257-344.
- Spight, T.M. and A. Lyons. 1974. Development and functions of the shell sculpture of the marine snail *Ceratostoma foliatum*. *Mar. Biol.* 24: 77-83.

- Stimson, J. 1973. The role of territory in the ecology of the intertidal limpet *Lattia gigantea* (Gray). *Ecology* 54: 1020-1030.
- Sutherland, J.P. 1970. Dynamics of high and low populations elimpet, Acmaea scabra (Gould) Ecol. Monogrs. 40: 169
- Vermeij, G.J. 1973. West Indian molluscan communities in the rocky intertidal zone: A morphological approach. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 23: 351-386.
- Vermeij, G.J. 1978. <u>Biogeography and Adaptation. Patterns of</u> <u>Marine Life</u>. Harvard University Press: Cambridge. pp. 332.
- Vermeij, G.J. 1987. <u>Evolution and Escalation. An Ecological History</u> of Life. Princeton University Press: Princeton. pp. 527.
- Wellington, G.M. and A.M. Kuris. 1983. Growth and shell variation in the tropical eastern Pacific intertidal gastropod genus *Purpura*: Ecological and evolutionary implications. *Biol. Bull*.164: 518-535.
- Zipser, E. and G.J. Vermeij. 1978. Crushing behaviour of tropical and temperate crabs. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 31: 155-172.

Chapter III.

Shell Microstructural Plasticity of Thais lamellosa in Response to Environmental Conditions

Introduction

Molluscan mineralogy and microstructure are both used extensively as taxonomic characters (Lindberg & Kellogg, 1982; Wellington & Kuris, 1983; Chen, 1985; Lindberg, 1986; Lindberg & Hickman, 1986). Also, several marked evolutionary trends have been described within molluscan shell microstructure. For example, primitive molluscs appear to have secreted primarily aragonite, while more advanced molluscs secrete either wholly calcitic shells or shells composed of a combination of calcite and aragonite (Taylor, 1973; Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). In addition, the outer prismatic layers are often lost evolutionarily (Taylor, 1973). Nacre is thought to be a primitive microstructure, as both pelecypods and gastropods exhibit a replacement of nacre with calcitic foliated or aragonitic crossed lamellar structure (Taylor, 1973; Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). This latter trend is not well understood and has been described as paradoxical (Taylor & Layman, 1972), although Palmer (1983) provides evidence suggesting a higher energetic cost of nacre secretion. Perhaps an examination of environmental effects on shell microstructure would lead to a better understanding of these trends.

Shell microstructural composition is known to be under environmental control to at least some degree (Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). Salinity, for example, is thought to be related to shell calcite:aragonite ratios (Lowenstam, 1954a; Dodd, 1963). Bøggild (1930) found only one fresh water mollusc containing calcite in its shell. Eisma (1966), however, found no such relationship between salinity and shell mineralogy. Lowenstam (1954 a,b, 1964) found an inverse relationship between percent calcite versus aragonite in shells and temperature. In genera where individuals may secrete either a wholly calcitic or a mixed aragonitic-calcitic shell, it is the species containing calcite which are generally found to be natives of cooler, more temperate waters (Lowenstam, 1964). Taylor (1988) hypothesizes this latitudinal trend in shell mineralogy to be associated with the relative solubilities of both minerals at decreased temperatures. Dodd (1963, 1964) discovered several temperature-mineralogy trends in various Mytilus species. Individuals of M. californianus exceeding 15 mm in length were found to have a higher aragonite content if they initiated growth in the spring rather than in the fall. Dodd (1963) demonstrated a positive relationship between aragonite content and mean annual temperature of collection site in populations of M. edulis. Both seasonal and latitudinal variation was observed in the microstructural composition of the salt marsh mussel Geukensia demissa due to shell dissolution (Lutz and Clark, 1984). With the onset of autumn and cooler temperatures, dissolution in G. demissa begins in the inner nacreous layer. The nacre tablets tend towards irregularity, until, with continued dissolution, only a fine grained structure remains. Nacre is then re-secreted with the onset of spring. Lutz and Clarke (1984) also found that populations of G. demissa native to regions with higher mean water temperatures

have either a reduced occurrence of this fine grained structure or none at all, indicating that seasonal dissolution is reduced in warmer regions.

The actual deposition of shell material has an energetic cost. Some microstructural layers are thought to be more expensive to secrete than others (Vermeij & Currey, 1980; Palmer, 1983). One factor which may be directly proportional to this energetic cost is the amount of organic material present between the crystals (Palmer, 1983). The proportion of organic matrix varies among microstructures: nacre and simple prismatic structures, for example. have a significantly higher organic content than the remaining structures (Wainwright et al, 1976). The rate at which shell material can be deposited, and its consequent limitations on body growth rate, may be thought of as a non-energetic cost of shell secretion (Palmer, 1981). More organized microstructures such as crossed-lamellae are thought to be more time consuming to secrete than other, less organized structures (Vermeij & Currey, 1980). The extent to which food availability, rate of shell growth, and shell microstructural secretion are inter-related, however, is unknown. Prezant and Chalermwat (1983) found variations in the crossedlamellar structure in the fresh water clam Corbicula to be associated with environmental factors, and its replacement with the less-ordered crossed acicular structure to be due to "less than ideal" trophic and temperature conditions.

Shell microstructural composition has been frequently associated with the life histories of organisms. Gabriel (1981) hypothesized that the inner nacreous-outer prismatic layer composition of a number of molluscs reflected a compromise between an external protection against boring organisms and an internal layer of mechanically stronger material for protection against shell-breaking predators. The occurrence of both calcite and aragonite (Rhoads & Lutz, 1980) or of layers of alternating orientations of structures such as crossed lamellae (Currey & Kohn, 1976) are purported to hinder crack propagation. Burrowing pelecypods have been noted to secrete external layers of homogeneous, crossed lamellar, or prismatic structures, due, it is thought, to their superior ability to resist abrasion (Taylor & Layman, 1972; Gabriel, 1981). Vermeij and Currey (1980) noted a latitudinal trend in gastropod shell microstructural composition within the family Thaididae, with tropical species being composed entirely of crossed-lamellae, and temperate species of an "illdefined crossed-lamellar" nature. Crushing strengths from this same study appear to indicate the tropical shells to be stronger. Vermeij and Currey (1980) postulate the observed variation in microstructure to be due to greater intensities of pressures by shell-breaking predators in tropical as opposed to temperate regions.

Although much of the control exerted over shell microstructure is of a genetic nature, the environment also plays a role (Rhoads & Lutz, 1980). The above studies do not enable separation of the effects of the genotype and the plasticity of the phenotype of the animals. These studies were also based on field collected animals, and little if any of their past growth history was known. Through a comparison of shell microstructures of laboratory-hatched snails, raised under a variety of controlled environmental conditions, the plasticity of shell microstructural composition may be examined. Further, through the study of the responses of several genetically isolated populations, the genetic versus plastic components of shell microstructure may also be determined.

Methods

I. Collection sites and raising of hatchlings.

collected from five Adult individuals of Thais lamellosa 5. These sites sites in the Pacific Northwest in Septem included Aguilar Point (48°50'18"N, 125°16 v) and Sanford Island (48°52'18"N, 125°09'48"W), Barkley Sound, British Columbia, and Cattle Point (48°27'06"N, 122°57'42"W), Mar Vista (48°28'48"N, 123°04'W), and Argyle Creek (48°31'06"N, 123°00'48"W), San Juan Island, Washington. Proportional shell microstructural composition did not vary significantly between sites (Table III-1). Any between site variation, however, would most likely be masked by the high degree of within site variation. The snails used in this analysis were randomly collected at each site, and nothing is known of their past growth histories.

Adult snail and subsequent hatchling maintenance, as well as the experimental design, is described in Methods, Chapter II, Sections I and III.

Table III-1. Ratios of the thicknesses of crossed lamellar to prismatic layers in adult and juvenile *Thais lamellosa* shells from the five collection sites. SE = standard error, Max. = highest proportion, Min = lowest proportion, N = sample size

<u>Site</u>	Crossed lamellar/prismatic structures								
	Mean	Æ	<u>Min.</u>	Max.	Ы				
Argyle Crk. Aguilar Pt. Cattle Pt. Mar Vista Sanford Is.	0.205 0.148 0.212 0.132 0.120	0.022 0.028 0.047 0.010 0.015	0.134 0.037 0.064 0.057 0.056	0.351 0.359 0.875 0.207 0.222	26 30 29				

II. Measurements and analyses.

All experiments were conducted over a period of approximately three months, from the first of September to the end of November, 1987. At the end of the three month period, shell length (from the pex to the distal-most tip of the siphonal canal) was measured on each individual. At this point in time, all snails were terminated and their bodies removed from the shells. The shells were air dried for at least forty-eight hours prior to being cut with a diamond bit rock saw. The cut approximated the posterior-most spiral rib on the body whorl, perpendicular both to the growth lines and to the outer surface of the shell. The cut surface was polished with 600 grit, cleaned, air dried, and mounted onto a microscope slide with an epoxy resin (Epotek2 301). This mount was then placed into a drying oven and allowed to harden at 65°C for approximately twelve hours. The bulk of the excess shell was then cut away from the mount, again with a rock saw, leaving a stub of shell approximately 1.5 mm thick on the slide. The stub was ground down towards the slide and polished with 600 grit until the resulting section of shell was sufficiently thin for the transmission of light (see Figure III-1 for a representative section).

Camera lucida sketches were drawn from the magnified thin sections. The areas of both the inner cross lamellar and the outer prismatic microstructural layers over a calculated distance were measured from the camera lucida sketches. A stationary endpoint of the area to be measured was set at the point of last secretion of crossed lamellae. Shell diameter (across the body whorl, cutting through both the apertural lip and the indentation of the shell just Figure III-1.

Diagram of a thin section of a juvenile *Thais lamellosa* shell, through the posterior-most rib of the body whorl. The section was cut approximately perpendicular to both the surface growth lines and to the outer surface of the shell.



prior to the columella) was estimated by approximating the section to be a circle. The second endpoint of the area to be measured was determined by calculating an arc length for an angle of 15° and extending this length from the fixed, first endpoint towards the columella, away from the apertural lip (Figure III-1). Such a method allowed for standardization for shell size. The areas of both layers were determined through the use of a MacIntosh 512 Summographics tablet, the MacIntosh MacMeasure program, and the camera lucida sketches. Layer thicknesses were estimated by dividing the layer areas by the distance over which it was measured.

Longitudinal sections were cut on randomly selected experimental juvenile *Thais lamellosa*. The sections ran through both the shell apex and the siphonal canal, at approximately right angles to the apertural lip. Measurements of microstructural layer thicknesses were taken immediately anterior to the posterior-most spiral rib on each half whorl of the section (see Figure III-2 for a diagrammatic view). The use of juvenile snails with intact protoconchs, as well as taking the measurement just anterior to the posterior-most spiral rib on each whorl made it unnecessary to take erosive forces into account. For comparative purposes, longitudinal sections were also cut on adult, field collected individuals.

Individual layer thicknesses and the proportion of crossed lamellar layer thickness to total shell length were compared through analyses of variance (ANOVA) as described for shell thickness in Methods, Chapter II.

Figure III- 2.

Diagram of a longitudinal thin section through the shell of a juvenile *Thais lamellosa*. The section approximately bisects the shell, parallel to both the surface growth lines and to the apertural lip. Note the increasing proportion or crossed lamellae to simple prisms towards the shell apex.



All basic statistics, t-tests, and ANOVAs were conducted using the Statview 512+TM (Abacas Concepts, Berkley, Ca.) microcomputer statistical package.

Results

Food availability.

The ANOVA results (Table III-4; means Table III-2) of shell microstructural layer comparisons reveal a significant increase in the thickness of both the crossed lamellar and the prismatic layers in snails raised with 33% food availability over snails raised with either 67 or 100% food (Figures III-3, A5-1,2,3). Little difference was found between these latter two food categories. The proportion of crossed lamellae to total shell thickness, however did not vary among food treatments. These data also suggest site differences in both of the layer thicknesses, but site differences were not consistent between replicates, nor with the estimated level of predation pressure at each site. The site-food interaction term was not significant.

Crab presence.

Data (ANOVA Table III-5; means Table III-2; Figures III-4, A5-4,5,6) from snails raised at both 33 and 100% food availabilities indicate no significant difference between treatments in the thickness of the crossed lamellar layer, but show a marked increase in thickness in the prismatic layer of snails maintained in the presence of crabs. The proportion of crossed lamellae to total shell Table III - 2. Means and standard errors of shell microstructural layer thicknesses for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature (9-11°C), in the presence and absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. SE = standard error, N = sample size

<u>Eood avail.</u>	<u>Crabs</u>	<u>Replicate</u>	<u>Study site</u>	lameilae	s crossed (mm) SE	Thicknes prisms Mesn	-	C. lame <u>total thi</u> Meen		Ы
Fed 33 %	No crabs	1	Argyle Crk.			· ·			•	0
			Aguilar Pt. Cattle Pt.			• •		•	-	0
			Mar Vista	0.0502	0.044	0.5421	0.553	0.087	0.007	7
			Sanford Is.		0.075	0.6678	1 331	0.074	0.021	5
		2	Argyle Crk.	0.0418	0.026	0.6701	0.827	0.062	0 008	5
			Aguilar Pt.	0 0698	0.098	0.9956	2.603	0 073	0.020	3
			Caltle Pt.	0 0477	0.029	0.7346	0.558	0.063	0 0 0 6	8
			Mar Vista Senford Is.	0.0450	0.026	0.7063 0.5674	0.491 0.815	0.060 0.071	0.001 0.004	3 4
5.4.00.0/	Curba		Aroula Cik				-		_	0
Fed 33 %	Crabs	1	Argyle Crk. Aguilar Pt.		:	:			•	ŏ
			Cattle Pt.	0.0249	-	0 8109	-	0.030	-	1
			Mar Vista	0.0553	0.046	1 0830	4 000	0 057		2
			Sanford Is.	0 0488	0.113	1.0290	3.194	0.047	0.007	3
		2	Argyle Crk.	0.0508	0 067	1 2800	1 648	0 042	0 009	5
			jular Pt					· .		0
			e Pt.	0 0438	0.036	1 3240	0.901	0 032	0 002	8
			 Vista ord Is. 	0.0623	0.112	2.0850	0.083	0.029	- 0.0 05	0 2
End 57 W	No crobe	1	Argyle Crk.	0 0353	0.046	0.4303	0.466	0.079	0.008	8
Fed 67 %	No crabs	1	Aguitar Pt.		0.040	0.5953	1.738	0.073		3
			Cattle Pt.	0.0367	0.029	0.4107	0.351	0 085		8
			Ma: Vista	0.0360	0.021	0.4364	0.466		0.007	10
			Sanford Is.	0 037 6	0.039	0.4470	1.042	0 088	0.027	3
		2	Argyle Crk.	0.0438	0.051	0.5130	0.454	0.079	800.0	7
			Aguilar Pt.		0.027	0.5819	0.543	0.060		7
			Cattle Pt.	0.0367	0.033	0.5548	0.603	0.065		6
			Mar Vista Sanford 1s.	0.0349 0.0333	0.061 0.045	0.4063 0.3550	0.386 0.252	0.081 0.087		5 7
Fed 100 %	No crabs	1	Argyle Crk	0.0480	0.052	0.6358	0.545	0.071	0.008	7
		•	Aguilar Pt.		0.050	0.6936	0.675	0.073		5
			Cattle Pt.	0.0382	0.048	0.5510	0.254	0.066		6
			Mar Vista	0.0280	0.039	0.7054	2.058	0.043	0.011	3
			Sanford Is.	0.0368	0.039	0.5170	1.334	0.076	0.016	4
		2	Argyle Crk	0.0390	0.056	0.5084	0.670	0.072	0.006	5
			Aguilar Pt.		0 036	0.6342	0.456	0.068		6
			Cattle Pt.	0.0444	0.057	0.4511	0.750	0.096	0.014	7 7
			Mar Vista Sanford Is.	0.0428 0.0551	0.056 0.064	0.6310 0.5988	1.118 0.650		0.012	4
Fed 100 %	Crabs	1	Argyle Crk	. 0.0483	0.072	1.1240	2.310	0.047	0.012	4
••• ••		-	Aguilar Pt.	0.0410	0.063	1.0740	0.863	0.037	0.005	6
			Cattle Pt.	0.0333	0.032	0.7534	0.695		0.005	9
			Mar Vista	0.0327	0.110	0.5032	0.595		0.013	2
			Sanford Is.		0.053	0.7364	0.862		0.007	4
		2	Argyle Crk		0.049	1.1120	1.585		0.005	7
			Aguilar Pt.		0.061	1.3800	1.263		0.00E	9
			Cattle Pt.	0.0432	0.069	1.3770	2.435		0.004	4 8
			Mar Vista Sanford Is.	0.0529 0.0471	0.055 0.052	1.1950 1.2380	1.699 0.942		0.005	9

Table III - 3. Means and standard errors of shell microstructural layer thicknesses for juvenue. Thars lame/losa raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, at 100% lood availability, and at three water temperatures. SE =

.

	2	,	v •	•		>	ŝ	4	••••	- •	•	•	•	-
	C lamellar / lotal thichneas		0 314 0 066	0.328 0.020	0 288 0 012		0 383 0 014	0.390 0.064	0.313 0.019	•	0 343 0 04		0 296 0 049	0 315 -
					0.1412 0.048	•	0 1709 0 045	0 1800 0.378	0.1865 0 083	0.1419	0 1818 0 201	0.1988 0.132	0 1897 0 095	0 1911 -
	Thickness crossed lameilae (mm)	Mean	0.0692 0 073	0.0856 0.058	0 0571 0.013	•	01066 0073	0.1024 0.028	0 0854 0.083	0 0936 -	0 0923 0 072	0.0976 0.076	0.0807 0.150	0.0877 -
ole size	<u>Siudy sile</u>		Arovie Crk.	Mar Vista	Argyle Crk.	Mar Vista		Mar Vista	Aravle Crk.	Mar Visla	Aravle Crk	Mar Vista		Mar Vista
standard error, N = sample size	<u>Beplicate</u>		-	-	2		•	-	0	J	-		•	2
slandard	Temperature	(Leicius)		(9-11°C)			1	15°C			000			

Table III - 4: Tests for differences among food availability treatments using results from two-way ANOVAs of shell microstructural layer thicknesses of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised in the absence of crabs, at ambient water temperature (9-11°C). The data are summarized in Table III-2. Figures III-3: A5-1,2,3. df ≠ degrees of freedom, MS = mean square, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-value for the site term was calculated by dividing its MS by the MS of the site-replicate term. Similarly, the food MS and the site-food interction MS were divided by the MS for the food-replicate interaction and the site-food-replicate interaction MS, respectively. The remaining MS terms were divided by the error MS to calculate their F-values.

Factor	dſ	Crossed lamellar <u>structure</u> <u>MS </u> 온	Prismatic <u>structure</u> MS P	Crossed lamellar/ total thickness MS P		
Site	4	0.035 0.0750	0.070 0.0050	0.007 0.8600		
Food availability	2	0.199 0.0001	0.352 0.0001	0.018 0.4500		
Interaction	8	0.019 0.3300	0.017 0.4720	0.029 0.2700		
Error	136	0.016	0.018	0.023		

Figure III-3.

Relative final shell microstructural layer thicknesses of snails from the food availability experiment. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars in these diagrams represent the standard error among sites. Prismatic layer thickness was similar in among treatment pattern to crossed lamellar thickness. A = crossed lamellar thickness, B = crossed lamellar thickness/total shell thickness.





Table III - 5: Tests for differences between crab exposure treatr. onts using results from two-way ANOVAs of shell microstructural layer thicknesses of *Thais lamellosa* juveniles raised at ambient water temperature (9-11°C), at two food availabilities. The data are summarized in Table III-2, Figures A5-4,5,6.df = degrees of freedom, MS = mean squares, P≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference at a 95% confidence level in shell or body parameters. The F-values for the Site, Crab, and Site-Crab Interaction terms were calculated by dividing their respective MS values by the Replicate MS. The Replicate F- value was calculated by dividing the Replicate MS by the Error MS.

Food avail.	<u>Factor</u>	df	Crosse <u>structi</u> <u>MS</u>	ed lamellar ure P	Prisma <u>structu</u> MS			d lamellar/ lickness P
Fed 33%	Crab Replicate Error	1 2 1 3	0.003 0.023 0.022	0.38	0.345 0.054 0.019	0.09	0.271 0.046 0.026	
Fed 100%	Site Crab Interaction Replicate Er	4 1 4 10 39	0.021 0.006 0.008 0.028 0.015	0.64 0.87 0.09	0.016 0.881 0.034 0.061 0.020	0.004 0.70 0.01	0.023 0.660 0.048 0.039 0.023	0.002 0.35 0.12

Figure III-4.

Differences in final shell microstructural layer thicknesses of snails from the crab-exposure experiment. All sites were pooled and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars of these diagrams represent the standard error among sites. A = crossed lamellar thickness, B = crossed lamellar thickness/total shell thickness.





thickness is significantly lower in crab-influenced snails raised at 100% food, but is significantly lower only at a 90% confidence level in snails from the 33% food category.

Apertural teeth composed almost entirely of prisms were found in a number of snails raised in the crab-presence treatment, although teeth of field collected adults were found to be of crossed lamellae (Figure III-3). None of the snails maintained in the absence of crab influence exhibited a tendency towards the secretion of apertural teeth.

Temperature.

Thicknesses of both the crossed lamellar and prismatic layers increased in snails raised at elevated water temperatures (15° and 18°C) over snails maintained at ambient temperatures (9-1°C) (Table III-6, Figures III-6, A5-7). The proportion of crossed lamellar structure to total shell thickness, however, did not vary. Neither the site, nor the site-interaction terms were significant.

Longitudinal sections.

Longitudinal sections through the shell (siphonal canal and apex) revealed increasing proportions of crossed lamellar to prismatic structure tending towards the shell apex (Figures III-2, III-8).

In field collected adults, the crossed lamellar structure may be of two orientations: radial and concentric. The number of orientations increased towards the shell apex (Figure III-7). Because no clear demarcation was found between these orientations, they were assumed to be sublayers.

Figure 111-5.

Diagrams of thin sections through the posterior-most rib of the body whorl of Thais lamellase shells. Each of the sections was cut approximately perpendicular to both the surface growth lines of the shell, and to the outer surface of the apertural lip.

Α.

Thin section of a juvenile Thais lamellosa raised in the laboratory in the presence of crabs. Note the formation of apertural teeth composed almost entirely of prisms.

Β.

Thin section of an adult Theis lamellase collected as an adult from a site with little or no large crab predators. Note the formation of apertural teeth composed entirely of crossed lamellae.


Table III - 6:Tests for differences among water temperature treatments using
ANOVA results of shell microstructural layer thicknesses of Thais
lamellosa juveniles raised in the absence of crabs, and with100% food.
The data are summarized in Table III-3, Figures III-6; A5-7. Due to a
missing cell, only snails from Argyle Creek are represented.

Factor	dí	Crosse <u>struct</u> <u>MS</u>	ed lamellar ure P	Prisma structi <u>MS</u>			ed lamellar/ hickness P
Temperature Replicate Error	2 3 6	0.111 0.073 0.020	0.08	0.095 0.008 0.019	0.76	0.038 0.061 0.045	0.34

Table III-7. Tests for differences among water temperature treatments using ANOVA results of shell microstructural layer thicknesses of Thais lamellosa juveniles raised in the absence of crabs, and with 100% food. The data are summarized in Table III-3, Figures III- 4; A5-7. Due to a missing cell, only snails from Replicate 1 are represented.

Factor		Crossec <u>structu</u>	d lamellar <u>re</u>	Prisma <u>structu</u>			d lamellar/ ickness
	df	MS	P	<u>MS</u>	£	MS	Р
Site	1 2	0.009	0.53 0.04	0.025 0.069		0.016 0.039	0.52 0.38
Temperature Interaction Error	2 2 6	0.014 0.019	0.518	0.003 0.016	0.82	0.008 0.034	0.80

Figure III-6.

Differences in final shell microstructural layer thicknesses of snails from the water temperature experiment. All sites were pooled, and the means were calculated on the pooled data. The standard error bars of these diagrams represent the standard error between sites. Prismatic layer thicknesses were similar in among treatment pattern to crussed lamellar thickness. A = crossed lamellar thickness, B = crossed lamellar thickness/total shell thickness.





Figure III-7.

Representative diagram of a longitudinal thin section through the shell of an adult *Theis lamellosa*. The section approximately bisects the shell, parallel to both the surface growth lines of the shell and to the apertural lip. Note the increased number of orientations of crossed lamellar structure towards the shell apex.



Figure III-8.

Proportion of the relative thicknesses of crossed lamellar to prismatic structures with respect to whorl number Measurements were taken every half whorl, and the whorls numbered accordingly, beginning with the body whorl, and extending towards the rrex of the shell. The means, standard error bars, and sample size () are shown in the agram. Experimental *Thais lamellose* juveniles from all sites and all food as grand crab exposure treatments are represented.



Discussion

Prismatic microstructures which are relatively high in organic material are more energetically expensive to produce than structures low in organics, such as crossed lamellae (Palmer, 1983). Snails from the food availability experiment, although varying markedly between treatments in both layer thicknesses, maintained similar proportions of both shell microstructures (ANOVA Table III-4; means Table III-2). Several explanations for this constancy are possible: i. the food availability treatments used in this experiment did not restrict food consumption sufficiently to produce a shell secretion is physiologically limited measurable response, ii. by some factor other than energetics, and iii. the maintenance of such uniform proportions of microstructural layers is of sufficient importance for food consumption and secretional costs to be negligible. A reduction of food available (33%) produced a definite decline in snail growth (see Chapter II), hence this food restriction should have been sufficient to produce a shell microstructural response.

Tropical meso- and neogastropods generally secrete shells entirely composed of aragonitic crossed lamellae. This latter trend has been attributed to i. increased water temperatures and the relative solubilities of calcite and aragonite (Taylor, 1988) or ii. to increased predation pressures in tropical versus lemperate regions (Vermeij & Currey, 1980). In the experiments described here, snails raised at three water temperatures, arthough varying greatly in growth patterns between treatments (see Chapter II), maintained relatively constant proportions of the two microstructural layers (ANOVA Table III-6; Figure III-6).

Although the proportional deposition of both microstructural layers remained constant between the water temperature treatments, these proportions differed markedly from those of the food availability experiment (means Tables III-2,3, Snails maintained in the recirculating systems of the water temperature experiment secreted a proportionally greater amount of crossed lamellae (means Table III-3) than those of the food availability experiment in which the sea water was constantly flowing. Water was replaced once every two weeks in the recirculating systems, but this microstructural variation between experiments indicates that some element, directly or indirectly associated with shell production, was limited to these snails. The state of health of the snails raised at elevated water temperatures was somewhat questionable (see Discussion, Chapter II). However, although something in the recirculating systems elicited a change in shell microstructure, the relative health of the animals did not seem to have an effect. Water temperature itself also did not appear to affect shell microstructure.

The only clear departure, found in these experiments, from this scatteringly actively maintained ratio of layer thicknesses was altained in snails raised in the presence of crabs (ANOVA Table III-5; Figure III-4). This response involved an increased secretion of prisms, while the thickness of the inner crossed lamellar layer remained fairly constant. An explanation for this response does not

seem immediately apparent: both structures have comparable tensile and bending strengths (Taylor & Layman, 1972; Currey & Taylor, 1974; Currey, 1976), crossed lamellae have a higher resistance to crack propagation (Currey & Kohn, 1976), crushing (Taylor & Layman, 1972; Currey, 1976), and abrasive forces (Gabriel, 1981), and prisms are more resistant to chelating agents and protease treatments (Gabriel, 1981) (see Table III-8 for a summary of relative mechanical Normertes). Further, as previously discussed, because of the relatively high organic content of prismatic structure, prisms should be more expensive to secrete than crossed lamellae. Although food availabilities alone elicited no significant change in shell microstructural composition, the response of the snails to the presence of crabs was not as pronounced in individuals maintained at a reduced food availability (Table III-5) as it was in tho raised with full food. The purpose of an increased secretion of a possibly more expensive microstructural layer by snails maintained in the presence of crabs is not clear.

The production of highly organized structures such as crossed lamellae may be limited by depositional rate (Vermeij & Currey, 1980). The results discussed above appear to support the concept of such a limitation. The variation in crossed lamellar layer thickness among food treatments could reflect differing growth rates. The lack of variation between crab exposure treatments at both 33% and 100% food could represent a maximal rate of crossed lamellar deposition at each food availability. Additional shell thickening in such cases was provided by an increased deposition of prismatic structure in snails raised in the presence of crabs. Further, shell

<u>Mechanical property</u>	λείπικου οι ριοντιγ	Simple Prisnis vs. <u>Crossed lamellae</u>	Source
Tensile strength	l'orce required lo fracture a n'are-fal undergoing elongation (Force/Arca)	Approximately equal	Currey & Taylor, 1974; Currey, 1976
Compressive strength	Force required to fracture a material undergoing compression (Force/Area)	Approximately equal	('urrey & Taylor, 1974
Bending strength	Force required to fracture a material loaded into 3 point bending (Force/Area)	Approximately equal	(urrey & Taylor, 1974
Crack propagation	Ability of a material to resist propagation of an existing crack	('rossed lamellae higher	Rhoads & Luiz, 1980
Elasticity	Ability (* a material to temporarily deferant when placed under a load (Streas/Strain)	Approximately equal	Currey & Taylor, 1974; Currey, 1976
Plasticity	Ability of a material to permenantly deform. but not fracture under a load (Streas/Strain)	Prisms higher	Wainwright et. al., 1976
Hardness	Ability of the surface of a material to resist deformation from a single force acting perpendicularly to the surface	('rossed lamellae higher	Currey, 1976
Abrasion resistance	Ability of a material to resist weight loss due to abrasive forces	Crossed lamellae higher	(Jahriel, 1981
Acid resistance	Ability of a material to resist weight loss due to treatment with IICI	Approximately equal	(Jahriel, 1981
Resistance to R.D.T.A.	Ability of a material to resist weight loss due to treatment with a chelating agent, $E.D.T.A.$	Prisms higher	(intriel, 1981
Protease resistance	Ability of a malerial to resist weight loss due to treatment with a non-specific protease	Prisms higher	(jabriel, 1981

A comparison of the mechanical proporties of simple prismatic versus crossed lamellar shell microstructures. Table III-8.

repair data (Palmer, 1983) from *Searlesia dira*, a temperate neogastropod composed entirely of crossed lamellae (Gratto, unpubl.), versus *Thais lamellosa* supports the concept of a limited rate of crossed lamellar deposition.

An inverse relationship between spiral shell growth and crossed lamellar layer thickness must be established to validate this hypothesis. In a simple overview, such a pattern between spiral shell growth and crossed lamellar layer thickness appears valid: the slower growing snails of the 33% food availability, correspondingly, secreted a thicker crossed lamellar layer. When examined more closely, however, this relationship becomes clouded. Spiral shell growth differed significantly between the crab exposure treatments of snails fed at both 33% and 100% availabilities, although crossed lamellar composition remained similar (ANOVA Table iII-5, Figure III-4). Snails of the 67% and 100% food availabilities differed significantly in crossed lamellar layer thickness, while spiral growth did not vary (ANOVA Table III-4, means Table III-2). The results observed in this paper, therefore, may not be explained simply as a result of a limited rate of crossed lamellar deposition.

The higher organic content of prisms lends an increased plasticity to this layer (Wainwright *et al.*, 1976). Although both prisms and crossed lamellae have similar bending strengths, part of the strength of the prismatic layer is derived from this higher plasticity because of an increased ability to absorb energy before fracture (Wainwright *et al.*, 1976). The addition of a relatively nonplastic layer (ie. crossed lamellar) to a plastic layer (ie. prisms) may result in a reduction of the plasticity of this latter layer, lowering its bending strength. If the relative proportion of this nonplastic layer is reduced, it follows that its influence on the outer or distal surface of the plastic layer is also reduced. In shells composed of two layers similar in properties to the shells of Thais lamellosa, a reduction of the proportion of the inner, relatively nonplastic layer has the effect of lowering the neutral axis (the plane of section at which strain is absent) of the cross-section of the shell, towards the inner shell surface (Byars & Snyder, 1975). Figure III-9 illustrates the approximation of the neutral axis of shell sections differing in relative thickness of the two microstructural layers, but with similar absolute thicknesses. Diagram B (Figure III-9) represents a shell from a snail raised in the presence of crabs relative to Diagram A. The neutral axes were determined by first approximating the initial bi-layer shell sections to uni-layer (prismatic) shell sections of similar mechanical properties. Because stress (force/unit area) remains constant on both the inner and outer surfaces of the shell, the proportion of material of the uni-layer sections must remain constant on either side of the neutral axis (Figure III-9, diagrams i, ii) (Byars & Snyder, 1975). The amount of strain (proportional to the amount of deformation of the structure) is directly proportional to the distance of the surface from the neutral axis. A lowering of the neutral axis, towards the inner surface of the shell, as indicated for snails with a reduced proportion of crossed lamellae (Figure III-9), or the outer, more plastic shell surface, would increase the strae inner, relatively non-plastic shell and decrease the strain pletely composed of either layer, the surface. If the shell was

Figure III-9.

Diagrammatic view of the calculation of the neutral axis (NA) and the approximation of force vectors with varied relative thicknesses of crossed lamellar structure. The total proportion of crossed lamellar structure to prismatic was increased in these diagrams over actual natural proportions. Diagram A represents a cross-section of a shell raised in the absence of crabs, and B represents a shell of a snail raised in the presence of crabs.

i. Diagrammatic view of the cross-sections of bi-layered shells A and B.

ii. Diagrammatic view of the method of estimating the new neutral axis and uni-layer sections, arbitrarily assuming the plasticity of prisms to be three times that of crossed lamellae (Byars & Snyder, 1975).

iii. Diagrammetic view of the relative forces acting on the inner and outer shell surfaces or both the "no-crab" (A) and the "crab" (B) shell simulations (Wainwright *et. al.*, 1976).





€o ∝ yo

neutral axis would remain in the centre of the cross-section, and the strain forces would be equal on both the outer and inner shell surfaces. The increased secretion of prismatic versus crossed lamellar structure, therefore, may be an attempt to reduce a detrimental influence of crossed lamellae on the bending strength of the outer surface of the shell.

The secretion, in the presence of crabs, of apertural teeth composed essentially of prisms would further emphasize this response. Apertural teeth secreted by adult *Thais lamellosa*, collected from sites both with and without crab influence, have been found to be generally composed entirely of crossed lamellae (Figure III-3). These latter apertural teeth are most likely representative of food limitations and growth stoppages, as the outer prismatic layer is not involved in their composition. On the other hand, the composition of both types of apertural teeth could merely reflect the state of their secretion with respect to the growth rate of the outer thell lip.

If, deed, this inner layer of aragonitic crossed lamellae has a detrimental effect on the behding strength of the outer surface of the shell, its function is placed in question. The presence of this layer may reflect tropical ancestry: the calcitic outer layer possibly having evolved in temperate regions in response to the higher solubility of aragonite at decreased water temperatures. The crossed lamellar layer may also reflect an adaptation against metabolites or other chemicals which may come in contact with the inner shell surface. Crossed lamellar structure, however was found to be no more resistant than prisms to acids, and less resistant to

both chelating agents and protease treatments (Table III-8) (Gabriel, 1981).

The crossed lamellar layer is more resistant to abrasive forces than prismatic structure (Gabriel, 1981). Because this layer is located on the inner surface of the shell, however, it would provide protection from external abrasive forces only if the prismatic layer was completely eroded. Across the body whorl the crossed lamellar layer is so thin that any protection from external abrasion here would be minimal, except, perhaps, as a last barrier to bacterial or viral attack. The crossed lamellar layer appears to be secondarily secreted, as the proportion of crossed lamellae to prismatic structure increases towards the apex of the shell (Figure III-2,8). In adult snails, the apical region of the shell is the region in which external abrasion would be most prominent. Furthermore, Geller (1982) attributed the secondary apical deposition of crossed lamellae in Tegula funebralis, an intertidal archaegastropod, to be a response to heavy shell apical erosion. In field conditions, however, shell erosion, while prevalent in Tegula funebralis, was not observed to be as marked in Thais lamellosa (pers. obs.).

The presence of sublayers of radial and concentric crossed lamellae serve to increase shell resistance to crack propagation (Currey & Kohn, 1976). Across the body whorl, the crossed lamellar layer is too thin to provide much protection against crushing forces, but the increased number of alternating sublayers of radial and concentric crossed lamellae towards the shell apex, would contribute greater strength to the upper whorls of the shell. It is doubtful that alternating the orientations of the sublayers of crossed lamellae would have much effect on its ability to resist abrasion.

All major superfamilies within the meso- and neogastropods have independently evolved the secretion of shells either wholly or partially composed of crossed lamellae (Currey & Taylor, 1974). Similarly, in temperate regions, most meso- and neogastropods are composed of inner crossed lamellar and outer prismatic layers, as found in Thais lamellosa, with the remaining entirely compose and crossed lamellae (pers. obs.). The presence of crossed lamellae un the inner surface of the shells of such species appears to transcend their varied habits and habitats. That such similar pressures from erosive and shell breaking forces could act to such a degree on all these species as to influence this apparently fundamental shell microstructural composition is questionable. Perhaps the inner layer, instead, serves to protect the she from the presence or movement of the animal itself. The pre ce of nodes or "pearls" of nacre have been observed inside the aperture of species such Tegula funebralis and Astraea gibbosum (archaegastropods witch secrete nacre as an inner shell layer) on several occasions (pers. obs.). The presence of such nodes suggests that debris may enter the shell aperture and become trapped between the shell and mantle, perhaps acting as an abrasive on the shell with snail movement. Because of the greater ability of crossed lamellar structure to resist abrasion, the presence of crossed lamellae on the inner surface of the shell may also reflect a protection of the delicate tissues of the animal itself from abrasion against an eroded surface. Either above theory of prevention of internal abrasion, however, does not explain the function of the secondary secretion of crossed lamellae on the internal surface of the shell. Such secondary secretion, however, does not occur consistently across species. Some snails, such as those of the genus *Conus*, have been found to dissolute shell material from their internal surfaces (Kohn *et al.*, 1979). Perhaps the secondary shell secretion in *Thais lamellosa* reflects a secondary function of resistance to either external abrasive forces or to shell breaking forces exerted on the upper whorls of the shell 147

Literature Cited

- Boggild, O.B. 1930. The shell structure of the mollusk K. Dansk. Vidensk.Selsk. Skr. Copenhagen 2: 232-325.
- Byars, E.F. and R.D. Snyder. 1975. <u>Engineering Mechanics of</u> <u>Deformable Bodius</u>. Intext Educational Publishers: New York. pp. 504.
- Chen, J.H. 1985. Ultrastructure of bivalve shells and its current study situation. Acta Palaeont. Sin. 24:463-476.
- Currey, J.D. 1976. Further studies on the mechanical properties of some mollusc shell material. J. Zool. Lond 180: 445-453.
- Currey, J.D. and A.J. Kohn. 1976. Fracture in the crossed lamellar structure of *Conus* shells. *J. Mat. Sci.* 11: 1615-1623.
- Currey, J.D. and J.D. Taylor. 1974. The mechanical behaviour of some rnolluscan hard tissues. J. Zool. Lond. 173: 395-406.
- Dodd, J.R. 1963. Paleoecological implications of shell mineralogy in two pelecypod species. J. Geol. 71: 1-11.
- Dodd, J.R. 1964. Environmentally controlled variation in the shell structure of a pelecypod species. *J. Paleontol.* 38: 1065-1071.
- Eisma, D. 1966. The influence of salinity on mollusk shell mineralogy: A discussion. J. Geol. 74: 89-94.
- Gabriel, J.M. 1981. Differing resistance of various mcllusc shell materials to simulated whelk attack. *J. Zool. Lond.* 194: 363-369.
- Geller, J.B. 1982. Microstructure of shell repair materials in Tegula funebralis (A. Adams, 1855) Veliger 25: 155-159.
- Kohn, A.J., E.R. Myers, and V.R. Meenakshi. 1979. Interior remodelling of the shell by a gastropod mollusc. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 76: 3406-3410.

Lindberg, D.R. 1986. Name changes in the "Acmaeidae". Veliger 29: 142-148.

- Lindberg, D.R. and C.S. Hickman. 1986. A new anomalous giant limpet from the Oregon Eccene (Mollusca: Patellida) *J. Paleont.* 60: 661-668.
- Lindberg, D.R. and M.G. Kellogg. 1982. A note on the structure and pigmentation of the shell of *Notoacmaea persona* (Rathke) (Docoglossa: Acmaeidae) *Veliger* 25: 173-174.
- Lowenstam, H.A. 1954a. Factors affecting the aragonite:calcite ratios in carbonate secreting marine organisms. *J. Geol.* 62: 284-322.
- Lowenstam, H.A. 1954b. Environmental relations of modification compositions of certain carbonate-secreting marine invertebrates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 40: 39-48.
- Lowenstam, H.A. 1964. Coexisting calcites and aragonites from skeletal carbonates of marine organisms and their strontium and magnesium contents. in: <u>Recent Researches in the Fields</u> of Hydrospere. Atmosphere. and Nuclear Geochemistry (Miyake, Y and T. Koyama, eds.), Maruzen: Tokyo.
- Lutz, R.A. and G.R. Clarke. 1984. Seasonal and geographic variation in the shell microstructure of a salt-marsh bivalve, *Geukensia demissa*. J. Mar. Res. 42: 943-956.
- Palmer, A.R. 1981. Do carbonate skeletons limit the rate of body growth ? Nature 292: 150-152.
- Palmer, A.R. 1983. Relative cost of producing skeletal organic matrix versus calcification: Evidence from marine gastropods. *Mar. Biol.* 75: 287-292.
- Prezant, R.S. and K. Chalermwat. 1983. Environmentally induced changes in shell microstructure of the Asiatic bivalve *Corbicula. Am. Zool.* 23: 914. (Abstr.).
- Rhoads, D.C. and R.A. Lutz. 1980. <u>Skeletal Growth of Aquatic</u> <u>Organisms</u>, <u>Biological Records of Environmental Change</u>. Plenurn Press: New York. pp. 750.

Taylor, J.D. 1973. The structural evolution of the bivalve shell. *Palaeontology* 16: 519-534.

Taylor, J.D. 1988. In press

- Taylor, J.D. and M. Layman. 1972. The mechanical properties of bivalve (Mollusca) shell structures. *Palaeontology* 15: 73-87.
- Vermeij, G.J. and J.D. Currey. 1980. Geographical variation in the strength of thaidid snail shells. *Biol. Bull.* 158: 383-389.
- Wainwright, S.A., W.D. Biggs, J.D. Currey, and J.M. Gosline. 1976. <u>Mechanical Design in Organisms</u>. Wiley: New York. pp. 423.
- Wellington, G.M. and A.M. Kuris. 1983. Growth and shell variation in the tropical eastern Pacific intertidal gastropod genus *Purpura*: Ecological and evolutionary implications. *Biol. Bull.* 164: 518-535.

Chapter IV. General Summary

Similar morphological responses were observed in snails raised under a variety of treatments (limited food availability, crab presence, and increased temperatures): decreased growth in shell length, decreased body growth, and decreased spiral growth. Snails raised in the presence of crabs and at increased water temperatures also increased in shell thickness. All are responses which are to be expected from snails growing in less than ideal conditions. In snails raised with the threat of possible crab predation, the benefits to increasing shell thickness are self-evident. The function of shell thickening in response to elevated water temperatures is less obvious. Possibly this thickening represents a fundamental predator avoidance response associated with slower growth.

Despite possible differential costs of shell layer secretion, little microstructural variation was observed between food availability treatments, although shell and body growth varied significantly. Water temperature differences, also, did not induce a difference in the proportional deposition of the two microstructural layers, although the design and conduction of the ecirculating systems of this experiment did appear to cause a change in shell microstructural proportions. Shell and body growth varied significantly among water temperature treatments. The only clearly defined microstructural response observed in these experiments was in response to the script of crabs consuming conspecific snails.

Many of the shell morphological responses associated with predators have been attributed to decreased food consumption. While morphological variations were similar between reduced food availability and crab-presence treatments, shell microstructural composition differed. Snails raised at the three food availabilities varied with respect to individual microstructural layer secretion, but produced both layers in similar proportions. At each of the two food availabilities studied in the crab-exposure experiment, snails raised in the presence of crabs did not vary in crossed lamellar layer secretion, but increased in both absolute and relative thickness of the layer as opposed to snails raised in the absence of crabs.

Apertural teeth of juveniles raised in the presence of crabs were of a different microstructural composition than those of field collected adults from sites without the influence of large crabs. Snails raised in the presence of crabs secreted apertural teeth essentially composed of prisms, while teeth produced by fieldcollected adults were entirely of crossed lamellae. The secretion of apertural teeth found in these latter cases is presumed to be the result of food limitations and/or growth stoppages. None of the food limited, laboratory-raised juveniles of these experiments initiated the development of apertural teeth.

The apparent preferential secretion of this relatively energetically expensive prismatic microstructure may reduce possible detrimental effects of the inner layer on the bending strength of the outer surface of the shell. The secretion of apertural teeth essentially composed of prisms would further reduce any effect of the inner layer on the bending stream of the shell. Possible functions of this inner crossed lamellar layer include i. increased resistance to crack propagation, ii. increased resistance to abrasion from external sources, and iii. increased resistance to abrasion from internal sources. Because of the prominent evolutionary trends towards increased secretion of crossed lamellae within the class Gastropoda, the latter explanation could be the most valid.

Whatever the function of the inner crossed lamellar layer, it is important to note that, with the exception of the crab-influenced snails, the proportional deposition of both layers remained constant throughout the food availability treatments, and within snails from ail sites studied. Snails from the temperature experiment secreted a relatively higher proportion of crossed lamellar to prismatic structure, al ough the cause for such a change could not be determined. It is probable that something was limited, something necessary for the secretion of the more organically-rich prismatic layer, in the recirculating system of this experiment. Surprisingly, however, the proportion of crossed lamellar to prismatic structure remained constant across all temperature treatments, although the relative health of animals appeared to vary among treatments. In both of these experiments, shell morphology changed markedly. The proportional secretion of both microstructural layers appears to be actively maintained, regardless of food availability, water temperature, snail morphology, and, to at least some extent, animal health.

All snails from all sites, regardless of relative wave exposures and predation pressures, demonstrated similar responses, both in 1.53

shell morphology and shell micros ucture, to the stimuli presented here. The degree of response to any of the stimuli did not vary between sites, although Argyle Creek snails were significantly less likely to develop apertural teeth than those snails from the remaining four sites. All snails, however, did maintain the basic shell morphologies of their parent populations throughout all treatments. Features of shell morphology, such as shell thickness and apertural teeth appear to be environmentally influenced, while features such as external shell sculpture and colour, as well as the degree of the morphological response, appear less subject to environmental modification. 15

Appendix 1 Shell microstructural types

Prismatic structure.

The first order prisms are oriented parallel with respect to each and are with discrete boundaries.

a. Simple prisms. The second order prisms comprising the first order prisms are oriented in neither a spherulitic nor a composite manner. The boundaries of adjacent first order prisms are well defined.

i. Regular. Each first order prism is essentially equidimensional (Figure 1-1A).

ii. Irregular. A cross section of the first order prisms reveals them to be of variable dimensions with irregular boundaries (Figure 1-1B).

b. Spherulitic prisms. The second order prisms adjate outward in all directions, toward the depositional surface, from a common mid point.

c. Composite prisms. The second order prisms radiate outward in all directions, toward the depositional surface, from a common longitudinal axis of the first order prism.

Spherulitic structure.

The elongate second order prisms radiate three-dimensionally from a common point, forming spherical to subspherical aggregates (Figure 1-1C).

Laminar structures.

The first order prisms are flattened and sheet-like. They are generally oriented parallel to, or approximately parallel to, the depositional surface of the shell.

a. Nacre. This microstructure is wholly aragonitic. The first order units are polygonal to rounded tablets arranged in large parallel sheets (Figure 1-1D).

b. Foliated. This microstructure is generally calcitic. The first order structural units are flat or lath-like. They are arranged in parallel to adjacent sheets (Figure 1-1E).

c. Crossed lamellar. The substructural units are rods or blades, arranged in parallel fashion into aggregates, or primary lamellae. The orientation of these subunits is essentially constant within a sing- lamel, but adjacent lamellae generally vary in Figure A1-1.

A diagrammatic view of some of the more common shell microstructural types (taken from Carter & Clark, 1980).



orientation by 70 to 90. There are only two directions of lamellar orientation in this microstructure (Figure 1-1F).

d. Complex crossed lamellar. This microstructure is similar to crossed lamellae, but there may be three or more directions of orientation of primary lamellae.

Homogeneous structures.

This microstructure consists of aggregations of irregula crystals with no clear first order structural arrangement.

Appendix 2 Method of Degree of Wave Exposure Calculation

Relative degrees of wave exposure was estimated for each site by calculating the vertical distance (X) from the top of the barnacle zone (b) to the base of the vascular plants (v). This height was determined by measuring two linear distances: one (A) along true substratum from the top of the barnacle zone to the base of the vascular plants, and the second (B), similar to the first, but with the barnacle zone endpoint raised a set distance above the substratum.

A hypothetical line (D) was constructed perpendicular to the vertical line X, connecting with point v, the base of the vascular plants. X, itself, only extended from point b, the top of the barnacle zone, to line D. Line X was divided into two sections: C, the known distance along X, between lines A and B, and C', the remaining distance along X (ie. C' = X - C). These lines and their subsequent triangles, as well as the calculations for the distance X, are depicted in Figure 2-1.

Two right angle triangles were thus constructed: A, X (= C + C'), D and B, C', D. Following Pythagorean's theorum, the sum of the squared lengths of the two sides adjacent to the right angle is equal to the square of the length of the hypotenuse. Equations were derived from this theorum for the determination of side lengths for both of the above triangles. As both triangles have a single side in common, D, both equations were solved for this length, and the values substituted. The resulting single equation was then solved for C', which was subsequently used to determine the vertical

Figure A2-1.

A diagrammatic view of the wave exposure index measurements taken in the intertidal zone at all five collection sites, and the subsequent calculations of this index. v = base of the vascular plants, b = top of the barnacle zone, A, B, and C = lines measured in the intertidal zone, X = vertical height to be calculated.



Calculations,

$$A^{2} = (C + C')^{2} + D^{2} \qquad B^{2} = (C')^{2} + D^{2}$$
$$D^{2} = A^{2} - (C + C')^{2} \qquad D^{2} = B^{2} - (C')^{2}$$
$$A^{2} - [C^{2} + 2CC' + (C')^{2}] = B^{2} - (C')^{2}$$
$$A^{2} - C^{2} - 2CC' = B^{2}$$
$$C' = \frac{A^{2} - C^{2} - B^{2}}{2C}$$
$$B = C + C'$$

distance X, or the height in the intertidal from the top of the barnacle zone to the base of the vascular plants.

Three sets of measurements and chiculations were conducted for each site, and the mean vertical distance, X, was used as the wave exposure index.
Appendix 3

Method of Non-destructive Calculation of Dry Shell and Dry Body Weights

The calculation of dry shell and dry body weight from the measured values of weight of the snails in air and weight immersed in sea water is as follows. A set of conversion factors were established using approximately twenty five field collected individuals of a thin-shelled, highly-fluted population of Thais lamellosa from Dixon Island (Barkley Sound, British Columbia, Canada), and approximately twenty five individuals from a thick, smooth-shelled population from Grappler Inlet (Barkley Sound, British Columbia, Canada). All snails were randomly ccllected, but generally were of the subadult size range (less than 3 cm). Both populations were combined for the calculation of the conversion factors used in this paper, as it the separately calculated conversion factors did not vary greatly between the two populations, and ii. I did not want to bias my end results and calculations by arbitrarily using the conversion factor of either a thick or thinshelled population, or by using different conversion factors for different sites and/or treatments.

The remaining measurements and calculations were conducted as in Palmer (1982). Both air weights and immersed weights were measured on each individual from the two populations. The chells were then broken with a hammer, and the shell fragments removed from the soft body tissues. The shell and body pieces of each individual were placed into separate tared weigh boats and dried at

Figure A3-1

Conversion of immersed snail weight and whight of the snail in air to dry shell and dry body weight. All the data points represented here are from two combined populations (one thick and one thin-shelled) of subadult field collected *Thais lamellosa*.

A. Regression for the calculation of dry shell weight from immersed snail weight.

B. Equivien for the calculation of estimated body weight.

C. Regression for the calculation of dry body weight from estimated body weight.



B Estimated body weight = Weight of the snail in air - Dry shell weight.



.

40°C for a period of forty eight hours. Air weights of both the dry shell and dry body fragments were measured.

Dry shell weight plotted against immersed shail weight yielded a conversion factor of dry shell weight = 1.562 immersed shail weight) - 0.031 (Figure 3-1A). Estimated dry body weight was calculated by subtracting dry shell weight from the weight of the shail in air (Figure 3-1B). The values of dry body weight were then plotted against estimated body weight to yield a relationship of dry body weight = 0.212•(estimated body weight) - 0.012 (Figure 3-1C).

From the use of the three equations above, dry body and dry shell weight may be estimated non-destructively from weight of the snails in air and immersed in sea water. These calculations are assumed to be reliable because of the relatively high r^2 , alues (0.992 and 0.893, respectively) (Figure 3-1) for both regressions used, in spite of the combination of both thick and thin-shelled populations. 160

167

APPENDIX 4

Additional scattergra 5 from Chapter II.

Figure A4-1.

Scatterg $\frac{1}{100}$ $\frac{1}{10$

□ = fed 67%, ▽ = fed 100%.



Figure A4-2

Scattergrame of final versus initial dry shell weight (g) for juvenile Theis *lamellasa* from five sites, reised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of c^{-1} bs, and at three food availabilities $\bullet = red 33\%$, $\Box = fed 67\%$, $\nabla = fed 100\%$



i71

Figure A4-3

Scattergrams of final versus initial dry body weight (g) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised in the laboratoryat ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. • = fed 33%, $\Box = fed 67\%$, $\nabla = fed 100\%$.



Figure A4-4

Scatter frams of spiral growth (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$,

 $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\forall = \text{fed } 100\%$



F1, .re A4-5.

Scattergrams of shell thickness (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\bigtriangledown = \text{fed } 100\%$.



Figure A4-6.

Scattergrams of shell length change/spiral growth versus spiral growth (mm) (= translation rate) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\nabla = \text{fed } 100\%$.



Figure 4-7.

Scattergrams of final versus initial shell lengths (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellasa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A4-8.

Scattergrams of final versus initial dry shell weights (g) for juvenile *Theis lamellasa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs,

• = fed 33%, crabs, \Box = fed 100%, no crabs, \blacksquare = fed 100%, crabs.



1.3

Figure A4-9.

Scattergrams of final versus initial dry body weights (g) for juvenile *Theis lamellosa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A4-10.

Scattergrams of spiral shell growth (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellose* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A4-11

Scattergrams of final shell thickness (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities.

 $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs,

■ = fed 100%, crabs.



Figure A4-12.

Scattergrams of shell length change/spiral growth versus spiral growth (mm) (= translation rate) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A4-13

Α.

Scattergrams of final versus initial shell lengths (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellasa* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \Box = 15°C, ∇ = 18°C.

Β.

Scattergrams of final versus initial dry shell weights (g) for juvenile *Theis lamellose* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \Box = 15°C, ∇ = 18°C.

С.

Scattergrams of final versus initial dry body weights (g) for juvenile *Theis lamellase* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \Box = 15°C, \bigtriangledown = 18°C.



Figure A4-14.

Α.

Scattergrams of spiral shell growth (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures.

• = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \Box = 15°C, ∇ = 18°C.

Β.

Scattergrams of final shell thickness (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from two sites, raised in the laboratory on the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures.

• = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = 15°C$, $\nabla = 18°C$.

С.

Scattergrams of shell length change/spiral growth versus spiral growth (mm) (= translation rate) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \Rightarrow = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \square = 15°C, \bigtriangledown = 18°C.



Figure A4-15.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log final shell length (cm) versus initial shell length (cm) at all food availabilities and crab exposures. ■ = Argyle Creek, ◇ = Aguilar Point, □ = Cattle Point, ○ = Mar Vista, ◆ = Sanford Island.



Figure A4-16.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log final shell thickness (mm) versus initial shell length (cm) at all food availabilities and crab exposures. \blacksquare = Argyle Creek, \diamond = Aguilar Point, \square = Cattle Point \bigcirc = Mar Vista, \bullet = Sanford Island


Figure A4-17.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to leg translation rate (spiral growth/length change) versus spiral shell growth (cm) at all food availabilities and crab exposures. \blacksquare = A; gyle Creek, \diamondsuit = Aguilar Point, \square = Cattle Point, \bigcirc = Mar Vista, \blacklozenge = Sanford island.



Figure A4-18

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log final shell length (cm) versus log initial shell length (cm) at all water temperatures.

■ = Argyle Creek, 🗆 = Mar Vista



Figure A4-19.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log final shell thickness (mm) versus log initial shell length (cm) at all water temperatures.

■ = Argyle Creek, 🗆 = Mar Vista



Figure A4-20.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log translation rate (spiral growth/length change) versus spiral shell growth (cm) at all water temperatures. \blacksquare = Argyle Creek, \square = Mar Vista



208

APPENDIX 5

_ _

Additional scattergrams from Chapter III.

Figure A5-1.

Scattergrams of the average thickness of the crossed lamellar layer (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellase* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\bigtriangledown = \text{fed } 100\%$.



Figure A5-2.

Scattergrams of average thickness of the simple prismatic layer (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellasa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\bigtriangledown = \text{fed } 100\%$.



Figure A5-3.

Scattergrams of the average thickness of the crossed lamellar layer over total shell thickness versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the absence of crabs, and at three food availabilities. $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, $\Box = \text{fed } 67\%$, $\nabla = \text{fed } 100\%$.



Figure A5-4.

Scattergrams of average thickness of crossed lamellar structure (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellose* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\&$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A5-5.

Scattergrams of average thickness of simple prismatic structure (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellose* from five sites, raised at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs,

 $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A5-6.

Scattergrams of the average thickness of crossed lamellar structure over total thickness versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from five sites, raised in the laboratory at ambient water temperature, in the presence and absence of crabs, and at two food availabilities. $\diamond = \text{fed } 33\%$, no crabs, $\bullet = \text{fed } 33\%$, crabs, $\Box = \text{fed } 100\%$, no crabs, $\blacksquare = \text{fed } 100\%$, crabs.



Figure A5-7.

Α.

Scattergrams of average crossed lamellar thickness (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellosa* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures.

• = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = 15^{\circ}$ C, $\nabla = 18^{\circ}$ C

Β.

Scattergrams of average simple prismatic layer thickness (mm) versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Theis lamellase* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food and at three water temperatures. • = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), $\Box = -3°C$, $\nabla = 18°C$.

С.

Scattergrams of average crossed lamellar thickness over total thickness versus final shell length (cm) for juvenile *Thais lamellass* from two sites, raised in the laboratory in the absence of crabs, with 100% food, and at three water temperatures. \bullet = ambient water temperature (9-11°C), \Box = 15°C, ∇ = 18°C.



Figure A5-8.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with regard to log crossed lamellar thickness/total shell thickness versus final shell length (cm) at all food availabilities and crab exposures. ■ = Argyle Creek, ◇ = Aguilar Point, □ = Cattle Point, ○ = Mar Vista, ◆ = Sanford Island.



Figure A5-9.

Scattergrams comparing variation among study sites with respect to log crossed lamellar thickness/total shell thickness versus final shell length (cm) at all water temperatures. = Argyle Creek, = Mar Vista

