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#### Abstract

The design and development of new chemical transformations is an important area of organic chemistry. Many synthetic chemists are compelled to explore novel methodologies to improve upon or successfully complete an elegant total synthesis. As an example, the Nazarov reaction has been used extensively in strategies towards the synthesis of numerous natural products. The reaction provides a direct route to functionalized five-membered carbocycles through the Lewis or protic acid-mediated $4 \pi$ electrocyclization of cross-conjugated dienones. The Nazarov reaction has garnered a great deal of attention in recent years with the advent of very useful asymmetric and catalytic variants, as well as "interrupted" Nazarov reactions.


Recent advances in Nazarov cyclization chemistry will be reviewed (chapter one) prior to the introduction of gem-dihalocyclopropanes as innovative substrates for the Nazarov reaction. In chapter two, preparation of the requisite 1,1-dihalo-2-(silyloxy)-2vinylcyclopropanes will be outlined and their reactivity towards treatment with silver tetrafluoroborate will be described. It was found that these conditions induced sequential disrotatory ring opening and $4 \pi$ electrocyclization (Nazarov cyclization) to furnish $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenone products. This strategy was also used in our preliminary investigation into a general approach towards imino Nazarov reactions.

During our examination of the scope of this reaction, a surprising "interrupted" Nazarov variant was observed. A gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrate bearing a tethered phenyl ring was able to participate in an intramolecular trapping process wherein the unsubstituted phenyl moiety captured the intermediate cyclic oxyallyl cation, affording a
benzohydrindenone product. Further investigation revealed that electron-poor, neutral, and electron-rich aromatic rings were compatible with this reaction sequence, providing a number of benzohydrindenone products in moderate to good yields. In chapter three, the results of this investigation are described and a new mode of arene trapping is also presented.

In chapter four, the development of a two-step ring expansion sequence for the synthesis of functionalized heterocycles is reported. Ring expansion was found to proceed as a result of tert-butyl propiolate addition into simple and readily available lactones or lactams, providing conjugated ynones that could undergo cyclization in the presence of pyridinium acetate. This methodology provides a straightforward route to the construction of six- and seven-membered oxygen- and nitrogen-containing heterocycles.
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## Chapter 1

## The Nazarov Cyclization

### 1.1 The Traditional Nazarov Reaction

The Nazarov cyclization is an electrocyclization process that typically transforms a cross-conjugated dienone, 1 , into a cyclopentenone product, $\mathbf{2}$, by means of conrotatory ring closure (Figure 1.1). Since its initial discovery in 1941, ${ }^{1}$ the Nazarov reaction has been keenly investigated and important advances have been made to expand both the scope and utility of this process. This electrocyclization has found general use in the synthesis of functionalized 5-membered carbocycles, a structural motif that is prevalent


Figure 1.1. The Nazarov cyclization.
in numerous natural product skeletons. This chapter will focus on introducing the traditional Nazarov cyclization as well as related developments in the areas of asymmetric induction and catalysis. The "interrupted" Nazarov reaction will also be addressed, and the utility of these processes examined in the context of total synthesis.

### 1.1.1 Introduction

The traditional Nazarov reaction ${ }^{2}$ involves the $4 \pi$-electron cyclization of a pentadienyl cation generated from a divinyl ketone, 1. The mechanism of this reaction involves initial activation of the ketone carbonyl by at least one equivalent of strong protic or Lewis acid (Scheme 1.1). This activation generates an intermediate pentadienyl cation, I, that can undergo cyclization to produce a cyclic oxyallyl cationic species, II. Elimination and subsequent tautomerization of the resultant enol ether then leads to the cyclopentenone product, 2.



Scheme 1.1. The mechanism of the Nazarov cyclization.

Efficient cyclization of divinyl ketones occurs when the substrates are predisposed to occupy the $s$-trans $/ s$-trans arrangement (Figure 1.2). Substitution at the $\alpha$-position of the divinyl ketones helps to populate the $s$-trans $/ s$-trans configuration, since
$\alpha$-substitution presents unfavorable steric interactions in the $s$-cis/s-cis arrangement. It has been demonstrated that $\alpha$-substitution improves the efficiency and yields of traditional Nazarov cyclizations. ${ }^{3}$

s-trans/s-trans

s-cis/s-trans

s-cis/s-cis

Figure 1.2. Possible conformations of divinyl ketones.

The electrocyclization process itself abides by rules defined by Woodward and Hoffmann ${ }^{4}$ with respect to the conservation of orbital symmetry in pericyclic reactions. As a result, ring closure of the cationic pentadienyl species proceeds in a conrotatory fashion (Figure 1.3). The conrotation can occur in a "clockwise" or "counterclockwise" manner to generate a mixture of two oxyallyl cationic species. Should the cyclization preferentially occur to generate one of the oxyallyl intermediates, the reaction is said to



Figure 1.3. Conrotatory ring closure of the pentadienyl cation.
have occurred in a torquoselective manner. Torquoselectivity ${ }^{5}$ describes the preference for electrocyclization to proceed in one direction over another and is a difficult process to control in the Nazarov reaction. Torquoselective cyclization has been the main focus of many groups who work in the area of asymmetric Nazarov methodologies (see Section
1.1.2). Typically, the Nazarov cyclization is terminated by an eliminative pathway that results in the destruction of potential stereogenic centers established during ring closure. In some instances, the eliminative pathway can be avoided and the stereochemistry retained when competing rearrangement or trapping pathways are available (see Section 1.2).

Although it can be difficult to control the regioselectivity of the terminal elimination process, typically elimination proceeds to generate the product with the more highly substituted, electron rich double bond, which corresponds to the more thermodynamically stable product; however, this Zaitsev selectivity may not always provide the desired products. In such cases, regioselectivity can be controlled if the substrate is predisposed to selectively stabilize one side of the 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cation, II (Scheme 1.1). Denmark's pioneering work on the silicon-directed Nazarov cyclization ${ }^{6}$ illustrated that careful placement of an electrofugal heteroatom (i.e. silicon, tin) could provide regioselective elimination to prepare the desired, often less thermodynamically stable cyclopentenone products (Scheme 1.2). The trimethylsilyl


Scheme 1.2. A silicon-directed Nazarov cyclization.
substituent on divinyl ketone 3 aids in stabilization of the cyclic oxyallyl cation through overlap of $d$-orbitals on silicon with the vacant $p$-orbital that is closest to the silicon atom (at the $\beta$-position to Si ). This stabilization predisposes the cyclized intermediate to chloride-assisted desilylation, generating the observed elimination product 4 as the sole product in 95\% yield (cis:trans, 59:41).

Another example of regioselectivity in the eliminative step of the Nazarov cyclization can be attributed to the strategic placement of fluorine or trifluoromethyl groups on the divinyl ketone substrate. Ichikawa ${ }^{7}$ presented examples of fluorine stabilization due to donation from a filled $p$-orbital on fluorine to an empty $p$-orbital on the adjacent carbon of the cyclic oxyallyl intermediate III (Scheme 1.3). The resulting polarization of the allyl group, with greater charge density on the fluorine-substituted carbon, led to preferential deprotonation to provide the fluorine-substituted double bond in cyclopentenone product 6. A related example illustrates the complementary use of a trifluoromethyl group on divinyl ketone 7 as a destabilizing functionality on the cyclic oxyallyl IV. The electron-withdrawing nature of the trifluoromethyl group led to elimination on the opposing side of the fluorinated substituent.


Scheme 1.3. Fluorine-directed elimination in the Nazarov cyclization.

A great deal of work has been done to improve various aspects of the Nazarov reaction. Insights into the mechanism as well as the effect of different electronwithdrawing and releasing groups on the cyclization process have led to advancements in regiocontrol of the final elimination step. These same insights have been examined in order to influence torquoselectivity, leading to asymmetric Nazarov variants.

### 1.1.2 Asymmetric Nazarov Reactions

Although remote stereogenic centers and restrictive carbon frameworks have been shown to influence the torquoselectivity of the cyclization process, ${ }^{8}$ the first successful asymmetric Nazarov cyclization of dienone substrates was not realized until 1999 by Pridgen and co-workers. ${ }^{9}$ In this work, the most efficient examples employed an Evans' oxazolidinone chiral auxiliary in conjunction with either protic or Lewis acid to impart stereoselective cyclization of the pentadienyl cation generated from 9 (Scheme 1.4). The chiral indanone products 10 and 11 were obtained as a result of 1,5-asymmetric induction





| Starting <br> Material | Acid | Yield (\%) | Isomer ratio <br> $(10: 11:$ other) $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $9 \mathbf{9 a}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ | 85 | $88: 12: 0$ |
| 9 Ca | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | 88 | $85: 15: 0$ |
| 9 a | $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ | 60 | $70: 30: 0$ |
| 9 b | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ | 74 | $70: 16: 14$ |
| 9 c | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ | 90 | $92: 4: 4$ |

Scheme 1.4. Pridgen's asymmetric Nazarov cyclization utilizing chiral auxiliaries.
across the intermediate pentadienyl cation. Initially, the authors believed that bidentate metal-carbonyl complexation was responsible for the observed stereoselectivity; however, the observation that protic acids as well as chiral auxiliaries without carbonyl functionality (9c) could generate similar results led the authors to a different explanation for the apparent stereoselectivity. The revised proposal invoked formation of a specific helical conformation (Figure 1.4) in the pentadienyl cationic intermediate. This highly ordered structure would be conferred to the substrates due to steric demands imparted by the chiral auxiliary, leading to selective formation of one stereoisomer over the other. Computational methods were used to analyze potential conformations of 9 in order to provide support for the proposed stereochemical induction. Flynn and co-workers have also investigated the use of oxazolidinones as chiral auxiliaries in the Nazarov cyclization with similar results. ${ }^{10}$


Figure 1.4. Helical conformation of 9 leading to stereoselectivity.

Another example of asymmetric induction utilizing chiral auxiliaries is the enantioselective cyclopentannelation reaction of $\alpha$-allenyl ketones presented by Tius in 2000 (Scheme 1.5). ${ }^{11}$ In Tius' initial publication, a readily available $\alpha$-D-glucose-derived auxiliary was appended to the allenyl lithium reagent, 12, used to synthesize $\alpha$-allenyl ketones. When exposed to acidic work-up conditions ( $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) the allenyllithium addition product underwent immediate cyclopentannelation to provide $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $67 \%$ yield and $67 \%$ ee. Advantageous loss of the glucose moiety during the
cyclization reaction is illustrative of a traceless auxiliary: additional chemical transformations are not needed to remove the chiral component at a later stage in any synthetic strategy. An additional benefit of using this strategy is that both enantiomers of 13 can be easily accessed through use of either the $\alpha$ - or $\beta$-glucose derivative as the chiral


Scheme 1.5. D-glucose-derived chiral auxiliary for cyclopentannelation.
auxiliary. In the latter case, ent-13 was obtained in $71 \%$ and $82 \%$ ee when the reaction mixture was treated to an acidic work-up of HCl and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since this initial work, Tius and co-workers have developed more effective chiral auxiliaries ${ }^{12}$ that both circumvent some of the problems arising from scale-up of the previously outlined reactions and provide better enantioselectivities. Development of the improved chiral auxiliaries arose from a comprehensive examination of substitution on the original D-glucose-derived allenyllithium reagents, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{c}}$ which provided a greater understanding of how these auxiliaries were affecting the torquoselectivity of the cyclization process. The proposed transition state (V, Scheme 1.6 ) involves electron donation to the pentadienyl cation from the oxygen in the pyranose ring, which induces a conformational change in the auxiliary. The new conformation places the C-4 OTBS
substituent in an axial position, close enough to block the back face of the pentadienyl cation. The steric interaction between the bulky OTBS group at C-4 and the substrate forces counterclockwise rotation in the cyclization step resulting in $\boldsymbol{R}$-14 in 84\% yield in a 93:7 enantiomeric ratio.


Scheme 1.6. The effect of D-glucose-derived chiral auxiliaries on cyclopentannelation.

The most notable problem associated with the use of chiral auxiliaries for asymmetric induction is that the auxiliary needs to be removed in subsequent steps. Although Tius' traceless auxiliaries are an attractive solution to this problem, the methodology has only been proven in the realm of cyclopentannelation reactions of allenyl vinyl ketones and not with traditional Nazarov substrates. In an effort to find alternative solutions to the problem of asymmetric Nazarov cyclizations, many research groups have turned to the use of chiral Lewis acids. The first successful methodology was reported in 2003 when Aggarwal and Belfield disclosed an asymmetric Nazarov reaction promoted by 1 equivalent of a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-pybox or $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-box complex (Scheme 1.7). ${ }^{13}$ Efforts to reduce the catalyst loading resulted in lower yields despite attempts to improve the catalyst turnover using molecular sieves and other additives. This
methodology relies on the presence of an $\alpha$-ester or $\alpha$-amide functionality that can participate in bidentate co-ordination to the Lewis acid. Although the Lewis acid complex with ligand 17 provided the best results for cyclization of substrates 15 - with




Scheme 1.7. Aggarwal and Belfield's asymmetric Nazarov reaction.
$\alpha$-ester substitution - it was not reactive towards the analogous amide substrates. Further optimization was therefore required in order to determine the most suitable $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ complex for different substrates. Also, it was observed that bulky substituents at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ were necessary for good catalyst turnover and high enantioselectivities. This is undoubtedly due to an increase in steric repulsion between the substrate and the isopropyl groups on the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$-complex when $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ are of significant size (Scheme 1.7).

The first example of enantioselective Nazarov reactions involving catalytic loading of the Lewis acid complex was reported by Trauner in 2004. ${ }^{14}$ The methodology utilized a chiral Sc(III)-pybox complex (10-20 mol \%) to transform 2-alkoxy-1,4-pentadien-3-ones 18 into cyclopentenones 19 in good yields and with high
enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.8). Strategic placement of oxygen at the $\alpha$-position on the divinyl ketone substrates not only increased reactivity towards cyclization, but also


Scheme 1.8. Enantioselective Nazarov reactions due to asymmetric proton transfer.
helped to stabilize the cyclic oxyallyl intermediate thereby promoting regioselective deprotonation to install the ring-fusing alkene. Presumably, the transition state for these reactions occurs through bidentate coordination of the Lewis acid to both carbonyl and heterocyclic oxygen atoms in the substrate. The tight transition state (Figure 1.5) is also believed to influence facial selectivity in the terminal protonation step of the Nazarov reaction, resulting in particularly high enantioselectivities when $R$ is a bulky substitutent.


Figure 1.5. Chiral transition state leading to selective protonation of the dienolate.

### 1.1.3 Catalytic Nazarov Reactions

Typically, the Nazarov cyclization is initiated using at least one equivalent of strong protic or Lewis acid. Although there had been early indication that the reaction could be performed with low catalyst loading ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}, 15}$ the first examples of general and mild Lewis acid catalysis did not appear in the literature until 2003. Frontier and co-workers ${ }^{16}$ accomplished successful catalysis using $2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ on substrates that were polarized towards cyclization of a "nucleophilic" double bond onto an "electrophilic" double bond (Figure 1.6). This polarization facilitated cyclization more readily than with


Figure 1.6. Polarization of divinyl ketones.
simple, alkyl-substituted divinyl ketones, which undoubtedly contributed to the success of the catalytic reaction. It was found that treatment of the polarized substrates with catalytic $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ led to generation of the cyclopentenone products in good yields and in relatively short reaction times (Scheme 1.9). The products were generally obtained as


Scheme 1.9. Examples of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$-catalyzed Nazarov cyclizations.
single regioisomers, with elimination having occurred on the opposite side to the ester functionality. This result is in accordance with the earlier discussion wherein electronwithdrawing substituents on the 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cation destabilize the positive charge, resulting in regioselective elimination on the opposing side of the oxyallyl cation. The trans-relationship between $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-substituents of the vinyl "electrophile" was assigned based on the assumption that the thermodynamic product would be obtained under these reaction conditions. More recently, the same research group has uncovered that a $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3} / \mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ system can be used to perform analogous catalytic Nazarov cyclizations on relatively unreactive heteroaromatic vinyl ketones (Scheme 1.10). ${ }^{17}$ These reactions might also be thought of as intramolecular vinylogous Friedel-Crafts acylations. Interestingly, the authors discovered that these reactions proceeded smoothly


Scheme 1.10. $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-Catalyzed Nazarov cyclizations of heteroaromatic vinyl ketones.
to furnish the desired compounds (such as 21), in moderate to excellent yields without protection of the pyrrole or indole functional groups; however, when a bulky protecting group was placed on pyrrole substrate 22, a Friedel-Crafts reaction occurred to provide 23 in $50 \%$ yield and none of the desired Nazarov product was observed. The formation
of 23 was attributed to unfavourable steric interactions that would predominate when the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons, $\mathrm{C}-1$ and C-2, approach to participate in a typical Nazarov cyclization.

During an investigation into asymmetric variants of the Nazarov reaction, Tius and co-workers ${ }^{18}$ discovered a Pd(II)-catalyzed Nazarov-type cyclization. Although the mechanism of these reactions is not believed to proceed through an obvious pentadienyl cation, it is worth discussing this methodology in the context of the development of catalytic Nazarov variants. Tius and co-workers observed that Pd(II) could be used to catalyze the cyclization of dienone 24 to generate cyclopentenone 25 or dienone 26 selectively, depending on the catalyst used (Scheme 1.11). A number of examples were provided, which illustrated the broad scope of the reaction, and the yields were moderate to excellent when $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}(1-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was used to generate the cyclopentenone products. However, the yields were lower when the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$-catalyzed transformations were examined and higher catalyst loadings ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were necessary. It was also


Scheme 1.11. Mechanistic proposal for generation of 25 and 26.
determined that only divinyl ketones with $\alpha$-oxygenation were suitable substrates for this methodology. On the basis of their observations, the mechanism was postulated to involve initial activation of the electron-poor olefin by the catalyst as opposed to the traditional carbonyl-activation seen in Nazarov cyclizations. Cyclization therefore occurs as a result of electron-rich olefin attack onto the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-olefin complex, and thereafter the mechanism is highly dependant on the choice of catalyst. Due to the propensity for palladium hydride species to undergo reductive elimination to irreversibly generate $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$, the reactions utilizing $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ were performed in the presence of an oxidant to regenerate the active catalyst.

In recent years, a number of Lewis acid catalysts have been developed to induce Nazarov cyclization of various dienone substrates. ${ }^{14 a, 19}$ One of the most interesting of these examples is the dicationic $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ complex $[\operatorname{IrMe}(\mathrm{CO})($ dppe $)(\mathrm{DIB})]\left(\mathrm{BAR}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)_{2}$ (27) developed by Eisenberg, Frontier, and co-workers (Scheme 1.12). ${ }^{20}$ The iridium complex has been found to efficiently catalyze Nazarov cyclization of many aryl vinyl and divinyl ketones, generating the corresponding cyclopentenone products in high yields and


Scheme 1.12. Coordination of dicationic Ir(III) complex to dienone substrates.
short reaction times. Using ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy, it has been determined that the substrate is activated through bidentate coordination to the catalyst, VI or VII, after preliminary dissociation of the o-diiodobenzene (DIB) ligand. This complexation holds the substrate in the $s$-trans $/ s$-trans conformation that is necessary for Nazarov cyclization to occur. The mechanism for this process involves dissociation of the DIB ligand, revealing two free coordination sites on the $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ center. In the absence of $\alpha$-carbonyl substitution - or some alternate coordinating heteroatom - on the divinyl ketone, $\operatorname{Ir}$ (III) will coordinate with the carbonyl and one of the conjugated olefins, VIII, thereby impeding the cyclization process. This is the major limiting factor associated with the use of this highly reactive catalyst, because not all substrates can meet the requirements for catalysis.

Very recently a catalytic asymmetric Nazarov reaction has been disclosed which utilizes chiral Brønsted acids to both initiate the cyclization process and generate a chiral environment to influence the torquoselectivity of the reaction. ${ }^{21}$ This work presents the first organocatalytic electrocyclization reaction, providing the desired cyclopentenone


| Starting <br> Material | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | Yield (\%) | cisAtrans | ee (cis, trans) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28a | Me | Ph | 88 | $6: 1$ | 87,95 |  |  |  |  |
| 28b | npentyl | Ph | 78 | $3.2: 1$ | 91,91 |  |  |  |  |
| 28c | ${ }^{\text {nppopyl }}$ | 4-Me-Ph | 77 | $2.6: 1$ | 91,90 |  |  |  |  |
| 28d | ${ }^{n}$ propyl | 4-Br-Ph | 87 | $4.6: 1$ | 92,92 |  |  |  |  |
| 28e | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4-}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | $1: 0$ | $86,-$ |



30: Ar = 9-phenanthryl

Scheme 1.13. An organocatalytic enantioselective Nazarov cyclization.
products 29 in good yields and with high enantioselectivities (Scheme 1.13). Once again, substrates with $\alpha$-oxygenation, 28, were used to ensure regioselective elimination during termination of the reaction, but a number of examples were presented to demonstrate the toleration of both aromatic and alkyl substitution on the opposing vinyl moiety. The authors propose that Brønsted acid $\mathbf{3 0}$ activates the divinyl ketone through protonation to generate a tight ion pair involving the protonated substrate and chiral phosphoramidate anion. This tight transition state is believed to force selective conrotation in the electrocyclization step. The authors also suggest that protonation of the intermediate enol species occurs stereoselectively as a result of proton transfer from the Brønsted acid to furnish the cis-cyclopentenones as the major products. Upon treatment with basic alumina, the cis-products could be isomerized to the trans-cyclopentenones without loss of enantiomeric purity. Since the trans stereochemistry is typically observed as a result of the previously outlined methodologies, this approach provides a complementary route to cis-isomers.

A great deal of work has been done in an effort to approach some of the major drawbacks associated with the traditional Nazarov cyclization. Recent developments in catalysis and asymmetric cyclization have made the Nazarov reaction much more attractive for use in total synthesis, since harsh reaction conditions and full equivalents of catalyst are no longer essential to the electrocyclization process. However, there is still room for improvement in these areas as most of the catalysts that have been developed require bidentate coordination to the divinyl ketones, limiting the number of substrates that can be used with these methodologies.

### 1.1.4 Non-traditional Substrates for Nazarov Cyclization

Thus far, discussion of the Nazarov cyclization has focused on the activation of divinyl ketones to provide cyclopentenone products. However, there have been many examples in the literature wherein pentadienyl cationic species were accessed without the need for divinyl ketone activation. For example, the transient generation of pentadienyl cations is often observed in transition metal-catalyzed transformations of enynyl acetates. This reaction, known as the Rautenstrauch ${ }^{22}$ rearrangement (Scheme 1.14), is a classic
a)

b)


Scheme 1.14. Rautenstrauch rearrangement of enynyl acetates.
example of cyclopentenone synthesis as a result of non-traditional Nazarov cyclization. Treatment of enynyl acetates 31 with $0.025-0.1$ equivalents of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ in the presence of acetic acid, led to the generation of 32 in $78-89 \%$ yield (Scheme 1.14, Equation a). With the recent explosion of gold catalysis, the Rautenstrauch reaction has received renewed attention ${ }^{23}$ and substrates such as 33 have been found to undergo effective transformation to 34 with high retention of enantiomeric purity ${ }^{23 a}$ (Scheme 1.14, Equation $b$ ). The mechanisms for both the palladium and gold-catalyzed reactions are believed to proceed through similar intermediates; however, only the gold-catalyzed
process will be discussed at this time (Scheme 1.15). Activation of the alkyne moiety with cationic $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ induces attack by the ester functionality onto the internal alkyne carbon (IX). The result of this attack is a pentadienyl cationic species, $\mathbf{X}$, which can undergo conrotatory Nazarov cyclization to form the oxyallyl cation XI. Subsequent elimination of the cationic $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex releases diene XII, and upon hydrolysis the cyclopentenone product is revealed.


Scheme 1.15. The gold-catalyzed Rautenstrauch rearrangement mechanism.

Another example of Nazarov-type cyclization from non-traditional substrates can be found in Barluenga's 2004 publication discussing the synthesis of dimethoxyhexatrienes 35 through the copper-catalyzed dimerization of chromium Fischer carbene complexes. ${ }^{24}$ Upon treatment with trifluoroacetic acid, hexatrienes 35 (Scheme 1.16) were found to undergo protonation to form the pentadienyl cations XIII, which then underwent electrocylic ring closure to furnish a 1:1 mixture of cyclopentenones 36 and 37 in $85-92 \%$ yield. Interestingly, it was found that some of the Fischer carbene


Scheme 1.16. The mechanism of cyclopentenone formation from hexatrienes 35.
starting materials could be induced to proceed directly to the cyclopentenone products upon treatment with 1 equivalent of $(\mathrm{MeCN})_{4} \mathrm{CuBF}_{4}$ and direct transfer of the crude material to a silica gel column for purification (Scheme 1.17). Due to the nature of these dimethoxyhexatriene substrates and the reaction mechanism, both stereocenters that are established during Nazarov cyclization are retained in the cyclopentenone products 36.


Scheme 1.17. Direct Nazarov cyclization from Fischer carbene complexes.

One final example of non-traditional substrates participating in the Nazarov cyclization can be observed in de Lera's use of (2Z)-vinylallene acetals 38 to synthesize alkylidenecyclopentenes 39 under acid catalysis (Scheme 1.18). ${ }^{25}$ A number of mild acidic conditions (i.e. $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; p$ - TsOH , acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were examined in an attempt to deprotect the acetal in 38; however, the same mixture of
$E$ - and Z-isomers of 39 was obtained in almost quantitative yield regardless of catalyst used. The authors propose that the products are formed as a result of acetal protonation to generate the pentadienyl cation XIV, which undergoes Nazarov cyclization to furnish cyclic allyl cation XV. The pendant alcohol moiety then acts to intercept the carbocation


Scheme 1.18. de Lera's Nazarov-type cyclization of (2Z)-vinylallene acetals.
from the same face to generate cis-fused bicyclic products. Although the authors were not expecting these results, this work demonstrates an intriguing Nazarov-type process and presents an early example of a pendant nucleophile trapping out a cyclic allyl cation in an "interrupted" Nazarov reaction.

### 1.2 The Interrupted Nazarov Reaction

One of the major drawbacks associated with the Nazarov cyclization that has yet to be discussed is the loss of intermediate stereogenic centers arising from standard termination pathways. The "interrupted" Nazarov reaction allows for the preservation of stereochemistry established during the electrocyclization by using internal or external
nucleophiles as traps for the 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cation (Figure 1.7). Essentially, the cationic intermediates that are generated during Nazarov cyclization can be used to initiate domino or cascade processes. ${ }^{26}$ Trapping of the oxyallyl cation can lead to the synthesis of highly functionalized, complex products in a stereocontrolled fashion.


Figure 1.7. The "interrupted" Nazarov reaction.

### 1.2.1 Intramolecular Trapping

The earliest examples of "interrupted" Nazarov reactions were observed in the disrotatory photochemical cyclizations of pyran-4-ones appended with tethered nucleophiles. ${ }^{27}$ The success of these photochemical transformations encouraged West and co-workers to develop an analogous process for the thermal, Lewis acid-mediated Nazarov reaction. In $1998,{ }^{28}$ a diastereoselective cycloisomerization was presented in which acyclic dienone precursors 40 underwent efficient Nazarov cyclization and were subsequently trapped by a tethered olefin (Scheme 1.19). This sequence generated complex, polycyclic products 41 from simple starting materials due to the formation of two new carbon-carbon bonds and multiple stereogenic centers. Also, the stereochemistry that was established during the initial electrocyclization influenced the formation of subsequent stereogenic centers, furnishing single diastereomers as products.



Scheme 1.19. Trapping of the oxyallyl cation with a tethered olefin.

The mechanism for this reaction sequence proceeds through the traditional Lewis acid activation and electrocyclization pathway to furnish a 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cation. Subsequent 5-exo cationic cyclization onto the remote olefin generates an intermediate cationic species XVI, which leads to carbon-oxygen bond formation (XVII) as a result of the close proximity between the enolate oxygen and newly formed tertiary carbocation. Aqueous work-up conditions led to selective protonation of the enol ether moiety with subsequent trapping of the oxocarbenium by water to generate the observed hemiketal product 41. Yields for these transformations were good to excellent, with two exceptions: when $n=2$, analogous 6-exo cyclization did not proceed as efficiently as the 5-exo process, providing products in diminished yields and as mixtures of diastereomers, and when there was no substitution at one/both of the $\alpha$-positions on the divinyl ketone only a complex mixture of unidentified products was observed.

Intramolecular trapping of the cationic Nazarov intermediate has been demonstrated using differentially substituted olefins ${ }^{29}$ as well as dienes, ${ }^{30}$ allowing access to a variety of fused and bridged polycyclic skeletons. One of the most impressive of these processes involves an olefin polycyclization that is terminated by trapping with a pendent arene moiety (Scheme 1.20). ${ }^{31}$ In this work, the Nazarov cyclization was
a)

b)

c)


Scheme 1.20. Cascade polycyclization of aryltrienones.
initiated by activation with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, since protic acid and $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ provided 43 and 44 , in $52 \%$ and $72 \%$ yields respectively, as a result of premature termination of the cascade process. Only $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ furnished a cationic species capable of promoting the desired polycyclization. Subsequently, multiple bond-forming steps and the establishment of numerous stereogenic centers proceeded to provide tetra- or pentacyclic products 45 in
good to excellent yields and in a diastereoselective manner. Since aryltrienone 42a did not participate in the desired cascade pathway, the major limitation of this methodology appears to be the requirement for $\alpha$-substitution on the starting materials.

An analogous trapping methodology was developed in $2001^{32}$ wherein tethered arene moieties were used to trap the cyclic oxyallyl intermediate directly, furnishing benzohydrindenones 47 from the respective dienones 46 in excellent yields (Scheme 1.21). Once again, this trapping process proceeded in a diastereoselective fashion with electrophilic aromatic substitution occurring at the least hindered position (para to X ) to generate cis ring-fused products. Also, protonation of the penultimate enolate occurred


Scheme 1.21. Benzohydrindenone formation from aryl dienones.
selectively from the least hindered, convex face of the polycyclic intermediate XVIII. Notably, a pendent furan could participate in the trapping process to provide the furylhydrindenone 48 in $55 \%$ yield upon raising the reaction temperature to room temperature (Scheme 1.22, Equation a). The lower yield was attributed to
oligomerization and decomposition processes that may have become prevalent upon raising the temperature of the cyclization reaction. An obvious limitation to this methodology is that an electron-rich arene unit is required to trap the cationic Nazarov intermediate. Dienone 46 f did not react cleanly under the influence of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, providing a complex mixture of products, whereas the same substrate only provided the simple cyclopentenone 49 when subjected to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \bullet \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ (Scheme 1.22 , Equation b).
a)

b)


Scheme 1.22. Electron-rich versus electron-poor arene trapping.

Thus far, the discussion of intramolecular interrupted Nazarov reactions has focused on the formation of carbon-carbon bonds as a result of trapping the 2oxidocyclopentenyl cation with tethered $\pi$-systems. Recently there has been some success utilizing oxygen- ${ }^{33}$ and nitrogen-based ${ }^{34}$ nucleophiles in a similar fashion to synthesize heterocyclic structures in a single operation. One example of these processes is the participation of tethered azides in an interrupted Nazarov/Schmidt rearrangement sequence to generate peroxy-bridged indolizidinones 52. ${ }^{34}$ Although the mechanism is not completely understood, there is literature precedent that suggests initial trapping of the cyclic oxyallyl cation by the internal azide nitrogen, ${ }^{35}$ which results in a zwitterionic


Scheme 1.23. Intramolecular azide trapping of the cationic Nazarov intermediate.
species (XIX) that can rearrange to a 1,4-dipole (XX) with concomitant loss of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (Scheme 1.23). Alternatively, the 1,4-dipole might be generated as the result of Lewis acid-mediated decomposition of a [3+3] cycloaddition product (XXI) ${ }^{36}$ The 1,4-dipole can subsequently proceed down a [1,5]-hydrogen shift pathway to generate dihydropyridone 51, or undergo oxidation in the presence of ambient oxygen to furnish the peroxy-bridged compounds 52a and 52b (Scheme 1.24). As an added feature, it was


Scheme 1.24. Formation of trapping products from dienones with tethered azides.
found that formation of the peroxy compounds could be suppressed upon rigorous exclusion of oxygen from the reaction flask, providing 51 in $70 \%$ yield. Interestingly, when the analogous intermolecular trapping process was examined, none of the peroxybridged products were observed (see Section 1.2.2).

### 1.2.2 Intermolecular Trapping

Not long after the first examples of intramolecular interrupted Nazarov processes had been disclosed, intermolecular variants were developed. These intermolecular trapping reactions provided an alternate route towards carbocyclic ring construction that also retained stereochemical information established during the initial conrotatory electrocyclization. The reductive Nazarov cyclization ${ }^{15 a, 37}$ utilizes a Lewis acid-tolerant hydride source to trap the cationic oxyallyl intermediate, providing a direct route to cyclopentanones 54 from divinyl ketone precursors 53 (Scheme 1.25). It was found that



Scheme 1.25. The reductive Nazarov cyclization.
treatment of 53a with 1.1 equivalents of Lewis acid in the presence of 2-10 equivalents of triethylsilane resulted in a mixture of cyclopentanones 54a and silyl enol ethers 55a. When an acidic aqueous work-up was carried out, hydrolysis of the silyl enol ethers occurred to furnish the cyclopentanones as the sole products in excellent yields. The reductive Nazarov cyclization was one of the first examples wherein less than 1 equivalent of Lewis acid could be used to catalyze the cyclization; however, in these cases the yields were reduced. A number of interesting observations were made during this study including the observation that hydride delivery was regioselective for the less substituted side of the oxyallyl cation. This was confirmed by treatment of nonsymmetrical dienone 53b with deuterated triethylsilane, which provided $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{l}} \mathbf{- 5 4 b}$ in $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ yield. Also, the conversion of 56 to 57 illustrated that intermolecular trapping could be used in conjunction with intramolecular processes to generate new carbon frameworks in a single operation (Scheme 1.26).


Scheme 1.26. An interrupted Nazarov reaction terminated with ionic reduction.

In an attempt to synthesize 2-allylcyclopentanones 59 using nucleophilic allylsilanes to trap the cationic Nazarov intermediate, West and co-workers discovered that allylation was, in fact, the minor product of such reactions. ${ }^{38}$ Instead, the bicyclo[2.2.1] heptanones 60 and/or 61 were generated in moderate yields due to formal [3+2] addition of the oxyallyl cation to the olefin of the allylsilane (Scheme 1.27). When
the bulky allyltriisopropylsilane was used, the desilylation process leading to 59 was suppressed, providing increased yields of the bicyclo[2.2.1]heptanone products.


Scheme 1.27. Intermolecular trapping of the Nazarov intermediate with allylsilanes.

Regioselectivity in these reactions followed the same principles observed in the reductive Nazarov reaction, with attack of the allylsilane occurring from the least hindered side of the oxyallyl cation; however, the exo/endo stereochemistry appeared to be very dependent on the choice of Lewis acid. For example, treatment of dienone 58c with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ in the presence of allyltriisopropylsilane led to exclusive formation of the exo product 60 c in $72 \%$ yield, but $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$-catalysis generated a mixture of exo and endo products in $38 \%$ and $61 \%$ yields respectively.

The success of intramolecular trapping of the cationic Nazarov intermediate with tethered dienes ${ }^{30}$ prompted West and co-workers to develop an analogous intermolecular approach to the synthesis of cyclooctanoids using various dienes to trap the 2oxidocyclopentenyl cation in a [4+3] fashion (Scheme 1.28). ${ }^{39}$ Optimal conditions for this domino process were observed when dienones were treated with 1 equivalent of
$\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ in the presence of 2 equivalents of the desired diene at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under these relatively mild conditions it was found that furan (Equation a), cyclopentadiene, isoprene, and 2,3-dimethylbutadiene (Equation b) could all be used to capture the intermediate
a)

b)


Scheme 1.28. Intermolecular diene trapping to furnish cyclooctene carbocycles.
oxyallyl cation, providing the corresponding eight-membered rings, 63 or 65, in good to excellent yields and with generally high diastereofacial selectivity due to approach of the diene from the least hindered face of the cationic Nazarov intermediate. This methodology complements the intramolecular process and provides access to highly functionalized cyclooctenoid skeletons in a single operation starting from simple dienone and diene precursors.

Very recently, there have been successful reports of heteroatom-based nucleophiles participating in intermolecular interrupted Nazarov reactions. ${ }^{40}$ Of particular interest is the amine trapping of 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cations generated in situ from the exposure of ketones 66 to dry silica gel (Scheme 1.29). ${ }^{40 \mathrm{~b}}$ The cyclization process undoubtedly proceeds through an allenyl vinyl ketone XXII, which cyclizes spontaneously to generate the cyclic oxyallyl cation XXIII. Although this cationic
intermediate could proceed through a number of terminative pathways, it is long-lived enough to allow nucleophilic trapping by primary or secondary amines, furnishing aminocyclopentenones 67 in good yields. As observed in previous studies, approach of the nucleophile occurs from the least hindered face of the oxyallyl intermediate, with


Scheme 1.29. Mild amine trapping in the interrupted Nazarov reaction.
regioselective attack on the opposite side to the methoxy substituent. These stereochemical aspects were exploited in the development of the first asymmetric interrupted Nazarov reaction, ${ }^{40 \mathrm{c}}$ wherein a camphor-derived auxiliary replaced the methoxy substituent to generate chiral ketones ( 68 , Scheme 1.30). The participation of these chiral auxiliaries in the previously outlined reaction led to diastereomerically pure aminocyclopentenones in good yields.


Scheme 1.30. An asymmetric interrupted Nazarov reaction.

Another example of trapping the cyclic oxyallyl intermediate with nitrogen-based nucleophiles is observed in the domino Nazarov cyclization/azide-trapping/Schmidt rearrangement sequence that is under investigation in the West laboratories. ${ }^{41}$ A similar intramolecular process has previously been discussed wherein the Nazarov intermediate was trapped by tethered azides (see Section 1.2.1); however the starting materials for these intramolecular reactions were prepared over a lengthy synthetic sequence. During an investigation into the intermolecular process, it was found that simple dienones $\mathbf{5 8}$ underwent efficient azide trapping to generate a zwitterionic intermediate XXIV, which subsequently rearranged through a Schmidt-like process, furnishing a 1,4-dipolar intermediate (Scheme 1.31). A [1,5]-hydride shift might then be responsible for generation of the major trans-dihydropyridone adducts 70 in moderate to good yields. In some instances, the cis-isomers 71 were also observed as a result of competing proton-



70


71

| Starting <br> Material | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | Products (\% Yield; Ratio) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58a | Ph | Me | Me | Ph | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 70a + 71a (82; 2:1) |
| 58b | Ph | Me | Me | H | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 70b (75) |
| 58c | Ph | Me | H | H | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 70c (62) |
| 58c | Ph | Me | H | H | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{\mathrm{ChCHCH}}^{2}$ | 70d (43) |

Scheme 1.31. Intermolecular azide trapping to furnish dihydropyridones.
transfer processes. This methodology presents the first examples of extreme skeletal reorganization of the dienone precursor following interception of the cationic Nazarov intermediate by tethered or free organic azides.

Development of the interrupted Nazarov reaction has allowed access to a broad array of highly functionalized carbocyclic and heterocyclic skeletons in a single operation starting from readily available dienone substrates. Due to the establishment of two stereogenic centers in the initial conrotatory electrocyclization, these transformations generally occur in a highly stereoselective manner with the internal or external nucleophile approaching from the less hindered face of the 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cation. Although this review has focused on trapping of the oxyallyl cationic intermediate, there have also been reports wherein the penultimate enolate formed during the Nazarov reaction has been used to trap various electrophiles. ${ }^{42}$ It is clear that a number of avenues remain open for investigation in this area and that new modes of trapping might be available for discovery.

### 1.3 Recent Examples in Total Synthesis

The Nazarov reaction has been used as the strategic step in a number of approaches towards the synthesis of different natural products. Selected examples demonstrating original use of the Nazarov reaction will be presented herein to illustrate the usefulness of this electrocyclization process in organic synthesis.

### 1.3.1. Roseophilin

In 2001, Tius and Harrington reported the first asymmetric total synthesis of the potent antitumor alkaloid roseophilin. Their synthetic strategy utilized an asymmetric Nazarov cyclization to establish the correct stereochemistry of the isopropyl moiety on the azafulvene core (Scheme 1.32). ${ }^{43}$ In this approach, roseophilin was synthesized from coupling ketopyrrole 72 with the pyrrolylfuran 73, followed by deprotection of the SEM





Scheme 1.32. Tius' retrosynthesis of roseophilin.
protecting group to initiate "aromatization" to the desired azafulvene. Ketopyrrole 72 was synthesized as a result of ring closing metathesis performed on diene 74, with subsequent Knorr condensation to establish the pyrrole functionality. Diene 74 was obtained through elaboration of cyclopentenone 13 , which was constructed in an asymmetric cyclopentannelation reaction. The key step was realized through the addition of the camphor-derived allenyllithium reagent 75 to morpholine amide 76. Acidic workup led to direct generation of the desired product 13 in $78 \%$ yield and with $86 \%$ ee
(Scheme 1.33). Use of this chiral auxiliary resulted in the formation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ in increased yields and with higher enantioselectivities compared to earlier studies wherein glucosebased auxiliaries were used. ${ }^{11,12}$


Scheme 1.33. The key asymmetric Nazarov cyclization in the synthesis of roseophilin.

### 1.3.2. Cephalotaxine

Cephalotaxine is a structurally intriguing antileukemia alkaloid that contains a benzazepine motif and a pentacyclic core. When Li and Wang ${ }^{44}$ undertook the total synthesis of racemic cephalotaxine, they uncovered an unusual Nazarov-type cyclization (Scheme 1.34). When the advanced intermediate 77 was treated with glacial acetic acid and 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ in open air, the spirocyclic product 78 was obtained in $57 \%$ yield. The authors propose that the reaction is initiated by an acid-catalyzed autoxidation, which generates the conjugated imine species XXV. Tautomerization of the methyl ketone furnishes a pentadienyl cationic species (XXVI) that can undergo conrotatory electrocyclization to the cyclic oxyallyl cation XXVII. Finally, loss of a proton would afford the cyclopentenone product 78. Although this reaction did not provide the most efficient route to the final target, it presents an interesting variant of the Nazarov reaction that may be useful in other synthetic strategies.




Scheme 1.34. A Nazarov-type cyclization in the synthesis of Cephalotaxine.

### 1.3.3. Merrilactone $\mathbf{A}$

Very recently Frontier and co-workers have completed a total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )merrilactone A. ${ }^{45}$ The synthetic strategy involved coupling of silyloxyfuran 79 with Weinreb amide 80 to form a highly substituted furyl vinyl ketone substrate 81 (Scheme 1.35). This ketone was then used in the key Nazarov cyclization to provide lactone 82. Radical cyclization of the $1,6-$ enyne and manipulation of the protecting groups furnished tricycle 83, which could be elaborated to the tetracycle 84. It has previously been established that 84 can be transformed to merrilactone A in two steps. ${ }^{46}$ The key step in this synthesis involved use of the previously discussed $[\operatorname{IrMe}(\mathrm{CO})($ dppe $)(\mathrm{DIB})]\left(\mathrm{BAR}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)_{2}$ catalyst (27) to catalyze Nazarov cyclization of substrate
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Scheme 1.35. Retrosynthesis of ( $\pm$ )-merrilactone A.

81 (Scheme 1.36). Treatment of 81 with $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst induced conrotatory cyclization to furnish the cyclic oxyallyl cation XXVIII. Dissociation of the Lewis acid and subsequent silyl transfer, resulted in generation of the product in $87 \%$ yield. This


Scheme 1.36. The key Nazarov reaction in Frontier's approach to merrilactone A.
reaction presents the first example of Nazarov cyclization on silyloxyfuran substrates and is used in this synthesis to establish the relative stereochemistry of two important quaternary stereogenic centers present in merrilactone A.

### 1.4 New Directions in the Nazarov Cyclization

The Nazarov cyclization is a convenient way to synthesize carbocyclic structures that are prevalent in many classes of natural products. With recent advances in the areas of catalytic and stereoselective variants, as well as the advent of many "interrupted" Nazarov cascade processes, the Nazarov cyclization continues to be a prominent area of research in the development of new methodologies for use in total synthesis. We hoped to expand the scope of this reaction even further by revealing alternate methods for the generation of Nazarov-type pentadienyl cations that may permit novel trapping pathways or improved functional group compatibility. Most importantly, new trapping sequences might provide simplified routes to the assembly of complex polycyclic intermediates that could be used towards the synthesis of natural products. To this end, we envisioned using non-traditional Nazarov substrates, namely gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds, to access the cationic intermediates observed during Nazarov cyclization. The unique chemistry of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes and the development of our novel Nazarov-type reaction will be disclosed in the following chapters.
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## Chapter 2

## gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes as Novel Substrates for the Nazarov Cyclization

### 2.1 The Chemistry of gem-Dihalocyclopropanes

gem-Dihalocyclopropanes 1 (Figure 2.1) are useful substrates in organic synthetic chemistry due to their ease of preparation and unique modes of reactivity. ${ }^{1}$ The gemdihalocyclopropane moiety can provide access to numerous hydrocarbon structures including simple or substituted cyclopropanes, dienes, allenes, and cyclopentenes. This chapter will introduce the distinctive chemical reactivity of gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds and present the use of these compounds in a new approach to the Nazarov reaction.


Figure 2.1. Preparation of gem-dihalocyclopropanes.

### 2.1.1 Dihalocarbenes

The synthesis of gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds is accomplished by addition of a dihalocarbene species to an olefin (Figure 2.1). Dihalocarbenes are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized singlet carbenes that have empty $p$-orbitals capable of accepting electron donation from full orbitals on the adjacent halogen atoms. The electronic configuration of dihalocarbenes implies that they react through a concerted cyclopropanation mechanism as opposed to a radical pathway during the addition to olefin compounds. Evidence to support this mechanistic proposal can be found in the retention of relative stereochemistry observed as a result of cyclopropanation onto substrates such as alkene 2 (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{2}$ Dihalocarbenes are also electrophilic carbene species that selectively react with electron-rich over electron-poor olefins. Dihalocyclopropanation onto electron-poor alkenes can be achieved in the absence of other olefins; however, the cycloaddition proceeds slowly and generally results in the formation of side-products due to competing C-H and/or X-H insertion reactions.


Scheme 2.1. Stereochemical retention in dihalocyclopropanation.

### 2.1.2 Preparation of gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes

Although there are examples of gem-difluoro-, gem-dichloro-, gem-dibromo-, gem-diiodo-, and mixed dihalocyclopropanes, this discussion will focus on the preparation of the dichloro species. In many cases the same preparative techniques can
be used to synthesize the other gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds. In the earliest example of gem-dichlorocyclopropane synthesis, it was shown that treatment of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ with ' BuOK under anhydrous conditions could generate a carbene capable of reacting with numerous alkene compounds. ${ }^{3}$ The mechanism of carbene generation is believed to proceed through initial deprotonation of the haloform to provide a trihalomethylcarbanion species $I$, the lifetime of which is quite short due to facile $\alpha$-elimination to furnish dichlorocarbene II (Figure 2.2). In the presence of an olefin, the carbene reacts quickly to provide a gem-dichlorocyclopropane product in moderate yields. Although this traditional set of conditions is still used to prepare gem-dichlorocyclopropane


Figure 2.2. Mechanism of carbene generation.
compounds, significant improvements have been made that allow access to these products in increased yields and with better selectivity. At present, the most commonly used approaches to carbene generation include the previously outlined procedure as well as treatment of ethyl trichloroacetate with alkoxide, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ and thermal decomposition of either sodium trichloroacetate or trichloromethyl(phenyl)mercury reagents. ${ }^{4}$ Despite the development of new methodologies, ${ }^{5}$ the most general and reliable method for the preparation of gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds is a phase transfer catalysis procedure designed by Makosza in 1969. ${ }^{6}$

Phase transfer catalysis utilizes a lipophilic salt complex to catalyze a reaction by acting as a shuttle between the aqueous and organic layers in a biphasic reaction mixture.

In a dichlorocyclopropanation reaction the organic phase is $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, the aqueous phase is $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution, and the lipophilic salt is most commonly a quaternary ammonium salt such as benzyltriethylammonium chloride (TEBA) or tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB). Under these reaction conditions, deprotonation of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ occurs at the interface of the two phases and subsequent anion exchange with the ammonium salt occurs to form a lipophilic ion pair (Figure 2.3). The bulky ammonium cation shuttles


Figure 2.3. The Makosza method: phase transfer catalysis.
the trichlorocarbanion species into the organic layer where it undergoes $\alpha$-elimination and addition of the resultant carbene to an available olefin. This procedure, coined the Makosza method, generally furnishes gem-dichlorocyclopropanes in high yields due to the mild reaction conditions and the suppression of carbene consumption by water or hydroxide.

### 2.1.3 Cationic Ring Opening of gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes

gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes are useful substrates for a variety of different reactions including partial reduction to furnish monohalocyclopropanes and complete reduction to simple cyclopropanes, as well as substitution and elimination reactions to provide cyclopropene compounds. In all of these cases, the integrity of the threemembered ring is preserved as a result of careful manipulation of the halogen substituents. One of the most interesting aspects of gem-dihalocyclopropane chemistry is


Figure 2.4. Cationic ring opening of gem-dihalocyclopropanes.
the fact that they undergo facile cationic ring opening to provide an allyl cation III, which can lead to subsequent elimination or nucleophilic trapping products ${ }^{7,8}$ ( 6 and 5, Figure 2.4). The ring opening process occurs in a disrotatory fashion with concomitant loss of a halide anion, and the direction of disrotation is strongly influenced by stereoelectronic factors. ${ }^{9}$ Orbitals that are involved in the breaking of the C-C $\sigma$-bond


Figure 2.5. Stereoelectronic factors affecting cationic ring opening.
must rotate in a direction that assists halide departure by overlapping with the $\sigma^{*}$-orbital of the dissociating halogen (Figure 2.5). This stereoelectronic effect implies that chemoselective removal of one of the halogens would result in the formation of one allyl cation over the other. Exploitation of this aspect of ring opening could be valuable for the development of asymmetric transformations.

The cationic ring opening of gem-dihalocyclopropanes can be induced under strictly thermal conditions or in the presence of a Lewis acid. ${ }^{10}$ The most common Lewis acids used in these reactions are $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salts. The silver-mediated reactions can be carried out at low temperatures and have the additional benefit of sequestering halide anions liberated in the ring opening process, effectively removing free halide species from the reaction mixture.

### 2.1.4 Cationic Ring Opening of gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes in Synthesis

gem-Dihalocyclopropanes and their derived allyl cations have been used in different approaches towards the synthesis of natural products. Recent literature examples will be discussed in an effort to illustrate the utility of these compounds in organic synthesis.

In 2004 Banwell and Sydnes ${ }^{11}$ disclosed their efforts towards the total synthesis of plant-derived phenanthroquinolizidine alkaloids such as julandine. ${ }^{12}$ Their initial strategy involved the intermolecular trapping of an allylic cation species with 2piperidinemethanol 7 (Scheme 2.2). The desired gem-dibromocyclopropane precursor 8 was derived from dibromocarbene addition to the respective olefin (7) in $78 \%$ yield under
standard Makosza conditions. When ring opening of 8 was induced using a variety of $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salts, the desired intermolecular trapping product 10 was not observed. Instead, the cationic species was efficiently trapped by solvent or the anion coordinated to $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$.


Scheme 2.2. Towards the synthesis of phenanthroquinolizidine alkaloids.

The authors decided to approach the synthesis of 10 in a different manner, inducing ring opening of the gem-dibromocyclopropane with AgOAc in the presence of AcOH to afford an allylic acetate. The allylic acetate was smoothly converted to the allylic alcohol 11, with a yield of $81 \%$ over the two steps. Subsequently, allylic alcohol 11 was treated with N -chlorosuccinimide (NCS) in dimethylsulfide (DMS) to afford allyl chloride 12, which was then coupled with 2-piperidinemethanol 9 to provide the desired product 10 in 98\% yield. Although the planned intermolecular trapping process was unsuccessful, gem-dibromocyclopropane 8 was a useful synthetic intermediate, allowing access to a vinyl bromide substrate that participated in Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions later in the synthetic scheme.

The intermolecular trapping of allyl cations generated from ring opening of gemdihalocyclopropanes has recently been utilized in the synthesis of hapalindole and
fischerindole alkaloid frameworks (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{13}$ It was found that indoles 13 and 14 could capture the allyl cation generated from treatment of gem-dibromocyclopropane $\mathbf{1 5}$ with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in THF, providing the tricyclic products 16 and 17 in 67 to $77 \%$ yields. The trapping products could be carried on to afford tetracycle 18 (or its cis ring-fused isomer) in 4 steps. This strategy allows for the rapid construction of complex, polycyclic skeletons from simple starting materials and in good yields.


Scheme 2.3. Intermolecular trapping of the allyl cation by indole nucleophiles.

One final example of the use of gem-dihalocyclopropanes in synthesis can be found in the recent assembly of complex polycyclic intermediates used in the construction of gibberellin frameworks (Scheme 2.4). ${ }^{14}$ In this work, Banwell and coworkers assembled the tetracycle 25 in 6 steps from the readily available precursor 19 . Birch reduction of 19 proceeded smoothly to provide the methyl enol ether 20, which underwent dichlorocyclopropanation to provide 21 as the major product in $45 \%$ yield. The major side-product of this reaction (22) was generated as the result of initial dichlorocyclopropanation from the opposite face of the enol double bond followed by CH insertion at a ring fusion position instead of a second cyclopropanation reaction. Subsequently, treatment of $\mathbf{2 1}$ with 'BuOK in THF induced an intriguing rearrangement process that provided diene 23 in excellent yield. Exposure of 23 to 2,6-lutidine at high temperature resulted in a vinylcyclopropane rearrangement ${ }^{15}$ to furnish the norbornene




25\%

27


Scheme 2.4. Synthetic strategy for the assembly of gibberellin frameworks.
framework observed in 24 and, after dehalogenation, the final dichlorocyclopropanation reaction and subsequent ring-opening was performed to provide $\mathbf{2 5}$ as the major product in a mixture of three cyclopropanated and/or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion products (26 and 27). Although the final step in this sequence was not selective for generation of the desired compound (25), this strategy presents a very short synthesis of structurally complex intermediates that can be further elaborated to gibberellins and their analogues.
gem-Dihalocyclopropanes are interesting functional groups that can be used to generate complex structures from simple, readily available starting materials. The ease with which gem-dihalocyclopropanes can be synthesized makes them attractive intermediates for total synthesis, and the unique reactivity associated with these compounds reveals great potential for the development of new methodologies.

## 2.2 gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes and the Nazarov Cyclization

One of the main research focuses in the West laboratories has been the development of new methodologies involving the Nazarov cyclization. In keeping with this objective, the unique cationic ring opening reaction of gem-dihalocyclopropanes was seen as an interesting opportunity for the design of a novel Nazarov process. Appropriately substituted gem-dihalocyclopropanes may be used to access a pentadienyl cationic species capable of undergoing Nazarov cyclization. The results of this investigation, including preliminary findings and development of the methodology, will be discussed herein.

### 2.2.1 Introduction

In an effort to mimic the Nazarov cyclization with non-traditional substrates, the first goal of this project was to design gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds that were appropriately substituted for participation in a sequential $2 \pi$ disrotatory ring opening and $4 \pi$ electrocyclization (Figure 2.0). ${ }^{16}$ It was believed that 1,1-dihalo-2-(silyloxy)-2-



Figure 2.6. Concept behind the use of gem-dihalocyclopropanes in the Nazarov reaction.
vinylcyclopropanes 28 would be ideal substrates for this investigation since computational experiments ${ }^{17}$ have shown that alkenyl substitution on gemdihalocyclopropanes accelerates the ring opening process relative to hydrogen. Oxygen substitution at the same position on the cyclopropane moiety was also shown to assist in the disrotatory ring opening, which would aid in efficient generation of allyl cation IV. Due to the presence of the vinyl substituent, cation IV might also be viewed as the pentadienyl cation $\mathbf{V}$, which is analogous to the cationic species observed during conventional Nazarov cyclizations. It was believed that the pentadienyl cation would undergo conrotatory electrocyclization to furnish a 2-silyloxycyclopentenyl cation (VI) that would experience conventional elimination and subsequent hydrolysis to provide $\alpha$ halocyclopentenone products 29 and/or 30. To our knowledge, this type of sequential ring opening and electrocyclization involving gem-dihalocyclopropanes has not been investigated, although conceptually similar protocols have been reported. For example,


Scheme 2.5. Paquette's sequential ring opening/ $8 \pi$ electrocyclization process.

Paquette has reported that conrotatory ring opening of divinyl squarate esters 31 leads to the formation of tetraene intermediates that can undergo $8 \pi$ electrocyclization to afford cyclooctatrienes 32 (Scheme 2.5). ${ }^{18}$

Similarly, Danheiser and co-workers have reported a thermally induced cascade process that involves four pericyclic reactions in sequence (Scheme 2.6). ${ }^{19}$ In this work, cyclobutenones 34 underwent $4 \pi$ electrocyclic ring opening, followed by regioselective $[2+2]$ cycloaddition with hetero-substituted acetylenes (33). The resultant vinyl cyclobutenones VIII then provided dienyl ketenes IX, which underwent $6 \pi$ electrocyclization and tautomerization to furnish the highly substituted phenol products 35.


Scheme 2.6. Methodology for the synthesis of substituted aromatic rings.

In an effort to examine the viability of our proposal, a number of 1,1-dihalo-2-(silyloxy)-2-vinylcyclopropanes (28) were prepared and their reactivity towards heating in acetonitrile and exposure to a variety of Lewis acids was investigated.

### 2.2.2 Results \& Discussion

## Preliminary Investigation: Methyl vinvl ketone

Initial experiments to investigate the use of gem-dihalocyclopropanes in the Nazarov reaction were performed on methyl vinyl ketone 36, a simple and readily available substrate. Methyl vinyl ketone was smoothly converted to 2triisopropylsilyloxydiene 37a in low yield after immediate purification on an alumina column (Scheme 2.7). The choice of silyl substituent was made based on the durability of a triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) group relative to other, less bulky silyl groups. It


Scheme 2.7. The synthesis of 1,1-dihalo-2-(silyloxy)-2-vinylcyclopropanes.
was important to ensure that the silyl substituent would remain on the oxygen during cyclization to prevent premature termination of the reaction by desilylation to give 2 chlorodienones. Other silyl groups were investigated during optimization of the reaction conditions and will be discussed more thoroughly later in this chapter.

Preparation of both gem-dichlorocyclopropane compound 38a and the gemdibromocyclopropane compound 39a was investigated (Scheme 2.7). Dichlorocyclopropanation of 37a proceeded smoothly when the Makosza methodology was used, providing $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ in $93 \%$ yield after purification on a silica column. The
analogous dibromocyclopropanation reaction was very messy and afforded a mixture of compounds, including the desired product 39a, that could not be separated. gemDibromocyclopropanes are inherently less stable than the dichloro-species. ${ }^{\text {1c }}$ Additional alkenyl and silyloxy substitution on the dibromo-substrates (39) more than likely adds to their instability, promoting facile decomposition at room temperature as well as during attempted purification on silica or alumina-based columns. These observations prompted selection of gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds (38) for use in development of the new Nazarov methodology.

Substrate 38a was exposed to a variety of reaction conditions in a qualitative investigation used to assess the practicality of the proposed ring opening and $4 \pi$ electrocyclization sequence (Table 2.1). It was found that treatment of $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ with AgOTf in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ did not induce any reaction after 12 hours except for mild decomposition of the starting material; however, when $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ was used under the same reaction conditions the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated complete consumption of starting material and the presence of chlorodienone $\mathbf{4 0 a}$ as the major product in a mixture of compounds. Dienone 40a was implicated by the presence of two sets of terminal olefin protons: $H_{a}$ and $H_{b}$ appeared at 5.9 ppm and $6.5 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{ab}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, while $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ appeared at 6.4 ppm and $6.1 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{cd}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ in the NMR spectrum. gem-Dichlorocyclopropane 38a was then subjected to treatment with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. These solvents were chosen based on their historical use in cationic ring opening reactions of gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds. No reaction was observed when MeCN was used as the solvent, which is most likely due to the limited solubility of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in MeCN at room temperature. Although ring opening was induced in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, the major product

| entry | AgX | solvent | time (h) | products |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AgOTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 12 | no reaction |
| 2 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 12 | 40a |
| 3 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | MeCN | 24 | no reaction |
| 4 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 24 | 41a |
| 5 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 24 | $40 a+41 a$ |

Table 2.1. Preliminary results using gem-dichlorocyclopropane 38a.
observed was, once again, chlorodienone 40a; however, when the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was allowed to stir for a prolonged period of time, peaks corresponding to $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenone 41a appeared in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, with concomitant disappearance of the chlorodienone. These observations suggest the formation of a longlived pentadienyl cation ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) that slowly undergoes cyclization to furnish the desired $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenone products (Figure 2.7). Prematurely stopping the reaction yields a chlorodienone as the result of desilylation of the pentadienyl cation during work-up. To avoid the isolation of undesirable chlorodienones 40, the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated Nazarov reaction must be monitored very closely by TLC analysis. Monitoring the reaction progress by TLC permits observation of the emergence and subsequent disappearance of the chlorodienone, which is representative of the formation and consumption of the longlived pentadienyl cation.


Figure 2.7. The formation of products due to treatment of 38 a with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$.

## Preparation of 2-Triisopropylsilyloxydienes

While the two products 40 a and 41 a were unstable to standard purification techniques, the observation of $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone 41a was very encouraging and prompted the construction of more highly substituted gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates for use in the new Nazarov methodology. It was believed that substitution on the vinyl and cyclopropane moieties would increase the efficiency of the reaction and provide products that were amenable to purification. To this end, ketones $\mathbf{3 6 b}$-i were obtained and subsequently converted to 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes $\mathbf{3 7}$ in excellent yields.

Dienes 37b and 37c were synthesized from cinnamoyl chloride 42 in 3 steps (Scheme 2.8). Cinnamoyl chloride was cleanly converted to morpholine amide 43 using a literature procedure, ${ }^{20}$ and subsequent treatment with 1.1 equivalents of methyllithium or butyllithium furnished ketones $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 c}$, respectively. ${ }^{21}$ Alkyllithium additions to the morpholine amide were not optimized, and the resultant ketones were subjected to TIPSOTf in the presence of triethylamine (TEA) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to provide the desired silyl enol ethers in excellent yields after purification. In the case of $\mathbf{3 7 c}$, the $Z$-geometry of the



Scheme 2.8. Preparation of 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 37b and 37c.
enol ether olefin was determined by analysis of a 1D TROESY experiment, wherein an nOe enhancement of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ was observed when $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ was irradiated at 4.90 ppm (Figure 2.8).


Figure 2.8. nOe enhancement illustrating the Z-geometry of the enol ether.

Preparation of substrates $\mathbf{3 7 d}$ and 37 e was accomplished through the initial addition of an alkyllithium reagent (methyllithium or butyllithium) to $\alpha$-methyl-transcinnamaldehyde 44, followed by oxidation of the secondary alcohol using TPAP and NMO (Scheme 2.9). This sequence furnished ketones $\mathbf{3 6 d}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 e}$, which could then be converted to the desired silyl enol ethers 37 in excellent yields. 2Triisopropylsilyloxydiene 37 e was formed as a mixture of geometric isomers in which the major isomer was determined to exist in a Z-configuration. Once again, the double bond geometry was established through analysis of a 1D TROESY spectrum.



Scheme 2.9. Preparation of 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 37d and 37e.

The commercial availability of 1-acetyl-1-cyclohexene 36f, 1-acetyl-1cyclopentene $\mathbf{3 6 g}$, and 5 -methyl-( $3 E$ )-hexene-2-one $\mathbf{3 6 h}$ allowed direct synthesis of the corresponding silyl enol ethers 37 in excellent yields (Scheme 2.10). Interestingly, an undesired silyl enol ether (46a) was observed when 2,6-lutidine was used as the base in place of triethylamine.


Scheme 2.10. Preparation of 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 37f-h.

Finally, 2-triisopropylsilyloxydiene $\mathbf{3 7 i}$ was synthesized in two steps as the result of a Wittig olefination involving 1-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)-2-propanone (47) and hydrocinnamaldehyde 48, followed by conversion to 37 i using the standard reaction
conditions (Scheme 2.11). The Wittig olefination proceeded in moderate yield, providing exclusive generation of the trans-isomer of $\mathbf{3 6 i}$, which was confirmed by the observation of a large coupling constant ( ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{ab}}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between the two vinyl protons.


Scheme 2.11. Preparation of 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 37i.

## Dichlorocyclopropanation

With 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 37 in hand, the cyclopropanation of these substrates was investigated. It was believed that subjection of these compounds to phase transfer catalysis (the Makosza conditions) would result in selective cyclopropanation on the more electron-rich olefin, as observed in studies with methyl vinyl ketone. In most cases, monocyclopropanation occurred very quickly to provide the corresponding gemdichlorocyclopropane compounds without incident and in good to excellent isolated yields (Table 2.2). Substrates that proved to be problematic will be discussed further in an effort to ascertain the limitations of this methodology.

Silyl enol ether 37c did not react well under the standard phase transfer catalysis conditions for dichlorocyclopropanation, furnishing a complex mixture of inseparable products at every attempt. In an effort to circumvent these issues, 37 c was treated with ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in toluene instead of using the Makosza methodology. Unfortunately, these attempts at cyclopropanation were also unsuccessful, providing dienone 40c directly in 55\% yield (Scheme 2.12). Although formation of 40c undoubtedly proceeds

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ $\mathrm{R}_{2}^{\prime}$ | $\underbrace{\mathrm{R}_{3}}_{\text {OTlips }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{R}_{3} \begin{array}{l} 50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}^{2 a q} . \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} & \pi \\ & \rightarrow \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | diene | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | product | yield (\%) |
| 1 | 37a | H | H | H | 38a | 93 |
| 2 | 37b | H | Ph | H | 38b | 76 |
| 3 | 37c | H | Ph | Pr | - | - |
| 4 | 37d | Me | Ph | H | 38d | 90 |
| 5 | 37e | Me | Ph | Pr | 38e | 87 |
| 6 | 37f |  | -( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}{ }^{-}$ | H | 38 f | 91 |
| 7 | 37g |  | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}{ }^{-}$ | H | 38g | 92 |
| 8 | 37h | H | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | 38h | 95 |
| 9 | 37 i | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | H | 38 i | 71 |

Table 2.2. Dichlorocyclopropanation of 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes.
through the cyclopropanated product (38c), none of this material was isolated. An explanation for this poor reactivity is not clear since a similar substrate (37e) underwent smooth cyclopropanation to provide the corresponding gem-dichlorocyclopropane compound in $87 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2.12. Attempts to cyclopropanate silyl enol ether 37c.

While most of the substrates proceeded to provide clean monocyclopropanated products under the phase transfer catalysis conditions, it was necessary to monitor cyclic substrates $\mathbf{3 7 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 g}$ very carefully to avoid the formation of over-cyclopropanated products (Figure 2.9). When reactions were left to stir for 20 minutes, compounds $\mathbf{3 8 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 g}$ were obtained as the sole products in excellent isolated yields; however, if the



Figure 2.9. Overcyclopropanation of cyclic 2-triispropylsilyloxydienes $\mathbf{3 7 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{g}$.
reactions were left to stir for an extended period of time, dicyclopropanated compounds were also formed that could not be separated from the desired products. In general, the gem-dichlorocyclopropanation reactions proceed very quickly and should be stopped as soon as starting material is no longer observed by TLC analysis. These reactions cannot be monitored by gas chromatography, since the high injection temperatures used in this technique bring about rapid decomposition of the thermally labile gemdichlorocyclopropane products.

## Ag(I)-Mediated Rearrangement

Although methyl vinyl ketone was used in preliminary studies of the sequential ring opening/Nazarov cyclization methodology, the products could not be isolated nor the yields accurately determined. In an effort to examine this reaction more thoroughly, gem-dichlorocyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 d}$ was subjected to a number of different Lewis acids in different solvent systems (Table 2.3) to qualitatively assess the optimal reaction conditions for generation of $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenones.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | Lewis acid | solvent | time (h) | temperature | products |
| 1 | - | MeCN | 96 | $81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | S.M. + 40d (1.6:1) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 72 | r.t. | no reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{AlClEt}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 72 | r.t. | no reaction |
| 4 | $\mathrm{AgOCOCF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 72 | r.t. | S.M. $+\mathbf{4 0 d}+49 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| 5 | AgOTf | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 72 | r.t. | S.M. + 40d + 49d |
| 6 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 48 | r.t. | 49d |
| 7 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | toluene | 72 | r.t. | no reaction |
| 8 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 72 | r.t. | 40d + 49d |
| 9 | $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \\ \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \\ (5: 1) \end{gathered}$ | 36 | r.t. | 49d |

Table 2.3. Optimization for sequential ring opening/Nazarov cyclization reaction.

Initially, 38d was dissolved in refluxing MeCN to determine whether cyclization would occur in the absence of Lewis acid. Not surprisingly, these thermal conditions led to slow conversion of the starting material to chlorodienone 40 d and none of the desired $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone was observed. This result is most likely due to chloride-assisted desilylation of the intermediate pentadienyl cation generated from ring opening of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane, which forces premature termination of the cationic species (Scheme 2.13). In order to prevent this untimely desilylation, a variety of halophilic Lewis acids were examined in order to sequester the free chloride anions present as a result of the ring opening process. All of the reactions in which $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salts were present


Scheme 2.13. Chloride-assisted termination of the pentadienyl cation XII.
promoted ring opening and subsequent Nazarov cyclization to some extent; however, we were pleased to observe very clean conversion of 38 d to $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone 49 d in $78 \%$ yield when $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ was used in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. Although reactions in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ proceeded to completion more quickly than in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the crude reaction mixtures were not as clean. A mixed solvent system of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (5:1) could also be used to effect the transformation in a shorter reaction time and with no apparent effect on the efficiency of the reaction. It is important to note that $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ can sometimes be used as the solvent for these reactions; however, its efficacy appears to be very substrate-specific.

The effect of the silyl substituent on the efficiency of this reaction sequence was also examined. To this end, 2-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxydiene $\mathbf{5 0 d}$ and 2 triethylsilyloxydiene 51d were synthesized using the previously outlined strategy (Scheme 2.14). All of the 2-silyloxydiene compounds were prepared in excellent yields, but the subsequent dichlorocyclopropanation reactions showed evidence of decreased yields when more labile silyl groups were involved. The same trend was observed in the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated Nazarov reactions of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 38d, 52d, and 53d, providing cyclopentenone 49d in decreased yields when the labile triethylsilyl substrate
was employed. These results can be attributed to unwanted formation of the chlorodienone during purification or the ring-opening step.



Scheme 2.14. Effect of silyl substituents on sequential ring opening/ Nazarov cyclization.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, the other gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates (38) were cleanly converted to $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenones 41 and/or 49 in moderate to good yields (Table 2.4). The reactions were stirred at room temperature until the starting material had been consumed and there was no trace of chlorodienone by TLC analysis.

In general, those substrates lacking additional substitution on the cyclopropane moiety provided products 49 selectively as a result of regioselective elimination to deliver the more electron-rich olefin; however, this observation does not hold true for the reaction involving gem-dichlorocyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 h}$. Subjection of $\mathbf{3 8 h}$ to the standard reaction conditions furnished a mixture of 41 h and 49 h (1:1.7) as well as varying amounts of dione enol $\mathbf{5 4 h}$. Dione $\mathbf{5 4 h}$ is most likely the result of trapping 2-silyloxycyclopentenyl cation XIII with adventitious water in the reaction mixture,

|  |  |  |  |  <br> 49 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | product(s) | yield (\%) |
| 1 | 38a | H | H | H | 41a | - |
| 2 | 38b | H | Ph | H | 49b | (see text) |
| 3 | 38d | Me | Ph | H | 49d | 78 |
| 4 | 38e | Me | Ph | Pr | $41 \mathrm{e}+49 \mathrm{e}$ | 87 |
| 5 | 38 f |  |  | H | 49 f | 45 |
| 6 | 38g |  |  | H | 49g | 74 |
| 7 | 38h | H | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $41 \mathrm{~h}+49 \mathrm{~h}$ | 63 |

Table 2.4. $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$-mediated rearrangement of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 38.
followed by dehydrochlorination and subsequent protonation of silyl enol ether XV (Scheme 2.15). Formation of this side-product was exclusive to substrate $\mathbf{3 8 h}$, and accounted for as little as trace amounts to as much as $37 \%$ of the product yield. Attempts to rigorously exclude moisture from the reaction mixture helped to minimize the production of $\mathbf{5 4 h}$, but did not prevent its formation.



Scheme 2.15. Mechanism for the formation of dione enol $\mathbf{5 4 h}$.

The effect of additional substitution on the cyclopropane moiety was investigated when gem-dichlorocyclopropane 38 e was subjected to the standard reaction conditions. This substrate was cleanly converted to a mixture of $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone products 41e and 49e in 87\% yield (41e(trans):49e(trans):41e(cis):49e(cis), 1:3:4.5:7.7). The stereochemistry of these isomers was assigned based on comparison of the coupling constants shared by methine protons in the five-membered ring. ${ }^{22}$ Typical coupling constants for cis-disubstituted cyclopentenones are in the range of $6-7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, whereas the value is much smaller ( $2-3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) for trans-disubstituted compounds. The stereochemical assignment was confirmed when the major product $49 \mathrm{e}($ cis $)$ was converted to the more stable 49 e (trans) by stirring in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of DBU , providing a $12: 1$ mixture in favor of the trans diastereomer (Scheme 2.16). Notably, the cis-isomers of both 41e and 49e were the major products observed under these reaction conditions.


Scheme 2.16. Equilibration experiment: conversion of 49e(cis) to 49e(trans).

The majority of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 38 provided the desired $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenones as a result of sequential electrocyclic ring opening and Nazarov cyclization without the occurrence of unexplained side reactions. Unfortunately, while substrate $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ did participate in the expected reaction sequence to furnish $\mathbf{4 9 b}$, the cyclopentenone product was not isolated. Unanticipated formation of the methyl vinyl ketone 36b was observed in the crude reaction mixture and could not be separated from
the $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone product (Scheme 2.17). Originally it was believed that this impurity was due to the presence of unreacted 2-triisopropylsilyloxydiene in the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ mediated Nazarov reaction; however, close examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of


Scheme 2.17. Anomalous reactivity of gem-dichlorocyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 b}$.
gem-dichlorocyclopropane 38b after purification showed no evidence of contamination by the silyloxydiene. As a result of this anomaly, accurate yields for the formation of 49b cannot be reported.

In a later examination of these substrates, it was found that yields could be significantly improved and reaction times reduced when the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated rearrangement was carried out in refluxing MeCN and in the presence of 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$. A comparison of the yields obtained from these new reaction conditions is shown in Table 2.5.


| entry | substrate | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | 1.5 equiv. $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$ | 1 equiv. $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ <br> $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 d}$ | Me | Ph | H | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $99 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 8 f}$ | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4^{-}}$ | H | $45 \%$ | $86 \%$ |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 8 g}$ | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3^{-}}$ | H | $\mathbf{7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |  |

Table 2.5. Comparison of reaction conditions for the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated Nazarov reaction.

## Support for the Mechanistic Proposal

The mechanism for the transformation of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 38 to their respective cyclopentenone products is believed to occur through disrotatory ring opening followed by conrotatory $4 \pi$ electrocyclization, as outlined at the beginning of this chapter (Figure 2.6). In an attempt to provide support for the proposed mechanism, dienones 40c and 40 h were subjected to $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to ascertain whether the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salt would promote cyclization of a dienone intermediate (Scheme 2.18). In both cases, no reaction



Scheme 2.18. Treatment of chlorodienones with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$.
was observed, which suggests that the mechanism does not proceed through a discrete chlorodienone intermediate, but rather through in situ generation of a pentadienyl cation capable of undergoing Nazarov cyclization.

## An Interrupted Nazarov Reaction

When phenethyl-substituted gem-dichlorocyclopropane 38i was subjected to the established reaction conditions, none of the expected $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone product was isolated; instead, the interesting tricyclic product 55 was isolated in $63 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.19). This product is undoubtedly the result of intramolecular trapping of the transient oxyallyl cation by the pendent phenyl group, followed by apparent loss of HCl


Scheme 2.19. A surprising interrupted Nazarov variant.
and olefin migration. Although there is precedent for arene-trapping in the traditional interrupted Nazarov reaction, ${ }^{23}$ the major limitation of this reaction cascade was that nonsubstituted aromatic rings could not participate in the trapping process. Remarkable participation of the simple phenyl substituent in this reaction suggests that the cationic intermediates formed during ring opening and subsequent electrocyclization are more reactive than those generated under traditional Nazarov conditions. The 2silyloxycyclopentenyl cation appears to possess more cationic character than the analogous Lewis acid-bound species, presenting the possibility for new modes of trapping using gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds. This intramolecular trapping sequence, including a discussion of the probable mechanism for the cascade, will be presented in greater detail in chapter 3.

### 2.2.3 Conclusions

It has been established that the Nazarov cyclization can be accomplished from strategically designed gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds. The process is believed to proceed through disrotatory ring opening of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane moiety, followed by $4 \pi$ electrocyclization of the resultant pentadienyl cation. This sequence leads to the generation of $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenones analogous to those observed from traditional Nazarov cyclization. The desired gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates can be
generated in two high-yielding steps from the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones, which are readily accessible starting materials. The $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated rearrangements proceed in moderate to good yields with substitution at the $\alpha$ - and/or $\beta$-positions on the vinyl moiety, as well as alkyl substitution on the cyclopropane unit. In cases where a potential nucleophile is tethered to the gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrate, an unexpected intramolecular trapping cascade was initiated to furnish a benzohydrindenone product. The noteworthy participation of an unsubstituted phenyl ring under these conditions implicates the generation of highly reactive cationic intermediates compared to those formed during traditional Nazarov cyclization.

### 2.3 A General Approach to the Imino Nazarov Reaction

The evolution of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane variant of the Nazarov reaction has exposed a number of opportunities for new directions in Nazarov methodology. One of the areas we have become interested in is the development of a general approach to an imino Nazarov cyclization. The only example of such a process was reported by Tius and co-workers in $2001,^{24}$ and involved the addition of $\alpha$-lithio- $\alpha$ (methoxy)methoxyallene 56 to $\alpha$-methylcinnamonitrile 57 to provide an allenyl vinyl imine intermediate (XVI) (Scheme 2.20). During a mild acidic work-up of the reaction mixture, intermediate XVI was protonated and underwent spontaneous cyclopentannelation to furnish $\alpha$-aminocyclopentenone 58. Although a number of examples were presented, one limitation of this methodology is that $\alpha$-substitution on the unsaturated nitrile is required for cyclization to occur.


Scheme 2.20. Tius' imino Nazarov cyclization.

The success of Tius' imino Nazarov reaction is significant because it contradicts a computational study that predicted the failure of the simplest possible imino Nazarov reaction. The calculations carried out by Smith and co-workers ${ }^{25}$ predicted that nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ substitution at the 3-position of a pentadienyl cation would impede the cyclization process due to the relative stability of the acyclic cation XVII as compared to the cyclic cationic species XVIII (Figure 2.10). Tius' explanation for the success of this


Figure 2.10. The relative stabilities of cyclic and acyclic cationic species.
imino Nazarov variant relies on the ease of his allene-based cyclopentannelation reactions compared to the classic electrocyclization of divinyl ketones, as well as facile termination of the cyclic oxyallyl intermediate through loss of the MOM protecting group under acidic conditions. These structural features (the allene functionality and MOM protecting group) are apparently necessary for efficient cyclization.

In an attempt to access an alternative and potentially more general approach to the imino Nazarov reaction, we sought a straightforward strategy for the synthesis of 2aminobutadienes 59 as substrates for dihalocyclopropanation. Dichlorocyclopropanation of enamines has previously been reported, ${ }^{26}$ and we were hoping to take advantage of the electron-rich nature of the enamine olefin to promote selective cyclopropanation of 2 aminobutadienes, providing gem-dihalocyclopropane compounds 60 . It was our expectation that strictly thermal conditions might promote sequential ring opening and $4 \pi$ electrocyclization to provide aminocyclopentadienes 61 and/or cyclopentenones 62, depending on conditions used to work-up the reactions (Figure 2.11). In these cases, the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ may not be necessary since premature chloride-assisted termination of the reactive intermediate by desilylation is no longer an issue; however, competing nucleophilic trapping by chloride might be a problem.


Figure 2.11. Proposed strategy for a general imino Nazarov methodology.

### 2.3.1 Preliminary Results and Discussion

At present, only the preliminary results of this investigation are available for discussion; however, the success of our initial attempts has encouraged our efforts in this area. We approached the synthesis of gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds 60 using an aminomercuration reaction ${ }^{27}$ to prepare the desired 2-amino-1,3-butadienes. Enyne 63 was synthesized from $\alpha$-methyl-trans-cinnamaldehyde 44 in $70 \%$ yield ( 2 steps) using a Corey-Fuchs sequence (Scheme 2.21). ${ }^{28}$ Subsequently, enyne 63 was subjected to


Scheme 2.21. Preparation of gem-dichlorocyclopropane 65.
aminomercuration with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in the presence of TEA and morpholine to provide the 2-morpholino-1,3-butadiene 64. The low yield for these reactions is most likely due to facile hydrolysis of the dienamine when exposed to water as well as polymerization/decomposition that may occur during purification by distillation. It is our belief that the yields of these reactions can be improved, but optimization has yet to be performed. Nonetheless, pure dienamine was isolated as a pale yellow oil from this reaction and was subjected to dichlorocyclopropanation using ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in toluene, rather than phase transfer catalysis. The anhydrous conditions utilizing ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOK}$ were consistently higher yielding than the phase transfer conditions, furnishing desired product 65 with very little evidence of enamine hydrolysis. The minimal amount of ketone that was present due to hydrolysis could not be separated from the gemdichlorocyclopropane product; therefore, anhydrous cyclopropanation conditions proved to be the best choice for these substrates.

The gem-dichlorocyclopropane compound 65 was then subjected to a variety of conditions deemed likely to induce the desired ring opening/Nazarov cyclization sequence (Table 2.6). When 65 was treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the reaction did not proceed to completion. After 72 h stirring at room temperature, starting material was still observed by TLC analysis along with evidence of decomposition; however, signals observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture indicated the presence of a minor product, $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone 49d. These results encouraged further investigation, wherein the substrate (65) was subjected to 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN. Under these conditions, we were pleased to see formation of $\alpha$ -
chlorocyclopentenone 49d, which was isolated in $57 \%$ yield. Despite the fact that these reactions were not treated to an aqueous work-up, none of the aminocyclopentadiene compound (61) was observed. This result reflects the sensitivity of the aminocyclopentadiene intermediates towards moisture in the air as well as purification by silica gel chromatography.


Table 2.6. Reactivity of aminodichlorocyclopropane 65.

With these results in hand, we were interested to learn whether the aminodichlorocyclopropanes could undergo efficient Nazarov cyclization in the absence of the $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ salt. When gem-dichlorocyclopropane 65 was dissolved in refluxing MeCN, complete consumption of the starting material was observed after stirring for 12 hours. The reaction provided a mixture of dienone 40 d and cyclopentenone products 49 d and 66, with 66 appearing as the major cyclopentenone isomer as observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. The yields and ratio of products for this reaction were very inconsistent, pointing to an obvious need for further investigation; however, formation of the unusual $\beta$ chlorocyclopentenone 66 warrants some discussion. We propose that the mechanism for
its formation (Scheme 2.22) proceeds through disrotatory ring opening of the gemdichlorocyclopropane moiety, followed by the expected $4 \pi$ electrocyclization to provide a 2-aminocyclopentenyl cation XX. Subsequent elimination would provide a cyclopentadiene species that could experience protonation to generate the iminium intermediate XXII. This very reactive iminium species (XXII) could readily participate in a Michael addition reaction in the presence of free chloride anions. The resultant cyclopentene (XXIII) might then undergo tautomerization to provide XXIV, followed by ionization to afford a second 2-aminocyclopentenyl cation (XXV). Facile conversion to $\beta$-chlorocyclopentenone 66 would then result from conventional elimination and subsequent hydrolysis of the enamine functionality upon work-up. The formation of cyclopentenone 66 suggests that $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ is still a requirement in these reaction mixtures to sequester free chloride anions, removing them from potential interference after the cyclization process.


Scheme 2.22. Mechanistic proposal for the formation of cyclopentenone 66.

Although only preliminary results have been obtained thus far, it appears that gem-dichlorocyclopropane chemistry is a promising starting point for the development of a general approach to the imino Nazarov reaction.

### 2.4 Future Directions

Strategically designed gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds, with alkenyl and oxygen substitution on the cyclopropane moiety, have been found to undergo efficient ring opening, followed by Nazarov cyclization to afford $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentenone products. Development of this methodology has opened a number of avenues for investigation. One such avenue involves the examination of a general approach to the imino Nazarov reaction. We are very eager to investigate the reactivity of various aminodichlorocyclopropanes under established $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated reaction conditions, with the ultimate intention of using the amine functionality as a traceless chiral auxiliary in an asymmetric Nazarov reaction (Figure 2.12).



Figure 2.12. Potential chiral amine substrates for use in an asymmetric Nazarov reaction.

We are also invested in the use of alkoxy groups in place of silyloxy substitution on the gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates. It is our belief that these substrates can be appended with carbon- or heteroatom-based nucleophiles that might participate in
interrupted Nazarov reactions. Such trapping processes would permit the construction of complex polycyclic frameworks, such as 73 and/or 74, in a single operation (Figure 2.13). In an analogous manner the nitrogen functionality on an imino Nazarov substrate could also be equipped with tethered nucleophiles. These substrates would provide the opportunity for valuable new modes of trapping in interrupted Nazarov sequences leading to the construction of novel polycyclic compounds.


Figure 2.13. Novel modes of trapping in interrupted Nazarov reactions.

### 2.5 Experimental

### 2.5.1 General Information

Reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under a positive argon atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Transfer of anhydrous solvents and reagents was accomplished with oven-dried syringes or cannulae. Solvents were distilled before use: methylene chloride from calcium hydride, tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether and benzene from sodium/benzophenone ketyl, toluene from sodium metal. Thin layer chromatography was performed on glass plates precoated with 0.25 mm Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Flash chromatography columns were packed with $230-400$ mesh silica gel (Silicycle). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) were recorded at 400 MHz or 500 MHz and coupling constants ( $J$ ) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were recorded at 100 MHz or 125 MHz and are reported (ppm) relative to the center line of the triplet from chloroform- $d(77.00 \mathrm{ppm})$. Infrared (IR) spectra were measured with a Mattson Galaxy Series FT-IR 3000 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were determined on a PerSeptive Biosystems Mariner high-resolution electrospray positive ion mode spectrometer.

### 2.5.2 Characterization



2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene (37a). Methyl vinyl ketone ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled 2,6-lutidine ( $0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture.

Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature before being quenched with a mixture of triethylamine ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc:TEA 25:1:1) to yield 2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene 37 a ( $463 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Rf 0.72 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $2946,2893,2868,1586,1464,1375,1303,1060,1009,883,684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 5.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.6,135.2,114.6,95.3,18.3$, 13.1; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 226.1753, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 226.1754$.

(1E)-4-Phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene (37b). N -morpholino cinnamide ${ }^{20}$ $43(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled THF ( 20 mL ). The temperature of the reaction mixture was dropped to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding $\mathrm{MeLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}, 3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5$ mmol ) dropwise by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at low temperature and allowed to warm to room temperature overnight before being quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography
(silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield 1-phenyl-butene-3-one 36b ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$, $77 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $3026,1691,1668,1609,1449,1256 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.3$, $143.4,134.4,130.5,129.0,128.2,127.2,27.5$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 146.0731, found: $146.0728 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

1-Phenyl-butene-3-one $\mathbf{3 6 b}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous THF $(5.3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine $(0.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3$ mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine ( 0.5 $\mathrm{mL})$, hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield 4-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene, 37b, (571 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: IR (thin film) 3081, 2943, 2891, 2866, $1587,1327,1027 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.26-$ $1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.4,136.9,129.1,128.5,127.6,126.7,126.6,95.8,18.1,12.8$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 302.2066, found: $302.2062 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

(1E,3Z)-1-Phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-heptadiene (37c). $\quad N$-morpholino cinnamide ${ }^{20} 43(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The
solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $0.72 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at low temperature for 3 h before being quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1) to yield 1-phenyl-(IE)-heptene-3-one $36 \mathrm{c}(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28$ mmol, 27 \% [unoptimized]) as a white solid: m.p. $34-36{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{Rf} 0.48$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3023, 2954, 2939, 2864,1650, 1464, 1452, 984, 745, $688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ (pent, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.39 (sextet, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.6,142.3,134.6,130.3$, 128.9, 128.2, 126.3, 40.7, 26.5, 22.5, 13.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 188.1201, found: m/z 188.1201; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.94 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.57$. Found: C, 82.74; H, 8.73.

1-Phenyl-( $1 E$ )-heptene-3-one $36 \mathrm{c}(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 1.5 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine ( 0.5 mL ), hexanes ( 2.5 mL ), and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield (1E,3Z)-1-phenyl-3-
(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-heptadiene 37c ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Rf 0.76 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3025, 2946, 2867, 1618, 1464, $1062 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ (app. $\left.\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42($ sextet, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.4,137.4$, $128.6,128.1,127.1,126.5,126.3,115.3,28.3,22.8,18.1,14.0,13.9 ;$ HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd, 344.2535 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 344.2535$.

(3E)-3-Methyl-4-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene (37d). $\alpha$-Methyl-transcinnamaldehyde 44 ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in freshly distilled THF ( 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding $\mathrm{MeLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}, 6.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at low temperature for 3 h and then quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 3-methyl-4-phenyl-(3E)-butene-2-ol 45a (1.6 g, $9.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: Rf 0.38 (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3347, 2974, 1947, 1806, 1600, 1443, 1074, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34$ (app. $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28($ app. d, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22($ app. $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$
$6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 141.6,137.6,128.9,128.1,126.4,124.4,73.6$, 21.8, 13.4; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 162.1045, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 162.1045$.

3-Methyl-4-phenyl-(3E)-butene-2-ol 45a ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the solution was added powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves $(7 \mathrm{~g})$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding NMO (1.7 g, 14.4 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. At low temperature, tetrapropylammonium perruthenate $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.48 mmol ) was next added in three equal portions. The reaction was left to stir at room temperature for 3 h before being quenched by filtration through a silica gel plug. The solvent was removed and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 3-methyl-4-phenyl-(3E)-butene-2-one 36d (1.3 $\mathrm{g}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ) as a white solid: m.p. $36-37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Rf 0.49 (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3056,2999,2961,2925,1956,1666,1626,1245,1006 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 200.3, 139.6, 137.8, 135.9, 129.7, 128.5, 128.4, 25.8, 12.9; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 160.0888, found: m/z 160.0884; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.55$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 82.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.62$.

3-Methyl-4-phenyl-(3E)-butene-2-one 36d ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 3.5 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine ( 0.5 mL ), hexanes ( 2.5 mL ), and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$.

After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield (3E)-3-methyl-4-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene, $\mathbf{3 7 d}$, ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Rf 0.75 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3022, 2944, 2866, 1600, 1589, 1463, 1124, $1021 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.8,138.2,133.0,129.3,128.0,127.1,126.4,92.0,18.1,14.7$, 12.9; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd, 316.2222 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 316.2218$.

(1E,3Z)-2-Methyl-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-heptadiene (37e). $\alpha$-Methyl-trans-cinnamaldehyde $44(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 40 $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.45 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $7.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at low temperature for 4 h and then quenched by the addition of $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ at room temperature. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 30 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 2-methyl-1-phenyl-( $1 E$ )-heptene-3-ol $45 \mathrm{~b}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Rf 0.24 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3349, 3024, 2956, 2860, 1446, $1011 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.18-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65($ app. q, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.4,137.6,128.9,128.1$, $126.4,125.7,78.2,34.8,28.0,22.6,14.0,13.1$; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 204.1514, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 204.1510$.

2-Methyl-1-phenyl-( $1 E$ )-heptene-3-ol $45 \mathrm{~b}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 30 mL ). To the solution was added powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 7 g ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before adding NMO $(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 31$ mmol ) in one portion. At low temperature, tetrapropylammonium perruthenate ( 0.17 g , 0.50 mmol ) was next added in three equal portions. The reaction was left to stir at room temperature for 5 h before being quenched by filtration through a silica gel plug. The solvent was removed and the crude material purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $50: 1,40: 1,30: 1,20: 1$ ) to yield (1E)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-heptene-3-one $36 \mathrm{e}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%)$ as a colorless oil: Rf 0.42 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $3057,2958,2932,2872,1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68$ (pent, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40($ sextet, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.7,138.3,137.5,136.1,129.7,128.4,128.4$, 37.4, 27.1, 22.5, 13.9, 13.2; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 202.1358, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 202.1363
(1E)-2-Methyl-1-phenyl-heptene-3-one $36 \mathrm{e}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 2.5 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of
triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield (IE,3Z)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-hepta-1,3-diene, 37e, (16:1, (IE,3Z):(IE,3E); $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 93$ \%) as a pale yellow oil: Rf 0.75 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3022, 2958, 2867, $1622,1464,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (sextet, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.0,138.4,134.9$, 129.1, 128.0, 126.2, 125.3, 111.1, 28.5, 23.0, 18.1, 15.5, 14.1, 13.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd, 358.2692, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 358.2684$.


37
1-Triisopropylsiloxy-1-(cyclohexen-1-yl)-ethene (37f). 1-Acetyl-1-cyclohexene $\mathbf{3 6 f}$ ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 2.5 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 5 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield 1-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1-(cyclohexen-1-yl)-ethene, $37 \mathrm{f},(278 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%)$ as a colorless oil: Rf 0.76 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2943, 2867, 1591, 1464, 1288,
$1017,883 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.32(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.12-2.16 (m, 4H), 1.64-1.70 (m, 2H), 1.56-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.20-1.28 (m, 3H), $1.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.1,133.1,125.1,88.6,25.5,25.1,22.8$, 22.1, 18.1, 12.9; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 280.2222, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 280.2220$.


37g
1-Triisopropylsiloxy-1-(cyclopenten-1-yl)-ethene (37g). The above procedure was used in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 7 g}$ starting with 1 -acetyl-1-cyclopentene $\mathbf{3 6 g}(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ mmol ). The reaction yielded 1-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1-(cyclopenten-1-yl)-ethene, $\mathbf{3 7} \mathrm{g}$, ( $266 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: Rf 0.76 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $2945,2867,1586,1464,1363,1014,883 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.06$ (br s, 1H), $4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (app. $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.94$ (pent, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.3$, $141.3,128.5,91.6,32.9,32.2,23.6,18.1,12.8$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 266.2066, found: m/z 266.2067 .

(3E)-4-Isopropyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene (37h). 5-Methyl-(3E)-hexene-2one 36 h ( $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 5.0 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( 0.59 mL , 2.2 mmol ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, hexanes $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 5 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield (3E)-4-isopropyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene, $\mathbf{3 7 h},(535 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: Rf 0.84 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2960, 2868, 1589, 1464, 1306, $1026,883,678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dd, $J=15.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36($ app. octet, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.6,138.5,124.9,93.1,30.5,22.2,18.0,12.8$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 268.2222, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 268.2219$.

(3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-phenyl-1,3-hexadiene
(37i).
$1-$ (Triphenylphosphoranylidene)-2-propanone $47(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled THF ( 10 mL ). Hydrocinnamaldehyde $48(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture at room temperature. The colorless solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 18 h before being quenched by the addition of hexanes ( 25 mL ). The addition of hexanes precipitated a white solid, which was removed by filtration. The solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 15:1) to yield 6-phenyl-( $3 E$ )-hexene-2-one $36 \mathrm{i}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%)$ as a colorless oil: Rf0.22 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $3028,2928,2858,1697,1675,1627,1360,1255,976,748 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.5,147.0,140.6,131.7,128.5,128.3,126.2,34.4$, 34.1, 26.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 174.1045, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 174.1043$.

6-Phenyl-( $3 E$ )-hexene-2-one $36 \mathrm{i}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 3.0 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly distilled triethylamine ( 0.30 mL , 2.1 mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, hexanes ( 2.5 mL ), and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to yield (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-phenyl-1,3-hexadiene, 37i, $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: Rf0.82 (hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $3028,2944,2867,1589,1464,1321,1028,883,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (dt, $J=7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.3,141.8,130.5,128.5,128.4,128.3,125.8,93.2,35.7,33.9,18.1$, 12.8; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 330.2379, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 330.2375$.


1,1-Dichloro-2-(ethenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38a). Siloxy diene, 37a, ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{~mol}, 16 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Benzyltriethylammonium chloride ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. A solution of $50 \%$ NaOH aq. $(0.37 \mathrm{~mol}, 19.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added in one portion and the reaction was
vigorously stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The reaction was quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield 1,1-dichloro-2-(ethenyl)-2-triisopropylsiloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ ( $0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 1.86$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.69$ (hexanes/EtOAc 10:1); IR (thin film) 2946, 2893, 2868, 1464, 1228, 1070, 883, $682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.09$ (ddd, $J$ $=1.0,10.5,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.9,118.4,65.4,64.6,32.4,18.3,18.2,13.0 ;$ HRMS (EI, $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{OSiCl}$ calcd 273.1441, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 273.1445$.


1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-trans-ethen-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38b). The aforementioned method was also employed in the synthesis of 38b starting with (1E)-4-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene 37b ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was quenched after 3 h and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-trans-ethen-2-yl)-2-triisoprolylsilyloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 b}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: IR (thin film) $3028,2945,2867,1496,1213,1082,691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.09-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.2,133.4$,
$129.0,128.5,127.3,126.9,65.9,64.5,33.3,18.3,13.1$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd, 384.1443 , found: $384.1440 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-phenylpropen-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38d). The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 d}$ starting with (1E)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene $\mathbf{3 7 d}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 3 h and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenylpropen-2-yl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 d}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.58 (hexanes/EtOAc 20:1); IR (thin film) 3024, 2945, 2867, 1495, 1257, 1076, 700, 690 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}{ }^{3}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.7,135.8,129.4,128.9,128.2,127.0,68.7,64.8,32.0$, 18.0, 18.0, 16.0, 12.8; HRMS (EI, M) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 398.1599, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 398.1600 .

cis-1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-[1E]-propen-2-yl)-3-propyl-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38e). The same method was employed in the synthesis of 38e starting with (1E,3Z)-2-methyl-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3heptadiene $37 \mathrm{e}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 1.5 h , and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc

50:1) to yield
(1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-propen-2-yl)-3-propyl-2triisoprolylsilyloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 e}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ 0.69 (hexanes/EtOAc 25:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2947, 2868, 1630, 1464, 1257, 884, 682 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H})$, $1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.8,136.2,131.4,128.9$, $128.3,127.0,70.8,69.2,38.8,26.8,21.6,18.3,18.1,16.8,14.0,13.9 ;$ HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 440.2069 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 440.2078$.

$38 f$
1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-cyclohexenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38f). The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 f}$ starting with 1-triisopropylsiloxy-1-(cyclohexen-1-yl)-ethene $37 \mathrm{f}(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 20 min. and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $50: 1$ ) to yield 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-cyclohexenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $38 \mathrm{f}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.63 (hexanes/EtOAc 20:1); IR (thin film) 2943, 2867, 1464, 1249, 1099, 1081, 1069, $883,682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.70$ (app. septet, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.38$2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 135.8,127.4,67.3,65.1,31.5,26.0,25.3,22.6,22.3,18.2,18.2,13.0$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{OSiCl}_{2}$ calcd 362.1599, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 362.1599$.


38g

1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-cyclopentenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38g). The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 g}$ starting with 1 -triisopropylsiloxy-1-(cyclopenten-1-yl)-ethene $37 \mathrm{~g}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 20 min. and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-cyclopentenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 g}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}$ 0.63 (hexanes/EtOAc 20:1); IR (thin film) 2946, 2868, 1464, 1265, 1243, 1099, 1068, $883,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.68$ (app. pent., $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.58-2.65 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.6$, $131.0,65.3,63.0,33.0,32.8,32.4,23.9,18.2,18.2,13.0$; HRMS (EI, $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{OSiCl}$ calcd 313.1754, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 313.1755$.


1,1-Dichloro-2-(3-methyl-[1E]-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38h).
The aforementioned method was also employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 h}$ starting with (3E)-4-isopropyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-butadiene 37 h ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was quenched after 1 h and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield 1,1-dichloro-2-(3-methyl-trans-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane 38 h ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.78$ (hexanes/EtOAc 20:1); IR (thin film) 2961, 2868, 1668, 1464, 1219, $1080,970,883,769,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
5.66 (dd, $J=15.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (app. octet, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.9,124.7,65.8,64.3,32.3,31.1$, 22.2, 22.0, 18.3, 18.2, 13.0; HRMS (EI, $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right.$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClOSi}$ calcd 315.1911, found: $m / z 315.1895$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-phenyl-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (38i). The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8 i}$ starting with (IE)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-phenyl-1,3-hexadiene $37 \mathrm{i}(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 2 h and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-phenyl-1-butenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $\mathbf{3 8 i}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.70 (hexanes/EtOAc 20:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2944, 2867, 1463, 1222, 1083, 883, $697,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.75(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $7.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.6,134.3,128.7,128.6,128.3,126.2,65.7,64.2,35.4$, 33.9, 32.5, 18.3, 18.2, 13.0; HRMS (EI, [M-Cl] ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{OSiCl}$ calcd 377.2068, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 377.2066$.


1-Phenyl-4-chloro-octa-1,4-dien-3-one (40c). The 2-siloxy-diene, 37c, ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35$ mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.43$
mmol ) was then added and the temperature was dropped to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Potassium tert-butoxide $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to stir at low temperature for 1 h before $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.057 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium tert-butoxide ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added once again. The reaction was quenched by pouring into ice water after 1 h stirring at room temperature. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 1-phenyl-4-chloro-octa-1,4-dien-3-one $40 \mathrm{c}\left(0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%\right.$ ) as a white solid: m.p. $69-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.40$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3028, 2962, 2932, 2873, 1666, 1617, 1576, 1330, $759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44-7.49 (m, 4H), $7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65($ sextet, $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.1,145.3,141.0$, $134.6,134.0,130.7,128.9,128.5,120.5,31.7,21.2,13.9$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{EI}, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd, 234.0811, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 234.0805$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 71.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44$. Found: C, 71.31; H, 6.49.


2-Chlorocyclopentenone
(41a).
1,1-Dichloro-2-(ethenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{3 8 a}$, ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $0.05 \mathrm{M}, 7.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature. The reaction was quenched after 24 h stirring by filtration through a pad of celite/silica gel. This compound was only observed as the major product in a crude reaction mixture. The product was not isolated since it readily decomposed during attempted purification by silica gel or alumina chromatography. Its
presence was implied by partial spectral data: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.12$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.


49b

5-Chloro-3-phenyl-cyclopentenone (49b). Dissolve 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-trans-ethen-2-yl)-2-triisoprolylsilyloxycyclopropane $\quad \mathbf{3 8 b} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0.055 & \mathrm{~g}, & 0.14 & \mathrm{mmol}) & \text { in }\end{array}\right.$ dichloromethane ( $0.05 \mathrm{M}, 2.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature. The reaction was quenched after 18 h . stirring by filtration through a pad of Celite/silica gel. The product was not isolated due to the presence of a persistent contaminant (ketone 36b) that could not removed during attempted purification with silica gel or alumina chromatography. Its presence was implied by partial spectral data: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.59(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5,19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0,18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.39$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.


5-Chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentenone (49d). The above procedure was used in the preparation of 49 d starting with (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenylpropen-2-yl)-2triisoprolylsilyloxycyclopropane, 38d, ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was quenched after 6 days stirring at room temperature. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1, 15:1, 10:1, 8:1, 5:1) to yield 5-chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentenone $49 \mathrm{~d}(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%)$ as an off-white solid: m.p. $99-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.26$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3056, 2943, 1702,
$1615,1352,762,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{ddq}, J=18.0,6.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{ddq}, J=18.4,2.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 202.5,163.8,135.3,134.7$, 130.6, 129.1, 128.0, 53.2, 40.7, 10.6; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd 208.0469, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 208.0467; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 69.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36$. Found: C, 69.75; H , 5.50.

5-Chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-4-propyl-cyclopentenone (49e) and 2-Chloro-5-methyl-4-phenyl-3-propyl-cyclopentenone (41e). The above procedure was used in the preparation of 49e and 41e starting from (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenyl-propen-2-yl)-3-propyl-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $38 \mathrm{e},(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 1 h stirring at room temperature. Purification by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1, 15:1, 10:1, 8:1, 5:1) yielded 49e(cis) : 41e(cis) : 49e(trans) : 41e(trans) (7.7:4.5:3:1, 87\%). Products 41e(cis) and 49e(trans) were inseparable by standard techniques.

Major product 49 e (cis) could be converted to the more stable 49 e (trans) by stirring in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the presence of DBU to give a $12: 1$ mixture in favor of the trans diastereomer.


5-Chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-4-propyl-cyclopentenone (49e(cis). This sample was isolated as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.29$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3059, 2959, 2932, $2872,1713,1624,1346,699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.44-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34-7.40 (m, 2H), $4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.56-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 202.9,168.5,134.9,134.5,129.5,128.7,127.4,59.9,45.3,32.0,20.1,13.8$, 9.8; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd 248.0968, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 248.0968$.


2-Chloro-5-methyl-4-phenyl-3-propyl-cyclopentenone (41e(cis)). The sample was contaminated with (49e(trans)), thus complete spectral data could not be reported: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.35 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.86 (pent, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.64 (ddd, $J=14.0,9.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.13 (ddd, $J=14.5$, $9.5,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.1,172.4,137.2,132.6,128.7,127.8,127.6,51.5,44.3$, 31.6, 19.9, 14.1, 12.2.


5-Chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-4-propyl-cyclopentenone (49e(trans)). The sample was contaminated with ( $\mathbf{4 1 e}$ (cis)), thus complete spectral data could not be reported: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35-7.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41$ (app. d, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.66 (dddd, $J=14.0,10.5,6.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.7,169.3,134.8,134.4,129.7$, $128.7,127.8,58.6,51.9,34.6,20.0,13.9,9.8$.


2-Chloro-5-methyl-4-phenyl-3-propyl-cyclopentenone (41e(trans)). This sample was isolated as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.41$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2962, 2931, $2873,1723,1618,1455,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.28-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,9.5$, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.44 (qd, $J=7.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ (ddd, $J=14.5,9.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.49$1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.1,173.0,140.3,131.8,129.4,127.9,127.8,56.0,50.1$, 31.3, 20.3, 15.5, 14.2; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd 248.0968, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 248.0973.

$\Delta^{1,6}$-8-Chloro-bicyclo[4.3.0]nonen-7-one (49f). The above procedure was used in the preparation of 49 f starting from 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-cyclohexenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $38 \mathrm{f},(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 30 h stirring at room temperature. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1, 30:1, 25:1, 20:1, 10:1) to yield $\Delta^{1,6}$-8-chloro-bicyclo[4.3.0]nonen-7-one $38 f(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.13$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2933, 2863, 1713, 1643, 1399, 1279, $731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12($ app. dd, $J=$ $18.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68($ app. d, $J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.74-1.79 (m, 2H), 1.66-1.72 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.1,171.3$,
137.2, 53.6, 41.6, 28.6, 22.1, 21.7, 20.4; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{OCl}$ calcd 172.0469, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 172.0466$.


499
$\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{1,5} \mathbf{- 3}$-Chloro-bicyclo[3.3.0]octen-2-one (49g). The above procedure was used in the preparation of $\quad 49 \mathrm{~g}$ starting from 1,1-dichloro-2-(1-cyclopentenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{3 8 g},(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 48 h stirring at room temperature. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1, $30: 1,25: 1,20: 1,10: 1$ ) to yield $\Delta^{1,5}$-3-chloro-bicyclo[3.3.0]octen-2-one $38 \mathrm{~g}(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.11$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2925, 2855, 1709, 1631, 1387, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ (app. dd, $J=18.5,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ (app. d, $J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.56-2.61 (m, 2H), 2.43-2.48 (m, 2H), 2.38 (app. pent, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.9,184.1,146.7,59.3,37.8$, 32.5, 27.3, 25.2; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OCl}$ calcd 156.0342, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 156.0341$.

| 5-Chloro-3-isopropyl-cyclopentenone | (49h) and |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cyclopentenone | $\mathbf{( 4 1 h})$. | 2-Chloro-4-isopropyl- | triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{h},(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.05 \mathrm{M}, 6.6 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature. The reaction was quenched after 4 h stirring at room temperature, by filtration through a pad of celite/silica gel. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $50: 1,30: 1,25: 1,15: 1,10: 1)$ to yield 5 -chloro-3-isopropyl-cyclopentenone, $49 \mathrm{~h},(0.017 \mathrm{~g}$,

$0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%$ ) and 2-chloro-4-isopropyl-cyclopentenone, $41 \mathrm{~h},(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, $19 \%$.


5-Chloro-3-isopropyl-cyclopentenone (49h). This compound was isolated as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.28$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2968, 2933, 2875, 1719, 1610, $1174,878,859,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dddd}, J=19.0,7.0,2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (dddd, $J=$ $19.0,2.5,2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.65 (sept., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.3,185.6,125.5,54.2,40.7,32.4,20.7$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd 158.0498, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 158.0500$.


2-Chloro-4-isopropyl-cyclopentenone (41h). This compound was isolated as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.39$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2962, 2930, 2873, 1725, 1625, $1597,1466,1296,1273,959,884 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (dddd, $J=6.5,6.0,2.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24$ (dd, $J=19.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79$ (app. octet, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.7,159.9,135.8,44.9,37.5$, 31.8, 19.8, 19.7; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}$ calcd 158.0498, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 158.0494$.


2-Hydroxy-4-isopropyl-2-cyclopentenone (54h). This compound was isolated in variable low yields under the previously mentioned reaction conditions: $\mathrm{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}} 0.14$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3351, 2960, 2931, 2873, 1699, 1652, 1396, 1240, $1199,1105 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.63 (dddd, $J=6.0,6.0,3.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.51 (dd, $J=19.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.14 (dd, $J=$ $19.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70($ app. octet, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 203.4,152.6,132.0,41.3,36.7,32.2,19.8$, 19.7; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 140.0837, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 140.0838$.


2,3,4,5-Tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one (55). The above procedure was used in the preparation of 55 from ( $1 E$ )-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-phenyl-1-buten-1-yl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $38 \mathrm{i},(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was quenched after 18 h stirring at room temperature. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1, 15:1, 10:1, 8:1, 5:1) to yield 2,3,4,5-tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]napthalen-1-one $55(0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%)$ as an offwhite solid: m.p. $102-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.07$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3067, 2947, 2933, 2906, 2841, 1683, 1629, 1435, $765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 206.1,174.8,134.9$, $134.4,129.1,127.8,127.5,126.7,123.9,35.9,29.2,27.6,27.1$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for
$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 184.0888, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 184.0886$. The spectral properties match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{29}$


2-(N-Morpholino)-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (64). Enyne 63 ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) at room temperature. To this slurry was added a solution of morpholine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF via canula. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 18 h at room temperature before filtration under an argon atmosphere to remove the grey mercury(II) salts. After filtration, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the resultant sticky residue was washed with freshly distilled pentanes ( $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Once again, the solvent was removed and the crude oil subjected to Kugelrhor distillation to provide 2-morpholino-3-methyl-4-phenyl-1,3-butadiene $64(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 33 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: IR (thin film) $2960,2917,2853,1667,1626,1597,1446,1366,1245,1120,987,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 7.25(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta$ $161.0,138.2,137.0,129.5,129.4,128.4,126.9,89.6,66.9,50.1,17.4$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ calcd 230.1539, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 230.1539$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(1-phenylpropen-2-yl)-2-( $N$-morpholino)cyclopropane (65). 2-Amino-1,3-butadiene $64(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.072 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in toluene $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the addition of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}(0.098 \mathrm{~g}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was allowed to stir at low temperature with gradual warming to room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was then transferred to a cold $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (saturated) ( 3 mL ) and stirred for 5 min . The aqueous and organic layers were separated and the aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine solution and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Filtration and removal of the solvent provided a brown oil that was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1, 30:1, 20:1, 10:1, $5: 1)$ to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(1-phenylpropen-2-yl)-2-( $N$ morpholino)cyclopropane $65(0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$ as clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3024, 2957, 2854, 2828, 1666, 1448, 1266, 1116, $1073,1026 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\operatorname{appt}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $10.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.7,133.4,129.7,129.0,128.2,127.2,67.4,66.8$, 61.3, 50.2, 34.8, 20.2; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ONCl}_{2}$ calcd 311.0844, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 311.0847.
(E)-4-Chloro-2-methyl-1-phenylpenta-1,4-dien-3-one (40d) and 4-chloro-2-methyl-3-phenylcyclopent-2-enone (66). gem-Dichlorocyclopropane $65(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{MeCN}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was brought to reflux $\left(\sim 81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 18 h before being cooled to room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo to provide a dark brown oil. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 15:1, 10:1, $5: 1,2: 1$ ) to yield (E)-4-chloro-2-methyl-1-phenylpenta-1,4-dien-3-one 40d $(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.077 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$ and an inseparable mixture of 4-chloro-2-methyl-3-phenylcyclopent-2-enone 66 and 5-chloro-2-methyl-3-phenyl-cyclopentenone 49d ( $66: 49 \mathrm{~d}, 5: 1 ; 0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol}, 46 \%$ ).

(E)-4-Chloro-2-methyl-1-phenylpenta-1,4-dien-3-one (40d). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.47$ (hexanes/EtOAc $8: 1) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.3,142.3$, $137.7,135.2,134.9,129.8,129.0,128.6,123.1,14.1$.


4-Chloro-2-methyl-3-phenylcyclopent-2-enone (66). The sample was contaminated with 49d, thus complete spectral data could not be reported: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.26$ (hexanes/EtOAc

8:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{ddq}, J=6.8,1.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 203.9,164.4,139.6,133.3,129.9,128.7,128.2$, 55.2, 45.1, 10.0.
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## Chapter 3

## Interrupted Nazarov Reactions Using gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes

### 3.1 Introduction

Our investigation into the use of gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates in a sequential ring opening and Nazarov cyclization led to the discovery of an intriguing "interrupted" Nazarov reaction (see Chapter 2). It was found that treatment of the phenethyl-substituted compound 1a with 1.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ provided benzohydrindenone $\mathbf{2 a}$ as the sole product, with no apparent formation of the simple $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenone 3 (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{1}$ This result prompted our examination of


Scheme 3.1. An "interrupted" Nazarov reaction using gem-dichlorocyclopropanes.
appropriately substituted gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates in analogous interrupted Nazarov processes to ascertain the scope of this new cascade process. The synthesis of desired gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates and results from this investigation will be discussed in this chapter, along with a mechanistic rationale for the final dehydrohalogenation step.

## 3.2 gem-Dichlorocyclopropanes as Substrates for Interrupted Nazarov Reactions

Although arene-trapping has previously been demonstrated in traditional Nazarov reactions (see Chapter 1), the participation of an unactivated aromatic ring was unprecedented. This result implied that gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates might proceed through highly reactive 2 -silyloxycyclopentenyl cations (I) resulting from electrocyclization (Figure 3.1). Relative to traditional Nazarov intermediates, these cationic species show evidence of increased electrophilicity, which may be attributed to the presence of an electron-withdrawing chloro-substitutent as well as the absence of stabilizing alkyl substitution on the cyclopentenyl cation I. These cationic intermediates appear to be capable of reacting with even electron-deficient nucleophiles, which presents the possibility for new modes of trapping in the interrupted Nazarov reaction. To assess


Figure 3.1. The proposed reactive oxyallyl cationic intermediate.
the generality of this reaction sequence, a number of substrates with one or more pendent arene moieties were synthesized and subjected to the standard $\operatorname{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ reaction conditions. ${ }^{2}$

### 3.2.1 Traditional Arene Trapping

## Preparation of 2-Silyloxydienes

Many of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates prepared for this investigation were designed to examine the effects of aromatic substitution on the pendent arene nucleophile. The required 2 -silyloxydiene substrates 7 were readily prepared by exhaustive reduction of the corresponding hydrocinnamic acids 4 , followed by a one-pot Swern oxidation/Wittig olefination reaction ${ }^{3}$ to provide desired $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones 6 in moderate yields (Scheme 3.2). The trans geometry of the olefin was confirmed due to observation of a large ( ${ }^{3} J \sim 16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) coupling constant shared between the two vinyl protons in the corresponding ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The ketones were cleanly converted to the 2-triisopropylsilyloxydienes 7 under standard reaction conditions using triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate in the presence of triethylamine, and were


Scheme 3.2. Synthesis of 2-silyloxydienes 7b-f.
readily purified by alumina column chromatography. An analogous strategy was used to synthesize the 2-furyl-substituted compound 7g from 3-(2-furyl)-acrylic acid 8 (Scheme 3.3). The acid was subjected to $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in diethyl ether to provide a mixture of two compounds, 3-(2-furyl)-propanol 5 g and 3-(2-furyl)-2-propen-1-ol 9, in a 3:1 ratio. Prolonged reaction times did not provide complete conversion to the desired alcohol 5g,


Scheme 3.3. The preparation of 2-silyloxydiene 7g.
but resulted in generation of the same mixture of compounds in reduced yields. In order to obtain pure 3 -(2-furyl)-propanol $\mathbf{5 g}$, the mixture of products $\mathbf{5 g}$ and 9 was subjected to hydrogenation conditions, which furnished the desired compound in $85 \%$ yield. The alcohol was then used in a one-pot Swern oxidation/Wittig olefination reaction to provide the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{6 g}$, followed by smooth conversion to the corresponding 2silyloxydiene in $88 \%$ yield after purification.

In an effort to investigate the effect of $\alpha$-substitution on the vinyl moiety, ketones 6 h and 6 i were prepared and converted to the corresponding 2 -silyloxydiene compounds. In the case of $\mathbf{6 h}$, the desired ketone was obtained from an initial Wittig olefination reaction between hydrocinnamaldehyde 10 and (carbethoxyethylidene)-
triphenylphosphorane 11 (Scheme 3.4). The resultant $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 12 was then treated with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride and dimethylaluminum chloride to form Weinreb amide 13. The amide was not isolated, but used directly in the addition


Scheme 3.4. The preparation of 2-silyloxydiene $\mathbf{7 h}$.
of methyllithium to provide ketone $6 \mathbf{i}$ in $68 \%$ yield over two steps. Ketone $\mathbf{6 i}$ was prepared in a similar fashion from 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-propanol (5b) using the previously outlined one-pot procedure to furnish ester 14 (Scheme 3.5). This ester was also converted to the desired methyl ketone $6 \mathbf{i}$ in $54 \%$ yield (two steps) through formation of a Weinreb amide and subsequent treatment with methyllithium.



Scheme 3.5. The preparation of 2-silyloxydiene 7i.

## Dichlorocyclopropanation

With the 2-triisopropylsilyloxydiene substrates 7 in hand, the dichlorocyclopropanation reaction was examined. Earlier studies had demonstrated the effectiveness of phase transfer catalysis to perform regioselective monocyclopropanation on 2-silyloxy-1,3-butadienes, hence the same reaction conditions were employed to effect cyclopropanation of substrates 7b-i (Table 3.1). In all cases, the gemdichlorocyclopropane compounds 1b-i were isolated in good to excellent yields after treatment of the silyloxydienes with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution in the presence of a phase transfer catalyst (triethylbenzylammonium chloride, TEBA). The reactions were monitored closely by TLC analysis for the consumption of starting material, which generally occurred in 20 minutes to 1 hour. Remarkably, in the case of the furyl-


| entry | substrate | Ar | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | time (min) | product | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{7 a}$ | Ph | H | 30 | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{7 b}$ | 3-MeO-Ph | H | 30 | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | 75 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{7 c}$ | 4-MeO-Ph | H | 20 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | 79 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{7 d}$ | 2-Me-Ph | H | 30 | $\mathbf{1 d}$ | 71 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{7 e}$ | 2-Br-Ph | H | 40 | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | 85 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{7 f}$ | 4-TIPSO-Ph | H | 45 | $\mathbf{1 f}$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7 g}$ | 2-furyl | H | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 g}$ | 86 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7 h}$ | Ph | Me | 45 | $\mathbf{1 h}$ | 91 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7 i}$ | 3-MeO-Ph | Me | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 i}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |

Table 3.1. gem-Dichlorocyclopropanation of 2-silyloxydienes 7.
substituted compound (7g), complete conversion to the corresponding gemdichlorocyclopropane $\mathbf{1 g}$ could be effected in a very short reaction time ( 7 minutes) and without any evidence of over-cyclopropanation of the electron-rich furyl substituent (entry 7). Although the synthesis of substrate $\mathbf{1 a}$ was not presented here, the preparation of this compound was discussed in the previous chapter (see Chapter 2).

## Ag(I)-Mediated Interrupted Nazarov Reactions

Once the readily available gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates 1 were prepared, the ring opening/4 $\pi$ electrocyclization/electrophilic aromatic substitution (or "interrupted" Nazarov) sequence could be investigated. Preliminary investigations were carried out with substrates $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$. It was originally believed that these substrates would provide the intended tricyclic products more efficiently than was observed for 1a due to the electron-rich and inherent nucleophilic character of the pendent arene functionality; however, when 1b and 1c were subjected to the standard reaction conditions (1.5 equiv. $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ r.t.), both substrates decomposed to form complex mixtures of inseparable and indeterminable products (Scheme 3.6). Since the polar solvent $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ had shown some success in the fundamental ring opening/Nazarov methodology, substrates 1b and 1c were subsequently treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$; nonetheless, the same decomposition of starting materials was observed.


Scheme 3.6. Treatment of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

These unexpected results compelled us to re-examine the conditions for this intramolecular "interrupted" Nazarov variant. It was apparent that cationic intermediates generated from these substrates (1) under the standard reaction conditions were sufficiently long-lived and could therefore participate in alternate and undesirable termination and/or polymerization pathways. Since ring opening of gemdichlorocyclopropanes is known to occur under strictly thermal conditions, ${ }^{4}$ we examined the possibility of inducing ring opening in refluxing MeCN with the expectation that higher reaction temperatures might improve the rate of ring opening and subsequent $4 \pi$ electrocyclization. Although we had previously seen facile conversion of vinyldihalocyclopropanes to the corresponding chlorodienones under thermal conditions (Scheme 3.7), we believed that the addition of halophilic $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$ to these reactions would


Scheme 3.7. Conversion of vinyldihalocyclopropanes to dienones in refluxing MeCN.
sequester free chloride anions generated as a result of ring opening and thereby prevent them from interfering with the desired cascade process. We were pleased to observe that gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 1b and 1c were cleanly converted to benzohydrindenones 2b and $\mathbf{2 c}$ in $53 \%$ and $76 \%$ yields, respectively, when subjected to 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN (Table 3.2). The success of these reactions prompted reinvestigation of some earlier gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates (Scheme 3.8) using the new reaction conditions. In general, it was found that reaction times were dramatically reduced and
the yields improved when the new $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4} / \mathrm{MeCN}$ conditions were applied.


Scheme 3.8. Comparison of original reaction conditions with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, the remaining gemdichlorocyclopropane substrates 1 were treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN to induce the "interrupted" Nazarov reaction (Table 3.2). In most cases, the desired product was isolated in moderate yields and as a single regioisomer due to electrophilic attack at the least hindered position of the aromatic ring (i.e. para to the methoxy-substituent in $2 \mathbf{c}$ ). Also, all of the tricyclic products (2a-f) had experienced dehydrochlorination and possessed a ring-fusing alkene that was conjugated to the aromatic ring and ketone functionalities. Remarkably, the deactivated aromatic ring in gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrate 1e was capable of trapping the reactive 2 -silyloxycyclopentenyl cation to furnish the bromo-substituted benzohydrindenone product 2 e (entry 5 ). This type of electron-deficient product would not be accessible from the conventional interrupted Nazarov reaction of divinyl ketone substrates, which demonstrates the value of this complementary trapping process. Another interesting observation was made when the silyl-protected phenol 1f was subjected to $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN (entry 6). These reaction conditions promoted deprotection of the labile phenolic protecting group to provide benzohydrindenone 2 f in $39 \%$ after an extended reaction time. The low yield of this reaction can most likely be attributed to premature desilylation, exposing a reactive


Table 3.2. $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-Mediated interrupted Nazarov reactions.
hydroxyl moiety that interferes with the cationic Nazarov intermediates. The presence of more robust protecting groups on phenolic substrates would most likely lead to formation of the desired tricyclic products in improved yields, as evidenced by smooth conversion of the related methoxy-substituted substrate $1 \mathbf{c}$ to benzohydrindenone $2 \mathbf{c}$ (entry 3 ).

Although tethered furan groups were found to participate in the traditional interrupted Nazarov reaction, ${ }^{5}$ we found that gem-dichlorocyclopropane $\mathbf{1 g}$ was rapidly decomposed when subjected to $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN (entry 7). Furthermore, $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ was found to promote decomposition of the same substrate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. Decomposition was apparently initiated by the addition of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, resulting in complete destruction of the substrate after 10 minutes regardless of the solvent or temperature of the reaction. We propose that this substrate's poor reactivity might be due to the incompatibility of the furan moiety with lingering $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ generated during the reaction, since furyl-diene 7 g also underwent slow decomposition when subjected to trace amounts of $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$.

We also explored the effect of $\alpha$-substitution on the vinyl moiety by examining the reactivity of gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates $\mathbf{1 h}$ and 1 i under the standard reaction conditions. When $\mathbf{1 h}$ was subjected to $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$, the simple $\alpha$ chlorocyclopentenone $\mathbf{1 8 h}$ was isolated as the sole product in $97 \%$ yield. None of the trapping product was observed, even after prolonged reaction time (Scheme 3.9). This


Scheme 3.9. The effect of $\alpha$-substitution on the interrupted Nazarov variant.
result suggests that intramolecular trapping by a deactivated phenyl group will not occur when the 2-silyloxycyclopentenyl cation II is stabilized by alkyl-substitution. However, when the 3-methoxyphenyl moiety was used in place of a non-substituted phenyl, the chlorocyclopentanone 19i was isolated in very good yield (entry 9). The cis relationship between the hydrogen and methyl groups at the ring fusion, as well as the relative stereochemistry of the chlorine moiety, was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (see Appendix IV). Notably, this reaction led to the formation of a tricyclic product with retention of the chlorine substituent, which suggests that dehydrochlorination to furnish benzohydrindenones $\mathbf{2 a - f}$ requires that the bridgehead position is unsubstituted.

## Mechanistic Proposal

Treatment of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 1a-i with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ led to the generation of different tricyclic products, which provided important insight into the mechanism of this cascade process (Scheme 3.10). We propose that the mechanism proceeds through the typical chloride-assisted disrotatory ring opening of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane moiety, followed by $4 \pi$ electrocyclization (Nazarov cyclization) to provide the 2 silyloxycyclopentenyl cation IV. This highly reactive cationic species would then be captured by a pendent arene nucleophile to afford the tricyclic intermediate $\mathbf{V}$ upon rearomatization. Protiodesilylation of the silyl enol ether from the least-hindered, convex face of $V$ would then result in the formation of chlorocyclopentanones 19. In the absence of alkyl substitution at the bridgehead position, compounds 19 could experience dehydrochlorination to provide the benzohydrindenone products 2. Since elimination of

HCl to generate an olefin is not observed when " R " is a methyl group, we propose that double-bond formation proceeds through a second oxyallyl cationic intermediate VI, followed by conventional Nazarov-type elimination and protonation to furnish the final products (2).


Scheme 3.10. Proposed mechanism for arene-trapping.

The formation of cyclic oxyallyl cations from the dehydrochlorination of chlorocyclopentanones is precedented in the literature (Scheme 3.11). ${ }^{6}$ Harmata has shown that intramolecular [4+3] cycloadditions can be accomplished by the in situ generation of 2-oxidocyclopentenyl cations (VII) resulting from chlorination and subsequent base-mediated dehydrochlorination of cyclopentanones. Under these conditions, substrates with pendent traps, such as cyclopentanone 20, can generate complex polycyclic products 21 in good yields. The major side-product (22) was undoubtedly the result of a competing elimination process experienced by the cationic intermediate VII.


Scheme 3.11. Harmata's use of $\alpha$-chlorocyclopentanones in [4+3] cycloadditions.

In order to provide experimental evidence for the formation of a second cationic intermediate, we first attempted to capture this species in an intermolecular fashion. To this end, gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrate $\mathbf{1 a}$ was treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ and 10 equivalents of diene (isoprene or 2,3-dimethylbutadiene) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. These components were stirred together at room temperature and the reaction progress monitored by TLC analysis. These reaction conditions prompted complete consumption of starting material, but unfortunately resulted in exclusive formation of a viscous polymeric product. Polymerization was apparently initiated by the formation of cationic intermediates from ring opening of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane, since the dienes themselves showed no evidence of decomposition or polymerization when treated with $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in the absence of substrate 1a.

In an attempt to avoid polymerization, the same reactions were carried out using refluxing MeCN instead of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. It was our hope that the increased reaction temperatures would induce quick and facile ring opening and intramolecular arenetrapping instead of polymerization. Although polymerization was inhibited, these
reaction conditions led to the formation of trace amounts of benzohydrindenone 2a, the chlorodienone 23a, and a new product (24a) (Scheme 3.12). The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 24a appears to have arisen from the formal Diels-Alder cycloaddition of 2,3dimethylbutadiene to the activated olefin resulting from disrotatory ring opening of the


Scheme 3.12. Attempted intermolecular trapping of the second oxyallyl cation.
gem-dichlorocyclopropane moiety. Regardless of when the diene was added to the reaction mixture (i.e. before/after apparent formation of the benzohydrindenone by TLC analysis), the generation of chlorodienone 23a and ketone 24a was inevitable.

### 3.2.2 Novel Mode of Arene Trapping

In order to increase the potential for successful trapping of the putative second oxyallyl cationic intermediate, we envisioned a gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrate with two internal nucleophiles: one to participate in the initial interrupted Nazarov reaction, and the other to capture the second cationic species. The desired $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones 27 were synthesized from a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination reaction ${ }^{7}$ between a dimethylphosphonate reagent 26 and hydrocinnamaldehyde 10 (Scheme 3.13). The ketones were cleanly converted to the silyloxydienes 28 using the previously outlined conditions of triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate in the presence of triethylamine.



29a, $X=2-M e$
29b, $\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{MeO}$

Scheme 3.13. Preparation of gem-dichlorocyclopropanes 29a and 29b.

The geometry of the silyl enol ether olefin in 28a was confirmed by analysis of a 1D TROESY experiment, wherein nOe enhancement of $H_{a}$ and $H_{b}$ was observed when $H_{c}$ was irradiated at 4.74 ppm . The silyloxydienes were then subjected to Makosza conditions for dichlorocyclopropanation to furnish the desired gemdichlorocyclopropanes 29a and 29b. Although the presence of these compounds was clearly indicated by characteristic peaks in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, attempts to purifiy these substrates using conventional silica or alumina-based chromatography prompted rapid decomposition of these gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates. Consequently, the gem-dichlorocyclopropanes were directly subjected to $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$ in refluxing MeCN and the yields for the observed products reported over two steps.

Silyloxydiene 28a was smoothly converted to the tricyclic products 30a and 31a in a 2.7:1 ratio and $68 \%$ yield following cyclopropanation and direct treatment with the
standard $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated reaction conditions (Scheme 3.14). Evidently, the 2methylphenyl substituent was incapable of participating in a second trapping event with the intermediate oxyallyl cationic species, which is most likely due to the low nucleophilicity of the second arene trap. In an effort to overcome this obstacle gemdichlorocyclopropane 29b, bearing a more electron-rich arene moiety, was examined.



Scheme 3.14. Conversion of substrate 28a to benzohydrindenone products.

Surprisingly, silyloxydiene 28b was cleanly converted to a very intriguing and unexpected bridged bicyclic compound, 32b in 57\% yield over two steps (Scheme 3.15). This product is undoubtedly the result of nucleophilic capture by the more electron-rich arene moiety on the initial 2-silyloxypentadienyl cation (VIII). This process proceeded with complete regioselectivity in favour of 6-membered ring formation due to attack on the least hindered side of the oxyallyl cation. Dehydrochlorination to make a second cationic intermediate did not occur in this case, due to structural restrictions imposed by the bridged architecture of 32b. To our knowledge, this type of intramolecular trapping of the cationic Nazarov intermediate is unprecedented.


Scheme 3.15. The unexpected formation of bridged bicycle 32b.

## Characterization of Bridged Bicycle $32 b$

Structural elucidation of the interesting bridged compound 32b was accomplished by thorough analysis of both 1D and 2D NMR spectra and supported by characteristic infrared (IR) absorptions and electrospray mass spectrometry (MS). Initial inspection of the $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum indicated the presence of only 8 aromatic protons, suggesting that electrophilic aromatic substitution had taken place. Two distinct spin systems were identified among these aromatic protons. The most revealing of these indicated the presence of a 1,3,4-trisubstituted arene moiety, as reflected by a doublet at $7.01 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ 8.5 Hz ), a doublet-of-doublets at $6.72 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{3} J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and a doublet at 6.67 $\mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{4} J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. This substitution pattern suggested that the more electron-rich (methoxy-substituted) aromatic ring had participated in capture of the cationic Nazarov intermediate.

With this information in hand, the aliphatic proton resonances were analyzed to ascertain the connectivity of the structural framework. Interestingly, three isolated spin systems were observed when coupling constants and the 2D COSY spectrum were analyzed (Figure 3.2). The first spin system (A) undoubtedly involved the chlorinesubstituted position adjacent to the ketone carbonyl, since a broad doublet was observed
at a significantly high chemical shift ( 4.51 ppm ). The presence of chlorine was also confirmed by mass spectrometric analysis. The broad doublet showed a correlation to the methine proton observed at $2.90-2.92 \mathrm{ppm}$ (multiplet), which subsequently correlated to two doublet-of-doublets representative of the adjacent methylene protons. Surprisingly, the observed bridgehead proton in this spin system showed no correlation to the adjacent methine proton, a triplet at $2.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{B})$. Also, the remaining spin system contained a



Figure 3.2. Isolated spin systems observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.
single methine signal ( $\mathbf{C}$, singlet at 3.33 ppm ) that showed no correlation to any of the other proton resonances. Undoubtedly, these observations can be explained by the bond angles inherent to these rigid frameworks and are therefore characteristic of this class of bridged bicyclic compounds.

The proposed connectivity of compound 32 was supported by the observation of distinctive correlations in 2D HMQC and HMBC spectra.

## Examination of Substrate Scope

Having confirmed the intriguing architecture of bridged bicycle 32b, we turned our attention to the investigation of $\beta$-substitution on the vinyl moiety of analogous gemdichlorocyclopropane substrates 29c-e. These compounds were prepared in the same manner as previously described utilizing Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination to


Scheme 3.16. Preparation of silyl enol ethers 28c-e.
synthesize the requisite $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones $27 \mathrm{c}-\mathbf{e}$ (Scheme 3.16). These compounds were smoothly transformed to the silyl enol ethers using either triethylamine or lithium diisopropylamide as the base, generating the $Z$-isomers exclusively or, in the case of substrate 28d, as the major product in a mixture of $E / Z$-isomers ( $E / Z, 1: 6.5$ ).

The remaining silyl enol ethers (28c-e) were subjected to the two-step cyclopropanation $/ \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated Nazarov cyclization and were cleanly converted to the bridged bicyclic products 32c-e in moderate to good yields over the two-step sequence (Scheme 3.17). Characteristic coupling patterns observed in the $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra and single-crystal X-ray crystallography performed on compounds 32d and 32e (see Appendix IV) unambiguously confirmed the bridged architecture and relative


Scheme 3.17. The formation of bridged bicyclic products 32.
stereochemistry of these novel trapping products. These structures reveal that the cascade sequence proceeds stereoselectively in every case to furnish a single product as the result of conrotatory $4 \pi$ electrocyclization, electrophilic aromatic substitution at the least hindered position on the arene moiety (para to the MeO ), and desilylation with protonation from the exo face of the bicyclic product. A single product was even observed when the starting material existed as a mixture of E/Z-isomers, suggesting that double-bond isomerization occurs upon ring opening of the gem-dichlorocyclopropane with equilibration to the more stable $E$-isomer prior to electrocyclization. This phenomenon has previously been observed during development of the reductive Nazarov reaction (Scheme 3.18). ${ }^{8}$ Dienone substrates $\mathbf{3 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ were individually treated with 1.1 equivalents of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ in the presence of 10 equivalents of triethylsilane to afford



Scheme 3.18. E/Z-Isomerization of dienones in the reductive Nazarov cyclization.
the same cyclopentanone product 35 in good yields. In this case, E/Z-isomerization of the disubstituted olefin occurred during Lewis acid activation of the dienone substrate (34b) prior to electrocyclization.

The interesting carbon framework generated from this novel mode of trapping can be observed in the Galbulimima belgraveana family of alkaloids (Figure 3.3). ${ }^{9}$ Mander has approached the synthesis of these types of compounds using a rhodium (II) catalyzed C-H insertion reaction. Our interrupted Nazarov methodology offers a potentially useful and simplified approach toward advanced intermediates needed for the synthesis of these natural products.
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Figure 3.3. Representative Galbulimima belgraveana alkaloids.

### 3.2.3 Conclusions

We have discovered that appropriately functionalized gem-dichlorocyclopropane compounds can be used to participate in an efficient ring opening, $4 \pi$ electrocyclization, and intramolecular electrophilic aromatic substitution sequence in the presence of $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}$. This cascade process provides access to electron-deficient, neutral, and electron-rich benzohydrindenone compounds as a result of intramolecular arene-trapping and subsequent dehydrochlorination, which we believe to proceed through a second oxyallyl
cationic species. This second cationic intermediate is not formed when alkyl substitution exists at the $\alpha$-position of the vinyl moiety, providing tricyclic products that retain the chlorine substituent.

We have also discovered a novel interrupted Nazarov reaction utilizing electronrich aromatic rings tethered through the cyclopropane moiety. This new trapping pathway provides an expedient and stereoselective route to the construction of complex bridged bicyclic compounds from simple and readily accessible starting materials. The ease with which the gem-dichlorocyclopropanes can be prepared and the efficiency of the cascade processes makes these substrates attractive intermediates for the synthesis of natural products.

### 3.3 Future Directions

We have become very interested in expanding the gem-dichlorocyclopropane approach to both Nazarov and interrupted Nazarov reactions. As outlined in chapter 2, it is our goal to further examine the effects of substitution on these substrates to develop an imino Nazarov variant. We are also interested in the design of new modes of trapping for interrupted Nazarov reactions to generate novel carbon frameworks from simple gemdichlorocyclopropane substrates.

We have observed that aromatic rings tethered through the cyclopropane unit and on the vinyl moiety can lead to the construction of benzohydrindenones and unique bridged bicyclic compounds. Aside from arene traps, we are also interested in examining the use of different trapping species, including oxygen and nitrogen-based, as well as olefin nucleophiles. These trapping processes have been observed in the context of the traditional Nazarov cyclization, and the related interrupted Nazarov reactions utilizing gem-dichlorocyclopropane substrates are currently under investigation in the West laboratories.

Finally, the selective functionalization of chlorine atoms on gemdichlorocyclopropanes is well documented and might allow access to a variety of monochlorocyclopropanes that can participate in sequential ring opening and Nazarov cyclization (Figure 3.4). Similarly, we recognize that the replacement of a single chlorosubstituent with a tethered nucleophile would provide another opportunity for novel intramolecular trapping processes.


Figure 3.4. Participation of monochlorocyclopropanes in Nazarov processes.

### 3.4 Experimental

### 3.4.1 General Information

Reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under a positive argon atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Transfer of anhydrous solvents and reagents was accomplished with oven-dried syringes or cannulae. Solvents were distilled before use: methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ from calcium hydride, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ from sodium/benzophenone ketyl, and toluene from sodium metal. Thin layer chromatography was performed on glass plates precoated with 0.25 mm Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Flash chromatography columns were packed with $230-400$ mesh silica gel (Silicycle) or $\sim 150$ mesh activated, neutral, Brockmann I, standard grade aluminum oxide (Sigma-Aldrich). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) were recorded at 400 MHz or 500 MHz and coupling constants $(J)$ are reported in Hertz (Hz). Standard notation was used to describe the multiplicity of signals observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra: broad (br), apparent (app), multiplet (m), singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), etc. Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were recorded at 100 MHz or 125 MHz and are reported ( ppm ) relative to the center line of the triplet from chloroform- $d$ ( 77.26 ppm ). Infrared (IR) spectra were measured with a Mattson Galaxy Series FT-IR 3000 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were determined on a PerSeptive Biosystems Mariner high-resolution electrospray positive ion mode spectrometer.

### 3.4.2 Characterization



7b
(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(3-methoxy-phenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7b. DMSO (0.12 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{2}$ The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min before adding 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-propanol $5 \mathbf{5 b}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ via cannula. The reaction was stirred for 15 min before adding triethylamine ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise via syringe. The reaction was then allowed to stir for another 15 min before transferring a solution of (triphenylphosphoranylidene)-2-propanone ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the stirring reaction mixture at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature and stirred until disappearance of starting material was observed by TLC analysis (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1). The reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cloudy organic layer was then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 25 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The solvent was removed and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 6-(3-methoxy-phenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathbf{b}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.53$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3003, 2939, 2836, 1696, 1674, $1602,1257 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $16.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
$\delta 198.5,159.8,147.0,142.3,131.7,129.5,120.7,114.2,111.4,55.1,34.4,34.0,26.9$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 204.1150, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 204.1152$.

6-(3-Methoxy-phenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{~b}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to obtain (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(3-methoxy-phenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, $7 \mathbf{7 b},(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.87$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 4:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2867, 1586, 1490, 1464, 1320, 1260, $1152,1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ (app qd, $J$ $=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.6,155.2,143.4,130.5,129.2,128.4,120.9,114.2,111.2,83.2,55.1,35.7$, 33.8, 18.1, 12.8; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Si calcd 360.2485, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 360.2482$.

(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7c. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 7c starting with 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanol $5 \mathrm{c}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ after 48 h stirring at room temperature. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 6-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{c}\left(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%\right.$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.44$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3004,2934,2836,1674,1626,1513,1361,1248,1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52$ (app q, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.5,158.0,147.2$, $132.7,131.7,129.2,113.9,55.2,34.4,33.5,26.8$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 204.1150, found: m/z 204.1150.

6-(4-Methoxy-phenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{c}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to obtain (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(4-methoxy-phenyl)-1,3hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{c},(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.78$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2867, 1588, 1513, 1464, 1320, 1247, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\operatorname{appq}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $8158.0,155.6,134.2,130.9$, $129.6,128.6,114.0,93.4,55.5,35.0,34.4,18.3,13.1$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ calcd 360.2485 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 360.2486$.

(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-methylphenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7d. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 7d starting with 3-(2-methylphenyl)propanol $5 \mathbf{d}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 6-(2-methyl-phenyl)-( $3 E$ )-
hexene-2-one $6 \mathbf{d}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.55$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3016,2940,1675,1626,1361,1254,976,744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.11-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.5,147.2,138.8,135.8,131.6,130.3,128.6,126.4$, 126.1, 32.9, 31.8, 26.9, 19.3; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 188.1201, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 188.1201.

6-(2-Methyl-phenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{~d}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under the previously outlined conditions to obtain (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-methyl-phenyl)-1,3hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{~d},(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.93$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 4:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, 1589, 1463, 1321, 1027, 883, $685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.11-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 155.3,139.9,135.8,130.6,130.1,128.9,128.4,125.9,125.9,93.1,32.9,32.7$, 19.3, 18.1, 12.8; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 344.2535 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 344.2530$.

(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-bromophenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7e. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 7e starting with 3-(2-bromophenyl)propanol $5 \mathrm{e}(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by flash column
chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield 6-(2-bromophenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{e}\left(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%\right.$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.46$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3010,2931,2862,1674,1626,1471,1360,1254,1023,752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ $(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10$ (d, $J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\operatorname{app~q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}{ }^{1} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.5,146.5,139.9,132.9,131.9,130.3,128.0,127.6$, 124.3, 34.7, 32.6, 26.9; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OBr}$ calcd 252.0150, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 252.0143.

6-(2-Bromophenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 \mathrm{e}(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to yield (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-bromophenyl)-1,3hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{e},(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.79$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2866, 1589, 1470, 1321, 1025, 883, 748, 685 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53$ (app d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.03-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{qd}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19-1.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.1,140.8,132.7$, $130.4,129.8,128.6,127.4,127.2,124.3,93.2,35.8,32.0,18.0,12.7$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{OSiBr}$ calcd 408.1484, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408.1494$.

(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(4-triisopropylsiloxyphenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7f. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 7 f starting with 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propanol $5 \mathbf{f}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield 6-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-( $3 E$ )-hexene-2-one $6 \mathbf{f}(0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.28 (hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $3347,3020,2924,2855,1665,1614,1515$, $1447,1363,1365,1227,974,832 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.04(\operatorname{app~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\operatorname{app~q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24$ (s, 3 H ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.0, 154.1, 147.5, 132.6, 131.6, 129.4, 115.4, 34.4, 33.5, 26.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 190.0994, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 190.0994$.

6-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $6 f(0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under the previously outlined conditions to obtain (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(4-triisopropylsiloxyphenyl)-1,3-hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{f},(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.86$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2867, 1510, $1464,1263,1028,917,883685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.01$ (app d, $J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\operatorname{app~q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.3,154.0,134.1,130.6,129.2,128.4,119.6,93.1,34.9,34.2$, 18.1, 17.9, 12.8, 12.7; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$ calcd 502.3662, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 502.3669.


79
(3E)-2-(Triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-furyl)-1,3-hexadiene, 7g. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 7 g starting with 3 -(2-furyl)-propanol $5 \mathrm{~g}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.0 mmol ). The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield 6-(2-furyl)-(3E)-hexene-2-one $\mathbf{6 g}(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$, $46 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.48$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2919, 1734, 1675, $1627,1361,1255,1010,734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.5,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.24 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.4,154.1,146.3,141.1,131.7,110.1$, 105.4, 30.7, 26.8, 26.5; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 164.0837, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 164.0838.

6-(2-Furyl)-( $3 E$ )-hexene-2-one 6 g ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under the previously outlined conditions to obtain (3E)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-6-(2-furyl)-1,3-hexadiene, $7 \mathbf{g}$, ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.80$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2867, 1591, 1464, 1321, 1027, 883, 727, $684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.0$,
$7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.5,155.2,140.8,130.0$, 128.7, 110.0, 105.0, 93.4, 30.5, 27.8, 18.0, 12.8; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ calcd 320.2172 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 320.2174$.


7h
(3E)-3-Methyl-6-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-hexadiene, 7h.
(Carbethoxyethylidene)triphenylphosphorane $11(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of hydrocinnamaldehyde $10(0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. After 18 h stirring, the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration and evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1) to yield ethyl 2-methyl-5-phenyl-2E-pentenoate $12(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.64$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2981, 2930, 1709, 1650, 1266, 1116, 1081, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.2$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.0,141.1,140.8,128.3,128.2$ (2 C), 126.0, 60.3, 34.6, 30.5, 14.2, 12.2; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 218.1307, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218.1306$.
$N, O$-Dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the temperature lowered to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dimethylaluminum chloride (12.10 $\mathrm{mL}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added to the reaction mixture dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h during which it was slowly allowed to warm to room temperature. A solution of ethyl 2-methyl-5-phenyl-2E-pentenoate $12(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 mL ) was then added to the reaction flask dropwise via cannula. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h before being quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous and organic layers were separated after 10 min of vigorous stirring. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were then washed with brine ( 50 mL ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to yield an orange oil.

The crude material was subsequently re-dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MeLi ( 1.6 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe, during which the reaction mixture changed color from pale orange to dark red. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after stirring for 45 min . Aqueous HCl $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the aqueous and organic layers were subsequently separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers washed with brine ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to yield a pale yellow oil. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 10:1, 9:1, 7:1, 5:1, 3:1) to provide 3-methyl-6-phenyl-3E-hexen-2-one 6h ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.60$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2927, 2859, 1668, 1642, 1367, 1277, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31($ app $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\operatorname{app~q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.8, 142.2, 141.0, 138.2, 128.5, 128.3, 126.2, 34.7, 30.9, 30.3, 25.4; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 188.1201, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 188.1202.

3-Methyl-6-phenyl-3E-hexen-2-one $6 \mathrm{~h}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to yield (3E)-3-methyl-6-phenyl-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{~h},(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.79$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $2945,2867,1590,1463,1299,1021,883,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.13($ app $\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.6,141.9,131.5,128.4,128.3,127.3,125.7,90.0,35.7,30.1,18.1,13.3$, 12.8; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36}$ OSi calcd 344.2535, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 344.2528$.

(3E)-3-Methyl-6-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-hexadiene, 7i. DMSO ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride $(0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(24 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{3} .^{3}$ The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min before adding 3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-propanol $\mathbf{5 b}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ via cannula. The reaction was stirred for 15 min before the addition of triethylamine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
dropwise via syringe. The reaction was then allowed to stir for another 15 min before transferring a solution of (carbethoxyethylidene)triphenylphosphorane $\mathbf{1 1}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ to the stirring reaction mixture at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was slowly allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred until the disappearance of starting material was observed by TLC analysis (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1). The reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cloudy organic layer was then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 $\times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The solvent was removed and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1) to yield ethyl 2-methyl-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2E-pentenoate 14 $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$ as a colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.56$ (hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $2981,2938,1710,1650,1602,1489,1264,1153,1114,1080,1051 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\operatorname{app~dt}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ (q, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.1,159.7,142.9$, $140.8,129.4,128.5,120.7,114.1,111.4,60.4,55.1,34.8,30.5,14.3,12.3$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ calcd 248.1412, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 248.1409$.
$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-Dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the temperature lowered to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dimethylaluminum chloride (1.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added to the reaction mixture dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h during which it was slowly warmed to room temperature. A solution of ethyl 2-methyl-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2E-pentenoate 14 (0.08 $\mathrm{g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the reaction flask dropwise via cannula. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 48 h before being
quenched by the addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous and organic layers were separated after 10 min of vigorous stirring. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 $x 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were then washed with brine $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to yield a yellow oil.

The crude material was subsequently re-dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the temperature dropped to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeLi}\left(1.6 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction was quenched after 2 h stirring at low temperature by the addition of HCl aq. ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous and organic layers were subsequently separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were then washed with brine ( 15 mL ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to yield a pale yellow oil. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (hexanes/EtOAc 10:1) to isolate 3-methyl-6-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3E-hexen-2-one $\mathbf{6 i}$ $(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.53$ (hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $3000,2928,1668,1642,1602,1489,1265,1152,1051,780,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.22(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{td}, J=7.6,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.75-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.64(\mathrm{tq}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\operatorname{app~q}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.6, $159.6,142.5,142.1,138.0,129.4,120.6,114.1,111.2,55.0,34.6,30.6,25.3,11.0$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 218.1307, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218.1308$.

3-Methyl-6-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3E-hexen-2-one $6 \mathbf{( 0 . 1 1} \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the
previously outlined conditions to yield (3E)-3-methyl-6-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-hexadiene, $7 \mathrm{i}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.79$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, 1586, 1464, 1299, $1260,1152,1021,883,684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\operatorname{app~q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $159.5,157.4,143.5,131.3,129.1,127.1,120.8,114.1,111.0,89.9,55.0,35.6,29.9,18.0$, 13.2, 12.7; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Si calcd 374.2641, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 374.2644$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1b. Siloxy diene, $7 \mathbf{b},(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $0.073 \mathrm{~mol}, 5.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Benzyltriethylammonium chloride ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22$ mmol ) was added to the reaction mixture. A solution of $50 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ aq. ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mol}, 5.5$ mL ) was then added in one portion and the reaction was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by dilution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed and the crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield (IE)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 75$
\%) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.40$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, $1602,1585,1465,1261,1083,883,772,685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\operatorname{app~q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(125 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.7,142.9,134.0,129.3,128.1,120.7,114.2,111.2,65.4,64.0,55.1,35.2$, 33.5, 32.2, 18.0, 17.9, 12.8; HRMS (ESI, $\left[M+{ }^{+}\right]^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2}$ calcd 443.1934, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 443.1937$.


## (IE)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-

triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1c. The same method was employed in the synthesis of $1 \mathbf{c}$ starting with siloxy diene $7 \mathrm{c}(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 20 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (IE)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 88$ \%) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.26$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, $1613,1513,1464,1247,1040,883 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.09(\operatorname{app~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.9,134.2,133.4,129.2,127.9,113.8,64.0,65.9,55.2,34.3$,
33.9, 32.2, 18.0, 17.9, 12.8; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2}$ calcd 442.1862, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 442.1872$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(2-methylphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1d. The same method was employed in the synthesis of 1 d starting with siloxy diene $7 \mathbf{d}(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 30 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(2-methylphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.67$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2967, 1463, $1222,1083,883,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=15.5,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{brt}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{app}$ q, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.06-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.5,135.8,134.3,130.2,128.6$, $127.9,126.1,126.0,65.4,64.0,32.5,32.4,32.2,19.2,18.0,18.0,12.8$; HRMS (ESI, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{OSiCl}_{2}$ calcd, 427.1985 found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 427.1986$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(2-bromophenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1e. The same method was employed in the synthesis
of 1 e starting with siloxy diene $7 \mathrm{e}(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 45 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(2-bromophenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.48$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2944, 2866, 1470, $1221,1083,1024,882,749,682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.5,133.7,132.9,130.2,128.3,127.7,127.4,124.4,65.4,64.0,35.4,32.2$, 32.1, 18.0, 18.0, 12.8; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{OSiBrCl}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 513.0753, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 513.0754$.

(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(4-triisopropylsiloxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1f. The same method was employed in the synthesis of $1 f$ starting with siloxy diene $7 \mathbf{f}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 40 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(4-triisopropylsiloxyphenyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane (0.14 g, 0.24 $\mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.40$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 3029, $2945,2867,1609,1510,1463,1264,1083,917,883,684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.01(\operatorname{app~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5$, $0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.2,134.3,133.6$, 129.1, 127.8, 119.7, 64.0, 34.4, 33.9, 32.2, 18.0, 18.0, 17.9, 12.8, 12.7; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ calcd 585.3112, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 585.3112$.


19
(1E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(4-(2-furyl)-1-butenyl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1g. The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 g}$ starting with siloxy diene $\mathbf{7 g}$ $(0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 7 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(2-furyl)-1-butenyl)-2triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%)$ as a clear, yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.53 (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, 1669, 1597, 1464, 1223, 1083, $883,729,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.0,0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $15.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $155.0,140.9,133.5,128.4,110.1,105.1,63.9,32.3,30.4,27.4,18.0,18.0,12.8 ;$ HRMS ( $\mathrm{ESI},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2}$ calcd 403.1621, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 403.1621$.

(2E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(5-phenyl-2-penten-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1h. The same method was employed in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 h}$ starting with siloxy diene $\mathbf{7 h}$ (0.24 $\mathrm{g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 45 min and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 50:1) to yield (2E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(5-phenyl-2-penten-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.68$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) $2945,2868,1464,1256,1087,1071,884,686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.26-7.29(m, 2H), 7.17-7.20(m, 3H), $5.47(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1-14(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 141.6,133.6$, $129.3,128.3,128.3,125.9,68.2,64.7,35.0,31.7,29.3,18.0,17.9,14.4,12.7$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{OSiCl}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 449.1805, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 449.1799$.

(2E)-1,1-Dichloro-2-(5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-penten-2-yl)-2-
triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1i. The same method was employed in the synthesis of 1 i starting with siloxy diene $7 \mathrm{i}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ after 1.5 h and the crude material purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 20:1) to yield (2E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(5-(3-
methoxyphenyl)-2-penten-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, 88 \%) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.56$ (hexanes/EtOAc 50:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, $1602,1585,1464,1257,1084,1046,883,687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{tq}, J=7.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.7$, $143.2,133.6,129.3$ (2 C), 120.7, 114.2, 111.1, 68.2, 64.7, 55.1, 35.1, 31.7, 29.2, 18.0, 17.9, 14.4, 12.7; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 479.1910, found: m/z 479.1909.


2a
2,3,4,5-Tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 2a. (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-phenyl-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1a, ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile ( $0.05 \mathrm{M}, 8.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion and the reaction flask was equipped with a reflux condenser. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 12 h and was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short pad of Celite and silica gel. After removal of the solvent, the crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield 2,3,4,5-tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one (0.062 g, $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) as an off-white solid: m.p. $102-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.07$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3067, 2947, 2933, 2906, 2841, 1683, 1629, 1435, $765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.68-2.70 (m, 2H), $2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 206.1,174.8,134.9,134.4,129.1,127.8,127.5,126.7,123.9,35.9,29.2,27.6$, 27.1; HRMS (EI, $M^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 184.0888, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 184.0886$.


7-Methoxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 2b. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 b}$ from ( $1 E$ )-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{1 b}$, $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 7 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield $\mathbf{2 b}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%)$ as an off-white solid: m.p. $93-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.15$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2935, 2838, 1680, 1607, 1502, 1253, 1037, $872 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.2,172.3,159.2,136.4,134.7,125.1,122.2,114.0$, 111.0, 55.2, 35.9, 29.1, 28.0, 26.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 214.0994, found: m/z214.0996.


2c
8-Methoxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 2c. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of $2 \mathbf{c}$ from (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, 1 c , $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38$
mmol ). The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 24 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield $2 \mathbf{c}(0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%)$ as an off-white solid: m.p. $98-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.13$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2937, 2838, 1692, 1607, 1495, 1218, $1041 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.0,175.5,158.5$, $134.8,130.0,128.2,126.4,113.8,109.1,55.4,35.8,29.9,27.4,26.8$; HRMS (EI, $M^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 214.0994, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 214.0995$.


2d

6-Methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 2d. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 d}$ from (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(2-methylphenyl)-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\quad \mathbf{1 d},\left(\begin{array}{lll}0.06 & \mathrm{~g}, & 0.14\end{array}\right.$ mmol). The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 7 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield $2 \mathbf{d}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%)$ as an off-white solid: m.p. $95-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.18$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2949, 2922, 2902, 1682, 1635, 1476, 1428, 1378, $1044,949,795 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-$ $2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 206.1,174.2,135.0,134.9$,
$132.6,129.9,129.0,126.1,121.9,35.9,29.0,26.8,23.6,20.0$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 198.1045, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 198.1047.

$2 e$
6-Bromo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-cyclopenta $[a]$ naphthalen-1-one, 2e. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 2 e from (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(2-bromophenyl)-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $1 \mathbf{e},(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 24 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 5:1) to yield $2 \mathrm{e}(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $92-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.12$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $2922,1688,1637,1554,1464,1430,1384,1167,1114,941,796,722$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.3,175.2,134.2,133.6,131.9,131.0,127.9$, 123.6, 123.0, 35.9, 28.8, 27.2, 26.5; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{OBr}$ calcd 261.9993, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 261.9994$.


8-Hydroxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 2f. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 2 f from (1E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(4-(4-triisopropylsiloxyphenyl)-1-buten-1-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{1 f}$, ( 0.13 g ,
0.22 mmol ). The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 48 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $3: 1)$ to yield $2 \mathrm{f}(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $197-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.21$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3225, 2922, 2810, 1655, 1625, 1451, 1397, 1235, $895,833 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-$ $2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $207.0,176.6,154.9,134.6,129.6,128.4,125.8,114.4,111.0,35.8,29.3,27.4,26.6$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 200.0837, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 200.0837$.


18h
5-Chloro-2-methyl-3-(2-phenylethyl)-cyclopent-2-en-1-one, 18h. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 18 h from (2E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(5-phenyl-2-penten-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $\mathbf{1 h},(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 6 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $25: 1,20: 1,15: 1,10: 1,8: 1$, etc. $)$ to yield $18 \mathrm{~h}(0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $41-43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.19$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2924, 1711, 1642, 1454, $1385,751,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.63(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.2,169.6,140.1,135.1$,
128.7, 128.2, 126.6, 52.9, 40.7, 33.0, 32.8, 8.2; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{OCl}$ calcd 234.0811, found: $\mathbf{m} / \mathrm{z} 234.0809$.


## 2-Chloro-7-methoxy-9b-methyl-2,3,3a,4,5,9b-hexahydro-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-

 one, 19i. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 19 i from (2E)-1,1-dichloro-2-(5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-penten-2-yl)-2-triisopropylsilyloxycyclopropane, $1 \mathrm{i},(0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was cooled to room temperature after 18 h stirring at reflux. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $10: 1,9: 1,8: 1,7: 1$, etc.) to yield $19 \mathrm{i}(0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2928, 2863, 1750, 1608, 1499, 1453, 1260, 1244, $1037,834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8$, $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (ddd, $J=18.4,12.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.6,6.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $12.8,8.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.25 (dddd, $J=18.0,5.6,3.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.43 (s, 3 H ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 212.6,158.1,136.2,130.1,126.2,113.8$, $113.0,56.9,55.0,49.3,39.8,33.7,26.9,24.9,20.8$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}$ calcd 287.0809, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 287.0809$.


28a
(2Z, 4E)-1-(2-Methylphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28a. Dimethyl 4-(2-methylphenyl)-2-oxobutylphosphonate ${ }^{7}$ ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ). This solution was transferred by cannula to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 $\min$ at low temperature before removal of the ice bath and subsequent stirring at room temperature for 30 min . Hydrocinnamaldehyde ( $0.053 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was then transferred by cannula to the reaction mixture, which was allowed to stir for another 18 h before the addition of glacial acetic acid. The crude material was then filtered through a short pad of silica gel (EtOAc) and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to provide a yellow oil. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $10: 1,9: 1,8: 1,7: 1$, etc.) to yield 1 -(2-methylphenyl)-7-phenyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one 27a as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.62$ (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3062, 3026, 2931, 2860, 1696, 1670, 1629, 1495, $1454,977,747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.11-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-$ $2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{pseudo} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.5,146.2,140.7,139.3,135.9,130.7,130.3,128.6,128.5,128.3$, $126.2,126.2,126.1,40.4,34.4,34.1,27.5,19.3$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 278.1670, found: $m / z 278.1671$.

1-(2-Methylphenyl)-7-phenyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one 27a ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 1.0 mL ). The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and freshly distilled triethylamine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. Triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.094 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The reaction was quenched with a mixture of triethylamine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, hexanes $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc:TEA 50:1:1) to yield (2Z, 4E)-1-(2-methylphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, $\mathbf{2 8 a},(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, $86 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.81$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3026, 2944, 2866, 1651, 1462, 1014, 883, 742, 698, $682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=15.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.43 (pseudo $\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.6,141.7,139.8,136.3,130.0,129.6,128.7,128.6,128.4,128.3$, $126.0,126.0,125.9,109.5,35.7,34.0,30.1,19.5,18.2,13.8$; HRMS (EI, M+ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{OSi}$ calcd 434.3005 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 434.3004$.


28b
(2Z, 4E)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28b. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ starting with dimethyl 4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxobutylphosphonate ${ }^{7}(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and
hydrocinnamaldehyde ( $0.069 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 10:1, 9:1, 8:1, 7:1, etc.) to yield 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one 27b as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.57 (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2935, 2860, 1695, 1671, 1629, $1602,1491,1454,1260,1153,1050,976,781,699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.31 (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.18-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-$ $2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55$ (pseudo $\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.3,159.7,146.3,142.9,140.7,130.7,129.5$, $128.5,128.3,126.2,120.7,114.2,111.4,55.2,41.6,34.4,34.1,30.1 ;$ HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 294.1620, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 294.1618$.

1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one 27 b ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.072 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to yield (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28b, ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.63$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3027, 2944, 2866, 1601, 1490, $1465,1454,1258,1149,1015,884,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 159.7, 149.6, 143.3, $141.6,129.5,129.2,128.8,128.4,128.3,125.8,120.8,114.0,111.2,109.9,55.1,35.6$,
33.9, 32.2, 18.1, 13.8; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ calcd 450.2954, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 450.2955.

(2Z, 4E)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28c. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 28 c starting with dimethyl 4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxobutylphosphonate ${ }^{7}(0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isobutyraldehyde $(0.060 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $10: 1,9: 1,8: 1,7: 1$, etc.) to yield 1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one $27 \mathrm{c}(0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.66$ (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2962, 2871, 1672, $1602,1491,1260,1153,1051,982,780 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46$ (pseudo oct-of-d, $J=7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.8,159.7,153.7,143.0,129.4,127.5,120.7,114.2,111.3,55.1$, 41.6, 31.1, 30.2, 21.3; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ calcd 232.1463, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 232.1459.

1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-(4E)-hepten-3-one $27 \mathrm{c}(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.054 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under the previously outlined conditions to yield (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28c, ( $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.75$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2947, 2867, 1610, 1490, 1465, $1258,1149,1014,883,682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
6.82 (br d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\operatorname{app}$ oct, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.6,149.8,143.5$, $137.0,129.2,125.9,120.8,114.1,111.1,109.4,55.1,32.2,30.8,22.3,18.1,13.7$; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Si calcd 388.2797, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 388.2800$.

(2Z, 4E)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28d. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 28d starting with dimethyl 4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxobutylphosphonate ${ }^{7}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ and propionaldehyde ( $0.058 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $10: 1,9: 1,8: 1,7: 1$, etc.) to yield $1-(3-$ methoxyphenyl)-(4E)-hepten-3-one $27 \mathrm{~d}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%)$ as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.65$ (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2966, 2936, 1671, 1696, 1628, $1602,1491,1455,1260,1153,1051,978,781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.20$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (pseudo pent, $J$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 199.6,159.7$, 149.0, 143.0, 129.4, 129.4, 120.7, 114.1, 111.3, 55.1, 41.5, 30.1, 25.6, 12.4; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 218.1307, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 218.1301$.

1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-(4E)-hepten-3-one $27 \mathrm{~d}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 4 mL ) was added by cannula to a stirring solution of LDA ( 0.58 mmol ) in THF ( 4 mL ) at -
$78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{10}$ The reaction was allowed to stir at low temperature for 40 min before the dropwise addition of triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ by syringe. The reaction was slowly allowed to warm to room temperature and was quenched by the addition of triethylamine ( 1 mL ), hexanes ( 5 mL ), and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 5 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed and the crude oil purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc:TEA 50:1:1) to yield (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28d, as the major product in a mixture of $2 Z: 2 E(6.5: 1)$ isomers $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%): \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.76$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2962, 2868, 1601, 1464, 1356, 1258, 1149, $1051,884,683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10($ app pent, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $159.6,149.7,143.5,131.6,129.2,127.9,120.8,114.0,111.2,109.2,55.1,32.2,25.3$, 18.1, 13.7, 13.4; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ calcd 374.2641 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 374.2638$.


28e
(1E, 3Z)-5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-pentadiene, 28e. The previously outlined procedure was used in the synthesis of 28e starting with dimethyl 4-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxobutylphosphonate ${ }^{7}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde ( $0.030 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $10: 1,9: 1,8: 1,7: 1$, etc.) to yield 5 -(3-
methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-(1E)-penten-3-one $27 \mathrm{e}(0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $41-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.53$ (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) 3027, 2937, $2835,1690,1662,1611,1493,1450,1260,1153,1051,782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 199.2, $159.8,142.9,142.7,134.5,130.5,129.5,129.0,128.3,126.2,120.7,114.2,111.4,55.2$, 42.3, 30.2; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ calcd 266.1307, found: m/z 266.1305; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 81.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 81.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.96$.

5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-(IE)-penten-3-one $27 \mathrm{e}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with triisopropylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ under the previously outlined conditions to yield (IE, 3Z)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-pentadiene, 28e, ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.81$ (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2945, 2867, 1600, 1490, 1465, $1359,1258,1149,1049,1014,884,692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40$ (pseudo d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34 (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.21-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.28-1.38 (m, 3H), $1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.7$, $149.9,142.9,137.1,129.3,128.6,127.7,127.5,127.4,126.4,120.9,114.1,112.9,111.4$, 55.1, 32.5, 18.1, 13.9; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Si calcd 422.2641, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 422.2641.

3-(2-Methylbenzyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, 30a, and 3-(2-methylbenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-3aH-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1(9bH)-one, 31a. (2Z, 4E)-1-(2-Methylphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28a, ( $0.092 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21$ mmol) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(0.021 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ does not need to be freshly distilled or pre-dried for this reaction. Benzyltriethylammonium chloride (0.014 $\mathrm{g}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture. A solution of $50 \% \mathrm{aq} . \mathrm{NaOH}(0.040$ $\mathrm{mol}, 1.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was then added in one portion and the reaction was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 12 min . The reaction was then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were then washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed to provide a brown-orange oil.

The crude oil was re-dissolved in acetonitrile ( $0.05 \mathrm{M}, 4.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}(0.041 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.21 mmol ) was added in one portion and the reaction flask was equipped with a reflux condenser. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 20 h and was then allowed to cool to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short pad of Celite/silica gel. After removal of the solvent, the crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \rightarrow 4 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \rightarrow 8 \% \rightarrow 10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to yield $30 \mathrm{a}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ ) and $31 \mathrm{a}(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ ) as an off-white solid and a yellow oil, respectively.


3-(2-Methylbenzyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1-one, $\mathbf{3 0 a}: \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.32$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3060, 2928, 2693, 1490, 1385, 764, $745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.27(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 3.19 (dd, $J=14.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.96 (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.73 (ddd, $J=18.0$, $8.5,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=19.0,7.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 205.0,177.0,137.6,136.0,135.0,134.5,130.6,129.1,129.0,128.0$, $127.5,126.8,126.7,126.2,124.3,42.6,41.1,37.1,27.8,25.4,19.6$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 288.1514, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288.1513$.


3-(2-Methylbenzyl)-4,5-dihydro-3aH-cyclopenta[a]naphthalen-1(9bH)-one, 31a: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.27 (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3021, 2929, 1701, 1616, 1493, 1453, 1172, 745 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.5,6.0$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.0,9.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 205.8,181.6,137.5,136.3,135.2,132.5,131.0,130.6,129.9$,
127.8, 127.3, 126.6, 126.4, 126.3, 50.5, 43.4, 35.8, 27.0, 26.5, 19.4; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ calcd 288.1514, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288.1515$.


Compound 32b. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of $\mathbf{3 2 b}$ from (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-7-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28b, $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \rightarrow 4 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \rightarrow 8 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 32b ( $0.043 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ as a white solid: m.p. $111-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 3025, 2930, 2856, 1751, 1605, 1496, 1260, 1154, 1122, 1034, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.16 (pseudo d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.72 (dd, $J=8.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-$ $2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 205.0,159.5,140.8,135.1,128.6,128.6,128.3,126.8$, $126.2,113.9,113.3,60.2,55.2,55.1,41.9,40.3,33.8,33.7,32.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$ for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}$ calcd 363.1122 , found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 363.1121$.


Compound 32c. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 32c from (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, 28c, $(0.034 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \rightarrow 4 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \rightarrow 8 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 32c $(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.065 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. $102-104^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.30$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2962, 1749, 1606, 1498, 1470, 1260, 1038, $810 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{dqq}, J=11.0,6.5$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.1,159.5,135.1,128.7,127.1,113.8,113.2,60.1,55.2,54.0,50.0,38.1$, 32.3, 28.3, 21.5, 20.2; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}$ calcd 301.0966, found: m/z 301.0968.


Compound 32d. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 32d from (2Z, 4E)-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-2,4-heptadiene, $28 \mathrm{~d},(0.074 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.20 mmol ). The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \rightarrow 4 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \rightarrow 8 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 32d (0.044 g, 0.017
mmol, $84 \%$ ) as a white solid: m.p. $111-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) $2960,2933,1755,1606,1497,1463,1258,1122,1036,895 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-$ $1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 205.2,159.5$, $135.2,128.6,127.0,114.0,113.2,60.3,55.2,54.9,44.3,40.0,32.2,25.0,12.1$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}$ calcd 287.0809, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 287.0811$.


Compound 32e. The previously outlined procedure was used in the preparation of 32e from (IE, 3Z)-5-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-3-(triisopropylsiloxy)-1,3-pentadiene, 28e, $(0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude material was purified by gradient column chromatography (silica gel, $1 \% \rightarrow 2 \% \rightarrow 4 \% \rightarrow 6 \% \rightarrow 8 \% \rightarrow 10 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes) to yield 32e ( $0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ as a white solid: m.p. $109-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 8:1); IR (thin film) 2927, 2854, 1748, 1606, 1497, 1277, 1261, 1120, 1038, 794, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28$ (pseudo $\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29 (pseudo t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.25 (pseudo d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.78 (dd, $J=8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.17-3.19 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.5,159.7,140.1,135.0$,
$129.1,129.0,127.2,126.6,126.5,114.0,113.6,60.0,55.3,53.6,46.3,43.5,32.1$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{ClNa}$ calcd 335.0809, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 335.0807$.
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## Chapter 4

Synthesis of Functionalized Oxo- and Azacycles: A Pyridinium Acetate-Catalyzed Ring Expansion Sequence.

### 4.1 Synthesis of Functionalized Heterocycles

The synthesis of non-aromatic oxygen and nitrogen-containing heterocycles is an important area of organic synthesis, since these structures are frequently observed as integral parts of natural product skeletons (Figure 4.1). ${ }^{1,2}$ In recent years, synthetic approaches towards such natural products have prompted the development of many new methods to assemble heterocyclic motifs. Some of these methodologies will be outlined



Figure 4.1. Examples of natural products containing saturated heterocyclic motifs.
in this chapter, which will also introduce a novel two-step ring expansion sequence developed in the West laboratories.

### 4.1.1 Recent Contributions to Heterocycle Synthesis

The synthesis of saturated heterocycles, particularly medium-sized heterocycles, is an important area of organic chemistry, due to the prevalence of these structures in many medicinally interesting compounds. Numerous synthetic routes towards the synthesis of these compounds have involved direct closure of linear precursors; however, the efficiency of these processes tends to decrease as ring size increases. This observation reflects an increase in enthalpic (ring strain) and entropic (likelihood of interaction between the chain termini) barriers during the formation of medium-sized rings.

Presently, one of the most common approaches towards the synthesis of small and medium-sized heterocycles utilizes transition metal-mediated ring closing metathesis (RCM). A recent example of this strategy is illustrated in Bennasar's method for the construction of benzo-fused nitrogen heterocycles (Scheme 4.1), ${ }^{3}$ which involves


Scheme 4.1. Two-step olefination/RCM strategy for benzo-fused heterocycle synthesis.
treatment of N -acylamides 1 with dimethyltitanocene to provide an intermediate enamine species (2) that can directly participate in ring closing metathesis. In the presence of Grubbs' second-generation catalyst, enamine intermediates 2 undergo ring closure to furnish indoles 3, 1,4-dihydroquinolines 4, 1,2-dihydroisoquinolines 5, and dihydrobenzoazepines 6 , depending on the length of the alkyl chains connecting both amide and olefin functionalities to the aromatic ring. In each case, the reaction conditions had to be slightly modified with regard to temperature and solvent to ensure optimal conversion of enamine intermediates to the desired heterocyclic products. For example, the generation of benzoazepine 9 was hampered by olefin isomerization in the starting material when high temperatures were applied during ring-closing metathesis. Unfavorable double bond isomerization led to isolation of 1,4-dihydroisoquinoline 8 along with the desired product, 9 (4:1, 8:9); however, addition of benzoquinone and a lower reaction temperature $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ instead of $\left.110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ provided the desired benzoazepine in $50 \%$ yield (two steps) as the major product in a $1: 7$ mixture of 8 and 9 , respectively (Scheme 4.2). This methodology provides access to numerous benzo-fused heterocycles from relatively simple starting materials; however, it necessitates the use of two transition metal-mediated transformations, which may not be attractive for use in large-scale synthesis.


Scheme 4.2. Formation of benzoazepine 9 from amide 7.

Similarly, a novel ring-rearrangement metathesis (RRM) reaction was used in the concise total synthesis of $(+)$-trans-195A, a non-toxic alkaloid isolated from the skin of numerous dendrobatid frog species (Scheme 4.3). ${ }^{4}$ During the synthesis, the authors subjected chiral sulfonamide 10 to treatment with Grubbs first-generation catalyst in the presence of ethylene gas to furnish the desired cis-2,6-disubstituted tetrahydropyridine 11 in $96 \%$ yield and $98 \%$ enantiomeric excess. This reaction was used in conjunction with a zirconium-mediated Negishi-coupling to assemble the decahydroquinoline core of (+)-trans-195A. Using this strategy, the target compound was successfully synthesized in 11 steps and in 35\% overall yield.


Scheme 4.3. Key step in the synthesis of (+)-trans-195A.

Some other interesting strategies for the synthesis of heterocyclic motifs involve intramolecular cyclization processes. Recently, Buchwald and co-workers ${ }^{5}$ disclosed a palladium-catalyzed synthesis of cyclic aryl ethers that allowed for the facile construction of five-, six-, and seven-membered oxygen-containing heterocycles 13 in good yields (Scheme 4.4). The reaction proceeds through preliminary oxidative addition of the aromatic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond in 12, followed by coordination of the palladium(II) species to the pendent hydroxyl functionality. This coordination provides metallocycle intermediates II that are essential for efficient bond formation resulting from reductive elimination. This methodology was also applied to the synthesis of heterocycles containing multiple
heteroatoms and to the cyclization of chiral alcohols, providing optically active cyclic ethers.


Scheme 4.4. Palladium-catalyzed construction of cyclic aryl ethers.

Greshock and Funk recently published an intramolecular cyclization approach to the formation of six-, seven-, and eight-membered nitrogen-containing heterocycles that is not reliant on transition metal catalysis. ${ }^{6}$ This fascinating strategy utilizes a retrocycloaddition reaction of a 1,3-dioxin moiety, seen in compound $\mathbf{1 5}$, followed by Michael addition of the tethered nitrogen center onto the newly revealed $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone to construct the desired heterocyclic structures (Scheme 4.5). The biggest advantage of this two-step reaction sequence is that the dioxin moiety is very robust and can be carried through multiple chemical transformations before releasing the sensitive


Scheme 4.5. The two-step assembly of a nitrogen-containing heterocycle from dioxin 15.
enone intermediate III. Also, this strategy can be used to assemble highly functionalized heterocyclic and carbocyclic ring systems that are inherent to many natural product skeletons.

One final example of an intramolecular cyclization strategy towards the synthesis of heterocyclic structures is the palladium-catalyzed cyclization of bromoallenes. ${ }^{7}$ The interesting reactivity of bromoallenes towards palladium( 0 ) and sodium methoxide had been previously explored in Ohno's approach to the construction of vinyl aziridines 18 (Scheme 4.6). ${ }^{8}$ Under the reaction conditions, the authors found that bromoallene 17


Scheme 4.6. Aziridination using bromoallene substrates.
forms an $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium complex IV bearing a methoxy substituent at the central carbon. The formation of this intermediate is believed to proceed through a formal dication (V), which is electrophilic at the central and terminal carbons of the allyl species. Initial attack at the central carbon by methoxide would result in the observed palladium complex (IV), which could then be trapped by the adjacent nitrogen anion to generate an aziridine product. These initial observations led to the development of an analogous strategy to synthesize various heterocyclic compounds $\mathbf{2 0}$ from bromoallene substrates 19 (Scheme 4.7). Using this methodology, medium-sized heterocycles were constructed in moderate to good yields through attack of oxygen, nitrogen, and even
carbon nucleophiles onto the intermediate dication species. In most cases, the reactions also proceeded with high stereo- and regioselectivity due to internal nucleophilic attack at the central carbon of the dication, followed by intermolecular capture of the resultant $\eta^{3}$ allylpalladium complex by methoxide.


Scheme 4.7. The synthesis of medium-sized heterocycles from bromoallene substrates.

Although various intramolecular cyclization strategies have been proven effective for the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds, the preparation of non-trivial starting materials required for these processes is often a significant drawback. Intermolecular cycloadditions offer an alternative and convergent approach to the construction of heterocycles by bringing together two separately functionalized fragments. Takeda's sequential Brook rearrangement/ $[3+4]$ annulation strategy is a good example of this type of approach to the synthesis of eight-membered oxygen heterocycles. ${ }^{9}$ In this investigation, it was found that the enolate (22) from readily available 6-oxacyclohept-2-en-1-one 21 could be coupled with $\beta$-substituted acryloylsilane 23 to form the bridged bicycle 24 (Scheme 4.8). Cleavage of the ketone bridge to afford the desired oxacycle 26
was accomplished in two steps by initial $\alpha$-hydroxylation using Davis' oxaziridine (27) to provide 25, followed by treatment with lead tetraacetate. This protocol provides highly functionalized eight-membered heterocycles in moderate yields over three steps.


Scheme 4.8. Three-step protocol for the formation of eight-membered oxacycles.

Another recent example of heterocycle construction uses tributylphosphine as an organocatalyst that initiates a tandem umpolung addition and intramolecular cyclization reaction. ${ }^{10}$ When arylpropiolates 28 were combined with bifunctional sulfur pronucleophiles in the presence of tributylphosphine, the corresponding five- and sixmembered heterocycles were obtained in moderate to excellent yields (Scheme 4.9). This


Scheme 4.9. Mechanism for organocatalytic preparation of sulfur heterocycles.
reaction proceeds through formation of a zwitterionic species VI resulting from phosphine addition to the arylpropiolate 28. Protonation of the zwitterion would generate a polarized alkene intermediate (VII) susceptible to attack from the sulfur nucleophile. After sulfur addition and subsequent protonation of VIII, the authors propose another nucleophilic attack at the activated ester (IX). Finally, elimination of tributylphosphine would result in formation of the desired product 29 and regeneration of the organocatalyst (tributylphosphine). This simple and efficient method has allowed for the preparation of arylidene sulfur-containing heterocycles (Figure 4.2) that are commonly found in biologically active products.


29a, 52\%


29b, $91 \%$


29c, 60\%

Figure 4.2. Examples of heterocycles generated from the organocatalytic process.

Organocatalysis has emerged as an important area of organic synthesis, since the reactions do not require the use of expensive transition metals and organocatalysts can often be used to effect asymmetric transformations. The development of new organocatalyzed methodologies for the synthesis of heterocyclic structures has become a recent focus in the West laboratories. In particular, a great deal of work has been done in the design of novel strategies for the assembly of saturated oxygen-heterocycles typically observed in the polyether backbones of marine ladder toxins. ${ }^{2}$ During one of these investigations, a two-step ring expansion sequence was discovered that provides access to highly functionalized six- and seven-membered oxygen- and nitrogen-containing
heterocycles. The development of this methodology, including evaluation of the reaction scope and evidence to support a proposed mechanism for the transformation, will be discussed in this chapter.

### 4.2 Pyridinium Acetate-Catalyzed Ring Expansions

The development of a novel two-step ring expansion sequence for the synthesis of saturated heterocycles has evolved from an initial investigation into an iterative approach towards the synthesis of polycyclic ethers. ${ }^{2 b}$ Originally, we envisioned the addition of a propiolate anion into readily available lactone substrates $\mathbf{3 0}$, followed by cyclization of the resultant ynones (31) to afford functionalized oxacycles 32 (Scheme 4.10). Controlled reduction of the alkene and ketone moieties would afford the requisite transsubstituted saturated intermediates 33 , and subsequent repetition of the simple four-step sequence was believed to provide a rapid route to the construction of polyether fragments 34. Although our attempts to utilize this methodology to construct polyether motifs were


Scheme 4.10. Proposed strategy for the iterative assembly of polyether motifs.
unproductive, the two-step ring expansion sequence was successfully applied to the synthesis of novel oxygen- and nitrogen-containing heterocyclic structures (Scheme 4.11). The ring expansion strategy utilizes a $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-mediated addition of tert-butyl propiolate to readily available lactones or lactams 35 , followed by a pyridinium acetatecatalyzed cyclization to form highly functionalized six- and seven-membered heterocycles 37. Development of this methodology and mechanistic insights will be discussed herein.


Scheme 4.11. Outline of two-step ring expansion methodology.

### 4.2.1 tert-Butyl Propiolate Addition

In order to investigate the feasibility of the proposed ring expansion sequence, the first objective was to determine optimal conditions for accessing the requisite ynone substrates 36. Conjugated ynones can be accessed in a number of ways. The most common protocol utilizes a strong base to deprotonate a terminal alkyne, which is then added into an aldehyde and the resulting propargyl alcohol oxidized to provide the desired ketone. ${ }^{11}$ Other methods have involved the addition of potentially explosive
silver acetylides ${ }^{12}$ to acid chlorides, or the more synthetically useful $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})^{13}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})^{14}$ catalyzed addition of terminal alkynes to activated carboxylic acids. For this study, we decided that the best approach toward the synthesis of desired substrates 31 would utilize a $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-mediated addition of tert-butyl propiolate to $\gamma$-, $\delta$-, and $\varepsilon$-lactones. ${ }^{15}$ Although the addition of tert-butyl propiolate to lactones was also possible through simple deprotonation by $n$-butyllithium, LDA, and the use of $\mathrm{Ce}($ III )-mediated propiolate anions, the highest yields and most reproducible results were obtained when 1.1 equivalents of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ were added to the reaction mixtures. These reactions presumably proceed through an intermediate lithium alkynyl trifluoroborate species; however, unlike


Table 4.1. ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$-propiolate addition to lactones/lactams.
potassium organotrifluoroborates, the lithium analogues are not air stable and have not been fully characterized. ${ }^{16}$ tert-Butyl propiolate was chosen for use in this methodology due to the ease with which the subsequent cyclization step occurred. Although ethyl propiolate could be effectively added to lactones using the same reaction conditions, the following cyclization step was unsuccessful.

The $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-mediated propiolate addition cleanly afforded the addition products in good yields; however, in the case of $\gamma$-butyrolactone, (35a) and $\delta$-valerolactone (35b) the products were observed as an inseparable mixture of the acyclic keto-alcohols 36 and lactols 38 (Table 4.1). The ratio of products in these mixtures appeared to be solvent dependent, since ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra taken in $d$-chloroform indicated a ratio of 1.3:1 (36b:38b) while spectra of the same mixture taken in a less polar solvent ( $d_{6}$-benzene) indicated a product ratio of $1: 1.8(\mathbf{3 6 b}: \mathbf{3 8 b})$. This observation implied that the ketoalcohol (36) and lactol (38) isomers existed in rapid equilibrium, which could be shifted upon dissolution in either polar or non-polar solvents.

Although the addition of terminal alkynes to lactams is not prevalent in the literature, the addition of a lithium acetylide to N -Boc-protected lactams has been accomplished ${ }^{17}$ and the use of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ to mediate a similar propiolate addition to Weinreb amides has also been observed. ${ }^{18}$ Given this precedent, the previously outlined conditions used for tert-butyl propiolate addition to lactones were utilized in the addition to lactam substrates $\mathbf{3 5 d} \mathbf{- j}$ (Table 4.1). In the case of the $N$-Boc protected (35d), ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~N}$ tosylated (35e-f), ${ }^{20}$ and N -mesylated lactams ( $\mathbf{3 5 g}-\mathrm{h}$ ), ${ }^{20}$ the addition reactions proceeded smoothly to provide the corresponding addition products in good yields; however, the
reactions required 1.6 equivalents of propiolate in order to drive them to completion. Also, the addition of tert-butyl propiolate to $N$-mesyl lactam $\mathbf{3 5 h}$ led to isolation of a minor product, cyclic enyne $\mathbf{3 9 h}$, as the result of six-membered cyclic aminal ( $\mathbf{3 8 h}$ ) formation and subsequent Lewis acid-assisted dehydration under the reaction conditions (Scheme 4.12). Although there was some indication in crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra that analogous side-products had been formed after propiolate addition to other 6-membered lactams, none of those enyne products were successfully isolated during purification by flash column chromatography.


Scheme 4.12. Formation of cyclic enyne side-product 39h.

In the case of $N$-nosyl substrates ( $\mathbf{3 5 i} \mathrm{i} \mathbf{j}$ ), the addition of tert-butyl propiolate did not proceed to completion even when the number of equivalents of propiolate anion was doubled. A competing proton-transfer process may be responsible for the incomplete formation of desired adducts 36i-j (Scheme 4.13). The more electron-withdrawing nosyl (2-nitrophenylsulfonyl) group may sufficiently enhance the acidity of the $\alpha$-protons on the starting lactams to permit enolization. The resulting unreactive enolate 40 would then furnish recovered lactam 35 upon aqueous work-up. In the presence of excess propiolate anion, the product of a second addition to the newly formed ketone of 36 was also evident in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. As a result, only 1.1 equivalents of tert-butyl propiolate anion were used in these particular addition reactions.


Scheme 4.13. Possible formation of lactam enolates during tert-butyl propiolate addition.

In general, the addition of tert-butyl propiolate to lactones and various lactam substrates was successful when the propiolate anion was generated by deprotonation with $n$-butyllithium, followed by treatment with $\mathrm{BF}_{3}{ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$. Under these conditions the desired acetylenic ketoesters could be obtained in moderate yields, with additions to fivemembered lactones/lactams proceeding in slightly lower yields than additions to the sixmembered analogues. Although tert-butyl propiolate addition to $N$-nosyl lactams could be accomplished, the yields for these substrates were significantly decreased; nonetheless, both $N$-nosyl compounds were examined in the subsequent cyclization step due to the potential ease with which $N$-nosyl protecting groups can be removed from the cyclic products compared to N -tosyl and N -mesyl functionalities.

### 4.2.2 Ring Expansion

With substrates 36 in hand, the second step of the ring expansion sequence was investigated. Previously, Schreiber had shown that a ring expansion reaction could occur following the addition of alkynyl lithium reagents to $\delta$-lactones. ${ }^{21}$ In Schreiber's work, the alkyne addition products were allowed to warm to room temperature in the presence of 8 equivalents of HMPA, which effected oxocenone formation as a result of 1,4addition of the pendent alkoxide to the intermediate ynone (Scheme 4.14). The alkyne
moieties in Schreiber's substrates were polarized to effect exclusive 1,4-addition towards the ketone functionality; however, substrates 36 present the possibility of 1,4-addition towards either the ketone or ester. We believed that a complementary ring expansion reaction could be induced using substrates 36 in the presence of an organocatalyst to access functionalized heterocycles bearing an exocyclic double bond.


Scheme 4.14. Schreiber's ring expansion reaction.

## Preliminary Investigations: Oxygen Heterocycles

Initially, the keto-alcohol/lactol mixture of $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ was examined to establish the feasibility of the desired cyclization reaction. The mixture ( $\mathbf{3 5 b} / \mathbf{3 8 b}$ ) was treated with numerous organic nucleophiles as well as nucleophilic and non-nucleophilic bases, including triphenylphosphine $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$, pyridine, $N, N$-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine (DMAP), 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO), thiophenol (PhSH), and 2,6-lutidine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. As a result of this qualitative investigation, it was found that both pyridine and DMAP induced the desired ring expansion reaction, providing the highly functionalized 3-oxooxepan-2-ylidene 43a (Figure 4.3). At the outset of this study, it was important to establish that ring expansion had occurred to form the desired product 43a as opposed to the larger ring 44a, which would have similar $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Confirmation of the formation of this product was obtained from examination of the corresponding 1D and 2D NMR spectra, with the most important evidence observed in the form of an HMBC correlation between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the quaternary carbon, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$. This
three-bond correlation clearly distinguishes 43a from 44a, since it would not be observed in the HMBC spectrum of the eight-membered oxacycle.


Figure 4.3. Evidence for the formation of 3-oxooxepan-2-ylidene 43a.

Ring expansion results using pyridine catalysis were inconsistent in some cases. Given our mechanistic hypothesis (see next section), one concern was slow protontransfer during the ring expansion. Keck has found that DMAP/DMAP• HCl mixtures were superior in the nucleophilic catalysis of lactonization reactions, so we chose to examine a series of pyridinium salts. ${ }^{22}$ Pyridinium acetate ( $\mathrm{pyr} \cdot \mathrm{HOAc}$ ), pyridinium chloride ( $\mathrm{pyr} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ), and pyridinium 4-methylbenzenesulfonate ( $\mathrm{pyr} \cdot \mathrm{TsOH}$ ) were also examined as catalysts for this reaction, leading to the discovery of optimal reaction conditions: treatment of the $\mathbf{3 6 b} \mathbf{3 8 b}$ mixture with 1.5 equivalents of pyridinium acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. Under these conditions the ring expansion reaction proceeded cleanly for both $\mathbf{3 6 b} / \mathbf{3 8 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 a} / 38$ a mixtures, providing the desired products as single geometric isomers ${ }^{23}$ in $78 \%$ and $82 \%$ respectively; however, the ring expansion was not successful in inducing formation of the eight-membered ring from 36c (Table 4.2). This result may reflect the inability of the chain termini to interact in a constructive manner to access the larger eight-membered oxacycle. This entropic barrier is a
prevalent obstacle in the construction of medium-sized rings. Although numerous attempts were made to catalyze this reaction, including treatment of $\mathbf{3 6 c}$ with simple bases ( LiH and NaH ) as well as Lewis acids, generation of the desired eight-membered oxacycle was unsuccessful. Unfortunately, no reaction or mere decomposition of starting material was observed under the various reaction conditions.


Table 4.2. Pyridinium acetate-catalyzed ring expansion.

## Mechanistic Implications

On first examination, the mechanism for this cyclization process might be presumed to proceed through simple 1,4-addition of the pendent hydroxyl moiety towards the ester functionality in $\mathbf{3 6}$; however, the observation that simple, non-nucleophilic bases were unable to initiate cyclization suggests that the mechanism for the ring expansion process does, in fact, involve nucleophilic catalysis (Scheme 4.15). A feasible mechanism for this transformation would involve initial activation of the ketone through protonation with pyridinium acetate, followed by nucleophilic 1,4-addition of pyridine to provide intermediate XII. The direction of pyridine addition into the conjugated ketoester (36) can be explained by the inherent electron-withdrawing ability of the ketone




Scheme 4.15. Proposed mechanism.
functionality when compared with the ester. At this point, the pendent nucleophile could add into the polarized allenyl moiety of XII, resulting in the formation of a cyclic zwitterionic intermediate (XIII). This intramolecular cyclization process occurs in a 6-exo-dig or 7-exo-dig manner, which is in accordance with Baldwin's rules for ring closure. ${ }^{24}$ Subsequent proton transfer would result in the formation of XIV, which would undergo elimination of pyridine to generate the final product 43b. This type of mechanism is not without precedent. For example, an analogous mechanism was previously outlined to describe the synthesis of sulfur heterocycles using tributylphosphine as the organocatalyst (Scheme 4.9). ${ }^{10}$ Furthermore, evidence of pyridine participation in the reaction mechanism was observed when this methodology was applied to the synthesis of nitrogen heterocycles (see below).

When the $N$-Boc-protected substrate $\mathbf{3 6 d}$ was treated with pyridinium acetate under the previously outlined reaction conditions, none of the expected ring expanded product (43d) was observed. Instead, a novel bicyclic product, 45d, was isolated in 28\% yield as a result of pyridine incorporation into the substrate (Scheme 4.16). Although this type of reactivity is not unparalleled in the literature, ${ }^{25}$ no evidence of these bicyclic products was observed when the analogous oxygen substrates were subjected to the same reaction conditions. The interesting reactivity of this substrate (36d) suggests that the
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Scheme 4.16. Treatment of $N$-Boc substrate 36d to pyridinium acetate.
nucleophilicity of the Boc-protected nitrogen is insufficient to allow its participation in the ring expansion reaction, leading to side-reactions that result in the formation of 45d (Scheme 4.17). In the absence of a competent nucleophile, the cationic intermediate generated from 1,4-addition of pyridine (XVI) would be long-lived. Tautomerization of the enol functionality to afford intermediate XVII would set the stage for cyclization involving the pyridinium moiety to give $\mathbf{4 5 d}$. This cyclization could be interpreted as a direct nucleophilic attack by the enol, or more likely, as a $6 \pi$ electrocyclization. Although the $N$-Boc-protected substrate 36d does not furnish the desired 3-oxoazepane

43d, formation of the bicyclic product (45d) provides some insight into the mechanism of this reaction by implicating the participation of pyridine as a nucleophilic organocatalyst.


Scheme 4.17. Mechanism for the formation of bicycle 45d.

## Nitrogen Heterocycles

In an effort to find a nitrogen-protecting group that was compatible with the ring expansion reaction, the $N$-tosyl, $N$-mesyl, and $N$-nosyl substrates (36e-j) were examined. Initially, $N$-tosyl substrate 36e was subjected to the optimized reaction conditions using pyridinium acetate to catalyze the ring expansion. After only 1 hour, these conditions afforded an inseparable mixture of two 3-oxo-1-tosylpiperidin-2-ylidenes, 46e, in a 1.6:1 ratio of $Z: E$-isomers (Table 4.3). Next, the ring expansion reaction of the $N$-tosyl substrate 36 f was examined and it was observed that standard pyridinium acetate catalysis did not effect cyclization to the desired product, but instead promoted rapid decomposition of the starting material. Fortunately, when $\mathbf{3 6 f}$ was subjected to 1.5 equivalents of pyridine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the ring expansion reaction proceeded quickly to furnish 3-oxo-1-tosylazepan-2-ylidene 46 f in a 1:1.6 ratio of separable $Z: E$ isomers. The

|  |  <br> 36 | $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \text { r.t. }]{\substack{\text { pyridine•HOAc } \\ \text { or pride }}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | substrate | X | n | catalyst |  | duct (Z:E) | yield (\%) |
| 1 | 36e | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ts}$ | 1 | pyr ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{HOAc}$ | 46e | (1.6:1) | 58 |
| 2 | $36 f$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ts}$ | 2 | pyr | 46 f | (1:1.6) | 74 |
| 3 | 36g | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ms}$ | 1 | pyr ${ }^{-H O A c}$ | 46g | $(23: 6: 1)^{a}$ | 60 |
| 4 | 36h | N -Ms | 2 | pyr | 46h | $(2: 5: 1)^{a}$ | 77 |
| 5 | 36i | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ns}$ | 1 | pyr* ${ }^{\text {HOAc }}$ | 46i | (1:1.3) | 42 |
| 6 | 36j | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ns}$ | 2 | pyr | 46j | (1:1.8) | 55 |

${ }^{a}$ The ratio displayed is for $Z: E: 47$.
Table 4.3. Ring expansion of nitrogen-substrates 36.
structure of $\mathbf{4 6 f}_{E}$ was confirmed by single crystal X-ray crystallography (see Appendix V). The geometry of the $Z$-isomer, $\mathbf{4 6 f}_{Z}$, was also confirmed when the $\mathbf{4 6 f}_{(E / Z)}$ mixture was subjected to hydrogenation conditions, providing a single product (48f) (Scheme 4.18).


Scheme 4.18. Hydrogenation of 3-oxo-1-tosylazepan-2-ylidenes $\mathbf{4 6 f}$ (EIZ).

With these results in hand, the remaining $N$-mesyl and $N$-nosyl substrates ( $\mathbf{3 6 g} \mathbf{- j}$ ) were examined in the ring expansion reaction. The reactions proceeded in moderate to good yields affording a mixture of $Z / E$-isomers in each case (Table 4.3). Only the N -
mesyl substrates, $\mathbf{3 6 g}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 h}$, showed evidence of the alternate, two-carbon ring expansion pathway described by Schreiber ${ }^{21}$ providing the undesired azapen-4-one $47 \mathbf{g}$ and azacen-4-one 47 h , respectively, as minor products. These products were identified based on characteristic correlations observed in the HMBC spectra (Figure 4.4). For example, the HMBC spectrum for azacen-4-one 47h showed a three-bond correlation



Figure 4.4. Characteristic HMBC correlations for azacen-4-one 47h.
between the vinyl proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and the methylene carbon $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ adjacent to the ketone functionality. This correlation was not observed in the HMBC spectrum for the sevenmembered analogue 46h. Also, it is notable that the $N$-nosyl substrates underwent ring expansion in diminished yields: isomers of $\mathbf{4 6 i}$ were isolated in a combined yield of $42 \%$, while isomers of $\mathbf{4 6 j}$ were isolated in $55 \%$ yield. These results may reflect the decreased nucleophilicity of the nitrogen moiety in starting materials $\mathbf{3 6 i}$ and $\mathbf{3 6 j}$, due to the inherent electron-withdrawing nature of the nosyl protecting group.

The geometry of the double bonds for all of these compounds (46) could be clearly assigned based on the chemical shifts of the vinyl protons in the $Z$ - and $E$-isomers. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, vinyl protons in the $Z$-isomers were observed at higher chemical shifts than those corresponding to the $E$-isomers, due to anisotropic deshielding from the adjacent ketone carbonyl (Figure 4.5). Additionally, the emergence of unexpected side products after prolonged reaction times provided experimental evidence for the



Figure 4.5. Comparison of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for $E / Z$-isomers of $\mathbf{4 6 h}$.
geometrical assignments. When a mixture of $E / Z$-isomers (46e) was re-subjected to standard reaction conditions, the $Z$-isomer was recovered along with two side-products: the hemiacetal 49e and a single diastereomer of an interesting spirocycle $\mathbf{5 0 e}$, which is the result of a formal Diels-Alder reaction between two molecules of the 3-oxo-1-tosylpiperidin-2-ylidene, 46e (Scheme 4.19). The formation of spirocyclic product 50e was implied by the presence of two distinct $N$-tosyl groups and fourteen diastereotopic protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, a deshielded spirocyclic $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-carbon ( 89.6 ppm ) in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, as well as the important observance of a mass peak in the mass spectrum that corresponded to two units of $46 e\left(m / z 753.2480\right.$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ ).


Scheme 4.19. Re-subjection of a mixture of $E / Z$-isomers to pyridinium acetate.

The structure was then confirmed by the observation of characteristic correlations in the 2D COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectra (see Appendix III).

The formation of hemiacetal product 49e presumably results from acid-promoted lactonization during prolonged exposure to pyridinium acetate and subsequent aqueous work-up. This product can only arise from the $E$-isomer, thus supporting our earlier assignment. In order to prevent the formation of these side products, the ring expansion reactions for all nitrogen substrates were monitored closely by TLC analysis and stopped as soon as the starting material was consumed. It should be noted that these sideproducts were not observed when the analogous oxygen substrates were subjected to the same prolonged reaction times.

### 4.2.3 Conclusions

The synthesis of oxygen and nitrogen-containing heterocycles has been accomplished by $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-mediated tert-butyl propiolate addition to readily available lactones and lactams, followed by ring expansion using pyridinium acetate. When pyridinium acetate led to decomposition of the intermediate acetylenic ketoesters, pyridine was successfully used to catalyze the reaction. The ring expansion reactions to generate sixand seven-membered oxacycles and azacycles proceed smoothly to provide the desired products in moderate to good yields. This method provides a short and efficient strategy to access highly functionalized heterocycles that can be further functionalized to assemble interesting heterocyclic frameworks.

### 4.3 Future Directions

Preliminary investigations into this ring expansion methodology have led to the development of optimized reaction conditions and provided information on the mechanism of this transformation. The general substrate scope has been established; however, the synthesis of more highly substituted heterocycles might be possible through the use of increasingly elaborate lactone or lactam starting materials. Ideally, requisite starting materials should be readily accessible through functionalization of the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone/lactams or simple alkylation. The two-step ring expansion sequence might then be used in synthetic strategies towards the total synthesis of numerous natural products, such as compounds in the rhoeadine class of benzazepine alkaloids (Scheme 4.20). ${ }^{26,27}$ tert-Butyl propiolate addition to an aromatic lactam such as 51, followed by ring expansion under the influence of pyridinium acetate, would lead to a highly functionalized intermediate 53. This intermediate might then be further manipulated to furnish the final product.



Scheme 4.20. Possible strategy towards the synthesis of the core of rhoedine.

### 4.4 Experimental

### 4.4.1 General Information

Reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under a positive argon atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Transfer of anhydrous solvents and reagents was accomplished with oven-dried syringes or cannulae. Solvents were distilled before use: methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ from calcium hydride, tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ from sodium/benzophenone ketyl, and toluene from sodium metal. Thin layer chromatography was performed on glass plates precoated with 0.25 mm Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Flash chromatography columns were packed with $230-400$ mesh silica gel (Silicycle) or $\sim 150$ mesh activated, neutral, Brockmann I, standard grade aluminum oxide (Sigma-Aldrich). Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) were recorded at 400 MHz or 500 MHz and coupling constants $(J)$ are reported in Hertz (Hz). Standard notation was used to describe the multiplicity of signals observed in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra: broad (br), multiplet (m), singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), etc. Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were recorded at 100 MHz or 125 MHz and are reported (ppm) relative to the center line of the triplet from chloroform- $d(77.00 \mathrm{ppm})$. Infrared (IR) spectra were measured with a Mattson Galaxy Series FT-IR 3000 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were determined on a PerSeptive Biosystems Mariner high-resolution electrospray positive ion mode spectrometer.

### 4.4.2 Characterization

## ${ }^{\text {t }}$ Bu-propiolate Addition to Lactones/Lactams.

Method A: ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of tert-butyl propiolate ( 1.1 equiv, $4.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.56 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min and the temperature gradually raised to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.57 \mathrm{~mL})$ using a syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir for another 10 min . The temperature was then dropped to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of the lactone ( 4.1 mmol ). After 90 min stirring, with gradual warming to room temperature, saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine solution ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to provide a crude oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel) to provide the pure addition product.

Method B: ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 1.47 M in hexanes, $0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.58 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of tert-butyl propiolate ( 1.6 equiv, $0.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min and the temperature gradually raised to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.11$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min . The temperature was then dropped to $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the slow, dropwise addition of a solution of lactam ( 0.50 mmol ) in THF (2 mL ). After 90 min stirring, with gradual warming to room temperature, saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 5 mL ) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
the combined organic layers washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and the solvent removed by rotary evaporation to provide a crude oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel) to provide the pure addition product.


## Compounds 36a/38a.

Method A was used to furnish $\mathbf{3 6 a} / \mathbf{3 8 a}(\mathbf{3 6 b} \mathbf{3 8 b}=4: 1,63 \%$ ) as a colourless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1). The two isomers were observed as an inseparable mixture; therefore, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data reported herein was extracted from spectra of the $\mathbf{3 6 a} / \mathbf{3 8 a}$ mixture: $R_{f} 0.26$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3412, 2981, 2936, 2241, 1715, 1396, 1274, 1155, 1057, 839, $751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 235.0941, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 235.0938 .

36a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94$ (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),\left(\mathrm{OH}\right.$ proton not observed); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.2,150.8,85.4,79.8,78.7,61.5,41.8,27.9,26.2$.

38a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.06-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),\left(\mathrm{OH}\right.$ proton not observed); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.1,97.5,83.9,82.3,75.2,69.0,39.7,27.9,24.4$.


## Compound 36b/38b.

Method A was used to furnish $\mathbf{3 6 b} / \mathbf{3 8 b} \mathbf{( 3 6 b} \mathbf{3 8 b}=1.3: 1,82 \%)$ as a colourless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ). The two isomers were observed as an inseparable mixture; therefore, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data reported herein was extracted from spectra of the $\mathbf{3 6 b} / \mathbf{3 8 b}$ mixture: $\mathbf{R}_{f} 0.33$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3401, 2943, 2874, 1713, 1457, 1371, 1271, 1067, 1039, 840, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI, M ${ }^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ calcd 226.1205, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 226.1193$.

36b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$ (pent, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, (OH proton not observed); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.1,151.1,85.4,79.6,78.7,63.8,44.8,31.6,27.9,19.7$.

38b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.96(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.2,5.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (ddd, $J=$ $11.6,6.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}),\left(\mathrm{OH}\right.$ proton not observed); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.1,91.5,84.0,82.6$, 75.9 62.1, 36.0, 27.9, 24.4, 19.6.


36c

## Compound 36c.

Method A was used to furnish 36c (83\%), which was generated as a single (acyclic) product. Compound $\mathbf{3 6 c}$ was isolated as a colourless oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 3:2): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.21$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3368,2981,2937,2867,1716,1605,1476,1459,1396,1371,1258,1154$, $1071,839 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.72 (pent, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.59 (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.45$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 186.2,151.1,85.4,79.5,78.8,62.5,45.1,32.2$, 27.9, 25.0, 23.2; HRMS (EI, $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ calcd 183.0657, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 183.0652.


## Compound 36d.

Method B was used to furnish 36d (77\%) as a yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.51$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3359,2979,2935,1715,1518,1369,1272,1258,1156 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68$ (pent, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.8,155.9,151.0,85.4,79.6,79.2,78.7,44.6,40.0,29.2,28.4,28.0$, 20.5; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 384.1781, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 348.1782$.


36e

## Compound 36e.

Method B was used to furnish 36e (60\%) as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1): m.p. $78.5-80.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.38$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3288, 2981, 2936, 1714, 1689, 1371, 1274, 1156, $1093,815 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80$ (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $185.1,150.9,143.5,136.7,129.7,127.0,85.5,79.8,78.4,42.0,41.9,27.8,23.1,21.4$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 388.1189, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 388.1192; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 59.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.83 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.77$. Found: C, $59.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 ; \mathrm{N}$, 3.77; S, 8.76.

$36 t$

## Compound 36f.

Method B was used to furnish $\mathbf{3 6 f}$ ( $84 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3288, 2981, 2937, 2873, 1714, 1688, 1371, 1327, 1275, 1157, 1095, 838
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.62-1.68 (m, 2H), $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $185.5,151.0,143.5,136.8,129.7,127.0,85.5,79.7,78.6,44.3,42.6,28.6,27.9,21.5$, 20.1; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 402.1346, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 402.1345$.


36g

## Compound 36g.

Method B was used to furnish $36 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$ as a white solid after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ): m.p. $84.0-85.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.24$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 3295, 2982, 2937, 1714, 1689, 1321, 1275, 1259, $1152 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (s, 3H), $2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92$ (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 185.2,151.0,85.6,80.0,78.5,42.1,42.0,40.2,27.9,23.7$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 312.0876, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 312.0874$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 49.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.84 ; \mathrm{S}, 11.08$. Found: C, $50.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.57 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.76 ; \mathrm{S}$, 10.85 .

## Compounds 36h and 39h.

Method B was used to furnish 36h (57\%) and 39h (25\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1).


36h: pale orange oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.24$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 3296, 2981, 2937, $1714,1688,1321,1276,1260,1153 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-$ $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.6,151.0,85.6,79.9,78.6$, 44.4, 42.7, 40.4, 29.2, 27.9, 20.1; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 326.1033, found: m/z 326.1033 .


39h

39h: yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.57$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2980, 2936, 2213, 1704, $1354,1291,1154,1094,950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.01(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{td}, J=6.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.5,1287,119.2,83.8,82.7,78.9$, 45.3, 42.4, 28.0, 23.6, 22.3; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 308.0927, found: m/z 308.0926.
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## Compound 36i. ${ }^{20}$

2-Pyrrolidinone ( $0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 0.010 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 25 mL ) and the temperature was lowered to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice/acetone bath). ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $6.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.011$ mol ) was added dropwise by syringe and the reaction was allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour, a solution of 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ) was transferred to the stirring reaction mixture dropwise by canula. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight before the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 25 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 1:1) to provide N -(2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl)-2-pyrrolidinone $\mathbf{3 5 i}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. 112.0-113.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.18$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3102, 2993, 2913, 1741, 1543, 1367, 1171, 1126, $962 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-$ $7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20$ (app pent, $J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.6,148.0,134.9,134.5,131.9,131.5,124.2$, 47.5, 32.1, 18.9; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{\dagger}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 293.0203, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 293.0199; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 44.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.73 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.37 ; \mathrm{S}, 11.86$. Found: C, 44.65; H, 3.72; N, 10.13; S, 11.67.

Method A was used to furnish $\mathbf{3 6 i} \mathbf{( 2 6 \% )}$ ) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 3:2): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.27$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3338,2982,1712,1688,1542,1370,1276,1154 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.12-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\operatorname{app}$ pent, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 184.9,150.9,148.1,133.7,133.52$, $132.88,131.1,125.5,85.6,80.1,78.3,42.5,41.7,27.9,23.4$; HRMS (ESI, $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 419.0883, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 419.0881$.


Compound 36j. ${ }^{20}$
2-Piperidinone $(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the temperature was lowered to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice/acetone bath). ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $6.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise by syringe and the reaction was allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 hour, a solution of 2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.011 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ) was transferred to the stirring reaction mixture dropwise by canula. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight before the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 25 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 1:1) to provide $N$ -(2-nitrobenzenesulfonyl)-2-piperidinone $\mathbf{3 5 j}$ ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.007 \mathrm{~mol}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid:
m.p. $173.5-175.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.18$ (hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $3103,2960,1686,1544$, $1353,1177,1155,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.48-8.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-$ $7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00($ app pent, $J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.87 (app pent, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.4,148.0$, $135.1,134.4,133.2,131.8,124.2,46.8,33.7,22.8,20.1$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 307.0359, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 307.0356$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 46.47; H, 4.25; N, 9.85; S, 11.28. Found: C, 46.43; H, 4.33; N, 9.59; S, 10.98.

Method A was used to furnish $\mathbf{3 6 j} \mathbf{~ ( 4 1 \% ) ~ a s ~ a ~ p a l e ~ y e l l o w ~ o i l ~ a f t e r ~ p u r i f i c a t i o n ~ b y ~}$ flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc 3:2): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3342,2982,2938,2241,1711,1541,1370,1276,1154,1080,838$, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.12-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.78$ (m, 2H), $5.31(\mathrm{brt}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.70 (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.54-1.60(m, 2H), $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 185.4,151.0,148.1,133.7,133.6,132.8,131.4,125.4,85.6,79.9,78.5,44.3$, 43.3, 28.8, 27.9, 20.2; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 433.1040, found: m/z 433.1038.

## Ring Expansion Reactions.

Method C: The substrate ( 1.5 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. Pyridinium acetate ( $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.32 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was added in one portion to the stirring solution. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at room temperature and was monitored for the disappearance of starting material by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by the addition of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers washed with brine solution ( 10 mL ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to provide a crude oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel or alumina) to provide the desired product(s).

Method D: The substrate ( 1.5 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. Pyridine ( $2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.19 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added in one portion to the stirring solution. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at room temperature and was monitored for the disappearance of starting material by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 N HCl (aq.) ( 15 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers washed with brine solution (10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to provide a crude oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel or alumina) to provide the desired product(s).


43a

## Compound 43a.

Method C was used to generate 43a (82\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1): $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $2978,2934,1704,1619,1477,1456,1254,1148 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45$ (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 192.9,164.3,156.6,101.5,80.5,67.3,35.9,28.1$, 22.2; HRMS (EI, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ calcd 212.1049, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 212.1053$.


## Compound 43b.

Method C was used to generate 43b (78\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.45$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $2976,2937,1699,1630,1455,1392,1367,1240,1147,1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99$ (pent, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 201.0$, $163.9,162.0,105.8,80.8,74.1,42.0,29.8,28.1,23.2$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 249.1097, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 249.1094$.


## Compound 45d.

Method C was used to generate 45d (28\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (alumina, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) $3339,2976,2932,2869,1700,1646,1611,1558,1365,1249,1147 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01-6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{br}$ dd, $J=10.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.0,5.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 193.0,162.2,156.0,148.3,127.8,122.9,118.0,106.1,103.1,84.5,79.0$,
$58.6,48.0,40.5,28.4,27.9,25.9,21.2 ;$ HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 427.2203, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 427.2206$.

## Compound 46e.

Method $C$ was used to furnish 46e (58\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $2 ; 1$ ). The $E / Z$ isomers were observed as an inseparable mixture ( $\mathbf{4 6} \mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{E}}: \mathbf{4 6} \mathrm{e}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}=1: 1.6$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data reported for $\mathbf{4 6 e}_{\boldsymbol{E}}$ were extracted from spectra of the $E / Z$ mixture, while those for $\mathbf{4 6 e}_{Z}$ were obtained when pure compound was isolated after 46e was re-subjected to the reaction conditions for 72 hours (See 49e and 50e).


46e $\mathrm{e}_{E}: \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.30$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 194.6$, $164.8,144.6,138.3,135.6,129.9,127.2,121.4,82.1,45.8,36.7,27.9,21.6,20.4$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 388.1189, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 388.1190$.

$46_{2}$ : off-white solid; m.p. $104.0-109.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.30$ (hexanes/EtOAc $2: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $2978,2934,1713,1630,1363,1166,1091 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{app}$ pent, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 195.9,164.0,144.2,137.6,135.9,130.0,127.2,124.4,82.1,45.3$, 36.4, 28.0, 21.5, 19.0; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 388.1189, found: m/z 388.1188 .

## Compound 46f.

Method D was used to furnish $\mathbf{4 6 f}_{\boldsymbol{E}}(\mathbf{4 6 \%})$ and $\mathbf{4 6} \mathrm{f}_{\boldsymbol{Z}}(\mathbf{2 8 \%})$ after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1).


46fe; pale yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.39$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2978, 2937, 1700, $1604,1456,1347,1142,1089,931,815 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 202.0,165.5,149.3,144.7,135.8,130.0,127.3,110.0,81.4,49.1$, 41.5, 28.4, 28.0, 24.1, 21.6; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 402.1346, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 402.1348$.


46fz: pale yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.50$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2978, 2936, 1703, $1631,1455,1355,1242,1163,1092,887 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85$ (br pent, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.8,162.8,143.6,142.3,138.2,129.8,129.1,127.0,82.4$, $52.5,40.8,29.4,27.9,23.2,21.5$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right.$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 402.1346, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 402.1347$.

## Compound 46g and 47g.

Method C was used to furnish $\mathbf{4 6 g}_{E}(12 \%), 46 \mathrm{~g}_{z}(46 \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 7 g}$ (2\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1).

$46 g_{E}$
$\mathbf{4 6 g}_{E}$ : yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.26$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2978, 2934, 1717, 1611, $1337,1154,1154,977 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{app} p \mathrm{nt}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 195.0,164.9,140.8,116.2,82.2,45.6,38.0,37.1$, 28.0, 21.3; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 312.0876, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 312.8877.

$46 \mathrm{~g} z$ : white solid; m.p. $110.0-112.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.33$ (hexanes/EtOAc $1: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $2979,2936,1708,1628,1334,1257,1148,1008,832 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{app}$ pent, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 195.0,164.6,138.4$,
$118.2,81.8,44.8,39.0,36.2,27.9,20.6$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ESI},[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right.$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 312.0876, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 312.0878$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 49.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.84$; S, 11.08. Found: C, 49.59; H, 6.53; N, 4.99; S, 11.26.


47g: off-white solid; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.40$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2978, 2934, 1724, $1672,1610,1341,1274,1229,1154951,778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.17$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ (app pent, $J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.0,163.2,146.1,124.3$, 84.1, 52.3, 41.4, 40.1, 28.3, 27.8; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 312.0876, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 312.0876$.

## Compounds 46h and 47h.

Method D was used to furnish $\mathbf{4 6 h}_{E}(48 \%), \mathbf{4 6 h}_{Z}(19 \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 7 h}$ (10\%) after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1).

$46 h_{E}$ : white solid; m.p. $90.0-94.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.43$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2934, 2872, 1701, 1604, 1457, 1347, 1141, 961, $931 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.98$ (s, 1H), 3.67 (br t, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79($ br t $, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.8,165.4,151.0,107.7,81.6,48.3$, 41.6, 38.8, 28.1, 28.0, 24.0; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 326.1033,
found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 326.1034$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 51.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.98 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.62 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.57$. Found: C, 51.71; H, 6.92; N, 4.54; S, 10.27.

$\mathbf{4 6 h}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ : white solid; m.p. $110.0-112.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.55$ (hexanes/EtOAc $1: 1$ ); IR (thin film) $2978,2936,1705,1634,1455,1352,1334,1244,1144,888,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02 (pent, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.78-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.9,163.2,143.9,126.3,82.6,52.5,40.9,40.8,30.3,28.0,23.3$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 326.1033, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 326.1031$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 51.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.98 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.62 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.57$. Found: C, $51.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.59 ; \mathrm{S}$, 10.41.


47h: off-white solid; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.48$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2982, 2937, 1716, $1668,1619,1449,1332,1245,1150,971,769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87($ app pent, $J=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75$ (pent, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $201.8,163.9,136.9,136.7,84.1,48.0,39.8,39.1,27.9,24.5,22.1$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 326.1033, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 326.1032$.

## Compound 46i.

Method C was used to furnish $\mathbf{4 6 \mathbf { i } _ { E }}\left(\mathbf{2 4 \%}\right.$ ) and $\mathbf{4 6} \mathbf{i}_{Z}(\mathbf{1 8 \%})$ after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1).

${ }^{461}{ }_{E}$
46ie: pale yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.39$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2979, 2936, 1721, $1635,1546,1370,1173,1009,754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{app}$ pent, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 194.0,164.3,147.8$, $137.1,134.7,132.5,131.9,131.3,124.5,121.7,82.5,46.0,36.8,27.9,20.3$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 419.0883, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 419.0890$.


46iz: pale yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.32$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) 2980, 2936, 1726, $1678,1546,1369,1273,1167,997,734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.27-8.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 2.13 (pent, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.8$, $163.1,148.8,143.1,134.3,132.0,131.9,131.3,127.9,124.4,84.1,51.4,40.6,27.8,25.6 ;$ HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 419.0883, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 419.0888$.

## Compound 46j.

Method D was used to furnish $\mathbf{4 6 j}$ (55\%) as a pale yellow oil after purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc 2:1). The two isomers were observed as an inseparable mixture $(E: Z=1.8: 1)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data reported herein were extracted from spectra of the $\mathbf{4 6 j}$ mixture: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.57$ (hexanes/EtOAc 1:1); IR (thin film) $3099,2934,1705,1614,1545,1369,1167,779 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 433.1040, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 433.1039$.


46j $\mathbf{j}_{E}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.05$ (ddd, $J=7.5,1.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.73-7.81 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.96-2.01 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.95 (m, 2H), $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $201.0,164.5,147.9,146.7,134.5,132.2,132.0,131.5,124.9,116.6,82.2,51.1,41.4$, 29.3, 27.9, 23.6.

46jz: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.99-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-4.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.0,162.6,147.5$, $141.6,134.1,133.7,132.0,131.2,129.0,124.2,82.7,53.3,40.6,29.9,27.8,22.9$.


## Compound 48f.

Palladium on charcoal ( $5 \% \mathrm{wt}, 8.5 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{4 6 f}(E / Z$ mixture; 30 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was allowed to stir at room temperature under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ for 15 min The reaction mixture was then filtered through a short plug of silica gel $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and the combined organic layers dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation to furnish the pure product $48 \mathrm{f}(27 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.47$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2932, $2867,1718,1598,1454,1367,1339,1152,1091 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0,3.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.96 (br d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84$ (ddd, $J=13.5,10.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.0$, $11.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04$ (dd, $J=16.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 209.4,169.5,143.7,137.6,129.9,127.1,81.0$, 63.1, 46.7, 40.9, 35.5, 29.3, 27.9, 24.6, 21.5; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 404.1502, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 404.1499$.

## Reaction of 46e with Pyridinium Acetate.

Pyridinium acetate ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 46e ( $E: Z$ mixture; 81 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 72 hours before the addition of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers
were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes:EtOAc $50: 1$ ) to yield $46 \mathrm{e}_{Z}(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%), 49 \mathrm{e}(24 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 35 \%$ ), and $50 \mathrm{e}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ ).


49e: pale yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.15$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 3332, 2951, 1745, $1629,1366,1215,1170,1005,928,817 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.0,159.9,145.7,133.8,130.3,127.4,101.1,95.6,44.6,29.7,21.7$, 19.4; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}$ calcd 332.0563, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 332.0563$.


50e
50e: yellow oil; $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.25$ (hexanes/EtOAc 2:1); IR (thin film) 2978, 2932, 1726, 1597, $1356,1165 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ (ddd, $J=9.5,3.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.0,5.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0$, $7.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.0,8.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,13.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\operatorname{app~dt}, J=17.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\operatorname{app~dt}, J=17.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90($ ddddd, $J=14.5,7.5,7.5,7.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79$
(dddd, $J=18.0,9.0,9.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-$ $1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 198.4, 170.7, 169.6, $146.9,143.6,143.3,137.8,136.5,129.3,129.3,128.1,127.9,112.1,89.6,82.6,81.2$, $48.0,47.1,46.1,44.7,35.9,28.1,28.0,23.1,21.5,21.5,21.4,19.6$; HRMS (ESI, $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$) for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd 753.2486, found: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 753.2480$.
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## Appendix I: Selected NMR Spectra

(Chapter 2)








125 MHz APT in CDCl 3 (ref. to $\mathrm{CDCl} 3 @ 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), temp $27.2 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, sw probe $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{CH} 2$ same, $\mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{CH} 3$ opposite side of solvent signal
Pulse 5equence: apt

37i
t92'sc






300 MHz 1 D in CDCl3 (ref. to $\mathrm{CDCl} 3 @ 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), temp $27.5 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, id probe

Pulse Sequence: apt


400 MHz 1 D in CDCl 3 (ref. to $\mathrm{CDCl} 3 @ 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), temp 26.8 C -> actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, asw 400 probe
Pulse Sequence: s2pul


125 MHz APT in CDCl 3 (ref. to CDCl 3 @ 77.0 ppm ), temp $29.4 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, sw500u probe $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{CH} 2$ same, $\mathrm{CH} \& \mathrm{CH} 3$ opposite side of solvent signal


$\left.\begin{array}{l}0 c[\cdot 9 L \\ 000 \angle L \\ 85 z \cdot \angle \square\end{array}\right]$

400 MHz GCOSY in CDCl3 (ref. to CDC13 @ 7.26 ppm ), temp $26.8 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, asw400 probe
(anse Sequence: aogcosy
400 MHz 1 D in CDCl3 (ref. to CDCl3@ 7.26 ppm ), temp 26.8 C -> actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, asw 400 probe
Pulse Sequence: s2pul





400 MHz 1 D in C6D6 (ref. to C6D6 @ 7.15 ppm ), temp 27.0 C -> actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m} 400 \mathrm{gz}$ probe
Pulse Sequence: 52 pul



$100 \mathrm{MHz} 1 \mathrm{DC13}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl3}$ (ref. to CDCl3 @ 77.0 ppm ), temp $27.0 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m} 400 \mathrm{gz}$ probe










Appendix II: Selected NMR Spectra
(Chapter 3)

100 MHz ID C13 in CDCl3 (ref. to CDC13 77.0 ppm ), temp $27.0 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, m400gz probe


## $8 \mathrm{ELS} \cdot 9 \mathrm{~L}$


86L•s



400 MHz 1D in CDC13 (ref. to CDC13 e 7.26 ppm ), temp $27.0 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, m400gz probe


125 MHz 1D Cl3 in CDCl3 (ref. to $\operatorname{CDCl3}$ ( 77.0 ppm ), temp $26.1 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, autoxdb probe



125 MHz 1D C13 in CDC13 (ref. to $\operatorname{CDC13}$ ( 77.0 ppm ), temp $26.1 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, autoxdb probe
Pulse Sequence: s2pul


100 MHz 1D C13 in CDC13 (ref. to CDC13 e 77.0 ppm ), temp $27.0 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m} 400 \mathrm{gz} \mathrm{probe}$


$2 e$

500 MBz 1 D in CDCl 3 (ref. to $\operatorname{CDCl} 3 \mathrm{7.26} \mathrm{ppm}$ ), temp $29.4 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, sw500u probe


125 MHz 1D C13 in CDC13 (ref. to CDC13 a 77.0 ppm ), temp $26.1 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, autoxdb probe







## Appendix III: Selected NMR Spectra

 (Chapter 4)
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125 MHz 1 DC 13 in CDCl 3 (ref. to $\mathrm{CDCl} 3 @ 77.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), temp $27.2 \mathrm{C}->$ actual temp $=27.0 \mathrm{C}$, sw probe
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Appendix IV: X-ray Crystallographic Data for Compounds 19i, 32d, and 32e (Chapter 3)

Compound 19i


Figure 2. Alternate view of the molecule.
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Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details

| A. Crystal Data <br> formula |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| formula weight | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ |
| crystal dimensions (mm) | 264.74 |
| crystal system | $0.66 \times 0.44 \times 0.40$ |
| space group | monoclinic |
| unit cell parameters ${ }^{a}$ | $P 22_{1} / c($ No. 14) |
| $\quad a(\AA)$ | $16.2372(13)$ |
| $\quad b(\AA)$ | $7.5016(6)$ |
| $\quad c(\AA)$ | $10.8942(9)$ |
| $\quad \beta($ deg $)$ | $100.0609(11)$ |
| $\quad V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $1306.56(18)$ |
| $\quad Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calce }}(\mathrm{g}$ cm |  |

${ }^{a}$ Obtained from least-squares refinement of 4478 reflections with $6.00^{\circ}<2 \theta<52.78^{\circ}$.
${ }^{b}$ Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Bruker.

Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details (continued)
${ }^{c}$ Beurskens, P. T.; Beurskens, G.; de Gelder, R.; Garcia-Granda, S.; Israel, R.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M. M. (1999). The DIRDIF-99 program system. Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
${ }^{d}$ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-93. Program for crystal structure determination. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1993.
$e^{e} S=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}^{2}}^{2}\right)^{2 /(n-p)}\right]^{1 / 2}(n=$ number of data; $p=$ number of parameters varied; $w=$ $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0548 P)^{2}+0.4494 P\right]^{-1}$ where $\left.P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right] / 3\right)$.
$f_{R_{1}}=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| ; w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{4}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cl | $0.47222(2)$ | $0.33149(6)$ | $0.07157(4)$ | $0.05140(15)^{*}$ |
| O1 | $0.35783(7)$ | $0.38839(14)$ | $0.26731(11)$ | $0.0474(3)^{*}$ |
| O2 | $-0.00227(6)$ | $0.27598(15)$ | $-0.12139(10)$ | $0.0432(3)^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.36058(9)$ | $0.24197(19)$ | $0.22320(12)$ | $0.0338(3)^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.43359(9)$ | $0.1688(2)$ | $0.16675(14)$ | $0.0382(3)^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.40087(9)$ | $0.0000(2)$ | $0.09931(14)$ | $0.0393(3)^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.34105(8)$ | $-0.07199(18)$ | $0.18285(13)$ | $0.0336(3)^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.28264(9)$ | $-0.21947(18)$ | $0.12453(14)$ | $0.0384(3)^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.22527(9)$ | $-0.15579(18)$ | $0.00696(14)$ | $0.0370(3)^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.18527(8)$ | $0.02201(16)$ | $0.02416(12)$ | $0.0281(3)^{*}$ |
| C8 | $0.11146(8)$ | $0.06549(18)$ | $-0.05782(12)$ | $0.0312(3)^{*}$ |
| C9 | $0.07140(8)$ | $0.22620(19)$ | $-0.04734(12)$ | $0.0321(3)^{*}$ |
| C10 | $0.10569(9)$ | $0.34801(18)$ | $0.04399(13)$ | $0.0351(3)^{*}$ |
| C11 | $0.17783(9)$ | $0.30459(17)$ | $0.12530(12)$ | $0.0318(3)^{*}$ |
| C12 | $0.21871(8)$ | $0.14124(16)$ | $0.11812(11)$ | $0.0267(3)^{*}$ |
| C13 | $0.29437(8)$ | $0.09428(17)$ | $0.21839(11)$ | $0.0292(3)^{*}$ |
| C14 | $0.26674(10)$ | $0.0780(2)$ | $0.34581(12)$ | $0.0399(3)^{*}$ |
| C15 | $-0.04100(9)$ | $0.1515(2)$ | $-0.21203(14)$ | $0.0421(4)^{*}$ |

Anisotropically-refined atoms are marked with an asterisk (*). The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+2 h l a * c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$.

Table 3. Selected Interatomic Distances ( $\AA$ )

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance | Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | C2 | $1.7845(15)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.523(2)$ |
| O1 | C1 | $1.2029(18)$ | C6 | C7 | $1.5094(17)$ |
| O2 | C9 | $1.3726(17)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.4023(18)$ |
| O2 | C15 | $1.4230(19)$ | C7 | C12 | $1.3959(18)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.529(2)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.3840(19)$ |
| C1 | C13 | $1.5383(18)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.393(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.513(2)$ | C10 | C11 | $1.378(2)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.540(2)$ | C11 | C12 | $1.4024(18)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.5221(19)$ | C12 | C13 | $1.5355(17)$ |
| C4 | C13 | $1.5435(18)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.5364(18)$ |

Table 4. Selected Interatomic Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9 | O2 | C15 | $117.61(11)$ | C7 | C8 | C9 | $120.78(12)$ |
| O1 | C1 | C2 | $125.01(13)$ | O2 | C9 | C8 | $124.22(13)$ |
| O1 | C1 | C13 | $126.64(13)$ | O2 | C9 | C10 | $116.09(12)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C13 | $108.31(11)$ | C8 | C9 | C10 | $119.68(12)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C1 | $111.36(10)$ | C9 | C10 | C11 | $119.51(12)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $114.79(11)$ | C10 | C11 | C12 | $121.87(12)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $105.33(11)$ | C7 | C12 | C11 | $118.26(12)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | $102.17(11)$ | C7 | C12 | C13 | $122.35(11)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | $114.81(12)$ | C11 | C12 | C13 | $119.24(11)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C13 | $104.64(11)$ | C1 | C13 | C4 | $102.69(11)$ |
| C5 | C4 | C13 | $113.24(11)$ | C1 | C13 | C12 | $109.06(10)$ |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | $111.59(11)$ | C1 | C13 | C14 | $109.81(11)$ |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $112.70(11)$ | C4 | C13 | C12 | $111.95(10)$ |
| C6 | C7 7 | C8 | $117.70(12)$ | C4 | C13 | C14 | $113.34(11)$ |
| C6 | C7 7 | C12 | $122.45(11)$ | C12 | C13 | C14 | $109.72(11)$ |
| C8 | C7 | C12 | $119.85(11)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Torsional Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C15 | O 2 | C9 | C8 | 2.0(2) | C5 | C4 | C13 | C14 | 83.48(15) |
| C15 | O2 | C9 | C10 | -177.29(13) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -46.92(17) |
| O1 | C1 | C2 | Cl | -42.79(17) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | -158.18(12) |
| O1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -167.82(13) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C12 | 21.32(19) |
| C13 | C1 | C2 | Cl | 139.54(9) | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 179.92(12) |
| C13 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 14.50(14) | C12 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 0.41(19) |
| Ol | C1 | C13 | C4 | -166.49(14) | C6 | C7 | C12 | C11 | 178.92(12) |
| O1 | C1 | C13 | C12 | 74.62(16) | C6 | C7 | C12 | Cl 3 | -5.50(19) |
| O1 | C1 | C13 | C14 | -45.63(18) | C8 | C7 | C12 | C11 | -1.60(18) |
| C2 | C1 | C13 | C4 | 11.14(13) | C8 | C7 | C12 | C13 | 173.99(11) |
| C2 | C1 | C13 | C 12 | -107.76(12) | C7 | C8 | C9 | O 2 | -177.91(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C13 | C14 | 131.99(12) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C 10 | 1.4(2) |
| Cl | C2 | C3 | C4 | -156.96(10) | O2 | C9 | C10 | C11 | 177.40(12) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -34.10(13) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | -2.0(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 166.54(11) | C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | 0.8(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C13 | 41.78(13) | C10 | C11 | C 12 | C7 | 1.03 (19) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -61.32(16) | C10 | C11 | C12 | Cl 3 | -174.70(12) |
| C13 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 58.79(16) | C7 | C12 | C13 | C1 | 128.02(13) |
| C3 | C4 | C13 | Cl | -32.38(13) | C7 | C12 | Cl 3 | C4 | 15.08(16) |
| C3 | C4 | C13 | C12 | 84.47(13) | C7 | C12 | C13 | C14 | -111.67(13) |
| C3 | C4 | C13 | C14 | -150.78(11) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C1 | -56.44(15) |
| C5 | C4 | C13 | C1 | -158.13(11) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C4 | -169.38(11) |
| C5 | C4 | C13 | C12 | -41.28(15) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 63.87(15) |

Table 6. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $U_{\mathrm{ij}}, \AA^{2}$ )

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $0.0424(2)$ | $0.0595(3)$ | $0.0516(3)$ | $0.01189(18)$ | $0.00591(17)$ | $-0.01747(17)$ |
| O1 | $0.0536(7)$ | $0.0331(5)$ | $0.0533(6)$ | $-0.0057(5)$ | $0.0035(5)$ | $-0.0088(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.0349(5)$ | $0.0431(6)$ | $0.0484(6)$ | $0.0012(5)$ | $-0.0016(5)$ | $0.0109(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.0368(7)$ | $0.0320(7)$ | $0.0301(6)$ | $0.0045(5)$ | $-0.0016(5)$ | $-0.0044(5)$ |
| C2 | $0.0317(7)$ | $0.0412(8)$ | $0.0398(8)$ | $0.0089(6)$ | $0.0007(6)$ | $-0.0042(6)$ |
| C3 | $0.0332(7)$ | $0.0385(7)$ | $0.0465(8)$ | $0.0004(6)$ | $0.0077(6)$ | $0.0041(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.0336(7)$ | $0.0284(6)$ | $0.0361(7)$ | $0.0045(5)$ | $-0.0010(5)$ | $0.0030(5)$ |
| C5 | $0.0413(8)$ | $0.0239(6)$ | $0.0475(8)$ | $0.0015(6)$ | $0.0008(6)$ | $0.0040(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.0396(7)$ | $0.0259(6)$ | $0.0427(8)$ | $-0.0075(5)$ | $-0.0008(6)$ | $0.0039(5)$ |
| C7 | $0.0304(6)$ | $0.0239(6)$ | $0.0306(6)$ | $-0.0003(5)$ | $0.0068(5)$ | $0.0007(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.0324(6)$ | $0.0292(6)$ | $0.0313(6)$ | $-0.0024(5)$ | $0.0038(5)$ | $-0.0006(5)$ |
| C9 | $0.0297(6)$ | $0.0337(7)$ | $0.0334(7)$ | $0.0042(5)$ | $0.0066(5)$ | $0.0045(5)$ |
| C10 | $0.0374(7)$ | $0.0279(6)$ | $0.0414(7)$ | $-0.0007(5)$ | $0.0111(6)$ | $0.0080(5)$ |
| C11 | $0.0371(7)$ | $0.0274(6)$ | $0.0317(6)$ | $-0.0046(5)$ | $0.0084(5)$ | $0.0005(5)$ |
| C12 | $0.0289(6)$ | $0.0253(6)$ | $0.0267(6)$ | $0.0014(5)$ | $0.0066(5)$ | $0.0001(5)$ |
| C13 | $0.0329(6)$ | $0.0265(6)$ | $0.0271(6)$ | $0.0013(5)$ | $0.0025(5)$ | $-0.0025(5)$ |
| C14 | $0.0486(8)$ | $0.0424(8)$ | $0.0286(7)$ | $0.0028(6)$ | $0.0064(6)$ | $-0.0065(6)$ |
| C15 | $0.0328(7)$ | $0.0525(9)$ | $0.0384(8)$ | $0.0068(6)$ | $-0.0006(6)$ | $0.0001(6)$ |

The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is:
$\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$

Table 7. Derived Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters for Hydrogen Atoms

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| H2 | 0.4798 | 0.1360 | 0.2363 | 0.046 |
| H3A | 0.4467 | -0.0852 | 0.0943 | 0.047 |
| H3B | 0.3707 | 0.0261 | 0.0141 | 0.047 |
| H4 | 0.3757 | -0.1202 | 0.2607 | 0.040 |
| H5A | 0.2483 | -0.2609 | 0.1856 | 0.046 |
| H5B | 0.3162 | -0.3217 | 0.1037 | 0.046 |
| H6A | 0.2580 | -0.1459 | -0.0614 | 0.044 |
| H6B | 0.1808 | -0.2456 | -0.0179 | 0.044 |
| H8 | 0.0887 | -0.0163 | -0.1213 | 0.037 |
| H10 | 0.0795 | 0.4602 | 0.0502 | 0.042 |
| H11 | 0.2005 | 0.3877 | 0.1880 | 0.038 |
| H14A | 0.2355 | 0.1850 | 0.3614 | 0.048 |
| H14B | 0.3162 | 0.0659 | 0.4112 | 0.048 |
| H14C | 0.2310 | -0.0271 | 0.3461 | 0.048 |
| H15A | -0.0932 | 0.2023 | -0.2574 | 0.050 |
| H15B | -0.0533 | 0.0413 | -0.1704 | 0.050 |
| H15C | -0.0032 | 0.1253 | -0.2707 | 0.050 |



Figure 2. Alternate view of the molecule.
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Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details

| A. Crystal Data <br> formula |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| formula weight | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ |
| crystal dimensions (mm) | 264.74 |
| crystal system | $0.50 \times 0.40 \times 0.36$ |
| space group | orthorhombic |
| unit cell parameters ${ }^{a}$ | Pbca (No. 61$)$ |
| $\quad a(\AA)$ |  |
| $\quad b(\AA)$ | $15.9739(17)$ |
| $\quad c(\AA)$ | $8.8900(10)$ |
| $\quad V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $18.522(2)$ |
| $\quad Z$ | $2630.3(5)$ |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 8 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.337 |

## B. Data Collection and Refinement Conditions

diffractometer Bruker PLATFORM/SMART $1000 \mathrm{CCD}^{b}$
radiation $(\lambda[\AA]) \quad$ graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(0.71073)$
temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \quad-80$
scan type $\quad \omega$ scans $\left(0.3^{\circ}\right)(15 \mathrm{~s}$ exposures $)$
data collection $2 \theta$ limit (deg)
total data collected $\quad 20945(-20 \leq h \leq 20,-11 \leq k \leq 11,-23 \leq l \leq 23)$
55.04
independent reflections $\quad 3017\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0183\right)$
number of observed reflections ( $N O$ ) $\quad 2669\left[F_{0}{ }^{2} \geq 20\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
structure solution method direct methods (SIR970)
refinement method full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ (SHELXL-97d)
absorption correction method multi-scan (SADABS)
range of transmission factors $\quad 0.9054-0.8720$
data/restraints/parameters $\quad 3017\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-3 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right] / 0 / 164$
goodness-of-fit $(S)^{e} \quad 1.027\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-3 o\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
final $R$ indices $f$
$R_{1}\left[F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} \geq 2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)\right] \quad 0.0333$
$w R_{2}\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-3 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right] \quad 0.0943$
largest difference peak and hole $\quad 0.264$ and $-0.226 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
${ }^{a}$ Obtained from least-squares refinement of 6030 reflections with $5.08^{\circ}<2 \theta<54.94^{\circ}$.
${ }^{b}$ Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Bruker.

Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details (continued)
${ }^{c}$ Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G. L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. G.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. J. Appl. Cryst. 1999, 32, 115-119.
${ }^{d}$ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97. Program for crystal structure determination. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
${ }^{e} S=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} /(n-p)\right]^{1 / 2}(n=$ number of data; $p=$ number of parameters varied; $w=$
$\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0506 P)^{2}+0.8602 P\right]^{-1}$ where $\left.P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right] / 3\right)$.
$f_{R_{1}}=\Sigma\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{0}\right| ; w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{\left.2 / \Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{4}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} .}\right.$

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cl | -0.01963(2) | 0.57127(4) | 0.60397(2) | $0.04571(12)^{*}$ |
| O1 | -0.02679(5) | $0.32811(10)$ | $0.72566(5)$ | 0.0357(2)* |
| O2 | 0.11804(6) | -0.03833(10) | $0.44685(5)$ | 0.0394(2)* |
| C1 | 0.12394(7) | $0.29080(12)$ | 0.70926 (6) | 0.0276(2)* |
| C2 | $0.12419(7)$ | 0.19811(12) | $0.64020(6)$ | 0.0265(2)* |
| C3 | 0.10250(7) | 0.04637(13) | $0.64100(6)$ | 0.0296(2)* |
| C4 | 0.09912(8) | -0.03742(13) | $0.57760(7)$ | 0.0315(3)* |
| C5 | 0.11803(7) | 0.03207(13) | 0.51231(6) | 0.0300(2)* |
| C6 | 0.13903(7) | 0.18466(13) | $0.51106(6)$ | 0.0299(2)* |
| C7 | 0.14083(7) | 0.26889(12) | 0.57419(6) | 0.0274(2)* |
| C8 | $0.16025(8)$ | $0.43589(13)$ | 0.57090(7) | 0.0325(3)* |
| C9 | 0.14877(8) | $0.51575(13)$ | 0.64341(6) | 0.0319(3)* |
| C10 | 0.05752(8) | 0.52522(13) | $0.67005(7)$ | 0.0319(3)* |
| C11 | $0.04063(7)$ | 0.37308(13) | $0.70585(6)$ | 0.0287(2)* |
| C12 | $0.18839(7)$ | 0.41908(13) | 0.70404(6) | 0.0305(2)* |
| C13 | $0.20107(8)$ | 0.50443(15) | 0.77503(7) | 0.0388(3)* |
| C14 | $0.25736(9)$ | 0.42147(18) | 0.82812(7) | 0.0456(3)* |
| C15 | $0.09851(9)$ | -0.19449(15) | 0.44548(8) | 0.0428(3)* |

Anisotropically-refined atoms are marked with an asterisk (*). The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$.

Table 3. Selected Interatomic Distances $(\AA)$

| Atoml | Atom2 | Distance | Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | C10 | $1.7845(12)$ | C4 | C5 | $1.3911(17)$ |
| O1 | C11 | $1.2060(14)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.3976(16)$ |
| O2 | C5 | $1.3644(14)$ | C6 | C7 | $1.3888(16)$ |
| O2 | C15 | $1.4231(16)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.5179(15)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.5215(15)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.5302(17)$ |
| C1 | C11 | $1.5198(15)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.5412(17)$ |
| C1 | C12 | $1.5394(16)$ | C9 | C12 | $1.5493(16)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.3928(16)$ | C10 | C11 | $1.5303(16)$ |
| C2 | C7 | $1.4006(15)$ | C12 | C13 | $1.5316(16)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.3917(17)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.5228(19)$ |

Table 4. Selected Interatomic Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5 | O2 | C15 | 117.60(10) | C6 | C7 | C8 | 119.86(10) |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | 103.19(9) | C7 | C8 | C9 | 113.21(10) |
| C2 | C1 | C12 | 110.28(9) | C8 | C9 | C10 | 114.82(10) |
| C11 | Cl | C12 | 103.09(9) | C8 | C9 | C12 | 109.26(9) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 121.00(10) | C10 | C9 | C12 | 100.64(9) |
| Cl | C2 | C7 | 119.41(10) | Cl | C10 | C9 | 116.49(9) |
| C3 | C2 | C7 | 119.44(10) | Cl | C 10 | C11 | 112.22(8) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 121.28(11) | C9 | C10 | C11 | 104.90(9) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 119.17(10) | O1 | C11 | Cl | 127.57(11) |
| O2 | C5 | C4 | 124.66(11) | O1 | C11 | Cl 10 | 125.61(11) |
| O 2 | C5 | C6 | 115.50(10) | C1 | C11 | C10 | 106.80(9) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 119.83(11) | C1 | C12 | C9 | 100.56(9) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | 120.96(10) | C1 | C12 | C13 | 113.67(10) |
| C2 | C7 | C6 | 119.26(10) | C9 | C12 | C13 | 113.68(10) |
| C2 | C7 | C8 | 120.88(10) | C12 | C13 | C14 | 113.11(11) |

Table 5. Torsional Angles (deg)

| Atoml | Atom 2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle | Atoml | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C15 | O 2 | C5 | C4 | -0.97(18) | O2 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 179.69(11) |
| C15 | O2 | C5 | C6 | 178.90(11) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -0.43(18) |
| C11 | Cl | C2 | C3 | -99.05(12) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C2 | 2.27(17) |
| C11 | Cl | C2 | C7 | 76.48(12) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | -177.42(11) |
| C12 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 151.40(10) | C2 | C7 | C8 | C9 | -7.08(16) |
| C 12 | Cl | C2 | C7 | -33.07(14) | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 172.60(10) |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | O1 | 85.50(14) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | -67.58(13) |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | C10 | -92.95(10) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C12 | 44.57(13) |
| C 12 | C1 | C11 | O1 | -159.66(12) | C8 | C9 | C10 | Cl | -42.39(13) |
| C12 | Cl | C11 | C10 | 21.88(11) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | 82.36(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C12 | C9 | 66.25(11) | C12 | C9 | C10 | Cl | -159.56(8) |
| C2 | C1 | C12 | C13 | -171.89(9) | C12 | C9 | C10 | C11 | -34.81(11) |
| C11 | Cl | C12 | C9 | -43.36(11) | C8 | C9 | C12 | Cl | -73.16(11) |
| C11 | Cl | C12 | C13 | 78.50(11) | C8 | C9 | C12 | Cl 3 | 164.99(10) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 177.20(10) | C10 | C9 | C12 | Cl | 48.04(10) |
| C7 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 1.68 (17) | C10 | C9 | C12 | C13 | -73.81(12) |
| Cl | C2 | C7 | C6 | -178.46(10) | Cl | C10 | C11 | O1 | -42.79(15) |
| Cl | C2 | C7 | C8 | 1.22(16) | Cl | C10 | Cl1 | Cl | 135.70(8) |
| C3 | C2 | C7 | C6 | -2.86(16) | C9 | C10 | C11 | O1 | -170.20(11) |
| C3 | C2 | C7 | C8 | 176.82(10) | C9 | C10 | Cl1 | Cl | 8.30(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 0.17(17) | C1 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 78.91(14) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | O2 | 179.07(11) | C9 | C12 | C13 | C14 | -166.84(11) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -0.80(17) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $U_{\mathrm{ij}}, \AA^{2}$ )

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | $0.0383(2)$ | $0.0441(2)$ | $0.0548(2)$ | $0.01132(14)$ | $-0.01092(14)$ | $0.00290(13)$ |
| O1 | $0.0294(4)$ | $0.0366(5)$ | $0.0411(5)$ | $-0.0014(4)$ | $0.0062(3)$ | $0.0001(3)$ |
| O2 | $0.0491(6)$ | $0.0352(5)$ | $0.0341(4)$ | $-0.0070(4)$ | $0.0043(4)$ | $-0.0034(4)$ |
| C1 | $0.0273(5)$ | $0.0266(5)$ | $0.0290(5)$ | $0.0000(4)$ | $0.0000(4)$ | $0.0008(4)$ |
| C2 | $0.0235(5)$ | $0.0253(5)$ | $0.0308(5)$ | $-0.0002(4)$ | $0.0012(4)$ | $0.0014(4)$ |
| C3 | $0.0291(6)$ | $0.0275(5)$ | $0.0322(6)$ | $0.0033(4)$ | $0.0028(4)$ | $0.0004(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.0320(6)$ | $0.0234(5)$ | $0.0392(6)$ | $-0.0006(5)$ | $0.0022(5)$ | $-0.0005(4)$ |
| C5 | $0.0269(5)$ | $0.0311(6)$ | $0.0320(6)$ | $-0.0043(4)$ | $0.0013(4)$ | $0.0016(4)$ |
| C6 | $0.0285(5)$ | $0.0307(6)$ | $0.0303(5)$ | $0.0028(4)$ | $0.0029(4)$ | $0.0009(4)$ |
| C7 | $0.0234(5)$ | $0.0262(5)$ | $0.0326(5)$ | $0.0014(4)$ | $0.0014(4)$ | $0.0007(4)$ |
| C8 | $0.0347(6)$ | $0.0272(6)$ | $0.0355(6)$ | $0.0037(4)$ | $0.0030(5)$ | $-0.0037(5)$ |
| C9 | $0.0319(6)$ | $0.0245(5)$ | $0.0393(6)$ | $-0.0001(5)$ | $-0.0015(5)$ | $-0.0032(4)$ |
| C10 | $0.0301(6)$ | $0.0264(5)$ | $0.0390(6)$ | $-0.0009(5)$ | $-0.0045(5)$ | $0.0022(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.0291(6)$ | $0.0287(5)$ | $0.0282(5)$ | $-0.0054(4)$ | $-0.0002(4)$ | $0.0009(4)$ |
| C12 | $0.0264(5)$ | $0.0292(5)$ | $0.0360(6)$ | $-0.0032(4)$ | $-0.0014(4)$ | $-0.0006(4)$ |
| C13 | $0.0354(6)$ | $0.0373(7)$ | $0.0436(7)$ | $-0.0101(5)$ | $-0.0053(5)$ | $-0.0008(5)$ |
| C14 | $0.0374(7)$ | $0.0595(9)$ | $0.0400(7)$ | $-0.0107(6)$ | $-0.0064(6)$ | $0.0063(6)$ |
| C15 | $0.0470(8)$ | $0.0359(7)$ | $0.0453(7)$ | $-0.0122(6)$ | $0.0043(6)$ | $-0.0028(6)$ |

The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is:
$\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$

Table 7. Derived Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters for Hydrogen Atoms

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 0.1305 | 0.2284 | 0.7538 | 0.033 |
| H3 | 0.0898 | -0.0008 | 0.6857 | 0.036 |
| H4 | 0.0841 | -0.1408 | 0.5789 | 0.038 |
| H6 | 0.1523 | 0.2314 | 0.4664 | 0.036 |
| H8A | 0.1232 | 0.4836 | 0.5347 | 0.039 |
| H8B | 0.2188 | 0.4495 | 0.5546 | 0.039 |
| H9 | 0.1748 | 0.6180 | 0.6421 | 0.038 |
| H10 | 0.0551 | 0.6039 | 0.7086 | 0.038 |
| H12 | 0.2432 | 0.3779 | 0.6871 | 0.037 |
| H13A | 0.2259 | 0.6040 | 0.7644 | 0.047 |
| H13B | 0.1458 | 0.5215 | 0.7979 | 0.047 |
| H14A | 0.2628 | 0.4807 | 0.8725 | 0.055 |
| H14B | 0.3128 | 0.4070 | 0.8065 | 0.055 |
| H14C | 0.2327 | 0.3233 | 0.8395 | 0.055 |
| H15A | 0.0991 | -0.2305 | 0.3955 | 0.051 |
| H15B | 0.0428 | -0.2105 | 0.4663 | 0.051 |
| H15C | 0.1402 | -0.2501 | 0.4737 | 0.051 |

Compound 32e


Figure 2. Alternate view of the molecule.
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Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details

```
A. Crystal Data
formula }\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{19}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{17}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{ClO}}{2}{
formula weight 312.78
crystal dimensions (mm) 0.42 }\times0.38\times0.3
crystal system triclinic
space group
unit cell parameters }\mp@subsup{}{}{a
        a(\AA)
    6.7178 (5)
    c(\AA)
    \alpha(deg)
    \beta(deg)
    \gamma(deg)
    V(\AA}\mp@subsup{\AA}{}{3}
    Z
\rhocalcd (g cm
1.351
\mu(\mp@subsup{m}{}{-1})}00.25
```


## B. Data Collection and Refinement Conditions

```
diffractometer Bruker PLATFORM/SMART \(1000 \mathrm{CCD}^{b}\)
radiation ( }\lambda[\AA])\quad\mathrm{ graphite-monochromated Mo K }\alpha\mathrm{ (0.71073)
temperature (}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\textrm{C})\quad-8
scan type }\quad\omega\mathrm{ scans (0.3}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ})(20\textrm{s}\mathrm{ exposures)
data collection 20 limit (deg)
total data collected
55.04
6743(-8 < h < 8, -13 < k < 13, -14 <l\leq 14)
independent reflections
number of observed reflections (NO)
3497( }\mp@subsup{R}{\mathrm{ int }}{=0.0081)
3177[ [F0}\mp@subsup{0}{}{2}\geq2\sigma(\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2})
structure solution method
direct methods (SHELXS-97c)
refinement method full-matrix least-squares on F}\mp@subsup{F}{}{2}(\mathrm{ SHELXL-97d)
absorption correction method Gaussian integration (face-indexed)
range of transmission factors 0.9234-0.9012
data/restraints/parameters
3497[F[\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2}}<-3\sigma(\mp@subsup{F}{\textrm{O}}{2})]/0/19
goodness-of-fit (S)e
    1.096[ [Fo }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\geq-30(\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2})
final }R\mathrm{ indices }
    R1[F\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2}\geq2\sigma(\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2})]
    w\mp@subsup{R}{2}{}[\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2}\geq-3o( (\mp@subsup{F}{0}{2})]
largest difference peak and hole }0.286\mathrm{ and -0.326 e & $-3
```

${ }^{a}$ Obtained from least-squares refinement of 6278 reflections with $4.84^{\circ}<2 \theta<54.98^{\circ}$.

Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details (continued)
${ }^{b}$ Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Bruker.
${ }^{\text {c Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467-473. }}$
${ }^{d}$ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97. Program for crystal structure determination. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
${ }^{e} S=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2 /(n-p)}\right]^{1 / 2}(n=$ number of data; $p=$ number of parameters varied; $w=$ $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0464 P)^{2}+0.2310 P\right]^{-1}$ where $\left.P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{\mathrm{c}^{2}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3\right)$.
$f_{R_{1}}=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right| / \Sigma\right| F_{0} \mid ; w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{4}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cl | $0.31963(6)$ | $0.45369(3)$ | $0.30522(3)$ | $0.04376(12)^{*}$ |
| O1 | $0.23852(14)$ | $-0.13390(9)$ | $-0.01690(8)$ | $0.0360(2)^{*}$ |
| O2 | $-0.07968(13)$ | $0.35081(9)$ | $0.39923(9)$ | $0.0352(2)^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.11123(16)$ | $0.18137(11)$ | $0.46151(11)$ | $0.0257(2)^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.14225(17)$ | $0.09105(10)$ | $0.33595(11)$ | $0.0256(2)^{*}$ |
| C3 | $-0.00556(17)$ | $0.00441(11)$ | $0.27962(11)$ | $0.0282(2)^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.01959(18)$ | $-0.07387(11)$ | $0.16237(12)$ | $0.0295(2)^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.19664(18)$ | $-0.06382(11)$ | $0.09936(11)$ | $0.0291(2)^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.34653(18)$ | $0.02198(12)$ | $0.15509(11)$ | $0.0302(3)^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.32143(17)$ | $0.09955(11)$ | $0.27260(11)$ | $0.0274(2)^{*}$ |
| C8 | $0.48883(18)$ | $0.18990(12)$ | $0.33164(12)$ | $0.0333(3)^{*}$ |
| C9 | $0.43070(17)$ | $0.27987(11)$ | $0.45305(11)$ | $0.0284(2)^{*}$ |
| C10 | $0.27935(17)$ | $0.37942(11)$ | $0.43476(11)$ | $0.0274(2)^{*}$ |
| C11 | $0.07561(17)$ | $0.31031(11)$ | $0.42736(10)$ | $0.0260(2)^{*}$ |
| C12 | $0.30973(17)$ | $0.20265(11)$ | $0.53500(11)$ | $0.0277(2)^{*}$ |
| C13 | $0.29718(18)$ | $0.27047(11)$ | $0.67084(11)$ | $0.0284(2)^{*}$ |
| C14 | $0.4476(2)$ | $0.25237(13)$ | $0.75379(13)$ | $0.0379(3)^{*}$ |
| C15 | $0.4459(2)$ | $0.31474(16)$ | $0.87824(14)$ | $0.0464(3)^{*}$ |
| C16 | $0.2953(2)$ | $0.39757(14)$ | $0.92263(13)$ | $0.0437(3)^{*}$ |
| C17 | $0.1454(2)$ | $0.41604(13)$ | $0.84190(13)$ | $0.0387(3)^{*}$ |
| C18 | $0.1449(2)$ | $0.35244(12)$ | $0.71716(12)$ | $0.0338(3)^{*}$ |
| C19 | $0.0920(2)$ | $-0.22621(13)$ | $-0.07594(12)$ | $0.0377(3)^{*}$ |

Anisotropically-refined atoms are marked with an asterisk (*). The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$.

Table 3. Selected Interatomic Distances ( $\AA$ )

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance | Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | C10 | $1.7818(12)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.5144(16)$ |
| O1 | C5 | $1.3682(14)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.5270(16)$ |
| O1 | C19 | $1.4284(15)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.5329(17)$ |
| O2 | C11 | $1.2013(14)$ | C9 | C12 | $1.5609(17)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.5230(15)$ | C10 | C11 | $1.5342(16)$ |
| C1 | C11 | $1.5288(16)$ | C12 | C13 | $1.5130(16)$ |
| C1 | C12 | $1.5379(16)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.3950(17)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.3907(16)$ | C13 | C18 | $1.3928(17)$ |
| C2 | C7 | $1.4038(16)$ | C14 | C15 | $1.388(2)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.3901(16)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.385(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.3921(17)$ | C16 | C17 | $1.378(2)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.3936(17)$ | C17 | C18 | $1.3897(18)$ |

Table 4. Selected Interatomic Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5 | O1 | C19 | $117.46(10)$ | C10 | C9 | C12 | $101.17(9)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C11 | $104.19(9)$ | C1 | C10 | C9 | $116.22(8)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C12 | $109.24(9)$ | C1 | C10 | C11 | $112.29(8)$ |
| C11 | C1 | C12 | $103.62(9)$ | C9 | C10 | C11 | $105.23(9)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $121.81(10)$ | O2 | C11 | C1 | $127.57(11)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C7 | $119.07(10)$ | O2 | C11 | C10 | $125.97(11)$ |
| C3 | C2 | C7 | $119.05(10)$ | C1 | C11 | C10 | $106.46(9)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | $121.73(11)$ | C1 | C12 | C9 | $100.37(9)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | $118.93(11)$ | C1 | C12 | C13 | $115.79(10)$ |
| O1 | C5 | C4 | $124.62(11)$ | C9 | C12 | C13 | $113.38(9)$ |
| O1 | C5 | C6 | $115.45(11)$ | C12 | C13 | C14 | $118.58(11)$ |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | $119.93(11)$ | C12 | C13 | C18 | $123.34(11)$ |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $121.00(11)$ | C14 | C13 | C18 | $118.06(12)$ |
| C2 | C7 | C6 | $119.35(11)$ | C13 | C14 | C15 | $120.88(13)$ |
| C2 | C7 | C8 | $120.97(10)$ | C14 | C15 | C16 | $120.47(13)$ |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | $119.67(10)$ | C15 | C16 | C17 | $119.30(13)$ |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | $113.55(10)$ | C16 | C17 | C18 | $120.50(13)$ |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | $113.95(10)$ | C13 | C18 | C17 | $120.77(12)$ |
| C9 | C12 | $109.02(10)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Torsional Angles (deg)

| Atom1 1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle |  | Atoml | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | Angle $177.06(11)$

Table 6. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $U_{\mathrm{ij}}, \AA^{2}$ )

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C1 | $0.0520(2)$ | $0.0444(2)$ | $0.03832(19)$ | $0.01726(15)$ | $0.00304(14)$ | $-0.00652(15)$ |
| O1 | $0.0394(5)$ | $0.0355(5)$ | $0.0282(4)$ | $-0.0040(4)$ | $0.0034(4)$ | $-0.0032(4)$ |
| O2 | $0.0294(4)$ | $0.0351(5)$ | $0.0388(5)$ | $0.0023(4)$ | $-0.0043(4)$ | $0.0057(3)$ |
| C1 | $0.0242(5)$ | $0.0248(5)$ | $0.0267(5)$ | $0.0023(4)$ | $0.0024(4)$ | $-0.0013(4)$ |
| C2 | $0.0263(5)$ | $0.0221(5)$ | $0.0273(5)$ | $0.0030(4)$ | $0.0012(4)$ | $0.0010(4)$ |
| C3 | $0.0271(5)$ | $0.0245(5)$ | $0.0322(6)$ | $0.0041(4)$ | $0.0036(4)$ | $-0.0015(4)$ |
| C4 | $0.0314(6)$ | $0.0229(5)$ | $0.0326(6)$ | $0.0026(4)$ | $-0.0010(5)$ | $-0.0029(4)$ |
| C5 | $0.0345(6)$ | $0.0247(5)$ | $0.0268(6)$ | $0.0021(4)$ | $0.0009(4)$ | $0.0023(4)$ |
| C6 | $0.0278(6)$ | $0.0309(6)$ | $0.0304(6)$ | $0.0024(5)$ | $0.0044(4)$ | $0.0003(4)$ |
| C7 | $0.0252(5)$ | $0.0257(5)$ | $0.0298(6)$ | $0.0024(4)$ | $0.0012(4)$ | $0.0004(4)$ |
| C8 | $0.0234(5)$ | $0.0355(6)$ | $0.0361(7)$ | $-0.0033(5)$ | $0.0037(5)$ | $-0.0021(5)$ |
| C9 | $0.0229(5)$ | $0.0301(6)$ | $0.0298(6)$ | $0.0009(4)$ | $-0.0003(4)$ | $-0.0020(4)$ |
| C10 | $0.0295(6)$ | $0.0264(5)$ | $0.0259(5)$ | $0.0049(4)$ | $0.0005(4)$ | $-0.0033(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.0261(5)$ | $0.0260(5)$ | $0.0235(5)$ | $-0.0003(4)$ | $0.0010(4)$ | $0.0006(4)$ |
| C12 | $0.0278(5)$ | $0.0253(5)$ | $0.0291(6)$ | $0.0032(4)$ | $-0.0012(4)$ | $0.0030(4)$ |
| C13 | $0.0334(6)$ | $0.0242(5)$ | $0.0277(6)$ | $0.0059(4)$ | $-0.0026(4)$ | $-0.0019(4)$ |
| C14 | $0.0395(7)$ | $0.0375(7)$ | $0.0371(7)$ | $0.0087(5)$ | $-0.0071(5)$ | $0.0022(5)$ |
| C15 | $0.0489(8)$ | $0.0537(9)$ | $0.0365(7)$ | $0.0101(6)$ | $-0.0149(6)$ | $-0.0045(7)$ |
| C16 | $0.0573(9)$ | $0.0424(8)$ | $0.0279(6)$ | $0.0006(5)$ | $-0.0040(6)$ | $-0.0103(6)$ |
| C17 | $0.0500(8)$ | $0.0325(6)$ | $0.0315(7)$ | $0.0020(5)$ | $0.0044(6)$ | $0.0008(6)$ |
| C18 | $0.0397(7)$ | $0.0323(6)$ | $0.0289(6)$ | $0.0052(5)$ | $-0.0011(5)$ | $0.0039(5)$ |
| C19 | $0.0474(7)$ | $0.0315(6)$ | $0.0302(6)$ | $-0.0018(5)$ | $-0.0012(5)$ | $-0.0049(5)$ |

The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is:
$\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+R^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$

Table 7. Derived Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters for Hydrogen Atoms

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{eq},}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | -0.0001 | 0.1529 | 0.5103 | 0.031 |
| H3 | -0.1269 | -0.0014 | 0.3224 | 0.034 |
| H4 | -0.0824 | -0.1333 | 0.1258 | 0.035 |
| H6 | 0.4677 | 0.0275 | 0.1121 | 0.036 |
| H8A | 0.5331 | 0.2414 | 0.2710 | 0.040 |
| H8B | 0.6030 | 0.1392 | 0.3491 | 0.040 |
| H9 | 0.5515 | 0.3220 | 0.4995 | 0.034 |
| H10 | 0.2823 | 0.4481 | 0.5124 | 0.033 |
| H12 | 0.3730 | 0.1184 | 0.5306 | 0.033 |
| H14 | 0.5525 | 0.1965 | 0.7244 | 0.046 |
| H15 | 0.5487 | 0.3006 | 0.9336 | 0.056 |
| H16 | 0.2954 | 0.4412 | 1.0078 | 0.052 |
| H17 | 0.0416 | 0.4727 | 0.8717 | 0.046 |
| H18 | 0.0395 | 0.3650 | 0.6628 | 0.041 |
| H19A | 0.1364 | -0.2664 | -0.1599 | 0.045 |
| H19B | -0.0344 | -0.1841 | -0.0825 | 0.045 |
| H19C | 0.0734 | -0.2915 | -0.0259 | 0.045 |

# Appendix V: X-ray Crystallographic Data for Compound $\mathbf{4 6 f}_{\text {E }}$ 

 (Chapter 4)Compound $46 f_{E}$


Figure 2. Alternate view of the molecule illustrating the relative orientations of the carbonyl and olefin units.
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Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details
A. Crystal Data
formula $\quad \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$
formula weight 379.46
crystal dimensions (mm) $\quad 0.52 \times 0.50 \times 0.37$
crystal system triclinic
space group
unit cell parameters ${ }^{a}$
$a(\AA)$
$b(\AA)$
8.0444 (6)
10.8324 (7)
$c$ ( $\AA$ )
11.7001 (8)
$\alpha$ (deg)
86.0553 (9)
$\beta$ (deg)
78.3360 (9)
$\gamma(\mathrm{deg})$
$\gamma$ (deg)
73.0379 (9)
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
955.01 (11)
Z
2
$\rho_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right) \quad 1.320$
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right) \quad 0.199$

## B. Data Collection and Refinement Conditions

diffractometer
radiation $(\lambda[\AA])$
temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
scan type
data collection $2 \theta$ limit (deg)
total data collected
independent reflections
number of observed reflections ( $N O$ )
structure solution method
refinement method absorption correction method range of transmission factors data/restraints/parameters goodness-of-fit $(S)^{e}$
final $R$ indices $f$

$$
R_{1}\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq 2 O\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right] \quad 0.0378
$$

$w R_{2}\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-3 o\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right]$
largest difference peak and hole

Bruker PLATFORM/SMART $1000 \mathrm{CCD}^{b}$ graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ ( 0.71073 ) $-80$
$\omega$ scans $\left(0.3^{\circ}\right)$ ( 15 s exposures)
54.94
$7952(-10 \leq h \leq 10,-14 \leq k \leq 14,-15 \leq l \leq 15)$
$4305\left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0106\right)$
$3941\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq 2 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right]$
direct methods (SHELXS-97c)
full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ (SHELXL-97d)
Gaussian integration (face-indexed)
0.9302-0.9038
$4305\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-3 o\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)\right] / 0 / 236$
$1.065\left[F_{0}^{2} \geq-30\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)\right]$
0.1048
0.415 and $-0.230 \mathrm{e}^{\AA}{ }^{-3}$
${ }^{a}$ Obtained from least-squares refinement of 7059 reflections with $5.28^{\circ}<2 \theta<54.94^{\circ}$.

Table 1. Crystallographic Experimental Details (continued)
${ }^{b}$ Programs for diffractometer operation, data collection, data reduction and absorption correction were those supplied by Bruker.
${ }^{c}$ Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 467-473.
${ }^{d}$ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97. Program for crystal structure determination. University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
$e_{S}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} /(n-p)\right]^{1 / 2}(n=$ number of data; $p=$ number of parameters varied; $w=$ $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0585 P)^{2}+0.2759 P\right]^{-1}$ where $\left.P=\left[\operatorname{Max}\left(F_{0}^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right] / 3\right)$.
$f_{R_{1}}=\Sigma| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| ; w R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{0}^{4}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | $0.29703(4)$ | $0.07469(3)$ | $0.32154(3)$ | $0.02828(10)^{*}$ |
| O1 | $-0.17183(14)$ | $0.47710(9)$ | $0.45698(9)$ | $0.0390(2)^{*}$ |
| O2 | $0.00165(14)$ | $0.56991(10)$ | $0.17223(10)$ | $0.0452(3)^{*}$ |
| O3 | $-0.19285(12)$ | $0.45109(9)$ | $0.21909(8)$ | $0.0325(2)^{*}$ |
| O4 | $0.18482(13)$ | $0.05735(10)$ | $0.24700(9)$ | $0.0382(2)^{*}$ |
| O5 | $0.36197(13)$ | $-0.02612(9)$ | $0.40110(9)$ | $0.0364(2)^{*}$ |
| N | $0.18808(14)$ | $0.20124(10)$ | $0.40349(9)$ | $0.0287(2)^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.06721(16)$ | $0.30995(11)$ | $0.36238(11)$ | $0.0269(2)^{*}$ |
| C2 | $-0.10292(16)$ | $0.36187(12)$ | $0.44926(11)$ | $0.0288(3)^{*}$ |
| C3 | $-0.17839(18)$ | $0.26573(14)$ | $0.52481(12)$ | $0.0362(3)^{*}$ |
| C4 | $-0.1004(2)$ | $0.23460(14)$ | $0.63613(13)$ | $0.0401(3)^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.0910(2)$ | $0.14998(13)$ | $0.61496(12)$ | $0.0385(3)^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.21772(18)$ | $0.20380(13)$ | $0.52490(12)$ | $0.0330(3)^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.09382(17)$ | $0.36336(13)$ | $0.25702(12)$ | $0.0323(3)^{*}$ |
| C8 | $-0.03752(17)$ | $0.47477(13)$ | $0.21273(11)$ | $0.0318(3)^{*}$ |
| C9 | $-0.34552(18)$ | $0.55096(14)$ | $0.18391(12)$ | $0.0357(3)^{*}$ |
| C10 | $-0.3047(3)$ | $0.57000(19)$ | $0.05224(14)$ | $0.0563(5)^{*}$ |
| C11 | $-0.3841(2)$ | $0.67552(15)$ | $0.24928(15)$ | $0.0440(3)^{*}$ |
| C12 | $-0.4951(2)$ | $0.48968(17)$ | $0.22037(17)$ | $0.0498(4)^{*}$ |
| C13 | $0.48211(16)$ | $0.10949(11)$ | $0.23087(11)$ | $0.0281(3)^{*}$ |
| C14 | $0.49506(19)$ | $0.11517(14)$ | $0.11100(13)$ | $0.0372(3)^{*}$ |
| C15 | $0.6458(2)$ | $0.13614(15)$ | $0.04061(13)$ | $0.0412(3)^{*}$ |
| C16 | $0.78252(18)$ | $0.15257(13)$ | $0.08844(13)$ | $0.0368(3)^{*}$ |
| C17 | $0.76626(18)$ | $0.14655(15)$ | $0.20902(14)$ | $0.0392(3)^{*}$ |
| C18 | $0.61786(17)$ | $0.12438(14)$ | $0.28104(13)$ | $0.0350(3)^{*}$ |
| C19 | $0.9448(2)$ | $0.17713(17)$ | $0.01168(17)$ | $0.0517(4)^{*}$ |

Anisotropically-refined atoms are marked with an asterisk (*). The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]$.

Table 3. Selected Interatomic Distances ( $\AA$ )

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance | Atom1 | Atom2 | Distance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S | O4 | $1.4319(10)$ | C4 | C5 | $1.525(2)$ |
| S | O5 | $1.4338(10)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.523(2)$ |
| S | N | $1.6452(11)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.4942(17)$ |
| S | C13 | $1.7658(13)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.522(2)$ |
| O1 | C2 | $1.2104(16)$ | C9 | C11 | $1.518(2)$ |
| O2 | C8 | $1.2033(17)$ | C9 | C12 | $1.513(2)$ |
| O3 | C8 | $1.3340(16)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.3837(19)$ |
| O3 | C9 | $1.4886(15)$ | C13 | C18 | $1.3922(18)$ |
| N | C1 | $1.4166(15)$ | C14 | C15 | $1.390(2)$ |
| N | C6 | $1.4905(17)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.390(2)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.5131(17)$ | C16 | C17 | $1.389(2)$ |
| C1 | C7 | $1.3296(18)$ | C16 | C19 | $1.509(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.5033(18)$ | C17 | C18 | $1.3876(19)$ |

Table 4. Selected Interatomic Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O4 | S | O5 | $120.04(6)$ | C1 | C7 | C8 | $124.52(12)$ |
| O4 | S | N | $108.07(6)$ | O2 | C8 | O3 | $126.49(12)$ |
| O4 | S | C13 | $107.21(6)$ | O2 | C8 | C7 | $121.59(12)$ |
| O5 | S | N | $105.30(6)$ | O3 | C8 | C7 | $111.84(11)$ |
| O5 | S | C13 | $107.61(6)$ | O3 | C9 | C10 | $108.49(11)$ |
| N | S | C13 | $108.15(6)$ | O3 | C9 | C11 | $110.71(11)$ |
| C8 | O3 | C9 | $120.99(11)$ | O3 | C9 | C12 | $102.48(12)$ |
| S | N | C1 | $122.28(9)$ | C10 | C9 | C11 | $112.29(14)$ |
| S | N | C6 | $119.56(8)$ | C10 | C9 | C12 | $111.56(14)$ |
| C1 | N | C6 | $118.16(10)$ | C11 | C9 | C12 | $110.86(13)$ |
| N | C1 | C2 | $113.99(10)$ | S | C13 | C14 | $119.93(10)$ |
| N | C1 | C7 | $124.92(12)$ | S | C13 | C18 | $119.22(10)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | $121.08(11)$ | C14 | C13 | C18 | $120.76(12)$ |
| O1 | C2 | C1 | $119.98(12)$ | C13 | C14 | C15 | $119.19(13)$ |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | $122.47(12)$ | C14 | C15 | C16 | $121.24(14)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $117.54(11)$ | C15 | C16 | C17 | $118.46(13)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | $111.09(12)$ | C15 | C16 | C19 | $121.03(15)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | $113.46(12)$ | C17 | C16 | C19 | $120.51(14)$ |
| N | C5 | C6 | C5 | $114.51(11)$ | C16 | C17 | C18 |
|  | C5 | $113.13(11)$ | C13 | C18 | C17 | $119.00(13)$ |  |

Table 5. Torsional Angles (deg)

| Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 | Angle |  | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Atom4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O4 | S | N | C 1 | $-34.12(11)$ | N | C 1 | C 2 | O 1 | $142.38(12)$ |
| O 4 | S | N | C 6 | $146.66(10)$ | N | C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $-36.68(16)$ |
| O 5 | S | N | C 1 | $-163.55(10)$ | C 7 | C 1 | C 2 | O 1 | $-38.72(19)$ |
| O 5 | S | N | C 6 | $17.23(11)$ | C 7 | C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $142.22(13)$ |
| C 13 | S | N | C 1 | $81.63(11)$ | N | C 1 | C 7 | C 8 | $177.43(12)$ |
| C 13 | S | N | C 6 | $-97.59(10)$ | C 2 | C 1 | C 7 | C 8 | $-1.3(2)$ |
| O 4 | S | C 13 | C 14 | $-0.02(13)$ | O 1 | C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $-91.34(16)$ |
| O 4 | S | C 13 | C 18 | $-176.76(10)$ | C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $87.69(14)$ |
| O 5 | S | C 13 | C 14 | $130.38(11)$ | C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | $-73.26(15)$ |
| O 5 | S | C 13 | C 18 | $-46.35(12)$ | C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | C 6 | $55.73(17)$ |
| N | S | C 13 | C 14 | $-116.33(11)$ | C 4 | C 5 | C 6 | N | $-70.04(15)$ |
| N | S | C 13 | C 18 | $66.93(11)$ | C 1 | C 7 | C 8 | O 2 | $127.60(16)$ |
| C 9 | O 3 | C 8 | O 2 | $-5.7(2)$ | C 1 | C 7 | C 8 | O 3 | $-55.45(18)$ |
| C 9 | O 3 | C 8 | C 7 | $177.59(11)$ | S | C 13 | C 14 | C 15 | $-176.75(11)$ |
| C 8 | O 3 | C 9 | C 10 | $69.16(16)$ | C 18 | C 13 | C 14 | C 15 | $-0.1(2)$ |
| C 8 | O 3 | C 9 | C 11 | $-54.48(16)$ | S | C 13 | C 18 | C 17 | $177.42(11)$ |
| C 8 | O 3 | C 9 | C 12 | $-172.75(12)$ | C 14 | C 13 | C 18 | C 17 | $0.7(2)$ |
| S | N | C 1 | C 2 | $136.77(10)$ | C 13 | C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | $-0.6(2)$ |
| S | N | C 1 | C 7 | $-42.08(17)$ | C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $0.5(2)$ |
| C 6 | N | C 1 | C 2 | $-44.00(15)$ | C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | C 19 | $-179.16(14)$ |
| C 6 | N | C 1 | C 7 | $137.16(14)$ | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | C 18 | $0.2(2)$ |
| S | N | C 6 | C 5 | $-91.06(12)$ | C 19 | C 16 | C 17 | C 18 | $179.84(14)$ |
| C 1 | N | C 6 | C 5 | $89.68(13)$ | C 16 | C 17 | C 18 | C 13 | $-0.8(2)$ |

Table 6. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(U_{\mathrm{ij}}, \AA^{2}\right)$

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| S | $0.02291(16)$ | $0.02423(16)$ | $0.03820(18)$ | $0.00004(12)$ | $-0.00734(12)$ | $-0.00654(11)$ |
| O1 | $0.0421(5)$ | $0.0277(5)$ | $0.0406(5)$ | $-0.0015(4)$ | $-0.0073(4)$ | $0.0003(4)$ |
| O2 | $0.0368(5)$ | $0.0389(5)$ | $0.0538(6)$ | $0.0168(5)$ | $-0.0035(5)$ | $-0.0086(4)$ |
| O3 | $0.0274(4)$ | $0.0319(5)$ | $0.0359(5)$ | $0.0025(4)$ | $-0.0096(4)$ | $-0.0028(4)$ |
| O4 | $0.0305(5)$ | $0.0375(5)$ | $0.0509(6)$ | $-0.0055(4)$ | $-0.0122(4)$ | $-0.0123(4)$ |
| O5 | $0.0330(5)$ | $0.0252(4)$ | $0.0485(6)$ | $0.0049(4)$ | $-0.0075(4)$ | $-0.0056(4)$ |
| N | $0.0254(5)$ | $0.0257(5)$ | $0.0326(5)$ | $0.0017(4)$ | $-0.0072(4)$ | $-0.0029(4)$ |
| C1 | $0.0222(5)$ | $0.0238(5)$ | $0.0348(6)$ | $0.0017(5)$ | $-0.0068(5)$ | $-0.0061(4)$ |
| C2 | $0.0271(6)$ | $0.0285(6)$ | $0.0295(6)$ | $0.0000(5)$ | $-0.0071(5)$ | $-0.0047(5)$ |
| C3 | $0.0296(6)$ | $0.0363(7)$ | $0.0398(7)$ | $0.0010(5)$ | $0.0007(5)$ | $-0.0101(5)$ |
| C4 | $0.0465(8)$ | $0.0345(7)$ | $0.0337(7)$ | $0.0027(5)$ | $0.0006(6)$ | $-0.0090(6)$ |
| C5 | $0.0495(8)$ | $0.0301(6)$ | $0.0329(7)$ | $0.0042(5)$ | $-0.0093(6)$ | $-0.0067(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.0351(7)$ | $0.0288(6)$ | $0.0372(7)$ | $0.0014(5)$ | $-0.0151(5)$ | $-0.0071(5)$ |
| C7 | $0.0233(6)$ | $0.0326(6)$ | $0.0371(7)$ | $0.0047(5)$ | $-0.0029(5)$ | $-0.0050(5)$ |
| C8 | $0.0277(6)$ | $0.0331(6)$ | $0.0297(6)$ | $0.0041(5)$ | $-0.0024(5)$ | $-0.0039(5)$ |
| C9 | $0.0295(6)$ | $0.0364(7)$ | $0.0357(7)$ | $-0.0045(5)$ | $-0.0123(5)$ | $0.0038(5)$ |
| C10 | $0.0571(10)$ | $0.0614(11)$ | $0.0373(8)$ | $-0.0008(7)$ | $-0.0179(7)$ | $0.0095(8)$ |
| C11 | $0.0361(7)$ | $0.0394(8)$ | $0.0517(9)$ | $-0.0111(6)$ | $-0.0103(6)$ | $0.0006(6)$ |
| C12 | $0.0320(7)$ | $0.0467(9)$ | $0.0706(11)$ | $-0.0113(8)$ | $-0.0179(7)$ | $-0.0032(6)$ |
| C13 | $0.0227(5)$ | $0.0244(6)$ | $0.0358(6)$ | $0.0001(5)$ | $-0.0061(5)$ | $-0.0042(4)$ |
| C14 | $0.0310(7)$ | $0.0414(7)$ | $0.0380(7)$ | $-0.0055(6)$ | $-0.0083(5)$ | $-0.0063(6)$ |
| C15 | $0.0390(7)$ | $0.0425(8)$ | $0.0355(7)$ | $-0.0009(6)$ | $-0.0017(6)$ | $-0.0050(6)$ |
| C16 | $0.0294(6)$ | $0.0249(6)$ | $0.0488(8)$ | $0.0033(5)$ | $0.0000(6)$ | $-0.0024(5)$ |
| C17 | $0.0278(6)$ | $0.0407(7)$ | $0.0513(8)$ | $0.0066(6)$ | $-0.0107(6)$ | $-0.0125(6)$ |
| C18 | $0.0286(6)$ | $0.0398(7)$ | $0.0385(7)$ | $0.0043(6)$ | $-0.0102(5)$ | $-0.0113(5)$ |
| C19 | $0.0387(8)$ | $0.0430(8)$ | $0.0631(10)$ | $0.0069(7)$ | $0.0084(7)$ | $-0.0099(7)$ |

The form of the anisotropic displacement parameter is:

$$
\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+k^{2} b^{* 2} U_{22}+l^{2} c^{* 2} U_{33}+2 k l b^{*} c^{*} U_{23}+2 h l a^{*} c^{*} U_{13}+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right)\right]
$$

Table 7. Derived Atomic Coordinates and Displacement Parameters for Hydrogen Atoms

| Atom |  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| H3A | -0.1521 | 0.1854 | 0.4805 | 0.043 |
| H3B | -0.3086 | 0.3011 | 0.5461 | 0.043 |
| H4A | -0.1065 | 0.3164 | 0.6718 | 0.048 |
| H4B | -0.1739 | 0.1902 | 0.6925 | 0.048 |
| H5A | 0.1334 | 0.1377 | 0.6898 | 0.046 |
| H5B | 0.0942 | 0.0641 | 0.5890 | 0.046 |
| H6A | 0.2039 | 0.2940 | 0.5451 | 0.040 |
| H6B | 0.3408 | 0.1529 | 0.5283 | 0.040 |
| H7 | 0.2039 | 0.3283 | 0.2064 | 0.039 |
| H10A | -0.2067 | 0.6089 | 0.0315 | 0.068 |
| H10B | -0.4097 | 0.6271 | 0.0264 | 0.068 |
| H10C | -0.2713 | 0.4863 | 0.0139 | 0.068 |
| H11A | -0.2857 | 0.7135 | 0.2244 | 0.053 |
| H11B | -0.3981 | 0.6573 | 0.3333 | 0.053 |
| H11C | -0.4934 | 0.7363 | 0.2323 | 0.053 |
| H12A | -0.4681 | 0.4101 | 0.1765 | 0.060 |
| H12B | -0.6053 | 0.5498 | 0.2041 | 0.060 |
| H12C | -0.5087 | 0.4695 | 0.3041 | 0.060 |
| H14 | 0.4021 | 0.1049 | 0.0772 | 0.045 |
| H15 | 0.6555 | 0.1393 | -0.0418 | 0.049 |
| H17 | 0.8586 | 0.1578 | 0.2429 | 0.047 |
| H18 | 0.6090 | 0.1194 | 0.3633 | 0.042 |
| H19A | 0.9595 | 0.1436 | -0.0665 | 0.062 |
| H19B | 1.0494 | 0.1336 | 0.0452 | 0.062 |
| H19C | 0.9310 | 0.2702 | 0.0065 | 0.062 |

