## CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

## THESES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

National Library of Canaita Collections Development Branch

Canadian Theses or Microfiche Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada Direction du développement des collections

I.S.B.N.

Service des thèses canadiennes sur microfiche

### NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible

If pages are missing, contact the university which aranted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a noor typewriter of the university souththat populatorom.

Previously copyrighted materials (immaterials

Englished reaction of a material framma, and offer

Beproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970; C.30. Please read the public tration former with copyright thesis

## THIS DISSERTATION TVS FEED MICROFULAED TVS FEED AS RECULTED

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait pauvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de royue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sout pas microfilmés

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C.30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des framules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

Canada

AVIS

0-315-19371 National Librai Bibliothèque nationale of Canada du Canada **Canadian Theses Division** Division des thèses canadiennes Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 67279 **PERMISSION TO** - 'AU Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylographier Full Name of Author -- Nom complet de l'auteur TERRY LEIGH CHAPMAN Date of Birth - Date de naissance Country of Birth - Lieu de naissance DEC: 1, 1950 CANADA Permanent Address - Résidence fixe 854 - HILL HURST TOWERS LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA TIK SNI Title of Thesis - Titre de la thèse SEX CRIMES, AD WESTER MORNA AMO University Université AI RERTA Dentry to which thesis was presented. Grade nour lequel cette thèse fut précordée NOTION OF MANINE MAN the conferred Annual Latentice de la grade Have of Super ison 10 CM DA WELECON Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIEDARY OF L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLICTHÈ. CANADA to mine this this thesis and to lond or the opion of QUE NATIONALE DITCANADA de microfilmer cette thère et de the film"

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it nev be printed or ( the where expression with a state with the grathere of states in a sure that

prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film

L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thise ni de londs extraits de celle di ne doi ent être imprimé and amount increased in the game that there attain the second standard

Date Signature esse al al more 3 Josah 12. 1984

NL 91 (4 '77)

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

# SEX CRIMES IN WESTERN CANADA, 1890-1920

by ) Terry L. Chapman

## A THESIS

Č

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

#### DELVERMENT OF HISTORY

FUNDATON. AIPERTA

TTHE LOOP

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

### RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR: Terry L. Chapman TITLE OF THESIS: Sex Crimes in Western Canada, 1890-1920. DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED: Doctor of Philosophy. YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED: 1984.

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(Signed) Crrut PERMANENT ADDRESS: 1084 Acadia Dr. S. E. 豫 Calgary Alberta

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled: Sex Crimes in Western Canada, 1890 - 1920, submitted by Terry L. Chapman in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Supervisor

External Examiner

Dated .September. 17 ..... 1983



iv

ABSTRACT

1 P

For the majority of Canadian historians, the study of sexual morality, abnormality and deviance in Western Canada during the last decade of the nineteenth and first wo decades of the twentieth century is primarily thought of in terms of prostitution. Given that prostitutes and brothels were highly visible commodities in the West during these years, it was and is only natural that contemporaries and historians alike would center their discussions on the impact of prostitution and red light districts on Western Canadian society. However, a study of newspapers, household guides, Mounted Police and Penitentiary reports, the debates of the House of Commons, the Criminal Code of 1892, criminal statistics, published law reports and unpublished court records reveals that Canadians in general, and Western Canadians in particular were greatly concerned with a wide variety of sexual mat-Thus it becomes readily apparent that a visit to a ters. prostitute was not the only sexual activity indulged in by the male population in the West; nor was it the only sexual encounter classified as socially unacceptable and in terms of the written law, criminal in intent.

The definition of a sex crime in the late Victorian and Edwardian era knew no boundaries. For example,

v

the use, sale and supply of birth control devices, abortion and the solemnization of a marriage were all strictly controlled by specific sections of the Criminal Code of Canada. Concerted efforts were made to prohibit same sex contact between males as homosexual encounters were forbidden by the law of the land. Women were to remain chaste before they married and the law took precautions to ensure that a necessary prerequisite in a conviction for a sex assault against a female was her proven chastity before the assault. All sexual activity was to be confined to the marriage bed, performed in the missionary position and solely for the purpose of procreation. Evidently, this form of traditional sexual morality was to be reinforced through social custom and the written law. 🆄

Research into the written and unwritten restraints placed upon sexual activity and an examination as to what constituted a so-called sex crime in Western Canada during the latter part of the nineteenth and early part of the twentieth century are key components of the society's past. Unfortunately however, the study of sexual morality in general and sex orimes in particular, has been neglected by Canadian historians and as a result, a more comprehensive understanding of Canadian history remains incomplete. For just as the public life of a people is important in the historical analysis of a society, so the is their private life. Perhaps the latter is of even

# greater importance to the historian.

U

للص

•

vii

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study could not have been undertaken without the help many institutions and individuals. I would like cknowledge the financial support of the Canada Council and the Department of History at the University of Alberta. I am grateful to the Alberta Legal Project and most notably one of its coordinators Dr. L. A. Knafla. He provided me with the opportunity to use the criminal court records, without which this study would have been an impossibility. I am indebted to my supervisor. Dr. R. C. Macleod, who despite his many responsibilities as departmental chairman encouraged me to finish this project and under whose able guidance it was completed.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

• •		PAGE
ABSTR	ACT	v
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS	v111
СНАРТ	ER	
I	Sex - The Forgotten Fact in Canadian History	1
. II	Women, Sex and Marriage: Middle Class Perceptions and Realities	26
III	Serious Sexual Assaults Against Females: Rape, Carnal Knowledge and Incest	74
IV	Indecent Assaults on Females	112
V	'The Abominable Crime of Buggery'	140 ,
VI	Indecent Assault on a Male and Gross Indecency	176
VII	Protecting Public Morality: Obscene Literature and Immoral Entertainment	202
VIII	Conclusion	248
BTBLTOGRAPHY		2 59

1 x

### CHAPTER I

#### SEX - THE FORGOTTEN FACT IN CANADIAN HISTORY

Who can deny that the problems of coming to terms with the fact of, sex has caused Canadians more concern than the activities of all their politicians?<sup>1</sup>

A survey of those works published since Michael Bliss posed this rhetorical question over a decade ago indicates that despite the boom in social and legal history, Canadian historians are seemingly content to ignore "the fact of sex" and produce "sexless history."<sup>2</sup> In fact, to make mention of sex in Canadian history produces a variety of responses ranging from snickers, to queries about its , historical relevance, to gossipy tidbits about some prominent or not-so prominent person's sex life. And as G. R Taylor has noted in his book, Sex in History. historians generally assume that sexual matters exist "in a watertight compartment. Almost independently of historical trends as a whole, and that it would no more throw light on the general problem of interpreting history to open. this compartment than it would to study the development of, say, cooking." 3 Such responses are indeed unfortunate because historians are neglecting a subject which has been regarded as the central problem" and "root of life" molv, sex.

The only sexual encount a which dooms to yourant

any discussion by Canadian social historians is the highly visible and publicized problem of prostitution in late nineteenth and early twentieth century Canada. Western Canadian studies on this topic have ranged from James Gray's general work, <u>Red Lights on the Prairies</u> (1971), to more localized studies like Judy Bedford's "Prostitution in Calgary, 1905-1914" (1981).<sup>5</sup> And while the study of prostitution enables the historian to gain some insight into attitudes towards acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour during a specific period of time, it does not provide a complete picture.

A few attempts have been made to place Canadian attitudes towards sex, sexual assaults against females and homosexuality within a historical context. In 1970. Michael Bliss used the "Self and Sex" manuals advertised by the Methodist Church publishing house to form the basis for an examination of sexual ideas in Canada prior to Sigmund Freud. Six years later, Ruth Olson surveyed two Kingston newspapers, The British Whig and the Kingston Chronicle, and concluded that the crime of rape was indeed an aspect of life in Upper Canada. Then Robert Burns used an 1838 inquiry into accusations that George Markland. the Inspector General of Upper Canada, had sexual relations with several young men to write an interesting article dealing with nineteenth/century Canadian attitudes towards homosexuality. Angus McLaren's ongoing research into abortion and birth control in Canata has resulted in papers being presented and published

and a book forthcoming. Examining the control of venereal disease and the Canadian Women's Army Corps in World War Two, Ruth Pierson has explored what she termed "The Double Bind of the Double Standard" in a 1976 article. More recently, Feter Ward has examined unwed motherhood in nineteenth-century Canada, while Susann Buckley and Janice Dicken McGinnis have studied veneraal disease in relation to public health reform in "anede And, finally, Constance Backhouse will broaden our knowledge of historic ical attitudes towards women. sex and sex assembly when her analysis of hineboonth contury rape law in anda from 1800 to the inception of the Griminal Code in 1800 is published. However, studies of this nature are for and they tend to concentrate on the social control of sexual activity rather than incorporate any legal discussion in their analyses. For the more, there has been nothing in Canadian higtoricg thy to compute with Susa Prownmillor's Agrinst Our Will Men, Mars and Rape. of Laurence Stune's unadive back the total river in England, 1"00-18;

It condite events' historians to prove the differences of the condition of the second of the contains. Admithedly, they do not the condition of the target the condition of the target of the condition of the target of the condition of the condit

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y} +$ 

historian <u>per se</u>, he does attempt to trace the influence of English legal thought on Canadian sex law, in addition to providing the reader with a selected chronology of certain sex cases in both Great Britain and Canada.<sup>8</sup> However, his study falls short. The subject matter is not fleshed out with any thoughts or suggestions as to how the fluctuating legal definitions of sex offences over the centuries might have reflected or reinforced societal

In order to come to some understanding of the intricate relationship between law and society and thereby broaden our knowledge of Canada's past, one must be able . to transcend the boundaries imposed either consciously or subdonsciously by historians when they study their respective areas of research. For example, the cate soui ation of acceptable and unacceptable sexual behavious does not rest solely with the criminal and the crimival justice system. For just as there are a number of witten tave which attempt to distate social conduct, so too, are her numerous societal customs which pertain to normal behaviour. The criminal law tends to reflect and inivforce what conject has defined as acceptable and when optable genual a tivity at a certain poried of time. Thus sex has been and continues to be a motion for social 1 1 - 1 control.

Howe o in this reason more evident than in Lowin with and sould treatioth-century Canada. G. List Lot risingt for of Canada published

in 1890 and the Criminal Code of 1892 contained several sections which implicitly defined acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour for residents of Canada in the 1890s and early 1900s. The available evidence suggests that sexual intercourse was viewed as a necessary evil, whose sole purpose was the procreation of the human race. And sexual activity which did not have procreation as. its end result was deemed to be socially reprehensible and punishable as a crime under the written law." placing such matters as abortion, female chastity, se duction under the promise of marriage, homosexual en counters and even the use of birth control within mar riage, under the auspices of the criminal law, the federal government was attempting to establish or reinforce perceived notions of acceptable conduct. Fidently, the state had every right to be in the bedrooms of the nation

Since it is well beyond the scope of this dissortation to examine every orial and legal attempt to ever trol the courd activity of Canadians throughout the country a past, a number of restriction has a been place on this study. For practical purposes, the constraint area chosen for analysis has been limited to the floor most wontern movin on Caskatche and Alberta and Pritish alm bia. Thus when reference is made to Western Can ada, the reader should for mhar Manitoba bas not been in clud to the the troot that the time fraction which is the the the time fraction and the the the time fraction

the middle that the second second second second

majority of Canadian historians as being crucial to the development of Western Canada.

In addition, the sexual matters chosen to form the basis of this preliminary inquiry into a new area of Western Canadian history have also been limited. For example, general perceptions of womanhood, marriage, chastity and even sex itself have been examined in relation to specific legal definitions of sex offences committed either by and/or against either sex. And an effort has been made to examine specific attempts made during the last decade of the nineteenth and first two decades of the twentieth century to exert some measure of control over the sexual content of books, theatrical performances and motion pictures. The attempts to control what people could and could not see at movie houses has been undertaken as a case study of one tearticular community - namely, Lethbridge, Alberta.

These offences involving females which have been chosen for discussion include birth control, abortion, have, carnal knowledge, incest, soluction and indecent as sault. These involving males leal with varying degrees of homesexual activity ranging from indecent assault on a male and gross indecency to homesexual anal intercourse. Conce in along the protection of public morality from degravity and corruption include an analysis of what conchituted observity in literature and intertainment. It is hoped that a ctudy of the relevant sections of the fedoral comminal law and an examination of specific offences

insight into the intricate relationship between socially and legally defined notions of acceptable and unacceptable behaviour from 1890 to 1920.

As has been previously mentioned, traditionally there has been a historical denial of sex by the Canadian historical profession. Furthermore, there appear to be very few general research collections dealing specifically with the historical study of attitudes towards sex<sup>12</sup> and sex offences. And as one historian has pointed out, those historians who attempt to study this topic have been forced "to cultivate more ingenuity and persistence in the use of primary source materials than comparable historians in other fields."<sup>13</sup>

However, since the mid-1970s there have emerged two archives devoted to the collection and preservation of gay and leshian history in Canada. Based in Toronto, Outarie, the Canadian Gay Archives not only collects relevant materials but also publishes a newsletter entitlet Gay Archivist, and tenhors more important. Histributes the Lashian Gay History Besearchers Network Hewdletter The other archives, Gay Archives Collective, is in Can couver, British Columbia, and its runpose is to collect historical information on lashians and save in Western Canada and Northwestern United States. In addition to the creation of these archives. The Leshian and the History Group of Terente and the Gay History Project minimum in Saventee. Cartacheran, also hav been funed. Althorgh Deve alternative archive archive on this.

groups provide a much-needed source of information, they do by their very nature focus on the history of specific groups or certain individuals within a group, rather than enhance the knowledge surrounding the general field of sexuality. 8

Those people who do endeavour to study sex, sexual behaviour and sex offences in Canada's past must rely upon a wide variety of source material which is both social and legal in nature. For example, medical journals like <u>Canada Lancet</u>, <u>Canadian Journal of Public Health</u> and <u>Canadian Medical Association Journal</u> in addition to house<sup>4</sup>. hold guides like the <u>Domestic Cyclopedia</u> and self-help manuals such as <u>Light'on Dark Corners: Searchlights on</u> <u>Health: A Complete Sexual Science and A Guide to Purity</u> <u>and Physical Manhood<sup>15</sup> all reveal</u>, to varying degrees, perceptions of womanhood, marriage and acceptable sexual behaviour for both sexes.

Although newspapers are somewhat suspect as a reliable historical source, they can and do provide editorial comment and basic factual information on certain happenings. Thus several western Canadian newspapers ranging from the Saskatoon <u>Phoenix</u> and the Moose Jaw <u>Times to the Edmonton Journal</u> and the Victoria Daily <u>Colonist to name but a few</u>,<sup>16</sup>, were examined in order to gain insight into late nineteenth and early twentieth century media coverage and reporting techniques with regards to sex related matters and offences. For example, was the report of a rape case biased against the woman or the perpetrator of the alleged assault? What words were used to describe the crime? Was any reference made as to whether the woman displayed any physical signs of attempting to resist her assailant? And perhaps more important, was the moral character of the woman mentioned or alluded to in the report? The answers to questions of this nature can be found in the newspapers of the day. As a result of these findings, some tentative conclusions about social perceptions of sex offences, the victims and the assailant can be drawn from this type of source material.

Although medical journals, self-help manuals, household guides and newspapers provide a social background for discussion, these sources must be incorporated into a legal framework to produce a study of the intricate relationship between law and society. For the years following Confederation, the statutes from 1869, the federal Criminal Code of 1892 and its subsequent amendments, provide a wealth of information for a general study of the criminal law and those laws pertaining to sex offences in particular. Unfortunately, however, the written law is by no means conclusive. For example, although the words and phrases 'buggery,' 'gross indecency,' 'indecnt assault,' 'of chaste character,' 'obscene' and 'public morals' appear in the law, they all lack specific definthe same that a many and the second Therefore as a consequence, the provinces as the ion. implementors of the federal criminal law had carte blanche to define the term at certain times and places. Thus,

what constituted a sexual offence at one time might not have been at another.

Since statute law and the Criminal Code of Canada were created by men, the thoughts and interpretations of the creators must be examined. Such information can be found in the debates of the House of Commons and the Senate. Although the passage of the 1892 Criminal Code produced relatively little discussion in the Commons, those areas dealing with the morality and chastity of Canadians in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century were debated at great length. In fact, the statements given in the two Houses of Parliament during these years indicate a trend towards the public's acceptance, almost insistence, on the state's right to legislate public morality in general and sexual morality in particular.

In addition to reading the law and analyzing the statements of the legislators, time must be spent in discovering how the written law was actually applied in a courtroom setting. In fact, it is the practical application of the Canadian federal criminal law to specific sex cases in late nineteenth and early twentieth century Western Canada which forms the basis of this dissertation. Unfortunately, historical information of this matter is somewhat difficult to attain. Although the post-Confederation years saw the establishment of federal and provincial Supreme Courts, the number of cases processed through these higher courts was small: The majority of cases were heard before the local police magistrate or judge presiding at the police, district or provincial court level.<sup>17</sup> In more specific terms, the published Taw reports such as the B. C. Law Reports, Territorial Reports, Supreme Court Records, Western Weekly Reports, and the Western Law Reporter, to name a few, only present those cases which have established some precedent in law or made some memorable decisions on selected cases which appeared before the various courts of appeal. For example, one blume of R. M. Chitty's Abridgement of the Canadian Criminal Case Law digested those cases which appeared from 1892 to 1925 in Canadian Criminal Cases. However, this series suffered from the same drawbacks as the other sources previously mentioned, and thus Chitty's 1925 volume is a 'consolidated' but incomplete digest.

Although there is another way to gain court case information, it is plagued with frustration, complications and inconsistencies. In 1979 one historian suggested that our knowledge of Canadian legal history would never be complete unless researchers had the stamina and energy to spend time going through the thousands of un catalogued and unindexed court records to be found in the basement of nearly every local courthouse throughout Canada.<sup>18</sup> To date there is nothing to compare with the Alberta Legal History Project whose purpose is to prepare an inventory of all civil and criminal cases heard before the District and Supreme fourts in the province of Alberta from 1907 to 1972. Eventually, all the case files and the judge's procedure books will be placed on microfilm and stored at the Alberta Provincial Archives in Edmonton.

Although the Alberta Legal History Project is not yet complete, it provided valuable information for this In fact, without access to these unpublished court study. cases this preliminary inquiry into sex crimes in Western Canada would have been an impossibility. Over four hundred sex related offences tried before the Calgary and Wetaskiwin Supreme and District Courts from 1908 until 1924 were read. Unlike the published law reports which contain summary discussions of the purely legal issues in each case, the majority of these unpublished case files . contain the depositions of those people involved in the charge, the statement of the accused, the transcripts of the preliminary inquiry and the outcome of the trial. Thus, these unpublished court cases provide the historian with a wealth of information. which heretofore has been unattainable from a reading of the published law reports in Canada.

As has been previously mentioned, projects such as the Alberta Legal History Project are nominal and if any worthwhile studies in the field of Canadian legal history are to be produced then more projects of this nature must be undertaken. Unfortunately, this is easier said than done. For example, the majority of the court records for Saskatchewan are housed in the basement of

the old courthouse in Saskatoon. This basement was flooded a few years ago and all the files had to be either hung up to dry on a clothesline, page by page, or freeze-dried and then reboxed. Unfortunately, no one in the Solicitor-General's office, courthouse or archives' staff had the foresight to index the files as they were being returned to storage. Furthermore, there is the possibility that some of the boxes contain files which pertain to adoptions and the Saskatchewan government does not allow the researcher access to such cases. Given that this material is uncatalogued, an archivist must be on hand when every box is opened and every file is examined.

Although the records in British Columbia have not suffered from the hazards of nature, they remain unindexed like their Saskatchewan counterparts. In addition, the British Columbia records are not centrally located in an easily accessible location. A similar situation exists The case files for Queen's Bench and County in Manitoba. Court for all the judicial districts are scattered throughout the province. At present these records are being indexed and catalogued and brought under retention schedules for their eventual transfer to the Manitoba Provincial Unfortunately however, the legal history pre-Archives. ject in Manitoba is still in its initial phase and will not be completed for five years. Recause of this fact, and the general problem of finding sufficient information on the topic, a discussion of Mahitoba does not appear in this study. And since there is obviously a wide disparity

in the quality and quantity of court cases available even for the three provinces chosen for analysis, it is virtually impossible to undertake a quantitative and comparative analysis of the incidence, prosecution and sentencing of certain sex offences in British Columbia, Alberta and Saskatchewan.

Some information on cases can be obtained from the reports of the North West Mounted Police which have been published as part of the Sessional Papers since the creation of the police force in the mid-1870s. These annual reports can be supplemented by those of the British Columbia, Alberta and Saskatchewan Provincial Police which were created either during or soon after the First World War. Not only do these reports contain a wealth of factual information, but they also reveal some of the attitudes of law enforcers towards sex offences in general and the perpetrator/victim in particular. For example. several accounts refer to cases of rape or attempted rape as being crimes of passion where lust was the sole motivation for the attack. 19 And much like newspaper accounts on sex offences, other reports allude to the woman s moral character and at times specifically mention whether or not she displayed any resistance to the assault.<sup>20</sup> Comments such as these and the very fact that members of the law-enforcement agencies at the time would jasue such statements serve as yet more examples of the intricate relationship between social values and the incline system.

But perhaps the most difficult aspect of a study of this nature is the fact that many people still believe that one cannot discuss the nature of crime and, more specifically, sex crime without a multitude of statistical information and a computer printout. In fact, Eric Monkennen has gone so far as to assert that our understanding of crime and criminal justice in the past must be based on the counting technique.<sup>21</sup> However, anyone who studies crime, the law and the criminal justice system quickly learne that the amount of crime reported, recorded and processed through the system is by no means indicative of the actual amount of crime occurring. Such thoughts were vividly expressed by British economist. Sir Joseph Stamp, when he stated:

> The government are very keen on amas sing statistics. They collect them, raise them to the nth power, take the cube root and prepare wonderful diagrams. But you must never forget that everyone of these figures comes in the first instance from the village watchman, who just puts down what he damn pleases. 22

And bearing in mind that at present only sim to thirty rescent of all sexual ascaults are reputed to the author ities.<sup>23</sup> one can only speculat as to the number of as coults which wort unreported in the past. Obviously the much discussed dark figured of more that enime extends deeply into the area of sexuality and sex offences.<sup>24</sup> Thus, it is visionally impossible to draw any substantive, conductions as to whether or not there was a preponderance is sexual offences in the mineteenth as to the theth

century Western Canada.

But what of the recorded incidence of criminal activity in Western Canada's past? Attempting to compile the official statistics according to federal judicial districts, Canada's long-running publication of criminal statistics appeared annually in tabular form in the Sessional However, as a reliable source, it is somewhat Papers. suspect. Whereas compilers of present-day criminal sta tistics record the number of reported crimes in addition to the number of charges unfounded or reduced to lesser offences, statisticians in the 1890-1920 period concentrated on recording the number charged and acquitted, the degree to which the offender used alcohol, along with his or her religious affiliation, nationality, occupation and Aiteracy. Apparently, the statistics for the earlier time period were more concerned with attempting to prove a correlation between alcohol, religion, education, race and criminality. It must also be noted that the boundaries for the judicial districts in the West did not remain constant during the years of this study.

Furthermore, when one has information indicating that a case involved two offences, it is difficult. in fact impossible, to go to the statistics and find out which offence. if any, a conviction was registered. For example, in a case where a conviction for a rape/murder has occurred, is the conviction statistic to be found in the murder and/or the rape category? Then, at other times, the statistic could be recorded under a joint

category, i,e. 'Abortion and Attempt to Procure Abortion' or 'Sodomy and Bestiality.' In the first instance, the historian does not know whether the statistic listed is for actually performing an abortion, attempting to perform an abortion or merely asking for information on abortions and, in the second instance, was the charge sodomy, bestiality or both? Then, instead of having a joint registration of the statistic, there is always the possibility that such i formation might be suppressed. There has been at lead the attempt to surpress information on sexual attacks. Puring the First World War, the federal government agreed to be cilent on any reports of assaults on women who were going to and from work during their night chift at the wor foctories. Thus, it is readilv apparent that such right a compilation, consistent and hum n intercontion leave the birtories of the birth murroe' statistical comple

Statistical information assistable from these sources which teal specifically with the provinces do not relian any of these difficultions in test, they compound them the Pritich Columbia. for crample, the various police charge he bet, monistration for the counter - Depeat edly, there is incorrist no is how and what is formation is reported, the agent of a pricebour a number for the is formation for the counter - Depeat edly, there is incorrist no is how and what is formation is reported, the agent of a pricebour a number for the is formation for the counter - Depeat is formation for the counter - Depeat is reported, the agent of a pricebour a number for the is formation for the counter - Depeat is formation for the counter - Depeat is reported. The agent of a pricebour a number of the is show the counter - of the counter - of

records kept on those people residing in the various gaols in British Columbia. For example, the first listing might read that a John Smith was serving three years imprisonment for a rape conviction while the next time it could be listed as John Smith serving three years for attempted rape. If one does not have access to the actual case file then it is impossible to cross reference the listing in order to ascertain which one in the gaol report is cor-

The statistical information for Saskatchewan is not much better and it might well be worse. The Divisional Reports of the Saskatchewan Provincial Police placed their data under joint categories, i.e. rape and attempt rape, carnal knowledge and attempted carnal knowledge, abortion and attempted abortion. Much like the eriminal statistics compiled by the federal government, it is difficult to know which offence is represented in the number given. Other sources supply the name of the defendant, his address and the nature of the offence in alphabetical order. Unfortunately, no dates are given and thus the material is virtually useless.<sup>26</sup>

Even if one could compile a complete statistical sample despite the ambiguous conviction rates, it would be impossible to formulate any sentencing pattern in Western Causda on in the respective provinces of Brit ish Columbia, Alberta and Saskatchewan: Although the Criminal Code of 1892 provided maximum penalties for of-

ties were handed out with any degree of consistency by the federal and provincial judges or police magistrates. As individuals in society, judges and jury members are influenced by societal values and have their own ideas on a particular offence. Obviously each case was and still is influenced by preconceived notions of acceptable and unacceptable behaviour, but every case was also judged as an entity unto itself with its own extenuating circumstances. Perhaps more important, the historian must realize that the search for uniformity in sentenc ing is akin to seeking what has been termed "the Holy" Grail of liberalism," that is, "a consistent system of punishment for unequivocally defined crimes."<sup>27</sup>

But despite these wide and varying problems, there is sufficient evidence to warrant at least a preliminary inquiry into the relationship between shelal and legal. attitudes towards sex, sexual activity and sex offences during the 1890s and early 1900s in Western Canada. ΔÌ though the literary sources such as medical journals. household guides, magazines and reports from various we men's organizations repeatedly promoted marriage, how and motherhood, the debates of the House of Commons. the federal and previncial police reports and the court records themselves reveal a somewhat different world Married couples practised birth control, unmarried coup les had ser before marriage. doctors performed aboutions. hack alley about indicto existed and at times women about declare revel against contact from a od Horma lice

have often been portrayed as a modern phenomenon, a product of the 1970s and the so-called sexual liberation movement. Yet there are indications that assaults against females occurred with great frequency during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. At a time when living conditions precluded privacy, incest was a fact of life for many families as brothers and sisters slept together as did father and daughter(s). And although same sex encounters between males were thought to be crimes against God, nature, society and the law, homosexual acts by choice or by circumstance did not dissipate. Thus the reality of the situation is far different from the sexless history which is usually presented in historical works dealing with the Canadian, and more specifically, the Western Canadian experience.

Given the current North American fascination with sex as demonstrated by the popularity of Nancy Friday's books. Shere Hite's reports on male and female sexuality, and even the United Church of Canada's study document on human sexuality.<sup>28</sup> it is somewhat surprising that his torians have left the study of sex in Canada to sociolo gists and oriminologists. The time has certainly come for social and legal historians to take their heads out of the intellectual sand and realize that sex, sexual activity and sex offences warrant historical analysis within a Canadian context. Once sex in history is accepted, or ut least folerated, by the Canadian historical 20 -

profession as a viable field of study, then a true and more accurate portrayal of Western Canadian society will be obtained. For just as the peaceful settlement of the West was not so peaceful<sup>29</sup> so too is Canadian history not so sexless as has been portrayed.

#### FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup>M. Bliss, "Pure Books on Avoided Subjects: Pre-Freudian Sexual Ideas in Canada," Historical Papers (1970), pp. 89-108.

<sup>2</sup>A. Rasporich, William Lyon Mackenzie (Toronto: Holt, Rhinehart and Winston Ltd., 1972), p. 1.

<sup>3</sup>G. Taylor, <u>Sex in History</u> (New York: Harper and Row, 1970), p. 4.

<sup>4</sup>G. Seldes (ed.), <u>The Great Quotations</u> (New York: Lyle Stuart, 1960), p. 861.

<sup>5</sup>See as examples J. Gray, <u>Red Lights on the Prairies</u> (Toronto: Macmillan, 1971) and J. Bedford, "Prostitution in Calgary, 1905-1914," <u>Alberta History</u> (Spring, 1981), pp.

M. Bliss, "Pure Books on Avoided Subjects: Pre-Freudian Sexual Ideas in Canada," <u>Historical Papers</u> (1970), pp. 89-108; R. Olson, "Rape--An 'Un-Victorian' Aspect of Life in Upper Canada," <u>Ontario History</u> (June 1976), pp. 75-79; A. McLaren, "Birth Control and Abortion in Canada, 1890-1920," Canadian Historical Review, LIX (September 1978), pp. 319-340; R. Pierson, "The Double Bind of the Double Standard: V. D. Control and the CWAC and World War 11," Canadian Historical Review LXII (March 1981), pp. 31-58; R. Burns, "'Queer Doings': Attitudes Toward Homosexuality in Nineteenth Century Canada," Body Politic 29 - Our Image (December 1976/January 1977), pp. 4-7; W. Peter Ward, "Unwed Motherhood in Nineteenth-Century English Canada," <u>Historical Papers</u> (1981), pp. 34-56; J. Dickin Mc-Ginnis and Susann Buckley, "Venereal Disease and Public Health Reform in Canada," <u>Canadian Historical Review</u>, LXIII (September 1982), pp. 337-354, and C. Backhouse, "Nine-teenth Century Rape Law: 1800-1892;" (unpublished paper, draft, October 1981).

S. Brownmiller, Against Our Will: Men, Women and Rape (New York: Bantam Books, 1975) and L. Stone, The amily, Sex and Marriage in England, 1500-1800 (New York) Harper and Row, 1977).

·····

<sup>8</sup>A. G. Gigeroff, <u>Sexual Deviations in the Criminal</u> <u>Law: Homosexual, Exhibitionist, and Pedophilic Offences in</u> <u>Canada</u> (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1968).

Gigeroff realizes the limitations of his study when he writes "We are forced to the realization that our existing legislation and case law are a limited resource ; for study and can at best serve as a springboard for our inquiries." <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 111.

10<sub>D. MacNamara and E. Sagarin, Sex, Crime and Law</sub> (New York: Free Press, 1977), p. 1

11D. M. Wyman, <u>Comments on the Criminal Law and</u> Legal Process (Edmonton: Alberta Board of Review, May 1975), p. 45. See also, R. Rodgers, <u>Sex and Law in Can-</u> ada (Ottawa: Policy Press, 1962), p. 8.

<sup>12</sup>S. Licata and R. Petersen, "Introduction" in S. Licata and R. Petersen (eds.), <u>Historical Perspectives</u> on Homosexuality (New York: Haworth Press, 1981), p. 3.

<sup>13</sup>N. Sahli, "Sexuality in 19th and 20th Century America: The Sources and Their Problems," <u>Radical History</u> <u>Review</u> (Spring/Summer 1979), p. 89.

<sup>14</sup>The term archives is misleading. The Canadian Gay Archives has six collective members and houses the papers of nine gay groups and three individuals. The Gay Archives Collective has only three collective members and does research on gay life in British Columbia prior to 1960. It is also concerned with the establishment of a gay library and information center for the Vancouver area. Information obtained from Lesbian/Gay History Researchers Network Letter (Issue 5: December, 1981), p. 7.

<sup>15</sup>B. G. Jefferies and J. L. Nichols, <u>The House-hold Guide or Domestic Cyclopedia</u> (Toronto: J. L. Nichols Co., 1894; reprinted Toronto: Coles Publishing Co., 1972) and by the same authors, <u>Searchlights on Health: Light</u> <u>on Dark Corners, A Complete Sexual Science and A Guide</u> to Purity, and Physical Manhood. (Toronto: J. L. Nichols Go., 1897).

<sup>16</sup>A selection of Western Canadian newspapers consulted includes: Saskatoon <u>Phoenix</u>, Edmonton <u>Journal</u>, Calgary <u>Albertan</u>, Nanaimo <u>Free Press</u>, Victoria <u>Daily</u> <u>Colonist</u>, Victoria <u>Semi-Weekly Tribune</u>, Macleod <u>Gazette</u>,

the state of the

Moose Jaw <u>Times</u>, Medicine Hat <u>Times</u>, Calgary <u>Herald</u>, Vancouver <u>Sun</u>, Vancouver <u>Province</u> and Lethbridge <u>News</u>. 24

<sup>17</sup>L. A. Knafla, "Bibliography: Crime, Criminal Law and Justice in Canadian History: A Select Bibliography, Origins to 1940," in D. Bercuson and L. A. Knafla (ed.), Law and Society in Historical Perspective, p. 158.

# 18<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>19</sup>See Saskatchewan Archives Board (hereafter SAB), Attorney General Papers, Saskatchewan Provincial Police, C Division Reports (1918), File 2. The Regina Division of the Saskatchewan Provincial Police for the year ended December 31, 1918 reported that the motive in a rape/murder case in the district had been lust. p. 10. In the same report, a man was accused of murdering the father of a fifteen year old girl and raping the girl after he had. dragged her in the snow. Once again, the report noted that lust was the motive for the offence.

<sup>20</sup><u>Ibid.</u> When divisional reports carried an account of a sex-related offence there was usually a comment on the moral character of the female and a statement as to her physical state after the attack.

<sup>21</sup>E. Monkonnen, "The Quantitative Historical Study of Crime and Criminal Justice," in J. Inciardi and C. Faupel (eds.), <u>History and Crime: Implications</u> <u>for Criminal Justice Policy</u> (Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1980), p. 53.

<sup>22</sup>G. Nettler, <u>Explaining Crime</u> (Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1974), p. 45.

<sup>23</sup>"Women are often reluctant to report assaults to police," The <u>Albertan</u>, 17 September 1976, p. 14.

<sup>24</sup>D. MacNamara and E. Sagarin, <u>Sex, Crime and</u> <u>Law; p. 7.</u>

<sup>25</sup>M. Bliss, <u>A Canadian Millionaire: the life</u> and business times of Sir Joseph Flavelle (Toronto: Macmillan of Canada, 1978), p. 306.

26 SAB, Attorney General Papers, Saskatchewan Provincial Police (5), Index #3, Name and address of defendant and nature of the crime arranged alphabetically but no dates given. See also (7), Places and Crimes. Once again, no date is provided so the information cannot be used.

<sup>27</sup>Wyman, <u>Comments on the Criminal Law and Legal</u> Process, p. 62.

<sup>28</sup>N. Friday, <u>Men in Love</u> (New York: Bantam Dooks, 1980); S. Hite, <u>The Hite Report on Male Sexuality</u> (New York: MacMillan Co., 1981) and <u>In God's Image...Male and</u> <u>Female, A Study of Human Sexuality</u> (Toronto: United Church of Canada, 1980).

29<sub>T.</sub> Thorner, "The Not So Peaceable Kingdom: A Study of Crime in Southern Alberta, 1874-1905." (unpublished M. A. thesis, University of Calgary, 1976).
CHAPTER II WOMEN, SEX AND MARRIAGE: MIDDLE CLASS PERCEPTIONS AND REALITIES

In 1867 the British North America Act provided not only for the confederation of Canada East (Quebec), Canada West (Ontario), New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, but also for the admission of Rupert's Land, which was under the administrative control of the Hudson's Bay Company, to the union. After a series of negotiations between the British government and the Hudson's Bay Company, Rupert's Land was transferred to Canada on July 15, 1870. The West had now become part of Canada. 1 And although the actual enforcement of law and order in the ceded area ceased to be under the jurisdiction of the Hudson's Bay Company, all the laws which were in effect in Rupert's Land and the Northwest Territories at the time of admission into the union, were to remain in force until changed by the Canadian government.<sup>2</sup> Then with the creation of the province of Manitoba in 1870, it became subject to the law of England as it existed on July 15, 1870 in both federal and provincial mat-

The massive area known as the Northwest Territories, which still remained after the creation of the 'postage stamp' province as Manitoba was so named, had its administration of justice, organization of the courts and the

ters.

appointment of stipendiary magistrates and justices of the peace directed by an appointed Lieutenant Governor and a Council as stated in the Northwest Territories Acts of 1873, 1875 and 1877.4. In addition, Canadian criminal law was extended to the area in 1873. During the same year, there was the creation of a Mounted Police force for the Territories, complete with a Commissioner and Superintend ents who were ex officio justices of the peace. 5 A year later in 1874, an amendment to the Mounted Police Act, gave the Commissioner of the force the powers of a stipendiary magistrate.<sup>6</sup> Then over a decade later in 1886, the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories was created, the number of coroners and sheriffs was increased and lastly, the area (like Manitoba earlier) became subject to the law of England as it existed in 1870. But déspite this "general overhaul of the legal machinery," at least one historian has noted that the Mounted Police remained the dominant system of justice on the Prairies, hearing criminal cases and disseminating judgements swiftly until the early twentieth century."

Although minor changes were made in the intricacies of the administration of justice in the Northwest Territories during the 1890s, for the purpose of this general overview of the legal framework in the West, the next major concern is the creation of the provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan out of the Territories in 1905. Both the Alberta and Saskatchewan Acts, which created these two new provinces, reiterated the common trend of

continuing the laws, the courts and the officers as they existed prior to either, the admission of a territory into the union or the creation of a new province. More specifically, the Alberta and Saskatchewan Acts continued the laws which were in effect at the time of their establishment.<sup>9</sup>

But irrespective of whether the accused was charged with an indictable offence (rape, murder, treason, etc.) or a summary offence (break and entering, common assault), his progress through the court system was a long, drawn out, step by step procedure. In order to have some understanding of this process, let us create a hypothetical case which is pertinent to this study of sex crimes in Western Canada from 1890 to 1920 and trace it through the judicial system step by step. The name of the accused is John Brown. On December 1, 1910 what is known as an 'information and complaint' is laid against the accused by Charles Doe alleging that Brown raped Doe's eighteen year old daughter on or about October 1, 1910. An 'information and complaint' is merely a written allegation taken before a Justice of the Peace that a crime has in fact taken place. Once the 'information and complaint' has been made, inquiries are made by the police and then if there appears to be sufficient evidence, the person named in the written allegation can be arrested. In this instance, Brown was arrested and charged with raping Charles Doe's daughter, Emily, on or about October 1, 1910. Brown is then ordered to appear before a Justice

of the Peace where the charge is read and he is remanded to a later date for a preliminary inquiry into the charge. Held before either a magistrate or a Justice of the Peace. the preliminary inquiry is designed to decide if there is sufficient evidence for committal to trial. After hearing brief statements from the witnesses, the magistrate does . decide to send Brown to trial. Since he has been charged with an indictable offence, Brown has the option to choose whether to be tried by a judge with or without a jury. In this instance, the accused chooses trial by judge. Once again, Brown is remanded and placed in custody to await trial.

Since he chose trial by judge alone, Brown now appears before a Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of During the trial, the prosecuting attorney or Alberta. the Crown attempts to establish Brown's guilt while the defence attempts to prove his innocence or insufficient evidence to prove the charge. More specifically, the evidence and witnesses of the Crown are presented first. then the defence has the opportunity to cross-examine after each witness. After the cross eramination is all finished, the defence presents its witnesses and evidence with the Grown being allowed to cross examine in a similar fashion. And finally, closing remarks are made by the Crown first and the defence second. The judge will weigh the evidence presented by both sides and deliver a product. For our purposes, Brown is found guilty of rape and ordered to appear before the inles in a week for sentenning. 1 1

though Brown is liable to a life sentence for his conviction, he is sentenced to five years imprisonment with hard labour at Prince Albert Penitentiary.

But arguing that he is in possession of a new and pertinent evidence which has a direct bearing on the outcome of the case. Brown's lawyer decides to appeal the judge's decision within the thirty day time limit. Instead of being transferred to Prince Albert, Brown is kept in custody at the provincial jail. The appeal is heard by the Appelate Division of the Supreme Court of Alberta and the Chief Justices agree with Brown's lawyer. Consequently, the conviction is quashed and Brown is freed.<sup>10</sup> The process has been completed. And mile this procedure from the committing of the offence; through arrest, preliminary inquiry, trial, conviction, sentencing and appeal appears to be somewhat simple and straightforward, it is important to note that these various steps in the system did not neever a period of a few short dave but over a matter of several months.

But who did the laws and the courts in Rupert's Land, the Northwest Territories and later, the newly created provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan serve? Originally when Rupert's Land was transferred to Canada in 1870 and British Columbia was admitted into Confederation as a province in 1871, Frime Minister John A. Macdonald hoped that his vision of a country stretching from sea to sea had finally become a reality. However, possession of the land was one thing: the actual populating of the immense area was quite another matter! And the anticipated movement of people to the newly acquired land never really materialized in the early years.<sup>11</sup> In fact, Macdonald even went to the extent of making the settlement of the West one of the important cornerstones of his famous three pronged National Policy of 1878. Although the other two elements of the policy, namely the completion of a transcontinental railway and the establishment of a high protective tariff became faite complet during the Macdonald era, large scale settlement of the West remained elusive. Indeed, it was not until the Laurier years, and specif ically the years from 1806 until 1911, that the populating of Western Canada on the scale entitlaged by Unedenald took place.

There can'te little doubt that Imprior's immi grotion policy was a phonomenal succe s. The porulation of Saskal have increased from 21 2 2 in 1961 to 202.423 in 1011 The dimitat fightion, the reputation Albert to 374.663 to the the jnoronal from JR. Of rorioi and lactly, the propulation Prijieh o - (<sub>11</sub>1 - 1) increased to 200,000 in 1911 from the 1991 fight 178,657 It much Be mithed blight bhose lives in thral ulation a official a second to a lot . . . . . . increases within the provinces. And on a pricel of Can adians from one province manather. ու է ու ե**հացի** մին migration. For example, the population of Caskatch in Jel' was compound of 20, precut been within 11 . 20 <sup>(</sup> **j**<sup>(1)</sup> e<sup>(1)</sup> 

British-born and 33.0 percent foreign-born. In the same year the population of Alberta was comprised of 19.7 percent born within the province, 23.6 percent born in another province, 18.6 percent British-born and 38.1 percent foreign-born. And in British Columbia in 1911 that province's population consisted of 21.5 percent born in the province, 21.6 percent born in another province, 30.1 percent British-born and 26.8 percent foreign-born.<sup>12</sup>

Despite the fact that the settlement of the West was a phenomenal success during the Laurier years, this increased immigration was fraught with problems. According to prominent Social Gospeller, J. S. Woodsworth, the new immigrants which were arriving in Canada at the turn of the twentieth century were swelling the ranks of the unskilled labourers and were settling in the already overcrowled immigrant areas in the newly emerging urban cen-In addition, these immigrants from primarily ter the South in European countrils and the Orient, were reve is d as being phoneolly inferior and morally decalast is comparison to the physically superior and morally upright British born immigrants and, of course, the Canadians themselves. ]4 And as immigration to the West continued, the physical and perhaps, more important, the moral character of the new arrivals was subject to close active by the cold app is ad guestions of morality in " " (" or the or toty

A 'L' of to ame untilled, many Canadians of

saw the existence of alcohol, prostitution and drugs as serious threats to the three cornerstones of society, namely, motherhood, home and family. Yet the very nature of the Western Canadian frontier experience was not conducive to moderation in alcohol and drug consumption or sexual activity. Those Westerners who would not or could not tolerate the existence of such practices, which threatened the very basis of their society, attempted to use moral behaviour as a criterion for drawing a line between a reputable and disreputable individual in so ciety.<sup>15</sup> Nowhere is this phenomenon more prevalent than in middle-class perceptions of women, sex and marriage. And as will become evident through this chapter, such perceptions were reinforced through the written criminal law and legal system at the time.

Although women in Western Canada worked side by side with their husbands and fathers on farms and were the first women in Canada to receive the vote.<sup>16</sup> they did not examine their sexuality or seriously question their lack of sexual autonomy. For the purpose of this study, the term sexual autonomy refers to freedom of choice for females in matters dealing with their nexual activities within and outside the marriage contract. However, in the last ten years of the nineteenth and the first twenty years of the twentieth century, a women's place in seciety, her relative worth as a female and even her sexuality were defined he and for men. Male criented perceptions of wor thend to a then reinformed and mealized by pricings

middle class women's organizations whose membership came to believe in the ideals. As a result, socially and legally defined notions of acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour were directly related to perceptions of a woman's 'proper sphere'<sup>17</sup> within society.

The supposed epitome of happiness for a woman was to marry, have children and maintain the home. If a woman entered the labour force, her stay there was thought to be temporary as eventually she would get married, propagate and work in the home atmosphere. For the most part, economic independence for females was virtually unknown in this era. Usually women were supported by their fathers until they married, and then their husbands assumed the financial responsibility.<sup>18</sup> Consequently, for the majority of Canadian women, the words marriage and career were synonymous.

Men were advised to find a suitable mate, marry and then produce as many children as possible without causing injury to the woman.<sup>19</sup> The Canadian <u>Household</u> Guide of 1894 told its readers that married life with children led to marital bliss, a happy home, contentment and a sense of worth about life. The <u>Guide</u> also warned that the pleasure gained from illicit love was artificial, while domestic love brought true happiness.<sup>20</sup> Several newspapers in the West expressed the view that married life without children would lead to misery and ultimately divorce.<sup>21</sup> The author of the Canadian edition of <u>What a</u> Young Man Ought to Know (1897) was horrified that many .34

women married without intending to have children. He felt that those women were really leading "a life of legalized prostitution."<sup>22</sup>. If a woman did not want children then she should not marry because she was denying her strong maternal instinct.<sup>23</sup> Nellie McClung, one of Western Canada's leading women's suffragists at the time, believed that nearly every woman was blessed with the love of children and the home.<sup>24</sup>

Throughout the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, women were taught by men and other women to be docile, submissive Christian wives, mothers and hostesses. For example, in 1886 the Fort Macleod Gazette advised wives not to "bother their husbands while they were reading the newspaper by asking stupid questions. The women were to be patient and when their husband found something which they thought their wives could understand then he would speak."<sup>26</sup> In 1892, the National Council of Women of Canada spoke of organizing women into a movement not for their economic, social and sexual advancement but for the preservation of the family unit.<sup>27</sup> The first annual convention of the Alberta Women's Institutes held in February 1915 discussed women's traditional place in The programme included such topics for discussociety. sion as household efficiency and furnishing the home. 28 In 1915, Violet McNaughton, the President of the Women's Grain Growers' Association wanted women in the organization to extend their home service ethic to society and use it to create "a bright, clean, prosperous Western

Canada." 29 And in 1891 when the average weekly wage for a man was nearly twice that of a woman, the leading female occupations outside of a marriage were still associated with household work. The majority of women worked as domestic servants, housekeepers, dressmakers and teachers. JU It was only during World War One that women entered, in increasing numbers, positions previously held by men. And, it was hoped that this state of affairs would be temporary. . Even as middle class women came to demand the vote; they did so in terms of extending their home service ethic Arguing that women guarded the human race, to society. Nellie McClung felt that Christian women would use the vote to protect the weaker, less fortunate members of society and make the world a safer place for children. JL She went so far as to state that if women had been in the German Reichstag then World War One might have been averted.32 Men who supported the right to vote movement for women also hoped that women would bring to politics and society many of the attributes which women displayed in running a happy home. In an article carried in the May 26, 1915 issue of the Grain Growers' Guide, the author F. J Dixon hoped that women would turn their attention to allaying some of the ills of capitalism after they had eradicated the social evils of gambling and alcohol. 33 A year later Dixon noted that women possessed the spirit of Edith Cavell who had no bitterness or hatred in her heart; and he firmly believed that it was "the mission of women to bring that spirit into politics."34 T. A.

Crerar, the leader of the Progressive Party felt that women would "give an elevation of tone to our public discussions that will exercise a profound power in establishing and maintaining a sound moral viewpoint in government

and the administration of our public affairs, "35 Despite the numerous organizations and meetings supporting the vote for women, moral reform and social purity, few questions were raised about sexuality and . sexual autonomy. Admittedly, some of the women at the annual meeting of the Alberta Women's Institute held in March 1916 suggested that women standardize their dress, 30 and Nellie McClung spoke of educating women not to wear immodest clothing which allegedly excited the ever lurking passions of men.<sup>37</sup> But such suggestions were designed to maintain and consolidate the traditional place and role of women in society. The very fact that the institution of marriage was placed upon the pedestal alongside motherhood and the home diminished any thoughts of sexual autonomy for women. Thus, women were viewed as "valuable sexual property for the exclusive ownership of those men who could afford to acquire and maintain it." 38 The

institution of marriage furnished a means for this ex-

Traditional middle class Victorian morality guaranteed that men could purchase the sexual exclusivity and reproductive function of a female through a marriage license. And since sex and womanhood were chained by a marriage contract<sup>39</sup> it was a foregone conclusion that there was to be no sex without the benefit of marriage. The 1897 edition of <u>Searchlights on Health: Light on Dark</u> <u>Corners, A Complete Sexual Science and Guide to Purity and</u> <u>Physical Manhood</u>, written by the same authors as Canada's <u>Household Guide</u>, warned that a woman had lost her most prized possession if she Lost her virtue.<sup>40</sup> Women in the West were portrayed as living in a world of constant sexual danger where a woman's chastity was to be guarded at all times.<sup>41</sup>

One pioneer woman remembered that when she was going to school in the late nineteenth century, she and her friends were met at the train station by a school representative who drove them the five miles to class. He never let the girls out of his sight until he delivered them to the Mother Superior. She also noted that it was virtually impossible to carry on unchaperoned correspondence as the Sisters opened their mail. 42 And in mid-May 1904, the Saskatoon Phoenix carried a warning from the local Council of Women not to answer job advertisements for the St. Louis Exhibition for fear that it might lead to prostitution. 43 The Searchlights on Health manual warned women about men who tried to excite their animal passions. Those women who allowed themselves to be taken advantage of by a man in hopes of getting a marriage proposal were considered to be "lost and heartless in the last degree, and utterly destitute of moral principle as well as virtue."<sup>44</sup> John Charlton, who was instrumental in Parliament's attempts to legislate morality, told the April 21,

1897 sitting of the House of Commons that once an unmarried woman had succumbed to a man she became a social outcast.45

It would appear that chastity was to be valued even above life itself. Western Canadian newspapers placed sexual assaults against females under the general rubric of women defending their honour against man's brutality.46 This is most aptly illustrated by the Manaimo Free Press coverage of the murder of a twenty-two year old British Columbia woman at South Wellington in July In its sensationalized front page coverage of the 1906. event, the paper called it "the crime that has appalled the province" and alluded to the "dastardly nature of a crime that has robbed an old man of the sunshine of his life." The paper characterized the attacker as having "the instincts of a heart black with inky sin" because he had tried to "rob a fair woman of her honour" which was "treasured above life itself."47. Since he had been unsuccessful in his rape attempt, he had killed the girl. Speaking of the girl's valiant struggle, the Free Press noted that she "had died defending all that is dearest and best to womanhood - her honor." 48 The Calgary Morning Albertan of July 25, 1906 reported the case in a similar Under the caption "NANAIMO YOUNG LADY FOULLY fashion. MURDERED" the paper observed "that the girl had died defending her honor. On her wrists were the cruel marks of violence, and other signs denoted, all too clearly, the tragic tale -- man's brutality and a woman's brave resistance." A similar account can be found in the July

28, 1906 issue of the Edmonton Journal under the heading. "Is Murdered in Defence of Honor."<sup>50</sup>

Given that middle class morality was mostly concerned with upholding the institution of marriage and a man's support of his wife and family,<sup>51</sup> those women who indulged in sex before and without marriage were viewed with a jaundiced eye by the dominant segments of society. Women were divided into two categories - good and bad; reputable and disreputable. While a good woman remained chaste until she married, a bad woman had sex without marriage. Quite clearly then, Victorian and Edwardian Canada did not believe that chastity was "the most unnatural of the sexual perversions."<sup>52</sup>

Once married, sex was to be endured, not enjoyed. Supposedly enjoyment would come from the pleasures of motherhood. Taught at an early age that every able bodied man wanted to seduce them,<sup>53</sup> women came to view sex as the seamier side of their wifely duties. Church sermons extolled "the virtues of certain women in the community," and helped to establish definite ideas about what was not "proper, Christian and virtuous in women."<sup>54</sup> The available evidence seems to suggest that the enjoyment of sex was neither proper, Christian nor virtuous. It was generally assumed that the lust of the male was responsible for sexual encounters, and decent, Christian women acted as passive or unwilling participants. A womah would only participate if she was married, seduced under the promise of marriage or raped.<sup>55</sup> In fact, "The choice for women became motherhood or prostitution, as the sexual standards became so rigid that all sexual activity outside of motherhood became identified with prostitution."<sup>56</sup>

Given that a woman's raison d'être was to have children and that the purpose of marriage was the production of children, 57 there evolved the concept that sexual intercourse was solely for the purposes of propagation. Sexuality and reproduction were not to be separated because sex without fear of pregnancy took the risk out of promiscuity.<sup>58</sup> Women were taught that sex was something to be endured in order to propagate the race and men were taught to control their ever lurking sexual passions. Young boys could be fitted with a device invented in 1887 whereby an erection would ring an electric bell in the parents' room. 59 Guide to living\_ manuals at the time tried to exert some form of social control over sexual activity by advising the number of times that sexual intercourse should occur within marriage. For example, the previously mentioned Searchlights on Health advised:

> The best writers lay down the rule for the government of the marriage-bed, that sexual indulgence should occur about once in a week or ten days, and this of course applies only to those who enjoy a fair degree of health. But it is a hygienic and physiological fact that those who indulge only once a month receive a far greater degree of the intensity of enjoyment than those who indulge their passions more frequently.<sup>60</sup>

Although some people noted that "too much sex never wore

out anyone, except a weakling who is out of training,"<sup>61</sup> the majority of the source material warned that frequent intercourse would lead to sexual decline and premature aging.<sup>62</sup>

Even though societal notions of acceptable sexual behaviour seemed to be well-defined, the federal government took precautions to ensure traditional sexual morafity through the law. On April 29, 1880 the federal government asked the authorities in the Northwest Territorities to forward a count of the number of seduction cases heard in their courts during the years 1874-1879.63 Reacting to petitions circulating in the 1880s, 64 the government moved to protect the purity and chastity of women. Prior to 1886, seduction was a civil action whereby a father could sue the plaintiff for the loss of services if his daughter became pregnant as a result of the encounter.<sup>65</sup> Perhaps the father was not so much concerned with immediate loss of income but with the future marriage marketability of his daughter. In any event, seduction of a girl of previously chaste character between the ages of twelve and sixteen and the seduction of a previously chaste female under the age of eighteen became criminal offences in 1886. A conviction for either offence carried a maximum of two years imprisonment.<sup>66</sup> The following year, the age of a female in a case for seduction under the promise of marriage was raised to twenty-one as long as the age of the seducer was over twenty-one. This of fence also carried the possibility of a two year prison

t

term if the accused was found guilty.<sup>67</sup> The 1887 stipulation became section 182 of the 1892 Criminal Code and it remained unchanged for over seventy-five years.

There were also suggestions that chastity could be protected through the legal age of consent. Throughout the 1880s and 1900s, Canadians petitioned the federal government to raise the age of consent from fourteen to sixteen to eighteen years of age.<sup>68</sup> Although the 1892 Triminal Code maised the age of consent in certain cases, it did not come close to the suggestions of some people that the age should be set at thirty or even higher.<sup>69</sup> Legally then attempts were made to protect the chastity of women for longer periods of time rather than leaving the matter to individual choice.

By classifying premarital sexual encounters as 'seduction under promise of marriage,' 'seduction of a female between the age of 14 and 16,' 'unlawfully defiling women,' and even holding any householder liable to criminal action if he or the allowed the defilement on their premises as Offences against Morality under the criminal law,<sup>TO</sup> the federal government was imposing legal restraints on its citizen's sexual activities. Pational izing his attempts to get the age of consent raised from sixteen to eighteen, John Charlton, the Newb 1 of Farl iament for Worfolk North, fold the April 10 and the total the

" the House of C mmonor.

No vice will more speedily sap the first

strength than licentiousness, and any legislation adopted by the legislature that is calculated to give to society a better tone and a greater degree of purity, is a class of legislation most desirable in the public interest.71

Interestingly, most of the listings in the Offences Against Morality section made a point of mentioning that the female had to be "of previously chaste character."<sup>72</sup> This was really based upon societal notions of chastity rather than any legal definition of the term.<sup>73</sup> Quite obviously then, the phrase 'offence against morality' in actual fact meant offence against chastity.

There are a number of cases which indicate that a woman's chastity and moral character were very important in all charges of seduction whether there was a promise of marriage or not. For example in an early 1891 case in the Northwest Territories a French half-breed by the name of LeCree was charged with seducing his sister-in-law, a girl under fourteen years of age. At the preliminary hearing the accused pleaded guilty to the charge, but subsequently in the thet this plea could be withdrawn and witness produced to show the girl was immoral. In fac', the girl could not swear that LeCree was the father of the child she bore and which had later died. Furthermore there was evidence to suggest that the girl was a prostitute. Eventually the girl's father told the court that he really did not want to see LeCree punished as he know his daughter was immoral and that ther men no just as guilty as Locree Thus he

felt that if Letree was to be punished then all of the males involved should be.74

Another interesting case occurred in 1914 and involved the conviction of a male for seducing a girl under sixteen years of nee. The case was referred to the Supreme Court of Alberta. The basic question was whether or not the evidence indicated that the girl was of previous chaste character. The facts revealed that the girl had left home and had met a roman who had attempted to introduce her to prostitution. After this woman had avranged a mosting for the accused and the girl, the two got a room in a boarding house, registered as husband and wife and spent the night there. Evidence was also given that the girl had been caught in the back of a store having intercourse with a male and with her consent. However, the trial judge ruled she was of the dougle objects therefore will ( the day of the offering.

The Supreme Court judges whethes? the arneal opheld the conjection of the surged. They argued that going to the boulding house, signing the register fic titionally, accepting more and the available for sexual matters did not proceed the first was of upchasts character. For thermore, there as the percihil ty that the girl had been bribel or her exual partices had been excited well 'evend her cutre'. And the court contended that the onus of proceed were into the sirl to prove her chaste character ter but pon the sirl to prove her duste character ter but pon the sirl to prove her duste character ter but pon the sirl to prove her duste character ter but pon the sirl to prove her chaste character but pon the scale to the she was

and indeed in cases involving more serious sexual assaults against females, appears to have been an exception rather than the rule in Canadian case law.

In 1903, the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories quashed the conviction of a man found guilty of seduction under the promise of marriage. The facts of the case reveal that their first sexual encounter occurred in June 1901 under a marriage promise. They continued to have intercourse once a week from June 1901 to September 1002, each time with a renewed promise of marriage. In September 1902, the girl became pregnant and charges were laid against the accused. The Supreme Court ruled that the girl was not of chaste character from June 1901 to September 1902 as she did not try to reform or attempt self-rehabilitation in chastity." Furthermore, the girl should have been suspicious about his promise of marriage long before she became pregnant. 76 A similar set of conditions arose in a case heard before a Saskatchewan Supreme Court in 1915. Once again the question of the girl's character was brought up by the court. The girl admitter having sox with the accused every week for over Thus the court concluded that she was not of a Vear chapte character at the time of the alleged seduction. 77

What constituted a promise of marriage? In 1893, the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories ordered a new trial for a man named Walker on this very question. Supposedly the accused had promised the girl marriage in lung 1000 Then with a revewed promise of margiage in

early November of the same year he seduced the girl who was under twenty-one years of age. Walker was convicted of seduction but the case was referred to the Court of Appeal with the question as to what was a marriage promise. The appeal court ruled that the trial judge should have instructed the jury as to whether the seduction was obtained by means of a promise of marriage or if his promise influenced her to yield.<sup>78</sup> And in 1914, a British Columbia Court of Appeal overturned a lower Court's conviction for seduction because it felt the statement of the accused did not constitute a marriage promise. Asthough Spray, the accused admitted to having intercourse with the girl, he denied that he had promised to marry her. The court ruled that Spray's question "Do you love me enough to live with me?" and the girl's answer of yes was not a promise of marriage. Fridently the promise had to be made more direct. 70

47

If chartity was protected and promoted by the law. so too was the reproductive function of women. Given that conception was to be the end result of the sexual act, the use of natural and artificial means of birth control was viewed as an attempt to undermine this philosophy, and was, by definition, wrong <sup>(n)</sup> And although the July 28, 1919 issue of the Victoria Semi-Weekly Tribune carried a farourable account of the Bishop of Birminghom's state ment in support of thirth control, <sup>8</sup> the paper quickly qualified its stand. In the very same insue it control it was wrong in every way to use preventive means after there was even a suspicion that conception might have taken place."

The written law was very definite in its attitude towards birth control and abortion. Contained under the general heading 'Offences Against the Person and Reputation,' section 179c of the 1892 Criminal Code effectively limited birth control by making everyone liable to a two year sentence who,

> Without lawful excuse or justification, offers to sell, advertises, publishes an advertisement of, or has for sale or disposal any medicine, drug or article intended or represented as a means of preventing conception or causing abortion.

If anyone was found guilty of supplying the means to procure an abortion, he or she was subject to two years imprisonment.<sup>84</sup> The actual use of the means to procure an abortion, for example, the administration of and/or the use of an instrument, was also an indictable offence. If convicted, the culprit was liable to life imprisonment.<sup>85</sup> And perhaps more importantly, any woman who attempted to procure an abortion on herself was guilty of an indictable offence and liable to seven years in prison.<sup>86</sup>

However, despite the continual idealized portrayals of the institution of marriage complete with children and the social and legal restraints placed upon sexual activity, the reality of the situation in Western Canada was somewhat different from middle class ideals. Newspapers carried several articles which led to the conclusion that there were a number of unhappily married couples.<sup>87</sup> A 1911 article delving into Canada's crusade against the white slave trade told the story of a married man from Vancouver, British Columbia who continually visited a house of ill-fame, without a second thought of his wife and family. The account went on to lament the fact that there were "thousands of married men who, like this one, soon forget their pledges at the marriage altar."<sup>88</sup> Prostitution flourished and was tolerated in nearly every Western town from Winnipeg, Manitoba to Victoria, British Columbia.<sup>89</sup> Quite obviously, a child-filled marriage did not ensure marital bliss.

There were also instances of wife beating. In November 1906, for example a woman in Calgary was locked in a room and tied to a bed for two days by her jealous husband. He battered her to such an extent that there was a possibility that she might lose her left eye. The husband was charged with assault causing bodily harm. 90 E. Perdue was sentenced on August 26, 1908 to three months with hard labour at the Victoria Provincial Gaol for assaulting his wife.91 Then in January 1913, William Pollock was sentenced to six months with hard labour in the Victoria Gaol for wife beating. 92 And on September 1, 1917 at Sundre, Alberta, Ole Matheson received a two year suspended sentence for wife beating contrary to section 292 sub-section (a) of the Criminal Code. 93 During the year 1918, the Swift Current divisional report of the Saskatchevan Provincial Police reported that a man had

beaten his wife to such an extent that the assault was termed attempted murder. The attack stemmed from a drunken domestic dispute over the husband allegedly keeping the company of other women. After he was committed to trial, the wife went to great lengths to get her husband out of jail and then stated that she would not testify against him.<sup>94</sup> Although these cases serve as but a few examples of wife beating, one can only wonder about the number of batterings which were classified under the general category of assault causing bodily harm or went unreported and undetected. At this stage one can only speculate as to whether such happenings were common occurrences or merely isolated cases.

Bigamy (being married to two or more different people at the same time) posed a serious threat to the institution of marriage. Considered as an 'offence against conjugal rights, ' a conviction for bigamy could possibly bring a term of seven years imprisonment.95 And the courts were not adverse to pressing charges. For example, on December 2, 1895 Walter Gray was charged by the Yale Magistrates' Court in British Columbia with "living in a state of conjugal union with a woman named C. Alverez who is legally married to one Alverez, and which marriage has not been dissolved for the space of one year last past." Although the case was dismissed due to lack of evidence, both parties were ordered to pay their own court costs.96 At the end of April 1909, Adam Dickson was sentenced to eighteen months with hard

labour at the Victoria Gaol for a bigamy conviction.<sup>97</sup> Then on March 19, 1915 at New Westminster, William Harris was convicted of bigamy and sentenced to three years with hard labour.<sup>98</sup>

In the West, the Mormon practice of polygamy posed a more serious threat to the institution of marriage than Speaking about the Mormons, the September 1887 bigamy. issue of the Fort Macleod Gazette editorialized that "Canada wants all the settlers she can get: . . . as long as they are law-abiding."99 In the summer of 1888, the federal government ordered its officers to report all cases of polygamy.<sup>100</sup> The previously mentioned <u>Gazette</u> argued that polygamy was a serious social evil and a menace to 3 Consequently, the paper took a firm stand the country. against the Mormons on February 6, 1890.101 One month later, the Galgary Herald stated that the Mormons were not welcome in Alberta because they were "advocates and ... practicers of a promiscuous concubinage" and were "deliberately defying the laws of the country."102 And in his book, Strangers Within Our Gates (1909), dealing with the problems of immigration, James S. Woodsworth, one of Western Canada's most prominent Social Gospellers, wrote that "the practice of polygamy will subvert our most cherished institutions."<sup>103</sup> Considered as an offence against conjugal rights, polygamy carried a maximum penalty of five years imprisonment plus a fine of \$500.00. $^{104}$ Despite claims that women weré pure, passionless and sexless, and that "the vilest of all criminals [is]

the one who robs a female of her virtue, "105 there was an occasional expression of the opinion that women were not as innocent as the projected image. When the federal government discussed the protection of female employees from the sexual advances of their employers, Mr. Mitchell; the skeptical Member of Parliament for Northumberland, told the April 10, 1890 sitting of the House: "I have never heard of an instance of advantage being taken of a woman who was not pretty willing to accede; and I do not think we should place men in charge of factories at the mercy of the female sex."<sup>106</sup> Arguing in a similar fashion, Mr. Davin, the Member of Parlian for Assiniboia, stated that people seem to view seduction "as only an offence against women; but any man who knows anything of the history of mankind, or who is acquainted with the world, knows that seduction is just as often effected by the woman as by the man." He further noted that women might find it more advantageous to fall than to maintain their chastity. 107

Women lost credibility in seduction cases because in a few instances the girl and the seducer were subsequently married and the charges were dropped. In 1916 for example, a Swift Current case of seduction under the promise of marriage was withdrawn when the accused married the girl.<sup>108</sup> There was even an instance where a man was charged with the more serious offence of rape and the charge was withdrawn when he and the girl were married. This occurred during July 1915 in Glen Bush, Saskatchewan.<sup>109</sup> Th another periliar case heard by the Supreme Court of the Northwest Territories in 1895, the judge found the accused guilty of seduction because he had admitted to a friend during the trial the set is could get the girl to marry him he would escape punishment.<sup>110</sup> Four years later at man in the Macleod district of the Northwest Territories was able to elude a warrant for his arrest on a charge of seduction by leaving the country before the warrant could be served.<sup>111</sup>

However, since the sexual standards were so rigid, women were made to feel guilty for their sexual indulgence and one of their, or more directly, their fathers' few recourses was to press charges for seduction. commonly feared that a seduction charge was a woman's way of entrapping a man into marriage. Such thoughts are clearly reflected by the accused in a seduction case heard by the Supreme Court at Calgary on June 4, 1912. The sixteen year old girl testified that she went to a doctor and was told that she was pregnant. When he refused to give her something to induce an abortion, the doctor advised her to inform the man responsible for her condition. Só she wrote the accused a letter on October 13, 1911. In his reply dated October 27, he stated that he was somewhat surprised and accused the girl of plotting to get him to marry her. He also contended that he was not responsible for her condition and directed her to find the real father and marry him. 112

But perhaps the greatest challenge posed to mar-

riage and motherhood was the attempt by women to control and restrict their reproductive function. Although they were told to 'go forth and multiply,' many women were fearful of the repercussions of intercourse. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, sexual intercourse could be physically dangerous as pregnancy and childbirth were often painful and risky experiences. In all probability, women feigned illness to escape the sexual advances of their husbands and thereby ensure their health and well-being.<sup>113</sup> At a time when the safe period for the natural method of birth control was thought to be mid-month,<sup>114</sup> it is quite evident that the only assured means of birth control was total abstinence.

Limited accessibility to information on birth control and anti-conception devices led to many unwanted pregnancies both within and outside of marriage. And despite the medical risks and legal restraints placed upon abortion, many women and/or couples took action to end the pregnancies. Some confided in their doctors. Although procuring and supplying the means for procuring an abortion were criminal acts under the law, some doctors challenged constituted authority by prescribing drugs and performing abortions. As a result they suffered the consequences. On May 30, 1908, for example, a thirty-five year old Methodist doctor at Nanaimo, British Columbia was found guilty of "unlawfully using certain instruments upon Kate McIntosh with intent to procure a miscarriage." He was sentenced to two years and six months imprisonment.<sup>115</sup> In another case, this time in Calgary, Dr. D. J. Bechtel was faced on May 21, 1912 with three charges of attempting to procure abortions through the prescription of drugs and the use of instruments on three different women who came to him for help.<sup>116</sup> In an abortion case heard before a March 1919 sitting of the Alberta Supreme Court at Calgary, a man told the Court that an abortion of a ten week old baby cost \$50.00.<sup>117</sup> For the most part, however, "respectable physicians would not countenance the use of 'mechanical' contraceptives," but according to Angus McLaren they did on occasion recommend the rhythm method or intercourse without ejaculation.<sup>118</sup> 515

Women also took several strange potions and used a variety of potentially damgerous devices in an attempt to prevent conception and/or induce abortion. McLaren found a recipe for a homemade pessary in the papers of Violet McNaughton. This particular recipe contained a mixture of cocoa butter, boric acid and tannic acid. 119 In 1900, the Sears, Roebuck and Company catalogue advertised Lr. Warden's Female Fills for All Female Diseases ranging from aches and pains and memory loss to menstrual problems and "excesses and indiscretions of living." 120 Also sold in the catalogue was Brown's Vegetable Cure for Female Weakness. Touted as a wonder drug and a bles sing to pregnant women, Brown's remedy was said to save the user from suffering and would "cure quickly, pleas artly and permanently.<sup>[12]</sup> One is left wondering if between the lines, these two cure alls for franale disorders were, in reality, medicines to induce abortion.

Speaking in more specific terms, in May 1910, Bessie Wells of Claresholm, Alberta took her lover's advice and inserted certain pills in her vagina to end her two month pregnancy.<sup>122</sup> Other women drank carbolic acid, took two teaspoonsful of a turpentine with sugar mixture four times a day,<sup>124</sup> or soaked in Epsom's salts.<sup>125</sup> In 1915, a Calgary woman who thought she was pregnant took the advice of a fortune teller who told her to take a teaspoonful of 'ERGOT' every four hours. 126 Supposedly, the ergot would cause a contraction of the uterus. However, in March 1918 a woman in Banff, Alberta stopped taking doses of ergot after it failed to end her two month pregnancy by a man other than her husband.<sup>127</sup> A year later, a man was charged with supplying a catheter to a sixteen year old girl who was three months pregnant in the hopes that it would induce an abortion. 128 On June 16, 1919 a complaint was laid against a male who had allegedly suprlied a bottle of creolin to a woman who had become pregnant by him and he even offered to pay the expenses for an abortion if the drug did not work. 129 If mixtures such as these did not work, women took more drastic measures. They attempted to abort themselves with syringes, pieces of elm wood bark or even a pair of scissors. 130

Ostensibly, much of the anti-abortion legislation could be seen as a way of protecting the mother from unskilled abortionists.<sup>131</sup> However, if mixtures such as the cues mentioned did not work and doctors were not to be consulted or trusted, women took other action. Abortions were attempted by people not connected with the medical profession. A person named Lovingheart ran a very successful back alley abortion service in Calgary until he was brought to trial/in 1893.<sup>132</sup> On January 24, 1898 a thirty-nine year old blacksmith from Nanaimo, British Columbia was sentenced to ten months imprisonment with hard labour after he was found guilty of attempting to procure a miscarriage.<sup>133</sup> After William Riddock performed an abortion on Rose Knight in Calgary on March 28, 1913 she claimed that he proceeded to have intercourse with her.  $13'_{t}$ And in November 1908, a Regina woman named Sarah Hunt paid the ultimate penalty for her sexual indiscretion. She died in Winnipeg, Manitoba as the result of a botched abortion performed by an illegal practitioner. 135

If the unwanted child was born concealment of birth and infanticide were not unbeard of in Western lanada. Section 140 of the Criminal (ode stipulated up

PRW SUNYES

guilty of an indictable offence, and liable to two years imprisonment who disposes of the dead body of any child in any manner, with intent to conceal the fact that its mother was delivers of sit, whether the child died before or during or after hirth.

The April 17, 1896 sitting of the Assizes Court + Nanaimo, British Columbia sentenced a farty four year old Finnish woman to a twenty four bour stry in jail for the space ment for birth 137 A similar subbrace was mored out by

on June 21, 1897. However, the one day imprisonment was accompanied by a fine of \$200.00.<sup>138</sup> In July 1906, the Edmonton Journal reported that a sixteen year old Galician servant girl had concealed the birth of a stillborn baby at Vegreville.<sup>139</sup> The 1920 annual report for the Saskatoon division of the Saskatchewan Provincial Police told the story of Mary Rasmuson who had given birth to an illegitimate child and then burned it in the stove.<sup>140</sup> During the same year, a woman living in Gravelbourg District, Saskatchewan aborted herself with a pair of scissors and she too burned the remains.<sup>141</sup>

In a rather odd case heard before the Wetaskiwin district court in February 1918, a woman by the name of Mrs, W. E. Dewitt was charged with concealment of birth. Several witnesses testified they had noticed that the accused was pregnant in the early summer of 1917 despite the fact that her husband was away. However, the woman apparently spent a lot of time with a Mr. Erickson at his farm around Alliance. Alberta. In fact, there were speculations that they were living together. The next time witnesses saw the accused, which was sometime during the fall of 1017, the no longer appeared to be pregnant yet no mention was ever made about a birth taking place. After several reopte had voiced their suspicions to James Soult of the Alberta Provincial Police, he proceeded to low charges against Mrs. Dewitt. 142

In a few instances people claimed that they did know the had to register the birth of a child with

the authorities. Although Edward and Mary Hose of Red Deer, Alberta were found not guilty, it was rumoured that they had murdered their infant child in April 1914 and placed the remains down the outhouse. The doctor noted that the Hoses had asked him not to report the birth which a Police Constable testified that the father had stated that "he did not know you had to register one that lived only a few hours."143 A similar statement was given in a 1917 case involving the disposal of a dead child heard before the Alberta Supreme Court sittings at Wetaskiwin. The accused who was the pregnant girl's father had not been present when the baby was born so he did not know if the .baby was stillhorn, died soon afterwards or was murdered. He told the court that he had merely buried the baby's body. The girl herself stated she did not know that a birth and death had to be officially resistaned. Her mother acknowledged that she had denied the illegiticate birth as she wanted to uphold the good reputation of the other family members. Despite such statements, the com was dismissed due to in ufficient evidence

Come women were exciting and asserting, subcome sciously at least, some measure of control over their bodies. Inteed, it was revolutionary for women to have sex without marriage, children out of wedlock, and to consciously SEFEmpt to control their consolutive function through the use of rudimentory mothods of firth control Such actions undermined the most cherished institution of Canadian coclety is general and Mesters Canadian

ciety in particular -- namely, marriage, motherhood, home and family life. Although the evidence suggests that women did not directly question their lack of sexual autonomy, they were unconsciously asserting some measure of sexual independence at a time when social convention and the criminal law had combined in an attempt to control sexual activity.

By the 1920s women throughout Canada had entered the labour force in greater numbers, received the vote and increased their educational possibilities. Yet home, motherhood and family were still considered to be a woman's 'proper sphere' and her true vocation. In a society preoccupied with sex and its suppression, Christian teaching promoted virginity and abstinence as moral indices<sup>145</sup> and designated any sexual activity which deviated from the accepted norm as not only a social offence against sexual custom but a crime under the law. Traditional sexual morality was being challenged by socially and legally defined notions of unacceptable sexual behaviour and women asserted their biological independence by searching for some ef-'active way to control their reproductive function.

Despite the concerted social and legal efforts to control sexual behaviour in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century a few Canadian women took the first step on the long road to sexual independence by attempting to control their reproductive function. In Januray 1913, a sixteen year old girl told a preliminary inquiry at Hardisty, Alberta, into a rare charge that the accused had

trespassed on her private property when he had intercourse with her without her consent.<sup>146</sup> However, such statements were in a distinct minority. It would be years before women would seriously question their sex roles within a family based traditional society, let alone envision sexual equality and autonomy for themselves.
## FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup>"An Act for the Temporary Government of Rupert's Land and the Northwestern Territory when united with.Canada: Statutes of Canada, 1869, Chapter 3," in D. Owram (ed.), <u>The Formation of Alberta: A Documentary History</u> (Calgary: Historical Society of Alberta, 1979), pp. 24-26.

<sup>2</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 25.

<sup>3</sup>"The Manitoba Act, Statutes of Canada, 1870, Chapter 3," in D. Owram (ed.), <u>The Formation of Alberta:</u> <u>A Documentary History</u> (Calgary: Historical Society of Alberta, 1979), pp. 26-27.

<sup>4</sup>L. D. Hyndman, "The Legal Profession in Alberta" unpublished paper (October 1981), p. 1.

<sup>5</sup>"An Act respecting the Administration of Justice, and for the establishment of a Police Force in the North West Territories, 1873," in L. G. Thomas (ed.), <u>The Prairie</u> <u>West to 1905: A Canadian Sourcebook</u> (Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1975), pp. 150-157.

<sup>6</sup>"An Act to amend 'An Act respecting the administration of Justice and for the establishment of a Police Force in the North West Territories, 1874,'" in L. G. Thomas (ed.), <u>The Prairie West to 1905: A Canadian Source</u>book. pr. 157-159.

<sup>7</sup>R. C. Macleod, "The Problem of Law and Order in the Canadian West, 1870-1905," in L. G. Thomas (ed.), <u>The</u> Prairie West to 1905: <u>A Canadian Sourcebook</u>, p. 137.

<sup>8</sup><u>Ibi</u>d., pp. 138-139.

<sup>9</sup>"An Act to establish and provide for the Government of the Province of Alberta, 1905," in D. Owram (ed.). <u>The Formation of Alberta: A Documentary History</u>, pp. 341-347 and "An Act to establish and provide for the Government of the Province of Saskatchewan, 1905," in M. Ollivier (ed.), British North America Acts and Selected Statutes (Ottawa: Queen's Frinter, 1963), pp. 230-243.

<sup>10</sup>See for example, M. Zuker, <u>A Guide to Law for</u> <u>Canadians</u> (Toronto: Pittman Publishing, 1967), pp. 86-91.

<sup>11</sup>According to J. L. Granatstein <u>et al</u>, "few people from anywhere seemed eager to go west - . . There was still good land available to settlers in the United States either free or at low prices, and European immigrants were convinced that the streets of America, not Canada, were paved with gold. Immigration to the United States boomed, as even British settlers chose the States over Canada in Vast numbers." J. L. Granatstein <u>et al</u>. <u>Twentieth Century</u> <u>Canada</u> (Toronto: McGraw-Hill Ryerson Limited, 1983), p. 8.

<sup>12</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 34.

<sup>13</sup>j. S. Woodsworth, <u>Strangers Within Our Gates</u> (Toronto: University of Toronto Press reprint, original edition, 1909).

<sup>14</sup>J. Gusfield, <u>Symbolic Crusade</u> (Chicago: University of Illinois Press, <u>1963</u>); p. 5.

<sup>15</sup>For a more detailed discussion of this material see T. L. Chapman, "'The Drug Problem' in Western Canada, 1900-1920" Calgary, University of Calgary, unpublished M. A. thesis, 1976.

<sup>16</sup>Women in Saskatchewan and Alberta received the vote in the months of March and April, 1916, respectively. Women received the vote in British Columbia in April, 1917.

<sup>17</sup>R. Cook and W. Mitchinson, "<u>The Proper Sphere</u>": <u>Woman's Place in Canadian History (Toronto: University of</u> Toronto Press, 1976).

<sup>18</sup>L. Gordon, <u>Woman's Body</u>, <u>Woman's Right</u> (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1977), p. 110.

<sup>19</sup><u>The Ideal</u> (1924) in A. Forel, <u>The Sexual Question</u>: <u>A Scientific, Psychological, Hygienic and Sociological Study</u> (New York: Physicians & Surgeons Book, 1924). p. 542.

<sup>20</sup>B. G. Jefferies and J. L. Nichols, <u>The Household</u> <u>Guide: A Domestic Cyclopedia</u> (Toronto: J. L. Nichols Co., 1894, reprinted Toronto: Coles Fublishing Co., 1972). pp. 22 and 24.

"How to be Happy Although Married," Navaino Free

Press, 23 July 1906; "The Marriage Question," Medicine Hat Weekly News, 2 December 1899, p. 7.

<sup>22</sup>A. McLaren, "Birth Control and Abortion in Canada, 1890-1920," <u>Canadian Historical Review</u>, Vol. LIX (September 1978), p. 319.

<sup>23</sup>A. Lefroy, "Should Canadian Women Get the Parliaimentary Vote?," <u>Queen's Quarterly</u>, Vol. 21 (1913-1914, July 1913), p. 93.

<sup>24</sup>N. McClung, <u>In Times Like These</u> (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, reprint 1975, original edition 1916), p. 86.

<sup>25</sup>I. Bassett, <u>The Parlour Rebellion</u> (Toronto: Mc Clelland and Stewart, 1975), p. 16.

<sup>26</sup>"Advice to Wives," Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 16 March 1886, p. 1.

<sup>27</sup>The Council stated: "We, Women of Canada, sincerely believing that the best good of our homes and nation will be advanced by our greater unity of thought, sympathy and purpose, and that an organized movement of women will best conserve the highest good of the Family and the State, do hereby band ourselves together to further the application of the Golden Rule to society, custom and law." Lefroy, "Should Canadian Women Get the Parliamentary Vote?," p. 92.

<sup>28</sup>"Alberta Women's Institute," <u>Grain Growers' Guide</u> (3 March 1915), p. 9.

29. Women's Organization Reports," <u>Grain Growers</u>' <u>Guide</u> (17 February 1915), p. 9.

<sup>30</sup>M. MacLellan, "History of Women's Rights in Canada," in <u>Studies of the Royal Commission on the Status of</u> <u>Women</u> (Ottawa: Information Canada, 1971), p. 25.

<sup>31</sup>N. McClung, <u>In Times Like These</u>, pp. 22 and 77.

 $3^{2}$ "I could not help think that if there had been women in the German Reichstag, women with authority behind them, when the Kaiser began to lay his plans for the war, the results might have been very different." [Ibid., p. 89. <sup>33</sup>F. Dixon, "Let the Women Vote," <u>Grain Growers</u> <u>Guide</u> (26 May 1915), p. 19.

<sup>34</sup>F. Dixon, "Women in Politics," <u>Grain Growers</u>' <u>Guide</u> (13 September 1916), p. 26.

<sup>35</sup>T. A. Crerar, <u>Grain Growers' Guide</u> (19,19/1921), p. 21.

36"Alberta Women's Institute," <u>Grain Growers' Guide</u> (8 March 1916), n. p.

<sup>37</sup>"If women could be made to think, they would not wear immodest clothes, which suggest evil thoughts and awaken unlawful desires." N. McClung, <u>In Times Like These</u>, p. 34.

<sup>38</sup>L. Clark's thesis summarized in J. Hagan, <u>The Dis</u>-<u>reputable Pleasures</u>. (Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1977), p. 133 and L. Clark and D. Lewis, <u>Rape: The Price of Coercive Sex-</u> <u>uality</u> (Toronto: **Mo**men's Educational Press, 1977).

<sup>39</sup>R. Walters (ed.), <u>Primers for Prudery: Sexual</u> <u>Advice to Victorian America</u> (New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1974), p. 79.

<sup>40</sup>B. Jefferies and J. Nichols, <u>Searchlights on</u> <u>Health: Light on Dark Corners, A Complete Sexual Science</u> <u>and a Guide to Purity and Physical Manhood</u> (Toronto: J. L. Nichols Co., 1897) in J. Colombo (ed.), <u>Colombo's Canadian</u> <u>Quotations</u> (Edmonton: Hurtig, 1974), p. 285.

<sup>41</sup>Bassett, <u>The Parlour Rebellion</u>, p. 16.

<sup>43</sup>"WARNING TO WOMEN," Saskatoon <u>Phoenix</u>, 13 May 1904, p. 2.

42<sub>1bid</sub>.

<sup>44</sup>Jefferies and Nichols, <u>Searchlights on Health</u>, p. 285.

<sup>45</sup>Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 21 April 1897, p. 1060.

46 In September 1897, the Victoria <u>Daily Colonist</u> felt that a Mrs. Thomas Rabbit would be acquitted for the murder of her assailant. The facts of the case seemed to indicate that the woman was defending herself against a sexual assault. "Shot her Assailant - James Hamilton of State Creek Willed by Mrs. Thomas Rabbitt - In Self-Defence the Woman Discharges a Rifle with Deadly Effect," Victoria Daily Colonist, 28 September 1879, p. 5. 66

<sup>47</sup>"Further Details of South Wellington Murder,"-Nanaimo <u>Free Press</u>, 23 July 1906, p. 1.

<sup>48</sup>"Foul Murder at South Wellington," Nanaimo <u>Free</u> <u>Press</u>, 23 July 1906, p. 2.

49 "NANAIMO YOUNG LADY FOULLY MURDERED," Calgary Morning <u>Albertan</u>, 25 July 1906, n. p.

<sup>50</sup>"Is Murdered in Defence of Honor," Edmonton Journal, 28 July 1906, n. p.

<sup>51</sup>T. Honore, <u>Sek Law</u> (London: Duckworth and Co., 1978), p. 3. The same author argued that "marriage is the institution which traditional sexual morality is mainly concerned to uphold," p. 6.

<sup>52</sup>Rémy de Gourmont in L. Peter, <u>Peter's Quotations:</u> <u>Ideas for Our Time</u> (New York: Bantam Books, 1977), p. 464. See also Anatole France, "Of all sexual aberrations, chastity is the strangest." <u>Ibid</u>.

<sup>53</sup>Bassett, <u>The Parlour Rebellion</u>, p. 16.

<sup>54</sup>Ibid.

<sup>55</sup>Dr. M. Wyman, <u>Comments on the Criminal Law and</u> <u>Legal Process</u> (Edmonton: Alberta Board of Review, 1975), p. 45.

<sup>56</sup>L. Gordon, <u>Woman's Body</u>, <u>Woman's Right</u>, p. 22.

<sup>57</sup>"The Bishop of Birmingham Says 'Birth Control is Justified,' Victoria <u>Semi-Weekly Tribune</u>, 28 July 1919, p. 3.

<sup>58</sup>L. Gordon, <u>Woman's Body</u>, <u>Woman's Right</u>, p. 176.

<sup>59</sup>G. Taylor, <u>Sex in History</u> (New York: Harper and Row, 1950), p. 223.

<sup>60</sup>Jefferies and Nichols, <u>Searchlights on Health</u>, p. 585.

<sup>61</sup>A. Comfort, <u>Sex in Society</u> (Secaucus: The Citadel Press, 1975), p. 17.

## 62<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>63</sup>Saskatchewan Archives Board (hereafter SAB), Attorney General Papers, "G" Papers, 702 (29 April 1880 - 28 February 1881), Secretary of State Requesting Return of number of actions in seduction for the last six years.

<sup>04</sup>"Petitions to the government praying that seduction may be made a criminal offence, 1886," Canada, <u>Index</u> to the Journals of the House of Commons of the Dominion of <u>Canada, 1877-1890</u> (Ottawa: Queen's Printer, 1891.

<sup>65</sup>See for example, McLeod v. McLeod (1842), 9 <u>Upper</u> <u>Canada Queèn's Bench Reports</u> (hereafter UCQB), p. 331; L'Esperance v. Duchene (1850), 9 <u>UCQB</u>, p. 146; Whitfield v. Topp (1850), 1 <u>UCQB</u>, p. 223; Biggs v. Burham (1858), 1 Queen's Bench and Police Court Reports, p. 106.

<sup>OO</sup><u>Statutes of Canada</u>, "An Act to Punish seduction and like offences, and to make further provision for the Protection of Women and Girls" (1886), 49 Victoria, c. 52, s. 1, 2 and 8.

<sup>67</sup><u>Ibid</u>., 50 and 51 Victoria, c. 48, s. 2.

<sup>68</sup>See Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 31 March 1898, p. 2909.

<sup>69</sup><u>Ibid</u>., 5 June 1895, pp. 2145-2153 and 4 May 1900, p. 4717.

<sup>70</sup>,See for example Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), Section 181, "Seduction of girls under sixteen"; Section 182, "Seduction under promise of marriage"; and Section 183, "Seduction of a ward, servant & c."

<sup>71</sup>Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 20 April 1899, p. 1899.

<sup>72</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code</u> (1892), Sections 181, 182 and 183. <sup>73</sup>Wyman, <u>Comments on the Criminal Law and Legal</u> <u>Process</u>, p. 51. 68

<sup>74</sup>SAB, Attorney General Papers, "G" Papers, 473L (24 April - 16 May 1891), Re: Case of Chas. LeCree, Regina Gaol, charged with the seduction of his sister-in-law; request for counsel to be assigned to the accused.

p. 323. <sup>75</sup>R. v. Rioux, 22 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1914),

P. 184. V. Lougheed, 8 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1903),

<sup>7.7</sup>R. v. Haugberg, 24 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1915), p. 297.

78<sub>R</sub>. v. Walker, 5 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1893), p. 465.

<sup>79</sup>R. v. Spray, 24 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1914), p. 147, and 20 <u>British Columbia Law Reports</u>, p. 147.

<sup>80</sup>"The Bishop of Birmingham Says 'Birth Control is Justified,'" Victoria <u>Semi-Weekly Tribune</u>, 28 July 1919, p. 3.

<sup>81</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, 28 July 1919, p. 2. As Chairman of the National Birth-Rate Commission, the Anglican bishop stated: "Morally as well as eugenically it was right for people in certain circumstances to use harmless means to control the birth rate."

> <sup>82</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 3. <sup>83</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), s. 179.

<sup>84</sup>55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 274, Canada, <u>Criminal</u> <u>Code of Canada</u> (1892); see also Section 305 of 1906 Revised Criminal Code, Canada, <u>Selected Chapters of the Revised Stat</u>utes of Canada Relating to the Criminal Code (1906), p. 331.

<sup>85</sup>55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 272, Section 303 of 1906 Revised Code, <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 330.

<sup>86</sup>55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 273; also Section 304 of the 1906 Revised Code, <u>Ibid</u>., p. 331. <sup>07</sup>"DIVORCE CONDEMNED," Medicine Hat <u>Weekly News</u>, 28 September 1899, p. 6. See also "DESERTED BY HIS WIFE," Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 4 April 1889; and "Chief Justices on Divorcé," Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 10 October 1889, p. 1.

<sup>88</sup>Rev. J. Shearer, "The Canadian Crusade" (1911) in E. Bell (ed.), <u>War on the White Slave Trade</u> (original publication date unknown, reprinted Toronto: Coles Publishing Co., 1980), p. 356.

<sup>89</sup>J. Gray, <u>Red Lights on the Prairies</u> (Toronto: Macmillan, 1971), p. 32. "The White Slave Trade finds some of its victims on the prairie when they are taken to Winnipeg to British Columbia, or to American cities where prostitution is 'tolerated' in 'segregated' areas." Rev. J. Shearer, "The Canadian Crusade," p. 342.

<sup>90</sup>"BRUTAL TREATMENT OF A GIRL WIFE," Saskatoon Pheonix, 25 November 1906, p. 7.

<sup>91</sup>Provincial Archives of British Columbia (hereafter PABC), Attorney General Papers, List of Prisoners under sentence in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 16 (January 1902 -October 1910), n. p.

92 Ibid., Vol. 17 (November 1910-1914), p. 119. -

93District Court Records (hereafter DCR), Criminal (Calgary), File #288, Case: R. v. Matheson (1917) wife beating.

<sup>94</sup>SAB, Attorney General Papers, 4, Saskatchewan Provincial Police, File #2, C Divisional Reports, Swift Current report for the year ending 31 December 1018.

95<sub>Canada, Revised Statutes of Canada (1006)</sub>. chapter 146, Section 307.

<sup>96</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers (Yale), Vol. 3, Magistrates' Court, Charge and Sentence Pook (23 August 1890 - 17 August 1909), p. 76.

<sup>97</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Victoria Gaol, List of Prisoners under sentence in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 16 (January 1902 - October 1910), n.

98 PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaels, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Prisoners Confined, Vol. 7 (June 1914 October 1917), p. 41 <sup>99</sup>Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 27 September 1887, 🖗. p.

100 "Government Officials told to report polygamy incidents," Macleod Gazette, 24 July 1888, p. 1.

101"The Mormon Question," Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 6 Feb-

102"On the Mormons," Calgary <u>Herald</u>, 17 March 1890. See also "The Mormons Discussed," Lethbridge <u>News</u>, 15 December 1897, p. 4, and "Is It Prejudice?" Lethbridge <u>News</u>, 16 February 1898, p. 6.

<sup>103</sup>J. S. Woodsworth, <u>Strangers Within Our Gates</u>, p. 71.

chapter 146, Section 310.

105 Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 5 June 1895, p. 2147.

106<sub>Ibid</sub>., 10 April 1890, p. 3165.

<sup>107</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. <u>3</u>166-67.

108 SAB, Attorney General Papers, Saskatchewan Provincial Police, M. Constable's Reports Upon Conclusion of Cases, R. v. J. Haughian, seduction under promise of marriage (1916).

109<u>fbid</u>., Conviction Register (1912-1916), Arthur Trudgeon, Farmer from Glen Bush, rape (11 July 1915), case withdrawn as the parties were going to get married.

D. 6.

111 Annual Report of Superintendent R. B. Deane Commanding Macleod District, "report dated 30 November 1899 contained in "Annual Report of Northwest Mounted Police for the year ended December 1899," Canada, <u>Sessional</u> Papers, XXXIV (1900), Paper 15, n. p.

112 Supreme Court Records (hereafter SCR) Criminal (Calgary), File #121, Case: R. v. Wakelyn (1912), seduction contrary to Section 211. 113 Bassett, The Parlour Rebellion, Chapter one and two and L. Gordon, <u>Woman's Body</u>, <u>Woman's Right</u>, p. 106.

71

114 McLaren, "Birth Control and Abortion in Canada," p. 324.

<sup>115</sup>PABC. Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Victoria Gaol, Record and description list of all prisoners received in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 12 (November 1890 -February 1910). p. 112.

<sup>116</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #94, Case: R. v. Dr. D. Bechtel (1912), intent to procure a miscarriagé.

117<u>Ibid.</u>, File #828, Case R v A. Frosser (1010), procuring an abortion.

118 McLaren, "Birth Control and Atortion in Canada. pp. 324-25.

119<u>Ibid</u>, p. 326:

120 Sears, Ropbuck and Co., Consumers Guide (North field: Digest Books, Inc., 1900), p. 17.

121 Ibid (

1

122 SCR. Criminal (Calgary), File #10, Caget P. v Tucket (1910), attempt to procure a miscarriage

123"THE GEORGIA BUREN CASE IS CLEARING UP." Saskatoon <u>Phoenix</u>, 18 July 1906, p. 5. The account fold the story of a woman in Winnipeg, Manitoba who drank carbolic acid in an attempt to end her three month pressure.

124SOR, Criminal (Calgary), File #313. Case: R. . Evans (1909), attempt to procure abortion b supplying a drug. The accused allegedly gave the woman two teasporn ful of turnentine mixel with/sugar the to the times of the ting to induce a mig curiage

(1912). intent to provide a migratiane

intent to procure an abortion. The wom n we tolight was

#824, Case: R. v. Prosser (1918), administering a drug with intent to cause a miscarriage. Prosser allegedly had given the woman, Helen Williams, ERGOT in hopes that it would terminate her pregnancy.

<sup>127</sup><u>Ibid</u>., File #824, Case: R. v. Prosser (1918), administering a drug with intent to cause a miscarriage;

.

128<u>Ibid.</u>, File #918, Case: R. v. Lowe (1920), supplying a drug with intent to procure an abortion. The accused was fined \$50.00 by Justice McCarthy.

129 <u>Ibid.</u>, File #856, Case: R. v. Lowther (1919), intent to procure an abortion. The accused told the court that if they could prove the child was his he would con-

130 <u>Ibid.</u>, File #545, Case: R. v. Luke Sturn (1915), supplying an instrument to procure an abortion. The accused has supposedly supplied his wife with a syringe about one foot long and one-half inch in circumference for an abortion. In the same file is the case of R: v. Annie Sturn (1915). She was charged with attempting to use an instrument for abortion, the instrument being a piece of slippery elm bark and not the syringe supplied by her husband.

131 V. and B. Bullough, <u>Sin, Sickness and Sanity</u> (How York: New American Library, 1977), p. 111.

132 P. Hanna, "The Days of Instant Justice," <u>Calgary</u> <u>Centennial Souvenir Book</u> (Calgary: Provost Promotions and <u>Publications Itd.</u>, 1974), p. 82.

133 PABC, Attorney General, Papers, Inspector of Garls, Nonaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1 (Novem-1993 February 1911), p. 50.

<sup>13/1</sup>CCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #191, Case, R. v. Wm. Riddock (1913), intent to procure an abortion with an instrument See also, "Man Charged with Attempt<sup>3</sup> to Procure Arditi ... Saskatoon Fhoenix, 14 November 1908, p. 1.

Now other 1908, p. 1.

1.6 Canada, Criminal Code of Canada (1892). 55-56

is republic the Conerph Capers, Inspector of

Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1 (November 1893 - February 1911), p. 34.

138 Cases tried in Northwest Territories," contained in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for year ended 1897," Canada, <u>Sessional</u> Papers, Vol. XXXII (1898) Paper 15, n. p.

139"An Unhappy Incident," Edmonton Journal, 7 July 1906, p. 8.

140 SAB, Attorney General Papers, Saskatchewan Provincial Police, C Divisional Reports, File #4 (1920), "Report for Saskatoon Division year ended 31 December 1920." p. 13.

141 <u>Ibid.</u>, B Annual Reports, File #3 (1920), "Annual Report of the Saskatchewan Provincial Police for year ending 31 December 1920," R. v. Jalbert.

R. v. Dewitt (1917). concealment of birth.

143<sub>SCR</sub>, Criminal (Calgary), File #323, Case: N V Edward and Mary Hose (1914). concealment of birth.

144SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #608, Case: R. v. Wm Spruham (1917), disposing of a dead chil'. The mother testified "that I denied that "gnes had given birth to a child which was simply to retain the good corretation of the other members of the family."

145A. Comfort. Sex in Society. p. 64.

146<sub>SCR</sub>, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #558b, Case: R. v. F. Hoppe (1913), rape. The question was posed to the girl: "Where was he in reference to you when he had this sexual intercourse?" and she replied, "Why, he was on private property." When asked to clarify this statement she stated that the accused was on her private property which was her body

## CHAPTER III

SERIOUS SEXUAL ASSAULTS AGAINST FEMALES:

RAPE, CARNAL KNOWLEDGE AND INCEST\*

The problem of sexual assaults against women and young girls in Western Canada is not a new phenomenon. In 1905 for example, the presiding judge in a sex assault case heard in Regina, Saskatchewan told the court that in his opinion there seemed to be a predominance of this type of offence throughout the country.<sup>1</sup> The following year, young girls in Edmonton were being sexually harassed by certain individuals in the city and the police promised to combat the problem.<sup>2</sup> Some reports which appeared in 1906 mentioned the apparent frequency of sexual assaults against females, <sup>3</sup> while other reports noted that rape was part of the "carnival of crime" which was so prevalent in Western Canada. 4 One Western Canadian newspaper, the Saskatoon Phoenix went so far as to carry a 1906 report from Chicago which recommended the death penalty for any person found guilty of sexually assaulting a female.<sup>5</sup> By 1920, the general and district reports of the

\*Given the present state of the Criminal court records in Western Canada, this chapter is based primarily on the Supreme Court and District court records for the province of Alberta. However, when there is information available on the other provinces, it has been included to reinforce the arguments presented.

74

Mounted Police and Provincial Police repeatedly referred to cases of rape and carnal knowledge committed against females.<sup>6</sup> In their haste to criticize the present day federal criminal justice system, studies have tended to ignore the historical background of their subject. However, there can be little doubt that sexual assaults have a history as do the written law and the social attitudes which produce the law.

the handling of serious sexual assault cases such as rape, carnal knowledge of a female under fourteen and incest by the justice system in Western Canada, was influenced and reinforced by societal notions of acceptable sexual behaviour for females. The public's perception of the offence was also subject to the same rigid social attitudes. A study of these three types of sexual assaults against females and the funotioning of the law in the West reveals much about social, sexual and legal attitudes towards women in the Victorian and Edwardian era. It also indicated that many of the complaints levied about Canada's present day sex laws have strong historical antecedents heretofore ignored by the critics.

Both in terms of the written criminal law and public pronouncements, the crime of rape in Canada was considered to be a serious, perhaps the most serious crime perpetrated against women. The 1892 Criminal Code of Canada-defined rape

> The act of a man having carnal knowledge of a woman who is not his wife without her consent, or with consent which has been extorted by threats or

as

fear of bodily harm or obtained by personating the woman's husband or, by false and fraudulent representation as to the nature and quality of the act.?

Included in Canada's rape laws at the time was the 1841 provision which stipulated that there was no need for proof of emission of seed or total penetration in order to constitute the act of carnal knowledge. The maximum penalty for the crime of rape was life imprisonment or death while a conviction for attempted rape could bring a maximum of seven years imprisonment. And since the provision for a minimum penalty for both offences was removed in 1892, the sentence of a convicted man was totally in the hands of the presiding judge.<sup>8</sup>

Public pronouncements seemed to echo the law's contention that rape was a crime worthy of the death sentence. Western Canadian newspapers of the day used such adjectives as 'horrible,' 'revolting,' 'heinous' and 'brutal' to describe rape attacks, while the accused in such a case was characterized as a brute of the most vile nature.<sup>9</sup> Similar expressions can be found in the annual reports of the North West Mounted Police, the divisional reports of the Provincial Police and the debates of the House of Commons.<sup>10</sup> But despite the fact that the law promised and the public seemingly condoned severe penalties against the convicted sex offender, the reality of the situation was somewhat different.

Although the Criminal Code of 1892 and its subsequent revisions broadened the existing legal definition of rape in Canada to include such things as personating a hus-

band and taking advantage of the mentally handicapped, the police, the courts, the public and the media adhered to the .eighteenth century common law definition of the crime. According to Sir Matthew Hale and Sir William Blackstone, two of England's foremost legal thinkers for that century, rape was the act of a man having unlawful carnal knowledge of a woman by force and against her will, <sup>11</sup> This definition also appeared in a number of works throughout the nineteenth century including S. R. Clarke's A Treatise on Criminal Law as Applicable to the Dominion of Canada published in 1872.12 But implicit in this seemingly straightforward definition of a rape were the social and legal problems of measuring force, resistance and lack of consent as well as the corroboration of a woman's complaint. Such issues became directly related to the traditional sexual morality of the time and social perceptions of the moral character of women.

77

Historically, middle class Canadians generally assumed or hoped that marriage, sex and procreation formed a holy triumvirate and no decent woman would have sex unless she was married, seduced under the promise of marriage or raped. As a result, the Canadian criminal justice system and the public came to expect some measure of resistance to sexual attack on the part of the woman. Indeed, the more physical the display of resistance, the more likely the police, the courts and the public were to believe the woman's allegations. As early as November 12, 1845, the Kingston <u>Chronicle</u> reported that in one particular rape case heard in the Home District, the jury could not nor would not issue a guilty verdict because of an apparent lack of violent resistance which was required by law,<sup>13</sup> Twenty-one years later, Chief Justice William Richards warned the jury in the 1866 case <u>Regina versus Fick</u> that it "had the right to expect some resistance on the part of the woman, to show that she really was-not a consenting party." In upholding the conviction upon an appeal, Justice Adam Wilson once again referrent to the notion of force and resistance.<sup>14</sup>

Although the 1892 Criminal Code stipulated that it constituted rape if consent was extorted through threats or fear of bodily harm, the trend towards the requirement of physical resistance to sexual assault continued. This is clearly reflected in a number of instances in late nineteenth and early twentieth century Western Canada where attitudes similar to those expressed in the 1845 and 1866 Upper Canadian cases can be found. For example, in September 1897, the Victoria Daily Colonist felt that a Mrs. Thomas Rabbitt would be acquitted for the murder of her assailant. The facts of the case seemed to indicate that she was defending herself from a sexual attack. Therefore, the paper felt it was justifiable homicide.<sup>15</sup> In his annual report for the year 1901, Inspector Wilson of the North West Mounted Police division. at Regina reported that a girl had been sexually assaulted within two miles of Qu'Appelle. Apparently, the girl had been riding her bicycle in broad daylight when Whalen, the accused, attacked her. Wilson noted that the girl had "strongly repulsed" Whalen until help arrived, otherwise "more serious results would have followed."16 And on June

6, 1906, the Saskatoon Daily <u>Phoenix</u> carried the story of a 'plucky' eighteen year old Macleod girl who had fought off the hired hand until her father came to the rescue.<sup>17</sup>

However, serious doubts were raised when there was no evidence or insufficient evidence of physical resistance. On January 9, 1913 a woman told a preliminary hearing at Brooks, Alberta that she had consented to sexual intercourse with the accused as he had threatened to kill both her and Although this case was sent to trial the acher husband. cused was found not guilty.<sup>18</sup> Later in the same year, a man charged with raping a fourteen year old girl at Ferintosh, Alberta was acquitted by Judge D. L. Scott as there was no evidence (of a struggle. Although the girl alleged that she screamed and tried to get away, much was made of the fact that her clothes were not torn. 19 And in a preliminary hearing held on May 3, 1915 at Strathmore on a rape charge, counsel for the defence repeatedly questioned an eighteen year old female as to why she had not bitten or scratched her assailant. When she replied that she did not have the opportunity, the lawyer told the court that this was obviously a case of the girl trying to save her self-respect. He stated that it was better for her to tell her father that she had been raped rather than to admit that she had indulged in premarital sex. The accused was found not guilty of the charge on June 8, 1915.<sup>20</sup> In yet another rape case this time near Crossfield on November 10, 1918, Jessie Isabella Thompson testified that she was not strong enough to fight off the advances of Gus Neetnay and thus he had intercourse with her.

79 <sup>:</sup>

She also told the court that she screamed and hollered but it was pointless since the nearest neighbours were at least a quarter of a mile away.<sup>21</sup>

In a July 16, 1919 preliminary hearing on a rape charge heard by a Police Magistrate at Camrose, Alberta, a sixteen year old girl apparently did not even know what consent was. When asked whether or not she consented to have intercourse with McMaham the accused, the girl told the court she did not understand the question. The Magistrate then rephrased the question to the following, "Did you permit him to do it of your own free will?" to which her response was Upon cross-examination, the girl admitted that she did no. not cry when the accused kissed her or when he asked her to There were no signs of struggle, her clothes were kiss him. not torn and it was only after a wagon went by when the alleged rape was happening that she screamed for help. Counsel for the defence seriously questioned the girl's story in light of the fact that she went home, went to sleep and the next morning told her mother she had been raped. 22

The issue of consent way also of importance in a case where the woman was insane. As early as 1867 the Court of Queen's Bench in Upper Canada ruled that there had to be some evidence of nonconsent on the part of the woman. Indeed if the woman consented from what the court termed "animal passion" then it could not possibly be rape.<sup>23</sup> The courts in Western Canada appeared to follow the precedent set in an aforementioned case. In a 1913 Saskatchewan case the accused, Walebek stated that although the woman was an imbecile, she had agreed to have intercourse with him as he had promised her twenty-five cents.<sup>24</sup> And When Michael Kowell was charged on March 6, 1916 with carnally knowing a thirty-four year old mentally handicapped female at Halley, Alberta, the issue of consent was raised once and. Despite the fact that the woman was six months pregnant, the accused was acquitted of the charge as she admitted that she knew her long-term sexual relationship with Kowell was wrong. In this instance, the woman's imbecility was not severe enough to affect her decision making process as to what constituted acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour.<sup>25</sup>

The greatest display of physical resistance on the part of a female was the loss of her life. Indeed, this seemed to be the only sure way to quell any conscious or subconscious doubts about lack of consent which might have arisen. On September 17, 1889 for example, the Regina <u>Leader</u> reported that a woman who had been raped earlier in the month had died as a result of her struggle to defend herself.<sup>26</sup> Newspaper accounts of an alleged rape-murder of a twenty-two year old British Columbia woman at South Wellington in July 1906 reinforced such contentions. The Nanaimo <u>Free Press</u>, ' the Calgary <u>Morning Albertan</u> and the Edmonton <u>Journal</u> relied heavily on the girl's valiant struggle to defend her chastity which was valued above life itself in their reports of the case.<sup>27</sup>

In the eyes of the adjudicators and the public the issue of consent was inseparable from the reputation and character of the woman. At times the newspapers referred

to the respectability of the females assaulted and their parentage. 28 On August 28, 1890, the Macleod Gazette carried a lengthy report on three men who had been convicted of sexually assaulting a female Salvation Army officer av Guelph, Ontario. The judge had sentenced the men to two years imprisonment with hard labour and three floggings of ten lashes each. But the paper went on to note that singe the convictions had been made there were indications that the woman's character was not as impeccable as originally believed. If this had been known at the time then the gonvicts might have received a more lenient sentence. 29 when Judge Richardson handed out a three year prison term to/ a man convicted of the first recorded attempted rape on # half breed woman in the Northwest Territories, (1890) he expressed a similar opinion. Referring to the attack as the "meanest of offences" Richardson told the six man jury that "So long as a woman - be she white or black . : . conducted herself properly; she was entitled to protection as the highest lady of the land."<sup>30</sup>

The defence in a September 1917 Alberta case of sttempted rape tried to create the impression that the woman had not 'conducted herself properly.' She told the court that the accused, Roy Lane had come to the house while her father was away and attempted to assault her. When her father returned she told him about the attack and he laid a complaint against Lane on the same day. Upon cross-examination the woman stated that she had been married but had left her husband as he did not support her. Her credibility was

further destroyed when sp admitted that she had a sexual relationship with a man p for to her marriage. Obviously her conduct was not what was expected of a woman with a high moral character. Three months later on December 19, 1917, the Crown entered a Stay of Proceedings.<sup>31</sup>

In 1916, Chief Justice Scott of the Alberta Supreme Court noted that in a case of rape the previous chastity of the woman was not an elepent of the offence. Thus he argued that evidence of unchastity should not be admissable in a . court of law. 32 However, this was not the overriding principle in cases heard in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. In fact once a woman entered the court room, she had to withstand a barrage of attacks on her moral character from the defence. This trial within a trial was so severe that at times the woman became the defendant and the accused, the prosecutor. For example when the girl in a pape case heard at Hardisty, Alberta on January 24, 1913 was hesitant to explain the details of the attack, counsel for the defence insinuated that a might have dreamt it. The presiding migistrate told the court that she was "apparently a chaste girl" and thus he considered her modesty in the matter quite alright. Then the defence lawyer tried to destroy the sixteen year old girl's credibility by implying that she had intercourse with a man she had gone dancing with. 33 Interestingly, the prosecution did not object to this line of de-Stence.

At a time when no woman was to have sex without the benefit of marriage, a woman's character seems to have been directly related to her virginity. Indeed, if she succumbed once then in the eves of the court she was no longer of chast character. However, this tay was never legally defined and was based on social connotations of the term. In his deposition of an alleged raps committed sgainst his fourteen year old Gaughter on June 13, 1913, the father made a point of stating that as far as he knew she had been a good firl. Obviously this meant that the girl was a virgin.<sup>34</sup> Even the prosecution expected chastity on the girl's part. For example, at a preliminary hearing heard at Mardisty, Alberts on January ?, 1918, the prosecution asked the woman whethar this way the first time that she had sexual relations.<sup>35</sup> It is avident that both the prosecution and the defence expected the woman in a rape case to be of high moral charafter.

Married women who claimed that they had been raped were Aubjected to the same kind of duestioning is unmarried women. There were certain societal notions of acceptable behaviour for married women and the courts reflected such ideas. The defence counsel at a proliminary enquiry into a rape which had allegedly occurred on April 20, 1918 at Crossfield, Asked Mrs. Jassie Isabella Thompson whether she had ever encouraged of shown affection towards the accused.<sup>36</sup> In another case heard during the same year, counsel for the defence insinuated that the complainant had intercourse with a number of men, including her brotner-in-law.<sup>37</sup>

If the issue of consent, the physical display of resistance and a woman's moral character were of importance

in a raye Case so too was the length of time which elapsed between the attack and the complaint. In fact, the justice system in Western Canada seemed to be following the guidelines established in the thirteenth century by Henry de Bracton. He wrote that the wronged woman "must go at once and while the deed is newly done, with the hue and cry, to the neighpouring townships and there show the injury done to her to new or good repute." 38 It was generally assumed that if a woman had been raped and was of chaste character then she would lay the complaint immediately. Otherwise, the charge of rabe was false and her character was suspect. Sergeant Hyne of the North West Mounted Police stationed at Estevan, N. W. T., told of such a situation in an interesting rape charge made in his district during 1897. According to a woman who had gone to a circus appearing in Oxbow, one of the showmen had dragged her under a tent and raped her. Although there had been four police officers, including an Inspector on duty at the fair grounds, she did not make a complaint nor did she complain until it was obvious she was pregnant. Hynes stated in his report that even if

the shownan was guilty it would be impossible to apprehend him due to the time delay. Furthermore, he felt the girl's story was & cover-up to "cloak her real seducer." 39

similar set of circumstances can be found in a case menyioned in the February 16, 1899 issue of the Regina <u>Leader</u>. Subposedly the rape had occurred in 1897 but no gomplaint was made until 1898 when the woman, the accused's half niece, gave birth to a child. Her story lost credibility

because she had first claimed that she had been raped by 'a stranger who had pulled her from her horse while she was riding to Regina. 40 More similarities can be seen in the George Sinki rape case of May 1915 heard at Wetaskiwin. The defence objected to the woman's information being admitted as evidence of a complaint as it was not given as such until several months after the alleged offence. Much like the case noted by Sergeant Hynes, the girl mentioned. the attack only after her pregnancy became noticeable. Then she stated that the accused had raped her at her grandmother's house. However, her grandhother testified that the girl never told her about it." And in another instance during 1915 this time at Didsbury, the girl's father alleged that the accused had raped his eighteen year old daughter on or about Peorie 19, 1915. The complaint however was not Theo June 29 of the same year. A doctor found the be four and a half months pregnant. Since the comhad not been given at the first opportunity the court Tound the accused not guilty.42

Given that women were not to indulge in premarital sex, a father in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century had two legal options if daughter became pregnant as a result of a sexual indiscretion. He could charge the accused with seduction under the promise of marriage or he could lay a more serious charge of rape. Thus the crime of rape had another connotation in addition to the traditional idea of it being a violent attack which occurred only once of several times on a particular day or night. Since the sexual standards were so rigid, a father or even the daughter herself could claim that she had been repeatedly raped ver a long period of time.

N toonly did the courts in the West expect the com plaint to be made at the first reasonable opportunity, but it was to come voluntarily without leading questions from a This is clearly reflected in the October 26. third rort. 100 Jimme Scuzzum repe case heard at the fall sitting of the Court of Assizes at New Westminster, British Columbia. The thown had as one of its witnesses the girl's grandmother when ofter hearing ari us reports, had gone to the girl's house the day after the alleged rape. Supposedly, the accused. Himmy Copyrgum had bloken into the bouse of the complainent a tph her father was every and reped her. The next making the had gove to be auth's house and told her nine then ald mugin that the home had been hurglarized but she failed to mention the nore three neering this information the number out to e the rist of the old pill and acked the fol' i : monti ··· The Frence Her Frenchle" - Arguing Heat more on rougation. " Myong bar, com C'E' I HEIVIN WO - jack a to it being admitted ag ovi the here in the time is the time the forestaint. Here ere the trial indge, J. Fring, and his lighting powers and the widene was advited a contract the contract which became no of the fow individ - the life imprison of for para domina th I can a site product the twentieth additions

the state of most of the loss of the property of the second

87

ruled in favour of the accused, Jim Dunning, on March 31, 1908, and ordered that a new trial be held. The evidence indicates that the woman, Jennie Cole, made her first or plaint about the rape after a friend maked her directly whether the accused had "been getting for free with her After hearing the story, the fried went to get Jennie's husband who testified that he had not her outside the shack and threw my arms around her neck and kissed her and then the her to the shack, I asked her if During had but h r. . nie's answer to her husband was considered to be the second complaint. However, the arreal equitivited West the trial judge should not have admitted as evidence of a complaint H information obtained from the questions of the friend and t woman's huchand. Their questions were lettin originally gested the guilt of Dunning - les that see all coupling this open was not whether a rate of the second state the the veran had stone her could 1 11 1.1.1. accent1 Trongange

A commutation is a constant of the transfer o

Constraints and the transmission of transmission

woman named Ellen Castle. The next day, the Crown decinot to proceed with the case at that time as the girl's father and other witnesses were absent. Obviously, it was felt that the woman's statement would not suffice in a court of law and consequently the hearing was adjourned until Contenter 4.46

All these problems with the issue of consent, the display of resistance and the need for correspondentive evidence were not confined to cases of rape against adult females. At times the criminal justice system and the public, used the same criteria to judge a case of defiling a female under the age of fourteen. And there were other problems encountered by the courts in cases of carnal knowledge or attempted carnal knowledge against children which varied according to their age. For example, if the girl was very time there was the dilemma of whether she was telling the inter the age of fourtee to the age of fourteen was dealt an adult.

According to the tion 262 of the 1822 drimber' Gode,

guilty of an indictable ffence and li able to imprisonment for life, and to be whippe', who carnally knows any girl under the age of fourteen cears, not being him wife, whether he believed be to be if or about that age or not

A consistent for all myted carpet k owldge of a girl under for 's car is' the possibility of two years imprisons of a = 1 and  $\frac{h}{2}$  to be inply to be a definition of the

as being a prerequisite to the charge. However, in a few instances, counsel for the accused attempted to use the consent issue in their defence. In an Alberta case against Faul Chartier heard on June 7, 913 the ten year old girl admitted that she did not scream or try to get away. She further stated that the accused had offered her \$5.00 if she would not tell anyone. As a result of this information the charge was withdrawn from the jury.48 And in another case of carnal knowledge the girl told a May 19, 1915 preliminary hearing that she had consented to have intercourse with the accused Charles Spencer nearly every second day between April 1914 and January 1915. The wife of the acoused knew about the relationship and gave the girl tincture of iron in hopes of inducing her periods. Eventually, however. the girl became pregnant and her father laid the complaint against the accused when he became aware of his daughter's condition. For his part. Spencer admitted that the child to be born war his and that he was willing to pay the errensie. 19

In cases where the children were young, there was always the question of whether the girl was to be believed or whother the really understood what had happened. For example, Henry Chapman was errested in Medicine Hat for attempted areal to whether of a six year and girl in the form of 182 (align it a binous errested and be begiven Leader second is at be seen of thing should be girling for a first the girling of the triation form 90°

nicality and Chapman was acquitted.<sup>51</sup> The judge in a June 7, 1913 inquiry into a charge of carnal knowledge did not allow the ten year old girl to be sworn in because of her age.<sup>52</sup> And on May 6, 1914, F. Eaton, the defence lawyer for James McLees told a Police Court sitting at Calgary that the four year old girl who had allegedly been assaulted did not have sufficient intelligence -to understand what happened or the nature of the oath. The girl stated that the accused had "put himself inside her" while McLees contended that he had spanked her for misbehaviour). A doctor told the court that he had found the girl's hymen ruptured; severe swelling and blood in her urine. He concluded that she had been sexually violated by the "male sexual organ." However, despite the doctor's testimony, Chief Justice Horace Harvey found the accused not guilty on May 19, 1914.53

Repeatedly, the courts tried to impress upon the children involved in the case the importance of telling the truth and the ramifications of their allegations. In a number of instances, the child was asked if they attended Sunday school, knew what would happen if the: lied and even ordered to kiss the Rible as a lisplay of their sincerity. 54 Conscionatly, there was an even greater need for corroboration in cases of carnal knowledge involving young girls than was necessary in rape cases against women. For example, in 1901 Judge Rouleau dismissed a case of rape committed on an eight year old girl in Lethbuidge. Although he firmly believed that the man was guifty. Rouleau thought there was incufficient corrol wally over dense to contain the total

Similarly, in an April 1919 case of attempted carnal knowledge against a ten year old and a nine year old girl, the Department of the Alberta Attorney General wrote the trial judge saying that there was no point in proceeding with the case. The letter contained the following statement:

. # 92

钃

while there seems very little doubt that the girl's story is true, in the absence of any corroboration, L do not see how anything is to be gained by committal, as the dismissal would surely follow in the higher courts.<sup>56</sup>

The corroborative evidence could be supplied by the girl's parents, usually the mother and/or more importantly a medical doctor. In a December 11, 1911 hearing on a charge of carnal knowledge against a four year old girl, Dr. Frederick Hazard testified that he found the child's vulva red and scratch marks on her abdomen. The rathologist reported that he found semen stains on the front of the girl's inforgarments and thus he concluded that there might have been an aftempt at intercourse. On February 22, 1912 a jury at Calgary found the accused, Christian Torguson, guilty of at tempted carnal knowledge. The judge sentenced him to eigh teen months with hard labour of the Lethbridge Gool in addition to twenty laches.

At times the medical evidence and the doctors them colored hid not support the girl's claim that carnal know ledge or an attempt at carmal knowledge had occurred. In Jun 1013 a thirteen year old (Therta girl told a rrelimi ary bearing that she had known the accuract fillion Manar-

doctor stated that although the girl's vagina was somewhat larger than it should be and the hymen ruptured, he could not state positively whether the condition was caused by sexual intercourse. Subsequently, the accused was found not guilty on October 13, 1913.58 Three years later, James Dora appeared before the Galgary division of the Supreme Court of Alberta on a charge of having carnal knowledge of Annie Bernard, a nine year old girl. After the Police Magistrate had impressed upon the girl the importance of telling the truth, she stated that the accused had penetrated her on at least two occasions. The doctor who examined Annie told the court that she was bruised and there had been an attempt to penetrate the child with some kind of blunt instrument. He further testified: "There was no laceration of the internal parts. There had been no complete penetration. The outer parts were partially penetrated, that was all." 59 Perhaps if the girls in these cases had been pregnant then the courts would have been more inclined to believe that penetration had taken place.

In another case, the mother of a seven year old girl told a Decombor 24. 1018 court that when she found her daugh ter chafed between the legs she washed the area with carbolic lotion, covered it with cold cream and then sent for the doctor. The girl stated that she had taken some milk over to the accused fames Eccles at Bassano and he had baked her in to the house to get warm. Once she was inside, Eccles laid her on the lounge and in her own words rubbed this old thing on me between my logs. Although Dy Scott admitted that

37

the girl was chafed he noted that this was a common occurrence and even more so in female children suffering from a vaginal discharge. Furthermore, Scott was of the opinion that it was impossible for a penis to bruise flesh.<sup>60</sup> Two months later, a doctor who testified in a carnal knowledge case stated that upon examining an eleven year old girl he found the hymen intact, no outward signs of swelling and no attempt at penetration. According to his understanding of the female anatomy, the hymen could be broken with an inch of penetration.<sup>61</sup> But it must be remembered that carnal knowledge was legally defined as penetration to the slight est degree. Thus on several occasions the courts required a greater amount of evidence than was legally necessary.

Despite the fact that there was somewhat different medical evidence given by experts in a carnal knowledge case heard at Drumheller on July 22, 1920 the accused, Sidney Wil liams, was eventually convicted. The first doctor found the girl's sexual regars red and the unive dightly stratshed However, there was no e idence of tearing and no bleating. Obvioualy this esidence did a ' indicate and a deale that established a second of the second and the second a with the findings of his colleague but added that he dis concerned a milky discharge which he thought might be genor thes. When Williams was examined, he was found to be suf fering the Mole ony disease. Although filling pleaded nch a i ly 11 d'a charge on September 27, 1020, 16 wag found of the tor jury and was senteneed to life imprison 62 July - 0 - 1 - 1 - - -The second states and the second states and

issue of the Calgary <u>Herald</u> called Williams an "inhuman brute" and his crime a particularly revolting one against a five year old girl.<sup>63</sup> One wonders if the harsh sentence was meted out not so much for assaulting the girl but because the accused had apparently infected a child of tender years with a venereal disease.

In cases of carnal knowledge and attempted carnal knowledge of a female under fourteen, the girl was not expected to raise a 'hue and 'cry' as was the case in adult rapes. Apparently it was not necessary to tell someone at the first reasonable opportunity. In 1901, an Abernathy, Saskatchewan girl "finally plucked up courage" to tell her father that a man had carnal knowledge with her on several occasions. She had not told her father immediately as the "man had threatened her and thus she was afraid to tell her parents." On June 14, 1913, a girl contended that she had indulged in sevual intercourse with the accused at Ensign, Alberta for well over a year but had not told anyone.<sup>65</sup>

In a rather peculiar case before the Alberta courts, the girl's father waited over three years to lay a complaint of carnal knowledge. He had not said anything earlier as he heped that once his daughter and the boy involved were older they would marry. However, since a marriage did not seem to be for theoming, he decided to press charges. The facts of the case were as follows. On March 25, 1917, three boys, one of them being the accused Oswald Ternes, came to the girlin house while her parents were away. Two of the boys

said he did not. However, he did have connection with her in December of the same year. The girl went away to a convent to have a baby which was born in September 1918. Two years later on September 20, 1920, her father laid a complaint against Ternes. But when he heard that two other boys had connection with his daughter, he laid a complaint against them for carnal knowledge on March 25, 1917. All three pleader t guilty and elected to be tried by a jury. On January 1921 they were found not guilty.<sup>66</sup> Some three years later, the child still did not have a father on the girl a husband.

By placing carnal knowledge and attempted carnal knowledge of a female under fourteen within the criminal law, the system was genuinely trying to protect young girls from sexual assaults. Indeed, there was an abhorence to wards sex crimes committed against girls of a tender age. But those girls who were closer to the age of fourteen were for all intents and purposes young adults who often extenienced sexual relationships without the benefit of sex ed ucation of birth control. If she became presnant, one of the few recourses for the girl's parents were to charge the male with carnal knowledge. And even if prognancy did not occur, the girl's father could gain some reacture of retribution against the person who had hed his daughter down the read to ruin, destroying her chartity and jeepardicing hermarriage marketability.

Unlike rape and carral kn (ledge of asfemal under a stand on the second second

son and Reputation,' incest was considered an 'Offence . against Morality' in the Criminal Code. According to section 176:

6.

97

Every parent and child, every brother and sister, and every grandparent and grandchild who cohabit or have sexual intercourse with each other, shall each of them, if aware of the consanguinity, be deemed to have committed incest.

A conviction for incest, an indictable offence, earned a maximum penalty of fourteen years imprisonment with the male offender kiable to be whipped. If the judge or court felt that the female consented to intercourse because of "restraint, fear or duress of the other party," then the judge did not have to impose any punishment upon the female.<sup>67</sup>

On April 15, 1890 John Thompson, the Conservative Minister of Justice, argued in the House of Commons that both the male and female should be punished in incest cases. He sited the example of a father and daughter who were living together and had several children, to prove his point. Despite threats and remonstrances from the authorities, the two continued to cohabit. Thus he felt they both should suffer punishment.<sup>68</sup> As leader of the Liberal opposition, Wilfrid Laurier told the House that he felt females should not be preserved in cases of incest. In response to Thompson warrie. Laurier stated that obviously the

17

girl must have been debauched and de moralized at an early age. It is im possible to suppose this offence was committed except on one of tender years, the was unable to resist, or "maware of the gravity of the offence.

mates for the sequed that a female would not be inclined
to report the case to the authorities if she knew she was liable to punishment.<sup>69</sup> Thus, the stipulation about the issue of consent in incest cases would appear to be a compromise between the Thompson and Laurier position.

98

The first problem in a charge of incest was proof of blood relationship. On February 25, 1908, the accused was acquitted by the County Court Judge's Criminal Court at Revelstoke, British Columbia because the only real evidence of the parent/child relationship was the girl's statement. Under oath, the girl and other witnesses swore that the accused was her father. However, the trial judge ruled the this was insufficient proof of their blood relationship. An appeal of the acquittal was heard on April 10, 1908 at Vancouver. At that time, the evidence of the girl as to proof of thood relationship was consisered to be inconclusive.

The of the reasons given for off mess having erem red were bizarie. A prime example in the second Christian Mains of Moyton who was charged ith bovin intercourse with his sist i causing her to beer pregnant. In Februar 1999 she gave tinth to cohild which subsequently did not survive informer. The girl was trunky and years old and the complaint was laid by her husband. The told the court that her brother was the off moto who had inter curse with her prior to her mensione. Their a estimation is sold the the brother is a contribution denies on her several the brother. For his product the is a structure of the several that her brother.

.

The accused pleaded not guilty and was acquitted on April . 30, 1909.<sup>71</sup>

Another example is provided by the Jean Beaudry case of Chestermere Lake who was charged by his wife with cohabiting with his daughter from August 4 to December 23, 1914. Beaudry's wife told him that she was not strong enough to have intercourse with Nim so she suggested, in the presence of their nineteen year old daughter, that he have intercourse with her. Despite the daughter's protests, the mother consinced her to indulge her father while he agreed only if his wife said it was alright. Intercourse occurred about once a week To avoid presonancy, the girl used a syringe (illed with warm water and her father used a condom. Eventually. The isolous wife of Beaudry decided to press charges sainst her husband when he refused to stop his sexual re-

Similarly George Worsley told a May 1918 sitting of the Workiwin District Court that he had intercouse with his law yes old daughter because his wife refused to sleep with him. He wanted his daughter to know what would be exported of her when she get married, as when he married his wif the way totally ignorant of such matters. Originally, the accurated pleaded not guilty to the charge and then changed his rist of guilty. He was sentenced to three years imprisonment "A month later, another eleven year old girl said that her fother had intercourse with her after hei mother had latt them." In October 2, 1918 a women laid a com-

F)

twelve year old daughter. The wife stated that when she returned home in July she found that her husband had been sleeping with the girl. In fact, it was revealed that the accuraced fathered a child by a ster daughter in another country. She further stated that she did not want to sleep with her husband as there were sores all over his body and she did not know what they were. Thus the accused had turned his altention to their daughter. <sup>15</sup> And on September 10, 1919, the accused tell a Calcary proliminary hearing into a charge of incest that he and his fifteen year old doughter had sleep in the some bed sin c the way a baby.<sup>17</sup>

.

Conversely a more told a [9]? proliminary inqui y into charges of ine at that his two stop langhters had li t They stated that he knd intercourse with them three rears ago. How ver, the proused stated that he never touched the gils and if to had, be wondered why they had writed so los to reproduce the standing of the Louis P was ob in I with we with no in the it with bit origination can and the contraction of the at D ~ • • • · • 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 1here have have a state to the original the plant 1 . . 424 0:0 11 1 22 **)** 77 . . . 1 . : .... 1 0 1 1 1 1 t n' 1 1 1 he to 2 A A A A 

and a second s

3.00

ì

}

usually the father or the husband. Very few women laid charges independently. Once the charge was laid the woran's character immediately became suspect and liable to close scrutiny. It was her prior moral and sexual behaviour that was at issue and not the behaviour of the accused at the time of the assault. Furthermore, in the majority of the rape and carnal knowledge cases reported, the girl knew the accused either through her rarents, domestic service, church groups or as hired hands on the farm. This prior acquain tance of the accused by the girl led to insinuations that the sirl halled him on. And at times, cases of incest were see as the instituble consequence of there being only one her in the house

Although the law stipulated that a conviction for tope of carool bowledge carried a maximum penalty of life imprises would on the death sentence and fourteen years for in a 1. Yow consisted men received the maximum provision. Th to 1. it is impossible to see any sectencing pattern emerging Canada from 1890 to 1920. For example, in British 'n West i Cleanst , and really providions angel from a fer it to be, the loop of diffeon y are on the operation the second to per the property of the per 41 return the origon to an to seem to have been important in in the continue. The prevention of merey was exercised is a type user where reises our your conditionally or uncon the torrestand the farly, contended levied for at-

Terror convicted

of carnal knowledge or attempted carnal knowledge of a girl under fourteen were, also subject to a wide variety of punish ment. For example, a man found guilty of carnally knowing a six year old girf in August 1010 was fined \$25.00 plus court costs by a British Columbia court.<sup>81</sup> A few years earlier a Vancouver Folice Court judge had sentenced a man to twenty three mouths in jail and one hundred and sixty lashes for the same offence.<sup>82</sup> And sentences for incest included a year, three, five and seven years imprisonment with a stiff dose of the lash <sup>83</sup>. In our perficular care, a forty we vear old man was centenced to say a source imprisonment and seventy five lashes by the fing's Perich of Minnipeg of Arail 8, 1900 for a conviction of incest. But me again, the syshom we eight its processive of relay a the prisoner had

f his lashes remitted on Mn oh 27, 1008.

The available inforiation indicates the two consultypes while were not immune to neveral instructions of the construction end frictions for the and the from the tralate of the model of a set trapitation and the from the latlate of the model of a set trapitation at try, the maintain latas implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as implemented of the relative is the transmitted with the as included in a relative of the transmitted of the start of a representation of the transmitted of the transmitted is a register had the start of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained or the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted of the transmitted is remained of the transmitted of the transmitted of t

the second se

of rape was highly unlikely. Sexual awareness of young teenage girls was not condoned by the law or by the parents who pressed charges against the male for 'wronging' their daughters. The reality of the system was that the girl was as guilty, perhaps more so, for losing her virginity as was the perpetrator of the offence.

The fact that very few women laid independent charges of being sexually assaulted reveals a lack of sexual autonomy as does the court's handling of all cases brought before them. Freconceptions as to acceptable and unacceptable behaviour for women greatly influenced the handling of sex cases by the oriminal justice system in late Victorian and Edwardian Western Canada. For the most part, the primary concerns in sexual assault cases, whether explicitly stated or implied, were the issue of consent, display of resistance, the amount of force used, time elapsed between the offence and the com plaint, the woman's character and correborative evid nonin securities in the issue of consent in a correborative evid non-

## FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup>Regina <u>Leader</u>, 10 May 1905, p. 3. See also "Assault Cases Most Frequent," Edmontor <u>Journal</u>, 31 October 1906, p. 3.

<sup>2</sup>"Serious Charge," Edmonton Journal, 8 March 1906.
p. 1.

<sup>3</sup>Ibid., 31 October 1006, p. 3.

<sup>4</sup>"Outrageous Life in Manitoba." Edmonton Journal. 17 October 1906, p. 3.

THE DEATH DENTRY," Sackatoon Phoonix, 8 August 1906. p. 6.

<sup>6</sup>See for example various reports of the North West Mounted Police and Saskatchewan Provincial Police. "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Folice," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u> (1890-1920) and Saskatche wan Archives Board (bereafter SAB), Attorney General Laure Saskatchewan Fractionial Folice. (1. 1) internal Percette (1217 1920).

Canada, Criminal Code of Canada (1892) s 265. The lefinition of vare did not change from 1892 E 191 The theory time way later changed to mostly 199

R Itid., Protion 26; (later 20) de l'Arith the proindependent foi pare, Section 268 (later and the trans-

<sup>9</sup>"Revolting Crime," Regina Leader, 21 October 1890. p. 48; "Heinous Crime, Regina Leader, 7 October 1897, p. 1: "A Little Girt (Sta ked by a rule on Seturday Night at Calgary, Medici e Hat Tipes, "Gunne 15 (Fride Impris) mont for the total of the transformer of the Seture of the

Nost Monited Folie, Crnate, Sessional Paners ("Entropy") Dest Monited Folie, Crnate, Sessional Paners ("Entropy") Dest Monited Folie, Crnate, Sessional Paners ("Entropy")

ports (1917-1920) and Provincial Police. Vancouver-Westminster Police Division, Vol. 1, Reports Received at Provincial Police office with notes of instruction (16 June 1899 - 7 December 1905); Vol. 2 (December 1905 - December 1908); Vol. 3 (1909); Vol. 4 (3 January 1913 - 20 July 1926) and Vol. 5 (9 August 1920 - 28 July 1923) and lastly; Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 5 June 1895, pp. 2145-2153 and 4 May 1900, p. 4717.

<sup>11</sup>Sir Matthew Hale and Sir William Blackstone quoted in C. Backhouse, "Nineteenth Century Canadian Rape Law: 1800-1892" (unpublished paper, draft, October 1981), p. 58.

<sup>12</sup>S. R. Clarke, <u>A Treatise on Criminal Law as Applicable to the Dominion of Canada</u> (Toronto: Carwell 1872), p. 264.

<sup>13</sup>R. Olson, "Rape--An 'Un-Victorian' Aspect of Life in Upper Canada," <u>Ontario History</u> (June, 1976), p. 78.

<sup>14</sup>R. v. Fick (1866), 16 Upper Canada Queen's Bench Reports, pp. 380-388.

<sup>15</sup>Victoria Daily <u>Colonist</u>, 28 September 1897, p. 5.

<sup>16</sup>"Annual Report of Inspector Wilson, Commanding Officer, Regina, North West Territories for the year ending 1901," in "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1901," Canada, Sessional Papers, Vol. 12 (1902), Paper 28, p. 89.

<sup>17</sup>"Defended Her Honor - Plucky Macleod Girl Fought A sailant Until Rescued by her Father." Saskatoon Phoenix,

18. upreme Court Records (hereafter SCR), Criminal (1913), rape.

19 Ibid. (Wetaskiwin) File #644a. Case: R. V. Garett (1913), rape.

<sup>20</sup>Ibid. (Calgary), File #445. Case: R. v. C. Van Scoy (1915), rape. Question: "It would save your self respect a whole lot to him (father) that you were raped rathin than just a matter of having connection." The girl did

TIN The Acar Correct Descended (1018), rate

<sup>22</sup>District Court Records (hereafter DCR), Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1024, Case: R. v. McMaham (1919), rape.

<sup>23</sup>R. v. Connolly (1867), 26 <u>Upper Canada Queen's</u> Bench Reports, p. 317.

<sup>24</sup>R. v. Walebek, 21 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u>, p. 131.

<sup>25</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #244, Case: R. v. M. Kowell (1916), carnal knowledge of a female idiot. According to section 189 (later 219) of the Criminal Code: "everyone is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to four years imprisonment who unlawfully and carnally knows, or attempts to have carnal knowledge of any female idiot or imbecile, insane or deaf and dumb woman or girl, under circumstances which do not amount to rape but where the offender knew or had good reason to believe, at the time of the offence, that the woman or girl was an idiot. or imbecile, or insane or deaf or dumb."

<sup>26</sup>Regina <u>Leader</u>, 17 September 1889, n. p.

<sup>27</sup>"Foul Murder at South Wellington," Nanaimo <u>Free</u> <u>Press</u>, 23 July 1906, p. 2. "Further Details of South Wellington Murder," <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 1; "Complete Report of Coroner's Inquest at South Wellington this Afternoon," <u>Ibid.</u>, 26 July 1906, p. 1; and "Nanaimo Young Lady Murdered," Calgary Daily <u>Herald</u>, 25 July 1906, n. p. "Is Murdered in Defence of Honor," Edmonton <u>Journal</u>, 28 July 1906, p. 7.

<sup>28</sup>Victoria <u>Daily Colonist</u>, 3 April 1907. p. 1 and investor Province, 3 April 1907, p. 7.

<sup>22</sup>Manlend Gazette, 28 August 1920, p. 2.

Regina Leader, 21 October 1890, n. p

<sup>31</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #202, Case: R. v. R. Lang (1917), attempted rape.

32 R. v. Fisco, 1 Western Weekly Reports (Calgary: Purroughs and Co., 1917), p. 896

33SCR. (riminal (Wetaskiwin), File #558b, Case: R. V. F. Hoppe (1013), rape.

1 ----

Ibid., Fils #433a, Casof P ve A. Gane + (19]3)

rape.

<sup>55</sup><u>Ibid</u>., File #1421, Case: R. v. J. Bowie (1918), rape. The question was as follows: "Is this the first man that you ever had sexual relations with?"

<sup>36</sup>Ibid., Criminal (Calgary), File #739, Case: R. v., A. Neetnay (1918), rape.

<sup>37</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, File #1462, Case: R. v. F. Truchel (1917), rape.

<sup>38</sup>S. Brownmiller, <u>Against Our Will: Men, Women and</u> <u>Rape</u> (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1975), p. 17.

<sup>39</sup>"Annual Report of Sergeant Hynes for the Estevan sub-district for the year ending 1897," in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1897," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXII (1898). Paper 15, p. 124.

<sup>40</sup>"Clancy Not Guilty," Regina <u>Leader</u>, 16 February 1899, p. 1.

<sup>41</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #273, Case: R. v. G. Sinki (1915) rape.

<sup>42</sup>Ibid. (Calgary), File #481. Case: R. v. Wm. Thompson (1915), rape.

<sup>43</sup>R. v. J. Spuzzum. 12 British Columbia Law Reports (1904-07), pp. 291-292.

44 R. v. Dunning. 14 Canadian Criminal Cases (1908) r. 461.

<sup>45</sup>The two cases of rape. 'Hank,' a Blood Indian laid information against 'Black White Man' and 'Tom Daly,' two Blood who were tried and dismissed due to a lack of corroboration. "Report of Superintendent J. Howe, Commanding D Division, Macleod for the Year ending 1901," in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1901," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXVI (1902), p. 4].

<sup>46</sup>PABC, Yale, Magistrates' Court, Charge and Sentence Book, Vol. 3 (24 August 1896 - 30 July 1902), p. 152. <sup>47</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), Sections 269 and 270 which became Sections 301 and 302.

<sup>48</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #644a, Case: R. v. P. Chartier (1913), carnal knowledge of a girl under 14.

<sup>49</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #450, Case: R. v. C. Spencer (1914), carnal knowledge of a girl under 14. The wife of the accused gave a mixture of tincture of iron to induce her period but she was pregnant.

<sup>50</sup>"Heinous Crime," Regina <u>Leader</u>, 7 October 1897, p. 1.

<sup>51</sup>"Annual Report of Superintendent Moffatt, A Division Maple Creek for the year ending 1897," in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for year ending 1897," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXII (1898), Paper 15, p. 87.

<sup>52</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #644a, Case: R. v. P. Chartier (1913), carnal knowledge. The girl testified that the accused offered her \$5.00 if she would not tell anyone.

<sup>53</sup>Ibid., (Calgary), File #334, Case: R. v. J. McLees (1914), carnal knowledge of girl under 14.

<sup>54</sup>, <u>Ibid</u>., Files #580 and #596, Case: R. v. J. Dora (1916), carnal knowledge.

55"Annual Report of Inspector Casey, Commanding K Division, Lethbridge for the year ending 1901," in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1901," Canada, Sessional Papers. Vol. YYYVI (1902), Paper 28. p. 98.

56SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #719a, Case: P. W. Sharpe (1919), attempted carnal knowledge.

57 Ibid., (Calgary), File #12 Case: R. ... C. T.E. Suson (1911). Causal knowledge.

nal knowledge.

<sup>59</sup> Ibid., File #508, Caso: P. 7. 1 Dora (1916). ....

Ibid., File #802, Case: R. v. J. Eccles (1918), attempted carnal knowledge.

<sup>61</sup><u>Ibid</u>., File #814, Case: R. v. F. Dours (1919), carnal knowledge.

<sup>62</sup><u>Ibid</u>., File #950, R. v. S. Williams (1920), carnal knowledge.

<sup>63</sup>"Life Imprisonment for Inhuman Brute," Calgary <u>Herald</u>, 7 October 1920, p. 11.

<sup>64</sup> "Annual Report of Inspector Wilson, Commanding Officer, Regina North West Territories for the year ending 1901," in "Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for year ending 1901," Canada, <u>Sessional</u> Papers, Vol. XXXVI (1902) 'Paper 28, pp. 89-90.

<sup>65</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #203, Case: R. v. Wm McNary (1913), carnal knowledge.

66<u>Ibid</u>., File #964 and #966, Case: R. v. O. Ternes (1017), carnal knowledge

67 Canada, Criminal Code of Canada (1892). s. 176.

<sup>68</sup>There were cases where both the male and female involved were charged with incest. For example, in the year ended September 30, 1894, a man and woman were acquitted on a charge of incest at Clinton, British Columbia. "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1894," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXVIII (1895) Paper 8f, p. 86. In the year ended 30 September 1898, a man and woman were acquitted on a charge of incest in the federal judical distric' of Western Manitoba. "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1898," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>. Vol. XXVIII (1802), Paper 8c. p. 14.

Canada, Debates of the House of Commons, 15 April 1990, pp. 3369-3370.

70<sub>R.</sub> Smith, 8 Western Law Reporter, p. 184.

<sup>71</sup>DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #42, Case: R. v. C. Mairz (1908-1902), serval intercourse with his sister causing pregnancy.

5

72<sub>SCP</sub>. Criminal (Calgary). File #307, Case: R. v. J.

Beaudry (1914), cohabit with his daughter.

<sup>73</sup>DCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1004, Case: R. v. G. Worsley (1918), incest. The accused stated: "My wife did not want me to sleep with her or have connection with her so that is the reason I bothered the girl."

<sup>74</sup>Ibid., File #1111, Case: R. v. G. Brown (1918), incest.

<sup>75</sup>Ibid., File #1016, Case: R. v. G. Salhen (1918). incest.

 $76_{SCR}$ , Criminal (Calgary), File #8:6, Case: R. Murray (1919), incest.

77DCR. Criminal (Wetaskiwin). The #1032, Case: P. W. Dlugocz (1915), incest.

<sup>78</sup>Ibid., File #1048. Case: R. v. L. Budde (1920), incost with his sixteen year old sister.

43 · 1 <sup>79</sup>The following cases serve as but a few examples. On April 16, 1914, A. Nelson was centenced to two years im prisonment by Judge Stoway at New Westminster: PARC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster, Return of Prisoners Confined in New Westminster Gaol, Vol. 6 (November 1910 - May 1914), n. p. On October 6, 1917, John Tierney was sentenced by Judge Gregory at Victoria to four years with hard labour: Ibid., Vol. 7 (June 1914 -October 1917), p. 113. On November 24, 1914, a man was sentenced to ten years by Judge Morrison at New Westminster: Ibid.. Vol. 6 (November 1910 - May 1914). p. 240. On October 22, 1907, Fritz Flum was sentenced to fifteen years and twenty-five lashes at the Victoria Penitentiary: Ibid., Nanaimo Gaol, List of Frisoners. Vol. 1 (November 1893 -February 1911), r. 104. A life ventence for the rape of a sixteen year old girl was handed out by Judge Irving at the October 23, 1906, sitting by the Acsize Court at New Westminster. P. v. Spiz ... P. C. Law Perorts, Vol. 12 (190/44 1907), pp. 201 203

<sup>80</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Peturn of Prisoners confined in New Westminster Gaol, Vol. 4 (January 1894 September 1895), See also the various cases contained in SCR\*\*Criminal (Col gary and Wetzskiwin) and 100 (Colcut and Wetzskiwin).

81 Ibid., P. C. Previn ial Court (Lillere) Charge

1902), p. 54.

<sup>82</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1904," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXIX (1905), Paper 17, p. 274.

<sup>83</sup>In his report for the year ending 1901, Superintendent J. Howe of the Mounted Police, Macleod Division, wrote: The case of incest wherein no conviction was obtained, Richard Vadnaes of Boundary Creek was arrested on information given by his wife and committed to trial by the Justice of the Peace at Cardston. He was brought before the judge at the March assizes, where the Crown prosecutors entered a nolle prosequi. "Repprt of Superintendent J. Howe, Commanding D Division for the year ending 1901," in "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1901." Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXVI (1902), Paper 28, p. 41. On July 21, 1904, a man was arrested at Moose Jaw for incest committed on his thirteen year old daughter. He was found guilty by a judge and jury and sentenced to five years imprisonment in the same penitentiary. "Report of Inspector '. Wilson, Commanding Officer Regina District for the year ending 1904," in "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending 1904," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXIV (1905) Faper 28, p. 7]. See also PARC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Frisovers, Vol. 1 (November 1893 - February 1911); Samuel Wallace, age 39, miner, Assizes court, 10 October 1900, 7 years imprisonment and DCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1032, Case: P. . M. Plugory (1015), incost, three years impliconment

84 "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1008," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XLITI (1909) Paper 17, p. 306. In another case, a man was sentenced to six years imprisonment on July 8, 1899 by the Assizes court at Nelson. British Columbia for an attempt to commit incest, and was released on a Nicket of leave on Jan uary 30, 1903 "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1903," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXVII (1904), Faper 17, n. p. A sixty-year old man who had been sentenced to five years imprisonment for incest by the Supreme Court at Regina, Sask tohewar on July 29, 1004 was released on a ticket of 1 are n early April 1907. "Criminal Statistics for the deal Fapers. Vol. 2007."

## CHAPTER IV

## INDECENT ASSAULTS ON FEMALES

Prior to 1890 the offence of indecent assault on a female was classified under the general category of "Pare, Abduction and Defilement of Women" in Canadian criminal la In fact, it was contained in the same sociion as attempted carnal knowledge of a female inter twelve years flave. Both offences were considered to be mindemedan is and a consistion for either brought the possibility of imprison ment for less then two years and a whipping 1 - Py placing the two offences under the same section. it would seem that indecent assault was visited is a module to committing, the act of carnal buowledge. In 1999, the two offences were separated from as bother and the popular in ind contast sault war observed from less than the year to 'wo improved the law for their cliquial is here the sourcest of a chill under the art of for term of the the product of the second an defense in segen to be as a malter that apics the congrate all with the test of the three of the of the remained the second state the solid of the of the second state of the House of Others is a Filler I able in the foll acted Sir int Thompso Physical Action of the State This is the major  $(1 + \gamma)^{-1} = (1 +$ Second any

"an assault made in pursuance of an attempt to commit a graver offence."<sup>3</sup>

With codification of the Canadian criminal law in 1892, the offence of indecent assault on a female was reclassified and placed under the "Assaults" category. Ac colling to section 259 of the Code, everyone was

> guilty of an indictable offence and liable to two years imprisonment, and to be whipped, who, -indecently assaults any female; or does anything to any female by her consent which but for such consent would be an indecent assault; if such consent is obtained by false and frauduient representations as to the nature and quality of the act."

then in 1902, the Griminal Code Amendment Act added a further subsection to include any or who assaulted or heat his wife of any other female. Thus by 1920 such this: as wife heating, consensual sexual acts with childron for this acts with children; for this sexual acts the females over fourte no ears of the fraudulent sex acts and for this could be non-served acts had been place under the general tobuilty of it.

De rive to replacification of the offence and the broadering (itel gal promiter, it is apparent that in de cut accounts a female in its trues ( nm war still son in terms of Them some "Stimition vi en in 1800. The asmultime not an sub in itself but was a means to on end. The Fridefining indepent recound on a female as a realute exhibition e, yet a of entry last of the last in the rive of the state of the source of the last interview e, yet a of entry last of the 113

Same in the

niveteenth and early twentieth century. As a result, many of the same concerns dealt with in charges of rape, carnal knowledge of a givl under fourteen and incest (such as consent, resistance, complaint given at the first recomble spicitunity and corroberative sides of tore also operate in the findecent assembly.

~

hat constituted on indecent ascanth on a ferrole of the lune of the lwestight cost ry is Westers Canadar. Th the was applied to a to which begins of the state of plant ash a the dia life. pinch, a considerate and led to an allow to be cleaned knowledge and clean the antiper the forten 19 1. for example, the measure N & Weet ingth of i init. of the total clumbic for justal folice told of a const shere a make a creater and ing title girls to tak ing down the in Arabons, of the lot of the pot designed thus one i left and show be at fight all a the plate and a then a the set of a factor of iil of rail! (1 + 1) = (1 + $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{r}} +$  $\mathbf{p}_{\alpha}$  Contraction of the second secon and the second from the second prothe second se 1, 10 **1** 6 6 **1** 9 ι. 1.  $(1 + 1)^{-1} = (1 +$  $(\alpha_{ij})^{(1)} = (\alpha_{ij})^{(1)} (\beta_{ij})^{(1)} = (\alpha_{ij})^{(1)} (\alpha_{ij})^{(1)} (\beta_{ij})^{(1)} (\alpha_{ij})^{(1)} (\alpha_{ij})^{(1)}$ r · erite 1 -General Contraction of the : And the second sec · · · · · · and the later of the 

proved to Be unsuccessful he proceeded to choke her.<sup>9</sup> But did Van receive this sentence for attempting to kiss the girl which Thompson had said was not an indecent assault, or was the one year term meted out for choking the girl, which also did not constitute an indecent assault?

A few years later, John Forsyth was charged with indecently assaulting a female named Hazel Land. At the preliminary hearing held on May 15, 1912 in Calgary into the charge, the girl alleged that Forsyth had lain on top of her, then put a little boy on top of her and had taken down their undergaments. 10 And what E. Fitzpatrick did to a female at Vulcon. (There an September 13, 1913 was classified as an indecent assault Supposedly he had put his hand under a man's lothing and tri d to rinch her while they were in " publi place." Hours buns was charged with an indecent occoult of a ten vear ld girl at Cousins. Alberta when he rut hi 'and under her dreis on April 18, 1015. Two years later. Signame 1d girl by the name of Fdith Carr told an Alberte Court Het Alfred Orr had fouched her on the leg. exposed himself and then save her a nickel. Orr was charged vith indecent as sult. In sucther instance. Eeter Boltora van chore d. ith indecent assembly in March 14, 1920 after a leterie of the Filli of Holel is regard cought him yer for a contribution on the pail the properties alleged to have a state of the state of the set of the set of the state of the 1.1.1.1.1.1 (1, 1) = 1 = (1, 1)

the state of the state of the state of the matter shall be

a form of indecent assault. On August 29, 1916 John Neher, the father of nine year old Ella Neher, laid a complaint against Frank Hall, a farmer near Carbon, Alberta for indecently assaulting his daughter a few days earlier. The girl stated that the accused had tried to assault her and her sister at their home while their parents were away. When asked to describe the nature of the assault, Ella told the October 26, 1916 preliminary inquiry that the accused had not touched any other part of her body except her should der when he laid her on the ground. Evidently, the presiding magistrate, C. H. King believed that this action constituted an indepent assault because the recused was bound over for trial on January 15, 1917 before Justice Villiam Timmens of the Supreme Court of Alberta. 14 And in a dimilar fachior. James Ford charged Joseph Lapier with indecently essenting the ten year old doughter of the complainant hear linming. Alberts on May 18: 1919. The girl told her father that Lapier had laid his hand on the lower part of her abdution Spenking to the meliminary heating on the obarco. Set 11 the court in her way works that the fifty the company anonied had sai! lot me tool you and goo if you us a lite fat, he felt is elemand, but very much, then is pulled a hie lap and gripped me half way between the mille and 11.1. the true In both cases, the simple oft of the time of ton, i the tendo body that the star ja free wards it as ver elegation of an inde of • · ·

intervention of the second sec

with unlawfully entering the house of G. Taylor at Drumheller, Alberta on May 18, 1917 with the intent of committing an indecent assault on Taylor's wife. Taylor did not lay a complaint against Demerie until July 9 of the same year. The facts of the case reveal that the accused broke into the Taylor house and the woman only realized it when the accused confronted her. The accused did not touch her; but Tarlor assumed that Demerie had entered the house intending to commit an indecent assault. And perhaps his fears were well founded as the following testimony would suggest. Taylor's wife told the court that for a period of time, long before the break-in, she had known the accused intimately and had become pregnant by him. As a result, she had an abortion and since that operation had not had sexual relations with Demerie. Supposedly, the baby she was carrying it the time when the complaint was made was her hustouring 17

Another old date was heard by Police Magistrate Sanders at Calgary in the Summer of 1920. Two men, Jules Levine and Louis Blank, were originally charged with committing or int cant accoult on a twenty rear old w man named Summ Collins. An additional charge mus hold when the tramer appears before William Simmon of the Surreme Court of Alberta in Sortember 27, 1020. The second charge claim of that they had fraudulently got the woman to indecently course hercelf. It course that Susan wanted to be an actree in that fine and Plank said there was a distinct pos

physical attributes were favourable. So, they told her to remove all her clothes except her undergarments and they took her measurements. No attempt to sexually assault her was made. Subsequently both men were found not guilty of indecently assaulting the woman but were guilty of the second charge.<sup>18</sup>

Despite this apparently wide range of possible definitions for the offence, the majority of those actions classified as indecent assaults on females were considered as preludes to intercourse and were judged accordingly. And the police were informed and a Constable was put on the case. In one particular instance a Constable received information from a station agent at Cochrane that a man who had assaulted a girl was in the area. The Constable captured Jensen, who proceeded to escape custody and was then recaptured at Gleichen. When committed to trial the charges included rape, attempted rape and "scaping lawful oustody." He mention was made f the alleged indecent assault: obviously it was cover. ed in the attempted rape charge. On December 12, 1001 Jenses was found suilty of all three country. He use centerrod to fic years implies ment and thenty taches for rate: one year the attempted rape and three conthe for escaping custods ?"

In another case, Bert Furincton of Pa care laid a somplaint against P & Lane allocing that Lane had allow had observe Furington's daughter of Sertember 18, 1917. The We man testified that the accused had core to the hence while her father was away and asked her how she had hur has hand is intervented the use and only and asked her how she had hur has hand

hand up her underclothes. She also stated he offered her money but when she refused he left. Bearing in mind that such actions were more in tune with a charge of indecent assault, the court still acted upon the original charge of an attempt to rape. The accused denied the action. Eventually, the Crown entered a Stay of Proceedings on December 17, 1917.<sup>21</sup> But the crux of the matter is that it was virtually impossible to prove legally an attempt to rape when the accused is said to have merely placed his hand under the woman's underclothing.

Although indecent assault on a female and attempted rape were classified as separate offences under the Criminal Code, people continued to be charged with indecent assault or assault with intent to rape. On December 19, 1901 a twenty-seven year old man in Regina was sentenced to one year imprisonment and a fine or in default of payment an extra six months for an assault with intent to commit rape.<sup>22.</sup> David Shaw was found guilty of such a charge in June 1912 in Panff, Alberta. As a result, he received three months with hard labour to be served at the Lethbridge Provincial Jail. 23. Furthermore, several of the indecent assault cases read like cases of rape, attempted rape, carnal knowledge or attempted o carnal knowledge of a girl fourteen years of age. And this was not the sole result of the charge being reduced to the lesser offence of indecent assault on a female because the latter formed the basis of many original charges and were processed through the justice system in such terms.

The courts often seemed to expect some physical evi-

:119

dence of penetration as proof that an indecent assault had actually taken place. On April 16, 1913 a complaint was laid against Mike Tustanowski who had allegedly committed an indecent assault on a female two days earlier at Canmore, Al berta. The girl told the preliminary inquiry that the accused had pulled up her dress and attempted to have inter-The doctor who examined the girl at the course with her. Canmore hospital told the Court that he had paid particular attention to the girl's sex organs and checked for specific physical evidence of an attack, He noted that he had found no inflammation, no internal injuries, no blood clots and the hymen was intact. Thus he concluded, "In my opinion, there could possibly have been no sexual intercourse at anytime taken place on the part of the girl." For his part; the accused did not know what had happened as he was so drunk he did not regain his senses until nine o'clock the following morning.<sup>24</sup>

In a somewhat similar case, Robert Whillams appeared before G. Sanders at a Calgary Police Court sitting on March 20, 1014 on a charge of indecent assault on a young girl. Using her own words, the four and a half year old girl told her mother that the accused had "put his finger through her." When she examined her daughter, the mother found her chafed so she applied a boracic acid solution to the affected areas." However, the examining doctor told the court that he could find no evidence to suggest that an assault had taken place." And a March 31, 1921 sitting of a Court of Appeal at Van couver obviously did not believe what happened to a woman. on October 13, 1920 was indecent assault. Stating that there was "no real evidence of any physical assault" or any evidence to suggest that what had happened was indecent in its nature, they allowed the appeal and quashed the conviction.<sup>26</sup> Evidently some degree of physical evidence was desired to prove indecent assault.

There were also a number of occasions where the more serious charge of rape, attempted rape, carnal knowledge, attempted carnal knowledge or incest was reduced to the lesser offence of indecent assault on a female. On December 11, 1911 for example, Christian Torguson appeared before Calgary Police Magistrate G. Sanders on a charge of carnal knowledge of a female under fourteen years of age. When Sanders committed the accused to stand trial he noted that he had forgotten to change the charge to read indecent assault. Despite this statement, Torguson was not charged with indecent assault but was tried for attempting to have carnal know-And on February 22, 1912. the accused was found ledge. guilty of that offence and sentenced to eighteen months imprisonment with hard labour in addition to twenty lashes. 27 In this particular case, the charge should have been reduced to indecent assault but the trial judge chose to ignore the magistrate's recommendation and the accused was convicted of a more serious offence.

Two years-later, on July 23, 1913, John Cameron was oharged with repeatedly trying to rape his fifteen year old daughter at Okotoks, Alberta during the month of July. Upon examining the girl, one doctor found evidence of a ruptured hymen and concluded that the only way that this could have happened was through "the insertion of some foreign body" into the vagina. He hypothesized that such an occurrence could have come from the insertion of a finger. A second doctor concurred with this opinion. When the accused appeared before William Walsh of the Supreme Court of Alberta the charge had been changed to indecent assault. Subsequently, Cameron was found not guilty.<sup>28</sup>

In a similar manner, a July 19, 1915 charge of carnăl knowledge of a female under fourteen was amended to an indecent assault charge. Supposedly, the accused Thomas Fairburn had indecently assaulted the girl by throwing her on the ground, pulling up her clothes and getting on top of her.<sup>29</sup> And on January 15, 1917 a charge of incest laid against John Goodman was withdrawn and replaced with an indecent assault charge. 30 Perhaps by reducing the charge to a lesser offence, it was hoped that the number of convictions would increase. Quite possibly; the judges and/or juries were more inclined to convict if the charge was indecent assault rather than rape, carnal knowledge or incest, all of . which carried heavier penalties. In fact, in one 1910 case in Western Canada, a man was found not guilty of indepently assaulting a four year old girl but was guilty of common as sault.<sup>3]</sup>

Since several of the indecent assault cases involved young girls, the courts encountered the problem of receiving the evidence of a girl of tender years not under oath. However certain sections of the Canada Evidence Act and the

Criminal Code could be used to help alleviate such a situation when it presented itself. Section 25 of the original Canada Evidence Act (1892-93) stated that in any legal proceeding where the court felt that the child did not understand the nature of the oath, the evidence could be received if he or she was sufficiently intelligent and understood the need of telling the truth. A person could not be convicted of a crime on this evidence alone and such evidence had to be corroborated by some other material evidence. 32 Section 685 of the 1892 Code merely confirmed that this particular. section of the Canada Evidence Act was applicable to cases of carnal knowledge, attempted carnal knowledge and indecent assault on a female.<sup>33</sup> And in a few instances, the question of receiving the unsworn testimony of a girl of tender years and the problem of sufficient corroborative evidence was used by defence lawyers when they appealed their clients' conviction for indecent assault.

One case which illustrates this very well is the 1912 Whistnant case in Alberta. After Whistfhant had been found guilty of indecently assaulting a twelve year old girl, questions were raised as to whether there was enough corroborative evidence as required by section 685, now known as section 1003 of the Code, to warrant conviction. The facts of the case indicate that the only evidence directly related to the offence was given by the twelve year old complainant and her nine year old sister. Both their statements were admitted without the administration of the oath. Counsel for the defence largued that the evidence of the younger sis

ter did not constitute the necessary corroboration. However, the appeal division of the Supreme Court of Alberta ruled that there was enough other material evidence to sustain the conviction. A neighbour testified under oath that the twelve year old had come to the house and told about the offence. Furthermore, a man who was with the neighbour told the court that he heard the accused say "I won't do it again." It was felt that such a statement contained an implied admission of guilt. Consequently Whistmant's conviction was af firmed by the court.<sup>34</sup>

A similar set of circumstances came to the fore in a September 1913 trial conducted by Judge Swanson at the County Court Judges' Criminal Court held at Kamloops, British Columbia. The accused, McInulty was charged with having indecently assaulted a four and a half year old girl on August 3, 1913 at Merritt. At the trial without a jury, the judge admitted as evidence the unsworn testimony of the girl and her friend aged seven who had been with the former when the offence was allegedly committed. Although the judge felt there was insufficient corroboration to warrant a conviction for indecent assault, he did think there was oncugh evidence to find the accused guilty of common assault. The conviction was appealed. Interestingly, both the prosecu-

tion and the defence referred to the Whistnant case to support their respective arguments. Counsel for the accused felt that the McInulty case rested solely on the unsworn testimony of two young children, whereas the Whistnant case and two others had some other material evidence. The From

stated that the Whistnant case did not apply in this instance as the conviction had been for common assault, not indecent assault. He concluded: "In all cases, except indecent assault, the unsworn evidence of one child is sufficient to corroborate the unsworn testimony of another child." The appeal case was heard on January 7, 1914 at Victoria, B. C. Since the accused had been acquitted of indecent assault, then section 1003 was not at issue. The basic question to be answered by the appeal court was whether the unsworn evidence of one child could be corroborated by the unsworn testimony of another child within the context of the Canada Evidence Act. The court's response was negative and the conviction was overturned.<sup>35</sup>

Judge Swanson encountered a similar problem in a case tried by him on October 14, 1913 at Kamloops. At that time he found the accused McGivney guilty of indecently assaulting a six year old girl. Once again, the question as to whether there was sufficient corroboration under section 1003 of the Code to warrant a conviction for indecent assault was raised. I anson wondered if the conviction should have been for common assault. The appeal of his judgement was heard on January 6, 1914 by Macdonald, Trving, Martin. Galligher and McPhillips of the B. C. Court of Arreal. Tron ing noted that the case against McGivney rested on the unsworn testimony of the six year of which was correborated by the evidence of another child. Although he conceded that there was sufficient proof that the accoult took place, he wondered it there was enough correboration to implicate the

accused. In his words, "Proof of the offence is one thing, identity of the offender is or may be quite a different thing." Martin mentioned that the accused's wife admitted that the child and her husband were in the bedroom the afternoon of the alleged offence. Such evidence, he folt, cor roborated the unsworn testimony of the girl. And finally. Galligher mited that this evidence, in addition to medical evidence as to r disease contracted by the girl of the timthe offence mas still to have occurred, and sufficient epinoboration. As a result to appeal upon to isolate with riction sustained.

126

The courts also expected some degree of corrober ative evidence in case of indecent accaults committed against adult females In May 1898, Themas Hiscox, the Tews Inspector for Regina, was charged with intecently ascault. ing the complainant's wife of one month Hiscor stated that he had gone to the complainant's huse to serve a no tice and found the wife home all e. He that that the he was in the bouse for a nation of the of the mener stated that he had nor offer by out hot from hims in t protectly forest hole on the second the second of the second se the determent the completed the should be a start to the her on to had inter an easily to be detail the bet an energy of People whole alphomenta to you The man we get the principal conclusions in a set of the set anter of the same to the start of

and the second second

the second se

ł

McGivney case reveals, a concern as to when and how the complaint of the offence was made. Usually in cases of indecent, assault, the complaint was laid one to two days after the alleged offence. However in the McGivney case, the complaint was not given until nearly two weeks after the offence had occurred. When the grandmother had been bathing the girl she noticed some inflammation and discharge and asked if she had hurt be saif. The offence her father was told of her condition, a doctor take to eramine the child and of the days later she mide a statement to her granimother. At the trial.

'udge Martin of the appal yourt was of the opinion that the complaint was but given at the first masonable on partimite. The girl of uld have recruided to her grand mother's gristion about the hit her: but she did not. He felt it was dreamente admit on heridence, and a thrugh he stated that come slowences in time delays could be made for chi dran "arti - unluded "hat the c should be no dif for ever in the case of a schult or posterial who the Ages to prote ty an orthite of this dilipton it. romann spip 1 (1) "dollar the organs' that a tapped of time in a The number of the to voicing and at the are of the · 7 · I that the little doubter dethe full implication 1. 1 1 1 to make a sort of the unight in any word. 1.1 42. with the sequence the state photon of times the far the fraction VOA

of this child it must be regarded in a very different light."<sup>38</sup> Evidently, the youth of the child was to be 'aken into consideration when judging the first reasonable propriation of complaint in a case of indecent assault.

, -

Unfortunately, such a luxury was not afforded to indecent assault cases involving adult females. Despite any externating circumstances, women while expected to raise a 'hue and cry' immediately. The R . This Chong case of 1920 serves as a good illustration of this phenomenon. On November 2, 1920. Iwaza Taraka laid a complaint against Chi Oh ng for i decentl assaulting the complainent s wife on October 13, 1920 at Varcou or the accured was found guilty by a radier capists to and fined \$200.00 on in default of nave at, dy month implie model. Mrs. " out tostified the while achieve i the for a worm in the to be set that compared have a chile chemic actual her and the second second +11 . . 1 to the end that the provide the transmission 1 ton 1 the th 1.1 m F Sec. 1. 1 1 free and the house 1 1 1 - 1 I I I - 1<sub>2</sub> A THE REPORT OF A REPORT OF Section of **:** . 1.1 1 11 March Charles , 1 · , 1. - E · · · istra' · , . . 1 .. ndelf

when the the second would be a second of the second second

heard on March 31, 1921 at Vancouver.

98

Once again, the defence lawyer argued that Mrs. Tanaka did not make a complaint at the first reasonable opportunity. The assault had allegedly occurred at work between nine and ten o'clock in the morning, yet she did not go home until after two o'clock in the afternoon. Thus there was ample time and opportunity for her to tell her employer. Counsel for the Crown noted that the offence had occurred four miles away from her residence and she told her husband immediately upon his arrival home. Dealing specifically with this issue. Inter MoPhillins stated the a idence of the huchand chould not have been admitted. Such a mistake, coupled with the circumstances surrounding the assault, led him to conclude that there was insufficient avidence to surport a conviction. The two other judges over paged cimilar provious and as a result the conviction worthwest 30 Evidently, if a woman did not tell some is it to accoult immo into y no matter what the oil They have a similar to the back been accounted to . .

I mapping to in this work the count

is a the coordinate the the contract the wide of the tendence first of the tendence the more than two can form of implications of the tendence with so tender of a few wools, a few with and contract with is the confinement is the confinement is the confinement is the first suc-

· / ``;; "

129 -

One Member of Parliament, Mr. Campbell, argued that if the court decided to deal leniently with a boy who had committed an indecent assault on a female, then a flogging was better than a long term of confinement. However, after heated debates in the House of Commons as to the advantages and disadvantages of flogging the offender, the age proviso was dropped. Subsequently, anyone found guilty of committing the offence was liable to be whipped.<sup>41</sup> Thus, the judges used their discretionary powers in their sentencer preseriing shorter prison terms and the lugh to any male irrespotive of rare, colour or age.

· ·

والمستحد والمراجع والمراجع والمتحج والمتحج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والم

On May 1, 1800. the Maclood Gazette carried an ac-See Straight count of a case in Ter nto where a man was found guilty of accoulting his misco. Under the caption "Lashing a Human Brube," which reveals how the person was perceived, the pa per noted that the prisener had been sentenced to twenty three months (one month short of the maximum allowable) a 1 fif'y ladies. 'wen'y five ladies were to be alministored one month after his arrith at mison, and the nomei ing twent fix a month before his close ho children in would be him on thing to a momber when be actioned to an ciel. Cimilarly, in 1997 a Chinaran' ( Fam'erra wag ser teneed to twenty four lashes during on the this three month term for a faulting a source of the theory of auch a sentence and corporation of a table "Constitute of the abit antown and diff dear fly he he as at i Hi to 11 his micels, it he had me . I his even to a 213 and the second I.

tence. In the fall of 1904, Sidney Humber aged fourteen years, nine months was sentenced to nine months imprisonment in the Victoria Gaol and twelve lashes for indecently assaulting a female. At the same time, a sixteen year; eleven month old youth was sentenced to one year imprisonment and twenty-four lashes.

In May 1905, a judge in Regina used arguments simflar to the ones expressed in the late 1860s and in the 1896 Kamloops' case when he sentenced a man who pleaded guilty to attempting to indepently assault a twelve year old girl a month earlier. The defence lawyer told the court that the accused, Joseph A. Stuart, had led a respectable and reputable life, and the lawyer blamed the attempted assault on the fact that his client had been drinking. Thus he asked for leniency. Arguing that in cases such as this a little corporal punishment was better than a long stay in prison. and wanting to make an example of Stuart, Judge Newlands sentended the prisoner to six months imprisonment and ten lashes " The sentences prescribed in several other cases of indecent assault indicate that Newland's approach of a relatively short prison term and some measure of corporal punishment was apparently held by other judges. In May 1010, a fif'y eight year old American miner was sentenced to c'enteer months with hard labor and ten lashes. 16 year later, John Hede received a six month term of confine mont with hard labour and four teen lashes for an indecent aggault conviction.

At other times, the courts relied on a term of im

(

prisonment and/or the option of a fine in cases of indecent assault. On September 29, 1893 a man charged with assaulting a woman was sentenced by a judge at Lillooet, B. C. to six weeks imprisonment with hard labour on \$10.00 plus court costs. He could not afford the fine so was sent to jail. On October 10 he escaped, but was recaptured ten days later. He escaped again on October 29 and voluntarily gave himself up on November 23. A week before Christmas he was discharged.48 Ten years later, a man named Thomas Gerhardt was sentenced to one year at hard labour for indecently assaulting a girl in Regina sometime during October 1904. In reporting on the proceedings of the Supreme Court with regards to this case, the Regina Leader noted that a stay in prison would give Gerhardt "ample time to reflect on the evils of his ways."49 A thirty year old 'Chinaman' was found guilty of indecently assaulting little girls and fined \$10.00 plus an additional \$10.00 in court costs in April 1905.<sup>50</sup> The next year, a man in Edmonton was fined \$30.50 or in default one month imprisonment with hard Fabour after he was found guilly of being drunk and molesting young girls on the streets. Harry Hatch was found guilty on a similar charge and was fined \$30.50 or two months imprisonment with hard labour. " In January 1911. K. Tanaka. a twenty-coven year old married Japanese tailor, was sentenced to one month imprisonment for a conviction of indecent assault. 52 All these cases covering the broad spectrum of sentencing poscibilition show that the provision of a maximum penalty in the written law door of necessarily mean that it will be hundred out

132

Lacking a specific legal definition under the Criminal Code, indecent assault on a female was one of the most misunderstood legal phrases used in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Legislators and "adjudicators perpeived it as the initial stage in a natural progression to the more serious forms of sexual assaults; namely attempted rape and rape itself. Under such rincumstances, the justice system was virtually forced to apply many of the same criteria used in these cases to cases of indecent as sault. Since indecent assault was a prelude to intercourse then such matters as where the girl was touched, the issue of consent, complaint made at the first reasonable opportun ity and corroboration were of importance. Thus, a charge and conviction for indecently assaulting a female was yet another way of protecting the chastity and purity of young girls who would, it was hoped, grow up to serve society as Christian wives, and mothers.

But this perpetual insistence that the desired end to every indecent assault was intercourse reveals a basic misunderstanding of pedophilia. Perhaps Canadians had no concept of the term as it has come to be known. Pedophilic acts such as fondling, looking and showing were not perceived as <u>faits complets</u> but as a means to an end. However, if the legislators and the adjudicators in the early period had thought in different terms, then new light might have been shed on several indecent assault cases (which were totally cases of child molesting) which appeared before the yourth in Western Canada.
Ostensibly, the indecent assault category was an attempt in law to protect young girls from sexual encounters with males in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century. Every sexual assault committed except those which could be classified as cases of bona fide rape, attempted rape, carnal knowledge, attempted carnal knowledge and incest were placed under the general rubric of indecent assault on a But at a time when chastity was valued above life female. itself, every type of sexual activity directed towards females, including indecent assault, could only be viewed as eventually ending in sexual relations. Thus there can be little doubt that the classification of indecent assault in such a way represented another conscious effort by the legislators of the law and its implementors to protect and preserve female chastity

## FOOTNOTES.

. . . 135

<sup>1</sup>Canada, <u>Acts of the Parliament of the Dominion</u> <u>of Canada Relating to Criminal Läw</u> (Ottawa: Queen's Printer, 1887), Section 41. Prior to the separation of the two offences there were convictions for assault with intent to rape. For example, a man in British Columbia was sentenced. to two years imprisonment on May 16, 1887 for such an offence. "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1888," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXIII (1890), Paper 6a, Table VII, "Pardons and Commutations," n. p. Then on July 27, 1888 a seventeen year old man was sentenced to four years at the New Westminster Penitentiary for a similar offence. "Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1890," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. 24 (1891), Paper 6g, Table VII, "Pardons and Commutations," n. p.

<sup>2</sup>Canada, <u>Statutes of Canada</u> (1890), c. 37, s. 12.

<sup>3</sup>Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 10 April 1890, p. 3181.

<sup>4</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), s. 259.

<sup>5</sup>Criminal Code Amendment Act (1909), Canada, <u>Statutes</u> of <u>Canada</u> (1909), c. 9, s. 2.

<sup>6</sup>A. Gigeroff, <u>Sexual Deviations in the Criminal Law</u>, <u>Homosexual, Exhibitionistic and Pedophilic Offences</u> (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1968), p. 63.

<sup>7</sup>Provincial Archives of British Columbia (hereafter PABC), Attorney General Papers, B. C. Provincial Police, Vancouver-Westminster Police Division, Reports received at Frovincial Police office with notes of instruction, Vol. 1 (16 June 1899 7 December 1905), p. 178.

<sup>8</sup>Ibid., Miscellaneous Reports (1892-1942), Vol. 3, Regarding R. v. Hamilton, indecent assault (1906).

District Court Records (hereafter DCR), Criminal (Calgary), File #13, Case: R. v. G. Van (1909), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>10</sup>Supreme Court Pecords (hereafter SCR), Criminal

(Calgary), File #101, Case: R. v. J. Forsyth (1912), indecent assault on a female.

11 DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #196, Case: R. v. E. Fitzpatrick (1913), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>12</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1113, Case: R. v. H. Bruns (1915), indecent assault on a female. The accused stated that the girl came on to him so he played along.

<sup>13</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #697, Case: R. v. A. Orr (1917), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>14</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, File #962, Case: R. v. P. Boltara (1920), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>15</sup>Ibid., File #627, Case: R. v. F. Hall (1916), indecent assault on a female.

16<u>íbid</u>., File #846, Case: R. v. J. Lapier (1919); indecent assault on a female.

17 Ibid., File #689, Case: R. v. P. Demerie (1917), entering the premises with the intent to commit an indecent assault.

<sup>18</sup>Ibid., File #942, Case: R. v. J. Levine and L. Blank (1920), indecent assault on a female.

19"Report of Superintendent G. Sanders, Commanding E Division, Calgary, for the year ending 1901," in "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending December 1901," Canada, <u>Sessional</u> Papers, Vol. XXXVT (1902), Paper 28, p. 65.

20 "Report of Superintendent G. Sanders, Commanding E Division, Calgary, for the year ending 1902," in "The Annual Report of the Commissioner of the North West Mounted Police for the year ending December 1902," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u> (1903). Paper 28, p. 37.

<sup>21</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), Filo #702, Case: R. v Lane (1917), attempted rape.

22"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1903," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, 101 XXXVII (1004), Faper 110 pp. 22-25, <sup>23</sup>DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #156, Case: R. v. D. Shaw (1912), indecent assault with intent to rape.

<sup>24</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #183, Case: R. v M. Tustanowski (1913), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>25</sup>Ibid., File #325, Case: R. v. R. Whillams (1914) indecent assault on a female.

<sup>26</sup>R. v. Chin Chong, <u>B. C. Law Reports</u>, Vol. 29 (1919-1921), p. 530.

<sup>27</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #72, Case: R. y. C. Torguson (1911-1912), carnal knowledge.

<sup>28</sup>Ibid<sup>1</sup>, File #228, Case: R. v. J. Cameron (1913), attempted rape on his daughter.

<sup>29</sup>Ibid., Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1176, Case: R. v. T. Fairburn (1915), carnal knowledge.

30 Ibid., Criminal (Calgary), File #631, Case: R. v. J. Goodman (1916), incest and indecent assault.

<sup>31</sup>DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #117, Case: R. v. G. Weaver (1910), indecent sault on a female. The accused received a suspended sentence.

<sup>32</sup>"An Act Respecting Witnesses and Evidence," <u>Canada Evidence Act</u> (1892-93), As. 25.

<sup>33</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), s. 285, later s. 1003.

<sup>34</sup>R. v. Whistnant, 20 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u>. p. 322 and 5 <u>Alberta Law Reports</u>, p. 211.

<sup>35</sup>R. v. McInulty. 10 B. C. Law Reports (1013 1914), pp. 100 113.

<sup>36</sup>R. v. McGivney, 5 <u>Western Weekly Reports</u> (October 1913 - March 1914), pp. 1181-1188

37. Committed For Trial," Regina Leader, 19 May 1898, p. 8 and Hiscox Cleared," Regina Leader, 23 June 1898, p. 1. ·137

<sup>38</sup>R. v. McGivney, pp. 1181÷1188.

<sup>99</sup>R. v. Chin Chong, pp. 527-530.

<sup>40</sup> The following cases serve as but a few examples. William Bastlett received a one year term of confinement and twenty-four lashes in October 1904. PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, List of Prisoners under sentence in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 16 (January 1902 -October 1910), n. p. A. Macie was sentenced to six months imprisonment on July 8, 1910. <u>Ibid</u>., Vol. 17 (November 1910-1914), n. p. John Hede received a six month term with hard labour and fourteen lashes in May 1911. <u>Ibid</u>., List of Prisoners under sentence in Nanaimo Gaol, Vol. 2 (February 1911 - August 1914), p. 9. Grant Smith was sentenced to three months imprisonment with hard labour on March 14, 1918 for common assault after he was found not guilty of indecent assault. DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #307, Case: R. v. G. Smith (1918), indecent assault on a female.

<sup>41</sup>See Gigeroff, <u>Sexual Deviations in the Criminal</u> <u>Law</u>, for a discussion of the debates. p. 65.

42"Lashing a Human Brute," Macleod <u>Gazette</u>, 1 May 1890.

<sup>43</sup>PABC, Newspaper Clippings, File #1, Crimes and Criminals, "Jail and 72 Lashes Stemmed Crime Wave," Clipping dated 16 March 1932.

<sup>44</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Victoria Gaol, Record and description list of all prisoners received in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 12 (November 1890 - February 1910), p. 95.

45"Gets Six Months and Ten Lashes. Perina Leader. 10 May 1905, p. 3.

<sup>46</sup> Arnold Robinson, 58 years old, miner, married, attempted rape, indecent assault, sentenced to eighteen months and ten lashes. PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol Record of Stironover, Vol. 1 (November 1893 - February 1911), r. 9.

p. 0.

Ibid. Vel. 2 (February 1911 August 1910)

<sup>48</sup><u>Ibid</u>., B. C. Provincial Court (Lillooet), Charge and Sentence Book (29 September 1893 - 7 November 1902), Vol. 2, n. p.

49 "Supreme Court Proceedings" Regina Leader, 23 November 1904, p. 1.

<sup>50</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1 (November 1893 - February 1911), p. 95.

<sup>51</sup>"Police Court News," Edmonton <u>Journal</u>, 30 April 1906, p. 9.

<sup>52</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1 (November 1893 February 1911), p. 122.

#### CHAPTER V

#### 'THE ABOMINABLE OPIME OF BUGGEPY'

The linking of marriage, sex and procreation into a shrine-like institution by Canadians in the late nine teenth and early twentieth century drew a rigid line be tween acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour for beth man and women. Advice manuals sugg sted that acceptable sexual activity or inatural series contined to the marriage bed, and could ned solely for the purpose of progleation. Conversely, those acts which were nonprogrative in intent such as masturbation, oral sey, anal sey some sex contact and even marital sex with no intention to proagate, were by definition, classified as unnatural ser. Although all these acts generated varying degrees of hos tility, both in terms of the writt a law and public pronouncements, the most une tural of all the forme of un o aptelle se unt tele ing ha 'l' a minelle it i i 'ng FORM SITE 1 (a) A state of the second state of the seco ·· · · · · Stop & Stop 1 - 1

Du to legal and attituition or the job or terms alog , the world buggers only done were such into the ably therefor to one of 11 of the foll orgenetic the anal juttheourse being world publication is more than p into expression of the constration of a second second or the terms of the constration of the second second

-1 = +

whatever term was used, Canadians, and Western Canadians were no exception. viewed the perpetrators of such acts · as sinners, criminals and perverts; persons to be hated, feared and prosecuted, while the act itself was an abomination against Ged, the details of which were unfit for publication. But such attitudes were not new. They merely solidified in the last decade of the nineteenth century as the need to distinguish between acceptable and unacceptaable sexual behaviour become more intense in the Western Canadian experience.

Of the three acts, that is beterosexual anal inter course, homoseyual intercourse and sex with animals, adjudiostore, legislators and the public expressed the most con com over sexual contact between males. In fact, it was concrubly assumed that all sexual encounters between males. chort of anal juter ourse, were merely the preliminary ac tivities before the perverse firal act. Puring the 1800s and 1000s Canadians in the Dest. Indeed throughout the cour try. apparently had no error to come inform the or th life yle why the test to a stor of 51.1 m in there . :,

in our plot derived and that the threater dimension
in our product is the plot of the derived and the second of the dimension of the dim

141

the Executive Council that she suspected there were "queor doings" going on. At a time when the legal Wenalty for each an offener war doath. Markland was not even criminally recould ' Two decades later, Father Clement Frachon. a priset for the Diocere 'f London and President of Assumption College in Windon, Ortatio was allegedly caucht for havin time the Windon, Ortatio was allegedly caucht for havin time the Windon, Ortatio was allegedly caucht for havin time the Students at the school same time the 1850-1860 semester. And the Marquest of forms who could as Canada's Coverner Gener 1 from 10 8 to 1983 was cait to have had sevent protection to other the time trade. 142

 $\hat{\phantom{a}}$ 

not it mu one harroning tored the identity of the homen well as a sinful immoral and private versor is it winth at the rubble and adjudgentors in Western Canada. it was the replice publicity which supremuch the triple of the replice but my the mid 180 s in tenden. Such and although the weids begavery and solony such althous dist obsection. The itertities is of a governed with some will obsection. The itertities is of a governed with some will and section the itertities is of a governed with some will be and the itertities is of a governed with some will be section. The itertities is of a governed with some will be solved at the itertities is of a governed with some will be the solved of the solved itertion will be a solved by the itertities is a solved by the solved by the solved by the best solved by a solved by the solved by the solved by the best solved by a solved by the iteration of the solved by the solved

(a) A set of the state of th

١£

escapades with young men permeated Victorian social circles, people dismissed them as the pose of the aesthete, rather than the actual practise of the writer. One person however, was not willing to dismiss Wilde's idiosyncrasies. The Marquess of Queensherry disliked Wilde's friendship with his son. Lord Alfred Douglas. When Douglas refused to end his association with Wilde, his father left a card addressed "To Oscar Wilde posing as a somdomite. (sic)" at Wilde's social club on February 18, 1895.<sup>5</sup> After Wilde received the card, he consulted with his lawyers and decided to press charges against Douglas' father for criminal. libel <sup>6</sup> The cusuing trials which stemmed from this action did much to forge the identity of the homosexual in the minds.

The trial against the Marquess began on April 3, 1895. When questioned about letters he had written to Fonglas. Wilde defended them as examples of his extraordinary liferary talents. And then counsel for the defere ecked Wilde thether he had ever bissed a certain male struct of the Fonglas household. Wilde replied that he balked he and the bay way uply. He did not mention the balked he are the bay way uply. He did not mention the balked he are the bay way uply. He did not mention the bar of error of reason for not having kissed him. In his minimal with against the Marquess, Wilde had become the latendark. As a result of the damaging evidence against wilde. More the term Colling for and the Marquess of Queens the balk of entited like her he damaging wilde had in fact and guilty of estimated like her wilde had in fact

few here the second contraction (Although

Judge Collins had noted that Wilde had posed as a sodomite, he was not charged with sodomy or attempted sodomy. He was charged under section 11 of the 1885 Criminal Law Amendment Act which dealt with gross indecencies committed in public or private. In effect, this section defined all male homosexual encounters short of anal intercourse as illegal acts of gross indecency. A conviction for such a charge carried the possibility of two years imprisonment with hard labour.<sup>8</sup>

The trial against Wilde began on April 26, 1805. Once again Wilde was questioned about his association with Lord Alfred Douglas. The prosecution even attempted to get Wilde to admit that the phrase "the Love that dare not speak its name" which appeared in a poem written by Douglas was reference to unnatural love between man; Wilde discounted such claims. Although Wilde was found not guilty on several counts of the charge, the jury could not agree on the verdict in other counts. As a result. Wilde was cut in ted to is! another trial to hear the durg regainst him

The final trial encoded on May 20. The presention discussed the same issues and used a civilar line of quartioning which had be a displayed in the earlier trials After a few hours of deliberation the inty found Wilde suilty and a section 11 of the Griminal Ion Ame dment del When take Wells sentenced Wilde to the maximum is ris t used for modeline with herd late  $r = e^{-t}$  for t = 1

things must be dead to all sense of shame, and one cannot hope to produce any effect upon them. It is the worst case I have ever tried."<sup>10</sup> What had begun as a simple attempt to clear his name in April 1895 resulted in a prison term for Oscar Wilde by May of the same year.

The result in Canada as elsewhere was that the sodomite was identified with Oscar Wilde and vise versa. Three years after the celebrated trials, C. S. Clark insinuated in his work Of Toronto the Good, A Social Study, The Queen City as It Is that there were several Oscar Wilde types to be found in Canada. An eighteen year old boy had told Clark that he had blackmailed a member of Canada's judiciary after he had caught the judge in a compromising position with a bellboy at a hotel. Another boy told him about two merchants in Toronto who had reputations for accosting members of their own sex in order to have improper relations with them. Clark also noted that some of Canada's more prominent members of society could be implicated much like Oscar Wilde if the bellboys at the various hotels throughout the country chose to tell the rublic what they knew. Once again, the concern on such mattern was related to specific acts of same sex contact rather than the overall concept of sexual preference.

A pre-World War case in Saskatchewan reveals many of the same opinions found in Clerk's brief account of the Oscar Wilds phenomenon in Canada. It seems that on May 13, 1912 Dr. A. F. Kelly of Swift Current wrote the Attorney Concol of Convertebourg in Province or enound the alloged 145

and the second second

immoral conduct of an unnamed doctor in the town. Subsequent to these letters the doctor's name was revealed as being C. McArthur. Supposedly as early as 1910 McArthur's partner had warned the medical council not to permit this man to become a doctor as he had practiced some rather peculiar sexual habits. Several people claimed that he was "an Oscar Wilde" and had a revolting yet unnamed sexual habit with women. One woman told her husband that McArthur was known to drug women who came to him for medical examinations, and they could not attest to what happened while they were under the influence of the drugs. 12 Less than a year later Kelly wrote the Attorney General on the same . matter. This time he referred to a certain doctor (later identified as McArthur) as being a sex pervert. He also noted that several young men had informed him "they have had to make this man keep straight as he would try to get hold of their genitals."<sup>13</sup> But it was somewhat difficult for the Attorney General to take action on the information in Kolly's letters because he did not identify the mysterious doctor in print. However, the contents of the letters serve as examples of one mon's attibude towards so called sexual perversions.

But perhaps the most interesting aspect of Kelly's complaint is the fact that as a medical doctor Kelly dis played a lack of knowledge of late nineteenth and early twentieth century scholarly works on some set attraction which appeared in Europe, primarily in Germany. These

homosexuality and the homosexual rather than the sinful and immoral aspects of sodomy and the sodomite. As early as the 1860s a German lawyer Karl Heinrich Ulrichs, a professed homosexual, popularized the theory of what he classified as the 'third sex.' According to Ulrichs, the male homosexual had been borg with the soul of a female in a masculine body while the reverse was true for their female counterpart. Such people constituted the 'third sex. And since it was natural for them to be attracted to members of their own sex, he argued that the legal category of unnatural act or offence was incorrect. But Ulrichs' theory was based upon a misconception. He assumed that a man could not love another man unless he had a feminine soul. Similarly, a woman could not love another woman unless she possessed a masculine soul. Such assumptions, as one of Ulrichs' critics was to point out, merely begged the question of same sex love. 14

Although the basic premise of Ulrichs' theory, the congenital nature of homosexuality, would later appear in the works of other secologists (most notably Havelock Ellis' <u>Sexual Inversion</u>, first published in 1898), the popularity of the 'third sex' idea was relatively short-lived. By 1879 it was being replaced by the medical model of homosexuality of which another German, Dr. Richard Von Krafft-Ebing, was one of the leading exponents. Having read Ulrichs' works in 1866, Krafft-Ebing was well acquainted with his theory. In fact, he credited Ulrichs with having drawn his attention to the homosexual phenomenon.<sup>15</sup> But Krafft-

Ebing did not confine himself solely to the study of same sex love, choosing instead to include it in his general work on sexual aberration where he expounded a medical approach. Published in 1886, Psychopathia Sexualis was a col-

laction of case histories of what Krafft-Ebing classified as sexual abnormalities. Since he considered heterosexual intercourse for the purpose of propagation to be natural and normal, all sexual activities which were nonprocreative in intent were by definition unnatural and abnormal. Thus cunnilingus, fellatio, self or mutal masturbation, and of course homosexual encounters were considered abnormal sexual activities. Although he referred to the congenital theory of homosexuality in certain cases, Krafft-Ebing concluded that sexual perversion had its basis in some form () f physical and/or mental disease. In fact, he specifically. attributed homosexuality to a breakdown, possibly a hereditary defect, in the central nervous system. Thus homosexuality was no longer the by-product of sin and immorality but the consequence of some pathological illness. 16

If and when Canadians were discussed in European or British studies on sexual matters, it was usually in terms of sex education in Canada or the apparently declining bigthrate of English Canadians.<sup>17</sup> No mention was made of homosexuality in Canada. Even one of the earliest studies on homosexuality in North America barely referred to the Canadian experience. Written by Edward Prime Stepenson under the pseudonym Yavier Mayne and published in 1908, <u>The</u> Interseves: A History of Similiserualism as a Problem in So-

<u>cial Life</u>, contained case histories of several homosexuals, both men and women. Stevenson had to obtain a physician's approval before he was allowed to read any European works on homosexuality, and then he encountered severe difficulties when he tried to get his book published in the United States. Eventually he had to get it published in Italy. But despite such drawbacks, Stevenson was able to conclude:

> of similisexual intercourse in the United States of America and in Canada, no possible doubt can exist, if the intelligent observen has resided there and has moved about in various social grades and circles of the larger cities. 18

Apparently Dr. Kelly's ignorance on such matters was not an isolated phenomenon. In fact, if the Canadian medical profession was aware of the theor and works of Ulrichs, Krafft-Ebing, Stevenson and other sexologists, its membership did not take the time to comment on them in the medical journals. Generally speaking, those articles dealing with sexual matters which appeared in the <u>Canadian Lancet</u>, <u>Canadian Medical Association Journal</u> and <u>Canadian</u> Journal of Public Health, concentrated on birth control and abortion or the symptoms and treatment of syphilis.<sup>19</sup>

Even late nineteenth and early twentieth century Canadian sexual advice manuals, which were usually American publications or Canadian editions of the American counterparts, did not pay particular attention to the same sex or simili-

sexual phenomena. And much like the articles which appeared in the medical journals, these works extolled the virtues of sexual restraint, marriage and propreative sex as well

as the evils of masturbation. Homosexual activity was not singled outper examination but was classified as an example of a dangerous sexual perversion which was synonymous with all forms of nonprocreative sex. B. G. Jefferies and J. L. Nicholas, approx of Canada's <u>Household Guide</u> (1894) and <u>Searchlights on Health: Light on Dark Corners, Applete Sexual Science and Guide to Purity and Physical M hood (1897) believed that all sexual perversions were actually "diseases of the will" and should not be regarded from a religious or moral standpoint. Therefore, the only way to overcome these abnormal sexual desires was through the "power of suggestion, right living, and inspirational occupation."<sup>20</sup></u>

However, it would appear that neither Canadian social nor medical attitudes towards homosexuality and the homosexual in the 1890s and the 1900s were greatly influenced or altered by scholarly works on the subject. It was the trials of Oscar Wilde which adversely cemented the homosexual identity in the minds of Canadians. Male same sex attraction was synonymous with the sodomite and the act of sodomy. Although this clarification was certainly true in terms of societal perceptions, the criminal law and criminal justice system in Canada still suffered from the legal confusion over terminology which had its origin in the English common law. The written law continued to place homosexual encounters and sex with animals under the general rubric of the ill defined words, buggery and solomi. And only very

1.50

## records.

The first statute which dealt with buggery in terms of secular law appeared in the 1530s during the reign of Henry VIII. Prior to that time, matters of sex and morality were under the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts which had succeeded in broadening the pre-Christian concern over unnatural offences (including buggery) to include a punishment inflicted by God on those people who engaged in such activities. But according to the statute of 1533 "the detestable and abominable vice of buggery committed with mankind or beast" was made a felony, punishable by death, and loss of property; the offender was also to be denied benefit of clergy.<sup>21</sup>

Originally this statute was to be a temporary measure lasting until the final day of the next Parliament, but in 1540 it became perpetual. Eight years later (1548) under Edward VI's rule the penalties for the offence were reduced so that the property of the offender was no longer affected. In 1553 Mary I abolished all of those offences which had been made felonies since April 21, 1509 (the first day of the reign of Henry VIII). Then in 1563 Elizabeth I revived in full the 1533 statute because its repeal had apparently led to an increase in the incidence of buggery throughout. the realm.<sup>22</sup>

But what exactly was 'the detestable and abominable vice of buggery committed with mankind or beast'? The confusion over the legal definition of the term can be traced to the early seventeenth century when Sir Edward Coke, who 1.5

had been the Chief Justice of the King's Bench, wrote the third part of <u>Institutes of the Laws of England</u>. In the work, he used the words buggery and sodomy interchangeably as the title of Chapter 10, 'Of Buggery, or Sodomy' would seem to suggest, but in the chapter he defined buggery as:

> a detestable, and abominable sin, amongst christians not to be named; committed by carnal knowledge against the ordinance of the Creator, and order of nature, by mankind with mankind, or with brute beast, or by womankind with brute beast.

This was somewhat different than the intent of the original statute in 1533 which appeared to include acts of hetero sexual anal intercourse. However, a complete reading of Coke's chapter reveals that in his mind the word 'mankind' referred specifically to males and did not include females. Thus, in one sense of the term buggery could be committed by a male person with another male or with an animal, and in another sense it could be committed by a female with a 'brute brast.' Thus anal intercourse between male and female did int form aux part of Take's interpretation of bug gery.<sup>23</sup>

Other English legal writers referred to buggery in a variety of ways. In his work, The History of the Pleas of the Crown, Sir Mathew Hale school the sentiments of Coke.<sup>24</sup> William Hawkins replaced the word buggery with sodomy and wrote that "All unnatural catual corpulations, whether with man or beast, seem to come under the upfi of Sodom: in his bool. A Treatice of the Pleas of the Crown of The William Hawkets contact identepped the

problem of definition in the Commentaries on the Laws of . England by not using either term. As far as he was concerned it was "the infamous crime against nature, committed either with man or beast."<sup>26</sup> In the early nineteenth century Edward East used the word sodomy in his Treatise of the Pleas of the Crown, and defined it as "Carnal knowledge committed against the order of nature, by man with man, or in the same unnatural manner with woman, or by a man or woman in any manner with beast." 27 And in 1819 William Russell quoted East's definition verbatim in A Treatise on Crimes and Indictable Offences. 28 Thus since the passage of the original statute the definition of buggery could or could not include acts of heterosexual anal intercourse. Interestingly, all the writers ranging from Coke to Russell referred to sexual acts with animals as forming part of their definition of huggery

The confusion implanted by the English writers car ried over to the Canadian setting. According to the first Canadian statute dealing with buggery passed in 1860, the chims of buggery could be committed with mankind or animal, This was similar to the definition found in the Offences Against the Person Act passed eight years earlier in Englend. On although the terminology was the same, the pen alties were not. Anyone found guilty of committing buggery on England was liable to life imprisonment or a minimum of ten years in confinement. <sup>30</sup> In Conada, the maximum term of imprisonment on the same but the minimum was subplantially

reduced to two years.<sup>31</sup> Then in 1886 the Canadian proviso for a minimum sentence was dropped.<sup>32</sup> But despite the differences in punishment, the English act and Canadian statute used the word buggery as the inclusive term - that is, with mankind or animal. However, when G. W. Burbidge's <u>Digest</u> of the Criminal Law of Canada appeared in 1890, the word sodomy was used as the inclusive term. This is not surprising as Burbidge based his work on Sir James Stephen's Digest of the Criminal Law published in 1876. Following in the tradition of Hawkins, East and Pussell, Stephen defined sodomy as carpal knowledge by a man with enother male of woman per anum, or carnal knowledge of an animal. What

Total confusion accompanied the relevant statutes in the 1°C? Criminal Code. Contained under the general coegory of "ffences Against Morality' and placeified as an "muchurel offence;" the Cole returned to Coke a dual worth usage of huggery and sodemy. In the mervers west total scribe counal knowledge of the a toman being or with any other tivit constraine per anyments computed the cole of forced to the more sections offers the total propheting the set as huggery. "While an attempt to prophete the offence was equal level to be an attempt to prophete the offence was equal level to be an attempt to prophete the could be charged with an inclusion. The accuse the could be charged with the indictment work inclusion. The accuse the order of indicate the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the accuse the could be charged with the form of the indictment work inclusion of the indict of the could be charged with the form of the indict of the

berta case objected to the wording in a complaint laid against his client because it was not sufficiently specific. Alex Sowayak was charged with committing "buggery either with a human being or any other living creature" on November 5. 1920. Upon reading the depositions, it becomes obvious that it was a case of buggery with a mare or, to be more specific, a case of bestiality. However, this particular aspect of the offence had not appeared in the charge and thus the defence objected.<sup>37</sup> And although a down was dropped from explicit wort usage in the Code by 1920, and buggery was the inclusive and exclusive term, the index to the Code reuea's that sodomy and buggert were still cross to ferenced 38 the term bestiality does not appear.

The criminal justice system in Canada and Western Canada clearly reflected the inconsistencies of definition found in the written law. At times buggery was used as the inclusion form and at other times sodomy was used If ref erence was being made to carrai knowledge sight an animal. the particular mimal night ' mention I or it might simply he referred to as beclinitity. Do pite the fact that it one nervy log its refined there sure a number of instances who o the and heatially as used to dog the the offency put with an it's offerer we should taked on burgery with and a simily of a long with role, for all male, f Reactal three to the terminal for antible to an " imal into the state of numerical states of constitues 1 12 a contraction of the provide t . . .

155

of buggery" with a cow which in the strict sense of terminology actually constituted bestiality.<sup>39</sup> Fortunately, the gaol report in this particular case noted that some type of animal was involved; otherwise the true nature of the cf. fence would be lost if it was merely listed as buggery <sup>10</sup> For the most part, however, the reports do not provide such information and are content to use buggery and to a lesson extent sodemy as the descriptive terms. Even the eriminal statistics compiled by the federal government according to judicial districts are of little help because the offences are placed under the general category of 'Sodomy and Beatt whity.''l

ine can in] spiculate as to whother the Western Consider setting in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century resided a more fortile environment for unnatural sor What was the reality is the longing and mining out munitive, the chillen' and and the throughing gauge" Ire Rition 11, bistorians is a small that the pred mi out. directe also a lation. I was a much a part of the Vest in fourther exposite some fored their secol frastin tion the on the point of the priorities on Despite the rest Has the sent of the the brokenets and have set with and mo i into in the their constance ( 1110 and the word has the only mapped of A Star this is all any the body as police is and the second second and prove and and end the strate in 1 d c F' all 'e

commit these offences were processed through the criminal justice system in Western Canada from 1890 to 1920.

In terms of the written law, some of the provisions used in cases of serious sexual assaults against females (rape and carnal knowledge) can be found in cases involving unnatural sex. For example, it was widely thought that no one under the age of fourteen could commit rape or buggery. In fact, a conviction of an eleven year old boy for committing an 'unnatural act' on a seven year old boy was overturned by a Hova Scotia appeal court in 1898 because the accused was supposedly incapable of committing the act. 44 Legislation passed in 1841 stipulated that in cases of buggery, rape and carnal knowledge of young girls there was no need to prove emission of seed to constitute the act of car Fronf of penetration to the slightest degree nal knowledge was all that was necessary "But unlike rape and carnal knewledge of females under fourteen where consent or lack of it was a ligger, it was of no importance in cases of buggery. In fact, even consenting married adults who engaged in anal intercause was lighte to prosecution under the strict sense of the low, 46 abviously. the issue of consent was irrelevant in where mimals were involved?

Wholeas frimen were expected to raise a 'hue and ery' immediately after they had been assaulted, the situation was somewhat different in buggery cases. Since consent was inthe sequential it ratually followed there was no need to tist a multiple of the find reasonable opportunity.<sup>47</sup>

157

Entrething and the second of the second

male, were consenting parties to the act, then it was unlikely that they would report themselves to the authorities. Even if a wife did not consent, she would not press charges against her husband. Implicit in the marriage contract was the idea that once married a woman was subject to her hus-"band's sexual whims. As in the case of consenting adults, it is hard to imagine a person who indulged in bestiality turning himself or herself over to the police.

Charges of this nature, whether they were classi fied as buggery, sodomy or bestiality, were usually laid after someone had seen the person or persons involved com mitting the offence and then reported it. A case heard be fore the Wetaskiwin division of the Alberta Supreme Court serves as a good example of this phenomenon. At that time John Harrington was charged with attempted buggery (which carried a maximum penalty of ten years imprisonment)<sup>48</sup> an eleven year old byy at Provest on December 31, 1914.

Surposedly the accused had given the boy security fire couts and pushed him into a water close! local dihind a 'ail r shop where by had attempted to commit the offence. One witness, Frack Washburn, fold the court that he saw the accused grab the boy and puch him into the closet He further stated that he beard, the by crying and offer talking to Educard Franson, another sitness, went is get the police. When the police countable, A. F. Prover arrived he did not hear out mise as he are a shell to close to H acbe opend the to rand tool the heart of the police.

and the second second

ficer's own words, "I saw the penis of the prisoner and also put my hand there to make sure and found that it was erect." 49

A similar set of circumstances can be found in a 1920 Alberta case involving bestiality. On December 18, 1920 a complaint was laid by Constable P. W. Rawson of the Alberta Provincial Police at Leduc against Alex Sowayak, charging him with committing bestiality a month earlier. This charge was issued after Frederick Hook of Calmar told the police what he had seen on the evening of November 5, 1920. Hook said he went by the house of the accused and saw him in the barn, standing on a box, having carnal knowledge with a horse. When he confronted the accused, Sowayak denied the act. Although Hook told a number of people what had happened, he did up' go to the authorities until a week later after these people told him his silence in the matter was foolish. If Hook had not happened into the barn during the time the offence was allegedly committed. Sowayak's actions would have gone undetected and no charge would have been made

In one particular case in British Columbia the police went so far as to place themselves in the position of heing the third party witnessing the offence. As a result of such an action, Delip Singh was charged with attempting to commit buggery on a fifteen year old boy on July 20, 1918. The facts of the case were as follows. Frior to the charge being laid the accused had asked the boy to go for rides with him on a couple of occasions. Apparently the boy became structure of the accused's intentions and told his follow. He then much to see the police and told them what

and the second se

he and his son suspected. The police encouraged the boy to see him one more time. Instead of going for a drive they went to the stable owned by the boy's father where, unbeknown to the accused, two policemen were hiding in the loft. Once there the accused suggested unnatural sex which amounted to buggery, gave him fifteen cents, spread out a blanket, told him what to do, unbuttoned his pants (the accused's) and grasped the boy. At that moment the policemen grabbed Delip Singh and found that he had an erection. They immediately charged him with an attempt to commit buggery. He was subsequently convicted on October 24, 1918 by County Court Judge Cayley. When the appeal case was heard in November 🐲 1918 at Vancouver, counsel for the defence contended that the police had trapped his client. However this did not form the basis of the appeal. Instead the lawyer alleged that up until the time the police revealed themselves, the actions of the accused merely constituted 'preparations' and not an attempt to commit the offence. The five judges bear ing the appeal unanimously believed that an attempt had been made to commit buggery. As a result, the appeal court sus tained the conviction. 51

160

For the most part, it is difficult to obtain any factual information about this type of offence. There are very few cases of buggery and bestiality contained in the law reports. This is even more true when one comes to av amine the reports from 1800 to 1020 in Western Consta In fact the Dolir Given and of 1018 is the only one to arreau to rebuilded from the are distingent the obtained arteau

times the vital statistics of the offender are available from other sources no further information is given. Most of the cases and the factual data surrounding them are locked in the unpublished and as yet uncatalogued criminal court cases processed through the various provincial Supreme and District courts. But even this source is by no means complete. The file might only contain the name of the accused, the complainant; the nature of the offence and the date it was allegedly committed. Such information was no better than what was provided in the annual reports of the federal and provincial police, the gaol and penitentiary reports, or procedure books.

Attempts to cross-reference the cases mentioned in the legal sources with reports in the Western Canadian newspapers are for the most part futile. The papers displayed an aversion to publishing detailed accounts or even mentioning cases which apparently involved any form of unnatural sexual activity. When one does find an account in the media it reinforces the idea that such encounters were considered to be 'detestable and abominable.' For example, the Medicine Hat Weekly Times of May 23, 1895 had front page coverage of a case in Regina where three men appeared before Inspector. Starnes and Justice of the Peace Henry Le Jeune on charges of committing sexual acts "of an unnatural character." Under the cartion "HOBRIBLE TRIME AT REGINA: Arrest of Prominent" Citizens in Connection with a Most Revolting Offence," the paper reported that one of the men was a prominent member of cociety and a manager of a large dry goods, grocery and

161

and the second states and the second s

wholesale liquor firm in Regina. Once again, the information in this case was obtained from two boys who allegedly witnessed the offence through a basement window.<sup>52</sup> And in another instance, the August 9, 1906 issue of the Edmonton <u>Journal</u> " noted that two men had been charged "with heinous offences of character unfit for publication." In fact, the courts were closed for the hearings of the case.<sup>53</sup>

But whether the offence was called buggery, sodomy or bestiality it is evident that in the eyes of the public and the written law, homosexual encounters and sex with animals were nauseating and repulsive acts committed against God, nature and society while the offender was a horrific sinner and criminal. However, despite such notions, the available information suggests that the judges rarely resorted to the maximum penalty of life imprisonment for buggery or the ten year maximum for attempted buggery. During the late nineteenth and early twentieth century the penalties for a buggery/sodomy conviction ranged from shorter sentences of six, twelve, eighteen and twenty months to longer sentences of two. three, five, six, ten and fifteen years.<sup>54</sup> In one instance, a fifteen year sentence with hard labour was imposed on two single men in British Columbia, aged twenty-eight and twenty, who were found guilty of buggery on June 29, 1891. 55 Another case in the same year saw a twenty-five year old and a thirty-five year old man sen-"tenced to fifteen years imprisonment after being found guil ty of committing sodomy by the presiding judge at the Novem her 23, 1801 sitting of the Assizes court at Victoria.

When the offence was specifically referred to as bestiality, the courts still appeared to be reluctant to rand out the maximum penalty under the law. On February 7, 1898 for example, Charles Harris was sentenced to five years imprisonment by Judge Spinks at Kamloops for bestiality. 57 During World War One, a Russian man was sentenced to two years and three months with hard abour by a judge at Fort St. George for a similar offence <sup>58</sup> Despite the evidence of an eye witness in the Alex Sowayak case involving a charge of bestiality, Sowayak was found not guilty by Justice Scott of Wetaskiwin district of the Alberta Supreme Court on February 14, 1921.<sup>59</sup>

Although the law made no provision for whipping to be part of the punishment for a buggery/sodomy or bestiality conviction, a dose of the lash accompanied a term of confinement on at least one occasion. On June 6, 1912 Allan Wilson was sentenced to three years imprisonment plus twelve lashes by a judge at New Westminster. Wilson's crime was referred to as buggery in a list of prisoners confined in the New Westminster Gaol.<sup>60</sup> However, the record keeping in this case and in others is somewhat suspect. For example, a conviction for the undefined act of gross indecency or indecent assault on a male carried the liability of being whipped.<sup>61</sup> Therefore it is quite possible that Wilson's crime was in actual fact one of these two particular offences, but was mistakenly recorded as buggery.

At times, people charged with buggery/sodomy were convicted of attempted buggery/sodomy which carried a lesser penalty.<sup>62</sup> For example, Henry Crannan was charged with committing buggery with another male at Banff, Alberta on April 15, 1908. Although the evidence suggests that the offence was completed in toto, the presiding judge at the June 2, 1908 sitting of the Calgary district court found Crannan guilty of attempted buggery and sentenced him to three years.<sup>6</sup> A few years later Robert Wilson was charged with sodomy but was found guilty of attempted sodomy. He was sentenced to six months with hard labour for his crime.<sup>64</sup>

A similar range of sentences is evident in those cases where a person was originally charged and subsequently convicted for attempted sodomy/buggery. Shorter sentences, ranged from three, six, nine and twelve months with hard labour while longer terms of imprisonment included two, three, five and the maximum of ten years.<sup>65</sup> In one particular case heard in January 1898, the defendant pleaded guilty to a charge of attempted buggery at Fairview, British Columbia. A judge sentenced him to five years imprisonment. Although he had admitted his guilt, the maximum penalty was not given. 66 However, when Sam Davis pleaded not guilty on a similar charge before the Calgary division of the Alberta Supreme Court on May 21, 1912 he was found guilty and sentenced to ten years. 67 Despite the fact there was the overwhelming evidence of two eye witnesses, one of them being a police officer in the Harrington case, Judge W. Walsh sentenced the accused to three years imprisonment on February 23, 1915 for attempted buggery. 68 Once again the judges . in Western Canada were displaying their powers of discretion.

Even though the offence and the offender were thought to be detestable, wicked and despicable, at times the criminal justice system exercised its prerogative of mercy much as it did in cases involving sex assaults against females. For example, a sixty year old man who had been -sentenced to two years imprisonment on November 13, 1889 for committing an "unnatural offence" received an official pardon on September 21, 1891.<sup>69</sup> Two men who had received fifteen year sentences on November 12, 1891 for sodomy had their sentences commuted to seven years with remission for time served, on December 7, 1895.<sup>70</sup> In a rather peculiar combination of crimes, a twenty-two year old man was sentenced to four years imprisonment on February 20, 1903 for robbery with violence and attempted sodomy. On August 10, 1906 his sentence was commuted provided that his relatives took him home and looked after him 71 Three years later, a man serving a prison term of between two and five years in the Manitoba Penitertiary was released on a ticket of leave. In this case the person had served a little over half of his sentence beforehe was released 72

But the length of the sentence, whether it be long or short, is not really the issue. The very fact that prison terms were given for sex acts which were thought to be unnatural suggests that law and society possessed little understanding or concept of sexual activity due to circumstance or preference. Unnatural sexual activity threatened traditional concepts of married love and procreative sex. Consequently, unnatural sex had to be socially and legally

165

# condemned.

Classified as one of, perhaps the most unnatural of all the forms of unnatural sexual activities, homosexual encounters between males were viewed as sins against God, crimes against nature and offences against society. Attitudinal confusion over what was buggery/sodomy in the minds of the public was somewhat alleviated with the tri of Oscar Wilde. As a direct result, the perpetrator of same sex activities between males was a sinful, immoral and criminal sodomite. But this narrow classification was not expressed in the written criminal law or used by the criminal justice system. In the legal sense of the terms, buggery/ sodomy still referred to heterosexual anal intercourse, homosexual anal intercourse and sex with animals. Despite the continued use of generalizations by the law, the person who committed the crime of buggery or sodomy was still a sinner and a criminal. However, the equating of Wilde with a sodomite to produce the labelling thrace 'an Oscar Wilde type' in 1895, did not realt in any noticeable increase in the sentences meted out by the judges in Western Canada.

The existence of same sex relationships and sex acts which were nonprocreative in intent threatened the very foundations of a society based on married love and procreative sex. Consequently, society made few attempts to come to some understanding of the Oscar Wildes in Canada. particularly those in the West. Instead they were feared and despised by society, while at the same time they were being percented and prosecuted under the law. Scholarly

works did little to change these perceptions. Acceptable sexual activity had to be protected and attempts were made to eradicate or at least limit unacceptable sexual behaviour. But in spite of the social and legal restraints homosexual activity did not dissipate. It continued to challenge traditional sexual morality which was so prevalent in the Western Canadian experience from 1890 to 1920.

#### LOCINO LEC

160

<sup>1</sup>For a good discussion of the Mar'land case see P Burns, "'Queer Doings', Attitudes lowards Homes ruality is Canada,". <u>The Body Politic</u>, 20. Our images no ( . December 10-6/January 1977), pp. 4-7

Proposed History Finiante Court in Ar hives, Toronto, Ontario, no. 40.

<sup>3</sup>Ibid., no. 28. The reference given by the Canadi Gay Archives is as follows: J. Cowan, Carada's S vernors General 1867-1952 (Toronto: Cork Lot Lieberg C. 1989) pr

Although the author does not refer specifi ally to the Canadian situation, the evidence indicates that the Will trials were extremely important in forming Canadian perceptions of homosexuality. P. Mansen, The Historical for struction of Momosexuality. Fadical History Review ( 1999) in the Mansen Minters Review ( 1999)

This willing her ame i we is history as such

<sup>6</sup>A brief summary of the Wilde triple, cimilar to one presented in the text of this paper on the found in " Aymar and E. Sasarin, <u>A Pictorial Hiptory of the World's</u> Gradest Frials from Socrates to Fi

Thid.

ain fr m the Ninetee th Centur the the Follow in the

The researce of the transformer

Aymar and Sagarin, <u>A Fictorial History of the</u> <u>World's Greatest Trials from Socrates to Eichmann</u>, r. 10 The judge also taked: The sime of which you have been convected as a last that one is to put at a restraint For one section from the section of the sectio must rise to the breast of every man of honour who has heard the details of these two terrible crimes."

the Queen City as It Is (Toronto: Toronto Fublishing Co., 1830), r. 90

<sup>12</sup>Saskatchewan Archives Board (hereafter SAB), Af torney General Papers, Saskatchewan Provincial Police, K Case Files. File #518, Immoral Conduct: Lett r to the At the Conduct from Dr. A. Kelly dated 13 Marchine

13 Ibid . Letter dated 10 March 1013

H. Krnnedy. "The 'Third Sex' Theory of Karl Heinrich Ulrichs." ". Licata and R. Petersen (eds.), <u>Historical</u> <u>Perspectives on Homosexuality</u> (New York: Haworth Press, " 1081), pp. 103 113. Ulrichs did not use the term homosexual in his studios. He referred to a betersexual male as 'di oning' and a horosexual male as 'urping ' 'Similarly 'disingin' and 'Utringin' were used in rofs and to 'he'r 'e male counterror'. The model of the second by " "

# 15 Ibid. nr 107 108

ualis (New Yor's G. Futuam's Son. 1965 edition, original edition 1886). For a discussion of Krafft Ebing and his theories see J. Lauriten and D. Thorstad, The Early Homo sexuals Rights Movement 1864-1935 (New York: Times Change Fress, 1971): V. Bullough. Homosexuality and the Medical Model in V. Pullough (ed.), Sex, Sciety and History (Mew Tark: Science Hi tory Publics 1976) ... 173-18. an Unit: Sex of Inversion (1997).

See f r example, H. Ellis, <u>Sexual Inversion</u> the same author "The Task of <u>Social Hygiene</u> (Ree or Hought o Wiften Co., This for isl the first work. Fills ref is to a fugation of the former of the

See A Milaren frik in and About j

and the set for a set of
pp. 319 340. Also an examination of the indexes of the periodicals mentioned in the text reveals that very few articles appeared on the subject of homosexuality.

20 Quoted in V. Bullough. "Homosexuality and Its Confusion with the 'Secret Sin' in Pre-Freudian America" in V. Bullough, <u>Sex, Society and History pp. 112-125</u>. See also M. Bliss, "Fure Books on Avoided Subjects: Pre-Freudian Sexual Ideas in Canada." Historical Papers (1970), pp. 89-108.

<sup>21</sup>25 Henry VIII. n. 6

<sup>22</sup>In order of their appearance in the text, the statutes dating from 1548 were as follows: 2-3 Edward VI, c. 29; 1 Mary, c. 1 and 5 Elizabeth, c. 17. A similar discussion of the statutes can be found in A. Gigeroff, <u>Sexual</u> <u>Deviations in the Criminal Law: Homosexual, Exhibitionistic</u>, and <u>Peodophilic Offences in Canada</u> (Torente: <u>University of</u> <u>Torente Press, 1968). p. 15. fn. no. 4.</u>

<sup>23</sup>Sir Edward Coke, <u>The Third Part of the Institutes</u> of the Laws of England, 1644 edition ("ew York and London: Garland Publishing Co., 1979 edition), Chapter 10 "Of Buggery or Sodomy," For an interpretation of take see Cigcroff Sexual Deviations in the Criminal Law, 1990

<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup> Hale stated that a woman could to found guilty c hugg ry with an anim tonder the statute on test that the frimi at the relation to the statute of the

W. Have's realized the transformed the draw

W Blacks' & Commontation the large of the

E Esch. A Tradition of the theory of the chown

W Bursell, A traction of the theory of the traction of the theory of the

"Whose er stall to convicted of, the abominable orine f uggery, a mittel ather with mankind, or with ar p im " for the hereport of (1861) 24-21 or 1000

<sup>30</sup>Canada, <u>Offences Against the Person Act</u> (1861), 24-25 Victoria c. 100, s. 61.

Э.

<sup>31</sup>Canada, <u>Statutes of Ganada</u> (1869), c. 20, s. 63.

<sup>32</sup>Canada, <u>Revised Statutes of Canada</u> (1886), 157, s. l.

<sup>33</sup>According to Stephen, "Every one commits the felony called sodomy, and is liable upon conviction thereof, to penal servitude for life as a maximum, and to penal servitude for ten years as a minimum, punishment who (a) Carnally knows any animal; (b) Being a male, carnally knows any man or any woman (per anum)." J. Stephen, <u>Digest.of the Criminal</u> <u>Law of Canada</u> (Toronto: Carswell Co., 1980 reprint; original edition 1890), Chapter XIX, Article 213.

<sup>34</sup>55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 174, Canada, <u>Criminal</u> <u>Code of Canada</u> (1892).

<sup>35</sup>55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 175, <u>ibid</u>.

<sup>36</sup>During the late nineteenth and early twentieth century every indictment issued for the crime of buggery and attempted buggery included these words.

<sup>37</sup>Supreme Court Records (hereafter SCR), Criminal (Wetaskiwin). File #1662. Case: R. v. A. Sowayak (1920), buggery

<sup>38</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code and Other Selected Statutes</u> of Canada (1920). When the listing for sodomy was checked in the index, it said "see buggery"--p. 39.

<sup>39</sup>Provincial Archives of British Columbia (hereafter PARC). Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1 (November 1893 - February 1911), p. 100. On May 6, 1915 Mark Kulick was sentenced to two years and three months hard labour by Judge Calder at Fort St. George for the crime of bestiality. No mention was made as to the type of animal. <u>Ibid</u>, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Frisoners confined, Vol. 7 (June 1914 - October

See the discussion of the Sowayak case on page 155 of thi chapter. Although the ensuing case occurred a few fter the last year of this study, it serves as yet

another example of the problem. On July 8, 1921, John Mc-Ewan of Vancouver, British Columbia appeared before the Wetaskiwin District Court on the following charge; "With a certain heifer calf, wickedly and against the order of Nature did have a venereal affair, and then and there unlawfully, wickedly, and against the order of nature, with the said heifer calf, did commit and perpetrate, the detestable and abominable crime of Buggery." District Court Records, (hereafter DCR), Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1750, Case: R. v. J. McEwan (1921), buggery.

<sup>41</sup>The federal statistics for the years ending 1890-1920 can be found in Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>. The number of the paper changed in each volume of these collected papers.

J. Gray, <u>Red Lights on the Prairies</u> (Toronto: Macmillan, 1971) and J. Bedford, "Prostitution in Calgary, 1905-1914," <u>Alberta History</u> (Spring 1981), pp. 1-11.

<sup>43</sup>W. Tremeear, <u>The Criminal Code and the Laws of</u> <u>Criminal Evidence in Canada (Toronto: Canada Law Book Co.,</u> 1902), Part XIII, s. 174, p. 126.

<sup>44</sup>R. v. Hartlan, 2 <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u> (1898), n. p.

<sup>45</sup>"An Act for consolidating and amending the Statutes in this province relative to Offences Against the Person" 4 and 5 Victoria (1841), c. 27, s. 18, Canada, <u>Consolidated Statutes of Canada</u> (1859). The following year an act stipulated that a conviction for assault with intent to commit rape or with the intent to commit 'the abominable crime of buggery' could result in up to three years with hard labour at Kingston Penitentiary or up to two years in another place of confinement. "An Act for better proportioning the punishment to the offence, in certain cases." 6 Victoria (1842/43), c. 5, s. 5, Canada <u>Consolidated</u> Statutes of Canada (1859).

<sup>46</sup>W. Tremeear, <u>The Criminal Code and the Laws of</u> <u>Criminal Evidence in Canada</u>: "Unlike rape, sodomy may be committed between two persons, both of whom consent, and even by husband and wife." (p. 126).

<sup>47</sup>However, in the late 1920s the Ontario court of appeal overturned a conviction on a charge of rape because the boy did not make his complaint until one month after the offence had allegedly occurred. This was much like the arguments which were used in cases involving sexual assaults against women. R. v. Elliot, 49 <u>Canadian Criminal</u> <u>Cases</u> (1928), p. 302. The case is mentioned in A. Gigeroff, <u>Sexual Deviations in the Criminal Law</u>, p. 103.

<sup>48</sup>"Everyone is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to ten years imprisonment who attempts to commit the offence mentioned in the last presiding section." This referred to section 174 of the Criminal Code which was the crime of buggery. Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), 55-56 Victoria, c. 29, s. 175.

<sup>49</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1004, Case: R. v. John Harrington (1914), attempted buggery. Although Harrington pleaded not guilty, he was found guilty by a judge alone and sentenced to three years imprisonment.

<sup>50</sup><u>Ihid</u>., File #1662, Case: R. v. Sowayak (1920), buggery. See also DCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1750, Case: R. v. J. McEwan (1921), buggery. A complaint was laid against McEwan charging him with buggery with a cow after the owner of the cow noticed there was something wrong with it. Upon giving a statement in which the accused admitted his guilt, he was sentenced to two years less one day imprisonment by June Hilliam Lees on August 2, 1921.

<sup>51</sup>R. v. Delip Singh, 26 <u>B. C. Law Reports</u> (1917-1919), pp. 390-396.

<sup>52</sup>"HORRIBLE CRIME AT REGINA: Arrest of Prominent Citizens in Connection with a Most Revolting Offence," Medicine Hat Times, 23 May 1895, p. 1.

<sup>53</sup>"Serious Police Charges;" Edmonton <u>Journal</u>, 9 August 1906, p. 4.

<sup>54</sup>For example: a six month sentence was imposed on J. Storm by Police Magistrate Williams at Vancouver on November 30, 1907: PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Vol. 5 (October 1895 - 0qtober 1910), n. p. J. Evans received a twelve month sentence for a buggery conviction on June 21, 1906. Ibid. G. Strickland received eighteen months imprisonment. I/bid., Vol. 7 (June 1914 - October 1917), p. 20. E. Woons received an eighteen month sentence on April 4, 1912. Ibid., Victoria Gaol, List of Prisoners under sentence in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 17 (November 1910-1914), p. 95. DCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1750, Case: R. v. J. McEwan (1921), buggery. The accused received a sentence of two years less one day. On July 27, 1899, John Bow was sentenced to three years imprisonment. PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Vol. 5 (October 1895-October 1910), n. p. Five year sentences were popular in

1908, 1911, 1912 and 1914; <u>Ibid.</u>, n. p., <u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 7 (June 1914 - October 1917), pp. 1 and 3. A ten year sertence was given to a man born in India by Judge McInnes in Vancouver during September 1910: <u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 5 (October 1895-October 1910), n. p.

<sup>55</sup><u>Ibid</u>., Victoria Gaol, Record and description list of all prisoners received in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 12 (November 1890 - February 22, 1910), p. 8.

<sup>56</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1896," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vpl. XXX (1897), Paper 8d, p. 244-245.

<sup>57</sup> PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Prisoners Confined, Vol. 5 (October 1895 - October 1910), n. p.

<sup>58</sup><u>Ibid</u><sup>4</sup>, Vol. 7 (June 1914 - October 1917), p. 49.

<sup>59</sup>SCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1662, Case: R. v. Sowayak (1920), buggery, See also DCR, Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1750, Case: R. v. J. McEwan (1921), buggery. Although McEwan admitted to buggery with a cow he was sentenced to two years less one day imprisonment.

<sup>60</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Prisoners Confined, Vol. 6 (November 1910 - May 1914), p. 123. See also John Wheaton's punishment for a buggery conviction on October 2, 1906, twenty lashes: <u>Ibid</u>., Vol. 5 (October 1895 - October 1910), n. p.

<sup>61</sup>Canada, <u>Revised Statutes of Canada</u> (1906), c. 146, s. 206 and Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), c. 29, s. 260.

<sup>62</sup>The maximum penalty for attempt to commit sodomy/ buggery was ten years imprisonment. Canada, <u>Criminal Code</u> of Canada (1902), s. 175 and Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1906), s. 203.

<sup>63</sup>DCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #10a, Case: R. v. H. Crannan (1908), buggery. The accused was sentenced to three years imprisonment for attempted buggery.

<sup>64</sup>PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, List of Prisoners under sentence in Nanaimo Gaol, Vol. 2 (February 1911 - August 1914), pp. 58-60 The prisoner had been committed to trial on December 30, 1912.

<sup>65</sup>See for example the following cases. On June 4, 1906 Frank Clarke was sentenced to three months imprisonment by Police Magistrate Williams at Vancouver: PABC, Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Prisoners Confined, Vol. 5 (October 1895 - October 1910). Bram was sentenced to six months by Judge Howay at New Westminster in September 1917: <u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 7 (June 1914 -October 1917), p. 110. On September 16, 1915 a man was sentenced to twelve months imprisonment: <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 59. See also SCR, Criminal, (Wetaskiwin), File #1004, Case: R. v. J. Harrington (1914), attempted buggery, sentenced to three years imprisonment.

PABC, Attorney General Papers, B. C. Provincial Court (Fairview), Police Charge Book, Vol. 1 (1897-1908), p. .

<sup>67</sup>SCR, Criminal (Calgary), File #85, Case: R. v. S. Davis (1912), attempted buggery.

<sup>68</sup><u>Ibid</u>., Criminal (Wetaskiwin), File #1004, Case: R. y. J. Harrington (1915), attempted buggery.

<sup>69</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1891," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. 25 (1892), Paper 7e, Table VII, "Pardons and Commutations," n. p.

<sup>70</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1896," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXI (1897), Paper 8d, pp. 245-246. Both men were serving their sentence in the Manitoba Penitentiary.

<sup>71</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1906," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XLI (1906-1907), Paper 17, Table VII, "Pardons and Commutations," n. p.

<sup>72</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1909," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XLIV (1910), Paper 17, Table VII, "Pardons and Commutations," n. p.

## CHAPTER VI

INDECENT ASSAULT ON A MALE

AND GROSS INDECENCY

During the late nineteenth and early twentieth century every male who participated in 'some form of sexual activity with another male, whether it was consensual anal intercourse, mutual masturbation, oral sex or the mere touching of the genital area, was identified as a sodomite and liable to be prosecuted and punished under the Canadian criminal law. Specific acts of homosexual anal intercourse were ostensibly restricted by sections 174 and 175 of the 1892 Criminal Code. Section 174, which dealt with the more inclusive crime of buggery, stipulated that a conviction for homosexual anal intercourse carried the possibility of life imprisonment,<sup>1</sup> while section 175 stated that any male found guilty of attempting to commit the act was liable to a prison term of ten years.<sup>2</sup> All other sexual contacts between males, short of anal intercourse, were included in the sections dealing with the legally undefined offences of indecent assault on a male and gross indecency. A conviction for either of these two offences carried a substantially reduced maximum prison term of five years for gross indecency and ten years for indecent assault on a male.  $^4$  The offender was also liable to be whipped in both instances.

Since there was no legal definition as to what con

stituted either offence, charges of indecent assault on a male or gross indecency were laid if there seemed to be insufficient evidence to warrant a charge of buggery or attempted buggery. Similarly, if the judge and/or jury were hesitant to convict a person on a more serious charge, a conviction for one of the two lesser offences could be given. It made little difference to the law or to the adjudicators whether or not the homosexual activity occurred in private and was between two consenting male adults; such encounters were socially and legally unacceptable. And throughout the 1890s and early 1900s the criminal justice system in Western Canada used the full range of possibilities afforded to it by the Criminal Code to legally restrict all sexual contact between males.

Prior to 1869 the offence of attempted buggery/bestiality was contained in one section of the criminal law, while assault with intent to commit buggery and indecent assault on a male formed another section. However, in 1869 indecent assault on a male, assault with intent to commit buggery and attempted buggery/bestiality were all placed in one section, more specifically expter 20, section 64 of Canadian statute law. Adapted from chapter 100, section 62 of the English Offences Against the Persons Act passed in 1861, the Canadian statute stated that "whosoever" was found guilty under section 64 could "be kept in Penal servitude for any term not exceeding 10 years and not less than 3 years, or to be imprisoned for any term not exceeding two years, with or without Hard Labor." By using the term

"whosoever," it was quite conceivable that a female could be charged with indecent assault on a male under the strict sense of the law. However in 1886, chapter 157, section 2 restricted the offence to any male person who might commit an indecent assault on another male.<sup>6</sup> This, in effect, created the first male homosexual offence within the context of the written Canadian criminal law.

178

With codification of the law in 1892, several substantial changes in the classification, definition and punishment of the three offences occurred. In 1869, attempted buggery, assault with intent to commit buggery and indecent assault on a male were classified as "Unnatural Offences." Then in 1886 they were placed under the "Offences against Public Morals" category. Under the Code they were included in the "Assaults" category and placed under the general rubric of "Offences Against the Person and Reputation." But more important, attempted buggery was separated from the other two offences. Subsequently, a male could be charged with attempting to commit buggery under a separate section of the law. A conviction for this offence carried a maximum penalty of ten years imprisonment.<sup>7</sup> Assault with intent to commit buggery and indecent assault on a male were still classified together and a male person convicted under the pertinent section of the Code was liable to seven years imprisonment and to be whipped.<sup>8</sup> Later in 1892, with little discussion of the topic, Parliament returned to the ten year maximum prison term for these two offences."

Since the crime of buggery included heterosexual

and homosexual anal intercourse as well as carnal knowledge with animals, an assault with intent to commit buggery could be an assault with the intent to commit one of these very different offences. But as we have seen, according to the written law as of 1886, an indecent assault against a male' could only be perpetrated by another male. This meant that one section of the Criminal Code encompassed both hetero; sexual and homosexual acts, while another section was exclusively homosexual in its intent. For the most part however, a charge of assault with intent to commit buggery referred to the specific act of homosexual anal intercourse while all other homosexual acts were prescribed as an indegent assault on a male.

What actually constituted an indecent assault on a male in late nineteenth and early twentieth century Western Canada? When Sir John Thompson told the April 10, 1890 sitting of the House of Commons that an indecent assault was "an assault made in pursuance of an attempt to commit a graver offence," he was referring to an indecent assault committed on either a male or a female.<sup>10</sup> Thus, according to Thompson's statement an indecent assault on a male could be an assault made in pursuance of an attempt to commit 'the abominable crime of buggery.' However, since the offence was left undefined in the written law, it was subject to wide and varying interpretations by the authorities and the public. Thus, the term could'be and was applied to simple acts of touching, fondling or oral sex and even to attempts to have carnal knowledge per anum which could be

legally classified as attempted buggery.

In late September 1912, for example, a complaint was laid against Frank Bere of Calgary, Alberta for allegedly indecently assaulting Cecil Matthews, a seven year old boy. Matthews told Police Magistrate Sanders, who presided over the Police Court hearing into the case, that the accused "made me smoke and laid me on the bed and he opened my pants down here and put his hand on my stomach and played with my dickey."<sup>11</sup> A few months later, Samuel Scott appeared before the District Court of Wetaskiwin on a charge of indecently assaulting a male under fourteen years of age on and prior to December 30, 1912. The ten year old boy told the court that on a number of occasions Scott had rubbed his penis between his legs and on the back part of him, but had not actually attempted anal intercourse. He further stated that he had performed and participated in oral sex with the accused.<sup>12</sup> Then in a December 1921 case in Calgary Fred Cunningham was charged with indecently assaulting Gordon Northfield, during which time the latter alleged that Cunningham had put his hands down his pants.13

Much like the situation involving reported cases of indecent assaults of females which were perpetrated against young girls, a large number of the indecent assault cases involving males were committed on young boys. This meant that the persons presiding over such cases were faced with the similar problem of admitting the evidence of a child not under oath and then accumulating sufficient corroboration of the child's evidence. The R. v. Iman Din case of 1910

is a prime example of such a dilemma. On September, 21, 1910 Iman Din was convicted of indecently assaulting two boys on different occasions and sentenced to ten years imprisonment by County Court Judge McInnes at Vancouver, British Columbia. Upon appeal of the conviction, counsel for the accused contended there was insufficient corroborative evidence to warrant a guilty verdict. The key witness for the prosecution was an eleven year old boy named Alexander Ashford whose evidence was received but not under oath. Although he appeared hesitant to answer questions posed by the prosecuting attorney, Alexander told the court that on one occasion he had gone to the house of the accused where the latter had locked the door, pulled the plinds, committed the offence (details not given) and gave him money. Alexander's six year old brother Joe was called to the stand to give corroborative evidence, but not under oath. His evidence contradicted that of Alexander. Joe contended that . the occasion of the alleged offence was a month later than the date given by his older brother. Furthermore, Joe stated that he went to the accused's house with Alexander and it was his brother and not Iman Din who locked the door and pulled down the blinds.

The four judges who heard the appeal held diverse opinions on the corroboration requirement in the case. Judge Macdonald argued that the evidence of the younger brother contradicted lexander's story to such an extent that it destroyed credibility. In a similar fashion, Judge Irving noted that the discrepancies between the two stories 181

were too great to allow for a conclusion that there was sufficient corroborative evidence. But Judge Martin and Judge Galliher expressed a different view. They argued that nearly every type of evidence admitted had some sort of discrepancy and this should not detract from the cor roborative nature of the information. As a result of this problem over corroboration and the trial judge's incorrectly admitted evidence of an attempt by Iman Din to commit a similar offence with another male at another time, the appeal court ordered that a new trial be held.<sup>14</sup>

A similar problem can be seen in a January 1913 case heard before the district court at Wetaskiwin. On January 3, 1913, Carl Calvin laid a complaint against one of his farm hands, Samuel Scott, for indecently assaulting Calvin's nine year old adopted son. Edwin, on and before December 30, 1912 at Merria, Alberta. The boy, whose information was received but not under oath, told the prelim inary enquiry that the accused had indecoully assaulted him . on several occasions, including oral genital contact at least once. Counsel for the defence attempted to destroy the reliability of the boy's testimony by asking him whether he knew the importance of telling the truth and what would happen if he lied.<sup>15</sup> Edwin responded to both questions negatively." Although no one else witnessed the assaults, the boy,'s evidence was sufficiently corresponded by the sworn testimony of his father and grandmother. Carl Calvin noted that there seemed to be what he called "an undue intimacy" between Scott and his son. He became suspicious of

their relationship and decided to question Edwin. It was after this that he decided to lay a complaint against Scott. The boy's grandmother testified that she had overheard a conversation between the accused and Edwin which led her to conclude something strange was going on. Despite the fact that the corroborative evidence was apparently circumstantial and the boy's admission that he disliked Scott, the latter was committed to stand trial on a charge of indecently assaulting a male by the unsworn testimony of a nine year old boy.<sup>16</sup>

Once again, the evidence suggests that the courts "meted out a variety of sentences provided to them under the letter of the law. A male convicted of committing an indecent assault on another male rarely received the maximum penalty of ten very imprisonment. Referring to the crime as "unread the very imprisonment. Referring to the crime as "unread the sentence," and "unnatural," Judge Henderson enced James Egan to twelve months imprisonment in the jail at New Pestminster, British Columbia on August 1906. In passing this sentence, Henderson noted:

> I cannot help thinking that you are not wholly vicious, not a criminal by instinct or bringing up. I had thought of sending you to the penitentiary but on further consideration I do not think that will be necessary to bring about reform in your case. You have had time already to reflect upon it.17

Obviously, the judge was hoping that Egan would repent for the evils of his ways, through a short prison term rather than a long term of confinement.

In other instances, the short prison term was ac-

companied by a dose of the lash. For example, on May 23. 1901 a twenty-seven year old man was sentenced to three years imprisonment and twelve lashes by a County Court Turke at Kamloops. British Columbia. Then on May 7. 1903 The flogging was remitted.<sup>18</sup> And olthough Iman Din was soutenced to the maximum ten years imprisonment on September 21 1010, it must be remembered that he had been found guilty of indepently assulting two boys at different times.<sup>19</sup> It was not a case of one specific act but at least two different conations, and thus there was allows the fear and possibility that the constraints a lignosition to the maximum ten years a lays the fear and

Put a charge and conviction for indecent assault consol was the ally a cause provided by the criminal law. In fact, he conditible condense suggests that the lasolly undefined not of proceeding econo, was more prevalent than in occut a sault on mole in lo e Victorian and El and a submit formale tophics this occur upp and a to the contract of the contract of the contract management of the term of the contract of the as a fiteen of states and approachedly load, i to to a if you'll' this orm for on indecent accault and a state of the still flow on lacked being its a set a the loss there is a fating possibility that int op the match and gross intercover • 11 the are each to the legislators and adjudicator I share a taba the transfer to the transfer to the terms The second state of against with the

and the second second

the authorities to envision an indecent assault on a male as a prelude to committing the act of buggery. Put whate the reasons, cases involving the fine of gross indecent were processed through the crucks in the start invade ( 1800 to 1920.

It was not until the year 1890 that the off nee grous integency of word into Can dian at the liw. When Sir John Thompson is had and the offence to the Couse of Commins on April 10, 1800 by abated that there ifences wer making an appearance in the contry add the present law war ill equips d to bendle them. Thus come or claises had to be made. 21 Chainsly, the Condign statut fillend verbatim section 1] f the Thimlas ow Anontrout bet a had been passed tito vora carlier i Filoni. In frot. ' only difference between the one is on the line who he the avirum for of or constall and the last and moniton of other second to the feature of a Figure for  $\sigma = \sigma_{\rm eff}$  , for  $\rho = 1$  and  $\rho = 1$  and f = 1 . The form impolition and the second second second • • • • • • and the second (1) The second s Second sec the construction of the state of the state of the **v** • \* 1. Constraint of the state o And the second second

and the second second

° 35

Unfortunately, however, the offence of gross indecency was never defined in or by the criminal law. When Sir Richard Cartwright asked Thompson to give a precise definition of the term to the House of Commons on April 10, 1320, Cartwright preferred his question with the following

> I am quite aware that the particular crime which he [Thompson] has in mind is one which, I very much fear, has been on the increase in certain sections of society, and can hardly be punished too severely.25

Howe er, a careful reading of the House of Commons debates does not provide ony insight as to what 'the particular rime might be. And Thompson merely replied that it was irtually impossible to define the term gross indecency any botton than what appeared in the English statute of 1885.26 During the same discussion the Honouroble Mr. Mitchell ex record his concern over the apparent back of definition. Time the three was phricusly serious enough to carry the presibility of a five year pricon term, he argued that a activities of the gradific act of gross indecency should arrow in the statute. There was also the pessibility the in up a leficition the term groups independent might mean Streeting . in different parts of the country. In fact, I II total to Haven the second triat fifty knows to my willy be advised The my *σ* · · · 1.100 the of the rist in the statute of 1. " " displic . . . . . .

In fact, two years later, when the section of the Criminal Code dealing with gross indecency was being discussed in the Commons, Thompson still maintained that it was impossible to define these cases by any form of word's.<sup>28</sup>

As a result of this lack of definition, a simple touch, fondling, fellatio, attempted buggery and even complete homosexual anal intercourse were all referred to as acts of gross indecency by the authorities in Western Canada. On November 18, 1911 a twenty-seven year old Banff jeweller appeared before the Calgary district court on three charges of buggery allegedly committed with three different males on January 12 of the same year. John Wards the accused. pleaded not guilty to all three charges but he was found guilty on two counts of buggery and one count of gross indecency which never appeared in the formal charge. 29 Evidently a charge of buggery could lead to a conviction for press interconcy. In another case heard before the Calgary 1 district court in June 11; 1914, Michael Noland was charged with committing on a t of gross indecency with John Norman on the place up day Although no mention was made as to the specific act in the complaint, the facts presented in the derositions recent that it was a case of oral sex. it is apparent that Mitchell's fears over a lick of different. ition for the offener were well found d. The すいしょういい 23.000 + Acres 100 - Sec. Ξ. 1 10 10

When provisions for punishment of gross indecency were being discussed in the House of Commons on May 25, 1892, one of the most respected legal minds in Parliament, the honourable member for Bothwell riding, chose to speak. Until this time havid Mills had been relatively quiet in the discussion on the morality sections of the Criminal Code. However at this time Mills informed the House that 'Offences Against Morality,' of which gross indecency was now one (according to Canadian law), had originally been under the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts. / He argued that these so-called offences against morality were totally subjective and different from those offences which appeared in the  $\ll$ statute book. Despite the fact that such offences were now. classified as crimes according to secular law, Mills seriously wondered as to whether they should be punished by a long prison term. He concluded that they should not . Instead, he favoured whipping as a deterrent to committing the offence again. Mills' approach was much more akin to the concept of crime as a sin with a punishment of a short stay in prison and a dose of the lash. Thompson expressed no objection to the idea of a short prison term if it was accompanied by Mie whip. 32 Whether or not judges in Western Canada were conscious of such thoughts, it is evident that they rarely handed out the maximum penalty and they used their discretionary powers in assigning terms of confine ment and the amount of flogging to be inflicted

rearing in mind that the maximum prison term for gross indecomey was five wears and a whitring lighter

sentences such as thirty days, three, six, twelve and eighteen months, without a provision for the lash can be found in the records.<sup>33</sup> Longer prison terms, once again without a flogging, included two, two and a half, four and even five years. The latter sentence was given to three men in British Columbia on May 4, 1907.34 In one particular 1911 case in Alberta, a man charged with buggery which carried a life imprisonment proviso was found guilty of the lesser offence of gross indecency and received a three year term."" When a flogging did accompany the prison term, the number of lashes ranged from six, twelve, twenty, twenty-four and thirty.<sup>36</sup> Even an attempt to commit the act of gross indecency. with another male could result in a prison term and the On February 26, 1901, a forty-seven year oid male lash. received a two year, fifteen lash sentence from a Victoria Police Court Judge for committing such an offence. 37, Prior to the outbrook of World War One, Tah Sungh was sentenced to sighteen months with hard labour by a court in British Columbia on November 21, 1913. His sentence was to commence from the date of his arrest which was seriember 19 of the same year. 30

On several occasions the processive of mercy was exercised by the criminal justice system. Throughout the 1900 and early 1000s, prisoners had their terms of confine ment either partially or totally commuted and or the number of lasher remitted. For example, two men, one gred forty (is and the other a claring tree word from suilty of com-

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

"189

by the judge of the Assizes Court at Vancouver, B. C. on , May 15, 1894. Both men were sentenced to two years imprisonment and twenty-four lashes. Two months before they had completed their full stay in the British Columbia Penitentiary the men had twelve of their lashes remitted. $^{39}$ In another case, a forty year old man was sentenced to three years and thirty lashes for gross indecency by a Nanaimo County Court Judge on June 13, 1896. However, on June 24, 1898, fifteen of his lashes were remitted while he was serving his sentence in the New Westminster Penitentiary. 40 few years later on October 15, 1901, a forty-seven year old male was sentenced to two years and fifteen lashes by a Police Court Judge at Victoria for merely attempting to commit an act of gross indecency with another male. The prisoner's total number of lashes was remitted on November 6, 1902.41 In yet another case, a fifty year old man was sentenced by a Supreme Court Judge at Red Deer, Alberta on February 1, 1907 to two years imprisonment and to be whipped twice with ten lashes on each occasion While serving his sentence at the Frevincial Feuitentiary of Edmonton, the prisoner received word in July 30, 1008 that he would be released fir teen days after he received one whipping totalling ten lasp-45.<sup>42</sup> During the same year another man had his twelve month sentence, which he had received in July 1907 from a Supreme Court Judge at Medicine Hat, commuled on March 7, 1908, 43 These few examples illustrate that the system was not hound by the original sentence given in court.

herpite the inconsister for in the indivial process.

it is readily apparent that law and society wanted to totally eradicate all sexual encounters between males. Conscious attempts by Canadian legislators to leave buggery, attempted buggery, indecent assault on a male and gross indecency without any concrete difinition, enabled adjudicators in the West to place any sexual activity between males into whatever legal category they wanted. In fact, if they did not want to register a conviction for any of these offences, there was always the possibility that a charge and conviction for committing an indecent act could be laid. There can be little doubt that an indecent assault on a male and an act of gross indecency constituted indecent acts. The words were interchangeable.

Much like indecent assault on a male and gross in decency, the offence of indecent act was undefined by the criminal law. Prior to 1892 the specific term was "apposed his person." but the relevant section in the Crimbul Con was changed to the more obstract phices, an inter-

, to applien 127 any version

Ċ

who wilfully (a) in the presence of our or more persons does any indecent act in any place to which the public have or are permitted to have access; or (b) does any indecent ac' in any place intending to insult or offend any person was upon conviction, liable to a \$50.00 five and/or six months imress were sill or sithout bard lob up

The regioner of this stiens no le fi The second se a post 'y function -

to to subly fight for growthe of terms of man 67.55 1 1

• 1

year old girl commit an indecent act with him. She told the court that the accused offered her fifty cents if she would consent to the act (unnamed) and when she refused he raised the amount to \$2.00. As a result of his conviction he was sentenced to six months imprisonment with hard labour.<sup>45</sup> Less than a year later a Strathcona man was charged with two counts of committing an indecent act; one with a nine year old boy and the other with an eleven year old girl. In the first instance the accused had masturbated in front of the boy, while in the second wase he had exposed himself and used indecent language in the presence of Gladys Walters. Although he pleaded not guilty to both charges, the accused was sentenced to three months with hard labour on each count by the Calgary division of the Alberta Supreme Court. 46

A rather peculiar case was heard by the District Court of Wetaskiwin, Alberta in the summer of 1919. On August 21, 1919 Malcolm Smith was charged with committing an indicent act at the Providence General Hospital at Daysland. The complainant Alfred Chandler, an orderly at the hospital, stated that the accused had been under his care for approximately six weeks. He told the court the accused had the habit of repeatedly exposing his privates to anyone, male or female, who passed by. Chandler believed that Smith was not erazy but was mean and dirty. Another hospital worker. William dames testified that it was a common ocmirring for the accused to be indecently exposed. He further and the accused was addicted to self-masturbation

and had seen him perform the act on numerous occasions. The department of the Attorney General decided not to press criminal charges against Smith, preferring instead to commit him to the Hospital for the Insane at Ponoka.<sup>47</sup>

In these instances then, the term indecent act was applied to self-masturbation, indecently exposing oneself to either a male or female and to suggesting a person commit an input act. Yet-the Frank Bere case of 1912 where the accuse was charged with indecent assault on a male after a seven year old boy told his parents that Bere had fondled his penis could just as easily been classified as an act of indecency.<sup>40</sup> In a similar fashion, the argument is applicable to the 1912 Scott case where the accused rubbed his penis between a boy's legs.<sup>49</sup> Furthermore, the up defined act of gross indecency in addition to any attempt to commit that offence or indecent assault on a male obviously constituted the committing of an indecent act.

But concepts of indepency are relative to time and rlace. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centur: several sexually mientated encounters amongst males such as exhibitionism, self-masturbation, fondling, rubbing, oral genital contact and anal intercourse were designated as either an indecent assault on a male, gross indepency or indecent ast. Although the offences were never defined in statute or ease low implicit in the inclusion of an in decent assault on a male in the same section as assault with intent to commit huggery is the idea that the assault were a probable to ease low.

decent assault on a female was heterosexual intercourse then the goal of any indecent assault on a male was homosexual intercourse <u>per anum</u>. However, given the vagueness and ambiguity of the written law, an act of gross indecency could also include an attempt to commit homosexual anal intercourse.

In the 1890s and 1900s, Canadians in Western Canada lacked a concept of sexual preference and feared that all sexual encounters between males would lead to sexual intercourse per anum. According to law and society at the time there was no difference between consenting or nonconsenting sexual acts between males or if children were involved. As a result, consenting sexual acts between males, forcible acts with boys, oral genital contact, mutual and self-masturbation, exhibitionism and even sexual inquisitiveness were categorized under the general rubric of indecent assault on a male or gross indecency, and to a lesser extent indecent act. The perpetrator of such an act was a sinner, socially reprehensible and criminally liable no matter what the circumstances.

By not providing specific legal definitions of these terms, the criminal justice system in the West could and did use the full spectrum of the open-onded federal legislation afforded to them in their attempts to restrict consensual homosexual activity. However, despite the social and legal criminalization of same sex encounters between males by a cortain segment of Canadian society, such activities did not cortain segment of Canadian society, such activities did not

activity increased. Obviously an increase in conviction rates and the number of reported cases of gross indecency and indecent assault on a male does not necessarily mean that there was a proportional increase in such homosexual encounters or homosexuality itself. It could merely reflect better policing or an ordered 'crackdown' by the authorities of such activities. Furthermore, in the darly twentieth century public awareness of such happening: g as media coverage of those cases involving some type of same sex encounter increased. Thus it is duident that attempts to restrict consensual homosexual activity were a failure. As a result, future generations of Canadians would come to reexamine the concept of originalization of homoseyuclity and, perhars more important <u>contract</u>

## FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), s. 174. <sup>2</sup><u>Ibid., s. 175</u>. 196

<sup>3</sup>Canada, <u>Statutes of Canada</u> (1890), c. 37, s. 5.

<sup>4</sup>Canada, <u>Criminal Code of Canada</u> (1892), s. 260.

<sup>5</sup>Canada, Statutes of Canada (1869), c. 20, s. 64.

6 Ganada, Revised Statutes of Canada (1886), c. 157,

<sup>7</sup>Canada, <u>Statutes of Canada</u> (1892), c. 29, s. 175. <sup>8</sup>Ibid. c. 20. s. 260.

<u>Ibid., c. 32, s. 1.</u> "Everyone is guilty of an fndistable offence and liable to ten years imprisonment, and to be whipped, who assaults any person with intent to comrits domy, or who, being a male, indecently assaults any.

Canada, Debates of the House of Commons, 10 April

(Calgary), File #122, Case: R. v. F. Bere (1912), indecent assault on a male. The accused pleaded not guilty before Judge Simmons on October 15, 1912. Subsequently, he was

<sup>12</sup>District 'ourt Pecords (hereafter DCR), Criminal (Wetaskiwin), 'ile #535, Case: R. v. S. Stott (1912), indecent assault on a male On February 10, 1913 the accused (10, 10) spredy 'rial and pleaded not guilty to the charge.

<sup>13</sup><u>Ibid</u>, Criminal (Calgary), File #516, Case: R. v. F. Cunringha (1921), indecent assault on a male. There is of the broad parameters afforded to the term indecent as sault on a male. Eric Strathern was charged with having committed the offence on a thirteen year old boy at Banff, Alberta on July 30, 1923. The boy alleged that the accused, his scoutmaster, had put his hands on his privates. On Set tember 6, 1923, Strathern pleaded not guilty and was for not guilty. Ibid., File #628. Case: R. F. Strathern (1923). indecent assault in a male.

; ;.

140 R. v. Luan Din, 16 Western Láw Reporter (1910) rp. 130 1/2.

<sup>15</sup>A similar tactic was used in the R. v. F. Cunning ham case of 1921. The child told the court that he would not go to 'eaven if he did not tell the truth. Supposed Cunningham had put his hands down the front of the loy's pants: DCR Crimical (Calgary), File #510, Case . D. . F

C.;Calin (1913), indeern' actault or a male Second ically the terroritions of the box the terrorities of the terrorities of the box the terrorities of the terrorities of the box the terrorities of terro

1 August 1904. p. 8

Every male person is guilty of an indiciable of fence and liable to file years nies a whipping who is public or private, commits or is a party to the commission of, or produces of attempts to produce the commission by on, or person of, and act of gross indecency with so the r son : Canata. Criminal Code of Canada (1802), a. ); non letion for a tempted buggers at indecent canata tak carried the sale the care of inprice

(n sinder by); 'the product of the boot of the sinder by the second state of the secon

<sup>22</sup>Criminal Law Amendment Act (1885), 48-49 Victoria. c. 69, s. 11. British playwright Oscar Wilde was convicted under this particular section of the Act and was sentenced to the maximum two years imprisonment in 1895. 23 Canada, Criminal\_Code of Canada (1011), s. 177 24 Ibid. <sup>25</sup>Canadr n hate 1 11 Hence of Common 10 April 3170. 26<sub>Ibid</sub> Thid., r atta  $\phi \phi$ Ibid . 25 May 1892. r 2115 PODCP, riminal (Clance) I' #150. Concer R. J. (1011) Sugery.  $\frac{30}{1\text{bid}}, \quad \text{if } \# (10, 100) + P, v = M, N(100) + (1014).$ Jecency. Canal. Delater of the House of Commons. 25 May 32 Hills told the House of Commons: "The offences are wholly subjective and altogether different in that respect from crimes ombraced in the Statute book; and it is a question whether crimes of this sort should be punished by long terms of service in the penitentiary. I do not thik the should. I think that fl gging, or smething of the mort and the discharge of the prises is preference.

In August 1914, three men were sentenced to thirty days each for a condiction of gross indecency. Frovincial Archives f Pritish Columbia (FARC). Attorney General Papers. Inspector of Gools, New Westminster Gool, Refure of Frisoner Confined. Vol. 7 (June 1014 - Pete er 1917), p. 12. On De-cember 7, 2010 a Canadian mule was centenced to thirty-five days by Jula McInner at Va couver Dritich Columbia: <u>Ibid</u>. e y = 1. j p j : the New Westminster Gaol 1 1 1 v:::: [bid . V]. 5 (Oc-Was

and the theory of the second

Ihid 25

. 1

an' : for hoter '

. 1

198

and a substant of the second second

sentenced to six months in the gaol by Police Magistrate Shaw of Vancouver on November 2, 1912: <u>Ibid</u>., Vol. 6 (November 1910 - May 1914), p. 155. Buda Singh received a si month sentence from Judge Howay on April 4, 1912 at New Westminster: <u>Ibid</u>., p. 108. During the month of June 101 an eighteen year old blacksmith was sentenced to twelve months imprisonment: <u>Ibid</u>., Victoria Gaol, Pecord and de scription of list of all prisoners received in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 13 (February 22, 1910 - September 1914), p. 2 Walter Abern, a forty-two year old married timbercruised was sentenced to eighteen months imprisonment by Police Magistrate Edmonds at New Westminster on August 16, 1911: <u>Ibid</u>., p. 5. On July 20, 1907 a nineteen year old male was sentenced to twelve months imprisonment by a Supreme Court Judge at Medicine Hat, Alberta. "' served his sentence at the 'etheridge Guard Poom: Cauada Sassional Farce (1900).

<sup>34</sup>PABC. Attorney General Fapers, Inspector of Gaols Victoria Gaol, List of Frisoners under sentence in "ictoria Gaol, Vol. 16 (Jenuary 1900 - October 1910), n. p. The following cases are but a few examples of the various senten or meted out during this time region. "On eptember 4, 1900 one Francisco Rodreque was charged with having committed at act of gross indecency and was convicted and sentenced to two years imprisonment by the Honourable Mr. Justice Graig": contained in "The Report of Inspector C. Starnes, Commanding B Division, Dawson for the year ended 1000, in "The Arryst Report of the Commissioner of the Worth Weet Mounted I like. Canada, Sessional Papers 1901). V 1. XTVV. Prior CPD, 1. 20 On October 10, 1910 Harry Snigh the cive a two and the triyears intence from Judge Mutchy of the Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector C. Starnes INFR. A the General Engers Inspector C. Starnes INFR. A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector C. Starnes INFR. A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the Follow of Principal Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the General Engers Inspector Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A the Canada Starnes Covert FARC A the Covert FARC A th

DCF, ILminster in a state of the second s

See for example the case of '. B incletger. wh was sorteneed to one year imprisonment and 'en laches is Judge 'lemen' at Vancouver on Serte ber 30 1012; FAFC, At toricy Gener L Taters, Inspector of Garle, 'ew Wistminster Garl, Feturn of Frisoners Confired, Vol ('Hove her 1010 Ma 1011), p 100 On May 15, 1800 two moniere sonterce by the indee of a "ancourier Assisted Contact to two seare im prisoners and twenty four lashes each: "Crimical Statisti for the year ended Septem er 30, 1997. Canada, Sessional Fapers, V 1, XXX1 ('99), Tater (d. 246. National Statisti instead of twenty here to be to ter Jashes 'y office Alexandor (Serte ) is the Jashes 'y office is a serter of the terminal Statistics (of the section of the sec 1910), n. p. W. Gutchfield was sentenced to three years imprisonment plus twenty lashes by Judge Young at Prince Rupert on April 3, 1912: <u>Ibid.</u>, Vol. 6 (November 1910 - May 1914), p. 114. On June 13, 1896 a man was sentenced to three years and thirty lashes by a County Court Judge at Nanaimo: Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1896, Canada, Sessional Papers Vol. XXXI (1897), Faper 8d, n. p.

<sup>37</sup>"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1903, Canada, Sessional Papers Vol. XXXVIII (1904), Topor 17, n. p.

<sup>38</sup>PABC. Attorney General Papers, Inspector of Gaols, Victoria Gool. List of Frisoners under sentence in Victoria The Tol. 17 (November 1010 - 1914), p. 156.

<sup>30</sup> Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1894, " Canada Sessional Papers, Vol. XXXI (1897), Fa. par 01, p. 246.

"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 189; " Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXII (1898), Pa-Per 8d. Table VII. "Pardons and Commutations," n. p.

"Criminal Statistics for the year ended September 30, 1903," Canada, <u>Sessional Papers</u>, Vol. XXXVII (1904), Fa

"2. Criminal Statistics for the year orded September "," Canada, Sessional Papers, Vol. XLIII (197 ). To Table VII (197 ). To der and (197 ). To 1 .

'. Ibid.

"SCR, "single 1 (" leary), the first of the second

Lbid., rit the second second second second

The frimine (Wetaskiwin), the H Car, Smith 1999, Subgert : 5,

 $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2}$ 

, 1

<sup>49</sup>DCR, Criminal (Wetaśkiwin), File #535, Case: R. v. S. Scott (1912), indecent assault on a male.

4

## CHAPTER VII

## Protecting Public Morality: Obscene Literature and Immoral Entertainment

As the lines between acceptable and unacceptable sexual behaviour became more rigid in the late Victorian and Edwardian era. concerted efforts were made to mould and then entrench certain sexual and moral values wothin Canadian society. In addition to the federal government and the criminal justice system, women's groups and moral reform organizations universally condemned premarital sex, birth control, childless marriages, infidelity and homosexuality. Arguing that both the moral fabric and the very existence of Canada as a nation rested upon a morality which valued home, marriage and motherhood, legislators, judges and reformers elevated these values to shring like institutions. The criminalization of all forme of homosexual encounters, the use, advertising and colling of birth control devices as well as virtually all female sexual activity without marriage through the insertion of the phrase 'of chaste character' in certain sections of the Criminal Code, serve as but a few examples of attempts to reinforce a certain type of sexual morality.

Yet the guardians of Canada's morality did not

between and among the sexes. In fact, their campaign also included attempts to dictate what, of a sexual nature, could be written in a letter, read in a pamphlet, book or newspaper and seen at the local playhouse or movie theatre. In fact, any type of literature or any form of entertainment of a sexual nature which did not actively promote the elements of traditional sexual morality was socially condemned and liable to be prosecuted under the relevant sections of the Criminal Code as being immoral, obscene or indecent. Evidently a certain segment of Canadian society in the late nimeteenth and early twentieth century firmly believed that the standards of public morality could and should be protegred by statute law.

It is well beyond the scope of this chapter to examine the evolution and application of every law designed to protect public morality in Canada or even in Western Canada during the years chosen for this study. As a result, the material to be discussed has been severely limited. Firstly only those laws specifically designed to deal with obscene material and immoral entertainment have been discussed. In addition, certain precedent-set fing cases in England and Canada have been examined in order to gain some understanding of what was considered to be an obscene publication or an immoral act by the courts. The cases selected indicate that legal defin itions of obscenity, and immorality were fluid and ober-

Since the invention of the mexing picture

foundly influenced the nature of public, entertainment during this time period, a preliminary inquiry has been made into the question of how one community in Western Canada, namely Lethbridge, Alberta, attempted to influence what its residents could and could not see at the local motion picture theatres from 1918 to 1920. During this brief two year time span, a number of sex related films were shown in Lethbridge. An analysis of the movie advertisements coupled with the responses of various clergymen, policemen and prominent citizens to the films provides the historian with a set of attitudes displayed by a segment of society towards obscenity and immorality in entertainment.

Thus, this chapter has been designed to move from generalities to specifics. It begins with a discussion of what the written law of Canada and England actually said about obscene material and immoral entertainment and then it shifts to an analysis of the law's practical application in precedent setting cases. And it concludes with a case study of one community's attempt to apply its perceptions of acceptable public entertainment to its residents and thereby influence, what of a sexual nature could be such at the theatres.

The restriction of publications of an obscene nature in Canada was not a new offence created by a particular section of the 1892 Criminal Code. In fact, two vears prior to codification, every one in Canada committed:

1.00

(a.) publicly sells, or exposes for public sale or to public view, any obscene book, princ, picture, or other indecent exhibition; or any publication recommending sexual immorality, even if the recommendation was made in good faith and for what the Duplisher considers to be the public good. (b.) publicly exhibits any disguisting object.

Although this article, numbered 218, contained a statement that the servicing of the PADic good was of little consequence when it came to selling or exposing an obscene book or an indecent exhibition to the public, G. W. Burbidge and Sir James Stepher Appressed an alternate opinion. In fact, both men suggested that a person could be justified in showing certain dischating objects and publishing. obscene material if the good of the public would be served by their publication or expuasive. Thus justification could be forthcoming if morality, Apligion, science, art, literature or the administration or justice was somehow advan tageously served. However, they both argued that justification would be quickly ended when the exposure of the material went beyond what the public good 'guine' with recards to the specific matter rublished

Despite the use of SUAN abstract order as good fail. the public good and instigible exposure, no definition of what constituted and to one back. Fint and picture, or a indecent exhibition, or a divensiting object ups contained in article 218. However, it is evident that Stephen and Burbidge wore suggesting a fracible definition when they alluded to the fact that a trapeoux prorequisite for store cification as an income with the stephen and structure of the state of the
hibition was the recommendation of sexual immorality. Yet, for all intents and purposes, the words obscenity and indecency were devoid of legal definitions and as a result, were constantly discussed in abstract terms.

In a somewhat similar fashion, the posting of "any obscene or immoral book, pamphlet, picture, print, engraving, lithograph, photograph or other publication, matter or thing of an indecent, immoral, seditious, disloyal, scurrilous or libellous character" for delivery or transmission through the mail was classified as a misdemeanor according to article 219 in Burbidge's <u>Digest</u>.<sup>3</sup> Once again, obscenity and indecency were not legally defined; and neither were the words scurrilous or libellous. Thus one section of the Criminal Code subjectively prohibited the posting of nearly every form of so-called unacceptable literature in Canada, ranging from sexual and immoral concerns to libellous and political matters. Article 219 further stipulated that a person was liable to criminal prosecution if he or she put things of an indecent, immoral, seditious, disloyal, scurrilous or libellous character' on an envelope, post hand, ment usid or wrapper."

When Canada adopted its Criminal Code in 1892, some substantive changes were made in the sections which dealt with obscene literature and the posting of such material through the mail. Although both offences continued to be designated as 'Offences against Morality.' they were reclas sified from the category of a misdemeanor to that of an in dictable offence with the possibility upon conviction of

1

two years imprisonment.<sup>5</sup> However, the most substantial alteration was not in this reclassification, but in the terminology used in the Criminal Code. Admittedly, there was no change in the wording or intent of section 180<sup>6</sup> which had been Burbidge's article 219 dealing with the posting of obscene and/or immoral publications through the mail system. But the same cannot be said for section 179 of the Code which seems to have appeared as article 218 in the earlier digest of the criminal law of Canada.

According to section 170 of the Criminal Code,

who knowingly (a.) publicly sells, or exposed, for public sale or to public view, any obscene book or other printed or written matter, or any picture, photograph, model or other object, tending to corrupt morals?

was guilty of an indictable offence. Part (b.) also noted that a person could be sentenced to a maximum of two years imprisonment if found guilty of rublicly exhibiting a disgusting object or an indecent show.<sup>8</sup> Even at a cursory glance, there are cororal noticeable differences in this section when it is compared to article 218. One of the most obvious in the physical separation of obscene literature into part (a.) and indecent shows into part (b.) alongside disgusting objects. Apparently this change was merely a cosmetic one because obscene literature, indecent exhibitions and disgusting objects chill remained under the gencial subric of section 179.

Other observed to were sone to triat assthetic. For

example, the phrase 'without justification,' which had formeq part of the earlier article, had been dropped and the word 'wnowingly' inserted. As a result, the intent of the law was subtly changed and new legal problems emerged. Undar the new section of the Criminal Code, a person could have Conceivably argued that they were not guilty of the offence because they had not known what they had sold or ex $p^{o}seq$  to the public. In addition and perhaps more important, a perform could also claim that although they had knowledge  $c^{\dagger}$  what they had been selling or exposing, they had not con $e^{i}dereg$  it to be either obscene or indecent. Thus, in order to obtain a conviction under section 179, the key word for  $t^{h_{o}}$  prosecution became 'knowingly:'9

Another difference between the Burbidge-Stephen afticl® and the relevant section of the Criminal Code re-. 18tes to the feeble attempts made to provide the courts with a workaple definition as to what constituted obscene and/or inflocent material. Burbidge and Stephen had suggested that on's possible way of discerning if something was obscene was whatper it recommended sexual immorality or not. But the inflocent in criminal Code chose to broaden the frame of or effice. Instead of requiring an explicit or even an im plicit statement recommending sexual immorality, section 170 metely equate obscene material with a tendency to corrupt tubic horal. Given the earlier discussion concerning the inflor the word 'knowingly,' this is a rather interor inflor shange. While it would be somewhot difficult to material, it would be relatively simple to present the argument that a publication or exhibition could have a tendency to corrupt public morality. In terms of the written law, a recommendation required a definite statement; a tendency required no such statement. Thus, it would be easier to obtain a conviction for publicly selling or exposing obscene material if the prosecution continded that the material merely tended to corrupt public morality rather than bluntly recommended sexual innet ality. But despite this change, obscenity and ind

It is readily apparent that the last major change which appeared in section 179 took into account Stephen's and Burbidge's submission concerning the feasibility of acquittel through the justification of exposure of the al legedly observe material for the public good. Whereas article 218 made no specific statement in Mis matter. section 170 emphatically stated that the could be en choled of any offence to the 5 million to the presence tha the rulli goal war constitution · 1 Stol to Lyngs beer done, 10 The contract 1. j. j. Le the public secon

when minute and intervention of the month in the month in the month of the month of

the turn of the twentieth century the section was amended to

read:

Every one is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to two years imprisonment who knowingly, without lawful justification or excuse, --(a) manufactures, or sells, or ex poses for sale or to public view, distributes or circulates, or causes to be distributed or circulated, any obscene book, or other printed, typewritten or otherwise written matter, or any picture, photograph, model, or other object tending to corrupt morals; or, (b) publicly exhibits any disgusting ubject or any indecent show. 1

Three years later, federal legislators moved to expand the parameters of section 179 to include a wide variety of public entertainment which had become exceedingly repular, accessible and cheap. By the Criminal Code Amendment Act of 1903, a new section numbered 179 (a) superceded the old one. Taking offect on July 23, 1903, this amondment stated that any one who was the lessee, sgent or manager of a theatre which presented is allowed to be presented on the premise , "any impropil, indices for observe play, opera; co sul, accolutive surjety, or vandeville performance. . ther enter tainment or representation" was guilty of a criminal offeren A violation of this values could be punishable a so indictable a summary If found goil - mon indictment, a person con iction were light a maximum of one vear imprisonment with or without hand taken and, or a fine of \$ \$60.00 If "'e per זקיששיים ריייל בייל אינייל ביועי יוספ conviction, s giv m to an a sea \$ 51 1 2 ... 10

Even the actors and the actresses were not immune from criminal prosecution. If they were found guilty upon so many conviction of remorning in an importation indecent performance they were lightle to the encoding imprison ment and or a fine not to exceed \$20.0 . <sup>10</sup> And finally any one who was summarily found guilty of wearing an indepent costume was face with the pescipility of simplicity of sim

~

The body of the state of the s

 $(\{1,1\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\},$  $-1 + e^{2} + \frac{1}{2} + e^{2} + \frac{1}{2} + e^{2} + 1e^{2} + 1e^{2}$ and a second second the first second s 10 and a later of the second state of the second state of 1 the states 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 15. 1 1 1. 4 **, 1** . . . whad no thoughts on the matter or that no guidelines were provided. On the contrary, adjudicators, legislators, entertainment critics and spokesmen for moral reform groups all expressed certain basic attitudes towards the nature of checenity in late Victorian and Edwardian society. Interestingly their opinions were not unique to the Cauadian situation. In fact their origins can be the cauadian situation. In fact their origins can be the the English experience in general and the 1868 crews Hicklin case in particular.

Throughout the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries placed to cases were heard and anti-obscenity laws were ruscol is England in 1708, for example, James Read was intict down obsige of printing a scandalous book entitle' The Fifteen Flagues of a Maidenhead. Although the indge stated that the book contained 'bawdy stuff,' he when the 'a secular court had no jurisdiction 🚣 such valler . It has a concern for a spiritual co to be word later in 1927. Edmund Curl Ex th blisher Verus in the Cleister or The Nun in Her Smock was conis a of the crime of obscene libel and sent to the pil-Arphion the conviction in this case rested upro 1 .. not religious comments ontain i to the book rather +1 a with Apliathere 18

212

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR

proposed what would eventually become known as the Obscene Publications Act. Designed to eliminate the dissemination of obscene material, the act stipulated that a warrant could be issued to search any premise, if, upon sworn in formation, it was suggested the locale was housing obscent matter for distribution and/or sale. If the material in question was found, the owner of the premises hid thap pear before the courts and exclain its precises and the it should not be destroyed.<sup>20</sup>

The Obscere Fublications Act was put to the fost. most notably in a precedent sotting case tried in the late 1860s. In 1868, a Frotestant by the name of Henry Sect was brought to court at Wolverhampton for publishing an anti Catholic tract called The Confessional Unmasked: shew ing the depravity of the Roman Priesthood, the iniquity of the Confessional and the questions put to females in conupon hearing the ovidence which included the man fession tioning of formication and oral dox in the pamphlet, the presiding magis rate, Penjamin Hicklin ordered the science and destruction of all copies of The Confessional Un asked Appealing Highlin's decision of the grounds that the to was more concerned with wracing a ligious her evice the comptive public morphity the applituilation of converte of account improve inting, Scott block big age to Grantes leastern. There, Hi klinte order for satisfie to the at The second states of at a fine of the state state is a second state of 1 **1** 1 1 - + \* 1 The second second second

decision given in Quarter Session and supported the original one as stated by Hicklin.<sup>21</sup> More important, Cockburn stated that the test for obscenity should be "whether the tendency of the matter charged as obscenity is to deprave and corrupt those whose minds are open to such immoral influences and into whose hands a publication of this cort may fall."<sup>22</sup>

With this statement, Chief Justice Cockburn had given obscenity a legal definition. However, it was a definition based upon subjective terminology. Although Cockburn had suggested that the test of obscenity should be whether the material tended to 'deprave and corrupt,' he neglected to define 'deprave and corrupt.' By their very nature, these words imply a subjective evaluation. Thus, the Chief Justice had merely replaced one undefined word with two of them. But despite this drawback, Cockburn's definition became the accepted barometer in measuring obscenity in those cases which were tried in Great Britain, Canada and the United States during the late nine icenth and early twentieth century.<sup>23</sup>

Instead of making pioneer decisions<sup>24</sup> based upon the specifics of a case within Canada, judges and lawyers throughout the country constantly referred to the Queen mercus Micklin ruling as the grounds for either prose oution, conviction, acquittal or appeal. A prime example of this phanomenon was the King versus Reamer case.<sup>25</sup> On February 27, 1004 Lodenn Reaver appeared before Charl a the sty out to compute indge of Esson, the set

under section 179 of the Criminal Code with distributing obscene material on December 24, 1903 at Windsor, Ontario. Beaver had been circulating a paper which told the story of another obscenity case involving David Livingstone McKay, the publisher of the <u>Flying Roll</u> and <u>New Eve Success</u>.

In one of these papers, McKay had carried, among other things, the explicit account of the torture and debauchery of a number of women at the hands of a male or males who repeatedly committed the assaults with medical instruments. One of the women attacked had been McKay's wife. As a result of publishing the details of the attacks McKay was charged and convicted of selling obscene material fending to corrupt morals. When Beaver was are rested she was distributing yet another paper written by McKay which contained not only a summary of the case but a statement that the man found guilty of perpetrating the assaults wes. in fact, innecent and that McKay should be vindicated for pullishing the facts. But more important, he alluded to the fact thet the Olice of Folies of Tele it Michigan and the Mayor of Whiles are compared of chin The commal addamte.

Beaver's case was argued before the out on No vember 04, 1904. Although her lawyer, J. W. Hanna attempted to confine the defence to the parameters given within section 179 of the Criminal Code, the presecution did not. According to Hanna, the case revolved around two last questions firstly, did the words used in the paper

sufficient evidence to prove that the defendant had 'knowingly' circulated the paper or that she even knew how to read? The Hicklin case did not appear in the defence. However, the prosecuting lawyer, Deputy Attorney-General John R. Cartwright dismissed Hanna's arguments and specifically cited the Queen versus Hicklin case in his statements. After hearing all the evidence, the judge found Beaver guilty as charged but reserved the two questions posed by the defence to the Court of Appeal.<sup>27</sup>

an thair a

On January 25, 1905, the Court of Appeal at Toronto affirmed Beaver's conviction. The judges quickly dismissed the second question as to whether the accused knew what she was distributing with an affirmative ans-Although the question as to whether the paper was wer: obscene within the meaning of section 179 was also answered positively, two of the five judges provided some insight into the nature of obscenity. Judge Osler argued that the meaning of the word obscene was directly related to its classification within the Criminal Code. Therefore since section 179 was placed in the 'Offences against Morality' category, it must refer to some measure of indecency and sexual immorality. He further noted the definition of obscene as found in the Oxford Dictionary; 'offensive to modesty, or decency; expressing or suggesting unchaste or lustful ideas, impure, indecent, lewd. Despite the fact that Osler thought the paper was "disgust ing" rather than

ng" rather than "suggestive" and was "the disconnected avings of a lunatic;" he still believed the conviction -216

should be affirmed.<sup>28</sup>

Agreeing with Osler's decision, Judge Maclaren expressed his thoughts on a definition of obscenity. inally, he noted, the word obscene had been used to describe anything which was considered to be "disgusting, repulsive, filthy, or foul."<sup>29.</sup> But by 1904 it had been restricted to "something offensive to modesty or decency, or expressing or suggesting unchaste or lustful ideas, or being impure, indecent, or lewd."30 According to Maclaren the language that appeared in McKay's paper was "so foul and disgusting that it would prove repulsive to most persons reading it, and is so gross that there would be no danger of its corrupting their morals." However, he quickly added that there was a certain segment of society which would become excited by these ideas instead of being repulsed by them. Arguing that the true test for obscenity was Cockburn's 1868 statement as to the tendency of the material to deprave and corrupt susceptible minds, Maclaren felt that McKay's paper fell into the category of obscene litera-Thus, he too affirmed the conviction. 31 ture.

In a similar fashion, the Hicklin rule was applied in the first reported case<sup>32</sup> involving theatrical performances as prohibited by the new section 179a of the Criminal Code as created by the Criminal Gode Amendment Act of 1903. This case, the King versus McAuliffe,<sup>33</sup> was heard on April 16, 1904 at Halifax, Nova Scotia and involved the behaviour and costume of a female dancer. Noting that there was no fixed meaning of the word indecent in. the written law, the presiding judge, W. B. Wallace applied the Hicklin test of depravity and corruption to the case. After hearing the evidence, he acquitted the accused and issued the following statement:

> The evidence showed that these dresses were the stage costumes usually worn by ballet-dancers, and the dancing was the ordinary ballet-dancing which took place in the performances repeatedly witnessed by respectable citizens here and elsewhere throughout Canada. 34

The King versus McAuliffe case set a legal precedent for Canadian judges and lawyers. Henceforth, all theatrical performances could be judged according to their tendency 'to deprave and corrupt.'

The courts even went so far as to apply the Hicklin rule to a motion picture representation of a boxing match. In 1910, a judge in Nova Scotia ruled that "A moving picture representation of a prize-fight, however brutal and vulgar it may be, is not 'obscene' within the meaning of the term as explained in the Hicklin case."<sup>35</sup> Evidently then the Canadian courts applied the Cockburn test for obscenity to literature, theatrical performances and motion pictures.

Several debates which occurred in the House of Commons also reflected a similar concern with obscene literature and immoral entertainment and its tendency 'to deprave and corrupt.' Two prime examples are the discussions which took place in the March 23, 1903, and May 12, 1909 sittings of the House. In the first instance, the Liberal Minister of Justice, the Honourable Charles Fitzpatrick-was arguing for stricter control over immoral en-

tertainment because there had been such performances in Canada "which have a demoralizing effect on the youth of the community."<sup>36</sup> When questioned as to the definition of indecent and immoral, Fitzpatrick replied that these words appeared in a number of sections of the Criminal Code and needed no written definitions "because every man ought to know what is immoral."<sup>37</sup> The very fact that Fitzpatrick mentioned the demoralizing effects of immoral performances indicates that he adhered to the concept of depravity and corruption as the measurement of indecency, immorality and obscenity.

At the same time, Sir Charles Hibbert Tupper argued the very lack of definition in the Criminal Code created more problems than it solved. He noted there was a large segment of Canadian society which viewed all modern plays and novels as indecent and immoral. In fact, in Eastern Canada people were pasting over certain parts of placards which they considered to be immoral but were considered legitimate in England. He further added, "we must remember that in all the best plays of the present day there is per haps in a strict application something that might have an indecent tendency." Consequently, because of this clas sification, certain plays and performers might not be allowed to appear in Canada. Therefore Tupper suggested that there was in fact; a difference between the words immoral and indecent and obscene. Obscenity was a much harsher word and was an undesirable commodity whether it was produced by talent or not. As far as Tupper was concerned

the word obscene was far "less susceptible to misunderstanding than the words immoral or indecent." <sup>39</sup> Once again however, implied in Tupper's use of the word obscene was the tendency to 'deprave and corrupt.'

A few years later, Robert Borden brought it to the attention of the May 12, 1909 sitting of the House of Commons that the distribution of immoral and indecent literature in Canada was appalling. Referring to this fact, Borden raised a question concerning the nature of proof in a case involving a charge of distributing, selling or circulating obscene material. Reverend Chown Aylesworth responded that it was very similar to providing the possession of liquor. 40 However, Aylesworth seriously wondered if any worthwhile purpose would be served by sending a teenage boy to jail who had a sexually suggestive picture in his Such occurrences, he noted, were not unknown or possession. uncommon with school boys. And arguing that there was a difference between corrupting one's own morals and corrupt-

ing someone else's Aylesworth stated:

It is not as serious a thing at any rate, that a man should be corrupting his own morals as that he should be corrupting the morals of somebody else, and if a man for any reason, wants to carry about in his pockets an immoral or an indecent picture for his own consumption, so to speak, I do not know that anybody is hurt except himself.42

However, if Parliament did not accept this argument, which was, in fact, an early expression of what is now referred to as victimless crime, then the police would have to be given the right to arrest and/or search a person

on the suspicion that they were in possession of obscene material. Aylesworth seriously wondered if Members of Parliament were willing to undertake such measures. Surely, he argued, the protection of public morality was more important and more feasible.<sup>43</sup>

Such thoughts are clearly reflected in the Graf case of April 1909 and the McCutcheon case of November 1909. In the first case, Martin Graf, alias Martin Munroe of Buffalo, New York was charged with selling obscene material in Toronto, Ontario during the month of March 1900. In delivering a guilty verdict in his chambers one month later, Judge Rid dell expressed the opinion that the corruption of public morals was a serious offence. In fact, he argued that it was as serious, perhaps more serious than assault causing bodily hard. According to Riddell:

> One who administers physical poison so as to inflict upon another grievous bodily harm is liable to 14 years imprisonment; one who administers mental and moral poison, and thereby inflicts grievous harm upon the mind and soul, even if this is not possibly, indeed probably, accompanied by bodily harm as well is let off with two years - rather a reversal of the injunction not to fear them that kill the body and after that have no more that they can do.44

Obviously. Riddell was concerned with protecting the morality of the public and not just its physical well-being.

A similar attitude can be seen in the McCutcheon case. On November 5, 1909 at the Lethbridge Judicial Court. Robert McCutcheon was found guilty on two counts involving obscene material. The first charge involved the ressession

of certain pictures which tended to corrupt public morals while the second charge concerned McCuthceon assisting in the circulation of the pictures. Although McCutcheon admitted that he had purchased the pictures for fifty cents from a man at Medicine Hat on October 28, 1909, he told the court that the pictures, were not for himself but for someone After hearing the evidence, Chief Justice Sifton ruled else. that any one who knowingly bought an obscene picture for another person and had possession of the picture for the purpose of delivering it to the other person was guilty of having in his possession for circulation a picture which tended to corrupt public morals. Sifton further added that it was inconsequential as to whether the person who had purchased the picture had exhibited it to anyone or not. 45 Perhaps if McCutcheon had echoed Aylesworth's argument that he had purchased the pictures for himself and not for any other person, Sifton would have delivered a different verdict.

It is readily apparent that this concern over the protection of public morality was not confined to Canadian legislators and adjudicators. Women's organizations and churches all expressed a similar concern over the tendency of obscene literature and immoral entertainment 'to deprave and corrupt' public morals. For example, the Manitoba branch of the Women's Christian Temperance Union met on July 1, 1902 and passed a resolution condemning certain theatrical and immoral amusements at the Winnipeg Industrial Fair. The women also urged that every effort should be made "to prevent the circulation of impure literature.

~

the use of coarse posters and the reporting in newspapers of demoralizing stories and incidents."  $^{46}$ 

Three years later, in a Pastoral letter dated November 26, 1905, Archbishop Bruchési of Montreal condemned the French theatres for producing "bad Parisian plays." In his opinion, a Catholic city like Montreal had no need "of such literature and of such plays brought from a country where morality and modesty are only bin words."<sup>17</sup> Less than two weeks later. Pruchési issued a second lastoral letter. This time he denounced certain theatres and issued a warning to their managers of "measures more efficacious, perhaps, they the law of the state."<sup>18</sup> Then on Parember 24 of the same year, Archbishop Begin of Quebec City instructed that a local theatre producing immoral plays should be denounced in every pulpit in the city.<sup>40</sup>

On July 0, 1908, the Saskatchewan Methodist Confer ence voiced similar concerns to those which had been express sed in the 1902 resolution by the Wome is Christian I mper ance Union - Limiting their write is newspapers. The Conference registered a complaint a circulation of interval newspaper supplements, which it claracteric on the ulgar. I meralizing and insulting to their readers, and tenewspaper harmful ad enticements and a negational criminal two And at the annual meeting of the Hation I Council of the which was hold or July 1 and 5, 1010 at Halifas II.

The manufacture of the second se

given by various churches and women's groups and the state ments made in the House of Commons was the constant reference to immorality and modesty and the harmful effects of certain types of plays, newspapers and motion pictures on public morality Whether these groups and Members of Parliament were aware of it or not, they were echoing Cockburn's test for obscenity. The idea that obscenity was measured by the tendence of something to deprace and corrupt' public morals had permeated soveral areas of Canadian law and society. And as different forms of rublic entertainment, most notably the moving picture, em uged in the early twentieth contury, assurances had to be given that a particular film or play was ach likely to corrupt or deprove the moralits of the steers is rather to on that of the mublic in priverbi

19.00

The first commercial exhibition of a moving picture in Conside was disclosed in 180% by Holland Prothers, an Ottowa firm. Two many later on July (1, 1806, ofnema)the araphy team with the procentation of an Edison Vitascope ringiamme it Oltowa a Wat Find Fork. In 1000, residents of the goal and Flucture were treat it musi g pictures of Over Vietning Clay Jubiles The Dese carly years of the control inductory within this country films were usually change in Electric to the much like the twenty by sixty foot to tupmed the Edison Flotsi. Theatre which could be found i Wining Manita's in 1900 " Then is 1902, the first hastic op med in the over and another one in . 1 a star star was and the state of the second second

. 224

eighty silent movie houses.<sup>57</sup> The motion ricture as a form of public entertainment was here to stay.

Since the invention of the moving picture did not merely produce a momentary supporta but a lasting impression upon society, the contents of this new form of onter tainment had to be strictly controlled. As has been previously mentioned. Theatre owners, writing and mexic ad vertisers attempted to pelice their own industry by issue ing assurances that public morelity was not going to be of fended by whet was shown. In example, the Continue 5. 1912 issue of the Wetashilin Times carried the fellering# statement by the owner of the Paproga Theoto in the town. Trans ristors have will be the Astely proc. Interesting and of atime. He righter will be slown that an possibly offend the most refined by te the the station ( chien, the compress to the new Cole in the class install side , which proto by hold on know he had not only on eice their poly The set of -2  $\sim 1$  -2  $\sim 1$   $\sim 100$  m gev = 2 (110)  $\sim 10$  (110)  $\sim 100$  m eVthe first of the provident for the second the system of the second and the second state of th (P) Consequences and the second se

travelogues or sentimental love stories.<sup>60</sup> Explicit portrayals of sexual matters or the human body were not condoned. However this was all to change with the experience of the war. Topics which had previously been untouchable became the subjects of feature length films. In fact, in the latter stages of the war and immediately afterwards, movies dealing with such things as birth control, venereal disease, the white share traffic and illicit sex, were shown the unclude an and interval.

No or thelese, the display of such films did not signot a tra of total provissiveness on the screen or by socirty Dother it was a qualified permissiveness or, more with tedly, porriggiver of with a purpose was ducate and inform. Fr example, any movie which discusto coupl motters heard the viewer the folly of, and heartseil viol fol on the slightest deviation from acceptable 1 11 constructions. In effect then, film become a cheap med the company points and poor, a loss fomale, a corin all conjugate and the delight he cirture foches family and ellerbook. We where is this i i taiji a hardethadde a fille for fore lolo to loco.

In chart this is it is a transmister is a set of a set of

film, the Lethbridge Herald carried a number of endorsements all designed to ensure that the film was acceptable viewing. For example, on June 3, 1918, the paper told its readers that Denver clubwomen, social workers, ministers. priests and Judges found Where Are My Children? well worth seeing.<sup>64</sup> Three days later, the Herald corried the follow ing statement by Dr. Alexander Lyons, the Rabbi of one of the largest congregations in Prockluss "I should consider myself a very fortunate preacher if I could in fifty or one hundred sermons preach as offentively on this picture does the certain ideas for which it stands " The follo ing year, the entertainment oritin for the maper endersed the film saying it was recessory to discuss hirt' control and abortion if the human ince way to survive. And who the film returned for yet arother engagement in May of 1020. it was met once again with favourable resiews, thi time by the Alberta Social Peform League, the prose and ministerial scolelier. " idently where fre 'y childre was well received by there is all in rectifing of a spi ity ad the general public. There is a statute to a . ... brief arreations in the theatre

Faily in the month of December 1010, so there photo play <sup>(P)</sup> designed to teach a lesson was show in tethbridge This time the topic was premarital new ord illesitive Entitled The "pmarried Mothop. 14 + 1d the story of six" name? F'i aboth Goodwin the but set with one been spice wort be supported into the set with one been spice that he support he here is into the formation of the test

-5

**n** . . .

with someone else. Although this man knows she is pregnant he still marries her. But, for her previous indiscretion, Elizabeth must atone. Consequently her baby dies and she herself falls ill. However, the death of the baby is her... punishment and Elizabeth recovers. The man who led her to her downfall also does not escape unscathed for he is brought to justice before the courts.<sup>69</sup> Thus,<sup>69</sup> the virtues of chastity and marriage are upheld while the follies of inclusivy and premarital sex are proven.

In its advertisements for <u>The Unmarried Mother</u> the Lethbridge <u>Herald</u> noted it was a story of special interest to every mother and father and every female who was contemplating marriage. Forgetting the obvious moral overtones implicit in the film, the December 5, 1918 issue of the paper argued, "It teaches without fear or favor, one. of the strongest lessons on the question of the unwelcome haby ever taught."<sup>70</sup> More specifically the entertainment critic drew a ranallel between the film and a very real problem facing post World War One society. What was going to herper the all the unmarried methers and their babies

Despite the fact that there was a lesson to be taught to wirls and boys in their terms, no one under sixteer years if age was in he admitted to The Unmarried Mother. Forhare the film would give the teenagers ideas of experimention with sex rather than provide a lesson in detertence. In effect, it might have a tendency to deprave and correct their menole instead of enhancing them. In any event, they were barred entry to the film. A similar prohibition awaited anyone under the age of sixteen who attempted to see a film entitled <u>Enlighten Thy Daughter</u> in June 1919,

Dealing with the timeless problem of what happens when boys and in particular girls are not taught the facts of life, Enlighten Thy Daughter was surrounded by a tremendous amount of publicity. Calling it "the most tremendous moral force the world has ever produced," prominent doctors, clergymen and social workers in Canada heartily 72 praised the film and its handling of the subject matter. ... In a June 6, 1919 letter to the manager of the Colonial Theatre in Lethbridge where the film was to be shown, Afton Elton, the President of the Lethbridge L.D.S. Relief Society wrote: "The film Enlighten Thy Daughter is a most interesting disclosure of the total effects of a modesty (so-called) which refuses to teach the human mind the true, relation of the human sex."73 In a similar fashion, the city's Commissioner of Public Works, H. W. Mesch was greatly impressed by the lesson taught in the film as was Dr. J. E. And the Lethbridge Herald noted. "There is Lovering. nothing the least bit sensual or indecent in the play, but it nevertheless drives home a lesson that is needed and hadly meded in our every day social system.

It is interesting to note that Elton, Meech and Lovering issued their statements after they had previewed Enlighten Thy Daughter upon the expressed invitation of Lev Duryn, the manager of the Colonial Theatre. The pro-

> 7). V

tice of having certain individuals preview a film was a way of controlling what the public could and indeed would see. One wonders if these three men or a majority of prominent people had not found this film to possess a moral lesson of upholding the traditional values of their so-

ciety, would people have lined up and been turned away at the Colonial? For example, Reverend Cannon Gale of Calgary expressed some reservations over the lesson taught

in the film. According to Gale;

The film has one glaring fault - the two men who cause the downfall of women escaped unscathed. A proper punishment ought to be meted out to the stronger sex who are instrumental largely for women's disgrace.

If a majority had expressed similar reservations about <u>Enlighten Thy Daughter</u>, it would be safe to assume that it would not have met with as much advance publicity or fanfare as a film which had been overwhelmingly endorsed by ministers, laymen and social workers.

Another film which met with a flurry of publicity was shown from August sixth to the ninth, 1919 in Lethbridge. It had the suggestive title <u>The Unpardonable Sin</u> and advertisements for the film indicate that it was in the genre of <u>Enlighten Thy Daughter</u> and <u>The Unmarried</u> <u>Mother</u>. According to an August 8, 1919 advertisement which appeared in the <u>Herald</u>, the film was

> wrought by degraded purposes and means the debauchery of virtue; the murder of purity; the slaughter of exalted womanhood; the crushed rose of chastity; the defilement of which crying from earth to

the God of Vengeance, must forever sear the honor of the brutal enemy.77 This was obviously the type of movie in which a great moral lesson could be found.

Despite the fact that The Unpardonable Sin dealt with such topics as chastity, purity and debauchery, it was more renowned for a certain scene than any lesson it imparted on the public. In the scene in question, a girl by the name of Miss Sweet, is left alone with a man characterized as "one of the most repulsive characters that has ever been represented on the screen." The villian attacks the heroine and despite her verbal protests and physical struggling, removes all her clothes except "the last flimsy garment." One report on the filming of the assault noted it had been photographed through a diaphragm of silk which created a silhouette effect. But more important, the scene had been so tastefully presented that there was "not even the slightest suggestion that this truly sensational bit has been 'dragged in by the heels' to pander to tastes which are low or depraved."78 Evidently then, the scene possessed nothing which would tend to 'deprave and corrupt' the morals of the viewer. In fact, children, if accompanied by their parents were allowed to see The Unpardonable Sin.

Another topic which was the subject of feature films was venereal disease. Three of the most notable presentations were Damaged Goods, Fit to Win and The End of the Boad. The first had been a successful Broadway play in

231

1915 and as a photoplay, Damaged Goods became a drama of moral uplift in seven awe inspiring parts" describing the effects of venereal disease. However three years later Fit to Win appeared and it was promoted as the "first and only motion picture to speak frankly and absolutely without fear or favor on the causes and effects of venereal diseases."<sup>80</sup> Endorsed by the Alberta Minister of Health in April 1920 for its educational value,<sup>81</sup> film was to be shown throughout the province. Initially only males over the age of sixteen were going to be allowed to see Fit to Win. But on April 3, 1920, the manager of the Colonial Theatre reported that it would try to make arrangements to have the film shown to females at a later date.<sup>82</sup> He must have been successful as the June 21, 1920 entertainment page of the Lethbridge Herald reported that Fit to Win was to be shown to the women of Lethbridge at an afternoon matinee., Once again, admission was restricted; no girls under sixteen years of age were to be admitted.83

But in late August 1920, young boys and girls of Lethbridge had the opportunity, if accompanied by a parent or guardian, to see yet another graphic portrayal of the causes and effects of venereal disease. For a mere fifty cent admission charge one could go to the Colonial Theatre and see Claire Adams, Calgary's first motion picture star<sup>84</sup> in <u>The End of the Road</u>. As was the case with <u>Enlighten Thy Daughter</u>, <u>Fit to Win</u> and <u>Where Are My Children</u>?. this film was strongly endorsed by several men and women for its handling of the subject matter and the lesson it taught.

Joseph Gillispie, the Lethbridge Chief of Police believed the film possessed great educational value.<sup>85</sup> Reverend W. P. Freeman, the pastor of the First Baptist church in Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan noted that the discussion of sex diseases in the film was excellent. In Freeman's opinion, "The story is chastely but truly told, making very clear the End of the Road of fast living, and the awful toll Canada is paying in this way."<sup>86</sup> The head nurse at Toronto's General Hospital heartily endorsed the film as an educational tool because young boys and girls in college had not been advised on sexual matters by their parents. Similar thoughts were expressed by E. R. Forster, the President of the Social Service League of Lethbridge and J. Norrington, the Lethbridge manager of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.<sup>88</sup> But perhaps more important, the film was endorsed by the Canadian National Council for combatting venereal disease.89

In any event, the advance publicity on <u>The End</u> of <u>the Road</u> was phenomenal. A few days before it was scheduled to open in Lethbridge, the <u>Herald</u> carried a brief account of the film's story in its August 26, 1020 enter tainment column under the rather unlikely heading 'CASUAI KISS MAY CARPY DISEASE." Using that venereal disease more often than not. Lunks in a kids, the article went on to state that the new film presented with dramatic clarity. a striking indictment against loose living and free love. <sup>CO</sup> On August 30, 1920, the day the film opened, the narma coil The End of the Road, on the presented with The Public Work public aganda with a worthwhile purpose; that being a warning to young boys and girls about the disasters awaiting anyone who indulged in promiscuity.<sup>91</sup> The next day the <u>Herald</u> gave the following warning to the potential movie goers: "It may shock you if you are over-sensitive, it may offend you if you are prudish, but the fact remains that it will certainly leave a lasting impression on you."<sup>92</sup> Thanks to these brief comments in the papers, advance publicity and endorsements, the viewers had been forewarned as to the film's subject matter, its presentation and its message. Once again, the values of traditional sexual morality were reinforced in the mind of the public.

Implicit in the previewing of a film by certain members of society before it was released for public consumption and its subsequent endorsement by such individuals in newspaper advertisements was the concept of censorship. But it was censorship with a difference. Those people involved had no official mandate for such an undertaking. This is not to say that there was no official system to exert some measure of control over the contents of motion 🄝 pictures shown in Canada. In fact, prior to World War One, p number of provinces had created their own censor boards. for example, Manitoba had established a board of censors in 1911 and by the end of 1913, the other three western provinces had followed suit.93 These newly created censor boards were primarily interested in protecting the public from any film which had the tendency to 'deprave and corrupf' morality. In addition to scenes depicting seduction,

infidelity and indecency, the censors also defined murder, gambling, insanity and Americanism as objectionable in motion pictures.<sup>94</sup> Thus, after 1913, films shown in the West were subject to both an unofficial and official system of censorship.

On June 19, 1918, the first conference of motion picture censors was held at Calgary, Alberta. Meeting at the Palliser Hotel, censors from Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia discussed the formation of an association to promote closer cooperation between the censor boards of the different provinces.95 A year later, the boards of the four western provinces agreed to unite with those of Ontario and Quebec to create the Canadian Moving Picture Censor Board. As a result of this arrangement, if a film was condemned or approved by one provincial board, the other three boards were notified immediately. It was hoped that a national censor board would promote a national viewpoint with regards to the. motion picture industry in Canada. According to a July 16. 1919 editorial on the matter, "The fact that what is rejected in one part of Canada will practically be to jected in the whole will tend largely to elevate the tone of moving rictures."96

At times, however, the official system of censor ship whether it was directed towards a film or a book was at odds with the unofficial system of censorship as displayed in public endorsements and editorial comments Our example is the reaction to a film released in carly

1920 entitled <u>The A-B-C of Love</u>. This film was criticized by a number of morality guardians because it contained a somewhat explicit scene of the female lead character taking a bath. Accusations were made that the censor who viewed the film must have been blinded, otherwise the film would never have passed. The owner of the Colonial Theatre in Lethbridge previewed the picture and in a statement issued on April 1, 1920, he assured his patrons that there was nothing objectionable in the film.<sup>97</sup> Although some members of society thought the depiction of a woman taking a bath was objectionable on moral grounds, other members of society did not and perhaps more important neither did the censor. 236

Another prime example of divergent opinions as to what should be censored appears in yet another 1920 case involving Hall Caine's story entitled The Woman Thou Gavest Me, which was later made into a photoplay. It marked the first time that a story which had been banned in book form was allowed to be exhibited as a motion picture in Alberta.98. The Woman Thou Gavest Me told the story of "a sweet innocent girl" whose father married her to a may she hated and who used her father's movey to support his numerous affairs. Miserable with this situation, the girl meets and falls in love with another man who returns his affection to her. Eventually, she leaves her husband to find happiness with this other man. Instead of condenning her actions, Caine attempts to defend the young wif An a result #the atory was hanned in book form,

Although the film censor had the right to ban a photoplay if its story line dealt with domestic infidelity, the Alberta censor allowed <u>The Woman Thou Gavest Me</u> to be shown in the province. Why? Beyond the basic moral implications of the wife's infidelity, the film posed a much more fundamental question to the audience. Should society expect a woman to stay in a marriage such as the one depicted in this photoplay? The film suggests a neg ative answer. A February 2, 1020, newspaper advertisement for the movie warned the viewing public that it provided a totall: new angle on the double standard of morality. The same article also told those women who were harry in their marital union not to judge the hereine of the story too harshly for her actions.<sup>100</sup>

۴.

For all intents and purposes, The Woman Thou Gavest Me was in the genre of Enlighten Thy Daughter, The End of the Road, The Unpardonable Sin, Fit to Win, Where Are My Children? and The Unmarried Mother. It too was a film designated to educate the public. When as the previously mentioned ser education films discussed the delicate mat ters of birth control, venueal disease. illesitioner and promiscuity. The Woman Then Gavest Me tarklad the very of issue of merality itself. In the recentation of domestic infidely's, the fill adapta issues ( contractory upp chai that the twenties of a meet of an office that je and pode of convel carde to the cales and a other for the concernant à ia . even to put the second second to get a 1. . . . . and the second

Much like the sex education films, The Woman Thou Gavest Me was an attempt to reinforce the traditional values of chastity, modesty, purity and the institution of marriage. In her infidelity, the heroine was merely trying to obtain the happiness which was implicit in these values. She did not leave her unworthy husband to become a prostitute; she left him to go with a man who possessed all the attributes necessary to make a good husband in a marriage arrangement. While sex education films promoted certain mores through numerous displays of the follies incurred by deviating from acceptable sexual behaviour, The Woman Thou Gavest Me told the story of a woman who, despite her abominable situation, continued her search for marital hljen.

The evidence presented in this chapter suggests " that concerted efforts were made throughout the 1890s and early 1900s to exect some measure of control over what Can adians were reading and uneine in print form as well as what they were viewing on the stage and later on the screp. Rer va tedly; atore. divdicators, morality guardian and compone was Judge Continuity Sele Setting tion of obscenity to say type of literature and say form of at regiment in Canada. Canodian cost provision cont so for as to compile a rermanent blacklist of 'ooks and mag spines which were prohibited entry into the country 19 If a book ficture, namplie's stag play remotion protur evel matters in which is not that a my alt Alach work States and the

238 .

promoted, then it was condomed by the law and society for its to low t to light and comptt the morals of the rolli

\*

At a time when the Se ial Gospel was at its zenith in Western Ganada, ary sexual behaviour which contradicto accertal's thistian at Mande of conval morality was, bu definitions in threat I the for four dations of Ganadian assists. A a second, anodian law as possibly tokens can be users that we wright used to be incomtanting is as realled as a right will be the beaute as in a second provide the state of the incomtanting is a second provide the state of a second provide the state of the state as income of the second provide the state as in a second provide the state of the state of the second provide the state as income of the second provide the state as income of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state of the state of the state of the state as a state of the state as a state of the sta

,

!

## FOOTNOTES

**e** 1

<sup>1</sup>G. Burbidge, <u>Digest of the Criminal Law of Canada</u> (Toron'o: Carswell Co., 1890), article 218, pp. 163-164 and J. Stephen, <u>A Digest of the Criminal Law</u> (London: Macnil'ar and "o., 1894), article 191, pp. 132-133. The word-

Burbidge. Digest of the Criminal Law of Canada,

Purbics Direct of the Criminal Law of Canada,

" " ħj.q.

launda. Criminal Code (1892). section 179 and 180.

According to section 180: "Every one is guilty of ar indictable offence and liable to two years imprisonment who rests for transmission or delivery by or through the post, any obscene or immoral book, pamphlet, newspaper, picture, print, engraving, lithegraph, photograph or any publication, matter or thing of an indecent, immoral, or us that include (1992).

Country (stating) (ode (1)) - restances

Thil.

OSee for example, R. v. Beaver, 9 <u>Canadian Criminal</u> <u>Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Criminal Code</u> (1802), section 179. <u>Criminal Code</u> (1802), section 179. <u>Criminal Code</u> (1802), section 179. <u>Criminal Law Amendment Act</u> (1900), <u>Criminal Law Amendment Act</u> (1900),

Crada Cristian in Amondment Act (1901)

Ł

13<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>14</sup>Ibid.

<sup>15</sup>Canada, <u>Revised Statutes of Canada</u> (1906). section 208.

16<sub>Ibid</sub>., section 209.

<sup>17</sup>W. Charles, "Obscene Literature and the Legal Process in Canada," <u>Canadian Bar Review</u>, Vol. 44 (1966), p. 243.

<sup>18</sup>K. Norwick, <u>Pornography: the Issues and the Law</u> (Public Affairs Committee, Inc., 1972), pp. 3-4. See also V. and B. Bullough, <u>Sin, Sickness and Sanity: A History of</u> <u>Sexual Attitudes (New York: New American Library, 1977)</u>

Norwick, Fornography: the Issues and the Law,

<sup>20</sup>Bullough, S<u>in, Sickness and Sanity</u>: A History of Sexual Attitudes, p. 169.

<sup>21</sup>Ibid., p. 170.

22. W. Kesterton, The Law and the Press in Canada (Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1076), p. 81.

In fact, "Until 1959, Canadian courts when required to determine the question of obscenity in liters ture, tested the material under review by its tendency corrupt and deprave thome with might read it." Charles "Obscene Literature and the toral Freeduct Charles to 277

G. Parker, An Introduction to (riminal law

25 R. BOARDE CONTINUE CONTRACTOR

Thid p 425
<sup>28</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 422.

<sup>29</sup>Ibid.

30<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>31</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 424.

32<sub>R. v. McAuliffe, 8 Canadian Criminal Cases</sub> (1904), pp. 21-24.

242

33<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>34</sup>Ibid., p. 23.

35<sub>R.</sub> v. L'Heureux, 17 <u>Nova Scotia Law Reports</u> (1910), p. 32.

<sup>36</sup>Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 23 March 1903, p. 316.

37 Ibid.

<sup>38</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 317. <sup>39</sup><u>Ibid</u>., p. 318.

<sup>10</sup>Canada. <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 12 May 1902. p. 6391.

<sup>41</sup>According to Aylesworth, "I think it is certainly not an uncommon thing for boys at school, possibly not an uncommon thing for boys at school, half grown young men in the teens, to get possessed by some hook or crook of a picture that they would not like to have found in their pockets." Canada, <u>Debates of the House of Commons</u>, 10 May 1909, p. 6392.

> <sup>42</sup>Irid <sup>43</sup>Ibid <sup>44</sup>Ibid

Graf. 15 Canadian Criminal Cases (1909),

McCutcheon, 15 Canadian Criminal Cases

(1909), p. 362.

14

<sup>46</sup>J. C. Hopkins, <u>The Canadian Annual Review of</u> <u>Public Affairs</u>, 1902 (Toronto: The Annual Review Publishing Co., 1903), p. 395.

<sup>47</sup>J. C. Hopkins, <u>The Canadian Annual Review of</u> <u>Public Affairs</u>, 1905 (Toronto: The Annual Review Publishing Co., 1906), p. 581.

48 Ibid. Perhaps Bruchési was threatening excommunication.

<sup>49</sup>Ibid.

<sup>50</sup>J. C. Hopkins, <u>The Canadian Annual Review of</u> <u>Public Affairs</u>, 1908 (Toronto: The Annual Review Publishing Co., 1909), p. 633.

<sup>51</sup>J. C. Hopkins, <u>The Canadian Annual Review of</u> <u>Public Affairs</u>, 1910 (Toronto: The Annual Review Fublishing Co., 1911), p. 313.

<sup>52</sup>Encyclopedia Ganadiana, Vol. 7 (Ottawa: The Canadiana Company Limited, 1958), p. 172.

<sup>53</sup>P. Robertson, <u>Pacts and Feats: A Guiness Record</u> <u>Book</u>, New York: Sterling Publishing Co., 1980), p. 14.

<sup>54</sup>D. Leonard, "Talking Movies Arrive," <u>The Spirit</u> of <u>Alberta: an illustrated heritage</u> (Edmonton: The Alberta Heritage Foundation, 1978), p. 165.

55P. Morris, Embattled Shadows: A History of Canadian Cinema, 1895-1939 (Montreal: Queen's University Free 1278). p. 15.

<sup>56</sup>Encyclopedia Canadiana, Vol. 7, pp. 172-175 and F. Morris, <u>Embattled Shadows: A History of Canadian Cinema</u>, 1895-1939, Chapter One.

57 Leonard. "Talking Movies Arrive." p. 165.

Wetackiwin Times, 5 September 1912, n. p.

50"New 'Colonial' to be Must Up-To-Date Photo-

<sup>60</sup>P. Morris, <u>Embattled Shadows: A History of Can</u>adian Cinema, 1895-1939, p. 13.

<sup>61</sup><u>Where Are My Children</u>? was directed by the first American female director and was a highly successful film about birth control.

<sup>62</sup>"Lethbridge To See Daring Birth Film," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 June 1918, p. 5 and "All Lethbridge Ladies Should See Daring Birth Film Ponight," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 7 June 1918, p. 5.

<sup>63</sup>J. Pascall and C. Jeavons, <u>A Pictorial History of</u> <u>Sex in the Movies</u> (London: The Hamlyn Publishing Group Limited, 1975), p. 16.

<sup>64</sup>"Lethbridge To See Daring Birth Film," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 June 1918, p. 5.

<sup>65</sup>Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 6 June 1918, p. 5. In the same account, the Herald stated that it was a play which would "entertain, thrill and leave a life-long impression. This is the first time a play of this type has ever been given in Lethbridge. A dramatic portrayal of a worldwide problem."

66<sub>Lethbridge Herald</sub>, 4 June 1919, p. 5.

<sup>67</sup><u>Ibid</u>., 3 May 1920, p. 8.

<sup>68</sup>A photoplay is a play filmed or arranged for filming as a motion picture. <u>The American Heritage Dic-</u> <u>tionary of the English Language</u> (Boston: American Heritage Fublishing Co., Inc., 1969).

<sup>69</sup><u>The Unmarried Mother</u>, Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 6 December 1918, p. 5.

<sup>70</sup><u>The Unmarried Mother</u>, Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 5 December 1918, p. 5.

<sup>71</sup>Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 9 December 1918, p. 5.

<sup>72</sup>Enlighten Thy Daughter, Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 14

.73<u>Ibid</u>. Elton also contended "The responsibility of motherhood, it seems to me, demands that a more care-

ful and patient and plain explanation of the laws of Life should be imparted to our boys and girls, such as the film 'Enlighten Thy Daughter' seeks to inculcate."

# 74<sub>Ibid</sub>.

<sup>75</sup>"Crowds Turned Away from the Colonial," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 17 June 1919, p. 5. One newspaper in Winnipeg noted "If you are looking for smut in this picture you will not find it, but you will find good wholesome entertainment." "Calgary Social Workers Praise Great Sex Film," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 10 June 1919, p. 5.

<sup>76</sup>"Calgary Clergyman Eulogizes Great Sex Picture Coming here," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 11 June 1919, p. 5.

<sup>77</sup><u>The Unpardonable Sin</u>, Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 8 August 1919, p. 5.

<sup>78</sup><u>Ibid.</u>, 6 August 1919, p. 5. See also "Many Big Scenes in 'Unpardonable Sin'," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 9 August 1919, p. 5. The article noted that the biggest scene "in point of interest and suspense though paradoxically smallest in point of characters involved, is the disrobing scene which has been attracting the attention of the public wherever the tremendous photoplay feature has been exhibited."

<sup>79</sup><u>Damaged Goods</u>, Wetaskiwin <u>Times</u>, 29 March 1917, n. p.

Wednesday," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 April 1920. p. 5.

<sup>81</sup>"Men of Lethbridge," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 April 1920, p. 5.

<sup>82</sup>"<u>Fit to Win</u>, Sex Picture, comes to Colonial Wednesday," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 April 1920, p. 5.

<sup>83</sup>"Fit to Win for Women Only at Colonial Wednesday." Lethbridge Herald, 21 June 1920, p. 5.

84 CASUAL KISS MAY CARRY DISFASE. Tethbridge Herald, 26 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>85</sup>According to Gillespie. "This photoplay is in my opinion of great educational value. I have no doubt but that it will do a great deal of good." Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 27 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>86</sup>Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 28 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>87</sup><u>The End of the Road</u>, Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 25 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>88</sup>According to Forster, the movie "is the sanest presentation of the terrible phases of the Social Evil I have ever seen. But more - Its constructive teaching has a powerful appeal. In 'Mary Lee' it presents a splendid picture of a superb womanly character resulting from such sane and sensible early teaching at home as is the best safeguard for the rising generation. Every teen age boy and girl should see it." Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 26 August 1920, p. 8. Norrington stated that it was "a good picture one that should be seen by every young man and woman. It is instructive to parents, teaching that a word in time to the children is very often the means of preventing untold suffering in later life." Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 27 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>89</sup>"CASUAL KISS MAY CARRY DISEASE, Lethbridge Herald, 26 August 1920, p. 8.

90 Ibid.

91."<u>The End of the Road</u> Now Playing at Colonial," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 30 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>92</sup>Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 31 August 1920, p. 8.

<sup>93</sup>Morris, <u>Embattled Shadows: A History of Can</u>adian <u>Cinema</u>, 1895-1939, p. 55.

> 94 Ibid.

95. Motion Picture Censors Gather," Lethbridge Herald, 21 June 1918, p. 14.

96"Picture Censor Boards," Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 16 July 1919, p. 5.

97 The A-B-C of Love, Lethbridge Herald, 1 April 1920, p. 5.

<sup>98</sup>Lethbridge <u>Herald</u>, 3 February 1920, p. 5 and 4 February 1920, p. 5.

<sup>99</sup><u>Ibid</u>., 5 February 1920, p. 5.

100 Ibid., 2 February 1920, p. 5.

101\_\_\_\_

<u>Ibid.</u>, 31 January 1920, p. 5

102<sub>E. Morris, <u>To the Pure: A Study of Obscenity</u> <u>the Censor</u> (New York: Viking Press, 1928), p. 182. According to Morris, "The best organized is the Canadian customs, it has, unlike the United States customs, a permanent blacklist of prohibited books and magazines which is added to periodically. Once upon the list the book is automatically excluded. Such old favourites of the smut-hounds as <u>The Arabian Nights</u>, <u>Droll Stories</u>, <u>Three</u> <u>Weeks</u> and <u>Ulysses</u> are excluded."</sub>

## CONCLUSION

It is not unusual to find laws in the English common law tradition which were framed as general public laws but which in fact were designed to operate against specific groups of people within society. Most certainly women provide a case in point. For example, the laws against witchcraft in late medieval and early modern Europe were long considered to be laws which reflected the rise of religiosity and a movement towards social control in an era marked by the growth of a centralized, secular state.<sup>1</sup> But recent studies on the topic have suggested that the laws against witchcraft represented both a social and psychological crisis in European society,<sup>2</sup> which resulted not in a hunt for witches, but for 'undesirable' women, $^3$ Similarly, laws against sexual immorality can also be seen as emanating from a revival of religiosity in early twentieth century Canada, and this study suggests that in Western Canada morality laws were in fact chastity laws which resulted not only in a search for immoral people but also unchaste women.

While seduction under the promise of marriage, for example. was illegal when the woman was under the age of sixteen, the fact that Members of Parliament wanted to protoot the chastity of women in this manner to age eighteen, twenty one or even thirty, bears vivid testimony to the

meaning of morality laws in early Canadian development. The importance of morality laws is further revealed in the law's explicit contention that in cases of seduction, the female had to be of chaste character and in other charges of sexual assaults, the chastity of the female was an implied prerequisite in the cases. Yet the term chastity was never legally defined. The cases chosen for this study reveal that the word chastity could be used to refer to the woman's character 'or her physical condition; that is, her virginity. Thus the definition of chastity knew no legal or social boundaries.

It was generally assumed that women should be chaste before marriage, and loyal to their husbands afterwards. This concept was revealed and reinforced in the social perception of the institution of marriage. Women married to produce, care for, and raise children. By entering into the marriage contract, women gave their husbands sexual ownership of their bodies and the idea of sexual autonomy for females was an alien concept in both the social and legal set ting. Furthermore, for a wife to have dexual intercourse with her husband without the purpose of procreation was actually styled as a form of legal prostitution." Research for this study indicates that several of the women's groups and organizations which flourished during the late nincteenth and early twentieth century equated the fulfillment of woman hood with marriage, childbearing and domesticity. This fact alone demonstrates how deeply the perception of marriage and the role of the femal opents regithin this institution was ature to the case for the formedian confident.

Although women were urged to bear children, the fear of intercourse with its attendant problems of pregnancy and childbirth led to the restriction of sex, often to one or two times a month. This limitation was further necessitated by a lack of information, and access to, birth control devices, of which advertising, sale and supply was made illegal by the Criminal Code of 1892. Even the ultimate so-Aution of abortion was not a meaningful alternative for women with the legal penalty for a self-induced abortion being seven years imprisonment and a life sentence for procuring a miscarriage. Despite the legal restraints, women flirted with death by visiting back-alley abortionists or attempting to abort themselves with rudimentary tools. Because of these circumstances, a woman not only had to reg ulate sexual activity with her husband, but, was also forced to turn to harmful drugs and acids to prevent unwanted conceptions and births. The use of boric, tanic and carbolic acid and creolin, ergot and turpentine were but a few of the many hazardous substances which women resorted to in order to stay within the law. And when all also failed, in furticide could be and was practiced.

An analysis of moral and sexual offences reveals that the criminal law of Canada was intended to protect the female against male predators. Despite this fact, the body of indicial evidence demonstrates that it was diffiult for a female 's make a complaint, procure a conviction or gain a suitable s ntence against the offender. Although the ofference is in and cound by delay of the state under the age of fourteen were framed as strong laws in the Criminal Code of 1892, it would appear that they were weakly enforced in Western Canada. When and if a woman wanted to pursue her rapist through the criminal justice system, she would encounter several major problems. For example, she had to be of good moral character and reputation, yet neither term warranted legal definition. Furthermore, there was need of corroborative evidence from independent witnesses and the charge had to be laid immediately. It was not unusual for the trial to turn into an examination of the female's moral character instead of the alleged act committed against how

Similar evidential problems can be seen in those cases involving the carnal knowledge of a female under the age of fourteen which were processed through the courts. In addition to the factors of correburation and time. The girl was expected to comprehend what had happened even if she way too young. In these cases involving article ly you the judge could. in lies of compting her and nes girla under sworn testmony, question her as 's whether in alcar ly understood the importance of follow the truth of the all such questions ground around whether the sint had reacting sufficient religi un training to know the mould difference between right and wrong in general and improved any duct in particular. Furthermore, the state he contained of full tion partial penetrali no and with a in abari ronoti 1 ------ g r when often is a frage to the set of the apple lies

 $F_{1} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_{1} =$ 

vague and ill-defined in terms of the written law and more inconsistent in adjudication. Formerly considered to be part of the carnal knowledge category, it was separated in the 1892 Criminal Code and then included in a new group

of consensual and forcible acts in the legislation of 1909. This latter category included such occurrences as wife beating and sexual and nonsexual acts perpetrated against women or children. In fact, even a pinch of a woman's anatomy with the slightest hint of sexual intercourse fell under the general rubric of this legislation. But in those cases specifically dealing with indecent assault, the chestity of the woman was a chief element of concern. Any act which could be interpreted as possibly leading to sexual intercourse was subject to ressible prosecution under the strictest sense of the law.

preservition and puninhment of moral and sex of Th fonces were not limited solely to the protection of female chastity. Ten for could defile their moral character. Purgery and redent were considered to be the worst aspect. of a mania will other beings olaligittii As a category of criminal of once. I ha's checkered history in ·· 😅 · · · Fuglard profile Carrida And the lighterar ing hetween the legiclation on the one hand and judicial precedents on th vore not re which by the Caradian 1 her riminal Colo of 1826. testistical e succession migle din turnin wer need The There is the Superin curt. eviden a social + clearly defin and and the commute front the Repaired

homosexual anal sex and bestiality, was perceived as a threat to the institution of merringe. Once public opinion was focussed on the term with the popularization of the Oscar Wild trials in 1895, huggers joined the arsenal of moral offences as a crime against the social fabric and the purpose of sex ual intercourse, namely, procreation. Forceived as a challenge to traditional sexual morality, huggery became representative of yet another form of unscrapiable or ual he havious only this time it was on activity sould be havious only this time it was on activity could be

Officient like indecert accoults against males and give indecours we equally rague in definition. For the much rar', however, independ assault account rales were reporded of agains to with the intert to commit huggery, and frequently come are noted by these offences. The referring for the interesting was taken throughly from the Fo Jiels sinter for Areadres det of 1970 place jutes to make a set of a contract process of the set of the s mont entering the in that the tory and in the proof and the letter of Area with a track as as torialies. The strength of the strength oral com 11 1 E 11 11 the start of the perception is • • the second the second the second the  $(r, r, \chi)$  is a second constrained by  $\mathbf{f}_{i}$  is proposed by the second constraints  $\mathbf{f}_{i}$  is proposed by the second constraints  $\mathbf{f}_{i}$  is proposed by the second constraints  $\mathbf{f}_{i}$  is the second constraints  $\mathbf{f}_{i}$ 5 × 1 × 1 × 1 ÷ 1 " i n ho or h o .1. ·  $\sim 1$  $\pm 1$ 

Ĵ,

?53

change in the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, the courts most certainly did. In fact, by the Second World War, judges found it increasingly difficult to justify a criminal conviction for those acts which lacked definition, suffered from evidentiary requirements and perhaps more imrortant were an invasion of personal privacy and sexual freedom

254

In response to the poorly drafted legislation regarding morality and sex offences, the judges exercised considerable discretion in their sentencing powers. For example it was not unusual for a man charged with indecent assault against a female to be convicted of attempted rape despite the fact that the two offences were separated in the Criminal Code. Similarly, it was not unusual for a man who had teen charged with rape to be convicted of indecent agrault, attempted rape or carnal knowledge. However, the courts, especially those in Southern Alberta, would find a man not guilty of indecent assault, regardless of the entirence presented in support of a charge of carnal knowly is or attempted rape, if it doubted the moral charactor . The wima . Generally speaking, however, the prosnouti an ed to obtain convictions for offences against in order to provide society with a visible deter "orali! whit to these secondly unaccertable actions. Thus it would readily accort the conviction of a crime with a lesser sentime just to win a suce saful presecution. For example, we define the independence assaulte against males and gross 't appeare? When the container

was insufficient to warrant a conviction for buggery or attempted buggery.

The most crucial problem encountered in the prosecution of all these criminal offences was that of evidence. This was particularly acute in prosecuting the crimes of buggery, sodomy, gross indecency and indecent assaults against men. The fact that many of these offences were ones where both parties had agreed upon their actions made the prosecution of these acts performed in personal privacy somewhat difficult. Thus, in the majority of these cases. a charge would only be laid if a third party happened to see the participants perform the act. Furthermore, in these cases where consent was freely given, criminal prosecution of the participants was a blatant invasion of cerual ()

Since the question of concent was also at issue i the trial of rape, attempted rate and causal knowledge. I was mandatory that a woman have crider of simificant physical damage to prove that she had, in fact here the lated against her will. Indeed, the very fact that prove helieved a women's chastity van men importer to here hor life was indication of here predominantly male index would repothe evidence in the case. And in each institute there how accounts assing a famale. The evidence of institute to the consults assing a famale. The evidence of institute to the consults assing a famale. The evidence of institute to the institute of the particul pression in the eviding indication in the evidence of the reliable to the evid-

own range of criteria as to what constituted the crime in addition to what comprised sufficient evidence. Although the criminal law of Canada stemmed from the nation state, it would appear that its interpretation and implementation rested in the criminal justice system of the locality.

The sentencing in cases of moral offences reveals some of the same problems as affected their prosecution. The lack of adequate definitions of the crimes and accepted rules of evidence for their prosecution, coupled with the importance of local feelings towards the parties, led to inconsistencies in sentencing. Consequently, no clear sentencing pattern emerges. The life sentences attendant on rape convictions were rarely given; a few years of imprisonment were usually the maximum penalty. Although indecent assaults had a maximum punishment of confinement for two venus, research indicates that the courts used a maximum of one year and most sentences were for a few months. cimilar range of sentences were meted out to those who were convicted, respectively, of buggery and attempted buggery. In general, judges preferred short sentences for terms of imprisonment supplemented by some form of corporal punish They included a number of lashes or hard labour, and comptimes, both. Once again, the judges rarely used the nevelty afferded to them by the written oriminal 11 **5** 17 1 ...

By subodying social perceptions of sexual normal i. in tage and undefined sections of the Criminal Code.

<u>carte blanche</u> in the use and manipulation of these laws to, enforce the sexual customs of society. In fact, all levels of government went so far as to try to reinforce an acceptable standard of sexual morality by controlling what Canadians were reading in printed form or seeing at the local theatre. This was, to be accomplished through the application of vague social and legal definitions of obscenity to literature and entertainment.

It is readily apparent that perceptions of sexual normality, abnormality, obscenity and criminality are subject to change over time. Although this study is by no means definitive, the research to date indicates that the last decade of the nineteenth and first two decades of the twentieth century were characterized by concerted efforts by both law and society to dictate acceptable sexual activity for Canadians. As a Christian nation, acceptable sexual activity for Canadians was to be confined to married couples and solely for the purpose of procreation. All else was, by definition, socially abnormal, legally criminal and a threat to the very foundation of Canadian so oiety.

# FOOTNOTES

258

<sup>1</sup>J. Samaha, <u>Law and Order in Historical Perspec-</u> <u>tive</u> (New York: Academic Press, 1974).

<sup>2</sup>K. Thomas, <u>Religion and the Decline of Magic</u> (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1971).

<sup>3</sup>ć. Larner, <u>Enemies of God</u> (Baltimore: Johns Hopkine University Press, 1981).

<sup>4</sup>L. Gordon, <u>Woman's Body</u>, <u>Woman's Right</u> (Middlesex: Penguin Books, 1977), p. 22.

#### SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

#### ABBREVIATIONS

PABC - Provincial Archives of British Columbia SAB - Saskatchewan Archives Board SCR - Supreme Court Records DCR - District Court Records

- I. PRIMARY SOURCES
- A. <u>Government Documents: Published</u>

Canada. Debates of the House of Commons, 1890-1920.

. Criminal Code of Canada, 1892.

\_\_\_\_. <u>Statutes of Canada</u>, 1869-1892.

<u>Select Chapters of the Revised Statutes</u> of Canada, Relating to the Criminal Code, 1906.

\_\_\_\_. Criminal Code of Canada, 1910.

#### B. Manuscript Collections

.

Dept. of Attorney General. Attorney General Papers, "G" Files, 1881-1901 (SAB).

Reports, 1919-1920 (SAB).

Reports, 1917-1920 (SAR).

. Saskatchewan Provincial Police, I. Crime Reports (SAB).

\_\_\_\_\_. Saskatchewan Provincial Police, K. Case Files (SAR).

. Saskatchewan Provincial Police, M. Constable's Reports Upon Conclusion of Cases (SAB). \_\_\_\_\_. Saskatchewan Provincial Police, S. Regulations, Registers, Indexes and Notebooks (SAB).

. Inspector of Gaols, Nanaimo Gaol, Record of Prisoners, Vol. 1, November 1893-February 1911 (PABC).

\_\_\_\_\_. Inspector of Gaols, List of Prisoners under sentence in Nanaimo Gaol, Vol. 2, February 1911-August 1914 (PABC).

Inspector of Gaols, New Westminster Gaol, Return of Prisoners Confined: Vol. 4, January 1884-September 1895; Vol. 5, October 1895-October 1910; Vol. 6, November 1910-May 1914; Vol. 7, June 1914-October 1917 (PABC).

Inspector of Gaols, Victoria Gaol, Record and description list of all prisoners received in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 12, November 1890-February 22, 1910; Vol. 13, February 22, 1910-September 1914 (PABC).

\_\_\_\_\_. Inspector of Gaols, List of Prisoners under sentence.in Victoria Gaol, Vol. 15, November 1888-December 1901; Vol 16, January 1902-October 1910; Vol. 17, November 1910-1914 (PABC).

British Columbia Provincial Court. Richfield, Magistrate's Court Notebook, Vol. 3, January 31, 1877-May 9, 1914 (PABC).

Lillooet, Charge and Sentence Book, Vol. 2, September 29, 1893-November 7, 1902 and Magistrate's Court Notebook, Vol. 3, February 18, 1903-July 3, 1917 (PABC).

Police Charge Book, Osoyoos, Vol. 1, 1893c. 1897; Fairview, 1897-1908; Vol. 2, June 1893 June 26, 1894; Vol. 3, May 5, 1909-December 9, 1921 (PABC).

Quesnel, Magistrate's Court, Charge Book, Vol. 2. August 24, 1896-July 30, 1902 (PABC).

Yale, Magistrate's Court, Charge and Sentence Book, Vol. 3, August 23, 1890-August 17, 1909 (PABC).

British Columbia Provincial Police, Vancouver-Westminster Police Division, Reports received at Provincial Police office with notes of instruction, Vol. 1, June 16, 1899-December 7, 1908; Vol. 3, 1909; Vol. 4, January 3, 1913-July 20, 1926; Vol. 5, August 9, 1920-July 28, 1923 (PABC).

\_\_\_\_\_. Miscellaneous Reports, 1892-1942, Vol. 3. Regarding Rex. v. Hamilton, indecent assault, 1906 (PABC).

C. Law Reports: Published

British Columbia Law Reports, 1900-1920. <u>Canadian Criminal Cases</u>, 1893-1922. <u>Territorial Law Reports</u>, 1890-1907. <u>Upper Canada Queen's Bench Reports</u>, 1840-1866. <u>Western Law Reporter</u>, 1910-1920. Western Weekly Reports, 1917-1922.

D. Court Records: Unpublished

Calgary. Supreme Court Records. 1910 1922, Files #1-1109 (SCR).

\_\_\_\_\_. District Court Records, 1908-1924, Files #1-661 (DCR).

Wetaskiwin. Supreme Court Records, 1913-1920, Files #546-1662 (SCR).

\_\_\_\_\_\_ District Court Records, 1913-1919, Files #1-1048 (DCR).

E. Newspapers

Calgary <u>Herald</u>.

Calgary Morning Albertan.

Edmonton Journal.

Lethbridge News.

Macleod Gazette.

Medicine Hat Times.

Moose Jaw Times.

Nanaimo Free Press.

Regina <u>Leader</u>.

Saskatoon Phoenix.

Vancouver Province.

Victoria <u>Daily Colonist</u>.

Victoria Semi-Weekly Tribune.

## IT. SECONDARY SOURCES

- A. Articles
  - Allen, R. "The Social Gospel and the Reform Tradition in Canada." <u>Canadian Historical Review</u>, XLIX (December 1968), pp. 381-399.
  - Amir, M. "Forcible Rape." <u>Rape Victimology</u>, ed. L. Schultz. Springfield: Charles Thomas, 1975, pp. 43-59.
  - Backhouse, C. "Nineteenth Century Canadian Rape Law: 1800-1892." unpublished paper, third draft October 1981.
  - Redford, J. "Prostitution in Calgary, 1905-1914." Alberta History. (Spring 1981), pp. 1-11,
  - Pellomo, J. "Upper Canadian Attitudes Towards Crime and Punishment." Ontario History, LXIV (1972), pp. 11-27.
  - Pliss, M. "Pure Books on Avoided Subjects: Pre-Freudian Sexual Ideas in Canada." <u>Historical Papers</u> (1970), pp. 80-108.
  - Prooks, N. "Rape and the laws of evidence." <u>Chitty's</u> Law Journal, 23: 1 (1975).
  - Buckley, S. and McGinnis, J. "Venereal Disease and Public Health Reform in Canada." <u>Canadian Histor-ical Review</u>, LXIII (September 1982), pp. 337-354.
  - Bullough, V. "Homosexuality and Its Confusion with the 'Secret Sin' in Pre-Freudian America." <u>Sex, So-</u> <u>ciety and History</u>, ed. V. Bullough. New York: Science History Publications, 1976, pp. 112-125.

. "Challenges to societal attitudes towards homosexuality in the late nineteenth and early \* twentieth centuries." Social Science Quarterly, 58 (June 1977), pp. 29-44,

- Burns, R. "'Queer Doings', Attitudes Towards Homosexuality in Canada." <u>Body Politic</u>, 29 Our Image. no. 6 (December 1976/Januray 1977), pp. 4-7.
- Chapman, T. "The Measurement of Crime in Nineteenth-Century Canada: Some Methodological and Philosophical Problems." <u>Crime and Criminal Justice in</u> <u>Europe and Canada</u>, edited L. A. Knafla. Waterloo: Wilfrid Laurier Press, 1981, pp. 147-156.
- Cominos, P. "Late Victorian Sexual Respectability and the Social System." <u>International Review of Social</u> <u>History</u>, 8 (1963), pp. 18-48 and pp. 216-250.
- Cote, J. "The Reception of English Law." <u>Alberta Law</u> <u>Review. XV (1977), pp. 29-92.</u>
- Dixon, F. "Let the Women Vote." Grain Growers' Guide (May 26, 1915), p. 19.
- . "Women in Politics." Grain Growers' Guide. (September 13, 1916), p. 26.
- Edmison, J. "Some Aspects of Nineteenth-Century Canadian Prisons." <u>Crime and its Treatment in Canada</u>, ed. W. McGrath. <u>Toronto: MacMillan Company</u>, 1976. pp. 347-369.
- Ellis, H. "Freud's Influence on the Changing Attitude Toward Sex." <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 66 (1939), pp. 309-317.
- Floherty, D. "Writing Canadian Legal History: An Introduction." Essays in the History of Canadian Law, ed. D. Flaherty, Toronto, University of Toronto Least 1981, pp. 3-42.
- Fleck, J. "Canada Debates Abortion and Homosexuality." Christian Century, 86 (March 12, 1969), pp. 354-358
- Gatrell, V. A. C. and Haddon, T. B. "Criminal Statistics and their Interpretation." <u>Nineteenth Century</u> <u>Society: Essays in the Use of Quantitative Method</u> for the Study of Social Data, edited F. A. Wrigley. Cambridge: Cambridge University Froms, 1970, pp. 336-433.
- Gilbert, A. "Buggery and the British Navy " Joyrnal of Social History, 10 (Fell 1976), pr - 2

263

- Goldner, N. "Rape as a heinous but understudied offense." <u>Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology &</u> <u>Police Science</u>, 63 (1972), pp. 402-407.
- Hanna, P. "The Days of Instant Justice." <u>Calgary Cen-</u> <u>tenial Souvenir Book</u>. Calgary: Provost Promotions and Publications Limited, 1974, pp. 82-84.

264

1

- Hansen, B. "The Historical Construction of Homosexuality." <u>Radical History Review</u>, 20 (Spring/Summer 1979), pp. 66-73.
- Hervey, H. "The Administration of Justice in the North West." <u>Alberta Law Quarterly</u>, 1 (November, 1934). pp. 6-15.
- Hill, C. "Sex, Marriage and the Family in England." <u>Economic History Review</u>, XXXI (August 1978), pp. 7450-463.
  - Houston, S. "Victorian Origins of Juvenile Delinquency." <u>History of Education Quarterly</u>. XII (Fall 1972), pp. 254-280.
  - Jamieson, F. "Edmonton Courts and Lawyers in Territorial Times." <u>Alberta Historical Review</u>, IV (1956). pp. 3-9.
  - 'nrieson, S. "Some Reflections on Violence and the Law in Industrial Relations." Law and Society in Canada in Historical Perspective, eds. D. J. Bercuson and L. A. Knafla. Galgary: University of Calgary Press. 1979. pp. 141-156.
  - Law and Social Control in Canada, edited W. Green away and S. Brickey Control unght Frentice Hall, 1978. pr. 59-70.
  - "Lossen, H. "Social Troubles in Colgary in the Mid-1890s." Urban History Review III (February 1975). pr. 8-16.

. "Life in Frontier Calgary." Western Canada Past and Present, edited A. W. Rasporich. Calgar: McClolland and Stewart, 1975, pr. 42-57.

Unofla, L. A. "Bibliography: Crime, Criminal Law and Justice in Canadian History: A Select Bibliography, Origins to 1940." Lew and Society in Canadian Historical Perspective edited ". '. Percuson and I. A. Knaila. Calcorning and the Calcorning of Calcorning and the Second In 155 16

4-1

Knafla, L. A. and Chapman, T. L. "Criminal Justice in Canada: A Comparative Study of the Maritimes and Lower Canada, 1760-1837." <u>Osgoode Hall Law Journal</u> (Summer 1983), pagination unknown.

.

,

- Koroway, E. "Habeas Corpus in Ontario." Osgoode Hall . Law Journal, XIII (1975), pp. 149-192.
- Larner, C. "Was Witch-Hunting woman-hunting?" New So ciety (1 October 1981), pp. 11 12.
- Vote?" Queen's Quarterly 21 (July 1013).
- Macleod, R. "The Problem of Law and Order in the Canadian West, 1890-1905." <u>The Prairie West to 1905</u>, ed. L. G. Thomas. Foronto: Oxford University Press 1975. pp. 132-215.
  - "The Shaping of Canadian Criminal Law, 1800 To 1002." Historical Papers (1078), pr. 64
- M Laren, A. "Birth Control and Abortion in Cana's, 1890-1020." Canadian Historical Review. 17 (Contember 1978). pp. 319 340
- aught, K. "Violence in Canadian History. <u>Studies</u> in <u>Canadian Social History</u>, 23. M. Horn. <u>Toroni</u> McClalland and <u>Chowart</u>, 1574 rp. 376 391.
- kkonen, E. "The Quantitative Historical Study of Crime and Criminal Justice." <u>History and Crime</u> <u>Implications for Criminal Justice Plicy</u>. ds. J. Irclardi and C. Faurel. Be Unlied to the State of Sta
- to. V. Clio in Canada: The I torpick tion of Ca ad an History." University of Canada History erly " (Arril. 1946). pp
  - s, R. "Things Fearful to Name' Rodoly a 1 Buggery in Saventeen'h-Century New Figla 1 ' Joarn of Cocial History 10 (2000 10 ', m ') ')
- on, D. Rape " "Un/Victorian" spect fulfel Unre Scolo - Ontario History, L. M. C. S. S.
- Lan. I "The for and Flather (S. G. C. Laniza). Angust 1 from Onterio Tistory (111) (111) 11 Di

265

- Sahli, N. "Sexuality in Nineteenth and Twentieth Century America: The Sources and Their Problems." <u>Radical History Review</u> (Spring/Summer 1979), pp. 164-180.
  - The Great War and Western Canadian Reform Movements." <u>Prophecy and Protest: Social Movements</u> <u>in Twentieth-Century Canada</u>, eds. C. D. Clark <u>et. al.</u> Toronto: Gage Educational Fublishing Lin ited. 1975. pp. 87-104.
  - "rner, T. "The Incidence of Crime in Southern Alberta." Law and Society in Canada in Historical Perspective, eds. D J. Bercusch and L. A. "nafia. Calgary: "" encity of Calgary Press, 1970. pr. 53-88.
  - umbach, R. "London's Sodomi'es: Homosexual Behavier and Wester: Culture in the Eichteerth Century. Journal of Social History, I' (Foll 1000), pr
- <u>History</u> (1977), pp. 10 or
- Nord, I "Unwed Motherhood in Ninetrenth-Century Englief prodo Historical Favers (1991), pp 3/1 5

n	MULTIONE	すう ひた きげまち	nation. S.	11- 3 BG
Enc'' "	et 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 3 7		uel Meavings
1		2 C 2 C	l'sdi 🗥	"tory Revi
				T Y Y Y

<sup>។</sup> : : : : : : :

ity of the second in Forcible Part of the second seco

Coltar - Cheriffs and Outlaws of Western Cauada

ar. B. and Sagarin, E. A <u>Fictorial History of the</u> World's <u>Greatest Trials from Socrates to Fichtann</u>

well, S. <u>A Fratier in Mar Price</u> K butter in he

Barker-Benfield, G. <u>The Horrors of the Half-Known</u> <u>Life: Male Attitudes Toward Women and Sexuality</u> <u>in Nineteenth Century America</u>. New York: Harper & Row, 1976.

• • •

٩

- Parlow: H. <u>Introduction to Criminology</u> Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1978.
- Bassett. I. The Parlour Rebellion. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1975.
- Peard, M. Woman as a Force in History. New York: Mac Millan, 1946.
- Boattie, J. <u>Attitudes Towards Crime and Punishment in</u> <u>Upper Canada, 1830-1850: A Documentary Study</u>. Toronto: Centre of Criminology, 1977.
- Poll, E. War on the White Slave Trade. Toronto: Coles Publishing Co., 1980, original date unknown.
- Porger, C. The Writing of Canadian History Toronto. Oxford University Press, 1076.
- Pliss, M. <u>A Canadian Millionaire</u>: <u>The life and business</u> <u>times of Sir Joseph Flavelle</u> <u>Tourito</u>: <u>Macmillan</u> of Canada, 1078.
- Prownmiller, S. Against Our Will: Men, Women and Pare. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1005
- of Serval Attitute Sichpess and Mitte A Histor
- When h. V. Sexual Variance in E ciet of Whater
- Scin Wigherry Public Vietor

bidge, C Digest of the Criminal lass of Copela.

- phell M. A <u>Century of Crima:</u> the 'velopmen' of crije de'ect on methr sin a add the state of t
- Autor C: Of oronto to food A point Study, the

Rai The Prior f Coercine

267

С

And .

Coke, E. <u>Institutes of the Laws of England</u>. 3rd. Part, 1644 edition, New York: Garland, 1979 reprint.

Colombo, J. ed. <u>Colombo's Canadian Quotations</u>. Edmonton: Hurtig, 1974.

Comfort, A. Sex in Society. Secaucus: Citadel Press, 1975.

- Cook, R. and Brown, C. Canada 1896-1921: <u>A Nation Trans-</u> formed. Toronto: McClelland and Stewart, 1974.
- Cook, R. and Mitchinson, W. '<u>The Proper Sphere': Woman's</u> <u>Place in Canadian History</u>. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1976.
- Cowan, J. <u>Canada's Governors-General</u>, 1867-1952. Toronto: York Publishing Co., 1952.
- Ellis, H. The Task of Social Hygiene. Boston: Houghton Miffens Co., 1912.
- 1918. <u>Sexual Inversion</u>. Philadelphia: F. A. Davis,
- Forel, A. <u>The Sexual Question: A Scientific Question:</u> <u>A Scientific, Psychological, Hygienic and Sociolog-</u> <u>ical Study</u>. <u>New York: Physicians and Surgeons Book</u>, 1924.
- Friday. N. Men in Love. New York: Bantam Books, 1980.
- Geddes, G. ed. The Great Quotations. New York: Lyle Stuart, 1960.
- Gigeroff, A <u>Sexual Deviations in the Criminal Law</u>. Toronto, University of Toronto Press, 1968.
- Fordon, L. <u>Woman's Body</u>, Woman's Right. <u>Middlesex</u>: Penguin Books, 1977.
- of Canada, 1971. Toronto: Macmillan

Booze: The Impact of Whiskey on the Prairies. Turnet: Macmillan of Canada, 1972.

- of Tauada, 1975. Toronto: Macmillan
- "gar, J The Disreputable Pleasures. Toronto: McGraw-
- The Hite Report. New York: Macmillan, 1976.

. The Hite Report on Male Sexuality. New York: Macmillan, 1981.

- Honoré; T. <u>Sex Law</u>. London: Duckworth and Company, 1978.
- Inciardi, J.; Black, A.; and Hallowell, L. <u>Historical</u> <u>Approaches to Crime</u>. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1977.
- Jefferies, B. and Nichols, J. <u>Household Guide or Domes-</u> tic Cyclopedia. Toronto: Nichols Co., 1894.
- Krafft-Ebing, R. <u>Psychopathia Sexualis</u>. New York: G. Futuam's Son, 1886.

Larner, C. <u>Enemies of God</u>. Paltimore: Johns Hopkins University Fress, 1981.

- Lauriten, J. and Thorstad, D. <u>The Early Homosexuals</u> <u>Rights Movement, 1864-1935</u>. <u>New York: Times Change</u> <u>Press, 1974</u>.
- tives on Homosexuality. New York: Haworth Press, 1981.
- Marcus, S. <u>The Other Victorians: A Study of Sexuality</u> <u>and Pornography in Mid-Nineteenth-Century England</u>. New York: New American Library, 1977.
- McClung, N. In <u>Times Like</u> <u>These</u>. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1975 reprint, original edition. 1916.
- McGrath, W. ed. <u>Crime and Its Treatment in Canada</u> Toronto: Macmillan of Canada, 1965.
- Millett, K. Sexual Politics. London: Sphere Books, 1971
- Morns, E. To the Pure: A Study of Obscenity and the Censor. New York: Viking Press, 1928.

Morns, P. <u>Embattled Shadows: A History of Canadian</u> <u>Cinema, 1895-193</u><sup>o</sup> Montreal: Queen's University Press, 1978

Nettler, G. Explaining Crime. Toronto: McGraw-Hill, 1974.

Norwick, K. Pornography: the Issues and the Law. Fublic Affairs Committee, Juc., 1972.

- Parker, G. <u>An Introduction to Criminal Law</u>. Toronto: Methuen, 1977.
- Pascall, J. and Jeavons, C. <u>A Pictorial History of Sex</u> <u>in the Movies</u>. London: The Hamlyn Publishing Group Limited, 1975.
- Pearsall, R. The Worm in the Bud: The World of Victorian Sexuality. New York: Macmillan, 1969.
- Peter, L. <u>Peter's Quotations: Ideas for Our Time</u>. New York: Bantam Books, 1977.
- Rich, R. <u>The Sociology of Criminal Law: Evolution of</u> <u>Deviance in Anglo-American Society</u>. Toronto: Butterworth, 1979.
- Rodgers, R. <u>Sex and Law in Canada</u>. Ottawa: Policy Press, 1962.
- Rowse, A. L. <u>Homosexuals in History</u>. London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson, 1977.
- Sagarin, E. and MacNamara, D. <u>Sex, Crime and the Law</u>. New York: **December** 5, 1977.
- Samaha, J. Law and Order in Historical Perspective. New York: Academic Press, 1974.
- Sears, Roebuck and Co. <u>Consumers Guide</u>. Northfield: D.B.I. Books, 1900.
- Silverman, R. and Teevan, J. <u>Crime in Canadian Society</u>. Toronto: Butterworth, 1980.
- Stone, L. <u>The Family, Sex and Marriage in England</u>, <u>1500-1800</u>. New York: Harper and Row, 1977.
- Stubbs, R. Lawyers and Laymen of Western Canada. Toronto: Ryerson Press, 1939.
- Taylor, G. <u>Sex in History</u> New York: Harper and Row, 1970.
- Thomas, K. <u>Religion and the Decline of Magic</u>. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1971.
- Walters, R. ed. <u>Primers for Prudery: Sexual Advice to</u> <u>Victorian America</u>. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.,

Weeks, J. <u>Coming Out: Homosexual Politics in Britain</u>, <u>from the Nineteenth Century to the Present</u>. London: Quartet Books, 1977. Woodsworth, J. S. <u>Strangers Within Our Gates</u>. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 1972 reprint, original edition, 1909.

Wyman, M. <u>Comments on the Criminal Law and the Legal</u> <u>Process</u>. Edmonton: Alberta Board of Review, 1975.

C. Theses and Other Papers

Chapman, T. "'The Drug Problem' in Western Canada, 1900-1920." M. A. thesis, University of Calgary, 1976.