

NL-91 (4/77)

National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Canadian Theses Division

Division des thèses canadiennes

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

# PERMISSION TO MICROFILM — AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMER

Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées ou dactylogra	
Full Name of Author — Nom complet de l'auteur	phier
La Partie Const	
Date of Birth — Date de naissance	Country of Division
Theological Oper	Country of Birth — Lieu de naissance
Permanent Address — Résidence fixe	1. Known to problem 1 and year of 24
COTON 155 CE NEXT ( A) GLOS	
Title of Thesis — Titre de la thèse	
1. Company of File was to me	contract the sur than The
Sugarins on Elyanial	· 600 0
University — Université	- Ra
Degree for which thesis was presented — Grade pour lequel cette	thèse fut présentée
Year this degree conferred — Année d'obtention de ce grade	Name of Co.
<u>//</u> 80	Name of Supervisor — Nom du directeur de thèse
Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.  The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the presist nor extensive extracts to	L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈ- QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.
nesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other- rise reproduced without the author's written permission.	L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.
ate	Signature
2 Mark 1180	Leu Cole



Canadian Theses on Microfiche Service

Bibliothèque nationale du Cànada Direction du développement des collections

Service des thèses Canadiennes sur microfiche

NOTICE

**AVIS** 

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'autèur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

# THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SELECTED PHYSICAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

bу

 $\left( \left. \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbb{C}} \right. \right)$  pierre cote

#### A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION

EDMONTON, ALBERTA
.
SPRING, 1980

# THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled A Comparative Evaluation of Selected Physical Education Programs in Elementary Schools submitted by Pierre Côté in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

Supervisor

Thu Wattern

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to evaluate two programs of physical education at the elementary level on some determinants of physical fitness. The program of the experimental school included one hour of physical education daily, while there were two thirty-minute classes weekly in the control school. The sample studied was constituted of fifteen subjects of each sex in each of grade one, three The activities in the two programs were the same. and six. They included gymnastics, dance and games. The observation of the activity level of the classes showed that this was higher in the experimental groups than the control ones. A questionnaire was sent to the parents to evaluate the activity level outside the school. From the parents' answers the subjects were distributed in three groups of different levels. The comparison between the two extreme groups did not reveal any differences when physical working capacity (PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg), skinfold thickness and flexibility were considered.

Each group of fifteen subjects was subdivided in thirds on the basis of the  $PWC_{170}/kg$  data collected in the fall. The comparison of the two programs using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  as the dependent variable showed significant differences when the lowest third of the groups was considered, and this was the case for each sex and all

the groups under study. The experimental group improved over the school year while the control group remained at the same level. The analysis using skinfold thickness as the dependent variable showed differences between the two schools for the lowest PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg portion. The grades were affected differently. No differences were recorded at grade three. In grade one, the boys and girls of the experimental group were significantly lower than the control at the end of the school year, being at the same level initially. For grade six, boys and girls, the skinfold thickness of the experimental group did not change over the school year, while it increased significantly in the case of the control group. No change was recorded for leg and back flexibility for the period investigated.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

A difference between the two schools in growth velocity, for standing height and wrist circumference was recorded, indicating a faster growth for the control group. However this factor may be considered negligeable in regards to the results previously outlined, because the difference in growth velocity was recorded as being common to the three physical working capacity levels and the results using PWC170/kg and skinfold thickness as dependent variables indicated differences with only one PWC170/kg level, thus decreasing the probability of influence of this factor. It is concluded that the program of the

777

experimental school improved some determinants of physical fitness. This positive influence was recorded in the children with a lower than average physical working capacity.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to two individuals who have made important contributions to my work at the University of Alberta. The guidance provided by Dr. Art Quinney, my advisor, was of major importance toward the success of my development. The stimulating and enlightening discussion with Dr. Ted Wall regarding research design as well as his expertise in data analysis were extremely appreciated. I wish to thank Mr. Greg Jeglum and Mr. Tony Bamber, principals of the two schools involved in this study, as well as their staff, for the interest and cooperation that they have demonstrated in the realization of this project. Two other persons made valuable contributions to the discussion of the results and I appreciated their presence on my committee. They are Dr. Jane Watkinson and Dr. Dave Sande. I also appreciated the discussions of grammatical nature with Dr. Kenneth Munro.

#### > TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6 v
	The Evaluation of Daily Physical Education	6
	The Influence of Physical Activity on Physical Working Capacity and Body Composition	14
	The Evaluation of Physical Working Capacity	16
	The Evaluation of Body Composition from Skinfold Measurements	1-7
III	THE DESIGN OF THE STUDY	22
•	Subjects	22
	Methods and Procedure	23
,	Statistical Design	27
·IV	RESULTS	28
•	The Physical Activity Level During Physical Education Classes	28
	The Evaluation of Habitual Physical Activity	28
	Height	29
•	Wrist Circumference	30
	Body Weight	30
. •	Corrected Upper-Arm Diameter	31
	Physical Working Capacity	32
•	The Sum of Skinfolds	33
rs	Flexibility	35

CHAPTER		*1	,			PAGE
V	DISCUSSION		•			36
	The Physical Activity Level of Physical Education Classes	th •	е •		•	36
	The Habitual Physical Activity	Le	ve	1.		36
	Height			•	.*	38
	Wrist Circumference					39
	Body Weight					39
	Corrected Upper-Arm Diameter .		•			40
	Physical Working Capacity					43
	The Sum of Six Skinfolds					45
	Flexibility					48
VI	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	•	• (**)	<b>?</b> ⋅		49
	Conclusions		•			49
	Recommendations	•				50
	* * *					
TABLES .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•			52
REFERENC						106
APPENDIX	I - QUESTIONNAIRE	•	- ,	. * .		115
APPENDIX	II - MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATION EACH SUB-GROUP FOR EACH DEP VARIABLE					118

**8** 

#### LIST OF TABLES

X

TABLE		PAGE
1	Description of the subjects according to the type of housing for each grade and school	. 53
2	Means and standard deviations for chronological age, in months, for each grade, sex and school	54
3	Total time, in minutes, spent at dif- ferent activity levels during the ob- served classes	55
4	Summary table of the analysis of variance pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using PWC170/kg as the dependent variable	56
5	Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level	57
6	Summary table of the analysis of variances pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using the sum of six skinfolds as the dependent variable	58.
7	Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level	59 /
8	Summary table of the analysis of variance pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using leg and back flexibility, measured by the sit and reach, as the dependent variable	60
9	Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level	, 61
L 0	Tests on the means of the interaction between sex and habitual activity level for the sum of sin skinfolds (mm)	62

TABLE		DAGE
		PAGE
11	Summary table of the analysis of variance using height as the dependent variable	63
12	Tests on the means of the interaction between sex and grade for height (cm)	64
13	Tests on the grade means for height (cm)	65
14	Summary table of the simple interaction effects for the sex (S), grade, and time (Ti) interaction for height	65
15	Tests on the grade six means of the sex and time interaction effect for height (cm)	66
16	Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and time for height (cm)	ć 67
17	Test on the time means for height (cm)	67
18	Summary table of the analysis of variance usign wrist circumference as the dependent variable	68
19	Tests on the means of the sex main effect for the wrist circumference (cm)	69
20 %	Tests on the means of the grade main effect for the wrist circumference (cm)	69
21	Tests on the means of the time main effect for wrist circumference (cm)	70
22	Tests on the means of the initial PWC170/kg level main for wrist circumference (cm)	70
23	Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for wrist circumference (cm)	71
24	Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and time for the wrist circumference (cm)	71,

TABLE		o PAGÉ
25	Summary table of the analysis of variance using body weight as the de-	•
26	pendent variable  Tests on the means of the interaction	72
	between sex and grade for body-weight (kg)	73
27	Tests on the grade means for body weight (kg)	74
28	Tests on the initial $PWC_{170}/kg$ level means for body weight $(kg)$	74
29	Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for body weight (kg)	75
30	Test on the time means for body weight (kg)	76
31	Summary table of the analysis of variance using corrected upper-arm diameter as the dependent variable	77
32	Tests on the sex means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	78
33	Tests on the grade means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	. 78
34	Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	79
35	Tests on the means of the interaction between initial PWC170/kg level and time for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	80
36	Test on the time means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	81
37	Summary table of the analysis of variance using $PWC_{170}/kg$ as the dependent variable	82
38	Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and sex for PWC170/kg	Q T 😘

TABLE		PAGE
~		
39	Tests on the sex means for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	83
40	Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and sex for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	84
41	Summary of the analysis of the simple interaction effects of the sex (S), grade, and time (Ti, interaction for PWC170/kg	85
42	Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect between sex and time for grade 3 for $PWC_{170}/kg$	85
43	Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect between sex and time for grade 6 for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	86
44	Tests on the initial PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level means for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	86
45	Summary table of the analysis of the simple interaction effects for each initial PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level of the treatment group (Tr) and time (T) interaction for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	87
46	Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for the low $PWC_{170}/kg$ level using $PWC_{170}/kg$ as the dependent variable	87
47	Test on the time means for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg	88
48	Summary table of the analysis of variance using the sum of skinfolds as the dependent variable	89
49	Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and sex for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	90
50	Tests on the sex means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm) $^{\odot}$	90
51	Tests on the grade means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	91

TABLE		PAGE
5,2	Tests on the initial PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	91
53	Summary of the analysis of the simple interaction effects of the treatment group (Tr), grade (Gr), initial PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level, and time (Ti) interaction for the sum of six skinfolds	92
54	Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for grade 1 low PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level interaction between treatment group and time for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	93
155 <sup>2</sup>	Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for grade 6 low PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg level interaction between treatment group and time for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	, 94
56	Test on the time means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm)	94
57	Summary table of the analysis of variance using flexibility as the dependent variable	95
58	Tests on the treatment group means for the sit and reach (cm)	96
59	Tests on the sex means for the sit and reach $(cm)$	96
60	Tests on the grade means for the sit and reach (cm)	97
61	Means for height (cm) for boys from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal	98
62	Means for height (cm) for girls from dif- ferent studies, cross-sectional and lon- gitudinal	99
63	Means for body weight (kg) for boys from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal $_{\mathcal{O}}$	100

•	٠		
		ΧV	

TABLE	·	PAGE	
64	Means for body weight (kg) for girls from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal	101	
65	Comparison between the means of two studies for corrected upper arm diameter (mm)	102	
66	Comparison between the means of different studies for PWC <sub>170</sub> /kg for boys	103	
67	Comparison between the means of different studies for PWC170/kg for girls	104	
68	Comparison between the means obtained in two different studies for the average of six skinfolds (mm)	105	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	•		
	₩.		

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

This study is concerned with the evaluation of the effects of daily physical education classes as compared with a regular program, as suscribed by the Alberta Ministry of Education, upon some anthropometric measurements and variable determinants of physical fitness (Bouchard et al., 1974). The specific determinants examined were the efficiency of the oxygen transport system, proportion of fat in body weight and flexibility related to accurate positioning of the pelvis.

The dependent variables evaluated in this study are also related to the general objectives of physical education. However, before this relationship can be explained, the general objectives of physical education must be outlined. The general objectives of physical education at the elementary level are highly related to the general aim of education, that is the promotion of the growth of the child in regard to all the dimensions of human capacities: cognitive, psychologic, motor, physiologic and anthropometric. Education is also oriented toward the retention of what is learned for its future usefulness. All these stated dimensions are interrelated in the development of the child and the evaluation of the influence of different educational programs on some of them is of greater importance than on others.

A variety of physical activity experiences is important for a balanced motor development of the child and for enabling him or her to discover his or her interests. These various experiences and discoveries undoubtedly influence the child's further participation in physical activity as an adolescent and as an adult. The evaluation of such an exposure on physiological parameters is also important because if the child is actively involved in the various activities of the program, the latter parameters will be influenced. Such a situation would have repercussions on the motor development of the child and a more complete experimentation of his or her interests would also reinforce his or her future involvement in physical activity. In addition, stimulated physiologic development could influence the child's anthropometric development. Cognitive and psychologic development is more dependent on the structure of the program and the teaching philosophy than on any other variables. From these considerations, the physical fitness state of the child can be seen as an important variable to investigate.

The concept of physical fitness was originally developed from health considerations and this is still well accepted. Recently, however, the concept has been expanded to include the functional needs of the individual, which may be represented by the term "quality of life" (Bouchard et al., 1974, p. 38; Comité d'Etude, 1974, p. 60). This

latter concept does not directly relate fitness to health but rather suggests that fitness could influence health status. From these two aspects of the concepts of physical fitness, health and functional needs, physical fitness can be interpreted socially as a right of modern man (Comité d'Etude, 1974, p. 60).

These considerations have roused the politicians' interest. In a recent publication, Marc Lalonde (1974), the former Minister of National Health and Welfare, expressed his concern about the improvement of the health of the population and agreed with McKeown (1972) who concluded:

Past improvement has been due mainly to modification of the behaviour and changes in the environment and it is the same influence that we must look particularly for further advance.

Basing his argument on a new Health Field Concept (Laframboise, 1973), Lalonde (1974) outlined the three major causes of death in Canada: human biology, environment, and lifestyle. In analyzing the possible actions to take to influence the population toward modifications, he recognized the importance of education, at all levels, and the need for further research

to identify the links between the living habits, or lifestyle, of individuals, and the levels of both mental and physical health (p. 55),

J

as well as

to find out how Canadians can be influenced to take more individual responsibility for the health of their mind and bodies, and for reducing the risks which they impose on themselves by neglecting important lifestyle health factors (p. 56).

One concern for research, Lalonde noted, involves lifestyle and its relationship to health. Lifestyle includes physical activity habits and physical fitness. The particular influence of the elements of someone's lifestyle is hard to isolate in an in vivo situation and its analysis leads to weaker evidence than would otherwise be the case in an in vitro situation. The conclusions of the analysis of physical fitness reflect this situation. However, according to the previously outlined concept of physical fitness, the assessment of a direct relationship between health and this element of the lifestyle is not a prerequisite in order to establish its importance.

The second concern for research is, in a first instance, related to education and awareness of each individual about his or her own status, his or her own life. In a second instance, it is related to the different risk factors that have been demonstrated by epidemiologic investigations to be associated with the frequency of coronary heart disease. Physical activity, performed on a continuous basis over a long period of time has been demonstrated to modify the state of several coronary risk

factors (Altekruse et al., 1973; Bonano et al., 1974; Choquette et al., 1973; Cooper et al., 1976; Gyntelberg et al., 1977; Lampman et al., 1977; Lopez et al., 1974; Wood et al., 1976), thus supporting the importance of this element of the lifestyle. From these considerations, the early introduction of physical activity patterns in life can be seen as a major need. What is the best time to introduce and reinforce physical activity patterns, if it is not during the elementary school years, where the children have their first contact with the structured society?

An increased emphasis on physical education classes has interested educators for several years (Encausse, 1957). This interest has been heightened through investigations of the effects of exercise on lifferent variables: and intellectual growth, psychological aspects, motor development and physical fitness studied in controlled situations. However, to be effective, exercise needs to meet time, intensity and frequency requirements. To meet these requirements and to promote an optimal time allotment to physical education, physical educators are putting forward arguments originating from investigations on the effects of exercise. A qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the physical education program regarding the different variables on which modifications are anticipated and of the effects of this program on the students is of primary importance to assess optimal time allotment.

#### CHAPTER II

#### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

#### The Evaluation of Daily Physical Education

Physical education classes at the elementary level roused a particular interest in the French medical corps as early as 1933 (Encausse, 1957, p. 5). The first experiment regarding daily physical education was undertaken at that time and later followed by others directed by Encausse The variables measured were anthropometric and (1957).academic in nature. No physiological variables were evaluated. No conclusions can be drawn from this series of experiments because of errors in their design. and experimental groups, when the two were present, were not comparable regarding environmental factors. The composition of the academic results between the two treatment groups was also weak because the students who were submitted to the terminal examination of the elementary level, the criteria for comparison, were selected by the classroom teachers. No statistical treatment was done on any of the measured variables.

Since that time little information has been published regarding the effects of physical education classes on physiological parameters related to physical fitness and even less concerning children at the elementary level.

9

Taddonio (1966) studied the effects of a daily period of calisthenics upon physical fitness of fifthgrade boys and girls, as evaluated by the AAHPER test battery. There was only one significant difference between control and experimental group at the post-test, the 50-yard dash for the boys.

Fabricus (1964) also studied the effects of added calisthenics but on grade four boys and girls. The only measured dependent variable was the performance of the Oregon Motor Fitness test. No data evaluating growth were collected. The results of each subject were expressed as the addition of the standard points for each test. Although significant difference between the control and experimental groups is reported for the post-test results, conclusions should be made cautiously. Growth is a factor that will influence the results of motor performance tests. three tests for grade four girls are flexed arm hang, standing broad jump and crossed-arm curl-ups, and for boys they are standing broad jumps, push-ups and straight leg sit-ups. The use of two tests in this battery can be questioned. There seem to be duplication of measures for girls as the flexed arm hang and curl-ups are included. The flexed arm hang might not be as good an indicator of flexors strength or endurance because of the big motivational factor that can influence the result. The second test which might be criticized is the straight leg sit-up which presents

definite danger for the lower back and does not measure abdominal strength or endurance. A composite score of such a test-battery also does not give a comprehensive picture of what is called physical fitness. According to Bouchard et al. (1974), the five variable determinants of physical fitness are the efficiency of the oxygen transport system, the proportion of fat in the body weight, muscular strength and endurance, posture and correct positioning of the pelvis, and the capacity of relaxation. The test-battery evaluates only one determinant of physical fitness, muscular strength and endurance. From this discussion it can be seen that conclusions about the effects of this particular program of calisthenics on muscular strength and endurance are unwarranted.

Bar-Or and Zwiren (1973) studied the effects of different time allotments and content of physical education classes over a period of nine weeks on three grade four classes. There were three weekly time allotments, two, three and four lessons. However the length of each lesson was not specified. There were two categories of content: the regular category with calisthenics and movement games, and the endurance category with strenuous interval training in each lesson. Each class was given a different time allotment and was further divided into two groups according to content. These two groups were matched for sex, maximum oxygen uptake and ethnic origin. The variables measured

before and after the nine week period were maximal oxygen uptake, heart rate, and anthropometric and pulmonary variables. Among them only variations in submaximal heart rate during a treadmill test were found significantly different between the endurance and regular categories in boys. These findings corroborated those of Massicotte and MacNab (1974) on eleven to thirteen year old boys and of Stewart and Gutin (1976) on ten to twelve year old boys. These experiments were designed as training studies. Bar-Or and Zwiren (1973) reported no significant changes for the girls. They noted that the variation in submaximal heart rate was greater for the group having three lessons a week than for the other two groups. Based on the intensity of training which each class managed to carry out, the authors suggested

that the children practicing four times a week became more fatigued than the others and this adversely affected their response to exercise (p. 515).

This conclusion must be discussed in view of two considerations. First, the conclusion about the differences in submaximal heart rate among the time allotments for the endurance group is not statistically verified and the use of this information as presented leads to questionable conclusions. A second consideration is that the frequent performance of very high intensity work requires high physical working capacity, which may not be true of these children.

The authors' finding, quoted above, may be related to this consideration. It also reinforces the idea that the quantity and intensity of the physical activity level must be adapted to the capacity of the individuals involved and their aims.

Martens (1976) undertook in June 1974 a longitudinal study of the effects of daily physical education classes on grades four to seven. The dependent variables studied are academic achievement and the CAHPER physical fitness test battery, including the evaluation of the physical working capacity for a heart rate of 170  $(PWC_{170})$ . The special program of daily physical education began in September 1975. The data collected in June 1974 for the grades four to seven was considered the control information for further comparisons. Significant improvements of the means of  $PWC_{170}/kg$  for grades 5, 6, and 7 was found in 1975 when compared with 1974 data, while significant decrease is reported for grades 5 to 7 when 1976 data was compared with the information collected in 1974. Such unexpected results can be explained by cohort influence which creates differences between subjects of a given grade in June 1974 and those of the same grade in June 1976. The sex of the subjects involved in the study was also not specified in the report. For these reasons, no subsequent conclusions. are warranted.

Kemper et al. (1976) evaluated the effects of increased time allotment in physical education on twelve and thirteen year old boys. They evaluated anthropometric development, PWC<sub>170</sub>, percent body fat from skinfolds, flexibility with the sit and reach, and specific performance tests. They based their analysis on two interfering variables, skeletal age and habitual physical activity. They evaluated skeletal age from wrist X-rays according to standard methods and habitual physical activity from data collected with pedometers. The authors used covariance analysis in a series of hypotheses to isolate the experimental component physical education classes. Only handgrip strength revealed to be significantly influenced by the experimental condition. However the pedometer has been found an unreliable instrument (kemper et al., 1977; Saris et al., 1977) which invalidates the results of the analysis and does not warrant any valid conclusion.

Goode et al. (1976) investigated the influence of a special activity program on 12 to 14 year old boys and girls. They evaluated height, weight, PWC<sub>170</sub>, body fat on the basis of skinfold measurements and predicted maximal oxygen uptake. They also presented results for the 12 minute run, and a 600 yard run. The special activity program was a daily period of 6 minutes during which the children were asked to perform generalized activities that would elicit a heart rate between 150 and 165 beats per

minute. The program lasted four months and experimental (n = 305) and control (n = 301) groups were tested at the beginning (September-October) and at the end of the program. Each group had the same physical education schedule; four days in regular classes and a fifth one for health education. The regular physical education classes were 50 minute periods during which the students were active for 5 to 15 minutes, depending on the activity, for both control and experimental groups. The intensity level did not exceed 150 beats per minute during these classes except for the 6 minute period of the experimental group.

The results on height and weight showed some discrepancies for certain age groups when experimental and control groups were compared. However no statistical test was performed on these measurements. There was a difference in mean height increment greater than 2.0 cm for a 4 month period for 12 and 13 year old boys and 13 year old girls, always in pfavor of the experimental school which also had greater final means for these groups. There was a difference in the mean weight increment greater than 1.0 kg for the 13 year old boys favoring the experimental school and for the 14 year old boys favoring the control school. The size of each group of subjects, for each school, each age group and each sex was approximately 50. The extent to which physical growth can influence results of tests of a physiological nature on such a short period is not known.

different rates of growth for matched control and experimental groups can influence the results of the analysis.

The design of the analysis is not described. The test on which the probability statements are based is not stated. It seems that these statements apply only to differences in time (pre-test, post-test) so that no evaluation of the special physical activity program can be done. Also none of the information available supports the author's affirmation of a decrease in skinfold thinckness for the experimental group. Rather, it suggests no change. The authors began their discussion by stating that the special activity program

was found adequate to improve cardiorespiratory endurance as measured by a test of Physical Working Capacity,...(p. 246).

When no statistical test to ascertain this fact is clearly presented and when different growth rates could influence the results of such an investigation, this affirmation can be challenged. The qualifying term "improve" must be used cautiously. A lack of stimulation may retard the growth and development process (Bailey, 1973, 1975), and if in applying a correction to this situation the negative effects are overcome, it is not an improvement but rather a return to a normal state.

Current trends in our country promote daily physical education classes, which is one of the conclusions of

the recent national report on elementary physical education (Robbin et al., 1976). However this report fails to bring forward arguments of a physiological nature to sustain its recommendations. Comparative evaluation of the proposed changes on selected physiological variables is needed to enable educators to take appropriate decisions.

# The Influence of Physical Activity on Physical Working Capacity and Body Composition

Modification of the physical activity pattern of children can cause significant physiological changes. Weber et al. (1976) reported positive training effects in prepubescent twins on maximal oxygen consumption. (1969), Lussier et al. (1977), Massicotte et al. (1974), and Eriksson et al. (1973) found significant increase of the same parameter with training. The last three investigators and Stewart et al. (1976) found significant decrease of heart rate at sub-maximal work rates. Lussier et al. (1977) did not find any change in body composition of girls as a result of a running training program. However Parizkova (1968) found that lean body mass and body fat was significantly influenced by the physical activity level of young boys. She also reported in 1976 that lean body mass correlates well with height during the growth spurt period in adolescent boys (r = .89). When correlated with weight, lean body mass shows the same behaviour (r = 0.92) but body

)

fat reveals a low degree of relationship (r = .51). The latter fact is interesting, suggesting a greater influence of extrinsic factors on body fat.

Another approach in the study of the effects of physical activity upon physical working capacity and body composition is to investigate the effects of habitual physical activity levels upon these two parameters. Bailey (1973) reported that for boys, studied longitudinally from eight to fifteen years old, aerobic power is greater for the more physically active children, as classified by their teachers. It was the same for isometric strength and suppleness as measured by the sit and reach test. Watson et al. (1976) studied the relationships between level of habitual physical activity, body composition, body size and physical working capacity of seventeen and eighteen year old boys. Habitual physical activity level was evaluated by means of a questionnaire and interview. They reported significant relationships between  ${
m PWC}_{170}$  and anthropometric measurements (r = .612), level of habitual physical activity (r = .587) and strength (r = .727) and between level of habitual physical activity and motor ability (r = .621). However they did not find any significant relationship between the proportion of fat in body weight and any of the other variables investigated. The body fat was predicted from skinfold thickness. The authors concluded that habitual physical activity had a significant influence upon all the

dependent variables except percent body fat. However, as pointed out by Parizkova (1968) and Bailey (1973), it is. not known if children are more physically active because they have high physical working capacity or if children have high physical working capacity because they are more physically active. This dilemma is not answered yet but it is known that the physical activity stimulus has to be high to cause important changes on physical working capacity and body composition (Massicotte et al., 1974; Parizkova, 1963).

### The Evaluation of Physical Working Capacity

working capacity has been realized using two methods. Walhurd (1948) devised a test to evaluate strictly submaximal working capacity. The importance of the submaximal capacity to produce work begins to assume more relevance as the concept of the so-called anaerobic threshold is developed (Wasserman et al., 1973; Whipp and Wasserman, 1972; MacDougall, 1977; Davis et al., 1976; Weltman et al., 1978) and finds practical relevance (Costill et al., 1973; Withers, 1977).

Astrand and Rhyming (1954) and Astrand (1960) constructed a nomogram to predict maximal oxygen consumption, a parameter which reflects the ability to produce large amounts of energy for prolonged periods of time (Astrand & Astrand, 1978). These two methods for the evaluation of physical working capacity are based on the same principle; the

direct relationship between heart rate and work load at submaximal work rates. The relationship between submaximal and maximal response to work is good, the correlation coefficient ranging between .63 and .92 (Astrand et al., 1954; Astrand, 1960; Dobeln et al., 1967; Glassford et al., 1965; Terelinna et al., 1966). Although the relationship is good, the prediction has a certain degree of inaccuracy. This fact is well documented in adults (Dobeln et al., 1967; Glassford et al., 1965; Hettinger et al., Kavanagh et al., 1976; Teralinna et al., 1966) and also in children (Cumming et al., 1963; Hermansen et al., 1971; Davies et al., 1972). As pointed out by Cumming et al. (1963), it is not desirable to introduce uncontrolled variability in the evaluation of physical working capacity, especially when using this information to compare different groups of individuals. On the basis of the Walhund's findings (1948) and the strength of the relationship with maximal oxygen consumption it is concluded that the evaluation of the submaximal working capacity as described by Howell and co-workers (1968) is a valid estimation of the efficiency of the oxygen transport system. The method referred to above has been found reliable in children by Zahar (1965).

## The Evaluation of Body Composition from Skinfold Measurements

J 42

Two techniques are presently available to evaluate accurately body composition: underwater weighing and body

potassium - 40 (K-40) counting. These methods require special equipment and facilities that make them impractical for field evaluation of body composition. The measure of adiposity using skinfold calipers has been developed for its practicality in the field.

Some investigators explored the relationship between the proportion of fat in the body weight (% body fat) estimated from laboratory methods and the measure of adiposity in children. Frobes et al. (1970) based their evaluation of percent body fat on K-40 counting and related it with the average of six skinfolds, and also separately for two sites, triceps and subscapula. With a very large original sample, the authors differentiated each sex and developed regression equations to predict fat weight for a very narrow age span of one year. This fact leads to predictive equations based on small samples (< 30 subjects), which present a significant loss of power in the predictability of the equation.

Lohman et al. (1975) also used K-40 counting to investigate the relationship of lean body mass and fat weight with skinfold thickness. They originally measured ten sites, but present formula using two sites, triceps and subscapular skinfolds, and body weight. They used a very large sample of boys between 6.3 and 12.9 years of age. They did not find age to be a significant predictor of lean body mass, even in subdividing the original sample into five age

groups. This is an interesting fact suggesting that there is no need to divide prepubescent boys into different age groups to predict body composition. However the accuracy of the prediction of body fat was low, with a standard error of estimate of 1.73 kg. This fact does not strongly support the use of this formula. Test re-test reliability coefficients were presented but no cross-validation of the suggested formula was performed.

Parizkova et al (1972) studied boys and girls between eight and thirteen years of age. They based their investigation of the relationship of body fat and adiposity on hydrostatic weighing. As previously reported (Parizkova et al., 1961) the new regression equations to predict relative amount of fat from skinfold measurements did not significantly differ from boys and girls and equations including the two groups were also presented. Predictive equations of relative amount of fat based on two, five and eleven skinfolds were developed. The increase in the number of sites did not affect the precision of the prediction.

A correlation of 0.857 and a standard error of estimate of 4.97% of body fat were obtained using two sites, triceps and biceps. The authors did not cross-validate their equations.

Cureton et al. (1975) compared densitometric, potassium-40, and skinfold estimates of body composition in prepubescent boys. The estimate based on skinfold

measurements was found to be the least accurate. The underwater weighing was found to have a stronger relation—ship with percent body fat than K-40 counting. There was an important discrepancy when lean subjects (< 10% of body fat) were considered. For this class of subjects, the estimation of percent body fat from K-40 counting was consistently lower than both of the results obtained from underwater weighing or skinfold thickness. This fact is very important to consider when K-40 counting or equations validated by the means of this technique are used to evaluate changes. If a subject has an initial value greater than 10%, this change can be exaggerated, and this fact repeated on many subjects will bias the analysis of the results.

Flint et al. (1977) and Wilmore et al. (1970) evaluated the validity of previously developed formulae for the evaluation of body fat from skinfold and anthropometric measurements. They questioned the accuracy of the formulae and concluded that it was not valid to use the results of their prediction for research purposes.

In a factor analytical study of anthropometric variables for the assessment of body composition, Jackson et al. (1976) identified, among other factors, that skinfold measurements were the principal constituent of the factor body fat. On this basis it is not necessary to predict body to have an evaluation of this parameter using skinfold

measurements. When testing differences between means to evaluate changes on the basis of skinfold measurements, the inaccuracy of the prediction is an important factor to consider and it can be avoided by the direct use of the results of the measurements, for example the sum of skinfolds.

#### CHAPTER III

## THE DESIGN OF THE STUDY

## Subjects

The subjects in this study were elementary school children, boys and girls in grades one, three and six from schools situated in the town of Spruce Grove, a suburb of Edmonton.

Each of these schools has taken a different approach toward education. The program in the experimental school, Millgrove, is distinct from the control school as more time (one hour a day each) is set aside for physical education, fine arts and creative language arts. The physical education classes are taught in the majority of cases by the homeroom teachers. Two physical education specialists are staff members and act as consultants to the other teachers. The control school, Brookwood, has a regular program which involves two thirty minute periods of physical education every week. All the physical education classes are taught by the same teacher, a physical education specialist.

A description of the housing situation of the sample is presented in Table 1. A larger number of subjects in the experimental school lived outside of the urban agglomeration. The age of the sampled subjects as of the first

of October 1977 is presented in Table 2. The variations are small and warrant further comparison.

# Methods and Procedure

In the fall of 1977, random samples of fifteen subjects plus alternates from each grade and of each sex were selected from class lists in the two schools. Prior to the testing, forms were sent to the parents of the subjects and alternates to inform them of our activities and to obtain their consent.

Four different variables were measured. First, the efficiency of the oxygen transport system was evaluated by means of a submaximal test. This bicycle ergometer test was performed according to Howell and co-workers (1968) and evaluates the physical working capacity at a heart rate of The heart rate was monitored by timing thirty beats with a stethoscope and stopwatch beginning at the last twenty seconds of each minute. The pedal revolutions of the bicycle were also recorded at the end of each minute. The ergometers were calibrated using known weights before testing at each school. Second, an evaluation of the proportion of fat in relation to body weight was made. Six skinfolds were measured according to the technique outlined by Bailey (1968). The location of these skinfolds measured with a Harpenden caliper was as follows: mid-triceps, midbiceps, subscapular, subcostal in mid-clavicular line,

abdominal immediately beside the umbilious and iliac crest. All skinfold measurements were taken on the right side of the body. One measurement was taken at each site and then the process was repeated. The replicates for each site were then averaged if the difference between them was not greater than four millimeters. If necessary, a third measurement was taken and the closer two meeting the previous criteria were averaged. The third variable was a measure of flexibility. It was estimated by means of the sit-and-reach  $^{\circ}$ test, performed according to Jetté (1977). The last variable was designed to monitor growth. It was composed of four anthropometric measurements: wrist and upper arm girth recorded with a Lufkin tape to the nearest millimeter, body weight measured with a carefully calibrated and levelled spring scale manufactured by Decca, and recorded to the nearest two-tenth of a kilogram, and finally standing height recorded to the nearest millimeter with a triangle and a measuring tape placed on a wall. measurements were performed according to the technique outlined by Bailey (1968). The upper-arm girth was transformed according to Frisancho (1974) into corrected upperarm diameter.

An attempt was made to quantitatively and qualitatively assess the differences in the two programs of physical education through analysis of the intensity and duration of the different activities of the physical education classes.

The activities included in the program were similar in the two schools. Both programs were based on gymnastics, dance and games. Beginning in February, the duration and intensity of children's activities were evaluated by observations of selected classes. The time for each activity in the class was measured and each was classified according to four intensity levels: no activity, activity producing a heart rate below 129, from 130 to 150, and over 150. All the observations were made by the same observer. The validation of the classification process was made during two sessions that involved a total of fifteen heart rate measurements on children between five and seven years of age and a previously assessed intensity level. The validation procedure considered only a single individual at a time. The classification of the intensity level during the observed physical education classes was assessed in regard to the average activity level in the class. The reliability of the classification process was verified on a continuous basis from observations of grade two, four and five classes. The class average activity level was classified and this classification was subsequently verified on one or two children by monitoring their heart rate over a period of ten seconds using the palpation technique.

A written questionnaire (Appendix I) to be completed by the parents was distributed to all the children involved in the study prior to the re-test period. The

purpose of this questionnaire was to evaluate habitual physical activity level to enable the investigation of the importance of this parameter upon the variable determinants of physical fitness under study. Multiple choice questions regarding general activities outside school hours and a listing of sport and recreational activities constituted the two parts of the questionnaire. The return rate was 86%, which we considered good. Three elements were taken into account in the classification of each sex and grade in high, medium and low habitual physical activity levels. first element is the intensity at which a given activity is generally performed. The list was divided into three levels: high, medium and low, and more importance was given to the activities of higher intensity level in the classification. The second and third elements were based on time of involvement in these activities, their time allotment during the week and their yearly importance. Each sex and age group was independently classified in three categories by two raters and the few discrepancies between the two classifications were discussed and one was finalized.

The investigation of the influence of habitual physsical activity as evaluated by the questionnaire upon some variable determinants of physical fitness was performed on only one school, the control school, on which this factor would have a greater importance.

# Statistical Design

The design of the investigation of the effects of habitual physical activity level was a 3  $\times$  2  $\times$  2 factorial design, using grade, sex, and habitual physical activity respectively as independent variables. A five-way analysis of variance with repeated measures was performed to test differences between the two schools for the pre-test and post-test data. The independent variables were treatment group, sex, grade, initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level and the two repeated measures, a 2 x 2 x 3 x 3 x 2 factorial design. Interactions of higher order than a two-way were interpreted according to the technique described by Keppel (1973). post-hoc analysis, when appropriate, was based on the Tukey multiple-comparison method, unless otherwise specified. accepted level of significance was a probability greater than 95%. The analysis was performed using the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) as implemented under the MTS system (Precht, 1977).

The independent variable labelled initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level was obtained by subdividing each group of subjects of the same school, grade and sex into three subgroups according to the results of the initial test of physical working capacity.

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS

# The Physical Activity Level During Physical Education Classes

The results of the observation of the activity level of some of the classes are summarized in Table 3. The number of classes on which the data was based is low, however no classes were observed twice. The number of observations is smaller in the case of the control school because the same teacher was giving all of the physical education classes and thus more continuity was expected. Another reason for this is that no observation was recorded in the month of May because the teacher was collecting data from the classes for the Canadian fitness award. During these classes, the average physical activity was not greater than level one for the average of the group: Of the two grades for which there is complete data, the experimental school showed greater total time spent in an activity situation. Activities that result in a level of exertion sufficient to induce adaptation to work, classified as two and three, seemed to be performed for longer periods of time in the experimental school, as indicated by the data.

# The Evaluation of Habitual Physical Activity

The results of the analyses of variance on  $PWC_{170}/kg$ , the sum of six skinfolds and lower back and leg flexibility

are presented in Tables 4 to 9. Remarkably, the comparison between the high and low habitual physical activity classifications did not produce any trend which would have resulted in the rejection of the null hypothesis. Only one significant interaction involving habitual activity level as an independent variable was found, that being the interaction between sex and habitual activity level for the sum of six skinfolds. No significant differences, using the Tukey test, were found when comparing the high and low group for each sex (Table 10).

### Height

Three important interactions were present in the analysis of variance using height as the dependent variable (Table 11). For the interaction between sex and grade, no significant differences among the means were foul (Table 12). The grade main effect depicted the cross-sectional growth in height (Table 13). The second interaction involved the longitudinal aspect of the study. The analysis of the simple interaction effects between sex and time for the three grades under investigation showed one interaction at grade six (Table 14). Tests on these means revealed a faster rate of growth for girls when compared to boys (Table 15). The third interaction was detected between the treatment groups for the experimental period. The control group was

found to have a faster rate of growth when compared to the experimental group (Table 16). In this case the F ratio was equal to 9.04.

# Wrist Circumference

In the analysis of variance involving the non-repeated factors, three main effects were significant (Table 18). The sex main effect indicated a bigger structure for boys (Table 19). The grade and time main effects showed, on a cross-sectional basis and a longitudinal basis respectively an increase in size with age (Tables 20 and 21). Although an initial PWC170/kg level main effect was present, no significant difference between the means of the three levels was found (Table 22). Two interactions including time as an independent variable were shown. The grade six had a faster growth rate than either grade one or three (Table 23). As well, the control group was growing at a faster rate than the experimental group (Table 24). In the latter case the Q value for the second hypothesis was equal to 4.30.

### Body Weight

Two interactions were found in the analysis of variance using body weight as the dependent variable (Table 25). In the sex and grade interaction, no significant differences were present between the sexes at each grade

(Table 26). The two sexes behaved according to the grade main effect (Table 27). No significant difference between the means of the initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level main effect were found (Table 28), although this main effect was detected as significant. The second interaction involved grade and time. The body weight was found to increase only in grades three and six with the latter increase being the larger (Table 29). There was an increase in body weight over the period under study as indicated by the time main effect (Table 30). This increase was the same for both sexes, no interaction involving the two independent variables sex and time being detected, but was found only in grades three and six as indicated by the grade and time interaction.

# Corrected Upper-Arm Diameter

The portion of the analysis of variance without the repeated measurement factor using corrected upper-arm diameter as the dependent variable presented two main effects (Table 31). The sex main effect showed larger diameters for boys (Table 32). Increase in diameter was also related to age when studied cross-sectionally (Table 33). Two interactions with the repeated measurement factor were significant. The rate of growth was found to be faster for grade six than grade three while it was the same for grades one and three (Table 34). The rate of increase was also found to be different when the subjects were divided

according to the initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level. The medium and low levels were found to increase significantly more than the high level during the period under investigation (Table 35). The time main effect indicated an increase in corrected upper arm diameter for the seven month period (Table 36). This change took place in the three grades in both sexes, in the three physical working capacity levels, and in both two treatment groups.

# Physical Working Capacity

There were many important interactions in the analysis of variance using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  of body weight as the dependent variable (Table 37). Although significance was shown for the interaction between treatment group and sex, no difference between control and experimental group was found (Table 38). The sex main effect indicated a higher physical working capacity in boys for the two treatment groups (Table 39). When compared cross-sectionally, the sexes were found to behave differently. The simple interaction effects of the interaction among sex, grade and time showed two interactions between sex and time at grades three and six (Table 41). The boys in grade three were found to improve while the girls did not (Table 42); opposite took place at grade six (Table 43). Different tendencies were however found when comparing the results according to grades only (Table 40). The boys improved

from grades three to six while the girls decreased when considering the same grades. The initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level main effect showed that the classification was sound (Table The interaction among treatment group, initial  $PWC_{170}/$ kg level and time was divided into three simple interaction effects according to the initial levels (Table 45). Only the interaction involving the low level was significant. The experimental group was at a lower level than the control group initially and at a higher level at the end of the school year. No significant change was detected for the control group over the period under study (Table 46). The time main effect showed an overall significant increase in physical working capacity when expressed relatively to body weight (Table 47). This increase was found to be dependent on sex and grades (Table 41), and treatment group depending on the physical working capacity level (Table 46).

# The Sum of Skinfolds

Only one interaction was detected in the analysis of variance involving the non-repeated factors, using the sum of skinfolds as the dependent variable (Table 48). However the tests on the means of this interaction, the treatment group and sex interaction, did not reveal any significant differences (Table 49). Three main effects were present. The sex main effect (Table 48) showed no significant differences between the two groups (Table 50). The

grade main effect showed significant differences only between the two extremes (Table 51). The initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$ level main effect showed that the low group had greater skinfold thickness than the medium and high groups which were not found to be different with regard to this variable (Table 52). When the analysis with the repeated factor was considered, a high-order interaction was present among the treatment group, grade, initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level and time. It was found from the analysis of the simple interaction effects (Table 53), that two PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg levels showed significant interactions. The further division of the data of these two levels into lower-order simple interaction effects revealed that there was no interaction within each grade for the high level and that there were two within grade interactions between treatment group and time, at grades one and six. The tests of the means of the simple interaction effect of the low level of grade one showed a decrease in skinfold thickness for the experimental group when  $com-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ pared to the control which did not change over the period of investigation (Table 54). The decrement of the experimental group missed statistical significance by less than .006 for the Q value. The tests of the means of the simple interaction effect of the low level of grade six showed an initial difference between the control and experimental groups, the control group having smaller skinfold thickness (Table 55). At the end of the school year, the

positions were reversed, the control group having larger skinfolds while those of the experimental group remained constant. Although the time main effect was significant, no difference was found between the pre-test and post-test data (Table 56). It is only over different grades, physical working capacity levels and treatment groups that the seven month period of the study showed an influence upon skinfold thickness.

## Flexibility

Meither the main effect of the repeated measurement dimension nor any interaction including this dimension were significant using leg and back flexibility as the dependent variable (Table 57). The analysis of variance without the repeated measurement factor presented three significant main effects. The treatment group main effect showed differences between the experimental and control groups, indicating a better flexibility for the experimental group (Table 58). The sex main effect indicated a superior flexibility for girls than for boys (Table 59). No significant differences between grades were found although the main effect was reported as such (Table 60).

#### CHAPTER V

#### DISCUSSION

# The Physical Activity Level of the Physical Education Classes

The evaluation of the physical activity level of the physical education classes was not performed systematically. This fact prevented generalizations being drawn from this information. However the data collected would tend to suggest that the activity levels sufficient to induce physical adaptation were performed for longer periods of time in the experimental school. The activity level is the factor to consider in lesson planning if some effects upon physical fitness are desired.

# The Habitual Physical Activity Level

The habitual physical activity level is another factor which influences physical fitness. However, the physical activity stimulus has a threshold beyond which adaptation occurs (Parizkova, 1968; Stewart et al., 1976; Massicotte et al., 1974). When habitual physical activity is evaluated, this threshold must be considered. Such an evaluation requires information about intensity and duration of the activities performed as well as their relative weekly and monthly importance. The purpose of the questionnaire was to evaluate the extent to which the children

sampled were involved in physical activity in general and particularly their involvement in sport activities. by means of questions of a general nature. To be a worth-while variable to include in the analysis of different physical education programs, the influence of habitual physical activity would have to be detectable over a large number of subjects, which is the reason for the inclusion of at least four subjects in the high and low groups.

There can be two reasons presented to explain why the questionnaire evaluation failed to detect any relationship between the classification and the variable determinants of physical fitness under investigation. The first reason could be the low number of subjects in the high classification involved in regular activities that are likely to induce adaptation to work. The second reason could be the lack of reliability of the response. If the questionnaire was not filled in conscientiously and not all information was provided by the respondent, underclassification would result which could therefore bias the investigation.

Since the question pertaining to the relationships between high physical working capacity and the degree
of involvement in physical activity has not as yet been
answered in the literature (Parizkova, 1967; Bailey, 1973),
it was decided to introduce the initial physical working
capacity level as an independent variable in the comparative
analysis of the two physical education programs.

## Height

The means for height of different studies from different countries are compared in Table 61 (for boys) and Table 62 (for girls). For both sexes, the means in this study were found to be slightly higher than in the reference studies. It is important to note that comparison was made between data collected in different populations. Three of these studies originated from Scandinavian countries (Backstrom et al., 1971; Brundtland et al., 1975, and Karlberg et al., 1976) while the other one was from the United States (McCammon, 1970). The differences between the reference studies and the data of this investigation increased a little more at age eleven for both sexes.

It was found that height was increasing with grade, which was the result of growth (Table 13). The rate of growth was found to differ only between grade six boys and girls, favoring the girls. This difference is easily explained by the earlier onset of the growth spurt in the girls (McCammon, 1970) (Tables 14, 15). The two treatment groups were found to have different rates of growth for height, the control group being faster (Table 16). This situation is common to all grades, all physical working capacity levels and both sexes as no interaction including these three independent variables was present.

# Wrist Circumference

Wrist circumference is a measure that complements height in evaluating body structure because of its relationship to sex (Table 19). The same results obtained with height were found in the analysis of variance using wrist circumference as the dependent variable. Growth in size was found in the comparison between grades and also between the two measurements (Tables 20, 21). The grade six subjects were found to have a faster rate of growth, but this situation was the same for both sexes while only the girls were showing a faster rate of growth for height (Tables 10, 11, 23). The presence of an interaction between treatment groups and time for wrist circumference corroborated the results of the analysis using height as the dependent variable (Table 24). It will be of importance further in the discussion to note that this difference in rate was present across sex, grade and initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level as no other interaction involving treatment groups, time and one of these independent variables was detected in the analysis using height and wrist circumference as dependent variables.

# Body Weight

The means for body weight of different studies are presented in Tables 63 (for boys) and 64 (for girls). The boys in the present study fell well within the range

of the values reported. It is interesting to note that although they were found taller at eleven years old, their mean body weight was close to the data from the other studies. The data for girls present a slightly different picture as they were found appreciably heavier at eleven years old in comparison with the other studies, while being comparatively of the same weight at six and eight years old. This could be explained by an earlier onset of puberty in the sample involved in this study, as indicated by the data for height.

When body weight was used as the dependent variable in the comparative analysis, it was found that the increase over the period under study was seen in grades three and six only, the grade six increasing faster than the grade three (Table 29). The treatment groups and both sexes behaved in the same manner; no interaction, including these independent variables, was reported for the investigated period.

# Corrected Upper-Arm Diameter

The mean values obtained for the corrected upperarm diameter in this study were compared with normative data collected in the Ten-State Survey in the United States (Frisancho, 1974) (Table 65). This comparison indicated a smaller muscular development of the subjects involved in this study in contrast to American standards. There can be several possible explanations, such as differences due to genetic background or physical activity level. The differences between the means seemed to decrease with the onset of puberty as the data provided by this study moved up in the normative distribution but still stayed appreciably lower than the average.

The findings of the analysis of variance regarding sex and age differences were corroborated by the normative data previously presented. Girls had a lower muscular development index except at eleven years of age. Then they joined the boys! level in the case of the normative data, but not in the case of this study where the girls were smaller than the boys. When the data collected in this investigation was analyzed longitudinally, grade six boys and girls were found to have a faster rate of muscular development than both grades one and three (Table 34). The other reported interaction based on the repeated measurement 'factor indicated a faster developmental rate for the medium and low physical working capacity level when compared to the high level (Table 35). The main effect of the initial physical working capacity level was not significant, indicating that all levels were at a similar stage of development. No influence of the age factor was present, as no interaction including physical working capacity level and time with grade was detected. ential growth rates between physical working capacity levels

using height, wrist circumference and body weight as dependent variables were not found in the analysis. Growth is not the factor that can explain the interaction between physical working capacity level and time for corrected upper-arm diameter. The treatment group factor did not affect corrected upper-arm diameter as neither interaction nor main effect including this factor were significant. However other factors such as physical working capacity and adiposity were influenced over the period under investigation by the treatment factor. This influence that was also detected differently across the physical working capacity levels. Physical activity does not seem to be a factor that would also explain the interaction between physical working dapacity level and time for corrected upper-arm diameter. No reason is suggested to explain the presence of this interaction in this investigation.

The common denominator of all the findings related to the body dimensions is the faster rate of growth of the grade six subjects. In the case of height, the difference in growth rate was found between boys and girls, while all the other variables showed the occurrence of this phenomenon for both sexes. Growth is the factor that has the greatest influence on the child. It is uncontrollable and investigators can only account for it.

# Physical Working Capacity

The results on PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg obtained in this study are compared with Canadian norms and some data collected last year in the Edmonton school boards in Tables 66 and 67. The data of this study collected in the fall are close to the mean of the norms for eight year old boys and eleven year old girls. The eight year old girls and eleven year old boys showed higher physical working capacity than the norms. For both sexes and at all age groups the data collected in the spring is higher than both the norms and the Edmonton investigation.

The analysis of variance demonstrated that crosssectional and longitudinal data do not provide information
of the same nature. In the cross-sectional investigation,
a sex interaction showed that the physical working capacity
of the grade one and three, boys and girls decreased in capacity (Table 40). The repeated measurements showed that the
grade three boys improved over the seven month period of
the study while the girls were staying at the same level
(Table 42). In grade six, the girls were improving while
the boys were stationary (Table 43). The behaviour of
the grade six subjects in the cross-sectional study is corroborated by the Canadian survey of 1968 (Howell et al.,
1968). However, to interpret the trends found in the
longitudinal aspect of this investigation properly, information of this nature collected over a longer period of

1

time is required. This information would also provide data that would better explain the cross-sectional findings.

The comparison between the two schools using physical working capacity as the dependent variable showed that only the low  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level was influenced by the difference in programs (Table 45). The experimental group was found to improve while the control stayed stationary (Table 46). This influence was found in both sexes of all grades as no interaction including these two independent variables along with treatment group, initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level or time was detected. The other physical working capacity levels were not affected by the treatment factor over the period under investigation. The difference in growth rate between the two treatment groups reported earlier cannot explain the differences found for the low physical working capacity level using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  as the dependent variable because the differential developmental rate was found to be common to the  $PWC_{170}/kg$  levels. the growth factor have had a determining influence on the results of the physical working capacity evaluation, it would have been detected for the three physical working capacity levels and not only one as it was the case. The factor responsible for the differences reported by the interaction between treatment group, initial physical working capacity level and time are attributable to the difference in program between the two schools.

# The Sum of Six Skinfolds

A comparison between the means of two studies for the average of six skinfolds is shown in Table 68. The results of this study are found to be lower in all cases. Many factors could explain this situation. The origin of the two samples considered come from different populations, as Forbes et al (1970) were using children from the Rochester area. Population differences include biological differences as well as sociological differences such as physical activity and nutritional habits. A second factor that could influence the absolute value of skinfold measuremeths is the inter-tester variability.

The analysis of variance using the sum of skinfolds as the dependent variable showed no significant differences between sexes (Table 50). The cross-sectional aspect
of the investigation revealed only increased sums between
the two extreme age groups, grades one and six. This finding
is in accordance with data reported by Forbes et al. (1972),
Lohman et al. (1975), and Cureton et al. (1975) who showed
that higher values are obtained between ten and twelve
years of age. The classification of the subjects into
physical working capacity levels found partial relevance
using skinfold thickness as the dependent variable. A net
distinction was made between the low level and the medium
and high levels (Table 52). However no discrimination was
found between the latter two. The comparison of the two

treatment groups over the period under study according to grade and initial physical working capacity level lead to a very interesting finding. Only the low physical working capacity group was affected by the difference in program, and for only two of the three grades investigated (Tables 53, 54). These two grades were also affected differently. For grade one, the control group did not change and the experimental one decreased, but this decrement was just below the significance level. However there was a significant difference between the experimental and control groups at the post-test indicating a lower/sum for the former, while the two groups were initially considered equal. grade six the experimental group did not change and the control group increased in skinfold thickness. As previously noted in cross-sectional studies, and also in longitudinal study (Karlberg et al., 1976), skinfold thickness shows a rapid increase during the ten to twelve year old period. This fact depicts well the situation in the control school at grade six. The effect of physical activity, it would appear, was to prevent this increase from taking place in the experimental group. It must be noted that a potential factor in the interaction was the difference in growth velocity and the influence of this factor on adiposity (Tanner, 1970). In grades one and three, the average of six skinfolds in this study was found low in absolute value and when compared with other date (Forbes et al.,

1970). In such a situation, a more important stimulus is required to create changes. This latter fact explains the presence of the discrepancy found between grades one The observation of the activity level of the and three. physical education classes (Table 3) showed that a higher activity level was found for grade one when compared to grade three for the experimental school. In average grade one spent twide as much time in the second classification and three times more time in the third one. previously reported differential growth rate between the experimental and control group does not explain the differences found at grades one and six. Growth was occurring in the two groups, therefore changes caused by this factor were expected to happen in both of them. earlier growth spurt of the girls was detected in both treatment groups as no interaction with this factor and the three others, grade, sex and the repeated measurements, was significant. The difference in the rate of growth was in dependent of the grade and initial physical working capacity level factors. If the rate of development had any influence upon the results of the analysis, using skinfold thickness as the dependent variable, it would not have happened for only two grades at only one physical working capacity level. This daily physical education program had a positive influence on adiposity. When classified according to their initial physical working capacity level, the subjects in the lowest

group were found to have a large sum of skinfolds (Table 52). It is therefore important to decrease the sums of skinfolds of this group. The activity level was shown to be a critical factor as no influence of the increase in physical activity was detected in grade three, but was shown possible in grade one with a good activity level.

# Flexibility

The important finding in the analysis of variance using leg and back flexibility as the dependent variable was the difference between the treatment groups. The experimental group was found higher than the control, and stayed the same over the period under study. However the absence of variation in time in both groups for this measure prevents one from making any assertion concerning the influence of the different physical education programs.

#### CHAPTER VI

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Conclusions

The results of the comparison between two selected physical education programs were that a daily physical education period improved significantly the physical working capacity of the children with an initially low physical working capacity. The adiposity of the same group of subjects was affected in only two grades. Leg and lower back flexibility was not affected by the difference in pro-It is of importance to note that only the low physical working capacity group was affected, which represents only one-third of the school population. situation stresses the need to plan activities in which each child can reach and maintain for a total of a few minutes the intensity level which is above his or her own threshold if adaptation to physical work is desired. may require the creation of situations in which the child is motivated to participate actively. It is when this objective is fulfilled that the physiological and psychological effects can be integrated. A true experience of physical activity must leave some physiological effects. If, in a group situation, more than the majority is positively affected, then situations in which motivation toward active participation have been created. Physical fitness

is one of many objectives of physical education classes. It may be seen as a junction parameter in the evaluation of the effects of physical education classes but it represents only a part of what should constitute the goals of a physical education program.

The comparison of the two particular programs concerned by this study lead to the following conclusions:

- improved physical working capacity (PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg) of the subjects with an initially low PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level in all grades in the school with daily physical education;
- decreased proportion of fat in body weight of the subjects with an initially low PWC170/kg level in grades one and six in the school with daily physical education;
- did not influence leg and lower back flexibility.

The physical activity level in the classes is the factor explaining these partial results.

## Recommendations

The on-going evaluation of the beneficial effects of daily physical education is needed. It is important to see if more complete changes will occur over time. It would be valuable to investigate thoroughly the relative importance of daily physical education periods in the total daily activity of the child both quantatively and qualitatively. Such information would provide the explanation of the results obtained in this study. It would also provide data that could shed some light on the relationship between habitual physical activity and physical working capacity.

In future studies consideration should be given to identifying appropriate activities for different age groups in habitual physical activity questionnaires.

TABLES

Table 1. Description of the subjects according to the type of housing for each grade and school.

	Non-Buss	ed Students	Bussed Students					
	Houses	Apartments	Mobile Homes	Acreages	Farms			
Grade l Control n = 28	27	,		.з 1				
Grade l Experi. n = 30	16	\$	3	11				
Grade 3 Control n = 29	27			2	1, 2			
Grade 3 Experi. n = 28	14	74	3	8	3			
Grade 6 Control n = 25	21	2	,	2				
Grade 6 Experi. n = 28	11.		1	. 11	5			

Table 2. Means and standard deviations for chronological age, in months, for each grade, sex and school.

					<del></del> ,	•		
		· ]	Boys		G.	Girls		
	,	Grade 1	Grade 3	Grade 6	Grade	Grade 3	Grade 6	
-	_	*						
Experimental School	$\bar{x}$	76.1	100.6	133.5	74.1	9,9.8	138.7	
1	SD	5.9	6.3	10.7	5.0	4.9	6.8	
	n	15	15	13	15	13	15	
	·	<u>.</u>				<u> </u>		
Control School	ī	74,9	98.5	138.3	72.1	99.2	135.3	
	SD	5.3	3 , 8	9.6	3.8	3.9	5.3	
Page 1 and 10 an	i <sup>†</sup> n	15	14	¥ 15	14	15	10	
							•	

Table 3. Total time, in minutes, spent at different activity levels during the observed classes.

			Experimental school			Control school			
Grade	Activity level		f	min	max	Nu of class		min	max
	,					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	0	, 3	4.2	2.5	6.0	3	7.7	7.3	8.2
	1		15.3	9.0	25.3	e.	10.7	9.5	11.5
	2		8.8	4.5	16.8		3.1	2.5	.3.5
	3		2.0	0.0	6.0		1.0	0.0	2.2
3	0	5	11.3	8.16	14.7	2	4.3	4.1	5.2
	1		16.2	8.0	27.0		5.1	5.0	5.2
	2		4.5	1.0	10.0		1.0	0.6	1.3
	3		0.6	0.0	2.0		0.0		
								Đ	
6	0	3	11.4	5.0	14.7	0		7	
	1 ,		22.7	20.7	24.0				
	2		1.6	0.0	4.7		,		
•	3 ~	0	0.3		1.0				ď.
		*				•	y	t	

Table 4. Summary table of the analysis of variance pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  as the dependent variable.

(A = grade, B = sex, C = habitual activity level)

A B AB C AC BC	2. 1. 2.	1.903 111.881	0.328	0.722	
AB C AC				0.001	
C AC	2.	.3.476			
AC			0.600	0.554	
	1.	0.206	0.336	0.851	į
BC	2.	9.656	1.666	0.202	
	1.	5.279	0.911	0.346	
ABC	2.	9.627	1.661 <sub>U</sub>	0.203	
S-Within	38.	5.795			

3

Table 5. Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level.

					•
A	<b>B</b> )	С	Mean	Std. Dev.	n.
				,	
1	1	1	15.210	2.181	4
1	1	2	13.453	1.374	4
1	2	1	12.294	3.914	4
1	2	. 2	10.482	4.428	4
2	1	1	14.731	1.323	4
2	1	2	14.441	1.736	4
2	2	1	12.153	1.785	5
2	2	2	12.787	1.747	5
3	1	1	13.259	3.205	4
3 -	1	2	16.875	2.515	4
3	2	1	11.703	0.872	4
3	2	2	10.538	1.189	4
		ž.		•	, <del>*</del>

A = grade

1: grade 1 2: grade 3 3: grade 6

B = sex

1: boys 2: girls

C = habitual physical activity level

1: low 2: high

Table 6. Summary table of the analysis of variances pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using the sum of six skinfolds as the dependent variable.

(A = grade, B = sex, C = habitual activity level)

Source	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability
A	2.	4067 036	7 474	0.000
В	1.	4067.836	7.474	0.002
AB	2.	96.239	0.376 0.177	0.543
C C	1.	126.514	0.232	0.839
AC	2.	52.815	0.232	0.908
ВС	1.	2349.552	4.317	0.045
ABC	2.	1743.879	3.204	0.052
S-Withir	n 38.	544.251		٧.

4

Table 7. Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level.

<del></del>		<del></del>		· /	
A	В	. C	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
					1
1	1	1	41.375	26.644	4
1	1	2	41.075	13.147	4
. 1	2	1	40.125	9.276	4
1	2	2	41.025	6.346	4
2	1	1 .	41.575	17.249	4
2	1	2	31.475	1.936	4
2	2	1	42.160	15.402	5
2	2	2	38.580	13.780	5
3	1	1	82.475	52.183	4
3	1	2	42.025	13.199	 4
3	2	1	54.050	8.592	4
3	2	2	88.425	45.200 ,	4
	•				

A = grade

1: grade 1 2: grade 3 3: grade 6

B = sex

1: boys 2: girls

C = habitual physical activity level

1: low

2: high

Table 8. Summary table of the analysis of variance pertaining to the investigation of habitual physical activity level using leg and back flexibility, measured by the sit and reach, as the dependent variable.

(A = grade, B = sex, C = habitual activity level)

	Degrees of	Mean	F	<u> </u>
Source	Freedom	Squares	Ratio	Probability
A	2.	13.893	0.296	0.745
В	1.	122.198	2.604	0.115
AB	2.	1.713	0.037	0.964
С	1.	13.270	0.283	0.598
AC	2.	115.967	2.472	0.098
BC	1.	0.582	0.012	0.912
ABC	2.	65.455	1.395	0.260
S-Withi	n 38.	46.921		

Table 9. Means and standard deviations for each grade and sex when classified as high or low habitual physical activity level.

			•		
A	В	С	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
•					
1	1	1	28.225	3.047	4
1	,1	2	26.325	7.424	4
1	2	1	32.575	4.926	. 4
1	2	· 2	27.175	2.220	4
2	1	1	22.000	6.334	4
2	1	2	31.975	3.598	4
2	2	1	28.160	8.682	,5
2	2	2	31.740	8.388	5
3	1	. 1	27.175	5.780	4
3	1 -	2	22.850	7.063	4
3 -	2	1	26.725	8,303	4
3	2	2	31.000	10.057	4

A = grade

1: grade 1 2: grade 3 3: grade 6

B = sex

l: boys 2: girls

C = habitual physical activity level

1: low 2: high

Table 10. Tests on the means of the interaction between sex and habitual activity level for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

	Boys (B)	Girls (G)
High (H)	38.19	54.67
'n	12	13
Low (L)	55.14	45.19
n 🐧	12	13
· .		
		<b>.</b>
ć.		р
•	Hl: BH < BL	N.S.
	G2: GL < GH	N.S.
-	J	

Table 11. Summary table of the analysis of variance using height as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level, E = Time)

		•		
Source	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability
A B AB C AC BC ABC D AD BD ABD CD ACD BCD ACD BCD ABCD S-Within	1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4.	22.785 27.342 59.241 27904.574 22.785 273.418 77.468 45.570 259.747 43.291 54.684 134.430 306.456 48.987 61.519 74.258	0.307 0.368 0.798 375.781 0.307 3.682 1.043 0.614 3.498 0.583 0.736 1.810 4.127 0.660 0.828	0.581 0.545 0.373 0.001 0.736 0.028 0.355 0.543 0.033 0.560 0.481 0.131 0.003 0.621 0.509
E AE BE ABE CE ACE BCE ABCE ADE ADE BCE ADE BCE ABDE ABDE CDE ACDE BCDE ACDE ACDE ACDE ACDE ACDE ACDE ACDE A	1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 132.	1002.532 22.785 0.0 4.557 2.278 9.114 15.949 0.0 9.114 2.278 4.557 6.835 5.696 6.835 5.696 4.557 3.598	278.598 6.332 0.0 1.266 0.633 2.533 4.432 0.0 2.533 0.633 1.266 1.900 1.583 1.900	0.001 0.013 0.999 0.262 0.533 0.083 0.014 0.999 0.083 0.533 0.285 0.154 0.183 0.114 0.183 0.286

Table 12. Tests on the means of the interaction between sex and grade for height (cm).

	Grade 1 (1)	Grade 3 (3)	Grade 6 (6)
Boys (B)	119.20	131.26	147.74
$\cdot$ n	30	29	. 28
Girls (G)	116.10	129.66	151.17
	29	28	24
		р	
	Hl: Gl < Bl	N.S.	
	H2: G3 < \$3	N.S.	,
	H3: B6 < G6	N.S.	
	:		

Table 13. Tests on the grade means for height (cm).

Grade			Means			n
1			117.7			59
3			130.5		•	57 -
6		-	149.3			52
				р		
	ӊ1:	1 < 3	1.	*		
	Н2:	3 < 6		*		

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \le .05$ 

Table 14. Summary table of the simple interaction effects for the sex (S), grade, and time (Ti) interaction for height.

Source	Mean Squares	df	Mean Squares	F
S x Ti for grade 1	2.534	1	2.534	0.70
S x Ti for grade 3	3.561	. 1	3.561	1.01
S x Ti for grade 6	17.769	1	17.769	4.94*
SS-Within	475.000	132	3.598	·

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

Table 15. Tests on the grade six means of the sex and time interaction effect for height (cm).

	Boys (B)	Girls (G)
		7
Pre-test (1)	146.32	148.93
Post-test (2)	149.16	153.41
n	28	24
-	,	
		р
	Hl: Bl < Gl	*
	H2: B2 < G2	*
	H3: G1-B1 < G2-B2	**

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> p  $\leq$  .05 using the Scheffe test

Table 16. Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and time for height (cm).

	Experimental (E)	Control (C)
Pre-test (1)	130.93	129.23
Post-test (2)	133.93	133.11
n	86	82
		•
	p	·
	11: E1 < C1 *	
· ·	2: E2 < C2 *	•
es est	3: E2-E1 < C2-C1 **	
i i		

Table 17. Test on the time means for height (cm).

<del></del>		*
Time	Means	n
Pre-test	130.1	168
Post-test·	133.5	168

H: Pre < Post

\* p ≤ .05

Rable 18. Summary table of the analysis of variance using wrist circumference as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level, E = Time)

	······································	·			
$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{1}$	Degrees of	Mean	. <b>F</b>	5	
Source	Freedom	Squares	Ratio	Probability	
	/	Ç			
7	1	:0 354	0 200	. 0 . 534	
A	1. ~	0.354	0.389	0.534	•
_	1.	21.669	23.822	0.001	
AB	1.	1.061	1.167	0.282	
C	2.	125.159	148.589	0.001	
AC `	2.	0.628	0.690	0.503	
BC .	2.	1.813	1.993	0.140	
ABC G	2.	2.795	3.073	<b>0.050</b>	
. D	2.	3.184	3.500	0.033	,
AD	2.	0.991	1.089	0.340	•
BD	2.	0.159	0.175	0.840	
ABD.	2.	0.478	0.525	0.593	
CD ·	4.	1.362	1.497	0.207	•
ACD	4.	0.858	0.943	0.207	*
BCD	4.	0.261	0.343	•	.,
ABCD	4.			0.886	
51	2.22	1.052	1.157	0.333	
S-Within	. 131. 💍	0.910		•	•
				•	,
	<u></u> (a)			0 )	,
E	1.	1.557	35.927	•	
AE	1.	0.230	5.307	0.023	
BE	1.	0.018	0.408	0.524	
ABE	1.	0.0	0.0	0.999	
CE	2.	0.195	4.491	0.013	
ACE	2.	0.027	0.612	0.544 .	£
BCE	2.	0.009	0.204	0.816	$t_j$
ABCE	2.	0.018	0.408	0.666	
DE	2.	0.071	1.633	0.199	
ADE	2.	0.035	0.817	0.444	, ,
BDE	2.	0.080	1.837	0.163	-
ABDE	2.				11.
		0.009	0.204	0.816	
CDE	4.	0.031	0.714	0.583	
ACDE	4.	0.022	0.510		
BCDE	4.	0.013	0.306	0.873	٠.
ABCDE	4	0.0	0.0	0.999	
S-Within	131.	0.043	2.2		
			•••		

Table 19. Tests on the means of the sex main effect for the wrist circumference (cm).

Sex	Means	'n
Boys	13.03	87
Girls	12.47	80
, · .		• .
,	P	
`.	H: G < B *	

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

Table 20. Tests on the means of the grade main effect for the wrist circumference (cm).

<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. Grade		Means	n
1		11.80	59
3		12.59	56
6		14.04	52
eran e		р	•
5	H1: 1 < 3	*	
•	H2: 3 < 6	*	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \le .05$ 

Table 21. Tests on the means of the time main effect for wrist circumference (cm).

Time		Means		n
Pre-test		12.694	4	167
Post-test		12.827		167
•			p ·	•
	Н:	Pre < Post	*	

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

Table 22. Tests on the means of the initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level main for wrist circumference (cm).

			<u>.</u>
Level	,	Means	n
High (H)		12.62	56
Medium (M)		12.74	55
Low (L)		12.92	56
		p	
	Н1: Н < №	N.S	!
	3 H2: M < I	N.S.	
	Н3: Н < 1	N.S.	
<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Table 23. Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for wrist circumference (cm).

	Grade l (A)	Grade 3 (B)	Grade 6 (C)
Pre-test (1)	11.756	12.541	13.923
Post-test (2)	11.837	12.637	14.154
n	59	57	52

р

H1: B2-B1 < C2-C1 \*\*

H2: A2-A1 < B2-B1 N.S.

\* p ≤ .05

Table 24. Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and time for the wrist circumference (cm).

•	Experimental (E	Control (C)
Pre-test (1)	12.695	12.693
Post-test (2)	12.779	12.877
) n 🕏	85	82
٠	•	
H1:	C1 < E1 N	p v.s.
H2:	E2 < C2	

<sup>\*\*</sup> p  $\leq$  .05 using the Scheffe test N.S. p  $\geq$  .05

Table 25. Summary table of the analysis of variance using body weight as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level, E = Time)

Source	Degrees of Freedom	Mean ` F Squares Ratio	Probability
A B AB C AC BC ABC D AD BD ABD CD ACD BCD ACD BCD ABCD S-Within	1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 132.	21.112	0.546 0.725 0.248 0.001 0.854 0.045 0.120 0.003 0.290 0.700 0.265 0.081 0.113 0.940 0.621
E AE BE ABE CE ACE BCE ABCE DE ADE ADE ADE BDE ABDE CDE ACDE BCDE ABCDE ABCDE S-Within	1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 132.	228.898 207.393 0.819 0.742 2.172 0.968 1.976 1.790 10.867 9.846 0.872 0.790 0.623 0.564 2.572 2.331 0.634 2.387 0.169 0.153 1.922 1.742 1.050 0.952 1.032 0.935 2.550 2.310 1.175 1.064 0.401 0.363 1.104	0.001 0.391 0.163 0.183 0.001 0.456 0.570 0.101 0.096 0.858 0.179 0.389 0.446 0.061 0.377 0.835

Table 26. Tests on the means of the interaction between sex and grade for body weight (kg).

	Grade l (1)	Grade 3 (3)	Grade 6 (6)
7			:
Boys (B)	22.765	27.252	37.795
n 🛰	30	29	28
Girls (G)	20.743	26.945	41.423
n 🤣	29	28	24
		р	
	H1: G1 < B1	N.S.	·
,	H2: G3 < B3	N.S.	₩
	H3: B6 < G6	N.S.	
			•

Table 27. Tests on the grade means for body weight (kg).

Grade		Means		n
1	,	21.77		59
3		27.10		57
6	<u></u> -	39.47		52
1			р	
	H1: G1 < G3		*	
	H2: G3 < G6		*	

 $p \leq .05$ 

Table 28. Tests on the initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level means for body weight (kg).

Level	Means n
High (H)	27.50 56
Medium (M)	28.89 56
Low (L)	30.79 56
	p
H1: H < M	N.S.
H2: M < L	N.S.
H3: H < L	N.S.

Table 29. Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for body weight (kg).

			·*·
,	Grade l (A)	Grade 3 (B)	Grade 6 (C)
		-	
Pre-test (1)	22.169	26.386	38.260
Post-test (2)	22.373	27.816	40.679
n .	59	57	52
à			
•			
•	••	p	•
; <u>(</u> 6	H1: A1 < A2	N.S.	
	H2: B1 < B2	*	
•	H3: C1 <'C2	*	
	H4: B2-B1 < C2		
ato	H5: A2-A1 < B2	-Bl •**	

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup>  $p \le .05$  using the Scheffe test

N.S. p > .05

Table 30. Test on the time means for body weight (kg).

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Time	·	Means		9-11	n
Pre-test		28.23			168
,	· ·				
Post-test	÷.	29.89			168
		•	р		
•	H: Pre	< Post	*	•	

\* p < .05

Table 31. Summary table of the analysis of variance using corrected upper-arm diameter as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level, E = Time

Source	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Squares	F Ratio	Probability
A B AB C AC BC ABC D AD BD ABD CD ACD BCD ABCD S-Withi	1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 131.	14.717 425.944 39.057 3571.842 42.028 2.406 117.311 42.028 46.557 11.179 30.142 15.142 38.066 11.108 25.047 27.240	0.540 15.636 1.434 131.123 1.543 0.088 4.307 1.543 1.709 0.410 1.106 0.556 1.397 0.408 0.919	0.464 0.001 0.233 0.001 0.218 0.916 0.015 0.218 0.185 0.664 0.334 0.695 0.238 0.803 0.455
E AE BE ABE CE ACE BCE ABCE DE ADE ADE BDE ABDE CDE ACDE BCDE ACDE BCDE ACDE BCDE ACDE BCDE ACDE ACDE BCDE ACDE ACDE ACDE S-Withi	1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 131.	331.981 0.0 0.0 9.340 15.000 0.142 1.698 6.934 16.415 1.132 1.274 2.264 5.873 1.910 1.769 1.981 3.042	109.150 0.0 0.0 3.071 4.932 0.047 0.558 2.280 5.397 0.372 0.419 0.744 1.931 0.628 0.582 0.651	0.001 0.999 0.999 0.082 0.009 0.955 0.574 0.106 0.006 0.690 0.659 0.477 0.109 0.643 0.677 0.627

Table 32. Tests on the sex means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

Sex		Means	n
Boys (B)		48:64	87
Girls (G)		46.24	80
		р	
•	H: G < B	* :	

\* p \le .05

Table 33. Tests on the grade means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

Grade		Means	n 
1	•	42.32	59
3		46.77	56
6		54.09	52
		p	•
	H1: 1 < 3	**	
*	н2: 3 < 6	*	

Table 34. Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and time for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

		Grade 1(0)	Grade 3 (T)	Grade 6 (S)
				_
, Pre-test (1	.)	41.54	45.98	52.58
Post-test (	2)	43.10	47.55	-55.59 <sup>-</sup>
n		59	56	52
			р	<del>-</del> '
-1	Hl:	01 < 02	*	
	Н2:	T1 < T2	*	
	н3:	S1 < S2	*	. J
	H4:	T2-T1 < S2-S	1 ** ;	
	н5:	02-01 < T2-T	l N.S.	. ·

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup>  $p \le .05$  using the Scheffe test

Table 35. Tests on the means of the interaction between initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level and time for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

	High (H)	Medium (m)	Low (L)
			_
Pre-test (1)	46.45	46.78	46.39
Post-test (2)	47.47	49.12	48.87
n .	56	55	56
		•	•
		, p	
н1	: H1 < H2	*	
́ н2	2: M1 < M2	***	
Н3	: L1 < L2	*	
н4	: M2-M1 < L2-		
н5	: H2-H1 < M2-1	M] **	

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \le .05$ 

<sup>\*\*</sup> p  $\leq$  .05 using the Scheffe test

Table 36. Test on the time means for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

	Means	.*	n
		4	-
	46.47	j. V	167
	48.48		167
•		·	
	Α.	p .	
H: Pre	Post	*	
		46.47	46.47 48.48

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

Table 37. Summary table of the analysis of variance using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level, E = Time) -

			•	
	Degrees of	Mean	F	
Source	Freedom	Squares	Ratio	Probability
		**	*	
Å	1.	0.676	0.171	0.680
В	1.	492.597	124.251	0.001
AB	1.	17.569	4.432	0.037
С	2.	10.974	2.768	0.066
<b>AC</b>	2.	8.170	2.061	0.131
BC	2.	38.351	9.674	0.001
ABC	2.	1.139	0.287	0.751
D 🦻	2.	383.025	96.612	0.001
AD	2.	3.249	0.819	0.443
BD	2.	8-010	2.020	0.137
ABD	2.	3.364	0.849	0.430
CD .	4.	3.987 -	1.006	0.407
ACD	4.	1.455	0.367	0.832
BCD	4.	5.140	1.296	0.275
ABCD	4.	3.133	0.790	0.534
S-Within	132.	3.965		
4				
/ -	1	06 101	20 601	·
E AE	1.	86.191	30.601	0.001
BE	1.	23.977	8.513	0.004
ABE	1.	1.121 0.463	0.398	0.529
CE	2.		0.164 2.354	0.686
ACE	2.	6.631 5.100	1.811	0.099
BCE	2.	20.631	7.325	0.168 0.001
ABCE	2.	2.465	0.875	
DE	2.	56.909	20.205	0.419 0.001
ADE	2.	10.565	3.751	0.026
* BDE	2.	2.946	1.046	0.026
ABDE	2.	1.709	0.607	0.547
CDE	4.	3.596	1.277	0.282
ACDE >	4.	4.508	1.601	0.178
BCDE	4.	2.118	0.752	0.558
ABCDE	4.	2.052	0.728	0.574
ES-Within		2.817		
		Z		

Table 38. Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and sex for PWC170/kg.

		Expe	rimental (E)	Control (c)
Boys (B)	•		14.45	13.95
n		•	43	44
				<b>.</b>
Girls (G)			11.57	12.05
<b>n</b>	i,		43	38
٠ •	, .		, p	
	H1:	BC < EC	N.S.	ah.
	H2:	GE < GC	N.S.	) /
		_	•	

Table 39. Tests on the sex means for  $PWC_{170}/kg$ .

Sex		Means	n
Boys (B)		14.19	88
Girls (G)		11.78	80
	•	р	•
	H: G < B	*	

\* p ≤ .05

Table 40. Tests on the means of the interaction between grade and sex for  $PWC_{170}/kg$ .

<u> </u>			•	•
	Gra	de l	Grade 3	Grade 6
•	•			*
Boys (B)	13.	75	13.82	15.04
n	30	ş <b>e</b>	29	28
•	•		•	
Girls (G)	11.9	52	12.48	11.29
n .	29		28	24
• :s				
٠	*1 <b>3</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>p</b> .	ga-
·	Hl: B]	< B3	N.S.	
	H√Ž: G]	. < G3	N.S.	
	нз: вз	* < *B6	*	
•	H4: G6	< G3	*	
	•			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

N.S. p > .05

Table 41. Summary of the analysis of the simple interaction effects of the sex (S), grade, and time (Ti) interaction for PWC1.70/kg.

Source	4	Mean Squares	đ£	Mean Squares	© F
S x Ti for	grade l	0.32	1	0.32	0.11
S x Ti for	grade 3	31.441	1	31.441	11.16*
S x Ti for	grade 6	17.744	1.	17.744	6.30*
SS-Withi	.n	371.789	132	2.817	1

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \leq .05$ 

 $\epsilon$ 

Ś

Table 42. Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect between sex and time for grade 3 for PWC170/kg.

	<del>.</del>			Boys	(B)	Girls (G)
Pre-test (1)				12.	97	12.68
Post-test (2)		•,		14.	67	12.28
'n				29		28
			•	-	p	
•	Hl:	Bl	< B2		*	
	H2:	G2	< G1		N.S.	
	H3:	G1	< B1		N.S.	
	H'4:	G2	< B1	•	*	

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

Table 43. Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect between sex and time for grade 6 for  $PWC_{170}/kg$ .

	Boys (B)	Girls (G)
Pre-test (1)	14.97	10.39
Post-test (2)	15.11	12.19
n	28	24
		p
	H1: B1 < B2	N.S.
	H2: G1 < G2	*
$* p \le .05$	N.S. p > .05	

Table 44. Tests on the initial  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level means for  $PWC_{170}/kg$ .

Level	Means	n
High (H)	14.88	56
Medium (M)	13.12	56
Low (L)	11.09	56
		p
	H1: L < M	*
	Н2: М < Н	

Table 45. Summary table of the analysis of the simple interaction effects for each initial PWC170/kg level of the treatment group (Tr) and time (T) interaction for PWC170/kg.

Source	Mean Squares	df	Mean Squares	F
Tr x Ti for high	5.899	1	5.899	2.09
Tr x Ti for medium	0.007	1	0.007	0.002
Tr x Ti for low	43.825	1	43.825	15.56*
SS-Within	371.789	132	2.817	e di Sta

<sup>\*</sup>  $p \le .05$ 

Table 46. Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for the low  $PWC_{170}/kg$  level using  $PWC_{170}/kg$  as the dependent variable.

	Е	xperimen	tal (E)	Co	ontrol (C	:)
Pre-test (1)		9.2	4		10.56	
Post-test (2)		12.8	7		11.69	i ,
n		28 。	***		28	
	Hl: El	< C1	<b>p</b>			
4	4 4	< E2 < C2	) * N.S.			
	H4: E1	< E2	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Table 47. Test on the time means for  $PWC_{170}/kg$ .

Time		Means		n
Pre-test		12.50		168
	e .		,	1
Post-test	v	13.56	•	168
			and the second s	
			p	
	H: Pre <	Post	*	
J				

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

Table 48. Summary table of the analysis of variance using the sum of skinfolds as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level, E = Time)

	·	u .	*	•
	Degrees of	Mean	F	, ,
Source	Freedom	Squares	Ratio	Probability
A	1 - 23	122 020		
В	1.	123.038	0.123	0.726
AB	1.	8741.965	8.768	0.004
C		4154.813	4.167	0.043
AC	2.	11302.836	11.337	. 0.001
BC	2.	576.313	0.578	0.562
ABC	2.	1403.545	1.408	0.248
D	2.	394.035	0.395	0.674
	2.	10955.086	10.988	0.001
AD	2.	\ 88.291	0.087	0.915
BD	2.	1617.153	1.622	0.201
ABD	2	900.855	0.904	0.408
CD	4\~	1554.637	1.559	0.189
ACD	4/.	172.239	0.173	0.952
BCD	4	170.744	0.171	0.953
ABCD	4.	37.666	0.038	0.997
S-Within	132.	997.004	0.000	0.997
•				
E	1.	64.937	4.290	0.040
AE	1.	186.836	12.342	0.001
BE	1.	3.987	0.263	0.609
ABE	1.	10.538	0.696	0'.406
CE	2.	7.263	0.480	0.620
ACE S	2.	4.130	0.273	0.762
BCE	2.	17.658	1.166	0.762
ABCE	2.	0.854	0.056	0.945
DE	2.	16.234	1.072	
ADE	2.	58.956	3.894	0.345
BDE	2.	4.984	0.329	0.023
ABDE	2.	8. <del>1</del> 17		0.720
CDE	$\overline{4}$ .	30.688	0.536	0.586
ACDE	4.		2.027	0.094
BCDE	4.	56.606	3.739	0.006
ABCDE	4.	11.820	0.781	0.540
ES-Within	132.	1.851	0.122	0.974
TO-MT CUITU	134.	15.138		· Company of the Comp

Table 49. Tests on the means of the interaction between treatment group and sex for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

		Exp	erimental (E)	Control (C)
Boys (B)	•		36.53	45.36
n		• .	43	44
				1
Girls (G)			54.18	46.15
n			43	38
			, p	
	Hl:	BE < BC	N.S.	
· •	H2:	GC ≰ GE	N.S.	
	н3:	BC < GC	N.S.	•
	H4:	BE < GE	N.S.	

Table 50. Tests on the sex means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

Sex		Means		n
Boys- (B)		41.00		38
Girls (G)		50.41		30
		p	<b>(</b>	
<b>H:</b>	B < G	n.s.	1 11	

Table 51. Tests on the grade means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· .		. 0
	Grade		•	Means	n
	1	•		37.44	59
	3		,	43.28	•57
	6	•		57.20	52
		and the second s	٠.	р	٠
		Hl:	<b>1</b> < 3	N.S.	
, r		Н2:	3 < 6	N.S.	
		н3:	1 < 6	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	* p ≤ .0!	5	N.S	. p > .05	

Table 52. Tests on the initial PWC170/kg level means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

Level		Means	n
High (H)		38.54	56
Medium (M)	<b>~</b>	41.61	56
Low (L)	•	56.46	<b>56 ★</b>
•		p	
	H1: H <	M N.S.	
	н2: м <	<b>L</b> *	

 $p \leq .05$ 

Table 53. Summary of the analysis of the simple interaction effects of the treatment group (Tr); grade (Gr), initial PWC170/kg level, and time (Ti) interaction for the sum of six skinfolds.

Source		Mean Squares	df	Mean Squares	F
4		4 14	·		
Tr x Gr x Ti for h	nigh	126.019	2	63.010	4.16*
Tr x Gr x Ti for, n	nedium	23.611	2	11.805	0.78
Tr x'Gr x Ti for l	.ow	96.687	2:	48.344	36.19*
•		<i>f</i>			
For the high level	,				•
Tr x Ti for grade	1 💎	21.602	1	21 602	1.43
Tr x Ti for grade	3-	52.475	1.	52.475	3.47
Tr x Ti for grade	6	54.596	_ 1	54.596	3.61
	· ·				
For the low level	•		- /		रूप इ
Tr x Ti for grade	1.	100.469	1 .	100.469	6.64*
Tr x Ti for grade	3	5.662	1	5.662	0.37
Tr x Ti for grade	6 ,	241.379	1	241.379	15.95*
		• · · · · · ·			
SS-Within	•	1998.250	132	15.138	

<sup>\*</sup> p < .05

Table 54. Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for grade 1 low PWC170/kg level interaction between treatment group and time for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

		*
	Experiemntal (E)	Control (C)
Pre-test (1)	44.50	42.94
Post-test (2)	39.98	44.76
<b>n</b>	10	10
	p	
H1:	C1 < E1 N.S.	•
н2:	E2 < C2 *	<b>4</b> .
н3:	C1 < C2 N.S.	
H4:	E2 < E1 N.S.	

<sup>\*</sup> p ≤ .05

a.

N.S. p > .05

Table 55. Tests on the means of the simple interaction effect for grade 6 low PWC170/kg level interaction between treatment group and time for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

	Experimental (E) Control (C)
Pre-test (1)	79.36 73.98
Post-test (2)	75.47 - 80.76
n	9
	.p
	H1: C1 < E1  ** H2: E2 < C2  *
	H3: E2 < E1 N.S.
2	H4: C1 < 62 *
* p < .05	N.S. p > .05

Table 56. Test on the time means for the sum of six skinfolds (mm).

						,
Time	•		Means		n	
Pre-test	37.1		46.01		168	<del></del> .
Post test			45.06		168	
				p	*	•
	H: P	re < Pos	st	N.S.		•
	0	•	i,			

N.S. p > .05

Table. 57. Summary table of the analysis of variance using flexibility as the dependent variable.

(A = Treatment group, B = Sex, C = Grade, D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level, E = Time)

		9		·
Source	Degrees of Freedom	Méan Squares		Description of the second
	TICCOOM		Ratio	Probability
<b>A</b>	•	<b>&amp;</b>		· .
В	1.	1146.201	21.212	0.001
AB	1.	942.758	17.447	.0.001
i i	1.	16.003	0.296	0.587
C TA	2.	184.245	3.410	0.036
AC	2.	48.640	0.900	0.409
BC	2.	137.011	- 2.536	0.083
ABC	2.	8.277	0.153	0.858
D	2.	57.630	1.067	0.347
AD	2.	0.587	0.011	0.989
BD	2.	164.611	3.046	0.051
ABD	2.	236.170	4.371	0.015
СФ	4.	61.777	1.143	0.339  /
ACD	4.	79.520	1.472	0.214
BCD	4.	105.892	1.960	0.104
ABCD	4.	18.450	0.341	0.850
S-Withi	n 132.	54.035	0.011	0.030
		•		
E	1.	4.308	0.766	
AE	1.		0.766	0.383
BE	i.	3.240 1.104	0.576	0.449
ABE	1.		0.196	0.659
CE	2.	0.071	,0.013	0.911
ACE	2.	11.081	1.969	0.144
BCE	2.	13.092	2.327	0.102
ABCE	2.	3.970	0.705	0.496
DE		6.355	1.129	0.326
ADE	2.	2.741	0.487	0.615
	2.	3.329	0.592	0.555
BDE	2.	3.035	0.539	0.584
ABDE	2.	2.020	0.359	0.699
CDE	4.	10.298	1.830	0.127
ACDE	4.	10.017	1.780	0.137
BCDE	4.	4.521	0.804	0.525
ABCDE	4.	10.489	1.864	0.120
ES-With:	in 132.	5.627	p - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
8			F831 /2	

Table 58. Tests on the treatment group means for the sit and reach (cm).

Treatment Group		Means	-	n
Experimental (E)	ight.	31.63		86
Control (C)	\. 	27.68		82
	Н: ं०€ <	- , E	p *	

p ≤ .05

Table 59. Tests on the sex means for the sit and reach (cm).

Sex		Means		n
Boys (B)	• •	28.08		88
Girls (G)		31.44		80
a. <del>v</del>	<b>н:</b> в <	*Ģ	<b>p</b>	6.9

\* p ≤ .05

Table 60. Tests on the grade means for the sit and reach (cm).

Grade		Means	n
1		31.16	59
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29.05	57
6		28.76	52
	€ 9 <b>%</b>	р	
	H1: 3 < 1	N.S.	
•	H2: 6 < 3	N.S.	•
	H3: 6 < 1	N.S.	
		•	

N.S. p > .05

Table 61. Means for height (cm) for boys from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal.

		6 years	8 years	ll years
a				
McCommon (1970)	x	116.2	128.8	144.2
•	SD	3.53	4.28	4.84
	, n	91	92	76
•				
Backstrom et al.(1971)	Х		127.0	142.0
	, SD	4.4	4.5	5.4
	n	200	911	824
₹		•		
Brundtland et al.(1975	) <u>x</u>		129.7	144.7
	SD		5.5	6.3
	n	•	1082	1121
				· .
		,		
Karlberg et al.(1976)	X	116.6	128.6	144.1
	SD	4.63	5.31	6.09
	n	117	114	110
•			The state of the s	. •
C.	*		•	
This study (Pre-test)	X	117.4	129.4	146.3
	n	30	29	28

Table 62. Means for height (cm) for girls from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal.

	·	·			
	6	years	8 years	ll years	
	<b>-</b> -				
McCommon (1970	x	115.6	127.7	144.6	
<u> </u>	SD	4.48	5.16	7.25	
	n	95	90	70	
		•	•		
			o o		
Backstrom et al.(1971)			125.0	141.0	
	SD	4.5	4.5	6.2	
•	n	201	925	675	
•			7	,	
Brundtland et al.(1975	) <u>x</u>	-	128.9	145.1	
	SD		5.5	7.3	
	n°		1003	1116	
		*			
Karlberg et al.(1976)	x	115.6	127.4	144.3	
	SD.	4.66	5.47	7.01	
		81	81	80	
			01	80	
This study (Pre-test)	x	114.5	128.2	148.9	
	'n	29	28	24	
				•	

Table 63. Means for body weight (kg) for boys from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal.

		•	:	,
<u> </u>	6	years	8 years	ll years
McCommon (1970)	x	21.0	26.8	36.4
	SD	2.15	3.31	4.83
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n	91	92	76
			• •	
Backstrom et al.(1971)	x	19.6	24.9	32.5
	SD	2.3	2.9	4.3
	, <b>n</b>	203	910	824
Brundtland et al. (1975	) <del>x</del>	-	27.0	36.2.
	SD		.3.8	5.7
	n		1082	1120
				2
Karlberg et al.(1976)	<del>x</del>	20.8	25.8	36.3
	SD	2.77	3.92	6.72
	n	81	81	80
	•			
This study (Pre-test)	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	22.2	26.7	36.7
•	'n	30	29	28
	. *			

Table 64. Means for body weight (kg) for girls from different studies, cross-sectional and longitudinal.

	6	years	8 years	ll years
McCommon (1970)	X	20.5	26.0	36.0
	SD	2.49	3.78	7.04
The state of the s	n	95	90	70
Backstrom et al.(1971)	$\bar{x}$	19.2	24.0	32.6
* <del></del>	SD	2.0	3.1	5.6
4	n	204	925	674
		<b>.</b>		
Brundtland et al.(1975)	X	•••	26.8	37.1
	SD		4.4	7.1
	ņ	en e	.1003	1117
Karlberg et al.(1976)	X	20.8	25.8	36.3
	SD	2.77		6.72
en de la companya de La companya de la co	'n	81	81	80
	· ·	<b>"</b> •		
This study (Pre-test)	<b>x</b>	20.1	26.1	40.1
<b>)</b>	n .	29	28	24

Table 65. Comparison between the means of two studies for corrected upper arm diameter (mm).

				Boys	
		· ·	6 years	8 years	ll years
Frisancho ()	L974)	15th	43	46	50
	•	50th	47	50	55
		85th	51	55	62
•	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	n	264	301	294
	· · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			· ·	,
This study (	pre-	Ţ.	42.9	46.9	53.4
Ç		'n	30	29	28
				Girls	
			6 years	8 years	ll years
Frisancho (1	.974)	15th	41	45	48
•		50th	45	48	55
•		85th.	49	53	62
		, <b>n</b>	259	270	268
This study ( test)	pre-	X	40.1	45.1	51.6
		n	29	28	24

Table 66. Comparison between the means of different studies for  $PWC_{170}/kg$  for boys.

	. •						, .
				Age in	years		
		6	* 7	8	9	11	12
	•	_					
Howell et al. (1968)	x	-	11.97	12.71	12.73	13.21	13.33
	SD	,	3.75	2.94	2.75	3.11	3.15
	n	**	· <del>-</del>	101	119	122	101
	•		V.				
Quinney e't al. (1978)	ī	- -	8.97	_	11.26	-	12.22
	n	·	•		50		50
This study (Pre-test)	ī	13.02	-	12.97		14.97	· <del>-</del>
•	'n	30		29		28	
			•				
This study (Post-test)	x.	<del>-</del>	14.49	<del></del> .	14.67	<del>-</del>	15.11
	'n	•	30		29		28

Table 67. Comparison between the means of different studies for  $PWC_{170}/kg$  for girls.

• '		Age in years							
•		6	. ,7	8	9	11	12		
	_			/	•	4			
Howell et al. (1968)	X	-	9.61	10.67	10.27	10.08	9.92		
\$	SD		2.59	/ 3.75	2.50	2.78	2.60		
•	n		96' /	114	104	101	101		
	>			•		r	·		
Quinney et al.	ī	_	8.40	<del>-</del>	9.45	_	10.13		
(1978)	n		50		50		50		
•	٠	. •		•	•		· .		
This study (Pre-test)	x	10.68	-,	12.68	· —	10.39	• · · · · • • • · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	n	29		28 .		24			
			,		*	o.	2		
This study (Post-test)	x		12.35	<del>-</del>	12.28	_	12.19		
(1000 0000)	n	<del>\$</del>	29		28		24		

Table 68. Comparison between the means obtained in two different studies for the average of six skinfolds (mm).

	,		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
<b>.</b>		Boys	5
•		8.5-9 years	11.5-12 years
	·.	ST '	
Forbes et al. (1970)	$\bar{x}$	8.6	12.0
3	SD	2.9	6.4
•	n	12	24
<b>G</b> .			, ,
This study (Post-test)	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	6.7	7.95
(rust-test)	», n	29	28
		Girls	;
	•	8-5-9 years	11.5-12 years
1	2 2		•
Forbes et al. (1970)	$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	11.0	13.0
^	SD	5.7	3.4
	n	1,2	.8
		•	
This study (Post-test)	X	7.73	11.1
	n	28	24
	,		*

#### REFERENCES

- Altekruse, E.B. and J.H. Wilmore, Changes in Blood Chemistries Following a Controlled Exercise Program, J.

  Occup. Med., 15:110-113, 1973.
- Andersen, K.L., V. Saliger, J. Rutenfranz, and A. Berndt, Physical Performance Capacity of Children in Norway, Part II Heart Rate and Oxygen Pulse in Submaximal and Maximal Exercises Population Parameters in a Rural Community, Eur. J. Appl. Physiol., 33:197-206, 1974.
- Astrand, I., Aerobic Work Capacity in Men and Women with Special Reference to Sex and Age, Acta Physiol. Scan., 49 (suppl. 169), 1960.
- Astrand, I. and P.O. Astrand, Aerobic Work Performance, A Review, in Environmental Stress, Individual Human Adaptations, Folinsbee L.J. et al., Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- Astrand, P.O. and I. Rhyming, A Nomogram for Calculation of Aerobic Capacity (Physical Fitness) from Pulse Rate during Submaximal Work, J. Appl. Physiol., 7:218-221, 1954.
- Backstrom, L. and R.L. Kantero, Cross-Sectional Studies of Height and Weight in Finnish Children Aged from Birth to 20 Years, Acta Paed. Scan., suppl. 220, 1971.
- Bailey, D.A., Exercise, Fitness and Physical-Education for the Growing Child A Concern, Can. J. Publ. H., 64: 421-430, 1973.
- Bailey, D.A.; Physical Activity during the Growing Years, Na Pao, 5:47-52, 1975.
- Bailey, D.A., Saskatchewan Growth and Development Study, University of Saskatchewan, 1968.
- Bar-Or, O. and L.D. Zwiren, Physiological Effects of Increased Frequency of Physical Education Classes and Endurance Conditioning on 9 to 10-Year-Old Girls and Boys, Israel J. Med. Sc., 9:514-515, 1973.

- Genano, J.A. and J.E. Lies, Effects of Physical Training on Coronary Risk Factors, Am. J. Cardiol., 33: 760-764, 1974.
- Bouchard, C., F. Landry, J. Brunelle, and P. Godbout,

  La Condition Physique et le Bien-Etre, Editions
  du Pélican, Quebec, 1974.
- Brundtland, G.H., K. Lustol, and L. Walloc, Height and Weight of School Children and Adolescent Girls and Boys in Oslo, 1970, Acta Paed. Scand. 64:565-573, 1975.
- Choquette, G. and R.J. Ferguson, Blood Pressure Reduction in "Borderline" Hypertensives Following Physical Training, Can. Med. Ass. J., 108:699-703, 1973.
- Clegg, E.J. and C. Kent, Skinfold Compressibility in Young Adults, <u>Human Biol</u>., 39:418-429, 1967.
- Comité d'Etude sur la Condition Physique des Québécois, Le Rapport et les Recommandations, Rapport présenté au Ministre d'Etat Responsable du Haut-Commissariat à la Jeunesse, aux Loisirs et aux Sports, Juillet 1974.
- Cooper, K.H., M.L. Pollock, R.P. Martin et al., Physical Fitness vs Selected Coronary Risk Factors, Am. Med. Ass. J., 236:166-169, 1976.
- Costill, D.L., H. Thomason, and E. Roberts, Fractional
  Utilization of the Aerobic Capacity during Distance
  Running, Med. Sci. Sports, 5:248-252, 1973.
- Cumming, G.R. and R. Danzinger, Bicycle Ergometer Studies in Children, Pediatrics, 32:202-208, 1963.
- Cureton, K.J., R.A. Boileau, and T.G. Lohman, A Comparison of Densitometric, Potassium-40 and Skinfold Estimates of Body Composition in Pubescent Boys, Human Biol., 47:321-336, 1975.

- Davies, C.T.M., C. Barnes, and S. Godfrey, Body Composition and Maximal Exercise Performance in Children, Human Biol., 44:195-214, 1972.
- Davis, J.A., P. Vodak, J.H. Wilmore, J. Vodak, and P. Kurtz, Anaerobic Threshold and Maximal Aerobic Power for Three Modes of Exercise, <u>J. Appl. Physiol.</u>, 41:544-550, 1976.
- Dobein, V.W., I. Astrand, and A. Bergstrom, An Analysis of Age and Other Factors Related to Maximal Oxygen Uptake, J. Appl. Physiol., 22:934-939, 1967.
- Ekblom, B., Effects of Physical Training in Adolescent Boys, J. Appl. Physiol., 27:350-355, 1969.
- Encausse, P., <u>Influence des Activités Physiques et Sportives sur le Développement Intellectuel et Physique en Milieu Séolaire</u>, Imprimerie Nationale, Paris, 1957.
- Eriksson, B.O. and G. Koch, Effect of Physical Training on Haemodynamic Response during Submaximal and Maximal Exercise in 11-13 Year Old Boys, Acta Physiol. Scand., 87:29-39, 1973.
- Fabricus, H., Effects of Added Calisthenics on the Physical Fitness of Fourth Grade Boys, Res. Quart., 35:135-140, 1964.
- Flint, M.M., B.L. Drinkwater, C.L. Wells, and S.M. Howath, Validity of Estimating Body Fat of Females: Effect of Age and Fitness, Human Biol., 49:559-572, 1977.
- Forbes, G.B. and G.H. Amirhakimi, Skinfold Thickness and Body Fat in Children, Human Biol., 42:401-418, 1970.
- Frisancho, A.R., Triceps Skinfold and Upper Arm Muscle Size Norms for Assessment of Nutritional Status, Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 27:1052,1058, 1974.

- Glassford, R.G., G.H.I. Baycroft, A.W. Segwick, and R.B.J. MacNab, Comparison of Maximal Oxygen Uptake Values Determined by Predicted and Actual Methods, J. Appl. Physiol., 20:509-513, 1965.
- Goode, R.C., A. Virgin, T.T. Romet, P. Crawford, J. Duffin, T. Pallondi, and Z. Woch, Effects of a Short Period of Physical Activity in Adolescent Boys and Girls, Can. J. Appl. Sport Sc., 1:241-250, 1976.
  - Gyntelberg, F., R. Brennan, J.D. Holloszy, G. Schonfeld, M.J. Rennie, and S.W. Weidman, Plasma Triglyceride Lowering by Exercise Despite Increased Food Intake in Patients with Type IV Hyperlipo-proteinemia, Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 30:716-720, 1977.
  - Hermansen, L. and S. Oseid, Direct and Indirect Estimation of Maximal Oxygen Uptake in Pre-pubertal Boys, Acta Paediat. Scand., suppl. 217:18-23, 1971.
- 'Howell, M.L. and R.B.J. MacNab, Principle Investigators, Capacité de Travail des Ecoliers Canadiens de 7 à 17 ans, Association canadienne pour la santé, l'éducation physique et la récréation, 1968.
- Jackson, A.S. and M.L. Pollock, Factor Analysis and Multivariate Sealing of Anthropometric Variables for the Assessment of Body Composition, <u>Med. and Sci. in</u> Sports, 8:196-203, 1976.
- Jetté, M., <u>Manuel Technique</u>, <u>Tests Normalisés de la Condition Physique pour la Santé au Travail</u>, Association Canadienne d'Hygiène Publique, 1977.
- Karlberg, P. and J. Taronger, The Somatic Development of Children in a Swedish Urban Community, <u>Acta Paed</u>. Scan., suppl. 258, 1976.
- Kemper, H.C.G. and R. Verschuur, Validity and Reliability of Pedometers in Habitual Activity Research, Eve. J. Appl. Physiol., 37:71-82, 1977.

- Kemper, H.C.G., R. Verschuur, K.G.A. Ros, H. Snel, P.G. Splinter, and L.W.C. Tavecchio, Effect of 5-Versus 3-Lessons-a-Week Physical Education Program upon the Physical Development of 12 and 13 Year Old Schoolboys, J. Sports Med., 16:319-326, 1976.
- Keppel, G., <u>Design and Analysis: A Researcher's Handbook</u>, Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1973.
- Laframboise, H.L., Health Policy, Breaking it Down into More Manageable Segments, Can. Med. Ass. J., 1973.
- Lalonde, M., <u>Nouvelle Perspective de la Santé des Canadiens</u>, Gouvernement du Canada, Ministère de la Santé et du Bien-Etre Social, 1974.
- Lampman, B.M., J.T. Satinga, M.F. Hodge et al., Comparative Effects of Physical Training and Diet in Normalizing Serum Lipids in Men with Type IV Hyperlipoproteinemia, Circulation, 55:652-659, 1977.
- Lohman, T.G., R.A. Boileau, and B.H. Massey, Prediction of Lean Body Mass in Young Boys from Skinfold Thickness and Body Weight, <u>Human Biol</u>., 47:245-262, 1975.
- Lopez, A., R. Vial, L. Balart and G. Arroyave, Effect of Exercise and Physical Fitness on Serum Lipids and Lipoproteins, Atherosclerosis, 20:1-9, 1974
- Lussier, L. and E.R. Buskirk, Effects of an Endurance
  Training Regimen on Assessment of Work Capacity in
  Pubertal Children, in <u>The Marathon: Physiological</u>,
  Medical, Epidemiological, and Psychological Studies,
  P. Milvy, ed., The New York Academy of Sciences,
  New York, p. 734-747, 1977.
- MacDougall, J.D., The Anaerobic Threshold: Its Significance for the Endurance Athlete, Can. J. Appl. Sport Sc., 2:137-140, 1977.
- Martens, F.L., Report of the Blanshard Project An Elementary Program Emphasizing Physical Education, Unpublished Progress Report, 1976.

- Massicotte, D.R. and R.B.J. MacNab, Cardiorespiratory Adaptations to Training at Specified Intensities in Children, Med. Sci. in Sports, 6:242.
- McCammon, R.W., <u>Human Growth and Development</u>, Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, Illinois, 1970.
- McKeown, T., An Interpretation of the Modern Rise in Population in Europe, Population Studies, 23(3):345, 1972.
- Parizkova, J., Total Body Fat and Skinfold Thickness in Children, <a href="Metabolism">Metabolism</a>, 10:794-807, 1961.
- Parizkova, J., Longitudinal Study of the Development of Body Composition and Body Built in Boys of Various Physical Activity, <u>Hum. Biol</u>. 40:212-225, 1968.
- Parizkova, J., Growth and Growth Velocity of Lean Body Mass and Fat in Adolescent Boys, <u>Pediat. Res.</u>, 10: 647-650, 1976.
- Parizkova, J. and L. Rogh, The Assessment of Depot Fat in Children from Skinfold Thickness Measurements by Holtain (Tanner/Whitehouse) Caliper, Human Biol., 44:613-620, 1972.
- Precht, D. et., MTS/SPSS Reference Manual, Computing Services, The University of Alberta, 1977.
- Quinney, H.A. and A.E. Wall, Physical Working Capacity and Anthropometric Measurements of Edmonton School Children Participating in Two-Period and Five-Period per Week Physical Education Programs, Unpublished Paper, The University of Alberta, 1978.
- Robbins, S.G. et al., School Physical Activity Programs Committee of the CAHPER, "Nouvelle Perspective pour les Programmes d'Education Physique dans les Ecoles Elémentaires du Canada, rapport national", Association Canadienne pour la Santé, l'Education Physique et la Récréation, 1976.

- Saris, W.H.M. and R.A. Binkhorst, The Use of Pedometer and Actometer in Studying Daily Physical Activity in Man. Part I: Reliability of Pedometer and Actometer, Eur. J. Appl. Physiol., 37:219-228, 1977.
- Stewart, K.J. and Gutin, B., Effects of Physical Training on Cardiorespiratory Fitness in Children, Research Quarterly, 47:110-120, 1976
- Taddonio, D.A., Effect of Daily Fifteen-Minute Periods of Calisthenics upon Physical Fitness of Fifth-Grade Boys and Girls, Res. Quart., 37:276-281, 1966.
- Tanner, J.H. Physical Growth, in Carmichael's Manual of Child Psychology, Vol. 1:77-155, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1970.
- Teralinna, P., A.H. Ismail and D.F. MacLeod, Nomogram by Astrand and Rhyming as a Predictor of Maximum Oxygen Intake, J. Appl. Physiol., 21:513-515, 1966.
- Walhund, H., Determination of the Physical Working Capacity, Acta Med. Scand., 9 (suppl. 215), 1948.
- Wasserman, K., B.J. Whipp, S.N. Koyal, and W.L. Beaver, Anaerobic Threshold and Respiratory Gas Exchange During Exercise, J. Appl. Physiol., 35:236-243, 1973.
- Watson, A.W.S. and D.J. O'Donovan, The Relationship of Level of Habitual Physical Activity to Measures of Leanness-Fatness, Physical Working Capacity, Strength and Motor Ability in 17 and 18 Year-Old Males, Eur. J. Appl. Physiol., 37:93-100, 1977.
- Weber, G., W. Kartodihardjo, and V. Klissouras, Growth and Physical Training with Reference to Heredity, J. Appl. Physiol., 40:211-215, 1976.
- Weltman, A., V. Katch, S. Sandy, and P. Freedson, Onset of Metabolic Acidosis (Anaerobic Threshold) as a Criterion Measure of Submaximal Fitness, Res. Quart., 49:218-227, 1978.

- Whipp, B.J. and K. Wasserman, Oxygen Uptake Kinetics for Various Intensities of Constant-Load Work, J. Appl. Physiol., 33:351-356, 1972.
- Wilmore, J.H., R.N. Girandola and D.L. Moody, Validity of Skinfold and Girth Assessment for Predicting Alterations in Body Composition, <u>J. Appl. Physiol</u>, 29:313-317, 1970.
- Withers, R.T., Anaerobic Work at Submaximal Relative Work-loads in Subjects of High and Medium Fitness, J. Sport, Med. and Phys. Fit., 17:17-24, 1977.
- Wood, P.D., W. Haskell, H. Klein, S. Lewis, H.P. Stein, and J.W. Farquhar, The Distribution of Plasma Lipoproteins in Middle-Aged Male Runners, Metabolism, 25:1249-1257, 1976.
- Zahar, B.W.R., Reliability and Improvement with Repeated Performance of the Sjostrand Work Capacity Test, Unpublished Thesis, University of Alberta, 1965.

### APPENDIX I

## QUESTIONNAIRE

### GENERAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITY QUESTIONNAIRE

"Sti	udents Name	Age	Age
Ins	structions: Please place a checkmark beside answer or answers in each of the	the appr e questio	opriate ns below.
		<b>.</b> .	
1.	Considering all the activities your child how would you rate him/her as to the amount activity he/she gets compared to most other of the same age?	t of phys	ical
	Much less Somewhat About the Somewhat active less active same more ac		h more ctive
2.	In what situations does your child get most activity?	t of his/	her
	At school With family In local With friend community		her e specify)
3.	How many hours of television does your chirweek?	ld watch	in one
	Less than 7 hrs 8-14 hours 15-21 hour l hour/day from 1-2 hours 2-3 hours per day	hours	than 21 . More 3 hrs/day
4.	How does your child usually travel to and i	From school	01?
	Walks Rides a bicycle Rides in a car	Rides	in a bus
5.	What chores does your child do around the basis?	nome on a	regular
•	Dishes House cleaning Lawn maintenance	now shove	elling
	Other (please specify)		
SPO	RTS AND LEISURE TIME PARTICIPATION EVALUATION	)N	

Instructions: This checklist should be filled out by the parents taking into account the activities the parents and child have participated in over the past year (September 1977 to Septembre 1978). In the case of the child, do not include activities that he/she participated in during physical education classes at school. Please place a checkmark in the appropriate columns indicating the activities in which the various family members have participated. Also indicate the number of hours per week and number of weeks per year (approximately) for activities in which the child participated.

ACTIVITY	Partic Mother	ipated in by Father Child	Time Allotme	ent for Child
Archery	1	Tuener chird	nours/week	Weeks/year
Bowling		· .		<u> </u>
Camping				
Canoeing	₩			;
Sailing			'	
Fishing				
Skiing (downhill)			-	v
Softball				
Volleyball			0.5	
Golf				· .
Basketball				
Bicycling				
Tennis				
Football(flag)				
Hiking *				
Ice Hockey				
Skating(figure)				
Skating (speed or recreation				
Toboganning				
Skiing (cross country)				
Dance				
Soccer				
Swimming				
Gymnastics				
Track & Field				
Handball/Squash				
Eadminton			<del>\ \ \</del>	
Baseball		-		
Football(tackle)				
Power Toboganning				
Others				

# APPENDIX II

MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR EACH
SUB-GROUP FOR EACH DEPENDENT VARIABLE



Means and standard deviations of each sub-group for height (cm)

					, w	,	
A	В	·c	D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 · 1 2 1 2	122.220 125.160 116.660 120.800 114.680 117.760	3.964 4.054 4.968 5.056 5.841 5.871	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	130.620 134.720 130.500 135.060 130.380 133.620	7.642 8.233 4.813 5.811 4.385 4.952	5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	142.475 144.950 143.180 145.740 156.150 157.225	3.333 3.467 4.746 4.850 7.244 4.498	4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	116.020 119.340 114.020 116.980 114.040 113.920	5.664 6.408 6.442 6.686 5.504 5.507	5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	126.300 128.975 126.920 129.640 127.400 130,575	3.867 4.613 7.096 7.893 9.047 9.460	4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	152.200 154.720 146.320 150.200 152.320 156.600	9.993 10.266 4.143 4.895 5.394 6.230	5 5 5 5 5 5

Means and standard deviations of each subgroup for height (cm) (Cont'd.)

	·	·		,, ·	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
A	В	С	D	E		Mean	Std. Dev.	n
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 3 1 2	* 1.	116.580 120.620 116.000 119.800 117.960 122.160	3.327 3.417 1.876 2.006 4.354 4.243	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		127.980 132.980 127.025 129.725 129.580 131.760	5.681 4.321 3.460 4.102 4.430 3.603	5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		143.000 146.240 150.060 154.200 144.260 147.400	6.551 6.110 4.326 5.869 3.821 3.303	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	•	114.920 118.700 114.200 118.250 113.920 118.900	5.096 5.396 5.920 4.747 9.040 9.862	5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 -1 2		125.780 128:320 131.600 135.040 130.680 133.620	4.324 3.579 6.118 6.230 4.132 4.770	5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		142.600 146.067 152:267 163.533 145.167 148.467	6.976 6.955 14.458 26.309 5.327 5.518	3 3 3 •3 3

```
Means and standard deviations of each subgroup for height (cm) (Cont'd.)
```

A = Treatment group 1 = experimental, 2 = control

B = Sex l = boys, 2 = girls

D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level l = high, 2 = medium, 2 = low

E = Time
 1 = pre-test, 2 = post-test

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for wrist circumference (cm)

_	-				• •	io 4		·		
_	Α	В	С	D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.		n	- <del>i</del>
	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.460 12.560 12.000 12.020 11.720 11.800	0.451 0.434 0.632 0.753 0.517 0.561		5 5 5 5 5 5	-
	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.680 12.700 12.650 12.800 12.960 13.100	0.545 0.696 0.988 1.055 0.713 0.752		5 5 4 4 5 5	
	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	13.425 13.400 13.780* 13.960 14.450 14.725	0.419 0.548 0.522 0.733 0.208 0.457	•	4 4 5 5 4	
	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	11.420 11.520 11.200 11.200 11.540 11.380	0.622 0.676 0.962 1.194 1.159	a.	5 5 5 5 5	, 4
	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.050 12.125 11.980 11.960 12.375 12.450	0.580 0.299 0.421 0.598 1.069		4 4 5 5 4	
	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	14.000 14.100 13.900 14.140 14.220 14.400	0.758 0.822 0.255 0.134 0.811 0.738		5 5 5 5 5 5	\$ \$

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for wrist circumference (cm) (Cont'd.)

	:							•	,
	A	В	С	D <sub>,</sub>	E	Mean	Std. Dev.		n
	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.060 12.140 12.060 12.040 12.520 12.840	0.297 0.378 0.251 0.288 0.460 0.650		5 5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.920 12.960 12.700 12.750 12.620 12.980	0.444 0.241 0.716 0.810 0.931 1.073		5 5 4 5 5 5
8	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	13.880 14.040 14.460 14.800 14.120 14.540	0.952 0.891 0.321 0.800 0.576 0.623		5 5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	11.260 11.380 11.225 11.400 11.500 11.680	0.356 0.396 0.443 0.316 0.843 0.773		5 5 4 4 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.060 12.100 12.700 12.740 12.720 12.900	0.764 0.933 0.628 0.573 0.614 0.505		5 5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 ° 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	12.833 13.167 13.633 14.000 13.767 14.033	0.473 0.586 1.021 0.819 0.702 0.874		3 3 3 3 3

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for body weight (kg)

A	В	С	D	, E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	23.800 25.500 21.800 23.160 20.100 21.040	1.718 1.642 2.465 3.071 2.434 3.010	5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	26.300 27.060 27.600 29.000 28.000 28.980	4.192 4.406 4.174 4.561 3.446 3.521	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	31.250 32.375 34.400 35.460 42.125 44.075	2.217 2.530° 2.837 2.804 7.122 6.721	4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	19.900 20.800 19.900 20.700 21.200 22.540	3.050 3.984 3.070 3.650 5.427 6.288	。 5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	25.500 26.300 24.800 27.880 27.625 29.175	6.055 4.739 4.222 6.038 6.047 7.771	4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	41.000 43.200 36.900 40.020 47.100 50.240	7.866 8.357 1.636 2.464 16.471 16.171	5 5 5 5 5

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for body weight (kg) (Cont'd.)

_								
_	A	В	С	D	Е	Mean	Std. Dev	n
	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	21.800 23.020 20.700 21.820 24.800 25.640	2.080 2.525 1.037 1.205 5.507 3.978	5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	26.200 27.440 24.375 25.000 27.000 29.040	2.564 2.635 1.931 1.472 5.523 5.498	5 5 4 4 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	35.000 36.880 39.400 42.920 38.000 41.380	5.745 5.390 3.831 5.334 8.039 7.975	5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	18.600 19.780 20.375 22.050 20.900 22.280	2.043 1.465 3.065 2.894 3.715 4.304	5 4 4 4 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	23.600 24.720 27.600 29.220 27.700 29.380	3.029 3.159 4.436 4.930 2.490 2.838	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	31.333 33.467 39.833 44.233 41.167 41.967	3.215 3.656 8.977 9.264 14.286 9.799	3 3 3 3 3 3

```
Means and standard deviations for each sub-
group for body weight (kg) (Cont'd.)

A = Treatment group
    1 = experimental, 2 = control

B = Sex
    1 = boys, 2 = girls

C = Grade
    1 = grade 1, 2 = grade 3, 3 = grade 6

D = Initial PWC170/kg level
    1 = high, 2 = medium, 3 = low
```

1 = pre-test, 2 = post-test

E = Time

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for corrected upper-arm diameter (mm)

						•	•
A	В	С	D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
1	1	1	1	1	45.049	1.522	5
1	1	1	. 1	2	46.377	1.113	5
1	1 .	1	2	1	41.557	2.594	5
1	1	1	2 2 3	2	43.630	3.324	5 5 5 5
1	1	1	3	1	40.878	° 2.584	5
1	. 1	1	3	2	42.408	2.162	5
1	1	2 .	1	1	45.564	4.421	5
1	.1	2	. 1	2	45.873	4.040	5 5
1	1	2 2 2	2	• 1	47.141	3.998	4
1 1	1 .	2	2 3 3	2 1 2	48.546	6.215	4
1		2	3 -	,1	48.185	3.104	5
1	. 1	2	3	2	49.166	4.727	5
1	1	3 3	1	1	51.606	2.779	4
1	1	.3	1 2	2	52.490	3.447	4
1		3		1	52.794	3.314	5
1	1	3:	2	2	56.144	3.687	5
1 1	1	3	3 3	1 2	53.169	2.692	4
Ι.	. <b>.</b>	3	3	2	56.861	4.856	4
1	2	1	1	1	40.200	2.546	5
1 .	2	1	1	2	41.706	3.755	5
Ļ	2	1	1 2 2		39.577	4.198	5 5
1			2	2 1 2	41.428	4.300	5
l L	2 2	1	3		38.997	3.388	5
<b>L</b>		1		2	40.307	5.283	5
l L L	2 2 2	2	1	1	. 43.629	2.244	4
L	.2	2	1	2 1	45.395	3.341	4
<b>.</b>	- 2	2	2	Ţ	43.194	2.648	5
L L	2 2	2 2 2	2	2 1	44.702	3.062	5 5 4
L	2	2			44.395	3.071	
	~	L	3	. <b>2</b>	47.810	5.703	4
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	53.887	4.851	5
L I	2	٠ ١	Ţ	2	56.264	6.653	5 5 5 5
L 	2 .	<b>3</b>	4	7 T	51.654	2.258 2.239	<b>5</b> -,
<u>.</u> İ	2	2	2	ک 1	55.763 53.183	2.239	5
•	2	વ વ	3		22.183	2.793	5 5
-,	· • · · ·	,	٦	4	56.653	5319 -	5

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for corrected upper-arm diameter (mm) (Cont'd.)

		· · · · · ·	·				
A+	В	С	. D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	. 1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	41.957 43.552 42.957 43.421 45.155 46.219	2.122 3.252 2.800 2.338 4.438 3.803	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	47.750 49.067 48.023 51.281 45.108 47.451	1.594 1.733 5.144 8.437 4.384 5.515	5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	54.285 56.492 55.390 59.859 52.643 56.956	4.775 5.686 1.977 5.868 2.921 3.290	5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	39.638 40.473 42.098 43.731 40.579 44.053	1.525 0.903 2.551 4.005 3.419 5.303	5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 *° 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	44.958 46.124 47.086 47.792 46.605 47.932	2.909 4.018 2.684 2.691 2.178 3.053	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	47.466 45.696 50.844 54.503 50.325 54.057	4.001 5.953 4.469 3.922 6.983 8.338	3 3 3 3 3 3

```
Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for corrected upper-arm diameter (mm) (Cont'd.)
```

```
A = Treatment group
l = experimental, 2 = control
```

$$B = Sex$$
  
 $1 = boys, 2 = girls$ 

D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level  

$$1 = \underset{p}{\text{high}}, 2 = \underset{p}{\text{medium}}, 3 = low$$

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for PWC170/kg (kpm/min)

	-			:				•
A	В	С	D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n	
1	1	1	1	1	16.784	1.053	5	
1	1	. 1		2	17.414	3.577	. 5	
1	1	1	2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2	13,244	0.689	5 5	
1		1	2	2 .	13.601	0.994	5	
1	1	1	2	2	10.173	1.707	5	
	_	-	3	. Z	14,496	0.856	5	
1	1 1 1	2 2 2 2 .	1	. 1.	15.764	0.770	5	
1	1	2	1	2	15.532	1.723	5	
1	1	2	2	1	12,940	1.101	5 5 5 5	
1 1 1 1	1	2.	2	2 1 2	14.907	2.852	5 .	
1	1 1	2 2	3		9.227	1.354	5 -	
T	1	2	3	2	14.035	4.024	5	
1	1	3	1	1	18.423	0.912	4	
1	1	3	ī	2	18.183	2.044	4	
1 1 1	1	3	. 2		15.100	1.033	5	
1	1	3 3 3	2	1 2 1 2	14.955	2.424	5	
1	ī 1	3	3	1	11.656	2.116	4	
7	1	- 3	3	2	14.670	2.300	4	
	2	1,	7	1	12.496	0.700	<b></b>	•
ī	2 2	Di <sup>†</sup>	1		13.046	0.728 2.323	5	
1 1 1	, <u>2</u>	1	2	1	10.716	0.194	5	
	2	1 1 ·1	2	2 1 2	13.156	1.849	5 5 5	
1	2	$\cdot 1$	3	<u>ī</u>	8.409	1.601	. 5 5	
1	2	ī	· 3	2	11.731	2.239	5	٠.
								•
1	2	2	1	1	15.562	2.568	4	
	2	2	1	2	11.131	1.125	4	
1	2	2	2	1 2	11.885	0.711	5	· · · · ·
1 1 1	2 2 2 2	2 2 2	2 2 3	1	12.278	3.486	5	
1	2		-		9.406	0.368	4	
<b>-</b>	4	2	3	.2	12.229	3.153	4 '	
1	2	3 ,	1	1	11.621	0.497	5	
1 .	2	. 3	1	2	14,705	0.857	5 5 5	
1	2	3	2	1	10.285	0.861	5	
1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 3	2	12,598	2.750		
1	2	3	3	1 2	7.098	1.276	5 5 5	
1 .	2	3	3	2	10.307	3,011	5	

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for PWC170/kg (kpm/min) (Coht'd.)

A	В	С	D	E	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 - 2 1 2 1 2	15.998 14.792 12.026 13.971 9.878 12.634	2.213 2.163 0.720 1.135 1.039 0.622	5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1, 2 1 2	14.872 14.611 13.468 16.096 11.636 13.121	0.762 1.669 0.368 2.314 1.279 2.152	5 5 4 4 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1 <sub>2</sub>	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	17.830 16.212 14.989 14.659 11.825 12.516	3.129 2.532 0.359 1.496 2.267 2.543	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	13.663 10.553 13.108 8.864 9.569	0.580 3.207 0.761 3.542 0.481 1.738	5 5 4 4 5
2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	15.348 13.810 12.526 12.821 11.274 11.174	0.972 1.673 0.543 1.695 0.488 1.306	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	13.251 11.834 12.051 12.242 9.463 10.769	0.284 0.822 0.489 1.319 2.004 0.494	3 3 3 3 3

```
Means and standard deviations for each sub-
group for PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg (kpm/min) (Cont'd.)
```

- A = Tréatment group l = experimental, 2 = control
- B = Sex 1 = boys, 2 = girls
- C = Grade
   1 = grade 1, 2 grade 3, 3 = grade 6 .
- D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level 1 = high, 2 = medium, 2 = low

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for the sum of skinfolds (mm)

,											
1	. A	В	С	D	E		Mean	Std. Dev	7.	n	
	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		33.100 31.400 33.200 31.940 33.080 29.280	4.031 4.070 5.494 6.373 6.715 4.268		5 5 5 5 5 5	
•	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		38.540 36.500 38.860 40.700 38.180 38.020	7.746 7.433 15.337 21.970 8.142 8.933		5 5 5 5 5 5	
	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		32.125 32.600 38.620 34.660 53.175 47.425	3.825 5.268 9.850 7.536 41.657 34.238		4 4 5 5 4 4	
	1 1 1· 1· 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		32.180 29.180 39.280 37.460 55.920 50.680	5.125 6.282 7.495 6.921 28.601 27.184		5 5 5 5 5 5	
	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		48.075 42.625 48.320 47.940 64.225 62.250	39.506 25.633 26.650 26.570 29.732 29.985	e.	4 6 5 5 4 4	
-	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		51.420 52.640 61.360 53.580 100.300 97.900	12.071 11.822 28.448 17.412 68.885 70.875		5 5 5 5 5 5	

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for the sum of skinfolds (mm) (Cont'd.)

				·				*	N.,
A	В	С	D	E		Mean	Sťd. Dev	•	n
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		36.620 37.100 33.980 31.980 43.500 46.480	9.991 13.535 3.909 4.438 14.351 21.186		5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		35.080 35.600 52.225 34.200 51.980 54.180	8.864 7.064 1.500 2.495 30.048 26.482		5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		51.360 45.360 50.000 48.500 68.860 74.560	14.683 8.850 20.381 18.616 51.045 50.241		5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	*	34.320 35.020 38.700 39.375 42.380 43.040	5.448 4.661 10.737 10.274 4.672 7.834		5 5 4 4 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		34.340 36.120 37.940 37.040 55.740 54.700	5.094 5.995 10.470 10.490 15.194 14.182	·	5 5 5 5 5 5
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1		44.733 43.567 60.600 58.067 82.500 91.100	3.573 3.512 5.909 5.99,2 54.663 47.457		3 3 3 3 3

2 = post-test

8

E = Time

1 = pre-test,

Means and standard deviations for each sub-

Means and standard deviations for each sub-group for flexibility (cm)

	*	<del></del>	15							
A	∘ B	С	D	E		Mean		Std. De	ev.	. n
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		28.060 29.900 34.560 33.840 30.920 30.800		3.439 2.724 4.212 5.419 3.831 2.763	1 2 )	5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	,	30.480 27.240 30.820 28.340 31.180 33.260		3.310 4.206 4.638 6.670 5.021		5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	3 3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		26.525 25.625 30.700 32.420 27.850 29.125		4.666 3.048 3.169 4.922 7.101 3.738	*	4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 - 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2	in the second se	32.940 32.580 32.660 32.180 34.080 35.080		4.101 4.143 4.364 2.489 4.762 4.508		5 5 5 5 5 5
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		31.325 33.225 30.120 29.820 34.650 33.975	O	4.930 5.780 4.954 4.572 5.119 4.026		4 4 5 5 4 4
1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 1 2 2 3 3	1 2 1 2 1 2		37.200 37.840 30.420 29.480 33.420 33.427		5.839 5.152 5.027 5.820 5.472 5.625		5 ) 5 5 5 5 5 5

Means and standard deviations for each subgroup for flexibility (cm) (Cont'd.)

A	D.							
	В	С	D	E	···	Mean	Std. Dev.	n
2	1	1	1	1		26.260	2.418	·
2 2 2 2 2 2	1	1	1	2		24.360	4.930	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
2	1	1	2	1.2		29.900	4.966	5
2	1	1	2	2 1	•	29.960	4.180	5
2	1	· 1	. 3	2	•	32.660	6.882	5
						28.880	2.874	5
2 2 2 2	1	2 2 2	1	1		26.620	5.875	<b>*</b> 5
2	1	2	1 2	2 1		28.420	4.359	5
2	1	2	2	, <b>2</b>		22.200	8.165	4
2	ī	2	2 3	1		22.525 27.860	8.701	4
2	ī	2	3	2		28.860	2.548 4.223	5 5
_				, —		20.000	4.223	<b>,</b>
2 2 2 2	1	3 3	1	1 2 1 2 1 2		19.240	4.191	5 -5
2	1	· 3 .	Ţ	2		21.140	3.810	5
2	ī	. 3	1 2 2	<u> </u>	trans.	20.640	8.258	
2 2	ī	3.,	3	1		20.440 26.540	10.415 5.285	5 5 5
2	1	3 <sub>€%</sub> 3	3	2		29.900	3.385	5 5
2	_		•	,				
2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2	1 31	1	1 2 1 2	•	30.120	5.263	5
2	2	1	2	2		32.260 32.450	5.781	5
2	2	ī	2 . 2	2		32.450	4.740 5 058	4
2	2 2	1	3 3	ī		32.060	4.387	4
2	2	1.	3	2		29.080	5.306	5 5
2	2	2	- : . - 1			05.000		
2 2 2 2 2	2	2	1 1 2 2	1 2		27.280	4.692	5
2	2 2 2	2	2	1		28.720 31.880	7.202	5
2	2	2 2 2	2	2 .		32.660	6.147 6.949	5
	2	2	3	1		23.200	6.952	5 5 5 5
2	2	2	.3 。	2		23.180	6.781	5
2	2	3	1	1	. , (*)	32.367	3.208	, <b>,</b>
2 2 2 2 2	2	3				31.700	6.154	, 3
2	2	3	2	2		26.433	12,123	3
2	2	3	2	2		26.567	11.927	- 3
ረ . ጋ	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	1 2 2 3 3	2 1 2	e,	29.333	8.221	3 3 3 3 3
۲	4	3	3	2	7.5	33.167	10.027	3



Means and standard deviations for each subgrou for flexibility (cm) (Cont'd.)

A = Treatment group l = experimental, 2 = control

B = Sex 1 = boys, 2 = girls

C = Grade
1 = grade 1, 2 = grade 3, 3 = grade 6

D = Initial PWC<sub>170</sub>/kg level l = high, 2 = medium, 3 = low

E = Time 1 = pre-test, 2 = post-test