1	Effect of sodium chloride and chitosan on the inactivation of heat resistant or Shiga-		
2	toxin producing Escherichia coli during grilling of burger patties		
3	Ziyi Hu <sup>a)</sup> , Alina Rohde <sup>a)</sup> , Lynn McMullen <sup>a)</sup> , Michael Gänzle <sup>a, b, *</sup>		
4	<sup>a)</sup> University of Alberta, Dept. of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, Edmonton,		
5	Canada.		
6	<sup>b)</sup> Hubei University of Technology, College of Bioengineering and Food Science, Wuhan,		
7	P.R. China		
8			
9	*corresponding author footnote,		
10	Michael Gänzle,		
11	University of Alberta		
12	Dept. of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science,		
13	4-10 Ag/For Centre,		
14	Edmonton, AB,		
15	Canada, T6G 2P5		
16	tel, + 1 780 492 0774;		
17	mail: mgaenzle@ualberta.ca		

## 19 ABSTRACT

Cattle are a reservoir for enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC), and ground beef is 20 a major vehicle for human infection with EHEC. Heat resistance of E. coli, including 21 EHEC, is impacted by NaCl and other additives. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of 22 NaCl and other additives on the heat resistance of E. coli in beef patties. E. coli 23 AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) with the locus of heat resistance (LHR), E. 24 coli AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) without LHR, or a 5-strain cocktail of EHEC were inoculated 25  $(10^7-10^8 \text{ CFU/g})$  into ground beef (15% fat) with NaCl (0 – 3%), marinade, carvacrol 26 (0.1%), potassium lactate (3%) or chitosan (0.1%) following different protocols. Patties 27 28 were grilled immediately, or stored in sterile bags for two days at 4 °C prior to grilling to a core temperature of 71 °C. Cell counts of LHR-positive *E. coli* AW1.7ΔpHR1(pLHR) 29 were higher than that of the isogenic LHR-negative E. coli AW1.7\DeltapHR1(pRK767) by 30 31 more than 3  $\log_{10}$  (CFU/g) after cooking. Addition of 3% NaCl increased survival of E. 32 *coli* AW1.7ΔpHR1(pRK767) and the EHEC cocktail while cell counts of the heat resistant strains were not changed. A protective effect of NaCl was not observed with E. coli 33 AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) or EHEC if cells of *E. coli* were cooled to 4 °C prior to mixing 34 with cold meat and NaCl, indicating that the response of E. coli to osmotic shock 35 36 contributes to this effect. Chitosan enhanced the thermal destruction of LHR-positive E. *coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) in ground beef stored at 4 °C for 2 days, while marinade, 37 38 carvacrol, or potassium lactate had no such effect, indicating that chitosan can be characterized as an effective hurdle concept to reduce the potential risk of LHR-positive 39 40 pathogen to meat safety.

- 41 Key words: Locus of heat resistance (LHR); Verotoxin producing *Escherichia coli*;
- 42 cooking; EHEC; NaCl; chitosan; ground beef;

#### 43 **1. Introduction**

Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) can cause substantial morbidity and mortality 44 45 even after ingestion of less than 10 cells (Croxen et al., 2013; Paton et al., 1996; Tilden et al., 1996) and are thus a significant concern for public health. Ruminants are a main 46 reservoir of EHEC and cattle are an important source for food and environmental 47 48 contamination (Low et al., 2005). Pathogen intervention during beef processing reduces meat contamination with pathogenic E. coli, however, despite these interventions, 0.5 - 2%49 of ground beef samples in North America are contaminated with EHEC (Aslam et al., 2004; 50 51 Ferens and Hovde, 2011; Gill, 2009). Accordingly, food-borne infections with EHEC remain linked to the consumption of undercooked ground beef patties (Rhee et al., 2003; 52 WHO, 2018). 53

Cooking of meat to an internal core temperature of 71 °C is recommended to eliminate 54 food-borne pathogens including EHEC in ground beef (Health Canada, 2015). However, 55 56 the heat resistance of some *E. coli* strains questions the safety of this recommended cooking temperature (Dlusskaya et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2008; Liu, 2015). In E. coli and other 57 *Enterobacteriaceae*, heat resistance is conferred by a 14 or 19 kb genomic island termed 58 59 locus of heat resistance (LHR) (Boll et al., 2017; Mercer et al., 2015). While E. coli generally have D<sub>60°C</sub> values of less than 1 min, LHR-positive E. coli have D<sub>60°C</sub> values of 60 61 15 to more than 71 min (Dlusskaya et al., 2011) and resist cooking in beef patties to an 62 internal temperature of 71 °C with a reduction of cell counts by less than 2 log10 (CFU/g) (Mercer et al., 2017). The LHR occurs in about 2% of strains of *E. coli* and is transferred 63 64 between strains of E. coli (Boll et al., 2017; Mercer et al., 2015). Two Shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC) out of 613 clinical isolates of *E. coli* were also found to be
positive for the LHR (Ma and Chui, 2017).

67 Addition of 2-4 % NaCl increases the heat resistance of strains of *E. coli* irrespective of the presence of the LHR (Mercer et al., 2017; Pleitner et al., 2012). NaCl also increased 68 the heat resistance of E. coli O157:H7 in ground beef containing 2.7 % NaCl (Juneja et al., 69 70 2015). NaCl alone or in conjunction with marinades is applied to improve the taste and texture of meat products (Verbeke et al, 2010; Vlahova-Vangelova and Dragoev, 2014). 71 72 However, effects of NaCl or marinade on the heat resistance of LHR-positive E. coli and 73 LHR-negative E. coli in ground beef have not been compared. Additionally, improving the safety of ground beef products necessitates the development of more effective interventions. 74 75 Carvacrol and chitosan are two membrane active compounds that are derived from biological systems, have generally recognized as safe (GRAS) approval in the U.S.A. 76 (FDA, 2011, 2018) and enhanced thermal destruction of EHEC in burger patties (Hu and 77 Gänzle, 2019; Juneja and Friedman, 2008; Surendran Nair et al., 2016). Potassium lactate 78 is also an effective preservative in extending shelf life of meat products (Sofos and 79 Geornaras, 2010). However, effects of these compounds on survival of heat resistant E. 80 81 *coli* in beef patties and the interaction with other ingredients, particularly NaCl, has not been studied. Therefore, this study investigated the effect of NaCl and other different 82 83 additives on heat resistance of EHEC as well LHR-positive and LHR-negative E. coli strains in ground beef, and determined whether chitosan or carvacrol potentiate the heat 84 inactivation of *E. coli* in ground beef. 85

- 86 2. Materials and methods
- 87 **2.1 Bacterial strains and culture conditions.**

Bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. E. coli AW1.74pHR1 is an LHR-88 negative derivative of *E. coli* AW1.7 (Mercer et al., 2015; Pleitner et al., 2012). Isogenic 89 LHR-positive and LHR-negative derivatives of *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1 were generated by 90 transformation with pLHR or the control plasmid pRK767 (Mercer et al., 2015). Strains of 91 E. coli were cultured at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani broth (LB, Difco<sup>™</sup>, Becton Dickinson, 92 93 Sparks, MD. USA) media. Е. coli  $AW1.7\Delta pHR1(pLHR)$ and Е. coli AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) were cultured in LB with 15 mg/L tetracycline-HCl to ensure 94 plasmid maintenance. 95

#### 96 2.2 Meat products, marinades and chemicals.

Lean ground beef (15% fat) was purchased from local supermarket and stored at -20 °C in 97 portions of 200 g until use. Cell counts of un-inoculated ground beef were enumerated on 98 99 All Purpose Tween (APT) Agar (Difco) and Violet Red Bile (VRB) agar (Difco, Sparks, MD US), respectively. APT agar was chosen because the most abundant bacteria on 100 101 vacuum-packaged beef, Carnobacterium spp., are cultured on APT but not on plate count agar or tryptic soy agar. The plates were incubated at 20 and 37 °C, respectively, for 24 h. 102 103 Cell counts on APT agar and VRB agar were  $3.5 \pm 0.9 \log_{10}$  (CFU/g) and  $2.3 \pm 0.3 \log_{10}$ 104 (CFU/g), respectively.

105 Chitosan with high molecular weight (210kDa) was supplied by Yuhan Ocean 106 Biochemistry Co. Ltd. (Tauzhou, China). The deacetylation degree as determined by 107 titration (Tolaimate et al., 2000) was 92 %. Chitosan was dissolved in 1 % (w/v) acetic acid 108 (Fisher Scientific, Canada) and pH of the chitosan solution was adjusted to 5.4 with 10 M 109 NaOH. Chitosan solutions were prepared on the day of use. Commercial teriyaki marinade was provided by Griffith Laboratories and contained sugar, salt, soy sauce powder (soy sauce from wheat and soybeans, corn maltodextrin), sodium phosphates, flavor, caramel, garlic powder, onion powder, spices, xanthan gum, monounsaturated vegetable oil, and sulphites. Carvacrol (analytical grade) was purchased from Fisher Scientific (New Jersey, USA). A 60 % potassium L-lactate solution (0.6 g lactate / g) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA).

#### 116 **2.3 Protocols for inoculation and heat treatment of ground beef.**

117 E. coli were streaked onto LB agar and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h, and sub-cultured on 118 LB agar, inoculated in LB broth and incubated at 37 °C for 18 h with agitation (200 rpm). EHEC cocktails were created by mixing equal volumes of stationary cultures of each strain. 119 120 Five different protocols were used to sequentially mix ground beef and NaCl with bacterial cultures (Fig. 1). Cells were washed by centrifugation of cultures at 5311 x g and re-121 suspension in 0.1% peptone water. Washing was performed at  $20^{\circ}C$  (protocol B) or at 4 °C 122 123 (protocols D and E). Mixing of cells with meat and NaCl was performed in a Stomacher without pre-cooling of cultures (A, B and C). In protocols D and E, NaCl was added after 124 mixing of cultures with meat and 12 h of refrigerated storage (Fig. 1). Beef patties were 125 126 heated after mixing with cells and NaCl and holding for 30 min at ambient temperature (protocols A, B and D), or after storage for 2 d at 4 °C (protocol C and E). Control patties 127 128 were prepared by the same protocols but were not heated. The temperature of the meat after 129 inoculation was monitored by insertion of a thermometer (Tinytag, Interworld Electronics Inc., Markham, ON, Canada) into the geometric centre of the beef patty. 130

131 **2.4** Effect of other additives on the heat resistance of *E. coli* in ground beef.

The effects of other additives on the heat resistance of E. coli in ground beef were assessed 132 with the protocol A (Fig. 1). Teriyaki powder was mixed with sterile water at the ratio of 133 5.7:11 by weight to created marinade solution; this marinade solution was then mixed with 134 10 mL of stationary culture and 200 g ground beef. The composition of the final raw burger 135 was 83.3 % meat, 11 % water, and 5.7 % teriyaki powder by weight. The effect of carvacrol 136 137 and potassium lactate was investigated by inoculation of 200 g ground beef with 10 mL of stationary culture. Carvacrol was dissolved in ethanol at the ratio of 1:1 by volume, 138 139 dispersed in teriyaki marinade, and the carvacrol / teriyaki marinade then mixed with 140 inoculated ground beef at a ratio of 16.7:83.3 (marinade solution: meat). The concentration of additives was chosen on the basis of their current commercial use (potassium lactate, 141 142 marinade) or their *in vitro* MIC against *Escherichia coli* (chitosan, carvacrol). The resulting final concentration of carvacrol was 0.10 %. Potassium L-lactate solution was mixed with 143 inoculated ground beef at a ratio of 3:100 (v/w). Chitosan solution (1%) was mixed with 144 200 g ground beef, followed by mixing with 10 mL of stationary culture. Mixing of ground 145 beef, cells, and additives was achieved by stomaching for 2 min; after 35 minutes at 23-146 25 °C, beef patties were grilled as described below. 147

## 148 **2.5 Effect of chitosan on survival of** *E. coli* **in ground beef during 2 d storage at 4** °C.

The effect of chitosan on heat resistance of *E. coli* in beef patties was additionally assessed with protocol E (Fig. 1). Stationary cultures (20 ml) were washed with cold peptone water, mixed with 200 g ground beef, and stored at 4 °C for 12 h. After storage, the inoculated ground beef was mixed with 20 ml of 1% chitosan solution, stomached for 2 min at 23-25 °C, and stored at 4 °C for 48 h. After storage, a 10 g of raw meat was sampled and enumerated (cell counts at 2 day).

#### 155 **2.6 Sampling, grilling and enumeration of** *E. coli*.

To determine the cell counts of samples, inoculated beef was sampled before storage (protocols C and E) and before grilling by sampling 10 g samples, followed by mixing with 20 mL of 0.1 % peptone water in a stomacher. The homogenate was serially diluted, and viable cell counts were determined by plating appropriate dilutions on LB and VRB agars with a spiral plater, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 24 h.

Prior to grilling, ground beef was shaped into a ball, rolled onto the burger press covered 161 162 by aluminium foil, and pressed to form a patty. Patties were cooked on a clamshell grill 163 (Cuisinart 5-in-1 griddler, Woodbridge, ON, Canada) that was preheated to medium heat for at least 20 min. Monitoring of the internal temperature of the patty during the grilling 164 165 process, sampling and enumeration after the complete grilling were conducted as follows: (1) In initial experiments to assess the effect of NaCl on survival of different heat resistant 166 and heat sensitive E. coli strains, the internal temperature of the patty was monitored with 167 one thermocouple (Tinytag, Interworld Electronics Inc., Markham, ON, Canada) inserted 168 into the geometric centre of the patty. Once the core temperature reached 71 °C, the burger 169 was placed in 200 mL of iced buffered peptone water and stomached for 2 min. The 170 171 homogenate was serially diluted and the appropriate dilutions were plated on LB agar by spiral plater and incubated overnight at 37 °C for 24 h. Treatment lethality was calculated 172 as follows: 173

174

## reduction of cell counts = $\log(N_0) - \log(N_t)$ ,

where  $N_t$  refers to the cell counts of treated patties and  $N_0$  refers to the cell counts of untreated patties that were prepared with the same culture or culture-cocktail on the same day. Cell counts on control patties ranged from  $10^7 - 10^8$  cfu / g. 178 (2) Subsequent experiments to assess the effect of NaCl on survival of isogenic E. coli strains and EHEC in cooked beef patties, and to study the effect of other additives (teriyaki, 179 180 carvacrol, potassium lactate and chitosan) on heat resistance of single isogenic E. coli strains in ground beef were monitored with two thermocouples that were inserted 181 approximately 1 cm to the left and to the right of the geometric centre of the patty. The 182 183 temperature profile of beef patties monitored by two thermometers during grilling is shown in Fig. 2. After both thermocouples indicated a temperature of 71 °C, 10 g of meat between 184 185 the two thermocouples was sampled with a corer. The cored meat was put into a filter 186 stomacher bag filled with 20 ml of iced peptone water and stomached for 2 min; viable cell counts were determined by surface plating as indicated above. 187

#### 188 **2.7 Statistical analysis.**

Experiments were performed in biological triplicates. All data are expressed as means  $\pm$ SD. Differences between variables were tested for significance by one-way or two-way ANOVA with Least Significant Difference (LSD) test using PASW Statistics 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for Windows 8.1. Differences at  $P \le 0.05$  were considered to be significant.

#### 194 **3. Results**

#### 195 **3.1 Effect of NaCl on survival of** *E. coli* after grilling of beef patties

The effect of NaCl on survival of *E. coli* was assessed in beef patties containing different
NaCl levels that were cooked to a core temperature of 71 °C. In burger patties without
NaCl, LHR-positive strains of *E. coli* were more resistant than LHR-negative *E. coli*.
Addition of 3 % NaCl did not alter the heat resistance of LHR-positive *E. coli* (Fig. 3 A),
however, NaCl addition increased the survival of LHR-negative *E. coli* and STEC and the

survival of LHR-negative STEC in patties with 3 % NaCl was equivalent to that of LHRpositive strains (Fig. 3 B).

# 3.2 Effect of NaCl on survival of *E. coli* stored or treated at different temperatures prior to grilling.

Initial experiments mixed cells of E. coli with NaCl and meat at a temperature of 15 -205 206 20 °C, i.e. at a temperature that supports a physiological response of *E. coli*. To determine 207 whether an alteration of the sequence NaCl addition, cooling and refrigerated storage 208 impacts heat resistance, patties were inoculated with different protocols (Fig. 1). 209 Temperature profiles of meat handled with protocols B and D are shown in Fig. 4. Simultaneous addition of non-refrigerated cultures and NaCl to refrigerated meat resulted 210 211 in a temperature of about 20 °C (Fig. 4A). In contrast, refrigeration cultures of E. coli prior to mixing with beef and separate addition of NaCl maintained the temperature below 15  $^{\circ}$ C 212 213 (Fig. 4B). To minimize the temperature variation during grilling of patties, the temperature 214 was monitored with two thermocouples. Grilling of burgers reduced cell counts of E. coli AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) by 3.5 log<sub>10</sub> (CFU/g) irrespective of the presence of NaCl or the 215 protocol used for inoculation (Table 2). In contrast, surviving cells of E. coli 216 217 AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) were not detectable after grilling of burgers without salt, regardless of the protocol used for inoculation. Addition of NaCl protected E. coli 218 219 AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) only in protocols A, B and C, i.e. when cells were kept at a 220 temperature of more than 15°C during or after addition of NaCl (Table 2).

#### **3.3 Effect of NaCl on survival of EHEC in grilled burger patties**

To assess effect of NaCl on the heat resistance of EHEC in ground beef, a 5-strain cocktail
of EHEC was inoculated into ground beef with 0 % or 3 % NaCl using protocol A (cells at

~ 20 °C at NaCl addition) or protocol D (cells at < 10 °C at NaCl addition) (Fig. 5). Similar</li>
to LHR-negative non-pathogenic *E. coli*, addition of 3 % NaCl did not decrease the thermal
inactivation of EHEC unless cells, NaCl and meat were mixed at a temperature of more
than 15 °C (Fig. 5). Cooling cells to 4 °C in raw meat for 12 h prior to NaCl addition
eliminated protective effect of NaCl against heat (Fig. 5).

### 229 **3.4** Effect of other additives on the heat resistance of *E. coli* in burger patties.

To determine whether other additives have a comparable effect as NaCl (marinade, 230 231 potassium lactate) or can enhance thermal inactivation (carvacrol, chitosan), survival of E. 232 coli in cooked patties was also evaluated using protocol A with E. coli AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) and AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) (Fig. 6A and B). Chitosan enhanced 233 234 thermal inactivation of heat resistant strains (P < 0.05, Fig. 6A) while other additives had no effect on survival (Fig. 6A). Cell counts of E. coli AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) were 235 reduced to levels below the detection limit in cooked patties (Fig. 6B); however, after 236 addition of Teriyaki marinade, cell counts of this strain was reduced only by 5 - 6237  $log_{10}(CFU/g)$ . 238

## 3.5 Effect of chitosan on the heat resistance of *E. coli* in ground beef patties during 2 d cold storage.

To further validate the effect of chitosan on survival of *E. coli* in beef patties, LHR positive and negative isogenic strains of *E. coli* were inoculated into beef patties with or without chitosan, followed by refrigerated storage and cooking (protocol E). Cell counts remained essentially stable throughout the 2 d of storage irrespective of the presence of the LHR, or the addition of chitosan. Grilling reduced cell counts of *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) to levels below the detection limit irrespective of the presence of chitosan (Fig. 7). Cell counts of *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) remained at about 4 log<sub>10</sub>(cfu/g) after cooking to 71°C; the addition of chitosan reduced cell counts of this strain by 0.5 to 1 log<sub>10</sub>(CFU/g) (Fig. 7).

249 4. Discussion

Addition of 3% NaCl increased heat resistance in LHR-negative strain of E. coli strain and 250 EHEC cocktails if bacterial cells were combined with NaCl and meat at more than 15 °C. 251 252 The resulting inactivation of EHEC was less than 5  $\log_{10}(CFU/g)$  after cooking to recommended temperatures. To eliminate the risk of EHEC, a full lethality by 5 253 254 log<sub>10</sub>(CFU/g) cell reduction is required by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) 255 (CFIA, 2015). Increased heat resistance of *E. coli* was previously reported in laboratory model systems, or in beef heated to 55.0-62.5 °C (Juneja et al., 2015; Mercer et al., 2017; 256 257 Pleitner et al., 2012). Our study validates the effect of NaCl on survival of *E. coli* including EHEC in meat cooked according to the recommended cooking guidelines. 258

259 Accumulation of compatible solutes in response to hyperosmotic conditions, such as the 260 presence of NaCl, increases resistance of bacteria to multiple environmental insults (Pleitner et al., 2012; Sleator and Hill, 2010). Compatible solutes protect bacterial proteins 261 and ribosomes against heat denaturation (Herberhold et al., 2004; Pleitner et al., 2012; 262 263 Ruan et al., 2003). Osmolytes that are accumulated in response to osmotic stress are preferentially excluded from the hydration shell of proteins, and increase the stability of 264 proteins (Parsegian et al., 2000; Timasheff, 2002). The protective effect of NaCl against 265 266 heat-induced inactivation of E. coli including EHEC in ground beef may be attributed to the accumulation of compatible solutes in response to an increased osmotic stress. 267 268 Remarkably, the LHR enhanced the effect of osmotic stress caused by addition of 3 % 269 NaCl in protecting cells from thermal inactivation in media (Mercer et al., 2017; Pleitner 270 et al., 2012) but not in ground beef (this study), indicating that NaCl and the accumulation of compatible solutes provide no incremental protection if LHR-positive strains are heated 271 in a protective meat matrix. The protective effect of NaCl was observed only if bacterial 272 cells adapted to the osmotic upshock at a temperatures above 15 °C, highlighting that a 273 physiological response of E. coli to osmotic shock is required for improved survival of E. 274 275 *coli* in meat after cooking. Low temperature likely decreases or eliminates the capacity of E. coli to respond to NaCl stress by accumulation of compatible solutes (Jones and Inouye 276 1994), hence eliminating the protective effect of NaCl against heat. Adaptation of E. coli 277 278 to temperatures below 15 °C also alters membrane properties and consequently decreases the heat resistance of *E. coli* (Cao-Hoang et al., 2010; Katsui et al., 1981). These findings 279 280 may additionally explain why the protective effect of NaCl against heat was not observed for the cold-shocked cells in this study. 281

Teriyaki marinade exhibited a similar protective effects against heat in as NaCl, in keeping 282 283 with the assumption that osmotic shock relates to heat resistance. Addition of 1.8% (w/w) potassium lactate did not alter the thermal inactivation of E. coli. Likewise, addition of 284 lactate at the level of 1.8%-4.5% did not affect survival of E. coli in meat cooked by 55-285 286 65 °C (Huang and Juneja, 2003; Mukherjee et al., 2008). Meat with a low pH of 5.5, such as raw ground beef, already contains about 0.9% of lactic acid (Pothast and Hamm, 1976). 287 288 Carvacrol at the level of 0.5 to 1.0% (v/w) increased inactivation of E. coli O157:H7 in 289 ground beef (Juneja and Friedman, 2008), while carvacrol at 0.1% (v/w) has no effect (this study). The dose-dependent bactericidal effect of essential oils needs to be balanced with 290 291 their impact on food flavour (Jayasena and Jo, 2013).

292 Chitosan potentiated the thermal injury and inactivation of LHR-positive E. coli irrespective of the protocol used for application of NaCl, cells, and chitosan. Chitosan with 293 294 molecular weight of 1.5 kDa also enhanced thermal inactivation of EHEC in ground beef patties stored at 4 °C for 5 days by around 2 log<sub>10</sub> (CFU/g), and the combination of rutin 295 or resveratrol with chitosan was more effective, enhancing thermal destruction of EHEC 296 297 by 5  $\log_{10}$  (CFU/g) (Surendran Nair et al., 2016). Chitosan is protonated when the ambient pH is below its pKa of 6.2-7.0 (Tsai and Su, 1999). Polycationic chitosan disrupts the 298 299 integrity of negatively charged cell envelope of E. coli, including lipopolysaccharides (LPS) 300 and cytoplasmic membrane, through electrostatic interactions (Helander et al. 2001, Liu et al. 2004; Mellegård et al. 2011). This study demonstrated an effect of high molecular 301 302 weight chitosan on thermal destruction of LHR-positive E. coli in ground beef, characterizing chitosan as an effective hurdle concept that can be combined with the 303 304 cooking process recommended currently to reduce the potential risk of LHR-positive 305 pathogen to meat safety.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that addition of 3% NaCl increased heat 306 resistance in LHR-negative isogenic E. coli strain and EHEC cocktails in ground beef if 307 308 bacterial cells were well adapted to osmotic stress, resulting in cell reductions for EHEC by less than 5  $\log_{10}(CFU/g)$  after the cooking process recommended as a safe handling. 309 310 These highlight that salt addition in meat may incur the generation of heat resistant EHEC, 311 thus creating an additional risk to meat safety. Nevertheless, the protective effect of NaCl was not observed if bacterial cells were cooled to 4 °C prior to mixing with cold meat and 312 313 NaCl, indicating that the response of *E. coli* to osmotic shock contributes to this effect. 314 Therefore, chilling the meat prior to salt addition in conjunction with a subsequent chilling

process after salt addition is proposed to mitigate the protective effect of NaCl against heat.
Chitosan potentiated the thermal destruction of LHR-positive *E. coli* in ground beef stored at 4 °C for 2 days, indicating that the combination of chitosan with the cooking process recommended currently has the potential to reduce the potential risk of pathogenic *E. coli* to meat safety.

Acknowledgements. Jiayue Chen is acknowledged for technical support. Alberta Agriculture and Forestry provided financial support (Grant No. 2016R013R); Michael Gänzle and ZiYi Hu acknowledge salary / stipend support from the Canada Research Chairs Program and the China Scholarship Council, respectively.

#### 324 **References**

- Aslam, M., Greer, G., Nattress, F., Gill, C., McMullen, L.M., 2004. Genotypic analysis of
- *Escherichia coli* recovered from product and equipment at a beef-packing plant. J. Appl.
  Microbiol. 97, 78-86.
- Aslam, M., Nattress, F., Greer, G., Yost, C., Gill, C., McMullen, L.M., 2003. Origin of
  contamination and genetic diversity of *Escherichia coli* in beef cattle. Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol. 69, 2794-2799.
- Boll, E.J., Marti, R., Hasman, H., Overballe-Petersen, S., Stegger, M., Ng, K., Knøchel, S.,
- 332 Krogfelt, K.A., Hummerjohann, J., Struve, C., 2017. Turn up the heat–food and clinical
- *Escherichia coli* isolates feature two transferrable loci of heat resistance. Front. Microbiol.
  8, 579.
- 335 Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA), 2015. Annex O: Policy on the control of E. coli
- 336 O157:H7/NM contamination in raw beef products. Available at:

- 337 <u>http://www.inspection.gc.ca/food/meat-and-poultry-products/manual-of-</u>
- **338** procedures/chapter-4/annex-o/eng/1370616273137/1370616333827.
- 339 Cao-Hoang, L., Dumont, F., Marechal, P. A., Gervais, P., 2010. Inactivation of *Escherichia*
- 340 *coli* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* in relation to membrane permeabilization due to rapid
- chilling followed by cold storage. Arch Microbiol 192, 299-305.
- 342 Croxen, M. A., Law, R. J., Scholz, R., Keeney, K. M., Wlodarska, M., Finlay, B. B., 2013.
- 343 Recent advances in understanding enteric pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Clin. Microbiol.
- 344 Rev. 26, 822-880.
- 345 Dlusskaya, E., McMullen, L.M., Gänzle, M.G., 2011. Characterization of an extremely
- heat-resistant *Escherichia coli* obtained from a beef processing facility. J. Appl. Microbiol.
- 347 110, 840-849.
- 348 FDA, 2011. Agency Response Letter GRAS Notice No. GRN 000397. Available at:
- 349 <u>https://wayback.archive-</u>
- 350 <u>it.org/7993/20170606195036/https://www.fda.gov/Food/IngredientsPackagingLabeling/</u>
- 351 <u>GRAS/NoticeInventory/ucm287638.htm</u>.
- 352 FDA, 2018. Substances Added to Food (formerly EAFUS) and Carvacrol. Available at:
- 353 <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/fdcc/?set=FoodSubstances&id=CARVACROL&s</u>
- 354 <u>ort=Sortterm&order=ASC&startrow=1&type=basic&search=carvacrol</u>.
- 355 Ferens, W.A., Hovde, C. J., 2011. *Escherichia coli* O157: H7: animal reservoir and sources
- of human infection. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 8, 465-487.

- Gill, C., 2009. Effects on the microbiological condition of product of decontaminating
  treatments routinely applied to carcasses at beef packing plants. J. Food Prot. 72, 17901801.
- 360 Health Canada, 2015. Available at: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fn-an/legislation/guide-</u>
  361 ld/guide-cook-cuiss-meat-viand-eng.php.
- Helander, I., Nurmiaho-Lassila, E., Ahvenainen, R., Rhoades, J., Roller, S., 2001. Chitosan
- 363 disrupts the barrier properties of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. Int. J.
- 364 Food Microbiol. 71, 235-244.
- Herberhold, H., Royer, C. A., Winter, R., 2004. Effects of chaotropic and kosmotropic
- 366 cosolvents on the pressure-induced unfolding and denaturation of proteins: an FT-IR study
- on staphylococcal nuclease. Biochemistry. 43, 3336-3345.
- Hu, Z.Y., Gänzle, M.G. 2019. Challenges and opportunities related to the use of chitosan
- as food preservative. J. Appl. Microbiol. 126: 1318-1331.
- Huang, L., Juneja, V.K., 2003. Thermal inactivation of Escherichia coli O157: H7 in
- ground beef supplemented with sodium lactate. J. Food Prot. 66, 664-667.
- Jayasena, D.D., Jo, C., 2013. Essential oils as potential antimicrobial agents in meat and
- meat products: A review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 34, 96-108.
- Jin, T., Zhang, H., Boyd, G., Tang, J., 2008. Thermal resistance of Salmonella enteritidis
- and *Escherichia coli* K12 in liquid egg determined by thermal-death-time disks. J. Food
- 376 Eng. 84, 608-614.

- Jones, P.G., Inouye, M., 1994. The cold-shock response-a hot topic. Mol. Microbiol. 11,811-818.
- Juneja, V. K., Cadavez, V., Gonzales-Barron, U., Mukhopadhyay, S., 2015. Modelling the
- 380 effect of pH, sodium chloride and sodium pyrophosphate on the thermal resistance of
- *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in ground beef. Food Res. Int. 69, 289-304.
- Juneja, V.K., Friedman, M., 2008. Carvacrol and cinnamaldehyde facilitate thermal destruction of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in raw ground beef. J. Food Prot. 71, 1604-1611.
- Katsui, N., Tsuchido, T., Takano, M., Shibasaki, I., 1981. Effect of preincubation
  temperature on the heat resistance of *Escherichia coli* having different fatty acid
  compositions, J. Gen. Microbiol. 122, 357.
- Liu, H., Du, Y., Wang, X., Sun, L., 2004. Chitosan kills bacteria through cell membrane
  damage. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 95, 147-155.
- Liu, Y., Gill, A., Betti, M., McMullen, L.M., Gänzle, M.G., 2012. Determination of heat
- resistance of 101 strains of verotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Proceedings of the 58th
- 391 International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Montreal, Canada, August 12–
- 392 17. SAFMICROP-35, pp. 1–4.
- Liu, Y., Gill, A., McMullen, L.M., Gänzle, M.G., 2015. Variation in heat and pressure
- resistance of verotoxigenic and nontoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. J. Food Prot. 78, 111-120.
- Low, J.C., McKendrick, I.J., McKechnie, C., Fenlon, D., Naylor, S.W., Currie, C., Smith,
- 396 D.G., Allison, L., Gally, D.L., 2005. Rectal carriage of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*
- 397 O157 in slaughtered cattle. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 93-97.

- 398 Ma, A., Chui, L., 2017. Identification of heat resistant *Escherichia coli* by qPCR for the
- locus of heat resistance. J. Microbiol. Methods. 133, 87-89.
- 400 Mellegård, H., Strand, S., Christensen, B., Granum, P., Hardy, S., 2011. Antibacterial
- 401 activity of chemically defined chitosans: Influence of molecular weight, degree of
- 402 acetylation and test organism. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 148, 48-54.
- 403 Mercer, R.G., Walker, B.D., Yang, X., McMullen, L.M., Gänzle, M.G., 2017. The locus
- 404 of heat resistance (LHR) mediates heat resistance in Salmonella enterica, Escherichia coli
- and *Enterobacter cloacae*. Food Microbiol. 64, 96-103.
- 406 Mercer, R.G., Zheng, J., Garcia-Hernandez, R., Ruan, L., Ganzle, M.G., McMullen, L.M.,
- 407 2015. Genetic determinants of heat resistance in *Escherichia coli*. Front. Microbiol. 6, 932.
- 408 Mukherjee, A., Yoon, Y., Belk, K.E., Scanga, J.A., Smith, G.C., Sofos, J.N., 2008. Thermal
- 409 inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in beef treated with marination and tenderization
- 410 ingredients. J. Food Prot. 71, 1349-1356.
- 411 Parsegian, V.A., Rand, R.P., Rau, D.C., 2000. Osmotic stress, crowding, preferential
  412 hydration, and binding: A comparison of perspectives. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97,
- 413 3987-3992.
- 414 Paton, A.W., Ratcliff, R.M., Doyle, R.M., Seymour-Murray, J., Davos, D., Lanser, J.A.,
- 415 Paton, J.C., 1996. Molecular microbiological investigation of an outbreak of hemolytic-
- 416 uremic syndrome caused by dry fermented sausage contaminated with Shiga-like toxin-
- 417 producing *Escherichia coli*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 34, 1622-1627.

- 418 Pleitner, A., Zhai, Y., Winter, R., Ruan, L., McMullen, L.M., Gänzle, M.G., 2012.
- 419 Compatible solutes contribute to heat resistance and ribosome stability in *Escherichia coli*
- 420 AW1.7. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1824, 1351-1357.
- 421 Pothast, K., Hamm, R., 1976. The biochemistry of DFD meat. Fleischwirtschaft. 56, 978422 982.
- Rhee, M., Lee, S., Hillers, V.N., McCurdy, S.M., Kang, D., 2003. Evaluation of consumerstyle cooking methods for reduction of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in ground beef. J. Food
  Prot. 66, 1030-1034.
- 426 Ruan, K., Xu, C., Li, T., Li, J., Lange, R., Balny, C., 2003. The thermodynamic analysis of
- protein stabilization by sucrose and glycerol against pressure-induced unfolding. Eur. J.Biochem. 270, 1654-1661.
- Sleator, R.D., Hill, C., 2010. Compatible solutes: A listerial passe-partout? Gut microbes.
  1, 77-79.
- 431 Sofos, J.N., Geornaras, I., 2010. Overview of current meat hygiene and safety risks and
- 432 summary of recent studies on biofilms, and control of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in
- 433 nonintact, and *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat, meat products. Meat Sci. 86, 2-14.
- 434 Surendran Nair, M., Lau, P., Belskie, K., Fancher, S., Chen, C., Karumathil, D. P., Yin, H.,
- Liu, Y., Ma, F., Upadhyaya, I., 2016. Potentiating the heat inactivation of *Escherichia coli*
- 436 O157: H7 in ground beef patties by natural antimicrobials. Front. Microbiol. 7, 15.
- 437 Tilden Jr, J., Young, W., McNamara, A.M., Custer, C., Boesel, B., Lambert-Fair, M.A.,
- 438 Majkowski, J., Vugia, D., Werner, S.B., Hollingsworth, J., Morris Jr, J.G., 1996. A new

- route of transmission for *Escherichia coli*: infection from dry fermented salami. Am. J.
  Public Health 86, 1142-1145.
- 441 Timasheff, S.N., 2002. Protein-solvent preferential interactions, protein hydration, and the
- 442 modulation of biochemical reactions by solvent components. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
- *443 99*, *9721-9726*.
- Tsai, G., Su, W., 1999. Antibacterial activity of shrimp chitosan against *Escherichia coli*.
  J. Food Prot. 62, 239-243.
- 446 Verbeke, W., Pérez-Cueto, F. J., de Barcellos, M.D., Krystallis, A., Grunert, K.G., 2010.
- European citizen and consumer attitudes and preferences regarding beef and pork. MeatSci. 84, 284-292.
- 449 Vlahova-Vangelova, D., Dragoev, S., 2014. Marination: Effect on meat safety and human
- 450 health. A review. Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. 20, 503-509.
- 451 WHO, 2018. Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* (EHEC). Available at:
  452 <u>http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/e-coli</u>.
- 453

Figure 1. Protocols used for inoculation of beef patties, addition of salt, and heat treatment
to study the effect of NaCl on survival of *E. coli* in ground beef.

Figure 2. Core temperature profile the of un-inoculated ground beef patties during grilling process. Data were calculated as average of two temperature probes placed 0.5 cm from the geometic centre of the patty and are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation of quintuplicate independent experiments.

Figure 3. Reduction of heat resistant *E. coli* (Panel A) and heat sensitive *E. coli* (Panel B) 460 461 before and after grilling the burger to 71 °C core temperature with 0%, 1% and 3% NaCl 462 addition. Samples were prepared following protocol A, and the whole grilled burger was sampled for enumeration. Cell counts were enumerated on LB agar. Heat resistant strains 463 464 in panel A: E. coli AW1.7 (grey dot), E. coli AW1.7ΔpHR1(pLHR) (black triangle), and *E. coli* GM14.3 (grey square). Heat sensitive strains in panel B: *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1 465 (white dot), E. coli AW1.7ΔpHR1(pRK767) (white triangle), and E. coli AW1.3 (white 466 467 square). Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation of triplicate independent experiments. Cell counts that are different from the cell counts in burgers with 0% NaCl 468 group are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.05). 469

**Figure 4.** Temperature profile of raw samples treated with Protocol B (Panel A) or Protocol D (Panel B) shown in Figure 1. In Protocol B, after *E. coli* cells that were washed at 23-25 °C, NaCl and raw ground beef were mixed together and stomached for 2 min, one thermal probe was inserted into the geometric centre of raw patty to monitor internal temperature of raw patty for 35 minutes at 23-25 °C. In Protocol D, after raw ground beef was inoculated with *E. coli* cells washed at 4 °C, raw patty was stored at 4 °C and one thermal probe was inserted into the geometric centre of raw patty to monitor internal

477 temperature of raw patty for 720 min prior to NaCl addition (Panel B, from 5 min to 720) min). After refrigerated storage, NaCl was mixed with cold patty and stomached for 2 min 478 479 at 23-25 °C (Panel B, from 720 min to 725 min), and then internal temperature of raw patty was further monitored as above for 35 min at 23-25 °C (Panel B, from 730 min to 760 min). 480 Figure 5. Effect of NaCl on survival of EHEC in burgers grilled to a core temperature of 481 482 71 °C. Samples were prepared and enumerated following protocol A (white bar) or protocol D (grey bar) shown in Fig. 1. In each protocol, core part of grilled burger (10 g) was 483 484 sampled for enumeration. Cell reduction before and after grilling were determined on LB 485 agar. Data are presented as means ± standard deviation of triplicate independent experiments. Cell counts that are different from the cell counts in burgers with 0% NaCl 486 487 are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.05).

**Figure 6.** Reduction of *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) (Panel A) and *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) (Panel B) before and after grilling the burgers with different additives to 71 °C core temperature. Core part of grilled burger (10 g) was sampled for enumeration. Cell counts were enumerated on LB agar (white bar) and VRB agar (grey bar). Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation of triplicate independent experiments. Cell reduction that are different from cell reduction in burgers without additives are indicated by an asterisk (*P*<0.05).

Figure 7. Effect of chitosan on the survival of *E. coli* AW1.7ΔpHR1(pRK767) (nonhatched bar) and *E. coli* AW1.7ΔpHR1(pLHR) (hatched bar) in burgers during 2d of
storage at 4 °C. Cell counts were enumerated on LB agar (Panel A) and VRB agar (Panel
B). Core part of grilled burger (10 g) was sampled for enumeration. Cell counts of
inoculated samples without chitosan and inoculated samples with chitosan were shown as

- 500 white bar and grey bar, respectively. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation of
- 501 triplicate independent experiments. Cell counts that are significantly lower than the cell
- 502 counts of cooked samples without additives are indicated by an asterisk (P < 0.05).

Strains	Description	Reference
AW1.7	LHR <sup>a)</sup> -positive wild type isolate from	Dlusskaya et al. (2011)
	carcass	
AW1.7∆pHR1	LHR-negative, heat sensitive derivative of	Pleitner et al. (2012)
	AW1.7	
$AW1.7\Delta pHR1(pLHR)$	Transgenic LHR-positive derivative of	Mercer et al. (2015)
	AW1.7	
AW1.7\DpHR1(pRK767)	Transgenic LHR-negative derivative of	Mercer et al. (2015)
	AW1.7	
AW1.3	Wild type isolate from carcass	Aslam et al. (2003)
GM14.3	Wild type isolate from ground meat	Aslam et al. (2003)
O157:H7; C0283	enterohaemorrhagic E. coli	Liu et al. (2012)
O145:NM; 03-6430	enterohaemorrhagic E. coli	Liu et al. (2012)
O26:H11; 05-6544	enterohaemorrhagic E. coli	Liu et al. (2012)
O121:H19; 03-2832	enterohaemorrhagic E. coli	Liu et al. (2012)
O145:NM; PARC 449	enteropathogenic E. coli	Mercer et al. (2015)

Table 1. E. coli strains used in this study

<sup>a)</sup> LHR, locus of heat resistance

**Table 2.** Effect of different protocols on survival of *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pLHR) and *E. coli* AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767) in burger with NaCl.

	E. coli AW1.7∆pHR1(pLHR)	E. coli				
		AW1.7 $\Delta$ pHR1(pRK767)				
Treatment	Cell reduction after grilling [log <sub>10</sub> (CFU/g)]					
Addition of culture and NaCl together (A) <sup>a)</sup>						
Control	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	> 6.1				
3% NaCl	$3.7\pm0.2$	5.1±0.4				
Addition of washed cell cultures and NaCl together (B)						
Control	$3.5\pm0.3$	> 6.1				
3% NaCl	$3.4 \pm 0.4$	$5.3 \pm 0.7$				
Addition of ce	Addition of cell culture and NaCl together, followed by storage at 4°C for 2 d (C)					
Control	ND	> 6.1				
3% NaCl	ND	$5.8 \pm 0.6$				
Addition of washed cultures, followed by						
storage at 4°C for 12 h and addition of NaCl (D)						
Control	ND	> 6.1				
3% NaCl	ND	> 6.1				
Addition of washed cultures, followed by storage at 4°C for 12 h,						
addition of NaCl and storage at 4°C for 2 d (E)						
Control	$3.7 \pm 0.3$	> 6.1				
3% NaCl	$3.8 \pm 0.2$	> 6.1				
Detection limits 1	Detection limits 1 (less ( $CEU/_2$ )					

Detection limit: 1.6 log<sub>10</sub> (CFU/g).

"ND" indicates "not determined"

<sup>a)</sup> The protocols used for treatment are described in detail in Fig. 1 and the corresponding Materials and methods section. In each protocol, inoculated samples without NaCl addition were considered as control group, and core part of burger (10 g) was sampled for enumeration after core temperature of burger reached 71°C. Cell reduction before and after grilling were determined on LB agar.

## Figure 1.



Figure 2



Figure 3







Figure 5







Figure 7

