

National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

**Canadian Theses Service** 

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Service des thèses canadiennes

# NOTICE

The quality of this microform is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Reproduction in full or in part of this microform is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30, and subsequent amendments.

### **AVIS**

La qualité de cette microforme dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

La reproduction, même partielle, de cette microforme est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30, et ses amendements subséquents. UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

THERMOLYSIS OF 3,5-DIMETHYL-4-METHYLENE-1-PYRAZOLINES BY

JOHN HIEBERT

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

(0)

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA SPRING 1991



National Library of Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Canadian Theses Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Service des thèses canadiennes

The author has granted an irrevocable nonexclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission.

. .

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-315-66739-7





University of Alberta Edmonton

Faculty of Science Robert J. Crawford, Associate Dean (Research)

Canada T6G 2E9

Copyright Administrator **Publications** Division

1155 16th St. N. W.

American Chemical Society

Washington D.C. 20036

CW223 Biological Sciences Building, Telephone: (403) 492-3169 February 21, 1991

> 6010 FAX (202) 872 4615



Sir or Madam:

This note may well look familiar since. We have misplaced the FAX you sent in response to my earlier request. We now require your official blessing to reprint the diagram on the accompanying sheet. It is incorporated into p. 90 of the Ph. D. Thesis of John Hiebert. He has passed his exam, and taken up his new job in Princeton N.J., leaving me to clear up some of the details, such as submitting his thesis for Figure only from J.Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, microfilming. 967

Thank you for your service and response.

Sincerely

Robert J. Crawford Professor of Chemistry



PERMISSION TO REPRINT IS GRANTED BY THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

ACS COPYRIGHT CREDIT LINE REQUIRED. Please follow this sample: Reprinted with permission from (full journal reference). Copyright (year) American Chemical Society.

1155 - 16th St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Bauberer Planet

# UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA **RELEASE FORM**

NAME OF AUTHOR: John Hiebert TITLE OF THESIS: Thermolysis of 3,5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazolines DEGREE: Doctor of Philosophy YEAR THIS THESIS GRANTED: 1991

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY TO REPRODUCE SINGLE COPIES OF THIS THESIS AND TO LEND OR SELL SUCH COPIES FOR PRIVATE, SCHOLARLY OR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PURPOSES ONLY.

THE AUTHOR RESERVES OTHER PUBLICATION RIGHTS, AND NEITHER THE THESIS NOR EXTENSIVE EXTRACTS FROM IT MAY BE PRINTED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE AUTHOR'S WRITTEN PERMISSION.

(Student's Signature)

445 Michener Park (Student's Permanent Address)

<u>Edmonton, Alberta, Canada</u>

### UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

### FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFY THAT THEY HAVE READ, AND RECOMMEND TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH FOR ACCEPTANCE, A THESIS ENTITLED Thermolysis of 3,5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines SUBMITTED BY JOHN HIEBERT IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF Doctor of Philosophy.

Dr. R.J. Crawford

Dr. L.G. Hepler

Dr. W.T. Borden

morin

Dr. R.S. Brown

Dr. M.R. Gray H.J. Liù

Date: January 15 1441

#### ABSTRACT

The gas phase thermolyses of *cis* and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline at 170°C proceeded at a rate consistent with previous 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazoline results, the thermolysis of which are believed to occur by a one-bond homolysis mechanism.

The thermolysis of a 93.4  $\pm$  2.0% ee sample of chiral (-)-*trans*-3,5dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline containing 4.1  $\pm$  0.2% *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline produced alkylidenecyclopropane products with no observable optical rotation. Limitations of optical detectability were estimated at <2.0% of *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane, <5.0% of E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane, and <10% Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane from an enantiomerically and diastereomerically pure chiral *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline. The enantiomeric purity of the optically active sample of (-)-*trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline was obtained by using <sup>1</sup>H-NMR techniques involving lanthanide shift reagents with the pyrazolines synthetic precursor (+)-*trans*-5,7dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]-diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3dione. Synthetic steps generating the chiral pyrazoline did not lead to any loss of optical activity.

These results eliminate the possibility of product methylenecyclopropanes being formed from diazenyl radical intermediates. The results are best rationalized in terms of products formed from a partial equilibrium of orthogonal Chesick diradical and planar trimethylenemethane intermediates with the Chesick diradicals possibly being formed by nitrogen loss from rotamer equilibrated diazenyl radical intermediates. The thermolysis of 98.1% *cis* and >99.8% *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline at 170°C produced *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane, *cis*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane, E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane, and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane in a ratio of 15.8 : 42.8 : 33.4 : 7.8 and 15.9 : 12.0 : 59.4 : 12.7 respectively. The *cis* and *trans* pyrazoline stereochemical assignments were made on the basis of the X-ray structure of *trans*-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione.

Implications of the above results are discussed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor Robert J. Crawford, who conceived this research problem, for his invaluable guidance and counselling during the course of this work.

The author thanks Dr. R.S. Brown for his interest and suggestions.

The author thanks Dr. W.A. Ayer for the use of the use of his research group's HPLC.

The author wishes to thank the staff of the spectroscopy laboratories for their valuable contributions and the technical staff for their support.

The author would like to thank his wife Pamela and children Christina and Angela for their patience, understanding, and support during the process of this research.

Finally, the author would like to thank the Department of Chemistry and the University of Alberta for their financial support.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
Carbon Nitrogen Bond Cleavage	1
Product Determining Step	14
4-Alkylidene-1-pyrazolines With Obscure Mechanisms - Possible	
Theoretical Explanations	26
OBJECTIVE	36
<u>Synthesis</u>	38
Attempted Methodologies	53
RESULTS	58
Diastereomeric Product Analysis	58
Thermolysis of cis-3,5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 125	62
Thermolysis of trans-3,5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline	
126	64
Enantiomeric Product Analysis	67
Product Alkylidenecyclopropane Seperation and Analysis from	
the Thermolysis of Optically Active (+)-trans-3,5-Dimethyl-4-	
methylene-1-pyrazoline 126	72
DISCUSSION	77
EXPERIMENTAL	99

<u>REFERENCES</u>	140
APPENDIX A - Structures	145
APPENDIX B - Abbreviations	158

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Activation parameters for some selected azo compounds	3
Table 2	Secondary deuterium isotope effects for some 4-	
	methylene-1-pyrazolines	6
Table 3	The ratio of $k_{\alpha}/k_{\beta}$ for one-bond cleavage of deuterated 4-	
	ethylene-1-pyrazolines, and of $\delta \Delta G_{\pm}/n$ calculated for one-	
	bond and two-bond cleavage mechanisms	7
Table 4	Values of $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$ calculated for one-bond and two-bond	
	cleavage mechanisms of selected 4-methylene-1-	
	pyrazolines	9
Table 5	Activation parameters and relative rates at 170°C for some	
	4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines	10
Table 6	The proton integration of the <sup>13</sup> C side bands of 61 and 62	
	from the thermolysis of 60 (1 hour at 165°C	17
Table 7	Percentage yield of products incorporating the exocyclic	
	carbon of the reactant into the cyclopropane ring of the	
	product	30
Table 8	Stereoselectivity of dione 138 reduction	43
Table 9	Stereoselectivity and regioselectivity observed in the	
	chlorination of diols 142	46
Table 10	Stereoselectivity and regioselectivity observed in the	
	synthesis of triazoline diones 144	48
Table 11	Final alkylidenecyclopropane compositions and their "0"	
	time extrapolations from the thermolysis of 3,5-dimethyl-4-	
	methylene-1-pyrazolines 125 and 126 (170°C, 0.8-1.0	
	atm.)	65

Table 12	Rates at 170°C for some 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines	78
Table 13	Percentage of isomeric products from the thermolysis of	
	pyrazolines 125 and 126 at 170°C (0.8-1.0 atm)	127
Table 14	Optical rotation of MCP derived from the thermolysis of	
	optically active trans-3,5 dimethyl-4-methylene-1-	
	pyrazoline 126 (15.0 min. at 170°C)	128
Table 15	Kinetic study of cis-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline	
	<b>125</b> at 170°C	128
Table 16	Kinetic study of trans-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-	
	pyrazoline 126 at 170°C	129

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	X-ray structure of trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-	51
	phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione	
	144b	59
Figure 2	GC chromatograph of alkylidenecyclopropanes	
	produced from the thermolysis of cis-3,5-dimethyl-4-	
	methylene-1-pyrazoline 125 spiked with an authentic	
	alkylidenecyclopropane sample	62
Figure 3	Graph of % alkylidenecyclopropane composition vs time	
	for the thermolysis of cis-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-	
	pyrazoline <b>125</b> at 170°C	63
Figure 4	Graph of % alkylidenecyclopropane composition vs time	
	for the thermolysis of trans-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-	
	pyrazoline <b>126</b> at 170°C	66
Figure 5	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of (+)-trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-	
	methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]-	
	triazolidine-1,3-dione 144b	70
Figure 6	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of (±)-trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-	
	methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2- <i>a</i> ][1,2,4]-	
	triazolidine-1,3-dione 144b in the presence of chiral	
	shift reagent 177a	70
Figure 7	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of (+)-trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-	
	methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2- <i>a</i> ][1,2,4]-	
	triazolidine-1,3-dione 144b in the presence of chiral	
	shift reagent 177a	70

Figure 8	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of trans-2,3-dimethyl-	a
	methylenecyclopropane 131	75
Figure 9	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of E-2-methylethylidene-	
	cyclopropane 133	75
Figure 10	400 MHz <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectra of Z-2-methylethylidene-	
	cyclopropane 134	75
Figure 11	Contour map of the lowest singlet potential energy	
	surface of the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement as	
	a function of two methyl rotational angles with all other	
	geometrical parameters optimized	90

### INTRODUCTION

The determination of the thermolysis mechanism of linear and cyclic azoalkanes has held the interest of scientists for a minimum of four decades and is still the subject of investigation<sup>1-6</sup> and speculation<sup>7-9</sup>. 4-Alkylidene-1-pyrazolines, the subject of this research, comprise a class of cyclic azoalkanes that also has a thermolysis mechanism(s) that is difficult to ascertain.

#### Carbon-Nitrogen Bond Cleavage

The formation of methylenecyclopropane **3** and nitrogen gas from the parent 4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **1** (Eq. 1) suggests that both C-N bonds are homolitically broken to yield a diradical intermediate such as **2** which then forms product by bond formation between the two radical centers. This simple mechanism is readily discredited by the results in Eq. 2 where deuterium substitution of the parent 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazoline leads to the formation of two products. A more complex mechanism involving stereochemical features is obviously occurring.



Numerous studies have been directed towards understanding the initial C-N bond cleavage and in understanding the factors governing product formation with regard to 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines. It has been

1



difficult to determine whether both carbon-nitrogen bonds are homolytically broken (Eq. 3) in the initial rate determining step, or whether a nonconcerted stepwise homolytic carbon-nitrogen breakage occurs (Eq. 4) yielding a reactive diazenyl radical intermediate. A comparison of the activation energies of the azoalkanes 1,15,7-12 in Table 1 indicates a stepwise cleavage of C-N bonds for both acyclic azoalkanes and for 4alkylidene-1-pyrazolines. An approximate 10 kcal/mole decrease in the

 $R-N=N-R' \rightarrow R \cdot N_2 \cdot R'$ 

(Eq. 3)

 $R-N=N-R' \rightarrow R-N=N \cdot \cdot R'$ 

(Eq. 4)

activation energy of compound 8 and 11 relative to compound 7 and 10 respectively is consistent with a possible resonance stabilization of a breaking allylic C-N bond; however placing an  $\alpha$ -allyl group next to each C-N bond as in 9 or 1 does not yield a further net decrease in the activation energy as one might expect if two breaking C-N bonds were stabilized. In contrast, for the cyclic 1-pyrazolines (compounds 10-12 in Table 1), there is an approximate 10 kcal/mole decrease in activation energy for each C-N bond that is made allylic. Thus both radical centres are stabilized through

(Eq. 2)

	Compound	Cond.a		Ea. (kcal/mol)	log A.	Ref.
7	∕_N <sup>₂N</sup> √∕	g		47.7	15.4	10
		f		45.7	14.6	
8	₩N <sup>zN</sup>	9		35.6 ± 0.5	14.8 ± 0.3	11
9	N <sup>EN</sup>	g		36.1 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 0.2	12
10	N=N	g	cis	40.5 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.2	13
		g	trans	40.3 ± 0.4	15.6 ± 0.2	13
11	N=N	Ph <sub>2</sub> O	cis	31.3 ± 0.3	14.3± 0.3	14
12	N=N	Ph <sub>2</sub> O	cis	22.9 ± 0.5	12.8 ± 0.3	14
			trans	25.8 ± 0.4	14.0 ± 0.3	14
13	N, N	g		44.5 ± 0.1	15.3 ± 0.1	15
14	N.A.A.	-78°		< 14		16
15		Sol'n g		42.3 ±0.3	15.8 ±0.3	13
1		g		32.6 ±0.3	13.24	17

Table 1 Activation parameters for some selected azo compounds<sup>45</sup>

a g - gas phase, f - flow system

-----

resonance in the rate determining step. A process which is consistent with simultaneous cleavage of both bonds as shown in (Eq. 3).

Unsaturation placed in a compound in such a way that a symmetry allowed electrocyclic process is possible, such as in compound 14 in Table 1 (Eq.5), leads to a much larger decrease in activation energy (>30 kcal/mole) than is exhibited by the other two series of compounds. This type of process is geometrically more difficult for 4-alkylidene-1pyrazolines<sup>18</sup> (Eq. 6). and is therefore a less probable mechanism.







(Eq. 6)

It has been suggested by Engel<sup>19</sup> that acyclic azoalkanes follow a continuum of mechanisms between (Eq. 3) and (Eq. 4), with unsymmetrical azo compounds biased towards stepwise cleavage. More recent evidence in terms of "turnaround" solution cage products<sup>20</sup> and pressure dependent solution phase thermolysis rates<sup>2</sup> supports a stepwise mechanism for

unsymmetrical acyclic azoalkanes while molecular orbital calculations indicate that this may also be the case for symmetrical compounds<sup>21,22</sup>.

Our laboratory has compiled kinetic isotope effects (KIE) for a large number of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines (Table 2)<sup>18,5</sup>. The magnitude of the 10E (k<sub>H</sub>/k<sub>D</sub>) varies between 1.02 for compound **25** and 1.29 for compound 20 which is consistent with an  $\alpha$ -secondary isotope effect resulting from the magnitudes is consistent with a single C-N bond homolysis mechanism in which there is a preferential cleavage of the two possible C-N bonds. The largest KIE is observed for 3,3,5,5-tetradeutero-4-ethylidene-1-pyrazoline **20** since a KIE would be observed for 100% of all reactions occurring. For compounds in which only the C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>5</sub> position is deuterated, a smaller KIE is expected, and is observed, for one-bond cleavage since only a fraction of total reactions would result in a KIE.

The variation in KIE is also possible in a concerted but unsymmetrical cleavage of both C-N bonds. The magnitude of the KIE for the C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>5</sub> deuterated 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines would then be dependent on the extent of bond breakage in the transition state. Thus, on the basis of KIE alone, an algebraic differentiation between the two mechanisms is not possible and the energetics of such processes must be evaluated. Table 3<sup>18</sup> contains values for  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  (Eq. 7) calculated from k<sub>H</sub>/k<sub>D</sub> values recorded in Table 2 for both one-bond (scheme 1) and twobond (scheme 2) homolysis mechanisms. For the one-bond cleavage mechanism, partitioning between the two C-N bonds is calculated on the assumption that k<sub>H</sub>/k<sub>D</sub> is constant for both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  cleavages. The large 30-78 cal/mole range for  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  for two-bond cleavage is clearly outside the 90-120 cal/mole/D range that generally characterizes<sup>23</sup> significant C-N

5

	derivitives <sup>5</sup>					
	ompound	Temp (°C)	10 <sup>3</sup> k (s <sup>-1</sup> )	(k <sub>H</sub> /k <sub>D</sub> ) <sup>corr</sup>	δ∆G <sup>‡</sup> (cal/mol)	Ref
17	N=N	164.0 ± 0.1	1.94 ± 0.01			18
18		•	1.63 ± 0.02	1.19 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	157	18
19		•	1.82 ± 0.02	1.07 ± 0.02	59	18
20		•	1.51 ± 0.02	1.29 ± 0.03	221	18
21		180.0 ± 0.1	3.01 ± 0.03			5
22	$\bigvee_{\substack{N=N\\D_2\\D_2}}^{N=N}$	•	2.55 ± 0.05	1.18 ± 0.03	149 ± 20	5
2 3		•	2.86 ± 0.05	1.05 ± 0.02		5
24		×	2.52 ± 0.02	1.19 ± 0.02	157 ± 20	5
2 5		•	2.94 ± 0.02	1.02 ± 0.02	17 ± 17	5
26	N=N	u	1.75 ± 0.02			5
27			1.57 ± 0.01	1.12 ± 0.02	102 ± 16	5
28		•	2.14 ± 0.01			5
29		•	1.83 ± 0.02	1.18 ± 0.03	149 ± 20	5
30		<b>s</b>	1.79 ± 0.05	1.20 ± 0.03	164 ± 20	5
<sup>a</sup> Corre	ected for inco	mplete deuterati	ion			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

 Table 2
 Secondary deuterium kinetic isotope effects for some 4-methylene-1-pyrazoline

 derivitives<sup>5</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Corrected for incomplete deuteration

Reactant k <sub>α</sub> :kβ one-bond cleavag	e two-bond cleavage
17 $72:28$	
18 $(N=N)^{D_2}$ 67:33 110 ± 4	78 ± 3
19 $D_2 = N = N$ 77:23 110 ± 4	30 ± 2
20 72:28 110 ± 4 $D_2 = N = N$	57 ± 2

Table 3	The ratio of $k_{\alpha}$ :kg for one-bond cleavage of deuterated 4-ethylene-1-pyrazolines	j,
and	of $\delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$ calculated for one-bond and two-bond cleavage mechanisms <sup>18</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> calculated from (Eq. 7)

### $\delta \Delta G = (RT/n) ln(k_H/k_D)$

n = number of deuteriums on the  $\alpha$ -carbon undergoing valence change in the rate determining step.

(Eq. 7)

bond cleavage in the rate determining step. The 110 cal/mole/D for onebond cleavage, however, is consistent with the expected values.

The  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  values for compounds **33-36** (Table 4) however, give an ambiguous result. The deuterated 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines give  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  values of 60-70 cal/mole and ~142 cal/mole for one-bond and two-bond cleavages respectively, neither of which falls in the characteristic<sup>23</sup>



90-120 cal/mole range. It should be noted, however, that the diallyl azo compounds **37** and **38** provide compelling evidence favouring single C-N bond cleavage<sup>12</sup> and yield very similar results for the two pathways. Their relatively large KIE may be indicative of complete C-N bond rupture in the rate determining step.

The radical producing thermolysis of linear azoalkanes (R-N=N-R) follows the general rule<sup>19</sup> that C-N homolysis rates are dependent on the

		-	δ	<u>∆G<sup>‡</sup>/n (cal/mole)</u>	
	Reactant	kµ/kD	two-bond	one-bond	Ref
33		1.38 ± 0.02	71 ± 3	142 ± 6	24
34		1.15 ± 0.01	62 ± 5	142 ±12	24
35	$D_2$ $D_2$ N=N	1.42 ± 0.02	71 ± 3	141 ± 6	24
36	$\bigvee_{N=N}^{D_2} D_2$	1.19 ± 0.01	64 ± 4	142 ± 9	24
37	N: N		66 ± 10	135 ± 15	12
38	N°N D2		66 ± 10	135 ± 15	12

<u>Table 4</u> Values of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  calculated for one-bond and two-bond cleavage mechanisms of selected 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines

degree of alkyl substitution on the carbon *alpha* to the nitrogen, that is  $3^{\circ}>2^{\circ}>1^{\circ}$ . The incipient stability of the resulting intermediate radical (1-2 kcal/mole per methyl) is usually considered the driving force for this trend. The thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines (Table 5), however, produces unusual relative rates and enthalpy changes when methyl groups replace hydrogen<sup>18,17,25,26</sup>. Substitution *alpha* to the nitrogen leads to little effect as in the mono-substituted **21**, or to a significant decrease as in the gem-dimethyl substituted **28** or tetramethyl substituted **39**. Substitution on the exocyclic methylene leads to a decrease in rate as in compound **26**.

(	Compound	E <sub>a</sub> .(kcal/mole)	log A	rel. rate at 170°C	Ref.
1		33.6 ± 0.7	13.75 ± 0.35	1.00	17
26	N=N	35.9 ± 1.0	14.6 ± 0.9	0.55	18
21		33.0 ± 1.0	13.4 ± 1.0	1.04	18
28		35.3 ± 1.2	14.4 ± 0.6	0.70	18
39		40.7 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.2	0.020	26
40	N=N	39.8 ± 0.4	13.6 ± 0.4	0.00065	25

<u>Table 5</u> Activation parameters and relative rates at 170°C for some 4-alkylidene-1-

Major decreases in rate occur when both the exocyclic methylene and  $\alpha$ carbons are methyl-substituted as in **40**.

The X-ray structure for permethyl-4,4-bis-( $\Delta^{1,2}$ -pyrazolinylidene) 42 by Bushby and Pollard <sup>27</sup> shows the C<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub> bond angle to be 103.8°. The C<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>5</sub> bond angle for 1-pyrazoline 43 has also been determined<sup>28</sup> as 99.1°. Thus one would expect that bond homolysis for 4-alkylidene-1pyrazolines would release ground state strain, particularly when methyl substitution leads to increased steric effects. LeFevre and Crawford<sup>5</sup> have attributed the anomalous decrease in rate for compounds 26 and 40 relative to 1 and 39, to steric crowding in the transition state resulting from a decrease in the  $C_3C_4C_6$  bond angle ( $\theta$ ) during homolysis of a C-N bond (see 41).



KIE and free energies of activation were interpreted by Crawford *et al.* <sup>18,5,17</sup> as indicating the extent of steric compression in the transition state. A comparison of **18** and **19, 22** and **25** (Table 2) clearly indicates that the C-N bond and to the exocyclic ethylidene or opposite to an  $\alpha$ -methyl has a larger KIE. In terms of a one-bond homolysis mechanism, a larger KIE indicates a preference for cleavage of the C-N bond which is consistent with the proposed transition state compression theory. It was also noted that  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}/n$  for **29** equals 75 ± 10 kcal/mole per deuterium (calculated for one-bond cleavage), which is only slightly less than the 90-120 kcal/mole expected<sup>23</sup> for complete C-N bond rupture. Thus compounds with large KIE such as **29, 22** and **18** cleave primarily the C-N bond opposite or anti to methyl substitution.

An aspect of the rate determining homolytic C-N cleavage assumed and critical in the prior analysis is its irreversibility. A control run<sup>29</sup> of **36** (Eq. 8) quenched at 50% completion and then re-isolated indicated no observable increase of deuterium in the allylic position, i.e., no formation of **33**. It was estimated that a 2% rearrangement could have been detected; therefore, reversibility of one-bond homolysis is unlikely. Reversibility for  $\alpha$ methylated 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines is improbable since the lifetime of a diazenyl radical should be shorter due to the increased stability of the alkyl radical.



Other researchers have shown that reversibility of azoalkanes is possible; however, special circumstances are involved. Berson<sup>20,31</sup> observed rearrangement in the thermolysis of the bicyclic **45** to form **46** (Eq. 9) It has been suggested, though, that the geometry of the bicyclic ring system allows an easier access to C-N bond formation by an intermediate diazenyl radical.



(Eq. 9)

Engel and Gerth<sup>20</sup> have observed reversibility of linear azoalkanes (Eq. 10). Irradiation at 25°C of *trans* **47** produces the thermally labile *cis* **48**, which, besides producing the expected hydrocarbons, produces the "turnaround" azoalkane **49**. They have noted that the amount of "turnaround" product decreases with the increasing stability of R• from the intermediate R-N=N•, indicating a competition between  $\beta$ -scission of R-N=N• and recombination. The recombination, however, is a cage phenomenon resulting from the reaction being performed in solution.



(Eq. 10)

In summary, the majority of the evidence available suggests that the first step of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazoline thermolysis is an irreversible rate determining one C-N bond homolysis that is very sensitive to steric factors resulting in unusual decreases in rate with methyl substitution. This mechanism produces the diazenyl radical intermediate **50**. Problems with this generalization do arise, however, with regard to deuterated 4alkylidene-1-pyrazolines (see Table 3).



### Product Determining Step

Equations 11-16 rule out product formation resulting from the original 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazoline carbons alpha to the nitrogens becoming exclusively the alkylidenecyclopropane ring carbons, i.e., only one product should be formed. Thus, a fully concerted mechanism, or a mechanism resulting in an intermediate diradical (with no allylic radical scrambling) and subsequent alkylidenecyclopropane formation (Eq. 1) are unlikely. Crawford et al.29 suggested that only one product may be formed from a concerted process in the gas phase; however, the alkylidenecyclopropane was "hot" leading to isomerization and thus formation of other 4-alkylidenecyclopropanes. They discovered, though, that 55 (Eq. 14) which should be the first product formed from 3,3-dideutero-4-methylene-1pyrazoline 34 was present in 59  $\pm$  1%. The equilibrium value for 55 was determined at 67.6%. This would have required the impossible, i.e., isomerization had exceeded the equilibrium value. The observation that the same product ratios were obtained in solution further discredited the "hot species" argument.

A sequential or concerted homolysis of both C-N bonds to form a planar trimethylenemethane (TMM) with  $D_{3h}$  symmetry 51 can also be ruled out<sup>17</sup> since 53 and 34 (Eq. 11,14), 21 and 17 (Eq. 12,15), and 28



and 26 (Eq. 13,16) would give common intermediates and, therefore, the same product ratios. Similarly, rapidly equilibrating orthogonal TMM 52 cannot be possible<sup>17</sup> since common intermediates would again be attained by the corresponding pairs of reactions (Eq. 11-16).

Crawford *et al.*<sup>29</sup> thermolysed a <sup>13</sup>C enriched sample of 4-methylene-1-pyrazoline (Eq. 17). They determined (Table 6) that the statistically random ratio of **61/62** = 2.00 was not obtained. Since changing the mass (<sup>13</sup>C) on a rotational axis should eliminate any "ponderal" effect, they concluded that the original position of the methylene group influenced the final position of that group in the methylenecyclopropane. Thus D<sub>3 h</sub> symmetry intermediates (**51**) are not possible even with non-substituted 4methylene-1-pyrazolines.



(Eq. 17)

Crawford and Chang<sup>17</sup> also considered the possibility that the exomethylene group of the pyrazoline rotates to form an orthogonal-TMM and then one of the allylic methylenes rotates to close the diradical (Eq. 18). This suggestion was rejected since 4-dideuteromethylene-1-pyrazoline **53** (Eq. 11) should give but one product **55**, and similarly **26** (Eq. 16) would give only **58**, and **17** (Eq. 15) would give only **56**. All three pyrazolines produce two alkylidenecyclopropanes.

Pressure (torr)	61/62 <sup>a</sup>	% products	
		<u>الم</u>	<u>ن</u> 52
450	1.76 ± 0.04	63.7 ± 0.5	36.3 ± 0.5
350	1.75 ± 0.04	63.6 ± 0.5	36.4 ± 0.5
250	1.82 ± 0.04	64.5 ± 0.6	35.5 ± 0.5

Table 6 The proton integration of the <sup>13</sup>C side bands of 61 and 62 from the thermolysis of 60 (1 hour at 165°C)

<sup>a</sup> Standard deviation of eight or more analyses of each sample.



(Eq. 18)

It is also possible to create an orthogonal TMM **52** by rotating the methylene group of the breaking C-N bond (one bond homolysis) so that its semi-vacant orbital is parallel to the original olefin  $\pi$  orbitals. The remaining nitrogen bearing carbon then loses molecular nitrogen to form the orthogonal "p" orbital. The above process accounts for enhanced rates for 4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 1 relative to 4-methyl-1-pyrazoline **15** (Table 1) since there would be allylic resonance developing in the transition state. The orthogonal TMM **52**, also known as a Chesick diradical<sup>32</sup>, is redrawn to display its "p" orbitals in structure **64**. On the basis of least motion

principals<sup>33</sup> it would be expected that either one of the two coplanar methylene groups could rotate out of the plane as shown in 64, to form a new C-C bond by overlap with the orthogonal singly-occupied orbital.



The products from the thermolysis of 3,3-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazolines 28 and 4-isopropylidene-1-pyrazoline 26 can be rationalized<sup>29</sup> in terms of a Chesick diradical intermediate. Scheme 3 reflects that the homolysis of either C-N bond of compound 26 gives intermediate 66 Separate rotation of either of the planar allylic aikyl groups then produces alkylidenecyclopropanes 58 and 59. Since the two C-N bonds of 28 are non-equivalent, thermolysis may produce two intermediates. Chesick diradical 65 would generate exclusively 58 while intermediate 66 responds as described previously. Mathematically, the product proportions can be rationalized if rotation of the isopropylidene group is favoured 63:37 over that of the methylene group. The preference may be rationalized<sup>19</sup> in terms of the relief of strain, or the greater stability of tertiary radicals. Since 59 is thermodynamically more stable than 58, the preference is not controlled by the stability of the products. Scheme 3 also requires that 65 and 66 be produced in the ratio of 48:52, i.e., the C-N bond opposite to the  $\alpha$ -gem dimethyl group is preferentially broken. This result is compatible with the steric arguments presented previously (page 11).



Crawford's research group tried to apply<sup>29</sup> Chesick diradical behavior to rationalize product proportions derived from the thermolysis of 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines with primary allylic carbons such as the tetradeuterio 36 and the <sup>13</sup>C enriched 60, however, either mathematical predictions did not match experimental results or questionable assuptions had to be made. An example of the problems that occur when trying to apply Chesick diradicals to 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines with primary allylic cabons can be seen in Scheme 4. Mathematically, solutions are available to fit the scheme and the product ratio obtained experimentally; however, the ratio k1/k2 which represents the second order rate KIE for the thermolysis of 34 has an impossible value of -0.17, and the ratio k3/k4 which is simply the ratio of products 54/55 derived from 53 has a value of 0.52  $\pm$  0.02. This implies that rotation of the CD<sub>2</sub> group of 67 is twice as facile as that of the CH<sub>2</sub> group. Classical inertial arguments estimate k<sub>3</sub>/k<sub>4</sub> to be 1.434,35. Crawford and Chang18,17 later estimated that the rate of rotation of the CH<sub>2</sub> group out of the planar allylic system such as 67 is 1.33

times as fast as that of the CD<sub>2</sub> group (R = 1.33). The legitimacy of R=1.33  $\pm$  0.05 is substantiated by Gajewski and Chou<sup>36</sup> who have determined a KIE of 1.31  $\pm$  0.05 for the thermal isomerization of methylenecyclopropane **69** to ethylidenecyclopropane **70** in (Eq. 19). This kinetic isotope effect is explained in terms of a loss of the torsional vibrational mode by an allylic CH<sub>2</sub>(CD<sub>2</sub>) upon rotation out of the allylic plane during product formation.



Accurate predictions for product ratios from the previous deuterated 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines can be made by using 3 modes of

methylenecyclopropane ring closure from irreversibly formed diazenyl radical intermediate (Scheme 5). Modes x (closure between  $C_3$  and  $C_5$  of reactant) and z (closure between the diazenyl methylene and the exomethylene) are intramolecular SH2 reactions. The y mode is considered<sup>18</sup> to be an electrocyclic ring closure between the two allylic termini, most likely with synchronous loss of molecular nitrogen. From Scheme 5 Chang and Crawford<sup>17</sup> determined the base x,y and z closure 4-methylene-1-pyrazoline values for 1 to have the ratio  $k_x:k_y:k_z = 0.28:0.44:0.28$ . Chemically, an equivalency of  $k_x$  and  $k_z$  means diazenyl radical intermediates 73 and 74 are of sufficient lifetime to allow rotamer equilibration of 73 and 74 (Eq. 20), i.e., backside SH2 attack is equally probable for x and z closure. From the basis x:y:z ratios and by estimating the competition of CH<sub>2</sub> and CD<sub>2</sub> rotation out of an allylic plane at R=1.33 Crawford and Chang were able to predict product proportions for the thermolysis of 53, 34, 33, and 36 to within 1% of the experimental values (Scheme 6).




(Eq. 20)



Realizing that 3,3-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 28 and 4-isopropylidene-1-pyrazoline 26 have three primary allylic carbons between them LeFevre and Crawford<sup>5</sup> re-examined their previous analysis

(Scheme 3) with the intent of determining whether Chesick diradical 66 actually exhibited the three modes of ring closure expected from diazenyl radical 81 (precursor to 66). In terms of  $\delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  per deuterium values, diazenyl radical intermediates are possible. A KIE of  $1.12 \pm 0.02$  observed for 27 (Scheme 7) represents a free energy change of  $51.8 \pm 8$  cal/mole per deuterium for a two bond homolysis, which is considerably less than the expected 90-120 cal/mole per deuterium<sup>23.</sup> When calculated as a single bond homolysis (Scheme 7) a KIE ( $k_{\alpha}/k_{\beta}$ ) of 1.27 ± 0.04 is obtained which represents a reasonable value  $\delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}$  per deuterium (107 ± 14 cal/mole see Eq. 7). From an analysis of Scheme 7 LeFevre and Crawford<sup>5</sup> were able to establish x:y:z values of 38:25:37 for 4-isopropylidene-1-pyrachine 26. They were then able to apply this ratio to the thermolysis of 29 (Scheme 8). Cleavage of the tertiary allylic C-N bond would form the diazenyl radical 82 while beta cleavage would initially form diazenyl radical 90 but would probably lose nitrogen quickly due to the stability of the 3° carbon to form the Chesick diradical 89. In terms of these two intermediates and the previous x:y:z values the authors were able to predict a product ratio of 15:44:41 for 84:85:86 from 29. Within experimental error these results are consistent with the experimental ratio of 16:44:40.

LeFevre and Crawford<sup>5</sup> were unable to predict accurate product ratios from the thermolysis of **27** (two primary allylic carbons) from the Chesick diradicals **87** and **88** which would be generated from **82** and **83** respectively.

In summary, products from 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with primary carbons in the 3 and 5 positions can be rationalized from a diazenyl radical that closes to form alkylidenecyclopropanes by  $S_H2 \times and \times z$  modes and an electocyclic y mode. Crawford and Chang<sup>18,17</sup> have used this behavior as









supportive evidence that compounds such as the deuterated 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines **33-35**, whose KIE give ambiguous conclusions with regard to one vs two-bond homolysis (Table 4), actually follow a one-bond cleavage process in the initial rate determining step.

Single-bond homolysis of dialkylated 4-alkylidenecyclopropanes form tertiary diazenyl radicals that quickly lose molecular nitrogen and develop products from Chesick diradical intermediates that react in accord with least motion principals. A mixture of the two product forming mechanisms appears to occur with compounds such as 29 that can form tertiary and primary diazenyl radicals.

## 4-Alkylidene-1-pyrazolines With Obscure Mechanisms - Possible Theoretical Explanations

Difficulties arise when trying to rationalize the thermolysis mechanism of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with secondary C-N bonds. Since one bond homolysis would generate a secondary diazenyl radical with a stability in between that of tertiary and primary diazenyl radicals it is mechanisms may be occurring. possible a mi KIE for the thermolysis of Coucti 3-methyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines 22 and 25 (1.18 and 1.02 respectively) suggest that the C5-N one-bond homolysis (Scheme 9) predominates<sup>5</sup>. Cleavage of the C<sub>5</sub>-N bond of both 22 and 23 leads to the diazenyl radical intermediate 91 which might be expected to lose nitrogen quickly<sup>23</sup> to form the Chesick diradical 92. Intermediate 92, however, can not account for the 18-20 % of 4-ethylidenecyclopropanes that are produced. Product formation from the diazenyl radical 91 creates all the obtained products; however, proportions from 22 and 23 should be identical. Cleavage of the C3-N bond of 22 and 23 produces non-identical pairs of primary diazenyl radicals (95,96 and 97,98 respectively) which can account for product differences; however, the KIE of 1.02 for the analogous 32 is so small that significant contributions from C3-N homolysis is improbable.

The product distributions from the thermolysis of other  $C_3$  or  $C_5$  methyl substituted 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines provide only a minor mechanistic insight. Compounds **99** and **108**<sup>37</sup> produce four alkylidenecyclopropane products **131-134** (Scheme 10). The results are



difficult to interpret, however, since three diazenyl radical intermediates are possible from each pyrazoline. Chang<sup>24</sup> has suggested that steric hindrance caused by the exocyclic methyl of 99 would lead to mainly  $\alpha$ -cleavage and intermediate 100. Furthermore, steric hindrance by methyls on C<sub>5</sub> and C<sub>6</sub> could suppress x and z closure, leading to more y closure

and therefore the large yield 77.6% of 2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropanes 131 and 132.



Using steric hindrance rationalizations  $Chang^{24}$  also predicted 111 to be the major intermediate from thermolysis of 108. Closures from the x and y mode would lead to the 67% of ethylidenecyclopropanes 133 and 134.

Regardless of these interpretations, the mechanistic understanding of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> mono-methyl 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazoline thermolysis remains obscure. Analogies have been made<sup>5,8</sup> between the 4-alkylidenepyrazolines, and the 4-fluoromethylene- and 4-difluoromethylene-1pyrazoline systems of Dolbier and Burkholder<sup>8</sup>. LeFevre and Crawford<sup>5</sup>



Scheme 11

have observed that 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with one alkyl substituent on the exocyclic methylene have a significant tendency for the exocyclic carbon to become a ring carbon (Table 7), as is the case for the exocyclic fluoromethylenes. Dolbier and Burkholder proposed that the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines produces a set of partially equilibrating trimethylenemethane (TMM) species. "Subtle deviations from the symmetry of the parent (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) TMM could give rise, either via E<sub>a</sub> or  $\Delta$ S<sup>‡</sup> differences, to the observed preferred cyclizations." In terms of the 3-methyl-4ethylidene-1-pyrazolines a possible system of interconversions might be expected to occur as in Scheme 12. Which of the intermediates is first formed is dependent on the identity of the original pyrazoline and on the

Compound		% Cyclopropane	Ref	
18		90	18	
105		>92	37	
17		>88	37	
106		96	37	

<u>Table 7</u>	Percentage yield of products incorporating the exocyclic
carbon o	f the reactant into the cyclopropane ring of the product

dynamics<sup>38</sup> of the loss of molecular nitrogen. The partial equilibrium allows for the observed "memory" of the original TMM produced.

Theoretical support for interconversion of orthogonal TMM 122 and planar  $C_{2V}$  TMM 123 is provided by Feller *et al.*<sup>38</sup> Their results are consistent with a ground state TMM that is triplet in nature (120) and lies 14-21 kcal/mole below the nearest singlet state<sup>39</sup>. Experimentally, one expects singlet states to be formed from the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1pyrazolines. In confirmation it has been shown by Gajewski<sup>40</sup> that only methylenecyclopropanes are formed from the direct photolysis of 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines 1 which they propose produces a singlet TMM (Eq. 32). Triplet products **119** are formed only when 1 was





















Scheme 12

photosensitized by benzene (Eq. 33). Gajewski proposed that photosensitized photolysis produces singlet and triplet TMM with a possible intersystem crossing from singlet 121 to triplet 120. Feller *et al.*<sup>38</sup>, however were unable in their MCSCF calculations to find an intersystem crossing point below the singlet TMM 122. Dimer products 119 are not produced from the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines thus the formation of triplet intermediates is unlikely.



(Eq. 32)



(Eq. 33)

The lowest singlet TMM found by Feller *et al.*<sup>38</sup> and others<sup>41,42</sup> is the orthogonal TMM **122**. Feller has calculated, however, that the planar singlet TMM **123** is close enough in energy to allow interconversion between the orthogonal and planar singlet TMM, and methylenecyclopropane (MCP) formation is possible from each.



More recently Dolbier<sup>7</sup> has extended the suggestion by Carpenter<sup>43</sup> that molecular reaction dynamics can significantly influence product formation. Carpenter suggested that a conservation of momentum, particularly with regard to small molecules, can carry a homolysis reaction past the intermediate stage directly to the product in the closest straight line path. Noting the similarity in product ratios for the thermolysis of 4-ethylidene-1-pyrazoline **124a** and 4-fluoromethylene-1-pyrazolines **124b** (Eq. 34), Dolbier proposed that the similar masses of F- and H<sub>3</sub>C-could lead to similar products by a conversion of momentum. In the absence of strong influences such as electronic effects, Dolbier states that "nonconcerted homolytic processes involving formation or destruction of a diradical species and when overt steric effects do not play a role in such systems, more subtle influences can and will be observable".



(Eq. 34)

A diasteriomeric product study of *cis*- and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline **125** and **126** respectively may provide clues as to the product forming mechanism of mono-alkylated 4-alkylidene-1pyrazolines. In particular, the study may suggest why **99** produces 54.5 % of **132** and only 2.4 % of **134**, while **108** produces 13.5 % and 35.5 % respectively. The thermolysis of the 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazolines have the advantage that each C-N bond is kinetically equivalent, thus, the question of one-bond homolysis partitioning does not exist. Also, thermolysis of **125** and **126** should each produce an identical pair (though not necessarily the same ratio) of diazenyl radical intermediates **127** and **129** making product rationalization easier.

In order to ascertain the possibility of a partly equilibrating system of intermediates as in Scheme 12, or to ascertain whether products originate from Chesick diradicals, it is necessary to establish the loss of nitrogen from a proposed diazenyl radical intermediate. The presence of diazenyl radicals has been observed in the previously mentioned "turnaround" products (Eq. 10) of Engel and Gerth<sup>20</sup>. Dannenburg and Rocklin<sup>22</sup> have calculated a distinct barrier 14.0 kcal/mole to the decomposition of the ethyldiazenyl radical; however its lifetime would be short. A  $12 \pm 2$  ns

estimate of the lifetime of the primary methyldiazenyl radical has recently been made by Adams *et al.*<sup>1</sup> using Raman spectroscopy. Since the lifetime of a diazenyl radical is likely dependent on the stability of the resulting alkyl radical<sup>20</sup>, thermolysis of C<sub>3</sub> or C<sub>5</sub> mono-alkylated 4-alkylidene-1pyrazolines should have a lifetime inbetween that of the primary diazenyl radical from which MCP are proposed to form directly<sup>17,18</sup>, and that of the tertiary diazenyl radical that loses nitrogen before MCP formation occurs<sup>29</sup>. A complete steriochemical analysis of MCP obtained from a chiral *trans*-3,5dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126** might determine the presence of a possible diazenyl radical during product formation (path "a" in Scheme 13) since inversion of stereochemistry is expected during an S<sub>H</sub>2 reaction.



Scheme 13

## **OBJECTIVE**

In this work optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline and *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline were synthesized. A complete stereochemical analysis of the alkylidenecyclopropanes obtained from the thermolysis of the optically active *trans* pyrazoline and a diastereomeric analysis of the alkylidenecyclopropanes obtained from the thermolysis of the alkylidenecyclopropanes

A complete stereochemical analysis of the alkylidenecyclopropanes obtained from the thermolysis of optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline should indicate product formation from a diazenyl radical in a one-bond cleavage mechanism since inversion of stereochemistry at the  $S_H2$  center would occur.

It is theoretically possible to generate optically active *trans*-3,5dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline by resolving the enantiomers of a synthetic precursor on a chiral HPLC column followed by synthetic steps that retain optical activity. Resolution of protected 4-methylene-1pyrazolidine synthetic precursors was achieved.

The absolute stereochemistry of the optically active pyrazoline was determined by the extrapolation of the absolute configurations observed in similar pyrazoline structures and by the x-ray structure of a solid synthetic precursor. The absolute stereochemical configurations of the product *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane and E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane have been previously determined. Extrapolation to the absolute configuration of Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane should be possible.

A diastereomeric analysis of the products obtained from the thermolysis of synthesized *cis* and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-

pyrazoline will add to the library of alkylidenecyclopropane product ratios obtained from other dimethyl substituted 4-methylene-1-pyrazolines. Mechanistic rationalization of the products obtained from the target pyrazolines should be simplified in comparison to previous studies since both C-N bonds are kinetically equivalent and should produce only two intermediates in a one-bond cleavage mechanism. Mathematical solutions were not found however.

Synthetic precursors of the diastereomeric 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines was generated concurrently as a mixture. Separation of diastereomeric precursors followed by non-epimerizing synthetic steps lead to nearly diastereomerically pure 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines.

An estimate of the 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline thermolysis rate constants was obtained to signal a possible deviation from the expected one-bond homolysis mechanism.

37

## **SYNTHESIS**

3-Methyl-2,4-pentanedione **136** (Scheme 14) was prepared<sup>44</sup> by refluxing a mixture of acetylacetone **135**, methyl iodide, and potassium carbonate in acetone for 3 h. The precipitation of the resulting potassium iodide was aided by dilution of the solution with a 1:1 mixture of acetone/ether as was suggested by Roe and Harbride<sup>44</sup>. A GC analysis indicated the presence of 6% of a higher molecular weight compound which was assumed to be 3,3-dimethyl-2,4-pentanedione. This impurity was removed by careful distillation on a spinning band column giving pure **136** as indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Using the fairly general procedure of Renga and Reich<sup>45,</sup> 3-methyl-3phenylseleno-2,4-pentanedione **137** was then generated in high yield (81.5%). Sodium hydride selectively removed the more acidic C3 proton of 3-methyl-2,4-pentanedione **136**. Nucleophilic displacement of chloride ion from benzeneselenenyl chloride by the resulting  $\beta$ -ketoenolate was readily accomplished at 0°C. The reaction was quickly quenched by pouring the mixture into an aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution. Although the reaction time was not optimized, a reaction time of >30 minutes led to a decrease in yield probably as a result of the extreme sensitivity of the product selenide to nucleophilic attack<sup>46</sup>. The product 3-methyl-3phenylseleno-2,4-pentanedione **137**, purified by recrystallization, was readily identified by its <sup>1</sup>H NMR singlets at 2.33 and 1.54 ppm and its aromatic multiplet.

The oxidation of selenide **137** was accomplished at -78°C by bubbling freshly generated ozone through a dichloromethane solution of **137**. Since over-oxidation of a selenide to the selenoxide does not readily occur at -78°C<sup>47</sup>, the stoichiometric addition of ozone was detected by the









<ul> <li>a) R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = Me; R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = H</li> <li>b) R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = Me; R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = H o</li> <li>R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = Me; R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = H</li> </ul>			

141

= H or = H





formation of a blue solvent tint (excess O<sub>3</sub>). Warming the solution resulted in the *syn* elimination of the highly reactive selenoxide to generate 3methylene-2,4-pentanedione. Since 3-methylene-2,4-pentanedione was too unstable to isolate it was trapped with cyclopentadiene as a Diels-Alder adduct while in the presence of potassium carbonate. A non-nucleophilic base was required in order to remove any benzeneseleninic acid produced as a by-product of the *syn* elimination. Product 5,5-diacetyl-2-norbornene **138** was readily purified in 76.4% yield by the distillation of the heterogeneous mixture. The product was identified by its molecular weight as determined by mass spectrometry (178.0980) and its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. Infrared spectrometry indicated a symmetrical and unsymmetrical carbonyl stretch at 1695 and 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

The oxidation-reduction sequence was also possible from the impure 137 obtained after solvent evaporation (yellow oil) with a 5-15% reduction in yield.

In an effort to maximize the yield of 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2nonbornene **139** that would eventually produce *rac*-2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5methylene-1,3-dioxane **141b** (2 of 4 diastereomers of **139**), 5,5-diacetyl-2norbornene **138** was reduced using a variety of reducing agents. Since isomer identification of **139a** and **139b** would have been difficult, the final diastereomeric *rac/meso* ratio of **141** was measured (6.2 m OV101 GC column). The two possible diastereomers of **141** (**141a** and **141b**) were readily identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. As can be seen in Eq. 35, chair inversion of **141a** produces two conformers on which the C4 and C6 methyls are either both equatorial **141a**' or both axial **141a**". Because of the stability of the diequatorial conformer only **141a**' is experimentally observed. Its two diastereomeric C<sub>2</sub> meth.<sup>+</sup> are seen as two <sup>1</sup>H NMR singlets (1.57 and

1.41 ppm). Chair inversion of the rac isomer (Eq. 36) interchanges the axial and equatorial positions of the C4 and C6 methyls and also of the two diastereotopic C2 methyls. Since the two conformers 141b' and 141b" are energetically identical, rapid chair inversion occurs leading to a coalescence of the two C2 methyl singlets, i.e., only one singlet at 1.39 ppm is seen in an <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (293K).



141a'

(Eq. 35)



(Eq. 36)

The stereoselectivity exhibited by the reducing agents was virtually identical (43-52% rac as the acetal 141b), thus reaction yield determined the best procedure (Table 8). The best reduction in terms of both yield (94% as the diol 139, 81% overall with diol 139 protected as the acetal 141) and stereoselectivity (52% rac acetal 141) was achieved with lithium aluminum hydride in refluxing ether by using an adaption of the

I	able <u>8</u> Stereoselectiv	ity of dione 138 reduct	ion.
Reducing Agent	Conditions	% rac-141b	Yield 141
LIAIH4	1.4 eq./34°C	52	81
Red Al	1 eq./0°C	44	42.9
•	2 eq./0°C	43	54.6
•	1 eq./-78°C	46	75.9
Dibal	2.8 eq./-78°C	46	71.6

procedure of Maier, Roth, and Schmidt<sup>48</sup>. Lengthy reflux times did not appear to decrease the yields. After a standard workup I.R. spectra indicated a total loss of any carbonyl stretch and a gain of a broad O-H stretch at 3290 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Although it was impossible to definitely establish the number of diastereomers produced by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR accounted for 43 of the possible 44 lines if 4 diastereomers of **139** were present. An elemental analysis indicated that the diol elemental composition matched closely to that of the theoretical composition.

Dibal (-78°C) provided a good yield at 71.6% as the acetal **141** (Table 8). Since the Lewis acid Dibal can provide only one hydride ion per molecule, at least two equivalent of Dibal was needed (2.8 equivalent used).

While Dibal might favor attachment and delivery of its hydride ion to the same carbonyl group, Red Al (sodium bis-(methoxyethoxy)-aluminum hydride) can provide two hydride ions. Therefore the possibility existed for the second hydride to be delivered to the  $\beta$ -carbonyl intramolecularly and thus produce some stereoselectivity. Reaction conditions that might promote this type of selectivity, i.e., 1 equivalent of Red AI added to diketon. **138** at -78°C and 0°C, did not produce any additional stereoselectivity. Using 2 equivalent of Red AI at 0°C produced similar results. The reduction at -78°C produced a yield of 75.9% at the acetal **141** stage, while raising the temperature to 0°C gave yields of 34.6% and 42.9% as the acetals **141** from 1 and 2 equivalents of Red AI respectively.

The acid catalyzed protection of diol **139** was readily accomplished in consistently high yields (88-95%) at room temperature by using a large excess of 2,2-dimethoxypropane. Distillation of the product produced pure 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene **140** as four diastereomers. I.R. spectra indicated a total loss of the alcohol O-H stretch and the presence of a gem-dimethyl symmetric and asymmetric C-H stretch. **13**C NMR produced all 56 lines that were expected as the result of the production of 4 diastereomers.

Acetal 140 was pyrolyzed at approximately 450°C using an adaption of the procedure of Corey and Suggs<sup>49</sup>. Unreacted 140 that could not be vapor transferred out of the primary cold trap at 0°C was re-thermolyzed in order to increase the overall yield. A spinning band distillation was required to separate 141 totally from the by-product cyclopentadiene. The product 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-1,3-dioxane 141 had to be handled carefully due to its volatility. Periods of storage greater than one month at -20°C led to deterioration of 141. Acetal 141 was separated into its *meso* and *rac* forms by a 230-400 mesh flash silica column impregnated with 17% silver nitrate. It was necessary to rerun a small portion of the eluent since some overlap of the two isomers occurred. Careful distillation using a long 30 cm vigreux column removed the majority of solvent ethyl acetate and hexane. Using a modification of the procedure of Salomaa and Kankaanpera<sup>50</sup> the deprotection of acetal **141** to generate diol **142** was carried out with 0.3N hydrochloric acid as a catalyst in 95% ethanol (5 ml of 3N HCI in 50 ml of 95% ethanol). This procedure, when carried out at room temperature, did not give any indication of tautomerization of the olefin function and allylic S<sub>N</sub>2 displacement of an oxonium ion, i.e., isomeric purity was retained. I.R. spectra revealed a large O-H stretch at 3340 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Although high resolution mass spectrometry did not produce a parent peak, it did reveal the presence of a fragment with m/e at 101.0590 which could occur as a result of the loss of an allylic methyl group. Chemical ionization did produce a parent + NH4<sup>+</sup> peak. <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated that only one diol **142** isomer was obtained, i.e., the original stereochemistry was most likely retained.

The mild carbon tetrachloride/triphenylphosphine reagent system and its variants were used to convert diols 142 into their respective dichlorides 143 in an attempt to minimize epimerization of the two allylic stereocenters of 142 and to minimize the formation of the  $S_N2$ ' product 147 (Table 9). Optimum conditions were not found. From the data in Table 9 it is difficult to establish distinct patterns resulting from a change in the reaction conditions using the reagents carbon tetrachloride and triphenylphosphine. While regioselectivity seems to be the poorest for chlorination of the *meso* diol 142a (21% of 147 produced when the reaction temperature was 65°C), this did not appear to be the case for the *rac* diol 142b. A similar chlorination of the *rac* diol at 40°C resulted in no epimerization and 11% of 147. Surprisingly, a decrease in temperature to 25°C produced 6% of the epimer 143a. The latter reaction time, however, was increased by 350%.

% Total Yiekd		20	36	42	62	52	58
	2 2 2 4 2 2 4	21	Q	25	11	თ	6
Conditions % Yield	H, H	10	18	61	89	29	85
	Me H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	50	80	4	0	12	9
Conditions		65-70°C 100 min.	0°C/15 min 23°C/2h	0°C/0.5h 23°C/40h	40°C/7h	60°C/3.5h	25°C/24h
Chlorinating Agent		Ph <sub>3</sub> P/CCl <sub>4</sub>	l(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> N] <sub>3</sub> P CFCl <sub>3</sub>	/r-Bu <sub>3</sub> P/CCl <sub>4</sub>	Ph <sub>3</sub> P/CCl <sub>4</sub>	Ph <sub>3</sub> P/CCl <sub>4</sub>	Ph <sub>3</sub> P/CCl <sub>4</sub>
Diol		He Ho Ho	142a	Me H H H H H H	142b		

The combination of tributylphosphine and carbon tetrachloride gave a low yield (42%), the poorest regioselectivity (25% 147) and 14 % epimerization product.

The literature<sup>51</sup> indicates that the combination hexamethylphosphorus triamide/carbon tetrachloride produces the largest amount of unrearranged product from  $\alpha$ -alkylallyl alcohols. In a similar reaction but with trichlorofluoromethane replacing the the less volatile carbon tetrachloride the meso diol 142a produced only 2% of 147, however a relatively large amount of epimer 143b (18%) and a reduced yield (36%) was obtained. After considering the possibility that the lengthy workup of the dichloride could be at least in part responsible for poor yields and poorer regio- and stereoselectivity, one-pot reactions generating the triazolinedione 144 from diol 142 was attempted (Table 10). In terms of the overall yield (48%) this method gave superior results for the rac diol and better than average results in terms of regioselectivity (22% 148) and stereoselectivity (8% 144a) than was obtained by a two step synthesis where the intermediate dichloride was isolated. The same reagents produced poorer yields for the meso diol 142a.

The generation of the triazolinedione **144** directly from dichloride **143** gave 50-55% yields (Table 10). A large amount of  $S_N2'$  product **148** (25-31%) exceeding that initially present in the dichloride (2-9%) was obtained.

The active component of the chiral DPG HPLC column (supplied by Regis Chemical Company) is shown in structure **149**. In order to obtain molecular chirality recognition geometric considerations require that a minimum of three simultaneous interactions occur between the chiral dinitrophenylglycine (DPG) and the system of interest<sup>52</sup>. Since

es 144 % Total Yiekd		55	18	50	48
sis of triazoline dion	Zm the second se	25	32	31	22
bserved in the synthe % Yield	144b	12	4	62	20
and regioselectivity o		63	54	ω	ω
Table 10       Stereoselectivity and regioselectivity observed in the synthesis of triazoline diones 144         or       Chlorinating Agent       % Yiekd			CFCt <sub>3</sub> /[(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> N] <sub>3</sub> P 23°C/3h		CFCl₃/[(CH₃)2N]3P 23°C/2h
<u>Tab</u> Reagent Diol or Dichloride(s)		meso 143a - 80% rac 143b - 18% 147 - 2%	Me H H OH OH Meso 142a	rac 143b - 85% meso 143ú - 6% 147 - 9 %	Me H H OH OH OH

enantiomeric and diastereomeric selectivity was needed in order to purify a possible mixture of stereo and geometric isomers, i.e., **144a**, **144b**, and **148** (see Table 10), several pyrazolidine protecting groups were considered, each resulting in compounds with features that might interact with the chiral DPG HPLC column. Each protected pyrazolidine considered (**144**, **150**, **151**, and **152**) contained an aromatic ring for  $\pi$  interactions, carbonyl and amino functions for polar and hydrogen bonding interactions, and also chiral centers for the most crucial chiral interactions.







49

Although the flat structure of **152** might have topological benefits, an attempt was not made to generate compound **152** since model studies showed that the reaction of allyl bromide and phenylhydrazide generated primarily **153** and **154**, i.e., O-alkylation was a major problem.



Using a modification of the procedure of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup>, the reaction of phenylhydrazodicarboxylate with dichloride 143 did not produce any compound 150, however phenol and unreacted 143 were isolated. Since the phenol generated was likely caused by the stability of the phenoxide ion, a carbamate with a benzoyl group was tried. Using a modification the of above procedure the reaction of benzylhydrazodicarboxylate with rac dichloride 143b gave ~10% of the rac-pyrazolidine 150. The major product was the S<sub>N</sub>2' product E-1,2dicarbenzoxy-3-methyl-4-ethylidenepyrazolidine (~19%). Some enantiomeric separational preferences for the racemic 150 was shown by the chiral DPG HPLC column.

The best candidate in terms of overall yield and regioselectivity was the cyclic 4-phenylurazole with an overall yield of ~39% of the racemic 144b and an overall yield of 50-55% of protected pyrazolidine compounds 144 and 148. The three diastereomers 144a, 144b and 148 and the enantiomers of 144b were separated by recycling the material through a chiral DPG HPLC column with a 35% isopropanol/hexane solution and saving leading and tailing edges. The compounds 144a and 144b were fully characterized. Positive assignments of the stereochemistry of diastereomers 144a and 144b was established by x-ray crystallography of (-)144b (Figure 1). The negatively rotating isomer was determined by x-ray crystallography to have the R,R,- configuration. (See Appendix C).



Eigure 1 X-ray structure of 144b

The hydrolysis of 98% isomerically pure triazolinedione 144 and acidification of the resulting pyrazolidine produced the pyrazolidine hydrochloride 145 with an isomeric purity of 98% (determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR) and by-product aniline hydrochloride. The heterogeneous n-propanol/H<sub>2</sub>O/KOH hydrolysis process was aided by a small amount of phase transfer catalyst tetrabutylammonium iodide. An argon atmosphere and the addition of EDTA were used to retard oxidation of the product pyrazolidine. <sup>1</sup>H NMR did not indicate the presence of the tautomer 155.

Oxidation of the isomeric pyrazolidine hydrochlorides **145a** and **145b** in the presence of the aniline hydrochloride impurity was carried out using two methods. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis indicated that the tautomer **156** was not produced and that epimerization of the allylic centers did not occur by either method, i.e., oxidation of each isomer produced only one methyl doublet, one methyne multiplet, and one methylene triplet. The mercuric oxide oxidation (an adaption of the procedure of Crawford and Tokanaga<sup>29</sup>) was carried out at 5°C by stirring a mixture of sodium sulpha.e, anhydrous potassium carbonate, and the hydrochloride for 36 h. Aniline was then removed by acid extraction.



Oxidation of the *trans* isomer **145b** was also achieved by using an adaption of the procedure of Barton, Lester and Ley<sup>54</sup>. Unlike the lengthy mercuric oxide oxidation, the reaction was achieved in only 7 minutes with excess benzeneseleninic anhydride. It was necessary to add the strong

52

base DABCO prior to the oxidation in order to remove any benzeneselenenic acid by product<sup>47</sup> which, when present, drastically reduced the yield possibly by addition to the pyrazolidine or pyrazoline olefin. Flash distillation of the product removed all of the aniline, however DABCO sublimed at the same time. Acid or neutral extraction removed this impurity. The final yield was 53%. Mass spectrometry indicated that the product had the expected molecular weight of 110.0841. The presence of optical activity in the *rac*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126** generated from chiral **144b** confirmed the stereochemical orientation of its  $\alpha$ -allylic centers. A detailed discussion of the thermolysis of *cis* and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **125** and **126** is covered in the section on results.

## Attempted Methodologies

Encouraged by Paterson and Fleming's<sup>55</sup> successful *alpha* phenylthiomethylation of silyl enol ethers, an adaption of their methodology was used to phenylthiomethylate the silyl enol other of 2,4-pentanedione in the C<sub>3</sub> position (157 in eq. 37). Reduction of the dione 158 and protection of the resulting diol as an acetal, followed by an oxidation/elimination sequence would then have produced 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene dioxane 141 (Scheme 14). The reaction of silyl dienol ether 157 with phenylthiomethyl chloride in the presence of a catalytic amount of zinc bromide produced approximately 10% of a mixture of the desired product 158 and an O-alkylated product 159. Compound 158 was identified by the expected mass of 222.07075 and by its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra which indicated aromatic proton peaks and three singlets (see 160). IR indicated

a broad O-H stretch at >3200cm<sup>-1</sup>. Compound **159** had no O-H stretch and had non-equivalent methyl groups as indicated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Since the reaction of 2,4-pentanedione with one equivalent of sodium hydride and then phenylthiomethyl chloride produced similar results, it is possible that the intermediate enolate ion of 2,4-pentanedione was too stable for further reaction with phenylthiomethyl chloride.



2,4-Dibromo-3-pentanone **161** is structurally similar to the hydrochloride of 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolidine **145** (Scheme 14) and is thus an attractive possible precursor of **145**. The reaction of the Wittig reagent methylenetriphenylphosphine with **161** (to form **162**) resulted in a large amount of white precipitate that was identified as methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide and unreacted **161** - probably occuring as a result of the acidic nature of the *alpha* proton. An attempted nucleophilic displacement of **161** with deprotonated 1,2-dicarbethoxy-

54

hydrazine (to generate 163) also resulted in an acid-base reaction. The precipitate formed was identified as unreacted 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine. Unreacted 161 was also isolated. The nucleophilic displacement of bromide in 161 with the less basic hydrazine monohydrate to form 3,5-dimethyl-4-pyrazolidone 164 was also unsuccessful. The resulting products were hydrazine hydrobromide and an unidentified organic product that was volatile enough to be lost on the rotoevaporator during workup.



In an effort to reduce the acidity of the *alpha* protons of 2,4-dibromo-3-pentanone **161** was protected as the acetal **166**. The *p*-toluenesulfonic acid catalyzed reaction of ethylene glycol with 3-pentanone produced **165** in high yield. Further reaction of **165** with bromine using the procedure of Giusti and Morales<sup>56</sup> generated 2,2-bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane **166** in 91.5% yield. The presence of 9 lines in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR and duplicate peaks (different intensities) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated that two isomers were formed. As was expected, the nucleophilic displacement of the "neopentyl" bromide was difficult. Some reaction of **166** with 1,2-dicarbethoxy– hydrazine anion in HMPA occured after 72 h at 60°C, however significant progress did not occur until the temperature was increased to 100°C. Although identification of the product was not achieved, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was not consistent with that expected from compound 167. Further purification of the product on a flash silica column resulted in decomposition and/or rearrangement.



A repetition of the above procedure in the presence of sodium iodide produced a mild increase in reactivity, however the product obtained at 50°C was identical to that produced previously. The replacement of the nucleophile with the less bulky hydrazine (in ethanol) was also unsuccessful with or without the aid of sodium iodide. Refluxing for several days produced only starting materials as indicated by TLC and <sup>1</sup>H NMR. In another experiment the addition of potassium hydroxide to the hydrazine reaction mixture led to some reaction and the same undesired product.

Itoh *et al.* has reported<sup>57</sup> the synthesis of 4-hydroxy-3-methylene-2pentanone **171** 61% yield from methyl vinyl ketone and acetaldehyde aided with diethyl aluminum iodide in (Eq. 38). Preferential 1,2 reduction would then have produced the desired 3-methylene-2,4-pentanediol **142**. The addition of diethyl aluminum iodide to a mixture of methyl vinyl ketone and acetaldehyde at 0°C followed by workup with acid, as indicated in the literature did not appear to generate any **171**. Adding acetaldehyde to a 0°C solution containing the other two components followed by acidic workup produced approximately 7% of product. The reaction of diethyl aluminum iodide and methyl vinyl ketone at -78°C, a temperature which might prevent undesired reactions of starting materials and intermediates, followed by the addition of acetaldehyde and acidic workup did not produce any product. The addition of DBU to assist the elimination of hydrogen iodide from intermediate **170** did not enhance the yield.



(Eq. 38)
#### RESULTS

#### **Diastereomeric Product Analysis**

The diastereomeric purity of samples of *cis* and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazolines (**125** and **126** respectively) was determined with baseline resolution on a **12** m x **C**.20 mm i.d. methyl silicone fused silica capillary column. With the oven at 35°C and a linear helium flow rate of approximately 20 cm/min (zero retention time determined by methane gas injection) retention times for **126**, **125**, and E-3-methyl-4-ethylidene-1pyrazoline **172** were 3.02, 3.14, and 4.14 minutes respectively. Since neither of the *cis* or *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline samples contained **172**, a separately synthesized authentic sample<sup>36</sup> was used to determine the retention time for **172**. Low resolution GC-MS verified that all three peaks had the correct mass of 110.



It was not possible to distinguish between *cis* and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline (**125** and **126**) using their 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra. The structural assignment was achieved using other methods. Positive identification of *trans*-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione **144b** was established by its X-ray structure (Figure 1). Subsequent reaction steps maintained the



b)  $R_1, R_4 = CH_3; R_1, R_3 = H$   $R_1, R_4 = CH_3; R_2, R_3 = H$  or  $R_1, R_4 = H; R_2, R_3 = CH_3$ 

144



Figure 1 X-ray structure of 144b

integrity of the allylic centers to produce the resulting pyrazoline, i.e., *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126**. Had epimerization of the aliylic centers occurred during any of the reactions it would have been detected by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra since **174** is thermodynamically more stable than **125** or **126** and **173** is thermodynamically more stable than **145**. In agreement, isomerically pure **144b** and **144a** produced different isomerically pure **3**,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline isomers. Optically active **144b** generated an optically active pyrazoline **126**.



Since alkylidenecyclopropanes **131** - **134** are known to slowly isomerize at 170°C<sup>36</sup> an extrapolation to "0" time from a series of time staggered thermolysis samples was necessary. For both the *cis* and *trans* pyrazolines **125** and **126** approximately 4 mg of pyrazoline was dissolved in 250 µl of HPLC grade heptane. Both solutions were spiked with approximately 1 mg of deuterated benzene as an internal standard in order to estimate rate constants. The 250 µl were then split into 5 samples each (thus maintaining a constant pyrazoline/benzene ratio) and were then vapor transferred into ~16 ml breakseals. The samples were thermolyzed up to 40 minutes at 170.0  $\pm$  0.1°C. The internal pressure in the breakseals was ~0.8-1.0 atmospheres. Each sample was quickly cooled in an ice-water bath and vapor transferred into traps. Complete transfer into and out of the break-



seals was guaranteed by transferring the samples till the vacuum apparatus reached the original <1 micron of pressure.

Quantitative analysis of the alkylidenecyclopropanes 131 - 134, the deuterated benzene, and unreacted pyrazolines was accomplished on a 12 m x 0.20 mm i.d. methyl silicone column. It was necessary to decrease the oven temperature to -20°C in order to get baseline separation between E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 133 and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 134. Identification of the alkylidenecyclopropanes was achieved by the analysis of a thermolysed sample of E-3-methyl-4-ethylidene-1-pyrazoline 172 of known<sup>36</sup> alkylidenecyclopropane proportions. At -20°C the retention times for the authentic alkylidenecyclopropane sample and products from the thermolysis of the pyrazolines 125 and 126 matched closely. A mixture of the authentic alkylidenecyclopropane sample and the product alkylidenecyclopropanes produced only the four expected peaks as can be seen in Figure 2.

A quantitative analysis of unreacted pyrazoline and deuterated benzene for each set of 5 samples was made at 35°C using the same conditions as the initial pyrazoline analysis. The deuterated benzene had a retention time of 7.1 minutes and was baseline resolved.

All errors listed in quantitative measurements and calculations are one standard deviation (SD) of the mean.



Figure 2 Product alkylidenecyclopropanes spiked with an authentic sample

# Thermolysis of cis-3.5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 125

The initial thermolysis sample had a composition of 98.1  $\pm$  0.1% *cis* pyrazoline **125** and 2.0  $\pm$  0.1 % *trans* pyrazoline **126**. Final alkylidenecyclopropane compositions (average of 3-4 runs) for thermolysis at 170°C for 5,10,20, and 40 minutes are listed in Table 11 and plotted in Figure 3. The non-horizontal slope of % alkylidenecyclopropane vs time shows that a very slow isomerization of the alkylidenecyclopropanes does occur. The rates of these alkylidenecyclopropane isomerizations have been studied by Gajewski and Chou<sup>35</sup>. Initial alkylidenecyclopropane composition without isomerization (Table 11) was estimated by a weighted linear least squares fit of the data and extrapolation to "0" time. The composition data listed in Table 11 and plotted in Figure 3 are not corrected for the initial 2.0 % of *trans* pyrazoline isomer **126**. The actual product composition for pure *cis* pyrazoline **125** thermolysis could vary as much as 0.2 % for Z-2-methyl ethylidenecyclopopane **134** to 0.6 % for *cis*-2,3-dimethylmethylene-cyclopropane **132**.



**Figure 3** 

There was no evidence of isomeric **172** or **176** being produced during the reaction as would be expected if one bond reversible cleavage had occured (Scheme 14).

The presence of the internal standard benzene-d<sub>6</sub> permitted Eq. 39 to be used to estimate a first order rate constant for the thermolysis of the *cis* pyrazoline **125.** A plot of the data in Table 15 was reasonably linear and indicated a first order rate constant of  $1.66 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. From the rate constant a half life of 7.0 minutes was calculated thus the kinetics and product alkylidenecyclopropane composition was followed over a period of ~6 half lives.



(Eq. 39)

## Thermolysis of trans-3.5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 126

The initial thermolysis mixture consisted of >99.8% *trans*-3,5dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126.** Final thermolysis (170.0°C) alkylidenecyclopropane compositions are listed in Table 11. A plot of the alkylidenecyclopropane composition vs time is shown in Figure 4. Similar to the product behavior from the *cis* pyrazoline thermolysis, slow isomerization of the alkylidenecyclopropanes occurred, thus an extrapolation of a weighted least squares fit of the data to "0" time was necessary in order to obtain initial product compositions without isomerizations (Table 11).

Table 11 Final alkylidenecyclopropane compositions and their "0" time extrapolations from the thermolysis of 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines 125 and 126 (170°C, 0,8-1.0 atm)

		Product Composition (%)			
Reactant	Time (minutes)	131	132	133	134
H, H CH <sub>3</sub> H N=N 125	40.0	18.0 ± 0.3	37.7 ± 0.3	35.8 ± 0.2	8.5 ± 0.3
	20.0	17.1 ± 0.2	39.8 ± 0.5	34.8 ± 0.5	8.3 ± 0.3
	10.0	16.2 ± 0.3	41.5 ± 0.1	34.3 ± 0.3	8.0 ± 0.2
	5.0	16.0 ± 0.2	42.3 ± 0.4	33.6 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.5
	0a	15.8 ± 0.2	42.8 ± 0.2	33.4 ± 0.2	7.8 ± 0.1
H	40.0	16.4 ± 0.3	10.4 ±0.9	60.4 ± 0.9	12.8 ± 0.5
	20.0	16.1 ± 0.6	11.1 ± 1.0	60.1 ± 1.0	12.7 ± 0.8
	10.0	16.0 ± 0.5	11.7 ± 0.6	59.3 ± 0.8	13.0 ± 1.0
	5.0	16.0 ± 0.9	11.5 ± 1.2	60.0 ± 1.2	13.1 ± 1.2
	0a	15.9 ± 0.1	12.0 ± 0.2	59.4 ± 0.4	12.7 ± 0.2
	b	6.2	2.5	47.8	43.8

a Zero time value obtained by extrapolation.

<sup>b</sup> Equilibrium value, see reference 58.



The presence of the internal standard benzene-d<sub>6</sub> permitted Eq. 39 to be used to estimate a first order rate constant for the thermolysis of the *trans* pyrazoline **126.** A plot of the data in Table 11 was reasonably linear and indicated a first order rate constant of  $2.03 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{-3}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>. From the rate constant a half life of 5.7 minutes was calculated, thus the kinetics and product alkylidenecyclopropane composition was followed over a period of ~7 half lives.

As can be seen from the rate constants determined from Tables 17 and 18, the *trans* pyrazoline **126** reacts 20% faster than the *cis* pyrazoline **125**. Thus if the rate determining one-bond homolysis step was reversible, formation of the *cis* pyrazoline **125** and/or 3-methyl-4-ethylidene-1pyrazolines **172** or **176** should occur when starting from pure *trans* pyrazoline **126** (Scheme 14). Samples thermolysed and quenched before reaction completion showed no evidence of **125** or **172** and **176** therefore reversibility is unlikely.

#### Enantiomeric Product Analysis

### Determination of the Enantiomeric Purity of trans-3.5-Dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline 126

Ideally, in order to be the most precise in an enantiomeric study of the thermolysis products of chiral *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline **126**, a direct measurement of the enantiomeric purity of the pyrazoline should be made. These compounds, however, tend to tautomerize to structure **174** in the presence of acid and base particularly at temperatures greater than 25°C, thus restricting possible resolution techniques. A search for an appropriate commercially available chiral capillary column was fruitless. Since pyrazoline 126 proved to be stable to lanthenide and binuclear lanthenide-silver NMR shift reagents, the chiral lanthenide shift reagents 177-178a and combinations of these shift reagents with silver compounds 178b and 179 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> were tried. Binuclear shift reagents have the advantage<sup>59,60</sup> of being able to coordinate with soft lewis bases such as olefins while lanthenide shift reagents alone coordinate with harder lewis bases. Complexation of the shift reagents with the nitrogens or the olefin of 126 resulted, at best, in only a small net shift in the 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of racemic 126.



It was possible, however, to determine the the enantiomeric purity of trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl-[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione **144b** using the lanthenide shift reagent (+)-Eu(facam)<sub>3</sub> **177a**. The chemical shifts of

(+)-Eu(facam)<sub>3</sub> **177a**. The chemical shifts of various  $(\pm)$ -**144b** protons were tracked through a series of 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 5-8 mg of dione in CDCI<sub>3</sub> containing successive additions of shift reagent dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The only useful protons for integration were those attached to the allylic carbons and those on the exocyclic methylene with the former giving the best separation of the protons of (±)-144b. Upfield from 4 ppm shift reagent absorbances superimposed on the (±)-144b peaks, while the aromatic protons were insufficiently separated. The best resolution of the protons from the (±)-144b without excessive broadening of the peaks occured at an approximate 1:1 ratio of shift reagent:144b (Figure 6). In this figure H<sub>A</sub> and H<sub>B</sub> identify the previously enantiomeric protons of a near racemic (±)-144b. Figure 5 shows a partial 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of the (+)-144b that was used as a precursor to the product study for the thermolysis of chiral 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 126. This sample contained  $11.1 \pm 20$  % of the *cis* isomer **125**. Enantiomeric purity of the sample in Figure 5 was determined by the 400 MHz spectrum in Figure 7. This sample contained approximately a 1:1 mixture of shift reagent:(+)-144b. The integration of the proton signals represents an average of 9006 scans. Although no pulse delay was used after an acquisition period the integral should be reasonably accurate since the presence of the paramagnetic shift reagent would lead to rapid spin relaxation. From Figure 7 HA and HB can be identified as belonging to (+)-144b while H<sub>A</sub>' and H<sub>B</sub>' are from (-)-144b. The integral in Figure 7 indicates that the sample contained a 93.4  $\pm$  2.0 % enantiomeric excess (ee) of (+)-144b (i.e.,96.7 % (+)-144b, 3.3 % (-)-144b).

A 3.7 mg sample of the (+)-144b had an observed optical rotation of  $\pm 0.002^{\circ}$  when measured in a 1.000 dm cell at 22°C and 589 nm.



After taking into account the 11.1 ± 2.0 % of *cis* isomer **144a**, Eq. 40 was used to determine the specific rotation of the diastereomerically pure but optically impure (+)-**144b** sample (93.4 ± 2.0 % ee),  $[\alpha]^{22}D = 31.9 \pm 2.3^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>). Using the same equation diastereomerically and optically pure (+)-**144b** should have rotation,  $[\alpha]^{22}D = +34.2 \pm 3.4^{\circ}$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

$$[\alpha] = \frac{\alpha}{lc}$$

 $[\alpha] =$  specific rotation  $\alpha =$  observed rotation I = cell length in dm c = concentration in g/ml

(Eq. 40)

The (+)-144b sample was then hydrolyzed and acidified to the *trans*hydrochloride 145b which was then oxidized with benzene selenenic anhydride to optically active (-)-*trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline 126. As was discussed previously there was no indication of any *cis/trans* isomerization during this reaction sequence thus it is highly unlikely that any loss of enantiomeric purity occured through the formation of intermediates such as 173 and 174. GC analysis (3 runs) indicated that the pyrazoline generated consisted of  $95.9 \pm 0.3$  *trans* isomer and  $4.1 \pm 0.2$ *cis* isomer.

Mishra and Crawford<sup>61</sup> have assigned a 3R:5R configuration to (+)-trans-3,5-dimethyl-1-pyrazoline **146**. Similarily Bergman *et al.*<sup>62</sup> have assigned a 3R:5R configuration to (+)-trans-3-ethyl-5-methyl-1-pyrazoline **181**. The analogous (-)-trans-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126a** should have a 3S:5S configuration since the additional olefin function, which is perpendicular to the primary diazo chromophore, should

not influence the rotational direction<sup>63</sup>. Its precurser triazoline dione (+)-**144b** would thus have a 5S:3S configuration. Verification of this was achieved by x-ray crystallography (see Appendix C).



An estimate of the specific rotation of optically pure pyrazoline 126 was determined from a sample generated from (-)-*trans*-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine1,3-dione 144b with an ee of 83.4 ± 2.0 %. The pyrazoline sample (11.3 mg/ml,  $\alpha^{22}$  D = +3.30, 10.000 cm cell, 22°C) was diastereomerically pure but contained 2.3 % CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and 7.6 % other impurities by capillary GC analysis (methyl silicone column). Since the impurities had retention times less than that of the pyrazoline their molecular weight was estimated to be <120 g/mole. Taking into account the impurities Eq. 40 determined that optically pure (+)-126 had rotation, [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>22</sup>D = +376 ± 30° (CCl<sub>4</sub>).

Product Alkylidenecyclopropane Separation and Analysis from the Thermolysis of Optically Active (+)-trans-3.5-Dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline 126

Two ~45 mg samples of the above mixture were thermolysed in 16 ml breakseals for 15.0 minutes at 170.0  $\pm$  0.1°C using techniques described previously. A maximum pressure of 2.0 atm. was possible at 100 % reaction.

The trapped alkylidenecyclopropanes were dissolved in 200 µl of toluene. Preparative separation of the alkylidenecyclopropanes was achieved on a 18.3 m x 6.4 mm aluminum column packed with 20 % dimethylsulfolane on Chromosorb Paw<sup>36</sup>. Column temp, 30°C. Injector temp, 150°C. TCD, 100°C. Sample size, 50 µl. Helium flow rate, 30 ml/minute. Retention times were (minutes): trans-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 131 (75), cis-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 132 (121), E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 133 (131), and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 134 (137). The alkylidenecyclopropanes were trapped in a pre-dryed glass coil immersed in liquid nitrogen. The coil was equipped with high vacuum stopcocks to prevent the accumulation of moisture when the GC helium purge was not present. Samples were then vacuum transferred to a tared 1 ml volumetric flask. The flask was reweighed and filled to a 1 ml volume with CCl<sub>4</sub>. Control runs indicated that weight increases in the tared flask after the trapping and transferring processes were negligible. Optical rotations of alkylidenecyclopropanes 131-134 were measured over a range of wavelengths from 589-365 nm on two separate polarimeters. Taking solvent rotation into account the largest rotations were observed for isolated 133 with values of -0.001° at 589 nm and -0.003° at 365 nm. Since the polarimeters had an accuracy of ±0.002° and a reproducibility of <0.002° it was estimated that a rotation of ±0.003° at 589 nm would positively indicate optical activity in the sample measured; no optical activity was found in any of the alkylidenecyclopropanes 131, 133, and 134.

Analysis of the CCl<sub>4</sub> solutions by capillary GC (methyl silicone column) indicated that all samples contained <2 % of materials other than alkylidenecyclopropanes and that the *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylene-

cyclopropane **131** was isomerically pure. Since separation of the E and Z isomers (**133** and **134** respectively) was difficult due to an effect of the CCI<sub>4</sub> solvent used 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra (Figure 8-10) were used to determine their diastereomeric purity. The spectra indicate that all three samples were diastereomerically pure, however **131** and **133** may have had up to 5 % impurities while **134** up to 10 % impurities. Attempts were made to separate the 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR signals of the enantiomers present in a mixture of racemic alkylidenecyclopropanes **131-134** by using binuclear chiral lanthanide shift reagents. Various combinations of lanthanide shift reagents **177-178a** with silver compounds **178b** and **179** provided insufficient resolution.

Polarimetry was therefore used to determine the enantiomeric purity of MCP 131,133, and 134. Gajewski<sup>63</sup> has made *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 131a;  $[\alpha]^{22}D = -59.4^{\circ}$  (CCl<sub>4</sub>). Although the sample used for this measurement was not necessarily optically pure (~85% pure) its value was used to determine the maximum possible enantiomeric excess (ee) obtained in the recovered *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 131 from an optically pure pyrazoline 126. After correcting for the 4.11 ± 0.20 % of *cis* pyrazoline isomer 125, the 93.4 ± 2.0 % ee of the optical isomer of 126 used, and the minimum purity of 95 %, the amount of optically pure MCP 131 used for the rotational measurement was calculated to be 2.65 mg. Eq. 40 and the minimum rotation that would indicate definate optical activity in the product (0.003°) were then used to determine the minimum amount of optically pure pyrazoline 126 that generated optically active 131. The calculated maximum possible ee in 131 was 1.9 ± 0.2%. Since the reference sample used was ~85% pure



400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 134.

<2.0% of optically pure 126 led to optically active *trans*-2,3dimethylmethylenecyclopropane **131**.

Gajewski<sup>63</sup> has also isolated E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 133b,  $[\alpha]^{22}D = +10.7 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$  (CCl<sub>4</sub>). Hydrogenation of this sample over a platinum catalyst produced *cis*-1-ethyl-2-methylcyclopropane 180,  $[\alpha]^{22}D = -12.8 \pm 4.0^{\circ}$  (CCl<sub>4</sub>). Since Bergman<sup>64</sup> has determined that the maximum specific rotation of 180 at -16.2°, the maximum specific rotation of 133b can be estimated at  $[\alpha]^{22}D = +15.2 \pm 4.8^{\circ}$ . Using the same arguments presented previously, a calculated maximum possible ee of MCP 133 of  $3.2 \pm 1.2\%$  means that <5% of optically pure pyrazoline 126 produced optically active alkylidenecyclopropane 133. A similar analysis of the minimum observable rotation of Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 134 could not be made since an estimate of the rotation of optically pure 134 was not available. However if the maximum rotation of 134 is similar to that of 133 an enantiomeric excess of 10% should have been detected.



#### DISCUSSION

A complete understanding of the thermolysis mechanism of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines to produce alkylidenecyclopropanes has been and is difficult to attain. An attempt was made initially to find a mechanism common to the entire family of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines; however variations in substituents appears to lead to a range of mechanisms. Nevertheless, certain aspects of the thermolysis mechanism can be expected to be transferable within the entire family. The majority of evidence indicates that the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines involves a minimum of two steps, the first of which is a rate-determining one-bond homolysis of a C-N bond. A discussion of the first step is presented in the introduction of this thesis. The estimated first order rate constants for the thermolysis of cis 125 and trans-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazolines 126 at 170°C are 1.66  $\pm$  0.15 x 10<sup>-3</sup> and 2.03  $\pm$  $0.07 \times 10^{-3}$  respectively. These rates compare favorably with the thermolysis of the 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines in Table 12, thus a deviation from a one-bond homolysis is not expected. The trend towards minor rate enhancements from the unsubstituted parent 1 to the mono-methyl 21 to the  $C_3$  and  $C_5$  dimethyl **125** and **126** is consistent with the increasing stability of the developing alkyl radical. A comparison of the KIE (Table 2) of 29 (1.18  $\pm$  0.03) and 32 (1.02  $\pm$  0.02) suggest that cleavage of the methyl substituted C<sub>3</sub>-N bond of 21 is minor. Both 125 and 126 have kinetically equivalent C-N bonds and have a methyl substituent on each carbon and would therefore lead to a larger rate enhancement. Little change in rate is noted (Table 12) and ground state conformational factors may explain what little differences there are.

Ref
17
24
this work
this work

Major deviations within the family of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines occur in the product determining step. As was discussed in the introduction Crawford *et al.*<sup>5,17,18</sup> were able to algebraicatly rationalize and/or accurately predict product proportions derived from the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with primary or tertiary allylic endocyclic carbons, specifically compounds **29**, **27**, **33**, **34**, **36**, and **53** (see Schemes 8, 7, and 6). As an example of both primary and tertiary systems<sup>5</sup>, the thermolysis of **33** via a one-bond homolysis would initially produce the diazenyl radicals **90** and **82** (Scheme 15). Because of the ease of formation of the tertiary radical, the lifetime of intermediate **90** would be expected to be short, thus producing the Chesick diradical **89**. The diazenyl radical **82**, on the other hand, would be expected to have a longer lifetime since loss of nitrogen would produce the less stable primary Chesick diradical **66**. Following least motion principals<sup>33</sup> the Chesick diradical **89** would form products by the rotation of either of the allylic termini to form a ring sigma bond between the rotating allylic "p" orbital and the orthogonal orbital. Rotation of the two allylic termini to form a product ring sigma bond would not be expected since three orbitals would have to rotate (see **182**). The formation of products from the diazenyl radical **82** would occur by rotation of either of the allylic termini and backside displacement of nitrogen to form an endocyclic sigma bond (*x* and *z* mode) and by rotation of the two allylic termini to form an endocyclic sigma bond probably with synchronous loss of nitrogen (*y* mode). Utilizing this type of intermediate behavior Crawford *et al.*<sup>5</sup> were able to predict final product proportions of 15:44:41 for **84:86:85**. The observed values are 16:44:40.



#### Scheme 15

The key to the success of the above analysis appears to be linked to the lifetime of the diazenyl radical(s) produced by one-bond homolysis of



the two possible C-N bonds. In the previous case the two extremes are met by the formation of primary and tertiary diazenyl radicals. Since the thermolysis of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with secondary allylic endocyclic carbons would produce diazenyl radicals whose stability falls between the two extremes, there is an ambiguity as to whether products are formed from diazenyl radicals, Chesick diradicals or a mixture of the two. For this reason we have studied the thermolysis of optically active trans-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline 126 (Scheme 16). One-bond homolysis of either one of the two kinetically equivalent C-N bonds could produce two chiral diazenyl radical intermediates 183a and 184a differing by a 180° rotation of the planar allylic ethylidene group. Depending on the rotation of the diazenyl group loss of nitrogen could form four Chesick diradical isomers 185a, 185b, and 186a, 186b in which 185 and 186 represent a diastereomeric pair of enantiomers. Product formation via the diazenyl radicals and/or the Chesick diradicals could then produce four diastereomeric products 131, 132, 133, and 134 in which 131, 133, and 134 each represent a pair of enantiomers. For the clarity of this discussion the 3S,5S configuration is assigned to the optically active pyrazoline 126 used in the discussion. Product formation resulting in an inversion of configuration at the chiral centers would then produce products with an S

configuration while retention would produce products with an R configuration.



Scheme 16

Experimental results indicate that the thermolysis at 170°C of optically pure *trans* pyrazoline **126** produced optically inactive **131**, **133**, **134** and achiral **132**. It was estimated that <2% of enantiomeric excess in **131** and <5% of enantiomeric excess in **133** produced from optically pure pyrazoline **126** could have been detected with the polarimeters used. An estimate of the detectability of optical purity for **134** was not possible since an estimate of the rotation of optically pure **134** is not available, however if the maximum rotation of **134** is similar to that of **133** an enantiomeric excess of 10% should have been detected. These results virtually eliminate the possibility of alkylidenecyclopropanes being formed via diazenyl radical intermediates since backside displacement of **183a** or

**184a** nitrogen via the *z* mode in Scheme 17 would lead to inversion of stereochemistry at the chiral center and hence result in optical activity in ethylidenecyclopropanes **133b** and **134b**. Similarly C-C bond rotation by **183a** and **184a** to form diazenyl radicals **183b** and **184b** (Scheme 18) and methylenecyclopropane formation by backside displacement of nitrogen in the *x* mode would lead to achiral **132** and optically active **131b** with inversion of stereochemistry. It is also possible, though extremely unlikely, that <u>all</u> products are formed from *y* closure thus losing chiral information since the chiral center would become the products planar exocyclic ethylidene, however this would preclude the formation of any 2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropanes which are experimentally found to be 27.7 % of the products formed. Thus experimental results limit product formation from diazenyl radicals to <2 % via the *x* mode and <5 % via the *z* mode.





We therefore considered product formation from Chesick diradicals. In the event that nitrogen loss from diazenyl radicals from **183a** and **184a** is extremely rapid and rotation of the diazenyl bearing carbon does not occur, Chesick diradicals **185a** and **186a** would result (Scheme 19).



Products formed by rotation of the methylene allylic terminus of 185a and 186a would generate 133b and 134b with net inversion of the chiral

center, while rotation of the ethylidene allylic terminus would produce the achiral **132** and optically active **131a** with retention of configuration. Again the absence of optical activity in the products also limits this mechanism to <2% via ethylidene rotation and to <5% via methylene rotation.

It is also possible that the diazenyl radical intermediates are stable enough to allow rotamer equilibration (Eq. 41) prior to loss of nitrogen. The equilibrated rotamers 183 and 184 would produce Chesick diradicals 185a, 185b, and 186a, 186b (Scheme 20) in which 185 and 186 are diastereomeric pairs of enantiomers, thus product formation produces enantiomeric pairs 133 and 134 via methylene rotation, and the enantiomeric pair 132 as well as the achiral 132 via ethylidene rotation.



(Eq. 41)

Thus if enantiomeric Chesick diradicals **185a** and **185b** were formed in equal amounts and enantiomeric Chesick diradicals **186a** and **186b** were formed in equal amounts total racemization of the products would occur. It is unlikely that rotamers **184a** and **184b** (Eq. 42) would have equal populations since the steric bulk of the allylic termini are vastly different (CH<sub>3</sub> vs H), however, the allylic termini for the rotamers **183a** and **183b** (Eq. 43) would have a similar steric interaction with the bulky chiral center. Thus, if one-bond homolysis of optically active *trans* pyrazoline **126a** produces only the diazenyl radical rotamers **183** it is possible that rotamer



equilibration and subsequent loss of nitrogen to form Chesick diradicals 185 could lead to racemic products. Principals of least motion and the interpretation of prior experimental evidence<sup>5,29</sup> leads one to predict that products will not be formed by sigma bond formation between the Chesick diradical allylic termini of 185, thus any Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 134 formed must be generated from the Chesick diradicals 186. For the



(Eq. 43)

*trans* pyrazoline **126a** ethylidenecyclopropane **134** represents 12.7 % of the total alkylidenecyclopropanes generated thus the diazenyl radical **184** would have been formed in a minimum of 12.7 %. Conversely, since E- $\frac{1}{2}$ -methylethylidenecyclopropane **133** is generated in 59.6% yield, the diazenyl radical **183** ould have formed at least 59.6% of the time. Thus the diazenyl radical **184**, by this mechanism, is restricted to 13-40% of the total diazenyl radicals generated and is likely formed at ~26%. Nevertheless, since **134** was found to have no optical rotation, and if one assumes that a 10% enantiomeric excess could have been detected, the

45:55 to 50:50. This minor difference in rotamer distribution would suggest that either the allylic H and CD<sub>3</sub> group are of similar size, or the tetrahedral diazenyl bearing carbon is nearly symmetrically substituted, or the interaction between the allylic termini and the chiral center is minimal. These explanations seem unlikely.

An alternative, and potentially attractive, explanation for the wide spread generation of racemic products involves the planarization of possible intermediate Chesick diradicals. The simplest planar intermediate possible for the thermolysis of **126a** are **187** and **189** which may be generated from Chesick diradical **185** and **186** respectively and **188** which may be generated from both (90° rotation of orthogonal group - Scheme 21). These



intermediates can not be ruled out experimentally by a comparison with products formed by the thermolysis of *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1pyrazoline **125** since the Chesick diradicals **185** and **186** are likely to be formed in different proportions from pyrazolines 125 and 126, which in turn would explain their differing product ratios (Table 11). Planar intermediates such as 190 have been ruled out<sup>17</sup> since 190 would be a common intermediate for 53 and 34 thermolysis (Scheme 22); however the same product ratios were not obtained. Current thinking<sup>17,18</sup>, however, precludes this conclusion since products are likely to be generated from primary diazenyl radicals.



Crawford *et al.* <sup>5</sup> suggested that the cleavage of the C<sub>5</sub>-N bond of **29** (Scheme 15) would produce an unstable diradical intermediate **90** which would quickly form Chesick diradical **89**. If this diradical became planar as in **191**, products could still be rationalized, as previously described, by sigma bond formation between the isopropylidene and an adjacent methylene termini (i.e., Chesick diradical behavior). However, it is difficult to perceive that products would not also be formed by sigma bond formation between the two methylene termini. It is possible that the stability of the isopropylidene radical formed by rotation out of the plane might promote

bond formation between the isopropylidene and the adjacent methylene termini.



Dolbier and Burkholder<sup>8</sup> have suggested that the thermolysis products of Z-3-dideuterio-4-ethylidene-1-pyrazoline **19** can be rationalized in terms of a partly equilibrated set of orthogonal trimethylenemethane (TMM) intermediates and planar TMM such as **192** (Eq. 44). Unlike the fully delocalized planar TMM such as **190** and **191**, the planar **192** maintains a stereochemical uniqueness by the presence of a double bond and two allylic radicals. Although they point out that **192** does not need to be totally planar to rationalize their results, the planar TMM is required to explain the loss of optical activity obtained in our work during the thermolysis of optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126**.



(Eq. 44)

Borden *et al.*<sup>38</sup> provide some theoretical support for intermediates similar to **192**. Using a variety of basis sets, they located the optimum singlet

geometries for the parent TMM by varying methylene rotational angles. They also calculated transition state energies in the transformation of the singlet minima to the product methylenecyclopiopane. A contour map<sup>38</sup> of their results is shown in Figure 11. Their results confirmed others<sup>41,42</sup> in that the orthogonal TMM 122 is the lowest encogy TMM singlet. Located ~6 kcal above 122 was the lowest planar TMM 123 (similar to 192) which could form the product MCP by either conrotatory or disrotatory movement. More importantly, as can be seen in Figure 11, the transition states connecting TMM 122 and 123 to the product MCP are of similar total



Figure 11. Contour map of the lowest singlet potential energy surface of the methylenecyclopropane rearrangement as a function of two rotational angles with all other geometrical parameters optimized. Increments are 0.001 hartree. Reprinted with permission from D.Feller, K.Tanaka, E.R.Davidson, and W. T. Borden. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 967 (1982). Copyright 1982, American Chemical Society.

energy. They also estimated that the barrier between orthogonal TMM 122 and planar 123 was slightly lower than the transition state energy between 123 and the product MCP, thus the conversion between orthogonal TMM 122 and planar TMM 123 and product formation from either would be competitive processes. Also, since the energy surface around planar TMM 123 is fairly flat they suggest that partitioning of intermediate 123 could be influenced by molecular dynamics. The introduction of methyl groups to 123 to form 193 would lower the energy of the planar 123 due to the stabilizing nature of the methyl groups; however, steric effects could counteract this benefit to favour the orthogonal TMM 185 or 186.



In terms of the thermolysis of optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4methylene-1-pyrazoline **126**, the orthogonal Chesick diradicals **1** $\hat{12}$  and **115** (scheme 12) would be formed by nitrogen loss from diazenyl radicals **82** and **90** respectively. The orthogonal diradicals **112** and **115** differ only by a 180° rotation of the allylic ethylidene group. The achiral planar TMM **111**, **114**, **116**, and **118** that would account for the absence of optical activity in the product alkylidenecyclopropane products could be obtained by a 90° rotation of the orthogonal ethylidene group of **112** and **115** in either direction. A 90° rotation of the allylic ethylidene radical terminus of













Me `H

代<sub>日</sub> 117

Me

Scheme 12

111 would produce the enantiomeric pair 112 and 112', while a similar rotation of 114 would produce the enantiomeric pair 115 and 115'. Analogously 116 and 118 would produce the enantiomeric pairs 112, 112' and 115, 115' respectively. Since optically active products can be generated from ring closure of the initially formed chiral orthogonal TMM 112 and 115, the total loss of optical activity would require that formation of the planar TMM be more rapid than product formation from the orthogonal TMM.

Differing amounts of orthogonal TMM 112 and 115 (and therefore differing amounts of the two sets of equilibria) generated by the thermolysis of *cis* 125 and *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 126 could account for their differences in product ratios. It is essential that total equilibrium is not attained since the two sets of intermediates are not exclusive to each set. Total equilibrium would lead to identical product ratios from 125 and 126. Molecular dynamics and steric effects could influence the relative amounts of 111 and 114 and the relative amounts 116 and 118 produced from orthogonal TMM 112 and 115 respectively.

A small amount of planar TMM may have been observed by Roth<sup>66</sup> and Gajewski<sup>36</sup> in similar experiments. Both found that the thermolysis of one chiral methyl-substituted diastereomer of 6-ethylidenebicyclo[3.1.0]hexane **194a** produced ~8-10% of the other diastereomer **194b** with retention of stereochemistry in the exo ethylidene group. Represented in Scheme 23<sup>66</sup>, the conversion of diastereomers **194a** and **194b** could occur either via the lower energy othogonal TMM species **195** or the planar TMM **196**. Thus any loss of exo ethylidene stereochemistry would occur through the orthogonal TMM **195** ( i.e., since rotation of the orthogonal group would result in both retention and inversion of the exo ethylidene)
while retention of stereochemistry would occur via the planar TMM 196. Since net retention of stereochemistry occured it was deemed that the formation of planar TMM was energetically possible.



Gajewski and Chou<sup>36</sup> have studied the rates of isomerization and racemization of a thermolysed sample of optically active *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane **Ta** (Scheme 24). They proposed that initially an optically active orthogonal TMM  $\perp a$  was formed reversibly which would generate either optically active E and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane **EZa** (essentially irreversibly) by inversion of stereochemistry at the orthogonal pivot point or generate the achiral *cis*-2,3-dimethyl-methylenecyclopropane **C**. A racemic orthogonal TMM  $\perp r$  would be formed reversibly from achiral **C** and would generate essentially irreversibly E and Z-2methylethylidenecyclopropane **EZr** and reversibly racemic **Tr**. Being unable to mathematically account for the total racemization of optically active *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane by ring opening of **C**, Gajewski proposed that the formation of an achiral planar TMM occured between 15-33% of all ring opening events of **T**. This conclusion is in agreement with Borden's calculations<sup>38</sup>, i.e., the transition state energies linking the orthogonal and planar TMM with the product MCP are similar in energy.



Gajewski's results are particularly interesting since, although the two possible diastereomeric orthogonal TMM produced are identical (though not necessarily in the same ratio) to those that would be produced by the thermolysis of optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline **126** (Scheme 12), in contrast to our results some optical activity in the E and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropanes was obtained. It is still possible to bring the two results into concordance if molecular dynamics, possibly caused by the loss of nitrogen, prejudices the formation of a planar TMM in our study, i.e., the kinetic energy of the orthogonal group assists in overcoming the potential barrier to the planar TMM. It is also possible that our observed fully racemic product may be produced by a combination of Chesick diradical formation from a partly rotamer equilibrating diazenyl radical species and the formation of planar TMM from these orthogonal Chesick diradicals.

In summary, although during thermolysis 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines appear to behave uniformly in terms of an initial rate determining one-bond homolysis to form diazenyl radicals, their product forming step(s) differ greatly. The mechanism used seems to be directly related to the stability of the alkyl radical that would be generated upon nitrogen loss from the appropriate diazenyl radical. A primary diazenyl radical has a large potential barrier to nitrogen loss; thus product formation directly from the diazenyl radical is favoured. In contrast, a tertiary diazenyl radical would quickly lose nitrogen to form a stable Chesick diradical from which products would be formed. The intermediate stability of a secondary alkyl radical appears to cause a diazenyl radical that is long lived enough to possibly allow equilibration of its rotamers; however, nitrogen is lost prior to product formation. If one assumes that total racemization does not occur by diazenyl radical rotation, then the orthogonal Chesick diradical must face a lower barrier to generate a planar TMM than to generate the product MCP.

If indeed tertiary diradicals do not form planar TMM, the difference in behavior of tertiary vs secondary diradicals (as would likely be generated in the thermolysis of **126**) may be explained in terms of methyl group stabilization in the orthogonal vs planar TMM. As can be seen by comparing **197** and **198** the radical stabilization in **197** by two methyl groups is totally lost in going to the planar TMM **198**, thus leading to a large energy barrier. A comparison of **199** and **200** reveals that both have one lone electron stabilized by a methyl group; however the allylic "half" electron stabilized in **199** is lost in the planar **200**. This minor difference suggests that a smaller energy barrier would be present in converting orthogonal **199** to planar **200**. Steric effects are also likely to influence the potential energy of the orthogonal and planar 1MM - particularly the latter. This energy increase is likely on the average smaller in the planar **200**, particularly when the methyls are oriented away from each other as in the conformer **201**. Thus the energy separating **197** and **198** would be magnified relative to that separating **199** and **200**.



Unfortunately, the complex thermolysis product formation mechanism of 4-alkylidene-1-pyrazolines with secondary allylic endocyclic carbons, results in excessive difficulties in rationalizing product distributions both quantitatively and qualitatively (i.e., ethylidenecyclopropanes 99 and 108 -Scheme 10 and 11). As was pointed out in the introduction, KIE indicated that the thermolysis of dideuterio 3-methyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazolines 22 and 23 should cleave almost exclusively at the C<sub>5</sub>-N bond<sup>5</sup> (Scheme 25). They should therefore produce identical intermediates, however product ratios from the two pyrazolines differ. This dilemma is likely not solved by the possible formation of the planar TMM 202 and 203 since again identical intermediates (and also their proportions) should be formed, particularly if free rotation of the diazenyl radical randomizes the location of the methyl group before nitrogen loss. Molecular dynamics created by nitrogen loss from unequilibrated rotamers generated from 22 and 23 might lead to a selectivity between planar 202 and 203. Since this work does not substantiate product formation from the diazenyl radical 91, ring closure from planar 202 and 203 or from orthogonal TMM's generated from 202 and 203 could form the 18-20% of ethylidenecyclopropanes observed experimentally. It is possible, however, that these results may be explained by products formed from the intermediates generated by the minor cleavage of the C<sub>3</sub>-N bond, particularly since a deuterium KIE would lead to more C<sub>3</sub>-N bond cleavage in 22.



98

Scheme 25

#### EXPERIMENTAL

All boiling and melting points are reported uncorrected.

Preparative scale gas chromatographic separations and analytical analyses were achieved on a Varian Aerograph Series 1400 GC connected to a Hewlett Packard 3370A integrator and a Fisher Recordall Series 500 strip chart recorder. Unless otherwise indicated, the packed column used was a 6.1 meter x 3.2 millimeter stainless steel column filled with 15 % OV 101 on Chromosorb Paw.

Capillary gas chromatographic analyses were achieved on a Hewlett Packard 5840A GC using a 12 meter x 0.20 millimeter i.d. methyl silicone fused silica capillary column.

High performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) separations were done on a Waters system consisting of: a Model 600A solvent delivery system, a Lambda-Max Model 480 LC spectrometer (UV detector), a M730 Data Module, and a rheodyne injector system.

Thermolyses were conducted in a well insulated oil bath controlled by a Melabs Model CTCIA proportional temperature controller and measured by a HP Model 2801A quartz thermometer calibrated by the National Bureau of Standards.

Proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained on a Bruker WH-200, a Bruker AM-300, and a Bruker AM-400 high field cryospectrometers and a Bruker WP-80 spectrometer.

Exact masses were determined on a Krotus MS-50 mass spectrometer. Low ionization potential mass analyses were performed on a Krotus MS-12 mass spectrometer and a VG-70E GC mass spectrometer. A Nicolet 7199 Fourier Transform Interferometer and a Hewlett Packard 5965A IRD GC-IR were used to obtain Fourier transform infrared spectra.

X-ray structures were determined on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 automated diffractometer.

Ultra-violet and visible spectra were obtained on Hewlett Packard 8450A diode array spectrometer.

Optical rotations were determined on two Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeters.

Microanalyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta.

#### <u>3-Methyl-2.4-pentanedione (136)</u>

3-Methyl-2,4-pentanedione was prepared by one of the methods offered by Johnson, Markham, and Price<sup>44</sup>. Acetylacetone (186 g, 1.85 mole), methyl iodide (344 g, 2.42 mole), and anhydrous potassium carbonate (240 g, 1.74 mole) were placed in a 2-*I*. flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer. After refluxing gently for 8 h, the mixture was cooled and 350 ml of petroleum ether (bp 30-60°C) was added. Insoluble material was filtered off and washed with 700 ml of a 1:1 mixture of acetone-petroleum ether. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated at 20 torr. Pure product (170 g, 1.49 mole, 80.5%) was obtained by distillation on a spinning band column. Bp 165-167°C / 708 torr (lit. bp 170-172°C / 760 torr<sup>1</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 61% as the dione, 3.69 (q, J=7.0Hz, 1H,), 2.20 (s, 6H), 1.34 (d, J=7.0Hz, 3H); 39% as the enol tautomer, 2.12 (s, 6H), 1.84 (s, 3H).

#### <u>3-Methyl-3-phenylseleno-2.4-pentanedione (137)</u>

The procedure used is an adaption of the methodology used by Renga and Reich<sup>45</sup>. 3-Methyl-2,4-pentanedione (44.9 g, 0.394 mole) was added to a 1000 ml 3-necked flask containing 550 ml of dry THF, and equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a 300 ml pressure equalizing dropping funnel. While under a gentle flow of nitrogen, 19.9 g of 66% sodium hydride in oil (0.548 mole, washed with 2 x 25 ml pentane) was added to the 0°C solution over a period of 20 minutes with the aid of 50 ml of dry pentane. This mixture was then warmed to room temperature for 1.5 h. After cooling the reaction mixture to 0°C, benzeneselenenyl chloride (80.0 g, 0.418 mole) in 100 ml of dry THF was quickly added. The solution was stirred at 0°C for 20 minutes, then poured into a rapidly stirred mixture of 800 ml of 1:1 ether-pentane, 200 ml of aqueous 7% sodium bicarbonate, and 200g of ice. The resulting immiscible layers were seperated and the aqueous layer extracted with 200 ml of 1:1 ether-pentane. The combined organic layers were washed with 100 ml brine, then dried by filtering through a cone of sodium sulfate. Evaporation of the solvent at 20 torr yielded a yellow oil from which white crystals of product (86.4 g, 0.321 mole, 81.5%) were obtained after crystallization and recrystallization from etherpentane. Mp 41.5-42.5°C.

Anal. calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se: C 53.54, H 5.24, O 11.89, Se 29.33. found : C 53.60, H 5.36, O 11.97, Se 29.07.

M/e (calcd. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se, 270.0145) 270.0154.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 80 MHz) δ: 7.4 (m, 5H), 2.33 (s, 6H), 1.54 (s, 3H).

#### 5.5-Diacetyl-2-norbornene (138)

With the exception of the trapping of the oxidation-elimination product, the methodology used was that of Reich, Renga, and Reich<sup>47</sup>. 3-Methyl-3-phenylseleno-2,4-pentanedione (19.7 g, 73.2 mmole) was dissolved in 150 ml of dry dicloromethane. The 250 ml 3-necked flask containing the solution was equipped with a stoppered 25 ml pressure equalizing dropping funnel, a submerged gas inlet tube, a gas outlet tube hooked up to an oil bubbler, and a magnetic stirrer. Electrically generated ozone was passed through a gas coil, emersed in dry ice/acetone to remove water, and into the -78°C flask until the dichloromethane turned blue indicating excess ozone. The system was then purged of ozone and air with nitrogen gas for 15 minutes. To this -78°C solution was added dry potassium carbonate (20.0 g, 145 mmole) and freshly distilled The heterogeneous solution was warmed to room cyclopentadiene. temperature and rapidly stirred for 16 h. Water (150 ml) was added and the layers seperated. The aqueous layer was extracted with 75 ml of dichloromethane and the combined organic layers dried using 75 ml of brine and then sodium sulfate. After concentrating the solution at 20 torr, 100 ml of ether were added. The resulting precipitate was removed and the solution concentrated at 20 torr. Distillation of the concentrate gave 9.96 g (55.9 mmole, 74% yield) of pure product. Bp 61.5-63.5°C/0.06 torr.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$ : C 74.13, H 7.92, O 17.95. found: C 74.12, H 7.79, O 18.09.

M/e (calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 178.0980) 178.0993.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1695, C=O stretch

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 6.13 (d of d, J=5.7Hz, 3.1Hz, 1H), 5.97 (d of d, J=5.7Hz, 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (b, 1H), 2.88 (b, 1H), 2.13 (d of d, J=12.3Hz, 2.8Hz, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.92 (d of d, J=12.3Hz, 3.9Hz, 1H), 1.51 (m, 1H), 1.32 (bd, J=8.5Hz, 1H).

# 5.5-Bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene (139)

## Via lithium aluminum hydride reduction

Using a modification of the procedure used by Maier, Roth, and Schmidt<sup>48</sup>, pulverized lithium aluminum hydride (31.5 g, 0.830 mole) and 500 ml absolute ether were heated to a reflux for 30 minutes in a 250 ml 3-necked flask while under a nitrogen atmosphere. After removing the heating mantle, 103 g (0.578 mole) of 5,5-diacetyl-2-norbornene in 100 ml ether was slowly added to the vigorously stirring solution (magnetic stir bar) via a 250 ml dropping funnel at a rate so as to maintain a gentle reflux. Refluxing was continued for another 16 h and then the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C. Distilled water (32 ml), 15% sodium hydroxide (32 ml), and distilled water (96 ml) were added successively so as to destroy excess lithium aluminum hydride. This mixture was stirred for 2 h and then vacuum filtered. The removed solid was washed with hot ether (4 x 500 ml) combined and then concentrated at 20-torr to yield 125 g of crude product. Pure 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene (99.2g, 94.2%), as a mixture of isomers, was obtained by distillation. Bp 100-107°C / 0.1 torr.

Anal. calcd.for  $C_{11}H_{18}O_2$ : C 72.49, H 9.95, O 17.56. found : C 72.44, H 9.97, O 17.59.

M/e (calcd.for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 182.1292) 182.1306.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2969, C-H stretch ; 3290 broad O-H stretch.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 0.30-2.10 (series of multiplets, 10H), 2.40-3.20 (series of broad singlets, 2H), 3.50-4.70 (series of multiplets, 4H), 6.00-6.35 (series of multiplets, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: For 4 diastereomers require 44 lines, found 43 lines; 138.46, 138.25, 138.01, 137.41, 136.64, 136.44, 136.29, 134.52, 78.19, 77.86, 76.37, 76.08, 75.79, 75.42, 71.20, 70.87, 53.45, 53.09, 52.56, 52.36, 48.81, 48.34, 48.30, 48.24, 47.88, 47.73, 47.12, 44.50, 42.88, 42.47, 42.08, 41.95, 38.45, 33.75, 32.92, 30.31, 22.24, 21.73, 21.61, 21.52, 21.12, 19.27, 19.04.

#### 5.5'-Spiro-[2'.2'.4'.6'-tetramethyl-1'.3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (140)

Using a modified procedure of Evans, Parrish, and Long<sup>67</sup> a solution of 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene (99.2 g, 0.544 mole), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (460 ml, 3.74 mole), and 0.60 g of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid dissolved in 500 ml of dichloromethane was stirred in a 2-*I* roundbottom flask for 18 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with 500 ml of 5 % sodium bicarbonate, 250 ml of water, and 250 ml of brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr. Distillation at 0.1 torr using a 6 inch Vigreux column gave 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (113 g, 0.508 mole, 93 %) as a mixture of isomers. Bp 65-83°C / 0.1 torr.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{22}C_2$ : C 75.63, H 9.97, O 14.39. Found : C 75.65, H 9.81, O 14.54.

M / e (calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{22}O_2$ , 222.1604) not found.

M / e (calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 207.1370) 207.1385 – loss of CH<sub>3</sub>• I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2980 C-H stretch; 1377, 1367 H<sub>3</sub>C-C-CH<sub>3</sub> bending; 3060, =C-H stretch. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 6.0- 6.3 (series of multiplets, 2H), {[4.35 (q, J=6.5Hz), 4.14 (q, J=6.5Hz), 4.11 (q, J=6.5Hz), 3.91 (q, J=6.8Hz), 3.76 (q, J=6.5Hz), 3.75 (q, J=6.5Hz), 3.54 (q, J=6.8Hz), 3.51 (q, J=6.9Hz)], 2H}, {[2.98 (bs), 2.87 (bs), 2.79 (bs), 2.73 (bs), 2.34 (bs)], 2H}, 1.0-2.0 (series of multiplets, 16H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>2</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: For 4 diasteriomers expect 56 lines , found 56 lines: 140 07, 138.84, 138.65, 138.24, 136.83, 135.96, 135.54, 134.56, 99.28, 98.69, 98.30, 97.61, 77.81, 76.50, 75.95, 75.30, 73.17, 71.07, 69.86, 66.81, 51.67, 50.95, 50.70, 49.57, 49.02, 48.45, 48.37, 48.07, 47.66, 46.65, 46.29, 46.23, 42.71, 42.27, 41.83, 41.77, 40.79, 32.45, 31.91, 31.86, 31.18, 31.03, 30.91, 26.14, 25.63, 24.68, 23.28, 22.93, 22.15, 21.73, 20.86, 20.67, 19.76, 19.44, 18.50, 18.33.

#### 2.2.4.6-Tetramethyl-5-methylene-1.3-Dioxane (141)

An adaption of the method used by Corey and Suggs<sup>49</sup> was utilized. The pyrolysis vessel consisted of a 50 cm quartz column (2.54 cm i.d.) packed with glass beads that was heated to 440-460°C by a heat tape wrapped around the column. Glass wool was used as an insulator between the tape and an outer pyrex jacket. The temperature was measured by an iron-constantan thermocouple and a potentiometer. A 250 ml pressure equalizing dropping funnel was attached to the top of the column. To the bottom was attached 3 traps hooked in series (cooled to -78°C). While under a pressure of 1.0 torr, 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (113 g, 0.508 mole) was added to the column at a rate of 1 drop/6 sec. After the addition the first trap was warmed to room temperature. Material that did not distill from this trap was re-thermolyzed. With the first trap at room temperature and the other two at -78°C distillation was continued for 3 h. The second and third trap contained 110 g of product and cyclopentadiene. 2,2,4,6-Tetramethyl-1,3-dioxane (68 g, 0.44 mole, 86 %) was isolated as a mixture of two isomers by a spinning band distillation. Bp 56-59°C/19.6 torr. Purity was greater than 98% by GC (6.1 m. OV101 column). *Trans* 52 %. *cis* 48 %.

Anal calcd. for  $C_9H_{16}O_2$ : C 69.19, H 10.32, O 20.48. Found: C 68.91, H 10.16, O 20.93.

M/e (calcd. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 156.1136) 156.1141.

Separation of the cis and trans isomers (>98 %) was achieved using a 230-400 mesh flash silica column impregnated with 17 % silver nitrate. Ethyl acetate-hexane 5 % was used as an eluent.

trans isomer:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ: 4.78 (t, J=2.0Hz, 2H,), 4.46 (t of q, J=6.5Hz, 2.0Hz, 2H), 1.39 (s, 6H), 1.34 (d, J=6.5Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 155.49, 103.93, 100.17,
 66.35, 25.12, 18.77.

*cis* isomer:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ: 4.86 (t, J=2.0Hz, 2H), 4.44 (t of q, J=6.2Hz, 2.0Hz, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.41 (s, 3H), 1.34 (d, J=6.2Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 148.58, 106.31, 98.55,
67.35, 29.93, 19.88, 18.24.

# Diasteriomeric Product Studies in the Reduction of 5.5-Diacetyl-2-Norbornene (133)

### Lithium Aluminum Hydride

See page 103.

#### 1-Equivalent of Red-Al at 0°C

A modification of the procedure of Capka *et.al.* <sup>68</sup> was used for the reduction step. The reaction was done in a 50 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a 25 ml addition funnel while under a slow stream of nitrogen. To 5,5-diacetyl-2-norbornene (1.010 g, 5.67 mmole), dissolved in 5 ml of dry benzene at 0°C, was added dropwise 1.8 ml of 3.4M (6.12 mmole) Red-Al in toluene (with the aid of 5 ml benzene). Upon completion of the Red-Al addition, the flask was immediately warmed to room temperature and stirred for another 6 h. The reaction was quenched using the standard procedure by adding successively 1.6 ml water, 1.6 ml of 15% sodium hydroxide, and 4.8 ml water. After 30 minutes of stirring, magnesium sulfate was added to solidify the resulting gel. The solid was filtered off and washed with 50 ml of hot ether. Crude 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene (0.910 g) was obtained by concentrating the solution at 20 torr and then for 2 h at 0.1 torr.

The crude diol (0.901 g) was protected as an acetal by stirring for 40 h at room temperature in a 25 ml round bottom flask containing 10 ml of dry dichloromethane, 3.0 ml of 2,2-dimethoxypropane (24.7 mmole), and 5 mg of p-toluenesulfonic acid. The acid was then extracted with 10 ml of 5% sodium bicarbonate. After washing the dichloromethane layer with 10 ml of water and 10 ml of brine then drying over magnesium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr. The product 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-

1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (0.535 g, 2.41 mmole, 42.9 % from the dione) was obtained after a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 6:1 hexaneethyl acetate as the eluent. The product gave one spot on TLC and was >95 % pure by <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Using the procedure as described earlier for the synthesis of 2,2,4,6tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane (p 105), 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (0.358 g, 1.73 mmole) was thermolysed at 450°C and 1.0 torr to yield 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane as a mixture of two isomers in 63 % yield (0.171 g, 1.09 mmole). *Trans* 44 %, *cis* 56% by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101).

#### 2-Equivalent of Red-Al at 0°C

Using essentially the same procedure as for 1-equivelent of Red-Al (see above) 3.6 ml of 3.4M Red-Al (11.6 mmole) in toluene was added to 5,5-diacetyl-S-norbornene (1.006 g, 5.64 mmole) in benzene at 0°C. The mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 3.5 h and worked up to yield 0.920 g of crude 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene.

5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (0.672 g, 3.02 mmole, 54.6 % from the dione) was obtained by the reaction of the crude diol (0.902 g) in the presence of 3.0 ml (24 mmole) of 2,2-dimethoxypropane containing 5 mg of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid. After the flash silica column the product 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene gave one spot on TLC and was >95% pure by <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Thermolysis of the acetal (0.522 g, 2.34 mmole) at 450°C and 1.0 torr gave 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane in 57 % yield (0.209 g, 1.34 mmole) as a mixture of two isomers. *Trans* 43 %, *cis* 57 % by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m OV101 column).

#### 1-Equivalent of Red-Al at -78°C

The reduction step was a modification of the procedure used by Capka *et. al.* <sup>68</sup>. The same apparatus as that for previous Red-AI reductions was used with the exception of the reaction flask being cooled to -78°C using a cryogenic bath. Red-AI (3.4 ml of 3.5M in toluene, 11.9 mmole) dissolved in 10 ml of additional toluene was added dropwise to a -78°C solution of 5,5-diacetyl-2-norbornene (2.02 g, 11.4 mmole) in 20 ml of toluene. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at -78°C then stirred overnight for an additional 10 h. During this time the temperature rose to -35°C. The reaction was quenched at 0°C with successive additions of 0.5 ml of water, 0.5 ml of 15 % sodium hydroxide, and 1.5 of ml water. The solution was stirred for 1 hour creating a gel that was solidified by the addition of 3 g of magnesium sulfate. The solid was filtered and washed with 4 x 30 ml of hot THF. Crude 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene (2.094 g) was obtained after concentrating at 20 torr and then 2 h at 0.1 torr.

The crude diol (1.958 g) was stirred for 16 h at room temperature in the presence of 2,2-dimethoxypropane (7.0 ml, 57 mmole) and 10 mg of*p*-toluene-sulfonic acid dissolved in 25 ml of dry dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was then wassed with 2.0 ml of 5 % sodium bicarbonate, 10 ml of water, and 10 ml of brine. The dichloromethane solution was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated at 20 torr. Chromatography over 230-400 mesh silica using 10:1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave 5,5'-spiro-[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (2.080 g, 9.36 mmole, 75.9 % from the dione). The product gave 1 spot on TLC and was >95 % pure by <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR. Thermolysis of the acetal (2.057 g, 9.25 mmole) at 450°C and 1.0 torr using the usual apparatus and procedure (p 105) gave 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane (0.81ô g, 5.24 mmole, 57 %) as a mixture of two isomers. *Trans* 46 %, *cis* 54 % by GC (6.1 m. OV101 column).

#### 2.8-Equivalent of Dibal-H at -78°C

The general procedure of Hayakawa and Noyori<sup>69</sup> was used for the reduction of the diketone. The 250 ml round-bottom flask cooled at dry ice / acetone temperatures was equipped with an oil bubbler and a magnetic stirrer. A slow argon stream was maintained throughout the reaction. To the rapidly stirring 5,5-diacetyl-2-norbornene (1.997 g, 11.2 mmole) in 75 ml of dry THF at -78°C was added 30 ml of Dibal in hexane (28.1 mmole) over a 30 minute period. The mixture was stirred for 7 h. Dibal was destroyed by successive additions of 1.2 ml water (at -78°C) and 1.2 ml of 15 % sodium bicarbonate (at -78°C). The flask was warmed to room temperature and another 3.6 ml of water added. Magnesium sulfate (5 g) was added to solidify the resulting gel. The mixture was filtered and the solid washed with 3 x 50 ml oi hot THF. Removal of the solvent at 20 torr, then 2 h at 0.1 torr gave 2.213 g of crude 5,5-bis-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2-norbornene.

Protection of the diol as an acetal, followed by thermolysis was done as outlined previously. Crude diol (2.11 g) was stirred for 13 h in the presence of 2,2-dimethoxypropane (7.0 ml, 57 mmole) and 10 mg of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid dissolved in 25 ml of dry dichloromethane. The reaction mixture was washed with 25 ml of 5 % of sodium bicarbonate, 10 ml of water, and 15 ml of brine. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated at 20 torr. Chromatography over 230-400 mesh silica using 10:1 hexane-ethyl acetate gave 5,5'-spiro[2',2',4',6'-tetramethyl-1',3'-dioxane]-2-norbornene (1.814 g, 8.16 mmole, 71.6 % from the dione). The product gave 1 spot on TLC and was >95 % pure by  $^{13}$ C and  $^{1}$ H NMR.

Thermolysis of the acetal (1.478 g, 6.65 mmole) at 450°C and 1.0 torr using the usual apparatus and procedure (p 105) gave 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane (0.818 g, 5.24 mmole, 57 %) as a mixture of two isomers. *Trans* 46 %, *cis* 54 % by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column).

#### meso-3-Methylene-2.4-pentanediol (142a)

Using a modification of the procedure of Salomaa and Kankaanperä<sup>50</sup> 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene1,3-dioxane (7.88 g, 50.4 mmole, >98% *meso*) was stirred for 4.5 h at room temperature in a solution of 5 ml of 3N HCl in 50 ml of 95 % ethanol. The acid was neutralized with 0.4 g of sodium bicarbonate. After filtering off the solid, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr, and the residue distilled to yield pure 3-methylene-2,4-pentanedione (5.22 g, 44.9 mmole, 89 %). Bp 72-74°C /0.1torr. The diol was of >98 % *meso* configuration by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_6H_{12}O_2$ : C 62.04, H 10.41, O 27.55. Found : C 61.83, H 10.39, C 27.78.

M/e (calcd. for  $C_6H_{12}O_2$ , 116.0824) none found.

M/e (calcd for  $C_5H_9O_2$ , 101.0590) 101.0603 - loss of  $CH_3$ .

M/e -NH<sub>3</sub> chemical ionization (calcd for  $C_6H_{12}O_2 \cdot NH_4$ +, 134) 134.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3340, O-H stretch ; 1650, C=C stretch ; 1087 C-O stretch.

Elemental analysis, mass spec., and l.r. are from a mixture of *meso* and *rac* diol.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 5.12 (s, 2H), 4.41 (g,  $\zeta = 6.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.03 (s, 2H), 1.37 (d, J=6.5Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 155.24, 109.24, 69.02,
 22.56.

#### rac-3-Methylene-2.4-Pentanediol (142b)

Using a modification of the procedure of Salomaa and Kankaanperä<sup>50</sup> 2,2,4,6-tetramethyl-5-methylene-1,3-dioxane (5.06 g, 32.4 mmole) was stirred for 4 h at room temperature in a solution of 5 ml of 3N HCl in 50 ml of 95 % ethanol. The acid was neutralized with 0.4 g sodium bicarbonate. After filtering off the solid, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr, and the residue distilled to yield pure 3-methylene-2,4-pentanedione (3.01 g, 25.9 mmole, 80.2 %). Bp 53-54°C / 0.15 torr. Mp 40-42°C. The diol was of >98 % *rac* configuration by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 5.08 (s, 2H), 4.50 (q, J=6.4Hz, 2H), 3.06 (b, 2H), 1.36 (d, J=6.4Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 54.10, 110.44, 69.05,
 22.17.

#### meso-3-Methylene-2.4-dichloropentane (143a)

#### Using triphenylphosphine / carbon tetrachloride (65-70°C)

An adaption of the methodology of Hooz<sup>70</sup> was used. The reaction vessel, a 250 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a reflux condenser and thermometer, was kept under an argon atmosphere and heated by an oil bath. *Meso*-3- Methylene-2,4-pentanediol (6.60 g, 56.8 mmole, >98 % *meso*) was dissolved in 50 ml of CCl<sub>4</sub> and 15 ml of THF. Triphenylphosphine (35.8 g, 136 mmole) was added over a 10 minute period. The reaction was maintained between 65-70°C for 100 minutes, during which time a large quantity of white solid formed. After cooling to room temperature the stirring reaction mixture was placed at 50 torr for 1 h to remove solvent. The product *meso* -3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane and isomers (6.09 g, 39.8 mmole, 70.0 %) were obtained by trapping at 0.8 torr and -78°C the remaining volatile portion of reaction mixture. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column) indicated product (including isomers) at >90 % purity. By <sup>1</sup>H NMR *meso* -3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 69 %, *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 10 %, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 21 %.

M / e (calcd. for  $C_6H_{10}^{35}Cl_2$ , 1/52.0157) 152.0161.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.90 (q, J=6.5Hz, 2H), 1.73 (d, J=6.5Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 152.62, 115.19, 56.42,
 25.00.

#### Using hexamethylphosphorus triamide and trichlorofluoromethane

Using an adaption of the method suggested by  $Hooz^{71}$  meso -3methylene-2,4-pentanediol (2.78 g, 23.9 mmole) was dissolved in a 250 ml 3-necked flask containing 130 ml of dry trichlorofluoromethane and 50 ml of dry ether, and equipped with a reflux condenser, . With the flask under an argon atmosphere and at 0°C hexamethylphosphorus triamide (8.6 g, 52.7) dissolved in 25 ml ether was added via a dropping funnel over a 15 minute period. Stirring for 15 minutes more at 0°C resulted in a white precipitate. The reaction was warmed to room temperature for 2 h and then stored at -30°C overnight. Following removal of this solid by filtration and washing it with 50 ml of ether, the majority of the solvent was removed at 130 torr using a spinning band column. The distillation residue was flash distilled at <0.1 torr using a series of progressively colder U-tube traps (-25°C, -60°C, -80°C, -195°C). Trap 1 (-25°C) contained product (1.305 g, 8.64 mmole, 36.1 %) as a mixture of isomers. Purity >90 % by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. *meso*-3-Methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 80 %, *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 18 %, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 2 % by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

# cis-5.7-Dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1.2]diazolidino[1.2-a][1.2.4]triazolidine-1.3-dione (144a)

#### From meso-3-Methylene-2.4-dichloropentane (143a)

An adaption of the method of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere, sodium hydride (0.346 g of 60 % in oil, 8.64 mmole) was added slowly to a 0°C 50 ml 3-necked flask containing 4-phenylurazole (1.68 g, 9.49 mmole) dissolved in 30 ml of dry HMPA and 30 ml of dry THF. The flask was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes untill no hydrogen gas was evolved. To this solution was added meso-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane (1.305 g, > 90 % pure, max. of 8.64 mmole) in 20 ml dry of THF from the above reaction. After stirring for 24 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (0.346 g of 60 % in oil, 8.64 mmole) was added. Stirring was continued for 70 h at room temperature after which water (50 ml) was slowly added to guench the reaction. The water was extracted with 6 x 50 ml of dichloromethane. After drying the combined extracts over sodium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr. Purification on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 2:1 hexane-ethyl acetate as an eluent gave a mixture of triazolinediones (1.20 g, 4.67 mmole, min. 55 %). cis-5,7-Dimethyl-6methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione

63 %. *trans*-5,7-Dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*]-[1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 12 %. E-5-Methyl-6-ethylidene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 25 %.by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

## Directly from meso-3-methylene-2.4-pentanediol (142a) in situ

In a one pot reaction, meso-3-methylene-2,4-pentanediol was converted to the dichloride using an adaption of the method suggested by Hooz<sup>71</sup> and then to the *cis* -triazolinedione using an adaption of the process used by Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup>. *Meso* -3-methylene-2,4-pentanediol (300 mg, 2.58 mmole >98 % meso) was dissolved in 20 ml dry trichlorofluoromethane and 10 ml absolute ether contained in a 100 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a reflux condenser and under argon, containing. After cooling the solution to -78°C hexamethylphosphorus triamide (1.09 g, 6.68 mmole) was added to the mixture. The flask was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h, then stored at -35°C for 12 h. Freon and ether where removed from the dichloride by a flash distillation at 100 torr without warming. In a separate flask (under argon) sodium hydride (103 mg of 60 % in oil, 2.58 mmole) was added to a 0°C solution of 4-phenylurazole (0.458 g, 2.59 mmole) in 10 ml of dry THF and 5 ml of dry HMPA. This solution was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature then added to the room temperature dichloride solution with a double ended needle. After 12 h of stirring the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (103 mg of 60 %in oil, 2.58 mmole) added. Stirring was continued for 48 h at room temperature. Water (25 ml) was carefully added to the 0°C mixture and the product extracted with 5 x 25 ml of dichloromethane. The solution was concentrated at 20 torr then run on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 2:1 hexane/ethyl acetate as an eluent. Product triazolinedione (114 mg, 0.443 mmole 17.5 %) was obtained as a mixture of isomers : *cis* -5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 54 %, *trans* -5,7dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3dione 14 %, E-5-methyl-6-ethylidene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 32 %.by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

#### rac -3-Methylene-2.4-Dichloropentane (143b)

#### Using Tributylphosphine and Carbon Tetrachloride

The procedure used was a modification of the method used by  $Hooz^{70,71}$ . To *rac*-3- Methylene-2,4-pentanediol (0.201 g, 1.72 mmole, >98 % trans) dissolved in 3 ml of CCl<sub>4</sub> and 1 ml of THF (0°C) was added tributylphosphine (5.4 g, 20.6 mmole) over a 10 minute period. The exothermic reaction was maintained at 0°C for 0.5 h then warmed to room temperature. Stirring was continued for 40 h. Products and solvent were flash distilled at 0.2 torr and trapped at -78°C. Evaporation of the solvent at 50 torr gave *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane and isomers (0.11 g, 0.72 mmole, 42 %) <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column) indicated product (including isomers) to be >90 % pure. By <sup>1</sup>H NMR *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 61 %, *meso*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 14-%, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 25 %.

M/e (calcd. for  $C_6H_{10}^{35}Cl_2$ , 152.0157) 152.0163.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ : 5.40 (s, 2H), 4.82 (q, J=6.5Hz, 2H), 1.72-(d, J=6.5Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 150.84, 113.15, 55.94,
 23.08.

## Using triphenylphosphine / carbon tetrachloride (40°C)

An adaption of the methodology of Hooz<sup>70</sup> was used. The reaction vessel, a 50 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a reflux condenser and thermometer, was kept under an argon atmosphere and heated by an oil bath. *rac*-3-Methylene-2,4-pentanediol (1.0 g, 8.60 mmole, >98 % *rac*) was dissolved in 10 ml CCl<sub>4</sub> and 3 ml THF. Triphenylphosphine (5.4 g, 20.6 mmole) was added over a 10 minute period. The exothermic reaction was maintained at 40°C for 7 h, during which time a large quantity of white solid formed. After cooling to room temperature the stirring reaction mixture was placed at 0.1 torr. Products and solvent were trapped at -78°C. Evaporation of the solvent at 50 torr gave *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane and isomers (0.82 g, 5.3 mmole, 62 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column) indicated the product (including isomers) to be >90 % pure. By <sup>1</sup>H NMR *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 89 %, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 11%.

#### Using triphenylphosphine / carbon tetrachloride (60°C)

Using essentially the same procedure as above, *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-pentanediol (1.91 g, 16.42 mmole, >98 % *rac*) was dissolved in 20 ml CCl<sub>4</sub> and 6 ml THF. Triphenylphosphine (9.47 g, 36.12 mmole) was added over a 10 minute period. The exothermic reaction was maintained at 60°C for 3.5 h, during which time a large quantity of white solid formed. After cooling to room temperature the stirring reaction mixture was placed at 0.1 torr. Products and solvent were trapped at -78°C. Evaporation of the solvent at 50 torr gave *rac* -3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane and isomers (1.38 g, 9.02 mmole, 52 %) <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column) indicated product (including isomers) at >90 % purity. By <sup>1</sup>H NMR *rac*-3methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 79 %, *meso*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 12-%, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 9 %.

#### Using triphenylphosphine / carbon tetrachloride (25°C)

Using essentially the same procedure as above, *rac*-3- methylene-2,4-pentanediol (1.0 g, 8.60 mmole, >98 % *rac*) was dissolved in 10 ml CCl<sub>4</sub> and 3 ml THF. Triphenylphosphine (5.4 g, 20.6 mmole) was added over a 10 minute period. The exothermic reaction was maintained at room temperature for 24 h, during which time a large quantity of white solid formed. Products and solvent were flash distilled at 0.1 torr and trapped at -78°C. Evaporation of the solvent at 50 torr gave *rac*-3-methylene-2,4dichloropentane and isomers (0.76 g, 5.0 mmole, 58 %) <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column) indicated product (including isomers) at >90 % purity. By <sup>1</sup>HMR *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 85 %, *meso*-3methylene-2,4-dichloropentane 6-%, E-2-ethylidene-1,3-dichlorobutane 9 %.

# triazolidine-1.3-dione (144b)

#### From rac-3-methylene-2.4-dichloropentane (143b)

An adaption of the method of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere, sodium hydride (26.1 mg of 60 % in oil, 0.653 mmole) was added slowly to a 0°C 50 ml 3-necked flask containing 4phenylurazole (127 mg, 0.719 mmole) dissolved in 6 ml of dry HMPA and 2 ml dry of THF. The flask was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes till no hydrogen gas was evolved. To this solution was added *rac*-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane (100 mg, > 90 % pure, max. of 0.653 mmole) in 2 ml dry THF from the above reaction. After stirring for 24 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (26.1 mg of 60 % in oil, 0.653 mmole) was added. Stirring was continued for 70 h at room temperature upon which water (20 ml) was slowly added to quench the reaction. The water was extracted with 6 x 20 ml of dichloromethane. After the combined extracts were dryed over sodium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr. Purification on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 2:1 hexane-ethyl acetate as an eluent gave a mixture of triazolinediones (84.1 mg, 0.327 mmole, min. 50 %) : *trans* -5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 8 %, E-5-methyl-6-ethylidene-2-phenyl[1,2]-diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione 31 %.by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

#### Directly from rac-3-methylene-2.4-pentanediol (142b) in situ

In a one pot procedure *trans* -3-methylene-2,4-pentanediol was converted to the dichloride using an adaption of the methodology of Hooz<sup>70,71</sup> and then to the *trans*-triazolinedione using an adaption of the process used by Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup>. *rac*-3-Methylene-2,4pentanediol (500 mg, 4.30 mmole >98 % *rac*) was dissolved in 100 ml 3-necked flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and under argon, containing 10 ml of dry trichlorofluoromethane and 10 ml of absolute ether. Hexamethylphosphorus triamide (1.55 g, 9.47 mmole) in 10 ml ether was added to the solution at room temperature and then stirred for 2 h. The solvent and products were removed from the non-volatile material by tash distilling at 0.1 torr. Freon and ether where removed from the dichloride by flash distillation at 100 torr while the solution was being cooled by the heat

of evaporation. In a separate flask (under argon) sodium hydride (160 mg of 60 % in oil, 4.00 mmole) was added to a 0°C solution of 4-phenylurazole (0.710 g, 4.00 mmole) in 10 ml of dry THF and 10 ml of dry HMPA. This solution was stirred for 30 minutes then added via a double ended needle to the room temperature dichloride solution. After 24 h of stirring the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (160 mg of 60% in oil, 4.00 mmole) added. Stirring was continued for 48 h at room temperature. Water (100 ml) was carefully added to the 0°C mixture and the product extracted with 6 x 25 ml of dichloromethane. The solution was concentrated at 20 torr then run on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 2:1 hexane-ethyl acetate as an eluent. Product triazolinediope (532 mg, 2.07 mmole, 48 %) was obtained as a mixture of isomers : *Pans*-5,7dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3dione 70 %, cis-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-8%. E-5-methyl-6-ethylidene-2a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3dione 22% by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

#### Separation of triazolinedione isomers

The diasteriomers *trans*-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione, *cis*-5,7-dimethyl-6methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione, and E-5-methyl-6-ethylidenepyrazolidino[*d*]-2-phenyl-[2,4,8]triazolidine-3,5dione were seperated on a 10  $\mu$  25 cm x 21.1 mm i.d.chiral dinitrophenylglycine HPLC column (supplied by Regis Chemical Company, IL, USA). A 35 % isopropanol/hexane solution was used as an eluent. Under these conditions the elution order was *cis*, *trans*, then E-5-methyl-6ethylidenepyrazolidino[*d*]-2-phenyl-[2,4,8]triazolidine-3,5-dione. Large overlap of peaks occurred on one pass through the column; therefore, recycling of the material and cutting of leading and trailing peaks was required.

*cis* **-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl**[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione :

Mp. 146-146.5°C

Anal. calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C 65.36, H 5.88, N 16.33, O 12.44. found: C 65.25, H 5.92, N 16.17, O 12.66.

M/e ( calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{15}N_3O_2$ , 257.1150 ) 257.1160.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1697.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ : 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 1H), 5.23 (t, J=2.4Hz, 2H), 4.60 (t of d, J=6.5Hz, 2.4Hz, 2H), 1.63 (d, 6.5Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 152.59, 152.37, 131.84,
129.11, 128.01, 125.50, 109.31, 56.32, 20.43.

trans-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a]-

[1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione :

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{15}N_3O_2$ : C 65.36, H 5.88, N 16.33, O 12.44. Found : C 65.48, H 5.72, N 16.43, O 12.37.

M/e ( calcd. for  $C_{14}H_{15}N_3O_2$ , 257.1150 ) 257.1164.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1697.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ: 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.35 (m, 1H), 5.24 (t, J=2.2Hz, 2H), 4.70 (t of d, J=2.2Hz, 6.8Hz, 2H), 1.61 (d, J=6.8Hz, 6H)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 152.51, 150.19, 131.88, 129.04, 127.90, 125.55, 109.20, 55.38, 18.98.

Separation of *trans*-5,7-dimethyl-6-methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-*a*][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione into its two enantiomers was accomplished using the chiral diphenylglycine HPLC column under the same conditions as reported in the preceding diasteriomeric separation.

#### cis -4-Methylene-3.5-dimethyloyrazolidine hydrochioride (145a)

The procedure used was an adaption of that used by Crawford and Tokunaga<sup>29</sup>. A typical reaction was as follows. To a 50 ml flask equipped with a reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer and under a slow stream of argon, containing 5 ml of *n*-propanol was added *cis*-5,7-dimethyl-6methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione (200 mg, 0.777 mmole, > 98 % cis), EDTA (10 mg), and tetrabutylammonium iodide (10 mg). After refluxing this mixture for 15 minutes, potassium hydroxide (1.6 g) dissolved in 5 ml water was added. Refluxing was continued for 20 h. The cooled reaction mixture was transferred under argon to an argon flushed continuous extractor. After extracting for 3 h with ether, the ether was cooled to 0°C and excess hydrochloric acid (2.5 ml, 1 M, 2.5 mmole) was slowly added while stirring rapidly. The ether was removed at 20 torr and then at 0.1 torr for 24 h over phosphorus pentoxide to give a mixture of *cis*-4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazolidine hydrochloride (>98 % cis) and aniline hydrochloride (224 mg, 45 mcle % pyrazoline hydrochloride by <sup>1</sup>H NMR). The maximum possible yield is 216 mg; therefore the sample may contain some potassium chloride.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ: 5.19 (t, J=2.3Hz, 2H), 4.14 (t of q, J=2.3Hz, 6.8Hz, 2H), 1.40 (d, J=6.8Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100.6 MHz) δ: 153.20, 108.15, 59.78,
 17.70.

# trans -4-Methylene-3.5-dimethylpyrazolidine hydrochloride (145b)

The procedure used is an adaption of that used by Crawford and Tokunaga<sup>29</sup>. A typical reaction was as follows. To a 50 ml flask equipped with a reflux condenser and magnetic stirrer and under a slow stream of argon, containing 5 ml of n-propanol was added trans-5,7-dimethyl-6methylene-2-phenyl[1,2]diazolidino[1,2-a][1,2,4]triazolidine-1,3-dione (130 mg, 0.505 mmole, > 98 % trans), EDTA (10 mg), and tetrabutylammonium iodide (10 mg). After refluxing this mixture for 15 minutes, potassium hydroxide (1.6 g) dissolved in 5 ml of water was added. Refluxing was continued f The cooled reaction mixture was transferred under argon to an eas and continuous extractor. After extracting for 3 h with ether, the ether v — cooled to 0°C and excess hydrochloric acid (2 ml conc. HCl) was slowly added while stirring rapidly. The ether was removed at 20 torr and then at 0.1 torr for 24 h over phosphorus pentoxide to give a mixture of trans-4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazolidine hydrochloride (>98 % trans) and aniline hydrochloride (167 mg, 45 % pyrazolidine hydrochloride by <sup>1</sup>H NMR). The maximum possible yield is 141 mg ; therefore the sample may have contained some potassium chloride.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ: 5.20 (t, J=2.4Hz, 2H), 4.10 (t of q, J=6.6Hz, 2.4Hz, 2H)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100.6 MHz) δ: 152.89, 107.91, 60.02 17.01.

#### cis -4-Methylene-3.5-dimethylpyrazoline (125)

An adaption of procedure of Crawford and Tokunaga<sup>29</sup> was used. In a typical reaction a mixture of *cis*-4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazolidine

hydrochloride (>98 % *cis*) and aniline hydrochloride (24 mg, 45 mole % pyrazolidine hydrochloride) was added to well stirred solution of red mercuric oxide (300 mg), sodium sulphate (300 mg), and anhydrous potassium carbonate (300 mg) in 15 ml of trichlorofluoromethane at 5°C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 36 h at 5°C, then filtered and the solid washed with 3 x 5 ml of trichlorofluoromethane. Aniline was removed by washing (at 5°C) with 5 x 2 ml of 0.1 M hydrochloric acid, 2 x 2 ml 5% sodium bicarbonate, 2 ml of water, and 2 ml of brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate, the solvent was removed by flash distillation using a series of cold traps (-25°C, -60°C, -80°C, -195°C) to selectively trap the product *cis* -4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazoline (4.1 mg, 0.037 mmole, 53 %, >98 % *cis*). The product contained <5 % of trichlorofluoromethane.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (benzene d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$ : 4.61 (t, J=2.6Hz, 2H), 4.35 (t of q, J=7.2Hz, 2.6Hz, 2H), 1.25 (d, J=7.2Hz, 6H)

 $N_1/e$  (calcd. for  $C_6H_{10}N_2$ , 110.0841 ) 110.0843.

#### trans -4-Methylene-3.5-dimethylpyrazoline (126)

A modification of the methodology of Barton, Lester, and Ley<sup>54</sup> was used. The following was a typical reaction. DABCO was added to a mixture of *trans* -4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazolidine hydrochloride (180 mg), and aniline hydrochloride dissolved in 10 ml of dichloromethane. The mixture was stirred under argon for 10 minutes. Benzeneseleninic anhydride was added and the mixture stirred for 7 minutes. The product was flash distilled at 0.1 torr into a dry ice / acetone trap. Removal of DABCO was achieved by washing the dichloromethane with 4 x 2 ml of hydrochloric acid, 2 x 2 ml of sodium bicarbonate, 1 ml of water, and 1ml of brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate, the solvent was removed by flash distillation using a series of cold traps (-25°C, -60°C, -80°C, -195°C) to selectively trap the product *cis* -4-methylene-3,5-dimethylpyrazoline (4.1 mg, 0.037 mmole, 53 %, >98 % *cis*). The product contained <5 % trichlorofluoromethane.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ: 4.99 (t, J=2.4Hz, 2H), 4.91 (t of q, J=7.4Hz, 2.4Hz, 2H), 1.39 (d, J=7.4Hz, 6H)

M/e (calcd. for  $C_6H_{10}N_2$ , 110.0841 ) 110.0839.

#### <u>Thermolysis of 3.5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolines (125.126)</u>

Diasteriomeric purity of the 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolines (dissolved in heptane) were determined with baseline resolution on a 12 m x 0.20 mm i.d. methyl silicone fused silica capillary column: Column, 35°C. Injector, 130°C. FID, 350°C. Split ratio, ~50:1. Sample size, 1  $\mu$ l. The helium carrier flow rate was maintained so that methane travelled at a linear velocity of 20 cm/minute. Retention times were (minutes): *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline **126** – 3.02, *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline **126** – 3.14, E-3-methyl-4-ethylidenepyrazoline **99** - 4.14.(synthesized by the procedure of Crawford *et. al.*<sup>65</sup>). Low resolution GC-MS indicated all 3 peaks had a molecular weight of 110.

Thermolysis of *cis*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline **125** and racemic or optically active *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline **126** produced 4 alkylidene cyclopropanes: *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylene-cyclopropane **131**, *cis*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane **132**, E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane **133**, and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane **134**.

Thermolysis of the 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolines was conducted in breakseals of approximately 16 ml. Initial pressures at the reaction temperature of 170.0  $\pm$  0.1°C were maintained at approximately 0.8-1.0 atmosphere. For the diasteriomeric product studies approximately 4 mg of sample were diluted with 250  $\mu$ I of HPLC grade heptane (containing ~ 1 mg of deuterated benzene as an internal standard). This solution was divided into 5 samples that were vapor transferred into breakseals and then simultaneously thermolysed for a selected time period. After thermolysis the samples were quickly cooled in an ice-water bath and then vapor transferred into traps.

The diasteriomeric product study samples were directly analyzed with baseline resolution on the above column with the oven at -20°C.. All other conditions were identical. Retention times were (minutes): *trans*-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane **131** – (3.00), *cis*-2,3-dimethylmethylene-cyclopropane **132** – (4.37), Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane **134** – (5.01), and E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane **133** – (5.19). The identity of the alkylidenecyclopropanes was established by comparing retention times with authentic samples, by simultaneously injecting reaction and authentic samples, and by comparing the<sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of a separate sample against the literature<sup>72</sup>.

Samples for the thermolysis of chiral *trans*-3,5-dimethyl-4 methylenepyrazoline **126** were similarly handled except that approximately 45 mg of non-diluted sample were transferred into the breakseals. Each sample was thermolysed for 15.0 minutes at 170.0  $\pm$  0.1°C. At 100 % reaction the maximum pressure reached would have been ~2.0 atmospheres.

The trapped alkylidenecyclopropanes (from its chiral precursor) were dissolved in 200  $\mu$ l of CCl<sub>4.</sub> Preparative separation of the alkylidenecyclopropanes was achieved on a 18.3 meter x 6.4 millimeter aluminum column packed with 20 % dimethylsulfolane on Chromosorb Paw. Column, 30°C., Injector, 150°C., TCD, 100°C., Sample size, 50  $\mu$ l.,

Helium flow rate, 30 ml/minute. Retention times were (minutes): trans-2,3dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 131 –75, cis-2,3-dimethylmethylenecyclopropane 132 – (121), E-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 133 – (131), and Z-2-methylethylidenecyclopropane 134 – (137).

Table 13 Percentage of isomeric products from the thermolycia of pyrazolines 125 and 126 at 170.0°C (0.8-1.0 atmospheres)

		Product Composition (%)			
Reactant	Time (minutes)	131	132	133	134
H, H CH <sub>3</sub> H, CH <sub>3</sub>	40.0	18.0 ± 0.3	37.7 ± 0.3	35.8 ± 0.2	8.5 ± 0.3
	20.0	17.1 ± 0.2	39.8 ± 0.5	34.8 ± 0.5	8.3 ± 0.3
125	10.0	16.2 ± 0.3	41.5 ± 0.1	34.3 ± 0.3	8.0 ± 0.2
	5.0	16.0 ± 0.2	42.3 ± 0.4	33.6 ± 0.2	<u>8.1 ± 0.5</u>
H, CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N=N 126	40.0	16.4 ± 0.3	10.4 ±0.9	60.4 ± 0.9	12.8 ± 0.5
	20.0	16.1 ± 0.6	11.1 ± 1.0	60.1 ± 1.0	12.7 ± 0.8
120	10.0	16.0 ± 0.5	11.7 ± 0.6	59.3 ± 0.8	13.0 ± 1.0
	5.0	<u>16.0 ± 0.9</u>	<u>11.5 ± 1.2</u>	60.0 ± 1.2	13.1 ± 1.2

<sup>a</sup> Zero time value obtained by extrapolation.

<sup>b</sup> Equilibrium value, see reference 58.

#### 127

λ (nm)	131	133	134
	3.12 mg <sup>b</sup>	6.92 mg <sup>c</sup>	3.25 mg <sup>d</sup>
589	+0.001	-0.001	0.000
578	+0.001	-0.002	-0.001
546	+0.002	-0.001	0.000
436	+0.002	-0.003	-0.001
365	+0.004	-0.003	+0.002

Table 14 Optical rotation of MCP derived from the thermolysis of optically active trans-3,5-
dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 126ª (15.0 min. at 170°C)

a >95% pure as a mixture of *trans* and *cis* isomer. 4.1% *cis*. 93.4% ee.
b >98% isomerically pure. <5% other materials.</li>
c >98% isomerically pure. <5% other materials.</li>
d >98% isomerically pure. <10% other materials.</li>

- Sance	Pyrazoline C	-	
Time (minutes)	% 125	% 126	Σcts pyr/cts benzene-d <sub>6</sub>
40.0	>97.0		
2@.0	97.4 ± 0.6	$2.6\pm0.6$	<b>2.4</b> ± 0.1
10.0	97.7 ± 0.6	$2.3 \pm 0.6$	$6.3 \pm 0.6$
5.0	98.5 ±0.3	1.5 ± 0.3	11.6 ± 0.2
2.6	98.3 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.2	14.4 ± 0.2
0p	98.0 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.1	

Table 15 Kinetic study of cis-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 125 at 170°C

<sup>a</sup> No evidence of ethylidenecyclopropanes 99 and 108 found.
 <sup>b</sup> Original 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline composition prior to thermolysis.

	Pyrazoline Composition <sup>a</sup>			
Time (minutes)	% 125 <sup>a</sup>	% 126	∑ctspyr/ctsbenzene d <sub>6</sub>	
40.0		>99.8		
20.0				
10.0		•	0.047 ± 0.002	
5.0		•	$0.090 \pm 0.004$	
2.0		•	0.125 ± 0.005	
0b		>99.8		

Table 16 Kinetic study of trans-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylene-1-pyrazoline 126 at 170°C

a No evidence of the *cis* pyrazoline 125 or ethylidinecyclopropanes 99 and 108 found.
 b Original 3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazoline composition prior to thermolysis

#### Phenyl hydrazodicarboxylate

A modification of the method of Rabjohn<sup>73</sup> was used. Into a 500 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a 2-150 ml dropping funnels, a mechanical stirrer, and a thermometer was placed a solution of hydrazine monohydrate (10.8 g, 0.43 mole) in 100 ml of 95 % ethanol. After cooling to 10°C phenylchloroformate (67.3 g, 0.216 mole) was slowly added to keep the temperature  $\leq 20$ °C. When half the chloroformate was added, sodium carbonate (22.8 g, 0.215 mole) dissolved in 100 ml of water was added simultaneously at a rate such that there was always an excess of formate over base. When all the reagents where added precipitate was washed off the walls with 40 ml of water. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature , then the precipitate was collected through filtration. Recrystallization of solid from hot ethanol and drying at 0.1 torr for 24 h gave white phenyl hydrazodicarboxylate crystals (52.4 g, 0.192 mole, 90 %). Mp 156.5-157.5.
Anal. calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C 61.71, H 4.44, N 10.29, O 23.51. found : C 61.59, H 4.49, N 10.25, O 23.67.

M/e ( calcol. for C14H12N2O2, 272.0773 ) 272.0798.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3545, 3460, 3220, N-H stretch ; 1745, 1722, C=O stretch ; 1493, N-H bend.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ: 7.42 (t, J=7.9Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, J=7.2Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J=7.2Hz, 2H), 9.98 (s, 2H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 75.5 MHz) δ: 159.93, 155.71, 134.68,
 130.67, 126.64.

## Attempted synthesis of 1.2-dicarphenoxy-3.5-dimethyl-4-

## methylenepyrazolidine (151)

An adaption of the method of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere, sodium hydride (25 mg of 60 % in oil, 0.688 mmole, washed with 2 x 0.5 ml ether) with the aid of 3 ml ether, was added slowly to a 0°C 50 ml 3-necked flask containing phenylhydrazodicarboxylate (190 mg, 0.696 mmole) dissolved in 4 ml DME. The flask was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes until no hydrogen gas was evolved. To this solution (cooled to 0°C) was added *rac*-3methylene-2,4-dichloropentane (106 mg, > 90 % pure, max. of 0.691 mmole) in 2 ml dry of DME from the above reaction. After stirring for 21 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was cocled to 0°C and sodium hydride (25 mg of 60 % in oil, 0.688 mmole) was added. Stirring was continued for 36 h at room temperature upon which water (20 ml) was slowly added to quench the reaction. The water was extracted with 6 x 20 ml of dichloromethane. After the combined extracts were dryed over sodium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 50 torr. The concentrate contained unreacted dichloride and phenol as analyzed by TLC, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and GC (6.1 m. OV101 column).

# Benzyl hydrazodicarboxylate

A modification of the method of Rabjohn<sup>73</sup> was used. Into a 500 ml 3-necked flask equipped with a 2-150 ml dropping funnels, a mechanical stirrer, and a thermometer was placed a solution of hydrazine monohydrate (14.7 g, 0..294 mole) in 150 ml of 95 % ethanol. After cooling to 10°C benzylchloroformate (105 g, 0.615 mole) was slowly added to keep the temperature  $\leq 20$ °C. When half the chloroformate was added, sodium carbonate (32 g, 0..302 mole) dissolved in 150 ml of water was added simultaneously at a rate such that there was always an excess of formate over base. When all the reagents were added, the precipitate was washed from the walls with 60 ml of water. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature , after which the precipitate was collected through filtration. Recrystallization of solid from hot ethanol and drying at 0.1 torr for 24 h gave white benzylhydrazodicarboxylate crystals (82.2 g, 0.273 mole, 93 %). Mp 106-107°C.

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{16}H_{16}O_4N_2$ : C 63.99 ; H 5.37, N 9.33, O 21.31. found : C 64.14, H 5.16, N 9.56, O 21.14.

M/e ( calcd. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>, 300.1085 ) 300.1107.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3380, 3310, N-H stretch ; 1767, 1705, C=O stretch ; 1505, N-H bend.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 7.33 ( s, 10H ), 6.66 ( s, 2H ), 5.14 ( s, 4H )

# 1.2-Dicarbenzoxy-3.5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolidene (150)

An adaption of the method of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere, sodium hydride (18.0 mg of 66 % in oil, 0.496 mmole) was added slowly to a 10°C 50 ml 3-necked flask containing benzyl hydrazodicarboxylate (149 mg, 0.496 mmole) dissolved in 2 ml of dry HMPA. The flask was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes untill no hydrogen gas was evolved. To this solution was added rac-3-methylene-2,4-dichloropentane (75.9 mg, >98 % rac, > 90 % pure, max. of 0.496 mmole) in 2 ml of dry THF. After stirring for 72 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (18.0 mg of 66 % in oil, 0.496 mmole) was added. Stirring was continued for 72 h at room temperature upon which water (5ml) was slowly added to quench the reaction. The water was extracted with 3 x 10 ml of pentane. After the combined extracts were dryed over sodium sulfate, the solution was concentrated at 20 torr. Purification on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column using 3.5:1 hexane-ethyl acetate as an eluent gave a mixture of pyrazolines (58.9 mg, 0.155 mmole, min. 31 %): trans-1,2-dicarbenzoxy-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolidine 32 %, cis-1,2-dicarbenzoxy-3,5-dimethyl-4-E-1,2-dicarbbenzoxy-3-methyl-4methylenepyrazolidine 8%. ethylidenepyrazolidine 60 % by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

trans-1,2-Dicarbenzoxy-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolidine :

Anal. calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_4$ : C 69.46, H 6.36, N 7.36, O 16.82. found : C 69.35, H 6.29, N 7.21, O 17.15.

M/e ( calcd. for  $C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_4,\, 380.1709$  ) 380.1732.

I. r. (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 1707, C=O stretch ; 1670, C=C stretch.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 5.01 (t, J=1.9Hz, 2H), 4.83 (q of t, J=6.8Hz, 1.9Hz, 2H), 1.28 (d, J=6.8Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75.5 MiHz): 156.88, 153.27, 136.12, 128.47, 127.86, 127.69, 106.00, 67.85, 58.58, 20.61.

Cis -1,2-Dicarbenzoxy-3,5-dimethyl-4-methylenepyrazolidine :

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 4.98 (t, J=1.9Hz, 2H), 4.50 (q of t (b), 2H), 1.52 (d, J=6.8Hz, 6H).

E-1,2-Dicarbenzoxy-3-methyl-4-ethylidenepyrazolidine :

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 5.36 (m, 1H), (4.5-4.76 multiplets, 2H), 3.8-4.0 (m, 1H), 1.62 (m, 3H), 1.20 (d, J=6.8Hz, 3H)

# Phenylthiomethyl Chloride

Using the procedure of Trost and Kunz<sup>74</sup> sulfuryl chloride (25.0 ml, 42.0 g, 0.311 mole) in 75 ml of dichloromethane was slowly added to a refluxing solution of thioanisole (36.0 ml, 38.1 g, 0.307 mole) dissolved in 250 ml of dichloromethane. After treating at reflux for another 2 h, the mixture was concentrated at 20 torr and then distilled using a 6 inch Vigreux column to give phenylthiomethyl chloride (44.2 g, 0.279 mole, 91 %). Bp 116-117 / 20 torr (lit bp 66°C / 0.2 torr, 103-104 / 12 torr<sup>17</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 80 MHz) δ: 7.21 (m, 5H), 4.98 (s, 2H).

## <u>3-Phenylsulfinylmethyl-2,4-pentanedione (158)</u>

An adaption of the methodology of Paterson and Fleming was used<sup>55</sup>. To the silyl enol ether of acetylacetone **157** (1.04 g, 6.06 mmole), dissolved in 20 ml of dry dichloromethane and under an argon atmosphere, was added slowly phenylthiomethyl chloride (1.04 g, 6.56 mmole) in 10 ml of dry dichloromethane. After 19 h of stirring water (20 ml) was added to

quench the reaction. The aqueous layer was extracted with 3 x 30 ml of dichloromethane, and the combined organic layers washed with 20 ml brine. Drying over magnesium sulphate and then concentrating at 20 torr gave mainly acetylacetone. Chromatography using 230-400 mesh silica gel and 2:1 petroleum ether (30-60°C)-ether as an eluent indicated <10 % of a mixture of 3-phenylsulfinylmethyl-2,4-pentanedione **158** and the O-alkylated phenylsulfinylmethyl enol ether of acetylacetone **159**.

3-Phenylsulfinylmethyl-2,4-pentanedione :

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 MHz) δ: 7.4 (m, 5H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 2.15 (s, 6H).

M/e (calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>, 222.0701) 222.0707.

Phenylsulfinylmethyl enol ether of acetylacetone :

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 7.38 (m, 5H), 5.50 (s, 1H), 5.20 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H).

I. r. ( $cm^{-1}$ ): no broad O-H stretch at >3200.

## Attempted synthesis of 3-methylene-2,4-dibromopentane (162)

Under an argon atmosphere *n*-butyl lithium (6.0 ml, 1.36 M in hexane, 8.16 mmole) was slowly added to a 0°C heterogeneous mixture of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (2.81 g, 8.20 mmole) in 25 ml of THF. After stirring for 30 minutes, 2,4-dibromo-3-pertensive (2.00 g, 8.21 mmole) in 5 ml of THF was slowly added (to 0°C solution). A large amount of white precipitate formed immediately. The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes at 0°C, then for 2 h at room temperature. The solid was removed by filtration and identified as methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide. Concentration of the solvent at 50 torr gave unreacted 2,4-dibromo-3-pentanone.

# Attempted synthesis of 1.2-dicarbethoxy-3.5-dimethyl-4-pyrazolidone (163)

An adaption of the procedure of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere, sodium hydride (0.150 g, 66 % in oil, 413 mmole) was added to a 0°C solution of 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine (1.05 g, 4.10 mmole) in 20 ml dry DME. After no more gas was liberated through an attached oil bubbler, the mixture was warmed to room temperature. During this time a large amount of precipitate appeared. 2,4-Dibromo-3-pentanone (0.982 g, 4.04 mmole) dissolved in 5 ml of DME was added and stirring continued for 20 h. The temperature was reduced to 0°C and sodium hydride (0.150 g, 66 % in oil, 413 mmole) was added. After stirring for a further 24 h at room temperature, water (20 ml) was introduced resulting in all precipitate dissolving. The water was extracted with 3 x 20 ml of ether and the combined ether layers washed with 20 ml brine. Drying over sodium sulfate and concentration at 20 torr gave unreacted 1,2dicarbethoxyhydrazine and 2,4-dibromo-3-pentanone, as well as a small amount of unidentified material which stuck to a silica gel column (3:1 hexane/ethyl acetate as eluent).

# Attempted synthesis of 3.5-dimethyl-4-pyrazolidone (164)

A modification of the procedure of Crawford and Tokanaga<sup>75</sup> was used. 3-Methylene-2,4-dibromopentane (5.00 g, 20.5 mmole) in 10 ml of absolute ethanol was added to a solution of hydrazine monohydrate (2.7 g, 54 mmole) and 5 mg EDTA in 10 ml absolute ethanol. After 90 minutes of stirring at room temperature, the solid that had developed (hydrazine hydrobromide) was removed by filtration. The solution was concentrated to 1/3 its original volume at 20 torr. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and TLC indicated that the concentrate contained no organics other than ethanol.

#### 2.2-Bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1.3-dioxolane (166)

The procedure of Giusti and Morales<sup>56</sup> was used. Bromine (59.2 g, 0.370 mole) was added dropwise to a 10°C solution of 2,2-diethyl-1,3-dioxolane (24.1 g, 0.185 mole) in 75 ml of ether. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature, then distilled to give 2,2-bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (48.8 g, 0.169 mole, 91.5 %) as a mixture of two isomers (92:8 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR). Bp 76.5-78°C/0.1 torr (lit bp 71°C/0.01 torr).

major isomer:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 4.54 (q, J=6.9Hz, 2H), 4.29 (m, 4H), 1.72 (d, J=6.9Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50.3 MHz) δ: 111.26, 38.07, 37.15,
 56.49, 82.18.

minor isomer:

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz) δ: 4.72 (q, J=7.0Hz, 2H), 4.29 (m, 4H), 1.68 (d, J=7.0Hz, 6H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50.3 MHz) δ: 111.26, 4.85, 8.87, 9.63.

Attempted syntheses of 4.2'-spiro-[1'.3'-dioxolane]-1.2-dicarbethoxy-3.5dimethylpyrazolidine (167)

1.

An adaption of the method of Rubottom and Chabala<sup>53</sup> was used. Under an argon atmosphere sodium hydride (0.126 g, 66 % in oil, 3.47 mmole) was introduced to 50 ml 3-necked flask (equipped with a reflux condenser) containing 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine (1.042 g, 3.47 mmole) in 15 ml of HMPA. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature untill no hydrogen gas was being released. 2,2-Bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3dioxolane (1.00 g, 3.47 mmole, 92:8 mixture of isomers) was added and stirring continued for 72 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated the presence of only starting materials; therefore, the temperature was increased to 60°C. Some reaction of the dibromide appeared to occur after another 72 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and sodium hydride (0.127 g, 66 % in oil, 3.47 mmole) was added. The mixture was stirred at 100°C for 48 h leading to total disappearance of the dibromide. After cooling to room temperature 25 ml of water was added and this mixture was extracted with 4 x 25 ml of pentane. The extracts were dryed over magnesium sulfate and concentrated at >50 torr. The concentrate was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and then decomposed and/or rearranged upon further purification on a 230-400 mesh flash column.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz, major peaks) δ: 5.92 (d of d, J=17Hz, 11Hz, 1H), 5.50 (d of d, J=17Hz, 1.8Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d of d, J=10.8Hz, 1.8Hz, 1H)

# 2. Using sodium iodide

The above synthesis was retried with one modification-the addition of sodium iodide. Under an argon atmosphere sodium hydride (63.2 mg, 66 % in oil, 1.74 mmole) was introduced to 25 ml 3-necked flask (equipped with a reflux condenser) containing 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine (0.522 g, 1.74 mmole) and sodium iodide (0.261 g, 1.74 mmole) in 5 ml of HMPA. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature till no hydrogen gas was being released. 2,2-Bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (0.50 g, 1.74 mmole, 92:8 mixture of isomers) was added and stirring continued for 72 h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated only starting materials; therefore, the temperature was increased to 50°C. Products identical to the above reaction were present in minor quantities after 72 h at the elevated temperature. Further stirring at 50°C led only to an increase of the same product

# Attempted syntheses of 4.2'-spiro-[1',3'-dioxolane]-3.5-dimethylpyrazolidine

1

An adaption of the procedure of Crawford and Tokanaga<sup>75</sup> was used. Under argon, 2,2-bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (1.00 g, 3.47 mmole) was added dropwise to a solution of hydrazine hydrate (0.60 g, 12 mmole) and EDTA (5 mg) in 2 ml of 98 % ethanol. After 48 h of stirring at room temperature no reaction had occurred. Sodium iodide (0.52 g, 3.74 mmole) was added and stirring continued for 72 h at room temperature. Since no reaction had occurred the reaction mixture was heated to a reflux. After several days <u>only</u> starting materials were present as indicated by TLC and <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

# <u>2.</u>

To hydrazine monohydrate (0.40 g, 8.0 mmole) and potassium hydroxide (0.20 g, 3.5 mmole) dissolved in 5 ml of 98 % ethanol was added 2,2-bis(1'-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane (1.00 g, 3.47 mmole). After 72 h stirring at room temperature no reaction had occurred, thus the temperature was increased to a reflux. After another 72 h the reaction was cooled down and 5 ml water added. This mixture was extracted with 3 x 20 ml ether; and the extracts dryed over sodium sulfate, and then concentrated at >20 torr. <sup>1</sup>H NMR indicated unreacted dibromide and products identical to that for the attempted synthesis of 4,2'-spiro-[1',3'-dioxolane]-1,2-dicarbethoxy-3,5-dimethylpyrazoli

# Attempted synthesis of 4-hydroxy-3-methylene-2-pentanone (171)

Variations of the literature preparation of Itoh *et al* <sup>57</sup> were used. The best yield was obtained as follows. Under an argon atmosphere methyl vinyl ketone (1.2 ml, 14.4 mmole) was added slowly to a 0°C solution of diethyl aluminum iodide (21 ml, 1.0 M in toluene, 21 mmole) in 50 ml of dry dichloromethane. Immediately fresh acetaldehyde (1.2 ml, 21 mmole) was introduced. The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at 0°C then diluted with 100 ml of ether. The mixture was washed with 30 ml of 1N hydrochloric acid and 20 ml of brine, then dryed over sodium sulfate. Purification on a 230-400 mesh flash silica column gave a product that was not totally pure. Maximum yield (107 mg, 0.94 mmole, 7 %).

M/e (calcd. for  $C_6H_{10}O_2$ , 114.0668) 114.0678.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ: 5.14 (s, 1H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.69 (q, J=6.5Hz, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.38 (d, J=6 jHz, 3H).

# **REFERENCES**

- J.S. Adams, K.A. Burton, B.K Andrews, R.B. Weisman, and P.S. Engel.
   J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 7935 (1986).
- R.C. Newman, Jr. and G.A. Bingar. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 134 (1983).
- R.C. Newman, Jr. and C.D. Lockyer, Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 3982 (1983).
- 4. P.S. Engel and G.B. Gerth. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 6849 (1983).
- 5. G.N. LeFevre and R.J. Crawford. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 1019 (1986).
- Y. Nakano, M. Hamaguchi, and T. Nagai. J. Org. Chem. 54, 1135 (1989).
- W.P. Dolbier, Jr., O. Phanstiel IV. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 4907 (1989).
- W.P. Dolbier, Jr., C.R. Burkholder. J. Am Chem. Soc., 106, 2139 (1984).
- 9. B.K. Carpenter. J. Am. Chem Soc. 107, 5730 (1985).
- 10. G. Geisler and J. Hoffman. Z. Phys. Chem. 57, 318 (1968).
- 11. R.J. Crawford and K. Takagi. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 7406 (1972).
- 12. B.H. Al-Sader and R.J. Crawford. Can. J. Chem. 48, 2745 (1970).
- 13. R.J. Crawford and A. Mishra. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 3963 (1966).
- 14. R.J. Crawford and M. Ohno. Can. J. Chem. 52, 3134 (1974)
- 15. S.G. Cohen and R. Zand. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 586 (1962).
- N. Rieber, J. Alberts, J.A. Lipsky, and D.M. Lemel. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
   88, 5668 (1969).
- 17. M.H. Chang and R.J. Crawford. Can. J. Chem. 59, 2556 (1981).
- 18. R.J. Crawford and M.H. Chang. Tetrahedron. 38, 837 (1982).

- 19. P.S. Engel. Chem. Rev. 57, 99 (1980).
- 20. P.S. Engel and D.B. Gerth. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 6849 (1983).
- 21. J.J. Dannenburg. J. Org. Chem. 50, 4963 (1985).
- 22. J.J. Dannenburg and D. Rocklin. J. Org. Chem. 47, 4529 (1982).
- 23. a) E.A. Halevi. Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.1, 109 (1963).
  b) S. Seltzer and F.M. Dunne. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 2628 (1965).
  c) A.A. Zavitsas and Seltzer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 1265 (1964).
- 24. M.H. Chang. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton (1980).
- 25. P.S. Engel and L. Shen. Can. J. Chem. 52, 4040 (1974).
- a) J.A. Berson. Diradicals. W.T. Borden. Ed.. Wiley, New York. 1982.
  b) H.G. Lazzara, J.I. Harrison, M. Rule, E.F. Hillinski, and J.A Berson. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104, 2233 (1982).
  c) J.A. Berson. Acc. Chem. Res. 11, 446 (1978).
- 27. R.J. Bushby and M.D. Pollard. Tetrahedron Lett., 3851 (1978).
- 28. M.P. Rousseaux. Acta. Crystallogr. 288, 720 (1978).
- R. J. Crawford, D.M. Cameron, and H. Tokunaga. Can. J. Chem. 52, 4025 (1974).
- D. Cichra, M.S. Platz, and J.A. Berson. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 8507 (1977).
- 31. J.A. Berson. Acc. Chem. Res. 11, 446 (1978).
- 32. J.P. Chesick. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 2720 (1963).
- 33. a) O.S. Tee. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 7144 (1969).
  b) S.I. Miller. Advan. Phys. Org. Chem. 6, 185 (1988) and ref. therein.
- 34. A. Fry and M. Calvin. J. Phys. Chem. 56, 897 (1952).
- 35. G.A. Ropp and V.F. Raaen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74, 4992 (1952).
- 36. J.J. Gajewski and S.K. Chou. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 5696 (1977).

- 37. R.J. Crawford, H. Tokunaga, L.M.H.C. Schrijver, and J.C. Godard. Can.J. Chem. 56, 998 (1978).
- D. Feller, K. Tanaka, E.R. Davidson, and W.T. Borden. J. Am. Chem.
   Soc. 104, 967 (1932).
- D.M. Hood, H.F. Schaefer III, and R.M. Pitzer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100, 8009 (1979).
- 40. J.J. Gajewski, A. Yeshurun, and E.J. Bain. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 94, 2138 (1972).
- 41. a) M.J.S. Dewar and J.S. Wasson. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 3081 (1971).

b) W.J. Hehre, L. Salem, and M.R. Willcott. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 4328 (1974).

c) D.R. Yarkony and H.F. Scheafer III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 3754 (1974).

- d) E.R. Davidson and W.T. Borden. J. Chem. Phys. 64, 663 (1976).
- e) J.H. Davis and W.A. Goddard. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 303 (1976).
- 42. a) E.R. Davidson and W.T. Borden. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 2053 (1977).
  - b) J.H. Davis and W.A. Goddard. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99, 4242 (1977).
    c) D.A. Dickson, R. Foster, T.A. Halgren, and W.N. Lipscomb. J. Am.
    Chem. Soc. 100, 1359 (1978).

d) D.A. Dickson, T.H. Dunning, Jr., R.A. Eades, and D.A. Kleier. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **103**,2878 (1981).

- 43. B.K. Carpenter. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 5730 (1985).
- 44. A.W. Johnson, E. Markham, and R. Price. Org. Syn. Coll. Vol. 5, 785.
- 45. J.M. Renga and H.J. Reich. Org. Syn. 59, 58.
- 46. H.J. Reich. Acc. Chem. Res. 12, 22 (1979).

- 47. H.J. Reich, J.M. Renga, and I.L. Reich. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 5434 (1975).
- 48. G. Maier, C. Roth, and R.K. Schmidtt. Chem. Ber. 118, 704 (1985).
- 49. E.J. Corey and J.W. Suggs. Tetrahedron Lett. 44, 3775 (1975).
- 50. P. Salomaa and A. Kankaanpera. Acta. Chem. Scand. 15, 871 (1961).
- 51. R.M. Magid. Tetrahedron. 36, 1901 (1980).
- 52. a) W.H. Pirkle, M.H. Hyun, and B. Bank. J. Chromatogr. 316, 585 (1984).
  b) W.H. Pirkle, M.H. Hyun, and B. Bank. J. Chromatogr. 328, 1 (1985).
  c) W.H.Pirkle and T.C. Pochapsky. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 352 (1986).
- 53. M. Rubottom and J.C. Chabala. Org. Syn. 54, 60 (1974).
- 54. D.H.R. Barton, D.L. Lester, and S.V. Ley. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 276 (1978).
- 55. I. Patterson and I. Flemming. Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 2179 (1979).
- 56. G. Giusti and C. Morales. Bulletin de la Societe Chimique de France, 382 (1973).
- 57. A. Itoh, S. Ozawa, K. Oshima, and H. Nozaki. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn..54, 274 (1981).
- 58. J.J. Gajewski. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 4450 (1971).
- 59. T.J. Wenzel. Methods in stereochemical analysis. Vol. 5. VCH Publishers Inc., New York. 1986. p 151.
- 60. T.J. Wenzel. NMR shift reagents. CRC Press Inc. 1987. p 155.
- 61. A. Mishra and R.J. Crawford. Can. J. Chem. 47, 1515 (1969).
- T.C. Clarke, L.A. Wendling, and R.G. Bergman. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 5638 (1975).
- 63. A. Rauk. private communication.

- 64. R.G. Bergman. J. Am. Chem Soc. 91, 7405 (1969).
- 65. see ref. 41 and 42.
- CC. W. Roth and G. Wegener. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 14, 758 (1975).
- 67. M.E. Evans, F.W. Parrish, and L. Long, Jr. Carbohydr. Res. 3, 453 (1967).
- 68. M. Capka, V. Chvalovsky, K. Kochloefi, and M. Kraus. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 34, 118 (1969).
- 69. Y. Hayakawa and R. Noyori. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 47, 2617 (1974).
- 70. J. Hooz. Org Syn. 54, 63 (1978).
- 71. J. Hooz. private communication.
- 72. R.J. Crawford, H. Tokunaga, L.M.H.C. Schrijver, J.C. Godard, and T. Nakashima. Can. J. Chem. 56, 992 (1978).
- 73. N. Rabjohn. Org. Syn. Coll. Vol. 3, 375 (1955).
- 74. B.M. Trost and R.A. Kunz. J. Org. Chem. 39, 2648 (1974).
- 75. R.J. Crawford and H. Tokunaga. Can. J. Chem. 52, 4033 (1974).







Υ 7 N=N

11

14

D,

N=N

20



X 7 N=N

12

N=N

15

D2

\ // N=N

21



10



16

л=и И=и ้ง=ท 17 18

 $D_2 \xrightarrow[N=N]{N=N} 19$ 





D2



N2











40







33





D2

D2

D2

́№=Ń 24

N=N 27

N=N 30

















D2

N J

































































95

Me



90

D

D'

Me

Me

Н







































H→---<

115















Me





133a

Me

'H









139



140



141





142



a) R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = Me; R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = H b) R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = Me; R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = H or R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> = Me; R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>4</sub> = H

a)  $R_1$ ,  $R_3 = Me$ ;  $R_2$ ,  $R_4 = H$ b)  $R_1$ ,  $R_4 = Me$ ;  $R_2$ ,  $R_3 = H$  or  $R_2$ ,  $R_3 = Me$ ;  $R_1$ ,  $R_4 = H$ 



Н

Me





a)  $R_1, R_3 = Me; R_2, R_4 = H$ b)  $R_1, R_4 = Me; R_2, R_3 = H \text{ or } R_2, R_3 = Me; R_1, R_4 = H$ 

144



a)  $R_1, R_3 = Me; R_2, R_4 = H$ b)  $R_1, R_4 = Me; R_2, R_3 = H \text{ or } R_2, R_3 = Me; R_1, R_4 = H$ 









148

Ν







150





N - N Н

`Me

=0































































182

Me N<sub>2</sub>.

183a





185a



185b







187



Ňе 189





































# APPENDIX B - Abbreviations

Anal.	-analysis
Calcd.	-calculated
CCI4	-carbon tetrachloride
CDCI3	-chloroform-d
Dibal	-diisobutyl aleminum hydride
DME	-dimethoxyethane
DMSO	-dimethylsulfoxide
EDTA	-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
DABCO	-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane
DBU	-1,5-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-5-ene
DME	-dimethoxy ethane
DPG	-dinitrophenylglycine
<b>ee</b>	-enantiomeric excess
HMPA	-hexamethylphosphoramide
HPLC	-high performance liquid chromatography
IR	-infrared
KIE	-kinetic isotope effect
MCP	-methylenecyclopropane
m/e	-mass per electronic charge unit
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
Red-Al	-sodium bis-(methoxyethoxy)-aluminum hydride
THF	-tetrahydrofuran
TLC	-thin layer chromotography

For <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra: b-broad, bs-broad singlet, d-doublet, mmultiplet, q-quartet, s-singlet, t-triplet. APPENDIX C

# Structure Determination Laboratory

Report on the

Complete Structure Determination and Refinement of

 $C_{14}H_{15}N_{3}O_{2}$ 

for

J. Hiebert

#### EXPERIMENTAL

## **Data Collection**

A clear, colorless crystal of  $C_{14}H_{15}N_3O_2$ , with the approximate dimensions of  $0.15 \times 0.28 \times 0.42$  mm, was mounted on a glass fiber with epoxy, and optically centered in the x-ray beam of an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 automated diffractometer. All intensity measurements were performed using MoK $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) with a graphite crystal, incident beam monochromator.

The automatic peak search and reflection indexing programs<sup>1</sup> generated an orthorhombic cell. The systematic absences of h00, h odd, 0k0, k odd, and  $00\ell, \ell$  odd, and the magnitude of the unit cell volume led to the choice of space group as  $P2_12_12_1$  (No. 19).<sup>2</sup>

The cell constants and orientation matrix were obtained from a least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 25 reflections in the range  $8.2 < \theta < 18.7^{\circ}$ . The unit cell parameters are given in Table 1.

The intensity data were collected with  $\omega - \theta$  scans at  $1.0^{\circ} \text{min}^{-1}$  (in  $\theta$ ). The scan range was varied as a function of  $\theta$  to compensate for the  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$  wavelength dispersion:  $\omega$  scan width =  $0.50 + 0.347 \tan \theta$ . The backgrounds for the peaks were measured by extending the scan 25% on each side of the calculated range; this gave a peak to background counting time ratio of 2:1. Intensity measurements were made out to a maximum  $2\theta$  of 50°. Two reflections were chosen as standard reflections, and were remeasured after every 120 min of exposure time

to check on crystal and electronic stability over the course of data collection. These reflections decreased in intensity by roughly 0.3% and 0.4% over the time span of data collection. This was considered negligible, and no decay correction was employed.

# **Data Reduction**

A total of 2975 reflections were collected, and Lorentz and polarization factors were applied:

$$I = r(S - 2B)/Lp$$
$$\sigma(I) = [r(S + 4B) + (0.04I)^2]^{1/2}/Lp$$

where r is the scan rate, S is the total scan count, B is the total background count, and Lp is the combined Lorentz and polarization factor.

#### Structure Solution and Refinement

The positions of all non-hydrogen atoms were evident in the best E-map generated by the direct methods program MITHRIL.<sup>3</sup> Adjustment<sup>4</sup> of atomic parameters was carried out by full-matrix least-squares refinement on  $F_{\theta}$  minimizing the function  $\Sigma w(|F_{\theta}| - |F_{c}|)^{2}$ , where  $|F_{\theta}|$  and  $|F_{c}|$  are the observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes and the weight w is  $4F_{\theta}^{2}/\sigma^{2}(F_{\theta}^{2})$ . The neutral atom scattering factors were calculated from the analytical expression for the scattering factor curves<sup>5</sup>. The f' and f'' components of anomalous dispersion'' were included in the calculations of all non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were generated at idealized calculated positions by assuming a C-H bond length of 0.95Å and the appropriate  $sp^2$  or  $sp^3$  geometry. The hydrogen atoms on methyl groups were located by least-squares refinement of the coordinates derived from a difference Fourier map. The hydrogen atoms were then included in the calculations with fixed, isotropic Gaussian parameters 1.2 times that of the attached atom, and constrained to 'ride' on the attached atom.

The refinement of the coordinates and isotropic U's for all non-hydrogen atoms was continued to convergence. At that stage, the data were corrected for absorption by an empirical scheme based on the absorption surface (Fourier filtering) method of Walker and Stuart.<sup>7</sup> The maximum and minimum correction factors applied to  $F_o$  were 1.232 and 0.638. After averaging over mmm symmetry (*R*-merge on *F* is 0.031) and deleting the systematic absences, there were 2382 averaged reflections, 1085 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ , which were used in the final stages of the refinement. In the final cycle 172 parameters were refined using the 1085 observations with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$ , and the largest and and average shift/error ratio was less than 0.01. As a result, the final goodness-of-fit was 2.05, and

$$R_{1} = \Sigma ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \Sigma |F_{o}| = 0.054$$
$$R_{2} = (\Sigma w (|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{o}^{2})^{1/2} = 0.066$$

An analysis of  $R_2$  in terms of  $F_o$ ,  $\sin \theta / \lambda$ , various combinations of  $(h, k, \ell)$ indicated no unusual trends. The highest peak in the final difference Fourier map has a density of 0.18(4) e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

On the basis of this diffractometer data, the absolute configuration of the structure could not be unambiguously determined. Intensity data were recollected on the same crystal with an Enraf-Nonius Turbo CAD4 diffractometer with CuK $\alpha$  radiation, graphite monochromator, and rotating anode source (45KV, 75ma).<sup>8</sup> A total of 88 intensities were collected by  $\theta - 2\theta$  scans on both Friedel pair reflections in all four Bijvoet settings  $(hk\ell, h\bar{k}\ell, hk\bar{\ell}, h\bar{k}\bar{\ell})$  of eleven enantiomersensitive reflections. With the atomic coordinates and displacement parameters of the structure fixed, the scale factor was determined, and the structure factor calculation was carried out on both enantiomers. For the correct structure, the agreement fac ors are  $R_1 = 0.03949$ ,  $R_2 = 0.05384$ , and S (goodness-of-fit) = 2.561, and the enantiomer, they are  $R_1 = 0.03953$ ,  $R_2 = 0.05392$ , and S = 2.565. While the differences are marginally significant, they are also consistent with the results obtained from the full data set collected with MoK $\alpha$  radiation and refined:  $R_1$  0.0539768 vs. 0.0539776,  $R_2$  0.06558 vs. 0.06559, and S 1.81477 vs. 1.81483. For the set of eleven reflections, the average difference in the magnitudes of  $F_{e}$ between Friedel pairs is roughly 0.01 e with Mo radiation and 0.07 e with Cu radiation.

# Addendum to Structure Report RJC9001

Intensity data were recollected on the same crystal with an Enraf-Nonius Turbo CAD4 diffractometer with CuK $\alpha$  radiation, graphite monochromator, and rotating anode source (45KV, 75ma). A total of 88 intensities were collected by  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$ scans on both Friedel pair reflections in all four Bijvoet settings (*hkl*, *hkl*, *hkl*, *hkl*) of eleven enantiomer-sensitive reflections. With the atomic coordinates and displacement parameters of the structure fixed, the scale factor was determined, and the structure factor calculation was carried out on both enantiomers. For the correct structure, the agreement factors are R<sub>1</sub> = 0.03949, R<sub>2</sub> = 0.05384, and goodness-of-fit = 2.561, and for the enantiomer, they are R<sub>1</sub> = 0.03953, R<sub>2</sub> = 0.05392, and GOF = 2.565. While the differences are marginally significant, they are consistent with the results obtained from the full data set collected with MoK $\alpha$  radiation and refined: R<sub>1</sub> 0.0539768 vs 0.0539776, R<sub>2</sub> 0.06558 vs 0.06559, and GOF 1.81477 vs 1.81483. For the set of 11 reflections, the average difference in the magnitudes of F<sub>c</sub> between Friedel pairs was roughly 0.01 e with Mo radiation and 0.07 e with Cu radiation. A revised table of atomic coordinates and displacement parameters is attached.

\* (-)-**1**44b

Table 1. Experimental Details

A. Crystal Data

 $\begin{array}{rl} {\rm C_{14}H_{15}N_{3}O_{2};} & {\rm FW}=257.29 \\ \\ {\rm Crystal\ dimensions:\ } 0.15\ \times\ 0.28\ \times\ 0.42\ {\rm mm} \\ \\ {\rm orthorhombic\ space\ group\ } P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1} \\ \\ a=5.069\ (1) & b=11.853\ (1), & c=22.480\ (1)\ {\rm \AA} \\ \\ V=1350.7\ {\rm \AA}^{3}; & Z=4; & D_{c}=1.265\ {\rm g\ cm^{-3}}; & \mu=0.52\ {\rm cm^{-1}} \end{array}$ 

B. Full Data Set Collection and Refinement Conditions

Radiation:	Mo K <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> ( $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å)
Monochromator:	incident beam, graphite crystal
Take-off angle:	3.0°
Detector aperture:	2.40 mm horiz $\times$ 4.0 mm vert
Crystal-to-detector distance:	205 mm
Scan type:	$\omega -  heta$
Scan rate:	1.0°min <sup>-1</sup>
Scan width:	$0.50 \pm 0.347  an  heta$
Data collection $2\theta$ limit:	50°
Data collection index range:	$-h,-k,\pm\ell$
Number of Reflections:	2382 total, averaged; 1085 with $I > 3a_I$
Observations:variables ratio:	1085:172
Agreement factors $R_1$ , $R_2$ , GOF:	0.054,  0.066,  2.05
## **References and Notes**

- 1. The diffractometer programs are those supplied by Enraf-Nonius for operating the operating the CAD4F diffractometer; some local modifications Dr. R. G. Ball.
- 2. International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography (1969). Vol. I. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- 3. Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL 83. A Multiple Solution Direct Methods Program. University of Glasgow.
- 4. The computer programs used in this analysis include the Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package. Version 3 (1985, Delft, The Netherlands) adapted for a SUN Microsystems 3/160 computer, and several locally written programs by Dr. R. G. Ball.
- 5. International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Table 2.2B. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht.)
- 6. ibid., Table 2.3.1.
- 7. Walker, N., and Stuart, D.(1983). Acta Crystallogr., A39, 158.
- 8. Use of the diffractometer at the Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, made available by Dr. A. Arif.



Figure 1. Perspective view of the molecule showing the atom labelling scheme. Atoms are represented by Gaussian ellipsoids at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2. Stereoview of 144b.

Atom1	Atom2	Length
C1	C2	1.312 (7)
C2	СЗ	1.506 (7)
C2	C4	1.483 (7)
СЗ	C5	1.520 (7)
C3	N3	1.475 (6)
N3	N4	1.378 (5)
N3	C7	1.375 (5)
N4	C4	1.500 (6)
N4	C9	1.365 (6)
C4	C6	1.527 (8)
C7	07	1.197 (6)
C7	N8	1.382 (6)
N8	C9	1.365 (6)
N8	C8	1.434 (5)
C9	09	1.225 (7)
C8	C10	1.316 (8)
C8	C14	1.337 (8)
C10	C11	1.379 (8)
C11	C12	1.305 (9)
C12	C13	1.346 (9)
C13	C14	1.402 (7)

Table of Selected Interatomic Bond Lengths (in  $\lambda$ )

Table o	f Select	ed intera	tomic Angles (in degrees)
 Atom1	Atom2	Atom3	Angle
C1	C2	СЗ	124.8 (5)
C1	C2	C4	124.0 (5)
C3	C2	C4	111.2 (4)
C2	C3	C5	114.6 (4)
C2	СЗ	N3	101.5 (4)
C5	C3	NЗ	111.4 (4)
С3	N3	N4	113.1 (3)
СЗ	N3	C7	127.2 (4)
N4	N3	C7	110.2 (4)
N 3	N4	C4	109.2 (4)
N3	N4	C9	107.7 (4)
C4	N4	C9	127.2 (4)
C2	C4	N4	102.5 (4)
C2	C4	C6	113.3 (5)
N4	C4	C6	110.9 (4)
NЗ	C7	07	128.2 (4)
N3	C7	N8	103.9 (4)
07	C7	N8	127.9 (4)
C7	N8	C9	111.3 (4)
C7	N8	C8	124.3 (4)
С9	N8	C8	123.9 (4)
N4	C9	N8	106.5 (4)
N4	C9	09	126.2 (5)
N8	C9	09	127.4 (5)
N8	C8	C10	121.3 (5)
N8	C8	C14	119.3 (4)
C10	C8	C14	119.1 (5)
C8	C10	C11	120.6 (6)
C10	C11	C12	122.0 (6)
C11	C12	C13	118.2 (5)
C12	C13	C14	120.2 (6)
C8	C14	C13	119.6 (5)

Table of Selected Interatomic Angles (in degrees)

Table o	f Weighted	Least-Squares	Planes
---------	------------	---------------	--------

lane		Coefficients <sup>k</sup>		Defining Atoms	with Deviat	lons
1	3.2646	-7.3257 -10.1326 -11.6780	)			
			C2	-0.073(5)	C3	0.017(5)
			<b>E</b> 3	0.033(4)	14	-0.060(4)
			C4	0.113(5)		
			<u>C1</u>	-0.256	<u>C5</u>	-1.139
			<u>Ç6</u>	1.487		
2	3.7173	-1.8140 -14.8896 -11.8578				
			∎3	-0.022(4)	<b>N</b> 4	0.007(4)
			C7	0.050(5)	<b>1</b> 8	-0.022(4)
			C9	0.025(6)		
			<u>07</u>	0.109	Q2	0.088
3	3.2465	0.6838 17.2147 17.6163	3			
			<b>C</b> 8	-0.020(5)	C10	0.028(7)
			C11	0.013(8)	C12	-0.023(6)
			C13	0.012(7)	C14	0.020(6)
			<u>¥8</u>	0.023		
4	3.6701	-1.8951 -15.0824 -12.0891	-			
			18	~0.032(4)	C7	0.021(5)
			C8	0.019(5)	C9	0.025(6)
			07	0.067	09	0.105
			C10	1.159	<u><u>C</u>14</u>	-1.123
5	3.5102	-1.6002 -15.9298 -12.8224				
			C7	0.015(5)	07	-0.004(4)
			<b>¥</b> 3	-0.003(4)	<b>N</b> 8	-0.002(4)
			¥4	0.110	<u>C8</u>	0.011
6	3.8779	-1.7642 -14.0833 -11.0399				
			C9	-0.005(6)	09	0.001(5)
			¥4	0.001(4)	18	0.001(4)
			<u>N3</u>	0.038	<u>C8</u>	0.037
7	3.0454	-4.1387 -16.1649 -14.9273				
			<b>N</b> 3	-0.163(4)	C7	0.099(5)
			C3	0.108(5)	84	0.052(4)
8	3.9804	-5.4865 -9.2426 -9.1363				
			24	-0.207(4)	C9	0.152(5)
			C4	0.133(5)	<b>N</b> 3	0.060(4)
9	3.6996	-5.8106 -10.7082 -10.6128				
			Ci	0.005(6)	C2	-0.008(5)
			C3	0.003(5)	C4	0.003(5)
			<u>C5</u>	-1.072	Ç <u>ç</u>	1.360
			<u>#3</u>	-0.209	<b>P4</b>	-0.357
		Dihe	dral Angles	i d		
Plane	as Angl		Plar		Planes	Angle
1 -	_	Ŭ	1 -	U U		.,
1 -					$1 - 5 \\ 1 - 9$	31.9
2 -	3 92.		2 -		1 - 9 2 - 6	9.0 2.8
2 -	7 14.		2 -		2 - 6	2.8 93.4
3 -	5 96.		3 -		3 - 8	93.4 80.7
3 -	9 85.		4 -		4 ~ 7	13.3
4 -	8 23.			6 3.5 6 6.3	5 - 7	13.4
5 -		1 5 - 9 24.6		7 15.8	6 - 8	22.0
6 -	9 21.	6 7 - 8 21.7		9 17.8	8 - 9	

<sup>4</sup>Th weights are generated from the estimated standard deviations of the atomic coordinates. The place is defined from an algorithm dervied by Hamilton, Acta Cryst., 14, 185(1961).

<sup>h</sup>Coefficients are of the form ax + by + cz = d = 0, where x, y, and , are fractional crystallographic coordinates.

Displacements from the least-squares plane are given in Angstroms, with the estimated standard deviations given in parentheses. Those atoms which are underlined were not included in the definition of the least-squares plane. "In degrees.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Atom 3	Atom 4	Angle	Atom 1	Atom 2	Atom 3	Atom 4	Angle	
<b>C1</b>	C2	C3	C5	52.37 ( 0.78)	N3	N4	60	N8	1.27	0.52
<b>C1</b>	C2	33	N3	172.51 ( 0.52)	R N 3	N4	60	60	-177.92 (	
C4	3	C3	C5	-129.26 ( 0.51)	C4	N4	60	N8	133.76 (	(0.48
C4	C2	33	N3	-9.13 ( 0.52)	C4	N4	60	60	-45.43 (	(0.85
C1	C2	C4	N4	-166.68 ( 0.52)	R13	C7	N8	60	7.09 (	0.52
:5	C2	C4	C6	73.72 ( 0.68)	N3	C7	N8	CB	179.80 (	(0.37
C3	C2	C4	N4	14.94 ( 0.53)	07	c7	N8	60	-175.49 (	(0.52
C3	C2	C4	C6	-104.66 ( 0.50)	07	C7	N8	C8	-2.78 (	(0.79)
C2	C3	N3	N4	-1.11 ( 0.49)	C7	N8	6 <b>0</b>	N4	-5.34 (	0.56
C2	3	N3	c7	141.60 ( 0.42)	c7	N8	60	60	173.84 (	(0.56
C5	C3	N3	N4	121.28 ( 0.44)	C8	N8	60	N4	-178.09 (	(0.40)
C5	C3	N3	c7	-96.01 ( 0.54)	C8	NB	60	60	1.09	(0.86
C3	N3	N4	C4	10.71 ( 0.49)	C7	N8	C8	C10	-79.17 (	(0.64)
C3	N3	N4	C9	152.24 ( 0.41)	C7	N8	C8	C14	94.38 (	(0.61)
C7	N3	N4	C4	-138.34 ( 0.40)	C9	N8	C8	C10	92.64 (	(0.66
c7	N3	N4	C9	3.20 ( 0.52)	60	NB	<b>C</b> 8	C14	-93.80 (	(0.59)
<b>c</b> 3	EN	C7	07	32.83 ( 0.78)	8N	C8	C10	C11	178.63	(0.56)
<b>C</b> 3	EN	C7	N8	-149.76 ( 0.40)	C14	C8	C10	C11	5.06	(0.93)
N4	N3	C7	07	176.42 ( 0.51)	N8	C8	C14	C13	-178.39	(0.52)
N4	N3	C7	N8	-6.17 ( 0.49)	C10	C8	C14	C13	-4.69 (	(0.88)
N3	N4	C4	3	-15.34 ( 0.48)	C8 C	C10	C11	C12	-1.28	(1.13)
N3	N4	C4	C6	105.90 ( 0.50)	C10	C11	C12	C13	-2.83	(1.10)
60	N4	C4	C2	-147.26 ( 0.48)	C11	C12	C13	C14	3.10	(1.00)
60	N4	C4	C6	-26.02 ( 0.74)	C12	C13	C14	C8	0.62	(1.01)

Angles
orsional
13 73
able

Table	or nyurogen wee	u cooraine			
Atom	· <b>x</b>	y	z	U	
H1	8601	9497	7824	150	
H2	10802	9538	8359	150	
H3	11096	7466	8944	97	
H4	5065	7942	7896	102	
H51	9982	9147	9402	144	
H52	10029	8102	9817	144	
H23	7358	8576	9591	144	
H61	6198	6685	7265	182	
H62	8459	6211	7658	182	
H63	8812	7355	7331	182	
H10	8948	3417	8460	173	
H11	8558	1484	8568	213	
H12	5410	716	9145	142	
H13	2667	1895	9678	153	
H14	2977	3868	9551	138	

Table of Hydrogen Atom Coordinates (×10<sup>4</sup>) an U's (Å<sup>2</sup>, ×10<sup>3</sup>).

Atom	z	y.	*	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{13}$	[[23
<b>C1</b>	9371(14)	9169(4)	E168(3)	140(6)	91(3)	161(5)	-4(4)	26(6)	29(4)
C2	8440(10)	3215(4)	8376(2)	86(4)	72(3)	93(3)	16(3)	25(3)	10(3)
C3	9287(12)	7676(4)	8952(2)	64(3)	79(3)	108(4)	14(3)	2(4)	12(3)
N3	7387 (2)	6738(3)	9002(1)	85(3)	68(2)	73(2)	13(2)	2(3)	2(2)
N4	5600(8)	6705(3)	8540(2)	68(3)	88(2)	96(3)	9(3)	-3(3)	28(2)
C4	6459(11)	7512(4)	8064(2)	86(4)	100(3)	82(3)	21(3)	17(3)	23(3)
c5	9155(14)	8448(4)	9491(2)	172(6)	95(3)	92(4)	-3(4)	-25(4)	10(3)
ce	7588(16)	6878(5)	7530(3)	177(6)	162(5)	117(4)	-29(6)	29(6)	-17(5)
c7	7878(11)	5666(3)	9207(2)	96(4)	59(2)	73(3)	15(3)	4(3)	-1(2)
07	9403(9)	5377(2)	9583(1)	161(3)	79(2)	91(2)	10(2)	-64(2)	8(2)
N8	6113(7)	5002(3)	8895(2)	62(3)	71(2)	74(2)	10(2)	-1(2)	16(2)
83	5893(10)	3802(3)	8959(2)	66(3)	66(2)	78(3)	4(3)	2(3)	11(2)
60	4828(11)	5611(4)	8469(2)	92(5)	93(3)	108(4)	-4(3)	-11(4)	18(3)
60	3304(9)	5254(4)	8090(2)	166(4)	137(3)	185(3)	-31(3)	-119(3)	49(3)
C10	7571(14)	3115(4)	8698(3)	153(5)	77(3)	202(6)	32(4)	86(5)	12(4)
C11	7367(15)	1964(5)	8774(3)	162(6)	(f·)78	259(7)	21(4)	88(6)	-1(5)
C12	5558(12)	1513(4)	9112(3)	106(4)	76(3)	156(5)	-3(4)	-29(5)	8(3)
C13	3930(15)	2205(4)	9412(3)	148(6)	95(3)	132(4)	-19(4)	44(5)	14(4)
C14	4110(13)	3377(4)	9336(3)	137(5)	88(3)	119(4)	-6(4)	34(4)	3(3)

Table of Atomic Coordinates (x10<sup>4</sup>) and Displacement Parameters ( $\mathbf{A}^2$ , x10<sup>3</sup>).

ble o							
щ	Atom min	int'med	мах	Atom	nin	int'med	max
07	0.220	0.289	0.446	C6	0.324	0.374	0.459
60	0.234	0.347	0.560	C7	0.231	0.270	0.319
	0.247	0.270	0.304	60	0.283	0.298	0.353
N 4	0.238	0.273	0.347	CB	0.242	0.256	0.292
	0.224	0.258	0.301	C10	0.247	0.316	0.520
	0.279	0.360	0.429	C11	0.268	0.345	0.558
	0.244	0.265	0.348	C12	0.274	0.304	0.412
<b>C</b> 3	0.235	0.287	0.337	C13	0.269	0.343	0.430
C5	0.279	0.319	0.424	C14	0.290	0.311	0.404
C4	0.256	0.267	0.363				

les of Displacement Parameters (in A)
s of Displacement Parameters
s of Displacement Parameters
Amplitudes
uare
-Mean-Sq
Root-Me
ble of Root-Me

			-	
 Atom	x	y	z	Ueq
C1	629(14)	831(4)	1832(3)	131
C2	1560(10)	1785(4)	1624(2)	84
С3	713(12)	2324(4)	1048(2)	84
C4	3541(11)	2488(4)	1936(2)	90
C5	845(14)	1552(4)	509(2)	120
C6	2412(16)	3122(5)	2470(3)	152
N 3	2613(8)	3262(3)	998(1)	75
N 4	4400(8)	3295(3)	1460(2)	84
с7	2122(11)	4335(3)	793(2)	76
07	597(9)	4623(2)	417(1)	110
N 8	3887(7)	4998(3)	1105(2)	69
C8	4107(10)	6198(3)	1041(2)	70
C9	5172(11)	4389(4)	1531(2)	98
09	6696(9)	4746(4)	1910(2)	163
C10	2429(14)	6885(4)	1302(3)	144
C11	2633(15)	8036(5)	1226(3)	168
C12	4442(12)	8487(4)	888(3)	112
C13	6070(15)	7795(4)	588(3)	125
C14	5890(13)	6623(4)	664(3)	115

Table of Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic Gaussian Parameters  $(\times 10^4)$ 

\_

The equivalent isotropic Gaussian parameter  $U_{eq}$  is given by  $1/3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i^2$ , where  $r_i$  are the root-mean-square amplitudes of the anisotropic Gaussian displacement parameters, AGDP's.

Atom	U,1	Uza	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	U13	$U_{23}$	Bequiv
C1	140(6)	91(3)	161(5)	-4(4)	26(6)	29(4)	10.3(2)
c2	86(4)	72(3)	93(3)	16(3)	25(3)	10(3)	(T): 8
C3	64(3)	79(3)	108(4)	14(3)	2(4)	12(3)	6.8(1)
	86(4)	100(3)	82(3)	21(3)	17(3)	23(3)	7.1(2)
	172(6)	96(3)	92(4)	-3(4)	-25(4)	-10(3)	9.5(2)
	177(6)	162(5)	117(4)	-29(6)	29(6)	-17(5)	12.0(2)
	85(3)	68(2)	73(2)	13(2)	2(3)	2(2)	6.0(1)
	68(3)	88(2)	96(3)	9(3)	-3(3)	28(2)	6.6(1)
C7	96(4)	59(2)	73(3)	15(3)	4(3)	-1(2)	6.0(1)
	161(3)	79(2)	91(2)	10(2)	-64(2)	8(2)	8.7(1)
8	62(N)	71(2)	74(2)	10(2)	-1(2)	16(2)	5.4(1)
C8	66(3)	66(2)	78(3)	4(3)	2(3)	11(2)	5.5(1)
50	92(5)	93(3)	108(4)	-4(3)	-11(4)	18(3)	7.7(2)
60	166(4)	137(3)	185(3)	-31(3)	-119(3)	<b>4</b> 9(3)	12.9(2)
0	153(5)	77(3)	202(6)	32(4)	86(5)	12(4)	11.4(2)
C11	162(6)	81(4)	259(7)	21(4)	88(6)	-1(5)	13.2(3)
7	106(4)	76(3)	158(5)	-3(4)	-29(5)	8(3)	8.9(2)
e	148(6)	95(3)	132(4)	-19(4)	44(5)	14(4)	9.9(2)
-	137(5)	88(3)	119(4)	-6(4)	34(4)	3(3)	9.1(2)

Table of Anisotropic (x10<sup>4</sup>) and Equivalent Isotropic Gaussian Displacement Parameters

The form of the anisotropic Gaussian displacement parameter is  $exp[-2x^{2}(h^{2}a^{2}l_{11} + k^{2}b^{-2}l_{22} + l^{2}c^{-2}l_{33} + 2hka^{-b}\cdot l_{12} + 2hla^{-}c^{-}l_{13} + 2klb^{+}c^{-}l_{23})]$ 

(1	BAX	0.301	0.292	0.353	0.560	0.520	0.558	0.412	0.430	0.404	
(in	A	. 	0		0		°.	°.	0		
Parameters	int'med	0.258	0.256	0.298	0.347	0.316	0.345	0.304	0.343	0.311	
Displacement	min	0.224	0.242	0.283	0.234	0.247	0.268	0.274	0.269	0.290	
Gaussian	Atom	<b>N</b> 8	C8	60	60	C10	C11	C12	C13	C14	
Anisotropic											
lable of Koot-Rean-Square Amplitudes of Anisotropic Gaussian Displacement Parameters (in A)	BAX	0.429	0.348	0.337	0.363	0.424	0.459	0.304	0.347	0.319	0.446
n-square	int'med	0.360	0.265	0.287	0.267	0.319	0.374	0.270	0.273	0.270	0.289
KOOL-ROA	mim	0.279	0.244	0.235	0.256	0.279	0.324	0.247	0.238	0.231	0.220
IO STOR	Atom	C1	c2	<b>c</b> 3	C <b>4</b>	C5	C6	<b>K</b> 3	24	с7	07

1 ¢ ċ Table of

Atom	r	y	2	<i>U</i> 11	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	U12	$U_{13}$	$U_{23}$
c1	629(14)	831(4)	1832(3)	140(6)	91(3)	161(5)	-4(4)	26(6)	29(4)
<b>C</b> 3	1560(10)	1785(4)	1624(2)	86(4)	72(3)	93(3)	16(3)	25(3)	10(3)
C3	713(12)	2324(4)	1048(2)	64(3)	79(3)	108(4)	14(3)	2(4)	12(3)
C4	3541(11)	2488(4)	1936(2)	86(4)	100(3)	82(3)	21(3)	17(3)	23(3)
cs	845(14)	1552(4)	509(2)	172(6)	95(3)	92(4)	-3(4)	26(4)	-10(3)
C6	2412(16)	3122(5)	2470(3)	177(6)	162(5)	117(4)	-29(6)	29(6)	-17(5)
K3	2613(8)	3262(3)	998(1)	85(3)	68(2)	73(2)	13(2)	2(3)	2(2)
14	4400(8)	3295(3)	1460(2)	68(3)	88(2)	96(3)	9(3)	-3(3)	28(2)
C7	2122(11)	4335(3)	793(2)	96(4)	59(2)	73(3)	15(3)	4(3)	-1(2)
<b>0</b> 7	597(9)	<b>4</b> 623(2)	417(1)	161(3)	79(2)	91(2)	10(2)	-64(2)	8(2)
88	3887(7)	<b>4</b> 998(3)	1105(2)	62(3)	71(2)	74(2)	10(2)	-1(2)	16(2)
C8	4107(10)	6198(3)	1041(2)	66(3)	66(2)	78(3)	4(3)	2(3)	11(2)
св	5172(11)	4389(4)	1531(2)	92(5)	93(3)	108(4)	-4(3)	-11(4)	18(3)
60	6696(9)	4746(4)	1910(2)	166(4)	137(3)	185(3)	-31(3)	-119(3)	49(3)
C10	2429(14)	6885(4)	1302(3)	163(5)	77(3)	202(8)	32(4)	86(5)	12(4)
C11	2633(15)	8036(5)	1226(3)	162(6)	81(4)	269(7)	21(4)	88(6)	-1(5)
C12	4442(12)	8487(4)	888(3)	106(4)	76(3)	156(5)	-3(4)	-29(5)	8(3)
C13	6070(15)	7795(4)	588(3)	148(6)	95(3)	132(4)	-19(4)	44(5)	14(4)
C14	5890(13)	6623(4)	654(3)	137(5)	RR(3)	119(4)	-R(4)	34(4)	(2)2

Table of Atomic Coordinates and Anisotropic Gaussian Displacement Parameters  $(\times 10^4)$ 

179

The form of the anisotropic Gaussian displacement parameter is  $\exp[-2\pi^2 (h^2 a^{-2} l_{11} - k^2 b^{-2} l_{12} - l^2 c^{-2} l_{33} + 2hka^{-b} \cdot l_{12} + 2hla^{-c} \cdot l_{13} + 2klb^{-c} \cdot l_{23})]$ 

 $\sim$ 

Table of	Hydrogen	Atom	Coordinates	and	Gaussian	Parameters	$(\times 10^{1})$

		a alarogen	ACOM COOLUINS		sian Parameters (×10))	I.
_	Atom	x	y	z	U	•
	H1	1399	503	2176	150	
	H2	-802	462	1641	150	
	H3	-1096	2534	1056	97	
	<b>E4</b>	4935	2058	2104	102	
	H51	18	853	598	144	
	H52	-29	1898	183	1 <b>44</b>	
	H53	2642	1424	409	1 <b>44</b>	
	H61	3802	3315	2735	182	
	H62	1541	3789	2343	182	
	H63	1188	2645	2669	182	
	H10	1052	6583	1540	173	
	H11	1442	8516	1432	213	
	H12	4590	9284	855	142	
	H13	7333	8105	322	153	
	H14	7023	6132	449	138	

Atom 1	Atom 2	Atom 3	Atom 4	Angle		Atom 1	Atom 2	Atom 3	Atom 4	Angle
C1	C2	c3	C5	-52.37 (	(0.78)	N3	<b>J</b> 4	63	N8	-1.27 ( 0.54)
C1	C2	C3	N3	-172.51 (	(0.52)	K3	N4	60	60	177.92 ( 0.53)
C4	3	<b>C3</b>	CS	129.26 (	(0.51)	C4	ЯĄ	60	<b>8</b> 8	-133.76 ( 0.48)
C4	C2	C3	E H	9.13 (	(0.52)	C4	「「」	60	60	45.43 ( 0.85)
C1	C3	C4	14	166.68 (	(0.52)	EN	C7	88	60	-7.09 ( 0.52)
<b>C1</b>	C2	C <b>4</b>	CG	-73.72 (	(0.68)	EII	C7	8	C8	-179.80 ( 0.42)
c3	C2	<b>6</b>	14	-14.94 (	(0.53)	07	C7	<b>M</b> 8	63	175.49 ( 0.53)
c3	5 5	<b>C4</b>	CG	104.66 (	(0.50)	10	C7	<b>1</b> 8	C8	2.78 ( 0.79)
<b>C2</b>	c3	<b>N</b> 3	ž4	1.11 (	(0.50)	C7	8	60	萬生	5.34 ( 0.58)
C3	C3	JI 3	C7	-141.60 (	(0.42)	C7	118	60	60	-173.83 ( 0.55)
C5	C3	N3	14	-121.28 (	(0.44)	C8	<b>8</b>	сэ	<b>M4</b>	178.09 ( 0.41)
C5	C3	<b>N</b> 3	c7	96.01 (	(0.54)	CB	81	60	60	-1.08 ( 0.84)
c3	<b>N</b> 3	14	C4	-10.71 (	(0.49)	C7	<b>J</b> I8	<b>C</b> 8	C10	79.17 ( 0.64)
c3	<b>N</b> 3	¥4	C9	-152.24 (	(0.41)	C7	<b>J</b> I8	<b>C</b> 8	C14	-94.38 ( 0.61)
с <b>7</b>	<b>1</b> 3	<b>3</b> 4	<b>C4</b>	138.34 (	(0.40)	ő	<b>31</b> 8	<b>C8</b>	C10	-92.64 ( 0.65)
с7	<b>EH</b> 3	24	60	-3.20 (	( 0.52)	C9	<b>1</b> 8	C8	C14	93.80 ( 0.60)
ទ	щ3	с7	07	-32.83 (	(0.78)	88	<b>C</b> 8	C10	C11	-178.63 ( 0.55)
c3	<b>X</b> 3	c7	88	149.76 (	(0.41)	C14	<b>C8</b>	C10	C11	-5.06 ( 0.94)
4	<b>I</b> 3	с7	07	-176.42 (	(0.50)	<b>N</b> 8	89	C14	C13	178.39 ( 0.49)
ДĄ.	N3	c7	<b>8</b>	6.17 (	(0.49)	C10	80	C14	C13	4.69 ( 0.88)
K3	14	C₿	3	15.34 (	(0.48)	C8	C10	C11	C12	1.28 ( 1.13)
<b>X</b> 3	¥4	<b>C4</b>	C6	-105.90 (	(0.50)	C10	C11	C12	C13	2.83 ( 1.10)
СЭ	<b>X</b> 4	C <b>4</b>	3	147.26 (	(0.48)	C11	C12	C13	C14	-3.10 ( 0.99)

Table of Observed and Calculated Structure Factor

182

Amplitudes of All Reflections

 $(10F_o, 10F_c, \text{ and } 10\sigma_F \text{ listed})$ 

sıgf	556	55	~ 6 0 4	113	121	140	79	160	171	371	210	175	233	737	1410	296	295	408	433	425	436	648	869	721	797	5/8 101	1373	377	439	275	1	518	1010	208	188	184	172	189 75	
FCA.	56976 58665 18735																																						
E CDS	57078 57292 18855											-		-	-			-	-				-		-	-						_							
רו- או	040 770			•			•••	•••					-																						-	-			
£ ا		f ert e		-1.	-1		-		• ~•	-	. 1 -		• ~	7	-1 -		4 -4	• ••	-	~		44	-				-	Ч	-	- •			•	• -•	-	-	- ·		
Sigf	102 117	124	138	149	175	427	184	944	247	282	415	884	1002	331	509	201	. E8	816	596	1463	8/C	1089	633	518	291	176	247	749	90e	243		183	166	80	142	128	121	112 59	
Fcalc	121225 23474 19786	31660	29266	19232	15345	1365	18345	5102 8111	9757	7183	5065 7740	1087	1420	5666	1693	9611	3096	332	619	1414	228 778	1197	2649	3296	6612 863	1993	12760	1800	4590	8428	100	10989	13307	31350	20262	42370	06/25	67840	
Fobs	121986 22582 18715	32075	27688	18296	14254	2389*	19383	4002 8446	10292	7543	4044	1253*	935*	4782	2059	-CT07	1011	-2065*	1795*	-503+	3440	-924	2030*	2680*	-913*	1699*	12563	1660*	5312	8836	1020	12046	13598	32483	19210	41965	42633	67222	
ы Ч	000	5 V 0 C	0	, a o c		11	12		0 15	0 16		610	0 20	0 21	0 55 7 7 0 0 0	67 0 7 0	0 25	0 26	1-26	1-25		1-22	1-21	1-20	1-19 1-18	1-17	1-16	1-15	1-14	1-13		11-10	1	, 6, , -,	1-1	 - -	- - -	 	
<b>1</b> : 1	ert ert ert	-1 -	1							- 1		• •			-1	• -	•				-1	<b>ا</b>	-	·		• ~•	7	~	-	r <b>1</b> +	• •	4		1	-1		-1 -		
sıgf	867 304 676	1023	228	274	256	305	22	227	407	321	404 542	1144	806	705	626 8FC	865	514	645	296	1078	577	986	606	915	553 553	936	326	558	292	BCE	202	427	704	601	863	498	202	66 66	
Fcalc	1438 2970 1361	1119	7055	4773	5530	4204	6170	6606 6606	871	2262	1087	5.5	611	ຕ.	14 5,650	1488	1476	1350	3822	1162	587	663	871	1653	1327	217	2044	1835	2916	80/1	1270	543	405	1008	513	952	4 F 4 G	43170	
Fobs	859* 2862 -1129*	600* 1414*	6229	4293	5050	3302	6103	5917	1680*	2587	-1627*	-348*	-1762*	1964	-1410	1008*	1423*	-1212	3279	-410*	-1467*	492*	-1374*	176*	1028*	-1258*	2358	1184*	2667	19/1*	1622	1330	<b>•</b> 096	+166	688*	1373*	+0011	48381	
	16	17		~ ~	4	ŝ	٥r	- 60	<del>م</del>	2:	12	ព	14	513	<u>۾</u> د	<u>-</u> د	2	m	4	n v	<b>،</b> ر	8	م ا	2:	12	12		2	<b>.</b> ,	4 U	<b>,</b> 4	5	æ	6	2	0,	- r	N -1	
ж I	222	202	=	#=	13	::	:	12	H	2:	11	13	1	3	32	12	12	2	12	35	12	12	12	22	12	ដ	ព	<u>n</u> :	22	32	12	រជ	13	13	13	25	52	10	
1 <b>;</b> 1	000	00	0	00	0	00	э с	00	0	0 0	00	0	0	0 (	50	00	0	0	0 (	00	00	0	0	0 (	00	0	0	0 0	э с	- c	• c	0	0	0	0	0 0	<b>,</b>	, C	
;5:S	439 309 309	204	232	239	653	469	995	4795	619	569	227	581	259	212	11/	383	640	347	296	245	612	1073	515	577	462	583	268	474	187	8 6 7	368	644	195	230	655	289		364	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	15169 7250	8352	9010 6359	258	3029	2445	4123	1923	362		1741	5502	4781	1937	2690	981	3415	5151	4830 6078	1466	1356	3439	692	984	2308	4758	1816	C770	3488	3644	240	14076	8548	1688	1010	1051	3596	
Fobs	2176• 1173• 4095	14582 6248	8228	8634 5205	1156	1821.	6117 6117	2185*	1255*	1306*	8050	1683•	5413	4659	-545-	2954	-2230*	2995	4537	3692 5613	-1363*	584*	1685*	1238*	1534	1470*	5382	1887*	40 / 4	2555*	2989	1287*	13739	7878	1167	3471	14160	2525	
4 1	r 20	0	22		12	15	20	6	50	2	- N	m	41	<u>م</u> ر	0 -	8	σ	2	= 2		2	5	9	2 9	9 6 I	20	0.	(	N r	าง		, vo	~	80	σ,	2.5	• •	10	
A2 1	av ar að	av av	00 0	ມຜ	8	00 O	οα	0 00	ω (	20 0	n on	9	<b>σ</b> ι	<b>თ</b> (	ה מ	۰ <b>ה</b>	σ	<b>л</b> і	סיפ	סת	5	6			5													10	
41 1	000	ני ני	0.0	00	0	οc	э с	0	0 0	<b>o</b> c	0	0	0	0 0	00	0	0	0	0 0	o c	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	<b>&gt;</b> c	) c	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	• c	<u>ی</u> د	

sigf	259 259 259 259 259	513378 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58	8	~ N @ 9 m H @ ~ M	
	0000000		222222222	20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Fcalc	461 704 9704 10360 16289	10485 5298 5298 5609 3778 9655 9655	5617 5305 5305 5305 10189 10477 6751 6751 6751 16294 10376	9704 7040 4617 1753 3332 3332 3332 1040 22898 3917 3917 973	1000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000
Fobs					226729 226729 226729 226729 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10054 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 10055 100555 100555 100555 100555 100555 100555 10
ш, 	960085 1111 1011 1011 1011		1028601		
× 1	4444 111 111	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
בו			*******		
Sigf	245 243 59 61	20140014110 20140110	00010000000000000000000000000000000000	3800000 <b>6</b> 400	<sup>。</sup> 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	*014044			10, 2 4 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	549 940 1940 1941 1941 1941 1941 1941 194
Fcalc	760 1484 1484 1615 1615	684 684 2952 2952 2952 707 2714 2714 2962	31873 6832 6096 6096 1012099 112099 112484 12484 12484 12484 12484 12484	7725 7536 4078 4348 4348 4348 1731 1731 1731 1731 2716	22812 1764 1807 1833 2207 1030 1516 1755 22873 272 972 972 1076 1076 1076 1076 1076 1076 1076 1076
Fobs					3532 1265 1265 1265 1265 1268 2203 2203 2203 2203 231 1853 3218 3218 3218 3218 3258 1156 1156
וצ	निन्। <u>।</u> । ।। प्रयुप्युप्युप्यु	,	444444444 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	,4444444444444444444444444444444444444	
יש				прарарана	en el
sigf	163 172 172 182 170	234528	2126688611 21268825053	2552388237 2552388	562 562 562 562 565 565 565 565 565 565
••••					
Fcalc	2528 742 6856 6851 6851 1997	3418 3033 3033 3033 3418 3418 1997 667 667 667	2257 742 742 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155 12155	5394 6486 6486 6486 1860 2038 3562 3562 3033 30333 4952 4739 1373	2226 1978 2564 2564 1832 1832 1832 1731 1731 1731 1733 1966 2412 1733 1966 2717 1733 4348 4348 4348 7721
Fobs					1483 5265 5265 32565 32565 33466 33466 33466 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53554 53555 53554 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 53555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 5355555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 535555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 5355555 53555555
		00000000000000000000000000000000000000	80800 ····		
ж I	~~~~~~			22109897654 2210111111 2210987655	100
ደ፣		*****	папапапа		
Sigf	132 117 117 69 61 122 109	126 62 62 1118 75 75 75 132 132	141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141 141	81381 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 1	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000
	9 2 9 4 6 5 1 3 2 9 4 6 5 1	8.4.8.8.8.8.4.6	90000000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	∞⊷Övvv444%v94666444
Fcalc	305 572 141 5368 5368	4250 4250 5725 30553 3912 3912 3912	1280 1103 1397 1364 1364 1364 1364	2554 33833 35438 35438 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 3183 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31833 31	6642 6642 113229 6642 113229 66489 113229 66489 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 114203 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11420 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 1140 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 11400 114000 114000 1100000000
Fobs					
<b>-</b> 1	იკისასი. იკისაკიკე	- 0 M 4 1 9 4 M M 4 9 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
י א קי	~~~~	<i></i>			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
וב					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Sigt	1005005883335555555555555555555555555555	458 458 317 317 317 286 427 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286
Fcalc		33355 33312 33312 33312 33350 4444 55555 55555 55555 1173 173
Fobs	1130 1132 1132 1232 1232 1232 1232 1233 1233 1233 1233 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1373 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375 1375	2656 4643 4643 7755 5486 55486 351 351 1465 1465
יי אי ואי	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	110 110 8 4 8 7 8 8 4 8 7 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
23 I		
c S195	-	
s Fcalc	3877       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       38720       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       39521       30334       11690       11691       11692       13024       13025       30334       13024       13024       13024       13024       13024       13025       1403 </td <td></td>	
Fobs	2647 12297 12297 12297 12297 12297 12297 12297 12292 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12000 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 12005 1005 1	
ਦਾ। ਸ਼ਾਸ਼ ਸ਼ਾਸ਼		ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ਲ਼ 
<u>بر</u>		
lc 51gf	200805054124805008040405015151515151515151455 3068850541455555145155555155555555555555555	
s Fcalc	12096     12096     12096     12096     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12092     12093     12093     12094     12094     12095     12104     12104     12104     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110     12110 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Fobs	12344         12344         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002         1002	
жı Æi	1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911 1911	88888888888888888888888888888888888888
3655	4.2.5.1.8.6.7.8.8.8.8.6.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5	<b>6444444444</b>
Fcalc St		
	84       84       85       85       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       86       87       86       87       87       86       86       87       87       87       87       87       87	
Eopa	7     1     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     2	
х і 4 і		

Sigf	239 430 314	348 348 301	450 545	735	1063	1422	638 1116	502 558	366	647 919	914	299 440	262	311 311	267	197	182	155	232	156	167	156	198 197	165	211	156		191	193 232	
Fcalc	10075 4330 9180 7523																													
Fobs	11316 4103 8684 6786	9129 5829 5870	2955 2148*	1295*	561+	-508+	-966+	3228*	5875	3755 9375	1852*	5053 5053	1087	6381 6381	8705 8514 1	2677	4186 ] 1018*	9927 4	5652 1135 3	7698 1	1525 1	9067 1	1 5851 1 5851	0483 1	5846	9596 4	1194*	2408	1 110	
וא	1 12 1 13 1 14	1 16 1 17 1 18	1 19	325	1 24	2-24	2-23	2-21 2-20	2-19	2-18	2-16	2-14	-1 ET-2	-11	-10			- - -	- - - - -	n N N		0.	- 0	П П		ŝ	0 r	- 69	۳ ۳ ۳	
<b>ב</b> 1	0000	~ ~ ~	000	100	100	20	201	20	0	20	~ ~	2 (2)	~ ~	2.14	~ ~	101	~ ~	101	~ ~	101	7	0 C	4 C1	2	6. 21	20	20	10	00 00	
Sigf	328 1091 852	357 516 353	802 732	891 726	592 1093	715	380	680 628	366	339 104	373	456	266	221	420 169	96	316 90	175	148 748	176	174	171	150	179	31	338	07 01 01	450	211	
Fcalc	5506 1289 4108 930																													
Fobs	7065 -831* 4453 1717*	4300 4300	-2115 -2115	902* 1469*	2110*	1570*	4066	2638*	6292	8541	7225	4296	17617	14151	3291 19552	29523	9021	1116	4378	8943	8205	8275 4052	7952	8954	9259	3648	9276	2944	7280 1	
יד וא	0 15 0 14 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15 0 15																													
<b>۲</b> ۱	~~~~	1000	200	20 0	20 20	20	1010	10	~ ~	101	~ ~	100	NN	1010	50	2 10	10	20	20	7	~	20	171	(N)	~	ni c	10	~	20	
Sigf	296 666 335 1742																													
Fcalc	3763 660 3398 657 3763	1922	1659 508	1262 1234	1090	1152	700	544	373 1670	197	741 525	2564	2562	525	795	1670	543	1111	9874	20255	18365	2371	25163	7959	PC617	9/001	15750	8509	303 <b>4</b>	
Fobs	3964 -2109* 3453 3470	946*	708*	497*	-1893 -1893	1824*	-899+	915	-1342* 513*	1439	•2442* -2442*	3358	2134.	1007-	1385*	1050	1152*	1098*	9635	19283	ISSET	1591.	25184	1983		0220	6400	0263	2653*	
- I	00000 00000																													
וא	22222	1225	122	23	223	22	95	123	32	22	ាព	25	រដ	25	12	<u>n</u> ::	12	25	0	0 0	o c	0	0	0 0	- c	0	0	00	50	
בי													•					-	. N	~ ~	<i>v</i> 0	10	2	N 6	4 C	1 (1	2	<i></i> ч г	101	
44 1																														
Sigf	474 437 441 434 1210	625 932 756	998 770	580 337	271	356	515 288	279	251	339	976	257 275	562	347 599	355	262	411	505	581	1949	10/1	669	413	20 7 . C	200	649	426	1131	166	
Fcalc Sigi	1198 474 2621 437 1921 441 1770 434 606 1210																													
		195	867 295	3192	3889	3595	1524 4556	5262	1817	2544	954	5263 4552	1525	3594 1462	3891	1915	1594	296 866	1451	E61	1976	1152	1001	587T	1262	506	1856	1218	3761	
Fcalc	1198 2621 1921 1770 606	1603* 177 700* 195 1027* 1451	2037* 867 -1764* 295	3113 3194 3113 3192	4174 3889	3135 3595	1801* 1524 4390 4556	4475 5262	6534 7191	3170 2544 6908 7195	+966 + 666-	5088 5263 4856 4552	1381 1525	3305 3594 1210* 1462	3315 3891	1764* 3191	2052* 1594	1203* 296 897* 866	1282 1451	64 F0	2122* 1976	955+ 1152	1808* 1091	5871 _650	-1386* 1262	:073* 506	1986. 1856	-829• 1218 1734 1736		

5195	383	1359			916	548	427	362	537	532	472	1096		885	665	592	625	656	270	327	648	682	316	251	241	226	55	330	255	2,9	263	251		210	12	236	254	253	7/5	611	• • •
Fcalc	4556	2810	1 4 4 4	6		191	3204	128	2261				875	2190	700	1674	2713	0122	7856	5892	2584	1816	1845	3757	078	13680	065	380.		E796	5744	EILS	1180	878	1683	080	1760	9846	918	586	>>>>
																																			•••	•••					
Edc 7	451					212	BOE	385	561	190	11	100	16	13	-220	221	222	891	868	582	230	118	2011	963	1112	136/1	692	415	503	261	610	55	101	1642	1411	1129	12	1160	166	244	5
	5 12		* u 4 +				20 C	5	23	25	35	19	17	-50	-19	=				-13	7		> 0 1 1	ñ	Ţ.	0 40 1 1 0 0	4	Ϋ́,	15	•0		<b>N</b> 0	<b>n</b> =	<u>ہ</u>	Q	~	ω (	5	32	13	;
<u>ج</u> ا	~	N (	4 0	ч с	N C		~	N (	~	NC	20	• •	101	2	N,	~	2	20	101	~	2	~ ~	• ~	2	~	20	2	20	9 U 9 U	4 M	а (	20	4 C	10	3	2	2	6 4 N C	9 Q 4 Q	10	•
sıgf	308	585	242	256			755	10	447	381	1369	672	1171	708	614	865	208	545	652	540	155	928 603	438	518	438	302	247	279	311	196	364	193	203	363	200	313	212	182	323	317	
Fcalc	4574															_	_			_																					
Fobs	5201	-1570-	2146.	5783	1:20*	0103	0/60		5105	1406T-	-495*	1495*	-901*	1763*	2169*	-3011	- CB11	2786	2175*	2792*	5759	-1244	4346	3113*	3346	5598	7166	5182	3919	13233	3115	0838 C8771	11946	3151	13227	2842	113/9	1280	5430	5809	
ייה נאכ	11				2				- - - - - -	32	22	12	1 24	5-23	-55			19	5-17	-19			-12	11-		<u>6</u> 1 1 1 1 1 1	7	γ i i	7	7	? '	70	-	2	m ·	•.	<u>_</u>	• ~	• @	σ	
۱ <del>ک</del>	~	10	10	10	10	4 0	40	4 6	N (	N 0	10	101	2	2	~ ~	N (	20	, _,	~	~ ~	NC	2	2	2	N 0	4 04	~	~ ~	10	2	~ ~	10	10	2	201	N 0	N	N 0 N 0	10	20	
Sigf	270	162	460	514	375	100	200	200	225	850	384	710	873	830	892	270	884	524	<b>66E</b>	312	9 T 4	453	1304	445		258	225	213	184	225	220	111	217	282	169	226	105	543	227	236	
Fcalc	7916	2770	2158	2982	5245	5625	5843			1927	1622	292	1197	1475	1515	3375	1037	2840	4240	7017	1007	3074	846	4137	1759	6359	9696	0666 7094	15927	6425	6389	4557	7040	4555	28187	9679	15014	2012	9386	8684	
Fobs	8255	1779*	3309	3165*	4820	3406	4510	4446	1879	-1780*	2393*	•782	-1541+	1653*	-1684	10201	1106	3092+	4948	7045	2017	3899	750*	3886	12894	7312	8731	2056 1094	16244	6818	20170	4614	6905	4527	30411	6/04 6/04	63T/	1309	9214	8488	
י ויק ו א	110	11	3 14	3 15	3 16	11	818			ដ	3 22	3 23	3 24	6-24	- 22	10-	-20	1-19	-18	-17			I-13	12		5	۳ '	) (P	۰ ب	7	ግ ና	17	0	-	2	<b>n</b> «	* v	שר	5	<b>æ</b>	•
<b>ዳ</b> 1	20	101	~	2	2	2	10	10	• •	101	2	2	2	~	20	• •	10	2	2	2 0	4 C	1 (1)	2	~ ~	N 0	101	20	2 0	101	~	NC	10	2	7	~ ~	4 C	4 C	10	3	2	•
Sigf	315 276	264	336	304	1097	288	550	306	203	450	662	942	762	849	9/6	69	562	374	96E	925 108	550	938	537	115	267	205	061	4 1 / 9 4 35	167	165	928	167	159	169	209	163	707	571	175	190	
Fcalc	5626 7998																											•	•••			_		_						~	•
Fobs	5717 7688	10192	6043	7893	-915+	8713	2460*	5165	2238	2687*	-2367*	-1488-	1074	-1104	-1751-	1851	2272*	5348	5292	5225	3217*	1663	3017*	0500	7485	16603	19669	2283*	20187	21497	7671	17457	33689	16629	7457	-51 17	20226	1568*	24818	19234	
м I М	112	5	2 14	2 15	2 16	2 17	2 18	2 19	202	2 21	2 22	2 23	5			3-21	3-20	3-19	9-18	- 19	3-15	3-14	3-13	21-2	-10	6- E	9 ( 9 (	9	3 - 5	۲۲ ۳	າ ເ າ ຕ	17	0	-	~ ~	∩ •⊲ ∩ ~	• • •	, w . ~	5	8 (	
							•																		• • •			- ei				)	61	(*) (	-7 P	4.6	16	1 (7)	en	ea (	ſ

Sigf	285	269	475	384	395	389	543	757	580	878	6963	558	961	495	558	1015	1123	322		544	526	386 386	745	511	682 907	466	441	725	116	164	149	200	945	590	720	404	2/4
Fcalc	6817 1918 2884																																				
Fobs	7077 2523• 2682•																																			0 - 6	- 6.4
-	200	י הי י	95	- 60	6	<u>.</u> ,	- 9	( m			_																2	ና 		7 î -	; == 	i	7	ੜ _	-		1
יא גו	100 100 100	22	2 10	2 7 7	5 10	22	202	2 10	201	20				11-11	; ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;			=	1:	17	:	17	=	:	12	17	1		22	10			11 14	12-10	59	10	1
										•••	•••			• • •				~ ~	~~	101	20	101	~	N (	~ ~	101	2	~ ~	N 6	10	1.01	. 0	2	~	N 6	40	4
Sigf	339 328 420	367	265	376	358	378	331	422	684	394	260	342	4 1 4 1 0 1 4 1 0 1 4 1 0 0 1 4 1 0 0 1 4 1 0 0 0 1 4 1 0 0 0 0	282	278	312 924	340	332	4 C 0 4 1	016	423 123	52	655	1436	205	596	489	601	40 0	215	915	261	422	873	293	4 J) 	n • •
Fcalc	4697 4432 1794	4408	8504 8693	3901	4335	4553	4705	3821	962 7824	4705	11274	4555	5253 8086	8695	8507	4410 1795	4429	4697	2487	2400	318	1135	1476	1441	1531	816	2198	3301	9705 6766	1710	1194	7265	2883	1919	6819 2960	5272	0.40
Fobs	4638 4634 2767	4449 6749	8389	3914	4185	1998	5481	19797	1752* 3963	4805	1770	4927	2022	8441	3254	1007*	1303	1517	+970	*868	328	369*	681.	-/1/	460	741*	388*	025	202	212	-086	692	986	070	606 010	684	-
<b>ч</b> 1	112	ο Γ	የና	Ŷ	ŝ	۔ ۲۳	1 1 1							) - (						15	19 19		191		10	12 -2			ייי היי - פי	, u		-5 7	4	۳. ۳.		i 0 10	) >
או גו	000 000	0 0 0 0	4 (1 1 1 1 1	6 7	0 0 0	20	1 CI 1 OI	5 0 7 0	0 0 0	1 C1 1 O1	0 0 (N)	ы с о с	<i>и</i> и и и	6 G G	ס רכ רא ר	7 CN	5 7	01 0 01 0	1 01 1 01	5	6 0 6 0	101	200	101	20	2 10-1			20	10 20 20	5 10	2 10 -	22	22	25	20	;
ખા	4.0.6	00	1 60	<b>T</b>	N 0	שת	0	ю,	<u>ہ</u> و		<b>a</b> 1	<b>.</b>	• •		<u> </u>		~ .						_														
S1gf	944 1205 566																																	• •			
Fcalc	812 1371 1198	1251 904	1321	608	3437	2590	5352	5653	8038 2505	4393	2237	10101	9376	5700	100C/	9697	8698	5703	9678	3494	2240	4391	2501	5654	5352	2585	2055		1322	906	1253	1197	1137	916	2400	2486	
Fobs	-1336* -893* 2386*																																				
	555S	ი. ი							29					ግና	17						e				2		9 C 8 C			-17 - 89	6		- -	- u	ໍ ວັນດ	•7	•
יא גו	2000	ά νον νον	а сі сі сі	60 01 01 01	2 00 0 0	101 101	8 8 8	20 a	000	60	αα	0 00	0	80 a (1 ()	3 00 4 10 1	00	80 0 0 0		80 20	80 0 ~~~~		80 G 01 C			8	8		8	8	60	80	DO 4	79	1.5	. 6	-6	•
<b>b</b>				_																	•••						• •			CAL.	<b>CI C</b>		11	10	104	2	•
Sigf	650 512 556	515	541	205	1011	11	1066	1001	765	629	447	1464	262	358	271	267	0C2	246	347	1145	325	873	322	255	363	19 C 19 C 19 C	269	1.4	351	275	1024		201	0.00	100	1	•
Fcalc	3223 2211 2712	2002	2188 6 <sup>°</sup>	8 1042	1370	812	1680	2445	547	2915	1337 2432	2624	10100	4591	8105	8330	2005	9432	4411	9996 910	3078	958 306 4	4416	9435	6061	9644 8333	8106	4 609	1531	6600	2622	2455	0111 0100	1 5 4 6 7 4 6	2445	1679	t C Q
	2027• 2141• 2035•																																				
<b>-</b> 1	12	61	85	52										01-7					ې د م	۰ ۲-	• •	і н с		::: -7		2 F	- on	4) 4)	9 0	•••	r N r	** ?*	• •	1 en	ra r	•• ••	' ''
	<b>000</b>	ص د	v v	ە ە	4	~ 1	44		1	<u>,                                    </u>	12	7	4,	1-	~	~ ~		~	~ '	- r.			r				1.	r.					• • •				•

Sigf	572	12.95	360	331	714	5	167	951	242	253	100	229	263	283	223	251	220	466	654 251	251	925	373	477	484	388	337	444	4 4 6 6 7 9	861	521	20	249	512	480	951	650		380	854 395	
Fcalc																																							1819 6040	
Fobs																																							1659• 1 5504 6	
1:1	m	ლ.ლ	m	"''' "	ייי ייי	היינייי היינייי	m	ጣ ፡ ጣ ፡		יים מי יים מ	9 M 9 M	с. С	יי ניי יי ניי	יי רי יי רי	שנ מו	e E	ო ი ო ი	י ר י ר	ი ო ი ო	e e	ი . ო .	ים מי יים מי	າຕ າຕ	) ო   ო	е - е -	ო ი ო ი	ח ה היי ה	0 (*) 1 (*)	с С	יי רי יי רי	) ( ) (1	1 C 1 C		+ •			- <del>-</del>	е. 4	- - -	
44   15   14   14	<b>\$</b> 56	9 m 6 6 - 5	664	666 375		508	636	356	985	100	754	513	104	278	674	287	278	8 2 8 2 8 2 8 2	272	556	238	202	332	946	305	904 171	332	751	474	232	880	416	532	668 063	206	864	165	812	6006	
Fcalc	~	6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	-																																					
Fobs		1558*		-					-																														•••	
، بر ر بد		2-19 2-19																																						
<i>1</i> : 1	<b>ה</b> ה	חיי	<b>m</b> (	., u	( <b>m</b> )	<del>ر</del>	ი. ი	n r	n m	m	m (		ייי הינ	m	m	m n	<b>n</b> m	ŝ	m (		51 C 73 C	יי היי היי	ел П	ຕ :	~ ~	2 M	5	~ ~ ~	יז וי יז רי	) (U) 1 (U) 1 (U)	9	о с п с	י וי ה ה	2 M	5 7 7	e e	m m	rir mr	n ri N m	
516t	582	795	616	4300 652	556	623	E011	325	325	341	590	337	276	236	267	512	316	339	242	1901	318	276	274	287	5210	270	343	1094	317	295	284	802		519	1510	714	1019	1149 486	1823	
Fcalo	2125																																							
Fcba	2321*																																							
י די ו אי	0 23 FC-1																																							
£ I	ო ო	i m r	יי רי רי	i m	m	-	n m	n N	n.	т <b>с</b>	<b>ה</b> ני	n m	m	m (	יי רי	יי ר	n n	m	m r	ה רי	<b>.</b>	m	m (	י רי	n m	m	<b>m</b> (	<b></b>	ה ו	n i	m o	יי רי	. m	'n	m	m (	יי	າຕ	m	
357S	830 1047																																							
Fealc	• 653 • 1342																																							
E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	-1797 -1208	1684	1728	-69-	-1382	1506	1699	-1402	2082	12721-	-2286	1332	-1163		17021	818	-1452*	-2118	-668-	2299*	ò	2786*	1712	6004	5199	5028	2841	11160	5351	10268	1112	-1182	8175	6632	2349*	1185	1530*	2124*	-789-	
sen Sen Ren	2 12 -5 2 12 -4	5 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2 12 -1	2 12 0		2 12 2 2 12 3	2 12 4	2 12 5	2 12 2 12 2 12	- H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H - H	2 12 9	2 12 10	2 13 -5		2 11 -2	2 13 -1	2 13 0		2 13 2 2 13 3	2 13 4	2 13 5		אר סכ היי	5 - C - C - C	с С	9 0 0 0	- a - c - c	9 <b>6</b> 9 0	3 0 10			11	3 0 15	3 0 16	11 0		02 0	0 21	9 0 22	
																																				· ·			• •	

sigf	419 513 607 607	5348 5346 5896 6280 6280 6280 6280 6280 6280 6280 628	763 4409 744 744 788 778	199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 199	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	*~*****	2518 2518 2526 2649 2660 2660 2660 2660 2660 2660 2660 266
fcalc 5							
- ·							
Fobs	2455	23195 21995 2086 839 839 1773 1773 2944	1357 3865 -1147 1479 1484 1484	5361 5361 6400 6400 10704	8492 3804 8028 8028 11379 2176 6961 3183 3183	60000000000000000000000000000000000000	2273 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 13
ויה ואג							
ደነ	~~~~	<b></b>	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		~~~~~~~~~~		
Sigf	860 954 627 378 378	375 977 675 388 388	444 850 740 739 850 739 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	614 188 614 025 025	444401 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 4	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	1018 425 425 581 581 581 361 319 319
calc							6220 6220 6524 6624 6524 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 65258 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Fobs F							
Ц. Ц			12221	400 40 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	232 234 234 234 234 234 232 233 233 233	96 46 46 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6	6400 4618 5651 5651 2296 5853 38796 5857 36642 36642
к I I I	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	15110987( 15110987( 15110987(	66 15 66 15 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	6 20 7-16 7-15		4944040.	
בו	<b>ຓຓຓຓຓ</b> ຓ		~~~~~		~~~~~		""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""
Sigf	327 323 333 347 534 406	705 378 349 518 518	328 407 536 315 315 832	309 606 632 431 481	1219 776 641 642 642 642 642	1961 1962 1965 1968 1968 1968 1968 1968 1968 1968 1968	60 60 60 60 60 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70
Fcelc							11259 7259 7259 7259 7294 72919 72919 7659 7659 7659 7659 7659 7659 7659 765
Fobs							011304000000000000000000000000000000000
·	ትሪታሪታ	0 0	2110 <i>0</i> 87	646949		803000000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
יא רא		******			บงงงจุรุรุรุร		ι η ει η η η η οιοριοριοριοριοριοριοριοριοριοριοριοριορ
<b>b</b>							) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
Sigf	432 653 534 844 628 628	372 430 430 402 810 285 285 285 285	273 299 411 384 384	379 555 368 368 834 574	421 3412 343 343 343 421 421 421 421 421 421 421 421 421 421	6.5 9 2 2 3 2 2 9 5 9 3 2 9 5 9 3 2 2 9 5 9 3 2 2 9 5 9 3 2 2 9 5 9 3 2 9 5 9 3 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5 9 5	0.04.04.04.44.0 0.04.00.00.11.10 0.04.44.1110.00
Fcalc	6265 1436 3209 4613 2199 3250	4250 2278 5580 8235 1655 10860 5081	10865 1659 8236 5584 2277 4251	3251 2194 4616 3211 1436 1436	1819 1819 1819 1819 1819 1819 1819 1819	1.486 1.486 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.466 1.4666 1.4666 1.4666 1.4666 1.466666 1.46666666666	(
Fobs	** **	_					
י א א ו	<b>.</b>	44444444 111111110 6046040					(a) an m(ζa) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b
<b>ב</b> 1		<b>ຓຓຓຓຓຓ</b>	ማርጉሙርትርትርትር	<b>m</b> m m m m m	5 m m m m m m m m	то на така така са са	

3513		648	527	375	910	950	50B		0	396	403	565 565	996	700 700	610	365	979	314	532	979	210	346	461	503	641		808	536	895	515	640	616	742	619	519	220	722	2	960		193	470	647	417
fcalc S	•														_									_	3945 2000																			•••
FCDs F	•																								2602					-											•••		••	
	•																								2 13																			
וא ה	•	4	(1) ( 47 - 4		• •	• •		4	4	4	4 2	4.	* *	• • •	4	<b>4</b> 2	*	<b>1</b>	9 C	* 4	. 4	4	4	- <b>1</b>	9 9 9 9		4	4 2		9 (* 7 9	• <del>•</del>	-	enio ⊶r∙				- - -	• •		) (*) * **	4 3	4 3	4	4
3575		1180	100	7777	873	1950	1028	852	612	450	727	363	10 E	489	367	686	367		10/	010	463	066	435	648	769	505	397	814	395 6 2 2	346	200	541	637	5 R 4			716		1008	854	736	856	651	959
Fcalc		292	808 907		897	790	1972	521	2331	5476	2155	5115	9761	3153	5467	3259	7227	2020	12225	2742	5093	1606	5094	2743	9665 1997	6349	7227	3260	5466	9165	2308	5115	2154		1557		1/21	200	000	1090	2585	2942	1033	2990
Fcbs		•006-	-1112-	-0001-	1611+	ċ	-1390-	-1738 •	2734	4982	2601	6311 1804 •	6001	4037	5802	2505	6666	4755	-1007	2785	4889	1351*	4673	2982	1945	4883	7441	2393	6197 3360#	8982	2422*	4136	2873*	40700	- 9277	-0001	-2201	10064	1543	1775.	1919*	1570*	2302	1402*
.н.) ж.1		0 16																							ידי רי איר רי														•					
<b>д</b> 1		•	•	* 4		4	4	4	4	•		4	- 4	. 44	4	4	•	<b>e</b> 4	* 4	-	4	*	4	•	e 4	. 4	4	4	• •	• •	4	-	••	• •	• •		* 9	• •	• •	-	4	<b>--</b>	•	4
1912		629	200	202	822	468	403	942	558	545	464		1065	588	666	517	621		770	687	723	589	466	7671	C181	453	562	1390	917	1361	667	957	405		1375		1516	1063	513	482	544	1950	829	673
Fcalc		1586		202	634	1138	1188	1078	1186	1828	1961	404 988	332	886	965	1862	1829		1187	1138	633	925	2315	106/	1020	2639	1020	1055	23160	3638	2082	166	5884		ACCO	2006	1651	1 962	5612	4048	4136	3289	1898	6/8
Fobs		1749.	-1372	-1570-	-1785*	2693*	2611*	949•	1736	2220+	2331	-2093-	-1102	1781	728*	1738	1745	- 10010	2418	1531	1359*	1987.	2856	7000	632	2929*	2003+	-730	-1554-	-686	2980*	1452*	7654	- 1-2 1-3	1012	-14004		1502+	4960	4937	4042	150*	1876	-9667
eti aki		10 11	10 17		6- 11	11 -8	11 -7	11 -6	11 -5	7	7° 1:	77		11	11 2	е П			11	11 8	11 9	11 10	12	25		12 0	12	12 12		0	0	0		- u - c	) 4 ) C		~ œ		010	0 11	0 12	EI 0	0 0	CT 0
ж. 1 м. 1		с.	• v	ייה ר היה כ	. CI	۳ 2	ຕ ~	۳ ·	רי הי	m (	י ר ה ר	- C	. m		۳ ~	~ ·		• •			~ ~	е і П	m .	יי רי רי	n m 	m		÷ ۳	 	•••	•	<b>.</b> .	••				• •		• •	4	4	•••	4 ·	•
Ets c		5 757				_		_		_				-	-																													
Fcalo									_																																			
Fobs		-2015																				•																•						
ся ( .ж.) .ас (		0 0 0 0	0 E 1 - 1 1	بو با با با با	E	3 9 -4	ຕ ເ ດ	2- 6 F	ີ ດີ (1)	ი. თი ოი	• • • •	5 m 5 m 7 m	5 5 7	3 9 5	9 6 7	6 6 6 6	00 70 70			3 9 12	3913	916 E	51 6 F		3 10-11	3 10-10	9 10 -9	8- 01 F	3 10 -6	3 10 -5	3 10 -4	E- 01 E	7-015			016		1016	3 10 5	3 10 6	3 10 7	3 10 R		3 10 10

<b>S191</b>	519 577	646 522	1055	921	840	586	785	566	451	1172 802	1283	486	783	1074	562	1946	570	946	01.1 EV01	513	1220	1231	1214	1011	706	531	658	658	748	370	847	425	200	916 9
Fcalc	2599 2321																																	
Fobs	2921* 2215* 2993*	2112*	-1192*	1196*	1389*	2592*	1448*	1983*	2860*	1770*	629*	3487	1556*	-1253* 6653	2616*	0.5	3075*	-1520*	765*	1174-	632*	1015	830 <b>•</b>	1406*	1655.	*96E2	1265*	1997-	1670*	4929	2143.	3867 1 4 4 5 <b>*</b>	4804	1545+
* I 4 I	5 5 F																																	
בי	444	' ব' ব'	44	• •	44	4	-	* 4	4.	4 4	4	••••	• •	4	• •	4 <	• •	4 4	a 4		<b>w</b> 4	4	•••	r <del>4</del>	4	4 4		-	-	4	4.	ৰ ব	• •	47
Sigf	620 979 1028	760	478 601	1114	612 704	408	495	1060	690	376	688	969 671	466	559 528	813	632	722	1007	840 840	1315	610 657	612	903 555	1272	562	1085 822	566	647	677	553	431	0 4 4 4 4 7 7	552	55.9
Fcalc	1345 2366 2529																																	
Fobs	1936* -1375* 978*	1807*	3419	-1014+	2108*	5600	3469	-988	2401*	5730	1425*	10974	3682	2688	1650*	2163*	-2206	-1325*	1392	-726*	-2429*	1972*	1160*	623	2589*	-1238-	3019*	2378*	2514*	-3136	4584	1984	2512+	2973*
ו אי י ו-	6-13 6-12 6-11																															-		
<b>ب</b> ۲	444	44	4 4	• •g• •	4	4	4 4	4	-	4	-	4	-	44	-			4 4	7 <b>4</b>	-	9 4	4	4 4	-	47 ·	44		4	4		<b>σ</b> ' τ	r 4	् भ	•7
Sigf	1224 1431 417	735 623	785 568	602	1433	750	9/21 901	1314	566 663	1311	1967	378	776	1072 622	631	660 1407	808	1051	684	873	1 P C - 3	1058	980 965	1055	365	94 94	1190	799		1239	2821	1104	546	962
91																																		
Fcalc	1401 1707 4870		2432 815	2098	1353	2313	753	748	1488	1533	318	59165	124	461	2249	121	215(	3041	58	215	121	2250	4612 2522	1249	5917	3187	1533	<b>166</b> E	1488		0 E	1024	1020	n
Fobs Fcal	-621* 1401 -444* 1707 4501 4870	1973 3414																																
	-621* -444* 4501	1793* 1973 2455* 3414	1614	2153*	*086	2061*	2461*	-704-	-2545	-671*	*0 1114	4818	1186	2871*	2673*	-431- -3 -431-	-2 -1774*	-1 1244* 0 660*	1 -2146*	2 -1490*	1 2149	5 1179*	7 2032*	8 -1102+	8220 6	11 3842	12 -949*	13 1665*	14 776*	15 642°	+2601 21	-16 996	-15 2261-	
		1793* 1973 2455* 3414	1614	2153*	*086	2061*	2461*	-704-	-2545	-671*	*0 1114	4818	1186	2871*	2673*	-431-	-1774*	-1 1244* 0 660*	1 -2146*	2 -1490*	1 2149	5 1179*	7 2032*	8 -1102*	8220 6	11 3842	12 -949*	13 1665*	14 776*	15 642°	+2601 21	-16 996	-15 2261-	
	4 / 8 -621* 4 4 5 -444* 4 4 10 4501	4 4 11 1793* 1973 4 4 12 2455* 3414	4 4 13 1614* 4 4 14 2237*	4 4 15 2153*	4 4 17 930*	4 4 18 2051* 4 5-17 -720*	4 5-16 2461*	4 5-15 -704+	4 5-13 -2545"	4 5-12 -671-	4 5-11 0*	4 5 -9 4818	4 5 -8 1186	4 5 -6 2871*	4 5 -5 2673*	4 5 -4 2395* 4 5 -3 -431*	4 5 -2 -1774*	4 5 0 660*	4 5 1 -2146*	4 5 2 -1490*	4 5 4 2149	4 5 5 1179*	4 5 7 2032*	4 5 8 -1102+		4 5 11 3842	4 5 12 -949*	4 5 3 3 665+	4 5 14 776*			4 6-16 996	4 6-15 2261•	
h k l Fobs	-621* -444* 4501	1491 4 4 11 1793* 1973 490 4 4 12 2455* 3414	356 4 4 13 1614	963 4 4 15 2153*		687 4 4 18 2051* 1517 4 5-17 -728*	489 4 5~16 2461*	411 4 5-15 -704+	451 4 5-13 2363*	732 4 5-12 -671*	743 4 5-11 0* 943 4 5-10 2224	842 4 5 -9 4818	907 4 5 -8 1186°	645 4 5 -6 2871*	789 4 5 -5 2673*	560 4 5 -4 2395* 560 4 5 -3 -431*	475 4 5 -2 -1774*	880 4 5 1 1244* 1309 4 5 0 660*	472 4 5 1 -2146*	1380 4 5 2 -1490* 373 4 5 2 -1490*	109 4 5 4 2149 <sup>e</sup>		618 4 5 7 2032*	527 4 5 8 -1102+			534 4 5 12 -949*	504 4 5 13 1665+	1010 4 5 14 776*			+0-1 4 6-16 996+	562 4 6115 2261+	5 F
Sigf h k l Fobs l	5620 459 4 7 8 -621* 5390 424 4 4 9 -444* 4588 438 4 4 10 4501	929 1491 4 4 11 1793* 1973 4588 490 4 4 12 2455* 3414	5619 356 4 4 13 1614*	297 963 4 4 15 2153*		1249 687 4 4 18 2061* 1953 1517 4 5-17 -720*	5719 489 4 5~16 2461*	4885 411 4 5-15 -704*	1210 1106 4 5-14 -2545" 3262 451 4 5-13 2163*	3194 732 4 5-12 -671*	323 743 4 5-11 0* 449 943 4 5-10 3334	1771 842 4 5 -9 4818	1173 907 4 5 -8 1186*	1573 645 4 5 -6 2871*	2314 789 4 5 -5 2673*	811 560 4 5 -4 2395	2096 475 4 5 +2 -1774*	2430 1309 4 5 0 660*	3414 472 4 5 1 -2146*	1977 1380 4 5 2 -1490* 4870 373 4 5 2 1490*	1706 1109 4 5 4 2149*	1403 756 4 5 5 1179* 2100 231 4 5 5 2179*	2118 618 4 5 7 2032*	3557 527 4 5 8 -1102*		2746 684 4 5 11 3842	4572 534 4 5 12 -949*	5072 504 4 5 13 1665+				3558 607 4 6-16 996	2119 562 4 6-15 2261• 2160 516 515 2261•	
Fcalc Sigf h k l Fobs   	459 4 7 8 -621 424 4 4 9 -444* 438 4 4 10 4501	-408* 929 1491 4 4 11 1793* 1973 4509 4588 490 4 4 12 2455* 3414	4 13 2388 205 4 4 13 1614* 6393 5619 356 4 4 14 2237*	-1374* 297 963 4 4 15 2153*	4156 4185 443 4 4 17 930*	-2213* 1249 687 4 4 18 2061* -430* 1953 1517 4 5-17 -726*	4311 5719 489 4 5~16 2461*	4545 4885 411 4 5-15 -704+	1010 1210 1100 4 5-14 -2545	2076* 3194 732 4 5-12 -671*	-2219* 323 743 4 5-11 0* -1597* 449 943 4 5-10 3274	1622* 1771 842 4 5 -9 4818	1203* 1173 907 4 5 -8 1186* 2090* 1904 548 4 5 -8 1186*	2251* 1573 645 4 5 -6 2871*	-2128* 2314 789 4 5 -5 2673*	2372° 811 560 4 5 -4 2395° 2372°	2911* 2096 475 4 5 +2 -1774*	4200 840 850 4 5 1 1244* 528* 2430 1309 4 5 0 660*	3276 3414 472 4 5 1 -2146*	~647* 1977 1380 4 5 2 -1490* 4731 4870 373 4 5 2 1400*	-995* 1706 1109 4 5 4 2149*	1190* 1403 156 4 5 5 1179* **70* 7*00 ast 4 5 5 1279*	2642° 2118 618 4 5 7 2032°	3818 3557 527 4 5 9 -1102*	8255 K G B KKK 1077 1/617 - 9258 - 9256 K G B KKK 1077 - 9256 K KKK - 9256 K KKK - 9256 K KKK - 9256 K KKKK - 9256 K KKKKKK - 9256 K KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK	2913* 2746 684 4 5 11 3842	3888 4572 534 4 5 12 -949*	4521 5072 504 4 5 3 1665*			1647* 2381 883 4 5 17 1087*	2948• 3556 607 4 6-16 996•	1024 2119 562 4 6415 2261 	ner-ter relation for the state

5515	125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125 125	227 501 910
201 201 201		-1021* 3157* 3 -2268*
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
41 H	<u>៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳៳</u>	5 7 6 0 6
01 -1 -1	666 616 616 617 755 755 755 659 659 667 769 769 769 769 769 769 769 769 76	1461 757 1028
01 101 01 101	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	
51 1 22 1 0 1 6. 1	2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:57: 2:56: 1:205: 1:205: 2:21: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205: 2:205	463* -2259* -;726*
•• 1 • 1		
41 1	ອງອາຍາມ ການ ຄຳຄາຍ ການ	ດ ທາຍ
	1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 1122422 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 12224 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 122242 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 12224 1	597 597 1010
Fcaío	22122222222222222222222222222222222222	1098
51   12   0   44	2549 2549 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2649 2659 2659 2659 27598 2659 27598 2659 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27553 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 27555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 275555 2755555 2755555 2755555 2755555 2755555 27555555555 2755555555 275555555555	2316* 1367*
- i a N 1		97 G
4° 4	ים או אי	າ ທະຍ
		866 575 797
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	956 929 929
8   0   1	25.6.0 25.6.0 25.6.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 25.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 13.5.0 14.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0 15.5.0	1433-2735-2654-
с і С і		*****
- •	<u>੶੶੶੶੶੶੶</u> ੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶੶	