National Library of Canada — Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Canadian Theses Division — Division des thèses canadiennes

Ottawa, Canada
K1A 0N4

67263

PERMISSION TO MICROFILM — AUTORISATION DE MICROFILMER

• Please print or type — Écrire en lettres moulées

Full Name of Author — Nom complet de l'auteur

UPALI NALARA[illegible]

Date of Birth — Date de naissance

12th APRIL [illegible]

Permanent Address — Résidence fixe

[illegible]

University — Université

UNIVERSITY [illegible]

[illegible]

L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈQUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

[illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

... CARDINAL ...

by

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR [illegible]

TITLE OF THESIS [illegible] COMPACTNESS [illegible]

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED

Doctor of Philosophy

YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED [illegible]

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce [illegible] copies of this thesis and to lend [illegible]

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled m-n COMPACTNESS and CARDINAL INVARIANTS submitted by U.N.B. DISSANAYAKE in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics.

(Supervisor)

(External Examiner)

ABSTRACT

In the first part of the thesis we investigate the productivity of weak m-n compactness which covers three main concepts: H(i), feebly compact and weakly Lindelöf. In the later part, we consider the possible improvements and generalizations of Arhangel'skii's famous theorem (1970),

$$|X| \leq 2^{L(X)\chi(X)}$$

for T_2 spaces, where $L(X)$ is the Lindelöf degree and $\chi(X)$ is the character of the space X.

Chapter I contains a brief history of cardinal invariants in topology and definitions of generalized topological notions with necessary basic theorems.

Chapter II is devoted to a short survey of m-n compact topological spaces and considers the problem of preservation of tightness in large products of m-n compact spaces. In this respect we show that tightness behaves similar to character in products of locally Lindelöf, [illegible] spaces and hence in products of Lindelöf, T_3 P-spaces.

In Chapter III, we introduce strong m-n filters and study products of two spaces and then large products (and weak topological [illegible]). By doing this we obtain sufficient conditions [illegible] factor spaces [illegible] the productivity of [illegible]

Chapter IV is mainly devoted to a study of two interesting cardinal functions, the Lindelöf degree, $L(X)$ and the weak Lindelöf number, $wL(X)$. Here we introduce a new cardinal function, the almost Lindelöf degree $aL(X)$, which satisfies,

$$wL(X) \leq aL(X) \leq L(X)$$

for any space X and prove that

$$|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}$$

for T_2 spaces. Next, we obtain results similar to the above involving $wL(X)$ and study relations between $L(X)$ and $wL(X)$ by introducing ... cardinal function, the turf number

INTRODUCTION

In 1929, P.S. Alexandroff and P.S. Uryshon introduced H-closed spaces as a natural generalization of compact, T_2 spaces. In 1941, C. Chevalley and O. Frink proved that an arbitrary product of H-closed spaces is H-closed. Z. Frolik, W. Comfort, A. Hager and S. Negrepontis have studied another generalization of compact (Lindelöf) spaces, namely weakly Lindelöf spaces. In 1972, M. Ulmer [69] has extended some of the results of the above mathematicians, by proving that the weakly Lindelöf property in a product space is determined by finite subproducts. The above two variations of compactness are special cases of the general concept [illegible] compactness and [illegible] of our interest is to find sufficient conditions [illegible] (and individual factor spaces) [illegible] arbitrary products.

[illegible], P.S. Alexandroff [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Nearly fifty [illegible]

[illegible]

where [illegible]

After this theorem, cardinal functions in topology played an active role. I. Juhász has written a definitive text (see [34]) in this field, including all the new cardinal functions and new results. As a natural generalization of $L(X)$, the weak Lindelöf number $wL(X)$ ($\leq L(X)$) was first introduced in [4] and there it is proved that, if X is a T_4 space, then

$$|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)} \tag{B}$$

The remaining part of our interest is to study the cardinal function $wL(X)$ in contrast to $L(X)$ and to consider possible improvements of (A) and to extend (B) to the class of T_3 spaces.

Chapter I is devoted to a brief study of basic topological notions, [illegible] regular cardi[illegible] [illegible]

Chapter II, our main aim is to estimate tightness in products of [illegible] compact spaces in the factor spaces. We begin this by giving a short survey of the theory of [illegible] compact spaces and proving a product theorem which partially extends Noble's theorem 4.[illegible] of [53]. That product theorem and the resulting [illegible] tightness are [illegible]

[illegible] is [illegible] paracompact space if and only if every [illegible]

[illegible] $> \aleph_1$

(1) Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a quasi n-paracompact space. Let n be a regular cardinal and suppose each X_i is [illegible]-n compact, <n-discrete and T_3. Then X is [illegible] n compact [illegible]

Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. Let $\vartheta_I(X) = \sup\{\vartheta(X_i) : i \in I\}$ where $\vartheta(X)$ denotes the tightness of X. We define X to be a GIC (n,i)-sp[illegible] for i = 1,2,3,4 if X is a [illegible] n [illegible] [illegible] if [illegible] GI[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

In Chapter IV, we introduce a new cardinal function, the almost Lindelöf degree, aL(X), which agrees with L(X) on T_3 spaces but which is often smaller than L(X) on T_2 spaces, and prove that

(1) $$|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}$$

for ... spaces.

Next, we introduce, via local π-bases, a new class of spaces, the ... normal spaces. This class contains T_4 spaces and

$$|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)}$$

when X is a Π-normal space. This extends (B).

Since compact subsets behave nicely in T_2 spaces, it is of interest to obtain upper bounds for the number of compact subsets ... In this direction we show that for a T_2 space X,

(2) $$|K(X)| \leq \ldots \min\left(2^{\ldots}\right)$$

(... tion 1 in [9]).

Finally, we introduce a new cardi... ... for regular spaces,

...

Each chapter is divided into sections and subsections. The main results in each subsection are labelled by letters A, B, C, D, E, etc. When we quote a result in the same subsection we use only the letter ...

subsection, then we use only the subsection number followed by the corresponding letter. We follow this pattern by indicating chapter number, section number, subsection number and the corresponding letter, as necessary.

The cardinal functions which are not defined here can be found in Juhász text [3?] and for topological concepts which are used without any special [illegible] text of Willard [??]

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my thesis advisor, Dr. S. Willard for his wisely restrained guidance and for the sizeable amount of time that he has invested in reading the thesis.

In addition, I would like to thank the University of [illegible] (Mathematics Department) for the [illegible] support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

CHAPTER I PRELIMINARIES

Cardinal Arithmetic

This section is devoted to a brief review of transfinite [illegible] rithmetic and some special properties of infinite cardinals. [illegible] notation and terminology not explained here [illegible]

[illegible]

Simple rules. Let [illegible]

(i) [illegible]

(ii) [illegible] where [illegible]

[illegible]

C **Definition**. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a linearly ordered set. Then a subset A [illegible] of X is said to be cofinal in X if for every $x \in X$, there exists [illegible] such that $x \leq a$.

Conventionally we identify an infinite cardinal α with the least ordinal [illegible] with [illegible]. Thus we can define the [illegible]finality of [illegible] as,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] out [illegible]). (See [illegible])

(i) $cf($[illegible]$)$ [illegible]

(ii) $cf($[illegible]$)$ [illegible]

(iii) [illegible]$^{cf(}$[illegible]$^{)}$ [illegible]

[illegible] $cf($[illegible]

Definition. A [illegible] is said to be regular if and only [illegible] $cf($[illegible]$)$ [illegible] be singular if and only if k [illegible]

[illegible] cardinality [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] $\aleph_1$ [illegible]).

All [illegible] regular. [illegible]

[illegible]

We note that α^{+} is always a regular cardinal for any cardinal α.

The cofinality of an infinite cardinal α can be regarded as the least cardinal β such that α can be decomposed into union of β sets, each of which has cardinality less than α. As an example $\aleph_{\omega} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \aleph_i$. Thus cf$(\aleph_{\omega}) = \aleph_0$.

Next, we will study some properties of cardinals.

F. Definition. A cardinal α is inaccessible if and only if

(i) $\alpha > \aleph_0$ and

(ii) [illegible] for all [illegible]

(iii) α is regular.

A cardinal which satisfies (i) [illegible] (iii) is called an inaccessible cardinal [illegible]

[illegible]

each i. Then we define

$$\sum\{k_i : i \in I\} = |\cup\{X_i : i \in I\}|,$$

and

$$\Pi\{k_i : i \in I\} = |\Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}|.$$

The following results will be useful in the sequel (see [30]):

(i) If λ is an infinite cardinal and $k_i > 0$ for each $i < \lambda$, then

$$\sum\{k_i : i < \lambda\} = \lambda \cdot \sup\{k_i : i < \lambda\}$$

(ii) If λ is an infinite cardinal and $\{k_i : i < \lambda\}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of non-zero cardinals, then

$$\Pi\{k_i : i < \lambda\} = (\sup\{k_i : i < \lambda\})$$ [illegible]

[illegible] introduce [illegible] will be useful in [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] infinite cardinals. Then ω^{β} denotes [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] infinite [illegible]

[illegible]

To illustrate, note that

(i) If $\alpha \geq \aleph_0$, then

$$\alpha^{\aleph_0} = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha)^{\alpha} = 2^{\alpha}$$

(ii) [illegible] $\aleph_0$

2. Cardinal Invariants

The development and use of cardinal invariants in topology has been significant. At early stages of topology, character $(\chi(X))$, pseudocharacter $(\psi(X))$ and weight $(W(X))$ played an important role. After 1970, other cardinal invariants such as the Lindelöf degree $(L(X))$, density $(d(X))$ and spread $(s(X))$ have taken an active role. As we shall see, many valuable results can be formulated in terms of cardinal invariants.

A. Basic Definitions. Let X be any topological space and let $a \in X$. Then an open neighbourhood base at a is a collection $\mathcal{V}_a$ of open subsets of X such that if V is any open subset containing a, then there exists some $U \in \mathcal{V}_a$ such that $a \in U \subset V$.

If [illegible] is a T_1 space, then a pseudobase at a is a collection [illegible] such that

$$\{a\} = \cap \{W : W \in [illegible]\}$$

(i) Let X be any topological space and let $x \in X$. Then we define, $\chi(x,X) = \min\{|\mathcal{V}_x| : \mathcal{V}_x$ is an open neighbourhood base at $x\}$ and the character $\chi(X)$ of X as:

$$\chi(X) = \sup\{\chi(x,X) : x \in X\}$$

(ii) Let X be a T_1-space and let $x \in X$. Then we define $\psi(x, X) = \min\{|\mathcal{W}_x| : \mathcal{W}_x$ is a pseudobase at $x\}$ and the pseudocharacter $\psi(X)$ of X as.

$$\psi(X) = \sup\{\psi(x,X) : x \in X\}.$$

(iii) Let X be any topological space. Then we define the weight $w(X)$ of X as,

$$w(X) = \min\{|\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base for } X\} + \aleph_0.$$

(iv) Let X be any topological space. Then we say that X is k-Lindelöf if and only if every open cover of X has a subcover of cardinality at most k. We define the Lindelöf degree $L(X)$ of X as,

$$L(X) = \min\{k : X \text{ is } k\text{-Lindelöf}\} + \aleph_0.$$

(v) Let X be any topological space. Then we define the density $d(X)$ of X as,

$$d(X) = \min\{|S| : S \text{ is dense in } X\} + \aleph_0.$$

(vi) Let X [illegible] Then we define the [illegible] of X as,

[illegible]

B. Historical Facts. We know that for any topological space X, $\psi(X) \le w(X)$. In general, the gap between $\psi(X)$ and $w(X)$ is very large. In the early part of the twentieth century, P. Alex[illegible] and P. Urysohn, proved the following remarkable result:

[illegible] Theorem. Let [illegible] countably compact [illegible] space. If ever[illegible]

[illegible]

space $(\chi(X) = \aleph_0)$.

(ii) Theorem. Let X be a compact T_2-space. Then $\chi(X) = \psi(X)$. We note that this result can be extended to locally compact T_2-spaces. Thus the weight $w(X) \leq |X|$ for all locally compact T_2-spaces.

Among all separation axioms, normality plays an important role. F.B. Jones (1937) has proved an interesting lemma concerning normal spaces. To state the lemma using cardinal invariants we require a definition.

Definition. Let X be any topological space. Then we define the closed spread $p(X)$ of X as.

$$p(X) = \sup\{|B| : B \text{ is a closed discrete subspace of } X\} + \aleph_0.$$

(iii) Lemma (Jones). If X is normal, then $p(X) < 2^{d(X)}$. We apply this lemma to the Moore plane M. For this we note that $p(M) = c$ and $d(M) = \aleph_0$. Thus M is a non normal (completely regular) T_2-space.

Actual development of cardinal invariants, has begun after 1970. This is mainly due to the famous theorem of A.V. Arhangel'skii which answered a long standing problem of P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, namely does every first countable, compact T_2 space have cardinality at most c? Arhangel'skii's answer takes the following form:

(iv) Theorem (Arhangel'skii). Let X be a T_2 space. Then

$$|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)L(X)}$$

In the latter part of 1970, the development of cardinal functions in topology has proceeded rapidly. As a result of this many new cardinal functions have been added. Among them we shall consider mainly, the following cardinal functions in addition to $\chi(X)$, $\psi(X)$, $w(X)$, $L(X)$, $d(X)$ and $s(X)$. (see [34]):

(a) tightness - $\partial(X)$, (tr[illegible] notation)

(b) π character - $\pi\chi(X)$.

(c) closed spread - $p(X)$.

(d) cellularity - $c(X)$.

Examples. The following standard examples are encountered frequently in our work and it is very useful to know the values of some cardinal functions on these spaces:

(a) the countable complement top[illegible] set with cardinality k.

(b) the Alexandroff exten[illegible]

(c) the Moore plane

(d) the Alexandroff double [illegible]

(e) the Ordinal space,

(f) the Tychonoff plank,

(g) Michael's line (al[illegible]

(h) the Sorgenfrey line

(i) the Sorge[illegible],

D. Chart. We shall give the values of χ, ψ, ∂, $\pi\chi$, d, w, p, L and s for the spaces (a) to (j) in a tabular form.

Let $\phi(X)$ be a cardinal function on a topological space X. Then $\phi^*(X)$ denotes, $\sup\{\phi(Y) : Y \subseteq X\}$. If $\phi = \phi^*$, then we say that ϕ is monotone.

We note that, χ, ψ, ∂, and w are monotone.

SPACES	$\chi(X)$	$\psi(X)$	$\partial(X)$	$\pi\chi(X)$	d(X)	c(X)	w(X)	p(X)	L(X)	s(X)
(a)	k	k	1	k	$\aleph_1$	$\aleph_0$	k	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$
(b)	c	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$
(c)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	c	c
(d)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	1	c	c	c	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c
(e)	c	c	c	$\aleph_0$	c	c	c	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c
(f)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	c	c	c	c	c
(g)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	c	c	$\aleph_0$	c	c
(h)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$
(i)	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	$\aleph_0$	c	c	c	c
(j)	k	k	k	k	log k	$\aleph_0$	k	$\aleph_0$	k	k

Next, we shall give an important result which we shall use frequently [illegible]

[illegible] Let X be [illegible] Then $|X| \leq d(X)^{\chi(X)}$ [illegible]

F. Note. It is easy to construct an example via $\mathbb{N}$, to show that T_2 cannot be relaxed to T_1 in the hypothesis of the theorem E.

G. Example. Let $X = [0,1]^c$. Then X is [illegible] and $|X| = 2^c$; $d(X) = \aleph_0$ and $\chi(X) = c$.

Thus the p si [illegible] of $d(\cdot)$ [illegible]

[illegible]

3. m-n Filters

In the theory of convergence and compactness, filters play an important role. We know that open filters are used in the study of H(i) space (see [62]) and Z filters are used in the study of the Stone Čech compactification (see [19]). We shall define m-n filters, which were first [illegible] by J. E. Vaughan [73].

A Definition [illegible] F of subsets of a set X has the [illegible] intersection property [illegible] only if for each $F' \subset F$ with $|F'|$ [illegible] $\neq \phi$.

A collection F [illegible] non-empty subsets of a [illegible] X is said to be n-stable [illegible] F' [illegible] with $|F'| < n$, there [illegible]

B Definition A filter [illegible] set X is a non-empty collection [illegible] non-empty subsets of X [illegible] under finite intersections [illegible]

[illegible] F_B [illegible] filter F is called a base for F [illegible] collection of all sets which [illegible] F_B

C Definition An m-n filter F on a set X is a filter on X which [illegible] n intersection property and has a base F_B of cardi[illegible] less than or equal to m.

[illegible] m-n stable filter F on a set X is a filter on X [illegible] F, [illegible] less than or equal to m.

Examples

(i) Let X be any ... denote the neighborhood ... at x ... infinite cardin... is ...

... the ... intersection property.

$$\ldots_n = \{\ldots : F' \subset F_B \quad |F'| \ldots n\}$$

$$|\ldots_n| \leq \sum\{\ldots\}$$

$$= m^n$$

$$\ldots m$$

... is ... stable and clearly, $\mathcal{C}_n \geq \mathcal{F}_B$ ...

... an ... stable filter and $\mathcal{G} \ldots \mathcal{I}$

... filters on a set X. Then $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$

... members of $\mathcal{F} \ldots \mathcal{G}$

... then $\mathcal{F} \vee \mathcal{G}$

4. Generalized Products

We shall out-line the main facts about the k box topology on the product $\Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. This is a generalization of the Tychonoff product topology where k is an infinite cardinal number (see [illegible]).

A. Definition. Let $W = \Pi\{W_i : i \in I\}$ where W_i is [illegible] [illegible]. Then the range of W is defined [illegible]

$$R(W) = \{i \in I : W_i \neq X_i\}$$

For example, [illegible] $= \Pi\{G_i : i \in I\}$ is a basic open subset of [illegible] in the Tychonoff [illegible] then $|R(G)| < \aleph_0$.

B. Definition. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. The topology generated by the subsets of the form $W = \Pi\{W_i : i \in I\}$ where each W_i is open in X_i and $|R(W)| < k$ is called the k-box topology on the product X and it is denoted by $(X)_k$ where $k \geq \aleph_0$.

In particular, if $k = \aleph_0$, then the k box topology on X is Tychonoff product topology. If $k > |I|$ then the [illegible] simply referred to as the box topology on X.

[illegible]

C. [illegible]

X to $X_{I'}$. In particular if $I' = \{i\}$ then we get the usual projection map $\pi_i : X \to X_i$.

D. Remark. Let $W = \Pi\{W_i : i \in I\}$ where W_i is a subset of X_i for [illegible] $i \in I$. Then we have the following:

(i) $|R(\pi_{I'}(W))| \leq |R(W)|$

(ii) $|R \; [illegible]^{-1}_{I'}(W_{I'})|$ [illegible] $|R(W_{I'})|$

(iii) If [illegible] W then $\pi_i(V) = X_i$ for all $i \in I$ [illegible] R(W).

E. Proposition. Let $U = \Pi\{U_i : i \in I\}$ and let $V = \Pi\{V_j : j \in I\}$ where U_i and V_i [illegible] X_i for each $i \in I$. Then the following are [illegible]valent

(i) U [illegible] Φ.

(ii) U_i [illegible] for some $i \in R(U) \cap R(V)$.

(iii) [illegible] and [illegible]

[illegible] U) ∩ R(V)

[illegible] (i) [illegible] (ii) [illegible] Trivial

(ii) [illegible] (iii) Trivial

[illegible] (i) Let $I' = R(U) \cap R(V)$, [illegible]

[illegible] hence U [illegible] V [illegible] Φ

[illegible]

(ii) $\pi_{I'}$ is continuous.

(iii) $\pi_{I'}$ is open.

Proof The above properties follow from remark C.

Furthermore we note the following: Let $X(I') = \{x \in X : x_i = a_i$ for $i \in I - I'\}$ where $a = (a_i)$ is a fixed point in X. Then $X(I')$ is homeomorphic to $(X_{I'})_k$, as a subspace of $(X)_k$. This follows from the fact that $\pi_{I'} | X(I')$ is a homeomorphism from $X(I')$ to $(X_{I'})_k$.

G. Definition. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let $a = (a_i)$ be a fixed point in X. Then we define the γ weak sum of $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ as follows:

$$\gamma(X) = \{x \in X : |\{i \in I : x_i \neq a_i\}| < \gamma\}$$

where γ is an infinite cardinal. We note that $\gamma(X) = \cup\{X(I') : I' \in I^{\gamma}\}$ where $I^{\gamma} = \{I' : I' \subset I$ and $|I'| < \gamma\}$. The [illegible] $\gamma(X)$ depend[illegible] on the point $a = (a_i)$ and on the [illegible] [illegible]

H. Theorem [illegible]

[illegible] $(X)_k$ [illegible]

Proof [illegible] W [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Then $p \in W$ and we shall show that $p \in \gamma(X)$. Consider

$$|\{i \in I : p_i \neq a_i\}| \leq |R(W)| < k \leq \gamma$$

and hence $p \in \gamma(X)$. Therefore $\gamma(X)$ is a dense subspace of $(X)_k$.

As a special case if we take $k = \gamma = \aleph_0$, then the weak-topological sum, $\aleph_0(\Pi X_i)$ is a dense subspace of X in the product topology.

I. Example. Let $X_i = \mathbb{N}$, for $i = 1,2,\ldots,$ then $\aleph_0(\Pi X_i)$ is not dense in $(\Pi X_i)_{\aleph_1}$ because if $a_i \neq p_i$ for all $i \in I$, then $p \notin \aleph_0(\Pi X_i)$ but $\{p\}$ is an open subset of $(\Pi X_i)_{\aleph_1}$. Thus, the condition [illegible] cannot be relaxed in G.

CHAPTER II: m - n COMPACT SPACES

1. Some Properties of m-n Compact Spaces

1.1. Basic Properties

Compactness, countable compactness and the Lindelöf property are special cases of a more general concept: m-n compactness. In this section we shall study some properties of m-n compact spaces. Our notation follows that of Noble [53]

A. Definition. Let m and n be infinite cardinals with $m \geq n$. A topological space X is said to be m-n compact if and only if every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X of cardinality $< m$ has a subcover of cardinality $< n$.

We say X is ∞-n compact if and only if X is m-n compact for all $m \geq n$.

B. Special Cases

(i) ∞-$\aleph_0$ compact spaces = compact spaces

(ii) $\aleph_0$-$\aleph_0$ compact spaces = countably compact spaces

(iii) ∞-$\aleph_1$ compact spaces = Lindelöf spaces.

We will show next that m-n filters can be used to characterize m-n compact spaces. First we require a definition.

C. Definition. Let F be a filter on a topological space X. Then we define the adherent of F as

$$\text{ad } F = \cap\{\bar{F} \mid F \in F\}.$$

The following lemma is proved by Gal [18], but the proof will be reproduced here since our terminology is different.

D. Lemma. Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) X is m-n compact,

(ii) every family of closed subsets of X with the $<n$-intersection property also has the $\leq m$-intersection property,

(iii) for every m-n filter F on X, ad $F \neq \Phi$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed subsets of X with the $<n$ intersection property. Then $\{X - F_i : i \in I\}$ does not contain an open cover of X of size $<m$ and hence $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ has the $\leq m$ intersection property.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let F be an m-n filter on X and let F_B be a base of F with $|F_B| \leq m$. Then $\bar{F}_B = \{\bar{F} : F \in F_B\}$ is a collection of closed subsets of X with the $<n$-intersection property and hence ad $F = \cap \bar{F}_B \neq \Phi$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Suppose X is not m-n compact. Then, there exists an open cover $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X with $|I| \leq m$ and no subcover of cardinality less than n. Hence,

$$F_B = \{X - (\cup\{U_i : i \in J \in P_{<\aleph_0}(I)\})\}$$

is an m-n filter base on X but ad $F_B = \Phi$. Hence, we have a contradiction.

E. Corollary. If n is regular and $m^n = m$, then a topological space X is m-n compact if and only if every m-n stable filter on X has a non-empty adherent.

F. Definition. A topological space is said to be m-n-discrete if and and only if every point of X has a m-n stable neighbourhood base.

G. Theorem. Let X be a T_3, m-n discrete space. Suppose each $x \in X$ has a m-n compact neighbourhood. If n is regular, $m^n = m$ and $\psi(X) \leq m$, then $\chi(X) < m$.

Proof. Let B_x be a collection of open neighbourhoods of $x \in X$ with $|B_x| \leq m$ and $\{x\} = \cap\{\bar{B} : B \in B_x\}$. Let K be an m-n compact neighbourhood of x. Let $U_x = \{U : U = \cap \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \in P_n(B_x)\}$. Then we shall show that $U_x|K = \{U \cap K : U \in U_x\}$ is a neighbourhood base at x. Let V be any open neighbourhood of x and suppose $(X-V) \cap (U \cap K) \neq \Phi$ for every $U \in U_x$. Then $U_x|(K \cap X-V)$ is a m-n stable filter base on K and

$$\begin{aligned} ad_K(U_x|(K \cap X-V)) &\subseteq (\cap \bar{U}_x) \cap (X-V) \cap K \\ &\subseteq (\cap \bar{B}_x) \cap (X-V) \cap K \\ &= \{x\} \cap (X-V) = \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

By corollary E, this is a contradiction. Now it follows that $\chi(X) \leq m$.

G. Corollary. Let X be a T_3, m-n compact, < n-discrete space. If n is regular, $m^{n} = m$ and $\psi(X) \leq m$, then $\chi(X) \leq m$.

1.2. Projection Maps

We shall show that, under suitable conditions on factor spaces, the projection map parallel to an m-n compact factor is a closed map.

A. Proposition. Let the projection $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$ be a closed map. Suppose Y is a < n discrete, T_1 space and $\chi(p, X) = n$ $(> \aleph_0)$ for some $p \in X$. Then Y is n-n compact.

Proof. Suppose Y is not n-n compact. Then there exists a n-n filter base $\mathcal{F}$ on Y such that $\cap \bar{\mathcal{F}} = \phi$. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in W(n)\}$$

$$K_\alpha = \cap \{F_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$$

where $W(n)$ is the least ordinal of cardinality n. Let $\mathcal{V}_p = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in W(n)\}$ be an open neighbourhood base at p and let $S_\alpha = X - V_\alpha$. Then,

$$p \in \overline{\cup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in W(n)\}}$$

and

$$p \notin \overline{\cup\{S_\alpha : \alpha < \alpha_0\}}$$

for all $\alpha_0 \in W(n)$. Let $y \in Y$. Since $\cap \bar{F} = \Phi$, there exists an $\alpha_0 \in W(n)$ and an open set W of Y such that $y \in W$ and $W \cap K_{\alpha_0} = \Phi$. We set,

$$F = \overline{\cup\{S_\alpha \times K_\alpha : \alpha \in W(n)\}}$$

and note that $(p, y) \notin F$, and hence $p \notin \pi_X(F)$. But

$$\overline{\pi_X(F)} \supset \overline{\cup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in W(n)\}}$$

and thus $p \in \overline{\pi_X(F)}$. This is a contradiction because π_X is a closed map.

B. Proposition. If X is $<m$-discrete and has character $\leq m$ and Y is m-n compact, then the projection $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$ is a closed map.

Proof. Let $F \subset X \times Y$ be a closed set and $x \in \overline{\pi_X(F)}$. Let U_x be a neighbourhood system at x in X with $|U_x| \leq m$. We set

[illegible]

and let $\pi_Y(G) = \{\pi_Y(G) : G \in G\}$. Then easily $\pi_Y(G)$ is a base for an m-n filter on Y and hence, by 2-B, we can find some $y \in \cap\{\overline{\pi_Y(G)} : G \in G\}$. Now, if V and W are neighbourhoods of x and y, then W meets $\pi_Y(\pi_X^{-1}(V) \cap F)$ and hence $\pi_Y^{-1}(W) \cap \pi_X^{-1}(V) \cap F \neq \Phi$. Thus every basic neighbourhood $V \times W$ of (x, y) in $X \times Y$ meets F and hence $(x, y) \in \bar{F} = F$. Then clearly, $x \in \pi_X(F)$.

2. Products of Lindelöf Spaces

Our main aim is to prove that a product of Lindelöf, T_3, P-spaces is Lindelöf whenever it is quasi paracompact.

2.1. Basic Terminology

We shall define quasi paracompactness and show that the class of quasi paracompact spaces is larger than the class of paracompact, T_2-spaces

A. Definition. Let X be a topological space. A collection C of subsets of X is said to be $<$ n-closure preserving if and only if for every $C' \subset C$ with $|C'| < n$,

$$\overline{\cup\{C : C \in C'\}} = \cup\{\bar{C} : C \in C'\}$$

where $n \geq \aleph_1$

B. Examples.

(i) Every locally finite collection is $<$ n-closure preserving for every n.

(ii) Let X be a $<$ n-discrete space. Then any collection of subsets of X is $<$ n-closure preserving.

C. Definition. A T_2-space X is said to be quasi n-paracompact if and only if every open cover of X has an open, $<$ n closure preserving refinement.

A T_2-space X is said to be quasi paracompact if and only if [illegible] is quasi $\aleph_1$-paracompact.

The class of quasi n paracompact spaces contains the class of paracompact spaces and the class of [illegible] n-discrete T_2 space. Thus the class of quasi paracompact spaces is larger than the [illegible] para compact, T_2-spaces.

[illegible] Countable Products

We shall consider the pr[illegible] [illegible] the product is [illegible] and T_1

A. Theorem (J. E. Vaughan [73]) If each X_j is m-n compact, [illegible] n-discrete and has character [illegible] m for i = 1, 2, . . and if n [illegible] regular and m^n = m, then [illegible] is m-n [illegible]

B. Corollary [illegible]

compact and [illegible]

n compact

Sin[illegible] [illegible]

C. Theorem [illegible]

if Lindelöf.

Next we shall consider arbitrary products [illegible]

condition. In this [illegible]

proved [illegible]

D. Theorem. If X · $\Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is a normal space and if each X_i is T_1, then all [illegible] many of the X_i are countably compact.

F. Proposition

(i) [illegible] be a T_1 [illegible] is a countably compact, [illegible] then [illegible] is finite

(ii) If [illegible] and Y is [illegible] compact, then $X \times Y$ is [illegible] compact

Proof. (i) If [illegible] a countably infinite subset B, then B is closed and discrete. This is a contradiction [illegible] X is countably compact. Hence X is finite

(ii) Standard methods work.

We shall show that normality and paracompactness coincide in the [illegible] P-spaces

[illegible] Proposition. If X [illegible] then [illegible] are equivalent

(i) X is Lindelöf [illegible]

(ii) X [illegible] normal,

(iii) [illegible]

(i) [illegible] (ii) follows from standard [illegible]

(ii) [illegible] (iii) follows from theorem D

(iii) [illegible]

G. <u>Proposition</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a normal T_1-space. If each X_i is ∞-n compact and <n-discrete, where n is a regular cardinal, then X is ∞-n compact.

Proof. By theorem D, there exists a countable subset J of I such that $X = X_J \times X_{I-J}$, where X_J is a countable product of ∞-n compact <n-discrete spaces and X_{I-J} is an [illegible]

[illegible]

Since $|I| < n$, X is ∞-n compact.

B. Corollary. Every paracompact T_2-space with a dense ∞-n compact subspace is ∞-n compact.

C. Corollary. Every separable, paracompact T_2-space is Lindelöf.

D. Theorem [theorem 2.3 of [13]]. Let $m \geq n > \gamma \geq \aleph_0$ and $n \geq \alpha \geq \aleph_0$ with [illegible] regular and strongly γ-inaccessible. Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of spaces such that $(\Pi\{X_i : i \in J\})_\alpha$ is m-n compact for all [illegible] $(\Pi$ [illegible] $)_\alpha$ is m-n compact with respect to the [illegible]

E. Corollary [illegible] regular and strongly [illegible] family of spaces such that [illegible] Then [illegible]

[illegible] such that each [illegible] regular cardinal [illegible]

[illegible] We [illegible] that, by 2 [illegible] is [illegible] compact. Hence we can [illegible] 3-E, [illegible]

[illegible] Theorem [illegible] $\{X_i$ [illegible] be a quasi n-param [illegible] space. [illegible] cross each [illegible]

<n-discrete and T_3; then X is ∞-n compact.

Proof. Since $\aleph_0(\Pi\{X_i : i \in I\})$ is a dense, ∞-n compact subspace of X, the result follows by lemma 3-A.

H. Corollary. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a quasi paracompact space. If each X_i [illegible] Lindelöf, T[illegible] [illegible] X is a Lindelöf space.

3. Locally ∞-n Compact Spaces

We define locally ∞-n compact spaces and study the basic properties of this class.

A. Definition. A topological space X is said to be locally ∞-n compact if every $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood base consisting of ∞-n compact subsets, where $n \geq \aleph_0$.

A locally ∞-$\aleph_0$ compact space is called a locally compact space and a locally ∞-$\aleph_1$ compact space is called a locally Lindelöf space.

B. Examples.

(i) The set of rationals, as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}$, is locally Lindelöf but not locally compact.

(ii) Let X be an uncountable discrete space. We adjoin an extra point p to X and specify its neighbourhoods to be $A \cup \{p\}$ where $A \subset X$ and $X - A$ is countable. The neighbourhoods of points of X remain the same. The space [illegible] is called the one point Lindelöf extension of X.

We note the following properties

(a) X^* is Lindelöf [illegible]

(b) X^* is T_3.

(c) X^* is a P-space

(d) If $A \subset X^*$ and A is compact, then $|A| < \aleph_0$.

[illegible] (d) it is clear that X^* is not locally compact.

C. <u>Some Properties.</u> The following properties are useful and they are easy consequences of the definition A:

(i) In the presence of regularity, to show that a space X is locally ∞-n compact it is sufficient to find <u>one</u> ∞-n compact neighbourhood at each $x \in X$. Thus every ∞-n compact, T_3 space is locally ∞-n compact.

(ii) Every open subspace of a regular, locally ∞-n compact space is locally ∞-n compact.

(iii) Let X be a ∞-n-discrete T_2 space. Then every locally ∞-n compact subset is the intersection of an open set and a closed set.

(iv) Let X be a locally ∞-n compact, T_3, ∞-n-discrete space. If A is dense in X, then A is locally ∞-n compact if and only if A is open.

D. <u>Definition</u>. A topological space X is said to be a <u>k(n)-space</u> if for each $A \subseteq X$, the set A is open in X if and only if $A \cap Z$ is open in Z for every ∞-n compact subset Z of X.

A $k(n)_0$ space [illegible] called [illegible] space.

E. Proposition. Every locally ∞-n compact space is a k(n)-space.

Proof. Suppose X is a locally ∞-n compact space and $A \cap Z$ is open in Z for every ∞-n compact subset Z of X. Let $a \in A$ and let M be a ∞-n compact neighbourhood of a. Then we note that

A [illegible] is open in X, and contains a [illegible] discrete spaces are locally ∞-n compact for any n, the property locally ∞-n compact need not be preserved by continuous maps.

F. Note. Continuous open maps preserve local ∞-n compactness but not continuous closed maps.

G. Theorem. Let X be a T_3-space. Then X is a $k(n)$-space if and only if X is a quotient of some locally ∞-n compact space.

Proof. Suppose X is a $k(n)$-space. Let $\mathcal{B}(n)$ denote the collection of all ∞-n compact subspaces of X. Let $|\mathcal{B}(n)| = k$. For each $B \in \mathcal{B}(n)$ we set

$$B(t) = B \times t \quad \text{where} \quad t \in k.$$

Then Y, the topological union of $\{B(t) : t \in k\}$, is locally ∞-n compact and the map $f : Y \to X$ defined by $f(x,t) = x$ is a quotient map. This completes the proof of necessity.

Suppose $p : Y \to X$ is a quotient map and Y is locally ∞-n compact. Let U be a subset of X such that $U \cap B$ is open in B for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(n)$. Let $y \in p^{-1}(U)$ and let V be a locally ∞-n compact neighbourhood of y. Then $U \cap p(V)$ is open in $p(V)$ and hence there exists an open subset G of X such that $U \cap p(V) = p(V) \cap G$. Now we note that

$$y \in p^{-1}(G) \cap \operatorname{Int} V \subset p^{-1}(U).$$

Hence $p^{-1}(U)$ is open in Y. Since p is a quotient map, U is open in X. This proves the sufficiency.

4. Tightness in Product Spaces

We consider the following question:

Is $\partial(X \times Y) \leq \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$ where X and Y are any two topological spaces?

4.1. Finite Products

We shall show that for certain classes of spaces, $\partial(X \times Y) = \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$.

A. Definition. Let $p \in X$, $S \in X$ and $p \in \bar{S}$. Then, we define

$$\partial(p,S,X) = \min\{|M| : M \subset S \text{ and } p \in \bar{M}\}$$

$$\partial(p,X) = \sup\{\partial(p,S,X) : p \in \bar{S}\}$$

and

$$\partial(X) = \sup\{\partial(p,X) : p \in X\} + \aleph_0$$

which is called the tightness of X.

B. Some Terminology. If $R = \{R_i : i \in I\}$ is any collection of topological spaces, we define,

$$\partial_I(R) = \sup\{\partial(R_i) : i \in I\}$$

We say, the product $R = \Pi\{R_i : i \in I\}$ preserve tightness if and only if

$$\partial(\Pi R_i) \leq |I| \cdot \partial_I(R).$$

For finitely many $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ this reduces to

$$\partial(\Pi X_i) \leq \partial(X_1) \cdot \partial(X_2) \ldots \partial(X_n)$$

C. Definition. A space X is called a GC(n,i)-space for $i = 1,2,3,4$ if X is an ∞-n compact, $<$ n-discrete, T_i-space.

A space X is called a GLC(n,i)-space for $i = 1,2,3,4$ if X is a locally ∞-n compact, $<$ n-discrete, T_i-space. Always, $GC(n,i) \subseteq GLC(n,i)$ for $i = 1,2,3,4$.

D. Proposition. If X is a $GC(n,3)$ space, then X is (strongly) paracompact and T_2.

Proof. The case $n = \aleph_0$ is straight-forward. We shall thus consider only $n \geq \aleph_1$. Since X is a T_3 P-space, easily X is zero-dimensional. Thus, if $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of X, then we can find a refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$, consisting of cℓ-open sets with $|\mathcal{V}| < n$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in k \in W(n)\}$. Now we set $W_\alpha = V_\alpha - \cup\{V_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ for each $\alpha \in k$. Then, clearly $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in k\}$ is an open star-finite refinement of $\mathcal{U}$. Hence X is (strongly) paracompact and T_2.

Next, we prove a basic result which generalizes Juhász's lemma for the compact case (page 113; [34]).

E. Lemma. If X is a $<$ n-discrete T_1-space and Y is an ∞-n compact regular space, then

$$\partial(X \times Y) \leq \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$$

Proof. Let $k = \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$ and suppose $H \subset X \times Y$ is k-closed. It suffices to show H is closed.

Let $(p,q) \in \bar{H}$. If $T = H \cap (\{p\} \times Y)$, then T is k-closed and hence closed in $\{p\} \times Y$, and hence closed in $X \times Y$. We need only show $q \in \pi_Y(T)$.

Suppose $q \notin \pi_Y(T)$. Since $\pi_Y | \{p\} \times Y$ is a homeomorphism, $\pi_Y(T)$ is closed in Y. Let V be a closed neighbourhood of q such that $V \cap \pi_Y(T) = \phi$. Note that since $X \times V$ is a neighbourhood of (p,q) and $(p,q) \in \bar{H}$, we have $(p,q) \in \overline{(X \times V) \cap H}$. But $(X \times Y) \cap H$ is a closed subset of H, and hence k-closed in $X \times Y$. Since Y is ∞-n compact and X is $<$n-discrete, π_X is closed by 1.2 B. Thus $\pi_X[(X \times V) \cap H]$ is k-closed and hence closed in X. Then, since π_X is continuous,

$$p \in \pi_X \overline{[(X \times V) \cap H]} \subset \overline{\pi_X[(X \times V) \cap H]}$$

$$= \pi_X[(X \times V) \cap H] .$$

So for some $v \in V$, $(p.v) \in H$. But then $v \in \pi_Y(T) \cap V$, a contradiction.

F. Theorem. If X is $<$n-discrete, T_1 and Y is locally ∞-n compact, T_3, then

$$\partial(X \times Y) \leq \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$$

Proof. Let $k = \partial(X) \cdot \partial(Y)$ and let $H \subset X \times Y$ be k closed. Choose $(p,q) \in \bar{H}$. Let V be any closed ∞-n compact neighbourhood of q. Then $X \times V$ is closed in $X \times Y$ and $\partial(X \times V) \leq k$ by D. But $H \cap (X \times V)$ is k-closed in $X \times V$, and thus closed in [illegible] $(p,q) \in Cl_{X \times V} H \cap (X \times V)$ [illegible] $(p,q) \in H$ [illegible]

G. Corollary. If $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are GLC(n,3) spaces, then

$$\partial\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_i\right) \leq \partial(X_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \partial(X_n)$$

Proof. By induction, noting that

$$X_1 \times \ldots \times X_k = (X_1 \times \ldots \times X_{k-1}) \times X_k$$

and that $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_{k-1}$ is $< n$ discrete and T_1, while X_k is locally ∞-n compact and T_3, so that

$$\partial(X_1 \times \ldots \times X_k) \leq \partial(X_1 \times \ldots \times X_{k-1}) \cdot \partial(X_k)$$

by F.

Thus, finite products of locally compact, T_2-spaces (= GLC($\aleph_0$,3)) preserve tightness and so also do finite products of locally Lindelöf T_3 P-spaces (= GLC($\aleph_1$,3)), and so on. We shall consider infinite products in the next section.

4.2. Large Products

In this section, we shall extend the results of the previous sections to arbitrary products.

A. Notation. Let $R = \Pi\{R_i : i \in I\}$ and suppose $J \subset I$. The subproduct $\Pi\{R_i : i \in J\}$ of R will be denoted by R_J and the projection of R onto R_J will be denoted by π_J. For $a \in R$ and $A \subset R$, the images $\pi_J(a)$ and $\pi_J(A)$ will be denoted by a_J and A_J respectively.

B. <u>Proposition</u>. If each finite subproduct of a product $R = \Pi\{R_i : i \in I\}$ preserves tightness, then R preserves tightness.

<u>Proof</u>. Let $R = \{R_i : i \in I\}$ and set $k = |I|\partial_I(R)$. Let $I = \{J \subset I : |J| < \aleph_0\}$. Suppose $A \subset R$ is k-closed and $a \in \bar{A}$. Then for $J \in I^{(F)}$, a_J belongs to $\pi_J(\bar{A}) \subset \bar{A}_J$. Since $\partial(R_J) \leq K$, we can find $B_J \subset A_J$ with $|B_J| \leq k$ such that $a_J \in \bar{B}_J$.

For each $b \in B_J$, choose $x_b \in A$ so that $\pi_J(x_b) = b$, and set

$$C_J = \{x_b \mid b \in B_J\}.$$

Clearly $|C_J| = |B_J| \leq k$ and hence if $C = \cup\{C_J \mid J \in I^{(F)}\}$, then $C \subset A$ and $|C| \leq k \cdot |I| = k$.

But $a \in \bar{C}$. For if $U = U_J \times \prod_{i \notin J} R_i$ is a basic open neighbourhood of a, then since $\pi_J(a) \in \overline{B_J} = \overline{\pi_J(C_J)}$, we have $U_J \cap \pi_J(C_J) \neq \phi$, and thus $U \cap C_J \neq \phi$, whence $U \cap C \neq \phi$.

Thus $a \in A$, and A is closed.

C. <u>Theorem</u>. If R_i is a [illegible] space for each [illegible] then $\partial(\Pi R_i) = |I|\partial_I(R)$

Proof. Apply B and [illegible]

In 5.9 of [31] it is shown that for any collection of compact, T_2 spaces $\{R_i : i \in I\}$, $\partial(\Pi R_i) = |I| \cdot \partial_I(R)$. The special cases of C, generalise this result.

D. Corollary. If R_i is locally compact and T_2 for each $i \in I$, then $\partial(\Pi R_i) = |I| \cdot \partial_I(R)$.

Proof. Locally compact, $T_2 \equiv GLC(\aleph_0, 3)$.

E. Corollary. If R_i is a locally Lindelöf, T_3, P-space for each $i \in I$, then $\partial(\Pi R_i) = |I| \cdot \partial_I(R)$.

Proof. Locally Lindelöf, T_3, P-space $\equiv GLC(\aleph_1, 3)$.

CHAPTER III: WEAKLY m-n COMPACT SPACES

1. Some Properties of Weakly m-n Compact Spaces

1.1. Basic Facts

The properties H(i), feebly compact and weakly Lindelöf are special cases of the general concept weak m-n compactness. In this section we shall study basic properties of weakly m-n compact spaces.

A. Definition. A topological space X is said to be weakly m-n compact if and only if every open cover of X of cardinality ≤ m has a sub family of cardinality ≤ n with dense union, where m ≥ n.

A topological space X is said to be weakly [illegible] compact if and only if X is weakly m-n compact for each m ≥ n.

B. Special Cases (see [illegible] and [60])

(i) Weakly [illegible] compact spaces = H(i) spaces

(ii) Weakly $\aleph_0$ [illegible] compact spaces [illegible]

(iii) Weakly $\aleph_1$ compact [illegible]

[illegible] H(i) spaces [illegible] called [illegible]

C. Definition [illegible] of [illegible]

weakly [illegible] is we[illegible]

[illegible]

$|\mathcal{U}| \leq m$, has a sub-family $\mathcal{V}$ with $|\mathcal{V}| < n$ and $E \subseteq \overline{\cup\mathcal{V}}$.

It is clear that every weakly m-n compact set is relatively weakly m-n compact. In general, the converse is not true, except for open subsets.

D. Example. Let X be a discrete subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$, with cardinality c. Let $Y = X \cup \mathbb{N}$ as a subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. Then X is a relatively weakly Lindelöf [illegible] but not weakly Lindelöf. Here X is a [illegible] subset of Y.

Now we shall study basic properties of weakly m-n compact spaces.

[illegible] Proposition

(i) Let n be an infinite cardinal. If $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is a [illegible] relatively weakly m-n compact subsets of X, then [illegible] relatively weakly m-n compact, provided $|I| < cf(n)$.

(ii) If [illegible] dense, relatively [illegible] m-n compact subset, [illegible] weakly m-n compact.

(iii) [illegible] space is [illegible] compact.

(iv) [illegible] m-n [illegible] space is [illegible]

[illegible] of the definition [illegible]

[illegible] m-n compact

space X. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open cover of B of cardinality [illegible]

for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we select an open subset [illegible]

$V = U_V \cap B$. Now $\mathcal{U} = \{U_V$ [illegible] $(X - B)\}$ [illegible]

$|\mathcal{U}|$ [illegible] m. Since X is [illegible]

with [illegible]

Propositi[illegible]

[illegible]rties

(i) [illegible]

(ii) [illegible]

Proof [illegible]

G Corollary. If X is weakly m-n compact and Y is compact, then $X \times Y$ is weakly m-n compact.

[illegible] projection map π_X : X × Y → X satisfies the properties [illegible]

[illegible] Special Properties

In this [illegible] special properties of weakly m-n [illegible]

A Theorem. A topological space X is weakly m-n compact if every [illegible] of X is relatively m-n compact.

Proof. [illegible] cover of X of cardinality ≤ m. If [illegible] $c\ell_X(\cup \bar{U})$. Then clearly R is [illegible] a $U' \subset U$ of [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] of section 1, we have:

[illegible] Corollary. A topological space X is weakly m-n compact if and [illegible] m-n compact.

We shall show that the property weak m-n compactness is not closed hereditary. This is one of the intrinsic differences between weak m-n compactness and m-n compactness.

C. Example. Whenever k [illegible] $n > \aleph_0$, there exists a T_2 space V such that:

(i) V is weakly [illegible] n compact, and

(ii) V has a closed discrete subspace of cardinality k.

To see this, let X be any T_2 space with disjoint dense subsets A and B, where $|B|$ [illegible] and let Y be the discrete space of cardinality [illegible]. We form V by adjoining [illegible] the product space [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] B] [illegible] A [illegible]

[illegible] U is a neighbourhood of [illegible] in [illegible]

[illegible]

E. Proposition. Let X be a regular, quasi n-paracompact space. If X is weakly ∞-n compact, then X is ∞-n compact.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open cover of X. Since X is regular, we can find an open refinement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $\bar{V} \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Now let $\mathcal{W}$ be an open, $<$n-closure preserving refinement of $\mathcal{V}$. Since X is weakly ∞-n compact, there exists a $\mathcal{W}' \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ of cardinality $<n$ and $X = \cup\{\bar{W} : W \in \mathcal{W}'\}$. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}$, $\bar{W} \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ of cardinality $<n$ and $X = \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$.

F. Corollary. The property weak ∞-n compactness coincides with ∞-n compactness in paracompact T_2 spaces and in $<$n-discrete, regular spaces.

G. Example. Let X be the one-point Lindelöf extension of an uncountable discrete space of cardinality $\aleph_1$. Let Y be the Alexandroff double [illegible]. Then Y has a closed nowhere dense subspace F of cardinality [illegible] define a new topology on Y in the following manner:

(i) the neighborhoods of points of $Y - F$ remain unchanged.

(ii) [illegible] neighborhood of points of F [illegible] the form,

$$U_p^* = (U_p - F) \cup \{p\}$$

[illegible] U_p is a neighborhood of p in the original topology of Y. Calling this space [illegible], we note that [illegible] $= [illegible]\, U_p$ for all [illegible]. Now [illegible] is a Lindelöf T_2 [illegible] we have the following:

(i) [illegible] Lindelöf [illegible]

(ii) Z is a P-space,

(iii) $L(Z) = c(Z) = k$,

(iv) Z is T_2.

Thus the class of weakly Lindelöf T_2, P spaces is larger than the class of Lindelöf, T_2, P-spaces.

2. Some Characterizations

2.1. Filters

Be generalizing the intersection properties satisfied by open filters we wish to define strong m-n filters and using this generalized notion we shall characterize weakly m-n compact spaces (see [18]).

A. Definition. A collection F of subsets of a space X is said to have the $<n$-strong intersection property if for each $F' \subseteq F$ with $|F'| < n$, we have $\mathrm{Int}(\cap F') \neq \phi$.

A collection F of non-empty subsets of a space X is said to be $<n$-strongly stable if for each $F' \subseteq F$ with $|F'| < n$ we have $\mathrm{Int}(\cap F') \supset F$ for some $F \in F$.

B. Definition. A strong m-n filter F on a space X is a filter on X which has the $<n$-strong intersection property and has a base F_B of cardinality less than or equal to m.

A strong m-n stable filter F on X is a filter on X which has the $<n$-strong stable property and has a base F_B of cardinality less than or equal to m.

C. Remark. Strong m-n filters are topological objects but m-n filters (filters) are set theoretical objects; every strong m-n filter is an m-n filter but not conversely.

D. Example. Let X be a T_1 space with character $\leq k$. Let x be a non-isolated point of X. Then the neighbourhood system V_x at x is a m-n filter but <u>not</u> a strong m-n filter, where $m \geq n > k$.

E. <u>Definition</u>. A filter F is said to be of <u>type</u> k if $|F| \leq k$ for every $F \in F_B$ where F_B is some filter base for F.

F. <u>Note</u>. Every strong m-n stable filter on a k-separable space X induces a finer m-n stable filter of type k.

G. <u>Lemma</u>. Let F be a strong m-n filter on the space X. Let n be a regular cardinal number and let $m^{\underline{n}} = \sum\{m^k : k < n\} = m$. Then there exists a strong m-n stable filter G on X such that $G \supseteq F$.

<u>Proof</u>. Let F_B be a filter base for F. Let $G_B = \{\mathrm{Int}(\cap_{<n} F') : F' \subset F_B$ and $|F'| < n\}$. Then $|G_B| \leq |F_B|^{\underline{n}} \leq m^{\underline{n}} = m$. Let $G' \subset G_B$ and $|G'| < n$. Then $\mathrm{Int}(\cap G') = \mathrm{Int}(\cap_{<n} (\mathrm{Int}(\cap_{<n} F'))) \supseteq \mathrm{Int}(\cap_{<n} F'')$ where $F'' \subset F_B$ and, since n is regular, $|F''| < n$. Hence the filter G generated by G_B is a strong m-n stable filter on X and $G \supset F$.

The above lemma shows that every strong m-n filter can be embedded in a strong m-n stable filter provided n is regular and $m^{\underline{n}} = m$.

H. <u>Theorem</u>. Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) X is weakly m-n compact.

(ii) Every family of closed subsets of X with the $<n$ strong intersection property also has the m intersection property.

(iii) Every strong m-n filter on X has an adherent point.

<u>Proof</u>. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of closed subsets of X with the $<$ n-strong intersection property. Then $\{X - F_i : i \in I\}$ contains no m-fold open cover of X and hence $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ has the $<$ m-intersection property.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let F be a strong m-n filter on X. Let F_B be a base for F such that $|F_B| \leq m$. Then $\{\bar{F} : F \in F_B\}$ has the $<$ n-strong intersection property and by the hypothesis, $\cap F_B \neq \Phi$. Therefore F has an adherent point.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If X is not weakly m-n compact, then there exists an m-fold open cover $\{G_i : i \in I\}$ of X with no dense sub-family of cardinality strictly less than n. Hence $\{X - G_i : i \in I\}$ has the $<$ n-strong intersection property and therefore $\{X - G_i : i \in I\}$ is a filter sub-base for some strong m-n filter F. But $\cap \bar{F} = \cap\{X - G_i : i \in I\} = \Phi$. We have a contradiction and hence the result.

I. <u>Corollary</u>. Let n be a regular cardinal and let $m^{\underline{n}} = m$. Then a topological space X is weakly m-n compact if and only if every strong m-n stable filter on X has a non empty adherent.

<u>Proof</u> This follows from lemma G above.

2.2 <u>Continuous Maps</u>

We wish to characterize weakly m-n compact spaces using the local character of the points of $Cl_Y f(X) - f(X)$ where $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous map. We will employ strong m-n stable filters.

A. Proposition. Let X be a $<n$-discrete, non weakly m-n compact T_2-space, where n is regular and $m^{\underline{n}} = m$. Then there exists a $<n$-discrete T_2-space Y and a continuous map $f : X \to Y$ such that

(i) $C\ell_Y\, f(X) = Y$ and

(ii) $Y - f(X)$ has a point of local character $\leq m$.

Proof. Let $F = \{F_k : k \in I\}$ be a strong m-n stable filter base on X such that $\cap \bar{F} = \Phi$. Let $Y = X \cup \{w\}$ where $w \notin X$, with the topology given by the open subsets of X together with the sets of the form $\operatorname{Int} F_k \cup \{w\}$ where $k \in I$. Then the inclusion map $i : X \to Y$ is continuous and it is easy to see that Y satisfies the above properties.

B. Proposition. Let X be a weakly m-n compact space. Let Y be a $<n$-discrete T_2-space and let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous map. Then $c\ell_Y\, f(X) - f(X)$ has no points of local character $\leq m$.

Proof. Suppose $C\ell_Y\, f(X) - f(X)$ has a point y of local character $\leq m$. Let ν be an open neighbourhood base at y with $|\nu| \leq m$. Then $\nu | f(X)$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on $f(X)$, but $\cap\{\bar{V} \mid V \in \nu\}$ ... $y \notin f(X)$. It follows that

$$\cap\{C\ell_{f(X)}(V \cap f(X)) \mid V \in \nu\} \subset \cap\{\bar{V} \mid V \in \nu\} \cap f(X)$$

$$= \emptyset$$

But then $f(X)$ is not weakly m-n compact, a contradiction.

C. Theorem. A $<n$ discrete, T_2 space X is weakly m-n compact if and only if for each $<n$-discrete T_2 space Y and for each continuous

map $f : X \to Y$, $C\ell_Y f(X) - f(X)$ has no points of local character $\leq m$, where n is regular and $m^{\underline{n}} = m$.

Proof. Necessity follows from proposition B. To prove sufficiency, suppose X is not weakly m-n compact. Then by proposition A, we have a space Y which is $<n$-discrete and T_2 while $C\ell_Y f(X) - f(X)$ contains a point of local character $\leq m$. Hence we have a contradiction.

The following special case of C is of interest:

D. Corollary. A topological space X is feebly compact if and only if for each T_2-space Y and for each continuous map $f : X \to Y$, $C\ell_Y f(X) - f(X)$ is nowhere first countable in Y.

3. Products of Two Spaces

3.1. Machinery

We extend the method of Vaughan [73] to the setting of weakly m-n compact spaces.

A. Definition. A space X is said to satisfy the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$ if and only if for every strong m-n filter base F on X, there exists a compact subset K of X and a strong m-n stable filter base G such that $G > F$ and G [illegible] U_K where U_K is an open neighbourhood base of K.

B. Proposition.

(i) Let X be a space which satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m}$ [illegible]. Then X is weakly m-n compact.

(ii) Let n be a regular cardinal and m^n [illegible] weakly m-n compact [illegible] satisfies the property [illegible] $_{m,n}$.

Proof. (i) Let F be [illegible] m-n filter [illegible] X. Then since X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$ [illegible] a strong m-n stable filter G and [illegible] compact subset K of X such that [illegible] and G [illegible]. Hence $\bar{F} \supset \bar{G}$ and [illegible] weakly m-n compact.

(ii) Let F be a strong m-n filter base [illegible] X. Then since [illegible] is regular and m^n [illegible]; there exists a strong m-n stable filter base [illegible] such that $F' > F$. Since X is weakly m-n compact [illegible] exists some $x \in \cap \bar{F}'$; let V_x be an open neighbourhood base at x with $|V_x| \leq m$. Take $K = \{x\}$ and $G = F' \vee V_K$; then we note that G is a strong $m{\cdot}n$ stable filter base on X, $G > F' > F$ and $G > V_K$. Therefore X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

C. Proposition. Let n be regular and $m^n = m$. Then every locally compact weakly $m{\cdot}n$ compact space X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

Proof. Let F be a strong $m{\cdot}n$ filter base on X. Since n is regular and $m^n = m$, there exists a strong $m{\cdot}n$ stable filter base F' such that $F' > F$ and since X is weakly $m{\cdot}n$ compact, there exists a $x \in \cap \bar{F}'$. Let K be a compact neighbourhood of x; then $F'|K = \{F \cap K : F \in F'\}$ is a strong $m{\cdot}n$ stable filter base on X and $F'|K$ [illegible] F' [illegible] F and $F'|K$ [illegible] V_K. Hence X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

D. Proposition. Let f : X [illegible] Y be a bicontinuous (open and continuous) onto map. If [illegible] property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$ then Y satisfies the property [illegible]

Proof. Let [illegible] be a strong $m{\cdot}n$ filter base on Y. Then since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(F) = \{f^{-1}(F) : F \in F\}$ is a strong $m{\cdot}n$ filter base on X and since X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$, there exists a strong $m{\cdot}n$ stable filter base [illegible] on X and a compact subset K of X such that $G > f^{-1}(F)$ and G [illegible] V_K. Then since f is open, $f(G)$ is a strong $m{\cdot}n$ stable filter base on Y and since f is onto $f(G)$ [illegible] F and $f(G)$ [illegible]. Since f is continuous, [illegible] is a compact subset of Y. [illegible] satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

E. Corollary. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ with the product topology. Suppose X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$; then every sub product of X has the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

Proof. Let $X_{I'} = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I'\}$ where $I' \subset I$. Then since $\pi_{I'} : X \to X_{I'}$ is continuous, open and onto, $X_{I'}$ [illegible]

[illegible] Products of Two Spaces

We now investigate the productivity of [illegible] via techniques of [illegible]

A. Lemma. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous [illegible]. Let [illegible] be [illegible] m-n stable filter base [illegible] and let [illegible] filter base [illegible] of [illegible] (G) [illegible]

Proof. [illegible] $|F|$ [illegible] $|H|$ [illegible] $T_H \subset F$ and [illegible] $\{T_H : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ and [illegible] since T [illegible] are [illegible] and hence $F \vee f^{-1}(G)$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on X.

8. Theorem Let n be a regular cardinal and $m^n = m$. Let X be a space which satisfies the property $\bar{1}_{m,n}$. If Y is weakly m-n compact, then X × Y is weakly m-n compact.

Proof Let F be a strong m-n stable filter on X × Y. Then, since $\pi_1 : X \times Y \to X$ is an open map, $\pi_1(F)$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on X and since X satisfies the property $\bar{1}_{m,n}$, there exists a strong m-n stable filter base G on X and compact subset K of X such that G [illegible] and G [illegible]. Let H = F ∨ $\pi_1^{-1}(G)$, then by the lemma A H [illegible] strong m-n stable filter base on X × Y. Again, since π_2 : X × Y → Y is an open map, $\pi_2(H)$ is a strong m-n stable filter [illegible] on Y and since Y is weakly m-n compact, $\cap\overline{\pi_2(H)} \neq \Phi$. [illegible] $\overline{\pi_2(H)}$ and let W be an open neighbourhood of y. Then w[illegible] $\neq \Phi$ [illegible] for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Hence [illegible] and [illegible] is weakly m-n compact.

Corollary. [illegible] compact spa[illegible] has [illegible] then [illegible]

Proof Let [illegible] and [illegible]

F. **Theorem**. Let n be a regular cardinal and $m^n = m$. Let X and Y be two spaces which satisfy the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$. Then X × Y satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$.

Proof. Let F be a strong m-n stable filter base on X × Y. Then since X satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$, there exists a strong [illegible] stable filter base G_1 on X [illegible] a compact subset K_1 [illegible] that G_1 [illegible] $\pi_1(F)$ and G_1 [illegible] K_1. Then by the lemma A, $H = F$ [illegible] $\pi_1^{-1}(G_1)$ is a strong [illegible] stable filter base on X × Y [illegible] again, since Y satisfies $\bar{I}$ [illegible] there exists a s[illegible] stable filter base G_2 on [illegible] subset K_2 [illegible] G_2 [illegible] $\pi_2(H)$ and G_2 [illegible] lemma A [illegible] strong m-n stable [illegible] $\pi_2^{-1}(G_2)$ [illegible] F [illegible]

[illegible] Theorem. Let [illegible] cardinal [illegible] m [illegible]

Proof. [illegible]

property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$, there exists a strong m-n stable filter base G_1 on X_1 and a compact subset $K_1 \subset X_1$ such that $G_1 > \pi_1(F)$ and $G_1 > V_{K_1}$. Then by lemma 2 A, $H_1 = F \vee \pi_1^{-1}(G_1)$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on X.

Now inductively assume that for each $i \leq k$, a compact $K_i \subset X_i$ and [illegible] strong m-n stable filter base G_i on X_i have been found such that

(i) $G_i > V_{K_i}$ and

(ii) $H_k = F \vee [\vee\{\pi_i^{-1}(G_i) : i = 1,2,\ldots,k\}]$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on X.

Since [illegible] satisfies the property $\bar{I}_{m,n}$, it is clear that there exists a [illegible] K_{k+1} [illegible] strong m-n stable filter base G_{k+1} on [illegible] that

(i) $G_{k+1} > V_{K_{k+1}}$, and

(ii) [illegible] $\pi_{k+1}^{-1}(G_{k+1})$ is a strong m-n stable filter base on X [illegible] inductive definition of K_i and G_i for $i = 1,2,\ldots$

$$K = \Pi\{K_i : i = 1,2,\ldots\}$$

$$H = [illegible] \{\pi_i^{-1}(G_i) : i = 1,2,\ldots\}]$$

[illegible] and H [illegible] filter base on X, [illegible] Thus

$$\bar{F} [illegible] \bar{H} = (\bar{H}) [illegible]$$

and since K is compact it follows that $(\bar{H}) \cap K \neq \phi$. Thus F has [illegible] and by 2.1.1 X is weakly m-n compact.

[illegible] Corollary. Let X = $\Pi\{X_j$ [illegible] $\}$ and let n be a regular [illegible] with [illegible]. Suppose each X_j is weakly m-n compact, [illegible]

4. Large Products

In general dense subspaces will not inherit the property weakly Lindelöf (relatively weakly Lindelöf). In this section we [illegible] the following problem in a more general setting:

> Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. Let γ be an infinite cardinal. Is the property weakly Lindelöf in $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ determined by finite sub-products of ΠX_i?

4.1 Machinery

We shall establish two special cases of the main [illegible] considering γ weak topological sums of [illegible]

A Definition. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a base for a topological space X. [illegible] is said [illegible] $\mathcal{B}$ weakly [illegible] compact if [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] compact [illegible]

(i) [illegible]

(ii) [illegible] compact

B Proposition [illegible] such that [illegible]

[illegible]

Proof. (i) If γ is regular, then $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma$ and hence $\overline{\gamma} \leq n$.

(ii) If γ is singular, then since n is regular, we have $\gamma < n$. Hence $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^{+} \leq n$.

C Remark $\overline{\gamma}$ in the proposition B is a regular cardinal.

D Notation. Let I be any indexing set. Then we denote,

(i) $|I|^{\gamma} = \sum\{|I|^{k} : k < \gamma\}$ and

(ii) $P_{\gamma}(I) = \{I' \subset I : |I'| < \gamma\}$

E Lemma. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let $m > n \geq cf(n) > |I|^{\underline{\gamma}} \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$. Suppose $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly m-n compact for all $I' \in P_{\gamma}(I)$. Then $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ is weakly m-n compact relative to $(\Pi X_i)_k$.

Proof. We note that $\gamma(\Pi X_i) = \cup\{X(I') : I' \in P_{\gamma}(I)\}$ and since $X(I')$ is homeomorphic to $(X_{I'})_k$, $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ is the $|I|^{\gamma}$ fold union of weakly m-n compact subspaces of $(\Pi X_i)_k$. Hence we have the lemma by 1.1 E.

The sets $W = \Pi\{W_i : i \in I\}$ where each W_i is open in X_i and $|F(W)| < k$ form the canonical basis for $(\Pi X_i)_k$. Let $A \subset \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$; then the canonical basis for A consists of all sets of the form $A \cap W$ with [illegible]. In this terminology we rephrase the lemma E as follows.

[illegible] I} and let $m > n \geq cf(n) > |I|^{\underline{\gamma}} \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$.

Suppose $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly m-n compact with respect to its canonical basis for all $I' \in P_{<\gamma}(I)$. Then $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ is weakly m-n compact with respect to its canonical basis.

G. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let $m \geq n > |I| \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$. Suppose n is regular and strongly γ-inaccessible and suppose $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly m-n compact for all $I' \in P_{<\gamma}(I)$. Then $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ is weakly m-n compact relative to $(\Pi X_i)_k$.

<u>Proof</u>. Consider $|I|^{\gamma} = \Sigma\{|I|^k : k < \gamma\} \geq |I| \geq \gamma$ and since n is regular and strongly γ-inaccessible we have $n = cf(n)$ and $|I|^{\underline{\gamma}} < n$. Hence $m \geq n = cf(n) > |I|^{\underline{\gamma}} \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$ and therefore we can apply lemma F to obtain the theorem.

In the above theorem there is a restriction on the [illegible] I and we wish [illegible] condition in the next [illegible]

[illegible] Main Theorem

We establish the main theorem about products of weakly m-n compact spaces, by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] forth in [1?]

A. Theorem. Let $m \geq n \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$ and let n be regular and strongly γ-inaccessible. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let $\mathcal{U}$ [illegible] $U = \Pi(U_i : i \in I)$ each U_i is open in X and $|R(U)| < \gamma\}$ where $|\mathcal{U}| \leq m$. Suppose $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly m-n compact for all $I' \in P_{<\gamma}(I)$ and $\gamma(\Pi X_i) \subset \mathcal{U}$ [illegible] $|\mathcal{U}'|$ [illegible] $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ [illegible]

Proof. Let $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma$ if γ is regular and $\overline{\gamma} = \gamma^+$ if γ is singular. Then $\overline{\gamma}$ is regular and $\overline{\gamma} \leq n$. By theorem 1-G, $\gamma(X_{I'})$ is weakly m-n compact relative to $(X_{I'})_k$, for all $I' \in P_{<\gamma}(I)$. We note that $\pi_{I'}(\gamma(\Pi X_i)) = \gamma(X_{I'})$ and hence $\{\pi_{I'}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an m-fold open cover of $\gamma(X_{I'})$ where $I' \subset I$. Let $I' \in P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I)$; then there exists a $\mathcal{U}_{I'} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{U}_{I'}| < n$ and

$$\gamma(X_{I'}) \subseteq Cl_{(X_{I'})_k}(\cup\{\pi_{I'}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_{I'}\}) . \tag{1}$$

Let $I_1 \subset I$ and $|I_1| < n$ and let $F_1 = \{\mathcal{U}_{I'} : I' \in P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_1)$ and $\mathcal{U}_{I'}$ has the property (1) . Let $I_2 = I_1 \cup R(F_1)$ where $R(F_1) = \cup\{R(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_{I'}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{I'} \in F_1\}$. Trivially $R(F_1) \subset I$ and therefore $I_2 \subset I$.

We note the following:

(i) $|R(U)| < k \leq n$.

(ii) $\mathcal{U}_{I'} \subset \mathcal{U}$, $|\mathcal{U}_{I'}| < n$ for all $I' \in P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_1)$.

(iii) $|P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_1)| \leq |I_1|^{\underline{\gamma}}$ if γ is regular and $|P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_1)| \leq |I_1|^{\underline{\gamma^+}}$ if γ is singular.

Since n is strongly γ-inaccessible, $|P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_1)| < n$ for all $\gamma \leq n$. Hence we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad |R(F_1)| < n. \\ &(ii) \quad |I_2| < n \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Inductively we define $F_\alpha = \cup\{\mathcal{U}_{I'} : I' \in P_{<\overline{\gamma}}(I_\alpha)\}$ and $I_{\alpha+1} = I_\alpha \cup R(F_\alpha)$ for $\alpha < \overline{\gamma}$. Let $I' = \cup\{I_\alpha : \alpha < \overline{\gamma}\}$ and $\mathcal{U}' = \cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \overline{\gamma}\}$. Since n is regular and $|I_\alpha| < n$ for all $\alpha < \overline{\gamma}$ we have

$$|I^*| < n \text{ and}$$
$$|\mathcal{U}'| < n . \tag{3}$$

Each $\mathcal{U}_{I'} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and therefore each $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{U}$ and hence $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$. We shall prove that

$$\gamma(\Pi X_i) \subseteq \overline{\cup \mathcal{U}'} .$$

Let $x \in \gamma(\Pi X_i)$ and let $V = \Pi\{V_i : i \in I\}$ be a basic open neighbourhood of x in $(\Pi X_i)_k$. Then we have $|R(V)| < k \leq \gamma \leq \overline{\gamma}$ and hence there exists an $\alpha < \overline{\gamma}$ such that

$$R(V) \cap I^* = R(V) \cap I_\alpha . \tag{4}$$

Let $H = R(V) \cap I_\alpha$; then $H \subset I_\alpha \subset I$ and $|H| < k < \overline{\gamma}$ and, by (1), there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}_H \cap \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ such that

$$\pi_H(U) \cap \pi_H(V) \neq \Phi . \tag{5}$$

Since $U \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, $R(U) \subset I_{\alpha+1}$ and, by (4),

$$\begin{aligned} R(U) \cap R(V) &= (R(U) \cap I_{\alpha+1}) \cap R(V) \\ &= R(U) \cap (I_{\alpha+1} \cap I^*) \cap R(V) \\ &= R(U) \cap (R(V) \cap I^*) \cap I_{\alpha+1} \\ &= R(U) \cap R(V) \cap I_\alpha \\ &\subset H \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Now $U \cap V \neq \Phi$ and therefore $V \cap (\cup \mathcal{U}') \neq \Phi$. This is true for every neighbourhood V of x and therefore we have $\gamma(\Pi X_i) \subset \overline{\cup \mathcal{U}'}$.

For $k \leq \gamma$, $\gamma(\Pi X_i)$ is a dense subspace of $(\Pi X_i)_k$ and we are ready to give the main theorem.

B. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $m \geq n > \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$ and let n be regular and strongly γ-inaccessible. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and suppose $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly m-n compact for all $I' \in P_{<\gamma}(I)$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the canonical base for the product space $(\Pi X_i)_k$. Then $(\Pi X_i)_k$ is $\mathcal{B}$-weakly m-n compact.

If n is strongly γ-inaccessible and if $d(X_i) < n$ for each $i \in I$, then each X_i has a dense subset A_i with $|A_i| < n$. Hence $A_{I'}$ is a dense subset of $(X_{I'})_k$ and $|A_{I'}| < n$ for all $I' \in P_\gamma(I)$ where $\gamma \leq n$ and $A = \Pi\{A_i : i \in I\}$. Therefore $d(X_{I'}) < n$ and hence $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly ∞-n compact for all $I' \in P_\gamma(I)$. Thus we have the following:

C. <u>Corollary</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$, let $n \geq \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$ and suppose n is regular and strongly γ-inaccessible. If $d(X_i) < n$ for all $i \in I$, then $(\Pi X_i)_k$ is weakly ∞-n compact.

4.3. Weakly ∞-n Compact Spaces

We recall that $\mathcal{B}$-weak ∞-n compactness is equivalent to weak ∞-n compactness and hence we obtain product theorems for weakly ∞-n compact spaces as special cases of theorem 2-B (see [76]).

A. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let $n > \gamma \geq k \geq \aleph_0$. Suppose n is regular and strongly γ-inaccessible. Then $(\Pi X_i)_k$ is weakly ∞-n compact if and only if $(X_{I'})_k$ is weakly ∞-n compact for all $I' \in P_\gamma(I)$.

B. <u>Corollary</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ with the usual product topology and let n be a regular cardinal. Then X is weakly ∞-n compact if and only if every finite sub-product of X is weakly ∞-n compact.

<u>Proof</u>. Necessity follows from the fact that $\pi_{I'} : X \to X_{I'}$ is continuous for every $I' \subset I$.

On the other hand, note that regular cardinals are infinite and every infinite cardinal is strongly $\aleph_0$-inaccessible. Hence taking $\gamma = k = \aleph_0$ in theorem A, we obtain sufficiency.

Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. Then, according to theorem 2.3 A, if each X_i is weakly ∞-n compact and $< n$-discrete (or each X_i is weakly ∞-n compact and locally compact) where n is regular, then $X_{I'}$ is weakly ∞-n compact, for all $I' \in P_{<\aleph_1}(I)$.

Now we are ready to produce two theorems regarding weakly ∞-n compact spaces.

C. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let n be a regular cardinal. Suppose each X_i is $<n$-discrete. Then X is weakly ∞-n compact if and only if each X_i is weakly ∞-n compact.

D. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$ and let n be an uncountable regular cardinal. Suppose each X_i is locally compact. Then X is weakly ∞-n compact if and only if each X_i is weakly ∞-n compact.

Since $\aleph_0$ and $\aleph_1$ are regular cardinals taking $n = \aleph_0$ and $n = \aleph_1$ respectively in C, yield the following results

E. Corollary.

(i) A non-empty product is H-closed if and only if each factor space is H-closed (see [39]).

(ii) A non-empty product of P-spaces is weakly Lindelöf if and only if each factor space is weakly Lindelöf.

F. Note. Let Y be the weakly Lindelöf P-space constructed in I.2 G. Then Y^k $(k > C)$ has the following properties:

(i) weakly Lindelof and T_2,

(ii) non-separable,

(iii) Non-cellular,

(iv) non normal.

Taking $n = \aleph_1$ in theorem D. we obtain the following:

G. Theorem Let $X = \Pi\{X_i : i \in I\}$. Suppose each X_i is locally compact. Then X is weakly Lindelöf if and only if each X_i is weakly Lindelöf.

CHAPTER IV: CARDINAL INVARIANTS

1. The Almost Lindelöf Number

1.1. Basic Results

In this section, we will introduce a new cardinal function, the almost Lindelöf number $aL(X)$ of a topological space X.

A. Definition. A subset E of a topological space X is said to be almost k-Lindelöf if and only if every X open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of E has a subsystem $\mathcal{U}'$ of cardinality $< k$ with $E \subset \bigcup\{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$. We now define,

$$aL(E,X) = \min\{k : E \text{ is almost } k\text{-Lindelöf}\}$$

and define the almost Lindelöf number $aL(X)$ of X as:

$$aL(X) = \sup\{aL(F,X) : F \text{ is a closed subset of } X\}.$$

B. Definition. A topological space X is said to be weakly k-Lindelöf if and only if every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X has a subsystem $\mathcal{U}'$ of cardinality $< k$ with $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}'}$. Note that this is equivalent to saying that X is weakly $< k$ compact.

We define the weak Lindelöf number $wL(X)$ of X as:

$$wL(X) = \min\{k : X \text{ is weakly } k\text{-Lindelöf}\} \quad [illegible]$$

C. Remark. For any topological space [illegible] $L(X)$ [illegible] for regular spaces [illegible] $L(X)$

We next present examples which show these cardinal functions will in general, differ.

D. Example. Let X be the closed upper half plane in $\mathbb{R}^2$ a[illegible]et $E \subset X$ be the x-axis. The basic neighbourhoods of $x \in X - E$ will be as usual in $\mathbb{R}^2$, while the basic neighbourhoods of points $Z \in E$ will take the form

$$V_\varepsilon = \{x \in X - E : \|X - Z\| < \varepsilon\} \cup \{Z\} .$$

Call this space Y.

Easily, Y is a $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ (and thus T_2) space in which E is a discrete closed subspace of cardinality $\mathfrak{C}$. It follows that $L(Y) = \mathfrak{C}$. To see that $aL(Y) = \aleph_0$, it will be enough to note that $aL(B,Y) = \aleph_0$, for any $B \subset E$, since the open upper half plane in Y is hereditarily Lindelöf and whenever A and B are disjoint in Y, $aL(A,Y) + aL(B,Y) \geq$ [illegible]. For if U is [illegible] open cover of $B \subset E$ and if R denotes [illegible] usual line topology, then

$$\{Int_R [\ldots] \ldots\} [illegible]$$

[illegible] open cover of R in $\mathbb{R}$, which is hereditarily Lindelöf.

Thus in non regular spaces, we may find $aL(X) < L(X)$.

Now [illegible] the T_2 space constructed in [illegible]. For this space [illegible]

$$aL(V) [illegible] wL(V).$$

[illegible] that, in general, the cardinal functions $wL(X)$, $aL(X)$ and [illegible] are distinct in T_2 spaces.

For notational convenience we use $\overline{\psi}(X)$ for $\psi_C(X)$ (page 8 [illegible]

E. Lemma. Let X be a T_2 space. Then $|X| \leq d(X)$ [illegible]

Proof. Let B be a dense subset of [illegible] such that $|B| \leq d(X)$ [illegible] $(X)\partial(X)$. Since $\partial(X$ [illegible] follows that

[illegible]

I. Theorem [illegible]

Proof. [illegible]

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \cup\{\mathcal{O}_x : x \in \cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\}\}$$

where $|\mathcal{U}| \leq k$ and $X - \cup\mathcal{U} \neq \Phi$, then $F_\xi - \cup\mathcal{U} \neq \Phi$. Now let $F_1 = \{p\}$, where p is an arbitrary point of X. Suppose we have defined F_α, [illegible] ξ, with $|F_\alpha| \leq 2^k$. Let

$$\mathcal{O} = \cup\{\mathcal{O}_x : x \in \bigcup_{\alpha<\xi} F_\alpha\}$$

and let $\mathcal{O}^* = \{X - \cup\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $|\mathcal{U}| \leq k\}$. We select one point from [illegible] non-empty set in $\mathcal{O}^*$ and form the set F.

We take $F_\xi = \overline{F \cup (\cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\})}$. Then F_ξ is closed and by [illegible] Now we note that $X = \cup\{F_\xi : \xi < k^+\}$ and thus [illegible]

Corollary ([illegible] Arhangel'skii, 19[illegible]0). [illegible] be a T_2 space. Then [illegible]

[illegible] X be a T_2-space. Then [illegible] $(X)^{\pi\chi(X)\bar{\psi}(X)}$.

[illegible] subset of X such that $|D| \leq d(X)$ and for [illegible] base at p [illegible] $|\mathcal{V}_p| \leq \pi\chi(X)$. Let [illegible] for each [illegible] and let

[illegible]

[illegible] of neighbour-

[illegible]

Then for each $K \in \mathcal{K}_p$, $p \in \overline{K \cap B_p}$. Hence

$$\{p\} = \cap\{\overline{K \cap B_p} : K \in \mathcal{K}_p\}.$$

Now the map $p \to \{K \cap B_p : K \in \mathcal{K}_p\}$ from X to the collection $[[B]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}$ is one to one. Thus,

$$|X| \leq (d(X)^k)^k$$
$$= d(X)^{\pi\chi(X)\psi(X)}$$

I. Corollary.

(i) Let X be a T_2 space. Then $|X| \leq d(X)^{\chi(X)}$ (see [illegible] 5 of [34]).

(ii) Let X be a T_3 space. Then $|X| \leq d(X)^{\pi\chi(X)\psi(X)}$

1.[illegible] Main Results

We shall show that for a T_2 space X, $|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}$ which improves the celebrated theorem ([illegible] G) of A.V. Arhangel'[illegible] ([illegible]970).

First we note a purely set theoretic result.

[illegible] Proposition. Let X be a set such that $|X| \geq k$ [illegible] and k [illegible]. Let $C : P_{\leq\lambda}(X) \to P_{\leq k}(X)$ be a set mapping. Then there exists a $A \subseteq X$ such that $|A| = k$ and $A \supseteq C(P)$ for every P [illegible].

Proof. We note that

$$k^{\underline{\lambda}^+} = \sum\{[illegible]^{\beta} : \beta < \lambda^+\}$$
$$[illegible] \; k.$$

Now we apply 2.24 of [34].

B. <u>Theorem</u>. Let X be a T_2-space. Then $|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\bar{\psi}(X)\pi\chi(X)\partial(X)}$.

<u>Proof</u>. Let $\beta = aL(X)\bar{\psi}(X)\pi\chi(X)\partial(X)$, and let $k = 2^{\beta}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_x$ be a collection of open neighbourhood of x such that $|\mathcal{O}_x| \leq \beta$ and $\{x\} = \cap\{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{O}_x\}$. We shall write, $\mathcal{U}_A = \cup\{\mathcal{O}_x : x \in A\}$ and let G be a set mapping,

$$P_{\leq\beta}(X) \to P_{\leq k}(X).$$

Let $A \in P_{\leq\beta}(X)$; then we set

$$\mathcal{V}_A = \{\mathcal{U} \in P_{\leq\beta}(\mathcal{U}_A) : X - \cup\{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \phi\}.$$

Now it is clear that $|\mathcal{V}_A| \leq 2^{\beta} = k$. For each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}_A$, we select $p(\mathcal{U}) \in X - \cup\{\bar{U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ and we write $G(A) = \overline{\bar{A} \cup (\cup\{p(\mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}_A\})}$.

[illegible]

$$|G(A)| \leq (2^{\beta})^{\beta}$$
$$= 2^{\beta}$$
$$= k.$$

Also we note that $G(A) \supseteq \bar{A}$ for every $A \in P_{\leq\beta}(X)$.

We now apply Proposition A to obtain a set $B \subseteq X$ with $|B| = k$ and $B \supseteq G(A)$ for every $A \in P_{\leq\beta}(B)$. We claim that $X = B$.

First, since $\partial(X) \leq \beta$, it follows that B is a closed subset of X. Now suppose $X - B \neq \phi$, say $q \in X - B$. For each $y \in B$, we select a $V_y \in \mathcal{O}_y$ such that $q \notin \bar{V}_y$ and since $aL(B,X) \leq \beta$ there exists a

$Y \in P_{<\beta}(B)$ such that $B \subseteq \cup\{\bar{V}_y : y \in Y\} \subseteq X - \{q\}$. Thus $\mathcal{U} = \{V_y : y \in Y\} \in \mathcal{V}_Y$ and by the construction, $p(\mathcal{U}) \in G(Y) \subseteq B$. But $p(\mathcal{U}) \in X - \cup\{\bar{V}_y : y \in Y\} \subseteq X - B$. Hence, we have a contradiction.

C. Corollary. Let X be a T_2 space. Then $|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}$.

Following the main lines of the proof of the theorem B, with a slight modification, we obtain the following result:

D. Theorem. Let X be a T_2-space. Then

$$|X| \leq 2^{aL^*(X)\bar{\psi}(X)}$$

We shall extend the above result, to obtain an upper bound for the cardinality of the family $K(X)$ of compact subsets of a T_2-space X.

E. Definition. We denote the pseudocharacter of a subset C of X by $\Psi(C,X)$. Then the compact pseudocharacter $\Psi_K(X)$ of X is defined as

$$\Psi_K(X) = \sup\{\Psi(C,X) : C \text{ is a compact subset of } X\}.$$

It is clear that $\psi(X) \leq \Psi_K(X)$ and we shall show that, $\Psi_K(X) \leq aL^*(X)$.

F. Proposition. Let X be a T_2-space. Then

$$\Psi_K(X) \leq aL^*(X).$$

Proof. Let $aL^*(X) = k$. Let C be a compact subset of X and let p be a point in $X - C$. Then we can find two disjoint open subsets U_p and V_p such that $p \in U_p$ and $C \subset V_p$. Thus $\bar{U}_p \cap C = \Phi$. Now since

$aL(X-C,X) \leq k$, there exists a $B \in [X-C]^{\leq k}$ such that $X - C \subseteq \cup\{\bar{U}_p : p \in B\}$. Hence $C \supseteq \cap\{X-\bar{U}_p : p \in B\}$ and since $C \subseteq X - \bar{U}_p$ for every $p \in B$. We have $\Psi(C,X) \leq k$. From this it follows that,

$$\Psi_K(X) \leq k.$$

G. Theorem. Let X be a T_2-space. Then $|K(X)| \leq 2^{aL^*(X)\bar{\psi}(X)}$.

Proof. Let $k = aL^*(X)\bar{\psi}(X)$. For any $\{p,q\} \in [X]^2$ with $p \neq q$, select disjoint open sets $U_{p,q}$ and $V_{p,q}$ such that $p \in U_{p,q}$ and $q \in V_{p,q}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the family of all finite intersections formed by sets of the form $V_{p,q}$. Then by theorem D, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq |X| \leq 2^k$. Let K be a compact subset of X and let $p \notin K$. Then there exists a $B_p \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \in B_p \subset \bar{B}_p \subset X - K$. Therefore, if F is a closed subset of $X - K$, then $\mathcal{G} = \{B_p : p \in F\}$ is an open cover of F and, since $aL(F,X) \leq k$, there exists a $\mathcal{G}' \in [\mathcal{G}]^{\leq k}$ such that

$$F \subseteq \cup\{\bar{B}_p : B_p \in \mathcal{G}'\} = A(\bar{\mathcal{G}}') \subseteq X - K.$$

Now we recall that $\Psi_K(X) \leq k$, and hence $X - K = \cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha < k\}$, where each F_α is closed. Thus we can write $X - K = \cup\{A(\bar{\mathcal{G}}') : \alpha < k\}$ and since there are at most 2^k $A(\bar{\mathcal{G}}')$ sets, it follows that

$$|K(X)| \leq (2^k)^k$$
$$= 2^k.$$

H. Proposition. Let X be a T_2-space. Then $\bar{\psi}(X) \leq L^*(X)$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let $\mathcal{V}_x$ be a collection of open neighbourhoods of x such that $\{x\} = \cap\{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}_x\}$. Then there exists a sub-collection $\mathcal{B}_x$ of $\mathcal{V}_x$ such that $|\mathcal{B}_x| \leq L^*(X)$ and

$$X - \{x\} \subsetneq \cup\{X - \bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{B}_x\}$$

Hence, $\{x\} = \cap\{\bar{V} : V \in \mathcal{B}_x\}$. Thus $\bar{\psi}(x,X) \leq L^*(X)$. Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\bar{\psi}(X) \leq L^*(X).$$

I. Remark. We conclude this section by noting that, Theorem G simultaneously generalizes two important theorems 2.1 and 2.7 of [5].

Proof. We apply theorem G and proposition H.

In the next section we shall show that, the cardinal invariant $aL(X)$ is better than $L(X)$ in respect of estimations of the cardinality of X and the cardinality of $K(X)$, for T_2-spaces.

1.3. Examples

We shall construct an example to show that there are T_2-spaces where $aL(X)$ is relatively small compared to $L(X)$ and $c(X)$.

A. Method. Let T be the product of the k copies of the unit interval I. Then T has a closed nowhere dense subset E of cardinality 2^k. Let X be the set T with topology described as follows. The neighbourhoods of points $p \in T - E$ will be unchanged in X, while neighbourhoods of points $p \in E$ will take the form

$$U_p^* = (U-E) \cup \{p\},$$

where U is a neighbourhood of p in T.

Clearly, X is a T_2-space and since E is a closed discrete subset of X of cardinality 2^k, $L(X) = 2^k$. We shall show that $aL^*(X) = k$.

B. Lemma. Let T and X be the spaces mentioned in A. Let U be an open subset in T with $p \in U \cap E$. Then $C\ell_X U_p^* \supseteq U$.

Proof. Let $x \in U$. If $x \notin E$, then $x \in U_p^*$ and therefore we assume that $x \in U \cap E$. Let V_x^* be a neighbourhood of x in X. Then $V_x^* = (V - E) \cup \{x\}$ where V is open in T. Then U and V are both neighbourhoods of x in T and hence $U \cap V$ is a neighbourhood of x in T. Since E is nowhere dense, we must have $(U \cap V) - E \neq \Phi$. Now, it follows that $V_x^* \cap U_p^* \neq \Phi$. Thus $x \in C\ell_X U_p^*$. This proves the lemma.

C. Proposition (5.3 of [34]). Let $R = \Pi\{R_i : i \in I\}$, where each R_i is a T_1-space. Then

(i) $w(R) = |I| \cdot w_I(R)$

(ii) $\psi(R) = |I| \cdot \psi_I(R)$

Now, $L^*(T) \leq k$ and $\psi(T) = k$, where T is the space mentioned in A. Thus, using the space X of A, we establish the following:

D. Proposition. For each cardinal $k \geq \aleph_0$, there is a T_2-space X with,

(i) $aL^*(X) = k$

(ii) $L(X) = 2^k$

(iii) $\chi(X) = k$.

Proof. The space X constructed in A will serve. We note that, $|X| = 2^k$; in fact according to 3-G, $|K(X)| = 2^k$.

Our next aim is to show that there are T_2-spaces X with $L(X)$ and $c(X)$ both larger than $aL(X)$.

E. Proposition. For each cardinal $k \geq \aleph_0$, there is a T_2-space Y with,

(i) $aL(Y) \leq k$

(ii) $L(Y) = 2^k$

(iii) $c(Y) = 2^k$

Proof. Let X_1 be the space constructed via T' in A. Let X_2 be the Alexandroff double of T. Then we take Y to be the topological union of X_1 and X_2. Since X_2 is an open subspace of Y and $c(X_2) = 2^k$, it follows that $Y = X_1 \oplus X_2$ has the required properties.

It is also clear that $\chi(Y) = k$ and $|Y| = 2^k$.

2. The Weak Lindelöf Number

Our main objective is to investigate the following problem:

Let X be a T_3-space. Let $wL(X)$ denote the weak Lindelöf number of X and let $\chi(X)$ denote the character of X. Is,

$$|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)} ?$$

2.1. Related Results

We shall define a new cardinal function, the quasi Lindelof number, which we shall denote by $qL(X)$, and we show that $qL(X)$ is a common lower bound for $aL(X)$ and $c(X)$.

A. Definition. A subset E of X is said to be relatively weakly k-Lindelof if and only if every X-open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of E has a subsystem $\mathcal{U}'$ of cardinality $\leq k$ with $E \subseteq \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}'}$.

Note that this is equivalent to saying that E is relatively weakly ∞-k^+ compact.

B. Definition. We define the relative weak Lindelöf number $RwL(E)$ of $E \subset X$ as, $RwL(E) = \min\{k : E$ is relatively weakly k-Lindelöf$\}$. Now we define the quasi Lindelöf number $qL(X) = \sup\{RwL(E) : E$ is a closed subset of $X\} + \omega$.

For every closed subset E of X, $RwL(E) \leq aL(X)$. Thus it is clear that, $wL(X) \leq qL(X) \leq aL(X) \leq L(X)$.

By the next proposition it will follow that $qL(X) \leq c(X)$.

C. Proposition. Let X be any topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $c(X) \leq n$,

(ii) if $\mathcal{U}$ is a collection of open subsets of X such that $X = \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}}$, then there exists a $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{U}'| \leq n$ and $X = \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}'}$,

(iii) if $\mathcal{U}$ is any collection of open subsets of X, then there exists a $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that, $|\mathcal{U}'| \leq n$ and $\cup\mathcal{U} \subseteq \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}'}$.

Proof. See 3.2 of [9].

D. Example. Let X be the product of $\aleph_1$ copies of the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. Let Y be the Alexandroff double of the unit interval I. We take V as the topological sum $X \oplus Y$. Then V is a $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ space and by c, $qL(X) = c(X) = \aleph_0$ and since $qL(V) \leq qL(X) + qL(Y)$, we conclude

(i) $qL(V) = \aleph_0$

(ii) $L(V) = \aleph_1$.

Since, in normal spaces $qL(X) = wL(X)$ we state a refinement of Juhász's theorem 2.36 [34], in the following manner:

E. Theorem. Let X be a T_3 space. Then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)qL(X)}$.

2.2. Π-Normal Spaces

We shall introduce a new class of spaces, the Π-normal (ΠN) spaces, and we prove that $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)}$ for all $X \in \Pi N$ where $T_4 \subsetneq \Pi N \subsetneq T_3$. This extends the result 2.36 of [34].

A. Definition. Let $a \in X$. A local π-base at a in X is a family $\mathcal{U}_a$ of proper, non-empty open subsets of X such that every neighbourhood of a contains a member of $\mathcal{U}_a$.

A normal local π-base is a local π-base with the property that the closures of the members are normal subspaces.

B. Definition. A T_3-space X is called Π-normal (ΠN) if and only if X has a normal local π-base $\mathcal{U}_a$ for every $a \in D$, where D is some dense subset of X.

C. Note. The following classes of spaces belong to the class ΠN:

(i) locally-metrizable and T_3,

(ii) locally paracompact and T_3,

(iii) locally normal and T_3,

(iv) locally Lindelöf and T_3.

In particular every T_4-space belongs to the class ΠN.

D. Example. The Moore plane is a locally Lindelöf, T_3-space and hence it belongs to the class ΠN, but it is not a T_4-space.

E. Definition. Let X be a topological space. Then we define,

$$\|\mathcal{U}\| = \sup\{|U| : U \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

$$A(a,X) = \min\{k : a \text{ has a local } \pi\text{-base } \mathcal{U}_a \text{ with } \|\mathcal{U}_a\| \leq k\} + \aleph_0$$

$$A(D) = \sup\{A(a,X) : a \in D\},$$

$$A(X) = \min\{A(D) : \bar{D} = X\},$$

$$R(X) = \log A(X).$$

F. Theorem. Let X be a T_3 space. Then

$$|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)R(X)}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = wL(X)\chi(X)R(X)$ and $k = 2^\alpha$. Suppose $|X| > k$. Let $\mathcal{U}_a$ be an open neighbourhood base at a with $|\mathcal{U}_a| \leq \alpha$. Let $B \in P_{\leq\alpha}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_B = \cup\{\mathcal{U}_a : a \in B\}$ and let $\mathcal{V}_B = \{\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_B : |\mathcal{U}| \leq \alpha$ and $X - \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}_B} \neq \Phi\}$. We note that $|\mathcal{V}_B| \leq k$. Since $R(X) \leq \alpha$, there exists some $D \subset X$ such that $X = \bar{D}$ and $A(D) \leq k$. Hence for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}_B$ there exists a non-empty open subset $K(\mathcal{U})$ such that,

(i) $K(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq X - \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}}$

(ii) $|K(\mathcal{U})| \leq k$.

We shall define $G : P_{\leq\alpha}(X) \to P_{\leq k}(X)$ by $G(B) = \overline{B \cup (\cup\{K(\mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}_B\})}$. Then, there exists some $A \subset X$ such that $|A| = k$ and $A \supseteq G(B)$ for every $B \in P_{\leq\alpha}(A)$. We claim that, $X = \overline{A^0}$. Suppose $X - \overline{A^0} \neq \Phi$.

Then since X is regular, there exists a point q and an open subset U in X such that $q \in U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq X - \overline{A^0}$. Let $V = X - \bar{U}$. Then $\overline{A^0} \subseteq V$ and since $RwL(\overline{A^0}) \leq \alpha$, there exists a $B \in P_{\leq\alpha}(\overline{A^0})$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A^0} &\subseteq \overline{\cup\{V_a : V_a \in \mathcal{U}_a,\ V_a \subseteq V \text{ and } a \in B\}} \\ &\subseteq \bar{V} \\ &\subseteq X - U.\end{aligned}$$

Now since $\partial(X) \leq \alpha$, A is a closed subset of X and hence $B \in P_{\leq\alpha}(A)]$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{V_a : V_a \in \mathcal{U}_a, V_a \subset V$ and $a \in B\}$; then $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}_B$ and hence we have $K(\mathcal{U}) \subset [G(B)]^0 \subseteq A^0 \subseteq \overline{A^0} \subseteq \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}}$. But $K(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq X - \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}}$. This is a contradiction. Hence it follows that $|X| \leq k$.

G. Corollary. If $X \in \Pi N$, then we have $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)}$.

Proof. By E of 2.2, we note that $R(X) \leq wL(X)\chi(X)$. Now by the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}|X| &\leq (2^{wL(X)\chi(X)})^{wL(X)\chi(X)} \\ &= 2^{wL(X)\chi(X)}.\end{aligned}$$

2.3. Normal T_1-Spaces

We shall define a new cardinal function $p\pi w(X)$ and we prove that for normal, T_1-spaces $|X| \leq p\pi w(X)^{wL(X)\psi(X)\partial(X)}$. We also obtain an upper bound for the number of compact subsets $K(X)$ in a normal, T_1-space by proving,

$$|K(X)| \le 2^{p\pi w(X) wL(X)} .$$

Let B be a dense subset of X such that $|B| \le d(X)$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{U}_p : p \in B\}$ where $\mathcal{U}_p$ is a local π-base at p. Then, clearly $\mathcal{B}$ is a π-base for X.

We shall study special open covers. An open cover $\mathcal{G}$ is said to be a strong open cover of X if it satisfies the following properties:

(i) For distinct points x and y in X, there exists a $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $x \in G$ and $y \notin G$.

(ii) If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \notin \bar{B}$, then there exists a $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $a \in G$ and $G \cap B = \phi$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the π-base above.

A. Definition (Charlesworth [9]). We define

$p\pi w(X) = \min\{k : X$ has a strong open cover $\mathcal{G}$ such that each point of X is in at most k members of $\mathcal{G}\} + \aleph_0$.

In a T_3-space, $pws(X) \le p\pi w(X) \le pw(X)$.

B. Proposition. Let X be a set, let k be an infinite cardinal and suppose $\mathcal{G} \subseteq P(X)$ is such that each point of X is in at most k members of $\mathcal{G}$. If B is a subset of X, then the cardinality of the set of all finite minimal covers of B by elements of $\mathcal{G}$ does not exceed k.

(This is Miscenko's lemma.)

C. Lemma. Let X be a T_3-space. Then $|X| \le d(X)^{\psi(X)\partial(X)}$.

Proof. Let B be a dense subset of X such that $|B| \leq d(X)$. Let $k = \psi(X)\partial(X)$. Then, since $\partial(X) \leq k$, we can write $X = \cup\{\bar{T} : T \subseteq B, |T| \leq k\}$. But note that, $w(\bar{T}) \leq \rho(\bar{T})$ and by 2.2 of [9]

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{T}| &\leq \rho(\bar{T})^{\psi(\bar{T})} \\ &\leq 2^{d(\bar{T})\psi(\bar{T})} \\ &\leq 2^k . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &\leq 2^k \cdot |B|^k \\ &\leq d(X)^k \\ &= d(X)^{\psi(X)\partial(X)} . \end{aligned}$$

D. Lemma. Let X be a normal, T_1-space. Then $d(X) \leq p\pi w(X)^{wL(X)}$.

Proof. Let $k = p\pi w(X)$ and $\lambda = wL(X)$. We shall define, $G : [X]^{\leq k^\lambda} \to [X]^{k^\lambda}$. Let $A \in [X]^{\leq k^\lambda}$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a strong open cover of X such that each point of X is in at most k members of $\mathcal{G}$. We set $\mathcal{G}_A = \{G \in \mathcal{G} : G \cap A \neq \phi\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_A = \{\mathcal{U} \in [\mathcal{G}_A]^{\leq\lambda} : X - \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}} \neq \phi\}$. Now for each $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_A$, choose $p(\mathcal{U}) \in X - \overline{\cup\mathcal{U}}$ and set $G(A) = A \cup (\cup\{p(\mathcal{U}) : \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{M}_A\}$. Since $|\mathcal{M}_A| \leq k^\lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} |G(A)| &\leq k^\lambda + k^\lambda \\ &= k^\lambda . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(A) \in [X]^{k^\lambda}$ and this completes the construction of the set mapping G.

Now, by transfinite induction, we shall construct sets A_α for

...lations Between the Lindelöf Numb...

and the Weak ...indel...f ...mbe...

A **Definition** A collection [illegible] is locally [illegible] covers of a topological space [illegible] following hold for each [illegible]

(i) [illegible]

(ii) [illegible] countable [illegible]

[illegible]

If X is a [illegible]

collection [illegible]

[illegible]

(ii) $T(M) = c$

(iii) $T(\Omega_\gamma) = \omega_1$

(iv) $T(\Gamma \; \Gamma) = c$

Definition. The pseudo-compactness number $pc(X)$ of X is defined

$pc(X) = \sup\{|G| : G$ is a locally finite collection of non-empty open subsets of $X\}$.

In this terminology, a topological space X is pseudo-compact if and only if $pc(X) \leq \aleph_0$.

It is clear that $pc(X) \leq L(X)$. This does not guarantee any relationship between Lindelöf spaces and pseudo-compact spaces. The two [illegible] different as we know by well known examples $\Omega_0 = [0,\omega_1)$

[illegible] able Lindelöf number $Q(X)$ is

$$Q(X) \cdot pc(X) + \aleph_0$$

Example [illegible] (BM II) Then $Q(X) = \aleph_0$ and $L(X)$ [illegible]

[illegible] Lindelöf

[illegible] topological space. Suppose X is weakly [illegible]

G of non-empty open subsets of X such that $|G| = m^+$. Let $G = \{G_i : i \in m^+\}$ and, for each $\alpha < m^+$, $U_\alpha = X - \cup\{\bar{G}_i : i \geq \alpha\}$. Then $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m^+\}$ is an open cover of X and since $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in m^+\}$ is an increasing collection and m^+ is a regular cardinal, it follows that X cannot be weakly m^+ m^+ compact.

G. Corollary. $Q(X) \leq wL(X)$.

In particular, $Q(X) \leq c(X)$.

H. Theorem. Let X be a regular space. Then $L(X) \leq Q(X)T(X)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = Q(X)T(X)$. Let $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a turf for X wich $|I| \leq \alpha$ and $|V_\alpha| \leq \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in I$. Let G be an open cover of X and let H be the collection of all countable unions of elements of G. We set $V = \{V : V$ [illegible] $\cap B$ [illegible] B [illegible] $\aleph_0$ [illegible]$\}$ and $V' = \{V$ [illegible] H for [illegible]$\}$. We note that $|V| \leq$ [illegible] and $|V'|$ [illegible]. We shall [illegible] $L(X)$ [illegible] then since $L(a)$ is Linde[illegible] [illegible] H such that $L(a) \subset H$ where H is an [illegible] of X. Hence there exists $F \in P_{\aleph_0}(I)$ [illegible] that $L(a) \subset$ $\cap\{\cap\{V \in V_\alpha : a \in V\} : \alpha \in F\}$ [illegible] V

Then [illegible]

REFERENCES AND REMARKS

Chapter I.

No new results are contained in this chapter. The material is introductory and can be found in standard references for the most part.

Section 1 contains an outline of basic facts about cardinal arithmetic.

Section 2 is our introduction to cardinal invariants, particularly $\chi(X)$, $\psi(X)$ and $L(X)$. Historical facts about cardinal invariants can be found in [1] and [3].

In Section 3, we study m-n filters, which were introduced and investigated by J.E. Vaughan in 1972.

Section 4 contains important theorems about generalized products; this [illegible] and Negrepontis [12].

Chapter II.

1.1G appears to be new. 1.2A and 1.2B can be found in Noble [53]. 2.2G appears to be new. 2.3A is Exercise [illegible] in Willard [80]. [illegible] 31 [illegible] 4.1F and 4.2C all seem to be new.

The example based on [illegible] given by [illegible] (Topology and Applications [illegible] 8?), 93 98) [illegible] space [illegible]

Chapter III

[illegible] and [illegible].2C [illegible] be new. 2.1C is analogous to [illegible] but [illegible] are to be [illegible] and

Todd [29]. All of 3.2B, 32.E, .3.3A, 4.1E and 4.2A seem to be new. 4.3[illegible] appears as 1.3 in Ulmer's [69]. Although 4.3C, 4.3D and 4.3G may be [illegible] similar theorems for m-n compactness can be found in Vaughan [illegible]

Theorem 3.3A unifies Theorems 4.6 and 4.[illegible] [illegible] Scarborough and Stone [62].

Chapter IV.

The proof of 1.[illegible] given here is simpler than the [illegible] 2.27 of [34]). [illegible] is an improvement of Theorem [illegible] well known closure techniques of [illegible] does 1.2C, but [illegible] Juhasz' [34]. [illegible]

The material [illegible] 2.2 likewise [illegible]

[illegible]

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1 ALEXANDROFF, P. Some results in the theory of topological spaces. Russian Math. Surveys 15 2 (1960), 23-83.

ARHANGEL'SKII, A.V. On the cardinality of 1st countable compacta. Soviet Math. Dokl. 10 (1969), 951-955.

ARHANGEL'SKII, A.V. Structure and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants. Russian Math. Surveys 33 6 ([illegible]

BELL, M., GINSBURG, J. and WOODS, G. Cardinal inequalities for topological spaces involving the weak Lindelöf number. Pacific J. Math. 79 (1978), [illegible] 45.

BURKE, D. and HODEL, R. The number of compact subsets of a topological space. [illegible] Soc. 58 (1976), 363-368.

[illegible]IAN, H. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]FORI, W. [illegible] survey of [illegible]

[illegible]FORI, W. and [illegible]GREF[illegible]IS, S. The theory of [illegible] Springer [illegible]

[illegible]FORI, W. Compactness like properties for generalized [illegible] topological [illegible] Pacific J. Math. 60 ([illegible]), 71-3[illegible]

SAN[illegible] Generalized [illegible]ts of [illegible]

M[illegible]

[illegible]

17. ERDOS, P. and RADO, R. Intersection theorems for system of sets. J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 85-90.

18. GAL, I. S. On the theory of (m,n)-compact topological spaces. Pacific J. Math. 58 (1958), 721-734.

19. GILLMAN, L. and JERISON, M. Rings of Continuous Functions. D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

[illegible] HAJEK, D.W. and TODD, A.R. Lightly compact spaces and infra [illegible] spaces. [illegible] Math. Soc. 48 (1[illegible]5), [illegible]

[illegible] HAJNAL, A. and JUHASZ, I. On discrete subspaces of topological spaces I. Indag. Math. 29 (1967), 343-35[illegible]

[illegible] HAJNAL, A. and JUHASZ, I. On discrete subspaces of topological spaces II. Indag. Math. 31 (1969), 18-30.

[illegible] HAJNAL, A. and JUHASZ, I. On discrete subspaces of product spaces. [illegible] Topology and Appl. [illegible]

[illegible]

34. JUHASZ, I. Cardinal Functions in Topology - Ten Years Later. Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.

35. KATĚTOV, M. Complete normality of cartesian products. Fund. Math 35 (1948), [illegible]1-274.

36. KELLEY, J. L. Convergence in topology. Duke Math. J. 17 (1950) 277-28[illegible]

37. [illegible]OV, I. On [illegible] spaces. Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), 849-8[illegible]2

38. [illegible]ELÖF, E. Sur quelques points de la theorie des ensembles. [illegible] Acad. Sci., Paris 13[illegible] (1903), 697-700.

39. LIU, CHEN [illegible]. Absolutely closed spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (19[illegible]) 8[illegible]-104

40. [illegible]LYHIN, [illegible] On tightness and Suslin number in [illegible] and in product spaces. Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972)

41. [illegible]RCZEWSKI, E. Separabilite et multiplication cartesienne des espaces topologiques. Fund. Math. 34 (1947), 127-1[illegible]

42. [illegible]CHAEL, [illegible]. Topologies on spaces of subsets. [illegible] Soc. [illegible] (1951), 1[illegible]1-182

43. MICHAEL, E. A note on paracompact spaces. [illegible] Math. [illegible] 4 (1[illegible]) [illegible]31-838

44. [illegible]CHAEL, E. Another note on paracompact [illegible] Am. Math. Soc. [illegible] ([illegible]), 822-8[illegible]

45. [illegible]CHAEL [illegible] Yet another note on paracompact [illegible] Math. [illegible] 10 (1959), 309-314

46. [illegible]RII[illegible], K. [illegible] of M sp[illegible] 1[illegible] 8[illegible]

47. [illegible]OWKA, S. [illegible]nctionals on uniformly closed [illegible] functions. Fund. [illegible] 4[illegible] (1958), 91-[illegible]

48. [illegible] 65 (1960), [illegible]

49. [illegible]GATA, [illegible] 45 [illegible]

[illegible]AKANO [illegible] Toky[illegible]

[illegible]BIE [illegible]

52. NOBLE, N. Products with closed projections I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 381-391

53. NOBLE, N. Products with closed projections II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 169-183

54. NOBLE, N. and ULMER, M. Factoring functions on [illegible] products. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1972), 329-339.

55. POL, R. Short proofs of two theorems on cardinality of topological spaces. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 22 (1974), 1245-[illegible]

56. PONDICZERNY, E. Power [illegible] spaces. [illegible] 11 (1944), 835-83[illegible]

57. ROSS, K. A. and STONE, A.H. Products of separable spaces. Amer. Math. Monthly. 71 (1964), 398-403

58. RUDIN, M.E. A normal hereditarily separable [illegible] Lindelöf space. Illinois J. Math. 16 (1972), 621-626

59. SAKS, V. and STEPHENSON, R.M. Jr., Products of [illegible] compact spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), [illegible]

60. SAPIROVSKII, B.E. Discrete subspaces of [illegible]. Soviet Math. Dokl. 13 (1972), [illegible]

61. SAPIROVSKII, B.E. On the density [illegible]. Math. Dokl. 13 (19[illegible]), [illegible]

62. SCARBOROUGH, C. and STONE, A.H. Products [illegible]. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1966), [illegible]

63. SERGEEV, [illegible] the topological product [illegible]. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. [illegible]

64. STEPHENSON, R.M. Jr. Discrete subsets of perfectly normal [illegible]. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (19[illegible]), [illegible]

65. STEPHENSON, R.M. Jr. and VAUGHAN, J.E. Products of initially [illegible] compact spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. [illegible] 19[illegible]

[illegible] STONE, A.H. Paracompactness [illegible]. Soc. 54 [illegible]

[illegible] STONE, A.H. [illegible] compact [illegible]. [illegible]

[illegible] TYCHONOFF, A. Über die topologische [illegible]. [illegible] 102 (1930), [illegible]-561

[illegible] ULMER, M. Products [illegible]

URYSOHN, P. Über die Machtigkeit der zusammenhangenden Mengen. Math. Ann. 94 (1925), 262-295.

71 VAINSTEIN, A. On closed mappings of metric spaces. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 57.

VAN DER SLOT, J. Some properties related to compactness. Mathematical Centre Tract No. 19, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1966.

VAUGHAN, J.E. Product spaces with compactness like properties. Duke Math. J. 39 (1972), 611-617.

VAUGHAN, J.E. Some recent results in the theory of [a,b]-compactness. TOPO-72- General Topology and its Applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 378 (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974), 534-550.

VAUGHAN, J.E. Some properties related to [a,b]-compactness. Fund. Math. 87 (1975), [illegible]-260.

VAUGHAN, J.E. Products of topological spaces. General Topology and Appl. 8 (1978), [illegible].

VIETORIS, L. Bereiche zweite ordnung. Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik 33 (1923), 4 62.

[illegible] attic[illegible] 39 [illegible]

[illegible] 4 (19[illegible]),

[illegible]