CANADIME THEORY OF MICROFICHE

12011

de appodifiction

THE REPORT OF THE OF MODOLICHE

National Library of Canarla Collections Development Press 5

Canadian Theses o Microfiche Service

Ot awa, Chinarla 1 1 OL14

Bibliothèque nationale du Canadh Direction du développement de la Marc

Service des thè can a straight in many first

NOTICE

The quality of this inicrofiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis sub-sited for microfilming. Every effort has been made to come the highest quality of approximation possible

If pages are initial on ensurements with our control of the manted the degree

Some pages may have indicting print especially if the oni met Lacen cone to bed with a poor type. the second strategies and the second strategies are placed as a

Previously Survigional materials the second se

Reproduction in the crain next of the film show er ed by helfonad or for don't hit P.C. 1993 the transmission of the 1.00

THIS DISSERIATION HAS BEEN MICROPH MED FYACTLY AS BROFF PD

LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROTH MÉE TELLE OUF

NOUL AVONS REQUE



Sil menque des pager, veuilles consummation of 1 miversité qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité l'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout il les pages originales ont lété dactylographiées à Laide d'un ruban usé ou d'Euniver sité nous à fait na ceri d'un photocopie de mar di-c 1 Mith

Les documents jui foit déjà l'objet d'in divit d suteur (articles do to to more publice at 1 ac a d'hummiera filméa

La reproduction, même partielle de ce microfilm

est numis : la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, the first of the CRO Challer repudre connaissance de

the second second participants of some second participants and

AVIS La qualité de crite microfiéhe dépend grandement de

la qualité de la thèse soumis au ^snicrefilmage. No s

avons tout fait more accurate the concernence

		1	0-315-16110-R
National Library of Canada	Bibliothèque nationale du Canada		
Canadian Theses Division	Division des thèses ca	nadiennes	
Ottawa, Canada К1А 0N4 БЛ ()19		and the second sec
PERMISSION TO MIC	ROFILM - AUTO	RISATION DE MICRO	FILMER
Please print or type Écuire en lettre	s moulées ou dactvibgraph	,iei	
Full Name of Author Nom complet de	() , () ,		
ERICKSON 1'	in n un n'ar	A T	
Date of Rirth - Date de naissaure		Country of Birth Lieu de l	haissance
CCTOBER 2 Permanent Address - Résidence fixe	1947	CANONA	ана 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
C.Z. CIENIMONE	F CKIS		
ST DI RERT		, _	
Thesi The de la thèse			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	1760401. 7	CIVANDS THE	PELELOPACE A
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 12	
			,
University Université			
ALBERTA Pagroe fre which thesis was presented (1)h. ()	Grade part larged often	hèse fut mérculée	
	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	Herna of Sur envisor Nom	di autori di Urba
972		R. Dingo	
	· ·	· · · · ·	
Permission is hereby granted to the N/ CANADA to microfills this thesis and to the film	NOMAL LIPRARY OF		présente, accordée à la BIBLICTHÈ ADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de xemplaires du film
The author reserves other publication r these or puter ive extracts from it ne is a second second second second second	v be printed or all a	ni de longs extraits de c	tres dinits de publication; ni la thèse alle ci ne doi ent être imprimés qui l'aut d'action facite de l'anteur
Date	·	Signature	• ••••
???????A 3.C,	1973	Davin	Euchson

NL-91 (4/77)

s 6

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

A Case Study Approach Towards the Development of a Theory of Participation in Adult Education

.

i

David Vincent Brickson

by

. t

A THESIS

SUPMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARC'

OF Dector of Philosophy

i 🕂

Contacting Payebology

The second second state of the second transmitter products of second

WINTON, ALBERTA

april 1000

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA



RELEASE FORM.

NAME OF AUTHOR

Ψ.,

David Vincent Erickson

A Case Study Approach Towards the Development of a Theory of Participation in Adult Education

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED Doctor of Philosophy YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED Spring, 1983

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

mar 7. 178.

David Euckson (SIGNED)

53 Menmone Cres

St. albert alberta

TRN DSA

PERMANENT ADDRESS

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCE

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled A Case Study Approach Towards the Development of a Theory of Participation in Adult Education submitted by David Vincent Erickson in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Supervisor

External Examiner

A central focus of this case study was to assist in the development of a theory of participation in adult education.

The Gross (1981) Chain of Response Model (COR), in particular, was examined for its ability to account for the participation levels of eight adult learners involved in varying degrees in parent education. The Gross model consists of six variables comprising a) self evaluation, b) attitudes about education, c) importance of goals and the expectation that participation will meet goals, d) life transition, e) opportunities and barriers, and f) information.

The eight subjects who participated in the study consisted of active participants (those who attended at least 5 out of 6 sessions of a Focus on Parenting Program (FPP), partial attenders (those who attended the first and/or second session of a FPP but who then chose not to continue), registrants (those who registered for a FPP but who failed to attend any sessions) and no-interest subjects (those who were given an opportunity to attend but who declinded).

It was found that self evaluation as measured by the level of achievement motivation was the best single variable differentiating participating from non-participating subjects, followed by goals and expectations and life transitions. The remaining three variables, attitudes about education, opportunities and barriers, and information, were of less importance in accounting for participation.

A number of suggestions were made for improving the model including more accurately specifying variable components, more study in terms of clarifying the relationships among variables and placing the model within a systems framework.

The case study method in developing theory is discussed.

Abstract

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my appreciation to those individuals who assisted me in making completion of this study possible.

My chairman, Dr. Don Sawatsky, for his direction, Dr. Steve Hunka for his practical insights and Dr. Hayden Roberts for his careful analysis. My appreciation is also extended to Dr. Bill Hague for his willingness to serve on my committee and to Dr. Lee Handy, my external examiner.

I would also like to thank several others who shared their time and expertise, including Dr. Dorothy Crouse, Dr. Don Fair, Dr. Robert Fischer, Dr. Keith Floyd, Dr. Carol Ganam, and Dr. Rosemary Liburd. Thanks are also extended to fellow classmates Linda Baker and Scott, Sellick.

Jasper Place Parks and Recreation greatly assisted in the study by allowing me to attend parent education classes sponsored by their agency. Those parents who consented to be interviewed provided the focus for later analysis, freely giving of their time and themselves,

My greatest appreciation is to my wife, Sheila, whose support in every way provided my graduate studies with a sense of purpose beyond that of obtaining an advanced degree.

I wish to dedicate this thesis to my daughter, Jane Eleanor Jeanette, whose arrival on October 7th, 1982, provided me with an even far greater challenge than completing a doctoral dissertation.

•

,

١

ي. وي الاروني	in the	
		1997 - Alian Angelan (1997) Angelan (1997) Angelan (1997)
2		
, 'a'	•	
•		Table of Contents
	Chapter	r Page
	· ' ' I • ·	Introduction
	•	Difficulties Associated with Theory Development
· · · ·	1	Importance of Theory in Mdult Education
li in the second se	·•	Purpose of the Present Study
\boldsymbol{V}	م د بر	
4	II.	Literature Review
	*	Investigations from within Adult Education
		Participation in Parent Education
•		Summary
		Design of the Study
	III.	Design of the Study
		Nature of the Focus on Parenting Program
		Research Instrument
	. •	Pre-test of the Structured Interview
	, '	Procedure
2	IV.	Results
		Section 1. Active Participants &
•	•	Section 2. Partial Attenders . (
		Section 3. Registrants Only
		Section 4. Non-Participants
		Section 5. General Trends
•.	v.	Discussion of Results
		Section 1. The COR Model as a Tool for Understanding
		Participation in Adult Learning
		Section 2. Criticisms of the COR Model
		Section 3. The Case Study Method
X		Conclusion
		,
		Referènces
		Appendix I
		Appendix II
		Appendix II7
		Appendix IV
	• •	Appendix V
		Whentery A + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 +

۷ĭ

• 、

а т ,																										•
Append	ix VI		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	, • [.]	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•'	•		124	
Append																					- 1					· ·
Append	ix VII	Ι.	•	•	•	•	1	•	•	٠	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•'		137	
Append	ix IX	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	•	•	•	.•	•	•	•		•	•	•	139	
Append	ix X			•	•	•		•			•		•		•	' •			•	•	•	2	•		140 [°]	•

. .

÷

vII:

List of Tables

Table

.

Page

3)

-, D

1. Participation Data by Subjects and Groups 41

2

`vIII ('

List of Figures

Figure

Chain-of-Response (COR) Model for Understanding Participation in Adult Learning Activities 1.



74

ix

D

Page

Chapter I

Д,

Introduction

Adult education in almost every conceivable context is experiencing an explosion in growth. Rauch (1981) has noted that the number of adults involved in continuing learning has more than doubled over the past twenty years. In addition to this, many agencies whose primary function has not been to educate have taken on this role, including employers, travel agencies, museums, professional associations, and public health institutions to name only a few.

The rapid growth in adult education has been attributed to a number of factors. Apps (1980) has referred to a changing population structure, the consumer movement, the changing status of women, a higher value placed on education, and a more supportive political structure. The information explosion has also precipitated many people seeking out additional learning experiences as a means of keeping up with a rapidly changing world.

It is also difficult not to be confronted by a variety of modes of advertising, from television to bill boards, encouraging would-be participants to learn everything from ball room dancing to how to be a better parent.

In spite of adult education's rapid growth and anticipated[®] continuing development, several investigators have expressed concern that theory development has received little attention (Boshier, 1971; Mexirow, 1971; Ordes, 1980; Matkin, 1980; Cross, 1981). Boshier (1971) has gone so far as to declare edult education a conceptual desert while similar comments have been echoed by Mezirow (1971).

Dickinson and Rusnell (1971) performed a content analysis of 517 articles appearing in <u>Adult Education</u> between 1950 and 1970 which showed that over half (54%) described educational programs or experience; 23% reported on empirical research, and 3% discussed theoretical formulations. Although more recent issues have shown a greater research emphasis, the number of articles dealing with theory continues to be rare (Natkin, 1980; Cross, 1981).

Difficulties Associated with Theory Development

Theory building appears to have proven difficult for a number of reasons. Cross (1981) refers to the marketplace orientation of most adult educators. She describes many programs as being presented to volunteer learners in a "take it or leave it" manner. This "market place mentality", as she describes it, has tended to preclude the search for the explanation of complex phenomena. In fact, tips from a seasoned adult educator may often be perceived as more useful than theory from a vesearch investigator.

Adult education has also produced few scholars. Cross (1981) notes that most of those involved in the field of adult education have been program planners and administrators whose first obligation has been to serve the immediate needs of their students. In a time of severe economic restraint funding to support those involved primarily in research and theory development appears to be lessening.

A third factor delaying theory development has been the multidisciplinary, applied nature of the field. With many diverse disciplines of study involved in adult education it has been difficult to determine where a theory of adult learning should come from. Some investigators have opted for a kind of "eclectic pragmatism" in advising that one should Ş

Stanting which wonter angered and of the the subject tests of the second stants of the second s

Importance of Theory in Adult Education

O'Toole (1981) has stressed ''e importance of theory development in any applied gotentific discipline. She has comment a that correct atruther, if us alideted and untested by vetematic in miry, become a tyr of falk windom. The danger lies in these truths being passed on and refined from one generation to another, not by objective examination but he the idioevnorsaise, charismette q alities, and resonal styles of individual practitioners. O'Toole has gone on to rate that the ulbimate good or measure of a practice field must be valid the through the ricors of acientific investigation. She for the engedate is if for the rev to make r difference it must be grow to the order of the individual velocity of the presence of the order of the the restriction of a practice.

Apps (1070) has also noted along with an open others (Long. 1 Cop Boyd 1980; Darkanas'd, 1000) that if a bulk of east in is to alvan a their both from a presitor and replace yours strong the matter to then is deve or without theorets all with all a is the coder to some with this the problem of the theoret with the problem of the field.

Storer (17 2) more the relationship to the ry art with

Theory arises out of practice, and once validated. returns to direct or explain that practice. Further, practice under the guidance of a given theory leads to theory refinement. Thus, theory and practice interact, each improving the "ther as improve" theory betters practice and better reactice leads to new or refined theory

1

Dieleff, James, and Wiedonhade (1068) define theory as a connect at

of statements that delineats the principles behind some activity or be more complicated involving a set of related propositions which have been em-

Siver (1967) notes that theory has three major objectives: (1) to provide a conceptual framework to facilitate the observation and categorimatter of events, (2) to provide explanation for events, and (3) to establight a foundation of knowledge which allows for the control or prediction of events. Shuar describes the enrity stages of theory development as having a focus on the accurous of description while in later stages of theory development there is a whift toward explanation and control.

To order to advan a 'tself, adult advantion requires a renewed emphasis on theory building in order that it may move from the puraly descriming to the predictive. Theory building will facilitate it adviction theory building will facilitate it adviction theory building will facilitate it adviction.

" - Sharch for Theories of Adult Education

· • •,

By aim of edult education's wide score and interdisciplinary natur a search for an all embracing theory is difficult if not impossible to altain the wide function have including, as an example, organizeddon dynemics, philopophy psychology, sociology and political science, does not 1 ad itaalf we'l to an all scooppassing theoretical framework. How ever, some eap ats of the field to lend themselves to theory building, such as in the science of teaching science, science, while ever a sime eap ats of the field to lend themselves to theory building, ence as in the science of teaching science, science and ence as in the science of teaching science and ence as in the science of teaching science and ence as in the science of teaching science and ence as in the science of teaching science and ence as in the science of teaching science and ence as the science of teaching science and ence as the science of teaching science and ence as the science of teaching around dynamics, while devolution and ence the science of teaching science around dynamics, where the science and ence the science of teaching around dynamics, while devolutions and ence the science of teaching around dynamics, while devolutions and ence the science of teaching around dynamics and the science of the science and ence the science of teaching around the science of the science of teaching around the science of the scince of the science of the scince of the science of the scin

To the area of motivation for example, Gross (1981) has developed the bigionings of a theoretice model and unting for conting in the 4

, 1

study was directed. As a recent theoretical statement it appears to have potential in providing clues to aid in the understanding of the adult learner.

The Gross Chain of Response Model (COR) is an attempt to conceptualime participation in a learning activity as not being a single act inf "the result of a obsin of responses each based on an evaluation of the position of the individual in his or her environment" (Gross, 1981, p. 12"). Based heavily on current social motivation theory this model is an attempt to conceptualize change as occuring in a "stream of action" as opposed to being a series of discrete events each impelled by certain specific stimuli. This is also consistent with the relatively recent withings of de Charms and Muir (1978).

In formulating the OOR model Gross has drawn from the work of Miller (1967) on firme-field analysis, Boshierte (1973) congruence theory of p tinipation, Rubenson's (1977) expectancy valence paradigm, Tough's work (1976) on anticipated benefits and Aslanian and Brithell's (1980) work on life transition. Six variables comprise the OOD model: self evaluetion; mititudes towards education, mosile and expectations, life transitions, life transition, prortunities and barriers, and in ermetter, reflecting the work of Lewin (1974). Healew (1975), out Due on (1975), or well as the observe the tigators.

In the construction of this model. Groef has clearly made an attempt to address both internal and a termal psychological barriers which up untill this point have only been loosely connected. The model also appears to be at a very have entry been loosely connected. The model also appears to be at a very have etage in its development, existing primarily as a departprive de ice, lacking Ves degree of specificity necessary for the distance to be made i on it. We to the relatively recent nature of this is investigator's knowledge, basically

unexamined. It is also a general theory of participation in adult education and as such has not been studied as to the degree to which it accounts for participation in a variety of spacific contexts. In order to appraise the clauff cause of this theoretical model further study is indicated.

Purpose of the Present Study

It is the intention of the present study to closely examine the Gross Chain of Response model within the context of a specific group of adult learners. It is enticipated that by testing the model's atility to account for actual data, its basic form might be evaluated, maintained, altered or revised. This would then serve the purpose of stimulating more clearly focused research on participation.

The specific group of learners chosen for the study are those involved in parent education. A number of investigators (Ficker's and Farge (1971); "orden (1977s, 1977h); Wellings and Hubbard (1978); Hicks and Williams (1981); Jorne (1980)) have all addressed the need to develop more adequate conceptualizations of participation in this area. From examinithe (OR molel and i's appropriateness in accounting for participation in this field not only could more clearly focused research he encouraged, but program rienning might also be facilitate'. In the area of parent training, for example, Boggs (1981) her noted that such programs appeal most strongly to middle class values. Developing a model of participation that class to parent education might assist researchers and investigation attribute in designing programs with specific target groups in mind.

Staff relection arishing might also be more adequately addressed. For example, whether a profossional counsellor, trainel volunteer or in ternsted parent runs a parent education group might be deformined by the .6

The form that advertising takes might be determined as well by the nature of the group that one is intending to attract, whether that advertising be through newspaper, radio, television or word of mouth: In addition to the choice of advertising medium, the content of the advertising message might also vary depending on the nature of the target group.

It would appear that until an adequate conceptual understanding of participation is developed in adult education as well as parent education, more of a hit and miss approach is fostered in both research and practice. This results in inefficiency and under utilization of current resources.

In order that the Gross COR model be examined in the light of parent education, a case study format was selected. Case studies have been suggested by many investigators as being an appropriate means of developing and more clearly articulating theory (Houle, 1963; Glaser and Strauss, 1967; Darkenwald, 1980; Dickenson and Blunt, 1980). Matkin (1980) has asserted that after a decade of applying factor analysis to the area of motivation for learning no clear theory has yet emerged. He calls for additional case studies as a means if diveloping theory and avoiding the tondehoy of many studies to minimize the interval.

Chapter II

Literature Review

Several investigators have made a contribution to the understanding of participation in adult education. As a background to the present study several of the more influential theories will be discussed. This will be followed by a description of studies in the field of parent education where a number of investigators have made attempts to formulate reasons for participation in this specific learning context.

Investigations From Within Adult Education

A. Miller's Force Field Analysis

Henry Miller is one of the few adult educators to directly tackle the problem of explaining why socioeconomic status (SES) and participation are inevitably related (Cross, 1981). His social class theory builds upon the needs hierarchy of Maslow (1954) and the force field analysis of Lewin (1947) to explain not only why people participate but why there are large differences between the social classes in what they hope to gain from participation./

Miller uses Maslow's needs hierarchy to explain that people cannot be concerned about higher human needs such as recognition (status); achievement, and self-realization until their lower fundamental needs are met such as those associated with survival, safety, and belonging. Within the context of adult education this implies that the members of the lower social

classes will be interested in education that meets survival needs such as job training and basic adult education while the upper social classes, having fulfilled their basic survival needs, will seek education that leads to achievement and self-realization. A number of studies have investigated this relationship and basically support the use of Maslow's conceptual framework in this regard. 'For example, it has been noted that those with a high school education or less are primarily interested in job related education, while programs aimed at self understanding, personal development, and recreation appeal primarily to well educated people and to others not concerned with basic survival needs (Carp, Peterson, and Petfs, 1974; Cross, 1979; Johnstone and Rivera, 1965).

Miller also uses Maslow's needs hierarchy in helping explain the relationship between educational interests and age and position in the life cycle. While early stages of adulthood are concerned with the satisfaction of needs low in the hierarchy, such as those related to getting a job and starting a family, people in later stages, having satisfied these needs devote more energy to achieving status, enhancing achievement and working toward self-realization.

Lewin's influence on Miller's work is apparent when he uses the concept of positive and negative forces to further explain motivation for participation. Positive forces, such as survival needs, changing technology and job opportunities, are those factors which promote participation in some type of learning experience. Negative forces, such as hostility towards education, lack of opportunity and a weak family structure, inhibit or impede the individual from joining in a learning experience. It is the resultant motivational force which actually impels the individual towards participation or inactivity. From his model, Miller predicts that attracting a potential learner from the lower social classes will be much different than attracting a learner from the middle class. He suggests that where a marketing strategy such as an advertising blitz, is likely to encourage the middle class learner to participate due to his already strong belief in the values of education and his support system, this approach will do little to attract lower class learners.

Miller's work incorporates basic sociological research in identifying positive and negative forces in the environment as well as research findings on participation. For example, the dropout rate of lower class males from job training programs is very high, suggesting that even when they are aware of learning opportunities and go so far as to register, negative forces in their culture prevent continuation (Cross, 1981). A further implication of Miller's work is that increased opportunities in vocational[®]education that adhere to middle class values will continue to attract only the middle class, with lower class members remaining basically unaffected. Miller predicts that this will only increase the growing gap between the social classes in adult education.

B. The Expectancy-Valence Paradigm of Kjell Rubenson

Rubenson (1977) has attempted to provide an understanding of the competing forces at work in motivating adults to participate in organized education. Basing some of his thinking on Vroom (1964) Rubenson describes education as being an achievement oriented activity. People, who want to "get ahead" will put effort into personal achievement in school or on the job.

Rubenson also builds his model on a number of different psychological theories of motivation drawing heavily from the works of Lewin,

Tolman, McClelland, and Atkinson, who are all fundamentally interactionists. Human behavior is explained as being a result of the interaction of the individual (with his acquired experience) and the environment (as he perceives and experiences it). The resulting strength of an individual's motivation is determined by combining positive and negative forces existing in the individual and his environment.

The expectancy part of Rubenson's theory consists of two components: the expectation of personal success within an educational activity, and the expectation that being successful will have positive consequences for the learner. These two forces are multiplicative. If either one assumes a value of zero, for example, if an individual does not see himself as being capable of achieving the skills or knowledge contained in a course or if he sees the acquired skills or knowledge as not being beneficial for him, one will cancel out the other, that is, the resultant motivational force will be zero. Expectancy, alone, will not lead to course enrollment unless there is a positive valence associated with the anticipated outcomes.

Rubenson places considerable emphasis on the role of reference groups in shaping attitudes towards participation. For example, the more that a work group holds positive attitudes towards participation in learning the more likely it is that an individual in that group will avail himself of educational opportunities.

Rubenson's paradigm shifts the emphasis from demographic variables, such as age, sex, and race, to more individually based measures. Although he does make use of social class as an explanatory variable through the use of reference groups, his major focus is on how an individual learner perceives his environment and what he expects to gain from his partici-

pation in adult education.

11 ,

A remaining significant point about Rubenson's expectancy-valence paradigm is that he places much less emphasis on the role of external barriers to educational participation than do most other investigators. Motivation, according to Rubenson, is based not so much on the "real" situation as on the "perceived" situation. An identical "barrier" to one potential learner may not indeed be a problem for another.

C. The Congruence Model of Roger Boshier

Boshier (1973) shares with Rubenson and Miller a belief that motivation for learning a function of the interaction between internal psychological factors and external environmental variables, er at least the individual's perception and interpretation of environmental factors. Boshier's work is based upon studies both in New Zealand and North America.

Boshier's primary conclusion from his research is that "both adult education participation and dropout can be understood to occur as a function of the magnitude of the discrepancy between the participant's self concept and key aspects (largely people) of the educational environment. Nonparticipants manifest self/institution incongruence and do not ebrol}" (1973, p. 260). Boshier suggests that a number of incongruencies (such as between self and ideal self, self and other students, self and teacher, self and institutional environment) are additive, that is, the greater the sum, the greater the likelihood of nonparticipants, he has shown that students with high incongruence scores are significantly more likely to drap out than other people are. He asserts the view that dropping out is simply an extension of non-participation and that low participation rates of adults in the lower socioeconomic classes is due to a lack of congruence between their lives and the largely middle class educational environment. From Boshier's work comes the notion that proper matching of adults to educational environments is important. In addition, he suggests that people who show a high degree of dissatisfaction with themselves are likely to project this dissatisfaction onto their environment and dropout. Both Boshier and Rubenson suggest that the self-esteem of the learner is an important factor in educational participation. From Rubenson's perspective those who evaluate themselves negatively are less likely to expect success and from Boshier's viewpoint will be less likely to experience congruence with the educational environment.

D. The Theory of Anticipated Benefits: Allen Tough

Although Tough's (1979) theory is not well developed at this point his work appears to be moving more in the direction of being able to explain and provide a conceptual organization of his data largely in the area of self-directed learning. Tough's basic assumption is that learners are able to understand and articulate their own learning needs. His model is further built on the belief that anticipated benefits to be derived from learning are "present in the person's conscious mind" and constitute a "significant portion of the person's total motivation for learning". In fact, Tough and his colleagues (Tough, Abbey, and Orton, 1979) claim that the learner's conscious anticipation of reward is more important than subconscious forces or environmental forces. Their initial data does support the feasibility of constructing a theory of motivation based directly on anticipated benefits, and in this manner may provide additional understanding of participation in learning activities.

The Tough model consists of five stages at which benefits might be anticipated. These include (1) engaging in a learning activity to (2) retaining the knowledge or skill to (3) applying the knowledge to (4)

gaining a material reward such as in a promotion or (5) gaining a symbolic reward as in acquiring credits or degrees. At each of the five stages anticipated benefit's might be classified into three clusters of personal feelings: pleasure (happiness, satisfaction, enjoyment, feeling good), self-esteem (regarding self more highly, feeling more confident, maintaining self-images), and a category labeled "others" (others regard individual more highly, praise him, like him, feel grateful).

While Cross (1981) has drawn attention to the need for a greater defense of the Tough categories, the model does provide a rather unique emphasis in focusing on the conscious forces involved in motivation for learning.

E. The Work of Aslanian and Brickell on Life Transitions

Although the effort of Aslanian and Brickell (1980) falls between descriptive research and theoretical explanation, their work appears to have achieved some support if one considers the work of Tough (1968). Aslanian and Brickell developed the hypothesis that transitions such as job changes, maininge, the arrival of children, and retirement require adults to seek new learning. In their subsequent study they found that 83 percent of 744 adult learners interviewed by telephone named some transition in their lives as the motivating factor for further learning. More than half of these changes (56 percent)involved jobs or careerer while a second group (13 percent) attributed changes in family life, such as getting married, having children, buying a house, and moving, as requiring them to learn new things. 5 percent mentioned a change of health while the remaining portion were spread out over changes in religion, citizenship, art and leisure.

A disorapancy between the work of Aslanian and Brighell (1980) and

Tough (1968) was that while Aslanian et al found that 83 percent of their sample of adult learners involved in a major transition, Tough found that only one third in his study were involved in similar changes. The difference in results could be partly attributed to the notion that self directed learning is a different proposition from other-directed learning. It was noted by Aslanian et al that individuals not experiencing major transitions were more likely to learn on their own while those in the midst of 'mpor taut life changes were more likely to each out a formal gotting.

F. Cyril Houle's Learning Typologies

Although Houle's (1963) concern was with active learners as opposed to those not involved in an active learning experience, his work still remains as one of the most influential motivational studies, from a theory tical as well as methodological perspective. In his study, which was limited to twenty-two case studies of men and women who were exceptionally active adult learners, motivation was not the primary variable under study Houle was interested more in why they were so notive.

From his study three subgroups emerged. The first were goal-orierted learners who used learning to main specific objectives such as learning to build a set of cabinets, speak before An autience or learn a specific business practice. Goal oriented learners, it was observed, did not restrict their learning activities to any one institution or method, but chose whatever method would best achieve their purpose, whether that be iniming a group, reading a book, or taking a trip.

A second subgroup, which he described as activity oriented learners, perticipated primarily for the sake of the activity wather than to develop a skill or leave a greatfing subject. These initial alook a course or

joined a group to escape loneliness or boredom, to find a husband or a wife, or to uphold a family tradition. Most of the activity oriented learners did elmost no reading.

A sharp contrast to the activity oriented learners waps the learning oriented, those who chose learning for its own sake. Houle described these individuals as possessing a fundamental desire to acquire knowledge for its own sake. Most were avid readers: they chose jobs and joined groups for the learning potential which they offered.

Although Houle's typology may not be a completely accurate or all inclusive framework for thinking about adult learning, it does provide a conceptual way of looking at multiple motives for adult learning. Also, as a case study it has been highly productive in stimulating further re-

C. The Cross Chain of Response Model

In formulating hoy (hain of Response Model Cross (1981) has relied must heavily on the works of Niller (1967), Rubenson (1978), and Boshier (10.3). In her preliminary analysis of these theorists she notes a numher of common assumptions and findings. All three are interactionists, viouing participation as an interaction between an individual and his or her environment. Second, all draw from the work of Kurt Levin and forcefield analysis, in that marticipation is seen as the result of the individual a unimetion of mositive and negative forces in any given situation.

Cross refers to all three theorists as "cognitivists" in that they helts a the individual has some degree of control over his destiny. There is an implicit rejection of the Freudian notion that human beings are the captives of subconscious forces or the Skinnerian view that people are more of less rough in a stimulus response shain.

Gross refers to Rubenson and Roshier's hypothemis that contain personality types will be more difficult to ettract to educett a because a their low level of melf esteem. Willer's theory containing an emalysis of social class and participation also places import new of the lack of achievement motivation as being a determent to how he class in the lack of ment of the lower ecclosconomic classes.

All 'bree theorists wake use of reference group theory. Miller and Rubenson, in particular, ecommend that under educated edults be recruit through membership groups rather than the second data with the or a

The concepts of 'noopgrüsence and differentiate are also their by all three investigators. Position in a indexid referred to his work as a congruence model. Miller's primery shown is with the compatibility of a social also as with the values of the adwightend by form. B homeouth and all also as with the values of the adwightend by form. B homeouth and all also as with the values of the adwightend by form. B homeouth and all also as with the values of the adwightend by form. B homeouth and all also as with the values of the adwightend by form. I homeouth

The new of Meel w. neede birrarely to all or at ongly outdon! It this e theory the ally on Manlow e inste promise the triples of the start of the st

A final commonality is the role pleyed by expectance. Thereway Fubmucon has developed this concept the most they righty, all the opinvestigators comme that a parts ion of reases is interaction of ressel.

Cross has combined the works of the shove theorists to form what she decoribes as the "courds beginnings of a conceptual fromework destand to identify the scart va isbles and hypothesis. That i hav

· •

theory that can be used for predictive surposes, its potential modulueus ermones to be its organizing of present knowledge.

As alleded too'n the introduction to this study, the Chain of Respanse model assumes that "participati i in a learning activity, whether in organized classes or welf-directed, is not a single act but the vesu'' of a chain of responses, each based on an evaluation of the position of the individual in his or her environment" (p. 125). Gross further refers to her model as being consistent with the "radient theoretical revision hating place within the psychology of potivation in which behavior is here increasingly conceptualized as is constantly flowing stream wither then a contex of discrets events. This is in the ping with the 1000 revis of do Obermo and Muly of motivational psychology in which they state the "the problem" or metivation is 'n un'sistend the deter increase of changes in the pine" to the increase of the increase of the production is 'nected to be the problem of the increase of the revision is a single with the the state the "the problem" or metivation is 'n un'sistend the deter increase of obergon in the pine" increase in the increase of the production is 'nected to be be in the pine" in the problem of the problem is the problem of the production is 'nected to be be in the pine" increase of the problem is in the problem of the production is 'nected to be be in the pine" increase of the problem is 'n un'sistend the deter increase of obergon in the pine" increase of the problem is 'n un'sistend the problem is 'nected to be be in the pine" increase of the problem is 'n un'sistend the problem is 'norther of obergon in the pine" increase of the problem is 'n un'sistend the problem is 'norther of the problem is 'n unif-

The combine much pit d in Figury: 1 inficeion that participation in activity because with the individual and much to the animaly and year conditions, although from do a note that is is in the first the disc

Remaining point A in the molel, solf evaluation, the point is made by then that fudividuels she are achievement existing. This wample, these who have confiden a in their shillty are more likely to rul themselve t the last of a new incurring situation whereas these who had confidence in their shillty (achievimes referred to as failing threatened or deficiency drianted) will avoid cutting themselves in a situation that might present itself as a threat to their solf cateon. In the GOR model, wilf evalue the is a threat to the solf cateon. In the GOR model, wilf evalue

The phase of the model of a stifting the end and a trady of the terms of t

1/1



-



hated school as children are less likely to place themselves in a competitive situation where they did not do well. Attitudes towards education according to Gross are also influenced indirectly through the attitudes of reference and membership groups.

Point C, the importance of goals and the expectation that goals will be met, comes directly from the work of Tolman, Lewin, Atkinson. Vroom and more lately, Rubenson. There are two components: valence, the importance of the goal to the individual; and expectancy, the individual's personal judgement that pursuit of the goal will be successful and lead to the desired reward. If a goal that is important to the individual can be achieved through further education, motivation at point C is likely to be strong. If, however, the goal is not important or the likelihood of success is in doub!, motivation would decrease accordingly.

Expectancy is highly valued to self esteem, in that individuals with high esteem are more likely to expect success, while these with less self confidence barbor doubts about their probability of success.

Life transition, point D; presents the individual with a period of change calling for new adjustment. The works of Aslanian and Brickell (1080) as well as Havighurst (1972) both identify the transitions of life as being positive forces for learning. Havighurst's "teachable moment" suggests that there are times of special sensitivity for learning new things. These times, according to Gross, depend on developmental tasks that are redictably associated with each phase of human development. She suggests, for example, that the right time to teach a couple block child development is when they are expecting or raising children

Point & refers to barriers and special opportunities for sdult learn ins. Gross sugrests that if sdults get to this point with a strong desire to populaters, it is likely that the force of their periodyntion will encourage them to seek out special opportunities and to overcome modest barriers. For the weakly motivated, however, even modest barriers may prevent participation. It might also be the case with the latter group that with an awareness of special opportunities, the motivational force for participation would be enhanced, such as the case with the ready availability of child care services.

Accurate information, point F in the COR model, plays a critical role in that it is information that links the motivated learner to an appropriate opportunity. Without the availability of information, participation may be low or nonexistent if opportunities are not discovered or if barriers (point E) remain large.

Illustration of the COR Model

Gross gives the example of a hypothetical learner as an illustration of how the GOR model might be used to explain participation. Suppose an individual, called Sally, is self confident (positive force at point A, indicated as $\pm A$), who liked school and was successful in it ($\pm A$ and $\pm B$), but whose husband opposes her pursuit of a college degree ($\pm B$). Whereas she would like a college degree in order to qualify for a better job as well as for her own satisfaction and is confident that she would be a gue cessful atudent ($\pm C$), her husband's mild opposition decreases the total force of her motivation for participation. If her husband's opposition were stronger or her self confidence less or her past educational experiences unhappy this might proclude anything further happening; her motivational force might be too weak to overcome any barriers or respond to any special opportunities at point E. Suppose, however, as Sally is weighing the rositive and negative forces, that a friend of hers urges Sally to join her in taking courses at a local college ($\pm E$). The positive force

at point E may activate her participation and override her concerns of her husband's disapproval.

A Summary of the COR Model

The COR model, while being a summary statement of the participation research of Miller, Boshier, and Rubenson, places its own distinct emphasis on the internal psychological variables of self-evaluation and attitudes toward education. It is the contention of Gross that if adult educators wish to understand why some adults fail to participate in learning opportunities, there is a need to examine the variables at the beginning of the COR model, such as understanding of attitudes toward self and education. While the other variables such as life transition, barriers and opportunities, and information help complete the picture of participation, the COR model places the largest emphasis on the effects of self esteem on subsequent behavior.

In order to further examine additional studies dealing with participation, the parent education literature was investigated as further background.

Participation in Parent Education

Over the past 15 years the literature on parent training has developed from a few scattered studies and reports to now include a massive body of literature reporting the positive relationship between parent education and children and the successful treatment of children with a wide variety of problems (Gordon and Davidson, 1981).

Tavormina (1974) has commented on the increasing trend toward the use of parents as "therapists" for their own children. This approach has focused on teaching parents more effective ways to deal with child rearing and child management issues in contrast to the more traditional methods of child therapy.

Auerbach (1968) has defined the goals of parent education procedures as a) helping parents to become more familiar with basic concepts of child. growth and development; b) helping them clarify their own role and that of their children, and c) increasing parental understanding of the complexities of everyday situations to enable them to make better management decisions.

In the past ten years, the two most influential approaches in developing structured time-limited parent education training have been behavior modification and communications skills training (Lochman and Brown, 1980). Of these two basic approaches, behavioral parent training has been the most extensively evaluated, with many studies supporting its usefulness (Wiltz and Patterson, 1974; Rinn, Vernon, and Wise, 1975; Gordon, Lerner and Kiefe, 1979; Karoly and Rosenthal, 1977). Communication skills groups; as well as groups combining communication and behavioral approaches, have also, been examined for their effectiveness, with several studies reporting positive outcomes in terms of attitudinal changes on the part of the parent and behavioral changes in their children (Sadler, Seyden, Howe, and Kaminsky, 1976; D'Augelli and Weena, 1978).

While less optimistic outcome evaluations of parent education groups have also been reported (Anchor and Thomason, 1977; Gildea, Glidewell and Kanlor, 1967; Gottschalk, Brown, Bruney, Schumate, and Wean, 1976) Gordon and Davidson (1981), in reviewing the field, encourage the inclusion of parent training within the educational system while at the same time

stressing on going evaluation to determine when and for whom it is most appropriate.

While the literature dealing with parent education is a very large one, the number of studies which deal with factors affecting participation is quite small. Most studies that have been reported are primarily descriptive in nature, offering little in the way of a theory of particip-

эদ

A number of investigators have concerned themselves with drop-outs from such programs. For example, Sadler et al (1976), has reported attrition rates of 35 percent to be quite common, while Eastman and Ingeroll (1977) noted dropout in a series of programs to be as high as 41 percent, this latter result being very close to the 42 percent observed by Lochman and Brown (1980).

ation.

Another group of studies has attempted to link participation with parent characteristics. Martin (1977), and Graziano (1977), have drawn attention to the fact that mothers are more likely to be recipients of parent education than fathers. Graziano has suggested that fathers are often not directly involved because of their own refusal to view themselves as having a problem they are incapable of solving themselves, and/ or the realities of a work schedule which conflicts with the availability of the program.

Salzinger, Feldman and Portnoy (1970) reported that parents with a higher level of formal education and superior reading ability were more apt than less well educated to follow through on a group program which emphasized verbal learning of operant principles. In the same manner, Rinn, Vernon, and Wise (1975) found that low-income parents attended fewer classes and were less successful than middle-income parents. Contradictory findings were noted by Rose (1974) and Mira (1970), who noted no differences in participation related to social class or educational-level. O'Dell (1974) has suggested that investigators who tend to minimize verbal learning and emphasize "direct" training through modelling, "coaching, and behavior rehearsal are more successful with parents from lower socio-economic and educational backgrounds.

Reisinger, Frangia, and Hoffman (1976) found mothers who reported marital difficulties noticeably less able to invest themselves in parent education, attributing lack of parental support for the mother's interventions, frequent arguments between spouses, and extramarital relationships which decreased the spouses' investment in the program.

O'Dell (1978) has discussed the advisability of doing a careful assessment of individual families to determine those who might benefit most from involvement and participation in parent education. This would include examining socio-economic level, education level, intelligence, presence or absence of spouse, family size, family isolation, parental personality and emotional problems, child rearing philosophy, conceptualization and labelling of child problems and type of child problem.

As a means of providing potential parents with child management skills, Moore and Robin (1981) designed a program for use with high school students. Their findings indicated that while the students acquired knowledge and skills in parenting there was not a significant change in student attitude toward child rearing. While it was acknowledged that the students in the study had only minimal direct contact with children, it was suggested by the authors that a relevant factor was the developmental stage of the students, which did not allow them to understand and resolve the developmental tasks of parenthood, perhaps being too enmeshed in their ownfamilies as children to be able to take the perspective of parents. This has also been suggested by DeLissevoy (1978) who also refers to parent
education courses within a high school setting as being "white elephants in the classroom".

A final group of investigators within parent education have dealt more with program variables. Pickarts and Fargo (1971) encourage the development of parent education programs in cooperation with the school system as a means of encouraging the participation of the lower socioeconomic class. Gordon (1977a) is actually one of the few to directly come out and ask for a conceptual scheme for analysis of program development needs and variables which could be studied both for their influence upon the program and as outcome measures.

Jorns (1982) describes the efforts of an adult education program in Kansas to offer programs throughout the day and evening so as to attract and accommodate people on shift work. She also suggests that transition points in the lives of parents are crucial times in attracting parents, especially when their children are entering kindergarten, or beginning junior high. Advertising for parent education classes is performed through adult education brochures, as well as through newspaper advertisements, parent newsletters distributed by the schools, and through word of mouth.

Summary

The works of Miller, Rubenson and Boshier have been particularly influential in the formation of the Gross Ghain of Response Model as an attempt at explaining participation in adult learning. In utilizing the more contemporary formulations of social motivation theory, particularly the works of Atkinson and McClelland, Gross sees participation as being the result of a series of relatively complex acts all appearing in concert with one another. While COR is seen as being useful in that it integrates previous research findings and makes an attempt to account for participation, it is far from being a complete model. It remains untested in that it has not been applied to any specific learning context.

The field of parent education represents a learning context which only recently has been recognized as a field of adult learning apart from being considered solely a method of treatment for parents with concerns about their children. In spite of its rapid growth, there are no visible theories about how it is that people voluntarily join in on this type of experience. It is hoped that examination of the Cross COR model within this group of learners will move participation beyond the descriptive stage to perhaps formulating a more comprehensive model applicable to adult learning in a wide variety of contexts.

Chapter III

Design of the Study

The study consisted of four groups of two people each. Each group represented a different level of participation in an adult education program. The first group consisted of those who attended at least five out of six sessions of a parent education program. "Focus on Parenting" (FPP). The second group were those who had attended the first and/or second session of an FPP but who then dropped out. The third group consisted of those who had registered for an FPP program but who did not show up for any sessions. The fourth and final group were those who had an opportunity to attend an FPP, in that they received advertising on the nature of the program but chose not to attend: Each subject was given a structured interview lasting $1\frac{1}{2}$ bours.

A case study approach was used allowing for the more intense investigation of a small number of subjects. Hypothesis generation and theory building were the focus, with no attempt to make statistical comparisons between groups.

Subjects

The eight subjects for the study were chosen in the following manner. For the first group, those who attended at least five out of six sessions of an FPP, the group leaders of four separate courses were asked to nominate two people who they felt were representative of their particular group. From this list of eight people two were randomly selected for

interviewing. For the second group; those who dropped out after the first or second session, the class attendance lists of the four groups were ex amined and two people were randomly selected from amongst all those who dropped out. For the third group, those who registered for an FPP but who did not attend, class lists were again examined and two individuals were randomly selected from amongst the total list of those who registered without attending.

The fourth group, those who had an opportunity to attend an FPP but who did not, were chose by sending announcements of an FPP program home with their children, ages two to five, attending a play school. (See Ar pendix) The announcement, in addition to describing an FPP to occur in the fall of 1982, requested parents to return it after indicating their degree of interest, whether they were interested in attending, were interested but did not have the time or were not interested at all. From those who indicated no interest, two individuals were randomly selected

Potential subjects for all four groups were then contacted by felephone by the investigator. In order to facilitate cooperation of the subjects in the first two groups, the investigator attended the first and last session of each of the four FPF, taking five minutes at the beginning of the first and aixth esseion to introduce himself and the surpose of the study. With reference to encouraging participation of the fourth group in the interviews, the announcement that was southhome with their child(ren) indicated that the investigator would be performing interviews.

Nature of the Focus on Parenting Program

The Frond on Paranting program was shoren as the context in which

2ð

participation in adult education was to be studied. This program. designed by the former Parent Resource Unit of Alberta Social Services and Community Health is reasonably typical of most parent education programs. Its six sessions are designed to be carried out on a once-s week basis, with each session being approximately two hours in duration. The leader(s) is usually someone who has bimself been a course participant and has none on to take at least one, if not two, weekend training workshops which are disc followed by so leading a group with an experienced leader.

The terior covered include the needs of parents and children, building self esteem, communication stills, dealing with unacceptable behavior, pro blem solving, and having fun as a family. While some didactic meterial is presented in the form of leader presentations, and an accompanying parent guide, participants are encouraged to share their own experimones and pri-

There is no charge for the program other than child-call them rice

In this study eight courses were identified by the Farent Decourse Unit as being offered from Jacuary through tene 1002. With the exception of one group which we' in the afternoon, all other group: we a salue time for the evening. The groups were variously sponsors' by the Family to Bauca ion Odinail. Giv of Edmonton Social Sorvings, City of Edmont Toute and Recreation, Alberta Fublic Health, and two local schools.

Of the eight groups belowed, only fur look place. Three groups were carealled due to lack of participants with the local sector.

30

1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

Research Instrument

٩.

The research instrument was designed by the investigator. It constated of a combination of checklists, true false questionneires, co-Likert type scale and questions presented directly to the subject in an interview formet. The yes areb instrumny who designed to eligib information on the old cost the completion of the cost of the scale (GGR).

Pouril and Faper Type Questions

The period and raper type questions over fir the word part aslanted fr or all early extering frat instruments. يلي. The Rour II & Roale (Pir gulet and Sletter, 1067) was chosen an a mean 1. of measuring on inity dual attitude towards education, that is, the de gree to ba bant dividual favore o se pathtvelt indjred to odnesti to oppit the main concorrectly on the (one cont & table toff). The eq requiring a device the state on the algorithm of the title of type at tornal are showing to sprage reached as its ages, a distance of omine it is theme who are gratitly to and dread any the ellophythe regions a signification of (it's up a nor in the terrary dragone) Wat be the popular there are true and the ever to be min of the perturbation of the state of the this state. All physical states a state of the states of and we allow a topowar the versa for healthing (Appoints 1)

By 119 is left of 196431442 of 200 and 211 is an active probability the authors broad on a complete at a of 500 mod³ a of 500 female. The cont appears to live of a cont of a contract to a female state of a contract managet of the contract of the value of the term of the contract of the managet of the contract of the value of the term of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the second of the contract of the contrac

N.

evidence of some concurrent validity.

An additional feature of this scale is that it was constructed using 2882 subjects consisting of college students, high school students, and men on relief.

2. Measurement of Achievement Motivation - In order to arrive at an objective measure of self-evaluation of learning ability, the construct of achievement motivation was seen as being highly relevant. Gross (1981) has suggested in her model that "persons who lack confidence in their or abilities (frequently termed failure threatened or deficiency-oriented) avoid putting 'hemselves to the test and are unlikely to volunteer for learning which might present a threat to their sense of gelf esteem" (p 125). In her model, education, particularly competitive education, is und'er d achievement-oriented. (Appendix 2)

In order to eagure this construct, the oblement motivation submodel of Joskannia (1979) Forecapity "soon of Form (form R) was used. Outerring minimum there corrected atter true is folde, Joshannia contain peak to have a fifting a static original true is folde, Joshannia contain in a clipital ontest, at least to aven such as The respectively hill be a been quoted by to been as RO imad on a sample store if it paper. Our use attering all argons sufficiently high when one is a tate account the correct of this when a sufficiently high when one is a faile account the correct of this when a first more appropriate with you get and as to a dvantage', bast is a sufficient of the Grade is in the proper of the activation of the state of the state of the first paper and as to a constant of the state of the state of the state at a correct of the state of the state of the state of the state paper and as to a constant of the state of the st

Jackson's (1974) desertation of the high achieves as one who fee all the topacers lish different tooke a intrine high stand the stilling

۴,

willing to put forth effort to attain excellence", corresponds favorably ... to Cross's achievement-oriented learner.

3. Measure of Life Transition - The investigator designed a short, eleven item checklist (Appendix 3) in order to determine whether or not an individual had experienced any major life changes in the year prior to the interview. Modelled after the Holmes and Rahe (1967) Scale, the items in the present scale represented clusters of events rather than the specific events themselvee. The items referred generally to changes occuring in personal health, work. finances, living conditions, family, interpersonal relationships, church activities, recreation, social activities, as well as an open-ended item in which the respondent could detail other changes that they felt were not included in the other items. Any items which were checked could then he probed for clarification during the interview, if necessary.

4. Gonle f r Leurning Items designed by Carr, Paterson, and Roelfs (1.74) were used to obtain individual's general gonle for learning as well as specific over related to parent education. The items designed by Carr at al were part of a larger study ju the United States sponsored by the Commission of Non traditional Study examining the lawrning needs and as pirations f a cross section of American adults. In the case of the gorelated to revealing education, the items used from the Corp study were those which the individual resconted to after cleating a specific area that he saw himself interested in (for example, learning a skill or purshing an scadenic cublect). To make this list relate more to goals that parente might have in envilling to provide the state of the state user insertet. (Appendix 'i)

The minimum of Opportunt too or they the the object lights the busines

(1974) study. The checklists contained descriptions of events and situations that might stop a person from enrolling in a course or keep him from learning what he wanted to learn.

In the same manner a series of checklists provided different conditions which would potentially make it easier for a person to become involved in a learning situation. These included items relating to learning format (lectures, discussion groups, self study), frequency of study gessions, and location of learning, as an example. (Appendix 5) 6. Information - It was desired to determine what sources of information a person relies upon to find out about a) the particular "Focus on Parenting" Program for which he registered, and b) what sources of information/a person relies upon generally to find wit about things happening in his community. Fart A was measured by means of a checklist describing a pumber of possible ways people may have heard about the program. It also included an open-ended question asking respondents how they might advertise a similar course event. Far' B was included as part of the cron-ended investions and in open could question about in he dim userd. (hependit

Open-ended Questions

In addition to using questions of a pencil and paper type, it was decided to include questions of a more open anded nature. (Appendix 7) These questions were presented directly to the subject with the investigator recording the response. It was anticipated that using questions of this nature would allow the subject the apportunity to excand upon his answers given in the earlier section, as well as allowing the investigator to acquire information over and above that directly requested.

Questions for this part of the interview were prepared in the fol-

variables comprising the COR model was distributed to five people (See These people included four Master's level and one Ph.D. Appendix 8). They were requested to write questions that they might use psychologist. in an interview to elicit information on each of the six variables. This collection of items was then submitted independently to another five people, all Ph.D's in psychology or counselling, who had experience in teaching adults. This latter group of judges was asked to rate each item in its respective content area on three separate dimensions. These included the degree fo which the item represented the content area, the degree to which the item would be capable of generating further discussion, and the degree to which the question would provoke a negative reaction on the part of the respondent. Each of the three ratings for each item was recorded on a five point Likert scale. The items that were eventually chosen for use in the interview were those which ranked highest, in order, of representativeness, response of client, and degree to which it was seen as suitable for generating further discussion. All items that were used ranged from 3.5 to 5 on the five roint Likert scale, where 5 indicated a merimin accre end i a minimum.

Pre-test of the Structured Interview

After the items and questions for the structured interview were selected, the entire instrument was administered to two people. One individual had been a recent participant of a Focus on Parenting Program, while the other individual had never attended a parent education program. After the interview was completed, these two subjects were asked to respond to the nature of the interview. Along with observations of the investigator and comments of the two subjects, some items were reworded and the

order of presentation of some of the questions was altered. No major changes, however, were made.

Procedure

-36

When the primary subjects of the study had been identified, they were telephoned or written a letter by the investigator and asked for their participation. For those subjects not familiar with the investigator, such as those who registered but did not attend (group 3) and those who indicated no interest (group 4), the purpose of the study was explained. If any of the potential subjects expressed nome hesitancy in becoming involved, the investigator offered to deliver to their home or business a sample set of questions to familiarize them with the type of material to be covered, If they expressed any hesitation after this they were dropped from the study and another subject was randomly selected.

The interview was divided into two parts. The first part consisted of the subject recording his written responses to the items contained on the Education Scale, the Achievement Motivation Scale and Life Transition Scale, followed by the completion of the checklists on goals, and barriers and opportunities for learning. The second part of the interview consisted of open-ended questions presented orally to the subject by the investigator. The subject was given a copy of all questions as a means of facilitating his understanding of what was expected. The investigator summarized the subject's comments on paper and/or where appropriate recorded his answers directly in the case of the checklist and inventory type sections. The subject's comments were also audio taped with his permission. Each subject's responses were reviewed within 24 hours, including replay of the audio tape and summary comments made in written form.

Results

This portion of the study has been divided into five sections. The first four sections each relate to a different level of participation and consists of findings from interviews. The first group to be reported on are the active participants, followed by partial attenders, registrants, and the no-interest group. The fifth section consists of observed trends over all four levels of participation.

During interviews information was collected on the six variables comprising the Cross Chain of Response Model (COR). The first variable, self evaluation, was measured in the form of achievement motivation. Past research has suggested that those individuals who possess confidence in . their abilities (high achievers) are more likely to volunteer for learning than those with low self confidence (low achievers). The second variable, attitudes about education, result from the learner's part experience in school as well as from the reference groups of which he is a part. Goals and expectations comprise the third set of variables. The importance of a goal to an individual as well as the associated expectancy of success is another motivating factor in voluntary learning. The fourth variable, life transitions, is also perceived as being an influential factor in stimulating an individual's interest for learning. Such "triggering" events as divorce or loss of a job may transform a latent desire for education into action. A fifth set of variables, opportunities and barriers. account

. 1

for those factors which can facilitate participation such as the availábility of child-care or flexible scheduling, or those factors which may impede participation such as cost or distance. The final variable is that of information. It plays the role of linking motivated learners to avail-"able learning opportunities.

After findings on the above variables are presented, the fifth and final chapter will discuss their implications for theory and research.

Section I

Active Participants

Active participants for the purposes of this study were individuals who had attended at least five out of six sessions of a "Focus on Parenting" program (FPP). In the case of the following two participants, they both attended all six sessions.

<u>Subject i</u>. Fred attended a Focus on Parenting (FPP) group along with his common-law wife, Nancy. This was the first adult education program that they had attended together in their $2\frac{1}{2}$ year relationship. Fred had a grade eleven education and was a division manager of a large sod farm. He was 30 years of age and had a 17 month old boy from his relationship with Nancy. He also had two children from a previous marriage while Nancy had one child from a previous marriage. Only the young 17 month old boy resided permanently at home, with the other children visiting on alternating weekends or for longer periods over holidays.

In January both Fred and Nancy started looking, for courses that they might enrol in together. The Focus on Parenting program was chosen from ' the listing of courses published in the daily paper. When asked what his purpose was for attending, he stated that he wanted help in "building his ' family" and more specifically in dealing with his wife's and his own children when they came to visit. He felt there was a lot of hostility which he needed help to deal with.

Fred noted that the most important factor affecting his attendance at any course was Nancy's support. If he had her support he felt there were few if any obstacles to taking courses or being involved in further learning. If Nancy was not supportive, it was highly unlikely that he would attempt a course. He stated specifically that money for him was no obstacle in attending a course, nor was child care or course location. He had enrolled Nancy in a course designed to teach mothers how to sew for their children and had paid the fee, but she chose not to attend.

Fred described his education at the elementary and secondary level in rather ambivalent terms. Except for courses in mathematics, physics and biology, a lot of his courses were impractical. However, in reflecting back, he said that some courses might have been more useful had they been related more to the student's own experience. He now views a knowledge of English and other languages as being highly beneficial. He wanted to enrol in a French course to help him communicate better with French Canadian workers employed on his sod farm. While his parents insisted that he go to school and complete his homework each evening, they did very little to encourage his actual learning. It was not until he had been put of school for about eight years that his appreciation for learning developed. Since that time he has completed courses in welding and employee-relations and has approached his employer about taking courses in the winter related to grassland management. On the Education Scale, Fred scored 78 (out of a possible 110). Please refer to Table 1. p. 41.

Fred appeared to view himself as a capable employee, noting that in all previous jobs he had been assigned a leadership role. As a child he was given considerable responsibility in running the home owing to frequent

absences of his mother due to illness. He sees himself as a good manager, relating well to his employees. He has a number of aspirations, including establishing his own business which he feels he can do based on what he has learned in his present position. He scored at the 74th percentile on achievement motivation.

There had been a lot of changes in Fred's life over the past 12 month period. He started his present job nine months ago, he had changed his residence, and was much better off financially. Due to a change in some of Nancy's personal habits he felt their relationship had improved markedly. He also said that he was trying to pay more attention to his youngest son by spending additional time with him on a daily basis. His son's birth (17 months ago) appears to have had a significant impact on him. Summary

In describing Fred's participation in reference to the Gross Chain of Response Model (GOR), he scored at the 7th percentile on achievement motivation and valued education to the extent that he sought out numerous learning experiences over the past three year period. He scored 78 (out of 110) on the Education Scale. His goals in attending the FPP related to enhancing his family life as well as dealing with the specific problems of a "blended" family. It was his expectation that a parent education group would give him specific techniques, but even more important would provide an opportunity to share his concerns with other parents. Fred had experienced a number of significant changes in his life over the past 12 months, including a new job, a move, and a revision in his common-law wife's personal health habits. A significant factor, which was present to make his attendance possible, was his common-law wife's support in not only fevoring his ritendance, but in going with bim. He perceived there

		ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION	ATTITUDES TOWARDS EDUCATION ^b	LIFE TRANSITIONS ^C	YEARS OF EDUCATION	AGE
, 1	s ₁	74%	78	5	11	× 30
GROUP	5 ₂	. 81%	76	5	13	30
		. .		• • • •	· · ·	at
2	s ₃	88%	74	3	10	33
GRUUF 2	s ₄	724	77	9	11	42.5,
	•	• .	ب سر، . . لان			
n	ć	74%	81	9	14	25
GROUP 3	85 86	63%	101	9 7	14	35 36 `
5	~~6			*		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1	s ₇	625	° 96	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	27
GROUP 4	s 8	38%	86	3	16	3/1
77				· · · -	•	, . ,
R	ANGE	50	24	9	6	15

.

•.

ę

. . .

- -**-**

· · •

<u>Table 1</u> Participation Data By Subjects and Groups

to be few barriers to his participation in learning other than withdrawal of his wife's support in stopping him from attending. Fred made regular use of a number of information sources, but relied primarily on the daily newspaper to receive information on available learning experiences.

Subject 2. Shirley and her husband attended a Focus on Parenting group after reading about the course in a local community newspaper. Shirley had also heard about the program from a social worker ip an agency with which she was doing volunteer work.

Shirley was 30 years of age and had one child, a three year old girl. Her husband, Bob, was the owner of a small trucking firm. She had completed her grade twelve and for a time worked for an insurance underwriter. Since that time, she had copleted three courses in underwriting as well as completing a one year secretarial program.

Her reason for attending the FPP was to acquire skills in dealing with her daughter, particularily as it related to discipline. She was concerned about learning muthods of discipline that did not vely on scolding. She described her daughter as being a very active little girl and as one who required a lot of her time. By attending the group she also hoped to see whether other people had the same problems and wanted to hear about how they dealt with similar concerns. Shirley wanted to have more children and told the interviewer of her and Bob's plans to move to an acreage where their children could grow up in a rural atmosphere.

There were few obstacles to her enrolling in the FFF. Bob was supportive, which was important to her. She felt, however, that even if Rob had not been able to attend that she would most likely still have gone. It was her opinion that if you wanted to attend a class badly encuge, you could somehow find a way. If snything were to have storred her, it would likely have been not finding adequate child care or the interference of other home responsibilities. She mentioned that she would be more likely to attend a program if it was informal in nature and short term. for example, one evening a week for a few weeks.

• • • • • •

۱. .

Shirley attributed considerable value to education. Although she found studies often hard, she wished she could have continued beyond what she had already accomplished. She intended to complete the five remaining courses in her insurance underwriting program when her daughter started school. Shirley and a friend were instrumental in setting up a course for mothers which ran for six weeks. She contacted renource people who spoke to young mothers about a variety of family (related concerns. She and her hushand also taught a religion class within their church to older children and adolescents. On the Education Scale she received a score of 80.

Shirley saw berself as having average ability. She had to work hard at school for her warks and never enloyed studying. She felt the dealt w with the public, being conscientious and reasonably outening. The entropy of the Sist percentile on achievement motivation."

Regarding changes in Shirley's life over the past year, the and Roh had attempted to make new friends. They were both more involved in their church and had been teaching children's outechism classes. As reviewaly alluded to, Shirley initiated a "mother's morning out" program. She also became pregnant, but later miscarried. Shirley an' her 'us'and were ple ning to neve book to the Maritimer within the next three to four month Summary

In describing Shipley's perticipation, with reference to the COR model, she placed a relatively high value on education, sectiving a secon of 80 on the Foundtion Sole. The had have instrumental in genizing a production in the cost in the cost of the cost in the cost of the cost of

scored at the Sist percentile on achievement motivation which was supported by her active involvement involunteer work and her leadership in a number of community-related programs. Her goals in attending FFP were to enhance her relationship with her daughter as well as to find solutions to some minor problems also perceived herself as having in the home. Her empectations were to be able to listen to other parents and determine how they dealt with their children in comparable situations. Shirley had experience changes over the past 12 months including increased involvement and parti ipation in her church, doing more things of a social nature with her hubard, and becoming pregnant, although late: experience of a social nature with her hubard, and becoming pregnant, although late: experience to an isocrypage Shirley and her husband had also rul their house up for solo to sufficing of move back to the Maritimes.

One of the factors (opportunities) which facilitated her altending the PFF was her husband's support, which she identified as being any 1 portart. Barriers to her rarit cipation and he lack of family a su

"hi law as informed of the cure brough the lora!

I spray of months to the second statements

Commonnl'les Among Those Atlending the Futter

f If Tvaluntion

- " Poth scored hi is or neiter it is for a time and for a set of the second set of th
- Thetrached years.

't' des and Factors Felating to Rivesti n

Poh pino d a ra attuer

and the second sec

. . .

- The FPP was only one of so not duit of setting had taken with the lost in page 1: 4-1
- Sponger ore heavy to the start of the second s
- 1 Porth lind oget to the second second

t ance of Goals

Roth Identified as neval as any problem brooker because the finally life. General as a subscription of the

of o time with problems

Polis in titing the star is the time is the second starting to the second starting of the second starting of the second starting starting

The paration of or yes

p unit es ant Par ters

Poth time for a number of the important to the obtain the number of the formation $\frac{1}{2}$. The first for the theory of the formation $\frac{1}{2}$ and a divisor $\frac{1}{2}$ and the first $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and

fr attom

1. Rolls at another 1 Hyr. (t.g. merce) (t.t. 1) (t.t. 1) Roll molt rolly (t.t. 1)

this is a m

"'s' lare us

and the second second

- Both and yo no all'lithing for other foundly man a
 - n n an ang
 - the main of a most shitten at home

Parti'l Allen'ers

acconding angeton of the TTT. In the first of the first of a

Subject 3. John was the 33 y ar old mether of the children, ages 1 and 1 "" "Johand, Ha, is exployed as a t-children for a communications firm. "oan a tenied an aftern on TIE offered by a district office of Ed. " of b r c n 'i ug't have from scho 1. She altended mly one sension to use he n in England and "off colletings 15. The would bar TIE of the culture further, but fold diffic support from her paients. I " as a closery for these not promine controls prove should be a " as a collection for the procession of the collection of the complete the school is contained by a culture of the solution of the complete the school is a contained by a culture of the contained of the complete the school is a contained by a culture of the school of the complete is the contained by the culture of the school of the complete the school of the contained by a culture of the contained by a complete is the contained by a culture of the contained by a contained by a complete is the contained by a culture of the contained by a complete is the contained by a culture of the contained by a contained by a contained by a culture of the cultur

Att a continue in demond one on the observer prottions she wet its on inflow the terms in demond one information. Here the oblighters or upp on important charm in the object of the object of indication beaching modified discrimination of the object of the object of and nothing in her discription beaching discription discriments of the object of and nothing in here discribed on the object of objection in the object of the object of the indication of the indication of the object of the object of the object of the indication of the object of the objection of the object of the object of the indication of the indication of the object of the object of the object of the indication of the object of the objection of the object of the object of the object of the indication of the object of the objection object of the objection object of the object object of the object object of the object object of the object object of the object of the

from the state of the

There is the first of the store opposing the first error and the store a

and the second second

herself and wondered whether she really had anything to complain about. A second reason was to deal with the misbehavior of her children. In this respect, she deal that herself as being very demanding of both herself and her children.

Her stated reasons for leaving the FFF prematurely was due to a slip red disc in her lower back, making it uncomfortable to sit. She clao fall other participants did a lot of complaining and sow them as being lonely and having little sense of purpose. A concern of Joan's was that she might "stagnate" as some of the other women bad, in her perception. Some of the course material was also questioned in terms of its appropriateness for her family. For example, she tried some of the communication skills with her son and felt that he was mere enbarrassed then helped by them. Joan alemphasized the lack of citte of this is a opting the set professionale.

than proceeded herealf as having 'een an above average student. She rea onded to her buth stater's outgoins nature by heing quiet and reserved and by potting in entra time on study. She frequently asked for teachers for more homework. Then say herea'f as wanting to us omilish the fost the objected of r her family and stove to keep herealf on the date in a variation of subleats related to family life. On white most with the store of all of the percention. These we refor to Table 1.

Although a ber own administer als offer a brooth a browned a worden of atton, one also closed a bravy mphasis on white a brarn from experience versus attending classes. When asked while the raise any aspir tions of brooth invelved in further iss ning she splip. At the whild like to get dy construction in further is ning she would be an word in further to be and construction in further is ning she would be at the would like to be dy

"youtten Sonto

An to bound man glassing the front to contar a policipal to the table

learning, she cited reasons related almost exclusively to her family, including lack of spousal support, finding adequate child care and keeping up with household responsibilities. When she was asked about conditions which would have potentially facilitated her learning, again all related to her family and included child care and spousal support.

When she was asked about changes that might have occured in her life over the past year, she described them primarily in terms of physical health. She had undergone a hysterectomy, her son could administer his own insulin, and her daught had been relatively symptom free from asthma. In other areas, she and her husband had their mortgage renewed and had to pay out consilor ably more money which had necessiteted them we evaluating priorities.

Summary

With specific reference to the variables on the COR model; Joan's participation as a partial attender ban be summarized in the following man ner. On self-evaluation (achievement motivation) she scored at the RBD persontile. Her attitudes about education were reflected by a score of 7% on the Education Scale. The appeared to see herself as an achieving person who valued a mostion. On this latter variable, she had achieved most of her lear ing from celf study as opposed to attending classes and valued learning more from direct experience than from formal instruction. Her goals in attending the EPF were to commany herself to other women, to see if any were worse off than berealf and to learn ways of dealing with misbehavior. She anticipated that the group would consist of a number of women complaining about 10 attended to attending consist of a number of women complaining about 10 attended to a be indeed perceival "star to be the case.

Charges or transfit us in her life ver the post your furbuded ye. out the a major perstion (hysteroctomy), having to south her lifestyle for to in reput white salated is he entry, and grifting out more on her own This latter factor was due, she felt, to the increased independence of her diabetic son and asthmatic daughter.

Opportunities for her participation included spousal support and child care. Joan attended an afternoon session of FPP in which child care was provided. Potential barriers to her participation were lack of spousal support and lack of availability of child care. She described her partial attendance, however, as being due to physical health problems making it uncomfortable to sit. There were also indications that she did not find the others in the group pleasant to be with. She questioned the nature of some of the material being taught, feeling that it was inappropriate for her children. She felt that other participants were accepting the new ideas being presented uncritically.

Joan beard about FPF through a brochure sent home from school with her son. She tends not to watch television and relies on radio for infor mation. Her knowledge of community events was acquired by attention to a community centre bullatte board and to a legger effort by a community workly paper.

Subject 4. Margaret was a 45 year old woman who had been divorced for $1\frac{1}{2}$ years and was currently living with her 15 year old son, Scott. She had one other son who lived with her former bushand. She was working in a clarical resition for the Alberte Generament, a resition she had occupied loss than a year.

Margaret read shout the FFF in the daily newspaper. When asked about her rurphse in registering the said that she was having difficulty coping with the demands of her for, Scott. She wanted to be able to better discipline tim and find ways of getting him to save his money. Other goals included improving communication, meeting new people and getting away from

personal problems. She attended only one session. Her reason for not completing the course was that she was the only parent of an adolescent child attending the program. The other parents' concerns related exclusively to young children and she found the discussion unrelated to her concerns about her son.

Educationally, Margaret attended as far as grade 11 in a rural school in Manitoba. In order to take grade 12, she would have been required to leave her home and live in a larger center, which was not possible for financial reasons. After leaving school at age 18, she got a job and later enrolled in courses in typing and comptometry. She stated that she placed a high value on education, but she had difficulty singling out any one educational experience as being more important than another. NON a number of occasions in the interview she noted that while education was important, what one learns from experience can be far more useful. She received a score of 77 on the Education Scale. Please refer to Table 1.

£

When asked how she perceived her abilities, Margaret said she was an average student in all subjects except mathematics, where she was above average. She was very shy in school and rarely spoke out or participated / in class discussions. She saw herself as a steady worker who always completed her homework. When she left school she married a farmer, but also worked in a number of different hotels as a charwoman and night desk clerk. On achievement motivation she scored at the 72nd percentile.

At the time of the interview Margaret had experienced a number of very significant changes in the previous 12 months. These included getting a new job, moving to a new apartment with her son and joining a single's club. She said that after the divorce from her husband 1½ years ago, she bacame quite depressed and anxious and was prescribed tranquilizers by her rhysician. She had since stopped thaking them and felt more relaxed and

at ease, which had facilitated her becoming more actively involved in a number of sporting activities, in which she usually participated with a friend from work.

Margaret's largest barriers inhibiting her from becoming involved in further learning experiences were cost, her fear of not having adequate ability, and a concern about being too old. If she were to pursue any additional learning, she would most likely take a course in accounting, although at the moment she noted that this appeared unlikely until she could get some of her own situational difficulties resolved.

Summary

Although Margaret expressed some doubts about her own ability she scored at the 72nd percentile on self evaluation (achievement motivation). She received a score of 77 on the Education Scale. Although she has not been involved in any courses of an educational nature since a typing and comptomentry course after grade 11, she had been more active in sporting interests. Her goal in attending the FPP was to deal with problems relating to the management of her 15 year ald son. She anticipated being able to attend and receive suggestions from other parents which would assist her with her son Scott. After attending one session, she was disappointed and decided not to continue because other parents' concerns were specific to very young children. A factor that initially facilitated her coming was that the course was offered free of charge and in a nearby location.

Margaret had experienced numerous transitions or life changes including divorce, a new job and a change of residence. She also joined a single's club and had become more active socially. Approximately six months previous to the interview she had gone off a medication (tranquilizers) prescribed by her physician and felt that it had assisted her in being more comfortable socially. Margaret heard about the FPP from an advertisement in the daily newspaper.

Commonalities Among Partial Attenders

A. Self Evaluation

- 1. Both participants scored moderately high on achievement motivation (72nd and 88th percentiles).
- 2. Both described themselves as having been shy and withdrawn in school.

B. Attitudes about Education

- 1. Both were similar on their responses to the Education Scale (74 and 77).
- 2. Experience was emphasized over formal education with skepticism towards the advice of professionals.
- 3. Neither had participated actively in any formalized adult education courses over the past five year period.
- 4. Neither had completed high school.

C. Importance of Goals

1. Goals for attending were highly problem oriented. Purpose in attending was to overcome some immediate difficulty subject was experiencing.

D. Life Transition

- Both had experienced transitions although they were of a different nature. They included change of residence, different job, and major medical operation.
- 2. Both subjects said that over the past year they had been trying to get out more and meet new people.

E. Opportunities and Barriers

1. Both said that course times and locations made it easy for them to attend.

B

2. Both expressed discomfort with the other participants in terms of their interests being different or their values/priorities being different.

F. Information

1. Both came in response to a written notice, one from a school brochure and the other from a newspaper advertisement.

G. Miscellaneous

- 1. Both attended on their own.
- 2. Home appeared very child centered. It appeared that both had experienced difficulties with their children, including medically related problems as well as ones of behavioral adjustment.

Section III

Registrants Only

The following two individuals were thosen from a list of people who had registered for a Focus on Parenting Program, but who did not attend any sessions.

Subject 5. Roger was a 35 year old successful business man who operated his own interior decorating firm. At the time of the interview he had been living apart from his wife just over two years. His divorce had just been finalized and his two teenage sons were living with their mother.

Several months before having registered for the FPP, he had attended a course entitled "Living Single Again". His sister had attended this course previously and recommended it to him. While he was enrolled in the program, it was suggested that a parent education course would help those who had children, in terms of gaining an understanding of their needs and still being able to function as an effective parent. When Roger signed up for the program he did so in the midst of an "emotional turmoil" and when

53

the course date came around he felt he had other priorities which needed to be deal with first. These included achieving a certain sense of personal stability and being able to deal with a number of business affairs. He also felt discouraged about his relationship with his two sons. His wife did not encourage his visiting them and frequently took them away when he called to see them. He felt there was little incentive for him to work on improving his situation.

His purpose in registering was to help him gain custody of his sons as well as to understand what had gone wrong in his relationship with his family. He wanted to know how he might correct his situation as well as receive some emotional support similar to what he had received in his singles group.

Roger perceived himself to be a capable individual, striving to improve himself. On achievement motivation he scored at the 74th percentile. (Please refer to Table 1.) He was an average student at school, although he was above average in math and social studies. He described himself as a creative person who had used his imagination to his advantage in business. He made friends easily and was a good manager.

On the Education Scale, Roger received a score of 81. He completed his grade twelve at age 17 and went on to a technical college for a course in decorating. He failed the course but returned eight years later to finish at the top of his class. He placed a relatively high value on education, although when attending school the highlights for him were athletics, playing in an orchestra and the companionship of his peers. Roger felt that he could have put his time to much better use in school and felt that if he were to return, he would be much more conscientious.

He felt at the time that there were few things holding him back from gatting involved in a learning experience other than lack of time. He did say, however, that if he wanted to acquire training in a skill, there would be very little stopping him other than his own motivation and re-arranging of priorities.

Roger regularly read the newspaper and subscribed to three periodicals. He felt, however, that he relied the most heavily on other people for his information. The types of information he attended to were human interest events and to a lesser extent, world events. To him, what was happening in his own community was by far the most important.

Summary

Roger was a 35 year old business man who perceived himself to be a capable, striving individual as well as being a good manager with considerable creativity. He scored at the 74th percentile on motivation for achievement.

He registered for a FPF at a time when he felt considerable emotional turmoil over his divorce and in particular, his perceived rejection by hig two teenage sons. He enrolled in the course with the hope that it might assist him in achieving custody as well as personal understanding and support. He had previously attended a course for singles which had been suggested by his sister. The course had been helpful and he now anticip attended a similar experience.

Owing to what he referred to as "other priorities", such as pressing business concerns, inner throad surrounding the divorce and discourage ment over being rejected by his sons, Roger felt it was not a good time to take the PFF and decided not 'n altend. He felt 'is time would be better spent on more concours of a liverill recourst a two bits forgive

CONTRACTOR HARD DEPTOR

Subject 6. Paul was a 36 year old elementary school teacher who registered for a FPP with his wife, Linda. They had been looking for a program that would assist them in "learning how to cope with children with special problems". They had two daughters, ages 6 and 3, the eldest being diabetic. Faul's goal in registering was to help his daughter become as independent as possible. He described himself as being unsure of how much responsibility to give her, to ensure her growing as a person, but still provide her with the necessary support that he felt a child needed.

Paul felt himself unable to attend the program owing to lack of child care. He felt that his wife should attend, and that with his beackground in education, he might already have a basic grasp of what they would be discussing. He also wanted Linda to be free of the children for allead one wight a week and have a night out on her own.

With reference to self evaluation, Faul received himself to be above average in junior and senior high school but was not an expectally hard working student. He perceived himself to be an adaptable person who could cope with just about any situation. He we an avid reader and had reascrably highly developed skills in corpentry and mechanics. Paul also saw himself as the kint of person who likes to rise to cohollarge and tackle fairly difficult problems. He means at the first received multication for achievement. (Please refer to Table 1.)

Nothing in his school emperience stood out over the others, except at the uni evalty level where he particularly enjoyed his remonal contacts with his professors and fellow students. While some of his cources were described as esp stally stimulating, it was the revenue of acts and dimonstore that were highlights. Thus had completed a four year Bachel mination degree. He received a so re of j01 on the Education Scale

that that experiences a marker of significant changes in his life

over the past year. On the transition scale checklist, he noted seven changes including the death of his mother and aunt, to whom he was espen ially close, the paying off of his mortgage allowing more financial free dom, being much more active socially and recreationally, and a change in professional activities in terms of promotion and new responsibilities. His aldest daught was also starting school that year.

Paul heard about the FTF from his wife, who had read the course as nouncement in the newspaper. We read the newspaper regularily with the radio being a second important into mation source, followed by the televias being of much lesses importance. In 1 arm sheet hearth source with most hearthy on direct only the theory only.

Summary

With reference to the CCP model, Foul cound at the Grd percentil on achie ement m tiwation (cold e alusticn). He perceived him wift to be on above average student of the cold of the cold

"" 's fow here of the Place to reform the Place for the former of for the found to the found totte to the found to the fou

Taul's goale 'n restataring for the FPP were 'n latin one of bold' his oldest doubter achies an much independence as readily within the contribution dishets. With expectations were that from the comments of the provide of might contribute things applies he is high out attach

Fait was involved in a sumbar of major observations for the provision of a sumbar of major observation (2) into the formation (2) into the formation (2) into the provision (2) into th

and the second second

uted this to lack of child once. He suggested that his wife attend in order the she could receive the information and bring it how is charwith him. He also felt with his background is education that he wild likely be familiar with rost of the encerte aucht in the course. From fait that there very few chatteles in his work in the we of him in the in a formed 1 arming program, then then a size of the to the fourse and day of the week

÷ + 5

Faul use fringe of the process by its ution. At bed out re-

Grimmon PITIC A A PIT PIT PIT

" " If "valuation

٠.

' not' scored moderate

and 63rd percentile'

- " ti des bout Education
 - T. Pott courd very h'
 - and Expeciation

Poth fait the new loss and the loss of the

l'anc'tions,

1. Both had amperienced to an a support of the product (c)

7), although the unit of the second second

unit'es/Burrier

Terbistan en tite och stranden som en som en er som

• •

f ation

While Fith voin the preater is so of a so in the source of the source of

Non-For icirants

the participa to see these third should show hid him a given a or next with to other the FRT. but he did nod. They had rect and a with a corresponder station a bit them to indicte their damage of t (Arressing 3). It foll wing the multice is both to the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the state of the state of the state of the damage of the state of the damage of the state of the damage of the state of the damage of the state of the damage of the state of the damage of the state of the

Subject 7. Fagar and it is an all of the off the proves of states The back being manyled for all a set to be humbons' Groop sources and at the I are had by description in the state general proves which is a set to the back being description of the state general proves which is a set to the back being of the back being a set of the proves of the set is a state of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back is a state of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a the set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a with back on bill a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back being a set of the back being a set of the back being to be back being a set of the back bei

" กรีย พฤสารี (# -

A Book of a start of the start

 \leq

are both in school. She would then the to return and complete a degree in early childhood educettop. Pergy speaks very plaitivel shout past educational experiences. She was an above average student throughout her echn ling. On the "ducation Controls she received a core of 96. In echiev mont multivation she scored at the 60nd percenttic. Flense refs. to table i. . The identified are raised at the 60nd percenttic.

If Taggy were to return t soh of the ould are here if a bot over more consciontious student then all use before. I he we have it is to fuercas the amount of time opent on study

no found bey onthrough to othly ware cantered acoust cost and and all'ty of '1' care. This was porticularly true to the case of the abody I time of part time abody she say has husband as has 1 ... 1 any supported to torse of providing child care in the evenings. 1110 man pro these to she creation the states to her attending a per ant also liter program, she is tell that so and a contener of the track of and by the start of the contail a namental a reader to paralle of The function of a main inclusion phytocopy of oldia difference the many record to read an article to the newskip opents of the who dearth to grove to satisfy a letter a material approximation . The comment a be consult and in this she don't to influenced on relator of La construction of the Hard Plance Plance of the spectrum provides and the second 1111 Contraction of the end

where n is the two the second of the theory of theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of theory of the theory of the theory of theory of theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of theory

Stander i determine to tablear bleas are view. I pp ver under view in the same

vices of the local library. She also regularly watched the evening news on television. In terms of finding out about courses, she aften went directly to agencies where courses have been affered in the rast or telephoned them to enguine

Summary

Peggy appeared to place a block value on education. On the Education Scale who received a score of 06. (In achievement motivation she scored at the 62nd perchaitle. Pergy's goals in terms of learning wore more generathan "pecific. Her goal in terms of any learning experience was to invol a hereolf in something which was intellectually challenging. She was not concerned about immediate application. In softer as she opted not to attent a FTT, she fait that learning methods of dealing with her child could be be achieved in other softings, such as 'rom parents and o der sister', invitedarly those who hid demonstrates process. (http://www.state.com/ invitedarly those who hid demonstrates process) is a state of the softer of the state of

'arrow identified for hardens to i ped her participation in any formal instance mograms other then and and availed this of the distribution is are. No husbond - uppert and willingue of took alta. The gotteler in is contingeneous definition for the contract of the distribution is for the important for the contract of the distribution is

 $T_{\rm eq} = 1$ and $T_{\rm eq} = 1$ is possible and $T_{\rm eq} = 0$ in $T_{\rm eq} = 1$. For $T_{\rm eq} = 1$.

Thermonic of Configure 13 and a second state of the second state o

Subject 8. Inits was built for yours a women who had been married and the second secon
store on a part time basis, while her husband is an engineer. She had two children, ages 3 and 6. Anita and her husband moved from Vancouver two years ago due to Matt's employment.

Anita had a B.Sc. in didtetics. She worked as a distition for about two years before giving birth to her first child. Since that time her work had been of a part-time nature, originally in a catering business but now as a floor manager of a department store. She had always aspired to be a distition since grade five. Anits found the course very demanding but wanted to complete it even though many of the courses were uninter enting to her. While enrolled in that program she found she enjoyed

Anila chose not to attend the FPP for a number of reasons. Init ially shy mentioned that most of her information about parenting comes from her filends, particularly a neighbor who has a graduate degree in education. In addition, her mother in-law was a public health muy on the was familiar with young childron who passed on information as well as provising needed support. Secondly, Anita lescethed her role of mother an not having been a chosen one. She spoke about redentment that she foels touside her children for having taken away a great deal of her a dependent o which had been very important to ber. In a number of very one side he had tried to dissociate hereolf from being a porent. She 'alreaten ve aslood rea haar ton fifs alla the garg aak ode a du tadt fa'ne on is related to pay millood. Although she described hersalf as lowing bor o'lldron, 11 was a phase of her life, portioularly as a morent of young children, that she would like to may guickly through. The major ity of her friends were those who shared these same feelings in not place their chill ben in a predocting to to and oner presents extremely wide the conternation of the second the structure prophy allogistics to and equiption

62

courses as being "professional parents" or as those who took considerable pride in the way they related to and stimulated their children's development. She would prefer to spend only minimal time with such people.

Anits saw herself as placing a high value on education. She would have liked to have seen her children have as much education as they were capable of achieving, particularly her son. She felt compelled by her parents to go on past high school and even though she felt she would have made that choice on her own, she wanted to treat her children differently. The chief value coming out of her own education had been a general appreciation for learning. More than acquiring information, her education, particularly at the university level, helped her to be more outgoing and gave her a sense of confidence. Although not enrolled in any courses, Anita described herself as being a voracious reader, choosing a wide variety of subjects from world affairs to biography to novel. On the Education $\Delta_{\rm em}$

With reference to perception of ability, Anita saw herself as heving been above awarage in school performance. Her perceived carabilities included being a good organizer and calesperson, flexibility, stability and ability to accialize. If she were to have returned to school she believed she would have been a conscientions student, and one who would be enthurinstic about her subject matter. The area she felt she was not good at was that of being a parent, especially when she looked aroung and saw the kinds of things other parents were doing with their children. She saw herself at that moment as being in a "holding pattern", not feeling the ambiliar to take on any protecte or major undertakings. She attributed this in part to the ages of her children, but also in some measure to her self in not really knowing what she united. (by achievenous motivation ability her results and the united. (by achievenous motivation and the the TSBN properties.

In relation to these latter comments, Anita had difficulty identifying any learning goals and objectives. The ones that she did choose came from the list presented to her by the interviewer and included physical fitness and investment. A ponger term learning goal included returning to university and acquiring teaching certification, but she felt that time was still a long way off. Although she expects to feel restless at home when her children are both in school, she has no clearly defined goals, on her own admission, of what she wants to do with that time.

The changes that Anita had experienced over the past twelve month period have included her son starting school, her husband receiving a significant pay boost and an attempt to get out and know more people. She had made more of an attempt to meet more people this year by inviting people as guests into her home. Anita had felt, with the increasing independence of her children, that she was beginning to have more time for herself.

Barriers for participation in learning were relatively few for Anita. She noted that time of class, cost and location were important. The largest barrier, however, which loomed large over the others as previously alluded to, was her own lack of ambition. She expressed the opinion that there were, in reality, few blocks to her becoming more involved in learning other than her current low motivational level. Conversely, she said that few things would have to change in terms of her environment to facilitate her becoming involved in a learning experience. She had increesing amounts of time, her husband was supportive and there were many apportunities in terms of courses close by.

Information sources that Anita relief upon regularly included the

as previously mentioned, and actively used her local library. She also referred to at least one periodical, a provincial newsmagazine, that she receives and reads on a weekly basis. During the latter part of the interview, when formal questioning had been completed, she did bring up the idea that someday she perhaps would attend a parent education program, but at present did not know where they were held.

Summary

While having received a four year science degree, Anita had been inactive since graduation in terms of participating in formal instruction. Her goals were more general than specific. She read widely and liked to keep informed by regularly reading the daily newspaper, a variety of periodicals and watching the television news. The subject matter of the FPP had no appeal to Anita as she relied on close friends, neighbors and family for child related information. Neither did she want to spend time with people whose whole focus would be on children. Anita scored at the 38th percentile on achievement motivation. On the Education Scale she received a score of 86.

With reference to life transition, Anita identified her eldest child starting school, being in better financial condition, and an attempt on her part to get out and meet more people. She prefaced these changes, however, by describing herself as being in a holding pattern, saying that she had not made any major changes in her life over the past three to four year period.

She felt that she had ample opportunity to involve herself in additional formal learning, having a very supportive husband, but felt that the only thing holding her back was her own lack of ambition. She remained content for the time being to maintain her part time job and center her activities around her home.

Commonalities Among Non-Participants

A. Self Evaluation

Both subjects scored lower on achievement motivation
(38th and 62nd percentile) in relation to the other subjects,
active participants and partial attenders.

B. Attitudes about Education

- 1. Both scored very high on the education scale (86 and 96).
- 2. Both had completed high school matriculation and at least one year of university.

C. Importance of Goals' and Expectations

- 1. Both described their interests as being more general than specific.
- 2. Their relection of learning experiences was based more on what they perceived to be intellectually stimulating rather than immediately applicable.
- 3. In terms of learning a specific met of skills such as parenting, they would go to parents, friends or other family members who they perceived to be successful. If these people were unavailable, they would then inquire about instruction available through a college or university setting.
- ¹. Learning of parenting skills was not among their priorities. numerous other learning areas being mentioned.

D. Transitions

- 1. Both subjects tended to downplay any changes they had experienced over the past year, either saying that they had not occurred or if they had occurred, they had been minimally effected.
- 2. Both subjects saw themselves in a "holding pattern" waiting for

their children to mature and increase their independence before they could make changes in their own lives.

E. Opportunities and Barriers

- Both felt that cost and availability of adequate child care were barriers to their pursuing any long term educational goals although in terms of short term learning experiences, they were not nearly as large a problem.
- 2. Both expressed the opinion that if they wanted to participate in a learning experience there were actually few things stopping them.

F. Information

- 1. Both subjects read widely in a number of subject areas.
- 2. Both subjects regularly read the daily newspaper in addition to subscribing to a number of periodicals.
- 3. Both subjects noted that if they were to take a course they would want to know specifics, such as expertise of instructor and topics to be covered.

G. Miscellaneous

1. Both subjects referred to future educational goals in terms of wanting to attend university for additional training when their children would be attending school.

Section V

General Trends

From having conducted interviews with the preceding eight subjects, all varying in levels of participation, the following trends were ob-

1. On the variable of self evaluation (achievement motivation), the in-

dividuals who displayed some degree of interest in the FPP were higher on achievement motivation than those who did not. Partial attenders and active participants scored the highest, followed by registrants. Overall there appeared to be a positive relationship between motivation and level of participation.

In terms of verbal self report the no interest subjects described themselves as having been of above average ability in school, while the other participants all described themselves as average.

In this study there appeared to be an inverse relationship between amount of education and level of achievement motivation.

2. Those subjects scoring highest on attitudes towards education displayed the lowest levels of participation. Those who scored highest on attidudes towards education were the least likely to be involved in the FPP. On the other hand, those who had the highest attitude scored on attitudes towards education had the most education.

٦.

There appeared to be some basis for differentiating varving levels of participation based on their goals and expectations Regarding type of goal, those who persisted with the FPP (active participants) were more likely to have longer term goals regarding their families than short term ones. Their goals also tended to be more positive than negative, in that they were more likely to identify enhancement of functioning as a reason for sttending as opposed to learning how to cope with a specific problem. Those who persisted with the FPF also made decisions about their level of attendance before attending the program while those who terminated prematurely waited until they had attended one session.

Those who displayed the Inwest levels of participation were

more likely to have learning goals that were unrelated to their families. When they did require information or support regarding their parental role, they consulted either close family members or friends who they considered successful parents.

- 4. The subjects expressing the most interest in the FPP, the active participants, partial attenders and registrants, tended to be involved in considerably more life changes than the no interest subjects. Divorce was the most frequently cited change, followed by changes in residence and jobs. While the first three levels of participation could not be differentiated based on the type of changes they had experienced, they could be better differentiated on the number of changes. Those disclaying lower levels of participation with the exception of the no interest group, were more likely to have experienced a greater number of changes within the provide 12 method then these who persisted through the entire program.
- While all subjects identified approximately the same number of potetial obstacles to their particulation in a learning experience, the nature of the obstacles appeard to vary with the letel of particip ation. Spousal support was identified as important by active par ticipants, while uncertainty of what they wanted to learn and lack of confidence were noted by partial altenders. Registrants identified time factors while the no interest registrants identified cost and availability of child care and lack of gmbition. Men were also more likely to identify time factors while women noted factors related to child care, such as those referred to above.
- 6. All subjects used a wide variety of information cources in seeking to by kept informed of events going on around them. All subjects results by

read a daily newspaper, listened to the radio, and to a lesser extent. watched television. In terms of becoming informed of the FPP, there did not appear to be any differences or trends among the first six subjects. The no interest subjects, however, were unaware of locations of programs and suggested that if they were to attend a program they would likely contact a university. This latter group was also more likely to want to know about certain details of their percention learning programs than others, such an course goals, content, and instructor qualifications.

Those displaying the highest level of participation, active parties parts, were blac the most drively involved in other adult en

<u>"0</u>"

Chapter V

8

Discussion of Results

The final rath of this study in divided into four continue. The first unition is a discussion of the genuits obtained in the trenant in from the perspective of social potiention theory. The second contion is cluder a critical review of the COR model with suggestions for furths theory development. The third section reviews the appropriates on case study motion logy in de cloping the suggestions for run life and find a critical review of the formulations.

The COR Model as a Tool for Understand a Participation in Adult Sea nine

On of the most might characteristics of the OCD model is not comuch the lichtification of the six vertables provided very reduce to the training of the second or opt all framework. Gross has the read to construct her model to couch a set that not not to read a the read to construct her model to couch a set that not not on the result of product of provide a training the read of the couch is not but more a the result of production attends to the the couch the couch to couch a set to couch a set of the read of the read to couch the read of t

• •

celved by the individual as extending into the future. In this member, motivation is view d not only in relation to an impairie teach but here that task is a lated to follow a cold and spractically on (to desire only not into)

The relatively recent reformulation for dirich motivation theory has had a number of important implications for further under danding of a tive for variation. Raynor's work on tubure or enlation (Atkinson and Ray nor. 107°) is well as Weiner's (1981) issue that in ottribution have expand the same of concept of motivation such that it has been used to account to a number of different dimensions of behavior of prochological furnities including of the entry of reference. Level of aspiration with and constrainty (Derek and Wortman, 1981)

As a "lifting can frick this for the model weltwith the solution would have a tempertant tria. The same adjustment the lifting of polytion protected tria, here to make and any sub-trial 21 of all holes been about to a triational adjustment of the science 21 of 21 of 21the lift and 12 at one 1976).

In the property fully achievement motionities as sense of in the Tech and (1974) and the trifferentiated them. An exiting provided in gene way displayed on interact in the FIT from these who has been the algoritheouse way'd appoint to be in part the billy to second from which to differ out a more of the CT grite is a color of the differentiation which appoint to a there in the color of the second from the second which appoint to a the the the transformation of the second for the which appoint to a the the transformation of the second for the second which appoint to a the second for the second for the second for the which appoint to a the the transformation.

In a moning of fir they do it find the second the follows gottoms with in . In an order of the second to the second of the first of the order of the second to the best of the opposite of a bla moning of the traction. The desire of the tracting of the second of the second to the second of the traction.

•

process rather than being the result of a series of discrete events. Atkin son and Birch (1970, 1974) in their reformulation of achievement motivation have also suggested the presence of action tendencies which exist over extended verieds of time involving preferences for certain activities over others, varying degrees of vulnerability to intervuntions, differences in latency of initiating tasks, and specific reactions to success/failure. fr as (1281) has also suggested that due to the often grapetitive not preif education, achievement activation has consider.

indiana hartining in in the second and a second of the second sec

Achi vement Motivation and Gelf Evaluation

In the present study self evaluation use monured by the Jack in (197) Percondity Research Form (Form E) - achievement motivation subscale as we'll as 'y verbal self report. The measure of whit vement metivation as on index of white evaluation was in keeping with the (loss (10(1)) suggestic) that there who look confidence in their own childties such a low orbit woil putting themastives in situations where their cause of self esteem might be the aloned, on h as in voluntegoing for tearning. Individuals it's and if a plustion (high schlevers are rore the orbit of the start of over as a means of subancing their shilling.

In considering the reality of the study on the solve limension how over, the investigator found himself to be in a membat of a different. With the achievement motivation subscale arreared to be one of the best single measures in differentiating these who displayed some degree of interest in the WFF (by either actively perficipating, partially strending, or region taxies) from these whosehoved as interest, it appeared to measure more the actively perficients, it appeared to measure more the

 10^{081} positing strong positive relationships between self evaluation and achievement motivation the relationship is somewhat unclear. This was made evident in the no interest group. While they scored lowest on achievement motivation, they had attained the highest number of years of formal schooling and also rate? themselves as being of above average ability. This is in comparison to the active participants and partial attenders group who scored higher on achievement motivation but yet who had fewer years of formal achooling and rated themselves as being of only average ability. This raises questions not only about the relationship between self evaluation and achieve ment motivation, but about delf evaluation end participation. It appears that self evaluation may only be one aspect of achievement motivation. Achievement motivation he secure that give oncept which takes in many comparison of a minimum he secure that give oncept which takes in

With an office reference to self evoluation, it appears possible that there may be contain factors within only scalar ion that are more important than others in terms of participation. Trans, "archell, and McGrath (1979) have pointed to the importance of using to the measures of self evaluatio on the that using globe once expectative a predicting behavior in specific estimations. The use of multi the net ust last butteries of self evaluation would epicar to be useful in further terms is in clarifying the reinitional to the self evaluation and containpation. Other espects of self a clustion in this contail regular interligation push a sector self evaltion, and academic cold are usefue, to mention but a fer.

One wight, however, in the concept of schevement wetterion in Jight profit in from the in the concept of schevement wetterion in Jight 74

\$

first two groups (active participants and partial attenders) reflected similar levels of achievement motivation. This might indicate that while a certain level of achievement motivation (or possibly self evaluation) may be important in getting someone to attend a program it may not be enough in and of itself to keep him there. Harmon (1977) has suggested that individuals may have two levels of motivation to attend a program: an initial motivation which underlies first attendance, and a secondary motivation which is formed in reaction to the program itself. In terms of self evaluation it is possible that an individual may see himself as being reasonably intelligent in terms of the course subject matter, but when he arrives to take a course may feel socially inadequate in relation to the other participants and not persist in a group learning experience. This may be similar to Boshier's (1973) incongruence concept in which a poor 'person-environment fit' is attributed as a cause of dropout.

An alternative explanation for the above is that the type of achievement motivation (or self evaluation) was different for groups 1 and 2. Atkinson and Birch (1970, 1974) refer to positive and avoidance motivation, in which the strength of the motivation to achieve is a function of action tendencies which represent positive motivation (or high self evaluation) to engage in certain behaviors and negative motivation (or low self evaluation) to to engage in certain behaviors. In the present study it is posmible that active participants consisted of those who wanted to be better parents while partial attenders consisted of those who wanted to avoid the image poor ones.

Attirson and Birch (1970, 1974) have suggested that positively moti-

who are more motivated to avoid failure. Their theory also suggests that the motive to avoid failure increases the latency of initiating achievement tasks and increases the probability of choosing other non-achievement tasks. Additional research indicates that individuals motivated by a fear of failure (perhaps individuals with low self evaluation) are more vulnerable to interruptions that lead them completely out of the achievement situation (Dweck and Wortman, 1981). Related research has also demonstrated that students who tend to be successful prefer goals of moderate difficulty, whereas students with a high motive to avoid failure more often choose extreme difficulty levels and tend to be much more unsuccessful (Wilke, 1976). Is it possible that individuals with higher levels of self evaluation choose tasks that they are reasonably confident of attaining while those with low levels of self evaluation choose difficult ones with the hope of achieving mastery more on the basis of luck than effort or ability?

One wonders in the present study whether higher levels of self evaluation also contributed to enhanced persistence in a learning activity. On the converse is it also possible that those with lower self evaluation are also more subject to disruption?

The above questions underline the need to more closely examine what the relationship of self evaluation and participation is, particularly as it relates to being attracted to a learning experience, and persisting in that experience. The relationship between self evaluation and achievement motivation and how it affects participation appears unclear.

B. Achievement Motivation and Attitudes about Education.

A rather interesting finding was the relationship between achievement motivation and attitudes towards education. In the study those who scored

highest on the Education Scale were generally lower on achievement motivation. As previously mentioned, those having high scores on the Education Scale also had the most number of years of formal schooling. It appeared that these individuals chose learning activities that were more solitary in nature such as reading. When they did involve themselves in group learning it was in essentially non-competitive situations such as exercise classes or general interest high school courses.

Is it possible that those who possess high positive attitudes toward education, but who are low achievers, are more likely to engage in less threatening types of learning such as reading, correspondance, or televised instruction? Due to the higher educational level of the no interest group is it possible that this group merely saw themselves as having more learning options that diassrooom instruction? It was in fact this latter group that made more use of friend's and family to acquire parenting information. Do individuals with a lower level of education associate learning primarily with a classroom experience?

In reference to the above, Boyd and Apps (1980) have referred to transactional modes. In their model they note the presence of individual, group and community transactional modes where "transactional" is used to characterize the nature of the learner's situation. It may be that certain groups prefer one transaction mode over another or perhaps more important, that certain people see specific subjects as being presented better in a group transactional mode than an individual one. Individuals with lower self evaluations may also feel more comfortable as well as see themselves as being able to learn more efficiently in a group mode whereas those with high self evaluations are more confident of their ability to learn in an individual mode where they feel they have more control over the topic and the rate at which/they learn. It seems in terms of educational level that

those with a high school education level preferred a group situation in which to learn about parenting, while those with university level training preferred more individualized approaches.

One must also ask the question in considering the relationship between education and achievement motivation whether achievement motivation plays a far more influential role in participation in a group learning experience than does one's attitudes toward education.

There is also a concern as to which aspects of one's attitudes about education are the most influential in facilitating participation. In this study a global measure was used. The use of instruments with more clearly specified dimensions of educational attitudes might prove useful such as attitudes towards one's own school experience, attitudes towards the educational establishment, attitudes towards teachers, attitudes about adult education, attitudes about other adult learners, and attitudes of one's spouse or parents.

Related research might address the possible differences in attitudes towards education that exist between high achievers who choose learning as a way of seeking accomplishment from high achievers who do not.

All of these questions appear to be underlined by the need to more carefully define or specify factors involved in adopting or failing to adopt a positive view of education.

C. Achievement Motivation and Goals and Expectations

While achievement motivation appeared to be one of the best variables in differentiating those displaying interest in the FPP from those who did not, the nature of the subjects' goals and expectations also appeared to be a good discriminator. Of those who expressed interest in FPP, those most

likely to follow through in the program were those who had primarily positive Their participation in FPP was viewed as an extension of other facgoals. tors in their lives which were important to them. One subject saw FPP as being an opportunity for him and his wife to share in an outside social activity that they might not otherwise have had. Another subject was actively involved in organizing a support group for women with an educational emphasis as woll as teaching a catechism course with her husband. She also performed volunteer work in a social service agency. These subjects were also more likely to have mentioned ongoing involvement with other group members that extended beyond completion of the course itself. Their participation in this program was not an isolated event, but appeared embedded in a context of other similar events. This is in marked contrast to the partial attenders who had highly specific goals that related to coping with a problem. While they were attracted to the FPP as being a source of a potential solution to their difficulties with their children, they quickly terminated when their particular goals did not seem capable of being met in that context. This relates to the work of Raynor and Sorrentino (1975) in which they expanded their theory of achievement motivation to incorporate the effects of future orientation. The expanded theory states that "motivation is not only a function of the perceived probability of success and incentive value of an immediate task, but also a function of the probability of success and incentives of future tasks and goals, the attainment of which are contingent upon successful completion of the immediate task" (Chapter 7, p. 138).

Although the first four individuals all displayed relatively high achievement motivation scores the partial attenders appeared to see little long term benefit by attending the program while the active participants

were able to see some immediate benefit as well as a long term benefit extending into the future.

The partial attenders made reference to perceived differences between themselves and other participants. Although this can be partially explained by the use of Boshier's (1973) concept of self/other congruency, the nature of these two subjects social contacts were highly restricted and the learning experience appeared to have a very limited purpose for them. While goal's related to family issues may have been highly important in recruiting individuals to the FPP, persistence in that activity appeared highly related to the positive/negative dimension of those goals. Another influencing factor appeared to be the extent to which their reasons for participation was embedded in other factors extraneous to the course. This may be an indication that for individuals with broader goals and positive crientation. a group learning experience such as FPP may be highly appropriate but when goals are highly specific and negative (as when someone's reason for learning is specifically to overcome a problem) individualized methods of learning might be more appropriate. This latter group, however, might benefit from group learning and persist in that experience if they were placed in a homogeneous group in which the same basic concerns or problem areas were discussed. Homogeneity may be more important in retaining participants when goals of learning are to cope with a problem, such as Maslow's (1954) deficiency oriented loarners as opposed to seeking enhancement (as in growth oriented learners). Participation in a program in terms of both recruitment and persistence would appear to be enhanced by carefully articulating the nature of the group and the specific topic areas specifically when dealing with groups with a highly focused problem orientation.

In this study the no interest subjects were quite emphatic about not having any interest in attending a parent education program. One subject

noted how she avoided parents who she felt were excessively child centered. This same individual had also read very little in the area of child related concerns during her two pregnancies. Notable, however, was that she and the other no-interest subject acquired what they felt they needed to know about parenting through close family members (most notably mothers, older sisters) and friends, especially those they judged to be successful parents. They both appeared to have ready access to family members who could provide as-This is in distinction to the other subjects who had moved to sistance. Alberta from another province or whose family members were not otherwise accessible. The above raises a number of questions. Are those who are most likely to make use of a program such as FPP more likely to be those who do not have close ties to an extended family? It may be that in identifying their need for parenting information they may look to the most readily available source of such information. If their family or friends are close by, they may likely choose them, but if they have been removed by distance from their families they might be more likely to join an FPP. It is also possible that low achievers might be more likely to consult those they feel least threatened by, such as trusted friends or family, while high achievers might be more prepared to discuss their concerns or take in information from a group or from an "expert" opinion.

A final concern in discussing the relationship between achievement motivation and goals and expectations is to what extent these two variables are the same and overlap. Although people understandably have different goals which will affect what learning experiences they are attracted towards, to what extent do high achievers elways have high expectations of what they can learn? To what extent do low achievers have low expectations whether that be of themselves or others? The concepts of achievement motivation and goals and expectations, if not the same, appear to be very closely linked.

D. Achievement Motivation and Life Transition

In the present study those who showed interest in attending a FPP had experienced a greater number of life changes than the no interest group. This is not only supportive of this component of the Cross Model but also of the earlier work of Aslanian and Brickell (1980).

In attempting to relate achievement motivation to life transition a number of questions arise from the study. Are high achievers more likely to be affected by life transition as a motive for participation than low achievers? Even though one of the no interest subjects had experienced a number of changes, especially as it related to her children, it did not act as a motivator for participation in the FPP. Are low achievers more likely to feel overwhelmed by life changes or transitions and less likely to associate such experiences with opportunities for increased learning? Weiner (1981) in further developing achievement motivation theory, has focused on cognition and in particular attribution. High achievers attribute success to their own ability plus the effort they apply to a task while low achievers attribute success to external factors and lack of ability. High achievers are more likely to see themselves as having control over their environment than low achievers.

Is it also possible that high achievers are more likely to view participation in a learning program as helping them deal with change? Brammer and Abrego (1981) have suggested that expectancy of control affects one's awareness of available coping alternatives. To the extent that people judge themselves to have control in a situation, the probability is that they will be less likely to perceive the situation as threatening and, in turn, less likely to manifest adverse reaction patterns which may then leave them more capable in considering identifiable ways of dealing with their changes.

Are there also a certain number of optimum changes which encourage learning? Is it possible that too many changes act as a deterrent to participation? In this study the active participants, while experiencing a number of changes, did not experience as many as the registrants or to a lesser degree, the partial attenders. Another factor which appears worthy of investigation is the perceived magnitude of change. The important factor may not be the absolute number of changes, but the perceived magnitude or long range effect of a change which again may be influenced by one's level of achievement motivation. High achievers may also be motivated by a smaller number of changes than what may be the case for low achievers.

Another characteristic of the partial attenders related to life transition was that there tended to be a larger time span between the onset of the life change and their participation than in the partial attenders and registrants. Individuals who expressed interest in the FPP in the midst of change(s) were less likely to follow through with the FPP. It may be adviseable to suggest a program such as FPP after an initial period of stability has been reached.

E. Achievement Motivation and Opportunities and Barriers

Achievement motivation may influence one's perception of those things in the environment that affect participation. While in the present study it was noted that all individuals identified approximately the same number of obstacles for learning, the active participants stated that if they wanted to attend an adult education course there was very little stopping them. The no-interest subjects stated, as well, that there was little stopping them with the exception of their own ambition.

Cross (1981) suggests that if an individual has a strong desire to

.83

participate that the force of his motivation will encourage him to seek out special opportunities and overcome modest barriers. For weakly motivated individuals, Gross suggests that modest barriers may inhibit participation while special opportunities may enhance the motivational force for participation. Information obtained from interviews tends to be supportive of this part of the model. This can also be stated in the form that high achievers will perceive there to be fewer barriers to their participation in learning than low achievers. Where high achievers perceive barriers they tend to see them as less an obstacle than low achievers. This may be related to locus of control (Rotter, 1966) in which high achievers perceive themselves as having control over external events while low achievers perceive themselves to have little or no control over those same events. High achievers are more likely to entertain strategies as to how they can participate in an event while low achievers would be far less likely to do so.

There appears to be considerable practical significance for this in that if one is attempting to attract high achievers to a learning program (FPP) one need be far less concerned about creating special opportunities as a means of enhancing participation, while with low achievers such facilitators as child care, cost, location, and scheduling might be far more important.

F. Achievement Motivation Information

While all subjects in the study made use of a number of information sources, the active participants appeared far more aggressive in seeking out learning opportunities than the no-interest subjects. In this respect the COR model is also supported. Providing information about a program will tend only to be facilitative to those individuals already displaying

a high motivational level, while those with a low motivational level will be basically unaffected by it.

In this study the subjects with higher score: (n achievement motivation showed considerable initiative in actively searching for learning or pariences, such as the FPF, while the individuals lowest on achievement motivation were not aware of locations of such programs even though receiving the same written publications. Even when directly asked about where such programs were currently being offered they were unaware even though in the process of the investigation they had been given the announcement of a course. Both of these latter subjects also had children attending a school program which provided parent education programs, in "corperation with two other agencies, on a regular basis.

Knox (1981) has suggested that the use of information about available opportunities consists of a five stage process. The first stage is aware ness, which entails an individual having been made aware of information through one or more sources whether it be friends, the media, or work acquaintances. A second stage is reflected when an individual shows interest in a program by seeking additional information. This stage is followed by evaluation which consists of weiching the advantages and disadvantages of participation. A trial period is the fourth stage which consists of trying the idea on a small scale in a rather tentative manner, such as deciding to attend one sestion of a learning program to see what it is like. The final adoption stage consists of deciding to continue in the educational activity.

Using the preceding framework of analysis, in the present study, high achievers (active participants) went through all five stages while partial attenders, who were high on achievement motivation as well, went as far as stage four. Registrants went as far as stage three and the no-interest

<u>L</u>

P.S

subjects did not appear to reach stage 1. The question arising from this analysis is to what extent achievement motivation is related to one's level of awareness of available opportunities as well as to what extent action (participation) is based on this awareness. Other questions relate to the source of information that may be most effective in attracting low achievers versus high achievers. In this study low achievers acquired almost all in formation on parenting from friends and close relatives. In attempting to facilitate participation of certain groups one might move away from the use of mass media to emphasize more interpersonal techniques such as the use of advisory committees comprised of people similar to the types of rample one wights to signat.

Summary of Discussion Results

The COR model appears to have been basically supported in the fore going study. Participation in adult education seems to be a phenomenon which is more heavily influenced by internal psychological variables that by external environmental ones. The variables which appeared to be the mor' clearly associated with participation were self evaluation, goals and expectations, and to a lesser extent, life transition. Active participants were associated with high levels of achievement motivation, goals that were focused on enhancing their family life, as well as expectations that the FPF was a way of best achieving those goals. They also experienced a greater number of life transitions than did those individuals who church

A difficulty in having chosen achievement motivation as a measure of self evaluation was the over inclusive nature of the concept. This led the investigator to believe that achievement motivation over-lapped with other variables within the COR model, particularly goals and expectations. nr.

It also appeared to be related to how one perceives the presence of barriers to participation as well as the degree to which one seeks out information about potential learning experiences.

While Gross has attempted to build a model consonant with recent reformulations of social motivation theory such variables within the latter, such as level of aspiration, goal setting, persistence, future orientation, attribution of causality and latency of initiating tasks performance, might more carefully delineate the relationships among the COR variables. As such these different aspects of social motivation theory do not appear to be well accounted for in the model.

One variable which produced a finding somewhat in contradiction to the model was attitudes about education. In this study positive attitudes about education were associated more with lack of participation. This variable appeared to be too general. Its connection to the remainder of the model requires further investigation with more clearly defined and sharply focused measurement devices. Study is needed within a social-motivational context to examine the relationship of intervening variables relating at titudes about education to participation such as aspiration, and member whip in reference groups.

A primary difficulty with the COR model appears to be the over-inclus iveness of its variables. It is a very general model which is potentially capable of explaining almost all levels of participation but is yet far from being able to serve a predictive role.

The main contributions of the COR model appear to be an integration of several pre-existing theories of participation with the context of recent social-motivation theory. Its emphasis on internal psychological factors as being more influential than external environment ones has direct inrlications not only for theory but practice as well, to be later discussed.

As yet, however, there appears to be a gap between social motivation theory and the COR model. The model will most likely require expanding upon to account for social motivation theory concepts. This would appear necessary before a working model of participation can be developed.

Section II Criticisms of the COR Model

One of the purposes of the present investigation was to study the COR model by applying it to a group of learners in a specific learning context and to then indicate how the model could be further improved upon. In light of the above study a number of observations are presented.

1. Lack of specificity. While the COR model was capable of accounting for the participation levels of the eight subjects in the study, it appears to suffer from being too general. The variables of which it is comprised are very global in nature and lack specificity. In looking at the variable of self evaluation, for example, it is difficult to determine what type of evaluation is implied. In the field of adult education many types of self evaluation could be involved, such as academic, social and personal (Fitts, 1965). As well, attitudes about education seem to be made up of a potentially large number of dimensions and is most likely not a unidimensional construct. As alluded to earlier in the study, attitudes about education might consist of attitudes towards grade school, teachers, other students, educational institutions, education as a value, and adult education. This is also hampered in that, to the investigator's knowledge, there are no multi-dimensional measures of adults' attitudes towards education that have been standardized on a large population.

In reference to the variable of goals and expectations this might

be further divided into separate variables such that the type of goals a person has could be investigated relative to the kind of learning they chose apart from their expectations of success/failure.

Achieving greater specificity would also be assisted by restating the nature of the COR variables more in keeping with those of recent social notivation theory. Self evaluation, for example, might be more adequately investigated in terms of locus of causality and level of aspiration. Goals could be discussed in terms of future orientation, and opportunities and barriers in terms of persistence and preference for task difficulty. Variables such as attitudes towards education might be replaced by ones more specific to membership in reference groups. Inter-relationships among variables appears unclear. While Cross (1981) has emphasized the importance of internal psychological factors over external ones, the distinctions she makes in her model may be far more complex than as presented. For example, on the basis of this study one might want to question the relationship between self evaluation and barriers/opportunities. It was noted in the study, for example, that those who scored high on achievement motivation perceived fewer barriers to their participation than those who scored low. It is perhaps possible that the relationships as presently specified are too rigid to account for participation in most individuals and that for different people the relationships between variables may take on a different form. With some individuals, such as those with a high locus of external causality (Weiner, 1981), external factors may be far more important in determining participation than internal ones. It may be possible that this model as it is presently constructed best applies to people within only certain age ranges, such as those between 25 and 45 years of age, but account poorly for participation over the age of 60.

2.

As the model now stands, it appears that the six variables are far from being independent. It would appear highly useful to begin exploring these relationships by conducting correlational states. It appears possible that more variables will have to be introduced into the model as each of the present variables are more clearly specified and relationships established.

The COR model is essentially a linear one. Social motivation theory on which the COR model is based has been developed almost exclusively in laboratory settings using a very narrow range of activities. The generalizability of social motivation theory while showing promise, is still limited in that it has been tested primarily within entrepreneurial or economic risk situations and has not been applied to other areas of achievement such as science, literature, art, or the humanities (Jung, 1978).

3.

5

A very basic premise on which the COR model is based is that individuals are predisposed towards certain levels of participation with the immediate environment playing a secondary role. The influence of other people is seen primarily in terms of how they influence one's attitudes towards education rather than in an even broader social context. To the extent that the model supports a view of participation as resulting from a causal chain of events, it appears to deny or minimize the mutual interaction of events, one upon the other, and the influence of all variables upon one another. For example, in the model, life transition and self evaluation are pictured as being completely independent despite evidence to indicate that often during transition, self evaluation is highly effected (Brammer and Abrego, 1981). Certain variables such as self evaluation, attitudes about education, and goals

and expectations are seen as being highly interactive while others, such as information, are seen as being relatively isolated. In this regard, Cross' reference to the work of H.L. Miller on the relationships between people's socio-economic circumstances and participation in adult education and the inclusion in her model of the elements, life transitions, barriers and opportunities, and information (sources of, availability, control of), give a hint of a broad sociological perspective. This, however, appears to be discounted in favour of a psychological perspective as represented in an emphasis on the first three elements of her model.

If there is a major factor(s) missing in the model it is the absence of a clear reference to social-political and social-economic factors influencing participation. While it is arguable that psychological variables may be more important than sociological ones the latter set of variables have not been adequately accounted for within the model.

While the importance of the individual and his predispositions towards participation can still be recognized, the orderliness in which the model describes participation is questioned. If one views participation as arising from a series of events, it is highly probable that any event or interaction of events may precede participation. Rather than indicating directionality it is suspected that a more comprehensive model of participation would allow for any possible combination of events to account for participation.

A basic problem in choosing a model that is complex enough to account for reality is that it is often less useful than a more simplistic one in acting as a working model (Egan and Cowan, 1979). Lippitt (1973) has suggested that models with applied utility need to be two dimensional, linear and basically nonmathematical. Three dimensional models of a non-linear nature, while often more accurate, do not usually help the practitioner.

91

 \leq

The adoption of a linear model of participation carries with it the implication that certain prescribed interventions on the part of the adult educator will lead individuals to participate. For example, it might lead an adult educator to believe that by merely changing the form of advertising, and/or conducting a mass campaign to change attitudes towards education, that increased participation will be forthcoming. The forces for participation appear far more complex than what can be included in a cause-effect model. What may be more useful is to adopt a systems framework that, while recognizing various elements as effecting participation, would allow the adult educator to appreciate the complexity involved.

A systems model whether developed in a mathematical or graphic form based on the assumption of circular causality might better focus on the inter-relationships between variables within the theory. A systems conceptualization might be better able to view the total process of participation without becoming lost in individual detail. It might also provide the educator with a more complete picture of different points within the system that one might intervene. Within a systems framework the relationships between its various components also remain more fluid, allowing a perhaps more accurate picture of factors influencing participation as an individual or a specific context changes.

Within the COR model the elements comprising the systems view of participation would consist not only of the six variables identified by Gross, but include social-economic and social political factors as well. It is possible that while internal psychological variables might be most influential in effecting participation with some individuals, these would indeed interact with, effect, and be effected by the broader social context of which one is a part. This need not lead to one adopting a passive attitude towards attracting individuals to educational experiences. The

1

practitioner, basing his activities on a systems model, might choose to direct his limited resources to pre-existing groups or those individuals who already have a history of interaction. These groups might consist of church organizations, professional groups, social clubs, or those who have identified themselves as having common needs. The variables that effect participation may well vary within groups as well as their respective .

The COR model might well be adapted to conform to a systems orientation which, while recognizing individual factors such as achievement motivation and life transition, would place them in a broader social context than what is presently the case.

Usefulness of the COR Model

The COR model, while at best being a basic portrayals of participation in adult education, has accomplished a number of objectives. First, it represents the beginnings of a formal theory of participation, have integrated the works of several independent theories both from within adult education as well as psychology. In so doing it has drawn attention to a number of variables that, while broad in nature, possess considerable heuristic value. It also represents an attempt to conceptualize the role of internal psychological events to external environmental ones consistent with current research and theory. Another useful aspect of the model is its potential to direct practice in which participation is viewed less as being a response to environmental manipulation such as advertising and more as sensitivity to the learning characteristics and needs of individuals and specific groups.

While greater specificity in terms of variables is called for as well as further investigation of variable inter-relationships, its

Sr.

development within a more systemic framework appears to show promise for extending the model to more completely account for the complexity of the phenomenon for which it is designed to describe.

In the present study the model's investigation within the applied context of parent education has stimulated the raising of a number of questions. Regarding social motivation theory, it appears that several variables within that conceptual framework such as self esteem, persistence, efficiency of performance, level of aspiration, goal setting and creativity, could be more carefully articulated within the COR model. This would allow for the more thorough investigation of the relationship of self evaluation and achievement related variables such as positive and negative motivation, preference for task difficulty, and persistence.

Similarly with attitudes about education, a number of questions were raised, such as level of achievement motivation and preference for "transactional" mode of education. It appears that attitudes about education may consist of clusters of attitudes which may require sharper definition in terms of which clusters are more potentially useful in explaining or describing participation. Also, what differentiates those who chose education as a means of achievement from those who choose other modes?

The goals and expectations part of the model brought to attention the integral role this variable (or set of variables) appears to potentially play in accounting for participation. Those whose goals for education are firmly embedded in the context of other life events appear to be much more likely to participate in an organized learning experience. For example, those who see participation as not only being a way in which they learn but also as an opportunity to meet others and, in the case of the FPP, have an evening out with their spouse. Those who participate with a goal of trying to cope with a perceived negative event appear to be high risks for drop-out. It also appeared that for those individuals with higher levels of formal schooling there was a higher probability of using family members and friends as a means of acquiring information about parenting than from group instruction.

Life transition appeared to be a significant factor associated with participation. The question arose as to whether high achievers are more likely to be effected by life transition as a motivating factor for learning than low achievers. High achievers may be more likely to choose a course of instruction in response to a life transition than a low achiever. Questions were raised as to whether or not there exists a certain optimum number of changes or magnitude of change in relation to whether or not one chooses a learning experience.

(

Achievement motivation appears highly related to the perception one has of his environment, particularly in terms of appraising barriers to participation. High achievers generally perceive few barriers to participation while low achievers place more emphasis on environmental restraints. Low achievers require more inducements to participate, such as child care and convenient locations, than high achievers.

Those high on achievement motivation also appear to seek out information more than low achievers. Acquisition of information appears in many ways to be more a result of high motivation than a cause of it, particularly as it relates to participation. While all persons of different levels of achievement appeared to have the same information sources, they used this information differently.

The placement of the COR variables within a systems model of participation is seen as being the next stage of development using social motivation theory as being a means of articulating the system components.

This may involve the unit of intervention changing from that of attracting individual learners to attracting already existing groups. Stated another way, it may be important to examine individual participation within the context of significant relationships in which people interact. Variables contained within the model would not be linked in a rather restricted fashion with each presumed to build on the one preceding, but to include simultaneous interaction of all variables, whether those variables were internal psychological ones or external environmental ones.

Section III

The Case Study Method

There has been considerable discussion of the contribution made by single case studies (Davidson and Costello, 1969; Hiesler, 1971; Lazarus and Davison, 1971). Lazarus and Davison (1971) in particular have discussed six advantages of single case studies: (1) to cast doubt on a general theory; (2) to provide a heuristic device toward better-controlled research; (3) to investigate rare but important phenomena; (4) to apply principles and notions in new ways; (5) to demonstrate a scientific point; and (6) to place "meat on the 'theoretical skeleton' by amplyifying upon an experimental procedure in an applied setting.

Case studies, however, are not without their disadvantages. Because of their narrow focus on a small number of subjects they are limited in their representativeness. They do not allow valid generalizations in and of themselves to the population from which their subjects come until appropriate follow-up research is accomplished. They are also vulnerable to subjective bias (Issac and Michael, 1979).

In the present investigation a case study methodology was used primarily to expand upon the COR model and suggest future directions for

controlled research in terms of motivation for participation. The case study methodology appears to have been useful in this study due to the COR model requiring greater specificity before controlled research would be most beneficial. From this study operational definitions of variables within the model might be more appropriately developed. A second reason. in the opinion of the investigator, for the appropriateness of case study methodology was not only to attempt clarity of the variables within the model, but to also suggest relationships that might be examined initially using lational studies. A third factor influencing selection of ique was the need to remove the study of social motivational this processes from the pure laboratory appreach to one in an applied setting. Through the questions raised by the study it was hoped, by the investigator, that not only would more socially relevant questions be presented for experimental study, but that those working in the applied setting would test or further examine practical implications of the model in a more controlled manner.

In retrospect looking back on the study, there are a number of things that might have been done to improve the study. To make a case study as meaningful as possible it is helpful to make use of standardized test instruments such that results on a particular measure can be compared to a larger group. This was particularly so in this study in comparing subjects' responses to the Education Scale. Another suggestion for further work would be to have a number of different measures of each concept. In this study a number of different measures of self evaluation would have proven useful over and above the achievement motivation subscale of Jackson (1974) and the verbal self report of subjects. This would also potentially increase the number of research questions.

Due to the nature of recent reformulations of social motivation
theory (de Charms and Muir, 1978) it might have proven useful to have collected information at several points during the participation of subjects, rather than merely at one point such that stability of perception might be assessed.

An additional point relates to the homogeneity of subjects. It was felt in the present study that interviewing more no-interest subjects would have added to the completeness of the investigation in further assessing the model's ability to account for participation under a number of different conditions. The subjects selected for the case study were also small in number and relatively homogenous in terms of socio-economic, or class, identity. This has not permitted an adequate analysis of the three elements in the model which relate to the sociological perspective. The study is thus limited in its ability to be generalized to a wider population, and in its contribution to a general theory of participation in adult education, which must take into account both psychological and sociological aspects of the problem.

Generally, however, it was felt that the case study method was an appropriate one, taking into account the elementary stage of development of the model and the need to clarify and develop operational measures of variables. It appeared to actively invite subject participation with all subjects cooperating fully in terms of freely giving their own time, thoughts and perceptions. It is also a type of research methodology that lends itself well to applied settings when a large sample size and control groups are not feasible. While not a substitute for experimental research, it has proven useful in stimulating the development of new questions.

Conclusion

99

The preceding was undertaken to assist in the development of a theory of participation in adult education. From examination of the Gross Chain of Response Model a number of questions were raised, using the format of a case study. These questions arising from the close examination of eight individuals allow for the formation of hypotheses which can be examined in a more controlled manner.

The COR model appears to be an extremely useful device from a heuristic standpoint. In attempting to integrate recent findings in social motivation research with theoretical formulations in adult education, it provides a focus for the investigator such that the role of internal psychological variables might be more closely studied in relation to those of a more external nature. A major problem with the model, however, is related to this same point, in that while emphasizing the influence of psychological variables it has tended to exclude those of a more sociological nature.

The model's rather linear conception of participation does not appear in keeping with the many potentially complex factors influencing motivation to become involved in a learning experience. A major task would appear to be the development of a model more systemic in nature which would not only include the variables identified by Cross, but account for their complex interrelationships, many of which might change over the life of the participant. The COR model remains a device that raises many questions and for the time being cannot be considered an explanatory model.

Extending the model such that psychological factors are more highly specified and sociological variables more widely recognized would appear to extend its usefulness.

References

3

- Alvy, K. T., & Rubin, H. G. Parent training and the training of parent trainers. Journal of Community Psychology, 1981, 2, 53-66.
- Anchor, K. N., & Thomason, T. C. A comparison of two parent training models with educated parents - Journal of Community Psychology, 1977, 5; 134-141.
- Anderson, R. E., & Darkenvold, G. G. <u>Participation and persistence in</u> <u>American Adult Education</u>. New York; College Board, 1979.
- Apps, J. W. Problems in continuing education. New York: McGraw Hill, 1979.
- Apps, J. W. Six influences on adult education in the 1980's. Lifelong Learning: The Adult Years, 1980, 3, 4-7.
- Aslanian, C. B., & Brickell, H. M. <u>Americans in transition: Life</u> <u>changes as reasons for adult learning</u>. New York: Future directions for a learning society, College Board, 1980.

80

- Atkinson, J. W., & Birch D. The dynamics of action. New York: Wiley, 1970.
- Atkinson, J. N., & Birch, D. The dynamics of achievement oriented activity. In J. N. Atkinson and J. O. Raynor (eds.) <u>Motivation</u> and achievement. Washington, D. C.: Hemisphere, 1974.
- Atkinson, J. W., & Feather, N. T. A theory of achievement motivation. New York: Wiley, 1966.
- Atkinson, 1 N., & Reynor, J. O. Motivation and achievement. Washington, D. C., Wington, 1975.
- Averbach, A. B. Parents learn through discussion. New York: Wiley, 1968.
- Parlow, D. H. On the relation of clinical research to clinical presetice: Current issues, new directions. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1981, 49, 156-167.
- Becker, P. Fear reactions and achievement behavior of students approaching an examination in H. W. Krohns and L. Laux (eds.) <u>Achievement</u>, stress, and anxiety. Washington: Hemisphere Publishing, 1981.

Bock, L. A. Participation in A. B. Knox (ed.) <u>Developing</u>, <u>administering</u>, and evaluating adult education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980. Boggs, C. J. Train up a parent: A review of the research in child rearing literature. Child Study Journal, 1981, 10, 261-284.

1

N 12.

- Boshier, R. Motivational orientations of adult education participants. A factor analytic exploration of Houle's typology. <u>Adult</u> <u>Education</u>, 1971, <u>21</u>, 3-26.
- Boshier, R. Educational participation and dropout: A theoretical model. Adult Education, 1973, 23, 255-282.
- Roshier, R. Factor analysts at large: A critical review of the motivational orientation literature. Adult Education, 1976, 26, 24-47.
- Boyd, R. D. Central issues in scientific methodology. In H. Long and R. Heimstra (eds.) <u>Changing approaches to studying adult</u> education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Boyd, R. D., & Apps, J. W. A conceptual model for adult education in R. D. Boyd and J. W. Apps (eds.) <u>Redefining the discipline of</u> <u>adult education</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Branmer, L. M., & Abrego, P. J. Intervention strategies for coping with transitions. The Counselling Psychologist, 1981, 2, 19-36.
- Brehmer, M. (ed.) AIM: A creative approach to teaching adults. New York: World Education, 1977.
- Broschart, J. R. Lifelong learning in the nation's 3rd century: A synthesis of selected manuscripts about the education of adults in the U. S. H. E. W. Publication No. 0E76-09102, Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- Carp, A., Peterson, R., and Roelfs, P. Learning interests and experiences of adult Americans. Educational Testing Service, Berkeley, California, 1973.
- Carp, A., Peterson, R., & Roelfs, P. "Adult Learning Interests and Experiences". In K. P. Cross, J. R. Valley and Associates, <u>Planning non-traditional programs: An analysis of the</u> <u>issues for postsecondary education</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1974.
- Cataldo, C. Z. The parent as learners: Early childhood parent programs. Educational Psychologist, 1980, 15, 172-186.
- Cross, K. P. Adult learners: Characteristics, needs, and interests. In R. E. Peterson and Associates, <u>Lifelong learning in America</u>. <u>An overview of current practices</u>, <u>available resources and future</u> <u>prospects</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1979.
- Cross, K. P. Adults as learners: Increasing participation and facilitating learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1981.

Darkenvold, G. G. Field research and grounded theory. In H. Long and R. Heinstra (eds.) Changing approaches to studying adult education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.

- Davidson, P. D., & Costello, C. G. (eds.) <u>N =1: Experimental studies of</u> single cases. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1969.
- D'Augelli, J. F., & Neiner, J. N. Training parents as mental health agents. <u>Community Mental Health Journal</u>, 1978, <u>14</u>, 14-25.
- de Charms, R. Personal causation. New York: Academic Press, 1968.
- de Charms, R., & Muir, M. Motivation: Social approaches. In M. R. Rosenzweig and L. W. Porter (eds.) <u>Annual Review of Psychology</u>. Palo Alto, California: Annual Reviews Inc., 1978.
- DeLissovoy, V. Parent education: White elephant in the classroom? Youth and Society, 1978, 315-338.
- Dibble, E., & Cohen, D. Companion instruments for measuring children's competence and parental style. <u>Archives of General Psychology</u>, ________ 1974, 6, 805-815.
- Dickinson, G., & Blunt, A. Survey research. In H. Long and R. Heimstra (eds.) <u>Changing approaches to studying adult education</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Dickinson, G., & Rusnell, D. A content analysis of adult education. Adult Education, 1971, 21, 177-185.
- Dickoff, J., James, P., Wiedenback, E. Theory in a practice discipline. Nursing Research, 1968, <u>17</u>, 415-435.
- Dickson, S. S. A study of the views of teachers and the views of parents towards parent participation in public schools. <u>Dissertation</u> Abstracts International, 1976, <u>37(1-A)</u>, 132-133.
- Dweck, C. S., & Wortman, C. B. Learned helplessness, anxiety, and achievement motivation in H. W. Krohne and L. Laux (eds.) <u>Achievement, stress, and anxiety</u>. Washington: Hemisphere Publishing, 1981.
- Eastman, A. M., & Ingersoll, B. D. The effectiveness of an innovative parent training program exercises in successful parenting. Paper presented at Association for Advancement of Behavior Therapy. Atlanta, 1977.
- Egan, G., & Gowan, M. <u>People in systems: A model for development in</u> <u>the human-service professions and education</u>. Monterey, Calif.: Brooks/Cole, 1979.
- Even, M. J. Current and future trends in adult education research. Lifelong learning: The Adult Years, 1978, 2, 8-11.

- Fitts, W. H. <u>Manual: Tennessee self-concept scale</u>. Nashville: Counselor Recordings and Tests, 1965.
- Freire, P. Education for critical consciousness. New York: Seabury Press, 1973.
- Gildea, M., Glidewell, J. C., & Kantor, M. B. The St. Louis mental health project history and evaluation. In E. G. Cowen, E. A. Gardner and M. Zax (eds.) <u>Emergent approaches to mental</u>, health problems. New York: Appleton-Century-Grofts, 1967.
 - Glaser, B. C., & Strauss, A. L. The discovery of grounded theory. Chicago: Aldine, 1967.
 - Goodyear, R. K., & Rubovits, J. J. Parent education: A model for lowincome parents. <u>The Personnel and Guidance Journal</u>, 1982, 28, 409-412.
 - Gordon, I. A. Parent education and parent involvement: Retrospect and prospect. Feb., 1977. Paper presented at the "Toward the Competent Parent" Conference at Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia, (a).
 - Gordon, I. A. Parent education: A plethora of approaches. Paper presented at the American Orthopsychiatric Association, New York, New York, April 11-16, 1977, (b).
 - Gordon, S., & Davidson, N. Behavioral parent training. In A. S. Gurman and D. Kniskein (eds.) <u>Handbook of family therapy</u>. New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1981.
 - Gordon, S. B., Lerner, L. L., & Keefe, F. J. Responsive parenting: An approach to training parents of problem children. <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Community Psychology</u>, 1979, 7, 45-56.
 - Gordon, T. P.E.T.: Parent effectiveness training. New York: Peter H. Wyden, Inc., 1970.
 - Gottman, J., & Markman, H. Experimental designs in psychotherapy research. In S. Garfield and A. Bergin (eds.) <u>Handbook of psycho-</u> <u>therapy and behavior change: An empirical analysis</u>. 2nd edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1978.
 - Gottschalk, L. A., Brown, S. B., Bruney, E. H., Shumate, L. W., & Uliana, R. L. Parents' group in a child-centered clinic. In D. H. Olson (ed.) <u>Treating relationships</u>. Lake Mills, Iowa: Graphic Publishing Co., 1976.
 - Graziano, A. M. Parents as behavior therapists. In M. Hersen, R. M. Eisler, & P. M. Miller (eds.) <u>Progress in behavior modification</u>. New York: Academic Press, 1977.

Harman, D. A different approach to the teaching of reading to illiterate adults. In T. P. Gorman (ed.) Language and literacy: Current issues and research. Teheran: International Institute for Adult Literacy Methods, 1977.

- Hassmiller, R. J. <u>A study of the impact of intra-self and self-environ-</u> <u>ment congruence on student persisters and dropouts in open</u> <u>learning</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Florida State University, 1979.
- Havighurst, R. J. <u>Developmental tasks and education</u>. 3rd ed. New York: McKay, 1972.
- Heckhausen, H. <u>Hoffaung und furcht in der leistungmotivation</u>. Meisenheim am Glan: Anton Hain, 1963.
- Heckhausen, H. Leistunsmotivation. In H. Thomas (ed.) <u>Handbuch der</u> psychologie (Vol. 2). Gottingen: Hogrefe, 1965.
- Heckhausen, H. Intervening cognitions in motivation. In D. E. Berlyne and K. B. Madsen (eds.) <u>Pleasure, reward, preference</u>. New York: Academic Press, 1973.
- Heckhausen, H. Fear of failure as a self-reinforcing motive system. In I. G. Sarasan and C. D. Spielberger (eds.) <u>Stress and anxiety</u> (Vol. 2). Washington, D. C.: Hemisphere, 1975.
- Hicks, M. N., & Williams, J. W. Current challenges in educating for parenthood, <u>Family Relations</u>, 1981, <u>30</u>, 579-584.
- Holmes, T., & Rahe, R. The social readjustment rating scale. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 1967, 11, 213-218.
- Houle, C. E. <u>The inquiring mind: A study of the adult who continues to</u> <u>learn</u>. Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press, 1963.
- Isaac, S., & Michael, W. <u>Handbook in research and evaluation</u>. San Diego: edits, 1979.
- Jackson, D. N. <u>Personality research form: Manual</u>. New York: Research Psychologists Press, 1974.
- Jason, L. A., & de Amicus, L. An approach in providing preventive mental health services to low income parents. <u>International Journal of</u> <u>Family Counselling</u>, 1977, <u>5</u>, 29-33.
- Johnstone, J. W., & Rivera, R. J. <u>Volunteers for learning</u>. Chicago: Aldine, 1965.
- Jorns, E. Parents are adults tool: Parent education in your adult ed. program. Lifelong Learning, 1981, 5, 10-11.
- Jung, J. <u>Understanding human motivation: A cognitive approach</u>. New York: <u>Macmillan</u>, 1978.
- Karoly, P., & Rosenthal, M. Training parents in behavior modification:

Effects on perceptions of family interaction and deviant behavior. <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 1977, <u>8</u>, 406-410.

- Kazdin, A. E. Drawing valid inferences from case studies. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1981, 49, 183-192.
- Knowles, M. S. The modern practice of adult education: Andragogy versus pedagogy. New York: Association Press, 1970.
- Knox, A. B. <u>Developing</u>, administering, and evaluating adult education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Lawson, J. S., Marshall, N. L., & McGrath, P. The social self-esteem inventory. <u>Educational and Psychological Measurement</u>, 1979, 39, 803-811.
- Lewin, K. Frontiers in group dynamics: Concept, method and reality in social science. Human Relations. 1947, 1, 5-41.
- Lippitt, G. <u>Visualizing change</u>. La Jolla, Calif.: Learning Resources Corporation/University Associates, 1973.
- Lochman, J., & Brown, M. Evaluation of dropout clients and of perceived usefulness of a parent education program. Journal of Community Psychology, 1980, 8, 132-139.
- Long, H. B., & Heimstra, R. Basic elements in planning and practicing research. In H. B. Long and R. Heimstra (eds.) <u>Changing</u> <u>approaches to studying adult education</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1980.
- Martin, B. Brief family intervention: Effectiveness and the importance of including father. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psycho-</u> logy, 1977, <u>45</u>, 1002-1010.
- Maslow, A. H. <u>Motivation and personality</u>. New York: Harper and Row, 1954.
- Matkin, G. W. Theory, method, and appropriateness in adult education research. <u>Proceedings of the Twenty-first Annual Adult Education</u> <u>Research Conference</u>, May 7-0, 1980, Vancouver, B.C., Canada. (Published in Vancouver for the Adult Education Research Conference, 1980).
- Mezirow, J. Toward a theory of practice. <u>Adult Education</u>, 1979, <u>21</u>, 135-147.
- Miller, H. L. <u>Participation of adults in education: A force field</u> <u>analysis</u>. Boston; Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults, Boston University, 1967.
- Mira, M. Results of a behavior modification training program for parents and teachers. <u>Behavior Research and Therapy</u>, 1970, <u>8</u>, 309-311.

- Moore, P. E., & Robin, A. An approach to parent training for high school students. The American Journal of Family Therapy, 1981, 9, 61-69.
- Morstain, V. R., & Smart, J. C. Reasons for participation in adult education courses: A multivariate analysis of group differences. <u>Adult Education</u>, 1974, <u>24</u>, 83-98.
- Moulton, R. W., Raphelson, A. C., Kristofferson, A. B., & Atkinson, J. W. The achievement motive and perceptual sensitivity under two conditions of motive-arousal. In J. W. Atkinson (ed.) <u>Motives in</u> <u>fantasy, action, and society</u>. Princeton, N. J.: Van Nostrand, 1958.
- O'Dell, S. Training parents in behavior modification: A review. Psychological Bulletin; 1974, 81, 418-433.
- O'Dell, S. L. A comparison and evaluation of methods for producing behavior change in parents. Paper presented at the Association for the Advancement of Behavior Therapy, Chicago, November, 1978.
- Ordos, D. G. Models of motivation for participation in adult education. <u>Proceedings of the Twenty-first Annual Adult Education Research</u> <u>Conference</u>, May 7-9, 1980, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- O'Rear, J. M. Characteristics of volunteers and non-volunteers for personal growth groups and of joiners and non-joiners in extracurricular activities. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, 1976, 36(8-A), 5156-5157.
- O'Toole, A. W. When the practical becomes theoretical. Journal of Psychiatric Nursing and Mental Health Services, 1981, 19, 11-19.
- Pickarts, E., & Fargo, J. Parent education: Toward parental confidence. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1971.
- Price-Bonham, S., & Skeen, P. A comparison of black and white fathers with implications for parent education. <u>Family Coordinator</u>, 1979, 28, 53-59.
- Rabin, C. The single-case design in family therapy evaluation research. Family Process, 1981, 20, 351-366.
- Rauch, D. B. Education for the growing majority: Adults. Lifelong Learning: The Adult Years, 1981, 5, 10-13.
- Reisinger, J. J., Frangia, G. W., Hoffman, E. H. Toddler management training: Generalization and marital status. <u>Journal of Behavior</u> <u>Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry</u>, 1976, 7, 335-340.
- Rinn, R. C., Vernon, J. C., & Wise, M. J. Training parents of behaviordisordered children in groups: a three year's program evaluation. <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 1975, <u>6</u>, 378-387.
- Rogers, C. R. Freedom to learn. Columbus, Chio: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co., 1969.

- Rogers, T. R. Socioeconomic status: Effects on parent and child behaviors and treatment outcome of parent training. Journal of Clinical Child <u>Psychology</u>, 1981, <u>10</u>, 98-101.
- Rose, S. D. Training parents in groups as behavior modifiers of their mentally retarded children. Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry, 1974, 5, 135-140.
- Rubenson, K. Participation in Recurrent Education: A Research Review. Paper presented at meeting of National Delegates on Developments in Recurrent Education, Paris, March, 1977.
- Ruckdeschel, R., & Farris, B. Assessing practice: a critical look at the single-case design. <u>Bocial Casework: The Journal of Con-</u> temporary Social Work, 1981, 413-419.
- Rundquist, H., & Sletto, I. The education scale in M. E. Shaw and J. M. Wright (eds.) <u>Scales for the measurement of attitudes</u>. New York: McGraw Hill, 1967.
- Rychlak, J. F. <u>A philosophy of science for personality theory</u>. Boston: Hougton Mifflin, 1968.
- Sadler, O. W., Seyden, T., Howe, B., & Kaminsky, T. An evaluation of groups for parents: a standardized format encompassing both behavior modification and humanistic methods. <u>Journal of Com-</u> munity Psychology, 1976, 4, 157-163.
- Salzinger, K., Feldman, R. S., & Portnoy, S. Training parents of birthinjured children in the use of operant conditioning procedures. <u>Behavior Therapy</u>, 1970, <u>1</u>, 14-32.
- Schranger, J. S. Self-esteen and reactions to be observed by others. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1972, 23, 192-200.
- Shaw, M. E., & Wright, J. M. (eds.) <u>Scales for the measurement of</u> <u>attitudes</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Shrag, C. Elements of theoretical analysis in sociology. In L. Gross (ed.) <u>Sociological theory: Inquiries and paradigms</u>. New York: Harper and Row, 1967.
- Simpson, C. K., & Bayle, D. Esteem construct generality and academic , performance. <u>Educational and Psychological Measurement</u>, 1975, 35, 897-904.

Stevens, B. Nursing theory, Boston: Little, Brown and Co., 1979.

Strom, R., Grisvold, D., & Slaughter, H. Parental background: Does it matter in parent education? <u>Child Study Journal</u>, 1981, <u>10</u>, 243-260.

Summerlin, M. L., & Mard, G. R. The effect of parental participation in a parent group on a child's self concept. <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, 1978, <u>100</u>, 227-232.

AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY AND A COMPANY

Florida State University, 1971.

Tavormina, J. B. Basic models of parent counselling: a critical review. Psychological Bulletin, 1974, 81, 827-835.

Tough, A. Why adults learn: A study of the major reasons for beginning and continuing a learning project. Monographs in Adult Education No. 3. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, 1968.

Tough, A. The adult's learning projects: A fresh approach to theory and practice in adult learning. 2nd ed. Research in Education Series No. 1. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, 1979.

Tough, A., Abbey, D., & Orton, L. Anticipated Benefits from Learning. Unpublished manuscript, 1979.

- U.S. Bureau of the Census. <u>Projections of the Populations of the U.S.</u>: <u>1977 to 2050</u>. Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 704. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- Verner, C. "Definitions of terms". In G. E. Jensens, A. A. Liveright, and N. Hallenbeck (eds.) <u>Adult education: Outlines of the emerging</u> <u>field of university study</u>. Chicago: Adult Education Association of the U.S.A., 1964.

Weiner, B., Friego, I., Kukla, A., Reed, L., Rest, S. I., Rosenbaum, R. M. <u>Perceiving the causes of success and failure</u>. Morristown, N. J.: General Learning Press, 1971.

- Neiner, B., Nurenberg, R., & Goldstein, N. Social learning (locus of control) versus attributional (causal stability) interpretations of expectancy of success. <u>Journal of Personality</u>, 1976, 44, 52-68.
- Wellings, A., & Hubbard, D. N. Mothers' groups. <u>Adult Education</u> (London), 1978, <u>51</u>, 91-96.
- Wilke, B. C. <u>Studiemotivation und studieinverhalten</u>. Gottingen: Hogrefe, 1976.

Niltz, N. A., & Patterson, G. R. An evaluation of parent training procedures designed to alter inappropriate aggressive behavior in boys. Behavior Therapy, 1974, 5, 215-221.

APPENDIX I

The Education Scale

Read each item carefull and underline quickly the phrase which best expresses your feeling about the statement. Wherever possible, let your own personal experience determine your answer. Do not spend too much time on any one item. If in doubt, underline the phrase which seems most nearly to express your present feeling about the statement. Work rapidly. Be sure to answer every item.

1. A man can learn more by working four years than by going to university.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 2. The more education a person has the better he is able to enjoy life.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 3. Education helps a person use his leisure time to better advantage.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 4. A good education is a great comfort to a man out of work. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

5. Only subjects like reading, writing, and arithmetic should be taught at public expense.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 6. Education is no help in getting a job today.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

109°

The Education Scale (cont.)

7. Most young people are getting too much education.
Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree
8. A university education is worth all the time and effort

it requires.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 9. Our schools encourage an individual to think for himself. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 10. There are too many fads and frills in modern education. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 11.—Education only makes a person discontented. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. School training is of little help in meeting the problems

of life.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 13. Education tends to make a person less conceited.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 14. Solution of the world's problems will come through education. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 15. High school courses are too impractical.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 16. A man is foolish to keep going to school if he can get a job. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

and the second second second

The Education Scale (cont.)

17. Savings spent on education are wisely invested.
Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree
18. An educated man can advance more rapidly in business

and industry.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 19. Parents should not be compelled to send their children

to school.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 20. Education is more valuable than most people think.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree 21. A high school education makes a person a better citizen. Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

-22. Public money spent on education during the past few years could have been used more wisely for other purposes.

Strongly Agree Agree Undecided Disagree Strongly Disagree

APPENDIX II

Below you will find a series of statements which a person might use to describe himself. Read each statement and decide whether or not it describes you. Then indicate your answer on the space following by circling the "True" or "False".

- 1. I will not be satisfied until I am the best in my field of work. True False
- 2. I would work just as hard whether or not I had to earn a living. True False
- 3. People should be more involved with their work. True False
- 4. I do not let my work get in the way of what I really want to do. True False
- 5. I enjoy difficult work. True False
- 6. My goal is to do at least a little bit more than anyone else has done before. True False
- 7. In my work I seldom do more than is necessary. True False
- 8. I try to work just hard enough to get by. True False
- 9. I often set goals that are very difficult to reach. True False
- 10. I am not really very certain what I want to do or how to go about doing it. True False
- 11. I seldom set standards which are difficult for me to reach. True False
 - 12. It doesn't really matter to me whether or not I become one of the best in my field. True False

13. As a child I worked a long time for some of the things I earned. True False

14. People seldom think of me as a hard worker. True False
15. I have rarely done extra studying in connection with

113

my work. True False

16. I don't mind working while other people are having

fun. True False

.

APPENDIX III

The following items describe a variety of life events. Please read down the list and place a check mark (1) behind any events you have experienced in the last year.

1.	A change in your own personal health or that of a
. e	family member
2.	A change in relationship to your work
3.	A change in your financial circumstances
4.	A change in living conditions
5.	A change involving your relationships with others
6.	A change involving any member of your family
7.	A minor violation of the law
8.	A change in church activities
9.	A change in your recreation
10.	A change in social activities
11.	Other (please specify)

What were your goals or objectives in registering for the "Focus on Parenting" program? Check all items according to their level of importance for you.

Important: IMP.

Very Important: V.IMP.

Not Very Important: NOT V. IMP.

				· ·	1.00		Ψ.
	Э	IMP.	<u>V.IMP.</u>	-	NOT V.	IMP.	'n
1.	Become better informed		· .				÷
2.	Personal enjoyment					c.	
3.	Meet new people					w 1.	· ·
4.	Be a better parent						c.\$
5.	Be a better husband or wife					•	
6.	Get away from routine	a.	··		w	:	
7.	Understand community problems						•
8.	Serve my church better	. 34			n	••	
9.	Further spiritual well-being	an Comment				N.	
10.	Work for solutions to problems			X			
11.	Feel sense of belonging						
12.	Get away from personal problems				0. 		
13.	Improve communication within my family		بر این ۲۰۰ میں جد در این ا	۰۰ مر م	- <u></u>	a a tanan Alaman a sa sa sa sa	
14.	Curiosity, learning for sake of learning	26 g. s.	- <u></u>				سر می اور این
15.	Become a better citizen						
16.	Learn how to discipline my children	,		х			
- 17-	Other, please describe		· • •	. •			

In this section, I would like to find out about your interest in learning new things.

- Is there anything in particular that you'd like to learn more about, or would like to learn how to do better? If yes, circle 1; if no, circle 2.
 - 1. Yes go on to Question 2

2. No - please skip to the next section

2. Listed below is a wide variety of subjects and skills which people might wish to study or learn. If you had your choice, and didn't have to worry about cost or other responsibilities, which ones interest you enough to spend a fair amount of time on them? Circle the numbers next to <u>all</u> the subjects or areas on this page and the next which you would be interested in learning.

AREAS OF LEARNING

- 1. Agriculture, farming
- 2. Architecture
- 3. Basic education, such as reading, basic math
- 4. Biological sciences, such as biology, botany
- 5. Business skills, such as typing, accounting
- 6. Child development, such as parenthood, childcare *

7. Canadian citizenship

8. Commercial art, such as design, fashion

9. Community problems and organizations

10. Computer science, such as data processing, programming

- 11. Consumer education, such as buying credit
- 12. Cosmetology, such as beauticians

13. Crafts, such as weaving, pottery, wood working

14. Creative writing 15. Education, teacher training 16. Engineering 17. English language training 18. Environmental studies, such as ecology, conservation 19. Fine and visual arts, such as art, photography 20. Flight training 21. Gardening, flower arranging Great Books 22. 23. Home repairs 24. Humanities, such as literature, philosophy, music 25. Industrial trades, such as welding, carpentry 26. Investment, such as money, finance 27. Journalism 28. Languages, such as French, German, Chinese 29. Law 30. Management skills, such as business administration 31. Medical technology, such as x-ray technician, dental tech. 32. Medicine, dentistry 33. Nursing 34. Occult sciences, such as astrology, tarot Performing arts such as dance, music, drama 35. 36. Personal psychology such as encounter groups, everyday life -Physical fitness and self defense such as exercise karate - 37: Physical sciences, such as physics, math, chemistry 38. 39. Public affairs, such as current events, world problems 40. Public speaking • • • • • Religious studies, such as Bible, meditation 41. 42. Safety, such as first aid, water safety 43. Salesmanship 44 Sewing, cooking 45. Social sciences, such as economics, sociology 46. Sports and games, such as golf, bridge, swimming Technical skills, such as auto mechanics, tv repair 47. ີວ່ 0

48. Travel, living in a foreign country

49. Other, please specify____

3. Of the areas listed in question 2, which would you <u>most</u> <u>like</u> to study or learn? Please write in the names or numbers of your first, second, and third choices in the spaces below. 118

First choice	<u></u>	
Second choice		
Third choice		 ·

4. Regarding your first choice in the above, would you like to get credit toward a degree or some other certificate of satisfactory completion for learning this area? Circle one of the following numbers.

1. No, doesn't matter, don't care

2. Certificate of satisfactory completion

3. Credit toward a high school diploma

4. Credit toward a skill certificate or license

5. Credit toward a two-year college diploma

6. Credit toward a four-year university degree (B.A.)

7. Credit toward an advanced degree (M.A., Ph.D)

Other, describe

8.

- 5. There are many ways in which people can take a course of study. How would you want to learn this area if you could do it any way you wanted? Circle the <u>one</u> which best describes how you would like to study this field.
 - 1. Lectures or classes
 - 2. Short term conferences, institutes or workshops
 - 3. Individual lessons from a private teacher
 - 4. Discussion groups, informal book club or study group
 - 5. Travel-study program
 - 6. On-the-job training
 - 7. Correspondance course
 - 8. T.V. or video cassettes
 - 9. Radio, records, or audio cassettes
 - 10. Work on a group action project
 - 11. Study on my own, no formal instruction
 - 12. Other, please specify_
- 6. How often would you want to attend classes, training sessions, or study on your own? <u>Circle only one</u>.
 - 1. One evening a week
 - 2. Two or more evenings a week
 - 3. One morning or
 - afternoon a week
 - 4. Two or more mornings or afternoons a week
 - 5. One full day a week
 - 6. Two or more full days a week

- 7. One weekend day a week
- 8. Both weekend days a week

119

- 9. One or two evenings a week plus some weekends
- 10. One or two evenings a week plus one or two weeks during the summer
- 11. Two weeks to a month during the summer

12.-Other

7. There are many places people can go to study or learn. Where would you want to go to learn the area you chose first in Question 3? Circle <u>one</u> choice.

1. Public high school, day or evening

2. Public two year college or technical institute

120

3. Private vocational, trade or business school

4. Four-year college or university

5. Graduate school

6. Community run "free school"

7. Business or industrial site

8. Employer

9. Religious institution or group

10. Community or social organization, such as YMCA

11. Correspondance school

12. Government agency (federal, provincial, or municipal)

13. Library or other cultural institution (museum)

14. Fine or performing arts or crafts studio

15. Recreational or sports group

16. Individual instructor

17. Home

18. Other, describe_

Many things stop people from taking a course of study or learning a skill. Circle all those listed below that you feel are important in keeping you from learning what you want to learn. 1. Cost, including books, learning materials, child care, transportation, as well as tuition. Not enough time 2. Amount of time required to complete a program 3. 4. No way to get oredit for a degree 5. Strict attendance requirements Don't know what I'd like to learn or what it would lead to 6. 7. No place to study or practice 8. No child care .9. Courses I want aren't scheduled when I can attend 10. Don't want to go to school full time 11. No information about places or people offering what I want No transportation 12. 13. Too much red tape in getting enrolled 14. Hesitate to seem too ambitious 15. Friends or family don't like the idea 16. Home responsibilities 17. Job responsibilities 18. Not enough energy or stamina 19. Afraid that I'm too old to begin Low grades in the past, not confident of my ability 20. . S 25 🗜

· · ·	
	122
21.	Don't meet requirements to begin program
22.	Courses I want don't seem to be available
23.	Don't enjoy studying
24.	Tired of going to school, tired of classrooms
25.	Other (please describe)
•	
و معادم مع و بر مع	
• • • • • •	
	Of the items you have just circled, which ones would be
	your largest barriers for learning something new? Please
, ,	identify the three most important.
	First
	Second
•	Third
	INIFG

How did you become aware of the "Focus on Parenting" program? Please check any of the following that apply.

> ____brochure sent out from school ____announcement in newspaper ____radio

_television (

____close friend

_____teacher

spouse

guidance counselor

____private or public agency

____from one of my children.

other(please specify_____

from another parent

__psychologist, social worker, physician, clergy, etc.

•

If you were called upon to organize a similar parent education program what would you do to make people aware of

123

it's existence?

Perception of Abilities

No two people have the same abilities, nor are there any two people equally strong on the same ability. As you think about your own abilities, you will likely think of some as being stronger than others. What do you think are your stronger abilities? 4.52 How old were you when you left school? 2. What were the circumstances around you leaving school? 3.

Perception of Abilities (cont.) ور فرزش Please describe what you feel are your strengths in each of the following categories. a) athletics/recreation_ b) relationships c) academics . d) socializing career/job e) : **f**) domestic affairs ż \leq 5. What kind of a student do you think you would be if you

were to return to school?

Attitudes Toward Education

1. How important to you were past educational experiences you have had? Were there some educational experiences that were particularly important?_____

126

2. Tell me something about your years in school. Do you have a particular overall feeling about your school experiences?

Attitudes Toward Education (cont.)



127

4. What value do you, place on education?_____

· · · ·



the provious year?_____

Goals and Expectations

 Do you have any goals you are presently working towards, such as goals related to career/job, physical health, family, education, relationships with others, etc.?

۹. j

Of the goals you have just described which ones are the most important?_____

2.4

2. What kind of goals did you have for yourself in registering for this program ("Focus on Parenting")?______

Goals and Expectations (cont.)

• • • • • • •

3. Based on what you knew about parent education programs what kind of things were you expecting in this program? (For attenders, dropouts, and registrants only)

.				
		ે જે તે 🦧 ત		
			A.	:
· .		2		
		•		
•			Υ	
)
			•	
	· .	·		······································

4. What kind of long range plans do you have for yourself?

L			
· .	•		
		······································	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
-	ł		

Goals and Expectations (cont.)

131

5. What have you succeeded at in your life so far? Were these things that you had intentionally set out to attain?

6. Is it meaningful to you to set goals in your life? If so can you tell me about some of your goals? To what extent are learning experiences both formal and informal included in your goals?_____

.

Ŷ

•				•	1
•		2	· · · ·	······································	•
	•			. :	
•	~ ·			•	
	· · ·				

 We all learn things every day, we can't help but be exposed to new information. How would you say you receive this information?

Information

132

____books ____magazines ____periodicals ____newspaper ____radio ___tv ___courses ____other people ____special interest groups ____other (please specify)_____

2. The last few decades have seen big changes in the availability of information about what is going on in the world around us. What kinds of information in the world around you is important to you? How do you obtain this information - ie what information sources do you rely on? c 133

3. Do you make a point of knowing what is happening in other countries? If so, how do you obtain your information?

Do you make a point of knowing what is happening in your own town, community, or local area? If so, how do you obtain your information?

____newspaper ____radio ____tv ___friends ___your children ____community organizations ____church ___bulletin boards other (please specify)

8,

74 7.9
Barriers and Opportunities

 When you were first thinking about taking this particular course ("Focus on Parenting"), what things occurred to
make it possible?. What factors were there that seemed to be playing a role in stopping you from coming? (To be

asked of course attenders and dropouts only).

A respected friend of yours is encouraging you to take either a college course, an updated training program related to your job, or a night course in an area of interest (photography, cooking, etc.). If you decided to follow his/her advice, what types of things would make it easier for you to participate in this learning experience? If you decided not to follow his/her advice, what type of things would hold you back from participating in this learning experience?

135 2. (continued) 1.11 ٠. · · · · · 1. 1

3. Tell me what your evenings are typically like. Tell me what your weekends are typically like. What would have to be different about these evenings or weekends in order for you to "slip-out" and do some things that would be really exciting to you?

•. •, P

, .

.

• •

÷

2 * 2 -

 \mathbf{x}

ĩ

~ 5

• .

97

1

· .\$

14

2

. •

12

.

· .

···· · · · ·

· ·

•...

• '

 10^{-1}

. . . .

 ~ 1

2.25

۰.

 a_{C}/λ

<u>а</u>.....

APPENDIX VIII

What questions would you ask an individual in order to determine . how he evaluates himself, particularly his ability to learn new things?

- 2. What questions would you ask in order to determine what an individual's attitudes towards education is?
- What questions would you ask to determine what kind of goals a per-3. son has, particularly any goals that might be related to education? What questions would you ask to determine the number of life changes
 - a-person has experienced over the past year?

4:

5. What questions would you ask in order to determine what opportunities and barriers a person perceives in terms of him/her becoming involved in a formal learning experience.

6. What questions would you ask in order to determine what a person's sources of information are?

ANNOUNCING "FOCUS ON PARENTING"

Summer, believe it or not, is fast approaching. With that in mind we are attempting to plan ahead for fall classes. We need to know how many parents would be interested in attending a "Focus On Parenting" program in late September.

Here are some of the topics:

1. Meeting your needs as a parent

2. Building feelings of self worth

-3. How to listen to your child

4. Dealing with unacceptable behavior

5. Encouraging responsibility

6. Having fun as a family

Classes will be held once a week over a six week period, with some classes meeting in the afternoon and others in the evening, for two hours each. These sessions are free of charge and in some cases child care will be provided at a small cost.

In order that we can anticipate the number of parents who might be involved, please return this form with your child within the next week. It would be extremely helpful that you return this form whether you are interested in the above classes or not. Thanks for your help with our planning.

-OVER-

"FOCUS ON PARENTING"

Please check (\checkmark) one of the following:

____I'm interested, please keep me informed

____I'm interested but don't believe I'll be able to attend

____I'm not interested

Please return your completed form with your child to Ms. Lillian Zubritsky at Jasper Place Social Services.

Follow up on the results of this survey will be completed by David Erickson, graduate student at the University of Alberta as part of a larger study.

REMEMBER TO RETURN THIS FORM THIS WEEK !

Thank you.

APPENDIX X

Implications for Further Practice

The primary purpose of this study was to raise questions that would prove useful in further developing a theory of participation in adult education. In addition to questions which have arisen with regard to theory, a number of potential implications for practice have also been raised. These implications are presented in a tentative manner, coming as they do from a case study rather than from experimental research. It is expected that these implications might serve to stimulate the activities of the practitioner and thereby also contribute to further theoretical conceptualizations.

Ŕ₹

<u>Implication 1</u>. It was observed in the study that the construct of achievement motivation was useful in differentiating those who displayed interest in the FPP from those who did not. The no interest group in comparison to the other three groups did not perceive themselves as having needs in the parent education domain. It also appeared that those who identified the largest discrepancies between their present needs and desired needs were more likely to become participants.

Adult educators might thus choose subject areas in which there is considerable current dissonance, particularly amongst target groups identified as low achievers. In the field of parent education while general parenting courses may attract high achieving parents, lower achieving parents might be attracted toward topics around which there is considerable dissonance. These might be used to attract people to a learning experience which then could offer topics of less dissonance. Examples of topics with high dissonance might include effect of day-care on very young children, effects of divorce and remarriage on young children, factors associated with child abuse, drug and alcohol abuse among young

APPENDIX X

Implications for Further Practice

The primary purpose of this study was to raise questions that would prove useful in further developing a theory of participation in adult education. In addition to questions which have arisen with regard to theory, a number of potential implications for practice have also been raised. These implications are presented in a tentative manner, coming as they do from a case study rather than from experimental research. It is expected that these implications might serve to stimulate the activities of the practitioner and thereby also contribute to further theoretical conceptualizations.

<u>Implication 1</u>. It was observed in the study that the construct of achievement motivation was useful in differentiating those who displayed interest in the FPP from those who did not. The no interest group in comparison to the other three groups did not perceive themselves as having needs in the parent education domain. It also appeared that those who identified the largest discrepancies between their present needs and desired needs were more likely to become participants.

Adult educators might thus choose subject areas in which there is considerable current dissonance, particularly amongst target groups identified as low achievers. In the field of parent education while general parenting courses may attract high achieving parents, lower achieving parents might be attracted toward topics around which there is considerable dissonance. These might be used to attract people to a learning experience which then could offer topics of less dissonance. Examples of topics with high dissonance might include effect of day-care on very young children, effects of divorce and remarriage on young children, factors associated with child abuse, drug and alcohol abuse among young

139

DUPLICATE COPY

teens. These topics could be used to discuss other issues related to child development.

<u>Implication 2.</u> Some participants had learning goals which were positive or growth enhancement related, while others were enrolled in learning to cope with a problem. The latter group were more likely to be among partial attenders.

It would seem useful to offer programs of at least two types. Those designed to generally enhance parenting knowledge and skills and those designed more with a coping orientation. The latter in terms of parenting courses might involve "dealing with your hyperactive child", "managing anger towards your child", "disciplining your child", "dealing with your diabetic child". General parenting programs such as the FPP appear to have most appeal for those individuals who want information and assistance on improving their functioning in a number of areas rather than on trying to cope with a specific problem.

<u>Implication 3.</u> From the study it would appear that homogeneous groups would be easier to recruit than groups of a heterogenous nature. Persistence may also be enhanced by an individual's participation in a homogeneous group. Homogeneity may refer to the nature of the participants, for example, all might be parents of adolescents, parents of preschool children, or parents of lower income families. Homogeneity might also be in terms of theme. Rather than only offering programs with several different themes, groups should be offered that deal with one primary concern, such as courses for parents of children with a mental handicap, or parent groups for stimulating childrens' language development or groups dealing with children's play.

The above also addresses the notion of using reference groups as

agia -

a means of enhancing participation such as church groups, service clubs, and parent-school organizations where homogeneity on a number of dimensions already exists. To take this idea even further an adult educator might offer his services such as parent education to a host couple who would be asked to provide their home as the setting for a program. This couple would also be responsible for choosing four or five other couples that they would like included in that program in a type of "cottage" style education.

Implication 4. It also appears from the study that adult education would benefit from being presented in a variety of settings. If one uses the example of parent education this type of program could be made available in a diversity of settings such as community colleges, local schools, university extension departments, recreation departments and churches. From the present study it appeard that university educated subjects were more likely to attend a parent education program were it offered by a university than by a local recreation hoard. In the same manner, a high school graduate who might be intimidated by a university setting would be more comfortable taking the same program if it were offered in a neighborhood school.

Implication 5. In order to appeal to individuals with varying levels of self evaluation provision of programs with varying levels of threat would appear to facilitate wider participation. For some individuals a group discussion format is very uncomfortable, but they may be more strongly attracted by a film or video series in which they can maintain their anonymity. Self-instructional learning packages might also be useful for some who are uncomfortable learning in a group. Telephone services might also be avilable for parents requesting direction or support in a number of different child related areas.

Threat might also be controlled to a certain degree by the number of sessions. Programs of varying lengths could be offered such as two or three sessions rather than eight or nine. One session programs should also be encouraged. Programs might be offered in modules of four sessions with individuals being free to terminate at the completion of the module or continue on with another one.

Programs offered for academic credit would also appeal to those more achievement oriented.

Implication 6. Due to the apparent influence of spouse involvement, provide programs that focus on the involvement of one's significant others. In a parent education program this might involve husband and wife bringing their children to an early evening program. They might then observe their children interacting with other children and other adults. This would be in contrast to programs emphasizing didactic instruction and those only involving one or two members of a family, usually parents. Churches, schools or community agencies might sponsor a program in which parents being their children to a program, perhaps having a meal together, as well as other family centered activities directed by a facilitator. Implication 7. This study provided support for life transitions as being motivators of participation. It appears useful to provide programs aimed at dealing with transitional stages such as marriage, divorce, parenting an adolescent, becoming a parent, and planning for retirement. In addition, advertising these programs in locations where people in change are most likely to be, such as in physician's and lawyer's offices, public health offices, churches, mental heald and school offices appears useful. For example, programs aimed at helping parents with their preschoolers wight be advertised through physicians or local health units. In the

same manner, individuals who have experienced a divorce might be made aware of programs in that area by a lawyer or divorce counsellor. <u>Implication 8.</u> Due to the relationship between goals and participation, especially dropout, when meeting with a group of learners for the first time have them identify, to the extent they are able, their purpose in attending. Group learners with similar purposes together. For example, those whose goals are concrete in nature and centered on problems would be more likely to persist in a learning experience when placed with others of similar purpose. Provide opportunities for frequent feedback such that individual learners can indicate the degree to which their learning needs are being met. This is in keeping with the observation in this study that learners who are strictly problem oriented or whose main reason for attending is to cope with an immediate problem are more likely to drop out.

<u>Implication 9.</u> In the study it was noted that a wide variety of information sources were used. When advertising or otherwise attempting to inform people about a learning program, use as many different mediums as possible. In this study the ones most frequently cited were the daily newspaper, weekly community newspaper, community billboards, agency mailouts, radio and word of mouth. Advertising might also be more efficiently used if directed at certain groups as opposed to the general population. For example, if one is offering a program for parents of low income, then word of mouth might be useful, especially if transmitted through friends or professionals that these individuals trust and have frequent contact with. For a middle class group, the daily newspaper appears reasonably effective.

Implication 10. For individuals with higher levels of education, such as at the college or university level, when preparing written announce-

ments or programs include the name of the person in charge of the program, relevant credentials (personal/academic), program goals, outline of topics, availability of special services (child care, cost, tuition re-imbursement) and whom is most likely to benefit. Also include what the individual can expect to accomplish by attending.