1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	Spatial variations in the stoichiometry and geochemistry of Miocene dolomite from
8	Grand Cayman: implications for the origin of island dolostone
9	
10	
11	
12	Min Ren, Brian Jones
13	Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton,
14	Alberta, Canada T6G 2E3
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	E-mail address: mren@ualberta.ca
22	
23	

24 Abstract

25 The Cayman Formation (Miocene), ~140 m thick on Grand Cayman, is 26 incompletely dolomitized with the most of the dolomite restricted to the peripheral part of 27 the island. These calcium-rich dolomites, with 50-60 mol %CaCO<sub>3</sub> (%Ca), are divided 28 into low-calcium dolomite (LCD, %Ca < 55%) and high-calcium dolomite (HCD, %Ca 29 >55%). Despite the small size of the island (6.8 km wide), the percentages of LCD and 30 HCD, the %Ca, and the geochemical properties of the dolomites show geographic 31 variations relative to the surrounding shelf edge. Accordingly, the Cayman Formation on 32 the east end of the island is divided into the peripheral dolostone (shelf edge-1.5 km 33 inland), transitional dolostone (1.5-2.7 km inland), and the interior limestone and 34 dolostone (2.7 km to island center). From the peripheral dolostone to the interior 35 limestone and dolostone, there is an increase in the percentage of HCD and %Ca in the dolomite, and decreases in the  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the dolomite. Interpretations 36 37 based on the oxygen and carbon isotopic compositions indicate that seawater is the 38 source of Mg for dolomitization. The concentric pattern of dolomitization on the island 39 reflects the fact that seawater flowed into the island from all directions during 40 dolomitization. The lateral inland variations in the dolomite stoichiometric and isotopic 41 properties reflect the gradual modification of seawater by water-rock interaction along the flow path from the shelf edge to the island center. <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios indicate that two 42 43 phases of dolomitization (late Miocene–early Pliocene and late Pliocene–early 44 Pleistocene) were responsible for dolomitization of the Cayman Formation. It is very 45 likely that during both phases, the carbonate platform was subaerially exposed and that 46 the pump for circulating the seawater through the island was related to the

47	seawater/freshwater mixing zone. The dolomitization model developed from Grand
48	Cayman may be applicable to many other island dolostones affected by long term sea-
49	level changes. Given that the diagenetic potential of dolostone is directly linked to its
50	stoichiometry, the distribution of the LCD-HCD will influence the petrographic
51	properties, geochemical signatures, and reservoir potential of the dolostones.
52	

53 Keywords: Dolomite; Dolomitization; Miocene; Stoichiometry; Grand Cayman

54 **1. Introduction** 

55 Dolomite (ideally  $CaMg(CO_3)_2$ ), has received considerable attention because of questions 56 that remain about its origin (Land and Moore, 1980; Budd, 1997; Warren, 2000; Machel, 2004; 57 Gregg et al., 2015). Sedimentary dolomites typically contain excess calcium (48-62 58 mol %CaCO<sub>3</sub>, hereafter referred to as %Ca), as is the case for most modern and Cenozoic 59 dolostones (e.g., Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Budd, 1997; Wheeler et al., 1999; Jones and Luth, 60 2002; Suzuki et al., 2006). Many Phanerozoic dolomites, despite their antiquity, are still non-61 stoichiometric (e.g., Lumsden and Chimahusky, 1980; Sperber et al., 1984; Reeder, 1991; Drits 62 et al., 2005; Swart et al., 2005). Calcium-rich dolomites are thermodynamically metastable and 63 more reactive than ideal or near-stoichiometric dolomites (e.g., Reeder, 1991; Chai et al., 1995). 64 Thus, in most geological environments HCD is more susceptible to diagenetic modifications than 65 LCD (Jones and Luth, 2002). This includes the preferential dissolution of the calcium-rich cores 66 found in many dolomite crystals. Dolostones formed of hollow crystals generated by this 67 process have high micro-porosity (Jones and Luth, 2002; Jones, 2007) and may be important 68 reservoir rocks. Later precipitation of calcite or dolomite in the hollow crystals leads to the 69 formation of dedolomite (Schmidt, 1965; Folkman, 1969; Jones, 1989; James et al., 1993) or 70 inside-out dolomite (Jones, 2007). At burial, non-stoichiometric dolomite is prone to 71 recrystallization and transformation to stoichiometric, well-ordered dolomites (e.g., Land and 72 Moore, 1980; Reeder, 1981; Blake et al., 1982; Hardie, 1987; Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2014). 73 Such modifications change the petrographic properties, geochemical signatures, and reservoir 74 potential of the dolostones.

Models developed to explain dolomitization have typically regarded dolostones as being
compositionally uniform. In many cases, however, two or more dolomite populations, as defined

77 by their composition, are present (Sperber et al., 1984; Searl, 1994; Wheeler et al., 1999; Jones 78 and Luth, 2002; Drits et al., 2005; Suzuki et al., 2006). If variations in stoichiometry have been 79 considered, it is done from a stratigraphic perspective and the possibility of geographic variations 80 have been ignored (e.g., Dawans and Swart, 1988; Wheeler et al., 1999). Cenozoic dolostones 81 on the Cayman Islands, which are formed of various mixtures of low calcium dolomite 82 (LCD, %Ca = 48-55%) and high calcium dolomite (HCD, %Ca = 55-62%) (Jones et al., 2001; 83 Jones, 2005, 2013), are ideal for testing the notion that lateral variations in the composition of 84 dolostones may be critical for developing a model to explain their origin. On east end of Grand 85 Cayman, 32 wells drilled and sampled to depths up to 140 m, are ideally suited for establishing 86 the stratigraphic and geographic variations in the %Ca of dolostones on an isolated carbonate 87 island. The model developed to explain the formation of these island dolostones is based on the integration of their petrography, %Ca, stable isotopes, <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopes, and stratigraphic 88 89 relationships with coeval limestones. The model, which also relies on the chemistry of the 90 present-day groundwater, is also used to test some of the basic concepts of dolomite formation 91 that have been derived from laboratory experiments like those described by Kaszmarek and 92 Sibley (2011, 2014). The conclusions reached by this research have far reaching implications for 93 the development of island dolostones throughout the world.

94 2. Geological setting

Grand Cayman, located on the Cayman Ridge in the Caribbean Sea (Fig. 1A), is
surrounded by a shelf that is < 1 km wide (Fig. 1B, C). Sculptured by two submarine terraces at</li>
0-10 m bsl and 12-40 m below sea level (bsl) (Fig. 1D), the shelf formed as a result of reef
growth and marine erosion during successive sea-level cycles of the last deglaciation (e.g.,
Blanchon and Jones, 1995). The island slope, which generally begins at a depth of ~55 m

(Roberts, 1994), extends into the deep Cayman Trench to the south and Yucatan Basin to the
north. Today, the east end of the island has a N-S width of ~ 6.8 km. The low-lying interior of
eastern part of this island, generally < 3 m above sea level (asl), is surrounded by a peripheral</li>
rim that is up to 13.5 m asl (e.g., Jones et al., 1994a; Jones and Hunter, 1994; Liang and Jones,
2014).

105 The carbonate succession on Grand Cayman is divided into the unconformity-bounded 106 Brac Formation, Cayman Formation, Pedro Castle Formation, and Ironshore Formation (Fig. 2). 107 Limestones and dolostones of the Cayman Formation (Miocene) are widely exposed over the 108 eastern part of the island (Fig. 1B). Fossils in this formation include corals, bivalves, red algae, 109 foraminifera, and *Halimeda* (Jones et al., 1994b; Ren and Jones, 2016) (Fig. 2). On the east end, 110 the Cayman formation has undergone pervasive dolomitization in the coastal areas but minimal 111 dolomitization in the central areas of the island (Der, 2012; Ren and Jones, 2016).

#### **3. Methods**

This paper integrates all information from outcrops and 32 wells on the east end of Grand Cayman with focus being placed on 21 wells (Fig. 1C, E; Table 1), which were selected because they are the deepest wells (40 to 140 m with most > 70 m) and are located at various distances from the shoreline. Continuous cores were obtained from wells GFN-2 and RWP-2. Cuttings were collected over 0.8 m (2.5 ft) intervals from all other wells. The depth of each well is accurate to  $\pm 1\%$  whereas the depth intervals represented by each sample of cuttings are  $\pm 2\%$ with the highest variance being on the deeper samples.

Petrographic descriptions are based on standard thin-section techniques and scanning
electron microscopy. Thin sections, made from 120 samples from GFN-2, RWP-2, HRQ-3, and
RTR-1, were impregnated with blue epoxy to highlight porosity and stained with Alizarin Red S

123 to indicate calcite. Thicker (40-50  $\mu$ m) thin sections from selected samples from HRQ-2 were 124 prepared for examination on the SEM. After these epoxy-impregnated thin sections were 125 polished and etched in 30% HCl for 10-15 seconds following the procedure outlined by Jones 126 (2005), they were then coated with carbon and examined on a Zeiss EVO SEM (LaB<sub>6</sub> electron 127 source, accelerating voltage15 kV). Backscattered electron (BSE) images were obtained from 128 these samples. Elemental compositions were obtained from spots/lines/areas using a Bruker 129 energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) system with dual silicon drift detectors, each with 130 an area of 60 mm<sup>2</sup> and an energy resolution of 123 eV.

131 Rock cuttings (collected over 1.5 m intervals), formed largely of matrix dolostone or 132 limestone (fossils and/or cement were avoided), were ground into a fine powder using a mortar 133 and pestle and then subjected to X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Rigaku Geigerflex 2173 XRD 134 system with Co K $\alpha$  radiation from 29° to 38° 2 $\theta$  at 40 kV and 35 mA following the protocol of 135 Jones et al. (2001). The peak-fitting method of Jones et al. (2001) was used to determine the 136 %Ca of the constituent LCD and HCD (±0.5% accuracy) and the weight percentages of LCD and 137 HCD (±10% accuracy).

Oxygen and carbon isotopes for the dolomite and calcite were determined for every other XRD sample (i.e., at 3 m intervals) from EEZ-1, CKC-1, LBL-1, HMB-1, HRQ-1, HRQ-2, and HRQ-3. These analyses were undertaken by Isotope Tracer Technologies Inc. (Waterloo, Canada) who used a DELTA<sup>Plus</sup> XL Stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS) coupled with a ConFlo III interface and EA1110 Elemental Analyzer. No phosphoric acid fractionation factor was applied to the dolomite. The isotopes are reported relative to VPDB in per mill (±0.1‰ accuracy).

145 <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr were measured for 114 samples from RWP-2, FFM-1, HMB-1, CKC-1, RTR-1, 146 and GFN-2 in the Radiogenetic Isotope Laboratory, University of Alberta, using the same 147 procedure as MacNeil and Jones (2003). All results were corrected for variable mass 148 discrimination (0.1194) and normalized to SRM 987 standard (0.710245). The 2 standard errors 149 of the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr values range from 0.00001 to 0.00003. 150 Groundwater samples were collected from RTR-1 (2009), GFN-1 (2011), and HRQ-3 151 (2014); and seawater samples from Spotts Bay (south coast) were also collected in each of these 152 years. Chemical composition and oxygen isotope analyses were performed for 34 groundwater 153 and 3 seawater samples by the Saskatchewan Research Council and Isotope Tracer Technologies 154 Inc., respectively, within 2 months of collection. Saline water is defined using chloride contents 155 (>19,000 mg/L) following Ng et al. (1992). Ninety-seven groundwater samples were measured 156 for temperature during drilling of GFN-1, HRQ-2, and EEV-2.

### 157 **4. Results**

## 158 4.1. Sedimentary facies

159 The Cayman Formation contains numerous fossils including corals (mainly Stylophora, 160 Montastrea, Porites), benthic foraminifera, bivalves, gastropods, red algae, and planktonic 161 foraminifera. Der (2012) and Ren and Jones (2016) recognized the following biofacies: (1) 162 rhodolith-coral-benthic foraminifera, (2) platy and domal coral-benthic foraminifera, (3) 163 branching platy and domal coral-benthic foraminifera, (4) branching coral-benthic foraminifera 164 facies, (5) benthic foraminifera-bivalve, (6) Halimeda-benthic foraminifera-coral, and (7) 165 planktonic foraminifera facies (Fig. 3). Facies 1 is found only in two coastal wells (RWP-2 and 166 RTR-1), facies 2, 3, and 4 are found in most wells but are most common in the coastal areas, and facies 6 and 7 are present only in GFN-2 and HRQ-2, which are located in the interior of theisland (Fig. 3).

### 169 *4.2. Definition and distribution of the dolostone and limestone*

170 The Cayman Formation contains undolomitized limestones, partially dolomitized 171 limestones, and dolostones. Most dolostone is found around the perimeter of the island and in 172 the shallow surface zone in the interior of the island, whereas limestones are restricted to the 173 interior part of the island (Figs. 4, 5). There is no evidence indicating that the limestone and 174 dolostones belong to different formations (Ren and Jones, 2016).

175 Key attributes of each succession are the distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite. Well 176 locations are specified relative to shelf edge rather than the present-day coastline, which is a feature of recent erosion and sea level. Integration of the geographic positions of the wells and 177 178 their basic lithological attributes allows delineation of the (1) peripheral dolostone zone, (2) 179 transitional dolostone zone, (3) interior dolostone zone, and (4) interior limestone zone (Fig. 6). 180 The "peripheral zone" includes areas that are within ~1.5 km from the present-day N and 181 S shelf edges and ~2 km from the E shelf margin (Fig. 6). Wells HHD-1, LBL-1, RWP-2, EEZ-182 1, ESS-1, HMB-1, and RTR-1 are located in the zone. Given its position and that the subsurface 183 Cayman Formation in these locations is comprised of dolostone, this zone is referred to as the 184 peripheral dolostone zone (Fig. 6). These successions are dominated by LCD, with many being 185 formed entirely of LCD-dominated dolostones (e.g., LBL-1, RWP-2, EEZ-1, FSR-1). 186 The "transitional zone", located between the inner boundary of peripheral zone to  $\sim 2.7$ 187 km from the N and S shelf edges, and ~3 km from the E shelf edge, includes wells CKC-1, EEV-

188 2, HRQ-3, and FSR-1 (Fig. 6). It is named the transitional dolostone zone because the Cayman

189 Formation is the area is formed of LCD- and HCD-dominated dolostones (Fig. 6).

10

190 The "interior zone", found in the innermost part of the island, is interior of the 191 transitional zone and includes wells FFM-1, GFN-2, HRQ-2, HRQ-1, HRQ-4, HRQ-5, HRQ-6, 192 HRO-7, HRO-8, and DTE-1 (Fig. 6). The Cayman Formation in this zone is comprised of 193 limestones and calcian dolostones. The limestones, which are found in all of the wells in this 194 area, are referred to as the interior limestones. In some wells, limestone forms the entire 195 succession, whereas in other wells it is restricted to the deeper part of successions (Fig. 6). The 196 boundary between these dolostones and limestones lies somewhere between wells CLZ-1 and 197 HMB-1. Dolostones that lie on top of the limestone successions in the eastern interior (e.g., 198 HRQ-2, FFM-1, GFN-2), formed largely of HCD, are referred to as the interior dolostones.

# 199 *4.3. Distribution of calcite cements*

200 The distribution of calcitic sediments and calcite cements in the Cayman Formation in the 201 central part of the island is variable. This upper dolostone unit ( $\sim 15$  m thick), found on the 202 eastern part of the island as in wells GFN-2, RWP-2 (Ren and Jones, 2016) and HRQ-3 (Fig. 7A-203 C), is characterized by calcite cement that fills cavities and pores. The calcite cement, dominated 204 by blocky crystals (50-100 µm long), postdated pervasive dolomitization (Ren and Jones, 2016). 205 The volume of calcite cement depends on the porosity and permeability of the host rock. In well 206 GFN-2, for example, the calcite cement forms up to 40% of the porous calcareous dolostones. In 207 contrast, the less permeable peripheral dolostones, like those in RWP-2, contain < 3% calcite 208 cement.

In the interior wells, like GFN-2 and HRQ-2, the lower part of the Cayman Formation is formed of original limestones with only minor amounts of calcite cement (Fig. 7D-F). The depth to the upper boundary of this unit varies from ~55 m bsl in the HRQ wells to ~8 m bsl in GFN-2. In this unit, most of the aragonite skeletons were dissolved and resultant porosity is high (e.g., 50% in well GFN-2). Although the lower boundary of this unit is unknown, it continues to the
base of well HRQ-2 at 125 m bsl.

215 *4.4. Dolomite petrography* 

Dolostones in the Cayman Formation are petrographically heterogeneous and range from fabric retentive to fabric destructive (Figs. 8-10). Based on the preservation of precursor fabrics and the amount of dolomite cement, three textures are recognized.

219 Fabric retentive and pervasively cemented dolostones (Fig. 8), common in the peripheral • 220 dolostone zone, are typically light gray-brown and well indurated. Corals, red algae, and 221 foraminifera are well preserved and replaced by subhedral-anhedral dolomite crystals that 222 are  $< 10 \,\mu\text{m}$  long. Limpid dolomite cements, forming up to 50% of the rock (commonly 223 20-25%), are characterized by tightly interlocking subhedral to euhedral crystals that are up 224 to 100 µm long but typically 25-30 µm long (Fig. 8B, C). Individual crystals commonly 225 have alternating LCD-HCD zones (each ~ 5  $\mu$ m thick). Porosity, typically <10%, includes 226 mainly inter- and intra-particle types and fossil moldic porosity is rare.

227 Fabric retentive to destructive and poorly cemented dolostones (Fig. 9A-D), common in the 228 transitional and interior dolostones, are white and poorly indurated. The precursor 229 carbonate fabrics are typically moderately to well preserved, being replaced by dolomite 230 crystals that are  $< 10 \,\mu m \log$  (Fig. 9A). Locally, however, some fabrics are poorly 231 preserved (Fig. 9B, C). Widespread dissolution of the aragonitic components means that 232 fossil-moldic porosity is common (Fig. 9B). Limpid dolomite is rare with only scattered 233 euhedral-subhedral crystals (20-25 µm long) lining some cavities. Porosity is high (up to 234  $\sim 40\%$ ) and dominated by primary and fossil moldic porosity.

Dolomite in the interior limestone, which partly replaced some skeletal grains, consists of
 euhedral to subhedrual crystals that are < 15 µm long (Fig. 9E, F). Dissolution, which is</li>
 common, left scattered clusters of dolomite crystals in the chambers of some biofragments.
 There is no dolomite cement. Fossil moldic porosity dominates.

*239 4.5. Dolomite stoichiometry* 

Dolostones in the Cayman Formation are composed of pure LCD (%LCD =100), pure
HCD (%HCD=100), or mixed LCD and HCD. The distribution of LCD, HCD, and mixed LCDHCD is variable at all scales, ranging from individual crystals (microns) to island scale
(kilometres).

## 244 4.5.1. LCD-HCD – crystal scale

Dolomites in the peripheral dolostones are characterized by a variety of LCD-HCD
patterns similar to those found in the Cayman Formation on the west part of Grand Cayman (cf.,
Jones and Luth, 2002). Dolomite crystals, up to 100 µm (typically 50 µm long), commonly have
cores formed of HCD and cortices formed of LCD or alternating LCD and HCD zones. Porelining and pore-filling limpid dolomite crystals are formed of LCD or alternating LCD and HCD
zones.

In the interior dolostone, most dolomite crystals (< 20  $\mu$ m long with most 5-10  $\mu$ m long) are formed entirely of HCD (Fig. 11). Euhedral to subhedral LCD pore-filling crystals (< 15  $\mu$ m long) are locally present. Rare dolomite crystals have HCD cores encrusted by LCD cortices that are < 3  $\mu$ m thick. The dolomite crystals are characterized by a variety of surface microstructures such as dissolution slots and etch pits (Fig. 11), like those documented by Jones (2013).

#### 256 *4.5.2. LCD-HCD – local scale*

257 High Rock Quarry, located in the center of the eastern part of Grand Cayman, is ~1.3 km 258 long E-W and ~1 km wide N-S (Fig. 1C, E). Analyses of samples from 8 closely spaced wells in 259 this guarry show some stratigraphic and spatial patterns to the distribution of the LCD and HCD 260 over distances of < 600 m (Fig. 12). In HRO-5, for example, the dolostones that form the upper 261 70 m of the succession (Fig. 12) include (1) HCD dolostone from 41.5 to 70 m, (2) LCD 262 dolostone from 26.3 to 41.5 m, (3) HCD dolostone from 17.1 to 26.3 m, and (4) LCD dolostone 263 from 0 to 17.1 m. 264 Although the stacking patterns of the dolomite units, as defined by their LCD-HCD 265 ratios, varies from well to well, some closely spaced wells such as HRQ-1, HRQ-2, HRQ-4, and 266 HRQ-5, display similar mineralogical patterns (Fig. 12). This pattern, as illustrated in HRQ-5, is 267 characterized by four alternating LCD-HCD units that start with a HCD unit at the bottom of the 268 well and ends with a LCD unit near surface (Fig. 12). HRQ-4 and HRQ-5, which are only 140 m 269 apart, are almost identical in terms of thicknesses, %Ca in LCD and HCD, and average %Ca 270 (Fig. 12). In the calcian dolostones or dolomitic limestones, calcite is commonly found with the

- HCD but is rarely associated with the LCD.
- 272 *4.5.3. LCD-HCD island-wide scale*
- 273 Most dolostones in the Cayman Formation are formed of LCD and HCD, typically with 274 one type being dominant (Figs. 13-15). Samples formed of subequal amounts of LCD and HCD
- are rare. The compositions of the dolostones varies geographically between the peripheral,
- transitional, and central zones (Figs. 13-15).
- 277 (1) Peripheral dolostones in HHD-1, LBL-1, RWP-2, EEZ-1, ESS-1, and HMB-1 are
- dominated by LCD except for RTR-1, where LCD-dominated dolostones forms only 50%

279	of the succession (Figs. 13, 17A). LCD-dominated dolostones form all of the successions in
280	LBL-1, RWP-2, and EEZ-1, 95% in ESS-1, 94% in HHD-1, 87% in HMB-1. Of the 421
281	peripheral dolostone samples in these 7 wells, 79% are LCD-dominated with most
282	containing 80-90 %LCD (Fig. 17A).
283	(2) Transitional dolostones in the CKC-1, HRQ-3, EEV-2, and FSR-1 generally contain LCD
284	and HCD with the composition of the dolostones varying from well to well (Figs. 14, 17B).
285	In the dolostone successions from CKC-1 and HRQ-3, the LCD-dominated dolostone
286	forms 88% and 90% of the succession, respectively (Fig. 14). In EEV-2 and FSR-1, which
287	are closer to the southern coastline, the dolostone successions are formed entirely of HCD-
288	dominated dolostones (Fig. 14). Of the 190 samples from these wells, 74% of the
289	dolostones are LCD-dominated with most composed of 80-90%LCD (Fig. 17B).
290	(3) Interior dolostones, including those from FFM-1, GFN-2, HRQ-1, HRQ-2, HRQ-4, HRQ-5,
291	HRQ-6, HRQ-7, and HRQ-8, differ from the peripheral and transitional dolostones because
292	apart from HRG-7, they all contain more HCD than LCD (Figs. 15, 17C). The average
293	%HCD in dolostones from FFM-1 is 98.4%, whereas in the remaining wells it is 54.7-
294	63.9% (Fig. 15). The average %HCD (42.0%) in the dolostones from HRQ-7 is misleading
295	because that well is only 39.6 m deep and does not cover the full depth range of the other
296	wells (Fig. 12). The average %HCD from 341 dolostone samples in these 9 wells is 42-
297	98% (Fig. 15) and 65% of the 341 analyzed dolomite samples contain more HCD than
298	LCD (Fig. 17C). Forty-five samples are formed of HCD alone.
299	(4) Interior limestones that contain some dolomite are dominated by HCD (Figs. 16, 17D). Of
300	the 191 analyzed samples, dolomite was found in 186 of them with HCD dominating in
301	93% of them (Fig. 17D). LCD was found only in well HRQ-2 (Fig. 16).

302	At the island-wide scale, there is no readily apparent stratigraphic pattern to the
303	distribution of the LCD and HCD (Figs. 4, 5). It seems, however, that the dolostones that overlie
304	and/or underlie limestone successions are invariably dominated by HCD (Figs. 4, 5).
305	4.6. Oxygen and carbon isotopes
306	Dolomite from 206 samples in eight wells have $\delta^{18}$ O from 0.68‰ to 5.03‰ (average =
307	$3.12 \pm 1.02\%$ ) and $\delta^{13}$ C ranging from 0.52 to 3.83‰ (average = $2.37 \pm 0.84\%$ ) (Fig. 18A). For
308	dolomites in the calcian dolostones, the $\delta^{18}O$ ranges from 1.11‰ to 5.03‰ (average = 3.26 $\pm$
309	0.94‰, n=182), and the $\delta^{13}$ C ranges from 0.52 to 3.83‰ (average= 2.50 ± 0.80‰, n=182). In
310	contrast, the $\delta^{18}$ O values for dolomite in the dolomitic limestones range from 0.68‰ to 3.84‰
311	(average = $2.10 \pm 1.03\%$ , n=24), and the $\delta^{13}$ C ranges from 0.64 to 2.15‰ (average= 1.42 ±
312	0.43‰, n=24).
313	The dolomites in the three geographically defined dolostone zones and the limestone are
314	characterized by isotopic compositions that become progressively more positive towards the
315	interior of the island (Fig. 18B).
316	(1) Peripheral dolostone – 105 dolomites from RWP-2, HMB-1, EEZ-1, and LBL-1 have high
317	$\delta^{18}$ O (1.11 to 5.03‰, mean = 3.62 ± 0.85‰) and $\delta^{13}$ C (1.32 to 3.83‰, mean = 3.05 ±
318	0.47‰) values.
319	(2) Transitional dolostone – 41 dolomites from HRQ-3 and CKC-1 are characterized by
320	intermediate $\delta^{18}$ O (1.29 to 4.73‰, mean = 3.10 ± 0.88‰) and $\delta^{13}$ C (0.94 to 3.29‰, mean =
321	$2.01 \pm 0.44\%$ ) values.
322	(3) Interior dolostone – 36 dolomites from HRQ-1 (0 to 55 m) and HRQ-2 (0-54 m) have $\delta^{18}O$
323	values from 1.36 to 3.46‰ (mean = $2.37 \pm 0.55$ ‰), and $\delta^{13}$ C values from 0.52 to 2.33‰

324 (mean =  $1.46 \pm 0.40\%$ ). Although there is some overlap between the isotopes of interior 325 and transitional dolostones, the former is generally lower than the latter, (Fig. 18C). 326 (4) Interior limestone – 24 dolomites in limestones from HRO-1 (55-60 m) and HRO-2 (54-327 127 m) have the lowest  $\delta^{18}$ O (0.68 to 3.84‰, mean = 2.10 ± 1.03‰) and  $\delta^{13}$ C (0.64 to 2.15 328  $\infty$ , mean = 1.42 ± 0.43 $\infty$ ) values. 329 The oxygen and carbon isotopes from the peripheral dolostones display no apparent covariation between the  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Fig. 18A). In contrast, there is a positive co-330 331 variation between the two isotopes for dolomite in the transitional dolostone in CKC-1 ( $r^2=0.67$ ) 332 and HRO-3 ( $r^2=0.30$ ) and in the interior dolostone and limestone from HRO-2 ( $r^2=0.50$ ) (Fig. 333 19). 334 Overall, the  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the dolomites are poorly correlated with the average 335 %Ca (Fig. 20A, B). For those dolomites formed almost entirely of LCD (%LCD > 90%) or HCD (%HCD > 90%), there is no obvious correlation between their  $\delta^{18}$ O values and %Ca (Fig. 336 20C). The average  $\delta^{18}$ O of 45 dolomite samples with LCD>90% (wells LBL-1, RWP-2, EEZ-1, 337 CKC-1, HRQ-3, and HMB-1) is  $2.97 \pm 0.53\%$ ; whereas the average  $\delta^{18}$ O value for all 19 338 339 dolomite samples with HCD>90% (wells CKC-1, HRQ-1, HRQ-2, HRQ-3, and HMB-1) is 340 0.75% lower ( $2.22 \pm 0.33\%$ ; Fig. 20C).

In all of the wells, the  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the dolomites increase with depth (Fig. 21). The rate of increase is commonly highest near the surface. In RWP-2, LBL-1, and HMB-1, for example, the increase in  $\delta^{18}$ O from 10 to 20 m can be 1.0 to 1.5‰. Although apparent in each well, the rate of  $\delta^{18}$ O increase with depth varies from well to well. For example, in the deeper part of the successions, the increases in  $\delta^{18}$ O are higher in CKC-1, HMB-1, and HRQ-2 (increase ~ 1‰ in 30 m) than in the other wells (Fig. 21).

347	There is a good correlation between the $\delta^{18}O$ values of the dolomite and the associated
348	calcite in well HRQ-2 (average $\Delta^{18}O_{dol-cal} = 1.75\%$ , n=24; Fig. 22A). Similarly, the $\delta^{13}C$ values
349	of the dolomite are $\sim 0.60\%$ higher than the coexisting calcite from the same well (Fig. 22B).
350	4.7. Strontium isotopes
351	Collectively, the <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr ratios of the 100% dolomite samples, which range from
352	0.70888 to $0.70914$ (average = $0.70902$ , n = $104$ ), have a unimodal distribution with a mode of
353	0.70900-0.70902 (Fig. 23A). Nevertheless, the ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratios vary from well to well. In the
354	peripheral dolostones, an obvious bimodal distribution of the <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr is apparent in well HMB-1
355	(modes at 0.70896-0.70898 and 0.70906-0.70908), whereas in RWP-2 and RTR-1 there is no
356	bimodality (Fig. 23B-D). The transitional dolostones from CKC-1 have a bimodal distribution
357	of <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr with the modes at 0.70900-0.70902 and 0.70908-0.70910 (Fig. 23E). In contrast, the
358	interior dolostones from well FFM-1 have a unimodal distribution of <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr with the mode at
359	0.70908-0.70910 (Fig. 23F).
360	There is no obvious correlation between the <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr values and the %LCD or the
361	average %Ca of the dolostones (Fig. 24).
362	Two pure limestone samples from GFN-2 have <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr values of 0.70902 and 0.70915.
363	The ratios from the 17 dolomitic limestones from FFM-1 and GFN-2 range from 0.70902 to
364	0.70912 (average=0.70904) (Fig. 23A).
365	4.8. Groundwater geochemistry and temperature

Today, the groundwater in the Cayman Formation on the east end of Grand Cayman
includes the freshwater, saline, and brackish zones. A freshwater lens, centrally located on the
east end of Grand Cayman (e.g., Mather, 1971; Ng et al., 1992) (Fig. 1B), is < 20 m thick and</li>

separated from the underlying saline zone by a mixing zone that is ~20 m thick (Ng and Jones,1995).

371	The water properties of the saline zone vary from location to location. Present-day
372	seawater around Grand Cayman has an average Mg/Ca ratio of 5.4 (based on 3 samples collected
373	from Spotts Bay) and contrasts with the ratios of groundwater from (1) RTR-1: 4.95±0.20 (n=5),
374	(2) HRQ-3: 4.38±0.34 (n=7), and (3) GFN-1: 3.60±0.13 (n=10) (Fig. 25A). Compared with
375	seawater collected around the island, the lower Mg/ca ratios of groundwater in these three wells
376	are the result of a decrease in Mg and an increase in Ca (Fig. 25B).
377	The average $\delta^{18}O_{SMOW}$ of the saline water from RTR-1, HRQ-3, and GFN-1 are
378	1.51±0.35‰ (n=12), 0.80±0.03‰ (n=8), and 0.67±0.08‰ (n=5), respectively (Fig. 25C). There
379	is no correlation between the $\delta^{18}O$ of the water and the chloride concentration or the rock type in
380	which it resides. The average $\delta^{18}$ O of three seawater samples collected in Spotts Bay is 1.06‰.
381	Groundwater temperature changes with depth and location (Fig. 25D). The rate of
382	decrease with depth is variable, being about -2°C /10m within ~10 m bsl and about -2.5 °C /100
383	m from ~10 m bsl to the base of GFN-1 at ~120 m bsl. The water temperature in HRQ-3 and
384	GFN-1, located in the island interior, is 1.5 to 2.0°C lower than that in EEV-2 at the same depth.

# 385 5. Interpretation of dolomitizing time and fluids

## 386 *5.1. Time of dolomitization*

Interpretation of the number of dolomitization phases and the exact timing of each phase is limited by the dating method employed. The error margin associated with  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr dating is typically > 0.5 Ma and can be as high as 2 Ma if the data coincides with the plateaus on the  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr curve (Jones and Luth, 2003b). The unimodal distribution of  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr (0.70900-0.7090) from all the dolostones in the Cayman Formation on the east end of Grand Cayman (Fig. 23A) is

392 similar to the unimodal histograms of Pleydell et al. (1990) and Jones and Luth (2003b) that had 393 modes of 0.70900-0.70905 and 0.709025-0.709050, respectively. The large range in the 394 <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr values, however, means that two or even more phases of dolomitization may be 395 included (Budd, 1997; Machel, 2000; Jones and Luth, 2003b). For individual wells, the distribution of the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr values for the dolostones varies. The 396 397 <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr values of peripheral dolostones in RWP-1 and RTR-1 range from 0.70888-0.70902 with 398 a unimodal distribution (Fig. 23B, C), which may reflect the mixing of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr values from 399 more than one dolomitizing phases. Despite that, the peripheral dolostones in HMB-1 and the 400 transitional dolostones in CKC-1 show bimodality of the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr (Fig. 23D, E). The two modes in both wells are probably equivalent. When applying the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr–time curve of seawater from 401 402 McArthur et al. (2001), the two modes correspond to 5.5-7.5 Ma and 1.5-3 Ma, respectively (Fig. 403 23). These two dolomitizing phases are consistent with previously suggested phase I (late 404 Miocene) and phase II (late Pliocene) dolomitization of the Cayman Formation on Cayman Islands (Jones and Luth, 2003b; Zhao and Jones, 2012). The unimodal distribution of <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr 405 406 from the interior dolostones in the upper 20 m of well FFM-1, with a narrow range of 0.70906 to 407 0.70910 may indicate phase II dolomitization alone (Fig. 23F). 408 Collectively, the available information suggests that phase I dolomitization was 409 restricted to the coastal areas of the island, whereas phase II dolomitization extended into the 410 center of the island. This model is consistent with Jones and Luth (2003b, their Fig. 15) who 411 suggested that phase I produced a patchy distribution of dolostone throughout the Cayman 412 Formation whereas phase II resulted in dolomitization of the remaining limestone. 413 The coexistence of LCD and HCD dolomites in Cayman Formation cannot be equated

414 with the two phases of dolomitization because both LCD and HCD were probably generated

during each phase. This is based on the fact that the <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio cannot be related to
the %LCD or %Ca in the dolomite (Fig. 24) and many crystals that have three or more
alternating LCD and HCD zones. It seems improbable that each zone would represent a different
phase of dolomitization.
Some dolomite in the Cayman Formation was diagenetically modified after each episode
of dolomitization. Since the last phase of dolomitization, for example, the rapid and dramatic

421 glacioeustatic fluctuations in sea level and subaerial exposure led to the formation of hollow

422 dolomite crystals (Ren and Jones, 2016) and the development of inside-out dolomite (Jones,

423 2007).

### 424 5.2. Properties of dolomitizing fluids

425 The Mg needed for dolomitizing the limestones in the Cayman Formation was most 426 probably derived from seawater that surrounded Grand Cayman. Previous studies on 427 dolomitization of the Cayman Formation on Grand Cayman and Cayman Brac concluded that 428 seawater or slightly modified seawater mediated dolomitization (Pleydell et al., 1990; Jones and 429 Luth, 2002; Zhao and Jones, 2012). The contrasts in the degree of dolomitization and the 430 variations in the %Ca and HCD and LCD ratios of dolomites from the coast to the center of the 431 island, however, may point to geographical variability in the composition of the dolomitizing 432 fluids across the island.

### 433 5.2.1. Evidence from carbon isotopes

434 The  $\delta^{13}$ C values of most dolomites from the Cayman Formation (+0.52 to +3.83‰,

435 average =  $2.37 \pm 0.84\%$ , n=206) are typical of replacive island dolostones that are generally

436 between +0.5‰ and +3.2‰ (cf., Budd, 1997). These carbon isotopic values, as suggested by

437 Land (1992) and Budd (1997), were largely inherited from their precursor carbonates that

contained marine carbon. The average  $\delta^{13}$ C difference between the coexisting dolomite and 438 439 calcite in the Cayman Formation ( $\Delta^{13}C_{dol-pres cal}$ ) of about 0.6‰ (Fig. 22B) agrees with Land 440 (1992) who argued that dolomite has < 1‰ difference in  $\delta^{13}$ C from the precursor sediment. The true fractionation between the dolomites and their precursor carbonate ( $\Delta^{13}C_{dol-orig cal}$ ) from 441 442 Cayman Formation is probably < 0.6% because the present-day calcites that coexist with the 443 dolomite have evolved through meteoric diagenesis after dolomitization and thus may have a 444 lower  $\delta^{13}$ C than their precursor carbonates. Although the  $\delta^{13}$ C in the dolomite may provide little information about the nature of the 445 446 dolomitizing fluid that affected the Cayman Formation, some clues can still be determined by

447 considering the spatial distribution of those values and by considering them together with the448 oxygen isotopes. In this respect, the following points are important:

449 (1) The  $\delta^{13}$ C values are related to location, with the lower values (< 2‰) being mostly from the 450 central part of the island (dolomites in interior dolostone and limestone) and the higher 451 values (>3‰) from the peripheral dolostones (Fig. 18A, B).

452 (2) Samples with a positive correlation between the  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C all came from the central

453 part of the island (Fig. 19). This relationship is not apparent in the dolomite from the

454 coastal areas. Covariation between the oxygen and carbon isotopes of carbonate is

455 commonly regarded as an indicator of diagenetic alteration in the marine-meteoric mixing

456 zone (e.g., Allan and Matthews, 1982). In this zone, both isotopes in the water increase

457 with depth from typical meteoric values to marine values. The positive covariation

- 458 between  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{13}$ C evident in dolomites from the Yucatan Peninsula was attributed to a
- 459 mixing zone origin (Ward and Halley, 1985). The positive  $\delta^{13}$ C values, along with the

460 covariation between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O of the dolomites from the interior of Cayman Island 461 indicate that they were probably precipitated in the lower part of the mixing zone.

462 Together, these points indicate that the dolomitizing fluids in the peripheral and interior
463 parts of the island were different. Assuming that the dolomitizing fluid of the peripheral
464 dolostones was seawater, the above points indicate that the parent fluid of the interior dolostone
465 and limestone was probably a mixture of (modified) seawater and meteoric water.

### 466 5.2.2. Evidence from oxygen isotopes

467 Factors that determine the  $\delta^{18}$ O value of dolomite include primarily reaction temperature 468 and the  $\delta^{18}$ O of the dolomitizing fluid (Land, 1985), dolomite stoichiometry (Aharon et al., 1987; 469 Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Gill et al., 1995; Zhao and Jones, 2012), dolomite precipitation rates 470 (Vahrenkamp et al., 1994), and phosphoric acid fractionation (Aharon et al., 1977; Land and 471 Moore, 1980). The role of non-stoichiometry on  $\delta^{18}$ O values is evident in many Cenozoic 472 dolostones. As yet, however, no agreement has been reached on the rate at which the  $\delta^{18}O$ 473 changes relative to the %Ca of the dolomite. Proposed values per 1% increase in the %Ca 474 include -0.1‰ (the Bahamas; Vahrenkamp et al., 1994), -0.33‰ (St. Croix; Gill et al., 1995), -475 0.2‰ (Niue; Wheeler et al., 1999), -0.15‰ (Kita-daito-jima; Suzuki et al., 2006), and -0.26‰ 476 (Cayman Brac; Zhao and Jones, 2012). Budd (1997) suggested that the correction proposed by 477 Vahrenkamp et al. (1994), of about -0.1‰, was probably the most realistic. 478 Data from the dolomites in the Cayman Formation examined in this study gives rise to 479 the following values for the rate of change between  $\delta^{18}$ O and %Ca: 480 (1) -0.34‰ – based on all dolomite samples, irrespective of their %Ca (Fig. 20A). 481 (2) -0.15‰ – based on dolomite samples with >90%LCD (Fig. 20C).

482 (3) -0.19% – based on dolomite samples with  $\geq 90\%$  HCD (Fig. 20D).

22

The low correlations between the  $\delta^{18}$ O and average %Ca in the above three plots (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.40. 483 484 0.03, 0.60, respectively) indicate that factors (e.g., dolomitizating fluid, reaction rate) other than 485 non-stoichiometry have affected the oxygen isotopes (cf., Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Wheeler et 486 al., 1999). The rate based on the plot of all dolomites (0.34%) is much higher than those 487 suggested for many other island dolostones. This higher  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$ -%Ca rate can probably be 488 attributed to the dolomitizing fluid rather than stoichiometry. As noted previously (Figs. 13-16), 489 most HCD-dominated samples come from the island interior whereas LCD-dominated samples 490 came from the periphery. The  $\delta^{18}$ O values of the dolomitizing fluid probably varied in different 491 areas and this would have affected the  $\delta^{18}$ O of the dolomites and thus exaggerated the slope of 492 the regression line between  $\delta^{18}$ O and %Ca.

493 The stratigraphic trend of the oxygen isotopes also shows that dolomite stoichiometry had 494 less influence than other factors. Dolostones in the upper part of many wells commonly have lower  $\delta^{18}$ O values than those at the base (Fig. 21). In every well, the increase in  $\delta^{18}$ O with depth 495 496 (Fig. 21), which is independent of the %Ca, may indicate that (1) the influence of %Ca on the  $\delta^{18}$ O is not as significant as previously suggested (e.g., Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Zhao and 497 498 Jones; 2012), (2) post-dolomitization diagenesis of the dolostones may have modified their 499 isotopes, (3) dolostones at depth may have formed during sea-level lowstands when seawater 500 was probably more enriched with <sup>18</sup>O than during the highstands (cf., Chappell and Shackleton, 501 1986), and/or (4) the dolomite pore-water temperature is lower at depth than at the surface and 502 there is a gradual decreasing of the temperature with depth.

503 Given that there are still uncertainties over the non-stoichiometric effect on dolomite 504  $\delta^{18}$ O values, as well as the phosphoric acid fractionation factor (Land and Moore, 1980; Rosenbaum and Sheppard, 1986; Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Zhao and Jones, 2012), the raw δ<sup>18</sup>O
data derived from the Cayman dolomites were not corrected for these two factors.
Equation (1), developed by Land (1985), can be used to estimate the δ<sup>18</sup>O of the

508 dolomitizing fluid:

509 
$$\delta^{18}O_{dolomite} - \delta^{18}O_{water} = 1000 ln\alpha_{dolomite-water} = 2.78(10^{6}T^{-2}) + 0.91$$
(1)

510 The  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>dolomite</sub> and  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> are in SMOW, and T is in Kelvin.

511 Herein, calculations of the paleo-temperature during dolomitization were based on (1) an 512 average annual surface ocean water T around Cayman today of ~  $28^{\circ}$ C (capeweather.com), (2) 513 the assumption that there was no significant difference in the sea surface T during phases I and II 514 dolomitization (cf., O'Brien et al., 2014), (3) the average T gradient for groundwater was about -515 2.5°C /100 m below 10 m bsl, and -2°C /10m from sea level to 10 m bsl, as it is today (Fig. 516 25D), (4) groundwater T, at any given depth, being  $\sim 1.5^{\circ}$ C lower in the interior than the 517 periphery of the island (Fig. 25D), and (5) during phase I dolomitizition, sea level rose from at 518 least -40 m below to ~15 m above present sea level, and during Phase II dolomitizition, sea level 519 rose from -40 m to at least 12.5 m above present sea level (Jones and Luth, 2003b). Accordingly, 520 dolomitization of the peripheral dolostones at 4 m asl to 94 m bsl interval involved fluid with T 521 of 24-28°C; the transitional dolostone at 3 m asl to 77 m bsl in wells HRQ-3 and CKC-1 at T of 522 22.5-26.5°C; the interior dolostone at 3 m asl to 52 m bsl in HRQ-1 and HRQ-2 at T of 23.2-523 24.5°C; and the interior limestone 52-124 m bsl in wells HRQ-1 and HRQ-2 at T of 21.5-24.5 °C. These temperatures were used to calculate the  $\delta^{18}$ O of the dolomitizing fluids ( $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub>) that 524 525 mediated the four different types of dolomites (Fig. 26).

- 526 (1) Peripheral dolostone The calculated  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  is 1.3 to 3.9‰sMow using a  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$  range of 527  $3.62 \pm 0.85\%_{VPDB}$  and T range of 24-28°C. The  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  calculated from the average
- 528  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$  (3.62‰) and T (26°C) is 2.6‰<sub>SMOW</sub>.
- 529 (2) Transitional dolostone The  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> is 0.4 to 3.1‰sMow using a  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>dol</sub> range of 3.10 ±
- 530 0.88‰vPDB and T of 22.5-26.5°C. The  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  calculated with the average  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$
- 531 (2.76‰) and T (24.5°C) is 1.7‰<sub>SMOW</sub>.
- 532 (3) Interior dolostone The  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> is 0.2 to 1.6‰sMOW using a  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>dol</sub> range of 2.37 ±
- 533 0.55‰VPDB and T of 23.2-24.5°C. The  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  calculated with the average  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$
- 534 (2.37‰) and T (23.9°C) is 0.9‰smow.
- 535 (4) Interior limestone The  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> is -0.97‰ to1.80‰smow using a  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>dol</sub> range 2.10 ±
- 536 1.03‰<sub>VPDB</sub> and T of 21.5-24.5 °C. The  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  calculated with the average  $\delta^{18}O$  (2.1‰) 537 and T (23°C) is 0.42‰<sub>SMOW</sub>.
- 538 The highest  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> value (2.6‰sMOW), associated with the peripheral dolostone,
- supports the notion that seawater mediated pervasive dolomitization in the peripheral part of the
- island given that the average  $\delta^{18}$ O of seawater around Grand Cayman today is 1.06‰, and that

541 seawater  $\delta^{18}$ O values were probably 0.3-0.8‰ higher during the early Pliocene (Medina-Elizalde

- 542 et al., 2008) and Pleistocene (Wheeler et al., 1999). The higher calculated  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> value is
- 543 probably related to (1) the calculation method—the high  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> values were calculated using
- 544 the high  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$  and T (Fig. 26), whereas in reality a large  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$  should be more likely produced
- at depth with a lower T (Fig. 21), (2) slight evaporation of the seawater, or (3) glacio-eustatic
- 546 lowstands when seawater was enriched with <sup>18</sup>O (cf., Wheeler et al., 1999).
- 547 The lowest  $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$  and calculated  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  of the dolomites in the interior limestone 548 indicates that the dolomitizing fluid was more enriched with <sup>18</sup>O than seawater. The oxygen

549 isotope composition of the dolomitizing fluid may have been derived from seawater, meteoric 550 water, or from dissolution of the precursor carbonate. If the present-day  $\delta^{18}$ O values of seawater 551 around Grand Cayman (1.06<sup>w</sup><sub>SMOW</sub>) and freshwater from the East End Lens (-4.83<sup>w</sup><sub>SMOW</sub>; Ng. 1990) are utilized, the calculated  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> values of the fluid that mediated partial dolomitization 552 553 of the limestones corresponds to a mixture of seawater with < 34% freshwater; and if the mean 554 average of  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  (0.42‰) is used, a mixture of 11% freshwater with seawater is indicated. 555 This degree of mixing suggests that dolomitization in the island interior may have taken place in 556 the lower mixing to upper saline zone, which is probably the strongest circulation zone in the 557 marine phreatic zone (cf., Kaufman, 1994; Whitaker et al., 2004). Today, the  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> values of the saline groundwater from the interior wells are lower 558 559 than those from the coastal wells (Fig. 25C). There is no correlation between the  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  and 560 the chloride concentration or the rock type in which it resides. This indicates that the low  $\delta^{18}$ O 561 of saline water was not introduced by meteoric water or caused by water-rock reaction. It is difficult, however, to determine the  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> of saline groundwater when dolomitization of the 562 563 central limestone took place. If the situation was like today, the  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  would have been ~ 564 0.85%. If so, the fluid that mediated dolomitization may have been modified seawater with depleted <sup>18</sup>O rather than mixed seawater and meteoric water. The low  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> of the saline 565 566 groundwater in the island interior may have been generated by the dolomitization process itself 567 because the heavy oxygen would have been preferentially consumed. At the island-wide scale, the  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>water</sub> of the pore fluid was almost certainly continually evolving because of rock-water 568 569 reaction along the flow path from shelf edge to center of the island. This may also explain the

570 decreasing trend of  $\delta^{18}O_{water}$  of the dolomitizing fluids that led to the formation of the peripheral

dolostone, to the transitional dolotone, the interior dolostone and to dolomites in the interiordolostone (Fig. 26).

The differences in the  $\delta^{18}$ O of coexisting dolomite and calcite ( $\Delta^{18}$ O<sub>dol-cal</sub> =  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>dol</sub> – 573  $\delta^{18}O_{\text{pres-cal}} = 1.75 \pm 0.65\%$ ; Fig. 22A) are far less than the fractionation  $\Delta^{18}O_{\text{dol-cal}}$  that many 574 575 authors have suggested (e.g., 3.8 % of Land, 1991; 3% of Fouke, 1994; 3-5% of Budd, 1997). 576 Limestones in the island interior have undergone various diagenetic modifications in meteoric 577 settings since the last phase of pervasive dolomitization, which resulted in the reduced  $\delta^{18}O_{\text{pres-cal}}$ values of the present-day calcium carbonate compared with the original sediments ( $\delta^{18}O_{orig-cal}$ ) 578 (Ren and Jones, 2016). If this is taken into consideration, the true  $\Delta^{18}O_{dol-cal}$  between the 579 dolomites and their precursor carbonate (= $\delta^{18}O_{dol} - \delta^{18}O_{orig-cal}$ ) would be lower than 1.75‰ and 580 581 much lower than the theoretical value of 3-4‰. The low  $\Delta^{18}O_{dol-cal}$  was caused, most likely, by 582 the decreasing of <sup>18</sup>O<sub>dol</sub>. This supports the notion that the dolomites that coexist with calcite 583 (dolomite in the interior island) were formed from fluids that were, relative to seawater, depleted with respect to  $^{18}$ O. 584

585 Interpretations of the properties of the dolomitizing fluids based on the oxygen isotopes 586 are consistent with those derived from the carbon isotopes. Together with variations in dolomite 587 stoichiometry, the oxygen and carbon isotope data indicate that the (1) compositions of the 588 dolomitizing fluids varied from the margin to the center of the island, (2) dolomitization in the 589 peripheral areas was mediated by seawater that may have been slightly evaporated, (3) seawater gradually lost its <sup>18</sup>O as it migrated towards to the island center due to the water-rock reaction 590 591 (i.e., dolomitization), (4) fluids that mediated dolomite formation in the interior limestones were 592 probably a mixture of seawater that had been modified by dolomitization, and meteoric water,

and (5) dissolution of the precursor carbonate may have also influenced the isotopiccompositions of the dolomites.

As Budd (1997) pointed out, isotopic values determined from bulk-rock samples must represent an average of numerous populations of dolomite. Thus, the interpretations provided here address the general conditions of dolomitization, but cannot be specific to each generation of dolomite.

#### 599 **6.** Discussion

600 Dolostones in the Cayman Formation on Grand Cayman provide an opportunity for 601 assessing the origin of thick dolostone successions. Island dolostones like these, found on many 602 Caribbean islands and Pacific atolls (see Budd, 1997), have attributed to many different 603 formational models (e.g., Tucker and Wright, 1990; their Fig. 8.31), including ocean current 604 pumping (Saller, 1984; Wheeler et al., 1999), seepage reflux (Deffeyes, 1965; Ohde and 605 Elderfield, 1992; Lucia and Major, 1994; Gill et al., 1995), tidal pumping (Carballo et al., 1987), 606 and Kohout convection (Aharon et al., 1987; Machel, 2000). Most of these interpretations are 607 based largely on the large-scale geometry of the dolostone bodies, stratigraphic relationships 608 between the coeval dolostones and limestones, and the petrographic and geochemical attributes 609 of the dolomite (e.g., Hardie, 1987; Wilson et al., 1990; Braithwaite, 1991; Budd, 1997; Warren, 610 2000).

Natural dolomite, like that in the Cayman Formation on the Cayman Islands, is a
compositional series with variable Mg and Ca ratios (Lumsden and Chimahusky, 1980; Sperber
et al., 1984; Land, 1985; Sibley, 1990; Vahrenkamp et al., 1994; Budd, 1997; Wheeler et al.,
1999; Swart and Melim, 2000; Jones and Luth, 2002). Although deemed "important" by Land
(1985), these stoichiometric variations are usually not integrated into most dolomitization models.

616 Dolostones of all ages are commonly Ca-rich with many containing two or more populations of 617 dolomite with different %Ca. Three populations are present in the Miocene-Pliocene dolostones 618 from Niue Island (Wheeler et al., 1999) and four populations have been identified in the 619 dolostones from Kita-daito-jima (Suzuki et al., 2006). On the Cayman Islands, Oligocene-620 Pliocene dolostones are formed of LCD and/or HCD (Jones and Luth, 2002). Likewise, Ca-rich 621 non-stoichiometric dolostones with more than one population of dolomite are also common 622 among ancient dolostones, including those from North America that were documented by 623 Lumsden and Chimahusky (1980) and Sperber et al. (1984). Such examples suggest that 624 heterogeneous dolomites are universal and have been present throughout geologic history. This 625 is a critical issue because many other geochemical attributes (e.g., stable isotopes) are known to 626 vary in accord with the %Ca of the dolomite (e.g., Vahrenkamp et al., 1991, 1994). 627 Many field (Lumsden and Chimahusky, 1980; Sass and Bein, 1988) and laboratory 628 (Goldsmith and Graf, 1958; Katz and Matthews, 1977; Sibley et al., 1987, 1994; Sibley, 1990; 629 Nordeng and Sibley, 1994; Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2011, 2014) studies have shown that dolomite 630 stoichiometry is an important indicator of the chemical properties of their formative solutions. 631 Folk and Land (1975) argued that the formation of (near)-stoichiometric dolomites is generally 632 associated with solutions that have high salinities and high Mg/Ca ratios. Similarly, various 633 experiments have also demonstrated that both the composition of the synthesized dolomite and 634 the rate of replacement are highly dependent on the Mg/Ca in the formative solutions (e.g., 635 Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2011). 636 On Grand Cayman, the overall trend of increasing volumes of HCD and decreasing

volumes of LCD towards the island centre suggests that variations in dolomite stoichiometricwere related to the landward migration of the seawater that mediated dolomitization (Fig. 27).

With this model, there was a progressive loss of Mg and hence a decrease in the Mg/Ca ratio as the seawater moved inland. Accordingly, while seawater mediated LCD formation in the coastal areas, HCD was formed in the transitional zone, and dolomitization did not take place in the central part of the island. This pattern indicates that the chemical composition of the pore fluids was continually evolving along its flow path due to the rock-water interaction (Fig. 27). This is comparable with the present-day hydrochemistry whereby a progressive landward decrease in the Mg/Ca ratio of the saline water is evident (Fig. 22).

646 The lateral extent of dolomitization in the Cayman Formation on Grand Cayman was 647 controlled largely by fluxes in the Mg supply. The fact that dolomitization was mediated by 648 laterally derived seawater excludes the seepage reflux and thermal convection models as viable 649 mechanisms for seawater circulation through the island. Sea level lowstands before each phase 650 of dolomitization on Grand Cayman, meant that the island was subaerially exposed. Jones and 651 Luth (2003b) argued that karst development during these lowstands led to increased porosity and 652 permeability in the bedrock that would, during the next transgressive phase, have enhanced 653 groundwater circulation throughout the island. The submixing-zone circulation model was 654 proposed as the driving mechanism for dolomitization in Barbados (Machel et al., 1990) and the 655 Bahamas (Vahrenkamp et al., 1991, 1994). Numerical simulation models for submixing-zone 656 flow (Kaufman, 1994; Whitaker et al., 2004), also support this assessment.

657 Whitaker et al. (2004), using a model carbonate island that was 4.5 km wide with a 658 recharge of 0.5 m/year and a freshwater lens  $\sim$ 30 m thick (parameters akin to the eastern part of 659 Grand Cayman), showed that the flow rate can be as high as  $5 \times 10^{-7}$  m/s in the coastal mixing 660 zone. The flow and flux in the submixing-zone decreases landwards and downwards from the 661 mixing zone (e.g., Kaufman, 1994; Whitaker et al., 2004). As illustrated in the model developed by Whitaker et al. (2004, their Fig. 10), the flow draws in seawater over a zone that extends to ~
1 km offshore of their 4.5 km wide model island. The reduced flow rate and restricted flux of
submixing-zone flow are probably important constraints on the supply of Mg to the island
interior and may account for the lack of dolomitization in that part of the island. Moreover,
dolomite cements and cavity-filling sediments that are common in the peripheral dolostones (Ren
and Jones, 2016) may also have reduced pore connectivity and reduced groundwater circulation
that, in turn, curtailed the Mg supply.

669 The fact that the different dolostones zones are concentrically arranged on Grand Cayman 670 supports the notion that seawater flowed into the island from all directions during dolomitization 671 (Fig. 26). The rate and volume of flow may have varied from coast to coast in accord with local 672 factors such as permeability in the bedrock carbonates, precipitation, climate, oceanographic 673 currents, sea level fluctuations, platform geometry, and/or geography. Thus, the lateral extent of 674 dolostone relative to the bordering coastline may indicate local variability in the lateral 675 penetration of the dolomitizing fluids. On Grand Cayman, for example, the dolostones and the 676 peripheral dolostone zone extend further inland from the northeast corner than from any other 677 direction (Fig. 6). This suggests that the highest flux, and/or flow rate of seawater came from the 678 northeast. This may be related to the permeability patterns in the bedrock, different topographic 679 features, and/or a dominant paleowind direction from the northeast.

The dolomitization model developed for the dolostones on Grand Cayman may be applicable to Cenozoic dolostones found on other islands throughout the world. Like the Grand Cayman dolostones, the stoichiometric and geochemical attributes of the island dolostones can be used as indicators of the fluid flow directions and the source of Mg. Some caution must be used when applying this model to the interpretation of ancient dolostones, which may have experienced more than one phase of dolomitization/diagenetic modification with each phaseinvolving a different source for the reactants and different flow patterns.

687 The non-stoichiometric signature of the dolostones from Grand Cayman, as with many 688 Cenozoic dolostones, means that they are susceptible to further diagenetic modifications. When 689 exposed to aggressive fluids such as meteoric water, the preferential dissolution of HCD can lead 690 to the development of hollow dolomite crystals (James et al., 1993; Jones and Luth, 2003a; Swart 691 et al., 2005), which may be further modified to inside-out dolomites (Jones, 2007). These 692 processes could modify the quantity of dolostones as reservoir rocks by creating or occluding 693 porosities. When buried, recrystallization of both dolomites is very likely to happen with time, 694 resulting in an increase in the Mg/Ca ratio and cation ordering (McKenzie, 1981; Nordeng and 695 Sibley, 1994; Malone et al., 1996; Machel, 1997; Kaczmarek and Sibley, 2014). Whether early 696 meteoric or late burial diagenesis, modifications of dolomites can significantly change their 697 geochemistry (e.g., Land, 1980; Land, 1985).

### 698 7. Conclusions

A network of wells drilled on the east end of Grand Cayman allowed assessment of the spatial variations in many aspects of the subsurface dolostones. Dolomites on the island are calcium-rich and composed of LCD and HCD. The geographic variations in the attributes of the dolostones, particularly with respect to the LCD and HCD and the oxygen and carbon values, provide a unique perspective on the origin of dolostone. Analysis of Cayman dolostones has led to the following conclusions:

The Miocene Cayman Formation is incompletely dolomitized with the peripheral zone
 being completely dolomitized whereas limestones are still present in the island interior.

32

707	• Based on the distribution of LCD and HCD, the Cayman Formation is divided into the
708	peripheral dolostone zone, the transitional dolostone zone, and the interior
709	limestone/dolostone zone. These concentrically arranged zones differ in their LCD/HCD
710	compositions, petrographic attributes, and geochemical signatures.
711	• Seawater provided the Mg needed for dolomitization of the Cayman Formation.
712	Geographic variations in these dolostones reflect modifications of seawater chemistry
713	caused by rock-water interaction as the dolomitizing fluids moved towards the island
714	centre.
715	• The Cayman Formation experienced two major phases of dolomitization as suggested by
716	<sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr of the dolomites; the first during the late Miocene–early Pliocene, and the
717	second during the late Pleistocene. Dolomitization probably took place in the submixing
718	zone where seawater was pumped into the island from all directions.
719	• The Mg/Ca in the dolomites is an important proxy that could be applied in the
720	interpreting the origin and the flow directions of dolomitizing fluid. As such it is a
721	practical demonstration of the concept first argued by Kaczmarek and Sibley (2011) on
722	the basis of their experimental work.
723	The model developed from dolostones on Grand Cayman is probably applicable to island
724	dolostones throughout the world.
725	Acknowledgements
726	We are grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada that
727	funded this research (grant No. ZA635 to Jones), the numerous land owners on Grand Cayman

728 who gave permission for drilling on their land, the drilling crews from Industrial Services and

Figure 729 Equipment Ltd. who spent long days drilling the wells, and numerous staff members from the

730 Water Authority, Cayman Islands, who helped to collect samples during drilling, Hendrik van

- 731 Genderen (Water Authority) who provided considerable logistical support during the drilling
- 732 program, Diane Caird who ran the XRD analyses, and Nathan Gerein who helped take the SEM
- 733 photomicrographs. We are indebted to Dr. S. Kaczmarek and J. Knight who provided critical
- reviews of the original version of this manuscript.

735

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

References	
Aharon, P., Kolodny, Y., Sass, E., 1977. Recent hot brine dolomitization in the "Solar Lake",	
Gulf of Elat, isotopic, chemical, and mineralogical study. Journal of Geology 85, 27-48.	
Aharon, P., Socki, R.A., Chan, L., 1987. Dolomitization of atolls by sea water convection flow:	
test of a hypothesis at Niue, South Pacific. Journal of Geology 95, 187-203.	
Blake, D.F., Peacor, D.R., Wilkinson, B.H., 1982. The sequence and mechanism of low-	
temperature dolomite formation: calcian dolomites in a Pennsylvanian echinoderm. Journal	
of Sedimentary Research 52, 59-70.	
Blanchon, P., Jones, B., 1995. Marine-planation terraces on the shelf around Grand Cayman: a	

result of stepped Holocene sea-level rise. Journal of Coastal Research 11, 1-33.

747 Braithwaite, C.J.R., 1991. Dolomites, a review of origins, geometry and textures. Earth and

Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh 82, 99-112.

- Budd, D.A., 1997. Cenozoic dolomites of carbonate islands: their attributes and origin. EarthScience Reviews 42, 1-47.
- Carballo, J.D., Land, L.S., Miser, D.E., 1987. Holocene dolomitization of supratidal sediments
  by active tidal pumping, Sugarloaf Key, Florida. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 57, 153165.
- Chai, L., Navrotsky, A., Reeder, R.J., 1995. Energetics of calcium-rich dolomite. Geochimica et
  Cosmochimica Acta 59, 939-944.
- 756 Chappell, J., Shackleton, N.J., 1986. Oxygen isotopes and sea level. Nature 324, 137-140.
- 757 Dawans, J.M., Swart, P.K., 1988. Textural and geochemical alternations in Late Cenozoic
- Bahamian dolomites. Sedimentology 35, 385-403.

759

760

/00	
761	Deffeyes, K.S., 1965. Dolomitization of recent and Plio-Pleistocene sediments by marine
762	evaporite waters on Bonaire Netherlands Antilles. In: Pray, L.C., Murray, R.C. (Eds.),
763	Dolomitization and Limestone Diagenesis. SEPM Special Publication 13, pp. 71-88.
764	Der, A., 2012. Deposition and sea level fluctuation during Miocene times, Grand Cayman,
765	British West Indies. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, University of Alberta, 101 pp.
766	Drits, V.A., McCarty, D.K., Sakharov, B., Milliken, K.L., 2005. New insight into structural and
767	compositional variability in some ancient excess-Ca dolomite. Canadian Mineralogist 43,
768	1255-1290.
769	Folk, R.L., Land, L.S., 1975. Mg/Ca ratio and salinity: two controls over crystallization of
770	dolomite. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 59, 60-68.
771	Folkman, Y., 1969. Diagenetic dedolomitization in the Albian-Cenomanian Yagur Dolomite on
772	Mount Carmel (northern Israel). Journal of Sedimentary Research 39, 380-385.
773	Fouke, B.W., 1994. Deposition, diagenesis and dolomitization of Neogene Seroe Domi
774	Formation coral reef limestones on Curaqao, Netherlands Antilles. Natuurwetenschappelijke
775	Studiekring voor het Caraibisch Gebied, Amsterdam, 182 pp.
776	Gill, I.P., Moore Jr, C.H., Aharon, P., 1995. Evaporitic mixed-water dolomitization on St. Croix,
777	U.S.V.I. Journal of Sedimentary Research 65, 591-604.
778	Glover, E.D., Sippel, R.F., 1967. Synthesis of magnesium calcites. Geochimica et Cosmochimica
779	Acta 31, 603-613.
780	Goldsmith, J.R., Graf, D.L., 1958. Relation between lattice constants and composition of the Ca-
781	My carbonates. American Mineralogist 43, 84-101.
Gregg, J.M., Bish, D.L., Kaczmarek, S.E., Machel, H.G., 2015. Mineralogy, nucleation and
growth of dolomite in the laboratory and sedimentary environment: A review.

784 Sedimentology 62, 1749-1769.

Hardie, L.A., 1987. Dolomitization: a critical view of some current views. Journal of

786 Sedimentary Research 57, 166-183.

- James, N.P., Bone, Y., Kyser, T.K., 1993. Shallow burial dolomitization and dedolomitization of
- Mid-Cenozoic, cool-water, calcitic, deep-self limestones, southern Australia. Journal of
  Sedimentary Research 63, 528-538.
- Jones, B., 1989. Syntaxial overgrowths on dolomite crystals in the Bluff Formation, Grand

Cayman, British West Indies. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 59, 839-847.

- Jones, B., 2005. Dolomite crystal architecture: genetic implications for the origin of the Tertiary
  dolostones of the Cayman Islands. Journal of Sedimentary Research 75, 177-189.
- Jones, B., 2007. Inside-out dolomite. Journal of Sedimentary Research 77, 539-551.
- Jones, B., 2013. Microarchitecture of dolomite crystals as revealed by subtle variations in
- solubility: Implications for dolomitization. Sedimentary Geology 288, 66-80.
- Jones, B., Hunter, I.G., 1994. Messinian (late Miocene) karst on Grand Cayman, British West
- Indies; an example of an erosional sequence boundary. Journal of Sedimentary Research 64,531-541.
- 300 Jones, B., Hunter, I., Kyser, K., 1994a. Revised Stratigraphic nomenclature for Tertiary strata of
- the Cayman Islands, British West Indies. Caribbean Journal of Science 30, 53-68.
- Jones, B., Hunter, I.G., Kyser, T.K., 1994b. Stratigraphy of the Bluff Formation (Miocene-
- 803 Pliocene) and the newly defined Brac Formation (Oligocene), Cayman Brac, British West
- Indies. Caribbean Journal of Science 30, 30-51.

- Jones, B., Luth, R.W., 2002. Dolostones from Grand Cayman, British West Indies. Journal of
  Sedimentary Research 72, 559-569.
- 307 Jones, B., Luth, R.W., 2003a. Petrography of finely crystalline Cenozoic dolostones as revealed
- 808 by backscatter electron imaging: Case study of the Cayman Formation (Miocene), Grand
- Cayman, British West Indies. Journal of Sedimentary Research 73, 1022-1035.
- 810 Jones, B., Luth, R.W., 2003b. Temporal evolution of Tertiary dolostones on Grand Cayman as
- determined by <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr. Journal of Sedimentary Research 73, 187-205.
- 812 Jones, B., Luth, R.W., MacNeil, A.J., 2001. Powder X-ray diffraction analysis of homogeneous
- and heterogeneous sedimentary dolostones. Journal of Sedimentary Research 71, 790-799.
- 814 Kaczmarek, S.E., Sibley, D.F., 2011. On the evolution of dolomite stoichiometry and cation
- 815 order during high-temperature synthesis experiments: an alternative model for the
- geochemical evolution of natural dolomites. Sedimentary Geology 240, 30-40.
- 817 Kaczmarek, S.E., Sibley, D.F., 2014. Direct physical evidence of dolomite recrystallization.
- 818 Sedimentology 61, 1862-1882.
- 819 Katz, A., Matthews, A., 1977. The dolomitization of CaCO<sub>3</sub>: an experimental study at 252-295
- 820 °C. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 41, 297-308.
- Kaufman, J., 1994. Numerical models of fluid flow in carbonate platforms: implications for
  dolomitization. Journal of Sedimentary Research 64, 128-139.
- Land, L.S., 1985. The origin of massive dolomite. Journal of Geological Education 33, 112-125.
- Land, L.S., 1991. Dolomitization of the Hope Gate Formation (north Jamaica) by seawater:
- reassessment of mixing-zone dolomite. In: Taylor, H.P., O'Neil, J.R., Kaplan, I.R. (Eds.),
- 826 Stable Isotope Geochemistry: A Tribute to Samuel Epstein. Geochemical Society, Special
- 827 Publication 3, pp. 121-130.

- Land, L.S. 1992. The dolomite problem: stable and radiogenic isotope clues. In: Clauer, N.,
  Chaudhuri, S. (Eds.), Isotopic Signatures and Sedimentary Records. Springer, Berlin,
  Heidelberg, pp. 49-68.
- 831 Land, L.S., Moore, C.H., 1980. Lithification, micritization and syndepositional diagenesis of
- biolithites on the Jamaican island slope. Journal of Sedimentary Research 50, 357-369.
- 833 Liang, T., Jones, B., 2014. Deciphering the impact of sea-level changes and tectonic movement
- on erosional sequence boundaries in carbonate successions: A case study from Tertiary
- strata on Grand Cayman and Cayman Brac, British West Indies. Sedimentary Geology 305,
- 836 17-34.
- 837 Lucia, F.J., Major, R.P., 1994. Porosity evolution through hypersaline reflux dolomitization.
- B38 Dolomites. In: Purser, B.H., Tucker, M.E., Zenger, D.L. (Eds.), Dolomites: A Volume in
  B39 Honour of Dolomieu. International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication 21,
- pp. 325-341.
- Lumsden, D.N., Chimahusky, J.S., 1980. Relationship between dolomite nonstoichiometry and
  carbonate facies parameters. In: Zenger, D.H., Dunham, J.B., Ethington, R.L. (Eds.),
- 843 Concepts and Models of Dolomitization. SEPM Special Publication 28, pp.123-137.
- Machel, H.G., 1997. Recrystallization versus neomorphism, and the concept of 'significant
  recrystallization' in dolomite research. Sedimentary Geology 113, 161-168.
- Machel, H.G., 2000. Dolomite formation in Caribbean Islands: driven by plate tectonics?!
  Journal of Sedimentary Research 70, 977-984.
- 848 Machel, H.G., 2004. Concepts and models of dolomitization: a critical reappraisal. In:
- Braithwaite, C.J.R., Rizzi, G., Darke, G. (Eds.), The Geometry and Petrogenesis of

- B50 Dolomite Hydrocarbon Reservoirs. Geological Society of London Special Publication 235,
  pp. 7-63.
- 852 Machel, H.G., Mountjoy, E.W., Humphrey, J.D., Quinn, T.M., 1990. Coastal mixing zone
- dolomite, forward modeling, and massive dolomitization of platform-margin carbonates:
- discussion and reply. Journal of Sedimentary Research 60, 1008-1016.
- MacNeil, A., Jones, B., 2003. Dolomitization of the Pedro Castle Formation (Pliocene), Cayman
  Brac, British West Indies. Sedimentary Geology 162, 219-238.
- 857 Malone, M.J., Baker, P.A., Burns, S.J., 1996. Recrystallization of dolomite: an experimental
- study from 50-200°C. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 60, 2189-2207.
- 859 Mather, J.D., 1971. A preliminary survey of the groundwater resources of the Cayman Islands
- with recommendations for their development. Institute of Geological Sciences, London, 91pp.
- 862 McArthur, J.M., Howarth, R.J., Bailey, T.R., 2001. Strontium isotope stratigraphy: LOWESS
- Version 3: best fit to the marine Sr-isotope curve for 0–509 Ma and accompanying look-up
  table for deriving numerical age. Journal of Geology 109, 155–170.
- 865 McKenzie, J.A., 1981. Holocene dolomitization of calcium carbonate sediments from the coastal
- sabkhas of Abu Dhabi, U.A.E.: a stable isotope study. Journal of Geology 89, 185-198.
- 867 Medina-Elizalde, M., Lea, D.W., Fantle, M.S., 2008. Implications of seawater Mg/Ca variability
- 868 for Plio-Pleistocene tropical climate reconstruction. Earth and Planetary Science Letters
  869 269, 585-595.
- 870 Ng, K.C., 1990. Diagenesis of the Oligcene-Miocene Bluff Formation of the Cayman Islands a
- 871 petrographic and hydrogeochemical approach. Unpublished PhD thesis, University of
- 872 Alberta, 344 pp.

- 873 Ng, K.C., Jones, B., 1995. Hydrogeochemistry of Grand Cayman, British West Indies -
- implications for carbonate diagenetic studies. Journal of Hydrology 164, 193-216.
- Ng, K.C., Jones, B., Beswick, R., 1992. Hydrogeology of Grand Cayman, British West Indies a
  karstic dolostone aquifer. Journal of Hydrology 134, 273-295.
- Nordeng, S.H., Sibley, D.F., 1994. Dolomite stoichiometry and Ostwald's step rule. Geochimica
  et Cosmochimica Acta 58, 191-196.
- 879 O'Brien, C.L., Foster, G.L., Martinez-Boti, M.A., Abell, R., Rae, J.W.B., Pancost, R.D., 2014.
- High sea surface temperatures in tropical warm pools during the Pliocene. Nature
- 881 Geoscience 7, 606-611.
- 882 Ohde, S., Elderfield, H., 1992. Strontium isotope stratigraphy of Kita-daito-jima Atoll, North
- Philippine Sea: implications for Neogene sea-level change and tectonic history. Earth and
  Planetary Science Letters 113, 473-486.
- 885 Pleydell, S.M., Jones, B., Longstaffe, F.J., Baadsgaard, H., 1990. Dolomitization of the
- 886 Oligocene-Miocene Bluff Formation on Grand Cayman, British West Indies. Canadian
  887 Journal of Earth Sciences 27, 1098-1110.
- Reeder, R.J., 1981. Electron optical investigation of sedimentary dolomites. Contributions to
  Mineralogy and Petrology 76, 148-157.
- 890 Reeder, R.J. 1991. An overview of zoning in carbonate minerals. In: Barker, C.E., Burruss, R.C.,
- Kopp, O.C., Machel, H.G., Marshall, D.J., Wright, P., Colbum, H.Y. (Eds.), Luminescence
- 892 Microscopy and Spectroscopy: Qualitative and Quantitative Applications. SEPM Special
- 893 Publication 25, pp. 77-82.

- Ren, M., Jones, B., 2016. Diagenesis in limestone-dolostone successions after 1 million years of
  rapid sea-level fluctuations: A case study from Grand Cayman, British West Indies.
- 896 Sedimentary Geology 342, 15-30.
- 897 Roberts, H.H., 1994. Reefs and lagoons of Grand Cayman. In: Brunt, M.A., Davies, J.E. (Eds.),
- The Cayman Islands: Natural History and Biogeography. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 75-104.
- 899 Rosenbaum, J., Sheppard, S.M.F., 1986. An isotopic study of siderites, dolomites and ankerites

at high temperatures. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 50, 1147-1150.

- 901 Saller, A.H., 1984. Petrologic and geochemical constraints on the origin of subsurface dolomite,
- 902 Enewetak Atoll: an example of dolomitization by normal seawater. Geology 12, 217-220.
- 903 Sass, E., Bein, A., 1988. Dolomites and salinity: a comparative geochemical study. In: Shukla,
- V., Baker, P.A. (Eds.), Sedimentology and Geochemistry of Dolostones. SEPM Special
  Publication 43, pp. 223-233.
- 906 Schmidt, V., 1965. Facies, diagenesis, and related reservoir properties in the Gigas Beds (Upper
- 907 Jurassic), northwester Germany. In: Prey, L.C., Murray, R.C. (Eds.), Dolomitization and
- 908 Limestone Diagenesis. SEPM Special Publication 13, pp. 124-169.
- 909 Searl, A., 1994. Discontinuous solid solution in Ca-rich dolomites: the evidence and implications
- 910 for the interpretation of dolomite petrographic and geochemical data. In: Purser, B.H.,
- 911 Tucker, M.E., Zenger, D.L. (Eds.), Dolomites: A Volume in Honour of Dolomieu.
- 912 International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication 21, pp. 361-376.
- Sibley, D.F., 1990. Unstable to stable transformations during dolomitization. Journal of Geology
  914 98, 739-748.
- 915 Sibley, D.F., Dedoes, R.E., Bartlett, T.R., 1987. Kinetics of dolomitization. Geology 15, 1112-
- 916 1114.

917	Sibley, D.F., Nordeng, S.H., Borkowski, M.L., 1994. Dolomitization kinetics of hydrothermal
918	bombs and natural settings. Journal of Sedimentary Research 64, 630-637.

- 919 Sperber, C.M., Wilkinson, B.H., Peacor, D.R., 1984. Rock composition, dolomite stoichiometry,
- and rock/water reactions in dolomitic carbonate rocks. Journal of Geology 92, 609-622.
- 921 Suzuki, Y., Iryu, Y., Inagaki, S., Yamada, T., Aizawa, S., Budd, D.A., 2006. Origin of atoll
- dolomites distinguished by geochemistry and crystal chemistry: Kita-daito-jima, northern
  Philippine Sea. Sedimentary Geology 183, 181-202.
- 924 Swart, P.K., Cantrell, D.L., Westphal, H., Handford, C.R., Kendall, C.G., 2005. Origin of
- dolomite in the Arab-D reservoir from the Ghawar Field, Saudi Arabia: evidence from
- 926 petrographic and geochemical constraints. Journal of Sedimentary Research 75, 476-491.
- 927 Swart, P.K., Melim, L.A., 2000. The origin of dolomites in Tertiary sediments from the margin
  928 of Great Bahama Bank. Journal of Sedimentary Research 70, 738-748.
- 929 Tucker, M.E., Wright, V.P. 1990. Carbonate Sedimentology. Blackwell Scientific Publications,
  930 Oxford, 482 pp.
- 931 Vahrenkamp, V.C., Swart, P.K., Purser, B., Tucker, M., Zenger, D., 1994. Late Cenozoic
- dolomites of the Bahamas: metastable analogues for the genesis of ancient platform
- dolomites. In: Purser, B.H., Tucker, M.E., Zenger, D.L. (Eds.), Dolomites: A Volume in
- Honour of Dolomieu. International Association of Sedimentologists Special Publication 21,
  133-153.
- 936 Vahrenkamp, V.C., Swart, P.K., Ruiz, J., 1991. Episodic dolomitization of late Cenozoic
- 937 carbonates in the Bahamas: evidence from strontium isotopes. Journal of Sedimentary
- 938 Research 61, 1002-1014.

- Ward, W.C., Halley, R.B., 1985. Dolomitization in a mixing zone of near-seawater composition,
  late Pleistocene, northeastern Yucatan Peninsula. Journal of Sedimentary Research 55, 407420.
- Warren, J., 2000. Dolomite: occurrence, evolution and economically important associations.
  Earth-Science Reviews 52, 1-81.
- 944 Wheeler, C.W., Aharon, P., Ferrell, R.E., 1999. Successions of Late Cenozoic platform
- 945 dolomites distinguished by texture, geochemistry, and crystal chemistry: Niue, South
  946 Pacific. Journal of Sedimentary Research 69, 239-255.
- 947 Whitaker, F.F., Smart, P.L., Jones, G.D., 2004. Dolomitization: from conceptual to numerical
- 948 models. In: Braithwaite, C.J.R., Rizzi, G., Darke, G. (Eds.), The Geometry and Petrogenesis
- 949 of Dolomite Hydrocarbon Reservoirs. Geological Society of London Special Publication
  950 235, pp. 99-139.
- 951 Wilson, E.N., Hardie, L.A., Phillips, O.M., 1990. Dolomitization front geometry, fluid flow
- 952 patterns, and the origin of massive dolomite: the Triassic Latemar buildup, northern Italy.
- 953 American Journal of Science 290, 741-796.
- 254 Zhao, H., Jones, B., 2012. Origin of "island dolostones": A case study from the Cayman
- 955 Formation (Miocene), Cayman Brac, British West Indies. Sedimentary Geology 243-244,
- 956 191-206.

957 **Figure captions** 958 Fig. 1. Location and geological setting of study area. (A) Location of Grand Cayman in the 959 Caribbean Sea. (B) Geological map showing the distribution of the Cayman Formation 960 on Grand Cayman (modified from Jones et al., 1994a), the approximate distribution of 961 East End Freshwater Lens on the island (modified from Ng and Jones, 1992), and 962 location of High Rock Quarry. (C) Locations of 32 wells incorporated in this study (wells 963 in solid red dots are the primary wells used in this study). (D) Shelf profile in 964 northeastern corner of the island, modified from Brunt (1994). (E) Distribution of 8 wells 965 in High Rock Quarry. 966 Fig. 2. Stratigraphic succession on Grand Cayman (modified from Jones et al., 1994a). 967 **Fig. 3.** Distribution of seven sedimentary facies in Cayman Formation based on this study, Der 968 (2012) and Ren and Jones (2016). 969 Fig. 4. Profile through successions in wells LBL-1, GFN-2, FFM-1, HRQ-2, ESS-1, and HMB-1 970 showing the spatial distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite (Cal). 971 Fig. 5. Profile through successions in wells RTR-1, FSR-1, DTE-1, HRQ-2, CKC-1, and RWP-2 972 showing the spatial distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite (Cal). 973 Fig. 6. Spatial distribution of peripheral dolostone, transitional dolostone, interior dolostone, and 974 interior limestone in the Cayman Formation on the east end of Grand Cayman. 975 Fig. 7. Thin section photomicrographs illustrating the occurrence of calcite in Cayman 976 Formation in the upper (A–C) and the lower calcite units (D–F). All depths are below 977 ground surface. This sections are impregnated with blue epoxy to highlight porosity and 978 stained with Alizarin Red S. (A) Blocky calcite cements completely filled the pores in 979 dolostone. GFN-2, 9.6 m. (B) Pores in dolostone lined with limpid dolomite cement and

45

980	partly filled with calcite (red) cement. RWP-2, 3.5 m. (C) Zoned blocky calcite cements
981	in cavities in dolostone. HRQ-3, 3.4 m. (D) Porous benthic foraminifera limestone.
982	GFN-2, 34.4 m. (E) Mudstone with planktonic forams. GFN-2, 59.1 m. (F) Limestone
983	with a variety of fossils. GFN-2, 91.7 m.
984	Fig. 8. Thin section photomicrographs of peripheral dolostones. All depths are below ground
985	surface. (A) Fabric retentive dolostone with limpid dolomites lining the cavities. RWP-2,
986	94.6 m. (B) Benthic foraminifera with original fabrics well preserved in dolostone.
987	Chamber of the foraminifera and the intra-particle pores are lined with limpid dolomite
988	cement. RWP-2, 51.8 m. (C) Bladed dolomite cement encrusting grains in fabric retentive
989	dolostone. RWP-2, 22.0 m. (D) Fabric retentive dolostone with a complete Halimeda
990	plate, red alage fragments, and other grains. Tubules in the Halimeda plate and the intra-
991	particle pores have been filled with dolomite cement. RTR-1, 116.6 m. (E) Dolostone
992	with limpid dolomite filling cavities in a coral(?). RTR-1, 11.4 m. (F) Hollow dolomite
993	crystals with leached cores – suggesting that the dolomite crystals originally had a HCD
994	core. RTR-1, 130.3 m.
995	Fig. 9. Thin section photomicrographs of transitional dolostones (A–C), interior dolostone (D)
996	and dolomites in interior limestone (E, F). All depths are below ground surface. Thin
997	sections are impregnated with blue epoxy to highlight porosity and stained with Alizarin
998	Red S. (A) Dolostone with original fabrics of precursor carbonate partly preserved. HRQ-
999	3, 46.1 m. (B) Fabric destructive dolostone. Note molds formed by dissolution of
1000	foraminifera(?) in precursor carbonate. HRQ-3, 59.8 m. (C) Dolostone with original
1001	fabrics largely destroyed. HRQ-3, 79.6 m. (D) Fabric destructive dolostone with
1002	scattered limpid dolomite and blocky calcite cements. GFN-2, 2.6 m. (E, F) dolomite

47

crystals in chambers of foraminifera in dolomitic limestone. Dissolution and fossil moldic
porosity are common. (E) GFN-2, 7.8 m; (F) GFN-2, 7.1 m.

1005 Fig. 10. SEM photomicrographs of dolostones from Cayman Formation. A, C, D are etched (in

- 1006 HCl for 12 s) and polished thin sections. B is fractured surface, unetched. All depths are
- 1007 below ground surface. (A) Calcite cement filling in cavities and coating the surface of a
- 1008 red alage fragment, g=grain, cal=calcite, dol=dolomite. EER-1, 2.7 m. (B) Dolomite
- 1009 cement encrusting surface of a tubular skeletal grain held in a dolomite matrix. HRQ-5,
- 1010 4.2 m. (C) Dolomitized coral (?) with dolomite cement lining the cavities. HRQ-2,
- 1011 11.8m. (D) Distribution of dark-gray LCD (L) and light-gray HCD (H) in dolostone. Note
- 1012 open pores lined with LCD. HRQ-2, 2.7m.
- **Fig. 11.** SEM photomicrographs illustrating the compositional heterogeneity of dolomites from
- 1014 Cayman Formation. Polished thin sections, etched with HCl for 12 s. All from well HRQ-
- 1015 2. All depths are below ground surface. (A) Dolostone with dolomitized foraminifera,
- and dolomite cement in the pores. 42.3 m. (B) Enlarged view of etching in the matrix
- dolomites and the cement crystals in panel A. 42.3 m. (C) Enlarged view of cement
- 1018 crystal from panel B. Hollow dolomite crystal, formed by preferential dissolution of the
- 1019 core, partly refilled by dolomite cement. 42.3 m. (D) Preferential dissolution of matrix
- dolomites. 24.0 m. (E) Dolomite matrix crystals show extensive etching, and dolomite
- 1021 cements that overgrow on matrix crystals show clear zones. 8.8 m. (F) Dolomite crystals
- showing growth zones with HCD zones have been dissolved. 36.2 m. (G) Cement
- 1023 crystals showing growth zones, cortical boundaries, etch pits, and dissolution slots. 11.8
- 1024 m. (H) Dolomite crystal showing clearly defined growth zones and cortical boundaries,
- 1025 2.7 m. (I) Dolomite cement crystals with the core cut by dissolution slots. 21.0 m.

1026	Fig. 12. Distribution of LCD (low calcium dolomite), HCD (high calcium dolomite), and Cal
1027	(calcite) in 8 wells in the Cayman Formation in High Rock Quarry (HRQ). Note similar
1028	patterns among the closely spaced wells.

- **Fig. 13.** Distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite (Cal) in 7 wells in the peripheral dolostone zone.
- Pie charts showing the average compositions (%LCD, %HCD, and %calcite) of all
  samples in each well. Histograms illustrating the %LCD in dolomite samples in each
  well.
- 1033 Fig. 14. Distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite (Cal) in 4 wells located in the transitional
- dolostone zone. Pie charts showing the average compositions (%LCD, %HCD, and
- 1035 %calcite) of all samples in each well. Histograms illustrating the %LCD in dolomite1036 samples in each well.
- 1037 **Fig. 15.** Distribution of LCD, HCD, and calcite (Cal) in 8 wells in the interior dolostone zone.
- 1038 Pie charts showing the average compositions (%LCD, %HCD, and %calcite) of all
- samples in each well. Histograms illustrating the %LCD in dolomite samples in each
- 1040 well. See Fig. 6 for the distribution of the interior dolostone zone, and the locations of
- 1041 wells FFM-1 and GFN-2.
- Fig. 16. Histograms illustrating the %LCD in dolomites in the limestone samples from each well
  in the interior limestone zone. See Fig. 6 for the distribution of the interior limestone
  zone, and the locations of wells FFM-1 and GFN-2.
- 1045 Fig. 17. Histograms of %LCD in all dolomites from (A) peripheral dolostone, (B) transitional
- 1046 dolostone, (C) interior dolostone, and (D) interior limestone. Note the increase in the
- 1047 frequency of the pure HCD and HCD dominated dolomites in the transitional dolostone
- 1048 zone relative to the interior dolostone zone.

1049	Fig. 18. Oxygen and carbon isotopes of dolomites in the Cayman Formation. (A) Cross-plots of
1050	$\delta^{18}$ O and $\delta^{13}$ C of dolomites from all dolomite samples grouped by the peripheral
1051	dolostone, transitional dolostone, interior dolostone, and interior limestone zones. (B)
1052	Distribution of the average $\delta^{18}$ O and $\delta^{13}$ C of dolomites from the peripheral dolostone
1053	(PD), transitional dolostone (TD), interior dolostone (ID), and interior limestone (IL)
1054	zones (error bars represent $\pm 1\sigma$ ). Note the decreasing trends of the isotopes from the
1055	periphery to the interior of the island. (C) Histograms of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ of dolomites from
1056	transitional dolostone (TD) and interior dolostone (ID).
1057	Fig. 19. Cross-plots of $\delta^{18}$ O and $\delta^{13}$ C of dolomites from wells (A) CKC-1, (B) HRQ-3, and (C)
1058	HRQ-2 showing the positive correlation between the isotopes.
1059	Fig. 20. Relationship between the stable isotopes and stoichiometry of the dolomite from
1060	Cayman Formation. (A) Comparison of $\delta^{18}$ O and average %Ca of dolomite. (B)
1061	Comparison of $\delta^{13}$ C and average %Ca of dolomite. (C) Comparison of $\delta^{18}$ O and average
1062	%Ca in dolostones with %LCD > 90%, and dolostones with %HCD > 90%.
1063	<b>Fig. 21.</b> Stratigraphic variations of $\delta^{18}$ O and $\delta^{13}$ C in dolostones from wells (A) RWP-2, (B)
1064	EEZ-1, (C) LBL-1, (D) HRQ-3, (E) CKC-1, (F) HMB-1, (G) HRQ-1, and (H) HRQ-2.
1065	Fig. 22. Stratigraphic variations and correlations of (A) $\delta^{18}$ O and (B) $\delta^{13}$ C between coexisting
1066	dolomites and calcites from well HRQ-2. Arrows showing the stratigraphic trends in the
1067	$\delta^{18}$ O and $\delta^{13}$ C values.
1068	Fig. 23. Histograms of <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr of dolostones and limestones from Cayman Formation. (A) All
1069	dolostones from wells illustrated in B-F, and (dolomitic) limestones from wells HRQ-2,

- 1070 FFM-1 and GFN-2. (B) Dolostones from well RWP-2. (C) Dolostones from well RTR-1.
- 1071 (D) Dolostones from well HMB-1. (E) Dolostones from well CKC-1. (F) Dolostones

1072	from well FFM-1. (G) Two phases of dolomitizaton derived from <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr of dolostones
1073	from wells B-F. Seawater <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr curve modified from McArthur et al. (2001).
1074	Fig. 24. Comparison of <sup>87</sup> Sr/ <sup>86</sup> Sr with (A) %LCD in dolomite, and (B) the average %Ca of
1075	dolomite.
1076	Fig. 25. Geochemistry (A-C) and temperature (D) of present-day pore water in Cayman
1077	Formation. (A) Molar Mg/Ca ratio. (B) The contents of Mg and Ca. (C) $\delta^{18}O$ (‰) <sub>SMOW</sub> .
1078	(D) Temperature. Dashed lines in (A)-(C) represent the average values of 3 seawater
1079	samples collected in Spotts Bay (south coast).
1080	Fig. 26. Interpretation of $\delta^{18}O_{water}$ that mediated dolomitization of peripheral dolostones,
1081	transitional dolostones, interior dolostones, and dolomites in interior limestone in
1082	Cayman Formation. For each type of dolostone (dolomites), the box represent mean value
1083	$\pm 1\sigma$ , the midline represents the mean value of $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$ , and the solid dot represents the
1084	calculated $\delta^{18}O_{water}$ using mean $\delta^{18}O_{dol}$ and temperature.
1085	Fig. 27. Schematic diagram of the dolomitization model on Grand Cayman. (A) 3-D view of
1086	Cayman Formation on the east end of the island showing the concentric zones of
1087	dolostones and limestones which indicate that seawater flowed from all directions into
1088	the island during the dolomitization. (B) A N-S profile showing the spatial variations in
1089	many attributes of dolostones and a variety of dolomitizing conditions from the periphery
1090	to the interior of the island.



AGE			UNIT	LITHOLOGY	FAUNA	
HOL.				Swamp deposits storm deposits		
PLEIST.			Unconformity IRONSHORE FORMATION	Limestone	Corals (VC) Bivalves (VC) Gastropods (C)	
PLIOCENE			Unconformity PEDRO CASTLE FORMATION	Dolostone (fabric retentive) and limestone	Forams (VC) Corals (C) Bivalves (LC) Gastropods (C) Red algae (C) <i>Halimeda</i> (R)	
M.MIOCENE		BLUFF GROUP	Unconformity CAYMAN FORMATION	Dolostone (fabric retentive) and limestone locally	Corals (VC) Bivalves (LC) Rhodoliths (LC) Gastropods (R) Red algae (LC) Foraminifera (LC) <i>Halimeda</i> (R)	
L.OLIG			Unconformity BRAC FORMATION	Limestone or sucrosic dolostone (fabric destructive) with pods of limestone	Bivalves (VC) Gastropods (C) Foraminifera (VC) Red algae (R)	
limestone dolostone swamp VC=very common; C=common; deposits LC=locally common: R=rare						
















































Tables

- 1
- 2 Table 1
- 3 Wells on the east end of Grand Cayman (see Figs. 1, 6 for locations) used this study.
- 4 Twenty-one wells (in bold) were the primary wells used in this study. Distance to shelf
- 5 edge is the shortest distances from the well to the northern (N), eastern (E), or southern (S)
- 6 shelf edge. (PD=peripheral dolostone, TD=transitional dolostone, IL/D=interior
- 7 limestone/dolostone, IL=interior limestone only).

			<b>D'</b> 4		
			Distance		
		lotal	to shelf		
<b>XX7 - 11</b>	7	depth	eage	0/ 0	0/ (
wen	Zone	(m)	<u>(KM)</u>	%Core	%Cutting
HHD-1	PD	61.0	1.55 /N	0	100
LBL-1	PD	94.5	1.36 /N	0	chip
RWP-2	PD	94.6	0.66 /N	97	0
EEZ-1	PD	87.6	1.40 /E	0	chip
ESS-1	PD	77.4	1.29 /S	0	100
HMB-1	PD	57.9	0.86 /S	0	chip
RTR-1	PD	138.7	1.32 /S	0	100
QHW-1	PD	*60.0	1.16 /N		
EEZ-2	PD	87.6	1.86 /E		
CKC-1	TD	67.2	3.10 /E	0	chin
FFV_2	TD	101.8	1 14 /S	Ô	chin
HRO-3	TD	80.0	2.48 / S	0	100
FSR_1	TD	** 52 4	2.40 / 5 2 21 /S	0	chin
EER-1	TD	140.2	2.21 / S 2 45 /S	0	chip
BAC-1	TD	39.6	2.13 / S 2.22 / S	0	100
GMR-2	TD	46.0	1.45 /S	0	chin
AIR-1	TD	49.4	1.13 /S	0	chip
		.,		-	
GFN-2	IL/D	92.2	2.75 /N	63	0
FFM-1	IL/D	64.8	3.42 /S	0	chip
HRQ-2	IL/D	128.0	3.00 /S	0	100
HRQ-1	IL/D	61.7	3.23 /S	0	100
HRQ-4	IL/D	64.0	2.95 /S	0	100
HRQ-5	IL/D	76.2	2.78 /S	0	100
HRQ-6	IL/D	76.2	3.55 /S	0	100
HRQ-7	IL/D	39.6	3.29 /S	0	100

HRQ-8	IL/D	76.2	2.90 /S	0	100
DTE-1	IL	**46.3	2.88 /S	0	chip
GFN-1	IL	122.3	2.75 /N	0	100
RAD-1	IL/D	20.1	3.43 /N	0	chip
EER-2	IL/D	115.8	2.73 /S	0	100
BOG-1	IL	39.6	2.75 /S	20	0
NSC-1	IL	***243.0	3.35 /S	0	chip

- 8 \* Cayman Formation in the lower 20 m (c.f., Jones and Luth, 2003b).
- 9 \*\*Cayman Formation starts ~8 m bsl.
- 10 \*\*\* Cayman formation in the upper ~140 m (c.f., Jones et al., 1994; Liang and Jones,
- 11 2014).