

Serveriklen in bestär general in Skinklichen under der

The second state of the se

and a second and a second a second and the second a

cr Roon

If pages are missing, grinted the university which

Some pages may have individual point experially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter releaser if the universite equilater tope allationers.

Proviously copyrighted meterials (control articlists published tasts, vic.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or is part of this film is governed by the Canadian Capyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C.C. Place read the authorization forms which accordingly this thesis.

THIS DISCENTATION HAIS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

ter sending the action intervellates depend grandessent de te generate formalise or protes asymbolised any more the second term all generates one quality populations de repro-

Participations des projet, veuilles communiquer avec

Lit challes d'Indreasion de certaines pager pout taissur à philiter, surtaut et sig, pages originales ont détaissur d'étailler, surtaut et sig, pages originales ont détaissur d'étailler, sur l'annut au d'étaille de marvaire aussi étaille.

Les deserments qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur faitleire de rèvre, avernens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas interationes

La reproduction, milmo paylello, de co microfilm est soumies à la Loi additionne dur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c.-C.S. Voullier promère conneissance des formules d'autorisation qui accellegnent cette thèse.

LA THESE À ÉTÉ MICAOFILMEE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE,

STOTED BUILD IN THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY.

SUBRITTED TO THE FACULTE OF THE REQUIRESURS FOR THE DECRET

OF DOCTOR OF PRELOSOPHE

Ċ,

DEPARTMENT OF PETRICS

The unpersioned marks that they have read and cohnend the the Pacetty of Annual Statics and Reading the F Acception, a thesis ended and Acception model

Periods of Mederate Megnetospharic Actingty

is partial fulfilment of the regainments for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

A management a second to the detail like to get geodetry and chicketestatics of times decreat systems des load, to an suderstanding, of the salar-secrestrial interection through which energy from the interplenetary sodium is transitive into the segnetosphere and eventually dissipated. In this thesis is deschoped a comprehensive . model of the segnetosphere-icacephere current systems whose character is consistent with present knowledge of isseptoric electric grield and conductivity distributions. The model is designed to reproduce the average sagnetic porturbation patters at high latitudes as derived from a stady of 42 days of magnetometer array data recorded over three months in late 1971 and early 1972. The model is representative of winter conditions in the morthern beaisphere. However, it is shown how the model current system must be modified to allow it to be used to describe sagnetosphere-iososphere coupling in the summer months. The sodel studies show the isportance of considering the magnetic effects at the earth's surface of the Birkeland durrent sheets and the morth-south ichospheric currents which link thes. The sajor current systems is the model are the eastward and vestward electrojets; both of these systems are for by dowsward field-aligned current in the noon sector, with Boost, of the gurrant returning to the

enhare aling fight lines is 100 aidaicht midzant. A wanth permine the surrant An the pro-seen gendrant flove to low letitudes, ecrose the boos meridian, ad sources to high latitudes in the dask sector. A saill portion of the electrojet current divergee by segnetic field Lines at the days and fust terminators "which separate the stallt and dark icoospheres. In this context, it is shown that, at guint tipes, the electrojet Tresulting from the ienospheric electric. field being superposed on the semiit isnosphere (vis, , the UV electrojet) produces significant seguetic perturbations at the earth's surface. Finally, it is shown that the model can be perturbed in the evening sector, in a manner geneistent with known substorm phenomenology, to produce magnetic perturbation patterns in excellent agreement with observations of pelar magnetic substorns.

AC KROWLED GREENER

A large masher of triends, teachers and colleagues have contributed, either directly of firmetly, to the completion of this thesis. - first showed as the excitates was fin and over the years who first showed as that physics, was fin and over the years has offered continued encouragement; Dr H. H: Johns and Dr. Bob Druce who first get me started in physics, and Dr. Eq. Taylor, who kept me at it.

In recent years, Dr. Doug Oldenburg has offered unending encouragement, even from afar, and introduced me to inversion theory; Dr. John Olsen has been of inestimable assistance as a friend and physicist; and Dr. Don Wallis, Dr. Koji Kawasaki and Dr. Jerry Kisebeth have provided me with many hours of valuable discussion,

I ove my supervisor, Dr. Gordon Rostoker, a debt of thanks for the continued stimulation, encouragement, and votes of confidence with which he has supplied me. A special thanks to Mr. Lou Restoker, for introducing me to his son.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife, Lorna, for here continual, unshabeable confidence in me. Without her patience and understanding, I would not have completed this work.

Throughout nost of this research, I have received financial support from the National Research. Council of Canada. The research has been funded, in part, by the

٧ĺ

Metional Research Council, and by the Defartment of Roppy, Mines, and Resources (Rarth Physics Branch) under westract auabees esgs-eels and osg-7600019.

4,5

TABLE OF COULSETS

.7

5

6

CHAPEBE	P483
1. INTRODUCTION	. 1 °
4.1 The Regnetosphere	. 1
1.2 Megnetosphere-Ionosphere Interactions	. 9
1.3 Large-scale Current Systems	17
1.3.1 The Sq and L Current Systems	. 17
1.3.2 The Det and DP1 Current Systems	. 20
1.3.3 The S and DP-2 Current Systems	. 20
1.3.4 The Electrojets and the Interplanetary Regnetic Field	. 22
1.4 Ionospheric Current Hodels	. 28
1.5 The Objectives of this Shesis	. 33
2. DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS	. 34 . 39 . 39 . 53
2.4 Superposed Epoch Analysis	. 70

.

•	
, ,	
	3.2.1 2be 2200-0200 862 Begins
	3.2.2 The 0200-1000 BLT Begine
	3.2.3 240 1000-1000 ME Bogsar
	3.2.4 The 1400-1900 BLE Degine
	3.2.5 The 1960-2200 ELE Degine
. •	3.2.6 Summery of the Pive Regimes
	3.3 Interprotation of the Data Saite
2	3.4 Inversion of Intitude Profile Sath Sear Dusk
	· · · · ·
	\mathbf{h}
4.	A Desleven Consist Hobel
	4,1 Introduction
	4.2 Elementary Considerations
	4.2.1 The Auroral Oval
•	

la i	
	4,1 Introduction
ſ	4.2 Elementary Considerations
	4.2.1 The Auroral Oval
•	4.2.2. Loçation of Carraga
	4.2.3 The Electric field and Conductivity Hodel 144
	4.3 The Approach to Current Refelling
•	4.4 The Basic Current Systems
	4.4.1 The Nestward Carrent System
	4.4.2 The Bastward Current System
	4.4.3 The North-South Current System
	4.4.4 Other Contributing Current Systems
•	4.5 The Global Current Hodel
•	4.5.1 Qualitative Comparison of Model Latitude Profiles with SPEA Profiles
	4.5.2 Quantitative Evaluation of the Hodel
	4.5.3 Preliminary Summary and Discussion
4	4.6 Specific Events and the Average Current Hodel 268
	is

/	4.4.1		* ***-	Oburnal (
•	4.4.2 2				••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• 360 • 201
,	4.7 Cooper					
	A.7.1 A			plain th	Deet-Boe	
	•					• • • •
5.					•••••	, 315
	5.1 Soutper	ing Romant	lignol Cu		مورد و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و و موکند از ایس و و و و و و و و و و	. 315 . 333
	.	•		•	•	•
281	ZRBUCER	• • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••	•••••••	, 336

ł

				•1
•				
	. 7 Couplinates . of Mage			
2	. 2 the legie & Sec th		nni in pice	
3		notes bit Picke-Li long Stoutste Fick.	Sgnet Cyrcent	•
3			nerty Averaged	
•	.) Denstantes et tis t	otes another the		
	.2 Statistical Asolya Global Hodal Profi	de of the Congris Les vith the SPEA I	risch of the Profiles,	
	Jaj Bodel Personators)
•	.) (b) Boddl Parameters	for bay 19,1972.) ,
```	.4 Solol Perspeters fo	or the Substern of I	by 23, 1972294	•

• • •

•

•

, .

•	Department of a set of an analytical and a substance of	an a fill an a stern a dalar ta The said a stern	
•			•
Pigupo			Pago
1/1 & select	Le courtag of the cognes		••
1.2 Castest e	trophismu in a clopif j	is increasing as in the second s	, <b>v</b>
	the area togratesplate	• •	,. TT
•	en endertisty es a su		· •
	endertirity of a function		
· 1.4 SPP 26 4	nsiet sjotes.		,
	erizental negeotic pert		
		•	24
	test issespecto estra	•	. 32
2.1 1 map of	the segnetemeter chils.	•••••	,. 37
2.2 (n) & mile	1 Locitude destile for		t 
	l latitude profile for	a variable èssi	• •
	rest density.		42
2.2(c) Compl system.	lete three-disensional	east-pest 645268	
2.3 Cossiste	three-dimensional not	ct <b>}- =0 (14)</b> 0000000	t •• , 45
2.4 A model	latitade profile for a	north-south current	t ·
2.5. A model 1 ourrest	latitedo peofílo far a System.	long porth-sout	h 40
2.6 A model 1 flow alo	latigodo prefile for der may the model excerci ov	rest constrained t	o 50
2.7 & model i field-ei	latitude profile for an a Ligned current	nabelan öst dovavar	4 •• • 52
and the second		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •

#### 1257 OF FIGURES continued

.

2.8 Bodel latitude profiles showing the effort of a superconductor at depth
2.9 A nojel Latitude profile for descentrating the libbar invergion technique.
2.10 The inversion of the data shown in Figure 2.9 59
2.11 The inversion of the data shown in Pigure 2.9, with a Telazed constraint on the latitudinal extent of the current
2.12 The inversion of the data shown in Figure 2.9, asseming a purely ionospheric current forward nodel
2.13 Comparison of the data in Figure 2.9 with the results of the flottest model
2.14 à'sodel SPEA profile 68
3.1 Representative hourly averaged fatitude profiles for the interval 2200-0200 HLT.
3.2 SPEA profiles for the interval 2200-0200 HLT 74
3.3 Representative hourly averaged latitude profiles for the interval 0200-1000 HLT
3.4 SPEA profiles for the interval 0200-1000 HLT 78
3.5 Representative hourly averaged latitude profiles
3.6 SPEA profiles for the interval 1000-1400 HLT 83
3.7 Representative hourly averaged latitude profiles for the interval 1400-1900 MLT
3.8 SPEA profiles for the interval 1400-1900 MLT 88
3.9 Representative hourly averaged latitude profiles for the interval 1900-2200 HLT
3.10 SPRA profiles for the interval 1900-2200 HLT 93
3.11 Schematic drawing showing the magnetic effect of a vertical current diverging uniformly in the herisontal plane
3.12 Histograms showing the diversal distribution of net

•

;

# LIST OF FIGURES obstinged

-0

	3.13	(a) The disraal variation in the high latitude ionospheric electric field.
		(b) Average high latitude ionospheric" electric field vectors
	3. 14	The ratio of AT'step to AT'peak as a function of agnetic local time
	3, 15	ΔY'step and ΔX'peak as a function of magnetic local time
	3.16	Histogram showing the net field-aligned current flow as determined from the Triad satellite111
	3. 17	Aritep as a function of the vertical component of the IMF.
	3.18	The inversion of a profile from the hour 1500-1600 HLT
	3.19	The inversion of a profile from the hour 1600-1700 BLT
	3.20	The inversion of a profile from the hour 1700-1800 HLT
	3, 21	The inversion of an additional profile from the hour 1700-1800 MLT123
	3.22	The inversion of a profile from the hour 1800-1900 HLT
<b>1</b>	3.23	The inversion of a profile from the hour 1900-2000 MLT
	3.24	The inversion of a profile from the hour 2000-2100 HLT
	3.25	The inversion of a profile from the hour 2100-2200 ALT
	3.26	The inversion of a profile from the hour 2200-2300 ALT
	4.1	Polar plot of the model auroral oval
	4.2 5	The location of field-aligned current sheets as deduced from the Triad satellite data
	4.3	The extreme positions of the terminator for the period from Day 332, 1971 to Day 24, 1972143

.

#### LIST OF FIGURES continued

١

•

.

	LIST OF FIGURES CONTINUED
,	4.4 Polar plot of the model electric field
Jan Sandar	4.5 The model height-integrated current densities for the east-west current systems
•	4.6 The model unbalanced field-aligned current densities
	4.7 The field-aligned current strength for the morth- south current systems
and a start of the	4.8 The set of model latitude profiles due to the westward current system
	4.9 The set of model latitude profiles due to the westward current system plus the appropriate morth-south current system
	4.10 The set of model latitude profiles due to the eastward current system
	4.11 The set of model latitude profiles due to the eastward current system plus the appropriate north-south current system
	4.12 The set of model latitude profiles due to the complete north-south current system
	4.13(a) A schematic drawing to demonstrate the equivalent current for a balanced three- dimensional current system
	4.13(b) A schematic drawing to demonstrate the equivalent current for an unbalanced three- dimensional current system
	4.14 The set of model latitude profiles due to the low- latitude current system
	4.15 A schematic drawing of the complete model current system
	4.16 Comparison of the model latitude profiles with the SPBA profiles
	4.17 Latitude profiles from '1000-1300 HLT resulting from a modification of the model current system250
	4.18(a) Polar plot of the model equivalent currents252
	4.18(b) Polar plot of the average high-latitude horizontal magnetic perturbation vectors255

ì

•

## LIST OF FIGURES CONTINUES

4.18(c) Overhead icaespheric current vectors for Bay 290,1970
4.19 Comparison of Albenk and Arbien from the model and the SFEA analysis
4.20(a) Correlation between the model Albeak and the SPEA AX' peak for the interval 0200-1000 HLT260
4.20(b) Correlation between the model $\Delta Y_{tep}$ and the SPEA $\Delta Y'_{step}$ for the interval 0200-1000 HLT260
4.20(c) Correlation between the model $\Delta X'$ peak and the SPEA daX' peak for the interval 1400-2200 HLT262
4.20(d) Correlation between the model $\Delta Y'$ step and the SPEA $\Delta Y'$ step for the interval 1400-2200 fLT262.
4.20(e) Correlation between the model Albeak and the SPEA Albeak for the interval 1800-2200 MLT262
4.21(a) Hodel latitude profile for 0332 UT, Day 19, 1972
4.21(b) The observed latitude profile for 0332 UT, Day 19, 1972
4.22(a) Hodel latitude profile for 0244 UE, Day 38, > 1972
4.22(b) The observed latitude profile for 0214 UT, Day 38, 1972
4.23 Schematic of the current model used to model the profiles shown in Figure 4.21 and 4.22
4.24 Hagaetograms for Day 23, 1972, 0000 to 0200 UT283
4.25 Latitude profiles for Day 23, 1972
4.26 Linear mappings of ASCA pictures from Day 23, 1972
4.27 College magnetogram, Day 23, 1972
4.28 A schematic of the currents used to model the event of Day 23, 1972
4.29 Comparison of the differential profiles with the model calculations for Day 23, 1972
4.30 The diurnal distribution of average field-aligned current densities.

.

*:	EIST OF FINEES CORLINNED
4.3	Polar plot of everythe high-latitude horizontal segnetic perturbation wetters for susser souths 305
4, 3	Average issoepheric electric field vectors for -
4.3	B Polar plot of the magnetic field vectors due to the polar cap current system.
4.3	Nodel latitude profiles for the polar cap current system.
5.1	A schematic diagram, of the solar wind - magnetompheric dynamo
5.2	A schematic diagram showing the connection of the solar wind dynamo to the ichosphere
5.3	A schematic diagram of the field-aligned current flew drives by the inertial dynamo of Rostoker and Bostrom
5.4	A schematic diagram of the model ionospheric Mall had Pedersen currents
5.5	A schematic diagram of magnetospheric convection flow
AI.1	Diagram defining the vectors used in magnetic field calculations.
AI.3	Schematic of the cross polar cap current system 354

•

2554

.

#### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Researchase

" Barly in this dentary it was believed that the earth was surrounded by the vacuum of interplanetary space, and that the magnetic field was normally that of a pure dipole. However, the work of Birkeland (1908, 1913) led to the suggestion that solar flares caused bursts of solar gas to be emitted by the sun and that, at these times, the earth's negactic field would carve out a 'caufty in the streaming solar gas. Chapsan and Ferraro (1931) considered the interaction of the solar gas with the earthis magnetic field configuration under the circumstances associated with solar fidres, and they were able to estimate the position of the boundary between the earth's magnetic field cavity and the interplanetary medium. Biermann (1951), noting that comet tails were always directed away from the sun, independent of .... the direction of motion of the comet, inferred that there' was a continual streaming of matter away from the sun. Parker (1958, 1963) developed extensive theoretical arguments in which it was assumed that a continuous solar wind existed and, with the advent of space probes, it has been proven that interplanetary space is filled with a plasma streaming away from the sun. Due to this continuous presence of the solar wind, it became apparent that the magnetic cavity, terned the magnetosphere, is a permanent feature of the earth's environment.

A schematic drawing illustrating most of the features of the magnetedphere is shown in Figure 1.1. It is apparent that present knowledge has lead to the realization that the magnetosphere is a complicated configuration of particles and fields. It is not the purpose of this thesis to provide a detailed understanding of the entire magnetosphere, but a brief description of it is in order.

The earth with its magnetic field acts as an obstacle to the flow of the solar wind. Average solar wind bulk velocities are of the order of 390 to 500 km s-1, with subber densities of 5 to  $10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and temperatures in the range of 10° °K to 10° °K. These values give an Alfven velocity of 50 to 100 ks s-1, and a sound velocity of 100 to 200 Ra s-1 so that the solar wind is thus both supersonic super-Alfvenic. Consequently, and 4 standing agmetohydrodynamic (HHD) shock wave is set up, typically about 14 earth radii (Re) upstream from the earth, as shown in Figure 1.1. Immediately interior to this is the region called the magnetoshemth, which is a region of thermalized plasma. The surface along which the solar wind plasma pressure is balanced by the earth's magnetic field pressure is called the magnetopause.

The solar wind blowing past this cavity distorts it such that it is blunt on the sunward side of the earth, and extended `in the anti-solar direction to form the so-called magnetotail. It is now known that the magnetotail extends beyond the orbit of the moon (about 60 Re) (Ness et al.,

# Figure 1.1

à

. .

•

A schematic drawing of the magnetosphere (sfter Heikkila, 1972) modified by Rostofer to include the plasma mantle.

÷

.



1967), although its actual length is not known at the present time. Axford and Hines (1961) proposed the idea of plasma convection within the magnetosphere, but their sagaetosphere was a closed, tear-drop shaped cavity. They suggested a "viscous-like" interaction between the solar wind and the magnetospheric plasma in the neighbourhood of the magnetopause. This interaction would result in plasma flowing down-tail, and eventually returning along the sunearth line to give a closed circulation pattern. This motion is shown in Figure 1.2. Close to the earth, there exists a region of stably trapped particles, the plasmasphere, which is bounded by the plasmapause. Beyond the plasmapause, on the nightside of the earth, there is a region of plasma symmetrically distributed on either side of a mid-plane, and extending along the length of the tail (see Figure 1.1). On average, this so-called plasma sheet /has a thickness of about 5 Re at the center, and widens to about double this at the flanks (near the magnetopause). The magnetic field along the central plane of the plasma sheet is weak and normal to this plane. This region of weak field is called the neutral sheet at higher latitudes. Outside the plasma sheet are the tail lobes, in which the plasma density is very low (<0.01 cm-3) compared to densities of about 0.1 to 10 cm-3 in the plasma sheet itself.

٧Ľ.

Figure 1.2 depicts convection mapped onto the equatorial plane. However, if this motion is mapped to ionospheric altitudes, it corresponds to anti-sunward

Pigure 1.2 Streamlines of plasma convection flow in the equatorial plane of the magnetosphere (After Axford and Wines, 1961).

•

/

. .

.

• •



•

۲

..

•

.

convection errors the polar cap regions, and summerd convection at lover latitudes. Is a collisionless places, both ions and electrons would follow the convection pattern. Novever, in the lover lonesphere, icas undergo 8437 collisions with newtral atoms, so that the ions remain almost stationary in comparison with the electrons. This differential motion of electrons and ions produces Hall current in the direction opposite to that of the convecting plasma. The convection pattern of Azford and Rises then corresponds approximately to vestuard flowing Hall current in the pre-moon sector, at sub polar cap latitudes, and eastward flowing current in the post-noon sector. This basic convection pattern is an oft-repeated observation (see the review by Cauffman and Gurnett (1972), and the references therein) and the currents associated with it correspond in large part to those to be discussed later An this thesis.

Although the basic convection pattern derived by Arford and Hines (1961) has withstood the test of extensive observations, the concept, of the closed magnetosphère has not. Observations of solar electrons and low energy solar protons (identified by their energy spectra) at high initiades, indicate an essentially uniform flux of these particles (Stone, 1964; Pennel, 1973; Vampola, 1971; McDiarmid and Burrows, 1970; among others). It has been concluded that, since solar particles have free access to the high latitude regions of the earth, that the high latitude field lines are directly connected to the

interplanetary negocile field (187). It balloved that the angliaboophers the "open". Dunpey (10 proposed seguetic field like verying as a peess by which f asgaotosphere could become open. Figure 1. J is a **sobssibt**ic depicting this process. The INF norges with the perth's . dipolar field at the segmetopeups, and the morged field lines then are copyected in the anti-manyard direction by the selar vind. This copyection of field lines occurs " because, in the solar wind, field lines are "froses-is" to the plasma. This convective motion results in field lines soving across the polar cap from the dayside to the sightside, and returning at sub-polar latitudes. Recently, Rosenbauer et al. (1975) have suggested that solar wind plasma may enter the magnetosphere directly in the polar cleft (Figure 1.1) to form the plasma mantle streaming away from the earth. The existen e of the plasma also indicates a direct coupling of the interplanetary medium with the magnetosphere.

## 1.2 Hagaetospherg-lopospherg Isteractions

Particles in the plasma are subject to drift notions due to electric fields, pressure gradients (Sincon, 1966), magnetic field gradients and magnetic field curvature (see, for example, Roederer, 1970). Some of these drifting particles precipitate into the upper atmosphere, where due to ionization and excitation of neutral atoms and molecules, a region of embanced conductivity is created. It is in this

Figure 1.3

**.** :

•2

Noon-midnight meridian section of the open magnetosphere showing field line merging at the nose of the magnetopause. The numbers represent the motion of field lines, with motion proceeding to the higher numbers. Note that in this figure, the morth pole has been placed at the bottom of the figure. (After Hess, 1968),

••••



region that the major horizontal ionospheric currents flow. If it is assumed that the conductivity along field lines is sufficiently high to permit neglect of any potential drops along field lines, and further, that the field lines are vertical, then the equation

$$I = \Sigma_{\mu} E_{\mu} + \Sigma_{\mu} \frac{\beta \cdot E}{\beta} \qquad 1.1$$

states a generalized Ohn's Law for the ionosphere. Here,  $\sum_{\mu}$  and  $\sum_{\mu}$  are height-integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities (see below),  $\sum_{\perp}$  is the electric field perpendicular to the magnetic field,  $\mathcal{B}$ ; and  $\sum$  is the beight-integrated current density. The height-integrated conductivities are derived from the beight dependent conductivities, which, for the ionosphere, and assuming a single ion type of mass H, are given by

$$O_{p} = \frac{ne^{2} \mathcal{I}_{e} / m_{e}}{1 + \omega^{2} \mathcal{I}_{e}^{2}} + \frac{ne^{2} \mathcal{I}_{i} / M}{1 + \Omega^{2} \mathcal{I}_{i}^{2}} \qquad 1.2$$

an d

$$\sigma_{H} = \frac{ne^{2}T_{a}\omega/m_{e}}{1+\omega^{2}T_{a}^{2}} - \frac{ne^{2}T_{i}\Omega/M}{1+\Omega^{2}T_{i}^{2}} \qquad 1.3$$

where  $Z_{\ell}$  and  $Z_{i}$  are the electron and ion collision periods with neutral atoms,  $\Omega$  is the ion gyrofrequency, and  $\omega$  the electron gyrofrequency. Figure 1.4 shows the variation of  $O_{\rho}^{\ell}$  and  $O_{N}$  with altitude. It is clear that the Pedersen conductivity predominates at high altitudes, and this fact Figure 1.4(a) Variation of Pedersen conductivity with altitude. (After Hanson, 1965).

•

Pigure 1.4(b) Variation of Hall conductivity altitude. (After Hanson, 1965) with _____**_**___

.

.

.

.

.





will be employed in Chapter 4. In the ionospheric E region (altitude range 85 to "148 km), electrons may gyrate freely, (a)  $Z_c > 1$ ), but ions are impeded due to collisions, so that  $\Omega Z_c > 1$ ), but ions 1.2 and 1.3, the Pedersen current is carried mainly by ions, the Hall current by electrons. At higher altitudes, around 160 km (the F region), both ions and electrons gyrate and drift essentially freely, so that the two terms in  $O_{M}$  (equation 1.2) almost cancel. However, there remains a significant Pedersen conductivity.

As well as the horizontal currents which flow by virtue of the horizontal electric fields and Hall and Pedersen conductivities, field-aligned currents also flow. Birkeland (1908,1913) first introduced the concept of field-aligned currents, but this work did not gain immediate acceptance. Pejer (1961) and Kern (1962) reintroduced the concept, and Boström (1964) made extensive use of field-aligned currents in his magnetospheric substorm models.

That, in reality, field-aligned currents must flow, has been shown by Vasyliunas (1968). Consider the non-heightintegrated form of equation 1.1, including field-aligned current flow, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J} = \sigma_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\mu} + \sigma_{\mu} \mathcal{E}_{\perp} + \sigma_{\mu} \frac{\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{B}} \qquad 1.4$$

Then .

$$E \cdot J = \sigma_{\mu} E_{\mu}^{2} + \sigma_{\mu} E_{\perp}^{2} > 0 \qquad 1.5$$

For the steady state,  $\nabla - J = 0$ , and assuming that E is

electrostatic,  $E = -\nabla \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is the electrostatic petential. Therefore,

$$E \cdot J = -\nabla \cdot (\phi J)$$
 1.6

Integration of this over the volume of the ionosphere under consideration, and noting from equation 1.5 that  $\underline{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{j}} > 0$ , gives,

$$\int \underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{J} \, dV = -\int \nabla \cdot (\phi \underline{J}) \, dV = -\int \phi \underline{J} \cdot dA > 0 \qquad 1.7$$

The final integral is over the surface of the system, and since it is non-zero, indicates that currents are flowing into and out of the system.

Field-aligned currents were accepted before direct experimental evidence through <u>in situ</u> measurements was Zaùda <u>et</u> (1967) and Armstrong and forthcoming. **al** Sauda (1970) presented evidence from polar orbiting satellites which indicated that field-aligned current sheets flowed into and out of the auroral regions. These results were based on the existence of level shifts in the east-west component of the measured magnetic field. Many similar studies of data from polar-orbiting satellites now exist (Sauda and Arastrong, 1974; Sugiura and Potemra, 1976; Tijina and Potenza, 1976), and have confirmed that indeed, large scale field-aligned currents connect the outer angaetosphere to the ionosphere. Anderson and Vondrak (1975) have reviewed the data concerning field-aligned currents.

÷ 🛉

## 1.3 Large-scale Current Systems

1.3.1 The Sq and L current Systems

Observations of geomagnetic disturbances have led to the description of a number of large-scale ionospheric current systems. As global magnetic variations became available, it became possible to sap the quiet-day in detail, and a solar variation (5g) variations vas isolated. Basically, this variation is caused by ionospheric currents which are driven by an atmospheric dynamo. E-region plasma is wind-driven with a horizontal velocity Y, across the magnetic field, <u>B</u>, resulting in the production of an electric field,  $\underline{V}$  x  $\underline{B}$ . This field drives a height-integrated current, I. As well, a space charge electric field, E, develops to give a total electric field,  $E + V \times B$ . The form of the Sq current system can be found from the solution of

> ∇-I = 0 ° I = ∑ (E + Y × B)

where  $\sum$  is the height-integrated conductivity tensor. (See for example, Akasofu and Chapman, 1972). Figure 1.5 is a plot òf the current `Sq_ systems for December solstitial, equinoctial, and June solstitial seasons, as well as the yearly average during IGY (1958) (Matsushita, 1969). The current direction is counter-clockwise in the northern hemisphere, in these figures.

Figure 1.5

.

.

1

· .

 $\mathbf{X}$ 

ì

Plots of the Sq current systems for December solstitial, equinoctial, and June solstitial seasons, and the yearly average during the IGY (1958). Current intensity between two consecutive contours is 2.5 x 10° A. (After Matsushita, 1968).

•

. .


Another geomagnetic fluctuation present at all times is that found to be associated with atmospheric tidal motions, driven by the moon's gravity field. The perturbations due to this so-called L current system are approximately one order of magnitude less than the Sq variations.

## 1.3.2 The Dat and DP1 Current Systems

When the Sq and L magnetic variations are removed from the data, the mo-called disturbance field (D) remains. This field can be represented as the sum of two components, Dst and DS. The Dst component is independent of longitude, and DS is the difference between D and Dst. The Dst component is believed to be due to a westward flowing ring current in the equatorial plane and several earth radii (Re) from the earth. Hany equivalent current systems consistent with the DS component have been put forth in the literature. The DP1 system (Obayashi, 1967) is the one associated with magnetic substorms. Figure 1.6 is a polar plot of this classical system. Note that relatively intense current flows both eastward and westward near 70°M latitude, corresponding to the location of the auroral oval.

# 1.3.3 The Se and DP-2 Current Systems

During times of very low magnetic activity, there is a polar cap magnetic variation which has been called in by Nagata and Kokubun (1962). Subsequent authors (Kawasaki and Akasofu, 1967; Feldstein and Zaitsev, 1967) revised this



Pigure 1.6 An equivalent ionospheric current system. If the current between contours is 10⁵ A, this system would reproduce the geomagnetic disturbance of the DP1 type. (After Piddington, 1969).

4

.

system to exclude any possibility of magnetic disturbance. Figure 1.7, from Kawasaki and Akasofu (1967), shows the horizontal magnetic disturbance vectors for the system for May 3,1964. Is the same paper, these authors verified Magata and Kokubus's observation that vas much stronger is the summer months, and concluded that the magnetic variation was due to an ionospheric current system. Subsequent work (Kawasaki and Akasofu, 1973) has shown that the data of Figure 1.7 may be reasonably modelled by a combination of field-aligned and ionospheric currents.

Nishida (1968) proposed an additional current system, DP-2 (Figure 1.8), but Akasofu at al (1973) has expressed the belief that the DP-2 current system is in fact due to a combined effect of expansion of the auroral oval and an enhancement the  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} system$ .

1.3.4 The Electrojets and the Interplanetary Hagnetic Field

Harang (1946) published observations of the diurnal variation of magnetic disturbance vectors at high latitudes (53.8°H to 74.2°H geomagnetic latitude). It is clear from his work and all subsequent work that there are two main ionompheric equivalent currents, the eastward and vestward electrojets, which can account for the majority of magnetic observations at high latitudes. The eastward current produces a positive (i.e., northward) north-south component of magnetic field, the westward current a negative northsouth component, and these mignatures are apparent in



Pigure 1.7 A polar plot of the horizontal geomagnetic component at high latitudes on the extremely guiet day Hay 8, 1964. (After Kawasaki and Akasofu, 1967).



Harang's published date, with eastward current flowing from near noon to approximately midnight, and westward current flowing from noch into the pre-midnight sector. The region of current reversal, in the pre-midnight sector, has become known as the Harang discontinuity.

It has become clear in the past few years that the magnitude of auroral zone magnetic perturbations is governed by the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) and in particular, by the sign of the vertical  $(B_{r})$ and azimuthal (B.) components of the IMP. As well, the average magnitude of the westward and eastward electrojets decreases from summer to winter (Meng and Akasofu, 1968; Langel and Brown, 1974, Priis-Christensen and Wilhjelm, 1975). This seasonal effect is not the same for both electrojets when examined in terms of the role of the IMF, as will be seen below.

In terms of field line merging, it is not surprising that the IMF vertical component has a marked effect on ionospheric currents, since the merging rate is enhanced when  $B_z < 0$ . Akasofu (1977) has given an excellent review of the relationships between  $B_z$  and various ionospheric phenomena. He also points out that great care must be exercised in interpreting some of the relationships which have been claimed to exist. For example, the AE index, which is a measure of world-wide auroral activity, has been correlated with  $B_z$ . The AE index is computed from magnetic records from a number of auroral zone magnetic observatories

25

. Year

which are approximately uniformly distributed in longitude (Davis and Sugiura 1966; Allen and Kroehl, 1975). The horizontal component records are superposed, and the curves defining the upper and lower envelopes of these superposed records are defined as AU and AL respectively. Then the AE index is simply the distance between AU and AL. i.e.,

### $AB = \{AU\} + \{AL\}$

Ŀ

The AE index presupposes that at least one observatory can monitor the westward electrojet to give AL, and at least one observatory can monitor the eastward electrojet, to give AU. It is assumed that these electrojets are most intense in the auroral zone, where these observatories are located. However, Holzworth and Heng (1975) have shown that B, affects the size of the auroral oval, so that when  $B_{p}^{\circ}$  is northward, the radius of the auroral oval is reduced. Thus, Akasofu points out, a strong correlation between  $B_z$  and AE say be, at least in part, only due to the correlation between  $B_{\chi}$  and the size of the auroral oval. Hirshberg and Colburn (1969) have done a similar analysis between Kp and Bz. Kp is a 3-hour index of the level of worldwide geomagnetic activity introduced by Bartels (1949) (see review by Rostoker, 1972). This index is computed in a complicated manner from data from sub-auroral zone observatories, and Akasofu's criticism may also apply. However, Hirshberg and Colburn (1969) showed that there was a good correlation between Kp and the magnitude of  $B_z$ , when  $B_{\chi}$ <0. In any case, negative  $B_{\chi}$  causes an enhanced merging of field lines with a resulting increase in convection rate and thus enhanced electrojet current strengths.

The east-west components of the IHP (B,) also plays a regulatory role in ionospheric current dynamics. Solar magnetic field lines are carried away from the sun by the solar wind, and due to the rotation of the sun, take on an Archimedes spiral configuration. Wilcox and Ness (1965) detected the interplanetary sector structure in which, on average, four sectors of alternating magnetic field direction (away from the sun, and towards the sun), were found for every solar rotation. When the IMP is directed away from the sun, it corresponds to a positive By. Svalgaard (1968,1973), Hansurov (1969), and Priis-Christensen et al (1972) have shown that the By-component affects the polar cap magnetic field. In . particular, when B_>0, the inferred polar cap equivalent current is counterclockwise (looking down on the north performand the reverse direction when By <0. However, during winter months, the magnitude of the polar cap magnetic variations are extremely small, approximately two orders of magnitude less than during summer months (Svalgaard, 1973).

Returning to the seasonal differences in the eastward and westward electrojets and the, role of B, Langel and Brown (1974) have found that, during the summer,  $|\Delta H|$  and  $|\Delta S|$  in the westward jet region are larger than in winter when B, <0. During away sectors (B, >0),  $|\Delta H|$  is less in summer than in winter, while  $|\Delta Z|$  is approximately the same in winter and in summer. In the eastward electrojet region,  $|\Delta H|$  and  $|\Delta Z|$  are both greater in the summer.

The observations concerning the role of the IMP upon ionospheric current systems are presented here for completeness. In this thesis, data have not been ordered according to the polarity of the IMP. However, an argument is developed in Chapter 4 which depends, in part, on the above considerations.

## 1.4 Ionospheric Current Hodels

The ultimate test of any interpretation of data is the construction of a model consistent with that interpretation, and the comparison of computed results with the data. A large number of current models have been devised to describe specific current systems, although many of these have consisted of only ionospheric currents. Kawasaki and Akasofu (1973) had reasonable success in modelling the  $S_2^{\mu}$ current system, using a model consisting of field-aligned (along dipole field lines) and a flat earth current approximation for the ionospheric currents. Haeda and Maekawa (1973) undertook a numerical study of modelling global polar ionospheric currents. They considered that current would be driven by i) an atmospheric dynamo electric field; ii) a polarization electric field, drising from conductivity gradients; and iii) an externally applied electric field, induced by the solar wind. They did not include the effect of field-aligned currents, although the

existence of such currents as sources and sinks was pointed out. Three different models of conductivity were employed, as described by Fejer (1953). Haeda and Haekawa found that the geomagnetic variations produced by one type of polarization electric field, in which appositive point charge was placed near dawn, and a megative point charge near dusk, are very similar to  $\sum$ . This charge distribution is similar to that used by Kawasaki and Akasofu (1973), although these authors used charge sheets. However, Haeda and Haekawa do not give a detailed comparison between their calculations and real data.

Several global current models have been developed by Yasuhara <u>et al</u> (1975). In their investigation, it was assumed that field-aligned current sheets flowed into and out of the auroral oval at its borders, such that current was downwards on the poleward side, from midnight to noon, upwards on the poleward side of the owal from noon to and midnight. The field-aligned current at the equatorward borders was of the opposite sense, and of reduced intensity by a factor of approximately 2. For the model developed for relatively quiet periods, the auroral oval was assumed to be an annulus with an enhanced conductivity structure with respect to the region outside the annulus. The ratio of Pedersen to Hall height-integrated conductivities was two everywhere. To determine the ionospheric current flow, the equation

was solved, assuming that the field-aligned current,  $J_{\mu}$  , was vertical, (i.e.,  $\chi = 90^{\circ}$ ), and where <u>I</u> is the heightintegrated ionospheric current density. This model yields both eastward and westward electrojets confined to the auroral oval, as shown in Figure 1.9 . As well, some current flows across the polar cap, from about midnight to moon, and there is weak current at sub-auroral latitudes. However, the choice of field-aligned current distribution was somewhat arbitrary in that the exact distribution was not known at that time. As a result, the currents derived from this model do not appear too realistic, inasauch as eastward current is shown just past dawn, and magnetometer evidence does not verify this (see Chapter 3 of this thesis for example). Although this model was not tested against ground-based magnetic data, it does demonstrate an approach that could be guite fruitful in approaching ionospheric current flow. 18 well, these authors demonstrated for the first time that east-west aligned field-aligned current sheet pairs, if unbalanced along a meridian, can produce ionospheric current flows that resemble those which are believed to exist.

304

Nothing has been said concerning the mapping of these various current systems to the outer magnetosphere. As this mapping is required to understand the possible driving mechanisms for the currents, it will be included in Chapter 5 of this thesis, where some possible current generators are described.

Pigure 1.9 A model ionospheric current pattern. The current strength between two adjacent current contours is  $2 \times 10^{\circ}$  A. (After Yasuhara et al 1975.)

•

1

•

•

,

**N I** 

.



;

)

ę

32

•

## 1.5 The Objectives of this Thesis

The data analysis presented in thesis the VAS undertaken in the hope that it would lead to a model of ionospheric and magnetospheric currents which would be consistent with all known observations in the high latitude regions of the earth. This goal was, in retrospect, perhaps too ambitious. However, working from ground-based magnetic data, and using average characteristics of the ionospheric electric field and ionospheric conductivities, a current model has been developed, which, although it does not reproduce the observations exactly, does reproduce in a statistically significant way, many features of the data. This is the first time that a current model has been SO rigidly tested against real data, and the prime value of this thesis lies in that test.

## CHAPTER 2 DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS

## 2.1 Data Handling

From late in 1969 to early in 1972, the University of Alberta intermittently operated a number of 3-component magnetic observatories. In the centered dipole system of coordinates, these observatories all lie along approximately the 300°E meridian. Table 2.1 gives the positions of these observatories, as well as the observatory or station . mnemonic, and Figure 2.1 shows a map of the location of ' these stations. As well as these observatories, data from (RESO; 83.0°N, Resolute 290.5°E) and Hewport Bay (NEWP;55.1°N, 300.0°E) were available to extend the coverage of the line of stations both northward and southward. At each of the sites shown in Figure 2.1, data were recorded digitally on 7-track magnetic tape, with a sample rate of one data point in each of 3 channels every 1.92 seconds, timing of the data being considered accurate to ±1s (Kisabeth, 1972). The system has a dynamic range of ±1000nT with a ±1mT sensitivity. As well as recording the magnetic data, a timing signal from radio station WWVB was recorded for 2 minutes every 7.5 hours. Kisabeth (1972) has described the instrumentation in some detail.

For computer compatibility, the field tapes were converted to 9-track station master tapes, containing data for one station only. As this was being done, the WWVB timing data were interpreted by a special computer code, and

TABLE 2.1

•

.

1

# COORDINATES OF BASIFICAETER LINE SLIPS

*

1 2118	CODE MARE	EBOGRAPHIC LATITUDE (°B) LOBGITUDE (°E)	SEOGRAPHIC BJ LOMGITUDE (BE)	LATITUDE (°N) LONGITUDE (°E)	GEORAGEETIC (* N) LONGITUDE (*)
Cambridge Bay	CABB	69.1	. 255.0	76.8	296.6
Contwoyto Lake	CONT	<b>65.</b> 5	249.7	1 72.6	295.8
Fort Reliance	BELI	62.7	251.0	70.3	300.1
Fort Saith		60.0	248.0	67.3	300.0
Port Chipevyani	CHIP	58.8	248.0	<b>66.</b> 3	303.1
Fort Bedurray (	ACAU	56.7	248.8	<b>64.</b> 2	303.5
Beanook	KEWK	54.6	246.7		300.
Leduc .		53.3	. 246.5	60.6	302.9

•

.

35

•

• ·

Figure 2.1

•

A map showing the location of the University of Alberta magnetic observatory mites. Data were also available from Newport, U.S.A., and Resolute Bay, Canada (not shown) along the same meridian as the University of Alberta observatories.

٠

.

. ``

.

. .

•

.



timing information, along with the station mnemonic was written as a label for each block of data (1 hour, 5 minutes, 32 seconds of data). In some instances, the WWVB timing information was not machine decodeable. Then, a Cal Comp plot was made of the WWVB signal, and it was interpreted by hand.

. 0

٦,

When all data had been transferred to 9-track magnetic tapes, a so-called event tape was created for specific intervals of tipe. Each event tape contains a series of files, with each file containing all data for the time interval for one station. Thus, each event tape contains the data for all the stations for a given interval of time. The availability of event tapes minimizes the number of tapes which must be manipulated to study a specific period of time, or event.

At the beginning of this thesis study, the data were available on 9-track master tapes; data acquisition did not play a significant role in this thesis.

The magnetometer sensors at each of the observatories were aligned in the direction of local magnetic north, local magnetic east, and the vertical (H,D, and Z respectively, where H is positive morthward, D is positive eastward, and S is positive downward). To facilitate the interpretation of the data and the modelling of the magnetic field sources, the centered dipole coordinate system (an orthogonal system) was used, and all data in this thesis will be presented in this system. This required that the measured horizontal

а Зв components (H, D) of the magnetic field be rotated into the centered dipole system through the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^* \\ \mathbf{y}^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}$$

where the primed coordinates represent the centered dipole system and the unprimed coordinates the local magnetic system. Here,  $\theta$  is defined as the difference between the magnetic declination of the station and the dipole declination. Table 2.2 lists  $\theta$  for the observatories used in . this thesis.

## 2.2 Data Processing

``ځ

## 2.2.1 Latitude Profiles

The primary suite of data that was used in this study was from the time period from Day 332,1971, (November 28, 1971) to Day 24, 1972, (January 24, 1972). The data will be presented in the form of latitude profiles. A latitude profile is constructed from data points acquired at all stations at a given time, plotted as a function of the latitude of the station. This method of presentation has been chosen for several reasons. A latitude profile depicts a large amount of information in a single frame; that is, is fatitudinal behaviour of the three components of the magnetic field at a given time is shown on one plot. Also, in many cases, a latitude profile can be interpreted readily in terms of overhead ionospheric currents (see below). These

# TABLE 2.2

· •

••

•

.

.

,

•

ı

м. Си

.

# The Angle $\theta$ for the Observatories used in this thesis

QBS ERVATORY	Ø(*B)
I RESO	-45.5
	2.5
CONT	8.2
RELI	7.6
SHIT	7.5
PTCE	7.7
BC BU	7.2
aeyk (	7.2
LEDU	7.1
NEWP	6.3
• •	

40

,

two features greatly facilitate the interpretation of large, amounts of magnetic data. An example of a latitude profile is shown in Figure 2.2(a). This is a model profile (i.e., it is the result of calculating the megnetic field due to a hypothetical current system), for an ionospheric current of 5° in latitudinal extent, and 20° in longitudinal extent, and with uniform current density. Figure 2.2(b) shows a similar profile, but for a case where the current density is latitude caccording to the expression distributed in  $J(x) = \exp(-3x^2)$  where right latitudinal distance from the centre of the current. Both of these current systems are connected to the anguetosphere by field-aligned currents with closure in the equatorial plane as shown in Figure 2.2(c). Note that the north-south or I'-component peaks directly under the centre of the electrojet, and that the positions of the poleward and equatorward edges of the current system are provided by the Z-component extrema. The profiles shown in Figure 2.2(a) and 2.2(b) are calculated for ionospheric current flowing in a westward direction. For current flowing eastward, the signs of all the perturbation components are reversed.

As well as such an east-west current system, current may flow in a north-south direction in the ionosphere, linking the two anti-parallel field-aligned Birkeland current sheets. An idealized picture of such a current system is shown in Figure 2.3. Kisabeth (1972) has computed latitude profiles for short (i.e., limited in longitudinal

41



Figure 2.2(a)

A model latitude profile for a current of 5° latitudinal extent and 20° longitudinal extent, and of uniform density. The total current is 10° & and the profile is taken along a i line 5° east of the central meridian. Water Kisabeth, 1972).

42

Pigure 2.2(b)

Similar to Figure 2.2(a), but for a current density distributed according to  $J(z) = \exp(-3z^2)$ , where z - is the distance from the latitudinal center of the system. (After Kisabeth, 1972).



43

•

Pigure 2.3

Complete three-dimensional current system involving an ionospheric current system flowing in the morth-south direction. (After Kisabeth, 1972).

٠



extent) north-south systems; such a profile is shown in Figure 2.4, along with the perturbations due to the contributing systems. As well, Figure 2.5 shows the magnetic perturbations for a very long (180° in longitudinal extent) north-south current system. It will be observed that the general features are similar to those shown in Figure 2.4.

It is instructive at this point to consider the magnetic perturbations due to certain other hypothetical currents as well.

For a current system which, unlike that shown in Figure 2.2(c) does not flow along lines of equal latitude, the latitude profile produced along a meridian line differs from that shown in Figure 2.2(a). For such a "tilted" current system, the perturbation in the I'-component is decreased while the perturbation in the Y'-component is increased in such a way that I'' + Y'' is a constant. Figure 2.6 shows the effect of constraining the ionospheric current to flow along the path described by  $\theta = \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_1 - \theta_2) (1 + \cos \varphi)$ where heta is the colatitude, arphi the longitude (measured counterclockwise from midnight), and  $\theta_z$  and  $\theta_z$ colatitude at  $\varphi$  =180° and correspond to the 00 respectively. For the profile shown, the poleward boundary has values of  $(\partial_1, \partial_2) = (15^\circ, 20^\circ)$  while for the equatorward boundary,  $(\partial_1, \partial_2) = (20^\circ, 25^\circ)$ . It is apparent that  $\Delta Y'$  follows  $\Delta I'$ .

Later in this thesis, reference will be made to "net field-aligned currents"; that is, currents flowing into the



.`

Figure 2.4

Model latitude profile for three-dimensional north-south current system, as shown in Figure 2.2. This system lies between latitudes 65.5°N to 69.5°N, and between longitudes 0° to 4°. The profile is east of the central meridian, and taken 20 of 10⁶ A flowing. there is total 8 The contributions the component current of systems are shown as well.



1

Hodel latitude profile of very a long current system. The system lies north-south between latitudes 65.5°N and 69.5°N, and is 1800 in longitudinal extent. At latitude 67.5°, height-integrated the ionospheric current density is 1.0 Am-1, and the total current in the system is 7.8 x 104 A. The profiles are located at: a) the central meridian (90°);

- b) 45° east of the central meridian;
- c) 90° east of the central meridian.

Figure 2.6

. model latitude profile for current constrained to flow along the path described by  $\theta = \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(1 + \cos\varphi)$ , where , viere  $\theta$  is the colatitude,  $\varphi$  the longitude, and  $\theta_2$  correspond to the colatitudes at φ=180b and 0° respectively. POL this profile, the poleward boundary has  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (15^\circ, 20^\circ)$  and the oguaterward boundary has  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (20^\circ, 25^\circ)$ . A total of 10° A is flowing between longitudes 0° to 20°. The profile is calculated at **(#** =10°.



ionosphere along a magnetic field line at one longitude which flow up the field line into² the megnetemphere at some other longitude. The megnetic perturbations which are produced by such currents are characterized by a level shift in, the east-west component of the magnetic field across the latitudinal extent of the net current flow. Figure 2.7 is the latitude profile for net downward field-aligned current, distributed uniformly over 5° of latitude and 20° of longitude.

Then recording magnetic data, one cannot easily separate the field perturbations due to iopospheric currents from , those induced in the conducting earth. It has been useful in the past to attempt to compensate for this effect by a godelling the earth by a sphere which is perfectly conducting up to some depth below the surface of the earth, is an insulator above that, depth dostron, 1969; an d Kisabeth, 1972). This is only an approximation to the real "whituation, but has the advantage that the model is time." independent, i.e., the nature of the induced field is not dependent upon the time variation of the external field. If an earth of finite conductivity is used, then one sust be concerned with the skin depth which is frequency dependent, vell as the phase difference between the sagnetic 88 induction field and the induced electric field (which is also frequency dependent). For an infinitely conducting paterial, the skin depth and phase difference are constants. Kisabeth (1972) has presented results of this approach for



4_.[^]



Pigure 2.7

¢

Model latitude profile for a downward field-aligned current distributed uniformly over 5° of latitude and 20° of longitude. A total of 10° A is flowing, and the profiles are taken at: a) 10° east of the central meridian; b) 20° east of the central meridian; c) 30° east of the central meridian. different depths of an infinite conductor, and these are reproduced in Figure 2.8. It will be noted that the I'- and I'-components are enhanced by the induction and the Scomponent is reduced. Although this approach involves a rather risky approximation to the earth's conductivity structure, insufficient detail about conductivity structure under the University. of Albertr agnetometer line is known to varrant the use of technique's to separate the external and internal components of the perturbation field. However, it is believed that the techniques used by Kisabeth provide at least a first order correction to the data making any inferences drawn more reliable than they would have been were bot more correction for induction taken into account.

The latitude profiles of real data presented in this thesis are smooth curves drawn through the data points. These curves are meant to serve as an sid to the eye and do not necessarily represent real data between the labelled points. However, the curves are drawn based on experience with a very large number of such profiles. Since the discussion of the data will be directed more towards the statistics of the samples rather than the individual events; it is believed that it is reasonable to discuss the profiles as drawn.

## 2.2.2 Polar plots

2.

Although for analysis of the data it has been found that latitide profiles represent the preferred method of

Figure 2.8

•

× _ •

-

Model latitude profiles showing the effect on the computed components of the perturbation magnetic field of placing a superconducting sphere at different depths. The panels on the left show the total field and those on the right the field due to the induction only. These profiles are for an east-west current loop, spanning the latitude range 65°M to 75°M. A total of 10° A is flowing over 20° of longitude. The profiles are taken 5° east of the central meridian. (After Kisabeth, 1972).


data apresentation, frequently in suroral physics, current systems are displayed in polar ploth. In this thesis, this method of displaying the data and model results will be used on occasion. In these plots, the horizontal magnetic field vector has been rotated through 90° so as to represent an equivalent horizontal current, and the equivalent current vectors have magnitudes proportional to the strength of the meanetic perturbation. Note that the equivalent currents do not necessarily represent real currents; some portion of the observed magnetic perturbations arises due to field-aligned currents which link the iomosphere to the outer magnetosphere. However, this presentation permits easy comparison of the results of this study with some earlier work in which mequivalent ionospheric current systems have been-used.

# 2.3 <u>Discussion of Inversion Theory</u>

Appendix II describes briefly Backus-Gilbert linear inversion theory, and more detail may be obtained from the published papers on this topic (Backus and Gilbert, 1967; 1970), and a recent review by Parker (1977). However, it should be emphasized that considerable care must be exercised when interpreting the results of an inversion and in particular when using the results of a "model that fits the data". As pointed out in the Appendix, one must be able to forward model the data before it can be successfully inverted. In the case of inverting magnetic latitude

profiles, one must have a current model which will reproduce the observations of the magnetic field, subject only to finding the correct latitudinal current distribution.

To demonstate this, a latitude profile was generated for a hypothetical eastward flowing current with a 4° latitudinal extent (colatitude 20° to 24°) and a 20° longitudinal extent. (Figure 2.9). The current was uniform in latitude and closed along field lines via an equatorial ring current. The profile thus obtained was inverted for the current density, subject to different model parameters.

In the ideal case, the geometry of the current system is exactly known, as are all the currents whose fields contribute to the profile. If the data of Figure 2.9 are inverted, and the correct parameters (i.e., the correct current boundaries) are supplied to the computer code, then the result is as shown in Figure 2.10. The solid line is the average <J(0)>, and the broken line is the so-called flattest model (see Appendix II). In this example, the average agrees exactly with the known current distribution, ab there are errors neither in the data nor in the supplied parameters. The flattest model, which is only one of an infinite wet of models which may fit the data has been constrained to have zero current intensity at the latitudinal extrema, and in order to fit the observations, this constraint introduces spatial oscillations into the sodel.

The results of a second inversion of the data is shown



Pigure 2.9

<u>م</u>لو

Ġ,

A model latitude profile demonstrating the inversion of magnetic data to obtain height-integrated current density (current intensity) as a function of latitude. A total current of  $10^{\circ}$  A was distributed uniformly over 4° (66°N to 70°N) of latitude for a current intensity of 2.22 Am⁻¹.

۰.

Ľ





Result of inverting the data shown in Figure 2.9. The solid line is the curve of  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$ , the average current intensity. The dashed line is the current intensity for the flattest model. In this example, the current limits were set to 66°H and 70°H.

્રેક્

. 59

in Figure 2.11. In this case the constraints on the latitudinal extent have been relaxed, and this allows the flattest model to fit the data while still permitting zero current at the poleward and equatorward borders. In this case the model approximates the known current distribution. However, the current estimate no longer yields an exact current density, because by **emp**plying the computer code with a latitudinal extent that is wider than that used to generate the data, the inversion puts current into a region where in reality there is no current, thus causing an overall degradation of the result.

Finally, the data of Figure 2.9 were inverted assuming that no field-aligned current or ring current contributed to the profile. Although this is not physically realistic, it serves to demonstrate the effect of using an incorrect model, or, alternatively, of errors in the data. For these results (Figure 2.12),  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$  is reduced because less current is required to produce the magnetic field perturbations if the field-aligned and ring current contributions are ignored. The flattest model in this example consists of large amplitude spatial oscillations, and bears little resemblence to the known current intensity distribution.

These examples serve to demonstrate several features of the inverse problem. In the first place, each of the flattest models of current distribution, if used in the forward problem, will generate  $\Delta I'$  and  $\Delta Z$  profiles in good

60

Å.



Pigure 2.11

Similar to Figure 2.10. The constraint on the current limits has been relaxed to the latitude range 65.5°N to 70.5°N.



Pigure 2.12

٩

Similar to Figure 2.11. In this example, it was assumed that there was no field-aligned or ring current contributing to the magnetic field.

ļ

agreement with the hypothetical Amervations (Figure 2.13). Bovever, each of these actels differ in character descentrating the son-uniqueness of the problem. Choice of an incorrect forward model can lead to grossly incorrect models that fit the data, although the saigue average, <J(0)> (1.e., usigue for a given set of parameters) is all cases gives a not unreasonable current distribution. Errors is the data do not gressly affect the recent vof the inversion of the latitude prefiles. Errors in the data may arise from statistical considerations of systematic data collection errors. However, if an incorrect forward model is chosen, or the parameters are incorrectly specified, then, relative to that model, the data are in error as well. If the data of Figure 2.9 had been real data, and had been inverted using incorrect model parameters, then the result of Figure 2.12 could have been obtained. The fit to the data is very good (the root mean square relative error is less than 0.1%). Given no a priori knowledge of the nature of the current distribution, this model might be acceptable. During periods of strong sagnetospheric activity, iceespheric conductivity and electric fields may become quite intense in spatially localized regions, and in such cases, one night not expect a smooth current distribution. However, the data suite used in this thesis consists of hourly averaged data, and the averaging propess will to smooth out intense latitudinally localized auroral variations. This assumption together with the knowledge of how spatially oscillatory

Figure 2.13

Comparison of the model data from Pigure 2.9 With that enloylated from the flatboot model current distribution.

a) Results from the flattest model of Figure

b) Results from the flattest model of Figure

c) Results from the flattest model of Figure 2.12.

1.

۰.



colois any erise justifies the search for mosth current algorithmics and this sufficien was applied to the dependen results to test the correstance of the ferrer andel.

## Le Supernonel Stock Analysis

dithough the lotitude profiles pand in this study manist of data points which are are agon, and although specilos from a gives hour have very similar qualitative the day to day there is still as margidable variability which is difficult to quantify. In sector to obtain what sight be called a set of generalized latitude profiles which would facilitate modelling of the mention cuttingst systems, the mathod of Superposed epoch enalysis (SPEA) was used (Chroe, 1972, 1918) . That is, latitude grofiles for the same hourly interval on each day were cunnized for a comos feature, the latitudinal reference peint. Walass of each curve on each profile were then effetted at: angular distances of ±5, ±10, ±15 degrees from the reference point. In this study, the reference point was the great value of the segative I'-component is the pre-scen white and the peak value of the positive I'-component is gent-mus sector. In the noon sector, the I'-component not well defined, and the reference public us chosen as and of the Theory present rang that was always observed the the time the second for each

a station,

Latitude, The sur

.!

for each hour of the day, are what will be called the latitude profiles. Note that the SPRA profiles involve a fair degree of subjectivity. That is, curves were drawn through the date points of the original averaged latitude profiles, and as pointed out earlier, these curves are drawn subject to a certain degree of Subjectivity, although the curves do pass through each date point, and are constructed with the characteristic behaviour of typically observed profiles studied over several years in mind.

There is obviously a severe problem in presenting larg data suites in which subsets of the data denodetrate gualitatively similar features. The actual number of data points'used in this thesis is of the order of 5.4 x 107, and it would be unreasonable to present each of these. The superposed epoch technique allows one to show a large amount of data concisely, although it must be borne in mind that the method is designed to enhance certain features of the data. In addition, the SPEA may mask other features of the data. For example, consider the latitude profile shown in Figure 2.6. When it is digitized as described above, in 5º stops away from the  $\Delta X'$  peak as reference point, the  $\Delta Z$ extrema de not fall at digitisation points. In fact, the regulting prefile has 5-component extreme 10° apart (Figure 2.14), giving the impression of an electrojet that is 10° in latindical extent. In other words, a 5° digitization increment in Asserticient to require features less' than or er, the SPEL profiles will be used



Jan ... 68



A

primarily to provide entiretes of the segminute of the  $I^{+-}$ component peak value, and the size of the level shift in the  $I^{+}$ -component. Heither one nor the other of these are affected by the 5° digitization step.

.

*.* 

CHAPTER S ; RESULTS OF DOMA MILLIST

# 3-1 Panakistian of the Data

For this study, latitude profiles from henry averaged values (centered on the half hear of X', T', and I, were generated for the period from Day 332, 1971, to Day 24, 1973. Since the absolute field is not measured, baseline values were required from which to measure the magnetic field porturbations. To this dud, values of the three components at each station were determined over the hourly 2100-2000 segnetic Lobal time (HLT)) on days during which mgnetic field exhibited only thered . the small perturbations during the day (a so-called "guiet" day) . Ford the data suite in this study, Maseline values were chosen on Day 345, 1971 ( Xp=10) and Day 1, 1922 ( Xp=7-) and there baselines were used over an interval of ±2 weeks.

Single station magnetograms for the entire period of this study were examined for obvious drifts in the baseline values. When they were detected, a straight line was fitted through the data for the period, daring which drift occurred, and the data were then represent to this line before remeving the guist diy baselikes. For example, from Day 357, Main May 15, 1972 at Pers Baith, the S'-component showed minartely items filters at 25 mR, the T'-component a 350 mT, and the B-component a drift of -60 mT. the I'-component, 150 mT in the T'-component, and -150 mT in the S-somponent over the time period from Day 341, 1971 to Bay 350, 1971. All the other stations had matchle baselines during the observation period.

In this chapter, a description of typical latitude profiles as a function of time will be presented, and a phesemenological model of the four reats as inferred from this data will be developed.

3.2 Statistical Characterisition of the Data and the Branination % of the entire data sub-Branination % of the entire data subdecomposition of ceach day distributereral ; source, entire data subcharacterized by its own type sub-situde profile.

3.2.1 The 2200 - 0200 ALT Regarder

The first such sector or regime spans the period from about 2200 MLT to about 8200 MLT, across the region of the mrang discontinuity. Representative solutions from each of the 4 hourly integrals are shown in Figures 3.1(d,b,c,d). It is evident from these perfilees that there is a great variability in the assaitance of the average perturbations. In space somethis, is greated, AI' and AS is this regime are indicative at either bestungt flowing surrent, or both eachered and emetword deving surrent, or both the memory of either bestungt flowing surrent, or both eachered and emetword deving the is probable that the memory of either bestungt flowing surrent, and has in the surrent line is a surrent is probable that the memory of either bestungt flowing surrent, and has indicated by a surrent is a surrent is a such as



2000-2000 217 (0600-0700 UT) 2000-2000 217 (0700-0800 UT) 0000-0100 ELT (0000-0900 UT) 0000-0900 4LT (0000-1000 UT)

· ·

1

change does not necessarily than that both equivard and restruct currents are flowing directly over the asynetometer line. Bather, for emaples derivers flowing qurrent may exist to the vest of the line while unstworf device extremt flows directly prophed. Sourcer, regardless of the asture of the  $I^{4-}$  and 5-compleast profiles in this time sector, the  $\Delta T'$  signature is distingtive in that it is detive across the equivaries of the time the theory of the ovel, as is ghown is that panel of Figure 3.1.

The SPEA of all the data for this regime (Figure 3.2) desonstitutes the above characteristics as will. As will be discussed later, segative  $\Delta I^*$  Mighature is interpreted as the result of poleward flowing Hall current diverging poleward within the eastward and westward electrojets.

3.2.2 The 0200 - 1000 MLT Regime

The second distinctive regime mans the dawn seridian, lying between approximately 0200 mm and 1000 MB2 (about 1000 % 0 1800 UT). This time period is dominated by the westward electrojet, although frequently there is an indication of a weak partner dering surrant equatorward of the wistward electronet particularly during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another and setivity during recovery from periods of appared and another another and setivity during the typical another and setimate and setimate another and another another another another another another and another another another another another another and another another

3310()



igure 3.2 Superposed epoch analysis of the hourly averaged latting de postizes for the hours: (a) 2200-2300 mm (200-0700 UT) (b) 2300-200 UT) (c) 0000-0100 UT (000000 UT) (c) 0000-0100 UT (000000 UT)

÷2





. . .

l

a veek es lies and a construction of the second sec

All is the poleward part of the pole is not the scomponent is superetric about the distincts and the the second the positive extremes is ground the time second, and is the positive extremes is ground the time second, and is consistent with ebserbaliess reported by Langel (1974s, 1976b) of the poles erbiting second field ebserved at high intitudies by the poles erbiting second inter Ogo 2, apo 4, and Ogo 8.

 $(\mathfrak{A})$ 

Figure 3.5(b) is a profile for the interval 7000 to 1200 UT (approximately 0.000 to 2000 2000, genited interval, it now not differ apprilisemely from the provine jatime profile. We want for this interval stiguts 3.4 des is also shifter to set for the province how approach there is more distant lovel which is 100 24-component.

The sext beauty inputriel ( 1990 1990 198; and 1900 198; plants 3.3 (25) shows a diamy sevel danks be the 1°-dimension adding 12 is adding sevel to 10, ibe danks a district adding 12 is adding sevel to 10, ibe danks a district adding 12 is a district to 10, ibe danks a district adding 12 is a district to 10, ibe danks a distributed to 10, ibe danks a d





In fact, the reminder of the panels in Figure 3.3 are not unlike Figure 3.3(C) insofar as they all indicate an indisputable level shift in the Y*-component. As well, this shift increases in magnitude relative to the X*-component negative extremum as the local time of the profiles approaches noon. Also, the asymmetry in the S-component that was described above persists as a general feature. The remainder of the SPEA latitude profiles in Figure 3.4 bear out this description as a general feature of this entire. magnetic local time sector.

80

## 3.2.3 The 1000 - 1400 HLT Begime

The third distinctive region which has been delineated spans local magnetic moon from about 1000 HLT to 1400 HLT (1800 UT to 2200 UT). This region corresponds to the "zone of confusion" identified by Barang (1946). An examination of profiles from this sector bears out this latitude description. Figure 3.5 shows a series of latitude profiles for the same day Aron 1000 HLT to 1400 HLT. The Z-component in Figure 3.5(a) is consistent with a weak eastward flowing current centered at about 67.5° N, and a stronger westward flowing current centered at about 73° N. However, the I'component profile is not consistent with this. Similarly, the I- and I'-components in Figure 3.5(b) are not consistent with any simple eastward/westward electrojet interpretation. Indged, none of the profiles in Figure 3.5 can be interpreted in any simple way, and this difficulty exists on

B



81

ι,

all days examined in this sector. The one feature that appears consistently in the latitude profiles from this region is the clear, relatively large positive-going level shift in the I'-component.

The SPEA of the profiles from 1000 to 1400 HLT (Figure 3.6(a,b,c,d)) is very difficult to explain in terms of any simple east-west current flows. However, again the level shift in  $\Delta Y$  is brought out clearly, as is the overall negative bias in the X⁴-component. The level shift in the Y⁴-component actually appears more as a ramp but this is more an artefact of the SPEA than a feature of the real data. That is, in this time sector, there is a real level shift in  $\Delta Y$ , but the latitude range over which this occurs varies considerably from day to day so that the Heaviside-like Y⁴-component becomes smeared out.

#### 3.2.4 The 1400 - 1900 MLT Regime

7

The fourth regime which has been identified is the post-noon quadrant, lying between approximately 1400 to 1900 MLT (2200-0300 UT). This regime presents latitude profiles in which  $\Delta X$  and  $\Delta Z$  can be interpreted in terms of a simple eastward electrojet. For example, Figure 3.7(b), the latitude profile for 2300 to 2400 UT (1500 to 1600 MLT) on Day 10, 1972, may be interpreted as an eastward³ current centered about 67.5° N, combined with a poleward flowing current to give the negative  $\Delta X$  poleward of 70° N. Further similar examples are shown in the remainder of



Figure 3.6 Superposed epoch analysis of the hourly averaged latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1000-1100 HLT (180Q-1900 UT) (b) 1100-1200 HLT (1900-2000 UT) (c) 1200-1300 HLT (2000-2100 UT) (d) 1300-1400 HLT (2100-2200 UT)

## Figure 3.7 Representative hourly average latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1400-1500 MLT (2200-2300 UT) (b) 1500-1600 MLT (2300-2400 UT) (c) 1600-1700 MLT (0000-0100 UT) (d) 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT) (e) 1800-1900 MLT (0200-0300 UT)

*

¢



4

٠.



Figure 3.7. The Y'-component in this time regime is variable in that, part of the time, there is a positive-going level shift (e.g., Figure 3.7(b)) and (c)), and other times there is no level shift the figure 3(7(d)). The SPEA of the data in this approximately dusk a positive-generation lit in  $\Delta Y'$  (Figure 3.8). Hear dusk (Figure 3.8(e)), the Y'-component tends to follow the X'component, indicating that the ionospheric current likely does not flow in a direction orthogonal to the magnetometer chain. Beyond this time in this regime, the SPEA gives profiles in which the Y'-component shows a negative-going level shift although it is is not as clear a shift as observed in the pre-moon sector. However, some, of the examples of the original data shown in Figure 3.7 show that indeed a negative-going level shift does occur in many instances although the majority of profiles up to 1900 MLT show no distinct level shift in  $\Delta Y'$ .

## 3.2.5 The 1900 - 2200 MLT Regime

ø

The fifth and Final region that has been identified from this study occurs in the evening sector from about 1900 to 2200 HLT (0300 to 0600 UT). This regime is dominated by the positive  $\Delta I'$  signature of the eastward electrojet. However, this time period is distinctive in that there exists a negative-going level shift in the Y'-component, and a region of negative  $\Delta I'$  poleward of the positive  $\Delta I'$ regime. Although in the previous sector there also existed a

Figure 3.8 Superposed epoch for the hourly averaged latitude process for the hourly (a) 1400-1500 MLT (2200-2300 UT) (b) 1500-1600 MLT (2300-2400 UT) (c) 1600-1700 MLT (0000-0100 UT) (d) 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT) (e) 1800-1900 MLT (0200-0300 UT)



...

region of negative  $\Delta X'$  in the poleward part of the profile, the I'-component did not peak in the region, and the E-component was consistent with only an eastward current. The  $\Delta I'$  level shift in the 1900-2200 HLT regime occurs over a narrow latitudinal width across and poleward of the eastward electrojet positive I'-bay signature. Figures 3.9(a,b,c) are typical of each hourly interval in this time sector. The corresponding SPEA profiles (Figure 3.10(a,b,c)) again serve to emphasize the general nature of the observations in this regime.

## 3.2.6 Summary of the Five Regimes

To summarize so far, hourly averaged latitude profiles the perturbation magnetic field may be divided into 5 of distinct regions, characterized primarily by the behaviour of the east-west or I'-component of the field. Around midnight, including the region of the so-called Harang discontinuity, the Y'- component is negative over the width of the profile, and the X'-component shows the signature of westward electrojet and, at times, of an eastward electrojet as well. From 0200 HLT to near noon, there is a  $\Delta I'$ , positive-going with shift distinct level in increasing latitude. This level shift is confined primarily the region of the westward electrojet and increases in to magnitude (with respect to the maximum  $\Delta X^*$ ) as noon is approached. The third region spans noon and is characterized by great variability in the X'- and Z-components. However

Figure 3.9 Representative hourly average latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1900-2000 MLT (0300-0400 UT) (b) 2000-2100 MLT (0400-0500 UT) (c) 2100-2200 MLT (0500-0600 UT)

.


Figure 3.10 Superposed epoch analysis of the hourly averaged latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1900-2000 HLT (0300-0400 UT) (b) 2000-2100 BLT (0400-0500 UT) (c) 2100-2200 HLT (0500-0600 UT)

.

.

,

4

.

.



:

 $\Delta$  Y' consistently shows a large positive-going step of level shift. Prom about 1400 to 1900 HLT, the profiles are characterized by the signature of an eastward flowing current and either a small positive-going step in  $\Delta$  Y' or no step at all. Prom 1900 to 2200 HLT, the profiles provide evidence of both eastward and westward currents ( the latter being the most poleward current) and with these, a negativegoing level shift in the Y'- component.

The remainder of this chapter will deal with the interpretation of these profiles in terms of a worldwide three dimensional current system, as well as presenting some other information derived from these profiles and other sources.

## 3.3 Interpretation of the Data Suite

The most distinctive feature of the data is the level shift which occurs in the Y*-component, As described in [™] Chapter 3, a net field-aligned current produces as a ground magnetic signature, a step in △Y*. Field-aligned currents were first proposed by Birkeland (1908,1913) and subsequently were reintroduced by Pejer (1961), Kern(1962) and Boström (1964) in three-dimensional current models for auroral regions. It was not until recently (Zmuda <u>et al</u>, 1967; Armstrong and Zmuda,1970) that such currents were identified from <u>in situ</u> measurements by magnetometers on board polar orbiting satellites. Several more recent studies (Yasuhara <u>et al</u>, 1975; Sugiura and Potemra,1976; Lijima and

94

Potesra, 1976) show that there is a tendency for there to be net downward current flow in the norming sector of the auroral oval, and net upward flow in the evening sector. If this is indeed the case, and the net field-aligned currents are uniformly diverging in the ionosphere, then no ground based signature would (Vasyliunas, 1972). be observed Fukushima (1969) has given a simple description of this phenomenon by considering a line current flowing into the ionosphere (Pigure 3.11). If the total inflow is I amps, then at a radial distance r frcm the current, on the ground, the magnetic field is given by I/r, and is directed clockwise (looking along the current). If this current diverges uniformly radially outward (in the horizontal plane), then the overhead ionospheric current density at the same observation point is  $1/2\pi r_r$ , and the magnetic effect on the ground is given by I/r, but in the counter-clockwise direction. The net effect is thus zero magnetic perturbation below the ionosphere. However, the ionosphere provides a highly conducting channel in the form of the auroral oval, so that one would expect to see distinctive magnetic signatures at ground based observatories. These will have the basic characteristic of a level shift in the east-west component of the magnetic field across the region of net field-aligned current flow. This fact together with satellite observations of field-aligned currents has been used to aid in the interpretation of the latitude profiles. fact, if a positive-going  $\Delta Y'$  step is taken to be the In



Figure 3.11 Schematic drawing to demonstrate how a vertical current which diverges uniformly into the horizontal plane produces no net magnetic perturbation. See text for details.

.

signature of a net downward field-aligned current, and a negative-going  $\Delta Y$  step to be the signature of upward flowing current, then a picture of the diurnal variation of the disturbance component of the magnetic field associated with the field-aligned current flow may be obtained. Such a picture is shown in Figure 3.12. This is a histogram of the frequency of occurrence of inward and outward net fieldaligned current, as well as the number of cases when no such cases were observed in the ground data. The cross-hatched region delineates that region of the auroral oval known as the Harang discontinuity.

There is a remarkable similarity between the behaviour of the net field-aligned current as inferred from the latitude profiles, and the diurnal variation of the average electric field observed at auroral latitudes (Mozer and Lucht, 1974; Iversen and Madsen, 1977). Figure 3.13(a) is a plot of the east-west and north-south components of the average auroral zone electric field as a function of local time obtained by Mozer and Lucht (1974). Most of the data used in compiling this plot was collected at Thompson, Manitoba, and Churchill, Manitoba, where local time is approximately the same as magnetic local time. Figure 3.13(b) is similar data in a polar plot, obtained by Iversen and Hadsen (1977).

The first region discussed above (2200 to 0200 MLT) features an electric field which is westward and poleward (towards dusk), and westward and equatorward (towards dawn).

Figure 3.12

.

Histogram showing the distribution of net field-aligned current as a function of "Universal "Time, as inferred from the ground-based magnetic measurements. Approximate magnetic local time is shown across the top of the figure.

•



Ĺ

Figure 3.13(a) The diurnal variation in the ionospheric electric field in the region of the auroral oval. The time scale is local time, which, for these data, is approximately equal to magnetic local time (see text). (After Mozer and Lucht, 1974).





Figure 3.13(b) Average ionospheric electric field vectors in the region of the auroral zone, plotted in a geomagnetic latitude, magnetic local time frame. (After Iversen and Madsen, 1977).

This field can drive a poleward Hall current and a vestward Pedersen current. In this region, the latitude profiles show a Y'-component which is negative across the profile, and this signature can be produced by polevard flowing current. region, or dawn regime has a dominantly The second equatorward component of electric field and the east-west component, although small, is primarily eastward. This equatorward electric field can drive a westward flowing Hall current, consistent with the magnetic signatures observed in this sector. The poon sector (1000 to 1400 HLT) is characterized by a weak or zero east-west electric field, and a transitional north-south electric field. In this region, the ground based magnetic observations are confused with respect to electrojet flow, although the Y'-component signature is that of a strong inward net field-aligned current flow. In the post-noon quadrant, the data of Mozer and Lucht indicate an essentially zero east-west component to the electric field, but a strong poleward component. This, too, is consistent with the latitude profiles which show in this sector an eastward electrojet that would be a Hall current driven by a poleward electric field. The data of Iversen and Hadsen (1977) show a small eastward component in the electric field. However, the near absence of an eastwest component in the electric field is consistent with essentially no north-south component of Hall current. Thus one would not expect the electrojet Hall current to diverge significantly along field lines in this region. Finally,

from 1900 to 2200 HLT the electric field has a vestward component increasing toward midnight and a poleward component increasing toward dusk. These fields are also consistent with the observed eastward electrojet flow that is observed in this sector. Table 3.1 is a summary of these comparisons.

As pointed out above, the level shift in the Y'component ( $\Delta Y'_{step}$ ) is believed to be related to net field-aligned current flow into and out of the ionosphere. The positive-going  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  in the dawn and noon sectors is then interpreted as the signature of net inward fieldaligned current flow. To maintain current continuity, it was hypothesized that this net inward current feeds the westward ionospheric electrojet. Similarly, the net inward flow in the immediate post-noon sector could feed the eastward electrojet. In the dusk sector, where AT'step fs negative-going and apparently related in position to the negative X' latitudinal regime, the inferred upward fieldaligned current has been hypothesized to be the result of the electrojets bleeding upward along the field lines into the magnetosphere.

To test this hypothesis, it was assumed that the peak values of the X'-component ( $\Delta X'_{peak}$ ) were related to the magnitude of the electrojet current strength, and further that  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  was an indicator of the magnitude of the unbalanced field-aligned current. Subject to these assumptions, one would then predict that the norm of the

TABLE 3.1

## Correspondence Berveen Met Ziell-Aligned Current Zlov and Aurosal Zone Ziectris Zield

.

LAT SPAN	I RECIPE	ELECTRIC TIELD	FIELD-ALIGUED CURREFT AND IONOSPHERIC CURRENT FLOW
2200-0200	 	westward td toward dawn rd toward dawn	Poleward Hall current flow Hestward Pedersen current flow
0200-1000		Pronounced eastward component Consistent equatorward component	Dominated by westward Hall current flow; net downward field-aligned current regime
1000-1400	Moon Sector	Weak westward component 100 promounced c or zero eastward component electrojet flow (Confused north-south  Continued downw (component  aligned current	Ho promounced consistent electrojet flov continued downward met field- paligmed current flow
1400-1900	Post-noon Quadrant	3ero east-vest component	Eastward electrojet flow High probability of no met field-aligned current flow
1900-2200	Pre-midnight Quadrant	Hestward component toward aidnight edge of regime Consistent poleward component	Zastward electrojet flow Met upward field-aligned current flow

ŝ.

105

2 🐝

ratio of  $\Delta I'_{step}$  to  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  from 0200 BLT to mpon / would increase and from noom to dusk, the same ratio would decrease. In the dusk quedrant,  $|\Delta I'_{step} / \Delta I'_{peak}|$ would increase for negative  $\Delta I'$ , and decrease for positive  $\Delta I'$ . Figure 3.14 shows this ratio obtained through the SPEA, plotted as a function of magnetic local time. It is apparent that qualitatively, the above expectations are realized. Hear noon, it was difficult to establish a value for  $\Delta I'$ , so no values are plotted for this regime.

This analysis is important in that the results form a basis for a world-wide current system model which will be discussed in detail in Chapter 4. That is, it is believed that the distinctive positive level shift in  $\Delta Y$ , of the hourly averaged latitude profiles across local moon and in the pre-moon sector is a signature, at least in part, of unbalanced field-aligned current flow into the ionosphere, which feeds the ionospheric Hall current electrojets. The negative level shift in  $\Delta Y$  in the pre-midnight duadrant reflects field-aligned current flow out of the ionosphere.

An alternative way to examine these data is to consider  $\Delta I'_{step}$  and  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  separately as functions of magnetic local time. Pigure 3.15 is the plot of the average, for a given hour, of  $\Delta I'_{step}$  and  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  versus HLT. Note that for the dawn regime,  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  increases from 0200 -1000 MLT (i.e., it becomes less negative), by about a factor of three, while  $\Delta I'_{step}$  increases by a factor of 2 to 3.





The ratio of  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  to  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  plotted as a function of local magnetic time. To a first approximation,  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  is indicative of unbalanced field-aligned current flow, and  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  is a measure of the ionospheric current flow.



Figure 3.15

Plot of  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  as a function of magnetic local time. Positive  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ means a positive-going level shift from south to north; negative  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  means a negative-going level shift from south to north. Positive  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  is the signature of eastward flowing current, negative  $\Delta X'_{peak}$ is the signature of westward flowing current.

108

,

Similarly, in the region of the eastward electrojet,  $\Delta X^{*}$  increases until about dusk while  $\Delta Y^{*}_{step}$ decreases. Beyond this time,  $\Delta Y^{*}_{step}$  becomes increasingly negative in coincidence with a decreasing  $\Delta X^{*}_{peak}$ . All these observations are consistent with the interpretation given in the previous paragraph.

In the diurnal variation of  $\Delta x'_{peak}$ for the interval 0200 to 1000 MLT, there is a distinct inflection on the curve near local magnetic dawn. This inflection is thought to be due to a decrease in the total westward flowing current near dawn. Some of the current flows up field lines near dawn due to a conductivity gradient between the sunlit and dark hemispheres, This observation will be discussed in some detail in Chapter 4. Finally it is noted that  $\Delta X'$  beak has a negative extremum during the hour 0200-0300MLT. This is in agreement with Allen and Kroehl (1975) who found that AL (indicative of the strength of the westward electrojet) tended to reach peak values approximately three hours after local magnetic midnight.

The time sectors in which upward and downward net field-aligned current are found from ground based data are in good agreement with those described by Sugiura and Potemra (1976) (Figure 3.16) except in the 1200-1400 MLT sector. These authors find net upward field-aligned current in this sector, whereas in the present study, the reverse current flow was observed, and this latter is consistent with a growing eastward electrojet. Most of the published

Figure 3.16 Percentage occurrence of level shifts in the east-west component of the magnetic field observed by the Triad satellite, as a function of MLT. The dotted regions represent net upward field-aligned current, the clear regions net downward field-aligned current. (After Sugiura and Potemra, 1976).



data from the Triad satellite have been from the summer months, whereas all the ground-based data in the present study come from winter months. An explanation of the discrepancy between the Triad satellite and ground magnetometer data will be offered in Chapter 4.

-----

Because of the role that the interplanetary magnetic field (IMP) plays in magnetospheric and auroral physics (see Chapter 2), it was useful to examine the correlation between the IMP and the presence of the  $\bigtriangleup r$  level shift observed in the latitude profiles. To this end, data were selected from two regions showing pronounced level shifts in the Y -1700-1800 UT The hours 1600-1700 UT and component. (approximately 0800-0900 MLT and 0900-1000 MLT) were chosen as representative of positive-going level shifts in the Y'component, and the hours 0400-0500 UT and 0500-0600 UT (2000-2100 MLT and 2100-2200 MLT) were chosen to represent the negative-going 🛆 Y' level shifts. Pigure 3.17  $\underline{\mathbf{YS}} \stackrel{B_{\mathbf{Z}}}{\to}$ , (i.e., the (a,b,c,and d) are plots of  $\Delta Y'$  step component of the IMF normal to the ecliptic plane). Although in some cases there were no data for the IMF for thoses cases where data did exist, there is a clear linear and B_z for the pre-noon  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ relationship between sector. That is when  $B_{\chi} > 0$  (i.e., the IMF points northwards, or is parallel to the earth's field in the ecliptic plane) there is a weak  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ ; however, if  $B_{z} < 0$ , then  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ increases linearly with increasing negative  $B_{Z}$ . If as suggested above, the level shift in  $\Delta Y'$  is related to a net

Figure 3.17 Plots of  $\Delta Y$  as a function of the component of the IMP normal to the ecliptic plane (B), for the hours (a) 0400-0500 UI (b) 0500-0600 UI

•

.



Figure 3.17 Plots of  $\Delta Y'$  as a function of the component of the IMF normal to the ecliptic plane (B), for the hours (c) 1600-1700 UT (d) 1700-1800 UT

.



¢

component of field-aligned current which in turn is related to the strength of the westward current, such a relationship between  $\Delta T_{step}^{*}$  and  $B_{Z}^{*}$  would be expected. In fact, Hirshberg and Colburn (1969) have shown a good correlation between Kp, a measure of suroral activity, and  $B_{Z}^{*}$ , when  $B_{Z}^{*}$  is directed southward.

In the evening sector, the relationship between AY'step and  $B_{z}$  is not as clear, although a pattern similar to that observed in the pre-noon sector is observed here. It would be of considerable help in determining unequivocably the relationship between  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $B_z$  if there were more cases of large  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ in this local time interval. However, for the period of this study, there was data for about only 50% of the days in each hourly IMP interval, and of this 50%, only about half of the cases provided large level shifts in  $\Delta Y$ . The result of this unfortunate circumstance is a paucity of data involving large level shifts in the Y'-component. However, the results are consistent with the observations of others (Hirshberg and Colburn, 1969) in view of the interpretation of the Y'component level shift presented in this thesis.

## 3.4 Inversion of Latitude Profile Data near Dusk

It was pointed out earlier in this chapter that there was evidence for penetration of the westward electrojet poleward of the eastward electrojet as far westward as 1800 HLT. Rostoker and Kisabeth (1973) have shown that eastward and westward electrojets exist simultaneously in this time sector during polar magnetic substorms. Hore recently, Kamide and Akasofu (1976) have published a similar result. As the existence of a vestward flowing current in this time sector during quiet to moderately disturbed times is important to the understanding of magnetospheric processes, a detailed study of the current flow in this regime was undertaken using the linear inversion theory of Backus and Gilbert (1970). In particular, the formulation developed by Oldenburg (1976) was used to determine the latitudinal distribution of current in this time sector. Appendix II gives a brief outline of the theory involved in this technique.

Besides carrying out the inversion, which leads to the only unique solution to the problem, a model of current intensity that "fits the data" was generated. That is, out of the infinite set of particular models, one which satisfied the relation  $\int J^*(\theta) d\theta = \min u$ , and which was constrained to fit the observations that fit the data as closely as possible, was developed as an aid in interpreting the results of the inversion. There is not necessarily any physical reason for choosing such a model. However, such a choice is analytically and numerically easy to handle, and does satisfy the intuitive belief that the current density of auroral current systems, on average, will vary latitudinally in a smooth manner when averaged over the time span of one hour.

To desonstrate the results of the inversion, one example from each hour from the sector 2300 to 0600 UT (1500 to 2200 HLT) is presented. For each latitude profile, there are three accompanying figures. The first is a plot of standard deviation vs colatitude, contoured for constant values of averaging function width. These plots are in effect a graph of the trade-off between error in the calculation of the current density and the resolution for the same calculation. This figure is required to interpret the second plot which shows average current density as function of colatitude contoured for a constant standard deviation. Finally, the "flattest" of heightnodel integrated current density that fits the data is plotted to assist in the evaluation of the current density estimates. As discussed in Appendix II, the forward model used in this study employs both east-west current flows and north-south current flows. Furthermore, in this regime, the electric field is approximately northward, so that the simplified relationship between the Hall current and the Pedersen current, as developed in the Appendix, has been used. In all cases, the ratio of height-integrated Hall conductivity to height-integrated Pedersen conductivity was set to two in accordance with the results of Brekke et al, (1974). The results of the inversion are shown in Figures 3.18 through 3.26. It is evident that prior to 1800 MLT, only eastward current is detected, as shown by the curves of  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$ . It is interesting to compare the profiles in Figure 3.20 to those



- latitude profile for 1500-1600 HLT
  (2300-240C UT), Day 3, 1972.
  (a) The latitude profile as a function of
- colatitude.
  (b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.
  (c) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude
  (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.19

ř

t

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1600-1700 HLT (0000-0100 UT), Day 17, 1972.

4

(a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.





Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT), Day 15, 1972.

(a) The latitude profile as a "function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.21

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT), Day 13, 1972.

(a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current depoity model.

(C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Pigure 3.22

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1800-1900 HLT (0200-0300 UT), Day 333, 1971.

(a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(c) The standard deviation of the current
estimates as a function of colatitude
(d) Estimates of height-integrated current

density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.23 Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile 1900-2000 HLT for. (0300-0400 UF), Day 18, 1972. The latitude profile as a function of (a) colatitude. (b) The flattest height-integrated current density model. deviation of the current The standard (C) estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density function of colatitude, 85 8 contoured for constant standard deviation.



41

inverting the hourly averaged 2000-2100 HLT latitude profile for (0400-0500 UT), Day 338, 1971.

function of (a) The latitude profile as a colatitude.

The flattest height-integrated current (b) density model.

(c) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as function of colatitude, 8 contoured for constant standard deviation.


Figure 3.25 Results of inverting the hourly averaged latítude profile for 2100-2200 HLT (0500-0600 UT), Day 335, 1971. The latitude profile as a function of (a) colatitude. (b) The flattest height-integrated current density model. The standard deviation of the current (C) estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current function of colatitude, density 85 a contoured for constant standard deviation.

. . .



۱

estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation. 4)

in Figure 3.21 for the hour 1700-1800 HLT. In Figure 3.21. there is a possibility of a westward current poleward of the main eastward electrojet, or this simply may be due to a westward current to the east of the magnetometer whose large enough so that the resulting magnetic strength is perturbations can be seen at the magnetometer line. For times later than 1800 HLT, the contours of  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$  show unambiguously that there is a westward electrojet flowing poleward of the eastward electrojet. The validity of the forward model used is somewhat open to question as evidenced by the oscillatory nature of the flattest model. However, such behaviour of the particular model does not detract in any great measure from the results of the actual inversion, shown in Appendix II. Table 3.2 is a summary of the 85 results of the inversions of latitude profiles in this sector. All cases included in this table are for times when no substorm activity was present in the magnetometer chain sector. The positive X'-component extremum varied from 10 nT 100 nT, while the negative X'-component extremum varied to from 10 nT to 105 nT.

Since the examples analysed in this study were for quiet or only moderately disturbed periods, as evidenced by the range of the X'-component peak values, the westward electrojet that has been detected in the dusk sector is not the substorm westward electrojet. Rather, these results show that the convection westward electrojet may penetrate as far westward as 1800 MLT. Previous workers (Kisabeth and

Interval ( (HLT) i	Number of Cases	Current Direction (Bastward: E Westward: W)
1500 - 1600	4	E
1600 - 1700	4	E
1700 - 1800   	3 1	1 E 1 E+W
1800 - 1900 1	3	E+W
1900 - 2000	4	B+W
2000 - 2100	3	E+R
2100 - 2200	3	E+W
2200 - 2300	5	E+W

2

Summary of the Results of Inverting Hourly Averaged Latitude Profiles

# Table 3.2

۲

..

Rostoker, 1973; Kamide and Akasofu, 1976) have found substorm westward electrojets in this sector. The results of the inversion, together with these other results, make it imperative to include the westward current poleward of the eastward electrojet in the pre-midnight sector up to local dusk in any world-wide current model.

Chapter 4 A WORLDWIDE CURRENT HODEL

## 4.1 Infroduction

In this chapter, the data and its interpretation outlined in the previous chapter, are synthesized to provide the input parameters for a world-wide three dimensional current model. The model is tested against the data inasmuch as the gross features of the data are reproduced. That is, no attempt is made to, say, least squares fit a model latitude profile to an observed profile. Rather, the comparison that has been made is one of comparing the magnitudes of the  $\Delta X'$  extrema and the level-shift in  $\Delta Y'$ . This is justified in that the model is designed to reproduce only the gross features of current flow in the ionosphere. Bowever, it is believed that suitable variation of the model parameters will lead to current models that will reproduce specific latitude profiles. Several examples of such current models are described to demonstrate this.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

### 4.2 Elementary Considerations

### 4.2.1 The Auroral Oval

In order to develop a model of worldwide current flow, one must determine the direction of current flow and the geometry of the current systems. Chapter 3 has outlined the longitudinal distribution of eastward and westward current

132

in

However, it is also necessary to define the flow. latitudinal extent of the current systems. From the superposed epoch analysis, and using the  $\triangle Z$  extrema as indicators of the latitudinal boundaries of current (Kisabeth 1972), it is found that in general the east-west current systems are confined to a region about 5° wide in latitudinal extent. Furthermore, there is evidence that the eastward and westward ionospheric currents do not flow in a direction normal to the magnetometer line. That is, the Y'component in the latitude profiles cannot be attributed solely to field-aligned current, but is in part due to a tilt of the main electrojet with respect to lines of constant latitude (see section 2.2 and Figure 2.6). Indeed, the electrojets are observed to flow along the auroral oval (Feldstein, 1963, Akasofu et al, 1965). Kisabeth (1972) modelled substorm current systems flowing along the auroral oval by representing the current path by a parabola given by

$$\theta = \frac{ab}{\left(b^{2}\cos^{2}\varphi + a^{2}\sin^{2}\varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad 4.1$$

Where  $\Theta$  is the colatitude of the boundary,  $\varphi$  is the longitude (measured counter-clockwise from midnight),  $\Omega$  is the midnight colatitude ( $\varphi$ =0) and  $\tilde{\delta}$  is the dawn or dusk colatitude ( $\varphi$ =90° or  $\varphi$ =270° respectively). However, he was interested in currents of relatively short longitudinal extent (up to 20°), whereas the work presented here deals with currents of global longitudinal extent. Kamide and Fukushima (1970) have examined current flow along a path given by

$$\theta = \theta_{1} + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) (1 + \cos \varphi) \qquad 4.2$$

where  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are as given above, and  $\theta$ , is the colatitude of the path at noon ( $\varphi$ =180°) and  $\theta_{1}$  is the colatitude at midnight ( $\varphi$ =0°). Since small scale current structures are of little concern in Ahis themis, equation 4.2 is ideally suited for describing the auroral oval since a single specification of  $\theta$ , and  $\theta_{2}$  suffices to describe and entire oval boundary.

In the midnight mector, it is believed that there is a component of elevard flow and that both eastward and westward elevard star coexist. To accommodate such currents, a separate specification of boundaries is required, but equation 4.2 may also be used to describe the path. Figure 4.1 is a polar plot of the auroral oval boundaries used in this thesis and table 4.1 gives the parameters that describe these boundaries (Since the polar plot is in terms of latitude, the table lists latitudes ( $\lambda$ ) instead of ' colatitude ( $\Theta$ )).

### 4.2.2. Location of Currents

The nature of the ionospheric current flow is based on the interpretation of the latitude profiles given in the previous chapter. Westward current flows from noon around to midnight where it flows poleward and westward across the midnight sector to 2200 HLT. This flow them continues westward to 1800 HLT. Eastward current flows from moon £

ILE SIGET

٠

í.

# Boundaries of the Hodel Autoral Grai

LONGITUDE (M)   (degrees) (hot	(HLT)   (hotrs)	DESCRIPTION	POLAR BOURDARY (* latitude) $\lambda$ , $\lambda_{a}$	ourbanr itude) Aa	ZQUATORVARD BOUNDARY (* latitude) $\lambda$ , $\lambda_{\Delta}$
0-180 (00-	0-121	Hain westward current	75.0	70.0	70.0 65.0
  -180-315 (12- 	12-2.1)	sain eastward   current	75.0	70.0	70.0 65.0
1 270-315 (1	(18-21)	Pre-midnight   westward current	73.0	73.0	75.0 70.0
   315-360 (2 	(21-24)	Harang region westward current	90.5	10.0	104.1* 65.0
   315-360 (21-   _+	21-24)   	Continuatión of   eastward current	104.1*	65.0	70.0 65.0

This value is not truly a latitude, but is the value that  $\lambda'$  must have in this longitude regime to correctly define the boundary.

135

٠,

**`**\$

Figure 4.1 Polar plot of the auroral oval used for modelling purposes. The boundaries follow the locus  $\theta = \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(1 + \cos \theta)$  where  $\theta$ is colatitude and  $\varphi$  longitude, measured counterclockwise from midnight.  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ are the colatitudes at noon and midnight respectively. The values of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are given in Table 4.1.

•

.



around through dusk to 2200 HLT and then morthward and eastward to the equatorward boundary of the midmight sector • westward electrojet, terminating at 2400 HLT. Both the eastward and westward electrojets are one megment of a three dimensional current loop similar to that used by Kisabeth (1972). However, the field-aligned currents connecting the ionospheric electrojets to the magnetosphere are not confined to sheets at the ends of the ionospheric currents but are in general distributed over the length of these currents in this model, the electrojets are assumed to grow and decay over a fimite longitudinal extent, as described in Chapter 3.

Prom the nature of the electric field configuration in the auroral zone (see figures 3.12 and 3.13), it is apparent that the eastward and westward electrojets are essentially Hall currents, so that for a complete current "Bodel, one anst also account for the currents in the direction of the electric field, the so-called Pedersen currents. Indeed, recent observations by the Triad satellite (lijima and Potemra, 1976) indicate that the auroral oval is bounded by of field-aligned current (Figure 4.2). These regions currents close in the ionosphere through north-south currents. In the model which has been developed for this thesis, all east-west ionospheric currents are bounded by infinitesimally thin sheets of field-aligned current which are in turn connected by north-south ionospheric current. In regions of vestward current flow, there is downward field-

Figure 4.2

•

-1

¢

The location of field-aligned current sheets as deduced from magnetometer data from the polar-orbiting Triad satellite (From Iijima and Potemra, 1978) The panel on the left is for low level activity (AL<100), and that on the right for higher activity (AL>100).



140

•

aligned current along the ~poleward boundary and this diverges equatorward in the ionosphere, across the electrojet, and up field lines at the equatorward boundary of the electrojet. In regions of eastward ionospheric current, the north-south current system is reversed.

As well as these large scale currents, two other current systems are involved in this global model. Rostoker and Hron (1975) have shown that there is a weak eastward current equatorward of the main westward electrojet in the dawn sector. This current mystem is believed to be a signature of electron precipitation associated with a reconfiguration of the magnetomystem after a period of strong activity. On a statistical basis then, the strength of this current mystem would average out to be relatively weak, and it has been included in the model only as a very weak current mystem. An additional current mystem which may be similar to  $\frac{1}{2}$  or DP-2 has also been added to the model and the reasons for including this mystem will be discussed at length later in this chapter.

Current in the polar cap (the region from the north pole to the poleward boundary of the auroral oval) has not been included in this model. All the magnetic data which has been used in formulating the current model is winter data, and during this period, the polar cap is essentially dark throughout the day. Figure 4.3 shows the sunlit-dark terminator for 1900 at its extreme positions during the period of Day 332 1971 to Day 23 1972. Thus, there is little Figure 4.3 The extreme positions of the sunlit-dark terminator for the period from Day 332, 1971 to Day 24, 1972, at an altitude of 115 km. The solid lines oriented from left to right indicate the terminator, and the dotted line is the position of the poleward border of the model auroral oval. The approximate location of the magnetometer line is shown for 1700 UT, and the location of the Greenwich Meridian is shown at 0° longitude.



if any solar ultra-violet radiation reaching the polar cap upper atmosphere and therefore there is little if any photoionization. This in turn means that there is little if any polar cap conductivity, and therefore no significant polar cap current.

### 4.2.3 The Electric Field and Conductivity Model

Mozer and Lucht (1974) and Iversen and Madsen (1977) have published data on the auroral zone electric field. When the research for this thesis was initiated only the former data were available and these data were used as the electric field model required in modelling the currents. The electric field consistent with the global current model is shown in figure 4.4. This polar plot shows only the unit vectors of the electric field because to define the electric field explicity, one requires an explicit model of the conductivity. This will be dealt with in detail in section 4.3.

Figure 4.4 is most easily compared with the data of Iversen and Madsen (1977) (Figure 3.13). In the region of the auroral zone the model electric field is in reasonable agreement with the real data. Note that the model electric field immediately equatorward of the auroral zone in the dawn sector is essentially eastward. This is in keeping with the work of Rostoker and Hron (1975) in which it is shown that the dawn eastward electrojet is a Pedersen current. The east-west component of the low latitude electric field is

Figure 4.4 Polar plot of the unit vectors of the electric field used in the auroral current flow model.

**_** .

.



directed towards noon in all instances, and the north-south component is equatorward in the morning and poleward in the afternoon. This is qualitatively in agreement with the ion drift velocity measurements of Beelis et al (1976). As well, an electric field of this configuration, for a ratio of beight-integrated conductivities (Bell to Pederson) of 2, drives currents which are similar to the  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  system.

The conductivity was not absolutely defined in this model. However, the ratio of the height-integrated Hall conductivity to Pedersen conductivity was taken to be 2 everywhere. Brekke <u>et al</u> (1974) have shown that for low magnetic activity, this ratio holds in the auroral zone. Yasuhara <u>et al</u>, (1975) similarly have used this same heightintegrated conductivity ratio in the auroral zone and subauroral latitudes. Since the current model developed for this thesis is a model for average conditions, the ratio of 2 is well justified.

# 4.3 The Approach to Current Medhling

As described in the province chapter, a back concept of what east-west and field-aligned currents were flowing had been established and it was independed out that there exist north-south currents which similicantly influence the magnetic perturbation pattern. The approach taken in developing this model was to generate magnetic perturbations from all currents believed to be present in the ionosphere and magnetosphere and combine them to produce a total

4.5

perturbation field. The currents could not all be choose independently of one another; that is, current gostinuity had to be maintained. This approach is minilar to that used by Kisabeth (1972) to model substorms; but it is unlike that used by Tasuhara <u>st al</u> (1975) in which a global model of height-integrated Mull and Pederson conductivities was assumed, along with a field-aligned current model, to solve the equation

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ionospheric height-integrated current density and  $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}$  the field-aligned current density.

In this thesis,  $\underline{J}$  in the east-west direction has 5een assumed, and  $\overline{J}$  has been derived to afford curves continuity. To determine the morth-south component of  $\underline{J}$ , the electric field podel and ratio of height-integrated conductivities has been invoked. Compider a coordinate system in which x is directed northward, y eastward and z down. The horizontal height-integrated current density is given by

$$I = \Sigma_{\mu}E + \Sigma_{\mu}\frac{\theta - E}{\theta}$$
 4.3

where  $\sum_{i}$  and  $\sum_{j}$  are the height-integrated Hall and Pedersen conductivities respectively, E is the horizontal electric field vector, and B the magnetic induction field vector. If B is chosen as  $B = B\hat{Z}$ , then  $\sum_{i} = \sum_{j} E_{i} - \sum_{i} E_{j}$ i 4.4  $\sum_{j} \sum_{j} E_{i} + \sum_{j} E_{j}$ i 4.5

Dividing equation 4.5 by 4.4

1

$$\frac{I_{v}}{I_{x}} = \frac{\sum_{x} + E_{r/E_{x}}}{1 - (\sum_{x})^{E_{r/E_{x}}}}$$
4.6

Thus, if one component of the horisontal current is assumed known, then one need only specify the ratio of the electric field components and the ratio of the height-integrated conductivities. The value of  $E_y/E_x$  is the tangent of the angle that E makes with the x-axis, and because of this, only unit vectors have been shown in figure 4.4.

It should be pointed out that since the locus of eastwest current flow is not along parallels of latitude (see equation 4.1), before equation 4.6 may be used, a correction must be applied to the east-west height-integrated current density. That is, the auroral oval has an azimuthal deflection angle (c() from a latitude circle given by

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Q-\theta}{2}\sin\varphi\right) \qquad 4.7$$

and care must therefore be taken in specifying the true east-west current density.

Then, from the ground based data, it was determined that the east-west current was varying in longitude, this variation has been assumed to be dimear. There is no evidence available concerning the exact nature of the longitudinal variation of the convection electrojets, and so the simplest variation possible, but one still able to reproduce the observations, has been chosen. In the model developed for this thesis, icmospheric current is assumed to flow in a sheet of infinitesinal vertical extent; that is, the current is height-integrated. One must therefore decide at what altitude this icmospheric current sheet must be placeder famide and Brekke (1077) have examined radar data and that on average, the vestward electrojet is situated at 120 km, and the eastward jet at 100 km. For both electrojets, Kisabeth (1972) has used the value of 115 km. In this thesis, it has been assumed that the icmospheric sheet currents flow at an altitude of 115 km. Any error in the results due to an incorrect value of the current altitude will be less than 10% (Kamide and Brekke 1977).

To produce the most reliable quantitative current model, it is desirable to include the effects of currents induced in the earth. As discussed in the previous chapter, induction effects have been included by placing an infinitely conducting sphere at depth. For calculation of model substorm fields, Kisabeth (1972, 1975) and Kisabeth and Bostoker (1977) used a depth of 250 km, based on the fact that this depth gives the best fit between model calculations and real data. In the modelling for this thesis, a depth of 600 km has been used throughout. This too is an empirical result, giving the best qualitative fit of the model to the data, when cases dominated by pure overhead electrojet flow are considered. Induct, pince this work is management with only along yerrebations inamench as

.

J :: : :

14 C 1

.



all the data is hourly averaged, one might expect that the induction effect would be less than for the case of substorm modelling.

# 4.4 The Basic Current Systems

In this section, the main current systems employed in the model will be described at some length. Basically there are 5 main currents; i) the westward flowing current, which flows from noon through midnight to dusk; ii) the eastward flowing current, which flows from noom to midnight; iii) the morth-south current associated with each of the above eastwest currents; iv) the current which flows equatorward in the morning sector and polyward in the afternoon sector, and v) an eastward current in the dawn sector.

4.4.1 The Westward Current System.

•__

It will be recalled from Chapter 3 that the ground based data for the time period noon through dawn to approximately midnight had been interpreted bagically as a growing vestward current. However, near dawn (approximately' 0800, HLT for winter) there was a marked decrease in the magnitude of the peak of the superposed epoch  $\Delta X$  profile. It is not perfectly clear what causes this decrease, but the following is offered as a possible explanation.

Currents flow in the auroral eval because this region of the atmosphere has an enhanced electrical conductivity relative to the rest of the atmosphere. This conductivity is 5

brought about by the precipitation of charged particles from the magnetosphere which, through collisional processes, produce charge carriers in the ionosphere. As well as this, where the upper atmosphere is sublit, solar ultra-violet (UV) radiation may produce charge carriers in the atmosphere through photo-ionization processes. Thus, up to dawn (and symmetrically, up to dusk), there are two sources of conductivity, solar UV and particle precipitation. Away from towards midnight, only particle (and dusk), dawn electrical produce available to precipitation 18 conductivity so that near the dawn and dusk terminators there is a gradient in conductivity (probably over a marrow region). As well, there is a gradient in the electric field near dawn and dusk, (see Figure 3.13(a)). In order to accommodate these gradients in the conductivities and the electric field; current flows up field lines. Thus there is a reduction in the magnitude of the ionospheric current, and a corresponding reduction in the peak of the I'-component, as observed.

In the global current model, it has been assumed that both the Hall and Pedersen conductivities vary in such a manner that their ratio remains constant. In smotion 4.6.1 of this chapter, the effect of this reduction in electrojet strength will be examined in more detail. Figure 4.5 is a plot of current intensity as a function of time for both the eastward and weathert electrojets. It is seen that the westward jet (the curve with values everywhere less than

Figure 4.5

· · · · ·

•

The model height-integrated current density for the east-west currents, as a function of MLT. The current densities for both the eastward current (values > 0) and the westward current (values < 0) are shown.

•

r. ·

.



sero) exhibits variations over its entire length. From noon to about 0800 ELT, the current density increases linearly from 0.0 to 0.221 Am⁻¹. In this sector, the width is constant at 5° of latitude, so the current here ranges from \$0 A to 1.25x10*A. Hear 0800 HLT, there is a 20% reduction in the total current, with a concomitant reduction in the beight-integrated current density. As a result, 2.5x10*A is fed out of the ionosphere in a field-aligned current sheet. This field-aligned current is most likely distributed over a finite longitudinal range, but this range cannot be determined from the available data. In lieu of this, the upward flowing current is replaced by an equivalent upward flowing current sheet.

()

From 0800 HLT to 0100 HLT, the westward current continues to grow back to its peak value in the sunlit sector. That is, the total current increases from  $1.00 \times 10^{5}$ A at 0800 HLT to  $1.25 \times 10^{5}$ A at 0100 HLT.

Over the entire region discussed so far, since the vestward current is growing, there must be a downward fieldaligned current feeding the vestward jet, (apart from the upward current sheet at 0600 HLT). Since the ionospheric current grows most rapidly in the sector from moon to 0800 HLT, this is obviously where the greatest inward fieldaligned current flows. Figure 4.6 is a plot of field-aligned current density as a function of magnetic local time. It is clear that the vest and 0600 HLT, very little fieldaligned current flows into the ionosphere density and field-

Pigure 4.6 The model unbalanced field-aligned current densities that connect with the east-west current systems, as a function of MLT. @ upward field-aligned current, and

٠

•

•



aligned current which feeds the electrojet is a local net field-aligned current inassuch as if one were to integrate along a seridian, there is an imbalance in the field-aligned current flow.

Referring to figure 4.5 again, it is seen that the westward current intensity generally decreases from 0100 HLT to 1800 MLI. In fact, the total current flowing across a meridian in this sector decreases linearly from 1.25x10⁵A at 0100 HLT, to 0.0 A at 1800 HLT. The non-linear variations in the current intensity cone about from variations in the width of the westward current in this regime. In this sector, field-aligned current must flow out of the ionosphere, and the outward field-aligned current density is shown by the open circles in figure 4.6. The variations in this curve are again due to the varying width of the current. In fact, the upward flowing current as a function longitude is constant. The high current density at 1800 of HLT is due solely to the extremely marrow (0.5°) latitudinal extent of the electrojet at this point.

Superimposed on this entire westward electrojet system is a north-south current system. In accordance with the procedure outlined earlier, the current flowing in the north-south system was obtained directly from the electric field and conductivity models. Figure 4.7 is a plot of the global north-mouth current system plotted in terms of the field-aligned current contribution. The curves of immediate interest are the upper two curves in the pre-midnight hours Figure 4.7 The field-aligned current strength for the north-south current systems as a function of MLT. O denotes upward field-aligned current, and O indicates downward field-aligned current. The dashed line represents current flow at the equatorward border of the auroral owal, and the solid line indicates current flow at the poleward border of the auroral owal.



, )

and their courisuation into the post-addright hours. Note that the downward current density is greater that the upward. This is due to the fact the southward fouring current flows along peridians and therefore diverges, so that for a constant current, the current density varies as the size of the colatitude.

The 24 papels of Figure 4.8 are the model latitude profiles for the vestward flowing currents, including the associated "set" field-sligsed currents," but excluding the north-south currents. The features that one night expect from knowledge of the current distribution are evident in these profiles. There is a decrease in the I'-component extrema from 0900 MLT to 0800 MLT (Pigure 4.8(j) and Figure 4.8(i) respectively). Because of the presence of unbalanced, downward field-aligned current from 0100 to neon MLT, one would expect a positive going level shift (north-to-south) in the east-west component. This in fact is seen only up to 0300 HLT; the model profiles for 0100 and 0200 HLT show either a weak negative going shift in  $\Delta Y^*$ , or no shift at all. This is due to the strong upward field-aligned current in the midnight sector, completely masking the weak downward current in the post-midnight sector. Indeed, the positivegoing level-shift is seen beyond noon, spilling over as an end-effect into the 1300 HLT and perhaps 1400 HLT sector. However, the magnitude of all these level-shifts appears small relative to those suggested by the real data. This could be due to one or both of two possibilities: (1) there

t

Hodel latitude profiles due to the comp vestward durrent system (including ionospheric and field-aligned currents). a) 0000 to 0100 HLT complete the b) 0.100 to 0200 HLT; c) 0200 to 0300 #LT d) 0300 to 0400 HLT • ٍ • e) 0400 to 0500 HLT f) 0500 to 0600 HLT

s 🔒 📍

Figure 4,8

.




Pigure 4.8

•

# Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). g) 0600 to 0700 #17

	<b>0700</b>				
ij	0080	to	0900	HLT.	`
Ĵ			1000		
k)	1000	to	1100	BLT	
1)	1100	to	1200	HLT	



:

Figure 4.8

J.

•

k

.

Medel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). m) 1200 to 1300 MLT n] 1300 to 1300 MLT o) 1400 to 1500 MLT p] 1500 to 1600 MLT q] 1600 to 1700 MLT r] 1700 to 1800 MLT

> ، معدد ا

ì

ų.





Figure 4.8

Rodel latitude profiles due to the complete vestward current system (including the iomospheric and field-addgmed currents). (a) 1800 to 1900 HTC (b) 1900 to 2000 HTC (c) 1900 to 2000 HTC (c) 2100 to 2200 HTC (c) 2100 to 2200 HTC (c) 2200 to 2300 HTC (c) 2200 HTC (c) 2200 to 2300 HTC (c) 2200 HTC (c) 2

3360 to 2400 H



is more unbalanced field-aliqued current than has been used in the model, or (2) there are other current systems which will produce a  $\Delta T'$  level-shift. The first of these requires an ionospheric current system other than a vestured current, since increasing this latter will not change the size of the Y'-component level-shift relative to the S'-component extremes. Since, as pointed with earlier, it is not believed that significant currents flow in the winter polar cap, this first penpibility suggests the presence of currents flowing equatorward. Such a current system has been included in the global model, and will be returned to later in this chapter (section 4.4.3). The second possibility is also a viableone, insofar as the morth-south furrent system has not yet been considered.

Pirst, however, the remaining features of the westward system alone should be considered. Note that the 3-component. exhibits an asymmetry not unlike that in the data, in the post-midnight house. Also, the east-west component is not negative across the untire profile, as it is in the data, in the midnight sector. Finally, the east-west compohent does how a negative-going step, in the pre-midnight hours, similar to that observed in the data.

Then the appropriate morth entreate are added to this more morth, the sodal profiles are approved morthby the sound with the soal data. Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current system. s) 1800 to 1900 HLT t) 1900 to 2000 HLT u) 2000 to 2100 HLT v) 2100 to 2200 HLT v) 2100 to 2200 HLT v) 2200 to 2300 HLT r) 2300 to 2400 HLT





-----

...

Pigure 4.9

,

~

. • ðe Hodel latitude profiles due to the Complete westward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-porth current system.

2**4**5 - J

.

•

•

<b>.</b> )	1200	to	1300	ALT
n)	1300	to	1400	BLT
	1400			
	1500			
	1600			
	1700			
·				





Pigure 4.9

8

•

Nodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current synthm, to which has been added the corresponding morth-south current system. g) 0600 to 0700 MLT.

5			0800	
ij			0900	
3)	0900	tò	1000	#LT
k)	1000	to	1100	NLT -
1)	1100	to	1200°	HIR.



In fact, the reminder of the panels in Figure 3.3 are not unlike Figure 3.3(C) insofar as they all indicate an indisputable level shift in the Y*-component. As well, this shift increases in magnitude relative to the X*-component negative extremum as the local time of the profiles approaches noon. Also, the asymmetry in the S-component that was described above persists as a general feature. The remainder of the SPEA latitude profiles in Figure 3.4 bear out this description as a general feature of this entire, magnetic local time sector.

3.2.3 The 1000 - 1400 HLT Begime

The third distinctive region which has been delineated spans local magnetic moon from about 1000 HLT to 1400 HLT (1800 UT to 2200 UT). This region corresponds to the "zone of confusion" identified by Barang (1946). An examination of this sector bears out this profiles from latitude description. Figure 3.5 shows a series of latitude profiles for the same day from 1000 HLT to 1400 HLT. The 2-component in Figure 3.5(a) is consistent with a weak eastward flowing current centered at about 67.5° N, and a stronger westward flowing current centered at about 73° N. However, the I'component profile is not consistent with this. Similarly, the 2- and I'-components in Figure 3.5(b) are not consistent with any simple eastward/westward electrojet interpretation. Indged, none of the profiles in Figure 3.5 can be interpreted in any simple way, and this difficulty exists on

Ĵ



Figure 3.5

Representative hourly average profiles for the hours: (a) 1000-1100 HLT (1800-1900 UT) (b) 1100-1200 HLT (1900-2000 UT) (c) 1200-1300 HLT (2000-2100 UT) (d) 1300-1400 HLT (2100-2200 UT)

:

81

ι,

all days examined in this sector. The one feature that appears consistently in the latitude profiles from this region is the clear, relatively large positive-going level shift in the I'-component.

The SPEA of the profiles from 1008 to 1400 HLT (Figure 3.6(a,b,c,d)) is very difficult to explain in terms of any simple east-west current flows. However, again the level shift in  $\Delta Y'$  is brought out clearly, as is the overall negative bias in the X'-component. The level shift in the Y'-component actually appears more as a ramp but this is more an artefact of the SPEA than a feature of the real data. That is, in this time sector, there is a real level shift in  $\Delta Y'$ , but the latitude range over which this occurs varies considerably from day to day so that the Heaviside-like Y'-component becomes smeared out.

#### 3.2.4 The 1400 - 1900 MLT Regime

ş.

The fourth regime which has been identified is the post-noon quadrant, lying between approximately 1400 to 1900 MLT (2200-0300 UT). This regime presents latitude profiles in which  $\Delta X'$  and  $\Delta Z$  can be interpreted in terms of a simple eastward electrojet. For example, Figure 3.7(b), the latitude profile for 2300 to 2400 UT (1500 to 1600 MLT) on Day 10, 1972, may be interpreted as an eastward³ current centered about 67.5° N, combined with a poleward flowing current to give the negative  $\Delta X'$  poleward of 70° N. Further similar examples are shown in the remainder of



Figure 3.6 Superposed epoch analysis of the hourly averaged latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1000-1100 HLT (180Q-1900 UT) (b) 1100-1200 MLT (1900-2000 UT) (c) 1200-1300 HLT (2000-2100 UT) (d) 1300-1400 HLT (2100-2200 UT)

Figure 3.7

.

.

latitude

,

Representative hourly average Representative hourly average profiles for the hours: (a) 1400-1500 MLT (2200-2300 UT) (b) 1500-1600 MLT (2300-2400 UT) (c) 1600-1700 MLT (0000-0100 UT) (d) 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT) (e) 1800-1900 MLT (0200-0300 UT)

٨

•

85



'•

Figure 3.7. The Y'-component in this time regime is variable in that, part of the time, there is a positive-going level shift (e.g., Figure 3.7(b)) and (c)), and other times there is no level shift ( g gigure 3(7;d)). The SPEA of the data tes prior to approximately dusk a in this It in AY' (Figure 3.8). Mear dusk positive-add (Figure 3.8(e)), the I'-component tends to follow the I'component, indicating that the ionospheric current likely does not flow in a direction orthogonal to the magnetometer chain. Beyond this time in this regime, the SPEA gives profiles in which the Y'-component shows a negative-going level shift although it is is not as clear a shift as observed in the pre-moon sector. However, some, of the examples of the original data shown in Figure 3.7 show that indeed a negative-going level shift does occur in many instances although the majority of profiles up to 1900 BLT show no distinct level shift in  $\Delta Y'$ .

### 3.2.5 The 1900 - 2200 MLT Begine

es/

The fifth and Final region that has been identified from this study occurs in the evening sector from about 1900 to 2200 HLT (0300 to 0600 UT). This regime is dominated by the positive  $\Delta X'$  signature of the eastward electrojet. However, this time period is distinctive in that there exists a negative-going level shift in the Y'-component, and a region of negative  $\Delta X'$  poleward of the positive  $\Delta X'$ regime. Although in the previous sector there also existed a





DEGREES

....

٠

region of negative  $\Delta I'$  in the poleward part of the profile, the I'-component did not peak in the region, and the I-component was consistent with only an eastward current. The  $\Delta I'$  level shift in the 1900-2200 HLT regime occurs over a narrow latitudinal width across and poleward of the eastward electrojet positive I'-bay signature. Figures 3.9(a,b,c) are typical of each hourly interval in this time sector. The corresponding SPEA profiles (Figure 3.10(a,b,c)) again serve to emphasize the general nature of the observations in this regime.

## 3.2.6 Summary of the Five Regimes

To summarize so far, hourly averaged latitude profiles of the perturbation magnetic field may be divided into 5 distinct regions, characterized primarily by the behaviour of the east-west or Y'-component of the field. Around midnight, including the region of the so-called Harang discontinuity, the Y'- component is negative over the width of the profile, and the X'-component shows the signature of westward electrojet and, at times, of an eastward electrojet as well. From 0200 HLT to near noon, there is a shift  $\Delta I'$ , positive-going with distinct level in increasing latitude. This level shift is confined primarily to the region of the westward electrojet and increases in magnitude (with respect to the maximum  $\Delta X^*$ ) as noon is approached. The third region spans noon and is characterized by great variability in the X'- and Z-components. However

Figure 3.9

- latitude

.

- Representative hourly average profiles for the hours: (a) 1900-2000 HLT (0300-0400 UT) (b) 2000-2100 HLT (0400-0500 UT) (c) 2100-2200 HLT (0500-0600 UT)



đ

•

91

Pigure 3.10 Superposed epoch analysis of the hourly averaged latitude profiles for the hours: (a) 1900-2000 MLT (0300-0400 UT) (b) 2000-2100 BLT (0400-0500 UT) (c) 2100-2200 MLT (0500-0600 UT)

.

.

۵

•

.



:

 $\Delta$  Y' consistently shows a large positive-going step of level shift. Prom about 1400 to 1900 HLT, the profiles are characterized by the signature of an eastward flowing current and either a small positive-going step in  $\Delta$  Y' or no step at all. From 1900 to 2200 HLT, the profiles provide evidence of both eastward and westward currents ( the latter being the most poleward current) and with these, a negativegoing level shift in the Y'- component.

The remainder of this chapter will deal with the interpretation of these profiles in terms of a worldwide three dimensional current system, as well as presenting some other information derived from these profiles and other sources.

# 3.3 Interpretation of the Data Suite

The most distinctive feature of the data is the level shift which occurs in the Y*+component, As described in The Chapter 3, a net field-aligned current produces as a ground magnetic signature, a step in  $\Delta Y$ '. Field-aligned currents were first proposed by Birkeland (1908, 1913) and subsequently were reintroduced by Pejer (1961), Kern(1962) and Boström (1964) in three-dimensional current models for auroral regions. It was not until recently (Zmuda et al, 1967; Armstrong and Zmuda, 1970) that such currents were identified from in situ measurements by magnetometers on board polar orbiting satellites. Several more recent studies (Yasuhara et al, 1975; Sugiura and Potemra, 1976; Iijima and

94

Potesra, 1976) show that there is a tendency for there to be net downward current flow in the norming sector of the auroral oval, and net upward flow in the evening sector. If this is indeed the case, and the net field-aligned currents are uniformly diverging in the ionosphere, then no ground based signature would be observed (Vasyliunas, 1972). Fukushima (1969) has given a simple description of this phenomenon by considering a line current flowing into the ionosphere (Figure 3.11). If the total inflow is I amps, then at a radial distance r frcm the current, on the ground, the magnetic field is given by I/r, and is directed clockwise (looking along the current). If this current diverges uniformly radially outward (in the horizontal plane), then the overhead ionospheric current density at the same observation point is  $I/2\pi r$ , and the magnetic effect on the ground is given by I/r, but in the counter-clockwise direction. The net effect is thus zero magnetic perturbation below the ionosphere. However, the ionosphere provides a highly conducting channel in the form of the auroral oval, so that one would expect to see distinctive magnetic signatures at ground based observatories. These will have the basic characteristic of a level shift in the east-west component of the magnetic field across the region of net field-aligned current flow. This fact with together satellite observations of field-aligned currents has been used to aid in the interpretation of the latitude profiles. In fact, if a positive-going  $\Delta Y$  step is taken to be the



Figure 3.11 Schematic drawing to demonstrate how a vertical current which diverges uniformly into the horizontal plane produces no net magnetic perturbation. See text for details.

•

signature of a net downward field-aligned current, and a negative-going  $\Delta T^*$  step to be the signature of upward flowing current, then a picture of the diurnal variation of the disturbance component of the magnetic field associated with the field-aligned current flow may be obtained. Such a picture is shown in Figure 3.12. This is a histogram of the frequency of occurrence of inward and outward net fieldaligned current, as well as the number of cases when no such cases were observed in the ground data. The cross-hatched region delineates that region of the auroral oval known as the Harang discontinuity.

There is a remarkable similarity between the behaviour of the net field-aligned current as inferred from the latitude profiles, and the diurnal variation of the average electric field observed at auroral latitudes (Hozer and Lucht, 1974; Iversen and Hadsen, 1977). Figure 3.13(a) is a plot of the east-west and north-south components of the average auroral zone electric field as a function of local time obtained by Hozer and Lucht (1974). Host of the data used in compiling this plot was collected at Thompson, Hanitoba, and Churchill, Hanitoba, where local time is approximately the same as magnetic local time. Figure 3.13(b) is similar data in a polar plot, obtained by Iversen and Hadsen (1977).

The first region discussed above (2200 to 0200 MLT) features an electric field which is westward and poleward (towards dusk), and westward and equatorward (towards dawn).

Figure 3.12

.

Histogram showing the distribution of net field-aligned current as a function of "Universal "fime, as inferred from the ground-based magnetic measurements. Approximate magnetic local time is shown across the top of the figure.



Z

Figure 3.13(a) The diurnal variation in the ionospheric electric field in the region of the auroral oval. The time scale is local time, which, for these data, is approximately equal to magnetic local time (see text). (After Mozer and Lucht, 1974).

•




Figure 3.13(b)

Average ionospheric electric field vectors in the region of the auroral zone, plotted in a geomagnetic latitude, magnetic local time frame. (After Iversen and Madsen, 1977).

This field can drive a poleward Hall current and a westward Pedersen current. In this region, the latitude profiles show a Y'-component which is negative across the profile, and this signature can be produced by poleward flowing current. The second region, or dawn regime has a dominantly equatorward component of electric field and the east-west component, although small, is primarily eastward. This equatorward electric field can drive a westward flowing Hall current, consistent with the magnetic signatures observed in this sector. The poon sector (1000 to 1400 HLT) is characterized by a weak or zero east-west electric field, and a transitional north-south electric field. In this region, the ground based magnetic observations are confused with respect to electrojet flow, although the Y*-component signature is that of a strong inward net field-aligned current flow. In the post-noon quadrant, the data of Mozer and Lucht indicate an essentially zero east-west component to the electric field, but a strong poleward component. This, too, is consistent with the latitude profiles which show in this sector an eastward electrojet that would be a Hall current driven by a poleward electric field. The data of Iversen and Hadsen (1977) show a small eastward component in the electric field. However, the near absence of an eastwest component in the electric field is consistent with essentially no north-south component of Hall current. Thus one would not expect the electrojet Hall current to diverge significantly along field lines in this region. Finally,

from 1900 to 2200 HLT the electric field has a vestward component increasing toward midnight and a poleward component increasing toward dusk. These fields are also consistent with the observed eastward electrojet flow that is observed in this sector. Table 3.1 is a summary of these comparisons.

As pointed out above, the level shift in the Y'component ( $\Delta Y'_{step}$ ) is believed to be related to net field-aligned current flow into and out of the ionosphere. The positive-going  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  in the dawn and noon sectors is then interpreted as the signature of net inward fieldaligned current flow. To maintain current continuity, it was hypothesized that this net inward current feeds the westward ionospheric electrojet. Similarly, the net inward flow in the immediate post-noon sector could feed the eastward electrojet. In the dusk sector, where ΔI'step ſs negative-going and apparently related in position to the negative X' latitudinal regime, the inferred upward fieldaligned current has been hypothesized to be the result of the electrojets bleeding upward along the field lines into the magnetosphere.

To test this hypothesis, it was assumed that the peak values of the I'-component ( $\Delta I'_{peak}$ ) were related to the magnitude of the electrojet current strength, and further that  $\Delta I'_{step}$  was an indicator of the magnitude of the unbalanced field-aligned current. Subject to these assumptions, one would then predict that the norm of the

TABLE 3.1

## Correspondence Between Met Ziell-Aligned Current Zlor and

21213
<b>ZLECTRÍC</b>
2002
AUEQEAL

.

.

I FIELD-ALIGUED CURRENT I AND IONOSPHERIC CURRENT PLON	Poleward Hall Current flow Hestward Pedersen Current flow	Dominated by westward Hall current flow; net downward field-aligned current regime	Ho promounced consistent tielectrojet flov continued downward met field- aligned current flov	East ward electrojet flow High probability of no met field-aligned current flow	Eastward electrojet flow Het upward field-aligned current flow
ELECTRIC TIELD	<pre>ityPrimarily westward tyPrimarily westward gwn iequatorward toward dawn</pre>	Pronounced eastward component Consistent equatorward component	Weak westward component 100 promounced c or zero eastward componentielectrojet flow Confused north-south 100 continued downw component 101 jaligned current	2ero east-vest component	Westward component toward midnight edge of regime Consistent poleward component
I REGIRE	   Harang Discontinuity    (Hidnight Sector)   	Dawn Sector		Post-noon Quadrant	Pre-midnight Quadrant
LHT SPAN	12200-0200	0200-1000	1000-1#00	1400-1900	1900-2200

ratio of  $\Delta I'_{step}$  to  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  from 0200 BLT to apon / would increase and from noom to dusk, the same ratio would decrease. In the dusk quadrant,  $|\Delta I'_{step} / \Delta I'_{peak}|$ would increase for negative  $\Delta I'$ , and decrease for positive  $\Delta I'$ . Figure 3.14 shows this ratio obtained through the SPEA, plotted as a function of magnetic local time. It is apparent that qualitatively, the above expectations are realized. Hear noon, it was difficult to establish a value for  $\Delta I'$ , so no values are plotted for this regime.

This analysis is important in that the results form a basis for a world-wide current system model which will be discussed in detail in Chapter 4. That is, it is believed that the distinctive positive level shift in  $\Delta Y'$  of the hourly averaged latitude profiles across local moon and in the pre-moon sector is a signature, at least in part, of unbalanced field-aligned current flow into the ionosphere, which feeds the ionospheric Hall current electrojets. The negative level shift in  $\Delta Y'$  in the pre-midnight duadrant reflects field-aligned current flow out of the ionosphere.

An alternative way to examine these data is to consider  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  separately as functions of magnetic local time. Pigure 3.15 is the plot of the average, for a given hour, of  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  versus HLT. Note that for the dawn regime,  $\Delta I'_{peak}$  increases from 0200 -1000 MLT (i.e., it becomes less negative), by about a factor of three, while  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  increases by a factor of 2 to 3.

106

ſ



Figure 3.14

The ratio of  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  to  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  plotted as a function of local magnetic time. To a first approximation,  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  is indicative of unbalanced field-aligned current flow, and  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  is a measure of the ionospheric current flow.



Figure 3.15 Plot of  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  as a function of magnetic local time. Positive  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ means a positive-going level shift from south to north; negative  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  means a negative-going level shift from south to north. Positive  $\Delta X'_{peak}$  is the signature of eastward flowing current, negative  $\Delta X'_{peak}$ is the signature of westward flowing current. Similarly, in the region of the eastward electrojet,  $\Delta X^{*}$  increases until about dusk while  $\Delta Y^{*}_{step}$ decreases. Beyond this time,  $\Delta Y^{*}_{step}$  becomes increasingly negative in coincidence with a decreasing  $\Delta X^{*}_{peak}$ . All these observations are consistent with the interpretation given in the previous paragraph.

In the diurnal variation of  $\Delta X_{peak}^{*}$  for the interval 0200 to 1000 MLT, there is a distinct inflection on the curve near local magnetic dawn. This inflection is thought to be due to a decrease in the total westward flowing current near dawn. Some of the current flows up field lines near dawn due to a conductivity gradient between the sunlit and dark hemispheres, This observation will be discussed in some detail in Chapter 4. Finally it is noted that  $\Delta X_{peak}^{*}$  has a negative extremum during the hour 0200-0300MLT. This is in agreement with Allen and Kroehl (1975) who found that AL (indicative of the strength of the westward electrojet) tended to reach peak values approximately three hours after local magnetic midnight.

The time sectors in which upward and downward net field-aligned current are found from ground based data are in good agreement with those described by Sugiura and Potemra (1976) (Figure 3.16) except in the 1200-1400 MLT sector. These authors find net upward field-aligned current in this sector, whereas in the present study, the reverse current flow was observed, and this latter is consistent with a growing eastward electrojet. Most of the published Figure 3.16 Percentage occurrence of level shifts in the east-west component of the magnetic field observed by the Triad satellite, as a function of MLT. The dotted regions represent net upward field-aligned current, the clear regions net downward field-aligned current. (After Sugiura and Potemra, 1976).



data from the Triad satellite have been from the summer months, whereas all the ground-based data in the present study come from winter months. An explanation of the discrepancy between the Triad satellite and ground magnetometer data will be offered in Chapter 4.

Because of the role that the interplanetary magnetic field (IMP) plays in magnetospheric and auroral physics (see Chapter 2), it was useful to examine the correlation between the IMP and the presence of the  $\triangle Y$  level shift observed in the latitude profiles. To this end, data were selected from two regions showing pronounced level shifts in the Y component. The hours 1600-1700 UT and 1700-1800 UT (approximately 0800-0900 MLT and 0900-1000 MLT) were chosen as representative of positive-going level shifts in the Y'component, and the hours 0400-0500 UT and 0500-0600 UT (2000-2100 MLT and 2100-2200 MLT) were chosen to represent negative-going  $\Delta Y'$  level shifts. Pigure 3.17 the (a,b,c,and d) are plots of  $\Delta Y'$  step  $\underline{YS} = B_Z$ , (i.e., the component of the IMF normal to the ecliptic plane). Although in some cases there were no data for the IMF for thoses where data did exist, there is a clear linear cases relationship between  $\Delta Y$  and  $B_z$  for the pre-noon sector. That is when  $B_{\chi} > 0$  (i.e., the IMF points northwards, or is parallel to the earth's field in the ecliptic plane) there is a weak  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ ; however, if  $B_{Z} < 0$ , then  $\Delta Y'_{step}$ increases linearly with increasing negative  $B_{Z}$ . If as suggested above, the level shift in  $\Delta Y$  is related to a net Figure 3.17 Plots of  $\Delta Y$  as a function of the component of the IMF normal to the ecliptic plane (B), for the hours (a) 0400-0500 UT (b) 0500-0600 UT

•

.

Ć.



Pigure 3.17

Plots of  $\Delta Y$ : as a function of the component of the PIMF normal to the ecliptic plane (B), for the hours (c) 1600-1700 UT (d) 1700-1800 UT

.



¢

component of field-aligned current which in turn is related to the strength of the westward current, such a relationship between  $\Delta T_{step}^{*}$  and  $B_{Z}^{*}$  would be expected. In fact, Hirshberg and Colburn (1969) have shown a good correlation between Kp, a measure of suroral activity, and  $B_{Z}^{*}$ , when  $B_{Z}^{*}$  is directed southward.

In the evening sector, the relationship between AT'step and  $B_{\chi}$  is not as clear, although a pattern similar to that observed in the pre-noon sector is observed here. It would be of considerable help in determining unequivocably the relationship between  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  and  $B_z$  if there were more cases of large  $\Delta Y'_{step}$  in this local time interval. However, for the period of this study, there was IMP data for about only 50% of the days in each hourly interval, and of this 50%, only about half of the cases provided large level shifts in  $\Delta Y$ . The result of this unfortunate circumstance is a paucity of data involving large level shifts in the Y'-component. However, the results are consistent with the observations of others (Hirshberg and Colburn, 1969) in view of the interpretation of the Y'component level shift presented in this thesis.

### 3.4 Inversion of Latitude Profile Data near Dusk

It was pointed out earlier in this chapter that there was evidence for penetration of the westward electrojet poleward of the eastward electrojet as far westward as 1800 MLT. Rostoker and Kisabeth (1973) have shown that eastward and westward electrojets exist simultaneously in this time sector during polar magnetic substorms. Hore recently, Kamide and Akasofu (1976) have published a similar result. As the existence of a vestward flowing current in this time sector during quiet to moderately disturbed times is important to the understanding of magnetospheric processes, a detailed study of the current flow in this regime was undertaken using the linear inversion theory of Backus and Gilbert (1970). In particular, the formulation developed by Oldenburg (1976) was used to determine the latitudinal distribution of current in this time sector. Appendix II gives a brief outline of the theory involved in this technique.

Besides carrying out the inversion, which leads to the only unique solution to the problem, a model of current intensity that "fits the data" was generated. That is, out of the infinite set of particular models, one which satisfied the relation  $\int J^*(\theta) d\theta = \min u u$ , and which was constrained to fit the observations that fit the data as closely as possible, was developed as an aid in interpreting the results of the inversion. There is not necessarily any physical reason for choosing such a model. However, such a choice is analytically and numerically easy to handle, and does satisfy the intuitive belief that the current density of auroral current systems, <u>on average</u>, will vary latitudinally in a smooth manner when averaged over the time span of one hour.

To desonstrate the results of the inversion, one example from each hour from the sector 2300 to 0600 UT (1500 to 2200 HLT) is presented. For each latitude profile, there are three accompanying figures. The first is a plot of standard deviation vs colatitude, contoured for constant values of averaging function width. These plots are in effect a graph of the trade-off between error in the calculation of the current density and the resolution for the same calculation. This figure is required to interpret the second plot which shows average current density as a function of colatitude contoured for a constant standard deviation. Finally, the "flattest" model of heightintegrated current density that fits the data is plotted to assist in the evaluation of the current density estimates. As discussed in Appendix II, the forward model used in this study employs both east-west current flows and north-south current flows. Furthermore, in this regime, the electric field is approximately northward, so that the simplified relationship between the Hall current and the Pedersen current, as developed in the Appendix, has been used. In all cases, the ratio of height-integrated Hall conductivity to height-integrated Pedersen conductivity was set to two in accordance with the results of Brekke et al, (1974). The results of the inversion are shown in Figures 3.18 through 3.26. It is evident that prior to 1800 MLT, only eastward current is detected, as shown by the curves of  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$ . It is interesting to compare the profiles in Figure 3.20 to those

-



- (a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitede.
- (b) The flattest beight-integrated current density model.

۲,

(c) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.19

)

t

ř

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1600-1700 MLT (0000-0100 UT), Day 17, 1972. (a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(c) The standard deviation of the current
 estimates as a function of colatitude
 (d) Estimates of height-integrated current
 density as a function of colatitude,
 contoured for constant standard deviation.





Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for latitude profile for (0100-0200 UT), Day 15, 1972. 1700-1800 MLT (a) The latitude profile as a "function of colatitude. The flattest height-integrated current () density model. (C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.21

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1700-1800 MLT (0100-0200 UT), Day 13, 1972. (a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude. (b) The flattest height-integrated current density model. (c) The standard deviation of the current

(C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.







Figure 3.23

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 1900-2000 HLT (0300-0400 UW), Day 18, 1972.

(a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(C) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude

(d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



-

latitude profile (0400-0500 UT), Day 338, 1971. (a) The latitude profile as a colatitude.

The flattest height-integrated current (b) density model.

for

2000-2100 MLT

of

function

the current (C) The standard deviation of estimates as a function of colatitude

(đ) Estisates of height-integrated current function of density as a colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.



Figure 3.25 Results of inverting the hourly averaged latítude profile for 2100-2200 HLT (0500-0600 UT), Day 335, 1971. (a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude. (b) The flattest height-integrated current density model. The standard deviation of the current (C) estimates as a function of colatitude (d) Estimates of height-integrated current density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.

1



L



COLATITUDE



COLATITUDE Figure 3.26 Res

Results of inverting the hourly averaged latitude profile for 2200-2300 MLT (0600-0700 UT), Day 338, 1971.

(a) The latitude profile as a function of colatitude.

(b) The flattest height-integrated current density model.

(c) The standard deviation of the current estimates as a function of colatitude
(d) Estimates of height-integrated current

density as a function of colatitude, contoured for constant standard deviation.

128

47

•

in Figure 3.21 for the hour 1700-1800 HLT. In Figure 3.21. there is a possibility of a westward current poleward of the main eastward electrojet, or this simply may be due to a westward current to the east of the magnetometer whose strength is large enough so that the resulting magnetic perturbations can be seen at the magnetometer line. For times later than 1800 MLT, the contours of  $\langle J(\theta) \rangle$  show unambiguously that there is a westward electrojet flowing poleward of the eastward electrojet. The validity of the forward model used is somewhat open to question as evidenced by the oscillatory nature of the flattest model. However, such behaviour of the particular model does not detract in any great measure from the results of the actual inversion, as shown in Appendix II. Table 3.2 is a summary of the results of the inversions of latitude profiles in this sector. All cases included in this table are for times when no substorm activity was present in the magnetometer chain sector. The positive X'-component extremum varied from 10 nT 100 nT, while the negative X'-component extremum varied to from 10 nT to 105 nT.

Since the examples analysed in this study were for quiet or only moderately disturbed periods, as evidenced by the range of the X'-component peak values, the westward electrojet that has been detected in the dusk sector is not the substorm westward electrojet. Rather, these results show that the convection westward electrojet may penetrate as far westward as 1800 MLT. Previous workers (Kisabeth and

Ta	ble	3-2

•

..

## Summary of the Results of Inverting Hourly Averaged Latitude Profiles

Interval ( (HLT) (	Number of Cases	Current Direction (Bastward: E Westward: W)
1500 - 1600	4	E
1600 - 1700 I	4	E E
1700 - 1800   	3 1	l l E l E+W
1800 - 1900	3	E+W
1900 - 2000	4	B+W
2000 - 2100	3	E+#
2100 - 2200	3	E+W
2200 - 2300	5	I I E+₩

2

Rostoker, 1973; Kamide and Akasofu, 1976) have found substorm westward electrojets in this sector. The results of the inversion, together with these other results, make it imperative to include the westward current poleward of the eastward electrojet in the pre-midnight sector up to local dusk in any world-wide current model.

.

Chapter 4 A WORLDWIDE CURRENT MODEL

### 4.1 Infroduction

In this chapter, the data and its interpretation outlined in the previous chapter, are synthesized to provide the input parameters for a world-wide three dimensional current model. The model is tested against the data inasauch as the gross features of the data are reproduced. That is, no attempt is made to, say, least squares fit a model latitude profile to an observed profile. Rather, the comparison that has been made is one of comparing the magnitudes of the  $\Delta X'$  extrema and the level-shift in  $\Delta Y'$ . This is justified in that the model is designed to reproduce only the gross features of current flow in the ionosphere. However, it is believed that suitable variation of the model parameters will lead to current models that will reproduce specific latitude profiles. Several examples of such current models are described to demonstrate this.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

### 4.2 Elementary Considerations

### 4.2.1 The Auroral Oval

In order to develop a model of worldwide current flow, one must determine the direction of current flow and the geometry of the current systems. Chapter 3 has outlined the longitudinal distribution of eastward and westward current

132

مہ ز

flow. However, it is also necessary to define the latitudinal extent of the current systems. From the superposed epoch analysis, and using the  $\triangle Z$  extrema as indicators of the latitudinal boundaries of current (Kisabeth 1972), it is found that in general the east-west current systems are confined to a region about 5° wide in latitudinal extent. Furthermore, there is evidence that the eastward and westward ionospheric currents do not flow in a direction normal to the magnetometer line. That is, the Y'component in the latitude profiles cannot be attributed solely to field-aligned current, but is in part due to a tilt of the main electrojet with respect to lines of constant latitude (see section 2.2 and Figure 2.6). Indeed, the electrojets are observed to flow along the auroral oval (Peldstein, 1963, Akasofu et al, 1965). Kisabeth (1972) modelled substorm current systems flowing along the auroral oval by representing the current path by a parabola given by

$$\theta = \frac{ab}{\left(b^2 \cos^2 \varphi + a^2 \sin^2 \varphi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \qquad 4.1$$

Where  $\theta$  is the colatitude of the boundary,  $\varphi$  is the longitude (measured counter-clockwise from midnight), Q is the midnight colatitude ( $\varphi$ =0) and  $\delta$  is the dawn or dusk colatitude ( $\varphi$ =90° or  $\varphi$ =270° respectively). However, he was interested in currents of relatively short longitudinal extent (up to 20°), whereas the work presented here deals with currents of global longitudinal extent. Kamide and Pukushima (1970) have examined current flow along a path given by

$$\theta = \theta + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) (1 + \cos \varphi)$$
 4.2

where  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are as given above, and  $\theta$ , is the colatitude of the path at noon ( $\varphi$ =180°) and  $\theta_{2}$  is the colatitude at midnight ( $\varphi$ =0°). Since small scale current structures are of little concern in Ahis themis, equation 4.2 is ideally suited for describing the auroral oval since a single specification of  $\theta$ , and  $\theta_{2}$  suffices to describe and entire oval boundary.

In the midnight mector, it is believed that there is a component of elevard flow and that both eastward and westward elevard must be and that both eastward and westward elevard flow and that both eastward and a separate specification of boundaries is required, but equation 4.2 may also be used to describe the path. Figure 4.1 is a polar plot of the auroral oval boundaries used in this thesis and table 4.1 gives the parameters that describe these boundaries (Since the polar plot is in terms of latitude, the table lists latitudes ( $\lambda$ ) instead of colatitude ( $\theta$ )).

### 4.2.2. Location of Currents

The nature of the ionospheric current flow is based on the interpretation of the latitude profiles given in the previous chapter. Westward current flows from noon around to midnight where it flows poleward and westward across the midnight sector to 2200 HLT. This flow them continues westward to 1800 HLT. Eastward current flows from moon

134

£

Ing sider

٠

.

,

i,

# Boundaries of the Hodel Auroral Drai

•

LOBGITUDE (A) (degrees) (ho	ALT)   Obre)	DESCRIPTION	POLAR BOUNDAR (° latitude)	POLAR BOUNDARY (* latitude)	EQUATOREARD BOURDARY	CORVARD BOURDARI (* latitude)
	-+-		<u>ک</u> ر	<b>^</b>	<	<b>AA</b>
0-180 (00-	-12)	Hain westward current	75.0	70.0	70.0	65.0
. 180-315 (12	(12-2.1)	main eastward I current	75.0	70.0	70.0	65.0
270-315 (18	(18-21)	Pre-midnight   westward current	73.0	73.0	75.0	70.0
315-360 (21	(21-24)	Harang region   vestvard current	90.5	70.0	104.1*	65.0
315-360 (21	(21-24)	Continuation of   eastward current	104.1+	65.0	1 70.0	65.0
¥.	(					

.

.

٠,

÷

This value is not truly a latitude, but is the value that  $\lambda'$  must have in this longitude regime to correctly define the boundary.

ų,

**`***`

....

.

### Figure 4.1 Polar plot of the auroral oval used for modelling purposes. The boundaries follow the locus $\theta = \theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2 - \theta_1)(1 + \cos \theta)$ where $\theta$ is colatitude and $\varphi$ longitude, measured counterclockwise from midnight. $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are the colatitudes at noon and midnight respectively. The values of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are given in Table 4.1.

4

---

•.


around through dusk to 2200 HLT and then morthward and eastward to the equatorward boundary of the midmight sector • westward electrojet, terminating at 2400 HLT. Both the eastward and westward electrojets are one megment of a three dimensional current loop similar to that used by Kisabeth (1972). However, the field-aligned currents connecting the ionospheric electrojets to the magnetosphere are not confined to sheets at the ends of the ionospheric currents but are in general distributed over the length of these currents in this model, the electrojets are assumed to grow and decay over a fimite longitudinal extent, as described in Chapter 3.

Prom the nature of the electric field configuration in the auroral zone (see figures 3.12 and 3.13), it is apparent that the eastward and westward electrojets are essentially Hall currents, so that for a complete current "Bodel, one anst also account for the currents in the direction of the electric field, the so-called Pedersen currents. Indeed, recent observations by the Triad satellite (lijima and Potemra, 1976) indicate that the auroral oval is bounded by of field-aligned current (Figure 4.2). These regions currents close in the ionosphere through north-south currents. In the model which has been developed for this thesis, all east-west ionospheric currents are bounded by infinitesimally thin sheets of field-aligned current which are in turn connected by north-south ionospheric current. In regions of vestward current flow, there is downward field-

Figure 4.2

• •

¢

The location of field-aligned current sheets as deduced from magnetometer data from the polar-orbiting Triad satellite (From Iijima and Potemra, 1978) The panel on the left is for low level activity (AL<100), and that on the right for higher activity (AL>100).

۰. A

ע



aligned current along the ~poleward boundary and this diverges equatorward in the ionosphere, across the electrojet, and up field lines at the equatorward boundary of the electrojet. In regions of eastward ionospheric current, the north-south current system is reversed.

As well as these large scale currents, two other current systems are involved in this global model. Rostoker and Hron (1975) have shown that there is a weak eastward current equatorward of the main westward electrojet in the dawn sector. This current system is believed to be a signifure of electron precipitation associated with a reconfiguration of the magnetosphere after a period of strong activity. On a statistical basis then, the strength of this current system would average out to be relatively weak, and it has been included in the model only as a very weak current system. An additional current system which may be similar to  $\frac{1}{2}$  or DP-2 has also been added to the model and the reasons for including this system will be discussed at length later in this chapter.

Current in the polar cap (the region from the north pole to the poleward boundary of the auroral oval) has not been included in this model. All the magnetic data which has been used in formulating the current model is winter data, and during this period, the polar cap is essentially dark throughout the day. Figure 4.3 shows the sunlit-dark terminator for 1900 at its extreme positions during the period of Day 332 1971 to Day 23 1972. Thus, there is little

. . . . .

Figure 4.3 The extreme positions of the sunlit-dark terminator for the period from Day 332, 1971 to Day 24, 1972, at an altitude of 115 km. The solid lines oriented from left to right indicate the terminator, and the dotted line is the position of the poleward border of the model auroral oval. The approximate location of the magnetometer line is shown for 1700 UT, and the location of the Greenwich Meridian is shown at 0° longitude.



extreme positions of the terminator

if any solar ultra-violet radiation reaching the polar cap upper atmosphere and therefore there is little if any photoionization. This in turn means that there is little if any polar cap conductivity, and therefore no significant polar cap current.

#### 4.2.3 The Electric Field and Conductivity Model

Mozer and Lucht (1974) and Iversen and Madsen (1977) have published data on the auroral zone electric field. When the research for this thesis was initiated only the former data were available and these data were used as the electric field model required in modelling the currents. The electric field consistent with the global current model is shown in figure 4.4. This polar plot shows only the unit vectors of the electric field because to define the electric field explicity, one requires an explicit model of the conductivity. This will be dealt with in detail in section 4.3.

Figure 4.4 is most easily compared with the data of Iversen and Madsen (1977) (Figure 3.13). In the region of the auroral zone the model electric field is in reasonable agreement with the real data. Note that the model electric field immediately equatorward of the auroral zone in the dawn sector is essentially eastward. This is in keeping with the work of Rostoker and Hron (1975) in which it is shown that the dawn eastward electrojet is a Pedersen current. The east-west component of the low latitude electric field is Figure 4.4 Polar plot of the unit vectors of the, electric field used in the auroral current flow model. , 2

.

..

.

,



;

directed towards noon in all instances, and the north-south component is equatorward in the morning and poleward in the afternoon. This is gualitatively in agreement with the ion drift velocity measurements of Beelis et al (1976). As well, an electric field of this configuration, for a ratio of height-integrated conductivities (Bell to Pederson) of 2, drives currents which are similar to the of system.

The conductivity was not absolutely defined in this model. However, the ratio of the height-integrated Hall conductivity to Pedersen conductivity was taken to be 2 everywhere. Brekke <u>et al</u> (1974) have shown that for low magnetic activity, this ratio holds in the auroral zone. Yasuhara <u>et al</u>, (1975) similarly have used this same heightintegrated conductivity ratio in the auroral zone and subauroral latitudes. Since the current model developed for this thesis is a model for average conditions, the ratio of 2 is well justified.

4.5

# 4.3 The Approach to Current Medaling

As described in the provines chapter, a balle concept of what east-west and field-aligned currents were flowing had been established and it was inserted out that there exist north-south currents which significantly influence the magnetic perturbation pattern. The approach taken in developing this model was to generate magnetic perturbations from all currents believed to be produce in the ionosphere and magnetosphere and combine there to produce a total

perturbation field. The currents could not all be choose independently of one another; that is, current gostinuity had to be maintained. This approach is minilar to that used by Kisabeth (1972) to model substorms, but it is unlike that used by Yasuhara <u>St al</u> (1975) in which a global model of height-integrated Mull and Pederson conductivities was assumed, along with a field-aligned current model, to solve the equation

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ionospheric height-integrated current density and  $\mathcal{J}_{a}$  the field-aligned current density.

In this thesis,  $\underline{J}$  in the east-west direction has been assumed, and  $\underline{J}_{\mu}$  has been derived to afford current continuity. To determine the morth-mosth component of  $\underline{J}_{\mu}$ , the electric field podel and ratio of height-integrated conductivities has been invoked. Commider a coordinate system in which x is directed northward, y eastward and z down. The horizontal height-integrated current density is given by

$$I = \Sigma_{\mu}E + \Sigma_{\mu}\frac{P-E}{5}$$
 4.3

where  $\sum_{ij}$  and  $\sum_{ji}$  are the height-integrated Hall and Pedersen conductivities respectively, E is the horizontal electric field vector, and  $\hat{g}$  the magnetic induction field vector. If  $\hat{g}$  is chosen as  $\hat{g} = \hat{g}\hat{z}_{j}$ , then  $\sum_{ij} \sum_{ji} \sum_{ji}$  Dividing equation 4.5 by 4.4

1

$$\frac{I_{\nu}}{I_{\mu}} = \frac{\sum_{\mu} + E_{\nu}}{1 - (\sum_{\mu} + E_{\mu})}$$
4.6

Thus, if one component of the horizontal current is assumed known, then one need only specify the ratio of the electric field components and the ratio of the height-integrated conductivities. The value of  $E_y/E_x$  is the tangent of the angle that E makes with the x-axis, and because of this, only unit vectors have been shown in figure 4.4.

It should be pointed out that since the locus of eastwest current flow is not along parallels of latitude (see equation 4.1), before equation 4.6 may be used, a correction must be applied to the east-west height-integrated current density. That is, the auroral oval has an azimuthal deflection angle (c() from a latitude circle given by

$$\propto = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{Q-\Theta}{2}\sin\varphi\right) \qquad 4.7$$

and care must therefore be taken in specifying the true east-west current density.

Then, from the ground based data, it was determined that the east-west current was varying in longitude, this variation has been assumed to be linear. There is no evidence available concerning the exact nature of the longitudinal variation of the convection electrojets, and so the simplest variation possible, but one still able to reproduce the observations, has been chosen.

In the model developed for this thesis, icmospheric current is assumed to flow in a sheet of infinitesimal vertical extent; that is, the current is height-integrated. One must therefore decide at mhat altitude this icmospheric current sheet must be placeder famide and Brekke (1077) have examined radar data and that on average, the vestward electrojet is situated at 120 km, and the eastward jet at 100 km. For both electrojets, Kisabeth (1972) has used the value of 115 km. In this thesis, it has been assumed that the icmospheric sheet currents flow at an altitude of 115 km. Any error in the results due to an incorrect value of the current altitude will be less than 10% (Kamide and Brekke 1977).

To produce the most reliable quantitative current model, it is -desirable to include the effects of currents induced in the earth. As discussed in the previous chapter, induction effects have been included by placing an infinitely conducting sphere at depth. For calculation of model substorn fields, Kisabeth (1972, 1975) and Kisabeth and Bostoker (1977) used a depth of 250 km, based on the fact that this depth gives the best fit between model calculations and real data. In the modelling for this thesis, a depth of 600 km has been used throughout. This too is an empirical result, giving the best qualitative fit of the model to the data, when cases dominated by pure overhead electrojet flow are considered. Indust, pince this work is conserved with only about varying perturbations inassuch as

1 1 A 1 A

S . .



all the data is hourly averaged, one sight expect that the induction effect would be less than for the case of substorm modelling.

## 4.4 The Basic Current Systems

In this section, the main current systems employed in the model will be described at some length. Basically there are 5 main currents; i) the westward flowing current, which flows from noon through midnight to dusk; ii) the eastward flowing current, which flows from noom to midnight; iii) the morth-south current associated with each of the above eastwest currents; iv) the current which flows equatorward in the morning sector and polyward in the afternoon sector, and v) an eastward current in the dawn sector.

4.4.1 The Westward Current System.

**.** 

It will be recalled from Chapter 3 that the ground based data for the time period noon through dawn to approximately midnight had been interpreted bagically as a growing vestward current. However, near dawn (approximately' 0800, MLT for winter) there was a marked decrease in the magnitude of the peak of the superposed epoch  $\Delta X$ ' profile. It is not perfectly clear what causes this decrease, but the following is offered as a possible explanation.

Currents flow in the auroral eval because this region of the atmosphere has an enhanced electrical conductivity relative to the rest of the atmosphere. This conductivity is 5

brought about by the precipitation of charged particles from the magnetosphere which, through collisional processes, produce charge carriers in the ionosphere. As well as this, where the upper atmosphere is sublit, solar ultra-violet (UV) radiation may produce charge carriers in the atmosphere through photo-ionization processes. Thus, up to dawn (and symmetrically, up to dusk), there are two sources of conductivity, solar UV and particle precipitation. Away from (and dusk), towards midnight, only particle dava produce electrical available to precipitation 18 conductivity so that near the dawn and dusk terminators there is a gradient in conductivity (probably over a marrow region). As well, there is a gradient in the electric field near dawn and dusk, (see Figure 3.13(a)). In order to accommodate these gradients in the conductivities and the electric field; current flows up field lines. Thus there is a reduction in the magnitude of the ionospheric current, and a corresponding reduction in the peak of the I'-component, as observed.

In the global current model, it has been assumed that both the Hall and Pedersen conductivities vary in such a manner that their ratio remains constant. In section 4.6.1 of this chapter, the effect of this reduction in electrojet strength will be examined in more detail. Figure 4.5 is a plot of current intensity as a function of time for both the eastward and venture electrojets. It is seen that the vestured jet (the curve with values everywhere less than

Figure 4.5

· · · ,

.

.

.

The model height-integrated current density for the east-west currents, as a function of . MLT. The current densities for both the eastward current (values > 0) and the westward current (values < 0) are shown.

.

· ·



zero) exhibits variations over its entire length. From noon to about 0800 HLT, the current density increases linearly from 0.0 to 0.221 Am⁻¹. In this sector, the width is constant at 5° of latitude, so the current here ranges from WO A to 1.25x10⁵A. Hear 0800 HLT, there is a 20% reduction in the total current, with a concomitant reduction in the height-integrated current density. As a result, 2.5x10⁴A is fed out of the ionosphere in a field-aligned current sheet. This field-aligned current is most likely distributed over a finite longitudinal range, but this range cannot be determined from the available data. In lieu of this, the upvard flowing current is replaced by an equivalent upward flowing current sheet.

()

From 0800 HLT to 0100 HLT, the westward current continues to grow back to its peak value in the sunlit sector. That is, the total current increases from 1.00x10^sA at 0800 HLT to 1.25x10^sA at 0100 HLT.

Over the entire region discussed so far, since the westward current is growing, there must be a downward fieldaligned current feeding the westward jet, (apart from the upward current sheet at 0800 HLT). Since the ionospheric current grows nost rapidly in the sector from noon to 0800 HLT, this is obviously where the greatest inward fieldaligned current flows. Figure 4.6 is a plot of field-aligned current density as a function of magnetic local time. It is clear that inverse midnight and 0800 HLT, very little fieldaligned current flows into the ionosphere density density is a function of magnetic local time. It is

Pigure 4.6 The model unbalanced field-aligned current densities that connect with the east-west current systems, as a function of MLT. @ upward field-aligned current, and

.

· · · ·

٠



aligned current which feeds the electrojet is a local net field-aligned current inassuch as if one were to integrate along a seridian, there is an imbalance in the field-aligned current flow.

Referring to figure 4.5 again, it is seen that the westward current intensity generally decreases from 0100 HLT to 1800 HLT. In fact, the total current flowing across a meridian in this sector decreases linearly from 1.25x10^sA at 0100 HLT, to 0.0 A at 1800 HLT. The non-linear variations in the current intensity come about from variations in the width of the westward current in this regime. In this sector, field-aligned current must flow out of the ionosphere, and the outward field-aligned current density is shown by the open circles in figure 4.6. The variations in this curve are again due to the varying width of the current. In fact, the upward flowing current as a function longitude is constant. The high current density at 1800 of HLT is due solely to the extremely marrow (0.5°) latitudinal extent of the electrojet at this point.

Superimposed on this entire westward electrojet system is a north-south current system. In accordance with the procedure outlined earlier, the current flowing in the north-south system was obtained directly from the electric field and conductivity models. Figure 4.7 is a plot of the global north-south current system plotted in terms of the field-aligned current contribution. The curves of immediate interest are the upper two curves in the pre-midnight hours Figure 4.7

The field-aligned current strength for the north-south current systems as a function of HLT. O denotes upward field-aligned current, and O indicates downward field-aligned current. The dashed line represents current flow at the equatorward border of the auroral oval, and the solid line indicates current flow at the poleward border of the auroral oval.



÷.

and their continuation into the post-midnight pure. Note that the downward current density is greater that the upward. This is due to the fact the southward dowing current flows along peridians and therefore diverges, so that for a constant current, the current density varies as the sine of the colatitude.

and the second second

The 24 papels of Figure 4.8 are the model latitude profiles for the vestward flowing currents, including the associated "set" field-aligned currents," but excluding the north-south currents. The features that one night expect from knowledge of the current distribution are evident in these profiles. There is a decrease in the I'-component extrema from 0900 MLT to 0800 MLT (Pigure 4.8(j) and Figure 4.8(i) respectively). Because of the presence of unbalanced, downward field-alfyned current from 0100 to neon HLT, one would expect a positive going level shift (north-to-south) in the east-west component. This in fact is seen only up to 0300 HLT: the sodel profiles for 0100 and 0200 HLT show either a weak negative going shift in  $\triangle Y'$ , or no shift at all. This is due to the strong upward field-aligned current in the midnight sector, completely masking the weak downward current in the post-midnight sector. Indeed, the positivegoing level-shift is seen beyond noon, spilling over as an end-effect into the 1300 HLT and perhaps 1400 HLT sector. Hovever, the magnitude of all these level-shifts appears small relative to those suggested by the real data. This could be due to one or both of two possibilities: (1) there

Figure 4,8

## Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete vestward derivet system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). a) 0000 to 0100 HLT

5.6.1

• •

. 1

-/	~~~~		A IAA		
b)	0.100	to	0200	#L7 ;	
<b>a</b> )	0200	to	0300	alt i	
d)	0300	to	0400	HL?	
•)	0400	to	0500	817	
1)	0500	to	0600	HLT	

*

4

• • • •

١.





Figure 4.8

.

### Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). a) 0600 to 0700 487

مر مربع

}	0000	τ0	0/00		
ĥ)	0700	to	0800	517	
ij	0000	to	0900	HLT.	
- ĴĴ			1000		
k)			1100		
11			1200		



:

165

Figure 4.8

.

Model latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). m] 1200 to 1300 MLT n] 1300 to 1300 MLT o] 1400 to 1500 MLT o] 1400 to 1500 MLT q] 1500 to 1600 MLT r] 1700 to 1800 MLT

1.0

ì

••

.

.



Figure 4.8	Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete
	There of the should be the
*	ionospheric and field-addraded currents).
	(a) 1800 to 1900 ALC:
•	
- 17	
1	V) 2100 to 2200
· •	v) 2200 to 2300 to 2300 to 2000 to 200
🔶 🔫	to 2409 Hitting



is more unbalanced field-aligned parrent than has been used in the model, or (2) there are other current systems which will produce a  $\Delta T'$  level-shift. The first of these requires an ionospheric current system other than a vestured current, since increasing this latter will not change the size of the T'-component level-shift relative to the S'-component extremes. Since, as pointed fit earlier, it is not believed that significant currents flow in the winter polar cap, this first pensibility suggests the presence of currents flowing equatorward. Such a current system has been included in the global model, and will be returned to later in this chapter (section 4.4.3). The second possibility is also a viable one, insofar as the morth-south current system has not yet been considered.

Pirst, however, the remaining features of the vestward system alone should be considered. Note that the 3-component. exhibits an asymmetry not unlike that in the data, in the post-midnight house. Also, the east-vest component is not negative across the untire profile, as it is in the data, in the midnight sector. Finally, the east-vest compohent does show a negative-going step, in the pre-midnight hours, similar to the observed to the data.

Then the appropriate porty south entrests are added to this work works the solal profiles are approved descentibly then compared with the mel data. There are the same and blacks of which is vhich more and the same and blacks of which profiles is vhich more and the same and blacks of which are the theory are

igire 4.9	Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current
Mart	system.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s). 1800 to 1900 HLT
and the second sec	t) 1900 to 2000 ALT
·	u) 2000 to 2100 HLT
Sal Anti-	v) 2100 to 2200 ALT
5 <b>3</b> 4	w) 2200 to 2300 HLT
344	x) 2300 to 2400 HLT
	• •



Pigure 4.9

,

- 、

.

. • •

• •

٠.

.

Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-porth current system.

÷.

1

۰.

.#

¢ ;

and been

CHER 3

<b>)</b>	1200	to	1300	ALT
n)	1.300	to	1400	BLT.
0)	1400	ta	1500	ALT
P)			1400	
(P			1700	
I)	1700	to	1800	BLT

.

, °¢


Pigure 4.9

.

Nodel latitude profiles due to the complete westward corresponding north-south surrest added the corresponding north-south surrest system.

<b>9</b> )	0606	to	0700	ALT.
ĥ)	0700	to	0800	HLT
1Ĵ			0900	1LT
J)	0900	tò	1000	ALT
kj.	1000	to	1100	ALT
1)	1100	to	1200	BLR

 $\sim$ 



Figure 4.9

.

Sodel latitude profiles due to the complete vestward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current system.

<b>4)</b>	0000	το	0104	BLT
bj	0 100	'to	0200	ALT
C)	0200	to	0300	BLT
4)			0400	
•)			0500	
f)			0600	

.

. •

•

•

•

*



**\$** 

>

flowing peridicaal current system consistent with the electric field and conductivity model. In the post-midnight sector, to noon, the size of the I'-component level-shift is " enhanced. As will be descentrated later in this chapter, this level-shift is now in close agreement with the data. Thus, as suggested in the penultimate paragraph, the possibility of additional currents producing a  $\Delta T^*$  levelshift is a reasonable one. Although level-shifts in the east-west component may be due in part to unbalanced fieldaligned current, they cannot be explained totally on this basis: a north-south current system of great longitudinal extent will also produce a similar Y'-component. However, it is not possible to model the T'-component level-shift solely by sorth-south current flow, and still produce the observed variation in the I'-component. As already discussed, it 18 evident that the westward electrojet grows over a region of some longitudinal extent, and this demands the presence of unbalanced field-aligned current into the ionosphere.

Significant end effects of the north-south system associated with the vestward electrojet are evident in the model profiles for times immediately after noon (Figure 4.9) when they are compared with the corresponding panels of Figure 4.8. This effect is seen mainly in the S-component, and is due primarily to the ionospheric portion of the north-south three-dimensional system. A similar effect may be seen in the 1800 HLT model profiles, (Figures 4.8(s) and 4.9(s)) and is due to the morth-south current system

associated with the pro-midnight vestioned electrojet.

4.4.2 The Bastward Current System

In Chapter 3, it was shown that the ground based segnetic data in the post-noon sector were indicative of an eastward flowing electrojet. In fact, based on the magnitude of the I'-component positive extremum this effetuerd current was found to grow from moon to near dusk, where there is a small decrease in the apparent current segnitude. This is similar to the behaviour of the westward current flow, although this decrease in current strength is not as , promounced. However, the same argument as developed for 'the vestward current has been applied to the eastward current in the post-noon sector. As well, there is a marked decrease in the magnitude of the positive AI' extrema from about 2000 ALT to 2200 ALT. Figure 4.5 is a plot of the heightintegrated current density for the eastward electrojet (the curve with positive values in this figure). The current grows linearly from 0.0 Am-1 at noom to a maximum of 0.116 Am-1 (6.57x10°A total) at 1600 HLT. At this time, there is a reduction in current, with 20% of the current flowing out of the icrosphere is a field-aligned current sheet. The current remains constant until 2100 MLT, at which time it begins to flow poleward. As can be seen in figure 4.1, in this regime (2100 to 2400 HLT) the eastward current is constrained to a vedge-like region of the auroral oval. As the eastward flowing current diverges polevard, it encounters the

160

Y

unstarround perfor at the nortwerd, correct, where the eastward current diverges up the field links. Thus, in the solel, the eastward electrojet flows out of the lonosphere is a field-aligned current sheet, the losus of which separates the eastward and vestward electrojets in the region of the Barang discontinuity. Figure 4.6 shows the current density of the field-aligned current which feeds the eastward 'electrojet (1200 to 1600 HLT). It is considerably less then the dain feed to the mestward electrojet (0900 to 1200 MLT), in Meeping with the relatively smaller total current that flows in the eastward electrojet. As in the . case of the vestmard flowing current, there is a north-south current system associated with the eastward electrojet. In the post-noon sector, this current is directed poleward in keeping with the electric field observations. Figure 4.7 includes a plot of the field-aligned current density associated with this north-south current. Although this current density is considerably less than that used for the westward current, it is consistent with the electric field model and conductivity ratio described earlier. Figure 4.10 (24 panels) are plots of the model latitude profiles for the eastwird current together with its associated field-aligned currents. The growth of the eastward electrojet is apparent in the post-moon profiles up to 1600 HLT (Figures 4.10 (B) through 4.10(g)). The slight decrease in the peak value of AI' is visible at 1600 MLT, and from 1600 MLT to about 2100 ALT (Fightes 4.10(g) to 4.10(v)) the magnitude of the I'-

18,1

Nodel latitude profile? due to the complete eastward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). a) 0000 to 0100 HLT ٠ b) 0100 to 0200 HLT c) 0200 to 0300 HLT d) 0300 to 0400 HLT e) 0400 to 0500 HLT f) 0500 to 0600 MLT

Pigure 4.10



)

Figure 4.10	Hodel latitude profiles due to the plete
	eastward current system (including the
	ionospheric and field-aligned curiours).
	g) 0600 to 0700 HLT b) 0700 to 0800 HLT
	1) 0800 to 0900 HLT
	j) 0900 to 1000 ALT
	k) 1000 to 1100 HLT
	1) 1100 to 1200 HLT
	IJ 1100 CO 1200 HLI



1



ł

Pigure 4.10 Nodel latitude profiles due to the complete eastward current system (including the ionospheric and field-aligned currents). a) 1200 to 1300 HLT b) 1300 te 1400 HLT c) 1400 to 1500 HLT c) 1500 to 1600 HLT c) 1600 to 1700 HLT c) 1700 to 1800 HLT

-

٠

,



ł.

•

Pigure 4.10 Bodel Latitude profiles due to the complete eastward current system (including the icrespheric and field-aligned currents). a) 1000 to 1900 MLT t) 1900 to 2000 MLT u) 2000 to 2100 MLT v) 2100 to 2200 MLT v) 2200 to 2300 MLT x) 2300 to 2400 MLT

.'

. .

.

.



component peak is essentially constant. Beyond this time to midnight, the strength of the eastward electrojet decreases. The tilt of the current flow with respect to parallels of latitude that has been built into the model is evident in the model profiles for 1700 HLT to 1900 HLT. (Figures 4.10(r) to 4.10(t)). In this time period, the tilt in the oval is greatest, and in these profiles, it is clear that the dast-west component follows the morth-south component. The east-west component in the immediate pre-midnight sector (Figures 4.10(a) f 4.10(v), 4.10(x)) shows a megative going level-shift (from south to morth latitudes) consistent with the presence of the upward field-aligned current sheet in this regind.

Outside the regime of the eastward current, some effects are visible. For example, there is a small, broad, positive  $\Delta I'$  signaturé seen in the model profile for 0000 &LT (Fig. 4.21(a)). Similarly, an end-effect is evident in the two hours preceding noch (Figures 4.10(k) and 4.10(l)). In general however, the eastward flowing current produces little effect in regimes outside the actual location of the current.

The result of adding the morth-south current system which flows in conjunction with the esstward current is shown in Sigure 4.11. The effect of the system on the profiles shown in Figure 4.10 is not as large as was seen in the case of the westward electrojet. The main effect is observed in the profiles for 2100 MLT through midnight to

Figure 4.11Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete<br/>eastward current system, to which has been<br/>added the corresponding north-south current<br/>system.<br/>a) 0000 to 0100 HLT<br/>b) 0100 to 0200 HLT<br/>c) 0200 to 0300 HLT<br/>d) 0300 to 0400 HLT<br/>e) 0400 to 0500 HLT<br/>f) 0500 to 0600 HLT

. •

.

÷



Figure 4.11	Model latitude profiles due to the complete eastward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current
	system.
	g) 0600 to 0700 MLT
	h) 0700 to 0800 MLT
	i) 0800 to 0900 MLT
	j) 0900 to 1000 MLT
	k) 1000 to 1100 MLT -
-	1) 1100 to 1200 MLT
	· ·

`.

. .



Figure 4.11

.

Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete eastward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current system.

,

m)	1200	to	1300	MLT
· D)	1300	to	1400	BLT
oj	1400	to	1500	ALT
p)			1600	
<b>q</b> ).	1600	to	1700	HLT
			1800	

.



Ì

	/	<b>Ba</b> .
Figure 4.11	Hodel latitude profiles due to the complete eastward current system, to which has been added the corresponding north-south current	
	system.	
	s) 1800 to 1900 MLT	
	t) 1900 to 2000 HLT	
	u) 2000 to 2100 HLT	
	v) 2100 to 2200 HLT	
	w) 2200 to 2300 HLT	
	x) 2300 to 2400 MLT	
	ť	

.

.



2300 ALT (Figure 4.11(v) to 4.11(xf. In Figure 4.11(v) (2100 HLT) the Y'-component is altered by the poleward flowing current system so as to almost completely mask the effect of the tilted eastward current system. The same effect is seen in Figure 4.11(w) (2200 HLT), where as well, the level-shift in the I'-component is reduced by the addition of the northsouth current system. Also, in the 2200 HLT profile, the 2component is changed so that the well-defined negative extremum shown in the profiles for the eastward current alone (Figure 4.10(w)) is all but lost. The profiles for 2300 HLT (Figure 4.10(x) and 4.11(x)) show that the northsouth current system produces a marked change in all 3 components; the I'-component develops distinctly positive values at high latitude; the Y'-component takes on the character of a strongly tilted east-west current system; and the I-component gains a marked positive extremum. Apart from this time period, little change is observed in the profiles which result from adding the poleward flowing current system to the eastward electrojet.

## 4.4.3 The North-South Current System

For the sake of completeness, the entire north-south current system is shown in Figure 4.12 (24 panels). This system is frequently neglected in auroral current models, at least in the case of polar magnetic substorms. Indeed, in these cases there may be some justification for considering only the east-west current systems inasmuch as the fatio of Figure 4.12

.

-

.

## Hodel latitude profiles due to the entire north-south current system. a) 0000 to 0100 HLT b) 0100 to 0200 HLT c) 0200 to 0300 HLT d) 0300 to 0400 HLT e) 0400 to 0500 HLT f) 0500 to 0600 HLT

•



Pigure 4.12

.

Hodel latitude profiles due to the estire sorth-south current system. g) 0600 to 0700 SLT

£

٠

h) 0700 to 0800 HLT i) 0800 to 0900 HLT j) 0900 to 1000 HLT k) 1000 to 1100 HLT l) 1100 to 1200 HLT

.





Figure 4.12	<ul> <li>Hodel latitude profiles due to the entire north-mouth current system.</li> <li>m) 1200 to 1300 HLT</li> <li>n) 1300 to 1400 HLT</li> <li>b) 1400 to 1500 HLT</li> <li>p) 1500 to 1600 HLT</li> <li>g) 1600 to 1700 HLT</li> <li>r) 1700 to 1800 HLT</li> </ul>	
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		





Figure 4.12 Nodel 'latitude profiles due to the entire north-south current system. s) 1800 to 1900 MLT t) 1900 to 2000 MLT u) 2000 to 2100 MLT v) 2100 to 2200 MLT w) 2200 to 2300 MLT x) 2300 to 2400 MLT

•

.



 $\sum_{n}$  to  $\sum_{n}$  increases to about 4 during periods of high magnetic activity (Brekke <u>st</u> <u>al</u>, 1974), so that the role of the north-south currents is relatively less isportant during substorms. Since the north-south currents are primarily Pedersen currents, their role in substorn modelling is possibly relatively small, Kisabeth (1972, 1975) and Bannister (1976) have successfully modelled substorms while ignoring north-south current systems. Rovever, in this model, representing quiet to moderately disturbed periods the morth-south current system becomes relatively important as may be seen in the model latitude profiles of Figure 4.12. In the first two profiles (Figure 4.12(a) and (b); 0000 and 0100 HLT), the effect of the northward flowing current in the midnight sector is seen as a strong positive  $\Delta z$  perturbation. It will be recalled that in the SPEA profiles from the post-midnight quadrant, the Z-component exhibited an asymmetry, with the positive extremum being greater than the norm of the negative extremum. In fact, this positive  $\Delta z$  profile continues in the model north-south system until about dawn. From 0400 to 1100 BLT (Figures 4.12(e) to 4.12(1)), the I'-component is of greatest interest. It is evident that the total north-south system enhances the level-shift in  $\Delta Y^*$ , as was seen in the comparison of Figure 4.8 and 4.9. In the 1100 and 1200 HLT model profiles (Figure 4.12(1) and (m)), the Z-component is distinctly negative. As will be seen in section 4.5, this effect is highly influential in the total model. As already

1

noted, the north-south current system has only a minimal effect in the region of the eastward electrojet, from noon to dusk. However, the total system produces a fairly marked effect, in the post-dusk sector, on the  $\Delta T^{*}$  profiles (Figures 4.12(t) to 4.12(v): 1900 to 2100 HLT) In this regime, the total north-south current system markedly enhances the negative going level-shift in the Y*-component.

Finally, the last two panels of Figure 4.12 (w and x; 2200 and 2300 HLT) show a negative Y'-component across the entire latitude profile, in keeping with the poleward flowing current in this region.

## 4.4.4. Other Contributing Current Systems

In addition to the current systems discussed up to this point, it was necessary to add two other current systems, although these are of relatively minor importance. The first the eastward flowing current located of these VAS innediately equatorward of the westward electrojet in the dawn sector. This current was made to flow anti-parallel to the westward electrojet in a 5° wide latitudinal strip whose poleward border coincided with the equatorward border of the westward electrojet. The current was limited to the longitude range of 60° to 165°; that is, eastward current flowed from 0400 HLT to 1100 HLT. For modelling purposes it was assumed that this current is fed from a downward fieldaligned sheet current that diverges eastward. At dawn, the conductivity gradient described earlier (section 4.4.1) is
encountered, and additional current flows into the ionosphere at this point. At 1100 HLT the current diverges back up a field-aligned current sheet to the magnetosphere. The maximum current flowing in this electrojet is  $10^{\circ}$  Å, for a maximum height-integrated current density of 0.018 Am⁻¹. Latitude profiles for this current system possess no unusual features and are not presented here. The maximum positive  $\Delta X^{\circ}$  perturbation is about 5nT, occurring in the profile for 0900 HLT.

The final current system is also a relatively weak system when compared with either the westward or the eastward electrojet systems, but one which possesses useful features, from the point of view of the global model.

When this study was first undertaken, it was observed that the  $\Delta Y'$  level-shift was not symmetric about the field intensity origin. (See, for example, Figure 4.3) However, an unbalanced, distributed field-aligned current produces a  $\Delta Y'$  level-shift which is essentially symmetric about the origin (Figure 3.7). As well, it became evident that the inferences drawn from the ground-based magnetic data regarding unbalanced field-aligned currents were not in total agreement with the results obtained from the Triad magnetometer data (Sugiura and Potenra, 1976). That is, interpretation of the satellite data showed that net upward field-aligned current flowed in the post-noon sector, the exact opposite of the results of the ground-based data. Hughes and Rostoker (1977) offered an heuristic argument in

٩.

• •

an attempt to explain this discrepancy. This argument is as follogs. Rostoker and Hron (1975) have pointed out that in the dawn sector there exists an equatorward-flowing Hall current, and it is assumed that this Hall current circulates through the low latitude ionosphere to the post-noon guadrant and then flows up field lines into the magnetosphere, Consider two infinite anti-parallel plane sheet currents separated by a finite distance (Figure 4.13(a)). The ionospheric equivalent current for the downward-flowing sheet current (I) is two horizontal sheets of strength I/2 flowing toward the vertical sheet. Similarly, the equivalent current for the upward-flowing current sheet (I) is two horizontal current sheets (I/2) flowing away from the vertical sheet. (This is simply an extension of the technique used by Fukushima (1969) for line currents). Connecting these two vertical sheets by a horizontal sheet current results in an equivalent current for the system of zero, so that no magnetic perturbation is observed on the ground.

If, as suggested above, there is a horizontal current flow into the region of Birkeland current flow associated with the auroral oval in the post-noon guadrant (Figure 4.13(b)), then there will be an unbalanced upward current flow (as observed by Triad), but no level-shift will occur in the east-west component as observed on the ground However, Figure 4.13(b) shows that there will be a bias in the east-west component. A similar argument to this may be Figure 4.13(a)

.

t

Schematic drawing of equivalent ionospheric currents for infinite vertical current sheets and a pair of anti-parallel vertical current sheets connecting through a horizontal sheet. Equivalent currents from the downward-flowing current are shown by the dashed lines, and those from the upward-flowing current are shown by the dotted lines. Real currents are shown by the solid lines.



Figure 4.13(b) Schematic drawing of equivalent ionospheric currents for a current system in which the upward current  $(I_1 + I_2)$  is greater than the downward current,  $I_2$ , because of an ionospheric current  $I_2$  flowing into the system. The equivalent current I / 2 is uniform across the current system and directed to the right.

Ι

۰.





applied to the pro-sees quadrant to produce a bias in the east-west component. That is, downward current shich diverges equatorward will produce a positive bias is the T'component. Thus, it is possible to explain the discrepancy between the ground data and the satellite data, as well as explain the observed bias in the T'-component, with the same argument. Of course, in reality, this argument is not totally valid. The closure of the vertical currents is not complete, since closure of the vertical currents is not complete, and also, the real currents are of finite extent. However, the argument was successful enough to lead to further investigation of such a current Gates.

These currents will be referred to as the low-latitude current system. The total current flowing in this system is 0.1875 x 10*A, only 155 of the maximum vestward flowing current. The low-latitude current system consists of fieldaligned current flowing into the auroral oval within the 0600 to 1100 BLT sector. This current diverges equatorward and eventually into an eastward flowing current located between 0° to 40° latitude. In the post-moon quadrant, this eastward current in turn flows poleward and then diverges up field lines at the polevard border of the east ward electrojet. Note that in the pre-moon sector, the fieldaligned current is distributed over the latitude range of the oval, whereas is the post-soon sector, the field-aligned current is configed to a sheet. From 0600 to 1100 HLT, the icacepheric current has an average height-integrated current

200

2,16

density of 4 x 10-4.0-1. from (600 to 0600 HL2, the heightintegrated current density deminance to more. At sub-auroral latitudes, the iccompheric surrent intensity is antisymmetric about noon.

Schematically, this current system bears some resemblance to the Sq current system. Hengver the model system is not completely closed in the immessions, as is the Sq system. This model system bears an even closer resemblance to the DP-2 system of Himbids (1966) although DP-2 is not symmetric, in its geometry, about hoom. Altasofu gi al (1973) have suggested that Himbida's DP-2 system may be due to an intensification of the  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  current system together with an expansion of the low-latitude current system corresponds to DP-2 or  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  for the winter ionosphere, since mo polar cap current flows in the model. This topic will be discussed somewhat further in metion 4.7.1 of this chapter.

The 24 panels of Figure 4.14 are latitude profiles generated by the model low-latitude current system. It is evident from Figures 4.1444) to 4.14(f) and Figures 4.14(t) to 4.14(z) that this system has little effect in the dark besisphere. Note that in the 0600 MLT to 1800 MLT mector (Figure 4.14(g) to 4.4640) the T'-component is biased as described in previous paragraphs, i.e. AT' is positive in the pre-mean sector, and negative in the post-moon sector. However, unlike in the theoretical argument pet forth errlier, there is an additional feature to the T'-component,

	-								
Figure 4.14	low-lat. a) 0000 b) 0100 c) 0200 d) 0300 e) 0400	latitude profiles itude current system. to 0100 MLT to 0200 MLT to 0300 MLT to 0400 MLT to 0500 MLT to 0600 MLT	đue	to	the				

•



•••

•

Figure 4.14

.

;

Hodel latitude profiles due to the low-latitude current system. g) 0600 to 0700 HLT h) 0700 to 0800 HLT i) 0800 to 0900 HLT j) 0900 to 1000 HLT k) 1000 to 1100 HLT l) 1100 to 1200 HLT

.

....

•



Figure 4.14	10	Model latitude profiles low-latitude current system. m) 1200 to 1300 MLT						8	to	tl
	n)	1200	to	1300	ALT Milt					
	0)			1500						
	· p)			1600						
`	(P			1700 1800						
	r)	1700	10	1000	001					
							1			

•



ł

*

 Pigure 4.14
 Model latitude profiles due to the low-latitude current system.

 s)
 1800 to 1900 HLT

 t)
 1906 to 2000 HLT

 u)
 2000 to 2100 HLT

 v)
 2100 to 2200 HLT

 v)
 2100 to 2300 HLT

 x)
 2300 to 2400 HLT

.





in that the profile does not exhibit only a bias, but shows some structure as well. However,  $\Delta Y'$  in these profiles is not easily interpreted in terms of unbalanced field-aligned current, so that in fact, as suggested by Hughes and Rostoker (1977), it is possible to have an unbalanced fieldaligned current without there being a readily recognizable signature on the ground. In spite of this, the strength of the upward field-aligned current in the post-noon sector due to the low-latitude current system is insufficient to produce an overall net upward field-aligned current, so that the discrepancy between this model and the Triad observations remains. This will be dealt with further in section 4.7.1.

## 4.5 The Global Current Hodel

Figure 4.15 is a polar plot showing schematically the current systems used in this model. For the sake of clarity, the north-mouth current systems are not shown here. Neither relative widths of the arrows representing ionospheric currents nor the diameters of circles representing unbalanced field-aligned current are necessarily drawn to scale. However, most of the general features of the current systems used in this model are represented.

226

...

. Figure 4.15 Schematic drawing of the complete model current system. Downward field-aligned currents are shown by , upward by . The width of the arrows is only an approximate indication of relative ionospheric current strength. Balanced field-aligned currents, and the corresponding north-south ionospheric currents have been omitted for the sake of clarity.

•



4.5.1 Qualitative Comparison of Hodel Latitude Profiles with SPEA Profiles

Figure 4.16 centains 48 separate panels in which the model latitude profile for a given hour is displayed next to the SPEA latitude profile for the same hour.

It is re-iterated at this point that the model has not been constructed to produce an exact fit to the data. The variations in the data from day to day are large. However, certain features do persist from day to day, and it<u>s</u> is these features that the model has been designed to reproduce. Thus, a only a semi-guantitative comparison will be made between the model profiles and the SPBA profiles. In section 4.4.2, a statistical comparison of  $\Delta X^{*}_{peak}$  and  $\Delta Y^{*}_{stap}$  for the model and SPBA profiles will be given.

Comparison of the 0000 HLT profiles shows a high degree of similarity between the model profiles and the data. The Y'-component is negative across the profile in both cases and has a similar step-like character. The Y'-components are also similar. The model Z-component is asymmetric with the positive extremus being larger than the absolute value of the megative extremum, as is the case in the data. However, at high latitudes, the observed Z-component maintains relatively large values, whereas in the model, the Zcomponent decreases guite rapidly with increasing latitude beyond that at which the peak occurs. This is a persistent feature is the comparison of the model with the data in the post-midmight sector.

Pigure 4.16

4,

Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Magnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

...

.

. ----

.





0

10

20

(X 10)

FIELD INTENSITY (NT)



-10

-9









**Figure 4.16** 

•

• • •

Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelied in Universal Time, and the model profiles. in Magnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.





Figure 4.16 Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Hagnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.



. 235

*

Pigure 4.16

Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Magnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

3.



۰.

Figure 4.16

.

· · .

Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Hagnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

•

.

.

,

-



Figure 4.16 Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Magnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

.

-

-----



• •

Figure 4.16 Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Hagnetic Local Time. Local magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

• .

.

.

. .



t

Pigure 4.16

#

•

Comparison of the model latitude profiles, for the complete model, with the SPEA profiles. Note that the SPEA profiles are labelled in Universal Time, and the model profiles in Hagnetic Local Time. Mocal magnetic midnight is at approximately 0800 UT.

**\$** 

•

.

•

.





the state
At 0100 Hig, all 3 components in the model profiles are in fair agreement with the character of the components in the SPEL (MUCLION.

The 0200 HLT profiles also show good appearent in the  $Z^{1-}$  and Z-components. The  $T^{1-}$ -component in the data shows evidence of a small possible going level-shift, perhaps superimposed on a slight, pelement tilted ovel. (AT' appears to follow A(X')). The model A(T') profile shows evidence of the opposite tilt, as indeed and is built into the model, and essentially no-level-shift. Hereford, in both cases, the momentum of the T' profiles are set major case.

For 0300 HLT, the agreement between model and ebservation is guite good. The asympethy in  $\Delta Z$  is similar in both, and the values of the peak  $\Delta I^{+}$  are emsentiably the mase is both cases. The I'-component also shows the same gualitative features of a slight (level-shift across the midth of the electrojet.

The fifth set of panels in Figure 4.16 (0400 SLT) also show a good gualitive agreement between the observations and the nodel. This signitude of  $\Delta T_{step}^{*}$  in the model is less that that elemented. This difference is difficult to assoribe to the set field-blighed current component of the model, as increasing this simulitates increasing the model, as increasing this simulitates increasing the model flowing custome. The unitient current, housver, models is good agreement. It is block the is good agreement

arises due to the choice of model merth-speath ( surrent. If the electric field during the observation period is not nall modulied by the field shows in Pigure 4.4, or if the peightintegrated conductivity ratio about wristions amy from the value of 2 in this sector, that the model morth-south , current system would differ from the field for the data collection period have forced the use of the present morthsouth current model. Further refinements may improve the agreement between the observed and model  $\Delta T^*_{minp}$ , but, as will be above in section 4.4.2; the avenual agreement is acceptable.

The sements of the above paragraph also apply to the comparison of model profiles with SPEA profiles for 0500 MLT through 0800 MLE. In addition, it will be noted that the model produces a more step-like I'-Dempoient than is observed in the SPEA profiles. Indeed, as described in Chapter 3, the model  $\Delta T'$  profiles in this sector behave more like these observed in the individual hourly averaged latitude profiles then that in the SPEA profiles.

As the non sector is approached, it become clear that the global current solel Sails to reproduce some Seatures of the data. It will be pocklied that the suis Seature described in the men motion and the lifty positive-going level-shift in the To-component. Maximum is reasonably will produced by the model. Remain the Statemake of the S'-component is not main the statemake of the

247 👞

**9**- 1

already described. From the superposed (epoch analysis, it appears that there is little if any electrojet current flowing in the noon sector, whereas the end effects of the model vestward current are evident in the model profiles as relatively large AX' perturbations. As well, the SPEA profiles in the noon sector show evidence of the Sg current system at the lower latitudes. That is, AI' is blaged segatively at lev latitudes, consistent with what would be expected for the Sq system. Although, as noted in section 4.4.4, the model low latitude current system might bear some resemblence to the Sq current, Sq circulates in the highlatitude sub-auroral ionosphere as'a broad westward current. This feature has not been built into the model, and it would appear that the atmospheric dynaso current system is required to satisfy all the observed perturbations.

The inclusion of an Sq current system will not solve the entire problem in this sector. Prom 0900 to 1200 HIT, the observed  $\Delta X'$  values across the profile are in general less than those in the model grafiles, and at moon, the  $\Delta I'_{,}$ SPEA profile does not indicate the presence of an electrojet. In , an attempt to improve the model fit in this sector, the model vestward electrojet was modified slightly, in that we degrant flow was purmitted from moon, to 1020 HIT. Bevever, the model HIT, as in the original model. Thus, in 1020 HIT to 6000 HIT, the net demaward field-aligned engreet was more intende than in the original model. The

-"effect of this modification was limited to essentially the 1000 HLT to 1300 HLT sector, is shown in Figure 4.17(a,b,c,d). It is apparent that the model  $\Delta I^*$  profiles are improved, although the magnitude of  $\Delta I^*$  is increased. It is suggested that the best possible model lies between the original and this modification.

Another possible explanation of this difficulty is the fact that the SPEA profiles in the noon sector have been constructed differently than elsewhere. At noon, the profiles were referenced to  $\Delta I^{+}$  (see Chapter 2). It is possible that, had the noon sector data been sorted into cases which had distinctive eastward or westward electrojet signatures, then the correspondence between model and data would have been improved.

One additional alternative is that there may exist current systems in the noon sector other than those which have been modelled. Recently, Iijima and Potenra (1976) have published results showing field-aligned currents additional to and poleward of those shown in Figure 4.2 in the noon sector. It is not clear at this time how these currents cohnect, if at all, is the icmosphere, and further observations of the electric field and field-aligned currents in this region are negative to the variability in the data, from profile to profile, from this sector sust be made to sind and SPEA I'-boxpesent prefiles in the moon sector. As



N1 -

250

. 1

Q

will be shown in section 4.4.2, if this variability is taken into account, the overall fit of the model to the data is guite acceptable.

1300 MLT cavards, the model reproduces the Pres features of the observed profiles guite well. The positivegoing step in AI' is evident in the model profiles up to 1500 MLT, and the growth of the eastward current is visible through the increasing magnitude of the peak of the I'component. The mature of the I'-component for the model in the profiles for 1500, 1600 and 1700 MLT is not exactly the same as that observed. This is not balieved to be a serious fault in that the model has been computed only at a single longitude for each epoch, whereas the SPEA profiles are seperposed averages of hourly averaged profiles. Thus a boundary which changes from day to day (for example, the longitude beyond which the set downward field-aligned current ceases to flow) will introduce certain errors which cannot be accounted for in the model. The presence of the westward-current in the post-dusk sector of the model profiles is is good agreement with the observations.

Finally, the signature of poleward flowing current in the 2806 ELT SPEA profile (the negative T'-component across the profile) is essentially reproduced in the model profile for 2300 ELT.

Another qualitative comparines may be made by essentiments, a palar plot of the model meantic pertubations



4

ŀ

Figure 4.18 cot Point plot in shiph the codel horizontal memoric perturbation sectors are rotated the figure to figure the equivalent figure of the vector is any the code of the vector is any the code of the vector is

. The

252

.

rotated 90° clockwise to represent equivalent current flow. This figure is to be pared with Pigure 4.16(b), taken from a recent publication of Priis-Christensen and Filhjein (1975). In this figure, high latitude average equivalent current vectors for ten winters of 1966-1968 are plotted, separated according to the value of Bg and B, for the IMP. Although there is much more information in Pigure 4.18(b) than is a Figure 4.18(a), it is apparent that the model equivalent current vectors are very similar to those shown in the bottom three panels of Figure 4.18(b). Since the model has been developed from data during periods when Bg was both positive and negative, it would be expected that the model equivalent current vector field would agree with observations for which Bg was less than zero.

A final comparison may be made with the data shown in Figure 4.18(c), taken from Chem and Bostoker (1974). Although this plot is of data from a relatively disturbed period of time, the overall agreement between the model and the equivalent current vectors of Figure 4.18(a) is remarkable.

4.5.2 Quantitative Evaluation of the Hodel

As discussed previously, the model has not been compared to the data by, for example, doing a least-squares analysis on the latitude profile curves. Recalling that  $\Delta t^{*}$  peak is related to the hegaitude of the issospheric Hall entruets, and  $\Delta t^{*}$  is related to the strength of net

Figure 4.18(b)

.

Polar plot of the average high-latitude horizontal magnetic perfurbation vectors, for viater data, in a format like that of Pigure 4.18 (m). The top row shows data for cases when  $B_m = 0$ , the bottom row shows data for  $B_m <-1$ . The data have also been arranged according to the asimuthal component of the IMP, as noted in the Figure. (From Friis-Christensen and Wilhjelm, 1975).

:

•

• • •

•





Figure 4.184c). Somehand ionospheric outrant vectors for Day 250, 1970. The 22 hour interval show starts at \$712.2 \$2. (2:00 Chen and Rostellin, 1974).

field-aligned current, the manifules of Al'peak And Al'step produced by the solel durant system are sempared to these absorved. Figure 4.19 is a plot of Al'peak and Al'step obtained from the model as a fraction of magnetic local time compared with the absorved values. As described in Chapter 3, values and noon are not about as it was difficult to determine a value for Al' is this regime. In the near midnight motion, whines for Al' were add well defined, and so date from this regime is also shown for the rights 4.19. The inset shows the values of Al'peak for the pre-midnight motor westure shows of Al'peak

The agreement between the model values of  $\Delta \chi_{\perp}^{*}$ and AT'step and the observed values appears reasonably good in this figure. Novever, to dependents the agreement in a nore gualitative way, Figure 4.20 (a,b,c,d, and d) shows plots of the model values as functions of the observed values. For a perfect fit, the points physical is these figures would fall on a straight line with unit shope. The straight line drawn through the points is the best fit straight line, except is the case of Physics 4.20 (a) . The bills plot, the selent A I' mak is congered to the abserved distant, for the 0200-1000 mit sector. It is in this sector that here discrepandies ating is the anath-neets component need apon, but it is also the sector is which the gourgest variability is the Strong Great table minetage of this veriability, a 27 b lines and manalysish dis the best fit volghtat line straight Lies Main and ten the magne of mon

Figure 4.19

Comparison of All pant and AY' stop from the model and the discovered enough pendants. Spece sectors and the sector pendants. from the BPEA date, from a discover AT' stop for the setel. the represente discover a found in the SPEA date. Or of is discover for the setel. The insert is the compalison of AF peak for the pre-sidelysic restrants electrojet.

۰.



4.20(a) Correlation between difpent for the model and difpent for the fate for the period 0200-1000 Hig. The error bars indicate the stinderd deviation of the SPAL different relate. The solid line is the modeled second limb, or described in the solid

rights

1

1

Figure 4.20(b) Correlation between Al'step for the model Sector istep for the data for the period \$200,5000 Mig. All data have been equally reinized.

-

**W** 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



Figure 4.20(c) Correlation between  $\Delta t'_{peak}$  for the model and  $\Delta t'_{peak}$  for the data for the period 1400-2200 HLT All data have been equally weighted.

Figure 4.20(d) Correlation between  $\Delta Y_{top}$  for the model and  $\Delta Y_{stop}$  for the data for the period 1400-2200 SLT. All data have been equally veighted.

a ...

--- Figure 4.20(0)

Correlation between  $\Delta I'$  for the solel, and  $\Delta I'$  peak for the fits for the period. 1800-7200 HLT. These data are for the pre-aidnight vestward electrojet. All data have been equally weighted.



point was inversely proportioned to the standard deviation of the data value. These Manufard Seviations are shown as suppor been in Figure 4. 20 mm. For the other converigence, all data points were uniformly analysted.

Table 4.2 supervision the results of the analysis. In all cases, the slope of the best fit line is shing within the error of the extingte. 14 mill, all cases have a high extinitianties confident. In all but one case, Statest's ttest indicates the pervelation is similiant at the 15 lovel or better. In the case of AI', for 1004-2200 HI?, with the perveloping of AI' is for 1004-2200 HI?, with the perveloping of AI' is the low low

which the part of the mattices of anti- the good gention in the mattice, of the mant methics with the good , profilms in is muchical ghat the simpl furriest notel is a reasonable noted of the armines, the disposional current flog is the high letioner periods

A second second second systems as to the

che che



conductivity arises due to askin it radiation and particle precipitation. [At or most dampiesd dime, the SV course of conductivity dimension, the electrojet strengths are reduced, and congent flows upwards to the asympthyphere. The mentured electrophet continues to grow slowly extil 0100 Hir, at which the the current diverges both adightly polevard and if field lines. This unpress dot adightly polevard and the furrant is the region poleward of the continues ad an unpress current is the region poleward of the continues at constant strength beyond dust to 2100 Hir, at which time it too diverges polevard. When this works to 2100 Hir, at which time it too diverges polevard. When this works to 2100 Hir, at which time it too diverges polevard. When this works to reaches the intermed boundary of the petuded current, it flows up field lines.

In the gro-midnight sector, the ARt is is due to 'a combination of the upward current from 'westward alcoprojet, the appart current from the anather' electrojet and the north-south current. Because of this mixture of contributing attaches, it is thought that the correlation between Aft, and is at the ight is this sector ichapter is do not sense a tight have been expected. Soverer, is the sums energy as atget have been expected. Soverer, is the sums energy as atget have been expected. Soverer, is the sums energy as atget have been expected. Soverer, is the sums energy as atget have been expected. Soverer, is the sums energy as atget is the second of the sum expected and for the interest of the sum energy as at the second of the interest of the interest of the sum energy as at the second of the sum energy as at the second of the interest of the interest of the second of the interest of the interest of the interest of the second of the interest of t

As well as the two convection aldotrojets, a very ment eastward electrojet between 0400 and 1100 Mill has been put into the model, in encordance with the results of fostotor and Bron (1975). Bvidence of this deriver is not visible in the SPEA profiles. Revever, it is not been departable in single averaged profiles so is all likelihood, its presence is averaged out in the SPEA date. The section of this descence is minimal, although a alight persists perturbations is visible in Troomponent for the Osdo SLT pedel

Piaelly, these is the so-called lef jatitude current opotant which contributes alightly to the levelockift in the T'-component and whost hits purpose empirically is to bias that component is semuciance with the observations. Although mathin and of this current system are similar to 59, a so one incly that the inv-latitude current system and the system

A guildening sempering of the profiles produced by the model with the SPMA sections, profile by profile, More the AIT profile semant sections is the sook semier, Henre the AIT profile semant semistric is shall change is the sponetry of the seminar contribute is this denote the sponetry of the seminar of the seminaries is the semidition.

## escallest agreesest.

As has been explanized busines, this order, as proposed to this point, has been designed to reproduce only gross average features of the perturbation adjustic field as menaned at ground level. The genetics naturally arises as to whether variables of this solel may descutbe specific weather the mast active gives these such examines.

- Second and the Links Connect Andel

andel and and the provides sociality of the entropy of the entropy of the average manual lighter and and had using the forming socials that the average makel is well estimated in the average makel. Includes the descenterated in the average makel is well estimated to ave a badie for manual provider makel is well estimated to ave a badie for manual provider makels magnetospheric

4.6.1 Medalling of two Resadel Deak Jacobs Setitude Profiles Decides a stady of neurophic packing antipitation into New York Constant of the Sector Sector of Active Decides and the Sector Sector of Active Decides and the Sector Sector of Active Decides and the Sector Procession Sector Decides Decides and the Sector Procession Sector Decides Decides and the Sector Procession Sector Decides Decides and the Sector Decides and the



. .

. من من الم

4

1.2







considered the electron and posts fields provided at a prothe control man in this coster, left proticile represented and energetic pertities detected and encions from the 2529-2 point expiting setablics during 0200-0206 02 on Pay 19, 1972, when the savelite me withis 1° - 3° of lengtade of the secidian line of negationstern, and during 6209-0217 52, Day 30 1972, when the metallite was passing directly over the statich line.

On Buy 19, energetic electron flunes (up to several tory were observed in the intitudinal chape of 69° to 73.5°, in close correspondence with the eastward electrojet. These fluzes dropped sharply at 69°H, so that so electron precipitation was present to produce conductivity at lower latitudes. There was also insufficient flux of high energy protons (2 > 150 keV) to account for a conductivity high except to produce ionompheric currents equatorward of , 69*1. On Bay 38, a similar pattern was observed, with electron fluxes (15 keV >Z > 10 eV) confined to the latitude range 74°H to 68.5°H. These latitudes correspond well to the latitudes at which the Ar porturbution is at half maximum, which is tara correspond to the approximate latitudinal . limits of the eastward electrojet. Syntherward of this there was again insufficient precipitation to account for Loncopheric ourrents.

It will be recalled from section 4.4.1 that the average excremt model, contained provide tor sumlit beaisphere electrojute which wighting vistue of conductivity produced

by solar UV radiation. This feature of the solel has been expanded upon to produce nodel latitude profiles very minilar to those of Pigure 3.21(b) and 4.22(b). Specifically, the unusual behaviour exhibited by  $\Delta t$  in these two profiles is believed to be the result of current which flows in the sumlit sector due to ionization generated by molar UV radiation. This current will be called the <u>UP</u> <u>electronsi</u>. This electrojet is superposed on an <u>auroral</u> (eastword), electrojet is superposed on an <u>auroral</u> (eastword), electrojet whose existence depends on the electric field and the conductivity generated by particle precipitation. The UV electrojét extends equatorward of the auroral electrojet because there is a significant polevard electric field (i.e., one which will drive eastward Hall current) equatorward of the mouthern border of the auroral oval as defined by energetic particle precipitation.

)

This model of current flow is appealing because of two features. The  $\Delta I'$  insociated with the UV electrojet may have values of the same order of magnitude as  $\Delta I'$  for the succeral electrojet during quiet times. Thus, the enhancement of  $\Delta I'$  seem in the equatorward latitudes of Fig 4.21(b) and 4.22(b) may be understood in terms of proximity of the observations to the UV electrojet. Note importantly, the unusual  $\Delta S$  behaviour outlined above can be explained as an edge effect of the poleward Pedersen current flow which connects the Birkeland current sheets associated with the UV electrojet system.

fo this end then, the model as already developed was

275

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

modified to include a vider VV electrojet then was included in the average godal. In addition, dince the sum sets on the P-region about one hear layer than it sets on the B-pagion, and the former is the location of significant Pederson currents, the VV electrojet associated north-south system was artended one time zone further into the evening sector than the eastward VV electrojet. Figure 4.23 is a schematic drawing of the model as used for these emeples.

Tables 4.3(a) and 4.3(b) display a complete summary of all the parameter values used. Note that equation 4.2 has been recast in the form:

 $\lambda = \lambda_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \lambda_{\mu} - \lambda_{\mu} \right) \left( 1 + \cos \varphi \right)$ 

where  $\lambda_{n}$  and  $\lambda_{\infty}$  are the latitudes of the borders at 1200 and 0000 HLT respectively.

Figures 4.21(a) and 4.22(a) are the model latitude profiles obtained by calculating the magnetic perturbations on a meridian one hour towards midnight from the dusk terminator for Day 19, while for Day 38, the data were modelled on a meridian 4° away from the dusk terminator towards model the positions being those of the station line at the times that the anomalous profiles were recorded. The agreement between the observed and model profile is excellent in most respects. The positive  $\Delta S$  recorded at Begolute Bay on Day 19 is the main exception. This value was Pigure 4.23

•

. :

200 719 4.3

1 .

. •

Schematic of the current systems used to model the profiles of Figure 4.20(b) and Figure 4.21(b). Table 4.3(a) and Table 4.3(b) describe the model parameters in detail.

•

. 7

۷.

.



	•		I Annald		<b>51 '77</b> 3	3	~	
	•		Bruatorva.		Longitu	1441	.Current Intendity (1/18	
~ ~	•	72.5	67.5	66.5 66.5	180 ° 180 °	5 3190	0.03 0.25	7.96.10
-	· · · · ·	75.5	11.5	78.5	. 205° 24	2356	• • •	<b>3.611)1.</b>
	77.5. 1	<b>,Å</b> 5	7.5	* in	•2 •2	8	• • •	
decreating 1 decreating 1 decreating 1 decreating	JA AN		ad total curr o záro by 0-1 and total cu	rent. Por 1850, Por Hrrent. Po 1800, Po	this elec of this ei	trefet.		neiby is e

Munich berder Zu   Munich berder Zu   Longitudiani Luran   Current Luran   Terrent Luran     73.0   70.0   57.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°     73.0   70.0   57.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°     73.0   67.0   57.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°     77.5   72.0   67.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°     77.5   72.0   67.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°   10° sefs 270°     77.5   72.5   73.0   67.0   100° sefs 270°   10° sefs 10° sefs 10°     77.5   72.5   73.0   67.0   10° sefs 10				a Jos	<b>2781 761 1</b>		,
70.0 62.0 57.0 100° 54° 27°° 10° 54° 27°°   70.0 72.0 67.0 100° 54° 27°° 10° 51°°   70.0 72.0 67.0 100° 54° 27°° 10° 51°°   70.0 72.0 67.0 10° 54° 27°° 10° 51°°   70.0 72.0 67.0 10° 54° 27°° 10° 54° 27°°   70.0 72.0 67.0 10° 54° 27°° 0.20° 54° 27°°			PIG	Longer Conter	Losgitudiael . Extest	Current Intendity (A/W	Tetal Current (J)
70.0 72.0 67.0 1000 sets 3150 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -		. 70.0		•••	1800 545 2700		
72.5 75.0 67.0 205° 545 315° 0.0		75.0 70.0	د	0.	1000 sfs3t50		1
70.0 72.0 67.0 150 20 1000		72.5	5		*		1.87±10**
	_	70.0	72.0 67	0	150 2 <b>0</b> 2 1000	0.20	

saintained " steadily over several hours and therefore suggests the presence of a relatively steady state polar cap current system which is not accounted for in the model. All other differences are relatively minor and could have been minimized by further manipulation of the border locations and longitudinal and latitudinal variations in beightintegrated current density. In view of the fact that no model budged on a finite number of data is emigue, it was feit that such "fine-tuning" was not meridiented. It is contended that the model does account the the observate features, and it is concluded that a significant portion of the ionoepheric current flow in the afternoon sector is diverted up the field lines at a conductivity discontaity between the dark and sunlit ionospheres.

4.6.2 The Substorn of Day 23, 1972.

1

Although the current model that has been developed in the previous sections is intended to be a generalization of the currents flowing during quiet to moderately disturbed times, conscionally certain features in data from disturbed periods appear to be similar to features in the hourly averaged data. An example of such an event is provided by data where on 0107 97 on Day 23, 1972. Although this day falls into the day range from which data has been taken to construct the bearly averaged latitude profiles, it was not - used because it was an extremely active day: Figure 4.24 is a display of magnetograms from each station, with the
Figure 4.24

Ì

Hagnetograms for the stations in the University of Alberta mighetometer chain, for Day 23, 1972, 0000 to 0200 WT.

.

. DAY23.1872.

\$



stations arranged sorth to south then the bag for the St hear 0100 to \$200. Jote that any (187 the nexth-south computent begans themensionly penkelve pt low litituies, bet increasingly sepative-gains at Aigher latitudes (sorth of SEIT). Suring - the time that shie is eccursing, the east-vest component is strongly positivegoing in the mid-latitudes of the sugnetopolar line. Figure 4.25 (2, b, c, 4) shows four latitude guodiles, beginning at 01. hours, 7 sis, 34 sec UT and speed 123 sec agart. These aboy a growth of the I'-coopenet indicating on insteading eastward current flow, and in Figure' 4.25 (c) and (d), and increasingly adgetive ALP polesard of about 46-9. As well, the S-component is consistent with an increasing eastward current. and, as the negotive AI' develops, the S-component is consistent with an increasing westward flowing current in the poleward part of the profiles. The I'-component is remarkable for its double peak is the first two profiles (Figure 4.25(a) and (b)) which merge into a .mingle well defined peak desing the later two profiles (Figure 4, 25(a) and (d)).

· • •

Piqure 4.26 (4, b, c, d) show lisear supplays of all-sky canera (ADCA) photographs for 0900 to 0112 W7, takes from Port Saith. These indicate an encoral structure (a restward travelling surge; which advances unstance towards Port Saith and them remains everhead (Pigure 4.2640) and (d)). While everhead, the structure noves slightly polemard. Figure 4.27 is a morth-meth-megnetogram from College, Alaska. College







Figure 4.27

. . . . _

Magnetogram from College, Alaska, for Day 23, 1972. The vertical dashed lines delimente the time period of interest.

terrer and the second second

is situated about three time somes vest of the magnetameter line. The mignetogram indicates an increasingly pegitive morth-south component, indicative of a ground eastward current. This actual growth of the eastward electrojet is emphasized, because, as shown by Eisebeth (1972) it is possible to generate a morth-south component of the magnetic field which appears to indicate both eastward and vestward current flow by introducing a shear in a purely vestward flowing current. Such is not the case during the period of interest here.

•

Up to this point, then, from the  $\Delta X^{*}$  and  $\Delta Z$  profiles, combined with the ASCA data and the observations from College, it appears that the profiles of Figure 4.25 are produced by an eastward current that is growing in strength, combined with a westward flowing current that is advancing from east of the magnetometer line to a position overhead.

The T^{*}-component is not unlike those soon in the post-The T^{*}-component is not unlike those soon in the post-1800 HLT averaged latitude profiles. That is, the  $\Delta T^*$ profiles are consistent with the existence of locally unbelanced upward-flowing field-aligned currents in that  $\Delta T^*$  shows a negative-going level-shift. However one additional feature is present in that the earlier  $\Delta T^*$ profiles (Figure 4.25(a) and (b)) are double-peaked, and the last two (Figure 4.25(c) and (d)) show a promounced peak superimposed upon the level-shift. The proposed explanation for this structure is given in the following description of the model.

In order to model theme profiles, differential latitude profiles were constructed. That is, it was assumed that the phenomenon of interest was primerily the structure shown in Figure 4.26 (a) through (d), and that this structure was superimposed upon an existing system of currents. Thus, the profile for Day 23, 1 hour, 7 min, 34 sec was taken as a reference level, and was subtracted from the other profiles. This has the advantage of removing uncertainties in the model of the relatively guiet profile of Figure 4.25(a). The regulting three profiles, then, were modelled.

١

A schematic diagram of the model is shown in Figure 4.28 (a,b,c,d). The small circles representiathe location of the station line, i.e., the meridian along which model calculations were made. Figure 4.28(a) represents the baseline current system, and consists of a basic eastward current (46,125 A) with boundaries at 62°N and 66°N, and longitudinal boundaries identical with the average model. East of the observation meridian is a westward current, which terminates 15° east of the observation points. This current, as in the average model, is linearly decreasing in strength as a function of longitude, (as shown by the shaded area), with a maximum integrated current of 2.05x10* A flowing at 315° longitude (i.e. 60° east of the' observation seridian) and between 66°% and 72°% latitude. Superimposed on both of these systems is a north-south current system consistent with the electric field and conductivity models used in the average current model. As upll, an intensified

¥.

Figure 4.28 Schematic diagram showing the currents used to model the event of Day 23, 1972. (a) represents the baseline system, and (b), (c) and (d) the development of the currents, in time, in order to model the latitude profiles of Figure 4.25. The open-circles represent the locations of the magnetometer sites. The shaded area at the leading edge of the of the westward electrojet indicates the region of intensified equatorward current flow.



region of egentervard ourrent flow has been added to the unstant-unst 5° of the Wintward current. The total exponent flowing equitorward in this medies is 2.03210° A, so that, is effect, the instruct at the loading edge of the solel wortherd electrojet is primarily equatorward. This intense negth-mouth system is necessary in this model to reproduce the double-peaked nature of the AT! profile, That is, the T'-component profiles exhibit a double-peak when the observations are made at long distances beyond the longitudinal extrement, of a morth-mouth current system (disploth, 1972).

Figure 4.28 (b) shows the time development of the model representing the changes seen in the profile of Figures 4.25(b) (1 hour, 9 min, 37 sec). The eastward electrojet has increased in strength by a factor of 3.5 to 1.614x10" A. The westward electrojet has not changed in maximum strength, but . simply advances 11° vestward. Figure 4.28 (c) is the current system corresponding to the time of 1 hr, 11 min 40 sec (Figure 4.25(C)). The eastward current has increased in strength again, by a factor of 1.6 from the previous figure, to 4.214x10* A. The westward current has continued to advance until its leading edge is now 3° west of the observation seridian, so that the observation points now lie alightly to the east of the center of the north-south intensification. As well, the vestward current increases in strength to a maximum value of 8.2x10" A, while the total current in the intensified north-south system remains

Y

constant.

Finally, Figure 4.26(d) represents the currents giving rise to the latitude profile at 1 hour, 13 min, 43 sec. The eastward current again grows in strength to a total current of 3.23x10⁶Amps. No further westward expansion of the westward electrojet occurs, but this system expands poleward 2°, so that the poleward boundary is at 74°H latitude. Simulthneously, the maximum current strength increases by a factor of 2 to 1.64x10⁶Amps. The intensified north-south system expands poleward also, but undergoes no increase in total current strength. Table -4.4 summarizes the model parameters.

In this model, the UV electrojet plays no role inasmuch as it undergoes no changes in time and therefore does not appear in the differential profiles. The pre-noon westward current, and the current flow across the midnight-sector have been constructed to be commensurate with the main systems described in the preceding paragraphs.

The model latitude profiles generated from these current models (and referenced to the baseline system of Figure 4.28(a)) are shown in Figure 4.29(a,b,c). (In this figure, the symbols H,D refer to I',I' respectively). It is evident that the fit is extremely good. In particular the unusual behaviour of the I'-component is reproduced very well. This is achieved due to two features of the model. First, the double peak in  $\Delta I'$  is the distant effect of the morth-south intensification associated with the vestward न्न कोर्वध

V

2

## Hodel Recenters for the Substock of Day 2341212

.

	EXSTRARD CURRENT	CORPERT		ESSTARD CURRENT+	
TIBE (Br:Hin:Sec)	Stremgth       (x 10°A)	Borders   Razimun ) a } A   Strengti (a 10 a)	Haximun Strength (x 10+A)	Borders No. X.	Lending Edget
1:07:34	. 46125	62,66	. 205	66, 72	8
1:09:37	1.61#	62,64	. 205	66,72	101
1:11:40	1 2.214	62,66	. 82	66,72	108
1;13:43	1 3.23	62,64	1.6	. 66,74	108

ļ

ļ

*Superposed on the leading edge of this is an eguatorward flowing current of 2.03 x 10⁵Å, and 5° in longitudinal extent. See text longitude calculated along the 105°W **Hodel profiles were for details. current of meridian.

Pigure 4.29

.

Comparison of the differential profiles with the model calculations. The data points are indicated by H,D, and E (corresponding to I', I', and E), and the model results by the continuous curves.

(a) Comparison of the differential profile for 01:09:37 UT with the model calculation.
(b) Comparison of the differential profile for 01:11:40 UT with the model calculation.
(c) Comparison of the differential profile for 01:13:43 UT with the model calculation.



DAY 23 37 SEC.



DAY 25 I HR II MIN. 40 SEC.



Basimily, the genet time. settle as Louisenduis the auroant de bie in 1. 1. 200 increasing the current in the current lotting 'it expand vertuard from the southel position assigned to the vesturid electrojet in the gelet-time model. The vestuary current probably argunds, due to an enhanced pasticle profigitation into the region poloused of the eastward electrojet thes providing a Ball condentivity where little or some emisted before. The intensiciuntion of the intense equatorward flowing current at the loading edge of . the vesturid current is probably due to as enhanced Pederson conductivity is this region, inc., on increase in relatively soft participe, eithough an eithermonent of the equatorners electric field in this rugine would else bring elect a ansth-acuth current achalicenest. Such a change is the cheftric field would also cause an enhousement of the vesteard flowing mail dermat is this were poster, although the segurets flots has been exceeded by sobelled without ting and a Love Links millionet at the wostward is view of the is i of distanceting

particulo and addition in and the second of the second of

## 4.7 Supervises of the second

5

chaptes to the fact that the B 4.5 has a flald-aligned current distribution that d · coopere well is all percent the site strenge whether a poler-orbiting petallide disservetions, egenicically, data tron the triad satalising thepipes and because, 1976; Illion . and Potonen, 1976). Methotical diseasteringtics of the field-aligned carrent flow as indured from the Triad antellite data have been dhown in Figure 4.2. As well, Pigure 4.30 shous the next recently determined average churacteristics of the press and downerd field-alignet ourrest densition as a Tenesdes of latitude. Combination of the data is these the fighter indicates that eithough the. setellite ebserveties indir a strong estalanced towavard excent flow, in the second bears, there is a similarly never set units der to the theoliete wirt-sets beeck. It to to this they at your inn deidel



Figure 4.30 Diurnal · distribution of average field-aligned current densities during active periods in the upper pamel, and weakly disturbed periods in the lower panel (after Lijima and Potemra, 1978).

satellite observations and ground based observations arise.

Elsewhere, the two data suites are in good general agreement although the ground based data indicates that a pair of east-west aligned field-aligned current sheets may extend as far into the dusk sector as 1800 ELT, whereas the satellite data shows such a structure only until about 2200 HLT. This discrepancy is not fully understood. Iijisa and Potenra (1977) present a figure similar to Figure 4.2 for more disturbed periods and show that even then, the fieldaligned current pair that would be associated with westward current flow only extend up to 2200 HLT as well, although Kamide and Akasofu (1976) present Triad data in which the substors westward electrojet and the associated fieldaligned currents extend to 1900 HLT. As well, the latitudinal extent of this westward electrojet is small and the associated field-aligned currents occupy a narrow region, so that, on a statistical basis, these currents are not evident in average pictures of field-aligned current flow developed from Triad data.

4.7.1 An Hypothesis to Explain the Post-Noon Discrepancy

As discussed earlier, a polar-cap current system has not been included in the model developed for this thesis because it is not believed that there is, on average, sufficient conductivity in the polar cap to support a significant current flow during the winter months. However, almost all the Triad data that has been published has been

from the summer months when one might expect ionospheric polar cap current systems to exist. The average features of polar cap and auroral zone magnetic perturbations in the summer are markedly different from those in the winter. For example, when one compares Figure 4.31 showing the average magnetic perturbation vectors (rotated into equivalent current directions) for the summer months of 1966 through 1968 (Friis-Christensen and Wilhjelm, 1975), with Figure 4.17(b). it is evident that the high latitude equivalent current vectors are rotated sunward in the summer, relative to their direction in the winter months.

As well as much of the published Triad data being from summer months, these published data are also found to be confined to periods during which By of the IMF is less than zero. This is not to say that all the Triad data that has been analyzed has been from times when  $B_{y}$  <0. Indeed, the selected data have not been ao this basis (Potemra, 1977(b)). This observation is pointed out because when  $B_y < 0$ , in the summer, the Svalgaard effect is indicative of a counter-clockwise polar cap current on the dayside. That is, there is a pre-noon to post-noon current flow across the polar cap.

A possible explanation for the difference between the Triad summer data and the ground-based winter data can be developed based on the existence of a cross polar cap current system, particularly when  $B_y < 0$ . Figure 4.32 is reproduced from the paper of Iversen and Madsen (1977). This

Figure 4.31

.

ŧ

Polar plot of average high-latitude horizontal magnetic perturbation vectors, for summer data. (From Friis-Christensen and Wilhjelm, 1975)

.

•

----

.

-



Average iceospheric electriq, field vectors for times when  $B_y < 0$ . (After Iversen and Madsen, 1977). Figure 4.32 

. ______

-.

· · · · ·

•

• •



i

is a plot of the average electric field for By <0. The moonsector, high latitude average electric field is consistent with a cross-polar cap current. Recent work by Schlarmid et al (1978), using data from the ISIS-2 polar-orbiting satellite, shows that, for  $B_{y} < 0$ , there is a region of downward current immediately after local magnetic noon and well poleward of the field-aligned current sheets observed by Triad (e.g. see Figure 4.2). (This current has also been observed by Iijima and Potemra (1976), but the relationship By was not elucidated). This is also consistent with a to cross-polar cap current, of somewhat limited extent, but the right sense, if this field-aligned current connects to the upward current sheet at the poleward edge of the auroral oval in the post-hoon sector. Thus, there is sufficient evidence to justify examining the effect of a morning-toafternoon cross polar cap current system.

The cross-polar cap current system that will be developed is very similar to the current model of Kawasaki and Akasofu (1973). These authors used a flat-earth approximation, but allowed field-aligned current to flow along dipole field lines. In the present model, a spherical earth has been used, and also field-aligned current has been allowed to flow along dipole field lines. Cross polar cap flow is modelled by current flowing along great circles. (see Appendix I). This current is connected to field-aligned current sheets which are placed symmetrically about many and along lines of constant latitude. A uniformit

distributed carrent flows into the ionosphere on the morning mide of the polar cap, and out of the ionosphere on the afternoon mide (see Pigure AI.2). As well, a strictly ionospheric current which circulates around the fieldaligned current mheats has been included. The current densities have been adjusted to give a Hall to Pedersen conductivity ratio of 2, consistent with the value used throughout this thesis. It is emphasized at this point that this polar cap model has not been developed in an attempt to model real data, but simply to provide a possible explanation of the Triad/ground-based data discrepancy. Indeed, it is believed that this discrepancy is probably a summertime feature, and ground-based summer data were not available, in sufficient quantity at the time of this study to permit a detailed analysis.

For the purpose of this discussion, the polar-cap has been defined as the region poleward of 75°N latitude. The field-aligned current sheets were positioned on the 75°N latitude circle, over longitude ranges from 0700-1100 HLT for downward current, and 1300-1700 HLT for upward current. A total of 5 x 10° A flowed across the polar cap connecting the field-aligned current sheets (Figure AI.2).

The computed perturbation magnetic field for this current system is shown in Figure 4.33. This system has not been added to the quiet-time model since the specification of the borders in the two systems is not identical. However, it is evident that a current system like that shown in

----

Pigure 4.33 Polar plot of the magnetic field perturbation vectors due to the polar cap current system described in the text, rotated into the equivalent current direction.

•

•



Fighte 4.33, if added to a system like that of the quiet time model (Figure 4.18(a)), would rotate the equivalent current vectors of Figure 4.18(a) into a patters very similar to that observed, for summer, by Friis-Christensen and Wilhjelm (1975) (Figure 4.31). As well, and this is of greatest importance, the polar-cap current system produces latitude profiles in the post-noon sector which could be interpreted as signature of net downward field-aligned current. The T'-component shows a positive-going level-shift (Figure 4.34 (a,b,c,d)) and this occurs where it is known, from the model, that the field-aligned current is actually directed upward. This result occurs primarily because the magnetic perturbations due to the ionospheric current flow are larger than the perturbations due to field-aligned current.

It is suggested, then, that during the summer, when  $B_y<0$ , current flows across the polar cap from the morning sector to the afternoon sector, and that this current is most intense when  $B_y<0$ . This current is connected to the magnetosphere via field lines which lie along the poleward border of the auroral oval. During times of moderate activity, the field-aligned current associated with the cross polar cap current could be sufficiently strong to cause the satellite to measure a magnetic bigmature consistent with a net upward current in the post-moon guadrant. The existence of a downward field-aligned current



In this sector, footing the castuard ducksoft.

## 5.1 Sources of Field-Aligned Currents

Up to this point in this thesis, nothing specific has been said regarding the mapping of the field-aligned currents to the outer magnetosphere. Indeed, this is still a topic of active discussion. This section will briefly outline some of the thoughts from the literature concerning sources of field-aligned currents.

Sato (1974) has given a theoretical overview of possible field-aligned current sources. Assuming frozen field conditions, i.e.,  $\underline{E} + \underline{V} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{B} = 0$ , where  $\underline{E}, \underline{V}$ , and  $\underline{B}$  are the electric field, velocity field, and magnetic induction field vectors respectively, he obtains,

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{\mathcal{E}} = \underline{\mathcal{V}} \cdot (\nabla \times \underline{\theta}) - \underline{\theta} \cdot (\nabla \times \underline{\mathcal{V}}) \qquad 5.1$$

The first term of this is often zero, but has non-zero values if a shear exists in the magnetic field component normal to the plasma flow. Such can arise on a boundary separating regions of relative motion of open and closed field lines. Thus,  $\bigvee (\nabla \times \mathcal{B}) \neq 0$  if a current flows in the same direction as the plasma flow.

The second term of equation 5.1 is thought, in general, to be the most important since there is a shear in the velocity component normal to the magnetic field at the boundary between open and closed field lines.

315 *

Thus,  $\nabla \cdot \not\in \neq 0$  on this boundary, implying a charge accumulation on this boundary. Sato states a theorem concerning field-aligned current, based on equation 5.1:

••••

£

"If the plasma convects around a point in the same sense as the proton gyration, positive charges accumulate there, so that a field-aligned current flows out from that point. On the other hand, if the plasma convection is in the same sense as the electron gyration motion, negative charges (electrons) accumulate, a field-aligned current thereby flowing into the center of the vortex. In other words, if the vorticity vector is parallel to the magnetic vector, a field-aligned current flows in, but if it is anti-parallel, a field-aligned current flows out." (Sato, 1974).

For the actual case of the magnetosphere, in the region of open field lines above the polar cap where the field lines are directed downward, the above theorem predicts a downward field-aligned current on the dawn side of the polar cap, and an upward field-aligned current on the dusk side. This dynamo or magnetohydrodynamic (NHD) generator has been discussed by Akasofu (1974,1975,1977) possible as a mechanism for driving substorms. Figure 5.1 is a schematic of this, and in particular, panel (b) shows the operation of the dynamo. Solar wind plasma is driven in the +y direction, across the magnetic field (B), oriented in the +z direction. This results in the generation of the -Y x B electric field in the -x direction. Current flows down the field lines on the left of the figure, and the entire system constitutes a generator. Akasofu (1975) has also pointed out how such a system can explain, at least in part, why auroral activity

Figure 5.1 A schematic diagram indicating the processes associated with the solar wind - magnetospheric dynamo. Panel (a) shows the location of the dynamo, (b) indicates the basic processes, and (c) shows the connecting circuits. (After Akasofu, 1977).

•

.

**

o


.

occurs in a particular region (the auroral oval) around the earth. For example, when the IMP is directed gouthward, then a "neutral line" surrounds the megnetosphere in the equatorial plane (Figure 5.2). The morning half of this line acts as a positive terminal of the dynamo, the afternoon half as the negative terminal. Thus current flows into the ionosphere in the morning half, and out of the ionosphere in the evening half, as pointed out above.

In the magnetosphere, the plasma sheet is filled with hot plasmas which may give rise to intense drift currents. The drift current can be described by

where

$$J_{may} = -\nabla \times \frac{P_{d}}{\sigma} \xi$$

$$J_{\nabla \theta} = \frac{P_{d}}{\theta} \hat{b} \times \nabla \theta$$

$$J_{currv} = \frac{P_{u}}{\theta} \hat{b} \times (\hat{b} \cdot \nabla) \hat{b}$$

$$J_{pul} = \frac{P_{u}}{\theta} \frac{dE}{dt}$$

 $\rho$  being the charge density,  $\hat{b} \left(=\frac{p}{2}\right)$  the unit vector in the direction of the magnetic field, and  $P_1$  and  $P_4$  the components of the pressure tensor perpendicular and parallel to  $\mathcal{B}$  respectively. Taking the divergence of equation 5.2

. Figure 5.2

, .

٠

.

۰.

A schematic diagram showing how the "terminals" of the solar wind dynamo connect through the iomosphere. (After Akasofu, 1975).

1

ł



leads to . + V.J. + V.J.  $\nabla \cdot J_{i} =$  $\nabla \cdot J_{L} = \left(\frac{\nabla P_{1}}{B} - \frac{P_{1} - P_{1}}{B^{*}} \nabla B\right) \cdot \left(\hat{b} = \hat{b} \cdot \nabla\right) \hat{b} + \frac{\hat{b}}{B^{*}} \cdot \left(\nabla B = \nabla P_{1}\right) + \nabla \cdot \int_{B^{*}} \frac{d\hat{e}}{d\hat{t}}$ 

The polarization term in general opposes charge accumulation due to the other terms. Thus, a current divergence in the magnetosphere may arise if the plasma pressure has a gradient in the direction of either the curvature current and/or the  $\nabla B$  current. It is interesting to note in passing that the vortex-like generator has the nature of a voltage generator, whereas the source due to curvature and gradients in B is a current generator.

Finally, if the ionosphere has gradients in plasma density, it no longer acts as a passive closure path for field-aligned currents, but behaves as a field-aligned current source due to the development of polarization electric fields. Height-integrated ionospheric current density is given by

$$\underline{I} = \sum_{\mu} \underline{E} = \sum_{\mu} \frac{\underline{E} - \underline{S}}{\underline{B}} \qquad 5.4$$

where  $\sum_{p}$  and  $\sum_{N}$  are the height-integrated Pedersen and Hall conductivities respectively. If it is assumed that E is irrotational, then

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{I} = -\underline{I}_{1} = \sum_{p} \nabla \cdot \underline{E}_{1} + \underline{E} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{I}} \sum_{p} - \frac{\underline{E} \cdot \underline{\theta}}{\underline{\theta}} \cdot \nabla_{\underline{I}} \sum_{q} 5.5$$

In the case of an homogeneous ionosphere, field-aligned currents arise only because of gradients in  $\underline{E}$ , and  $\underline{E}$  has its origin in the magnetosphere. In this case then, the source of  $J_{ay}$  is the magnetosphere, and the field-aligned currents are connected to the ionospheric Pedersen currents. In the case of an inhomogeneous ionosphere however, gradients in  $\sum_{a}$  and  $\sum_{a}$  will lead to  $J_{ay}$  also.

As described earlier, the solar wind dynamo produces field-aligned current sheets around the polar cap. These sheets correspond to the poleward side of the north-south current system in the model of Chapter 4. Sato argues that the current sheets on the equatorward side of the auroral oval arise from ionospheric conductivity gradients, and that therefore, the ionosphere regulates magnetospheric convection. The gradients in ionospheric conductivity govern the field-aligned current closure with the result that polarization electric fields are set up. These electric fields map to the-outer magnetosphere and drive convection.

Cole (1961,1974,1976) has discussed a model in which field-aligned currents are connected to a dynamo which is located in a boundary layer of plasma inside the magnetopause. He has shown that solar wind protons may penetrate the magnetopause on the morning side, and solar wind electrons may do so on the evening side, thus generating charged boundary layers which may act as a source of field-aligned currents. He also points out that the dynamo action of ionospheric winds may generate

electrostatic fields or gradients of ionization, and that these fields may map to the magnetopause (Cole, 1976). Eastman <u>et al</u>(1976) have also discussed a boundary layer HHD generator as the source of the field-aligned currents which bound the polar cap.

due the to remarked that, Potemra (1977) has statistical stability of the poleward field-aligned current sheets, as inferred from the Triad satellite magnetometer data, these field-aligned currents constitute the primary or driven current system, and are associated with boundaries of the plasma sheet far distant from the earth where bulk plasma convection may act as a generator. Further, he suggested that the equatorward field-aligned current sheets are secondary currents, which map to the inner edge of the plasma sheet. These currents exist in response to localized variations in, for example, ionospheric conductivity.

An alternative driving mechanism has been discussed by Rostoker and Boström (1976). They confined their attention to field-aligned current flow in the dark hemisphere only. Based on the work of Frank (1971), Lassen (1974), and Rostoker <u>st al</u>, (1975), they assumed that the plasma sheet maps into the auroral oval, and that the poleward edge of the oval maps to the boundary of the tail lobe and plasma sheet (see Figure 1.1). Thus, field-aligned currents map into the plasma sheet, and flow on <u>closed field lines</u>. Rostoker and Boström assume that static forces in the tail are unbalanced, and that these forces lead to an outflow of

324

、 ・

plasma from the center of the plasma sheet to its flamks. This outflow leads to a potential difference between high and low latitude field lines, which in turn drives fieldaligned currents in pairs. Figure 5.3 shows the resulting field-aligned current flow.

It is clear from the above discussion that one would expect downward field-aligned current on the morning side of the polar cap and upward field-aligned current on the afternoon side. It is not, however, patently clear how the field-aligned current pattern determined in this thesis fits into the above source mechanisms. Certainly, in the model, the north-south current system with its associated balanced field-aligned current flow satisfies, in general, the pattern of downward current flow on the morning side of the polar cap, and the reverse on the afternoon side. However, the existence of a relatively intense inward current flow in the noon sector, and a similarly intense outward flow in the pre-midnight sector is not explained in terms of the above models. Figure 5.4 is a schematic in which only certain features of the model current system are shown. The eastward and vestward electrojets are indicated 8.8 Hall currents  $(I_{M})$ , connected to downward field-aligned current near noon, and upward field-aligned current near midnight. midnight sector, the vestward current is ACTOSS the sepresented as a separate current system, with the ionospheric part being a Pedersen current (I_, ), and connected to separate field-aligned currents. Combined, the

Figure 5.3

.

A schematic of the field-aligned current flow generated by the Rostoker-Bostrom dynamo. The view is from down-tail towards the earth. The field-aligned currents are indicated By and and are confined to the plasma sheet. (After Rostoker and Boström, 1976).

4

. -

 $\varphi^{\ast}$ 



>



A second schemedolo of the global current The main eastward and upstrand electrojets which as Hall currents in the vestward about 10 beins the second of the local is the pastall all the field is the second with the pastall all the field is the second of the light about 5 the feel by domain the second about 5 the feel by the second of the second about 5 the feel by the second of the second about 5 the feel by the second of the second of the about 5 the feel by the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the second of the second of the second of the about 5 the second of the s

three current systems represent the major currents of the model, less the north-south current system.

This breakdown into a Hall and Pedersen component is somewhat appealing, at least in part, because it permits one to account for the known potental drop across the polar cap of 30 to 40 kV (Axford et al, 1965). In the model, there is ao polar cap current system for the winter months so that the current flowing into the ionosphere in the pre-moon hours, and around the oval into the pre-midnight sector cannot be purely Hall current. However, in the midnight sector, the electric field has a vestward component, as does the current. Thus, in the midnight sector, the weatward electrojet has the nature of a Pedersen current, and is therefore capable of dissipating power. Indeed, if the value of 10 sv m-1 is taken as a representative value of the westward component of the electric field, and it is assumed that this Pedersen current flows across four time zones at an average latitude of 70°B, then the potential drop is approximately 38 kV. This regaines that the field-aligned current be connected to a magnetospheric generator, and the mechanism such as that described by Akasefu (1977) and as outlined earlier in this chapter, may be invoked.

To explain the pattern of field-aligned current flow connected with the Hall current electrojets, the following model is proposed. Figure 5.5 is a schematic drawing of a possible plasma convection pattern in the equatorial plane, or equivalently, an electric equipotential pattern. This

100

Ü



Pigare 5.5

18

A schematic of the magnetospheric convection flow pattern required to provide the field-aligned currents that are connected to the ionospheric Ball currents. The "+" indicates the region from where field-aligned current will flow into the ionosphere; the "-" indicates the region to which field-aligned current will flow from the ionosphere.

patters is not unlike one given by Harel and Wolf (1976), and is reasonably consistent with the average high-latitude electric field pattern (see Pigure 3.13(bf). Plasma is convected earthward from the tail and diverges to flow around the earth. In the evening sector, most of the plasma is turned toward the nearest flank long before it reaches the dayside regions of the magnetopause. However, some of the plasma flowing around the dusk side penetrates into the noon sector where it is deflected to flow towards dawn. As well, all the plasma which flows around the dawn side of the earth returns to the tail along the dawn flank of the magnetosphere. In the regions where  $\underline{V}$  is not curl-free, equation 5.1 predicts a divergence of the electric field such that a positive charge would build . up in the region labelled + in Figure 5.5, and a negative charge would build up in the region labelled -. These charge concentrations are dissipated via field-aligned current into the ionosphere from the + region, and out of the ionosphere in the region.

An equivalent way of describing this has been given by Vasylianas (1972(a), 1972(b)). He shows that azimuthal pressure gradients result in field-aligned current flow. In the regions where the plasma is being diverted back down tail, there is an enhanced particle pressure which result in field-aligned currents which result in described above. Vasylianas (1972(b)) also shows that these pressure gradient field-aligned currents may arise from the

۰Ž

.

interaction of the ring current (see below) with an electric field, and that these field aligned currents can be repeted to an ionompheric Hall current.

In section 1.3.2 of this thesis, the presence of westward flowing extra-terrestrial ring current Va S mentioned in connection with Dat. Frank (1967) first detected this current directly from an analysis of particle data from the Ogo 3 satellite. As well as this symmetric current, there is an asymmetric ring current (Cahill, 1966; Frank, 1970), * . . several authors (**Pejer**, 1961: Swift, 1967, the suggested -that both the auroral electrojets are connected to the partial ring current. It has also been proposed that the westward electrojet connects to a partial ring current which lies at a greater distance from the earth than the one to which the electrojet connects (Crooker eastward AcPherron, 1972).

Ē

Thus, it is suggested in this thesis that the fieldaligned currents connected to the Hakl currents (Figure 5.5) arise from within the magnetosphere in the manner outlined above. Further, it is suggested that these field-aligned currents are connected to the partial ring current to form a closed loop. Here details of the actual convective motions is the dayside of the magnetosphere than are currently available are regained to twist the consistency of the above bypothesis. In the absence of this, the above description provides a subhasise whereby the convection electrojets are connected to the , magnetosphere through field-aligaed currents.

## 5.2 Concluding Remarks

A detailed study of ground-based magnetometer data has been carried out using the superposed epoch analysis technique of Chree and linear inversion techniques. Based on this study, a comprehensive three-dimensional model of ionospheric-magnetospheric current flow has been developed. The data and the results of the model calculations have been parameterized on the basis of the peak value in the I'component of the magnetic field, and the level shift in  $\Delta$  I', and these parameters have been compared statistically. Within the margin of error in the date, the fit of the model to the data is excellents.

Although sany features of the model are not new, certain new results have cose to light. The presence of both eastward and westwarß electrojet components dependent on conductivity caused by solar UV radiation has been democted. The existence of westward flowing current poleward of the eastward convection electrojet in the pre-midnight sector has been demonstrated by using linear inverse techniques, and, by forward modelling, it is shown that this westward current is associated with upward-flowing, locally unbalanced field-aligned current.

Finally, it has been shown that both the eastward and testward electrojets are connected, in the noon sector, to the enter adjustantial fir dugh locally unbelanced fieldaltyned sufficients. It is suggested that these field-aligned excepte agine fine asymptotic convection of plane on the depute of the improtosphere. It is further suggested that the improtos mill currents are connected through these field lines to the ring current, as described by, for example, Vacylians (1972(b)).

1.05

It is believed that the surrest sodel developed is this thesis is important for several reasons. First, it has been tristed against a real data suite, and shown to be consistent with that data on average. Further, the model has dependented that, for low-level magnetic activity, the UV electrojet can be important to the complete description of ionespheric-methetospheric current systems. Also, when perturbed appropriately, the model can be used to describe substors current systems. Thus, a generalized current sodel has been developed which may be used as a base system for sodalling real current flow for sany levels of accoral activity. Finally, field-aligned current in the soon sector to food the enstward and sectured electrojets has never boggine been included in an ionespheric-signetespheric carront sodel. The success of the sodel is reproducing the Teams of the Ar. Loost-shift indicates that these currents servicet.

the second described in this thesis is not presented as the mitimum in inquiries approximate current nodels, as all except and a notice are adjust to revision will the

entire underlying physics is understood. It is hoped that the work described in this thesis will serve the role of providing one more step in this search.

_

----

## A BYBRENCES

- Akasofu, S.-I., The aurora and the magnetosphere: The Chapman Memorial Lecture, <u>Planet</u>. <u>Space</u> <u>Sci</u>.,<u>22</u>, 885, 1974.
- Akasofu, S.-I., The solar wind-magnetosphere dynamo and the magnetospheric substorm, <u>Planet</u>. <u>Space Sci</u>., <u>23</u>, 817,1975.
- Akasofu, S.-I., <u>Physics</u> of <u>Hagnetospheric</u> <u>Substorms</u>, D.Reidel Publishing Co., Boston, 1977.
- Akasofu, S.-I. and S. Chapman, <u>Solar Terrestrial Physics</u> University Press, Oxford, 1972.
- Akasofu, S.-I., S. Chapman, and C.-I.Heng, The polar electrojet, <u>J. Atmospheric Terrest</u>, <u>Phys</u>., <u>30</u>, 227, 1965.
- Akasofu, S.-I., and C.-I. Heng, Intense negative bays inside the auroral zone, 1, The evening sector, <u>J.Ataos</u>. <u>Terr. Phys.</u>, <u>29</u>, 965, 1967.
- Akasofu, S.-I., P. Instara, and K. Kawasaki, A note on the DP-2 variation, <u>Planet</u>. <u>Space Sci</u>., <u>21</u>, 2232, 1973.

.

- Allen, J. H., and H. W. Kroehl, Spatial and temporal distributions of sagnetic effects of auroral electrojets as derived from AE indices, J. <u>Geophys. Res.</u>, 80, 3667, 1975.
- Armstrong, J., C., and A. J. Zmuda, Field-aligned current at 1000 km in the auroral region seasured by satellite, J. <u>Geophys</u>. Res., 75, 7122, 1970.
- Afmstrong, J. C., and A. J. Zmuda, Triaxial magnetic measurements of field-aligned currents at 800 kilometers in the auroral region: initial results, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 6802, 1973.

- Axford, W. I., and C. O. Hines, A unifying theory of high latitude geophysical phenomena and geomagnetic storms, <u>Can</u>. J. <u>Phys</u>., <u>39</u>, 1433, 1961.
- Axford, W. I., H. E. Petschek, and G. L. Siscoe, Tail of the magnetosphere, J. <u>Geophys</u>. Res., 70, 1231, 1965.
- Backus, G., and F. Gilbert, Humerical applications of a formalism for geophysical invefse problems, **Geophys. J. Roy. Astr. Soc.**, <u>13</u>, 247, 1967.
- Backus, G. and P. Gilbert, Uniqueness in the inversion of inaccurate gross earth data, <u>Phil</u>. <u>Trans</u>. <u>R. Soc</u>., <u>A266</u>, 123, 1970.
- Bannister, J. R., A magnetometer array study of polar magnetic substorms, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, 1977.
- Bartels, J., The standardized index, Ks, and the planetary index, Kp, <u>Int. Union Geod. Geophys</u>., <u>IATHE Bull</u>., no. 12b, 97, 1949.
- Berko, F. W., Distributions and characteristics of highlatitude field-aligned electron precipitation, J. <u>Geophys</u>. <u>Res</u>., 78, 615, 1973.
- Berko, F. W., Hoffman, R. A., Burton, R. K., and Holzer, R. E., Simultaneous particle and field observations of field-aligned currents, J. <u>Geophys</u>. <u>Res</u>., <u>80</u>, 37, 1975.

- Biermann, L., Komentschwerfe and solare Korpuskularstrallung, Z. Astrophys., 29, 274, 1951.
- Birkeland, K., The Horvegian Aurora Polaris Expedition, 1902-1903, Vol. 1, Sect. 1, <u>Christiania</u>, Horvay, 1908. 2
- Birkeland, K., The Horwegian Aurora Polaris Expedition, 1902-1903, Vol. 1, Sect. 2, <u>Christiania</u>, Horway, 1913.

- Boströn, R., A model of the auroral electrojets, J. Geophys. Res., 69, 4983, 1964.
- Bostron, R., The magnetic field of three-dimensional magnetospheric model current systems and currents induced in the ground, <u>Acta</u>. <u>Poly</u>. <u>Scand</u>., <u>17</u>, 1, 1971.
- Brekke, A., J. R. Doupnik, and P. H. Banks, Incoherent scatter measurements of E-region conductivities in the auroral zone, <u>J. Geophys</u>. <u>Res</u>., <u>79</u>, 3773, 1974.
- Cahill, L. J., Jr., Inflation of the inner magnetosphere during a magnetic substorm, J. <u>Geophys</u>. <u>Res</u>., <u>71</u>, 4505, 1966.
- Cauffman, D. P., and D. A. Gurnett, Satellite measurements of high latitude convection electric fields, <u>Space</u> <u>Sci. Rev</u>., <u>13</u>, 369, 1972.
- Chapman, S., and V. C. A. Perraro, A new theory of magnetic storms, <u>Terr. Hagn. Atmos. Elect.</u>, <u>36</u>, 77, 1931.
- Chen, A. J., and G. Rostoker, Auroral-polar currents during periods of moderate magnetospheric activity, <u>Planet. Space Sci.</u>, 22, 1101, 1974.
- Chree, C., Some phenomena of sunspots and terresrial magnetism at Kew observatory, <u>Phil</u>. <u>Trans</u>. <u>London 1A1</u>, 212, 75, 1912.
- Chree, C., Some phenomena of sunspots and terresrial magnetism at Kew observatory, <u>Phil</u>. <u>Trans</u>. <u>London (A)</u>, <u>213</u>, 245, 1913.
- Cole, K. D., On solar wind generation of polar geomagnetic disturbance, <u>Geophys</u>. J. <u>Roy. astr. Soc</u>., <u>6</u>, 103, 1961.
  - Cole, K. D., Outline of a theory of solar wind interaction
    with the magnetosphere, <u>Planet. Space Sci., 22</u>,
    1075,1974.

•

•

- Cole K. D., The mgnetospheric dynamo for geomagnetic disturbance, presented at AGU Chapman Symposium, Yosemite, USA, 1976.
- Crooker, N. U., and R. L. McPherron, On the distinction between the auroral electrojet and partial ring current systems, J. Geophys. Res., 11, 6006, 1972.
- Davis, T. H., and H. Sugiura, Auroral electrojet activity index AB and its universal time variations, 1. Geophys. Res., 71, 785, 1966.
- Dungey, J. W., Interplanetary sagnetic field and the auroral zone, Phys. Rev. Lett., 6, 47, 1961.
- Eastman, T. E., E. W. Hones, Jr., S. J. Bame, and J. R. Asbridge, The magnetospheric boundary layer: site of plasma, momentum and energy transfer from the magnetosheath into the magnetosphere, <u>Geophys</u>. Res. Lett., 3, 685, 1976.
- Pajer, J. A., Semidiarnal currents and electron drifts in the ionosphere, J. Atmospheric Terrest. Phys., 4. 184, 1953.
- Pejer, J. A., The effects of energetic trapped particles on magnetospheric motions and ionospheric currents, - Can. J. Phys., 39, 1409, 1961.
- Peldstein, Y. I., Some problems concerning, the morphology of auroras and magnetic disturbances at high latitudes; Geomag: Aeron, 3, 183, 1963.
- Poldstein, T. I., and I. H. Saitsev, Magnetic field variations at high latitudes on quiet days in summer during the IGY, Geomag. Ascon., 2, 160, 1967.
- Tennel, J. F., Access of solar protons to the carth's polar caps, J. Geoshis. Les., 28, 1036, 1973.

\$+

Frank, L. A., On the setra-terrestrial ring current during gooss gratic storing, i. Spanister Ing. 12, 3753, •

a. • 18

--

in the second

1967.

Prank, L. A., Direct detection of abgemetric intracions of extratorrestrial 'ring current' process internations in the enter rediction sead, in England. Mono 22, 1263, 1970.

17 10 10

- Frank, L. A., Plassa in the earth's polar sequetosphere, j. Seconts- les., 26, 5202, 1971.
- Priis-Christensen, R., K. Lessen, J. Hilhjelm, J. S. Hilcor, N. Gonzalez, and D. S. Colburn, Critical component of the interplanetary magnetic field responsible for large geomegnetic effects in the polar cap, J. Seconts. Res., 77, 3371, 1972.
- Priis-Christensen, E., and J. Wilhjeim, Polar cap currents for different directions of the interplanetary magnetic field in the T-S plane, <u>J. Scophys</u>. <u>Ans</u>., <u>80</u>, 1248, 1975.
- Putushina, N., Egivalence in ground geomagnetic effect of Chepman-Vestine's and Birkeland-Addwan's electric Current systems for polar magnetic storms, Rep. Longaph. Space Res., Japan, 23, 219, 1969.
- Goldstein, H., <u>Classical</u> <u>Mochanics</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Hame., 1950, <u>Chapter</u> 2.
- Marang, L., The mean field of distarbance of pelar geomegnetic storms, Terr. Man. Alang. Elect., 21, 353, 1946.
- Harel, H., and R. A. Hold, Convection, Proceedings of the International Arecention of Salar-International Physics, Boulder, 881, 1976.
- Boolis, R. A., R. S. Habers, and J. L. Murch, Ion convection velocity revergels in the distingle cieft, g. Supply: for. 12, 3003, 1976.

Maikkile, W. J., Panyarettan of particles the polar cap

en de manutation de la section de la section

Bears, R. H., The Billing and Pair and Station Provide Station and Station and

Birabberg, J. Bad J. S. College States States States

Reghen, T. J., and G. Remainer, Correct flat in the admeterphere Cering periods of selection determer, 1. Secontil. 202. 12, 1977.

Iljina, T., and T. A. Potennik, the emplitude distribution of t field-aligned destructs of marchines high incitation observed by Triad; i. Markin. Mit., 21, 7163, 1976.

Iljina, 2., and 2. A. Potenne, Large smill disconsistics of field-eligned marrance disconsistics schetungs. 2. Samanak Mars. . So product Mark

Iverous, I. S., and K. M. Maddin Austers some electric Light policetts with Williams. Compas, Variation

Saat to . The second se



Establish, day the Colonian Mindle is and there the supplied

ELectronia de la constant de serveix de serv

ELAADOUR - L., AND A. - Destation, Mitchilling of thiss-

The set of the set of

Andreas and a second a second

Macha, H., and K. Machava, A superical study of polar iomospheric currents, <u>Planck</u>. <u>BRACE</u> <u>SCi</u>., 21, 1287, 1973.

HARMERT, S. H., Nov evidence of a relationship between magnetic fields is space and on earth, incodel. Agent., 2, 622, 1969.

Batsushita, S., Dynamo cerrante, will and electric fields, Batie Eci., 1, 771, 1969.

BCDiarmid, I. B., and J. R. Burrows, Latitude profiles of lev-energy selar electrons, J. George. 25. 3910, 1970.

Bedierbid, T. B., J. R. Burrovs, and H. D. Wilson, Hagnetic field perturbation in the dayside cleft and their multipourbig to the IMP, submitted to d. Compays. But., 1974.

Bong, C.-S., and S.-I. Alessia, Polar medicitic substorms in conjugate areas, Inter Mai., 3, 79%, 1968.

soper, P. S., and P. Lucht, The average survel cone electric field, d. Energine les. 22, 1001, 1974.

The R. R. E. Motonich, G. S. Castagano, and C. S. Minerone discovered in a file section a magnetic tail Manual discovered in the section of the section of

ministration descention of a second second associated

Louis Hand

The second of the second of DP-2 Sectortions with

• g

Hisbida, A., 90-2 and polar schetorus, Estant. Space Sci., 12, 205, 1971.

Obsynshi, T., Solar Israertial Chreice, J. W. Ming and W. S. Bounes, editors, Boundar From, London, 4967,

Oldenburg, D. W., Iceospheris current structure as deternized from graved Wassimeneoster Acts, Sachar. 1. 1976.

Perker, R. L., Lines' inderende and andergernseterised nodels, Reg. Genthra. Marca Mat., 1977.

Piddington, J. B., Cashie Historranden, John Hiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1968.

Potonga, T., herge ponts characteristic of field-aligaed currents determined from 20. Triad angustometer experiment, presented at the 2010 Advanced Sindr Distance of Arabital and Longical Complian of Sindra and Longical Alignmente, Spetisel Boustain Edtel, Borsey, 1977 (a).

Potenza, T., general countriestice, 1977 (b).

10.

2

Anone Jo C., Brandin of Anonesicalis Irapped

Bosenbeuer, S., S. Managlar, J. S. Bontgomery, 7. Samtana, ald S. Schophe, Son - 2 plasma chouryting is fin Electric palar magnetosphere: The Flasma martie, f. Spannin, Sas., 22, 2723, 1935.

Anotokus, G., Soonagnetis Lillons, Mr. Markie, Erece Eci., Mr. 451, 7972.

Annotation - C. and D. Diverson, A manhand on Sar Ariting the Areas along the state of the state of the state of the

antenber, 6., and 6. It deats for an and the second s



dawn sector, Planet. Smace Sci., 23, 1377, 1975.

- Bostoker, 6., and J. L. Kisabeth, Response of the polar electrojets in the evening sector to polar magnetic substorms, J. Solohus. Res., 12, 5559, 1973.,
- Bostoker, G., J. L. Riseboth, R. D. Sharp, and E. G. Shelley, The expansive phase of magnetospheric substarms, 2, The response at synchronous altitude of particles of different energy ranges, j. Geometry Bas., MO, 3557, 1975.
- Bostoker, G., J. D. Hinninghan, K. Kavagaki, J. B. Burrows, and T. J. Rughes, Haurguig particle, proclamation into the high latitude icapophers and maroral electrojets. 3. Bastward electrojet and fieldaligned current flow at the dusk muridian, in preparation, 1978.
- Sato, T., Possible sources of field-aligned currents, <u>Lep</u>. <u>Lonesph</u>. <u>Epage Res</u>., <u>Japan</u>, 28, 179, 1974.
- Siscoe, G. L., A unified treatment of memory substorms, dynamics with applications to magnetic substorms, <u>Flanct</u>. Space Sci., 14, 947, 1266.

Svalgand, L., Sector stactarb of the interplanetary abguvtic field and daily variation of geomagastic field at high institude, Section 200 - 202, Dar. Setuptot, Tast., Cognutheren, Dellar, 1965.

weigened, Le, Delet met seiteriche Statistices and their . Andersignet and their setting agastic

- Swift, D. E., Possible consequences of the asympetric development of the ring current belt, glangt. Space Sci., 15, 835, 1967.
- Swift, D. W., Purther possible consequences of asymmetric development of the ring cycpent belt tie effect of variations of ionospheric conductivity, Plazet. Anece Sci., 16, 239, 1968.
- Vanpola, A. L., Access of solar electrons to closed field lines, J. Geophys. Res., 76, 36, 1971.
- Vasyliumas, V., Discussion of paper by Harold H. Taylor and Edward W. Homes, Jr., 'Adiabatic motion of auroral particles in a model of the electric and magnetic fields surrounding the earth', J. Geophys. les., <u>73,</u> 5805, 1968.
- Vasyliumes, V., Mathematical models of `magnetospheric convection and its coupling to the ionosphere, in Particles and Fields is the Magnetosphere, edited by B. H. HcCornac, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972 (a) .
- Vasyliunes, V., The interrelationship of magnetospheric processes, in <u>Earth's Magnetospheric Processes</u>, edited by B. H. Eccormac, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Berdrecht, Holland, 1972(b).
- Milcos, J. H., and H. T. Hess, Gasi-stationary corotating etzadture in the interplanetary medium, J.
- Yasabara, F., T. Kanide, and S.-I. Akasefu, Field-aligned and ionospheric currents, glangt, Space Sol., 22. 1356, 1975.
- J., J. C. Arastrong, and P. S. Rouring, Inuda, . . . Characteristics of treasverbe asgnetic distarbances observed at 1100 tilopeters is the ausocal eval, 1. Seconta. Bas., 15, 4757, 1970.
- made, A. J., and J. C. Franciosy, The discusi flow of tiold aligned security, di foosbas. ins., 22.

1

1 N.A.

4611, 1974.

Sauda, A. J., J. H. Harbin, and F. T. Houring, Fransverse magnetic disturbances at 1100 km in the agroral region, J. <u>Geophys</u>. 198., 71, 5033, 1966.

Inuda, A. J., F. T. Bouring, and J. H. Martin, Dayside magnetic disturbances observed at 1700 kilometers in the auroral oval, J. GeoRhrs. Edg., 12, 1115, 1967.

•

## APPRISIX I MODELLING OF THE CORRESPONDED SHAFERED

AL. 1 The second field fit is a three-disposition. Suffer states

Eisabeth (1972) has developed equations which facilitate calculation of a three-disensional current system. This appendix symmetizes the development of these equations.

Figure AI.1 defines the vectors used in spherical coordinates for the calculations. The Biot-Savart Law is formulated as:

 $B = \frac{4}{4\pi} \int \int \frac{J = (G - C)}{|G - C|} d^{2}r$ 

vhere

an d

14, is the permittivity of free-space J is the carrant density in An-2 J = (r, A, R) = obstrver coordinate vector<math>f = (r, A, P) = means coordinate vector.

The coordinate directions at the poince  $(\vec{r}, \vec{\theta}, \varphi)$  are defenset than those at the observation point  $(\vec{r}, \vec{\theta}, \vec{q})$ , but they are related by:

penal patrix with components:

AS.2

AT.1



A. - sin B. sin B cas (q.-p) + cas B. cas B A. - sigh and ans (12-4) - sight ease A. - sml. siz(4 -4) An - cos & sin B cas ( - +) - sink cost An = cos & cas B cas (g - 4) + sin & sin O An = cond, sun (q -4)  $A_{11} - \cos(q - \varphi)$ 

ť j

shere

At a point  $(a, \theta_{b}, \varphi_{b})$ , the magnetic field from a line current can be expressed as

$$B_{i}(\alpha, \theta_{o}, \varphi_{o}) = \frac{44\pi^{2}}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{3} dC_{ij} \qquad \text{NI.3}$$

where  $A_j = 2, I'$ , or I' as j takes on values of 1,2, or 3 respectively; and /' is the curve defining the current path. The matrix  $A_j^{(2)}$  has components:

 $-A_{22} e_{2} d_{2}, \qquad -A_{22} e_{2} d_{3}, \\ -(A_{22} e_{1} - A_{22} e_{2}) d_{2}, \qquad (7A_{22} e_{1} - A_{22} e_{2}) d_{2}, \\ -(A_{22} e_{2} - M_{22} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{22} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{22} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{22} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{22} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{1}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - M_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, \\ -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3}, -(A_{23} e_{2} - rA_{23} e_{2}) d_{3$ 

4 = 前 - 前 (急) 4 · 南 · 赤(生) ···· 王 と、夢、夢(き)-玉 dies of the earth

 $|R|^2 = r^2 + r_a^2 - 2rr_a \cos\lambda \quad (\cos\lambda - A_a)$ IRIA = 7 + (5) - 2r 5'cm)  $I_1 = \frac{(r_1)^2}{r_1^2} \frac{1}{r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_1^2}$  $I_2(cm\lambda = 0) = rI_1cm\lambda_1 - \frac{r_1'}{\rho} + ln \left[ \frac{R'+r'-rcm\lambda_1}{r(1-cm\lambda_1)} \right]$  $I_{z}(\cos\lambda > o) = rI_{z}(\cos\lambda - \frac{r_{o}'}{R} + \ln\left[\frac{r'(1-\cos\lambda)}{R' - r_{o}' + r_{cos}\lambda}\right]$ 

ase dy = (de de de de louis de the current path. Por example, for encredit flouis along a path gives by

0 = 4 + # (4 - 4) (s - cu 4)

AT,4

which describes the locus of the auroral oval ,as used in Chapter 4, ds is found as follows. Rewriting equation AI.4

APOLO -

$$c = \frac{1}{2} (\theta_{x} + \theta_{y}) - \frac{1}{2} (\theta_{x} - \theta_{y})$$

and defining

$$f = p - c - d \cos \varphi$$

Then, a unit vector perpendicular to the current path is given by

$$= \frac{\nabla F}{|\nabla f|}$$
$$= \frac{\sin \alpha \hat{\alpha} + d \sin \alpha \hat{\alpha}}{(\sin^2 \theta + d^2 \sin^2 \psi)^2}$$

The dait verter parallel to 💼 , 💪 , is given by

 $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \hat{\mathbf{L}} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ - deterio

the differential path length, df , is given by

de - + (de + at ada 

croe witch

an d

$$ds_{s} = 0$$

$$ds_{s} = -rdsin(pdq) = -\frac{r}{2}(\theta_{z} - \theta_{z})sin(qdq)$$

$$ds_{s} = rsin(\theta dq)$$

Por current flowing along a field line;

AI.2 A GEORGE-POLAE CAR CAREONE EXELON

In Chapter 4, the magnetic field due to current flowing across the polar cap is discussed. To calculate this field, the method outlined in the first section of this appendix is used, but first an expression for the current path must be formulated. It is assumed that the iomospheric part of the current is confined to great circles across the polar cap, and further, that these are symmetric about the moon meridian and perpendicular to it (Figure AI.2).

The equation of a gendesic is found by minimizing the are length, i.e., minimize

AI.5

where of is the differential are leagth, and the integral is ensuine out from points 3 to 2 on the serve.







Asoputit II hand intract integer of sections of the section of this technique is not principle in a for sections is replete as the section of this technique is not principle in a for section of this technique is not principle in a for period a brief description of this technique as principle in a for period a brief description of the principles of linear inversion theory will be period ason. For a both debilied presentation of the becknique, the phase is replaced to the period is a phase of Sector and Siberts 19797, the

retter by Derhie (1977).

The threading in a second seco



gednetry and the president of the changement of president,



1

THAC- TEMPERATE THE AT

as has been diene by Rivelling of straig and straight hash

dorth-sooth Wilsel over 1998 weeks arises eries eries

Ball condition distributions and the second of the second

If it is essential that the short is Mold is parely someword, then

Combining equations ATL. 1 and ATT. 5 pail putpoticities for

4 - **5**-**6** - **7** - **6** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1** - **1**

- ( The gran ( ) do 1 - 1 - 1 -

several values of  $\sum_{p} / \sum_{p}$  were need indefaily, ranging from 2.0 to 0.5. The value of 0.5 was found to yield the Bestfitting results, and this is a reasonable water based on the results of Brenke at al (1974).

- 26

The inverse problem that was to be solved can be stated as follows: "given a measurements, by  $(j=1,2,\ldots,n)$ , of the perturbation magnetic field, what can be still about  $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{O})$ , the height-integrated current density, is some coloridational region,  $\mathcal{O}_{i} < \mathcal{O}_{i}$ ?" Linear inverse theory shows well linear combinations of the data are available to second this.

(J(2)) - \$ 9(2) 4 + 5 J(0) A(0,2) do

where  $\mathfrak{s}(\theta, \theta_{0})$   $\mathfrak{s}(\theta_{0}) = \mathfrak{s}(\theta_{0}) \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\theta)$  each  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\theta_{0}) > \mathfrak{s}(\theta_{0})$  each  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}(\theta_{0}) > \mathfrak{s}(\theta_{0})$ 

AII.8

A(C, C.) is the "averaging function" and is offertively a vindow through which the beight integrated which the beight integrated through density is viewed. Note that if it is beight to the density is viewed. Note that if it is birthe delta that a set of a the such that hid black is a birthe delta function, then,

CTURE - JUR SUSTING. - JUR

A Reserve and a second a sec

proved by proving and side of the state of t

Ses described, observational orpers, of house isourced by a departure of the tool mercent applies from the second format model, my also be individed in the shelysis to marile employee of the standard destations (.C.). of the isource is the standard destation (.C.). of the isource is the standard destation of the second formation distributed with some some the

AID. 9

Sorrailable alle shange alle Arr.la ALL THE MANAGEMENT & LOUIS OF LE ALLO DEMINISH. Thes, synchron MALLER MANAGEMENT & LOUIS OF MACHINESS PRESENTING THAT MALLER MANAGEMENT LUMARGOOT AND LE MAVESTAL.

then will demon an antibitaly may correct densities then will demonstrate and the aspectic field whether a control of the state field is general to go, from the second to possibility that a soled demonst demosity where the possibility that a soled demonst demosity where the second the data sight be a specific of the second to the second to data sight be a specific of the second to the second to data sight be a specific of the second to the second to data sight be a specific of the second to the second to data sight be a specific of the second to the second to data sight be a specific of the second to the second to the second to data second to

A the current of the second of the current American.

A 2000 1 2 3 3 - 323 - 311.11

e constraint -. 13 is second

+ 29 5 J'do hig 13-8.2.... and 9 theses of y and the Life are reintere

munition ast. 1

## By + ( 5%) Hg (0) do - 0

This describes the set of contrictent the variation.

This met bold for antitury the the

Statistica of this into III. It leads to

-----

- 3

stich infigur H