Detecting Solution pH Changes Using Poly (N-1 Isopropylacrylamide)-co-Acrylic Acid Microgel-2 **Based Etalon Modified Quartz Crystal** 3 Microbalances 4 Kai C.C. Johnson, Francisco Mendez, and Michael J. Serpe* 5 6 Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G2 * To whom correspondence should be addressed: michael.serpe@ualberta.ca 7 Abstract: Poly (*N*-isopropylacrylamide)-*co*-acrylic acid (pNIPAm-*co*-AAc) microgel-based 8 9 etalons have been shown to have visible color and unique spectral properties, which both depend 10 on solution temperature and pH. In this investigation, pNIPAm-co-AAc microgel-based etalons were fabricated on the Au electrode of a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM), and the resonant 11 frequency of the QCM monitored as a function of temperature, at pH 3.0. Furthermore, the 12 resonant frequency at either pH 3.0 or 7.0 was monitored while keeping the solution temperature 13 14 constant at various temperatures. In all cases, when the solution temperature was below the collapse transition for the microgels (~32 °C), the resonant frequency at pH 3.0 was lower than at 15 pH 7.0, which we attribute to the film transitioning from a deswollen to swollen state, 16 respectively. It was observed that the magnitude of the resonant frequency change increased as 17 the solution temperature approached the collapse temperature for the microgels. The overall 18 sensitivity to pH was determined to be $1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M } [\text{H}^+] \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ and a theoretical detection limit of 19

390 nM was obtained. This sensitivity will be exploited further for future biosensingapplications.

<u>Keywords:</u> Poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) microgels, color tunable materials, quartz crystal
 microbalance, stimuli responsive polymers, sensing

24

25 **1. Introduction**

Poly (*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (pNIPAm) is one of the most well-known and extensively 26 studied thermoresponsive polymers. At T \leq 32 °C, pNIPAm is fully water soluble, existing as a 27 28 random coil. At T > 32 °C, pNIPAm transitions from a hydrated random coil to a desolvated, 29 compact globule.[1-3] The temperature at which this occurs is called the lower critical solution 30 temperature (LCST), or the volume phase transition temperature.[4] The thermoresponsive nature of linear pNIPAm can be used to synthesize pNIPAm-based particles (microgels) via free 31 32 radical precipitation polymerization.[5-11] Microgels are extremely porous, and like their linear polymer counterparts, are fully water soluble (and water swollen, large diameter) at T < LCST, 33 and expel their solvating water and collapse (small diameter) at T > LCST. When microgels 34 expel their solvating water their viscosity increases. [12] PNIPAm microgels are also quite easily 35 modified with other functional groups, typically via copolymerization of comonomers into the 36 37 microgel at the time of synthesis. The most commonly used comonomer is acrylic acid (AAc). AAc is a weak acid, which has a pK_a of ~ 4.25, therefore, at pH < pK_a the pNIPAm based 38 microgels are fully responsive, while at pH > pK_a the pNIPAm responsivity is hindered.[13-16] 39 40 That is, at pH > pK_a the Coulombic repulsion between the deprotonated AAc groups in the 41 microgels prevents their collapse at T > 32 °C, requiring significantly higher T to achieve a microgel response. AAc not only results in pH responsive pNIPAm microgels, but the AAc 42

43 groups can also be used as reactive "handles" to add further functionality to the microgels.[17,44 18]

We have recently demonstrated that pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels can be used to fabricate 45 color tunable materials by "painting" a very uniform microgel layer onto metal coated 46 supports.[19-24] The resulting microgel layer can subsequently be coated with another thin 47 metal layer to yield a microgel layer "sandwiched" between two semi-transparent (yet 48 reflective) metal layers. Figure 1 shows a schematic for the assembly. We have shown that when 49 these devices (etalons) are immersed in water, they exhibit visible color due to light interference 50 51 in the cavity formed between the two Au layers. The specific color depends on the distance 52 between the mirrors. Since the microgel's diameter depends on temperature, the distance between the mirrors can be changed, and hence the etalon's color dynamically tuned.[19-25] In 53 54 this submission we exploit the solvation state changes that take place when an etalon changes color, as opposed to exploiting the actual color change, for sensing purposes. This is done by 55 immobilizing the etalon on the Au electrode of an AT-cut quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). 56

57 An AT-cut QCM crystal can be excited electrically to undergo an oscillating shearing 58 motion, at a characteristic resonant frequency (most commonly 5 MHz). The resonant frequency 59 is dependent on the properties (e.g., mass, viscosity) of the material in contact with the 60 oscillating device and is described by equation (1): [26]

2

61

$$\Delta f = -fo^{\frac{3}{2}} (n_l p_l / \pi p_q \mu_q)^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

64 Where f_0 is the initial resonant frequency of the QCM crystal, Δf is the frequency shift measured 65 by the quartz crystal microbalance, ρ_l is the liquid density, η_l is the liquid viscosity, μ_q is the 66 elastic modulus of quartz, and ρ_q is the density of quartz.[26]

As shown by Kanazawa and others,[27,28] and is apparent in equation (1), the resonant frequency of the QCM decreases as the viscosity of the substance contacting the QCM crystal increases. Furthermore, increased viscosity leads to an increase in the "resistance" of QCM oscillation.

71 Here, we demonstrate that pNIPAm microgel-based etalons can be fabricated on the Au 72 electrode of a quartz crystal microbalance (QCM). While the etalons still function as an optical 73 device, see Figure 2 for a sample reflectance spectrum, we show that the resonant frequency of the QCM crystal likewise depends on solution T and pH. We hypothesize that the frequency 74 shifts in response to viscosity changes that occur when the microgels transition from a swollen 75 (low viscosity) to a deswollen (high viscosity) state.[12] As we show here, this phenomenon can 76 77 be exploited for pH sensing, although this phenomenon can be exploited to detect other species that interact with the microgels of the etalons that result in a microgel solvation change. 78

79 **2. Experimental**

80 2.1. Materials

N-Isopropylacrylamide was purchased from TCI (Portland, Oregon) and purified by
recrystallization from hexanes (ACS reagent grade, EMD, Gibbstown, NJ) prior to use. N,N'methylenebisacrylamide (BIS) (99%), acrylic acid (AAc) (99%), and ammonium persulfate
(APS) (98+%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON) and were used as received.
Sodium chloride and sodium hydroxide were obtained from Fisher (Ottawa, ON). All deionized
(DI) water was filtered to have a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ · cm and was obtained from a Milli-Q

Plus system from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Cr and Au were deposited using a Model THEUPG
Thermal Evaporation System from Torr International Inc. (New Windsor, NY). Anhydrous
ethanol was obtained from Commercial Alcohols (Brampton, ON). Hydrochloric acid was
purchased from Caledon Chemicals (Georgetown, ON). Cr was 99.999% and obtained from
ESPI (Ashland, OR), while Au was 99.99% obtained from MRCS Canada (Edmonton, AB). *2.2. Instrumentation*Microgel coated QCM crystals, as well as the etalon coated devices were analyzed using a QCM-

200 obtained from Stanford Research Systems (Sunnyvale, CA). The crystal was placed into a 94 95 specially designed holder, which allowed for water of a given pH and temperature to constantly flow over the crystal at a rate of 0.062 mL/s. This flow was maintained by a FMI lab pump 96 97 model RP-G150 (Oyster Bay, NY). The temperature was controlled by placing a beaker containing water onto a Corning model PC-420D hotplate (Lowell, MA), and the water 98 temperature measured via a thermocouple. To change the pH of the solution, aliquots of either 99 100 1M NaOH or concentrated HCl were added to the water, and the water pH measured with a 101 Jenco model 6173 pH meter (San Diego, CA). Ionic strength was not controlled, but we confirmed that changes in ionic strength had little effect on the resonant frequency of the QCM 102 103 crystal (data not shown).

104 2.3. Procedures

105 *2.3.1. Microgel synthesis*

The microgels used in this study were synthesized using surfactant-free, free radical
precipitation procedure as described previously.[12, 19-25] Briefly, 8.5 mmol of NIPAM and
0.51 mmol of N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (BIS) was added to a beaker, and dissolved in 50

109 mL of deionized water. Once dissolved, the solution was filtered via a syringe through a 0.2 µm 110 syringe filter into a 100 mL 3-necked round bottom flask containing a stir bar. 12.5 mL of deionized water was used to rinse the beaker, which was also filtered and added to the round 111 bottom flask. Next, a gas inlet (needle), a reflux condenser, and a temperature probe were fitted 112 113 onto the round bottom flask. The solution was stirred at 450 RPM, and purged free of O_2 by 114 bubbling N₂ gas through the solution while heating to 45 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. Immediately prior to initiation, 1 mmol of AAc was added to the solution along with 2.5 mL of 0.078 M ammonium 115 persulfate solution. The solution temperature was then increased to 65 °C at a rate of 30 °C/hour 116 117 immediately following initiation and was allowed to react overnight. Following the overnight reaction, the solution was allowed to cool and was filtered through glass wool to remove large 118 aggregates from the solution. The filtrate was then diluted to 120 mL with deionized water and 119 120 12 mL aliquots were added to centrifuge tubes, and centrifuged at ~8500 relative centrifugal force for 30 minutes each at 20 °C. The microgels were packed at the bottom of the centrifuge 121 tube, and the supernatant solution subsequently removed, and replaced with fresh DI water. 122 123 Centrifugation and resuspension was repeated five more times to remove any unreacted reagents present. 124

125

2.3.2. Formation of etalons on QCM crystals

Etalons were fabricated as previously described, with some slight modification.[19-24] The Au electrodes of the QCM quartz crystal were rinsed copiously with anhydrous ethanol and dried with N₂ gas. 12.5 μL of a concentrated, viscous microgel solution was "painted" only on the "large" Au electrode of the QCM crystal and allowed to dry for 30 minutes at 35°C. Following drying, the microgels not directly bound to the Au electrode were rinsed off with DI water, and the QCM crystal was immersed in DI water overnight at 35°C. Following soaking, the

132 crystal was further rinsed with DI water and dried with N_2 gas. A paper template was adhered to 133 the QCM crystal, such that only the Au electrode, which was coated with microgels was exposed. The QCM crystal was then inserted into a Torr International Inc. (New Windsor, NY) 134 thermal evaporation system Model THEUPG. 2 nm of Cr and 15 nm of Au were deposited only 135 onto the Au bound microgel layer at a rate of ~0.2 Å s-1 and ~0.1 Å s-1, for Cr and Au, 136 respectively. After application of this overlayer, the crystal was subsequently removed from the 137 vacuum chamber and immersed in DI water overnight at 35°C. The QCM bound etalon was 138 subsequently used. 139

140

141 **3. Results and discussion**

142 *3.1. Temperature responsivity*

PNIPAm-co-AAc microgels with an average diameter of $1.5 \pm 0.2 \,\mu\text{m}$ (measured via 143 144 optical microscopy) were painted on the Au electrode of a QCM crystal. A differential 145 interference contrast microscope image of the microgels is shown in Figure 3. The behavior of 146 the QCM bound microgels was investigated by monitoring the resonant frequency of the QCM crystal, as the temperature of the pH 3.0 solution was raised, which affects the solvation state of 147 the microgels. As can be seen in Figure 4(a), the crystal's resonant frequency decreased as the 148 temperature of the pH 3.0 solution was ramped from 22.6 °C to 35.8 °C at an average rate of 149 0.001 °C/s. The frequency reached a minimum close to 32 °C, which is the accepted LCST for 150 pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels at pH 3.0. The total observed frequency change from the initial 151 temperature to the transition temperature was 4260 Hz. The QCM frequency surprisingly 152 153 increased as the solution temperature exceeded the collapse temperature. We hypothsize that the observed frequency shift with increasing temperature, up to the microgel's LCST, is a result of 154

the increased viscosity of the collapsed microgels.[12] Figure 4(b) shows the behavior of the 155 156 same microgels after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au layer on top of the microgels. Similar to the uncoated microgels, the etalon caused the QCM crystal frequency to decrease as the 157 temperature of the pH 3.0 solution was increased from 24.2 °C to 35.3 °C at an average rate of 158 159 $0.001 \,^{\circ}$ C/s. As can be seen from the data, the 6903 Hz frequency change of the etalon is much 160 more dramatic than what was observed for the uncoated microgels. At this point, the reason for the enhanced response of the etalon coated QCM crystal to temperature is unknown. Although, it 161 is known that at high temperature the Au layer on top of the microgels will be close to the QCM 162 163 crystal surface, as a result of the microgels collapsing.[19-25] As a result, the QCM crystal will 164 able to detect the increased viscosity from the collapsed microgels as well as the mass of the Au 165 overlayer. This combined will lead to a greater QCM response. To confirm that this observed 166 behavior was due to the microgels, and not the crystal's sensitivity to temperature, an uncoated QCM crystal was heated, in the same way as above, which shows a relatively small frequency 167 168 response to temperature. Therefore we attribute the frequency changes to the presence of the microgels and etalon on the QCM crystal's Au electrode. 169

170 We wanted to further confirm that the observed behavior was truly due to the microgels, 171 and the microgel's influence on the QCM crystal, and not due to heating the microgels at non-172 equilibrium conditions, i.e., a continuous temperature ramp as was done above. To do this, the 173 samples used to generate the data in Figures 4(a) and 4(b) were heated to discrete temperatures, and the frequency allowed to stabilize. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the frequency data as a 174 175 function of time, at various temperatures, for the uncoated and Cr/Au coated microgels (etalons). 176 As can be seen, the trends for the QCM resonant frequency were similar to the continuous 177 heating experiments. Most important for the study here is the ability to stabilize the QCM's

frequency at each temperature, especially at the minimum found at the LCST. Figure 6 shows the resonant frequency values at each stabilization temperature for the uncoated microgels and the etalon. As can be seen from the data, if the microgel layer can be made to reswell, while maintaining the solution temperature at the LCST, a large QCM frequency shift, back toward its frequency at low temperature would be expected.

183 *3.2. pH responsivity*

To investigate this further, we took advantage of the pH responsivity of the pNIPAm-co-184 185 AAc microgels. It is well known that at a given temperature, the diameter of pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels is larger at pH 7.0 than at pH 3.0. In other words, the viscosity of the microgels at pH 186 7.0 will be lower than at pH 3.0. Therefore, it stands to reason that at all temperatures, the QCM 187 188 resonant frequency at pH 7.0 should be higher than at pH 3.0. Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show that for 189 both the uncoated microgel layer, and the etalons, that this is the case. From the plots, it appears 190 that a pH change from 3.0 to 7.0 is enough to reswell the collapsed microgels, and affords a 191 frequency increase. In fact, because the change in the QCM crystal frequency, relative to its 192 initial value, is much greater compared to the uncoated microgels, the frequency change upon reswelling at high pH is likewise enhanced. In addition, in both cases it can be seen that the 193 QCM frequency at the LCST in pH 7.0 solution was greater than its frequency at low 194 195 temperature in pH 3.0 solution. We hypothesize that this is a result of Coulombic repulsion 196 between the deprotonated AAc groups in the microgels at pH 7.0 causing the microgels to be more swollen (less viscous) than they are at low temperature in pH 3.0 solution. This is a well-197 known phenomenon for pNIPAm-co-AAc microgels in solution. [12] The resulting changes in 198 199 frequency in response to the solution pH change for the uncoated microgel layer and after Cr/Au 200 addition were 5401 Hz and 8217 Hz, respectively.

201	We further investigated whether the pH response could be enhanced at any other
202	temperature. To accomplish this, pH transitions from 3.0 to 7.0 were performed at multiple
203	temperatures. As seen in Figures 8(a) and 8(b), the QCM crystal frequency plateaus at similar
204	values after transitioning from pH 3.0 to 7.0. This means that the film's reswelling is limited;
205	therefore the maximum pH response is achieved when the film is at the LCST. In other words,
206	the maximum response is achieved when the microgels transition from their fully collapsed to
207	fully swollen form. The fact that the QCM crystal's frequency returns to the same maximum
208	resonant frequency in pH 7.0 solution at each temperature further supports the hypothesis that
209	the microgels reswell in pH 7.0 solution due to Coulombic repulsion.
210	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To
210 211	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature,
210 211 212	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The
210 211 212 213	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at each pH value investigated, and the solution pH
210 211 212 213 214	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at each pH value investigated, and the solution pH was continuously monitored. Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the results of this experiment for both
 210 211 212 213 214 215 	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at each pH value investigated, and the solution pH was continuously monitored. Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the results of this experiment for both an uncoated microgel layer and an Au coated microgel layer. Each pH value stated was stabilized
 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at each pH value investigated, and the solution pH was continuously monitored. Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the results of this experiment for both an uncoated microgel layer and an Au coated microgel layer. Each pH value stated was stabilized for at least 15 minutes before further addition of NaOH. As can be seen, the maximum response
 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 	Finally, we set out to find the pH range that the device would be most sensitive. To determine this, the etalon was brought to its transition temperature at pH 3.0. At this temperature, the solution's pH was increased stepwise, while monitoring the QCM resonant frequency. The resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at each pH value investigated, and the solution pH was continuously monitored. Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show the results of this experiment for both an uncoated microgel layer and an Au coated microgel layer. Each pH value stated was stabilized for at least 15 minutes before further addition of NaOH. As can be seen, the maximum response occurs over a two (2) pH unit range, centered around a pH of ~4.10, which is close to the

be 390 nM H+, with a sensitivity was calculated to be 1.3×10^{-8} [H⁺] Hz⁻¹. From the data, it also 219

stands to reason that in order to make an etalon that is sensitive in other pH ranges, acidic 220

monomers with varying pK_a 's can be polymerized into the microgels. 221

4. Conclusions 222

223 In this submission, we showed that the resonant frequency of a microgel coated QCM 224 crystal (and etalon) was affected dramatically by the temperature and pH of the solution it was exposed to. We hypothesize that this response was a result of the different solvation states (and 225 226 hence viscosity) of the microgels as a function of temperature and pH. This sensitivity was 227 exploited for pH sensing, by operating the materials at the microgels LCST. The response to pH was shown to be more significant for the Cr/Au coated microgel layers (etalons). The most 228 229 sensitive region for the samples is at the LCST over 1 pH unit range. We can detect pH shifts as little as 390 nM [H+] within this pH range. This system will be further developed for biosensing 230 231 applications as well as for detecting pH changes in different ranges.

<u>Acknowledgements:</u> MJS acknowledges funding from the University of Alberta (the
Department of Chemistry and the Faculty of Science), the Natural Science and Engineering
Research Council (NSERC), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), and the Alberta
Advanced Education & Technology Small Equipment Grants Program (AET/SEGP). MJS
acknowledges Mark McDermott for the use of the thermal evaporator. MJS would also like to
thanks Prof. L. Andrew Lyon for intellectual contributions to this project.

238	<u>References:</u>	Where C Wang V Dhug Day Latt 80 (1008) 4002 4004
239	[1]	wu, C. Wang, A. Fliys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 4092–4094.
240	[2]	Zhang, G. Wu, C. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85(5) (2001) 822-825.
241	[3]	Xu, J. Zhu, Z. Luo, S. Wu, C. Liu, S. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96(2) (2006) 027802.
242	[4]	Wu, C. Zhou, S. Macromolecules. 28 (1995) 8381-8387.
243	[5]	Jones, C.D. Lyon, L.A. Macromolecules. 33 (2000) 8301–8306.
244	[6]	Horgan, A. Saunders, B. Vincent, B. Heenan, R.K. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 262(2)
245	(2003)	548-559.

246	[7]	Liu, J. Pelton, R. Hrymak, A.N. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 227(2) (2000) 408-411.
247	[8]	Karg, M. Pastoriza-Santos, I. Rodriguez-Gonzalez, B. von Klitzing, R. Wellert, S.
248	Hellwe	eg, T. Langmuir. 24(12) (2008) 6300-6306.
249	[9]	Tsuji, S. Kawaguchi, H. Langmuir. 20(6) (2004) 2449-2455.
250	[10]	Dean G.R. Alsted, T. Richtering, W. Pedersen, J.S. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.
251	13(8)	(2011) 3108-3114.
252	[11]	Crassous, J.J. Ballauff, M. Drechsler, M. Schmidt, J. Talmon, Y. Langmuir. 22(6)
253	(2006)	2403-2406.
254	[12]	Serpe, M.J. Lyon, L.A. Chem. Mater. 16 (2004) 4373-4380.
255	[13]	Smith, M.H. Lyon, L.A. Macromolecules. 44(20) (2011) 8154-8160.
256	[14]	Hu, X. Tong, Z. Lyon, L.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132(33) (2010) 11470-11472.
257	[15]	Pei, Y. Chen, J. Yang, L. Shi, L. Tao, Q. Hui, B. Li, J. J. Biomater. Sci. Polym.
258	Ed. 15	(5) (2004) 585-594.
259	[16]	Wei, J.S. Zeng, H.B. Liu, S.Q. Wang, X.G. Tay, E.H. Yang, Y.Y. Front. Biosci.
260	10 (20	05) 3058-3067.
261	[17]	Debord, J. Lyon, L.A. Bioconjugate Chem. 18(2) (2007) 601-604.
262	[18]	Huang, W.C. Chiang, W.H. Huang, Y.F. Lin, S.C. Shih, Z.F. Chern, C.S. Chiang,
263	C.S. C	Chiu, H.C. J. Drug Targeting. 19(10) (2011) 944-953.
264	[19]	Sorrell, C.D. Carter, M.C.D Serpe, M.J ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 3(4) (2011)
265	1140–	1147.
266	[20]	Carter, M.C.D. Sorrell, C.D. Serpe, M.J. J. Phys. Chem. B. 115(49) (2011) 14359-
267	14368	
268	[21]	Hu, L. Serpe, M.J. J. Mater. Chem. 22 (2012) 8199-8202.

269	[22]	Hu, L. Serpe, M.J. Polymers. 4(1) (2012) 134-149.
270	[23]	Sorrell, C.D. Serpe, M.J. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 402 (2012) 2385-2393.
271	[24]	Sorrell, C.D. Serpe, M.J. Adv. Mater. 23(35) (2011) 4088-4092.
272	[25]	Sorrell, C.D. Carter, M.C.D. Serpe, M.J. Adv. Funct. Mater. 21 (2011) 425-433.
273	[26]	Kanazawa, K.K. Gordon, J.G. Anal. Chim. Acta. 175 (1985) 99-105.
274	[27]	Lee, S.W. Hinsberg, W.D. Kanazawa, K.K. Anal. Chem. 74(1) (2002) 125-31.
275	[28]	Ward, M.D. Buttry, D.A. Science. 249(4972) (1990) 1000-1007.
276	[29]	Lyon, L.A. Meng, Z. Singh, N. Sorrell, C.D. St. John, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38
277	(2009) 865-874.
278		
279		
280	Figures:	



281

Figure 1. Structure of a poly (N-isopropylacrylamide) microgel-based etalon. (a and c) two 15

nm Au mirrors (with 2 nm Cr adhesion layer) sandwiching (b) the microgel layer. Typically, (d)

is a glass substrate. For these experiments, (d) is an AT-cut quartz crystal.



Figure 2. Reflectance spectrum of a representative etalon immobilized on a QCM crystal. The
various peaks correspond to the different orders of reflection, which is typical of pNIPAm
microgel-based etalons.



Figure 3. Differential interference contrast microscope image of the pNIPAm-based microgels
used in this study. The microgels were in water, on a glass substrate. The scale bar is 6 µm.



Figure 4. QCM resonant frequency as a function of time for (a) pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel
coated QCM crystal and (b) after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au overlayer in pH 3.0 water.
The heating rate was on average 0.001 °C/s, and the values on the individual plots correspond to
the temperature (°C) at a given time.



Figure 5. QCM resonant frequency as a function of time for (a) pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel

coated QCM crystal and (b) after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au overlayer in pH 3.0 water.

After reaching a given temperature, the QCM resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize before

301 proceeding to the next temperature. The values on the individual plots indicate the temperature

- 302 (°C) at the individual stabilization points.
- 303

304



305

Figure 6. QCM resonant frequency at the individual stabilization temperatures in Figure 4. (■)
pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel coated QCM crystal and (●) after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au

308 overlayer in pH 3.0 water.



Figure 7. The pH response of a (a) pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel coated QCM crystal and (b) the same substrate after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au overlayer. Initially, each was heated to the film's lower critical solution temperature in pH 3.0 water. Following stabilization, the pH of the water was increased to 7.0 by addition of 1M NaOH. Again, the signal was allowed to stabilize, and the pH returned to 3.0 by addition of concentrated HCl. The pH and temperature (°C) values are indicated on the individual plots.



Figure 8. The pH response of a (a) pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel coated QCM crystal and (b) the
same substrate after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au overlayer at different temperatures. In

each case, the QCM resonant frequency was allowed to stabilize at ~ 24 °C in pH 3.0 water.
While maintain a similar temperature, the pH of the water was adjusted to 7.0 by addition of 1 M
NaOH. The addition of NaOH is observed as an increase in QCM resonant frequency. Following
QCM resonant frequency stabilization, the pH was again adjusted to 3.0 by addition of
concentrated HCl. Following this step, in each case the temperature was adjusted to ~ 30 °C and
~ 31 °C, and the pH modulation repeated at each temperature range.



Figure 9. The pH response of a (a) pNIPAm-*co*-AAc microgel coated QCM crystal and (b) the same substrate after deposition of a 2 nm Cr/15 nm Au overlayer at the film's lower critical solution temperature. In each case, the films were exposed to pH 3.0 water and the temperature of the solution adjusted to the film's lower critical solution temperature of ~31-32 °C. Following stabilization of the QCM resonant frequency, the pH of the solution was adjusted stepwise by addition of 1 M NaOH. The actual pH values are indicated on each plot.