		. (a	•
National Library Bibliothèque nationale of Canada du Canada o	CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE	THÈSES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE	•
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • •
			•
			•
NAME OF AUTHOR NOM DE L'AUTEUR KEITH	FREPERICK	AYLOR	
TITLE OF THESIS TITRE DE LA THÈSE THE STRUCT	TURE OF TH	E REGULAR	
<u>REPRESENTA</u>	TION OF A L	OCALLY CONPACT	GROUP.
•			£
UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITE L'NIVERSITY OF	ALBERTA		•
	·/Þ.	n .	
EAR THIS DEGREE CONFERRED ANNÉE D'OBTENTION DE CE GRA	ADE 1976		
Cormission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY of CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or self copi		par la présente; accordée à la U CANADA de microfilmer c	
of the film.		re des exemplaires du film.	eile inese et
he author reserves other publication rights, and neither t	the <i>L'auteur se réserve</i>	les autres droits de publi	cation, ni la
hesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or othe	er- thèse ni de longs ex	raits de celle-ci ne doivent é	tre imprimés
vise reproduced without the author's written permission.	ou autrement reprodu	its sans l'autorisation écrite	de l'auteur.
ATED DATE Dar. 17, 1975 SIGNED/SIGNE	Keith 7. 7 ay	la	
			• • •
ERMANENT ADDRESS/RÉSIDENCE FIXE	1ATH,		
UNIVERSITY	OF ALBERTA	2	
- EPMONTON	ALBIERTA		
		-11 ·	•

INFORMATION TO USERS

ra.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

This copy was produced from a microfiche copy of the original document. The quality of the copy is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has. been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

PLEASE NOTE: Some pages may have indistinct print. Filmed as received. AVIS AUX USAGERS

LA THESE A ETE MICROPILMEE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

Cette copie a été faite à partir d'une microfiche du document original. La qualité de la copie dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise pour le microfimage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

NOTA BENE: La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer. Microfilmée telle que nous l'avons reçue.

Canadian Theses Division Cataloguing Branch National Library of Canada Ottawa, Canada KIA ON4 Division des thèses canadiennes Direction du catalogage Bibliothèque nationale du Canada Ottawa, Canada KIA ON4

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

THE STRUCTURE OF THE REGULAR REPRESENTATION

BY KEITH F. TAYLOR

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH ... IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

MATHEMATICS

IN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

EDMONTON, ALBERTÅ

SPRING, 1976

١

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled THE STRUCTURE OF THE REGULAR REPRESENTATION OF A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP submitted by KEITH F. TAYLOR in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics

Date

(Supervisor)

xternal 🖗 xaminer)

TO JULIA

The dedication of this thesis is small reward

for her patience.

For any locally compact group G, let VN(G) denote the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation of G. We show that, if the finite part of VN(G) is nonzero, then it is isomorphic to VN(G/K), where K is a certain compact normal subgroup of G. Groups for which VN(G) has a nonzero Type I, finite part are characterized. For such groups we show that there is a compact normal subgroup K of G such that the Type I, finite part of VN(G) is isomorphic to VN(G/K).

A B S T**, R A** C T

We also investigate the center, Z, of VN(G). We show that, for [SIN] groups, Z is contained in the von Neumann subalgebra of VN(G) that is generated by the elements of G with relatively compact conjugacy classes. An example is given to show that this is not true, in general, even for the class of unimodular groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

vi

The author wishes to acknowledge the support of the National Research Council of Canada during his years of graduate study.

The author sincerely thanks Dr. A.T. Lau for his

skillful supervision during the preparation of this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS,

.

• .		
		•
CHAPTER		PAGE
, ,		11. Tau
1.	Introduction	ĩ
•	•	
2.	Notation and Preliminaries	4 .
	VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS	4
	LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS	10
	CLASSIFICATION OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS	13
° 3.	Traces, Projections and Subgroups	16
· ` 4.	The Finite Part of VN(G)	24
. , 5.	The Center of VN(G) for [SIN]-Groups	. 29
6.	The Type I, Finite Part of VN(G)	. 39
7.	Summary	. 54
•		
	• * * *	•
· .	***	. 57.
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	
\sim		
a sti		-
		*
7 1 4		•
•	viii	
		

. -

1. Introduction

Let G be a locally compact group and VN(G) the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$. Definitions and the terminologies used in this introduction can be found in Chapter 2.

The structure of VN(G) as a von Neumann algebra is closely tied to the topological group structure of G. A trivial example is that VN(G) is an abelian von Neumann algebra if and only if G is an abelian group. The purpose of what follows is to investigate this connection between the structures of VN(G) and G. Much of what is already known is outlined below.

In 1950, Segal [28] showed that VN(G) is semi-finite if G is a unimodular group. VN(G) is also semi-finite if G is a connected group as wasashown in 1969 by Dixmier [5]. A gap in Dixmier's proof was corrected by Pukanszky [25] in 1972. In [3] (13.10.5), Dixmier proved that VN(G) is a finite von Neumann algebra if and only if G is a [SIN]-group. This result goes back to the work of Godement, in the early 1950's, on the theory of characters of a locally compact group ([10], page 46).

More recently, in [17], Kaniuth gave necessary and sufficient conditions on the structure of a [SIN]-group G, for VN(G) to be Type I or Type II₁. For discrete groups G the conditions for VN(G)to be Type I or Type II₁ were presented in a more elementary fashion by Smith in [30]. This enabled Formanek, in [9], to prove that the Type I part of VN(G) is isomorphic, in a canonical manner, to VN(G/K),

- 1 -

where K is a well defined finite normal subgroup of G. Formanek's work prompted this author to investigate whether or not similar characterizations hold for nondiscrete groups. The results of these investigations constitute this thesis.

In Chapter 4, conditions on G are given for VN(G) to have a nonzero finite part. When nonzero, the finite part of VN(G)is isomorphic to $VN(G/K_{\rm f})$, where $K_{\rm f}$ is a certain compact normal subgroup of G.

The intersection of the Type I part and the finite part of VN(G) is referred to as the Type I, finite part of VN(G). Necessary and sufficient conditions are found on G for VN(G) to have a nonzero Type I, finite part. If G satisfies these conditions, then there exists a compact normal subgroup, $K_{I,f}$, such that the Type I, finite part of VN(G) is isomorphic to $VN(G/K_{I,f})$. The theorem which provides conditions on G, for VN(G) to have a nonzero Type I, finite part is a direct generalization if Kaniuth's theorem in [17] which gives conditions on a [SIN]-group G for VN(G) to be Type II₁. The proof of this generalization, which appears in Chapter 6 is very different and simpler than Kaniuth's proof of the result for [SIN]-groups.

The identification of the Type I, finite part of VN(G)with $VN(G/K_{I,f})$ is a generalization of Formanek's result mentioned , earlier.

On a slightly different theme, the center of VN(G) is investigated in Chapter 5. Results that generalize known results on discrete groups were found for [SIN]-groups. Since these results depend heavily on the finitness of V''(G) when G is a [SIN]-group and find application in the chapter on the Type I, finite part of VN(G), the chapter on the center is wedged between the chapter on the finite part of VN(G) and the one on the Type I, finite part. It is shown by example that the results on the center do not hold in general for non-[SIN]-groups.

Preliminary definitions and necessary facts from the areas of von Neumann algebra theory and abstract harmonic analysis are presented in Chapter 2. Although this makes for tedious reading, it is convenient to have all preliminaries gathered together.

In Chapter 3, several propositions are stated and proven. They provide the tools that will find frequent application in later chapters. In particular, the technique used in associating central projections in VN(G) with compact normal subgroups of G is presented.

Chapters 4, 5, and 6 contain the results discussed above on the finite part, the center and the Type I, finite part. The results on the Type I, finite part can be extended to general representations. This is included in Chapter 6. The final chapter is concluding summary of the techniques and results of this thesis.

2. Solution and Preliminaries

This chapter consists of three sections. The first section deals with general von Neumann algebras and the facts about their classification scheme that will be used later. The second section lists some notation from the theory of harmonic analysis on locally compact groups that will be used frequently. Algo the definition and basic properties of the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation are given.

The third section contains the pertinent definitions involving the topological group properties of locally compact groups. From time to time, in later chapters, these properties will be mentioned as necessary, so they are gathered together here.

Additional details on von Neumann algebras tan be found in Dixmier [4] or Sakai [27]. "Hewitt and Ross [13] is a basic reference for harmonic analysis. Many of the well known results in either area will be wheed without explicit reference.

VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

If *H* is any Hilbert space, let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on *H*. The weak operator topology on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is that topology determined by the family of semi-norms $\left\{P_{F_{i},T_{i}}: F_{i}, n \in H\right\}$, where

 $P_{r,r}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \langle T_{r,r} \rangle$, for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

The words "weak operator topology" will usually be shortened to WOT.

A von Neumann algebra, Ψ_{1} on W_{1} is a Weightened, with adjoint subalgebra of B(W). You beam includebrash ilwish have an identity. Let M^{P} and P^{Q} denote, respectively, the fattice of projections and the group of unitary operators inc Ψ_{12} for P^{Q} denote the set of all elements of B(W) that commute with a Γ the elements of P^{Q} , the so called commutant of Ψ_{12} . The get Ψ_{12} also forms a von Neumann algebra and you beamann's tensors double commutant theorem asserts that $P^{Q} = PW_{12}^{Q}$.

let 7 denote the center of 4. That is,

For each $P = \Psi^{P}$, let c(P) denote the smallest central projection (an element of $Z = \Psi^{P}$) which dominates P. The projection c(P), is called the central support of P Gase Sakai (27], 1.10.6). The classitication scheme for von Neumann algebras involves classiving the projections in these algebras. Two projections $P_{*}O = \Psi^{P}$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a $W = W_{*}^{*}$ such that $W^{*}W = P$ and $WW_{*}^{*} = Q_{*}^{*}$ subprojection is said to be finite if it is equivalent to no proper subprojection.

C If $P = M^P$, then PMP is a von Mefim nu algebra on PH. Notice that if $E = Z = M^P$, then EME $\neq EM$. A projection, $P = M^P$, is said to be abelian if PMP is commutative.

Let E be a central projection in M, then: E Ts said to be Type I if there exists an abelian $P = M^P$ with $E \neq e(P)$, E is said to be semi-finite if there exists a finite $P + M^P$ with $E \equiv e(P)$,

c ·

'E is said to be purely infinite, or Type III, if E dominates

no nonzero finite projection, E is said to be properly infinite if E dominates no nonzero finite central projection,

is said to be Type II if it is semi-finite and dominates no nonzero abelian projection.

E is said to be Type II₁, if it is both Type II and finite, ⁹ E is said to be Type I, finite if it is both Type I and finite.

"The von Neumann algebra M is referred to as being in any of the above classes if its identity element, I, belongs to that class.

For any of the above classes there exists a maximal central projection in that class which dominates all other central projections in that class. For convenience these central profections are denoted as follows:

Let E_I denote the maximal Type I central projection, E_{II} denote the maximal Type II central projection, E_{III} denote the maximal Type III central projection, E_{III} denote the maximal Type II₁ central projection, E_f denote the maximal finite central projection, E_s denote the maximal semi-finite central projection, $E_{I,f}$ denote the maximal Type I, finite central projection.

 $E_{I}E_{II} = E_{I}E_{III} = E_{II}E_{III} = 0,$

 $I = E_{I} + E_{II} + E_{III},$

The following relations hold,

$$E_{s} = E_{I} + E_{II} ,$$

$$E_{f} \leq E_{s} ,$$

$$E_{I,f} = E_{I}E_{f} ,$$

$$E_{II_{1}} = E_{II}E_{f} ,$$

$$E_{f} = E_{I,f} + E_{II_{1}} .$$

7.

The equation, $I = E_I + E_{II} + E_{III}$, decomposes M as the direct sum. $M = E_I^M \oplus E_{III}^M \oplus E_{III}^M$, of von Neumann algebras of Types I, II and III respectively. Similarily,

$$M = E_f M \oplus (I - E_f) M,$$

is a decomposition of M into a finite von Neumann algebra and a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Throughout the later chapters $E_{f}M$ will be known as the finite part of M and similarly for the \checkmark other distinguished central projections of M.

The Type I, finite part of M can be further decomposed in a useful manner.

For each positive integer n, a von Neumann algebra N is said to be Type I_n if there exists a family of n mutually orthogonal, equivalent, abelian projections which sum as the identity in N. This is equivalent to N being isomorphic to the n × n-matrices over its center (Sakai [27], 2.3.3).

In M, there exists a maximal central projection E_n of Type I_n (that is, E_n M is Type I_n), for each positive integer n. The elements of { E_n : n = 1,2,...} are mutually orthogonal and

 $E_{I,f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$

0

2.1. Remark. If M is not of Type $I_{\leq n}$, then there exists a copy of \mathfrak{C}_{n+1} (the (n+1) (n+1)-complex matrices) in M.

8.

To see this, note that if M is not of Type $I_{\leq n}$, then there exists a set of (n+1) mutually orthogonal equivalent projections in M. As in Lemma 9.3 of Smith [29], a copy of c_{n+1} can then be constructed in M.

For any natural number k, let P_k denote the standard polynomial in k non-commuting variables

 $^{\circ} \qquad P_{k}(a_{1},a_{2},\cdots, a_{\sigma}) = \sum_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma} a_{\sigma(1)}a_{\sigma(2)}\cdots a_{\sigma(k)},$

if said to be Type I if $I = \sum_{k=1}^{n} E_{k}$.

where the sum is over all permutations σ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and $(-1)^{\sigma}$ denotes the signature of the permutation.

2.2. Remark. (Amitsur and Levitski [1]). For any commutative algebra R, let R_m denote the algebra of $m \times m$ -matrices over R. Then R_m satisfies P_{2n} (that is, P_{2n} is identically zero on R_m) if and only if $m \le n$.

2.3. Proposition. Let M be a non Neumann algebra and n a natural number. Then M satisfies P_{2n} if and only if M is of Type $I_{\leq n}$.

<u>Proof</u>: If M satisfies P_{2n} , then Remarks 2./1 and 2.2 imply that M is of Type I_{<n}.

Conversely, if M is of Type $I_{\leq n}$, then M can be written as the direct sum of algebras M_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$; where each M_k is of Type I_k . By Remark 2.2, each M_k satisfies P_{2n} . Therefore M satisfies

P_{2n}

2.4. Proposition. Let N be a von Neumann subalgebra of M having the same identity element. If M has a nonvero Type I, finite part, then so does N.

<u>Proof</u>: Let E_n be a nonzero Type I_n central projection in M. The two-sided ideal of N given by $\{T \in N: TE_n = 0\}$ is of the form FN for some projection F, central in N. The projection I-F is nonzero and (I-F)N is isomorphic to E_nN . Therefore (I-F)N satisfies P_{2n} and by Proposition 2.3 is a Type $I_{\leq n}$ von Neumann algebra. Hence N has a nonzero Type I, finite part.

Proposition 2.4 is essentially Lemma 1 of Kaplansky [19].

If M is any von Neumann algebra, then M has a unique predual. That is, there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, Banach space, M_{\star} , such that $M = (M_{\star})^{\star}$. The topology of the duality, (M, M_{\star}) , is referred to as the σ -topology on M.

An element ϕ in M_{\star} is said to be positive if,

 $(\phi, T^*T) \ge 0$, for all $T \in M$.

If ϕ is positive, then $||\phi|| = (\phi, I)$. To each positive ϕ in M_{\star} , there corresponds a projection, $S(\phi)$, in M^{P} , called the support of ϕ in M, such that for any $P \in M^{P}$,

 $(\phi, P) = 0$ if $S(\phi)P = 0$,

$$(\phi, P) > 0$$
 if $0 \neq P \leq S(\phi)$.

Furthermore, for all $T \in M$,

$$^{\circ} (\phi,T) = (\phi,S(\phi)T) = (\phi,TS(\phi)).$$

See Sakai [27], page 31 for the proofs of these facts.

A positive $\phi \in M_*$ is called a finite σ -continuous trace or simply a trace on M if,

10.

$$(\phi, I) = ||\phi|| = 1,$$

and

dual of C. 6.

$$(\phi, U^*TU) = (\phi, T)$$
, for all $T \in M$, $U \in M^{U}$.

Note that, if ϕ is a trace, then S(ϕ) is a central projection.

The maximal finite central projection, E_{f} , in M can be characterized as,

 $E_f = 1.u.b. \{S(\phi): \phi \text{ is a trace on } M\}.$

This concludes the listing of basic facts on von Neumann

LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

Let G denote a locally compact group. Let CB(G) denote the Banach space of bounded continuous complex valued functions on G with the supremum norm. Let $C_O(G)$ and $C_{OO}(G)$ denote the subspaces of CB(G) consisting of functions that vanish at infinity and with compact support, respectively.

Let M(G) denote the Banach algebra of bounded, regular, Borel measures on G with convolution as multiplication and total variation as norm. As a Banach space M(G) is identified with the Let the left invariant Haar integral on $C_{00}^{\bullet}(G)$ be denoted

by .

$$\int_{G} f(x) dx \text{ or } \int f(x) dx, \quad \text{for all } f \in C_{OO}(G).$$

Left invariance means,

$$\int f(yx) dx = \int f(x) dx, \text{ for all } y \in G, f \in C_{00}(G).$$

If A is a Haar measurable subset of G, then the Haar measure of A is denoted |A|.

For $1 \le p \le \infty$, let $L^p(G)$ denote the usual spaces, where G is equipped with left Haar measure. Under the inner product, $\langle f | g \rangle = \int f(x) \overline{g}(x) dx$, the space $L^2(G)$ is a Hilbert space, For any complex valued functions f and g on G and any x \in G, the following notation is used.

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee_{f(x)} = f(x^{-1}) \\ & \widetilde{f}(x) = \widetilde{f}(x^{-1}) \\ & & \ddots_{x} f(y) = f(x^{-1}y)^{\circ}, \text{ for all } y \in G, \\ & & r_{x} f(y) = f(yx) , \text{ for all } y \in G. \\ & & f^{*}g(x) = \int f(y)g(y^{-1}x) dy, \text{ whenever the right side exists.} \end{aligned}$$

Notice, that if $f,g \in L^2(G)$ and $x \in G$, then $(f^*\widetilde{g})^{\vee}(x) = \langle \ell_x f | g \rangle$ and $(f^*\widetilde{g})^{\vee} \in C_0(G)$.

Figually $\{\ell_x : x \in G\}$ will be considered as a set of operators on $L^2(G)$, in which case they are all unitary operators with $\ell_x = \ell_{x^{-1}}$. In fact, the map $x \neq \ell_x$ is a continuous unitary representation of G on $L^2(G)$, where continuity is with respect to the weak operator topology. The symbol, ℓ , will also be used for the induced representa-

tion of the algebra M(G) in $B(L^2(G))$. For $\mu \in M(G)$, the operator $\ell(\mu)$ is such that

 =
$$\int \int_{x}^{l} f(y) \overline{g}(y) \, dy \, d\mu(x)$$
= , for all f, g < L²(G)

Hence, $\ell(\mu)$ is the operator of left convolution by μ on $L^2(G)$. If $f \in L^1(G)$, then $\ell(\hat{f})$ is left convolution by f on $L^2(G)$.

Let VN(G) denote the von Neumann algebra generated by $\{\ell_x : x \in G\}$ in $B(L^2(G))$. Then,

₹ł

$$VN(G) = WOT-c1 \ell(M(G)) = WOT-c1 \ell(L^{1}(G)).$$

Also, if $\{r : x \in G\}$ is considered a set of elements of $B(L^2(G))$, then $VN(G) = \{r_x : x \in G\}$.

In [8], P. Eymard shows that VN(G) may be identified with the dual of the Fourier algebra, A(G), of G. The Fourier algebra may be described as the subspace of $C_0(G)$ consisting of all functions of the form $(f^*\tilde{g})^V$, for f,g $\in L^2(G)$. Each T $\in VN(G)$ acts on A(G) as follows, for $\phi = (f^*\tilde{g})^V \in A(G)$

 $(\uparrow,T) = \langle Tf | g \rangle$.

In [8], Eymard defines a norm on A(G) such that A(G) is a Banach space and the above action of VN(G) on A(G) identifies VN(G)with the dual of A(G). Here, the norm on A(G) will be calculated by means of this duality.

An element \Rightarrow of $\Lambda(G)$ will be considered either as a continuous function on G or as a σ -continuous linear functional on VN(G), whichever is convenient. For instance,

 $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = (\phi, \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{G}.$

Note that, since the set of maps $T \to \langle Tf | g \rangle$, for f and g in $L^2(G)_{A}$, are exactly all the z-continuous linear functionals on VN(G), the weak operator topology and the z-topology on VN(G) coincide. Let $P_1(G)$ denote the set of $\phi \in A(G)$ that are positive as linear functionals on VN(G) with $|| \phi || = 1$. Then,

$$P_1(G) = \{ (f \star \tilde{f})^{\vee} : ||f||_2 = 1 \}.$$

Let $T_1(G)$ denote the set of all traces on VN(G). Then,

 $T_{1}(G) = \{ \phi \in P_{1}(G) : (\phi, U \star TU) = (\phi, T), \text{ for all } T \in VN(G), U \in VN(G)^{u} \}.$

The set $P_1'(G)$ is a semi-group of continuous functions on G under pointwise multiplication, It will be seen later that $T_1(G)$ is a subsemi-group of $P_1(G)$.

CLASSIFICATION OF LOCALLY COMPACT GROUPS

There are many different classes of groups that will be mentioned in later chapters. For the convenience of the reader, their definitions are gathered together here.

There are, naturally, the classes of discrete groups, abelian groups or compact groups, whose definitions are obvious. Let G be a locally compact group. A set V, in G, is said to be invariant if $xVx^{-1} = V$, for all $x \in G$. If there exists a compact invariant neighbourhood of the

identity in G, then G is said to be an [IN]-group.

()

If there exists a basic neighbourhood system of the identity consisting of invariant sets, then -G - is a [SIN]-group.

Discrete, abelian or compact groups are [SIN]-groups and all [SIN]-groups are [IN]-groups.

If the left Haar measure on G is also right invariant, then G is said to be unimodular. As was pointed out in Grosser and Moskowitz [12], 2.4, any [IN]-group is unimodular.

For any $x \in G$, let $\mathcal{O}_{x} = \{yxy^{-1}: y \in G\}$. Let \mathcal{G}_{FC}^{-} denote the normal subgroup of G consisting of all x such that \mathcal{O}_{x}^{-} is relatively compact. It is shown by example in Tits [34] that \mathcal{G}_{FC}^{-} is not necessarily a closed subgroup of G. If $G = \mathcal{G}_{FC}^{-}$, then G is said to be a [FC]-group.

Let G' denote the subgroup generated by $\{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}: x, y \in G\}$. Then $\overline{G'}$ is known as the topological commutator subgroup of G. If $\overline{G'}$ is compact, then G is said to be a $[FD^-]$ -group.

A representation π of G on a Hilbert space H_{π} means a homomorphism of G into the group of unitary operators on H_{π} that is continuous with respect to the weak operator topology. A representation - is said to be irreducible if the only subspaces of H_{π} invariant under -(G) are (O) and H_{π} . It is said to be finite dimensional if H_{π} is.

If G has sufficiently many finite dimensional representations to separate points, then G is said to be maximally almost periodic or a [MAP]-group.

If every irreducible representation is finite dimensional, then G is said to be a [Type I, finite]-group. This class of groups have sometimes been referred to as [MOORE]-groups. Since every locally compact group has sufficiently many irreducible representations to separate points, any [Type 1, finite]-group is a [MAP]-group.

. • •

.

٠.

For additional information on these elasses of groups and their inter-relations see Grosser and Moskowitz [12], Robertson [26] and Moore [21].

-

3. Traces, Projections and Subgroups

In this chapter, the structure of the traces on VV(G) is analyzed to the extent that is necessary for applications later. An order preserving coorespondence is developed between the nonzero central projections in VN(G) and the compact normal subgroups of G. This correspondence will aid in showing that certain special central projections in VN(G) are canonically associated with certain well described compact normal subgroups. A first application of this technique is provided in the relatively easy case of the maximal abelian central projection in VN(G).

The traces on VN(G) can be identified in terms of their behavior as functions on G.

3.1. Proposition. Let : $\in P_1(G)$. Then $f \in T_1(G)$ if and only if $f(x) = f(yxy^{-1})$, for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof: If : $T_1(G)$, then for any x and y in G the tracial property of : implies (:, yxy) = (:, x). Since $yxy = yyy^{-1}$ and (:, x) = :(x), therefore $:(x) = :(yxy^{-1})$.

Conversely, if $(x) = (yxy^{-1})$, then $(z, z_x^2) = (z, z_y^2)$. for all x,y < G. Therefore, (z, AB) = (z, BA) for all A and B in the linear span of $\{z_x: x \in G\}$. Hence, (z, AT) = (z, TA) and (z, ST) = (z, TS), for all S and T in VV(G), by the ultraweak continuity of multiplication in VN(G).

<u>Corollary</u>. $T_1(G)$ is a subservice put of $P_1(G)$.

0

As a result of the above proposition, [SIN] groups are that used by any abundance of the set $T_{\frac{1}{2}}(G)$.

(1). Proposition. (3) is a constraint of the structure system where \mathbf{G} is a $[(\mathbf{G}^{*})]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a constraint of the structure \mathbf{G} is a $[(\mathbf{G}^{*})]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $[(\mathbf{G}^{*})]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is a $[(\mathbf{G}^{*})]_{\mathcal{G}}^{$

Proget: Let *G* be a neithbourhood avstem of *e* consisting of compact invariant sets. For each $V \in U$ let $f_V \in L^2(G)$ be defined by $f_V(x) \leq 1/|V|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $x \in V$ and 0 otherwise, where |V| denotes the Hair measure of *V*. Note that *G* must be unimodular. Then $f_V = (f_V * \tilde{f}_V)^{\vee}$ is in $f_1(G)$, since *V* is invariant. If $V \in U$ and $x \in G$ is such that $x \neq V^{-1}V$, then $f_V(x) = 0$. Since $f_V(e) = 1$, then $f_V(x) \neq f_V(e)$. Conversely, for each $f \in T_1(G)$ and each natural number $n \geq 2$, let $V_{1,n} = (x \in G_{1,1}^{\perp}(x) - 1) + 1/n^3$. Then each $V_{1,n}$ is a compact invariant neighbourhood of *e* and $fe_T = f(V_{1,n}^{\perp}; f \in T_1(G)$ and $n = 2, 3, \dots$. A simple compactness argument shows that the collection of all finite intersections of such sets $V_{2,n}$ forms a basic neighbourhood system of *e*, consisting of invariant sets.

It is clear from the above proof that the following characterization of [Di]-groups also holds.

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3 \cdot 3}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$

 $\frac{3+4}{2} = \frac{Proposition}{2}, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{ord} \quad f \quad \text{is } L^2(G) \quad \text{for even size}$ $f = \left(f \in \widetilde{f}\right)^2, \quad \text{for even } f \in S(f) \quad \text{for even } f \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \text{ for even } f \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

Proof: Let P denote the projection onto the closed linear span of $* \operatorname{sr}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$: $\mathbf{x} \in G^{1}$. Since $(\cdot, S(\cdot)) = (\cdot, 1)$, it is clear that $-S(\cdot)^{+}^{+1} + \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^{+}^{+1}$. Therefore, $S(\cdot)^{+}_{-1}$ is for each \mathbf{x} in G, the operator $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{W}(G)^{+}_{-}$. Therefore, $S(\cdot)^{+}\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}^{+} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}^{-}S(\cdot)^{+}_{-} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{x}}^{+}$. Hence, $S(\cdot) = P$. Conversely, since $(\cdot, P) = P\mathbf{i}^{+}\mathbf{f} + \cdots + \mathbf{f}^{+}\mathbf{f} + \cdots + \mathbf{f}^{+}\mathbf{f}$, it is clear that $-S(\cdot) = P$.

3.5. Remark. If $(f + T_1(G))$, then S(f) must be a central projection. Suppose $(f + \tilde{f})^{\vee}$ for $f - L^2(G)$, let P_1^{\vee} denote the projection onto the closed linear span $(f_x f)^{\vee} f = L^2(G)$. Then $P_1^{\vee} + VN(G)^{\vee}$ and S(f) is the central support of P_1^{\vee} . Hence, $P_1^{\vee}VN(G)$ is isomorphic to S(f)VV(G). See Dixmier [4], (I 1.4 and I 2.1).

If H and K are Hilbert spaces, let $H \otimes K$ denote their Hilbert space tensor product (see Dixmier [4] I 2.3). Suppose M and N are von Neumann algebras on H and K, respectively. The tensor product of M and N is the von Neumann algebra, denoted $M \otimes N$, on $H \otimes K$ that is generated by $\{S \otimes T: S \in M\}$ and $T \in N\}$.

It will be necessary to have the following relation between the support projections of two elements of $T_1(G)$ and the support projection of their pointwise product.

<u>3.6. Proposition</u>. Let t and ψ be in $T_1(G)$, and let $S(\varphi \psi)$ be the support of their product is. Then $S(\varphi \psi)VV(G)$ is isomorphically contained in $S(\varphi)VV(G) \otimes S(\varphi)VV(G)$.

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose $h \in L^2(G)$ is such that $(; \cdot)(x) = (h * \tilde{h})^V(x) = \langle \hat{\iota}_x h | h \rangle$ for all $x \in G$. Then, in the notation of Remark 3.5, the representation $x \to \hat{\iota}_x P'$ of G on the range of $P'_{\hat{\iota}_x}$ is a cyclic representation of G with cyclic vector h. The von Neumann algebra generated by this representation is P_{1}^{*} (N_{1}^{*}).

Let t and g in $L^{2}(G)$ be such that $(-t, \star, t)^{*}$ and $(g, \star, g)^{*}$. Then $((,,)(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x), (x) = \frac{1}{2}(x)$ be such that $(-t, \star, g)^{*}$. The feature, $((,,)(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x)(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(t)$.

Let X denote the closed subspace $pt = S(p)L^{2}(G) \otimes S(p)L^{2}(G)$ generated by $\{\psi_{X}S(p)\} \otimes (\psi_{X}S(p)\}(1 \otimes p); X \in G\}$ and let P_{X} be the projection of $S(p)L^{2}(G) \otimes S(p)L^{2}(G)$ onto X. Let \mathcal{X} denote the von Semmann subalgebra of $S(p)P_{X}(G) \otimes S(p)P_{X}(G)$ generated by $\{\psi_{X}S(p)\} \otimes \{\psi_{X}S(p)\}; X = G\}$. Then $P_{X} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$. The von Semmann algebra generated by $\{\psi_{X}S(p)\}; X = G\}$. Then $P_{X} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$. The von Semmann algebra generated by $\{\psi_{X}S(p)\}; X = G\}$. Then $P_{X} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$. The von Semmann algebra generated by $\{\psi_{X}S(p)\}; X = G\}$. Then $P_{X} \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$, $P_{X}\mathcal{X}^{*}$. By Dixmier [3], 13.4.5(iii), the von Semmann algebra $P_{Y}^{*}P_{X}\mathcal{X}^{*}$. By isomorphic to $P_{X}\mathcal{X}^{*}$ which is isomorphic to $E\mathcal{X}^{*}$, where \mathbb{R} is the central support of P_{X} . Therefore $E\mathcal{X}^{*}$ is an isomorphic image of $S(p)P_{X}(G)$, contained in $S(p)P_{X}(G) \otimes S(p)P_{X}(G)$.

For each $P \in VN(G)^{P}$, let $N_{P} = \{x \in G: \{x, y, z, P\}$. It is routine to show that N_{P} is a closed subgroup of G, which is normal if P is central.

3.7. Romark. For $: P_1(G)$, let $x \in N_{S(:)}$. Then,

$$(x) = (x, x) = (x, x, x) = (x, x) = (x, x) = (x, x) = 1.$$

Conversely, if :(x) = 1, then $\lim_{x} f(f) = 1 = \int_{2}^{2} \frac{2}{2}$, where $f \in L^{2}(G)$ is such that $:=(f * \tilde{f})^{\vee}$. By the Cauchy-Schwartz theorem,

$$f = \frac{\frac{\lambda}{x}f + f}{\frac{f}{f} + \frac{f}{f}} f = f.$$

Hence, $r_{x,y} = r_{y,x} = r_{y} f$, for all $y \in G$. By Proposition 3.4, it is clear that $r_{x}S(:) = S(:)$. Therefore, $N_{S}(:) = fx \in G$: (x) = 1. •Compare with Hewitt and Ross [13], 32.6. 3.8. Proposition. Let G be any locally compact group. The map

that takes a projection P in VN(G) to the subgroup N_{p} of G has the following properties:

(i) if $P \neq 0$, then N_p is compact, (ii) if $P_1 \leq P_2$, then $N_{P_2} \leq N_{P_1}$,

(iii) if E is a non-empty family of projections in VN(G) and P = 1.u.b. E, then $N_P = n\{N_Q: Q \in E\}$.

<u>Proof</u>: Part (ii) is clear. To see part (i) let $f \in L^2(G)$, such that Pf. = f and $||f||_2 = 1$. If $\phi = (f * \tilde{f})^V$, then $\phi \in P_1(G)$ and by Proposition 3.4, $S(\phi) \leq P$. From (ii), it follows that $N_{S(\phi)} \supseteq N_P$. By Remark 3.7, $N_{S(\phi)}$ is compact, which implies that N_P is compact. In part (iii) it follows for (ii) that $N_P \subseteq \cap \{N_Q; Q \in E\}$. Conversely, suppose $x \in N_Q$, for each $Q \in E$. Then $\ell_x f = f$, for every f in the range of Q and for each $Q \in E$. Therefore, $\ell_x f = f$, for every f in the closed linear span of the union of the ranges of the projections in E. But that closed linear span is the range of P. Therefore,

For each compact normal subgroup K of G, let μ_{K} denote the regular Borel measure on G which, when restricted to K, is normalized Haar measure on K and such that $\mu_{K}(G \sim K) = 0$. Then μ_{K} is a central idempotent in M(G) and $\ell(\mu_{K})$ is a nonzero central projection in VN(G). Let $E_{K} = \ell(\mu_{K})$.

 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{P}}$

, The following Proposition is analagous to Proposition 2.9 of Ernest [7].

<u>3.9. Proposition</u>. Let K be a compact normal subgroup of G. Then $E_{K}VN(G)$ is isomorphic to VN(G/K).

21.

<u>Proof</u>: Following Eymard [8] (3.23), let j denote the isomorphism of $L^{2}(G/K)$ into $L^{2}(G)$ given by

$$jh(x) = h(xK)$$
, for each $h \in L^2(G/K)$ and $x \in G$.

If the Haar measure on $L^2(G/K)$ is properly scaled, then j is a Hilbert space isomorphism of $L^2(G/K)$ onto $E_K L^2(G)$. For each T in VN(G), define ϕT on $L^2(G/K)$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}T(\tilde{h}) = j^{-1} \circ T \circ j(h)$$
, for each $h \in L^2(G/K)$

This is well defined since $E_K^T = TE_K$, for all $T \in VN(G)$. Eymard shows that Φ is a von Neumann algebra homomorphism of VN(G) onto VN(G/K). It is clear that the kernel of Φ is $(I-E_K)VN(G)$. Hence Φ , restricted to $E_K^VN(G)$, is an isomorphism.

3.10. Proposition. Let G be a locally compact group, K a compact normal subgroup of G, and E a nonzero central projection in VN(G).

Then

(i)
$$K = N_{E_{K}}$$

(ii) $E \leq E_{N_{E}}$

<u>Proof</u>: If $\circ K$ is a compact normal subgroup of G, then it is clear that $K \subseteq N_{E_{K}}$. Conversely, suppose $x \in N_{E_{K}}$, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{j}\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{j}\mathbf{h}$$
, for each $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{K})$.

This implies that $\mathbf{x}\in\mathsf{K}.$ Thus (i) holds.

To see that (if) holds, let $f \in EL^2(G)$ and let $\phi = (f * \tilde{f})^{\vee}$. If $x \in N_E$, 'then $\lambda_x f = f$ and therefore $\phi(xy) = \phi(y)$, for any $y \in G$. Hence ϕ is constant on cosets of N_E . Therefore, by Eymard [8] (3.25), there exists $f_1 \in E_{N_E} L^2(G)$ such that $\phi = (f_1 * \tilde{f}_1)^{\vee}$. Therefore $S(\phi) \leq E_{N_E}$, which implies that $f \in E_{N_E} L^2(G)$, by Proposition 3.4. Since f is arbitrary, $E \leq E_{N_E}$.

In several of the main theorems that follow the proofs center around showing that for the special central projection under consideration equality actually holds in Proposition 3.10(ii). As an illustration of this technique, a simple case will be presented immediately. Let E_1° denote the maximal Type I₁ central projection in VN(G) (that is, the maximal abelian central projection). Recall that, if N is any closed normal subgroup of G, then G/N is abelian if and only if $\overline{G'} \leq N$.

3.11. Theorem. (a) $E_1 \neq 0$ if and only if G is an [FD]-group. (b) If $E_1 \neq 0$, then $E_1 = E_{\overline{G}}$. Therefore $E_1VN(G)$ is isomorphic to $VN(G/\overline{G})$.

<u>Proof</u>: If $E_1 \neq 0$, then N_{E_1} is a compact normal subgroup of G by Proposition 3.8. Also N_{E_1} is the kernel of the homomorphism $x \neq \ell_x E_1$ of G into the abelian group $(E_1 VN(G))^u$. Hence G/N_{E_1} is abelian, which implies that $\overline{G'} \subseteq N_{E_1}$. Therefore, $\overline{G'}$ is compact. Furthermore,

 $E_{1} \leq E_{N_{E_{1}}} \leq E_{\overline{G^{*}}} \cdot Conversely, if \overline{G^{*}} is compact, then E_{\overline{G^{*}}}VN(G) is isomorphic to <math>VN(G/\overline{G^{*}})$ and so must be abelian since $G/\overline{G^{*}}$ is. Therefore, $0 \neq E_{\overline{G^{*}}} \leq E_{1}$. This proves both parts (a) and (b).

The results which have been proven in this chapter are heavily dependent on the work of Eymard in [8]. These propositions find frequent applications in later chapters so the importance of Eymard's work is emphasized.

4. The Finite Part of VN(G)

Let E denote the maximal finite central projection in VN(G). The properties of the finite part of VN(G) when it is nonzero, are such that there exists a compact normal subgroup K_f with $E_f = \ell(\mu_{K_f})$. This result will be established together with necessary and sufficient conditions on G_{c} for VN(G) to have a nonzero finite part. It is well known that VN(G) is finite if and only if. G is a [SIN]-group (see Dixmier [3], 13.10.5; actually the result goes back to Theorem 6 of Godement [10]). Asshort proof is provided here. 4.1. Proposition. Let G be a locally compact group. Then VN(G) is a finite von Neugarn algebra if and only if G is a [SIN]-group. <u>Proof</u>: If $E_f = I$, then $I = 1.u.b.\{S(\phi): \phi \in T_1(G)\}$. Therefore $\{e\} = N_{I} = \cap \{N_{S(\phi)}: \phi \in T_{I}(G)\}$ by Proposition 3.8(iii). Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.7 imply that G is a [SIN]-group. Conversely, if U is a basic neighbourhood system of e consisting of compact invariant sets, then for each V ϵ U let $f_V = \chi_V / |V|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, $\phi_V = (f_V * \tilde{f}_V)^{\vee} \in T_1(G)$. Therefore $S(\phi_V) \leq E_f$. Suppose $g \in (I-E_f)L^2(G)$, then $\ell_x g$ is in. $(I-E_f)L^2(G)$ for each $x \in G$. Therefore, for each $V \in U$, since $S(\phi_V)g = 0$, it is clear that $\langle \ell_x g, f_V \rangle = 0$, for every $x \in G$. Hence $g \star \tilde{f}_{V} = 0$. But $\tilde{f}_{V} = f_{V}$ and $\{f_{V} / |V|^{\frac{1}{2}} : V \in U\}$ forms an approximate identity when acting on $L^2(G)$ by convolution. Therefore g = 0, which implies that $E_{r} = I$.

Recall that G_{FC}^- is the normal subgroup of G consisting

of elements with relatively compact conjugacy classes. It is clear that G_{FC}^- is an open subgroup if G is an [IN]-group. That openness of G_{FC}^- implies that G is an [IN]-group and VN(G) has a nonzero finite part is less obvious.

4.2. Proposition. Let G be a locally compact group, The following are equivalent:

(i) VN(G) is not properly infinite,
(ii) G is an [IN]-group,
(iii) G_{FC}- is an open subgroup of G.

<u>Proof</u>: The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is due to Wu and Yu [38], (Theorem 1).

To see that (i) is equivalent to (ii), note that $T_1(G) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if VN(G) is not properly infinite, then apply Proposition 3.3.

<u>4.3.</u> Theorem. Let G be an [IN]-group. There exists a compact normal subgroup K_f such that the finite part of VN(C) is isomorphic

to VN(G/K_f).

<u>Proof</u>: Let $K_f = N_E$. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.10(ii), it suffices to show that $E_{K_f} \leq E_f$.

Since $E_f = 1.u.b.{S(\phi): \phi \in T_1(G)}$, by Proposition 3.8(iii),

$$K_{f} = \cap \{N_{S(\phi)}: \phi \in T_{1}(G)\}.$$

Each ϕ in $T_1(G)$ is constant on cosets of K_f , so by (3.25) of Eymard [8], each such ϕ can be considered as an element of $T_1(G/K_f)$.

Therefore, the identity element of G/K_{f} is the only element of ${}^{\{N\}}S(\phi)$: $\phi \in T_{1}(G/K_{f})$. By Proposition 3.2 and Remark 3.7, the locally compact group G/K_{f} is a [SIN]-group. Proposition 4.1 implies that $VN(G/K_{f})$ is a finite von Neumann algebra. Therefore, $E_{K_{f}}$ is a finite projection. So $E_{K_{f}} \leq E_{f}$.

.26.

<u>4.4. Remark</u>. In 1951, Iwasawa [14] proved that if G is an [IN]-group, then there exists a unique minimal compact normal subgroup K of G, such that G/K is a [SIN]-group. Clearly this subgroup K is exactly K_{f} .

To illustrate Theorem 4.3, an example of a non-[SIN]-group which is an [IN]-group will be given together with the resulting decomposition of VN(G). This example is related to an example in Hewitt and Ross [13] (7.19(b)).

Example. For each integer n let $D_n = \{-1,1\}$, the two element group. Let D be the direct product group with the product topology, $D = \prod_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} D_n$.

For $x = (x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in D, let $\alpha x \in D$ be such that

$$(\alpha x)_n = x_{n+1},$$
 for all n.

Then α is an automorphism of D and its powers give an action of the integers Z on D. Let G be the semi-direct product,

$$G = D \otimes_{\alpha} Z$$

That is, G is the topological space $D \times Z$ with multiplication

for all $x, y \in D$, $n, m \in Z$.

It is clear that $D \times \{0\}$ is an open, compact invariant neighbourhood of the identity. In fact,

$$G/(D \times \{0\}) = Z$$
.

By Remark 4.4, the subgroup K_f , given by Theorem 4.3, must be contained in $D \times \{0\}$. If K_f is smaller than $D \times \{0\}$, then there exists a $\phi \in T_1(G)$ such that, $D \times \{0\} \neq N_{S(\phi)}$. Therefore, there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, $D \times \{0\} \neq V$, where $V = \{(x,n) \in G:$ $|\phi(x,n) - 1| < \varepsilon\}$. Then $(D \times \{0\}) \cap V$ is an invariant neighbourhood of the identity in G which is properly contained in $D \times \{0\}$. This will be shown to be impossible. Let $U = (D \times \{0\}) \cap V$.

Consider U as a subset of D and for each n, let U n be the projection of U onto D.

$$U_{n} = \{x_{n}: x \in U\}$$

Since U is a neighbourhood of the identity in D, with the product topology, there exists as positive integer n_0 , such that, $U_n = D_n$, for all $|n| \ge n_0$.

Calculating, $(x,n)^{-1}(y,0)(x,n)$ for $(x,n), (y,0) \in G$, yields

$$(x,n)^{-1}(y,0)(x,n) = (\alpha^{-n}x^{-1},-n)(y,0)(x,n)$$
$$= (\alpha^{-n}x^{-1}\alpha^{-n}y,-n)(x,n) = (\alpha^{-n}y,0)(x,n)$$

fore,

$$((x,n)^{-1} U(x,n))_m = U_{m-n}$$
; for all m.

Since U is invariant, this implies that,

$$U_k = U_j$$
, for all integers k and j.

Therefore, $U_n = D_n$, for all n. Hence U = D; which is a contradiction. Therefore $K_f = D \times \{0\}$ and $G/K_f = Z$.

Ø

28.

It follows from classical harmonic analysis results that $VN(Z) = L^{\infty}(T)$, where T is the circle group. Thus Theorem 4.3 yields the decomposition of VN(G) as

 $L^{\infty}(T) \oplus (I-E_{f})VN(G),$

where $(I-E_f)VN(G)$ is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra.
5. The Center of VN(G) for [S1N]-Groups

Let G be a [SIN]-group and let Z denote the center of VN(G). The fact that VN(G) is finite exactly when G is a [SIN]-group can be used to obtain some knowledge of Z.

If H is a subgroup of G, then let VN(H,G) denote the von Neumann subalgebra of VN(G) generated by $\{\ell_x : x \in H\}$. The minimal subgroup H such that $Z \subseteq VN(H,G)$ will be determined in Theorem 5.3. For discrete groups G, the center of VN(G) is contained in $VN(G_{FC}^{-}, G)$. This follows from representing VN(G) as a subset of $\ell^2(G)$, as in Murray and von Neumann [23], section 5. Theorem 5.3 generalizes this result.

A result closely related to the above mentioned theorem is that the center of M(G), when embedded in VN(G) is WOT-dense in Z. An example will be given to show that neither this nor Theorem 5.3 is true in general for non-[SIN]-groups.

For a subset A of a linear space, let co A denote the convex hull of A. ^o For each $T \in VN(G)$, let ^o $K(T) = WOT-cl-co \{U*TU: U \in VN(G)^{u}\}$.

Since VN(G) is a finite von Neumann algebra, there exists a linear map h: VN(G) onto Z, which takes $T \rightarrow T^{h}$, with the following properties,

i) $T \ge 0$ implies $T^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 0$, ii) $T^{\frac{1}{2}} \in K(T) \cap Z$, in fact $K(T) \cap Z$ is a singleton,

- (UATU) $\frac{h}{1} = T \frac{h}{T}$, for all $U \in VN(G)^{U}$,
- iv) μ is WOT-WOT-continuous on VN(G).

This map is known as the center valued trace. Additional details and properties can be found in Sakai [27] (2.4). Note that (ii) implies $c^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c$, for all $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is necessary to introduce another concept whose relation to the center of VN(G) is not immediately obvious. For $f \in CB(G)$, let $Of = \{\ell_x f : x \in G\}$, then f is said to be almost periodic if Ofis relatively compact in CB(G). Let AP(G) denote the closed subspace of CB(G) consisting of almost periodic functions. It has been a long established fact (see von Neumann [36]) that there exists a unique invariant mean on AP(G). That is, there exists $m \in AP(G)^*$ with the following properties,

(a) m = 0, ||m|| = 1, (b) $m(f) = m(l_x f) = m(r_x f)$, for all $f \in AP(G)$, $x \in G$.

For each $x \in G$, let \mathcal{S}_x be point evaluation as an element of $CB(G)^*$, $C_O(G)^*$ or $AP(G)^*$. Then the convex hull of the set $x: x \in G$ is w*-dense in the set of positive, norm 1 functionals on CB(G), $C_O(G)$, or AP(G). Therefore, there exists a net

$$\left\{ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \delta_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{\alpha} \delta_{i}} \right\} \leq \cos\{\delta_{x} \colon x \in G$$

which converges w* to m in AP(G)*.

All of the above preliminaries are necessary for the proof of the following proposition from which the desired results on the center of VN(G) follow easily.



5.1. Proposition. Let G be a [SIN]-proop. Then $T \in VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$ in the transformation $T^{\frac{1}{2}} \in VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$.

Proof: Since h is linear and WOT-continuous, it suffices to show that $\sqrt[p]{\frac{h}{x}}$. $VN(G_{FC}^{-1},G)$, for each $x \in G_{FC}^{-1}$. This will be accomplished by modifying a technique used in Sakai [27], page 210.

For each f and g in $L^2(G)$ and $y \neq G$, let

 $F_{f,g,y}(a) = \frac{1}{a^{-1}ya} \frac{f^{+}g}{f^{+}g}, \text{ for all } a \in G.$

It is easy to see that $F_{f,g,y} \in CB(G)$ and the following identities hold for each $b \in G$.

(*)
$$r_b F_{f,g,y} = F_{b} f_{b} f_{b} g_{b} y$$

(**)
$$b F_{f,g,y} = F \times \frac{1}{f,g,byb} - 1$$

Lemma 1. If $x \in G_{FC}^{-}$, then $F_{f,g,x} \in AP(G)$, for all $f,g \in L^{2}(G)$.

<u>Proof of Lemma 1</u>: It is sufficient to show that the map: $y \neq F_{f,g,y}$ is continuous from G into CB(G), since then, by (**), $OF_{f,g,x}$ is the continuous image of a relatively compact set O_x in G. Let f and g be any fixed elements of $L^2(G)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since G is a [SIN]-group, there exists an invariant neighbourhood V of e, such that for every $v \in V$,

 $\left\| \lambda_{v} f - f \right\|_{2} < \varepsilon / \left\| g \right\|_{2}$

Let y and y' in G be such that $y'^{-1}y \in V$, then invariance of V implies $a^{-1}y'^{-1}ya \in V$ for every $a \in G$. Hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{1,g,y}(a) & F_{1,g,y}(a) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} & e_{1} \\ & e_{1} & e_{1$$

Therefore, $\|F_{f,g,v} - F_{f,g,y},\|_{\infty} \le \|f_{f,y}^{-1}y\|_{v} < V$.

This concludes the proof of Lemma $\mathbf{1}^{\prime\prime}$

Let'm denote the unique two-sided invariant mean on AP(G). Let x be a fixed element of G_{FC}^{-} . For each f,g $\in L^2(G)$ define,

$$(f,g) = m(F_{f,g,x})$$
.

This defines a bounded, conjugate bilinear form on $L^2(G)$. Hence, there exists a $T_x \in \mathcal{B}[L^2(G)]$, such that,

$$T_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{f}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\dagger} g^{\infty} = m(F_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g},\mathbf{x}}), \text{ for all } f,g \in L^{2}(G).$$

 $\frac{\text{Lemma 2}}{x} = \frac{T}{x} + \frac{VN(G)'}{x}$

i.

Ö

Proof of Lemma 2: For each a - G, since m is right invariant,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{z}_{a}^{*} T_{x} \hat{e}_{a} f | g > \\ &= T_{x} \hat{e}_{a} f | \hat{e}_{a} g > \\ &= m(F_{\hat{z}_{a}} f, \hat{e}_{a} g, x), \\ &= m(F_{\hat{z}_{a}} f, \hat{e}_{a} g, x), \\ &= m(F_{\hat{z}_{a}} f, \hat{e}_{a} g, x), \quad \text{by } (*) \\ &= m(F_{f,g,x}) \\ &= (T_{x} f | g >, \text{ for all } f, g \in L^{2}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $T_{\mathbf{x}} \in \{v_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbf{a} \in G\}^{*} = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{N}(G)^{*}$.

Temma 3. T
$$\leftarrow$$
 WOT of $co(7)$ (a \leftarrow G) $=$ $VN(C_{FC},G)$.

Proof of Lemma 3: If $\varphi = \frac{n}{V} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{1}{V} + \frac{1}{V} = \frac{1}{V}$ in AP(G)*, then

$$(\mathbf{F}_{1,\mathbf{y}_{1},\mathbf{x}}) \longrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{$$

for all $f,g \in L^{2}(G)$.

Since
$$\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{w}^*$$
 , close coupt a $G_{\mathbf{v}}^*$, it follows that

$$\frac{\Gamma}{x} = \frac{\text{WOT}(c1 - co)^2}{a^2 I x a} = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{GT}{c}$$

The second containment is clear, since $x \in G_{FC}^{-0}$ implies $\mathcal{O}_{x}^{-} = G_{FC}^{-1}$.

Returning to the proof of the proposition, since

$$= \frac{\operatorname{KO}\left[-\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)-\operatorname{K}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{a}^{-1}\operatorname{xa}} = \frac{\operatorname{K}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\operatorname{K}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)} = \operatorname{K}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\operatorname{col}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

by Lemmas 2 and 3,

$$T_x \leftarrow K(t_x) \oplus Z$$
.

But \int_{x}^{b} is the unique element of this intersection. Hence, $T_{x} = \frac{b}{x}$ and by Lemma 3, this implies that $\int_{x}^{b} \frac{\partial VV(G_{FC}^{-},G)}{\partial V}$.

This completes the proof of the proposition. $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$

Let Z(M(G)) denote the center of M(G), a measure $u \in Z(M(G))$ is called a central measure on G.

5.2. Corollary. If G is a [SIN]-proof, then for each $\mathbf{x} \in G_{FC}^{-}$, there exists a weight provide contrainment we can be \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{x} \in G_{FC}^{-}$,

Ø

$w^{*-c1-co\{\delta}: a \in G\}.$

<u>Proof</u>: It follows from the proof of Proposition 5.1, that there exists a net $\{\mu_{\alpha}\} \subseteq co\{\delta_{-1}: a \in G\}$ such that,

 $\ell_{\mu_{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\text{WOT}} \ell_{\mathbf{x}} \mathfrak{h} \cdot \mathfrak{s}$

But $\{\mu_{\alpha}\}$ is a bounded net in M(G), so by taking a subnet it may be assumed that there exists a $\mu_{x} \in M(G)$ such that $\mu_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{} \mu_{x}$. Hence, $\underset{\mu_{\alpha}}{\overset{WOT}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{\ell}{\underset{\mu_{x}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{$

The machinery is now available to prove the following two theorems locating the center of VN(G) for [SIN]-groups.

5.3. Theorem. If G is a [SIN]-group, then $Z \subseteq VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$.

5.4. Theorem. If G is a [SIN]-group, then L(Z(M(G))) is WOT-dense in Z.

A proof is provided for Theorem 5.3. Theorem 5.4 can be proven by a similar application of the Hahn-Banach Theorem.

<u>Proof of Theorem 5.3</u>: Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a $C \in Z$, such that $C \notin VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$. Since $VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$ is WOT-closed, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists a $\phi_{O} \in \Lambda(G)$, such that,

 $(\phi_{0}, C) = 1,$

and

 $(\phi_0, T) = 0$, for all $T \in VN(G_{FC}^-; G)$.

Let a linear functional \Rightarrow on VN(G) be defined by,

$$(\phi, T) = (\phi_0, T^b), \text{ for all } T \in VN(G).$$

Since q is WOT-continuous, \ddagger is WOT-continuous and so must be in $\Lambda(G)$.

If
$$x \in G_{FC}^-$$
, then $2 \frac{h}{x} \in VN(G_{FC}^-, G)$ by Proposition 5.1.

Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{x}}) = (\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{x}}) = 0.$$

For any $x, y \in G$,

$$(yxy^{-1}) = (\phi_0, (\ell_{yxy^{-1}})^{\frac{h}{2}}) = (\phi_0, \ell_x^{\frac{h}{2}}) = \phi(x)$$

• •

Φı

Hence, ; is constant on conjugacy classes in G. Therefore, $\phi(x) = 0$, for all $x \in G^{G}_{FC}^{-}$; so $\phi = 0$.

But,*

$$(\phi_{0}, C) = (\phi_{0}, C^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (\phi_{0}, C) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $Z = VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$.

Let $Z(L^{1}(G))$ denote the center of the convolution algebra $L^{1}(G)$. It is shown in Mosak [22] that $L^{1}(G)$ has an approximate identity $(f_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ consisting of $Z(L^{1}(G))$ functions if and only if G is a [SIN]-group. Let $f_{\alpha} = \chi_{V_{\alpha}} / |V_{\alpha}|$, where $(V_{\alpha})_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ is the directed set of basic invariant neighbourhoods of e. Therefore, if $\mu \in Z(M(G))$, then $f_{\alpha} * \mu \in Z(L^{1}(G))$, for each $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $f_{\alpha} * \mu \longrightarrow \mu$. Hence, $Z(L^{1}(G))$ is w*-dense in Z(M(G)). Since $i: M(G) \neq VN(G)$ is w*-WOT-continuous, the following corollary follows from Theorem 5.3. 5.5. Corollary. If G is a [SIN]-group, then $\ell(Z(L^1(G)))$ is WOT-dense in Z.

36.

Neither Theorem 5.3 or Theorem 5.4 can be extended even to unimodular groups as is shown by the following example. The example is a connected, unimodular group G such that $G_{FC}^{-} = \{e\}$ and VN(G)is not a factor. It is clear that such a group cannot satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 5.3 since $VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$ is just $\{\lambda I: \lambda \in C\}$ in this case. That the same group cannot satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 5.4 follows from the fact that for connected locally compact groups H, the center of M(H) is supported on H_{FC}^{-} (see Greenleaf, Moskowitz and Rothschild [11], Theorem 1.5).

The Example: Let \mathbb{R}^2 denote the group of ordered pairs of real numbers under addition and \mathbb{R}^*_+ the group of positive real numbers under multiplication. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ let t act on \mathbb{R}^2 via $\alpha_t(x,y) = (tx,y/t)$, for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let G be the semitor product $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^*_+$.

It is clear that G is connected. To see that $G_{FC}^2 = \{e\}$ let $[(x,y),t] \in G$. If $[(v,w),s]^2 \in G$, then

$$[(v,w),s]^{-1}[(x,y),t][(v,w),s] = \left[\left(\frac{x-v+tv}{s}, sy - sw + \frac{sw}{t}\right), t\right].$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{\left[(x,y),t\right]} = \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{x-v+tv}{s}, sy - sw \neq \frac{sw}{2t} \right), t \right] : \left[\left(v,w \right), s \right] \in G \right\}$ which is not relatively compact unless (x,y) = (0,0) and t = 1. It follows from Hewitt and Ross [13], (15.29)(b), that G will be unimodular if

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\alpha_{t}^{\prime}(x,y)) dx dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) dx dy,$

for all $t = \mathbb{R}^*_+$ and $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$. But

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\alpha_{t}(x,y) \, dxdy) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(tx, y/t) dxdy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x',y') \frac{dx'}{t} t \, dy$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) dxdy.$$

37.

Therefore G is unimodular.

U

Ξv

That VN(G) is not a factor is due to the fact that the $^{\circ}$ group $_{\circ}\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}$ does not act ergotically on \mathbb{R}^{2} . The full argument is $^{\circ}$ presented below.

If $t \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$, then the automorphism α_t induces a unitary U_t acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by,

$$f(x,y) = f(x^{-1}_t(x,y)) = f(x/t, ty),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In turn U_t induces an automorphism $\overline{\alpha}_t$ of $VN(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto itself by

$$\begin{array}{c} T = U T U_t^*, \quad \text{for all } T \in VN(\mathbb{R}^2). \end{array}$$

Since \mathbb{R}^2 is abelian and its own dual group, there is a unitary map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which carries each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to its Fourier transform \hat{f} . This induces a spatial isomorphism \Rightarrow of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto $VN(\mathbb{R}^2)$. That is,

$$^{\circ}((\diamond F)f)^{\wedge}(\gamma,\delta) = F(\gamma,\delta)\hat{f}(\gamma,\delta),$$

for all $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

For each $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\star}_{+}$, let $\widetilde{\alpha}_{t}$ be the automorphism of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ ° given by, $\widetilde{\alpha}_{t} = \overline{\alpha}_{t} \circ \Phi$. Then,

a a

$$(\widetilde{\alpha}_t F)(\gamma, \delta) = F(t\gamma, \delta/t), \text{ for all } (\gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Sutherland in [32], Proposition 2.2, gives a proof that implies that $VN(\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}^*_+) = VN(G)$ is isomorphic to the crossed product, $R(VN(\mathbb{R}^2); \overline{\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^*_+)$, of the von Ngumann algebra $VN(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by the action $\overline{\alpha}$ of \mathbb{R}^*_+ . Therefore, VN(G) is isomorphic to $R(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2); \overline{\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^*_+)$. See Takesaki [33], Proposition 3.4.

The construction of crossed product von Neumann algebras is presented in Takesaki [33], Section 3. For the purpose of this example it is sufficient to note that there exist a Hilbert space H, an isomorphism π_{α} of $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and a unitary representation λ of \mathbb{R}^{*}_{+} on H such that,

$$\lambda(t) \pi_{\alpha}(F)\lambda(t)^{*} = \pi_{\alpha} \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_{t}(F), \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}, \circ F \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2}),$$

and $\mathcal{R}(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2); \alpha, \mathbb{R}^{\star}_{+})$ is generated by $\pi_{\alpha}(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^{\star}_{+})$. Let $A = \{(\gamma, \delta): 0 \leq \gamma, \delta < \infty\}$ and let $F = \chi_A$. Then

 $\widetilde{\alpha}_{t}(F) = F$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}$, so $\pi_{\alpha}(F)$ is a central element in $\mathcal{R}(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2}); \widetilde{\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^{*}_{+})$ that is not a constant multiple of the identity. Therefore, VN(G) is not a factor.

. The Type I, Finite Part of VN(G).

Let $E_{I,f}$ denote the maximal Type I, finite central projection in VN(G). The class of groups for which $E_{I,f} \neq 0$ is determined in this chapter and it is shown that, for such groups, there exists a compact normal subgroup $K_{I,f}$ such that $E_{I,f} = E_{K_{T,f}}$.

In [30], Smith provided a simpler proof of the following theorem of Kaniuth [16]:

If G is a discrete group, then $V_{n}^{M}(G)$ is Type I if and only if G has an abelian subgroup of finite index.

In that case, VV(G) is actually Type I $\leq n$, for some $n < \infty$, as is pointed out in Formanek [9].

The following is the non-discrete version of this theorem.

<u>6.1. Theorem</u>. Let G be a locally compact group. There exists a natural number n such that VN(G) is Type I if and only if G has an abelian subgroup of finite index.

<u>Proof</u>: If VN(G) is of Type $I_{\leq n}$, then VN(G) satisfies P_{2n} . Since $L^{1}(G)$ is isomorphically contained in VN(G) it is clear that $L^{1}(G)$ also satisfies P_{2n} . Therefore any *-representation of $L^{1}(G)$ must satisfy P_{2n} . Hence, the dimension of any irreducible representation of G flust be less than n. By Theorem 1 of Moore [21], there is an abelian subgroup of finite index in G.

Suppose G_1 is an oabelian subgroup of finite index in G_1 Let $x_1 = e, x_2, \dots, x_k$ be a complete set of right coset representatives

in G. Let W be the linear span of $\{l_y: y \in G_1\}$. Let $B = Wl_x + Wl_x + \cdots + Wl_x$, then VN(G) is the weak operator topology closure of B. As in Smith [29], page 404, the algebra B can be isomorphically embedded in the k × k-matrices over the abelian algebra W. Therefore, both B and VN(G) satisfy P_{2k} . Therefore, VN(G) is Type $I_{\leq k}$, by Proposition 2.3.

The following two propositions will be useful in determining those groups G for which VN(G) has a non-zero Type I, finite part. Suppose H is an open subgroup of a locally compact group G. Let VN(H,G) denote the von Neumann subalgebra of VN(G) generated by $\{x_x : x \in H\}$ acting on $L^2(G)$. Eymard shows in [8] (3.21,2°) that VN(H,G) is isomorphic to VN(H). The following proposition is Lemma 7 of Kaniuth [17].

<u>6.2. Proposition</u>. Let H be an open subgroup of G. If VN(G) has a non-zero Type I, finite part, then so does VN(H).

Proof: Apply Proposition 2.4.

<u>6.3 Proposition</u>. Let K be a compact normal subgroup of G. The Type I, finite part of VN(G) is non-zero if and only if the Type I, finite part of VN(G/K) is non-fiero.

<u>Proof</u>: Since VN(G/K) is isomorphic to $E_KVN(G)$ by Proposition 3.9, it is clear that the existence of a non-zero Type I, finite central. projection in VN(G/K) implies the existence of one in VN(G). To prove the converse, it is sufficient to show that $E_{I,f}E_K \neq 0$, where $E_{I,f}$ is the maximal Type I, finite projection in VN(G). If $E_{I,f} \neq 0$, then, for some n, there exists a ψ in

 $T_1(G)$ such that $S(\psi)$ is Type I_n . Suppose that $f \in L^2(G)$ is such that $\psi = (f * \tilde{f})^{\vee}$. Then $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{f} * \bar{f})^{\vee}$.

<u>Claim</u>: $S(\bar{\psi})$ is also Type I.

To prove this, define Γ from VN(G) onto VN(G) by

$$(\Gamma T)(h) = (T\bar{h})^{-}$$
, for every $T \in VN(G)$ and $h \in L^{2}(G)$.

Then $\Gamma(S+T) = \Gamma S + \Gamma T$ and $\Gamma(ST)^{\circ} = (\Gamma S)(\Gamma T)$, for every $S, T \in VN(G)$. Therefore, if E is any central projection in VN(G) and P_k is the standard polynomial of degree k, then E VN(G) satisfies P_k if and only if $(\Gamma E) VN(G)$ satisfies P_k . Hence $\Gamma(S(\psi))$ is Type I_n . But $\Gamma(S(\psi)) = S(\overline{\psi})$.

Since $S(\psi)VN(G)$ and $S(\overline{\psi})VN(G)$ are both Type I_n , it follows that $S(\psi)VN(G) \otimes S(\overline{\psi})VN(G)$ is Type I_{n^2} (Sakai [27], 2.6.2). Therefore $S(.)VN(G) \otimes S(\overline{\psi})VN(G)$ satisfies P_{2n^2} . From Proposition 3.6, it follows that $S(|\psi|^2)VN(G)$ satisfies P_{2n^2} . Hence $S(|\psi|^2)$ is Type $I_{< n^2}$.

Let h in $L^2(G)$ be such that $|\psi|^2 = (h * \widetilde{h})^{\vee}$. Since $|\psi|^2$ is a real-valued function, it is clear that $|\psi|^2 = h * \widetilde{h}$.

Suppose that $E_{K}h = 0$. That is, $\mu_{K} * h = 0$, which implies that $\mu_{K} * |\psi|^{2} = 0$. This is a contradiction, since $|\psi|^{2}(x) \ge 0$, for every $x \in G$ and $|\psi|^{2}(e) = 1$. Therefore $E_{K}h \ne 0$.

Since $h \in S(|L|^2)L^2(G)$ which is contained in $E_{I,f}L^2(G)$, it is clear that $E_{K}E_{I,f} \neq 0$.

In [31], Smith proves that if G is a unimodular group and VN(G) has a nonzero Type I central projection, then the index of G_{FC}^- in G is less than or equal to n^2 . By virtue of the results

-5

of Chapter 5, this can be proven in a manner analogous to the treatment for discrete groups as in Smith [29], Theorem 9.4. In light of Proposition 4.2, it is not necessary to assume that G is unimodular.

6.4. Proposition. Let G be a locally compact may such that VN(G)has a number Type I_n part, then the index of G_{FC}° - in G is less than a equal to n^2 .

<u>Proof</u>: Since the index of the FC -subgroup in the group is not changed by taking the quotient by a compact normal subgroup, without loss of generality, G can be assumed to be a [SIN]-group, by Theorem

Let E_n be a nonzero Type I_n central projection in VN(G). Then $E_n VN(G)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices over its center, $E_n Z$. Suppose $e = x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n^2+1}$ are from distinct cosets of G_{FC} in G. As in Smith [29], Theorem 9.4, there exist $E_n C_1, \cdots, E_n C_{n^2+1} \in E_n Z$, not all zero, such that

4.3.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} E_n C_i = 0.$$

Suppose $1 \le j \le n^2 + 1$ is such that $E_n C_j \ne 0$? Since $E_n C_j \in Z$, for any open subgroup H of G, there exists an $f \in L^2(G)$, supported on a H, such that $E_n C_j f \ne 0$. This is so, since any $g \in L^2(G)$ can be written,

$$g = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\mathbf{x}} f_{\alpha},$$

where $\{x_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a complete set of coset representatives of H in G and each f is supported on H.

The subgroup G_{FC}^{-} is open in G; so there exists an $f \in L^2(G)$, supported on G_{FC}^{-} such that $E_n C_i f \neq 0$. But,

 $n^{2}+1$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} E_{n}C_{i}f = 0.$

By Theorem 5.3, each $E_nC_i \in VN(G_{FC}^-,G)$ and f is supported on G_{FC}^- ; so each E_nC_if is supported on G_{FC}^- . Then', $\begin{pmatrix} E_nC_if \\ x_i \\ n \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ is supported on $x_iG_{FC}^-$, for each i. Therefore, $\{ c \\ x_i \\ n \\ i \\ i \end{pmatrix}$ is an orthogonal set of elements of $L^2(G)$, not all zero, with sum zero. This is a contradiction. Therefore, G_{FC}^- can have at most n^2 cosets in G.

While proving Theorem 1 of [30], Smith showed that if D is a discrete [FC]-group and VN(D) has a nonzero Type I part, then D is a [FD]-group. A slightly more general result will be required later and this is provided by Lemma 6.6 below. The proofs of Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6 are, to a large degree, extracted from Smith [30], Lemma 2 and Theorem 1.

6.5. Lemma. Let G be a locally compact group and H a closed cubyroup of G. IF there exists a contral projection $E \in VN(G)$ such that EVN(G) and EVN(H,G), are both Type I_n , then C(H), the contralipor of H in G, has a relatively compact corrutator subgroup.

<u>Proof</u>: Since EVN(G) is isomorphic to the n × n-matrices over its genter, it has a faithful family of irreducible representations in C_n . Let σ be one such irreducible representation. Since $\sigma(E) \neq 0$,

 $o(EVN(H,G)) = C_n, \text{ also.}$

Suppose there exists x, y $\in C(H)$ such that $E\ell = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{xy} \neq E$, then

there exists an irreducible representation ϕ of EVN(G) in C_n , such that $\phi(E) = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{xy} = 0$. Hence

$$\wp(E\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{X}})\wp(E\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}) \neq \wp(E\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{Y}})\wp(E\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{X}}).$$

But x C(H), so, $\rho^{\circ}(E^{2}x)$ commutes with $\rho(EVN(H,G)) = C_{n}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$E_{x}^{0} = E, \text{ for all } x, y \in C(H).$$

Hence, $C(H) \stackrel{!}{=} N_{F}$, which is compact.

<u>6.6. Lemma</u>. Let A is an abelian group and D a discrete [FC]group. If VN(A×D) has a nonzero Type I part, then D is a [FD]-group. <u>Proof</u>: Since A × D is a [SIN]-group, VN(A×D) must have a nonzero Type I_n projection, E_n, for some $n \ge 1$. Then $E_nVN(A\times D)$ satisfies the standard polynomial identity P_{2n} but not $P_{2(n-1)}$. Let e_1 denote the identity in A. By multilinearity of $P_{2(n-1)}$, there exists $d_1, \dots, d_{2(n-1)} \in D$ such that,

$$P_{2(n-1)}\left(E_{n}^{\ell}(e_{1},d_{1}),\cdots,E_{n}^{\ell}(e_{1},d_{2(n-1)})\right) \neq 0$$

Let H be the normal subgroup of D generated by $\{d_1, \cdots, d_2(n-1)\}$ and their finitely many conjugates. Since H is finitely generated, C(H) has finite index in the [FC⁻]group D. IF it can be shown that C(H) has a finite commutator subgroup, then Neumann [24], Lemma 4.1, implies that D is a [FD⁻]-group.

To see that C(H)' is finite, note that $C(A \times H)$ in $A \times D$ is $\{e_1\}$ C(H). Since $E_n VN(A \times H, A \times D)$ satisfies P_{2n} but not $P_{2(n-1)}$,

then the maximal Type I_n central projection E in $VN(A \cdot H, A \cdot D)$ must be nonzero. Since $A \cdot H$ is normal in $A \cdot D$ and E is maximal, $\frac{v}{x} - 1^{E \cdot \frac{1}{x}} = E$; for all $x \in A \cdot D$. Therefore E is a central Type I_n projection in $VN(A \cdot D)$ also. An application of Lemma 6.5 completes the proof.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2 in Kaniuth [17], where he assumes that. G is a [SIN]-group. The method of proof given here is entirely different.

6.7. Theorem. Let G be a locally compact group. For VN(G) to have a non-schoollype I, finite part, it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions hold:

i) the index of $G_{\rm FC}^+$ in G is finite, ii) the computator subgroup of $G_{\rm FC}^-$ has compact closure in $G_{\rm C}$

<u>Proof</u>: Suppose that VN(G) has a nonzero Type I_n part for some n. Proposition 6.4 implies that the index of G_{FC}^- in G is less than or equal to n^2 . Proposition 4.2 implies that G_{FC}^- is open in G. So $VV(G_{FC}^-)$ has a non-zero Type I, finite part by Proposition 6.2. By Theorem 4.3, there exists a compact normal subgroup K of G_{FC}^- such that the finite part of $VV(G_{FC}^-)$ is isomorphic to $VN(G_{FC}^-/K)$. From Proposition 6.3, it follows that $VN(C_{FC}^-/K)$ has a non-zero Type I part. Let $H = G_{FC}^-/K$. Then H is both a [SIN]-group and a [FC⁻]-group. By Wilcox [37], there exists a compact normal subgroup N of H, such that H/N is the direct product of a vector group, V, and a discrete [FC⁻]-group, D. By Proposition 6.3, the Type I part of VN(V<D) is nonzero. Therefore, the commutator subgroup of D is

٥

finite by Lemma 6.6. Since $H/N = V \cdot D$ and $G_{FC}^{-}/K = H$, it follows from Hewitt and Ross [13] (5.24) that the commutator subgroup of G_{FC}^{-} has compact closure. Therefore, part (ii) is established since G_{FC}^{-} is open in this case.

Suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Let K denote the closure, in G, of the commutator subgroup of G_{FC}^{-} . Let $\sigma: G + G/K$ denote the canonical homomorphism. From (i), it follows that $\sigma(G_{FC}^{-})$ is an abelian subgroup of finite index in G/K. Theorem 6.1 implies that VV(G/K) is Type I, finite. Hence, the Type I, finite part of VN(G)is non-zero.

Recall that a locally compact group is called a [Type I, finite]-group if every irreducible representation is finite dimensional. Note that an irreducible representation is finite dimensional if and only if the von Neumann algebra it generates is Type I, finite. <u>6.8. Remark.</u> If G is a [Type I, finite]-group, then it is clifform Dixmier [3] (4.2.1 and 5.5.2) that VN(G) is Type I. It follows Moore [21] (Lemma 4.1), that VN(G) is a finite von Neumann algebra. Therefore VN(G) is Type I, finite.

6.9. Remark. In [26], Robertson calls [Type I, finite]-groups, [MOORE]groups. He gives the following characterization. A proof can be found in Kaniuth [17] (page 234).

For a group G to be a [Type I, finite]-group, it is necessary and sufficient that each of the following is satisfied.

- i) the index of G_{FC}^{-} in G is finite,
- ii) the commutator subgroup of ${}^{\circ}C_{FC}^{-}$ is relatively compact in G_{FC}^{-} ,

(iii) the group $G_{\overline{FC}}^{\infty}$ is maximally almost periodic.

Recall that a group is maximally almost periodic if there exist sufficiently many finite dimensional unitary representations to separate points.

6.10. Lemma. Let D. Le A dimensio analy. If D. Lag a faithful within the providence of the providence

Proof: Since M decomposes into the direct sum of Type I_n von Neumann algebras and each Type I_n von Neumann algebra has sufficiently many *-representations in \mathfrak{C}_n to separate points, there are sufficiently many finite dimensional representations of M (hence of D) to separate points.

6.11. Lemma. Let G be a locally compact proop satisfying the second following properties:

i) there exists a compact normal subgroup
 G, such that G/K is a G[Type I, finite]-group
 ii) there exists a faither represention to of G into the writtery process of a Type I, finite poin Jermann
 algoing M. S

Then G is a [Type I, finite]-group.

<u>Proof</u>: From the characterization given in Remark 6.9, it is not hard to see that $K_1 = a\{K: K \text{ compact}, G/K \text{ is a [Type I, finite]-group}\}$ is a such that G/K_1 is also a [Type I, finite]-group. So it can be assumed that the subgroup K given in (i) is minimal such that G/K is a [Type I, finite]-group.

0

If $K \neq \{e\}$, then let $k \in K$ be such that $k \neq e$. For some n there exists a Type I_n central projection E_n in M, such that $\pi(k)E_n \neq E_n$.

Let C*(G) denote the group C*-algebra of G (see Dixmier [3], 13.9). Any representation of of G induces a representation, also denoted o, of C*(G) and conversely.

$$J_{\sigma} = \{ a \in C^{*}(G) : \sigma(a) = 0 \},$$

and

Let

$$J = \{a \in C^*(G): \pi(a) E_n = 0\}.$$

If unitarily equivalent representations are identified, then J remains well defined. Let S denote the set of equivalence classes irreducible representations, σ , such that $J \subseteq J_{\sigma}$. By Dixmier [3], 2.9.7,

$$\mathbf{J} = \bigcap \{ \mathbf{J}_{\sigma} \colon \sigma \in \mathcal{S} \}$$

Since $C^*(G)/J$ is algebraically contained in E_n^M , it must satisfy the polynomial identity P_{2n} . Thus, for each $\sigma \in S$, the identity P_{2n} is also satisfied by $C^*(G)/J_{\sigma}$ and therefore by $\sigma(C^*(G))$. Since is irreducible, it must be finite dimensional. By minimality of K,

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{K}, \sigma \in \mathbf{S}.$$

Let u_{K} be the central idempotent in M(C) as defined in Chapter 3. Then $\tau(u_{K})$ and $I - \tau(u_{K}) = \tau(\xi - u_{K})$ are central projections in M. Since $\mu(k)E_{n} \neq E_{n}$, for some $k \in K$,

$$E_n(I - \pi(\mu_K)) \neq 0.$$

By considering an approximate identity in $L^{1}(G)$, an $f \in L^{1}(G)$ can be found such that,

49.

$$e_i = E_n = \left(\left(\frac{e}{e} - \mu_K \right) * f \right) \neq 0.$$

Let $f_0 = (\mathcal{E}_e - u_K) * f = f - u_K * f$. Since, $\sigma(u_K) = I$ for all $\sigma \in S$, then $\sigma(f_0) = 0$, for all $\sigma \in S$. Hence

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \in \cap \{\mathbf{J}_{\sigma}: \sigma \in S\},$$

while

$$f(f_0) E_0 \neq 0.$$

Contradicting,

$$J = n\{J: \sigma \in S\}.$$

Therefore, $K = \{e\}$ and G is a [Type I, finite]-group.

<u>6.12. Theorem</u>. Let G be a locally compact group such that VN(G), has a non-zero Type I, finite part. There exists a compact normal subgroup, $K_{I,f}^{(0)}$ of G, such that the Type I, finite part of VN(G) is isomorphic to $VN(G/K_{I,f})$.

<u>Proof</u>: Let $E_{I,f}$ denote the maximal Type I, finite central projection of VN(G). Let $K_{I,f} = N_{E_{I,f}}$. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.10(ii), it suffices to prove that $E_{I,f} = E_{K_{I,f}}^{\sigma}$. For this, it suffices to prove that $VN(G/K_{I,f})$ is Type I, finite.

Let $H = G/K_{I,f}$. Since $E_{I,f} \leq E_{K_{I,f}}$, by Theorem 6.7, the mindex of H_{VC}^- in H is finite and the commutator subgroup of R_{FC}^+ . is relatively compact. If H can be shown to be a [Type I, finite]group, then by Remarks 6.9 and 6.8, the proof that $VN(G/K_{I,f})$ is Type I, finite will be complete.

• Theorem 4.3 and the definition of K_{I,f} imply H is a [SIN]-• group. •The theorem in Wilcox [37] implies that there exists a compact normal subgroup N of H such that

$$(H_{FC} + /N) = V_{0} \times D,$$

where V is a vector group and D is a discrete group. By Proposition 6.3, the Type I, finite part of VN(H/N) is nonzero. The kerneboof the representation of H/N in the Type I, finite part of VN(H/N) is a compact normal subgroup of H/N and, thus, must be a subgroup of H/N and, thus, must be a subgroup of the Hence it can be assumed, by possibly increasing N, that H/N this f faithful representation in a Type I, finite von Neumann algebra. Therefore D is maximally almost periodic by Lemma 6.10. Since

•
$$(H/N)_{FC} = H_{FC} - /N = V \times D$$
,

it must be maximally almost to find ic. Hence, oH/N is a [Type I, find ic]- o group by Remark 6.9.

Therefore, VN(G) the second of the theorem.

If G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 6.12, then let

⁴ $K_{I,f}$ denote the central idempotent in M(G) defined by $K_{I,f}$ For any representation π of G, let M_{π} denote the von Neumann algebra generated by $\pi(G)$.

6.14. Corollary. If G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 6.13 and π is any representation of G, then $\pi(\mathfrak{u}_{K_{I,f}})$ is the maximal Type F, finite contral projection in \mathfrak{M}_{π} .

<u>Proof</u>: Since $K_{I,f}$ is contained in the kernel of the representation that takes $x \in G$ to $\pi(x)\pi(\mu_{K_{I,f}})$ in $\pi(\mu_{K_{I,f}})M_{\pi}$, it may be considered as a representation of $G/K_{I,f}$. By Lemma 4.1 of Moore [21], every representation of a [Type I, finite]-group generates a Type I, finite von Neumann algebra. Therefore, $\pi(\mu_{K_{I,f}})$ is a Type I, finite projection in M_{π} .

Suppose $F \stackrel{o}{=} (L_{K_{I},f})$ is also a Type I, finite central projection in M_{I} . Let $N_{F} = \{x \in G: \pi(x)F = F\}$, then $N_{F} \stackrel{c}{=} K_{I,f}$. By Lemma 6.11, the group G/N_{F} is a [Type I, finite]-group. By minimality of $K_{I,f}$, then $N_{F} = K_{I,f}$. Therefore,

$$(k)F = F$$
, for all $k \in K_{I,f}$.

Hence, $(K_{I,f}) = (K_{I,f})F = F$.

<u>6.15. Corollary</u>. If G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 6.12 and is any irreducible unitary representation of G, then π is finite dimensional if and only if $K_{I,f} \stackrel{i}{=} \ker \pi$.

6.16. Remark. If: G is a discrete group, then Thoma [35] proves that G is a [Type I, finite]-group if and only if G has an abelian subgroup of finite index. In [9], Formanek proves that if G is discrete and VN(G) has a non-zero Type I part, then there exists a minimal finite normal subgroup, K, such that the quotient group has an abelian subgroup of finite index. Furthermore, the Type I part of VN(G) is isomorphic to VN(G/K). This is the discrete group version of Theorem 6.12.

The following theorem was proven by Kaniuth in [17]. Here it follows from the proof of Theorem 6.12 and Remark 6.8.

 $\frac{6.17. \text{ Theorem.}}{\text{Constant}} (\text{Kaniuth}). \quad \forall N(G) \text{ is a Type I, finite von Noumann} \\ algebra if and only if NG is a [Type I, finite]-group.$

An example will now be given to illustrate Theorem 6.12. This example is discussed in Grosser and Moskowitz [12], page 39,e. The calculation of the details bylow follow as in Section 5.10 of

Grosser and Moskowitz]12].

Example. Let

 $H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$

The commutator subgroup, H', of H is given by,

 $H' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\circ} : y \in \mathbb{R}^{\circ}.$

Let

 $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & n \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \text{ is an integer}$

Let G = H/T. Then G' = H'/T and is isomorphic to the circle group T. For this group $K_f = K_{I,f} = G'$ and

 $\cdot G/\tilde{\kappa}_{I} = \mathbb{R}^2.$

Therefore, VN(G) decomposes as $VN(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus (I-E_{I,f})VN(G)$. But $VN(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is isomorphic to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $E_{I,f} = E_f$ for this group.' Hence VN(G) is isomorphic to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus (I-E_f)VN(G)$.

In the above example, G is connected so the fact that $E_{I,f} = E_{f}$ is no accident. It follows from the following theorem of Kadison and Singer [15].

If G from connected locally empret proof, then VN(G) has not Type II, part.

In [6] and [7], Ernest introduced another von Neumann algebra which is associated with a locally compact group. This von Neumann algebra will be denoted &*(G). The following properties of &*(G) are taken from Ernest [6] and [7]. There exists a Hilbert space H and a unitary representation of G on H; such that &*(G) is the von Neumann algebra generated by \square (G): For any representation \neg of G, there exists a \neg -continuous representation \neg of &*(G) such that \neg (x) = \neg (\square (x)), for all x G Furthermore, $\mathbb{M}_{+} = \neg$ (&*(G)). In this sense, \square is a universal representation of G and Corollary 6.14 can be reformulated as follows.

6.19. Theorem. If G satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem C.12, then $C_{\rm K}$) is the maximum Cype I, finite sentral projection in $K^*(G)$.

Summary

. In this chapter, a summary is made of what has been proven so that the reader may get an overview of the techniques used.

Perhaps the single most important tool which is used is the association, developed in Chapter 3, between the compact normal subgroups in G and the nonzero central projections in VN(G). The order preserving map, which takes a compact normal subgroup K of G to the central projection E_K , is not, in general, onto. Often it is far from being onto, as in the case where $G = \mathbb{R}$. There is only one compact normal subgroup, $\{0\}$, of \mathbb{R} ; but $VN(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to L⁶(\mathbb{R}) and has as many central projections as there are inequivalent Lebusgue measurable sets in \mathbb{R} .

Most of the energy expended in the previous chapters has gone towards showing in three different cases, that a certain central projection in VN(G) is in the range of this map, $K = E_{K}$. The three cases are discussed below.

If E_1 is the maximal abelian central projection in, VN(G), then the topological commutator subgroup, $\overline{G'}$, is compact if $E_1^{\circ} \neq 0$ and $E_1 = E_{\overline{G'}}$. The key to this result is the fact that VN(H) is abelian if and only if H is abelian.

Since it was known that VN(H) is finite if and only if H is a [SIN]-group, it was possible to use this characterization to show that if the maximal finite central projection E_f in VN(G) is nonzero, then $E_f = E_g$, for a certain compact normal subgroup K_f of G. It turns out that K_f is the minimal compact normal subgroup K such that G/K is a [SIN]-group. Note that $\overline{G'}$ is the minimal closed

normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is abelian.

The situation in the third case is slightly more complicated but retains much of the above pathern. It was known that if G is a [Type I, finite]-group, then VV(G) is Type I, finite. The class of groups for which the maximal Type I, finite central projection, $E_{I,f}$, is nonzero, was then characterized. Using this characterization a compact normal subgroup $K_{I,f}$ was shown to exist such that $E_{I,f} = E_{K_{I,f}}$ In fact, $K_{I,f}$ is the minimal compact normal subgroup K of G such that G/K is a [Type I, finite]-group. This leads to the corollary that VV(G) is Type I, finite if and only if G is a [Type I, finite]-group. Since, for any compact normal subgroup K of C, the von Neumann algebras $E_KVN(G)$ and VN(G/K) are isomorphic, the above characterizations of the maximal abelian, finite and Type I, finite central projections in VN(G) identify the respective parts of VN(G)with von Neumann algebras generated by the left regular representations of the quotients of G by the respective compact normal subgroups.

One of the steps in characterizing the groups, G, for which $E_{I,f} \neq 0$, is to show that if VV(G) has a Type I_n part, then the index of G_{FC}^{-} in G is less than or equal to n^2 . For unimodular groups, this was proven by Martha Smith in [31], by making use of the construction of VV(G) as the von Neumann algebra generated by the Hilbert algebra $C_{O}(G)$ as contained in Dixmier [3], 13.10.2. If G is a discrete group, then there is a simple proof, also due to Smith ([29], Lemma 9.4), of the above result. This latter proof generalizes easily to general groups if it is known that the center of VX(G) is contained in $VV(G_{FC}^{-},G)$. That is the proof that is given for Proposition 6.4 after first reducing to the case of a [SIN]-

group and using the fact that for [SIN]-groups, the center of VN(G) is contained in $VN(G_{FC}^{-},G)$.

56.

Aside from the above discussion, the results on the center of VN(G), for [SIN]-groups G, are included as another illustration of the connection between the structure of VN(G) and the topological group structure of G. Consideration of the center of VN(G) for non-[IN]-groups usually involves consideration of the action of some group on some

measure space as in the example in Chapter 5. It would be desirable to have a method of studying the center of VN(G) for non-[IN]-groups that avoids these measure theoretic techniques.

Finding necessary and sufficient conditions on general locally compact groups G for VV(G) to be a factor is an interesting but, in the author's opinion, a very difficult problem.

Other question: related to the general theme of this thesis, that remain unarhswerged, are listed below. • What are necessary and sufficient conditions on G for VV(G) to be a Type I von Neumann algebra? If the answer to this question is found, then it will be possible to decide whether the Type I part of VV(G) is isomorphic to VV(G/K) for some compact normal subgroup K of G.

Similarly, what are necessary and sufficient conditions on G for VV(G) to be semi-funite? Again, when the answer is found, the characterization of the semi-finite part may be possible.

b <u>Bibliography</u>

*	
[1]	Amitsur, S.A. and Levitski, J.: Minimal identities for algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1, 449-463(1950);
[2]	Connes, A.: Une Glassification des Facteurs de Type III. Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm Sup., 4° serie. 6, 133-252(1973)
[3]	Dixmier, J.: Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations. 2 ^e edition. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1969.
[4]	Dixmier, J.: Les algèbres d'opérateurs dan l'espace hilbertien. 2 ^é edition. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1969.
[5]	Dixmier, J.: Sur la representation régulière d'un groupe localement compact conexe. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4 ^e serie. 2, 423-4365(1969).
[6]	Ernest, J.: A New Group Algebra for Locally Compact Groups. Amer. J. Math. 86, 467-492 (1964).
[7]	Ernest, J.: A New Group Algebra for Locally Compact Groups II. Canad. J. Math. 17, 604-615 (1965).
[8]	Eymard, P.: L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 92, 181-236 (1964).
[9]	Formanek, E.: The type I part of the regular representation. Can. J. Math. 26, 1086-1089 (1974).
[10]	Godemont, R.: Mémoire sur la Théorie des Caratères dan les Groupes Localement Compacts Unimodulaires. J. Math. Pures et Appl. 30, 1-110 (1951).
[11]	Greenleaf, T., Moskowitz, M. and Preiss-Rothschifd, L.: Auto- morphisms, Orbits, and Homogeneous Spaces of Non-Connected Lie Groups. Math. Ann. 212, 145-155 (1974).
[12] •	Grosser, S. and Moskowitz, M.: Compactness conditions in topological" groups. J. Reine AnZew. Math. 246, 1-40 (1971).
[13]	Hewitt, E. and Ross, K.: Abstract harmonic analysis, Vol. I and II. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1963 and 1970.
[14]	Iwasawa, K.: Topological groups with invariant compact neighbour- hoods of the identity. Ann. of Math. 54, 345-348 (1959).
[15]	Kadison, R. and Singer, I.: Some Remarks on Representations of Connected Groups. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. U.S.A., 38, 419-423 (1952).
in the second	

[16] Kaniuth, E.: Der Typ der regulären Darstellung diskreter Gruppen. Math. Ann. 182, 334-339 (1969).

<u>\$8.</u>

- [17] Kaniuth, E.: Die Struktur der regulären Darstellung lokalkompakter Gruppen mit invarianter Umgebungsbasis der Eins. Math. Ann. 194, 225-248 (1971).
- [13] Kaplansky, L.: Rings of operators. New York: Benjamin 1968.
- [19] Kaplansky, L.: Group algebras in the large. Tohoku Math. 3, 249-256 (1951).
- [20] Mackey, G.W.: The theory of group representations. Notes by Dr. Fell and Dr. Lowdenslager. The University of Chicago 1955.
- [21] Moore, C.C.: Groups with finite dimensional irreducible representations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 166, 401-410 (1972).
- [22] Mosak, R.: Central Functions in Group Algebras. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29, 613-616 (1971).
- [23] Murray, F. and von Neumann, J.: On Rings of Operators IV. Ann. Math. 44, 716-808 (1943).
 - [24] Neumann, B.H.: Groups with finite classes of conjugate elements. Proc. London Math. Soc. 1, 178-187 (1951).
- [25] Pukanszky, L.: Action of Algebraic Groups of Automorphisms on the Dual of a Class of Type I Groups. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. • Sup., 4^e série. 5, 379-396 (1972).
- [26] Robertson, L.: A note on the structure of Moore groups. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75, 594-598 (1969).
- [27] Sakai, S.: C*-algobras and W*-algobras. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1971.
- [28] Sogal, I.E.: An extension of Plancherel's formula to separable unimodular groups. Ann. Math. 52, 272-292 (1950).
- [29] Smith, M.: Group algebras. Jour. of Alg. 18, 477-499 (1971).
- [30] Smith, M.: Regular representation of discrete groups. Jour. of Functional Analysis. 11, 401-406 (1972).
- [31] Smith, M.: Regular representations of unimodular groups. Math. Ann. 203, 183-185 (1973).
- [32] Sutherland, C.E.: Type analysis of the regular representation of a non-unimodular group. Queen's Mathematical Preprint, No. 1975-2.
- [33] Takesaki, M.: Duality for Crossed Products and the Structure of von Neumann Algebras of Type III. Acta. Math. 131, 247-310 (1973).

[34] Tits, J.: Automorphisms à déplacement borné. Topology. 3, suppl. 1, 97-107 (1964).

1

59.

 \mathcal{D}

- [35] Thoma, E.: Ein Charakterisierung diskreter Gruppen vom Typ I. Invent. Math. 6, 190-196 (1968).
- [36] von Neumann, J.: Almost Periodic Functions in a Group L. Traus. Amer. Math. Soc. 36, 445-492 (1934).

•

!

,

- [37] Wilcox, T.W.: A note on groups with relatively compact conjugacy classes. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 42, 326-329 (1974).
- [33] Wu, T.S. and Yu, Y.K.: Compactness properties of topological groups. Michigan Math. J. 19, 299-313 (1972).