# **RURAL ECONOMY**

A Socio-Economic Evaluation of Sportsfishing Activity in Southern Alberta

W. Adamowicz, P. Boxall, D. Watson and T. Peters Project Report 92-01

# PROJECT REPORT



**Department of Rural Economy** Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry University of Alberta Edmonton, Canada A Socio-Economic Evaluation of Sportsfishing Activity in Southern Alberta

W. Adamowicz, P. Boxall, D. Watson and T. Peters Project Report 92-01

The authors are Associate Professor, Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta, Edmonton; Forest Economist, Forestry Canada, Edmonton; Research Associate, Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta, Edmonton and Research Associate, Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta, Edmonton.

## A Socio-Economic Evaluation of Sportsfishing Activity in Southern Alberta

#### Interim Project Report

#### INTRODUCTION

Recreational fishing is one of the most important recreational activities in Alberta. The report on Sports Fishing in Alberta, 1985, states that over 340,000 angling licences were purchased in the province and the total population of anglers exceeded 430,000. Approximately 5.4 million angler days were spent in Alberta and over \$130 million was spent on fishing related activities. Clearly, sportsfishing is an important recreational activity and the fishery resource is the source of significant social benefits.

A National Angler Survey is conducted every five years. However, the results of this survey are broad and aggregate in nature insofar that they do not address issues about specific sites. It is the purpose of this study to examine in detail the characteristics of anglers, and angling site choices, in the Southern region of Alberta. Fish and Wildlife agencies have collected considerable amounts of bio-physical information on fish habitat, water quality, biology and ecology. In this study the social elements of recreational fishing are examined. The bio-physical information helps define the potential supply of recreational fishing opportunities while the social/economic information helps define the demand for fishing opportunities. Why do individual choose to participate in recreational fishing? How many times do they participate in a season? What are the factors affecting fishing intensity? Where do recreational anglers go? Why do they choose these sites? The answers to these questions will provide information on the demand for angling experiences and the attitudes and values of recreational anglers. This information will also help in planning habitat improvement, fish stocking and other management changes in response to angler demands or in an attempt to improve the quality of the recreational experience. Social/economic research in recreational fishing at a regional level is somewhat limited. This study represents the first major project in Alberta examining fishing demand at regional and subregional levels. The information should be valuable to fisheries managers as well as other parties interested in the fishery resource.

This report presents the results of a survey of Southern region (Alberta Fish and Wildlife division Fish Management Areas 1 and 2) fishing activity. In this interim report descriptive results from the survey are presented. In subsequent research, models of fishing site choice will be developed. These models are designed to measure the impact of alternate uses of water resources on recreational fishing activity, the impact of management options (site closures, stocking, etc) on site choice and recreational fishing values, and the impact of quality factors (crowding, water quality, etc) on the recreational fishing experience. In the original research proposal the following research objectives were listed:

(1) to develop a survey instrument and sampling design to collect the data required for the recreational demand model.

(2) to use the data collected to analyze the demographic and socio-economic characteristics of sportfishing recreationists.

(3) to develop a model of recreational fishing site choice using social, economic and physical information about recreationists and fishing sites.

This interim report addresses objectives (1) and (2). The final report will address item (3).

#### BACKGROUND

In October 1989 a task force was developed to examine the valuation of recreational fisheries in

the Southern region of Alberta. This task force included individuals from the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division, Land Services Information Division, and the University of Alberta. The task force was initiated to address the issue of the opportunity cost of recreational fishery losses in the event of alternate uses of the water resources. The following passage, from the minutes of one of the initial meetings of the task force, illustrates the focus of the group. "...the Division should be able to provide estimates of the costs of the project from the loss of fisheries recreational opportunities. In addition, management actions as a result of recreationists substituting sites, or crowding effects caused by substitution, need to be determined based upon sound understanding of the social and economic values held by the users." A "Needs Analysis" revealed the following:

There is a lack of socioeconomic data.

There is a need to assess the effectiveness of fish management programs e.g. stocking pond A or pond B, the effect of changing a regulation, the value of a fishing experience, what makes fishing desirable, what opportunities should be provided, how cost effective are habitat development programs, and what are the impacts of alternative resource developments.

Based on the assessment by the task force, a proposal was forwarded to the Alberta Fisheries Enhancement Fund to provide for an in depth survey of recreational fishing in Southern Alberta. The focus of the survey was to elicit information on fishing preferences, values, and attitudes as well as activity information. These data would be used to develop a model of recreational fishing site choice and intensity. The model would be used to evaluate the impact of changes in fishing quality, management decisions or changes in environmental conditions surrounding the fishing sites. The survey was designed to focus on the Southern region fishing sites. The sampling design, described below, was developed based on historical visitation patterns. While individuals living in the Southern region tend to also fish in the Southern region, individuals from other regions in the province (notably the Central region) also fish in the Southern region. The survey was based on a geographical distribution which was expected to account for approximately 95% of the fishing trips to Southern Alberta. The Southern region, the Central region and certain communities in the eastern slopes region were included to account for the majority of fishing activity in the south.

#### SURVEY DESIGN

The survey was designed to elicit information on perceptions of a quality fishing experience, characteristics of a typical fishing trip, opinions on fisheries management options, fishing activity in 1990 and a variety of socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents and their families. The survey was designed by individuals in the Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta, with assistance from individuals at the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division. Several forms of pre-tests were performed. Surveys were handed out to individuals at the Great Plains Fishery Workers Association Annual Meeting in Lethbridge Alberta (February 4-6, 1991) and a presentation informed participants about the survey design and structure. A more formal pretest involved mailing an early version of the survey to a random sample of Alberta anglers (names and addresses obtained from fishing licences). The results of these pretests were examined to provide information on question restructuring and design. After pretesting, the final version of the survey instrument contained four sections: (1) Attitudes and Opinions About Fishing, (2) Awareness of Recreational Fishing Opportunities in Southern Alberta, (3) Fishing Activity in 1990 and (4) Demographic Information. The structure of each section is outlined below. (A copy of the survey is attached).

# (1) Attitudes and Opinions about Fishing

This section was constructed to elicit opinions about quality factors that affect the recreational fishing experience, the type of activities the average angler engages in, opinions about fisheries management options and the expenditures on recreational fishing. The factors affecting recreational fishing quality were captured using a 1-5 rating scale of various items which may affect the choice of fishing site. These factors included water quality, distance to the site, boat access, etc. Individuals were

also asked about their favourite site, how many years they had visited this site, what attributes attracted them and how they became aware of the site. In order to gauge the type of fishing activity occurring in the Southern region, respondents were asked to described a typical fishing trip. The factors they were asked to describe included the mode of transportation chosen, the length of stay, the type of fishing, pounds of fish caught, years of experience, length of planning period before a trip, individuals accompanying the respondent and whether the respondent practices catch and release fishing. This section also elicited information on the respondent's choice of fisheries management options if overfishing becomes a problem. Respondents were asked to choose from season length restrictions, size limits, cancelling bait fishing, increasing licence fees, increasing stocking, increasing enforcement, enforcing catch and release, increasing fines or other categories of management options. The average annual expenditures on fishing (transport costs, licence costs, food, bait, etc) were also elicited. Finally, as a screening variable for the remainder of the survey, individuals were asked if they fished in 1990. If they answered YES they were directed to the next section of the survey on fishing site awareness.

# (2) Awareness of Recreational Fishing Opportunities in Southern Alberta

In order to gain an understanding of the awareness of fishing sites in the Southern region of Alberta, respondents were presented with a map, illustrating 77 fishing sites in the Southern region, and were asked to indicate which of the sites they had ever visited or heard of as a fishing site. This section will provide information on the sites individuals consider when making fishing site choices. The results from this section may also be useful when generating information to promote recreational fishing. A site which is not recognized as a fishing spot may be promoted through recreational opportunity and tourism material. In the technical portion of the economic modelling, the awareness factor is an essential ingredient for a model predicting site choice.

# (3) Fishing Activity in Southern Alberta in 1990

Respondents were asked to fill in a trip activity chart for each fishing trip between May 1, 1990

and October 31, 1990. This chart included the name of the site, the distance from home, party size, fish species sought, number caught and released and the type of water body visited. The respondents were also asked to mark on a calender the dates over which these trips occurred. This information provides a detailed view of the location and duration of fishing activity. The information will also be useful for constructing the economic model of site choice and the calender will add information on the type of site chosen at various times in the fishing season. Respondents were also asked to provide the total number of fishing trips made in the 1990 season.

# (4) Demographic Information

The demographic section of the survey elicited information on place of residence, gender, age, household size and structure, income, education, and leisure time availability. These factors may be important in determining the number of fishing trips, the type of fishing experience sought (i.e. fishing with children in a nearby pond versus wilderness trophy fishing) and the timing of fishing trips.

The survey packet included a cover letter, a survey and a "prize ballot". The cover letter (copy attached) included the logos of Trout Unlimited, the University of Alberta and the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division. This letter encouraged individuals to respond and emphasized the importance of this information for management and conservation. The prize ballot was enclosed as an incentive to respond or a reward for those who took the time to respond. Several prizes were donated by Trout Unlimited, Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division and Walleye Country Lures. The prizes were presented to those individuals who were drawn from the set of respondents who filled out the prize ballot. A separate ballot was included to facilitate separation of the ballot (with the respondent's name) from the survey and thereby maintain confidentiality and anonymity of the responses.

The survey design plays a large role in response rates. Research has shown that a well designed

7

survey will significantly increase response. In the next section, the sampling design and the response to the two mailings of the survey will be discussed.

#### SAMPLING DESIGN

#### 1. Pretest

A small sample of 50 names were used for an initial mailout to test the response to the questionnaire on 5 Feb 1991. The 50 names were drawn at random from a list of 150 provided by the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division. This list was a surplus from a sample population created for The National Sports Fishing Survey run concurrently by the division. After minor changes to the survey, the final instrument was ready for mailing.

#### 2. Southern Region Sample

The goal was to sample the population of fishermen in Alberta that fish in the Southern region. Based on previous research it was assumed that 60% of those people that fish in the Southern region live in that region. Another 20% live in the region from Southern region north to Calgary. Another 15% live in the area from Calgary to Leduc. By including all fishermen from Leduc south, the sample would cover 95% of the population that fish in the southern region. These assumptions would be verified by a separate sample (see below under "Provincial Sample").

The copies of all fishing licences sold in Alberta in 1990 were made available by the Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division. These were evaluated on the basis of residence, with those living within the designated area separated for sampling. Any licence that had already been used for the concurrent National Sportsfishing survey was excluded, regardless of place of residence. The licences were then counted to determine the method to be used to obtain the final sample size of 5,000. A total of 62,783 were found to be within the designated area.

The sample was created by picking one of every 12 licences, for a total of 6,001 cases within the sample. Given that the licences were stored on the basis of arrival, and not in any order by residence, the count was started with the first licence in each box, and then continued through the whole filebox. These

names were then entered into a computer database. In the instance where the 12th licence was not suitable for the sample, the next licence was used without interrupting the count. Licences were deemed to be unsuitable if they had an address that was incomplete, or in the case where a licence not from the designated region was inadvertently included among the 62,783.

The 6,001 cases were then further reduced to the final sample size of 5,000 through the use of a random selection. A random number generator was used to select a start point between 1 and 56 and then every 6th licence was deleted.

The 5,000 names were used for the first mailout of the survey, sent on March 13th, 1991. The questionnaire package included an entry form for a prize draw. Upon return of a questionnaire, the draw forms were separated from the questionnaire, and checked with the 5,000 name mailing list. Names of those who had returned completed questionnaires were removed from the mailing list. As well, the names of those questionnaires returned not completed (improper address, no longer at that address etc) were removed from the list. The total received to this point (1,051) and the total returned incomplete (374) reduced the list to 3575 names. This reduced list of 3575 was then used to send a second package to non-respondents on May 6, 1991.

# 3. Alberta Sample

A smaller sample of 478 was taken from a list of 1978 names provided by the Fish and Wildlife Division to verify that the sample of 5000 truly approximated the population that fished in the Southern region. This sample included people residing in all parts of the province. The first mailout was sent on May 6, 1991 with the second mailout of the main survey sample. Four weeks later a second package was sent to all the names on this list of 478 names.

#### **RESPONSE RATES**

The table below illustrates the response rates for the first and second mailing of the Southern region survey and the Alberta survey (the sample used to check the proportion of anglers in the Southern region from all residences in the province). The total Southern region survey mailout of 5000 provided a

response of 2,114 completed questionnaires and 580 surveys returned unopened (incorrect addresses, individuals who have moved, etc.). The effective response rate was 48%, a rate which is quite admirable given the complexity of the survey instrument and the fact that this was a mail survey. The Alberta survey sample of 500 resulted in a similar response rate (43%). In both cases approximately 10% of the sample resulted in questionnaires returned unopened. This figure is about average for mail surveys.

Some preliminary results examining the presence of non-response bias indicates that there is no evidence of non-response bias between the first and second mailings (those who responded to the second mailing were non-respondents to the first mailing) for demographic variables (age, income, education). This indicates that there is no difference in demographic variables between the respondents to the first and second mailings. However, investigation of non-response bias on the factors of number of fishing trips and participation in fishing did reveal some evidence of non-response bias. The second mailing not only increased the effective response rate by 17% but it probably decreased any non-response bias by including a group which showed less active interest in recreational angling. Additional investigation into non-response bias was not-possible given the resources available, however, we feel that the second mailing has significantly reduced the presence of any non-response bias which may have been evident in the first mailing.

Table 1. Sample Size,	Response and	Response Rate	es for the Su	urvey.

Mailout	Number sent	Number returned Unopened	Percent returned Unopened	Effective sample size	Number completed	Percent of effective completed
Southern region First Mailout	5,000	431	9	4,569	1535	34
Southern region Second Mailout	3,575	149	4	3,426	579	17
Total	5,000	580	12	4,420	2,115	48
Province wide First Mailout	478	32	7	446	118	26
Province wide Second Mailout	478	15	3	463	69	15
Total	478	47	10	431	187	43

The remainder of this report discusses the highlights of the Southern region results from the fishing survey. Included in the discussion are the characteristics of a typical trip, the awareness of sites in the Southern region, a summary of the activities of Southern Region anglers and a description of the demographic characteristics of Southern region anglers. These results are based on the 2,115 responses to the Southern region survey and they are also stratified into two categories, Category 1: the total set of respondents to the survey, and Category 2: the set of respondents who took at least 1 fishing trip within the Southern region.

From a management perspective, distinguishing between Category 1 and Category 2 is important. Category 2 represents those respondents who took at least one fishing trip in the Southern region. Hence, the attitudes and opinions expressed here are meaningful when evaluating management policies for the Southern region. Respondents from Category 1 may or may not have fished in the Southern region, and therefore in some cases the attitudes and opinions may not accurately reflect those characteristics unique to the Southern region.

#### SURVEY RESULTS

Detailed results of the survey responses are contained in Appendices A and B. Appendix A encompasses those responses from Category 1 (the total set of responses), and Appendix B reflects responses from Category 2 (active Southern region anglers). The descriptives from these results will be presented in this section. As an explanatory note, any reference in the descriptives made to the "entire set" refers to the data in Appendix A, and any reference made to "Southern region anglers" refers to the data in Appendix B.

#### ATTITUDES AND OPINIONS ABOUT FISHING

Questions 1.1 through 1.16 in Appendices A and B provide information about factors influencing where respondents want to fish. The results from the entire set of respondents (Appendix A) indicate that a good chance to catch trophy-size fish rates relatively low. A good chance to catch the limit and a good chance to catch a preferred fish species ranks somewhat important.

For over fifty percent of the respondents, knowing that the lake is stocked with fish was split between somewhat important and very important, with responses in the order of twenty seven percent and twenty eight percent respectively.

Over fifty percent of the respondents ranked privacy from other anglers as being important. Natural beauty of the surroundings, access to wilderness areas, and water quality all ranked as being very important. Natural beauty and access to wilderness areas was important for over seventy percent of the respondents, and water quality was important for eighty five percent of the respondents.

For over seventy percent of the respondents, the fact that a site was limited to fly fishing was not important.

Over seventy five percent of the respondents considered distance from home to be an important factor in deciding where they wanted to fish. Of these seventy five percent, forty seven percent rank it somewhat important. Similarly, familiarity with the area was important for over fifty percent of the respondents. Access to picnic or camping facilities at or near the site, on average, rated somewhat important, however the responses were fairly evenly distributed between not important and very important. Fishing at a site with boat access was not important, whereas good road access to the site was somewhat important. Finally, owning land or a cabin near the site, and having friends or relatives living nearby was not important for an overwhelming ninety percent of the respondents.

Comparing the Southern region angler responses with those for the entire set, it was found that, in most cases, the respondents exhibited similar attitudes and opinions. Ratings on good chances of catching trophy-sized fish, catching the limit, and catching a preferred fish species were similar in both surveys. Knowing that the lake is stocked with fish ranked somewhat higher with the Southern respondents.

Privacy from other anglers, natural beauty of surroundings, water quality, and access to wilderness areas, again, all ranked as somewhat important to very important. As is shown in questions 1.5 through 1.8 in Appendices A and B, the Southern region responses closely mirror those for the entire sample. The fact that a site was limited to fly fishing was not important for the Southern respondents, but the Southern mean was slightly higher than that for the entire set.

The responses for questions 1.10 through 1.16 are almost identical (in terms of means and medians) for the Southern responses and the entire set, with only negligible differences between means.

#### FAVOURITE FISHING SITE

Question 2 in Appendix A examines responses from the entire set of respondents about their favourite fishing site. The most frequent response indicated that fourteen percent of the respondents have fished at their favourite site for 10 years. The median response was 7 years and the mean was 9.975 years. The standard deviation was just over 9 years. There were some noticeable secondary peaks in frequency values at 3, 5,

15 and 20 years, with percentage of responses at 10, 12, 7 and 7 respectively.

Over the last five years, 24.6 percent of respondents visited their favourite site 6-10 times, while 21.7 percent visited more than thirty times. The mean number of visits was 16-20, and the median was 11-15.

Over thirty seven percent of the respondents first became aware of the site from friends. Information from family members and random chance were a distant second and third place with 16.5 and 15.3 percent respectively.

The survey revealed that the specific things about a favourite fishing site that are particularly enjoyed are good fishing (catch rate), scenic quality, and seclusion, with 18.4, 15.7, and 15.5 percent of responses respectively. All other site characteristics proposed in the survey each received less than seven percent of the total response. It is interesting to compare these site-specific characteristics with the general attitudes and opinions expressed about fishing in questions 1.1 through 1.16. There are several marked differences between general opinions and site specific characteristics, for example water quality and distance from home.

Data for the Southern region anglers show some differences from that of the entire survey. In the

southern region (Appendix B, 2A-2D), thirteen percent of the respondents indicated that they had been fishing at their favourite site for 10 years. The median and mean values were slightly higher at 8 and 10.790 respectively. Again, there were frequency peaks at 5, 11-15, and 16-20 years.

Over twenty six percent of the respondents visited their favourite site more than 30 times over the last 5 years. The mean and median were somewhat higher than for the entire survey at close to 15 times and 16-20 times.

Similar to those responses shown in Appendix A, the Southern region respondents first became aware of the site from friends. Family members and random chance were the second and third place sources of information.

Furthermore, good catch rates, seclusion and quietness, and scenic quality were the most popular specific things about the favourite fishing site that are particularly enjoyed. For the frequency distribution of all site-specific characteristics, refer to Appendix B - Question 2D. It is interesting to compare the rankings of these site specific characteristics to the general attitudes and opinions about fishing expressed in Appendix B - Question 1.1 through 1.16.

#### A TYPICAL FISHING TRIP

A typical fishing trip in Alberta involves a fisherman with an average of 21 years of fishing experience driving (in a car, truck or van) to the fishing site, and staying for a full day, or two to three days. The time spent travelling from home to the fishing site is, on average, found to be enjoyable. The trip is usually planned a few days before, and 38 percent of the time they go fishing with friends (36 percent of the time is with family). The fishermen typically employ spin casting from shore, with the most popular alternative method being ice fishing. An overwhelming majority of fishermen practice catch and release fishing. One to four pounds is the typical amount of fish that is taken home on a single trip.

Examining the Southern region anglers, a typical fishing trip is very comparable to that of the rest of Alberta except for two differences. First, a typical trip to a fishing site in Southern Alberta is for a full day, and usually fishermen go with friends. Over a typical fishing season, the majority of fishermen throughout Alberta and the Southern region usually spend in excess of five hundred dollars. In both survey responses, the distribution patterns of expenditure are similar and are also fairly evenly distributed among each expenditure category, with some minor fluctuations.

### MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

If overfishing becomes a problem in Alberta lakes and rivers, the management option that would most likely be seen in addressing the problem, (as the responses indicate in both Appendices A and B, and the histogram "Preferred Management Option") is that of employing catch and release fishing. Increased stocking is a close second. In both the Southern responses and the entire set, it is worth noting that when other management options are chosen, the majority opinion lies in a combination of the management options presented in question 4. Also, as the histogram suggests, the active Southern region responses closely reflect those of the entire survey.

### AWARENESS

The survey revealed that a large proportion of the respondents went sportfishing in Alberta in 1990. Active respondents in the Southern region went sportfishing over ninety eight percent of the time. The results over the entire survey were slightly lower with only eighty eight percent of the respondents sportfishing in Alberta in 1990.

Question 7 (pages 4-5 in the survey) examines the issue of awareness of fishing sites. The seventyseven Southern Alberta sites named in the survey were divided into fifteen regional groups. The awareness of sites within each regional group are graphically depicted in this section of the report, and frequency statistics for all respondents and the active respondents in the Southern region are found in Question 7 in Appendices A and B. In all cases, awareness of the seventy seven sites was higher for the Southern respondents compared with those for the entire survey.

The descriptive analysis for these statistics will begin with a comparison and description within

each regional group, and will extend to an overall ranking of site-awareness over the entire Southern Alberta region.

In the Upper Oldman River area, the site that respondents visited or heard of as a fishing site most was the Upper Oldman River. For the active Southern respondents, Dutch creek was second with 39.4 percent aware, and over the entire survey the Oldman River to the Piegan reserve was second with 29.0 percent.

The most well-known site in the Crowsnest River area for the Southern respondents was Castle River, with 42.2 percent awareness. For the entire survey, it was a close tie for first place between Crowsnest River (Passberg to Lundbreck) and Castle River, with 28.7 and 28.6 percent awareness.

In the Castle River area, Beavermines lake was the most familiar site, with 53.9 and 34.1 percent awareness for the Southern and overall respondents respectively.

Overall, awareness statistics for the Waterton Lakes area were relatively low. The site most were aware of was Mami (Paine) Lake, with 25.3 percent for active Southern respondents, and 15.0 percent over the entire survey.

The most familiar site in the Pincher Creek area was Beauvais Lake for both sets of respondents. Over 47 percent of Southern Alberta respondents were aware of this site, with a corresponding figure for the entire set of responses at 29.7 percent. In both cases, the second most familiar site was the Waterton Reservoir.

In the Claresholm area, Chain Lake was the site respondents were most aware of, with 61.9 percent aware for the active Southern responses, and 50.0 percent aware over the entire set of responses. The most well-known site in the Vulcan area was McGregor reservoir, and in the Lethbridge area, Keho Lake was the most familiar site.

The Claresholm, Lethbridge and Vulcan areas are combined on the bar chart. Chain Lake is the most well-known site in this set, with McGregor Reservoir and Travers Reservoir following in second and third place. It is worth noting that McGregor and Travers reservoir are both located in the Vulcan area, and awareness about the sites in the Lethbridge area were generally lower than that for the other two areas.

The best known site in the Cardston area is Police (Outpost) Lake with 44.5 percent aware in the Southern region, and a notably lower 27.8 percent aware over the entire survey. In both surveys, St. Mary Reservoir was second place, and the third place remaining sites had fairly evenly distributed awareness percentages.

Awareness of respondents to sites in the Milk River-Warner area were relatively lower than that for other areas. In both Appendices A and B, the site with the highest awareness percentage was Tyrell Lake. In the Southern region, 26.2 percent of the respondents were aware of the location, and over the entire survey, awareness was a much lower 15.3 percent. Again, in both sets of survey results, the second and third sites for awareness were, respectively, the Milk River Ridge Reservoir and the Heninger Reservoir. All other locations had awareness responses of less than 10 percent.

In the Taber area, the most familiar site was Chin Reservoir, with 37.2 percent of the Southern respondents and 23.3 percent of all respondents aware of the location. In the Vauxhall area, the most familiar site was Little Bow Reservoir, with 38.1 percent of active Southern respondents and 28.3 percent over the entire survey aware of the site. Examining the bar graph, it can be seen that the two previously mentioned sites rated significantly higher, in term of awareness, than the other location within these regions.

Sites in the Bassano area had relatively high awareness responses compared to other regions. The most familiar location was the Bow River (Carseland to Bassano) with 42.8 percent and 35.1 percent of responses attributable to the Southern and overall surveys respectively. The Bow River (Bassano to mouth) was in second places with 39.7 and 32.0 percent of the respondents aware, and the Red Deer River (Finegan to Dinosaur) followed with 25.7 and 23.7 percent of respondents aware of the location.

The most well-known site in the Brooks area is Lake Newell, with over 50 percent of the Southern region respondents aware of the site, and a corresponding figure of 38.2 percent for the entire survey. Other awareness statistics for sites in this region were fairly low.

A bar chart presented below encompasses awareness data for the Bassano/Brooks area. Within this region, Lake Newell is by far the most familiar site with over 50 percent awareness, and the two Bow

River locations follow in second place with approximately 40 percent of respondent awareness.

In the Medicine Hat area the most familiar site was Elkwater Lake, with 35.4 percent of the Southern respondents aware of the location, and a corresponding value of 23.7 percent over the entire set of responses. Second place went to Reesor Lake with 29.3 percent and 17.7 percent of Southern and all respondents aware respectively.

Examining all the statistical data, the three most well-known sites that respondents have ever visited of heard of as a fishing site are Chain Lake, McGregor Reservoir, and Lake Newell, with 50 percent, 44 percent and 38 percent, respectively, of all respondents aware of these locations. These rankings are consistent in both the active Southern respondent data and the entire set of responses. For a complete set of rankings, refer to the frequency distribution tables in Appendices A and B. As a note, the site that fishermen were least aware of was Butcher Lake, which is in the Pincher Creek area.

#### FISHING TRIPS ACTUALLY TAKEN

Data from the entire set of respondents indicates that, on average, the number of fishing trips taken in 1990 was 9, with a median value of 5 and a standard deviation of just about 11 trips. The most frequent response was 3 trips with 13 percent of the respondents indicating so. There were noticeable frequency jumps at 20 and 30 trips, with, in each case, a 3 percent response rate.

Examining the data set from those respondents active in the Southern region, values for the mean, median and standard deviation were slightly higher, at 10, 6 and 11.833 respectively. Again, there were noticeable frequency jumps at 30 days with a 4 percent response rate, and at 15 and 20 days with a 3 percent response rate.

The entire set of respondents indicated that in 1990, they took fishing trips to a total of 581 sites in Alberta. The site data seemed to naturally separate into three distinct groups: the 77 Southern Alberta sites named in the survey (refer to question 7), general locations referring to major rivers in Alberta, and over 500 miscellaneous sites, most of which are not located in Southern Alberta. Furthermore, the Southern angler respondents took fishing trips to a total of 439 sites.<sup>1</sup>

At this point, an explanatory note is needed. In the data set in Appendices A and B for all parts of Question 8, there are two response percentages calculated. "Percent of responses" is the percentage response (or percent of total visits) of a particular location over all sites visited. Obviously, this number totals to 100. "Percent of cases" is a value reflecting the fact that a single site may be visited by more than one fisherman. Since only 15 sites are requested, and different survey respondents can visit the same site, the percent-of-cases value totals to greater than 100. Essentially, this figure denotes the percentage of respondents that visited the site as one of their fifteen visits.

Of the 77 Southern sites named in the survey, the site where most respondents took fishing trips was McGregor Reservoir, with 2.9 percent response rate over all the data, and 18.1 case-percent of respondents listing it as a fishing site they travelled to. Other most-visited sites, in descending order of rank and with case-percentages around the 10 percent mark, are: Chain Lake, Bow river (Bassano to mouth), Reesor Lake, Lake Newell, Travers Reservoir, and Beavermines Lake. For response and case percentages, refer to Question 8b in Appendix A.

The most visited general location was the Bow river, with over 60 percent of cases travelling to this site, and an individual response of 9.7 percent over all visited sites. In second place was the Red Deer River, with a much lower 14.7 percent of all respondents travelling to this site, and 2.3 percent overall visiting percentage.

For the over 500 miscellaneous sites visited, two notable locations stand out. Gull lake was the most visited site, with 14.1 case-percent, and a 2.2 percent visiting rate over all 649 locations. Pine Lake followed with corresponding figures of 12.9 percent and 2.0 percent. Other conspicuous locations were Crawling Valley Reservoir, Sylvan Lake, Highwood River, and Kananaskis Lake.

In the southern region, fishing trips were taken to a total of 635 sites. Of the 77 Southern sites, the most visited was McGregor reservoir, with case and overall survey response rates of 31.0 percent and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Site locations for all survey respondents and the subset of Southern anglers can be found under Question 8B in Appendices A and B respectively. Code numbers for each site were assigned by the researchers but not all sites were visited by respondents. Therefore, not all site numbers appear in the data.

4.4 percent respectively. Chain Lake and the Bow River (Carseland to Bassano) were the second and third most visited sites.

In the Southern region data, there were 16 other sites with case-percentages around the 10 percent mark. They are, in descending order of rank: Reesor Lake, Lake Newell, Beavermines Lake, Travers Reservoir, Chin Reservoir, Spruce Coulee Reservoir, Mami Lake, Keho Lake, Murray Reservoir, Sherburne Reservoir, Castle River, Bow River (Bassano to mouth), Elkwater Lake, Beauvais Lake, Rattlesnake/Sauder Reservoir, and Crowsnest River (Lundbreck to mouth).

For active Southern region respondents, the most visited general site was the Bow river, with a case-percentage of 45.2, and a response rate of 6.4. The second was the Crowsnest river with corresponding percentages of 16.2 and 2.3. All other general locations were not significant.

Of the 500-odd miscellaneous sites, only three stand out. Crawling Valley Reservoir, Highwood River, and Kananaskis Lake are well-visited locations with case-percentages of 10.5, 7.5, and 6.4 respectively.

Examining the distance travelled from home to the site for each fishing visit, the data for the entire set of respondents suggests that most people travel less than 100 miles per visit. The Southern region data parallels this trend, but with significantly higher percentage response rates (refer to Question 8C in Appendices A and B for values).

For both the entire set of responses and the Southern region angler responses, over 90 percent of responses indicate that the size of the party that went fishing was 1-4 persons, with a frequency peak at 2 people.

Again, for both sets of data, the most popular type of fish sought was trout (unspecified species), with 36.9 percent response rate over the entire survey, and 38.9 percent response rate for the Southern region. Within the trout category, rainbow trout was the most preferred catch. The second most sought after species was northern pike, with 24.5 and 26.6 percentages for the entire and Southern surveys. A bar chart depicting the most sought after species distribution can be found below.

In over 85 percent of cases over the entire survey, and in over 96 percent of cases over active

Southern respondents, 2 fish were caught. Over 50 percent of the time, 4 or less fish were caught. In line with these results, the typical number of fish released was 2, but the case percentages were lower with 78.8 percent of cases for the entire survey, and 84.5 percent of cases over the active Southern respondents. For percentage response over the entire data set, refer to Question 8F & 8G in Appendices A and B.

Over 75 percent of the respondents fished in a river or lake, with the majority fishing in a lake. Data for the southern region parallel that for the entire survey. In both cases, reservoirs were a distant second place, with approximately 9 percent of respondents fishing there.

Most fishing trips were one day in length, and over 90 percent of trips were 3 days or less in length.

#### DEMOGRAPHICS

The significant majority of survey respondents were male. The average age over the entire survey was 39.17 with a standard deviation of almost 12 years, and the active Southern respondent was slightly younger at 37.9 years with a standard deviation of 11 years.

Over half of the respondents for both sets of data did not have any children under the age of 16 years, and over ninety percent of the respondents did not have anyone over the age of 65 in their household. For those respondents that did, the children under the age of 16 and over the age of 65 usually did not go fishing.

The average respondent across both data sets had 12 years of education. Average annual household income for the entire survey was close to \$40,000, and slightly lower in the Southern Region respondents at about \$35,000. There were frequency peaks at the \$45,001-50,000 range for the entire set of responses, and at \$45,001-50,000 for the Southern respondents, with 10.2 percent and 10.8 percent respectively.

Most anglers worked (for pay) an average of 37 hours per week, with some flexibility allowed in working hours per week. The majority response was 40 hours per week for both the entire set of respondents and the active Southern respondents with percentage rates of 38 and 41 respectively.

22

The majority of survey respondents' main occupation was in the professional and technical field. Following in second and third places were tradesman and service sector employees. On average, these workers got almost 13 days of paid vacation, and seldom took time off work to go fishing. CONCLUSIONS

This interim report presents descriptive results of the 1991 survey of Southern Region recreational anglers. The report illustrates the popularity of recreational fishing in the Southern Region and it describes the data that have been collected for future use in modelling fishing site choice and value. This report has only scratched the surface in terms of analysis of these data. Only direct descriptive statistics have been presented. Further analysis will make use of the reports on approximately 11,000 fishing trips, the wide variety of types of anglers and the variety of preferences and opinions on the use and management of recreational fishery resources. While the descriptives are of interest in themselves, there is a great deal of information in this data set which will be of use to managers and decision makers now and in the future.

























APPENDIX A: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS ALL RESPONDENTS

VALUE LABE	2L	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	ant	1 2	787	37.2	38.0	38.0
somewhat i	mportant	3	614 174	29.0	29.7	56.3
very impor	tant	5	174	8.2 5.5	8.4 5.6	94.4 100.0
		-	40	2.2	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	2.253 1.455	MEDIAN MINIMUM		2.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.206 5.000

Question 1.1 Good chance to catch trophy-sized fish

Question 1.2 Good chance to catch limit

VALUE LABE	L	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import somewhat i very impor	ant mportant tant	1 2 3 4 5	510 393 641 330 199	24.1 18.6 30.3 15.6 9.4	24.6 19.0 30.9 15.9 9.6	24.6 43.6 74.5 90.4 100.0
		0 TOTAL	42 2115	2.0  100.0	MISSING  100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	2.670 1.608	MEDIAN MINIMUM		3.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.268 5.000

Question 1.3 Good chance to catch preferred fish species

VALUE LABE	Ľ	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import somewhat i	ant mportant	1 2 3	332 186 625	15.7 8.8 29.6	16.1 9.0 30.4	16.1 25.2 55.5
very impor	tant	4 5 0	529 387 56	25.0 18.3 2.6	25.7 18.8 MISSING	81.2 100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	3.220 1.696	MEDIAN MINIMUM	ſ	3.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.302 5.000

VALUE LABE	ΣL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	ant	1	288	13.6	14.1	14.1
somewhat i	mportant	3	574	27.1	28.1	25.6 53.6
very important		5	604 604	28.6	29.5	100.0
				 	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	3.363 1.897	MEDIAN MINIMUM	Ĩ	3.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.377 5.000

Question 1.4 Knowing that the lake is stocked with fish

Question 1.5 Privacy from other anglers

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor	tant	1	266	12.6	12.9	12.9
		2	249	11.8	12.1	25.0
somewhat important		3	623	29.5	30.3	55.3
		4	489	23.1	23.7	79.0
very impo	rtant	5	432	20.4	21.0	100.0
		0	56	2.6	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.278	MEDIAN	3	.000	STD DEV	1.280
VARIANCE	T.078	MINIMUM	1 <u>1</u>	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.6 Natural beauty of surroundings

VALUE LABEL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important	1	68	3.2	3.3	3.3
	2	71	3.4	3.4	6.7
somewhat important	. 3	404	19.1	19.5	26.2
	4	612	28.9	29.6	55.8
very important	5	914	43.2	44.2	100.0
	0	46	2.2	MISSING	
	-				
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 4.079	MEDIAN	4	.000	STD DEV	1.032
VARIANCE 1.064	MINIMUM	1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.7 Water quality

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT	
not important	1	18	.9	.9	.9	
	2	21	1.0	1.0	1.9	
somewhat important	3	216	10.2	10.5	12.4	
-	4	510	24.1	24.8	37.2	
very important	5	1294	61.2	62.8	100.0	
	0	56	2.6	MISSING		
г -	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0		
MEAN 4.477	MEDI	AN	5.000	STD DEV	.79	1
VARIANCE .626	MINI	MUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.00	0

Question 1.8 Access to wilderness areas

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor-	tant	1	242	11.4	11.8	11.8
		2	239	11.3	11.7	23.5
somewhat important		3	645	30.5	31.5	55.0
	-	4	453	21.4	22.1	77.1
very impor	rtant	5	468	22.1	22.9	100.0
		0	68	3.2	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.325	MEDIAN	3	.000	STD DEV	1.273
VARIANCE	1.620	MINIMUM	[ 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

# Question 1.9 Site limited to fly fishing

VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE FRE	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	cant	1	1210	57.2	59.1 15.8	59.1 74 9
somewhat i	important	3	266	12.6	13.0	87.9
very important		5 0	130 69	6.1 3.3	6.4 MISSING	100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	1.844 1.498	MEDIAN MINIMUM		1.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.224 5.000

Question 1.10 Distance from home

VALUE LABEL	VALUE FF	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important	1	264	12.5	12.8	12.8
	2	201	9.5	9.7	22.5
somewhat important	3	1001	47.3	48.4	70.9
	4	352	16.6	17.0	87.9
very important	5	251	11.9	12.1	100.0
	0	46	2.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 3.060	MEDIAN	3	3.000	STD DEV	1.122
VARIANCE 1.260	MINIMU	M 1	L.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.11 Familiarity with the area

VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important		1	320	15.1	15.6	15.6
		2	314	14.8	15.3	30.9
somewhat important		3	882	41.7	42.9	73.8
	-	4	356	16.8	17.3	91.1
very important		5	182	8.6	8.9	100.0
		0	61	2.9	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	2.886	MEDIAN	3	.000	STD DEV	1.136
VARIANCE	1.291	MINIMUN	1 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.12 Owning land or a cabin near the site

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important		1	1646	77.8	80.1	80.1
		2	199	9.4	9.7	89.8
somewhat important		3	114	5.4	5.5	95.3
		4	44	2.1	2.1	97.5
very important		5	52	2.5	2.5	100.0
		0	60	2.8	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	1.373	MEDIAN	1	L.000	STD DEV	.882
VARIANCE	.777	MINIMUN	1 1	L.000	MAXIMUM	5.000
Question 1.13 Good road access to the site

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important	1	344	16.3	16.7	16.7
	2	274	13.0	13.3	30.0
somewhat important	3	686	32.4	33.3	63.2
	4	426	20.1	20.7	83.9
very important	5	332	15.7	16.1	100.0
	0	53	2.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 3.062	MEDI	ran -	3 000		1
VARIANCE 1.648	MIN	IMUM	L.000	MAXIMUM	1.284
Question 1.14 Sit	ce with	boat acces	s		
				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
not important	1	789	37.3	38.3	38.3
	2	265	12.5	12.9	51.2
somewhat important	3	477	22.6	23.2	74.4
	4	246	11.6	12.0	86.3
very important	5	281	13.3	13.7	100.0
	0	57	2.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

MEAN VARIANCE	2.497 2.076	MEDIAN MINIMUM	2.000	STD DEV Maximum	1.441
	2.070	MINIMOM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.15 Picnic/camping facilities at or near site

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important somewhat import very important	1 2 tant 3 4 5 0	461 230 541 420 410	21.8 10.9 25.6 19.9 19.4	22.4 11.2 26.2 20.4 19.9	22.4 33.5 59.7 80.1 100.0
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 3.04 VARIANCE 2.00	3 MEDIA 4 MININ	AN 3 MUM 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.416 5.000

Question 1.16 Friends or relatives live nearby

VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE FR	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	tant	1 2	1712 213	80.9	82.5	82.5
somewhat i	important	3	99 28	4.7	4.8	97.5
very impor	rtant	5 0	23 40	1.1 1.9	1.1 MISSING	100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	1.283 .513	MEDIAN MINIMUM	: [	1.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.716 5.000

Question 2 Information about trips to your favorite fishing site

Question 2A Approximately how many years have you fished at this site?

			CUM				CUM				CUM
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	$\mathbf{PCT}$	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
0	45	2	2	14	13	1	74	29	1	0	0.4
1	120	6	8	15	144	7	81	30	5 2 5 2	2	94
2	182	9	17	16	16	,	81	33	1	2	27
3	199	10	26	17	11	1	82	34	1	0	97
4	125	6	32	18	15	1	83	35	13	1	97
5	251	12	44	19	2	ō	83	36	1	0	90
6	99	5	49	20	148	7	90	38	1	0	20
7	62	3	52	21	2	Ó	90	40	21	1	90
8	81	4	56	22	8	Õ	90	45	24	0	99
9	9	0	56	23	7	Ő	91	46	, 1	0	99
10	283	14	70	24	2	Õ	91	50	6	0	100
11	12	1	71	25	68	3	94	55	2	ő	100
12	44	2	73	26	3	Ō	94	57	1	ň	100
13	9	0	73	28	3	0	94	60	2	Ő	100
			М	ISSIN	G	DA	ТА		5	Ŭ	100
				VALUE	FI	REO					
				99		36					
MEAN	9.	975		MEDIAN		7.00	0	אזיים חידיט		0	200
VARIANCE	84.	649		MINIMUM		.00	õ	MAXIMIM		9. 60	200
						-				<b>•••</b>	000

Question 2B Approximately how many times have you visited this site in the last 5 years ?

VALUE LABE	EL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
less than 6-10' 11-15 6-20 21-30 more than	5 30	1 2 3 4 5 6 0 TOTAL	379 502 255 221 243 444 71 	17.9 23.7 12.1 10.4 11.5 21.0 3.4 	18.5 24.6 12.5 10.8 11.9 21.7 MISSING 	18.5 43.1 55.6 66.4 78.3 100.0
MEAN VARIANCE	3.381 3.382	MEDIAN MINIMU	3 M 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.839 6.000

Question 2C How did you first become aware of this site?

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
from fami	ly member	1	336	15.9	16.5	16 5
from frie	nds	2	764	36.1	37.5	54 0
word of m	outh, gene	ral 3	281	13.3	13.8	67 8
Alberta F	ishing gui	de 4	16	.8	.8	68 6
tourist i	nfo, (pamp	hlets,			•••	00.0
highway	signs, der	bies) 5	78	3.7	3.8	72 4
random ch	ance, (inc	ludes hik:	ing		0.00	, 2 • 1
camping,	driving)	6	311	14.7	15.3	87.7
close to 1	home	7	159	7.5	7.8	95.5
do not ha	ve a favor	ite 8	24	1.1	1.2	96.7
miscellan	eous, paid	guide 9	17	.8	.8	97.5
maps		10	20	.9	1.0	98.5
do not ren	nember	11	5	.2	.2	98.8
while hund	ting	12	25	1.2	1.2	100.0
		0	79	3.7	MISSING	100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.458	MEDIAN	2.00	ი <b>ლ</b>		2 417
VARIANCE	5.842	MINIMUM	1.00	0 MA	XIMUM	2.41/ 12.000

Question 2D What are the specific things about this site that you particularly enjoy?

			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
large fish	1	126	3.2	6.2
good fishing (catch rate)	2	732	18.4	36.2
fish are stocked	3	40	1.0	2.0
numerous variety of species	4	53	1.3	2.6
favorite species present	5	126	3.2	6.2
type of fishing (fly, boat a	etc) 6	66	1.7	3.3
seclusion, quietness	7	619	15.5	30.6
road access	8	215	5.4	10.6
boat access	9	44	1.1	2.2
commercial facilities (store	es etc) 10	6	0.2	0.3
camping/picnic facilities	, 11	310	7.8	15.3
facilities (unspecified)	12	48	1.2	2 4
close to home/worksite	13	241	6.0	11 9
water quality	14	204	5.1	10 1
scenic quality	15	624	15.7	30.8
fresh air	16	18	0.5	0.9
open fires allowed	17	3	0.1	0.1
outdoor experience (general)	18	137	3.4	6.8
other campers (friendliness)	19	19	0.5	0.9
familiarity with site	20	17	0.4	0.8
presence of other wildlife	21	45	1.1	2.0
undeveloped, wilderness	22	137	3.4	6 8
do not have a favorite site	23	2.4	0.6	1 2
patrolled by fish and			0.0	1.2
wildlife officers	24	2	0.1	0 1
size of lake	25	33	0.8	1 6
clean, unpolluted, general	26	77	1 9	2 0
own land or cabin nearby	27	8	0.2	5.8
free camping	28	11	0.2	0.4
		** 		0.5
TOTA	L RESPONSES	3985	100 0	196 0
91 MISSING CASES	2024 VALID CA	SES	100.0	190.9

## Question 3 Information about typical fishing trips

Question 3A What type of transport do you usually use to go from your home to a fishing site?

VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
1	52	2.5	2.5	2.5
2	14	.7	.7	3.2
3	1518	71.8	74.3	77.5
4	444	21.0	21.7	99.2
5	16	.8	.8	100.0
0	71	3.4	MISSING	
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
	VALUE 1 2 3 4 5 0 TOTAL	VALUE         FREQUENCY           1         52           2         14           3         1518           4         444           5         16           0         71           TOTAL	VALUE         FREQUENCY         PERCENT           1         52         2.5           2         14         .7           3         1518         71.8           4         444         21.0           5         16         .8           0         71         3.4           TOTAL         2115	VALUE         FREQUENCY         PERCENT         VALID           1         52         2.5         2.5           2         14         .7         .7           3         1518         71.8         74.3           4         444         21.0         21.7           5         16         .8         .8           0         71         3.4         MISSING           TOTAL         2115         100.0

Question 3A.1 Other transport to fishing site

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
airplane	1	6	37.5	46.2	46.2
horse	2	5	31.2	38.5	84.7
boat	3	2	12.5	15.3	100.0
	4	3	18.8	MISSIN	G
				·	
	TOTAL	16	100.0	100.0	

Question 3B How long do you stay at the site on a typical trip to a fishing site?

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
1-2 hours	1	89	4.2	4.3	4.3
half day	2	472	22.3	22.8	27.1
full day	3	720	34.0	34.7	61.8
2-3 days	4	650	30.7	31.4	93.2
greater than 3 days	5	142	6.7	6.8	100.0
	0	42	2.0	MISSING	ł
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	•

Question 3C Generally speaking, how enjoyable do you find the time spent travelling to the fishing site?

					VALID	CUM
VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
very unen	joyable	1	101	4.8	4.8	4.8
		2	212	10.0	10.2	15.0
		3	881	41.7	42.2	57.2
		4	493	23.3	23.6	80.8
very enjoy	yable	5	401	19.0	19.2	100.0
	-	0	27	1.3	MISSING	3
			<b></b>			-
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.422	MEDIAN	3.000	0 STI	D DEV	1.059
VARIANCE	1.122	MINIMUM	1.000	0 MAX	KIMUM	5.000

Question 3D What type of fishing do you usually do?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
bait fishing	1	421	19.9	22.1	22.1
spin casting	2	863	40.8	45.3	67.3
trolling	3	271	12.8	14.2	81.5
fly fishing	4	301	14.2	15.8	97.3
ice fishing	5	51	2.4	2.7	100.0
2	0	208	9.8	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 3E What method of fishing do you usually use?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
from shore	1	1246	58.9	61.4	61.4
motorboat	2	558	26.4	27.5	88.9
canoe/rowing	3	120	5.7	5.9	94.8
other	4	105	5.0	5.2	100.0
	0	86	4.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 3E.1 Other methods of fishing used

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
float wading ice fishing	1 2 3 4	7 15 2 81	6.7 14.3 1.9 77.1	29.2 62.5 8.3 MISSI	29.2 91.7 100.0 NG
	TOTAL	 105	100.0	100.0	

Question 3F In pounds, approximately how much fish do you take home on a typical fishing trip?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM
< 1 lb 1-4 lb 5-10 lb > 10 lb	1 2 3 4 0	561 1054 402 74 24	26.5 49.8 19.0 3.5 1.1	26.8 50.4 19.2 3.5 MISSING	26.8 77.2 96.5 100.0
	<b>T</b> O <b>T2 T</b>				
	TOLAT	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 3G Approximately how many years of fishing experience do you have?

VALU	E FREQU	ENCY PERCENT	CUMULATIVE PERCENT		
0-4	4 118	5.7	5.7		
5-9	9 177	8.5	14.2		
10-14	4 272	13.1	27.3		
15-19	9 267	12.9	40.2		
20-24	1 368	17.8	58.0		
25-29	9 255	12.3	70.3		
30-34	258	12.5	82.8		
35-39	9 122	5.9	88.7		
40-44	128	6.2	94.9		
45-49	48	2.3	97.2		
50-54	41	2.0	99.2		
55-59	13	0.6	99.8		
60-64	5	0.2	100.0		
99	43	MISSING			
MEAN	21.467	MEDIAN	20.000	איזם מידט	10 005
VARIANCE	149.442	MINIMUM	.000	MAXIMUM	60.000

Question 3H Do you practice catch and release fishing?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
yes no	1 2 0	1651 452 12	78.1 21.4 .6	78.5 21.5 MISSING	78.5 100.0
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 31 How far ahead do you usually plan fishing trips?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
on the same day day before few days before a week before few weeks before more than a month	1 2 3 4 5 before 6 0	162 325 769 410 291 123 35	7.7 15.4 36.4 19.4 13.8 5.8 1.7	7.8 15.6 37.0 19.7 14.0 5.9 MISSING	7.8 23.4 60.4 80.1 94.1 100.0
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 3J Who do you usually go fishing with?

VALUE LABEL	177 T TTE	FREQUENCY	DEDGENE	VALID	CUM
	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
spouse	1	376	17.8	18.7	18.7
friends	2	773	36.5	38.4	57.1
family	3	727	34.4	36.2	93.3
nobody	4	135	6.4	6.7	100.0
	0	104	4.9	MISSING	•
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 4 If overfishing becomes a problem in Alberta lakes and rivers, which of the following management options would you most like to see used to address the problem?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
shorter season	1	81	3.8	3 9	2 0
size limit	2	246	11.6	11 8	15 6
no bait fishing	3	66	3.1	3.2	18 9
increase licence fees	4	30	1.4	1.4	20.2
increase stocking	5	514	24.3	24.6	44 8
more enforcement	6	197	9.3	9.4	54.2
catch and release larger fines for	7	588	27.8	28.1	82.3
violations	8	203	9.6	9.7	92 0
other	9	168	7.9	8.0	100.0
	0	22	1.0	MISSING	100.0
			·	·	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 4.1 alternate management option (if other chosen)

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
no management needed combination of above rotational closures, barbless hooks no commercial fishin smaller limits, seas no fishing at all less netting, (winte increase licence fee	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	12 111 3 1 10 8 1 11 11 10	7.1 66.1 1.8 0.6 6.0 4.8 0.6 6.5 0.5 6.0	7.6 70.3 1.9 0.6 6.3 5.1 0.6 7.0 0.6 MISSING	7.6 77.9 79.8 80.4 86.7 91.8 92.4 99.4 100.0
	TOTAL	168	100.0	100.0	

Question 5 season?	How much do	o you spe	end on fish	ing over a	typical	fishing
					VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL		VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
\$0-\$50		1	149	7.0	7.1	71
\$51-\$100		2	313	14.8	14.9	22.0
\$101-\$200		3	358	16.9	17.0	39.0
\$201-\$300		4	365	17.3	17.4	56.3
\$301-\$500		5	415	19.6	19.7	76.1
> \$501		6	503	23.8	23.9	100.0
		0	12	.6	MISSING	
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3,995	MEDTAN	4.00	ם סידים		1 600
VARTANCE	2.558	MINIM	1 00	0 MAY		5 000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.000		1.00		THOM	0.000

Question 6 Did you go sportfishing in Alberta in 1990?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
yes no	1 2 0	1847 253 15	87.3 12.0 .7	88.0 12.0 MISSING	88.0 100.0
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 7 Which of the following sites have you ever visited or heard of as a fishing site?

	UNAWARE O	F SITE	AWARE OF	SITE
SITE NAME	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
Upper Oldman River	1433	67.8	682	32.2
Livingstone River	1636	77.4	479	22.6
Dutch Creek	1589	75.1	526	24.9
Racenorse Creek	1715	81.1	400	18.9
Oldman River, Hwy 22 bridge	to	•		
Peigan Reserve	1501	71.0	614	29.0
Crowsnest Lake	1629	77.0	486	23.0
Allison (Chinook) Lake	1792	84.7	323	15.3
Crowsnest River, headwaters	to			
Blairmore (Legion bridge)	1685	79.7	430	20.3
Crowsnest River, Blairmore t	0			
Passberg bridge (Byron Cr)	1730	81.8	385	18.2
Crowsnest River, Passberg br	idge			
to Lundbreck Falls	1509	71.3	606	28.7
Crowsnest River, Lundbreck Fa	alls to			
mouth (Blairmore Pincher C	r) 1522	72.0	593	28.0
Burmis Lake	1786	84.4	329	15.6
Castle River	1510	71.4	605	28.6
Lynx Creek	1802	85.2	313	14.8
Carbondale River	1846	87.3	269	12.7
West Castle River	1690	79.9	425	20.1
Beavermines Lake	1394	65.9	721	34.1
Barnaby (Southfork) Lake	1924	91.0	191	9.0
South Castle River	1778	84.1	337	15.9
Crooked Creek	1998	94.5	117	5.5
Mami (Paine) Lake	1798	85.0	317	15.0
Cottonwood Creek	1977	93.5	138	6.5
Bathing Lake	2012	95.1	103	4.9
Butcher Lake	2070	97.9	45	2.1
Dipping Vat Lake	1896	89.6	219	10.4
Drywood Creek	2000	94.6	115	5.4
Waterton Reservoir	1529	72.3	586	27.7
Cochrane Lake	1890	89.4	225	10.6
Beauvais Lake	1487	70.3	628	29.7
Waterton River	1688	79.8	427	20.2
Oldman River at Fort MacLeod	1559	73.7	556	26.3
Willow Creek	1685	79.7	430	20.3
Chain Lake	1057	50.0	1058	50.0
McGregor Reservoir	1182	55.9	933	11 1
Travers Reservoir	1430	67.6	685	30 /
Keho Lake	1571	74.3	544	22.4
Oldman River-Monarch to Fork	s 1821	86.1	244 201	12 0
Nicholas Sheran Park Lake	1896	89 K	294 010	10 /
Henderson Lake	1718	81 2	213	10.4
Stafford Reservoir	1911	90 /	201	T0.0
McOuillan Lake	2007	90.4	204	9.0
2 2	2007	74.7	T08	5.L

Question 7 (Continued) Which of the following sites have you ever visited or heard of as a fishing site?

	UNAWARE OF	F SITE	AWARE OF	SITE
SITE NAME	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
Belly River	1861	88.0	254	12.0
St Mary River, Upper				
to Reservoir	1861	88.0	254	12.0
St Mary Reservoir	1696	80.2	419	19.8
St Mary River below Reservo	r 1866	88.2	249	11.8
Police (Outpost) Lake	1527	72.2	588	27.8
Cross Coulee Reservoir	2005	94.8	110	5.2
Tyrrell Lake	1791	84.7	324	15.3
Milk River Ridge Reservoir	1875	88.7	240	11.3
Goldsprings Park pond	2041	96.5	74	3.5
Milk River, mouth of the N.	Milk River	-		
to Miners Coulee Creek	1961	92.7	154	7.3
Heninger Reservoir	1928	91.2	187	8.8
Milk River, Miners Coulee Cu	reek			
to Montana border	1984	93.8	131	6.2
Chin Reservoir	1622	76.7	493	23.3
Sherburne Reservoir	1955	92.4	160	7.6
Lake south of Burdett	1989	94.0	126	6.0
Little Bow Reservoir	1517	71.7	598	28.3
Stonehill Lake	1977	93.5	138	6.5
Badger Reservoir	1867	88.3	248	11.7
Bow River Bassano Dam to mou	th 1439	68.0	676	32.0
Bow River Carseland to Bassa	no 1373	64.9	742	35.1
Red Deer River, Finegan to I	inosaur			
Provincial Park	1614	76.3	501	23.7
Brooks childrens pond	1933	91.4	182	8.6
Cowoki Reservoir	1976	93.4	139	6.6
Tilly B Reservoir	1847	87.3	268	12.7
Lake Newell	1308	61.8	807	38.2
South Saskatchewan River, Ra	ttlesnake			
to Saskatchewan border	1777	84.0	338	16.0
Echo Dale Regional Park pond	1958	92.6	157	7.4
South Saskatchewan River,				
Forks to Rattlesnake	1850	87.5	265	12.5
Rattlesnake/Sauder Reservoir	1908	90.2	207	9.8
Cavan Lake	1913	90.4	202	9.6
Michell Reservoir	1937	91.6	178	8.4
Murray Reservoir	1940	91.7	175	8.3
Bullshead Reservoir	1941	91.8	174	8.2
Spruce Coulee Reservoir	1863	88.1	252	11.9
Elkwater Lake	1614	76.3	501	23.7
Reesor Lake	1740	82.3	375	17.7

VALUE	FREQ	РСТ	CUM PCT	VALUE H	FREQ	PCT	CUM PCT	VALUE	FREO	РСТ	CUM PCT
1	172	10	10	17	6	0	86	35	~	0	07
2	166	10	20	18	10	1	87	36	1	0	97
3	216	13	32	19	4	n n	87	30	1 2	0	97
4	164	10	42	20	51	2	<u>an</u>	20	ר ז	0	97
5	153	- 9	51	20	1	0	90	39	1	1	97
6	119	7	58	22	1	0	90	40	14	T	98
7	95	6	63	23	2	0	90	41	1	0	98
8	77	4	68	24	5	0	90	42	1 5	0	98
9	71	4	72	25	35	2	91	40	2	0	98
10	62	4	75	25	1	2	90	40	1	0	98
11	46	7	79	20	1	0	93	50	15	1	99
12	40	2	20	27	1	0	93	52	T	0	99
13	10	1	00	20	4	0	93	60	3	0	99
14	17	1	02	29		0	93	61	1	0	99
15	1/ 51	L L	83	30	52	3	96	70	3	0	100
15	51	3	86	33	1	0	96	75	2	0	100
10	6	0	86	34	1	0	96	99	4	0	100
				MISSI	N G	D	АТ	A			
				VALU	JE	FRE	2				
					0	399	Э				
MEAN	9.	124		MEDTAN		5 00	0	פייה הדיי		10	070
VARIANC	E 120.	340		MINIMIM		1 00	0			TO.	9/0
		540		TITICH		T.00	0	MANTHOM		99.	000

Question 8A How many trips did you take in 1990?

-	-		PCT OF	PCT OF
SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Upper Oldman River, (NW Branch)	1	63	0.6	3.7
Livingstone River	2	51	0.5	3.0
Dutch Creek	3	62	0.6	3.6
Racehorse Creek	4	38	0.4	2.2
Oldman River; Hwy 22 bridge to				
Peigan Reserve	5	37	0.3	2.2
Crowsnest Lake	6	42	0.4	2.5
Chinook Lake (Allison Lake)	7	37	0.3	2.2
Crowsnest River; headwaters to				
Blairmore (Legion bridge)	8	11	0.1	0.6
Crowsnest River; Blairmore to				
Passberg bridge (Byron Creek)	9	12	0.1	0.7
Crowsnest River; Passberg bridge	e to			
Lundbreck Falls	10	49	0.5	2.9
Crowsnest River; Lundbreck Falls	to mouth			
(Blairmore Pincher Creek areas)	11	79	0.7	4.6
Burmis Lake	12	7	0.1	0.4
Castle River	13	90	0.8	5.3
Lynx Creek	14	40	0.4	2.4
Carbondale River	15	42	0.4	2.5
West Castle River	16	48	0.4	2.8
Beavermines Lake	17	172	1.6	10.1
Barnaby (Southfork) Lake	18	4	0.0	0 2
South Castle River	19	43	0.4	25
Crooked Creek	20	4	0.0	0.2
Mami (Paine) Lake	21	106	1 0	6 2
Cottonwood Creek	22	100	1.0	0.2
Bathing Lake	22	13	0.0	0.2
Butcher Lake	24	1	0.1	0.8
Dipping Vat Lake	25	20	0.0	1 2
Waterton Reservoir	23	20	0.2	2 1
Cochrane Lake	27	10	0.3	2.1
Beauvais Lake	20	19	0.2	1.1
Waterton Diver	29	27	0.8	4.9
Aldman Piver - near Fort Maglood	30	27	0.2	1.6
Willow Crock	22	17	0.2	1.0
Chain Tako	32	26	0.2	1.5
Macroson Degenuein	33	234	2.2	13.8
McGregor Reservoir	34	308	2.9	18.1
Vaha Laha	35	1/1	1.6	10.1
	36	94	0.9	5.5
Jiaman River; Monarch to Forks	37	12	0.1	0.7
Vicnolas Sneran Park Lake	38	29	0.3	1.7
lenderson Lake	39	27	0.2	1.6
Stafford Reservoir	40	12	0.1	0.7
CQUIIIan Lake	41	8	0.1	0.5
Belly River	42	8	0.1	0.5
St Mary River; Upper to Reservoir	43	7	0.1	0.4
St Mary Reservoir	44	35	0.3	2.1
St Mary River below Reservoir	45	5	0.0	0.3
'olice (Outpost) Lake	46	47	0.4	2.8
ross Coulee Reservoir	47	26	0.2	1.5

Question 8B Site of fishing	trips (cont	inued)		
SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Tyrrell Lake	48	10	0.1	0 6
Milk River Ridge Reservoir	49	49	0.5	2 0
Goldsprings Park pond	50	11	0.5	2.9
Milk River; mouth of the North	Milk River		0.1	0.0
to Miners Coulee Creek	51	1	0 0	0 1
Heninger Reservoir	52	36	0.0	0.1
Milk River-Miners Coulee Creek		50	0.5	2.1
to Montana border	53	З	0 0	0 2
Chin Reservoir	54	157	1 5	0.2
Sherburne Reservoir	55	91	1.5	9.2
Unnamed Lake-near Burdett	56	54	0.8	5.4
Little Bow Reservoir	57	44	0.5	3.2 2 C
Stonehill Lake	58	12	0.4	2.0
Badger Reservoir	59	69	0.1	0.7
Bow River; Bassano Dam to mouth	60	89	0.0	4.1
Bow River; Carseland to Bassano	61	220	0.8	5.2
Red Deer River; Finegan to	0 <b>T</b>	220	2.0	12.9
Dinosaur Provincial Park	62	1 2	0 1	
Brooks childrens pond	63	5	0.1	0.7
Cowoki Reservoir	64	12	0.0	0.3
Tilly B Reservoir	65	22	0.1	0.7
Lake Newell	66	199	0.2	1.3
South Saskatchewan River; Rattl	esnake to	190	1.8	11.6
Saskatchewan border	67	54	0 5	~ ~
Echo Dale Regional Park	68	10	0.5	3.2
South Saskatchewan River: Forks	00	12	0.1	0.7
to Rattlesnake	69	20	0 0	
Rattlesnake/Sauder reserve	70	29	0.3	1.7
Cavan Lake	71	, <del>,</del>	0.7	4.6
Michell Reservoir	72	24	0.1	0.5
Murray Reservoir	73	24 02	0.2	1.4
Bullshead Reservoir	74		0.9	5.5
Spruce Coulee Reservoir	75	114	0.1	0.6
Elkwater Lake	76	114 04		6.7
Reesor Lake	70	04 207	0.8	4.9
Bow River, general	78	207	1.9	12.2
Crowsnest River, general	70	1048	9.7	61.6
Milk River, general	80	101	1.5	9.5
Oldman River, general	01	6	0.1	0.4
Red Deer River, general	01 01	98	0.9	5.8
St Mary River general	02	250	2.3	14.7
South Saskatchewan River general	0.0	6	0.1	0.4
Outside of province	04 05	49	0.5	2.9
Sharon Lake	05	110	1.1	6.8
Catarack Creek	97	T O	0.0	0.1
Severn Reservoir	07	8	0.1	0.5
Weed Lake	00	21	0.2	1.2
Little Red Deer River	69	5	0.0	0.3
North Ram River	90 01	19	0.2	1.1
Kananaskis Lake	92 AT	31	0.3	1.8
THE DUTE DUVE	92	131	1.2	7.7

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Highwood Piwor				0.1020
Sheen Bivor	93	139	1.3	8.2
Lake Mindaporo	94	93	0.9	5.5
Wolf Jako	95	1	0.0	0.1
Moose Jako	96	11	0.1	0.6
Pigeon Lake	97	35	0.3	2.1
Spray Lake Decorning	98	52	0.5	3.1
Name unknown	99	125	1.2	7.4
	100	226	2.1	13.3
Sulvan Lake	101	10	0.1	0.6
Jako Minnovanko	102	151	1.4	8.9
Lake Minnewanka	103	54	0.5	3.2
Niypu Lake	104	1	0.0	0.1
Naterion NC Park (chain Lake)	105	2	0.0	0.1
Park Lake	106	20	0.2	1.2
Lost Lake (Vauxnall area)	107	4	0.0	0.2
Big Knife Park (Battle River)	108	15	0.1	0.9
Pine Lake	109	220	2.0	12.9
Dickson dam	110	68	0.6	4.0
Crandell Lake, (Waterton)	111	2	0.0	0.1
Ridge park	112	5	0.0	0.3
North Buck Lake	113	9	0.1	0.5
Little Chestener Lake	114	1	0.0	0.1
Hidden Lake (Bragg Creek area)	115	1	0.0	0.1
Crawling Valley Reservoir	116	161	1.5	9.5
MCKinnon flats, (Bow River)	117	21	0.2	1.2
Elbow River	118	71	0.7	4.2
Buck Lake	119	67	0.6	3.9
Floating Stone Lake	120	7	0.1	0.4
Brazeau River	121	5	0.0	0.3
Gull Lake	122	240	2.2	14.1
Baptiste Lake	124	2	0.0	0.1
Jackson Lake	127	2	0.0	0.1
Beaver Lake	128	23	0.2	1.4
Battle Lake	129	38	0.4	2.2
Pigeon Creek	130	1	0.0	0.1
Cow Lake	131	12	0.1	0.7
Ram River	132	28	0.3	1.6
Black Nugget Minepit, (Camrose)	133	13	0.1	0.8
Carson Lake	136	16	0.1	0.9
Wabamum Lake	137	4	0.0	0.2
Fork Lake	138	12	0.1	0.7
James River	139	18	0.2	1.1
Hastings Lake	140	5	0.0	0.3
Stauffer Creek	141	38	0.4	2.2
Strubel Creek	142	1	0.0	0.1
Thunder Lake	143	1	0.0	0 1
Pinehurst Lake	147	21	0.2	1 2
Raven River	149	58	0.5	 7 /
Birch Lake	150	1	0.0	0 1
Burnstick Lake	151	44	0.4	2 6
	—			2.0

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Phyllis Lake	152	6	0 1	0.4
Cold Lake	154	10	0.1	0.4
Forestburg Lake	155	17	0.1	0.6
Jackfish Lake	156	1/ 5	0.2	1.0
Pierce Lake	157	2	0.0	0.3
Lougheed Lake	158	2	0.0	0.1
Clearwater River	159	51	0.1	0.5
Smoke Lake	161	J1 1	0.5	3.0
Rock Lake	162	1 1	0.0	0.1
Glenmore Reservoir	163	86	0.0	U.Z
Little Bear Lake	164	1	0.8	5.I 0 1
Lac Ste Anne	165	16	0.0	0.1
Chester Lake	166	20	0.1	0.9
Jumping Pound Creek	167	26	0.1	1 5
Twin Lakes	168	13	0.2	0 0
Peppers Lake	169	11	0.1	0.0
Saskatchewan River	170	10	0.1	0.6
Banff Lake areas	171	1	0.1	0.0
Dickson pond	172	26	0.0	1 5
Sybil flats	173	20	0.2	1.5
Nakoka lodge	174	1	0.0	0.1
Siebert Lake	175	10	0.0	0.1
Six Lakes	176	1	0.1	0.0
Swan Lake	177	27	0.0	1 6
Medicine Lake	178	22	0.2	1 2
Devils Lake	179	1	0.2	1.5
Prairie Creek	180	80	0.0	4 7
Chambers Creek	181	2	0.0	4.7
Fortress Lake	182	10	0 1	0.1
Maligne Lake	183	6	0 1	0.0
Hinton	184	1	0.1	0.4
Chestermere Lake	185	42	0.0	2 5
Ghost Lake	186	79	0.7	2.5
Swawell	187	7	0.1	4.0
Touchwood Lake	188	7	0.1	0.4
Elenore Lake	189	4	0.0	0.4
Willison Creek	190	1	0.0	0.2
Patricia Lakes	191	1	0.0	0.1
Strubel Lake	192	15	0.1	0.1
Kerbes pond	193		0.0	0.9
Diplomat pond	194	9	0 1	0.5
Buffalo Lake	195	70	0.6	1 1
Fallen Timber Creek	196	54	0.5	3 2
Swan River	197	3	0.0	0.2
Dixon pond	198	6	0 1	0.2
Dormer Lake	199	2	0.0	0.4
Burnt Timber Creek	202	23	0.2	1 /
Sturgeon Lake	203	4	0.0	<del>-</del>
Ribbon Creek	204	1	0.0	0.2
Thirteen (13) mile	205	- 4	0.0	0.2

SITE NAME	CODE	COLINIT	PCT OF	PCT OF
	CODI	COONT	RESPONSES	CASES
Fish Lake	206	26	0.2	1.5
Coal Lake	207	20	0.2	1.2
Lake Isle	208	6	0.1	0.4
Pierre Grey Lake	211	1	0.0	0.1
Smokey River	212	3	0.0	0.2
Sheep Creek	213	1	0.0	0.1
Cold Creek	215	1	0.0	0.1
Fickel Lake	221	2	0.0	0.1
Driedmeat Lake	222	7	0.1	0.4
Gap Lake	223	23	0.2	1.4
Brown Creek	224	5	0.0	0.3
Boon Lake	225	1	0.0	0.1
Taylor Lake	226	4	0.0	0.2
Obrien Lake	227	1	0.0	0.1
Watridge Lake	230	5	0.0	0.3
Bragg Creek	231	2	0.0	0.1
Ribbon Lake	232	9	0.1	0.5
Gregoire Lake	233	2	0.0	0.1
Todd Creek	234	1	0.0	0.1
Rolling Hills Reservoir	235	10	0.1	0.6
Seebe dam	236	34	0.3	2 0
Dutch Lake	237	1	0.0	0 1
Langdon Reservoir	238	24	0.2	1 /
Eagle Lake	239	29	0.3	1 7
Garner Lake	240	6	0.1	0 4
Michichi Reservoir	241	38	0.4	2 2
Horseshoe power plant	242	12	0.1	07
Beaver flat	243	4	0.0	0.7
Lake Missawawi	244	1	0.0	0.2
Coronation dam	245	8	0 1	0.5
Bassano dam	246	22	0.2	1 3
Elbow Falls	247	2	0.0	0 1
Mclean Creek pond	248	7	0 1	0.1
Grist Lake	249	1	0.1	0.4
Blood Indian Reservoir (Oyen)	250	71	0.7	4 2
Stirling trout pond	251	9	0 1	4.2
Rock Island Lake	252	3	0.1	0.5
Calling Lake	253	3	0.0	0.2
Fish Creek	254	5	0.0	0.2
Kananaskis River	255	32	0.0	1 0
Barrier Lake	256	16	0.3	1.9
Embrass River	257	1	0.1	0.9
Pembina River	258	1	0.0	0.1
Goat pond	259	1	0.0	0.2
Bearspaw dam	255	21	0.0	0.1
Carburn Park (Calgary)	260	21	0.2	1.2
Terrall Reservoir	201	د م	0.0	0.2
Writing on Stone Park	202	2	0.0	0.1
Forty mile dam	203	1 27	0.0	0.1
Anderson dam	204	27	0.2	1.6
	200	2	0.0	0.1

STTF NAME	CODE	COIDIM	PCT OF	PCT OF
SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Bear pond	266	8	0.1	0.5
Bridgeland Creek	267	1	0.0	0.1
Tay Lake	268	6	0.1	0.4
Three Point Creek	269	3	0.0	0.2
Nice Creek	270	1	0.0	0.2
Cripple Creek	271	3	0.0	0.2
Goodwin Lake	272	1	0.0	0.2
Castle Falls	273	6	0.0	0.1
Headwall Lake	274	4	0.1	0.7
Wall Lake	275	1	0.0	0.2
Mckenzie Lake	275	2	0.0	0.1
Lac des Arc	278	2	0.0	0.1
Ghost River	278	23	0.0	1 4
North Saskatchewan River	279	14	0.2	
Sibbald flats	280	31	0.1	1 0
Allan bill pond	281	51	0.5	1.0
Elbow Lake	201	25	0.1	1 5
Mirror Reservoir	202	25	0.2	1.5
East Stony Creek	205	7	0.1	0.4
Wilson Creek	204	1	0.0	0.1
Sipperlies dam	205	2	0.0	0.2
Lower Stony Creek	280		0.0	0.2
Hilers dam	207	2	0.0	0.1
Dognound Creek	200	4 2 A	0.0	0.2
Hector Lake	209	J4 1	0.3	2.0
Mudd Lake (Kanaskis area)	290	1 2	0.0	0.1
Meadow Creek	291	2	0.0	0.1
Marvel Lake	292	9	0.1	0.5
Pilot pond	291	6	0.1	0.5
Hansen pond	295	0	0.1	0.4
Cypress Hills	295	4	0.0	0.2
Ford Creek	200	1	0.0	0.1
Yellow Lake	297	12	0.0	0.1
Rainy Lake	290	12	0.1	0.7
Windsor Lake	300	2	0.0	0.1
Upper Man Lake	301	1	0.1	0.5
Frenchmans Lake	302	2	0.0	0.1
Fairfax Lake	304	2	0.0	0.1
Rat Creek	305	1	0.0	0.3
Waterfowl Lake	305	1 2	0.0	0.1
Long Lake	307	5	0.0	0.2
Wardens Lake	200	5	0.0	0.3
Alford Creek	308	2	0.0	0.2
Two Jack Lake	210	o 2	0.1	0.5
Winnifred Lake	211	 Б	0.0	0.2
Glenifer Lake	315	C A C	0.0	0.3
Island Lake	212	ວ4 E	0.3	2.0
Cross Lake	51 <i>4</i>	5	0.0	0.3
Lost Creek	J⊥4 216	۲ ۲	0.0	0.1
Lac la Biche	217	с С	0.0	0.3
Tao Ia DIONE	2T1	ð	0.1	0.5

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Cameron Lake, (Waterton)	318	з	0 0	0.2
Rawson Lake	319	4	0.0	0.2
William Creek	320	5	0.0	0.2
Waiparous Creek	321	18	0.0	1 1
Johnson Creek	322	4	0.2	1.1
Lesueur Creek	323	9	0 1	0.2
Hidden Creek	324	3	0.1	0.5
Devils Head Creek	325	4	0.0	0.2
Tay River	326	18	0.2	1 1
Thunder Mountain Lake	327	1	0.0	0 1
Helmer dam	328	15	0.1	0.1
Burns Lake	329	1	0.0	0.1
Tacis Lake	330	1	0.0	0.1
Tombstone Lake	331	4	0.0	0.2
Kehiwin Lake	332	6	0.1	0.4
Minnie Lake	333	3	0.0	0.2
Grizzly Lake	334	3	0.0	0.2
Lees Creek	335	6	0.1	0.4
Klaudts dam	336	4	0.0	0.2
Golden Lake	339	2	0.0	0.1
MacLeod River	341	2	0.0	0.1
Amisk Lake	342	1	0.0	0.1
Shunda Creek	343	26	0.2	1.5
Abraham Lake	344	3	0.0	0.2
Sunken Lake	345	4	0.0	0.2
Enchant pond	346	4	0.0	0.2
Egypt Lake	347	1	0.0	0.1
Bourgeau Lake	348	4	0.0	0.2
Wedge pond	349	1	0.0	0.1
Bill allen pond	350	1	0.0	0.1
Snaring River (Jasper Ntl Park)	355	2	0.0	0.1
Fawcett Lake	356	12	0.1	0.7
Athabasca River	357	5	0.0	0.3
Two Lakes	358	1	0.0	0.1
Muriel Lake	360	4	0.0	0.2
Beartrap Lake	361	1	0.0	0.1
Hilda Lake	362	3	0.0	0.2
Mcvinnie pond	363	18	0.2	1.1
Clear Creek	364	1	0.0	0.1
Dam H (Vauxhall)	365	6	0.1	0.4
Tucker Lake	366	1	0.0	0.1
Midway Reservoir	367	2	0.0	0.1
Landslide Lake	368	2	0.0	0.1
Bear Lake	369	8	0.1	0.5
Big Iron Lake	370	10	0.1	0.6
LOST GUIDE LAKE	371	3	0.0	0.2
Grass Lake	372	1	0.0	0.1
Pearce Estate Park (Calgary)	373	1	0.0	0.1
Georges pond	374	1	0.0	0.1
GOAT LAKE	375	1	0.0	0.1

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Loretts pond	376	1	0.0	• •
Keeney pond	370		0.0	0.1
Kramers pond	378		0.0	0.3
Klein Lake	370	1	0.0	0.1
Margaret Lake	380	1	0.0	0.1
Sauder Reservoir	381	4	0.0	0.2
Grassy Lake	382	2	0.0	0.1
Chickenhill Lake	302	29	0.3	1.7
Carnovon Lake	384	2	0.0	0.1
Winchell Lake	325	9	0.1	0.5
Schroeder Creek	386	2	0.0	0.1
Pincher Creek	200	5	0.0	0.3
Dewits pond	300	2	0.0	0.1
Three Isle Lake	300		0.0	0.1
Yarrow Creek	300	5	0.0	0.3
East Scarpe Lake	390	4	0.0	0.2
Lys Lake	202	3	0.0	0.2
Foremost dam	392	2	0.0	0.1
Green Lake	393	3	0.0	0.2
Rae Lake	205	1	0.0	0.1
Lilian Lake	395	I	0.0	0.1
Snipe Lake	390	6	0.1	0.4
Sperser Lake	397	3	0.0	0.2
Lonesome Lake	398	2	0.0	0.1
Michell Creek	399	1	0.0	0.1
Alexander Creek	400	1	0.0	0.1
Elk River	401	1	0.0	0.1
Blindman River	402	4	0.0	0.2
Storm Lake	403	20	0.2	1.2
Bighorn River	404	2	0.0	0.1
Utikuma Lake	405	2	0.0	0.1
Fincastle Lake	406	3	0.0	0.2
Pickle Jar Lake (Kananackia and	407	3	0.0	0.2
Panther River	a) 408	7	0.1	0.4
Rainy Ridge Lake	409	2	0.0	0.1
Picture Butte Recorvoir	410	3	0.0	0.2
Elford Creek	411	4	0.0	0.2
Grotto nond	412	1	0.0	0.1
Pinestone River	413	7	0.1	0.4
Herbert Lake	414	1	0.0	0.1
Many Lakes	415	1	0.0	0.1
Horsefly Lake	416	1	0.0	0.1
Dajsy Crock	417	3	0.0	0.2
Alligon Crock	418	2	0.0	0.1
Scope dam	419	1	0.0	0.1
Cameron Creck	420	13	0.1	0.8
Lee Creek	421	3	0.0	0.2
Johnson Lake	422	7	0.1	0.4
Flathoad Grook	423	4	0.0	0.2
Seven norgona Caral	424	2	0.0	0.1
Seven persons creek	425	2	0.0	0.1

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Botteral Creek	126	2		
Owl Lake	420	2	0.0	0.1
Gloria Lake	427	2	0.0	0.1
Flat Creek	420	1	0.0	0.1
Pekisko Creek	429	1	0.0	0.1
Squaw Creek	430	1	0.0	0.1
Ministikwan Lake	431	I 2	0.0	0.1
Cow Creek	432	2	0.0	0.1
Katherine Lake	433	8	0.1	0.5
Fall Creek	434	1	0.0	0.1
Lick Creek	435	1	0.0	0.1
Battle River	430		0.0	0.1
Muskeg River	437	24	0.2	1.4
Grand Cache Lake	430	1	0.0	0.1
Bantiste River	439	1	0.0	0.1
Mud Creek	440	2	0.0	0.1
Skunk Creek	441	3	0.0	0.2
Calolside dam	442	2	0.0	0.1
Goldeve Lake	443	2	0.0	0.1
Cricket Lake	444	18	0.2	1.1
Duck Lake	445	1	0.0	0.1
Spring Creek	446	1	0.0	0.1
Kenney Couloo	44/	1	0.0	0.1
Lac la Norro	448	4	0.0	0.2
Bow Island town nend	449	4	0.0	0.2
Gooseborry dam (Laha)	450	4	0.0	0.2
Dalmond Decoming (Lake)	451	5	0.0	0.3
Ficher Creek	452	1	0.0	0.1
FISHEL CLEEK	453	6	0.1	0.4
Enerald Lake	454	2	0.0	0.1
Lake Zota	455	3	0.0	0.2
Dake Leta	456	2	0.0	0.1
Maria Lake	457	1	0.0	0.1
	458	2	0.0	0.1
Votab Creak	459	6	0.1	0.4
Vetch Creek	460	2	0.0	0.1
Swan Creek	461	5	0.0	0.3
Ponoka Chain Lakes	462	4	0.0	0.2
Camp Creek	463	1	0.0	0.1
Ethel Lake	464	1	0.0	0.1
Peace River	465	1	0.0	0.1
Stoney Creek	466	2	0.0	0.1
Limestone Creek	467	1	0.0	0.1
Clarks Reservoir	468	2	0.0	0.1
Mcfinney pond	469	2	0.0	0.1
Sundance Lake (Calgary)	470	17	0.2	1.0
Dodds coal mine	471	2	0.0	0.1
Sugar factory Lake, Taber	472	3	0.0	0.2
Glacier River	473	1	0.0	0.1
Elk Creek	474	6	0.1	0.4
Rat Lake	475	1	0.0	0.1

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Mclaren Lake	476	4	0.0	0.2
Mann Lake	477	7	0.1	0.4
Huber dam, (Coronation)	478	5	0.0	0.3
Capt ere Lake	479	1	0.0	0.1
Meeting Creek	480	1	0.0	0.1
Hay River	481	2	0.0	0.1
Little Bow River	482	16	0.1	0.9
Trap Creek	483	1	0.0	0.1
Berland Creek	484	1	0.0	0.1
Sundance Creek	485	1	0.0	0.1
Alix Creek	486	2	0.0	0.1
Primrose Lake	487	3	0.0	0.2
Lawrence Creek	488	1	0.0	0.1
Laurier Lake	489	2	0.0	0.1
Ravine Creek	490	3	0.0	0.2
Blackstone Creek	491	6	0.1	0.4
Whitefish Lake	492	1	0.0	0.1
Bower Lake	493	4	0.0	0.2
Lake Haze	494	1	0.0	0.1
Bouquene Lake	495	1	0.0	0.1
Bourque Lake	496	1	0.0	0.1
Long Island Lake	497	1	0.0	0.1
Missawawi Lake	498	4	0.0	0.2
Battle Creek	499	3	0.0	0.2
Open Creek dam	500	2	0.0	0.1
Libby dam	501	2	0.0	0.1
Moore Lake	502	2	0.0	0.1
Bow City Lake	503	12	0.1	0.7
offman Jake	504	1	0.0	0.1
Jag Santa	505	1	0.0	0.1
Lac Sante Harmore Lake	506	7	0.1	0.4
Rlackett Jake	507	1	0.0	0.1
Vonice Lake	508	3	0.0	0.2
Venice Lake	509	1	0.0	0.1
Hardistry Diver	510	5	0.0	0.3
Foresthurg trout nond	511	1	0.0	0.1
Miguelon Lako	512	2	0.0	0.1
Hardistry Jako	513	1	0.0	0.1
Kingman Lake	514	1	0.0	0.1
Lac Delorme	515	1	0.0	0.1
Stettler pond	516	8	0.1	0.5
Sparrows Egg Lake	517 510	3	0.0	0.2
Maude Lake	518	4	0.0	0.2
Christina Lake	519	4	0.0	0.2
Carrington River	520	2	0.0	0.1
Gods Lake	521 522	1	0.0	0.1
Trout Creek	522	ے ح	0.0	0.2
Rockbound Lake	523	5	0.0	0.3
Harlech pond	524	2	0.0	0.1
	525	T	0.0	0.1

SITE NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Copper Lake	526	1	0 0	0 1
Loomis Lake	527	1	0.0	0.1
Surveyors Lake	528	1	0.0	0.1
Burbank River	529	1	0.0	0.1
Smith Lake	530		0.0	0.2
Galatea Lakes	531	2	0.0	0.1
Charron Lake	532		0.0	0.1
Bow provincial park	533	2	0.0	0.1
Harold Creek	534	2	0.0	0.1
Bracconer Reservoir	535	1	0.0	0.2
Bearberry Creek	536	1	0.0	0.1
Moab Lake	537	2	0.0	0.1
Maligne River	538	1	0.0	0.1
Ripple Lake	539	4	0.0	
Edward Lake	540	1	0.0	0.2
Victory Creek	541	1	0.0	0.1
Wabasco Lake	542	3	0.0	0.1
Orloff Lake	543	1	0.0	0.2
Wizzard Lake	544	1	0.0	0.1
Medicine River	545	2	0.0	0.2
Mill Creek	546	2	0.0	0.1
Little Bow Provincial Park	547	1	0.0	0.1
Wapiabi River	549	4 1	0.0	0.2
Marsh Lake	549	1	0.0	0.1
Fox Creek	550	2	0.0	0.1
James Lake	551	2	0.0	0.1
Romeo Lake	552	1 0	0.0	0.1
Canyon Creek	553	3	0.1	0.5
Fitzsimmons Creek	554		0.0	0.2
Langdon River	555	1	0.0	0.1
Crypt Lake (Waterton)	556	⊥ 2	0.0	0.1
Isabelle Lake	557	1	0.0	0.2
Mosquito Lake	558	1	0.0	0.1
Peagan Lake	550	1	0.0	0.1
Gorge Creek	560	2	0.0	0.1
Crimson Lake	561	1	0.0	0.1
Brewster Creek	562	د ۸	0.0	0.2
Heart Lake	563	4	0.0	0.2
Nimelas dam	564	2	0.0	0.1
Shannon Lake	565	1	0.0	0.1
George Creek	566	1	0.0	0.1
Coal Creek	567	2	0.0	0.1
Smith Creek	569	1	0.0	0.1
Rummel Lake	508	2	0.0	0.1
Cutoff Creek	569	2	0.0	0.1
Wardlow River	5/0	3	0.0	0.2
Bertha Lake (Waterton)	5/1 570	1	0.0	0.1
Gardner Creek	5/2	3	0.0	0.2
Cat Creek	5/3	1	0.0	0.1
Lessard Lako	5/4	1	0.0	0.1
Tessara Dave	5/5	1	0.0	0.1

SIT	E NAME	CODE	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
Jen	sen dam	576	2	0 0	• •
Pri	vate dam/pond/dugout	570	2	0.0	0.1
Dil	berry provincial park	578	10	0.1	0.6
Mil	o Reservoir	579	1	0.0	0.1
Pade	dy flats	580	1	0.0	0.1
Ske	leton Lake	581	1	0.0	0.1
Bar	re Lake	582	1	0.0	0.1
Lake	e of Horns	583	1	0.0	0.1
Clar	npitt Lake	584	1	0.0	0.1
Mage	ee Lake	585	1	0.0	0.1
Spr	ing Point beaver pond	586	1	0.0	0.1
Poli	icemans flats Bow Rive	r 587	1	0.0	0.1
Red	Lodge park	589	2	0.0	0.1
Iosa	agin Lake	590	1	0.0	0.1
Onic	on Creek	591	1	0.0	0.1
Lake	e Kokanosa	592	1	0.0	0.1
Kick	amen Lake	593	1	0.0	0.1
Emer	son Lake	594	2	0.0	0.1
Chur	lgo River	595	1	0.0	0.1
Hove	r dam	596	1	0.0	0.1
Bow	Lake	597	4	0.0	0.1
Wils	on beach	598	8	0.0	0.2
Cros	sfield Reservoir	599	5	0.1	0.5
Hear	t River dam	600	1	0.0	0.3
Mabl	e Lake	601	1	0.0	0.1
Adam	s Lake	602	1	0.0	0.1
Borl	un River	603	1	0.0	0.1
Clin	e River	604	1	0.0	0.1
Rock	yford Reservoir	605	1	0.0	0.1
Roun	d Lake	606	2	0.0	0.1
Mosq	uito Creek	607	1	0.0	0.1
Spra	y River	608	2	0.0	0.1
Rave	n Creek	609	2	0.0	0.1
Angl	ing Lake	610	3	0.0	0.1
Garn	ier Lake	611	2	0.0	0.2
Medio	cine Hat College pond	612	3	0.0	0.1
Unive	ersity Reservoir, Leth	bridge 613	1	0.0	0.2
Beave	er Creek	635	1	0.0	0.1
Allst	cones Lake	647	1	0.0	0.1
Arts	pond	649	1	0.0	0.1
					0.1
4	15 MISSING CASES	TOTAL RESPONSES 1700 VALID CAS	10803 ES	100.0	535.5
			~~		

-----

Question 8C Distance from home to site

DISTANCE		PCT OF	PCT OF	
IN MILES	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES	
0-9	1291	12.5	78 1	
10-19	1015	9.8	61 4	
20-29	818	7.9	49 5	
30-39	732	4.2	40.0	
40-49	848	8.2	51 3	
50-59	620	6.0	37 5	
60-69	772	7.5	46 7	
70-79	623	6.0	37 7	
80-89	492	4.8	29.8	
90-99	460	4.4	27.8	
100-109	699	6.8	42.3	
110-119	163	1.6	9.9	
120-129	374	3.6	22.6	
130-139	114	1.1	6.9	
140-149	115	1.1	7.0	
150 <b>-</b> 159	292	2.8	17.7	
160-169	85	0.8	5.1	
170 <del>-</del> 179	59	0.6	3.6	
180-189	129	1.2	7.8	
190-199	28	0.3	1.7	
200-249	260	2.5	15.7	
250-299	90	0.9	5.4	
300-349	98	0.9	5.9	
350-399	41	0.4	2.5	
400-449	37	0.4	2.2	
450-499	16	0.2	1.0	
500-549	13	0.1	0.8	
550-599	5	0.0	0.3	
600-649	20	0.2	1.2	
650	1	0.0	0.1	
700	8	0.1	0.5	
750	1	0.0	0.1	
780	1	0.0	0.1	
800	15	0.1	0.9	
900	3	0.0	0.2	
999	20	0.2	1.2	
-				
TOTAL				
RESPONSES	10348	100.0	626.0	
462 MISSIN	G CASES	165	3 VALID	CASES

Question	8D	Size	of	party	
----------	----	------	----	-------	--

Questic	n 8D	Siz	e of	party				
							PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGOR	Y LAB	EL			CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
					1	1405	13.5	85.7
					2	4727	45.4	288.4
					3	1817	17.4	110.9
					4	1530	14.7	93.3
					5	363	3.5	22.1
					6	283	2.7	17.3
					7	69	0.7	4.2
					8	103	1.0	6.3
					9	29	0.3	1.8
					10	43	0.4	2.6
					11	8	0.1	0.5
					12	14	0.1	0.9
					13	2	0.0	0.1
					14	6	0.1	0.4
					15	8	0.1	0.5
					16	5	0.0	0.3
					18	1	0.0	0.1
					19	1	0.0	0.1
					20	3	0.0	0.2
					25	1	0.0	0.1
					30	2	0.0	0.1
					40	1	0.0	0.1
					50	1	0.0	0.1
					70	1	0.0	0.1
476	MISSI	ING (	CASES	TOTAL RE 163	SPONSES 9 VALID	10423 CASES	100.0	635.9

Question 8E Fish species sou	lght			
			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
walleye	1	992	7.6	59.6
rainbow trout	2	909	7.0	54.6
brown trout	3	472	3.6	28.4
brook trout	4	181	1.4	10.9
cutthroat trout	5	217	1.7	13.0
trout (unspecified elsewhere)	6	4782	36.9	287.4
northern pike	7	3177	24.5	190.9
whitefish	8	967	7.5	58.1
perch	9	541	4.2	32.5
goldeye	10	131	1.0	7.9
arctic grayling	11	57	0.4	3.4
lake trout	12	144	1.1	8.7
salmon	13	41	0.3	2.5
other, (ling, sauger, suckers,				
kokanee, bass, burbot)	14	135	1.0	8.1
anything can catch	15	107	0.8	6.4
pickeral	16	83	0.6	5.0
sturgeon	17	39	0.3	2.3
TOTAL	RESPONSES	12975	100.0	779.7
451 MISSING CASES 1	664 VALID	CASES		

Question 8F	Number	of	fish	caught	
				PCT OF	PCT OF
(	CODE		COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
	1		998	13.1	65.6
	2		1295	5 17.0	85.1
	3		927	12.2	60.9
	4		819	10.8	53.8
	5		650	8.5	42.7
	6		503	6.6	33.1
	7		209	2.7	13.7
	8		296	3.9	19.5
	9		114	1.5	7.5
	10		458	6.0	30.1
			44	0.6	2.9
	12		171	2.2	11.2
	14		44	0.6	2.9
	14 15		36	0.5	2.4
	15		200	2.6	13.1
	17		34	0.4	2.2
	10		10	0.2	1.1
	10		42	0.6	2.8
	20		170	0.2	
	21		13	2.4	11.8
	22		11	0.2	0.9
	23		13	0.2	0.9
	24		11	0.2	0.9
	25		67	0.1	0.7
	26		7	0.1	4.4
	27		7	0.1	0.5
	28		7	0.1	0.5
	29		2	0.0	0.1
30-	-39		163	2.1	10.7
40-	-49		82	1.1	5.4
50-	-59		49	0.6	3.2
60-	-69		38	0.5	2.5
70-	-79		17	0.2	1.1
80-	-89		11	0.1	0.7
90-	-99		5	0.1	0.3
100-1	L49		31	0.4	2.0
150-1	199		10	0.1	0.7
200-2	249		11	0.1	0.7
250-2	99		2	0.0	0.1
3	00		2	0.0	0.1
3	54		1	0.0	0.1
4	00		1	0.0	0.1
4	50		1	0.0	0.1
ጥር ማይምረው የ	NCEC				
594 MTCCTNC	CACEC		1010	1501 VATTO	500.7
IIIODING	CUDUO			TOST ANTID	CASES

Question 8G N	umber of	fish re	leased	
		PCT	OF PCT OF	
CODE	COUN	Г RESPO	NSES CASES	
1	70	2 15	.0 60.4	
2	91	7 19	.6 78.8	
3	52	5 11	.2 45.2	
4	43	L 9	.2 37.1	
5	42	5 9 5	.1 36.5	
8 7	300	5 6	•4 25.8	
, 8	104	± 2	.2 8.9	
9	T2:	נ ל ג	.0 12.0	
10	309	, I ) E	· 4.2	
11	28	, 0 , 0	· 0 20.0	
12	68	, U	·0 2.4 5 5 0	
13	31	, <u>1</u>	.7   2.0	
14	19	0	.4 16	
15	113	2	.4 9.7	
16	17	Ō	.4 1.5	
17	10	0	.2 0.9	
18	18	0	.4 1.5	
19	11	0.	.2 0.9	
20	128	2 .	.7 11.0	
21	10	0.	.2 0.9	
22	4	Ο.	.1 0.3	
23	4	0.	1 0.3	
24	5	0.	1 0.4	
25	36	0.	8 3.1	
26	5	0.	1 0.4	
27	5	0.	1 0.4	
28	8	0.	2 0.7	
29	3	0.	1 0.3	
30-39	94	2.	0 8.1	
40-49	46	1.	0 4.0	
50-59	36	0.	8 3.1	
70-79	13	0.	3 1.1	
80-89	11	0.	3 1.1	
90-99	11	0.	2 0.9	
100 - 149	10	0.	1 0.6	
150-199	10	0.	4 1.5 1 0 C	
200-299	, 5	0.	1 0.6	
250-299	3	0.	1 0.4	
375	1	0.		
400	1	0.		
	± 			
TOTAL RESPONSES	4680	100	0 402 4	
952 MISSING	CASES	11	63 VALID CASES	S

Question 8H Type of waterbody

			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
river	1	3235	30.1	190.6
lake	2	5168	48.2	304.5
stream, creek, brool	۲ 3	990	9.2	58.3
pond, mine pit	4	285	2.7	16.8
reservoir	5	1026	9.6	60.5
ocean	6	26	0.2	1.5
410 MT00TN0 00 00	TOTAL RESPONSES	10730	100.0	632.3
418 MISSING CASE	IS 1697 VALID	CASES		

Question	81	length	of	fishing	trip <sup>1</sup>

			PCT OF	PCT OF
	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
	1	1194	42.5	140.3
	2	1026	36.5	120.6
	3	311	11.1	36.5
	4	81	2.9	9.5
	5	46	1.6	5.4
	6	42	1.5	4.9
	7	22	0.8	2.6
	8	21	0.7	2.5
	9	14	0.5	1.6
	10	14	0.5	1.6
	11	6	0.2	0.7
	12	6	0.2	0.7
	13	7	0.2	0.8
	14	5	0.2	0.6
	15	3	0.1	0.4
	16	4	0.1	0.5
	17	1	0.0	0.1
	22	1	0.0	0.1
	23	1	0.0	0.1
	26	1	0.0	0.1
	29	1	0.0	0.1
	30	1	0.0	0.1
	34	1	0.0	0.1
	36	2	0.1	0.2
	TOTAL RESPONSES	2811	100.0	330.3
1264	MISSING CASES	851 V	ALID CASES	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Computed by subtracting the end date from the start date on the trip calendar.

Question 10 What is your place of residence (nearest city or town)?

			CUM				CUM				CIM
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	$\mathbf{PCT}$	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
1	1	0	0	87	8	0	55	205	٩	0	01
2	24	1	1	88	1	õ	55	205	17	1	01 01
4	2	0	1	90	2	ō	56	200	116	6	01 97
5	1	0	1	94	4	ō	56	208	14	1	22
11	1	0	1	95	1	õ	56	210	1	1	00
13	3	0	2	101	3	Ő	56	210	2	0	00
14	6	0	2	102	6	0	56	213	د ۱	0	00
15	3	Ō	2	104	10	0	57	217	т Е	0	00
18	1	Ō	2	108	1	õ	57	221	5	0	00
19	3	Ō	2	109	3	õ	57	231	2	0	00
20	8	Õ	3	121	2	õ	57	232	4 1 E	1	88
23	2	Ō	3	122	2	õ	57	233	10	T T	89
26	3	ō	3	124	1	Ő	57	234	5 7	0	89
27	7	ō	3	127	11	1	52	237	/ 5	0	90
29	9	õ	4	130	2	<u>,</u>	50	230	5 1 C	1	90
33	2	õ	4	133	15	1	50	240	70	1	91
34	8	õ	4	134	2 7 J	<u>,</u>	59	243	20	Ť	92
35	8	õ	4	139	1	0	59	244	دد	2	94
38	35	2	6	1/1	22	1	60	24/	4	0	94
41	827	40	46	141	22 A		60	248	1	0	94
43	35	2	40	144	4 2	0	60	250	1 C	0	94
43	22	õ	40	140	د ۸	0	60	251	6	0	94
45	3	Ő	40 19	153	20	1	60	252	4	0	94
46	8	Ő	19	159	125	L C	62	253	1	0	94
48	1	õ	19	160	120	0	60	254	1 C	0	94
49	5	õ	49	162	د 1	0	60	256	5	0	95
51	6	õ	49	164	1	0	60	259	1	0	95
58	16	1	50	165	4 2	0	60	260	1	0	95
59	2	ō	50	165	2	0	60	262	2	0	95
60	2	õ	50	172	120	c c	74	266	4	0	95
62	16	1	50	172	120	0	74	272	21	T	96
63	15	1	52	174	່ ດ	0	74	273	1	0	96
65	13	<u> </u>	52	175	0	0	75	277	2	0	96
66	3	0	52	175	2	0	/5	278	1	0	96
67	1	0	52	105	3	0	/5	279	2	0	96
68	2	0	53	105	4	0	/5	281	2	0	97
69		0	55	107	3	0	/5	282	1	0	97
70	1 2	0	55	100	2	0	/5	283	3	0	97
70	2	0	55	100	1	0	/5	284	1	0	97
74	2	0	55	190	21	T	/6	285	2	0	97
74	2	0	55	191	1/	1	//	286	1	0	97
75	1	0	22	193	5	0	/8	288	1	0	97
20	10	0	つ <i>う</i>	194	2	0	78	289	2	0	97
00	10	0	54	196	4	0	78	290	1	0	97
0 4 0 4	1	0	54	197	4	0	78	291	3	0	97
04 05	21	T	55	198	22	1	79	292	1	0	97
80	2	U	55	201	21	1	80	294	1	0	97
290	Ţ	U	9/	308	2	0	99	322	2	01	.00
290	2	0	98	309	1	0	99	323	1	01	.00

Question 10 (Continued) What is your place of residence (nearest city or town)?

-----

			CUM				CUM				CIM
VALUE	FREQ	$\mathbf{PCT}$	PCT	VALUE	FREO	РСТ	PCT	VALUE	FDFO	DOM	
298	5	0	98	310	1			224	TREQ	PCT	PCT
299	1	0	98	311	1	õ	00	224	T	0	100
300	2	Ō	98	212	÷	0	33	325	1	0	100
301	2	Š	20	512	2	0	99	326	1	0	100
201	د م	0	98	313	1	0	99	329	1	0	100
302	3	0	98	314	2	0	99	331	1	Ō	100
303	2	0	98	315	2	0	99	332	1	õ	100
304	2	0	98	317	1	Ň	99	222	1	0	100
305	3	0	99	310	1	0	22	222	T	0	100
306	1	õ	00	310	1	U	99	334	1	0	100
200		U	99	319	1	0	99	337	1	0	100
307	1	0	99	320	1	0	99		_	•	200
			М	ISSIN	G	DΑ	ТА				
				VALUE	FI	REQ					
				0		54					

Question 11 Are you male or female?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
male female	1 2 0	1723 385 7	81.5 18.2 .3	81.7 18.3 MISSING	81.7 100.0
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	

Question 12 What is your age?

			CUM				CUM				CIM
VALUE	FREQ	$\mathbf{PCT}$	$\mathbf{PCT}$	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
1 5	2	•	•					_			
15	3	0	0	34	86	4	39	53	36	2	85
16	3	0	0	35	99	5	44	54	23	1	86
17	25	1	1	36	75	4	47	55	35	2	88
18	15	1	2	37	77	4	51	56	21	1	89
19	22	1	3	38	51	2	54	57	27	1	90
20	25	1	4	39	64	3	57	58	29	1	91
21	25	1	6	40	74	4	60	59	26	1	93
22	23	1	7	41	60	3	63	60	37	2	94
23	32	2	8	42	47	2	65	61	19	1	95
24	37	2	10	43	37	2	67	62	21	1	96
25	39	2	12	44	43	2	69	63	23	1	97
26	53	3	14	45	43	2	71	64	30	1	99
27	44	2	16	46	50	2	73	65	18	1	100
28	53	3	19	47	33	2	75	68	2	0	100
29	57	3	22	48	26	1	76	69	1	0	100
30	67	3	25	49	36	2	78	70	2	0	100
31	69	3	28	50	41	2	80	72	1	0	100
32	68	3	31	51	34	2	82				_
33	75	4	35	52	35	2	83				
				MISS	SINC	3 I	ОАТ	А			
				VAI	LUE I	FREQ					
					0	18					
MEAN	39	167		MEDIAN	3	7 00	0				0.64
VARIANCE	143.	131		MINIM	1	5 00	0			11. 70	204 000
							0	PIAATHON		12.	000

Question 13A How many children under the age of 16 are there in your household?

VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCEN	г	
0	1163	55.0	55.5	55.5		
1	303	14.3	14.5	70.0		
2	458	21.7	21.9	91.8		
3	129	6.1	6.2	98.0		
4	31	1.5	1.5	99.5		
5	9	.4	.4	99.9		
6	1	.0	.0	100.0		
7	1	.0	.0	100.0		
99	20	.9	MISSING			
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0			
MEAN VARIANCE	.853 1.230	MEDIAN MINIMUM	.0	00	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.109 7.000

Question 13B If there are children under 16 in your household, how many of them fish?

			VALID	CUM		
VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	ſ	
0	1077	65 1	65.0			
0	13//	1.00	62.8	65.8		
1	330	15.6	15.8	81.6		
2	295	13.9	14.1	95.7		
3	72	3.4	3.4	99.1		
4	17	.8	.8	100.0		
5	1	.0	.0	100.0		
99	23	1.1	MISSING			
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0			
MEAN	.578	MEDTAN	. 0	00	STD DEV	916
VARTANCE	910	MINIMIM	.0	00		.910
VALLANCE	.040	MITHTMOM	• 0	00	MAXIMUM	5.000

VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT	1	
0	1978	93.5	94.6	94.6		
1	74	3.5	3.5	98.1		
2	36	1.7	1.7	99.8		
3	3	.1	.1	100.0		
4	1	.0	.0	100.0		
99	23	1.1	MISSING			
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0			
MEAN	.076	MEDIAN	.(	000	STD DEV	.345
VARIANCE	.119	MINIMUM	. (	000	MAXIMUM	4.000

Question 14A How many adults over 65 are there in your household?

Question 14B If there are adults over 65 in your household, how many of them fish?

			VALID	CUM		
VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT		
0	2011	95.1	96.1	96.1		
1	61	2.9	2.9	99.0		
2	18	.9	.9	99.9		
3	2	.1	.1	100.0		
99	23	1.1	MISSING			
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0			
MEAN	.049	MEDIAN	.(	000	STD DEV	.264
VARIANCE	.070	MINIMUM	.0	000	MAXIMUM	3.000
Question 15 Which of the following categories best represents your annual household income before taxes?

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
0-5,000	1	26	1.2	1.3	1.3
5,001-10,000	2	35	1.7	1.8	3.2
10,001-15,000	3	72	3.4	3.7	6.9
15,001- 20,000	4	93	4.4	4.8	11.7
20,001-25,000	5	155	7.3	8.0	19.8
25,001-30,000	6	188	8.9	9.8	29.5
30,001-35,000	7	191	9.0	9.9	39.4
35,001-40,000	8	188	8.9	9.8	49.2
40,001-45,000	9	142	6.7	7.4	56.5
45,001-50,000	10	196	9.3	10.2	66.7
50,001-60,000	11	223	10.5	11.6	78.3
60,001-70,000	12	149	7.0	7.7	86.0
70,001-80,000	13	95	4.5	4.9	90.9
80,001-90,000	14	51	2.4	2.6	93.6
90,001-100,000	15	32	1.5	1.7	95.2
>100,000	16	92	4.3	4.8	100.0
	0	153	7.2	MISSING	
	77	34	1.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 8.718	MEDIAN	9.0	000	STD DEV	3.540
VARIANCE 12.533	MINIMUN	1 1.0	000	MAXIMUM	16.000

Question 16 Please circle the highest number of years of education that you have completed?

			VALID	CUM		
VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCEN	Т	
3	2	.1	.1	.1		
4	1	.0	.0	.1		
5	3	.1	.1	.3		
6	4	• 2	.2	.5		
7	10	• 5	.5	1.0		
8	62	2.9	3.0	4.0		
9	108	5.1	5.2	9.2		
10	168	7.9	8.1	17.4		
11	190	9.0	9.2	26.6		
12	636	30.1	30.8	57.4		
13	156	7.4	7.6	65.0		
14	208	9.8	10.1	75.1		
15	130	6.1	6.3	81.4		
16	198	9.4	9.6	91.0		
17	74	3.5	3.6	94.6		
18	51	2.4	2.5	97.0		
19	32	1.5	1.6	98.6		
20	29	1.4	1.4	100.0		
0	53	2.5	MISSING			
TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0			
MEAN	12.808	MEDIAN	12.0	00	STD DEV	2.669
VARIANCE	7.123	MINIMUM	3.0	00	MAXIMUM	20.000

Question 17 How many hours do you normally work for pay each week?

			CUM				CUM				CUM
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
0	250	13	13	30	35	2	21	62	1	0	96
1	1	0	13	32	6	0	21	65	7	Ō	96
3	3	0	13	35	46	2	24	66	3	0	96
4	1	0	13	36	24	1	25	68	1	0	96
5	5	0	13	37	115	6	31	70	19	1	97
6	4	0	13	38	23	1	32	72	2	0	97
7	7	0	14	39	5	0	32	75	3	0	97
8	21	1	15	40	768	38	71	76	1	0	97
10	16	1	15	41	2	0	71	77	1	0	97
12	5	0	16	42	23	1	72	78	1	0	97
14	1	0	16	43	2	0	72	80	21	1	98
15	3	0	16	44	57	3	75	84	8	0	99
16	8	0	16	45	73	4	79	85	1	0	99
18	6	0	17	46	6	0	79	86	1	0	99
20	28	1	18	47	1	0	79	88	1	0	99

Question 17 (Continued) How many hours do you normally work for pay each week?

			CUM				CUM				CUM
VALUE	FREQ	$\mathbf{PCT}$	$\mathbf{PCT}$	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
21	2	0	18	48	28	1	80	90	4	0	99
22	1	0	18	50	183	9	89	96	1	0	99
23	1	0	18	51	1	0	89	98	1	0	99
24	8	0	19	52	2	0	90	100	8	0	100
25	13	1	19	54	3	0	90	112	1	0	100
26	2	0	19	55	27	1	91	120	2	0	100
27	1	0	19	56	14	1	92	168	2	0	100
28	1	0	19	60	74	4	95				
				MIS	SIN	G	DAT	А			
				V	ALUE	FRE	Q				
					999	11	9				
MEAN	37.	055		MEDIAN	4	0.00	0	STD DEV	7	19.	159
VARIANCE	367.	061		MINIMUM		.00	0	MAXIMUM	I	168.	000

Question 18 What do you consider your main occupation to be?

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
professional and tech	nnical 1	368	17.4	18.1	18.1
managerial	2	174	8.2	8.6	26.6
contractor	3	9	. 4	.4	27.1
farming (farmer, rand	cher) 4	127	6.0	6.2	33.3
tradesman	5	312	14.8	15.3	48.6
transportation and					
communication	6	106	5.0	5.2	53.9
service occupations	7	261	12.3	12.8	66.7
retail sales	8	26	1.2	1.3	68.0
real estate	9	14	.7	.7	68.6
operative	10	105	5.0	5.2	73.8
armed forces	11	6	.3	.3	74.1
clerical	12	53	2.5	2.6	76.7
labourers (unskilled)	13	56	2.6	2.8	79.5
homemaker	14	119	5.6	5.8	85.3
student	15	63	3.0	3.1	88.4
retired	16	111	5.2	5.5	93.9
not in labour force	17	20	.9	1.0	94.8
self-employed	18	63	3.0	3.1	97.9
miscellaneous	19	42	2.0	2.1	100.0
	0	80	3.8	MISSING	
	TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 7.247	MEDIAN	6.000	) STI	D DEV	5.391
VARIANCE 29.058	MINIMUM	1.00	D MA	XIMUM	19.000

Question	19	How	many	days of	f paid	vaca	tion	do you	get ead	ch ye	ear?
			CUM				CUM				CUM
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
0	713	36	36	17	4	0	66	36	6	0	97
1	2	0	36	18	4	0	66	37	1	0	97
2	2	0	36	19	2	0	66	40	9	0	98
3	2	0	37	20	226	11	78	42	2	0	98
4	4	0	37	21	134	7	84	44	2	0	98
5	5	0	37	22	5	0	85	49	1	0	98
6	4	0	37	23	3	0	85	50	6	0	98
7	24	1	38	24	5	0	85	60	11	1	99
8	2	0	38	25	112	6	91	62	1	0	99
9	1	0	39	26	1	0	91	70	4	0	99
10	110	6	44	27	1	0	91	75	3	0	99
11	3	0	44	28	32	2	93	80	5	0	100
12	14	1	45	30	68	3	96	90	2	0	100
13	1	0	45	31	2	0	96	100	1	0	100
14	213	11	56	32	1	0	96	110	1	0	100
15	180	9	65	33	4	0	96	120	1	0	100
16	13	1	66	35	15	1	97	186	1	0	100
				MIS	SIN	G	DAI	T A			
				7	/ALUE	FRE	Q				
					999	14	6				
MEAN	12.	840		MEDIAN	:	14.00	00	STD I	DEV	13.	.651
VARIANCE	186.	.349		MINIMUM	[	.00	00	MAXIN	IUM	186.	.000

Question 20A I take time off work to go fishing

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never	1 2 3 4 0	115 495 407 877 221	5.4 23.4 19.2 41.5 10.4	6.1 26.1 21.5 46.3 MISSING	6.1 32.2 53.7 100.0
MEAN 3.080	TOTAL MEDIAN	2115	100.0 00 s	100.0 TD DEV	.980
VARIANCE .961	MINIMUM	1 1.0	00 M	AXIMUM	4.000

Question 2	20B I	could be w	orking on	days I t	ake fishin	g trips?
VALUE LABE	Ľ	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never		1 2 3 4 0	227 582 335 728 243	10.7 27.5 15.8 34.4 11.5	12.1 31.1 17.9 38.9 MISSING	12.1 43.2 61.1 100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	2.835 1.158	MEDIAN MINIMUM	3.0 1 1.0	000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.076 4.000

Question 20C My job has flexible working hours

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never		1 2 3 4 0	411 649 291 585 179	19.4 30.7 13.8 27.7 8.5	21.2 33.5 15.0 30.2 MISSING	21.2 54.8 69.8 100.0
		TOTAL	2115	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	2.542 1.278	MEDIAN MINIMUM	2.0 1	00 00	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.130 4.000

APPENDIX B: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS RESPONDENTS WHO FISHED IN THE SOUTHERN REGION

.

Question 1.1 Good chance to catch trophy-sized fish

VALUE LABEL	VALUE FF	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important	1	330	33.3	33.8	33.8
	2	171	17.2	17.5	51.3
somewhat important	3	318	32.1	32.6	83.9
	4	93	9.4	9.5	93.4
very important	5	64	6.5	6.6	100.0
	0	16	1.6	MISSING	
		~~~~~~			
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 2.375	MEDIAN	2	.000	STD DEV	1.223
VARIANCE 1.496	MINIMUM	[ 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.2 Good chance to catch limit

VALUE LABEL	VALUE FR	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important	1	223	22.5	22.8	22.8
	2	190	19.2	19.4	42.3
somewhat important	3	299	30.1	30.6	72.9
_	4	165	16.6	16.9	89.8
very important	5	100	10.1	10.2	100.0
	0	15	1.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 2.723 VARIANCE 1.610	MEDIAN MINIMUI	3 M. 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.269 5.000

Question 1.3 Good chance to catch a preferred species

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor	tant	1	144	14.5	14.8	14.8
		2	88	8.9	9.1	23.9
somewhat	important	3	275	27.7	28.3	52.2
		4	267	26.9	27.5	79.7
very impo	rtant	5	197	19.9	20.3	100.0
		0	21	2.1	MISSING	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.294	MEDIAN	<b>I</b> 3	.000	STD DEV	1.298
VARIANCE	1.686	MINIMU	ЛМ 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	cant	1 2	117 94	11.8	12.1	12.1
somewhat i	important	3 4	263	26.5	27.2	49.0
very important		5 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32.5 2.4	17.8 33.3 MISSING	66.7 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	3.504 1.837	MEDIAN MINIMU	4 M 1	.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.355 5.000

\_\_\_\_\_

Question 1.5 Privacy from other anglers

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important somewhat impor very important	1 2 3 4 5 0	119 129 277 232 216 19	12.0 13.0 27.9 23.4 21.8 1.9	12.2 13.3 28.5 23.8 22.2 MISSING	12.2 25.5 54.0 77.8 100.0
MEAN 3.3 VARIANCE 1.6	TOTAL 05 MEDI 57 MINI	992 AN 3 MUM 1	100.0 .000 .000	100.0 STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.287 5.000

Question 1.6 Natural beauty of surroundings

VALUE LAE	BEL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor	tant	1 2	39 40	3.9	4.0	4.0
somewhat	important	3 4	194 275	19.6	19.9	27.9
very important		5 0	429 15	43.2	43.9 MISSING	100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	4.039 1.160	MEDIAN MINIMU	. 4 M 1	.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.077 5.000

Question 1.4 Knowing that the lake is stocked with fish

Question 1.7 Water quality

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import somewhat : very impor	tant important rtant	1 2 3 4 5 0	12 10 101 207 642 20	1.2 1.0 10.2 20.9 64.7 2.0	1.2 1.0 10.4 21.3 66.0 MISSING	1.2 2.3 12.7 34.0 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	4.499 .668	MEDIAN MINIMU	¶ 5 JM 1	.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.818 5.000

Question 1.8 Access to wilderness areas

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor somewhat very impo:	tant important rtant	1 2 3 4 5 0	114 114 314 203 226 21	11.5 11.5 31.7 20.5 22.8 2.1	11.7 11.7 32.3 20.9 23.3 MISSING	11.7 23.5 55.8 76.7 100.0
MEAN	3.322	TOTAL MEDIAN	992 1 3	100.0	100.0 STD DEV	1.275
VANIANCE	1.025	MINIMU	M 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.9 Site limited to fly fishing

VALUE LA	BEL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT	
not impo somewhat very impo	rtant important ortant	1 2 3 4 5 0	505 170 147 68 77 25	50.9 17.1 14.8 6.9 7.8 2.5	52.2 17.6 15.2 7.0 8.0 MISSING	52.2 69.8 85.0 92.0 100.0	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0		
MEAN VARIANCE	2.009 1.674	MEDIAN MINIMU	I 1 M 1	.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.29	₹ 20

Question 1.10 Distance from home

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important somewhat importar very important	1 2 3 4 5 0	137 93 464 162 121	13.8 9.4 46.8 16.3 12.2	14.0 9.5 47.5 16.6 12.4	14.0 23.5 71.0 87.6 100.0
MEAN 3.038	TOTAL MEDIA	992 N 3	1.5	100.0	1 149
VARIANCE 1.317	MINIM	IUM 1	.000	MAXIMUM	5.000

Question 1.11 Familiarity with the area

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	tant	1	156	15.7	16.1	16.1
somewhat :	important	3	389	39.2	40.1	32.5
very impor	rtant	4 5 0	99 22	10.0	17.2 10.2 MISSING	89.8 100.0
	3	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	2.891 1.377	MEDIAN MINIMU	и з ЛМ 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.174 5.000

Question 1.12 Owning land or a cabin near the site

VALUE LABI	EL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT	
not import	ant	1	778	78.4	80.0	80.0	
somewhat i	mportant	3	96 51	9.7 5.1	9.9 5.2	89.9 95.2	
very important		4 5 0	25 22 20	2.5 2.2 2.0	2.6 2.3 MISSINC	97.7 100.0	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0		
MEAN VARIANCE	1.371 .765	MEDIAN MINIMU	1 M 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.87 5.00	5 0

Question 1.13 Good road access to the site

VALUE LABE	L	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not import	ant	1 2 3	172 142 320	17.3 14.3	17.7 14.6	17.7
very impor	tant	4 5 0	184 154 20	18.5 15.5 2.0	32.9 18.9 15.8 MISSING	65.2 84.2 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	3.006 1.679	MEDIAN MINIMU	3 M 1	3.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.296 5.000

Question 1.14 Site with boat access

VALUE LABEL	VALUE FF	EQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not important somewhat importa very important	1 2 3 4 5 0	391 127 220 107 127 20	39.4 12.8 22.2 10.8 12.8 2.0	40.2 13.1 22.6 11.0 13.1 MISSING	40.2 53.3 75.9 86.9 100.0
MEAN 2.436 VARIANCE 2.057	TOTAL MEDIAN MINIMU	992 2 4 1	100.0 .000	100.0 STD DEV	1.434

Question 1.15 Picnic/Camping facilities at or near site

VALUE LABEL	VALU	E FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not importar	nt	1 216 2 114	21.8 11.5	22.2 11.7	22.2 33.9
somewhat imp	portant	3 262 4 186	26.4 18.8	26.9 19.1	60.8 80.0
very importa	ant	5 195 D 19	19.7 1.9	20.0 MISSING	100.0
	TOTAI	 	100.0	100.0	
MEAN 3 VARIANCE 1	.031 ME 999 MI	DIAN NIMUM	3.000 1.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.414 5.000

Question 1.16 Friends or relatives live nearby

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
not impor	tant	1 2	831 90	83.8	84.7	84.7 93.9
somewhat	important	3	39 14	3.9	4.0	97.9
very impo	rtant	5	7 11	.7	.7 MISSING	100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	1.243 .435	MEDIAN MINIMU	1 M 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.660 5.000

Question 2.A Approximately how many years have you fished at this site?

VALUE LA	BEL	VALUE 1	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
		0	13	1.3	1.3	1.3
		1	49	4.9	5.0	6.3
		2	74	7.5	7.5	13.8
		3	90	9.1	9.1	22.9
		4	45	4.5	4.6	27.5
		5	124	12.5	12.6	40.1
		6	49	4.9	5.0	45.1
		7	34	3.4	3.5	48.6
		8	32	3.2	3.2	51.8
		9	7	.7	.7	52.5
		10	133	13.4	13.6	66.1
		11-15	112	11.3	11.4	77.5
		16-20	110	11.1	11.2	88.7
		21-25	44	4.4	4.5	93.2
		26-30	34	3.4	3.5	96.7
		31-40	24	2.4	2.4	99.1
		41-50	3	0.3	0.3	99.4
		50+	6	0.6	0.6	100.0
		99	7	.7	MISSING	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	10.790	MEDIA	N 8	.000	STD DEV	9.431
VARIANCE	88.953	MINIM	UM	.000	MAXIMUM	60.000

Question 2B Approximately how many times have you visited this site in the last 5 years?

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
less than 6-10 11-15 16-20 21-30 more than	5 30	1 2 3 4 5 6 0	124 220 126 111 127 260 24	12.5 22.2 12.7 11.2 12.8 26.2 2.4	12.8 22.7 13.0 11.5 13.1 26.9 MISSING	12.8 35.5 48.6 60.0 73.1 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIANCE	3.699 3.311	MEDIAN MINIMU	4 M 1	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.820 6.000

Question 2C How did you first become aware of this site?

			V.	ALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
from family member	1	180	18 1	18 6	19 C
from friends	2	365	36.8	37 6	10.0 EC 0
word of mouth, general	- 3	130	12 1	12 4	56.2
Alberta Fishing guide	1	100	17.1	13.4	69.6
tourist info, (pamphle	ts	2	د.	.3	69.9
highway signs etc)	5	29	2.9	3 0	72 0
random chance, (includ.	inq		2	5.0	12.9
hiking, driving)	6	148	14.9	15.3	88.1
close to home	7	77	7.8	7.9	96 1
do not have a favorite	8	7	.7	7	96.2
miscellaneous, paid gu:	ide 9	9	. 9	• /	90.8
maps	10	11	1.1	1 1	97.7
do not remember	11	1	. 1	±•± 1	90.9
while hunting	12	10	1 0	1 0	99.0
-	0	22	2.2	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	-

Question 2D What are the specific things about this site that you particularly enjoy?

			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
large fish	1	64	3.3	6.6
good fishing (catch rate)	2	382	19.9	39.6
fish are stocked	3	17	0.9	1.8
numerous variety of species	4	25	1.3	2.6
favorite species present	5	61	3.2	6.3
type of fishing (fly, boat e	etc) 6	30	1.6	3.1
seclusion, quietness	7	285	14.8	29.5
road access	8	103	5.4	10.7
boat access	9	22	1.1	2.3
commercial facilities (store	es etc) 10	1	0.1	0.1
camping/picnic facilities	11	144	7.5	14.9
facilities (unspecified)	12	20	1.0	2.1
close to home/worksite	13	109	5.7	11.3
water quality	14	101	5.3	10.5
scenic quality	15	307	16.0	31.8
fresh air	16	11	0.6	1.1
open fires allowed	17	2	0.1	0.2
outdoor experience (general)	18	64	3.3	6.6
other campers (friendliness)	19	3	0.2	0.3
familiarity with site	20	10	0.5	1.0
presence of other wildlife	21	29	1.5	3.0
undeveloped, wilderness	22	64	3.3	6.6
do not have a favorite site	23	7	0.4	0.7
patrolled by fish and				
wildlife officers	24	1	0.1	0.1
size of Lake	25	18	0.9	1.9
clean, unpolluted, general	26	36	1.9	3.7
own land or cabin nearby	27	1	0.1	0.1
free camping	28	4	0.2	0.4
тота	L RESPONSES	1921	100.0	 199.1
27 MISSING CASES	965 VALID CA	SES	20000	

Question 3A What type of transport do you usually use to go from your home to a fishing site?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	DEDCENT	VALID	CUM
	*******	THEQUENCE		FERCENT	FERCENT
walk/bicycle	1	20	2.0	2.1	2.1
motorbike/ATV	2	2	.2	.2	2.3
car/truck/van	3	735	74.1	77.0	79.4
camper/RV	4	195	19.7	20.4	99.8
other	5	2	.2	.2	100.0
		0 38	3.8	MISSING	3
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3B How long do you stay at the site on your typical trip to a fishing site?

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
1-2 hours	1	29	2.9	3.0	3.0
half day	2	212	21.4	21.8	24.8
full day	3	388	39.1	39.9	64.6
2-3 days	4	296	29.8	30.4	95.1
greater than 3 days	5	48	4.8	4.9	100.0
	0	19	1.9	MISSING	-
					•
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3C Generally speaking, how enjoyable do you find the time spent travelling to the fishing site?

VALUE LAB	EL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
very unen	joyable	1	45	4.5	4.6	4.6
		2	104	10.5	10.6	15.2
		3	426	42.9	43.5	58.7
		4	215	21.7	21.9	80.6
very enjoy	yable	5	190	19.2	19.4	100.0
		0	12	1.2	MISSING	-
			~~~~~~		~	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	3.409	MEDIAN	3.000	STE	DEV	1.058
VARIANCE	1.118	MINIMUM	1.000	MAX	IMUM	5.000

Question 3D What type of fishing do you usually do?

				VALID	CUM
VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
bait fishing	1	184	18.5	20.4	20.4
spin casting	2	389	39.2	43.2	63.6
trolling	3	132	13.3	14.7	78.2
fly fishing	4	176	17.7	19.5	97.8
ice fishing	5	20	2.0	2.2	100.0
	0	91	9.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3E What method of fishing do you usually do?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
from shore motorboat canoe/rowing other	1 2 3 4 0	625 218 53 55 41	63.0 22.0 5.3 5.5 4.1	65.7 22.9 5.6 5.8 MISSING	65.7 88.6 94.2 100.0
	TOTAL	 992	100.0	 100.0	

Question 3F In pounds, approximately how much fish do you take home on a typical fishing trip?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
< 1 lb 1-4 lb 5-10 lb > 10 lb	1 2 3 4	239 538 173 32	24.1 54.2 17.4 3.2	24.3 54.8 17.6 3.3	24.3 79.1 96.7 100.0
	0		1.0 	MISSING	
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3G Approximately how many years of fishing experience do you have?

			CUMULATIVE		
VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT		
0-4	59	6.0	6.0		
5-9	77	7.8	13.8		
10-14	132	13.3	27.1		
15-19	140	14.2	41.2		
20-24	172	17.4	58.6		
25-29	126	12.7	71.3		
30-34	125	12.6	83.9		
35-39	66	6.7	90.6		
40-44	49	5.0	95.6		
45-49	19	1.9	97.5		
50-60	24	2.5	100.0		
99	3	MISSING			
MEAN	21.456	MEDIAN	20.000	STD DEV	11,690
VARIANCE	136.657	MINIMUM	.000	MAXIMUM	60.000

Question 3H Do you practice catch and release fishing?

VALUE LAB	EL VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
yes no	1 2 0	782 203 7	78.8 20.5 .7	79.4 20.6 MISSING	79.4 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3I How far ahead do you usually plan fishing trips?

VALUE LABEL	VAL	UE,	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
on same day day before few days before a week before few weeks before more than a month	before	1 2 3 4 5 6 0	67 157 380 201 126 41 20	6.8 15.8 38.3 20.3 12.7 4.1 2.0	6.9 16.2 39.1 20.7 13.0 4.2 MISSING	6.9 23.0 62.1 82.8 95.8 100.0
	TOI	AL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 3J Who do you usually go fishing with?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
spouse friends family nobody	1 2 3 4 0	179 378 328 60 47	18.0 38.1 33.1 6.0 4.7	18.9 40.0 34.7 6.3 MISSING	18.9 58.9 93.7 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 4 If overfishing becomes a problem in Alberta lakes and rivers, which of the following management options would you most like to see used to address the problem?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
shorter season	1	34	3.4	3.5	3.5
size limit	2	127	12.8	12.9	16.4
no bait fishing	3	38	3.8	3.9	20.2
increase licence f	ee 4	16	1.6	1.6	21.8
increased stocking	<b>j</b> 5	238	24.0	24.2	46.0
more enforcement	6	102	10.3	10.4	56.4
catch and release	7	265	26.7	26.9	83.3
larger fines for					
violations	8	92	9.3	9.3	92.7
other	9	72	7.3	7.3	100.0
	0	8	.8	MISSING	
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 4A Alternate management option

VALUE LABEL V	ALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
no management needed	0	1	1.4	1.5	1.5
combination of above	1	51	70.8	78.5	80.0
barbless hooks	3	1	1.4	1.5	81.5
no commercial fishin	g 4	5	7.0	7.7	89.2
smaller limits, seas	on 5	2	2.8	3.1	92.3
no fishing at all	6	1	1.4	1.5	93.8
less netting, (winter	r) 7	4	5.6	6.2	100.0
	9	7	9.7	MISSING	
T	OTAL	72	100.0	100.0	

Question 5 How much do you spend on fishing over a typical fishing season?

	573 T TTD	EDEOUDVOV		VALID	CUM
VALUE HABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
\$0-\$50	1	43	4.3	4.3	4.3
\$51-\$100	2	116	11.7	11.7	16.1
\$101-\$200	3	163	16.4	16.5	32.6
\$201-\$300	4	180	18.1	18.2	50.8
\$301-\$500	5	204	20.6	20.6	71.4
> \$501	6	283	28.5	28.6	100.0
	0	3	.3	MISSING	;
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 6 Did you go sportfishing in Alberta in 1990?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
yes no	1 2 0	975 11 6	98.3 1.1 .6	98.9 1.1 MISSING	98.9 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 7 Which of the following fishing sites have you ever visited or heard of as a fishing site?

Fishing Site	UNAWARI	E OF SITE	AWARE	OF SITE
	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
Upper Oldman River	570	50 4		
Livingstone River	579	58.4	413	41.6
Dutch Creek	667	67.2	325	32.8
Bacehorse Creak	601	60.6	391	39.4
Oldman River to noigan reger	684	69.0	308	31.0
Crowspest Lake	e 616	62.1	376	37.9
Allison (Chinock) Joka	645	65.0	347	35.0
Crowsport Divor to Disimum	750	75.6	242	24.4
Crowshest River to Blairmore	702	70.8	290	29.2
Crowshest River to byron Cree	K 729	73.5	263	26.5
Crowsnest River to lundbrook	Falls 577	58.2	415	41.8
Crowsnest River to mouth	593	59.8	399	40.2
Burmis Lake	735	74.1	257	25.9
Castle River	571	57.6	421	42.4
Lynx Creek	744	75.0	248	25.0
Carbondale River	776	78.2	216	21.8
West castle River	670	67.5	322	32.5
Beavermines Lake	457	46.1	535	53.9
Barnaby (southfork) Lake	849	85.6	143	14.4
South castle River	741	74.7	251	25.3
Crooked Creek	913	92.0	79	8.0
Mami (paine) Lake	741	74.7	251	25.3
Cottonwood Creek	907	91.4	85	8 6
Bathing Lake	910	91.7	82	83
Butcher Lake	956	96.4	36	3 6
Dipping vat Lake	815	82.2	177	17 9
Drywood Creek	906	91.3	86	97
Waterton Reservoir	611	61.6	3.81	20.1
Cochrane Lake	856	86 3	136	10.4
Beauvais Lake	523	52 7	169	13.7
Waterton River	687	69 3	205	4/.3
Oldman River at fort macleod	634	63 9	202	30.7
Willow Creek	707	71 2	358	36.1
Chain Lake	270	12.5	280	28.7
Mcgregor Reservoir	112	JO.I 11 6	014 570	61.9
Travers Reservoir	410	41.0	5/9	58.4
	223	54.3	453	45.7

Question 7 (Continued) Which of the following fishing sites have you ever visited or heard of as a fishing site?

Fishing Site	UNAWARE	OF SITE	AWARE	OF SITE
-	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
Keho Lake	596	60.1	396	39.9
Oldman River to forks	772	77.8	220	22.2
Nicholas sheran park Lake	808	81.5	184	18.5
Henderson Lake	702	70.8	290	29.2
Stafford Reservoir	824	83.1	168	16.9
Mcquillan Lake	905	91.2	87	8.8
Belly River	802	80.8	190	19.2
St mary River to Reservoir	803	80.9	189	19.1
St mary Reservoir	688	69.4	304	30.6
St mary River below Reservoir	802	80.8	190	19.2
Police (outpost) Lake	551	55.5	441	44.5
Cross Coulee Reservoir	904	91.1	88	8.9
Tvrrell Lake	732	73.8	260	26.2
Milk River ridge Reservoir	821	82.8	171	17.2
Goldsprings park pond	928	93.5	64	6.5
Milk River to miners Coulee C	reek 903	91.0	89	9.0
Heninger Reservoir	832	83.9	160	16.1
Milk River to montana border	912	91.9	80	8.1
Chin Reservoir	623	62.8	369	37.2
Sherburne Reservoir	863	87.0	129	13.0
Lake south of burdett	889	89.6	103	10.4
Little bow Reservoir	614	61.9	378	38.1
Stopehill Lake	882	88.9	110	11.1
Badger Reservoir	798	80.4	194	19.6
Bow River bassano to mouth	598	60.3	394	39.7
Bow River carseland to bassan	0 567	57.2	425	42.8
Red deer River to diposaur pa	rk 737	74.3	255	25.7
Brooks childrens pond	872	87.9	120	12.1
Cowoki Reservoir	891	89.8	101	10.2
Tilly b Reservoir	802	80.8	190	19.2
Lake newell	467	47.1	525	52.9
South sask River to border	753	75.9	239	24.1
Fcho dale regional park nond	858	86.5	134	13.5
South cask Piver to rattlesna	ko 802	80.8	190	19 2
Pattlesnake sauder Peservoir	823	83 0	169	17 0
Cavan Lako	825	83.2	167	16.8
Cavan Lake	850	85 7	142	14 3
Muchell Reservoir	845	85.7	142	1/ 8
Murray Reservoir	045	05.2	146	14 7
Bullshedu Keservolr	840 702	00.J 70 0	100	14·/ 20 1
Spruce Course Reservoir	793	19.9	エフフ つに1	20.1
Likwater Keservolr	641 701	04.0	101	33.4 20 2
Reesor Lake	701	/0./	29I	29.3

•

Question 8A How many trips did you take in 1990?

			CUM				CUM				CIM
VALUE E	REQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREO	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FRFO	DCT	
					~				L IVEQ	FCI	PCT
1	67	7	7	15	30	3	83	30	39	1	95
2	75	8	14	16	3	0	83	33	1	-	90
3	115	12	26	17	3	Ō	83	35	7	1	90
4	88	9	35	18	7	1	84	36	1	T	96
5	89	9	44	19	4	0	85	40	T C	1	96
6	73	7	51	20	23	2	20	40	9	T	97
7	61	6	57	21	1	0	00	45	4	0	98
8	53	5	63	22	2	0	00	48	1	0	98
9	48	5	67	22	د ۱	0	00	50	11	1	99
10	35	4	71	20	1	0	88	52	1	0	99
11	34	2	74	24	4	0	89	60	2	0	99
12		ר ר	74	25	21	2	91	61	1	0	99
12	27	د 1	//	26	1	0	91	70	2	0	99
1.0	10	1	/8	27	1	0	91	75	2	0	100
14	14	1	80	28	4	0	92	99	3	0	100
MEAN	10	.325		MEDIAN		6.00	0	STD DEV		11.	833
VARIANCE	140	.022		MINIMUM		1.00	0	MAXIMUM		99	000
										22.	000

.....

Question 8B Site of fishing trips

CATECORY I ARET	<b></b>		PCT OF	PCT OF
Unner Oldman Biver (WU Buy 1)	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Livingstone Diver, (NW Branch)	1	63	0.9	6.4
Dutch Greek	2	51	0.7	5.1
	3	62	0.9	6.3
Addenorse Creek	4	38	0.5	3.8
Didman River; Hwy 22 bridge to				
Pelgan Reserve	5	37	0.5	3.7
Crowsnest Lake	6	42	0.6	4.2
Chinook Lake (Allison Lake)	7	37	0.5	3.7
Crowsnest River; headwaters to				
Blairmore (Legion bridge)	8	11	0.2	1 1
Crowsnest River; Blairmore to				- • -
Passberg bridge (Byron Cr.)	9	12	0.2	1 2
Crowsnest River; Passberg bridge	to			1.2
Lundbreck Falls	10	49	0.7	1 9
Crowsnest River; Lundbreck Falls	to		0.7	4.7
mouth (Blairmore Pincher Cr are	a) 11	79	1.1	8 0
Burmis Lake	. 12	7	0 1	0.0
Castle River	13	90	1 3	0.7
Lynx Creek	14	40	06	9.1
Carbondale River	15	42	0.6	4.0
West Castle River	16	42	0.0	4.2
Beavermines Lake	17	170	0.7	4.8
Barnaby (Southfork) Lake	18	1/2	2.5	17.3
South Castle River	10	4	0.1	0.4
Crooked Creek	20	4.5	0.6	4.3
Mami (Paine) Lake	20	4	0.1	0.4
Cottonwood Creek	21	TOP	1.5	10.7
	22	4	0.1	0.4

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	001717	PCT OF	PCT OF
Bathing Lake	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Butcher Lake	23	13	0.2	1.3
Dipping Vat Lake	24	1	0.0	0.1
Waterton Reservoir	25	20	0.3	2.0
Cochrane Lake	27	35	0.5	3.5
Beauvais Lake	28	19	0.3	1.9
Waterton River	29	83	1.2	8.4
Oldman River - near Fort Maclood	30	27	0.4	2.7
Willow Creek	1 31	17	0.2	1.7
Chain Lake	32	26	0.4	2.6
McGregor Reservoir	33	234	3.3	23.6
Travers Reservoir	34	308	4.4	31.0
Keho Lake	35	171	2.4	17.2
Oldman River: Monarch to Forka	36	94	1.3	9.5
Nicholas Sheran Park Lako	37	12	0.2	1.2
Henderson Lake	38	29	0.4	2.9
Stafford Reservoir	39	27	0.4	2.7
McOuillan Lako	40	12	0.2	1.2
Belly River	41	8	0.1	0.8
St Mary Pivor: Uppor to Descue	42	8	0.1	0.8
St Mary Reservoir	r 43	7	0.1	0.7
St Mary Pivor bolow December	44	35	0.5	3.5
Police (Outpost) Lake	45	5	0.1	0.5
Cross Coulog Begerusin	46	47	0.7	4.7
Tyrrell Lake	47	26	0.4	2.6
Milk Divor Didne Denove '	48	10	0.1	1.0
Coldsprings Dark new d	49	49	0.7	4.9
Milk Divore Fark pond	50	11	0.2	1.1
Milk Diver; mouth of the North				
Milk River to Miners Coulee Cr.	51	1	0.0	0.1
Milk Dimer Keservolr	52	36	0.5	3.6
Milk River-Miners Coulee Creek to	2			- • •
Montana border	53	3	0.0	0.3
Chin Reservoir	54	157	2.2	15.8
Sherburne Reservoir	55	91	1.3	9.2
Unnamed Lake-near Burdett	56	54	0.8	5 4
Little Bow Reservoir	57	44	0.6	4.4
Stonehill Lake	58	12	0.2	1 2
Badger Reservoir	59	69	1.0	7 0
Bow River; Bassano Dam to mouth	60	89	1.3	9.0
Bow River; Carseland to Bassano	61	220	3.1	22.0
Red Deer River; Finegan to Dinosa	ur		311	22.2
Provincial Park	62	12	0.2	1 2
Brooks Childrens pond	63		0.1	1.2
Cowoki Reservoir	64	12	0.2	1 2
Tilly B Reservoir	65	22	0.2	1.2
Lake Newell	66	198	2 9	2.2
South Saskatchewan River; Rattles	nake	± 2 0	2.0	20.0
to Saskatchewan border	67	51	0 9	E 4
Echo Dale Regional Park	68	10	0.0	5.4
South Saskatchewan River: forks to	n 20	14	0.2	1.2
Rattlesnake	- 69	20	0.4	2 2
	<u> </u>	23	0.4	2.9

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COLINIT	PCT OF	PCT OF
Rattlesnake/Sauder Reservoir	20DE 70	70	RESPONSES	CASES
Cavan Lake	71	4 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (		8.0
Michell Reservoir	72	24	0.1	0.9
Murray Reservoir	73	43 43	1 2	2.4
Bullshead Reservoir	74	10	1.5	9.4
Spruce Coulee Reservoir	75	114	1 6	11 5
Elkwater Lake	76	84	1 2	11.5
Reesor Lake	77	207	3 0	20.0
Bow River, general	78	448	5.0	20.9
Crowsnest River, general	79	161	2 3	45.2
Milk River, general	80	-01	0 1	10.2
Oldman River, general	81	98	1 4	0.0
Red Deer River, general	82	65	1 4 0 9	5.5
St Mary River, general	83	6	0.1	0.0
South Saskatchewan River, gen	eral 84	49	0.7	4 9
Outside of province	85	68	1.0	6 9
Catarack Creek	87	8	0.1	0.8
Severn Reservoir	88	15	0.2	1 5
Weed Lake	89	5	0.1	0 5
North Ram River	91	12	0.2	1.2
Kananaskis Lake	92	63	0.9	6.4
Highwood River	93	74	1.1	7.5
Sheep River	94	48	0.7	4.8
Wolf Lake	96	2	0.0	0.2
Moose Lake	97	8	0.1	0.8
Pigeon Lake	98	6	0.1	0.6
Spray Lake Reservoir	99	69	1.0	7.0
Name unknown	100	94	1.3	9.5
Lessor Slave Lake	101	5	0.1	0.5
Sylvan Lake	102	16	0.2	1.6
Lake Minnewanka	103	31	0.4	3.1
Krypt Lake	104	1	0.0	0.1
Waterton Park (chain Lakes)	105	1	0.0	0.1
Park Lake	106	20	0.3	2.0
Lost Lake (Vauxhall area)	107	4	0.1	0.4
Pine Lake	109	48	0.7	4.8
Dickson dam	110	14	0.2	1.4
Crandell Lake, (Waterton Ntl P	rk) 111	2	0.0	0.2
Ridge park	112	5	0.1	0.5
Little Chestener Lake	114	1	0.0	0.1
Hidden Lake (Bragg Creek area)	115	1	0.0	0.1
Crawling Valley Reservoir	116	104	1.5	10.5
Mckinnon flats, (Bow River)	117	3	0.0	0.3
Elbow River	118	37	0.5	3.7
Buck Lake	119	9	0.1	0.9
Brazeau River	121	1	0.0	0.1
Gull Lake	122	31	0.4	3.1
Beaver Lake	128	7	0.1	0.7
Battle Lake	129	1	0.0	0.1
Cow Lake	131	4	0.1	0.4
Ram River	132	15	0.2	1.5

CATECORY TARET				PCT OF	PCT OF
Black Nuggot mine with		CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Carson Lake	(Camrose)	133	3	0.0	0.3
Wahamum Lako		136	3	0.0	0.3
Fork Lake		137	3	0.0	0.3
James Divor		138	1	0.0	0.1
Stauffor Crook		139	5	0.1	0.5
Pipeburgt Lake		141	15	0.2	1.5
Pavon Divor		147	4	0.1	0.4
Burnstick Lake		149	17	0.2	1.7
Phyllia Lake		151	9	0.1	0.9
Cold Lake		152	1	0.0	0.1
Forosthurg Lake		154	3	0.0	0.3
Pierce Lake		155	7	0.1	0.7
Closmuster Dimen		157	1	0.0	0.1
Clearwaler River		159	12	0.2	1.2
Clopmona Deserves in		162	3	0.0	0.3
Choster Lake		163	55	0.8	5.5
Tumping David C		166	1	0.0	0.1
Tumping Pound Creek		167	10	0.1	1.0
TWIN Lakes		168	4	0.1	0.4
Peppers Lake		169	3	0.0	0.3
Saskatchewan River		170	9	0.1	0.9
Danii Lake areas		171	1	0.0	0.1
Dickson pond		172	2	0.0	0.2
Slebert Lake		175	3	0.0	0.3
Swan Lake		177	12	0.2	1 2
Medicine Lake		178	1	0.0	0 1
Prairie Creek		180	27	0.4	2 7
Fortress Lake		182	1	0.0	0 1
Chestermere Lake		185	19	0.3	1 0
Ghost Lake		186	40	0.6	1.9
Swawell		187	4	0.1	4.0
Touchwood Lake		188	1	0.0	0.4
Strubel Lake		192	- 8	0 1	0.1
Buffalo Lake		195	16	0.2	$1 \epsilon$
Fallen Timber Creek		196	11	0.2	1.0
Swan River		197	2	0.2	1.1
Dixon pond		198	1	0.0	0.2
Burnt Timber Creek		202	10	0.0	1.0
Sturgeon Lake		203	4	0.1	1.0
Thirteen (13) mile		205	т Л	0.1	0.4
Fish Lake		206		0.1	0.4
Coal Lake		207	1	0.1	0.6
Lake Isle		208	1	0.0	0.1
Pierre Grey Lake		211	4± 1	0.1	0.4
Smokey River		212	1 2	0.0	0.1
Sheep Creek		213	2	0.0	0.2
Cold Creek		215	1	0.0	0.1
Fickel Lake		221	1	0.0	0.1
Gap Lake		~~× ))))	Ţ	0.0	0.1
Brown Creek		22J 771	9	0.1	0.9
Boon Lake		444 225	2	0.0	0.2
		440	1	0.0	0.1

			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Taylor Lake	226	2	0.0	0.2
Obrien Lake	227	1	0.0	0.1
watridge Lake	230	3	0.0	0.3
Ribbon Lake	232	1	0.0	0.1
Todd Creek	234	1	0.0	0.1
Rolling Hills Reservoir	235	10	0.1	1.0
Seebe dam	236	16	0.2	1.6
Dutch Lake	237	1	0.0	0.1
Langdon Reservoir	238	4	0.1	0.4
Eagle Lake	239	14	0.2	1.4
Garner Lake	240	1	0.0	0.1
Michichi Reservoir	241	22	0.3	2.2
Horseshoe power plant	242	12	0.2	1.2
Beaver flat	243	3	0.0	0.3
Lake Missawawi	244	1	0.0	0.1
Bassano dam	246	22	0.3	2.2
Elbow Falls	247	1	0.0	0.1
Mclean Creek pond	248	4	0.1	0.4
Blood Indian Reservoir (Oyen)	250	43	0.6	4.3
Stirling trout pond	251	9	0.1	0.9
Rock Island Lake	252	2	0.0	0.2
Calling Lake	253	1	0.0	0.1
Fish Creek	254	3	0.0	0 3
Kananaskis River	255	3	0.0	0.3
Barrier Lake	256	4	0.1	0.4
Bearspaw dam	260	13	0.2	1 3
Carburn Park (Calgary)	261	1	0.0	0 1
Cerrall Reservoir	262	2	0.0	0.1
Writing on Stone Park	263	1	0.0	0.2
Forty mile dam	264	27	0.4	2 7
		- /	U • I	<b>4</b> • /

Rolling Hills Reservoir	235	10	0.1	1.0
Seebe dam	236	16	0.2	1 6
Dutch Lake	237	1	0.0	0.1
Langdon Reservoir	238	4	0.1	0.4
Eagle Lake	239	14	0.2	1.4
Garner Lake	240	1	0.0	0.1
Michichi Reservoir	241	22	0.3	2.2
Horseshoe power plant	242	12	0.2	1.2
Beaver flat	243	3	0.0	0.3
Lake Missawawi	244	1	0.0	0.1
Bassano dam	246	22	0.3	2.2
Elbow Falls	247	1	0.0	0.1
Mclean Creek pond	248	4	0.1	0.4
Blood Indian Reservoir (Oyen)	250	43	0.6	4.3
Stirling trout pond	251	9	0.1	0.9
Rock Island Lake	252	2	0.0	0.2
Calling Lake	253	1	0.0	0.1
Fish Creek	254	3	0.0	0.3
Kananaskis River	255	3	0.0	0.3
Barrier Lake	256	4	0.1	0.4
Bearspaw dam	260	13	0.2	1.3
Carburn Park (Calgary)	261	1	0.0	0.1
Terrall Reservoir	262	2	0.0	0.2
Writing on Stone Park	263	1	0.0	0.1
Forty mile dam	264	27	0.4	2.7
Anderson dam	265	2	0.0	0.2
Bear pond	266	8	0.1	0.8
Bridgeland Creek	267	1	0.0	0.1
Tay Lake	268	3	0.0	0.3
Three point Creek	269	2	0.0	0.2
Nice Creek	270	1	0.0	0.1
Cripple Creek	271	2	0.0	0.2
Castle Falls	273	6	0.1	0.6
Headwall Lake	274	2	0.0	0.2
Wall Lake	275	1	0.0	0.1
Mckenzie Lake	276	2	0.0	0.2
Lac des Arc	277	2	0.0	0.2
Ghost River	278	5	0.1	0.5
North Saskatchewan River	279	4	0.1	0.4
Sibbald flats	280	16	0.2	1 6
Allan bill pond	281	1	0.0	0 1
Elbow Lake	282	12	0.2	1 2
Mirror Reservoir	283		0.1	0 5
Hilers dam	288	1	0.0	0 1
Dogpound Creek	289	18	0.3	1 8
			~ • •	- • ·

290

1

0.0

0.1

Hector Lake

			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Mudd Lake (Kanaskis area)	291	1	0.0	0.1
Meadow Creek	292	9	0.1	0.9
Marvel Lake	293	5	0.1	0.5
Pilot pond	294	2	0.0	0.2
Cypress Hills	296	1	0.0	0.1
Ford Creek	297	1	0.0	0.1
Yellow Lake	298	12	0.2	1.2
Rainy Lake	299	2	0.0	0.2
Windsor Lake	300	8	0.1	0.8
Upper Man Lake	301	1	0.0	0.1
Frenchmans Lake	302	2	0.0	0.2
Fairfax Lake	304	1	0.0	0.1
Long Lake	307	1	0.0	0.1
Alford Creek	309	1	0.0	0.1
Two Jack Lake	310	1	0.0	0.1
Winnifred Lake	311	1	0.0	0 1
Glenifer Lake	312	1 7	0.0	
Island Lake	313	, 3		0.7
Cross Lake	314	1	0.0	0.5
Lost Creek	316	5	0.0	0.1
Lac la Biche	317	3	0.1	0.5
Cameron Lake (Waterton Ntl Pk)	318	3	0.0	0.3
Rawson Lake	319	۲ ۲	0.0	0.3
William Creek	320		0.1	0.4
Wainarous Creek	320	11	0.1	1 1
Johnson Creek	321	1	0.2	1.1
Lesueur Creek	322	4	0.1	0.4
Hidden Crock	223	3	0.1	0.9
Dovila hard Grack	224	د ۸	0.0	0.3
Tay Divor	325	4 7	0.1	0.4
Thurder mountain Lake	220	1	0.1	0.7
Hunder moundain Lake	327	1	0.0	0.1
Mermer dam	328	2	0.0	0.2
Crizzly Lake	331	1	0.0	0.1
Grizziy Lake	334	3	0.0	0.3
Lees Creek	335	6	0.1	0.6
Klaudts dam	336	4	0.1	0.4
Golden Lake	339	2	0.0	0.2
Shunda Creek	343	7	0.1	0.7
Sunken Lake	345	3	0.0	0.3
Enchant pond	346	4	0.1	0.4
Snaring River (Jasper Ntl Pk)	355	2	0.0	0.2
Fawcett Lake	356	2	0.0	0.2
Athabasca River	357	1	0.0	0.1
Two Lakes	358	1	0.0	0.1
Muriel Lake	360	1	0.0	0.1
Mcvinnie pond	363	10	0.1	1.0
Clear Creek	364	1	0.0	0.1
Dam H (Vauxhall)	365	6	0.1	0.6
Midway Reservoir	367	1	0.0	0.1
Bear Lake	369	7	0.1	0.7
Big Iron Lake	370	10	0.1	1.0

Question	8B	(Continued)	Site	of	fishing	tr
				01	* TOUTING	L .

Question 8B (Conti	nued) Site	of fishin	g trips		
			5	PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL		CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Lost Guide Lake		371	1	0.0	0.1
Grass Lake		372	1	0.0	0.1
Veener Estate Park (	Calgary)	373	1	0.0	0.1
Keeney pond		377	5	0.1	0.5
Margaret Lake		380	4	0.1	0.4
Sauder Reservoir		381	2	0.0	0.2
Grassy Lake		382	29	0.4	2.9
Carnovon Lake		384	7	0.1	0.7
WINCHEIL LAKE		385	1	0.0	0.1
Pincher Creek		387	2	0.0	0.2
The second		388	1	0.0	0.1
Marree Isle Lake		389	1	0.0	0.1
Fact Groups Take		390	4	0.1	0.4
Last Scarpe Lake		391	3	0.0	0.3
Lys Lake		392	2	0.0	0.2
Croop Lake		393	3	0.0	0.3
Lilian Lake		394	1	0.0	0.1
Spipe Lake		396	4	0.1	0.4
Shipe Lake		397	1	0.0	0.1
Longeme Lake		398	2	0.0	0.2
Micholl Creak		399	1	0.0	0.1
Alexander Creek		400	1	0.0	0.1
Flk River		401	1	0.0	0.1
Storm Lake		402	3	0.0	0.3
Bighorn River		404	1	0.0	0.1
Utikuma Lake		405	1	0.0	0.1
Fincastle Lake		406	3	0.0	0.3
Pickle Jar Lake (Kana	naskis area	407	3	0.0	0.3
Rainy Ridge Lake	naskis alea)	408	3	0.0	0.3
Picture Butte Reservo	ir	410	3	0.0	0.3
Elford Creek	<b>*</b> *	412	4	0.1	0.4
Grotto pond		413	1	0.0	0.1
Pipestone River		413	2	0.0	0.2
Herbert Lake		415	1	0.0	0.1
Horsefly Lake		417	1	0.0	0.1
Daisy Creek		418	2	0.0	0.3
Allison Creek		419	2	0.0	0.2
Scope dam		420	13	0.0	0.1
Cameron Creek		421		0.2	1.3
Lee Creek		422	7	0.0	0.3
Johnson Lake		423	2	0.1	0.7
Flathead Creek		424	2	0.0	0.2
Seven persons Creek		425	2	0.0	0.2
Botteral Creek		426	2	0.0	0.2
Owl Lake		427	2	0.0	0.2
Gloria Lake		428	1	0.0	0.2
Flat Creek		429	1	0.0	0.1
Pekisko Creek		430	1	0.0	0 1
Squaw Creek		431	1	0.0	0.1
cow Creek		433	8	0.1	0.8
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

			PCT OF	PCT OF
Battle Diver	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Muskog Divor	437	5	0.1	0.5
Grand Cacho Lako	438	1	0.0	0.1
Bantiste Divor	439	1	0.0	0.1
Mud Creek	440	1	0.0	0.1
Skupk Crook	441	3	0.0	0.3
Calolsido dam	442	1	0.0	0.1
Goldeve Lako	443	2	0.0	0.2
Duck Lake	444	1	0.0	0.1
Spring Creek	446	1	0.0	0.1
Kenney Coulee	447	1	0.0	0.1
Bow Island town nond	448	4	0.1	0.4
Dalmead Reservoir	450	4	0.1	0.4
Fisher Creek	452	1	0.0	0.1
Emerald Lako	453	4	0.1	0.4
Factory Lake	454	2	0.0	0.2
Powder Lake	455	3	0.0	0.3
Marie Lake	457	1	0.0	0.1
Vetch Creek	458	2	0.0	0.2
Swan Creek	460	2	0.0	0.2
Camp Creek	461	2	0.0	0.2
Clarks Reservoir	463	1	0.0	0.1
Mcfinney pond	468	1	0.0	0.1
Sugar factory Lake Tabor	469	2	0.0	0.2
Glacier River	472	3	0.0	0.3
Mclaren Lake	4/3	1	0.0	0.1
Mann Lake	4/6	1	0.0	0.1
Meeting Creek	477	1	0.0	0.1
Hav River	480	1	0.0	0.1
Little Bow River	401		0.0	0.1
Trap Creek	402	10	0.2	1.6
Berland Creek	405	1	0.0	0.1
Sundance Creek	404	1	0.0	0.1
Lawrence Creek	405	1	0.0	0.1
Blackstone Creek	400	I A	0.0	0.1
Lake Haze	491	4	0.1	0.4
Missawawi Lake	494	1	0.0	0.1
Battle Creek	499	1	0.0	0.1
Libby dam	501	2	0.0	0.3
Moore Lake	502	2	0.0	0.2
Bow City Lake	503	12	0.0	0.1
Sparrows egg Lake	518	1	0.2	1.2
Maude Lake	519	1	0.0	0.1
Christina Lake	520	4	0.1	0.4
Carrington River	521	2	0.0	0.2
Trout Creek	523	1	0.0	0.1
Rockbound Lake	524	1	0.0	0.1
Harlech pond	525	1	0.0	0.1
Loomis Lake	523	Ц т	0.0	0.1
Surveyors Lake	529	1 -	0.0	0.1
Bow Provincial Park	520	T	0.0	0.1
	555	2	0.0	0.2

Question 8B (Continued) Site	of fish	ing trips		
			PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
Harold Creek	534	3	0.0	0.3
Victory Creek	541	1	0.0	0.1
Wabasco Lake	542	1	0.0	0.1
Wizzard Lake	544	2	0.0	0.2
Mill Creek	546	1	0.0	0.1
Little Bow Provincial Park	547	4	0.1	0.4
Marsh Lake	549	1	0.0	0.1
Romeo Lake	552	9	0.1	0.9
Canyon Creek	553	1	0.0	0.1
Fitzsimmons Creek	554	1	0.0	0.1
Langdon River	555	1	0.0	0.1
Crypt Lake (Waterton Ntl Pk)	556	2	0.0	0.2
Brewster Creek	562	1	0.0	0.1
Heart Lake	563	1	0.0	0.1
Shannon Lake	565	1	0.0	0.1
George Creek	566	2	0.0	0.2
Coal Creek	567	1	0.0	0.1
Wardlow River	571	1	0.0	0.1
Bertha Lake (Waterton Ntl PK)	572	3	0.0	0.3
Gardner Creek	573	1	0.0	0.1
Cat Creek	574	1	0.0	0.1
Jensen dam	576	2	0.0	0.2
Private dam/pond/dugout	577	6	0.1	0.6
Milo Reservoir	579	1	0.0	0.1
Paddy flats	580	1	0.0	0.1
Barre Lake	582	1	0.0	0.1
Lake of Horns	583	1	0.0	0.1
Spring Point beaver pond	586	1	0.0	0.1
Policemans flats, Bow River	587	1	0.0	0.1
Red Lodge Park	589	2	0.0	0.2
Lake Kokanosa	592	1	0.0	0.1
Kickamen Lake	593	1	0.0	0.1
Emerson Lake	594	2	0.0	0.2
Chungo River	595	1	0.0	0.1
Heart River dam	600	1	0.0	0.1
Mosquito Creek	607	1	0.0	0.1
Spray River	608	1	0.0	0.1
Medicine hat College pond	612	3	0.0	0.3
University Reservoir, Lethbridge	613	1	0.0	0.1
Beaver Creek	635	1	0.0	0.1
TOTAL RE	SPONSES	6998	100.0	705.4

-----

		PCT OF	PCT OF
DISTANCE TO SITE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
1-10	956	14.3	137.6
11-19	352	5.3	50.6
20-29	486	7.3	69.9
30-39	398	6.0	57.3
40-49	592	8.9	85.2
50-59	418	6.3	60.1
60-69	529	7.9	76.1
70-79	470	7.1	67.6
80-89	356	5.3	51.2
90-99	332	5.0	47.8
100-109	479	7.2	68.9
110-119	113	1.7	16.3
120-129	269	4.0	38.7
130-139	87	1.3	12.5
140-149	74	1.1	10.6
150-159	187	2.8	26.9
160-169	68	1.0	9.8
170-180	33	0.5	4.7
180-190	90	1.4	12.9
190-199	20	0.3	2.9
200-249	178	2.7	25.6
250-299	50	0.8	7.2
300-349	49	0.7	7.1
350-399	17	0.3	2.4
400-449	10	0.2	1.4
450-400	4	0.1	0.6
500-549	9	0.1	1.3
550-599	4	0.1	0.6
600-649	12	0.2	1.7
650-699	1	0.0	0.1
700-749	б	0.1	0.9
750-799	1	0.0	0.1
800-899	5	0.1	0.7
900-9991	10	0.2	1.4
TOTAL RESPONSES	<b></b> 6665	100.0	695.0
33 MISSING CASES		959 VALID (	CASES

<sup>1</sup>The value of 999 was used for any value of 999 or greater.

## Question 8D Size of party

PARTY SIZE 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 25 30 40 50	COUNT 820 3172 1170 1030 245 160 46 55 18 27 3 6 2 5 5 3 1 1 1 1 1	RESPONSES 12.1 46.8 17.3 15.2 3.6 2.4 0.7 0.8 0.3 0.4 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0	CASES 85.8 331.8 122.4 107.7 25.6 16.7 4.8 5.8 1.9 2.8 0.3 0.6 0.2 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
- TOTAL RESPONSES	6772	 100.0	 708.4
36 MISSING (	CASES	956	VALID CASES

Question 8E Fish species sought

CAMECODY TADET			PCT OF	PCT OF
Wallere	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
walleye	1	511	6.2	52.7
	2	633	7.7	65.3
brown trout	3	238	2.9	24.6
prook trout	4	87	1.1	9.0
cutthroat trout	5	163	2.0	16.8
trout (unspecified elsewhere)	6	3218	38.9	332.1
nortnern pike	7	2195	26.6	226.5
Whiterish	8	518	6.3	53.5
perch	9	233	2.8	24.0
goldeye	10	74	0.9	7.6
arctic grayling	11	40	0.5	4.1
Lake trout	12	86	1.0	8.9
salmon	13	20	0.2	2.1
other, (ling, sauger, suckers,	14	108	1.3	11.1
anything can catch	15	70	0.8	7.2
pickeral	16	33	0.4	3.4
sturgeon	17	38	0.5	3.9
TOTAL F	RESPONSES	8265	100.0	 852 0
23 MISSING CASES 96	59 VALID CA	SES		0.52.9

.

$O_{11}$	00	+	i.	on	Q 1	
×α	<b>C C</b>	÷	-	UII.	01	

		PCT OI	F PCT OF
FISH CAUGHT	COUNT	RESPONSES	5 CASES
1	661	13.5	73.3
2	872	17.8	96.7
3	606	12.4	67.2
4	542	11.0	60.1
5	406	8.3	45.0
6	301	6.1	33.4
7	134	2.7	14.9
8	192	3.9	21.3
9	85	1.7	9.4
10	275	5.6	30.5
11	34	0.7	3.8
12	97	2.0	10.8
13	34	0.7	3.8
14	24	0.5	2.7
15	135	2.8	15.0
16	22	0.4	2.4
17	11	0.2	1.2
18	30	0.6	3.3
19	13	0.3	1.4
20	108	2.2	12.0
21	10	0.2	1.1
22	 	0.2	1.0
23	11	0.2	1.2
24		0.2	1.0
25	43	0.2	4 8
25		0 1	4.0 0.6
20	4	0.1	0.4
28	7	0.1	0.8
20	, 1		0.0
30-39	Q 4	1 0	10.4
40-49	54	1.9	10.4
50-59	24	0.9	4.5
50-59	20	0.0	2.0
70-79	10	0.4	2.0
20-20	6	0.2	0.9
80-89	0 2	0.1	0.7
90-99	10	0.1	0.3
100-149	12	0.3	1.4
150	1	0.0	0.1
200	/	0.1	0.8
225	1	0.0	0.1
300	1	0.0	0.1
354	1	0.0	0.1
450	1	0.0	0.1
	4000	100 0	
TOTAL RESPONSES	4906	T00.0	543.9 WITD CLOSE
90 MISSING C.	ases	902	VALID CASES

			PCT OF	PCT OF
FISH N	UMBER	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
	1	453	15.2	66.1
	2	579	19.5	84.5
	3	337	11.3	49.2
	4	286	9.6	41.8
	5	242	8.1	35.3
	6	183	6.2	26.7
	7	75	2.5	10.9
	8	99	3.3	14.5
	9	35	1.2	5.1
	10	199	6.7	29.1
	12	1/	0.6	2.5
	13	38	1.3	5.5
	14	44	0.8	3.5
	15	15	0.5	2.2
	16	16	2.0	11.1 2 2
	17	5	0.5	2.3
	18	10	0.2	1 5
	19	9	0.3	13
	20	83	2.8	12 1
	21	5	0.2	0.7
	22	3	0.1	0.4
	23	2	0.1	0.3
	24	4	0.1	0.6
	25	24	0.8	3.5
	26	2	0.1	0.3
	27	2	0.1	0.3
	28	5	0.2	0.7
	29	3	0.1	0.4
3	0-39	57	1.9	8.3
4	0-49	27	0.9	3.9
5	0-59	18	0.6	2.6
6	0-69	10	0.3	1.5
7	0-79	4	0.1	0.6
8	0-89	5	0.2	0.7
9	0-99	3	0.1	0.4
100	-149	9	0.3	1.3
150	-133	5	0.2	0.7
	200	2	0.1	0.3
	220	1	0.0	0.1
	270	1	0.0	0.1
		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	0.0	0.1
TOTAL RECI	PONSES	2971	100 0	121 2
307 MISSING	CASES	27/4 KQF	VALTD CASE	434.2
		000	AVUID CASE	5

-----

Question 8H Type of waterbody

				PCT OF	PCT OF
CATEGORY LABEL		CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
River		1	2007	28.8	202.9
Lake		2	3425	49.1	346.3
Stream, Creek, br	rook	3	613	8.8	62.0
Pond, mine pit		4	137	2.0	13.9
Reservoir		5	777	11.1	78.6
Ocean		6	12	0.2	1.2
3 MISSING C	TOTAL CASES	RESPONSES 989 VALID	6971 CASES	100.0	704.9

Question 8I Length of fishing  $trip^2$ 

			PCT OF	PCT OF
	CODE	COUNT	RESPONSES	CASES
	1	826	44.6	168.9
	2	695	37.5	142.1
	3	190	10.3	38.9
	4	33	1.8	6.7
	5	23	1.2	4.7
	6	28	1.5	5.7
	7	8	0.4	1.6
	8	8	0.4	1.6
	9	9	0.5	1.8
	10	8	0.4	1.6
	11	3	0.2	0.6
	12	6	0.3	1.2
	13	4	0.2	0.8
	14	4	0.2	0.8
	15	1	0.1	0.2
	16	4	0.2	0.8
	22	1	0.1	0.2
	34	1	0.1	0.2
	TOTAL RESPONSES	1852	100.0	378.7
503	MISSING CASES	489	VALID CASES	

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{This}$  is computed by subtracting the end date from the start date on the trip calendar.

Question 10 What is your place of residence (nearest city or town)

-----

			CUM					CUM					CIM
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	I	FREQ	PCT	PCT		VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
2	13	1	1	133		9	1	57		256	5	1	95
13	3	0	2	134		3	0	58		262	1	ō	95
14	5	1	2	139		1	0	58		266	2	ő	95
18	1	0	2	141		3	0	58		272	1	Ő	96
19	2	0	2	145		3	0	58		273	1	Ő	96
26	2	0	3	153		3	0	59		278	1	õ	96
29	7	1	3	159		106	11	70		281	2	õ	96
34	1	0	4	162		1	0	70		283	2	õ	96
35	5	1	4	165		1	0	70		284	1	õ	96
38	27	3	7	172		100	10	80		288	1	õ	96
41	391	40	47	173		2	0	80		289	2	õ	97
43	2	0	47	175		2	0	80		290	1	ñ	97
45	2	0	48	177		1	0	81		291	2	ő	97
46	8	1	48	185		2	0	81		294	1	õ	97
51	1	0	49	188		1	0	81		296	1	Ő	97
58	14	1	50	190		13	1	82		298	5	1	98
59	1	0	50	191		1	0	82		299	1	ō	98
60	1	0	50	193		2	0	83		300	2	õ	98
62	14	1	52	197		4	0	83		301	1	õ	98
63	4	0	52	198		18	2	85		302	2	Ő	98
65	5	1	53	201		5	1	85		304	1	õ	98
66	1	0	53	205		8	1	86		305	3	õ	99
68	2	0	53	206		15	2	88		306	1	õ	99
69	1	0	53	207		14	1	89		307	1	Ő	99 99
80	2	0	53	208		2	0	89		312	1	õ	99
84	10	1	54	213		2	0	90		315	1	õ	99
85	2	0	54	232		3	0	90		320	1	õ	99
87	1	0	55	233		1	0	90		322	2	õ	99
90	1	0	55	234		4	0	90		323	1	õ	99
95	1	0	55	237		4	0	91		324	1	0 ·	100
101	3	0	55	243		5	1	91		326	1	0.	
104	6	1	56	244		28	3	94		331	1	0.1	
121	3	0	56	251		1	0	94		332	1	<u> </u>	
122	2	0	56	252		2	0	95		333	1	0 1	
127	1	0	56	254		1	0	95			-	0 1	
	MIS	SSI	IN G	DAT	A	VAI	JUE	0	FRE	Q 24			

Question 11 Are you male or female?

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
male female	1 2 0	815 176 1	82.2 17.7 .1	82.2 17.8 MISSING	82.2 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 12 What is your age in years?

			CUM				CUM				<b></b>
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT
16	1	0	0	34	38	Л	10	50	1 -	_	
17	15	2	2	35	50	-	42	52	1/	2	86
18	9	1	3	36	37	1	4 / E 1	53	13	1	88
19	10	1	4	30	12	4	51	54	7	1	88
20	13	1	т Б	20	4.5	4	55	55	17	2	90
21	14	1	5	20	22	2	58	56	8	1	91
22	13	1	0	39	31	3	61	57	10	1	92
22	16	1 2	0	40	33	3	64	58	12	1	93
23	10	2	9	41	29	3	67	59	11	1	94
24	10	2	11	42	25	3	70	60	15	2	96
25	22	2	13	43	18	2	71	61	6	1	96
20	24	2	16	44	21	2	74	62	10	1	97
27	21	2	18	45	25	3	76	63	5	1	98
28	29	3	21	46	17	2	78	64	9	1	99
29	35	4	24	47	14	1	79	65	7	1	100
30	35	4	28	48	10	1	80	68	1	Å	100
31	34	3	31	49	12	1	81	69	1	0	100
32	30	3	34	50	16	2	83	70	1	0	100
33	38	4	38	51	16	2	85	70	1	0	100
				MTSS	TN	ເັ້າ	ה ג ח	א יי 72	T	0	100
				VAL	UE	FREO	DAI	A			
					0	5					
MEAN	37.	989		MEDIAN	- 3	<u>к</u> 00	0				
VARIANCE	133	.849		MINIMIM	1	6 00	0	SID DEV		11.	569
_				***********	L	0.00	0	MAXIMUM		72.0	000

-----

Question 13A How many children under the age of 16 are there in your household?

VALUE LA	BEL	VALUE H	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT	
		0 1 2 3 4 5 99	527 158 218 62 11 6 10	53.1 15.9 22.0 6.3 1.1 .6 1.0	53.7 16.1 22.2 6.3 1.1 .6 MISSING	53.7 69.8 92.0 98.3 99.4 100.0	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0		
MEAN VARIANCE	.870 1.194	MEDIAN MINIMU	M	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	1.093 5.000	3 )
Question 13B If there are any children under 16 in your household, how many of them fish?

VALUE	LABEL	VALUE F 0 1 2 3 4 5	REQUENCY 635 161 142 34 8	PERCENT 64.0 16.2 14.3 3.4 .8	VALID PERCENT 64.7 16.4 14.5 3.5 .8	CUM PERCENT 64.7 81.1 95.6 99.1 99.9	
		99 TOTAL	11  992	1.1  100.0	MISSING 100.0		
MEAN VARIAN	.595 CE .857	MEDIAN MINIMU	1 ML	.000 .000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.926 5.000	5 )

Question 14A How many adults over 65 are there in your household?

VALUE L	ABEL	VALUE FI	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
	;	0 1 2 3 4 99	922 37 17 2 1 13	92.9 3.7 1.7 .2 .1 1.3	94.2 3.8 1.7 .2 .1 MISSING	94.2 98.0 99.7 99.9 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN <sup>®</sup> VARIANC	.083 E .135	MEDIAN MINIMU	M	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.368 4.000

Question 14B If there are any adults over 65 in your household, how many of them fish?

VALUE	LABEL	VALUE F	REQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
		0 1 2 3 99	939 32 7 1 13	94.7 3.2 .7 .1 1.3	95.9 3.3 .7 .1 MISSING	95.9 99.2 99.9 100.0
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN VARIAN	.050 CE .068	MEDIAN MINIMU	M	.000	STD DEV MAXIMUM	.261 3.000

Question 15 Which of the following categories best represents your annual household income before taxes?

......

-----

173 T 777 T 3 3	<b>-</b> -				VALID	CUM
VALUE LA	BEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
0-5,000		1	9	. 9	1 0	1 0
5,001-10	,000	2	16	1.6	1 7	1.0
10,001-1	5,000	3	41	4.1	4 5	2.7
15,001- :	20,000	4	46	4.6	5.0	12 2
20,001-2	5,000	5	69	7.0	75	12.2
25,001-30	000,000	6	93	9.4	10.2	19.0
30,001-39	5,000	7	103	10.4	11 2	29.9 11 0
35,001-40	000,000	8	91	9.2	9.9	51 1
40,001-49	5,000	9	66	6.7	7.2	58 3
45,001-50	000,000	10	107	10.8	11 7	70.0
50,001-60	000,000	11	105	10.6	11 5	81 /
60,001-70	000,000	12	60	6.0	6.6	88 0
70,001-80	),000	13	45	4.5	4.9	92 9
80,001-90	),000	14	16	1.6	1.7	94 7
90,001-10	0,000	15	13	1.3	1.4	96 1
>100,000		16	36	3.6	3.9	100.0
		0	61	6.1	MISSING	200.0
		77	15	1.5	MISSING	
			~~~~~~		~~~~~	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	8.536	MEDIAN	8.000	) STE	DEV	3.412
VARIANCE	11.641	MINIMUM	1.000	) MAX	IMUM	16.000
						·

Question 16 Please circle the highest number of years of education that you have completed?

VALUE LA	BEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
		5	1	.1	.1	.1
		6	1	.1	.1	.2
		7	5	.5	.5	.7
		8	26	2.6	2.7	3.4
		9	46	4.6	4.7	8.2
		10	79	8.0	8.2	16.3
		11	92	9.3	9.5	25.8
		12	313	31.6	32.3	58.1
		13	79	8.0	8.2	66.3
		14	96	9.7	9.9	76.2
		15	66	6.7	6.8	83.0
		16	84	8.5	8.7	91.6
		17	32	3.2	3.3	94.9
		18	27	2.7	2.8	97.7
		19	12	1.2	1.2	99.0
		20	10	1.0	1.0	100.0
		0	23	2.3	MISSING	
		TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	
MEAN	12.785	MEDIAN	12.000	) STE	) DEV	2.541
VARIANCE	6.458	MINIMUM	5.000	MAX	IMUM	20.000

Questio	n 17	How	many	hours do	you n	orma	lly y	work for	pay ea	ch w	eek?
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	CUM PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	CUM PCT	VALUE	FREQ	PCT	CUM PCT
0	104	11	11	26	2	0	19	54	2	0	01
3	2	0	11	30	17	2	2.0	55	10	1	91
4	1	0	11	32	3	ō	21	56	10	1	92
5	3	0	12	35	18	2	23	60	21	2	93
6	1	0	12	36	13	1	2.4	65	21	2	90
7	4	0	12	37	49	5	29	68		0	96
8	9	1	13	38	12	1	30	70	1 7	1	90
10	8	1	14	39	4	ō	31	70	1	1	97
12	2	0	14	40	389	41	72	80	11	1	97
15	1	0	14	42	12	1	73	81	1	±	98
16	3	0	14	43	1	ñ	73	04	4	0	99
18	2	0	15	44	30	2	76	00	1	0	99
20	16	2	16	45	20	2	80	90	د 1	0	99
21	2	0	17	46	5	1	80	90	1	0	99
22	1	0	17	47	1	n n	80	30	L L	0	99
23	1	0	17	48	12	1	Q1	110	5	T	100
24	7	1	18	50	86	à	90	160	1	0	100
25	8	1	18	52	2		90 Q1	100	2	0	100
		_		MTS	STN	٦Ŭ	גם	ጥ እ			
VALU	JE F	'REO		VA	LIE	FRFC		IA	17 A T 111	<b>*</b>	-
9	9	1		• 11	999	38	3		VALUE	FR	EQ
MEAN	37	559		MEDTAN	A .	0 00	<u> </u>	amp			
VARIANCE	362	541		MINIM	4		0	STD DE	SV	19.	041
		071 		HI M LPIOPI		.000	0	MAXIMU	M	168.	000

\_\_\_\_\_

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
professional and techr managerial contractor farming (farmer, ranch tradesman transportation and communication service occupations retail sales real estate operative armed forces clerical labourers (unskilled) homemaker student retired not in labour force self-employed miscellaneous	nical 1 2 3 aer) 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	168 79 3 40 162 57 136 8 5 52 5 24 31 55 31 42 10 33 23	16.9 8.0 .3 4.0 16.3 5.7 13.7 .8 .5 5.2 .5 2.4 3.1 5.5 3.1 4.2 1.0 3.3 2.3	17.4 8.2 .3 4.1 16.8 5.9 14.1 .8 .5 5.4 .5 2.5 3.2 5.7 3.2 4.4 1.0 3.4 2.4	17.4 25.6 25.9 30.1 46.9 52.8 66.9 67.7 68.3 73.7 74.2 76.7 79.9 85.6 88.8 93.2 94.2 97.6
	0 TOTAT	28	2.8	MISSING	100.0
	TOTAD	392	T00.0	100.0	

Question	19	How	many	days o	f	paid	vaca	tion	do	you	get	ead	ch y	ear?
VALUE	FREQ	PCT	PCT	VALUE		FREQ	PCT	PCT	V	ALUE	F	REQ	PCT	CUM PCT
0	317	34	34	17		2	0	65		37		1	0	98
2	2	0	34	20		109	12	77		40		ī	ň	98
3	2	0	34	21		70	7	84		44		1	ň	98
4	2	0	34	22		2	0	85		49		1	Ő	98
5	1	0	34	23		2	0	85		50		4	õ	99
6	3	0	35	24		2	0	85		60		3	ñ	aa
7	15	2	36	25		59	6	91		70		1	ő	99
8	2	0	37	26		1	0	91		75		2	ň	99
9	1	0	37	27		1	0	92		80		1	ň	100
10	56	6	43	28		14	1	93		90		1	ñ	100
12	8	1	44	30		34	4	97		100		1	ñ	100
13	1	0	44	31		1	0	97		110		1	Ň	100
14	116	12	56	33		1	Ō	97		186		1	0	100
15	82	9	65	35		7	1	98		100		-	0	100
16	5	1	65	36		3	ō	98						
				MIS	s	INC	G E	) Ā T	А					
VALUE	E F	REQ		7	7A.	LUE	FREC	)	••					
99	)	1			9	999	51	-						
MEAN	13.	073	N	IEDIAN	-	1	4.00	0	S	ים חיד	ΕV		13	765
VARIANCE	189.	467	1	INIMUM		-	.000	5	M	AXTM	TM 1	-	186	000
								-			<b>U</b> 11	-		000

Question 18 What do you consider your main occupation to be?

Question 20A I take time off work to go fishing

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never	1 2 3 4 0	54 241 195 414 88	5.4 24.3 19.7 41.7 8.9	6.0 26.7 21.6 45.8 MISSING	6.0 32.6 54.2 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

-----

-----

Question 20B I could be working on days I take fishing trips

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never	1 2 3 4 0	108 275 161 347 101	10.9 27.7 16.2 35.0 10.2	12.1 30.9 18.1 38.9 MISSING	12.1 43.0 61.1 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

Question 20C My job has flexible working hours

VALUE LABEL	VALUE	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	VALID PERCENT	CUM PERCENT
always sometimes seldom never	1 2 3 4 0	181 313 150 276 72	18.2 31.6 15.1 27.8 7.3	19.7 34.0 16.3 30.0 MISSING	19.7 53.7 70.0 100.0
	TOTAL	992	100.0	100.0	

#### Appendix C: A Summary of Comments Provided by the Respondents

Space was left at the end of the questionnaire for additional written comments. A total of 658 respondents added comments to their form. These comments were broken down for ease of analysis into 4 basic categories: (1) management, (2) environment, (3) fishing experience, and (4) the questionnaire. The breakdown within these categories, and the response rate for each sub-category is outlined on the following page. The total of the listed comment categories is greater than 658 as each respondent could have a comment in more than one category.

#### (1) Management

The comments on management were for the most part an elaboration of the response to question 4, which asked about management options in the face of overfishing. In the original question, there was a response code for other, with listed responses showing as question 4a. The outline here is similarly broken down, with other management comments also appearing. A total of 140 comments appear that are directly related to the question, with an additional 116 related to the "other" section of the question.

The comments also show that some people are opposed to several of the options listed in the question. The largest opposition appears to be to any increase in the licence fees (19). This could be tempered somewhat, as there were several respondents who would agree with a higher fee if the fee was guaranteed to go to Fish and Wildlife. Those that showed a desire for bait fishing usually suggested that it was in the interest of their children, that bait fishing is easier for them. There was some opposition to stocking of particular fish species, however stocking is desired by a total of 40 respondents. There is a strong desire for stronger enforcement of the regulations, (40) and higher fines (4) for offenders, (see below under "other"). There are some who believe that the commercial and native fishing is destroying the recreational fishery, and that netting should be stopped or severely restricted (27 in total).

In the "other" section, far and away the greatest response was for a combination of the options listed in the question (72 of 116). In particular, there were many within this category that wanted a combination of better enforcement and higher fines. There was some comparison with other jurisdictions, with more thinking Alberta is bad in comparison, than those thinking Alberta is better. Understanding of the regulations seems to be a concern (10). The desire for better access (16) should not be considered as contrary to those wishing to restrict development (29) in the Environment category. The desire for better access is to existing areas, and in particular access for the elderly or handicapped. This also includes more shoreline access to larger water bodies in the region. The desire to restrict development was basically meant for wilderness areas, and against commercial facilities such as golf courses.

### (2) Fishing Experience

Fishing experience refers to the enjoyment of the act of fishing, and not to how long the person has been fishing. The general trend of these comments is that catching fish is not nearly as important as the chance to get out of the city, spend time with the family, and provide a wholesome activity for their children, (44 total). There were also 14 people who feel that information about the quality of fishing at various locations is not sufficient. In connection with the comparison of management with other jurisdictions, 24 persons stated that they no longer fish in Alberta, that the fishing was better elsewhere, including B.C. and Montana. On the other hand 9 thought the fishing in Alberta to be very good.

## (3) Environment

Environmental concerns appeared in the comments, most often in relation to littering and general pollution levels at the fishing sites (49). This included water pollution, with specific mention of pulp mill pollution, but water quality was not mentioned as much as littering. As stated above, the development restriction (29) is applied to existing wilderness, or semi-wilderness areas. The poor habitat problem (13) included problems created by agriculture. The people who mentioned a need to raise water levels always were referring to a particular water body, such as Police Lake (twice).

## (4) The Questionnaire

Comments concerning the questionnaire itself were mostly negative, except for the 27 who stated they were glad to be included in the survey. The largest complaint was that the survey did not cover the area where the respondent lived\fished. Many of these people also stated that they would appreciate a survey for their area of the province. The other major complaint referee to the fishing diary\trip log that was included as question 8. The general mood was that it would be more efficient and the response more accurate if the question was asked in advance of the fishing season. Many stated that they simply could not remember all of the information on their trips. However, along with the complaint, some stated that they will keep a fishing log from now on, in anticipation of any future surveys.

#### MANAGEMENT<sup>1</sup>

Elaboration of response to Question 4 Shorter season (opposed) (1) Size limit required (1) No bait fishing (2) Desire bait fishing (7) Increase licence fees (2) Do not increase licence fees (19) Increase stocking (unspecified) (32) Start stocking Bass (4) Opposed to stocking Bass (1) Start stocking Perch (1) Stock Perch and Walleye (2) Stock more Trout (1) Stock Walleye and Trout (1) More enforcement needed (40) Prefer catch and release (20) Do not agree with catch and release (2) Larger fines needed (4) Elaboration to Question 4.9 (Other) No management needed (5) Combination of management techniques needed (72) Rotational closures desirable (4) Barbless hooks should be used (3) No commercial fishing in lakes (13) Smaller catch limits (7) Desire larger catch limits (2) Less netting of fish (10) Other management comments Regulations are too hard to understand (10) Other provinces have better management (11) Alberta has better management than other provinces (3) Hatchery problems caused problems recently (3) Should control/restrict native fishing (4) Should restrict other activities (e.g. water-skiing) on fishing lakes (3) Alberta does a good job of managing its fish (12) Trout Unlimited does good work (2) Fines and licence fees should go directly to Fish and Wildlife (12) Too many studies/bureaucracy, not enough action (5) Need more fly-in camps (1) Need better access to existing areas (16) The fishing public needs to be better educated (14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The numbers in brackets following each category are the number of responses for that category.

## FISHING EXPERIENCE

Fishing is a family recreation experience (20) Fish less than in the past (8) Have been too ill to fish recently (3) Want information on good fishing sites (14) Fish at one spot only (7) Don't plan my fishing trips (2) Usually fish outside of Alberta (24) Trips are a stress reliever, fishing is not a priority (24) The fishing in Alberta is good (9) The trip is for the sake of children (10) Not an avid fisherman (13)

### **ENVIRONMENT**

Fish and Wildlife stocks are declining (46) Raise water levels (6) Pollution and littering are major problems (49) Should not develop fishing sites (29) Habitat for fish is poor (13) Forestry practices cause problems (3)

## QUESTIONNAIRE

Should send out diary before the season (27) The survey does not apply to my area of the province (67) Do not understand the purpose of the survey (2) The questions are too vague or complicated (6) Do not appreciate questions about income or occupation (1) Glad to be a part of the survey (27) Cannot give only one response to many of the questions (11)



# Fishing in Alberta: Recreation Today and in the Future

We would like to know what you think about Alberta's angling resources. What do you look for when choosing a fishing site in Alberta? Where do you go fishing? How often? Your answers to the following questions will help us understand your views of fishing in Alberta.

1. When you decide to go sportfishing, how important are the following factors in deciding where you want to fish? Please circle one response for each question to indicate if the reason is important or not.

	Not Important		Somewhat Important		Very Important
Good chance to catch trophy-sized fish:	1	2	3	4	5
Good chance to catch limit:	1	2	3	4	5
Good chance to catch a preferred species:	1	2	3	4	5
Knowing that the lake is stocked with fish:	1	2	3	4	5
Privacy from other anglers:	1	2	3	4	5
Natural beauty of surroundings:	1	2	3	4	5
Water quality:	1	2	3	4	5
Access to wilderness areas:	1	2	3	4	5
Site limited to fly fishing:	1	2	3	4	5
Distance from home:	1	2	3	4	5
Familiarity with the area:	1	2	3	4	5
Owning land or a cabin near the site:	1	2	3	4	
Good road access to the site:	1	2	3	4	5
Site with boat access:	1	2	3	4	5
Picnic/Camping facilities at or near the site:	1	2	3	4	
Friends or relatives live nearby:	1	2	3	4	5

2. Please answer the following questions about trips to your favorite fishing site.

A. Approximately how many years have you fished at this site? \_\_\_\_\_ years

B. Approximately how many times have you visited this site in the past 5 years? (please check **one** box below)

NUMBE	R OF PREVIOUS VISIT	S (check one box):
Less than 5	6 - 10	11 - 15
16 - 20	21 - 30	More than 30

C. How did you first become aware of this site?

D. What are the specific things about this site that you particularly enjoy?

3. Please answer each of the following questions about a **typical fishing trip** or what you **usually do when you go fishing**.

A. What type of transportation do you usually use to go from your home to a fishing site? Please check **one** of the following.

TRANSPORTATION USED TO GET TO SITE (check one box):			
Walk/Bicycle	Motorbike/ATV	Car/Truck/Van	
Camper/R.V.	Other (please specify)		

B. How long do you stay at the site on your typical trip to a fishing site? Please check one of the following.

1-2 Hours Half Day	Full Day	2-3 Days	More Than 3 Days	
--------------------	----------	----------	------------------	--

C. Generally speaking, how **enjoyable** do you find the time spent travelling to the fishing site? Please circle **one** of the following.

	Very Unenjoyable				Very Enjoyable
Time spent travelling to the site is:	1	2	3	4	5
					<u> </u>

D. What type of fishing do you usually do? Please check one of the following.

Bait Fishing   Spin Casting   Trolling   Fly Fishing   Ice Fishing
E. What method of fishing do you <b>usually</b> use? Please check <b>one</b> of the following. From Shore Motorboat Canoe/Rowing Other
F. In pounds, approximately how much fish do you take home on a typical fishing trip? Please check one of the following.
Less than 1 lb 1-4 lbs. 5-10 lbs. More than 10 lbs.
G. Approximately how many years of fishing experience do you have? years
H. Do you practice catch-and-release fishing? YES NO

I. How far ahead do you usually plan fishing trips? Please check one of the following.

IUSUA	LLY PLAN FISHING	TRIPS (check one box):	
On the Same Day	Day Before	Few Days Before	
A Week Before	Few Weeks Before	More Than a Month Before	

J. Who do you usually go fishing with? Please check one of the following.

Spouse	Friends	Family	Nobody	
--------	---------	--------	--------	--

4. If overfishing becomes a problem in Alberta lakes and rivers, which of the following management options would you most like to see used to address the problem? Please check <u>one</u> of the following.

MANAGEMENT OPTION I WOULD USE (check one box):			
Shorter Season	Size Limit	No Bait Fishing	
Increase Licence Fees	Increase Stocking	More Enforcement	
Catch and Release	Larger Fines for Violations	Other	

5. How much do you spend on fishing over a typical fishing season? (include all costs, such as vehicle costs (gasoline, oil, etc.), license costs, food/accomodation costs, bait costs, etc.). Please check the category below which best represents the amount you spend on fishing.

AMOUNT SPEN	NT ON FISHING PER S	EASON (check one box):
\$0 - \$50	\$51 - \$100	\$101 - \$200
\$201 - \$300	\$301 - \$500	More Than \$500

6. Did you go sportfishing in Alberta in 1990? Please check one box below.

YES	

NO

If NO (you did not go fishing in Alberta in 1990), please go to Question 10 on page 8.

If YES (you did go fishing in Alberta in 1990), please continue. The next 4 pages of questions are very important. Please try your best to answer them as completely as possible.







collowing fishing sites have you ever visited or heard of <u>as a fishing site?</u> (place a check mark beside every e visited or heard of). A map of these sites is provided on the page above and a more detailed map can be of this survey.	WER AREA         WER AREA           IVER AREA         Invertion River           Invertion River         Invertion Reserveit           Industration         Industration           Industrati
7. Which of the following fishing si site that you have visited or heard c found at the end of this survey.	UPPER OLDMAN RIVER AREA         Upper Oldman River (NW Branch)         Upper Oldman River         Upper Oldman River         Hacehorse Creek         Unuch Creek         Reserve         CROWSNEST RIVER AREA         For Oldman River-Hwy 22 Bridge to Peiga         Reserve         CROWSNEST RIVER AREA         6       Crowsnest Lake         7       Allison (Chinook) Lake         8       Crowsnest River-Headwaters to Blairm         9       Crowsnest River-Headwaters to Blairm         9       Crowsnest River-Headwaters to Blairm         10       Crowsnest River-Blairmore to Passberg         Bridge (Byron Cr.)       Undbreek Falls to         11       Crowsnest River-Lundbreek Falls to         11       Crowsnest River-Lundbreek Falls to         12       Burmis Lake         13       Castle River         15       Castle River         16       Worthfork) Lake         17       Burmis Lake         18       Conthore Creek         19       South Castle River         20       Crotoked Creek         21       Mami (Paine) Lake         22       Coutonwood Creek <t< td=""></t<>

9. The calendar below represents the months of May to October of 1990. For each fishing trip you described above please

the trip. For example, if your **first** fishing trip was on Monday, the 2nd, and the on the second trip you went on Saturday the 7th and stayed until Sunday the 8th, your response would look like: indicate the dates that you took these trips on. Please draw a line through the days that you spent on the trip and number

Sat	rôl	1
 Fri	٩	6
Thu	5	21
Wed	<u>+</u>	E
Tue	5	9
Mon	ĥ	6
Sun	<u> </u>	rs/

	Sat	5	112	6	50	
	Fri	<b>T</b> _	Ξ	80	S.	
06	Thu	E.			54	ā
Υ, 19	Wed	لع	2	10	53	ñ
ΜA	Tue		æ	15	22	29
	Mon			+	ā	82
	Sun		لع	<u> </u>	R	ia

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Sat	2	6	91	53	30
	Fri		80	5	52	62
90	Thu		~	4	ā	28
E. 19	Wed		9	CI	02	ia l
NUL	Tue		2	12	6	8
	Моп		4		E	52
	Sun		e	01	2	5

	Sat	[7]	14	17	28	
	E L	9	1	R.	12	
00	Thu	2	12	6	23	
. 196	Wed	4	E	81	52	
JULN	Tue	E	01	1	ā	ā
	Mon	2	۵	Ŷ	Ea	og
	Sun	-	8	15	23	62

	Sat	4		81	25	
0	Fri	3	0		4	لقر
199	Thu	2	6	•	2	8
SUST	Wed		8	15	2	8
AUC	Tue		2	4	لقا	82
	Mon		Ø	<u>c</u>	02	12
	Sun		S	12	61	\$ a

				_			
	Sat	-	8	15	22	62	
990	Fri		2	Ĩ	T.	82	T
ER.	Thu		8	6	02	12	
TEMB	Wed			12	61	å	
SEP	Tue		4		18	53	
	Mon		e .	2	1	-ā	E
	Sun		2	0	9]	53	8

	Sat	5	[12	61	20	
С	Fri	Ŧ	Ē	8	52	
. 199	Thu	لعا	01	17	2	ā
OBER	Wed	لعا	ها	0	EZ	B
OCT	Tue			115	22	53
	Mon		<b>A</b>	-	ā	82
	Sun		لعا	61	62	12

We would like to know some things about you and your family. The answers to these questions tell us about the people who use Alberta's fishery resources.

10. What is yo	ur plac	e of residence (	neares	t city or town	):				
11. Are you m	ale or	female (check o	ne):	Male			Female		7
12. What is yo	ur age'	?years	·	L				L	
13 How man	childr	en under the ea	a of 16				1.10		
13. Thow many	ennur	en under the ag	e or 10	are there in y	our hou	isehc	old?	chile	dren
If there are chi	ldren ı	inder 16 in your	housel	hold, <mark>ho</mark> w ma	ny of the	em fi	sh?	chil	ldren.
14. How many	adults	over 65 are the	re in yc	our household	1?		adul	ts	
If there are add	ults ove	er 65 in your hou	usehold	l, how many c	of them i	fish?		adu	lts.
15. Which of the taxes? (please of the taxes)	ne follo check (	wing categories	s best re	epresents you	r annua	l hou	sehold in	come b	efore
	JAL H	OUSEHOLD I		1E BEFORE	TAXES	S (ch	eck one b	ox):	
\$20001.25000		\$5001-10000		\$10001-15000			\$15001-200	00	
\$20001-25000		\$25001-30000		\$30001-35000		\$35001-40000			
\$40001-45000		\$45001-50000	\$45001-50000 \$50001-60000			\$60001-70000			
570001-80000		\$80001-90000		\$90001-100000		Moi	re Than \$10	0000	
<ol> <li>Please circl only one number</li> </ol>	e the h er belov	ighest number o w).	of years	of education	that yo	u hav	e comple	ted (ci	rcle
Elementary	1.	2 3 4 5	6 7	8 9					
High School	10	11 12							
Postsecondary (	Univer	rsity or Technica	al Scho	ol) 13 14	4 15	16	17 18	19 20	+
7. How many 1	nours c	lo you normally	work fo	or pay each w	veek?		ł	nours	
8. What do yo	1 consi	der your main o	ccupat	ion to be?					
9. How many c 0. How well do	lays of	paid vacation do	o you g statem	et each year? ents apply to	you? Pl	ease	days circle the	<u></u>	
				Always	Sometin	nes	Seldom	Nev	er

	Always	Sometimes	Seldom	Never
I take time off work to go fishing	1	2	3	4
I could be working on days I take fishing trips	1	2	3	4
My job has flexible working hours	1	2	3	4

If you have any other comments or concerns, please do not hesitate to write them on any page of this survey or in the space below.

Thank you for completing this survey. Your cooperation is essential to manage Alberta's fishery resources effectively. A card has been included in your envelope. This card is an entry form for our prize draw. If you wish to enter this draw, please write your name and address on this card. The card will be separated from your survey when we receive it so that your responses will remain confidential. Please return this survey, and the card, in the stamped - self addressed envelope to:

The Department of Rural Economy University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H1



Thank you again for your help.

If you have questions about this survey please call Vic Adamowicz, Department of Rural Economy, University of Alberta at 403-492-4603 or Peter Boxall, Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division at 403-422-4771.



