

National Library of Canada

Canadian Theses Service

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Services des thèses canadiennes

CANADIAN THESES

THÈSES CANADIENNES

NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us an inferior photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de qualité inférieure.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

> LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS REÇUE



:-/				· · · · ·
•	National Library of Canada	Bibliothèque nationale du Canada		0-315-27082-9
	Canadian Theses Division	Division des thèses can	adiennes	
	Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4	• •		
	PERMISSION TO MIC	ROFILM - AUTOR	ISATION DE MICRO	DFILMER
 Plea 	se print or type — Écrire en lettre	es moulées ou dactylograph	er	
Full Na	ame of Author – Nom complet de	e l'auteur 🕜	· · · · · · · · ·	· ·
Zr	· it is a second	and and the	•	
Date o	f Birth – Date de naissance	- 44 · ·	Country of Birth - Lieu de	naissance
12	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i - i) = \frac{1}{2}$		Carlo a se	
Perma	nent Address – Résidence fixe		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• • •	CE Marine on A	S. 1.10		
	Omenter 12 hopes			
Title o	f Thesis — Titre de la thèse	ana an		
-	Exploration of	Sterry Strate	ges seed an	No. Contraction
	Disabled Comp.		The Contract Contract	1
	Three Ferrar		1	
Univer	sity — Université	<u>.</u>	•	
ć-	neers ty at 12	ビミヒルナベ		
Degre	e for which thesis was presented	- Grade pour lequel cette t	hèse fut présentée	
و	アラー・ エロ		•	
Year t	his degree conferred — Année d'	obtention de ce grade	Name of Supervisor - Nom	n du directeur de thèse
	1984		14 14 12 1	i - 1
			;	

Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈ-QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.

.

Date "MIRRCH, 15 / 84	 Signature
	and the second second



Æ

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Exploration of Study Strategies Used by Spelling Disabled Children: A Qualitative Comparison of Three Teaching

Approaches

by

JAC ANDREWS

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF MASTER OF EDUCATION

IN EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

Spring, 1984

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

RELEASE FORM

NAME OF AUTHOR

JAC ANDREWS

Exploration of Study Strategies Used by Spelling Disabled Children: A Qualitative Comparison of Three Teaching Approaches

DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED MASTER OF EDUCATION YEAR THIS DEGREE GRANTED Spring, 1984

Permission is hereby granted to THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA LIBRARY to reproduce single copies of this thesis and to lend or sell such copies for private, scholarly or scientific research purposes only.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

PERMANENT ADDRESS:

. Q. 10.6. M. Att. eucuss RA. - DIMONTON ALBERTA ..

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled Exploration of Study Strategies*Used by Spelling Disabled Children: A Qualitative Comparison of Three Teaching Approaches submitted by JAC ANDREWS in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF EDUCATION in EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY.

Abstract

۲.,

study, explored the strategy employment of three This learning disabled boys when studying familiar spelling Furthermore, it examined the qualitative differences words. between three instructional approaches used for spelling principle aim of the study was to discover remediation. Α what skills or plans (strategies) the subjects used to aid their studying of spelling words due to the belief that ability deficits alone could not account for their delayed spelling achievement. An integration of standardized and informal assessment measures along with an investigation of cognitive processes (metacognition) was utilized in order to better appreciate their spelling difficulty. Additionally, a self-instructional design based on cognitive behavior modification (CBM) principles was developed and provided to one of the subjects in order to assess its' effectiveness compared to a traditional and direct method of instruction/ remediation. The results revealed that all the subjects had deficient linguistic ability for their age and grade level able to report a basic understanding of the but were parameters involved in studying spelling words and were able verbalize preferred study strategies. and to select However, they failed to use their spelling metacognition in a regulated 'and effectual manner. It was concluded that their spelling performance was deliteriously influenced by their ability deficits along with their inability to monitor and check their studying performance and use their preferred

iv

strategies efficiently and consistantly. The qualitative comparisons" between the three instructional approaches indicated that the self-instructional And direct methods were appreciated more than the traditional method. The subjects' active participation in the spelling remediation appeared to be a motivating factor along with influencing a in ability spelling. perception of more positive the indicated that results Additionally, the self-instructional (CBM) design appeared to enhance the subjects' acquisition and maintenance of correctly spelled familiar words along with improving prediction of spelling performance to 100% accuracy. Generally, the results further supported the potential of CBM and the exploration of metacognition both in research and as an added dimension of an academic assessment battery.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my appreciation to the many people who contributed to the development and completion of this thesis. I impart my deepest respect and gratitude to my supervisor and advisor, Dr. R. F. Mulcahy who supported me throughout this project. He instilled confidence and motivation along with being a source of inspiration.

I would also like to thank Dr. C. King and Dr. K. Ward for acting as my examiners and for offering their assistance and support. Their guidance and assurance was greatly appreciated.

This research project could not have been completed without the support of the research participants. A special note of appreciation goes to B. Gregory and the students of this project for their conscientious efforts and co-operation.

Lastly, with great affection I wish to thank my wife Debbie for her professional contributions along with her constant love and understanding. Her immeasurable help and support made the completion of this thesis possible.

vi

Table of Contents

Chapter	Page
Ι.	Introduction1
II.	Review of the Literature
	A. Learning Disabilities and Educational Cosiderations
	B. Characteristics and Importance of Spelling13
1	C. Major Approaches to Spelling
	D. Reading and Spelling
	E. Spelling Processes
	F. Spelling Instruction24
	G. Cognitive Processes and Training
	H. Metacognition And Spelling
	I. CBM and Metacognition
	J. Application of CBM to Academic Tasks61
	K. Strategy Useage with the Learning Disabled65
	L. Research Methods66
	M. Generalizability, Transfer and Maintenance69
III.	Statement of the Problem
	A. Research Design72
IV.	Methods
	Participants73
	Procedure
م	Remediation
	A. Instructional Approaches
	Traditional Approach
	Direct Approach
	B. Self-Instruction :CBM Approach

vii

	Description of the CBM Procedure	
	Test Instruments and Rational	
	C. Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A	
	D. Pairs Test of Decoding Skills	
	E. Spelling of Word Parts	ì
	F. Consonant Blends and Digraphs Assessment	
	G. Införma 🕨 Alphabet Writing Test	
	H. Digit Span Test, (WISC-R)	
	I. Visual Attention Span for Letters	
	J. Auditory Discrimination Test	٢
	K. Slosson Öral Reading Test	
	L. Wide Range Achievement Test, (WRAT) Spelling90	¢
	M. Diagnostic Spelling Test	
	N. Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test	
	0. Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment	
	P. Language Arts Spelling Test	
	Q. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 1	
	R. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 293	
	S. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 3	
*	T. Student Perception of Ability Test (SPAS)	
	U. General Aims of Tests	
۷.	Results and Discussion	
	A. Subject 1	
	Test Results96	
	Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests Form A99	•
:	Pairs Test of Decoding Skills	
	Consonant Blends and Digraphs Assessment	i. N
		-

viii

Ś.

	Informal Alphabet Writing Test
	Digit Span Test
	Visual Attention Span for Letters
	Auditory Discrimination Test
	Slosson Oral Reading Test
	Spelling of Word Parts
	Wide Range Achievement Test, Spelling
	Diagnostic Spelling Test
	Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test
	Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment 104
	Language Arts Spelling Test
	Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 1 104
•	finformal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 2 106
	Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 3 106
	Other Assessment Techniques
-	Student Perception of Ability Scale
	Remediation Strategy and Rational
	Lesson Plan
	Summary and Conclusions
D	Subject 2
υ.	Test Results
	Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A 116
	Pairs Test of Decoding Skills
	Consonant Blends and Digraphs Assessment
	Informal Alphabet Writing Test
	Visual Attention Span for Letters;

۶

۴

~

,

ix

	▲
٠	Auditory Discrimination Test
	Slosson Oral Reading Test
	Wide Range Achievement Test
	Spelling of Word Parts
	Diagnostic Spelling Test
	Schonell Grader Word Spelling Test
	Language Arts Word Recognition Assessment . 121
	Language Arts Spelling Test
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment,Part 1121
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment,Part 2122
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 3
	Student Perception of Ability Scale123
	Other Assessment Techniques
	Remediation Strategy and Rational
	Procedure For Each Word
	Lesson Plan128
	Summary and Conclusion
	C. Subject 3
	Test Results
	Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A133
	Pairs Test of Decoding Skills
	Consonant Blends and Digraphs
N	Informal Alphabet Writing Test
,	Digit Span Test
	Visual Attention Span for Letters
	X

	Auditory Discrimination Test
	Slosson Oral Reading Test
	Wide Range Achievement Test, Spelling135
	Spelling of Word Parts Test
	Diagnostic Spelling Test
	Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test
	Language Arts Word Recognition Assessment . 137
	Language Arts Spelling Test
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment,Part 1
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 2
	Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 3
	Student Perception of Ability Scale
	Other Assessment Techniques
	Remediation Strategy and Rational
	Lesson Plan
	Summary and Conclusion
	Research Questions
	Research Question 1
	Research Question 2
	Research Question 3160
. •	Research Question 4162
	Discussion
5	Assessments In Relation to Spelling and Cognitive Processes
	Assessments in Relation to Metacognition 172
	Metacognition Common To All Subjects

xi

.

ĺ

• •	
• •	
	Metacognition Common To Subjects 1 & 2176
	Metacognition Common To Subjects 1 & 3177
	Metacognition Common To Subjects 2 & 3 177
•	Idiosyncratic Metacognition
₩ 4	Recommendations for Teachers
•	Future Research
•	Conclusion
Bibliograph	ny
Appendix A	
Test	Instruments and Word Lists
	Pairs Test of Decoding Skills
i	Spelling of Word Parts
j.	Consonant Blends,Digraphs and Dipthongs . •. 211 🕴
、 、	Alphabet Writing
	Diagnostic Spelling Test
•	Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment, Stimuli
	Familiar Misspelled Words Used for Remediation
	Word List for Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Parts 1&2
	Guideline Probes
	Format for Informal Diagnostic Assessment Part 3
Appendix B	
Figur	es 1,2 ¹ & 3 ¹
•	
1	8

I. Introduction

This study involved a single subject qualitative analysis of spelling ability utilizing three students. The principle aim was to do an indepth assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of students who had difficulty with spelling and explore the strategies they used for studying familiar spelling words. Secondly, to examine the qualitative differences between a self-instructional (cognitive behavior modification), direct and traditional approach to spelling remediation.

this study were considered learning The students in spelling to their delay in disabled with respect performance. They all had a significant below grade level spite of having intelligence performance in spelling in Their difficulties were not the within the normal range. result of primary sensory, physical or emotional problems which could have warranted their performance delay. Due to their classification this thesis begins by introducing the to the current definitions of learning disabilities reader characteristics. describes its' inherent This and description is followed by a review of some of the important . considerations relevant to needs of these students and the 1 implications with respect to educational practice.

The next section contains a general review of the contemporary literature on spelling. Spelling is a complex task which is confounded by the irregular orthographic patterns of the English language and the inconsistent letter.

1

٠.,

sound correspondences. The variables involved in spelling performance are as diverse as the approaches used to teach and remediate spelling acquisition. There are many issues to with and many research problems to evaluate. contend Therefore, program designers need to be selective when choosing appropriate methods to be used with students. This section identified the major findings with respect to spelling and used them in the remediation approaches with Each childs' spelling the children in this study. remediation was provided by utilizing one of the three approaches that was facilitated by their instructor. The direct approach was designed and guided by the assessed the students. The traditional approach needs of one of utilized a provincially approved spelling program to difficulty. The youngsters' another remediate self-instructional approach which incorporated a cognitive behavior modification design was used with the remaining student.

The following section investigates the literature as it pertains to the strategy employment of learning disabled children along with describing its' relationship with cognitive behavior modification (CBM). CBM was used in this study as a selfinstructional approach to spelling remediation. To date, the CBM approach has not been used within a spelling context, hence, a fairly extensive description and review of this technique and its' salient features along with its' application with spelling was warranted. The students' spelling performance was analyzed by using various analytic tests. Their method for studying familiar spelling words was analyzed by observing their study activity and by probing them with respect to the strategies they employed when given a spelling study assignment.

This focus was inspired by a widespread belief that ability deficits alone cannot account for the total variance underlying the performance difficulties of children with learning problems (Brown, 1980; Flavell, 1976). Presently, children with learning problems are usually identified by their poor performance on academic tasks without examining the students' knowledge of operations that may allow for performance to occur. The delineation of how efficient children derive solutions to problems on academic tasks the examination of processes. More specifically, involves childrens' knowledge of strategies to use in spelling versus these strategies was considered to be of regulation important for assessment/remediation. Hence, this section reviewed the literature with respect to performance and process along with its' placement within the metacognitive Therefore, a description of this domain and its' domain. application to spelling acquisition was also included.

The next section states the research proposal and design which leads to a description of the students' assessment and instructional programs. This is followed with the answers to the specific research questions and a general

٠.

3

€?

discussion.

.

.

4 · ·

•

II. Review of the Literature

A. Learning Disabilities and Educational Cosiderations

have been Manv children. with learning problems diagnosed as learning disabled, however, there is little on the meaning of this term. "One of the first consensus descriptive studies of learning disabilities, by Morgan, a physician, appeared in the British Medical Journal in 1896. He described the case of an intelligent 14 year old boy who had unusual reading and writing difficulfies and termed the ♥ difficulty "word blindness". The boy's difficulty included confusion of the sequential order of the letters in his name, spelling errors and difficulties in learning the _ letters of the alphabet as a young child" (Sattler, 1982, p.391). "The term learning disability can be used in a broad, and narrow sense. In the broad sense it refers to learning difficulties that can be associated with any type of factor, including mental retardation. brain injury, sensory difficulties, or emotional disturbances. In the narrow sense refers to the failure to learn a scholastic skill by a it child who has adaquate intelligence, maturational level, and cultural background. The narrower meaning is termed "specific learning disability"(Sattler, 1982, p. 391). It is defined as follows in Public Law 94-142 (Federal Register, December 29, 1977)":

"Specific learning disability means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes

5

ı

involved in understanding or using language, spoken or written, which may manifest itself in an ability a to listen.think, imperfect mathematical speak, read, write, spell, or do calculations. The term includes conditions such as perceptual handicaps, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. The term does not include children who have learning problems which are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor handicaps, of mental retardation. emotional disturbance, or of enviromental, of economic disadvantage" cultural. or (Sattler, 1982, pg. 391).

In early 1981 the National Joint Committee for Learning Disabilities (NJLCD) representing a number of professional organizations proposed the following definition of learning disabilities:

Learning disabilities is a generic term that refers to a heterogenous group of disorders manifested by significant difficulties in the acquisition and use of listening, speaking, reading, writing, reasoning or mathematical abilities. These disorders are intrinsic to the individual and presumed to be due to central nervous system dysfunction.

Even though a learning disability may occur concomitantly with other handicapping conditions (eg. sensory impairment, mental retardation, social

and emotional disturbance) or environmental influences (eg. cultural differences, insufficient/inappropriate instruction, psychogenic factors) it is not the direct result of those conditions or influences(Leong, 1982).

In educational settings the learning disabled are usually defined as those students who are primarily characterized by their significant below grade level performance in one or more academic subjects in spite of having intelligence within the normal range. These children do not have primary sensory, physical or emotional problems which might warrant the school difficulties, hence these are children failing to achieve for no apperent reasons (Bryan and Pearl, 1979; Chapman, 1979).

(1963) originally proposed the leàrning term Kirk ranguage with children. denote those disability to disabilities (Leong, 1982). The most prominant learning disability is reading disabiliy, others include writing, The five 1982). (Sattler. arithmatic and spelling disabilities that differenciate disabled from non disabled children are in reading comprehension, attention, auditory of writing and auditory speed visual co-ordination, et al., 1975). There also а is (Wissink perception distinction made between terms related to a behavioral and learning disability such as of levels psychological "dyslexia" and terms related to an etiological level such as"brain damage" (Sattler, 1982, pg. 391).

٠,

the most common learning disabilities is 0ne of associated with spelling (Sattler, 1974). Spelling is a very difficult subject for youngsters due to all the required skills that are necessary for successful performance. The difficulty is further confounded by the complexity of English orthography that does not allow one to use rules. Additionally, it is a very difficult consistent subject to teach because of the heterogenity of the student and variety of problems that learners can population experience. The practice for many years has been to teach spelling in conjunction with exercises provided in published spelling workbooks (Smith, 1981). For many youngsters this aids spelling performance. Furthermore, procedure many teachers appreciate spelling workbooks because the material is very accessable and provides a lesson plan that includes practice with the various dimensions of spelling (word lists that are arranged according to ability levels, phonic exercises, dictionary practice, word analysis, etc.). However, many children like the learning disabled do not seem to benefit from this traditional group method (Smith, 1981).

If as educators we realize that learning disabled children not only suffer from inadequate performance but also from a relatively long experience with failure we can begin to look at the neccessity of programs to be functional, therapeutic and individualized.

¢.

The integration of academic and affective remediation programs has been recommended by others (Black, 1974) and seems appropriate because school failure experiences often result in extreme feelings of discomfort, tension and anxiety (Gever, 1970). Programs for children with learning disabilities should attempt to increase academic. achievement, self perception of ability and self _image. Support for this assumption comes from research done at the University of Minnesota. Preliminary data was analyzed from 37 learning disability teachers and 36 school psychologists on information considered useful in instructional planning and greatest needs of learning disabled students. Improved academic skills were clearly viewed as the greatest need of learning disabled students by school psychologists while improved self image was given equal importance to academic skills by learning disability teachers (Thurlow & Greener, 1980).

Many researchers indicate that if a child is not neurologically impaired difficulties may be due to inefficient problem solving strategies (Diener & Dweck, 1978, Swanson, 1979, Torgesen, 1977). When learning disabled children have been given learning strategies plus knowledge of the causative relationship between strategy use and performance there has been beneficial effects (Kennedy &_ Miller, 1976).

The diagnosis of performance deficit is only part of the treatment prescription for the learning disabled child.

Self image is also a very important factor of any remedial Kirk (1972) asserts that to be recognized as a program. worthwhile individual is one of the universal desires of mankind. How others respond to your behavior, communication, appearance. athletic ability academic performance, intelligence and other significant attributes influences how you think about them and yourself. The more positive feedback one receives the more positive one is likely to feel about oneself. The more negative reinforcement one the more likely that person will feel unworthy. In *receives the early stages of ones life a greater percentage of time ! is at home and at school. Experiences in both these spent places very much influences ones development. Most peopile receive an equal balance of positive reinforcement from their home and school experience. However, for some, like the learning disabled child, negative feedback from ones school performance outweighs the positive feedback received from performance on academic endeavors. non Due to their underachievement in school they receive less positive reinforcement. Studies in which self academic concept of the learning disabled children is compared with non disabled children indicate that learning disabled children have more negative or lower self concepts (Boersma & Chapman, 1979). These researchers also indicated that teachers hold lower achievement expectations for learning disabled children and mothers of these children respond more negatively and that less positively to their achievement behaviors

(Chapman, 1979).

Susan Harter suggests that positive reinforcement for to a diminished for need independent behaviors leads Eventually children develop an approval. external internalized self reward system that allows them to establish a feeling of self competence, (internal locus of control), develop intrinsic pleasure and increase motivation (intrinsically motivated). Lack of positive reinforcement for independent attempts with school tasks encourages on adults and continual need for external dependence approval (external locus of control). Consequently, a child develops a lack of self confidence in mastery situations which decreases motivation to do similar tasks by himself (extrinsically motivated)(Harter, 1978). Rotters theory of causal explanations which locus of control provides individuals construct for their success and failure. If a person has an external locus of control he perceives his reinforcements not entirely contingent on his behavior but power ful under the control of others chance or on (teachers). When someone is viewed as having internal locus of control he perceives reinforcement contingent upon his own behavior (Rotter, 1975). Learning disabled children tend to be externally rather than internally controlled (Pear) Bryan 1979). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation which was first studied by Hamlin and Nemo (1962) is very closely related to Rotters internal and external locus of control. a child receives positive reinforcement for his If

achievement he will soon become confident in his ability (internal locus of control). Due to past successes, positive reinforcement and confidence he will become intrinsically motivated to do similar tasks. However, if a child receives more negative reinforcement for his attempts on independent tasks because of repeated failures (learning disabled) he will become less self confident of his abilities to perform similar tasks by himself and will seek approval from others. He will seek continual praise and reward to do tasks (extrinsically motivated). Perception of control can be seen as important consequences as well as mediators of ones' motivational orientatic.

The above theories and research indicate an attitude of learned helplessness within the learning disabled. Not only do these children perform inadequately on academic tasks but they also feel that they can't do anything about it. They have little confidence in their ability to improve their Teachers need to integrate academic and emotional skills. remediation programs with learning disabled children because children are failing in school and very often receive these more negative than positive reinforcement which contributes their feeling of helplessness and unworthiness. Research to motivational components of learning disabilities is on (Keogh, 1982), and when included in 'strategy important designs it has been successful (Lovitt & Curtiss, 1969). initially should be . reinforcement External instituted(Bandura & Perloff, 1976; Swittzky & Haywood, 1974),

however, interventions should be employed that increase the individuals' ability to control his own outcomes (Bugantal, et al., 1980).

educational programing needs to be Essentially, responsible to the needs of the learner which requires individual assessment and instruction. Research that Mas investigated the academic performance of learning disabled influenced educational children has significantly an movement towards individualized programing.

Individual learner characteristics have been previously associated with influencing successful learning along with the activities that are provided by the instructor. According to Jenkins (1979) the nature of the material to be learned and the criteria necessary for task completion are also important factors and will be highlighted in the next section. The inherent characteristics of spelling and its' importance to accademic success influences the instructional approaches particularly in relationship to children with learning problems.

B. Characteristics and Importance of Spelling

The ability of an individual to express his/her ideas meaningfully and accurately significantly influences the effectiveness of his or her communication. Language is the most common method of expression. Words in speech or in writing convey meaningful messages that promote the understanding of ideas. As humans we share common languages

13

ł

communication with each other. Since that facilitate our the two most effective means of writing is #one of communication it is viewed as a very important skill to master. The ability to write effectively and be clearly understood depends primarily on ones' cognitive processes and has been considered by some to be a reflection of a bersons' intelligence, 'educational background and potential. It is crucial in the business world, social world, and academic world(Frask, 1975). Inability to spell is frequently linked with illiteracy (Personkee & Yee, 1971) and can sometimes * affect * an individuals educational and occupational status (Graham & Miller, 1979). Inadequate performance in spelling may lead to a lower self esteem which may be partly due to the historical notion that misspelling equates with slovenly habits, stupidity or laziness and even ill breeding(Rosenthal, 1968).

Spelling performance is related to an individuals' ability in one or more of the required skills. These requirements include linguistic competence and/or knowledge about the language (Frith,1979), basic 📷 💽 skills, degree of visual and auditory readiness (Carborinell de Grompone, 1974), automatic recall of letter formation, a knowledge of letter sound relationships (Ako, 1967), . discrimination, integration identity and sequence, memory and letter 1967). This is not an exhaustive list of required (Glusker. abilities, however, it represents some of the necessities adequate spelling, and suggests some reasons why many for

1) **a**

people have difficulty with spelling.

Nex.

integrative complexities cognitive and of The perceptual motor skills are not the only reasons før possible spelling weakness. Problems also emerge because the language does not seem to follow consistent rules English with respect to spelling. There seems to be many as exceptions as there are rules. The relation between spelling and spoken English can not be described simply in terms of represented by letters (Schwartz & Doehring, 1977). sounds because spelling rules are governed with respect to the acquisition of morphological, phonological and orthographic patterns. Language doesn't steem to offer a good fit between written and spoken (forms (Hendfickson, 1967) and for many people English orthography seems illogical and obsolete (Frith, 1979).

Many events throughout history have influenced the relationship between spelling and sounds. Changes in English orthography were the result of many factors. including conversions, printers military v invasions, religious political attitudes, mistakes(Scragg, 1974), language influences, phonological reconstructions from old English, influemces of other languages like Latin and French and on the decision by scribes to make exception words visually dissimilar (Venezky, 1976). Although spelling to sound can be explained by linguistic and historical exceptions Baron, 1981) the sometimes inconsistent (see arounds grapheme, phoneme correspondences create problems for many

15

spellers. These problems have spurred the creation of a spelling reform movement to make the spelling of words more consistent with the sound of words in order to make the However, this orthographic written task easier to master. considered by many people to have more change 15 disadvantages than advantages. It is argued that а more system encourages children to look beyond simple abstract grapheme-phoneme correspondences and develop the lexical and aspects to reading (Smith, 1980). Features of the semantic orthographic structure aids in identifying morphemes and makes it easier to extract syntactic structure (Smith, 1980). Linguists have stated that the abstract writing system represented by English orthography can express important linguistic relations that are missing from a more phonemic spelling (Chomsky & Halle, 1968). It is also noted by some researchers that if all words had spelling to sound many words would be difficult to relationships then distinguish. Homophones like sea and see and exception words like "knife" and "psychic" would create problems in written expression (Baron, 1981).

The debate on whether to introduce a new writing system or retain English orthography continues and our language resistant to structure appears change. However. inconsistencies with conventional spelling is not the only source of problems. Other reasons for spelling difficulty includes cultural lack opportunity, background, of retardation. poor teaching, emotional disturbance and

P

neurological dysfunction.

C. Major Approaches to Spelling

The preceeding discussions reviewed the importance of spelling and some of the troublesome characteristics. It also indicated that spelling is not an easy task to learn and that many things have influenced our spelling performance and capacity for improvement. Spelling and reading are thought to be part of a highly complex information processing system (Gould, 1976; Simon, 1976). Eventhough research has not answered how we mentally manipulate expressive/receptive language and integrate it with visual motor production, we know that spelling can be learned and therefore taught. There is a lot of controversy about what factors most influence effective spelling and what procedures are best for instruction. However, most theories can be divided into three major view points.

One approach is to view English as a visual language and not a phonetic language. Some researchers that focus on interpretation believe that successful spelling this requires visual comparisons, recall or memory of visual representations of words and is dependent on many visual (Hendrickson, 1967). Due to the experiences many inconsistencies in English orthography and because of the great number of spelling rules, the phonetic approach is seen as an encumberment (see Graham & Miller, 1979). Others simply state that spellings are not phonetic but lexical

(Chomsky, 1973) and that spelling should be thought of in / terms of visual patterns (Tovey, 1978). Hanna(1971) and Venezky (1967) point out that 75% of the words in the language are redundant and because there are too Enalish many phonetic possibilities for many of the words, the best spelling approach would be to only study the most common words in our language. Studies done by Horn and Otto (1954), and Fitzgerald (1951) seem to support the approach in studying redundant words and suggest that a spelling vocabulary need not be larger than 30,000 words.

Another major viewpoint recommends the use of spelling rules and phonics to develop abilities in spelling. Many researchers (Block, 1972; Schwartz & Doehring, 1977) suggest to be better then non phonetic phonic instruction approaches. Many theorists suggest that phonetic instruction benefits reading and implies its' usefulness to spelling as well. Bradley and Bryant (1982) state that phonological decoding skills are important determinants for reading success as does Mason (1978). Hogabaum and Perfetti (1978)that speed naming of pronounceable non words clearly report differentiates good and poor readers and Stonovich (1980) suggests that good readers have better phonetic segmentation skills. Spache (1940) and Gates (1937) demonstrated that most spelling mistakes were phonetic in nature.

A third perspective is that both visual processing and phonetic knowledge of English orthography are important in the acquisition and development of spelling abilities.

Baron, (1981) for instance, reported that good readers use both phonological and visual information in spelling and reading and seem to have some strategic control over the use information. Other research indicates the of phonological necessity complete orthographic representation of (Marsh, 1980) and information about letter identity and sequence (Porpodas, 1980). Porpodas comments in the number of takes to build up a useful storage of visual it years information. This suggests that effective spelling needs from other processing capabilities like assistance phonological encoding and decoding. Bradley and Bryant seem to support the dual hypothesis by stating that (1980)visual and phonological strategies come together in both reading and spelling. Many researchers seem to support the view that there is an overlap of operations in spelling tasks noting that spelling uses many sources including phonetic, graphemic, syntactic, morphemic, semantic and etymological (Smith, 1980).

D. Reading and Spelling

Research indicates that spelling patterns may be functionally distinct from reading. The critical requirement for reading is the development of pattern recognizers which are responsive to visual characteristics of English words and spelling patterns. Spelling depends on permanent storage of letter identity and sequence (Porpodas, 1980). Good readers are found to use visual and phonological information

phonological information in spelling reading and in (Baron, 1980). Boder (1971) found that retarded readers made different kinds of spelling errors than normal readers. however, this was gater disproved by Holmes and Pepper (1977)who showed that the type of errors were not different. Saffron and Marin (1977) stated that phonological recoding is not necessary or sufficient for reading words in their study with aphasic patients. These patients lacked grapheme-phoneme conversion processes but were able to identify rhyming words, homophones and homophonic non words. However, for the early reader efficient grapheme/ phoneme processes do seem to be a major determinant of reading proficiency. Literature reporting on studies with people who have alexia indicate that individuals have certain amounts of flexability in using phonological recoding or visual mediation to get meaning from print. Apparently, either mechanism can be severely impaired while the other continues to function (McCusker, 1981).

readers may not have very good information about Poor letter identities which seems to be critical in spelling like 'debt' (Baron, 1981). skilled Low exception words readers tend to be more dependent on word shape as a source high skilled subjects of automatic processing then (Guttenag, 1981) which supplements Perfetti and Hogaboams' finding that less skilled readers have less well (1975) developed automatic decoding skills.

Strange, 1977; (Allington & reading Research on Schaneveldt, et.al, 1977) Doehring, 1976; Allington, 1978; suggest poor readers rely more on context for accuracy then for fluency or comprehension. Poor readers tend to have poor Better readers have superior letter analysis mechani**g**ms. Good and poor readers seem to be comprehension strategies. differentially sensitive to letter patterns. This research that more emphasis should be placed in developing suggests graphic information within poor spellers. More practice in identifying spelling words in in written passages and studying spelling words in context of written expression and emphasizing meaningfulness may be appropriate strategies, rather than studying words in isolation that permit little generalization to their use and identity in reading.

It seems apparent from the preceeding research that 1 processes can `work phonological and visual both simultaneously as well as by themselves. The research also suggests that poor spellers need to strengthen their ability to identify and sequence letters and become more proficient at recognizing various orthographic patterns in English if their performance in spelling and reading is going to significantly improve. Generally, the research seems to support the dual process theory which is that eventhough reading and spelling may be functionally distinct they share common cognitive processes.

E. Spelling Processes

many individualized problems reports in Research spelling. Spelling errors tend to be in the middle of the (Jenson, 1962) and to be phonetic in nature (Spache, word 1940). The primary difficulty for spellers seems to be the inconsistent rules for words that do not directly reflect speech sounds (Frith, 1979) and because of the many phonetic possibilities for so many words in the English language (Valmont, 1972). Lovitt (1975) supports this conjecture by simply stating that longer words are more difficult then shorter words. Some researchers report that almost onefifth the spelling errors are due to the confusion over vowels of and one half are due to insertion or omission of letters (Hildreth, 1934). Good spellers seem to have more mastery in orthographic recognition (Perfetti & Hagaboam, 1975; Schwartz Doehring, 1977) and possess more knowledge of letter sequences but not letter sound correspondence. Effective spelling depends on storage or memory of letter identity and sequence (Seymour, 1980) and poor spellers appear to lack in storage capacity. Many people seem to have various degrees of spelling ability, for instance, Valmont (1972) states that people of all ages do not seem to be able to detect spelling errors, therefore the goncern is in the degree of weakness not the fact that people make errors. Poor spellers seem to have less automatic decoding skills (Perfetti & Hogaboam, 1975) and less ability in letter sound Siefert, 1977). general, correspondence (Guthrie & In
children have a phonetic base but lack knowledge of lexical spelling (Tovey, 1978).

Research suggests that young children start by using phonetic encoding strategies, they later develop encoding strategies that relate to spelling rules, such as the long vowel rule and then finally adopt a strategy of spelling unknown words by analogy to the spelling of already known words (Marsh, 1980). Abstraction of general spelling patterns promotes better spelling and reading (Gibson, 1965) and the is usually evident when children can spell nonsense skill words that are not usually taught (Schwartz,1977). Since poor spellers seem to have fewer words in memory storage than good spellers and have less ability in letter sound correspondence, then their ability to make analogies from unknown words to words in memory storage would also be less effective. Spelling aquisition involves much more than short term memory for words. Abstraction from general patterns seems very important to ones' spelling, reading and verbal potential.

is not review preceding research the Although exhaustive and a more in depth analysis of spelling difficulty, is available in the literature on the processes in spelling (see Frith, 1980) it is representative of some of the major findings. Once teachers are aware of how the inherent children learn to spell and what some of problems are with respect to spelling, then they will be more prepared to be responsible to an individuals' needs.

F. Spelling Instruction

1

Spelling instruction is usually oriented to a large group. Many children benefit from this procedure however, there are many who need individualized instruction because they don't seem to learn at the same rate. The traditional method of classroom instruction involves the utilization pf published material which is produced by a large number of companies but essentially the same in content. Cohen (1969) (see Graham & Miller, 1979) found that some of the exercises in commercial materials actually deterred learning while others were merely ineffectual. 'Evidence reveals that instructional practices in spelling are influenced more by habit than by research' (Graham & Miller, 1979). Typical include word lists to be studied, disecting of exercises words, word comparisons, sentence completion with studied words and games such as word puzzles. The children are, usually expected to proceed through the exercises on their own with periodic evaluation from the teacher. The teacher usually supplements these exercises with related information and then concludes the particular segment Math unit tests.

Traditional spelling programs are teacher instructed, directed and rewarded. Everything is usually given to the child and may or may not be particularly relevant to fis or her needs. If the child completes the assignments, successfully parrots the teacher and basically meets the expectations of teacher and published materials then the requirements of the program are accomplished. If the child

does not satisfy the requirements they are encouraged to the same or comparable program. There seems to be a repeat common opinion among teachers that their programs are inefficient. Nevertheless, they are still being used. This concern is supported by research that suggests spelling lower then it was 30 or 40 years ago achievement is (Horn, 1960). Unfortunately, there is a very limited amount material on effective spelling strategies especially as of relates to differences in individual capabilities. it research in spelling to be less Presently, there seems generally compared to the amount available between 1925 and 1965.

Research suggests that spelling programs should focus the individual rather than the group because of the on variety of differences in ability (Allan & Aeger, 1965; Stowitscheck & Jobes, 1977). The ways a child processes information and attempts to spell along with strengths and weaknesses in ability should be examined before designing a spelling program. The strategy should be easy to understand and allow for consistent and confident useage. Children should be informed on the meaningfulness of spelling and importance with respect to present and future needs. its' Some researchers seem to support this idea by recommending that a 'spelling consciousness' be promoted (Hillerich, 1977; Guthrie & Siefert, 1977) and that children be given purpose to theirs study (Graves, 1976).

Some researchers indicate that spelling instructions are often ambiguous and that spelling performance may be a function of teacher behavior (Stowitscheck & Jobes, 1977) and that just giving clear instructions is sometimes all that is needed to influence spelling ability (Rosenthal, 1968). Other studies suggest that modeling the childs' strategy for spelling and providing consistent reinforcement will improve performance (Lovitt, 1975; Benowitz & Busse, 1976; Dietrich, 1973).

(1967) suggests that since spelling requires Aho automatic recall of letter sequences and formations with respect to letter sound correspondence, the child should be vowel and consonant various sounds of taught the combinations and practice them in meaningful 0ne ways. approach would be to employ words in sentences which would develop purpose in spelling and facilitate memory of words. He, along with others (Rudman, 1973) believe that remembering words out of context defeats the purpose of writing and only strengthens short term memory of words. This perspective is shared with others (Frith, 1979) who considers that learning to spell is a matter of acquiring knowledge and that the child should be given the opportunity to manipulate words so between spelling, meaning and relationship that the phonology becomes clear. Cohen (1969), (see Graves, 1976) indicates agreement with this view when he concluded from his study that better spelling comes when children use language with a purpose. Other research states that matching

words with experiences makes spelling more meaningful and can improve performance (Hendrickson, 1967). Meaningfulness should be stressed and emphasis on memory for words out of context reduced (Rudman, 1973).

Some researchers stress the need for revitalizing interest in spelling (Monson, 1975), encouraging pride and promoting positive attitudes (Graham & Miller, 1979). These authors do not ignore the functional aspects of spelling but seem to suggest that along with examining the processes of spelling there should be some evaluation and consideration of the childs' feelings, attitudes and self-concept.

Some indicated in a previous section that one skill that seems to separate good spellers from poor spellers is the ability to abstract general orthographic patterns. Good spellers seem to have more mastery in orthographic patterns (Gibson, 1965). This suggests that exercises which strengthen abstract generalization and conceptualization ability should be encouraged, as Chomsky (1970) stated, spelling should be analyzed with meaning in mind.

¥.

Many spellings are not phonetic but lexical in nature. Lexical spellings are not based on perfect one to one sound letter relationships but are in accord with pattern sequences of letters in English orthography (Chomsky, 1973). Tovey (1978) indicates that spelling should be thought of in terms of visual patterns rather than sounds and that children be conditioned to spell this way because lexical patterns cannot be produced solely by sounding them out.

Some researchers report that good spellers have more mastery in orthographic recognition (Calfree, et. al., 1972) and that good readers produce more correct pronounciations of artificial words that have predictable orthographic correspondences (Perfetti & Hogaboam, 1975). This research suggests that word recognition skills differentiates spelling ability and should be developed.

6

j.t

1

ų.

Guthrie and Seifert (1977) compared good and poor readers and concluded that they may deviate from each other in their ability to learn letter sound correspondences. Other studies suggest that children be taught spelling phonetically, especially those who have inadequate memory (Vellentino, 1975) abilities and slow decoding skills . studies & Hogaboam, 1975). These suggest that (Perfetti children be taught to identify words according to categories that are organized with respect to the application of rules ' they practice these words to perfection before that and advancing to more complicated structures. Support for this strategy comes from research recommending sorting of words in list categories on the basis of meaningful similarities in order to promote incidental recall (Mandler, 1967). Children might be well advised to learn basic rules before moving on to more complex rules. "For instance, children should learn the short vowel rule before learning rules that apply to words with long vowels.

Some researchers recommend modeling of academic tasks to be a highly effective technique with Some children

(Kauffman et al. 1978). Lovitt (1975) also recommends modeling along with imitation of errors and praise. There is a lot of controversy in the literature on whether children should imitate their errors. Thomas (1979) recommends the charting of spelling errors in his instructional handbook and it seems to be successful with a number of children.

Rehearsal strategies' are viewed as important indeveloping spelling ability because eventhough most children use the strategy to some degree (Mann.et al., 1980) poor spellers seem to have less ability in recalling letters and word strings (Baugr.1977), or in, some cases may be rehearsing badly encoded information (Mann.et al., 1980).

Many words require integration of orthographic and phonological information in order to be spelled correctly. like pseudowords (Glushko, 1979). Some words have too many phonetic possibilities to use letter sound correspondence visual (Hillerick, 1977) storing and strategies and adequate for / representations of words may be useful reading but spelling requires more attention to individual letters (Stanovich, 1980). Eventhough word shape does seem to source of automatic word processing in 'reading be а (Guttenag, 1981) its' relevance to spelling is still researchers believe Nevertheless, questionable. some identity of letters and visual form is used in word perception and can influence its' process. Therefore, it should be considered when developing strategies for spelling (McClelland, 1976).

Many researchers stress the development of phonological skills and many others stress the importance of ability to use orthographic information towards spelling efficiency. Although the controversy continues over which one is more important, Bradley and Bryant (1982) seem to clear up the confusion in some minds when they state that visual and phonological strategies come together in both reading and spelling.

general procedures that seem to be supported by Some research include the test study method rather than the study test method (Yee, 1969), have students correct their own work (Schoephoerster, 1962) and make spelling interesting and fun (Horn, 1969; Monson, 1975). Three popular and well supported the Fitzgerald study include method methods of (Fitzgerald, 1951), the Horn method (Horn, 1954) and the cover and write method (see Graham & Miller, 1980). The cover and write method is widely advocated however, the recommended procedure is not very often presented to the students:

- 1. Look at the word and say it out loud
- 2. Cover the word and imagine what it looks like
- 3. Write the word down without looking

8

 Compare the word that has been written with the correct spelling. If the word is misspelled try again steps (1-3).

• A central element of the preceeding design involves mental imagery. Imagery strategies along with motor activity (writing the word) has been employed by many researchers

(Caban, et al., 1978; Cardoni, 1981; Forest, 1981; Levin, 1975; Rowe & Paivio, 1971) and has been reported as a successful technique.

Caban (1978) tested the hypothesis that spelling words can be better learned and retained by using a mental imagery approach compared to a 'drill and practice' method or a 'no procedure. The eighth grade students in the direction' experimental group traced their spelling words on a 'magic apparatus which was comparable to an apaque sheet slate' overlying carbon paper. The students were instructed to take spelling word and form a mental picture of it each reproducing the words on the slate with the correct spelling of the word in their view. The students were then instructed to check the spelling, erase the slate, and repeat this five times. The group receiving the spelling process instruction using a mental imagery approach scored higher groups on both the spelling test control the then test administered immediately after instruction and on a administered seven days after instruction.

Forrest (1981) defines imagery as a form of mental action that is basically a reconstruction. He reports that there is no single and reliable test for visual imagery and that most clinicians infer its' precense from visual memory tests. An informal method sometimes used is to ask the individual to close his/her eyes and try to cojure up specific images, and if this can be done, then try to shift the image around or change its' colour or content. If visual

imagery is found to be present, the individual can be taught to utilize this strategy in doing those particular tasks in which imagery would be useful. Forest describes a method for teaching a child with a learning disability in spelling to use visual imagery as a strategy for learning to spell. "The child is first requested to conjure up a mental picture of something to write on such as a chalkboard, writing paper, semi hard cement or a sandbox. He is then asked to visualize himself, with his eyes closed, writing the letters of a word as they are being called off to him. He is instructed to try retain the image of these letters but report if they to fade. If they fade, the letters are to be repeated. If he is able to image the whole word in his mind, he is asked to call off the letters backwards, 'fast'. This is to verify that entire the word has been imaged. If this is accomplished, then at different intervals during the day He can be asked to go back in his mind, see the word, and call off the letters either forwards or backwards. If at this time he is not able to conjure up the image of the later word, the original procedure is repeated. The purpose of this procedure is to train the child in the use of a new strategy, that of conjuring up, recalling and trusting images of specific aspects of written language. The imagery approach gets to the core strategy in visual information processing and teaches the child how to use the strategy in order to enhance his overall performance capability and to circumvent a persistent auditory-verbal processing problem.

In effect the child is shown how to become a true partner in the process of helping himself to learn" (Forest, 1981, p. 586).

Durnel (1980) and Bradley (1981) are other researchers who seem to recommend a visual imagery approach that is incorporated with motoric actions. However, these researchers emphasize the importance of letter identity in spelling and the usefulness of sounding out loud the letter names of spelling words as they are being written.

8

Durrel (1980) believes letter names are essential for teaching pre-reading phonics and for making the child aware of the sounds in spoken words. Sounding out letter names develops a relationship of sounds to letters along with being an aid to word analysis where spelling seems to work sounding. He indicates that saying the much better then letters in the printed words bears some relationship to the word and creates a semantic of the spoken sounds relationship that helps to pronounce words regardless of the orthographic oddities. Letter names essentially carry out an effective phonetic service that enables children to move smoothly from speaking to reading to writing.

Bradley (1981) reported that the 'Simultaneous Oral Spelling' approach which establishes a one to one relationship between the spoken word and the written symbol as a child names each letter as he/she writes it proved to be a successful method of teaching spelling. When using this method the child is learning to label, discriminate, recall,

Ŧ

33

,

organize and analyze spelling words through a multisensory approach. The visual and auditory modalities are linked through writing. She argues that the results of her study demonstrate that the ability to spell correctly is dependent upon the correct motor patterns for writing the words.

Quorn (1981) cites some characteristics of an effective spelling program that was researched by Fitzsimmons and Loomer (1977) and supported by multiple, independently conducted research programs. They include:

- 1. Children should learn how to spell only words which they are familiar in meaning and pronounciation. Children should not be expected to learn meaning pronounciation and spelling simultaneously.
- 2. Children should only learn how to spell words that are causing them difficulty.
- 3. Self correction by the learner is the single most influential variable affecting learning to spell.
- 4. Teaching phonic generalizations is highly questionable and should only be taught when they have a wide applicability(Horn, 1969).

many years education primarily focused on the For development of the average child. involved Schooling them in appropriate children, placing of grouping classrooms, giving them curriculum that met their general instructing them as a group. Teachers usually needs and structured lessons for a group of students not for each individual student. Due to the large number of children who

2

required schooling, the cost of education and the assumption for change; individualized there was no need that necessary. possible or seemed instruction never children who could not maintain average Consequently, performance very often fell by the wayside. They were often considered not suited for academic, study. Many of these improperly assessed. Within recent years children were discovered that many children who are research has underachieving may under certain circumstances attain more academic success than previously thought possible. Educators began to be more attentive to the fact that not all people are the same and that some specialized help should be given those who are having trouble. Individualized instruction to is not an easy task because it requires special knowledge and involvement. The teacher must try to realize the skills childs' emotional needs along with his her abilities or before programs can be designed.

Research indicates that spelling is one area that many children require individualized instruction. Therefore, group method designs are very often inappropriate.

above spelling review reinforces the view that The spelling is not an easy task to master. Learning the skill the fact that there are many processes is confounded by always involved and spelling to sound rules do not The for with English orthography. reasons correspond spelling difficulty are numerous and the solutions are very often controversial. Nevertheless, spelling is an important

skill to master and is essential for accurate communication in writing.

Before any spelling program is initiated a thorough assessment of the childs' strengths, weaknesses, capabilities, motivation, potential, background and skills should be conducted. Ultimately, a program that caters to an individuals' needs and allows him/her to improve his/her spelling skills and ability along with being rewarding, successful and generalizable to a number of students would be appropriate.

Presently, spelling inst@uction appears to be guided by two major orientations: the 'Traditional' approach and the 'Direct' approach (Smith, 1981). Both of these orientations posit a need for individualized spelling assessment with students, however, the training devices are different.

The traditional approach usually involves the use of a provincially approved spelling program such as 'Spelling in Language Arts' (Nelson, 1963, 1976). This publication is popular among many of the teachers in the Edmonton, Alberta area and is used in many core classrooms as well as in special education classrooms (Edmonton Public School Board).

These programs are usually organized to accomodate various levels of spelling achievement. The words are usually chosen from a recognized list of common words such as the 'Dolch' list which is oriented for students in elementary education. Instruction is primarily aimed towards a large group, however, is often used in individualized

programing. Generally, the exercises contained in these publications include word lists to be word studied. example, synonyms antonyms). (for and comparisons suffixes. prefixes and identification of root words. for definitions, sentence completions and review searching sections. Some publications, for instance, 'Spelling in Language Arts' emphasize the 'look, spell and check' method for studying problem words but do not consistantly institute this procedure throughout the booklet.

The typical approach in using a spelling series is to assess the students' level of abitity in accordance with the words used in the publication then place the student at the particular level of spelling activities that is appropriate for his/her spelling achievement. The children are usually expected to proceed through the exercises on their own with periodic instruction, assisstance and evaluation from the teacher usually supplements these exercises teacher. The particular information and concludes the with related exercise segment with a unit test.

teaching instruction usually involves of Direct specific skills. This method requires careful consideration of the students' skills and potential, evaluation of the learning enviroment and a detailed analysis and construction of the program. Direct instruction has been successful in a disadvantaged students situations with of variety (Baine, 1983) and has growing support from teachers of special students and core classrooms.

Essentially, all skills are taught directly by the teacher after careful evaluation of the students' strengths and weaknesses. The teacher is responsible for presenting information to the learner and providing feedback contingent the learners' responses. For instance, in the area of on spelling, if the learner is succeeding not it 15 the responsibility of the teacher to change the process in accordance with the difficulty.

Teachers often evaluate students' skill level by using criterion reference tests and then create an individualized educational program or use published material that is appropriate for the students' difficulty. Examples of well established direct instruction approaches include Morphographic Spelling (Dixon & Engleman, 1976) and the Distar Spelling Program (Engleman & Bruner, 1975).

Another orientation is a self-instructional approach. However, it is seldom used due to the difficulty of teachers to find release time in order to create individualized self-instructional packages for their students (Smith, 1981). Nevertheless, when self-instructional formats have been utilized some researchers have reported positive results in handwriting (Kosiewicz, et al., 1979), arithmetic (Hallahan, et al., 1979) and reading (Hallahan, et.al., 1979).

The self-instructional orientation is based on a cognitive approach that stresses the contribution the learner makes to his/her own learning and focuses on child-centred instructional strategies (Markman, 1977).

Interest in this technique has grown due to the recognition that learning involves an interaction between the characteristics of the learner and the learning activities.

The swing toward cognitive approaches to the study of learning disabilities has been influenced by psychology and education. The definition of cognition which is derived from information processing theory (Hresko & Reid. 1981) entails the study of how people go beyond the information given (Bruner, 1973).

G. Cognitive Processes and Training

Two major factors in cognitive development are the aquisition of strategies and the awareness of cognitive functioning (Paris & Lindaur, 1976). "Cognitive processes can be broadly defined as those higher order mental abilities that pertain to thinking, understanding and perceiving" (Finch & Spirito, 1980, p. 31).

relationship to in processes its' Interest and previously, came from mentioned education, as was computer technology theory and information processing (Loftus & Loftus, 1976) resulting in the recognition of the most important element in a the being learner as teaching/learning situation (Ausubel, Novak & Hanesian, 1978, focus has influenced education Wittrock, 1978). This especially with respect to the methods used by learning disabled children in a learning situation and in the (Hall, 1980, instructional programs of construction

Torgessen, 1977, Wong, 1979). Some researchers believe that ability deficits alone cannot adequately explain the performance of children with learning problems (Wong, 1982-83), hence, the concept of "metacognition" has generated much interest among developmental and cognitive psychologists particularly with respect to learning disabilities (Hresko & Reid, 1981).

Metacognition (see Brown, 1980, Flavell, 1976) generally refers to a persons' conscious attempts to control his/her remembering, comprehending, attention and general or specific processing of information (Brown & Smiley, 1978) on academic tasks by employing skills (strategies) that among others include predicting, checking and monitoring of Metacognition can also be viewed as ones' performance. ability to monitor ones' own cognition or to think about thinking (Babbs & Moe, 1983). Flavell (1976) suggests that metacognition includes knowing that one is having difficulty learning, knowing that particular aspects of with self-checking is important in Writing assignments and knowing that examining all the alternatives to a problem will result in a more accurate or appropriate response. (1978) compares these concerns with the common Brown educational term 'study skills'.

Cognition and metacognition effectiones' performance across many domains (see Figure 1, Appendix B). Cognition is the intellectual functioning of the mind that is characterized by remembering, comprehending, focusing and

attending. Metacognition is knowledge or awareness about ones' cognition. The two major dimensions of metacognition are (a) the reflection of cognitive processes and (b) the control of cognitive processes.

Reflection is the introspective dimension that involves knowing about ones' cognitive activity (Metacognitive Knowledge). Generally, it is "the how of my action". Furthermore, it is domain and task specific along with being conscious and reportable.

control of cognitive processes is an executive The regulates how one dimension (Executive Processes) that the act of remembering, comprehending and accomplishes planning, devices such as attending. This involves and checking which can also be conscious and monitoring reportable. Taken together, metacognition can be viewed as knowledge about cognition and the regulation of cognition.

When applied to a particular task, metacognition allows for the development and employment of strategies to occur. Strategies are mechanisms, rules or specific behaviors that enable the individual to complete a task or solve a problem in a means end fashion. They result from ones' reflection about the task and knowledge about the task along with being influenced by ones' repertoire and experience with respect to executive processes.

For some individuals, it appears that successful performance can be achieved without cognitive reflection and/or regulation. This is an example of automaticity.

People who do not appear to use metacognition are viewed as efficient problem solvers or "experts" with the particular task. Due to their successful experiences with the domain specific requirements, they no longer reflect on or regulate their processes. However, it is assumed that they once employed metacognitive dimensions and would again if presented with a novel situation.

When applied to the act of spelling a metacognitive orientation would suggest that the speller would reflect upon the specific domain (spelling) and the task (studying spelling words) and think about how one is going to proceed. Next, strategies evolving from ones' executive processing would be searched and selected that would be appropriate for the demands of the spelling task.

The study of metacognition is important because it can provide some insight on why children choose some strategies over others. Studies in the field of cognitive psychology have shown increasing interest in the hypothesis that learning can be enhanced by employing task-appropriate strategies (Belmont & Butterfield, 1971, Flavell, 1970, Hagen, 1971, Hall, 1970, Hallahan & Kneedler, 1979, Henker, Whalen & Hinshaw, 1980, Keogh & Glover, 1980, Liberty & Orstein, 1973, Lloyd,1980, McKinney & Haskins. 1980. O'Leary, 1980, Rohwer, 1978). The 1980, Meichenbaum, employment of strategies with children is highly related to training procedures that are facilitated by the instructor and adapted by the learner.

Keogh and Glover (1980) indicate that there are a variety of methods and techniques used in cognitive training which creates a Tack of definitional precision. However, a 、 cognitive training programs used by the review of researchers (Denny, 1972, Meichenbaum & Goodman, 1971, Yando suggests that in differing degrees most & Kagan, 1968) incorporate aspects of modelling, verbal self-instruction and self-reinforcement. Cognitive training implements a task analytic approach whereby the child is taught appropriate task relevant cognitions or cognitive strategies which stimulus inhibit maladaptive response interupt or (Abikoff, 1979). Like most new therapeutic associations interventions the development and use of cognitive treatment occured partially in response to the procedures has limitations of clinical interventions commonly used with (Abikoff, 1979). These limitations include the children from treatment reinforcement reduced benefits when are used with children who have behavior contingencies problems. When the children are removed from the controlled and monitored situation their maladaptive behavior often natural enviroment re-established in their becomes (Kratochwell, 1978). Similarily, when stimulant medication is withdrawn from hyperactive children there is а return to conduct (Douglas, 1975). Some researchers, pre-treatment however, have used cognitive training procedures as an alternative to operant procedures and medication and have reported maintenance of desired behaviors (Bornstein &

Quevillon, 1976).

Cognitive behavioral interventions is not a new exotic therapy. Rather it is a purposeful attempt to preserve the demonstrated influences of behavior modification within a less doctrinaire context and to incorporate the activities of the client in the efforts to produce therapeutic change (Kendall & Holland, 1979). Cognitive training presupposes persons' behavior is controlled by cognitive that ď strategies (Gagne, 1977) and that people learn facilitative strategies to deal with tasks. After a learner analyzes the task requirements he/she will recall or construct strategies that will satisfy the demands of the task (Dansereau, 1974). This requires knowledge of effective strategies and/or the ability to construct strategies along with the capacity 'to discern what the most appropriate strategy would be to the employ within context of a situation (Brown & Campione, 1977) This is all related to metacognitive awareness (Brown, 1980).

Successful learning performance requires both an analytic cognitive style and the availability of strategies to be employed for the particular task demand. Cognitive training is a treatment approach that aims at providing an effective strategic approach to improve task performance (Ledwidge, 1978). Essentially, cognitive training teaches individuals how to think just as educators would teach how to do other skills (Loper, et al., 1980). It attempts to modify a persons' pattern of thought with respect to

completing a task requirement so that the individual has both a skill and a plan for successful performance.

One approach that has been successful in developing problem solving skills is cognitive behavior modification (CBM). CBM is cognitive therapy with a behavioral twist. The CBM therapist does not modify cognitions, he deals with internalized speech" (Ledwidge, 1978,pg. 356). Where as behavior therapists attempt to change behavior directly by using mainly non verbal means, cognitive therapists, attempt to change behavior by influencing the clients' pattern of thought and rely chiefly on speech as the instrument of change" (Ledwidge, 1978,pg. 356). The primary focus of CBM is thought processes.

Cognitive modification combines the successful techniques of behavior therapy with those of cognitive therapy into an approach using the persons' inner speech as a means of guiding behavior. A basic premise of this approach is that cognitions (of which inner speech is one aspect) influence behavior, therefor by changing cognitions, behavior can be changed. Essentially inner speech is viewed as behavior subject to the same principles of learning as overt behavior' (Smith, 1981, p. 136).

CBM training involves a self-instructional strategy that allows students to act as their own trainers or teachers. Its' importance and effectiveness is supported by many researchers (Denny, 1972, Meichenbaum & Goodman, 1971, Yando & Kagan, 1968). CBM encourages active participation and

self reinforcement along with providing for external reinforcement. It is a problem solving process for children with inefficient learning strategies that requires the child to self-instruct, self-reinforce, self-assess and self-record (Lloyd, 1980).

Research indicates that performance deficiencies of many learning disabled children may be accounted for by their failure to employ efficient task strategies (Hall, 1978, Hallahan, Kaufman & Ball, 1976, Havertape & Kass, 1978, Torgessen, 1977, 1980).

In order for a child to do well on an academic task he must know what is being asked of him, know a good way to proceed with the task, have the skills necessary to do the task and feel confident in his ability. Learning disabled children can perform as well as normally achieving children if taught to use appropriate recall, retrieval or rehearsal stategies in solving the problem(Hall, 1978). Torgesen suggests that if early failure in school leads learning disabled children to become less involved in trying to meet the demands of curriculum that has outdistanced them, their school experience would not stimulate the development of strategic learning behavior to the same extent as a normal child (Torgesen, 1980).

An effective problem solving strategy must focus on a particular weakness, be easily understood and allow for consistent and confident usage. Its' benefits should be conceptualized and self-rewarding. According to Ken

ł

Weber(1974), you must awaken an interest in the mind of the off. Research indicates that children must turned participate actively in the learning process for it to be self-rewarding (Henker, et. al., 1980) and that failure in some tasks by learning disabled children is due to not approaching tasks in a planful, organized and active way (Torgesen, 1977). Some learning disabled children are passive active strategies learners and do not use (Hall, 1980, Havertape & Kass, 1978, Kaughman & Hallahan, 1979, Lloyd, 1980, Loper, 1980). However, research indicates that CBM shows potential for inducing confidence in the ability to do task allowing for selfsatisfaction independent of others a and in maintaining and transfering the ability to do the (Bornstein & Quevillon, 1976, Brown particular task & Barclay, 1976, Kramer, 1980, Keogh & Barkett, 1979).

Self-instruction as a major component of CBM is an important device because acting independently Mn todays' society is expected (O'Leary & Dubey, 1979). It involves teaching the child specific verbalizations that follow a step by step sequence. Self-verbalizations contribute to the childs' cognitive style(Meichenbaum & Goodman 1971) improves reading (Lloyd ,1980) and has produced dramatic word increases of attention and performance over baseline procedures(Meichenbaum & Goodman, 1971; Rosenbaum & Drabman, 1979).

Numerous psychologists and theorists have indicated that inner cognition or inner speech influences behavior

(Bandura, 1976, Luria, 1961, Furth, 1968). Furths' basic assumption is that thinking is reduced to language, language reduced to inner language, and inner language is is conditioning(Furth, 1968) CBM children assists in "developing overt self-regulatory speech which later becomes. behavior on academic covert and auides tasks more effectively" (Smith, 1981, p. 137). Experimental research done by Lurias and Yudovich 1959, provides evidence that supports the neccessity of speech in the development of thinking (Furth, 1968). Essentially, thinking is related to language. We can influence a persons' thinking with language. Information becomes internalized by means of repitition and rehearsal. It is stored and then available for use.

Research has shown that some readers show deficiency in the use of verbal rehearsal as compared to normal readers (Torgesen & Goodman, 1977) and that children with learning disabilities recall less information on memory tasks due to lack of efficient rehearsal use (Belmont & Butterfield, 1971, Swanson, 1979). Word reading can improve by using a self verbalization strategy (Kneedler, 1980; Lloyd, 1980) and rather than interfering with the problem solving process can instead be facilitative (Havertape & Kass, 1978).

If we accept the assumptions that learning disabled students have lower academic selfconcept than non-disabled students. That a lower perception of ability in academic tasks can influence their self-image and motivational orientation in a negative way so that they feel less

adequate to attempt tasks independently with self-confidence. That they are passive learners rather than active learners. That their underachievement is very often confounded by their inability to acquire respect from significant others. Then, a remedial design that attempts to alleviate these factors would seem appropriate.

In order for cognitive modification to be effective in must allow for the it solving situations problem individuals' active participation, self instruction, self reinforcement, with external reinforcement along procedure, good explanations on the purpose of the communication between facilitator and client, flexability in the design, self verbalization and modelling the of technique. Several researchers (Abikoff, 1979; Kauffman & and 1979: Keogh Barkett, Hallahan, 1979; Keogh and Mahoney, 1974; Meichenbaum, 1977) Glover, 1980; Lloyd, 1980; have suggested that cognitive modification procedures offer particular promise as a way to remediate the academic and behavioral problems of children with learning disabilities. Some researchers have found that when cognitive modification was compared to medication and behavior modification it of transfer or possibility greatest the showed generalization (Keogh & Barkett, 1979; Keogh & Glover, 1980).

Modelling is essential in cognitive modification. It is the primary means of instruction (Lloyd, 1980). Students act as their own therapists or trainers and are encouraged to control, assess and positively reinforce their efforts.

However, before remediation can be initiated an accurate the problem must be done. One of the most assessment of emphasized and probably most complimentary aspects of the CBM technique is the consultation part of the assessment. It is during these early stages that both the client and facilitator attempt to discover the reasons for the problem. The intent is to discover how the individual processes information, how the individual tries to solve the problem and how he/she feels about his/her efforts. A unique aspect of the CBM design is that both the client and facilitator work together toward the solution. The client becomes an active participant. Another important feature of the CBM design is that it is flexible. If a certain procedure is not then both facilitator and effective for the individual client examine the reasons and submit alternative strategies. CBM is one approach that offers the individual the opportunity to develop strategies that are particularly relevant to his or her needs. It is an intervention approach that attempts to modify cognitive strategies in relationship with task performance.

Many of the components of CBM have characteristics that are similar to the metacognitive orientation (see Figure 2, Appendix B). CBM is like metacognition because both conceptualizations are concerned with thought processes, specifically in relation to attention, perception and language. Both orientations are interested in strategy employment in connection with task and person variables

along with believing that performance may be influenced by poorly organized cognitions. Furthermore, advocates of CBM[^] and metacognition recognize the contribution the learner makes to the learning process.

two conceptual frameworks from which CBM and The applied to children with learning metacognition has been (1977) "inactive learner" and is Torgesens' problems (1971) interactional model. These two concepts Adelmans' respectively suggest that some children develop a passive or unorganized style to learning and that learning deficits may result of a mismatch between instruction and be the cognitive awareness (metacognition)

Torgesen indicates that a learning disabled childs' inability to use efficient problem solving strategies is a the childs' difficulty with major causitive factor in Further, he suggests that the solving academic problems. cognitive processes of these children can be inferred from exploring the strategies used by learning disabled children (Torgesen, 1982) which can aid in the remedial prescriptions for these children. Wong (1982-83) criticizes this conceptual framework by suggesting that this theory is based underlying mechanisms which can not be observed and that on the conditions which learning disabled children exhibit strategies are difficult to access and measure. However, the conceptual model is promising because it allows researchers to provide conditions under which learning disabled students may demonstrate strategies (Wong, 1982-83). Then explore the

interactions between the learning disableds' knowledge of various task parameters such as 'studying for spelling' and their performance on the task (spelling test).

conceptualized the development of Adelman (1971)learning disabilities as a result of a mismatch between the and the childs' cognitive instructional environment hypothesized that if the instructional He problems. environment was "personalized" to accomodate the childs' their skill deficiencies would be processing problems Wong (1982-83) states that he does not minimized. However, operationally define "personalized" which could lead to interpretations from the various professionals different responsible for implementing remediation for learning suggests, (1982-83) if disabled students. As Wong terms defined in of instruction personalized was "interactions between the teachers' direct training of learning disabled students to engage in particular learning strategies/ activities or structuring materials/ exercises, and the learning disabled students' knowledge, processing and/or skill deficiencies (p.16) it may lead to problems testable hypotheses. For example, manipulating teaching approaches with respect to spelling instruction.

H. Metacognition And Spelling

When metacognition is applied to spelling it can be viewed as a sequence that begins with the spellers' metacognitive knowledge and ends with the use of strategic

spelling behaviors. The following example is based on Flavells' (1979) model of cognitive monitoring in reading comprehension but modified to fit spelling. The skills and strategies included in this example are based on principles from the research (see "Literature Review on derived Spelling") with respect to effective skills spelling relative to studying and performance. They are labelled as metacognitive skills because they can be consciously evoked the important content in the speller focusing on by monitoring spelling performance, in determining success in reaching goals and in resolving breakdowns in spelling. "The value of viewing these skills within a metacognitive framework lies in the increased emphasis on the spellers responsibility for this knowledge and control and on the teachers' role in developing success and ability (Babbs and Moe, 1983).

5

12

Metacognition and the Spelling-Study Process

This outline is based on the figure presented `in Babbs and Moes' article on "Metacognition" (1983, pg 422). In terms of the description of metacognition presented earlier (see Figure 1, Appendix B), points 1,2 and 3 represents the "metacognitive knowledge" dimension of metacognition. During this phase the speller reflects domain specific task (spelling) and the upon contemplates the "how of his action". Points 4 and 5 aspect of "executive process" the represent metacognition. The speller establishes a method to

accomplish the specific task (spelling) and searches for task appropriate strategies to control and regulate the successful completion of the task.

- 1. The speller consciously intends to control the spelling study act (metacognitive experience).
- 2. the speller establishes the goal for the spelling act.
- 3. The speller focuses on his/her metacognitive knowledge (metacognitive experience).
 - A) Knowledge of his/her own cognitive processes
 - B) Knowledge of the demands imposed by the spelling task.
- 4. The speller strategically plans the regulation and monitofing of the spelling act.
 - A) Consideration of the metacognitive skills and strategies.

Example: Looking at the spelling word

Memorizing and visualizing the word Phonetic analysis

Morphological structure of the word Looking for orthographic irregularities of the word

Looking for letter sound correspondence Examining word parts, for example, consonant blends, digraphs and dipthongs Testing ones' understanding Identifying pattern of word Writing the word Checking the writing performance with the correct spelling Examining letter sequences Mentally executing steps involved in efficient spelling study Relating new knowledge to prior knowledge

- B) Selection of metacognitive skills and strategies
- C) Implementation of the skills and strategies
- Periodic assessment of spelling study success while working through the required list of spelling words to learn (metacognitive experience).

As Babbs and Moe (1983) suggests, the major focus of instruction in metacognition is to teach students to use knowledge about the spelling task independently and to plan, regulate and monitor their spelling/thinking activities.

ł

I. CBM and Metacognition

An objective with CBM is to provide children with a knowledge or meta strategies of when and where a specific strategy will or will not work (Meichenbaum, 1980).

Metacognitive development is the aquisition of knowledge and cognition about cognitive

 \mathcal{C}

development(Meichenbaum, 1979). Anne Brown stated, it is As knowing about knowing. Flavell and Wellman (1977) define knowledge about anything an individuals' metamemory as germane to information storage and retrieval. Metacognitive development refers to "the ability to stop and think before attempting a problem, to ask questions of oneself and others, to determine if one recognizes the problem, to check solutions against reality by asking not 'is it right' but is it reasonable, to monitor attempts to learn to see if they are working or worth the effort" (Brown, et al., 1977, pg.1456). Metacognitive development is concerned with 'executive processes' en Imont & Butterfield, 1977) or what Gagne and Briggs (1974) calls 'cognitive strategies'.

A cognitive strategy is a skill by which the learner manages his own thinking behavior. "Cognitive strategies have as their objects the learners own thought processes." Undoubtedly, the efficacy of an individuals' cognitive strategies exert a crucial effect upon the quality of his own thought" (Meichenbaum, 1979,pg. 29). The elements of metacognitive processes and the content of self statements that have been used by CBM therapists are quite similar.

with mentally Work on metacognitive development retarded childrent has suggested that a control aspect underlying inadequate performance is the childs' general. failure to be strategic. The CBM work with children who have impulsive and academically based problems suggest that they producing strategic plans problems in also have

(Meichenbaum, 1979).

Brown and Barclay (1976) employed a stop check and study routine to facilitate generalization in educably mentally retarded children on memory recall, an ability that recall of prose passages. subsequent generalized to Burgio, et al., (1980) did self instructional training with retarded children in order to focus highly distractable to cope with two tasks, math and their attention and printing. The results suggested that the training package in self changes generalized produced direct and instructional behavior. In addition, a decrease in off task behavior occured during math, printing and also during a phonics program.

Cognitive behavioral interventions have been influenced by the notion that thoughts or cognitions are subject to the learning as overt behaviors (Ulman,1970). same laws of theory of self-efficacy furthered the effect of Banduras' (Bandura, 1977). Other cognitive processes on behavior influences come from researchers who have used cognitive self-instructional involve that strategies treatment training, reinforcement contingencies and modelling (Kendall & Finch, 1978, Lloyd, 1980, Meichenbaum & Goodman, 1971). The work in CBM with children focused on problems of initial impulsivity, hyperactivity and aggression, self-control. however, more recent efforts have been directed to academic relevant tasks.

57

-į

There are a variety of techniques associated with cognitive self-control which include anxiety management training (Suinn & Richardson, 1971), emotional response routine (Chapman & Layden, 1971), idealized self-image (Susskind, 1970) and problem solving training (Camp et al, 1977). However, one of the most relevant techniques with respect to educational practice is CBM. (self-instructional) training. It is appealing because it allows the student to be an active participant in the learning process and frees the teacher from constant supervision.

Meichenbaum and Goodman (1971) report that CBM training involves teaching the child specific verbalizations that follow a step by step sequence. These verbalizations are related to the specific problem of the child (spelling) and are modelled by the therapist and rehearsed by the child. The modelling and rehearsal sessions follow a defined sequence:

- The experimenter or therapist does a task while talking outlood to himself and the subject or child observes (Cognitive Model)
- The subject performs the task instructing himself or herself outloud with assistance from the experimenter (overt, external guidance)
- 3. The subject performs the task outloud by himself without assistance (overt, self guidance).
- 4. The subject performs the task while directing himself in a whisper (overt-faded self guidance)
5. The subject performs the task using covert (silent) verbalizations (covert self instruction)

The verbalizations modeled the therapist and rehearsed by the child are generally of four types:

- Problem definition ("What is it that I should do in this situation")
- Focusing of attention ("I have to concentrate and do what I am suppose to do")
- Coping statements ("Even if I make a mistake, I can continue more slowly")
- 4. Self reinforcement ("Great! I did it. That was Good") The self evaluation provides a personal control over their behavior and outcome.

The self-instructional training makes it possible for students to consciously think about the task they are doing and guide themselves in an appropriate manner. The subjects' internal dialogue is used as a tool for facilitating such things as reading comprehension, problem solving and self control. "Self - instructional training may help the subject know exactly where to use what he has. He may have some mediational skills but yet not think to apply them in appropriate situations" (Meichenbaum & Asarnow, 1979, pg. The overt verbalizations which are faded into covert 19). verbalizations help organize material, aid term short storage, maintain task relevant behaviors and provide ways for coping with failure and reinforcing success (Meichenbaum & Asarnow, 1979).

59

Very young children and those with learning handicaps often approach complex problems in a disorganized fashion and solve them with great effort, if at all (McKinney & taught children Haskins, 1980). Researchers who have for processing information on memory tasks strategies (Butterfield et al., 1973) and on problem solving tasks (McKinney, 1972) show that even retarded children have the skills for efficient performance but often do not apply these skills without suitable instruction.

The self - talk component of CBM induces the child to proceedures evoke the the cause and the the self as perception of "I am doing this". The meaning of 'I' includes not only (I versus not I) but the volition (I choose), the predictability (I know why) and the mutability (I can change it). Thus, increased self-perception of I can'not not only Lincreases self-esteem but has motivational properties as and can result in sustained goal oriented performance well (Henker, 1980). Cognitive training interventions have а considerable intuitive appeal, as they purport to bring about changes with the child that will enable him or her to deal effectively, with a variety of problems (Keogh & Glover(. 1980).

O'Leary (1980) discusses a number of important factors that should be evaluated before initiating an instructional design:

1. Children must understand that a problem exists. They must have a reason for learning.

- 2. Developing better thinking behaviors in involves both the child and external (teacher) participation.
- 3. The target behavior or goal must be in the childs' repertoire.
- 4. Task difficulty must be assessed.
- 5. The childs' cognitive skill level must be ascertained as well as language maturity.
- 6. Failures must be minimized by carefully structuring tasks and training.

J. Application of CBM to Academic Tasks

Some educators have questioned the practicality of the CBM approach. They criticize the assumption that it takes too much time, it is impossible to implement individualized programs and that some of the procedures like self-verbalization will interfere with the learning of a particular skill.

Firstly, CBM is a specialized program and it does require some special skills to be comfortable and effective in its' use. Not every facilitator or client is suited for this type of approach. However, if the facilitator has the knowledge, skills, training and experience with the analytical and therapeutic features of the CBM approach and the client is suited for the program (ie. inefficient problem solving strategies) it would seem to be appropriate.

The initial stages of the program takes time to arrange. The teacher has to model the program then allow a

sufficient amount of time for the student to practice the procedures before evaluating its' results. However, because the program is primarily designed for independent study the spent in setting up the program may eventually consume time less time then traditional program strategies that require teacher to constantly monitor the childs' program and the any number of students progress. CBM can be used for eventhough the strategies are individually designed it is possible to meet the needs of more then one child with relatively little effort in modification. Research has shown that in the initial stages CBM proceedures may effect the learning because the individual is primarily amount of occupied with procedure rather than in absorbing subject However, once the procedures have been learned knowledge. significant improvements have been reported (Meichenbaum and Goodman, 1971).

Although it is a relatively new approach to treating children with learning disabilities and its' generalized effects are questionable, some successes have been reported. Bornstein and Quevillon (1976), investigated the effects of a self-instructional package on three over active pre-school boys using a multiple baseline design across subjects. On task behaviors increased dramatically concomitant with the introduction of the self-instructional package and treatment gains were maintained 22.5 weeks after base ine was initiated.

62

\$

1. .

Most cognitive training programs have involved children who are described as impulsive, hyperactive, aggressive, or generally, behavior problems. Some research that involves selfinstructional training with impulsive children includes and Finch (1978) who assigned 20 emotionally Kenda 11 behavioral cognitive children to either а disturbed an attention control group. After six treatment aroup or selfinstructional training the sessions of treatment group was rated by teachers as significantly less treatment impulsive on the Impulsive Classroom Behavior Scale. Keeley, (1974), claim to have meduced Robertson and impusivity and improved WRAT spelling and reading with some treatment program that incorporated children by using a self-instructional training and cognitive modelling, reinforcement proceedures on the Matching Familiar Figures Test (MFFT).

The effectiveness of cognitive training in facilitating self-control and cognitive performance in aggressive boys has been investigated by Camp et al., (1977). Twenty-three agressive second grade boys were randomly assigned to either a cognitive training group or an untreated control group. The treatment group received daily half-hour training sessions for six weeks. The training exercises were taken from Camps' self-instructional "Think Aloud" program which emphasizes the modelling of cognitive stratégies and the development of covert self-instruction. At the end of training, the treated group improved significantly more than controls on the Whechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R), Mazes test, MFFT reaction time and an impusivity score derived from the MFFT. The treated children were also rated as improved by their teachers on significantly more pro social behaviors compared with controls.

behavior and test Douglas, (1976), compared the performance of hyperactive boys exposed to their cognitive a control group of untrained with program training hyperactive boys. After a three month training period, the treated children performed significantly better then the the MFFT stories completion (a measure of controls on frustration coping) and listening comprehension.

Lovitt and Curtiss, (1968), assessed the effect of having children verbalize an arithmetic problem before writing the answer and indicated that verbalization enhanced the childrens' performance. Grim and Bijou (1978), combined self verbalization with a strategy of breaking down a math problem with handicapped young children. They showed significant improvement performance when verbalization was added to the intervention. Smith and Lovitt (1976) indicated that a teacher who is verbalizing when she is doing a mathematical problem enhanced the learning process.

Kosiewicz (1979) using a single subject design, assessed an upper elementary students' writing accuracy in terms of letter formation across various treatment conditions. "Under self-instruction the student was required

verbally guide himself through the copying of each word to by naming the word, each syllable in it and each letter in each syllable before copying it. Under the self-correction condition the student was required to circle errors on his previous days work before completing his assignment for the day. The two conditions were combined in some phases and they were, copying accuracy was at its' highest. A when fairly clean test of the selfinstructional proceedure performance over baseline increases produced dramatic (Lloyd, 1980, pg. 57).

K. Strategy Useage with the Learning Disabled

performance have suggested that researchers Some learning disabled children may be deficiencies of some failure to employ efficient task accounted for by their (Torgesson, 1979). Hall, (1978), suggests that strategies learning disabled children can perform as well as normally appropriate if taught to use children achieving solving recall, retrieval, or rehearsal strategies in Havertape and Kass (1978) recorded the verbalized problems. self-directions of learning disabled and normally achieving they were attempting to solve problems. These students as researchers concluded that in many cases, learning disabled attack strategies to apply to problem students fewer had solutions. The most striking result was that 40% of the learning disabled groups' responses consisted of random or impulsive answers without any relationship to problem

65

· 2 .

requirements. Torgessen (1977) suggests that many learning disabled students do not perform as well in school because they fail to adapt to tasks through efficient and organized strategies. Tarver et al., (1977) believe that learning disabled students are slow to develop in their use of efficient encoding strategies such as labelling and verbal rehearsal. Hallahan and Reeve (1980) suggest that the most parisomonious explanation for the learning disabled childs' tendency to have problems in attending relavent cues is his/her inability to bring to the task a specific learning strategy.

L. Research Methods

٠.

• -

Assessing knowledge and cognitive processes has many problems. One problem is the accessability of cognitive processes for introspective analysis and another is the completeness or accuracy of verbal reports (Cavanaugh & Perlmuter, 1982). These problems to date are very difficult or impossible to solve. As far as verbal reports are concerned, some researchers suggest that the focus should be in improving the verbal reports in order to make them more complete rather then solutions towards unequivable accuracy. Ericsson and Simon (1980) suggest:

7. Making the inquiry as soon as possible after the event

8. Minimizing the amount of probing

9. Examining the internal consistency of the reports 10. Avoiding 'why' questions, asking instead only for simple

•

descriptions

Another problem is the individuals' verbal ability which must be ascertained before attempting to use a design that requires verbal probing. Another equaly serious problem the general lack of reliability measures because by and is large, unique interviews, materials or tasks in are used separate experiments (Cavanaugh & Perlmuter, 1982). Research generalization difficulty with CBM has in (Guralnick, #976), experimental control (Ledwidge, 1978) and lacks replication (Robin, Armel & O'Leary, 1975).

67

Ø

Problems inherent with verbal interviews is whether the questions actually assess what the researchers want to know the subject interpreting the question properly. Are and is the reports true reflections of what the subjects are doing hypotheses (Cavanaugh & they rationalizations or are or Perlmuter, 1982). Another method has been to ask subjects to verbalize all thoughts that come to them while performing the task, however, a serious problem with this is that this method may interfere the arrying out the 'think aloud' task. Although probing while performing the task confounds this problem, Meichenbaums' solution is to use several types of verbal protocols which allows the researcher to uncover response patterns across methods. Another technique similar is peer tutoring where children who are taught a memory to another group of children. 0ne teach it strategy advantage to this technique is that the measure of knowledge in the tutoring which eliminates probing. implicit is

However, there is no guarantee that children express all they know about the strategy. Another technique that is is reaction time assessments. In these experiments, common latencies indicate certainty and are response short indicative of confidence in ones' answer interpreted as (either that the response is correct or that one does not know) while long latencies indicate uncertainty and extended memory search. However, a major problem is not knowing what knowledge is involved (Cavanaugh & of aspeat Perlmuter.1982).

The preceding section reviewed some methods that have been used to assess cognitive projesses. CBM research relies heavily on the subjects information regarding strategy use Therefore, research on cognitive processes often contain that many people regard as unacceptable, data verbal experiments' internal and external jeopardizing the validity. The most common feature among the whole range of techniques used to obtain verbal data is when the subject responds orally to an instruction or probe (Ericson, 1980). (1977) suggests that there may be no direct Nisbett introspective access to higher order cognitive processes, instead, we have access to cognitive content. He suggests further that people may have little ability to report accurately about their cognitive processes. Nevertheless, (1980) concedes that verbal reports which are Ericsson interpreted with full elicited with care and are understanding of the circumstances under which they were

A.

obtained are a valuable and thoroughly reliable source of information about cognitive processes.

There is no one technique that is predominantly better than another and all of them are subject to criticism. To date, the best method is a mutiassessment approach that provides converging measures on the variables of interest (see Figure 3, Appendix B).

M. Generalizability, Transfer and Maintenance

Educators hope that by using CBM procedures with academic performance will increase maintenance of gains and transfer effects to areas of performance not directly trained. However, because of the low statistical power, few replications hand infrequent follow ups makes numbers of transfer effects difficult maintenance and to assess (Lloyd, 1980). The problem of generalization has been a major field cońcern for the entire of behavior therapy. CBM interventions has been very Generalizations from limited, however, that does not mean that cognitive procedures are inappropriate or unimportant. Many children have benefited from self-instructional programs. For example (1975) successfully taught Kindergarteners Robin et al., identified having handwriting problems to as use self-instructions to improve their printing. However, the effects did not generalize to letters that had not been used. Nevertheless, some research points to the potential of CBM compared to other methods of control. In reviewing the

research literature relative to the educational impact of medication, behavior modification and cognitive training, Keogh and Barkett (1979) concluded that all three interventions were selectively effective. but that the cognitive training appeared to offer the greatest possibility of transfer or generalization (Keogh & Glover, 1980).

.

,

III. Statement of the Problem

Spelling is very often viewed as a functional problem that promotes lack of confidence and lower perception of ability within the spelling disabled child. The literature review has presented many reasons for poor performance in spelling, however, along with these weaknesses it is also assumed from the research on learning disabled students that many children with spelling difficulties do not have efficient spelling strategies and/or organized plans that can assist them in their study and production of spelling.

The problem underlying this research project is related to current assessment/ remediation practices. Presently, children with learning problems are usually identified by their poor performance on academic tasks without examining the students knowledge of operations that may allow for efficient performance to occur. The delineation of how children derive solutions to problems on academic tasks involves the examination of processes. Therefore, this childrens' knowledge of considered research design strategies to use in spelling versus regulation of these strategies to be important for assessment/ remediation. This focus was inspired by the belief that ability deficits alone account for the total variance underlying the cannot performance difficulties of children with learning problems.

A. Research Design

This study involves a single subject qualitative analysis of spelling ability and strategies. The purpose of the study was to do an in depth assessment of strengths and weaknesses with students who have difficulty with spelling and explore the strategies they use for studying spelling words. The study also provided an individualized remediation program after assessment was concluded.

The questions to be explored in this investigation relate to the strategy awareness of the students and the relative effectiveness of a cognitive behavior modification (self-instructional) direct and traditional methods on learning familiar words.

Specifically, in a comparison across three subjects, do the students have spelling strategies and are they efficiently or inefficiently used? Secondly, can students be taught a strategy that is controlled by them which will lead to acquisition and maintenance of misspelled familiar words? Thirdly, will a difference be found in spelling achievement on a follow up spelling test that will be administered following the instructional program? Fourthly, will there be a qualitative differences between a self-instructional direct and traditional method of teaching spelling?

 Σ

IV. Methods

Participants

The three male students involved in this study were aged 10 years, 8 months; 11 years, 2 months and 11 years, 6 months. They were all experiencing difficulty in spelling that was not due to a physical impairment, hearing deficit visual problem or emotional problem. The three students were refered to the study by both parents and teachers because all the students were behind grade expectation in spelling ability and displayed a delay in spelling achievement. All of the students had been classified as learning disabled by their respective schools.

Procedure

There were three students and two teachers involved in this exploratory study. Prior to the study both teachers were trained on the use of the test instruments and methods to use for exploring spelling strategies, along with being provided information about the remediation strategies to be used with each student. The study was conducted during the summer and lasted for 4 weeks (duly 11 to August 5). The students were required to attend their sessions for five days a week and for two hours a day. On the first day of the program the children and their parents met with everyone involved in the project and were explained the aims of the study. Following this introductor, peeting each student was

randomly assigned an instructor who was with the child for the entire program. Two of the students & 3) (Subject 1 attended morning sessions and the other student attended an afternoon session. From July 11 until July 20 each student assessed which included spelling ability had their strengths, weaknesses, strategies and perception of ability. student was administered the same assessment tests by Each their individual instructor which are described in the 'Test assessment Following the Instruments' section. individualized remediation was provided and then each student was reassessed. During the pre-treatment assessment sessions the instructors continually probed their students at gaining further information aimed questions with concerning their strategy knowledger and useage (see Appendix Each instructor was provided assistance with respect to Α). example questions to be intermitantly used for obtaining the students' regarding information more gualitative spelling metaknowledge. Since the major nrust of this study to explore the strategies used by these students when was spelling words many of the sessions studying were video-taped in order to aid in the analysis. After the assessment was completed each instructor was assigned with a particular teaching method to employ with their students. The cogmitive modification (self-instructional) approach was • assigned to the teacher who had previously used this method in a pilot study that was conducted prior to this exploratory program. The 'Traditional' and 'Direct' teaching

٩,

approaches were assigned to the other instructor for her students. The operational definitions of each approach are described in the following section and then more thoroughly examined in context of each students' program evaluation and remediation.

Remediation

As was explained earlier each student was assigned an individual instructor and assigned a particular method of instruction. Each student was given a spelling test from the word recognition assessment (see Test Instruments) in order to obtain a common baseline of misspelled/familiar words. Thirty-six familiar words that were misspelled by all three instructional remediation. for were chosen subjects Beginning July 28 until and including August 4 each student was given 6 words a day to study. On August 5, the last day of the study all students were given post tests and then dismissed. After completion of the program all of the parents were informed of the studys' findings as they The results of the assessment their child. related to , description of the individualized teaching methods , effects the various approaches, student evaluations and the of answers to the research questions are provided in Results section.

A. Instructional Approaches

Traditional Approach

J.,

For the purposes of this study the instructor utilized an adaptation of the traditional (group) approach which primarily involved the use of workbook exercises from a provincially approved spelling program to remediate one of the youngsters' spelling aquisition. The words chosen for remediation were grouped into six units that were considered to meet his assessed needs. These units provided practice with such things as phonics, grammar and writing. The in spelling by focused on his weak areas instructor employing his spelling strengths. Along with using the workbook exercises the instructor monitored his work each day; securing correct pronounciation of words and providing the student that ensured an outlet for for material reference (ex. dictionary, tape recording of spelling After each days' unit was completed the instructor words). tested the student on his spelling words and required . misspelled words to be rewritten and further studied.

Classroom teachers very often assess their students' spelling proficiency then place them in spelling workbooks according to their corresponding ability level without consulting their students about the findings of the assessment. Knowledge about ones' performance has been shown to positively influence treatment effects (Kennedy & Miller, 1976). A passive learning style might be encouraged by

Ł

77

when designing `a learner the excluding remediation/instruction plan. In order to examine this hypothesis the instructor did not provide feedback to the student about his analyzed strengths and weaknesses and hence did not involve the student as an active participant Rather then informing the in the remediation process. student on the efficiency and/or inefficiency of his mutually incorporating the remediation and strategies approach, the instructor simply assigned exercises from the (Spelling in Language Arts'). The workbook spelling instructor made sure that the exercises related to the strengths and weaknesses, checked his work and students' provided intermitant assistance.

Direct Approach

The direct approach to teaching often is verv identified as a behavioral approach based on Gagnes' (1970) taxonomy of skills and popularized by Englemans' (1976) The procedure can be implemented for any remedial series. student, however, the teacher must possess certain skills instruction k are design , and knowledge. Program and things as intricately detailed and based on such reinforcement contingencies, learning, discrimination shaping and fading. Students' skills must be assessed along with establishing and sequencing task analysis, goals and objectives.

÷.

For the purposes of this study, the instructor did not or explicitly follow the process recommended in current use publications (Engleman, 1977, Baine, 1982) but was guided by of the general priciples associated with direct some instruction. The instructor carefully analyzed the students' spelling skills and constructed an individualized spelling program according to his needs. The instructor included the student as an active participant in the remediation process (unlike the traditional approach). The student was provided information concerning his assessed strengths and weaknesses and shown the reasons for his difficulty. The student was made aware of the efficiency and/or inefficiency of his spelling strategies and explained the objectives of the remediation program. The instructor co-ordinated some of his already used strategies in studying spelling words into a more efficient plan.

The instructor organized the students' remedial program into six units that corresponded with his major areas of difficulty and provided a study procedure that utilized the recommendations for effective teaching in the spelling research cited previously.

B. Self-Instruction :CBM Approach

The self-instructional program designated for remediation in this study was based on a cognitive behavior modification program originally develored by Meichenbaum of Waterloo University. It was originally designed to remediate

enhancing by difficulties behavioral self-control, however, for the purposes of this study the basic design was incorporated into a self-instructional program to remediate spelling difficulty. Specifically, to provide a study strategy for spelling. By learning the following procedure the subject was expected to develop a means of self-instruction with respect to the studying of spelling words. The subject was required to verbalize the procedure overtly until mastery was evident then gradually fade to a covert verbalization. Initially, modelling was the principle means of instruction. Therefore, the CBM approach to studying spelling words is modeled for the students by the instructor. Along with modeling, overt and covert verbalizations the CBM approach emphasizes selfassessment, self-reward and selfevaluation as principle parts of the design.

79

Description of the CBM Procedure

1.

The student will look at the word, say it outloud, write it and then check the word. However, before performing this task the student will ask himself the question "What is my plan?", then answering "I am going to learn how to spell this word so when I want to write the word I will know how to spell it correctly so everybody will be able to recognize it." After correctly copying the word the student will reward himself/herself for successfully completing the first task; "Good, now I can concentrate on learning this word."This first step draws attention to the task and creates a-mind set for further study.

- 2. step is for the subject to create a visual The next image in aiding recall of the correct spelling. The subject will imagine that he is writing the word on a large surface such as a chalkboard. The subject will close his eyes and pretend that he is writing the word while saying outloud each letter of the word. If he is unsure of the correct spelling he may look at the spelling of the word and then continue to predice until he/she is able to create an image of the word without looking at the spelling of the word. After successful completion of this procedure the subject will reward 5 himself/herself. For example: "Now that I have written the word I am going to put it in my_mind so that I can have some place to look for it when I need to to spell the word". This exercise forces the student to attend to the task of spelling the particular word of study and requires concentration for successful completion of the task. The student will conclude this step by rewarding himself/herself. For example: "Good, now I have put this word in my memory so that I have some place to look for it later."
- 3. Next, the student writes the word and says each letter of the word. He will do this twice to see that the word

has been written correctly each time. This step promotes organization of correct motor patterns and the subject syllable be each can that to understand begins then one unit. The student more by represented establishes a one to one relationship with spoken and written symbols as he writes and names each letter. The student is learning to label, discriminate recall and organize through a mutisensory approach and strengthens the visual image of the word he has created in step 2. This procedure caters to different combinations and difficulties of spelling performance. Auditory analysis is aided by overt verbalizations and visual analysis is aided by writing and seeing the word. Steps 1,2, and3 are considered to be essential in methods consistant (as was noted in the literature spelling. Spelling skills, automatic recall, motor involves review) discrimination, memory and integration and practice. The student acquires knowledge of spelling by working with the word in a structured way rather then by habit. This method takes away the immediate demand to spell words phonetically which creates a lot of errors. Students should begin to see the orthographic nature of words which good spellers seem to excell in.

Ŷ

4.

At the beginning of the study session each student will be shown the words he/she will be responsible to study. Each student will be required to construct word cards. Each word card will have one of the words he has to

learn to spell with two letters missing. Each subject will make his/her own cards and place the blank spaces in various positions for the different words. When the student is at step 4 of the program he/she will get the card for the particular word he is studying (which until now has been filed in a separate place) and then by the beginning of the word will verbalize starting at outloud each letter and also 'fill in the blanks with the letters. This procedure is related to the missing clozure technique used in reading comprehension The aim is to develop patterning and strategies. sequencing which are essential components in spelling. Spelling depends on storage of letter identity and sequence. This method avoids direct emphasis on letter sound relationships which due to the inconsistant orthographic structure of the English language causes in spelling. After completing the word many problems card the student will check his/her work with the correct spelling of the word and then reward himself/ herself for his/her accomplishment.

- 5. After completing step 4 with the first word the student returns to step 1 with the next word and then continues the program until all the assigned words have been completed.
- 6. When the student has finished all the words he/she will be required to make a sentence or sentences that contain the spelling words that relate to separate ideas or are

interrelated to express one idea or story. The format choice is determined by the student and she/he will be expected to write and verbalize the sentences. The aim⁵ of this exercise is to make the task of spelling study meaningful. The emphasis on this task is on the correct spelling of the studied words and not the correctness of the other words used in constructing the isolated sentences or story.

7. The final exercise requires the student to write all the study words from memory and then indicate the words he knows for sure that he has spelled correctly. After completing this task he/she will check his/her work and reward himself/herself verbally. If the subject misspells a word or is not sure of the correct spelling of a word he/she will be required to repeat steps 1 to 4 with those words he/she misspelled or was unsure about.

All these steps contain essential strategies that are considered by many researchers to be important elements of a successful spelling program (see Literature Review on Spelling). The approach used for efficient acquisition and use of these strategies is based on Meight ums' - cognitive stresses behavior modification mode 1 wh fig. overt verbalization of efficient problem solving strategies that later converted are to covert verbalizations, selfinstructional proceedures, self assessment, self-evaluation and self-reward.

For the purposes of this study and because of the inherent quality of the CBM design the student who used this technique was an active participant in the remediation process. The student was informed of his analyzed strengths and weaknesses and explained the purpose of the remediation. Due to his particular needs certain alterations to the preceeding general design were instituted which will be explained within the 'Results' section.

Test Instruments and Rational

The students in this study were administered 18 analytic tests. The principle aim was to examine the major factors including strategies that could influence spelling performance. The assessment was conducted during the first eight days of the study (2 hours a day) and was followed by and post testing. The testing instruments remediation Included recognized measures for assessing spelling ability with respect to age/grade level (Schonell, WRAT) and additionari standardized measures (Woodcock, Slosson) to identification competency, sight word evaluate letter recognition ability and word attack skill. Additionally, subtests from the WISC-R and Detroit Test of Learning Aptitude (Digit span, Visual attention span) were given to assess short term memory capacity in relation to a normative group along with examining sequencing ability. Furthermore, these subtests were conducted to explore strategy employment with respect to recall in relation to a string of unrelated

digits and letters.

The assessment battery also included unstandardized instruments (Diagnostic Spelling Test, Pairs Test of Decoding) which were used to further evaluate the students' spelling errors and discern their phonetic ability. For the purposes of this study these tests were considered more beneficial for obtaining qualitative information than other assessment devices (for example, Brigance). Hence, the format of these tests are presented in the appendix section of this Additionally, some of the instruments used in the thes is. assessment process (Guideline for Probes, Spelling of Word Consonant Blend and Digraph Assessment, Alphabet Parts. Writing Test, Informal Diagnostic Assessments, Parts 1,2 and 3) were created by the author, in order to become more cognizant of the students' spelling skills and to detect the students' metaknowledge and strategy employment with respect to spelling and study methods. These devices can be found in Appendix A.

The Language Arts Word Recognition Test. Spelling Test and the Informal Diagnostic assessments (see Appendix) utilized the words contained within the Spelling in Language Arts series (Nelson, 1976) in order to establish a common baseline of misspelled familiar words to be used in the remediation process. This publication was also considered to be an appropriate source of words that contained a variety of orthographic patterns. Along with the forementioned tests all of the students were required to complete an academic

self-concept scale (SPAS) in order to acquire knowledge concerning, their perception of ability in spelling.

Additionally, the instructors used observation rooms with one way mirrors and peer teaching to investigate spelling strategies. A description of the test instruments used in this study along with a rational follows.

C. Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A

"Letter Identification Test" was given in order to The establish the subjects' knowledge of the alphabet. In this test the subject is shown various letters of the alphabet and is asked to verbally give the letter names. The "Word was given in order to obtain an estimate Recognition Test" sight word recognition ability and the subjects' of approximate grade level of ability. The words are arranged from a grade one to grade twelve level of reading ability. shown the words and is asked to verbalize The subject is them. The "Word Attack" test was given in order to assess the subjects' ability in phonetic decoding. For example, the ability to recognize, segregate and pronounce word parts such as syllables, consonant blends, vowel digraphs and dipthongs. This test consists of nonsense words so the assessment of decoding skills and not word recognition can be obtained.

- D. Pairs Test of Decoding Skills
 - (see Appendix A)
- Subtest A -- Initial Consonants
- Subtest B -- Final Consonants
- Subtest C -- Middle Short Vowels
- Subtest D -- Middle long Vowels and Vowel Digraphs
- Subtest E -- Initial Consonant Blends

Subtest F -- Final Consonant Blends and Final Digraphs

This test was given in order to obtain a diagnostic of the subjects' ability to pronounce and assessment recognize initial and final consonants, middle and long short vowels, vowel digraphs, initial and final consonant blends and final digraphs. The student is shown pairs of similar looking words and is asked to pronounce the second word in the pair after the examiner has pronounced the first word of the pair. The examiner is assessing the correct pronounciation of the particular component of each subtest. The purpose is to isolate strengths and weaknesses in word decoding skills that may be responsible for spelling difficulty.

E. Spelling of Word Parts

(see Appendix A)

This test consisted of major word parts found in English orthography such as consonant blends, dipthongs and digraphs. The instructor pronounced these word parts to the student who was required to write down all the possible ways the sound could be represented by letters. This was done to discover the students' general ability to assimilate letter sound correspondences.

F. Consonant Blends and Digraphs Assessment

This test (see Appendix A) consisted of common consonant blends and digraphs found in English orthography. The students were shown these common word parts printed on flash cards and was asked to pronounce them without the aid of having them within the context of a word. This was done in order to gain a better appreciation of the subjects' ability to pronounce common word parts.

G. Informal Alphabet Writing Test

(see Appendix A)

L

This test required the students to write the letters of the alphabet from memory in order to qualitatively assess the students', ability to reproduce the letters necessary for the spelling production of words.

H. Digit Span Test, (WISC-R)

This test required the students to listen to a series of numbers that were orally presented to them by the examiner and then repeat the numbers back to the examiner both forwards and backwards. The purpose of this test was to assess the students' ability to retain a string of symbols. The students first need to mentally sequence numbers and

then verbally reproduce them. Then they must rearrange the verbalize then them and sequence of numbers backwards.Essentially, this test assesses the students' short term memory and ability to manipulate a series of numbers mentally. Another aim of this test was to determine the presence of memory strategies. The students were closely observed while performing this task in order to discover if they executed any strategies such as rehearsal or chunking to aid their recall. Furthermore, the students were asked if they did anything to help them recall the string of numbers.

I. Visual Attention Span for Letters

This test was given as an informal assessment of visual memory for letters. This test was different from the Digit Span Test in that the unrelated sequence of letters (absence of consonant blends, digraphs etc.) were presented to the visually on flash cards rather then orally students' presented. The purpose of the test was essentially the same the Digit Span Test, however, the content was considered as more meaningful with respect to the production of spelling words. Similar to the Digit Span test one of the aims of the assessment was to discover if there were any strategies used letter rehearsal to aid in the by the students such as series recall of letters. The students were shown а of letters on flash cards, that varied in length from 2 to 7 letters and after a short delay were asked to recall the sequence of "letters. Observations of their behavior exact

(lip movement) was conducted in order to determine the presence of memory strategies. Subjects were also asked to verbalize any methods they used to aid their performance on this task as was done in the Digit Span Test.

J. Auditory Discrimination Test

ţ

This test (Wepman) was comprised of word pairs that were either similar or dissimilar in sound. During this test the student sits facing away from the examiner and is asked to report whether the words that are orally presented to him sound the same or sound differently. The purpose of this test is to assess whether the subject can differentiate between sounds and to predict through this screening device whether the spelling difficulty is due to a discrimination problem.

K. Slosson Oral Reading Test

This test was given to assess sight word recognition ability and approximate the grade level. The intention was to provide further support for the findings on the Woodcock

L. Wide Range Achievement Test, (WRAT) Spelling

This test was given to assess the students' written performance in spelling and to obtain an approximate grade placement.

M. Diagnostic Spelling Test

(see Appendix A)

This test was given for further assessment of the students' written performance in spelling with different orthographic patterns.

N. Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test

This test was given in order to obtain a spelling age for the student. It was also used to ascertain spelling delay by comparing the students' spelling age with his chronological age.

0. Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment

(see Appendix A)

In this test each student was shown words printed on flash cards from levels 3,4 and 5 of the provincially sanctioned 'Spelling in Language Arts' series. This series uses words comprised from the Dolch list of most common words used in the English language. The words recognized by the student during this assessment were used for the 'Language Arts Spelling Test' from which misspelled words ascertained for the purpose of remediation. The were recognition test was given in order to eliminate the possibility of word unfamiliarity as being a cause of spelling difficulty.

91

P. Language Arts Spelling Test

(see Appendix A) This test was comprised of words that were familiar to students on the 'Language Arts' Word Recognition Test The purpose of this test was to obtain a list of words that all subject could recognize but were unable to spell. During this test the students were also asked to draw happy faces and sad faces next to the words they spelled to indicate which words they were sure they spelled correctly and which words they, were sure they spelled incorrectly. The purpose of this exercise was to ability to predict their spelling assess the students' performance. These ability was to be assessed later on the post test by using the same method after remediation.

Q. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 1

The list of words (see Appendix A) in this assessment represented general orthographic patterns and non letter sound correspondences. Each subject was shown these mixture of words and asked to verbalize the way he would use to study them. The purpose of this assessment was to discover whether the student had a particular strategy for studying spelling words , assess the efficiency or inefficiency of his strategy and to discover if the strategy employment was consistent with words that had a variety of patterns.

R. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 2

This assessment (see Appendix A) was designed to provide consistant evidence for the spelling strategies used in Part 1. Each student was shown the same words shown in Part 1 and was asked to spell each word after a short exposure to the word by using small file cards. There were two sets of file cards. One set comprised of the individual letters of the alphabet printed separately on each file card. The other set had dommon letter combinations printed on the individual file cards such as consonant blends, digraphs and dipthongs that could be used to spell the visually presented words. The major question to be answered was would the student primarily use a grouping technique or a letter by letter technique.

S. Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 3

This test (see Appendix A) was designed to provide consistant evidence for the employment of spelling strategies used in Part 1 and 2. The students were provided the same words used in part 1 and 2 typed on sheets of paper For each of the words the subject was asked to choose among a number of provided alternatives the method he would to skudy and remember the spelling words or provide a use method that he would use that was not provided. The aim was to discover whether his choice of strategy was consistant with the verbalized method in Part 1 and the visual motor method in Part 2.

T. Student Perception of Ability Test (SPAS)

This test which was designed by Boersma and Chapman (1979) was given in order to obtain an evaluation of the students' perception of ability in spelling.

- U. General Aims of Tests
- 1. To obtain a measure of spelling ability
- 2. To obtain a measure of word recognition ability
- 3. To obtain a measure of phonic decoding ability
- 4. To obtain a measure of auditory discrimination of sounds
- 5. To assess attention span
- 6. To obtain a measure of letter recognition
- 7. To discover if subjects have spelling strategies and employ these strategies in a spelling situation
- 8. To obtain a measure of academic self concept with particular reference to perception of ability in spelling
V. Results and Discussion

This chapter contains three major areas of focus. The first area deals with each subjects' assessment/remediation. In this section a brief description of each subject is followed by the test results obtained during the pre-assessment period. Additionally, a delineation of the remediation strategy, a detailed account of the lesson plan and a summary of the program effectiveness is particularized. The reporting is done on an individualized basis in accordance with the following format:

1. Subject

2. Test Results

3. Remediation Strategy and Rational

4. Lesson Plan

5. Summary and Conclusion

The second focus pertains to the answers of the research questions outlined in a previous section entitled "Statement of the Problem". Generally, this section discloses information related to the spelling strategies used by the subjects and the effectiveness of the individual remediation approaches.

The third focus is allocated for a general discussion. This section represents the studys' findings in relation to metacognition, spelling research and cognitive processes. It concludes with recommendations for teachers and future investigations.

A. Subject 1

This student was aged 10 years and 8 months. He presented himself as a healthy, well-mannered ten year old boy with varied interests and an outgoing personality. He comes from a small family of three children with both parents working. His older brother often helps him with his school work and gives him encouragement. His father is also involved with his learning by stressing that he learn more and faster.

Test Results

Results from the standardized measures used in this study are presented in Figures 1 and 2 for all three subjects. The results from the nonstandardized measures and informal assessment proceedures are contained within the context of the subjects' report.

Figure 1

•

Pre-Remediation Assessment -

Background Information	Sub. 1	Sub. 2	Sub 3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Age (Years, Months)	10.8	11.2	11.6
Grade	4	.4	4
Intelligence (Thorndike,FS)	98	103	105
Tests	Sub. 1	Sub. 2	Sub.3
Letter Identification(Gr.Score) 12.9	2.9	41.3
Word Identification(Gr.Score)	3.9	2.1	4.0
<pre> Word Attack(Gr.Score) </pre>	1.9	2.7	3.5
Slosson (Gr.Score)	4.6	3.6	5.9
Digit Span (Stanine)	5	11	12
Visual Att.Span (Mental Age)	10.0	10.0	10.0
WRAT (Gr.Score)	2.8	2.7	3.7
Schonell (Spelling Age)	8.9	7.0	8.2
SPAS (Raw Score)	38	26	47
	<u></u>		

•

\$____

ŧ,

11

.

*,*7

)

.

F	i	a	lu	r	e	2
-						

Post	-Remediat	ion	Assessment

Tests	Sub. 1	Sub. 2	Sub.3
			•
Letter Identification(Gr.Score	112.9	3.0	4.3
Word Identification(Gr.Score)	3.7.	2.6	3.7
Word Attack(Gr.Score)	1.9	3.8	4.2
Slosson(Gr.Score)	4.6	4.0	6.3
Digit Span (Stanine)	6	11	12
Visual Att.Span(Mental Age)	10.0	10.0	10.0
WRAT (Gr.Score)	4.1	2.9	3.7
Schonell (Spelling Age)	8.6	7.0	8.4
SPAS (Raw Score)	39	30	45
Remediation Spelling Test	27/36	14/36	35/36

÷

Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests Form A

This student worked quickly on all three subtests. The Letter Identification Test was entirely correct, with four letters being self corrected after an incorrect first response. His Reading Grade score was below his grade placement being 3.9 instead of 5.0. His Reading Grade score for the Word Attack was far below his grade placement, being 1.9. His inability to decode and correctly pronounce nonsense words suggests inefficient phonetic strategies with respect to spelling.

Pairs Test of Decoding Skills

On all subtests, this student made many errors but self-corrected quickly. He seemed to work quickly on all sub-tests and displayed reversal tendencies (for example;correct word was 'bead' and he would say 'dead'). He appeared to encounter the most difficulty with words such as pant, dusk, fist, and ramp. He sometimes substituted words of a more common nature which may have been more similar to him, for example, 'first' for 'fist' and 'rap' for 'ramp'.

Consonant Blends and Digraphs Assessment

In some instances this student needed to produce a word that had the blend or digraph contained within it before he could match a sound to it. When asked to provide a word for each sound, he had no problems and spontaneously gave more than one example. Most prompting was done for letter

combinations that had the letter 'o' included. In the majority of the cases, he used words which contained the blend or digraph at the beginning of the word, with the exception of the 'ow' sound in 'yellow' and the 'ph' sound in 'paragraph'.

Informal Alphabet Writing Test

He made two consistent errors in this test. First, he omitted the letters 'k' and 'l' in both upper and lower case, secondly, he reversed the letters 'u' and 'v' withinthe alphabetical sequence of letters. This difficulty may be affecting his spelling ability.

Digit Span Test

His score on this test was 4 for forwards and 3 for backwards, both being below standardized norms for his age group. In three of the four sequences of numbers he had the correct digits in the initial and final positions with the middle digits correct but in the wrong order. His inability to properly sequence these numbers may indicate sequencing difficulty in spelling as was suggested during the informal alphabet writing test.

Visual Attention Span for Letters

He demonstrated a little better ability in repeating a series of unrelated letters than he did for repeating an unrelated series of numbers (5 letters forward) however, he

still displayed sequencing difficulty. Through questioning he revealed his strategy for recall indicating that he looked at each set of letters one letter at a time and counted the number of letters in each set to be sure that he said enough letters when repeating them. His failure in this task reflected his inability to recall the letters in the correct order rather then being unable to recall all of the letters for example, recalling :fplscn' instead of 'fpclsn'.

Auditory Discrimination Test

This sreening test did not reveal any significant difficulty with respect to differentiating sounds of words.

Slosson Oral Reading Test

The results of this test were consistent with the results from the Woodcock which indicated below grade placement ability in sight word recognition.

Spelling of Word Parts

•

After listening to a word part the student was required to write down as many ways to spell the sound as he could. Although some of the vowel combinations were correct, the majority of errors were with vowel combinations involving an 'o'. Another weak area involved the r-controlled vowels of ar,er,ir,or,and ur. With many of the word parts he used a combination of upper and lower case letters. Many of the initial consonant blend spellings included inappropriate letter-sound correspondences, for example. 'bl' spelling also included 'bu' as an alternate spelling. It appeared as though he was picking up on the vowel sound after the blend rather than the blend sounds alone. This type of confusion could affect his spelling.

Wide Range Achievement Test, Spelling

During the test the student worked very quickly without checking the word after writing it. He often asked for the next word before the examiner had completed the example sentence containing the spelling word. However he usually whispered the words to himself while he was writing the word. His raw score placed him at a grade equivalent score of 2.8. The day following the test he was asked if he could read the words he spelled. Eventhough many of his spelling words were incorrect he was able to recite the words originally given on the test. For example, he said "nature" for "nurture" and "edge" for "eghe". When questioned he said that he knew the words because he could remember them from previous day. He said, "the first letter helps me say the the rest of the word". Many of his misspellings were graphically similar to the correct spellings, however, he seemed to confuse the position of the sounds. For example, "imanger" for "imaginary". This indicated that he had better ability to recall the beginning and ending sounds of words than the medial sounds.

Diagnostic Spelling Test

This test revealed errors associated with letter substitutions and letter omissions along with difficulty with vowel combinations and vowel-r combinations such as ar.ir.er.and ur.

Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test

This test suggested that his spelling age was 9. During this test some probing was done to obtain some information regarding his spelling strategies. When asked if he saw the words he was spelling in his mind he replied that he saw pictures of the words rather then the letters of the word. For example, he saw a picture of a can for the spelling word and he saw a picture of a net for the spelling word ' can' 'net'. He stated that while spelling he usually related objects to the words he heard. Additionally, he reported that he would "sound out words in order to spell them" but only words he could pronounce or that he used everyday or "wrote down often like the days of the week". Furthermore, he stated that tracing the word helps him in spelling. He mentioned that his resource room teacher asked him to use finger to spell words in order "to train his hand to his spell the words". While administering the Schonell it was he would trace words on his lap or beside observed that himself on the couch before writing his response. The learned while probing that he primarily used two instructor strategies to aid his spelling. He stated that "writing down

,

the word helps or trying to remember how the word is spelled by closing my eyes and blocking everything else out".

Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment

This student made few errors on this sight word recognition assessment. The last consonants of the words were the most difficult for him to pronounce, however, he did not have to rely on phonetic analysis for; the majority of the words.

Language Arts Spelling Test

All the words from the Language Arts Word Recognition Assessment were given in the form of a spelling test. Although he was able to recognize and read all of the words he was not able to spell them all correctly.

Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 1

This informal assessment involved the use of questions geared towards the discovering of what strategies the student uses in spelling and if the process he explains is actually used in the study of spelling words. In order to ascertain this information a number of probes (see Appendix A) were used. In order to obtain more reliable information these responses were compared to the ones obtained during the formal assessments as well as the ones obtained from Part 2 and Part 3 of the Spelling Cognition Assessments.

This informal assessment indicated that the student uses a variety of methods to learn or study spelling methods with particular emphasis on the need to write the words several times in order to remember it. He mentioned that the middle parts of words were difficult the most to remember, especially vowels and vowel combinations. He seemed to have some notion of syllables and word parts but used this knowledge inconsistantly. His definition for spelling "putting letters together so that they was mean something", and he indicated that the most difficult part of spelling was putting the letters in the correct order. He reported that his primary method for studying spelling words involved only studying the words he didn't know or could not pronounce. Another method he used for studying spelling which was both reported and observed involved "going over every word", by saying each letter outloud, saying the letters in order and trying to remember word parts. It was generally observed that he just casually glances over words he knows but tries to "sound out" the more difficult words. He reported that he looks for word meaning in a dictionary and only writes down words that he thinks are especially difficult. He reported that he knows when he has spelled a word incorrectly and is discouraged when he doesn't know how to spell a word.

Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 2

For this part of the assessment, cards having single letters or letter combinations on them were presented to the student in order for him to use them in constructing words shown to him on another card. His method of spelling the words by using the small file cards was not consistant and seemed to depend on which letter or letter combination he saw first, rather than having a regular strategy or method. For example, he used some combinations appropriately such as sl ee p for sleep while breaking up other words unconventionally such as

Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Part 3

On this part of the assessment, he was asked to choose the best method of studying spelling words from a list of alternatives or he could supply another method if it wasn't represented. For example, he would be asked if it would be better to study the word "groan" letter by letter or by breaking the word into parts

groan
 groan
 groan
 groan

. .

> 5. ----- (see Appendix A) There was little consistancy with respect to the way he indicated that he would study. His strategies for studying

spelling words seem to be varied and often inappropriate. For example, he reported that he tries to "study and remember word parts" however, on this assessment he chose studying words letter by letter as a predominant method. Furthermore, when he broke words into parts it was usually done unconventionally such as sp la sh for splash and fas test

1.

for fastest

Other Assessment Techniques

methods of studying this students' spelling Other the of peer-teaching and included use strategies video-taping a self-study session. The self-study session involved presenting him with 15 words to study by himself a period of twenty minutes. It was observed that he for first read all of the words on the list outloud then wrote each one of them on the blackboard 5 times. He repeated the words outloud as he wrote them, sometimes saying the whole word but more often just saying the word parts or letter sounds. When the instructor returned he mentioned that the words were easy to spell because he knew them. When asked what he would do with a word that was more difficult he reported that he would write the word more times, "like 10 times".

The peer- teaching involved the student taking turns being the teacher and the log near When he was being taught , the method used by his peer differed so greatly that he

appeared to be ill at ease. His method emphasized writing the spelling words down, however, the method taught to him by his peer involved sounding the word, saying what it meant and then memorizing it by looking at it for just a few seconds. He was able to cope with the frustration because he knew the words being taught. When asked to pronounce the words outloud they were often incorrect. For example: "usual" for "unusual", for "scene" he said "since" and for "chose" he said "choose". Furthermore, these errors were not corrected by his peer teacher or himself.

4

When he taught his peer, he used the primary method that he verbalized and displayed earlier which was writing the words down many times. He became very frustrated with his peer because of his peers' slow manner and constant mistakes. He expected certain standards to be met, such as, not writing too large or too small, writing neatly and quickly. He would sometimes offer a form of encouragement for his peer to work more quickly by coaxing him along and suggesting a race. Generally, however, he appeared impatient with his peers' performance throughout the video-session as indicated by requesting quicker performance from his peer and by ignoring some of his peers' suggestions for spelling.

Student Perception of Ability Scale

· ·

Generally, this student showed a more positive then negative self concept except in the area of spelling. All responses to questions with respect to perception of ability

in spelling were negative.

Item No.	Question	Response
3	All new words are easy to spell	No
13	I am good at spelling	No
15	I have problems with spelling	Yes
17	I am happy with the way I spell	No
36	I like spelling	No
49	My spelling is always right	No
54	I find spelling hard	Yes
59	I am slow at spelling	Yes
62	I usually spell words right	No

The information gathered from all the assessments were used for the remediation that was based on a 'traditional' instruction. His strengths were used to approach to remediate his weaknesses through structured lessons that were derived from the "Spelling in Language Arts" series. The reasons for implementing the study exercises were not divulged, hence, he could not be considered to be an active participant with respect to the remediation design. The words spelled incorrectly on the "Language Arts Spelling Test" by all of the students involved in the project were used in the remediation process (see Appendix A)

Remediation Strategy and Rational

This students' remediation was based on the "Spelling in Language Arts" series which is provincially approved and commonly used within the Edmonton school system. The original words used for the word recognition assessments were drawn from the grade 3,4, and 5 student books of this series. The words correctly identified but spelled incorrectly, as stated earlier, were grouped into lists of six words each. The word lists were incorporated into "units" within the series and provided the basis for remediation which lasted for six days.

Although each lesson was built around specific units, each unit met the students' needs by concentrating on his weaknesses by working through his strengths. One of his weaknesses was working too quickly. The units used for remediation required concentration and were corrected at the end of each lesson. In this manner, he was held somewhat responsible for the completion of his work.

Another one of his weaknesses appeared to be related to his mispronounciation of words and word parts. The exercises included in each unit was focused on how the words sound as well as including exercises on word parts. One component was added to the use of the workbook exercises. Each units' word list was pronounced by the instructor, repeated by the student and recorded on a cassette for the students' reference during each lesson. A dictionary was also provided for the 'students' use and a mid-session check by the

instructor instituted to confirm the connect was pronounciation of the list words. Much of each lesson plan om his assessed strengths. based He had shown on was Numerous occasions his desire to write the spelling words as a study strategy. The 'units required a large amount of writing with much repetition which was suitable "to" his needs. Added to the exercises was the requirement of writing the list words prior to the commencement of each lesson and following the pronounciation of the words. A tape recorder was used during this exercise so he could listen to the wonds as he wrote them in order to strengthen his phonetic analysis of words. The series also recommended a test-study-test which was instituted approach in the program.

The following units were used in conjunction with the familiar misspelled words used for remediation: Day 1: Unit #7, Book 4 "Spelling in Language

	Arts" s	eries	• •	
	Words:	aren' t	I' ve	
4		knowing	colour	× _
4		ordered	alarm 🖌	
	Workshe	et: "Shrink an	d Match",pg.	106
Day 2:	ay 2: /Unit #1, Book 4 "Spelling in Language			
	Artş" s	eries		
	Words:	mamma 1	coach	
		cattle	perhaps	
•		plain	war	

ų,

	Workshee	et ^{%#} #R-control	led Vowels",pg.109
Day 3:	Unit #8	, Book 4 Spell	ing in Language
	Arts sei	ries	
	Words:	branch	knock
		helicopter	breaking
-		airport	answer
•	Workshe	et: Compound Se	cramble.pg. 107
Day 4:	Unit #1	, Book 5 Spell	ing in Language
	Arts se	ries ,.	
	Words:	freeze	berry
		holiday (between
۰.		lively	rifle
	Workshe	et: Link-a-com	ppund.pg. 114
Day #5	Unit #5	Book 5 Spell	ing in Language
	Arts se	ries	,
	Words:	correct	dozen
		mistake	perfect
· · /		problem	term •
e e	Workshe	et: Prefix Cor	ral. pg. 102
.D ay # 6	Uni t #8	, Book 6 Spell	ing in Language
	Arts se	ries	
	Words:	explore	following
		growth	shown
		return	neat
•	Workshe	et: 01d-Man-Ou	t, pg. 105 ,
			*

112

.

1.

£.

He listened to the list words as verbalized by the instructor then repeated them back to the instructor to ensure correct pronounciation. This was instituted to aid correct pronounciation of words.

- 2. He was given a taped copy of the list words for the days unit to use as a listening reference while completing his assigned work. This was done in order to provide the student with a personal source of independent reference.
- 3. The student was required to write out each list word before beginning the exercises. This was done to encourage phonetic analysis of spelling words and provide an opportunity for the improvement of writing skills.
- He completed the exercises essentially by himself by 4. at the beginning of the reading the instructions exercises, following the directions and writing the answers to the questions as requested. Each exercise provided an opportunity for the student to use the spelling words in a variety of situations.such as, finding meanings (extending vocabulary), phonetic and structural manipulation of words (using generalizations) and direct application of words (including grammar). This students concept of spelling was putting letters in the right order. The exercises expanded this idea by emphasizing the need for correct order of words in

sentences to ensure meaningful communication.

- 5. This student was required to write the spelling words when tested in order to more fully evaluate the effectiveness of the instructional approach in the spelling remediation.
- 6. Following a test this student was required to re-write any words spelled incorrectly.
- 7. This student completed a worksheet each day that was in conjunction with the assigned lesson: The worksheet was provided to reinforce concepts introduced in the lesson units.

Summary and Conclusions

After introducing the lessons to this student he informed the instructor that he had used the "Spelling in Language Arts" series but that the application was different in two ways:

- 1. He was assigned pages along with his classmates without testing for knowledge first
- 2. The words for each lesson were not pronounced before the lessons were assigned. They were only pronounced during the test at the end of each lesson.

This student was not enthused about having to use the Spelling in Language Arts series because he had already used the series. However, he was enthused about having a tape of the words available for his use and used it constantly and consistantly. Although all the units were previewed before being assigned this student still encountered difficulties in phonetic analysis of words.

Although this student displayed great amounts of interest at the beginning of the sessions his interest seemed to decrease when confronted with the remediation program. The units from the "Spelling in Language Arts" series did not appear to sustain his interest although he appeared self-motivated during the initial phases of the project. When this observation was discussed with the student the reported that he found the lessons to be boring.

On the final spelling test that consisted of the words used during the remediation this student made 9 spelling mistakes from the list of 36 words. Four of the mistakes were due to having the letters in the wrong order, three of his mistakes had single letters missing, one mistake invoved having an extra letter and the other mistake had a single incorrect letter. These errors remain consistant with the errors made during the assessment prior to the remediation. This student still displayed difficulty with the correct letters and still omitted, added of sequencing and substitued letters when writing spelling words after studying them. He also showed an inability to accurately predict his performance in spelling. Не demonstrated inconsistant prediction when asked to indicate during this test what words he knew for sure he spelled correctly and what words he knew for sure he spelled incorrectly. This post remediation test indicates that the "Spelling in

ł

Language Arts" series used in conjunction with a Traditional Instructional approach to teaching spelling was not entirely useful in remediating this students assessed spelling difficulties. Post testing involving all the test instruments mentioned in the methods section did not reveal many significant quantitative differences.

B. Subject 2

ï

This student was aged 11 years 2 months. This student first presented himself.as a rather shy young boy who was initially very talkative and seemingly relaxed. He has one older sister. Both parents work on the family farm and were concerned about his spelling problem.

Test Results

Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A

This student worked very slowly during this test and scores for the most part were below his grade placement. In the "Letter Identification Test" there were a number of reversals notedand errors made in letters of written script. His score on this subtest showed a relative mastery of grade 2.9 which is below his grade placement of 5. The "Word Identification" subtest results were also below his grade placement with a score of grade 2.1 for a reading score and 2.5 for a failure reading score. His weakness in word identification may effect his ability to spell in that he has less words in his memory storage that are easily recognizable in order to spell correctly. His score on the "Word Attack" subtest was also below his grade placement with results showing a relative mastery at grade 2.7 and a failure reading level of 4.2.

Pairs Test of Decoding Skills

the first subtest, "Initial Consonants", this For student was able to quickly give the paired word without having to sound it outloud. He made two errors which included a reversal of letter error. In the succeeding subtests he demonstrated more reversal errors and sounded out each pair rather then generalizing as in the first subtest. In subtest B, "Final Consonants", he scored 11 out of 16 correct with reversal errors and mispronounciations of vowel sounds. In subtest C, "Middle Short Vowels", he scored 8 out of 10 correct. The errors were due to reversing initial consonant letters. He experienced more difficulty with subtest D, "Middle Long Vowels", and "Vowel Digraphs", where he scored 10 correct out of a possible 16. His errors were due to using short vowel sounds instead of the correct long vowel sounds. Long vowel sounds appear to be a phonetic weakness with this student. His errors on subtest E. "Initial Consonant Blends and Digraphs", (18 correct out of a possible 22) were few and inconsistant. The last subtest, "Final Consonant Blends and Final Digraphs" indicated a major weakness with 10 out of 18 correct. Errors included

reversals, letter omissions and substitutions. In summary, this assessment suggests that the student relies on a "sounding out" strategy rather then a visual sight word recognition strategy.

Consonant Blends and Dighaphs Assessment

The results of this assessment indicated that consonants combined with the letter "1" and "r" pose some difficulty with this student. For example, he would pronounce the blend "pr" as "per". He appeared very good at pronouncing the consonant blends in isolation however he demonstrated difficulty in pronouncing the blends in the context of words. In general, his phonetic skills appeared inconsistant and inefficient.

Informal Alphabet Writing Test

This student was very slow in completing this task. Many of the letters wandered above and below the baseline. Reversals were common with the letters "p", "q" and "z". This assessment indicated poor writing skills and/or unfamiliarity with the alphabet which could create difficulties in spelling performance.

Digit Span Test

.*

The raw scores on this test were within the normal range for his age group. He was able to repeat 6 digits forward then rearrange 7 digits and present them backwards.

118

For both parts of this test this student used oral repetition of numbers as a strategy for recall.

Visual Attention Span for Letters

During the administration of this test this student used oral recall as a strategy for recall. There were six instances of reversal, 5 of them involved the letter "q" for which he said "p". Occasionally he demonstrated inattentiveness, however, when prompted to attend to the task, this student was able to recall a series of 5 unrelated letters.

Auditory Discrimination Test

This test did not reveal any significant difficulty in this students' ability to differentiate between sounds of words.

Slosson Oral Reading Test

This student did not demonstrate any instances of reversals during the administration of this test. However, the results of this test were consistant with the results of the 'Woodcock" by indicating poor ability in sight word recognition. He frequently attempted to phonetically decode the list words and was generally unsuccessful.

Wide Range Achievement Test

This student sounded outloud each word as he spelled it. His performance demonstrated poor writing skills and a tendency to phonetically spell the words. His grade score for spelling on this test was 2.7.

Spelling of Word Parts

During this test this student used a combination of upper and lower case letters. He demonstrated difficulty with some vowel combinations such as, "oa", ea", "ou", and digraphs such as, fies", and "gh". The majority of the blends were written correctly especially the more common consonant blends such as, "st" and "sh".

Diagnostic Spelling Test-

This test indicated that this students weaknesses lie with non-phonetic spellings of words, double vowel spellings and words containing "ow" and "ou" combinations.

Schonell'Graded Word Spelling Test

This test indicated that this student had a spelling age of 7.0. This test was consistent with the findings of the other spelling tests in that this student spelled phonetically, for example, "ground" was spelled "grownd" and "noise" was spelled "noys".

Language Arts Word Recognition Assessment

This test established a baseline of familiar sight words to be used for the language arts spelling test consisting of familiar spelling words. During this assessment it was observed, that this student made many errors on vowel sounds as well as making numerous reversals.

Language Arts Spelling Test

During the administration of this test this student verbalized each word as he wrote it. He wrote most of the words quickly without demonstrating any effort to self monitor his response before proceeding to the next word. Although he was able to recognize and read all the words he was not able to spell them all correctly.

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 1

During this assessment this students ' spelling strategies became more clear. He constantly mentioned the importance of "sounding words out loud" in order to spell them. He also reported the necessity of knowing the meaning of a word to aid in the spelling of the word. He stated that it is easier for him to know how to spell a word if he can read the word and knows what it means. His study method,' for learning spelling words incorporates these strategies by reading the word outloud, saying it, giving the meaning and then trying to spell the word from memory. He mentioned that he "sees one letter at a time" when he is spelling a word.

The words he can pronounce are the easiest for him to spell. The most difficult words to spell are the ones that contain silent letters especially vowel combinations. Eventhough he expresses the best method for studying spelling words is for him to write the words down on a piece of paper he said that that the most frequent method used by him is when he studies with a friend by saying each word out loud to each other.

When he was asked to define spelling he explained that it was "putting the letters down in the right order". During this assessment he informed the instructor that he was unable to predict whether he spells words confectly or incorrectly which was evident in the "Language Arts' Spelling Test" where he was asked to indicate his prediction. He also mentioned during this assessment that he feels embarrassed when he 15 unable to spell а word correctly.

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 2-30

This assessment indicated that this student primarily uses a single letter approach to spelling words. He very seldom used letter combinations to form spelling words and when he did attempt to construct words by using grouping techniques it was either inappropriate and/or ineffectual. For example, when he sought letter combinations for the word "tube" it was ^robserved and later confirmed by him that he looked for "tu" then "ub" then "be".

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 3

this task this students' strategy for studying 0n from previous spelling varied expressed or words demonstrated methods of studying spelling words. During previous assessments he had shown a preference (verbally) for studying spelling words letter by letter, however, his non verbal performance on this assessment showed а preference for grouping. He did not group all words according to standard decoding practices, for example. grouping by common digraphs or consonant blends, but did indicate knowledge of this type of decoding.

Student Perception of Ability Scale

Generally, this test indicated a positive academic self concept except in the area of spelling. All responses to questions concerning perception of ability in spelling were negative.

A 1	

	Item No.	Question	Response
- ·	3	All new words are easy to spell	No
	13	I am good at spelling	No
	15	I have problems with spelling	Yes
	17	I am happy with the way I spell	No
	36	I like spelling	No
	49	My spelling is always right	No
	54	I find spelling hard	¥es

59	I am slow at spelling	Yes
62	I usually spell words right	No

Other Assessment Techniques

methods employed with this student to assess Other spelling strategies/included video-taping a peer teaching situation and a self-study session. The self-study session lasted twenty minutes and was followed by a spelling test. Before leaving the observation room the instructor showed the words to the student and pronounced each word for him. It was observed that this student began his study session by reading about all of the words. After self-verbalizing the words he covered them and then tried to write them without session he appeared very looking at them. During this restless(moving, about in his chair, and stretching) and tired (yawning). He continued the strategy of reading the group of words then writing them down as a group of words for ten minutes then changed to another strategy. He would to 3 words that were written on separate file. look 2 at cards, verbalize them, place them face down, spell them then try to remember where he had placed the outloud and word in relation to the others. After finding the word he did not check the spelling of the word before moving on to the other words. He made 5 errors on the spelling test that followed the study session of the fifteen words. Five of the 10 words he spelled correctly were the words that he had

written down on a piece of paper during his first study strategy.

this student the peer-teaching session During and learner. During the experienced being both teacher learner stage he was shown the spelling words without them and was asked to write them on a being pronounced blackboard. The peer teacher demanded this student to print neatly and quickly which seemed to frustrate him. When he became the teacher he instructed his peer to sound the word outloud, provide a definition for each word and then a few seconds. for memorize the word by looking at _i,t the correct this student had been given Although pronounciation of each word by the instructor before this session began, he accepted the incorrect pronounciations of his peer and adopted his peers' incorrect pronounciations.

The information gathered from all of the assessments was used for his remediation which was based on a "Direct" teaching approach. The words spelled incorrectly on the 'Language Arts Spelling test' were used for his remediation program (see Appendix A)

Remediation Strategy and Rational

64

1

1.

This students' remediation was based on a direct instruction approach. The assessment analysis was explained and he was given information regarding the efficiency and inefficiency of his spelling strategies along with providing him reasons for the importance of spelling The efficient

125

\$

qualities of his demonstrated spelling strategies were incorporated into his spelling program in an attempt to make him a more active participant in the remediation process. His spelling assessment suggested that this student used ineffective strategies while studying spelling words because he never used a consistant approach. therefore, he was a structured procedure in order to use provided the incorporated strategies more effectively. Standards for written performance were imposed due to his poor writing and his inability to self-monitor his spelling. This student displayed interest in word meaning , hence, his program included the use of a dictionary. The writing of spelling words during study was imposed on this student in order to have his attention focus on the structure of his spelling words and to monitor for frequently occuring reversals (b-d, p-q). This student demonstrated a consistent phonetic approach to studying spelling words and thus was incorporated into the design via a 'look-sound it out strategy.

The words used in the remediation were grouped according to symilar characteristics.

Day 1: Long/Vowel Sounds

	freeze	plain	' coach
	heat	mistake	explore
Day 2:	R-Controlle	d Vowels,	"er" only
	perhaps	perfect	term
	helicopter	ordered	answer

Day 3: R-Controlled Vowels, Non-Phonetic

	war	return	alarm
()	colour	berry	airport
Day 4:	Contraction	s and Suffix	es
	breaking	following	aren' t
	knowing	l' ve	lively
Day 5:	Phoneticall	y Spelled Wo	rds
	holiday	connect	problem
	mamma l	branch	between
Day 6:	Non-Phoneti	cally Spelle	d Words
	knock	dozen	shown
	cattle	rifle	growth
	•		

Procedure For Each Word

1.

Look at the word and sound it out.

- 2. Describe out loug what the word looks like.
- 3. Write the word on a file card.
- 4. Write the word in the notebook and check it.
- 5. Give a meaning for the word.
- 6. Check the meaning of the word in a dictionary and write it in the notebook
- 7. Write the word in a sentence in the notebook.
- 8. Say the word outloud.

2

- 9. Spell the word outloud while looking at the file card.
- 10. Spell the word again with eyes closed then check the correct spelling of the word. If wrong go back to step

9.

11. Put the card away and spell it in the notebook.

12. Return to step 9 if an error is made.

This procedure was directly presented by the instructor for each word of study. This systematic study plan incorporated some of the strategies preferred by the student in a more efficient manner involving repetition of each word both in written and oral forms. It also utilized a method by which the students' weaknesses in spelling were remediated through his strengths and allowing him to be an active participant even though the program was directed by the instructor.

Lesson Plan

Sound out Word This step was instituted to reinforce decoding skills and phonetic analysis of the word. Direct instruction provided an opportunity to correct any errors and any other assistance that was needed by the student.

Describe What the Word Looks Like During this step the student was instructed to look at the graphic representation of the word (for example, two letters have a vertical stem and another decends below the line). The aim was to have the student attend more closely to the configuration of the word in order to enhance his visual memory.

Write the Word on a File Card and Check It This step was included in the program because it was observed in the assessment that this student did not consistantly write the word as a study strategy. He usually only sounded the words
outloud and then verbalize the individual letters. However,
this strategy was also inconsistant. Checking the word was
instituted in order to reinforce self-monitoring.

Write the Word in the Notebook and Check It This step reinforced the need to write the words down repeatedly in order to reinforce his visual memory. It also reinforced attention to the task along with providing another self-monitoring check on the correctness of the spelling.

Give a Meaning for the Word This step allowed for the inclusion of one of the students' preferred strategies. He had previously mentioned that knowing the meaning of a word aided his spelling performance. Even though this strategy does not directly influence correct spelling performance it does make the spelling task more meaningful and thus facilitates meaningful learning.

Check the Meaning of the Word in the Dictionary This' step further reinforced his need for meaning and gave him more experience in seeing the word in another context and reinforcing his visual memory of the word.

Write the Word in a Sentence This step was included to make the task of spelling study more personally meaningful by stressing the importance of correct spelling in the context of sentences in order for the reader to know exactly the meaning of the writers' message. It also provided more practice towards improving his writing performance. Say the Word Outloud This step was included to further reinforce the correct pronounciation of the spelling words along with providing more focus on the letter sound correspondence of the word. It was also included as another way of incorporating one of his preferred strategies within the program in order to reinforce his active involvement. It reinforced his rational that "if he could sound a word he could spell it."

Look at the Word and Say it Outloud This step allowed for further attention to letter sound correspondences within the word along with giving him repeated exposure to the visual pattern of the word. It also reinforced his preference for spelling words outloud.

Spell the Word with Eyes Closed This step was included to reinforce his visualization of the word along with demanding attention on the task. Concentration was enhanced by stipulating a return to a previous step if he incorrectly spelled the word. Positive verbal reinforcement followed a correct spelling of the word in order to induce a feeling of self accomplishment.

Put the Card Away and Spell it in Notebook This step reinforced the need for this student to write the word as he studies it along with providing another method of ensuring self-monitoring the correctness of his spelling performance before advancing to another word of study.

Compare Written Word with File Card This step was included as a follow up to the previous step so the student
would be guided towards monitoring his performance with a reliable comparison.

Return to Step 9 if Any Error is Made This final step concluded the procedure for studying spelling words as directed by the instructor and was used to provide a criterion standard for the student to accomplish before being considered ready for studying the next word.

This procedure was used for every word the student was expected to study during the research project. After he had completed the daily list of words the instructor tested him on the studied words. The student was then instructed to correct his own test by using the file cards. This was done to reinforce the students' active participation and provide a means by which the student could monitor his mistakes.

Summary and Conclusion

1

During the remediation this student continually displayed neater printing and a sense of pride for the work he accomplished. He seemed to enjoy using the dictionary in locating the spelling words and giving the stated meanings as well as writing sentences that included his spelling words. As the lessons progressed he began to recall the steps of the spelling procedure spontaneously and sometimes requested to work on the spelling procedure by himself. When ever he made a spelling error during the proceedure and was instructed to go back to step 9 of the program he appeared visably upset. At the begining of the program lessons this student worked slowly and methodically. However, as the lessons progressed he he began to set his own standards for his work, for example, he would sometimes discard a file card in order to reproduce another file card with neater writing. He also became more studious with respect to word definitions such as suggesting that "breaking" was synonymous to "splintering" and "shattering". As he became more proficient in describing words he also improved his ability to identify the orthographic nature of his spelling words by isolating trouble spots within words such as non-phonetic vowel sounds.

On the spelling test that followed remediation this student made 22 spelling mistakes from the list of 36 words. Even though his writing performance improved and his graphically similar to the correct were misspellings spellings, many of his errors correlated with the types of errors produced during the assessment segment. Specific difficulty was still associated with vowel combinations and r-controlled words. He was also unable to more accurately predict the correctness or incorrectness of his spelling performance during the spelling test. Performance on the other post-tests produced a little quantitative difference Figures 1&2). For example, letter identification (see increased from 38 to 39, word recognition increased from a score of 59 to 73 and word attack raw scores increased raw from 22 to 29.

132

E.

Generally, the direct instruction approach offered this student a consistant and structured program strategy for studying spelling words which seemed appreciated by this student. Some of his reactions to the procedural design include: "It's fun and not boring like school", this proceedure taught me "to spell better how to learn and how to follow directions:---By George, I think I've got smarter!", "The procedure helps me study a lot more so it will help me better," and "It could be used in reading as well."

1

C. Subject 3

This student was aged 11 years 6 months. He was interested in being part of the program and was a cooperative subject However, he did refuse to participate in one suggested assessment activity involving peer-teaching. He would not comply with the suggestion because he was insecure about his ability and did not like the idea of teaching. His request to omit this activity was granted and he complied with all other assessment requirements.

Test Results

Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Form A

1

The "Letter Identification Test" did not reveal any specific difficulties in this area. This students' score on the "Word Recognition Test" indicated that he was operating

approximately two² years below his grade level. His performance on the "Word Attack Test" indicated an approximate two year lag with specific phonetic difficulty involving the "kn" and "qu" letter combinations.

Pairs Test of Decoding Skills

This student did not demonstrate any significant difficulty in this test.

Consonant Blends and Digraphs

This student performed adequately on all the letter combinations except "qu" which is consistent with the results from the Woodcock and also exhibited a weak "I" sound.

Informal Alphabet Writing Test

This student was able to write all the letters of the alphabet without difficulty.

Digit Span Test

This students' score's indicated normal ability in short term recall of number sequences. His better performance on repeating forward sequences of numbers than on backward sequencing of numbers indicates a greater skill in retaining and verbally reproducing a fixed set of items then retaining a sequence of items and mentally remanipulating this sequence into another desired response. This students' score on short term visual memory indicated that he was operating within a satisfactory range of ability. Although his score of 5-2 places him at a mental age of 10.0 the qualitative analysis did not suggest significant difficulty with rote-memory of unrelated letter sequences (see Fig. 1).

Auditory Discrimination Test

This screening test for auditory discrimination problems did not reveal any difficulties in this area.

Slosson Oral Reading Test

This students' score indicated that his sight word recognition was in the average range of ability. However, this assessment was considered invalid after the completion of the task. The instructor allowed the student to phonetically decode the words instead of limiting the student to immediate responses which is the standard procedure. Hence, the results were inconsistent with the Woodcock which indicated a lower level of sight word recognition ability.

Wide Range Achievement Test, Spelling

The score on this test indicated that this student was operating approximately 2 to 3 years below his grade level. During this assessment it was observed that this student

used a 'sound-search for the appropriate rule' method of spelling. It was noted that he silently sounded out each word then spelled each sound as he verbalized it. On a few occassions he orally debated (whispered) which rule would be appropriate in spelling a particular sound. It was also his spelling monitored this student that observed performance inconsistantly and inefficiently. would He casually check his spelling words immediately after writing them and failed to self check other spelled words, instead of carefully checking all of his spelled words thoroughly.

Spelling of Word Parts Test

During this test this student demonstrated particular difficulty with the spelling of "kn" and "gh" southds.

Diagnostic Spelling Test

This test indicated that this students' spelling ability was approximately 2 years below his grade level. Specific difficulties included the spelling of the "oo" sound, vowel-r combinations, changing the final "y" to "i" before adding the ending, contractions and non-phonetic spellings. During this test it became more evident that this student was extremely rule conscious. During the spelling test he would verbalize one or two rules when attempting to spell difficult words and then would make a decision on which of the verbalized rules would best be applied. However, he did this inefficiently most of the time due to

his seemingly limited knowledge of rules, inability to recognize when a rule may be inappropriate for a word, and his insufficient number of rule choices. For example, when confronted with a word that produced a long "e" sound he would say "two vowels together, could be spelled "ee", "ea"." Then would simply record the first choice that came to his mind.

Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test

This test suggested that this students' spelling age was 8.2. Specific difficulties were substituting "i" for "e" and "e" for "i" in short vowel sounding words. He also substituted "d" for "t" and "t" for "d" along with having difficulty with vowel-r combinations.

Language Arts Word Recognition Assessment

This student made few errors on this sight word recognition assessment. As with Subject 1 and 2 the familiar sight words were used to develop the spelling list for the Language Arts spelling test.

Language Arts Spelling Test

â

This test provided for a list of misspelled familiar words. It also indicated that this student was unable to consistantly predict the correctness or incorrectness of his spelling performance.

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 1

During this assessment this student indicated that the importance of spelling was to be able to secure a "good job" in the future. He also associated good spelling with reading skills and reported that he feels embarrassed when he is unable to spell a word correctly. He stated that he considers the middle part of words to be the most difficult to spell and that spelling primarily requires memorization. He mentioned that it is very important for him to be able to see the word before being able to study it properly and that if he is not directed to study spelling words he will not apply much time to the task. He also reported that he requires a quiet area in order to study spelling and that he doesn't feel very competent in the task. He mentioned that he primarily studies spelling words by using a letter by letter method and when it comes time to spell the word on a test he often tries to associate a phonetic rule to assist student also reported during this necall. This his assessment that he seldom writes his words when studying spelling words since he prefers to verbally memorize the letters of the word and its' appearance.

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 2

During this test the student demonstrated a preference for spelling words letter by letter rather then by grouping common letter combinations such as consonant blends and digraphs. It was also observed that the student seldom

monitored his spelling with the correct spelling of the word that was written on the file card but would rather rely on his visual memory of the word and then correct any errors after constructing the spelling of the word. This resulted in quick constructions that contained spelling errors.

Informal Diagnostic Spelling Assessment, Part 3

During this assessment the student showed a preference for studying words letter by letter, however, occasionally he would demonstrate a grouping method which was generally appropriate. For example, for some words that began with consonant blends he would sometimes choose to study this word by grouping letters according to phonetic rules, i.e. gr oa n, or separate other words according to appropriate methods,

for example, need ing. He stated that if he is given a word orally such as in a spelling test and is unable to see the word or was unable to have studied it beforehand, he would separate the word into sound groupings to aid his spelling performance. He added that if he thought that the sound groupings were inappropriate he would sometimes break the word into a root word and ending if he felt comfortable with the base word.

Student Perception of Ability Scale's

. .

This test indicated that this student has an essentially positive academic self concept with the

All responses to questions about exception of spelling. ability in spelling were negative. perception of Response Question' Item No. All new words are easy to spell No 3 13 I am good at spelling No I have problems in spelling Yes 15 1 am happy with the way I spell No

17 I like spelling No 36 My spelling is always right No 49 I find spelling hard Yes 54 I am slow at spelling Yes 59 I usually spell words right No 62

Other Assessment Techniques

This student like the other students in this study was shown a group of 15 words by the instructor. The words were printed on file cards and pronounced for the student by the instructor. When the student was familiar with the words the instructor left the student and informed him that when she returned he would be given a spelling test on the words. This situation was observed through a one-way mirror and also video-taped. It was observed that this student proceded to study the spelling words when the instructor left the room and that he used a specific study method. After observation and discussion the following steps in his initial study methods were

1. Look at the word

- 2. Say the word (the observations indicated that he did this inconsistently)
- 3. Say the letters of the word (the observations showed that that he did this orally, however, after questioning he mentioned that he prefered saying the letters of the word to himself silently).
- 4. Look away from the word and memorize the word letter by letter. When asked about this step with respect to what memorizing meant to him, he replied, "You see the whole word in your mind then memorize it by saying it letter by letter, over and over again.
- 5. Check to see if it is spelled correctly. It was observed that this student often failed to exercise this step and would more often proceed to the next spelling word after trying to memorize it.

It was observed in this video-taped session that this student did not write any of the spelling words as a method of study. This student also reported that he very seldom writes his spelling words as a means of studying them in or outside the classroom. When probed (see Appendix A) about how often, when and where he studied spelling words he stated that he spent very little time studying spelling and that he only studied words he felt were difficult.

.1

Remediation Strategy and Rational

This students' remediation program was based on the self-instructural (CBM) design that was described in the methods section. This student was generally informed of the results assessment which included an analyzed of the explanation of the importance of spelling and the efficiency inefficiency of his methods of studying spelling words and as it related to his performance. This method incorporated some of his existing strategies and strengths into his spelling remediation with a more diciplined and structured format which included the student as an active participant with respect to the remediation proceedure and its' design. Although it was anticipated that the (CBM) design would have to be modified to meet the needs of the student following the assessment, it was concluded that the program did not need to be changed to meet the needs of this student because it utilized many of his existing strategies. Furthermore, it encorporated others that would allow this student to study his words more efficiently. However, one component was added which will be described later.

The "Student Perception of Ability Scale" indicated that this student had a low academic self concept with respect to spelling. The CBM procedure focusses on this area by incorporating a self-reward component. This student demonstrated a preference for studying words letter by letter which was accomodated by the CBM method. The inclusion of this strategy reinforced this students'

the remediation procedure participation in and was consistent with the assessment that suggested a relative strength in this area (Digit Span, and the Visual Attention Span for Letters). Previous assessment also indicated that study strategies were inefficient this students' and inconsistent which was accomodated by the CBMs' step by step structured, and consistent approach. This student demonstrated a use of covert and overt verbalizations to aid study of spelling words as well as a self-checking his strategy. However, he did not employ these methods consistently, hence, the CBM method coincided with his in consistent strategies but а more way. This self-instructional spelling study program also demanded that the student consistently write his spelling words which was a strategy that was not employed by this student.

Generally, this remediation program encouraged active participation both in its' design and in its' practice. It incorporated some of the students' already existing study methods along with some strategies that were considered useful and not in his repertoire such as monitoring his studying and writing his words in a meaningful context.

In order to provide a program that allowed the to more efficiently monitor this students' instructor effectiveness with this approach one component was added. At the conclusion of each unit of words studied by the student, the instructor gave the student a spelling test in order to ascertain а measure of student success with the

e

self-instructional proceedure. If the student made an error on the spelling test, the instructor informed the student that it would be added to the list of words to be studied during the next session.

Lesson Plan

This student was randomly assigned 6 words a day to study for six days. When he completed the unit of words each day his work was finished.

The words were grouped as follows:

Day 1:	freeze	holiday	breaking
	correct	knock	answer
Day 2:	mistake	problem	shown
	explore	dozen	following
Day 3:	aren' t	between	mamma 1
	growth	coach	berry
Day 4:	l've	knowing	ordered
	branch	colour	helicopter 🥖 ᡟ
Day 5:	claim	perhaps	lively
	alarm .	neat	war)
Day 6:	cattle	rifle	perfect
	term	airport	return

This student was trained in the use of the self-instructional (CBM) approach and used it for each days' group of words. He was provided a written script of the procedure for initial reference which became an unnecessary aid after day 1. He was closely monitored by the instructor

.

who intermittently provided external reinforcement such as "You're doing very well" and "Keep up the good effort". However, after the first two days the student appeared self-motivated and enjoyed his self-reinforcements which was concluded from such statements as "This is fun", "I like this" and "I don't need anyones' help.

Summary and Conclusion

It was observed during the assessments that this student mentally performed word searches when asked to spell a word of which he is unfamiliar. He mentally tried to find a category of words or examples of words that compares to the word he is attempting to spell. This was observed during the "Woodcock Word Attack Test", the "WRAT", the "Diagnostic Spelling Test", the "Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test", the "Spelling of Word Parts" test, and the "Language Arts Spelling Test". It was also reported to the instructor by the student during the "Spelling Diagnostic Cognition Assessments, Parts 1,2 and 3. This was exemplified when he tried to find the "kn" spelling in a word that had a "n" sound, or when he tried to reproduce examples of words that had the "ee" sound in the medial position. This method was inconsistantly and only occassionally applied was successful.

This student often demonstrated a rehearsal strategy when spelling words. When he attempted to spell a word that was particularly difficult he rehearsed previously learned

rules. He would try to search for the applicable rule in context with the word that he had been given to spell. This was observed in the "Diagnostic Spelling Test"(i.e.He would recite the rule out loud "drop the "e" and add "ing"), the "Woodcock Word Attack Test"(i.e. "when "kn" are together, the "k" is silent") and reported to the instructor during the "Spelling Diagnostic Cognition Assessments Part 1,2, and 3."

This student would also incorporate a rhyming strategy when asked to spell some words. 'For example, he would focus on the final sound of a word , then using a word search with rhyming strategy, he would look for for a word that а sounded the same as the word to be spelled, such as the in "employ",he would verbalize,"boy", "toy" and "joy" and word · then stop where he either ran out of rhyming words or felt he knew how to spell the word. When he was asked to that spell the word "bought" he searched for a comparison word announced the word "thought" which allowed him to and correctly spell the word "bought". However, the in "Diagnostic Spelling Test" when he was asked to spell the word "brought" he used the previously described strategy and "thought" as a guide, but did not attend to the "r" used sound in "brought" and consequently spelled it incorrectly "bought".

This student sometimes self-checked and self-corrected his work but did it inconsistantly. It was observed and reported to the instructor that he would not? self-check a word when he thought he was sure of its' correct spelling or

e .

when he had used one of his previously mentimened strategies.

During the assessment this student demonstrated primary reliance on a phonetic _aanalysis of spelling words. He sounded out the whole word (whispers), then its' parts, then wrote each word part as he sounded it out. This was observed during the administration of the 'WRAT'in spelling, the 'Diagnostic Spelling Test', the 'Schonell Graded Word Spelling Test' the 'Language Arts Spelling Test' and during the administration of the 'Informal Diagnostic Spelling Cognition' assessments. He reported particular reliance in use of the phonetic strategy when the spelling words the were unfamiliar to him (for example; when he did not possess mental image of the word, or when the words were some particularly long and contained, for instance, more then one consonant blend, dipthong or digraph.

The specific areas of spelling weakness for this subject were:

- Particular difficulty with attending to and spelling medial sounds in words in comparison to his performance on initial and final sounds.
- 2. Confusion with respect to consonant vowel-r controlled words. For example, when he was given the words "search", "curdle" and "turtle" to spell, he wrote, "srerch"- "srirch"- "srurch", "crerdle", "crirdle"- "crurdle"- "crerdle", and "trerdle"-"trirdle"- "trurdle".
- 3. Particular difficulty with the following letter

combinations when spelling words , "oo", "wh", "kn", "qu", "ur", "ou", "le", "ies", and "gh".

The post-tests excluding the spelling test involving remediation words did not indicate much quantitative the qualitative improvements were However, improvement. observed. His academic self-concept with respect to spelling appeared more positive. This was indicated when he was asked midway into the program how well he had done with a group of spelling words, and he replied, "Well, they're all right again!". Before the final spelling test on all σf the words he had studied he was asked how well he thought he might do and he replied, "I'm going to get them all the test on right!". During the middle of the remediation program this asked if he could be given words outside the list student words "Like grade 7,8,or9 words." His aim was to test the system; to see if it could be effective with higher level words. Higher level words were selected from grade 6 and 7° spelling word lists and were given to him as extra words upon completion of the program words. After studying these words he was tested on them the following day and he spelled them all correctly.

This students' appreciation of the self-instructional study plan partly reflected its' format. When the program was introduced to him and modelled for him he commented that "Its' a lot like what I do! This is easy!" This student did not have any difficulty following the modelled example. He was able to remember each of the steps in its' correct order by the fourth session. Before this time he had been following a written guide. He was careful and precise in completing his tasks while challenging himself to accomplish the lists of words in a shorter time frame. This was evident when he said, "How long did it take me today? It's taking me less time everyday isn't it?" He was able to reduce his time from 2 to 5 minutes per session until he reached the twenty minute time period which remained constant for the duration of the program.

He did not object to the use of the covert and overt verbalizations and showed no difficulty with them. He said that he understood why it was necessary and did not feel awkward about doing the task. When he was asked how he felt about it, he said, "I don't mind". He appeared to enjoy the self-reward element of the design which was evident in his behavior. He would grin to himself and often changed the "reward word" from "good" to "great" to "right again" to "very good". As the sessions continued this student became more creative in his sentence compositions. For example, he demonstrated delight in creating an interesting or funny sentence from his words and became anxious for the -instructor to read his sentences. This was considered a positive development due to his past avoidance of writing and the uncomfortability he had expressed with respect to writing tasks. There were two days that this student was required to include more words to his list of study due to errors on the previous day. This occurred on day 4 when one

word was added to his study list and on day 5 when 3 words were added to his study list.

On the \hat{T} inal day of the spelling project this student was administered a final spelling word list test which was composed of the 36 words used during the remediation sessions. His final score ψ as 35 correct out of 36. As on the pre-test he was asked to predict the outcome of his work. This student was able to predict with 100% accuracy which words he thought he spelled correctly and which ones he thought he spelled incorrectly.

Research Questions

5

9.2° 14 í.

The following section is assigned to answering the investigative inquiries related to the spelling strategies of the subjects and the relative effectiveness of the three instructional approaches used in this study. This section entails four parts in accordance with the four major areas of examination.

Research Question 1

The first question was addressed to whether the subjects of this study possessed spelling strategies and whether these strategies were efficiently or inefficiently employed. The answer to this question will be done by identifying each subjects spelling metaknowledge and cognitive strategies (which were evaluated during the initial assessments prior to treatment) followed by an

150

analytical description of the effectiveness of their strategy employment.

Subject 1, Metaknowledge and Strategies

This subjects' strategies and metaknowledge consisted of:

- 1. Say the word outloud (strategy)
 - 2. Write the word several times while saying the word outloud. This was done in order to remember the visual patterns of the words and relate it to the sound of the word (strategy and metaknowledge). Middle parts of the words and the sequencing of letters were the most difficult task parameters
 - (metaknowledge)
 - 3. Only studies words he doesn't know (strategy)
 - Studies words by rehearsing "letter strings" (strategy)
 - 5. Phonetically decodes words that have irregular orthographic patterns (strategy)
 - 6. Looks for meanings of words in dictionary (strategy and metaknowledge)
 - 7. Knows when he has spelled a word incorrectly (metaknowledge)

This student verbalized his spelling metaknowledge when probed. His strategy employment was observed over the assessment sessions and supported by his verbal reports. His spelling performance appeared influenced by the inconsistant and inefficient use of his spelling

example, eventhough this subject For strategies. identified medial positions of and letter words sequencing to be the most difficult area of spelling for him he only rehearsed word names and not the individual letters. Furthermore, it was observed that no additional study time was allotted to this particular difficulty compared with the time spent on other areas of focus. This subject reported that he only studies words that are unfamiliar to him or causing him difficulty which is supported by Fitzimons and Loomer (1977) as being an effective approach, however, he is unable to accurately predict word difficulty. Therefore, this strategy is inefficient. It was observed that he used a phonetic approach to studying more difficult words, however, due assessed weakness in decoding skills this to his strategy is essentially ineffectual. Occassionally, this subject rehearsed letter strings, however, he did this inconsistantly. This weakness supports Bauers' (1977) suggestion that many poor spellers have less ability in subjects' this recalling letter strings. Hence. inconsistant use may be due to an inefficient recall strategy. Additionally, this subject worked much to quickly which seemed to influence his ability to benefit Furthermore. strategies. he his from some of mispronounced many of his words and failed to monitor his study performance.

Subject 2, Metaknowledge and Strategies

This subjects' strategies and metaknowledge consisted of:

- Saying each letter outloud while he wrote the spelling word in his notebook (strategy)
- He reported that it was important for him to know the meanings of words in order to enhance his spelling performance (metaknowledge)
- 3. This subject displayed both a "grouping" and "letter by letter" approach in studying spelling words (strategy)
- 4. He often tried to study his words by memorizing them, hence, signathening his visual representation of each word (strategy)
- 5. Spelling to him meant "putting letters in the right order" (metaknowledge)

This student verbalized his spelling metaknowledge when probed. His spelling strategies were observed 'throughout the assessment sessions and supported by his verbal reports.

Many of his strategies, were inefficient and/or ineffectual due to his delayed sight-word recognition and phonetic skills. His strategy employment was also influenced by vowel confusions, mispronounciations, letter reversals and slow writing style.

Essentially, this subjects' strategies were used inconsistently and were ineffectual and/or inefficient

due to his spelling weaknesses and narrow sense of task parameters. For example, sometimes he would rehearse and other times he would try to strings letter phonetically decode the spelling words. However, due to difficulty with both phonetic and his assessed phonetic approach was sounds, his non-phonetic ineffectual. He often wrote the spelling words he was going to study into his notebook without checking them with the correct spelling and therefore sometimes rehearsed poorly encoded information. This is consistant with Mann et al., (1980) who reported that rehearsed strategies are important in spelling. Most children use this strategy to some extent, however, in some cases they may be rehearsing poorly encoded information.

This subject seldom monitored his spelling performance. He could not accurately predict the correctness of his spelling performance nor assess his particular areas of weakness. Furthermore, he did not consistantly sound out his study words or search for word meaning even though he expressed the necessity of these steps for spelling success.

Subject 3, Metaknowledge and Strategies

This subjects' strategies and metaknowledge consisted of:

1. Look at the word (strategy)

2. Say the word (strategy)

3. Say the letters of the word (strategy)

- 4. Memorize the word letter by letter (strategy)
- 5. Check the spelling word with the correct spelling (strategy)
- 6. Middle parts of words are the most difficult (metaknowledge)
- 7. Successful spelling performance is dependent on memorization (metaknowledge)
- 8. Use phonetic approach with words that are more difficult (strategy)
- 9. Search for spelling rules when given unfamiliar spelling words (strategy)
- 10. Visualizing words aid recall (strategy and Metaknowledge)
- 11. Rehearse letters of words (strategy)
- 12. Use categorical word search to find analogy words in order to aid spelling performance with more difficult words (strategy)
- 13. Spelling is important for securing future employment (Metaknowledge)

This subject verbalized his spelling metaknowledge when probed. His strategy employment was observed over the assessment sessions and supported by his verbal reports.

This subjects' spelling performance seemed influenced by the inconsistant and inefficient use of his spelling strategies. His strategies were also limited by his delayed sight-word recognition, phonetic skills, storage of spelling rules, difficulty with rule exception words, his preference for working too quickly, negative perception of ability in spelling, and his lack of motivation for studying spelling independently.

¢

essentially were This subjects' stategies appropriate, however, he often omitted some of the strategies he considered important and failed to use others consistently and effectively. For example, this subject did not always say his spelling words outloud mispronounced them. He did not sometimes and consistantly check the correctness of his spelling whilestudying his spelling words. He did not always rehearse the individual letters of each word and would often phonetically decode words incorrectly. He was often successful in recalling analogus words to aid in the spelling of more difficult words, however, he would sometimes spell the object word incorrectly due to his failure to discriminate the differences between analogus words and object words, focusing only on similarities. This student was very "rule conscious" when faced with difficult spelling words, however, due to his limited storage of rules and reliance on the correctness of the first rule that came to his mind, this strategy was Most importantly, this subject sometimes ineffectual. never wrote his spelling words as a strategy to aid his recall. He preferred to study the words mentally. Furthermore, he never monitored his study performance

156

۲,

and was unable to predict the correctness of his spelling performance.

In 'summary, from these subjects' metaknowledge their strategic spelling behaviors indicates a basic understanding of the task parameters for spelling study. They were able to select, verbalize and demonstrate skills and preferred strategies related to spelling, however, they were inappropriately and/or inconsistantly and/or inefficiently used.

Research Question 2

1

This study involved the development of a self-instructional spelling program that was modelled from the cognitive modification design popularized by Meichenbaum and Goodman (1971). The purpose was to discover whether a student could be taught a strategic design that would be controlled by the subject which would lead to the aquisition and maintenance of spelling familiar words that were previously misspelled.

Essentially, the self-instructional proceedure used in this study was beneficial for learning and maintaining familiar spelling words. The self-instructional design was accepted by the subject which could have reflected his comfortability with the procedure. The subject who used the procedure followed the design precisely as it was modelled and appeared confident and pleased with its' effectiveness. He performed the required overt and covert verbalizations

without reluctance and did not demonstrate any awkwardness or uncomfortability with this demand. He consistantly self self-assessed, self-evaluated and self monitored rewarded. his spelling performance along with successfully completing the written requirements. The last point is a11 of especially noteworthy due to this subjects' reluctance to perform a writing strategy in studying spelling words. Although an increased perception of ability in spelling was the "SPAS" posttest, the instructor revealed on not considered his academic self-concept with respect to spelling to be increasingly more positive as the study progressed. This was indicated by statements such as "I like spelling method" and "I don't think I will have any this trouble with spelling now". Furthermore, this subject has continued to use this procedure independently both at home and at school according to parent and teacher reports obtained two months after the completion of the summer project. His parents have stated that the program appears to influence a more positive self-regard with respect to spelling ability and that he appears more motivated and confident in his spelling performance.

On the final spelling test that was comprised of 36 words used during the remediation segment of his program this subject made one spelling error. This achievement has qualitative significance when compared to the achievement of the other two subjects on the final spelling test. Subject 1 made 9 errors and Subject 2 made 22 errors and both subjects were unable to consistently predict which words they spelled correctly and/or incorrectly. However, Subject 3 indicated confidence in his performance on the 35 correctly spelled words and was able to correctly predict the incorrectness of his one misspelled word which was not possible prior to intervention.

In summary, this subject was able to learn the self-instructional method for studying familiar spelling words which lead to both successful and accurate prediction and spelling performance. Further support for the ability to teach a self-instructional design that is controlled by students and improves spelling performance and prediction comes from a pilot study done with this design during April a school outside Edmonton,Alberta. Α special 1983 at education teacher taught the self instructional design used in this study to two learning disabled students who were having significant difficulty in spelling. The students were 10 and 11 years of age. The results of this pilot study showed a significant increase in spelling performance and prediction by these students on familiar spelling words. These students are still using the selfinstructional design independently both at home and at school 7 months after completion of the pilot study with continued success. This teacher has since instituted this design with 14 other learning disabled children who are experiencing_spelling difficulty within her classroom. Three months from its' inception all of the students aged 9 to 11 are using the

r

self-instructional design independently. The teacher has monitored their progress and has reported better spelling performance , increased motivation, more spelling interest and confidence along with better spelling prediction ability with all of her students.

Research Question 3

Differences in spelling achievement were found between the three subjects on the follow up spelling test (Remediation SpellingTest)that was administered immediately following the remediation programs. The results showed, that the subject who followed the self-instructional approach demonstrated the best achievement. However, due to individual differences with respect to spelling strengths and weaknesses, slight age differences, motivation, attention and teacher effects that were not controlled the specific reasons for post test differences are difficult to ascertain. The results showed that Subject 1 spelled 27/36 familiar words correctly, Subject 2 spelled 14/36 words correctly and Subject 3 spelled 35/36 familiar words correctly. In light of these results it appears that the self-instructional (CBM) approach produced better spelling performance followed by the traditional and the direct approaches. However, the results from the pre-remediation assessments suggest that Subject 1 who received the traditional approach to spelling had more ability in letter identification, word identification and word recognition

who received the direct approach. than Subject 2 Subject 1 obtained higher scores on the Furthermore, pre-remediation spelling measures (WRAT, Schonell) compared to Subject 2. Hence, Subject 1's better performance on the post "Remediation Spelling Test" (see Figure 2) compared to Subject 2 might have been a function of superior ability in spelling along with а greater ability in letter identification, word identification and word recognition rather than as a result of the remediation approach. results (see Figure 2) suggest the Post-assessment possibility that Subject 2's instructional approach might have improved his letter and word identification ability along with his word attack ability where as Subject 1's these areas generally remained relative ability in unchanged.

pre-test results generally indicated Subject 3' s superior ability in word attack and word recognition compared to Subject 1 and 2. Additionally, Subject 3's pre-assessment results on the spelling measures than Subject 2 and lower than (WRAT, Schonell) were better Subject 1. Hence, Subject 3's pre-remediation ability might have been the reason for obtaining the best results on the "Remediation Spelling Test" compared to Subject 2 but not Subject 1. Even though Subject 3's necessarily with performance on the pre-assessments were generally better than Subject 2 and his spelling achievement appear similar to Subject 1 in both the pre and post assessments as

measured by the WRAT and Schonell his improvement in word recognition and word attack suggests the possibility that his remediation approach helped him more in these areas than Subject 1 since his scores in these areas remained generally the same. Furthermore, Subject 2 who had the direct approach also improved his performance in letter identification, word identification, word attack and word recognition which suggests that his remedial program might have also been influential in these areas.

Due to the previously mentioned uncontrolled variables these hypotheses are difficult to substantiate by comparing the pre and post results from the assessments, hence, a more qualitative anaylsis is needed. The qualitative comparison of the three teaching approaches in the next segment suggests tentative reasons for the differences found in spelling achievement between the three subjects.

Research Question 4

There were qualitative differences found between the self-instructional, direct and traditional approaches to spelling across the three subjects.

This study was based on Torgessens' (1977) conceptual framework that encourages the exploration of interactions between the subjects' knowledge of the various task parameters in studying pelling and their performance on the task. It also considered Adelmans' (1971) conceptual notion that by manipulating teaching approaches the interaction

between instruction and learner could be examined.

The assessment of spelling skills, observation of strategy employment and the probing of the subjects' strategy awareness and useage within a metacognitive framework aided the instructors' role in developing the spelling approaches used for achieving better spelling ability.

The major focus was to teach students who were provided "Direct" and "Self-instructional" approaches to use the knowledge about the spelling task and be active participants planning, regulating and monitoring of their the in spelling/ thinking activities (Babbs & Moe, 1983). The subject that was assigned the "Traditional" approach to studying spelling was not similarly included as an active his remediation program due to the participant in operational definition of this approach that characterizes as being a "receptive learning" vehicle rather then an it approach that includes the learner as an active agent in the remediation. This was done to more clearly differentiate the "Traditional" method from the "Direct" method and to more clearly assess the qualitative effects of being "actively" involved versus not being actively involved during the spelling remediation.

Due to the number of uncontrolled variables that were previously mentioned it is difficult to make a generalized statement about the effectiveness of each teaching approach along with how they compare with each other. However, two

findings are noteworthy. First, the best test results ma jor the taught subject who was achieved by the were self-instructional approach for studying familiar spelling words. Secondly, the two most favorable approaches as judged by the subjects were the "Direct" and "Self-instructional" approaches. Subject 1 reported that felt that the he "Traditional" approach was "boring" and seemed to negatively influence his motivation and interest the remediation in segment of the project. Although he made only 9 errors on the remediation spelling test, they were all similar types that occurred in his spelling errors during the of errors pre-tests. Furthermore, he was unable to improve his prediction ability with respect to spelling performance. His work habits, attitude toward spelling, perception of ability did not seem to be positively influenced by his remediation The only enthusiastic response came from the program. instructors' personal involvement with him and the provision of a tape recorder that allowed him to independently review the correct pronounciation of his spell 🎆 🐠 rds. This tape recorder was used consistently throughout his remediation program.

By comparison, Subject 2 referred to the "Direct" instruction as being "fun" and "not boring". He also perceived it as being beneficial for future study both for spelling and for other subjects as well. Contrary to Subject 1, this subject seemed to become increasingly motivated and interested in his spelling activities. He displayed more

164

۲

Ð

pride in his work, neater printing and began to set higher standards for his own performance along with showing an increasing desire to study the spelling words independently. This was exemplified by his ability to recall the steps of his proceedure spontaneously with constant requests to work on his own. He also showed more proficiency at identifying particular orthographic patterns within words and isolating trouble spots in words. Eventhough his misspellings on the final spelling test were more graphically similar to the correct spellings compared to pre-tests many of his errors correlated with the types of errors produced during the assessment segment. For instance, There was still evidence of his difficulty with vowel combinations and r-controlled words. Furthermore, he was also unable to more accurately predict the correctness or incorrectness of his spelling performance during the final spelling test. Nevertheless, the direct instruction approach seemed appreciated by this subject.

Subject 3 like Subject 2 appreciated his method of instruction and enjoyed the best success with respect to the number of words spelled correctly on the final spelling test. He also improved his prediction ability with relation to his perception of performance on each word. He became more enthused, motivated and interested with his 'selected procedure as the remediation sessions progressed. For example, he tested the effectiveness of the "procedure with higher level words, challenged himself to complete the daily

list of words in a shorter time frame, enjoyed rewarding himself, demonstrated delight in creating interesting or funny sentences with his spelling words and remarked about the confidence and comfortability he felt in using the self-instructional design. He spelled 35/36 words correctly and was able to accurately predict which one was spelled incorrectly.

Generally, the qualitative comparisons suggest that the subjects who were allowed to be actively involved in their spelling programs enjoyed the remediation more. who was not allowed to be an active participant. Comparing spelling achievement, it appears that Subject 3 was the most successful followed by Subject 1 and then Subject 2. However, attributing success to the instructional approaches the γ uncontrolled variables. because is difficult of Nevertheless, Subject 3 seemed learn more efficiently to the self-instructional procedure. Subject 2 and 3 under followed their procedures carefully more with more attention, interest, and motivation then Subject 1.

In conclusion, the results of this study support the view that children have spelling strategies however, with respect to the children in this study they are too limited, inconsistantly and inefficiently used. This study proved that students can be taught a self-instructional design that is controlled by them that will lead to the aquisition and maintenance of correctly spelled familiar words. A follow up spelling test immediately following spelling remediation
revealed that the subject who used the self-instructional design spelled more words correctly then The subjects who were assigned a direct and traditional approach and was the only one who improved his ability to predict spelling 100% accuracy. Finally, the with major performance qualitative differences found between the three teaching that the subjects who received the approaches were and direct methods appreciated their self-instructional remediation programs more then the subject who received the traditional method and that active participation in spelling remediation seemed to be important motivator an and contributer of positive perception of ability in spelling.

Discussion

The pre-testing, observational assessments, probing for verbal, data, peer-teaching, and video-taping were very useful techniques in this study. Some or all of these" techniques could aid teachers and program specialists in their construction of remediation programs for students with difficulty in spelling, The remediation programs were individually designed on the weekend days immediately following the assessments. Eventhough this required an intense effort within a short amount of time the realization that. such a task can be accomplished might be encouraging for individuals that have similar diagnostic - prescriptive duties.

In general, the individual differences exhibited by the three subjects of this study reinforce the view that individualized programs should be designed for children with spelling difficulties. Furthermore, general assessments of spelling could not have revealed the differences these subjects demonstrated with respect to spelling performance and strategy employment. The construction of the remedial programs was aided by exploring the spelling strategies used by these subjects.

Generalization of instructional effects was difficult this study because of the uncontrolled variables such as in motivation and attention and because of the inability to the teacher effects and laboratory versus classroom assess effects. Nevertheless, the results of the follow up spelling that suggests the test the remediation words on self-instructional_design was successful for Subject in 3 learning and maintaining the correct spelling of familiar words and increasing prediction ability. This is consistent with the results of the pilot study and subsequent classroom use. The attitudes of the three subjects also suggest that active participation influences spelling performance.

There was not a specific reinforcement system in effect during this study except for the self-reward requirement in the self-instructional design. However, all of the students received intermittent external reinforcements from their instructors throughout the project which was because of their participation in the summer program and also with

respect to their particular efforts during the sessions. All of the students received verbal praise, refreshments and guided tours of the university campus from their instructors.

Assessments In Relation to Spelling and Cognitive Processes

The spelling assessments on the subjects of this study revealed commonalities of spelling weakness. All of the subjects demonstrated difficulty in phonetically decoding words which is consistant with the discovery by Spache (1940) and Gates (1937) who claimed that most spelling mistakes were phonetic in nature The phonetic analysis of the subjects' spelling performance suggested that they had a phonetic base but lacked knowledge of lexical spelling in some words (Tovey, 1978). One of the primary difficulties exemplified Friths' (1979) view that many spelling errors are caused by words that do not reflect speech sounds.

All of the subjects displayed problems with sequencing ability which supports. Porpodas' (1980) contention that spelling depends on permament storage of letter identity and (1980)effective sequence; Seymours' assumption that spelling depends on storage in memory of letter identity and sequence and that poor spellers seem to lack in storage; along with Stanovichs' (1980) opinion that spelling requires more attention to individual letters. The subjects also showed difficulty with vowel diphthongs and digraphs along with consistantly substituting omitting letters and

throughout their spelling performance. This finding reinforces Hildreths' (1934) suggestion that 1/5 of spelling errors are due to vowel confusion and 1/2 are due to the insertion or omission of letters. Furthermore, most of the spelling mistakes by these subjects occurred in the middle of the words which is consistant with Jensons' (1962) error analysis.

ſ

subjects of this study exhibited a weakness in • The spelling non-phonetic words and revealed a delay in sight word recognition ability with respect to their age and grade level. This evidence relates to Marshs' (1980) suggestion that good spellers often spell unknown words by analogy to the spelling of known words and that poor spellers seem to have fewer ewords in memory storage then good spellers, therefore, analogy useage is less effective. Due to their limited storage of words it appears that they have less mastery in orthographic patterns (Gibson, 1965) and are less visual experiences that aids in visual dependent. on comparisons and recall of visual representations of words successful spelling (Hendrickson, 1967) leads to which Additionally, their limited word storage could be seen as influencing their ability to use abstraction from general patterns to aid their spelling of both phonetically and non-phonetically based words (Marsh, 1980).

All of the subjects verbalized and/or demonstrated spelling strategies that characterized varying amounts of spelling metaknowledge. They comprehended some of the skills

170

a spelling task, demonstrated necessary for accomplishing strategies relevant to the task parameters, identified difficult dimensions in the successful performance of the displayed the understanding that correct task and pronounciation and attention to the task was important for spelling. They were all able to select some successful and strategies appropriate for the demands of skills hence, they all possessed spelling knowledge and spelling, metacognition germane to spelling performance along with showing attempts at controlling their spelling processes. However, in support of Torgesens' (1977) view that learning disabled children develop a passive or uninvolved style to learning, these subjects reported that they spend very little time studying spelling words independent of teacher demands. Torgessen also suggests that many learning disabled children fail in academic tasks due to inefficient problem solving strategies and that they are not stimulated to develop strategic learning behavior to the same extent as normal children. After analyzing the startegy employment of • the subjects of this study from verbal data and behavioral observation the results suggest that they used inconsistant inefficient spelling strategies which was influencing and their spelling performance. However, the subjects who and the "CBM received the "Direct" Self-Instructional" approaches to spelling became actively involved in the learning process and enjoyed their sessions. The subject who received the "Traditional" approach to spelling and was not

٩,

stimulated in becoming actively involved with his spelling remediation program became less enthused about his sessions. This finding supports Halls' (1978) opinion that learning disabled children can be taught appropriate problem solving strategies and that by encouraging active participation an interest in spelling can become revitalized (Monson, 1975) and lead to more personal involvement and successful performance.

Assessments in Relation to Metacognition

section the strategies used by each previous In a subject were individually outlined. For the purposes of comparison the next section will delineate the similarities and differences between all the subjects' metaknowledge) and Studying spelling words, remembering strategy employment. of letters, comprehending letter-sound sequences correspondences, recognizing words that do not contain regular orthographic patterns and co-ordinating motor skills with cognitive processes involves deliberate plans and skills (strategies). The learner must "po-ordinate a variety and his available regarding the task of information strategies and apply it appropriately to the problem at hand" (Myers and Paris, 1978, p. 680). General knowledge about the task (metaknowledge) that guides the selection utilization of task relevant skills has been referred (Flavell, 1977). Metacognitive know ledge metacognition co-ordinates and directs the learners' thinking and behavior

1978). F.lavell Paris. and Wellman (1977)(Myers and identified person, task and strategy variables as three important categories of metacognitive knowledge that might aid memory. Children must first be able to realize their own ability and potential related to the task demand. Children must know the purpose and requirements of the task and need aware of the relevant strategies (skills) and their to be "The basic skills include predicting application. the consequences of an action or event, checking the results of (did it work?), monitoring ones' own ones' own actions (how am I doing?), and reality testing (does this activity make sense?) (Brown and De Loache, 1978, p. 14-15).

In reference to the above conditions the children in this study had intelligence within the average range but significantly below average in their spelling ability. were Furthermore, they all indicated low perception of ability in spelling the academic self on concept measure (SPAS), however, they all demonstrated a willingness to improve their spelling performance (for example, they all reported to their instructors that they appreciated being of the program). Additionally, eventhough their part performance on the assessments indicated ability deficits their intelligence scores and demonstrated efforts intimated the potential and capacity for improving their spelling performance.

The studys' investigation on the strategy employment of these students revealed a general knowledge base

173.

(metaknowledge) with respect to the purpose of spelling (ie. "It is important for success in school") along with showing that these students were aware of some of the requirements and skills (strategies) needed for spelling proficiency.

They expressed an awareness with respect to their limitations (ie. by reporting the need to be alone when studying to avoid distractions and by stating their need for teacher supervision in order to stay on task and complete their spelling assignments) but did not report factors such as personal incentive or motivation as a way to overcome these barriers. They were aware of the facilitative effects of such things as word familiarity, recursive operations letters or words over (repeating again to form mental images) and memorization along with being aware of the difficulty posed by such things as irregular orthographic length and their phonetic patterns, word limitations. Furthermore, they were conscious of the utility of spelling (ie. "important for future employment"), that it made sense study words that caused them difficulty and that to understanding the meaning of words was important for writing "I only study words I don't know", "Writing stories in (iė. school is difficult for me so being a better speller could help me").

Even though the students indicated a general spelling metaknowledge and an awareness of some of the skills necessary in spelling it was observed that they were not aware some other important task relevant strategies nor

applied their own consistantly and/or effectively. None of the subjects checked the results of their actions while spelling, monitored their activities or showed an ability to accurately predict their spelling performance. Hence. according to Brown and De Loache (1978) these children lacked very important task and strategy variables of metacognitive knowledge that might have effected their spelling achievement. They appeared to be aware of mnemonic skills imagery and rehearsal) and goals (ie. (ie. memorization of letter sequences) which supports Flavell and Welmans' (1977) view that children between the ages of 6 and 12-acquire mnemonics for various task demands, however. their deployment appeared to be inconsistant and generalized rather than regular and task specific. For example, all of the subjects reported to their instructors that rehearsal of letters aided their recall and that they relied on phonetics when studying difficult words, however, the observations revealed that they did not usually rehearse letters or consistantly use a phonetic approach with difficult words assigned to them.

In summary, the students appeared to induce and abstract metacognitive strategies from a general repertoire of spelling knowledge representing a general plan rather than being strategy specific in accordance with the particular situational features. For example, rehearsing letters of words that have irregular orthographic patterns such as "laugh" and phonetically decoding others such as

"interesting".However, the metacognitive analysis indicated that these students did possess some spelling metacognition (Knowledge and strategies). The following outline contains verbalized responses by the subjects to their instructors questions regarding their methods for studying spelling words.

Metacognition Common To All Subjects

1.

1.

Spelling involves sequencing of letters.

- Studying difficult spelling words requires a phonetic approach.
- 3. Overt-verbalizations of letters and/or words aids spelling performance.
- 4. Rehearsal of letters, letter strings and/or words aids recall.
- 5. Visualizing the word aids spelling performance.
- 6. Memorization aids spelling performance.

Metacognition Common To Subjects 1 & 2

- Overt-verbalizations of letters and/or words aids spelling-performance.
- 2. Writing spelling words aids studying
- 3. Knowing the meanings of the words aids spelling performance.
- 4. The correct sequencing of letters is important in

spelling.

- 5. Visualizing the spelling words aids spelling performance.
- 6. Studying difficult words requires a phonetic approach.
- 7. Memorization aids spelling performance.
- 8. Rehearsal of letters and/or letter strings aids spelling performance.

Metacognition Common To Subjects 1 & 3

1.

1.

Overt-verbalizations of letters and/or words aids spelling performance.

- 2. Memorization aids spelling performance.
- 3. Visualizing the words aids spelling performance.
- 4. Rehearsal of letters and/or letter strings aids spelling performance.
- 5. Medial positions in words are the most difficult to remember and spell.
- 6. Studying difficult words requires a phonetic approach.
- 7. Spelling involves sequencing of letters.

Metacognition Common To Subjects 2 & 3

- Overt-verbalizations of letters and/or words aids spelling performance.
- 2. Memorization aids spelling performance.
- 3. Visualizing the words aids spelling performance.

- 4. Rehearsal of letters and/or letter strings aids spelling performance.
- 5. Studying difficult spelling words requires a phonetic approach.
 - 6. Correct sequencing of letters aids spelling performance.

Idiosyncratic Metacognition

1.

Â,

17 5 🛋

- The studying of spelling should be based on unfamiliar words (Subject 1).
- 2. Checking your spelling is important (Subject 3).
- 3. The application of spelling rules aids spelling performance (Subject 3)
- 4. The spelling of difficult words is aided by finding analogous words (Subject 3).

Taken together, the subjects reported many spelling Skills (strategies) needed for proficient spelling which are supported by research. They stated the importance of letter identity, sequencing and memory (Glusker, 1967, Porpodas, 1980) along with the need for visual representation of words for successful spelling Hendrickson, 1967, Tovey, 1978). They reported that phonetic approaches are useful (Schwartz & Doehring, 1977), that the medial position of letters in words are, the most difficult to recall (Jensen, 1962) and that word meaning is important (Hillerich, 1977). Additionally, they stated that writing their spelling words aids recall (Rudman, 1973), that spelling requires more attention to the individual letters of the word (Stanovich, 1980) and that verbalizing the letters and/or words aids recall and spelling performance (Bradley, 1981). Furthermore, Subject 3 recommended using analogous words and category searching as a spelling strategy which supports Mandlers' (1967) view that sorting words in list categories promotes incidental recall.

1. 6

Even though these subjects reported many effective strategies they generally applied them spelling inefficiently and inconsistantly. For example, it was observed that their phonetic ability usually impeded their use of a decoding strategy because they often mispronounced the words and were therefore learning incorrectly. Subjects 1 and 2 reported that writing the words and verbalizing the letters aided their recall, however, it was observed that they did not usually use this strategy and were more apt fo write the word without rehearsing the letters or monitoring their performance. Subjects 1 and 2 reported that visualizing the words was an effective strategy, however, the observations suggested that they did not concentrate on representations due to the small amount of forming visual time allotted by them for studying each word and because they often appeared restless and off task during their ' assigned study time. Subjects 1 and 2 reported the greater difficulty they had with remembering the middle parts of words, however, the observations suggested that they did not spend more of their time studying the medial positions of words compared to the other parts of the words. Subject 3

reported that some of his spelling strategies included checking his spelling word with the correct spelling while studying, looking at each word carefully, verbalizing the word and rehearsing the individual letters of the word, however, the observations revealed that he seldom used these strategies and was more apt to skim his study list and perform his strategies irregularly.

the investigation of these subjects' In summary, spelling strategies showed that they were aware of many studying spelling words, however, skills applicable for failed to use their metacognitive knowledge in a requiated spelling effectual manner. Specifically, their and performance was deliteriously influenced by their ability along with their inability to monitor and check deficits use the tr studying performance preferred and their strategies efficiently and consistantly +

Recommendations for Teachers

This study supports Torgessens (1977) view that one of the reasons for learning disabled children's difficulties with academic tasks is their inefficient use of problem solving strategies and Halls' (1978) contention that, they can be taught effective and efficient problem solving strategies. This study also indicates that the CBM self-instructional proceedure has potential for inducing confidence and satisfaction independent of others (Bornstein & Quevillon, 1976) along with encouraging internal motivation

which research suggests (Pearl & Bryan, 1979) they lack. The results of this study supports research (Henker, et al; 1980) that recommends active participation, purposeful spelling study (Cohen, 1969) and individual rather then group instruction (Stowitscheck & Jobes, 1977). The results suggest that all three teaching approaches produced some improvement in spelling performance but that the self-instructional and direct methods dealt with individual differences more efficiently and effectively. This study reinforces Graves recommendation that spelling texts should (1976) be re-evaluated. They do not appear flexible enough to meet the demands of the individual. The direct instruction procedure would be efficient for group and individual remediation if a homogenous group of students were identified that required the same needs. The self-instructional proceedure has an advantage over the other methods in that it allows students • to work at their own pace and ability level independently. The teacher would need to adequately assess the students strengths and weaknesses along with his/her strategy employment and be prepared to modify the CBM method accordingly, however, the time spent doing this might be less then what is required for traditional spelling approaches.Furthermore, the evidence indicates that the self-instructional procedure may promote more interest and ability in spelling performance.

181

This study did not specifically attempt to teach word attack skills, phonetic decoding and encoding, improve

spelling on unfamiliar spelling words or enhance sight word recognition ability. This study explored spelling strategies used three teaching approaches for remediating the and spelling performance on familiar misspelled words. This study was conducted over four weeks and did not expect differences to be found on the post-tests that measured ability in phonetic analysis, word attack skills or sight word recognition. This study does not suggest that these. areas be overlooked. The recommendation is that teachers should investigate all areas .that influence spelling include assessment of individual performance. strategy employment and provide spelling programs that more: adequately meet the needs of students. The direct instructional approach may be the most effective method for all the areas related, to proficient spelling and covering 'the self-instructional approach may provide the student with effective and rewarding method for studying an and maintaining familiar spelling words.

Future Research

This study has indicated that spelling is one subject that children require individualized instruction and that group methods such as the Traditional" approach that was investigated are very often inappropriate. The observational analysis and verbal reports from this study suggest that studying spelling is a complex skill. The methods used in this study should be replicated with other students to

discover if there is common spelling metaknowledge and spelling strategies used by normal and learning disabled children maich would more clearly differentiate the two groups of children and allow program specialists to design spelling study programs that would require less modification by teachers for use within their classrooms. This study should be replicated within more natural enviroments with more children and teachers in order to examine manv generalization more effectively. Future research should also teacher effects. motivation, attention, control for ability, strengths, weaknesses, and metacognition in order to the effects they might have on various remediation assess approaches.

The ability of the instructors to obtain verbal data aided by the subjects expressive language skills, was willingness to co-operate and by the instructors continual patience and probing. The collecting of verbal data was aided by the suggestions of Ericsson and Simon (1980) who recommended that inquiries be made as soon as possible after probing should be minimized, examination of the the event. internal consistency of the reports should be made (which done by using the informal spelling assessments, was peer-teaching and by video-taping self study), and asking for only simple descriptions while avoiding "why" questions. The exploration of spelling strategies was aided by Meiechenbaums' suggestion that several types of verbal protocals and probing devices be used in order to uncover

similar response patterns across methods (for example. Informal Spelling Assessments, Parts 1,2 and3). This study provides evidence for the effectiveness of these recommendations which should be replicated with other students of similar age and grade level in order to support this studys' findings.

The CBM self-instructional procedure used in this study was beneficial for learning and maintaining familiar spelling words. It enhanced the learning process and seemed to make the study of spelling words more interesting and enjoyable. The initial stages of the CBM procedure did not appear to effect the amount of learning and resulted in significant spelling improvement. Follow up studies should be made in order to discover if this procedure has similar results with other students.

^{Subjects} "Direct" and involved in the The procedures seemed to be positively "Self-instructional" by the information provided them by their influenced instructors regarding their strategy employment and spelling weaknesses and strengths. Both designs involved external (teacher) and child participation which seemed to make the studying of spelling words more "personal" (Adelman, 1971) and effective. All of the program designs were concerned with minimizing failure by carefully structuring tasks and training, ascertaining the subjects' cognitive skill level language maturity and assessing the difficulty of the and tasks to make sure that the goal of each approach coincided

. 184

with the childs' ability. Future research should control for these influences and study them separately in order to assess the effects they may each or collectively have on learning and studying spelling. The "Selfminstructional" and "Direct" approaches seemed to increase self-esteem and have motivational properties as well. These approaches appeared to result in sustained goal oriented performance. However, this study did not specifically study these qualities which would be of interest in future research.

Conclusion

C

The results of this study suggest that instructional activities particularly the CBM approach may influence and facilitate self-guided behavior. Teacher modeling and practice of cognitive processes through overt student verbalizations can provide a motivating opportunity for students (Davey, 1983), This investigation has shown that they may lead to effective spelling and develop independent competence within the learner. The description of task goals and strategies to the student appears to lead to deliberate attention the task and initiated self-regulatory to participation in studying the remediation spelling words.

Remedial action addressing metacognitive insufficiencies has been suggested by some researchers (Brown & Palinscar, 1982; Wong and Jones, 1982) and in this study resulted in a more comprehensive understanding of the reasons underlying spelling difficulty. "Metacognitive

skills are thought to underlie the smooth co-ordination of various task parameters in a students' successful learning or performance. Thus, they can provide additional dimensions in our investigations into learning disabled students academic failures" (Wong, 1982, p. 25).

Ability deficits along with cognitive processes should be examined during aneassessment. Furthermore, the learning disabled students' problems should be explored through the interaction of learner characteristics, learning activities, nature of the materials to be learned and the critical tasks (Jenkins, 1979). The mature learner has at his disposal various strategies for effective study (Brown and Smiley, 1978). This study has indicated that it may be be shown how important that the learner know or to orchestrate the utilization of these strategies in an organized fashion by doing such things as checking and monitoring in order to enhance successful task performance. Training should provide both "practice in the use of task appropriate strategies along with instruction concerning the significance of those activities and instruction concerning the monitoring and control of strategy use" (Brown and Smiley, 1982, p.7). This should be done in accordance with needs of the individual student. Additionally, the the development of automatic skills such as decoding through phonetic approaches should still be employed in order to increase the spellers' repertoire of knowledge. In light of the results "of this study the self-instructional approach

(CBM) is viewed as a compliment to the acquisition of spelling skills. Its' merit may lie in the development of independent study of familiar spelling words and improved spelling performance. Various task parameters such as word attack skills should be directly taught in order to increase the learners' knowledge and skill along with developing the learners' metacognition.

Although there has not been any previous studies that have explored the strategies used by children who have spelling difficulty or have used cognitive behavior modification in the remediation of spelling performance, the approach appears to be effective and efficient for studying familiar spelling words. Eventhough it needs more applied research, the theory associated with the design appears well founded and the findings to date are promising.

This study further supports the advantages of single exploration of spelling subject research and the children. It metaknowledge and strategies with also encourages the investigation of strategy employment with groups of children including both disabled and larger non-disabled in a variety of subject areas.

Bibliography.

- Adelman, H.S. Learning problems, Part 1: An interactional view of causality. Academic Therapy, 1971, 6, 117-123.
- Abikoff,H. Cognitive training interventions in children: Review of a new 'approach. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1979, 12, 123-155.
- Aho.M. Teaching spelling to children with specific language disability. Academic Therapy , 1967, 3, 45-50.
- Allan,D.& Ager,J. A factor analytic study of the ability to spell. Educational Psychological Measurement, 1965,25,153-161.
- Allington,R.L. Sensistivity to orthographic structure as a function of grade and reading ability. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 1978, 10, 437-439.
- Allington,R.L. & Strange,M. Effects of grapheme substitutions in connected text upon reading behaviors. *Visible Language*, 1977, 11, 285-297.
- Ausubel, D.P., Novak, J.D. & Hanesian, H. *Educational Psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1978.
- Babbs, P.J. & Moe, A.J. Metacognition: A key for independent learning from text. *Reading Teacher* ,1983,36,(4),422-426.
- Baker & Leland, Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude. Babbs-Merrill Co., Inc., 1967.
- Baine, D. Instructional Design for Special Education, Englewood Cliffs, Educational Technology Publications, 1982.
- Bandura, A. & Perloff, B. Relative efficacy of self-monitored and externally imposed reinforcement systems. *Journal of Personality of Social Psychology*, 1967,7,111-116.
- Bandura, A. In Schultz, D. (Ed.), *Theories of Personality*. Brooks/Cole, 1976.
- Bandura, A. Self-efficacy. Psychological Review, 1977, 84, 191-215.
- Barclay, C.R. & Hagen, J.W. The development of mediated behavior in children: An alternative view of learning , disabilities. In Das, J.P., Mulcahy, R.F. &

188

Wall, A.E. (Eds.), *Theories and Research in Learning* Disabilities, Plenum Press, 1982.

Baron,R.W. Interactions between spelling and sound in literacy,1981. To appear in D.R. Olson,N. Torrance and A. Hildyard (Eds.), *The Nature and Consequences of Literacy*.

Č.e.

- Bauer, R. Memory processes in children with learning disabilities. Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 1977, 24, 415-430.
- Belmont, J.M. & Butterfield, E.C. What the development of short term memory is. Human Development, 1971, 14, 236-248.
- Benowitz,M. & Busse,T. Effects of material incentives on classroom learning over a four week period. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1967,68,57-68.
- Berko, J. The childs' learning of English morphology. Word, 1958, 14, 150-177.
- Black, F.W. Self-concept as related to achievement and age in learning disabled children. *Child Development*, 1974, 45, 1137-1140.
- Block, J. Bur will they ever lern to spel korectly? Educational Research, 1972, 14, 171-178.
- Boder,E. Developmental dyslexia: A diagnostic screening procedure based on three characteristic patterns of reading and spelling. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1971,4,297-342.
- Boersma, F.J. & Chapman, J.W. Students Perception of Ability Scale. Edmonton, Alberta: Psi. Can. Consulting Ltd., 1980.
- Bornstein, P.H. & Quevillan, R.P. The effects of a self-ins'tructional package on overactive pre-school boys. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 1976,9,179-186.
- Bradley, L. The organisation of motor patterns for spelling: An effective remedial strategy for backward readers. Developmental Medical Child Neurology, 1981,23,83-91.
- Bradley, L. & Bryant, P. Reading and spelling difficulties. In J.P.Das, R.F.Mulcahy, & A.Wall (Eds.), *Theory and research in learning disabilities*. New York, Plenum Press, 1982.
- Bradley, L. & Bryant, P.E. Why children sometimes write words which they do not read. In Frith, U. (Ed.), Cognitive

?

processes in spelling. London, Academic Press, 1980.

- Brown,A.L. Metacognitive development and reading. In R.J. Spiro,C.B.C. Bruce, and W.F. Brewer (Eds.), Theoretical issues in reading comprehension Perspectives from cognitive psychology, linguistics, artificial intelligence and education. Hillsdale, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum, 1980.
- Brown,A.L. Knowing when,where, and how to remember: A problem of metacognition. In R. Glaser (Ed.), Advances in instructional psychology. Hillsdale,N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associated, 1978.
 - Brown, A.L.& Barclay, C.R. The effects of training specific mnemonics on the metanemonic efficiency of retarded children. *Child Development*, 1976, 47,70-80.
 - Brown,A.L. & Campione,J.C. Memory strategiès in learning: Training children to study strategically. In H.Pick,H.Leibewitz, J. Singer, A.Steinchneider & H. Stevenson (Eds.) Application of basic research in psychology. New York,Plenum Press, in press.
 - Brown,A.L. & DeLoache,J.S.Skills,plans and self-regulation. In R.S. Siegler (Ed.) Childrens' thinking what develops? Hillsdale,N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates,1978.
 - Brown,A.L. & Palincsar,A.S. Inducing strategic Tearning from text by means of informed self-control training. In B.Y. Wong (Ed.) *Metacognition and learning disabilities:Topics in Learning and Learning Disabilities*, 1982,2,1-18.
 - Brown, A.L. & Smiley, S. The development of strategies for studying texts. *Child Development*, 1978, 49, 1076, 1088.
 - Brown,A.L. & Smiley,S. Rating the importance of structural units of prose passages: A problem of metacognitive development. Child Development, 1977,48,1-8.
 - Brown, A.L., Smiley, S., Day, J.D., Townsend, M.A.R. & Lawton, S.C. Intrusion of a thematic idea in childrens' comprehension and retention of stories. *Child Development*, 1977, 48, 1454-1466.
 - Bruner, J.S. Beyond the information given. New York, W.W. Norton and Company, 1973.

Bryan, J.H. & Pearl, R.R. Self-concepts and locus of control of learning disabled children. *Journal of Clinical Child Psychology*, 1979, 8, 223-226.

Bugantal, D.B., Whalen, C.K. & Henker, B. Causal attributions of

hyperactive children and motivational assumptions of two behavior change approaches: Evidence for interactionist position. *Child Development*, 1977,48,874-884.

- Burgio,L.D., Whitman,T.L. & Johnson,M.R. A self-instructional package for increasing attending behavior in educable mentally retarded children. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysts, 1980,13, (3), 443-459.
- Butterfield,E.C.,Wambold,C. & Belmont,J.M. On the theory and practice of improving short term memory. American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 1973,77,654-669.
- Caban, J.P., Hambleton, R.K., Coffing, D.G., "Conway, M_mT., Swaminathon, H. Mental imagery as an approach to spelling instruction. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1978, 15-21.
- Calfree,R.,Chapman,R. & Venezky,R.How a child needs to think to learn to read. In L.W. Gregg,(Ed), Cognition in , learning and memory. New York:Wiley, 1972.
- Camp, B.W., Blom, G.E., Herbert, F.& VanDoorninck, W.J. Think aloud: A program for developing self control in young aggressive boys. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 1977, 5, 157-169.
- Carbonell de Grompone. Children who spell better then they read. Academic Therapy, 1974,9,281-288.
- Cavanaugh, J. & Perlmutter, M. Metamemory: A critical examination. *Child Development*, 1982, 53, (1), 11-28.
- Chapman, J.W. Affective characteristics of learning disabled and normally achieving elementary school children: A comparative study.Doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1979.
- Chapman, R.F., Smith, J.W., and Layden, T.A. Elimination of cigarette smoking by punishment and self-management training. *Behavior Research and Therapy*, 1971, 9, 255-264.
- Chomsky,C. Reading, writing and phonology. In F.Smith,(Ed.), *Psycholinguistics and reading*. New York: Holt,Rinehart and Winston,Inc.,1973.
- Chomsky, N. & Halle, M. The sound pattern of English. New York: Harper&Row, 1968.
- Chomsky, N. Phonology and reading. In H.Levin and J.P. Williams, (Eds.), *Basic studies on reading.* New York: Basic Books, 1970.

Cohen, L.A. Evaluating structural analysis, methods used in

- spelling books. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Boston University, 1969.
- Cohen, A.R. & Zimbardo, P.G. Dissonance and the need to avoid failure. In P.G. Zimbardo, (Ed.) The cognitive control of motivation. Glenview 111: Scott, Foresman, 1969.
- Cordoni, B. Teaching the L.D. child to read through visual imagery. Academic Therapy, 1981, 327-331.
- Dansereau, D.F., Actkinson, T.R., Long, G.L. & McDonald, B. Learning strategies: A review and synthesis of the current literature. Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado, Air force Human Resources Laboratory, 1974, (AD-07722).
- Davey, B. Think aloud: Modeling the cognitive processes of reading comprehension. *Journal of Reading*, 1983, 44-47.
- Denney, D.R. Modeling effects upon conceptual style and cognitive tempo. *Child Development*, 1972, 43, 105-119.
- Diener,C. & Dweck,S. An analysis of learned helplessness: Continuous changes in performance, strategy and achievement cognitions following failure. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 1978,36,451-462.
- Dieterich, D. Diserroneosospellingitis on the fine (language) art of spelling. *Elementary English*, 1973, 49, 245-253
- Dixon,R. & Engleman,S. Morphographic Spelling. Willowdale,Ontario.Science Research Associates, 1976.
- Doehring, D.G. Aquisition of rapid reading responses. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development.
- Douglas, V.I. Are drugs enough? To test or to train the hyperactive child. International Journal of Mental Health, 1975,4,199-211.
- Douglas, V.I., Parry, P., Morton, P., & Garson, C. Assessment of a cognitive training program for hyperactive children. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 1976, 4, 389-410.
- Durrell,D.D. Letter-name values in reading and spelling. Reading Research Quarterly, 1980 1,159-163.
- Engleman, S. Direct Instruction. Englewood Cliffs:New Jersey: Educational Technology Publications, 1980.
- Engleman, S & Bruner, E. Distar Reading 1 & 23 Chicago: Science Research Associates, 1975.

Ericsson, K.A. & Simon, H.A. Verbal reports as data.

Psychological Review, 1980,87,(3).

- Finch, A.J. & Spiritò, A. Use of cognitive training to change cognitive processes. Exceptional Education Quarterly, 1980, 1, 31-38.
- Fitzgerald, H. The Teaching of Spelling. Milwaukee Bruce Publishing Co., 1951.
- Fitzsimmons, R.J. & Loomer, B.M. Spelling Research and Practice. Des Moines, Iowa: Iowa State Department of Public Instruction, 1977.
- Flavell, J.H. Cognitive Development. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice Hall Inc., 1977.
- Flavell, J.H. Metacognitive development. In J.M. Scandura and C.J. Brainerd (Eds.), *Structural/process models of complex human behavior*. The Netherlands: Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1978.
- Flavell, J.H. Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. American Psychologist, 1979,34.
- Flavell, J.H. Speculation about the nature and development of metacognition. In R.H. Kluwe and F.E. Weinert (Eds.), Metacognition, Motivation and Learning. in press.
- Flavell, J.H. Metacognitive aspects of problem solving. In L.B. Resnick (Ed.), *The nature of intelligence*. Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1976.
- Flavell, J.H.& Wellman, H.M. Metamemory. In R.V. Kail and J.H. Hagen (Ed.), Perspectives on the development of memory and cognition. Hillsdale, N.J.: Erlbaum, 1977.
- Forest, E.B. Visual imagery as an information processing strategy. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1981, 10, 584, 586.
- Forester, A.D. Learning to spell by spelling. Theory into Practice, 1980, 14, (3) 1980.
- Frask, D. How well do sixth graders proofread for spelling errors? Elementary School Journal, 1965,65,381-382.
- Frith, U. Cognitive Processes in Spelling. New York: Academic Press, 1980.
- Frith, U. Spelling difficulties. Journal of Child Psychology, 1979, 19, 279-285.

Furth, G.H. Thinking Without Language: Psychological

Implications of Deafness. The Free Press, New York, 1968.

- Gagne, E.E. Using pictures to facilitate memory. Academic Therapy, 1977, 12, (2), 479-482.
- Gagne,R.M. The Conditions of Learning. Revised Edition, New York:Holt,Rinehart & Winston, 1970.
- Gagne, R. Problem solving # In A. Melton (Ed.) Categories of human learnings. New York: Academic Press, 1964.(
- Gagne,R. & Briggs,L. Principles of Instructional Design. New York, Holt,Rimehart & Winston, 1974.
- Gates, A.I. A List of Spelling Difficulties in 3876 Words. N.Y., Teachers College Press, Columbia University, 1937.
- Gever, B.E. Failure and learning disability. The Reading Teacher, 1970, 23, 311-317.
- Gibson, E.J. Learning to read. Science, 1965, 148, 1066-1072.
- Glushko, R.J. The organization and activation of orthographic knowledge in reading aloud. Journal of Experimental Psychology:Human Perception and Performance, 1979, 5, 674-691.
- Glusker, P. An integrational approach to spelling. Academic Therapy, 1967, 3, 51-61.
- Gould, S.M. Spelling isn't reading backwards. Journal of Reading, 1976, 20, 220-225.
- Graham, S. & Miller. Spelling research and practice: A unified approach. Focus on Exceptional Children, 1979.
- Graves, D. Research update: Spelling texts and structural analysis methods. Language Arts, 1976, 54, 86-90.
- Grimm, J., Bijou, S., & Parsons, J. A problem solving model for teaching remedia⊾ arithmetic to handicapped young children. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 1978,7,26¢39.
- Guralnick,M:J. The application of single subject research designs to the field of learning disabilities. *Journal* of Learning Disabilities. 1978,11,(7),415-421.
- Guralnick, M.J. Solving complex perceptual discrimination problems: Techniques for the development of problem solving strategies. American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 1976, 8, (1), 18-25.

Guthrie, J.T. Metacognition: Up from flexibility. The Reading

Teacher, 1982,510-512.

- Guthrie, J.T. Reading comprehension and syntactic responses in good and poor readers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1973,65,294-299.
- Guthrie, J.T. & Siefert, M. Letter sound complexity in learning to identify words. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1977,69,686-696.
- Guttentag,R.E. The role of word shapes as a recognition cue in childrens' automatic word processing. *Child Development*, 1981,52,363-366.
- Hagen, J.W. Strategies for remembering. In S. Farnham-Diggory, (Ed.), Information processing in children. New York: Academic Press, 1972.
- Hagen, J.W. The effect of distraction on selective attention. *Child Development*, 1967, 38, 685-694.
- Hagen, J.W. & Hale, G.W. The development of attention in children. In S.D.Pick (Ed.), Minnesota symposia on child psychology, (Vol.7). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1973.
- Hall,C.S. & Lindzey,G. *Theories of Personality*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1970.
- Hall, R.J. Information processing and cognitive training in learning disabled children: An executive level meeting. *Exceptional Education Quarterly*, 1980, 1, 9-15.
- Hall,R.J. Cognitive behavior modification and information processing skills of exceptional children. Aspen Systems Corporation, 1980.
- Hallahan, D.P., Kaughman, J.M., & Ball, D.W. Selective attention and cognitive tempo of low achieving and high achieving six grade males. Perceptual Motor Skills, 1973, 36, 579-583.
- Hallahan, D. P., Lloyd, J., Kosiewicz, M. M., Kąughman, J. M. &, Graves, A.W. Self-monitoring of attention as a treatment for a learning disabled boys' off-task behavior. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 1979, 2, 24-32.
- Hallahan, D. P., Lloyd, J., Kosiewicz, M.M., & Kneedler, R.D. A comparison and self-assessment on the effects of self-recording and self-assessment on the on-task behavior and academic productivity of a learning disabled boy. (Technical Report #13). Charlottesville: University of Virginia Learning Disabilities Research Institute, 1979.

·.,

.

- Hallahan,D.P. & Reeve,R.E. Selective attention and distractability. In B.K. Keogh (Ed.), Advances in special education (Vol. 1), Greenwich,Conn.: J.A.I. Press, in press.
- Hamlin,R.M. & Nemo,R.S. Self-actualization in choice scores of improved schizophrenics. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 1962, 18,51-54.
- Hanna, P.R., Hodges, R.E., & Hanna, J.S. Spelling: Structure and Strategies. Boston Houghton Mifflin Co., 1971.
- Harter, S. A new report scale on intrinsic versus extrinsic orientation in the classroom: Motivational and
 - informational components. Developmental Psychology, 1981, 17, (3), 300-312.
- Harter, S. Effectance motivation reconsidered toward a developmental model. *Human Development*, 1978, 21, 34-64.
- Havertape, J.F. & Kass, C.E. Examination of problem solving in learning disabled adolescents through verbalized self-instructions. Learning Disability Quarterly, 1978, 1, 94-99.
- Hendrickson, O.D. Spelling a visual skill. Academic Therapy Quarterly, 1967, 3, 39-42.
- Henker, B., Whalen, C.K., & Hinshaw, S.P. The attributional contexts of cognitive intervention strategies. *Exceptional Education Quarterly*, 1980, 1, 17-30.
- Herbert, R. Influence of distributed practice and daily testing on weekly spelling tests. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1974,68,73-77.
- Hildreth, G. Reversals in reading and writing. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1934, 25, 1-20.
- Hillerich, R.L. Lets' teach spelling--not phonetic misspelling. Language Arts, 54,301-307.
- Hogaboam, T.W. & Perfetti, C.A. Reading skill and the role of verbal experience in decoding. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1978, 70, 717-729.
- Holmes, D.L. & Pepper, R.J. An evaluation of the use of spelling error analysis in the diagnosis of reading disabilities. *Child Development*, 1977, 48, 1708-1711.
- Horn, E. Spelling. *Encyclopedia of Educational Research*, New York: Macmillan Co., 1960.

- Horn,E. Phonics and spelling. *Journal of Education*, 1954,136,233-235.
- Horn, T.D. Spelling. In R.L. Ebels (Ed.) Encyclopedia of educational research, (4th.edition). New York: Macmillan, Co., 1969.
- Horn, T.D. & Otto, H. Spelling Instruction: A Curriculum Wide Approach. Austin: University of Texas, 1954.
- Hresko,W.P. & Reid,D.K. Five faces of cognition: Theoretical influences on approaches to learning disabilities. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 1981, 238-243.
- Jastak & Jastak, Wide Range Achievement Test, (WRAT), Guidance Associates of Deleware, Inc., 1978.
- Jensen, A.R. Spelling errors and serial position effect. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1962, 53, 105-109.
- Jenkins, J.J. Four points to remember: A tetrahedral model and memory experiments. In L.S. Cermak & F.I.M. Craik (Eds.) Levels and processing in human memory. Hillsdale, N.J., Erlbaum, 1979.
- Kaughman, J.M. & Hallahan, D.P. Learning disability and hyperactivity (with comments of minimal brain dysfunction). In B.B. Lahey & A.E. Kazdin (Eds.), Advances in clinical child psychology (Vol.2). New York: Plenum Press, 1979.
- Kaughman, J., Hallahan, D., Kaas, K., Braeme, T. & Horen, R. Imitating childrens' errors to improve spelling performance. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1978, 11, 33-38.
- Kendall, P.C.& Finch, A.J. A cognitive behavioral treatment for impulsivity. A group.comparison study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1978, 46, 110-118.
- Kennedy, B.A. & Miller, D.J. Persistent *use of verbal rehearsal as a function of information about its' value. *Child Development*, 1976, 47, 566-569.
- Keogh, B.K. Research in learning disabilities: A view of status and need. In J.P.Das, R.F.Mulcahy & A.E. Wall (Eds.), Theory and research in learning disabilities. Plenum Press, 1982.
- Keogh, B.K. & Barkett, C.J. An educational analysis of hyperactive childrens' achievement problems. In C. Walen

& B. Henker (Eds.), *Hyperactive children: The social ecology of identification and treatment*. New York: Academic Press, 1979.

- Keogh, B.K. & Glover, A.T. The generality and durability of cognitive training. Exceptional Education Quarterly, 1980, 1,75-82.
- Kirk,S.A. Educating Exceptional Children. Houghton and Mifflin Co., 1972.
- Kneedler, R.D. The use of cognitive training to change social behavior. Aspen Systems Corp., 1980,53-63.
- Kosiewicz, M.M., Hallahan, D.P., Lloyd, J.& Graves, A.W. The effects of self-instruction procedures on hand writing performance. Technical Report No. 5, July, 1979. University of Virginia Learning Disabilities Research Institute.
- Kramer, J. J. Recent advances in mnemonic strategy training with mentally retarded persons: Implications for educational practice. American Journal of Mental Deficiency, 1980, 85, (3), 306-314.
- Kratochwell, T.R. Single study research strategies for Evaluating Change. New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- Ledwidge, B. Cognitive behavior modification. A step in the wrong direction? *Psychological Bulletin*, 1978, 85, 353-375.
- Leong,K.C. Promising areas of research into learning disabilities with emphasis on reading disabilities. In J.P. Das, R.F. Mulcahy, and A.E. Wall (Eds.) Theory and research in learning disabilities. New York:Plenum Press, 1982.
- Levin, J.R.& Ghatala, E.S. A further comparison of imagery and vocalization strategies in childrens' discrimination learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1975, 17, 141-145.
- Liberty, C. & Ornstein, P.A. Age differences in organization and recall: The effects of training in categorization. *Journal Of Experimental Child Psychology*, 1973, 15, 169-186.

Liberman, I.Y., Shankweiler, D., Fischer, F.W., & Carter, C. Explicit syllable and phoneme segmentation in the young child. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 1974, 18,201-212.

Lloyd, J. Academic instruction and cognitive behavior

modification: The need for attack strategy training: *Aspen Systems Corporation*, 1980, 53-63.

- Lloyd, J. Academic instruction and cognitive techniques: The need for attack strategy training. *Exceptional Education Quarterly*, 1980, 1, 1-8.
- Loftus, G.R. & Loftus, E.F. Human memory: The Processing of Information. Hillsdale, N.J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1976.
- Loper, A.B. Metacognitive development: Implications for cognitive training of exceptional children. Exceptional Education Quarterly, 1980, 1, 1-8.
- Lovitt, T. Applied behavior analysis and learning disabilities. Part 2: Specific research recommendations and suggestions for practitioners. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1975, 8, 504-518.

Lovitt,T.C. & Curtiss,K.A. Academic response rate as a function of teacher and self; imposed contingencies. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 1969,2,49-53.

Luria, A.R. The role of speech in regulation of Normal and Abnormal Behavior. New York: Live right, 1961.

Mahoney, M.J. Cognition and Behavior Modification. Cambridge, Mass.: Ballinger, 1974.

Mandler. Organization and memory. In K.W. Spence (Ed.) The psychology of learning and motivation. Advances in research and theory. Vol.1., New York: Academic Press.

Mann, V.A. Liberman, I.Y. & Shankweiler, D. Childrens' memory for sentences and word strings in relationship to reading ability. *Memory and Cognition*, 1980, 8, 329-335.

Marino, J.L. What makes a good speller? Language Arts, 1980, 50, 173-177.

- Markman, E.M. Realizing that you don't understand: Elementary school childrens' awareness of inconsistencies. Child Development, 1979, 50, 643-655.
- Markman, E.M. Realizing that you don't understand: A preliminary investigation. *Child Development*, 1977, 48, 986-992.
- Markman,E.M.& Gorin,L. Childrens' ability to adjust their standards for evaluating comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1981,73,320-325.

Marsh, G., Friedman, F., Welch, V. & Desberg, P. The development of

strategies in spelling. In U.Frith.(Ed.), Cognitive processes in spelling, 1980.

- Mason, M. From print to sound in mature readers as a function of reader ability and two forms of orthographic regularity. *Memory and Cognition*, 1978,6,568-581.
- Mason, M. Reading ability and letter search time: Effects of orthographic structure defined by letter positional frequency. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1975, 104, 146-166.
- McCleiland, J.L. Preliminary letter identification in the perception of words and non words. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 1976, 2, 80-91.
- McCusker, L.X., Hallinger, M.L. & Bias, R.G. Phonological recoding and reading. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1981, 89, 217-245.

``

- McKinney, J.D. Problem-solving strategies in impulsive and reflective children. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1975,67, 807-820.
- McKinney, J.D. & Haskins, R. Cognitive training and the development of problem solving strategies. *Exceptional Education Quarterly*, 1980, 1, 41-51.
- Meichenbaum, D. Cognitive Behavior Modification. New York: Plenum Press, 1977.
 - Meichenbaum, D. Cognitive behavior modification with exceptional children. A promise yet unfulfilled. *Exceptional Education Quarterly*, 1980, 1, 83-88.
 - Meichenbaum, D A cognitive behavioral perspective on intelligence. Intelligence, 1980, 4, 271-283.
 - Meichenbaum, D. &Asarnow, J. Cognitive behavioral modification and metacognitive development: Implications for the classroom. In P.C. Kendall & S.D. Hollon (Eds.) Cognitive behavioral interventions: Theory, research and procedures, New York: Academic Press, 1979.
 - Meichenbaum, D. & Cameron, R. Training schizophrenics to talk to themselves: A means of developing attentional controls. *Behavior Therapy*, 1973,4,515-534.
 - Meichenbaum, D. & Goodman, J. Training impulsive children to talk to themselves. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 1971, 77, 115-126.

Monson, J. Is spelling spelled rut, routine or reviltalized?

Elementary English, 1975, 52, 223-224.

- Myers, M. & Paris, S. Childrens' metacognitive knowledge about reading. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1978, 4, 680-690.
- Nelson, T. Spelling in Language Arts. Nelson & Sons Ltd., Canada, 1963, 1976.
- Nisbett, R.E. & Wilson, R.D. Telling more than we know: Verbal reports on mental processes. *Psychological Review*, 1977, 84, 231-259.
- O'Leary, S. A response to cognitive training Exceptional Education Quarterly, 1980, 1, 89-94.
- O'Leary,S.G. & Dubey,D.R. Applications of self-control procedures by children:A review. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis, 1979,12,449-465.
- Paris, S.G. & Lindaur, B.K. The role of inference in childrens' comprehension and memory for sentences. *Cognitive Psychology*, 1976, 8, 217-227.
- Pearl,R.R. & Bryan,J.H. Self-concepts and locus of control of learning disabled children. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 1979,223-226.
- Perfetti,C.A. & Hogaboam,T. The relationship between single word decoding and reading comprehension skill. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1975,67,461-469.
- Personkee, C. & Yee, A.H. A model for the analysis of spelling behavior. *Elementary English*, 1966, 43, 278-284.
- Personkee,C. & Yee,A.H. Comprehensive Spelling Instruction: Theory,Research and Application. Scranton: in text. Educational Publishers,1971.
- Porpodas,C.D. & Seymour,P.H. Lexical and non-lexical processing of spelling in dyslexia. In U. Frith,(Ed.), *Cognitive processes in spelling*. New York: Academic Press, 1980.
- Quorn,K.C. Characteristics of an effective spelling program. Language Arts, 1981,58,581-589.
- Robertson, D.U. & Keeley, S.M. Evaluation of a mediational training program for impulsive children by a multiple case study design. Paper presented at the annual convention of the American Psychological Association, New Orleans, 1974.

Robin, A.L., Armel, S. & O'Leary, K.D. The effects of

self-instruction on writing deficiencies. Behavior Therapy, 1975,6,178-187.

- Rohwer,W.D.Elaboration and learning in childhood and adolescence. In H.W. Reese (Ed.), *Advances in child development and behavior*. New York: Academic Press, 1973.
- Rosenbaum, M.S. & Drabman, R.S. Self-control training in the class room: A réview and critique. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis*, 1979, 12, 467-485.
- Rosendall, A. The difficult art of spelling: An historical review o orthography. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1968, 1, 192-195.
- Rotter, J.B. Some problems and misconceptions related to the construct of reinforcement. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1975, 43, 55-67.
- Rowe,E.J. & Paivio,A. Imagery and repetition instructions in verbal discrimination and incidental paired-associate learning. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 1971,10,668-672.
- Rudman, M. Informal spelling in the classroom. A more effective approach. *Reading Teacher*, 1973, 26, 602-604.
- Sabatino, D.A. Research on achievement motivation with learning disabled populations. Advances in Learning and Behavioral Disabilities, 1982, 1, 75-116.
- Saffron,E.M. & Marino,O.S.M. Reading without phonology: Evidence from aphasia. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 1972,29,515-525.
- Sattler, J.M. Assessment of Childrens Intelligence, W.B. Saunders Company, 1974.
- Sattler, J.M. Assessment of Childrens' Intelligence and Special Abilities. Allyn & Bacon, Inc. Second Edition, 1982.
- Schoephoerster, H. Research into variations of the test study plan of teaching spelling. *Elementary English*, 1962, 39, 460-462.
- Schonell,F.J. Reading and Spelling Tests: Handbook of Instructions. Oliver&Boyd, Ltd., Edinburg,Great Britain,1968.
- Schvaneveldt, R., Ackerman, B.P. & Semlear, T. The effect of semantic content on childrens' word recognition. *Child Development*, 1977, 48, 612-616.
- Schwartz, S. & Doehring, D.A. The developmental study of childrens' ability to acquire Knowledge of spelling patterns *Developmental Psychology*, 1977, 13, 419-420.
- Schwartz, R.M. Strategic processes in beginning reading. Journal of Reading Behavior, 1977,9,17-26.
- Scragg,D.G. A History of English Spelling. New York: Barnes & Noble, 1974.
- Seymour, P.H.K. & Porpodas, C.D. Lexical and non-lexical processing of spelling in developmental dyslexia. In U. Frith, (Ed.), *Cognitive processes in spelling*. London: Academic Press, 1980.
- Simon, D.R. Spelling---A task analysis. Instructional Science, 1976, 5, 277-302.
- Simon, D. & Simon, H. Alternative uses of phonemic information in spelling. *Review od Educational Research*, 1973, 43, 115-137.
- Slosson, Slosson Oral Reading Test. Slosson Educational Publications Inc., 1963.
- Smith,D.D. & Lovitt,T.C. The educational diagnosis and remediation of written bmand d reversal problems. A case study. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1973,6,356-363.
- Smith, P.T. In defence of conservatism in english orthography, Visible English, 1980, 14, 122-136.
- Smith, D.D. Teaching the Learning Disabled. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey:
- Spache,G. A critical analysis of various methods of classifying in spelling errors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 1940,31,111-134.
- Stanovich, K.E. Toward an interactive compensatory model of individual differences in the development of reading fluency. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 1980, (1), 32-68.
- Stowitscheck, C. & Jobes, N. Getting the bugs out of spelling-Or an alternative to the spelling bee. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 1977, 9, 72-76.
- Suinn,R.M. & Richardson,F. Anxiety management training: A nonspecific behavior therapy for anxiety control. Behavior Therapy, 1971,2, 498-510.
- Susskind,D.J. The idealized self-image (ISM): A new technique in confidence training. *Behavior Therapy*, 1970,1,538-541.

- Swanson,L.S. Auditory recall of conceptually phonetically similar words by normal and learning disabled children. Journal of Special Education, 1979, 13, 63-67.
- Switsky, M.N. & Haywood, H.C. Motivational orientation and the relative efficiacy of self-monitored and externally imposed reinforcement systems in children. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1967,7,111-116.
- Tarver, S.G., Hallahan, D.P., Cohen, S.B. & Kauffman, J₃M. The development of visual selective attention and verbal rehearsal in learning disabled boys. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 1977, 10, 491-500.

V. Teaching Spelling. Gage Publishing Ltd., 1979.

- Thurlow, M.L. & Greener, J.W. Preliminary evidence on information considered useful in instructional planning. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 1980.
- Torgesen, J.K. The learning disabled child as an inactive learner: Educational implications. *Topics in Learning* and Learning Disabilities, 1982, 2(1), 45-52.
- Torgesen, J.K. The role of non-specific factors in the task performance of learning disabled children: A theoretical assessment. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1977, 10, 33-41.
- Torgesen, J.K. Performance of reading disabled children on serial memory tasks: A selective review of recent research. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 1978-79,14.
- Torgesen, J.K. Conceptual and educational implications of the use of efficient task strategies by learning disabled children. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1980, 13, 19-26.
- Torgesen, J.K. & Goldman, T. Verbal rehearsal and short term memory in reading disabled children. Child Development, 1977, 48, 56-60.
- Tovey, D. Sound it out: A reasonable approach to spelling? *Reading World*, 1978, 17, 220-233.
- Ulman, L.P. On cognitions and behavior therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 1970, 1 201-204.
- Valmont,W. Spelling consciousness: A long neglected area. Elementary English, 1972,49,1219,1221.

Velluntino, R.F., Smith, H., Steger, J.A. & Kaman, M. Reading disability: Age differences and the perceptual deficit

(

hypothesis. Child Development, 1975, 46, 487-493.

- Venezky, R.L. Notes on the history of english spelling. Visible Language, 1976, 10, 351-365.
- Venezky, R.L. English orthography: Its' graphical structure and its' relation to sound. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 1967, 2, 75-105.
- Weber, K.J. Yes They Can! A Practical Guide for Teaching the Adolescent Slow Learner, Toronto: Methuen Pub., 1974.
- Wechsler, Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised (WISC-R), Psychological Corporation, 1974.
- Wepman, Auditory Discrimination Test, Language Research Associates, 1973.
- Wing, A.M. & Baddeley, A.D. Spelling errors in handwriting: A corpus and a distributional analysis. In U. Frith, (Ed.), Cognitive processes in spelling, Academic Press, 1980.
- Wissink, J.F., Kass, C.E. & Ferrell, W.R. A bayesian approach to the identification of children with learning disabilities.' Journal of Learning Disabilities, 1975, 8, 158-166.
- Wittrock,M.C. The cognitive movement instruction. Educational Psychologist, 1978,13,15-30.
 - Wong, B.Y.L. Metacognition and learning disabilities. Research Project No.82-83, Instructional Psychology Research Group, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada, 1982.
- Wong, B.Y.L. Increasing retention of main ideas through questioning strategies. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 1979, 2, 42-47.
- Wong, B.Y.L. The effects of directive cues on the organization of memory and recall in good and poor readers. *Journal of Educational Research*, 1978, 72, 32-38.
- Wong, B.Y.L. & Jones, W. Increasing metacomprehension in learning-disabled and normally-achieving students through self-questioning training. *Learning Disability Quarterly*, 1982, in press.
- Woodcock, Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, American Guidance Service, 1973.
- Yando, R.M. & Kagen, J. The effect of teacher tempo in the child. *Child Development*, 1968, 39, 27-34.

Yee, A. Is the phonetic generalization hypothesis in spelling valid? *Journal of Experimental Education*, 1969,37,82-91.

.

206

-

.

.



- 1

Test Instruments and Word Lists





.

208 Pairs Test of Decoding Skills Subtest A Initial Consonants toy-böy 🥪 12. sip-hip 23. hip-rip 1. tin-bin 13. 24. lump · 🔬 mp cut-rut 3. 14. tap-cup, tab jab 25. 'out-rut sup-cup 15. dot - lot 26. mix=six 27. hot**~d**ot 16. tap-lap bell-tell hip-dip him-dim 17. rab-map 28. sun-fun⁻³ 29. 7. 18. hill-mill fin-win 19. tell-well sell_fell° 8. 30. nub-nib 9. tapagap 20. get-net 31. nip-yip 21. 32. 10. sob-gob Rin-pin jell-yell 22. bat-pat 11. cut-nut Subtest B Final Consonants 13. * peg-pen 1. pit-pin 7. fan-fat 8. 14. 2. rug-run leg-let ram-ran 15. 3. bet-ben 9. sit-sip bi¶t-bib 16. lag'-lap 4. sap-sad 10. sod-sop 5. bat-bag 11. hip-him rip-rig 12. rag-ram 6. Subtest C Middle Short Vowels 1. fix-fox 5. pin-pen 9. him-ham 2. rut-rat dug-dog 6. lot-let 10. 3. bad-bid, 7. cap-cup 4. hop-hip 8. fin-fun Subtest D Middle Long Vowels and Vowel Digraphs ran-rain 7. bed-bead 13. shot-shone 1.

	2.	plan-plain	18	sell seal	14.	spot-spoke
	3.	dim-dime	9 .	cost-coast	15.	blot-blow
	4.	slid-slide	10.	got-goat	16.	slop slow
	5.	hat-hate	11.	fond-found	•	
	6.	scrap-scrape	e 12 .	shot-shout		
	Sub	test E Initia	al Co	onsonant Blend	ds and	d Digraphs
•	1.	ring thing	8.	burn-churn	15.	ham-swam
	2.	bud-thud	9.	bag~flag	16.	dim-swim
	3.	tip-whip	10.	stop-filop	17.	hem-stem
	4	file-while	11.	kid-slid	18.	hop-stop
	5.	hop-shop	12.	bed-sled	19.	slip-drip
	6.	tell-shell	13.	clip-skip	20.	bum-drum
	7.	till-chill	14.	fin-skin	21.	flap-trap
					22.	him-trim
	Subt	test F Final	Cons	sonant Blends	and [)igraphs
	1.	bat-back	7. ,	let-lend	13.	fill-fist
•	2.	kid-kick	8.	pop-pond	14.	lap-last
•	3⁄.	rat-rash	9.	pad-pant	15.	cask-camp
	.4.	fin-fish	10.	hill-hint	16.	rat-ramp
	5.	bad-ba‡h	11.	dull-dusk	17.	salt-sank
	6.	pill-pith	12.	map-mask	18.	cram-crank

.

ş

.

4

Spelling of Word Parts

1. st	2. sk	3. БТ
4. br	5. pr	6. c1
7. cr	8. qu	9. sh
10. ch	11. tr	12. th
13. dr	14. fr	15. f 1
16. pl	17. ph	18. sl
19. sn	20. sm	21. sp
22. wh	23. gr	24. oa
25. ea	26. ai	27. ing
28. un	29. er	30. ir
31. ar	32. ur	33. s pl
34. ou	35. le	36. ee
37. ow	38. pr	39. oy
40. ay	41.00	42. ies
43. kn	44. in	45. ed

1

٠

.

46. est

•

, 210

	Con	sonant	Blends	s, D 1ç	graphs	and	Diptho	ngs
	1.	st		2.	sk		3.	ЪÌ
	4.	br		5.	cl		6.	cr
	7.	pr		8.	qu		9.	sh
	10.	ch		11.	tr		12.	dr
	13.	fr		14.	f1		15.	рÌ
	16.	ph		17.	s l		18.	sm
	19.	sp		20.	wh		21.	gr
	22.	oa		23.	ea .		24.	ai
	25.	ing		26.	un		27.	er
n	28.	ir		29.	ar		30.	ur
	31.	spl		32.	ou		33.	le
	34.	ee		35.	OW		36.	or
	37.	оÿ		38.	ay		39.	00
	40.	ies		41.	kn		42.	in
	43.	ed		44.	est		45.	th
	46.	sn						

Consonant Blends, Digraphs and Dipthongs

٠.

•

Alphabet Writing

`}·

•

- 1. Write the small letters of the alphabet.
- 2. Write the capital letters of the alphabet.

•

Diagnostic Spelling Test

.

æ

Word	Element Tested			
1. not	Short Vowels			
2. but				
3. get				
4. sit				
5. man				
6. boat	Two Vowels Together			
7. t rain	•			
8. time	Vowel-consonant-e			
9. like				
10. found	ow-ou spelling of ou sound			
11, down				
12. soon	Long and short oo			
13. good				
14. very	Final y as short i			
15. happy				
16. kept	c and k spellings of the k sound			
17. come				
18. what	wh,th,sh,ch and ng spellings			
19. those	and ow spelling of long o			
20. show				
21. much				
22. sing				
23. will	Double final consonants			
24. do11				
25. after	er spelling			

.

26. sister

27. toy

oy spelling of oi sound

.

•

- 28. say ay spelling of long a sound
- 29. little le ending
- 30. one Non-phonetic spellings
- 31. would
- 32. pretty *

.

•.

Language Arts, Word Recognition Assessment, Stimuli

Level 3		
1. present	2. pray	3. pretty
4. dream	5. drink	6. draw
7. Friday	8. frame	9. greater
10. thing	11. think	12. drive
13. trick	14. treat	15. trap
16. cross	17. cried	18. cream
19. broke	20. print	21. drag
22. nothing	23. good-bye	24. your
25. orange	26. p age	27. large
28. start	29. dark	30. garden
31. Mr.	32. Mrs.	33. Miss
34. St.	35. Ms.	36. crop
37. leave	38. beaver	39. Easter
40. eaten	41. read	42. thread
. 43. jeans	44. alone	45. across
46. along	47. ago	48. alike
49. a bout	50. Thursday	51. Saturday
52. family	53. early	54. buy
55. fly	56. sky	57. yesterday
58. yourself	59. yet	60. lady
61. only	62. merry	63. sorry
64. won't	65. don' t	66. can' t
67. isn't	68. haven' t	69. doesn' t
70. didn't	71. tonight	72. shou1dn't
73. wouldn't	74. what's	75. it's

.

216.

76. bright 77. might 78. fight 79. high 80. around 81. scout 82. mouse 83. ground 84. flower 85. downstairs 86. knew 87. eight 88. hundred 89. before 90. behind 92. often 91. air 93. own 94. window 96. low 95. show 97. noon 98. poor 99. wood 100 wooden 101 ruler 102 broken 103 shout 104 golden 105 Wednesday

106 tomorrow

Level 4

• .

.

.

•

Leve	1 4				
1.	farmer	2.	turkey	3.	rake
4	rich	5.	barn	6.	grain
7.	grand	8.	sheep	9.	field
10.	fork	11.	worm	12.	calf
<u>1</u> 3.	better	14.	cattle	15.	mamma l
16.	wheat	17.	July	18.	sound
·19.	peach	20.	apples	21.	berry
22.	pick	23.	bunch	. 24.	September
25.	baskets 🌔	26.	boxes	27.	worked
28.	pear	29.	shake	30.	ripen
8 1.	movie	32.	rather	33.	gather
34.	earn	35.	wild	36.	fail
37.	chase	38.	hurry	39.	happen
40.	middle	41.	invite	42.	war
43.	marched	44.	law	45.	watched
46.	stamp	47.	ranch	48.	gallop
49.	shiny	50.	glow	51.	master
52.	'together	53.	neat	54.	heat
55.	speak	56.	lead	57.	colt
58.	goose	59.	climbed	60.	called
61.	asked	62.	dressed	63.	tiny
64.	reach	65.	lamb	66.	crow
67.	cabin	68.	tent	69.	camping (
70.	blaze	71.	between	72.	twelve
73.	twenty	74.	twice	75.	hike

.

.

-

)				
	76.	float	77.	brave	78.	blade
	79.	coach	80.	goalie	81.	cave
-	82.	save	83.	aren' t	84.	knowing
	85.	laugh	86.	led	87.	form
	88.	follow	, 89. 1	witch	90.	failed
	91.	darker	y92.	drum	93.	gnaw
	94.	ordered	95.	canary	96.	I′ ∨e
	97.	ange 1	98.	colour	99.	fair
	100.	pair '	101.	ticket	102.	watching
	103.	silver	104.	sixty	105.	belong
e v	106.	spoke	107.	test	108.	branch
	109.	runway	110.	wings	111.	airport
	112.	daytime	113.	helicopter	114.	tank
	115.	geese	116.	feet	117.	desires
	118.	alarm	119.	monkey	120.	turkeys
	121.	donkeys	122.	wolf	123.	brush
	124.	bunches	125.	foxes	126.	glasses
	127.	peaches	128.	mice	129.	ponies
	130.	calves	131.	forest	132.	fir
	133.	poplar	134.	evergreen	135.	shape
•	136.	spruce	137.	pine	138.	pile
	139.	shady	140.	maple	141.	leaves
	142.	elm	143.	trunk	144.	path
	145.	birch ,	146.	hardwood		

.

^

218

.

£³

•

.

.

•

,

. '

ŧ,

Leve	usual	2.	scene	3.	whistle
4.	chose	5.	picnic	6.	began
. 7.	freeze	8.	blanket	9.	holiday
				1	-
10.	lively	11.	guilt	12.	quiet
13.	quarter	14.	equal	15.	less
16.	guessed	17.	offer	18.	welcome
19.	limb	20.	apart	21.	level
22.	promise	23.	trim	24.	worth
25.	fifth	26.	market	27.	none
28.	finger	29.	other	30.	knock .
31.	knelt	32.	steer	33.	signal
34.	minute	35.	final	36.	untie
37.	idea	38.	canoe	39.	feather
. 40.	iron	41.	mild	42.	rifle
43.	hunt	44.	member	45.	capture
46.	surprise	47.	manner	48.	stir
49.	tribe	50.	everybody	51.	b lanje
52.	throne	53.	rise	54.	tired
55.	refuse	56.	silent	57.	idle
58.	breaking	59.	captive	60.	answer
61.	weigh	62.	below	63.	ease
64.	prey	65.	decay	66.	claim
67.	aid	68.	lonesome	69.	average
70.	language	71.	answered	72.	listen
73.	correct	74.	addition	75.	cliff
76.	recess	77.	perfect	78.	cha lk

•

79. check	80. pupil	81. student
82. mistake	83. divide	84. problem
85. dozen	86. term	87. decimal
88. rubber	89. matter	90. leader
91. r emem ber	92 anger	93. verse
94. serve	95. mistaken	96. prison
97. slippery	98. moment	99. following
100. perhaps	101. drew	102. threw
103. shown	104. bent	105. birth
106. history	107. western	108. discover 🔍
109. explore	110. return	111. stormy
112. trying	113. settle	114. longer
115. growth	116. bowl	117. lower
118. narrow	119. arrow	120. powder
121. drown	122. crowd	123. borrow
124. able	125. however	126. allow
127. act	128. outdoors	129. ditch
130. patch	131. scratch	132. stretch
133. hatch	134. pitcher	135. butcher
136. future	137. grasshoppe	r138. post office
139. gentleman	140. question	141. twenty-five
142. strawberry	143. million	144. shipping
145. prepare	146. hire	147. cure
148. joke	149. damage	150. harvest
151. noise	152. kilogram	153. thresh
154. tractor	155. power	156. unite
157. uniform	158. clover	159. due

.

,

.

Familiar Misspelled Words Used for Remediation

1.	freeze	2.	holiday	3.	breaking
4.	correct	5.	knock	6.	answer
7.	mistake	8.	problem	9.	shown
10.	explore	11.	dozen	12.	following
13.	aren't	14.	between	15.	mamma 1
16.	growth	17.	coach	18.	berry
19.	l′ ve	20.	knowing	21.	ordered
22.	branch	23.	colour	24.	helicopter
25 -	claim _	26.	perhaps	27.	lively
28.	alarm	29.	neat	30.	war
31.	cattle	32.	rifle	33.	perfect
34.	term	35.	airport	36.	return

S.	•	-

\$-+

 $\frac{1}{2}$

•

4

1.2

· \`

.

ł

Word List for Informal Diagnostic Assessment, Parts 1&2

Word List

1.	groan	2.	teach	3.	raining
4.	hunter	5.	birth	6.	hurt
7.	storm	8.	harder	9.	tube
10.	life	11.	splash	12.	ground
13.	frog	14.	jump ,	15.	bed
16.	will	17.	hat	18.	boat
19.	chain	20.	sleep	21.	speak
22.	cream	23.	shine	24.	gave
25.	drove	26.	teeth	27.	show
28.	sister	29.	morning	30.	church
31.	garden	32.	employ	33.	away
34.	little	35.	third	36.	when
37.	hole	38.	mouth	39.	brown
40.	window	41.	spoon	42.	cookies *
43.	thirty	44.	kept 🔪	45.	came
46.	saying	47.	well	48.	grasș
49.	flower	50.	know	51.	interesting
52.	would	53.	laugh	54.	eight "
55.	burned	56.	farmed	57.	helping
58.	needing	60.	putting	61.	wiping
62.	hoping	63.	candies	64.	armies
65.	fastest	66.	richest	67.	notebook
68.	sailboat	69.	sight	70.	night
71	naddle	72	fumble	*	
	 4. 7. 10. 13. 16. 19. 22. 28. 31. 34. 37. 40. 43. 46. 49. 52. 58. 62. 65. 68. 	 4. hunter 7. storm 10. life 13. frog 16. will 19. chain 22. cream 25. drove 28. sister 31. garden 34. little 37. hole 40. window 43. thirty 46. saying 49. flower 52. would 55. burned 58. needing 62. hoping 65. fastest 	4. hunter 5. 7. storm 8. 10. life 11. 13. frog 14. 16. will 17. 19. chain 20. 22. cream 23. 25. drove 26. 28. sister 29. 31. garden 32. 34. little 35. 37. hole 38. 40. window 41. 43. thirty 44. 46. saying 47. 49. flower 50. 52. would 53. 55. burned 56. 58. needing 60. 62. hoping 63. 65. fastest 66. 68. sailboat 69.	4.hunter5.birth7.storm8.harder10.life11.splash13.frog14.jump16.will17.hat19.chain20.sleep22.cream23.shine25.drove26.teeth28.sister29.morning31.garden32.employ34.little35.third37.hole38.mouth40.window41.spoon43.thirty44.kept46.saying47.47.well49.flower50.52.would53.53.haugh54.needing60.60.putting62.hoping63.63.candies65.fastest66.68.sailboat69.69.sight	4. hunter 5. birth 6. 7. storm 8. harder 9. 10. life 11. splash 12. 13. frog 14. jump 15. 16. will 17. hat 18. 19. chain 20. sleep 21. 22. cream 23. shine 24. 25. drove 26. teeth 27. 28. sister 29. morning 30. 31. garden 32. employ 33. 34. little 35. third 36. 37. hole 38. mouth 39. 40. window 41. spoon 42. 43. thirty 44. Kept 45. 46. saying 47. well 48. 49. flower 50. know 51. 52. would 53. laugh 54. 55.

ſ

223 Guideline Probes 1. you were to teach someone younger than yourself how 1 f to spell this word, how would you do it? For each word tell me what the most difficult part would 2. be for you to remember? What would be the easiest part of this word for you to 3. remember? How would you remember this word? 4. What could you do to help yourself remember this word? 5. 6. What is Spelling? Tell me some ways what spelling is important for you? 7. If I gave you some words to spell what would be the most 8. difficult to spell, the first part, the middle or the last part? Do you study by yourself or do you just do it when 9. someone like your teacher or parent asks you to do it? 10. If you were a teacher how would you teach spelling? 11. What do you need to know before you can spell a word? it help to have the correct spelling of the word 12. Does close to you in order for you to study the word? 13. Does it help to say the sounds of the word? 14. Is there anything else you do to help yourself study spelling words? 15. Would it help to write the word down when studying the word? 16. Where is the best place for studying spelling words?

- 17. Can anybody be around you when you are studying spelling words?
- 18. Is it better to study by yourself or with someone else?
- 19. Can you study spelling while watching television?
- 20. How many words are best to study at one time?
- 21. Do you know when you have spelled a word correctly or incorrectly?
- 22. What are some ways that would help you remember how to study this word?
- 23. Does it help to know the meanings of words before you try to learn how to spell the word?
- 24. What could you do if someone asked you to study a word for a spelling test and you didn't know how to `say the word?
- 25. Is spelling important? Can you tell me some of the ways spelling might be important?
- 26. What would be the first thing you would do if I asked you to learn how to spell this word for a spelling test? What would be the next thing you would do?

`

Format for Informal Diagnostic Assessment Part 3

1.groan	2.teach	3. raining
gr oa n	t ea ch	r ain ing
gro an	tea ch	rai ning
groa n	te a ch	rain ing
	•	ra in ing
4. hunter	5. birth	6. hurt
h un ter	b ir th	h ur t
hun t er	bir th	h urt
hunt er	b irth	hur t
7.storm	8. harder	9. tube
st or m	h ar d er	tu be
s tor m	h ar der	t ub e
s torm	har der	tub e
st orm	hard er	
10.1 i f e	11.splash	12.ground
li fe	sp la sh	gr ou nd
lif e	splash	gr ound
l ife	spl ash	gro u nd
	spla sh	groun d
		grou nd
13.frog	14.jump	15.b e d
fr og	ju mp	be d
fro g	jum p	b ed
frog	j ump	
16.w i]]	17.h a t	18.b o a t
wi ll	ja t	b oa t
	•	

225

-

.

w ill	h at	boa t
		bo at
19.chain	20.sleep	21.speak
ch ai n	sl eep	sp ea k
ch ain	sle ep	spea k
chai n	sl ee p	sp eak
cha in	slee p	spe ak
22.cream	23. s hine	24.g ave
cr ea m	s hine	ga ve
c re am	sh in e	ga∨ e
c rea m	sh ine	g ave
crea m	shin e	
cre am	shi ne	
25.drove	26.teeth	27.show
dr o ve	t ee th	sh ow
d rove	te e th	s how
d ro ve	t eeth	sho w
dr ove	te eth	
28.sister	29.mornin	g 30.church
sis t er	mor ning	, ch ur ch
sis ter	morn ing	chur ch
si ster	m or n ing	chu rch
sist er		
31.garden	32.етріоу	33. a w a y
g ar den	em ploy	a way
gar den	em ploy	aw ay
g ar d en	employ	awa y

Ľ

gard en

ŧ

.

;

٠

34.1 i t t 1 e	35.third	36.when
li tt le	th ir d	wh en
lit tle	thir d	w hen
litt le	th ird	whe n
lit tl e	thi rd	
37.h o 1 e	38.mouth	39.brown
ho le	m ou th	br ow n
hol e	mou th	br own
h ole	mouth	brow n
	mo uth	b rown
40.window	41.spoon	42.cookies
win dow	spoo n	cook ies
w in dow	sp oo n	c oo kies
wind ow	s poon	coo kies
	sp oon	c oo ki es
43.thirty ⁻	44.kept	45.c a m e
th ir ty	ke pt	ca me
thir ty	kep t	c ame
th irty	k ept	cam e,
t hir ty		
46.saying	47.well	48.grass
say ing	we 11	gr ass
s ay ing	w ell	g ra ss
sa y in g	wel 1	gra ss
49.flower	50.know	51.interesti
f low er	kn ow	int er es ting
		• •

227

n g

Age		
N	k now	in ter est ing
flow er	k no w	inter esting
fl ower	kno w	inter est ing
f low er	•	
52.would	53. 1 a u g h	54.eight
w ou ld	lau gh	eight
wou ld	l au gh	e igh t
w ould	l aug h	eig ht
wo uld	la ugh	eigh t
55.burned	56.fa _m rmed	57.helping
b ur n ed	far med	help ing
bur ned	far med	h el ping
burn ed	farm ed	hel ping
b ur ne d	fa rmed	he lp in g
58.n e e d i n	g59.fittin	g60.putting
n ee ding	fi tt ing	put ting
need ing	fit ting	pu tting
ne ed ing	fitt ing	putt ing
, nee ding	f it ting	p ut ting
ne ed in g	f it ting	p utt ing
61.wiping	62.hoping	63.candies
wip ing	ho ping	can di es
wi pi ing	hop ing	can dies
w ip ing	h op i ng	cand ies
	ho ping	can d ies
		c an dies
64.armies	65. f ∘astes	t66.richest

,

arm ies	fas test	rich est		
ar mi es	fast est	ri ch est		
arm i es	f as te st	ri ch es t		
a rmi es	fa st est	r ich est		
armi es				
67.sight	68.night	69.notebook		
sigh t	nig ht	n ote bojok		
s igh t	nigh t	no te b oo k		
sig ht	ni gh t	note book		
sigh t	n igh t	no te bo ok		
70.paddle	71.fumble	72. s a i 1 b o a t		
pa dd le	fumb le	s ai l bo at		
pad dle	fum ble	sa il boat		
padd le	fumble	s ail boa t		
p ad dle	fum ble	sail boat		
	fu mble			

.



.

.

•

Figures 1,2 & 3

[a

1

¢

ŧ

٩

(

Figure 1

Intelligence Tests----Abilities Testing----Educational Performance J Performance

Underlying Cognitive Processes Effecting Performance

COGNITION

Intellectual functioning of the mind characterized by remembering, comprehending, focusing, attending, and processing of information.

----METACOGNITION------

METACOGNITIVE KNOWLEDGE

Important for reflection of cognitive processes and control of cognitive processes. It is knowledge about cognition and the regulation of cognition. It demands introspection, reflection and awareness about cognitive processes. "The how of my action"

"The regulation of my action" It is reportable. It involves:

-EXECUTIVE PROCESSES

Checking Evaluating Modifying

Planning Analyzing Monitoring

It is knowledge of ones' cognitive activity. It is: Domain Specific Task Specific Conscious Reportable

AUTOMATICITY

Performance without reflection or conscious regulation as in efficient problem solvers(experts)

COGNITIVE STRATEGY

knowledge and has behavioral consequences. It is a rule, specific behavior or mech-aniam to solve a problem in a means end fashion. Method by which an individual skillfully and efficiently solves a problem It involves procedural

231

١

1

e

Figure 2

COGNITIVE BEHAVIOR MODIFICATION

5



To deal with internalized appendic To use inner speech to guid the havior. To influence thought patternal cognitions.

INFLUENCES

Belief that deficient performance is due to afailure to employ efficient task strategies.

It is a cognitive functioning analysis where an inventory of strategies are examined in relation to task parameters. ASSESSMENT

The strategy investigation looks at the quality of failure.

Attribution theory Notion of self-regulation

Self-efficacy

Ι

TRAINING

Principle Components: Modeling (means of instruction)

Active participation Self-instruction Self-reinforcement Self-assessment Self-verbalizations

Based on the belief that maladaptive performance is due to poorly organized cognitions and that self-verbalizations contribute to the cognitive style.

Aids in organizing and regulating strategies available to the learner.

RELATIONSHIP TO METACOGNITION

Exceptional children have defective metacognitive processes.

Many childrein jaye processing disorder particularly in the areas of attention, perception and language.

SARITAR

By changing cognitions behavior can be changed.

Cognitions as measured by oral reports of self-statements mediate abnormal behavior.

Figure 3

-

۱

Ŧ

PACTORS INVOLVED IN METACOGNITIVE ASSESSMENT	CONCURRENT PAST TULINK VERBAL REPORTS SELF-REPORTS PERFORMANCE ALOUDS VERBAL REPORTS	Ask questions as Information May inter- May be access to soon as possible. may be fere with content and not rationalization activity. process. Minimize probing. of cognitive May be Lack of reporting Ask for verbal processes. May be Lack of reporting descriptions. Intellect- may be due to unlization automaticity. rather than be aware of example of superficial processing and processing and	ERGING	ADAGURES AND A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	PERPORMANCE ANALYSIS ANALYZE INTERNAL CONSISTENCY	USE TASKS WHERE THE COGNITIVE PROCESSES COME INTO PLAY		
FACTORS INVOLVED IN	INTERVIEW BEHAVIORAL CC	This is like Quantitative analysis Ask questions dealoguing. Looks for: soon as possib The aim is to draw monitoring Minimize probi out childs' thoughts checking Minimize probi by using informal attention to task , etc. Ask for verbal and formal questions. Should tap a variety of variables	VARIABLES	<u>TASK</u> ttion <u>Understanding</u> ment of skill and Lse purpose.	Memory Verbal ability such things as: categorization study time effects	STRATECY MATERIALS Things that might Examine content help the child validity.	External aids CRITERIAL TASKS Do they tap Processes?	

-