# Acquisition of Fricative Contrasts in Children Enrolled in a Mandarin-English **Bilingual Education Program**

### Introduction

- Mandarin is increasingly prevalent in Canada (Statistics Canada, 2011).
- Second language proficiency plays a role in academic and career success (Bayliss, 2004; Whiteside, Gooch, & Norbury, 2017).
- Research into the nature of sequential bilingualism is lacking. While some research suggests that bilingual children acquire languages with similar developmental trajectories to monolingual children (Grunwell, 1982; Major, 2001), others have argued that properties of the first language (L1) are transferred to second language (L2) (Anderson, 2004; Yang & Fox, 2017).
- This study is part of a larger, ongoing project that aims to develop a profile of children learning Standard Mandarin as L2 in the Edmonton Public Schools Chinese (Mandarin) Bilingual Program.
- Findings from this study will help to elucidate fricative development in English and Mandarin. English demonstrates a 2-way fricative contrast (alveolar, post-alveolar), whereas Mandarin possesses a 3-way contrast (alveolar, palatal, retroflex).
- Our findings will help explain cross-language interactions during second-language acquisition, such as the mis-categorization of non-native phonemes during L2 acquisition (Iverson et. al., 2003).
- Data collected may be used to improve instructional methods in bilingual programs, allowing for better educational outcomes.

## Methods

### **Participants:**

• 16 English-speaking children (7F, 9M) learning Mandarin sequentially



### Word Elicitation Task:

 Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation 3 (Goldman & Fristoe, 2015) and a Mandarin equivalent (adapted from Zhao & Bernhardt, 2012)

Englich	ls/	/ <b>ʃ</b> /	Mandarin	ls/	lal	lşl
English Word Elicitation Task	soap	shoe	Word Elicitation	three	watermelon	mountain
	[soʊp]	[ʃu]		[san <sup>55</sup> ]	[si <sup>55</sup> kwa <sup>55</sup> ]	[san <sup>55</sup> ]
	seven	shovel	Task	sweep the floor	panda	hand
	[sɛvŋ]	[[ʌv+]	IdSK	[saʊ²¹ti <sup>51</sup> ]	[ɕjuŋ <sup>35</sup> maʊ <sup>55</sup> ]	<b>[</b> \$0ປ <sup>214</sup> ]

### Analysis:

- Phonetic transcription: Phon, native speakers of Mandarin (2) and English (4)
- Acoustic analysis: Praat, for Center of Gravity (Hz) and Peak Frequency (Hz)
- Perceptual analysis: Judged as incorrect or correct productions by native speakers of Mandarin (2) and English (4)
- Interrater reliability for English was 97.70% (blind) and Mandarin 98.46% (side-by-side)

Bridgette da Silva, Lauren Kascak, Marisa Lelekach, Jessica Zhang Supervisor: Karen Pollock, Junior Mentor: Youran Lin

### **Research Question**

## Do children learning Mandarin sequentially in a Mandarin-English Bilingual Program develop a 3-way fricative contrast in Mandarin (i.e., /s/, /s/, /s/)?

### Results



Significant difference in peak frequency (Hz) in /s/ (M = 5900.3, SD = 1077.4) and peak frequency (Hz) in /ʃ/ (M = 3282.2, SD = 599.7); t(15) = 11.4, p = 0.000 Significant difference in center of gravity (Hz) in /s/ (M = 5209.7, SD = 1444.2) and center of gravity (Hz) in /f/ (M = 3374.3, SD = 694.4); t(14) = 4.7, p = 0.000.



Significant difference in peak frequency (Hz) in /s/ (M = 5702.7, SE = 403.1), /s/ (M = 3254.0, SE =146.5), and /c/ (M = 4197.2, SE = 205.5); F(2, 28) = 27.994, p = 0.000. Significant difference in center of gravity (Hz) in /s/ (M = 5186.9, SE = 320.8), /s/ (M = 3416.4, SE = 209.1), and /c/ (M = 4146.6, SE = 228.3); F(2, 30) = 12.084, p = 0.000.

Language	Fricative	% Correct	Perceptual Analysis
English	S	100	
English	ſ	96.9	ຸ ຣ (1)
	ß	12.5	∫ (24), ʧʰ (2), ʃ¹ (2)
Mandarin	S	62.5	ន្ន (7), s <sup>i</sup> (3), s <sup>j</sup> (1), tន្ទ <sup>h</sup> (1)
	ຍ	12.5	$\int$ (10), s <sup>j</sup> (7), ç (4), s (2), t $\widehat{f}^{h}$ (2), s (1), tc <sup>h</sup>

The majority of perceptual errors were phoneme substitutions, along with dentalizations, fronting, palatalizations, gliding, depalatalizations, & affrication.



For the English fricatives, a paired-samples t-test was conducted to compare peak frequency (Hz) and center of gravity (Hz) in /s/ and //.In English, participants produced a **2-way fricative contrast** between /s/ and //.

For the Mandarin fricatives, a one-way repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to compare peak frequency (Hz) and center of gravity (Hz) in /s/, /a/, and /s/. In Mandarin, participants produced a **3-way fricative contrast** between /s/, /ɕ/, and /ʂ/.

Perceptual error-analysis indicated that participants had the most difficulty with productions of /s/ and **|Q**|

### Discussion

- Mandarin speakers.
- but the errors made appear to reflect developmentally appropriate acquisition (Zhu and Dodd, 2000).
- expected that more time is needed to refine this contrast.
- that language transfer effects are observed in typologically similar (Jia, Strange, Collado, & Guan, 2006).
- of Mandarin did not capture these contrasts.
- A limitation of our study was that Mandarin productions were largely

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank:

- Teachers and staff of Caernarvon School & Meyonohk School
- Undergraduate interns: Xiaozhu Chen & Bingqing Yu
- Parents and student participants
- SLP student volunteers
- Fangfang Li & Yvan Rose

In memory of Principal Dave Kowalchuk

Conseil de recherches en Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada sciences humaines du Canada This research was supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

### References

doi:10.1016/j.wocn.2017.06.002

Anderson, R. T. (2004). Phonological acquisition in preschoolers learning a second language via immersion: a longitudinal study. Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics, 18(3), 183-210. doi:10.1080/0269920042000193571 Bayliss, D. (2004). The link between academic success and L2 proficiency in the context of two professional programs. Canadian Modern Language Review, 61(1), 29-53

http://phonodevelopment.sites.olt.ubc.ca/mandarin-picture-elicitation\_2012/

doi:10.3138/cmlr.61.1.29 Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (2019). Praat: doing phonetics by computer [Computer program]. Version 6.1.04, retrieved 28 September 2019 from http://www.praat.org/ Edmonton Public Schools. (2013). Chinese (Mandarin) Bilingual. Retrieved November 4, 2019, from https://epsb.ca/programs/language/chinesemandarinbilingual/. Goldman, R., & Fristoe, M. (2015). The Goldman-Fristoe test of articulation (3rd ed.) [Measurement instrument]. Toronto, ON: PsychCorp. Grunwell, P. (1982). Clinical Psychology. London, England: Croom Helm.

Iverson, P., Kuhl, P. K., Akahane-Yamada, R., Diesch, E., Tohkura, Y., Kettermann, A., & Siebert, C. (2003). A perceptual interference account of acquisition difficulties for non-native phonemes. Cognition, 87(1), B47-B57. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-0277(02)00198-1 Jia, G., Strange, W., Collado, J., & Guan, Q. (2006) Perception and production of English vowels by Mandarin speakers: Age-related differences vary with amount of L2 exposure. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 119(2), 1118-1130. Lin, C.Y. (2011). Acoustic realizations of consonants, vowels and tones in Mandarin by 4-year-old Shanghainese children. Major, R. (2001). Foreign Accent: The Ontogeny and Phylogeny of Second Language Phonology. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Statistics Canada. (2011). Immigrant languages in Canada, Language, 2011 Census of Population. (Catalogue no. 98-314-X2011003). Retrieved from Statistics Canada website https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2011/as-sa/98-314-x/98-314-x2011003 2-eng.cfm Whiteside, K., Gooch, D., & Norbury, C. (2017). English language proficiency and early school attainment among children learning English as an additional language. Child Development, 88(3), 812-827. doi:10.1111/cdev.12615 Yang, J., & Fox, R. A. (2017). L1–L2 interactions of vowel systems in young bilingual Mandarin-English Children. Journal of Phonetics, 65, 60-76.



• Our participants demonstrate a distinct, 3-way fricative contrast albeit with acoustic and perceptual variations that differ from native monolingual

• Fricative productions were inaccurate with respect to acoustic measures,

• /s/, /ɕ/, and /ʂ/ are later-developing sounds in Mandarin (Lin, 2011). Given that the average onset of Mandarin exposure was 4.8 years of age, it would be

• Mandarin productions may have been affected by L1 background. Given languages, influence from L1 English to L2 Mandarin would be expected

• While the children in this study produced a 3-way contrast in Mandarin based on acoustic measures, phonetic transcriptions by native speakers

elicited through imitation, which may have facilitated productions as well.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA partment of Communication Sciences and Disorder

Janada

Zhao, J., & Bernhardt, B.M. (2012). Mandarin Single-Word Elicitation Tool for Phonology. Retrieved from University of British Columbia website

Zhu, H., & Dodd, B. (2000). The phonological acquisition of Putonghua (Modern Standard Chinese). Journal of Child Language, 27(1), 3-42.