

1 **AGING OF AMORPHOUS RASPBERRY POWDER: ENTHALPY**
2 **RELAXATION AND FRAGILITY**

3
4
5
6 **Roopesh M. Syamaladevi¹, Shyam S. Sablani^{1*} and Barry G. Swanson²**

7
8 *¹Biological Systems Engineering Department, Washington State University, P.O Box*
9 *646120, Pullman WA 99164-6120, USA*

10
11 *²School of Food Science, Washington State University,*
12 *P.O Box 6463760, Pullman WA 99164-6376, USA*

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 ***Corresponding author**

22 **(Email: ssablani@wsu.edu; Tel: +509 335 7745; Fax: +509 335 2722)**

23

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 Enthalpy relaxation experiments were conducted in freeze-dried raspberry powder at 5,
26 10 and 15°C below its onset glass transition temperature (T_{gi}) using differential scanning
27 calorimetry (DSC). No statistical significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in glass transition
28 temperatures were observed for the raspberry powders aged at ($T_{gi} - 5$), ($T_{gi} - 10$), and (T_{gi}
29 - 15) from 6 to 96 h. The non-exponential behavior of enthalpy relaxation in raspberry
30 powder was fitted with the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) model. The mean
31 relaxation time constant (τ) (1017.4 h) for raspberry powder aged at the temperature ($T_{gi} -$
32 15) was greater than the τ (147.1 h) at ($T_{gi} - 5$). The KWW model parameter β values for
33 freeze-dried raspberry powders were greater than the β values of pure food constituents.
34 The activation energy for enthalpy relaxation in the glassy state was determined as 145.4
35 kJ/mol by approximating with the Arrhenius equation. The dependence of mean
36 relaxation time on temperature followed an Arrhenius behavior indicating raspberry
37 powder is a strong system. Several approaches were used to classify raspberry powder
38 into strong/fragile system. There is a difference among fragility parameters of raspberry
39 powders determined using selected methods.

40
41 *Keywords:* Arrhenius equation, differential scanning calorimetry, glass transitions, KWW
42 equation, VTF equation

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 Constituents of many processed foods such as milk powder, instant coffee, infant
49 formula, biscuits and cookies, extruded cereals, pasta, beverage mixes and culinary food
50 powders exist in an amorphous state. Amorphous systems are characterized by short-
51 range molecular arrangement but lack long-range order, while crystalline systems exhibit
52 three dimensional long range order (Yu, 2001). Amorphous glassy materials are
53 thermodynamically non-equilibrium systems, which tend to reach equilibrium super
54 cooled liquid state over extended periods of time when aged below their glass transition
55 temperatures (Gupta et al., 2004; Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001a & b). This change
56 in the thermodynamic properties of the glassy materials towards equilibrium is described
57 as physical aging or enthalpy relaxation (Struik, 1978). The macroscopic properties of
58 glassy materials, such as the volume, enthalpy, refractive index, electrical conductivity
59 and viscosity, change as materials approach the equilibrium state over a long time (Yu,
60 2001; Hilden and Morris, 2004). The changes in macroscopic properties of low moisture
61 amorphous foods during storage may adversely affect their nutritional and sensory
62 qualities (Farahnaky et al., 2008). Some amount of energy is lost/relaxed in the form of
63 enthalpy during the nonequilibrium glassy to equilibrium super cooled liquid transition in
64 a glassy system (Gupta et al., 2004). This lost energy is recovered during the reheating of
65 the glassy system using a DSC and the enthalpy recovered is a measure of molecular
66 mobility of the system at the selected temperature (Gupta et al., 2004). A large number of
67 studies are reported relating physical aging or enthalpy relaxation of synthetic polymer
68 and pharmaceutical materials. However, the enthalpy relaxation studies in foods are

69 limited to pure components (Le Meste et al., 1996; Lammert et al., 1999; Wungtanagorn
70 and Schmidt, 2001a & b; Noel, et al., 2005; Haque et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007).

71 Enthalpy relaxations in foods may vary depending on the thermal history, cooling rate
72 used to reach the amorphous glassy state, heating rate used after aging, water content,
73 aging temperature and the type of food constituents. Enthalpy relaxation in amorphous
74 foods increases due to increase in three main factors i.e. water/additives, temperature and
75 time (Yu, 2001; Liu et al., 2002). Most foods consist of formulated components which
76 may exhibit selected enthalpy relaxation characteristics and the total enthalpy relaxations
77 may result from the cumulative effect of various components (Liu et al., 2006). The
78 molecular interactions among various food components may also contribute to the total
79 enthalpy relaxation of the food during physical aging.

80 Amorphous state of foods has high molecular mobility and free energy, and hence
81 they are kinetically and thermodynamically unstable. Many physicochemical reactions
82 continue to occur in the glassy state of foods i.e. below their glass transition temperature
83 (Karmas et al., 1992; Lievonen et al., 1998). Diffusion of small molecules in glassy
84 matrices can be rapid enough to start various diffusion-limited reactions (Hill et al.,
85 2005). This has practical implications since many of the amorphous food matrices are in
86 their glassy state during processing and storage. Enthalpy relaxation can be used to assess
87 the molecular mobility, which is related to the rates of diffusion-limited physical and
88 chemical reactions in foods (Byrn et al., 2001; Luthra et al., 2008). Enthalpy relaxation
89 time (τ) is related with the rate (k) of undesirable physicochemical reactions in foods and
90 pharmaceuticals (Byrn et al., 2001). Zhou et al. (2008) proposed inverse relationship
91 between diffusivity/reaction rate and relaxation time. They observed partial correlation

92 between rate of crystallization and molecular mobility, explained by enthalpy relaxation.
93 Guo et al. (2000) correlated rate of a process and diffusivity using Stokes-Einstein
94 equation for translational molecular diffusivity as:

$$95 \quad \frac{k_1}{k_2} = \left(\frac{D_1}{D_2} \right)^n \quad (1)$$

96 where k_1 and k_2 are the rate constants of a process at two temperatures T_1 and T_2 ,
97 respectively, and D_1 and D_2 are the corresponding diffusivities. n is the correlation index
98 with values ranging from 0 to 1. Diffusion process is rate limiting when $n = 1$ while
99 diffusion of reactants and products doesn't control the rate of a reaction when $n = 0$.
100 Considering free volume theory explained by Doolittle (Doolittle, 1951) and Turnbull
101 equations (Cohen and Turnbull, 1959), rate of a process, diffusivity, and relaxation time
102 can be correlated as (Zhou et al., 2008):

$$103 \quad \frac{k_1}{k_2} = \left(\frac{D_1}{D_2} \right)^n = \left(\frac{T_1}{T_2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1} \right)^n \quad (2)$$

104 where τ_1 and τ_2 are the relaxation times at T_1 and T_2 respectively.

105 Bansal et al. (2008) observed a decrease in time for 10% crystallization with an
106 increase in enthalpy relaxation in amorphous valdecoxib. Gupta et al. (2004) reported
107 inverse correlation between enthalpy relaxation and solubility of celecoxib-
108 polyvinylpyrrolidone. So enthalpy relaxation is related with rate of various diffusion-
109 limited physicochemical degradation reactions occurring in food and pharmaceuticals. It
110 is essential to study the enthalpy relaxations in foods to understand the fundamental
111 requirements for safe and extended storage in the glassy state.

112 The Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW) equation is the most extensively used for
113 describing the kinetics of enthalpy relaxation in glassy materials during aging (Hancock
114 et al., 1995; Hancock and Shamblin, 2001; Liu et al., 2007). The KWW equation (3)
115 describes the non-exponential kinetic behavior of enthalpy relaxation. Long term
116 enthalpy relaxation in glassy state of foods can be predicted by KWW equation. KWW is
117 an empirical equation with two unknown parameters, mean molecular relaxation time (τ)
118 in days and constant β . The KWW equation is

$$119 \quad \phi_t = \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau}\right)^\beta \quad (3)$$

120 where $\phi(t)$ is the extent of enthalpy relaxation over time t . $\phi(t)$ is described as the amount
121 of unreleased enthalpy at the specific annealing temperature (Liu et al., 2007). It is
122 assumed that individual components in amorphous formulations have separate relaxation
123 times and the τ value obtained from KWW equation (3) gives the mean relaxation time of
124 the whole amorphous system (Yoshioka et al., 2001). The degree of deviation of the
125 relaxation from exponential behavior is accounted by the parameter β . To estimate the
126 extend of enthalpy relaxation in raspberry powder over time $\phi(t)$, the maximum possible
127 enthalpy recovery (ΔH_∞) in raspberry powder at an aging temperature is required.

$$128 \quad \phi_t = 1 - \frac{\Delta H_{relax}}{\Delta H_\infty} = \exp\left(\frac{-t}{\tau}\right)^\beta \quad (4)$$

$$129 \quad \Delta H_\infty = \Delta C_p (T_g - T_a) \quad (5)$$

130 Where ΔH_∞ and ΔH_{relax} are the maximum enthalpy recovery and the enthalpy relaxation
131 during the aging time (t), respectively. ΔC_p is a heat capacity change at the glass
132 transition temperature (T_g) and aging temperature (T_a).

133 Enthalpy relaxation in foods is strongly related to the nature of food components as
134 well as the interactions among food components. Food systems may be divided into
135 *strong* and *fragile* similar to pharmaceutical and other material systems (Angell, 1991).
136 Strong and fragile classification is determined from the changes in dynamic properties
137 and configurational structure of amorphous foods near or above their T_g . The temperature
138 dependence of mean molecular relaxation time near or above their T_g is also related to
139 the fragility of the system (Angell, 1991; Hilden and Morris, 2004; Wungtanagorn and
140 Schmidt, 2001a; Hodge, 1996; Kaushal and Bansal, 2008). Strong systems show broad
141 glass transition while fragile systems have sharp glass transition representing the rapid
142 change from glassy to rubbery state and dynamic properties during glass transition.
143 Dynamic properties and structure of fragile systems are more temperature sensitive than
144 strong systems in their rubbery state. Strong systems follow a linear Arrhenius
145 relationship of temperature dependence on mean relaxation time (Angell, 1991; Borde et
146 al., 2002). Fragile liquids exhibit nonlinear dependence of temperature on viscosity and
147 molecular mobility, and a strong departure from Arrhenius relationship (Kaushal and
148 Bansal, 2008). Deviation from linear relationships define fragile systems when $\ln \tau$ is
149 plotted against $1000/T_a$ (Hancock et al., 1995). The relaxation times of fragile liquids
150 tend to follow temperature dependence described by the Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher (VTF)
151 equation. (Hancock et al., 1995; Shamblin and Zografi, 1998). However, the Vogel-
152 Tamman-Fulcher equation (VTF equation) is applicable at temperature greater than T_g
153 and used to identify the fragility of material (Hancock et al., 1995). A modified VTF
154 model by the introduction of ‘strength parameter’ D may be used for better representation
155 of the fragility concept (Angell, 1991):

156
$$\tau = \tau_o \exp\left(\frac{DT_0}{T - T_0}\right) \quad (6)$$

157 Strong materials exhibit D values greater than 30, while fragile materials exhibit smaller
158 D values ($D < 10$). Fragility index (m) can be alternatively used to dynamic and structural
159 behavior of amorphous components in their rubbery state. Fragility index (m) is defined
160 as

161
$$m = \frac{\Delta E}{2.303RT_g} \quad (7)$$

162 where ΔE is the activation energy for molecular motions near T_g and R is the gas
163 constant.

164 Strong food systems exhibit m value between 16 and 100, while fragile systems
165 exhibit m value between 100 and 200 (Champion et al., 2000). The fragility parameter
166 (m) of a food system is dependent on the water content of the food system and may be
167 associated to change in physical and thermodynamic properties with respect to transition
168 temperature, especially during glass to liquid transitions. Fragility of amorphous
169 components can decide the physical or chemical stability with varying temperature near
170 their T_g (Hancock et al., 1998)

171 The consumption of berry products is encouraged worldwide because of their potential
172 health benefits. Red raspberry fruit is rich in flavonoids and phenolic acids that provide
173 antioxidant activity. Raspberries contain large concentrations of ellagic acid, a dimeric
174 derivative of gallic acid exhibiting anticarcinogenic and antioxidant effects. Raspberries
175 are highly perishable fruits frequently dried to extend shelf life. Dehydrated berries are
176 also desirable as ingredients in dairy and bakery products. However, dried raspberry
177 powders may be subjected to physical aging/enthalpy relaxation during storage at the

178 room temperature (~25°C) which is below their glass transition temperatures ($T_{gi} =$
179 37.8°C). Physical aging may result in unfavorable changes in functional and nutritional
180 properties of raspberry powders. So it is critical that the changes in physicochemical
181 properties during storage should be determined to better assess the functional value of the
182 processed foods.

183 The objectives of this study were to investigate the enthalpy relaxation of freeze-dried
184 raspberry powder using differential scanning calorimetry, characterize the non-
185 exponential behavior of enthalpy relaxation using Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts (KWW)
186 model, and classify raspberry powder into strong/fragile system.

187

188 MATERIALS AND METHODS

189 Sample preparation

190 Washington grown fresh red raspberries (*Rubus idaeus*) were generously supplied by
191 Milne fruit products (Milne fruit products Inc. Prosser, WA). The fresh raspberries were
192 frozen immediately to -35°C. The frozen raspberries were freeze-dried by using a
193 laboratory freeze dryer (Virtis freeze mobile 24 with Unitop 600L, VirTis SP Industries
194 Co., New York) to decrease the water content to 0.03 kg H₂O/kg raspberry powder. The
195 shelf temperature was set at 20°C with a vacuum of 20 Pa and the condenser temperature
196 of -60°C. After 48 h of freeze drying, the raspberries were ground to a fine powder using
197 mortar and pestle. Dry raspberry powder was kept in a desiccator above P₂O₅ desiccant
198 at room temperature (298 K) for two days. The moisture content of dry raspberry powder
199 was analyzed using vacuum oven method (0.005 kg H₂O/kg raspberry powder).

200

201 Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

202 Glass transition determination and enthalpy relation experiments were performed on a
203 differential scanning calorimeter (DSC), (Q2000, TA Instruments, New Castle, DE).
204 Three replicates were used in all the experiments. Before conducting any aging
205 experiments, the onset (T_{gi}), midpoint (T_{gm}) and endpoint (T_{ge}) glass transition
206 temperatures of the raspberry powder were determined (Syamaladevi et al., 2009). For
207 glass transition temperature determination (T_g), 10–20 mg raspberry powder was scanned
208 from room temperature to -90°C at $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ and equilibrated for 10 min. Raspberry
209 powders were scanned from -90°C to 70°C at a rate of $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. The temperature range
210 corresponding to the (vertical) shift in the heat flow curve of DSC thermogram is
211 assigned as T_g (Syamaladevi et al., 2009; Syamaladevi et al., 2010). The temperature at
212 the intersection of the tangent before the vertical shift in heat flow curve and inflection
213 tangent of DSC thermogram is identified as T_{gi} . Similarly, the temperature at the
214 intersection of the tangent after the vertical shift in heat flow curve and inflection tangent
215 of DSC thermogram is identified as T_{ge} . T_{gm} is identified as the mid temperature between
216 T_{gi} and T_{ge} . For annealing/aging experiments, the raspberry powders were heated to (T_{gi}
217 $+ 50^\circ\text{C}$ and cooled to ($T_{gi} - 50^\circ\text{C}$) at $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ to erase the thermal history of the raspberry
218 powder (Figure 1). The raspberry powder was reheated from ($T_{gi} - 50^\circ\text{C}$) to selected
219 aging temperatures. Three aging temperatures selected for raspberry powders were 5, 10
220 and 15°C below its T_{gi} . The raspberry powders were annealed/aged isothermally at the
221 selected aging temperature ($[T_{gi}-5]$, $[T_{gi}-10]$, and $[T_{gi}-15]$ $^\circ\text{C}$) for selected aging times
222 (1.0 to 96 hrs) in DSC. After aging, the raspberry powders were cooled to ($T_{gi} - 60^\circ\text{C}$) at
223 $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$. The raspberry powders were reheated to ($T_{gi} + 60^\circ\text{C}$) at $5^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ and cooled to

224 ambient temperature (Figure 1). The enthalpy relaxation during reheating of the raspberry
225 powders after aging at the selected temperatures and times were determined by drawing a
226 linear baseline to the enthalpy endotherm.

227

228 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

229 **Glass transition temperature vs Aging conditions**

230 The onset glass transition temperature (T_{gi}) of the freeze-dried raspberry powder was
231 37.8°C which agreed well with previous determinations reported in Syamaladevi et al.,
232 (2009). Glass transition temperature is kinetically governed. Experimental conditions
233 such as heating or cooling rates and physical aging influence the assignment of glass
234 transition temperature (Liu et al., 2007). Physical aging results in nonequilibrium glassy
235 state to equilibrium super cooled liquid state in amorphous foods. Theoretically, glass
236 transition temperature should decrease with increase in aging time due to the decrease in
237 enthalpy of the amorphous component as it approaches equilibrium (Wungtanagorn and
238 Schmidt, 2001a). However, the increase in the Universal Analysis software assigned T_{gi}
239 with aging time may be due to the shifting of T_g overshoot peak along x axis and the
240 steeper second tangent with increased aging time (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt 2001a &
241 b). If T_g is measured without the interference of the physical aging peak (i.e. by the
242 intersection of the extrapolated enthalpy-temperature for glassy and rubbery states), T_g
243 should decrease with aging time. Physical aging often results in densification, increase in
244 relaxation time and decrease in free volume and molecular mobility (Shi et al., 2008;
245 Surana et al., 2005). Therefore, the glass transition temperature of a food may increase
246 with aging time. Therefore, T_g may be greater for materials in equilibrium super cooled

247 liquid state than the T_g in the nonequilibrium amorphous state. Chung and Lim (2003)
248 indicated that the conformation changes in glassy polymer during aging decreases free
249 volume and molecular mobility, increasing the T_g . In the present study, no statistically
250 significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in T_g values of raspberry powder was observed with
251 increasing aging time (Table 1). Craig et al. (2000) observed no significant difference in
252 T_g of lactose with increasing aging time. However, some studies report a small increase
253 of 1-1.5 °C in T_g with aging time when foods were aged at temperatures less than their
254 respective glass transition temperatures (Chung and Lim, 2003; Schmidt and Lammert,
255 1996; Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001a).

256 The amount of enthalpy relaxation during aging may depend on the aging temperature
257 as well as aging time. When foods are aged at selected temperatures below their glass
258 transition temperatures, the maximum enthalpy relaxation occurs at temperatures near the
259 glass transition temperatures. Molecular mobility is drastically reduced when foods are
260 aged at temperatures far below the glass transition temperatures. The T_g of the aged foods
261 may decrease with increasing temperature of aging because higher temperatures may
262 result in greater structural and molecular rearrangement. However, no significant change
263 was observed in T_g of the raspberry powder with increasing temperatures of aging (Table
264 1). Chung and Lim (2003) reported a decrease of 1-3°C in T_g of normal and waxy
265 starches as the aging temperature approached the T_g , attributing to the increased mobility
266 of amorphous chain segments when the aging temperature approached T_g .

267

268 **Aging time dependence of enthalpy**

269 The enthalpy relaxation during aging increased non-linearly and non-exponentially with
270 an increase in the aging time indicating kinetic nature (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The major
271 components of raspberry powder are glucose and fructose. The enthalpy relaxation in
272 raspberry powder during aging was smaller compared to the pure components such as
273 fructose, glucose and sucrose (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001a; Liu et al., 2007). For
274 instance, the relaxation enthalpy of raspberry powder ranged between 0.12 to 1.76 J/g for
275 aging times of 6 to 72h at an aging temperature of (T_g-5) . While the relaxation enthalpy
276 of glucose ranged between 3 to 6.6 J/g for aging times of 6 to 72h at the aging
277 temperature of (T_g-5) (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001a). In the case of fructose,
278 relaxation enthalpy ranged between 2 to 5.5 J/g for aging times of 6 to 72h at the aging
279 temperature of (T_g-5) (Figure 4). The small enthalpy relaxation may be attributed to the
280 complex structure, interactive forces between glucose and fructose, and the presence of
281 other components in raspberry powder. Enthalpy relaxation in large molecular weight
282 foods such as starch and protein based foods are much smaller compared to the small
283 molecular food components such as sugars. When the aging temperature of raspberry
284 powder was close to the T_{gi} , the enthalpy relaxation in raspberry powder was greater than
285 the enthalpy relaxation in raspberry powder aged at temperatures far below the T_{gi} ,
286 indicating the importance of glass transition temperature in the determination of
287 appropriate storage temperatures for low moisture foods.

288

289 **Kinetics of enthalpy relaxation**

290 The non-exponential behavior of enthalpy relaxation is presented by plotting $\phi(t)$ against
291 the aging time (Figure 3). The KWW equation was used to fit the non-exponential

292 behavior of enthalpy relaxation in raspberry powder during aging. τ and β are mean
293 enthalpy relaxation time and non-exponential parameter, respectively. The non-
294 exponential parameter β is related to the distribution of enthalpy relaxation times, which
295 varies between 0 and 1 (Christensen et al., 2002; Van den Mooter et al., 1999). A value of
296 $\beta = 1$ reduces the KWW equation to an exponential expression. With small values of β (β
297 $\ll 1$) presents the non-exponentiality of the enthalpy relaxations (Liu et al., 2006). In the
298 KWW equation, both τ and β are adjustable parameters determined from fitting the
299 experimental data on enthalpy relaxation and time.

300 A large τ value represents slow molecular movement in foods and consequently small
301 free volume increase indicating the complex nature of foods. Nonlinear optimization of
302 the experimental data is conducted by a Statistica[®] version 5 computer program and the
303 values for the parameters τ and β in the KWW equation are determined. The values of τ
304 and β may be used to compare molecular mobility of constituents in foods in the glassy
305 state. The KWW equation constants for raspberry powder and selected food components
306 are presented in Table 2.

307 Molecular motions are considerably reduced in glassy food systems and a drastic
308 increase in the value of τ is observed when the storage temperatures of foods are reduced
309 below the glass transition temperatures. For instance, the τ value of raspberry powder
310 aged at a temperature $(T_{gi} - 15)^\circ\text{C}$ is 1017 h, much greater than the τ value (147.1 h) of
311 the raspberry powder aged at $(T_{gi} - 5)^\circ\text{C}$ (Table 2). The larger τ value indicates reduced
312 molecular mobility in raspberry powder aged at temperatures less than its T_g compared to
313 the raspberry powder aged near its glass transition temperature. τ values of aged
314 raspberry powder is greater than the τ values of glucose and fructose. For instance, the τ

315 value of glucose and fructose aged at ($T_g - 5$) are 3.35 and 14.3 h respectively while the τ
316 value of raspberry powder is 147.1 h (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001a). Christensen et
317 al. (2002) and Chung and Lim (2003) observed decrease in β as the aging temperature
318 decreases and moisture content increases. In the current study, β values decreased with
319 decreasing temperature of aging. The β values at ($T_{gi} - 5$), ($T_{gi} - 10$) and ($T_{gi} - 15$) are
320 0.967, 0.902 and 0.69 respectively. The larger β values of raspberry powder compared to
321 other food components may be attributed to complex interaction of components present
322 in raspberry powder. This interaction may result from the formation of hydrogen bonds
323 among glucose and fructose, the main components of raspberry powder. However, the
324 enthalpy relaxation distribution of multicomponent foods with complex interactions
325 between the biomolecules may not be correctly expressed by the KWW equation
326 (Shamblin and Zografis, 1998).

327 The time ($\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$) required for 50% of the maximum enthalpy during the aging
328 process is determined at a specific aging temperature from τ and β values obtained from
329 the KWW equation using non-linear optimization (Liu et al., 2007). A small decrease in
330 the aging temperature exerts considerable enhancement to the value of $\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$ indicating
331 the importance of consistency of the storage temperature (Table 3). For instance, the time
332 required for half completion of the relaxation process increased from 4 to 25 days when
333 the aging temperature decreased from ($T_{gi} - 5$) to ($T_{gi} - 15$). The values of $\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$
334 obtained for raspberry powder are greater than the $\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$ of sucrose and glucose syrup
335 solids (GSS) attributed to complex interactions of components in raspberries compared to
336 interaction among pure components (Liu et al., 2007). Also the time ($\tau_{\varphi(t)=1\%}$) required for
337 obtaining 99% of the maximum enthalpy during the aging process was determined at a

338 specific aging temperature (Table 3). The value of $\tau_{\phi(t)=1\%}$ increased from 30 to 388 days
339 when the aging temperature was decreased from $(T_{gi} - 5)$ to $(T_{gi} - 15)$, greater than the
340 $\tau_{\phi(t)=1\%}$ values for sucrose and GSS (Liu et al., 2007). $\tau_{\phi(t)=50\%}$ and $\tau_{\phi(t)=1\%}$ values may
341 provide indications on the stability of raspberry powders during long term storage at
342 room temperature.

343

344 **Temperature dependence of molecular relaxation times**

345 Temperature dependence of enthalpy relaxation kinetics greater and less than T_g is
346 described by Arrhenius and Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher equations (VTF equation) (Hancock
347 et al., 1995). The relaxation times and molecular mobility vary considerably between
348 glassy and rubbery states. The temperature dependence of glucose, sucrose, maltose and
349 trehalose relaxation times is described using the Arrhenius equation (Kawai et al., 2005).

$$350 \quad \tau = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \quad (7)$$

351 where E_a is the apparent activation energy (J) and R is the universal gas constant (8.314
352 J/K.mol).

353 The relaxation times of raspberry powder aged at temperatures less its T_g decreased as
354 the aging temperature increased, indicating slower relaxation in the glassy state at lower
355 temperatures. In the current study, the temperature dependence of relaxation times was
356 approximated using the Arrhenius relationship by drawing a straight line between $\ln \tau$ and
357 $1000/T_a$. The calculated E_a was 145 kJ/mol for raspberry powder (Figure 5). The E_a
358 obtained for raspberry powder was smaller than the E_a of other pure components
359 indicating structural differences in raspberry powder compared to structural differences
360 among individual components such as fructose or glucose (Liu et al., 2007; Hancock et

361 al., 1995). At small activation energies, chemical reactions require a longer time. The
362 activation energy of β relaxations in sugar glasses is in the range of 40-70 kJ/mol. The E_a
363 for enthalpy relaxations in glassy state is less than the E_a for temperatures at and greater
364 than glass transition temperatures (Champion et al., 2000; Le Meste et al., 2002).

365 The Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher equation (VTF equation) is often used to approximate a
366 non-Arrhenius relaxation time distribution greater than and less than the T_g in many
367 foods, with a large increase in molecular mobility for a small temperature increase
368 (Hancock et al., 1995; Mao et al., 2006). The VTF equation is

$$369 \quad \tau(T) = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{B}{T - T_0}\right) \quad (8)$$

370 where τ_0 , B , and T_0 are empirical parameters to fit the experimental data. The parameter
371 τ_0 is related to the relaxation time for a free molecule in an open space (Hancock et al.,
372 1995; Hancock and Shamblin, 2001). The constant B is also related to the fragility of a
373 food system described as the temperature dependence of relaxation time for a material at
374 its T_g (Kaushal and Bansal, 2008; Hancock et al., 1995). Also the third parameter T_0 is
375 the temperature at which all molecular relaxations cease or τ becomes infinite and
376 corresponds to the theoretical Kauzman temperature (Liu et al., 2007). Kauzman
377 temperature is described as the temperature limit where molecular mobility of amorphous
378 food and pharmaceutical components is negligible over extended experimental time
379 scales and thus mean relaxation time is assumed as infinite (Kaushal and Bansal, 2008;
380 Liu et al., 2007; Hancock and Shamblin, 2001). The theoretical Kauzman temperature is
381 approximately 50 K below T_g . When $T_0 = 0$, the VTF equation (8) is simplified to the
382 Arrhenius equation (Equation 7). The experimental data is fitted to the VTF equation and
383 the VTF parameters are determined by non-linear optimization using Statistica® version

384 5 (Table 4). The initial values of the VTF parameters used in non-linear optimization to
385 fit the experimental data to the VTF equation were $\tau_o = 10^{-9}$, $B = (T_{gi}-50)\times 10$ and $T_o =$
386 $(T_{gi} - 50)$ (Hancock et al., 1995). The predicted values of the constants by non-linear
387 optimization are presented in Table 4.

388 The logarithm of τ of raspberry powder was plotted against the scaled temperature (T_g
389 $- T_a$) (Figure. 6). Large τ values were observed for large values of $(T_g - T_a)$ indicating
390 enthalpy relaxations are slow at low aging temperatures (Kim et al., 2003). The τ value at
391 room temperature (298 K) for raspberry powder used in the experiment was 25 days
392 (Figure. 6). This τ value may be approximated as the time required for crystallization and
393 other physicochemical occurrences in foods during aging. The τ value at room
394 temperature (298 K) for raspberry powder was smaller than the τ value at room
395 temperature of sucrose and starch at room temperature storage (Hancock et al., 1995;
396 Kim et al., 2003), attributed to the greater T_g and molecular weights of starch and
397 sucrose. Hancock et al., (1995) reported an approximate shelf life determined by this
398 method is similar to the real shelf lives of selected products implying enthalpy relaxation
399 studies may be useful in predicting the appropriate storage time of foods.

400

401 **Fragility index**

402 The fragility of food systems may be identified by determining the activation enthalpy of
403 structural relaxation analysis of the glass transition width (Crowley and Zografi, 2001).
404 Fragile glass formers normally exhibit dramatic changes in thermodynamic properties at
405 glass transition temperatures and display narrow glass transition width (Moynihan et al.,
406 1996). The following equation relates activation enthalpy to glass transition width.

407
$$\left(\frac{\Delta E}{R}\right)\left(\frac{1}{T_{gi}} - \frac{1}{T_{ge}}\right) = \text{Constant} \quad (9)$$

408 where ΔE is the activation enthalpy at the glass transition temperature and T_{gi} and T_{ge} are
 409 the onset and end point glass transition temperatures. The constant has a value of 5 ± 0.5 ,
 410 obtained by experimental investigations for selected glass formers. In the current study,
 411 the value used for the constant was 5. The fragility index (m) of a food is obtained from
 412 enthalpy relaxation data during aging experiments. The activation enthalpy and fragility
 413 parameter m are related by (Crowley and Zografi, 2001):

414
$$m = \left. \frac{d \log \tau}{d(T_g / T)} \right|_{T=T_g} = \frac{\Delta E}{(\ln 10)RT_g} \quad (10)$$

415 The strength parameter D in the modified VTF model may be obtained from the glass
 416 transition width information. The fragility parameter m and strength parameter D are
 417 related by

418
$$m = \frac{DT_o / T_g}{(\ln 10)(1 - T_o / T_g)^2} \quad (11)$$

419 The values of m and D are obtained for freeze-dried raspberry powders using equations
 420 10 and 11 with $\tau = 100$ s at the glass transition temperature and $\tau_o = 10^{-14}$ s in the VTF
 421 equation (Table 4). The fragility parameter (m) may also be approximated from the VTF
 422 parameters (B and T_o) (Angell et al., 1994).

423
$$m = 16 + \frac{590T_o}{B} \quad (11)$$

424 Fragile glass formers exhibit structural instability and significant changes (60-80%) in
 425 ΔC_p at T_g or $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)}$ of strong systems is smaller than 1.1 (Angell, 1991). Strong
 426 systems display structural resistance to degradation at T_g . selected methods may be used

427 to identify the fragility of materials. The ratio of T_m/T_{gi} (both in K) may be selected as an
428 indicator of fragility. The ratio of T_m/T_{gi} of fragile systems is normally smaller than 1.5
429 (Hancock et al., 1995; Kaushal and Bansal, 2008). The small value of the non-
430 exponential parameter β of the KWW model also indicates fragility of food systems
431 (Champion et al., 2000). The relaxation time and fragility can be coupled with the
432 physico-chemical changes in foods during storage (Hancock et al., 2001). Studies on
433 temperature dependence of the relaxation time and molecular mobility are important to
434 predict acceptable storage of foods.

435 In this research, selected methods were followed to determine the fragility of raspberry
436 powder. Fragility prediction for raspberry powder by the selected methods is presented in
437 Table 5. Raspberry powder exhibited temperature dependent Arrhenius behavior in the
438 glassy state, indicating that raspberry powder is a strong system. The relaxation time for
439 free molecules (τ_o) and the parameter B obtained for raspberry powder are similar to
440 strong food and pharmaceutical systems (Table 5) (Hancock et al., 1995). However, the
441 value of the parameter T_o was much smaller than the value of $(T_{gi} - 50)$.

442 The D value obtained for raspberry powder using the modified VTF equation (6) was
443 18.7, smaller than the D values of strong systems ($D > 30$) and larger than fragile system
444 ($D < 10$) (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001b). However, a comparison of the D values
445 with other food systems is only reasonable at equivalent preparation and experimental
446 conditions. The predicted parameters of the modified VTF equation (Table 5) indicate the
447 raspberry powder is a moderately strong food system. More data on the temperature
448 dependence of molecular mobility in raspberry powder at temperatures greater than the
449 T_g is important in analyzing fragility.

450 The activation enthalpy of raspberry powder at the glass transition temperature was
451 determined using equation 9 was 266 kJ/mol. This activation energy is greater than the
452 activation energy obtained for enthalpy relaxation of raspberry powder in glassy state.
453 The experimental T_o is smaller than the Kauzman temperature (T_K). m (45) and D (23.1)
454 values (Table 5) obtained for raspberry powders using activation enthalpy at glass
455 transition temperature indicate raspberry powders are strong food systems during glass
456 transitions. A large strength parameter and a small fragility parameter are preferred for
457 desirable storage of foods near glass transition temperatures. Raspberry powders presents
458 strong behavior similar to main components, glucose and fructose (m values 70.2 and
459 47.6, respectively) (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001b). The m value approximated
460 using the VTF parameters is 58, larger than the m value determined for raspberry powder
461 using the activation enthalpy at the glass transition temperature. However, this m value
462 represents the strong behavior raspberry powder. A larger β value ($\beta \sim 1$) for the raspberry
463 powder also indicates a strong behavior of raspberry powder.

464 However, based on the small ΔC_p at T_g (0.736 J/gK), raspberry powder may be
465 categorized as moderately fragile (Table 5). The $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)}$ ratio of raspberry
466 powder was 1.39 (Table 5), greater than 1.1 (Borde et al., 2002). The ratio of T_m/T_{gi}
467 (1.38) predicts a fragile behavior of freeze-dried raspberries as the ratio is lower than 1.5.
468 The T_m/T_{gi} ratio for sucrose (1.29) is smaller than raspberry powder. Glucose and
469 fructose are the main constituents of raspberry powder, presenting a similar
470 $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)}$ and T_m/T_{gi} ratios (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001b).

471 The fragility indices (m) of raspberry powder described by modified VTF equation
472 and glass transition width approaches predict freeze-dried raspberry powder is a strong

473 food system. The strength parameters (D) obtained from modified VTF equation and
474 glass transition width approaches presents freeze-dried raspberry powder falls between
475 strong and fragile food systems. However, $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)}$ ratio and T_m/T_{gi} ratio predicts
476 a fragile behavior of freeze-dried raspberries. The discrepancy among the fragility
477 elucidations by heat capacity, T_m/T_{gi} ratios and the fragility index of materials was
478 previously reported (Wungtanagorn and Schmidt, 2001b). More research is required for a
479 clarification for this discrepancy.

480 The kinetic parameters of enthalpy relaxation of raspberry powder obtained in this
481 study can be related to selected physico-chemical changes in raspberry powder occurring
482 during glassy state storage. This will improve our understanding on the effect of
483 molecular mobility and relaxation time on stability and shelf life of foods.

484

485 CONCLUSIONS

486 The onset glass transition temperature (T_{gi}) of the freeze-dried raspberry powder was
487 37.8°C. Physical aging of raspberry powder at selected temperatures 5, 10, and 15°C
488 below the T_{gi} did not significantly change the glass transition temperatures of freeze-
489 dried raspberry powder. Enthalpy relaxation during aging of raspberry powder was
490 smaller than the enthalpy relaxation of glucose and fructose, two major components of
491 raspberry powder, at equivalent experimental conditions. Enthalpy relaxation of
492 amorphous components can be related to their structural and physicochemical
493 degradation. Structural and physicochemical stability raspberry powder may be higher
494 than that of individual components due to interactions among them. A non-exponential
495 expression of enthalpy relaxation is observed for raspberry powder. A larger mean

496 enthalpy relaxation time for raspberry powder at lower temperatures than the T_g suggests
497 molecular level relaxations are much slower at temperatures smaller than T_g . The
498 physicochemical degradation rates in raspberry powder are expected to be lower at
499 temperatures smaller than their T_g . There is a discrepancy among fragility determination,
500 as the selected approaches predicted both fragile and strong behavior of raspberry
501 powder.

502

503 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

504 This activity was funded, in part, with an Emerging Research Issues Internal Competitive
505 Grant from the Washington State University, College of Agricultural, Human, and
506 Natural Resource Sciences and Agricultural Research Center.

507

508 **REFERENCES**

509 Angell, C. A. (1991). Relaxation in liquids, polymers and plastic crystals-strong/fragile
510 patterns and problems. *Journal of Non-crystalline Solids*, 131-133, 13-31.

511 Angell, C. A., Bressel, R. D., Green, J. L., Kanno, H., Oguni, M., and Sare, E. J. (1994).
512 Liquid fragility and the glass transition in water and aqueous solutions. *Journal of*
513 *Food Engineering*, 22(1-4), 115-142.

514 Bansal, S. S., Kaushal, A. M., Bansal, A. K. (2008). Co-relationship of physical stability
515 of amorphous dispersions with enthalpy relaxation. *Pharmazie*, 63, 812-814.

516 Borde, B., Bizot, H., Vigier, G., and Buleon, A. (2002). Calorimetric analysis of the
517 structural relaxation in partially hydrated amorphous polysaccharides I. Glass
518 transition and fragility. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 48, 83-96.

519 Byrn, S. R., Xu, W., Newman, A. W. (2001). Chemical reactivity in solid-state
520 pharmaceuticals: formulation implications. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*,
521 49(1), 115-136.

522 Champion, D., Le Meste, M., and Simatos, D. (2000). Towards an improved
523 understanding of glass transition and relaxations in foods: molecular mobility in
524 the glass transition range. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 11(2), 41-55.

525 Christensen, K.L., Pedersen, G. P., and Kristensen, H. G. (2002). Physical stability of
526 redispersible dry emulsions containing amorphous sucrose. *European Journal of*
527 *Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 53(2), 147-153.

528 Chung, H. J., and Lim, S. T. (2003). Physical aging of glassy normal and waxy rice
529 starches: effect of aging time on glass transition and enthalpy relaxation. *Food*
530 *Hydrocolloids*, 17(6), 855-861.

531 Cohen, M. H., Turnbull, D. (1959). Molecular transport in liquids and glasses. *Journal of*
532 *Chemical Physics*, 31, 1164-1169.

533 Craig, D. Q. M., Barsnes, M., Royall, P. G., and Kett, V. L. (2000). An evaluation of the
534 use of modulated temperature DSC as a means of assessing the relaxation
535 behavior of amorphous lactose. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 17(6), 696-700.

536 Crowley, K. J., and Zograf, G. (2001). The use of thermal methods for predicting glass
537 former fragility. *Thermochimica Acta*, 380(2), 79-93.

538 Dolittle, A. K. (1951). Studies in Newtonian flow. II. The dependence of the viscosity of
539 liquids on free space. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 22, 1471-1475.

540 Farahnaky, A., Guerrero, A., Hill, S. E., and Mitchell, J. R. (2008). Physical aging of cray
541 fish flour at low moisture contents. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*,
542 93(2), 595-598.

543 Guo, Y., Byrn, S. R., Zografí, G. (2000). Physical characteristics and chemical
544 degradation of amorphous Quinapril Hydrochloride. *Journal of Pharmaceutical*
545 *Sciences*, 89(1), 128-143.

546 Gupta, P., Kakumanu, V. K., and Bansal, A. K. (2004). Stability and solubility of
547 Celecoxib-PVP amorphous dispersions: A molecular perspective. *Pharmaceutical*
548 *Research*, 21(10), 1762-1969.

549 Hancock, B. C., Shamblin, S. L., and Zografí, G. (1995). Molecular mobility of
550 amorphous pharmaceutical solids below their glass transition temperatures.
551 *Pharmaceutical Research*, 12(6), 799-806.

552 Hancock, B.C., Dalton, C. R., Pikal, M. J., Shamblin, S. L. (1998). A pragmatic test of a
553 simple calorimetric method for determining the fragility of some amorphous
554 pharmaceutical materials. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 15(5), 762-767.

555 Hancock, B. C., and Shamblin, S. L. (2001). Molecular mobility of amorphous
556 pharmaceuticals determined using differential scanning calorimetry.
557 *Thermochimica Acta*, 380, 95-107.

558 Haque, M. K., Kawai, K., and Suzuki, T. (2006). Glass transition and enthalpy relaxation
559 of amorphous lactose glass. *Carbohydrate Research*, 341, 1884-1889.

560 Hilden, L. R., and Morris, K. R. (2004). Physics of amorphous solids. *Journal of*
561 *Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 93(1), 3-12.

562 Hill, S., MacNaughtan, W., Farhat, I., Noel, T. R., Parker, R., Ring, S. G., and
563 Whitcombe, M. J. (2005). The effect of thermal history on the Maillard reaction
564 in a glassy matrix. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53, 10213-10218.

565 Hodge, I. M. (1996). Strong and fragile liquids-a brief critique. *Journal of non-*
566 *crystalline solids*, 202, 164-172.

567 Inoue, C., Suzuki, T. (2006). Enthalpy relaxation of freeze concentrated sucrose-water
568 glass. *Cryobiology*, 52(1), 83-89.

569 Karmas, R., Buera, M. P., Karel, M. (1992). Effect of glass-transition on rates of
570 nonenzymatic browning in food systems. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
571 *Chemistry*, 40(5), 873-879.

572 Kaushal, A. M., Bansal, A. K. (2008). Thermodynamic behavior of glassy state of
573 structurally related compounds. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and*
574 *Biopharmaceutics*. 69, 1067-1076.

575 Kawai, K., Hagiwara, T., Takai, R., and Suzuki, T. (2005). Comparative investigation by
576 two analytical approaches of enthalpy relaxation for glassy glucose, sucrose,
577 maltose, and trehalose. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 22(3), 490-495.

578 Kim, Y. J., Hagiwara, T., Kawai, K., Suzuki, T., and Takai, R. (2003). Kinetic process of
579 enthalpy relaxation of glassy starch and effect of physical aging upon its water
580 vapor permeability property. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 53(3), 289-296.

581 Lammert, A.M., Lammert, R.M., and Schmidt, S.J. (1999). Physical aging of maltose
582 glasses as measured by standard and modulated differential scanning calorimetry.
583 *Journal of Thermal Analysis*, 55(3), 949-975.

584 Le Meste, M., Roudaut, G., and Davidou, S. (1996). Thermomechanical properties of
585 glassy cereal foods. *Journal of Thermal Analysis*, 47(5), 1361-1375.

586 Le Meste, M., Champion, D, Roudaut, G, Blond, G, and Simatos, D. (2002). Glass
587 transition and food technology: A critical appraisal. *Journal of Food Science*,
588 67(7), 2444-2458.

589 Lievonen, S. M., Laaksonen, T. J., Roos, Y. H. (1998). Glass transition and reaction
590 rates: Nonenzymatic browning in glassy and liquid systems. *Journal of*
591 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 46(7), 2778-2784.

592 Liu, J. S., Rigsbee, D. R., Stotz, C., and Pikal, M. J. (2002). Dynamics of pharmaceutical
593 amorphous solids: The study of enthalpy relaxation by isothermal
594 Microcalorimetry. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 91(8), 1853-1862.

595 Liu, Y. T., Bhandari, B., and Zhou, W. B. (2006). Glass transition and enthalpy
596 relaxation of amorphous food saccharides: A review. *Journal of Agricultural and*
597 *Food Chemistry*, 54(16), 5701-5717.

598 Liu, Y., Bhandari, B., and Zhou, W. (2007). Study of glass transition and enthalpy
599 relaxation of mixtures of amorphous sucrose and amorphous tapioca starch syrup
600 solid by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). *Journal of Food Engineering*,
601 81, 599-610.

602 Luthra, S. A., Hodge, I. M., Utz, M., Pikal, M. J. Correlation of annealing with chemical
603 stability in lyophilized pharmaceutical glasses. *Journal of Pharmaceutical*
604 *Sciences*, 97(12), 5240-5251.

605 Mao, C., Chamrathy, P., and Pinal, R. (2006). Time-Dependence of molecular mobility
606 during structural relaxation and its impact on organic amorphous solids: An

607 investigation based on a calorimetric approach. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 23(8),
608 1906-1917.

609 Moynihan, C. T., Lee, S. K., Tatsumisago, M., and Minami, T. (1996). Estimation of
610 activation energies for structural relaxation and viscous flow from DTA and DSC
611 experiments. *Thermochimica Acta*, 280, 153-162.

612 Noel, T. R., Parker, R., Brownsey, G. J., Farhat, I. A., Macnaughtan, W and Ring, S. G.
613 (2005). Physical aging of starch, maltodextrins and maltose. *Journal of*
614 *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 53(22), 8580-8585.

615 Schmidt, S. J., and Lammert, A. M. (1996). Physical aging of maltose glasses. *Journal of*
616 *Food Science*, 61(5), 870-875.

617 Shamblin, S. L., and Zografis, G. (1998). Enthalpy relaxation in binary amorphous
618 mixtures containing sucrose. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 15(12), 1828-1834.

619 Shi, X., Fernando, B. M. D., Croll, S. G. (2008). Concurrent physical aging and
620 degradation of crosslinked coating systems in accelerated weathering. *Journal of*
621 *Coating Technology Research*. 5(3), 299-309.

622 Struik, L. C. E., 1978. Physical ageing in amorphous polymers and other materials,
623 Amsterdam.

624 Surana, R., Pyne, A., Rani, M., Suryanarayanan, R. (2005). Measurement of enthalpic
625 relaxation by differential scanning calorimetry-effect of experimental conditions.
626 *Thermochimica Acta*, 433, 173-182.

627 Syamaladevi, R. M., Sablani, S. S., Tang, J., Powers, J. and Swanson, B. G. (2009). State
628 diagram and water adsorption isotherm of raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*), *Journal of*
629 *Food Engineering* 91:460-467.

630 Syamaladevi, R. M., Sablani, S. S., Tang, J., Powers, J., Swanson, B. G. (2010) Water
631 sorption and glass transition temperatures in red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*).
632 *Thermochimica Acta*, 503-504, 90-96.

633 Van den Mooter, G., Augustijns, P., and Kinget, R. (1999). Stability prediction of
634 amorphous benzodiazepines by calculation of the mean relaxation time constant
635 using the Williams-Watts decay function. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics
636 and Biopharmaceutics*, 48(1), 43-48.

637 Wungtanagorn, R., and Schmidt, S. J. (2001a). Thermodynamic properties and kinetics of
638 the physical aging of amorphous glucose, fructose and their mixture. *Journal of
639 Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 65, 9-35.

640 Wungtanagorn, R. and Schmidt, S.J. (2001b). Phenomenological study of enthalpy
641 relaxation of amorphous glucose, fructose, and their mixture. *Thermochimica
642 Acta*, 369, 95-116.

643 Yoshioka, S., Aso, Y., Kojima, S. (2001). Usefulness of the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts
644 stretched exponential function to describe protein aggregation in lyophilized
645 formulations and the temperature dependence near the glass transition
646 temperature. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 18(3), 256-260.

647 Yu, L. (2001). Amorphous pharmaceutical solids: preparation, characterization and
648 stabilization. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 48, 27-42.

649 Zhou, D., Zhang, G. G. Z., Law, D., Grant, D. J. W., and Schmitt, E. A. (2008).
650 Thermodynamics, molecular mobility, and crystallization kinetics of amorphous
651 Griesefulvin. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 5(6), 927-936.

652

653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

1. Enthalpy relaxation experimental procedure for freeze-dried raspberry powder
2. Relaxation enthalpy of freeze-dried raspberry powder at aging temperatures ($T_{gi} - 5$), ($T_{gi} - 10$), ($T_{gi} - 15$)°C for selected aging times.
3. Variation of $1 - \frac{\Delta H_{relax}}{\Delta H_{\infty}}$ with aging time for freeze-dried raspberry powder at ($T_{gi} - 5$), ($T_{gi} - 10$), ($T_{gi} - 15$)°C for selected aging times.
4. Comparison of relaxation enthalpy of raspberry powder, glucose and fructose aged from 6h to 72h at ($T_g - 5$).
5. Plot of $\ln \tau$ Vs $1000/T_a$ to calculate the activation energy.
6. Variation of mean relaxation time (τ) with ($T_{gi} - T_a$) for freeze-dried raspberry powder compared with mean relaxation times of sucrose (Hancock et al., 1995) and starch (Kim et al., 2003).

Table 1. Onset (T_{gi}), midpoint (T_{gm}) and endpoint (T_{ge}) glass transition temperatures of freeze-dried raspberry powder aged at selected times and temperatures

Time t , (h)	$(T_{gi}-5)$			$(T_{gi}-10)$			$(T_{gi}-15)$		
	T_{gi} (°C)	T_{gm} (°C)	T_{ge} (°C)	T_{gi} (°C)	T_{gm} (°C)	T_{ge} (°C)	T_{gi} (°C)	T_{gm} (°C)	T_{ge} (°C)
6	39 ^a (1.98)*	43.7 ^b (0.566)	46 ^c (0.141)	38.1 ^a (0.849)	41.6 ^b (0.282)	43.2 ^c (0.212)	-	-	-
12	39.7 ^a (1.67)	45.3 ^b (0.681)	46.6 ^c (1.21)	40 ^a (2.12)	43.6 ^b (2.12)	45.3 ^c (1.06)	38.3 ^a (0.071)	41.3 ^b (0.636)	43.4 ^c (0.212)
24	40 ^a (0.586)	46.7 ^b (0.520)	47.4 ^c (0.693)	40.2 ^a (1.84)	44.7 ^b (0.707)	45.8 ^c (0.0)	38.3 ^a (0.990)	40.9 ^b (1.13)	43.4 ^c (0.566)

48	41.3 ^a (0.283)	47.9 ^b (1.10)	50.4 ^c (0.495)	40.2 ^a (2.12)	44.7 ^b (0.212)	45.8 ^c (0.354)	39 ^a (1.414)	43.4 ^b (0.354)	43.9 ^c (0.424)
72	40 ^a (1.56)	46.1 ^b (0.778)	47.7 ^c (1.70)	41.7 ^a (0.990)	43.8 ^b (3.61)	46.5 ^c (1.41)	41 ^a (1.344)	44.2 ^b (0.212)	45.3 ^c (0.071)
96	-	-	-	39.8 ^a (1.27)	43.4 ^b (1.06)	44.8 ^c (0.990)	38.7 ^a (0.071)	42.6 ^b (0.0)	43.6 ^c (0.283)

* Standard deviation of the glass transition temperature values

- experiments not performed

Same superscripts in rows and column indicates that there is no significant difference in the values

Table 2. Enthalpy/structural relaxation modeling by KWW model and KWW model parameter values

Product	Method	T_{gi} (°C)	Aging Temperatures (°C)	Model parameters		References
				τ (h)	β	
Raspberry powder	DSC	37.8	$(T_{gi}-5)$	147.1	0.967	Current study
			$(T_{gi}-10)$	423.5	0.902	
			$(T_{gi}-15)$	1017.4	0.690	
Sucrose	DSC	67.2±0.5	(T_g-10)	3.59-78.1	0.6-0.62	Liu et al. (2007)
Glucose syrup solids (GSS)		52.9±1.8	(T_g-15)	11.5-173.5	0.62-0.7	
Sucrose/GSS (75:25)		61.9±1.1	(T_g-20)	19.8-247.2	0.46-0.53	
Sucrose/GSS (50:50)		71.5±1.4		83.1-255.5	0.3-0.36	
Sucrose/GSS (25:75)		73.4±2.2		47.8-197.7	0.41-0.52	
Glucose	DSC	36	(T_g-10)	-	0.46-0.53	Kawai et al. (2005)
Sucrose		8	(T_g-20)		0.53-0.62	
Maltose		90	(T_g-30)		0.47-0.55	

Tehalose		113			0.51-0.75	
Dry emulsions	DSC		(T_g -20)	$\tau = 46 \cdot 10^7$	0.29-0.22	Christensen et al.
amorphous sucrose			(T_g -30)	$\tau = 8 \cdot 10^7$	0.38-0.23	(2002)
			(T_g -50)			
Lactose	MDSC	116.9±0.5	80-100	-	-	Craig et al. (2000)
Lactose	DSC	102	25	-	0.81-0.89	Haque et al. (2006)
			60			
			75			
			90			
Normal rice starch	DSC	-	25	294.9	0.68	Chung and Lim
(11% water content)						(2003)
Waxy rice starch (11% water content)				112.0	0.47	
Normal rice starch				198.3	0.34	
(15% water content)						
Waxy rice starch (15% water content)				79.7	0.37	

Sucrose-water glass (40% sucrose)	DSC	-	-55	-	0.44-0.87	Inoue and Suzuki
Sucrose-water glass (80% sucrose)			-60		0.53-0.63	(2006)
			-65			
			-70			
Potato starch (16% moisture content)	DSC	59	25	$3.12 \pm 0.66 \times 10^5$	0.34	Kim et al. (2003)
			33	$1.51 \pm 0.58 \times 10^4$	0.23	
			42	$6.37 \pm 1.17 \times 10^5$	0.30	
Freeze-dried sucrose	MDSC and	-	40	2.6×10^3	0.304	Liu et al. (2002)
	micro					
Freeze-dried trehalose	calorimeter		40	2.51×10^7	0.159	
	(Thermal					
Quenched sucrose	activity		40	1.47×10^4	0.155	
Quenched trehalose	monitor,		40	2.5×10^7	0.286	
	TAM)					
Quenched trehalose			50	7.7×10^6	0.290	

Table 3. ΔH_{∞} , $\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$, $\tau_{\varphi(t)=1\%}$ experimental values calculated for freeze-dried raspberry powder

$T_{gi}-T_a$ (K)	ΔH_{∞} (J/g)	$\tau_{\varphi(t)=50\%}$ (h)	$\tau_{\varphi(t)=1\%}$ (h)
5	4.08	101	714
10	7.28	282	2299
15	10.3	598	9305

Table 4. Arrhenius, VTF and modified VTF constants derived from mean relaxation time constants

Activation energy, E_a (kJ/mol)	145.4
τ_o (from VTF equation) (hours)	10^{-9}
B (from VTF equation) (K)	2760
T_o (from VTF equation) (K)	196.5
τ_o (from modified VTF equation) (hours)	2.12×10^{-8}
D (from modified VTF equation)	18.7
T_o (from modified VTF equation) (K)	167.9

Table 5. Fragility prediction for freeze-dried raspberry powder by selected methods

Method	Value	Fragility prediction
<i>D</i> value from modified VTF equation (6) using mean relaxation time (τ) and aging temperature data	18.7	Moderately Strong ¹
<i>D</i> value from modified VTF equation using glass transition width data	23.1	Moderately Strong ¹
Fragility parameter (<i>m</i>) using activation enthalpy at glass transition temperature data	45	Strong ²
Fragility parameter (<i>m</i>) from VTF parameters	58	Strong ²
$C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)}$	1.39	Fragile ³
T_m/T_g	1.38	Fragile ⁴

¹Strong systems: $D > 30$; Fragile systems: $D < 10$

²Strong systems: $16 < m < 100$; Fragile systems: $100 < m < 200$

³Strong systems: $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)} < 1.1$; Fragile systems: $C_{p(liquid)}/C_{p(glass)} > 1.1$

⁴Strong systems: $T_m/T_g > 1.5$; Fragile systems: $T_m/T_g < 1.5$











