# 34320



y Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

## CANADIAN THESES ON MICROFICHE

I HÈSES © AN ADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

P
NAME OF AUTHOR/NOM DE L'AUTEUR ADRIENNE BRINBARA COULL
TITLE OF THESIS/TITRE DE LA THÈSE CLASSification Mategies of Eleven Year alle
a Revealed by Verbal Explanations of
Grouping Procedures
UNIVERSITY/UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERIA EDMONTON
DEGREE FOR WHICH THESIS WAS PRESENTED/ GRADE POUR LEQUEL CETTE THÈSE FUT PRÉSENTÉE ME Ed
YEAR THIS DECITION DE CE GRADE 1977
NAME DETRVI RINOM DU DIRECTEUR DE THÈSE Pric Fetridge
Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF L'autorisation est, par la présente, accordée à la BIBLIOTHÈ-
CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies QUE NATIONALE DU CANADA de microfilmer corto thèse et
of the film.
The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the L'auteur se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la
thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or other-
wise reproduced without the author's written permission. <i>ou autrement reproduits sans l'autorisation écrite de l'auteur.</i>

DATED/DATE Oct. 14/7	1 SIGNE SIGNE SIGNED/SIGNE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · ·		
PERMANENT ADDRESS/RÉSIDENCE FIXÉ	10970-125St	
	Edmonton, Alberta.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ί.	T5M OLX	· · · ·

## National Library of Canada

Cataloguing Branch Canadian Theses Division

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0N4

## NOTICE

The quality of this microfiche is heavily dependent upon the quality of the original thesis submitted for microfilming. Every effort has been made to ensure the highest quality of reproduction possible.

If pages are missing, contact the university which granted the degree.

Some pages may have indistinct print especially if the original pages were typed with a poor typewriter ribbon or if the university sent us a poor photocopy.

Previously copyrighted materials (journal articles, published tests, etc.) are not filmed.

Reproduction in full or in part of this film is governed by the Canadian Copyright Act, R.S.C. 1970, c. C-30. Please read the authorization forms which accompany this thesis.

## THIS DISSERTATION HAS BEEN MICROFILMED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED

Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction du catalogage Division des thèses canadiennes

## AVIS

La qualité de cette microfiche dépend grandement de la qualité de la thèse soumise au microfilmage. Nous avons tout fait pour assurer une qualité supérieure de reproduction.

S'il manque des pages, veuillez communiquer avec l'université qui a conféré le grade.

La qualité d'impression de certaines pages peut laisser à désirer, surtout si les pages originales ont été dactylographiées à l'aide d'un ruban usé ou si l'université nous a fait parvenir une photocopie de mauvaise qualité.

Les documents qui font déjà l'objet d'un droit d'auteur (articles de revue, examens publiés, etc.) ne sont pas microfilmés.

La reproduction, même partielle, de ce microfilm est soumise à la Loi canadienne sur le droit d'auteur, SRC 1970, c. C-30. Veuillez prendre connaissance des formules d'autorisation qui accompagnent cette thèse.

## LA THÈSE A ÉTÉ MICROFILMÉE TELLE QUE NOUS L'AVONS RECUE

## THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

## CLASSIFICATION STRATEGIES OF ELEVEN YEAR OLDS AS REVEALED BY VERBAL EXPLANATIONS OF GROUPING PROCEDURES

bу



ہے۔ انت

 $r^{1}$ 

## ADRIENNE BARBARA COULL

#### A THESIS

SUBMITI O THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF EDUCATION

## DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION

# EDMONTON, ALBERTA

FALL, 1977

## THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled "Classification Strategies of Eleven Year Olds as Revealed by Verbal Explanations of Grouping Procedures" submitted by Adrienne Barbara Coull in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Education.

Mr Satridge ...

Supervisor

on T. Chan

PA Payne

#### ABSTRACT

The main purpose of the study was to examine the methods or strategies of classification employed by a group of elevenyear old children. By cliciting sorting behaviors and verbal explanations of the responses provided, some insight into the processes underlying concept development was sought.

The sample consisted of ten boys and ten girls at the age of eleven years plus or minus four months. Intelligence was not employed as a criterion for selection of the sample.

Two distinct tasks were individually presented to the subjects. Responses were tape\_recorded, transcribed and ana\_ lyzed according to a common classification scheme. Anecdotal observations were also recorded throughout task performances.

Verbal explanations were classified, and the proportion of responses within each of four categories was determined for each subject. Using a common criterion, the incidence of do\_ minant preference as revealed by task performance was analyzed.

To determine the degree of flexibility within the classification strategies employed, a Multiple Classification Index was devised and subsequently determined for each subject. Results of this measure were statistically compared with percentile scores on the SAT Word Meaning Test, revealing no significant correlation.

Upon examination of anecdotal observational records, consistent behavioral characteristics were revealed among the two groups distinguished for comparative purposes (inflex\_ ible categorizers and flexible categorizers). Such charact\_

iv

eristics imply that the strategies available to an individual for the processing of information may be related to the subsequent organization of his cognitive structures.

Possible differences in performance or preferred strategies between boys and girls were investigated by means of t\_tests. No significant differences were determined, leading the investigator to conclude that classificatory behavior appears to vary with the methods and materials of assess\_ ment to a greater extent than it does with ser or vocabulary test performance among eleven\_year olds.

Suggestions for further research, were outlined, and implications arising from the study were discussed.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To the numerous people who provided their assistance, encouragement, time, and patience I extend my deepest gratitude and appreciation.

v i

<u>(</u>)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS .

CHAPT	ER	PAGE
į.	INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	1
	Purposes of the Study	4
	Research Questions	. 6
	Design of the Study	6
	Limitations	7
	Significance of the Study	. 8.
	Overview of the Study	9
2.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND RESEARCH	10
	The Relationship Between Language and Conceptual Activity	11 -
	The Development of Word Meaning	15
	The Process of Classification	24
	Concept Development	37
	Cognitive Style	45
	Summary	50
< <b>3.</b>	DESIGN OF THE STUDY	53
	Selection of the Sample	53
	Pilot Study	55
	Instrumentation	56
•	Collection of the Data	63
	Schema for Classification of Responses	67
	Reliability of the Schema for Classifying Responses .	71
	Treatment of the Data	72

vii

CHAPTER

 $r_{\rm c}$ 

Ċ

CHAPTER		PAGE
4.	ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF THE FINDINGS	74
	Characteristics of Pupil Responses	74
•	Discussion of Task Performance	81
	Flexibility of Categorization Strategies	90
	Comparison of Standardized Vocabulary Test Scores with Multiple Classification Indices	94
<i>₽</i> • ∕	Flexibility of Categorization as Reflected in Behavioral Observations	96
•	Comparison of Performances for Boys and Girls	101
5.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS	103
	Summary	1,03
	Findings and Conclusions	104
	Recommendations for Further Research	111
	Implications of the Study	112
BIBLIC	GRAPHY	115

- ,

## APPENDICES

.

PAGE

.

APPENDIX A	BASES FOR CLASSIFICATION _ CWST	125
	SCST _ DIRECTIONS GIVEN	127
APPENDIX C	CWST _ DIRECTIONS GIVEN	129
APPENDIX D	APPLICATION OF CLASSIFICATION SCHEMA_SCST	131
APPENDIX E	ILLUSTRATIVE SUMMARIES OF TASK PERFORMANCES _ CWST	133

.**.** 

ix

## LIST OF TABLES

Ta ble		Page
1.	Proportion of Responses Per Category on the Sigel Cognitive Style Test	76
2.	Proportion of Responses Per Category on the Coull Word Sort Task	<b>7</b> 9
3.	Proportion of Responses Per Category _ Group Performances on Both Tasks	82
4.	Incidence of Dominant Preference _ Group Performances on Both Tasks	87
5.	Determination of Multiple Class Indices: _ Coull Word Sort Task	93
6.	Sex Differences in Classificatory Behavior	102

### CHAPTER 1

I. INTRODUCTION AND ST TEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The development of concepts has long been accepted as a fundamental objective of education. As Kagan and Havemann (1968) assert, "one of the measures of how much a person has learned is how many cards are under each concept in his mental filing system". (p. 204) Because a concept represents a mental construct, however, evaluation must of necessity rely upon an indirect means of examination. The problem, therefore, becomes one of discovering a reliable means of identifying and assessing the concepts held by a particular individual or group of students during a certain period of cognitive development.

Traditionally, verbal definitions have been assumed to constitute evidence of concept attainment. Although the development of language is seldom synonymous with conceptual development, verbal responses do provide observable indicators of related thought processes. As Frank Smith (1975) states:

> certainly language does not exhaust the possibilities about all the different ways in which aspects of our thought may be organized. Words are the observable peaks arising from unexplored ranges of thought. (p. 23)

Thus vocabulary test scores provide the classroom teacher with readily available information as to the products of conceptual development. If the child is able to supply the appropriate response, he is credited with understanding of the related concept. Conversely, an inappropriate response is interpreted as an absence of associated meaning.

Such a view, however, assumes a direct relationship between conceptual development and verbal expression. Evidence to the contrary is presented by Russell (1956) in his observation that:

> very young children may have concepts they are unable to describe in speech and older children may occasionally understand a concept which they are unable to explain in adequate words. (p. 123)

Concepts, therefore, must be viewed as being in a constant state of flux rather than as static or stable elements. In an attempt to make sense of the world, the human individual actively seeks and processes input from the surrounding environment. Since the sens tions received from external and internal stimuli as well as their subsequent organization are unique to the individual, the evolving concepts which are formed are essentially idiosyncratic in nature.

Because experience cannot be directly shared, it must be transformed by means of the conventionally accepted symbol system available within a particular society. Through the development of language, individual contact with the objective world may be mediated. At the same time, language represents the principal means of classifying and organizing experiences, thus facilitating conceptual development.

The gradual development of classification schemes is an essential prerequisite to the evolution of concepts. The complexity of the environment bombarding the human individual through his senses would be overwhelming unless the capacity for classification were utilized. As Bruner (1956) contends, without the ability to classify we would be "slaves to the particular". (p. 1) Beardsley (1956) summarizes this thesis in the statement:

> the fundamental way of organizing knowledge is that which consists in forting things out \_ that is think\_ ing of them as belonging to different classes of things. (p. 57)

When an object or event is in fact perceived, mental processes are evoked whereby the occurrence is interpreted and given meaning. Through the process of classification, however, an ability beyond that of recognizing prior instances is gained. A 'rule of grouping' is also learned, thus enabling the formation or attainment of concepts. As Vinacke (1954) suggests:

(i)n defining the properties of concepts we are really identifying the ways in which experience is organized in the mental context.
(p. 527)

Revelation of such organization is generally assumed from the verbal responses elicited through formal and informal assessment conducted within a classroom setting. As suggested in the Language Arts Handbook (Alberta Department of Education, 1973), however, an examination of the processes beneath the observable product should also

constitute an integral part of our diagnostic evaluation:

(T) he teacher should be cautious in interpreting the child's behavior as indicative of his knowledge. At present, observing what the child does is the only way to get at his underlying knowledge or competence. It is this underlying knowledge that we are trying to expand in our language arts program, not just observable behavior or performance. (p. 152)

As a supplement to vocabulary measures, therefore, we must seek to understand the thought processes underlying such responses. By focussing attention upon the methods or strategies of classification employed by children, our endeavors to enhance conceptual development may be facilitated.

### II. PURPOSES OF THE STUDY

The major purpose of the present study was to explore and describe the methods of classification employed by a group of similar\_aged children. Through the presentation of selected stimuli, grouping and sorting responses were elicited and examined so as to determine the bases of classification used. In addition, a verbal explanation for each response was requested. Thus by adding an introspective dimension to the vocabulary measures available through test performance, it was considered possible to extend an understanding of the thought processes underlying verbal responses.

In his studies of thought, Bruner (1956) has primarily been concerned with cognitive strategies \_ "the means whereby people reflect, retain and transform information" (p. vii). Since concepts evolve through interaction with the environ\_ ment, the methods o strategies of classification employed must of necessity vary with individual experience. Both the classes into which objects are 'sorted' (externally or internally) and the reasons for such behavior will vary with both the situation and the subject. Variation within an individual as to the strategies utilized may in fact be as wide as the variation between individuals. As Annett (1969) points out, "methods of classification may well change during the course of ontogenetic growth" (p. 223). With this wast range of inter and inta\_individual differ\_ ences, investigation as to the nature of strategies employed would constitute an insurmountable task were it not for consistencies in human behavior. As Taba (1966) states:

> presumably individuals have a predilection toward one or another way of selecting what they respond to in the environment and of organizing and processing their knowledge and perceptions. This predilection, or characteristic set, is the individual's cognitive style. (p. 3)

The construct of cognitive style was also explored within the present study. An attempt was made to investigate both the incidence and nature of dominant preference

# as expressed by task performance.

## III. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

To achieve the purposes of the study, answers to the following questions were sought:

Major Questions

- 1. What is the nature of classification strategies \* employed by eleven\_year old children as revealed through verbal explanations of performance on sorting tasks?
- 2. What is the incidence and nature of dominant or preferred strategies for classification displayed by children of this age level?
- 3. Is it possible to devise means of determining the degree of flexibility within classification strategies?
- 4. What is the relationship between flexibility of classification and performance on a standardized vocabulary test?
- 5. Are there observable differences in performance among flexible and inflexible categorizers?
- 6. Are differences in performance or preferred strategies evident between boys and girls at this age level?

IV. DESIGN OF THE STUDY

#### Sample

Twenty children within the age range of eleven years, plus or minus four months were selected from a heterogeneous Grade 5 classroom in the Edmonton Public School System. The sample was controlled by excluding students with known hearing or vision problems, students with English as a

second language, and 'students with known learning problems. Intelligence was not included as a factor in selection of the sample.' Among the twenty students meeting these criteria, an equal number of boys and girls was found to be represented.

## Instruments and Procedures

All subjects were individually interviewed on two separate occasions. Responses were tape\_recorded and anecdotal observations were made. Two distinct tasks were employed, each requiring a selection of stimuli which were considered by the subject as belonging together. A verbal explanation for each selection was elicited and recorded.

The first task involved an adaptation of the Sigel Cognitive Style Test. The second task was designed for the study and is henceforth referred to as the Coull Word Sort Task. The Stanford Achievement Test in Reading was administered by the classroom teacher and machine scored by the testing services of the Edmonton Public School Board. Percentile scores based on norms established for the system were returned to the school used in the study, and these scores were later made available to the investigator.

### V. LIMITATIONS

The following factors limit the interpretations of the findings:

1. Responses were elicited in a testing situation and may have been influenced by the presence of a taperecorder or the novelty of the stimuli employed.

2. It was assumed that verbal explanations provided were representative of the thinking employed. Thus bases for groupings were interpreted from the verbatim responses given. Although the common schema for classifying responses used was determined to be reliable, some degree of subjectivity was involved in interpretation of responses. 8

- 3. Since each task required verbal explanations of the groupings employed, some children may have been limited by this mode of expression.
- 4. The relatively small sample size may have affected statistical analyses.
- 5. The sample was selected from the population of a heterogeneous class. This population may or may not have been representative of a typical grade five classroom.

## VI. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Since adequate diagnosis of concept development must precede instructional planning, any forthcoming information in this regard may prove useful as a supplement to that provided by vocabulary test measures. In addition to determining what words are known to a child, the classroom teacher must be aware of how they are known. We tend to assume that the 'average' child will have had sufficient experience by the age of eleven to have developed a wide repertoire of concepts. The depth and precision of these concepts, however, may well be influenced by the strategies

Е,

of classification employed.

At the present time, we tend to assume a great deal about process by the product we perceive. In our endeavors as educators to enhance conceptual development, the import\_ ance of language and its inter\_relationship with thought processes must be kept in mind. In the words of Oyan (1967):

> (I) n the teaching of language and its use, each of us is contributing to the evolution of a dynamic force more potent than a cobalt bomb. (p. 170)

#### VII. OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY

Chapter I has introduced and stated the problem, discussed the purposes and research questions which guided the study, and outlined the design, limitations and significance of the study. Chapter 2 reviews the literature and research pertinent to the study, thus providing a theoretical framework. Chapter 3 explains the design of the study. The sample, instruments and procedures, pilot study and analytic methods are described therein. Results of the study are analyzed and interpreted in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 contains the conclusions, implications and suggestions for further research.

#### CHAPTER 2

### REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND RESEARCH

This chapter provides the rationale and background for a study wherein the process of classification is viewed as an essential basis for concept development. In Fart I of the chapter, a review of theories concerning the relation\_ ship between language and thought is presented and discussed. The developmental process of word meaning is briefly outlined in Part II. Investigations within the area of vocabulary assessment are also discussed. Part III of the chapter discusses the process of classification. Reported findings concerning the classificatory behavior of children are pres\_ ented and discussed. Part IV provides a summary of diver\_ gent theoretical views of concept development. Within Part V of the chapter, the concept of cognitive style is briefly reviewed. The chapter concludes with a summary of the findings from the literature and research which are relevant to the present study.

I. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE AND CONCEPTUAL ACTIVITY

Because thought is an internal process which cannot be directly observed, its existence and extent must of necess. ity be inferred. Since language serves as a record of

behind words, the 'transparency' of the relationship may be misleading. As J. H. Newman warns: Nothing is more common than for man to think that because they are familiar with words, they understand the ideas they stand for. (in The

Although language does reveal a great deal about the thoughts

. by this means that mental processes are frequently 'assessed'.

Importance of Language, Black M.

Since an understanding of the relationship between language and thought is essential to any explanation of cognitive development, various theories have been proposed in this regard.

(Z961 '('P7)

Алтрогталсе ог the connection between them: And thought to be synonymous, he does emphasize the And thought to be synonymous, he does emphasize the

Thought is not merely expressed in words; it comes into existence through them (p. 125).

rylrslimis bud

A word devotd of thought is a dead thing, and a thought unembodied in words remains a shadow. (p. 153)

Since Vygotsky considers the intellectual growth of

the child to be contingent upon mastering the social means

of thought, he contends that language acts as a lure for cognition. Although he stresses that language and speech develop from different roots (thus necessitating a prelinguistic phase of thought and a preintellectual phase of speech), he visualizes the separate curves of develop\_ ment converging and crossing at various points.

In concurrence with the theory proposed by Stern, Vygotsky contends that the first crossing of language and speech occurs with the discovery that everything has a name. However he refutes Stern's claim that this point marks the realization of the symbolic function of language. Vygotsky alternatively suggests that such a realization occurs later through a series of molecular changes. Thus only by using language in a social setting does the child gradually discover its significance.

According to Piaget, the child's use of language is largely determined by the development of internal organizational properties which he terms 'schemata'. By acting upon objects in the environment, the child comes to know and transform these objects. Through a process of interaction, Piaget envisions the child as becoming increasingly aware of the world around him, thus gradually constructing a personal reality. Such an achievement is truly remarkable, as exemplified in Piaget's (1969) words:

None of these categories is given at the outset, and the child's initial universe is entirely centred in his own body ... In the course of the first eighteen months, however, there occurs a kind of Copernican revolution, or, more simply, a kind of general decentring process whereby the child eventually comes to regard himself as an object among others in a universe that is made up of permanent objects ... (p. 13)

Although Piaget readily acknowledges the usefulness of language in advancing schematization and encouraging abstraction, he views it as a facilitator of rather than a prerequisite for cognitive growth. Since he views language in its initial state as representing internal thought, he contends that its appearance follows that of intelligence.

Bruner, in his studies of thought, has primarily been concerned with the development of cognitive strategies. He envisions the process of cognitive development as comprising three stages or epochs \_ the periods of enactive, iconic and symbolic representation. These three forms of representation constitute evolving strategies for conserving encounters with the environment which are utilized during the growth of human intellect.

Bruner contends that the first stage of cognitive development involves knowing through doing, thus requiring an active physical involvement with the environment. His second stage, designated iconic, is marked by an ability to translate reality through pictures or images. In the third stage, translation through a symbolic means such as language is achieved. Although a hierarchical structure of development is outlined, Bruner (1973) stresses that transition between stages is a gradual process:

> Growth involves not a series of stages, but rather a successive mastering of the three forms of representation along with their translation each into the others. (p. 317)

Like Piaget, Bruner views the process of cognitive development to involve gradual qualitative rather than quantitative changes in ability. Unlike Piaget, however, he sees the place of language as guiding rather than following thought. For Bruner, the internalization of language constitutes an invaluable "cognitive instrument" which may be readily utilized.

Russell (1956) has also contributed greatly to our understanding of cognition. He emphasizes that thinking should be considered as a process rather than as a static condition or fixed state:

> Thinking is not knowing so much as it is doing, not cognitive so much as it is conative. (4)

Although he states that thinking may appear quite different in varying situations, he outlines four major factors or components of the thought process: materials, motives, processes and abilities. Russell emphasizes, however, that rigid distinctions or separations between these components may not be readily observable. Rather, Russell suggests that "the whole act of thinking is characterized by organization and integration of the various parts". (p. 8) Because individual differences are both possible and probable within ach of the components, it is not surprising that thought processes vary to the degree that they do. As an aid to classifying thinking processes, Russell suggests analysis in terms of the goal \_ directedness of the thinking event or type. Thus he distinguishes six types of thought: perceptual, associative, inductive, problem solving, critical and creative.

Throughout his discussion, Russell stresses the symbolic nature of thinking. Although the symbols involved are frequently verbal, they may also be images or precepts based on memory or sensation. Regardless of their source, the use of symbols represents both a liability and a tremendous asset. As Russell (1956) asserts:

> ... the symbolic nature of thinking is one of the main reasons children have difficulty in thinking clearly and accurately. On the other hand, verbal symbols are one of man's great inventions in the process of communicating ideas. Without symbols, the system of language and civilization as we know it simply could not exist. (p. 24)

II. THE DEVELOPMENT OF WORD MEANING

The acquisition of language involves a gradual accumulation and elaboration of verbal symbols. By means of these symbols, the individual's conception of the world around him may be represented and communicated. Unlike other animal species, however, man's means of communication is not a biological 'given'. Experience must be transferred since it cannot be directly shared. The means of sharing exists in the conventionally accepted symbol system of a particular society. As exemplified in the words of Church and Stone (1973):

> We might insist that it is only by becoming a linguistic organism, by understanding speech and by speaking, that the child gains access to full status as a human being. (217)

As the child gains linguistic ability, his world may be voluntarily extended in terms of both time and space. Symbols enable a delayed reaction to the stimuli of the external world through a mediated act of knowing. As Langer (1957) points out, however, "true language begins only when a sound keeps its reference beyond the situation of its instinctive reference" (p. 105)

In the initial stages of language dovelopment, Vygotsky (1962) contends that the word associated with an object actually constitutes an attribute or property of the object. External structure is grasped before the inner symbolic "meaning" is realized. Only in the process of operating with words is the denotative or referencing function of language discovered. Until such time, Vygotsky would insist that the child's usage of words coincides with that of adults in its objective reference but not in its meaning. The discovery and creation of meaning, in fact, constitutes a life\_long process. Throughout this period of evolution, individual conceptions of the world as well as the range of meanings ascribed to particular words reflect an accumulation of direct and 'languaging' experiences. During the course of development, according to Vygotsky, change in word meaning may be noted in two distinct directions. As meanings associated with words gradually become more generalized, they come to encompass a greater number of representative instances. Conversely, as perceptual and discriminative abilities improve, particular instances may be excluded from the original meaning as more specific labels are acquired. Thus the alternate processes of generalization and differentiation constitute a means of refining a shared system \_ a means of communication.

Although language begins with its denotative or referencing function, it fails to remain within the realm of observable referents. Because of unique experiences within his environment, the human child attaches personal or connotative meaning to words as well. Thus in determin\_ ing the development of word meaning in young children, the internal process and its direction must frequently be inferred. As Lewis (1963) contends:

> we have to remain unaided by anything the child can tell us of himself; we have to infer what is going on 'in his mind' from what we can observe of him, other children and ourselves .. (p. 36)

The import we is tached to the words active used by children is reflection in the number of studies that have been done in this area. As far back as 1904, Chambers investigated the growth in general meaning of words. Before the 1950s, studies were predominantly concerned with the quantity of words used and 'understood' by the child. (e.g. Smith, Thorndike).

The beginning of a new era, however, was marked by a study by Feifel and Lorge in 1950. Evidence of significant differences in the qualitative responses of younger and older children in their study set a precedent for future investigations. (e.g. Binet and Simon, Kirkpatrick, Gray and Holmes).

A developmental study which indeavored to investigate the processes underlying the acquisition of word meaning was undertaken by Werner and Kaplan (1950). By designing a word\_ context test which employed artificial words embedded in sentences, they were able to investigate the processes of signification (ways meanings are given to words) used by children between the ages of 8.6 and 13.5.

Upon analysis of both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of their findings, Werner and Kaplan reported significant trends in the process of signification. A steady increase of correct solutions with age provided support for a developmental view of the establishment of word meaning.

An increasing conventionalization of meaning with age was also demonstrated, leading Werner and Kaplan (1950) to infer a subsequent "increasing understanding that verbal symbols are standard tokens of communication". (p. 76) Finally, in examining the signification processes used by subjects at various levels of maturity, evidence of both gradual and saltatory change was concluded.

This latter finding was interpreted as evidence of developmental shifts during the course of ontogenesis. In proposing a spiral view of development, Werner and Kaplan (1950) suggest:

> Mental growth, rather than being conceived as a straight increase of achievement is here seen as a sequence of rises and declines of processes, subserving each achievement. (p. 96)

According to Werner and Kaplan's Principle of Spirality, levels of cognitive functioning may vary within the individual according to internal or external conditions. Thus even though higher levels may have been attained, lower or more primitive levels may come to the fore in the event of novel or difficult tasks. Similarly, in the establishment of word meaning, a linear progression is seldom evident. As summarized in a later publication, Werner and Kaplan (1963) assert:

> At a certain point in development, words come to acquire meanings relatively independent of specific, concrete contexts of application, that is, words become lexicalized.

This process of establishing a stable, relatively general meaning for words, a meaning which transcends specific significances of a word in different contexts, is a long and difficult one. (p. 190)

Russell and Saadeh (1962) extended vocabulary research in yet another way. As they contend:

> (t) he important fact about a child's vocabulary may be, not the number of words he recognizes superficially, but the quality of his associations with different words. (p. 170)

Accordingly, they investigated qualitative levels of response in a recognition situation.

Specifically, these researchers sought information regarding the preferred choice of definition selected by pupils in third, sixth and ninth grades. The instrument used was a multiple\_choice test of forty words, each defined in a concrete, functional and abstract manner. With alternative meanings thus available, the level of meaning selected by each child as 'the best' could be ascertained.

Results revealed some dominance of concrete and functional choices by the younger children. The number of functional and abstract choices increased in the later grades, with a corresponding decline of concrete choices. Similar results were obtained in a later study by Lundsteen (1974).

Investigations within the area of vocabulary develop. ment have also been conducted at the University of Alberta. In these attempts to explore the nature and growth of word meaning, diverse methods and approaches are evident.

Evanechko (1970) elected to investigate the nature of semantic processes through a hypothetical multidimensional view of 'semantic space'. He identified twenty\_four possible categories of meaning and subsequently designed a Semantic Features Test to determine the methods of ascribing meaning actually utilized by children. By means of performance results, he was able to infer both the level and adequacy of conceptual functioning thus revealed. His findings suggest that older students demonstrate a greater degree of both depth and variety in their word meanings, leading him to conclude:

> (M) eaning, therefore, is the result of a strategy employed in relating the word to kinds of semantic relations and a function of the cumulative history of the language user. (p. 32)

The major focus of Laing's (1974) study was the processing of word meaning. By means of introspective techniques, she explored the ways in which meaning was obtained from context: In agreement with McCullough (1959), she emphasized that "use of a word is no guarantee that meaning has been grasped" (p. 102). Thus by examining products in relation to subjects' verbalized explanations of the process utilized, she discovered that facility in the use of contextual clues appeared to influence performance. By placing unknown words in context (sentences and paragraphs), the methods of obtaining meaning utilized were individually diagnosed. Subjects appeared to relate meaning cues to their background knowledge and experience, thus leading her to conclude that meaning seems to be obtained by an integration or synthesis of several related segments.

In his study, Nixon (1975) voiced a concern for the discrepancy between strategies of meaning available to a child and those he actually uses. Accordingly, Nixon undertook an examination of both the commonality and variability of word meaning as expressed orally by children. Upon completion of four tasks, individual responses were analyzed according to nineteen categories of meaning. Results included a discovery that "overall patterns of growth in word meaning appeared to be influenced by the nature of the stimulus, the nature of the task, and the chronological age of the subjects". (p. vi)

The diverse and varied research methods reported briefly herein attest to the difficulties inherent in 'measuring' word meaning. Since direct assessment of knowledge is impossible, we are largely dependent upon verbal response for our evaluation of competence. Reliance upon isolated vocabulary test results, however, has frequently been demonstrated to be both misleading and insufficient in terms of the information

provided. As well as determining what words are known by the child, it is essential that we attempt as well to discover how these words are known.

Brown (1962) points out that vocabulary tests generally deal with single responses rather than the range of meanings attached to any particular word. When a 'correct' response is made on such a test, the individual is credited with full understanding. Although this knowledge may in fact be present, the possibility of isolated competence must also be considered. Similarly, Langer (1967) warns of the difficulties inherent in over\_simplifying the various dimensions of word meaning:

> The word\_in\_itself is devoid of meaning if the user has no concept for it. Conversely, the word for which one has a multitude of associations can be a rich and varied experience. (p. 455)

In their book "Language Use and School Ferformance", Cicourel et al (1974) emphasize that the conception of a 'correct' response on a standardized vocabulary test implies that meaning is a 'given'. This in turn necessitates a view of students as passive recipients who simply respond to stimuli from the environment. In criticizing such a view, they point to an alternative perspective. In the words of Erickson:

To experience something as something is to experience and interpret that something in terms of one's world. Had one no world, one would have no cognitive experience at all. Thus one's world serves as a necessary condition for the possibility of anyone's experience. (in Cicourel et al, 1974, p. 220)

Proceeding from this perspective, Cicourel et al aptly demonstrate that obtaining the 'correct answer' on a standardized vocabulary test actually depends upon the child's partity to identify a frame of reference which correspondent of test constructor. If this 'second guessing' data assful, no opportunity is forthcoming whereby the character of justify and explain the reasoning behind his own the character.

> This means we have to go beneath the score as a summary of a complicated and only partially formulated interaction and attempt to describe the behavior of the test partici... pants. (p. 252)

III. THE PROCESS OF CLASSIFICATION

٢

As previously stated by Sapir, language represents a unique system of voluntarily produced symbols enabling the communication of ideas. Before such relationships may be established, however, the world of experience must be enormously simplified and generalized. This is largely accomplished through conventional association of particular symbols with classes or categories of experience. In the words of Roger Brown (1958):

> Any sort of recurrence in the nonlinguistic world can become the referent of a name and all such recurrences will be categories because recurrences are never

identical in every detail. Recurrence always means the duplication of certain essential features in a shifting context of non\_essentials. (p. 8)

Implicit in this process of categorizing is the ability to abstract or infer information from the memory data of experiences. In discussing the process of abstraction, Hayakawa (1962) contends that our nervous systems automa\_ tically abstract or select similarities. Initially, resemblances are noted while differences are ignored. Thus in the early stages of development, the child has a tendency to overgeneralize \_ to include objects in a class which are later discovered to be discriminably exclusive.

Hayakawa (1962) in his discussion of classification emphasizes that "the individual object or event we are naming has no mame and belongs to no class until we put it in one" (p. 254). As he illustrates, bowever, this arbitrary act of inclusion greatly affects both our attitude and future actions:

> (T) hese are not informative statements but directives, directing us to classify the object or event under discussion in given ways, in order that we may feel or act in the ways suggested by the terms in the classification. (p. 259)

Hayakawa provides an example of the effects of classification by analyzing how variation in interpretation of a concept defined as 'one person who killed another' may well affect our resulting behavior. Depending on how we
classify the act (as murder, temporary insanity, bomicide, an accident or heroism) our behavior will vary accordingly. As Hayakawa (1940) suggests:

> (w)e hang the murderer, lock up the insame man, free the victim or pin a medal on the hero. (p. 154)

According to Britton (1970), the process of classification begins when a name or label is attached to an object. Beginning with specific and narrow categories, alternate refinement and expansion progressively enables the hierarchical organization of a cognitive system. By means of language, an individual representation of the world is created.

In discussing the organization of cognitive structures, Frank Smith (1975) distinguishes three distinct aspects which may be traced developmentally. Initially, we distinguish many categories or classes of objects, reflecting a need for regarding objects and events as the same or different. Smith emphasizes that "the basis upon which categories are established may be determined as much by the individual who is doing the organizing as by the objects being organized" (p. 14). A particular method of categorization is, therefore, selected because of its utility rather than because of its 'correctness'.

Initially, similarities or resemblances are noted, while differences are ignored. Thus in early stages of development, the child has a tendency to overgeneralize \_

to include objects in a class which are later discovered to be discriminably exclusive.

With increasing experience, finer distinctions between categories become evident. To make his cognitive category system functional o permit a match of his 'personal categories' with those of his society), the child must discover predictable means of identifying objects and events. This is largely accomplished by attention to distinctive features, thus increasing the degree of discrimination required for inclusion within a particular category.

Finally, Smith contends that interrelations among categories must be discovered and utilized before the environment can be made meaningful. It is by this means that an individual cognitive system becomes organized. As he emphasizes:

> These interrelations are the core of the entire cognitive system of our theory of the world. They enable us to summarize past experience, make sense of the present and predict the future. Nothing in our lives would be comprehensive if those interrelations were absent. (p. 17)

One available means of relating cognitive categories is by reference to class inclusion. Almost all objects which we experience may be assigned more than one name (placed in more than one category). When required to explain or define what we mean by a word, we frequently begin by giving it another name. For example, if required to explain what a dog is, we may describe it as an animal. By doing so, we place the object into a broader, more inclusive category. Conversely, we may specify a smaller subcategory to which an object belongs, thus emphasizing the distinctiveness of an object.

Categories that can thus be "embedded" within one another are frequently referred to as having a hierarchical relationship. These broader categories which indicate ways objects may be regarded as similar despite their individual differences, are referred to as <u>superor nate</u>. Those which may be separated or distinguished constitute <u>subordinate</u> categories.

This hierarchical relationship is generally described as one of class inclusion, but for reasons of convenience is designated by Smith as an isa (pronounced izza) relationship. When represented diagrammatically, the connections between categories become readily apparent.



Thus the lower\_order categories or classes are included within the higher\_order ones. Conversely, the lower\_order categories may be termed exemplars or instances of the higher\_order categories.

A second type of cognitive relationship which may be verbally indicated is that of property relations. An object is frequently distinguished by reference to an attribute or quality which it possesses. By the use of the word is without an article (such as 'Fred is tall'), this relation\_ ship may be revealed.

The importance of category interrelations is also emphasized by Britton (1970):

> It is not simply in the number of categories they possess that men are superior to dogs, but also in the inter\_relatedness of the categories. Language, because of its own highly complex internal  $o^{-}_{-}a_{+}$  zation, provides us with simematically related categories  $o_{-}$  many kinds. (p. 27)

Relationships which Britton considers to be 'built into' language include synonymity and oppositeness. In accordance with Smith, however, he considers the most powerfully useful relationship to be that of hierarchy.

The establishment of such a hierarchical system necessitates a corresponding development of the ability to abstract. According to Sigel's (1953) definition, abstraction represents "a mental process in which some attribute or characteristic is observed independently of 29

other characteristics of an experience as a whole" (p. 131). In the course of his investigations, Sigel has sought information regarding developmental trends in abstraction ability among children.

As Sigel (1953) reports, differing organizational patterns of response to environmental stimuli have been widely observed. (Werner, Welch, Thompson etc.) There has been general agreement that responses in very young children are made primarily on a sensori\_motor level. Such responses have been designated as perceptual. With increasing experience and maturity, the child becomes able to consciously impose organizations upon the environment, thus attaining a conceptual level of abstraction.

Sigel's (1956) study utilized an object sorting test requiring sixty subjects (aged seven, nine and eleven years old) to spontaneously group twenty\_four familiar items, then explain the reason or basis for each grouping. Designations of groupings were scored as perceptual, conceptual or miscellaneous (heterogeneous combinations). Results indicated downward trends in the use of perceptual categories with age, and upward trends for conceptual classifications. Although group trends support a developmental view, individual variations within the groups were much less consistent. Regarding these variations, Sigel\_ (1956) concludes:

(w) he ther vocabulary, unique experience favoring concept formation, certain types of innate ability, maturational factors, or personality determinants affect the abilities of the youngsters is still an open question. (p. 141)

Jerome Bruner and his associates have investigated such variations in individual ability extensively. In Bruner's view, the process of categorizing involves an act of invention. Thus he postulates the individual development of a 'coding system' \_ a particular manner of grouping and relating information about the world. This development he envisions as a dynamic process in which there is a successive translation from one mode of representation to another.

According to Bruner (1962), the capacity for categorizing experiences has many beneficial results. The following achievements \_\_\_\_\_\_s attributed to classificatory behavior:

	<b>f</b> i	ing complexity of the environment		
	(2)	tifying objects		
-	(3)	reducing the necessity for constant		
		learning		
	(4)	(4) providing direction for activity		
	(5) providing opportunity for ordering and relating classes of events".			

In his discussion of categorization, Bruner (1956) distinguishes between identity and equivalence categories. Identity categories involve the classing of a variety of stimuli as forms of the same thing. Equivalence categories, however, are characterized by responses to a set of discriminably different things as amounting to the same thing. (p. 3) These responses may involve affective, functional or

. 6

formal components. Both systems of categorization, however, are dependent upon what Druner identifies as "the acceptance of certain properties as being criterial or relevant, others as being irrelevant". (p. 30)

In rejecting the usual passive view of association which delineates the linking of objects or events through some contiguity of space or similarity. Bruner (1965) asserts:

> associations do not just happen,
> they are governed by certain rules, and these are the result of certain rather complex transformations imposed on data by active, collective, limit\_bound, talking organisms. (p. 126)

The developmental process of equivalence categories was investigated by Bruner and Olver (1965) using subjects from the first, fourth and sixth grades. A task was specifically designed to measure "the manner in which subjects of different ages im\_ pose a similarity transformation on a set of verbally presented materials and the way in which this transformation is conserved or altered in the face of difficulties". (p. 128)

Several different forms of strategies of grouping were analyzed following completion of the task. The first form of grouping was designated as <u>superordination</u> where items were grouped on the basis of one or more common attributes (functional properties, perceptible qualities or affective reactions). A second range of responses was referred to as <u>complex formations</u>. This type of strategy was ascribed to: a process of selecting attributes from the entire array without an explicit statement of commonality. Elements appeared to be

grouped together on the basis of associational 1 is from one to the other. The final form identified was that of thematic grouping, where elements were incorporated within a story or hypothetical happening.

Results obtained revealed a steady increase in the use of superordinate strategies and a decline in complexes with age. From these findings, an inference was made by Bruner and Olver (1965) that "what distinguishes the young child from the older child is the fact that the young one is more complicated than the older one, not the reverse" (p. 134). Accordingly, Bruner (1965) stated a first developmental theorem emerging from these investigations:

> The development of intelligence, i on intervening opportunity for problem solving in the life of the growing organism, moves in the direction of reducing the strain of information processing by the growth of strategies of grouping that encode information in a manner (a) that chunks information in simpler form, (b) that gains correctedness with 'rules of grouping already formed and (c) that is designed to maximize the possibility of combinational operations. (p. 134)

A study of methods of classification utilized by subjects of various again was undertaken by Annett (1969) in an attempt to trace some aspects of conceptual development. Fundamental to her investigation was a hypothesis that "concepts involve the classification of experiences, and methods of classification may well change during the course of ontogenetic growth".

Using a large sample of both children and adults, she devised a common task requiring the sorting of sixteen pictures

of common objects. Objects portrayed represented four recognizable 'groups' \_ animals, plants, vehicles and articles of furniture. Although wide variability at all ages was demon\_ strated in both the number of groups formed and the number of cards in a group, a clear rise of within group sortings and a decline of cross group sortings with increasing age was evi\_ dent.

Explanations provided for individual groupings were then analyzed according to a common classification scheme. The five types of explanation distinguished were: (1) no explana.. tion, (2) enumeration, (3) contiguity, (4) similarities and (5) class names.

Upon analysis of explanations according to this scheme, no significant differences between the sexes were evident. The frequency of 'no explanation' was found to decline sharply with an increase in age. Enumeration as the only explanation of a sorting exhibited a similar decline after the age of seven. Contiguities were seen to rise and fall with a peak at the age of eight, then rise again in older adults. Similarities showed a roughly linear rise with age, but were less frequent in adults where class names were distinctly predominant as the 'preferred' method.

Although some evidence of a developmental sequence in methods of classification was revealed, intra\_individual variation in method was also evident. In discussion of her findings, Annett (1969) alludes to such variation:

Many adults, like the children, use more than one method of explanation, going from one type to another with out any awareness of the change or that one method may be better than another. (p. 235)

Developmental trends in the acquisition of natural language categories have subsequently been investigated by alternate means. Saltz, Soller and Sigel (1972) utilized printed labels and picture cards of familiar objects in their study, thus enabling an examination of the range of categorization evident at various ages.

The method adopted for such examination involved the individual selection of picture cards which were deemed to illustrate instances of the various concepts identified on the word cards. Responses were then analyzed, revealing age differences in both the quantity and quality of categorization.

Two major developmental trends were revealed by this analysis. First, the younger children (age 5-6) consist\_ ently included a smaller number of items within each class as compared to older children (age 11\_12). Concept names or labels were often used in very narrow and specific ways by these younger children, with integration of meaning showing a developmental trend. This finding led the authors to infer an initial tendency toward making over\_discrimin\_ ation rather than over\_generalization errors in the use of concepts. Such a view is supportive of that expressed by Bruner and Olver (1965) \_ that the younger child is more complicated than the older. ÷÷:

A second finding reported by Saltz, Soller and Sigel (1972) is an increased dependence upon perceptual attributes in the case of the younger children. With older subjects, a decreasing tie to such attributes was evident.

Nelson (1974) took a somewhat different approach in her investigation of natural language categories. Rather than requiring identification of members or common attributes from among a predefined group of instances, she elected to use a production paradigm. Based upon the free recall of instances from semantic memory, her subjects were requested to list all of the things that belonged with each word presented verbally. Results indicated that the size of categories (number of instances thus produced) increased with age, but contrary to the findings of Saltz, Soller and Sigel, little variation with age in reliance upon perceptual attributes was revealed.

Despite the diversity of research methods and findings reported herein, investigations into classificatory behavior do represent an observable means of assessing concept attainment. In the words of Johnson and O'Reilly (1964):

> A concept is not an observable stimulus or an observable response, but it can be reasonably treated as a hypothetical construct with specified relations to these observables. A concept is related to observable classifying responses in that one who "has" the concept makes sorting and labelling responses that others do not make, and it is this type of response that has been used most often in the laboratory. (p. 71)

## IV. CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT

The importance of concepts in human development is readily acknowledged. As expressed by Klausmeir et al (1974):

Despite the large differences in level of understanding, concepts are the fundamental agents of thought for human beings from early childhood through adulthood. (p. 1)

Accordingly, a substantial amount of research as to the nature of concepts has been conducted during the recent past. Despite the diversity of experimental methods employed and develop\_ mental theories put forth, however, the process of concept formation is not yet completely understood. In his discussion of the divergent theoretical explanations which have been proposed. Flavell (1970) goes so far as to suggest:

> The various characterizations are far from identical, needless to say, and after pondering on them for a while the reader is tempted to think that their most important similarity is their common inadequacy. (p. 983)

In reviewing the considerable mass of literature related to concept development, a distinction may be drawn between investigations concerned with the teaching and learning of specific concepts (usually in a laboratory setting) and literature which provides an overall theory of the process itself. Since the present study concerns a description of classification strategies, focus has been directed to the latter body of research.

Extensive investigation in the area of concept formation has been conducted by Jerome Bruner and associates. Implicit to his view of the nature of concepts is the postulation of a gradually developing network or system of equivalent responses. In addition to permitting the identification and classification of stimuli, such a system also provides a means of cognitive mediation, thus enabling the human individual to go beyond the information given. As Bruner and his associates (1956) state:

> We .. regard a concept as a network of sign \_ significate inferences by which one goes beyond a set of observed criterial properties exhibited by an object or event to the class identity of the object or event in question, and then to additional inferences about other unobserved properties of the object or event. (p. 244)

In his investigations of concept formation, Bruner (1962) has emphasized the importance of attributes as signals. Although he defines an attribute as "any discriminable feature of an event", he contends that concept attainment involves the discovery of predictive or defining attributes, thus making discrimination possible. These defining attributes enable prediction and identification of future instances \_ they become in fact criterial attributes.

If such a characterization of concepts is accepted, all behavior may in a sense be termed conceptual since we tend to respond to the regularities rather than the uniqueness in our environment. Thus variation in conceptual development may be attributed to differences in the division or categorization of the individual's experiential world. Accordingly, concepts are essentially idiosyncratic in nature, but may be revealed through observable performance. As suggested by Bourne et al (1971):

A person understands a concept when he can identify and employ instances of the regularity in a manner appropriate to his circumstances. ... To learn a concept is to acquire an understanding of a formerly unrecognized regularity. (p. 177)

In utilizing the capacity for classification, the human individual must therefore abstract or infer information from the sensory data of experiences. As new associations are discovered and refined, a subsequent shift in the meaning of concepts occurs. Thus the complementary processes of abstraction and generalization enable individual organization and interpretations of sensations received from both external and internal stimuli. As suggested by Russell (1956) "generalization plus differentiation produces a concept". (p. 119)

Concepts, however, are seldom developed or utilized as discrete or isolated units. As Russell (1956) suggests, "concepts are not single stalks of wheat in separate rows, but a wheat field waving as one in the breeze". (p. 125) In the course of cognitive development, discrimination among and interrelations between various classes must also be **dis**. **covered.** Through a gradual evolutionary process, the relationship of words and their denotative meanings becomes internalized. In the words of Vinacke (1951):

> In general, concepts represent regulating (or selective) systems in the mental organizations of the individual \_ systems which link separate sensory impressions, which depend upon past experience, and which are organized apart from direct sensory data. (p. 26)

In tracing the evolution of concepts, Vygotsky (1962) describes three phases of development. During what he terms Phase One, objects are linked in the child's perception by chance occurrence. Thus he refers to this developmental period as one characterized by the assembling of heaps.

As bases for grouping become more clearly organized, Vygotsky envisions a progression into Phase Two of development. During this period, various bonds and relation\_ ships are established, thus permitting the formation of word families. Bonds formed at this time, however, remain concrete and factual rather than abstract or logical. Thus Vygotsky considers this phase of development to be character\_ ized by thinking in complexes.

Only during the final stage of development, occurring at about the time of puberty, does Vygotsky recognize the advent of true concepts. At this time, he considers that "the child's mental development itself has reached the requisite level" (p. 82) to permit concept formation. An analysis of Vygotsky's view of the process involved is contained in his statement:

> Concept formation is the result of a complex activity, in which all the basic intellectual functions take part. The process cannot, however, be reduced to association, attention, imagery, inference or determining tendencies. They are all indispent sable but they are insufficient without the use of the sign, or word, as the means by which we direct our mental operations, control their course and channel them toward the solution of the problem confronting us. (p. 58)

The developmental aspect of concept formation is also stressed throughout the work of Jean Piaget. He considers concepts to be synonymous with schemata, defined as "cognitive structures used to process and identify incoming stimuli". (in Wadsworth, 1971, p. 101). As the child develops and his experiences broaden, Piaget would contend that schemata become both more numerous and more refined.

These changes in Schemata are accounted for by the postulation of two alternate processes. According to Piaget, the process of assimilation permits the expansion of schemata, thus allowing the organization of new stimuli into existing schemata. When assimilation is impossible because of unique characteristics of a new stimuli, the process of accommodation comes into play. This process permits the modification of existing schemata or the creation of new schemata as necessary.

To ensure an internal balance, Piaget proposes a condition of equilibrium towards which the child strives so as to achieve consistency within his cognitive structures. Thus for Piaget, concept development is accounted for by a cumulative integration of experiences.

Despite the apparent consensus with regard to the developmental aspect of concept formation, Vygotsky and Piaget differ strongly as to the effects of instruction. Piaget views readiness as a function of general cognitive maturity. Thus he plays down the influence of verbal adult.

child interaction, claiming that the child's activity rather than his language is the main factor in his cognitive devel. opment. Piaget (1965) illustrates this view in stating:

> It is a great mistake to suppose that a child acquires the notion of number and other mathematical concepts just from teaching. On the contrary, to a remarkable degree he develops them himself, independently and spontaneously. When adults try to impose mathematical concepts on a child prematurely, his dearning is merely verbal; true understanding of them comes only with his mental growth. (p. 06)

According to Vygotsky, (1962) however, both instruction and imitation play an important part in conceptual develop\_ ment. Anus he contends:

> What the child can do in cooperation today he can do alone tomorrow. Therefore the only good kind of instruction is that which marches ahead of develop\_ ment and leads it. (p. 104)

In his discussion of the concept of readiness, Bruner presents a view similar, to that of Vygotsky. Bruner (1973) suggests:

> Readiness is a half truth because it turns out that one teaches readiness or provides opportunities for its nurture, one does not simply wait for it. (p. 473)

In the tradition of information \_ processing theorists, Klausmeir et al (1974) also contend that concepts are learned rather than emerge through maturation. In a conceptual learning and development (CLD) model, they outline four successive levels of concept attainment: concrete level. identity level, classificatory level and formal level. The cognitive operations involved in attainment at each level are specified. With each successive level, Klausmeier et al suggest that the stimulus properties which are processed become increasingly more sharply differentiated and abstracted. Accordingly, the concept attained becomes increasingly usable and valid as higher levels of mastery are achieved.

In discussing the influence of language on the concept of attainment, Klausmeier et al contend that acquiring and remembering the name of a concept ma, occur at any of the four levels. However, they suggest that the younger the child is upon attainment, the less likely he is to be capable of providing this name. Only upon reaching the highest level is the name of the concept considered to be essential. Nevertheless, Klausmeier et al (1974) contend that language may facilitate development at any level, for:

> Having the labels of concepts enables the individual to think in symbols rather than in images and to attain other concepts through language experience in the absence of perceptible instances. (p. 21)

Despite the variation among theoretical positions, research within the area of concept formation has produced a sufficient fund of knowledge to make possible a general statement of principles. Such a summary is presented by Vinacke (1954) as follows:

- "1. increasing age (signifying accumulation
  - of experience) is the single most important variable in concept formation.
  - Progress in learning concepts is a continuous and cumulative affair,<sup>0</sup> rather than occurring in distinct phases.
  - 3. Earlier concept learning provides a preparation for later development.
  - 4. Among the most important specific changes which take place with increasing age are the following:
    - a. Progression from simple to complex concepts.
    - b. Progression from diffuse to differentiated concepts.
    - c. Progression from egocentric to more objective concepts.
    - d. Progression from concrete to abstract concepts.

Ċ

- e. Progression from variable to more stable concepts.
- f. Progression from inconsistent to more consistent and accurate concepts.
- 5. Concept formation involves processes which cannot be inferred from either mental age or vocabulary."

(Vinacke, 1954, pp. 532-533)

Rather than inferring that developmental changes are essentially the same for all children, however, Vinacke (1959) suggests the following:

It is closer to the truth to define continuing processes, rather than separate stages, and to recognize sufficiently variations among children. The learning of children is a matter of continuously unfolding abilities within wide ranges of potential. (p. 529)

V. COGNITIVE STYLE

Variation in conceptual development has also been explored through the investigation of psychological processes represented in categorization and conceptual. ization styles. The construct of cognitive style, as defined by Kagan, Moss and Sigel (1963) refers to "stable individual preferences in mode of perceptual organization and conceptual categorization of the external environment. (p. 74)

Several studies (Clayton and Jackson, 1961; Gardner, 1953; Sloane, 1959) have suggested that individuals vary consistently in the degree of differentiation imposed upon objects and events when categorization is required. Such consistencies have been demonstrated to persist over time, and appear to be largely independent of the level of abstraction at which categorization occurs. (Gardner et al. 1962)

Originally, Gardner (1953) described these individual consistencies as "a dimension of equivalence range dispositions". Thus he postulated that subjects who divided a heterogeneous array of objects into numerous groups were persons high in conceptual differentiation (and having a narrow equivalence range). Those who categorized in terms of few groups were accordingly attributed with having a wide equivalence range. In further studies, however, Gardner revised his conception of the equivalence range dimension, extending its inclusion to a larger group of cognitive control principles. Such a revision is in keeping with his statement (1962) that:

é .

(t)he obvious conclusion to be drawn .. is that concept formation and the cognitive control principles involved in the individual's style of concept formation are more complex than has ordinarily been assumed. (p. 2)

The processes underlying individual response tenden. cies have been extensively investigated by Kagan, Moss and Sigel (1963). Initial experiments involved the presentation of a series of stimulus arrays of human figures to a group of adult subjects. Individual subjects were requested to indicate the figures which they considered to belong together. The selected groups were then classified as representing one of two basic orientations (egocentric or stimulus\_centred).

The basis of organization employed was then analyzed according to previously determined criteria. Responses designated within the <u>analytic\_descriptive</u> category referred to those groupings based on similarities in objective elements which were an observable part of the total stimuli. The <u>inferential\_categorical</u> class referred to groupings where some inference about the stimuli grouped

together was assumed. The <u>relational</u> category referred to groupings based on a functional relationship between or among the stimuli involved.

Upon analysis of their findings with adults, individual assessments of several personality variables were made and correlated with the conceptual preferences revealed by the sorting task. Tentative conclusions suggested that "an analytic attitude may influence the quality of many kinds of cognitive products". (p. 79)

In an attempt to investigate the development of an analytic attitude, Kagan, Moss and Sigel modified their instrument for use with children. A set of thirty cards, each containing three black and white drawings, was subsequently developed. For each stimulus, the subject was requested to select those two that were alike or went together in some way. Their studies, as well as those conducted independently (Chiu, 1972; Sigel, 1967; Gray and Knief, 1975) have indicated a general increase in the incidence of analytic responses as a function of grade level. The preferred response or individual cognitive style revealed by their measures has also been demonstrated to be moderately stable over time.

Throughout the investigations of Kagan and his associates, one may infer a preference for an analytic style or • attitude. Responses designated as relational are considered to represent a passive reaction to the stimuli, thus in\_ volving low\_order thinking. Analytic responses, however, 47

 $(\cdot, \cdot)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ 

are considered indicative of a more reflective approach wherein stimuli are actively differentiated.

c Strong disagreement with this interpretation, however, is expressed by Wallach and Kogan (1965). In discussing the meaning of the relational mode they contend:

> While relational responses might have such meaning in the case where themas are obvious, it seemed reasonable that relational responding on an object\_ sorting task might have much in common with creativity, since such responding would represent a free\_wheeling, non\_ conventional approach in the face of instructions encouraging abstraction. (p. 141)

Accordingly, they attempted to investigate the links be\_ tween categorization behavior, intelligence and creativity.

Within their examinations, Wallach and Kogan (1965) obtained measures of categorization breadth (using an adaptation of the Pettigrew category width test and a modified form of the Gardner object\_sorting test), concept\_ ualization (as analyzed by the criteria employed by th Kagan group) and thematic integration (considered to be another aspect of conceptual style). Results indicated a relationship between broad category width and a high degree of creativity (as measured by the thematic integration task), particularly in the case of female subjects. A less direct relationship between conceptual style and intelligence or creativity was evident. With male subjects, the bulk of responses designated relational was supplied by subjects either high or low in both intelligence and creativity. However, this mode of response was infrequently employed by-boys high in intelligence but low in creativity. This particular group of subjects was characterized by an inferential conceptual style. As a result of these findings, Wallach and Kogan (1965) conclude:

> .. it is quite evident that categorizing and conceptualizing activities have much to do with creativity and intelligence. ... Clearly the time is now ripe for the creativity\_intelligence issue to assume its proper perspective within the domain of the cognitive processes. (p. 142)

Lundsteen and Michael (1966) and later Lundsteen (1974) elected to explore the relationship between stimulus material and cognitive style. In both studies, identification of 'dominant preference' was based upon the stated criteric "an incidence of at least forty percent of answers within a particular category and at least ten percent less in any other category". (1974 p. 271) Categories of style were designated as abstract, functional or concrete. Individual choices of meaning were analyzed accordingly. Although evidence of development along a concrete to abstract dimension (as revealed by preferred choice) was indicated, the complexity of stimulus material utilized was also revealed as a variable affecting cognitive preference.

As indicated by the diverse research methods and findings which have been discussed, the complexity of the process of concept development becomes apparent. In seeking a fuller understanding of the process, we may be guided by

### the words of Gardner and Schoon (1962):

human concept formation will be adequately understood only when effective laws are formulated for both the general phenomena of concept formation and the organ\_ ization of relevant cognitive structures in the individual. (p. 1)

#### VI. SUMMARY

. .

This chapter has reviewed the literature and research relevant to an investigation of classification strategies employed by children.

Despite the diversity of opinion expressed herein as to the extent and nature of the relationship between language and conceptual activity, it is generally conceded that a relationship does exist. Upon examination of the various coding systems represented through languages, cultural differences in both knowledge and experience are apparent. This is not to suggest that one language is superior to another, but rather to emphasize the function of language and its subsequent influence upon cognitive processes. Each symbolic system known to man serves equally well within its context in allowing the sharing of relevant thoughts, ideas and desires. As Orr and Cappannari (1967) state:

# the spoken word is the external aspect of the internal dream and symbol. (p. 63)

Words and their meanings are connected to experience through mediation by our nervous systems. These connections, are arbitrary. Word meanings are learned, they do not

50

12.1

instinctively occur. As the child gains a greater degree of direct and 'language' experience, what he means by a word may change accordingly. In determining the level or stage of development attained, however, indirect means of assessment must of necessity be employed. Recent research. ers have sought an understanding of the underlying processes of word meaning. Although verbal responses continue to provide the most accessible means of vocabulary assessment, reliance upon measures thus obtained may be inadequate.

The coucial test of the attachment of meaning lies in the ability to identify new instances of what is named. Words, however, seldom name particular things but rather represent classes or categories of meaning. The capacity for classification thus facilitates the storage and retrieval of necessary information within the human brain. Theories concerning the process of classification have generally adopted a developmental perspective since increasing discriminative abilities among children are readily apparent. Individual variations in ability have been extensively investigated, with a consensus that deve\_ lopmental trends and preferred strategies of classification may well affect the resulting cognitive product.

Investigations as to the nature and development of concepts have also been numerous and varied. The complex\_ ity of the process involved becomes apparent upon examina\_ tion of the divergent theoretical approaches which have been put forward. A lack of agreement persists not only

as to how concepts are formed, but also as to how and when they should be taught. If learning experiences are to be designed so as to enhance concept development, a more comprehensive means of diagnosis would prove invaluable. As suggested by Russell (1956):

> When vocabulary tests develop more as tests of depth, breadth, precision, and application, they should come still closer to being tests of concepts understood by the child. (p. 124)

Individual variations in conceptual development have recently been attributed to various aspects of cognitive style. Subsequent studies have explored response tendencies and investigated their possible determinants. Although reported findings may be open to interpretation, such research contributes to our understanding of individual differences. If our educative goal is to assist each student to reach his or her full cognitive potential, such an understanding must be considered imperative.

#### CHAPTER 3

### DESIGN OF THE STUDY

Within this chapter the design of the study is reviewed. Procedures for the selection of the sample are outlined. The Pilot Study (which was conducted prior to data collection) is described, and subsequent procedural modifications outlined. A rationale and description of the instruments selected for use in the study is then presented. A description of the methods employed in the collection of data follows, and the chapter concludes with a description of the methods employed in the classification of responses and subsequent analysis of the data.

# I. SELECTION OF THE SAMPLE

The sample for this study was selected from a grade five classroom of one school within the Edmonton Public School System. Because of the small school population, the single grade five classroom was heterogeneous in composition (i.e. children of all ability levels were represented). In addition, since only one classroom teacher was involved, the bias arising from teacher effect could be reduced considerably. The community served by the school was somewhat atypical with regard to socioeconomic status. According to information obtained from the school principal a wide range of SES (from low to high) was represented within the school population. Thus the sample was

considered to be typical of a cross\_section of grade five students in Edmonton.

From the selected classroom, children within the age range of eleven years plus or minus four months were determined. In addition to the age criterion, the sample was controlled by excluding students with known hearing or vision problems, students with English as a second language, and students with known learning problems. As equal number of males and females was represented among the twenty children meeting the selection criteria.

Selection of this particular age group was based upon Piaget's formulation of stages of cognitive development. Children between the ages of seven and eleven are generally considered to be at a concrete operational level during which time the ability to classify becomes operative. At a later age (or stage), the period of formal operations is marked by an extension of cognitive abilities. Thus child\_ ren within the age range selected for this study were assumed to be operating at a concrete operational level, with some transition into the formal operational level likely occurring.

Although intelligence was not employed as a selection factor, the most recent IQ scores available for each of the twenty selected subjects were collected from the cumulative record cards. Standardized vocabulary test scores on the Stanford Achievement Test were also made available following the collection of data.

### II. PILOT STUDY

A pilot study was conducted in April of 1977. Four students (two males and two females) meeting the selection criteria were randomly chosen from the population of a large elementary school. All students were individually interviewed and presented with two tasks consecutively. Order of presentation of the tasks was alternated between subjects.

Task One consisted of an adaptation of the Sigel Cognitive Style Test. Individual responses were tape\_\_\_\_\_ recorded and then transcribed. All subjects responded to each set of pictures and were able to provide verbal ex\_\_\_\_\_ planations for their choices. Following the presentation, each subject was interviewed as to the task itself. All indicated that the pictures were easily identified and that the directions were clear.

Task Two required the sorting, labelling and explanation for grouping of a series of items. A combination of objects, picture cards and word cards was employed (five of each). Although three levels of 'abstraction' were represented within the stimulus materials, none of the subjects appeared to differentiate between them (by separating the three levels into distinct groups). The inclusion of the picture cards was found to be somewhat limiting since the objects depicted tended to be literally interpreted. For example, a picture of a watch would not be employed as an action word represented by the same name (whereas it was felt that a multiple meaning might be sti\_ mulated by a word alone). Consequently, this second task was replaced by the Coull Word Sort Task which was develop\_ ed by the investigator.

In addition to this task revision, the pilot study helped to clarify procedures to be utilized. Instructions were standardized and an average time allotment of thirty minutes per student per task was determined. A decision was also made to separate the tasks (present them in two separate testing periods) so as to enhance the level of concentration.

### III. INSTRUMENTATION

#### Rationale

Since the major purpose of the present study was to explore and describe the process of classification, an in\_ direct means of observation was necessarily employed. By observing the modes of perceptual organization selected, and eliciting a verbal label or explanation for each classification instance, some insight into the conceptual processes which might be operating was sought.

Although perceptual and conceptual processes have traditionally been considered to be separate and somewhat distinct, their interrelationship has recently been explored through variants of the stigations concerned with cognitive strategies of the stigation concerned with this area has primarily some to provide some explanation for the wide range of individual differences in cognitive product which are readily observable among children of similar age and intelligence. Despite the various interpretations which have been proposed, the construct of cognitive style has generally referred to "a self\_consistent mode of behavior in which an individual approaches cognitive demands". (Yu, 1977, p. 25)

Evidence of consistent individual variation or style in both perceptual and conceptual processing behavior is readily available, yet the extent and nature of the relationship between the two processes continues to be debated. Bruner (1956) goes so far as to contend that "perception involves an act of categorization" (p. 7), thus inferring that similar (though not identical) processes of inference are applied in both perceptual and conceptual activities. For the purposes of the present study, the conclusions of Turner (1975) are adopted with regard to this debate:

> (I)t is thus appropriate to consider perceptual development as a necessary component in the understanding of cognitive development. A person's thinking is influenced by his perception and what he perceives is to a greater or lesser extent influenced by what he thinks. (p. 48)

In their analysis of individual differences in the processing of information, Kagan et al (1963) identified and described three distinctive styles of conceptual classification (descriptive, relational\_contextual and categorical\_inferential). Evidence of a particular style

was inferred from an analysis of grouping responses on a test designed for their study. Since general behavioral tendencies in the analysis and differentiation of external stimuli have been validated by use of this instrument (Kagan et al 1964; Chiu, 1972), an adaptation of their methods was employed in this study.

As a means of exploring individual strategies in a less structured situation requiring the classification of words rather than pictures, the Coull Word Sort Task was subsequently developed. Since the array of words selected could be sorted in numerous ways, it was intended that the categories to be utilized would be generated by each subject, As suggested by Wallach and Kogan (1965) such an approach might be termed a 'category\_search operation':

> Essentially, subjects must decide how narrow or broad a range of objects can be justifiably grouped together as "equivalent" in some respect. Breadth of categorization, in other words, is reflected in the number of grouping used in fulfilling the task requirements. (p. 97)

Thus by combining the information revealed by these two measures, it was intended that a basis for the description of both inter\_and intra\_child differences in task performance be established. A more specific description of the tasks is presented in the following. Task One: Sigel Cognitive Style Test

The Sigel Cognitive Style Test (henceforth referred to as the SCST) is an adaptation of the conceptual style test children which was developed by Kagan, Moss and Sigel in 1963. Originally consisting of forty\_four items, the test was later revised to include thirty items. (As a result of this revision, it was claimed by the authors that the least discriminating items had been eliminated). Using this revised test form, the corrected spl t\_half reliability coefficient in one study conducted by the authors was repor\_ ted as .94 (recorded in Johnson and Bommarito, 1971).

Stimuli included in the test were designed to elicit specific types of conceptual categorization. <u>Descriptive</u>\_ <u>analytic</u> responses are defined as "pairings based on a verbalized similarity in observable attributes of the stimuli". <u>Relational\_contextual</u> responses are those based on a functional or thematic relationship between two stimuli <u>Categorical\_inferential</u> responses include pairings based on a simi rity in inferred qualities or attributes or involve a language convention.

A similar basis for categorization of responses was employed by Sigel (1967) in his adapted test form, but various sub\_classes for each type of response were identified and illustrated. Items included in the SCST originally numbered thirty\_five, but are currently in the process of revision by Sigel.

In the present study, a set of fourteen picture sets which had been revised by Yu (1977) for use in an ongoing study were employed. The stimuli were standardized so that

all pictures were in the form of black\_and\_white drawings. (Sigel's original picture set contained a mixture of photo\_ graphs and drawings). In addition, repetition of pictures in the revised form by Yu were completely eliminated, thus controlling for any possible interference created by the appearance of a picture within one set which had previously been part of another. All pictures within the remaining sets had previously been validated by Yu in her investi\_ gations as to identity and familiarity of the objects depicted.

In this adapted form of the SCST (as in all previous forms of the test), each set of stimuli contained a triad of ictures representing various combinations of people and familiar objects. Subjects were requested to pick out the pictures that were alike or went together in some way, then state the basis for grouping in each instance.

A further modification of the original instrument involved performance time. In Sigel's investigations, a time limitation of 75 seconds for each triad of pictures was employed. In the present study, it was considered that a time limitations might restrict the number or type of responses given. Accordingly, no time limitations were imposed. For purposes of exploring the time factor, however, beginning and ending times were recorded for each performance.

# Task Two: Coull Word Sort Task

The Coull Word Sort Task (henceforth referred to as					
the CWST) was developed by the investigator as a ans of					
observing both the classification processes $emplo_j$ and					
lexibility of strategies available to children within the					
ample. "wenty_two nouns delineating familiar objects were					
select f inclusion. A list of the words employed is					
presented ow:					

(1) typewriter	(2) radio	(3) telephone
(4) rocket	(5) furnace	(6) raft
(7) wheeld hir	(8) truck	(9) sailboat
(10) kite	(11) skidoo	(12) ship '
(13) train	(14) skateboard	(15) crutches
(16) airplane	(17) bicycle	(18) car
(19) tractor	(20) baby buggy	(21) skates
(22) drver		

Following the selection of stimuli to be ended, possible bases for grouping or classifying were validated using two experts familiar with the behavior of children at the selected age level. Several possible means of classification were determined. (See Appendix A) This led the investigator to conclude that ample scope for variable classification was present within the 36 stimuli.

Selected words were printed on individual cards, and the level of difficulty assessed using two subjects meeting the age criterion. All stimuli were determined to be readily

٩.

ŧ

· E
recognized. Task performance by these subjects indicated a range in number of groups produced as well as variability in the bases for classification of the stimuli.

### Stanford Achievement Test in Reading

The Stanford Achievement Test (SAT) is a standardized reading test published by Harcourt, Brace and World Inc., New York. Since its original publication in 1923, it has undergone four revisions.

The Intermediate II form of the test is designed to be used with pupils from the middle of grade 5 to the end of grade 6. Two separate tests, Word Meaning and Paragraph Meaning, are included. Within the accompanying testing manual, the following uses of test results are suggested:

- "1. to provide an objective measure of the achievement level of each pupil, to be used as a basis for planning individualized instruction.
- 2. to compare present and past achievement in order to determine and evaluate the rate of progress.
- 3. to obtain data on the range of ability.
- 4. to provide information to be used in grouping the pupils in a class for instructional purposes.
- 5. to provide a basis for reporting pupils' achievement to parents.
- 6. to aid in the evaluation of each pupil's achievement in the 1: ght of age, mental ability, and other fac (SAT, Dire is for Administering, p. 10)

Reliability data for the Intermediate II Reading Tests are also provided in the test manual. Corrected split\_half reliability coefficients of .89 and .93 are supplied for



the Word Meaning and Paragraph Meaning tests respectively. With regard to validity, the manual suggests that it "is best thought of as the extent to which the content of the test constitutes a representative sample of the skills and knowledges which are the goals of instruction". (p. 11)

#### IV. COLLECTION OF THE DATA

Prior to the individual interviews, a classroom visit was conducted during which time the interviewer was introduced to the class. An explanation of the purpose and general procedures of the study was provided to the entire group. Assurance was given that this was not a test for report card purposes. (Because of the time of year, this appeared to be a possible source of anxiety). Students were also assured there were no right or wrong answers, that what they personally thought was the type of information sought.

A few days later, the series of individual interviews was begun in a separate room at the school. The interview\_ ing period extended from May 2 to May 12, 1977 with normal school hours and breaks being observed. To control for the possible interference of order of presentation, those students meeting the selection criteria were randomly assigned to one of two groups (each containing ten subjects). Group One was given the Sigel Test first, and the procedure was reversed for Group Two, who began with the Word Sort Task. For all subjects, a minimum of one\_half day elapsed between presentation of the two tasks.

Procedure during the Sigel Test was standardized, beginning with oral instructions (see Appendix B) and an explanation of the presence of the tape recorder. All picture sets were displayed on individual pages of a ringed binder which was placed on a table top facing the subject. An invitation was excended to simply turn the page when a set was 'completed' (although some subjects indicated verb\_ aldy that they were done or waited for the page to be turned for them). Individual responses were tape\_recorded and simultaneously noted by use of a prepared record sheet. Anecdotal observations of non\_verbal behavior (gestures, facial expressions, eye contact etc.) were also recorded. Following each interview, a verbatim transcription of res\_ ponses was made within forty\_eight hours of the recording. At this time as well, anecdotal observations were clarified or expanded as necessary.

€-}

Throughout the testing situation, probing as to reasons for the grouping was used when such information was not volunteered. The form of the probe was a question such as "how do those two go together?" or "could you tell me how they belong together?". Similarly, when a single pair was selected for a particular set of pictures (usually followed by a long pause), an attempt to determine whether or not the set was completed was made by inquiring "anything else?"

Although no time limitations were set, beginning and ending times were noted for each performance. Since Sigel (1967) restricted the time allowed per set of pictures to

75 seconds, the effects of this limitation on responses produced was checked by analysis of each tape. Only one response for a single child was found to be incomplete during a period of 75 seconds, and the degree of discrepancy was 5 seconds containing "and ... uh ... (pause) ... that's all". Therefore, the time factor was considered to be insignificant as to the quantity of responses.

Procedure for the Coull Word Sort Task also included standardized oral instructions (see Appendix C). The twenty\_two words were printed on plasticized 2" by 4" cards. These were shuffled before each interview and presented to the subject in the form of a deck. Four large sheets of paper, each divided into six boxes, were available along with a choice of writing instruments.

Invitations to regroup the cards were continued until the subject verbally indicated or responded that he had finished. After the second sort had been completed, a suggestion was made that the entire set of cards might be displayed on the table top and looked over (if this pro\_ cedure had not been spontaneously utilized). Similarly, after several groups had been recorded by the subject, an offer was made by the interviewer that the remaining groups might be dictated. Although no time limits were set, two subjects were "cut\_off" after forty minutes since evidence of fatigue was observable in task performance.

Following the designation of stimuli which belonged together, subjects were requested to provide a title for

each group. By such means, it was deemed possible to determine the method of organization employed in the formulation of each group. In addition, the necessity for verbal explanation would restrict random combinations of words.

Following each interview, individual records were consolidated and anecdotal observations which had been briefly made during the task were expanded and clarified. A common checklist was used as a guide, but additional notes were also recorded after the interview had been completed.

The SAT was administered by the classroom teacher early in June, 1977 as a part of general testing procedures. Directions for administration were followed as outlined in the testing manual. Time limitations of twelve minutes for the Word Meaning Test and thirty minutes for the Para\_ graph Meaning Test were applied. Tests were machine scored through the Edmonton Public School Board facilities, and percentiles as determined for each subject were made avail\_ able to the investigator. Since Word Meaning test scores are more generally considered indicative of vocabulary assessment than are Paragraph Meaning test scores (which indicate general comp shension abilities), only the percentile scores for this sub\_test were recorded and subsequently employed in analysis.

ప

-1

#### V. SCHEMA FOR CLASSIFICATION OF RESPONSES

Following the Pilot Study, a common method for classifying the responses on both tasks was devised. The scoring categories described by Sigel (1967) were used as the basis for the schema, with additions and revision of categories as deemed necessary from the responses made available by the Pilot Study.

The three major categories or style dimensions employed by Kagan et al (1963) and Sigel (1967) were adopted as defined. An additional miscellaneous category was included to account for those responses which did not fit into the three general categories (Descriptive, Relational\_Contextual or Categorical\_Inferential). Within this new category, responses based upon enumeration, those in which no expla\_ nation was provided, or those based upon contrast were included.

Order of the two descriptive sub\_classes (part\_whole and global) used by Sigel was reversed, since global descriptions are generally considered to be indicative of a lesser degree of differentiation than is indicated by a description based upon an analysis of parts.

Although no hierarchical structure is intended by Sigel in his scoring categories, it appears that the revised schema developed by the present investigator does imply a general progression of conceptual complexity. This is not to say that the sub-group defined as  $C_5$  (see below)

 $\langle \rangle$ 

represents the highest attainable order of classification, but rather a greater degree of abstraction is reflected as one reads through the schema.

The following is an outline of the revised schema which was used for the classification of responses for both tasks in the study. Definitions for the Descriptive, Relational\_Contextual, and Categorical\_Inferential categor\_ ies are taken from Sigel's SCST Manual (1967). Sub\_groups were determined and examples provided by the present investigator.

#### MISCELLANEOUS RESPONSES

Those responses where the basis of selection is not specifically stated. Sub\_groups include:

E Sorts in which a description of characteristics is given on an individual basis or as a chained response. No specific basis for similarity between the objects is included. Example: this are in

Example: this one is red and this one is blue.

N Sorts in which no reason for grouping is provided. Example: these two just belong together.

# DESCRIPTIVE RESPONSES (Stimulus Centered)

Concepts which are derived directly from the physical attributes of the stimulus and ones in which the conceptual label contains a direct reference to some physical attribute present and observable in the stimulus. Sub\_groups include: Descriptive\_Global Groupings

D\_1 Sorts in which the total objective manifestations of the stimuli are the basis of similarity. Example: they are both small, both the same shape etc.

- D\_2 Sorts in which one of the sexes is grouped. Example: they are both ladies, boys etc.
- D\_3 Sorts in which discrete age categories are employed. Example: they are both children, adults etc.

Descriptive\_Analytic Groupings

- D\_4 Sorts in which observable parts or components are the basis of similarity. Example: they both have 2 legs, 4 wheels, the same color
  - hair, same clothing etc.
- D\_5 Sorts based upon similarity of structural material. Example: both are made of wood.

#### RELATIONAL \_ CONTEXTUAL RESPONSES

Concepts which are used to the together or relate two or more people, objects, events or ideas. In this category no stimulus is an independent instance of the concept; each stimulus selected gets its meaning or definition from a relationship with other stimuli.

Sub\_groups include:

- R\_1 Sorts which are based on themes, plots or stories where no specific category is used; sort implies interaction. Example: he might have killed this man, she is giving him food etc.
- R\_2 Sorts in which the instances are related in space (geographic location, domicile) or time. Example: they both swim in water, are found in the kitchen, are used in winter etc.
- R\_3 Sorts in which the stimuli are associated on the basis of a common action or behavior.
   Example: they both move fast, both lay eggs etc.
- R\_4 Sorts based on a comparison of the stimulus objects. Example: these two are smaller than that one.
- R\_5 Sorts based upon an understood relationship or inter\_ dependence between the elements in a grouping.
   Example: they could be mother and son, the ruler could belong to the man, the horse pulls the wagon etc.

#### CATEGORICAL \_ INFERENTIAL

A group of objects is put together where each instance in the sort is representative of the total class. Each instance is not interdependent. Characteristics are not necessarily observable. A class label is used or an inference is made. Sub\_groups include:

- C\_1 Sorts in which the objects are grouped on the basis of a common function, use, or action upon them. Example: You can sit on them, they all work for a living etc.
- C\_2 Sorts in which objects or figures are grouped on the basis of an inherent or inferred common class. Example: They are both means of transportation, maids, etc.
- C\_3 Sorts in which figures or objects are grouped on the basis of an inferred quality or attribute. Example: they are both juicy, young, have seeds etc.
- C\_4 Sorts in which figures are grouped on the basis of a common affect state. Example: bhey are both sad, feeling angry etc.
- C\_5 Sorts in which a value jud ment is made which evaluates something as it intrinsic worth or purpose. Example: these things are important for men, are useful things etc.

Examples of verbatim responses classified according to this schema are contained in Appendix D.

### VI. RELIABILITY OF THE SCHEMA FOR CLASSIFYING RESPONSES

The reliability of the investigator's analysis of the S's responses was established by two independent judges. A representative sample of the responses (10%) was analyzed following a familiarization session with the investigator. During this-session, the schema to be employed was explained and examples for each type of response wore provided and discussed. Each judge was supplied with a written set of criteria for classification of the responses.

Inter\_scorer agreements between the investigator and the two judges was calculated on the basis of the Arrington formula (1930):

#### 2 x agreements 2 x agreements + disagreements

The percentag of greement for the Sigel test were 96.6% and 97.4%, for the word sort task 93.4% and 95.0%. These percentages indicate that the reliability of the scoring may be considered satisfactory.

In the few instances where there was disagreement, the judges and the investigator discussed the criteria as exemplified and their application to the responses in question. As a result of these discussions, a decision was made to include a third sub\_class under the miscellan\_ eous category. This sub\_class to be designated CON was defined as following:

CON \_ Sorts in which a contrast between objects is identified. Rather than providing a basis for grouping, differences between the stimuli are described.

Example: this is an animal but that's a person. As revealed by the discussion, some responses of this type had been included in the Relational\_Contextual sub\_group designated R\_4. The consensus was that some differentia\_ tion between groupings made on the basis of comparison or contrast was necessary, since the former implied an identification of similarities while the latter failed to provide a basis for putting objects together. Accordingly, all responses were re\_classified on the basis of this distinction.

#### VII. TREATMENT OF THE DATA

To discover the nature of classification strategies employed by eleven year old children, verbal explanations of performance on two distinct tasks were classified according to a common schema. Characteristics of individual responses were described, and the proportion of responses per subject within each of four categories appropriately determined.

The measures of preference employed was adopted from the work of Lundsteen and Michael (1974). Accordingly, dominant preference was defined as "an incluence of at least forty percent of answers within a particular category and at least ten percent less in any other category". (p. 271) Using this criterion, the incidence of dominant preference revealed by task performance was determined. To determine the degree of flexibility within classification strategies, a Multiple Classification Index was devised. Upon analysis of task performance on the Coull Word Sort Task, individual Multiple Classification Indices

Using the measures thus obtained, as well as the per\_ centiles available for the Stanford Achievement vocabulary sub\_test, statistical correlation coefficients were deter\_ mined. The computer services of the Division of Educational Research Services of the University of Alberta Faculty of Education were employed in this regard.

As previously stated, individual anecdotal observations were made throughout performances on the two tasks. Information thus obtaiged was compared subjectively with that revealed by the Multiple Classification Indices to determine whether or not observable behavioral differences might be related to flexibility of categorization.

To examine any possible differences in performance between boys and girls, a series of t\_tests were calculated. R ationships between the number of responses produced, time required for task completion, and proportionate responses within each classification category were explored.

#### CHAPTER 4

÷,

### ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

Within this chapter the findings of the study are presented and interpreted. Characteristics of pupil responses as revealed in the performances of two separate tasks are described. Results of analyse's of proportionate responses within each of four categories are presented and discussed. The incidence of dominant preference as revealed by task performances is reported and compared with findings obtained in previous studies. A discussion of flexibility in categorization strategies follows, with variations in equivalence range identified as potential determinants of individual differences in categorization behavior. A ration\_ ale for and description of the Multiple Classification Index developed for this study is provided. Results of a comparison of performance revealed by this measure with that indicated by percentile scores on a standardized achievement test are presented and discussed. Differences in performances of boys and girls (analyzed by means of t\_tests) are interpreted. The chapter concludes with a summary of the findings.

### I CHARACTERISTICS OF PUPIL RESPONSES

## Task One: Sigel Cognitive Style Test (SCST)

Fourteen sets of three pictures were individually presented to each subject who was requested to designate pairs or groups that belonged together. All responses were

recorded and transcribed. The number of responses by an individual subject ranged from 18 to 84, with an average response total for the group of 33.65. Although no time limitations were set, beginning and completion times were recorded. Time required for the completion of the task ranged from 5 to 14 minutes, with a mean time of 9.65 minutes for the group.

Using the previously described scheme, all individual responses were analyzed as to category. The proportions of responses within each category to the total responses provided by each subject were determined. Results of this analysis are shown in Table 1.

Proportionate responses for each of the twenty subjects are indicated under each of the four category headings. (Table 1) Thus these proportions when added by row represent 100% of the responses provided by a particular subject. Bracketed numbers indicate the actual number of responses within each category.

The criterion for dominant preference employed in this study was previously defined as "an incidence of at least forty percent of answers within a particular category and at least ten percent less in any other category". Dominant preference as revealed by performance on this task was determined accordingly for each subject. This preference is indicated in the extreme right column of Table 1.

PROPORTION OF RESPONSES PER CATEGORY ON

ABLE 1

Ĉ.

THE SIGEL COGNITIVE STYLE TEST

Preference Dominant ч С R C 20 Responses Total 20 46 24 27 42 33 54 19 30 82 33 33 Categorical\_ Inferential .310 . 292 .292 .267 .152 .159 .333 .174 .303 ,283 .211 .35 (13) (01) (13) (17) (13) (<sup>2</sup>) 5 (†) (2) (6) (8) (2) Relational\_ Contextual .262 ~303 . 296 .292 .583. .433 .455 .305 .565 .391 .421 .20 (10)(15) (13) (11) (18) (11) (13) (22) (8) (8) (2) (7) Descriptive • .368 .429 417 .217 .364 .207 .261 .333 .125 .233 .333 077. 4 (10) (11) (10) (18) (12) (12) (8) (9) (2) (e) (6) (2) **Miscellaneous** a, .030 .067 .037 .109 329 .061 .05 55 (2)<sup>a</sup>  $\sim$ (27) <u>0</u> 7 Ξ 6 (2) 0 0 <u></u> N N 7 Subject 2 0 

Èr.

76

é

TABLE 1 (continued) PROPORTION OF RESPONSES PER CATEGORY ON

THE SIGEL COGNITIVE STYLE TEST ~

Subject		Miscellaneous	Descruptive	pt <b>ive</b>	Relational_ Contextual	lonal. tual	Catego Catego Infer	dategorical- Laterential	Total Responses	Dominant Preference	
13	(0)	•	• (2)	• 25	(11)	.393	(10)	.357	28	*	
14	(1)	• 056	(3) <sub>60</sub> 1.	.167	(13)	.722	(1)	.056	18	R_C	a.
1 S	(1)	• 018	(19)	.339	(11)	.196	(22)	944.	56	c_I	
16	(0)		. (6)	.375	v (8)	•_33	(2)	.292	24	*	
17	(9)	.130	(12)	. 261	(17)	•304	(11)	.30t	46	*	
18	(2)	•08	(2)	• 28	(12)	-87.	(1)	.16	25 25	RC	
19 ~	(0)	•	. (21)	.357	(1 <sup>1</sup> by	.333	(13)	•309	42	*	
20	(1)	.032	(15).	484.	(11)	•355	(7)	.129	31	, Q	
		× .				- 4			ŝ.		•
a Act	a Actual number	mber of respon	esponse	ses provided	tded	R.	. <b>R</b>				]]
b Nun	Number of	responses	w1t	hin category	gory :	total	total number	° res]	responses		
* No	domina	No dominant preference		(according	to .	riteri	criterion employed)	luyed)	ι		
-											

77

ÿ,

As indicated by Table 1, individual responses on the SCST varied considerably as to the categories of responses employed. Proportions within a single category ranged from 0% to 72% of total responses for an individual.

Incidence of dominant preference, however, was less clearly indicated. According to the criterion employed, 55% of the subjects revealed no dominant preference.

#### Task Two: "Coull Word Sort Task (CWST).

Twenty\_two word cards were, individually presented to each subject who was requested to sort the words into as many groups as possible. The composition of each group and the explanation or title provided was recorded. The number of groups formulated by an individual subject ranged from 8 to 33, with a mean of 15.6 for the total sample. Since each of the word cards could be used more than once, the total number of words classified was also available. This number ranged from 27 to 299, with a mean of 76.35 words classified.

Using the same classification schema that was employed for analysis of task one performance, all responses on this task were analyzed as to category. Proportions of responses within each category to the total number of reasons or titles provided for the groups were determined. Results of this analysis are shown in Table 2.

78

 $\Omega$ 

TABLE 2

PROPORTION OF RESPONSES PER CATEGORY ON

THE COULL WORD SORT TASK

. . 

<u>.</u>

	subject	TOSTW	Miscellaneous	Descriptiv	ptive	Kelational_ Conceptual		Categorical_ Inferential	Lotal Groups	lominant Preferences	
	-	(2) <sup>a</sup>	-	(1)	. 620	1	(5)	.294		1	2.
	R	(0)	د المراجع الم المراجع المراجع ا المراجع المراجع	(9)	•30		(9)	• 30	. 0 N	*	
	e	(0)		(1)	.067		(8)	•533	15	C. I	
	1	(0)		(0)			(9)	• 75	, œ	C_ I	•
(0)(0)(1)(2)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(25)(2)	ده بر کرد کرد	(0)		(17)	.182		(12)		22	C_I	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>0</b>	(0)	÷	· (0) ·	• * •		(2)		80	C_I	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0)		(0)			(17)	.667	9	C_ I	
(c) $(2)$ $(125)$ $(1)$ $(063)$ $(9)$ $(563)$ $(4)$ $(25)$ $16$ (c) $(2)$ $(105)$ $(2)$ $(105)$ $(15)$ $(789)$ $19$ (c) $(1)$ $(083)$ $(2)$ $(167)$ $(9)$ $(75 12)$ (c) $(1)$ $(071)$ $(7)$ $(50^{\circ}$ $(6)$ $429$ $14$	<b>8</b>	(0)	ų į	(0)			(8)	124.	17	* ,	њ
<ul> <li>(0) (2) .105 (2) .105 (15) .789 19</li> <li>(0) (1) .083 (2) .167 (9) .75 12</li> <li>(0) (1) .071 (7) .50<sup>5</sup> (6) .429 14</li> </ul>	6	(2)	.125	(1)	. 063		(†)	.25	16	R_C	
(0) (1) .083 (2) .167 (9) .7 <del>5</del> 12 (0) (1) .071 (7) .50 <sup>5</sup> (6) .429 14	10	(o),	ν,	(2)	.105		(12)		, 19	C_I	
(0) (1) .071 (7) .50 <sup>°</sup> (6) .429 14	11	(0)		(1)	• 083		(6)	- 22	12	c_1	1
	12	(0)		(1)	.071	.50	(9)	.429	14	*	
	9			•							

79

2 V

Preferences Dominant с Ч C.H C\_H н С Н C\_H Groups Tota1 ĥ 20 77 10 さ σ 0 Categorical\_ \* No dominant preference (according to criterion employed) Inferential .545. .643 429 .579 444 .471 .75 0<del>1</del>. b Number of groups per category 🕹 total number of groups THE COULL WORD SORT TASK (18) (11) (15) (6) (8) (1) (9) (<del>1</del> Relational\_ Conceptual .357 .368 777. 124. .571 .20 .40 60. (2) (8) (C) (11) (8) (2) (7) (†) a "Actual number of groups formulated Descriptive °364 .059 .052 .111 . 20 .05 (12) (I) (2) <u>)</u> 7 Ξ Ċ **Miscellaneous** 6 6 0 0 0 o O Subject વ્યું 20 13 ø σ 5 9 3

TABLE 2 (continued)

11-12-1-2

PROPCRTION OF RESPONSES PER CATEGORY ON

As indicated by Table 2, individual responses on the CWST also varied considerably. Proportions within a single category ranged from 0% to 79% of total responses for an individual.

Incidence of dominant preference was also seen to vary within this task as compared to performance on the SCST. Using an identical criterion of analysis, 55% of the subjects revealed a preference for categorical\_inferential responses on this task.

### II DISCUSSION OF TASK PERFORMANCE Incidence of conceptual categorizations

Using the data obtained from the analysis of individual responses, total proportions of responses within each cate\_ gory for the entire group of subjects (N=20) were obtained. Results of this analysis are presented in Table 3.

As indicated by Table 3, the whighest proportion of responses on the SCST occurred within the relational\_ contextual category (.357 of total responses). This was followed by a proportion of .305 within the descriptive category, .264 within the categorical\_inferential category and .074 in the miscellaneous category.

Performance on the CWST evidently varied as to the means of classification employed. The highest proportion of responses occurred within the categorical\_inferential category (.525 of total responses). This was followed by a proportion of .348 within the relational\_contextual

TABLE 3

• . . .

**3**2

PROPORTION OF RESPONSES PER CATEGORY

GROUP PERFORMANCES ON BOTH TASKS

(N=20)

•	•
	<b>۴</b>
	ŝ
	- ¥ 3
	C S
	S.

Category *	Number of Responses	Proportion of Responses
Miscellapeous	50	+170 <b>.</b>
Descriptixe	205	.305
Relational_Contextual	240	.357
Categorical_Inferential	178	.264
	CWST	
Miscellaneous	17	.013
Descriptive	35	.113
Relational_Contextual	108	.348
Categorical_Inferential	163	.525

27

- 82

category, .113 within the descriptive category and only .013 in the miscell yous category.

At first glance it would appear that these findings are contrary to those presented by the Kagan group. Their studies have reported "a linear developmental trend from relational to analytical conceptual styles in children ranging from age six to twelve". (Denney, 1971, p. 142) Thus in keeping with these general findings, one might expect thigher proportion of descriptive responses than were obtained in the present study.

An explanation for this apparent discrepancy may be found in the criterion of analysis employed. In analyzing adult performances on a figure\_sorting task, Kagan et al (1963) refer to those subjects who scored <u>above the median</u> on analytic concepts as "analytic\_descriptive subjects. Nonanalytic subjects are defined as "those high in relational concepts", but a quantitative criterion for analysis is not included within their reports. Since no explicit criterion is stated in their subsequent research with children, one might infer that similar definitions were employed.

It should also be noted that the research reported by Kagan et al (1963) includes a clearly stated assumption which should be considered in any interpretation of their results:

5.9

We viewed the relational response as requiring the least amount of analysis of the stimulus array. Relational concepts differ from analytic\_descriptive concepts with respect to the part\_whole analysis of the stimulus. In a relational concept each stimulus in the group retains its complete identity and is classified as a whole. In an analytic\_descriptive concept the S selects from each stimulus a specific subelement that is similar to a subelement within another stimulus. In effect, to form a descriptive concept, S usually separates figure (the element of similarity) from ground (the irrelevant aspects of the stimulus). In a relational concept the entire stimulus is figure, and there are no background elements. ... Thus descriptive concepts involve an active conceptual analysis, while relational concepts seem to involve a passive acceptance of the entire stimulus. (p. 77)

Since such an assumption was not adopted within this study, the categorization of responses may have been in. fluenced accordingly. Furthermore, the definition of "analytic" employed in the investigations of Kagan et al may have been interpreted more broadly than the definition employed in the present study (where analytic responses were categorized as "descriptive".) This issue of interpretation has also been raised by Gardner (1963) as expressed in his

1

stätement:

 $\bigcirc$ 

I was troubled in reading (Kagan's) paper, by the repeated implication that analytic responses are uniquely "analytic", since it is clearly true that responses called "inferential\_ categorical" are equally analytic and represent a considerably higher level of abstraction. (p. 113) By their own admission, the authors of the original Conceptual Style Test purposely designed stimuli that would discourage the incidence of categorical\_inferential responses. Thus the lower incidence of categorical\_inferential responses (as compared to relational\_contextual or descriptive responses) reported in studies using their materials may well be a function of the stimuli rather than the conceptual styles or preferred strategies employed by the subjects.

Despite the inconclusiveness of present investigations relating preferred style with stimulus materials, certain conclusions regarding this relationship may be drawn. The influence of both the methods and materials of assessment may well affect any indications of comptual style or strategy. Thus any statements regared odividual preferences should at present be carefully fied. As indicated by Kagan and Kagan (1971):

> Statements about individual differences in categorization strakes must contain a strong statement about materials manipulated. A child may be analytic with visual stimuli containing subtle analytic cues but superordinate with verbal representations of those objects. (p. 1308)

Findings of the present study would appear to support this point of view. The higher incidence of categorical\_ inferential responses revealed by performance on the Coull Word Sort Task may have been related to the abstraction level inherent in the stimuli (i.e. words) themselves. Similarly, the lower incidence of descriptive responses on the CWST may also have been related to the stimulus

materials themselves. Stimuli presented in pictorial form may elicit a more stimulus\_bound reaction (or one directly related to the objects depicted) than would verbal stimuli. Further investigation within this area may well contribute to our knowledge and understanding.

#### Indications of dominant preference

Λ.

Using the previously defined criterion, individual subjects were classified with respect to the predominant categories employed in performance of the two tasks. Results of this analysis were pooled, and total proportion of responses within each of the three categories (doscriptive, relational\_contextual and categorical\_inferential)<sup>b</sup> were determined as indicated in Table 4. As revealed by this analysis, 45% of the subjects displayed some distinctive style (according to the criterion employed) on Task One, while 22% of the subjects displayed some distinctive style on Task Two.

### TABLE 4

# INCIDENCE OF DOMINANT PREFERENCE

### GROUP PERFORMANCES ON BOTH TASKS

(N=20)

...

·-

-

7

Task

Proportion of Dominant Preference

SCST	Descriptive	15%
	Relational_Contextual	25%
•	Categorical_Inferential	· 5%
	No Dominant Preference	55%
IST	Descriptive	· 0%
	Relational_Contextual	15%
i	Categorical_Inferential	55%
i ž	No Dominant Preference	30%

Previous studies by Lundsteen and Michael (1966) and Lundsteen (1974) employed identical criteria in their analyses of dominant preferent. In both studies, evidence of an increased incidence of dominant preference was found. In the first study (1966) only 24% of the third grade pupils sampled exhibited a distinct preference, while a much higher proportion of incidence was displayed by the sixth\_grade pupils sampled.

In both studies, however, the incidence of a distinct preference was found to vary according to the nature of the materials employed in the assessment. In Lundsteen's study (1974) two measure's reflecting the preferred qualitative category of meaning selected by 190 children (at third and sixth grade levels) were employed. Although the reported incidence of dominant preference when simple material was employed was 21% and 41% for third and sixth grade subjects respectively, percentages of occurrence rose to 72% and 91% when more complex material was employed. Subsequently, Lundsteen concluded that both the level and depth of meaning elicited at any developmental stage may well be influenced by the stimulus material employed.

Variability in incidence of dominant preference as revealed by performance on the tasks employed in the present study may also be due to the nature of the stimulus materials themselves. It is interesting to note that both the incidence and nature of dominant preference revealed in the task requiring processing of verbal stimuli varied considerably

88 %

from that employing pictorial stimuli. This may be due to the age of the subjects, their previous experiences or a reaction to the stimuli employed. Further investigation of these factors may be advised.

t

4

•5

89

### III FLEXIBILITY OF CATEGORIZATION STRATEGIES

An alternate approach to the assessment of cognitive style involves a consideration of the range of discriminable events or objects assigned to a common class. In the investigation of tategorization behavior, individual differences have thus been attributed to variations in the "degree of differentiation spontaneously imposed upon heterogeneous arrays of objects" (Gardner and Schoen, 1962, p. .). This dimension of equivalence range (alternately termed conceptual differentiation) is conceived by Gardner to be one of a larger group of cognitive control principles which are defined

as:

dimensions of individual differences in cognitive structures that mediate the expression of particular intentions when the person is confronted with particular classes of stimulus conditions. (in Gardner and Schoen, 1962, p. 2).

The dimensional principle of conceptual differentiation has been shown to be highly consistent in numerous investigations (Marrs, 1955; Sloane, 1959; Gardner and Schoen, 1962 etc.). Methods employed have generally involved a free sorting of various objects, words or pictures. In all it tances, those subjects who preferred to differentiate the array of stimuli presented into a large number of categories or groups were considered to have a high level of conceptual differentiation (thus demonstrating a narrow equivalence range). Conversely, those subjects who

distinguished a smaller number of categories were considered to have a low level of conceptual differentiation (and a broad equivalence range).

These individual consistencies in equivalence range have also been investigated by Holzman (1954), who advocates an alternate method of analysis. He proposes a distinction between 'levellers' and 'sharpeners' and subsequently defines the tendency to sharpen as:

> a propensity to maximize stimulus differences \_ an attunoment to small gradients of difference between figure and ground. (p. 376)

Thus subjects who appear to prefer complex rather than simple organizations are considered to be 'sharpeners' (according to his definition). Conversely, 'levelers' are identified as subjects who tend to minimize stimulus differences or prefer the experience of sameness to that of dissimilarity. Consistency in these assimilation tendencies has been demonstrated in Holzman's investigations using visual, auditory and kinesthetic stimulus modes.

Breadth of categorization, therefore, appears to be reflected in the number of groups or organizations employed within an object\_sorting task. Since the Coull Word Sort Task required each subject to generate and label the categories to be employed (as well as to select the exemplars within each group), it was assumed that some measure of category breadth might be reflected in the number of groups produced. Upon further examination of the data, however, it became apparent that the number of groups

produced was influenced both by the number of sorts attempted or the method of sorting employed. Since the verbal stinuli could be used several times (depending upon the number of groups to which a particular word was considered to 'belong'), the total number of items selected and sorted was also seen to vary considerably.

Accordingly, a decision was made to devise a Multiple. Classification Index (subsequently referred to as MCI) for each subject. The index represents the total number of items sorted divided by the total number of groups produced, and is considered to represent some measure of flexibility. The index, as conceived would be influenced by the number of items sorted. Assigning a word 'membership' in various groups was considered an indication of multiple meaning, and thus of flexibility. Similarly, the index would be influenced by breadth of categorization since a small number of total groups produced could be considered to indicate a broad equivalence range (and greater flexibility as to category membership).

Results of the determination of Multiple Classification Indices are indicated in Table 5. As revealed therein, the MCI measures thus obtained ranged from 2.21 to 13.59. A considerable range in flexibility appears to be indicated as might be expected within a heterogeneous group of subjects of similar age.

#### TABLE 5

# DETERMINATION OF MULTIPLE CLASSIFICATION INDICES

### COULL WORD SORT TASK

	82555555555555555555555555555555555555	***************************************	
Subject .	Items Sort	ed Groups Produced	MCI*
- 1	48	17	2.82
2	9114	20	5.7
3	67	15	4.47
4	30	8	3.75
5	299	22	13.59
6	42	. 8	5.25
7	28	6	4.67
8	52	17	3.06
9	41	16	2.56
10	42	19	2.21
11	27	12	2.25
12	66	14	4.71
13	174	33	5.27
14	88	14	6.29
15	12	14	8.64
16	31	9	3.44
17	68	19	3.58
18	63	20	3.15
19	60	17	3.53
20	66	10	6.6

x=4.78

\* MCI = items sorted divided by groups produced.

۰.

. . .

### IV COMPARISON OF STANDARDIZED VOCABULART TEST SCORES WITH MULTIPLE CLASSIFICATION INDICES

Standardized achievement test scores represent the most common means of individual pupil assessment and subsequent instructional planning employed by a classroom teacher. Lithin the Edmonton Fublic Scholl system, the Stanford Achievement Test in Reading (SAT) is widely administered to grade five pupils at the end of the academic year. Thus this particular test was considered to represent a common means of assessment which might be employed in a typical classroom setting.

The SAT was routinely administered by the classroom teacher to all students from which the sample was drawn. Percentile scores thus obtained for the Word Meaning subsection of the test (generally considered as an assessment of vocabulary) were compared to the Multiple Classification Indices previously determined for each subject.

The relationship between these measures was investiga\_ ted by means of statistical analysis. A Pearson Product Moment coefficient of +.403 was calculated (df=18). This positive correlation approaches significance, which at the .05 level of probability would be indicated by a correlation of .444(Ferguson, 1959, p. 315).

To further investigate the relationship between SAT percentile scores and Multiple Classification Indices.

individual scores on the SAT were ranked from lowest to highest, thus allowing a distinction of two groups. Group One represented those subjects with percentile scores from 0 to 49, Group Two those with scores from 50 to 99. Correlations coefficients for the "low" and "high" groups were determined. A correlation of .492 was obtained for Group One, and .242 for Group Two, neither of which may be considered statistically significant. The effects of sample number (df=8), however, must be considered as a limitation in the interpretation of these results. Further investigation in this regard is warranted.

Despite the lack of statistical significance as determined in the above analysis, certain trends in performance may be worthy of note. Within Group One, low SAT scores may be paired with a Multiple Classification Index below the group mean in all individual instances but one. (The 'exceptional' student in this regard tended during task performance to respond very quickly. Thus excessive speed may well have contributed to her poor performance on the SAT). Within Group Two, high SAT scores may be matched with a Multiple Classification Index above the mean for 63% of the subjects. This may indicate that a low degree of flexibility is reflected in low vocabulary achievement scores. High achievement, however, may be influenced by

flexibility but may also be related to other factors such as intelligence or creativity. Such relationships are in need of further examinations.

### V FLEXIBILITY OF CATEGORIZATION AS REFLECTED IN BEHAVIORAL OBSERVATIONS

For comparative purposes, subjects were ranked in order of Multiple Classification Indices. Those subjects in rank order 1 through 10 were designated Group L, thus representing subjects low in classificatory flexibility (according to the measure employed). Those subjects in rank order 11 through 20 were designated Group H. This group considered to reflect a high degree of flexibility.

Upon examination of individual anecdotal observations recorded throughout task performance, consistent behavioral characteristics were revealed among the designated groups. These consistencies are summarized as follows. For illust\_ rative purposes, task performances of two selected subjects (one subject representative of each designated group) are described in greater detail in Appendix E.

### Characteristics of Group L Subjects (Low Flexibility)

The procedure for sorting employed by this group in performance of the CWST generally involved a process of elimination. After perusal of the stimuli presented, a small group of words was typically selected from the entire . 96

Ť.

. //4

array and piled up or set aside without comment. The next group of words was selected from the remaining number of stimuli. This procedure was generally extended until all word cards were used or only one or two cards remained. Only at this point was an attempt to label or provide verbal explanations for each selection begun. In many instances, difficulty in formulating and expressing titles for the groups produced was apparent. Comments such as "I'm not sure what to call it ... " (Subject 4) were made. Probes were frequently required to obtain reasons for the groupings, thus implying either a lack of consciousness as to the bases of selection or an inability to communicate intent on the part of Group L subjects. Titles when forthcoming were often imprecise and were expressed by phrases of several words. For example, a title such as "you talk to another person with it" (Subject 19) would be more typical of this group than would a single\_word title \_ "Communication" (Subject 2). Throughout task performance, a general lack of confidence was revealed by hesitations, facial expressions and the tentativeness of responses. Subjects frequently appeared to seek approval or direction, as evident in comments such as "Is that okay?" (Subject 14) and "Could that be a group?" (Subject 9). Inattentive behavior was also observable, as reflected in such actions as looking around the roca or fidgeting.

Upon examination of the composition of the groups formulated, a considerable degree of repetition both with
regard to exemplars and titles was evident. With each re\_sort, it appeared as if previous performance were for\_ gotten. Thus an identical basis for selection might be employed a second time (including an additional word member) or the same exemplars might be assigned a more precise title during subsequent sorts. Such occurrences, however, appeared to be more accidental than deliberate since at no time did a subject within this group express a conscious awareness of such repetitions.

Performance characteristics thus observed may have been affected by the stimuli employed or other factors such as general ingelligence, reading ability or cognitive style. Whatever the determinants of performance, however, this group of subjects appeared to be characterized by a lack of flexibility in classification strategies. If similar behavior were evident in a classroom setting, a necessity for expansion of available strategies through instruction might be implied.

Characteristics of Group II Subjects (High Flexibility) The most apparent characteristic distinguishing subjects within Group L was a tendency to select words according to an organizing idea or title and actively search for word members which might fit this criterion. Following a visual review of the stimuli to be classified, groups were quickly selected and identified. In most instances, subjects within this group first supplied a title for each organization, then recorded the items solected for inclusion. Frequently
a verbal explanation was provided during the sorting pro\_
cedure itself as illustrated by the comment "I know, I'll
pick out special events .. like things used for races ..."
(Subject 13). A considerable degree of such thinking aloud
was observed throughout task performance.

S

Among subjects within Group H, a display of confidence and motivation to perform well was apparent. Once the task was begun, these subjects appeared to concentrate and work independently. At the same time, they frequently volunteered verbal explanations of the procedures being employed. Attempts to communicate the system of recording used were also frequent, as revealed by the comment "I'll circle these titles so you won't get confused" (Subject 5).

Unlike subjects within Group L who tended to respond to stimuli on a more concrete level, subjects within Group H seemed aware of various levels of meaning which might be interpreted. Accordingly, responses were frequently qualified by such comments as "I'm putting truck in with this group because it could be a toy" (Subject 5).

Word groups formulated by Group H subjects more frequently included large groups than was apparent in the case of Group L subjects. Little repetition of exemplars or titles was apparent throughout the sorting procedure. Such repetition was consciously avoided by some subjects within this group who visually reviewed their recorded responses during the course of task performance. Similar

behavior was notably absent in the case of Group L subjects. Titles were frequently revised in an attempt to improve degree of precision. For example, "things that fly" was changed to "air transportation" during the recording pro\_ cedure (Subject 5).

Strategies for organization of stimuli, although varied, were frequently apparent during the performances of Group II subjects. In some instances, a large number of words was selected and identified as a group, then separated into sub\_groups (for example, formulation of a group entitled "transportation" broken down into three groups "water transportation", "air transportation", and "land trans\_ portation"). Another common organizational method employed was that of contrast, evident in selection of a group designated "large things" immediately following a categorization of "small things".

In general, subjects within Group H appeared to be conscious of the classification process itself. Perhaps this awareness is related to flexibility of available strategies. Further investigation in this regard might well be pursued.

### VI COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCES FOR BOYS AND GIRLS

To examine possible mean differences between male and female performance, t\_tests were calculated for the number of responses-produced, the time required for task completion, and the proportion of responses within each category. Table 6 portrays the relevant data.

As indicated by this table, no support is offered for the reported tendency of boys to employ a descriptive style to a greater extent than do girls. (Kagan et al, 1963; Witkin et al, 1962; Wallach and Kogan, 1965). In both tasks employed within this study, a smaller proportion of responses classified as descriptive were given by boys as compared to girls. This may have been a factor of the particular subjects selected, the stimulus materials employed or the method of analysis used. No significant differences between male and female performance with regard to quantity or speed of response were revealed (Table 6).

It may be concluded that classificatory behavior appears to vary according to the requirements and materials of the task to a much greater extent than it does to sex.

	==========	**		
VARIABLE	,	MEANS		
SCST	Girls (N=10)	Boys (N=10)		
Number of responses	28.4	38 9	_1.649	
Time on task (minutes)	9.5	9.8	232	
& Descriptive responses	32.8	29.2	.849	
% Relational_contextual responses	37.6	38.7	182	
& Categorical_inferentia] responses	27.7	24.1	.837	
CWST				
Number of groups	15.7	15.3	.142	
`ime on task (minutes)	24.5	23.8	.205	
ultiple Classification ndex	3.961	5.593	-1.417	
Descriptive response	11.0	6.7	1.075	
Relational_contextual responses	34.6	38.7	616	
Categorical_inferential responses	53.1	53.5	054	

SEX DIFFERENCES IN CLASSIFICATION BEHAVIOR

.

1

\* t=2.101 significant at 0.05 level (df=18)

Note: Percentages of responses do not equal one hundred since those responses classified as miscellaneous have been excluded from the above analysis.

### CHAPTER 5

### SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS

A brief summary of the study and an outline of the findings are presented in this chapter. Conclusions which may be drawn from these findings are discussed, and recommend\_ ations for further research made. Based on the findings, implications of the study are suggested.

### I SUMMARY

©

The main purpose of the study was to examine the methods or strategies of classification employed by a group of eleven. year old children. By eliciting sorting behaviors or verbal explanations for responses, insight into the processes under. lying concept development was sought.

The sample for the study consisted of twenty students at the age of eleven years plus or minus four months drawn from one heterogeneous classroom in the Edmonton Public \ School system. An equal number of girls and boys was included in the sample.

Two distinct tasks were employed within this study. The first was an adaptation of the Sigel Cognitive Style Test. Individual classification strategies were determined by an analysis of pictorial stimuli which were grouped and verbally rationalized. The second task, termed the Coull Word Sort Task, was designed by the investigator as an

alternate means of exploring classification strategies. The sorting of verbal stimuli and naming of designated groups were analyzed using a common criteria. In addition, percentile scores on the vocabulary sub\_test of the Stanford Achievement Test in reading were obtained and used for purposes of comparison.

All subjects were individually interviewed on two separate occasions. Responses were tape\_recorded, trans\_ cribed and analyzed according to a common classification schema. In addition, anecdotal observations were recorded throughout task performances.

### II FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

### Major Research Questions

QUESTION 1: What is the nature of classification strategies employed by eleven\_year old children as revealed through verbal explanations of performance on sorting tasks?

A wide range of both inter and intra\_individual differences in classification strategies was revealed through task performance. According to the common schema for analysis of responses provided, no single strategy was employed by an individual subject or by the group of subjects. Rather, the four bases of classification outlined (miscellaneous, descriptive, relational\_contextual, categorical\_inferential) were all represented within the total group responses on both tasks. Proportionately, however, the predominant means of classification displayed was found to, vary with the stimulus materials employed.

In performance of the Sigel Cognitive Style Test (which employed pictorial materials), the highest proportion of responses for the total group was classified within the relational\_contextual category (as defined in the study). This proportion represented 36% of the total responses provided. The next highest occurrence was within the descriptive category (elsewhere termed 'analytic'). This proportion represented 31% of the total responses provided. Finally, 26% of the total responses were classified as categorical\_inferential.

Such findings appear to be contrary to those reported by other investigators (Kagan et al 1963, Kagan et al 1964, Sigel 1965) who report "a linear developmental trend from relational to analytic conceptual styles in children ranging from age six to twelve" (Denney, 1971, p. 142). As suggested within the study, however, an explanation for this apparent discrepancy may be found in the criterion of analysis employed.

In performance of the Coull Word Sort Task (which utilized verbal stimuli), the highest proportion of total group responses (53%) occurred within the categorical\_ inferential category. This was followed by a proportion of 35% of total responses within the relational\_contextual category and 11% within the descriptive category. This variation in the incidence of proportionate responses between the two measures of task performance may well be a function of the stimuli employed rather than a reflection of conceptual styles or preferred strategies. The higher incidence of categorical\_inferential responses indicated by CWST performance may be a factor of the abstraction level inherent in the verbal stimuli employed. Conversely stimuli presented in pictorial form (as in the SCST) may encourage a more stimulus\_bound response enabling a direct relation to the objects depicted.

Thus it may be concluded that individual classification strategies appear to vary in accordance with the form of the information to be processed. In future investigations, it may well be determined that multiple cognitive styles of an individual must be taken into consideration in any explanation of his behavior and performance.

QUESTION 2: What is the incidence and nature of dominant or preferred strategies for classification dis\_ played by children of this age level?

Within the study, dominant preference was defined as "an incidence of at least forty percent of answers within a particular category and at least ten percent less in any other category". (Lundsteen, 1974, p. 271). According to this criterion, the incidence of dominant preference as revealed by task performance was proportionately determined.

Upon analysis of performance on the SCST, 25% of the subjects displayed a dominant preference for relational\_ contextual classification strategies, 15% for descriptive strategies and 5% for categorical\_inferential strategies. No dominant preference was evident, however, for 55% of the subjects.

Upon analysis of performance on the CWST, varied results were obtained. 55% of the subjects displayed a dominant preference for categorical\_inferential strategies on this task, 15% for relational\_contextual strategies and 0% for descriptive strategies. No dominant preference was indicated for 30% of the subjects.

Only one subject displayed an identical dominant preference on both tasks. Three subjects consistently revealed nodominant preference. For the majority of subjects (80%), however, dominant preference displayed was seen to vary with each task.

These findings support the theory expressed by Lundsteen (1974) that incidence of a distinct preference varies according to the nature of the materials employed in the assessment.

QUESTION 3: Is it possible to devise some means of determining the degree of flexibility within classification?

Previous research has suggested that breadth of categorization is reflected in the number of groups devised within a free\_sorting task. Since the CWST allowed for variation in the number of groups generated as well as the number of stimuli selected as exemplars, analysis of individual task performance enabled the formulation of a Multiple Classification Index. This index represents the total number of items sorted divided by the total number of groups produced, and is considered to represent some measure of flexibility.

Multiple Classification Indices thus determined were found to vary considerably (from 2.21 to 13.59). Thus a considerable range in flexibility appears to be indicated within the sample as might be expected with a heterogeneous group of similar\_aged children.

### QUESTION 4: What is the relationship between flexibility of classification and performance on a standard\_ ized vocabulary test?

For purposes of comparison, percentile scores on the SAT Word Meaning sub\_test were correlated with Multiple Classification Indices as determined for each subject within the sample. The relationship between these measures was investigated by means of statistical analysis. A correlation of +.403 was determined which approaches significance at the .05 level.

Although statistical significance is not strongly indicated, certain trends in performance were noted. Low SAT scores more commonly coincided with low Multiple Classification Indices than did high SAT scores and high Multiple Classification Indices. This coincidence may have been a factor of sample selection or may indicate a possible connection between flexibility of classification strategies and vocabulary achievement.

### QUESTION 5: Are there observable differences in performance behavior among flexible and inflexible categorizers?

For purposes of comparison, subjects were ranked in order of Multiple Classification Indices. Two groups were distinguished, and common behavioral characteristics within each group were examined through reference to anecdotal observations recorded throughout task performance. Consist\_ ent characteristics were revealed as summarized below:

### Inflexible Categorizers

1. process of elimination employed

- 2. difficulty in formulation of titles for groups revealed
- 3. frequent probes necessary
- 4. titles often imprecise
- 5. lack of confidence indicated
- 6. frequent repetitions

### Flexible Categorizers

- 1. selection according to an organizing idea
- 2. verbal explanations volunteered
- 3. diverse interpretation of verbal stimuli
- 4. voluntary revision and review
- 5. consistent strategies apparent

Performance characteristics thus observed may be attributed to several related factors. Whatever the determinants, however, variation in the degree of flexibility displayed among eleven\_year old subjects was readily apparent. QUESTION 6: Are differences in performance of preferred strategies evident between boys and girls at this age level?

To explore the possibility of mean differences, t\_tests were calculated for various aspects of male and female performance. Factors thus examined included the number of responses produced, time required for task completion, and the proportion of responses within each of three categories (descriptive, relational\_contextual and categorical\_ inferential).

No significant differences in performance were determined. Such findings are contrary to those reported by previous investigators who assert a tendency on the part of boys to employ a descriptive or analytic style to a greater extent than girls. Within this study, a smaller proportion of descriptive responses was indicated for male subjects in both measures of task performance employed. Thus from the present study, it may be concluded that classificatory behavior appears to vary with the materials of assessment to a greater extent than it does with sex.

### III RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

The following suggestions for further research are proposed:

1. A replication of this study using a larger sample and/or subjects with a different experiential background might reveal the extent to which task performance may be influenced by similar instructional methods or materials.

2. An attempt might be made to obtain data concerning classification strategies within a classroom setting. Methods for the CWST might be revised so as to enable group assessment of performance. By standardizing procedures for the recording of responses, individual diagnosis might be conducted as required.

3. A need for further investigation of the effects of stimulus materials on classification strategies as well as the incidence of dominant preference is indicated. An analysis of performance at various age levels according to stimulus materials employed would provide valuable insight into the processes involved.

4. A longitudinal study might explore the incidence and stability of dominant preference with age.

5. Further validation of the Multiple Classification Index is required. The relationship between this measure and that available from SAT or another vocabulary test might be investigated with a much larger sample. 6. The sitionship between intelligence, creativity, and classif. Ition strategies requires further investigation and clarification.

7. A further investigation of classification strategies employed by boys and girls at various age levels might clarify whether differences in performance are related to sex, age or other factors.

IV IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY

Based on the findings of this study and those of the research reviewed herein, a number of implications for instructional theory and practice are suggested.

ĉ.

As noted within, the methods and materials of assess. ment employed may affect any indications of conceptual style or strategy displayed by an individual. Thus it is imperative that research be interpreted according to the criteria applied if conclusions are to be drawn from the findings presented. Furthermore, since stimulus materials appear to influence the processing involved, a variety of instructional modes should be employed within the classroom so as to allow for individual preferences as well as to expand the repertoire of classifica\_ tion strategies which may be employed.

Since concepts are acquired through the organization and interpretation of perceptual experiences, the active involvement of the child with his environment must be emphasized. Although opportunities for direct exploration are frequently provided within the early years of school instruction, too frequently the prerequisite experiential background is assumed in the higher grades. Unless the necessary foundation for meaning is provided, the " perpetuation of meaningless information occurs. Our concern must progress beyond the imparting of facts to an expansion of thinking abilities. As Moffett (1968) contends, "learning and learning how to result in very different kinds of knowledge".

Undoubtedly, children's concepts change with age and experience. Yet it is not enough to trust that such development will 'naturally' occur if the child is left to his own devices. The need for guided explanation by the teacher is both necessary and crucial. As Hayakawa (1941) warns:

> Experience itself is an extremely imperfect teacher. Experience does not tell us what it is we are experiencing. Things simply happen. And if we do not know what to look for in our experiences, they often have no significance to us whatever. (p. 259)

In order to plan an instructional program which will enhance conceptual development, however, some means of diagnosing both the type and level of individual cognitive abilities present becomes necessary. Such diagnosis has traditionally relied pon standardized vocabulary test performance. Although the role of words in concept development is generally conceded, conceptual development and verbal ability are seldom synonymous. By examining the strategies of classification employed and behavioral characteristics displayed by an individual, insight into his or her abilities and needs may be gained. In the words of Jerome Bruner (1973):

ð

114

Once we have formulated a view of man's intellectual growth that takes into account the formal properties of thought, considers the instrumental nature of thought, responds to the cultural patterning of intelligence and places man in an evolutionary context, let us also ask whether we have contributed to our understanding of how to educate man to the point where he can use his intellectual heritage to the full. (p. 316).



BIBLIOGRAPHY



115

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Annett, M. The classification of instances of four common class concepts by children and adults. British Journal of Educational Psychology, XXIX, Nov. 1959, 23-237.

Beardsley, M. C. Thinking Straight. Englewood Cliff , N. J. : Prontice\_Hall, 1955.

Bidwell, E. A. Concept development: the basis of meaningful reading. Unpublished paper presented in Ed. CI 523, University of Alberta, 1977.

Bourne, L. Ekstrand, B. and Dominowski, R. The Psychology of Thinking, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. : Prontice\_Hall, 1971.

Britton, J. Language and Learning. London: Allen Lane: The Penguin Press, 1970.

Brown, R. Language and categories. Appendix in Bruner, J. S. A Study of Thinking, New York: Science Foundations Inc., 1956.

Brown, R. Words and Things. Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1958.

Bruner, J. S., Goodnow, J. J. and Austir G. A. A Study of Thinking. New York: Science Foundaions Inc., 1950.

Bruner, J. S., Goodnow, J., J. and Austin, G. A. Categorios. In W. L. Anderson & Stageberg (Eds.), Introductory readings on language. Toronto: Holt, Rinehart & Winstein, Tyte: 150-157

Bruner, J. S. and Olver, R. R. Development of equivalence transformations in children. In Anderson, R. C. and Ausubel, D. P. (Eds.), Readings in the Psychology of Cognition. New York: Holt, Kinemart and Einstein, inc., 1965. 415-435.

Bruner, J. S. Beyond the Information Given. New York: Norton & Co., 1973.

Carroll, J. B. Words, meanings and concepts. Harvard Education Review, 1964, 34, 178\_202.

Cazden, C. Child Language and Education. New Yor': Holt, Rinebart & Winston, Inc., 1972.

- Chiu, L. H. Cross\_cultural comparison of cognitive styles in Chinose and American children. International Journal of Psychology, 1972, 4, 235\_242.
- Church, J. and Stone, J. Childhood and Adolescence. New York: Random House, 1973.
- Cicourel, A. V., Jennings, K. H., Jennings, S. H., Leiter, K. C., MacKay, R., Mehan, H., and Roth, D. R. Language Use and School Performance. New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1974.
- Denney, D. The assessment of differences in conceptual style. Child Study Journal, 1971, 1 (3), 142\_155.
- Elementary language arts handbook. Edmonton: Alberta Department of Education, Interim edition, 1973.
- Evanechko, P. O. The dimensions of children's meaning space. Unpublished doctoral dissertations, University of Alberta, 1970.
- Feifel, H., & Lorge, T. Qualitative differences in the vocabulary responses of children. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1950, 41, 1-18.
- Forguson, G. A. Statistical analysis in psychology and education. New-York: McGraw \_ Hill Co., 1959.
- Flavell, J. H. Concept development. In P. H. Mussen (ed.) Carmichael's Manual of Child Psychology, 3rd., ed., vol. 1. New York: Wiley, 1970.
- Gardner, R. W. Cognitive Styles in categorizing behavior. Journal of Personality, 1953, 22, 214\_233.
- Gardner, R. W. and Schoen, R. A. Differentiation and abstraction in concept formation. Psychological Monographs, 1962, 76, No. 41, 1\_21.
- Gendlin, E. T. Experiencing and the Creation of Meaning, Glencoe, Illinois: The Free Press, 1962.
- Grant, M. A. A qualitative analysis of the vocabulary responses of good readers and poor readers. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Alberta, 1965.
- Grant, M. An exploration of the relations among reading, language, and cognitive style. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1972.

- Hamp, E. P. Language in a few words. In De Cecco, J. (Ed.) The Psychology of Language, Thought and Instruction, Holt, Rinchart & Winston, 1907.
- Hayakawa, S. I. Language in Action. New York: Harcourt. Brace and Company, 1941.
- Hayakawa, S. I. Abstraction and Classification. . In R. Braddock (Ed.), Introductory readings on the English language. Englewood CIIIIS, N. J.: Frentice\_Hall, 1952. 240\_253. 254\_263.
- Holzman, P. S. The relation of assimilation tendencies in visual, auditory and kinesthetic time\_error to cognitive attitudes of leveling and sharpening. Journal of Personality, 1954, 22, 375-394.
- Huxley, A. Words and their meanings. In Black, M. (Ed.), The Importance of Language. Englowood Cliffs, N. J.: Frentice\_Hall, 1962.
- Inglis, C. The relationship between classification operations with concrete and print stimuli and reading comprehension at three grade levels. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Alberta, 1974.
- Inhelder, B., Sinclair H. and Bovet, M. Learning and the Development of cognition. Harvard University Press, 1974.
- Jackson, R. K. The relationship between knowledge of core and specialized vocabulary. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Alberta, 1968.
- Jackson, R. K. Developing depths of knowledge in vocabulary. Elements, 1970, 2 (1).
- Johnson, D. M. and O'Reilly, C. A. Concept attainment in children: classifying and defining. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1964, 55, 71-74.
- Johnson, O. G. and Bommarito, J. W. Tests and measurements in child development: a handbook. Jossey\_Bass Inc., U. S., 1971.
- Kagan, J. and Havemann, E. Language, thinking and problem solving (Ch. 6) In Psychology \_ an Introduction. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Co., 1968.

- Kagan, J. and Kogan, N. Individual variation in cognitive processes. In P. H. Mussen (ed.) Carmichael's Manual of Child Psychology. 3rd., ed., vol. 1. New York: Wiley, 1970.
- Kagan, J., Noss, H. A. and Sigel, I. E. Psychological significance of styles of conceptualization. In J. C. Wright and J. Kagan (eds.) Basic cognitive processes in children. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 1963.
- Kagan, J., Rosman, B. L., Day, D., Albert, J., and Phillips, W. Information processing in the child: significance of analytic and reflective attitudes. Psychological Monographs, 1964, 78, No. 1, 1\_37.
- Kelley, T. L., Madden, R., Gardner, E. and Rudman, H. Stanford Achievement Test \_ Directions for Administering. New York: Harcourt Brace & World, Inc., 1964.
- Klausmeier, H. J., Ghatala, E. S., and Frayer, D. A. Conceptual Learning and Development. Academic Press, Inc., U. S. A., 1974.
- Kruglov, L. P. Qualitative Differences in the Vocabulary Choices of Children as Revealed in a Multiple\_Choice Test, Journal of Educational Psychology. 1953, 44, 229\_243.
- Laing, W. An exploratory study of the way readers in grades 4, 6 and 8 process the context to obtain word meaning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1974.
- Langer, J. H. Vocabulary and concept development. Journal of Reading, 1966\_67, 10, 448\_456.
- Langer, S. K. Philosophy in a New Key. Harvard University Press, 1957.

Lundsteen, S. W. Levels of meaning in reading. The Reading Teacher, Dec., 1974, 268\_274.

Lundsteen, S. W. Children Learn to Communicate. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice\_Hall, 1976.

- Lundsteen, S. W. and Michael, W. B. Validation of three tests of cognitive style in verbalization for the third and sixth grades. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1966, 26, 449-461.
- McDonald, F. J. Educational Psychology, Second Edition. Learning and the Cognitive Processes: Concept Formation. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Pub., Co., 1965. 160-199.
- McFetridge, P. A. Strategies of meaning. Elements, 1970, 2 (1). ~
- McFetridge, P. A. Evaluation and the task. Elementary English. 1971, 48, No. 2, 203\_208.
- Moffett, J. A Student\_Controd Language Arts Curriculum. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1968.
- Moffett, J. Teaching the universe of discourse. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1968.
- Myers, L. M. Language, logic and grammar. In W. L. Anderson (Stageberg (Eds.), Introductory readings on language. Toronto: Holt, Rinehart & Minston, 1962.
- Nelson, K. Variations in children's concepts by age and category. Child Development, 1974, 45, 577-584.
- Nixon, K. Word meaning as expressed orally by elementary school children. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Alberta, 1975.
- Ogden, C. K. and Richards, I. A. The Meaning of Meaning. New York: Harcourt, Brace and Company, 1955.
- Ogden, C. K. and Richards, I. A. Thoughts, words and things. In DeCecco, J. (Ed.), The Psychology of Language, Thought and Instruction. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1967.
- Orr, W. F. and Cappannari, S. C. The emergence of Language. In DeCecco, J. (Ed.), The Psychology of Language, Thought and Instruction. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1967.

- Osgo C. E. The nature of meaning. In J. P. DeCecco (Ed.), The psychology of language, thought, and instruction. nto: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1967. Pp. 162\_163.
- Oyan, 3. fords: their power and their glory. In Tiedt, I. odt, S. (Eds.), Readings on Contemporary English Elementary School. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.:

 $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ 

Sit .

- Piaget, J. For hildr: for mathematical concepts. In Anders R. C. and Actubel, D. P. (Eds.), Readings in the Prychology of Cognition. New York: Holt, Rindra and Minston, Inc., 1965. 406\_415.
- Piaget, J. The Language an Thought of the Child, New York: M.E.R., 1969.
- Piaget, J. and Inhelder, B. The Psychology of the Child. London: Routledge and Paul Ltd., 1959.
- Rosch, E. H. On the internal structure of perceptual and semantic categories. In Moore, T. E. (Ed.) Cognitive Development and the Acquisition of Language. New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1973, 111\_140.
- Ruddell, R. B. Reading\_Language Instruction: Innovative Practices. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice, Hall, 1974.
- Russell, B. H. Children's Thinking. New York: Ginn and Company, 1956.
- Russell, D. H. & Sandeh, I. Q. Qualitative levels in children's vocabularies. Journal of Educational Psychology, 1962, 53, 170-174.
- Saltz, E., Soller, E. and Sigel, I. E. The development of natural language concepts. Child Development, 1972, 43, 1191\_1202.
- Sapir, E. Language defined. In Anderson, W. L. and Stageberg, N. C. (Ed.) Introductory Readings on Language. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1962.

ES-

- Sapir, E. Communication In De Cecco, J. (Ed.), The Psychology of Language, Thought and Instruction. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1967.
- Schmidt, W. H. O. Child development: the human, cultural and educational context. New York: Harper & Row Publishers, 1973.
- Schroder, H. M., Driver, M. J., and Streufort, S. Human Information processing. New York: Holt, Rinchart & Minston, Inc., 1967.

Siemens, E. Description: A measure of children's language power. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Alberta, 1973.

- Sigel, I. E. Developmental trends in the abstraction ability of children. Child Development, Vol. 24, No. 2, 1953. 130\_144.
- Smith, F. Comprehension and Learning. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Inc., 1975.
- Snortum, N. K. Contemporary Rhetoric. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice\_Hall, 1967.
- Taba, H. Thinking in Elementary School Children. San Francisco State College Publication, 0. S., 1964.
- Taba, H. Teaching Strategies and Cognitive Functioning in Elementary Strate Constraint, our Transford State College, U. S., 1965.
- Turner, J. Cognitive Development. Essential Psychology, C2. London: Hormen & Co., Ltd., 1975.
- Vinacke, W. E. The investigation of concept formation. Psychological Bulletin, 1951, 48, 1-28.

Vinacke, W. E. Concept formation in children of school ages. Education, 1954, 74, 527-534. Vygotsky, L. S. Thought and language. Cambridge, Mass.: Massachusetts Institute of Tochnology Press, 1962.

Wadsworth, B. J. Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Development. New York: David McKay Co., Inc., 1971.

- Wallach, M. A. and Kogan, N. Modes of thinking in young children. New York: Holt, Rinchart & Willston, 1965.
- Werner, H. and Kaplan, E. The acquisition of word meanings: A developmental study. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 1950, 15 (1, whole No. 51).
- Worner, H. and Kaplan, B. Symbol formation. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Tyb7.
- White, K. M. Conceptual style and conceptual ability in kindergarten through the eighth grade. Child Development, 1971, 42, 1652\_1656.
- Wilkinson, A. The Foundations of Language. Toronto: Oxford University Fress, 1971.

Yu, A. Y. L. Consequences of socio\_linguistic experience on cognitive style. Unpublished paper presented in Ed. Psyc. 578, University of Alberta, 1977.





1 - Q

# APPENDICES

¢.

## APPENDIX A

## BASES FOR CLASSIFICATION - WST

### APPENDIX A

POSSIBLE BASES FOR CLASSIFICATION OF STIMULI IN COULL WORD SORT TASK

As part of a validation check, the following were determined as possible bases for classification of the selected stimuli:

(1) word analysis

(2) common parts or attributes

(3) similarity of size

(4) similarity of shape

(5) common location

(6) common action or activity

(7) similarity of non\_observable qualities

(8) common association with particular seasons

(9) association with ages or types of people

(10) common use or function

(11) common source of power

(12) common materials.

## APPENDIX B

## DIRECTIONS \_ SCST

ł

î,

### APPENDIX B

Directions Given to Each Student Before Administration of the Sigel Cognitive Style Test.

"This is a set of pictures of many familiar objects. Each set contains three pictures. In this first set (displayed in front of subject) are three pictures \_ a tomato, a pear and an apple. We'll do this one together as an example.

What I want you to do is to pick out the things that go together, belong together or are related in any way and give a reason for each choice. Give as many pairs as you can. Let's try this first ...

That's fine \_ that's just what I want you to do for the rest of the "ures. For each set, find me the ones that belong to:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_id tell me why they go together". Any questions?"

If no questions regarding directions were forthcoming, the investigator activated the tape recording equipment and turned the binder containing the stimulus materials to Picture Set A.



### APPENDIX C

Directions Given to Each Student Before Presentation of the Coull Word Sort Task.

"This is a pile of words that can be classified in many ways. I want you to look the words over and put the ones that seem to you to belong together into groups. There are no right or wrong answers. The groups you choose may be large or small, any size you want as long as the words belong together for a reason.

Once you make all the groups you can, I want you to write down all the words in each group and give each group a title or label. Don't worry about spelling. If you may dictate the words to me.

Take your time \_ there's no need to hurry. Remember, your groups can be all different sizes. Okay, go ahead".

### APPENDIX D

1

# APPLICATION OF CLASSIFICATION SCHEMA \_ SCST

:

## APPENDIX D

The following are examples of the types of responses classified according to the classification schema employed.

Stimulus	Verbatim	Assigned
loference licture let	Response	Category
M	"they're both big"	D_1
A	"they're both ladies"	D_2
I	"they're both children"	D_3
K	"they all have four legs"	D_4
. <b>C</b>	"both made out of wood"	D_5
J	"the man and lady might go on dat	es" R_1
В	"they both belong in the water"	R_2
B	"they both lay eggs"	R_3
J	"these two are bigger than this little one"	_R_4
I	"she could be their mother"	R_5
. <b>N</b>	"they all help the community"	C_1
C	"they_'re all furniture"	<b>C_2</b>
H	"they're both furry"	C_3
I	"they're both unhappy"	C_4
I	"they're both bad"	C_5

## APPENDIX E

## ILLUSTRATIVE SUMMARIES OF TASK

PERFORMANCES \_ CWST

.

8

Ŧ

#### APPENDIX E

The following summaries were compiled from observational records made during task performance on the CWST. Two sub\_ jects' performances are described for illustrative purposes.

Subject A (Female, Multiple Classification Index 3.15)

Following the explanation of directions, Subject A went through the deck of word cards by individually picking them up, reading them, and putting them into another pile or setting individual word cards aside. After a considerable amount of shuffling cards from one pile to another, five groups were designated (leaving one word card unassigned). Performance was silent throughout.

Exemplars of group one were listed, and after a lengthy pause a probe for a title was expressed by the investigator. A verbal response (automobiles") was provided and the title accordingly recorded. After another pause, a probe for a title for the second group was expressed. This time, the title was tentatively suggested with the intonation of a question ("sky cars?") and accompanying eye contact indicating a search for approval. Following a nod, the title was recorded. The third title was again tentatively suggested ("would water cars be okay?") before recording.

Prior to the re\_sort, a question regarding directions for the task was stated: "Could you put them all together and put them into groups in a different way?" Although such an enquiry may have indicated uncertainty as to the procedures to be followed, it might also be inferred to be a request for re\_assurance. Throughout this second sort, each card was carefully studied before placement within a group. Once again, a process of elimination was employed. Items within each group formulated were listed, and titles voluntarily recorded. A similarity within titles was noted (for example, "sailing things", "flying things", "motor things"). One title pro\_ vided during the first sort \_ "electric things" \_ was repeated, this time with fewer exemplars.

Following a suggestion to display the entire array of stimuli, a third and final sort was accomplished. Words were predominantly placed in pairs. Further evidence of repet\_ ition was apparently unnoticed by the subject. Non\_verbal behavior such as sighing and looking around the room was interpreted as an indication of task completion.

In general, it was inferred, from task performance that a lack of available approaches to classification was common among this group of subjects.

Subject B (Female, Nultiple Classification Index 5.27)

Following the explanation of directions, Subject picked up the deck of word cards and carefully read t aloud. Cards were then sorted into three piles, each being placed in a previously organized group, until the stimulus array was distributed. A comment of "Okay, less see now .. I've got them into three groups already" was followed by a listing of the first title "things around the house". The five items within the group were then appropriately labelled. With the writing of the second title ("transportation") an explanation of why one particular item was included within the group is contained in the statement "I picked crutches in this group because without crutches a person with a broken leg wouldn't be able to walk at all!" The next title was written as "fun things" but quickly revised to read "things for fun".

The entire deck of word cards was quickly picked up again, and immediately sorted into 8 piles, thus suggesting that this sort was conducted according to organizing ideas which may have been evoked by prior exposure to the stimuli. During the course of this sort, some whispered monologue was apparent in the decision of group inclusion as revealed by such comments as "Okay that belongs ... no, not that". Frequent smiles and chuckles were observable throughout the performance. Once again, titles were written first. Each pile was set aside after being recorded. As the word "letters" was printed with crossed ts (as part of a title), the comment "that's two t's ... that's the way I like to make them" was volunteered, thus illustrating the concern with communication evident throughout the performance.

Nine such sorts were produced with frequent verbal accompaniment and explanation. Before the suggestion could be given to display the entire array, the subject commented "I'm so used to putting things into groups ... it's time I had a great big look around these things!" and spontaneously employed this procedure.

136.1

Evidence of both creativity and a fine sense of humor were apparent throughout her performance, factors which may or may not be related to the flexibility of classification displayed.

137

.