

BIBLIOTHÈQUE NATIONALE OTTAWA

Permission is hereby granted to THE NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission.

(Signed)

PERMANENT ADDRESS:

... 103. Chisholm Road

NL-91 (10-68)

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

٠

A UNIT COST ANALYSIS OF THE

SASKATCHEWAN COMPREHENSIVE HIGH SCHOOLS

by

C ALEXANDER JOHN YOUNG GUY

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

EDMONTON, ALBERTA

FALL, 1971

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies for acceptance, a thosis entitled "A Unit Cost Analysis of the Soskatchewan Comprehensive High Schools" submitted by Alexander John Young Guy in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Supervisor £-le, **i**a 28

Die: Geleter 22 1971

ABSTRACT

The research design of this study combined current and complementary expenditures as an approach to the cost analysis of eleven comprehensive high schools. Two types of accounting processes were utilized in the study, the conventional functionobject approach, and a programmatic approach, based on twenty-three curricular programs. Costs were reported in aggregate and unit cost form, categorized as direct instructional costs, indirect instructional costs, implementary costs and complementary costs.

A complete computer program package was developed to produce all teacher salary proration costs in the form of direct instructional costs, general preparation costs, specific preparation costs, and three service function costs. Fourteen additional computer programs were developed to produce non-certified personnel expenditure: by function-object category and unit cost.

Two types of cost units were reported, a per student-course unit cost and a per student-enrolled unit cost. The former unit was used as a basis for determining course and curricular program costs. The latter was used as a cost base for determining the non-curricular program costs in the study.

A cost pattern was established for curricular programs at the Division IV level. The highest cost curricular programs were: agriculture, technology, structural and electrical. The middle cost range programs were mechanical, commercial cooking, cosmetology, drafting, general, Vocational A, home economics and mathematics. The least cost programs were: Christian Ethics, English, modern languages, physical education, science, social studies and business education.

Two total cast measures were reported, total educational costs based on current expenditures and complete educational costs based on aggregated current and complementary expenditures. The average per student-enrolled total educational cost was \$882.03 with a range of costs from \$1,087.74 in Comprehensive A to \$728.65 in Comprehensive C. Complete educational costs averaged \$1,001.73 per studentenrolled in the population with a range within the comprehensives from \$1,266.94 in Comprehensive A to \$802.70 in Comprehensive G. A trend towards economies of scale was identified on the complete educational cost dimension. General service function activities, auxiliary service function activities and supervision activities were three non-curricular programs costed. The per studentenrolled cost for these three activities was \$92.98, \$12.73, and \$10.73 respectively.

Complementary costs for ancillary, capital building and site use costs, capital equipment use costs were respectively \$18.03, \$36.05 and \$63.83 per student enrolled. These costs do not represent current expenditures but were costs of the comprehensive schools for the school year 1970-71 which was the basis of this study.

Program emphasis in terms of financial expenditure were determined. Programs receiving the highest financial emphasis were English, mathematics, science, social studies, and business education. Least financial emphasis programs were commercial cooking, media, structural, electrical, drafting, cosmetology and agriculture. The average percentage of total current expenditure for direct instruction was 48.46 per cent. The corresponding percentages for indirect instruction and implementary expenditures were 3.63 and 47.91 respectively.

The inclusion of complementary costs and the programmatic approach to cost analysis provided a more extensive information base for educational decision-makers than the conventional function-object approach to cost analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer gratefully acknowledges the liberal assistance and support of the many individuals and organizations who contributed to the preparation of this thesis. The writer wishes to thank and to acknowledge the assistance of Dr. P. J. Atherton, the faculty advisor, and the Committee members: Dr. G. L. Mowat, Dr. E. J. Hansen, Dr. J. J. Bergen and Dr. J.E. Seger.

Appreciation is also expressed for financial sponsorship by the Saskatchewan School Trustees' Association Research Council in funding this study, and by the Government of the Province of Alberta through the Scholarship Award received by the writer.

The cooperation of the several agencies and persons in supplying the data for this thesis is acknowledged. In particular, the writer wishes to mention the Comprehensive High School Administrators, central office personnel, and teachers, and the Department of Education, Province of Saskatchewan.

The assistance of Mrs. Chris. Prokop who prepared the computer programs used in the data analysis of this thesis is gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, the writer wishes to acknowledge the unselfish support and encouragement provided by his wife, Donna, throughout the period of doctoral study. She, and our daughter Glennys, know only too well what costs were involved when the writer determined educational costs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
1.	INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY 1
	Introduction
	The Problem
	General Sub-problems
	Specific Sub-problems
	Definitions of Terms
	Importance of the Problem
	Importance to the development of cost analysis
	process in education
	Summary of Chapter I
н.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE
	Cost Analysis in Education
	Historical development
	The definition of cost analysis
	The purposes of unit cost analysis
	The Major Compnents of Cost Analysis
	The Accounting System
	The function-object budgeting system
	Program accounting system
	Educational Service Costs
	The proration of indirect and implementary
	expenditures to instruction

CHAPTER PA	GE
Complementary costs	24
Product of number of teaching units produced • • •	26
The Function of Cost Analysis in Educational Planning.	26
Cost-benefit analysis, cost effectiveness analysis	
and PPB S	27
The function of cost analysis in economic planning .	28
III. DESIGN OF THE STUDY	31
Methodological Framework	31
Cost structure: classification by function-object .	32
Program structure: classification of programs	43
Curricular programs	45
Cost analysis	48
Population	53
Delinection of the Study	54
Assumptions	54
Delimitations	55
Limitations	55
Summary of Chapter III	56
IV. RESEARCH PROCEDURES.	57
Preliminary Activities	57
Authorization of the study	57
Data Sources	58

vi

PAGE

School program documents and student regist-	
ration forms	
Faculty workload survey 60	
1970 fiscal year school audit 61	
1971 preliminary and final budgets 61	
General ledgers	
Cost inventories and capital equipment	
submission forms 62	
Capital building ledgers 62	
Regularly compiled system data 63	J
Interviews and consultation with appropriate	
instructional and support personnel 65	3
Administrative officials of other school boards 64	L
Types of Data Sought	4
Direct costs	4
Resident indirect costs 6	4
Resident implementary costs 6	5
Non-resident implementary casts 6	5
Capital casts	5
Auxiliary data	6
Ancillary data	57
Capital casts data	57

CHAPTER PAGE
Data Collection
Methods of collection
The Treatment of Data
Direct instructional costs
Resident indirect instructional costs
Resident implementary costs
Non-resident implementary costs
Capital cost
Ancillary cost
Summary of Chapter IV
V. ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS
Staff Analysis and Auxiliary Data
Average teaching qualifications, experience,
age, sex, and salary of teachers
Other pertinent data
Direct Instructional Costs
Direct instructional costs per student-course:
Division III, Grade VIII
Direct instructional costs per student-course:
Division III, Grade IX
Direct instructional costs: Division IV
Grade X

viii

CHAPTER

•

PAGE

Direct instructional costs: Division IV	
Grade XI	119
Direct instructional costs: Division IV	
Grade XII	137
Direct instructional per student course costs	
by curricular program	155
Average direct instructional per student-	
enrolled costs by division grade and	
comprehensive,	162
Resident Indirect Instructional Costs	166
Resident indirect instructional per student-	
enrolled costs by curricular program	167
Resident Implementary per Student Costs	176
Resident implementary costs by category	177
Teacher related resident implementary costs	
as a function of general implementary costs .	178
Combined resident, indirect and implementary	
expenditures	188
Non resident implementary expenditures	190
Total Educational per Student Costs	193
Per student costs by curricular programs	193

ix

CHAPTER PAGE	
Per student costs by grade and division 214	
Total estimated per student-enrolled costs by	
a modified function-object expenditure	
classification	
Total costs in a program structure	
Ancillary and Capital Use Costs as a Function of	
Complete Educational Costs	
Ancillary costs as a function of complete	
educational costs	
Capital use costs as a function of complete	
educational costs	
Complete educational costs	
Summary of Chapter V	
VI. FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS	
AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH 244	
Summary of Study Findings	
The Problem	
General sub-problems	
Specific sub-problems	
Conclusions and Implications	•
General conclusions	
Specific conclusions and implications	•

×

APTER PA	GE
Suggestions for Further Research	77
Internal design of cost analysis studies	77
Other possible approaches to cost analysis 2	78
BLIOGRAPHY	:80
PPENDIX A: Preliminary Activities	: 86
PPENDIX B: Determination of Courses Offered	296
PPENDIX C: Faculty Workload Survey Questionnaires	300
PPENDIX D: Student Enrolment and Teacher Characteristics of Population Comprehensives • • • • • • • •	306
PPENDIX E: Direct Instructional Per Student-Course Costs by Curricular Program by Comprehensive	323
PPENDIX F: Proration Statistics Used in the Study	375
APPENDIX G: Total Course Casts by Function Object Expend- iture Classification by Population Comprehensives	380

xi

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
۱.	Instructional Cost and General Preparation Cost as	
	a Function of Direct Instructional Cost by	
	Comprehensive	98
11.	Division III, Grade VIII, Direct Instructional Costs	
	per Student-Course Enrolment in Comprehensive	
	F	99
	Division III, Grade IX, Direct Instructional Costs	
	per Student-Course Enrolment in Comprehensives	
	F, G, H, and I	101
₩.	Grade Ten Direct Instructional Costs Per Student	
	Course Enrollment in the Comprehensive	
	Schools	103
۷.	Direct Instructional per Student-Course Equivalent	
	Unit Cost Maximum, Minimum Range and	
	Average Unit Cost by Curricular Program for	
	Population Comprehensives, Grade Ten	118
vı.	Grade Eleven Direct Instructional Casts per	
	Student-Course Enrolment in the Comprehensive	
	Schools	. 120

PAGE TABLE Direct Instructional Per Student-Course Equivalent VII. Unit Cost Maximum-Minimum Range and Average Unit Cost by Curricular Programs for Population Comprehensives, Grade Eleven 136 Grade Twelve Direct Instruction Costs per Student-VIII. Course Enrolment in the Comprehensive 138 Direct Instructional per Student-Course Equivalent IX. Unit Costs Maximum-Minimum Range and Average Unit Cost by Curricular Program for Population Comprehensives, Grade Twelve. 154 Summary of Direct Instructional per Student-Χ. Equivalent Course Casts by Curricular Program, 156 Summary of Direct Instructional per Student-XI. Equivalent Course Costs by Curricular Program, 159 Average Direct Instructional per Student-Enrolled XII. Costs by Division, by Grade and Comprehensive . . 163 Resident Indirect Instructional per Student-Course XIII. Costs and Student Enrolled Costs by Curricular 168

τ.,

xiii

PAGE TABLE XIV. Resident Implementary per Student Enrolled Costs XV. Resident per Student Enrolled Costs of Guidance, Library, Instructional Media and Administration . . . 180 XVI. Auxiliary Service Function Costs by Amount, Costs per Student Enrolled Aggregate for Population . . . 184 Supervison Function Costs by Amount, Cost per XVII. Student-Enrolled and Aggregate for Population . . . 187 Combined Resident Indirect and Implementary Costs XVIII. Non-Resident Implementary Expenditures by XIX. XX. Estimated Total Costs of Curricular Programs by Student-Course and by Comprehensive Enrolment. . . 194 Total Educational Unit Cost per Student-Course XXI. Range and Arithmetic Average by Curricular XXII. Total Educational Unit Cost per Student-Enrolled Range and Arithmetic Average by Curricular Estimated Total per Student Enrolled Educational Costs XXIII.

xiv

TABLE	PAGE
XXIV.	Average Estimated per Student Enrolled Costs in Each
	of the Comprehensive Schools by a Modified
	Function - Object Expenditure Classification 219
xxv.	A program Cost Structure of Total Costs
XXVI.	Estimated per Student-Enrolled Costs in a Program
	Cost Structure
xxvII.	Ancilliary Expenditure by Aggregated Amount and
	per Student-Enrolled Costs
xxvIII.	Prorated Capital Building and Site Cost By Aggregated
	Amount and per Student-Enrolled in Population
	Comprehensives
XXIX.	Prorated Capital Equipment Use Costs by Amount
	and per Student-Enrolled in Population
	Comprehensives
xxx.	Complete Educational Cost per Student-Enrollment
	for Population
xxxı.	Student Enrollment in Comprehensive Schools by
	Grade and Total Based on September 30, 1970
	and February 28, 1971 Enrolment
xxxII.	Enrolments by Curricular Programs in the Population 308
xxxIII.	Average Teaching Qualifications, Age, Experience
	and Salary of Teachers by School

XV

<u>E</u>
0
1
24
76
77
379
381

xvi

LIST OF FIGURES

.

FIGURE	PAGE
1	Function-object Classification of Expenditures
2	Curricular Programs by General Program Areas
	Offered in the Comprehensive High Schools 46
3	A Unit Cost Analysis Methodology
4	Computer Function in the Treatment of Data
5	Proration Methods Used to Allocate Expenditure
	to Curricular Programs, Comprehensives and
	Grades

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of providing adequate financial support for education, and the allocation of these resources within the various levels of the public supported educational organization is of prime importance in the educational institution.

Rising costs of education and of all aspects of government are creating concern among taxpayers . . It is clearly becoming more necessary to assure the judicious use of tax funds for educational purposes (Wells, 1966:5).

Educational decision-makers require extensive information inputs to assure the achievement of educational goals while maintaining a judicious expenditure of tax funds. Cost data is a prime input necessary to evaluate the fiscal aspect of educational decision-making.

Cost analysis provides educational decision-makers with a monetary evaluation of the on-going educational process. Such information can be utilized as a basis for an evaluation of the current program and for guiding future allocation decisions. This study was an attempt to provide educational decisionmakers at all levels with cast data information relative to the current operation of the eleven comprehensive high schools in the Province of Saskatchewan.

II. THE PROBLEM

The major purpose of this study was to conduct a cost analysis of the eleven comprehensive high schools in the Province of Saskatchewan for the academic school year 1970–1971, and to provide this cost data in a form that would be of immediate utility to the decision-makers involved. Per student costs were determined on a number of bases: by subject; grade; grade division; specific programs, e.g., matriculation; by general program, e.g., special services; and by school. Two distinct unit cost analysis outputs were produced: (1) A Total Educational Unit Cost – a unit cost analysis of fiscal expenditures; and (2) Complete Educational Unit Costs, including ancillary expenditures, proportional capital expenditures and fiscal expenditures.

General Sub-Problems

1. To determine what subjects were instructed in the comprehensive high schools in Saskatchewan.

2. To develop a viable method of incorporating capital costs, in terms of building and equipment costs, into cost analysis.

3. To develop an electronic data processing system to facilitate cost analysis.

Specific Sub-Problems

The following twenty-one sub-problems were related to each of the eleven comprehensive schools for the school year 1970–1971.

1. What were the total number of subjects offered within each of the population comprehensive high schools?

2. What were the average qualifications, length of teaching experience, salary and age of teachers?

3. What were the average qualifications, length of teaching experience, salary and age of teachers in each curricular program?

4. What were the direct instructional costs per student-course enrollment?

5. What were the direct instructional costs per student-course enrollment by curricular programs?

6. What were the average direct instructional costs per studentcourse equivalent?

7. What were the average direct instructional costs per studentenrolled costs by grade?

8. What were the resident, indirect instructional costs per studentcourse and per student-enrolled, by curricular program?

9. What were the resident implementary per student-enrolled costs?

10. What were the resident per student-enrolled costs of general service function programs, namely: general administration, library, audiovisual, television and guidance counselling?

11. What were the per student-enrolled casts of auxiliary service function activities?

12. What were the per student-enrolled costs of supervision?

13. What were the resident, combined, indirect and implementary per student-enrolled costs?

14. What were the non-resident implementary per student-enrolled costs?

15. What were the total educational per student-course costs and per student-enrolled costs of curricular programs?

16. What were the total educational per student-enrolled costs by grade and grade division?

17. What were the total educational per student-enrolled costs by function-abject expenditure classification?

18. What were the aggregate and unit costs of twenty-three curricular programs using the program budget format?

19. What were the ancillary expenditures made by school authorities other than comprehensive school boards for the transportation of comprehensive students?

20. What were the capital use costs in terms of building, site and equipment for the school year 1970–1971?

21. What was the complete educational per student-enrolled costs by each of the population comprehensive schools?

4

III. DEFINITION OF TERMS

<u>Comprehensive High School</u>: a secondary school in the Province of Saskatchewan, constructed and equipped under the terms of the Canadian Technical and Vocational Act of 1961–67, which offered, under one administration, a complete academic and technical vocational program.

<u>Student:</u> a person enrolled or registered in a course in one of the comprehensive schools during the period in which student enrollment totals were taken.

<u>School Year:</u> for the purpose of this study, the period from July 1, 1970, to June 30, 1971.

<u>Division III</u>: included the curricular programs provided for students enrolled in grades VII, VIII, or IX.

<u>Division IV</u>: included the curricular programs provided for students enrolled in grades X, XI, and XII.

<u>Cost:</u> in this study was used synonymously with expenditure to refer to the sacrifice made in monetary terms to acquire, produce or effect something.

<u>Unit Cost:</u> related to the type of cost being derived, e.g., student course cost, student-course-equivalent cost, or student-enrolled cost depending upon the point under investigation.

Instructional Cost: was limited in use to designate curricular program expenditures, i.e., direct and indirect instructional costs.

<u>Direct Instructional Cost</u>: that partion of a teacher's salary that was allocated to the scheduled teaching of assigned classes of students.

Indirect Instructional Cost: were those costs assigned directly to a subject or curricular area which were exclusive from direct instructional costs; i.e., instructional supplies, teacher specific preparation cost.

Resident and Non-Resident Costs: referred to the location where cost was incurred. A "resident" cost was allocated directly to a comprehensive school. A "non-resident" cost was one which could not be allocated to a comprehensive school, but to some other educational authority directly related to the school.

Implementary Costs: Those costs which could not be directly assigned to curricular program; e.g., plant operation costs, and debt service costs. A useful distinction between indirect and implementary costs was presented by Dukes "Indirect costs are eliminated when a subject or curricular program is eliminated; implementary costs are not." (1970:8).

<u>Complementary Costs</u>: included those costs not actually paid by the administrative authority governing the operation of a comprehensive, but were costs that are actual costs of educating the students enrolled within a comprehensive. Two types of complementary costs are considered in this study, capital "use" costs and ancillary costs.

Ancillary Costs: were the transportation costs paid by school boards other than comprehensive school boards to transport students enrolled in the comprehensive schools.

<u>Capital "Use" Costs</u>: this term referred to the prorated cost of using the comprehensive building, site and equipment for a school year in relation to the life expectancy in years of the building site and equipment.

6

<u>Total Educational Costs:</u> referred to all costs incurred by the individual comprehensive school boards for the operation of the population comprehensive during the 1970-71 school year.

<u>Complete Educational Costs</u>: referred to the total educational costs, with the addition of ancillary costs and prorated capital building site and equipment "use" costs.

<u>Curricular Program</u>: referred to a group of related subjects, e.g., all business education courses which comprised the business education program. Twenty curricular programs were specified in this study (See Figure 2, page 49).

<u>Academic Curricular Programs</u>: in this study, included the following: English, Christian Ethics, fine arts, home economics, mathematics, modern language, physical education, science and social studies.

<u>Vocational-Technical Programs</u>: in this study, included: agriculture, business education, commercial cooking, cosmetology, drafting, electrical, general, mechanical, media, structural, technology, Vocational A, driver education, and classroom instructed guidance.

<u>Student-Course</u>: a pupil enrolled in a course or subject in a curricular program. Each student enrolled in a course constituted one student-course unit.

<u>Student-Course Equivalent</u>: a student enrolled in a course or subject which had been standardized on a credit basis; i.e., a student taking a one credit course.

<u>Student-Enrolled:</u> referred to the conventional student unit, based on the enrollment in a school on a specific date.

<u>General Preparation Time</u>: was that portion of a teacher's time utilized in preparation for, and residual activities related to, the instruction of scheduled classes.

<u>Specific Preparation Time</u>: was that portion of a teacher's time allocated to carrying out a function related to subjects taught, but not to any specifically designated curricular course or class of students.

<u>General Service Functions</u>: were those activities conducted in a school which were not directly related to the instruction of a specific subject but facilitated that instruction; e.g., administration, audio-visual, guidance, etc.

<u>Auxiliary Service Functions</u>: those activities, conducted within a school for the development of students, which supplement the regular assigned academic and technical vocational programs; e.g., extra-curricular physical education, school band, group guidance, etc.

<u>Supervision</u>: was that proportion of a teacher's time allocated to the direction of students but not to their instruction in a specific subject field; e.g., study hall supervision, library supervision, etc.

<u>Function-Object Classification</u>: referred to the grouping of items of expenditure (objects) associated with the type of activity (functions) that had a broad common purpose, e.g. plant operation.

<u>Program Accounting</u>: a type of accounting that organized the inputs into activities which were designated as "programs."

<u>Program Budget Format</u>: a budget format which attempted to display expenditures by curricular programs rather than by function and object category

8

only.

<u>Average:</u> this term was used synonymously with "arithmetic mean" throughout this study.

IV. IMPORTANCE OF THE PROBLEM

The Hon.J.C. McIsaac, Minister of Education of the Province of Saskatchewan, in an address to the 1969 Annual Conference of the Saskatchewan Council on Educational Administration stated:

The second major aspect of the problems of education is that of the development of comprehensive goals and objectives, and the explicit establishment of priorities for various programs within education itself.

It is one thing to maximize the resources available to education; it is another matter to divide these resources among the various programs and levels of education in accordance with our goals and objectives, and, in such a way that the maximum value is received for expenditures made (1969:31-32).

McIsaac outlined the steps for receiving the maximum value for copenditures.

These were: (1) the development of the goals and objectives of Saskatchewan education, (2) to break these objectives down into programs discrete enough to permit a dollar tag to be put on each one, and, (3) to analyze the resources available for the accomplishment of these objectives (1969:31-32).

The Minister of Education recognized the difficulty of measuring the autputs in education and of aligning all programs on a scale of priorities. He nevertheless was adamant in stating the basic need for setting priorities and for making choices on the basis of cast and cast benefit analysis. The whole point, is however, that one way or another- explicitly or implicitly -- choices are now being made to an unacceptably large extent. I believe these choices are being made without looking at costs and corresponding benefits. I say only that the system of making these choices must become more rigorous. It must become more of an explicit, conscious part of educational decision-making (1969:32).

McDowell, in an address to the same convention advanced the point for

the need for fiscal planning in education.

Because there cannot be an unlimited increase in the amount of money available for education, and because so many new educational needs are emerging, it is necessary that careful attention be given to patterns of organization that may produce greater returns from every available dollar (1969:24).

Hill and Mattox stated a parallel opinion in regard to the need for

cost information:

School district officials need information about the relationships between costs and achievements. Only with such information may objectives be pursued more effectively and efficiently. The allocation of scarce resources may be divided in terms of the expected benefits; the effectiveness of the decision may be assessed in terms of its results. Among alternative objectives, the more prized objectives should be sought... Today, school district officials do not have enough information to make and check the effectiveness and efficiency of their plans (1967:5-6).

This cost analysis of the operation of the comprehensive high schools in

Saskatchewan provides an information base for effective and efficient educational

planning. The measurement of current expenditures by means of cost analysis

provides baseline information for the use of economic planning processes such

as cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis and Planning-Programming-

Budgeting Systems.

This study attempts to make a significant contribution to Saskatchewan

education. These contributions are:

(1) through the integration of cost analysis conducted in the comprehensive high schools in Saskatchewan.

(2) by developing a framework for cost analysis as a basis for data systems, decision-making, evaluation and the use of economic planning processes.

(3) by providing baseline data of financial statistics, with province-

wide implications, relative to the comprehensive high schools.

(4) by providing to the comprehensive high schools, the comprehensive high school boards, and the Department of Education, findings applicable to budgeting, data processing, evaluating and decision-making processes.

Importance to the Development of the Cost Analysis Process in Education

There has been a long-standing need for the development and refinement of the cost analysis processes in education. This study attempts to improve the cost analysis processes used in previous educational studies by acting on the recommendations of previous cost-analysis researchers. The following recommendations were made by previous writers:

Capital costs should be included, that is, cost analysis should not be limited to current operational cost. Longitudinal studies in this respect are needed (Duke, 1970:230).

Capital costs encompassing building, site and equipment are included in the study as recommended by Duke.

A computer program that will handle, direct, indirect, and implementary costs should be developed after an initial survey of the data, but prior to the collection of it (Duke, 1970:239). A viable generalized cost analysis computer program was developed for use on this and subsequent cost analysis studies, as recommended in the Duke study.

Comparative data from other institutions are not readily available. . . Even when financial statistics for other institutions are obtained, differences in classification and accounting practices may prevent the derivation of reliable data for comparative purposes. There is a crying need for reliable normative data on the expenditures of institutions of higher education (Russell and Doi, 1955:20).

Normative data, permitting comparison, were produced from each of the eleven comprehensive high schools. These data were obtained using standard classification and accounting practices throughout and may provide the reliable comparative data required by Russell and Doi.

This study examined the complete expenditures of the eleven comprehensive high schools, in terms of current expenditures, the prorated capital cost expenditure, and ancillary expenditures. This complete unit cost analysis is an attempt to incorporate the recommendations of previous cost analysis researchers, to produce a further refinement of the cost analysis processes in education.

V. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER I

The demand for accountability in education has increased in all levels of the public domain. School boards, taxpayers, and the public at large have questioned the ever-increasing expenditures made in education, and have demanded an evaluation of the accomplishments of education. Cost analysis provided an immediate monetary evaluation of educational financial inputs. These were presented in a programmatic framework which provided administrators with a basis for the evaluation of current educational processes, and provided a foundation for planned changes in education.

Succeeding Chapters

Chapter II provides a review of related literature with an emphasis on cost analysis, program accounting, and educational planning. Chapter III presents the research design of this study. Chapter IV describes the research procedure employed, including instrumentation, sources of data, data collection and treatment. The analytical and descriptive aspect of the treatment of data is presented in Chapter V. Chapter VI includes the summary of findings and the conclusions and implications of the study. The appendices contain much of the primary and auxiliary data used in the study.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

The literature reviewed in this chapter is structured into three sections: (1) the function of cost analysis in education, (2) a description of the major components of cost analysis, and (3) the function of cost analysis in educational planning.

The first section considers the historical development of the use of cost analysis in education, provides a definition and description of the cost analysis technique, and determines the purposes of cost analysis. The second section describes the accounting systems required for cost analysis and discusses the major elements in a cost study. The last section describes the function of cost analysis in relation to the economic planning processes of cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and Planning-Programming-Budgeting Systems (PPBS).

I. COST ANALYSIS IN EDUCATION

Historical Development

Three distinct periods are identified in the use of cost analysis in education, (1) the pre 1920 period, (2) the 1920 to 1960 period, and (3) the current re-emphasis of the use of cost analysis. Kelly (1923) and Stevens and Elliott (1925) provide a description of the early cost analyes conducted in education. Callahan (1968) provides a more extensive description of the development and use of cost analysis techniques during this period.

John Dale Russell was one of the major advocates of the use of cost analysis during the second period. He developed and tested techniques for systematically analyzing the expenditures of colleges and universities throughout the 1920's, 1930's, and 1940's (Cage and Manatt, 1969). Russell and Doi (1955) in a series of twelve articles in <u>College and University Business</u> provide a detailed description of the cost analysis technique developed by Russell.

A resurgence of interest in the use of cost analysis during the 1960's developed as a result of renewed interest in accountability and cost control in education. At Iowa State University, B.N. Cage (1968) completed a cost analysis of educational programs at the Iowa Community Colleges, and R. Deputy (1969) repeated this study using selected high school programs. At the University of Alberta, Atherton (1968) and Workman (1969) used cost analysis as the basis of studies of the operational expenditures of four community colleges in Alberta. In a project funded by the Alberta Department of Education, under the direction of P. J. Atherton, cost analysis studies of the educational operations of Alberta counties were conducted by Myraon (1969), Eurchuk (1970) and Palethorpe (1970). Duke (1970) conducted a cost analysis of a selected sample of schools within a large urban school

system in Alberta.

The Definition of Cost Analysis

Cost analysis, as defined in this study, is synonymous with the defini-

tion of expenditure analysis, provided by Russelland Doi:

Expenditure analysis is a technique for obtaining from raw financial data information that will enable administrative officials to draw some conclusions and make intelligent decisions regarding the operation and status of the institution . . It is also an effective means for portraying to friends and critics of the institution, its financial situation, and the justification for its requests and support (1955:19).

Judy considered cost analysis as a method of financial reporting, and

he defined the technique's relation to the process of cost accountancy:

These new methods of financial reporting attempt to collect and present cost information so that it can be related to programs and objectives of the university (or other educational institution)... Cost analysis is pervaded by the spirit of cost accountancy as contrasted with the more traditional accounting concepts that underlie conventional university financial reporting. Most cost analyses are program orientated, and to the extent they are, they represent a definite improvement over conventional reports (1966: 6-7).

Miller expanded Judy's definition by defining cost analysis as:

... a means of quantifying the expenditure patterns of an institution or agency by measuring the unit costs of present and past operations... In the case of cost analysis the gross measures of both pragram and cost are known factors, and the relationship between them is the unknown factor (1964:6).

Fowlkes and Hansen in defining cost analysis developed an opera-

tional definition at the school system level:

Cost analysis is the process of studying the total cost of public education for a given community, state, or area for a given year; trends in total school costs; the costs of specific services, e.g., transportation or English, the cost of education by grades or levels, e.g., elementary school costs, secondary school costs; costs of nonattendance; costs and tax paying ability; cost and size of school, reasons for increased costs; reasons for decreased costs; need for increased costs and need for decrease in costs (1952:47).

Russell and Doi describe two methods used for analyzing institutional expenditures after they have been classified. The second method described has relevance for this study.

The first is to find what percentage of the total expenditure for a broad category, such as education in general, is devoted to each of its subclasses. The second method is to relate expenditures for some selected function to some measure of services produced. This second method yields data generally referred to as unit costs or unit expenditures (1955:20).

Unit cost analysis, for the purpose of this study, referred to the detailed determination of all educational expenditures, including capital and ancillary expenditures, in the comprehensive high schools in Saskatchewan. These expenditures were for specific functions, activities, services and programs. The conversion of these expenditures into unit costs on a student enrolment basis, the examination and analysis of the resultant per student unit costs, and the presentation of unit costs in a program budget format were the major outputs of this study.

The Purposes of Unit Cost Analysis

The predictive function of cost analysis was identified by Dei Rassi: 17
Cost analysis can be thought of as encompassing those activities by which cost and program data are compiled, and used to estimate and project future cost (1969:99).

Hull expanded the functional basis of the process by listing the major purposes of cost analysis as: (1) to measure instructional costs by all meaningful divisions of level, function, type of unit, curriculum, and class organization; (2) to understand what components comprise costs and how changes in these components affect cost levels; and (3) to predict future costs when changes in cost components are introduced (for example, changes in enrolment, faculty salaries, or workloads) (1962:372).

Cost analysis was recognized as a major component of the economic planning processes: cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and PPBS. These processes were designed to assist educational decision-makers to define objectives, elaborate alternatives, to assess the effectiveness of these objectives and alternatives, and to seek efficiency in the operation of educational organizations. The relation of cost analysis to economic planning processes is discussed later in this chapter.

II. THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF COST

ANALYSIS

The major components of a cost-analysis study are the accounting system used, the cost of educational services, and the product or number of teaching units produced.

The Accounting System

Studies of cost analysis in education depend on adequate accounting systems for the collating of raw data. Knezevich has amplified this view: "It would be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to have meaningful unit cost analysis without designing an accounting system to satisfy such purposes." (1960:153).

Two broad categories of budgeting systems operate within education, the conventional function-object budgetary system and the program budgeting system.

<u>The Function-Object Budgeting System.</u> The conventional budget structure was designed mainly to facilitate administration and account control. Schwarz (1968:27) described the structure of such a budget:

The budget structure suitable for administrative implementation and account control is usually input or object orientated. In this case, the educational budget usually is divided into different appropriations for teachers' salaries, school materials, school buildings, etc.

Expenditures classified within the function-abject budget vary from system to system but in general six classifications are utilized. These are summarized by Lynn: (1) fund, (2) function, (3) character, (4) activity, (5) abject, and (6) school. (1956:200-201). The Federal Government of Canada recommend the following classifications of expenditure.

(1) by purpose, i.e., the activity or activities which the

responsible unit is engaged in,

- (2) by source, i.e., the organizational unit responsible for initiating the expenditure or providing the service from which revenues are obtained, such as responsibility centres (schools),
- (3) by object of expenditure, i.e., salaries, travel, material, etc. (1966:30).

The Saskatchewan Department of Education preliminary budget form, designed for all school jurisdictions, was structured on a function-object classification which included the following expenditure categories:

Administration, (2) Instruction, (3) Other Educational Services,
Plant Operation, (5) Debt Charges, (6) Ancillary Services, (7)
Contribution to Capital, (8) Provision for Reserves, (9) Pupil Transportation, and (10) Payments to other School Systems.

Hirch described the function-object budget system operable in the majority of Canadian school systems as an instrument of control rather than of planning:

The conventional budget has evolved over many years and is shaped mainly by the desire to safeguard appropriations against carelessness and malfeasance. Thus the traditional administrative budget does not relate required resources and costs directly to the specific outputs or goals achieved. It is therefore not a base instrument for planning and managing the educational enterprise. Its main concern is with live items . . . and thus it is more a comptroller's budget than a manager's budget (1968:93).

<u>Program accounting systems</u>. The program accounting system is output oriented, in that it allows the activities of several departments and offices to be assembled as specific output packages, e.g., programs and sub-programs,

of various levels of aggregation.

It is difficult to determine an ideal type of program structure, and little

agreement exists on program categories. Hartley states the case definitively:

"There is no single best format for schools." (1968:122).

Hartley does however describe three basic approaches to devising a

program structure:

The first is to use organizational, or grade level categories. Programs might include: 1) early childhood, 2) primary grades, 3) intermediate grades, 4) middle school, 5) technical high school, 6) comprehensive high school, 7) junior college, 8) adult education, etc.

A second approach, and probably the ideal type, is to devise programs on the basis of curricular (subject matter) organization. Direct and indirect costs are apportioned to subject area, such as: 1) language arts, 2) science, 3) mathematics, etc. The third option is a hybrid format which combines grade level organization at the elementary level with subject matter organization at the secondary level (1968:122).

When a program structure has been selected, cost analysis provides

the required data on past and current expenditures. However, a program budget

must have a long term horizon for adequate planning.

A simple projection of the most recent budget is insufficient. Instead, just as the program budget for this year should reflect alternatives, a budget for future years requires a view of the world of tomorrow, an evaluation of the pros and cons of alternative programs and activities, the selection of the most desirable ones, and assessment of implications for each year's decisions on future resource use (Hirch, 1968:97).

Furno criticized the program accounting system and described the

collection of program activity statistics as a Herculean task. He further argued

that:

What the structure of programs costs should be, no one really knows. Some persons think that school districts, particularly the large systems, should seek to attain the ideal . . . in other words, program costs for subject matter by grade level, with costs assigned to each school in the system. The literature abounds with such propaganda particularly by college professors. Before anyone takes his school system down this primrose path, he should weigh seriously the benefits to be derived against the cost involved. Program costs by subject matter by grade level by school involve a vast amount of work because it necessitates the gathering and manipulation of numerous cost items (1967:13).

Educational Service Costs

The first major factor to be isolated in a cost study is the cost of

educational services (Williams, 1959:28). In the determination of educational

costs, Williams lists four primary factors or bases:

(1) professional staff salaries, both direct and deferred in annuities and retirement programs;

(2) the number of hours a week taught by teachers;

(3) the number of students enrolled in classes taught by staff members;

(4) costs of maintaining plant, library, administrative and other support services (1959:28).

Williams listed only those factors upon which fiscal expenditures were

made, but another factor for consideration in arriving at complete educational

costs, is the factor of complementary costs.

Commenting on the Expert's Committee report on program budgeting

sytems for the entire Swedish administration, Hammar stated:

The Committee's budget system does not only display expenditures in the form of grants to authorities as in the present budget, but also contains what we call complementary costs. The budget table will, in principle, have two columns:

- (1) expenditure
- (2) total costs

the difference (2) - (1) being the complementary costs not actually paid by the agencies (school boards) such as costs for pensions, fees to social security, office units and estimated depreciation costs for investments made from capital investment programmes not included in operational programs. Such costs are now not paid by the agency (school board) in question (1968:292).

This study included both a cost analysis of current fiscal expenditures, and of complementary costs. The former costs were considered as direct instructional costs, indirect instructional costs and implementary costs. The latter complementary costs were considered as ancillary costs and capital "use" costs.

Two major difficulties in determining educational service costs are: (1) the proration of indirect and implementary expenditures to instruction in current fiscal expenditures, and (2) the inclusion of complementary costs in unit cost analysis.

The proration of indirect and implementary expenditures to instruction.

A number of techniques exist for allocating indirect expenditures to instruction. Workman listed three of the best known techniques. These were: (1) the straight line method; (2) the primary use plan; and (3) the progressive primary use plan (1969:20-24). Of these techniques, the most commonly used was the straight line method.

Reason and White outlined the most common methods for prorating indirect and implementary expenditures to instruction. These were: (1) time; (2) time and average daily membership or time and average daily attendance; (3) time - floor area; (4) hour-consumption; (5) number of students; (6) mileage; (7) quantity consumed (1957:162-166).

Dei Rossi (1969:49) questioned the feasibility of prorating implementary costs:

... the allocation of support costs, such as Administration, should be made to other programs only if it is actually possible to relate these activities or purchases giving rise to the program directly. No attempt should be made to allocate or prorate general support costs to other programs on the basis of arbitrary although logical rules.

Duke (1970:26) also dealt at length with the difficulties associated with priority decisions.

<u>Complementary costs</u>. The inclusion of complementary costs in educational unit cost analysis has been questioned. Operational or current fiscal expenditures are actual measurable costs, while complementary costs are tentative costs which do not represent an actual expenditure of the administrative authority of a school during a specific year.

The inclusion of ancillary complementary costs in this study is without precedence in the unit cost analysis studies previously conducted. The unique operational nature of the comprehensive schools used as the population of this study accounts for this lack of precedence. Many of the previous educational unit cost analyses have not considered the capital cost of buildings and equipment as items of expenditure. The justification for the elimination of capital expenditure is summarized by Cage and Manatt in the statement:

Because of the recent establishment of many of the programs in the institutions, the initial investment for buildings, sites and equipment has been great. To include these expenditures as a current cost for particular fiscal year would not show the true cost picture for that year. Thus, the equipment and increase in plant expenditures were not considered in determining current instructional costs, since such expenditures enhance the valuation of the school's investment and are not properly chargeable against the fiscal year's operation (1969:68).

The inclusion of capital cost in an analysis of expenditure is, however,

supported by Musgrave. In discussing budgets in social accounts, Musgrave

contended that, as an index of economic performance, capital expenditure

must be allowed.

Final expenditures of government may provide for current consumption or for the purchase of durable consumer goods . . . If durable goods are acquired, consumption is made possible free of direct charge in subsequent periods. However, the division of the net product between consumption and capital formation will be distorted in future years unless imputed income and capital consumption are recorded (1959:190).

In this study capital expenditures were included as tentative costs, not as current expenditures. The inclusion of tentative costs was based on the concept that the consumption of capital through the use of capital buildings, sites and equipment during the year represents an expense incurred related to the current operational year, regardless of the time in which the actual capital expenditure was made.

Product of Number of Teaching Units Produced

The second major factor in cost analysis identified by Williams (1959:28) is the isolation of outputs. The number of students served can be considered as one form of output (Atherton, 1968:9). Duke (1970:28) expanded the student-served concept, and pointed to the lack of other output criteria in education.

A ratio of instructional costs and the output (number of pupils enrolled) provides a unit cost figure. The future utility of cost analyses in general is contingent upon the identification and qualification of other educational outputs. For instance, demonstrated relationships between cost and quality of education are needed.

The teaching units used in this study reflected the number of students enrolled in courses, curricular programs, curricular areas, and comprehensives. The student-served basis was the output measure used in this study as a criteria of educational evaluation.

III. THE FUNCTION OF COST ANALYSIS IN

EDUCATIONAL PLANNING

The role of the economic planning processes in this study was limited to providing a conceptual framework. The purpose of this section is to determine the function of cost analysis in the three planning processes, which has relevance for the outputs of this study.

Although there have been substantial increases in the proportions of public resources allocated to education, governments have become increasingly

concerned with the efficiency with which educational dollars are spent in the face of conflicting demands for the public dollar by agencies other than education.

In the face of these conflicting demands for the public dollar, governments have turned with increasing frequency to economists, whose chief interest is the study of the problems of allocating scarce resources to competing ends. Three of the techniques used by economists in decision-making are cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis and PPBS. These techniques are currently being investigated by governments to determine their relevance as educational planning tools.

Cost-Benefit Analysis, Cost Effectiveness Analysis and PPBS

"Cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis have very little conceptual difference (Atherton, 1971:4)." Cost-benefit analysis is concerned with meeting a stated abjective at the lowest cost or abtaining a maximum gain for a specific amount of resources. Cost-effectiveness analysis is based on the attaining of maximum fiscal efficiency through the restructuring of abjectives to suit available resources.

PPBS requires researchers to employ both cost-benefit and costeffectiveness analysis. Poindexter (1969:207) describes the cyclical operation of PPBS as including:

(1) the setting of abjectives based upon cultural values, the desires of society, and the wealth and inventory of resources at hand,

27

(2) the selection of program from alternative programs available to enable the attainment of objectives based on program costs,

(3) the implementation of selected programs,

(4) the translation of programs into long range financial plans,

(5) striking an annual budget to allocate resources to the selected programs,

(6) the evaluation of program outputs in terms of objectives, and

(7) a review of the programs offered resulting in changes to obtain more desirable outcomes.

The Function of Cost Analysis in the Economic Planning Techniques

The value of cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and PPBS techniques in educational planning depends on the degree to which measures of cost and benefit can be defined and accepted.

Before any cost-benefit or cost-effectiveness analysis can be accepted as a meaningful part of a decision-making system, there must be consensus as to what is being measured. Moreover, there must be consensus that the measure which is chosen is an accurate reflection of the abjective (Atherton, 1971:7).

The cost analysis process provides the measures of costs utilized in the

three planning techniques as required to:

- (1) determine past unit costs of the operation of the system,
- (2) determine present unit costs, and
- (3) evaluate, in terms of cast, the decisions made as a result of

the analysis and reporting function of cast-benefit, cast-effectiveness

and PPBS techniques.

Measures of benefits, however, have not been developed to the degree of refinement necessary to obtain consensus from educators as to the current utility of the techniques:

We simply do not know how to accurately measure benefits of education that are not directly reflected in enhancing lifetime earnings of educated people, and all economists, whatever approach they have adopted towards educational planning have been guilty of ignoring these indirect benefits (Blaug, 1968:181).

IV. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER II

Cost analysis was discussed as a means of quantifying the expenditure patterns of educational institutions by measuring the unit costs of present and past operations. The accuracy of cost analysis was conditional upon the type of accounting system used. Two types of accounting systems were considered, the function-abject accounting system and the program accounting system.

The complete cost of the education of a student enrolled in an educational institution included both fiscal expenditures and complementary costs. The inclusion of capital "use" costs and ancillary costs, as complementary costs to be considered in cost analysis, was documented.

The role of cast analysis is to: (1) determine past unit casts of the operation of the education system, (2) determine present unit casts, and (3) evaluate, in terms of cast, the decisions made as a result of planned change. Cast analysis was shown to be fundamental to the three educational planning techniques of cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis, and PPBS. The current utility of the three planning techniques was, however, questioned. ----

CHAPTER III

DESIGN OF THE STUDY

This chapter provides a general outline of the study and a description of the population. The first section develops the methodological framework used in the study. This framework was conceptualized in terms of three dimensions: (1) the cost structure, (2) the program structure, and (3) the analysis aspect. The second section contains the delineation of the study and the third section contains a description of the population.

I. THE METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

In general terms, this study integrated unit cost methodology with a program concept of school activities. The methodological framework consisted of two major components: the cost structure and the program structure. The "programs" referred to involved twenty-three curricular programs and five non-curricular programs.

A "crosswalk" approach which served as a transitional stage between a conventional budget format and a program budget format was utilized in moving from the function-object classification to a program accounting system. Duke (1970:188) described the advantage of this approach by stating:

This two-dimensional approach to budget reporting provides more meaningful information with respect to the allocation of resources than a single dimension function-object approach. A function-object based conventional budget format does not differentiate among the various curricular purposes for which funds are allocated.

The overall design can be conceptually divided into three phases:

- (1) the conventional function-abject classification phase
- (2) the program-orientation classification phase
- (3) the integrated cost-analysis phase.

Cost-Structure: Classification by Function-Object

The basic cost-structure of this study was adapted from a functionabject classification system of expenditures developed by Reason and White (1966:27-35) who referred to their system as a "functional-character-abject" classification system. They used the term "functional" to refer to the kind of educational activity involved; "character", to refer to the nature of payment as a fiscal transaction and "abject", to refer to the specific item purchased. In this study the term "function-abject" was used to encompass the same idea.

Figure 1 summarized the function-object classification system of the expenditures used in this study.

100 Administration. Reason and White defined Administration as:

. . . those activities which have as their general purpose the regulation and control of affairs of a school district that are systemwide and not confined to one school subject, or a narrow phase of school activity (1966:31).

In this study three distinct types of administrative units were contained in the population: (1) one rural larger school unit, (2) five comprehensive high school boards, and (3) four urban school boards.

Expenditure Accounts

Series 100 ADMINISTRATION

- 110 Salaries
 - (a) Academic
 - (b) Support
- 120 Expenses

200 INSTRUCTION

- 210 Salaries
- 211 Teachers
- 212 Administrators
- 213 Other Instructional Staff
 - (a) Library
 - (b) Audio-Visual and Television
 - (c) Guidance
 - (d) Other
 - (1) Internal Substitution
 - (2) Substitute Teachers
- 215 Clerical and Business Support Staff
- 216 A.V. and T.V. Technicians
- 230 Library Equipment and Supplies
- 235 Audio-visual Equipment and Supplies
- 236 E.T.V. Equipment and Supply
- 240 Instructional Equipment and Supplies (Curriculum)
- 242 General Classroom Equipment, Supplies and Textbooks
- 250 Other
- 251 Computer Centre
- **300 ATTENDANCE SERVICES**
 - 310 Salaries
 - 320 Expenses

FIGURE 1

FUNCTION-OBJECT CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENDITURES

Expenditure Accounts

Series 400 HEALTH SERVICES

- 410 Salaries
- 420 Expenses

500 PUPIL TRANSPORTATION

- 510 Salaries
- 520 Other

600 PLANT OPERATION

- 610 Salaries
- 640 Utilities
 - (a) Fuel
 - (b) Light and Power
 - (c) Telephones
 - (d) Water
- 650 Supplies
 - (a) Custodial
 - (b) Other Expenses
- 651 Total Energy Supply and Contract

700 PLANT MAINTENANCE

- 710 Salaries
- 720 Repair and Replacement of General Equipment and Furniture
- 740 Other
- 800 FIXED CHARGES
- 900 FOOD SERVICES
 - 910 Salaries
 - 920 Other

34

Expenditure Accounts				
Series	1000	STUDENT BODY ACTIVITIES		
	1100	COMMUNITY SERVICES		
	1200	CAPITAL OUTLAY		
	1300	DEBT SERVICE FROM CURRENT FUNDS		
	1400	OUTGOING TRANSFER ACCOUNTS		

FIGURE 1 (Concluded)

<u>200</u> Instruction. Expenditures related to activities dealing directly with or aiding the teaching of students, or improving the quality of teaching, were included in the 200 Instruction series.

The salaries of certified personnel, or prorated proportions of these salaries were accounted according to three general functions. These were: (1) the teaching of subjects to students, (2) the service function of directly assisting teachers to instruct subjects to students, and (3) the supervisory function relating to the supervision or direction of students rather than to their teaching.

Those personnel involved in the teaching of subjects to students included the classroom teacher, resident-administrators, department heads, and resident consultants or supervisors of instruction.

Service function personnel who did not teach subjects to students but provided service to facilitate teaching were subcategorized into: (1) librarians, (2) audio-visual personnel, (3) guidance personnel, and (4) television personnel.

Supervision function personnel performed either wholly or in part, those duties pertaining to the supervision or direction of students, or substitute duties. This classification included study hall supervision, home room supervision, administrative supervision, library supervision, and internal substitution expense. A count of students involved was not maintained for any of these activities.

The salaries of resident secretaries, teacher aides, clerical assistants and business personnel were aggregated under "Clerical and Business Support Staff". "Library Equipment and Supplies" included such expenditures as library books, reference books, book binding, periodicals, and instructional-materialcentre supplies and equipment. As a general guideline, materials or equipment that were handled through the school library were placed in this category.

"Audio-Visual Equipment and Supplies" included materials used in the instructional program, such as films, filmstrips, recordings, exhibits, charts. The repair of audio-visual equipment was also included.

"Instructional Equipment and Supplies" included expenditures for supplies, equipment or materials which could be directly charged against a curricular program in the study. "General Classroom Equipment Supplies, and Textbooks", included the remainder of the instructional equipment and supplies that were not assigned to a specific curricular program.

The remaining "Other" category included computer data processing service, guidance materials, travel expenses, and the costs of curriculum and professional development.

<u>300 Attendance Services.</u> Expenditures for those activities which had as their primary purpose the promotion and improvement of students' attendance at school, through enforcement of compulsory attendance laws and other practices, were recorded in this section.

Attendance services were not conducted as a separate function, but were an integrated activity of administrators, teachers, and clerical staff in the population. Therefore, the cast of the attendance function could not be isolated and was included generally within the salary costs of those named personnel and in the "Other" category for supplies.

400 Health Services for Public Schools. Health services for students were carried on in the population schools by Public Health Nurses whose salaries were not charged to the schools. However, supply costs for health services were included under the "Other" classification in the 200 Instruction category.

<u>500 Transportation</u>. Student transportation services purpose conveyed students to and from school activities, between home and school, or on trips for curricular or extra-curricular activities.

Only one school in the population was involved directly in the transportation of students between school and home. The other ten comprehensives had the transportation costs of students paid by other school boards who had contracted the education of some or all of their Division IV students to a comprehensive school within the population.

The prorated cost of transportation of students attending the one comprehensive high school was included in Series 500 Transportation. This cost in the other ten schools was accounted as an Ancillary expenditure.

<u>600 Operation Of Plant.</u> Plant operations consisted of the housekeeping activities required to operate the physical plant. It included cleaning, disinfecting, heating, lighting, communications, power, moving furniture, handling stores, caring for grounds, snow removal, and other activities which were repeated regularly on a daily, weekly, monthly or seasonal basis.

In this study salaries were aggregated directly by school as were utilities, heat, and caretaking supplies.

Two of the population schools had total energy plants which provided all light and power by generating electricity from natural gas powered units. The utility costs, and maintenance and supply costs for this section were recorded under the heading "Total Energy Operation".

<u>700 Maintenance of Plant</u>. This consisted of activities concerned with keeping the grounds, buildings, and equipment in original condition of completeness or efficiency, either through repairs or by replacement of property. In this study, salaries for this classification were considered as resident costs. The category "Repair and Replacement of General Equipment and Furnishings", included such expenditure items as repairs to furniture and equipment, and caretakers' tools, snow removal costs and minor school building renovations.

<u>800 Fixed Charges.</u> These charges were expenditures of a generally recurrent nature which were not readily charged to other expenditure accounts. Five major subcategories were included in this series: (1) school district contributions to certificated and uncertificated employee benefits, (2) insurance and judgements, (3) rental of land and buildings, (4) interest on current loans, and (5) other fixed charges. School district contributions to employee benefits included payment of Canada Pension Plan premiums for teachers and other employees. Unemployment Insurance premiums for non-teaching employees, Workmen's Compensation premiums and the payment of retirement premiums other than the Canada Pension Plan premiums, included in agreements with non-teacher employees, were included in this category.

Insurance and Judgements included school property insurance, employee and liability insurance. Generally these expenditures were aggregated into a single base policy with one annual premium, and a detailed expenditure for each type of insurance was not available. Fidelity bond premiums for nonresident Administration personnel, resident administrators, and clerical assistants were also aggregated into a single premium payment.

Judgements were defined as expenditures from current funds for all legal judgements against the school district, not covered by currently held liability insurance. Only amounts paid as the result of court decisions were recorded here. No expenditures were made by the population schools during the year 1970–1971 in this category.

Rental of lands and buildings included two sections, that related to instructional purposes, and that related to non-instructional purposes. No rental payments related to instructional purposes were made during the period of this study.

Interest on current loans included interest on money borrowed and repaid during the same fiscal year. Banking charges, and currency exchange were aggregated in this section under the general heading of bank charges.

Other fixed charges considered in this study were local improvement taxes relative to the population schools.

<u>900 Food Services</u>. This category included prorated salaries of full-time non-certificated personnel engaged in the preparation and service of regular meals, and cafetorium services. In this study only food services salaries were included. Supply costs for this category were directly related to food sales, and did not represent a program expenditure for the comprehensive schools.

<u>1000 Student Body Activities.</u> Student body activities were managed and operated by the student body under the guidance and direction of adults, and were not part of the regular instructional program. Only those expenditures made by the administrative body to the School Student Council, in the form of grants, were recorded in this series. No data relative to the dissemination of these funds by the students councils were recorded.

<u>1100</u> Community Services. Those services provided by the school district for the community as a whole, or a segment of the community, excluding public school and adult education programs operated by the school district, fell under the category of community services.

No expenditure data were available for this section in the eleven comprehensive high schools although each school provided services to the community in the form of rental of school facilities, at regular or reduced rates. No separate accounting records were maintained within the comprehensives to record the exact cost of community services in terms of plant operating costs or the prorated costs of certificated, and non-certificated personnel. Rental fees, which were somewhat arbitrarily set, were assumed to cover the cost of using school building for cummunity services.

<u>1200 Capital Outlay</u>. Expenditures recorded in this category were expenditures for: (1) repairs to building structures, including changes of partitions, roof structures, or walls, (2) initial installation and extension of services systems and other existing built-in equipment, (3) any additions to the plant, and (4) expenditures for initial or additional equipment, which were paid out of current revenue during the school year.

<u>1300 Debt Service From Current Funds</u>. Debt service consisted of expenditures for the retirement of debt, and related interest. Principal and interest of current loans (money borrowed and paid back during the same fiscal year) which was recorded under 800 Fixed Charges.

Recorded in this category were the building debenture principal and interest payment made by the school district. In one school the administrative school board did not make debt service payments, the payments being made by the school districts which, by agreement, accepted responsibility for the local cast of school construction. The administrating school board had only current operating responsibilities in the school.

42

<u>1400 Outgoing Transfer Accounts</u>. Expenditures made to other school districts or other administrative units were categorized as "Outgoing Transfer Accounts".

Items recorded within this classification, in this study, covered the tuition fees of students within the boundary area of a comprehensive school, who opted to attend a high school with programs conducted in the French language. Two of the population comprehensives made transfer payments to elementary Roman Catholic School districts, which offered Division III grade IX and Division IV programs. This payment was a transfer of revenue obtained through taxation, and paid to a school administration which did not yet have taxation privileges. As such, the transfer payment did not represent an operational expenditure for the two schools involved, however, the amount of the transfer payment was recorded, but not included as an operational expense for the schools involved.

Program Structure: Classification of Programs

The term program as throughout this study was defined as "a group of inputs enabling the provision of curricular or non-curricular activities which may or may not be related to stated abjectives" (Knezevich, 1970:7).

Barro's (1969:30-43) three dimensional classification of school programs was utilized in this study. He divided students on the basis of subject, grade, and type of student.

In this study the subject was the primary unit selected for costing

purposes. These subjects were then aggregated into curricular programs.

The single grade dimension was used throughout the analysis for direct instructional cost. In allocating indirect instructional costs grade ranges were used, and costing was charged to school-wide curricular areas rather than grade curricular areas. Grades were not considered to be exclusive subject determinants, for students were permitted to select subjects at any grade level in Division IV. This was stated in the <u>Division IV Program Policies</u>, of the Saskatchewan Department of Education:

... it would be possible for an interested and able (Grade X) student to select electives at a higher level (Grade XI or XII) if the matter of prerequisites does not create an obstacle (1970:2).

Grade XI or XII students may earn credits towards grade standing or graduation by taking subjects at an earlier or higher grade level, e.g. to take Grade X or XI subjects while enrolled in Grade XI or to take Grade X or XI subjects while enrolled in Grade XII provided prerequisites do not prove to be an obstacle (1970:3).

Barro's "type of student dimension" applied to two types of

Division IV students, general program and Vocational A program students in this

this study. Students in a general program were defined as those students enrolled

in a comprehensive school who were attempting to obtain a grade level or

graduation. No tracks or streams of programs were operable within grades.

The rigidity of tracks or streams such as University Preparatory or Technical Institute Preparatory Programs was eliminated (in the Division IV Program). Students are provided an opportunity for some specialization or to study some area in depth without locking the student into a particular stream or track (Saskatchewan Department of Education, 1970:1).

Students in the general program were governed by four regulations

relative to subject selection and attaining standing:

1. A minimum of seven subject credits are required to attain standing in each of Grades X, XI, and XII. Five of the minimum number of credits must be obtained at that grade level.

2. A minimum cumulative total of twenty-one (21) subject credits must be earned at the combined Grade X, XI, and XII level to obtain standing for Grade XII graduation.

3. English is a required compulsory subject in Grade X, XI, and XII and is rated as a two (2) credit course since it will include both composition and literature.

4. Grade X students have in addition to English three compulsory subjects, mathematics (1 credit), science (1 credit), and social studies (1 credit) (Saskatchewan Department of Education, 1970:2).

Vocational A students were enrolled in terminal courses of one year or two year duration. Vocational A, Year I and Year II courses had a program consisting entirely of modified courses designed to meet the needs of students. Included in the Vocational A program was the occupational program offered to North American Indian students in one of the population comprehensives.

Curricular Programs

Curricular programs were sub-classified on a three dimensional basis,

namely: by subject, by Division and grade, and by type of student.

<u>Subject Dimension.</u> All subjects offered within the population comprehensive schools were listed. This list was divided into twenty-three curricular programs. The programs were, in turn, classified into two curricular areas, academic and vocational-technical. Figure 2 provides a summary of the twentythree curricular programs sub-divided into academic and vocational-technical

ACADEMIC	VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL
Christian Ethics	Agriculture
English	Business Education
Fine Arts	Commercial Cooking
Home Economics	Cosmetology
Mathematics	Drafting
Modern Languages	Electrical
Physical Education	General
Science	Mechanical
Social Studies	Media
	Structural
	Technology
	Vocational
	Driver Education
	Guidance

FIGURE 2

CURRICULAR PROGRAMS BY GENERAL PROGRAM AREAS

OFFERED IN THE COMPREHENSIVE HIGH SCHOOLS

areas. Driver education and classroom guidance programs were offered in one comprehensive. These programs were assigned arbitrarily to the vocationaltechnical area for unit costing purposes.

In dealing with combined courses, e.g. Ukrainian 20/30, the exact number of students from each grade was determined and included within the respective grade-subject categories.

<u>The Division and Grade Dimension</u>. This study was designed to provide cost data for two divisions and five grade levels: Division III, Grades VIII and IX, and Division IV, Grades X, XI, and XII depending upon the programs offered within the eleven comprehensive schools.

<u>Type of Pupil Dimension</u>. This study provided five separate student program routes. These were:

- (1) General Division III
- (2) General Division IV
- (3) Vocational Year 1
- (4) Vocational Year II
- (5) Division III Upgrading

Standard programs with limited course options were provided for general Division III students. Vocational Year 1, and Vocational Year II, and Division III Upgrading routes provided modified classes with no options. The General Division IV program contained no streaming or tracks; therefore, attempts to cost program routes in this area were not possible.

Non-Curricular Programs

Seven non-curricular programs, defined as a group of student service activities which did not involve formal subject instruction, were provided in the population schools. These were:

- (1) General Administration
- (2) Guidance
- (3) Library
- (4) Audio-Visual
- (5) Television
- (6) Student Supervision
- (7) Auxiliary Service Function Activities

"General Administration" included all resident program supporting or facilitating activities related to curricular and non-curricular programs. "Guidance" included all activities associated with student counselling services, "Library", "Audio-Visual" and "Television" included all expenditures for the three areas of instructional media. "Student Supervision" included: home room supervision, study room supervision and library supervision. "Auxiliary Service Function Activities" were those activities conducted for the development of students outside of curricular programs and the non-curricular programs.

Cost Analysis

The cost analysis phase provided five general sets of data:

(1) direct and indirect instruction expenditure data

- (2) implementary expenditure data
- (3) auxiliary data
- (4) capital cost data
- (5) ancillary data

The five sets of data were then combined to produce two unit costs,

. .

. ..

namely: (1) total educational unit costs, and (2) complete educational unit costs. These were derived by the general formulae:

	Direct Expenditure + Indirect Expenditure + Implementary Expenditure Appropriate Unit of Auxiliary Data	
Total Educational UNIT COST = - A		
	Direct Expenditure + Indirect Expenditure + Implementary Expenditure + Proportional Capital Expenditure + Ancillary Expenditure	
Complete Educational UNIT COST =	Appropriate Unit of Auxiliary Data	

The major components of this cost analysis study were provided in Figure 1. A brief description of the components follows:

<u>Total Educational Unit Costs:</u> <u>Numerator of the Ratio</u>. The numerator of the Total Educational Unit Cost ratio was the sum of the direct, indirect and implementary expenditures, or any combination of the three, depending on the unit of output desired. Direct expenditures were those associated with the instruction of subjects to students. Indirect expenditures pertained to teacher salary input for specific preparation activities, instructional supplies and

49

equipment allocated to curricular programs, and curriculum oriented support staff. Implementary expenditures were categorized as resident and nonresident expenditures. Resident implementary expenditures were subdivided into general implementary cost and plant operation cost. Resident general implementary cost included: (1) the service function programs operable in the school requiring teacher salary input, (2) the clerical and business staff and food service staff salaries, (3) the supplies and equipment required for service function activities, and (4) the cost of substitutes. Resident plant operation cost included all plant operation and plant maintenance cost for the school year 1970–1971. Non-resident implementary cost included: central administration, pupil transportation, fixed charges, student body activities, debt service, capital expenditure from current funds and outgoing transfer accounts.

<u>Total Educational Unit Cast</u>: <u>Selection of the Denominator</u>. The denominator of the ratio was composed of auxiliary data related to the output unit required. The major output unit was the student. Two types of "per student" units were utilized in the study, "per student-enrolled", which referred to the aggregated grade or school enrollment and "per student-course" which referred to the total of all students enrolled within a course or curricular program. For example, there were 1,632 students enrolled in Comprehensive G and 50 students enrolled in the media program.

Other auxiliary data used in the study pertained to the teaching staffs of the population comprehensives. Teacher qualifications, experience, salary,

50

and age were analyzed in relation to the population comprehensives and the curricular programs.

Figure 3 illustrates the computational procedures involved in the total educational unit cost analysis. Matched direct and indirect costs were added to prorated implementary costs to provide a total cost figure as the dividend. The divisor, consisting of a selected auxiliary unit such as students enrolled, enabled the computation of the quotient as a total educational unit cost.

<u>Complete Educational Unit Cost</u>. This unit cost was computed by adding the prorated yearly capital building and site "use" cost (minus the debt service principal payment), the equipment "use" cost and the aggregated ancillary costs to the numerator of the "Total Educational Unit Cost". This was divided by the appropriate unit of auxiliary data to produce a "Complete Educational Unit Cost".

The following unit costs pertaining to the comprehensive high schools were reported in this study:

- (1) Direct Instructional Costs
 - per student-course-enrolment
 - per student-course by curricular program
 - per student-course-equivalent by curricular programs
 - per student by grade
 - per student by division



FIGURE 3

A UNIT COST ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY

52

- (2) Resident Indirect Instructional Costs
 - per student-enrolled by program
 - per student-course by program
- (3) Resident Implementary Costs
 - per student enrolled in comprehensive
- (4) Combined Resident Indirect and Implementary Costs
 - per student enrolled in grade and comprehensive
- (5) Total Educational Unit Costs
 - per student-enrolled by program
 - per student-course by program
 - per student enrolled by grade and comprehensive
- (6) Auxiliary Unit Costs
 - per student enrolled by comprehensive
- (7) Capital Building, Site and Equipment "Use" Costs
 - per student enrolled by comprehensive
- (8) Complete Educational Unit Costs
 - per student enrolled by comprehensive

II. POPULATION

The eleven comprehensive high schools which made up the population of this study were identified by the Saskatchewan Department of Education and the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association. These population schools were
constructed and equipped completely or partially under the provisions of the Technical Vocational Training Act and provided a complete academic and vocational-technical Division IV program.

Tables XXXI to XXXV in Appendix D provide a description of the population schools with respect to pupil enrollments and number of teachers.

III. DELINEATION OF THE STUDY

This section delineates the study under three separate headings. These deal with the assumptions, delimitations and limitations of the study.

Assumptions

Five general assumptions were made in the conduct of this study:

(1) Records from which the required cost data and enrollment data were extracted were complete and accurate.

(2) Data extracted from records was interpreted to mirror the intent of the data as recorded.

(3) Projections of annual expenditures based on expenditures made over a period of less than a year were assumed to be close to actual expenditures.

(4) Bases and standards utilized for the proration of implementary, capital and auxiliary costs were assumed to be accurate.

(5) Differences in unit cost reflected differences only in costs, rather than any indication of differences in quality.

Delimitations

This study was delimited to:

(1) expenditures made for the operation of the eleven comprehensive high schools for the school year July 1, 1970 to June 30, 1971

- (2) regular full time or full time equivalent day students
- (3) all costs charged against regular day program
- (4) the assigned activities of certified staff as defined by the

master time table in each comprehensive

- (5) the reporting of descriptive data, indicating cost differences
- (6) ancillary expenditures charged against the total school enrollment
- (7) capital building, site and equipment prorated "use" costs
- (8) all expenditures, for food service supply costs and non-

operational transfer payments

Limitations

Additional limitations relevant to this study are:

(1) the problem of interpreting individual comprehensive school master time tables in a uniform manner

(2) the problem of interpreting the course numbers and credit values of the courses offered within the comprehensives

(3) the difficulty of interpreting expenditure data in a uniform manner throughout the population (4) the accuracy of determining capital building, site and equipment "use" costs, based upon average general life expectancy.

The findings presented in this study were descriptive, unit costs presented in various degrees of aggregation. Differences were noted but not investigated. All unit costs reflected the priority dimensions operable during the 1970–1971 school year within the population comprehensives. The analysis of the differences noted provide bases for advancing new hypotheses.

IV. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER III

This chapter presented the three phases of the methodological framework of this study. The conventional function-abject classification phase was adapted from the Reason and White (1966:27) expenditure classification system. The second phase, the program-orientation classification phase, included the identification of courses instructed by curricular program, curricular area and grade, and type of pupil dimension. The third phase, the integrated costanalysis phase, outlined the methodological processes relative to the determination of direct and indirect instructional unit casts, resident and non-resident implementary unit casts, total educational unit costs, and complete educational unit costs.

CHAPTER IV

RESEARCH PROCEDURES

The population used in this study was eleven comprehensive high schools in the Province of Saskatchewan administered by ten school administrative boards. To obtain the necessary data for the study required interaction at three educational levels: provincial, school board, and school.

This chapter outlines the procedures used to abtain data throughout the study in the following stages: (1) preliminary pre data gathering activities, (2) a description of the sources of data, (3) the types of data sought, and (4) a résumé of the data collection methods used. The fifth section of the chapter describes the methods used to treat the data.

I. PRELIMINARY ACTIVITIES

Authorization of the Study

This study was initiated through discussions with the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association, the Saskatchewan Department of Education and the Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation, and culminated in five preliminary pre data gathering activities to obtain authorization for the study and to ensure the co-operation of the study population. The five activities and their results were as follows: (1) to obtain the permission of the Department of Education to conduct the study (Appendix A)

(2) to obtain funding for the study (Appendix A)

(3) to obtain study authorization from the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association (Appendix A)

(4) to obtain permission from the school boards of the eleven comprehensive high schools for the release of pertinent data (Appendix A)

(5) to obtain the co-operation of the professional staff members of the eleven comprehensive high schools by recommendation of the Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation (Appendix A)

II. DATA SOURCES

Five general kinds of data, namely, program data, cost data, auxiliary data, ancillary data, and capita data, were collected from ten data sources:

- (1) School program documents and student registration forms
- (2) Faculty workload survey
- (3) 1970 fiscal year school audit
- (4) 1971 school year proposed and accepted submitted budgets
- (5) General ledgers
- (6) Casted inventories and capital equipment submission forms
- (7) Capital equipment ledgers
- (8) Regularly compiled system data

(9) Interviews and consultation with appropriate instructional and support personnel

(10) Administrative officials of other school boards which transported students to the comprehensive schools.

School Program Documents and Student Registration Forms

Due to the revision of the Division IV program that commenced in July, 1970, the Saskatchewan Department of Education did not have a complete list of courses offered in the school of the population. A letter for M.L. Riederer in reply to a request for a listing of course offerings is contained in Appendix B.

The diversity of programs offered in the comprehensive high schools resulted from the Department of Education's policy to maintain flexible courses of study and curriculum guides.

Since provincial courses are to be used in various sizes and types of schools and with students of varying interests, aptitudes, abilities and aspirations and by teachers with varying academic backgrounds, training and teaching experience, they (courses of study and curriculum guides) cannot be used most effectively in their original form as they come from committee. It is assumed the courses will be adapted to varying needs of students and teaching styles (Department of Education (Sask.), 1970:17).

Besides this general statement of policy, regarding the Division IV

program, structures were established to affect maximum freedom within the general

framework of courses of study by establishing three course revision procedures:

1. The policy has been for major deviations to be sanctioned by the administration of the school and school system, and submitted to the Department of Education for approval. Courses so approved may be given the same status as provincially developed courses (Department of Education (Sask.), 1970: 17). 2. Current departmental policy permits schools and school systems to make major variations in provincial courses to meet the special needs of student groups. For example, modified courses in basic subjects... have been developed and offered as alternative courses to students who are seeking Grade XII graduation but not university admission (Department of Education (Sask.), 1970: 17–18).

3. School systems have been permitted to develop special courses in subject fields where new courses have not yet been developed such as physical education and drama or in vocational areas where there are special needs (Department of Education (Sask.), 1970:18).

To determine the individual programs in each of the population schools the principals were requested to submit a list of the courses to be offered in Semester I and II of the 1970-1971 year. Two types of lists were submitted, program documents and/or student registration forms. (Appendix B).

Faculty Workload Survey

Appendix C contains examples of forms used to obtain data relative to the resident professional staff within each of the comprehensive high schools. These forms collected six types of data:

(1) staff demographic data (age, sex, salary class, salary,

experience, and assigned role)

(2) courses instructed (course name, number, grade level,

instruction time, class section, length of course, and method of instruction)

- (3) general preparation time
- (4) specific preparation time and function
- (5) supervisional time and function
- (6) service function and time allocation

Ten of the eleven schools' programs were structured on a semester basis, requiring the completion of these forms in each semester.

1970 Fiscal Year School Audit

All schools jurisdictions in the Province of Saskatchewan were required to submit an annual Auditor's Report and Financial Statement to the Saskatchewan Department of Education. This document was completed by the auditors on a standard prescribed form.

This document was used for general explanatory purposes and to obtain data which was not explicit in general ledgers concerning the expenditures during the period of July 1, 1970 to December 31, 1970.

1971 Preliminary and Final Budgets

Each school jurisdiction was required by the Saskatchewan Department of Education to submit for approval a preliminary budget on a standardized accounting system for the subsequent fiscal year. Following consultation and negotiation the preliminary budget or budgets was ratified and became the final budget of the school jurisdiction for the forthcoming fiscal year.

In ten of the eleven schools in the population the final budget was utilized for proration purposes to determine the expenditures from January 1, 1971 to June 30, 1971. The preliminary budget was used in the eleventh school system, which did not complete a teachers' salary agreement until June, 1971.

General Ledgers

In all population schools the general ledger was used to obtain expenditure data from the period July 1, 1970 to December 31, 1970 and January 1, 1971 to April 15, 1971.

Expenditure data in the ledger were of two basic types, an accrual entry system or a line-object recording system. The expenditure data was collected from the ledgers by adaptation of the ledger systems to the data required.

Cost Inventories and Capital Equipment Submission Forms

Capital equipment data were obtained from two primary sources, costed inventories, where these records were available, and copies of capital equipment submission forms, required by the Saskatchewan Department of Education. Where possible, capital expenditures were braken into functional classifications. Where this information was unobtainable, a net total capital equipment expenditure figure was used.

Capital Building Ledgers

The capital expenditure data relative to the building and site were obtained from capital building ledgers where these forms were available. Other existing documents stating the capital value of the building and site were accepted where capital building ledgers were not available.

Regularly Compiled System Data

Enrollment data, number of teachers employed, the roles of professional staff members, and instructional and supervision timetables were obtained from appropriate school administration officials. Copies of Form 42, submitted by school jurisdictions to the Department in September each year, and amended in January and June, provided a record of the professional teaching staff, assignment, certificate and certificate number, present salary, salary classification and experience. This was used as a checking document for faculty workload survey information.

Interviews and Consultation with Appropriate Instructional and Support Personnel

Over three hundred structured and unstructured interviews were conducted over the course of the study. These interviews were conducted with the following: central office professional personnel, board secretary-treasures and their staffs, school board members and chairmen, resident school administrators, resident certificated staff members, clerical staff and teachers aides, technicians, and physical plant engineers and custodians. In addition there were six consultations with the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association officials, five consultations with Department of Education personnel and two consultations with Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation supervisory personnel. Administrative Officials of Other School Boards

Administrative officials of other school boards who made expenditures for the purpose of transporting students enrolled in comprehensive schools were interviewed to determine the transportation costs involved.

III. TYPES OF DATA SOUGHT

Seven types of cost data were sought in this study: direct costs, resident indirect costs, resident implementary costs, non-resident costs, capital costs, auxiliary cost and ancillary cost data. The following comments relate to the type of cost and the type of data sought.

Direct Costs

The direct costs were obtained from the faculty workload survey. The survey forms were designed to permit completion by teacher or researcher, utilizing existing school records.

Four methods were used to collect direct cost data: individual teacher interview, curricular program department meetings of teachers, general staff meetings of teachers, and from school documents. The method of data collection was determined by the resident administrators of the individual comprehensive high schools.

Resident Indirect Costs

Costs other than formally-scheduled teaching classes were obtained

from the faculty workload survey under three classifications: general preparation time, specific preparation time, and supervisional time. Supply costs, which could be assigned to a subject area, were obtained from school account records or the general ledgers of the central school board.

Resident Implementary Costs

Implementary costs were obtained in part from the faculty workload survey. These were service function costs, auxiliary service function costs, and supervisional cost. Support staff payments for teacher aides, secretaries, custodians, and technicians were obtained from payroll records or the general ledger. Other resident implementary costs such as utilities, caretaking supplies, general and service function supplies were obtained from the general ledger.

Non-Resident Implementary Costs

All "out of school" costs were obtained from the general ledger, the audited 1970 statement and expenditure records. These included the cost of the central administration, fixed charges, debt service, capital outlay, and outgoing transfer accounts.

Capital Costs

Capital costs of sites and improvements, buildings and equipment were abtained fram casted inventories, capital equipment submission forms and fram capital building ledgers. The detail relative to the cost per square foot of area was not available. As a result the total building contracted costs were used. Data on equipment costs were categorized into specific subject areas. Where these data were unavailable, recourse was made to records which designated equipment cost on the basis of academic, joint use, technical vocational, or total equipment costs.

Auxiliary Data

Auxiliary data came from four main sources. Subject enrollments were obtained from the faculty workload survey. Teacher qualifications and demographic data were obtained from the faculty workload survey and Form 42 records. School and grade enrollments, timetables, staff lists, and assigned staff functions were obtained from the population schools. Information relative to service function activities was obtained from resident administrators.

Enrollment figures for both grade and subject were taken as of September 30, 1970 and February 28, 1971 for the ten schools operating on a semester basis. Enrollment for the non-semester school was taken as of October 31, 1970. Subject enrollments were taken at approximately the same time in all schools.

Enrollment data varied throughout the year. The general trend being a reduction at the end of the first month in each semester, followed by a slight reduction throughout the remainder of the semester. The dates of data collection eliminated as many of the enrollment changes as possible.

Ancillary Data

Information relative to expenditures by other school boards for students attending the population schools was abtained through consultation with administrative personnel of the school jurisdiction involved. Transportation costs for students was the only information required. The number of transported students, the cost per mile including operation and maintenance cost, was aggregated to obtain a transportation cost.

Capital Cost Data

Capital cost data included the value of the comprehensive building, the comprehensive site and the value of capital equipment within the comprehensive. Capital equipment data were required in each of the following areas: curricular program, general equipment, plant operation equipment and maintenance equipment.

Capital equipment cost records were not available in a standardized format throughout the population. As a result, the data obtained for each comprehensive varied in relation to the capital equipment accounting procedures of the school. The total value of capital equipment was the only common data existing in all comprehensives, and as a result only this figure could be used in subsequent analysis.

IV. DATA COLLECTION

The collection of data was conducted by personal visits, in four

stages over a period of eighteen weeks throughout the 1970–1971 school year.

(1) The resident and non-resident administrative staff of the population comprehensive schools were oriented and the listing of courses offered in each school was compiled.

(2) The Faculty Workload Survey for Semester 1 in the twosemester organized comprehensives and the non-semester organized school was administered.

(3) The Faculty Workload Survey for Semester II was administered and expenditure data were secured.

(4) The collection of cost data in schools, where salary agreements or final budgets were not approved took place after stage III was completed.

Three major factors complicated and delayed the collection of data for this study. These were the use of the fiscal year rather than school year for accounting procedures; a number of salary settlements for the years 1970 and 1971 were not completed at the time the faculty workload data were collected; and the delayed approval of preliminary budgets for the 1971 school year.

Methods of Collection

<u>Stage 1.</u> In addition to the orientation of administrative and school personnel regarding the study, data were collected relative to the general curricular program in the school, the listing of courses offered, and the location of consultative personnel in charge of cost and ancillary data sources. <u>Stage 11.</u> The Faculty Workload Survey data for Semester I were collected by the means considered most appropriate and least disruptive by the resident administrators. Where data were to be supplied directly by teachers an explanation of the study and an orientation to the necessary questionnaires was provided.

Information relative to the faculty workload survey was obtained directly from teachers in four of the population schools. All faculty workload survey data obtained were checked by means of the master timetable of the comprehensive, and Form 42.

In the remaining seven schools the faculty workload survey data were obtained by the researcher from the comprehensive high school master timetables, individual teacher's timetables, student-class registers, and Form 42. Resident administrators and teachers were consulted where additional information or interpretation was required. In one of these schools the teachers were asked to check the completed faculty workload surveys.

Salary data were available for only two of the eleven schools during Stage II data collection.

<u>Stage III.</u> The second Faculty Workload Survey was completed by the researcher in the ten comprehensive schools operating under a two-semester organization, using the same information sources identified in Stage II. In three comprehensives the certificated staff was requested to verify the completed faculty workload survey data. Teacher salary agreements had not been completed

69

for three comprehensive schools when Stage III data were collected, which made teacher salary information unavailable until a later date.

Cost and ancillary data for the period July 1, 1970 to December 31, 1970 and from January 1, 1971 to March 31, 1971 were collected from the appropriate sources determined during Stage 1 and Stage 11. Collection of data were completed by means of consultation, researcher accounting, and resident and non-resident administrative personnel accounting. Data relative to the prorated cost expenditures for the period April 1, 1971 to June 1, 1971 were obtained through consultation with resident and non-resident administrative officials, utilizing the final approved comprehensive budgets for 1971.

<u>Stage IV.</u> Three comprehensive schools were revisited to collect the data unavailable during the Stage III data collection. Final approval of the 1971 operating budget could not be abtained until 1971 salary agreements were completed, and, therefore, the completion of data collection could not be effected until these processes were finalized.

In addition to the visits to the three schools during Stage IV, communication was maintained with all population schools by mail and telephone as further information or interpretation was required.

V. THE TREATMENT OF DATA

Six kinds of data output were required, namely: (1) direct instructional costs; (2) resident instructional costs; (3) resident implementary costs; (4) non-resident implementary costs; (5) capital building, site, and equipment

costs; and (6) ancillary costs.

Prior to the treatment of data, computer programs were developed to perform the computational procedures required. The major programs developed for direct instructional, indirect instructional, and implementary costs were:

- (1) School Course Offering Program
- (2) Direct Instructional Cost Program
- (3) Specific Preparation Cost Program
- (4) Service Function Cost Program
- (5) Certificated Staff Demographic Feature Program

Fourteen additional minor computer programs were developed for cross-checking purposes, cost ordering purposes, cost categorizing, and accounting purposes.

All the computer programs developed were interrelated with the "School Course Offering Program" which provided a listing of all courses offered by curricular program, grade, curricular area, school and total population. This program was developed from the information on courses offered, obtained from resident administrators during Stage 1 of the collection of data.

Figure 4 illustrates the computer function in the completion of teacher costs. Faculty workload survey and course offering information were the two information sources which provided the six cost information outputs required.

Direct Instructional Costs

Direct instructional costs were obtained from the faculty workload





survey data and comprehensive course offering data. This information was computerized to produce the direct instructional data required. Direct instructional costs included the instruction costs of each course, and the prorated cost of the general preparation time assigned to the course instructor. Data obtained on direct costs included: course instructional cost, prorated general preparation cost, aggregated instructional and prorated general preparation cost, direct instructional cost per student enrolled in the course, and the direct instructional costs were aggregated by course, by curricular program, by curricular area and by comprehensive.

Resident Indirect Instructional Costs

Resident indirect instructional costs were compiled from two sources; the specific preparation prorated costs of teachers' salaries, and the curricular program supply and equipment costs.

Specific preparation costs were abtained from the Specific Preparation Cost Program which provided the aggregate cost by course, the per studentcourse, and the per student-enrolled cost for each curricular program by comprehensive and by population.

Instructional equipment and supply costs were obtained from one of three sources: comprehensive records, subject department records, or the general ledger. Actual costs were obtained from July 1, 1970 to March 31,

73

1971, and prorated costs were obtained from April 1, 1971 to June 30, 1971.

The specific preparation cost and instructional equipment and supply costs were aggregated by curricular program to produce the indirect instructional costs of each curricular program, by student-course enrollment and by studentenrollment in each comprehensive.

Resident Implementary Costs

Resident implementary costs were subdivided into general implementary and plant implementary categories.

General implementary costs included all instructional costs which could not be allocated to specific curricular programs. Four categories of general implementary costs were established: general service function costs, auxiliary service function costs, supervision costs, and residual general implementary costs.

<u>General Service Function Costs</u>. These were the costs of five noncurricular programs operable in each comprehensive; library, guidance counselling, audio-visual, television, and administration. The prorated aggregated cost of teachers' salaries for each of these non-curricular programs was obtained from the Service Function Cost Program. This program produced the cost per studentenrolled for each of the general service function programs. Other costs included the salary costs of non-certified technicians, clerical and business support staff, and the supply costs for each of the service function programs. The costs of teacher salary and other costs were aggregated to produce the total cost per student-enrolled for the service function non-curricular programs.

<u>Auxiliary Service Function Costs.</u> These were obtained from the Service Function Cost Program which provided the prorated cost of teacher salary for each auxiliary service function area in this category. The resulting aggregated costs were divided by the school enrollment to provide per studentenrolled costs for the auxiliary service function activities.

<u>Supervision Casts.</u> The cost of supervision activities was abtained from the Service Function Cast Program which provided the aggregated prorated teacher salary costs for each of the supervisory activities conducted in the population comprehensives. The aggregated supervision costs were then divided by the student enrollment of each comprehensive to abtain per studentenrolled costs.

<u>Residual Implementary Costs.</u> Costs in this category included all resident instructional costs which could not be allocated to the direct instructional, indirect instructional general service function, auxiliary service function or supervision function areas. Costs in this area were the residual remaining in series 215, clerical and business support staff; series 240, general classroom equipment, supplies and textbooks; and series 250, "Other" educational expenses. The cost of food service salaries, series 910, was also included in this residual implementary category. <u>Plant Implementary Costs</u>. Plant implementary costs included the expenditures related to plant operation, series 600, and plant maintenance costs, series 700, in each of the comprehensives. These were derived from the actual expenditures made in these areas from July 1, 1970 to March 31, 1971 and the prorated costs from April 1, 1971 to June 30, 1971. The aggregated plant implementary costs were divided by the student enrollment of each comprehensive to obtain a per student-enrolled cost.

Non-Resident Implementary Costs.

Expenditures recorded in this category included: administration, series 100; pupil transportation, series 500; fixed charges, series 800; student body activities, series 1000; capital outlay, series 1200; debt service, series 1300; and outgoing transfer accounts, series 1400.

Costs were aggregated from each of the expenditure series named above and divided by the enrollment of the comprehensive to obtain non-resident implementary per student-enrolled costs.

<u>Proration methods</u>. Several methods were developed to prorate resident and non-resident implementary casts to curricular programs. The proration methods used by function-abject-category are summarized in Figure 5. For example, the cast of plant operation salaries, series 610, were prorated to curricular program by dollar volume, to school by actual expenditure and to grade level by number of pupils.

Tables XXXVII - XXXIX in Appendix F provides the proration statistics

Expenditure Series	Proration Method		
	Curricular Program	Comprehensive	Grade
100 ADMINISTRATION			
110 Salaries	DV	NS	NS
120 Expenses	DV	NS	NS
200 INSTRUCTION			
210 Salaries	AE	AE	AE
211 Teachers	AE	AE	AE
212 Administrators	DV & T	AE	NS&T
213 Other Instr. St.			
a. Library	DV & T	AE & T	NS
b. AV & TV	DV & T	AE & T	NS
c. Guidance	DV & NS	AE&T	NS
d. Other			
1. Internal Sub.	DV	AE	NS
2. Sub. Teach.	DV	AE	NS
215 Clerical & Bus Sup St.	AE & DV	AE	NS
216 AV&TV Technicians	AE & DV	AE	NS
230 Library Equip & Sup.	AE&QC	AE	NS
235 AV Equip & Supplies	AE& QC	AE	NS
236 ETV Equipment	AE& QC	AE	NS
240 Instr. Equip. & Supp.			
(Curric.)	AE	AE	NS
242 General CR Equip.			
Supp. & Texts	AE & DV	AE	NS
250 Other	AE & DV	AE	NS
251 Computer Centre	AE & DV	AE	NS
Non-Res Instr. Expenses	DV	NS	NS
300 ATTENDANCE SERV.			
500 PUPIL TRANS.			
510 Salaries & Other	DV	NS	NS

FIGURE 5

PRORATION METHODS USED TO ALLOCATE EXPENDITURE TO CURRICULAR PROGRAMS, SCHOOLS, AND GRADES

Expenditure	Proration Method		
Series	Curricular		
	Program	Comprehensive	Grade
600 PLANT OPERATION			
610 Salaries	DV	AE	NS
611 Utilities			
a. Fuel	DV	AE	NS
b. Light & Power	DV	AE	NS
c. Telephones	DV	AE	NS
d. Water	DV	AE	NS
650 Supplies			
a. Custodial	DV	AE	NS
651 Total Energy Supply			
and Contact	DV	AE	NS
Non-Resident Expenses	DV	NS	NS
700 PLANT MAINTENANCE 710 Non-Resident Salary			
and Expenses	DV	NS	NS
720 Repair & Replace of Gen. Equip. & Furn.	AE & DV	AE	NS
800 FIXED CHARGES	DV	NS	NS
900 FOOD SERVICES			
910 Salaries	DV	AE	NS
1000 STUDENT BODY ACT.	DV	NS	NS
1200 CAPITAL OUTLAY	DV	NS	NS
1300 DEBT SERVICE FROM CURRENT FUNDS	DV	NS	NS
1400 OUTGOING TRANSFER ACCOUNTS	DV	NS	NS
Legend: T: Time DV: Dollar volume AE: Actual expenditures QC: Quantity consumed NS: Number of students			

used in allocating resident and non-resident implementary costs to curricular programs. These statistics are prorations based on dollar volume resulting from direct instructional costs. For example, in Comprehensive A, 11.67 per cent of the total direct instructional costs were made in the English curricular program.

The dollar volume proration method based on expenditures in direct instructional cost was selected as the most appropriate method for this study. Duke (1970:94-95) adopted this method over the "Number of Pupils in a Program" and a combination of "floor space and pupil course enrollment". Duke (1970:94) based the "dollar volume" proration on direct instructional and resident indirect instructional costs. This method was not suitable for this study due to the lack of uniform accounting procedures for direct instructional equipment and supply costs maintained in the population comprehensives. As a result, dollar volume based on direct instructional costs was accepted as the most accurate proration base in this study.

Capital Cost

The capital building, site and equipment "use" cost for the school year 1970-1971 was considered in two subdivisions, capital building and site "use" cost and capital equipment "use" cost.

<u>Capital building and site "use" cost</u>. The cost of the use of the comprehensive buildings and sites for the school year 1970–1971 was determined on the basis of the gross life expectancy of the building. The life expectancy of the comprehensive school buildings was estimated to be fifty years. This was outlined in the Government of Alberta, Department of Education School Building Regulations, and was accepted as applicable to Saskatchewan schools, as no official statement of building life-expectancy was available.

The minimum age of existing space before it may be completely depreciated will normally be:

(d) fifty years in the case of buildings erected with such materials as reinforced concrete, steel, glued-laminated members, masonry or any combination of such material (1970:11).

The estimated life of fifty years was submitted for consideration to a panel of three members of the Alberta Association of Architects, and the directors of school planning from two large urban school districts in Alberta. The opinion expressed was that fifty years was an adequate life expectancy figure, considering the function for which the buildings were constructed.

The "use" cost of the building and site was considered to be one-fiftieth of the total value of the building and site minus premium payments made on the debt charges under category 1310. The subtraction of the payment of capital debt eliminated double jeopardy in determining the capital "use" cost of the building and site.

The cost of capital building and site "use" was divided by the student enrollment of each comprehensive to determine the capital building and site per student-enrolled costs.

<u>Capital Equipment "Use" Cost</u>. The National Educational Finance Project Special Study No. 6, reporting the non-utilization of capital outlay in cost analysis studies, suggested four reasons for the omission:

80

1. Colleges that have been in operation for many years have had no need to keep up-to-date records on equipment since they were not required to provide depreciation schedules for auditing purposes.

2. Much of the equipment used in occupational programs in many colleges was "used" equipment, surplus property, or donated by industry making it difficult to assign a comparable dollar value on such equipment.

3. Several programs, data processing for example, have used rented equipment, and when the rental expense is computed as a part of the program operating cost, it inflates the cost differential tremendously. In the latter instance, it is difficult to decide whether it is equitable to include rental expense but not appropriate capital outlay expense when making comparisons in program costs.

4. It is very difficult to get a panel of judges to agree on the length of time appropriate to depreciate the total equipment not to mention each piece of equipment for a particular program (Wattenbarger, 1970:99).

Various researchers have attempted to obtain universal agreement on life expectancy of certain education equipment. Parry (1968) determined a life expectancy range of from 10 to 20 years for capital equipment in Comprehensive Junior Colleges in North Carolina, and, in his study, used thirteen years as the average life expectancy of capital equipment. Keene (1963) used an average life expectancy of ten years for capital equipment in his study of cost differentials for community colleges. Wells (1966) made no provision for depreciation and used all of the expense for capital outlay in one year as a one time charge. Wattenbarger, Cage, and Arney commented on Wells' approach by stating:

This procedure has the extreme disadvantage of inflating unproportionately those programs for which the equipment was purchased. This is perhaps worse than no depreciation at all (1970:100). The Illinois Junior College Board (1969) adopted an eight-year descending balance depreciation schedule. All capital outlay, except buildings and site, was depreciated on the following basis:

First year	 12.5% for each of eight years
Second year	- 14.3% for each of seven years
Third year	- 16.7% for each of six years
Fourth y c ar	- 20% for each of five years
Fifth year	- 25% for each of four years
Sixth year	- 33.3% for each of three years
Seventh year	- 50.0% for each of two years
Eighth year	- No depreciation

The Dade County Board of Education, Dade County, Florida, devised a depreciation schedule for equipment in vocational-technical programs at the secondary school level. They utilize a curvilinear regression technique, utilizing the cost of upkeep and maintenance as well as a depreciation schedule. When the depreciated value of the piece of equipment reached the same level as the expenditures for maintenance, the piece of equipment was to be replaced.

In his study of twenty junior colleges throughout the United States, Morsch (1969) found that none of the budgets he examined made proper allowances for depreciation, amortization or absolescence, although equipment and repair replacemnt was shown as an expense when incurred. This was similar to the situation found in the comprehensive high schools examined in this study.

An average life expectancy of ten years for capital equipment was adopted for this study. This gross figure was utilized due to the lack of uniformity of capital equipment records maintained within the comprehensives, and the inability to determine purchase dates of specific capital equipment items. This forms the basis for further study.

The "use" cost of capital equipment for the school year 1970-1971 was determined to be one tenth of the total value of the capital equipment in each comprehensive. The ratio of one-tenth was based on the average gross life expectancy of the equipment in terms of wear and obsolescence. The total value of the capital equipment was divided by ten to determine the gross aggregated capital use cost for the school year 1970-1971. This total was then divided by the per student enrollment in the individual comprehensives to obtain the capital equipment "use" per student-enrolled cost.

Ancillary Cost

The cost of transporting students from school unit or county attendance areas to the comprehensives was obtained from the school units and counties involved. The total costs abtained were aggregated for each comprehensive and the resulting total was divided by the student enrollment of the respective comprehensives to obtain a per student-enrolled ancillary cost.

VI. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER IV

The research procedures utilized in this study were divided into four stages. The first stage outlined the fomulation of the study and the preliminary activities required to obtain authorization for the study. The second stage described the ten sources of data used in this study. The methods used for collecting the required data were outlined in the third stage of this chapter. Four data collection stages were required to complete the data collections. The final stage described the treatment of data. Six different kinds of outputs were analyzed and the computer function and proration operations were described. These determined: (1) direct instructional costs; (2) resident indirect instructional costs; (3) resident implementary costs; (4) non-resident implementary costs; (5) capital use costs; and, (6) ancillary costs.

CHAPTER V

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

This chapter contains seven sections pertaining to the analysis and tabulation of the data of this study. The material is ordered in the same sequence as the sub-problems in Chapter 1.

The first section deals with an analysis of the subjects taught in the comprehensive high schools, by program, grade, school and total population. The second section deals with an analysis of the qualification, experience, age, salary levels of the teaching staffs of the population schools. This staff analysis is followed by a description of the pertinent characteristics of each population comprehensive.

The third section contains an analysis of the direct instructional cost by subject, curricular program, and grade level. The fourth section deals with the resident indirect instructional costs by curricular program.

The fifth section considers the resident implementary, per studentenrolled costs. Two dimensions are considered, resident plant implementary and general implementary costs. General implementary costs are further analyzed into general service function, auxiliary service function, student supervision; and other non-program costs. The resident indirect and implementary costs are subsequently combined.

The sixth section of this chapter contains the total per student educa-

tional costs. These are tabulated by division, grade, curricular program and school. Also included is a complete breakdown of student-enrolled costs by a modified conventional function-object expenditure classification system, followed by a two dimensional program-budget format.

The seventh section contains an analysis of ancillary, capital building and site, and capital equipment costs of educating the students enrolled in the population comprehensives. These costs are then combined with total per student educational costs to determine complete educational costs.

I. STAFF ANALYSIS AND AUXILIARY DATA

Two tabulations are considered in this section as a basis for subsequent cost analysis in this study. The first tabulation is an analysis of selected demographic information relative to the teaching staffs of the population schools, professional qualifications, salary, experience (as determined for salary purposes) and age. This analysis was structured on the basis of comprehensive, population and curricular program, as required in specific sub-problems (1) and (2) listed on page 3 of this study.

The second segment of this section is a description of the operational structure of each of the comprehensives. This descriptive material, including student enrollment, establishes a contextual background for the cost analysis of the population comprehensives.

Average Teaching Qualifications, Experience, Age, Sex, and Salary of Teachers

Tables XXXIII, XXXIV, and XXXV (Appendix D) provide aggregated data relative to the teaching staffs of each comprehensive, the characteristics of the total teacher population, and aggregated data relative to the teachers by curricular program or teaching function within the comprehensives.

Table XXXIV (Appendix D) provides the total number of teachers, the average age, salary, experience, and training of the teachers in each comprehensive. The number of teachers in each comprehensive varied from a minimum of 23 in Comprehensive D to 82 teachers in each of Comprehensives F and G. The average age of teaching staff members ranged from the lowest average of 32.15 years in Comprehensive B to the highest average of 39.63 years in Comprehensive E. Salaries of teachers in the comprehensive high schools varied from the lowest of \$8,715.32 in Comprehensive C to \$11,120.57 in Comprehensive K. The comprehensive with the highest average experience was Comprehensive K with 7.97 years, Comprehensive G had the lowest experience of 5.62 years. The average training of staff members ranged from 3.95 years in Comprehensive A to 4.73 years in Comprehensive I.

Table XXXV (Appendix D) presents the average teacher's salary, age, experience and training for the 627 teachers in the eleven comprehensive high schools which constituted the population of this study.

Table XXXVI (Appendix D) outlines the number of teachers in each curricular area within the comprehensive schools and their average training,

experience, salary, and age. The percentage breakdown of the sex of the teachers in each curricular area is also provided. As presented in the All Schools Combined section of this Table, the teachers with the highest qualifications were science teachers with an average of 4.78 years of training followed by social studies and Christian Ethics teachers with 4.77 years and 4.75 years of training respectively. Business education teachers with an average of 3.64 years of training were the least qualified, followed by vocational-technical teachers with 3.76 years. All other teachers within the curricular areas averaged more than four years of training. Four years is considered to be the minimum requirement of a baccalaureate degree, and a professional teaching certificate in Saskatchewan.

Teachers of mathematics had the highest average teaching experience of 8.02 years, followed by social studies teachers with 7.71 years. Teachers of English, fine arts, modern languages, science, and vocational-technical had an average of between 6.00 and 7.00 years. Christian Ethics teachers had the lowest average experience of 2.25 years with physical education teachers having the second lowest average at 4.59 years.

Teachers' salaries were a direct function of the qualifications and experience of individual teachers. The average salaries of the teachers in the curricular areas substantiated this contention with mathematics teachers (\$11,298.31) and social studies teachers (\$11,061.26) having the highest average salaries. Christian Ethics teachers (\$8,820.25) had the lowest average salary, followed by business education teachers (\$9,133.23).

88

The highest average age teachers were the mathematics teachers with an average age of 37.71 years followed by social studies teachers with an average age of 37.08 years. The teachers with the lowest average age were teachers of Christian Ethics, at 26.50 years. Physical education teachers with an average age of 28.45 years were the second youngest group. Teachers of all other unnamed curricular programs had an average age of over 30.00 and under 37.00 years.

All home economics teachers in the population were female, as were 62.89 per cent of business education teachers. All other curricular programs were instructed by a majority of male teachers.

Other Pertinent Data

The eleven comprehensive high schools in the population were designated as Comprehensive A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K in this study. This section refers to the operational characteristics of the schools for the school year 1970–1971. Tables XXXI and XXXII in Appendix D provide a summary of student enrollment data by comprehensive and curricular program.

<u>Comprehensive A</u> provided a Division IV program to 787 students instructed by forty-three staff members. Curricular programs were organized on a two-semester basis with 80 programs providing a total of 95 credits in seventeen curricular areas. The master timetable was structured on a twenty-five minute module extended over a five day week. The total potential assigned program instruction time was 1,775 minutes per week.

89
Full-time service function personnel in the school numbered three, the principal, a librarian, and a guidance counsellor. Part-time service function and teaching personnel included two vice-principals and a guidance counsellor who devoted fifty per cent of assigned time to instruction in the curricular programs, and a teacher-cafeteria manageress.

<u>Comprehensive B</u> conducted a Division IV program of 101 course offerings providing a total of 115 credits. The thirty-four teachers included a full-time principal and librarian and a vice-principal who devoted 36 per cent of assigned time to curricular program instruction.

The guidance counselling was provided by three teacher-counsellors on a partial assigned time basis. The guidance program was augmented by regularly assigned grade ten and eleven class guidance periods.

The master timetable for curricular programs operated on a two-semester structure, with a total assigned time of 1,980 minutes organized in a six day week. Students were assigned to classes for only 1,800 minutes per week, with the remaining 180 minutes, made up of a daily period of 30 minutes, being time assigned to staff members for curricular or service function activities.

Out-of-car driver education instruction was provided to grade ten students on a regularly timetable scheduled pattern. This program and the class guidance instruction were curricular programs unique to this comprehensive.

<u>Comprehensive C</u> provided a basic Division IV program augmented with Division III Grade IX courses for part-time students. Of the 525 students enrolled, 489 were full-time Division IV students. The remaining Division III part-time students, who were transported from surrounding schools, aggregated to a total of thirty-six full-time equivalent students.

A yearly program was offered in Comprehensive C which was unique among the population comprehensives. Eighty-two courses in fifteen program areas were offered in the comprehensive resulting in a total credit offering of eighty-five credits. Period lengths within the daily timetable schedule varied resulting in a 1,950 minute average teaching week being assigned to staff members.

The comprehensive staff totalled thirty-one teachers, twenty-eight full-time and three part-time teachers. Full-time service function personnel included the principal, one librarian and one guidance counsellor. Service personnel with additional instructional assignments included a vice-principal, devoting sixty-six per cent of assigned time to administration, and a commercial cooking teacher, who managed the cafeteria. Six department heads were designated in this comprehensive.

<u>Comprehensive D</u> had the lowest enrollment in the population. Fifty-nine courses in twelve curricular areas providing fifty-six credits were offered to the 482 Division IV students enrolled. The two-semester curricular organization within the school was based on a five-day cycle of five periods a day of varying lengths providing a total assigned time of 1,525 minutes per week.

Twenty-three teachers were employed in this comprehensive. The principal and a librarian were the full-time service personnel in the school.

The vice-principal performed three functions on a time percentage listed: administration (41%), guidance counselling (30%), and curricular program instruction (29%).

<u>Comprehensive E</u> offered eighty courses and a total of eighty credits to 868 students in sixteen curricular areas in a two-semester organization. The curricular program included a Vocational A curricular area and an occupational upgrading program for North American Indian students.

Forty-four staff members were assigned to the school. This number included three full-time service function personnel: principal, librarian, and guidance counsellor. The two vice-principals performed administrative duties for 65 per cent of their assigned time and 35 per cent of their assigned time was devoted to curricular program instruction. Two additional service function personnel were assigned curricular area instruction duties and the functions of receiving new equipment and adult education coordination.

This comprehensive was organized on a twenty minute module, six day week cycle totalling 1,920 minutes during the first semester. This organization changed to six equal periods, on a six day week cycle to a total of 1,920 minutes during the second semester.

<u>Comprehensive F</u> had the largest total of student enrollment in the population, 1,675 students, in a Division III, Grade VIII and IX, and a Division IV program. The Division III program of 22 courses was a non-option course with students having a 1,650 minute week of required courses. The art, music, physical education, and general technical courses were team-taught. The Division IV program was composed of 91 courses with a total of 100 credits. Two programs, business education and technology were offered in the technicalvocational area, with a total of 15 courses and 19 credits, the remaining 76 courses and 81 credits were offered in the academic area.

The staff of eighty-one teachers included three part-time teachers, one principal and two vice-principals as full-time administrators, one full-time and one quarter-time guidance counsellor and one full-time librarian.

The master timetable was based on a two-semester plan with a modified five day week program of 1,650 minutes. All Division IV courses were based on thirty minute periods, and the Division III courses were 50 or 60 minutes in length. All Division IV students received one group guidance period of thirty minutes per week. Division III students had home room supervision of a total of 25 minutes per week.

<u>Comprehensive G</u> provided a Division III, Grade IX program of 24 courses and 26 credits and a Division IV program of 125 courses and 132 credits. Division III students were permitted options in the non-core subject areas.

The master timetable of the two-semester program was composed of seven sixty-minute periods per day on a five day week. Teachers were assigned five periods (300 minutes) a day, making the teacher workload 1,500 of a 2,100 minute timetable scheduled week. (Through this organization, potentially 40 per cent more classes could be scheduled on the timetable, making use of the existing facilities to encompass a larger school enrollment.) Students numbering 1,632 were instructed by a staff of 77 which included the following full-time service personnel: one principal, two viceprincipals, one audio-visual television service teacher, two librarians and a full-time social studies teacher involved in the production of social studies television instructional materials, and two full-time guidance counsellors. There were nine department heads and one technical vocational coordinator in the school.

<u>Comprehensive H</u> had a school enrollment of 1,579 students, 394 Division III, Grade IX students, and 1,185 Division IV students. Thirteen Division III courses were offered for a total of thirteen credits. Ninety-four courses in sixteen program areas were offered in the Division IV area, with a credit offering of one hundred and three.

The eighty-two teachers on staff included the following full-time service personnel: one principal, two vice-principals, three guidance counsellors, and one librarian. Part-time teacher-service personnel included nine department heads, five shop heads, and a remedial reading teacher.

The master timetable of this two-semester school called for five 67minute periods a day on a six day week program making a total of 2,010 assigned minutes per week. One or two periods a week were designated as staff meeting periods in various subject areas.

<u>Comprehensive 1</u> had a school enrollment of 1,549 students, 369 Division III, Grade IX students, and 1,180 Division IV students. The Division

III program was 13 courses in ten subjects with a credit total of 13. The Division IV program provided 103 courses and 104 credits in sixteen subject areas.

The seventy-nine teachers on staff included one part-time teacher and the following full-time service personnel: one principal, two viceprincipals, three guidance counsellors, and one librarian. Teacher-service personnel included eight department heads, five shop heads and one remedial reading teacher.

The master timetable in this two-semester comprehensive called for five 65-minute periods per day on a six-day week organization making a total of 1,950 minutes of assigned time per week.

<u>Comprehensive J</u> provided a Division IV program for 1,303 students with 133 courses totalling 141 credits offered in 18 subject areas. This school had the largest number of Division IV students of the population comprehensives.

The teaching staff was composed of 69 teachers in the first semester and 68 teachers in the second semester which included one half-time librarian. Full-time service personnel included: one principal, one academic director, one technical-vocational director, one librarian and two guidance counsellors. Teacher service personnel included seven department heads, one adult education director, one television coordinator, and one audio-visual coordinator.

The master timetable for this two-semester comprehensive called for six fifty-six minute periods a day on a six-day week cycle making a total of 2,016 minutes of assigned teaching time per week. Although not called for on the timetable, teachers were expected to provide at least one period per week on an individual and group guidance program for students assigned to them.

<u>Comprehensive K</u> provided a Division IV program of 153 courses totalling 199 credits in 17 program areas to 1,131 students. This school had the largest number of course offerings and total credits of any of the population comprehensives.

The professional staff of 59 teachers included one part-time electronics teacher. Full-time service personnel included: one principal, two viceprincipals, two guidance counsellors, one librarian, one resource centre director. Teacher-service personnel included: one guidance counsellor and six department heads.

The master timetable operated on a four-day week repeating cycle of three sixty-minute periods and two sixty-five minute periods a day, and one ten-minute group guidance home room period a day making a weekly cycle of 1,280 minutes per week.

II. DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS

Five analyses pertaining to direct instructional costs presented the findings relative to specific sub-problems numbered 4 to 8 on page 3 of this study.

Direct instructional costs were affected by three basic factors:

 teacher's salary, (2) course enrollment, and (3) teacher's general preparation time. Teachers' salaries were a function of their qualifications and experience, and were a given factor once teachers were employed.
Course enrollment varied according to the class size priorities within subjects, and the number of students enrolled in a subject.

Teacher general preparation time, which was prorated proportionately to the assigned instructional class time of the teacher, averaged 16.63 per cent of the direct instructional costs in the population as shown in Table 1. Individual schools varied from a low of 11.06 per cent in Comprehensive B to 24.53 per cent in Comprehensive J. The higher the percentage of general preparation time to actual class instruction time, the higher the direct instructional cost.

Direct Instructional Casts per Student-Course: Division III, Grade VIII

Division III, Year III, Grade VIII, was offered only in Comprehensive F. The program provided was standard for all students with the exception of individualized mathematics which was offered to selected students in place of the regular mathematics 80 course. Table II presents the direct instructional costs per pupil course of Grade VIII in Comprehensive F.

Variation in course cost was the result of: (1) low enrollment in individualized mathematics, (2) course time in the cost of English, home economics, industrial arts, mathematics, and physical education, which received more instructional time than French, science, social studies, music and art.

DESTRUCTIONAL COST AND GENERAL REPARATION COST AS A FUNCTION OF DRECT INSTRUCTIONAL	COST BY COMPRENENCE

TAME I

(marked in	laghruchional	General Pre-	Direct Instru-	No. of Student- Corner	Particular Cat	General Pres. Per Student-Cas	Direct Instructional Per Student Cast	Ar Carl Ganard Pa at Total Direct Carl
	3		1		8. S	6.0	81.18	13.26
A: Assessing Vec. Tech.	80, 908, CIS 27, 619, 79	19.000,01	16.09,211	1495	8.8	12.09	2.5	13.54
3	<u>12, 122, ETC</u>	51,005.79		ł	2.4	<u> </u>	57.98	32.11
B: Academic	05.201,921				1	5.10	49.65	10.27
Voc. Tech.	20 / 700 - 102	0. M. 1			51.55	1.1	39.52	11.06
		18° AY			94.6£	3.06	45.02	1.24
C: Acodemic	21 . 000, VI	6.550.75			52.82	2.9	59.15	8.9
Voc. iecn. Tarel	191 640 05	22. 610, 62			22.94 1	2.X	0.8	21.11
	05 PEA 21	98' MA' 12	İ.		25.14			12.01
Vec. Tech.	15.100.05	4.038.05			2 F	49.0	R A	13.43
Total	145,265.00	14. 422, 62					1.11	23.74
C: Acodemic	41.0C6.20Z	52.102.09			3.5		24. 29	20.58
Voc. Tech.	14.010.00	16,484.35			1.7	17.46	54.23	70.62
Toral	206.5/4.25				11	6.53	80.04	16.29
F: Acodenic	531, 160.44	80. /00' mi			8	28.8	59.77	14.84
Vec. Tech.	57.625.62 EL 1992 102	67 67 71			35.02	6.7	a 1.73	16.10
10.01		10. Cao' al 1	ł		R K	84.6	4.4	21.99
G: Acutomic					54.24	12.63	66.87	6 . 8
Voc. lech.		10 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		·	12:0	10.20	17.17	21.35
					28.04	16.8	47.16	
H: Acodemic					59.72	14.84	74.56	6.6
Vot. 1004.		10. 30. 30.		•	20.02	7.69	51.39	14.91
	10.007 010	N 107 W	I		43.36	10.01	53.37	97.8I
					57.09	12.78	69.87	2.8
Voc. lech	C/ 777 961				12.81	10.30	56.31	10.65
10:01	0.1 0 4 .02			Ł	30.32	90.01	40.70	25.50
J. Acodemic	282, 101, 282	99. • 70, 34 40 (Co • C			51.72	14.81	66.53	22.33
Vou. Tech.	10 VC 01	10 (V) (11		•	4.8	Π.32	46.15	24.53
Icial	N. 102 112	10: 00, 001			37.05	5.58	42.63	AD. E1
K. Acodemic Vec Tech	151 381 25	21,419.05			<u> 59.70</u>	8	5. 50 5.	
A DC . IEL.				•				10.41

TABLE II

DIVISION III, GRADE VIII DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE ENROLMENT IN COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL F

Courses	Course Enrolment	Per Student Course Enrolment Costs
ENGLISH		
English 80	311	65.47
	311	65.47
INE ARTS		• • • •
Art 80 ¹	312	26.96
Music 80 ¹	315	38.42
	627	32.67
HOME ECONOMICS		
Home Economics 80	1 152	73.42
	152	73.42
MATHEMATICS		_
Mathematics 80	305	59.13
Individual Math 89	12	157.60
	317	62.85
MODERN LANGUAGE	5	
French 80	313	38.06
	313	38.06
PHYSICAL EDUCATION	1	
Phys Ed & Health ¹	311	45.81
	311	45.81
SCIENCE		
Science 80	310	27.87
	310	27.87
SOCIAL STUDIES		
Social Studies 80	312	37.57
	312	37.57
GENERAL		
Industrial Arts 801	165	79.00
	165	79.00

1 Instructed by team teaching

Direct Instructional Cost Per Student-Course: Division III, Grade IX

Division III, Year III, Grade IX, was offered in Comprehensives F, G, H, and I. School F provided a nonoption program of eleven courses, while G, H, and I offered required basic courses in English, mathematics, science, social studies, physical education and health, home economics and industrial arts, with options in art, music, French, drafting, business education, and commercial cooking.

Table III presents the direct instructional costs for the four comprehensive schools offering the Grade IX program. The variation in unit costs was a result of the different time periods of instruction in the comprehensives, and where time periods were relatively equal, Comprehensives H and I had higher costs due to higher average teacher salaries. The courses, numbered 92, were modified courses designed for slower learning students in Comprehensive G.

Three distinct ranges of student-enrolled costs were identified. (1) The lowest cost programs were Christian Ethics, fine arts, mathematics, modern languages, science, social studies, business education and drafting, (2) The mid range cost programs were English and physical education. (3) The high cost subjects were home economics, commercial cooking and industrial arts.

Direct Instructional Casts: Division IV, Grade X

Grade X direct instructional casts are presented in Table IV. A total of 124 courses were offered at the Grade X level. The instructional time of

TABLE IN

٠

DRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE ENROLMENT IN COMPRENSIVE SCHOOLS F, G, H, AND I

J			Ċ		3	_	-	_
	3		Level as	Per Studies Course Ernel- ment Cash]		3	
ORISTIAN FING: Oristen Bhier 19 Oristen Bhier 19			73 7	22.15 41.04				
3			ş	25.10				
NOLISH Salish Sa Salish Sa	\$	8.5	£₹	10.12 12.4	Ş	8 . 28	ą	sı. <i>t</i> ə
]	8	8.5	¥	الا الا	\$	8,28	ą	er.9
and Antis	8	57. 62	2	8.5	2	57.57	ž	16.14
	Ŕ	7,8	• <u>-</u> •	27.08 27.15	2	4 .95	8	40.92
3	Ę	16.8	×	75.17	312	41.15	ž	69° CT
New Economics New Economics 19 New Economics 19	ł	15.17	<u>3</u> 8	8. 1 2 8. 2	ž	56.75	3	9 2
Totel	I	74.58	2 91	8 .3	¥	54.75	146	82.50
Mathematics Mathematics 90 Mathematics 92 Latitized Math 93	2	¥. 9	å a	F. 8 55 3	8	16.14	EI4	ð: 4
3	2	7.6	8	59.29	8	16.44	¢13	95.64

4

101

ſ

	j		j		;]	Terra Contra	3	Re Suber
				ment Certs		ment Cash		ment Cats
MODERN LANGLAGES			i					
French 10	8	R , 14	ลี	2.2	Ā	4.7	Ā	8 . 8
French 72			7		ä	8	1	
Gener B Lhuininn			r, •	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	z	R7. 19	R	4.4
3	8	2.4	2	19.03	Ŗ	¥.#	Ę	45.77
MASICAL EDUCATION								
	8		8	2	ą	2.2	317	14 19
Pricel Education 90	}		2	17.86				
.]	8	8.3	8	24.41	\$	2.79	317	19.18
COENCE								
Skience 10	3	1 ,8	£	5,5	410	8.0	Ŗ	5.2
General Xience 7			149	51.71				
3	2	19 , 19	424	14. 14	410	8.4	Ŗ	5,13
SOCIAL STUDIES								
Seciel Studion 80	Ŗ	2070	315	38 .17	Ş	54.42	Ş	19.52
Social Studios 2			8	8.8				
Teral	3	27.50	8	41.39	90¥	54.42	404	53.64
USINESS EDUCATION								
Typing 90			101	<u>67</u> .02	3	41.89		
Typing 92			4	4.52				
Totel			æ	29.86	3	41.89		
COMMERCIAL COOKING								
Food Services 72			9	NO.37				
Total			9	100.37				
DAAFTING								
Chaling 90							କ୍ଷ	51.23
Totol							250	51.23
TECHNOLOGY	1	1				2	516	
Induitial Att		N.N			9	¥.9	///	7.90
	Į				314		212	

TABLE IV

GRADE TEN DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE ENGIGLMENT IN THE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS

j	3	J]] 3		jjı	Per Shuthan Course Eard mean Carl			ji		<u><u>j</u></u>		jjı	
Omistan FING Outstan Bria 19	-												
Moulsh Evelish 10	~ -	8	3 , 12	2	a A	ž	43	8	6 8	Ā	8.9	R	R. 85
Linears 10 Equita 11/12 Equita 10 Mar Equita 10 Mar Equita 15				X	4			Ŧ	5.	•	10-21	* ~	2.2 2.3
	-	2	87.12	5	8.8	ŝ	*3	Ā	a . e	310	85.58	5	8.3
FINE ARTS				8	17.0	1				*	6.7	8	43.05
2 2		2	07°50	2	5.4								
Nak B Ooul Mak B		2	17.59										
Muric Veedel 10 Bond 10 General Maric 10				~	WF 46								
	-	5	N , Q	×	F 3					×	<i>п.</i> а	8	43.55
HOME ECONOMICS Hore Economics 10	- (2	9.4	8	4.12	121	£7.19	8	8 .8	8	5.2	8	£, 33
		2	21	8	4.12	121	27.19	0	20.02	2	5.25	8	2.29

TABLE IV

GIADE TEN DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE EMOLMENT IN THE COMMEHENSIVE SCHOOLS

M The Bhis M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M <			Course Errol manual (Gal 15: 4: 6		Course Enned Read Cast		Course Fanal
V FT MCG V FT MCG V FT MCG M Molecular M Molecular <t< th=""><th>5</th><th>8 A</th><th>9: 53 14. 121</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	5	8 A	9: 53 14. 121				
Model	5	8 P	90.53 14.121				
100 30 30 11/12 30 31 11/12 31 11/12 31 11/12 31 11/12 31 11/12 32 11/12 33 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 34 11/12 11/12	5	8 A	70. 69 14. 181				
		A	19" 151			216	7:09
Land to the second seco	F			ā ā	8 ²⁷ 7		
366 54.10 12 2 12 2 12 2 13 2 14 2 15 2 16 2 17 2 18 2 19 3 10 3 12 3 13 4 14 3 15 3 16 3 17 4 18 5 19 5 19 5 10 5 11 4 12 5 13 5 14 5 15 5 16 5 17 5 18 5 19 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 10 5 5				88	4.4 7 7	5 R	19 19
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		\$	70.24	914	10. %	ž	6.9
Munic 10 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15							
12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		2	6.12	8	55.48	129	8.2
a 12 1 2 194.59 10 1 5 194.59 1 Music 10 1 5 194.55 1 Vocal 10 1 5 194.55 1 Music 10 1 6 89.00	• ~			12	8.3		
Marie 10 Vecal 10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12	;			!		;	
10 al Muaic 10 12 1 4 89.00				₹;	7.8	8	8.2
1 6 69.00		R •	17. M	<u>e</u>	H . /2		
	0		R				
10/01 57 54.22 138	16.22 861 5	æ	46.26	81	86.98	2	17.0
MOME ECONOMICS Home Economics 10 1 52 12.02 77		3	SZ" 66	ឌ	38. 63	\$	56.37
		я	22.89	R	AT BY	98	8.2

TAME N (Curimed

j	3	ĴİI		Jiı				ji	Per Southand Course Events Course Events		Per Student Course Errol meant Cost		Correction Correction
Alfrendict Apple 10 Apple 10 Apple 10 Apple 10 Apple 10 Apple 10 Apple 10				2	2.42			<u>s</u>	4 .8	A	* .21	ğn#	र क 8. इ. द
Algebra Eriched N General Math 10		Ā	65.10			311	4.0	8	16.16	z	51.22	8	72.05
Connect 10 Connect 10 Mark 12				2	5 8	2	10.611	9	10,12	2	14.04	275	R . H
Compare Science 10 Fractional Commony I		A	61.10	ŝ	8.8	ន	4 .3	R	RX	ą	8.8	3	Q.N
MODEIN LANGUAGES Franch 10		Ŧ	**	ş	97 R	2	20.02	2	55.76	8	62.59	ឆ្ក វ	8. IS 14. 4
Forch One 10 Forch Written 10 German 10 Larrinan 10										22	52.56 165.08	3	•
		2	7.4	8	97.85	*	8.8	3	\$5.26	12	60.63	2	£.95
Physical EDUCATION Physical Education 10 1 Physical Education N/C 0	- o	4	7 3	2	R. 2	85	57, 53 M. 81	= 8	22.28 16.01	a a	12.28 25.9	376	27.99
	•	3	25.35	82	16.31	536	22.51	101	23.26	88	14.80	376	66° 12

105

l

1	
3	
7	2
1	
5	Į
-	
-	•
-	1
-	1
•	
<	l
	i

ş			Per Studies Course Ender		Per Student Course Errol ment Cat	Erral Frank		jį I		Śżł	
MATHEMATICS Algebre 10	-	¥	4 0.16	\$	8,7	R	1 2" 24	¥	T7 C4	211	11.14
Algebra 10 News Algebra 10 Special								;	;	4	130.33
Algebra Encload 10 General Mark 10 Trade Mark 10		01	2.2			1	a .N	52	19 .9 5	38	5.2 1.4
See Nort 10 Gerrery 10 Mart 17		<u>8</u> 4	12.34	ŝ	10.04	•	50.93	114	83.08	R	57" 17
Genery Seciel 11 Convert Science 18 Traditional General 18		2	4.921	X	2					*	8.4
Tetel		3	14.44	94	45.38	8	4.74	417	(7 '2'	412	8° 8
MODERN LANGUAGES Franch 10 Franch 10 Manan Franch 10 Manan		ã	2	210	ST ST	Ŕ	8,4	20	8.3	5	4 H
French Written 10 German 10 Ukrainian 10		2	IC.2	\$	79.EL	Ŧ	57.67	3	19"29	52 R	8. 3 8. 3
Total		20	43.72	23	S0.02	329	43.69	Эўк	60° 22	æ	48.75
MYSICAL EDUCATION Physical Ease: 10 Physical Educ. N/C	- 0	3	61.14	6	55.0 4	C ţ	19.00	2	CP . 21	3	8 .6
Totel		3	61.14	ą	25.04	614	18.05	(23	54.71	654	08.9

TARE IN (Carlined

ż	4 3	,231 Jj1		Jiı		ji	Per South	311	Pr State		Per Student Course Errol mant Carl	S I I	Per Student Course Envel mear Cat
SCIENCE Seman 10 Seman 10 Seman 12 Seman	Ĩ	8.4	52	5 ° A	ž	ц. с.	8	8; X	ĝ	S2 .67	8 45	14. 14 14. 14 14. 15	
3		M	8.8	243	2.0	ž	43.77	8	36.3 0	8	52.42	¥	99°.90
SOCIAL STUDIES Secul Studion 10 Secul St. Madiliad 10		8	2. R	8	1 .8	41	C1.92	11	60 ° 17	Ŕ	61.65	=	76.74
General Sec. So. 12 History 10 History 10 Neuron Generatry 10		\$	5,8	2	17	3	4	*	N.12	A	87 %	ខ្លួនស្	19.49 19.58 19.16
10.61		R	8.8	£	17. T	259	2.5	ĨQ	40.22	328	41.40	411	36.43
AGRICUTURE Apricultured Mech. 10 Apricultured Tech. 10 Fan Mechanica 10 Voc. Agriculture 10	9			22	7 33	• 8	87° 55						
lovel				×	5.3	8	\$5.25						
BUSINIESS EDUCATION Accounting 10 Bookteeping 10 Bun Introductory 10 Bun Introductory 10 Cult. Mach 10 Cult. Mach 10		B	भू म	R	R R	R	8, 9	8	Ci R	2 20	14.82 80.001 83.67	19	8.7
Gerral Burners 10 Office Fixedures 10 Sharty of 12 Typing 10-12		6 R	8.8 5.4	Ŧ	¥.8	\$	<i>U.</i> Z	551	8.R	8 ð	86. 14 10. EE	ž	6 72

107

.

TARE N (Curlined

 3 28 30	3		Course Eard	<u>j</u>	j		Rent Course Ford		Course frond
R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	21.14	\$	8						
	:			월 ² 8	22.22 55.16 54.22	3	4 . 4	125 227	CA. 28 CA. 14
10 1 345	1. K	ą	97.53	3	65° Ø	425	99'08	3 2	11.04
07 - 9	2	ą	57.0	5 2	59.48 74.87	Ş	17.92	ä	45.10
General Sec. 34, 12 Natery 10 History 10 Neven	R	ţ	2	; 8	10 94	D	16.76	7 <u>.</u> 7	41.05 24.05
412	87° A	y ž	¥ 7.	5 8	66, 19	8	¥.24	Ţ	61.14
AGRICUTURE Agricult, Mech. 10 1 Agricult, Mech. 10 1						\$	38 , 111		
Farm Machenica. 10 1 Voc. Agriculture 10 0.5						36	9 7.111	RR	73.15
business EDUCATION Accounting 10 1 002 Ecstanging 10 1 3 1	4 <u>7</u> 4 4			58	1 0 1 9		87.83 87.83	ž	30.75
• •	5°3	8	12.46						
Specification 1 136	3	R	5 R	141	65.27	315	8. 8	u	6.1 1

108

i

TARE N (Current

ş	Ż	511			133	511		jii		jii	Pr Sudden Course Ender ment Cat	Jii	
Balant Baseles Carl Typics 17 Trains 10										*	4 . 4		
Leisen Typing 11 Taria 17 Taria		515	8.8	9	X .R	E	17.1	8	16.22	316	188.17	ŝ	8.8
COMMERCIAL COOKING Com. Conting 19/14 Ford Service 10		2	10'24			-	8.8			z	35.62		
Terel	-	2	10.57			-	8.8			3	35.62		
COSMETOLOSY Bosury Culture 10 Committeer 1 Committeer 10 Committeer 12													
Tetal													
Davring Dahing 13 Dahing 13 Dahing Vec. 13 Dahing Vec. 13				1	27 08	22	81.18 91.18	2	65° R	8	<i>נו. מ</i> ו		
lois!	•			8	20.05	ห	£7.99	ន	95°R	8	12.12		
BECTRICAL Electricity 13 Electrical Explor , 11 Electrical Explor , 11										8	68 .12		
Bechical Tech 12/14 Bechical Voc. 14 Bechical-Bechen. M	}			8	I.	8 =	2.2 2.2	*	22.95		-		
Terul				ន	M. M	ą	59.74	8	82.95	3	69.12		

TARE N (Curlend

ana su su su su su su su su su su su su su	Ĵ	Jiı		331	1]3	331		311	133	ji	
beine K. Cent Typing 17 Typing 18 Beines Typing 11		2 •	61. 1 2 1 1. 0 1			8	12.02				
	-	ž	25°80	Ā	a.a	Â	18.25	IS	21.13	£13	A 0
COMMERCIAL COOKING Can. Cat. 10/14 Faul Service 10 Faul Service 10		- 2	43 43	3	R. 8	2	đ R	x	D. 14		
1	-	a	a .v	9	8,8	Ξ	8.8	X	D.1		
COSMITICA COSY Barry Culture 10 Converting 1		R :	6 7 1					R	a, u	R	33.16
	-	3	£.7					8	2.45	R	31.16
Deefind Dafrig 13 Gefrig Tech. 13 Dafrig Vec. 13				3	57.13	Ş	X" 19	A	8	ß	37°
Date: y Suder. 13 Total	-			3	9.12	2	87 I 9	R	8.6	5	х. х
RECRICAL Bocnicity 13 Recivical Suder. 11 Electrical Electron 11 Electrical Tech. 13/14	0 S			Ŕ	95.47	8	8	2	x.x	A	ED. 14
Electrical Vac. 14 Electrical-Electron 14 T1				R	74.50	8	8, 9	=	35.16	A	41.63

TARE N (Contract)

J	3	Jii]]]	Jiı		JII		331		Jii	13	jii	
		*	3			2	17.74						
C.M.F. 10 D.A.W. 16 Teel		R	8 .8			2	17.44						
MCOMMICAL Automican II Sancial Auto IA Mare Mach. H Machanica II	0 ~ - 0 7 ~ 7					8	8	8	5				
hechenica 13 hechina Shap 11 hech-Weiki Mer. 19 hech 14 hech. Engler. 18	- 9					A	9 7 19			7	87.52		
Mach. Tach. T Mach. Tach. H Welding II	0 J			8		F		3	5 8	=	8,2		
Inut Structural Contruct, 19/13	-			×		* *	8.19	4	4.67				
Contract. 11 Contract. 14. 14 Contract. 14. 14 Contract. 14. 14	າ •			*	8.5					=	114.95		
Successed Toch. 1	-			3	85	2	8.19	₹	% .6/	=	114.95		

TAME IN COMMAND

Ŋ	3			Jii		Jiı	13	Jii	13	Jii	
GINERA GINERA Fraine I Foch. Ender. A Toch. Ender. A Toch. Ender. A C.M.E. 00		23	88 4 5					112 ·	धन् उह		
3		\$	97-98					218	16.08		
MECHANICAL Automotive 11 Special Auto 14	5 .2							2	217.49	R	*
Mere Mech. 14 Mechanics 11	- •						i			ą	17.16
Machanica 13 Machine Shap 11 Mach-Weld-Martee				*	15.401	3	e r 11			8	24.46
Merel 14 Mech. Explor. 10 Mech. Tech. 1 Mech. Tech. 14				3	17 CE			R	A . M	ä	1
Welding 2 Torel	2.0			ĨŶ	NJ.221	3	20	8	8C.161	ĩ	X.4
STRUCTURAL Commune: 10/13 Continue: 11 Continue: 144. 14 Continue: 144. 14 Continue: 144. 14	- 0			R	X 1 X	ŧ	97 <u>4</u> 1			*	87.14
Construct. Toch. 14 Structural Toch. 1								•	92" 461		
loval				3	\$2.8	Ş	80°Æ1	-	96.961	*	41.20

S.S.			Pre Souton	84,	Per Soudene Course Errol mant Cat	Ered-	C Per Student Course Enrol ment Cost	C Course Errol- B	Per Student Course Enrol ment Cost	Errol	Fer Siudent Course Course Errol Errol- ment Cast ment	Course Fred-	F Per Student Course Erral ment Cat
MEDIA Graphic Common. 14-1	-												
TICHNOLOGY TICHNOLOGY TICHNOLOGY TICHNOLOGY	1											≅ ≘	300.19 146.87
3	1											123	161.83
Dilyet EDUCATION Dilyet Education 10	•			2 2	4.00								
j				8	4.8								
GUIDANCE Guidance 10 0				218	5.85								
lo'o!	11			218	5.85								
VOCATIONAL A													
Cicup. Auto.	• •	22	8.8										
Occup. flect. 6		2	8.00										
Occus. Fig.	~ ~	* *	4 7. 7 7.										
O:cup. Mach.		2	2.2										
Orces Kinnes Orces for R	• •	Z Z											

		•	U		Ĩ			į) Bar fentant	Course	Rer Student
j	ji S	e	Per Student Course Enrol ment Court	Frid- Frid-	Per Student Course Errol ment Cost		Ter Succer Course Enrol ment Cost		Course Errol ment Cost	Farol -	Course Errol ment Cost
MEDIA Grandi M	-			2	(8°.99	2	62.14			124	34.14
				2	18.33	7	62.14			124	34.14
TECHNOLOGY Sign 10 A Technology 13 Texel	- •										
DRIVER EDUCATION Driver Education 10 Total	•										
GUIDANCE Guidence 10 Tonel	•										
VOCAT: CALAL A Occup. Data Occup. Data Occup. Elect. Occup. Elect. Occup. Math										58	215.81
Occup. Sec. St.	• •										

F Par Stucent Course Errol ment Cost	
E Ercol-	
E Per Student Course Errol ment Cost	83.,92 175.,08 175.,08 175.,08
Er Course	Ø=2 ē
D Per Suudent Course Errol meent Cast	
Course Errol- ment	
C Per Sudert Course Erred meant Cost	
Per Student Course Errol	
ji	
	*
iti 🕺	Ř
3	••••••
ş	

			C	T		-		7	-	¥	
j	3	Jiı]]]	jii	Per Student Course Evrol more Car	İ	Per Soudons Course Earol ment Cost	Ford- Errol-	Per Siudent Course Errol ment Cast	Course Errol- ment	Per Soudene Course Enrol ment Coul
VOCATIONAL A											
Occup Boys	0										
Occup Girls	9										
Occup Upyradia	•							8		8	
Occup Toch Tout	• • • •							• ~	29.221	3	- 8
Ocasi - Hana Ri	•							~	59.081		ä
	• •									X X	8. % 3 %
3								8	115.20	169	85.33

each course was in direct proportion to the number of credits allocated for the course within a school. All academic subjects with the exception of English 10 and 12 were one credit classes. Vocational-Technical classes were one-half, one, or two credit classes in Grade X.

Table V presents the range of direct instructional unit cost in each curricular program, and the average unit course cost based on the cost per student-course equivalent rather than per student course-enrollment as in Table IV. Per student course equivalent cost equated each course to one credit basis, with the exception of two credits in English. The use of per student course-equivalent direct instructional costs permitted comparison between comprehensives on a standard base, and eliminated the need to transpose on the basis of course credit, the direct instructional cost of each course presented in the student course enrollment.

Three distinct direct instructional cost levels per student courseequivalent became apparent in grade X. The highest cost curricular programs (over \$90.00) were structural, general and technology. The mid-range programs were mechanical, agriculture, home economics, electrical, English (2 credit), and commercial cooking. The least expensive curricular programs (under \$60.00), included in the technical-vocational area, were: drafting, cosmetology, business education, and the remainder of the academic subjects with the exception of home economics. These courses had high student enrollments, and large class enrollments which resulted in lower direct instructional costs.

Driver education and guidance in Comprehensive B were non-credit

TABLE V

DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-COURSE EQUIVALENT UNIT COST MAXIMUM, MINIMUM RANGE AND AVERAGE UNIT COST BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM FOR POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

	Grade	Ten	
	Course Unit	Cost Range	Average Course
Curricular Program	Minimum	Maximum	Unit Cost
Christian Ethics	21.48	21.48	21.48
English ^a	51.35	93.60	66.04
Fine Arts	43.95	84.32	44.78
Home Economics	44.12	99.25	72.03
Math em atics	30.89	65.10	46.02
Modern Languages	38.60	67.83	50.87
Physical Education ^a	14.80	56.58	29.93
Science	27.57	47.59	38.45
Social Studies	36.24	61.39	44.00
Agriculture	56.76	111.85	74.53
Business Education	28.50	48.70	37.21
Commercial Cooking	35.62	92.01	64.24
Cosmetology	29.42	46.99	36.52
Drafting	30.72	127.12	58.76
Electrical	35.16	88.84	68.81
General	65.87	164.71	100.25
Mechanical	39.83	105.67	76.00
Media	34.14	66.81	54.36
Structural	73.46	137.03	101.35
Technology	95.55	95.55	95.55
Driver Education b	4.00	4.00	4.00
Guidance ^b	5.85	5.85	5 .85
Vocational A	44.15	149.32	107.80

a Based on two credits

b Non credit courses offered in Comprehensive B

courses offered one period per week, resulting in direct instructional costs of under ten dollars per student.

The effect of the enrollment on direct instructional cost is shown in a comparison of Comprehensives H and I English costs. Both schools had approximately the same average teacher's salary in the English area, but Comprehensive 1 had a higher general preparation cost ratio than Comprehensive H. Because of the average class size of 28 in Comprehensive H and 33 in Comprehensive I, the English program in Comprehensive H was \$23.00 higher per student than in Comprehensive I. Sixteen dollars of this amount was made up by student-teacher ratio, and the remaining seven dollars was the result of differences in course time: 780 minutes per week in Comprehensive I and 804 minutes per week in Comprehensive H.

Direct Instructional Costs: Division IV, Grade XI

Direct instructional costs for Grade XI students, based on per student course-enrollment are presented in Table VI. This Table reports the cost of students in each course within each program exactly as the courses were instructed in the comprehensives. The total course offering in Grade XI was 180 courses, an increase of 56 courses over the Grade X course offering.

Table VII presents the range of minimum and maximum course direct instructional costs, based on per student course-equivalent costs, on a one credit basis. A marked increase in the unit course cost in the vocational technical area was noted. This resulted from an increased number of course

TABLE VI

CANDE ELEVEN DRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE ENGOLMENT IN THE COMPLEMENSIVE SCHOOLS

ż	Ť S	jii		jiı	Per Soudant Course Errod		Per Student Course Erect ment Cast		D Per Svudent Course Enrol ment Cost		Per Student Course Enrol ment Cot		Per Student Course Errol ment Cat
Cress I AN M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	-												
PAGLISH English 20 Compasition 20 Lincortes 20 Explish 20 Manual Explish 22 Erschod Lincortes Converd English	~~~~	8	Р Я	35	77 77	B	9 .8	<u>R 5</u>	29.41 A. IE	592	<i>п.</i> и	27 X R	57° 73 95° 85
trat trylit	~	8	4.42	¥	90°.4£	9	Q' Q	â	17.05	X	14.77	82	8.12
FINE ARTS Art 20	-			X	87 X					31	35° 88	*	12.14
Ar Mor-Weld 20 Dowe 20 Dowe 10-11-12 Maic 20		9 R	8.12 91.21	•	201								
Mark Vecal 20 Decal 20 Mark N/C	0			~	Sf. 1								
Munic 22 Terrel	-	9	8	8	Q. X					Ē	45° 89	Ŧ	12.14

TABLE VI

GANDE BLEVEN DREET INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS FER STUDENT-COURSE ENGOLMENT IN THE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS

ł	Ż	331		Jii	Per Scudent Course Errol ment Cat		Per Student Course Enrol ment Cost		Con Far	<u>j</u>	
CMISTIAN ETHICS	-	8	4 7 <i>0</i>								
Curitien Friig au Totel	-	2	n.n								
ENGLISH English 20 Campanition 20 Literature 20	n (Ā	Q. W	5	2 , *	â	1 1	\$¥	17.75 18.75	r.	78
English 20 Manuar English 20 Special English 22 Enviced Literature Cannual English	~~~~	8	R. R					£	67.16	4 2	100.74 15.54
Trade English Tatal	~	3	W. W	Ā	a %	욚	8.2	8	8°.3	æ	8.19
FINE ARTS	-		я 1	3	75.97		1	8	17"	2	Ľ.0
Ari Mar-Weld 20 Drama 20 Drams 10-11-12		•	N. 212		1	R	.	25	8.0 8.5	=	95 .12
Marc 20 Obosl Music 20 Marc Vocal 20				8	8.8	\$	2	~ 7	10.8 11.21	:	
Bend 20 Oreal 20 Munic N/C	0					12	10.71	2	52.12	**	8 X 8 X
Music 22	-	;	77 10	~	20.17	ğ	22.55	31	£0°.69	§	IV.A.

121

TAME VI (Continued)

Contra Contra		ji			Per South at Course Even		Pr Sector			<u><u></u></u>			
MONE ECONOMICS News Economics 20 - Oching Adversed 0.	ي م	15	42.15	\$	M.S.	R	Q. OL	*	01.10	\$	9. 8 5	91	¥. ¥
Family Life 20 0.5 Fosts 20 0.5 Hearing Int. Danign 20 0.5 Personal Economics 20 0.5	ما ما ما ما			=4 8	8.8 8. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	38	21.15 21.45			\$2°	86.97 52.69 12.97	282	9. % 24. % 0. %
Tensi		15	e .15	Z	69 .02	ž	N.N	z	86 .10	125	17.29	3	¥.X
MATHEMATICS Algebra 20 Henen Algebra 20 Henen Algebra 20 Special	T	Ŧ	R . 3	2	8 . X	5	19° M	<u>5</u>	7 8	¥	10.12	223	X 4 8 X 4 9
Algebra 20 Marine General Mark 20 1 Trade Alch 21				ž	8 .4			Ŧ	40 ° 0 7				
Geometry 20 News Geometry 20 News Geometry 21 Special	-	\$	AT IS	3	11.14	22	44	R	25.62	3	•7.4	₩ 22 £	8,8 8,8 8,1 7
Computer Science 20 1 Computer Sci Han. 20 1	1					5	2	Ĩ	F F	5	2	≏ 8	19.59
MODETN LANGLAGES From 20 1	3 Ş	8 8	2 X R	8 a		2 -		8	8.8	3 3	R 19 14	2	2. 2
French of French 20 French 20 Namon French Oral 20 French Par. Fr. 20										22	8.8 8.8	2	48.19
Letin 20 Latin 20 Latin 20	I									•	136.78		
Tetal	5	•	N.4	2	I. 4	Ę	19.4	2	2.2	117	5 8 .62	2	40.52

2 2 3	Jiı		Jiı		331		ji	13	511	133
More ECONOMICS Name Economics 20 Carbing Advanced Facily Life 20 Facily 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	R	A" NI	4232	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ş	e, e	3428	88.2 88.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.	2	110.16
Presed Economics 20 0. Total	5	D. .MI	174	17.82	\$	QC 46	821	41.22	2	110.14
MATHEMATICS Algebra 20	Ā	57 57	A	8.3	315	80.0	8	<i>1</i> 9° 9	314	14.14
Algebra 20 Service Algebra 20 Medical General Medical	8	D ' 14			88	17.28	R	7 . 3	4	8
Geometry 20	ž	8 . 9	511	8.4	ş	8° 8	4	45.24	8	39.10
Germeny Sected 21 Germeny Ined. 21 Corners Science 20	8	व	8	3,4					*	8
Terd	8	47.12	ź	8; ¥	ą	ĸa	Ş	6 ⁻ 14	412	19.27
MODEN LANGUAGES Fronch 20 Enriched Fronch 20	Ş	24.03	3	12.34	8	5	Ŧ	8. 11	3	4 . 2
French Coel 20 French Paul 11. 20 German 20	11	4 , 5 7, 10			15	71.12	ĩ	Z.I3	= %	1.3
l 02 neine sul	-	121.15	3	8.8	3	6.1	<u>8</u>	50.3E	161	39.77

	Ĵ	jiı					Per Southere Course Ereal	3±1	D Per Student Course Errol mont Cat		Per Student Course Enrol mant Cat		Per South
1 3	-0			R 5	17. Q 17. Q	511 211	77 R 77 R	21 18	15. 91 12. 91	3	7 9° EX		
	-			Ŗ	n.n	81	37.48	ğ	19.52	3	4.61		
SCIENCE General Science 20 Biology BSCS 20		a	a 13	621	7 .2	2	R. 9	671	C7. 66	22	14.52	<u>8</u> 8	57.58 55.55
Denatry 20 Denatry 20 Die: DSCS Special		88	97" 18 17" 55	5	41.14	8	42.16	112	2.2	ž	8 . 2	8 ē	8.8 8.8
Contraction Sector		<u>8</u>	16.48	8	2.8	a	£, 4	3	42 IE	2	£7.87	38	41.90
Modified Physics 21 Trade Physics 21 Phys. Trad. 21		;	1	8 5	<u>8.8</u>	R	29. Q2 29. Q2 29. Q2	គ	8.18	¥	56.24	714	48.19
Social Studies Social Studies Can. Soc. 21.21		ā ž	8.8	Ē	5.14	<u>n</u>	8.6	Š	41.12	39	61.07	F	5
Hutery 20 Hutery 20 Hutery 20 Special Economics 20 Geography 20 Archeloury 20		283	8 X X X X X X X X	*	85°¥	8	6 . 55	3	87. R	R	٩٢. ٩٢	7	1.12
Sec. 9. 22	-	1	11.23	Ē	4 .1	Ē	40.17	213	18.2	8	8.1	381	69.99

124

.

TARE VI (Current

Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Con			, e e Ite	R Svature Course Frank 23, 26 21, 30 21, 30,		A Series Contraction of Contraction	<u>Š</u> ĖI	
x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	⊒ <i>€</i>	8. 12. 18 8. 18 8. 18	RA 91 Z	80.32 40.12		
A Line of the second se		2 2 2 2	3 II	8. S. S.	110		8 9	88
weel Science 20 any ISCS 10 any ISCS 10 any ISCS Hun. 20 any ISCS Hun. 20 any ISCS Hun. 20 I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I. I. Science J. 21 Mind Pryring 21 Mi		R . D	211	¥. C	14	4.17	2	74.50
₹ <u></u>					r 3	14.0	238	33.13 10.14
E 8		1 7	Ā	27°.45	ខ្ពួន	41.72	214	8.3
 5	921 99 "	4.22	ž	10"4	22	3.11	¥	47.24
3					8	69, 12	3	12.42
	146 121	N.1	3	7.9	53	40.41	659	45.93
SOCIAL STUDIES Social Studies 20 1 2% 25.40 General Social St.21 Mutary 20 Mutary 20 Suscial 1	1 4	8	R	1 5	ន	48.89	ធន	46.76 51.15
Economics 20 1 25 22 24 Geography 20 1 25 22 24 Pychology 20 1 26 22 24	8 7, 7	4.,92	5	59.16	51	4C CT	28	70. 24 25. 66
2	.52 430	7.4	ą	4.7	ш	16.8 0	99	67.14
TABLE YI (Continued

ł	5	jiı		jii			Le La La La La La La La La La La La La La	İİ		jil	133	ji	l j z z j z s i
AGRICULTURE	-			=	¥.3								
A. Nochenia B				22	575 75								
A. Tech 230		~	8 , 14										
		~	8 ' 17	R	N. 65								
BUSINESS EDUCATION Accounting 20 Buckheeping 20		8	12.42	÷	11.901	51	a' a	8	8° U	\$	N.0	8	Q. 39
Martand N burness Eq. 20 burness Lon 20	n 	21	10, 21			2	50	8	16. 1	:	:		
Burinens Mech. 20 Bur, Mach & Meth 26 Birinnis Meth 26		2	2	8	8, 12					X	4		
Cai Much & Neth 27 Dais Pacening Buimeis Records 28	- 0 - 1			~	4° 8					a	71.22 71.22		
Comunication X Conumer Econ 20 Data Processing X Engloree Kel., 26	0									22	82	1	8
Key Punch 26 Merchandizing 28	2 2	=	6.9	2	N ' X					2	CT 621	11	Ģ
Office Postice &		8	15.95	1	8,8			2	Wa				
04 P. 4 S Can 26 Shattand 20 Stottand 20		ħ	9 .0	2	¥.8	2	4	2	71 ' 10			8	9,11
Shorthund 20A 1, p mg 20 1 , ping 20		ş	<i>L.</i> #	a	68 ° 69	0	26'92	8	A , 12	۲	r.a	1	¥. 15

TARE VI (Curined

3 3	j j1		331	13	ji	13	ji		Jii	
AGRICULTURE									•	20. 2 (1
							F	9 8		
A. Muderia 20 1 A. Toch 2/20							8 22 8		•	
							3	770	8	7.42
							R	12.93	9	CA. 701
MUSINESS EDUCATION										
Accounting 20 Boothoosing 20	~ ~		R	<i>a</i> .#	2	8.8	8	7.4	z	
	7				1	2			5	
Business Eng. 20			8	2	R		N.	24.42		
			6		ĩ	47.08	<u>8</u>	3		
Bus, Mech & Mark 2	11	51.09	8	4. N			8		8	R. 4
Builden Math 26	•						5	2		
Cal Mach. & Man U.	, ,	7							8	12.11
Burnen Accert 26	1							:		
Commitment 2							31	27.45		
Convertices. 20	•	0.2								
	8	8,2							\$	
	2.0								2	17.11
	n				\$	1	Ş	8.9	8	
Of the Partice M					8		5		!	
Office Posedue 2	2	N . N			*	4.8				
Off. Piec. 3 Cam 24 1			5	11						
S 2042			8	8,2			ŧ	41.21		
Shorinere 25/26	8	d , IC			8	ちお				
Stetter 264	ħ	6.0								
1 20 20 I	4	51.17	115	1 .4			5	8° 2	8	4
Tveire 26	3	27.22			3	5.60				

TAKE YI (Carlined

ł	jj1		li		Jiı		jii	D Pr Souther Course Errod	ji		S S S S	
Rationan EL. Con't Typelog 20 Typelog 28									2	05'' /11		
Concern tion. 21 Total	Ā	A 2	2	27 B	1	97 A	£	97.52	52	W.Q	ž	40.21
COMMERCIAL COOKING Come Conting 20.34 Four Conting 2-3-4 3 Four Service 20	2	8.1			n	1.7 98			s	11.76		
Total	2	114.90			-	1/108			5	11.7		
COSMETOLOGY beauty Culture 22 beauty Culture 22 beauty Culture 21 beauty Culture 22/24 Cameriday 2-3-4	ہ ع	873)										
Terel	8	14.04									ł	
Diafinus Diafing 20.24 Diafing 21 Diafing 21	ħ	5.51	2	4			2	8.0				
Dott Tech. 22 Dott Tech. 22 Dotting 23 Dotting 23 Dott Tech of Tech 21 Dott Tech or Tech 21 Dott Tech or Tech 21 Dott Tech or Tech 21	_ ລໍ		•		R	CF. 601			•	4, 0 1		

J
5
TAKE

13	اal	11	38 İ2	22
	15° A			2.52
ji	8		2- Z	n-
	F; #	4 41	* =	
jii	3	= =	R 1	8 8
	4.4 1.4	87.611 87.611		2. ¥
Jii	≅ X	~ ~		8
	18-2	ка Ка		4 4 5 5
ji	8	5 5		42
	부족 위지 지드 모두	¥.K ¥.K	5 A 1	17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1
Jii	F	n n	• •	2 2 2
Ť.	****	9	n	
ž	Reisen K. Carl Typied B Typied B Typied A Typied A Off Pacific Consume from B	Countricut COOKIN Come Cont. 2021 Cont Cont. 2021 For Cont. 2021 For Cont. 2021	COSMITCIODY Beauty Culture 21 Beauty Culture 21 Beauty Culture 21 Committing 20/24 Committing 20/24 Committing 21/24	Taual Dualting Dualting 20/24 Dualting 20/24 Dualting 21 Dualting 23 Dualting 1ech 21 Dualting 1ech 23 Dualting 1ech 23 Dualt 1ech or 1000 23

129

l

TABLE VI (Continued

Jji					-
	5° 58	8		8.8	
Jii	-	2	1	2	
	8. 8.	1	Ę	18.85	
jjı	2	1	β	R	
	78	8. X	51.04	15.8	
ĴİI	R	2	•	2	
	V .81	1.5 418	9 , 90	8.63	2.55
liı	Þ	•	~	•	ä
]] ₃ _]]	551			4 X	19.15
Jii	3	5 5	1	2	*
3				~	
ż		Becheiry 20 Becheiry 20 Bechenics 20/0 Bechen. Leito 20 Bechen. Leito 20 Bechen. Vec. 2 Bechen. Vec. 2	Bechrichy & Bechrich Rec 23 Brein Taek 23 Brein Taek 23 Breinical Taek 23 Breinical Taek 24 Breinical Taek 24 Breinical Taek 24	Rect Vec. 1 Total	MECHANICAL Automotion 1 Automotion 1-2 Automotion 20 Automotion 20 Automotion 20 Automotion 20 Automotion 20 Automotion 20

TAKE VI (Carland

Con Proto Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Con Co	- я-	X.01 X 0.53 K	4.4 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1			62 77.72 10 225.56
		4.19	R 3		8, 81	
jjı		8	2		2	
		10.31		2	876	
- Jii		я		8	2	
		2.11	ជុំក្ ទ 3		22.17	12.411 12.411
ltı		_	ZZ		8	22
3				n n n n 	~	- N N
j]	RECTRICTY Becomicity 20 Becomics 2024 Becomics 212 Becomics Bechical Tech 23 Bechical Perce 24 Bechical Perce 24 Bechical Tech 24 Bechical Tech 24 Becvical Tech 3	Rect Vec. 1 Texel	MECHANICAL Automotion 1-2 Automotion 1-2 Automotion 21 Automotion 21	

TARE N (Current

					132
133					
jii					
13	8.7	4 . <i>G</i> 1		ורמ	
jii	15	•		8	
	3: ¥		2	ज प	17.62
jii	7		F	4	ũ
133	1825		2 /101	4 .67	5 , 161
331	*		-	R	•
133		A		12.00C	14° 24
Jii		•		~ 2	~
]] ₃]]]		* 20		87 M.	
jii		n		8	
3		9 9 9 9		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
	M. C. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 2 Mach. Star Toch. 2 Mach. Star Toch. 2 Mach. Star Toch. 2 Mach. Star Toch. 2 Mach. 2	Muchine Tanh 1 Muchine Tanh 2 Muchine 24 Muchine 24 Muchine 1/20/24 Working 1/20/24 Working 2/21	Welding 24 Welding Trade 23 Welding Trade 23 Weld & Fabric 24 Trade	STRUCTURAL Communication 20 Communication 21 Communication 21 Communication 21 Communication 24 Communication 24 Communication 24

1	ļ
5	
	Į

17.					
	57 DA 58 DA	87 (21 86 (21	14 14 14	18.79	781
jii	- 2	- N	••	3	'n
13	r S	8 6. 8 8	7.18 7.18	ц. К	
Jii	•	. X2	38	ន	
	71.27 51.861		R 3	a. M	(r. 55
jiı	8 2		•	Z	2
]]3 23]	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	भू हु इ.स	15.24	8.8	5, 012
lii	• 2 \$	20	*	9	ŝ
]] ₃ []]			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	N. 21	81 81 81
Jii			222	3	• •
3)	
	Merial Carl Marker Mach. 13 M.V.M.J. 28 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 23 Mach. Toch. 24		Manual Warking 20 Weiking 1/20/24 Weiking 2/71 Weiking 24 Weiking Frede 23 Weiking Frede 23 Weiking Frede 23		JCTURAL Communition 20 Continuition 21 Count - Bildy, 24 Count, Bildy, 24 Count, Tech, 23 Count, Tech 24
ł	Muchael Carl Mare Mach. 2 M.V.M.B. 26 M.V.M.B. 25 M.C. Toch. 2 Mech. Toch. 2 Mech. Toch. 2 Mech. Toch. 2 Mech. Toch. 2	Mach. Tach. J Much. Tach. J Much. Stap T. Much. Stap Tap Much. Stap Tap Muching Tap	Moved Wenting Westlang 1/20 Westlang 24 Westlang Trade Westlang Trade	Total	STRUCTURAL Comfrue Comfrue Comfrue Cont. 1 Cont. 1

TABLE VI (Continued)

TABLE VI (Continued)

Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Case Case Frod- mered Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Per Student Frod- mered Case Case Ferdi- mered Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display Case Frod- mered Display Display Display Display Display <tr< th=""><th>-</th><th></th><th></th></tr<>	-		
11 10 EI 11 10 EI 11 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	Erodis Bard-Bard	Per Student Course Course Errol Errol- ment Cost ment	Per Soudent Course Errol ment Cast
		'n	09° 8 61
C IL 201 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15 74.54 10 111.01 6 261.81	-	67 .671
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0 28	89.671
R R R	8 139.76 8 139.76		
Technology 23 Technology 23 Toul OCATIONAL A Seccial Ed. Account Seccial Ed. Auro	8.3		
POCATIONAL A Special Ed. Acodennic Special Ed. Agric Special Ed. Auto			
Spreul Ed. Hanury Cult. Spreud Ed. Hanne Ec.		288822	67.33 39.92 190.15 64.88 62.63 62.63
Special Ed. Typing		198	83.33

TABLE VII

DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-COURSE EQUIVALENT UNIT COST MAXIMUM, MINIMUM RANGE AND AVERAGE UNIT COST BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM FOR POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

	Grae	de Eleven	
Curricular Program	Course Unit	•	Average Course
	Maximum	Minimum	Unit Cost
Christian Ethics	24.29	24.29	24.29
English ^a	49.49	95.90	71.53
Fine Arts	37.41	94.90	62.67
Home Economics	41.78	124.37	80.73
Mathematics	32.74	52.16	43.12
Modern Languages	26.98	68.71	46.39
Physical Education	19.52	83.61	52.70
Science	36.18	61.19	46.21
Social Studies	37.81	68.97	46.05
Agriculture	56.76	441.07	148.74
Business Education	31.77	68.62	45.10
Commercial Cooking	37.75	113.18	79.72
Cosmetology	37.79	164.04	87.32
Drafting	34,54	167.01	88.93
Electrical	62.17	279.06	1 18 .54
General	139.76	139.76	139.76
Mechanical	48.56	159.81	82.68
Media	82.28	106.05	94.17
Structural	79.41	464.29	194.24
Technology	128.57	128.57	128.57
Vocational A	83.33	83.33	83.33

^a Based on two credits

offerings in these curricular programs, and lower student enrollments per course.

Structural, general and technology, continued in the high cost range with each curricular program cost being increased. Agriculture and electrical technology moved from the mid to the high cost range, and media technology moved from the low cost range to the high cost range. The middle cost range courses continued to be home economics and English in the academic subjects, and commercial cooking, mechanical in the vocational-technical curricular areas. Fine arts, drafting and cosmetology became middle range cost courses as a result of decreased enrollment and an increase in course offerings in drafting. The high enrollment classes of mathematics, modern languages, physical education, science and social studies remained in the low range cost courses, although the direct instructional unit course cost for each was increased over Grade X.

Direct Instructional Costs: Division IV, Grade XII

Table VIII presents the direct instructional per student-course unit cost for the Grade XII area. A total of 165 courses were offered. Various technical vocational programs were dropped by some comprehensives at the Grade XII level. These were drafting, in Comprehensives D and E; electrical and mechanical, in Comprehensive E; and structural in Comprehensive J.

The cast pattern established in grade XI was continued in Grade XII with the course cast of all curricular areas increasing in Grade XII with the exception of agriculture, casmetology, drafting, and media, as shown in Table IX. TABLE VIII

GAADE TWELVE DRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE BAROLMENT IN THE COMPEHENSIVE SCHOOLS

ł

ree.	Ĵ	311		jjı		jii		331				ji	
CARISTIAN ETMICS Outsian Ethics &	-												
3													
EvGLISH English 20 Compariton 20 English 20 Norme English 20 Norme English 20 Norme Maxiford Con. 21 Maxiford Lit. 31 Connect English 21 Frede English 31	NNNNN	<u>2</u>	5	<u>85</u>	8. 8 A	<u>h</u>	4 7	<u>8</u> 8	9 2 87	5 R	5 Z Z	R ⊂ R	2 87 2 82 2 83
Torai		ž	4 .3	Ŗ	7.7	ž	14.14	¥	8.8	Ę	R.3	R	8.8
PINE ARTS Dering 20				= =	2° 2							2	0°.17 66.02
		=	a: ai	~	25. 28								
letal		=	12.21	2	8.16							8	8.2

138 1

TABLE VIII

GAADE TWELVE DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS PER STUDENT-COURSE BAROLMENT IN THE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS

			U	-	I		-		_		
j	Ż	ji			Per Student Course Earol ment Cost		Per Southan Course Errol	5 i I		<u>j</u>	
CRISTIAN FINCS Outline Bhis 30	-	ŝ	25.11								
]		8	23.11								
ENGLISH	~			â	142.14	8	79° M			ľa.	¥. 3
		22	21.22 X.X	i				÷	17"% 17"%		
	• • • •	2 8	97 Z.					2	166.4		
Modified Lin. 31 General English 30 Trade English	- ~ ~	9	8.73							22	N: /11
Tord		Ş	17. 12. 12.	Ŗ	105.14	R	57° M	3	97 B	317	8.2
FINE ALTS Dome 30 Art 30		2	<i>L</i> . H	21	07" 18	7	97.53			8	45.24
Ar JU Hanes Munic JU Band JU				8	10.84	4	K .Z			2	22.16
10.51		5	17.8	\$	8.3	3	10. U			4	а. ч

139

TABLE VIII (Continued

	48 89 48	01 01 6 61.621 01 6 81.621 6 81.62 6		- 13	
Control 201 Control 201 <thcontrol 201<="" th=""> <thcontrol 201<="" th=""></thcontrol></thcontrol>				•	11.14
Ownery B 0.5 No.5				• 11	44. 10 82. 15
13 33.87 75 24.39 91 9 14 33.87 75 24.39 91 9 15 33.87 15 34.34 91 9 15 34.34 154 24.39 91 9 15 34.34 154 24.39 91 9 15 34.34 154 24.34 111 9 15 34.34 137 137 31.35 111 1 12 24.35 137 31.35 111 1 12 24.9 202 24.45 203 17 202 24.9 203 24.9 203 17 202 24.9 203 24.9 203 17 202 24.9 203 24.9 203					
Ise 54.74 Ise 24.74 Ise 27.67 III Name 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1 Name 1 1 2 2 1 2 1 1 1 No 1 1 2 2 1 2 1	16 43.77			3	39.39
12 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 2	69°96 1		55 F .(8.8 8.8 8.8	8.74 8.74
19 202 12 20.2 20.2 12 20.2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		24 39.05			
20 2.4 20 25.4 202 1 12 26.9 29 25.26 202	16.16	16	8	8.8 8.8 8	8.8 6.19
202 22.49 273 25.24 202 1 12 24.91 27 22.29 51					
1 120 26.01 57 22.25 51	202 24.4	208 43.17	8	0.10 617	8
	CY ZS IS	st. 11.39	8 R	55.18 199 18	80.22 24.69
French JO Manana I German JO I Latin JO I				Æ. 911	
Litrainian 20 1 127 36.91 57 32.79 51 51	51 52.43	22	8	63.02 217	57.06

TARE VIII (Certined

Centi	jji	Ar Soular Corne Forder Corne Forder	ji	T Souther Souther Market		Per Structure Course Eard manet Cost	jil	ter state	i i i	Per Scatta
MOME ECONOMICS									51	57.09
Concerner (termily) Ec 20 0.5 Conterner (10mily) Ec 20 0.5			-	99° 08	•	C4. 201	٠	117.28		
Conh. & Tentilen 30 0.5 Femily Relations 0.5 Advanced Foods 2 (30) 0.5	5	K 7111	in in	អ្ អ	28	4.0 1.1	R	R. X		
0	•	10 .171	≁ <u>₽</u>	47.82 41.621	•	0°.02				
leral	~	67.621	8	78.16	\$	£7.53	8	\$5.46	2	£'.8
MATHEMATICS Algebra 20 Alackus 20 Meeen	ឆ្ព	D , 4	A	tt. 05	ŝ	AT 64	314	8.8	Ŕ	5 .25
Algebre 30 Special Algebre Modified General Math	•	86 .061			22	7.12 69.50	11	86. 101	\$	1
Trede Math Geo - Trag. 20 Geor Trag. Henen	#	7.4	<u>*</u>	41.44	81	61.13	8	69° A	3 2	1
Geo-Trig. Special 1 Computer Science 1 Trigonometry 1	9	112.19	8	8.1					7	6 . 0
Total	2 R	<i>2</i> .15	15	42.94	ŧ	15.15	3	58.65	\$	8. 4
MODERN LANGUAGES French 30	12	54.14	112	61.05	9 51	V2. 89	ä	£.04	*	42.25
French JU Monary 1 German JO	ſ	101.95			15	72.12	31	18.85	•	86.38
Latin 30 1 Latinian 30 1	~	121.15							=	8.8
1		2 2	611	61.05	145	68.89	161	10.0	165	46.75

141

e
1
ŧ
3
ž
5
2
3

			_	-	J	U		٥			•	
Codit:	j i	Per Student Course Enned	311		3 i I		<u>j</u>	terne fra		Re Souther	<u>s</u> il	
MrSICAL EDUCATION Ryscel Education Ryscel Ed. N/C 0 Ryscel Ed. Special 39 1			35	50, 05 50, 05	• 511	69° 62	8 8	06° 61	7	47.78		
Total			Ş	W R	121	8.8	2	10.61	8	4.2		
SCIENCE Biology BSCS 20 Biology Freditional 20	82	57. 19 57. 05	8	9C. HC	23	61 .55 67 .54	3	23.22	9	8. R	8=2	107.01 107.00 109.45
Biology 33 Special Chem Study 30	511	x . x	8	61 . 14	R	42,44	2	35.0E	251	91, 12	2 <u>8</u> 6	124.52 84.03 11.12
Price and American Social Soci	£ 1	76.04	4	4 '75	~ :	0 1 ,10	8	56.52	8	Ø. 3	*22	80.08 17.25 28.02
Frysics Frudelicument Committer 30 Trade Physics 30 Physics PSSC Norman 30			8	F . A	5	Ş Ş	•				•	C3. 8 6
Total	2	R. 7	Ā	41.92	215	52.10	217	8. 8	ž	59.17	2	16.97
SOCIAL STUDIES Secul Studies 30 Sec. Stud. Modil. 31 Commit Sec. Sh. 30	ŝ	K. S	3	15.65	124	8 8	ğ	8.%	5	LC 64	£	47 . 19
Martiney J.D. Special Martiney J.D. Special L. J. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	<u>.</u>	8.8 K.9	5 R	12.73 84.08	101	67° FC	83	8. % E. E	r 7	51.19 55.52	a <u>1</u>	8.34 1.94

ł
Ĵ
E
2
3

3 3	jiı	1]3	Jiı		ji		<u>j</u>		<u>ii</u>	133
mysical Bucahon Pytel Bucahon	*	ar	8	¥. C	\$	8.14	*	5° 20	5	8.2 0
Tael	*	a r	8	R-0	\$	20"14	8	85°E	Ş	87 X
scrince bindey SSCS 20 bindey Teathreed 20	32	5, 9 7, 7	<u>3</u> 2	21° 91 51° 55	717 8	5. 5 5	316	8,0	Ŧ	4.3
Biday B farn	£	8,0	R	5° 75	2	11.59	1	10, 14	3	97 25
Our sup them 10 1 Oun sup them 10 1 PSC 30	Э	45,901	×	51 . 29	21	57-09	*	42.34	2	25.03
PSSC 20 Special Physics Treditional Oranistry 20 Trede Physics 20							12	78.12 73.16	8	100.001
Physics PSSC Names 20 1 Total	Ā	R 3	513	8.14	8	£7.85	8	2.3	ŧ	51.04
SOCIAL STUDIES Social Studien 20 Social Studien 20 Social Social Str. 20 1	81	5.12 14.44	8	9	Ŕ	たま	R	1 .0	5 5	8.64 0.0
Histery 30 Histery 30 Special Economics 30 Geslaghy 30 Geslagy 30	122	87 15 19 19 19 19	2 2	<u>१</u> १८ ११	R 3	17.4	<u>30</u>	77 A		

TABLE VIII (Continued)

Conte			<u>j</u> ji	Re South a		Per Studies Course Ennel more Cost	Jil		İİ		<u>Š</u> į I	
Active Service Carl Producer Se Proc. and Fan. 156	8	8, 8										
3	3	W.M.	â	10.64	ន	45.04	3	15.16	Ŕ	8.8	9	8.8
AGENCIATURE Ag. Form Machinery 20 1 Ag. Mach. 20 Ag. Toch. 20			n e n	4 5 5 5 6 7 5 6 7 6 7 6	N N N	124.58 169.12 11.94						
		4.34										
]	•	45.94	2	40° NC I	2	19.91						
BUGN 255 EDUCATION Acceuting 20 Bainest Leoping 20 Bainest Economics 20 Business Economics 20	=	A '4	-	18.11	•	7 . R	2	8 4	8	ar 16	4	8.2
Burinen Lon' 20 Burinen Nachinen 20 Bur, Mach, & Mark 26 Bur, Mingemert 26	8 8	8 . 6 8. 6	84	27. 14 28. 14	I	4 .17						
Date Pocening 16 Merchandizing 16 Office Procisice 10	85		~ ;	8. 2.					*	4C 16	• •	8.X 4.X
Office Procedures JV Office Proc. & Can W Stanifized (2) Jb	2	¥.0	g z	<u> </u>	•	52. MI	•		i			

TABLE VIII (Cartinued

- Current Curr	ji 1		jiı		Jiı		Jii		511	13
Seriel Sudia Cant Probably B Pryce and Fan. 118									8	4 .15
Torut	8	4.A	41	87.0	ŧ	53.16	Ş	11.11	\$	45.15
AGRICUTURE	ľ						1	17.82		
							1			
20. 104. 20 20. 104. 4							15	16.401		
Ag. Tech. 5							4	19.48	;	i
Vec. Agriculture 28 2 Ferm Machinery 28 0.5									ມັນ	5 K 2 Z
Terei							*	8.8	8	07 671
NUSIT-ESS EDUCATION										
Accounting JU Boshieeping JU	R	2. R	8	8°.R	2	A . L	2	7.0	1	1
Buriness English 20					I	118.16			R	6.9
Builden Low 20					1	4 2			2	7 , 15
Bur. Mach & Math W 1	=	27.251	ą	7.40	<u>•</u>				8	12.12
Bur, Management 36							*	17.7	2	R' #
	2	43.11	4	07.K	5	14 7J	=	er 14	۶	5
Office Practice					2	2	:		3	3
Office Party and D	2	57-901	;	5	2	10 ,16	A	31.10	21	90°- 61
Chice Pock Con U	•	24.162	# 		2	82.011	2	100.001		

145

TARE VIII (Carlined

j	beine Cont		S.C. Bailer Construction		3	COMMERCIAL COOKING Come Conting M Come Conting 54-7 Food Sorvion 20	3	COSMFOLOSY Beauty Culture X/24 Cam Li Basury Cult 39 Camulo ay 30 Camelolagy 5-4-7	Total
3	2.							n o - n e	
Jiı		*			2	-	-	2	2
		9 9			A .3	215.25	215.25	67 8 1	07.061
Jii		×			ž				
		8 7 3			8.8				
jii		2	222	22	8		-		
		0 .71	9,5,7, 88%	92.44 19.21	£.8	12.08	12.08		
Jii		m			8				
13		4.4			197 59				
jii	1	2		z	2			8	8
	132.45	15.09		6 . 8	N. 11			21.41	21.41
331	•	R			R				
13	11.022	8 . 8			1.2				

÷,	Jiı		Jiı		ji		jii		5 i i	
							:	р 1	8	68 ° 46
	8	201	8	81.19	1	2	23		8	17.62
	2	R R			R	i.			9	68, 14
Secial Can. Canan. S.C. Basing Sullis			8	8	X 2	17 54			7	F. ¥
S.C. Buddanping			**	215	8	2			~ 5	2 2 2 2 2 2
					ŧ	0.2			34	6.3
S.C. Office Met.			21		; ;	11			\$	a. w
S.C. Startand S.C. Typing			\$ 4	44	IR	57.95			\$	¥.3
S.C. Muchine Team. 1	1	3	8	8.8	Ŗ	65.38	£	8.3	64	43.6
2										
COMMERCIAL COOKING Comm. Conking 24 Comm. Conking 547 3 Food Services 20			8	5. R			4	453.12		
			8	5.8			4	453.12		
X COL							~	00.221	● ¹	97 WE
	R	R 3					z	176.76		
		2						165.00	•	347.745

TABLE VII (Contered

5	3	Jii		jiı		ji		ji	13	jiı]]]	ji	133
		X	A, EK	5 s	47.05 E1.715	•	15 421						
Total		x	212.20	2	87.01	•	15.421						
EffBCA Bectronica Tenta 18 Bectronica 20 Bectronica 20 Bectronica 20 Bectricity 24 Bectronica 20 Bect-Electron Tech 20 Bect Tech. 4 Bect uuuuu du du du du du du du du du du du du du d	~ 2		~	57 56	5	4. ¥	2	સ					
land		2	20.02	~	22.25	2	114.54	2	15.39				

TARE VIII (Curined

TARE VIII (Continued

	3	Jiı		ji		3 1	13	ji		jii	
		2=4	17 KI 17 KI 17 KI			z	8. S				
]							n 4	87 CI I	A	9 7 " (8
Define Lect. J.	N			8 2	1.12					•	NCHK
	~		1	8	8	*	8.8	=	73.57	8	27.221
]			R K							٠	15.110
Bechenica Trada M Bechanica M Bechanica W Bechanica W Bechanica J	an	13	197011 11.10	=							
Both July J (Judia) Bothicity Jd Both. Electronica JJ Elect-Electron Toch JJ Elect-Electron Toch JJ	1 8 - 7 - 7 - 7 1 8 4 4							•••		- 4	142.91 86.83
Bect Tech. 4 Elect Tech. 6 Elect Tech. 34				21	8 , 21			-			
Elect Electron Tre Bootstands 34	2 X 4 2					~	A' 81			!	
	•	:	5	8	27.021	Ś	720.J	~	90.091	2	

TARE VII (Contract

				150
13				
Įįı				
133				
Jii				
13	1			4.4
331	9			2
	R 33	8 , 12		2.2
Jii	R	•		8
]]]	9 5	P 17	97.71C	310.21
jji	*	•	•	2
]]3]]1	4	¥ N		*
jiı	2	2		8
3			88-88 88-88 88	
Ŋ			Water III Water III Water III Water IIII Water IIII]

TARE VIII (Carlind

	97° 101		17. M.S 21. M.S	8.08	\$. 6	23.15
Jii	*		*=	~	2	8
]]3	10 , 01		8 . 31	***		a.01
III	*		•	•	**	R
1]3		N , 81			5	106.21
jii		•	•		2	5
		11 - 12	R 9	A7 🚆	d H Z E	<u>4</u> ,3
331		2	2	•	11 I I	3
	8.8 U.8				n 77 8 99	2 0' SI I
jii	32				- 22	a
3		~	 R			R.
j		Mure Ninchanics 20 MVMR 34 AVVILLE 14		Machine X Machine X Machine X Machine X Machine Y Machine Int	Merel 24 Merel verhing 20 Welding 20/24 Welding 21 Welding 21 Welding 31 Welding 54 Welding 54	Velding & Farth. Teral

TARE VIII (Carlined

-				1	,	1		
						SA. 842	24.882	
ŝii						2	2	
Per State		u. us	12.23					
jjı		2	~					
	CP (0)		CT' 601					
i i č	2		2					
			17 82					
<u>j</u>	2		2					
	¥° 24		N' 00					
ji	~		~					
Jii							l	
3						~		
	7,5,7 9-1-1 8 7, 2 8 7, 2 8 7, 5			× * *		R 4 4		
j		Contraction Tech]	MENA Grank An X Mile 1.V. An]	TECHNOLOGY Technology 33]	

TARE VIII (Custured

A Den Con	지다. 중국	19.02				
	- 2	2			1	
133	St. .ci2	213.36				
ĴİI	~	~				
Per Student Course Envel	3 0, 211	587511	27-16	29"16		
- Sil	R	8	2	Z		
	17.84 17.84 81	07.061	8	N. V		
o Šil	►.	5	*	3		
33					~	
ż	STRUCTURM Commercian C	Total	MEDIA Granki Am 34 Estin & T.V. Am 3	1.06	TECH NOLOSY Technelogy 33	3

TABLE IX

DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-COURSE EQUIVALENT UNIT COST MAXIMUM, MINIMUM RANGE AND AVERAGE UNIT COST BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM FOR POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

	Grade	Twelve	
	Course Unit	Cost Range	Average Course
Curricular Program	Maximum	Minimum	Unit Cost
Christian Ethics	25.11	25.11	25.11
English ^a	65.49	104.97	81.73
Fine Arts	37.07	127.37	71.59
Home Economics	43.77	258.58	100.95
Mathematics	34.48	87.54	45.00
Modern Languages	32.29	68.89	49.76
Physical Education	19.01	96.59	58.17
Science	41.92	76.31	54.98
Social Studies	31.51	57.02	46.85
Agriculture	83.02	222.99	147.47
Business Education	35.81	82.18	63.67
Commercial Cooking	58.57	215.35	126.42
Cosmetology	21.41	132.55	72.54
Drafting	58.73	151.58	87.94
Electrical	65.54	279.06	149.58
Mechanical	46.86	141.44	103.06
Media Technology	87.53	91.62	89.58
Structural	57.92	315.85	213.50
Technology	284.33	284.33	284.33

^a Based on two credits.

Structural, technology, agriculture, and electrical continued to be in the range of high cost courses, with mechanical, commercial cooking and home economics becoming high cost courses at the Grade XII level. The middle range courses continued to be drafting, cosmetology, fine arts and English, with business education from the low range, and media from the high range, becoming new middle range courses. The lowest unit cost courses continued to be Christian Ethics, modern languages, mathematics, physical education, science and social studies. These courses were low in unit cost due to the low number of courses offered and the large number of students enrolled.

Direct Instructional per Student-Course Costs by Curricular Program

Table XXXVI in Appendix E, presents a breakdown of average costs per student-course in each curricular program by grade and school, that is, the direct instructional cost per curricular program divided by the number of students in the grade. In addition, the number of courses in each curricular program was indicated, as was the number of credits offered per grade. The actual courses are listed in Tables IV, VI, and VIII. To determine the credit values offered, courses were totalled and the credits for the same courses were totalled separately. Also included in Table XXXVI is the student-course enrollments for each curricular program. Shown too, is the total direct instructional cost by student course, grade and comprehensive in each curricular program. These are in actual rather than "equivalent" costs.

Table X presents the direct instructional per student course equivalent

costs by grade, comprehensive and population for Division III. The average per pupil costs for each comprehensive is given in addition to the average figure for the population. The unit costs in this Table differed from Table XXXVI in Appendix E in that they were equivalent costs, based on two credits in English and one credit in all other curricular programs.

The cost pattern for Division III shows an increase in average unit costs in grade nine over grade eight. This increase can be explained in part by the greater number of curricular programs offered in grade nine and higher teachers' salaries for grade nine teachers.

Table XI provides the direct instructional cost per student course equivalent by grade, comprehensive and population for Division IV. Included in this Table are the average per student costs for each comprehensive in addition to the average figure for the population. The course equivalent costs are based on two credits in English and one credit in each of the remaining curricular programs.

The Division IV cost pattern showed an increased average unit grade cost. The student-equivalent course costs increased in Division IV from Grade ten to Grade twelve in Comprehensives A, B, F, G, H, I, J, and K. In Comprehensives C and D, the average grade eleven cost was less than the grade ten cost, while the average grade twelve cost was the highest in the Division. This difference in average cost was the result of a reduction in curriculum programs offered at the grade eleven level in Comprehensive C, and a decrease in physical education and an increased pupil-teacher ratio in classes offered in

TABLE X

SUMMARY OF DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-EQUIVALENT COURSE COSTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Program Area Grade Eight	Comprehensive F	Population Average
English	65.47	65.47
Fine Arts	32 .67	32 .67
Home Economics	73.42	73.42
Mathematics	62.85	62.85
Modern Languages	38.06	38.06
Physical Ed. & Health	45.81	45.81
Science	27 .87	27.87
Social Studies	37 .57	37.57
General	79.00	79.00
Average Per Pupil Cost	46.68	46.68

DIVISION III

Program Area Grade Nine	с	Comp F	orehensive: G	н	I	Population Average
Christian Ethics			25.10			25.10
English		72.50	48.53	85.08	67.15	68.32
Fine Arts		33.91	75.17	41.15	43.69	48.48
Home Economics	65.27	74.58	86.50	56.7 5	82.52	73.12
Mathematics		79.84	59.29	44.31	49.50	58.24
Modern Languages		41.78	60.61	48.36	45.77	49.13
Health & Physical		54.08	24.41	42.79	61.64	45.73
Education Science		30.89	43.93	47.90	53.73	44.11
Social Studies		27 .50	41.93	54.42	53.64	44.37
Business Education			29 .8 6	41.89		35.88
Commercial Cooki	ng		100.37			100.37
Drafting					51.23	51.23
Technology	32.26	<u>79.92</u>		135.84	168.12	127.96
Average Per Student Cost		49.14	42.24	57.93	59.79	52.28

TABLE X (Continued)

TABLE XI

SUMMARY OF DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-EQUIVALENT COURSE COSTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

DIVISION IV

any sales				Ũ	OMPREHE	COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS	STOOH					
2	<	-	U	٥	w	u.	O	I	-	-	¥	Vreiße
Line film							21.48					21.48
Friedman Crimical	¥	21 22	23 44	17 0	2.54	42.35	54.10	93.60	21	2.16	8.0	8 .8
agi tu				•	1.0	8.0	2	16.25	8.3	96.99	11.0	K. 4
			12.17	20,02	5.2	65.52	20.23	10.19	8.2	8.19	10.18	2.8
	45.10	8	5	2		24.25	19.94	45.38	46.76	42.63	10.63	4 6.02
		99	20 22	55.76	2.4	52.05	57.59	30.65	8.54	50.05	48.75	20.05
	5	14.74	15.22	25.43	14.80	27.99	61.14	40.52	18.00	17.43	08.9	29.93
		2.12	1 1	8	22.62	38.69	38.55	83.68	47.59	99°.04	11.04	38.45
	8	12 28	55 65	29.04	44 40	30.65	20.72	48.14	61.39	36.24	44.13	8.4
		2	A5 75							28.111	12.50	24.53
	1		22.2		8	28.50	36.52	10.00	45.18	32.13	42.67	37.16
					22.02		20.03	59.30	8.8	41.47		64.24
							8 3			29.42	33.16	36.52
		11 73	X X	9 Q	127.12			57.12	61.52	13.03	33.75	58.76
			29	8	1 22			74.50	B7 50	35,16	80.68	18.89
	45.87	5	14 21				89.50			16.08		100.25
	•	46.80	10	10 01	28.80			122.04	77.78	105.67	52.88	8.92
								18.25	62.14		34.14	5.3
		ŝ	8	*	114.95			96.24	120,021	139.76	82.40	101.35
		8. r.				55.29						<u>8</u> .55
Voc	44.15				22.941					152.38	55.33	107.80
Aueroje Grade 10	09° 8 4	N . N	51.29	19" 42	50.77	45.82	£.#	55.53	54.55	N. 57	60.0	46.84

TABLE XI (Continued)

.

SUMMARY OF DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-EQUIVALENT COURSE COSTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

DIVISION IV

		6 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	T 850248528 855555935		- 5.8 24.83	₹ 2.9 5 5.56 5.56 5.56 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.	%
_	227 227 228 229 229 229 229 209 209 209 209 209 209	2 4 8 2 7 4 8 7 4 8 4 7 4 8 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 8 7 7 8 9 8 7 7 8 9 8 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 8 9 7 7 7 7	8. C C 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	88866268 88662688	87, 89 86, 89 84, 59 85, 59 85, 59	8. 201 9. 201 9. 201 9. 20 7.	22 C 23 C 24 2 2 4 2 5 2 4 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
-	8.24 8.28 8.28 8.29 8.29 8.39	4 4 8 2 1 7 7 8 8 7 9 8 8 7 8 7 7 8 8 7 9 8 8 7 8 7 8 8 7 8 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 8 9 8 9 8 8 8 8	8.5 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7	NNE 4 264 NNE 5264	7.82 48 8.4 8	8.98 16.01 16.02 1	2.28.24 2.28 2.5.28 2.5.28 2.5.28
_	8.28 8.28 8.28 8.28 8.28 8.28 8.28	4 8 8 7 1 4 8 8 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	6 C Q 4 4 4 4 4 8 6 5 5 5 5 4 8 8 6 7 5 5 5 8 8 7	1969364 1966364 196685	8 4 5 8 8 4 5 8	7. 22 29.77 29.75 29.75 29.75 29.55 20.55	2844244 95748544
-	12.14 13.64 13.64 13.64 1.14 1.18 1.18	2.82 2.73 2.73 2.73 2.73 2.73 2.73 2.73 2.7	2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	12 7 2 2 2 4 5 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 1 1 6 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8	2 4 5 4 5 8 7 5 8	101 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6 10.6	5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53 5.53
-	70.90 40.64 48.19 48.19 70 70	24.27 2.28 2.28 2.28 13.28 12.28 23.28	013318 025338 02638	5 7 3 6 3 6 3 6	1 1 S 1 5 R 1 5 R	2 4 6 7 3 4 2 4 5 5 5 4 2 7 8 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	27.28 27.28 27.28 27.28
	4 6.52 4 8.19 6 9.19	82.28 17.28 16.23 16.23 17.25 22.88	8, 24 8, 24 8, 28 18, 28	6224 6324 6987	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 6 7 3 4 3 7 8 8 8 9	2 8 8 8 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	48.52 68.99	12.89 13.51 13.52 38.52	42.23 19.24 19.26 19.26	27.81 5.8.5.	8 . S	7.8.5 8.8.5 7.8.5	* * * *
	48.19 19	19.53 19.53 19.53	2 7 8 2 2 8 2 8 7	8.7.1		2.2 8.2 8.2	8.5.8 8.5.8
	48.19 68.97	5.55 5.7.58	1 2 2 2 2 2	8.8		45.93 14 73	8 .2
	41.89 66.89	28.55	1 4 7 7		4	173	8.8
	68.97	22.85	5.2				ļ
					9. 6. 6.	107 43	145 74
					17. 40	3	
ę	5 4	46 34	47 .B.	49.17	36.33	37.51	45.10
8		20.22	17 75	113.18	43.23		2.2
			5		27.79	101.53	8 7.32
		14.CH		61 67	20 48	122_62	56.93
		72. 11	10. 01	2.9			LIG EA
		62.17	69.66	136.30	88.75	00. 4/2	
					139.76		97. 451
		137 M	50.08	94.82	75.22	68.38	8.8
				82.28			2.5
		145.02	114.66	10.200	97.57	8.3	19.24
-							128.57
	A. 81					83.33	80.33
			1				
34.30 57.24	CS . 22	49.89	57.03	57.26	69. 07	60.48	51.65
•	97.11 96.03 96.03 96.29 464.29	- 1	2. 12 2. 13 13. 13	75.24 45.97 71.12 72.13 72.13 146.02 146.02 146.02 146.02 146.02	1 25.24 37.25 25.27 95.25 1.27.34 59.98 1.27.34 58.98 1.26.05	75.24 37.75 45.97 45.97 82.11 167.01 82.17 99.69 128.57 146.02 114.66 186.02 114.66 186.02 114.66 186.02 114.66 186.02 114.66 9.90 57.03	75.24 37.75 113.18 43.23 45.97 45.97 45.97 22.11 167.01 48.18 39.48 62.17 99.69 136.30 64.88 127.34 58.98 94.82 75.22 106.03 114.66 332.31 97.57 136.59 114.66 332.31 97.57 136.59 57.03 57.26 47.69

160

Computed en e nue credit basis

TABLE 20 (Continued

SUMMARY OF DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-EQUIVALENT COURSE COSTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

N NOISIND

an for the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se	•	-	U	ŭ	COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS E F G	USIVE SC F	9 G	I	-	-	¥	
												25.11
							25.11			4	8	27.18
Orition Chica		24.27	47.44	8.16	7.30	8.8	2 2 2	6. 10 2. 10	9 6 9 6		30.32	71.59
		8				27.75		3	9 S	2010	90.75	100.95
Fim An			21 22			7 , 7	PC- 952	7.8			48.20	45.00
How Economics				3	28.0	80.5 8	49.67	49.59	12	2.2		40 76
Mairemetics	52.49				20 W	57,.06	56.23	50.19	68.89	5.5		50 17
Andere Lansverst	5. X	47.TE					78.87	43.28	91.02	36.87	R	2
		2.R	5, 7	10.91		16 74	5	50.14	58.29	45.58	51.04	P. 3
	23	41.92	52.10	1	2.45		20 et	17.03	53.16	53.53	45.15	3 .
	1	10.44	3 .4	15.16	8.8	X.Y	17.04	}		83.02	122.33	14. 141
	377 B	10.01	N.R.			:	:	53 FE	AS 38	62.78	20.05	19.63
	2	26.95	57.8	18°. SC	8.3	9.10	81.15		2	151.04		126.42
Business Laucerten			m 71							72 94	132.55	2.2
Connercial Cashing	cr. ci z				21.14		5. 89		8	6.51	55 80	87.94
Connercially	8.1		13 001				151.58	29.00	2		10 000	140 58
Distring	106.19			15 25			93.59	125.54	250.37	07.721	8.47	S
Bech icol	27.72	Q. IL	N. 10								:	10 101
General			ŗ	20.25			115.07	123.91	106.21	60.411	10. //	
Mechanical	14. 14	6. R	(7.1)	2			U .53	91.62			11 11	27 EIC
Media Technology			17 evi	100 67	12.22		158.40	57.93	213.36			244.33
Second				2		548.65						
Technical												
Vacational A		۱						l		,		8
Average Grede 12	2	8.2	99. 15	36.76	51,30	65.39	79" 15	62.96	83.58	49.36	19.09	2. R
Car	•											

161
Comprehensive D. Comprehensive E had the lowest average cost per student equivalent course in grade twelve. Nine fewer curricular programs were offered in this school at the grade twelve level than in other Division IV grades, resulting in the reduction in average per student-equivalent costs.

In comparing the grade costs per pupil-course in Divisions III and IV, grade nine costs exceeded grade ten costs. This resulted from the nine required courses in grade nine as opposed to a maximum of eight courses in grade ten.

Average Direct Instructional per Student-Enrolled Costs by Division, Grade, and Comprehensive

Table XII provides the direct instructional costs per student-enrolled by Division, grade comprehensive, and population. These derived costs are related to sub-problem 7 on page 3.

This Table demonstrated the unreliability of grade level costs without standard units, in this case, the standard or equivalent student. In contrast to the subject costs (Tables XI and X) and curricular program costs, the per pupil-enrolled costs by grade level decreased from grade ten to grade eleven and increased from grade eleven to grade twelve in the total population. Grade costs varied in the comprehensives with grade ten having the highest instructional cost in five schools, grade eleven in three schools, and grade twelve in three schools. Grade nine students had a higher average direct instructional cost than grades ten, eleven and grade twelve students, while direct instructional costs for grade eight students were exceeded only by grade ten costs.

TABLE XII

AVENAGE DRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-ENROLLED COSTS IN DIVISION IN GADE AND COMPRENENSIVE

		33 2	Land Create	ber Studiente Gradie Cast Errolimente	C C C C C C	COMPREHENSIVES C Per Sudden Greek M Cast Endene	a 1	Per Student Grade Cart Eard		R Sudant Grada R Sudard Gai Enclanari Cai	Grada Fradiment	3
PANSON III Contro True Contro True Contro					21 21	81, 716 81, 716					312 253 284	37.124 37.124 37.124
DIVISION IV Conto 10 Conto 10 Conto 12 Conto 12 Taul Cu Pe Seder	283 ¥		i ska			423.44 342.40 397.14 410.45	352 8	8. 614 8. 614 9. 42 7. 43 4. 43			1010 1010 1010	11,12 14,13 14,16 14,16 14,16 14,16 14,16
Taul Direct Instructional Car Per Congreterative Suders	91	17.64	719	CH. 004	X	5P. 015	¥	14.92	6	398.92	K at	430.53

127 port time students, the equivalent of 15 full time students.

163

-

THE XII (COLOR

		1			COMPREHENSIVES	ISIVES	•		3		
	1]]]	;]]]	a Greede Evrolaneer	j	Geeth Eardineet	j j j	Grada Errolanez		
III NORMA											
11	8	N .96	ž	5.12	X	73					25, 134
11233	\$	8.96	ž	8' K	Ŕ	オヨ					1.44
V NORMA											
2 = 2 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	583 5	478 585	015	779 553	9 R P	1.8 1.8 1.3	4 28	~~~ ****	643	1.14 1.14 1.14	47° 627 74° 718 78° 814
	D 11	16.14	1156	5.4	8	69.10	1305	417.80	1611	15, 184	420.17
Test Direct Intercented Cash Pr. Competitional States	2 74	5.3	<u>s</u>	12.12	8 51	97 C.		417.80	1611	5' 19	421.98

The major factors contributing to this inconsistency of cost patterns were: (1) lack of a standard unit for a grade enrolled student, (2) the practice of students taking courses at other than their own grade level, and (3) the grade registration dilemma.

Each student, in grade enrollment figures, was assumed to be equivalent to every other student in terms of direct instructional time provided. This assumption held in the main for Division III students who took a required program, with restricted options, but did not hold for Division IV full-time students who took varying numbers of courses and, therefore, had varying instructional time totals. As well, the problem of partial students, taking a less than full grade program load, distorted the Division IV per student-enrolled unit costs.

The second contributing factor to this anomaly was the practice of high school students taking subjects at grade levels other than the grade in which they were enrolled.

Any deviation in courses taken by students in grades other than the grade in which they were enrolled rendered the total student grade enrollment inaccurate. Students, generally, were enrolled in courses below their enrolled grade. This resulted in the per student enrolled costs, by grade, to be higher than they actually were in grades ten and eleven and lower in grade twelve.

Administrators frequently register students in the highest grade in which they take courses, e.g. a student taking three grade twelve subjects and four grade eleven subjects was registered as a grade twelve student. This process made the determination of registration in a grade subject to question. The difficulty of arriving at exact numbers of students in each grade was expressed by six of the eleven chief administrators of the comprehensives in the population.

As a result of these factors the validity of the grade costs based on per student enrollment is questioned. Standardized, or equivalent grade level enrollments are needed, based on the actual subjects taken by students, to eliminate the deflation of upper grade level costs in Division IV.

Also, suspect was the total direct instructional cost per high school student as this figure did not reflect differences between part-time and fulltime students. In addition, the problem is further complicated by the practice of designating students to the highest grade in which they were taking subjects. However, this total, based on the total instructional cost divided by the total number of students in the comprehensive, eliminated some of the grade placement dilemma and therefore, served a useful purpose as a base for further analysis.

III. RESIDENT INDIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS

Resident indirect instructional costs were computed to determine the curricular program costs, on a comprehensive school basis.

Two unit costs were also computed in each comprehensive, the cost per student-enrolled in the curricular program and the cost per pupil-enrolled

in the school. This procedure was used to identify actual costs for students enrolled in a particular curricular program and the relation of this actual cost to the general total enrollment in the school.

Resident indirect costs were computed from two sources, teacher salaries and instructional supply and equipment costs. Teachers' specific preparation time formed the salary dimension, while costs of supplies and equipment designated to specific subject areas formed the other costs. The administrative time of department heads was not included in resident indirect cost for two reasons: (1) four of the eleven comprehensives did not have department heads, and (2) the difficulty experienced by department heads in differentiating between the proportion of their administrative time devoted to the subject area and that devoted to general school administration. A more accurate method of accounting to the administrative time of department heads may be the "diary method" of recording activities.

Resident Indirect Instructional per Student-Enrolled Costs by Curricular Program

Table XIII provides the resident indirect instructional costs per studentcourse and per student-enrolled by curricular programs in each of the comprehensive schools of the population.

Difficulty was experienced in determining the cost of instructional equipment and supplies in some of the comprehensive schools due to accounting procedures. One school operated on an academic and vocational supply cost with no differentiation of curricular program expenditure, e.g. Comprehensive

TABLE XIII

READENT INDRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-COURSE COSTS AND STUDENT BURGLED COSTS BY CURRICILLAR PROGRAMS

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	• 0	٥	w	u.	U	I	-	-	¥
Sherie Churie Caharin Land Land Corne In Paper Maine Corne In Paper Land Taul Maine Corne In Paper Maine Corne In									
Sterie Taul Taul Taul Containe Taul Taul Taul Taul Taul Taul Taul Taul									
Taul Adam Endlad In Sched Adam Course In Negen Other Taul Taul Age.11 35.11 Main Course In Negen 0.51 Main Course In Negen 0.51					9 6' 12				
star Endlad In Schel Mara Come In Neue Science Science Other Tend Andre Endlad In Schel Order Andre Come In Neue 0.51					221.50				
Adua Cana h Maga Salarin Other Taul Taul Taul Adar Cana h Maga 0.51					0.14				
Selecter Other Texal Adam Emailed In Scheel 0.51 Adam Course In Program 0.53					0.15				
Tend Iden Endled In Scheel 0.51 Addur Course In Program 0.53	07"500 1.500 1.500	N .121	1304.22	8. X		18.0042 10.705	174.44	180.00	87.10
tuden Evalled in Scheel 0.51 Autor Course in Program 0.53	17 925.27	121.96	1504.22	384.09		5710.62	174.44	180.00	67. 143
tudent Course in Program 0.53	87 1 28	52.0	67 1	0.23		19.6	0.11	0.14	0.7
	1.9	0.12	1.52	0.23		3.65	0.11	0.0	0.83
Chier 2440.76 4034.50	38		00, 961	<i>51.</i> 1727	00.2444	1742.73 591.22	1294.94	or. 9838	21.61.2
Town 2640.76 4916.18	=		136.00	7201.75	4645.00	2333.95	1294.94	or. 9635	24.42
Carl Per Sweeter Eventied in Scheel 3.36 8.01	10		0.16	4.40	2.84	1.48	0.84	2.72	4.20
Casi Per Studient Course in Program 15.65 33.90	8		2.39	5.02	24.45	4.07	2.30	19.61	15.03

TABLE XIII (Continued

CURRICULAR PROGRAM	<	-	٩	۵	w	ŧ.	U	Ŧ	-	-	×
									1		
Hume Economics Delevier	; 	2 2 2 2 2	212.48	69° 999	197.287 197.287	513.05 2339.79	1607.50	24.75 1650.01	1552.93	1084.57	340.50
100				97 777	11 4461	P. 201	1602.50	2075.56	878.3 2	1064.57	340,50
Terel	17. F	1217.05	27.12					i	;		8
			8.1	8	1.45	2	0.9	IC. I	4.0	8.0	2
Cal Pr. Statest Cornellin In Month	8 , 7	8.4	1.36	UC. 7	4.11	5.50	5.87	4.28	17.92	4.74	2.91
Muthametica Sciences		14.85 2	57°55	; {	2807 .44		435.10	14.5 08 16.080	00, 2841 28, 961	57° 77	14.67
10	1.44		4	Gr. 54							
•		24.12	CI. 1424	8.8	2807.44		425.10	72.8.72	1604.85	9.4	
3				0.19	3.20		0.2	4.59	10	0.0	0.0
Carl Per Student Evaluat in School	10.0						10.0	3.52	18.0	8.0	0.0
Car Per States-Carse in Prepar	0.0	2.29	8.1	0.11	40 " Z						
Medern Languages		6.04	12.21	2	52, 1201 52, 1201		465.10	3281.13 88.9912	1 5,1111	86.977	16. 4201
Otter			2.8	5				1	2 111	87.622	16.4201
Ī	5 22	4.1041	8 . 8	5	EC. 1711		465.10	K. CAR			
			92.0	9.0	1.3		0.28	3.46	27.0	09.0	6.0
Car for Suders Evelled in Science	;				1		0 40	5.89	8.0	1.1	59.1
Cast Per Student-Cause in Preprin	28.0	CA. 7	2.0	2.0	Y. 1		2				

169

. . . ~

TABLE XIII (Continued)

CURICLAR MOGAM	<	-	υ	٥	-	u.	υ	r	-	-	×
Prylicel Education	95' AL	5. 2. 2.	85	8	2048.45	2627.01	212.70 3660.00	906. 62 152. 22	748.00 1843.90	52.786	26.120
		R 2 991	8. M	8.65	2048.45	10. 0.82	3672.70	2463.94	2591.90	55.0%	271.22
Can Par Station Emailed in Scheel	2.6	2.2	2.26	11.11	2.34	99.1	2.37	8 2. I	29"1	0.76	4.17
Casi Per Student-Cause in Program	6.3	2.83	2.43	0.99	4.4	2.73	4.02	2.46	2.24	1.03	5.87
<u>Science</u> Science Other	47. 87 80. 7167	05. 9616 22. 9616	89.028 86.2811	12,2021 17,2021	2965.60 1159.94	10.001 29.29 00	00' <i>0</i> 05	91.000 3725.20	5076.42	259.77	16.2002
3	A Ser	82.68	1816.06	17.9642	4125.54	587 1066	3367.00	9058.39	5076.42	2259.77	3785.9 1
Co. Pr. Schen Findled in Sched	9	16.7	3.50	6 .0	R.7	16.2	2.08	5.74	3.27	5	3.3
Cai Pe States-Cours in Press	1.24	5.5	2.86	4.18	12.6	4.23	2.06	5.18	2.39	A .1	2.5
Seil State	1 20.021	17. 7062 02. 45	1210.27 166.58	745.44 14.355	04.257E 57.409	426.15	10053.45 557.50	5593. 8 5 720.06	59. 6011	207.02	57°, 184
]	00.0001	17.8002	1376.85	1062.09	4630.12	426.15	10610.95	16.6168	59.2011	207.162	481.42
	1.69	19.0	2.62	2.24	5.28	0.25	6.50	3.99	12.0	0.16	0.43
Car Per Sudare-Course in Propen	1.28	3.47	2.06	38 . I	4.99	0.23	6.56	3.66	0.62	0.17	0.34

TARE XIII (Contered

CURRICILAR MOGRAM	•	-	υ	٥	w	u.	9	H	-	7	×
Arielise Sterie Oter	8, 28	58 88	19 19 19							2485.16	24, 989 04, 753
3	N. NO	27 2/11	19"538							2485.16	3807.15
Car Per Suctant Emailed in School	8.1	2.1	99° I							16.1	J.J
Carl Per Southert-Course in Program	d " 1	15.72	16.40							16.35	19.95
Building Examina	M. 96 20.265	00" MB12	26. MS 82. MS	¥Ø	76.45	£, 84	Q' 2	67, 979 1 12,4241	67.279 84.279	2015.56	14.881
Total	47° 6646	62.8711	67-6611	17.07	507.40	45.574	8. 84 88	3434.24	61.505	2015.56	14.841
Car Per Southor Envalued in School	5.01	2.1	2.26	68 ° 0	6.26	1.7	5.42	2.17	65. 1	1.55	1.28
Car Per Sudaw-Cause in Prepen	4.05	27'51	2.98	121	7.80	9.0	19.8	2.89	2.28	19-1	1.16
Commercial Cashing Salarian Other	24.25		D " (ST		62.1412		3159.00	95° 200	85 .22	8 <i>2</i> - 698	
Total	1110.15		13. 725		52,4015		3159.00	307.50	8.U	8,2" 699	
Carl Pre Southern Ernelland in Scheel	17.1		99 ° 0		2.50		8.1	0.19	0.0	0.6	
Car Pr Suder-Cours in Pryses	27.07		25.55		ъ.2		54.42	2.75	1.31	18.93	

]
Ĵ
WX II
INE

										-	2
CURRELLAR PROGRAM	<	-	U	۵	w	u.	U	Ŧ	-	,	.
Carridge Salarin	1						00. 175 1			11.0/2	22.916
300	61. BX						8 161			2770.18	319.22
1	17.429									2.13	0.28
Contraction funding in School	0.0						8.0				
Car Pr Sudare-Cause in Propres	24.11						16.03			20.83	97.E
Durbing		1.41	11.81	1			8.53	8. 801 8. 75	er al	1045.68	1406.30
200	N. 001	8. 9	N- 162								2
		114.77	69" 164	17.161	11.2411		62 .00	65 70KI	182.69	1040. CMOI	
		5	8	0.21	N. I		0.29	1.0	0.12	08.0	1.24
Carl Per Student Evelled in XMM		2	8	8	31 , 24		8.19	2011	0.44	12.75	15.45
Car Per Sedar-Cars in Pages	2.0		2								
Bechical Selection	143.10	8. IE 8. IE	553.10 54.42	245.38	¥, 62 ¥, 55		05. IE 4 2	768.39	78. INE 78. 8441	8 2. 70 8 1	17.823
			1) 1)	245.24	00.218		05" 1642	1693.72	1790.19	1807.56	11.8238
				15.0	0.93		98 . I	1.67	1.16	6 2°1	4.63
Cast Per Student Enveloped in School	2.2	Ŗ			!			12,10	35.85 18	2 8 .69	65.48
Carl Per Student-Course in Program	8, 8	98 '' 14	14.72	3.18	10.42		3				

I
2
5
-
3
- 2

				6		Ľ	U	I	-	-	¥
CURICILAR MOSIAM	•	•	,								
Gereed Selector						M. 6212			27.43		
						133.44			72.63		
						3.6			8 .0		
Car Per Southers Everland in School									0.17		
Car Per Sudhar-Cause in Press											
Machanical Sole in Sole in Cat-	18. WI	N. M.I N. M.I	17. 173 19. 0021	1, 15 1, 15 1, 16 1, 17	569.06		05-2445	4112.30 5383.91	1249.97 546.43	CI. INUX	11°839
	4 1444	35.78 BA	2428.80	125.24	M. 2994		5665.30	9696.21	04.9569	51.1405	53.953
			141	2.40	5.34		3.47	6.14	4.4	5.40	3.5
Cal Per Sudara Tanalan In Norma Cal Per Sudara-Canas in Pagen	8.6	10. 10.	15.9	10.62	26.16		l9.0	<i>w.</i> w	24.51	8 2° 21	27.52
Andra Saleria O.C.							8.0£	30. 93	508.39		
							350.08	30.03	508.39		
							0.21	0.23	0.33		
Cast Per Syndent-Course in Pregram							7.00	<i>1</i> 6'' 11	2.84		

173

.

J
Ę
TANE

CURICULAR MOGRAM	<	-	U	٥	w	u.	U	I	-	-	¥
Srivetural Salarias		16.00 16.00	5.8 7.9	3	802		00.4241	07"0251 25"0251	205.00	55° 8951	02.4241
ł		R			8.84		00.9291	24.725	1.001	15.921	01"9261
124		14.4251	W.CI.				07.1	Ŗ	0.95	1.20	Ŗ
Carl Per Student Emelled in Scheel		2.4	1.1	5.0					2	A. 74	21.89
Car Per Student-Cause in Prepar		0C. VE	14.62	2.39	15.63		61.69	99	R. 17		
Technel og States States Onto						6218.16		14.62			
						6218.16		N.R.			
Content funding in Column						17.6		90.0			
and in the state of the state o						U.2		0.43			
Vecational <u>A</u> Salaries					12 M					17786 17786	90.12
N					2 241					2022.02	90.12
Total										45° I	420
Car Per Student Errelled in Scheel Car Per Student-Course in Propres					871					21.65	2.29

TABLE XIN (Carlined

¥	5.98,2 0.0 15.04,8 7.6	46.219°62 08.0				
-	2. 2. 2. 2.	42.06				
-	12,004.5 22,400.5	24.44.9	8		1 .5	
I	8, 712, 66 8, 086, 12	10 A50 G			8 .0	
O	51,523,1 53,140,51 62,725,95 51,442,01 62,945,5 14,141,11 1 54,523,1 53,140,51 62,725,95 51,442,01 52,440,54 14,542,54 16,559 51			2.61	4.2	
•	8.92,5			K.12	2.8	
	17 AL 41 11		5. 9L' 1	8.8	5.29	
0			8.221,8	51. 1 1	2,1	
υ	5" IK'2 YF 48"9	19'805'/	57.954.61	8' X	3.12	
-	W 421 12 25 200 1	B- 116. 51	12.72.8	8.3	4.4	
<	2. 20, 1	S. 12, 2	10. ME. IL	4 .4	5 .5	
CUMICULAR MOGRAM	j J	10	3	Carl Bar Stadard English in School	Carl Par Statistic Course in Program	

⁶ Supply call per proper proved from total experiments on the basis of the evenge upply cash in comprehensives A,B,E,F,G,H,I,J,K, as shown in Table

b Supply can per proper proved from tend contants and vessional-technical expanditure on the basis of angely cash in comprehensives A, B, E, F, G, H, I, J, K.

C. Others designated expenditures for selected curricular programs and aggregated the remaining expenditures of other areas into General Expenditure, e.g. Comprehensives B, E and F. One school allocated an amount per pupil in selected curricular programs and a further amount per pupil for the remaining academic and vocational-technical curricular programs. Proration of curricular program supply and equipment costs were employed in allocating the instructional costs in Comprehensives C and D. These prorations were based on the average supply costs in Comprehensives A, B, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K and are presented in Table XXXVII in Appendix F.

Indirect costs varied considerably over the twenty-one subject areas and over the eleven comprehensive schools.

IV. RESIDENT IMPLEMENTARY PER STUDENT COSTS

This section deals with specific sub-problems 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 on pages 3 and 4 of this study. Resident implementary costs included all expenditures which could not be charged to a specific curricular program. Resident implementary costs were subdivided into two categories, plant implementary and general implementary expenditures. The former category included all expenditures directly related to the cost of plant operation and maintenance, and aggregated the expenditures in series 610, 640, 650, 651, 710, and 720. General implementary expenditures included three basic cost categories, the service function activities conducted within the comprehensive, student supervision, and the general non-curricular and non-service function expenditures which related to the operation of the school. Items in the last category included substitute teachers, clerical and business staff, general supply equipment and text books, other equipment and supplies and food service staff salaries.

Resident Implementary Costs by Category

Table XIV provides the resident implementary costs, subdivided by general implementary and plant implementary categories on a cost per student enrolled basis. The resident implementary cost per student enrolled varied from \$215.61 in Comprehensive F to \$390.29 in Comprehensive A. The average for the population was \$282.90.

General implementary costs per student-enrolled ranged from a high of \$209.75 in Comprehensive J to a low of \$137.23 in Comprehensive F, resulting in an average general implementary cost of \$174.72 for the population.

Plant implementary costs per student-enrolled averaged \$108.34 in the population. The highest cost was expended in Comprehensive A at \$194.33, and the least cost was incurred in Comprehensive F at \$77.88 per student enrolled. Total energy plants, in Comprehensives C and J, used natural gas to generate the electrical power required and to heat the building. While the average per student enrolled cost was above the population average in both of these comprehensives, Comprehensive J ranked third in plant implementary cost and Comprehensive C and C

It was assumed that all plant implementary costs were charged against

TABLE XIV

RESIDENT IMPLEMENTARY PER STUDENT ENROLLED COSTS BY COMPREHENSIVE

· ·	implementary	Cost Per Student En	rolled
Comprehensive	General	Plant	Total
Comprehensive	mplementary	Implementary	Implementary
	195.96	194.33	390.29
B	149.24	105.74	254.98
- C	185.19	110.80	295.99
D	163.09	110.35	273.44
E	207.56	147.57	355.13
F	137.73	77 .88	215.61
G	169.55	81.87	251.42
н	173.81	82.05	255 .8 6
ł	155.36	84.91	240.27
J	209.75	140.25	350.00
κ	199.49	133.12	332.61
Population Average	174.56	108.34	282.90

.

178

Ξ

the day-program in each comprehensive. The cost of adult education classes, night school related activities and community activities conducted within the school were not considered.

Teacher Related Resident Implementary Costs as a Function of General Implementary Costs

Teachers were involved in three basic service activities within general resident implementary costs, namely: (1) general service function, (2) auxiliary service function, and (3) student supervision activities. Each of these activities provided a service to the students enrolled in the comprehensives, but were not related directly to the curricular program offered within the population.

<u>General Service Function</u>. This function included the non-curricular programs of guidance counselling, library, instructional media (audio-visual and television) and the administrative function. Table XV summarizes the costs associated with the programs of the general service function area for each comprehensive and the population average costs. This section is directly related to specific sub-problem 10 listed on page 3 of this study.

The salary segment of the general service function cost included the prorated salaries of teachers, technicians and students who were involved in these program areas. These salary costs did not include clerical or business support personnel who provided support activities in the general service functions.

The cost of equipment and supplies was designated as "other" costs to the program areas, e.g. expenditure series 230, 235, and 236. No separate

TABLE XV

RESIDENT PER STUDENT-BAROLLED COSTS OF GUIDANCE, LIBRARY, INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA AND ADMINISTRATION

	Guidence Connedling	Library	Instructional Audio Viewal	l Medie Television	Administration	3
						:
A minutani					31,128.32	17.925.92
1		12 AL	7,942.67		31,128,32	12.696,84
33	5' (1		7,942.67 10.12		39.55	7.81
Carl Per Student	er:12					
		!			17. 909.22	39,201.92
	s,	6.14.01	0 11 1			8,612.50
3	•		2,412.50		17.654.12	D , L
	N.01	2.42	3.93			
					2	67.166.54
Computeration C	00-249-11	6,143.00	5			97.680,1
		17 697			28,156.50	CA. 080' /1
33		6, 812.46 12 98	0.0		53.63	80. A2
Caul Per Suddate	n'n					
					251.95	31,628.59
	3,350.15	4.925°4	14 14		26.127, 81	1,912.00
1			7.6.46		18,751.95	
Tatel	Я , С	22.20	1.51		8. R	
Cast Per Siudent	8.					
			<i>(1</i> 0) 1		41,379.66	16. 593. 73
Salaria			11.680.8		W 916 17	21,000,02 159.97
ł	1, 445, 1 17, 705, 01	23,651.79	19.229,61		0, 0, 0 0, 0	102.72
Total Contraction		27.48	8.8			
				2.711.6	59,109.13	80,000,08
Salaries	-	00.222.6	5,961.00	20,152,02		·· / ·· / ·· / ·· / ·· / ·· / ·· / ··
1 0				00.022,7 92.047,92	59,109.13	99.066,961
1	14,71	7.1%,12 0.61	68° C	22.56	35.29	3
		b				

TABLE XV (Continued

LESDENT PER STUDENT-ENROLLED COSTS OF GUIDANCE, LERARY, INSTRUCTIONAL MEDIA AND ADMINISTRATION

	Guidence Connelling	Library	Instructional Madia Audia Visual Television	el Medie Television	Administration	3
0 entrempter		07 777 IC		26,062.36	65,828,94	
	EZ. 215, EZ		14.8%.00			
100			14.896.00	2		
		21.02	9.13	17.62	¥. ₽	
					!	
muchanima H		66°C98°6			4,810.47	
	AL. 137, 46		4,672.00			25.089.91
	IC VAS	92'546'11	2,486.28		77 010 77	P. M. 201
2		21,059.75	7,160.28			2.4
	23.18	13.64	2.4			
					54, 693,02	100,550.94
Selector	85°649°98	4. 000°.	14.22.2		-	
		7 748 45	3.07.02			
30			A 400, 03		20.693.42	
]	B.73, A	23.01	5.62		35.30	<u>w.c</u>
AL SURA					l	
Leanersia J		11 700 GE	975.00		535.62	105,685,801
	20,693.95		29.000,6			
	8	11 (21 23	6.428.75			
N			12.04.51	-	20. 515, 10	
Total			10.32		49.52	B
al Per Suderd	13.4					
langerhensive K	1	10 100 01	12.628.22	1,161.00	55,411.25	
	0/. 245, 52	AL 024 0	A. 100.54			
100	1,180.06		18.728.76	×,	55,411.25	
Total	24,577.76	95 61 77	16.56		48.99	
Ter Per Sudent	6/-12		8 w 10		19.647,305	1,129,247.71
DIAL	11.161,022	227,370.40		56 , El	41.72	92.98
	. 18.13	18./2				

181

accounting of equipment and supplies for administrative purposes was available as an isolated accounting series within the population. These costs were contained in expenditure series 242 and 250, which were charged to resident implementary expenditures as aggregated costs.

The cost of guidance counselling varied from an upper unit cost per student-enrolled of \$25.18 in Comprehensive H to \$6.95 in Comprehensive D, with an average unit cost for the population of \$18.13. Each of the population comprehensives had at least one full-time guidance counsellor with the exception of Comprehensives B and D which had teacher-guidance counsellors.

Library service function per student unit costs varied throughout the population with the cost of library equipment and supplies exceeding the salary cost in each comprehensive. Per student costs varied from \$28.31 in Comprehensive A to \$10.82 in Comprehensive 1, resulting in an average per student library service function cost of \$18.72.

Audio-visual service costs varied in the population comprehensives from an upper level of \$16.04 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive E to \$0.80 in Comprehensive C. No salary costs were expanded in Comprehensives A, B, C, D, and G, where the audio-visual function was conducted by teachers generally, instead of specific teacher personnel or technicians being assigned to an audio-visual role.

Television services were provided in four of the eleven population schools with curricular classes offered in Television to students of Comprehensive G only. Television costs varied from \$22.56 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive F to \$1.02 in Comprehensive K, resulting in an average cost of \$13.95 per student-enrolled in the comprehensive schools providing television services.

Administrative costs in terms of per student-enrolled varied from \$53.63 in Comprehensive C to \$35.29 in Comprehensive F with an average cost of \$41.72 in the population. Comprehensive C costs were high largely because of the appointment of the six department heads to this comprehensive of 614 students. Department heads appointed in the next school had an enrollment of 1,131 students.

The total service function costs per student-enrolled varied from \$109.53 in Comprehensive G to \$69.59 in Comprehensive D. The average service function cost per student was \$92.28 in the population. No economies of scale were evident within the eleven comprehensives in the service function area.

Auxiliary Service Function.

These were activities assigned to teachers but were not orientated to the curricular program, the general service function program, or student supervision activities. They did, however, perform a service function for the students or for the general operation of the school. Table XVI summarizes the costs associated with the auxiliary service function activities within the population, both in total cost and cost per student enrolled.

Auxiliary service function costs varied from \$31.60 per studentenrolled in Comprehensive E to \$1.78 in Comprehensive A with an average cost per student enrolled in the population of \$8.73. The high cost in Comprehensive

TABLE XVI

ALIKELMEY SERVICE FUNCTION COSTS BY AMOUNT, COSTS PER STUDENT ENROLLED AND AGGEREGATE FOR POPULATION

COMPREHENSIVES

						ŭ	COMPREHENSIVE	NSIVES	•				U		
]]	323]3		ַזַּגע פֿוַ	Credent Pr	۵ و و ا	Cast Per Student Ervalled	r Cast Cast	Cast Per Student Errolled		Cast Per Svuchent Evvalled	łż	Cast Per Southers Fredled	
Receiving Excinent Inverse Rypical Elecarity Encoded Rypical Elecarity Encoded Roading Instruction Centers Guidence Insert Schritten School Band Maic Corol Maic	8.8.9.9.9 8.8.8.9.9 8.8.8.8.9.9 8.8.8.8.	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 9.00 0.00	82,4.00000,4000,0000,51 82,288,889,900,400,000,51 86,289,889,900,100,000,51 100,000,000,000,51 100,000,000,000,51 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,00	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	842888888888888888888888888888888888888	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	8.8.7.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8.8	2853.91 2853.91 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0 8.0	80.0 17.1915 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.0 90.	88188887888888888888888888888888888888	0.00 0.00 0.02	0.08 0.09	
	ļ														

184

TABLE XYI (Continued

	-]J		-] 3] j	Cont Participation of Cont Participation of Control of	_]J		AGG Tatel Tatel	Accertion is al Cast Per Sudert Erralied
						8	8	8	10 1346	
	80	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.5	3			
			8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	1365.16	R		
Removed Prysical Bucotten			16 0136	1	500°52	7.42	2409.67	R . 7	27.0018	
the Curicular Physical El.	2.74				W Tall	8	0.0	8.0	10 . 7798	
itra Curicular Mais	8.8	07.0		31		8	8	8.0	94.05971	
medial Buding Intruction	66.0000	6.9	00. 109	24.6				000	1405.77	
alateria Management	20% %	8	3262.32	2.11		88	88	8	9649.92	
Grane Guidence	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.8	8.8	88	8	4571.55	15.0
ternel Substitution	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.8	88	10 5331	2	7601.24	
	21/4.00	第.一	8. 0	8.0	8.0	3		į	2040 77	
	8.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	21.08	0.55		2	TT TC MO	
	8	0.0	8.0	8.0	7000	5 . 2	0.0	33		
Aut toucorion	88	8	000	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		
hiver Education	88	88		8	80	0.0	8.0	8.0	1417.45	
aderal Grant Accounting	8.0	3.5	8.8	88		8	0.0	8.0	3501.77	
Continuing Education Propen	8.0	8.0	8.9	38	8.8	8	8	0.0	2156.46	
at the Drive	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.9	8.8	88		8	2098.80	
the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	3.8	88	8	72. 77.87	
	8.0	8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.5	3.0	3		
berekensten er	8.0	8.0	0.0	8 .0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		
								1	10 00 01	12 21
	EN. 999.72	17.42	21564.06	13.92	22408.16	17.20	MU72 .64	5/.8		

.

185

E resulted from activities related to the organization of a new school. The two following auxiliary service function activities, with the per student-enrolled cost, were pecultar to this school in the first year of operation: receiving equipment, \$3.29; and laboratory installation, \$8.18.

The average cost per student-enrolled of the auxiliary service function in the eleven comprehensives was \$12.73, with the highest cost function being extra-curricular physical education. This was the cost of the release time given to physical education teachers during the assigned timetable schedule in lieu of this program.

<u>Student Supervision</u>. Supervision time was defined as teacherstudent scheduled contact time, in which students were under the direction of, but not instructed by teachers. Three types of supervision were isolated in this study, home room supervision, study room supervision and library supervision. Table XVII presents the aggregated costs, per student-enrolled costs, and total population cost of the student supervision function.

Per student-enrolled supervision costs varied from \$40.24 in Comprehensive D to no cost in Comprehensives B and G. The average cost per student enrolled in the population was \$10.73. Home room supervision at \$6.86, was the supervisional function of greatest expense.

The wide variation of supervisional cost mirrored the priority decisions of both resident and non-resident administrators. The cost incurred within the population indicated of the emphasis placed on the supervisional function within the individual comprehensives. TABLE XVII

SUPERVISION FUNCTION COSTS BY AMOUNT, COST PER STUDENT-DUROLLED AND AGGREGATE FOR POPULATION

l

					COMPI	COMPREHENSIVES			•		_	
	3 1	Contraction of the second seco	Tabel Car	Cast Per Student Errolled	Total Cas Cas Per Sudan Englied			Cat Per Student Evolled	Total Cast Cast Per Southern Errolled	Eredent R		Cost Per Syndheit Earolled
	3.52.17	87	8.0	8.0	6,712.85	12.79	15.186,01	21.50	0.0	8 .0	3, 157.63	1.89
	13,405.24	CO. 71	0.0	0.0	11.162,7	14.64	06, 500, 9	18.74	0 .0	0.0	5,430.59	3.24
Lawy Supervision	8.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3, 108.35	3.67	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	14. 252, 71	21.73	8.0	0.0	14,503.99	59 ° 42	11.146,91	40.24	3,188.35	3.67	8,588.42	5.13
					COMP	COMPREHENSIVES	VES				All Char	L Combine
			Total Cast		Total Cast	Cast Per Southerst Errolled	l and Car	Cat Per Student Enrolled	Total Cast	Cost Per Southers Per Involted		Totel Cast Cast Per Student Errolled
	8	8	8	8.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	8.0	18,382.05	16.25	42,466.44	3.50
		8	02° 905 02	12.99	92.000,11	7.12	16,098.28	12.35	0.0	0.0	95.992,68	98 . 9
Study Ream Separation Library Supervision	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,356.94	1.04	0.0	8 .0	4,545.29	0.37
TOTAL	8.0	8.0	20,506.70	12.99	92.000,11	7.12	17,455.22	13.40	30. 282, 81	16.25	130,310.25	67.01

Combined Resident, Indirect and Implementary Expenditures

Table XVIII presents the resident indirect and implementary expenditures in each school by functional category. The aggregates were divided into two categories, salaries and expenses. The per student-enrolled costs were combined to provide single unit costs for combined resident and implementary expenditures.

In salaries, the highest unit cost per student enrolled was \$236.45 in Comprehensive A, and Comprehensive F with \$140.06 was the lowest unit cost. The average unit costs for salaries in the combined resident indirect and implementary unit costs was \$185.70. The greatest variations between comprehensives in the salary dimension were in the following areas: specific preparation, service function, audio-visual and television, auxiliary service function and supervision function.

The expense dimension with the highest per student-enrolled resident indirect and implementary cost was \$196.27 in Comprehensive A with the least cost of \$90.00 in Comprehensive D. The population average of resident and implementary expenditures was \$129.24.

The combined salary and expenses in the resident indirect and implementary expenditures for the average cost per student-enrolled was \$314.94. The range of unit costs was from a high of \$432.72 in Comprehensive A to \$239.36 in Comprehensive F. The four largest Comprehensives F, G, H, and I and the small Comprehensive, D, had combined resident indirect and implementary expenditures of under \$300.00. TABLE XVIII

COMBINED RESIDENT INDRECT AND IMPLEMENTARY BY FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY

	<	-	U	٥	u	u.	U	I	-	-	×	Agregale
salatits Socific Population		1			M. 791. M	2,349.30	21. 266 .15	<u> 96. 717. 96</u>	12,004.43	1,433.83	2,989.75	115,399.93
by Acodemic Staff	27'700'1 \$	M. V.I. 22				•					11 20 H	213.010.52
Service Function			00 COT 11	3,350,15	00.042,8	84. 149, 61	32,512.23	39,229.98			20,000,000	132,962.01
Guidence			4. 143. 80	9,526.49	12, 140.00	12,519.99	21,464,59	K.708.6		2,156,25	13.789.22	51,240.00
Librory AV & TV	62.021.1E	17.000.02	05.421,85	56. 127, 81	5,533.67 41,329.66	3,67 8.48 59,109.13	8, 087. 30 8, 828, 39	4.018,14	54,692.02	64,535.62	52,111,25	506,562.18
1							2	10 44	21 544 86	22.408.16	02.980,11	150,526.85
Function by Account	1.400.37	62.206.9	3,254.82	3,998.30	22, 669, 55	15,622.6/	c1.074.C					
Sugarvision by Academic				10 704 41	3.166.22	27, 982, 8	1		11,003.76	17,455.22	17,167.99	129,096.29
Staff	17.757.11 19.757.01		1	1,912.00	1,603.65	1,460.69	6,571.55		5,201.91	3, 100 L	79.825.26	41.020.166
Substituted Teachers			92.01E,EA	99.928,95	62,730.24	117,580.69	106,566.06	90,004./9	4/" 41C' M			•
THE TOOCH			11 040 CIT	55. [N2. M	52, 186, 771	234,603.85	24,712.85	19.747.61	240,900.74	287,035.09	231,766.28	2,258,542.42
Teral	on. mor. ent	c1.004,011			•				155 53	220.29	204.92	185.96
Car Per Suder	236.45	12.21	217.06	200.29	20 2.92	90.041	168.32		1			
EXPENSES	60° 616° 97	30 , 617, 00	20,590.90	02.242,EI	77, 190.65	97,643.12	132,116.40	91,284.17 24.87	86,056.26 70,59	104,523.50	100,448.23 83,585.89	831,155.66 738,494.20
Non-Instructional	1.542,28	30. CA9, VI	62°64, M	29,816.67	6 6,849.99	*/************************************						A8 044 045 1
-	21.64 12	76.480.00	\$1.090.25	43,382.17	164,040.64	166, 327.86	161,857.34	168, 160.83	165,760.85	199,752.74	184,034.12	
		121 44	105, 51	8 7.68	188.99	97.66	95. 711	106.49	10.701	153.30	162.72	129.24
Car Per Student	/7· 84						01 W2 777	17. 828. 44	406.666.59	486,787.83	415,800.40	3,628,192.28
Grand Tetal	340,546.17	61.966,191	E7.8HC, 691	27.629,961	N. 220, 2M	1/10/00					247 64	315.20
	77.224	94.7IE	322.56	290.30	394.04	239.36	285.89	294.27	262.53	AC. F/E	5.)}	

Inserted substitution by teaching staff based on 15 minutes per school week per teacher

Non Resident Implementary Expenditures

Table XIX provides the non-resident implementary expenditures within each expenditure series. These included a per student-enrolled cost for each comprehensive as well as a sample aggregate and average per studentenrolled unit cost.

Administration costs varied within the population as a result of the basic structure of the central administration of each comprehensive. Comprehensives F, G, H, and I were schools within urban systems which administered more than one school. Comprehensives A, B, C, E, J, and K were single school administrative units, while Comprehensive D was part of the administrative unit of a school unit. Comprehensive C had the lowest administrative expenditure, \$12,038.86, followed by Comprehensive D at \$17,929.62. Only these schools did not have locally appointed superintendents, while in the remainder of the population schools the superintendent was locally appointed.

Pupil transportation was an expenditure for Comprehensive D, and represented the cost of transporting students enrolled in the comprehensive from within the school unit. Other comprehensives in the population did not make expenditures for transportation, these costs were paid by other educational jurisdictions which transported students to the comprehensives (Comprehensives A, B, C, E, J, and K). In Comprehensives F, G, H, and I, transportation costs were not a factor.

Fixed charges varied in relation to the size of the comprehensives for the most part. Expenses for student body activity were incurred only in

TABLE XIX

NON-REIDENT IMPLEMENTARY EXPENDITIONE BY FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY

		-	U	۵	w	u.	U	x	-	-	¥	į
	:											
EXPENDITURE SERIES					* 01 *	M.004 M. 22, 121, M. 25, 50, 21, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 21, 21, 22, 20, 20, 21, 21, 22, 20, 600, 24	N. 922. 54	55,570.21	54,125.38	85°126'2	29,690.34	36 .916,1 8 0
100 Administration	21,429,15	1.04.15	1 2, 0 2, 2 1	M* 454" /1				•				37,004.00
400 Suctors Trens.				00° 100' AT						1		11 CH 011
•			a w v	1. 12. 4		9,500,61 11,216,21 24,622,71 14,644,91 91,402,12 90,622,9	11.010,01	57-625' (1	15,612.41	16,002.52	NC. 014, 82	
and Current												60.09
1000 Sudan Party											1	
			14.42.4			41140			10.121,71			
								2 10 01	NE. 010. 111		95.00E, BY	1,006,127.46
1300 Debr Service	45" INC" 401	40,214.09	N. 101, 0	5. 5. 6	00.014,14							1 417 0
time to be a second sec						00.02	10° 80	35.230 , (11	TC. 449 111 25. 220, 211 40. 003			
						57°542'115	G. IEI. MI	75" IZY 022	11.62,162	4,001.4	NO.034, DI 04, NO, H. N. 47, 112 22, 12, 02 12, 161, NI D. 247, 115 34 440 451 4 344 551 551 551 551 551 551 55	1, 400, 044, 1
	120,924.24	1.22.22	r7. fl2'ss					•				

relative to the advantan of Division IV students. Does not liture. her scheel beerd which does not here teaction p sectional expenditure and is not included in the Transfer payment to and Dear net represent on e

Comprehensive E which made a direct allocation to the students' council of the comprehensive.

Capital alterations and additions within the school, paid out of current revenue, varied within the population but no set pattern was established.

Transfer accounts, i.e., tuition fees for students attending other educational institutions, were incurred by Comprehensive schools I and G. These students were within the school boundaries of the comprehensives, and would have attended these schools had they not been enrolled in educational institutions elsewhere. The transfer accounts for Comprehensives H and I were shown on Table XVII but were not included as non-resident implementary expenditures. These payments were made to a school administration which did not have taxing privileges but provided Division III, Year III, and Division IV education. The administration of Comprehensives H and I, acted merely as a taxing agency for the non-taxing-privileged school system. Therefore, the transfer account payments of Comprehensives H and I did not represent an operational expenditure.

Debt service varied throughout the population. Comprehensive J made no debt service expenditures, but these were made jointly by the school jurisdictions supplying students to the school. The administration of Comprehensive J provided only the operational administration function within the school and did not exercise taxation privileges. All revenue for this administration was derived from tuition fees paid for each student enrolled. The non-resident implementary expenditures per student-enrolled varied from \$33.17 in Comprehensive J to \$315.23 in Comprehensive D, resulting in an overall average cost per student in the population of \$139.40.

V. TOTAL EDUCATIONAL PER STUDENT COSTS

This section analyzes specific sub-problems 15, 16, 17 and 18 on page 4 of this study. The total educational expenditure per student-enrolled include direct instructional costs, resident indirect and implementary costs and non-resident implementary costs. The total derived educational costs per student were presented by: (1) curricular program, (2) grade and school, (3) function-object categories, and (4) a curricular-based program-budget format.

Per Student Costs by Curricular Programs

Table XX provides the total educational per student-enrolled costs for each curricular program in two unit costs, per student-course and per student-enrolled. These included direct instructional cost, resident indirect and implementary costs and non-resident indirect and implementary cost.

The "per student-course in program" cost was based on a dollar volume expenditure for the direct instructional cost of all courses in a particular curricular program. This was expressed as a ratio of the total direct instructional cost for all programs and multiplied by the number of student-course enrollments within the particular curricular program. Table XXXVIII in Appendix F provides

TABLE XX

ESTIMATED TOTAL COSTS OF CURRICULAR PROGRAMS BY STUDERT-COURSE AND BY COMPRIMENSIVE ENROLUMENT

Contrador Program		٩	-		•	U	٥	•	-	-	-	ė
	Press in the			Per Southard Per Southard Established in Courte in School Program		Per Student School in School in			Per Student Per Student Erralled in Cause in School Pragram	Per Souders Errolled in School		
CHRISTIAN FIMICS Direct Carl Reyident Indirect Carl												
Review Inglement Carl Neuronident Indirect and Inglementary Carl												
Terel Carl Pr Suder												
ENGLISH	1	3		41-12	27	50.14	60' 1 2	0.63	35.95	2	51.45	2.8
Duect Cash Resident Indirect Cash	2	59	1	- 4 - 4	5. 	- 5 8	21.0 28,02	29 9 9 9		2.3	8.8	25.62
Non-coldent Indirect	200	N Z	20-61	19, 20	04.41	20,11	15.7	66.30	10.12	12.62	31.00	25.48
and Car Pr Suchar	V. 121	124.19	R.1	167.76	113.18	8.8	8. S	10.49	128.11	143.80	87,811	128.15
									5	28.5	35.74	31.23
Diect Can	64.16	15.25	R. 1	1 .71					2.39 9.7	0.16	8.S	4
Revident Indirect Cash Bouldard Indirect Cash		1. 14 12 .76	8.8	5.9					52.45	3.16	15.64	18.32
Non-resident Indirect		4.27	19° 12	4.76					11.22	1.33	13.50	15.82
	4	97 (R	12.31	36.14					136.02	8 .52	69.90	. 69 .

TARE XX (Centured

.

Caritate New	jj					Per Southere Eardled in School		Per Soudare Served in School	Program in the second	
CRISTIAN ETHICS		5								
Direct Cash Burldon Indirect Cash	21.0 21.0	9.17 71.0								
Reiden Induntry Can	14.41	11.12								
Number to the second se	8.4	5								
Total Car Per Student	42.24	X.8								
HSIICNI	1	19.02	**	3	26.19	69" 64	8,8	3.5	21	2 7 7
Desident Indirect Cash			30	19.0	- 7. 7. 7.	1.0 8.6	0.0 0.16	76.58	19 19 19	
Resident Implementy Can Non-Resident Indirect and				a 21	22, 81	18.32	2.99	67	<i>37.</i> 62	14.23
		3	1	12.71	82.021	09.721	60.17	07.251	169.16	129.50
FINE ARTS	1		5		() 9	14.39	69.49	13.87	42.59	11.30
Direct Can	2 2 2	7 2 P 6		: 4 : -	2	900	13.61	2.72	15.83	4.8
Resident Indirect Cash Resident Indirect Cash	2 2		2.2	69 . II	64.61	13.24	58.23	8.7	30.72	10.34
Non-Resident Indirect and		1.14	15.61	6.40	12.30	8.23	19.2	0.74	12.06	4.06
		2 11	9 10	20.22	16.62	R. R	146.94	25.03	101.20	29.90

TABLE XX (Continued)

Carlocke Property				Per Srudent Errolled in School	Popular in the	C Per Studier Errolled in School	Per Student Course in Program	D For Student School in School	Re Suders Program	# Per Student Errolled in School	F Per Structure Course in Program	Per Student Errolled in School
HOME ECONOMICS			2			97 U	8 2	12.11	8.52			64.3
Protect Carls Resident Indirect Carls			87	1.98 1.98	191 1 - 6	19.57 19.57	7.33	2	6.11	1.45 6.03 3	5.50 22.17 10	1.72
Nurresident Indirect and Indirectory Carls		Ŋ	14.63	8.6		9.42	15.0	6.12	28.49		а. Л	8.9
Tend Casi Per Sindani	142.99	07.61	65.33	S. 8	88 .76	<i>1</i> 9.67	136.63	15.5	178.08	28.21 9	% .29 3	19.8
MATHEMATICS Direct Cash	N . 0	5.5	10. X	8. 8	(C. 44	JL . 12	% .8	£.3			K 89.95	8.8
Revident Indirect Carl Revident Inglomentary Carl	9.9	15.02	2.29	19. CA	8.A.	1.19 38.60	0.11 27.64	0.19 51.05	12 26 12 26	3.20 59.13 2	23.66 34	16.95
Nen-resident Indirect and Implementary Cash		28.22	17.50	д. Х	39 . I I	13.71	39. IC	58.89	19.00 1	24.93 2	20.42 34	34.46
Tenel Cast Per Student	129.80	142.61	75.97	131.40	19.59	<u>19</u> .9	55.65	175.45	111.77	146.26 8	41 16.68	14.10
MOJERN LANGUAGES Direct Cent	09' 16	15.41	3° 8	12.51	17.18	18.52	4.14	04.91			31.54 3	39.15
Resident Indirect Cash Resident Inglementary Cash		64. 52	27.52 28.52	2.61 8.59	2.13 30.28	0.76 12.46	2.04 32.15	0.94 13.01	25.28 56.38	1.34 18.25 2	23.10 24	25.2
Non-resident Indirect and Inglementary Cash	13.12	11.74	80.8 1	6.89	27.61	4.4	90 . A	19.01	23.78	02.7	Z 16.91	22.39
Tened Carl Pr. Suckers	1	51.46	11.98	8.6	104.J	36.17	12.21	48.36	144.91	51.18 7	74.58 87	7.48

TABLE XX (Continued)

11

Curicides Proper		G Per Student Evalled in School	Per South	M Per Student School in School	Per Souther - Program	Per Soudent Evolled in School	Per Student Course in Program	Per Shudder Errolled in School	Per Soudar K Course in Program	The School in School in School
HOME ECONOMICS	3 6	3	8	99° 51	ς. ε	98 . / I	8.8	12.17	10.73	19.9
Direct Cash Buildeat Indirect Cash	r i B	8.0		1.31	17.92	5.0	4.74	8.0	2.91 19	8.8
Resident Inglomentary Cash	64.48	5.4	28.24	10.06	% .%	9°.8	R. 7	Q. 1	1.0	6.0
Number liter Indirect and Implementary Cash	19.51	997.1	15.64	5.49	18.24	5.34	4.28	0.85	18.05	2.38
Tonal Car Per Sudent	14.14	8.EZ	98.24	31.92	124.08	£7. £	106.43	8.2	133.94	15.38
MATHEMATICS			8	3	9, 9 1	67 K9	42.24	52.62	17.94	14.42
Direct Cath				2 9		8	0.0	8.0	90.0	0.0
Resident Indianaci Lans	8	41.25	04.62	42.68	24.67	35.56	X .X	49.00	N.K	64.14
Non-Ferident Indirect and Implementery Cash	10.29	12.48	16.63	23.28	15.33	n.n	3.41	4.72	13.09	17.98
Total Cast Par Student	X .=	99.911	95.47	130.50	% .10	121.56	10.18	00.701	17.29	116.95
MODERN LANGUAGES		2	4	2 8	\$ 5	16.00	51.26	26.24	45.21	11.62
Direct Cats	79' I 9	6 6	i 8 9 -		10.0	22.0	1.1	09.0	1.8	0.93
Resident Inducti Lan Resident Implementory Cash	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	13.52	27.49	62.61	25.22	21.36	42.9	16.71	19.22	19.89
Non-resident Indirect and Implementary Cath	12.84	4.09	85. 21	10.52	15.73	13.27	4.14	1.90	12.80	18.7
	8 (11	4.0	R. 19	82.04	82.58	23.65	15.99	48.51	22.36	51.74

•
TARE XX (Certimed)

Caritode Page		A For Synchro School in School in	Parts in the	Per Srudent Errolled in School	Per Student Course in Program	Per Student Errolled in School	Per Souther	Per Student Erralled in School	Per Soudare Program	 Per Student Errolled in School 	Per Student Course in Program	Per Southert Enrolled in School
mysical education		i	8	2	8	* 7	2	23.30			25.74 2	26.09
Direct Cash	3.3	31.78	57.12	9.5		AC C	8	1.1				69"
Revident Indirect Cash	2 2 2	3.5 8.7	2.81	21.03	22.2	ж.ж	15.85	31.77	18.57 2	24.97 2		<i>6</i> .
Non-resident Indirect and		5	08,11	16.87	7.37	67.11	18.28	36.6 5	7.82	10.93	18.17 2	21.19
Implementary Lars	7.0			5	3	8 6	55.76	28.29	51.82	49.04	8	73.89
Tenel Car Per Suder	8.8	76.37	2.2	3.4								
science			1	;		01 75	20	58.49				79.99
Dir ect Conh	26.82	97.E7	A. 3	51 / 8 7 91	8 8 8	- 95 er	4.18	60.9	12.5	_	4.23	5.91
Renderal Indirect Carl	7.7 8		23.14	. F. 8	37. FR	42.09	30.85	40.93		65.3 1 ²		A.8
Non-resident Indirect and			5	28.69	69 . [14.95	35.59	12.21	21.45 2	27.54 2	20.61 2	24.93
Indimentary Carl	8.2	N. 15						2	8 61	174.82 9	1 66.56	26.38
Tenel Carl Per Sudent	135.58	17.9	2.43	124.15	N.69	2.01	10 -01-					
								:			44 17	2.26
SOCIAL STUDIES	41.95	5 .2	99.25	60.05	45.11	57.39	37.85	4 .59				0.25
Resident Indirect Cash		1.69	0. E	3.81	2.8 2.8	2.62 44.28	86 28.42	33.88 88.00	41.42	51.60	23.46	3.3
Rendent Implementary Cash		YC. NO	9	2	1			:			22	20.14
Non-resident Industry on	AT. 11	42. IE	23.30	22.10	25,11	15.73	22.79	39.08	/4. /1	0/*17		
	1	4 51	5 101	04. 201	91.24	120.02	100.12	119.79	1 27.011	128.40	11.06	8.8
Total Cost Per Success	R	2.2										

TABLE XX (Continued

Curicide Negra				Ter Sector	Per Studies Course in Program	Per Southard Enrolled in School		Per Southers Enrolled in School in	Proper in	Per Souther Errolled in Xchool in
									5 6	16. A
mysical education	5		22.24	F . A	29-92	17.0	9 9 9 9		9	4.17
Direct Cash Baildent Indirect Cash	8.7	2	4.5	- F 2 X	7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.12	21.16	28.56	16.56	19. 12
Brident Indonesiary Carls	27.12					13.09	2.04	2.76	6.50	10.86
	4.4	2. 8.	A.E						5	59.65
tand Cas Par Suchard	4.53	45.77	81.18	60.41	49.69	12.24	49.4 8	7.9	2	
					,	:	2 C	22.03	46.22	61.13
SCIENCE		EE. 14	58.9	10. 15	52.15	,	2.7 7 7		2.53	3.35
Direct Cash Revident Indirect Cash	.	2.0	5.18 5.18	2 X 2 X	5.7 8		17.2	18.37	0C. CC	51.56
Resident Indonesies Carl		с. Я	2			8	\$	4 44	70.61	20.24
Namesident Interest on	14.6	16.9	14.91	87.61	14.22	24.02		;		
	2	18	62.69	19.611	8.8	137.45	82.23	107.54	8.12	136.32
	5									i
SOCIAL STUDIES		:	8	5	27.75	81.98	1214	40.27	13. 1 13. 14	55.76 0.43
Direct Carls				8	0.62	120	0.17	9.9 9		
Revident Indirect Certs	9 9 9 9	9 R	70	35.82	27.18	20.17	ж.%	77.7E	ax	8, 8
Revident Inglome. Acry Carls Num-revident Indirect and				10	90 . 61	66.91	3.37	3.60	12.63	19.10
Implementary Carls	8.59	N. 4						7	80 76	123.95
	2	19.00	24.49	96. 611	8.8	114 .81	N. 08	3 .9		

.

199

TARE XX (Curlined

Controller Negre]	UE			Product of the second s		President Eveloatin Fredied Fr		
	s 32	Eventied in School	j				2			Zeee		
AGRICIA TURE Direct Can	H. 44	8.	41	7,6	6.7	£, 6						
Reiches Indirect Carb	9.12 1.12	9. - 0	2.4 10.4	10.0	8.9	3.5						
Numerician Indirect and Indonemery Carls	C7. C01	0*.0	15,85	2.45	24.33	1.2						
Tand Car Pr Suder	24. 1801	6 7°6	17.90	14.45	204.19	H. H						
BUSINESS EDUCATION		5	X 17			15.45	8.1	24.97	51.62	6/ T	8 9 8	1.5 1.5
Direct Casts Resident Indirect Casts	5 8 F	, 5 1 5 2 1	22.22	1.92	8 %	2 - 73 29 - 73	- 7 8 9	6.0 29.02	8. 7 2. 3	8.8 8	64.61	ä
Resident Inglomentary Care Nen -resident Indirect and			2	X (1	11.61	0.6	30.11	M. 62	19.40	16.45	16.83	124
Inglementary Carls	R'A	8.6				2 2	17	76.27	124.89	103.55	83.21	19:62
Tend Cor Per Suches	17.121	157.00		 8 •								
COMMERCIAL COOKING	124 4	64. 4			82.25	2.19			8.4 8.5	2.78		
Revident Indirect Cash		14.1			x 8 x 8	19 .0			5.8	3.7		
Non-resident Indirect and		1.24			20.90	61.0			15.42	1.3		
		24 11			10.54	4.12			130.12	9.92		

TARE XX (Centered

		Concellent in School in the		H Per Student Ebrelled in School	Property in	Per Structure School of in a		School in the		
Aceicutute Direct Cen Direct Cen Devicent Indirect Cen							98, 08 25, 81 18, 73	54.9 19.1 12.4	87. 8 16. 29 20. 19	8.8 3.77 3.59
Non-Reviders Indirect and Indenemery Cats							6.54	0.43	25.13	14.1
Total Carl Par Student							65" 1 / 1	16.28	214.52	16.53
BUSINESS EDUCATION Direct Carls Raident Indirect Carls Raident Inglementery Carls	25.05 18.05	2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	46.41 26.14 26.14	34.89 2.17 24.61	177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177	47, 18 65, 1 12, 81	98.97 1971-07	4 H 4 H	41.44 1.16 29.85	4:5 4:1 4:4
Non-Revident Indirect and Indianements Carls	9.12	6.16	14.78	13.42	58. 81	10.26	3.13	92.6	11,72	16.83
Total Cast Par Student	91.12	A. 92	8.2	10.25	4.001	59.8 9	80.74	75.08	M.17	106.60
COMMENCIAL COOKING Direct Cath Berident Indirect Cath	2 2 5 8 9 5	2.64	2.52 2.75 29	3.7 0.19 2.32	8.7 15.1 15.2	1 28.0 86.0	87.111 82.111 82.01	3.98 86.0 04.1		
Non-Resident Indirect and Implementary Cash		9.35	16.91	1.2	26.43	0.24	9. 0	0.14		
المتلفية المناقبة المنطقية	8.81	6.6	102.42	7.55	151.70	87.1	231.28	6.20		

(Curine)
ž
IMME

Contraction Program		A Street in the second		Per Studied Errelied in School	Per Sudan	Per Student Evalled in School	Per Shadea Course in Program	Per Studies School in School in	Trees of	kr Subart Param Erralled in Course in School Program	
ADD Alterna									21.14	ð ð. 0	
Direct Can	1. 1. 1.	5) 9 5) 9 7							16.27	67.1	
Relident Indonenary Call	85 . 181	\$.							8.1	64.0	
Inclementary Cash	14.39	8 .0							11.0	87.2	
Total Carl Per Student	420.35	13.36									
Deafling	8	12.95	A. 3	0.11	2.2	61 6	8.69	10.02	64.991 28.25	4.8 1.3	
Direct Cars Resident Indirect Cars		99" I 84 E	17 8 17 8	R S	- 2 5 8	4.17	53.66	4.01	148.20	1.46	
Rei den Inglononiery Cells Norrisident Indirect and	_		1 1	6 C. 7	61.61	1.48	16.18	1.63	62.49	19.0	
Inglementary Carls	64. K			1	14 15	19.21	10.53	18.94	£23.03	8.42	
Total Cort Per Student	17.657	14.64	8) FZI	6.3							
BECTRICAL Direct Cuts	U. HZ	61.71	233.71	19.1	78.59	11.00	69.99 3.18	10.01 12.0	12.01	8.9 8.6	
Revident Indirect Cash	8.8	2.5			2.35	15.21	48.27			? .•	
Resident Implementary care Non-resident Indirect and			EL 911	<i>a</i> .1	20.15	52.1	55.68	5.17	27.33	28.1	
Inglementary Carls	8. 8				120.16	18.91	176.12	20.22	175.27	19.61	
Total Cor Per Sudes	607.96	24.07	2								

TABLE XX (Contrard)

		L'ELE			j					
COSMETOLOOY Direc Can Distant Indirec Can	33	5 8 0 0					6.91 6.91	0.16 2.13	8	***
Reiden Indonesey Con Non-Patient Infinet of	-	8. - 65°0					8	F	6, 8	15.1
Tent Car Pr Sudar	134.18	N.1					174.11	a H	219.612	1.8
DavFING Direct Carl Davider Indirect Carl	<u>x</u> =1	6.4 6.2	5 = 0 5 = 0	9.9 9.9	9 J R 9 0 0	8.2 21.0 21.7	3 2 4 2 4 4 2 4 4	3.49 0.10 2.45	57.021 25.21	2
Reident Indennery Con	-	2		5	N -1	5	4.51	0.24	8.1	27
Tend Car Pr Suder	A.12	6.7	12.01	N.8	K. 20	u.a	15"611	4	26.92	15.30
LECTHCAL Direct Can Painter Ladicot Can Painter Inducet Can Painter Inducet Can	2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45 2.45	2.8 7 7	12.10 12.10 54.23	10"4 10"1	21 H H H H H H	57.5 81.1 19.0	61. IZ 64. 83 01. 83	₹, E ₹, L ₹, L	23.25 4.23 4.21	5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Nex-Resident Indirect and Implementary Cash		9 .0	4.4	87 I	8.8	97° 0	ð. ð	0.18	51.12	1.06
	5.01	8.4	50.73	14.55	24.45	6.3	172.10	7.20	24-445	21.77

TABLE XX (Centured

Caritola Napa												
GINERAL	2,3	3.5			ন স	80.71					83. 83.	17. 81 20. E
Resident Indirect Carb	9.55	5			N . U	15.21					57 65	0
Numerican Indiana and	10 .02	8.0			£.4	15.5					N.N	5.7
Terel Cast Per Student	8.4	5.10			19.85	29.19					12.19	8.8
MECHANICAL Direct Cash Register Melanet Cash	2 2 5 2 3 3	20.13 9.24 5.42	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	88.4	8 2 4 2 8 8 8	8.44	X 8 7	87 67 64 197 69	779 779	5 N.C.		
Numeral ideal Indiana		2.67	15.08	8.2 R	19-21	2.3	N.N	7.85	24.91	4.19		
Teral Cast Per Soudant	12.04	a.a	47.69 C	1. X	8.21	8.¥	17.41	N . A	34.921	8.15		
MEDIA TECHNOLOGY Direct Can Registeri Indirect Can Registeri Indirect Can Normalisteri Indirect Can												
Tered Carl Per Suders												

TALE XX (Curture)

	j					Projection in the second secon		Pr South		
OBVEAL										
Direct Cash	9. 5	7				25	8.2			
Reident Inglementery Cent	97.14	11.7			9.9	8.	77" 69	67-9		
Non-Brideat Indiact and Indiananery Cash	25. BI	2.15			% .17	4.91	5.4	34.0		
Total Cast Per Studient	169.35	71.15			12.50	17.90	15.421	21.74		
MECHANICAL					1	5	ş Ş	67 IL	2	8 4
Detect Can								5		3.5
Britters Indonestry Can	8.7	5	33	8	8	5.14	67° 0	11.76	30.85	12.51
Non-Resident fadirect and Implementary Cash	X.X	27.0	12.36	3.54	24.72	3.20	8,42	1.13	23.54	5.03
Total Cast Per Student	12.52	15.47	246.50	71.95	180.18	28.52	217.49	49.64	<i>1</i> 98.67	52.76
MEDIA TECHNOLOGY			, , ,		1	1			:	i
Direct Cash		2.5	7. E	4 5	8.8 5.3	2 2 2 2			2.2	
Resident Inglomentary Cash	5.18	<u>-</u>	1.1	19-0	8.8	2.5				
Nor-Revident Indirect and Implementary Cath	11.41	0.30	25.14	NE. 0	3,8	2.03			19"6	<u> 1</u>
Tand Car Pr Suday	10.201	8	159.93	2.46	12.40	82.61			8.8	29.6

TARE XX (Carimut)

Curtoder Proper	R Sudda R Su Cours in Eralia Proper	A Total Strategy		Per Sender Grouted in School in	Press in the contract of the c	Per Student Evolled in School		Par Student Stradied in School in		Per Student Errolled in School	Per Southeau Course in Program	R Soutone Errolloud in School
STRUCTURAL Direct Cash			12.86	स् =	4 , 501	a.	8.5	87 II	245.11	4.52		:
Revident Indirect Cash Revident Implementary Cash	-		8.8 8.8	2.49	14.62 74.74	2.78	2.39 53.66	4.0	15. 63 217.70	0.29 0.88		
Non-relident Indirect and Implementary Cash			85.75	35. I	2655	66-0	16.18	4.63	09.16	Q. 37		
Tetal Cart Per Student			398.48	16.77	219.37	13.21	205.63	15.05	570.24	6 .06		
TECHNOLOGY Direct Can Revident Indirect Can Revident Inglementary Can											21 N 2 21	24.12 17.6 00.2
Non-resident Indirect and Implementary Cash											67°8	
Torsi Cort Per Student											456.31	29.23
VOCATIONAL A Direct Carl	10.211	£1.02							त. १ २	D (1		
Resident Indirect Lath Resident Implementary Cash	58,14	12.48							27 ZI	5.61		
Non-renderal Indirect and Implementary Cars	24.04	6.23							55.98	2.37		
Total Cost Per Student	20.98	39.66							352.59	20.02		

TARE XX (Certimed)

		Constant School of School		T Per Synday School in 1		Per Studiet Errelled in School		Per Strukter Enrolled in School		School of the
STRUCTURAL				2		9.6	9. 8 51	8 7.6	18-21	21
Direct Cash Baridant Indirect Cash		2	27.60	R	28	8.0 8	8.24 8.24	8. I 8. I	61. 12	2 8. - 6
Resident Implementery Carls Non-Resident Indirect and		59°0	4. 3 7	2.8		a. 0	10.15	0.11	8. %	1.19
Inglementary Cash Tatal Cash Per Sudern	A. 18	4.0 8.7	236.53	a 17	361.13	<i>L</i> .•	314.50	6.24	69 LL	38.21
TECHNOLOGY Direct Cars Resident Indirect Cars			A .81 A .6	8.0 8.9						
Revident Inglementery Cash Non-Revident Indirect and Inclementary Cash	_		8. 9 A. 63	4						
Tonal Cast Par Surdant			26.02	X.X						
VOCATIONAL A Direct Cash Ranident Indirect Cash							21.221 28.12 20.201	10.48 1.56 2.76	X ~ 3	27 2.4 2.4
Kendent Implementary cons Non-Relident Indirect and	•						12.30	0.27	23.62	4.8
there are the test							314.03	15.0	171.04	87.58
Total Car Per Sudent										

TARE XX (Current

Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Sucher Pr Such In Press Schull in French Schull Press Schul									
Andre Pe Sector Pe Sector Pe lind in Course in Enveloed in Co Angreen Scheel in Co									
233	8.4	42" EI	12.7	20.74	58.4	97°7	12.31	2 1 .15	
	611	7.12	12.2	24.41	16.7	5.01	10.4	R .11	
Reverse Reverse									
Crimte Men	Dervite EDUCATION Detect Can	Resident Indiant Carls	Narrenident Indirect and Indianentery Carl	Teel Car Pr Peil	QUIDANCE Direct Certs	Buident Indirect Cash Buident Inglementary Ca	Nurrenidera Indiroci and Ingloremeny Carls	teral Car Per Papil	

TARK XX . (Centure)

 R. Santa R. Santa	
232	
Control to Maria	

DRIVER EDUCATION Direct Cent

Tank Car Pe Sudar

ם כ

OUDANCE Direct Con Direct Con Direct Libror Con Num-Direct Induced Of Num-Direct Induced Of

the proration ratio for student-course-in-program cost for each curricular program in each comprehensive, based on direct instructional dollar volume. The unit costs derived were actual costs per student course, as equivalent course units were not used. Therefore, any comparison between curricular programs or between comprehensives must be computed on the basis of the different credit ratings within each curricular program. For example, to compare the English program to the fine arts program in Comprehensive A, a two-to-one ratio must be considered. All English courses in Comprehensive A were two credit classes, while fine arts courses were one credit.

Table XXI presents the per student-course unit cost range in Total Educational Cost and an arithmetic mean for the population. The academic curricular program with the highest total educational unit cost was fine arts. Home economics and mathematics were in the next highest level at over \$120.00. Christian Ethics had the lowest total educational unit cost, due to the relatively low average salaries of Christian Ethics teachers and the high class enrollments.

Agriculture and technology curricular programs had the highest unit cost in the vocational-technical programs due, primarily, to high direct instructional costs, high indirect instructional costs, and the result of low enrollments in the courses offered in these programs. Business education was the curricular program with the lowest unit cost.

Three cost groups were abserved which did not deviate substantially from those observed in the direct instructional costs. Agriculture, technology,

TABLE XXI

TOTAL EDUCATION UNIT COST PER STUDENT-COURSE RANGE AND ARITHMETIC AVERAGE BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

	Stud	lent-Course Unit (Cost
Curricular Program	Minimum	Maximum	Average
Christian Ethics	\$ 45.46	\$ 45.46	\$ 45.46
English	71.09	180.86	117.73
Fine Arts	69.90	192.33	166.37
Home Economics	65.53	184.44	123.22
Mathematics	75.92	129.80	124.53
Modern Languages	72.31	144.96	99.59
	49.48	129.00	<u>66.26</u>
Physical Education	82.23	132.95	102.50
Science	80.20	123.95	94.58
Social Studies	171.59	1081.95	370.03
Agriculture	80.74	124.89	99.93
Business Education	102.82	307.97	187.09
Commercial Cooking	47.11	420.35	199.12
Cosmetology	102.75	459.43	228.64
Drafting	170.16	607.86	303.21
Electrical	75.61	159.34	138.33
General	127.44	494.71	242.89
Mechanical	68.35	182.01	133.23
Media		398.48	326.10
Structural	205.63	456.34	356.19
Technology	256.04	352.59	271.41
Vocational	171.04	24.41	24.41
Driver Education	24.41	17.23	17.23
Guidance	17.23	17.23	,, ,24

-

structural and electrical were in the highest-cost group. The middle cost programs were commercial cooking, cosmetology, drafting, general, and Vocational A, in the vocational-technical subjects and home economics and mathematics in the academic subjects. The least-cost programs were Christian Ethics, English, modern languages, physical education, science and social studies in the academic area and business education in the vocationaltechnical programs.

On an individual basis, Comprehensive A had generally high program unit costs. The total program costs in other comprehensives varied over a wide range as a result of teachers' salaries, class enrollments, and resident and nonresident implementary costs.

A second unit cost is presented in Table XXI, the "per student-enrolled unit cost by curricular program." This unit cost identified the relative unit cost of offering a particular curricular program in the comprehensives. The unit cost mirrored the proportional enrollment emphasis given to the courses, and reflected the unit cost of offering the program within the total program cost of the school.

Table XXII provides the range and arithmetic mean of the Total Educational Cost per student-enrolled, based on student enrollment.

In this instance, the usual cost trend was reversed on the basis of student enrollment. The courses with the lowest enrollment produced the lowest per student-enrolled costs. The lowest-cost group included commercial cooking, media, structural, electrical, drafting, cosmetology, and agriculture. The highest cost group contained English, mathematics, science, social studies and

TABLE XXII

TOTAL EDUCATIONAL UNIT COST PER STUDENT-ENROLLED RANGE AND ARITHMETIC AVERAGE BY CURRICULAR PROGRAMS

	Stude	ent-Enrolled Unit	Cost
Curricular Program	Minimum	Maximum	Average
Christian Ethics	58,35	58.35	58.35
English	93.96	167.76	137.39
Fine Arts	8.52	69.87	30.45
Home Economics	15.38	70.67	29.70
Mathematics	104.84	144.10	130.69
Modern Languages	30.60	116.95	53.15
Physical Education	49.04	92.83	63.98
Science	82.23	174.82	134.27
Social Studies	80.20	153.40	112.56
Agriculture	9.89	16.67	15.14
Business Education	59.89	157.80	80.18
Commercial Cooking	4.12	11.67	6.76
Cosmetology	2.78	14.62	10.46
Drafting	6.48	27.92	15.26
Electrical	6.36	24.69	15.50
General	5.10	36.41	26.25
Mechanical	15.49	52.76	34.96
Media	2.66	13.28	7.95
Structural	4.90	20.51	11.66
	25.56	29.23	27.40
Technology Vocational	15.47	45.68	31.80
Driver Education	20.74	20.74	20.74
Guidance	33.85	33.85	33.85

213

-

business education, which were the programs with the highest student enrollment.

This Table revealed the general pattern of expenditure in the various curricular programs within the comprehensives, determined by student enrollment. All academic courses, with the exception of fine arts and home economics, received greater student enrollment emphasis than the vocational-technical courses, with the exception of business education.

Per Student Costs by Grade and Division

Table XXIII provides a breakdown of the per student-enrolled Total Educational Costs by grade, grade division and comprehensive.

Comprehensive C was the only school offering a grade eight program. The total education cost for students in grade eight was \$847.15. In the four comprehensives offering a complete grade nine program, the average cost per student-enrolled was \$841.48. Costs within individual comprehensives ranged from \$704.92 in Comprehensive G to \$958.32 in Comprehensive I. This variation in costs resulted mainly from direct instructional costs. In the Total Educational Cast for Division III, the average per student enrolled was \$842.37.

Division IV grade ten Total Education Costs per student-enrolled varied from \$1,073.10 in Comprehensive A to \$741.34 in Comprehensive G with an average grade ten educational cost of \$890.23 for the population. Grade eleven costs ranged from \$1,125.83 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive A to \$721.75 in Comprehensive G with an average cost per student-enrolled of \$886.09 for all grade eleven students in the population. Grade twelve Total TABLE XXIN

ESTIMATED TOTAL PER-STUDENT ENGLIED EDUCATIONAL COSTS BY GIADE AND SCHOOL

	۲	-	U	٥	COMPRE E	COMPREHENSIVES E F	o	I	-	-	×
OLANDE B Direct Car Review Indirect Car Review Indirect Car Nor-review Indirector Carl Per Student						86, 155 87, 85 16, 81 81, 301 81, 301					
GADE 9 Direct Carl Revident Indirect Carl Revident Inglementery Carl Numerident Inglementery Carl Carl Per Sudere						400.20 23.75 215.41 215.41 166.14 805.70	8.7% 4.1% 5.% 8.%	27.82 27.85 24.85 24.85 24.05 24.05			
GLADE 10 Direct Cat Revident Indirect Cat Revident Inglomaniery Cat Non-revident Inglomaniery Cat Cat Per Sudent	54.84 54.84 92.085 77.161 77.101		4 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2	419.00 14.00 15.00 1024.75	12.04 12.04 12.05 12.05 12.05 1004.29	301,79 23,75 16,215 16,114 11,801 29	84.85 84.85 25.125 24.87 81.87	¥. 92 4. 82 8. 82 8. 82 8. 82 8. 82	11.23 22.28 240.29 16.941 16.941		878 878 14 88 14 88 14 88 14 88 14 88 14 88

TARE XXIII (Continued

	۲	-	U	٥	COMPRE	COMPREHENSIVES E F	s v	Ŧ	-	-	Ж
GADE 11											
]	1.18	1.5	04.200	20. 102	25-642	20,30	18.95	21.35	450.16	19.95	97" N4
Buritors Indiana Can	9.0	5	2.3	38.91	8.6	23.75	4.4	3 . 8	22.30	23.59	8.8
Reident Inglannary Cat	£7.96		8. E	7.6	51.15 X 41	215.61	27.12 27.12	255. 25	240.27	8.92	
Non-resident Inglananery Cat	17 141		1.01								
Carl Per Sudant	55. 2511	14.124	810.15	66" 626	02.629	59.159	55122	858.23	862.12	846.17	18.249
GLADE 12											
		8		70 L 07		10 101	204.74	446.79	459.12	344.87	0.24
Direct Cast			<u>-</u> 5		3 8 7	27.52	4	24	22.38	23.59	3.8
		2	8	17.542	255.13	215.61	29.122	255.86	240.27	350.08	197222
Nervesident Inglementary Car	161.77	201.50	105.17	315.43	57.641	186.14	76.06	09.961	149.31	ж.л	<u>13.051</u>
Carl Per Student	1124.05	915.90	69.10	69.069	98 . 168	00. 958	89.857	79.088	80. I <i>V</i> 1	<i>ttt.13</i>	89" 2%
AGGREGATED PER STUDENT COST											
Division III						00. /38	794.102	07.019	958.32		
Division 1V	N. 7801	18.224	04.908	962.16	942.70	855.38	89.867	77.088	90° 1 / Ø	825.16	62 656
Division III and IV	1001.24	18.229	07.928	962.16	02°296	20, 638	28.827	888 .09	8 91 73	8 25.16	959 .79

Educational Costs per student-enrolled averaged \$878.87 in the population with variation of from \$1,124.05 in Comprehensive A to \$738.68 in Comprehensive G. The Division IV per student-enrolled total educational cost ranged from \$1,087.40 in Comprehensive A to \$738.68 in Comprehensive G with an average per student-enrolled cost of \$883.93.

The Total Educational Cost per student-enrolled in Division III and IV in the population was \$882.03.

A distinct pattern of total educational expenditure emerged as a result of the findings. Comprehensive A had the highest total education grade costs and Comprehensive G had the lowest grade costs. Grade costs in Comprehensives D and E were greater in grade ten than in grades eleven and twelve mainly as a result of the grade ten program being the most highly developed program in these comprehensives, which began full operation in the 1970–1971 school year.

As in sub-problem seven the derived total per student costs were of little value because of the failure to account for three factors in grade enrollment data: (1) the lack of a standard unit for a grade-enrolled student, (2) the practice of students taking courses at grade levels other than the grade level in which they are enrolled, and (3) the practice of administrators designating students enrolled in the highest grade in which they took classes. These factors combined to produce decreasing costs from grade ten to grade twelve and from grade eight to grade nine rather than the opposite trend which was established in the analysis of direct instructional cost.

Total Estimated per Student-Enrolled Costs by a Modified Function-Object-Expenditure Classification

Table XXIV was derived from the aggregated cost figures given in Table XL (Appendix G). Table XXIV converted the expenditure figures in Table XL to unit costs and provided a percentage ratio showing the relation of the expenditure in each category to the total unit cost for each comprehensive. Those expenditure series in Figure 1 pages 35 and 36 which had no cost entries were omitted in this table, e.g. Attendance services.

Expenditure Series 200, Instruction costs amounted to a population average of \$606.87 per student-enrolled, constituting 68.80 per cent of the total expenditure. Total instruction costs varied from \$688.88 in Comprehensive A to \$526.41 in Comprehensive D, with an allied percentage variation from 78.39 per cent in Comprehensive J to 54.71 per cent in Comprehensive D. Comprehensive D was the only one in the population charged with pupil transportation costs, resulting in a low instructional percentage. Comprehensive J was not charged with debt service, resulting in the high instructional percentage.

Teachers salaries 211, included the following costs, direct instructional, specific preparation, auxiliary service function, and supervisional costs. This category varied from 48.62 per cent in Comprehensive E to 65.03 per cent in Comprehensive C with an average of 52.19 per cent. The cost varied from \$410.57 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive D to \$582.00 in Comprehensive K with a resulting average of \$460.39.

Salaries of local administrators, which includes all administrative salary

TABLE XXIV

AVERAGE ESTIMATED PER STUDENT-ENROLLED COSTS IN EACH OF THE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS BY A MODIFIED FUNCTION - OBJECT EXPENDITURE CLASSIFICATION

l

Erporditure Series	Percent	Cost Per Student	Prcent	Cost Per Student	C Percent	Cast Per Student	Dercent	Cost Per Student Errolment	E Percent	Cost Per Student Ervolment	1 Percent	F Cast Per Student Enrolment
		Lroimen	;		5	22.93	3.87	91. <i>T</i> E	3.47	17 17	3.52	30.19
100 ADMINISTRATION	2.2 2		5, 95 20 25	کی. مر کلا، کل	65.03	545.22	49.51	476.34	56.20	529.65	58.35	459.52
200 INSTRUCTION 210 Service 211 Teachers	01.15	20.05 12.18 20.25	6.03 6.03	8. 2 5 8. 36	54 .58	53.62 53.63	42.69 4.04	410.74 38.90	48.62 5.06	458.35 47.67	52.14 4.12	446.33 35.29
212 Achimitrators 213 Criter Instr. Staff al. Librac	8	14.15	1.85	17.06	0 7 .1	8.11	2.05	19.76	1.48	13.99	0.87	7.20
	2.02	21.94	1.02	6.43	2.65	22.27	0.72	6.95	1.04	9.84	0.95	8.19
el Crher 1. Internal Sub 2. Sub Teacher	0.16	1.75	0.23	2.12			0.41	3.97	0.19	1.85	0.10	0.67
215 Clerical & Bus Sup. Sieff	3.28	35.68	1.73	15.93	2.03	17.02	1.85	17.75	1.99	18.51	2.00	17.12 16.21
216 AV & TV Technician 200 Library Equipment & Sup.	05.1	14.16	0.93 64.0	8.57 3.93	0.15 0.09	1.27	0.23 0.16	2.44	1.43	13.29 9.66	0.65	5.5 5.5 5.5
220 AV EQUIP. SUPPLIES The ETV Equip. & Supplies 220 Juny: Equip. & Supplies 220 General Equip & Supplies	77.E	14. 19.12	2.43	22.62	1.74	14.59 16.21	1.15	80.11 00.11	2.39 3.99	22.55 37.58	2.36 1.36 26	20.25 20.25
253 Other 251 Computer Centre 701AL (Instructional) 500 Pupil Transportation	11.0 85.83	1.16 688.88	0.60 59.46	5.61 548.77	6.80 47.17	c	54.71 8.00	526.41 76.94	8. 73	639.14	64.76	597 .62
600 P. A.V.T. CPERATION 610 Selecter	7.87	72.28	18. 1	4.1	5.31	44.52	5.04	48.48	5.04	1 ,52	4.31	36.87

TARE XXIV (Contrard)

•			-		-	-				×	Population	rtion
	Pr ca			Cast Par Southers Freedman	Te contraction of the contractio		2012	Cast Per Soudent Errolment	Percent	Cast Per Student Errolment	N	Car Product Pr
100 ADMINISTRATION	5.26	8 8	3.6	35.19	3.91	2.2	2.60	21.43	2.74	26.25	3.56	31.45
200 INSTRUCTION	3.3	469 .74	65.49	04, 182	н .н	19"645	61.30	530.60	19.03	582.00	59.72	
210 Saleries		* 744	4 0	97 947	0.0	02° 905	54.42	64. 644	50.97	469.18	52.19	46.04
211 leorhen 212 Administraten	<u>,</u> , ,	9. 7 .) 1	4.4	2.0	16.25	9	19.53	5.10	48.99	4.7	4.7
213 Other Inst. Staff	5	11 14	8	Å.25	24.0	18. 5	R	14.04	1.14	10.95	1.24	10.95
	2.19	15.98				1	0.20	20.1	1.27	12.19	G .0	3.76
c) Guidance	2.7	26.61	2.80	24.28	2.67	8. 13	1.92	15.88	2.15	20.68	8 6' I	X. >
	0.55	4.8	1	X ~		N . E	97.0	2.22			0.26	2.35
					2							
215 Clerical & bu Sue. Suff	2.30	16.72	1.74	15.52	1.93	17.29	2.80	23.14	1.0	17.95	2.13	0.91
216 AV & IV Technicians			0.33	2.%	0.36	3.37	1.20	9.93			9.0 9.0	
230 Library Equipment & Sup.	1.19	1 .6	98.0	7.60	9.9	5.0	1.07	89.8	0.89			
235 AV Equip. Supplier	1.26	9.13	0.18	8 2. I	8.0	2.24	9.0	4.93	9 9	5.39	2.0 2.1	8.4
236 ETV Equip. & Supplier	0.25						VE.0	90.E		:	6.13 0	>: - {
240 Int. Equip. & Supplie	29.5	24.25	دی ا	13.54	1.62	14.50	2.73	22.49	3.3	9. 2	2.51	27.15
242 General Eaulo & Supplier	8	19.51	2.54	22.52	2.39	21.27	3.07	25.X	28.1	17.49	2.32	R
250 Other	1.3	9.55	14.1	12.58	Q . 1	12.53	1.88	15.48	2.60	24.98	1.12	8.0
251 Computer Centre	99.1	19.11									0.17	8.
TOTAL (Instructional)	79.77	54. <i>C</i> 95	74.46	861.28	72.15	61.628	78.39	18. 344	71.76	688.77	12.17	628.13
300 PUPIL TRANSPORTATION											• 16.0	3.8
600 PLANT OPERATION 610 Selector	6.21	AL. 24	45. E	97. 16	3 .6	95, 15	8.14	<i>6</i> 7.17	6.17	59.22	5.33	10.0

TABLE XXIV (Continued)

begandlines Sarias	Pice	A Cast Per Student Errolman	Press	Cast Per Student Errolment	Percent	Cost Per Student Errolment	Percent	D Cast Per Student Errolment	Percent	E Cast Per Student Errolment	Percent	F Cast Per Student Errolment
	9¢ 1	10 71	1 05	9.75	2.75	23.06	2.07	19.91	1.53	14.45	0.67	5.78
	8	5	9	2) 6		2.59	24.89	6.86	64.57	2.59	22.17
b) Legni & remer		2.5	2,0	2.36	0.22	2.2	0.08	0.83	0.42	3.98	0.17	4 .
	8.0	2.16	0.14	8.	0.0	0.75	0.25	2.39	9.34	3.24	0.15	15.1
650 Supplies a) Castadial	52.0	7.92	24.0	4.16	0.85	7.10	U .1	13.20	0.1	13.81	0.39	5. 2. 2.
b) Other Att Tatal Farme Conju & Conj					2.25	18.91					m .o	77.0
TOTAL (Man Operation)	14.41	0.111	10.01	<i>0</i> 8.66	وکر ۱۱	97.10	07.11	109.72	15.65	10.51	8.30	51.13
700 PLANT MAINTENANCE											۲ د	2
720 Repair & Replace	1.46	15.85	54.0	5.8	نې .۱	17,61	0.8 0	0.62			90	•/*0
101AL (Plan Mai nenaca)	44.1	15.85	59.0	5.86	دی . ا	13.71	0.0	59 .0			0.78	6.74
BOD FIXED CHARGES	06.1	20.02	2.41	22.28	1.54	12.94	0.99	9.60	1.17	10.97	1.48	12.70
900 FOOD SERVICES 910 Salaries 911 Supply	1.17	12.76	וקיו	14.95	2.50	20.95	1.06	10.17	99-0	6.24		
1000 STUDENT BODY									0.0	0.69		
1200 CAPITAL OUTLAY	0.41	4.50	5.36	49.55	1.95	16.33	0.0	0.0			0.03	1242.56
1300 DEJT SERVICE (Principal & Interest)	12.76	13.801	6.01	70.86	¢.3	52.%	19.92	69" 161	11.19	105.32	16.65	142.56
1400 TAANSFER											0.05	0.45
TOTAL	100.00	1067 .74	00.00	18.229	100.00	04.953	00.001	962.17	100.00	942.70	00.001	856.05

<u>J</u>	
ALE XXIV	
×.	

•

		U		I		-	•	_	-	×	ł	Paulation
	M		Real Prove	Cat Per Stident Evolution	Percent	Cat Pr Sydest Erolass	J	Cost Pr	Peccet	Cast Per Student Errolmant	Prost	Cast Per Student Errolment
40 Uurin									1	2		
	0.0	4.15	J .0	12.9	24.0	8.17	4		8	7.0		10.12
	8	13.61	R . ~	24.02	2.91	25.23	8.1	15.89	6 .	N . A	07.E	
() Tolophone & Parl.	8 .0	1.1	0.15	- R	0.17	3 ,	0.51	4.19	16.0	2.8	0.22	2.8
-	0.21	8	0.24	2.15	0.2	2.2	9 .0	15.6	0.28	2.69	0.23	2.12
650 Supplier											8	
e) Curtadiel	29.0	4.75	0.21	2.	0.23	2.01	95. 0	4.81	14.0	8.	0.57	6 . 0
E Offer	69.0	5.01									0.0	Ŗ
451 Total Energy Supply & Cart.							1	11.77			0.23	3.0
TOTAL (Plant Operation)	10.43	8.8	7.80	42.44	10.8	21.45	14.62	120.67	12.41	95.911	<i>1</i> 0.11	19.79
700 MANT MAINTENANCE			:	:		!					2	1
710 Selorier	;	1	12"O		12.0		1		1		8.2	9 5
720 Repair & Replace	0.0	2. 5	2	8.	R	AC' ::	N.1		2/1	0,0	•	C7-01
101AL (Plan Mainence)	18.0	5.87	4.1	12.40	1.51	13.46	2.37	95. 91	<u>r</u>	92.01	1.24	99°.01
DD FIXED CHARGES	1 .1	71.6	1.24	01.11	1.13	10.00	1.15	12.34	2.46	25.55	1.1	13.57
910 Selevices 910 Selevier 911 Supply	0.45	% .ť	95 .0	5.15	9 .0	4.2	0.52	4.2	0.76	X .1	69.0	6.15
1000 STUDENT BODY												
1200 CAPITAL OUTLAY					1.24	2511			6.0	6.5	49-0	5.84
1300 DEBT SERVICE (Principal & Interest)	68°C	7.8	16.01	8.8	10.45	27.64	8.0	8 .0	12.7	62.98	79" 6	16.20
14:0 TRANSFER	0.0	20									0.0	0.13
101al	90.00	728.45	00.00	90° 908	00.001	£2" 16 0	90.00	825.16	00.001	61.726	00.001	862 .0 3

costs of full-time administrators and the prorated costs of vice-principals and department heads, varied over a large range. Comprehensive C with a proportion of total expenditure of 6.40 per cent, and a cost per student-enrolled of \$53.63 had the highest administrative cost. The high cost was partially the result of the introduction of department heads in a comprehensive of 525 students. Comprehensive A had the lowest percentage of total expenditure for administration at 3.63 per cent and Comprehensive F had the lowest per studentenrolled administrative cost at \$35.29. The average administrative proportion of total expenditure in the population was 4.73 per cent with an average cost of \$41.72 per student-enrolled.

In "Other Instructional Staff", resident library services cost varied from \$19.76 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive D to \$5.81 in Comprehensive I. The proportion of total expenditure varied from 2.05 per cent in Comprehensive D to 0.87 per cent in Comprehensive F. The variation resulted primarily from librarian salaries and the enrollment in the comprehensive. Comprehensive G with two librarians and Comprehensive J with one and onehalf librarians, were the only schools in the population with more than one librarian. The average proportion of total expenditure for library personnel was 1.24 per cent and the average per student-enrolled cost was \$10.95.

Audio-visual and television personnel were employed in four of the eleven comprehensives. Only one full-time television teacher was employed, the remaining costs were proration of teacher-service personnel salaries.

Comprehensive F, which employed the full-time television-teacher had the highest percentage and per student-enrolled cost of 2.19 per cent and \$15.98 respectively. Comprehensive J had the lowest percentage of 0.20 per cent and a per student-enrolled cost of \$1.65. The average cost for the population was \$3.76, and 0.42 per cent the average proportion of total expenditure.

Guidance personnel varied most widely in percentage of total expenditure and cost per student enrolled. This variation resulted from the allocation priorities of the population comprehensives. The following list indicates the Counselling personnel employed in the population:

Comprehensive A	1 and a partial counsellor
Comprehensive B	3 part-time counsellor-teachers
Comprehensive C	1 full-time counsellor
Comprehensive D	1 part-time counsellor-vice principal
Comprehensive E	1 full-time counsellor
Comprehensive F	l and a partial counsellor
Comprehensive G	2 full-time and 4 part-time counsellor-teachers
Comprehensive H	3 full-time counsellors
Comprehensive I	3 full-time counsellors
Comprehensive J	2 full-time counsellors
Comprehensive K	2 full-time and one part-time teacher counsello
	of total expenditure and per student costs were

The lowest percentage of total expenditure and per student costs were determined in Comprehensive D, with the highest percentage of 2.80 per cent and cost per student-enrolled of \$24.28 in Comprehensive H. The average

Y

proportion of total expenses in the population was 1.98 per cent with a per student-enrolled cost of \$17.54 for guidance counselling services.

Comprehensive G was the only comprehensive with time designated for internal substitution. The resulting per student-enrolled cost of \$4.03 and percentage of 0.55 per cent of total expenditure was an aggregated amount representing fifteen minutes per week for each teacher in the comprehensive. This amount represented the greatest expenditure for substitute teacher services in the population. Comprehensives C and K performed internal substitution by staff members at no cost, the time required being taken from teachers' general preparation time as required. The population average for substitute teachers was 0.26 per cent of total expenditures and \$2.35 per student-enrolled.

Clerical and business support staff salaries represented a proportion of 2.13 per cent of total expenditure and an average per student-enrolled cost of \$18.78. Comprehensive A had the highest proportion of total expense and per student cost in this category, while Comprehensive B had the lowest.

Audio-visual and television technicians were employed in four of the population comprehensives. Comprehensive F with 1.89 per cent of total expenditure and \$16.21 per student-enrolled cost, had the highest expenditure, while Comprehensive H had the lowest at 0.38 per cent and \$3.36. The average for the population was 0.45 per cent of total expenditure and \$4.12 per studentenrolled in this category.

Instructional Media equipment and supplies, including library, audiovisual and educational television supplies, represented 1.78 per cent of the population expenditure and a per student-enrolled cost of \$13.82. Library equipment and supplies represented the next highest expenditure of 0.88 per cent, and \$7.77 per student, followed by audio-visual at 0.55 per cent and \$4.88 and educational television at 0.13 per cent and \$1.17.

Instructional equipment and supplies varied from a high in Comprehensive A of 3.77 per cent of total expenditure and a per student-enrolled cost of \$41.07 to a proportion of total expenditure of 1.15 per cent and a per studentenrolled cost of \$11.08 in Comprehensive D. The average unit cost for the population was \$22.15 which constituted 2.51 per cent of the total. As was previously noted (supra : 85) the instructional equipment and supply costs were not accounted in detail in some of the population comprehensives, resulting in instructional costs being aggregated into general equipment and supply costs, particularly in the academic curricular programs of English, mathematics, modern languages, and social studies.

"General Equipment, Supply and Textbook" costs averaged 2.32 per cent of total expenditures and an average per student-enrolled cost of \$20.94, while "Other" expenditures represented only 0.17 per cent of the total and a per student-enrolled expenditure of \$1.56. Expenditures in these two categories varied within the population comprehensives, depending on the accounting system used, and the amount of instructional equipment and supply expenditures apportioned to "General Equipment, Supply and Textbooks."

Comprehensive G was the only comprehensive with an in-system computer centre services. The expenditure relative to Comprehensive G was a prorated

cost based on dollar volume of computer use by the comprehensive and by the other schools and central administration which shared the computer facilities. This service represented a cost of \$11.61 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive G which was 1.60 per cent of the total expenditure of the comprehensive. In terms of population cost, the computer centre represented 0.17 per cent of total expenditure and \$1.56 per student-enrolled. Comprehensives E, F, G, H, I, J, and K all used contracted computer services for timetabling. Within this group, various other contracted computer services were utilized including report cards, attendance services, and accounting procedures. The cost of these computer contracted services were included in the "General Equipment, Supply, Textbook" category.

"Pupil Transportation," representing 7.99 per cent of total expenditure and a per student-enrolled cost of \$76.94, was an expenditure cost in Comprehensive D. Transportation costs to other comprehensives were not an expenditure as discussed previously (supra: 40).

"Plant Operation" costs averaged \$97.64 per student-enrolled and 11.07 per cent of the total expenditure within the population. Wide variations of expenditures were noted throughout the comprehensives ranging from 16.41 per cent of total expenditure and \$178.47 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive A to 7.80 per cent and \$69.24 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive H. The three main categories within "Plant Operation" which resulted in the wide variation of cost were: 610 Salaries, 640a Fuel, and 640b Light and Power. Comprehensives C and J operated total energy plants (supra:41) and had total energy supply and contract services expenditures in addition to the regular 650 Supply costs expended in other comprehensives. Comprehensive J had the cost of fuel broken down into the cost of heating and the cost of generating the electrical light and power used within the comprehensive. This breakdown was not maintained in the accounting system in Comprehensive C.

The average cost per student-enrolled of "Plant Maintenance" was \$10.71 representing 1.21 per cent of total expenditures. Salary costs in this category were experienced only in Comprehensives H and I. The remainder of the population had the maintenance services performed by the plant caretaking personnel, or contracted the required services. The relatively short period of time that the comprehensives have been in operation tended to minimize expenditures in this category, but as the use of plant increases, a proportionate increase in maintenance costs should be experienced.

"Fixed Charges" averaged \$13.57 per student-enrolled and 1.53 per cent of the total population. Variation within the population was the result of insurance costs and private employee benefit plans.

The cost of supplies for "Food Services" was not considered in this study, as food service supplies in general, were directly proportional to food services sales, and as a result did not represent an operational expenditure for the comprehensive. Salaries for this category represented an operational expenditure for the population comprehensives and were included. The salary expenditure for food service personnel averaged 0.69 per cent of the total expenditure of the population and a \$6.15 cost per student-enrolled. Within the population comprehensives, expenditures for this category were less than one per cent with the exception of comprehensives A, B, C, and D, with the highest cost of \$20.95 per student-enrolled, representing 2.50 per cent of the total expenditure in Comprehensive D. Comprehensive F did not operate food services.

"Debt Service Principal and Interest" varied widely throughout the population from no expenditure being made by the administrative body of Comprehensive J, to an expenditure of \$191.69 per student-enrolled and 19.92 per cent of total operating expenses in Comprehensive D. The average cost of debt service was \$85.31 per student-enrolled and 9.67 per cent of total population expenditures.

"Capital Outlay" from current revenue averaged 0.66 per cent of the total expenditure, a per student-enrolled cost of \$5.84. This category was not an expenditure charge in Comprehensives D, E, G, H, and J.

"Transfer" charges were made in four Comprehensives F, G, H, and I with only the transfer accounts being included in the study for comprehensives F and G as previously discussed (supra: 192).

The average "Total Expenditure" for the population was \$882.03 per student-enrolled with the average cost per student varying from \$1,087.74 in Comprehensive A to \$728.65 in Comprehensive F. The factors resulting in this variation have been broken down in the expenditure series, and a detailed

analysis of the variation within comprehensives was not included in this study for reasons of space.

Total Costs in a Program Structure

Table XXV presents the twenty-three curricular program costs by functional category in a program budget format based on curricular organization. The raw totals give an estimated total cost for twenty- three curricular programs, guidance and driver education being partial non-credit programs in Comprehensive B.

"Direct Instructional Expenditure" included the instructional time and prorated general preparation time of teachers. This expenditure column represented the exact costs of direct instruction for each curricular area. "Indirect Instructional Expenditure" included the specific preparation time of the teaching staff and the supply costs in each curricular area. The remainder of teacher salary costs in the service function, auxiliary service function area and supervision was included in the "General Implementary" column, together with the remainder of the Instructional salaries, supplies and textbooks not included in indirect instructional expenditures.

The following cost columns: Central Administration, Plant Operation, Plant Maintenance, Fixed Charges, and Debt Services, included those costs defined in each category (c. f. supra: 35). The final column included the aggregated costs of 500 Transportation Series, 900 Food Services Series, 1000 Student Body, 1200 Capital Outlay Series, and 1400 Transfer Series.

TABLE XXV

A MOGRAM COST STRUCTURE OF TOTAL COSTS

									Food Services Sudent Body	1
	Direct Induction Exampliture	Indirect Induction Expenditure	General Inglementary	Control Administration	Rent Operation	Plant Mainte nance	Pine Contraction of the second s	Debr Services	Capitel Outley Transportetion Casts	12-0261
									F	
				. 510 30	7 834 93	21. 72 8	EC 200' 1	17.828,9	Z/ 0ZZ 1	
	00.172.14	21.50	12.122,61				Ę	_	52° 700' 00	
	Ca. 512 Can	15.756.88	202,711.12			Ē	2	5	6,547.52	
5 F. F.		02 277 11	72.524.47	13,775.86				9	6.140.62	363,676.18
Fim At			AR 017.30	12.919.74	08.172,95	4,311.72		2	25, 320, 79	1,428,829.63
Name Economics	an on 221		200 AAB 00	53, 274, 45	16,234.38	SC. 611, 11	DI . 20C' ZZ			642.265.62
At the set is	709,906.16	c/. 109, cl		21 287 83	71,272,44	7,805.25	9,904.91	87.177.78	2, 22, 22	535,464,24
	311,227.46	21. 449.12	10, 121, 621	10,000	AC 583 AV	6,415.63	8, 141.47			1 577 057 55
	255.711.62	25,505.08	04.002,101	A. 633, 71	17. 200 15	19 078 06	24.210.17		2/ 1/0.38	
Physical coucavier	ZAI AID 32	50.009.25	300,956.05	2. 10. 10		15 449 46	19.859.26		22,287.45	0,120,c/2,1
		29, 898, 93	246,870.01	46,892.41	142,700.00		224 05		1,387.19	B6, 843. YU
Social Sudia	07 100 00	0 120 05	26,245,21	2,918.61	8,894.2 3			e1 005 17	14. 635. 74	BA1, V40.52
Agriculture	79' 140' BE		140 209 42	20, 151, 15	91.737.19	10, 155.92	74. 198, 21		62 100	59,661.83
Builden Education	PC. 172, 504		25 176 01	1 .945.74	5,929.49	96.949	10. 179 10. 101		1 767 77	75.485.68
Connercial Ceding	17. 649. 22		36 150 CI	2 646.21	8,064.10	883.12	1,120.69	N. CO. /	00 000 0	194.953.25
Constaint	35,470.02	A. 882, C	27 101 CI	7 MM 48	21,346,16	2,337.68	2,966.53	47.000,81	37 177 0	155 193 44
	54.7:0,59	A, 138.17		AC 461 3	15 453 85	1,714.30	2,175.45	13,670.88		CH OLY THE
	68,674.62	18,929.05	ZV, CMU, YZ		10 804 10	3,792.23	4,812.37	30,254.92	5,454./0	
	151,109,58	5,206.47	59,822.44			15 625 5	7,020.79	44,139.03	7,879.Z3	
Central	22. 928. 000	56,040.08	87,275.21	16,577.73	47.41C,UC		1.054.77	22. 163, 8		20.057, 79
Nechanical	17 848 CT	1.217.44	13,111.77	2,490.55		LY Pay 1	2 007 13	12.744.37		09. /EI / 6EI
Medic		12 144 14	24,994.31	4,786.53	CY. /04/ 1			12 158 87		137,414.86
Structural			26 018 67	4 942.19	15,060.90	96. 949, 1	5, cv, z	10, 100, 11		144,735.76
Technology	Br. XC, CO		27 BK2 51	5.292.42	16,128.21	cz. 99/, I	2, 241.30		•	5, 161.53
Vocational	49.102,07			194.57	592.95	46.49	82.40	87.91C	-	A. 193.84
Driver Education	2,457.57	8.8	000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	233.45	711.54	77.92	88 .88	90.129		
Guidance	2,946.52	8.5						A4 701 1001	184 058.33	10.712,207.96
	A 100 BC4 A	349.] 48. 66	2,048,713.78	38 . 916' 1 9 C	1,185,897.58	129,871.04	164,80/.10	129,871.04 164,80/.10 1,000,12/.20		•
			•							

Table XXVI was derived directly from Table XXV, page 231. The aggregate cost by category was converted to student-enrolled cost and the percentage of total cost in Table XXIV. For example, the average per student-enrolled cost for mathematics was \$117.65 which was 13.34 per cent of the total educational costs of the population. Of the twenty-three curricular programs costed, English received the highest allocation while the lowest was allocated to commercial cooking, as previously noted.

Table XXVI also reflected the relative emphasis placed on each curricular program in terms of fiscal allocation. The greatest emphasis was placed on the English, mathematics, science, and social studies programs. All vocationaltechnical classes received less emphasis than academic classes, with the exception of Christian Ethics.

Table XXVI provided, in addition, a breakdown of expenditures by function. The percentage of total expenditure for direct instruction was 48.46 per cent, an amount of \$427.41 per student enrolled. Indirect instructional expenditure represented 3.63 per cent of total expenditure, and a cost of \$32.04 per student enrolled. Within the final category, five functions accounted for 1.73 per cent of total expenditure. The expenditure series included in this category represented the following percentages of total educational expenditures: transportation, 0.35 per cent; food services, 0.70 per cent; student body, 0.006 per cent; capital outlay, 0.66 per cent; and transfer, 0.02 per cent.

Tables XXV and XXVI exemplify the "crosswalk" described by Dei Rossi (1969:47) which served as a transitional stage between a conventional

....

TABLE YOM

ESTIMATED PER STUDENT ENROLLED COSTS IN A MOGRAM COST STRUCTURE

									-	•	1	1 and	•
Markin Tanklive Tanklive Longlive ive< th=""> <thlonglive< th=""> <th< th=""><th></th><th>en o</th><th>Ţ</th><th>Indire. Indirection</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Gen Administ</th><th>rei ion</th><th><u></u></th><th>uarr ant ion</th><th>Mainte</th><th></th></th<></thlonglive<></thlonglive<>		en o	Ţ	Indire. Indirection				Gen Administ	rei ion	<u></u>	uarr ant ion	Mainte	
					1	and a second	litures	Expendit	5	Z	andihue	Bund	
					5		2	ž		2	2	2	23
		ž	2	2	ŧĮ	Southers	3	Parts -	3				
			3						8	10.44	0.0	•	10.0
		2	80	20.02	20.0	1.11	0.12				8	,	8
	-			8	0.1	1 .7	9. P	8:			0.3		5.0
				2.40	2.5	5.9		2.1	2.0	10 5	A.0		8 .0
		- 2		2	8	5 ,3	3	8			23-1		0.16
27.2 2.38 2.39 0.39	8	8.5			4	23.09	2.62	R . 7	,		1		0.0
7.11 2.13 2.14 0.15 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.14 0.15 0.15 0.15 0.14			38	2	2.8	10.31	1.15	6 .1	77.0				9.0
21.0 2.10 2.10 2.11 4.11 0.12 3.14 0.16 0.17 0.06 0.14 0.16 0.14 0.15 3.14 0.16 0.17 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 3.14 0.18 0.17 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 0.16 0.06 <t< td=""><td>Ē</td><td>2.2</td><td>R. 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>S.0</td><td>3</td><td>0.18</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.17</td></t<>	Ē	2.2	R. 2				S .0	3	0.18				0.17
37.3 7.11 7.25 7.26 7.46 7.76 7.46 7.76 7.46 7.76 7.46 7.76	, S	21.06	2.5	2.7	39	24.77	2.81	4.71	<u>8.0</u>				51 0
31.4 5.4		5.23	1.7				2.30	3.86	4.0	11.76			
3.14 0.25 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.26		51.46	5.83	9		22 -	0.14	0.24	8.0	EZ.0			
37.3 2.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.00 2.11 0.23 0.24 0.26 0.02 0.02 0.00 0.00 2.13 0.24 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.01 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.06 <		3.14	8.0	1.0	5	- ;	5	2.50	0.28	59. 4			
2.13 0.24 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.04 2.78 0.24 0.25 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.04 7.56 0.14 0.25 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.04 7.56 0.14 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.06 7.56 0.14 0.25 0.06 1.75 0.01 0.17 7.56 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.19 7.56 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.19 7.56 0.16 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.19 7.56 0.16 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 7.57 0.11 2.26 0.27 0.26 0.26 0.26 7.57 0.11 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 7.57 0.17 0.26 0.27 0.26 0.26 0.26 7.51 0.27 0.28 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 7.57 0.29 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 7		87.92	3.75	2.86			2	91.0	0.0	97.0			5.0
2.72 0.13 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.14		2.13	0.24	39.0	80.0		2.5	22	0.0	99.0			5.0
7.46 0.75 0.06 3.04 0.75 0.06 3.04 7.46 0.46 0.75 0.06 3.04 0.75 0.06 3.04 5.45 0.46 1.45 0.01 2.72 0.25 0.74 0.01 2.76 0.14 0.14 5.45 0.46 0.16 1.25 0.26 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.74 0.01 2.76 0.15 0.28 0.78 0.78 0.78 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.16 0.11 2.76 0.28 <t< td=""><td></td><td>5</td><td>12.0</td><td>C*.0</td><td>0.0</td><td></td><td>2.0</td><td></td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20.0</td></t<>		5	12.0	C*.0	0.0		2.0		8				20.0
5.5 0.44 0.25 0.45 0.44 0.43 0.11 12.44 1.41 0.43 0.04 0.55 0.44 0.11 2.45 0.43 0.13 2.71 0.31 0.11 2.06 0.25 0.45 0.26 0.45 0.46 0.47 0.45 <				0.75	0.0	2.6	1	80.0	8.8				8.0
2.45 0.43 0.44 0.45 0.44 0.45 0.44 0.45 11.1 1.41 0.43 0.52 7.19 0.51 1.46 0.45 2.71 0.31 0.10 0.01 1.26 0.37 0.03 0.46 2.71 0.32 0.37 0.01 1.06 0.01 1.26 0.13 2.71 0.39 1.06 0.01 1.06 0.01 1.26 0.13 0.13 2.71 0.37 0.10 0.01 1.06 0.01 1.19 0.13 0.13 2.77 0.37 0.24 0.24 0.26 0.24 0.14 0.13 2.79 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.21 0.26 0.14 0.13 2.79 0.26 0.24 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.14 0.14 0.70 0.28 0.28 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.14 0.14 0.70 0.29 0.24 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.14 0.14 0.71 </td <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>8.5</td> <td>55 1</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.42</td> <td>9.5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8.0</td>		8	8.5	55 1	0.18	2.2	0.25	0.42	9.5				8.0
12.44 1.41 0.15 2.19 0.01 1.26 0.15 4.16 0.02 10.15 2.06 0.10 0.01 1.06 0.01 1.06 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.15 4.16 0.03 5.71 0.39 1.00 0.01 2.06 0.21 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.14 0.03 0.04		3.0	5.0	3 5	2	1.93	85.0	z .0	0.11				80
10.15 2.06 4.01 0.01 1.06 0.12 0.21 0.02 0.02 0.04 0.01 2.71 0.31 0.10 0.01 1.06 0.12 0.23 0.29 0.04 0.13 0.14 0.14 0.13 0.14		12.4				7 10	0.81		0.15				
2.71 0.31 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.11 2.06 0.23 0.39 0.04 1.19 0.13 0.13 5.21 0.39 1.00 0.11 2.06 0.23 0.23 0.39 0.04 1.19 0.13 0.14 5.40 0.61 0.22 0.03 0.04 0.24 0.41 0.05 0.14 <		10.15	5.8	10.4			0 13	0.21	0.8 8				
5.21 0.59 1.00 0.11 2.00 0.24 0.41 0.05 1.24 0.14 0.14 5.76 0.61 0.22 0.06 2.14 0.24 0.41 0.05 1.33 0.14 0.14 5.77 0.66 0.27 0.06 2.14 0.26 0.41 0.05 1.33 0.15 0.14 0.78 0.08 0.09 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2.71</td><td>16.0</td><td>0.10</td><td>5.0</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		2.71	16.0	0.10	5.0	5.0		2	8				
5.40 0.61 0.52 0.06 2.14 0.24 0.05 1.33 0.15 0.14 5.77 0.66 0.37 0.06 2.27 0.26 0.44 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.78 0.66 0.37 0.06 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.01<		16.5	0.59	8	0.11	9 .7	2.2		2				20.0
5.79 0.64 0.77 0.04 2.79 0.26 0.44 0.00 0.01 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20</td><td>8.0</td><td>2.14</td><td>•7.0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>8.0</td></th<>				20	8.0	2.14	•7.0						8.0
27. 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.06 0.01 0.02 0.000 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.0		2 1		110	0	2.29	0.26	4.0	8.0				0.00
1 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.10 0.01 0.02 0.002 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.24 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.10 0.10 1.0 0.01 0.02 0.002 0.06 0.01 0.01		5 .7	8.0	3			10.0	0.0	200.0				
0.24 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.10 0.10 0.00 0.0 0.0 10.09 0.20 0.20 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	-	0.20	0. 8.	8.0	8.5	3		0	0.002	_			
49.40 32.04 3.63 148.69 19.13 31.45 3.56 97.64 11.07 10.69		0.24	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0							
49.46 32.04 3.63 168.69 19.13 31.45 3.56 97.64 11.07 10.69													i
48.46 32.04 3.00 108.67						87 871	10 13	31.45	3.56	9.76			12.1
		14.724	4 . 4	8. R	3.7	10. 301							
TARE XXM (Contract)

			j	Dati Sevice	Transportation Feed Services Student Body		J R	141 - 941
					Capital Outlay	Viley		
	ł	Speed item	Expenditures	liture	Expenditure	Ē	ree g	Signatives
	23	23	23	23	Pr Student	23	23	23
	8 0.09	10-0	<u>85</u> .0 2	0.0	\$ 0.10	10.0	5 5.63	1
	2.20	57.0	13.65	5	2.0	20.0	12.401	15.00
Fine An		8.0	3.6	1 .0	2,0	9.0	R.8	176
Name Economics	27.0	8.0	2.83	<u>д</u> .0	12.0	90.0	2.67	<u>ول</u> د
Mothemerics	1.8	0.21	89 7 II	ମ୍	2.08	270	59-211	13.24
Modern Languages	28.0	60.0	5.13	9. O	16.0	0.10	52. 88	5.60
Physical Education	79.0	0.0	4.21	4 .0	27.0	90.0	4.0	s.8
Kience	6 . I	0.22	12.53	27.1	2.23	27.0	129.44	14.67
Secial Sudies	1.63	0.19	10.25	1.1	19. –	0.20	101.101	69-11
Agriculture	00	10.0	19.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	7.15	0.81
Businers Education	1.0	0.12	6.67	0.76	1.19	0.14	69.32	7. 8
Connercial Cashing	0.0	10.0	1 .0	8.0	8.0	10.0	4.91	0.56
Connection	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.21	Ro
Destring	0.24	8.0	2.1	0.17	0.2	0.0	8.8	8 .1
Bectrical	0.18	0.0	1.13	0.13	8.0	8.0	12.78	37.1
General	Q .0	8 .0	2.49	0.28	0.45	9.0	25.24	2.86
Mechanical	35. 0	8.0	3.5	0.41	24.0	0.0	29.0	4.62
Media	0.0	10.0	0.55	8.0	0.0	0.01	2,2	59.0
Sructural	0.17	0.0	8.	0.12	0.19	0.8 0	8 . II	8.
Technology	0.17	0.0	80.1	0.12	0.19	8 .0	11.31	1.28
Vecetivel	0.10	0 .0	1.16	0.13	0.21	0.0	11.22	ו. א
Driver Education	0.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	Q .0	8.0
Guidance	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.01	10.0	10.0	0.51	90.0
Totels	13.57	1.54	15.3	79.6	15.23	57	50. 236	00.001

budget format and a program budget format.

VI. ANCILLARY AND CAPITAL "USE" COSTS AS A FUNCTION OF COMPLETE EDUCATIONAL COSTS

The Total Educational Costs reported are based on the total operational expenditures made by the administrative school boards of the eleven comprehensive high schools in the population of this study. Complete Educational Costs included Total Educational Cost plus the addition of ancillary expenditures and capital use expenditures. These two additional costs were not expenditures made by the comprehensive school boards, but represented the costs of educating students enrolled in the comprehensive. The former expenditure was made by school boards other than the comprehensive boards, while the latter was a prorated cost for the use of the comprehensive building, site and equipment for the school year 1970–1971.

This section deals with specific sub-problems 19, 20, and 21 on page 4 of this study.

Ancillary Costs as a Function of Complete Educational Costs

Ancillary costs are defined, in this study, as transportation costs paid by school boards, other than the comprehensive school boards, for the transportation of students enrolled in the population comprehensive schools. Ancillary expenditures were made on behalf of students enrolled in Comprehensives A, B, C, E, J, and K by eight school unit boards in Saskatchewan and one county in Alberta. Table XXVII presents the aggregate amounts paid for the transportation of students enrolled in these comprehensives, as well as the a per student-enrolled cost represented by this amount.

Per student-enrolled costs varied from \$15.71 in Comprehensive A to \$100.34 in Comprehensive C. The high cost of Comprehensive C resulted from the transportation of students for half-day vocational-technical and home economics curricular programs as well as for full day students.

Transportation costs in Comprehensive D were charged within the operational expenditures of the comprehensive. Students attending Comprehensives F, G, H, and I were responsible for their own transportation costs.

Capital "Use" Costs as a Function of Complete Educational Costs

"Capital 'Use' Costs" were the prorated costs of the comprehensive building, site, and equipment expended during the 1970-1971 school year. The life expectancy for school buildings, constructed in the manner of the comprehensives, was determined to be fifty years (c.f. supra: 82), while the average life expectancy of the capital equipment within the comprehensives was determined to be ten years (c.f. supra: 83).

Table XXVIII presents the capital building and site costs of each of the population comprehensives as well as the prorated capital "use" costs based on the average life expectancy. The total capital "use" cost for the school year for buildings and site was the difference between the prorated yearly "use" cost and the debt service capital payment made in the total

TABLE XXVII

ANCILLARY EXPENDITURE BY AGGREGATED AMOUNT AND PER STUDENT-ENROLLED COST

Comprehensives	Aggregated Ancillary Cost	Cost Per Student Enrolled
A	12,363.30	15.71
8	28,947.12	47.15
c	53,204.02	100.34
E	48,933.00	56.37
J	33,330.47	25.78
κ	70,021.16	61.91
TOTAL	218,974.35	
Cast Per Student Enrolled in Population		18.03

TABLE XXVIII

MOUATED CAPITAL BUILDING AND SITE COSTS IY AGGREGATED AMOUNT AND PER STUDENTENNOLLED IN POPULATION COMMEMBINES

Campadran i ra	Building Value	Site Vel ue	7	Yearly Use Cas	Debt Service Capital Payment	ł ł	Cast Per Student Errolled
<	0E.017,988,E	14,029.45	3,907,509,5	78,024.80	32,000.00	46,074.80	5, 25
•	00"064"855"2	25,000.00	2,363,930.00	07.873,73	52' 040' 42	28° /19' 81	30.35
U	2,241,165.41	40,000.05	2,281,145.41	15,623,24	00.002,9	123.31	19.09
٥	2,500,000.00	0.0	2,500,000.00	30,000,02	37,109.00	00.148,21	26.76
-	82.849,644,8	38,305,85	5,530,554.13	110,011	15,000.00	01.119,22	100,15
Ľ	4,253,383.00	66,654.00	4,320,037.00	86,400.74	110,598.36	0.00	0.00
O	2,430,950.00	00.000,021	2,750,950.80	20.910,22	14,226.34	40,772.68	25.00
T	4,094,478.84	10,458.40	4,104,937.24	82,098.74	X,572.X	29,526.42	17.12
-	77. 737, 959, 6	12,051.90	79.958,058,5	<i>42</i> , 610, 77	59,464.33	22,552.46	29.61
7	5,112,617.00	29.601,871	5,290,720.62	105,814.41	0.0	105,814.41	12.18
¥	3,500,000.00	0.0	3,500,000.00	70,000,00	N.77, T	42,522,26	09' A
TOTALS	07.173,519,95	503,203.22	40,416,875.62	99 , 377, 49	36, 988 .56	42,546,29	28° %

^a Dath service capitel payment exceeds yearly us cart.

educational expenses of the comprehensives.

The capital building and site "use" cost per student-enrolled varied from \$110.15 in Comprehensive E to nil in Comprehensive F where the debt service capital payment exceeded the capital building and site "use" cost.

Table XXIX shows the value of the capital equipment in each of the comprehensives, the yearly "use" cost and the per student-enrolled capital equipment "use" cost. These costs varied from \$104.95 in Comprehensive A to \$39.10 in Comprehensive F with an average per student-enrolled cost of \$63.83.

These costs have a direct implication for the amount to be designed for equipment reserve budgeting for the replacement of capital equipment. It was noted that the practice of capital equipment reserve budgeting was not general throughout the population of this study.

Complete Educational Costs

Table XXX presents the Complete Educational Costs per studentenrolled in each comprehensive and the average per student-enrolled costs in the population. Complete Educational Costs were the sum of the Total Educational Costs, ancillary costs, capital building and site "use" costs and capital equipment "use" costs. The Complete Educational Cost per student-enrolled varied from a high of \$1,266.94 in Comprehensive A to \$802.07 in Comprehensive G with an average cost of \$1,001.73 for the population.

Comprehensives F, G, H and I had Complete Educational Costs of

TABLE XXIX

PRORATED CAPITAL EQUIPMENT USE COSTS BY AMOUNT AND PER STUDENT - ENROLLED IN POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

Comprehensive	Equipment Value	Yearly Use Cost	Cost Per Student Enrolled
A	825,971.98	82 ,597 .20	104.95
B	526,441.61	52,644.16	85.74
С	505,509.98	50,551.00	96.29
D	269,792.78	26 ,979 .28	55.97
Ε	695,105.71	69,510.57	80.08
F	654,881.17	65, 488. 12	39.10
G	790,362.03	79 ,036 .20	48.42
н	782,522.66	78,252.27	49.56
I	859,032.16	85,903.22	55 .4 6
J	1,102,792.92	110,279.29	84.63
K	740,120.39	74,012.04	65.44
TOTALS	7 ,752 ,533 .39	775,253.35	63.83

TAME YOU

COMPLETE EDUCATIONAL COST PER STUDENT-ENROLLMENT FOR POPULATION

Per Southern Esercited Came	<	-	U	٥	COMPREI	COMPREHENSIVES E	G	I	-	-	¥	ł
				71.24	50°958 02°276		59° 82.	10. M		61.23 EZ.14	66" 666	00° 200
Taul Excertant Cal			2	8	A. 3	8.0	0.0	8.0		0.00 25.78	16.18	1 0.0 1
Anadiery Ca		2 ¥		X . X	110.15	8.0	25.00	17.12	28.61	12.18 28.61	99' A	14 " A2
Carial Initia & Silo Ca Carial Engenera Ca	5.70	12.24	£, \$	4.55	8.0	01.96	4.4	97 4	55.46	Q7.14	47.59	51° 59
Campione Educarianal Expenditures	18.38	8.40,	1,101.11	M. 140,1	21.200 00.000,1 00.100,1 ML.CO1,1 00.000,1 M.MML,1		Ø. 200	77° 154		\$2''0' 1'0'9''0' 1''1''	1,124.74	£7' 100' I

under \$1,000.00 per student. These schools had the four highest enrollments in the population. There was a trend towards economies of scale on the Complete Educational Cost dimension which was not evident in the Total Educational Cost dimension.

VII. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER V

Per student-course and per student-enrolled costs were reported by subject and curricular program. The per student-course unit costs showed that the highest cost programs were: agriculture, technology, structural and electrical. The middle-cost range programs were commercial cooking, cosmetology, drafting, general, Vocational A, home economics and mathematics. The least-cost programs were Christian Ethics, English, modern languages, physical education, science, social studies and business education.

The average per student-enrolled Total Educational Cost was \$882.03 with a variation existing from \$1,087.74 in Comprehensive A to \$728.65 in Comprehensive G.

Programs receiving the highest financial emphasis were: English, mathematics, science, social studies and business education. The programs receiving least emphasis were commercial cooking, media, structural, electrical, drafting, cosmetology and agriculture.

The non-curricular programs of general service function, auxiliary service function and supervisory function activities resulted in an aggregate

per student-enrolled cost of \$116.14.

The average percentage of total expenditure for direct instruction was 48.46 per cent. The corresponding percentage for indirect instruction and implementary services was 3.63 per cent and 47.91 per cent respectively.

The average complementary per student-enrolled costs of ancillary, capital building and site, and capital equipment were \$18.03, \$37.84, and \$63.83 respectively. The average Complete Educational Cost per studentenrolled cost for the population was \$1,001.73.

CHAPTER VI

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This chapter contains four sections. The first section summarizes the findings reported in Chapter V. The summary of findings follows the structure of the main problem, and sub-problems related to this study.

The second section deals with conclusions and implications which arose as a result of the findings. These conclusions pertain to the cost analysis process employed in the study and the results of the analysis in regard to the population comprehensive.

Suggestions for further research and refinements of the cost analysis process are presented in the third section.

The fourth section outlines specific recommendations relative to the comprehensive high schools which result from the completion of the cost analysis.

I. SUMMARY OF STUDY FINDINGS

The summary of findings follows the sequence of problems outlined in Chapter 1. The problems considered in sequence were: the major problem, three general sub-problems, and twenty-one specific sub-problems stated in the form of questions. The Problem

The major purpose of this study was to conduct a cost analysis in eleven comprehensive high schools in the Province of Saskatchewan, and to provide cost data in a form that would be of immediate utility to the decisionmakers involved. Per student costs were developed on a number of bases. These were by: (1) subject; (2) five grades; (3) two grade divisions; (4) twenty-three curricular programs; (5) five non-curricular programs; (6) eighteen auxiliary service function activities; and (7) three supervisory activities.

General Sub-Problems

Three general sub-problems pertained to the development of a basis for the study, the incorporation of capital cost into cost analysis, and the development of a computer based electronic data processing system, were considered as pre-study problems.

<u>General Sub-Problem 1.</u> On the basis of the list of subjects taught in each comprehensive, submitted by the school principals, 825 different subjects in twenty-three curricular areas were found to be taught in the population comprehensives. An analysis to determine similarities between courses offered in the individual comprehensives resulted in the original subject total being reduced from 825 courses to 567 courses.

<u>General Sub-Problem 2</u>. Capital costs in terms of building, site and equipment were included in this cost analysis as complementary costs. The determination of capital "use" costs was based on a ratio of one year, the 1970–1971 school year, to the life expectancy in years of the building, site and equipment.

This method of prorating capital "use" costs provided a gross measure of costs. Exact measures of capital "use" costs, based on depreciating values of various segments of the school building, the actual life expectancy of each article of capital equipment, and a viable measure of obsolescence, was beyond the scope of this study. It was recognized that this type of analysis was required if exact measures of capital "use" costs were to be abtained.

<u>General Sub-Problem 3</u>. The development of an electronic data processing system was achieved by producing nineteen computer programs to provide a viable method of relating the courses taught within the schools to the prorated costs of teacher salaries. Specifically, computer programs were developed to produce the following unit costs, (1) direct instructional costs for each student in each course, (2) indirect instructional costs in each curricular program, (3) general service function costs in five non-curricular programs, (4) auxiliary service function costs for eighteen non-curricular activities, and (5) supervision function costs for three supervision activities.

These five basic computer programs provided an electronic data processing system to translate the time and auxiliary data from a faculty workload survey directly into unit costs. The remainder of the programs developed produced aggregated data and unit cost data in a function-object and program budget format. These programs were of a specific nature designed to adapt the accounting systems of the individual comprehensive schools to the accounting systems utilized in this study. As such, the programs were not universally applicable to all school systems. However, with minor adjustments to allow for the different accounting processes of individual school systems, the programs are functionally operable.

Specific Sub-Problems

There were twenty-one sub-problems considered in this study. The findings relative to each are summarized individually.

<u>Sub-Problem 1.</u> What subjects were offered within each of the population comprehensive high schools?

The total number of subjects offered within each of the comprehensive high schools is summarized below.

Comprehensive A,	80 subjects in	17 curricular programs.
Comprehensive B,	115 subjects in	16 curricular programs.
Comprehensive C,	82 subjects in	15 curricular programs.
Comprehensive D,	59 subjects in	12 curricular programs.
Comprehensive E,	80 subjects in	16 curricular programs.

Comprehensive F,	111 subjects in	10 curricular programs.
Comprehensive G,	159 subjects in	16 curricular programs.
Comprehensive H,	112 subjects in	15 curricular programs.
Comprehensive I,	116 subjects in	15 curricular programs.
Comprehensive J,	133 subjects in	18 curricular programs.
Comprehensive K,	153 subjects in	17 curricular programs.

The total number of subjects taught within the population comprehensives was 567 as was reported in sub-problem 2.

<u>Sub-Problem 2.</u> What were the average qualifications, length of teaching experience, salary and age of teachers?

The average qualifications of teachers in years of training was 4.40 years ranging from a population high of 4.73 years in Comprehensive 1 to 3.95 years in Comprehensive A. The average experience for salary purposes of teachers was 6.76 years ranging from a high in Comprehensive K of 7.97 years to a low of 5.62 in Comprehensive G.

The highest average salary for teachers was \$11,120.57 in Comprehensive K. The lowest average salary was \$8,715.32 in Comprehensive C. The average salary of teachers in the population was \$10,217.36.

The average age of teachers in the population was 36.10 years. The Comprehensive with the highest average age was Comprehensive E at 39.63 years, while Comprehensive B had the lowest average teacher age of 32.15 years.

<u>Sub-Problem 3.</u> What were the average qualifications, length of experience, salary and age of teachers in each curricular program?

Teachers of all academic subjects had an average training of more than four years, with the teachers of Christian Ethics, mathematics, science and social studies, having an average training of more than 4.50 years. Teachers of business education and technical education had an average of less than 4.00 years. The average experience for salary purposes presented no pattern for academic or vocational teachers, but ranged from a low of 2.25 years for Christian Ethics teachers to 8.02 years for mathematics teachers.

The average salaries for teachers in the curricular programs were summarized into four distinct levels: (1) under \$9,000.00, Christian Ethics and physical education, (2) under \$10,000.00, home economics, business education and vocational-technical, (3) under \$11,000.00, English, fine arts, modern languages, and science, (4) under \$12,000.00, mathematics, and social studies. The average age of teachers was summarized into three age levels: (1) under 30.00 years of age, Christian Ethics and physical education, (2) under 35.00 years of age, home economics and science, (3) under 40.00 years of age, English, fine arts, mathematics, modern languages, social studies, business education and vocational-technical.

<u>Sub-Problem 4.</u> What were the direct instructional costs per student-course enrollment?

The direct instructional costs per student-course enrollment were determined for each subject in each comprehensive. Direct instructional costs were affected by three factors: (1) teachers' salaries, (2) the per cent of general preparation time allotted to teachers, and (3) the course enrollment. In general, the higher the teacher's salary, the higher the general preparation time allotment, and the lower the student enrollment, the higher were the per student-enrolled subject costs.

The direct instructional costs tended to be higher in the technicalvocational subjects, with the exception of business education, than in the academic subjects. The cost variation within subjects fluctuated in direct relation to the three factors listed above.

<u>Sub-Problem 5.</u> What were the direct instructional costs per studentcourse enrollment by curricular programs?

Three distinct levels of direct instructional cost per student-course enrollment were identified within the population comprehensives in both Division III and IV. In Division III, the lowest cost programs were Christian Ethics, fine arts, mathematics, modern languages, science, social studies, drafting, and business education. The mid-range cost programs were English, and physical education. Home economics, commercial cooking and industrial arts were the high-range cost subjects.

Within Division IV, three levels of subject cost were also identified. High cost level subjects were structural, technology, agriculture, electrical, mechanical, commercial cooking and home economics. Middle cost range subjects were drafting, cosmetology, fine arts, English and business education. Low range cost level courses were Christian Ethics, modern languages, mathematics, physical education, science and social studies.

In general, the courses with the highest student enrollment were the lowest cost courses, and courses in low demand by students were the highest cost courses.

<u>Sub-Problem 6.</u> What were the average direct instructional costs per student-course equivalent?

Per student-course equivalent direct instructional costs were based on a standard instructional unit of one credit in all subjects other than English, a two-credit course. Consequently, the range of unit costs was narrower than the unit costs for student-course enrollment.

The cost patterns established for student-course equivalent unit costs in Division IV was essentially the same as those described for sub-problem 5, although the range of curricular program costs was considerably narrowed. The Division III subjects unit costs per student-course equivalent remained exactly the same as for per student-course enrollment, as all classes being one-credit courses.

<u>Sub-Problem 7</u>. What were the direct instructional per studentenrolled cost per grade?

Direct instructional per student-enrolled unit costs per grade varied between the Divisions and grades without the establishment of an increasing or decreasing trend. The highest direct instructional costs were experienced in grade IX (\$451.52) followed in order by grade X (\$423.66), grade VIII (\$421.65), grade XII (\$418.93) and grade XI (\$417.67) in the population. The average direct instructional unit costs per student-enrolled by grade was \$449.34 in Division III and \$420.17 in Division IV, resulting in a comprehensive average of \$421.88 for all grades taught.

The grade costs obtained were contrary to the cost trend found in, (1) per student-enrolled direct instructional unit costs by subject and curricular program, and (2) the unit cost per student-equivalent by curricular program. The unreliability of the grade unit costs were a result of three factors: (1) the lack of a standard unit for a grade enrolled pupil, (2) the practice of students taking courses at grade levels other than the grade in which they were enrolled, and (3) the practice of administrators designating students enrolled in the highest grade in which they took subjects.

The value of the direct instructional unit cost per student-enrolled by grade was to identify the distortion that occured when unit cost expenditures were not based on a standardized unit, i.e., student-equivalent enrollments.

<u>Sub-Problem 8.</u> What were the resident, indirect instructional costs per student-course, and per student-enrolled, by curricular program?

Resident indirect instructional costs were reported on the basis of the number of students enrolled in the particular curricular program, and the number of students enrolled in the comprehensive. This approach helped to establish a perspective relative to indirect instructional costs. Curricular programs with high indirect instructional costs but low enrollment; e.g. electricity, represented only a small proportion of the indirect instructional costs for the total enrollment of the comprehensive.

Resident indirect cost based on a per student-course basis were highest in the vocational-technical courses with the exception of business education and cosmetology. Agriculture, with a population resident indirect instructional cost of \$33.39 per student-course enrollment, represented the highest curricular program cost. Of the academic curricular programs, fine arts, at \$7.82 per student, had the highest indirect instructional cost.

The resident indirect instructional costs varied among the comprehensives, the greatest source of variance being the amount of specific preparation time allocated to teachers. Instructional equipment and supply cost accounting procedures varied widely throughout the comprehensives, making the isolation of costs for specific curricular programs dependent on the use of proration procedures in three of the comprehensives.

<u>Sub-Problem 9.</u> What were the resident implementary per studentenrolled costs?

The average resident implementary cost per enrolled student was \$282.90. Comprehensive A had the highest unit cost at \$390.29 and Comprehensive F had the lowest student-enrolled cost of \$215.61.

The implementary costs were subdivided into general implementary, and plant implementary categories. The general implementary unit cost, per student-enrolled, ranged from a high of \$209.75 in Comprehensive J to a low of \$137.23 in Comprehensive F, resulting in an average general resident implementary cost of \$174.72 for the population.

Plant implementary costs per student enrolled averaged \$108.34 in the population. The highest cost encountered was in Comprehensive A at \$194.33, and the least cost of \$77.88 per student-enrolled was in Comprehensive F.

<u>Sub-Problem 10.</u> What were the resident per student-enrolled costs of general service function programs, namely, general administration, library, audio-visual, television and guidance counselling?

The cost of guidance counselling per student-enrolled varied from \$6.95 in Comprehensive D to \$25.18 in Comprehensive H, with an average unit cost of \$18.13 for the population. The library service function cost averaged \$18.72 per student-enrolled in the population. The range of library costs varied from \$28.31 in Comprehensive A to \$10.82 in Comprehensive 1.

Audio-visual service costs varied from \$16.04 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive E to \$0.80 in Comprehensive C. No prorated teacher salary costs were employed in Comprehensives A, B, C, D, and G, where the audiovisual function was performed by teachers generally. Television costs varied from \$22.56 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive F to \$1.02 in Comprehensive K, resulting in an average cost of \$13.95 per student-enrolled in the comprehensives providing television services.

Administrative costs varied from \$35.29 in Comprehensive F to \$53.63 in Comprehensive C with an average per student-enrolled cost of \$41.72 for the population.

The total service function cost per student-enrolled varied from \$109.53 in Comprehensive G to \$65.59 in Comprehensive D. The average service function cost was \$92.28 in the population.

<u>Sub-Problem 11.</u> What were the per student-enrolled costs of auxiliary service function activities?

Auxiliary function activities varied from \$31.60 per student enrolled in Comprehensive E to \$1.78 in Comprehensive A with an average cost per student-enrolled in the population of \$8.73. The high cost in Comprehensive E was the result of activities related to the operation and organization of the comprehensive during its first year of operation. <u>Sub-Problem 12</u>. What were the per student-enrolled costs of supervision?

Per student-enrolled supervision costs varied from \$40.24 in Comprehensive D to no cost in Comprehensives B and G in which no supervisory activities were conducted.

The variation of costs was the direct result of the priorities set within the comprehensives for student supervision. Schools with low supervisional costs permitted more student freedom in determining their own non-scheduled activities.

<u>Sub-Problem 13.</u> What were the resident, combined, indirect and implementary costs per student-enrolled?

Resident, combined, and indirect implementary costs per student were subdivided into salary costs and expense costs. The highest salary unit cost per student-enrolled was \$236.45 in Comprehensive A, while Comprehensive F, with \$140.06, had the lowest unit cost. The average unit cost for salaries in the combined, resident, indirect and implementary costs was \$185.70.

The expense dimension unit cost averaged \$129.24 in the population, with the highest unit cost recorded in Comprehensive A at \$196.27 and the least cost of \$90.00 in Comprehensive D.

The total resident indirect and implementary expenditures averaged \$314.94 per student-enrolled. The range of unit costs was from a high of \$432.72 in Comprehensive A to \$239.36 in Comprehensive F. The four comprehensives with the highest enrollments, Comprehensives F, G, H, and I, and Comprehensive D, with the lowest enrollment had combined, resident, indirect and implementary expenditures of less than \$300.00 per student enrolled.

<u>Sub-Problem 14.</u> What were the non-resident implementary costs per student-enrolled?

The total non-resident implementary unit costs per student-enrolled varied from \$33.77 in Comprehensive J to \$315.23 in Comprehensive D, resulting in an overall average cost per student in the population of \$139.40.

<u>Sub-Problem 15.</u> What were the total educational per studentcourse costs and per student-enrolled costs of curricular programs?

The total educational unit costs by curricular program were an aggregation of direct, resident indirect, resident implementary, and non-resident implementary expenditures. The overall cost pattern identified in per student-course unit costs was comparable to the pattern established in direct instructional costs. The high cost range included the subjects: agriculture, technology, structural and electrical. The middle range programs were commercial cooking, cosmetology, drafting, general and Vocational A in the vocational-technical subjects and home economics and mathematics in the academic subjects. The least cost programs were Christian Ethics, English, modern languages, physical education, science, and social studies in the academic area and business education in the technical-vocational programs.

The cost pattern based on per student enrollment was generally reversed relative to the per student-program enrollment cost. The lowest cost group included commercial cooking, media, structural, electrical, drafting, cosmetology and agriculture. The highest cost group contained English, mathematics, science, social studies and business education. In general, the curricular programs with the lowest enrollment produced the lowest per student-enrolled costs.

<u>Sub-Problem 16</u>. What were the total per student-enrolled costs by grade and grade division ?

The average per student-enrolled cost in Grade VIII was \$847.15 in Comprehensive F, the only comprehensive offering a Division III, Year II program. Grade IX total educational costs per student-enrolled ranged from \$704.92 in Comprehensive G to \$958.32 in Comprehensive I with an average total educational cost of \$841.48. The average per student enrolled total educational cost for Division III was \$842.37.

Division IV, Grade X, Total Educational Cost per student enrolled varied from \$1,073.10 in Comprehensive A to \$741.34 in Comprehensive G with an average grade X total educational cost of \$890.23 for the population. Grade XI costs ranged from \$1,125.83 per student-enrolled in Comprehensive A to \$721.75 in Comprehensive G with an average per student-enrolled cost of \$886.09 for all grade XI students in the population. Grade XII total educational costs per student-enrolled varied from \$1,124.05 in Comprehensive A to \$738.68 in Comprehensive G with a population average of \$878.87. The Total Educational Cost per student-enrolled in Division IV ranged from \$1,087.74 in Comprehensive A to \$738.68 in Comprehensive G with an average per studentenrolled cost of \$883.98.

The total educational cost per student-enrolled in Divisions III and IV in the population was \$882.03.

As in sub-problem seven the derived total per student costs were of little value because of the failure to account for three factors: (1) the lack of a standard unit for a grade-enrolled student, (2) the practice of students taking courses at grade levels other than the grade level in which they were enrolled, and (3) the practice of administrators designating students enrolled to the highest grade in which they took courses. These factors combined to produce decreasing costs from grade ten to grade twelve rather than the opposite trend which was established in the analysis of direct instructional cost.

<u>Sub-Problem 17.</u> What were the total educational per studentenrolled costs by function-object-expenditure classification?

Per student-enrolled costs were determined for each category of the function-abject classification used in the study for each comprehensive and for the population.

The average cost per student-enrolled for the Administration, 100

Series, which included all non-resident administrative costs, was \$31.45. The average per student cost of Series 200 Instruction was \$628.13 with teachers' salaries accounting for \$460.39. The individual school unit costs varied from \$688.88 in Comprehensive A to \$526.41 in Comprehensive D.

Student transportation costs were \$76.94 in Comprehensive D, the only comprehensive charged with transportation costs. This amounted to an average population per student-enrolled cost of \$3.05.

Plant Operation Costs, Series 600, ranged from \$178.47 in Comprehensive A to \$69.24 in Comprehensive B with an average per student-enrolled cost of \$97.64. Plant maintenance, Series 700, averaged \$10.69 per studentenrolled in the population with no uniformity of expenditure pattern being established between comprehensives.

Fixed Charges, Series 800, averaged \$13.57 per student-enrolled in the population with a range from a high in Comprehensive B of \$22.28 to a low of \$8.87 in Comprehensive G. Food Service salary costs, Series 910 averaged \$6.15 per student-enrolled in the population, with no food service function being provided in Comprehensive F. Cost of food service salaries varied from \$20.95 in Comprehensive C to \$3.30 in Comprehensive G.

Expenditures for Student Body Activities, Series 1000, were only made by Comprehensive E, a cost of \$0.69 per student enrolled. This produced an average cost of \$0.05 for the population.

Capital Outlay, Series 1200, averaged \$5.84 per student-enrolled

for the population, while Debt Service, Series 1300, averaged \$85.31 per student enrolled. No debt service payments were made by Comprehensive J, while Comprehensive D had the highest student-enrolled cost at \$191.69. Transfer, Series 1400, affected only two comprehensives resulting in a population average cost of \$0.13.

The average total educational unit cost for the comprehensive population was \$882.03.

<u>Sub-Problem 18.</u> What were the aggregate unit costs of twentythree curricular programs using the program budget format?

A two-dimensional approach was used to report the combined functionabject classifications by curricular programs. The proration base utilized was the dollar volume of expenditures in direct instruction. Two expenditure breakdowns were provided, the per student cost of each curricular program in the population comprehensives, and the per cent of total expenditure this curricular program unit cost represents.

Five of the curricular programs received the greatest emphasis, in terms of the total educational funds allocated. English, mathematics, science, social studies and business education. Modern languages, physical education, fine arts, home economics and mechanical received moderate emphasis. Christian Ethics, in the academic programs, and all of the technical-vocational subjects, with the exception of business education and mechanical, received the least financial emphasis. The percentage of total expenditure allocated to direct instruction was 48.46 per cent; to indirect instruction, 3.63 per cent; and to general implementary, 19.13 per cent. Of the remaining function-object categories, plant operation, 10.69 per cent; debt services, 9.67 per cent; and general administration, 3.56 per cent, represented the greatest expenditure in relation to the total educational cost. Plant maintenance, fixed charges and aggregated transportation, food services, student body, capital outlay and transfer expenditures represented a total percentage outlay of 4.48 per cent.

<u>Sub-Problem 19</u>. What were the ancillary expenditures made by school authorities, other than comprehensive school boards, for the transportation of comprehensive students?

Ancillary expenditures were made for the transportation of students attending Comprehensives A, B, C, E, J and K. Costs per student-enrolled for ancillary services ranged from \$100.34 in Comprehensive C to \$15.71 in Comprehensive A. The total ancillary costs resulted in an increase of \$18.03 to the total educational unit cost per student-enrolled in the population.

<u>Sub-Problem 20.</u> What were the capital "use" costs in terms of building, site and equipment for the school year 1970–1971?

The capital building and site "use" cost per student-enrolled varied from \$110.15 in Comprehensive E to no expenditure in Comprehensive F where the debt service capital payment exceeded the capital building and site "use" cost. The average per student-enrolled capital building and site "use" cost was \$36.85 for the population.

The capital equipment "use" cost averaged \$63.83 per studentenrolled in the population. Comprehensive A had the highest per studentenrolled cost of \$104.95 for capital equipment use, and Comprehensive F had the lowest at \$39.10.

These unit costs did not represent expenditures made by the administrative authorities of the comprehensive schools during the 1970–1971 school year, but they were complementary costs, which represent a "use" cost for the school year regardless of when the original capital expenditures were made. These findings, particularly in capital equipment "use" costs have implications for the establishment of capital reserve budgeting in the comprehensive schools.

<u>Sub-Problem 21</u>. What was the complete educational per studentenrolled costs by each of the population comprehensive schools?

Complete Educational Costs were the sum of Total Educational Costs plus complementary costs. The Complete Educational Costs per studentenrolled in the population was \$1,001.73. Cost varied in the comprehensives from \$1,266.94 in Comprehensive A to \$802.07 in Comprehensive G, with Comprehensives F, G, H and I having Complete Educational Costs per studentenrolled of under \$1,000.00

A trend towards economies of scale became evident on the Complete

Educational Cost dimension, in that the four comprehensives with the largest enrollment had the lowest unit cost per student in the population. This trend was the result of lower per student-enrolled complementary costs, in these four comprehensives, than in the remaining seven comprehensives in the population.

II. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

This section is subdivided into two parts: (1) general conclusions with broad implications, and (2) specific conclusions with implications for the population comprehensives analyzed.

General Conclusions

The general conclusions of this study were structured on the basis of the four anticipated results of the study listed on page 11 in Chapter 1 of this study.

1. <u>Integration of Cost Analysis</u>. This study integrated the conventional function-object accounting format with a curricular program design. This approach facilitated a cost interpretation of existing priorities to be examined in relation to the total curricular programs offered within the comprehensives. The presentation of cost data and cost differences provided decisionmakers with an over-all operational cost picture and identified specific areas for analysis in which the cost priorities established did not meet the basic objectives of the comprehensive.

The classification of expenditures into direct, indirect, and implementary categories provided a framework for the consideration of cost implications. In the population, 48.46 per cent of total educational expenditures were devoted to direct instruction. Of this amount scheduled class periods represented 82.56 per cent and teacher general preparation time represented 17.44 per cent within the population. The isolation of direct instructional cost was an important step in the determination of a total cost picture. The indirect instructional expenditures amounted to 3.63 per cent of total educational cost which did not support the generally held assumption of the relatively high cost of curricular program equipment and supplies. The assignment of teachers to conduct remedial programs, under the specific preparation time allocation, within indirect instructional time presents a staff time allocation priority for the consideration of decision-makers.

Implementary expenditures represented the remaining 47.91 per cent of total educational costs. Within this amount the prorated teacher salary costs for three non-curricular program functions, general service function, auxiliary service function and supervisional activities accounted for 27.55 per cent of the total implementary cost. The value of, and the necessity for, supervisional activities for Division IV students is an area in need of further examination by decision-makers. The use of teaching personnel for auxiliary service function activities that could possibly be performed by non-certificated personnel is another area requiring further decision-maker analysis within the general implementary classification.

The presentation of unit cost on two bases: cost per student-course and the conventional per student-enrolled, provides decision makers with an additional dimension with which to analyze curricular program costs. Per student-course costs may be very high in a specific curricular area, but the cost per student enrolled may be low, indicating a high cost low-demand curricular program. This raises questions of efficiency, student retention, and breadth of curricular program offering for the decision-maker, in formulating policies in these areas.

The programmatic design utilized in this study provided the first step in the development of program budgeting. The advantages of a program budget format as an information source for decision-makers when compared to the function-object classification were documented.

2. The function of cost analysis in economic planning processes.

Cost analysis was seen as an integral part of the economic planning processes, cost-benefit analysis, cost-effectiveness analysis and PPBS. The three main functions of cost analysis in relation to these processes were to: (1) determine past unit costs of the operation of the system; (2) determine present costs of the system; and (3) evaluate, in terms of cost, the decisions made resulting from the analysis conducted in the three planning processes.

The current difficulty of isolating the objectives and benefits of

education made the use of the three economic processes in education peripheral at this time. The need for a concerted effort to determine educational objectives and benefits as a basis for the evaluation, in fiscal terms, of the ongoing operation of educational systems was well documented. Until the three economic processes named or other planning processes become operational, cost analysis remains as a currently functional technique to provide decisionmakers with expenditure information upon which to base educational planning decisions.

3. <u>Baseline data</u>. One of the purposes of this study was to provide baseline data for the individual comprehensives, and the population of comprehensives in the Province of Saskatchewan. This objective was achieved, within the limitations of the study and the data available within the population. This study presented a unit cost analysis of each comprehensive as well as normative data permitting population comprehensive comparison, particularly on aggregated totals of the major function-object classifications.

The value of the provincial function-object standardized accounting system for obtaining cost data was recognized. However, the need for a more uniform basic accounting system, within the various local administrative units was demonstrated. Extended standardization of expenditure accounts, an expansion of accounts maintained, and more uniform reporting procedures at the local level, would facilitate local cost analysis and the development of comparative cost data within the province. The second important need identified related to a reporting format to provide more information than the function-object classification currently utilized in the province. The use of a program accounting format within the school jurisdictions in the province, would provide decision-makers at all levels with a program oriented cost picture. This format provides a basis to evaluate the objectives of the system, and a measure to monitor any changes in priorities set for the achievement of these objectives.

The inclusion of capital "use" costs in terms of building, site and equipment in cost analysis provided decision-makers with two types of baseline data. The first type, the capital "use" costs of building and site provided a basis for decisions relative to the building of additional comprehensive schools. The question becomes, What is the economic feasibility of new buildings in terms of the resulting per student-enrolled "use" costs in the future? A second consideration is the establishment of a minimum potential enrollment figure which make the building of this type of school feasible.

The second type of capital "use" cost considered was capital equipment "use" cost and the need to establish capital equipment reserve allocations in budgets from the beginning of the operation of a new comprehensive. The ten year gross life expectancy used in this study should be refined by more detailed analysis of individual equipment life expectancy to rationalize capital equipment reserve budgeting.

4. <u>Findings applicable to budgeting, data processing, evaluating,</u> and decision-making processes in Saskatchewan. The finding of this study established the fact that decision-makers in the Province of Saskatchewan recognized the need for cost analysis information for individual school jurisdictions and the need for comparative data between school jurisdictions in the province. This information was seen to be a necessary decisionmaking input for the budgeting process, an evaluation of the ongoing school operation, and a means of planning for quality education within the bounds of economic feasibility.

This study provided only cost data for the population of comprehensive high schools in the province. Cost analysis studies could be made available to other school jurisdictions within the Province upon request. This study provided the necessary framework, computer programs, and reporting procedures to conduct basic cost analysis studies within school systems in the province, and serves as a basis for more refined analysis procedures in the future.

The question of making this type of analysis available throughout the province remains to be answered.

Specific Conclusions and Implications

The descriptive nature of this study allows few generalizable conclusions. However within each of the cost analysis categories cost differences appear which give scope to specific analysis implications. The following
specific conclusions and implications are structured to utilize the basic framework of the study.

<u>Direct Instructional Costs</u>. The wide variation of course costs within the comprehensives points to the need for a close examination by decisionmakers of: (1) the establishment of minimum course enrollment guidelines, (2) the examination of the variety and number of courses offered within each curricular program, and (3) the determination of required numbers of courses to be offered in high cost - low demand curricular programs.

Approximately twenty-five per cent of the student-course enrollment total within the comprehensives was within the vocational-technical area.

Over half of these student-courses were in the business education curricular program. This information indentified two areas for further consideration. Why was the student-course enrollment so low in the non-business education programs in the technical-vocational area? What can decisionmakers do to increase the enrollment in these curricular programs?

Four basic causes for the low enrollment in the non-business education technical-vocational curricular programs appear to emerge. The first three may be aggregated under the general heading of benefits. Students enrolled in these curricular programs were not assured of: (1) acceptance in all faculties at university, (2) complete recognition of previous achievement at the technical-vocational institutes, and (3) recognition of the time spent in these courses by a reduction of the apprenticeship period, should they enter a trade. The fourth reason appears to have sociological implications, in that high achieving students took academic rather than technical-vocational classes.

Suggestions for educational decision-makers relative to increasing technical-vocational course enrollment appear to separate into three categories: (1) analysis of the courses offered within curricular programs; (2) interaction between decision-makers in universities, technical-vocational institutes and labour boards, and comprehensive schools, and (3) public relations to promote acceptance of technical-vocational programs and the objectives established for these programs, by students and interested publics.

In the analysis of the courses offered in the technical-vocational area the following questions appear relevant. (1) Should there be a greater provincial standardization of course content? (2) Are too many courses being offered within curricular programs? (3) What specific objectives form the basis of priorities currently in operation relative to the course offerings in this area?

Progress has been made in the acceptance of students using technicalvocational courses as electives within some faculties at the universities. Renewed effort on the part of secondary education decision-makers is still required to communicate with university, technical-vocational, and labour board decision-makers to assure students enrolled in technical-vocational courses a greater "pay-off" than psychic benefit. Public relations to gain public and student support of the value of technical-vocational courses provides no easy or rapid solution. The problem is to change a long-held-misconception of the role of technical-vocational programs at the secondary school level. Concentrated and unified efforts of all education personnel is required to make any headway in this area.

Finally, in the direct instructional unit cost area, consideration should be given to the wide variation of general preparation time assigned to teachers within the comprehensives. A clarification of this time allotment should be made by mutual discussion among certificated personnel, and resident and non-resident comprehensive officials, to ensure that the objectives of this time allotment are achieved.

Indirect Instructional Costs. Two conclusions with implications emerge in this section of the study: (1) the need for more detailed accounting procedures in some of the comprehensives to obtain exact costs of the supply and equipment expenditures made in each curricular area and (2) the introduction of remedial assistance for all students and the assignment of specific preparation time to achieve this goal.

The current practice of aggregating instructional costs should be revised so that exact expenditure records are maintained within each curricular area. The increased accounting requirements would be well justified by the information output for planning purposes within each curricular area and provision of

basic information for future cost analysis studies.

The provision of remedial assistance for students in all curricular programs through the assignment of specific preparation time to teachers appeared to have merit in the comprehensive schools where this program was operable. An analysis of the benefits and costs of such remedial programs in each of the comprehensives appears to be warranted.

Implementary Costs. Many of the expenditure categories in implementary costs were beyond the immediate control of educational decisionmakers, e.g. fixed charges, transportation costs, maintenance costs, debt service, transfer charges. However, four areas which require further analysis, relate to those costs which can be readily identified: (1) supervision service costs, (2) plant operation costs, (3) auxiliary service function costs, and (4) general service function costs.

The variation in priorities which govern expenditures for student supervision requires that decision-makers re-evaluate the need for this function within comprehensive schools. The two schools of thought, mirrored by the expenditures for this function, appear to be that students do, or do not require supervision. If it is determined, within specific comprehensives, that supervision of students is required, then a second question arises. Should the supervision be provided by certified teachers or could para-professional personnel perform the function to the desired standard, resulting in a lower per-student-enrolled cost? Plant operation costs varied throughout the comprehensives, apparently unrelated to school size, total energy facilities, or type of program offered within the school. Further analysis of plant operation costs are required throughout the comprehensives to determine the expenditure needs in the area. Specific suggestions include: (1) inservice education for building superintendents to determine viable work schedules for caretaking staffs, (2) determination of supply needs, (3) determination of hourly utility and caretaking costs to establish adequate rental scales for building facilities for non-comprehensive program building use, and (4) the cost investigation of privately contracted caretaking services for each comprehensive.

The costs incurred in the auxiliary service function programs conducted within the comprehensives should be analyzed to see if the priorities established during the 1970–1971 school year met the pre-determined objectives in this area. The cost of internal substitution within the population is an example of a program requiring further analysis.

General service function costs varied throughout the comprehensives pointing to the need for detailed analysis in this area. The following questions exemplify the types of further analysis which may be considered in this area. What guidance counselling provisions are necessary for Division IV students? Are educational television production facilties economically feasible in terms of the curricular program materials produced and rebroadcast? What is the most practical student enrollment before department heads are appointed? Is student attendance supervision and accounting necessary at the Division IV level? Should audio-visual technicians be utilized in all comprehensives? These and other questions of relevance to individual comprehensives are suggested as areas of further research.

<u>Capital "Use" Costs</u>. The relevance of capital building and site costs have been discussed previously in relation to the construction of additional comprehensive schools (supra: 268). This section emphasizes capital equipment "use" costs conclusions and implications.

The need for accurate capital equipment inventory and depreciation schedules has been discussed previously in this Chapter. However one implication relative to capital equipment expenditure being evaluated currently in the Province of Alberta requires comment.

In view of the limited enrollment in some of the vocational-technical curricular programs, and the need for large capital expenditures to equip the laboratories in these areas some type of capital equipment and maintenance lease contracting appears to be economically feasible.

The basic premise for capital equipment lease contracting is that only equipment required for the student enrollment within given curricular programs would be leased from a private or a public leasing agency. This would reduce the need to purchase complete laboratory equipment required in each curricular area, would overcome variations in student enrollment and would minimize the cost for depreciation and absolesence. A pool of capital equipment could supply the needs of each comprehensive school.

A second consideration in this area has implications for postsecondary education. The comprehensive schools, as structured and equipped, offer most of the provisions necessary to house and instruct community college programs. The benefits of such programs are well recognized. The cost of using the comprehensive buildings and equipment after the regularly scheduled high school day program would encourage the introduction of community colleges in existing facilities, and would appear to be economically feasible as well as sociologically desirable.

<u>Total and Complete Educational Costs.</u> A general concern expressed in relation to cost analysis and program accounting questions the use that may be made of the cost data produced and the implications that such data may have for educational planning. It is frequently argued that the input data of the decision-making process, measured in expenditures, will receive more emphasis than the more difficult-to-measure outputs desired. However, cost data alone is of little functional value unless the related general and performance objectives of the system form the basis of all comparisons.

A second concern associated with cost analyses is the implication that teachers' professional activities are limited to the assigned time indicated by the school master timetable. Recent studies on the average work week of secondary teachers show that the timetable scheduled activities of teachers form only part of their education related activities. Teachers perform many obligatory and voluntary tasks outside of the allocated time schedule, and put in many hours of self-directed activity related to their professional role.

In general, cost analysis data in the form of total or complete educational costs are only a determination, in expenditure terms, of the priorities currently operable within a school or a school system. The value of the data output lies in the use to which it is put by decision-makers to plan future objectives and priorities, within a cost framework, for the improvement of education.

III. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

A number of suggested avenues for further research have been proposed throughout this study. These suggestions can be categorized into two subdivisions: (1) internal design of cost analysis studies and (2) other possible approaches to cost analysis.

Internal Design of Cost Analysis Studies

Subsequent researchers of the cost analysis process in education be guided by the following suggestions:

(1) A more refined method of capital cost proration is required in terms of the need for definitive depreciation and obsolescence rates, and also the need to determine net costs within each curricular area.

(2) Computer programs should be refined to allow the input data to be reduced and to enable a unified and complete output of all related costs to be obtained in a one step process.

(3) Provision should be made for the control of input categories such as teacher salaries, resident implementary costs, etc., to determine further relationships within cost categories.

(4) Standard units, in the form of student-equivalents, total enrollment equivalents, credit equivalents and time equivalents should be incorporated into the process.

(5) A diary approach to certificated and non certificated personnel activity within schools should be used to obtain exact faculty workload survey data rather than the estimated data currently available.

Other Possible Approaches to Cost Analysis

Extensions to the cost analysis approach used in this study are numerous, however some specific suggestions may prove of benefit.

The first extension suggested is the development of a predictive function to the cost analysis framework utilized in this study. Such a function would provide decision-makers with a longitudinal evaluation of priority decisions made at the present time.

The development of cost analysis procedures as an integral part of cost-benefit, cost-effectiveness and PPBS processes should be an on going process as output evaluation measures are established in education.

The relation between intergovernmental fiscal transfer agreements and educational costs should be evaluated to determine their effect on expenditures at the school system level.

The introduction of provincial data banks for cost analysis information, in terms of faculty workload information and expenditure data, should be considered as a logical extension to isolated cost studies.

Cost analyses serve primarily as the starting point for subsequent studies of various degrees of specificity. The allocation decisions made by educational decision-makers are improved when cost data is readily available.

• .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

.

.

. .

•

. .

.

•

.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Atherton, P. J. "Alberta Junior College Cost Studies: Number 1, Mount Royal Junior College," <u>Research Studies in Post Secondary Education</u>. Edmonton, Alberta: Provincial Board of Post Secondary Education, December, 1968.
- Atherton, P. J., A. J. Y. Guy, D. Richards, B. Sharples and A. W. Watson
 "An Examination of Cost Benefit and Cost Effectiveness Analysis in Education," A Report Submitted to the Educational Planning Mission, Human Resources Research Council, Edmonton, Alberta, May, 1971.
- Barro, S.M. "Development of a Program Structure," Program Budgeting for School District Planning: Concept and Applications, S.A. Haggart, et al. Santa Monica: The Rand Corporation, RM-6116-RC, November, 1969.
- Blaug, M. "Cost Benefit and Cost Effectiveness Analysis in Education." Budgeting, Program Analysis and Cost Effectiveness in Educational Planning, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Technical Reports. Paris: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1968, pp. 173–184.
- Cage, Bobby Nyle. "Cost Analysis of Selected Educational Programs in Area Schools of Iowa," Unpublished Doctoral thesis, Iowa State University, 1968.
- Cage, B. N. and Richard Manatt. "Cost Analysis of Selected Educational Programs in the Community Colleges of Iowa," <u>The Journal of Educational</u> Research, 63:2, October, 1969, pp. 66–70.
- Dei Rossi, J.A. "The Program Budget and the Traditional Budget," in S.A. Haggart, et al, <u>Program Budgeting for School District Planning</u>: <u>Concepts and Applications</u>, Santa Monica: The Rand Corporation, RM-6116-RC, November, 1969.
- Department of Education, Province of Alberta. "School Building Regulations" Edmonton, Department of Education (Alberta), July 1970, (mimeographed).
- Department of Education, Province of Saskatchewan. "Division IV Program Policies" Regina: Department of Education (Saskatchewan), September, 1970, (mimeographed).

- Deputy, Rex. "Cost Analysis of Selected Educational Programs in the High Schools of Iowa." Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, 1969.
- Duke, William R. "A Cost Analysis of Selected Schools in an Urban School System," Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1970.
- Eurchuk, M. E. "A Unit Cost Analysis of the Educational Expenditures of the Grande Prairie School District No. 2357; 1969–70," Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1970.
- Evans, J. M. "Total Cost of Educational Programs," <u>College and University</u> Business, 17:3, September, 1954.
- Fowler, Harmon R., Jr. "Selected Variables Related to Differential Cost Programs in Community Colleges," Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, The University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, 1970.
- Fowlkes, J. G. and A. L. Hansen. "Business Management-Accounting, Auditing and Reporting," <u>Problems and Issues in Public School Finance</u>. Edited by R. L. Johns and E. L. Morphet. New York: National Conference of Professors of Educational Administration, 1952.
- Furno, Olando F. "Program Budgeting and School Quality," Association of Educational Data Systems Monitor, V(9), April, 1967, pp. 9–17.

Government of Canada, Treasury Board, <u>Financial Management</u>. Ottawa: October, 1966.

- Hartley, Harry J. <u>Programme Budgeting and Cost Effectiveness in Local Schools</u>, Paper presented to the meeting of the Ad Hoc Group on Budgeting, Programme Analysis and Cost Effectiveness in Educational Planning, 3rd-5th April, 1968. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1968.
- Hartley, H. J. "Program Budgeting and Cost Effectiveness in Local Schools," Budgeting, Program Analysis and Cost-Effectiveness in Educational Planning, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development Technical Reports. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1968, pp. 111-127.
- Hammar, H. C.F. "Programme Budgeting in Sweden," <u>Budgeting, Program</u> <u>Analysis and Cost Effectiveness in Educational Planning</u>, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development Technical Reports. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1968, pp. 291–294.

- Hill, Lamar Lucius and Frank Leroy Mattex. "Program Budgeting in Public School Districts," Unpublished Doctoral thesis, The University of California, 1967.
- Hirch, W.Z. "The Budget as an Instrument of Medium and Long-Range Planning and Programming in Education," <u>Budgeting, Program Analysis and Cost-Effectiveness in Educational Planning</u>, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Technical Reports. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1968. pp. 92-106.
- Hull, L.E. "An Approach to Institutional Cost Analysis," Journal of Experimental Education, 31:6, December, 1962.
- Illinois Junior College Board and Illinois Board of Higher Education. "Unit Cost Study Manual for Illinois Public Junior Colleges." Springfield, Illinois: Illinois Junior College Board, 1969. (mimeographed).
- Judy, R.W. Simulation and Rational Resource Allocation in Universities. Toronto: Office of Institutional Research, 1966.
- Keene, Thomas Wayne. "Foundation Program Cost Differentials for Community Colleges." Unpublished Doctoral dissertation, The University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, 1963.
- Kelly, Fred J. "Adequate Cost Analysis as a Basis for Budget Making," Journal of Educational Research, May, 1923. pp. 414–419.
- Knezevich, S. J. and J. G. Fowlkes. <u>Business Management of Local School</u> <u>Systems</u>. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1960.
- Linn, H. H. <u>School Business Administration</u>. New York: The Ronald Press Company, 1956.
- McDowell, S. "The Financial Crunch in Public Education," <u>The Saskatchewan</u> Administrator, Special Edition, October, 1969.
- McIsaac, J. C. "Educational Finance," <u>The Saskatchewan Administrator</u>, Special Edition, October, 1969.
- Miller, J. L. Jr. <u>State Budgeting for Higher Education</u>: <u>The Use of Formulas</u> <u>and Cost Analysis</u>. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Institute of Public Administration, 1964.
- Morsch, William C. <u>Study of Community Colleges and Vocational Training</u> <u>Centre:</u> <u>Cost Analysis</u>. Washington: Bureau of Social Science Research, 1969.

- Musgrave, Richard A. The Theory of Public Finance. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1959.
- Myroon, John Lyon. "Unit Cost Analysis of the Educational Expenditures of the County of Thorhild, 1967–68," Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1969.
- National Committee on Standard Reports for Institutions of Higher Education. <u>Financial Reports for Colleges and Universities</u>. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1935.
- Palethorpe, S. D. "Unit Cost Analysis of the Educational Expenditures of the County of Grande Prairie 1969–1970," Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1970.
- Parry, Ernest B. "An Investigation of Cost Differentials Between Trade, Technical, and College Parallel Curriculums Offered in North Carolina's System of Comprehensive Junior Colleges." Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1968.
- Reason, P. L. and A. L. White, editors. <u>Financial Accounting for Local and State School Systems:</u> <u>Standard Receipt and Expenditure Accounts.</u> Washington: United States Government Printing Office, 1966. Also referred to as: "State Educational Records and Reports Series: Handbook 1," Bulletin, 1957, No. 4.
- Rosenstengel, W. E. and J. N. Eastmond. <u>School Finance: Its Theory and</u> <u>Practice</u>. New York: The Ronald Press Company, 1957.
- Rourke, Francis E. and Glen E. Brooks. <u>The Managerial Revolution in Higher</u> <u>Education</u>. Baltimore, Maryland: The John Hopkins Press, 1966.
- Russell, John Dale and James I. Doi. "Analysis of Institutional Expenditures," <u>College and University Business</u>, Part 1, 19:3, September, 1965, pp. 19–21.
- Russell, John Dale and Floyd W. Reeves. <u>Finance</u>. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1935.
- Stevens, E. B. and Edward C. Elliott. Unit Costs of Higher Education. New York: Macmillan, 1925.
- Schwarz, B. "Introduction to Programme Budgeting and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis in Educational Planning," <u>Budgeting</u>, <u>Program Analysis and Cost-Effectiveness</u> <u>in Educational Planning</u>. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Developmental Technical Reports. Paris: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, 1968. pp. 26-55.

Vaizey, J. The Cost of Education, London: Macmillan, 1958.

- Wattenbarger, James L., Bob N. Cage, L. H. Arney. "The Community Junior College: Target Population, Program Costs and Cost Differentials." Gainesville, Florida: National Educational Finance Project, Special Study No. 6, 1970.
- Wells, John K. "A Study of Net Expenditures of Selected Curricular Programs at East Los Angeles College." Unpublished Ed. D. Dissertation. University of California, Berkeley, 1966.
- Wildavsky, Aaron. "The Political Economy of Efficiency: Cost-Benefit Analysis, Systems Analysis, and Program Budgeting," <u>Public Administration Review</u>, 26:4, December, 1966, pp. 292–310.
- Williams, Robert L. "Instructional Cost Studies in Perspective," College and University Business, 23:3, March, 1959.
- Workman, William Lawrence. "An Analysis of the Operating Expenditures of Three Junior Colleges," Unpublished Master's thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton, 1969.

APPENDIX A

.

.

PRELIMINARY ACTIVITIES



287

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY MINISTER

JEW/pm

د مانز که

Regina, Saskatchewan, May 26, 1970.

To: Chairmen of School Boards and Principals of Comprehensive High Schools

The bearer of this letter, Mr. A. J. Guy, has revealed his entire plan for the study "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools in Saskatchewan" to senior officials in the Department of Education. We strongly endorse this study as the documentation will be of an intangible benefit to school boards in the province. May I solicit your co-operation in making available to Mr. Guy the information he requests.

Sincerely yours,

Jeley mark.

J. E. Weymark, Associate Deputy Minister, Administration Division.



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

EHF/emm

Avord Tower, Regina, Saskatchewan, May 25, 1970. 288

Dear Mr. Guy:

I am pleased to endorse your study on the Unit Cost Analysis of the Comprehensive High Schools in Saskatchewan. I understand that you are undertaking this study on behalf of the Saskatchewan School Trustee Association as part of their research program and as part of your own study for your doctoral degree in Educational Administration.

I am sure you will obtain the co-operation and support of the principals and staffs of the various schools as the result of your research may have far reaching implications for comprehensive education in Saskatchewan.

Yours faithfully,

A/Director, Supervisory Services.

Mr. Alex. Guy, Department of Educational Administration, University of Alberta, EDMONTON, Alberta.

11.5



SASKATCHEWAN SCHOOL TRUSTEES ASSOCIATION

PHONE 522-7685

REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

February 27, 1970

Mr. A. J. Y, Guy Department of Educational Administration 801 General Services Building University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta

Dear Mr. Guy:

As Mr. Langlois has informed you, the Executive of the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association has approved sponsorship of your suggested study entitled, "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools in the Province of Saskatchewan" up to a total of \$10,000. We are somewhat at a loss to decide how the money should be made available for the study, particularly, since the study is not to begin until 1971.

With the sponsored studies which are being conducted through the University of Saskatchewan at both Saskatoon and Regina we pay the money at various appropriate time to the University through the office of the Business Manager. It is then released by him as needed on the requisition of the head of the division in which the study is being conducted.

We shall be pleased to hear whether similar arrangements are available at the University of Alberta, and also to have your opinion as to how this matter can be satisfactorily handled.

Yours sincerely,

Jan

Tait J. Dr. Executive Assistant

JVT:1

c.c. 7. Chad



SASKATCHEVIAN SCHOOL TRUSTEES ASSOCIATION 570 AVORD TOWER PHONE 522-7665 REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

May 25, 1970

Mr. W. B. Knoll Secretary-Treasurer Regina Board of Education 1870 Lorne Street Regina, Saskatchewan

Re: Research Project on Comprehensive High Schools

Mr. A. J. Guy, who is a Regina teacher doing graduate work at the University of Alberta, is conducting a study entitled, "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools" for the Research Branch of this Association. This will be a rather extensive study, and one which we feel is important to the major school systems of Saskatche-Wan.

In the course of his study, Mr. Guy will need certain information in regard to one or two of your schools, and this will be facilitated by the willing co-operation of your administration. We would be pleased if you would place before your Board our request for such co-operation with Mr. Guy in supplying any available information necessary for his study. Your assistance in this matter will be much appreciated.

L. I. Thorson Executive Secretary

290



SASILATCHEWAN SCHOOL TRUSTEES ASSOCIATION 570 AVORD TOWER PHONE 522-7485 REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

May 25, 1970

Mr. W. G. Fleming Secretary-Treasurer Yorkton Regional High School Board Darlington Street & Myrtle Avenue Yorkton, Saskatchewan

Re: <u>Research Project on Comprehensive High Schools</u>

Mr. A. J. Guy, who is a Regina teacher doing graduate work at the University of Alberta, is conducting a study entitled, "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools" for the Research Branch of this Association. This will be a rather extensive study, and one which we feel is important to the major school systems of Saskatchewan.

In the course of his study, Mr. Guy will need certain information in regard to one of your schools, and this will be facilitated by the willing co-operation of your administration. We would be pleased if you would place before your Board our request for such co-operation with Mr. Guy in supplying any available information necessary for his study. Your assistance in this matter will be much appreciated.

L. I. Thorson Executive Secretary

291

T:1



SASKATCHEWAN SCHOOL TRUSTEES ASSOCIATION

570 AVORD TOWER

PHONE \$22-7685

REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN

292

May 26, 1970

TO SCHOOL BOARDS OPERATING COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS AND THEIR OFFICIALS

The bearer of this letter, Mr. A. J. Guy, is a doctoral student at the University of Alberta who is conducting a study entitled, "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools in Saskatchewan", for the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association. Mr. Guy was a very efficient Saskatchewan school principal before embarking on his graduate work at the University of Alberta. He was highly recommended to the Research Committee of your organization as a competent person to do this study. Working with Mr. Guy in an advisory and supporting capacity is a group of senior professors of the University of Alberta.

I am certain that the Research Committee believes that this study will be of great value to education in our Province and especially to urban school boards who are operating comprehensive schools.

It is my sincere hope and that of the Research Committee that you will find it possible to co-operate with Mr. Guy in this study and provide him with the data which is necessary to make valid his findings and the study useful to the school boards of the Province.

Yours sincerely,

7

Wilact

Dr. J. W. Tait Executive Assistant

JWT:1

THE SASKATOON COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE BOARD 293

F. J. GATHERCOLE, B.A., Ed.D.

OFFICES: 211 FOURTH AVENUE SOUTH BASKATOON Seek.

EDWARD WEDGE, B.Acc., F.C.LS. Secretary-Treasurer

Director of Education

June 3, 1970.

Mr. L.I. Thorson, Executive Secretary, Saskatchewan School Trustees Association, 570 Avord Tower, REGINA, Saskatchewan.

Dear Mr. Thorson:

RE: Research Project on Comprehensive High Schools

The Saskatoon Collegiate Board will be pleased to co-operate with your association and with Mr. A.J. Guy in your research study entitled "A Unit Cost Analysis of Comprehensive High Schools".

May I suggest that when Mr. Guy wishes to work in Saskatoon he get in touch with me so that we may make available to him whatever information he should require in this regard.

Yours sincerely.

til

Gathercole, DIDECTOR OF EDUCATION, SASKATOON PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND COLLEGIATES.

IJG/cm

- -----

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION

FOR

THE REGINA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4 OF SASKATCHEWAN AND

THE REGINA COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE

294 COP 101 YOULINISTIATION DEFICES Change of Address 1870 Lorne Street REGINA, BASK.

June 12, 1970,

Mr. L. I. Thorson, Executive Secretary, Sask. School Trustees' Association, 570 Avord Tower, Regina, Saskatchewan.

Dear Sir:

Re: Research Project on Comprehensive High Schools

Your letter of May 25, 1970 regarding the above-mentioned Research Project was considered by the Board at its meeting on June 10.

I would advise you that the Board has agreed to co-operate with you by assisting Mr. Guy with his study.

Yours very truly,

W. B. Knoll Secretary-Treasurer.

WBK:VjMc



THE SASKATCHEWAN TEACHERS' FEDERATION

2317 ARLINGTON AVENUE

SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN

BOX 1108

PHONE 373-1660

295

I am pleased to endorse the study being undertaken by Mr. Alex J. Guy, Department of Education Administration, University of Alberta, Edmonton 7, Alberta, and I hope that you will find it possible to assist him in his work.

This research will make a study of the real costs of subjects, programs, and administration in eleven comprehensive high schools in Saskatchewan.

The collection of data, especially the staff workload surveys, will be conducted in September and again in February in semester based schools.

The importance of this study at the present time is obvious. The information will be of value in decisions concerning budgeting, grants, and structuring programs.

The study should be completed in August, 1971, and a report will be available to each participating school and a copy of the study will be in the Saskatchewan Teachers' Federation library.

> Yours sincerely, Stirling Mc Dowell

Stirling McDowell, General Secretary.

APPENDIX B

•

.

DETERMINATION OF COURSES OFFERED



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Applied Arts and Sciences

c.c. Mrs. A. Sojonky LAR/cz Avord Tower, Regina, Saskatchewan. July 15, 1970.

Dear Mr. Guy:

Further to our talks about the research study on comprehensive high schools, it does not appear likely that we will have the kind of course breakdown you will require to do the unit cost analysis suggested in your study. Mrs. A. Sojonky is at the moment in touch with various Branches of the Department of Education which are now in the process of reviewing and revising forms for the collection of data. I would suggest that you contact her prior to planning your series of fall visits in order to identify any information which might be available through routine Departmental forms. One problem, of course, is the matter of timing our information may not be available until late in this calendar year or the beginning of the next.

If you happen to be in Regina, and have the time, I would much appreciate hearing further from you about the progress of your study.

Yours sincerely,

- CU

L. A. Riederer, Director, Program Development (Applied Arts and Sciences)

Mr. Alex J. Y. Guy, Department of Educational Administration, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.

~ (2)-- 2

MELFORT AND UNIT COMPREHENSIVE COLLEGIATE

MELFORT, SASKATCHEWAN

SCHOOL OFFICE BOX 99 K

June 25, 1970.

BUSINESS OFFICE BOX 2110

Mr. Alex Guy, Department of Educational Administration, 801 General Service Building, University of Alberta, EDMONTON 7. Alberta.

Dear Sir:

In response to our telephone conversation the other day, I give you the following outline. For the coming year 1970-71, we will be offering the following courses.

Grade IX

Home Economics, Orientation in (Electrical, Metal, Voc. Agriculture, Drafting)

Grade X

English (Literature and Composition), Mathematics (Mod. Algebra and Georetry) Commercial Mathematics, Shop Mathematics, General Mathematics, Science, Social Studies, Geography, French, Home Economics, Physical Education Credit, Bookkeeping, Typing, Food Service I, Food Service II, Farm Mechanics, Agricultural Technology, Engineering Technology, Electrical Technology, Electrical Vocational, Drafting Technology, Drafting Vocational, Motor Mechanics, Metal, Construction, Auto Service.

Grade XI

English (Literature and Composition), Algebra (Modern), Geometry, Shop Mathematics, Commercial Mathematics, Biology (B.S.C.S.), Chemistry (CHEM Study), Physics (P.S.S.C.), Physics (Modern), Social Studies, Geography, French, Home Economics, Physical Education Credit. Typing, Bookkeeping, Shorthand, Business Machines, Drafting Technology, Drafting Vocational, Electrical Technology, Electricity, Motor Mechanics, Metal, Construction.

Grade ZII

English (Literature and Composition), Algebra (Modern), Geometry-Thionometry-(Modern), Shop Math, Biology (3.S.C.S.), Biology (Traditional) Chemistry (CHEM Study), Physics (P.S.S.C.), Physics (Modern), Social Studies Feoremics. Typing & Office Practice, Mathematics & Business Machines, wookkeeping. Shorthand, French, Home Economics, Physical Education Gredit, Food Cervice I. Food Service II, Electrical Technology, Drafting Technology, Dratting Mosation Motor Mechanics, Metal, Construction, Amricultural Technology, Amricultural Machines, Farm Mechanics.

Special Commercial

Business English, Economics, Typing & Office Practice, Mathematics and Business Machines, Bookkeeping, Shorthand.

Hope that the above information is adequate. Will be at school during August so you may want to contact me then if further information is required.

Yours truly,

G. Montain, Assistant Principal.

GM/1s

APPENDIX C

.

FACULTY WORKLOAD SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

								10033
الأحدر فيتألف كالن فار	DIPECT SALA	DIPECT SALARIES (CLASSROCH) serveri		TEACIER'S UCRITICAD SURVEY)	SEAESTER			CENERAL FARRANTICY INT - TELEASE & Then of classes a taucht SPECIFIC FREMALTICY INT - FLEASE FRATCH IN LICE of the sheat on act FRATCH IN LICE of the sheat on act
Ar£		PASIC SALARY		X1 L	×			tics other than thread specific hears list activities and specific hears
CEPTIFICATE A SALARY CLASSIFICATION			EXPERIE'CE (Present Increment)	te Increment) .				LITE STATATION TINE MIN. FOR 4
	Course Ilme in "In. Per Veck	Grade of Level	form or Class Section	Enrolment Per Course	Length of Course Semester Full Yea		Is this Course Team Taught? YES NO	CENERAL PREPARATION TINE SPECIFIC PREPARATION TINE ACTIVITY
							-+-	
								TCTAL PSEFASATION TIME
							-	
-								SUPERVISIONAL TINE: Time allocated each week for suf
								vising (not instructing) students, e home room sumervision, study room S ^u
								vision, etc. vision, etc. Pieze list each supervisory act
							-	performed and the amount of time all cated to each activity.
								SUPERVISCRY ACTIVITY MIX. PER 5
Ceretal Prenarcijon Ilme Sancijie		2 3 	Please spacify preparation form provided at the right.	specify preparation and supervisional time in detail on the rovided at the right.	upervisional	time in de	call on the	
Prenaration The		∞ق ~ ا	Example of Total T R x 4C x 5 = 1,40C	<pre>le of Total Time: R periods of 40 minutes for 0 x 5 = 1,600 minutes.</pre>	s of 40 minut	tes for 5 days	- svc	THE AUSIANTS FEET
		. I	If course is taught by a team teaching unit, please list the TOTAL number of students you instruct in the course.	t by a team te you Instruct	aching unit, in the cours	please lis e.	t the TOIAL	30

)1

CIPALS, VICE-PRIVC SCHCML BASIC SALARY BASIC SALARY SALAR Crede or For Level 1. Please Corm Pr	DIRECT SALARIES (PRINCIPALS, UNCE-PRINCIPALS, DEPARTMENT HEADS AND COURSELLORS) DIRECT SALARIES (PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS, DEPARTMENT HEADS AND COURSELLORS) DIRECT SALARIES (PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS, DEPARTMENT HEADS AND COURSELLORS) SEMESTER SEM	ties officer them list activities time granted.	CLASSIFICATION EXPERIENCE (Present Increment) PREPARATION TIME MIN.	r Class Enrolment Length of Course is this Course tion Per Course Semester Pull Year Team Taught?		TOTAL PREPARATION TIME		Structure allocated each week for super-	home room supervision, study room supervision, study room super-	performed and the amount of time allo-	cated to each activity.	SUPERVISORY ACTIVITY		specify preparation and supervisional time in detail on the ovided at the right.	of Total Time: 8 periods of 40 minutes for 5 days =
CIPALS, V SCHOOL SCHOOL V BASIC S CIPALS, V	I CE - PR I VCI	ALARY	YANIA	For		-	+	-	+				-	Please spe form provi	Example of 8 x 40 x
	V . SLAT	BASIC S		Crede Level									-		~

IMPLEMENTARY SALARIES (PRINCIPALS, VICE-PRINCIPALS, DEPARTMENT HEADS AND COURSELLORS)

COURSE TIME (PAGE 1)		lin. Per Weck
AD THISTRATIVE THE		Hin. Per Ucek
COUNSELLING TIME		Min. Per Week
		Min. Per Week
OTHER (Specify)		Min. Per Veck
TUTAI.		MIN. PER VEEV
TUIAJ.	And in case of the local division of the loc	

PROPATIC'S OF ADDIDISTRATIVE OR COURSELLING DUTIES TO GRADE AND PROGRAM

POTE: It is recommended that the following percentages be estimated for a one-week period.

% of Time GRADE 7	901 ACADENIC
GRADE 7	
	908 ENCLISH
GRADE 8	909 MATHEMATICS
GRADE 9	910 SOCIAL STUDIES
GRADE 10	911 SOCIAL STUDIES OPTIONS .
GRADE 11	912 HOME ECONONICS
GRADE 12	913 FINE ARTS
	914 SCIENCE

<u>HOTE:</u> In prorating Administrative and Counselling Time in the column on the right:

- (a) Time spent equally over all academic subjects should be listed as <u>Academic Time</u>.
- (b) Time spent equally over all vocational-technical subjects should be listed as <u>Vocational-Technical Time</u>.

7. of Time
01 ACADENIC
908 ENGLISH
909 MATHEMATICS
910 SOCIAL STUDIES
911 SOCIAL STUDIES OPTIONS .
912 HOME ECONOMICS
913 FINE ARTS
914 SCIENCE
915 PHYSICAL EDUCATION
916 MODERN LANGUAGES
917 CHRISTIAN ETHICS
902 VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL
918 STRUCTURAL
919 VOCATIONAL A
920 MECHANICAL
921 MEDIA TECHNOLOGY
922 TECHNOLOGY
923 ELECTRICAL
924 GENERAL
925 INDUSTRIAL LABORATORY .
926 DRAFTING
927 COMPERCIAL COOKING
928 COSHETOLOGY
929 AGRICULTURE
930 DUSINESS EDUCATION
941 GUIDANCE - STUDENT COUNSELLING
942 LIBRARY

100 %

TOTAL

IUDIRECT SALARIES

NAME	SCH001
NA.16	SEX
POSITION	
CERTIFICATE NO.	BASIC SALARY SUPERVISORY ALLOWATCE
SALARY CLASSIFICATIO	EXPERIENCE (Present Increment)
	TINE SPENT BY GRADES AND PROGRAMS
MOTE: It is recommended that th	e following percentages be estimated for a one-week per
MY TIME IS DIVIDED ANONG THE GRADES AS FOLLOWS:	MY TIME IS DIVIDED AMONG THE PROGRAMS AS
% of Time	% of Tim
CRADE 7	901 ACADEMIC
	908 English
GRADE 8	910 Social Studies
	911 Sociel Studies Options .
GRADE 9	912 Home Economics
	913 Fine Arts
GRADE 10	914 Science
	915 Physical Education • • • 916 Modern Languages • • • •
GRADE 11	917 Christian Ethiça
GRADE 12	902 VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL
	918 Structural
	919 Vocational A
TOTAL 100 %	920 Hechanical
	921 Media Technology
	923 Electrical
	924 General
HOTE: In prorating your time	925 Industrial Laboratory .
spent among the programs:	926 Drafting
Spent anong the month and	927 Commercial Cooking
(a) Time spent equally over	r 928 Cosmetology
all academic subjects	929 Agriculture
should be listed as	430 pusiness Education
Academic Time.	941 GUIDANCE - STUDENT COUNSELLING
(b) Time spent equally ove	r
all vocational-technic subjects should be	•1 942 LIBRARY
listed as	943 AUDIO-VISUAL
Vocational-Technical I	ALL TELEVISION

100 % TOTAL.

SCHOOL CLERICAL STAFF, TEACHER AIDES

	SCHOOL	SEX
		ANNUAL SALARY
MY TIME IS	DIVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING	MY TIME DEVOTED TO MATERIAL PREPARATION FOR TEACHERS OR TEACHER AIDE DUTIES IS DIVIDED ANONG THE PROCRAMS AS FOLLOWS:
	% of Time	% of Time
Administra Activities	ative Related 5	901 ACADENIC
Material for Teach	Preparation ers	909 MATHEMATICS
Attendanc	e Keeping	910 SOCIAL STUDIES
Activitie	• • • • • • • • • • •	911 SOCIAL STUDIES OPTIONS
	Related Activities	912 HOME ECONOMICS
	Aide Duties · · ·	913 PINE ARTS
Other Du	tier (Specify)	914 SCIENCE
		915 PHYSICAL EDUCATION
		916 MODERN LANGUAGES
		917 CHRISTIAN ETHICS
		902 VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL
	TOTAL 100 %	918 STRUCTURAL
	to the	919 VOCATIONAL A
	In prorating Administrative	920 MECHANICAL
and Cou	nselling Time:	921 MEDIA TECHNOLOGY
(a)	Time spent equally over all academic subjects should be	922 TECHNOLOGY
	listed as <u>Academic Time</u> .	923 ELECTRICAL
(b)	Time spent equally over all vocational-technical subjects	924 GENERAL
	should be listed as Vocational-Technical Time.	
		926 DRAFTING
		927 CONSERCIAL COULTRS
		929 AGRICUITURE
		930 BUSTIESS EDUCATION
		941 GUIDANCE-STUDENT COUNSELLING
		941 CUIDARCE-STUDERY COURSELENCE
		942 LIBRART
		943 AUDIO-VISUAL
		TELEVISION

100 % TOTAL.

..... _ -
APPENDIX D

STUDENT ENROLLMENT AND TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS

OF POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

TABLE XXXI

STUDENT ENROLMENT IN COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOLS BY GRADE AND TOTAL BASED ON SEPTEMBER 30,1970 AND FEBRUARY 28, 1971 ENROLMENT

Comprehensive	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade	Grade	Grade	Total
A			313	223	251	787
B			231	182	201	614
c°		15	207	141	162	525
D			163	181	138	482
E			305	311	251	868
F	312	353	371	334	305	1675
G		485	418	383	346	1632
н		394	427	384	374	1579
I		369	428	382	370	1549
j			484	414	405	1303
κ			375	400	356	1131
Total	312	1616	3722	3335	3159	12145

^a A non-semested school – Enrolment as of September 30, 1970, adjusted to include part time Vocational–Technical and Home Economics students.

TABLE XXXII

ENROLMENTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAMS IN THE POPULATION

Caricular Pressan	<	-	U	۵	ш	u.	U	I	-	-	×
							145		4 57	3587	1054
Cranen unio English	ž	2811	93	ž	ğ c	1 021	2481 2921	<u>8</u> 5	<u>3</u> 3	38	8
Fine Art	Ð	ž į	216	5		8	24	485	8	5 88	111
Hene Economics Muthematics	8		- 5	3	3	2539	2062	ରୁ ଟ୍ଲ	0261	700	578 578
Mudern Languages	Ā	210	ž (83	5 5	90	33	1001	178	3 3	128
Mynicel Education Science	4 §		13	R	21	2962	1648 1171	8 7 71	2124 1768	1258	1413
Secial Studies	6001	5	3:		87A		2	Ì		152	10
Agriculture	2	r j	z Ę	24	ğ	53	1028	2911	ð:	1255	1245
Rusinen Brucetien Commucial Carlins		Ş	12	,	8;		88 8	211	17	រដ	8
Camelolagy	9	2	2	69	R 6		3	3	418	83	6
	2 9	<u>i</u> 8	12	2	R	ŝ	3 2	2	8 3	3 22	5
Conser	R	1	3 !	711	81	3	611	313	283	38	53
Mechanical	2		X	2			8	8	<u>r</u> 1	8	<u>,</u> 2
Media Technology Smetheol		Ŧ	ą	\$	4	57	R	216	5	5	8
Technology	ł				101	2				8	2
Vecational A Driver Education	X	22									
Guidence		6					į	12261	10021	16/11	1998
Ĩ	107	123	1497	Ī		61711	À	<u>}</u>			

TABLE XXXIII

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, AGE, EXPERIENCE AND SALARY OF TEACHERS BY SCHOOL

Comprehensive High School	No. of Teachers	Average Age	Average Salary	Average Experience (salary purposes)	Average Training (years)
Α	43	37.87	9849.06	7.77	3.95
В	33.5	32.15	9444.09	6.34	4.03
с	33	35.45	8715.32	6.55	4.09
D	23	36.22	9839.69	6.52	4.33
E	44	39.63	10217.48	7.56	4.25
F	82	35.73	9985.67	6.49	4.56
G	79	32 .68	9448.62	5.62	4.28
н	82	35.95	10973.00	6.91	4.69
I	79	36.62	11004.65	6.97	4.73
J	69.5	36.71	9902 .69	6.14	4.12
κ	59	38.52	11120.57	7.97	4.58

TABLE XXIV

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, AGE AND SALARY OF TEACHERS BY POPULATION

otal Number of Teachers	627
Average Salary	10,217.36
Average Age	36.10
Average Experience (for Salary Purposes)	6.76
Average Training (for Salary Purposes)	4.40

TABLE XXXV

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprensive A							
Oristian Bhics			8		3C 1	ж ж	ж ж
English	0.4	0 5° 5	2.0	00° /¥8' 6	Q 8 ≩ 8		~~ ~
Fine Arts	0.1	8.4	8.0	10,000.01	3.85	N . N .	
Home Economics	0 . 5	4.0	8.	7,482.00	23.00		00.001
Mathematics	1	4.78	00.01	12,061.11	47 .56	77.78	2.2
Modern Longunges	2.0	2.50	00. 9	6,930.00	43.50		100.00
Phaine Schmution	2.5	4.00	6.20	9,723.00	28.60	40.0	8.8
	6.0	4.17	7.17	10,569.00	34.75	100.001	
		4.25	7.50	10.620.00	39.75	75.00	25.00
			8	8,852,40	26.40	50.00	50.00
but i nets caucation		3	31		37.75	07 EN	12 50
Vocational Technical	8.0	3.37	7.87		2. 95	NC• /0	7

311

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive B							
Christian Ethics English	ŝ	4.20	8.20	10,685.20	¥ 8.8	80.08	8.8 8.8
Fine Arts Hame Economics Mathematics	- 0 4	6.00 2.50 4.12	2.00 3.50 5.12	8,960.00 6,142.00 9,389.25	25.00 27.75	00.001	8.8
Modern Languages Physical Education	00	3.50	5.00 A 93	8,327.00 10.078.00	26.50 31.83	50.00 100.00	50.00
Science Social Studies Business Education Vocational Technical	, u u o	4 .33 3.67	0.00 6.00 3. 4 2	11,740.00 8,482.66 7,947.00	44. 67 27.33 23.00	100.00 86.67 100.00	33.33

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive C							
Oristian Ethics Earlish	ę	4.00	6.67	99.191,01	36.67	00.001	
Fine Arts Home Economics	~ ~	5.00 4.50	6.00 10.00	10,264.00 12,002.50	35.50 35.00	100.001	100.00
Mathemarics Mudern Languages Physical Education		3.50 4 75	7.00	9,104.50 10,040.25	30.00 28.00	50.00 75.00	50.00 25.00
Science Social Studies Business Education Vocational Technical	t 4 0 V	4.5 0 3.40	7.75 4.67 4.60	8,569.00 7,460.00 8,536.36	43.50 39.67 35.60	00.001 80.08	100.00 20.00

313

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Males	Per Cent Females
Comprehensive D							
Orristian Ethics E1:-k	n	4.33	00.01	10,883.33	56.00	00.001	
cryitan Fine Arts Home Economics		8 .4	5.00 7.25	9,250.00 10,525.75	32.00 40.75	50.00	100.00 50.00
Mathematics Modern Languages	4 - 0	, 9 8 9 8 8	8.4	10,703.00 7,669.50	32.00 26.00	8.8 8.8 8.8	50.00
Physical baucarion Science Social Studies	I M – (5.8 8.8 8.8	5.67 10.00 2.00	10,118.66 12,020.00 7.325.00	22 .0 .0	8.8	00.001
Business Education Vocational Technical	n n	3.83 3.83	6.67	9,211.33	30.0 0	100.00	

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS OF CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive E							
Christian Ethics English	\$	4.50	7.42	10,686.16	37.25	75.00	25.00
Fine Arts	1 5	3_33	7,00	10.200.00	48.33		100.001
Home Economics	- v	4.45	60.6	11, 161.09	42.36	81.82	18.18
	2.0	5.00	7.00	10,790.00	31.50	100.00	5
Modern Largueges	2	3.00	5.50	8,461.50	37.50	20.00	N .06
	- - 0	4.85	9.83	11,965.66	43.50	100.001	
Science	v •	200	5.67	9,980.22	31.00	00.001	
Social Studies	;	2.05	7.50	8,969.75	43.00	37 .60	62.50
Business Education Vocational Technical	• ~	3.21	6.14	8, 180.71	35.57	78 .57	21.43

315

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive F							
Christian Ethics		1	:		2		73 23
Enalish	13.5	4.78	6.4	10,2/3.00	18.85	4	00.00
Fire Arts	6.0	4.33	5.00	9,568.93	33.17	83.33	16.67
Home Frommics	3.0	4.33	8.66	10,504.83	35.66		00.001
Muthematics	11.0	4.73	7.36	11,125.98	33.45	16.06	60°6
Modern Lonources	6.0	4.33	7.33	10,591.56	37.00	33.33	66.67
Physical Education	6.0	4.08	2.75	7,892.33	26.83	50.00	50.00
Science	0,11	4.82	6.18	10,777.54	33.09	81.82	18.18
Sector Studies	8.0	4.75	00.7	10,960.65	35.87	75.00	25.00
Business Education	3.0	4.00	8.33	9,868.80	42.33		100.00
Vocational Technical	7.0	4.00	5.14	9,611.59	35.14	100.00	

316

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive G							
	•	A 75	2 JK	8 800 25	26.60	100,001	
Oristian Ethics) v • 0		2 7	9.436.57	31.84	36.84	63.16
trol ish		2.5	8.5		44,00	100.001	
Fine Arts	, c	3 .7		0 444 60	35.33		100.001
Hame Economics	0.0 0	9	8. 8. 7.	10 05 37	5	83,33	16.67
Mathematics	0.7	10.4	97. /	20 020 0	2.2	8	00.04
Modern Languages	5.0	4.00	0.0		3	33	
Physical Education	•••	4.25	8.4	8,384.49	c/~ 9Z	20.00	N . N .
	2	4.44	6.37	9.857.28	34.88	100.00 00.00	
Science	0.0	05	5.64	9,868.66	30,86	78.57	21.43
Social Studies	2		07 6	0 107 40	31 62	38.46	
Business Education	0.0	04.0	2.07	0, 101, 0		2	
Vocational Technical	8.0	3.50	7.06	9 ,698 .05	34.50	75.00	
				•			

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive H							
Christian Ethics English	14.0	4.86	6.57	11,100.70	31.64	78.57	21.43
Home Economics	3.5 A	5.8 8	12.0	8,308.29	23.00 28.50	70 OK	00.001
Modern Languages	, , ,	4 .73	÷	11,550.52	8.8 8.8		21.33 12.33
Physical Education	5.5	4.45	5.64	10,329.63	30.64	54.55	45.45
Science Social Studies	8.5 2.5	5.00	5.33 8.67	10,807.29 12.243.45	29.16 39.13	00 . 00 26.67	12.33
Business Education		3.67	6.50	9,898.66	42.00	16. <i>6</i> 7	83.33
Vocational Technical	12.0	3.%	7.42	10,684.52	37.33	<i>.</i> 91 . 67	8.33

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Pragram	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive							
Orristian Ethics							
Enalish	12.5	4.52	5.44	10,229.43		4. 0	56.0
Fire Arts	2.0	4.50	00.01	12,337.00		100.001	
Home Economics	3.0	4.67	8.00	11,542.33			00.001
Mothematics	8.0	5.12	8.50	12,278.87		100.001	
Modern Lonourges	6.0	4.33	5.50	10, 169.83		50.00	50.00
Physical Education	5.0	5.00	4.80	10,277.20		00.09	40.04
Science	0.01	5.10	6.70	11,352.28	34.60	100.001	
Social Studies	8.0	5.37	8.27	12,329.37		100.00	
Business Education	5.0	4.20	7.20	10,854.20		40.0	8 .09
Vocational Technical	0.11	4.09	7.45	10,933.46		16.02	60. 6

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Trai ning	Average Experience	Av erage Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive J							
Orristian Ethics			i		5	5	78 57
English	10.5	4 .8	7.24	08.01/,01), 8 8 8	2 00 00 00	N- 07
Fine Arts	0,0		0.9	9.147.00	20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20.		100.00
Home Economics	, r , r		8.73	11,389.19	38.13	100.001	
	, 4 , 4	1	6.00	9,975.43	37.29	57.14	42.85
Modern Languages		4.25	8.4	9,224.00	26.75	50.00	50 . 00
		4.54	5.92	10,247.23	35.85	100.001	
	i c		6.20	10,285.20	35.00 00	00.001	
Social Studies		3.17	4.83	8.645.16	41.00	50.00	50.00
Business Baucarion Vocational Technical	14.5	3.55	4.07	8,660.51	35.34	79.31	20.69

320

I

TABLE XXXV (Continued) AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
Comprehensive K							
Oristian Ethics	0	2	8 04	11,126.21	44.67	69.99	33.33
Erg lish		88	6.75	9,905,00	36.00	100.001	
Fine Arts	, c	88	00,01	11.612.50	51.00		100.001
Home Economics) K	4.67	9.78	12.122.22	38.89	00.001	
Mathematics		2	6.50	10,875,00	35.00	100.00	
Modern Languages	0. C N R	1.33	5.33	9.514.00	30.00	66.67	33.33
Physical baucation	י י י	00.2	8.91	11,907.27	34.27	00.001	
Science	י ת י	1 73		11.560.90	44.36	00.001	
Social Studies	C • C	1.0	5 B5	9 798 92	37.08	53.85	46.15
Business Education Vocational Technical	0.51	4.25	7.54	10,821.56	37.25	67.16	8.33

AVERAGE TEACHING QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE, SALARY, AGE, AND SEX OF TEACHERS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

Curricular Program	Number Teachers	Average Training	Average Experience	Average Salary	Average Age	Per Cent Male	Per Cent Female
All Schools Combined							
		1 76		8 820 25	26.50	100.001	
Christian Ethics	9		3 8	0,020.23	8 8 8	60.45	39.55
English	0.0				35 50	87 7A	17 24
Fine Arts	14.5	4.31		P. 150, 01	5.5		
	3 5	4.20		9.536.16	33.89		D . D .
Home Economics	. 41 . 4	1 40		11 298 31	37.71	87.59	12.41
Mathematics	C• 40			10 205 05	35 20	62_86	37.14
Modern Languages	35.0					53 54	17 14
Blassical Education	0.04	4.15		8,022./0	CH- 97	00.70	
	3 12	4 78		10.802.50	34.29	8.8	3.60
Science	2			76 170 11	30.05	80,08	16-6
Social Studies	57.5	4°//		07.100,11	3		
	c Ş	3 64		9.133.23	35.98	3/.11	62.20
Business baucation	2			00 007 0	26 65	87 9l	12.05
Vocational Technical	93.5	3./0		01.000,1	3		

322

ll

APPENDIX E

DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENT-COURSE COSTS

BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM BY COMPREHENSIVE

-
•
-
~
1.1
\sim
\sim
×.
~
-
_

_

DIRECT INSTRUCTIONAL PER STUDENF-COURSE COSTS BY CURRICULAR PROGRAM

HIGH SCHOOL A

Program Area Grade Ten	No. of Courses	No. of Godis	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
	-	^	131	5 16.946.03	\$ 51.35	\$ 54.14	
	- ‹	• •	38	6.286.89		20.09	
	4 -	4 -	: 2	4.656.76	64.67	14.87	
Nome cconomics			336	21.875.02	65.10	69.89	
Marnemarics Marteria 1 animate			148	3.942.25	26.64	12.59	
Modern Languages			244	25,008.45	56.58	79.90	
	• •	. –	314	13,559.39	43.18	43.32	
Social Sciences	• ~	~ ~	380	14,377.17	36.95	45.93	
Aariculture							
Business Education	ო	ო	515	20,070 .89	16.8	71 .10	
Commercial Cooking	-	-	18	1,656.27	20.01	5 . 29	
Cosmetol ogy							
Drafting							
Bectricity					16 07	01 Y	
General	-	-	56	77.014,1	8.0	0.0	
Industrial Laboratory							
Me chanical							
Media Technology							
Structural							32
Technology		I			41 16	33 24	4
Vocational A	ω	6	N	ck. K21,01	5.5	20. 20	
Totals	23	24	2,889	\$ 140,419.29	\$ 48.60	\$ 448.62	

hinued)	∢
VI (Con	HOOL
E XXXV	HGH SC
Z	Ī

Program Area Grade Beven	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
English Fine Arts Home Economics Mathematics Modern Languages Physical Education Science Social Studies Agriculture Business Education Commercial Cooking	-0-0- 44-1-	NN-N- 44N	ୟ ୫ ମ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪ ୪	 12,580.26 3,419.80 632.28 632.28 12,258.73 3,502.82 3,087.51 1,724.68 1,724.68 	* 57.66 52.15 52.16 52.16 53.26 53.26 53.26 53.26	\$ 55.67 15.72 55.22 55.22 55.22 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.41 57.40 57.70 57	
Cosmetology Drafting Bectricity General Industrial Laboratory Mechanical Media Technology Structural Technology A Vocational A	0 0	MNW 4	2 2 2 2	3,772.84 5,096.83 7,024.32 7,902.77			325
Totals	8	æ	1,771	\$ 111,299.32	\$ 62.84	cr.10c 4	

. .

Program Area	No. of	זי 2	No. of Pupils in	Total Direct Instructional	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled	
Grade Twelve	Courses	credits	Courses	5	in Frogram	in Grade	ı
Era lish	-	2	199	\$ 13,031.82	\$ 65.49	\$ 51.92	
Fine Arts	-	-	18	2,292.58	127.37	9.13	
Home Economics	-	-	13	700.35	53.87	2.79	
Mathematics	7	7	287	15,066.82	52.49	60.0 3	
Modern Languages	-	-	127	4,688.02	36.91	18.68	
Physical Education							
Science	4	4	356	23,034.21	64.70	17.16	
Social Studies	4	4	314	15,349.75	48.88	16.33	
Aariculture	-	2	\$	2,675.82	445.97	10.66	
Business Education	~	~	17	10,981.61	62.04	43.75	
Commercial Cooking	-	-	œ	1,722.83	215.35	6.86	
Cometalaav	-	n	61	3,772.84	198.57	15.03	
Draftina	-	7	24	5,096.83	212.37	20.31	
Bechricity	2	ო	24	6,457.27	269.05	25.73	
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical	7	4	38	7,920.77	282.88	31.56	
Media tecnorogy Structural							
Technology							
Vocational A							1
Totals	29	37	1.600	\$ 112.841.52	\$ 70.52	\$ 499.57	320
505-		;				ľ	

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL A

Continued	SIVE A
IABLE XXXVI (COMPREHENSI

Division IV Program Area , Grade 10 , 11 , 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Gradits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
Faultah Anti-	С	v	751	42,558.11	56.66	54.07
	. 4 7	ŝ	187	11,999.27	64.16	15.25
) (7)	3	8	5,989.20	59.89	7.61
Mathematics) ()	ŝ	858	49,200.57	57.34	62.53
Modern Longuoges	()	3	1 88	12,133.09	31.60	15.41
Physical Education	-	-	442	25,008.45	56.58	31./8
	0	0	1021	58,070.18	56.87	73.79
		. 00	1039	43,581.44	41.95	55 .38
) (• ◄	13	5,763.33	443.33	7.32
Agricurue	. [1	973	46,017.88	47.29	58.47
		M	4	5,103.78	124.48	6.49
) ~ 0	4	7,545.68	99.6 21	9.59
	• •	• ◄	5	133	192.33	12.95
	• ◄	0	53	13,481.59	254.37	17.13
	•)	29		65.87	2.43
Mechanical Mechanical	-	8	60	15,841.54	182.09	20.13
Medial Technology						
Structural						
Technology Vocational A	784	œ	204	10,129.95	49.66	12.87
Totais	8	95	6277	364,527.00	58.07	463.19

BLE XXXVI (Continued)	HIGH SCHOOL B
ABL	I

Program Area Grade Ten	No. of Courses	No. of Godits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
Evalish	~	5	479	\$ 17,014.06 4 285 17	\$ 35.52 56.38	\$ 73.65 18.55	
Fire Arts Home Economics	ო –	m –	% %	3,794.34	44.12	16.43 56.85	
Mathematics	- 7	- 5	2 7 29 20	3,860.37	99°8	16.71 18 53	
Modern Languages Physical Education	·	0 -	228 243	4,281.35 6,700.49	18./8 27.57	29.01	
Science Social Studies	- 0 0	- 0 (249	10,528.12 1.362.18	42.2 8 56.76	5, 58	
Agriculture Business Education	7 7	7 7	143	4,852.81	33.94	21.01	
Commercial Coxing Cosmetalogy Drafting Bectricity			3 %	3,041.04 2,043.41	30 .72 88 .84	13.16 8.85	
General Industrial Laboratory Mechanical	-	-	52	3,426.23	65.89	14.83	
Media Technology Structural Technology	-	-	*	2 ,700 .00	75.00	69.11	3
vergrienal A Totals	21	8	2,263	\$ 79,978.55	\$ 35.34	\$ 346.23	28 I II

		F	TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL B	(Continued) DOL B			
Program Area Grade Beven	Zo. of Courses	Codis Gadis	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
English	5	2	34	\$ 13,415.66	39.00	\$ 74.12	
Fine Arts	m	ო	Q	3,775.88	94.40	20.86	
home Economics	4	2	12	2,548.10	20.89	14.08	
Mathematics	e	ო	267	10,158.08	30.0	56.12	
Modern Lanavages	-	-	ß	1,977.34	37.31	10.92	
Physical Education	7	-	179	4,112.25	22.97	22.72	
Science	4	4	360	12,893.15	37.92	71.23	
Social Studies	0	2	197	9,098.64	46.18	50.26	
Aariculture	n	ო	æ	2,098.55	59.96	11.59	
Business Education	7	~	8	6,793.35	68.62	37.53	
Commercial Cooking							
Cosmetology							
Drafting	7	4	17	2,137.50	125.74	19.11	
Bectricity	7	-	6	4,314.88	479.43	23.84	
General							
Industrial Laboratory						i	
Mechanical	ო	6	18	4,834.89	268.60	26./1	
Media Technology							
Structural	-	ო	ო	2,315.00	771.67	12.79	
Technology							
Vocational A							
Totals	30	4 8	1 , 723	\$ 78,371.63	\$ 45.49	\$ 432.99	329
							-

ve No. of No. of No. of Pupils in Instru- ve Courses Gredits Courses Credits in Instru- ducation 2 2 29 5 1 33 3 229 5 ies 3 3 227 1 is 3 3 227 5 inclogy 1 3 2 29 5 inclogy 1 3 2 29 5 inclogy 1 3 2 29 5 inclogy 1 3 2 29 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 20 5 inclogy 1 3 2 2 inclogy 1 3 3 2 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 3 2 inclogy 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Total Direct n Instructional	(Average Cost Per	
a 359 5 artics 2 25 Languages 2 25 Languages 2 25 Languages 2 25 Languages 2 2 Languages 2 2 Studies 3 227 Studies 3 3 Studies 3	Costs	Average Lasts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
state state <th< th=""><th>\$ 13,772.78</th><th>\$ 38.36</th><th>\$ 68.52</th><th></th></th<>	\$ 13,772.78	\$ 38.36	\$ 68.52	
	•	00.16	13.13	
	2,336.36	24.59	11.62	
and 3 3 3 57 Independent 4 4 57 Independent 2 1 107 Independent 3 3 3 227 Relucation 7 7 2 1 Inte 3 3 3 2 2 Inte 3 3 3 3 2 2 Inte 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Inte 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 Inte 3 <		35.84	52.24	
Inducation 2 107 Education 2 4 4 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 3 3 3 inutes 1 3 4 inutes 1 3 3 inutes 1 3 3 3 inutes 1 3 3 1 inutes 1 3 3 1 inutes 1 3 3 1 inity 1 3 9 1 inity 1 3 9 1 inity 1 3 9 1 inity 1 3 9 1 inity 1 3 9 1 into 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>32.29</td><td>9.16</td><td></td></t<>		32.29	9.16	
mucanion 4 4 4 rudies 3 3 291 rute 3 3 3 227 rute 3 3 3 3 227 rute 3 3 3 3 227 rute 7 7 7 7 291 rute 3 3 3 3 227 rute 7 7 7 7 7 organ 10 2 4 4 201 rute 7 3 134 201 rute 1 3 7 7 3 al Lachnology 1 3 7 7 al 1 3 9 134 16 out 1 3 9 134 16 al 1 3 9 134 16 al 1 3 9 1 3 al 1 3 9 1 1 <		32.64	17.37	
indies indies indies interestion cial Cooking dagy dagy l l l l l l l l l l l l l	_	41.92	60.69	
2 2 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		49.01	· 55 . 35	
2 2 4 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	-	138.07	10.99	
2 2 4 2 2 4 3 3 4 4 1 3 3 4 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7		56.93	37.%	
7 7 7 7 8 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 4 1 3 4 4 1 3 4 4 1 3 4 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 3 4 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
2 7 3 4 3 9 3 4 3 9 3 4				
2 7 8 		117 45	10.51	
1 3 12 7 1 3 9 3 2 2 2		? : :		
xy 3 9 12 1 3 2	7 2,756.25	393./5	13.71	
xy 3 9 12 1 3 2				
3 9 12 1 3 2			23 20	
1 3 2	2c° 780′ 4	17.040	2010	
1 3 2		047 04	0 47	
•	2 1,894.09			
Vocational A				:
Totals 38 47 1,647 \$ 79,19	7 \$ 79,191.94	\$ 48.08	\$ 393.99	330
				1

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) COMPREHENSIVE B
--

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cost	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
English Fine Arts Home Economics Mathematics Modern Languages Physical Education Science Social Studies Agriculture Business Education Drafting Electrical Mechanical Structural Driver Education Guidance	000100010 <u>50410-0</u>	00120000000000000000000000000000000000	303 1182 1	<pre>\$ 44,202.00 10,699.92 8,678.80 33,791.52 7,678.16 11,886.00 31,793.17 30,752.05 5,674.82 19,275.24 7,279.72 9,114.54 12,943.64 6,909.09 2,457.57 2,966.52</pre>	 37.40 73.79 73.79 28.64 34.31 34.31 38.56 35.66 35.66 35.26 35.37 233.71 15.85 11.17 12.81 15.85 11.17 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 12.91 13.72 13.73 14.91 14.91 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95 15.95	 71.99 17.43 17.43 55.04 55.04 55.04 12.51 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.24 9.25 11.25 4.00 4.00
Totals	101	115	6228	246,109.87	39.52	400.83

331

il

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL C

		1
		1
J		ļ
Ļ		
Ž.		
2		
Ð		
Ň		
Ĭ		
ē		
-		

Total DirectAverage CostsAverage Cost Per haructionalInstructionalPer Pupil-CoursePupil-ErrolledCostsin Programin Grade\$ 1,958.10\$ 130.54\$ 130.54\$ 1,958.10\$ 130.54\$ 130.54\$ 7,305.8065.24\$ 17.48\$ 9,263.90\$ 72.94\$ 617.59
--

^a There are fifteen part time students taking Home Economics only.

Program Area Grade Ten	No. of Courses	No. of Gedits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	A in Per	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cost Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
Freilich	-	2	13	\$ 12,222.24	4	63.66	\$ 59.04
Fine Arts	•	•	101	1 000 1	c	27 12	37 84
Home Economics	- ~	- ~	121	14 159 74	2.4	64°59	68.40 04.89
Modero I annunes	- 1	•	76	4,181,46	· •0	55.02	20.20
Physical Education	· 7		239	5,380.1	0	22.51	25.99
Science	-	-	1%	8,578.18	ø	43.77	41.44
Social Studies	2	2	259	13,611.48	œ	52 . 55	65 <i>.</i> 76
Aariculture	2	2	39	2,564.1	0	65 . 75	12.39
Business Education	2	2	178	5,999.90	0	33.71	
Commercial Cooking	-	-	æ	667 .22	0	83.40	3.22
Cosmetol ogy					1		9 7
Drafting	2	2	35	1,618.87	6	46.25	7.87
Bectricity	7	7	q	2,389.76	26	59 . 74	11.54
General	-	-	10	1,647.07		164.71	7.%
Industrial Laboratory					1		50
Me chanical	7	2	7	5,009.81	=	65 .06	24.20
Media Technology							ļ
Structural	-	-	24	2,207.77	~	91.99	10.01
Technology							
Voutional A							
Totals	23	22	1,717		8	51.29	\$ 425.46
Totals	23	22	1,717	\$ 88,070.09		51.29	

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL C

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per
Program Area Grade Beven	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	Per Pupil–Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
English	-	5	137	\$ 7,868.33	\$ 57.43	\$ 55.80
Fine Arts	~	011	158	3.916.20	24.79	27.77
Mathematics Mathematics	. .	+ - 0	157	5.828.59	37.12	41.34
Modern Laminges	,		61	2,855.25	46.81	20.25
Physical Education	· ~	_	128	4,284.91	33.48	30.39
cience	• ◄	4	224	9,718.71	43.39	
Social Studies	° 7	7	181	7,271.34	40.17	51.57
Agriculture						
Business Education	4	4	119	4,479.81	37.65	31.77
Commercial Cooking	-	ო	e	242.12	80. 71	1.72
Cosmetology						
Draftina	-	-	ୡ	2,072.65	103.63	14.70
Bechicity	2	7	18	1,593.17	88.51	11.30
General						
Industrial Laboratory						
Mechanical	7	7	37	2,710.36	73.25	19.22
Media Technology						
Structural	-	-	œ	1,051.32	131.42	04. /
Technology Vocational A						
Totals	27	27 1/2	27 1/2 1,251	\$ 53,918.76	\$ 43.10	\$ 382.40

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL C 334

.

ont inved)	
<u>y</u>	
XXXV	
BLE	
IA	

HIGH SCHOOL C

Program Area Grade Twelve	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	lotal Urrect Instructional Costs	Average Lasis Per Pupil-Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
Faulish	-	2	139	\$ 6,232.26	\$ 44.84	\$ 38.47
Fine Arts	d	-	10	3 979 37	43.73	24.56
Home Economics	7 (- c	- 00	6 9K6 57	34.48	43.00
Mathematics		4 -	3	2,684,12	52.63	16.57
Modern Languages	- r		101	4.391.42	36.29	27.11
Physical Education	7 4	- v	215	11,202.08	52.10	69.14
Science	n (יי	2.2	9.248.56	40.56	57.09
Social Studies	7 0	4 6	15	2.564.10	170.94	15.83
Agriculture	n (, , ,	2.7	10 156.27	96.73	62.69
Business Education	~ ·	• •	3.	247 14	80.71	1.49
Connercial Cooking	-	-	D			I
Cosme tology	•	•	C	1 036 07	129.51	6.40
Drafting		-	D :		114 54	11 31
Electricity	2	212	16	1,832.00		
General						
Industrial Laboratory			:		70 25	16.95
Me chanical	7	7	38	14.04/,2	(7.7/	
Media Technology		ľ	9	1 000 34	108 44	6.71
Structural	-		2	00.000,1		•
Technology						
Vorational A						
Totals	7	32 1/2	1,242	\$ 64,337.39	\$ 51.88	\$ 397.14

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cat Per Student Enrolled in Course
English	с	v	468	26,322.83	56.25	50.14
Fine Arts				17 686 07	44.22	33.69
Home Economics		7/1 4 F	3 <u>6</u>	26.954.90	46.31	51.34
Mathematics		~ ~	192 188	9,720,83	51.71	18.52
Modern Languages		יי ר	488	14.056.43	28.80	26.77
Physical baucation		<u>ہ</u> د	435	29.498.97	46.46	56.19
Science		2 4	897 877	30,131,38	45.11	57.39
Social Studies		v 4	33	5,128,20	94.97	9.79
Agriculture		י ג ג	402	20.635.98	51.33	39.31
Business Baucarion	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	14	1,151.56	82.25	2.19
)	•	•		
Courretology		•	63	4.727.59	75.04	10.9
Dratting	t d	410	74	5,815.59	78.59	11.08
) (234	8,952.87	38.26	17.05
Machanical	4 40	v 1	152	10,465.58	68.85	19.93
Media Technology	ŀ		9	1 215 15	77 201	8 77
Structural	n	ო	42	64.045,4	64. CO	1.0
Technology Vocatio nal A						
Totals	8	85	4464	215,593.56	48.30	410.65

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) COMPREHENSIVE C

EnglishFine ArtsFine ArtsFine ArtsFine ArtsHome EconomicsMathematicsModern LanguagesMysical EducationPhysical EducationScienceSocial StudiesSocial Stu	26 <u>29</u> 28 26 27 25	 \$ 10,404.45 3,992.30 12,320.02 4,683.72 4,210.68 6,569.95 8,205.52 	\$ 28.82 70.04 34.70 35.76 23.26 36.50 36.50	 \$ 63.83 24.49 75.59 28.73 28.73 26.34 31 50.34
atics atics Languages Languages Studies Studies Education Education Education Cooking alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy alogy all Laboratory alogy a	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	3,992.30 12,320.02 4,683.72 4,210.68 6,569.95 8,205.52	70.04 34.70 55.76 38.50 40.82	24.49 75.59 28.73 40.31 50.34
rics anguages Education Udies agy agy agy 1 2 2 beharatony y	26 <u>20</u> 28 28 26 7	5,772.00 12,320.02 4,683.72 4,210.68 6,569.95 8,205.52	34 .70 55.76 36 .50 40.8 2	75.59 28.73 40.31 50.34
ucages cation es cation Cooking 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 2 2 1 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4,200.05 4,683.72 4,210.68 6,569.95 8,205.52	55.76 36.50 40.82	28.73 25.83 40.31 50.34
cation 2 cation 2 cooking 2 boratory 1 2	26 <u>39</u> 18 18 26 30 38 18 28	4,210.68 6,569.95 8,205.52	23.26 36.50 40.82	25.83 40.31 50.34
0-0 0 °	180 201 202	6,569.95 8,205.52	36.50 40.82	40.31 50.34
- ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		8,205.52	40.82	50.34
× ∼ − −	50 2	•		70 17
× ہ	205			70 17
Commercial Cooking Cosmetology Dratting Electricity General Industrial Laboratory		6 ,726 .05	32.81	07*14
Cosmetology Dratting Electricity General Industrial Laboratory				
Dratting Electricity General Industrial Laboratory	5	3.741.03	70.59	22.95
General Industrial Laboratory	8 8	1,906.25	52.95	11.69
Industrial Laboratory				
	Q	2 310 03	39.83	14.17
Mechanical I	8			
Media Technology	4	3,232.22	73.46	19.83
Jechnology				
Vocational A				
T	1.816	\$ 68,302.22	197.2E \$	\$ 419.03

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL D

HIGH SCHOOL D

Program Area Grade Eleven	No. of Courses	No. of Gedits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Instru Costs	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Aver Per P in Pr	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Pupil-Em in Grade	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
E	~	2	359	~	10,928.79	•	30.44	••	60.38	
Fine Arts	I		2		7 777 FJ		01 20		11.42	
Hame Economics	-	-	24		20,000,2		2. 00 2. 7		54 27	
Mathematics	m	ო	311		10, 281, 01		* ~ %		20.27	
	-	-	ß		2,239.16		84.0Z		10° 71	
	. 0	_	202		3,942.42		19.52		8/ 17	
mysical caucation		·	306		10,998.57		36.18		60. 77	
Science Social Studies	9 0	7	213		8,053.55		37.81		44.49	
Aariculture							25 20		03 AN	
Business Education	S	2	611		4,236.25		00.05		0 4 ° C7	
Commercial Cooking										
Cosmetology	1	•			1 007 43		67.98		6.01	
Drafting	_		<u>o</u> ;				76 01		10.47	
Electricity	-	-	25		51.048,1		10.01			
General										
Industrial Laboratory		•	;		1 001 00		48.56		11.00	
Me chanical	-	-	41		10.177,1					
Media Technology			ç		1 033 33		70 41		5.70	
Structural	-	-	5		~~~ 7cn' I				- 	
Technology										
Vecetinnal A										33
	2	~	012,1	~	58.656.44	-	34.30	~	324.07	8
lotals	2	•	•	•						11

Program Area	No. of	ۍ ع	No. of Pupils in	Total Instruc	Total Direct Instructional	Aver Per P	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Pronram	Average Pupil-Enr in Grade	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
Grade Twelve	Courses	Credits	Courses				0			ł
	~	7	264	• •	9 ,260 .64	••	35.08	•	11.78	
Eize Arts	I			•	70 000		103 33		7.49	
Home Economics	-	-	01	- (1,000.20		A3 17		65.07	
Mathematics	e	m	208	ο α	8, Y/Y. 8		1 3 30		19.71	
Modern Languages	-	-	8	N (00° 474' 7				22,29	
Physical Education	7	-	161		3,0/0.10		0° 4		76.98	
Science	4	-	217	2 4	10,023.05		31.51		16.75	
Social Studies	n	m	<u>8</u>	n	10.162,0					
Agriculture Business Education	n	e	8	-	1,074.33		35.81		65°2	
Cammercial Cooking										
Cosmetology										
Drafting Bectricity	-	-	91	-	1,048.65		65.54		8c° /	
General										
Industrial Laboratory	•	•	17		796.49		46.85		5.77	
Mechancial	-	-	2							
Media Technology	-	-	12		1,292.09		107 .67		9.36	
Structural	-	-	!		•					
Technology √ _{oca} tional A										339
	5	16	1,157	-	44,845.72	-	38.76	•	324.97	7
lotals	3									

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL D

COMPREHENSIVE D

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Cambined	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cost	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
Erg lish	Ŷ	9	984	30,593.88	31.09	63.47
Fine Arts Hame Economics	e	ы	16	7,092.08	77.93	14.71
Mathematics	9	\$	874 874	31,484.29 0.250.72	36.02 29.02	65.32 10 An
Modern Languages Blumian Education	m v	თ ო	22	97.702,70	20.64	23.30
Science	0	000	ĨŔ	28, 191 .60	40.22	58.49
Social Studies	• •0	9	280	21,490.96	37.05	44 .59
Agriculture Business Education Commonial Continu	0	2	354	12,036.63	34.00	24.97
Commercial Control Commercial ogy	2	7	69	4,828.66	86.98	10.02
	5	ŝ	2	4,850.03	62.99	10.06
General Mechanical	m	e	911	5,097.61	43.94	10.58
Media Technology Structural Technology	ю	ñ	69	5,556.64	80.53	11.53
Vocational A						
Totals	59	ß	4681	171.803.81	36.7 0	356.44

Program Area Grode Ten	No. of Courses	No. of G e dits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
famlish	2	•	310	\$ 19,399.37	\$ 62.58	\$ 63.60	
Fire Arts	-	-	26	1,241.97	47.77 06 75	4.0/ 26.05	
Home Economics	- (-	83 25	/ , 796 .53	46.58	22.80	
Mathematics	、 、	? ๙	6 1 2 1 2	8.207.21	67 .83	26.91	
Modern Languages	, ,	c	358	5,298.88	14.80	17.37	
	• -		300	15,800.64	52.67	51.81	
science Social Studies	- 7	• ~	328	14,629.93	44.60	47.97	
Agriculture	I	(- -	216	15 304 04	48.72	50.47	
Business Education Commercial Cooking	\ -	7/1 /	<u></u>	1,923.44	35.62	6.31	
Cosme tology		·	Ş	07 707 C	127 12	9.17	
Drafting	- '			74 304 4	68,12	14.74	
Bectricity	-	-	8				
Veneral Industrial Laboratory			;		70 00	73 58	
Mechancial	-	-	16	1,1/9.13	10.01	00.07	
Media Technology	-	-	11	1,264.44	114.95	4.15	
smucrumai Technology	-				110 22	40 45	
Vocational A	n	e	101	15,081.10	147.32		34
Tetale	8	31.12	2.612	\$ 140,456.52	\$ 53.77	\$ 460.51	١

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL E
کر کر
BLE XX

HIGH SCHOOL E

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cast Per	
Program Area	No. of	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
Grade Beven	Courses	Credits	Courses	Costs			1
				• 20 111 12	74 77	\$ 64.68	
لمداسع	_	7	269	\$ 20,114.13			
		-	31	2,125.22	68.56	0.83	
		-	125	7,838,99	62.71	25.21	
Home Economics	• •	4 (14 A94 73	50.56	53.65	
<u> Mathematics</u>	N .	N '	3	2 020 21 7 050 57	58.62	22.05	
Modern Languages	4	4	2		10 27	8 85	
BL	-	-	es es	ZC. IC/, Z	2°2	200	
	~~~~	m	<b>2</b> 84 284	28,070.76	58.24	07.04	
Science	<b>,</b>	) (	204	12,966,69	44.10	41.69	
Social Studies	7	7	1				
Agriculture				11 010 11	77 77	36.47	
Runnes Education	œ	~	239	14.040, 11	? : ? {		
Commercial Cooking	_	-	ŝ	485.55	M.H	<b>S</b> .	
Course to ogy	-	-	•	1.532.14	383.04	4.93	
Drafting	- (	- •	r <u>s</u>	1 176 30	<b>98</b> .03	3.78	
Bectricity		-	71				
General							
Industrial Laboratory		1		00 W 7	53 31	15.08	
Mechanical	ო	n	8	4,070.70		- 	
Media Technology				10 000 1	444 20	4.48	
Structural		-	n	00.276,1		•	
Technology							
Vocational A							1
Tatale	33	31	2,062	\$ 118,031.94	\$ 57.24	\$ 379.52	342
	}	•	•	•			

Program Area Grade Twelve O							
	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
English Fine Arts	2	5	114	\$ 15,885.57	\$ 38.65	\$ 63.29	
Home Economics Mathematics	8	2	306	14,731.05	47.82	58.68	
Madern Lanavages	7	2	8	5 ,672 .02	63.02 5	22.60	
Physical Education	-	-	8	1 ,323.95	47.28	77° 5	
Science	n	ო	392	23,195.21	59.17	92.41	
Social Studies	n	e	306	15,594.86	50.%	62.13	
Agriculture Business Education	S	ŝ	147	9,537.29	64.88	38.00	
Commercial Cooking Cosmetalogy	-	ſ	27	570.84	21.14	2.27	
Drafting Bectricity General							
Industrial Laboratory Mechanical							
Media Technology Structural Technology Vocational A	-	-	3	1,264.44	632.22	5.04	
Totals	8	8	112'1	\$ 87,775.23	\$ 51.30	\$ 348.08	343 [^]

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL E TABLE XXXVI (Continued) COMPREHENSIVE E

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Cambined	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cost	Average Cost Per Student Enrolled in Course
E1:	5	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	86	55,399.70	55.96	63.82
	0 0	5	57	3,367.19	59.07	3.87
Huma Frommice	1 47	່ ຕ	208	15,786.32	75.90	18.19
Muthematics	~	~	1063	51,212.31	48.17	59.00
Modern   continues	. 0	. 0	328	20,737.80	63.23	23.89
Physical Education	• 🔫	с С	449	9,374.35	20.87	10.80
Criance	~	~	1174	(9° 990, 29	57.13	77.27
Social Studies	~	7	928	43, 191.48	46 .54	49 .76
Agriculture					!	
Business Education	8	8	702	36,274.74	/o. IC	41./7
Commercial Cooking	7	7	59	2,408.99	40.83	2.78
	-	_	27	570.84	21.14	0.66
	• •	· C	26	4,328.73	166.49	4.99
Bectricol	. 0	2	78	5,672.06	72.71	6.53
General						
Mechanical	4	4	179	11,870.11	66.31	13.68
Media Technology						5.7
Structural	ო	ო	16	3,921.74	11.042	7C° 4
Technology					0110	17 37
Vocational A	0	0	101	01.180,01		10.11
Totals	80	8	6385	346,262.87	54.23	398.92

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL F

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per
Program Area Grade Eight	No. of Courses	No. of Gedits	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	Per Pupil-Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
English Fine Arts	- 0 -	- ~ -	311 627 152	\$ 20,445.39 20,484.74 11,150.47	\$ 65.47 32.67 73.42	\$ 65.58 65.65 35.77
Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages Health & Physical Educ. Science	- ~ ;	- ~	317 313 313 310	19,925.27 11,896.74 14,245.94 8,640.00	22.85 38.06 45.81 27.87	65.66 27.69
Social Studies Agriculture Business Education Commercial Cocking Cosmetology Drafting	-	-	312	11,722.70	37.57	37.57
Bectricity General Industrial Laboratory Mechanical Madia Technology Structural Technology Vocational A	-	-	165	13,035.18	<b>. . .</b>	41.78
Totals	12	12	2,818	\$ 131,555.43	\$ 46.68	\$ 421.65

			No. of	Total Direct	ct	Aver	Average Costs	Avero	Average Cost Per	
Program Area Grade Nine	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	P	in Per	Per Pupil-Course in Program	Pupil-En in Grade	Pupil-Errolled in Grade	•
	, ,	7	350	\$ 25,37	2.21	•	72.50	•	71.88	
	4 0		698	23,66	2.8		33.91		67.04	
Fine Arts	4 -	4 -	1666	12.34	4.25		74.58		34.97	
Home Economics	- c	- 0	359	25.03	5.82		79.84		70.92	
Mathematics	4 -	4	350	14.62	2.54		41.78		41.42	
Modern Languages			350	18.92	7.25		54.08		53.62	
Health & Mysical Buc.			353	26.01	6.09		30.89		30.89	
Science Contal Studies			88	9,568.72	8.72		27.50		11.72	
social states Accientine	•									
Business Education										
Commercial Cooking										
<b>Cosme</b> tology										
Drafting										
Bectricity	•	-	901	14 0	14 947 79		79.72		42.35	
General	-	-	8							
Industrial Laboratory										
Mechanical										
Media Technology										
Structural										
Technology										
Vocational A										34
Tatale	2	12	3,162	\$ 155,391.63	91.63	\$	49.14	•	440.20	6

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL F

Division III Program Area Grades 8 & 9 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Gedin	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Casts	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
English Fine Arts Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages Health & Physical Ed. Science Social Studies General	~~~~	~~~~	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	45,817.60 44,152.70 23,503.72 44,961.09 28,519.28 33,173.19 19,545.09 21,291.42 21,291.42 21,291.42	69.32 33.32 49.26 39.78 79.28 79.28	68.90 66.40 35.34 7.61 29.39 29.39 20.02 29.39 20.02 29.39
Totals	8	2	9257	286,947.06	31,00	431.50

tinued)
XXXX
TABLE

HIGH SCHOOL F

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per	
Program Area	No. of	کو. ور ک	Pupils	<b>instructional</b>	Per Pupil-Course		
Grade Ten	Courses	Credits	Courses	Costs	in Program	in Grade	
Enalish	<i>с</i>	\$	378	\$ 23,569.88	\$ 62.35	<b>\$</b> 63.53	1
Fine Arts			8	4,043.70	43.95	10.90	
Home Economics	-	-	8	5,634.38	65.52	15.19	
Mathematics	-	4	674	24,557.94	36.43	66.19	
Modern Lanauases	~ ~	7	278	14,103.12	50.73	38.01	
Physical Education	-	-	376	10,525.14	27.99	28.37	
Science	3	ო	364	14,082.13	38.69	37.%	
Social Studies	-	-	114	16,417.06	39.94	36.16	
Aariculture							
Business Education	2	7	60 90	8,806.05	28 <b>.</b> 50	23.74	
<b>Connercial Cooking</b>							
<b>Cosmetology</b>							
Drafting							
Bechricity							
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical							
Media Technology							
Structural							
Technology	7	m	123	19,905.36	161.83	53.65	
Vocati <b>onal A</b>							
							34 1
Totals	23	27	3,091	\$ 141,644.76	\$ 45.82	\$ 381.79	48
							11

	Jo. of	No. of	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cost Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
					•		
	, ,		300	<b>5</b> 21,187,11	\$ 64.40	<b>\$</b> 63.43	
	<b>n</b> -	- c	10	13 X 1 C		6.40	
Fine Arts		- c	\$ 3	2, 240 10	35.45	6.79	
Home Economics	4		5 [	01.101,2	44 UV	69 60	
<b>Mathematics</b>	4	4	2/2	25,24/ .50		0°. 10	
Modern Languages	2	2	259	12,567.69	48.52	3/ .03	
Physical Education							
cience.	\$	\$	714	34,404.32	48.19	103.01	
Social Studies	) ( <b>7</b> )	ŝ	361	24,903.22	68.97	74.56	
	•	410	194	7 .800 .56	40.21	23.35	
	r		•	•			
Commercel ogy							
Drafting							
Bechricity							
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical							
Media Technology							
Structural							
Technology	-	2	28	7,204.63	257.31	21.5/	
							349 
-	ę	2 - 22	2 540	135 720 54	<b>5</b> 52.83	\$ 406.35	)
lotais	07	7/1 8					H

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL F

							[]
Program Area Grade Twelve	No. of Courses	Codits dits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cost Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	ł
		×	1 No.	19.172,16 <b>2</b>	\$ 93.96	<b>\$</b> 103.51	
	<b>,</b> ,		8	2,136.61	56.23	10.7	
Fine Arts	√ ◄	• •	। ज	2,159.48	39.99	7.08	
Home Economics	•	4 4	A17	25,039,90	40.58	82.09	
Mathematics	0 0	0 0	217	12,381.14	57.06	40.59	
	I	l					
	9	01	225	43,648.80	76.31	143.11	
Science	<u>5</u> .	<u>5</u> 4	127	24.919.72	57.02	81.70	
Social Studies	0	7	<b>}</b>				
Agriculture	Ľ	6 1 D	75	5,861,97	78.16	19.22	
Business Education	n	7/I C					
Commercial Cooking							
Cosmetol ogy							
Drafting							
Bectricity							
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical							
Media Technology							
Structural		ſ	:	17 000 0	548 45	29.83	
Technology	-	2	<u>o</u>	1.040,4			
Vocational A							1
Totals	8	38 1/2	38 1/2 2,362	\$ 156,817.64	\$ 66.39	\$ 514.16	550 

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL F

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

COMPREHENSIVE F

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
English	6	8	540[	76,328.60	73.18 44.77	75.57 8.23
Fine Arts Home Economics	409	י א	204 204	8,310.72 10,062.96 77 845_14	49.33 39.10	9.% 72.12
Mathematics Modern Languages	<u>- o ă</u>	<u>•</u> • -	754	39,051.95 10.525.14	51.79 27.99	38.66 10.42
rnysical caucului Science Social Studies	60	61 0	1680	92,135.25 66,240.00	55 <b>.</b> 84 54 <b>.</b> 78	91.22 65.58
Agriculture Business Education Commercial Cooking Cametalogy Drafting Bectrical General Mechanical	=	2	578	22,468.58	38.87	22.25
Madia Technology Structural Technology Vocational A	4	2	167	36,208.40	216.82	35.85
Totals	87	8	8022	434,182.94	53.97	429.88

-
bev
.=
Ę
U.
$\sim$
<
6
<u>.</u>
×
<b>V</b>
w
_
۵.
<
<u> </u>

HIGH SCHOOL G

Program Area Grade Nine	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cost Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
Christian Bhics Erglish Fine Arts Home Economics Mathematics	~~~~	N 4 4 N N N	<b>4</b> 3 7 2 8 8	<pre>\$ 11,045.73 22,129.69 5,562.85 14,013.56 29,050.22 17,153.40</pre>	<ul> <li>25.10</li> <li>48.53</li> <li>75.17</li> <li>75.29</li> <li>59.29</li> <li>60.61</li> </ul>	<b>\$</b> 22.77 45.63 11.47 28.89 35.37 35.37
Modern Languages Health & Physical Educ. Science Social Studies Business Education Commercial Cooking		- 0 0 0 0	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	20,332.58 20,160.84 16,681.96 9,613.67 602.20	24.41 43.92 41.39 29.86 100.37	41.92 41.57 34.40 19.82 1.24
Totals	54	26	3,929	\$ 166,346.75	\$ 42.24	\$ 342.98

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL G

Proprom Area	ه. م	ז פ ב	No. of Pupils in	Total Direct Instructional	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course	Average Cast Per Pupil–Enrolled
Grade Ten	Courses	Credits	Courses	Costs	in Program	in Grade
Oristian Bhics	-	-	38	\$ 8,206.61	\$ 21.48	\$ 19.63
Enalish	· ~	ŝ	398	21,532.42	54.10	51.51
Eine Arts	• •0	••	5	4,806.22	84.32	11.50
Home Economics	-	-	52	4,265.11	82.02	10.20
<b>Mothemot</b> ics	ŝ	ŝ	<b>68</b> 3	31,839.38	16.61	76.17
Modern Longuages	-	-	120	7,646.45	63.72	18.29
Physical Education	-	-	25	3,423.74	61.14	8.19
Science	0	7	<b>98</b> 0	14,647.40	38.55	35.04
Social Studies	2	2	412	15,256.39	37.03	36.50
Aariculture						
Business Education	~	7	z	10,170.57	38.52	24.33
Cannercial Cooking	2	7	2	1,831.40	67.83	4.38
Cosmetol ogy	2	2	8	3,101.22	46.99	7.20
Drafting						
Bectricity						ŝ
General	7	7	356	31,860.80	89.50	/0.27
Industrial Laboratory						
<b>Mechanical</b>						
Media Technology						
Structural						
Technology						
Vocational A						
Totals	ನ	ઝ	3,253	\$ 158,587.71	<b>\$ 4</b> 8.75	\$ 379.40

			کو. ور	<b>Total Direct</b>	Average Costs	Average Cast Per
Program Area Grade Eleven	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	Per Pupil–Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
Cuttion Bhier	-	-	353	<b>6</b> 8 574 20	\$ 24.20	\$ 27.38
Emlish	- ~	- 4	386	19,103.57	49.49	49.88
Fine Arts	• ~	. 4	4	3.904.47	88.74	10.19
Home Economics		. –	39	4,850.45	124.37	12.66
Mathematics	-	-	432	18,702.11	43.29	48.83
Modern Lanavages	4	4	140	9,619.39	68.71	25.12
Physical Education	-	-	39	3,260.89	83.61	8.51
Science	ო	ო	435	<b>98.</b> 110, 91	43.71	49.87
Social Studies	с С	e	380	14,640.37	38.52	38.23
Aariculture						
Business Education	1	1	311	15,033.30	48.34	39.25
Commercial Cooking	-	ო	25	1,881.00	75.24	4.91
Cosmetalaav	7	\$	12	1,652.80	137.73	4.32
Drafting	7	7	31	2,855.48	2.11	7.46
Bectricity	7	2	8	2,362.34	62.17	6.17
General						
Industrial Laboratory						
Mechanical	ŝ	ŝ	67	8,532.10	127.34	22.28
Media Technology	-	_	4	1,484.86	106.06	3.88
Structural	2	2	<b>J</b> 6	2,336.40	146.02	6.10
Techology						
Vocational A						
Totals	4	57	2,762	\$ 137,805.68	\$ 49.89	\$ 359.81

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL G

			HIGH SCHOOL G	001 G			1
Program Area Grade Twelve	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	1
	-	-	270	\$ 6.779.07	\$ 25.11	\$ 19.59	
	- 10	• • • •	602	19,823.38	32.93	57 .29	
	<b>)</b> –	,	15	1,331.55	88.77	3.85	
Huma Frontenice	· ~	· 7	21	2,715.05	129.29	7.85	
Mathematics	• 🔫	•	457	22,700.74	49.67	65.61	
Modern Longunges	. w	ς Υ	133	7,478.69	56.23	21.61	
Physical Education	. –		ጽ	2,839.44	78.87	8.21	
Science	-	-	374	21,158.68	56.57	61.15	
Social Studies	-	-	422	20,379.07	48.29	58.90	
Aariculture							
Business Education	2	~	121	9,944.00	82.18	28./4	
Commercial Cooking						Ę	
Cosmetol oav	-	-	ଷ	1,374.00	68./0	3.4/	
Deafring	ო	ო	27	5,262.20	194.90	15.21	
Bectricity	8	2	26	2,433.42	93.59	7.03	
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical	S	Ś	52	5,983.76	115.0/	KZ" /	
Media Technology	-	-	ጽ	3,151.25	87.53	9.11	
Structural	2	2	15	2,376.00	158.40	6.67	
Technology Vocational A							355
Totals	4	\$	2,627	\$ 135,730.30	\$ 51.67	\$ 392.28	5

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

(Continued)
IVXXX
TABLE

COMPREHENSIVE G

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course
		,	YWY	73 559 97	23.44	20.54
<b>Christian Ethics</b>	<b>m</b> (	ς, Γ		60,459,37	43.62	52.71
English	<b>P</b> (	20	2000	10.042.24	86.57	8.76
Fine Arts	<b>~</b> ~	~ ~	511	11.830.61	108.84	10.31
Home Economics	4	<u>،</u> د	1572	73.242.23	46.59	63.86
Mathematics	<u>.</u>	20	202	24.744.53	62.%	21.57
Modern Languages	<b>~</b> (	~ ~	121	9.524.07	72.70	8.30
Physical Education	י <b>רי</b>	<b>n</b> (	0011	54 817 .94	46.10	47.79
Science	<b>D</b>	<b>~</b> (	1011	275	41.41	43.83
Social Studies	0	01	4171	j		
Agriculture		č	20,	35 147 87	50.50	30.64
Business Education	24	24	<b>e</b> 5	07, 17, 10 2, 712, 40	71.39	3.24
Commercial Cooking'	~	•	70	0, 12R 00	62.53	5.34
<b>Cosmetology</b>	•	0 4	70	8 117 68	139.96	7.08
Drafting	Ω,	n ¬	0 7	4 795 76	74.93	4.18
Bectrical	4 (	• •	5 22	31,860,80	89.50	27.78
General	7 (	N 0		14 515.86	121.98	12.65
Mechanical	<b>~</b> (	<b>~</b> c	<u>}</u>	636 86.9	92.72	4.04
Media Technology	7	N 1	5 7	4 712 40	152.01	4.11
Struct <b>ural</b>	4	4	5		1	
Technology						
- Totals	125	132	8642	432,123.69	50.00	376.74

356

۰,

Program Area         No. of Conde Nine         No. of No. of Courses         No. of Casts         No. of Instructional Courses         Average Costs         Average Costs           Fine Arts         Courses         Courses         Courses         Contract         Papils in Instructional         Per Pupil- Papils           Fine Arts         Z         2         2         405         \$ 34,455.99         \$ 85.08           Fine Arts         Z         2         2         2         405         \$ 34,455.99         \$ 85.08           Fine Arts         Z         2         2         405         \$ 34,455.99         \$ 85.08           Moden Languages         Z         2         2         312         \$ 17,373.28         \$ 41.15           Moden Languages         Z         2         2         38         \$ 19,247.66         \$ 42.79           Moden Languages         Z         2         2         387.52         \$ 47.95           Moden Languages         Z         1         1         \$ 406         \$ 7,373.59         \$ 42.29           Moden Languages         Z         2         \$ 2,038.75         \$ 47.29         \$ 47.29           Connercid         Cooking         Z         \$ 2,038.75         \$ 47.29 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th>								1
R     2     2     405     5     34,455.99     5       R     2     2     2     405     5     34,455.99     5       R     2     2     2     312     12.839.10     5       Concomics     1     1     1     430     19,053.39       Languages     2     2     332     12.839.10     5       R     Mysical Educ.     1     1     430     19,053.39       Languages     2     2     398     19,247.66       Languages     1     1     410     19,637.52       Rules     1     1     405     22,038.75       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     63     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     2     2,639.03       Rules     1     1     2     2,639.03       Rules	Program Area Grade Nine	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Costs Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	1
a fine     2     2     312     12.839.10       a fine     1     1     1     196     11,123.28       Languages     2     2     398     19,247.66       Languages     2     2     398     19,247.66       Languages     1     1     406     17,33.59       Studies     1     1     406     17,33.59       Flucation     1     1     405     22,038.75       Nue     1     1     63     2,639.03       reial Cooking     1     1     63     2,639.03       oldogy     1     1     63     2,639.03       reial Looking     1     1     63     2,639.03       al Laboratory     1     1     63     2,639.03       ify     1     1     63     2,639.03       al Laboratory     1     1     216     29,342.34       al Laboratory     1     1     216     29,342.34       al Lobal     1     1     216     29,342.34       al Lobals     13     3,241     \$187,750.65     \$			2	405	\$ 34,455.99		\$ 87.45	
momics     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1     1 <td< td=""><td></td><td>• •</td><td></td><td>312</td><td>12.839.10</td><td>41.15</td><td>32.59</td><td></td></td<>		• •		312	12.839.10	41.15	32.59	
Educ.     1     1     430     19,053.39       1     1     1     406     17,373.59       1     1     1     406     17,373.59       1     1     1     405     22,038.75       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     216     29,342.34       1     1     216     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65	Fine Arts	۰ ۱	4 -	5	11,123,28	56.75	28.23	
Educ.     2     2     398     19,247.66       1     1     410     19,637.52       1     1     405     22,038.75       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     20     22,038.75       1     1     23     2,639.03       1     1     23     2,639.03       1     1     216     29,342.34       1     1     216     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65	Home Economics				19,053.39	<b>14.</b> 31	48.36	
Educ.     1     1     406     17,373.59       1     1     1     405     22,038.75       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     63     2,639.03       1     1     2     23,241.34       1     1     2     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65	Mathematics	- (	- ~	, č	19.247.66	48.36	48.85	
A Mysical Buc.     1     1     410     19,637.52       Audies     1     1     405     22,038.75       Use     1     1     405     22,038.75       Use     1     1     63     2,639.03       cial Cooking     1     1     63     2,639.03       cial Cooking     1     1     63     2,639.03       logy     1     1     63     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     63     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     23     2,639.03       logy     1     1     21     2,9342.34       ogy     1     1     216     29,342.34       ogy     13     3,241     \$187,750.655     \$	Modern Languages	7 -	- 1		17.373.59	42.79	44.10	
kudies     1     1     1     405     22,038.75       kudies     1     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     63     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,639.03       kudies     1     1     2,16       kudies     1     1     2,16       kudies     13     3,241     \$187,750.65	Health & Mysical Buck				19 637 52	6.74	49.84	
1     1     1     63     2,639.03       xy     1     1     63     2,639.03       xy     1     1     23     2,639.03       1     1     2     29,342.34       1     1     216     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65     \$	Science				22,038,75	54.42	55.93	
1     1     1     63     2,639.03       xy     1     1     63     2,639.03       xy     1     1     2     2,639.03       xy     1     1     2     2,639.03       1     1     2     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65     \$	Social Studies	-	-	}				
1     1     1     0.0     2,007.00       xy     1     1     0.0     2,007.00       1     1     216     29,342.34       13     13     3,241     \$187,750.65     \$	Agriculture		•	ç	2 430 02	41 89	6.70	
70     70       7     1       1     1       1     1       13     13       3,241     \$187,750.65	Business Education	-	-	3	m. 100, 2		•	
1 1 216 29,342.34 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	<b>Connercial Cooking</b>							
boratory nology A 1 1 216 29,342.34 A 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	<b>Cosme</b> tology							
ity si Laboratory ical echnology al echnology al al 1 1 216 29,342.34 agy nol A 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$ Totals 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Drafting							
is Laboratory ical sechnology al agy mol A Totals 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Bectricity							
xy 1 1 216 29,342.34 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	General							
1 1 216 29,342.34 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Industrial Laboratory							
1 1 216 29,342.34 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Mechanical							
17 1 216 29,342.34 al A bials 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Media Technology							
1 1 216 27,042.04 13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Structural			ļ	10 010 00	125 24	74.47	
13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Technology	-	-	210	to. 740' 67	5.22		
13 13 3,241 \$187,750.65 \$	Vocational A							3
	Totals	5	13	3,241	\$187,750.65	\$ 57.93	\$ 476.52	57

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL H

ī.

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cast Per	
•	J14	7   N	Purile in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled	
Program Area	ю. од				in Program		
Grade Ten	Courses				R		I
					0, 50 4	¢ 01 73	
	~	7	453	\$ 42,401.15	\$ 93.60	<b>74.0</b> 7	
	• •	2	138	7,301.52	52.91	17.10	
			4	4,700.41	10.19	00.11	
Home ccondinics	- (	- ~	447	20 342 45	45.38	68.76	
Mathematics	<b>°</b> (	<b>,</b> ,	j S	13 017 87	50.65	30.49	
Modern Languages	<b>v</b> •	N (	3 8	14 280 32	40.52	38.15	
Physical Education		Þ			07 67	44 40	
rience.		-	54	18.70,81	50°.24		
Social Studies	7	7	481	23, 157 .44	48.14	57° <b>4</b> C	
Aariculture				:		<b>20</b> E2	
Lating the sector	~	2	261	8,777.83	33.03 50		
			61	3,617,37	59.30	8.47	
Commercial Looking	-	-	5				
Cosmetol ogy		1		07 700 6	CI 73	0 10	
Deafting	_		8	04.400,0	21.70		
Berricity	-	-	62	5,885.52	74.50	13./8	
	•	-	101	12 325 79	122.04	28.87	
Mechanical	-		5;		IS XX	250	
Media Technology	_	-	<u>o</u>			12 27	
Structural		-	\$	5,389.35	47° 94	70, 21	
Technology							
Vocational A							ł
Totols	2	21	3532	\$196,137.14	\$ 55.53	\$ 459.34	358

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

HIGH SCHOOL H

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per	
Program Area	ک <mark>ہ . در</mark>	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled	
Grade Beven	Courses	<b>Credits</b>	Courses	Set:	in Program	in Grade	1
Faulti-h	-	2	378	\$ 36,250.00	\$ 95.90	\$ 94.40	
	. 2	0	78	5,604.38	71.85	14.59	
Home Franchics	• 🔫	~ ~	174	4,994.96	28.71	13.01	
Mathematics	° M	3	530	23,725.54	44.76	61 <b>"</b> 78	
Modern Langunges		-	163	7,535.08	46.23	19.62	
Physical Education	_		113	5,180.18	45.84	13.49	
cience	Ś	. <b>സ</b>	391	17,539.23	44.86	45.68	
Social Studies	0	3	64	20,787.50	48.34	54.13	
Agriculture					5 1	94 CT	
Business Education	\$	\$		16,398.13	4/ .81	0/* 74	
Commercial Cooking	-	-	31	11.021,1	37.75	3.05	
Cosmetol ogy			:			11 25	
Draftina	7	ო	R	5,511.41	10. 01	14°00	
Bectricity	-	-	5	2,890.96	99.69	7.53	
General							
Industrial Laboratory						2	
Mechanical	9	2	147	12,636.64	8.8	32.91	
Media Technology						9 - 1	
Structural	2	ო	18	2,724.79	151.38	/.10	
Technology Vocational A							
							3
Tohile	35	¥	2,857	\$ 162,948.91	\$ 57.03	\$ 424.35	59

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL H

			HIGH SCHOOL	001 H			1
Program Area Grade Twelve	Source Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil–Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	i
	-	, ,	\$ \$	\$ 34 537.08	\$ 104.97	\$ 92.35	
	- c	4 0	(j <b>4</b>	2,913,97	63.35	<i>2.79</i>	
Fine Arts	4 U	√ ◄	9 <b>%</b>	2,969,90	78.16	7.94	
Home condition	י ר	r (*	451	22,365,81	49 .59	59.80	
Mathematics	n -	) -	211	5.621.40	50.19	15.03	
Modern Languages				3.462.28	43.28	9.26	
Physical baucation	- ٩		513	25, 722, 94	50.14	68.78	
Science	•	• •		10 222 02	47_03	51.69	
Social Studies	n	ŋ	ł	ov. 100' / 1		- - -	
Agriculture	:	=	UC 3	77 775 24	52.45	72.93	
<b>Business</b> Education	=	-			50 57	2 13	
<b>Commercial Cooking</b>	~	-	8	1,1/1.40	10.00	2	
<b>Cosmetology</b>		1	ł			12 58	
Drofting	7	ო	R	/0.0//4		277	
Bechicity	7	n	8	5,463.02	7/.0/1	10.41	
General							
Industrial Laboratory						20 00	
Machanical	ŝ	0	<u>ک</u>	10,869.43	16/ .22	90° 47	
Made Tother	-		4	1 ,282 .69	91.62	3.43	
		-	23	2,664.56	115.85	7.12	
	•	•	1				
lechnology Vacational A							I
						+ 155 67	3
Totals	<b>5</b>	49	2,706	\$ 170,359.28	\$ 62.96	/0.004 (	000 

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL H

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)	COMPREHENSIVE H	
TABLE XXXVI (Continued)	COMPREHENSIVE H	

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cast	Average Cast Per Student Enrolled in Course	
	,		911	113.188.23	97.58	95.52	
English	<b>。</b> 、		222	15.819.87	60.38	13.35	
Fine Arts	oş	7 0	280	12.665.27	43.82	10.69	
Home Economics	2 0	<b>`</b> 0	1428	75.453.80	46.35	63.67	
<b>Mathematics</b>	~ (		220	26,174.35	49.20	22.08	
Modern Languages	<b>、</b> 、	<b>。</b> 、	ŝ	24.931.78	41.90	21.04	
Physical Education	<b>~</b> •	4 0	1338	62.219.98	46.50	52 •51	
Science	0 1	0 ~	1322	63,277.87	47.87	53.39	
Social Studies	•	•					
Agriculture	9		1124	52.451.20	46.66	44.26	
Business Education	<u>&gt;</u> ~	<u>r</u> «	112	5,958.94	53.20	5.03	
Commercial Cooking	C	2		•			
Cosmetology	L	٢	154	14,102,38	91 <b>.</b> 57	06.11	
Drafting	0	• •	5	11 220 50	101 71	12.01	
Electrical	4	Û.		00. 107, 41			
General	•	9	212	35 831 86	114.48	30.24	
<b>Me</b> chanical	12	<u>&gt;</u>	2.6	2 251 40	78.38	1.98	
Media Technology	7	7	<b>R</b> 1		111.12	9.10	
Structural			\$	0/.0//,01	-		
Technology							30
Totals	8	103	<del>3</del> 606	529,445.33	58.21	44679	51
5 5 7							

Program Area Grade Nine	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade
English	00	0 0	<u>5</u> 24 25	\$ 28,268.90 7,164.69	\$ 67.15 43.69	<b>\$</b> 76.60 19.42
rine Arns Home Economics Mathematics	•	. – –	166 413	15,357.90 20,445.05	82.52 49.50	41 .62 55 .41 50 .08
Modern Languages Health & Physical Educ. Science		5	411 317 388	18,812.06 19,540.93 20,845.46	61.64 53.73 53.73	52.8 56.49 53 31
Social Studies Agriculture Business Education	-	-	8	21,880.71	5	
Commercial Looking Coumetalogy Drafting	-	-	250	12,807 .49	51.23	34.70
Bectricity General Industrial Laboratory Mechanical Media Technology Structural Technology Vocational A	-	-	434	36 ,480 .76	<b>8</b> 8	<b>%</b>
Totals	13	13	3,372	\$ 201,610.15	\$ 59.79	\$ 546.36

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL 1

(Continued)	
TABLE XXXVI	

HIGH SCHOOL I

Program AreaNo. ofNo. ofNo. ofPupils inInsGrade TenCoursesCreditsCoursesCreditsCoursesCoFine Arts333333333Fine Arts33333343Home Economics33333467Modern Languages11156413Rysical Education333367Science333507Social Studies33507Social Studies33507Marculture33507Social Studies33507Social Studies1114Commercial Cooking111Commercial Cooki	Instructional F Casts i	Per Pupil-Course		
structures artics artics artics artics artics artics artics brue brue criel Cooking artics brue criel Cooking artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics artics arti		in Program	rupii-created in Grade	
s conomics atics atics budges fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies fundies				1
s conomics arics arics arics arics arics flucation fluce arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation arics flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation flucation fluca	2 20 000 13	70 24	\$ 74.99	
s conomics atics bonomics atics languages languages budies budies budies budies blogy al Laboratory al Laboratory al Laboratory al Laboratory lity rity ricol Cooking al Laboratory lity ricol Languages a 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3				
	6,105.93	46.26	14.20	
- m n n m n m n m n m n m n m n m n m n	5 558 05	99.25	12.98	
MNOMM 4 MN-MM 4	35 300 ED	46.76	59.33	
NOME 4 N-ME 4	00. 240, 62		22 01	
	14,472 JI	43.99	50.81	
-mm + mm +	13.962.43	33.81	32 .62	
indies februation 4	21 988 45	47 .59	51.37	
indies truction Education dagy dagy laboratory i ' i' fechnology al			5 5	
* * \$	31,12/.44	4C° 10		
• •				
e	13,960,70	45.18	32.62	
  2		20 02	2.29	
boratory 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	70° 00	<b>**</b> *	• • •	
boratory hology			16 21	
 	6 ,521 .02	25.18	47° CI	
	2 B87 43	87.50	6 <b>.</b> 75	
	00 270 01	27 78	30.53	
	13,000,21			
	8,885.98	62.14	0/07	
-	5 481 25	137_03	12.81	
Technology				
Vocational A				:
			11 227 3	363
Totals 28 29 3,712 <b>5</b>	202 ,490 .32		- 1	3 

Continued)	
IVXXVI (C	
TAB	

HIGH SCHOOL 1

Grade Eleven Go English Fine Arts Mathematics Modern Languages	Courses	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
English Fine Arts Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages			Courses		D		
English Fine Arts Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages			501	07 006 76 3	¢ 85.32	\$ 89.79	
Fine Arts Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages	-	2				17.21	
rine Aus Hame Economics Mathematics Modern Languages	c	ო	202	6,5/4.82			
Hame tconomics Mathematics Modern Languages	) -	-	9	3,907.81	97.70	10.23	
Mathematics Modern Languages	- (	- ຕ	526	25,136,84	47.78	65.80	
Modern Languages	<b>v</b> (	<b>^</b> (	07C	14 601 24	54.82	38.46	
	2	7	89 ¹	1 061 12	73 90	12.96	
Physical Education		-	6	24. ICA. 4		<b>80</b> 41	
	~	ო	637	30,793.91	57.84		
Science	) (	• •	442	21,115.48	11. 14	87.00	
Social Studies	4	ł		•			
Agriculture		(		11 000 45	49.17	30.89	
<b>Business</b> Education	œ	20			01 211	2.07	
Commercial Cooking	-	-	~	C7.74/		5 9 1	
Cosme tology			Ş	00 070 L	48 18	3.53	
	_	_	8Z			00 1	
	-	-	12	1,635.60	136.30	07.4	
Bectricity	-	-	1	•			
General							
Industrial Laboratory		(	ā	7 044 50	94 82	20.85	
Mechanical	m	n	<b>5</b> (		0, 20	7.75	
And a Tachard and	_	_	8	m. 20%, 2	07. 20		
	-	-	12	3,987.75	332.31	<b>H</b> . 2	
	•						
Technology							
Vocational A							; }
Totals	8	8	3,003	\$ 171,%1.75	\$ 57.26	\$ 450.16	364 

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per	
Program Area Grade Twelve	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	Per Pupil–Course in Program	Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
Finalish	-	7	36	\$ 28,769.36	\$ 84.62	\$ 77.76	
Fire Arts	7	7	\$	2,446.43	37.07	6.61	
Hune Frommire	•	0	45	2,877.79	63.95	7 .78	
Mathematics		•	<b>48</b> 8	26,130.27	53.54	70.62	
Modern Longunges	· 7	· 7	165	11,367.36	68.89	30.72	
Physical Education		-	4	4,004.88	91.02	10.82	
cience	• •	- 4	637	37,132,37	58.29	100.38	
Social Studies	. w	Ś	411	21,852.83	53.16	59.06	
Agriculture							
Business Education	13	13	358	23,405.50	65.38	63.26	
Commercial Cooking							
Cosmetol ogy					5		
Drafting	-	-	ल	3,260.51	8.8	8.81	
Bechricity	-	-	ŝ	1,251.83	250.37	3.38	
General							
Industrial Laboratory							
Mechanical	ო	ო	31	3,292.54	106.21	8.90	
Media Technology							
Structural	-	-	7	1,493.50	213.36	4.03	
Technology							
Vocational A							
Totals	ę	8	2,631	\$ 167,285.17	\$ 63.58	\$ 452.12	365
							ľ

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

HIGH SCHOOL 1

Division IV			No. of	Total Direct	Average Per-	Average Cost Per
Provident Area	No. of	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Student	Student Enrolled
Grade 10, 11, 12	Courses	Credits	Courses	Costs	Program Cost	in Course
Combined						
		α	6611	95.166.48	79.34	80.65
		α	004	15,127.18	37.82	12.82
Hane Fromire	<b>~</b>	• -	141	12,343.65	87.54	10.46
Muthematics	) =	11	1557	76,659.61	49.24	64.97
Medera Lanumer	: ~	9	762	40,531.31	53.19	34.35
		• •	524	22,918.74	43.74	19.42
			1736	89,914.73	51.79	76.19
science Social Studies	22	2 2	1360	74,095.75	54.48	62 .79
Agriculture						
Business Education	52	25	202	49,166.65	54.21	41.00
Commercial Cooking	2	7	21	1,773.03	84.43	1.50
Cosmetology						CY 0
Drafting	ო	ო	168	11,130.53	c7.00	04° V
Bectrical	ო	ო	ŝ	5,774.86	115.50	4.89
General						
Mechanical	~	7	283	24,324.24	85.95	20.61
Media Technology	2	7	179	11,842.98	66.19	10.04
Structural	၊ က	<b>က</b>	59	10,962.50	185.80	9.29
Technology						
Vocational A						
Totals	103	<u>10</u>	9346	541,737.24	57.96	459.10

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) COMPREHENSIVE 1

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

HIGH SCHOOL J

			کو. و ح	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Lost Per
Pronom Area	No. of	کو. مر	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled
Grade Ten	Courses	Credits	Courses	Costs	in Program	in Grade
		\$	914	\$ 32,977.55	\$ 36.08	\$ 68.13
	•	• ◄	118	8,258.08	69.98	17.06
Hume Francis	-	-	132	8,429.95	63.86	17.41
Anthematics	• •	-	617	26,306.39	42.63	54.35
Modern   annionee	. 0	2	TOR O	17,597.07	57.89	36.36
Musical Felometica	. –	0	827	14,414.32	17.43	29.78
riijanca	. –	,	452	18,379.72	40.66	37.97
Science Sciel Sudier	• ~	~ ~	230	19,209.76	36.24	39.69
Acticulation	. –		26	2,908.03	111.85	6.01
Ruisses Fernation	• •	. W	511	16,420.43	32.13	33.93
Commercial Continu	,	-	52	1,036.67	41.47	2.14
		-	72	2,117.98	29.42	4.38
Profeiren			æ	1,592.01	43.03	3 <b>.</b> 29
Hostricity	. –		18	632.92	35.16	1.31
General	· 7	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	218	17,639.45	80.91	36.45
Industrial Laboratory					1	
Mechanical	7	ო	8	11,789.14	126.76	24.36
Media Technology						
Structural	-	-	œ	1,118.06	139./6	2.31
Technology			1		00 02 .	00 00
Vocational A	ო	ო	8	14,1/1.62	86°7CI	67.42
Totals	8	37	4,995	\$ 214,999.14	\$ 43.04	\$ 444.21

TABLE XXXVI ) Continued)

HIGH SCHOOL J

			۲o. of	<b>Total Direct</b>	Average Costs	Average Cost Per
Proprom Area	No. of	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled
Grode Beven	Courses	<b>Credits</b>	Courses	Costs	in Program	in Grade
Emalish	ო	n	825	\$ 30,012.14	\$ 36.38	\$ 72.49
Fine Arts	Ŷ	ŝ	142	9,809.97	69.08	23.69
Home Economics	-	31/2	129	5,317.11	41.22	12.84
Mathematics	ო	'n	24	21,047.84	44.59	50.84
Modern Languages	ŝ	n	199	10,024.66	50.38	24.21
Physical Education	0	-	011	7,858.63	71.44	18.98
Science	ŝ	ŝ	651	26,305.57	40.41	63.54
Social Studies	~~~	7	272	13,274.63	48.80	32.06
Anriculture	ŝ	ო	78	5,402.80	69.27	13.05
Business Education	00	ø	546	19,838.78	36.33	47.92
Commercial Cookina	-	ო	18	2,334.17	129.68	5.64
Commetalaar	-	n	8	3,400.83	113.36	8.21
Drafting	7	0	ş	2,125.92	62.53	5.14
Bectricity	ŝ	~	8	3,016.58	79.38	7.29
General	_	-	œ	1,118.06	139.76	2.70
Industrial Laboratory						
Mechanical	7	8	225	16,924.67	75.22	40.88
Media Technology						
Structural	ო	ო	31	3,806.94	122.80	9.20
Technology Vocational A						
Totals	58	<b>2/1 09</b>	3,808	\$ 181,619.30	\$ 47.69	\$ 438.81

Program Area	۲ <b>۰</b> . م	No. of	No. of Pupils in	Total Direct Instructional	Average Costs Per Pupil–Course · P	Average Cost Per Pupil-Enrolled	
Grade Twelve	Courses	Credits	Courses	Cats	in rrogram		I
Er <b>g</b> lish	3	e	843	\$ 32,607.61	\$ 38.68	\$ 80.51	
Fine Arts	ſ	011	æ	2 107 49	55.46	5.20	
<b>Nome</b> conomics <u>Mathematics</u>	4 6	- 6	3 23	22.426.65	39.83	55.37	
Modern   aminaec	) (	<b>~</b>	10	6,566.00	10.04	16.21	
Physical Education	ı —	-	58	2,043.20	78.58	5.04	
Science	Υ Ω	ŝ	527	24,021.46	45.58	59.76	
Social Studies	• ~	~ ~	<b>\$</b> 2	19,987.48	43.83	49.35	
Aoriculture	(M	` M	8	3,984.86	83.02	9.84	
Business Education	~	~	198	12,431.30	62.78	30.69	
Commercial Cooking	-	ო	4	1 ,812.50	453.12	4.48	
Cosmetal aav	7	7	31	5,117.29	165.07	12.64	
Denfrim.	-	-	11	835.71	75.97	2.06	
Bectricity	~ ~	5	7	1,281.39	183.06	3.16	
General							
Industrial Laboratory						2	
Mechanical	S	~	78	12,547.90	160.87	86.00	
Media Technology							
Structural							
Technology							
Vocational A							
Totals	66	42 1/2	2,994	\$ 147,770.84	\$ 49,36	\$ 364.87	369
1	•						

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

COMPREHENSIVE J

Division IV Program Area Grade 10, 11, 12 Combined	No. of Courses	No. of Gredits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Per- Student Program Cost	Average Cost Per Student Enrolled in Course	
English	0	0	2582	95,597,30	37.02	73.36	
Fine Arts	0	0	260	890	69.49	13.87	
Hame Economics	~	\$	299	15,854.55	53.03	12.17	
<b>Mathematics</b>	0	0	1652	69,780.88	42.24	53.55	
Modern Languages	7	7	667	34,187.73	51.36	26.24	
Physical Education	4	7	963	24,316.15	25.25	18.66	
Science	=	Π	0691	68,706.75	42.15	52.73	
Social Studies	9	9	1258	52,471.87	41.71	40.27	
<b>Ag</b> riculture	~	7	152	12,295.69	80.89	9.43	
Business Education	18	18	1255	48,690.51	38.80	37.37	
Commercial Cooking	n	~	4	5, 183.33	110.28	3.98	
Cosmetol ogy	4	\$	133	10,636.10	79.97	8.16	
Drafting	4	4	8	4,553.64	55.53	3.49	
Bectrical	80	10	63 63	4,930.89	71.19	3.78	
General	ო	ო	226	18,757.51	83.00	14.40	
Mechanical	41	18	396	41,261.71		31.67	
Media Technology							
Struct <b>ural</b>	4	4	39	4,925.00	158.87	3.78	
Technology							
Vocational A	ო	ო	93	14,171.62	152.38	10.88	3
T	132		11707	11 705 113	11 11	117 ev	70
(IDIO)	22	ł	~~~~	#. <u>25, #5</u>	40.14	11.00	

			HIGH SCHOOL K	юог к			
Program Area Grade Ten	No. of Courses	No. of Gedits	No. of Pupils in Courses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Programs	Average Cast Per Pupil-Enrolled in Grade	
	~		3 <del>8</del>	\$ 23.457.82	\$ 67.80	\$ 62.55	
	<b>,</b> ,	) (	156	7,395.20	47.41	19.72	
	• •	112	8	5,017.30	54.54	13.38	
	• •		473	22,529.88	47.63	60.08	
Mathematics		<b>)</b> M	22	10,822.22	48.75	28.86	
modern Languages	<b>-</b>	00	13	6,411.96	9.80	17.10	
	• ~	2	352	14,120.00	40.11	37.65	
		n س	414	18,272.60	44.13	48.73	
	<b>)</b> (	1/2	37	1,179.11	31.87	3.14	
Agricuiture Business Education	• ~	2	4]3	17,622.03	42.67	46.99	
Commercial Cooking						7 77	
Cormetology	-	<u>5</u>	73	2,420.92	5.10 1 20	0.40	
		-	15	506.18	33./5		
	_	2	37	1,547.74	41.83	4.13	
General							
Industrial Laboratory						17 70	
Mechanical	4	7	251	6,636.32	<b>4</b> ° 07	11 20	
Media Technology	-	-	124	4,233.69		F 05	
Structural	-	2	\$	1,895.10	41.20	<b>CO</b> *C	
Technology		•			05 33	38.45	
Vocational A	4	4	104	14,420.10	3.3		3
Totals	R	35 1/2	3,874	\$ 158,452.23	\$ 40.90	\$ 422.53	71
							1

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL K

fragram Area Grade Beven	No. of Courses	No. of Credits	No. of Pupils in Counses	Total Direct Instructional Costs	Average Casts Per Pupil-Course in Program	Average Cast Per Pupil-Errolled in Grade
Familiah	6	\$	392	\$ 35,697.09	90° 16 \$	\$ 89.24
	• ◄	) <b>က</b>	8	3,740.79	37.41	9.35
Home Frommire	-	-	0	1,101.56	110.16	2.75
Mothematics	•	-	412	17,564.67	42.63	43.91
Modern   annunges	. w	Ś	161	7,596.66	39.77	18.99
Physical Education	0 0	7	011	8,194.68	74.50	20.49
cience	) <b>v</b> î	ŝ	659	30,265.85	45.93	75.66
Script Studies	• •	-	999	28,627.23	4.73	71.57
Acticulture	. W	'n	4	5,058.49	107.63	
Business Education	~	6 1/2	g	12,492.43	37.51	31.23
Commercial Cooking						!
Cormetalaav	7	41/2	91	4,589.66	286.85	/4/
Profilm -	4	~	8	5,159.54	800.26	12.90
Bectricity	Ś	71/2	31	3,893.90	125.61	9 <i>.</i> 73
General						
Industrial Laboratory						
<b>Me</b> chanical	7	16 1/2	89	12,497.45	183./9	47.10
Media Technology						71 61
Structural	n	212	<b>58</b>	4,863.08	1/3.08	01.21
	Q	a	aot	16.499.06	83.33	41.25
Vocational A	0	D	2			
Totals	3	88 1/2	3,271	\$ 197,842.14	\$ 60.48	\$ 494.60

TABLE XXXVI (Continued) HIGH SCHOOL K

/l (Continued)	
TABLE XXXV	

			No. of	Total Direct	Average Costs	Average Cost Per
Program Area	No. of	No. of	Pupils in	Instructional	Per Pupil-Course	Pupil-Enrolled
Grade Twelve	Courses	<b>Gredits</b>	Courses	Costs	in Program	in Grade
					2	t 0, 11
فعنانيه	ო	9	317	\$ 29,420.01	\$ 92.96	\$ 97 °04
	• •	2	1	1,642.44	37.33	4.61
	• -		15	1,361,19	90.75	3.82
Home Economics	- ۹		145	21 448 49	48.20	60.25
Mathematics	• •	n 1	145	7 714 16	46.75	21.67
Modern Languages	<b>,</b> c	י נ		3,863,56	96.59	10.85
Physical baucation	N <b>T</b>	4 4		24,803,34	51.04	69.67
Science	• •	• •	350	16 166 83	45.15	45.41
Social Studies	<b>^</b> (		38	2 001 08	149.60	8.40
Agriculture	7	7/17	8 8		12 05	<b>60</b> 35
<b>Business</b> Education	15	15 1/2	499	21,484.23	6. <del>2</del>	3.3
Commercial Cooking			•		307 AK	10.05
Commetod oav	-	ო	2	88.8/0,2	<b>20.</b> 145	20°21
	~	ო	<del>9</del>	5,311.39	132.78	<b>14. Y</b>
		212	12	9,603,15	800.26	26.98
Hectricity General	0	•/• •	<u> </u>			
Industrial Laboratory			1		000 IE	33 22
Mechanical	S	13 1/2	53	11,827.18	CI . 622	77.3
<b>Media Technology</b>					11 000	12 61
Structural	7	\$	4	4,489.00	+0° 070	10° 7
Technology Voortional A						
Totols	22	75	2,518	\$ 165,705.83	\$ 65.81	\$ 465.47

Division IV			No. of	Total Direct	Average Per-	Average Cast Per
Program Area	No. of	No. of Gadir	Pupils in Courses	Instructional Costs	Student Program Cost	student Entrolled in Course
Combined						
	•	ğ	1054	88.574.92	84.04	78.32
	• 0	<u>0</u> r	300	12.778.43	42.59	06.11
Fine Arts	0 ◄	ע יי	211	7.480.05	10.79	l9°9
Home Economics	• =		1330	61,543.04	46.27	54.41
Mathematics	<u>r</u> 0	<u>r</u> 0	578	26,133.04	45.21	23.11
Modern Languages	- v		804	18,470.20	22.97	16.33
	ב י	1 =	1497	69,189,19	46.22	61.17
Science	: 2	: 2	1413	63.066.66	44.63	55.76
Social Studies	2 1	2 4		9.229.58	88.76	8.16
Agriculture	0 2	0	1245	51 508 69	41.44	45.62
Business Education	•7	47	27			
Commercial Cooking	,		8	10 500 11	50 BUL	9.36
Cosmetol oav	4	ω	86	10, 387.40	0.001	Ī
	~	1	16	11.779,01	120.63	1/" 4
Bectrim	. ~	15.5	8	15,044.79	295.54	13.30
General						75 76
Mechanical	16	32	372	30,960.05	77.08	10° 17
Madia Tahadan	· -	_	124	4,233.69	34.14	4.5
		]4	88	11,247.18	127.81	9.94
	•	•				
Iechnology Vocational A	12	12	367	30,919.22	84.25	27.34
Totals	153	199	1996	522,035.44	54.03	/c <b>.</b> 10 <del>4</del>

TABLE XXXVI (Continued)

•

COMPREHENSIVE K

# APPENDIX F

· .

# PRORATION STATISTICS USED IN THE STUDY

•

### TABLE XXXVII

•

## PRORATION STATISTICS USED TO ALLOCATE INSTRUCTIONAL EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLY COSTS TO CURRICULAR PROGRAMS

	Per cent of Total Equip	ment and Supply Cos
Curricular Program	Comprehensive C	Comprehensive D
English	0.85 [°]	0.95 ^a
Home Economics	4.67	5.19
Mathematics	0.65	0.73
Modern Languages	3.19	3.54
Physical Education	9.32	10.34
Science	2.36	2.62
Agriculture	4.83	
<b>Business Education</b>	13.24	14.69
Drafting	4.23	4.70
Electrical	7.60	8.43
Mechanical	22.36	24.81
Structural	5.09	5.66

^a Based on Average Instructional and Supply Costs in Comprehensives A, B, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K.

TABLE XOCVIII

`\

# MORATION STATISTICS USED TO ALLOCATE RESIDENT AND NON-RESIDENT IMPLEMENTARY COSTS TO CURRICULAR MOGRAMS

						Comprehensive			ہ س		u. ¥	
Curizuler Propen	Re Seeter			Par Student Fordled in School		R Student Strolled in School		Per Student Errollod in School		Per Student Eardled in School	Per Stude	Per Student Enrolled in School
									8	15 50	16.91	13.69
On istian Ethics		4	17 🕊	18.96	12.21	10.48	18.71	20.12	3.6	68.0	7.28	<b>8</b> .50
				2.3			:		1.55	R	4.65	<b>7</b> .4
Fire Arts		-	35	4.60	9.70 1	8	2.4		14.79	26.61	16.37	18.51
Home Economics			57.51	15.82	12.50	8.EI			8.9	5.14	<b>6</b> .0	12.03
Muthematics			3.12	3.7	4.51	4.21	•	4./0	2	8.7	9.9	<b>13.</b> 6
Motern Languages	5.3	2	4.6	27.8	<b>6.</b> 52	<b>54.</b> 0		2	19.27	18.39	15.49	<b>65.</b> EI
Physicial Education			12.92	14.8	<b>99</b> .EI	11.2		1	12.47	14.53	12.14	227-01
Science	2.5		12.49	19.0	13.9	8.1		10.21				2
Section Studies			8	1.20	2.8	1.21	10,	3.	10.48	10.99	3.12	3.8
Agriculture		15.50	50.7	6.0	5	10° 4		R.	R	0.92		
Burness Education		54.0			5.0	12.0			0,16	27.0		
Connercial Cecking						:			1.25	14.0		
Comercial			2.%	2.15	2.19			1	10.1	2.1		2
Destring			R	29-0	<b>8</b> .2			5				<b>8</b> ). 4
fiectucal					4.15	5.24		97 c	3.43	2.80		
Gersial	4 <b>X</b>	67	5.26	2.1	<b>4.85</b>	2.2						
Mechanical	2							071	1.13	0.25		
Media Technology			2.80	99.0	2.02	Ľ		•			20.0	
Securities			1						4.35	1.58		
Technology	5	3.25										
Vocational A			8.	<u>ی</u>								
Driver Education			87.1	8.9						ł	10 M	AN AN
Guidance				22	AL MA	00,00	00.00	00.00	00.00	8. 8	<b>m</b> . <b>m</b> !	<b>S</b> . <b>S</b> .
	00.00	00.001	8.8	<b>m</b> . <b>m</b> i								

TABLE XXXVIII (Continued)

.

			_	2	J	an kana ina -		-	_	×
Caritate Repen		C For Student School in School in		Structure School of inst	Per Student Course in Program	Re Snudent Encolled in School	Per Student Rogense in	Rer Student Errolled in School	Per Shudert Course in Program	Per Student Emailed in School
										1
	2.2	67.11			17 71	10 27	17.56	21.88	16.%	10.90
	00.61	14.65	5, 8	<b>49.</b> 21		) - - -	2	2.20	2.45	3.11
	14.2	12.1	<b>9</b> .5	4 65	B.1		10 0	2.53	1.43	58.1
	2	2.18	3.2	3.93	E.E			14 00	11.79	13.77
Home [conomics	2	14.41	81,21	99.91	13.06	14.92	10.7	3.1	10 5	5.98
Mutherrol .Cs	5	1	2	2.7	2.6	68.8			3	2
Madern Longunger	3.8		8		5.71	6.7	4	9.9	 	15.50
Physical Education				14.17	14.90	<b>%</b>	12.62	20.01	35	27 11
Science	2.2			8	12.91	13.39	5	8	9.F	
Secial Studies							2.20			3 5
Agriculture	9	9		24.0	19.9	6.6	8.94	2.0	00.4	
Businna Education		2.1		16.0	0.24	0.16	8.0	<b>8</b> .5	505	101
Connercial Centing	27.0						56.1	2	3.9	5
	8.		ļ	7	1 12	3.17	19.0	R.o	2.10	
	<u>н</u> .–	<b>9</b> .0	<b>A</b> .1	9 5		1	16.0	0.53	2.88	58.0
Bertical	8.0	0.51	<b>4</b> .1	2.1		3.20	3.6	16.1	1	
	5.2	2.63				21 6	7.58	3.36	5.33	3.5
	2.43	8.0 8	8.9	2					18.0	1.28
	0.7	<b>Q</b> .0	X.0	0.24	ς ! -	97	80	<u>6.3</u>	2.15	0.91
	2.0	8.0	<b>S</b> 2	<b>F</b> 0	Ś	2.5				
Sec. 40	•		4.10	57-1			09.6	ور 0	5.92	<b>98'</b> E
Vecational A										
Driver Education						ļ				8
Guidence	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	0.00	8°.91	8.81	<b>20.00</b>	

## TABLE XXXIX

# PRORATION USED TO ALLOCATE RESIDENT AND NON -RESIDENT IMPLEMENTARY COSTS TO CURRICULAR PROGRAM IN POPULATION

Curricular Program	Population Direct Instructional Cost	Per Cent
Christian Ethics	34 ,593 .30	0.66
English	842,517.83	16.24
Fine Arts	183,545.60	3.54
Home Economics	172,506.86	3.32
Mathematics	709,906.16	13.69
Modern Languages	311,227.46	6.01
Physical Education	255,711.62	4.94
Science	761,810.32	14.69
Social Studies	624,998.08	12.05
Agriculture	38,091.62	0.75
Business Education	405,577.39	7.82
Commercial Cooking	25,893.71	0.50
Cosmetology	35,470.02	86.0
Drafting	95,047.43	1.80
Electrical	68,674.62	1.32
General	151,109.58	2.92
Mechanical	220,459.23	4.26
Media	32,948.72	0.64
Structure	63,281.94	1.22
Technology	65,550.38	1.27
Vocational	70,301.89	1.36
Driver Education	2,457.57	0.05
Guidance	2,966.52	0.06
Total	5,190,854.66	100.00

.

# APPENDIX G

.

TOTAL COURSE COSTS BY FUNCTION OBJECT EXPENDITURE CLASSIFICATION BY POPULATION COMPREHENSIVES

.

.

TAMEX

TOTAL COSTS IN EACH OF THE FORMATION COMPREHENSIVES BY A MODIFIED FUNCTION-OBJECT EXPENDITURE CLASSIFICATION

Espenditure Series	<	-	U	٥	w	L.	U	I	-	-	¥	Totals
100 ADMINISTRATION	21,439.50	21,243.09	12,008.86	29"626"21	28,442.76	50,574,76	62,539.98	55,570.21	54,125,38	85,129,72	29,690.34	381,916.88
200 INSTRUCTION 210 Salarier 211 Taachers		11. 100. TU	26.250.00	16.246,791	80. 128, 795 84, 972, 14	747,688.18 59,109.13	614,209.16 65,828.94	04.018,143	70, 777, 97 54,693.02	585,687.17 64,533.62	553,259.83 55,411.25	5,591,460.12 506,744.27
212 Administrator 213 Orior Inst. a) Library b) AV & TV		19, 429, 52 99, 174, 01	00. EAI , 6	64.952,9 21.027 F		12,519.99 3,678.45 84,64,61	21,444,59 26,002,38 32,512,23	9,863.99 39,229.98	9, 007 . 99 36, 849 . 98	18,299.98 2,156.25 20,693.98	12, <b>390.00</b> 13,789.22 23,392.70	132,962.01 45,706.33 213,010.52
c) Guidance d) Other 1. Internal Sub.	17,264.50		<b>2017 240</b> / 11	1 912.00	59, 508, 1	89.034,1	كك. الكر، 6	5,307.73	16.102,2	3,601.00	ł	28,533.53
2. Sub Teacher 215 Clericat & Bue Summert Staff	00.276.1 00.70.05	00'EK'6	<i>a. a</i> , , ,	er. 856, 8	16,064.23	20,669.15 77 152 02	20°.000, 02	24,513.47 4,672.00	26,779.03 5,225.61	<b>30,</b> 153.50 12,942.50	20,306.87	229, 143.26 49, 992.13
216 AV & TV Tech. 200 Library Faur and Supply 236 AV found & Supplier 236 FAV found & Supplier		5,261.00 2,412.50	669-48 419-77	52. 271 , I 80. 867	97,117,11 8,389,14	9,222.08 5,961.08 7,250.08	14,145.50 14,896.00 3,000.00	11,995,76 2,488.28 21,380.48	7,748.46 3,472.42 22,460.54	11,571.73 6,428.75 3,982.50 29,306.97	9,659.46 6,100.54 36,643.24	94,408.44 59,267.75 14,232.50 269,009.96
240 Inst Fault & Supplies 242 General Equip & 242 Operator and Tesh	32, 122, 155 16, 575, 23 14, 712, 74	00.119,E1 85.487,E1 02.844,E	14.824,7 48.291,8 00.734,5	8.26,2 8.206,2 8.200,1	17.272,91 22.662.09 4,854.72	37,709,85 37,709,85	22,540.37 15,598.31	35,553.00 19,866.65	32,951.86 19,422.98	33,059.06 20,174.49	19,787.52 28,257.47	254,318.98 120,963.29 18,955.00
251 Computer Centre Tural Larvertonal	15.021,582		315,769.67	00' HO' A	<u>554,769.25</u>	991,615.24	926,085.33	926,065.33 1,044,158.79 1,011,791.72	22 162' 110' 1	842,793.50	778,998.10	7,628,717.10 37,084.00
600 PLANI CPERATION		M 1.45 75	a ta u	06.990.52	41,243.63	25, 937, 18	73,872.35	49,718.91	48,919.07	87,517.49	66,975.78	571,352.73
612 Sulares 640 Unitres ou fuel bi Light & Poner c) Telephone & Pont. d) Water	27.422, 10 11, 101, 11 22, 141, 22 27, 2005, 1 27, 2005, 1					9,6 <b>66</b> .77 37,129.45 2,397.18 2,189.38	6,772.30 21,915.27 3,111.42 2,453.12	13,122.54 37,931.60 2,088.23 3,397.36	12,656.12 40,162.84 2,380.16 3,437.52	17,637.40 20,704.77 5,461.59 4,310.93	11,724.17 42,227.13 33,328.01 3,047.28	122,883.82 343,519.35 27,507.84 25,701.95

ł

TABLE XI. (Continued)

												•
<u>ا</u> و ا ا ا	<	-	U	۵	w	u.	ა	I	-	~	×	lotais
8.6 19				24 076 4	¥0° 686' 11	01.619,2	85"96/"/	77.679,5	3,121.35	6,265.94	4,527.14	61,107.33
e) Caratie	49° GLZ*9					24.05	8, Iey .uc			10.040.51		25,281.46
65' Torat Energy Supply and Contract			22.149,9						90 227 OK		15.928,151	1, 185, 897.58
Tatal Mari Oberation	10,45.40 11,317.44	12.716,14	01.944.05	2, 866, 57	29.590,851	56.051,911	124,030.09	N-777 401				
700 MANT MAINTENANCE				:			9.543.20	96.636,2 61.636,71	2,904.30	25,5 <b>08</b> .60	8,732.16	5,867.69 124,003.35
710 Noiseres 720 Report & Replace	16.10,51	3,408.50	15.81,7	8. 9 <b>9</b>	1			55 YA W	20.850.90	25,508.60	18,732.16	129,871.04
Taial Municiance	16.10,51	3,608.50	15.001.2	8.98	ł	16" BAZ" 11		<u></u>	14 412 41	16.082.82	28,910.53	164,807.16
BOD FIXED CHARGES	14,305.71	19.184,61	27.047,8	1, 03, 1	9,523.09	21,276.19	14,469.64	car esc' /1			•	
et FOOD SERVICES	67° 110'01	000'11 05.9/1'4	00,000,11	4,900.00	5,422.38	1	5,393.63	8, 134.02	82" 169"9	5,637.62	8,276.02	74,673.36 600.00
1000 STUDENT BODY			ĺ		8. 8.	09°   14	ł	ł	10.151,71	1	82 182,01	70,643.92
1200 CAPITAL OUTLAY	3,500.42	8.23,8	19" 7/5"	1								1 m m
1300 DB1 SERVICE Principal and Interest	109,241.59	40,214.09 27,804	27,806.34	»5° MGC' 24	91,415.00	28,	72. 125, <b>34</b>	27.702,01	4C.090,141		45'00 <b>5'1</b>	a
1400 TRANSFER						8. 7/	S		•			
101AL COSIS	N. 100 . 83	<u>87. 800, 638</u>	25,051.04 40,530.70 440,155.52	42.107.24	818,266.10	818, 266.10 1,433,885.03 1,189,169.47 1,402,282.20 1,281,290.40 1,075,182.25 1,085,518.83	1,189,169.47	1,402,282.20	09"062 182"1	1,005,182.25	1,085,518.83	10,712,207.96
Jugerier puyment to enother school board which does not Increter puyment to enother school board which does not	o enerther school bo	board which a	toes not have t	exetion privile ed in the total	have texation priviledges relative to included in the total operational cost	have texation priviledges relative to the education of Division II students. included in the total operational cast	n of Division l	l students .				