

National Library

Bibliothèque nationale . 4 du Canada

CANADIAN THESES

THÈSES CANADIENNES SUR MICROFICHE

NAME OF AUTHOR/NOM DE L'AUTEUR KHAMIS @ AMIR BIN AWANG	
TITLE OF THESIS/TITRE DE LA THÈSE & DEROFS- CALTERAD. VALIDATION STERDY OF 7	HO
WA VOCATIONAL DOCTOR	IE.
UNIVERSITY/UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITY/UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE UNIVERSITE DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY	A.CA
YEAR THIS DEGREE CONFERRED/ANNEE D'OUTENTION DE CE GRADE	
NAME OF SUPERVISOR / NON DU DIRECTEUR DE THÈSE G.W. FITZSIMMONS Ph.D	

Permission is hereby granted to the NATIONAL LIBRARY OF CANADA to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author reserves other publication rights, and neither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without the author's written permission. L'euterisation est, par la producte, accordée à la BIBLIOTHE QUE NATIONALE DU CAMADA de microitimer cette thèse es de prêter ou de vendre des exempleires du film. L'euteur se réserve les eutres depits de publication; et ja

thèse ai de longe extreite de celle ci ne doivent être moriale où estrement reproduits sans l'estoriestice sorte derestiones

16 DATED/DATE_ 1975 MAT SIGNED SIGNE

1 Atmir

PERMANENT ADDRESS / RESIDENCE FIXE , CENTRE FOR EDUCATIONAL STOR

LANNERST SANS MALAYSIA

MINDEN PENANG MALAYSIE

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

A CROSS-CULTURAL VALIDATION STUDY OF THE VOCATIONAL PREFERENCE INVENTORY AND THE WORK VALUES INVENTORY

KHAMIS @ AMIR BIN AWANG

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

IN

Educational Psychology

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

EDMONTON, ALBERTA SPRING, 1975 THE UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES AND RESEARCH

The undersigned certify that they have read, and recommend to the Faculty of Graduate Studies and Research, for acceptance, a thesis entitled <u>A Cross-Cultural</u> Validation Study of the Vocational Preference Inventory and the Work Values Inventory

Supervi

External Examiner

Date. 1.5. May 1975

Abstract

The major purpose of this study was to examine the construct validity of the Vocational Preference Inventory (VPI) and the Work Values Inventory (WVI) for use with Form IV Malaysian students in Penang, Malaysia. In addition, this study also examined the relationship between rural-urban residence and socio-economic status (SES) with the vocational preference and work values among the subjects, the viability of the hexagonal model and the VPI and WVI scale correlations.

To achieve these objectives, a cross-cultural comparison of the VPI and the WVI factor structures was made between the Canadian and the Malaysian subjects. 472 Grade X boys from the City of Edmonton and the County of Parkland constituted the Canadian sample, and 613 Form IV boys in 24 Secondary Schools in Penang made up the Malaysian sample.

The principal components analysis with varimax rotation was used to analyze the sub-scales in both tests. The results show, that the Malaysian VPI structure compares very well with that of the Canadian. These results provide some tentative evidence that the VPI is valid for use with the Malaysian students to assess their vocational interests. The results also indicate that for both groups, rural residence was not found to be a handicap to the expression of their vocational interests. However, the SES does seen to be related to their vocational preference.

With regard to the WVI, the results show that only for the SES level two urban Malaysian group does it have some evidence of validity. The validity of the WVI for the total Malaysian group requires additional evidence.

With the other sub-groups, the validity of the WVI is questionable.

The results also show that rural-urban residence is related to the work values of the subjects. So is their SES.

Supplementary analyses indicate that the hexagonal model was a viable model to measure the psychological relationships among the six personality types for both Canadian and Malaysian boys.

Finally, the VPI and WVI scale correlations show that the two tests are independent of each other. The similarity in the VPI and WVI correlation pattern between the Canadian and Malaysian boys provide some evidence that the VPI and the WVI are valid for use with the Malaysian boys.

Acknowledgements

I wish to express my deep and sincere appreciation for the assistance and close supervision I have received from Dr. G. W. Fitzsimmons (Chairman of the supervisory committee), Dr. T. O. Maguire, Dr. William Mague, and Dr. C. Preitz in the preparation of this dissertation. A special vote of thanks is deserved by Dr. Fitzsimmons for his patience, tolerance and readiness to provide constant "guidance". I often wonder if he was not getting tired of seeing me almost everyday. To Dr. Maquire my thanks for his invaluable help with the research design and the statistical interpretations of the data, and to Dr. Hague and Dr. Preitz for their critical comments and suggestions.

My thanks also go to all the students who had willingly given their cooperation to make my study a success. (Appendix L lists the schools involved in this study)

To my Director, Datuk Idris bin Babjee, and colleagues at the Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, I would like to say a million thanks for the encouragement and assistance all of them have given me. Cik Maznah binti Ismail deserved special mention for her help in the data collemnion. To Dr. Abdullah bin Hassan, the Coordinator of the Language Centre, Universiti Sains Malaysia, thanks for his expert help in bilingual and back translation of the two tests. To Dr. J. L. Holland, Dr. D. E. Super, the Consulting Psychologists Press, Çalifornia, and the Houghton-MiffTin Palo Alto my thanks for permitting me translate the Vocational Preference Inventory and the Work Values Inventory into Bahasa Malaysia for use in this study.

To the Canadian Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship Plan, Ottawa, and the Universiti Sains Malaysia Academic Staff Higher Education Scheme, my thanks for the financial support which has given me the opportunity to undertake this study, and to the Department of Educational Psychology, University of Alberta, for the financial aid in the research.

Mr. A. J. Watts of the Division of Educational Research Services, University of Alberta is thanked for his assistance in the computer programming, and Mrs. Marion Booth for her patience in typing the dissertation.

Last but not least, I like to thank my wife, Aishah binti Don. and my three children, Anis, Anas and Aidi for the encouragement they have given me, as well as for their patience in going with me through my difficult times.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ì.

і. Ч

9

,••••••• ;

CHAPTER	R
T I	INTRODUCTION
•	An Overview of the Problems in Cross-Cultural
	Studies
	Vocational Interest Tests with Special Reference to
	the Vocational Preference Inventory (VPI)
-1	Personality Types and Attributes
	Concurrent Validity of the VPI
•	VPI Factor Structure 21
	Work Values with Special Reference to the Work
	Values Inventory (WVI)
	Factorial Study of the WVI
	WVI and Occupational Choice
	WVI With Nonwhite Ethnic Groups
	Socio-Economic Status (SES) and Vocational
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Preference
· · · /	Urban-Rural Residence and Vocational Preference
1.	Problem
II RE	SEARCH INSTRUMENTS, SAMPLING AND DATA COLLECTION,
	D DESIGN
3n 8	Research Instruments
49 -	Canadian Questionnaire
	Malaysian Questionnaire
	The Modified Form of the VPI
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

viir .

ç⊅

. ":

/

CHAPTER		PAGE
IIN	The WVI	. 45
S.	ampling and Data Collection	-47
1)	/ Canadian Sample	. 47
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Mode of Data Collection in Canada	. 47
/	Malaysian Sample	49
	Mode of Data Collection	. 50
°	esign	. 52
III	JLTS	55
VF	PI Factors for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys	55
	PI Factors and Factor Loadings for Canadian and	
	lTaystan Boys	56
	PI Factors and Factor Loadings for Rural Canadian	
		60
L.	d Malaysian Boys	
	I Factors and Factor Loadings for Urban Canadian	c 0
	d Malaysian Boys	.02
	I Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES	
	ral Canadian and Malaysian Boys	62
	I Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES Rural	
e Ca	nadian and Malaysian Boys	64
VP	I Factors and Factor Loadings for High SES Urban .	
Ca	nadian and Malaysian Boys	68
× VP	I Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES 。	a
Ur	ban Canadian and Malaysian Boys	70

.

41	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + $	
		•
CHAPTER	PAGE	• •
n an	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES Urban	
• •	Canadian and Malaysian Boys	•
	VPI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Canadian	·~*
	and Malaysian Boys	
	Rural-Urban Canadian Boys	
	Rural-Urban Malaysian Boys	••••
•	VPI Factor Structure Across SES Levels for the Rural	
	Canadian and Malaysian Bogs	••
(Rural Canadian Boys	
¥	Rural Malaysian Boys	•
	VPI Factor Structure Across SES Levels, for the Urban	•
	Canadian and Malaysian Boys	
	Urban Canadian Boys	
	Urban Malaysian Boys	•
	Summary. 80 .	
0	WVI Factors for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys 80	
	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for the Canadian	N
	and Malaysian Boys	
	WVI Factor and Factor Loadings for the Rural	
	Canadian and Malaysian Boys	
	WVI Factor and Factor Loadings for the Urban	U . 2
	Canadian and Malaystan Boys	
	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES	
	Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys	

•••

R		PAGE
WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for L	ow SES Rural	95
Malaysian Boys		. 95
WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for H	igh SES Urban	
Canadian and Malaysian Boys	••••	. 9
WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for M	edium SES	
Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys		. 101
WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Lo		
Canadian and Malaysian Boys		104
Summary		194
WVI Factor Structure for Rural and Urb	an Canadian	
and Malaysian Boys		108
Rural-Urban Canadian Boys	• • • • • •	· 108
Rural-Urban Malaysian Boys		
WVI Factor Structure Across SES Levels	for the	
Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys		109
Rural Canadian Boys	an a	109
Rural Malaystan Boys		109
WVI Factor Structure Across SES Levels	for Urban	
Canadian and Malaysian Boys		110
Urban Canadian Boys		10
Urban Malaystan Boys		10
Summary	1	11 -

.

- ----

h

III

•		
APTE	R	PAGE
III	Supplementary Analyses	. 112
ΪV	DISCUSSION	
2	VPI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysia	
<u></u>	Boys .º.	
	VPI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Canadian	• •••
	and Malauradam Original States	. 121
	VPI Factor Structure and SES Levels for Canadian	· · · ·
	and Malaysian Boys	. 122
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Rural Canadian	
· •	Rural Malaysian	
•	Urban Canadian	
	Urban Malaysian	
• .	Further Research	
	WVI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysian	
·	Boyś	.
	WVI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Canadian	•
	and Malaysian Boys	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	WVI Factor Structure and SES Levels for Canadian	
•	and Malaystan Boys	. 134
•	Rural Canadian	134
		134
	Urban Canadian	134
	Urban Malaysian	135
	그는 말 바라 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아요. 바람이 없는 것이 같아요.	

XII

, ,

i Ç •

CHAPTER	PAGE
IV Further Research	, 135
Supplementary Findings	136
Hexagonal Model	136
VPI and WVI Scales Correlations	, 187
Further Research	. 138
Summary and Conclusion	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BIBLIOGRAPHY	142
APPENDIX A. Questionnaire for the Canadian Students .	• • • 153
APPENDIX B. Questionnaire for the Malaysian Students .	
APPENDIX C. Malaystan SES Scale	
APPENDIX.D. Vocational Preference Inventory for the Cana	
Students	
APPENDIX E. Vocational Preference Inventory for the	
Malayslan Students	172
APPENDIX F. Work Values Inventory for the Malaysian Stud	
APPENDIX G. Instruction to Data Collectors in Malaysia .	
APPENDIX H. VPI Factor Structure as Identified by Wakefi	eld.
ADDENDIX T VDT Frankrage	
APPENDIX I. VPI Factor Structures APPENDIX J. WVI Factor Structure as Identified by Hendrin	
and Super (1968) APPENDIX K. WVI Factor Structures	· · 201

-

а. Т

		1	1) 1)	6		•	PAGE	• ŋ * 9
	APPENDIX L.	List of	Participal	ting Schoo	ls in Ca	nada and	,	
								a
ð,		Malaysia	• • • • •		• • • •		. 212	
<u>;</u> .	VITA	· · · · · · ·		······································	• • • •	°. •`•,,₀••• • ₹	. 215	
. •	•		بن کې د د د د د د		1 · · ·	GP	<i>.</i>	• •
•			••	e ,		•		•
	9			· ·				~
- 4 -	- 0		· · ·			200 	a na sana ang sana sana sana sana sana s	į.
•			· · · ·				. . .	
	•					1.	N.1. 1	
•	· · · · ·					0		
	۰ ۰			3	τη		· ·	•
		•		đ		Ċ,	2	· .
^					т. т			· •
1	a think the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n .			n -		• 12
			Q		G)
	V							÷., .
3		ē				• •		
	÷ °.				the second	•		
						i de la companya de l La companya de la comp		
		APR L.				$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$	•	•
the particular		, j						· • .
, f		<i>.</i>	: :			v	A"	
· ·		·			-			
	- 3	0			ଷ : ି ମ	•		1
			0 	*	-			
				• • •	•	4		
•				••				·• · · ·
e	a					15	•	
		• • *					o	
	. v			b		1		
· A.	۰ -	ur i		•	· · ·			۰. ۱
a					••• ••••	ф. С.	0	a . B i
	~~	*	·			and the second s		
				15	* *			
				0				
			. xi	γ`			Э	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description	Page
···· 1	Personality Types and Corresponding Areas of Interest, Competencies, and Deficits	12
2	VPI Personality Scale Interpretation	ه 14
3	Work Values in Relation to Work	26
`4	Modification of VPI Occupational Titles to Correspond to the Canadian and Malaysian Titles	~~ 46
5	Distribution of Canadian Subjects by Residence, SES, and Tests	48
6	Distribution of Malaysian Subjects by SES, Residence, and Tests	51
7	VPI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys Across Urban-Rural Settings and SES Levels	<u>\</u> 57
8	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Canadian and Malaysian Boys	58
9 。	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys	. 61
10	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys	6 3 ·
11	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Rural Canadian (RC2) and SES Level Two Rural Malaysian (RM2) Boys	65
12	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Rural Canadian (RC3) and SES Level Three Rural Malaysian (RM3) Boys	66
13	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level One Urban Canadian (UC1) and SES Level One Urban Malaysian (UM1) Boys	60
•		69 **

	•		
•	Table	Description	* Page
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Urban Canadian (UC2) and SES Level Two Urban Malaysian (UM2) Boys	• 71
•••	15	VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Urban Canadian (UC3) and SES Level Three Urban Malaysian (UM3) Boys	74
	16	WVI Factor Structure Comparison Between the Canadian and Malaysian Boys	82
0	17	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Canadian and Malaysian Boys	83
•	18	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys	87
•	19	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys	, 90
1	20	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level (Two Rural Canadian (RC2) and SES Level Two Rural Malaysian (RM2) Boys	. 92
	• 21	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Rural Malaysian (RM3) Boys	96
\	22	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level One Urban Canadian (UC1) and SES Level One Urban Malaysian (UMI) Boys	~ 99
	23	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Urban Canadian (UC2) and SES Level Two Urban Malaysian (UM2) Boys	102
•	24	WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Urban Canadian (UC3) and SES Level Three Urban Malaysian (UM3) Boys	105
	25	Computed Distances Between the Adjacent, Alternate, and Opposite Pairs of the VPI Scales in the Canadian and Malaysian Boys' Hexagons	113
•		xvi	

Table	. Description	Page
26	Consistency of the Comparisons of the Adjacent, Alternate, and Opposite Pairs of the Hexagonal Model for Canadian and Malaysian Boys	
27	VPI and WVI Scales Pearson Product-Moment Correlations for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys	• 115
		•
		an a
		6
b	1 0 0	

xvii ·



Page

Figure

 Hexagonal Model of Psychology Relationships Between People of Different Types. (Source: Holland, Whitney, Cole, & Richard, 1969)

xviii

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

Guidance was introduced into the Malaysian schools in 1967. One of the needs of the Malaysian guidance program is standardized tests of interests, aptitudes, and work values (Ministry of Education, Malaysia, 1971).

One way to meet the need for the standardized tests is to use the existing foreign (non-Malaysian) standardized tests. To do so, however, requires consideration being given to the problems inherent in the cultural differences between the Malaysian and the American cultures, for which existing tests were developed.

The purpose of this study was to examine the validity of two existing North American standardized tests of vocational interests and work values for use in Malaysia. The tests were the Vocational Preference Inventory (VPI) and the Work Values Inventory (WVI).

The review of the literature will be presented in the following sequence: an overview of the problems relating to cross-cultural studies, vocational interest tests with special reference to the VPI (Holland, 1965), work values tests with special reference to the WVI (Super, 1970), and finally the relationship between socio-economic status (SES), urban-rural residence of subjects, and vocational preference.

Arising from the review of the literature, several questions.

are raised. The questions constitute the problems this study will address itself to.

An Overview of the Problems in Cross-Cultural Studies

Brislin, Lonner, and Thorndike (1973), Secherest, Fay, and Zaidi (1972), and Warwick and Osherson (1973) presented four major problems in cross-cultural studies: conceptual equivalence, equivalence of measurement, linguistic equivalence, and sampling problem The conceptual equivalence problem consists of three parts: equivalence of concept, definitional comparability, and identifiability.

The conceptual equivalence problem (Przeworski & Teune, 1966-1967) exists because some concepts are universal in nature, like mother, while others are limited to certain cultures only, like the caste system in India. This universality and specificity problem (Warwick & Osherson, 1973) of the concepts is sometimes referred to as the etic-emic problem respectively (Brislin et al., 1973).

The definitional comparability and identifiability are concerned with how similar are the definitions of the concepts under study, and how easily identified are they.

The VPI uses occupational stereotypes to assess the vocational interests and personality traits of the individual. Up to date, there is sufficient literature to show that occupational stereotype is a viable construct to assessione's interests (Holland, 1973).

The occupational titles used in the VPI were similar to those found in Canada and in Malaysia and were easily identified. The way these occupations were defined in the Canadian Classification and Dictionary of Occupations (1971-73) and the Malaysian Dictionary of Occupational Classification (1969) is also similar, thus minimizing the conceptual equivalence problem in the study.

Conceptual equivalence was most important in this study because this study was concerned with the construct validity of the VPI and the WVI across cultures.

The equivalence of measurement problem refers to the question of comparability of responses, stimuli, context, reliability, and validity, as well as researchability. These problems are more closely related to a survey study which employs open-ended questions. In a study which employs forced-choice questions, these problems are somewhat minimized, in that standard response format is provided and the range of variation fixed. For example, in evaluating the statement in the WVI, the relative importance of each statement may only range from 1 (unimportant) to 5 (very important).

Besides avoiding open-ended questions to minimize the equivalence of the measurement problem, Duijker and Rokkan (1954) suggested four options: study design, preliminary exploration, flexible question format, and identity-equivalence procedure. Of these strategies, preliminary exploration was found most appropriate for. the present study. Preliminary exploration gives an understanding how the subjects in both cultures perceived concepts, such as "like," "dislike," or "undecided" as are employed in the VPI.

The third major problem is the linguistic equivalence problem. This problem is associated with lexical and grammatical meaning. A word in isolation conveys a different meaning from the same word in context. The word "father" in isolation may give the meaning of "a father of a child or children" or "a priest." However, the statement "My father works at the mill" implies immediately that the word "father" in this case is not a "priest." Problems of this kind become serious when translation of the original is necessary, and translation of the original winto a second language is a very common phenomenon in cross-cultural studies.

The most commonly employed technique to minimize the seriousness of this problem is bilingual translation and back-translation (Secherest et al., 1972). For the VPI, the linguistic problem did not arise as the occupational titles in the VPI correspond to those found in Malaysia. They are easily translated into the Malaysian language.

For the WVI, however, bilingual translation and back-transla-, tion and preliminary try-outs became necessary. A brief description of how this was done will be presented in Chapter 2.

Finally, the sampling problem. Unlike the general conception

of sampling which concerns itself solely with the selection of the subjects, in cross-cultural studies sampling is expanded to include consideration for research sites, research methods, and the choice of indicators to measure the major concepts and combining these indicators into indices (Przeworski & Teune, 1966-1967). To meet some of the sampling considerations in cross-cultural studies, the subjects in this study were of comparable age, equivalent social class, and from equivalent rural or urban settings.

Problems faced by the researcher in this cross-cultural study were no doubt many. Yet, preliminary explorations of both the Canadian and the Malaysian cultures vis-a-vis vocational behavior have provided some understanding and insight into both cultures. This knowledge has helped the researcher in selecting the tests to be studied to meet the need of the Malaysian guidance program.

Vocational Interest Tests with Special Reference to VPI

Of the several North American interest tests available, some meet more of the criteria of suitability for cross-cultural research than others. Some have been more extensively used, like the Strong Vocational Interest Blank (SVIB) and the Kuder Preference Record (KPR), than others. In Alberta, the SVIB, the KPR, and the Safran Interest "Inventory (SII) are widely used in the schools. These tests, however, are not as suitable for cross-cultural studies as Holland's VPI. The SVIB, KPR, and SII contain statements about activities which are not conceptually equivalent in both Canadian and Malaysian cultures, such as "pursuing bandits in a sheriff's posse," and are not readily translated into the Malaysian language without losing conceptual equivalence. On the other hand, the VPI does not contain statements of this kind. The VPI employs occupational titles to assess vocational interests of the individuals. These titles, as indicated in the preceding section, are easily defined, identified, translated, and measured.

5.1

The VPI is both a personality and interest test which evolved from Holland's theory of vocational choice (1959, 1966, 1973), which states that:

 In the American culture, people may be categorized as one of six types: realistic (R) investigative (I), artistic (A), social (S), enterprising (E), or conventional (C). A type is a model against which a person is measured. A realistic type, for example, is a person who exhibits interests and competencies in jobs that require physical manipulation of tools or machines. An airplane mechanic is a person classified as a realistic type person. People of a given type have a set of characteristic traits, such as competencies, values, interests, life goals, and behaviors.
Corresponding to the six types, there are six kinds of environments. In each environment may be found a dominance of the

corresponding type. That is to say, in realistic environment, more

people of the realistic type are found. In an accounting office, most workers will be conventional in type.

3. People of a given type will search for an environment that will allow them to exhibit their skills, to express their values and attitudes, and to be involved in agreeable problems and tasks. To a lesser extent, people of a given type in a given environment tend to recruit people having similar traits into the environment.

4. The behavior of an individual is determined by the interaction between his personality and the characteristics of the environment.

In addition to these core assumptions, Holland (1973) makes four secondary assumptions which he labeled as consistency, differentiation, congruence, and calculus.

By consistency. Holland (1973) means that some people (type) or environments are more closely related to one another than others. He found that people and environments characterized as realistic and investigative have more in common between them than with people or environments characterized as social or enterprising.

Differentiation defines the degree of psychological resemblance to a particular type. Differentiated people possess characteristics that resemble a particular type. Undifferentiated people possess about an equal number of characteristics that resemble several types. That is to say, the VPI profile of a differentiated person will have a peak, while the VPI profile of an undifferentiated person will be more or less a flat line.

Congruence refers to compatability or agreement between characteristic traits possessed by the individual of a given type and the demands of the environment he is in. In compatible pairing, people of a given type flourish. On the other hand, when personal characteristics do not correspond with the demand of the environment, incongruence occurs.

The last secondary assumption--calculus--states that the relationship between people of a given type or the environments can be ordered in a hexagonal model (Holland, Whitney, Cole, & Richards, 1969) as in Figure 1. The size of the coefficients indicates the degree of closeness of the psychological relationships among the six types. The psychological relationships may be ordered as adjacent pairs, such as R and I, alternate pairs, such as R and A, and opposite pairs, such as I and E (Wakefield & Doughtie, 1973).

Holland's theory on the whole has been well developed, extensively researched, and has several commendable characteristics (Osipow, 1973). However, this theory is found deficient in the following aspects.

Nowhere in Holland's theory did he indicate how each personality type develops. The developmental stages were never discussed. In the light of the present emphasis on career development and ca-



Figure 1. Hexagonal model of psychological relationships between people of different types. (Source: Holland, Whitney, Cole, & Richards, 1969)

reer education (Bailey & Stadt, 1973), a knowledge of how each personality type develops would assist those in career counseling and career education to provide better service to the individuals. Holland (1962, 1966) indicated that the level of one's voca-

tional aspiration is dependent upon intelligence and self-evaluation. Such a formulation would seem oversimplified. The importance of social forces surrounding the individual has not been adequately taken care of by such a formula.

Finally, it will be remembered that Holland developed the notion of level hierarchy on the basis of his studies involving National Merit finalists. One would ask how typical is his sample of the normal population, or how generalizable are the findings of his study to the normal population. In fact, one would question the wisdom of extrapolating the observation on the National Merit finalists to the normal population. If it is felt that such extrapolation is not appropriate, how useful would be the formula: level hierarchy = intelligence + self-evaluation?

In classifying people into the six types, Holland employed the VPI. The VPI consists of 160 occupational titles which have been classified into 11 scales: realistic (R); investigative (I); artistic (A); social (S); enterprising (E); conventional (C); status (ST); masculinity (M); self-control (SC); acquiescence (AC); and infrequency (IN). The first six scales purport to measure vocation-

al interests, and the last five scales, personality variables. Holland (1965) reported that the VPI scales have moderate to high-homogeneity of content with a range of .83 to .89 for a sample of 6,289 college freshmen. The retest reliability over a period of one year ranges from .61 to .86. 11

During administration of the VPI, each individual (or group) is required to read each occupational title carefully, then decide whether he likes or dislikes the job, or is undecided about it. To indicate a choice, the person being tested is asked to blacken a Y (like) or N (dislike) or to leave the Y and N unmarked (undecided) on a separate answer sheet. Using a prepared stencil, raw scores, on the ll scales may be obtained and a profile prepared for interpretation.

Table 1 provides a description of areas of interest, competence, and deficit for each personality type. Table 2 provides an interpretation of high and fow scores on each of the five personality scales.

Studies to establish the construct validity of the VPI may be classified into three broad categories: (a) Studies which examined the relationships between Holland's personality types or orientations and a set of variables. (b) Studies which examined the validity of the VPI scales in relation to other personality and interest tests. (c) Studies which factor analyzed the VPI scales

Туре	Interest In	Competent In	Deficits In
Realistic (R)	activities involving ex-	manual, mechanical,	social and educa-
	plicit, ordered, or	agricultural,	tional compe-
	> systematic manipulation	electrical, and	tencies
, Š.	of objects, tools,	technical	۰. د
	machines, and animals	•	
Investigative (1) observational, symbolic,	scientific and	persuasive
جر : :	systematic, and creative	mathematical	competencies
• •	investigation of physical	•	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	biological, and cultural		
	phenomena to understand		• •
•	control such phenomena		н. Тарана (1997)
Artistic (A)	ambiguous, free, unsys-	artistic compe-	clerical and
	tematized, activities	tencies in lan-	business system
•	that entail the manip-	guage, art, music,	competencies
	ulation of physical.	drama, and writing	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	verbal, or human ma-		
•	terials to create art		
	forms a product		
Social (S)	manipulation of others	human relations such	manuel and tech-
	to inform, train, de-	as interpersonal and	nical compe-
	velop, cure, or en-	educational compe-	tencies
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lighten	tencies	

Table 1

				X	
		•			13
•	•				
	*	•	٢.		
	• •			4 .	
•		· · · · ·			
D	· · ·		~		
•		•	•		

. . .

•

÷.

•

: **~**

Table 1 (Continued)

.

.

27

١

Personality Types and Corresponding Areas of Interest, Competencies, and Deficits

Туре	Interest In	Competent In	Deficits In	
Enterprising (E)	manipulation of others	laadership, inter-	scientific	•
	to attain organizational	personal, and per-	competencies.	
	goals and economic gains	suasive competence		
Conventional (C)	activities that entail	cierical, computa-	artistic	
• • •	the explicit, ordered	tional, and business	competencies	
	systematic manipulation	system competencies		6
	of data			a* - • •

7. s.

,	Scale	High Scores	Low Scores
	Self-Control (SC	over-control, and a tendency to be inhib- ited, constricted, passive, and respon- sible	impulsiveness, and a tendency to act out
	Masculinity (M)	frequent choice of masculine occupation-	frequent choice of feminine occupational roles
	Status (ST)	self-confidence and a tendency to choose high prestige-ranking occupations	self-deprecation
•	Infrequency (IN)	atypical wocational preferenceprefer- ences for unpopular, feminine, and low status occupations	positive evaluation of one's abilities and per- sonality, and a tend- ency to choose occupa- tions in the normal way
ğ	Acquiescence (AC)	expressing a social, cheerful, active, frank, and conven- tional outlook about vocational world	expressing an unsocia- ble, depressive, passive defensive, and unconven- tional outlook about vocational world

L

.

•.

والمعاجبين والمحافظ

- 112

VPI Personality Scale Interpretation

Table 2

and compared the factor structure to the hexagonal model (Holland et al., 1969).

Personality types and attributes. The first category of studies was primarily conducted by Holland (1962, 1963, 1963-1964, 1964, 1965, 1968). The major purpose of these studies was to examine whether or not people who Have been categorized by the VPI into the six personality types would exhibit consistently different patterns of variables associated with each type.

The first exploratory study (Holland, 1962) was a massive attempt to establish the relationships between personality type or orientation and a variety of variables, which include self-ratings on an adjective checklist, college major, expressed vocational interests, parental attitudes, extracurricular activities, and values and attitudes.

The results of this study supported the hypotheses of Holland's theory. It was found that students with different dominant personal orientations (defined by coded scales from the VPI) have significantly different attributes, including academic aptitudes, fathers! occupation, self-concepts, extracurricular activities and interests, college majors, career choices, achievements, and parental attitudes and values.

The attributes of students with a given dominant personal orientation generally correspond to the attributes hypothesized for

the corresponding model orientation.

This study, however, suffered from several experimental, sampling, and theoretical limitations. First, the ambiguity of some parts (level hierarchy) of the original statement of the theory did not permit a rigorous testing of the hypothesis that level hierarchy was a function of intelligence and self-evaluation alone. Secondly, the homogeneity of the student sample limited the degree of differentiation and the size of the obtained correlations. Probably a more efficient discrimination could be made if more diverse samples of students were used. 16

Encouraged by the results in the 1962 study, Holland (1963-1964) expanded the sample size and the original questionnaire by including items relating to how individuals coped with problems and their vocational daydreams. The results of this study were similar to those of the 1962 study. The study generally showed that selfdescription of each personality type corresponded to the theoretical formulations. It was also found that, in some cases, there was some inconsistency in the way the students of this type described themselves. Such inconsistency called for further research.

With a much larger sample comprising 1,437 National Merit finalists, Holland (1964) replicated the above study. Again, the results of this study were similar to the other studies that were conducted. Perhaps the major flaw of these studies was the homogenous nature of the sample selected. Perhaps, as Holland indicated (1973), in all the previous studies, the size of his original sample was reduced to one-twelfth of its total, due to categorization according to type and sex. Holland felt that he might be able to detect this shortcoming if a larger sample was used.

Abe and Holland (1965a, 1965b) assessed 12,432 college freshmen from 31 colleges and universities for their interests, favorite activities, attitudes, life goals, and vocational aspirations. The results of these studies supported all the above findings with much less overlapping across personality types.

It may be concluded from the evidence provided in the above discussion that the VPI is a valid instrument to categorize peopleinto six distinct personality types. The overlapping found in all the above-mentioned studies was later discovered (Holland et.al., 1969) as being caused by shared characteristics among the personality types.

The preceding review of the literature has shown that the VPI is a viable and valid instrument for classifying people as one of the six personality types. In the following section, the concurrent validity of the VPI will be reported in relation to other interest and personality types.

<u>Concurrent validity of the VPI</u>. Several studies have examined the relationship between the scores on the VPI and the scores on the SVIB (Cole & Hanson, 1971; Eggenberger & Herman, 1972; Haase, 1971; Hughes, 1971; Lee & Hedahl, 1973; Wall, Osipow, & Ashby, 1967).

Using a sample of 186 male college freshmen, Wall, Osipow, and Ashby (1967) showed that a student's rankings of the types according to his resemblance to each resulted in a relatively clear and significant relationship between student rankings and the SVIB group score. In other words, students see themselves in ways that correspond with their interest scores. Extending this observation, Wall et al. showed a strong relationship between student's choice of occupation, sorted according to type, and his SVIB group score.

Cole and Hanson (1971) carried out a study to determine indirectly whether the circular configuration of interests proposed and demonstrated by Roe and Holland is common to other interest inventories. They included in their study the SVIB, Holland's VPI, the Kuder Occupational Interest Scale (KOIS), the Minnesota Vocational Interest Inventory (MVII), and the American College Testing Program's Vocational Interest Profile (ACTVIP).

These instruments were examined separately with the assumption that if the circular arrangement is common to the internal structure of several instruments, the arrangement itself would provide a basis for direct comparison of the instruments.

Holland's circular configuration was used as the basis of comparison. It was found that of the four tests, the SVIB, the KOIS, **18**.
and the MVII corresponded very closely to Holland's structure. In directly, i provides evidence that the VPI and the SVIB have common interest structure. 19

Although the study provides supportive evidence that the VPI and the SVIB have common interest structure, the use of a different group of people for each instrument raises some questions, such as the comparability of the two groups.

Eggenberger and Herman (1972) examined the type-environment congruence. Using the course of study as the basis, they assigned the 730 male students at the Southern Alberta Institute of Technology to four of Holland's personality types--realistic, investigative, enterprising, and artistic. The assumption was that those found in realistic environment would score highest on Group IV SVIB scales. Similarly for the other groups.

The results these researchers obtained supported their hypotheses. Better results might have been obtained had these researchers used a larger sample size for the students in the Industrial Engineering Technology and for the students in the Graphic Arts Administration.

Haase (1971) correlated six VPI scales and 47 scales of the SVIB and found that the correlation ranged from .66 to .86. Haase concluded that the VPI and the SVIB measure similar dimensions.

Other researchers (Cockriel, 1972; Lee, 1970) obtained similar

positive evidence. On the basis of the evidence produced thus far one might conclude that the VPI does have concurrent validity visa-vis other interest inventories, in particular the SVIB.

While the VPI is a valid interest test, Holland (1966, 1973) felt that in relation to his theory, it is more of a personality test, in that interests shown by each group of people of a given type is a manifestation of the personality type. Holland's arguments were well supported (Holland, 1973). But, one would not help feeling that the addition of five personality scales (self-control, masculinity, status, infrequency, and acquiescence) to the inventory was more in response to the clinical need (Berdie, 1972). This assumption made by Berdie (1972) might be correct, but there is plenty of empirical evidence that testifies towards the validity of the VPI as a personality test (Holland, 1973). The VPI scores have been correlated with several personality tests, such as the California Psychological Inventory (Folsom, 1971), the 16 PF (Williams, 1972), the Edwards Personality Preference Scale (Harvey, 1971), and the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (Patterson, Marron, & Patterson, 1971).

Williams (1972) executed a complex study in which he examined the relationship between the VPI scores and the scores on the 16 PF, the_Allport-Vernon-Lindzey Study of Values (AVL), and the Miller Occupational Values Indicator (MOVI). Using a variety of statistical techniques, such as the analysis of variance, the multiple analysis of variance, the multiple discriminant analysis, and the canonical correlation, Williams found that the personality orientations or types as determined by the field of study correspond highly with the VPI, the AVL, and the MOVI. The 16 PF scores were usually consistent with the characteristics attributed to the types. 2'

In an indirect way, Williams concluded that the personality variables of a given personality type may be assessed both by the VPI and other personality tests. Findings of similar kinds were reported by Patterson et al. (1971).

In light of the mixed evidence reported above, the VPI does seem to be better at measuring interests than personality variables.

Secondly, many of the above studies dealt with adult groups. Would the VPI be as efficient an instrument to assess the vocational interests of the high school boys?

Thirdly, all the subjects were whites. Would the VPI be a valid instrument for measuring vocational interests of nonwhites, in this case, the Malaysian students?

These are some of the questions the present study will attempt to answer.

<u>VPI factor structure</u>.⁴ The third category of studies examined the validity of the VPI in two ways: firstly, it examined the comparability of the hexagonal model developed by Holland et al. (1969) with the researcher's model; secondly, it compared the factor structure of the VPI with a reference factor structure by Wakefield and Doughtie (1973).

Several studies were found in the first group (Sole & Hanson, 1971; Crabtree & Hales, 1974; Wakefield & Doughtie, 1973).

In the Wakefield and Doughtie study (1973), 373 male white undergraduate students were administered the VPI. Interscale correlations were factor analyzed by the principal components analysis. These researchers found the relationships among the personality types in their analysis corresponded very closely to the hexagonal model developed by Holland and others (1969). They concluded that the hexagonal model is a viable model to demonstrate the relationships between personality types.

Later, the findings of Wakefield and Boughtie were corroborated by Crabtree and Hales (1974), who examined the factor structure of the VPI of 1,431 rural school students. These researchers found that the relationships among types were in the same direction as predicted by Holland et al. (1969). In addition, Crabtree and Hales (1974) also found correspondence in the rank-order of the correlations as proposed by Holland. Their findings added weight to the validity of both the VPI and the hexagonal model.

Wakefield and Doughtie's (1973) findings were used in another study conducted by Yom, Doughtie, Chang, Alston, and Wakefield (1975) to examine the validity of the VPI for use with black undergraduate students. They hypothesized that if the responses of the black students on the VPI corresponded to the responses made by the white students in the Wakefield study, then the factor structure of both groups would be similar.

As this study is very closely related to the present study, it deserves a more detailed analysis.

Yom et al. (1975) were concerned about how one interprets the differences in means across types for various ethnic-groups. Until it is established that the means, mean the same for different groups of people, the interpretation may be meaningless. They were, in other words, concerned about the construct validity of the VPI for use with the black population.

They administered the VPI to a group of 115 black undergraduate students with the assumption that if the factor structure of the VPI for the black students corresponded to the factor structure of the VPI for the white students in the Wakefield and Doughtie study (1973), then there would be evidence that the VPE would be measuring the same underlying variables. In the Wakefield and Doughtie study, six factors were identified: conventional-economic, feminine-social, social desirability, material world, status, and artistic.

Yom et al. (1975) obtained the same factors as above, and the

distribution of the VPI scales corresponded very closely to what Wakefield and Doughtie had earlier found. Yom et al. concluded that generally the structure is similar. The only deviant pattern observed was that in the earlier study artistic scale was relatively highly loaded in artistic factor. Yom et al. found in their study less obvious loadings. Nonetheless, the cosine was high. Yom et al. concluded that "although the artistic scale may measure in subtly different manners in the two populations, it is, to a great degree, the same variable in both" (Yom et al., 1975, p. 12). Findings of this kind provide more meaning in the interpretation of the differences in means for the black students as the VPI has been shown to be valid for use with them.

Arising from this demonstrated capability of the VPI, one would ask: Would the VPI factor structure for the Malaysian students correspond to the VPI factor structure for the Canadian students whose factor structure would be used as reference factor structure? Would it be similar across the SES levels and urban-rural settings too?

Work Values with Special Reference to the WVI

The concept of work values is of recent origin (Zytowski, 1970) No adequate definition of this concept is yet available. In the early part of its development, work values were generally conceived of as factors contributing to job satisfaction (Astin, 1958; Lyman, 1955; Miller, 1956; Schwarzeller, 1959). The work values examined in the present study stemmed from Ginzberg, Ginsburg, Axelrad, and Herma's (1951) trichotomous concept of the intrinsic, extrinsic, and concomitant satisfactions.

The intrinsic work values refer to the satisfaction or pleasure derived from a specific activity and in the accomplishment of specific ends, such as independence in the artistic activity. The extrinsic work values are related to rewards or pleasure derived at the end of the activity, such as economic returns or prestige. The concomitant work values are pleasures derived from a particular work setting or working with a particular group of people (peers or leaders) (Ginzberg et al., 1951).

Very few tests have been developed to assess the work values. Of those mentioned by Zytowski (1970), only Super's Work Values Inventory has been standardized.

The WVI is a test which purports to measure the rewards or satisfactions people seek in, and from work. It consists of 45 statements which assess the following 15 work values: economic returns (ER), prestige (PR), associate (AS), security (SE), supervisory relations (SR), way of life (WL), variety (VA), independence (IN), surrounding (SU), altruism (AL), achievement (AC), intellectual stimulation (IS), esthetic (ES), management (MA), and creativity (CR).

Table 3 provides a description of work values in relation to

12	
Work Values	Work Associated With
Altruism (AL)	work which enables one to contribute to the welfare of others
Esthetic (ES)	work which permits one to make beautiful things and to contribute beauty to the world
Creativity (CR)	work which permits one to invent new things, design new products, or develop new ideas
Intellectual Stimulation (IS)	work which provides opportunity for independent thinking, and for learning how and why things work
Achfevement (AC)	work which gives one a feeling of accomplishment in doing a job well
Independence (IN)	work which permits one to work in his own way, as fast or as slowly as he wishes
Prestige (PR)	work which gives one standing in the eyes of others and evokes respect
Nanagonent (MA)	work which permits one to plan and lay out work for others to do
Economic Returns (ER)	work which pays well and enables one to have the things one wants
Security (SE)	work which provides one with the certainty of having a job even in hard times
Surroundings, (SU)	work which is carried out under wieasant condi- tionsnot too hot or too cold, noisy, dirty, etc.
Supervisory Telations (SR)	work which is carried out under a supervisor who is fair and with whom one can get along
Associates (AS)	work which brings one into contact with fellow workers whom one likes
kay of Life (WL)	work which permits one to live the kind of life one chooses and to be the type of person one wishes to be
ariety (VA)	work that provides an opportunity to do different types of jobs

٩ ø

1. 1. 1

e 3 .

,. **(**.

٥.

6

+ GARD

0

26

٢

Ŋ.

work.

The individual (or group) taking the test is required to indicate the relative importance of each of the 45 statements to him (or them). The degree of importance is rated on a 5-point Likerttype scale, ranging from "very important," weighted 5, to "unimportant," weighted 1. The score for each value is calculated by summing up the weights given to three predetermined statements for each work value. The total score for each work value can range from 3 to 15, 3 being lowest (unimportant) and 15 being highest (very important).

The retest reliability of the WVI ranges from .74 to .88, the median being .83 (Super, 1970). The validity of the test, as reported in the manual (Super, 1970, pp. 35-43), is respectable.

The major criticism of this inventory centers on its reliability. Berdie (1972) questioned its retest reliability, Gable (1973) its internal-consistency. Tiedeman (1972), however, felt that the WVI is a reliable measure of the work values.

Studies using the WVI are few in number. They may be categorized into (a) factorial study of the WVI, (b) work values and occupational choice, and (c) the WVI with nonwhite ethnic groups.

<u>Factorial study of the WVI</u>. The first category of studies includes those conducted by Hendrix and Super (1968), Kinnane and Gaubinger (1963), Kinnane and Pable (1962), and O'Connor and Kinnane

(1961).

O'Connor and Kinnane (1961) carried out a straight forward factor analysis study of the old form of the WVI, which was originally developed by Super and Overstreet (1960) for the Career Pattern Study. They identified six primary factors which they labeled: security-economic-material, social-artistic, work-condition and associate, heuristic-creative, achievement-prestige, and independence-variety. This pioneer study provided the factor structure of the WVI.

These six factors were later examined in relation to home atmosphere (Kinnane & Pable, 1962). Six hypotheses were tested against each of the six factors, and the researchers found signifia cant relationships between the atmosphere at home and the development of the work values. Kinnane and Pable (1962) found, for instance, that the materialistic atmosphere in the family results in the development of security-economic-material work values.

These findings, though tentative, were interesting and bear direct implications to the present study, in that the present study explored the relationship between the SES and urban-rural residence of the subjects and their work values.

Using the present WVI form, Hendrix and Super (1968) identified only four factors, namely, material, goodness of life, self-expression, and behavior control. The difference in the number of factors between-the O'Connor and Kinnane (1961) study and Hendrix and Super (1968) study may be due to: (a) The difference in the age of the subjects in both studies. In the former, college freshmen were used, while in the latter, Grade 10 boys and girls were the subjects. (b) The difference in the WVI forms. The former consisted of 89 statements, while the latter, 45.

Another study (Kinnane & Gaubinger, 1963) reported significant, though low, relationships between the WVI scales and those of the AVL. Hendrix and Super (1968) endorsed the above consistent relationships, thus providing evidence that the WVI is assessing what it purports to measure.

<u>WVI and occupational choice</u>. Only one study in the second category was found. **W**eresults of this study reported significant differences in the work walues of people in different occupations (Carruther, 1968). Carruther hypothesized that people with different educational and professional pursuits will-exhibit different work value structure. The hypothesis was supported. Carruther also indicated by that study that Super's WVI is a valid test for British subjects in the United Kingdom.

<u>WVI with nonwhite ethnic groups</u>. The third group comprised studies which examined the viability of Super's WVI across ethnic origins. Such studies are few in number, though (Bernstein, 1968; Humbert, 1966;)., Yet, they have provided evidence that the WVI is a viable instrument.

Administering the WVI to a group of negroes, Peurto Ricans, and whites, Bernstein (1968) found the rank order of the work values for the Peurto Ricans and the negroes is significantly different from that of the whites. He concluded on the basis of this difference that the ethnic background of the subjects did influence work values that one held.

Such a conclusion appears reasonable. But it may not be correct because the conclusion was based on the responses from 30 boys. Humbert (1966) examined the work value patterns of 104 rural and urban, male and female welfare students from families in New Mexico. He found that the work value patterns of the rural students were significantly different from those students of the urban area. But because the size of the sample was small, and when distributed over four categories, it became smaller, one needs to be cautious about accepting the conclusion.

The review of the above literature provides some evidence that the WVI is a valid instrument. It also shows that family background, ethnic origin, and urban-rural setting are important socio-cultural factors in the development of the work values of the individual. Yet, the evidence for the above indications is relatively insufficient. Questions like: "Would the WVI be valid for Malaysian students?" are asked in the present study. Would the SES of the individual affect the work value patterns of the individual? Would urban-rural settings influence the work values of the individuals in the present study?

Socio-Economic Status and Vocational Preference

There is a vast amount of literature that shows the relationship between the SES and one's vocational interests, preferences, or choices (Bain, 1970; Breton, 1971; Sewell, 1963, 1964; Sewell & Shah, 1967; Siemens, 1965; Vigod, 1972). At the time of the study, there was no universal definition of the SES available. Each investigator would either formulate a definition for the SES (Blishen, 1968; Reutens, 1967; Sewell & Shah, 1967; Vigod, 1972) or borrow one that suited the purpose of the research.

Blishen (1968) used education, occupation, and income of the head of the household to develop his--Blishen's socio-economic index. Sewell and Shah (1968), in determining the SES, employed a weighted combination of father's occupation, father's formal educational level, an estimate of funds the family could provide if the student were to attend college, the degree of sacrifice this would entail. for the family, and the approximate wealth and income of the students' family. Vigod (1972) used parental occupations to estimate the SES of the individual. Similarly, Reutens (1967). In Malaysia, the Drop-out Study, conducted by the Ministry of Education (1971), defined the SES in terms of the occupation, the highest level of education, and the property index of the head of the household. Each of the above ways of defining the SES has its own strengths and weaknesses. The choice of a particular scale is dependent upon the population under study. Blishen's scale has been shown to be a reliable scale for assessing the SES of the Canadian population (Blishen, 1968), and the Malaysian scale has proved its reliability in the 1971 Drop-out Study (Ministry of Education, 1971).

Several studies in the late 1950's employed the SES as a sociological factor in studying vocational preference or choice (Jenson & Kirchner, 1955; Newcomb, 1958; Sewell, Haller, & Strauss, 1957). The bulk of these studies, with the exception of the one conducted by Newcomb (1958), suggests that the SES was an influential factor in determining the vocational preferences of the subjects involved in these studies.

Newcomb (1958), in the Bernington College Study, found that the SES had no influence on the occupational images of the subjects. The assumption that the low SES subjects would have more occupational images of low level occupations, and vice versa for the high SES subjects, was not found. Presumably in this study, the collegebound students have introjected the attitudes of the norm group with which they will be associated.

The studies which were conducted in the 1960's (Banducci, 1968; Ellis & Lane, 1966; Reutens, 1967; Sewell, 1964; Sewell & Shah, 1967; Smelser, 1963) lend further support to the general observa32

tion in the 1950's that the SES is positively related to the vocational preference. In all the above studies, a high positive relationship between the SES of the subjects and the occupational aspiration, preference, or choice was found. It may be concluded that, in general, the SES does have an influence on the vocational behavior. Yet, there was a study which fell out of step of the general observation O'Dowd and Beardslee (1960) found that with the sample they were studying, the assumption that occupational images of occupation that one has are related positively to one's SES level was not true.

To illustrate the positive relationships between the SES and the occupational interests, preferences, or choice, a few studies will be described.

Employing 679 Grade 12 students, Banducci (1968) examined the accuracy of occupational stereotypes in relation to the SES, academic development, crystallization of plans, and range of experience. This researcher found that the subjects who came from the low SES groups had accurate occupational stereotypes of low level jobs, due to their limited occupational exposure and experience. The SES had a limiting effect on this group of participants.

The findings recorded by Ellis and Lane (1966) from their study show that lower status individuals contemplated careers in professional and managerial occupations to a lesser degree than do the upper and middle class status persons.

In the 1970's, it was also observed that the SES was still an influential sociological factor in spite of the social changes taking place.

Schoenfield (1972), who investigated the relationship between the parental status and the time when career decision was made, hypothesized that subjects with low status parents would make "their career decisions earlier than those with high status parents. The rationale of this researcher was that economic pressure would be greater for the low status group. This researcher found that, among 4,886 graduating seniors from 23 colleges and universities, the hypothesis established for the research was supported.

Studies which provided similar results have been reported by Baldock (1971), Brown (1973), Vigod (1972), and White and Knight (1973).

In the Vigod study, the vocational behavior of 574 elementary and junior high school children from a range of SES levels were investigated. The four hypotheses established for this study were: 1. The higher the child's SES, the higher is his expected occupation.

2. The SES will be more highly correlated with "expected" occupation than with "wished" occupation.

3. The SES will be negatively correlated with the discrepancy

between "expected" and "wished" occupation.

4. All the above relationships will be stronger for older children.

Vigod (1972) found that all four hypotheses were supported, but the coefficient correlations were smaller among the girls than the boys.

Shaycroft (1973) examined the effect of the SES on the ability to graduate. This researcher observed that 83% of the graduating maTes and 85% of the females in the top intervals on the SES graduated from college, whereas the corresponding figure for their counterparts in the middle interval on the SES was only 63% males and 53% females. From those results, Shaycroft concluded that the SES seems to be a handicap for both sexes in respect to their ability to graduate from college.

The review of the literature from the last three decades has shown that the SES is related to voçational behavior of the individual in the positive way. There are studies which give support to an opposite point of view. In light of this lack of complete agreement in the studies quoted thus far, one would raise questions like: Would the SES have an influence on the vocational interest patterns and work value patterns of the Canadian and the Malaysian students? Would such an influence be observable across urban-rural boundaries? If it would, then one would expect different factor structure on vocational interests and work values of the urban and rural subjects.

These questions are raised with the knowledge that studies of this kind in Canada and Malaysia are still very few in number (Siemens, 1965).

Urban-Rural Residence and Vocational Preference

There is a vast amount of literature that shows the significant role or influence of urban-rural residence on the development of vocational interests, aspirations, preferences, or choices (Baldock, 1971; Haller & Sewell, 1967; Nelson, 1973; Sewell, 1964; Sewell & Ornstein, 1965). Two extensive reviews of such literature have been prepared by Burchinal (1962) and Sewell (1963).

Some of the studies which will be reviewed will show the aptness of Schwarzeller's remark:

It is observed, almost consistently, that farm youth and youngsters reared in the rural villages and small towns are less likely to want a college education, or to aim toward the more prestigeful, higher status occupation, or to attain eventually the higher levels of socio-economic

success and elite position in society than are youth reared in larger, more urban communities. (Schwarzeller, 1968, p. 47).

One of the earlier studies that fitted into the above category is the Wisconsin Study conducted by Sewellet al., (1957). In this study: a state-wide sample of 10,322 Wisconsin high school seniors were asked about their educational-vocational aspirations or plans. One of the results of this study shows that 37% of the students from farms and 44% of those from villages planned to further their education. In comparison, 50% of those from the city held the aspiration to continue their education.

In another state-wide study, Grigg and Middleton (1960) examined the occupational aspirations of the Grade 9 boys in Florida. These researchers found that the occupational aspirations of students in cities with a population greater than 25,000 were higher than those of the students in small rural communities.

In the same year, Schwarzeller (1960) compared the occupational aspirations of farm and nonfarm boys. He found that the former had lower occupational aspirations than the latter. Findings from this study provide additional support to the earlier findings.

Such consistent results were also reported by Burchinal (1961), Sewell and Ornstein (1965), and Slocum (1959).

One plausible explanation for the similarity in the relationship observed in the above studies was suggested much earlier by Lipset (1955), who hypothesized that occupational aspirations are the product of familiarity with the occupational structure of the community.

However, with technological progress, social/changes, and oc-

cupational mobility, the trend has shifted somewhat. The change in the trend is evident from the results of the studies conducted by Dale and Miller (1972), Nelson (1973), and Schwarzeller (1968, 1973).

٠,

38

Schwarzeller (1968) explored the problem of whether or not the degree of runility of community of residence affects career choice of Germany's young people. This researcher hypothesized that it would. Employing 445 boys and 385 girls from secondary, and 882 boys and 744 girls from elementary schools in three regions of West Germany, he investigated their educational-vocational aspirations or plans. He found that rurality had little effect on the career choice of the secondary school students or on the educational choice of the elementary school pupils.

In a later study, Schwarzeller (1973) extended his earlier study by comparing the influence of regional circumstances on the educational-vocational plans of rural youth in three modern, industrial countries. The population consisted of high school seniors in four areas of Kentucky and West Virginia, (United States), ungdomskole ed gymnas seniors in three areas of Norway, and volksschule seniors and gymnasium students in three areas of Germany. Some of the findings of this study were: urban youth were more inclined to further their education than were rural youth. This finding held moder ely strong in the American tase. In Norway, for the boys and girls at ungdomskole level and for the girls at gymnas level, the same was true. But the place of residence had little effect on the plans of Norwegian gymnas boys. In Germany, the effect was negligible. 39

In light of the contradictory results produced by the above studies, one would raise questions like: Would rural-urban residence still exert its influence on the vocational preference of high school boys?

Studies by Baldock (1971); Borude, Rajkarne, and de Souza (1972); Dale and Miller (1973); and Nelson (1973) have all shown that rural-urban residence is not a significant factor in educational-vocational preference or choice, yet Schwarzeller (1973) has produced mixed results.

Baldock (1971) examined the vocational aspirations and abilities of 3,773 14-year-old high school boys in New Zealand. He posed two problems: (a) Do farm boys aspiring to farm work differ in educational aspiration and abilities from farm boys opting to a professional career or for the blue-collar and lower white-collar work? (b) Do differences occur between farm and nonfarm boys, especially those from a low-status home, as to the vocational aspiration and abilities? The educational aspiration and abilities of both farm and nonfarm boys opting for farming, lower white-collar work, or blue-collar work were found to be very similar. This similarity suggests that rural residence is flot a handicap to rural youth in their educational-vocational aspinations.

40

The above studies seem to suggest that rural-urban residence is losing its influence on the vocational aspiration, preference, or choice of youth. If the place of residence is no longer influential on the vocational plans of youth, then one would expect the vocational interest and work value patterns of the urban students in this study to be similar to those in the rural. Perhaps a situation like this may be true in a developed country like Canada. Would the same situation be true for a developing country like Malaysia? These are some of the questions this study will attempt to answer.

Problem

The present study was designed to investigate some of the questions raised the review of the literature. Of primary interest is the question of the construct validity of the VPL and the WVI for use with the Form IV Malaysian students.

More specifically, would the VPI and the WVI factor structure for the Malaysian students correspond to the VPI and WVI factor structure for the Canadian Grade 10 students? From the review of the literature and the precautions taken to select culture-reduced tests, it was expected that these factor structures would be the A second question relates to the influence of the SES of the subjects on their work values and vocational interests. The literature review showed that SES is an influential sociological factor. It has shown that people with the same SES level tend to value similar patterns of work values and to have similar patterns of vocational interests. It was anticipated that the Malaysian and Canadian subjects from the same SES level would possess significantly different work value and vocational interest parterns from those in another SES level. However, the Malaysian and Canadian subjects of equal social standing would not differ in their work value and vocational interest patterns.

The review of the literature has also provided some evidence that people within the urban setting tend to have different sets of work values and interests from those in the rural setting. It was anticipated that Canadian and Malaysian students in the urban areas would have similar work value and interest patterns. Likewise, the Malaysian and Canadian students in the rural areas. However, the Canadian and Malaysian boys in the rural setting would be expected to possess different work values and vocational interests from their urban counterparts.,

In addition, this study will also examine the viability of Holland et al.'s (1969) hexagonal model for the Canadian and Malaysian boys.

Finally, the VPI and WVI scale correlations will be examined to see if the two tests are independent of each other to provide some evidence of construct validity of the two tests for the Malaysian boys.

1

42

CHAPTER II

Research Instruments, Sampling and Data Collection, and Design

Research Instruments

Three research tools were employed in this study: a questionnaire, the modified form of the VPI, and the WVI.

Two sets of questionnaire (Appendix A and Appendix B) were prepared--one for the Canadian group, and the other for the Malaysian group.

<u>Canadian questionnaire</u>. The questionnaire (Appendix A) for the Canadian boys asked for information about the subject, his education, and his family. The assignment of each individual to a particular SES--high (level one), medium (level two), or low (level three)--was made on the basis of Blishen's socio-economic index (Blishen, 1968). The scale has a value range from 25.36 to 76.69. It was arbitrarily decided that those occupations with the socioeconomic index value of 60.00 and above would be categorized as high SES, those below 29.99, low SES. Those occupations with values that lie between 30.00 and 59.99 were categorized as medium SES group.

The underlying rationale for the arbitrary cut-off points was decided from the percentage distribution of the Canadian labour force and provincial labour force for Alberta by socio-economic index (Blishen, 1968). <u>Malaysian questionnaire</u>. The questionnaire for the Malaysian boys (Appendix B) consisted of two parts. The first part gathered information about the subject, his education, and his family. The second part collected data pertaining to his SES. 44

Items measuring the SES included the occupation of the head of the household, the highest educational level attained by the head of the household, and a count of a number of selected objects owned by the family. The objects were a motorcar, motorcycle, bicycle, air conditioning, telephone, refrigerator, electric fan, radio, sewing machine, television, boat with motor, and boat without motor.

Points were given for the occupation of the head of the household, his educational level, and his material possessions (Appendix C). The summation of these points constituted the SES scale. The higher the points a person received, the higher would be the SES level, and conversely, the lower the points, the lower the SES level. With this index, a person could be placed in one of the following three categories: high SES (level one), medium SES (level two), or low SES (level three).

For example, a person who has a university education, holds a high-status job and earns a high salary or income, and leads a comfortable life would be placed on a high SES position relative to others. A person who has little or no education, earns very little, and leads a difficult life would be placed on a low SES, and so on. The cut-off points were: scores above 8 points were considered high SES, scores below 3 points were considered low SES, and scores between 4 and 7, medium SES (Appendix C).

<u>The modified form of the VPI</u>. The original VPI consists of occupational titles derived from the American labour force. To achieve conceptual equivalence, comparability of stimulus, and definitional equivalence, it was necessary to modify some of the occupational titles so that they would correspond to the occupational titles found in Canada and in Malaysia. Table 4 shows the original titles and the changes that were made.

To assist the researcher in making these modifications, the Canadian Classification and Dictionary of Occupations (1971-73) and the Malaysian Dictionary of Occupational Classification (1969) were used. The modified VPI for the Canadian and Malaysian students can be found in Appendix D and Appendix E respectively.

The WVK. The WVI in its original form was used for the Canadian students. For the Malaysian students, this instrument was translated into Bahasa Malaysian. Appendix F contains a copy of the translated version of the WVI.

Evaluating the suitability of the WVI for cross-cultural studies, the researcher observed that the 15 work values assessed by the WVI were conceptually salient to the Malaysian culture. The lin-

Modific	ation	of VPI	Occupat	ional 1	itles to
Correspond	to t	he Çana	dian and	Malays	ian Titles

Table 4

46

ï

Item No.	- Original Title	Canadian Title	Malaysian'Title
1	Aviator	Airplane pilot	Pilot
. II -	Airplane mechanic		Aeroplane mechanic
19	Hursery school teacher	•	Kindergarten school teacher
21	Fish and Wildlife Specialist	Fish and Game Specialist	an fight second of the second
23	High school teacher		Secondary school teacher
27	Wrecker (Building)	Wrecker and Salvage Man	
29	Elementary school teacher	•	Primary school teacher
34	Budget reviewer	Budget clerk	
90	Truck gardener	Truck farmer	
108	Prosecuting attorney	an an an an Arran an Arran an Arran an Arr	Public Prosecutor
113	Ass't gity school superintend	ent -	Ass't school supervisor
115 ***	Real estate salesman		Property salesman
124 -	Cost estimator	Cost estimating Clerk	
160	Masseur 7	Masseur or massager	

Blank space implies the retention of the original titles.

жĩ,



guistic equivalence was readily achieved through bilingual translation, back-translation, and preliminary try-outs. Bilingual translation and back-translation of the WVI was made with the help of the Coordinator of the Language Centre, University of Science of Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia. Several try-outs were conducted with the Malaysian students in the University of Alberta, Edmonton. The Likert-type response format of the WVI reduces the equivalence of measurement problem, in that it has avoided open-ended responses and limited the possible range of response to 5.

Sampling and Data Collection

The subjects chosen for the study comprised Grade 10 boys in the Canadian high schools, and the Form IV boys in the Malaysian secondary schools in Penang. Form IV in the Malaysian secondary schools is equivalent to Grade 10 in the Canadian high schools. <u>Canadian sample</u>. The subjects from Canada comprised boys from one high school in the Edmonton Public School System (EPSS), two in the Edmonton Separate School System (ESSS), and three in the County of Parkland.

*Table 5 provides the breakdown of the Canadian subjects by residence (rural-urban), socio-economic status, and tests.

Mode of data collection in Canada. Prior to deciding which schools were to be included in the study, the investigator consulted the personnel from the EPSS and the ESSS, as well as the person-

	Distribution	of Canad	1	Table 5	dence. SES. a	nd Tests	جب 10 10 10 10 10 10
			Socio-economic status			— Total	
	Residence	Test –	High	Medium	Low	- iucar	
	Rura] -	VPI	10	127	21	158 _	
•		WVI	10	127	21	158-	5 - 2 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 4 - 2 - 4
	Urban -	VPI	67	183	64	314	
		WVI	67	182 -	65	314	20 14

nel in the Department of Education in the County of Parkland. Permission to conduct the study in two schools in the EPSS, two in the ESSS, and three in the County of Parkland was sought:

Permissive approval was received from all seven schools. Arrangement was then made to meet the principals of the schools to further explain the project. Six of the schools agreed to participate after the discussion, and arrangements were then made to carry out the survey in each school.

The three instruments were stapled together to make up a set of research instruments for distribution to the boys.

In each class visited, the researcher explained to the boys the purpose of the study, the confidential nature of the study, and the willingness of the researcher to return to each school to interpret to those interested the scores made by them on the VPI and the WVI.

In all the schools except one school in the ESSS, the participants were told that they could fill in these sets at home and bring them back the next day. In one school, the principal and the teachers agreed to allow the boys to use their class time to fill out the sets.

All the usable returns were included in the study. A usable test was one that was completely filled out.

Malaysian sample. The subjects from Malaysia consisted of

boys from nine Malay medium secondary schools in the State of Penang, three of which were urban schools and six rural, and 15 English medium secondary schools in the same state, nine of which were urban and six rural.

50

In the Malay medium secondary school, Malay language or Bahasa Malaysia (the official language of Malaysia) as it is now known, is used as the primary medium of instruction. English language is taught as a subject. In the English medium secondary school, the situation is reversed.

Table 6 provides the breakdown of the sample by SES, residence, and tests.

Mode of data collection. In Malaysia, permission to conduct any study in schools has to be obtained from the Educational Planning and Research Division of the Ministry of Education.

The permission was sought and given. Arrangement was made with the State of Penang Department of Education to carry out the study in 24 schools in the State of Penang. All the principals and teachers in the schools involved gave their consent.

Four data collectors were given instructions on the manner the data were to be collected. Standardized instruction (Appendix G) was provided to each. No problem was reported concerning data col- . lection.

• . ¢

When all the data had been collected, the procedure of sampling

	Distri	bution of M	lalaysian Subj	ects by		
		SES, Reside	ence, and Test	S	° – – –	
- Residence	Test	Socio-economic status		tatus		
	1630	High	Medium	Low	-' Total	
·····Rura1	VPI	16	 ● 96 	63	175	
	WVI	15	96	61	172	
Urban	VPI	102	237**	99	438	
	WVI	102	235	- 98	435	

a

5]

called post selection (Moser & Katton, 1971) had to be employed to reduce the number of the sample to about a third from each school. Then, counting from the top of each pile, every third set was selected to form the sample. 52

Post selection was necessary for practical reasons:

1. It was more convenient and practical for the data collectors to administer the set to all the boys in Form IV in all the schools.

2. It would reduce the burden on the principal of the school and classroom teacher, in that there was no necessity to pick out some boys for the test and eliminate the rest. In other words, a block of time could be assigned to the data collectors and they could use that time for data collection. There should be no wastage of time.

3. The size of the total population does permit the inclusion of every subject in the survey.

4. Arrangement in (2) above ensures closer cooperation from the schools and the subjects.

Design 🙀

2

The raw scores from the 11 scales of the VPI and the 15 scales of the WVI were factor analyzed by (a) ethnic groups -- Canadians and Malaysians, (b) setting four each group -- urban-rural Canadians and urban-rural Malaysians, and (c) socio-economic status for each group

Urban Canadian Urban Malaysian
- Urban Canadian ' Urban Malaystan
Urban Canadian Urban Malaysian
Rural Canadian - Rural Maleysian
Rural Canadian Rural Malaysian
Rural Canadian Rural Malaysian

Principal components analysis was used with varimax rotation (1958) to achieve factor structure for each of the above groups. In addition, the distance between each of the above scales: realistic (R), investigative (I), social (S), conventional (C), enterprising (E), and artistic (A), was computed using:

$$(XY) = \begin{bmatrix} N \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_{xi} - a_{yi})^2 \end{bmatrix}^3$$

where d(XY) is the distance from point X to point Y, and a_{xi} is the loading of variable x on factor i. Fifty-four comparisons were made between the adjacent pairs--realistic-investigative (RI), investigative-artistic (IA), artistic-social (AS), social-enterprising (SE), enterprising-conventional (EC), conventional-realistic (CR)--and the alternate pairs--realistic-artistic (RA), artisticenterprising (AE), enterprising-realistic (ER), investigative-social (IS), social-conventional (SC), conventional-investigative (CI), and between the alternate pairs and the opposite pairs--investigativeenterprising (IE), realistic social (RS), conventional-artistic (CA)--of the hexagonal model to examine the hypothesis that the distances between the opposite pairs are greater than the distances between the alternate pairs, and the distances between the alternate pairs are greater than the distances of the adjacent pairs.

Finally, a Pearson product-moment correlation between the 11 scales of the VPI and the 15 scales of the WVI was computed separately for the Canadian and the Malaysian boys. A comparison of the correlation matrix of the Canadian boys with that of the Malaysian boys was made to examine the pattern of the correlations.
CHAPTER III

Regults.

The raw scores from the H scales of the VPI and the 15 scales of the WVI were analyzed by the principal components analysis (PCA) and rotated by Kaiser's varimax method of rotation (1958) to obtain the best simple factor structure of the VPI and the WVI for the Canadian and Malaysian boys. All factors with eigenvalues greater than unity were Extracted.

The results of the analyses for each test--the VPI and the WVI--will be reported separately under three headings: (a) the identified factors for both the Canadian and the Malaysian boys; (b) the comparison of factor structure on the VPI and the WVI <u>be-</u> <u>tween</u> the Canadian and Malaysian boys; and (c) the comparison of the factor structure on the VPI and the WVI <u>within</u> each group--Canadian and Malaysian boys.

Reports on the VPI will precede those on the WVI.

VPI Factors for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Nine separaté PCA were calculated for each group on the basis of ethnic background (Canadian and Malaysian), place of residence (rural-urban), and SES (high, medium, low). Only eight results could be used. The data from the SES level one rural Canadian boys (RC1) and the SES level one rural Malaysian bys (RM1) could not be utilized due to small sample size $(\underline{N} = 10; \underline{N} = 16$ respectively). For the purposes of the analysis and interpretation, salients were defined as those variables whose loadings on components were 0.400 or greater.

Wakefield and Doughtie's (1973) VPI factor structure (Appendix H) and Yom et al. is (1975) VPI factor slassification were used as aids in interpreting the various factor structures found in the present study. A summary of the factors that resulted from the 16 different analyses is shown in Table 7. Although each pair of analyses will be described in detail later, it isoclear from Table 7 that the vocational interest, status and self-confidence factors pervade all groups with only a few exceptions.

In the subsequent discussion, the VPI factor structures for the Canadian and Malaysian boys will be elaborated. Complete tables of the matrices are provided in Appendix I.

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Ganadian and Malaysian Boys

Four factors were identified for the Canadian group, and three factors were found in the Malaysian group (Table 8). For both groups, factor one was found to be the vocational interest factor. Although the Canadian sample did not have a high loading for the investigative scale, the overall similarity is high. The high loading on the acquiescence scale, suggesting a conventional view of the vocational world, indicates that the factor is similar in some

Factor			٤.	0)	
	<u>N</u>	/ocationa Interest	Status	Self Confidence	Masculinit
Group		>		С С	· E
C	472		1	i	1
M	613°		1.	1	
RC	158	1.1	. V	. 1	1
RM	175		-1	1	
UC	314	J	J.	1.	1
UM	43 8		1	1	
RC2	127。		1	1	
RM2	96	4,	×2	1	4
RC3*	21	1	1.3	1.	1.
RM3	63	1	1	Ĵ	
UCI	. 67	1		1	1
นพา \ โ	ຸ 102	1	1		1
UC2	183	Ĵ	/ +	→ /	1.
UM2	237	1			
UC3	64			J	1
UM3	99	J	1.	.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Note C = C					

VPI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysian

Table 7

RMI are not included in this table due to small sample size. *Results for RC3 are questionable because of small sample

.....

size.

 $\checkmark \longleftrightarrow \checkmark$ indicates a combination of factors.,

ويرجع المحاري

1 2

.

57

Tab	e	8
-----	---	---

Factor	VPI	Factor	Loadings
	Scale	• Canadian	Malaysiar
Vocational Interest	. R	0.505	0.776
•	_ I	(0.366)	0.575
. U	¹ • S	0.742	0.857
	С	÷ 0 .770	0.852
	Ê	0.818	0,844
	Α	0.607	0.695
	AC .	0.791	0.859
Status	R .	-0.590	
	SC	0.742	0.678
	• M		0.807
	SŢ	0,853	0773
<pre>Self-Confidence (-)</pre>	I	-0.667	-0.601
	SC	0.433	0.457
	in IN	0.864	0.865
Masculinity	R	0.428	
	M	0.891	

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Canadian and Malaysian Boys

<u>Note</u>, R = Realistic; I = Investigative; S = Social; C = Conventional; E = Enterprising; A = Artistic; AC = Acquiescence; SC = Self-Control; M = Masculinity; ST = Status; IN = Infrequency. Can (<u>N</u> = 472), Mal (<u>N</u> = 613). () = values close to 0.400. **58** °

respect to the Wakefield and Doughtie conventional-economic factor. Indeed, the combination of the Wakefield and Doughtie conventionaleconomic and material world factors is very similar to the vocational interest factor found here (excepting the artistic scale). 59

The second factor--status--is also found in both samples. The core scales for this factor are the self-control and status scales. For the Malaysian® sample, the masculinity scale also has a high loading, perhaps arising from the Malaysian occupational stereotypes in which the males generally have high status positions. The negative loading on the realistic scale for the Canadian boys is consistent with the traditional Canadian view that manual positions are low status positions. The status factor for the Canadian sample is in close agreement with that of the Wakefield and Doughtje status factor.

Factor three, which has been labeled self-confidence, is an amalgam of positive loadings on the infrequency and self-control scales, and negative loading on the investigative scale. The ap-Propriateness of this label will be seen if the above loadings are reflected. In many/respects, this factor is similar to Wakefield and Doughtie's social desirability factor (reflected), but the term self-confidence seems to describe more appropriately the combination of positive self-evaluation, lack of inhibition, and the investigative personality type. There is a certain sense of impulsivity, suggesting perhaps some overconfidence.

Factor four--masculinity--occurs only with the Canadian boys. This may be because of recent societal trends in North America, which have questioned the traditional sex typing, and may have made the students more aware of this aspect of the vocational interest. It may be that, in Malaysia, the masculinity-femininity roles are more integrated with the value structure, whereas in Canada, the masculinity-femininity role is now viewed in isolation. 60

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Four factors were identified for the rural Canadian boys: vocational interest, status, masculinity, and self-confidence. For the Malaysian boys, three factors were identified: vocational interest, status, and self-confidence (Table Jac

The vocational interest factor and the status factor for both rural groups are the same as the vocational interest and status factors described for the total group. For the rural Malaysian boys, the self-confidence factor is the same as in the total group. In the rural Canadian group, the loadings for the investigative and self-control scales just fail to reach the criterion cutoff. Nevertheless, they are high enough to indicate that the factor is essentially of the same construction as previously described. Once, again, the masculinity factor occurred only with the



61

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings

Factor	VPI	Factor Loadings		
	Scale	Rural Canadian	Rural Malaysian	
Vocational Interest	R	0.448	0.814	
✓	- I	0.550	0.611	
	S	0.777	0.865	
	Ć	0.727	0.881	
	စ E	0.799	0.857	
	A	0.648	0.657	
•	AC	0.805	0.840	
Status o	R	-0.600		
	SC	0.801 #	0.729	
	M		0.842	
•	ST	0°.846	0.821	
Self-Confidence (-)	Ī	(-0.385)	-0.596	
	SC	-{0.370}	0.426	
6	IN	0.945	0.875	
Masculinity	R	0.492		
	I	0.432		

for 'Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

<u>Note</u>. R = Realistic; I = Investigative; S = Social; C = Conventional; E = Enterprising; A = Artistic; AC = Acquiescence; SC = Self-Control; M = Masculinity; ST = Status; IN = Infrequency. RC (<u>N</u> =158); RM (<u>N</u> = 175).

M

0.918

Canadian boys.

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Urban Canadian and Malaysian-Boys

Four factors were identified for the Canadian boys, namely, vocational interest, self-confidence, status, and masculinity; and three factors for the urban Malaysian boys: vocational interest, status, and self-confidence.

Table 10 shows the composition and factor loadings of each of the above factors for both groups.

The VPI factor structures for the urban samples are very similar to the structures identified for the total samples. Of course, more than two-thirds of the total sample is urban and, therefore, the similarity in the structure is to be expected. Again, as noted earlier, except for the masculinity factor, the structures are remarkably similar.

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

bour factors for the medium SES Canadian boys and three for the medium SES Malaysian boys were identified for these two groups. The factors are: vocational interest, status, masculinity, and self-confidence for the Canadian boys; and vocational interest, status, and self-confidence for the Malaysian boys.

Factor	VPI	Factor Loadings		
Factor	Scale	Urban Canadian	Urban Malaysiar	
Vocational Interest	R	0.558	0.756	
g	I	(0.313)	0.561	
	S	0.720	0.854	
	_ C	0.790	0.839	
	E	0.821	0.840	
	- A	Q.582	0.713	
	AC	0.782	0.866	
Self-Confidence (-)	· · · ·	-0.684	-0.611	
	SC	0.557	0.484	
	IN ,	0.875	0.840	
Status	R	-0.557		
	ŠČ•	0.617	0.644	
	M		0.804	
	,ST	0.877	0.728	
Masculinity	R	(0.367)		
	I	(0.328)		
n an	A	-0.448		
	M	0.877		

VPI Factors and Factor Moadings

Table 10

<u>Note</u>. \vec{R} = Realistic; I = Investigative; S = Social; C = Conventional; E = Enterprising; A = Artistic; AC = Acquiescence; SC = Self-Control; IN = Infrequency; M = Masculinity; ST = Status. UC (<u>N</u> = 314); UM (<u>N</u> = 438). 63

The factor composition and loadings are indicated in Table 11. For the medium SES rural groups, the essential picture reemerges, except for the self-confidence factor. Although the salient scales are consistent with the previous analyses, the only strong loading occurs with the infrequency scale (reflected). Pernaps with the medium SES rural boys, the tendency to overconfidence suggested earlier does not occur. Also, because the numbers the subjects is relatively small, the statistical instability marketgount for the lower values on the self-control and investigative scales. 64

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES® Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The factors identified for the low SES rural Canadian boys are: vocational interest, masculinity, status, and self-confidence. For the corresponding Malaysian group, the factors are: vocational interest, status, self-confidence, and artistic.

The scales that make up these and their corresponding factor loadings are included in Table

The analysis for the low SES rural groups shows a factor structure which is quite distinct from the previous results with a good deal of fractionation in the previously mentified vocational interest factor. This is probably largely due to the small number $(\underline{N} = 21)$ of the Canadian boys at this level.

Table 11

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings (for SES Level Two

Rural Canadian (RC2) and SES Level Two Rural Malaysian (RM2) Boys

Factor	VPI	Factor Loadings		
	Scale	Rural Canadian 2	· Ryra] Malaysian	
Vocational Interest	R	0.529	0.799	
	I	0.596	0.736	
	S	0.772	0.884	
		0.752	0.854	
а Алана Ала	E	0.801	0.838	
۵. ۲۰ ۴ ۴.	Ą	0.644	0.786	
	AC	0.840	0.875	
Status	R	-0.599		
	- SC	0.817	0.797	
	M		0.807	
	ST	0.844	0.829	
Masculinity	R	(0.394)	-	
	I	.(0.364) •		
	M	0.941		
Self-Confidence (-)	I		€(-0.39 <u>3</u>)	
	SC	• (0.323)		
۰ •	IN	0.955	0.926	
<u>Note</u> . R = Realistic	I = Inve	estigative: S = So	cial3 = Conver-	
tional; E = Enterprisir				
Self-Control; M = Mascu				
RC2 (<u>N</u> = 127); RM2 (<u>M</u> =				

	•	· • •							
		Γ.	L	۰	÷		- 11	۱œ	
	^ I	٢a	n		Δ	×.		~	
	. 1		÷		-			٤.	
						- e.		_	

66

VPI Factor and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Rural Canadian (RC and SES Level Three Rural Malaysian (RM3) Boys

Factor	VPI	Factor	Factor Loadings		
2.0	Şcale	Ruial Canadian 3	Rumal Malaysian 3		
Vocational Interest	R		0.894		
	I		0.412		
	S	e 0.904	0.803		
	Ċ	0.873	0.917		
	Ē	0.703	0.774		
-	A	0.681			
	AC	0.547	~0.706°		
Masculinity	R	0.854	- S &		
	i i	0.489			
	Ŋ-' °	p .79 2			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AC	0.642	بند بند د		
Status	R	-0.420			
	SC °	0.84%	0.678		
	M.		0.813		
	ST	0.875	0.824		
	IN	(0.351)	0.443		

	Table	12 (Continued)	e E		
Self-Confider	nce (-) I	-0.749		-0.781	
	E SC SC	0.418 (0.329)		0.513	3
*	IN AC	0.822	•	0. 1 25	
Artistic	÷			0:425	

.932

.

the state

23) 1

<u>Note</u>. R = Realistic; I = Investigative; S = Social; C = Conventtional; E = Enterprising; A = Artistic; AC = Acquiescence; M =Masculinity; SC = Self-Control; ST = Status; IN = InfrequencyRC3 (<u>N</u> = 21); RM3 (<u>N</u> = 63).

ģ

1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -

<u>Ş</u>.

However, in the Malaysian group, the basic agreement with the other results is maintained with the important addition of a factor composed of the artistic and the enterprising scales. This factor has been labeled the artistic factor. The negative loading of the masculinity scale on the factor (-0.306), although not reaching the criterion cutoff, suggests that this is the appropriate title, since rural lower class Malaysian females tend to produce bandicrafts to assist family support.

VPI Rectors and Factor Loadings for High SES Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Four factors were obtained for each group. The factors are: vocational interest, status, masculinity, and self-confidence.

The scales that make up each factor and the corresponding fac tor loadings are shown in Table 13.

The vocational interest factor for the high SES urban Canadian boys is generally similar to the vocational interest factor previously described for the total group, except that the loadings on the investigative and social scales are lower. For the Malaysian group, this factor is the same as for the total group.

not have a high realistic scale loading, possibly because the high SES urban Canadian boys have little direct experience with manual occupations. The high masculinity scale loading raises some ques-

Canadian (UCI) ar	nd SES Lev	el One Urban Malays	·····
Factor	VPI Scale	Urban Canadian 1	Loadings
Vocational Interest	R R	0.814	Urban Malaysian 1 0.618
	I .	- 4	0.495
	S S		0.8 00
	Ċ.	0.731	0.798
	, E :	Q.844	0.821
	A	0.610	0.739
	/ AC	0.694	0.878. 4
Ştatus	R		-0.591 ×
	SC	۶ 0.759····	
•	M	9.638	
	ेऽ्र	•0.856	. 814
Self-Confidence (-)	1	0::764	-0.419
	· SC	0.439	0.781
	IN.	• 0.789	0.888
Masculinity	R		(0+354)

69

-1.

tion.

For the Malaysian group, the status factor is unlike the previously described status factor for the total group. The status factor for this group has no loading for the self-control and masculinity scales.

The self-confidence factor is the same for both groups as pre-

Finally, the masculinity factor emerges for the Maley group, with the accompanying loading on the traditional masculine field of science and mathematics. For the high SES Canadian boys, the presence of the masculinity factor appears to be tied to the technical competence, rather than the manual competence. It may be that the manual competence is frelevant.

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The vocational interest, self-confidence + status, and masculinity factors were identified for the SES level two urban Canadian

and the vocational interemperstatus, and self-confidence

and the second as

the factor loadings for each

surban Ganadian.

Table 14

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Urban Canadian (UC2) and SES Level Two Urban Malaysian (JM2) Boys

Factor	VPI	Factor Loadings		
	Scale	Urban Canadian 2	Urban Malaysian 2	
Vocational Interest	, ,	0.400	0.776	
	I.	.(0.395)	0.626 - "	
	S.	0.788	0.870	
9	х.́С.	0.742	0.847	
1.**	Ê	0.768 😓	Ç. 85 5	
		• 0.639	0.755	
	ST	ē 0.491		
	AC	Ö.716	Ò.870	
Status	R	-0.583		
	Į,	-0.416-		
	sc	0.844	• 0.674	
	M		. 0.818	
	ST	0.666	0.748	
9	IN	.0.719		
Masculiņity	л. R	0.430	e-	
and the second		0.409		
1	. ` A	-0.469		
	M serv	0.870		
	AC	0.437		



for the total group, except that the medium SES urban Canadian boys have within this factor a high loading for the status scale. The status factor for the Canadian boys appears to be a combination of the scales making up the status and self confidence factors, whereas the status factor for the Malaysian boys corresponds to that identified for the total Malaysian group. Similarly, it may be said of the self-confidence factor for the medium SES urban Malaysian boys. 73

For the medium SES urban Canadian boys, the masculinity factor has a negative loading on the artistic scale, and a positive loading on the realistic and investigative scales, as to be expected. The positive loading on the acquiescence scale is also consistent with the conventional notion about those people preferring masculine occupations.

VPI Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Three factors were identified for each group: vocational interest, self-confidence, and masculinity for the SES level three urban Canadian boys; and vocational interest, status and self-confidence for the SES level three urban Malaysian boys.

The composition and factor loadings for each factor are shown in Table 15.

The vocational interest factor for both groups is generally

n *	VPI	Factor	Loadings
Factor	Scale	Urban Canadian 3	Urban Malay
Vocational Interest	Ŕ	2000 - 2000 1970	0.,786
	I	0.436	0.605
N	AS	ú.824	0.850
	.	0.719	0.820
	E S	0.866	•0.813
	A	0.711	• 0.574
	SC		-0,.425
	ST	0.666	
V	AC	0.824	0.849
Self-Confidence (-)	R •	(-0.389)	
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Ţ		(-0.32
	SC	0,887	(0.38
$\frac{1}{3}$, IN	· 0.699	0.88
Masculinity		0.717	
	í, í	0.606	-
	M	0.718	
Status	-SC		0.65
o	M		0.67
0 •	ST		0.79

Table 15

đ

۲.

• Status; AC = Acquiescence; IN = Infrequency; M = Masculinity. UC3 ($\underline{N} = 64$); UM3 ($\underline{N} = 99$).

Factor	• VPI	/ Factor I	oadings
	Scale	Urban Canadian 3	Urban Malaysian
Vocational Int	erest R	-	0.786
•	2 L	0.436	0.605
	S.	。 0.824	0.850
	Ċ	0.719	0.820
	n an an an an an E an an	0.86	0.813
	A	0.711	0.574
•	šc .	÷	0.425
	ST	Q. 666	
	AC .	0.824	0.849
Self-Confidence	(-) ₍ R	(-0.389)	•
	, I	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(-0.329)
	SC	• 0.887	.(0.380)
	IN	0.699	0.882
Masculinity	Ř.	, 0.717	
		0.606	
	د. M	* 0.718	
Status	ľsc.		0.657
P	. "М-		0.676

74 🖫

similar to the vocational factor for the total groups. The low SES urban Canadian boys, however, have a loading on the status scale, while the Malaysian boys have a negative loading on the self-control factor.

(The status factor for the Malaysian boys is the same as pre-

The self-confidence factor for both groups displays a trend in the structure, although the loading on the investigative scale for the Canadian boys and the loadings on the investigative and self-control scales are relatively lower than those found for the total groups.

The masculinity factor for the level three SES urban Canadian boys is similar to the masculinity factor for the total Canadian group. The loading on the inflestigative scale, however, is relatively high, though the urend in the loadings is the same.

VPI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban, Canadian and Malaysian Boys

In the discussion that follows, reference is made to Table 7 \mathcal{J} and Appendix I, Tables I2 and I3.

Rural-urban Canadian boys. The number of the VPR factors that emerged for each group is similar in kind and degree. Both have four factors: vocational interest, status, self-confidence, and masculinity.

The vocational interest and status factors for the rural and

urban Canadian boys are remarkably similar.

The self-confidence factor displays a relatively lower loading on the investigative and self-control scales fich, as suggested earlier, may point to a lack of overconfidence among the rural Canadian boys.

As for the masculinity factor, the lottings on the realistic and investigative scales among the rural Canadian boys are relativerigher than those among the urban Canadian boys; yet the trend that the negative loading on the artistic scale among the urban Canadian boys is relatively higher than that of the rural. In spite of these discrepancies in loadings, the trend is generally similar.

Rural-urban Malaysian boys. There is a very close initarity in the VPI structure of the rural Malaysian boys and that of the urban counterpart. Both groups have three common factors: vocational interest, status, and self-confidence.

The composition of each of these factors and the factor loadings of the variables making up the factor are almost identical. <u>VPI Factor Structure Across SES Levels for the Rural Canadian and</u> <u>Malaysian Boys</u>

In the discussion that follows, reference is made to Table 7 and Appendix I, Tables I4 and I5.

Rural Canadian boys. The VPI factor structure for the SES

level two rural Canadian boys a close resemblance, both in degree and in kind, to that of the SES level three rural Canadian boys.

Both have four common factors: vocational interest, Status, self-confidence, and masculinity.

However, due to a small sample size for the SES level three rural Canadian boys ($\underline{N} = 21$), the stability of the factors is questionable.

The vocational interest factor is generally similar for both groups, but for the SES level three runni Canadian boys, the loadings on the realistic and investigative scales are very low. The status factor is remarkably similar for both groups. The self-confidence factor displays some degree to be the self of the investigative and enterprising states are to be the self of the SES level two groups.

Similarly, it may be said of the masculinity factor.

Yet, the trend or pattern in the loadings of the scales making up these factors is similar.

<u>Rural Malaysian boys</u>. Between the SES level two rural Malaysian boys and the SES level three rural Malaysian boys, three factors were common: vocational interest, status, and self-confidence. They differ only with nespect to the artistic factor which emerged among the SES level three group. The vocational interest factor is very similar, except that for the SES level three, the loading on the artistic scale is very low for this factor. The loading for the artistic scale emerged within the artistic factor for the SES level three group.

The status factor is again very similar except that the loading on the infrequency scale among the SES level three group is relatively higher than that of the SES level two group,

The structure of the self-confidence factor is basically the same, but the loadings on the invest**iga**tive scale and the self-control scale are relatively lower for the SES level two group. In addition, the SES level three has a relatively high negative loading on the acquiescence scale.

VPI Factor Structure Across SES Levels for the Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Urban Camedian boys. As evidenced in Table 7, and Tables I6, 17, and 18 of Appendix I, the degree of similarity in the VPI structures across the three SES levels for the urban Canadian boys is

relatively lower than previously noted for the other groups. Among them, they share two common factors: the vocational interest factor and the masculinity factor.

The SES level one urban Canadian boys have four factors: the vocational interest, status, self-confidence, and masculinity factors. The SES level two group has three factors: the vocational interest, masculinity, and a combined factor of self-confidence and

status.

The SES level three boys have three factors, namely, the vocational interest factor, the self-confidence factor, and the masculinity factor. 79

The composition of the vocational interest factor and the masculinity factor is generally similar. The composition of the selfconfidence factor for the SES level one and level three is also close to being similar.

The self-confidence + status factor for the SES level two is about the only factor that has brought about a certain amount of discrepancy in the picture. As noted earlier, this factor is made up of 'scales that normally make up the self-confidence factor and the status factor.

Urban Malaysian boys. Closer similarity exists in the VPI structure of the Malaysian boys across the SES levels. All the three subgroups have in common the vocational interest, status, and self-confidence factors.

The composition of each of these factors, as evidenced in Tables I6, 17, and 18 of Appendix I, too, is closely similar. The only difference noted is that the SES level one urban Malaysian boys have an additional factor, labeled the masculinity factor.

Summary

From the preceding discussion, it will be noted that the VPI factor structure for the Canadian boys resembles very closely that of the Malaysian counterpart, across place of residence and the SES levels. 80

Secondly) the VPI factor structure of the rural Canadian boys and that of the urban Canadian boys are very similar. A similar close resemblance is also evident in the comparison of the 4PI structures between the rural and urban Malaysian boys.

Thirdly, for the rural Canadian and Malaysian boys, a similarity in the VPI factor structure across SES levels for each group is observed. However, the comparison of similar kind among the urban Canadian boys displays a relatively less similarity. With the urban Malaysian boys, the VPI structures across SES levels are very similar, except for the emergence of the masculinity factor among the SES level one group.

WVI Factors for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Nine separate PCA were carried out for each group on the basis of ethnic background (Canadian-Malaysian), place of residence (ruralurban), and SES levels (high, medium, low). Results from the SES level one rural Canadian and Malaysian boys were not used as the sample size was small ($\underline{N} = 10$; $\underline{N} = 15$ respectively). Similarly results from the SES level three rural Canadian were not used due to small sample size (N = 21).

1 Tak

Using Hendrix and Super's (1968, 1970) (Appendix J) WVI factor , classification as aids in interpreting the WVI factors, a summary of the factors identified for the Canadian and Malaysian boys are presented in Table 16.

Although each pair of analyses will be described in detail later, it is clear from Table 16 that the material, self-expression and social relations and power and prestige factors pervade all groups with only a few exceptions.

In the subsequent discussion the factor structure of the WVI will be elaborated. Complete tables of factor matrices mare given in Appendix K.

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The WVI factor structure for the Canadian boys is made up of three factors: material, self-expression and social relations, and power and prestige. For the Malaysian boys, four factors were found in the WVI structure: the material, self-expression and social relations, power and prestige and esthetic factors.

As can be seen in Table 17, there is a very close resemblance in the composition of the material world and self-expression and social relations factors between these two groups. 81

	Factor		. ∖ `•• ⊻						1	2		
		te)	Self-Expression and Social Relations	Power and Prestige	Esthetic Expression Independence	Social Relations	Social Naterialistic	vity	Mon-Intellectual Esthetic Expression	and Surrounding	Hork-Value I	Nork Value II
Group	N	Naterial	Self-	Power	Esther Indep	Social	Social	Creativity	Kon-In Esthet	Beauty	General	inera)
C N	472 607	1	1	j. 1	-	•						
RC RM	158 172	1° 1	1	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-				•••
UC UN R	314 8 435	-	1		L.			•		•		-
RC2 RM2	127 96	*	1	1	-			•		, a	4	
843	.61	- 1		1 - 1	. 1	1.						•
C)	57 102	-			•	J	-		J - - J		(* 	· · · ·
C2	182 235	1	1 1 1 1	. y.	≠						•	
3	65 98	•			- -				·	1	•	•

WI Factor Structure Comparison Between the Canadian and the Taundan

16

ľ

Tablé

٥

60

Riays fan: Ł Urban; R Rana . High SES: 2 = SES; 3 - Low SES. Nedium Data on RC1, RC 3, and RN 1 15 not shown abo ļ we due to small sample size. 4 2

	WVI	Factor	Loadings
Factor	Scale	Canadian	Malaysian
aterial ;	AC	0.433	0.434
	SU	0,558	0.641
•	SR	0.747	0.770
	- WL	0.605	0.556
•	SE	-0.747	0.738
• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AS	0.614	0.479
	ER	0.685	0.769
	AL	0.417	•
Af-Expression Id Social Relations	CR 3	0.714	0.692
IN SOCIAL REPARTONS	AC	0.621	Q.445
	AS		0.524
	ES	0.499	· • •
	AL .	0.676	0.643
	IS	0.750	0.689
wer and Prestige	. CR	0.465	с.
	MA	0.710	0.743
	SU	0.469	
	PR	0.633	0.744
	IN .	0.696	0.701
	.ER °	0.494	•

٠`

.

ġ.

Table 17 (Continued)

N. Av.			
Esthetic Expression	ES	• • •	0.744
	VA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.751
Note. AC = Achiever	nent; SU =	Surrounding	; SR = Super-
visory Relations; WL			
Associates; ER = Econo			
creativity; ES = Esthe			
MA = Management; PR.=			•

Variety, Can (<u>N</u> = 472); Mal (<u>N</u> = 607).

In the Malaysian group, altruism does not load on this factor, but for the Canadian boys, altruism does not have its principal weight here either, even though 0.417 is above the criterion cutoff.

The self-expression and social relations factor has some social relations (altruism) in it.

The prestige and power factor loads highly on the prestige, independence and management scales for both groups. The Canadian boys however have loadings on the economic returns and creativity scale as well, although the latter loading is relatively lower than the loadings on the other scales. Perhaps, in Canada, power is closely associated with wealth. In Malaysia wealth is only partly related to power.

The esthetic expression factor has high loadings on the esthetic and variety scales. The loading for the creativity scale is relatively high too, although it is below the criterion cut-off:

VWI Factors and Factor Loadings for the Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

For the rural Canadian boys, four factors were identified: material, self-expression and social relations, power and prestige, and independence. For the Malaysian boys, three factors were identified: material, power and prestige; and social relations. The composition of each factor and their corresponding factor loadings are displayed in Table 18.

Only the material factor showed a very high degree of similarity between the two groups. The power and prestige factor is similar with respect to high loadings on the management scale and the prestige scale. It does appear that the power and prestige factor for the Malaysian boys has scales which made up the independence factor for the Canadian group. Perhaps the rural Canadian boys see the farm life as having plenty of independence and variety; but little power and prestige. The Malaysian boys on the other hand, may have little variety and independence, and so come to associate independence and prestige with power and prestige. The three remaining factors are group specific.

The degree of similarity in the WVI factor structure between these two groups is relatively lower than that between the Canadian and Malaysian boys as a total group.

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for the Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Če.

Two factors emerged for the urban Canadian boys--general work value factor and self-expression and social relations, and four factors for the urban Malaysian boys--material, self expression and social relations, power and prestige, and esthetic expression.

Table 18

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for

Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

		i			
WVI	Factor Loadings				
Scale	Rural Canadian	Rural Malaysian			
AC	•	0.422			
, SU	0.402	0.702			
SR	0.635	· · · 0.626			
WL	0.588	0.545			
SE	0.673	0.779			
AS	0.687	•			
ER	0.512	0.832			
CR	0.667	•			
AC	0.645				
ES	_0.513	•			
AL	0.736	J.			
IS	0.676				
CR		0.427			
MA	0.773	0.688			
AC	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.461			
SU	0.493	°			
WL	•	0.463			
_ ES	0.415	0.539 °			
PR 。	0.560	0.567			
	Scale AC SU SR WL SE AS ER CR AC ES AL IS CR MA AC SU WL ES	Scale Rural Canadian AC - SU 0.402 SR 0.635 WL 0.588 SE 0.673 AS 0.687 ER 0.512 CR 0.667 AC 0.645 ES 0.513 AL 0.736 IS 0.676 CR - MA 0.773 AC - SU 0.493 WL - ES 0.415			

87

Power and PrestigeIN-0.712(Continued)VA-0.639ER0.486IndependenceWL0.497IN0.762VA0.529Social RelationsSR-AL0.738AL0.738			(Continued)		•
(Continued) VA 0.639 ER 0.486 Independence WL 0.497 IN 0.762 VA 0.529 Social Relations SR - AL 0.738		IN	-	0.712	
Independence WL 0.497 IN 0.762 VA 0.529 Social Relations SR 0.485 AS 0.738	(Continued)	VA	-	- en	
IN 0.762 VA 0.529 Social Relations SR 0.485 AS 0.738		ER	0.486	- 5	с 1
VA 0.529 Social Relations SR 0.485 AS 0.738	Independence	WL	0.497 ,	-f	
Social Relations SR 0.485 AS 0.738	• •	IN	0.762		e .
AS - 0.738		VA	0_529	•	
	Social Relations	SR	an a	0.485	•
AL		AS		0.738	•
U./15	· · · · ·	AL		0.715	:

88

.

Economic Return; CR = Creativity; ES = Esthetic; AL = Altruism;*

,

í

IS = Intellectual Stimulation; MA = 'Management; PR = Prestige;

IN = Independence; VA = Variety. RC (\underline{N} = 158); RM (\underline{N} = 172). K

The factor structures for both groups are as presented in Table 19.

The general work value factor for the Canadian boys appears to have within it scales that make up the power and prestige and independence factors. The self-expression factor has within it scales that make up the esthetic factor. The Malaysian factors appear to be the same as that identified for the total Malaysian group. The power and prestige, and esthetic expression factors are found among the Malaysian boys only. These factors are closely similar to those identified for the total Malaysian group, except that the power and prestige factor has a loading of 0.412 on the way of life scale. Perhaps it is not unréasonable to expect one with power and prestige to be able to lead a life of his own choice. The degree of s(milarity is reduced very much.

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Three factors were found among the medium SES rural Canadian boys: the self-expression and social relations, power and prestige, and material factors. For the Malaysian counterpart, five factors' emerged: creativity, power and prestige, material, independence, and social relations. Their factor structures are shown in Table

20.
•	•	1		•
	• •		•	
	•	Table 19		• •
	WVI Factors a	nd Factor Loadings	for	
	,	an and Malaysian B		
Factor	WŸI	ومحربة بستغربات والاستعار العرار عالى بعالي أعتار وبالترية المتعريب التراك	r Loadings	· · · ·
	Scale	Urban Canadian	Urban Malaysian	
Material	AC			• • •
	su .		0.470	
	SR	3	0.561	· · · · · ·
	WL		0.815	λ.
	SE		0.562	
	AS		0.747	
	ER .		0.525	
Self Expression			0.737	
and Social Relati	ons CR	0.800	0.752	
	AC	0.671	0.480	
a	AS	° 0.400	0.473	- 8
	ES	0.514		
	IN .	0.481		
	VA	0.499		
	AL	0.611	0.553	
R	IS	0.778	0.750	
Power and Prestige	MA	-	0.748	
	¥L.	- · · · · ·	. 0.412	
	PR 🖊	•	0.741	
	IN	-	0.726	•
				•

1	Table 19	(Continued)	· · · ·	
• Esthetic Expression	ES VA		0.745 0.785	
General Work Value Factor	MA	0.546		
1	AC	0.478	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	₩
/	SU _	0.727		
	SR	0.00		
•	WL.	0.627		
	SE	0.779	<u>د</u>	
	AS	0.556		
· · ·	PR	. 0.664		
7 •	IN	0.513		
	VA È	0.446		
	ER	0.862		• \

Supervisory Relations; WL = Way of Life; SE = Security; AS = Associates; PR = Prestige; IN = Independence; VA = Variety; ER = Economic Return; CR = Creativity; ES =, Esthetic; AL = Altruism; IS = Intellectual Stimulation. UC (\underline{N} = 314); UM (\underline{N} = 435).

Table 20

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Rural[®] Canadian (RC2) and SES Level Two Rural Malaysian (RM2) Boys

Factor	WVI	Factor	Loadings
	Scale	Rural Canadian 2	Rural Malaysian 2
Self-Expression and Social Relations	CR	0.733	•
	AC	0.714	
	ES	0.508	· · · · · · · · ·
e en	AL	0.767	
0	IS	0.722	
Power and Prestige	MA	0.785	0.692
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• AC		0.595
	SU	0. 584	
	WL		0.686
	ES	., *	0.565
	PR	0.615	Ò.608
•	IN		0.674
	VA		0.693
0	ER	0.630	
	IS	••••	0.483
Material.	SU 🖌	0.419	0, 695
	SR	0.658	
	WL	0.511	0.701
	SE	0.618	0.428
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AS	0.654	0.776
•	ER	0.654 0.400	0.856

Independence	WL.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.599		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
A Mar and a second s	IN		0.757		-	
Social Relations	SR		-	8	0.436	
	AS		•		0.741	
	AL		8	•	0.708	•
Creativity	CR				0.755	
6 	PR	v	•		-0.534	

٥.

X

Table 20 (Continued)

. 3

Sintes -

93

Note. CR = Creativity; AC = Achievement; ES = Esthetic; PR = Prestige; MA = Management; SU = Surroundings; WL = Way of Life; IN = Independence; VA => Variety; ER = Economic Return; IS = Intellectual Stimulation; SR = Supervisory Relations; SE = Security; AS = Associates; AL = Altruism. RC 2 (N = 127); RM2 (N = 96)? 176

The self-expression and social relations factor for the Canadian boys is the same as that for the total Canadian group. Similarly it can be said about the material factor. The prestige and power factor however has relatively lower loadings on creativity and independence.

For the Malaysian boys in this category, the material factor closely resembles that of the total group. They do not have the self-expression factor. Instead the creativity factor, with a high loading on creativity emerged. Perhaps the direct involvement of the rural Malaysians in handicrafts, and basketry, accounts for the emergence of this factor.

The power and prestige factor has within it the variables making up the esthetic factor. Perhaps for this group of the Malaysfan people, esthetic expression invokes respect and bestows some power to those who have the esthetic abilities.

The material factor is most similar in kind and degree for the two groups.

The power and prestige factor for the SES level two rural Malaysian group consists of scales that make up the independence factor in the Canadian group. The factor structure is similar with respect to two variables only--management and prestige.

The independence and social relations factors are Canadian and Malaysian specific respectively. To a large extent, the structures do not look quite similar. WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The results for the SES level three rural Canadians were not used due to small sample size (N = 21).

Four factors emerged for the SES level three Malaysian boys, namely: the material, power and prestige, independence and social relations factors (Table 21).

The material factor resembles quite closely that of the total group, except that it does not load on associate. Instead it has • a loading on intellectual stimulation. The loading on the intellectual stimulation may have resulted from the emphasis on better • education for the rural people by the federal government of Malaysia. The need for associate is not great in the rural areas as the people there live in closely-knit villages.

The power and prestige factor have loadings on altruism and creativity, but these loadings are relatively low.

The social relations factor corresponds very closely that of the rural Malaysian boys as a whole.

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for High SES Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Five factors were identified for the SES level one urban Canadian boys. They are: self-expression and social relations, Table 21

96 -

i.

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three

Rural Malaysian (RM3) Boys Factor Loadings WVI Factor Scale Malaysian 3 Materia1 AC. 0.438 SU 0.746 SR 0.462 WL 0.448 SE 0.700 ER 0.815 Power and Prestige CR 0.407 MA 0.730 PR 0.772 VA 0.422 AL-0.411 Independence WL 0.603 ES 0.579 IN 0.736 VA-0.653 Social Relations SR 0.702

0.815

0.669

AS

AL

Table 21 (Continued)

э

Û

 \mathcal{N}

<u>Note</u>. AC = Achievement; SR = Supervisory Relations; WL = Way of Life; SE = Security; ES = Esthetic; IS = Intellectual Stimulation; SU = Surrounding; IN = Independence; ER = Economic Return; CR = Creativity; MA = Management; PR = Prestige; VA = Variety; AL = Altruism; AS = Associates. RM3 (N = '61).

1 2 1

social materialistic, social relations, power and prestige, and non-intellectual esthetic expression. Four factors for the corresponding Malaysian group are: material, self-expression and social

relations, power and prestige, and beauty and surrounding,

Data in Table 22 display the composition of these factors and their factor.loadings.

The self-expression and social relations factor for the SES level one Canadian boys have relatively low loadings on associate and altruism. These two scales emerged as a separate factor--the social relations factor. For the Malaysians, the associate and altruism variables were retained within the self-expression and social relations factor. Perhaps in the urban cities in Malaysia social distance is not so conspicuous as it is in the materialistic - individualistic society of developed countries like Canada, that boys in Canada feel that this aspect of work need to be sought in and from work to maintain social relationships.

The power and prestige factor looks more or less alike except that within the Canadian WVI structure, the power and prestige factor has a loading on security, while for the Malaysian structure, the power and prestige factor is loaded on independence.

The other remaining factors are specific to each group. The social materialistic factor is thus named for its loadings on supervisory relations and way of life, security and achievement Table 22

÷.,

. \

1

c,

Ģ

1

Ø

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level One Urban

Canadran (UCT) and SES Level One Urban Malaystan (UM1) Boys	Canadian (UCI)	and SES	Level One	Urban	Malaysian	(UMI) Boy	'Š
the second s			1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	•			-
			and the second				
	0					and the second se	Contractory of the local division of the loc

Factor	Factor		Loadings	Fut
	Scale	Urban Canadian 1 Urban Malaysian		
Material	AC		0.553	* .
	SU		°0.519	
	SR	-	0.749	•
1	WĽ		0.726	
	SE	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.758	•
	AS	-	0.440	
	IN	•	0.436	
	ER	-	0.707	2. 2.
• • •	AL		0.457	
Self-Expression and Social Relations	CR	0.830	0.762	
	MA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.402	
0	AC	0.550	0.438	• . `\
	SU	0.406		
	AS	•	0.570	
	IN	0.637	-	•
	VA	0.539		
	AL		0.482	الجريرية الم المحمد مع
4	IS	0.667	.0.808	

99

•

.

۱.

N			
Social Materialistic	AC	0.575	
	SR	0.751	•
) WL	0.730	•
	SE	0.443	•
Social Relations	AS	0.724	
	AL	0.864	
Power and Prestige	MA	0.801	0.780
	SU	0.635	•
•.1 (SE	0.636	
	PR	0.731	0.729
	IN		0.610
	ER	0.853	0.439
Beauty and Surrounding	SU. *	4	0.634
	ES		0.614
	VA (0.811
Non-intellectual Esthetic Expression	ES	0.843	•
	IS	-0.598	

Table 22 (Continued)

<u>Note</u>. MA = Management; AC = Achievement; SU = Surrounding; SR = Supervisory Relations; WL = Way of Life; SE = Security; AS = Assoclates; PR = Prestige; IN = Independence; ER = Economic Return; AL = Altruism; CR = Creativity; IS = Intellectual Stimulation; ES = Esthetic; VA = Variety. UCJ ($\underline{N} = 67$); UMI ($\underline{N} = 102$).

scales. While the last three variables suggest concern for material world, the supervisory relations is indicative of social relationships.

101

The beauty and surrounding factor is in fact an extension of esthetic factor in which concern for the surrounding is included.

The non-intellectual esthetic factor is thus labeled because of its high positive loading on the esthetic variable and a high negative loading on the intellectual stimulation variable. The combination of the two variables suggests a bi-polar structure...

The overall similarity in the WVI structure for these groups of boys is minimal.

16

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Medium SES Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The two groups have three same-named factors--material, power and prestige, and self-expression and social relations. The composition of each factor for both group displays close similarity (Table 23). To a large extent, the WVI factor structures for these groups of boys are similar. Except for the low loadings on the creativity and achievement scales for the power and prestige factor for the Malaysian boys, the two WVI structures are very close to being identical. Table 23

·102

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Two Urban Canadian (UC2) and SES Level Two Urban Malaystan (UM2) Boys

Factor	WV I	Factor	Loadings
, Factor ,	Scale	Urban Canadian 2	Urban Malaysian 2
Materia]	AC	0.443	0.509
	SU	0.621	0.591
	SR	0.763 -	0.789
	V WL	0.649	Ò.64 1
•	SE	0.692	0.785
	AS	0.494	ر 0.599 [`]
	ER -	0.740	0.699
4	AL	0.455	0/421
Power and Prestige	CR	0.622	
	MA	0.690	0.628
	AC	0,405	
	SU	0.635	0.402
	PR	0.729	0.719
	IN	0.690	0.637
0	VA	0.433	0.582
	•	۲. ۲.	
		C A	

Self-Expression	CR	0.557	0.783
and Social Relations	AC	0.509	0.449
	AS	0.411	0.344
a	ES	0.616	0.637
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	AL S	0.689	0.463
	IS	0.739	0.671

Table 23 (Continued)

103

<u>Note</u>. AC = Achievement; SU = Surrounding; SR = Supervisory Relations; WL = Way of Life; SE = Security; AS = Associates; ER = Economic Return; AL = Altruism; CR = Creativity; MA = Management; PR = Prestige; IN = Independence; VA = Variety; ES = Esthetic; IS = Intellectual Stimulation. UC2 (<u>N</u> = 182); UM2 (<u>N</u> = 235).

WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for Low SES Urban Canadian and Malays an Boys

Two-factors were identified for the SES level three urban Canadian group--general work value I, and general work value II, while for their Malaysian counterpart, four factors emerged-material, power and prestige, esthetic expression, and selfexpression and social relations (Table 24).

The factors for the Malaysian boys correspond to those factors identified earlier on for the total Malaysian group.

Two general work values factors emerged from the WVI structure for the SES level three urban Canadians.

The emergence of these general factors may be explained in terms of their basic needs (Maslow, 1954): Perhaps their work value system is still undefined and undifferentiated due to the pressure by other more immediate needs for urban city survival.

Overall, the similarity in the WVI structure for these groups appears minimal.

Summary

Of the seven comparisons made, only two are highly similar: between the Canadian and Malaysian boys as a total group, and between the SES level two urban Canadian and Malaysian boys.

In the other five WYI structures, the similarity between groups is minimally similar in kind and degree. WVI Factors and Factor Loadings for SES Level Three Urban Canadian (UC3) and SES Level Three Urban Malaysian (UM3) Boys

Factor	WVI	Factor	Loadings
	Scale	Urban Canadian 3	Urban Malaysian 3
Materia]	AC		0.420
	SU	0	0.599
•	SR		0.808
	WL	69 	0.503
	SE		0.670
	AS	•	0.701
	ER		Q.782
	AL .		0.479
	IS		0.407
General Work Value I	AC	0.500	
	SU	0.776	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SR	o 0.837	
	WL	0.714	
	SE	0.875	
	AS	0.626	
	ES	0.436	a franciscus de la construcción de La construcción de la construcción d La construcción de la construcción d
2	PR	_0.493	
	IN	0.505	
	VA	0.596	
6 , 0 ,	ER	0.850	
	AL	0.550	

Table 24

Table 24 (Continued)

٠

e.

1,

a

:/

\$	Table 2	4 (Continued)		
Power and Prestige	MA		0.725	
	WE		0.'484	ang sana karana sana sana sa Mang Karang Sana sana sana sana sana sana sana sana
	PR	- 4	0.759	
	IN		0.812	in the second
Esthetic Expression	ES	-	0.819	
	VA	-	0.593	
Self-Expression and Social Relations	CR .		0.793	-
and Social Relations	AC		0.669	•
	AL		0.446	
	IS		0.580	-
General Work Value II	CR	0.798		·
	MA	0.760	0	
	AC	0.727	et a ser de la companya de la company La companya de la comp	
ů	SU	0.416		
	WL	0.463	7.	•
	AS	0.507	.	
	ES	0.548		ç.
• •	PR	0.646		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
d <i>#</i>	IN	0.683	° – • •	
	VA	0.406		
	AL	0.534	•	
	IS	0.804		

106 ¢, ۰,

Table 24 (Continued)

U

· 107

Note. AC = Achievement; SU = Surrounding; SR = Supervisory. Relations; WL = Way of Life, SE = Security; AS = Associates; ES = Esthetic; PR = Prestige; IN = Independence; VA = Variety; ER = Economic Return; AL = Altruism; IS = Intellectual Stimulation; CR = Creativity; MA = Management. UC3 (\underline{N} = 65); UM3 (\underline{N} = 98).

ť

WVI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

In the discussion that follows, reference is made to Table 16 and Appendix K, Tables K2 and K3.

<u>Rural-urban Canadian boys</u>. The number of the WVI factors that emerged for each group is different: four for the rural Canadian boys, and two for the urban Canadian boys.

They share in common the self-expression and social relations factor only. The power and prestige, independence and material factors are specific to the rural Canadian boys only, while the general work value factor is specific to the urban boys only.

In addition, the variables making up the common factor are, to, a large extent, dissimilar. The self-expression and social relations factor for the urban boys have within this factor variables that normally make up the independence factor.

<u>Rural-urban Malaysian boys</u>. Three WVI factors emerged for the rural Malaysian boys--material, power and prestige, and social relations, and four factors for the urban Malaysian boys--material, 'self-expression and social relations, power and prestige and esthetic expression.

The material factor is almost identical in kind@and degree. The power and prestige factor is relatively similar. The rural group has within it variables that make up the esthetic expression factor.

The other factors are specific to each group: self-expression and social relations, and independence (rural), and social relations (urban). 109

WVI Factor Structure Across SES Levels for the Rural Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Reference is made to Table 16 and Appendix K, Tables 4 and 5 for the following discussion.

<u>Rural Canadian boys</u>. The WVI factor structure for SES level two was not compared with that for the SES level three rural Canadian boys due to the small sample size in the latter ($\underline{N} = 21$) which probably accounts for statistical instability.

Rural Malaysian boys. The SES level two rural and SES level, three rural Malaysian boys share three common factors: the material, power and prestige, and social relations factors.

The power and prestige factor is quite similar, except that the power and prestige factor for the SES level two rural Malaysian boys has within it the variables that make up the independence factor (management, prestige, independence, way of life).

One factor--creativity--is specific to the SES level two rurale Malaysian boys, while independence is specific to the SES level three rural Malaysian boys.

WVI Factor Structure Across SES Levels for Urban Canadian and Malaysfan Boys

In the discussion that follows, data in Table 16, and Appendix K, Tables 6 through 8 are used.

Urban Canadian boys. WVI factor for the SES level one and level two urban Canadian boys displays a wide discrepancy, both in kind and degree. The SES level one has five factors--self-expression and social relations, social materialistic, social relations, nonintellectual esthetic expression and power and prestige, while the SES level two has only three--material, power and prestige, and self-expression and social relations,

The similarity in the same-named factors--power and prestige, self-expression and social relations--is also minimal.

The SES level one has the social materialistic, social relations, and non-intellectual esthetic expression factors, while the SES level two has the material factor.

A greater amount of discrepancy in kind and degree is found across the three SES levels. The SES level three group has only two factors--general work value I and general work value II. These factors differ in their composition from those found in the SES level one or in the SES level two groups.

Urban Malaysian boys. The WVI factor structures across the SES levels for the urban Malaysian boys display similarity with respect to common factors--material, self-expression and social relations and power and prestige. The composition of these factors, too, display a close resemblance.

The only difference is that within the WVI factor structure for the SES level three esthetic expression factor is found. The esthetic expression among the SES level three is a manifestation of economic need. The level three boys make beautiful objects for sale to enhance their income.

Summary

The composition of the WVI factor structures across place of residence displays wide discrepancies for both the Canadian and Malaysian boys, suggesting that the place of residence may affect the development of work values.

Across the SES level for the rural Canadiane, the similarity in the WVI structure in kind and degree is minimal, while for the corresponding Malaysian group, a greater resemblance is observed in their WVI structures, implying that for the Canadian boys in rural areas, SES has a role to play. It is not obvious, however, among the rural Malaysian boys.

For the urban Canadian boys, the discrepancies are more conspicuous across the SES levels. Not so, however, for the Malaysian counterparts. Similar inference as for the rural boys may be drawn. Supplementary Analyses 112

Two supplementary analyses were carried out. One analysis calculated the distances between the adjacent pairs, alternate pairs, and opposite pairs of the VPI scales of the hexagon for the Canadian and Malaysian boys, using the rotated factor loadings as the values for the axes of the multidimensional common-factor space (Harman, 1967). The second analysis is a Pearson product-moment correlation between the 11 scales of the VPI and the 15 scales of the WVI for the Canadian and Malaysian boys.

In the first analysis, it was found that out of the 54 possible comparisons of the distance between the three types of distances. 36 of the comparisons were in the expected direction for the Canadian boys and 30 comparisons were in the expected direction for the Malaysian boys.

The data in Table 25 show the computed distances for both groups; data in Table 26 display these comparisons which were in the expected direction for both groups.

The Pearson product-moment correlations between the 11 scales of the VPI and the 15 scales of the WVI for the Canadian and Malaysian boys are shown in Table 27.

The correlations between the VPI and WVI scales for both groups were generally low, implying that the two tests are

Table 25	
----------	--

Computed Distances Between the Adjacent, Alternate, and Opposite

Pair	Scales	Canadian Boys	Malaysian Boys	
Adjacent Pairs	RI	.75	.33	
	IA	.22	.335	· · · ·
	AS	.14	.24	
	SE	.13	.07	
	EC	.06	.01	
	CR ,	.58	.11	
Alternate Pairs	RA	.68	.09	
a and a second se	AE 🦕	.24	.19	•
	ER	.54	.09	PHA -
	IS	.38	.31	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SC	.15	.06	
	CI	.46	.336	~
Opposite Pairs	CA	.23	.20	a
	RS	.71	.15	
	IE	.48	.334	

Pairs of the VPI Scales in the Canadian and Malaysian Boys' Hexagons

м = Realistic; I = Investigative; A = Artistic; S = Social; E = Enterprising; C = Conventional.

	•			Cana	dian				1	Mala	ysian		\ .
		RI	IA	AS	SE	EC	CR	RI	IA	AS	SE	EC	CR
RA		<u>0</u>	1	1	1	<u>_1</u>	1.	<u>0</u>	0	. 0	1	ຊີ່] .	0
AE	∙ s s s	<u>0</u>	² 1	1	1	1	0	<u>Ò</u>	0	0	· · · · ·	<u> </u>	1
ER	•	<u>0</u>	1	<u></u>	Ĺ	<u>]</u>	1	<u>0</u>	0	0	1	1	0
IS	1	<u>0</u>	1	Ŀ	<u>1</u> ``	1	0	<u>0</u>	. 0 .	1	1]	1
SC		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	1.	1	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	ہ م	0	0	- 1	0
CI		0	1	1	1	<u>.</u>	0	1	1	1	1	ī	1
				ė			N :1		·····				
		RA	AE	ER	IS	SC	CI	RA	AE	ER	IS	SC	CI
A	A	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	1	<u>0</u>	ارب	1	1	<u>0</u>	1	0
S		1	1	1	1	<u>1</u> .	1	1	0	-1	0	1	0
E :		Ò	1	0	1	1	• 1 .	1	1	1	1	- 1	0

Consistency of the Comparisons of the Adjacent, Alternate, and

Table 26

Note. R = Realistic; I = Investigative; A = Artistic; S = Social; E = Enterprising; C = Conventional.

1 indicates that the order of row and column distances is in the hypothesized direction

O indicates that distances are not in the hypothesized direction

_ = similarity in hexagonal pattern between the Canadian and Malaysian boys

		j	R	1	S	C	Ε	Α	SC	M	ST	IN	AC
	ÇR	. С			02	.04	.07	.05	09	.08	.08	15	
-		M	.03	.05	. 05	.01	00	.07	00	01	04	•	00
M	A	C -		05	.03	.09	.11	08	10	.11	03	04	.05
 		M	.07	.07	.04	.06	.03	.05	02	.02	.04	· .	
A		C	.12	.13	.08	.10	.14	.06	07	.07	.02	05	.12
		M -	.01	.01	.01	.02	04	02	.04	07	10	* 0.	02
้รบ	ŀ.	Č	.06	.02	.04	.10	.11	.02	08	. 09	01	.00	
	ا 	1	.06	05	.08	.10	.04	.02	01	02		• 07.	.04
SR		•	.07	.04	.08 .	.07	.11	.01	07	.14	02	04	.09
n	M 		03	03	. <u>14</u>	-10	.06	.06	02	1	•	. 02	.06
٩Ŀ	- C	<u>.</u>	07	.10	.03	.04	.06	.03	07	.09 -	.02	09	.07
	<u>M</u>	•	02	.03	.05	.04	.04	.03	.01 -	.07		- 03	.03
E	0	. لا م		.03	.07	.07	.11 -	.08 -	.08	.10 -	.04 -	.03	.06
	M		r	.03	.10	.09	.10	.08 -	.02	.02	.07	.01	.06
S		.0	-	1	· · · ·				.13	.07 -	.03 -	.06	.09
-					.06	07.	01	06	.01 -	.07	08		.01
• .	C 4	•0		2		02	04 🔒	21	09	13	01	08	.03
ا 	M	.0() = <u>(</u>	<u>0</u> 1.	04	05	00	05 .	02	02	03		04

VPI and WVI Scales Pearson Product-Moment Correlations

Table 27

115

			.			•						
P	۲. ۲	.10	01	04	.06	.07	05	11	.14	08	01	.03
2	M	.05	07	.06	.06	.05	° .07	.01	00	.10 \	03	.05
IN	C	.02	.05	05	.04	.10	.04	05	.09	.05	06	
	• M	.01	.11	.05	•04	.05	.04	02	03	.06	06	.06
VA	C	.10	.09	107	.10	.07	.01	13	.07	11	•.05	13
.	M	.03	03	02	.01	01	.02	05	12	08 -	×.05	05
ER	C .	.01	05	05	.08	.10	04	07	.16	.00 -	.00	01
-	M	.05	.01	.10	.11	,07	.06	.00	02	.07	.02	.06
L				.22						.08 -		
	M	.06	.09	.11	.08	.05	.09	.04	02	.03	.00	.08
<u> </u>		1	. <u>34</u>	.03	.14	.06	.04	06	.07	.12 -	14	16
, · .	M	.04	01	.07	.08	.02	04	01	07	03 .	02	.04

Table 27 (Continued)

116

<u>Note</u>. R = Realistic; I = Investigative; S = Social; C = Conventional; E = Enterprising; A = Artistic; SC = Self-Control; M = Masculinity; ST = Status; IN = Infrequency; AC = Acquiescence; CR = Creativity; MA = Management; AC = Achievement; SU = Surrounding; SR = Supervisory Relations; WL = Way of Life; SE = Security; AS = Associates; ES = Esthetic; PR = Prestige; IN = Independence; VA = Variety; ER = Economic Return; AL = Altruism; TS = Intellectual Stimulation.

117 r independent of each other. They may also suggest that the hypothesis by Ginzberg et al (1951) that interests develop earlier than values may be true in this case. •

. . . .

CHAPTER IV

Discussion

VPI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys

One of the major objectives of this study was to obtain answers to three major questions that were asked with regard to the VPI, namely, will the VPI factor structure for the Malaysian boys correspond to that of the Canadian boys? Will the factor structure of both the Canadian and Malaysian boys in the rural area display a close resemblance to that of the corresponding group in the urban area? Thirdly, it was asked if the VPI factor structure for one SES level would be similar or different from that of another SES level for each group--Canadian and Malaysian boys.

The review of the literature has shown the viability of the VPI as an interest-cum-personality inventory. The VPI does possess some of the attributes which would render itself viable across cultural boundaries (Yom et al., 1975). Yet, very minimal attempts to examine the viability of the VPI across cultures have been done in the past.

The VPI had been chosen very carefully from among the other interest tests to reduce the possibility of contamination by cultural differences. It was expected that this study would produce results that would show that the VPI factor structure for both the Canadian and Malaysian groups would be closely similar.

The results of the analyses have shown that generally the VPI factor structure for both groups is very similar in kind and degree. One may infer that the VPI assesses the same underlying variables in both groups. In other words, this study does provide tentative evidence that the VPI could be used to assess the vocational interests of personality type of the Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia. While overall similarity in the VPI structure for both groups was observed in the comparison in the previous chapter, some discrepancies were also noted. The Canadian boys had the masculinity factor throughout the analyses, while the SES level three Malaysian boys had the artistic factor. 119

The additional factor for the Canadian group--the masculinity factor--may be explained in terms of the advancement in industrial technology in Canada. It does seem reasonable and realistic for this factor to emerge consistently within all the Canadian boys' VPI structure. The factor is highly loaded on the masculinity and realistic scales. The masculinity scale is associated with a preference for masculine kinds of jobs, while the realistic scale refers to interests for working with machines and tools. On the contrary, if the same factor were to emerge within the Malaysian boys' VPI factor structure, it would appear unrealistic, and perhaps

illogical. Malaysia is primarily an agricultural country. The state of industrial-technological development may be considered as being at slightly above the infancy stage:

Moreover, one would expect very low interest to be shown in the area of the masculinity among the Malaysian boys, as in Malaysia the masculinity factor has not received an economic value as it has in Canada. That is to say, in Malaysia people involved in the technological and mechanical jobs tend to be the lowly paid group of people; hence, reducing a desire to go into such occupations. 120

The results of the analyses also indicated that among the SES level three Malaysian boys in the rural area, the artistic factor was important. The emergence of the artistic factor within the SES level three rural Malaysian boys has been shown to be associated with the sentimental and artistic meture of the Malays who constitute this group of people (Pederson, 1969). Primarily, this group of people are rice farmers who farm their small patches of land in the traditional method, using water-buffalo. No machinery is used.

The artistic scale is associated with a preference for jobs or activities involving dramatic, literary, musical, and handicraft art. The Malays in the rural area have been known for their interests and competencies in dramatic, literary, and handicraft art (Pederson, 1969), and are generally occupied with these activities in their leisure times primarily in the handicraft art. In the urban areas, the Malays are more occupied with other, more urgent daily routines, and literary and dramatic activities become secondary in importance to these people.

In Canada, technology is so advanced that people tend to depend very much on machines. Working with machines may minimize one's involvement in the artistic activity. Working without machines, on the other hand, allows one to be more flexible and creative in their daily routine. A situation like this may explain why the artistic factor does not emerge within any of the Canadian boys VPI structure.

VPI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Ganadian and Malaysian Boys

्र तः

> The VPI factor structure for the rural Canadian boys corresponds very closely to that of the urban group. One may infer from this similarity, both in kind and degree, that the place of residence--rural or urban--is not an obstacle in the expression of one's vocational interests. This inference does seem to be consonant with the recent sociological findings (Baldock, 1971; Schwarzeller, 1968, 1973). These sociological studies have shown that the place of residence does not handicap the rural people in their educationalvocational pursuits. In other words, rurality is not positively related to limited scope of occupational choice.

The VPI factor structure for the rural Malaysian boys also closely resembles both in kind and degree that of the urban Malaysian boys. A similar inference as for the rural-urban Canadian boys may be drawn.

The development in mass media, communication, education, and the high mobility of the rural Canadian and Malaysian boys have made rural residence no longer a handicap to them in relation to educational-vocational pursuits. The availability of television and transistor radios in the rural areas has expanded the occupational knowledge of the rural people.

The concern for equal opportunity for education and equivalent quality of education for the urban and rural students has made rural residence no longer a drawback for the rural boys.

The rural development in Malaysia has provided ample opportunity and easy access to urban cities. Rural-urban communication system has improved the mobility of the rural people. Indirectly, it has widened the scope of their occupational and educational knowledge.

In addition, the school counselors in Canada may have played a significant role to reduce discrepancy in occupational information between the rural and urban Canadian boys.

In summary, it may be said that, due to the above mentioned phenomena, the place of residence may no longer be positively related to one's occupational preference, interest, or choice.

VPI Factor Structure and SES Levels for Canadian and Malaysian Boys

Rural Canadian. The VPI factor structure for the SES level

two and that of the SES level three rural Canadian boys is very similar in kind and degree. One may infer that the VPI is assessing the same underlying variables for both groups.

A difference in their SES level does not seem to be reflected in the expression of their vocational interests. One possible reason why a difference in their VPI structure does not exist is that both these groups attended similar educational institutions with equal opportunity and quality of education. The social and physical environment may not differ very much for these groups.

<u>Rural Malaysian</u>. The VPI factor structure for the SES level two and the SES level three Malaysian boys shows some similarity and differences. The vocational interest and the status factors are almost identical. However, the scales making up the self confidence factor for both groups differ slightly in degree rather than kind.

The major difference in the VPI structure lies in the presence of the artistic factor among the SES level three Malaysia. As explained in an earlier section, the Malays particularly are noted for their abilities in handicraft, like basketry, batik printing, and silverwares. The SES level three would get involved in this kind of activity in order to increase their income.

Urban Canadian. The VPI factor structures for the SES level one, level two, and level three exhibited a greater discrepancy. They share only two common factors in kind and degree-the vocational interest factor and the masculinity factor.

124

Between the SES level one and the SES level three, they share one common factor--self-expression and social relations. The SES level two has the status + self-expression and social relations factors.

To explain why these differences exist.presents a difficult problem. The absence of the status factor among the SES level three boys may be explained in terms of their high positive loading on the masculinity factor. The parents of the SES level three students are generally employed in low level occupations. The review of the literature has shown how parental occupation affects their sons' vocational choices. Their low education prevents them from upward occupational aspiration or mobility. Status is associated with high prestige ranking occupations.

<u>Urban Malaysian</u>. There is more similarity than difference between the VPI factor structure for the SES level one, level two, and level three urban Malaysian boys. They shared in kind three factors -- the vocational interest, status, and self-confidence factors. There is a slight difference in the size of the factor loadings on the scales making up these factors.

The major difference lies in the presence of the masculinity factor among the SES level one group. This group is generally accepted as the well-to-do people. Their outlook, attitudes, and values tend to be inclined toward the technological and profes-

sional occupations. They may be concerned, as indicated for the Canadian SES level one, with technological competence.

In summary, it may be said that overall the VPI factor structure for the Malaysian boys corresponds very closely to that of the Canadian VPI factor structure, implying by this close congruence that the VPI may be used to assess the vocational interest and personality type of the Malaysians.

Secondly, it was found that the place of residence--rural or urban--is not affecting the vocational interests for both groups.

Thirdly, the difference in the VPI structure among the three SES levels for the Canadian group suggests that the SES level is still influencing one **Execupational interest**. A much less clear influence of the SES level on the occupational interests among the Malaysian boys suggests that in Malaysia one's SES level is not affecting one's vocational interest as much as it does in Canada. Further Research

While this study answers some of the major questions raised, it also suggests new researches worthy of investigation.

Now that the VPI does appear to have evidence that it could be used with the Malaysian students, one could begin to explore the vocational patterns of the three major ethnic groups of West Malaysia--the Malays, the Chinese, and the Indians. The findings
of studies of this kind could assist the careers counselor in school to provide more efficient counseling to the high school students. Secondly, a rational-empirical study may also be carried out to test the type-environment congruence of Holland's theory in the Malaysian context. In essence, this study will hypothesize that people in a particular environment would exhibit interest or personality traits which are compatible with that particular environment. That is to say, people in the teaching profession are categorized as social type. It would be expected that this group of people would have a high mean score on the social scale, and people in business would be expected to have a high mean on the enterprising scale, and so on.

A third study, using the present sample, may be pursued longitudinally to examine the relationship between inventoried interest and occupational choice.

A further study may examine the pattern of vocational interests of the urban versus rural group. Each ethnic group could be examined separately.

WVI Factor Structure for the Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The second major objective of this study was to examine the congruence of: (a) the WVI factor structure of the Canadian boys in kind and degree to that of the Malaysian Boys; (b) the WVI factor structure of the urban Canadian and Malaysian boys to that of their respective counterparts in the rural area; and (c) the WVI factor structure for each of the SES level Canadian and Malaysian group, within urban and rural settings, to that of their respective counterparts. 127

It was anticipated that the answers to the above questions would be in the affirmative.

The scores on the 15 WVI scales were analyzed by the principal components analysis (PCA) for every different group. The data from the SES level one rural Canadian boys and the SES level three rural Canadian boys were not used due to small sample size ($\underline{N} = 10$, $\underline{N} = 21$ respectively). Similarly, the data from the SES level one rural Malaysian boys could not be used ($\underline{N} = 15$).

The results of the PCA of the WVI for each group--Canadian and Malaysian--showed that only <u>two</u> pairs of the WVI factor structures closely resemble each other. One is between the Canadian and Malaysian boys as a total group, and the other between the SES level two urban Canadian and Malaysian boys. The two pairs showed a close similarity in kind and degree (Appendix K, Tables K1 and K7).

Between the Canadian and the Malaysian boys, the agreement in the WVI factor structure of the first three factors is high, implying that the variables for each of these factors--material, selfexpression and social relations, and power and prestige--relate to each other in a similar manner within the nomological network. Cronbach and Mach1 (1955) suggest that a similarity of this kind may reflect that the test is valid for the Malaysian boys. The degree of the validity is reduced somewhat by the presence of the esthetic expression factor occurring within the Malaysian WVI factor structure. This factor, while not constituting a major factor, suggests the presence of a set of relationships within the network of the Malaysian group not found in the Canadian WVI structure. 128

The presence of the esthetic expression factor may be related to the earlier discussion on the VPI structure, in which it was mentioned that a subgroup within the Malaysian sample shows a high interest in artistic work, such as handicraft, dramatic, and literary art. In this mespect, the presence of this factor within the Malaysian WVI structure confirms the earlier findings.

The similarity in the WVI factor structure between the SES level two urban Canadian boys and the corresponding Malaysian group is even greater than the above (Appendix K, Table K7). The WVI structure for both groups is close to being identical. This study assumes that, if a similarity in the WVI factor structure in kind and degree exists in both groups, then that is considered as evidence to suggest that the WVI could be used to assess the work values of this particular group of Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia.

While the above results are suggestive of the validity of the

WVI for use with the Malaysian boys, the amount of discrepancy in the WVI structure for the other five comparisons raises some questions, such as: Why is dissimilarity among the other five comparisons so great? Why is the WVI factor structure for the SES level two and three rural Canadian boys so dissimilar, while the WVI factor structure for the SES level two and three rural Malaysian boys shows some consistency? Does sample size in each group affect the structure of the relationships?

Aleamoni (1973) has shown how sample size could affect the factor structure of any analysis. The WVI structure between the rural Canadian boys ($\underline{N} = 158$) and the SES level two rural Canadian-boys ($\underline{N} = 127$) is quite similar, because the size of the two samples is about the same.

For the Malaysian boys, a similar observation is true. The SES level two rural group ($\underline{N} = 96$) compares very well with the rural group ($\underline{N} = 172$) in terms of kind and degree of similarity in factor structure.

The equivalent sample size may partly explain why the WVI structure for the SES level two urban Canadian boys and that of the Malaysian counterpart compares very well (Canadian, $\underline{N} = 182$; and Malaysian, $\underline{N} = 235$). Their similarity might have affected the WVI structure for the total group comparison.

One other plausible explanation as to why the WVI factor

structure for the SES level two urban groups is similar is that the Malaysian group constitutes the middle class population. In Malaysia, this group has assimilated through education and mass media much of the western "values" and life style.

1.Fr

With the available evidence, it may be concluded that it does appear that the WVI may be used to assess the work values of the SES level two urban Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia.

WVI Factor Structure for Rural and Urban Canadian and Malaysian Boys

The discussion that follows will focus on similarities and differences in the WVI structure for the five comparisons.

Between the rural Canadian and rural Malaysian boys, only two factor looks alike in kind and degree--material and power and prestige factors. The former is more similar than the latter. The Canadian boys have two additional factors--self-expression and social relations and independence--which the Malaysians do not have. The Malaysian boys have the social relations factor instead.

The independence factor among the Canadian group implies valuing self-sufficiency. This factor seems important for the rural Canadians. They live far apart from one another. They need to value self-sufficiency. On the other hand, the rural Malaysians live in close-knit villages with extended family system. To them, social relations is very important.

On the basis of the above evidence, it may be tentatively

concluded that the WVI does not assess the same underlying variables for the two groups. Hence, the validity of the WVI for use with the rural Malaysian boys depends on more evidence, as elaborated under Further Research at the end of this section.

Between the SES level two rural Canadian boys and the corresponding Malaysian group, the WVI factors that emerged are the same except for the independence factor (Canadian) and the social relations and the creativity factors (Malaysian).

The presence of the independence and social relations factors may be explained in the same way as in the preceding discussion.

On the basis of the minimum similarity in their WVI structures, it cannot be concluded that the WVI is exhibiting a similar relationship pattern of the underlying variables for both groups, and, hence, the WVI is not assessing the same constructs for both groups.

Comparing the WVI structure for the urban Canadian boys with that of the urban Malaysian boys, one sees obvious gross dissimilarity. The WVI structure for the urban Canadian boys has two factors, namely, the general work value factor and the self-expression and social relations factor, while that for the urban Malaysian boys, four, which are the material, self-expression and social relations, power and prestige and esthetic expression factors.

The presence of the power and prestige factor among the urban

Malaysian boys suggests the valuing of high administrative positions. It is reasonable to expect this factor to emerge within the Malaysian WVI structure. Malaysia was once a British colony and all the major administrative positions were held by the British. Since Malaysia achieved its independence in 1957, local people are gradually taking over the administrative role. This role is highly esteemed by the general population.

The WVI factor structure for the SES level one urban Canadian boys and that of the Malaysian counterpart exhibits wide differences that it may be concluded that the WVI was not able to assess the work values of the SES level one urban Malaysian boys.

A similar observation and conclusion applies to the SES level three urban Canadian-Malaysian comparison.

In-light of the contradictory results, it may be concluded that:

 There is enough evidence to suggest that the WVI can be used to assess the work values of the SES level two urban Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia.

2. There is need for more research before any conclusion may be drawn with regard to the other group comparisons.

The comparison between the WVI factor structure of the rural Canadian boys and that of the urban Canadian boys displays gross dissimilarity. The rural Canadian boys have four factors--material, self-expression and social relations, power and prestige, and independence, while the urban Canadian boys have only two--the general work value factor and the self-expression and social relations factor.

The difference in the WVI structure for these groups suggests that place of residence partly accounts for the difference in the work value systems of these two groups of Canadian boys.

The urban Canadian boys have a less differentiated value system. Perhaps as indicated in the earlier chapter, the urban boys are more concerned with the immediate physiological needs (Mislow, 1954).

Between the MVI factor structure of the rural Maleysian boys and that of their urban counterpart, differences were also observed. The rural group has three factors within their WVI structure, and the urban group has four. They share in common the material and power and prestige factors.

The rural group is concerned with social relations while the urban group is more engrossed in self-expression and social relation and esthetic expression. As indicated earlier, the rural Malaysian people live ¶n closely-knit villages with the extended family system. To them, social relations is very valuable. For, the urban Malaysian boys, the presence of the esthetic expression and self-expression and social relations factors may reflect an expression of a psychological need. Their basic physiological need is generally easily fulfilled.

It may be concluded that with the available evidence, place of residence for the Malaysian boys does play an important role in the development of the work value system of the rural and urban boys. <u>MVI Factor Structure and SES Levels for Canadian and Malaysian Boys Rural tenadian</u>. Comparison between the SES level two rural Canadian boys and the SES level three rural Canadian boys cannot be made due to small sample size of the SES level three group ($\underline{N} = 21$). <u>Rural Malaysian</u>. For the Malaysian boys, however, the WVI structure across the SES level two and three for the rural Malaysian boys, with the exception of the social relations factor, reveals gross dissimilarity. It does seem reasonable to assume that within the rural setting, the SES level of the Individual does have an influence on the work value system of the Malaysian boys. 134

<u>Urban Canadian</u>. Between the SES level one, two, and three urban Canadian boys, the WVI structure is different, both in terms of number of factors and the composition of the common factors.

This difference alone would be sufficient to conclude that the SES level affects the urban boys' work value system.

As can be seen (Appendix K, Tables K6, K7, and K8), the SES level one urban Canadiant boys have five factors; level two, three factors, and level three, two factors.

It is remanable for the SES level one urban Canadian boys to

have a more differentiated factor structure, as their value system may be more divergent. On the other hand, people in the low SES group might be more concerned about the more basic needs of life, such as physiological needs (Maslow, 1954). 135

Urban Malaysian. For the urban Malaysian boys, however, the WVI structure across the SES levels looks very much alike. The similarity suggests that the difference between one SES level and the other levels may not be very great. The three groups are more homogenous with regards to work value systems.

The presence of the esthetic expression factor among the level three group indicates a means to an end. That is to say, the esthetic expression factors has an extrinsic value to these people. This value permits them to add beauty to the world and at the same time act as economic rewards.

It may be concluded that with the available data, there is an indication that the SES level is associated with the expression of work values for both the urban Canadian and Malaysian boys. For the urban Canadian boys, the relationships appear more clearly than they are for the urban Malaysian boys.

Further Research

This study has provided partial answers to the questions of the validity of the WVI for use with the Malaysians. Sufficient

evidence is available to show that the WVI could be used to assess the work values of the SES level two urban Malaysian boys in Penang.

The potential for its adoption and use in Malaysia may depend upon further research findings in this area. Studies may be conducted to examine: (a) whether or not an increase in the sample size for each of the groups, where the results were not discriminating enough, would allow clear-cut conclusions to be drawn; (b) the way each statement in the WVI is interpreted, so that one may determine the comparability of the stimuli; and (c) the way the 15 values are ranked by each group to throw some light as to how the work value systems look like in both cultures.

Supplementary Findings

<u>Hexagonal model</u>. The hexagonal model (Holland et al., 1969) proposes that among the six personality types--realistic, investigative, social, conventional, enterprising, and artistic--there are consistent **me**chological relationships.

The adjacent pairs (RI, IA, AS, SE, EC, CR) are more closely related to one another than the alternate pairs (RA, AE, ER, IS, SC, CI), and the alternate pairs are more closely related than the opposite pairs (CA, RS, IE). Fifty-four possible comparisons could be made among these pairs.

The results of the analyses show that for the Canadian boys, 36 comparisons were consistent with the proposed model, and for the Malaysians, 30 comparisons were consistent with the proposed model. It may be concluded on the basis of the probability of .5 that these results support the viability of Holland et al.'s hexagonal model. 137

The comparisons also show that between the Canadian and Malaysian boys, 30 comparisons were similar (Table 26). This constitutes about a 56% similarity in the pattern of consistency. It may be inferred that there is evidence that, for bother oups, the psychological relationships among the six personality types are quite similar. That is to say, the way each of the personality types relates to one another in Canada is closely similar to the way the six personality types in Malaysia relate to one another. This similarity may suggest that each of the six personality types in both cultures behave in similar ways with regard to the vocational preferences. More evidence is required, however, to draw a stronger conclusion regarding the similarity in the psychological relationships among the six types for both groups.

<u>VPI and WVI scales correlations</u>. The correlations between the VPI and WVI scales for both groups are generally low. The range for the Canadian boys is from .00 to .34, and for the Malaysian boys, from .00 to .14.

The low correlations between the two tests suggest that the two tests are not measuring the same variable. The VPI is a test which measures vocational interests, while the WVI assesses the work values. An interest is generally defined as a preference for a particular activity, while a value has been defined as "an enduring belief that a specific mode of conduct or end-state of existence is personally or socially preferable to an opposite or converse mode of conduct or end-state of existence" (Rokeach, 1973, p. 5).

The overall comparisons of the coefficients between the Ganadian boys and the Malaysian boys show that the differences between the two groups are too small to be considered important. Except for the altruism and investigative scales (.34 against -.0), no difference may be considered large enough to make the matrices for both groups different.

The overall similarity in the pattern of the correlations for the two groups suggests that the two tests relate in the same manner for the Malaysian boys as they do for the Canadian boys. The similarity in the pattern of the relationships of the two tests suggests that the two tests are valid for use with the Malaysian boys. The low correlations may also suggest that the work value system of the subjects in this study has not fully developed. Further Research

The low VPI-WVI scale correlations suggest that further investigations need to be done. Among the studies that could be carried out would be: (a) to establish the work values of each of the six personality types. Using the norm, one could examine the work values of each of the six personality types in his own study.

(b) to carry out another VPI-WVI scale correlations with the same subjects at a later stage of their development to examine if indeed their work values have not crystallized at this point in time. If higher correlations were found at a later date, one could set out to make prediction about the relationships between the vocational interests and work values. The outcome of such a study would provide some data on the predictive validity of the two tests.

Summary and Conclusion

The questions asked in this study were partially answered. More studies are needed before any clear-cut conclusions may be drawn.

Tentatively, this study has indicated that the VPI factor structure between the Canadian and Malaysian boys shows a close similarity. A close similarity may imply a similar pattern of relationships of the constructs within the nomological network, which suggests a certain degree of the validity of the VPI for use with the Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia.

As for the WVI, however, discrepancy overrides similarity. Of

the seven comparisons made, only two show a high degree of factor congruence. The evidence is not sufficient to draw any strong conclusion, except that with the SES level two urban Malaysian boys, the WVI does show strong evidence that the relationship among the underlying variables for the Malaysian group is quite similar to that of the SES level two urban Canadian boys. It may be inferred that the WVI may be used to assess the work values of this particular group in Penang, Malaysia. 140

The relationship between place of residence and one's vocational interests shows that place of residence for both groups is not playing a vital role in one's vocational preferences. The rural and urban boys in both groups display similar VPI factor structure. On the contrary, the difference in the WVI factor structure between rural Canadian and Malaysian boys, and that of the urban counterparts, suggest that, as far as work values are concerned, place of residence does have some impact on the work value system of both groups.

Across the SES levels, it was shown that, for the Canadian boys, the SES levels are related to one's vocational preference. But for the Malaysian boys, the SES levels do not seem to show similar relationships.

With regard to the work values, it may be inferred that there is a positive relationship between the work values and one's SES levels for both the Canadian and Malaysian boys.

The comparisons of the hexagonal model for the Canadian and Malaysian boys show that Holland's hexagonal model is a viable model to demonstrate the psychological relationships among the six personality types. These comparisons also show that the psychological relationships among the six personality types in the Malaysian sample correspond closely to those of the Canadian sample.

The correlations between the VPI and the WVI for both groups are generally low, which indicates that the two tests are measuring different variables. They also show that there is a very close similarity in the correlation coefficients of the Malaysian boys to those of the Canadian boys. This similarity in the pattern suggests that the VPI and the WVI relate in the same manner for both groups, which suggests that the VPI and the WVI are valid for use with the Form IV Malaysian boys in Penang, Malaysia.

Bibliography,

- Abe, C., & Holland, J. L. <u>A description of college freshmen:</u> I: <u>Students with different vocational choices</u> (ACT Research Report No. 3). Iowa City: The American College Testing Program, 1965. (a)
- Abe, C., & Holland, J. L. <u>A description of college freshmen: II.</u> <u>Students with different vocational choices</u> (ACT Research Report No. 4). Iowa City: The American College Testing Program, 1965. (b)
- Aleamoni, L. M. Effects of size of sample on eigenvalues, observed communalities, and factor loadings. <u>Journal of Applied</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 1973, <u>58</u>, 266-269.
- Apostal, R. A., & Harper, P. Basic interests in personality. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1972, 19, 167-168.
- Asbury, F. A. Vocational development of rural disadvantaged eightgrade boys. <u>Vocational Guidance Quarterly</u>, 1968, <u>17</u>, 109-113.
- Astin, A. W. Dimensions of work satisfaction in the occupational choices of college freshmen. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 1958, <u>42</u>, 187-190.
- Bachrach, A. J. <u>Psychological research: An introduction</u> (3rd ed.). New York: Random House, 1972.
- Bailey, L. J., & Stadt, R. <u>Career education: New approaches to</u> <u>human development</u>. Bloomington, 111.: McKnight, 1973.
- Bain, R. <u>Influence of sociological factors upon vocational develop-</u> <u>ment</u>. New York: Houghton Mifflin, 1970.
- Baldock, C. V. Vocational aspiration of farm boys and non-farm boys in New Zealand. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1971, <u>36</u>, 157-172.
- Banducci, R. <u>Accuracy of stereotypic perceptions of types and level</u> of occupations in relation to background and personal characteristics of high school senior boys. Unpublished doctoral disservent tation, University of Iowa, 1968.
- Berdie, R. F. Work Values Inventory. In O. K. Buros (Ed.), The seventh mental measurement yearbook. New York: Gryphon Press, 1972.

Bernstein, B. H. A study of the work values of a group of disad-

vantaged high school boys in a cooperative educational program. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, New York University, 1968.

Blishen, B. R. A socio-economic index for occupations in Canada. In B. R. Blishen, F. E. Jones, K. D. Naegele, & J. Porter (Eds.), <u>Canadian society: Sociological perspective</u> (3rd ed.). Toronto: <u>Macmillan, 1968.</u>

Borude, R. R., Rajkarne, C. G., & de Souza, T. A. Rural-urban and sex difference in the valuation of fifty activities: A crosscultural study. <u>International Journal of Psychology</u>, 1972, <u>7</u>(3), 191-195.

- Breton, R. <u>Social and academic factors in career decision of</u> <u>Canadian youth</u>. Ottawa: Manpower & Immigration, Information Canada, 1972.
- Brislin, R. W., & Barumgardner, S. R. Non-random sampling of individuals in cross cultural research. <u>Journal-of-Cross Cultural</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 1971, 2(4), 397-400.
- Brislin, R. W., Lonner, W. J., & Thorndike, R. M. <u>Cross-cultural</u> research method. New York: Wiley, 1973.
- Brown, H. C. <u>A study of the occupational aspirations of juvenile</u> <u>delinquents</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Claremont Graduate School, 1973.
- Burchinal, L. G. Differences in educational and occupational aspirations of farm, small town and city boys. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1961, <u>26</u>, 107-121:
- Burchinal, L. G. <u>Career choices of runal youth in a changing so-</u> <u>ciety</u>. University of Manitoba, Agriculture Experimental Station Bulletin 458, 1962.

The Canadian classification and dictionary of occupations (Vol. 1 & II). Ottawa: Department of Manpower & Immigration, 1971-1973.

Carruthers, T. E. Work values and chosen careers. Note on a trial of an American Work Values Inventory with British subjects. <u>Occupational Psychology</u>, 1968, <u>42</u>(2-3), 111-117.

Cockriel, I. W. Some data concerning the Vocational Preference Inventory and the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1972, 2(3), 251-254. Cole, N. S., & Hanson, G. <u>An analysis of the structure of vocation-</u> <u>al interests</u> (ACT Research Report No. 40). Iowa City: The <u>American College Testing Program, 1971.</u>

Cole, N. S., Whitney, D. R., & Holland, J. L. A spatial configuration of occupations. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1971, 1, 1-9.

Cosby, A. Black-white difference in occupational aspiration among deep south high school students. <u>Journal of Negro Education</u>, 1971, 40, 17-22.

Crabtree, P. D., & Hales, L. W. Holland's hexagonal model applied to rural youth. <u>Vocational Guidance Quarterly</u>, 1974, 22, 218-223.

Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. Construct validity in psychological testing. In D. N. Jackson & S. Messick (Eds.), <u>Problems in human</u> assessment. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.

Dale, R. R., & Miller, P. M. The urban or rural background of first year university students in relation to the academic performance. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 1972, 42, 152-158.

Delaney, J. O., & Maguire, T. O. <u>A comparison between the struc-</u> <u>tures of divergent production abilities of children at two levels</u> <u>of intellectual functioning</u> (Research and Information Report-72-5). Edmonton: Division of Educational Research, University of Alberta, June 1972.

Dictionary of occupational classification. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Dewan Bahasa Dan Pustaka, 1969.

Di Scipio, W. J. A factor analytic validation of Holland's VPI. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1971, 4, 389-402.

The drop-out study. Malaysia: Ministry of Education, 1971.

- Duijker, H. C. J., & Rokkan, S. Organizational aspects of crossnational social research. <u>Journal of Social Issues</u>, 1954, 10(4), 8-24.
- Edward, K. J., & Whitney, D. R. Structural analysis of Holland's personality type using factor and configural analysis. <u>Journal</u> of Counseling Psychology, 1972, 19, 136-145.

Eggenberger, J., & Herman, A. The Strong Inventory and Holland's theory. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1972, 2, 447-456.

Ellis, R. A., & Lane, W. C. Social mobility and career orientation. Sociology and Social Research, 1966, 50, 280-296.

145

Ferguson, G. A. Statistical analysis in psychology and education (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill, 1971.

Folsom, C. H., Jr. An investigation of Holland's theory of vocational choice. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1969, 16, 260-266.

Folsom, C. H., Jr. The validity of Homed's theory of vocational choice. Unpublished doctoral disservion, University of Maine,

Gable, R. K. Test review: D. E. Super's WVI. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1972, 19, 565-568.

Gable, R. K. The effects of scale modifications on the factorial dimensions and reliability of Super's WVI. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1973, 3, 303-322.

Gable, R. K., & Pruzek, R. M. Super's WVI: Two multivariate studies of inter-item relations. Journal of Experimental Education,

Ginzberg, E., Ginsburg, S. W., Axelrad, S., & Herma, J. L. Occupational choice: An approach to a general theory. New York: Columbia University Press, 1951.

Grigg, C. M., & Middleton, R. Community of orientation and occupational aspiration of ninth grade students. Social Forces, 1960, 38, 303-308.

Guidance in schools. Malaysia: Ministry of Education, 1971.

Haase, R. F. A canonical analysis of the Vocational Preference Inventory and the Strong Vocational Interest Blank. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1971, 18, 182-183.

Haller, A. O., & Sewell, W. H. Farm residence and occupational aspiration. The American Journal of Sociology, 1957, 62, 407-411. Haller, A. O., & Sewell, W. H. Occupational choices of Wisconsin

farm boys. Rural Sociology, 1967, 32, 37-56.

Hanson, G. R., Lamb, R. R., & English, E. An analysis of Holland's interest types for women: A comparison of the Strong-Holland and the ACT Vocational Interest Profile scales for women. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1974, 4, 259-269. 146

- Harman, H. H. <u>Modern factor analysis</u> (2nd ed., Rev.). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Harvey, D. W. The validity of Holland's Vocational Preference Inventory for adult women. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut, 1971.
- Hendrix, V., & Super, D. E. Factor dimensions and reliability of the Work Values Inventory. <u>Vocational Guidance Quarterly</u>, 1968, <u>16</u>, 269-274. (as cited in Super, D. E., The WVI Manual)
- Holland, J. L. A theory of vocational choice. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1959, 6, 35-45.
- Holland, J. L. Some explorations of a theory of vocational choice: I. One- and two-year longitudinal studies. <u>Psychological</u> <u>Monographs</u>, 1962, <u>76</u>(26, Whole No. 545).
- Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice and achievement: II. A four-year prediction study. <u>Psychological</u> <u>Reports</u>, 1963, <u>12</u>, 547-594.
- Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice: SPV. Vocational daydreams. <u>Vocational Guidance Quarterly</u>, 1963-1964, <u>12</u>(2), 93-97.
- Holland, J. L. <u>Exploration of a theory of vocational choice: A</u> <u>one-year prediction study</u>. Moravia, N.Y.: Chronical Guidance Professional Service, 1964.
- Holland, J. L. <u>Manual for the Vocational Preference Inventory</u>. Palo Alto, Calif.: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1965.
- Holland, J. L. The psychology of vocational choice. Waltham, Mass.: Blaisdell, 1966.

Holland, J. L. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice: VI. A longitudinal study using a sample of typical college students. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1968, 52, 1-37. Holland, J. L. <u>Making vocational choices: A theory of careers</u>. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1973. 14.

 Holland, J. L., & Nichols, R. C. Explorations of a theory of vocational choice: III. A longitudinal study of change in major fields of study. <u>Personnel and Guidance Journal</u>, 1964, <u>43</u>, 235-242.

- Holland, J. L., Whitney, D. R., Cole, N. S., & Richards, J. M., Jr. An empirical occupational classification derived from a theory of personality and intended for practice and research (ACT Research Report No. 29). Iowa tity: The American College Testing Program, 1969.
- Hughes, H. M., Jr. <u>Vocational choice, level, and consistency: A</u> <u>test of Holland's théory on an employed sample</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at Albany, 1971.
- Humbert, J. T. The work values of male and female urban and rural high school and technical institute welfare students in New Mexico. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of New Mexico, 1966.
- Ivey, A. E. Interest and work values. <u>Vocational Guidance Quar-</u> terly, 1963, <u>j1</u>, 121-124.

.

- Jackson, D. N., & Messick, S. <u>Problems in human assessment</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.
- Jenson, P. G., & Kirchner, W. K. A national answer to the question, "Do sons follow their fathens' occupations?" <u>Journal of Applied</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 1955, 39, 419-421.
- Kaiser, H. F. The varimax criterion for analytic rotation in factor analysis. <u>Psychometrika</u>, 1958, <u>23</u>, 187-200.

1

- Kerlinger, F. N. Foundations of behavioral research. New York-Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1965.
- Kinnane, J. F., & Gaubinger, J. Life-values and work values. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1963; 10, 362-366

15

Kinnane, J. F., & Pable, M. W. Family background and work value orientation. <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, 1962, 9, 320-325. Kinnane, J. F., & Suziedelis, A. Work values orientation and inventoried interest. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1962, <u>9</u>, 144-148.

Lacey, D. W. Holland's vocational models: A study of work groups and need satisfaction. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1971, <u>1</u>, 105-122.

2

B.,

•

Lee, D. L. <u>Selected interest factors related to academic achieve-</u> <u>ment at the University of North Dakota</u>. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, <u>University</u> of North Dakota, 1970.

Lee, D. L., & Hedahl, B. Holland's personality types applied to the SVIB basic interest scales. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1973, <u>3</u>, 61-68.

Lipset, S. M. Social mobility and urbanization. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1955, <u>20</u>, 220-228.

Loevinger, J. Objective tests as instruments of psychological theory. In D. N. Jackson & S. Messick (Eds.), <u>Problems in human</u> assessment. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.

Lyman, E. L. Occupational differences in the value attached to work. American Journal of Sociology, 1955, 61, 138-144.

Maslow, A. H. <u>Motivation and personality</u>. New York: Harper & Row, 1954)

Middleton, R., & Grigg, C. M. Rural-urban differences in aspiration. Rural Sociology, 1959, 24, 347-354

Miller, C. H. Age differences in occupational values of sollege men. Journal of Counseling Psychology, /1954; 15 190-192.

Miller, C. H. Occupational choice and values. Personnel and <u>Guidance Gournal</u>, 1956, <u>35</u>, 244-246.

Moser A., &/Kalton, G. Survey methods in social investigations, London: Heinemann Educational Books, 1972.

Mulaik, S. A. / The foundations of factor analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1972.

Navron, L., & Kendell, L. M. A canonical correlational analysis of SVIB, HVPF, EPPS., Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1971, 18,

₅514-519.

Ł

Nelson, J. I. Participation and college as the Complex effects of community size. Rural Sociology, 1973, 38, 7-16.

Newcomb, T. M. Attitude development as a function of reference groups. In G. E. Swanson, T. M. Newcomm, & E. L. Hartley (Eds. Readings in social psychology. New York: Holt, 1958.

Nunnaly, J. C. Reychometric theory. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1967.

O'Connor, J. P., & Kinnane, J. F. A factor analysis of work values. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1961, 8, 263-267.

O'Dowd, D. D., & Beardslee, D. C. <u>College Student images of a</u> <u>selected group of professions and occupations</u> (Final Report, <u>Cooperative Research Project No. 562</u>, U.S. Office on Education). Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University, 1960.

Osipow, S. H. Theories of career development (2nd ed.). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1973.

Patterson, T. W., Marron, J. P., & Patterson, N. B. <u>A partial</u> <u>validation of Holland's theory of vocational choice</u>. Paper presented at the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association Convention, Denver, May 1971.

Pederson, P. B. <u>Social groups in Malaysia</u>. Unpublished paper presented at Teacher-Education Conference, Bangkok, 1969.

Picou, J. S. Black-white variations in a model of the occupational aspiration process Journal of Negro Education, 1973, 42, 117-122.

Przeworski, A., & Teune, H. Equivalence in cross-national research. Public Opinion Quarterly, 1966-1967, 30, 551-568.

Reutens, M. The vocational choice of a sample of boys in forms 3 and 5 in relation to interests, values, prestige, and father's occupational levels. Unpublished bachelor of education thesis, University of Malaysia, 1967.

Rokeach, M. <u>The nature of human values</u>. New York; Free Press

Schoenfield, E. Status and career decision: An analysis of the

time dimension. <u>Sociological Quarterly</u>, 1972, <u>13</u>, 496-507.

150

Schwarzeller, H. K. Value orientations and educational and occupational choices, <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1959, <u>24</u>, 246-256.

- Schwarzeller, H. K/ <u>Socio-cultural factor in the career aspiration</u> <u>and plan of rural Kentucky high school seniors</u>. Kentucky Agriculture Experimental Station, 1960.
- Schwarzeller, H. K. Community of residence and career choices of German rural youth. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1968, <u>33</u>, 46-63.
- Schwarzeller, H. K. Regional variation in the educational plan of rural youth: Norway, Germany and U.S. <u>Rural Sociology</u>, 1973, <u>38</u>, 139-158.
- Secherest, L., Fay, T. L., & Zaidi, S. M. H. Problems of translation in cross-cultural research. Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology, 1972, 3, 41-56.
- Selltiz, E., Jahoda, M., Deutsch, M., & Cook, S. W. <u>Research</u> <u>methods in social relations</u> (Rev. ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1959.
- Sewell, W. H. <u>The educational and occupational perspectives of</u> <u>rural youth</u>. Washington, D.C.: National Committee for Children and Youth Report 23, 1963.
- Sewell, W. H. Community of residence and college plans. <u>American</u> Sociological Review, 1964, 29, 24-38.
 - Sewell, W. H., Hapler, A. O., & Strauss, M. A. Social status and educational and occupational aspirations. <u>American Sociological</u> <u>Reviews</u>, 1957, 22, 67-73.

- Sewell, W. H., & Ornstein, A. M. Community of residence and occupational choices. <u>American Journal of Sociology</u>, 1965, <u>70</u>, 551-563.
 - Sewell, W. H., & Shah, V. P. Socioeconomic status, intelligence and attainment of higher education. <u>Sociology of Education</u>, 1967, <u>40</u>, 1-23.
 - Shaycroft, M., Factors affecting a factor affecting career. <u>Voca-</u> tional Guidance Quarterly, 1973, <u>22(2)</u>, 96-103.

Siemens, L. B. The influence of selected family factors on the educational and occupational aspiration levels of high school. boys and girls. Winnipeg: Faculty of Agriculture and Home Economics, University of Manitoba, No. 1, 1965.

Siemens, L. B., & Driedger, L. Some Foral-urban differences between Manitoba high school students. Minnipeg: Faculty of Agriculture and Home Economics, Differencies of Manitoba, 1965.

Simpson, S. L., & Simpson, I. H. / Values, personal influences and occupational choice. <u>Soctal Forces</u>, 1960, <u>39</u>, 116-125.

Slocum, W. L. Some sociological aspects of occupational choice. American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 1959, 18, 139-148.

Smelser, W. T. Adolescent and adult occupational choice as a function of family socioeconomic history. <u>Sociometry</u>, 1963, 26, 393-409.

Stevic, R., & Uhlig, G. Occupational aspirations of selected Appalachian youth. <u>Personnel and Guidance Journal</u>, 1967, <u>45</u>, 435-439.

Super, D. E. The structure of work values in relation to status, achievement; interests and adjustment. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1962, 46, 231-239.

Super, D. E. <u>Manual:</u> Work Values Inventory. Pala Alto, Calif.: Houghton Mifflin, 1970.

Super, D. E., & Mowry, J. G., Jr. Social and personal desirability in the assessment of work values. <u>Educational and Psychological</u> <u>Measurement</u>, 1962, <u>22</u>, 715-719.

Super, D. E., & Overstreet, P. L. <u>The vocational maturity of ninth</u> <u>grade boys</u>. New York: Teacher's College, Bureau of Publications, 1960.

Taylor, K. F., & Kelso, G. J. Courses of study and personality: An Australian test of Holland's theory. <u>Australian Journal of</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 1973, 25(3), 199-209.

Thorndike, R. L., & Hagen, E. <u>Measurement and evaluation in psychol-ogy and education</u> (3rd ed.). <u>New Yorks</u> Wiley, 1969.

Tiedeman, D. V. Work Values Inventory. INO. K. Buros (Ed.), The

seventh mental measurement yearbook. New York: Gryphon Press, 1972.

152

- Van de Geer. Introduction to multivariate analysis for the social sciences. San Francisco: Freeman, 1971.
- Vigod, Z. The relationship between occupational choice and parental occupation. <u>Alberta Journal of Educational Research</u>, 1972, <u>18</u>, 287-294

Wakefield, J. A., Jr., & Doughtie, E. B. The geometric relationship between Holland's personality typelogy and the VPI. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1973, 20, 513-518.

Wall, H. W., Osipow, S. H., Ashry, D. SVIB scores, occupational choices, and Holland's personality types. <u>Vocational Guid-</u> <u>ance Quarterly</u>, 1967, <u>15</u>, 201-205.

Warrick, D. P., Osherson, S. (Eds.). <u>Comparative research methods</u>. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1973. O

White, K., & Knight, J. H. School desegregation, socio-economic status, sex and the aspiration of southern negro adolescent. Journal of Negro Education, 1973, <u>42</u>, 71-78.

Williams, C. M. Occupational choice of male graduate students as related to values and personality: A test of Holland's theory. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1972, 2, 39-46.

Yom, B. L., Doughtie, E. B., Chang, W. C., Alston, H. L., & Wakefield, J. A., Jr. The factor structure of the Vocational Preference Inventory for black and white college students. Journal. of Vocational Behavior, 1975, 6, 15-18.

Zytowski, D. G. The concept of work values. <u>Vocational Guidance</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, 1970, <u>18</u>, 176-186.



n	UAS	ŧf	onn	af	re
ч	463				# C.

The information that you give in this questionnaire will be used strictly for research purposes and will be kept in strict confidence by the researcher.

It would be greatly appreciated if you could provide answers to <u>ALL</u> the questions presented. However, if you feel you <u>MUST</u> omit answering some questions, feel free to do so.

. da What is your name (in block letters)? 10

Last

Date:

154

rirst Middle 2. What is the name of your school? ****

3. Indicate with a cross (X) the academic program you are in now:

Matriculation program

Non-matriculation program Specify

What is the occupation of the head of the household? (Name the occupation if you can. For example, clerk, teacher, farmer, etc.)

............

5. Describe briefly what he or she does in his or her work. 7.3.

••••

Place a cross (X) in the appropriate space to indicate the range of <u>annual</u> income of the head of the household, if you feel this is not an invasion of privacy. 6. privacy.

\$25,000 and above	\$6,000 to \$6,999
\$20,000 to \$24,999	\$5,000 to \$5,999
\$15,000 to \$19,999	\$4,000 to \$4,999
\$12,000 to \$14,999	\$3,000 to \$3,999
\$10,000 to \$11,999	\$2,000 to \$2,999
\$ 9,000 to \$ 9,999	\$1,000 to \$1,999
\$ 8,000 to \$ 8,999	\$ 900 or less
\$ 7,000 to \$ 7,999	

Side 1

7. Taking into consideration your interests, academic background, values, and family background, list in order of preference three occupational titles. for the work you would like to perform after leaving school, college, or university.

First	pref	erence	È.	· · .	
				. •	

Second Preference Third preference

1

PLEASE CONTINUE ON SIDE 2

QUESTIONNAIRE (CONT.)

Side 2

ŢĦ

155

8. The following 15 statements tell you that different kinds of work provide different kinds of satisfaction to the worker. These satisfactions are not all considered equally important. Some are very important to some people but of little importance to other people. Read each of these statements carefully, then rank each statement in order of importance for you by placing a 1 next to the most important statement for you, a 2 next to the next important statement for you, and shon. The least important statement for you relative to the other statement is should be numbered 15. Please make sure that each statement is given a number to indicate your ranking of it.

(a) work that enables one to contribute to the welfare of others

- (b) work which permits one to make beautiful things and to contribute beauty to the world
- (c) work which permits one to invent new things, design new products, or develop new ideas
- (d) work which provides opportunity for independent thinking and of for learning how and why things work
- (e) work which gives one a feeling of accomplishment in doing a job well
- (f) work which permits one to work in his own way, as fast on as slowly as he wishes
- (g) work which gives one standing in the eyes of others and commands respect
- (h) work which permits one to plan and lay out work for others to do
- (i) work which pays well and enables one to have the things he wants
- (j) work which provides one with the certainty of having a job even in hard times
- (k) work which is carried out under pleasant conditions--not too hot or too cold, noisy, dirty, etc.
- (1) work which is carried out-under a supervisor who is fair and with whom one can get along
- (m) work which brings one into contact with fellow workers whom he likes
- (n) work that permits one to live the kind of life he chooses and to be the type of person he wishes to be
- (o) work that provides an opportunity to do different types of jobs

Give a brief and clear description of the kind of work that you see yourself

doing five years from now.

0

END OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE - THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR COOPERATION



QUESTIONNAIRE/SOALSELIDIK

۰.

157

λ.

	What is your full name? Apakah nama sepenuh anda?	
2.	What is your home address? Apakah alamat rumah anda?	•
/ ;: ·	•••••	י קי גי
3.	Do you have a telephone at home? Yes/Ya No:	•
	Adakah anda mempunyai talipon di rumah? No/Tidak 🗌	
4.	What is the name of your school? Apakah nama sekolah anda?	
5.	What stream are you in? Arts/Sastera	• :
• *	Apakah jurusan anda? Science/Sains	•
	Apakah pekerjaan bapa anda? (Beri nama pekerjaannya jika boleh. Misalnya: kerani pegawai daomah domohan hada jata lata lata	r S S
7.	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya.	
7.	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. " Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya.	
•	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya.	
B./	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. " Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya. Where does he work?	
3./ 9. `	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly whāt he does in his work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya. Where does he work? Dimanakah ia bekerja? Indicate with a tick (/) the highest level of education your father has.	
3. 9.	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya. Where does he work? Dimanakah ia bekerja? Indicate with a tick (\/ .) the highest level of education your father has. Tandakan dengan (\/) peringkat tertinggi pendidikan bapa anda.	
8.	Misainya: kerani, pegawai, daerah, derebar bas, dan lain-lain.) Describé briefly what he does in his work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya. Where does he work? Dimanakah ia bekerja? Indicate with a tick () the highest level of education your<br father has. Tandakan dengan () peringkat tertinggi pendidikan bapa anda.<br Never attended school/Tak pernah bersekolah	

.

ż

-

.

	158
	، بع <u>ور</u> بر
Form I or II/Tingkatan I atau II	
Form III/Tingkatan III	
SRP or LCE passed/Lulus SRP atau LCE	
Form IV/Tingkatan IV)
Form V/Tingkatan V	
MCE or SC or SPM passed/Lulus MCE atau SC atau SPM	
Form VI Lower, Form VI Upper, HSC passed, tertTary, and University/Tingkatan VI Bawah, Tingkatan VI Atas, Lulus STP (HSC), maktab-maktab dan Universiti	
Do not know/Tak tahu	
10. What is your mother's occupation? (Name the occupation if you can. For example: teacher, typist, nurse, etc.) Apakah pekerjaan ibu anda? (Beri nama pekerjannya jika boleh. Misalnya: guru, jurutaip, jururawat, dan lain-lain.)	
ll. Describe briefly what she does in her work. Huraikan dengan rengkas apa yang dibuatnya di dalam pekerjannya.	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12. Where does she work?/Dimanakah ia bekerja?	
13. Indicate with a tick (\checkmark) the highest level of education your mother his.	
Tandakan dengan (✓) peringkat tertinggi pendidikan ibu anda.	
Never attended school/Tak pernah bersekolah	
Some primary education/Beberapa tahun di sekolah rendah	9
Completed primary school/Tamat sekolah rendah	4.
Form I or II/Tingkatan I atau II	50 19
Form III/Tingkatan III :	e

ø	
• •	SRP or LCE passed/Lulus SRP atau LCE
	Form IV/Tingkatan IV
اهتي ۽ ت بر	Form V/Tingkatan V
	MCE or SC or SPM passed/Lulus MCE atau SC atau SPM
	Form VI Lower, Form VI Upper, HSC passed, tertiary, and University/Tingkatan VI Bawah, Tingkatan VI Atas, lulus HSC (STP), maktab-maktab dan Universiti
2	Do not know/Tak tahu
14.	How many brockers and sisters do you have? Berapa orang agaik beradik lelaki dan perempuan anda?
_	brothers/orang abang dan adik lelaki
	sisters/orang kakak dan adik perempuan
15.	How many brothers and sisters are living with you at home now? Berapa orang adik beradik anda yang tinggal bersama anda masa ini?
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	brothers/orang abang dan adik lelakiss
	sisters/orang kakak dan adik perempuan
16.	Is there any other person living with your family? Yes/Ya Adakah orang lain yang tinggal bersama-sama keluarga anda? No/Tidak
17,	How many?/Berapa orang?
	person(s)/orang
18.	Does your family support this/these other person(s)? Yes/Yaw D Adakah keluarga anda menanggung orang ini?
	No/Tidak 🔲
19	Against each of the following items, indicate with a tick (\checkmark) in the boxes provided, those items that you have at home. Tandakan dengan (\checkmark) di dalam petak-petak yang disediakan, perkara- perkara yang ada dirumah anda.
5	motorcycle/motorsikal
	bicycle/basikal
•	refrigerator/peti sejuk

		•	0	· · ·	
electric fan/kipas letrik			~~		••••• [
radio/radio	• • • • • • • • • • •	••••		• • • • • • •	•••••
sewing machine/meşin jahit			· · · ·		· · · ·
television/talivisyen					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
boat with engine/perahu ikan t	•		۹.,		
boat without engine/perahu ika					
motorcar/motorkar					
telephone/talipon	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • • • •		<u>.</u>
air-conditioner/alat hawa ding	jin				
\$				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

160

20. Taking into consideration your interests, academic background, values, and family background, list in order of preference three occupational titles for the work you would like to perform after leaving school or college or university. To help you identify the occupations, a list of titles for the more common occupations is attached. Should the title(s) of the occupations you choose not appear on this list, write your own title(s). Dengan memberi pertimbangan kepada minat, latarbelakang akademik, nilai-nilai, dan latarbelakang keluarga anda, tulis tiga jenis pekerjaan menurut kegemaran anda, yang anda ingin lakukan setelah tamat persekolahan anda di sekolah, di maktab atau di universiti. Untuk membantu anda memilih pekerjaan-pekerjaan itu, satu senaraj pekerjaan-pekerjaan yang umum disertakan. Sekiranya pekerjaan atau pekerjaan-pekerjaan yang anda pilih itu tidak terdapat di dalam senarai ini, anda berilah nama pekerjaan yang anda pilih itu.

First preference/Pilihan pertama: Second preference/Pilihan kedua: -Third preference/Pilihan ketige

List of Occupational Titles for Question 20/ Senarai Nama-nama Pekerjaan bagi Soalan 20

Rubber estate manager/Pengurus ladang 37. Doctor/Doktor 1. getah 38. Science teacher/Guru sains Tourist guide/Pemandu pelancung 39. Chemist/Ahli kimia Physical education teacher/Guru 40. Hospital assistant/Pembantu rumah sakit pendidikan jasmani 41. Dental surgeon/Doktor gigi Personnel in the army, navy, or air force/ Pegawai tentera darat, laut, atau udara Tin mining manager/Pengurus lombong bijih 42. Scientist at Rubber Research Institute/ Ahlf sains di Institut Penyelidikan Getah Veterinarian/Boktor binatang 43. Federal Land Development manager/Pengurus 6. 44. Pharmacist/Ahli farmasi Lembaga Kemajuan Tanah Persekutuan Lumberjack/Pekerja kayu balak 45. Laboratory technician/Juruteknik makmal Architect/Akitek 46. Fisheries officer/Pegawai perikanan. 47: Photographer/Tukang gambar Forest reserve officer/Pegawai hutan 9. 48. Art teacher/Suru lukisan simpanan 49. Giftwares salesman/Jurujual barang2 10. Radio/TV repairman/Pembaik radio/TV kesenian 11. Engineer/Jurutera Actor/Pelakun 50. 12. Industrial arts teacher/Guru seni 51. Commercial artist/Pelukis perdagangan perusahaan 52. Tallor/Tukang jahit 13. Contractor/Kontrektor 53. Industrial arts artist/Pelukis seni. 14. National Electricity Board officer/ perusahaan . Pegawai Lembaga Letrik Negara 15. Engine repairer/Pembaik injin Goldsmith/Tukang emas 55. Novelist/Novelis 16. Carpenter/Jukang kayu ist/Wartawan 56. Jan 17. Driver/Derebar teacher/Guru bahasa W script writer/Penulis skrip 57 . 18. Aeroplane mechanic/Mekanik kapal terbang 58. £2 19. Accountant/Akauntan Radio/TV 20. Bank clerk/Kerani bank 59. Librarian/Pustakawan 21. Mathematics teacher/Guru 11mu hisab 60. Court Interpreter/Jurabahasa mahkamah 22. Statistician/Perangkawan . 61. Magazine/journal writer/Penulis rencana 23. Chief clerk/Kerani besar majalah/jurnal. 62. Bookshop owner/Tuanpunya kedai buku 24. Surveyor/Juru-ukur Translator/Penterjemah 25. Shop-keeper/Pekedai 63. 26. Accounts clerk/Kerani akaun 64. Musician/Ahli musik 27. Cashier/Tukang wang 65. Orchestra leader/Pemimpin orkestra 28. Lawyer/Peguam 2 29. Salesman/Jurujual 67. Singer/Penyanyi 30. History teacher/Guru sejarah 314 Advertising agent/Agen pengiklan 32. Sales manager, sub-33. Politician/Ahli politik Sales manager/Pengurusidualan 34. Radio/TV announcer/Juruhebah radio/TV musik Public relation officer/Pegawai perhubungan raya
Foreign and civil service officer/Pegawai alat musik perkhidmatan dalam dan luar negeri

- 5

66. Music teacher/Guru musik 68. Radio/TV music announcer/Jurunebah bahagian musik radio/TV 69. Classical dance teacher/Guru tarian asli 70. Music store salesman/Jurujual alat-alat 71. Music store owner/Tuanpunya kedai alat-72. Guitarist or player of other musical in-

strument/Pemain gitar atau alat-alat musik yang lain

161
73. Judge or magistrate/Hakim mahkamah tinggi atau rendah
74. District office/Pegawai daerah
75. Civics teacher/Guru tatarakyat
76 - Social worker/Pegawai kebajikan masyarakat **`**`

2

.

R.D

 \mathbf{T}

77. No 10 nurse/Jururawat lelaki 78. Youth employment officer/Pegawai poperjaan belia 79. Aborigines adviser/Penasihat orang asli 80. Jice officer/Pegawai polis 81. Molic health officer/Pegawai kesihatan awam AWAM ····· 1. . .

Ċ

.

v

B

s.

Ó

5

48.2

-

Ð

5

• . 162 The following 15 statements tell you the different kinds of benetits that different kinds of work can provide for the worker. Read them carefully. Then rank them in order of importance to you from to 15. That is, place number 1 against the statement you consider most important to you; number 2, the second most important, etc. The statement that you consider least important to you should be numbered 15.

11

15 kenyataan berikut menyatakan kepada anda berbagai-bagai jenis faedah yang berbagai-bagai jenis pekerjaan-dapat memberikan kepada pekerja. Bacalah kenyataan-kenyataan ini dengan teliti. Kemudian susunkan kenyataan-kenyataan ini dari 1 hingga 15 untuk menyatakan betapa pentingnya tian-tian tu kenyataan itu bagi anda. Iaitu, tulis nombor 1 bertentangan migan kenyataan yang anda anggap paling penting bagi anda; nombor mani kenyataan yang kedua pentingnya, dan seterusnya. Kenyataan yang aning tidak penting bagi yanda hendaklah diberi nombor 15

others/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang memberi, sumbangan terhadap kebajikan orang lain

b. work which permits one to make beautiful things and to contribute beauty to the world/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang membuat benda-benda yang indah dan memberi keindahan kepada alam

work which permits one to invent new things, design new products or develop new ideas/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang mereka barang-barang baru, mencorak hasil2 baru atau menghasilkan ide-ide baru

work which provides opportunity for independent thinking. and for learning how and why things work/pekerjaan yang memberi peluang bagi cara berfikir yang bebas dan bagi mempelajari bagaimana dan mengapa sesuatu itu terjadi

work which gives one a feeling of accomplishment in doing a job well/pekerjaan yang memberikan seseorang itu perasaan yang ia telah berjaya melakuhan sesuatu pekerjaan itu dengan baik

work which permits one to work in his own way, as fast or [as slowly as he wishes/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang itu bekerja menurut caranya sendiri, secepat atau selambat yang disukainya

164 work which gives standing in the eyes of others and commands respect/pekerjaan yang dipandang tinggi dan dihormati oleh orang lain h. work which permits one to plan and lay out work for others to do/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang itu merancang dan membentangkan kerja-kerja bagi orang lain melakukannya ----work which pays well and enables one to have the things he wants/pekerjaan yang memberi bayawan yang tinggi dan yang membolehkan seseorang itu memilitki segala yang 🥙 di **Keh**endakinya j. work which provides one wigh the certainty of having a job even in hard times/pekerjaan yang memberi, jaminan * kapada seseorang itu yang ia tetap memegang jawatan walaupun pada masa-masa kemelesetan k. work which is carried out under pleasant conditions-not too hot or too cold, noisy, dirty, etc./kerja yang dilakukan di dalam keadaan-keadaan yang selesa-, tidak terlalu panas atau terlalu sejuk, bising, kotor, dan lain-lain" 12 work which is carried out under a supervisor who is fair and with whom one can get along/kerja yang dilakukan dibawah seorang penyelia yang adil dan yang dengannya seseorang itu boleh bekerjasama work which brings one into contact with fellow workers ... whom he likes/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang itu bergaul dengan rakan-rakan sepekerjaan yang disukainya work that permits one to live, the kind of life he chooses. and to be the type of person he wishes to be/pekerjaan yang membolehkan seseorang itu hidup menurut cara yang dipilihnya dan menjadi orang yang ia ingin jadi work that provides an opportunity to do different types .. of jobs/pekerjaan yang memberi peluang untuk membuat bermacam-macam jenis urusan atau kerja 22. Give a brief description of the kind of work that you see yourself doing five years from now. Beri suatu huraian rengkas mengena‡ jenis pekerjaan yang anda rasa anda akan lakukan lima tahun dari sekarang. . the

- - بن برجه	
.	
23.	
	Indicate by ticking (\checkmark) in one of the boxes below, the amount of time you are willing to spend from now in order to prepare yourself
• *3	for the occupation you would like to enter. Tandakan dengan (\checkmark) di dalam salah satu petak di bawah, jumlah
1997 - A.	masa yang anda sedia memberikan untuk latihan dari sekarang untuk
	melengkapkan piri anda bagi pekerjaan yang anda ingin memegang.
va. 🖷	J to 2 years/1 hingga 2 tahun
(L	3 to 4 years/3 hingga 4 tahun
	an 🖉 🖓 Alina sa
A	5 to 6 years 5 hingga 6 tahun
	영상 같이 다니 이 가슴 것 같이 해요. 이 해요. 이 가 나는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같이 있는 것 것 같이 있는 것 것 같이 있는 것 것 것 같이 있는 것 것 것
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
24.	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ • • • •	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ • • • •	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebih dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun
	More than 7 years/Lebib dart 7 tahun



Malaysian SES Scale

SES Scale is made up of the sum of weight attached to occupation, educa-tional level, and property index of the head of the household. The differ-ent SES levels are classified as follows:

3 points = Low SES Sepoints . . Medium SES

8 or more points = High SES

Weights -Occupations 0 1 . 3 1.14 6 е. 9., religious worker, artist teriter year

uren, agricultural worker, tapper, maid, caretaker, r, cleaner farmer, fisherman, miner, docker, driver, cook, vendor, conductor, logger, railway fireman, postman, launderer stenographer, clerk, salesman, journeyman, machine operator, supervisor-foreman technician; primary or secondary school teacher, journalist, manager, government official, proprietor, scientist, engineer, doctor, lawyer, university 12 lecturer, legislator · : not in labour force: housewife, invalid, student, 0 retired. 1 Ò

not known

١.

5

41 167

Weights for occupation

2.

<u>,</u> .

-0

. 1

Weights for education of the head of the household 31 . . Highest Educational Attainment ú Weights 4 ***** 0 Never attended School Some primary education 1 Completed primary education 2 Form I or II. √**3**. 顿 Form III 4 SRP or LCE (Sijil Pelajaran Hilaysta/Lower 5 of Education) passed . Form IV ÷. 6 ×., Form V 7 . . MCE/SPM or Sb (Malaysian Certificate of Education/Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia, School Certificate) 8 r 9* Form VI Lower А S. Form VI Upper 10. HSC/STP/passed (Higher School Certificate/Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran) .11 v . . . ۰. Tertiary 12 4 University was 13

٠,

.

168

۰, . 1

ą

•

Property index 4.

Ð

Not known

Ny.

1.2

÷ .

3. .

Va. ..

. .

ì

, **1**9

0 1

ç

. .2

¢

-

.

Weight	Property Items
y point for each	motorcycle, bicycle, refrigerator, electric fan, radio, sewing machine, television, boat with motor, boat with- out motor.
1 point for each	car, telephone
14 points . for	air conditioning
``	

r.0

÷

Þ •.-

ō.,





iaine :		•••••			cu) ₫	<u>Do not</u>	<u>fill i</u>	n these	e boxes
chool:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			· · · · · · · · ·	and a set				•
his is	an inventory of yo r feelings and att	ur feei	itnas i	and attitu	des about many	kinds of wo	rR: P	ease 1	indi-
	find by each occu			1. State 1.	· · · ·		2.	· · · ·	
Cross	out 0 like this (out 1 like this (out 2 like this (ň 16.	-	, underside	4 (11) shout an		appeals ninter	to yo sting.)U.
. Airp	ane pilot	<u> </u>	LD	Code C.1 (7)	36. Musiciar	1 4 1 2 1	U U	L D T 2	Code (42)
. Priva	te investigator Secretary	0	1 2	(8)	37. Prize fi 38. Diplomat	ighter 🐮	0	1 2	(43)
. Detec			1 2	(10)	39. Experime tory end	ental labora	- - -	12	(45)
	salesman	K.ª .∵0	12	(12)	40. Crane of	erator) O	1 2	= (46).
	ronic technician	0 C	12	13	41. Master p 42. Aeronaut			12	(47)-
Photo	grapher planetary scien-	Ŏ	12	(15)	engineer 43. Speech (0		(48)
tist		0	12	(16)	44. Traffic 45. Manufact	manager	ŏ	1 2	(50)
Airpi	ane mechanic	0	₽ 2. 1 • 2	- (17)	sentativ			1 2	(51)
. Forel	nologist gn missionary	-	1 2	(18) (19)	46. Author			1* 2	(52)
. Bookk , Specu		0	1 2 1 2	(20) (21)	.47. Fireman 48. Army gen	eralq	0		(53) (54)
. Poet		0	1 2	(22)	49. Interior 50. Novelist			1212	(55) (56)
. Newsp	sea diver aper editor		12	(23) (24)	51. Power sh			1 2	(57)
. Nurse Lawye	ry school teacher		1212	(25) (26)	52. Anthropo 53. Marriage	counsellor	0	1 2	(59)
	and game special-				54. Statisti 55. Televisi		0	12	(60) (61)
ist . Biolo	gist	, 0 -	1212	(27) (28)	56. Commerci		0	12	(62) •
: Qualt	school teacher		12	(29) (30)	57. Wild ani 58. U.N. off	mal trainer icial	0	1 2	(63) (64)
Buyer		0	1 , 2	(31)	59. Sculptor 60. Automobi	• • •	0	1 2	(65) (66)
. Symph . Wreck	ony conductor er and salvage	. 0.		(32)	61. Surveyor 62. Zoologis		. 0	1.2	(67)
nan	tics inspector	0. 0	1 . 2	(33) (34)	62. Zoologis 63. Physical				. (68)
. Eleme	ntary school	Ó	12	(35)	teacher 64. Court st		0. 0	1 2	(69)
. Șchoo	principal		1 2	(36)	65. Hotel ma	nager			(71)
. Power	station operator	0	2	(37) (38)	66. Free lan	ce writer	0	1 2	(72)
Juven	lle delinguency.	~			67. Stunt ma F Dicture) 68. Criminal	I THOUTON	0	2	(73) (74)
Budge	t clerk	0	2	(39) (40)	69. Profession 70. Carpenter	onal athlete	, o	2	(75)
JUCK	& bond salesman	U,	[n 2]	(41)	70. Carpentei PLI	r ÉASE TURN TO). SIDE	1 Z 2	(76)
			•				, <u> </u>		
<u></u> Марияна 1997 г. – 1997 г. – 1		£ ²		6	7				. v

. . .

:

170



Side 2 VO 71. Construction inspector 72. Chemist 73. Playground director 74. Bank teller 75. Business executive	CATIONAL I ULD 0T2 012	PREFERENCE	NVENTORY (CONT.)		
72. Chemist 73. Playground director 74. Bank teller	U L D 0 T 2 0 1 2			10 - E 🖌	•
	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0	2 7	18. Composer 18. Congressional invest 18. Congressional invest 19. Portrait artist	U 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(48) (49) (50) (51)
76, Musical arranger 77. Jockey 78. Ventriloquist 79. Army officer 80. Banker	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(8) (9) (10) (11) (12)	120. Machinist 121. Locomotive engineer 122. Botanist 123. Personal counsellor 124. Cost estimating cler	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(52) (53) (54) (55) (56)
81. Radio operator 82. Independent research	0 1 2	(13)	125. Industrial relations consultant	0_1-2	(57)
	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(14) (15) (16) (17)	125. Stage director 127. Explorer 128. Supreme court judge 129. Draftsman 130. Judge	0 1 2 9 1 2 0 1 0 1	(58) (59) (60) (61) (62)
87. Motorcycle driver	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(18) (19) (20)	131. Photoengraver 132. Scientific research worker	0.1 2	(63) (64)
	0 1 2 0 1 2	(21) (22)	133. Psychiatric case work 134. Pay roll clerk 135. Sports promoter	ker0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(65) (66) (67)
ant 92. Writer of scientific or technical article 93. Social science teacher 94. Inventory controller		(23) (24) (25) (26)	136. Playwright 137. (Test pilot 138. Criminologist 139. Children's clothing designer	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(68) (69) (70) (71)
96. Dramatic coach 97. Blaster (dynamite) 98. Mund reader 99. English teacher		(27) (28) (29) (30) (31)	140. Truck driver 141. Electricien 142. Physicist 143. Vocational counsellor 144. Bank examiner	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(72) (73) (74) (75) (75) (76)
IVZ. Editor of a scientific	1 2	(32) (33)	 145. Political campaign manager 146. Cartoonist 	0'1 2 0 1 2	(77) (78)
103. Director of welfare agency 0 104. IBM equipment operator 0		* (35)	147. Racing car driver 148. Book censor 149. Social worker 150. Locksmith	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2C.3 0 1 2C.3	(79) (80) (7) (8)
106. Concert Singer: 0 107. F.B.Iagent 0 108. Prosecuting attorney 0 109. Factory foreman 0	1 24 1 2 1 2 1 2	(38) (39) (40)	151. Funeral director 152. Counter-intelligence man 153. Architect 154. Shipping & receiving	0 1 2 0 1 2	(9) (10) (11)
110. College professor 0 111. Tool designer 0 112. Geologist 0	12	(42) (43) (44)	155. Criminal psychologist	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(12) (13) (14)
114. Financial analyst 0 115. Real estate salesman 0	1 2 1 2 1 2	(45) (46) (47)	157. Barber	0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2	(15) (16) (17) (18)

<u>- 1</u>

-----.

· •

 \frown .

.



The Vocational Preference Inventory Inventori Pemilihan Vokesyer

This is an inventory of your feelings and a trutudes about many kinds of work. Fill out your answer sheet by following the directions given below.

 Show on your answer sheet the occupation, which interest or appeal to you by blackening Y for "Yes." 173

- Blacken N for "No" for the occupations you dislike or find uninteresting.
- 3. Make no marks when you are undecided about an occupation.

In adalah suatu Inventori mengenai perasaan dan sikap anda terhadap berman kenan jenis pekerjaan. Isilah kertas jawapan anda dengan mengikut brakan inhan yang diberikan di bawah.

- Tandakan dilates kertas jawapan anda pekerjaan-pekerjaan yang menarik minat anda atau yang anda gemari dengan menghitamkan ov bagi "Yes."
- 2. Hitamkan N bagi "No" pekerjaan-pekerjaan yang anda <u>tidak suka</u> atau yang <u>tidak menarik minat</u> anda.

<u>Jangan dibuat sebarang tanda</u> jika sekiranya anda tidak pasti mengenai sesuatu pekerjaan. 7

1. Pilot/Juru terbang	17 Annolana machania/Makanik
	11. Aeroplane mechanic/Mekanik
2. Private investigator/Penyiasat Sull	t: kapal terbang
3. YMCA secretary/Setiausaha YMCA	12. Neteorologist/Ahli_kaji cuaca
	13. Foreign misstonary/Muballigh
5. Post office clerk/Kerani Pejabat Po	
6. Route salesman/Jurujual kawasan	15. Speculator/Spekulator
7. Electronic technician/Juruteknik	16. Poet/Penyair
elektronik	17. Deep sea diver/Juruselam lautan
8. Humorist/Penulis ruangan lucu	dalam
9. Photographer/Jurugambar	18. Newspaper, editor/Rengarang akhbat
10. Interplanetary scientist/Ahli	19. Kindergarten school teacher/
adins cekerewala	Guru sekolah tadika
	20. Lawyer/Peguam

	<u>ر</u> ال
21. Fish and Wildlife specialist/	51.
Pakar ikan dan binatang buas	n a sagar
22. Biologist/Ahli kajihayat	52.
23. Secondary school teacher/Guru sekolah menengah	53.
24. Quality control expert/Pakar	
a kawalan kualiti	54.
o 25. Buyer/Pembelt	55.
26. Symphony conductor/Penimpin simphoi	56.
27. Wrecker (building)/Peruntuh	59.
(bangunan)	57.
28. Narcotics inspector/Marinyu narkoti	
	58.
rendah	
30. School principal/Gurubesar sekolah	59.
	60.
31. Power station operator/Operator janaletrik	1
32. Astronomer/Pengkaji cekerewala	en i
33. Juvenile delinquency expert/Pakar	61.
Jenayah kanak-kanak	63.
34. Budget reviewer/Penvemak belanjawan	
ST. STOCK & Bond Salesman/Jurujua]	A
Sahan dan Bon	والمع المباد
36. Musiciap/Ahli musik	65.
37. Prize Aighter/Ahli tinju	66.
38. Olplomat/Diplomat, 39. Experimental laboratory engineer/	67.
Jurutera makmal percubaan	
40. Crane operator/Operator kren	68.
	· *5 9.
41. Master plumber/Pakar pemasang paip	70.
42. Aeronautical design engineer/	0
Jurutera corak kanal terhang	71. (
43. Speech therapist/Therapis pertuturan	1
マチャー・マーシア・ション からい 日本社会子 アモロ いろにいる いうちょう れておく	72 0
45. Manufacturer's representative/ Wakil pengilang	73
46. Author/Pengarang	
47. Fireman/Abit bomba	741
48. Army general/General tentera darat	75.48
49. Interior decorator/Pesolek	. 76. M
bangunan	77: J
50. Novelist/Novelis	78. 1
	79. A
	80.8

1

0.....

• •

1000 1000 1000

•

ô

,

•

\$

51. Power shovel operato	r/Operator
Jentera pendorek	
52. Anthropologist/Antro 53. Marriage counsellor/	pologis
perkahwinan	rendsinat
54. Statistician/Perangk	awan .
55. Television producer/	Penerbit
🖓 🚯 Calivisyen 👘 👘	Q
56. Commercial artist/Pe	lukis Star
perdagangan 57. Wild animal trainer/	Delatit
binatang liar.	relatin
58. U.N. official/Pegawa	1 Bangsa A
Dangsa Bersatu 🗌 🖌	
59. Sculptor/Juru-ukir.	8
60. Automobile mechanic/! kereta	mexan1k
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
61. Surveyor/Juru-ukur	
62, 7001001st/Abl 700104	Ja 👘 👘 👘 👘
63. Physical education te Guru pendidikan Jasma Gurt stenographer/Ju	acher/
of Court stenggrapher ()	l/11
mahkamah	in wir enykas
65. Hotel manager/Penguru	is hotel"
55. Free Tance writer/Pen	ulis bebas 🔍 👘
67. Stunt man (motion pic	tures)/
Stunt man (wayang, gam 68. Criminal lawyer/Pegua	Darj
59. Professional athlete/	Ahli sukan
professional a second	
70. Carpenter/Tuka	
71. Construction ins	
	<u>, 1</u>
73 Playground director7P	engarah
73 Playground director/P Gadang permatinan 74 Bank teller/Kerami ban	3
744 Sank teller/Kerami bar	ŋk
75. Business executive/Eks permiagaan	SEKUDIT
E-winner Andell	

ð

. . 174 -9

ð

No. 16

. **

•

. .

÷ .

۰.-

- perniagaan Musical arranger/Penyusun musik Jockey/Joki Ventriloquist/Ventrilokuis Army officer/Pegawai tentera darat Banker/Banker

•

•

.

.

١

· • •

•

81. Radio operator/Operator radio 111. Tool designer/Pencorak alat-alat 82. Independent research scientist/ Ahli sains penyelidik bebas 83. Clinical psychologist/Saikologis perubatan Tax expert/Pakar cukaf. 85. Restaurant worker/Pekerja restoran 86. Art dealer/Peniaga lukisan 87. Motorcycle driver/Penonggang 8. Police judge/Hakim polis 1171 89. Referee (sporting events)/ Pengadil (sukan) 90. Truck gawdener/Pekebun sayur 0 00 91. Filling station attendant/Atendan kedal minyak 92. Writer of scientific or technical articles/Penulis rencana sains teknik 93. Social science teacher/Guru sains **kemas**yarakatan 94. Inventory controller/Pengawal perkakas atau álat-alat 95. Master of ceremonies/Juru acara 96. Dramatic coach/Pengajar drama 97. Blaster (dynamiter)/Penembakabukit 98. Mind reader/Pembaca fikiran orang 99. English teacher/Guru bahasa Inggeris 129. Draftsman/Tukang pelan 100. Sales manager/Pengurus Jualan 101. Tree Surgeon/Penggunting pokok 102. Editor of a scientific journal/ Penyunting jurnal sains 103. Director of welfare agency/ Pengarah wakilan kebajikan 104. IBM equipment operator/Operator alat-alat IBM 105. Travelling salesman/Jurujual berkereta 106. Concert singer/Penyanyi konset 107. F.B.I. Agent/Agen F.B.I. 108. Public prosecutor/Pendakwa raya 109. Factory foreman/Penyelia kilang 110. College professor/Professor 🕅 universiti -

Kg

112 Geologist/Ahli kaji bumi 113. Assistant school supervisor/ Penolong Penyelia sekolah-sekolah 114. Financial analyst/Penganalisa kewangan kewangan 115. Property salesman/Jurujual harta, Somposer/Penggubah lagu Buntain-climber/Pendaki gunung 118, Congressional investigator/ Penylasat Konggres 119, Portrait artist/Pelukis potret Machinist/Operator mesin . keretapi 122. Botanist/Ahli Botani 123. Personal counsellor/Penasihat peribadi . Locomotive engineer/Jurutera 124. Cost estimator/Renilai harga 125. Industrial relation consulbant 126. Stage director/Pengarah pentas 127. Explorer/Peneroka 128. Supreme court judge/Hakim mahkamah tinggi 130. Judge/Hakim 131. Photoengraver/Penekat. Toto 132. Scientific research worker/. 1 Penyelidik sains 12 133. Psychiatric case worker/Penylasat kes sakit jiwa · • • / · : 134. Pay roll clerk/Kerani/gaji 135. Sponts promoter/Penggalak sukan 136. Playwright/Penulis lakunan 137. Test pilot/Juru terbang ujtan-138. Criminologist/Pakar jenayah 139. Children's clothing tesigner/ Pencorak pakatan kanak-kanak 140. Truck driver/Derebar lori

÷

,176

~1

141. Electrician/Juru letrik 142. Physicist/Ahli Fizik 143: Vocational counsellor/Penasihat vokesyena1 144. Bank examiner/Pomeriksa kirakira bank -145. Political campaign manager/Pengurus kempen politik 146. Cartoonist/Pelukis kartun 147. Racing car driver/Denebar kereta Tumba

148. Book censor/Penapis buku

.....

i 4

4

١

149. Social worker/Pengawai kebajikan Masyarakat 150. Locksmith/Tukang kunci

151. Funeral director/Pengarah jenazah 152. Counter-intelligence man/Pegawai

8

.

penentang perisik rahsia 153. Architect/Akitek 154. Shipping & Receiving clerk/Kerani Penghantar & Penerima

155. Criminal psychologist/Saikologis Jenayah 👘

156. Insurance clerk/Kerani insuran

157. Barber/Tukang gunting 158. Bill collector/Pengutip hutang 159. Ward attendant/Atendan wad

160. Masseur/Tukang urut

	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•	
2	•	
Scienca/Sains Arts/Sastera		
Scien Arts/	(<u> </u>
Stream Unream		
1 S.S.	9	
¥	E 11 Ao	
	10 Inf	
3	5	
heet) kapen)		
E E		E & E Z & A Z Z A
CE INVENTOR VOICESHEIML Selkolah		
		RIG RG. RG RG RG RG RG RG
PREFER ENILIH Schoo	ייני שו	
VOCATIONAL PREFEREN INVENTORI PENILINA	a a a	
•		王子 王子 王子 王子 王子 王子 王子 王子 王子 张 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华 华
	2	就是 就是 就是 姓氏 过法 过法 姓夫 首先 姓本
	2 2	
	- i (~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
		黄王 其正 计正 计正 计工 计工 计工 计工 计工作 化 化 化 化 化 化 化 化 化 化
i	F	一 國本 经支 财主 财主 财主 财主 财主 经未 财主 经
	Lacken	王王 第三 第一 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
		N N T N V M D D Q



Appendix F

Work Values Inventory for the Malaysian Students



Streem/Jurusan: Science/Sains

School/Sekolah:

Arts/Sastera

179

Work Values Inventory Inventori Milai-nilai Mengenai Pekerjaan

The statements below represent values which people consider important in their work. These are satisfactions which people often seek in their jobs or as a result of their jobs. They are not all considered equally important; some are very important to some people but of little importance to others. Read each statement carefelly and indicate how important it is for you.

Kenyataan-kenyataan di bawah ini merupakan nilai-nilai yang orang anggap penting di dalam pekerjaan mereka. Kenyataan-kenyataan int adalah rasa puashati yang orang selalu cari di dalam pekerjaan-pekerjaan mereka ataupun rasa puashati yang orang selalu cari dari hasil kerja-kerja mereka. Nilainilai ini tidak semuanya di anggap same pentingi setangah-setangahnya adalah amat penting bagi setengah-setangah orang tetapi tidak begitu penting bagi orang lain. Bacalah tiap-tiap kenyataan ini dengan cermat dan nyatakan betapa pentingnya tiap-tiap kenyataan itu bagi anda.

	5 means 5 berenti	"very important" "amat penting"
Ø	4 means . 4 bererti	"important" "penting"
	3 means 3 bererti	"moderately important" "sederhana pentingnya"
•	2 means 2 berenti	"of little importance" "tidak berapa penting"
	1 means - 2 bererti -	"unimportant "tidak penting",

(Fill in one rectangle by each item to show your rating of the state-

(Isikan satu petak di samping tiap-tiap kenyataan untuk menunjukkan -/ penilaian anda terhadap kenyataan itu.)

Nork in which you..... Pekerjaan dalam mana anda.....

>have to keep solving new problemsterpaksa menyelesaikan masalahmasalah baru.

2 3 5

	180
2	help others menolong orang lain 1 2.3 4 5
.3.	bolen mendapat kenatkan 1 2 3 4 5
4.	look forward to changes in your job mengharapkan perubahan-perubahan di dalam pekerjaan anda
5.	have freedom in your own area mempunyat kebebasan di dalam lapangan 1 2 3 4 5 anda sendiri
6.	gain prestige in your field mendapat penghormatan di dalam bidang anda
7.	perlu mempunyat kebolehan kesemian 1 2 3 4. 5
8.	are one of the gang menjadi salah seorang ahli kumpulan 12345 itu
.	know your job will last tabu yang pekerjaan anda akan kekal 12345
, 10. 70	to beboleh menjadi orang yang anda ingin jadi 1 2 3 4 5
? · 11.	have a boss who gives you a square deal 1. 2 3 4 5
12.	like the setting in which your job is done suka akan tempat bekerja di mana urusan ande diselenggarakan
. 13.	get the feeling of having done a good day's joba- mendapat perasaan yang anda telah beres 1 2 3 4 5 menyelenggarakan kerja untuk sehari
14. 11.	have authority over others berkussa atas orang lain 1 2 3 4 5
· · ·	

•	
	try out new ideas and suggestions mencuba ide-ide dan saranan-saranan baru 1 2 3 4 5
16	mencipta sesuatu yang baru 1 2 3 4 5
17	a good job a good job tahu dari hasilnya bila anda telahpun membereskan sesuatu urusan dengan sempurna 1 2 3 4 5
18.	ansur i ketua yang boleh bertolak- 1 2 3 4 5
19.	are sure of always having a job pasti yang anda sentiasa mempunyai pekerjaan
20.	add beauty to the world menembeh kecantikan kepada alam
ź1.	make your own decisions mambuat keputusan-keputusan anda 12345
22.	have pay increases that keep up with the cost of living mendapat kenaikan gaji yang selaras dengan nilai hidup
23.	digeber deri segt mental
• 24.	menggunakan kebolehan kepemimpinan 12345
25	have adequate lounge, toile and other facilities mempunyai bilk rihat, kemudahan tandas 1 2 3 4 5 dan lain-lain kemudahan yang cukup
~ 26.	have a way of life, while not on the Job, that you like mempunyal call Midup yang and sukt 1 2 3 4 5 di luar mass dimenja

ļ

•

181 ŧ

· ·

•

•

•		4,1					. /	182
¹	0	•	¥ .			. ¹ .		•
4 • •					N · .	٠	•	
· · · ·				2				
····•		الم من المناطق	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·· ··· ··· ·		
ب	· .			•	· ·			° • `
· · ·		•	i . Tata j			<u> </u>		
•	27, .	form friends	hips with you	ur fellow		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	
	• •	membentuk per	rsahabatan de	• .	12	3 4 5		-
σ		rakan sepekei	rjaan					·
. •	28	know that oth important	· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	••	tahu yang ora anda itu pent	ing lain meng	anggan kerja	1 2	3 4 5	المر وسروب المراجع	•
	29			-11	•.		-	
•		do not do the tidak membuat setiap masa	perkara yan	ali the time g sama	1 2		1	
	20					3 4 5		• •
	30.	feel you have resa yang and	helped anot a telah memb	her person antu orang	112	3 4 5	· · .	•
	•		1.2				-	
•	31	add to the we membaiki hal (11-being of (kebaiikan or	other person	1 2	3 4 5		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		do many differ	ent things	•			•	
•	•••	berlainan	angnacam per	rkara yang	1 2	3 4 5		
0	33	are looked up	to by others	•				
		dihormati oleh	orang lain.	•	1 2	3 4 5	•	
3	¥	have good cont	acts with fe	110w workers	ו ריין נכין		99. 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	
ن		rakan-rakan se	ellan yang b Pekerjaan	alk dengan	. [] []	2 4 5		•
3	15	lead the kind	of life you	most enjoy	، نسب لیسا			
м	••••	hidup menurut (sukai	cara yang an	da ant t	12	3 3 4 5		
3	6	.have a good pla	ice in which	to work			•	
•	Ů	(good lighting, space, etc.)	guiet, clea	an, enough			•	• •
	**••	-mempunyai tempa -bekerja (cukup	Cerah. Sunvi	untuk 1. bersih		3 4 5		
		SELESE CEN 1911	i-laīn) 描					-
37	7 	.plan and organi merancany dan m	ze the work	of others				
Ę		orang lain		in nei ja	ЧĽЦ	3 4 5		· · ·
38	l	.need to be ment .perlu cergas ot	ally alert	•	ភេទា		۰ ، .	
		yes UC	-			되다빈		

•		
39.	are paid enough to live right dibayar gaji secukupnya untuk hidup sempurna	12345.
40.	are your own boss ,menjadi tuan sendiri	12345
41.	make attractive productsmembuat has11-has11 yang menarik	12345
42.	are sure of another job in the company if your present job ends terjamin mendapat kerja lain di dalam syarikat itu jika kerja sekarang tamat	12305
43.	have a supervisor who is considerate	12345.
44.	see the results of your effortsmelthat has11-has11 usaha anda	12345
45.		12345

• 183

 Σ_{i}^{i}

Now check to be sure that you rated every statement * ъ.

.

÷.,

•

1.

٠.

1

,

ł

Sekarang semak semula untuk menentukan yang anda telahpun menflat tiap-tiap kenyataan. • • J. • >>

> END OF WORK VALUES INVENTORY INVENTORI NILAI-NILAT MENGENAI PEKENJAAN TANAT DISINI

÷

٥.

.

ς.

.

2

· · ·

42

•



Instructions to the Respondents

Each one of you should have THREE different sets of papers:

- . a questionnaire
- 2. a Vocational Preference Inventory with answer sheet

185 🕔

3. a Work Values Inventory

(You may wish to show to the class each type of the papers and the answer for Vocational Preference Inventory.)

QUESTIONNAIRE

The questionnaire consists of 24 questions in English and Bahasa Malaysia.

- some of these questions require that you write the answers, like Question 1, 2, 7, 11, etc.
- b. some require that you place a tick like this (\checkmark) in the box provided, like Question 3, 5, 9, etc.
- some require that you write numbers in the boxes. Refer especially to Question 21. (Tell them that they have to fill all the boxes provided with numbers from 1 to 15. Explain the meaning of ranking in order of importance, if you feel necessary.)

Vocational Preference Inventory

The VPI has two parts. One part lists 160 occupational titles; the other part is the answer sheet. (Show them what you mean, if necessary.)

Please read each title and decide for yourself whether

- a. you like it
- b. you dislike it

 you are undecided about it; that is, neither like nor dislike

Once you have decided, please mark your answer (a or b above) on the answer sheet. If you like the occupation, you should blacken Y on the answer sheet, corresponding to the number given on the test. If your dislike it, you should blacken N on the answer sheet. Make suce that the number on the answer sheet corresponds with the number on the test. That is, if the number is 35 on the test, then the part to be marked on the answer sheet must also be 35.

IF YOU ARE UNDECIDED, DON'T MAKE ANY MARK ON THE ANSWER SHEET.

Nothing is to be written on the VPI: All writing must only be done on the answer sheet, including your name, the name of your school, the stream that you are in.

(Note to data collector: Please collect both items separately when completed.)

Work Values Inventory

The Work Values Inventory consists of 45 statements regarding the satisfaction or reward one looks for in, and from work. The relative importance of each statement varies from person to person. There is no right or wrong answer. Some statements may be very important to some; while other statements may be very important to some others. The importance of each statement can be assigned a value of 1 to 5, 1 being the least important, and 5 the most impor-

You are to read each statement carefully and decide for yourself how important that statement is to you. You can then blacken one of the five boxes adjacent to the statement. Each of these boxes has a number in it, which indicates the relative importance

> 5 means very important 4 means important 3 means moderately important 2 means of little importance 1 means unimportant

(Note to data collector: Please put the scate on the blackboard and give further explanation if necessary. Let the pupils have as much time as they need to complete all the 3 sets.

IMPORTANT: PLEASE STRESS THE IMPORTANCE OF GIVING THEIR NAMES, SCHOOL, AND STREAM ON ALL. THE SETS.)

Arahan Kepada Murid-Murid

187

Tiap-tiap seorang kamu diberi TIGA set kertas:

- 1. satu soalselidik
- 2. satu Inventori Pemilihan Vokesyenal
- 3. satu Inventori Nilai-nilai Mengenai Pekerjaan

(Guru tunjukkan tiap-tiap set kepada murid-murid dan juga kertas jawapan untuk Inventori Pemilihan Vokesyenal.)

SOALSELIDIK

Set ini mengandungi 24 soalan dalam Bahasa Inggeris dan Bahasa Malaysia

a.

b.

с.

setengah dari soalan-soalan ini memerlukan kamu menulis jawapan-jawapannya seperti Soalan 1, 2, 7, 11, dan lain-lain.

setengahnya memerlukan kamu menandakan begini (\checkmark) dalam kotak-kotak yang telah disediakan seperti Soalan 3, 5, 9, dan lain-lain.

Soalan 21 memerlukan kamu menyenaraikan angkaangka dalam kotak-kotak bagi menunjukkan pentingnya jawapan-jawapan itu. (Untuk guru: beri penjelasan tentang "<u>ranking in order of</u> importance.")

Inventori Pemilihan Vokesyenal

Set ini terdiri dari 2 bahagian. Bahagian pertama mengandungi satu senarai nama-nama untuk 160 jenis kerja; behagian kedua adalah kertas jawapan. (Guru tunjuk pada murid contoh-contoh VPI.)

Kamun dikehendaki membaca tiap-tiap jenis kerja dan menentukan samada kamu

a. suka kerja itu

b. tidak suka kerja itu

. tidak dapat menentukan samada suka atau tidak

. 4

Setelah menetapkan jawapannya, kamu dikehendaki menandakan

jawapan (a atau b) di dalam kertas jawapan. Sekiranya kamu suka sesuatu kerja kamu dikehendaki menghitamkan Y dalam kertas jawapan untuk angka yang sama dengan angka jenis kerja dalam senarai namanama kerja. Jikalau kamu tidak suka kerja itu, kamu dikehendaki menghitamkan N dalam kertas jawapan. Kamu mesti berhati-hati dalam menentukan bahawa angka-angka jawapan dalam kertas jawapan adalah sama dengan angka-angka dalam kertas soalan. Misalnya, sekiranya angka dalam kertas soalan adalah 35; bahagian yang mesti ditandakan dalam kertas jawapan adalah 35 juga. 188

SEKIRANYA KAMU TIDAK DAPAT MENENTUKAN PILIHAN KAMU, JANGAN BUAT APA-APA TANDA DI DALAM KERTAS JAWAPAN.

Jangan tulis apa-apa pun dalam VPI. Semua yang hendak ditulis mesti dicatit dalam kertas jawapan termasuk nama kamu, sekolah dan jurusan.

(Untuk guru: Tolong kumpulkan kedua-dua behaĝian berasingan setelah siap.)

Inventori Nilat-nilai Pekerjaan

Inventori Nilai-nilai Pekerjaan terdiri Hari 45, kenyataan berkenaan dengan rasa puashati yang seseorang berusaha untuk mendapatnya dari kerjanya. Pentingnya tiap-tiap kenyataan adalah berlainan dari seorang keseorang. Tidak ada jawapan betul atau salah. Setengah kenyataan barangkali penting bagi setengah orang; yang lain bagi orang lain. Pentingnya tiap-tiap kenyataan itu boleh diberi nilái l hingga 5, 1 bererti tidak penting dan 5 amat penting.

Kamu dikehendaki membaca tiap-tiap kenyataan dengan teliti dan menetapkan sejauhmana kenyataan itu penting kepada kamu. Lepas itu kamu bolehlah menghitamkan <u>satu</u> daripada <u>lima</u> kotak-kotak berhampiran dengan kenyataan itu. Tiap-tiap kotak ini mempunyai satu angka dalamnya yang menunjukkan pentingnya kenyataan itu.

> 5 bererti anat penting 4 bererti penting 3 bererti sederhana pentingnya 2 bererti tidak begitu penting 1 bererti tidak penting

(Guru terangkan kepada murid bagaimana menggunakan senarai nilai ini. Sekiranya murid-murid sudah jelas méngenai mpa yang mereka mesti buat, bolehlah mereka mulai menjawab soalan-soalan dalam ketigaketiga set. Berilah kepada mereka sebanyak mana masa yang mereka perlu menjawab soalan-soalan itu.

0

-

189

.

. . . AMAT PENTING: MURID DIINGATKAN JANGAN LUPA MENULIS NAMA MEREKA, NAMA SEKOLAH DAN JURUSAN DALAM SEMUA KERTAS JAWAPANNYA.)

* 0 • • • • •

-

. .



VPI Scales <u>M</u> = 377	Conventional Economic	Feminine Social	Social Desirability (-)	Material World	Status	Artistic
æ	4	01	17	3	ાં	
(-	.20	• •	35	193	8	.13
5	34	5	. 60	.23	8	80
U,	2	8	.	26	8	8
E	8	.03	18	8	8.	ور.
k	60.	6	19	.26	.12	3
Sc	25	• 03 •	12	19	3	- 12
	-15	ਡ	16	.10	8 .	07
, SI	.20	.13	8.	8	ઝા	.10
	8	8	<u>67</u> .	19	01	
		.37	Şİ	ઝાં	10	8,
XAR	2.0	- \$.	1.40	1.25	1.17	
	26.0	19.7	17.9	15.9	14.9	5.7
scv	18.5	14.0	- 12.8	11.4	10.6	

-

1

Percentage of ercentage of Total Variance; 5

.

;



		. _			- , - , - ,	بر سے		۰.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			•			•				•
	Masculinity	Ī		, ,				-)) (•	•		G	•
, XS	Masc	ş	0.428	0.318	-0.146	0.054	0.046	0.384	0.127	168.0		1110	ACC.0	1 327	19.163	17, 604			•
sian (Mal) Bo	fidence (-)	[#	-0.074	-0.60]	-0.049	0.127	0.141	-0.054	0.457	-0.035			-0.087	1.375	¢	Ċ,	•	(N = 613)	•
and Malays	Self-Confidence	Can C	-0.072	-0.667	-0.103	0.026	-0.063	-0.107	0.433	-0.018	-0,088	0.864	-0.210	1.464	.13.308	18.620	0	704: XCV	
dian (Can)	Status	I.	-0.022	0.244	0.110	0.053	0.042	-0.069	0.678	0.807	<u>0:73</u>	0.175	0.163	1.847	16.790	23,772			
e for Canad	Sta	Can	-0.590	0.145	0.081	-0.066	-0.023	0.081	0.742	0.016	0.853	0.230	0.001	1.720	15.633	21.873	entitue af		
		IBN	<u>0.776</u>	0.575	0.857	0.852	0.84	0.695	-0-304	0.017	0.266	0.238	0.859	4.547	41.341	58.531	Ē	~3	
IdA	I Cational	5	0.505	0.366	0.742	0.770	0.818	0.607	-0.200	-0.030	0.323	0.032	<u>167.0</u>	3.342	30,378	42.503	VAR - Variance;	×	
°p	1		× •		n (• •	4		, , ,	E .	7		t 1	X	812	rcv	Note. VAR	Vertences Can (

•

VUCATIONAI Anterest	ta tur		Self-Confidence (-)	dence (-)	Masculinity
Ę	RC	ž	RĊ	R.	S S
0.814	-0.600	0.017	0.085	0.075	0.492
0.611	0.230	0.230	(-0.385)	-0.596	0.432
0.865	0.003	0.131	-0.036	-0.126	-0.153
0.881		0.043	-0.050	0.138	0.143
0.857	-0.008	0.082	-0.063	0.159	0,083
<u>0,657</u>		-0.068	0.054	-0. 036	-0.118
-0.298	0.80	0.729	(0.370)	0.426	0.070
0.075	-0.031	0.842	-0.004	0.022	0.918
0.289	0.846	0.821	-0.074	-0.083	-0-015
Q.245	0.132 (0.182	0.945	0.875	000
0.840	-0.067	0.202	0.008	-0.219	1460
4.669	1.800 2	2.073	1.202	1.425	017
42.443	16.365 18	18.84	10.925	12.951	12 60
57.172	22.817 25	25, 383 -		17. 445	17 000

Vocational Interes	erest	Status	tus	Self-Confidence	fidence (-)	. Mascul Inter	fulty
5		'n	5	, CC	5	3	5
0.558 0.756	· %	-0.557	-0.022	-111.0-	-0.171	0.367	
0.313 <u>0.561</u>	[]	0.090	0.246	-0.684	-0.611.	® 0.328	•
0.720 0.854	24	0.157	0.106	-0.133	-0.014	-0.145	•
0.790 0.839	6	-0.111	0:068	0.038	0.111	-0.007	
0.821 0.840	9	0.018	0.02]	-0.082	0.133	0.022	•
0.582 0.713	<u>13</u>	0.129	-0.077	-0.118	-0.057	-0,448	·
-0.207 -0.302	8	0.617	0.644	0.557	0.484	0.161	•
-0.006 -0.008	8	0.070	0.804	0.00	-0.085	0.877	4
0.293 0.265	65	0.877	0.728	-0.015	0.101	0.054	•
0.010 0.239	39	0.151	0.173	0.875	0.840	0.152	•
0.782 0.866	<u>66</u>	0.043	0.142	-0.252	-0.032	0.211	
3.304 4.502	8	1.552	1.725	1.678	1.395	1.330	•
30.040 40.923	3	14.310	15.681	15.250	12.679	12.090	•
42.020 59.066	66	J9.737	22.633	21.332	18.301	16.912	•

		Status	tus	Self-Conf	Self-Confidence (-)	Mascul inity
RHZ		RC2	RtZ	RC2	242	RC2 RH2
.799		-0.599	-0.041	0.068	0.226	0.394
.736	17	0.267	191.0	-0.244	-0.393	0.364
0.884	e V	600 °-	0.087	-0.029	0.026	-0.180
0.854		0.073	0.014	-0.069	0.178	0.124 -
0.838		-0.027	0.087	+0.120	0.251	0:031
0.786		-0.061	0.020	0.149	-0.074	-0.154
-0.304		0.817	0.797	(0.323)	< 0.273	0.085
0.112	·	/ -0.027	0.807	-0.00	0.051	0.941
0.302 ·		0.844	0.829	-0.060	-0.134	-0.010
0.168		0.125	0.127	0.955	0.926	-0.014
. <u>875</u>		-0.078	0.079	0.050	-0.090	0.181
666		1.842	2.051	1.128	1.267	1.288 -
45.446	· . ·	16.749	18.644	10.252	11.519	п.л
60.107		23.057	24.658	14.113	15.235	° 16.120 -
YPI Factor Structure for Urban Canadian One (UCI) and Urban Malaysian One (UMI) Boys Table 16

Self-Confidence (-0.253 -0.419 0.000 0.888 -0.04 0.120 0.781 -0.0 6.13 0.01 8.8 8.0 5.35 ò 0.066 0.764 0.109 0.055 -0.037 0.089 -0.187 0.439 -0.090 0.789 -0.058 1.474 13.398 19.277 ទ្ធ Note: VAR - Variance; XTV - Percentage of Total Variance; XCV - Percentage į 0.278 0.279 1.356 12.329 -0.132 0.86 -0.138 16,323 0.551 0.015 0.045 211.0 0.354 0.06] 5 Mascul ine -0.118 -0.05 -0.216 -0.113 0.500 1.946 -0.776 -0.256 0.552 17.187 0.111 0.021 1.314 -0.07 5 14.312 10.810 -0.013 -0.179 0.145 0.172 0.814 -0.047 1.189 -0.591 0.082 0.114 0.212 0.167 5 Status, 26.268 18.258 0.290 0.759 0. 380 0.00 0.638 0.377 0.103 0.121 0.01 0.856 0.094 2.008 3 Vocational Interest 49,036 0.618 0.878 4.074 0.495 0.800 0.798 0.739 0.124 37.037 0.821 .377 0.107 0.094 H 2.849 37.268 0.814 0.116 0.694 25.903 0.113 0.180 0.610 0.094 0.132 -0.032 S 0.84 NCV NCV E

×.

′ **198** .

(N = 67); UM

Variance; UCI

Table 17

'VPI Factor Structure for Urban Canadian Two (UC2) and Urban Malaysian Two (UM2) Boys

Mascul inity ŝ VAR = Variance; XTV = Percentage of Total Variance; XCV = Percentage of Common Variance 0.430 230 0.409 0.030 0.138 0.028 0.469 0.870 0.0 18.0 0.096 0.437 1.550 21.730 14.08 Self-Confidence (-) -**0.**160 0.013 g 0.570 0.455 0:141 0.167 0.169 0.836 0.10 -0.00 12.218 -0.0 16.881 HE. -0.416 -0.583 0.010 -0.170 680⁻⁰⁻ 88/ 23 0.84 0.102 0.666 612:0 2.279 20.737 -0.196 31.958 0.017 -0.135 0.120 0.224 0.097 -0.06 0.818 16.802 S. 0.674 0.748 0.215 1.848 0.161 23.217 Status . 22 22 Vocational Interest 2H) 0.776 0.626 0.870 0.855 0.847 0.755 ALE.0-0.025 0.870 0.277 0.263 59.905 4.763 13:353 (0.395) 0.400 0.788 0.742 NC2 0.768 0.639 0.213 0.000 -0.131 46:312 0.716 30.023 0.491 3:303 Note. XX E

199

JC2 (1 + 183); UN2 (1 + 237)

non Variance g Mascul Inity 1.592 21.704 0.718 0.016 -0.199 14.476 S 0.196 0.037 -0.143 0.036 0.606 0.305 0.717 0.034 VAR - Variance: STV - Percentage of Total Variance: SCV - Percentage of Co Self-Confidence (-) 0.195 (-0.329) (0.380) -0.248 0,157 0.023 0.882 -0.063 .285 11.683 17.680 0.061 -0.172 0.304 EN3 -0.389) -0.193 24.634 -0.224 -0.163 16.430 0.699 -0-200 0.088 -0.209 0.887 0.268 0.321 1.807 3 22.702 -0.273 0.078 3.650 15.002 0.073 0.796 0.146 0.137 0.657 0.020 <u>0.676</u> ŝ 0.024 0.03 Status g Vocational Interest 0.223 0.849 53.662 59.618 0.786 0.066 0.100 35.790 & 39.396 0.605 0.850 0.820 0. Ě13 0.425 0.574 EH 4.334 0.666 0.436 0.035 D.824 3.937 0.198 0.866 0.719 -0.097 0.711 0.350 S 0.824 Note. 2

Table 18

VPI Factor Structure for Urban Canadian Three (UC3) and Urban Malaysian Three (UM3) Boys

UC3 (<u>N</u> = 64); UK3 (<u>N</u> = 99)



Appendix J

WVI Factor Structure as Identified by Hendrix and Super (1968)







Miterial Solid Expression Mare Con Nal Con Nal Con 0.0001 0.012 9.7714 0.695 0.695 0.180 0.012 9.7714 0.695 0.695 0.180 0.012 9.7714 0.695 0.695 0.180 0.012 9.7714 0.695 0.695 0.180 0.012 0.172 0.395 0.496 0.180 0.012 0.172 0.395 0.496 0.190 0.012 0.122 0.395 0.496 0.190 0.013 0.186 0.186 0.496 0.1916 0.192 0.186 0.186 0.496 0.1916 0.192 0.186 0.186 0.496 0.1916 0.294 0.296 0.296 0.496 0.1916 0.294 0.296 0.296 0.496 0.1916 0.296 0.296 0.296 0.496 0.291 0.296	Meer and Prestige Esthetic Expression	Ral Con		0.146 - 0.304	0°./(3	0.233 - 0.265	0.253 - 0.212	-0.112 0.077	0.3% - 0.0M	0.1450.018	8.003 - 8.044	6.0 ⁽²⁾ - 9.744	0.051 - 0.051	<u>0.701</u> - 0.203	0.173 - 0.751	0.2540.001	e.1710.094	0.006 - 0.122	2.002	13.745	23.377 - 16.53
Inflat Self-Equilibrit Inal Self-Equilibrit Inal Self-Equilibrit 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 9.771 0.012 0.122 0.012 0.123 0.026 0.124 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.193 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194 0.264 0.194		3	3	0.65	0.70			-64					0.60	0.55	6.373		121.0		2.561	17.00	22.52
Tal 0.03	Mittee	. 7	.::	0.692	0.36	*	9.037	0.276	0.251		0.55		0.007	0.676	0.041		0.643	8.0	2.20	14.713	23.62
	Self-Ex and Sector	8		9.71	0.122	0.621	8.18	0.133	0.255	0.134	0.255	8	0.18	9.23	0.¥	-0.100 0	0.676	0.750	2.622	17.42	191.92
Rate of the second seco	Ŧ	4		0.012	-0.035	0.434	0.641	0-170	0.556	0.736	0.479	0.082	0.244	0.260	0.074	6:16	0.212	0.203	3.093	20.62]	36.072
		5		0.03)	0.180	0.433	0.558	0.747	0.605	0.747	0.614	0.000	0.380	0.219	0.320	0.665	0.417	0.082	3.344	2.2	39.260

3.

:

.

204

Í,

	1	1			•					
	2	Ē	2	i. E	2	.	멅	æ	2	E
5	-0.117	0.071	0.667		•.278	0.427	0.363	•	•	0.286
Ĩ	0.014	-0.075	0.061		<u>6.773</u>	9.66	0.184	•	•	0.055
2 - 2 - 1	0.399	0.422	0.645		0.016	0.49	0.212		• •	0.310
3	0.40	0.702	-0.028	•	. 673	0.172	0.195		•	0.000
æ	0.635	0.626	, 0.077	- 1 - 1	800.0	-0.097	0.035	•	•	0.465
.	0.588	0.545	0.073		9.23	0.463	0.497		•	0.142
×	0.673	0.779	0.066	•	0.240	0.075	0.103		•	0,095
Y	0.687	0.308	0.193		9.26	0.011	-0.076	1	• • • • •	0.738
. 21 [0.00	0.051	0.513	•	0.415	0.53	-0.211		• • •	0.211
£	0.382	1	0.115		0.560	0.569	0.109	•	و ترواردو	-0.106
-	0.120	0.224	-0.075	•	0.216	0.712	0.762	•	ул 174 у: •	-0.142
X	0.053		0.206		0.150	0.63	0.529	•	, Atértu	0.315
	0.512	tanê. St	-0.295		0.466	0.024	0.292	ູ່ ບ 1	•	-0.014
Z	0.217	-0.015	0.736	•	9.026	0.232	-0.220	۱	inausi I	Sr.1
SI	0.0	0.382	0.676	•	-0.171	0.289	0.184			0.274
5	R 2.482	3.036	2.323		1.923	2.733	1.597		•.	1.757
Ē	V 16.548	20.239	15.525		12.819	18.618	10.644	•		11.715
23	V 29.796	40.021	27.965		230.62	318:36	19.167		•	23.16

S International State 5

Ş

¥

Table 12 WI Factor Structure 13

 \overline{a}

205

1	а.,						
	UC M		5	8	5	R	5
	- 0.039	0.800	- 22'0	- - - -	0.137	•	0.283
	0.006	0.275	0.361	•	0.748	•	0.022
	0.470	0.67	0.480		0.208	•	0.210
	- <u>0.561 ^m</u>	0.250	0.66	•	0.358	1 1 1	0.187
· ·	- <u>0.815</u>	0.231	0.214		190.0-	• • 7	860.0
•••	0.562	0.383	0.270	•	0.412	•	0.014
1 1 1	0.747	0.208	Q. 20	•	0.166	•	0.06
•	0.525	0.400	0.473	•	0.074	•	0.013
•	0.077	0.514	0.260	•	0.023	•	0.745
s. s	8. 5 8. 5		0.019 A 107	•	1.0	•	
•	0.074	8	-0.017		0.161	•	0.78
· •.	167.0	0.075	0.038	•	0.334	•	0.048
•	0.343	0.613	0.55	•	0.137	•	2/0.0-
. •	0.183	<u>6.73</u>	0.750	0. •	0.109	•	0.069
•	3, 191	3.479	2.279	•	102.2	•	1.380
•	21.275	2.13	15.193		14.676	•	9.197
	35.258	4 .605	25.178		24.322	•	15.242

•

1a

206

•				¢ -,	•	•		•		•		•	•				-		•		ي بر بيشر مريد			
•	-		•	•				•		•	· .	• •			•			•	•	•		•	•	۰. ۲
			•		•	ť	•	:	:	· .	•					•	•	•		•		•	۲۰۰۲ -	
. .	•	•	• • •	•	,	ал 1	•	•	•		•	•			•••	F	~~ ~~		•	t****	· · · · ·	•	!	
-1		• . •	•			. •'				•••	۰.	- •	· · ·		•		•			-	•			
· · ·	•••			•																•			<u></u>	1
	Creativity.		0.755	0.15	161.0	-0.255	-0.013	-10 ⁻⁰⁻	0.086	-0-044	0, 188	-0.534	0.133	0.169	0.204	001.0	0.078	1.125	7.498	12.411				
.	i s	2	•	•	1	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		:(/2 =		
Boys	Ē		S	m	8	œ.	2	2			i.	20	~		•	۱.		•	-	-				
			0.6	0.113	0.289	0.239	2.430	0.020	-0.08	0.741	0.236	-0.028	-0.217	0.196	0.008	0.708	0.162	1.574	10.491	17.365			÷	
2	Social melations	2		•	∎	•	•		•	•	9 - 9 - •		•	•	•		•			•				
i soli	X						•	0	: · :				¢		•							J.		
M lin		¥ -	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		1	•	•	•		•	ſ		5 / F		
		2	0.252	0.00	0.240	190.0-	0.131	0.599	0.068	-0.023	-0.255	0.754	. 751		0.235	0.217	0.192	1.516	10.106	8				•
			ń. 151	0.056	12.0		<u>1%.c</u>	0.428	0.774	0.376	0.03	0.233	0.303 0.757	P.050 0.39	0.866	0.003 -0.217	0-1+0	2.923	1 201 - 61	2.256 17.062	and a farmer			
		Ĩ	1.1							112								· . / :						
			-0.068	-0.127	0.265	0.419	0.65	0.511	0.618	0.654	0.111	0.258	0.0	0.169	0.400	0.18	0.02	2.03	13.65	24.456	Total Variance			
		2	0.313	0.692	0.595	0.165	-0.067	0.666	0.237	0.104	0.565	0.608	0.57	0.693	0.057	0.284	101.0	3.441	22.937	37.967				
Poter and Pot			6	iol	~			•	•			101							an an An an An		them o			
Fortu		RC KC	0.263	0.785	0.067	0.584	-0.056	-0.059	0.349	0.298	0.304	0.615	0.306	660.0	0.630	-0.028	-0.109	2.23	14.876	26.293	and			
WVI Factor Structure for	<u>.</u>	••				•				· .									• (E			
IN L	and Social Refations	2	•			•	0	•	•	- - -	•	•	• 4	•	•	•	•	•		•	Note. VM - Variance: STV - Percentae			
	cial a		i. mi		÷		÷.				M I		····		2	÷.		ر . در د			- X			
Sis	and So	ŭ	0.733	0.123	0.714	0.125	0.073	0.UT	0.126	0.16	0.508	0.113	0.006	162.0	-0.2%	0.767	0.722	2.664	17.759	31.390	N I	8		
			5	2	Ŷ	3	SR SR	- 	Я	Q	2	đ.	8	VA.	E	7	ľS	X			A ON	(% - II) 24		
		1	5	2	¥	3	š	Ħ	Ж	Q	8	æ	3	8	ä	Z	2	ž	E	3		Ĩ	ي بور ايد دو	

Secial Relations	0.233	0.143 -0.027	<u>0.735</u>	0.274 <u>0.815</u>	9.146 -0.038	 	-0.072 0.669	0.302 2.009	13.88 23.76	
	0.315		-a.021 	e.153 0.227	6.23		e.cse	°, 0, 107	144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144	
	10.20	0.28	0.015	3 3	0.065 1.772	6.1%	0.09	0. 130 1 02 1 02		
	0.065 -0.091	0.43 <u>8</u>	3	0	0000	Lion-	0.015 -0.031	0.545 2.787	18.6	

an Three WI Fact

S A for here H

.

Variance: 1913 (<u>N</u> .

					•								-			e deste	-						6	
	• Beauty and Surrounding	5	0.124	0.054	0.287		50 0	0.016		0.0 13	0.674	10	0.220	0.611	800	0.76	07160	1.672 ⁰	11.147	17.402	18).			
	Surro	5	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	. •	S) UN			
Boys	ectual pression	5	0	•	•	•	•			•		• •	•	•	•	•		•	.r		<u>i</u> = 67);	€ ¶ 		
and Urban Multurian Dine (UN) Bays	Non-Intellectual Exthetic Expression	uc1	-0.020	-0.025	0.070	0.035	8.9	0.250	-0.057	-0.032	0 . M 3	0.053	0.391	0.227	0.106	0.100	9.18	1.370	9.137	13.035	Nariance; UCI (1 = 67); UNI	0		
a lavel		Sin	J		, , 71		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		-	∎ ^{rr}				
	Sectal Relations	tc1.	0.204	0.251	0.238	-0.149	0.254	9.6	0.158	0.724	0.113	0.010		0.257			0.077	1.679	¥	15.970				
		5	-0.176	0.780	0.114	0.224	0.043	0.193	0.224	0.03	0.279	23	0.610	101.0	6.13	58.	52.0	2.030	3.531	21.12	- Percentag		6	
t for than Canadian One	Social terialistic Power and Prestige	5	-0.092	0.801	0.154	0.65	0.189	0.210	8	0.306	0.046	0.731	152-0	0.251	3	0.17	0.169	3.156	21.039	30.075 2) .		
	l Istic Pu	Ug	•	•	 •	•		t	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	1	,		Total Varian			
		5	0.033	-12	0.575	0.132	0.751	<u>0:/30</u>	0.413	0.140	0.172	0.221	-0.00	0.061	0.275	0.166	0.18	8.1	B.m	18.078	5			
ctor. Str	ession Relation	u	0.762	0.403	0.438	-0.029	0.128	0.203	0.208	0.570	0.359	0.113	0.129	0.033	-0.121	0.482	0.806	2.421	6.143	5.202	Percenta			
WI Factor Structur	Self-Expression and Social Relations Na	13	0.830	0.051	0.550		0.030	0.101	-0.044	0.071	0.192	-0.003	0.637	0.539	0:036	0.225	0.667	2.408	16.052 16.143	22.301 25.202	- ALL : 30		4	6
		5	50	0.00	0.553	0.519	<u>0.749</u>	<u>0.726</u>	0.758	9 10	0.030	0.362	0.436	-0,081	0.707	0.457	0.110	3.486	23,234	36.272	<u>Note</u> . VAR - Varlance; STV - Percente		٩	
	Na ter i a l	ទ														•					N			

				•				•	•		•			а. 1. 	•				•		S.	ч
		•								•	F V				••			- (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	•		•
(2m) .	ession lelation	2	0.783	0.278	0.449	0.057	0.236	0.233	0.118	0.344	0.637	0,002	0.078	0.198	0.08)	0.463	0.67	2.259	5.061	27.549		
WI Factor Structure for Urban Canadian Two (UC2) and Urban Melaysian Two (UN2) Bays	Self-Expression and Social Relations	. 102	0.557	0.112	0.498	0.132	0.260	0.204	0.305	11.0	0.616	0.20	0.110	0.342	-0.145	89 .0	0.739	2.552	17.016	28.591	of Total Variance: XCV -	.[92]
and Urben	boor and Postige	25	0.153	0.628	0.227	0.48	-0.031	0.27	0.101	0.098	0.353	0.719	0.637	0.502	6.316	0.066	620.0	2.249	14.994	27.427	a of Total Ya	- H) 240 (201
adian Two (u	Power and	nt2	0.622	0.690	0.405	0.365	0.102	0.310	0.222	0.222	0.341	62/.0	0.690	0.433	0.399	6 0.0	0.109	2.051	19.00	31.922	Note. V.R Variance: STV - Percentus	ince; U2 (I -
for Urban Ca			0.208	0.209	0.509	0.591	0.789	0.641	0.785	<u>0:599</u>	0.040	0.301	0.351	-0.090	0.699	0.421	0.316	3.692	24.613	45.023	Variance: ST	Comon Varias
Structure	Nutrial	62	0.132	0,193	0.43	0.621	<u>0.763</u>	0.649	0.692	0.494	-0.013	0.335	0.352	0.376	0.740	0.456	0.163	3.52A	23.495	39.477		Percentage of Commo
à			5	5	ندان د ب و	3	S.		щ	9	8	£) (2	VNR	s	z	IS	X	È	¥CV.	1	Perce

۱ ۱

210

-

	•				. •	` •				ín.		: .				•	•	•					•	•	211
· •	· · ·							÷.						•	. ·					•			:		•
•	•	•	•		•								•					•	•						
	· .		•	•	•						• •		•	• • •					•	•			•	•	
			ø	•••	•						•			•	-					•	1		• . -		•
e	Esthetic Expression	3	0.282	8	0.101	-0.176	0.067	0,110 0	0.110	0.066	0.819	152.0	8. 	0.593	0.125	0.125	-0.215	1.366	10.0	14.679	8	· • · • . :	•		
•	C Expr			÷0.10	ö	9	9	~ o	0	.	ő	Ó	o,	oj	o,	Ö	9 .	1	۵.	1				. •	•
•	sthet	3	, † ,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• 5	•	•	1 •	1 0 	, ● •	e l		es); ud (i			•	-
						• ,	۰. ب								•					18 1. 1	3		÷.	•	-
	eston le lations		0.733	0.365	0.69	0.018	0.147	0.135	0.10	0.239	0.007	66.0	20.0	0:363	0.037	0.46	0.580	2.011	B.407	4.767	g				
W. Factor Structure for Urben Canadian Three (UC3) and Urban Malaysian Three (UC3) Boys	Self-Expr and Social								•	 •_•	•		. 1	2 ∎**	١.	•	•		•	, 1	Yer e	•			
laysla			4	•				•		·			•	• •								 . ·		•	
1	stige		0.078	0.725	102.0	0.371	680.0	0.484	0,166	0.0%	0.173	0.759	0.812	0.081	0.333	-0.022	0.175	2.399	16.91	23.962	0.4	•		•	4' . ≚,-
	and Prestige	۱. ۱	0	ol	0	0	7		•	9		01				Y.	, , .		7		Long L				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8		3		•			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					9					;					•	:	•
Table 13 Three (UC		25	0.072	0,103	0.420	0.599	0.00	0.503	0.670	10.0	0.109	0.155	0.142	0.119	0.782	0.479	0.407	3.473	23.153	37.591	ie ie		Ū.		· ·
nadian	Meterial	5	7	9	-01		91 	-			-					•	-	•	1	. ••• • ::• • 1*	Total Variance; XVI	•			
3		3				•					•								÷.; ; •		Total			•	
for th		3		•	e •	1		•		•	•	•	1014. •€	•	, ~ •	•,	•	•	•	1	5	•			
	ton) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	8	5)의	8	0.463	0.252 .	0.507	0.548	0.646	0.683	0.406	0.342	0.534	0.804	4.878	32.52]	47.156	ercent				
or Str	General kork Yalue-1. General Kork Value	EC.	0.79	0.760	0.127	0.416	0.182	3	0	0	6	3	. 	6	. 6 .	ö	ő		8	4	Ē			<u>.</u>	
		₽.	•				ø				1							•				· · ·	•		
5	t vil	g	•	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	•	2	•	•	•	•	•	•	- Vari				
	ral tho	NC3	0.30	0.233	0.500	0.776	0.837	0.714	0.875	0,626	0.436	0.493	0.505	0.5%	0.850	0.550	0.166	5.466	36.443	52.044	X				
	2			0	OI	0 _	- -	0 1	- C I	2	S	æ	: == : ==	<	×		IS	AN	A	• •	1 2			-	
	T.	1	15	£	¥	3	3	. 5	7	*	نت	Ē	.=	2			. -								



List of Participating Schools in Cana Strathcona Composite High School	
St. Joseph's Separate School	Edmonton Edmonton
St. Francis Xavier Separate School	Edmonton
Frank Maddock High School	Drayton Valley
Memorial Composite High School	Stoney Plain
Spruce Grove Secondary School	Spruce Grove

213

•

List of Participating Schools in Malaysia-Sekolah Menengah Abdullah Munsyi Sekolah Menengah Dato' Onn Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Bukit Mertajam Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Kepala Batas Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Sri Muda Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Tanjung Bungi Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Simpang Empat Sekolah Menengah Tunku Abdul Rahman Sekolah Menengah Raja Tun Uda Penang Free School Sekolah Menengah Georgetown Sekolah Menengah Jelutong Sekolah Menengah Kepala Batas Methodist Boys' School Sekolah Menengah Methodist, Nibong Tebal Sekolah Menengah St. George, Balik Pulau Sekolah Menengah St. Mark Sekolah Menengah St. Xavier Sekolah Menengah Sungai Ara 🛛 Sekolah Menengah Tinggi, Bukit Mertajam Sekolah Menengah Westlands Sekolah Menengah Heng Ee Sekolah Menengah Jit Sin Sekolah Menengah Sacred Heart

ATIY

215

NAME: Khamis @ Amir Bin Awang

PLACE OF BIRTH: Alor Star, Kedah, Malaysia Barat

. YEAR OF BIRTH: 1938

POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION AND DEGREES

Language Institute Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1961-1962 Certificate in Education

University of Malaya Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1964-1967 B.A. (Honours)

University of Malaya Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1968-1970 M.Ed.

HONOURS AND AWARDS:,

Kedah State Scholarship 1964-1967

University of Malaya Seniors Scholarship 1966

Department of Malay Studies Book Award. University of Malaya 1967

University of Malaya Book Award University of Malaya 1967 Canadian Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship 1972-1975

Research Assistantship University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada 1974-1975

RELATED WORK EXPERIENCE:

Secondary School Teacher St. Michael's School Alor Star, Kedah 1958-1960

Malay Translator/Interpreter Legislative Council, Penang, Malaysia 1960

Language Teacher Krian National Type Secondary School Kuala Kurau, Perak, Malaysia -1963-1964

Graduate Teacher Sekolah Menengah Khir Johari Sungai Petani, Kedah, Malaysia 1967-1968

Tutor Faculty of Education University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1968

Assistant Lecturer Faculty of Education University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1969-1971

Deputy Dean of Education Faculty of Education University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 1971 Lecturer Pusat Pengajian Ilmu Pendidikan Universiti Sains Malaysia Minden, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia Barat 1971-•

- 4

÷.,