

WORKING PAPER 1999-13

sustainable
forest
management
network

réseau
sur la
gestion durable
des forêts



Gap Dynamics in Boreal Aspen Stands: Is the Forest Older than we Think?

A Network of Centres of Excellence
Un réseau de centres d'excellence

 S.G. Cumming, F.K.A. Schmiegelow and P.J. Burton

For copies of this or other SFM publications contact:

Sustainable Forest Management Network
G208 Biological Sciences Building
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2E9
Ph: (780) 492 6659
Fax: (780) 492 8160
<http://www.ualberta.ca/sfm>

This Working Paper is published by the Sustainable Forest Management Network. All Network Researchers are invited to present ideas and research results in this forum to accelerate their application and to foster interdisciplinary discussion on knowledge, strategies and tools leading to sustainable management of Canada's boreal forest. Working Papers are published without peer review.

Do not cite this Working Paper without the expressed written consent of the author(s).

Gap dynamics in boreal aspen stands: is the forest older than we think?

S. G. Cumming¹, F. K. A. Schmiegelow¹ and P. J. Burton²

¹Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta

²Symbios Research and Restoration, Smithers, B. C.

April 27, 1999

Abstract

Trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) in Western Canadian boreal forests is generally believed to occur as young, even-aged stands, as part of a fire-dominated landscape. However, the available quantitative estimates of the rate of disturbance by fire in this region differ markedly. One estimate is consistent with forests much older than are thought to exist. The theory of gap dynamics may partly reconcile the discrepancy, by providing a mechanism by which old stands could exist, while seeming relatively young.

We surveyed for canopy gaps in 45–69 yr old aspen stands in northeastern Alberta, Canada, and found that expanded gaps occupy 3.6 to 16.6% of stand area, increasing linearly with stand age, over the sampled range. Gaps begin to form \approx 40 yr after stand initiation, through the accumulated mortality of adjacent canopy trees. Despite their shade-intolerance, the densities of aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*) and paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*) saplings were 2 to 3 times higher in gaps than in paired control areas under a closed canopy. Sample plots in older aspen stands in the vicinity had spatially heterogeneous, uneven age structures, consistent with gap dynamics. More extensive samples of stem size structure data and forest inventory data sets indicate that this phenomenon is widespread. We conclude that gap dynamics can maintain near-pure deciduous stands in the absence of shade tolerant competitors. A cellular automata model of aspen stand dynamics, with spatially random mortality, yields predictions consistent with our other results. It follows from the model that stable age structures develop within 250–300 yr, that mean canopy age is a biased estimator of stand age in stands older than 100 yr, and that small-sample maxima have unfavorable sampling distributions. Comparable biases may be present in ages estimated from aerial photography—significant areas of “young” aspen have age structures characteristic of simulated old stands. We present less direct arguments that other components of the Alberta boreal forest are also older than they appear, and outline a new model of its dynamics. We conclude that vast tracts of boreal forest are now being managed on the basis of an incorrectly estimated age structure and a misconception of their landscape dynamics.

A version of this manuscript has been accepted by *Ecological Applications*.

1 Introduction

Natural disturbance regimes have been proposed as models for the management of the circumpolar boreal forest (Hunter, 1993, Haila et al., 1994). Aspen-dominated (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) boreal forests, the focus of the present study, “may represent an ideal candidate for management practices that use natural disturbance as a template” (Lee et al., 1997). The natural disturbance model offers a solution to a problem posed by Franklin (1993), namely, how to maintain biodiversity at regional scales, without watching over every sparrow that falls. The approach assumes that boreal ecosystems as such (Haila, 1994) or, more properly, their constituent biota (Hunter, 1993) are adapted to natural disturbances which can be emulated by management. The available supporting evidence (Bunnell, 1995, Gauthier et al., 1996) draws attention to the disturbance rate, of which wildfire is the largest component (Johnson, 1992). If harvesting and fire are to be compensatory rather than additive, then the natural disturbance model implies that the rate of harvest should be governed by the effectiveness of fire suppression: the total rate of harvest plus burning should approximate the “natural” rate of burning.

Mathematical models (Van Wagner, 1978, Johnson and Van Wagner, 1984) relate forest age structure to fire frequency (the rate of burn) or to its inverse, the fire cycle (the time required to burn an area equal in size to the area of study). Estimated fire cycles for coniferous-dominated boreal forests in Canada range from under 100 to about 500 yr (reviewed by Payette, 1992). For the boreal mixedwood region (Rowe, 1972) in northern Alberta, two different estimates exist (Fig. 1). Murphy (1985) applied Van Wagner’s (1978) methods to an age-class structure derived from forest inventory data, and estimated a pre-suppression fire cycle (c. 1940) of 46 yr, corresponding to a mean annual disturbance rate $r = 0.022$. In contrast, Cumming (1997) studied a 54




Figure 1: Age-structure for the study area, from forest inventory data back-aged to c. 1940 (AP3) and two alternate negative exponential age-structures with their rate constants r , one fitted to the inventory data (Murphy, 1985) and the other estimated from analysis of 54 years of mapped fires (Cumming, 1997).

yr record (1940–1993) of reported and/or mapped fires, correcting for the effect of fire suppression by non-parametric analysis of recent changes in the fire size distribution. The resulting estimate of $r = 0.0041$, or a fire cycle of 244 yr, implies a forest much older than the inventory data suggest. Under some interpretations of the natural disturbance model, sustainable timber yields consistent with these two rates differ by a factor of four (Armstrong et al., 1998).

At least 150,000 km² of northern Alberta’s boreal forest are now being managed at harvest rates consistent with Murphy’s (1985) estimated fire cycle. However, should Cumming’s (1997) estimate be the more nearly correct, planned rates of harvest are incompatible with at least some aspects of a natural disturbance model. The main objective of this paper is to present evidence for an old mixedwood forest, that shows both how old stands can develop, and how their true ages may systematically be underestimated in forest inventories. We then discuss the implications of our findings in light of the regional land-use decisions which have been or are being made.

1.1 Aspen stand dynamics

Aspen is clonal. Therefore, by stand age, we mean the time since the last fire to cause substantial canopy mortality. This is not the same as the ages of aspen clones within the stand, as clonal root systems usually survive fire. The terms “uneven” and “even-aged” refer to the age structure of stems within a stand. The following outline of mixedwood stand dynamics was abstracted from Kabzems et al. (1986) and Peterson and Peterson (1992). Trembling aspen is generally believed to occur as even-aged, pyrogenic stands, which eventually either develop into white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) stands, are consumed by fire, or degenerate to brush-land or meadow as the canopy of old stands collapses. Fire promotes aspen root suckering, the species’ predominant form of regeneration—establishment from seed is infrequent. Most sites which support aspen can also support white spruce, whose post-fire development is characterised as following one of two paths. After a hot fire, if white spruce seed is available, high densities of both species may establish at the same time. Because of its higher initial growth rate, aspen will dominate the site for the first several decades, but after 50 yr, white spruce becomes progressively more conspicuous in the understory and may dominate the canopy by 100 yr, as the aspen senesces. On the second pathway, associated with lighter fires which do not expose much mineral soil, white spruce recruitment is incremental. An “extended mixedwood stage” (Kabzems et al., 1986, p.84) may develop, where the density of canopy white spruce is persistently low. In this paper, we focus on the latter pathway. However, we note that these two developmental paths are part of a continuum of stand trajectories, defined largely by the temporal pattern of white spruce recruitment and growth. The frequency distribution of these trajectories is unknown.

Uneven-aged aspen stands are well documented in the Intermountain Region of the western United States (Harniss and Harper, 1982, Mueggler, 1985, Perala, 1990, Mueggler, 1994). However, published evidence for the existence of such stands in western Canada is speculative or anecdotal. Moss (1932) suggested that mature aspen stands in central Alberta, though apparently collapsing, might develop into uneven-aged stands. Navratil et al. (1990) remark that “aspen stands that have escaped fire for 90 or more

years may have an advanced understory of aspen, often in the range of 40 to 50 years [old]” and that still younger stems may exist in the shrub layer. This implies aspen recruitment in stands aged about 40 yr.

According to the theory of gap phase dynamics (Shugart, 1984, Platt and Strong, 1989), recruitment into the understory may occur when the removal of one or more canopy trees creates a sufficiently large opening. Johnson et al. (1994) found no evidence for gap dynamics in montane coniferous forests of the southern Canadian Rockies. However, Kuuluvainen (1994) reviews a body of evidence for gap dynamics in the boreal coniferous forests of Fennoscandia, and Betters and Woods (1981) describe, without explicitly naming, gap dynamics in aspen stands in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. Most recently, Kneeshaw and Bergeron (1998) have demonstrated gap dynamics in Québec boreal forests: in 78 yr old aspen stands, it is associated with shifting dominance to white spruce and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill), but not with aspen self-replacement.

Aspen is regarded as a shade-intolerant species (Perala, 1990), and, in boreal aspen stands in Alberta, mean irradiance at ground level is lowest at age 50–60 yr (Chesterman and Stelfox, 1995), *i.e.* in the decades immediately following our postulated initiation of gap-phase recruitment. Considerable canopy thinning or large gaps would normally be required to regenerate an intolerant species under these conditions. However, most aspen regeneration is clonal, via root suckers which can be subsidized by canopy trees (Zahner and DeByle, 1965, Perala, 1990, Peterson and Peterson, 1992). The ability of parental ramets to support the growth of daughter ramets in a shaded environment has been demonstrated in herbaceous clonal species (Hartnett and Bazzaz, 1983), but apparently has not been investigated in aspen. While the density of aspen suckers is known to be constrained by hormonal suppression from parental ramets, by low soil temperature and by stand age (Perala, 1990, Peterson and Peterson, 1992) there are always a few suckers even in the cool shady understory of mature aspen stands (pers. obs.). Hence, when even a small gap is created, there is usually sufficient advance aspen regeneration to potentially restock the gap.

We postulated that gap dynamics explain the discrepancies and observations reported above. Because aspen is a relatively short-lived tree, self-maintaining, old-growth aspen stands would not be characterized by the presence of conspicuously large and ancient individual trees. Since stand ages in Alberta’s forest inventory are mostly inferred from photo-interpreted canopy height (Anonymous, 1985), the ages of old stands could be systematically underestimated, which would explain some of the difference between the estimated forest age structures of Fig. 1. We predicted that gap formation in aspen stands begins about 40 yr after stand initiation, and that gaps exhibit elevated recruitment, height growth or survivorship of aspen saplings relative to areas beneath the closed canopy. As such stands age, an uneven, spatially heterogeneous age structure should develop.

To test this line of reasoning, we surveyed for canopy gaps and associated vegetation response in several young aspen stands on field sites in northeastern Alberta. In nearby aspen stands apparently about 130 yr old, we tested for fine-scale spatial heterogeneity in age structure, using both intensive age sampling conducted at a small number of plots, and much more extensive size-structure data. To evaluate the generality of our findings, we analysed several forest inventory data sets, consisting of numerous stem age

samples, each of small size, but distributed over a $\approx 72,000 \text{ km}^2$ region. Using a simple cellular automata model of aspen stand dynamics, we simulated changes in aspen stand age-structures through time. The model output was used to test our analysis of the inventory data sets, and to evaluate the stand-aging methods on which Alberta’s forest inventory data relies.

2 Study area

Our greater study area comprises $\approx 72,000 \text{ km}^2$ of the boreal mixedwood ecological region (Rowe, 1972) in northeastern Alberta (Fig. 2). The mixedwood region, of total extent $\approx 485,000 \text{ km}^2$, is transitional between colder, conifer-dominated forests to the north and warmer, dryer aspen parklands to the south, which are now mostly farmland. In Alberta, $\approx 270,000 \text{ km}^2$ of the mixedwood is still forest land (Strong, 1992), most of which has never been logged. The regional ecology is described by Moss (1932), Dix and Swan (1971), Kabzems et al. (1986) and Strong (1992).

The most abundant tree species are trembling aspen, black spruce (*Picea mariana*), jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*), white spruce and balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*). Paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), tamarack (*Larix laricina*) and balsam fir are widely distributed, but rarely form pure stands. Mature mixed stands with high densities of both aspen and white spruce (“mixed stands,” henceforth) are characteristic of the region. Peatlands and sparsely treed muskeg cover about 49% of the greater study area. The mesic, aspen dominated stands investigated here cover about 17% of the area, or 35% of the forested land. In these stands, the most common tall shrubs are two alder species (*Alnus crispa* and *A. tenuifolia*), and several species of willow (*Salix* spp.) Shrub species common in the lower strata include bracted honeysuckle (*Shepherdia canadensis*), low-bush cranberry (*Viburnum edule*), raspberry (*Rubus ideaus*), several species of currant and gooseberry (*Ribes* spp.) and rose (*Rosa* spp.)

Our field sites are located in a $\approx 100 \text{ km}^2$ area to the immediate west of Calling Lake, Alberta ($55^\circ 15' \text{ N}$, $113^\circ 30' \text{ W}$), near the southwest corner of the greater study area. The area is dominated by mature aspen, mixedwood and white spruce stands mostly over 100 yr old—the proportion of wetlands is only 5.8%. Soils are predominantly clay-loam Luvisols developed from glacial till (Wynnyk et al., 1963).

3 Data sources and Methods

Our analyses relate six different data sets, each sampled at a different spatial scale, resolution and intensity, and collected for different purposes, at different times. They are described here in decreasing order of spatial extent, from broad-scale forest inventory data covering the entire greater study area, down to a small number of 100 m^2 sample plots.

3.1 Forest inventory data

The Alberta Phase 3 forest inventory (Anonymous, 1985) is a set of photo-interpreted 1:15,000 stand maps, covering the entire forested area of the province. Each map, and




Figure 2: Location of the 72,000 km² greater study area within the Province of Alberta, and of the Calling Lake field site, marked “*”.

the associated stand list, covers one *township*, a rectangular land survey unit usually ≈ 9.66 km a side. Stands are areas of approximately uniform canopy species composition, canopy closure and height. Other recorded attributes include the estimated decade of stand origin, and the composition, density and apparent age of any visible understory. In the greater study area, this inventory (data set AP3, henceforth) was interpreted from leaf-on aerial photography flown between 1970 and 1984. AP3 stand origins are estimated using mapped disturbances (since c. 1940), species-specific height/age curves and limited field checking. In this paper, references to stand age by decade of origin (*e.g.*, 1880s), mean “as estimated by AP3.” Stand ages are given in years only for those we aged ourselves. We used AP3 attributes to stratify the various data sets described below, and to guide selection of our field sites. AP3 canopy and understory species descriptions do not always distinguish between aspen, balsam poplar or birch, often lumping them into an “undifferentiated deciduous” category. We refer to all such stands as aspen stands.

3.2 Aspen age data

Two spatially extensive (Fig. 3) sets of tree ages were provided by Pearson-Timberline Forestry Consultants, of Edmonton, Alberta. The first data set (AAD1) was collected during pre-harvest inventories in 1993–94, along transects intersecting 400 widely distributed AP3 stands. Trees were aged from increment cores taken at breast height (≈ 1.3 m) from canopy codominant trees (*sensu* Oliver and Larson, 1990). Reportedly, sampling was restricted to codominants so as to avoid biasing estimated stand ages by including the tallest trees, which were presumed to be veterans of the most recent disturbance.

AAD1 contained 557 aspen or balsam poplar stem ages sampled from 166 aspen-dominated stands. We stratified the samples into four AP3 age classes (1900s, 1880s, 1860s, and older) to qualitatively evaluate changes in stand age-structure over time. Using the same stratification, a one-way ANOVA compared the relative magnitude of within-stand effects (uneven stand age structures) and between-stand effects (stands of different true ages assigned to the same AP3 age class). The ANOVA was restricted to stands with a sample size ≥ 4 .

The second data set (AAD2) contains age, diameter and height measurements for a further 2968 aspen stems, sampled from 897 AP3 stands. Almost all were either pure aspen or mixed stands. Unlike AAD1, AAD2 stems were sampled from all canopy strata.




Figure 3: Townships represented in data sets AAD1 and AAD2. The location of the Calling Lake field sites is marked by the white cross. The spatial distribution of sampled townships is partly determined by road access c. 1995.

With AAD2, we established an empirical relation between the within-stand variances of stem age and diameter. For a few stands of 1880s origin or earlier, sample sizes were large enough to examine age structures at the stand level, which was not possible with data set AAD1. Additional uses of AAD2 are described in Section 3.6, below.

3.3 Calling Lake vegetation plots

In 1993–1995, vegetation data were collected in conjunction with the Calling Lake Forest Fragmentation Experiment (Schmiegelow et al., 1997). Permanent sampling points were placed on 200 m grids in each of 24 relatively homogeneous forested areas of either 1, 10, 40 or 100 ha, for a total of 186 points. Vegetation was sampled at four 0.04 ha plots at each point, one centered on the point, and three centered at 40 m distance at 0°, 120° and 240° (Fig. 4). In each plot, trees and tall shrubs were tallied by species and diameter class (8–15, 15–23, 23–38 and > 38 cm dbh). Saplings (< 2.5 cm dbh) and poles (2.5 – 8 cm dbh) were tallied in 0.008 ha nested sub-plots. To relate the plot data to AP3 stand attributes, most of the points were linked to a digitised forest




Figure 4: Schematic sampling design for the three data sets collected at the Calling Lake field sites: an example grid of 0.04 ha vegetation plots (CLVP); a gap transect through several young stands, 100 m into the forest east of a large clearing (GT); and three age structure plots, randomly placed within an old aspen stand (ASP).

inventory map of the study area. For the present study, we selected plots situated in aspen dominated stands of AP3 ages 1860, 1880 and 1910–1930—the latter class is abbreviated as “1920.” There were 280 plots in 11 1860s stands, 67 plots in four 1880s stands and 51 plots in three 1920s stands. We refer to this data set as CLVP.

Gap dynamics should cause heterogeneity in age-class structure to increase over time. To test this prediction, we exploited the relation between within-stand variances in stem size and age, obtained from AAD2. CLVP stem size-class counts were compared pair-wise within stands, using Magurran’s (1988) version of the Morista-Horn similarity measure (Wolda, 1981). This statistic takes values between 0 and 1, with values close to 1 indicating a high degree of similarity between pairs. The procedure yielded samples of similarity measures of size $n_i \cdot (n_i - 1)/2$, where n_i is the number of plots in the i -th stand. These samples were pooled by AP3 stand age class, and the pooled means compared by pair-wise t tests. Large sample sizes compensate for the non-normality of the distributions (Zar, 1984).

3.4 Gap survey

To test for gap formation and recruitment response in young aspen stands, we conducted a gap survey (Runkle, 1992) in the Calling Lake study area, during July of 1995. We ran line transects through portions of five aspen stands with an AP3 origin of 1910 or 1920, which were thus expected to be pyrogenic, even-aged stands 75–85 yr old. Transects were at least 75 m from mapped stand boundaries or recently cleared areas. The total transect length was 2.45 km, excluding encounters with seismic cut-lines, meadows or unmapped inclusions of other stand types. Canopy gaps were defined by areas of open sky formed by the death of one or more trees. The expanded gap is that polygon whose vertices are the stems of the canopy trees surrounding the canopy gap. For each canopy gap encountered, the area of the expanded gap was estimated by an elliptical approximation from measured axes. The total stand area in gaps was estimated by a line-intercept method (Runkle, 1992). To estimate stand ages, the nearest canopy codominant aspen was cored and sized at breast height (1.37 m), every 50 m along each transect, and at the termini. In pyrogenic stands in western Canada, aspen saplings generally reach breast height within 2 yr (Peterson and Peterson, 1992, p. 51), so no age-to-dbh correction was applied when aging the cores.

Within each expanded gap, all individual trees and tall shrubs were tallied by species and height class (Class 1: 0.3 – 1.0 m, 2: 1.0 – 3.0 m, 3: 3.0 – 5.0 m and 4: > 5.0 m). For stump-sprouting species such as alder and willow, the height class was taken from the tallest living stem. The species, dbh and condition of each apparent “gap-maker” larger than 10 cm dbh was recorded, including standing dead trees, snags, and recently downed logs. Where discernible, the “gap-winner,” or stem considered most likely to reach the canopy, was noted. Vegetation sampling within the expanded gap partly takes into account the enlarged, displaced microclimatic effects of canopy openings at high latitudes (Lertzman et al., 1996).

For each expanded gap, we established a size-matched circular control plot under the closed canopy. Controls were located as close to due south of the gap as possible, at least three gap radii from the gap’s edge. We tested for differences in stem density and

height class structure, by species, between canopy gaps and controls using χ^2 tests. We compared species' responses to canopy gaps using paired-sample t tests on distributions of contrasts C_{ij} , defined as

$$C_{ij} = g_{ij}/g_i - c_{ij}/c_i$$

where g_{ij} is the stem count of the j th species on the i th gap and g_i is the total stem count in the i th gap; c_{ij} and c_i are the analogous statistics for the i th control plot.

3.5 Age structure plots

As a direct test for whether older aspen stands have locally uneven, spatially heterogeneous age structures, we established three randomly placed 0.01 ha circular plots in each of three 1860s aspen stands in the Calling Lake area. The stands had less than 15% spruce in the canopy, and between 40 and 70% crown closure. In each plot, the species and dbh of each tree or tall shrub was recorded. All stems of 2.5–30 cm dbh were aged, using basal disks for stems < 5 cm dbh, and increment cores at breast height otherwise. Stems \geq 30 cm dbh were assumed to belong to the original cohort, and assigned a nominal age of 120+ yr. We refer to this data set as ASP. Within and between-stand age-class variability was assessed graphically.

Results for the Gap Survey and ASP data refer to specific AP3 stands by a three digit code, unique within the study township. The arrangement of CLVP plots, the gap transects, and the age structure plots is represented schematically in Fig. 4.

3.6 Simulation

To simulate aspen stand dynamics, we used a simple cellular automata model (*e.g.*, Wisel 1992) defined by three parameters: *Age*, the maximum life-span of an individual stem; *Gap*, the minimum gap size (in units of cells) within which successful regeneration can occur; and *Fill*, the number of years required for one member of a regeneration cohort to reach the canopy, thereby filling the gap. Each model cell represents the area that would be occupied by a single mature canopy tree. The model is initialized so that every cell contains one tree of age *Fill*, representing an even-aged stand at the end of the stem exclusion stage (Oliver and Larson, 1990). At each annual time step, trees older than *Fill* are subjected to independent random mortality. As with forest gap models of the JABOWA lineage (Urban and Shugart, 1992), mortality is age independent, at rates such that 1% of stems survive to the maximum age. The death of a tree forms or expands a canopy gap. Regeneration occurs within an empty cell whenever at least *Gap* of the cell's neighbors contain trees of age less than *Fill*. Thus, gap expansion leads eventually to regeneration. Self-thinning within regeneration cohorts is not modelled explicitly: regeneration within a cell is conceived as a pulse of recruits, exactly one of which will reach the canopy. The model was parameterized to simulate aspen, with *Fill* = 50 yr (see Fig. 9 and Table 1 below), *Age* = 200 yr (Perala, 1990) and *Gap* = 3. The three parameters were estimated from the literature, or through simulation experiments, prior to the field component of this work. Our simulations used a 50 by 50 grid of cells. The area corresponding to a cell is not fixed, but in this case our grid represents an area of \approx 2.2 ha (see Section 4.3).

We used the model to simulate trajectories of canopy stem density and age structure. From a phase-plot of simulated population mean age vs. standard deviation, we developed a qualitative test for old-growth aspen stands. We applied the test to data set AAD2, to check for large errors in age estimation of old stands, which we predicted as a consequence of gap dynamics in this system. From simulated stand maps of old aspen stands, we conducted monte-carlo experiments to evaluate the performance of small sample maxima of stem ages as estimators of stand age.

4 Results

4.1 Gap survey

We found 41 canopy gaps intersecting 282 m (11.5%) of the 2.45 km transect length (Table 1). The mean size of expanded gaps was 52.3 m²; the size distribution (Fig. 5) describes the scale of autogenic disturbances in these stands. The five sampled stands varied in age (Table 1), but were generally younger than their AP3 age classes of 1910 and 1920 would suggest. Over the range of our data (44–68 yr), the proportional stand area in expanded gaps (y) increases with stand age in yr (x), as $y = -0.17 + 0.0047x$ ($r^2 = 0.83$, $p = 0.031$, $n = 5$.) The standard errors of the parameter estimates are 0.068 for the y -intercept and 0.0012 for the slope. The age when gap formation begins is given by the x -intercept of 36.2 yr, close to our prediction of 40 yr.

4.1.1 Vegetation responses

Aspen, balsam poplar and birch were more abundant in the expanded gaps than in controls (Fig. 6, $\chi_5^2 = 22.0$, $p = 0.00053$). Poplar density was greater by a factor

Table 1: Gap transect summary: “Stand ID” refers to the mapped forest stand where the transect was run; “Stems aged” is the number of stems cored and successfully aged, “Canopy age” is the canopy age estimated from the sample mean stem age. “Gap area” is the estimated percentage of stand area within expanded gaps.

| Stand ID | Transect length | Stems aged | Mean age and standard deviation | Gaps found | Gap area (%) |
|----------|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| 247 | 396 m | 8 | 44.2 (2.9) | 3 | 5.9 |
| 219 | 388 m | 10 | 48.6 (1.8) | 3 | 3.6 |
| 229 | 370 m | 8 | 48.7 (3.0) | 6 | 8.1 |
| 303 | 465 m | 9 | 59.6 (3.6) | 8 | 10.3 |
| 312 | 836 m | 19 | 67.2 (4.8) | 21 | 16.6 |
| Total | 2,455 m | 54 | | 41 | 10.4 |




Figure 5: Size distribution of 41 expanded gaps.




Figure 6: Mean stem density in aspen canopy gaps vs. control areas, by species. PIGL: white spruce, POBA: balsam poplar, POTR: trembling aspen, BEPA: paper birch, ALSP: alder shrubs, SASP: willow shrubs.

of 3 (from 148 to 443 stems/ha) and aspen density by a factor of 2.2 (from 454 to 1002 stems/ha). By analysis of contrasts, these responses do not differ significantly ($p = 0.27$). However, the responses of both trembling aspen and balsam poplar exceeded that of white spruce ($p = 0.024$ and $p = 0.0057$, respectively). Excluding stand 247 (see below), mean white spruce densities were also higher in gaps than in controls (74 vs. 37 stems/ha).

Species-specific height responses were not significant (Table 2), except for white spruce, which may be taller in gaps than in controls ($\chi^2_3 = 7.05$, $p = 0.07$). Several gaps contained white spruce stems nearing the base of the canopy (≈ 15 m), but no such stems were found in the control plots. However, we found significant between-species differences in height-class structures: saplings of aspen were shorter than those of balsam poplar ($\chi^2_3 = 86.7$, $p < 10^{-6}$) and of birch ($\chi^2_3 = 65.2$, $p < 10^{-6}$), with proportionally more stems in the 0.3 – 1.0 m height class. Birch and balsam poplar height structures

Table 2: Height-class structures of aspen (POTR), balsam poplar (POBA), white spruce (PIGL) and birch (BEPA) understory stems from 41 expanded canopy gaps (2143 m²) and paired control areas. Table entries are total stem counts by height class. (Height Class 1: 0.3 – 1 m, Class 2: 1 – 3 m, Class 3: 3 – 5 m, Class 4 > 5 m).

| Species | Gaps | | | | Controls | | | |
|---------|--------------|-----|----|---|--------------|----|---|---|
| | Height Class | | | | Height Class | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| POTR | 71 | 117 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 53 | 1 | 1 |
| POBA | 7 | 53 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 4 |
| PIGL | 20 | 43 | 3 | 6 | 27 | 42 | 3 | 0 |
| BEPA | 3 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |

did not differ. We conclude that the process of gap formation in young aspen stands is accompanied by elevated recruitment of aspen and other shade intolerant tree species, but is not associated with increased height growth.

An unambiguous gap-winner was identified in 24 of 38 gaps (not counting the three gaps from stand 247, discussed below). Balsam poplar won in 9 gaps (38%), white spruce in 7 gaps (29%), aspen in 6 gaps (25%) and paper birch in 2 gaps (8%). Of the remaining 14 gaps, 5 had a dense growth of alder or willow, and the rest generally had numerous stems of aspen or poplar below 3 m in height, with no conspicuous dominant. From CLVP, the approximate canopy composition of these stands was 77% aspen, 19% balsam poplar, 2% white spruce and 1% birch. The species distribution of gap winners is disproportionate to the species composition of the canopy. Although white spruce exhibited the greatest proportional response, the majority of gap-winners were shade intolerant hardwoods, mostly balsam poplar. The median heights of aspen and poplar gap-winners were 2.5 m and 2.8 m, respectively. Most of these saplings have escaped light competition from the shrub layer, and may be capable of reaching the canopy, especially as light levels in temperate deciduous forests increase slightly with height, up to the base of the canopy (Hutchison and Matt, 1977).

4.1.2 Gap formation

We identified 111 gap-makers, of which 80% were aspen and 18% balsam poplar: the remainder were *Populus* that we could not identify to species in the field because of advanced decay. This is proportionate to species composition of the canopy, as reported above. The mean number of gap-makers per canopy gap was 2.7. In the two older stands (303 and 312 of Table 1) the gap-makers were significantly smaller in diameter than the living canopy ($t = -5.05, p < 0.001$ and $t = -4.5, p < 0.001$ respectively). There was no significant difference between the diameters of gap-makers and canopy stems in two of the younger stands. Except in stand 247, we did not observe any large downed trees which could, in falling, have created the gaps mechanically. We conclude that the usual

mechanism for gap formation in aspen stands below 70 yr of age is consecutive, adjacent mortality of canopy stems. The observed mortality is consistent with self-thinning.

In stand 247, gap-makers were significantly larger than the surrounding canopy ($t = 4.7, p = 0.0035$). This stand is anomalous in several respects, and is apparently the relic of a mature aspen/spruce stand that was damaged by a low-intensity fire in 1968 (Alberta Environmental Protection, unpublished archival maps). Some of the original canopy was still standing, but large, highly decomposed downed logs were abundant—these features were not present in the other four stands. Based on pooled samples from the gaps and controls, the density of white spruce saplings in stand 247 was 2,714 stems/ha, compared with a mean of 51 stems/ha in the other four stands. The other four stands exhibited no conspicuous evidence of past fire. However, we found clear evidence of a ≈ 50 yr old burn in a moist area just south of stand 219. This stand probably originated in the 1040 ha “Long Lake” burn of 1941, mapped by Delisle and Hall (1987). Thus, at least two of the five gap-transect stands appear to be pyrogenic, as we have assumed.

4.2 Indirect evidence for gap dynamics

4.2.1 Age-structure plots

In our age structure plots within 1860s aspen stands, we found uneven age structures, at both the plot and stand scales (Fig. 7) Stand age-structures were spatially heterogeneous at the 0.01 ha sampling scale, consistent with past recruitment in asynchronously formed canopy gaps. Moreover, the presence of *Populus* stems in the 60–90 yr age classes argues that some of the observed recruitment in gaps within 48–69 yr old stands can survive to reach the canopy. The sample mean density of white spruce saplings in these stands was 290 stems/ha. We conclude that these older stands represent later stages in the development of the younger stands sampled by the gap survey.

4.2.2 Heterogeneous size-class structures

Within-stand heterogeneity in aspen size-class structure increases with stand age (Fig. 8). Mean Morista-Horn statistics were 0.87 in 1920s stands, 0.71 in 1880s stands and 0.58 in 1860s stands, and these means are different ($p < 10^{-7}$). In AAD2 stands where 10 or more stems were measured, sample standard deviations of age and dbh were correlated ($r^2 = 0.74, n = 36, p < 0.001$). We therefore conclude that within-stand spatial heterogeneity in age structure also increases with AP3 stand age, and that the spatially heterogeneous, uneven age-structures of dataset ASP (Fig. 7) are typical of old aspen stands in the Calling Lake area.

4.2.3 Pooled stem-age datasets

Pooled aspen age samples from AAD1 show that different AP3 age classes have distinctive stand age structures (Fig. 9). Except in the oldest (1850s) age class, the distributions have peaks at about the expected ages. The 1850s stands are dominated by young stems, although a few trees of the expected age (about 150 yr) are present. The canopy




Figure 7: *Populus* age structures in 100 m² sample plots, randomly placed within three different 1860s origin aspen stands. Age class “120+” represents 15 canopy stems > 30 cm dbh which were not cored.




Figure 8: The distributions of within-stand Morista-Horn similarity measures of poplar size structures from dataset CLVP show that spatial heterogeneity increases with stand age.

codominant sampling regime censored the age distributions, imposing a lower limit of about 50 yr. The three older age classes all dominated by stems far too young to belong to the putative original cohort.

By one-way ANOVA, between-stand variability exceeded within-stand variability in 1900s stands ($F_{7,34} = 35.7, p < 0.001$) 1880s stands ($F_{6,28} = 4.03, p = 0.005$) and 1860s stands ($F_{3,15} = 3.92, p = 0.030$). Samples sizes for older AP3 age classes were insufficient for the analysis. The hypothesis that these collections of stands have the same mean ages must be rejected in all cases. However, for our purpose, the important result is that the proportion of sample variance due to within-stand variability increases with AP3 age. That is, canopy age-structures become increasingly uneven in older stands, consistent with our field data (CLVP and ASP).

This interpretation of the ANOVA is supported by the larger data set AAD2. There, in stands with sample size ≥ 6 , the mean age range was 23.7 yr in 1880s stands ($n=7$), and 69.8 yr in 1860s stands ($n=6$) (recall that sampling for AAD2 was not deliberately biased towards one stratum of the canopy, unlike AAD1). The few 1880s and 1860s AAD2 stands with sample size ≥ 10 contain some stems of roughly the expected age, and then a second group of stems about 50 yr younger, aged 60–80 yr. This is consistent with data sets AAD1 (Figs. 9(b) and (c)) and ASP (Fig. 7). The 40–50 yr lag between cohorts is consistent with the age at which gap-formation begins.

The forgoing suggests that many 1880s and 1860s stands may contain stems of all age classes, as found in the ASP plots (Fig. 7). If so, stand features resembling aspen understories should be common. According to AP3, 31% of aspen dominated stands dated 1990 or earlier have an understory with a deciduous component. This is likely an underestimate, since AP3 was interpreted from leaf-on aerial photography in which understories would be obscured. We conclude that uneven-aged aspen stands are abundant and widespread in the greater study area.




Figure 9: Pooled age structures of canopy codominant aspen trees sampled from four age classes of aspen or mixed aspen/white-spruce stands. (a) 69 stems from 26 stands with estimated decade of origin 1900; (b) 103 stems from 54 1880s stands; (c) 65 stems from 31 1860s stands and (d) 27 stems from 21 stands dated 1850s and 1840s. The expected age of stems is shown by an arrow in each panel.

4.3 Simulated aspen stand dynamics

We first review the model parameter values in light of our data. The parameter value $\text{Fill}=50$, the time required for a regenerating stem to reach the canopy and fill a gap, is consistent with AAD1 (Fig. 9), in that very few stems < 50 yr were sampled as canopy codominants. The mean stem diameter sampled along the gap transects (20.4 cm dbh) implies a mean crown radius of ≈ 1.5 m (Jones and DeByle, 1985). Then $\text{Gap}=3$ corresponds to an expanded gap area of ≈ 48 m², close to the sample mean of 52.3 m² (Fig. 5). Since we are modelling circular crown projections inscribed within square grid cells, the 50 by 50 simulated grid represents an area of about 2.2 ha. With $\text{Age} = 200$, 20.3% of the original cohort survived to 120 yr, a density of 231 stems/ha. The observed density of the presumptive original cohort in 120 yr old stands (data set ASP) was 156




Figure 10: Simulated dynamics of gap and canopy stem density in an initially even-aged stand of aspen-like trees.

stems/ha ha. Stem densities of 500–600 stems/ha have been reported for 120 yr old aspen stands (Peterson and Peterson, 1992), but no age- or size-structure data is supplied with these estimates. We conclude that the mortality component in the model is approximately correct. However, in the absence of life-table data adequate to calibrate the mortality model, the results reported here must be treated as illustrative.

In simulated stands, the area occupied by “canopy” stems (those older than 50 yr) declines exponentially between 50 and 100 yr. After 100 yr, new recruits begin to enter the canopy, and canopy area stabilizes, after some damped oscillation, at about 46% (Fig. 10). The area of forest in small, non-regenerating canopy gaps rises quickly to 19% as the canopy first begins to open. In the early stage of gap dynamics, most gaps are too small to allow regeneration. However, in stands aged 100 yr or more, the area of “empty” gaps stabilizes at about 2%. In the model, the short life span of aspen and the large openings required for regeneration prevents the maintenance of a uniform, closed canopy forest once the original even-aged canopy begins to break up. In comparison, simulations parameterized for a longer-lived, more shade-tolerant species (*e.g.*, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*) predict a steady state canopy closure of about 80%.

The simulated canopy age structure at 150 yr (Fig. 11) agrees fairly closely with the empirical age structure of 140–150 yr old stands in data set AAD1 (Fig. 9(d)), especially in the younger age classes. However, the model predicts more survivors of the original cohort than are actually found in AAD1. We attribute this to four factors: 1) the fixed mortality rate used in the model may be incorrect, at least for older individuals; 2) high rates of decay in old stands (Hiratsuka and Loman, 1984) makes aging the oldest stems difficult, which biases the samples in AAD1; 3) the AAD1 canopy co-dominant sampling selects against the tallest, oldest aspen trees; and 4) small errors in AP3 decade of origins—a decade either way would tend to increase the number of stems in the 100–140 year age-classes. We conclude that the model is a reasonable qualitative representation of aspen stand dynamics in the absence of succession.




Figure 11: Simulated aspen canopy age structure at $t = 150$ and $t = 250$ yr from stand origin. By 300 yr (not shown), a near-stable age structure has developed. At $t \leq 100$ yr, the canopy is even-aged. The pooled age structure from some reportedly 150 year old aspen stands (Figure 9) is also shown.




Figure 12: Simulated trajectory of the mean and standard deviation of canopy tree ages, starting at simulation year 110.

The model predicts that a stable (negative exponential) canopy age-structure develops by about 250 yr (Fig. 11). However, the means and standard deviation of canopy tree ages are nearly stable after 120 yr, with $\mu \approx 90$, $\sigma > 35$ yr (Fig. 12). Mean canopy age is a negatively biased estimator of age in simulated stands older than 100 yr, consistent with the empirical data summarized in Figs. 7 and 9. Small sample maxima are negatively biased estimators in stands 150 yr or older (Table 3). These estimators would be worse behaved in real stands, because the heartwood of older stems is often badly decayed.

In the model, as in reality, the original canopy will eventually decay past the detec-

Table 3: Performance of small sample maxima as an estimator of stand age, determined by sampling from simulated stand maps at $t= 150, 200$ and 250 yr, at sample sizes of 5, 10 and 20 stems. To simulate the effects of different sampling regimes, stem ages were censored at $a_c = 25$ and at 50 yr. p is the proportion of samples which correctly aged the stand, and \hat{m} is the sample mean of sample maxima. Each data pair is the result of 100 independent draws from the simulated stands.

| | | Sample size | | | | | |
|-----|-------|-------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | | $n = 5$ | | $n = 10$ | | $n = 20$ | |
| Age | a_c | p | \hat{m} | p | \hat{m} | p | \hat{m} |
| 150 | 25 | 0.55 | 118 | 0.80 | 137 | 0.99 | 149 |
| | 50 | 0.70 | 130 | 0.93 | 145 | 0.99 | 149 |
| 200 | 25 | 0.16 | 119 | 0.35 | 146 | 0.51 | 165 |
| | 50 | 0.29 | 139 | 0.41 | 158 | 0.72 | 182 |
| 250 | 25 | 0.04 | 131 | 0.07 | 152 | 0.10 | 168 |
| | 50 | 0.07 | 149 | 0.09 | 164 | 0.19 | 185 |

tion threshold of any sampling protocol. In AP3, this threshold is defined as 5% canopy closure. Depending on the mortality rate used in the model, this threshold is passed between 120 and 160 yr. Aspen stands exceeding this age would be incorrectly aged, based on the height of the first regeneration wave. Fig. 12 implies that such errors could be detected from stem age data. We examined AAD2 in this light (Fig. 13) and found that 9–10% of stands in the 1900s, 1920s and 1940s AP3 age-classes have sample mean ages of about 80 yr with standard deviations > 20.0 yr, characteristic of simulated stands 110 yr or older. These 3 age-classes comprise 60% by area of the aspen and mixedwood component in the greater study area, so at least 6% by area of the commercial forest may be significantly under-aged in the inventory.

5 Discussion

We found that canopy gaps begin to form in aspen stands about 40 yr after stand initiation, by accumulation of adjacent mortality of canopy trees. Kneeshaw and Bergeron (1998) also report multiple tree-fall gaps in young aspen stands in Quebec, which they attribute to synchronous mortality of small clones. However, by simulation, spatially independent random mortality explains our observations. Relative to matched control areas, gaps exhibit elevated recruitment of shade-intolerant hardwoods, mostly aspen and balsam poplar. White spruce is a gap-winner more often than expected given its abundance in the canopy, and we found some evidence that gaps facilitate recruitment or height growth for this species. Nonetheless, in four of five stands surveyed, the current density of understory white spruce was insufficient to replace the existing aspen canopy.




Figure 13: Sample mean age and standard deviations for stands in AAD2 having an AP3 origin of 1900s or 1920s, and a sample size ≥ 6 .

Elevated aspen recruitment within canopy gaps has been correlated with small increases in irradiance. Mean light levels beneath 40 yr old aspen stands are 18–19% of above-canopy values (Lieffers and Stadt, 1994, Carlson and Groot, 1997). Experimental circular openings of 4.5 m radius ($\approx 60 \text{ m}^2$) raised light transmittance to 26% (Carlson and Groot, 1997). In these openings, first year sucker densities were 15 times greater than beneath the closed canopy (Groot et al., 1997). Soil temperature is thought to be the main exogenous factor influencing sucker development in aspen (Peterson and Peterson, 1992), but openings of the sizes we found do not raise seasonal mean soil temperature at these latitudes (Carlson and Groot, 1997). Therefore, we suggest that the elevated recruitment we observed in canopy gaps is due to small increases in light levels at the forest floor, which enhance initiation or survivorship of aspen and poplar suckers. However, our data cannot distinguish the relative importance of increased resource levels and the release from apical dominance resultant from overstory mortality, which would also elevate suckering.

In three aspen-dominated stands with inventory ages of 130 yr, we found spatially heterogeneous, uneven age structures, consistent with protracted gap-phase dynamics. Aspen stems old enough to have originated in early gaps were present. Spatially extensive stem-age data, and forest inventory understory attributes, show that such stands are both abundant and widely distributed in our greater study area. A simulation model shows that such stands could be maintained indefinitely, converging to a stable age structure by about 250 yr. The model predicts features of the age-structure of old aspen stands which are found in about 10% of stands classed as young in the forest inventory. Deciduous stand ages estimated from air-photo interpretation or from canopy mean ages are negatively biased for stands whose true age exceeds 120 or 100 yr, respectively. Current estimates of the age structure of the boreal mixedwood forest are therefore compromised.

These two sets of stands may be construed as a chronosequence, although they were not selected as such, as the white spruce sapling density in the former was not known in advance. This chronosequence does not reflect the only developmental pathway for

pyrogenic aspen stands (Section 1.1). For example, near to our field sites, we have observed mature aspen stands with well developed white spruce subcanopies, indicative of the regionally classic transition from aspen to white spruce dominance. Evidently, considerable variation in the rate of white spruce recruitment is to be expected even within a relatively small, comparatively homogeneous area (see also Lieffers et al. 1996).

The existence of old-growth stands in the boreal mixedwood forest has only recently been recognised. Stelfox (1995) and Timoney and Robinson (1996) have characterised old-growth deciduous stands in the Alberta mixedwood, in terms of features of the canopy and forest floor, and of the time required for these features to develop: about 120 yr. Our results are consistent with a functional definition of the old-growth condition in boreal forests, based on the processes of individual mortality and regeneration which create and maintain uneven-aged stands, and the relative dominance of the original cohort (Kneeshaw and Burton, 1997). Further, our findings emphasise that gap dynamics are not restricted to late-successional forests composed of shade-tolerant species. Assuming otherwise compromises studies of disturbance regimes, forest age structures and landscape dynamics, through the uncritical use of inappropriate or biased data sets and sampling methods.

Our results may apply to other regions of the circumpolar boreal forest in which aspen or a functionally similar species is abundant. They may also bear on the study of any ecosystem characterised by intense, episodic disturbances such as fire, where neither the rate of disturbance nor the present patch-age structure are precisely known. To compare estimates of the disturbance rate and patch-age structure requires statistical independence. The derivation of rates from age structure data which either assume that old stands do not exist or else depend on sampling methods biased against their detection is tautological. This may seem obvious, but the experience in western Canada, where both sorts of errors have been made, is probably not unique.

5.1 The extended mixedwood stage revisited

Here, we elaborate the implications of the extended mixedwood stage described by Kabzems et al. (1986). Of five 44–67 yr aspen stands which we surveyed for gaps, four had low densities of understory white spruce (51 stems/ha, above 30 cm), and only about 2% of spruce in the canopy. The three 1860s stands containing our age structure plots had less than 15% spruce in the canopy, and about 290 stems/ha of understory spruce. If most of these survive to reach heights of 20 m or more, a matter of perhaps 100 yr (Lieffers et al., 1996), the stands would appear to photo-interpreters as 100–120 yr old mixed stands (Alberta Forest Service, 1985). However, their true age would exceed 200 yr. If this alternate developmental pathway, where the amount of canopy spruce increases incrementally, is common, current estimates of forest age class structure are further compromised. We outline a new model of boreal mixedwood dynamics, which explicitly recognises this possibility.

Soil moisture deficits sufficient to limit white spruce recruitment are not characteristic of the mixedwood's climate (Hogg and Schwarz, 1997). Rather, on upland mesic sites, immediate post-fire recruitment is limited by the availability of mineral soil seedbeds and by seed rain. Both factors are related to the size, intensity, and frequency

of fire. Seedling establishment and growth are also limited by herbaceous competitors (Lieffers and Stadt, 1994) which rapidly colonise mineral soil. Thus, the coincidence of fire with a white spruce mast year may be a necessary condition for a high density of white spruce recruitment (V. Peters, M. Dale and E. MacDonald, University of Alberta, unpublished data). Large fires in the mixedwood burn heterogeneous areas containing spruce, mixedwood and aspen stands of various ages and condition (Cumming, 1997). These fires will not always coincide with a mast-year. However, high densities of young aspen stems will initially develop by suckering on most burnt mesic sites, provided even low densities of canopy aspen were present (Lavertu et al., 1994). Large fires therefore tend to homogenise the forest.

Recruitment in the post-fire decades will be delayed pending a suitable conjunction of seed source and substrate. Autogenous substrate, in the form of downed decayed aspen logs, is important in 50–80 yr old aspen stands (Lieffers et al., 1996). This substrate is also abundant in 120+ yr old stands (Lee et al., 1997). Once a few white spruce trees establish and eventually reach the canopy (perhaps facilitated by gap formation, as our data suggest), local seed production will dramatically increase because seed-trees are more abundant, dominant within the stand, and hence more likely to produce large crops of viable seed (Zasada and Viereck, 1970). Since, in the meantime, gap dynamics will have maintained an aspen canopy and a resultant input of downed logs, recruitment into the understory will accelerate. Several successive generations of canopy trees (*i.e.*, several centuries) could be required for white spruce to become dominant through these processes. Larsen and MacDonald (1998) report paleolimnological evidence of local dominance by *Populus* lasting for several centuries, followed by a gradual increase in white spruce. Of course, such stately dynamics are more plausible if fires are relatively infrequent.

5.2 Natural disturbance models and the boreal forest

If the rate of natural disturbance is to provide a basis for managing regions of the circumpolar boreal forest, as Hunter (1993) and Haila et al. (1994) have proposed, regional disturbance regimes must be quantified. Much of the boreal region is remote, and lengthy historical records of disturbance are unavailable. The only spatially extensive data sets available are likely to be photo-interpreted stand maps, as in Alberta and the rest of Canada. However, such inventories are designed primarily to estimate the available volumes of merchantable tree species. The “stand origin” estimates they contain are best interpreted as the modal age of the dominant canopy layer. This cannot be assumed to equal stand age. An independent estimate of regional forest age-structure is required.

There are several ways to proceed. Inventory “ages” could be validated against true stand ages, if these could be somehow determined. A landscape scale age structure could be then estimated by applying the empirical error model to the inventory age classes. Another strategy is to characterise the regional natural disturbance directly, by estimating the parameter(s) of a theoretical model (Johnson and Van Wagner, 1984). This can be done from a time series of maps of known fires or similar data, or by fitting a sample of known stand ages to a chosen model. A third method, which involves

estimating the mean interval between fires from fire-scarred trees (Johnson and Gutsell, 1994) would be difficult to apply to species such as aspen, which do not fire-scar readily. In the present case, if the 54 yr of fire incidence data and mapped fires studied by Cumming (1997) (Fig. 1) are considered insufficient, then one must develop some way to estimate stand ages from field data. Thus, both approaches may ultimately depend on the ability to estimate the ages of forest stands.

Unbiased estimation of stand ages may prove difficult in the mixedwood. We have shown that canopy mean age is biased. Some workers (*e.g.*, Larsen 1997) use small-sample maxima of stem ages as an estimator. However the simulated sampling distribution of this statistic shows it to be an imprecise and negatively biased estimator in older stands. These results recapitulate previous work on disturbance rate estimation by Fox (1989), who treated the effect of progressive loss of age indicators, such as members of an original cohort, and by Finney (1995) who also discusses the difficulty of unbiased selection of stands to be aged. Using the rate of natural disturbance as a guide to developing or evaluating forest management plans requires empirical data that are difficult to obtain in boreal forests.

A significant effort will be required to settle the issues we have raised. Direct methods of stand reconstruction have been applied to forests in coastal Alaska (Fastie, 1995). These forests may be analogous to our mesic sites, in that stand development depends on a variable history of recruitment and growth of *Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr., a species closely related to white spruce. These exhaustive methods could perhaps be applied to a carefully selected set of stands, in order to calibrate less direct, but also less difficult and expensive methods based on structural attributes such as crown area and stem diameter distributions (Lorimer, 1985). Other useful indirect measurements might include the joint sample age class structure of aspen and white spruce, as given by simulation models such as we explored here. We hope that our results will encourage field ecologists, modellers and statisticians to collaborate on this problem.

5.3 Regional management implications

Since the mid-1980s, essentially all of the boreal mixedwood in western Canada has been brought under management, largely for the purpose of bleached kraft pulp production, with aspen as the most important raw material. The white spruce lumber industry has a longer history, and has developed towards full utilisation of the resource since the 1950s. The intended rotation ages are roughly 70 yr for aspen and 110 yr for white spruce. In view of these regional land-use decisions, at least three areas of concern arise from our results: 1) wildlife habitat availability; 2) sustainability of the white spruce harvest; and 3) carbon emissions from forest soils.

Older aspen and mixed stands are preferred habitat for many species of birds and mammals in the boreal mixedwood (Stelfox, 1995). We cannot say at present how common very old aspen may be, nor whether they differ significantly from the 120 to 130 yr old stands studied by Stelfox (1995) and colleagues, with respect to habitat quality or the community structure of any taxa. However, if old-growth stands are both older and more abundant than has been thought, the adaptationist argument that boreal communities are resilient to high rates of disturbance loses some of its force.

Problems of white spruce regeneration in the boreal mixedwood are reviewed by Lieffers and Beck (1994). White spruce and mixed stands tend to regenerate with high densities of aspen after harvesting, which at least temporarily inhibits spruce establishment or growth. Silvicultural interventions designed to prevent or ameliorate this effect are expensive and prone to failure. The present allocation of the white spruce resource is based on a long run sustained yield management policy, assuming an ≈ 110 yr rotation. The feasibility of this rotation age depends on the reliability of the volume/age curves for spruce-bearing stands, which depend crucially on the estimated stand ages. If a significant portion of the stands now having merchantable volumes of white spruce followed an extended trajectory, and are 200+ yr old, then the current white spruce harvest is unsustainable under present conditions.

Finally forest management in the Canadia boreal is predicted to result in net carbon emissions (Kurz et al., 1997), mostly (68%) from soil pools of humified organic matter. In the western Canadian boreal, 63% of total stored carbon is in this form (Kurz and Apps, *ress*). These pools can continue to sequester carbon from litterfall and root turnover long after standing biomass equilibrates. Thus, very old aspen or mixed stands probably store larger amounts of carbon than younger stands of similar structure and composition. If so, forest management in the mixedwood region will release more carbon than expected. Attempts to stabilise Canadian CO₂ emissions at 1990 levels, pursuant to international agreements, are predicted to have persistent negative impacts on the Alberta economy (Holling and Somerville, 1998). Our results imply that stabilisation or mitigation costs may be higher than expected.

6 Conclusion

The occurrence of gap phase dynamics in aspen stands, the probable self-replacement of deciduous-dominated stands, and the possible abundance of old stands of mixed aspen and white-spruce in an extended mixedwood stage, seriously undermines current management strategies for large areas of western Canada, with important ecological and economic implications. Present rates of harvest are justified partly by an appeal to natural rates of disturbance by fire, which may have been overestimated. In that case, projected harvest rates combined with fire suppression are not consistent with a natural disturbance management model, or with the model of landscape dynamics that we have outlined. We propose methods for improving estimates of the landscape age structure, and of increasing our understanding of the underlying stand and landscape dynamics. We suggest that the importance of gap formation and stand self-replacement may have been similarly underestimated in other parts of the circumpolar boreal forest. We urge that the issues raised here be considered in any planned application of natural disturbance management models to these forests.

7 Acknowledgments

We thank J. N. M. Smith, D. Andison, K. Miyanishi, G. W. Armstrong and three anonymous referees for critical reviews of earlier drafts of this manuscript and S. Hannon for her collaboration with FKAS in the Calling Lake fragmentation experiment. We also thank R. Brown, the vegetation elves, and R. Pelletier, valiant field assistant. This research was supported by the Canada-Alberta Partnership Agreement in Forestry, Canada Tri-Council Eco-Research Doctoral Fellowships held by SGC and FKAS, grants-in-aid from Alberta-Pacific Forest Industries Inc., and the Sustainable Forest Management Network. We gratefully acknowledge the unflagging support of D. Hebert.

References

- Alberta Forest Service. 1985. Alberta phase 3 forest inventory: Yield tables for unmanaged stands. ENR Report **Dept. 60a**, Department of Energy and Natural Resources, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Anonymous. 1985. Alberta phase 3 forest inventory: An overview. ENR Report **I/86**, Alberta Forest Service, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Armstrong, G. W., S. G. Cumming, and W. L. Adamowicz. 1998. Natural disturbance management: timber supply implications. Working Paper **SES-2/P&P-1**, Sustainable Forest Management Network, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Bettters, D. R., and R. F. Woods. 1981. Uneven-aged stand structure and growth of Rocky Mountain aspen. *Journal of Forestry* **79**:673–676.
- Bunnell, F. L. 1995. Forest-dwelling vertebrate faunas and natural fire regimes in British Columbia: patterns and implications to conservation. *Conservation Biology* **9**:636–644.
- Carlson, D. W., and A. Groot. 1997. Microclimate of clear-cut, forest interior and small openings in trembling aspen forest. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* **87**:313–329.
- Chesterman, D., and J. B. Stelfox. 1995. Relationship between microclimate and stand age and structure in aspen mixedwood forests in Alberta. Pages 13–27 *in* J. B. Stelfox, editor. Relationships between stand age, stand structure, and biodiversity in aspen mixedwoods of Alberta. Jointly published by Alberta Environmental Centre (AECV95-R1), Vegreville Alberta and Canadian Forest Service (Project No. 0001a), Edmonton Alberta.
- Cumming, S. G. 1997. Landscape dynamics of the boreal mixedwood forest. Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B. C., Canada.
- Delisle, G. P., and R. J. Hall. 1987. Forest fire history maps of Alberta, 1931–1983. Forestry Canada, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

- Dix, R. L., and J. M. A. Swan. 1971. The role of disturbance and succession in upland forest at Candle Lake, Saskatchewan. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **49**:657–676.
- Fastie, C. L. 1995. Causes and ecosystem consequences of multiple pathways of primary succession at Glacier Bay, Alaska. *Ecology* **76**(6):1899–1916.
- Finney, M. A. 1995. The missing tail and other considerations for the use of fire history models. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **5**(4):197–202.
- Fox, J. F. 1989. Bias in estimating forest disturbance rates and tree lifetimes. *Ecology* **70**(5):1267–1272.
- Franklin, J. F. 1993. Preserving biodiversity: species, ecosystems, or landscapes? *Ecological Applications* **3**:202–205.
- Gauthier, S., Y. Bergeron, and J.-P. Simon. 1996. Effects of fire regime on the serotiny level of jack pine. *Journal of Ecology* **84**:539–548.
- Groot, A., D. W. Carlson, R. L. Fleming, and J. E. Wood. 1997. Small openings in trembling aspen forest: microclimate and regeneration of white spruce and trembling aspen. NODA/NFP Technical Report **TR-47**, Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Great Lakes Forestry Centre, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.
- Haila, Y. 1994. Preserving ecological diversity in boreal forests: ecological background, research and management. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **31**:203–217.
- Haila, Y., I. K. Hanski, J. Niemelä, P. Punttila, S. Raivio, and H. Tukia. 1994. Forestry and the boreal fauna: matching management with natural dynamics. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **31**:187–202.
- Harniss, R. O., and K. T. Harper. 1982. Tree dynamics in seral and stable aspen stands of central Utah. Research Paper **INT-297**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Ogden, Utah.
- Hartnett, D. C., and F. A. Bazzaz. 1983. Physiological integration among intracolonial ramets in *solidago canadensis*. *Ecology* **64**:779–788.
- Hiratsuka, Y., and A. A. Loman. 1984. Decay of aspen and balsam poplar in Alberta. Information Report **NOR-X-262**, Forestry Canada, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Hogg, E. H., and A. G. Schwarz. 1997. Regeneration of planted conifers across climatic moisture gradients on the canadian prairies: implications for distribution and climate change. *Journal of Biogeography* **24**:527–534.
- Holling, C., and R. Somerville. 1998. Impacts on Canadian competitiveness of international climate change mitigation. *Conservation Ecology* [online] **2**(1):3. Available from the Internet. URL: <http://www.consecol.org/vol2/iss1/art3>.
- Hunter, M. L. 1993. Natural fire regimes as spatial models for managing boreal forests. *Biological Conservation* **65**:115–120.

- Hutchison, B. A., and D. R. Matt. 1977. The distribution of solar radiation within a deciduous forest. *Ecological Monographs* **47**:185–207.
- Johnson, E. A. 1992. Fire and vegetation dynamics: Studies from the North American boreal forest. *Cambridge Studies in Ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Johnson, E. A., and S. L. Gutsell. 1994. Fire frequency models, methods and interpretations. *Advances in Ecological Research* **25**:239–287.
- Johnson, E. A., K. Miyanishi, and H. Kleb. 1994. The hazards of interpretation of static age structures as shown by stand reconstructions in a *Pinus contorta* — *Picea engelmannii* forest. *Journal of Ecology* **82**:923–931.
- Johnson, E. A., and C. E. Van Wagner. 1984. The theory and use of two fire history models. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **15**:214–220.
- Jones, J. R., and N. V. DeByle. 1985. Morphology. Pages 115–123 *in* N. V. DeByle and R. P. Winokur, editors. *Aspen: ecology and management in the western United States*. General Technical Report **RM-119**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Kabzems, A., A. L. Kosowan, and W. C. Harris. 1986. Mixedwood section in an ecological perspective. Technical Bulletin **8**, 2nd edition, Saskatchewan Parks and Renewable Resources, Forestry Division, Regina, Saskatchewan.
- Kneeshaw, D. D., and Y. Bergeron. 1998. Canopy gap characteristics and tree replacement in the southeastern boreal forest. *Ecology* **79**:783–794.
- Kneeshaw, D. D., and P. J. Burton. 1997. Canopy and age structures of some old sub-boreal *Picea* stands in British Columbia. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **8**:615–626.
- Kurz, W. A., and M. J. Apps. (in press). A 70-year retrospective analysis of carbon fluxes in the Canadian forest sector. *Ecological Applications* .
- Kurz, W. A., S. J. Beukema, and M. J. Apps. 1997. Carbon budget implications of the transition from natural to managed disturbance regimes in forest landscapes. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* **2**:405–421.
- Kuuluvainen, T. 1994. Gap disturbance, ground microtopography, and the regeneration dynamics of boreal coniferous forests in Finland: a review. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* **31**:35–51.
- Larsen, C. P. S. 1997. Spatial and temporal variation in boreal forest fire frequency in northern Alberta. *Journal of Biogeography* **24**:663–673.
- Larsen, C. P. S., and G. M. MacDonald. 1998. An 840-year record of fire and vegetation in a boreal white spruce forest. *Ecology* **79**:106–118.

- Lavertu, D., Y. Mauffette, and Y. Bergeron. 1994. Suckering success of aspen (*populus tremuloides* michx.) in relation to stand age and soil disturbance. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **5**:561–568.
- Lee, P. C., S. Crites, M. Neitfeld, H. V. Nguyen, and J. B. Stelfox. 1997. Characteristics and origins of deadwood material in aspen-dominated boreal forests. *Ecological Applications* **7**:691–701.
- Lertzman, K. P., G. D. Sutherland, A. Inselberg, and S. C. Saunders. 1996. Canopy gaps and the landscape mosaic in a coastal temperate rain forest. *Ecology* **77**:1254–1270.
- Lieffers, V. J., and J. A. Beck. 1994. A semi-natural approach to mixedwood management in the prairie provinces. *The Forestry Chronicle* **70**:260–264.
- Lieffers, V. J., and K. J. Stadt. 1994. Growth of understory *Picea glauca*, *Calamagrostis canadensis*, and *Epilobium angustifolium* in relation to overstory light transmission. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **24**:1193–1198.
- Lieffers, V. J., K. J. Stadt, and S. Navratil. 1996. Age structure and growth of understory white spruce under aspen. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **26**:1002–1007.
- Lorimer, C. G. 1985. Methodological considerations in the analysis of forest disturbance history. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **15**:200–213.
- Moss, E. H. 1932. Vegetation of Alberta IV. The poplar association and related vegetation of central Alberta. *Journal of Ecology* **20**:380–415.
- Mueggler, W. F. 1985. Vegetation associations. Pages 45–55 in N. V. DeByle and R. P. Winokur, editors. *Aspen: ecology and management in the western United States*. General Technical Report **RM-119**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Mueggler, W. F. 1994. Sixty years of change in tree numbers and basal area in central Utah aspen stands. Research Paper **INT-478**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Ogden, Utah.
- Murphy, P. J. 1985. Methods for evaluating the effects of forest fire management in Alberta. Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B. C., Canada.
- Navratil, S., I. E. Bella, and E. B. Peterson. 1990. Silviculture and management of aspen in Canada: The western Canada scene. Pages 39–60 in R. D. Adams, editor. *Aspen symposium '89, Proceedings*. General Technical Report **NC-140**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service.
- Oliver, C. D., and B. C. Larson. 1990. *Forest stand dynamics*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Payette, S. 1992. Fire as a controlling process in the North American boreal forest. Pages 144–169 in H. H. Shugart, R. Leemans and G. B. Bonan, editors. *A systems analysis of the global boreal forest*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

- Perala, D. A. 1990. *Populus tremuloides*. Pages 555–569 in R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala, editors. *Silvics of North America*. Volume 2, Hardwoods. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Washington, DC.
- Peterson, E. B., and N. M. Peterson. 1992. Ecology, management, and use of aspen and balsam poplar in the prairie provinces, Canada. Special Report **1**, Forestry Canada, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Platt, W. J., and D. R. Strong. 1989. (eds.) special feature-Treefall gaps and forest dynamics. *Ecology* **70**:534–576.
- Rowe, J. S. 1972. Forest regions of Canada. Publication No. **1300**, Canadian Forest Service, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Runkle, J. R. 1992. Guidelines and sample protocol for sampling forest gaps. General Technical Report **PNW-283**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Portland, Oregon.
- Schmiegelow, F. K. A., C. S. Machtans, and S. J. Hannon. 1997. Are boreal birds resilient to forest fragmentation? An experimental study of short-term community responses. *Ecology* **78**:1914–1932.
- Shugart, H. H. 1984. A theory of forest dynamics: The ecological implications of forest succession models. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- Stelfox, J. B., editor. 1995. Relationships between stand age, stand structure, and biodiversity in aspen mixedwood forests in Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. Jointly published by Alberta Environmental Centre (AECV95-R1), Vegreville Alberta and Canadian Forest Service (Project No. 0001a).
- Strong, W. L. 1992. Ecoregions and ecodistricts of Alberta. ENR Technical Report **T/244**, Alberta Forestry, Lands and Wildlife, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Timoney, K. P., and A. L. Robinson. 1996. Old-growth white spruce and balsam poplar forests of the Peace River Lowlands, Wood Buffalo National Park, Canada: development, structure and diversity. *Forest Ecology and Management* **81**:179–196.
- Urban, D. L., and H. H. Shugart. 1992. Individual-based models of forest succession. Pages 249–292 in D. C. Glenn-Lewin, R. K. Peet and T. T. Veblen, editors. *Plant succession: Theory and practice*. Chapman and Hall, New York.
- Van Wagner, C. E. 1978. Age-class distribution and the forest fire cycle. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **8**:220–227.
- Wissel, C. 1992. Modelling the mosaic cycle of a Middle European beech forest. *Ecological Modelling* **63**:29–43.
- Wolda, H. 1981. Similarity indices, sample size and diversity. *Oecologia* **50**:296–302.

- Wynnyk, A., J. D. Lindsay, P. K. Heringa, and W. Odynsky. 1963. Exploratory soil survey of Alberta map sheets 83-O, 83-P and 73-M. Preliminary Soil Survey Report **64-1**, Research Council of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.
- Zahner, R., and N. V. DeByle. 1965. Effect of pruning the parent root on growth of aspen suckers. *Ecology* **46**:373-375.
- Zar, J. H. 1984. Biostatistical analysis. Second edition. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
- Zasada, J. C., and L. A. Viereck. 1970. White spruce cone and seed production in interior Alaska, 1957-68. Research Note **PNW-129**, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experimental Station, Portland, Oregon.